

MAGNETEK, INC.
Form 10-K
August 31, 2011

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark
One)

[X] ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended July 3, 2011

OR

[] TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission file number 1-10233

MAGNETEK, INC.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

95-3917584

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

N49 W13650 Campbell Drive
Menomonee Falls, Wisconsin
(Address of Principal Executive
Offices)

53051
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (262) 783-3500

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Name of each
exchange

| | |
|------------------------------------|--|
| Title of each class | on which registered |
| Common Stock, \$.01 par value | New York Stock |
| Preferred Stock Purchase Rights | Exchange New York Stock Exchange |

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the
Act: None

Edgar Filing: MAGNETEK, INC. - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is a well known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes [] No [X]

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes [] No [X]

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. [X]

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer", and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer [] Accelerated filer [] Non-accelerated filer [] Smaller reporting company [X]

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes [] No [X]

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the Registrant, based on the closing price of \$1.35 per share as reported by the New York Stock Exchange, on January 2, 2011 (the last business day of the Company's most recently completed second fiscal quarter), was \$41,609,392. Shares of common stock held by each executive officer and director have been excluded since such persons may be deemed affiliates. This determination of affiliate status is not necessarily a conclusive determination for other purposes.

The number of shares outstanding of the Registrant's Common Stock, as of August 8, 2011, was 31,372,794 shares.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the Magnetek, Inc. 2011 Annual Report for the fiscal year ended July 3, 2011 (the “2011 Annual Report”) are incorporated by reference into Parts I and II of this Form 10-K. With the exception of those portions that are expressly incorporated by reference into this Form 10-K, the 2011 Annual Report is not deemed as filed as part of this Form 10-K. Portions of the Magnetek, Inc. definitive Proxy Statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after the close of the fiscal year ended July 3, 2011, are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Form 10-K.

**MAGNETEK, INC.
ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JULY 3, 2011**

| | Page |
|--|------|
| PART I | |
| <u>ITEM</u> | |
| 1. BUSINESS | 3 |
| <u>ITEM</u> | |
| 1A. RISK FACTORS | 6 |
| <u>ITEM</u> | |
| 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS | 6 |
| <u>ITEM</u> | |
| 2. PROPERTIES | 6 |
| <u>ITEM</u> | |
| 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS | 7 |
| <u>ITEM</u> | |
| 4. REMOVED AND RESERVED | 9 |
| PART II | |
| <u>ITEM</u> | |
| 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES | 10 |
| <u>ITEM</u> | |
| 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA | 11 |
| <u>ITEM</u> | |
| 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS | 11 |
| <u>ITEM</u> | |
| 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK | 11 |

Edgar Filing: MAGNETEK, INC. - Form 10-K

| | | |
|-------------|--|----|
| <u>ITEM</u> | FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY | |
| <u>8.</u> | DATA | |
| <u>ITEM</u> | CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH | |
| <u>9.</u> | ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND | |
| | FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE | 11 |
| <u>ITEM</u> | | |
| <u>9A.</u> | CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES | 12 |
| <u>ITEM</u> | | |
| <u>9B.</u> | OTHER INFORMATION | 12 |
| PART | | |
| III | | |
| <u>ITEM</u> | DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE | |
| <u>10.</u> | GOVERNANCE | 12 |
| <u>ITEM</u> | | |
| <u>11.</u> | EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION | 13 |
| <u>ITEM</u> | | |
| <u>12.</u> | SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS | 13 |
| <u>ITEM</u> | | |
| <u>13.</u> | CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE | 13 |
| <u>ITEM</u> | | |
| <u>14.</u> | PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES | 13 |
| PART | | |
| IV | | |
| <u>ITEM</u> | | |
| <u>15.</u> | EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES | 13 |
| | <u>SIGNATURES</u> | 17 |

The Company has historically used a 52-53 week fiscal year which ends on the Sunday nearest June 30. Fiscal year 2011 contained 53 weeks. Fiscal years 2010 and 2009 each contained 52 weeks. Subsequent to the end of fiscal 2011, the Company elected to change its fiscal year to end on the Sunday nearest December 31.

Index

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

General

Magnetek, Inc. (“Magnetek,” the “Company,” “we,” or “us”) is a global provider of digital power control systems that are used to control motion and power primarily in material handling, elevator, and energy delivery applications. Magnetek was founded in 1984 and is listed on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE: MAG). Our products are sold directly or through manufacturers’ representatives to original equipment manufacturers (“OEMs”) for incorporation into their products, to system integrators and value-added resellers for assembly and incorporation into end-user systems, to distributors for resale to OEMs and contractors, and to end-users for repair and replacement purposes. We operate in a single segment, Digital Power Control Systems.

Magnetek’s systems consist primarily of programmable motion control and power conditioning systems used in the following applications: overhead cranes and hoists; elevators; coal mining equipment; and renewable energy sources. We are North America’s largest independent supplier of digital drives, radio controls, software and accessories for industrial cranes and hoists, and we are also the largest independent supplier of digital direct current (“DC”) motion control systems for elevators. Customers include most of the industrial crane and hoist companies in North America and the world’s leading elevator builders. Our operations are located in North America, predominantly in Menomonee Falls, Wisconsin, the location of our headquarters.

Our goal is to become solidly positioned in markets offering long-term stability, excellent growth potential and profitability. Our primary focus is on markets where we can apply both our industry expertise and our systems integration model to add value to our customers by improving their production, energy efficiency, manufacturing throughput or safety, while minimizing down time and maintenance costs. We believe U.S. industry is in the midst of a shift from electro-mechanical power controls to digital power controls, and believe we are positioned to take advantage of this shift through many of our product offerings. We also believe that wireless controls will be an area of strategic growth for us. To that end, in February 2008, we acquired the assets and business of Enrange, LLC, a wireless control manufacturer providing radio remote controls for material handling, hydraulic and other industries. The acquisition enhances our wireless technical expertise, expands our wireless control product portfolio, and allows us to penetrate additional markets. We will continue to pursue internal growth opportunities in our core product lines, look to expand our current business model geographically, and also pursue external growth through acquisitions in our served or related markets, adding products, technology, market opportunities or capabilities that complement our existing business. Our focus for the next 12 months will be directed toward aligning our resources and investments with the best growth opportunities, maximizing those opportunities through new product introductions and penetration of new markets. At the same time, we’ll strive to effectively manage our cost structure and our assets to optimize cash flow and profitability.

Product Offerings

Magnetek is a leading provider of innovative power control and delivery systems and solutions for overhead material handling applications used in industries such as: aerospace, automotive, steel, aluminum, paper, logging, mining, ship loading, nuclear power plants, locomotive yards, and heavy movable structures.

Our material handling products include drive systems, radio remote controls, and braking, collision-avoidance, and electrification subsystems, sold primarily to original equipment manufacturers of overhead cranes and hoists. We

Edgar Filing: MAGNETEK, INC. - Form 10-K

have a significant market share in North America in alternating current (“AC”) control systems and see revenue growth opportunities in DC control systems for retrofit applications and in wireless remote controls.

Magnetek also designs, builds, sells, and supports elevator application specific drive products and is recognized as a leader for DC high performance elevator drives, as well as AC drives for low and high performance traction elevators. Our elevator product offerings are comprised of highly integrated subsystems and drives used to control motion primarily in high-rise, high speed elevator applications. Our products are sold mainly to elevator OEMs and we have a significant share of the available market for DC drives and subsystems used in high-rise elevators. Magnetek elevator drives currently operate in many of the most recognizable high-rise buildings in the world. We believe opportunities for growth exist in available elevator markets through the introduction of new energy-saving product offerings, the expansion of the breadth of available product offerings to include competitive low-end products for lower performance AC applications, and the use of new product offerings to expand geographically.

Magnetek’s energy delivery product offerings include power inverters for fuel cells and wind turbines, which deliver AC power from these energy sources to the utility power grid. We believe there are revenue growth opportunities in the wind and solar markets which are expected to grow rapidly in North America as these renewable sources of power become increasingly competitive from a cost standpoint with more traditional methods of power generation. We also provide drives for underground coal mining equipment.

We intend to continue to build on our competitive strengths in established material handling and elevator markets and continue to invest in research and development to expand our product portfolio aimed at penetrating growing and emerging markets for digital power-based systems, such as renewable energy, particularly in the utility-scale solar market.

Index

Seasonality

Our power control systems for material handling applications represented nearly 54% of our annual revenue in fiscal 2011. Sales of these products tend to follow capital budgeting and spending patterns of the customer base. As a result, our revenues are generally strongest in fiscal quarters ending in June and December, with relatively lower revenues in the fiscal quarters ending in March and September.

Backlog

Our backlog as of the end of fiscal 2011 was \$21.0 million versus \$22.8 million at the end of fiscal 2010. The decrease in backlog is primarily due to a decrease of \$7.4 million in our backlog of wind inverters, partially offset by an increase of \$4.8 million in our backlog of material handling systems. While we use our backlog figure as an indicator of future sales activity, we have historically had a significant amount of revenue derived from orders that are booked and shipped within the same reporting period. We expect most of the orders in our backlog to be filled from July 2011 through December 2011.

Competition

Our primary competitors during fiscal 2011 included: Konecranes Inc., Cattron Group International, Conductix-Wampfler (a division of Delachaux Group), Control Techniques (a division of Emerson Electric), OMRON Corporation, Yaskawa, KEB GmbH, Fujitec, SMA, SatCon Technology, and Xantrex (a division of Schneider Electric). Some of these companies have substantially greater financial, marketing and other resources, larger product portfolios and greater brand recognition than us.

Competitive Strengths

We believe that we benefit most from competitive advantages in the following areas:

Technological Capabilities and Industry Expertise. We emphasize and leverage our ability to provide custom-designed and customized solutions for power and motion control applications through digital power-electronic technology. Our technical personnel possess substantial expertise in disciplines central to digital power systems and applications. These include analog-to-digital circuit design, thermal management technology, and the application of microprocessors, digital signal processors and software algorithms in the development of smart power products.

Customer Relationships. We have established long-term relationships with major manufacturers of cranes and hoists, elevators and mining equipment, among others. We believe that these relationships have resulted from our reliability and responsiveness, readiness to meet special customer requirements based on innovative technology and application expertise, and the quality and performance of our products.

Product Breadth and Systems Sales Channels. We provide a variety of products in each of our major product lines. For material handling customers, we serve as a one-stop source providing a full range of crane controls as well as subsystems, including radio controls, brakes and electrification products. We believe that our well established distribution network constitutes a significant competitive advantage in the North American material handling marketplace.

Competitive Weaknesses

Edgar Filing: MAGNETEK, INC. - Form 10-K

We consider our primary competitive weaknesses to be our limited size and financial resources. Based upon current plans and business conditions, we believe that available cash and short-term investments, borrowing capacity under our revolving credit facility, and internally generated cash flows will be sufficient to fund anticipated operational needs, capital expenditures and other commitments over the next 12 months. However, some of our competitors have substantially greater financial resources than us.

Suppliers and Raw Materials

Virtually all materials and components that we purchase are available from multiple suppliers. During fiscal 2011, raw material purchases accounted for approximately 76% of our total cost of sales. Production of digital power control systems depends heavily on various electronic components as well as steel and aluminum enclosures and wire harnesses. We seek to obtain competitive pricing on these raw materials by utilizing multiple suppliers and leveraging our total purchasing requirements.

Research and Development

Our research and development activities, which are conducted primarily in Menomonee Falls, Wisconsin, are directed toward developing new products, improving existing products and customizing or modifying products to meet customers' specific needs. Total research and development expenditures were approximately \$4.4 million, \$3.8 million and \$3.5 million for our 2011, 2010 and 2009 fiscal years, respectively.

Intellectual Property

Magnetek holds numerous patents, trademarks and copyrights, and we believe that we hold or license all of the patent, trademark, copyright and other intellectual property rights necessary to conduct our business. We generally rely upon patents, copyrights, trademarks and trade secret laws to establish and maintain our proprietary rights in our technology and products. There can be no assurance that any of our patents, trademarks or other intellectual property rights will not be challenged, invalidated or circumvented, or that any rights granted there under will provide competitive advantages to us. In addition, there can be no assurance that patents will be issued from pending patent applications filed by us, or that claims allowed on any future patents will be sufficiently broad to protect Magnetek's technology. Further, the laws of some foreign countries may not permit the protection of our proprietary rights to the same extent as do the laws of the United States. Although we believe the protection afforded by our patents, patent applications, trademarks and copyrights have value, Magnetek's future success will depend primarily on the innovative skills, technological expertise, research and development and management capabilities of our employees rather than on patent, copyright, and trademark protection.

Index

International Operations

International sales accounted for 11% of our net sales in fiscal 2011. We define international sales as sales of products manufactured by our facilities outside the U.S. that are sold outside of the U.S., as well as sales of products manufactured in the U.S. to purchasers outside of the U.S. For our 2011, 2010 and 2009 fiscal years, revenues derived from domestic sales were \$97.6 million, \$71.1 million and \$84.4 million respectively, and revenues derived from international sales were \$12.2 million, \$9.5 million and \$13.8 million, respectively. We hold assets in Canada and the United Kingdom totaling \$5.2 million, of which \$3.4 million are held in Canada and \$1.8 million are in the United Kingdom.

Employee Relations

As of August 1, 2011, we had 120 salaried employees and 191 hourly employees, none of whom were covered by collective bargaining agreements with unions. We believe that our relationships with our employees are favorable.

Available Information

Our Internet website address is www.magnetek.com. Our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and any amendments to these reports that are filed by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 are available free of charge at or through our website.

Environmental Matters

From time to time, Magnetek has taken action to bring certain facilities associated with previously owned businesses into compliance with applicable environmental laws and regulations. Upon the subsequent sale of certain businesses, we agreed to indemnify the buyers against environmental claims associated with the divested operations, subject to certain conditions and limitations. Remediation activities, including those related to our indemnification obligations, did not involve material expenditures during fiscal years 2011, 2010 or 2009.

We have been identified by the United States Environmental Protection Agency and certain state agencies as a potentially responsible party for cleanup costs associated with alleged past waste disposal practices at several previously utilized, owned or leased facilities and offsite locations. Our remediation activities as a potentially responsible party were not material in fiscal years 2011, 2010 or 2009. Although the materiality of future expenditures for environmental activities may be affected by the level and type of contamination, the extent and nature of cleanup activities required by governmental authorities, the nature of our alleged connection to the contaminated sites, the number and financial resources of other potentially responsible parties, the availability of indemnification rights against third parties and the identification of additional contaminated sites, our estimated share of liability, if any, for environmental remediation, including our indemnification obligations, is not expected to be material.

For a discussion of environmental-related litigation matters in which we are engaged, please refer to Item 3 - "Legal Proceedings" of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Index

Supplemental Information-Executive Officers of the Company

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the current executive officers of the Company, each of whom serves a one year term of office, as appointed by the Board of Directors.

| Name | Age | Position |
|--------------------|-----|---|
| Peter M. McCormick | 51 | Director, President and Chief Executive Officer |
| Marty J. Schwenner | 50 | Vice President and Chief Financial Officer |
| Scott S. Cramer | 59 | Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary |
| Michael J. Stauber | 37 | Vice President, Corporate Controller |
| Hungsun S. Hui | 48 | Vice President, Operations |

Peter McCormick has been President and Chief Executive Officer of Magnetek since October 2008. Prior to that, Mr. McCormick served as Chief Operating Officer of Magnetek since November 2006 and served as the Executive Vice President responsible for the Company's Power Control Systems Group since 2002. Prior to that, he served as the President of the Company's Industrial Controls Group from 1999 until 2002. Since joining the Company in 1996, Mr. McCormick has also served as the Vice President of Operations for the Company's drives group from 1998 until 1999 and as Vice President of the custom products business group from 1996 until 1998.

Marty Schwenner has been Chief Financial Officer of Magnetek since November 2006. Mr. Schwenner has served as a Vice President of the Company since 2003 and was Controller of the Company from 2002 until November 2006. Mr. Schwenner was Vice President of Finance for the Company's power electronics group from 1998 until 2002. Mr. Schwenner also served as the Chief Financial Officer of the Company's European operations from 1992 to 1998 and as Internal Audit Manager from 1991 until 1992. Mr. Schwenner joined Magnetek as an Internal Auditor in 1989. Mr. Schwenner is a Certified Public Accountant and a Certified Internal Auditor.

Scott Cramer has been Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary of Magnetek since March 2010. Prior to joining Magnetek, Mr. Cramer served as Senior Vice President and General Counsel with Bucyrus International, Inc. in South Milwaukee, WI from 2006 until 2010. From 2005 to 2006, Mr. Cramer was Senior Legal Counsel with Regal Beloit Corporation following private practice from 2004 to 2005. Mr. Cramer served as Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary from 1997 until 2004 with Superior Services, Inc. following his tenure with Browning-Ferris Industries in Houston, TX and Utrecht, The Netherlands, where he served respectively as Senior Counsel and EMEA General Counsel from 1984 to 1997.

Michael Stauber has been Vice President, Corporate Controller since February 2011. Prior to that, Mr. Stauber served as Operations Controller for the Company since November 2007 and prior thereto served as Finance Manager of the Company's Power Control Division since joining the Company in December 2004. Prior to joining Magnetek, from August 1995 to December 2004, Mr. Stauber was with Rockwell Automation in a variety of financial roles of increasing responsibility.

Hungsun Hui has served as Vice President, Operations since February 2001. Mr. Hui previously held the positions of Magnetek's Vice President, Engineering from June 1999 to January 2001, and Magnetek's Director of Advance Manufacturing from March 1998 to June 1999. Prior to joining Magnetek, from June 1985 to February 1998, Mr. Hui

Edgar Filing: MAGNETEK, INC. - Form 10-K

was with Rockwell Automation in a variety of operational roles of increasing responsibility.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

The information called for by this Item 1A is hereby incorporated by reference to the section of the Company's 2011 Annual Report entitled "Risk Factors."

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

Not applicable.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

Magnetek's headquarters and each of our manufacturing facilities for the continuing operations of the Company are listed below, each of which is leased.

| Location | Lease Term | Approximate Size (Sq.Ft.) | Principal Use |
|----------------------------|------------|---------------------------|---|
| Menomonee Falls, Wisconsin | 2015 | 155,000 | Power control systems manufacturing and corporate headquarters |
| Mississauga, Canada | 2016 | 11,180 | Power control systems manufacturing |
| Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania | 2012 | 9,000 | Power control systems manufacturing |
| Canonsburg, Pennsylvania | 2012 | 5,000 | Power control systems manufacturing |

We believe our facilities are in satisfactory condition and are adequate for our continuing operations.

Index

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are involved from time to time in legal actions for product liability and other matters that arise in the ordinary course of our business. We are also involved in legal actions associated with our discontinued business operations, including product liability, asbestos-related liability, patent matters, and environmental proceedings relating to cleanup costs associated with alleged past waste disposal practices at several previously utilized, owned or leased facilities and offsite locations. It is not possible to predict with certainty the outcome of any of these unresolved legal actions or proceedings or the range of possible loss or recovery. A more detailed discussion of these matters appears in Note 11 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, including a discussion of whether or not these unresolved matters will have a material impact on our financial position or results of operations.

Litigation—Product Liability

In August 2006, Pamela L. Carney, Administrator of the Estate of Michael J. Carney, filed a lawsuit in the Court of Common Pleas of Westmoreland County, Pennsylvania, against us and other defendants, alleging that a product manufactured by our Telemotive Industrial Controls business that we acquired in December 2002 contributed to an accident that resulted in the death of Michael J. Carney in August 2004. The claim has been tendered to our insurance carrier and legal counsel has been retained to represent us. We are defending the action on the basis of findings that the operator/owner of the product, Alleghany Ludlum Corporation, improperly maintained or modified the product, which led to its alleged failure. In March 2010, our primary carrier, Travelers, denied coverage under a reservation of rights. This followed our excess coverage carrier, AIG/AISLIC, denying coverage in June 2009. Travelers has agreed to continue to pay defense counsel to defend the case and has authorized defense counsel to undertake the defense of the “pass through” vendor PDS. Plaintiff’s claim for damages is unknown at this time. The case is in the discovery phase and no trial date has been set.

We have been named, along with multiple other defendants, in asbestos-related lawsuits associated with business operations we previously acquired, but which are no longer owned. During our ownership, none of the businesses produced or sold asbestos-containing products. With respect to these claims, we believe that we have no such liability. For such claims, we are uninsured, contractually indemnified against liability, or contractually obligated to defend and indemnify the purchaser of these former Magnetek business operations. We aggressively seek dismissal from these proceedings. Management does not believe the asbestos proceedings, individually or in the aggregate, will have a material adverse effect on its financial position or results of operations.

We also filed claims in the Federal-Mogul bankruptcy proceedings to recover attorney’s fees for the defense of asbestos-related claims. In May 2007, we entered into a settlement agreement with Federal Mogul under which we were entitled to receive amounts from a settlement trust established under Federal-Mogul’s reorganization plan and funded by insurance proceeds. We were entitled to receive 15% of the first \$20 million and 10% of the next \$25 million of insurance proceeds, up to a maximum of \$5.5 million, in exchange for withdrawing our bankruptcy claims and objections to the reorganization plan and execution of certain releases. In January 2009, we received a payment of \$1.0 million under the settlement agreement, which brought the total proceeds received under the settlement agreement to \$5.5 million, the maximum amount to which we were entitled. The consolidated statements of operations include \$0.5 million of income from the settlement trust in results of discontinued operations for fiscal year 2009. This amount represents primarily the recovery of previously incurred legal fees for the defense of these asbestos related lawsuits. Several insurance carriers filed a declaratory judgment action relating to insurance coverage for such previously acquired businesses, seeking a determination that no coverage is available under the policies. Federal-Mogul, us and other defendants filed responsive pleadings and motions relating to the case, and the court granted the motions to stay the declaratory judgment action. Some of these insurers appealed such ruling but the ruling was upheld on appeal in November 2008. Management does not believe the asbestos proceedings, individually or in

the aggregate, will have a material adverse effect on its financial position or results of operations.

Given the nature of the above issues, uncertainty of the ultimate outcome, and inability to estimate the potential loss, no amounts have been reserved for these matters.

Litigation—Patent Infringement and Related Proceedings

In August 2008, we filed a complaint in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois, County Department, Law Division, against Kirkland & Ellis, LLP (“K&E”). The lawsuit involves a claim for breach of professional responsibility arising out of K&E’s representation of Magnetek in the patent infringement action, Ole K. Nilssen v Magnetek, Inc. We allege that, as a result of K&E’s negligent breach of professional duty in failing to discover or investigate the existence of prior art and prior misconduct which would have made Nilssen’s patent claim unenforceable or invalidated his patent, we suffered an arbitration award and judgment in the amount of \$23.4 million, which judgment was ultimately settled by the payment to Nilssen of \$18.75 million. We are seeking damages in the amount of \$18.75 million, reimbursement of reasonable costs and attorneys fees incurred in the proceeding to vacate the arbitration award and settlement thereof, and costs incurred in connection with this lawsuit. On April 5, 2010,

Index

the Circuit Court of Cook County dismissed the complaint against K&E for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. The Court relied upon a then recent Illinois appellate decision in which the Court held that attorney malpractice cases arising out of the prosecution or defense of federal patent claims raised federal questions for which the federal courts have exclusive jurisdiction. An appeal has been taken to the Illinois Appellate Court. On April 7, 2010, we filed a substantially identical complaint in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois. The new federal complaint seeks damages in the amount of \$18.8 million, plus any additional damages as may be warranted by the evidence introduced at trial. On June 7, 2010, K&E entered a motion in federal court to have our complaint dismissed as being “time-barred” or filed beyond the applicable two year statute of limitations. We filed its responsive brief on July 15, 2010, arguing, among other things, that the doctrine of equitable tolling applies effectively suspending the running of the statute of limitations. On June 30, 2011, the Illinois Appellate Court reversed the Circuit Court of Cook County and remanded the case to the trial court. K&E filed a petition for rehearing with the Appellate Court which request was denied on July 28, 2011.

As we previously reported, Universal Lighting Technologies, Inc. (“ULT”) and Nilssen entered into a consent judgment in April 2008, for dismissal, on collateral estoppel grounds, of the patent infringement lawsuit filed by Nilssen against ULT. We had provided the defense in the lawsuit pursuant to an indemnification claim from ULT subject to the terms of the sale agreement under which ULT purchased Magnetek’s lighting business in 2003. In September 2009, Nilssen and ULT entered into a settlement agreement relating to attorney’s fees. Under the settlement agreement, Nilssen paid to Magnetek an amount of \$0.75 million as attorney’s fees as well as a nominal amount for costs. However, if Nilssen files a Rule 60 Motion and is successful such that ULT ceases to be the “prevailing party” and is no longer entitled to attorney’s fees, then we are obligated to refund the \$0.75 million attorney’s fees settlement amount. As such, the amount paid to us represents a contingent gain and accordingly, is included in accrued liabilities in the consolidated balance sheet as of July 3, 2011. At present, further proceedings are stayed pending the outcome of separate appellate matters.

Litigation—Other

In November 2007, a lawsuit was filed by Antonio Canova in Italy, in the Court of Arezzo, Labor Law Section, against us and Power-One Italy, S.p.A. Mr. Canova is a former Executive Vice President of Magnetek and was Deputy Chairman and Managing Director of our former Italian subsidiary, Magnetek S.p.A. Mr. Canova asserted claims for damages in the amount of 3.5 million Euros (approximately US\$4.9 million) allegedly incurred in connection with the termination of his employment at the time of the sale of our power electronics business to Power-One, Inc. in October 2006. The claims against us relate to a change of control agreement and restricted stock grant. We believe the claim is without merit and intend to vigorously defend against it.

In October 2010, we received a request for indemnification from Power-One, Inc. (“Power-One”) for an Italian tax matter arising out of the sale of our power electronics group to Power-One in October 2006. With a reservation of rights, we affirmed our obligation to indemnify Power-One for certain pre-closing taxes. The sale included our Italian company, Magnetek, S.p.A., and its wholly owned subsidiary, Magnetek Electronics (Shenzhen) Co. Ltd. (the “Power-One China Subsidiary”). The tax authority in Arezzo, Italy, issued a notice of audit report in September 2010 wherein it asserted that the Power-One China Subsidiary had its administrative headquarters in Italy with fiscal residence in Italy and, therefore, is subject to taxation in Italy. In November 2010, the tax authority issued a notice of tax assessment for the period of July 2003 to June 2004, alleging that taxes of approximately 1.9 million Euros (approximately US\$2.7 million) were due in Italy on taxable income earned by the Power-One China Subsidiary during this period. In addition, the assessment alleges potential penalties calculated at 120% of the tax amount claimed together with interest in the amount of approximately 2.6 million Euros (or approximately US\$3.7 million) for the alleged failure of the Power-One China Subsidiary to file its Italian tax return. The Power-One China Subsidiary filed its response with the provincial tax commission of Arezzo, Italy in January 2011. The tax authority in

Edgar Filing: MAGNETEK, INC. - Form 10-K

Arezzo, Italy issued a tax inspection report in January 2011 for the periods July 2002 to June 2003 and July 2004 to December 2006 claiming that the Power-One China Subsidiary failed to file Italian tax returns for the reported periods. We believe the Italian tax claims are without merit and intend to vigorously defend against them.

Litigation - Environmental Matters

From time to time, we have taken action to bring certain facilities associated with previously owned businesses into compliance with applicable environmental laws and regulations. Upon the subsequent sale of certain businesses, we agreed to indemnify the buyers against environmental claims associated with the divested operations, subject to certain conditions and limitations. Remediation activities, including those related to our indemnification obligations, did not involve material expenditures during fiscal years 2011, 2010 or 2009.

We have also been identified by the United States Environmental Protection Agency and certain state agencies as a potentially responsible party for cleanup costs associated with alleged past waste disposal practices at several previously utilized, owned or leased facilities and offsite locations. Our remediation activities as a potentially responsible party were not material in fiscal years 2011, 2010 or 2009. Although the materiality of future expenditures for environmental activities may be affected by the level and type of contamination, the extent and nature of cleanup activities required by governmental authorities, the nature of our alleged connection to the contaminated sites, the number and financial resources of other potentially responsible parties, the availability of indemnification rights against third parties and the identification of additional contaminated sites, our estimated share of liability, if any, for environmental remediation, including our indemnification obligations, is not expected to be material.

Index

Bridgeport, Connecticut Facility

In 1986, we acquired the stock of Universal Manufacturing Company (“Universal”) from a predecessor of Fruit of the Loom (“FOL”), and the predecessor agreed to indemnify us against certain environmental liabilities arising from pre-acquisition activities at a facility in Bridgeport, Connecticut. Environmental liabilities covered by the indemnification agreement included completion of additional cleanup activities, if any, at the Bridgeport facility and defense and indemnification against liability for potential response costs related to offsite disposal locations. Our leasehold interest in the Bridgeport facility was assigned to the buyer in connection with the sale of our transformer business in June 2001. FOL, the successor to the indemnification obligation, filed a petition for Reorganization under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code in 1999 and we filed a proof of claim in the proceeding for obligations related to the environmental indemnification agreement. We believe that FOL had substantially completed the clean-up obligations required by the indemnification agreement prior to the bankruptcy filing. In November 2001, we entered into an agreement with FOL involving the allocation of certain potential tax benefits and we withdrew our claims in the bankruptcy proceeding. We further believe that FOL’s obligation to the state of Connecticut was not discharged in the reorganization proceeding.

In October 2006, Sergy Company, LLC (“Sergy”), the owner of the Bridgeport facility, filed a lawsuit in Superior Court, Fairfield, Connecticut alleging that we are obligated to remediate environmental contamination at the facility. The case was transferred to the Complex Litigation Docket, Waterbury, Connecticut. Sergy filed an amended complaint alleging a breach of lease obligations and violation of Connecticut environmental statutory requirements, which allegations were denied in our amended answer, affirmative defenses and counterclaims. Sergy amended its complaint to include additional claims against us under the Connecticut Transfer Act. In January 2011, we reached an agreement in principle with Sergy to resolve the lawsuit, including a payment by us to Sergy in the amount of \$85 thousand. The settlement is contingent upon reducing the agreed terms to writing and obtaining certain approvals to support ongoing groundwater remedial measures.

In January 2007, the Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection (“DEP”) requested parties, including us, to submit reports summarizing the investigations and remediation performed to date at the site and the proposed additional investigations and remediation necessary to complete those actions at the site. DEP requested additional information from us relating to site investigations and remediation. We retained an environmental consultant to review and prepare reports on historical operations and environmental activities at the Bridgeport facility. In November 2009, we submitted our site summary report and proposed work plan to the DEP and in October 2010 submitted a revised work plan to the DEP. The DEP agreed to the scope of our work plan in November 2010. We have recorded a liability of \$0.4 million related to the Bridgeport facility, representing our best estimate of future site investigation costs and remediation costs which are expected to be incurred in the future. The liability is included in accrued liabilities in the consolidated balance sheet as of July 3, 2011.

In April 2008, the Commissioner of Environmental Protection (“CTCEP”) filed an action in Superior Court, Judicial District of Hartford-New Britain at Hartford seeking injunctive relief against Sergy and us, which action was commenced after Sergy cut off power to the Bridgeport facility, thereby disabling a groundwater pump and treatment system previously installed by FOL and currently operated by us. Although we entered into a stipulation with Sergy relating to the start-up and operation of the groundwater pump and treatment system, the CTCEP filed a request to amend the complaint to assert additional claims and to seek further remedies, including injunctive relief and civil penalties, for alleged failure to investigate and remediate pollution under the Connecticut Transfer Act. In September, 2008 the Hartford Court ordered the case transferred to the Waterbury Court. The lawsuit against us was settled with the CTCEP by means of a stipulation for judgment in compromise and settlement which was approved by the Court in November 2010. The stipulation, which included payment by us to the CTCEP of \$5 thousand, resolves all liability for past activities and requires us to conduct limited additional investigation pursuant to an approved work plan.

Edgar Filing: MAGNETEK, INC. - Form 10-K

FOL's inability to satisfy its remaining obligations to the state of Connecticut related to the Bridgeport facility and any offsite disposal locations, or in the event a final settlement cannot be achieved and an unfavorable ruling in the lawsuit with the owner of the Bridgeport facility, or the discovery of additional environmental contamination at the Bridgeport facility could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, cash flows or results of operations.

ITEM 4. REMOVED AND RESERVED

Index

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

The following table sets forth the high and low sales prices of our common stock during each quarter of fiscal 2011 and 2010:

| Fiscal Year 2011 | High | Low |
|------------------|---------|---------|
| First Quarter | \$ 1.36 | \$ 0.84 |
| Second Quarter | 1.47 | 1.11 |
| Third Quarter | 2.60 | 1.34 |
| Fourth Quarter | 2.35 | 1.43 |

| Fiscal Year 2010 | High | Low |
|------------------|---------|---------|
| First Quarter | \$ 1.75 | \$ 1.32 |
| Second Quarter | 2.01 | 1.20 |
| Third Quarter | 1.75 | 1.34 |
| Fourth Quarter | 2.15 | 0.99 |

Our common stock is listed for trading on the New York Stock Exchange under the ticker symbol "MAG." As of August 10, 2011, there were 163 record holders of Magnetek's common stock.

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

The information required by this item will be contained in our 2011 Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

Stock Performance Graph – Return to Shareholders

The table and line graph shown below compare the cumulative total return for the last five years to holders of Magnetek common stock with the cumulative total return of the Russell 2000 Index and the NASDAQ Electronics Components index.

The table and line graph below assume an investment of \$100 in the Company's common stock and in each of the comparison groups beginning June 30, 2006, and assumes the reinvestment of all dividends. The stock price performance information shown below should not be considered indicative of potential future stock price performance.

| | | | | | |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Jun-06 | Jun-07 | Jun-08 | Jun-09 | Jun-10 | Jun-11 |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|

Edgar Filing: MAGNETEK, INC. - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|-------|-------|--------|
| Magnetek, Inc. | 100.00 | 190.74 | 156.67 | 51.48 | 34.07 | 67.41 |
| Russell 2000 | 100.00 | 116.43 | 97.58 | 73.17 | 88.89 | 122.15 |
| NASDAQ Electronic Components | 100.00 | 116.80 | 106.44 | 77.55 | 93.33 | 116.37 |
| | | | | | | |

Index

We have not paid any cash dividends on our common stock and do not anticipate paying cash dividends in the near future. Our ability to pay dividends on our common stock is restricted by provisions in our 2007 revolving loan agreement, which provides that we may not declare or pay any dividend or make any distribution with respect to our capital stock.

There were no unregistered sales of equity securities during fiscal year 2011.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The information called for by this Item 6 is hereby incorporated by reference to the section of the Company's 2011 Annual Report entitled "Selected Financial Data."

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The information called for by this Item 7 is hereby incorporated by reference to the section of the Company's 2011 Annual Report entitled "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations."

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The information called for by this Item 7A is hereby incorporated by reference to the section of the Company's 2011 Annual Report entitled "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk."

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

The information called for by this Item 8 is hereby incorporated by reference to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements and the corresponding Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm in the Company's 2011 Annual Report.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

Magnetek had no disagreements with its independent accountants in fiscal 2011 with respect to accounting and financial disclosure, and has not changed its independent accountants during the two most recent fiscal years.

Index

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, under the supervision of and with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”), as of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Based on such evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of July 3, 2011.

(b) Management’s Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) of the Exchange Act) to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of our financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Our internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the Company’s assets that could have a material effect on the Company’s financial statements.

Our management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of July 3, 2011, the end of our fiscal year. Our management’s assessment was based on the criteria set forth in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

Based on the assessment, our management has concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of July 3, 2011, the end of our fiscal year. This annual report does not include an attestation report of the Company’s registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management’s report was not subject to attestation by the Company’s registered public accounting firm pursuant to rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission that permit the Company to provide only management’s report in this annual report.

Because of inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting, no matter how well designed, may not prevent or detect misstatements. Therefore, even effective internal control over financial reporting can only provide reasonable assurance with respect to the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation and presentation of financial statements. Also, projections of any evaluation about the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate due to changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

(c) Changes in Controls and Procedures

Edgar Filing: MAGNETEK, INC. - Form 10-K

No change in internal control over financial reporting occurred during the period ended July 3, 2011, that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, such internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

No other information is required to be reported for matters not disclosed on Form 8-K during the period ended July 3, 2011.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The information called for by this Item 10 is hereby incorporated by reference to the sections of the Company's 2011 Proxy Statement entitled "Proposal No. 1 – Election of Board of Directors," "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance," "Corporate Governance Principles," "Standing Committees of the Board" and by reference to Part I of this Annual Report on Form 10-K under the heading "Supplemental Information – Executive Officers of the Company."

Supplemental Information - Code of Business Conduct and Ethics

We have adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics ("Code of Ethics") for all of our directors and employees that contains portions specifically applicable to executives and officers of the Company, including the Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Financial Officer, the Controller and employees performing financial functions for the Company. The Code of Ethics is posted on Magnetek's website at www.magnetek.com. A copy of the Code of Ethics is available, without charge, to any shareholder who sends a written request to our Corporate Secretary at N49 W13650 Campbell Drive, Menomonee Falls, Wisconsin, 53051. We intend to satisfy the disclosure requirements of Form 8-K regarding any amendment to, or waiver of, a provision of the Code of Ethics by posting such information on our website, at the web address and location specified above.

Index

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information called for by this Item 11 is hereby incorporated by reference to the section of the Company's 2011 Proxy Statement entitled "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" and the tables, narrative and notes relating to Executive and Director compensation, "Summary Compensation Table," "All Other Compensation Table," "Grants of Plan-Based Awards in Fiscal Year Table," "Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End Table," "Option Exercises and Stock Vested for Fiscal Year Table," "Pension Benefits for Fiscal Year Table," "Employment, Severance and Change in Control Agreements and Other Arrangements Table," "Director Compensation for Fiscal Year Table," "Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation" and "Compensation Committee Report."

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The information called for by this Item 12 is hereby incorporated by reference to the sections of the Company's 2011 Proxy Statement entitled "Equity Compensation Plan Information Table" and "Beneficial Ownership of Magnetek, Inc. Common Stock by Directors, Officers and Certain Other Owners."

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information required by this Item 13 is hereby incorporated by reference to the sections of the Company's 2011 Proxy Statement entitled "Proposal 1 – Election of Directors," "Relationships and Related Transactions," and "Corporate Governance Principles."

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The information called for by this Item 14 is hereby incorporated by reference to the section of the Company's 2011 Proxy Statement entitled "Proposal No. 2 – Ratification of the Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm."

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a) Index to Consolidated Financial Statements, Consolidated Financial Statement Schedules and Exhibits:

| | Annual Report to Stockholders | Page |
|---|-------------------------------------|------|
| 1.Consolidated Financial Statements | | |
| Consolidated Statements of Operations for Years Ended July 3, 2011, June 27, 2010 and June 28, 2009 | 15 | |
| Consolidated Balance Sheets at July 3, 2011 and June 27, 2010 | 16 | |

Edgar Filing: MAGNETEK, INC. - Form 10-K

| | |
|--|----|
| Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for | 17 |
| Years Ended July 3, 2011, June 27, 2010 and June 28, 2009 | |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for | |
| Years Ended July 3, 2011, June 27, 2010 and June 28, 2009 | 18 |
| Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | 19 |
| Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | 36 |

All other financial statement schedules have been omitted because of the absence of conditions under which they are required or applicable, or because the information required is included in the Consolidated Financial Statements and related notes.

Index

3. Exhibit Index

The following exhibits are filed as part of this Annual Report Form 10-K, or are incorporated herein by reference. Where an exhibit is incorporated by reference, the number which precedes the description of the exhibit indicates the documents to which the cross-reference is made.

| Exhibit No. | Note | Description of Exhibit |
|-------------|------|--|
| 3.1 | | (1) Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company, as filed with the Delaware Secretary of State on November 21, 1989. |
| 3.2 | | (2) Magnetek, Inc. Amended and Restated By-laws. |
| 4.1 | | (3) Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of April 29, 1991, by and among the Company, Andrew G. Galef, Frank Perna, Jr. and the other entities named therein. |
| 4.2 | | (4) Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of June 28, 1996, by and between the Company and U.S. Trust Company of California, N.A. |
| 4.3 | | (13) Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of June 26, 2002, by and between the Company and U. S. Trust Company N.A. |
| 4.4 | | (7) Rights Agreement, dated as of April 30, 2003, by and between the Company and The Bank of New York, as Rights Agent. |
| 4.5 | | (13) Agreement for Registration Rights, dated as of September 15, 2003, by and between the Company and SEI Private Trust Company. |
| 4.6 | | (16) Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of October 3, 2003, by and between the Company and each B. Riley Investor. |
| 10.1* | | (5) Second Amended and Restated 1989 Incentive Stock Compensation Plan of Magnetek, Inc. (“1989 Plan”). |
| 10.2* | | (4) Amendment No. 1 to 1989 Plan. |
| 10.3* | | (4) Standard Terms and Conditions Relating to Non-Qualified Stock Options, revised as of July 24, 1996, pertaining to the 1989 Plan. |
| 10.4* | | (4) Form of Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement Pursuant to the Second Amended and Restated 1989 Incentive Stock Compensation Plan of the Company. |
| 10.5* | | (22) Magnetek, Inc. Amended and Restated 1997 Non-Employee Director Stock Option Plan. |
| 10.6* | | (3) 1991 Discretionary Director Incentive Compensation Plan of the Company. |
| 10.7* | | (22) Amended and Restated 1999 Stock Incentive Plan of Magnetek, Inc. (the “1999 Plan”) |
| 10.8* | | (22) Amended and Restated 2000 Employee Stock Plan of Magnetek, Inc. (the “2000 Plan”). |
| 10.9* | | (6) Standard Terms and Conditions Relating to Non-Qualified Stock Options, effective as of October 19, 1999, pertaining to the 1999 Plan and the 2000 Plan. |
| 10.10* | | (27) Magnetek, Inc. Amended and Restated Director and Officer Compensation and Deferral Investment Plan. |
| 10.11* | | (8) Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement, dated as of January 27, 1997, by and between the Company and David P. Reiland. |
| 10.12* | | (9) Change of Control Agreement, dated as of October 20, 1998, by and between David P. Reiland and the Company. |
| 10.13* | | (11) Change of Control Agreement, dated as of December 11, 2002, by and between Peter McCormick and the Company. |
| 10.14* | | (13) Change of Control Agreement, dated as of July 29, 2003, by and between Marty Schwenner and the Company. |
| 10.15* | | (22) |

Edgar Filing: MAGNETEK, INC. - Form 10-K

Amended Form of Change of Control Agreement for David P. Reiland, Peter M. McCormick and Marty J. Schwenner, effective as of January 1, 2008.

- 10.16* (23) Amended Form of Change of Control Agreement for David P. Reiland, Peter M. McCormick and Marty J. Schwenner, effective as of January 1, 2009.
- 10.17 (10) Tax Agreement, dated as of February 12, 1986, by and between the Company and Farley Northwest Industries, Inc.
- 10.18 (14) Contract, dated as of July 10, 2003, for Current Account Credit With Mortgage Lien Pursuant to Article 38 and Subsequent Articles of Legislative Decree No. 385/1993 (Republic of Italy).
- 10.19 (15) Joinder Agreement, dated as of April 23, 2004, by and among the Company, SEI Private Trust Company and LaSalle Bank, N.A.
- 10.20 (18) Agreement for the Sale of Magnetek, Inc. Power Electronics Group, dated as of September 28, 2006, by and between the Company and Power-One, Inc.
- 10.21* (19) Incentive Bonus Agreement, dated as of January 5, 2007, by and between the Company and David P. Reiland.
- 10.22 (20) Settlement Agreement and Release, dated as of May 1, 2007, by and between the Company and Samsung Electro-Mechanics Co.
- 10.23 (21) Settlement Agreement, dated as of May 24, 2007, by and among the Company, Magnetek Controls, Inc., Magnetek National Electric Coil, Inc., Federal-Mogul Corporation, Federal-Mogul Products, Inc., and certain other parties thereto.

Index

- 10.24 (12)Lease of Menomonee Falls, Wisconsin facility, dated as of July 23, 1999.
- 10.25 (2) Industrial Building Lease dated as of November 26, 2006, and Amendment of Industrial Building Lease dated as of April 5, 2007, by and between the Company and W.C. Bradley Co.
- 10.26 (24)Revolving Credit Agreement dated as of November 6, 2007, by and between the Company and Associated Bank, N.A.
- 10.27 (25)Asset Purchase Agreement dated February 4, 2008 by and among Magnetek, Inc., Enrange LLC, W. Christopher Dulin, William Gibson and David Ashburn.
- 10.28 (26)Settlement Agreement, dated as of June 12, 2008, by and among Magnetek, Inc., Ole K. Nilssen and Geo Foundation, Ltd.
- 10.29* (30)Transition, Separation and Complete Release Agreement by and between the Company and David P. Reiland dated as of February 5, 2009.
- 10.30* (28)Form of Retention Agreement for named executive officer Scott S. Cramer.
- 10.31 (29)First Amendment to Credit Agreement dated as of December 15, 2008 by and between the Company and Associated Bank, N.A.
- 10.32* (30)Advisory Services Agreement by and between the Company and David A. Bloss, Sr. dated as of December 15, 2008.
- 10.33* (31)Amendment to Advisory Services Agreement by and between the Company and David A. Bloss, Sr., dated as of August 28, 2009.
- 10.34 (32)Transition, Separation and Complete Release Agreement by and between the Company and Jolene A. Shellman, dated as of March 2, 2010.
- 10.35* (33)Second Amended and Restated 2004 Stock Incentive Plan of Magnetek, Inc. (the "2004 Plan").
- 10.36* (30)Amended Form of Restricted Stock Agreement Pursuant to Amended and Restated 2004 Stock Incentive Plan of Magnetek, Inc.
- 10.37* (34)Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement Pursuant to 2004 Plan.
- 10.38* (35)Standard Terms and Conditions Relating to Non-Qualified Options for the 2004 Plan.
- 21.1 ** Subsidiaries of the Registrant as of June 29, 2008.
- 23.1 ** Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
- 31.1 ** Certification Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. Section 7241.
- 31.2 ** Certification Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. Section 7241.
- 32.1 ** Certifications Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.

* Indicates a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement

** Filed with this Form 10-K.

- (1) Previously filed with the Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on August 1, 1991, Commission File No. 33-41854, and incorporated herein by this reference.
- (2) Previously filed with Form 8-K filed August 4, 2011, and incorporated herein by this reference.
- (3) Previously filed with Form 10-K for Fiscal Year ended June 30, 1991, and incorporated herein by this reference.
- (4) Previously filed with Form 10-K for Fiscal Year ended June 30, 1996, and incorporated herein by this reference.
- (5) Previously filed with Form 10-Q for quarter ended December 31, 1994, and incorporated herein by this reference.
- (6) Previously filed with Form 10-Q/A for quarter ended September 30, 1999, and incorporated herein by this reference.
- (7) Previously filed with Form 8-K filed May 12, 2003, and incorporated herein by this reference.
- (8) Previously filed with Form 10-Q for quarter ended March 31, 1997, and incorporated herein by this reference.

Edgar Filing: MAGNETEK, INC. - Form 10-K

- (9) Previously filed with Form 10-Q for quarter ended December 31, 1998, and incorporated herein by this reference
- (10) Previously filed with Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement of Form S-1 filed on February 14, 1986, Commission File No. 002-97500, and incorporated herein by this reference.
- (11) Previously filed with Form 10-Q for Quarter ended December 31, 2002, and incorporated herein by this reference.
- (12) Previously filed with Form 10-K for Fiscal Year ended June 27, 1999, and incorporated herein by this reference
- (13) Previously filed with Form 10-Q for quarter ended September 30, 2003, and incorporated herein by this reference.
- (14) Previously filed with Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on November 13, 2003, Commission File No. 333-110460, and incorporated herein by this reference.
- (15) Previously filed with Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on May 21, 2004, Commission File No. 333-115724, and incorporated herein by this reference.
- (16) Previously filed with Form 8-K dated October 21, 2003, and incorporated herein by this reference.

Index

- (18) Previously filed with Form 10-K for Fiscal Year ended July 2, 2006, and incorporated herein by this reference.
- (19) Previously filed with Form 8-K filed January 11, 2007, and incorporated herein by this reference.
- (20) Previously filed with Form 8-K filed May 1, 2007, and incorporated herein by this reference.
- (21) Previously filed with Form 8-K filed June 4, 2007, and incorporated herein by this reference.
- (22) Previously filed with Form 10-Q for quarter ended December 30, 2007, and incorporated herein by this reference.
- (23) Previously filed with Form 8-K filed August 8, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.
- (24) Previously filed with Form 8-K filed November 7, 2007, and incorporated herein by this reference.
- (25) Previously filed with Form 8-K filed February 2, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.
- (26) Previously filed with Form 8-K filed June 12, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.
- (27) Previously filed with Form 8-K filed August 5, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.
- (28) Previously filed with Form 8-K filed February 9, 2009, and incorporated herein by this reference.
- (29) Previously filed with Form 8-K filed December 18, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.
- (30) Previously filed with Form 10-Q for quarter ended December 28, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference.
- (31) Previously filed with Form 10-Q for quarter ended September 27, 2009, and incorporated herein by this reference.
- (32) Previously filed with Form 8-K filed March 3, 2010, and incorporated herein by this reference.
- (33) Previously filed with Company's Proxy Statement dated September 6, 2009, for the 2009 Annual Meeting of the Shareholders, and incorporated herein by this reference.
- (34) Previously filed with Form 10-Q for quarter ended December 27, 2009, and incorporated herein by this reference.
- (35) Previously filed with Form 10-K for Fiscal Year ended June 27, 2010, and incorporated herein by this reference.

Index

Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Certifications

The certifications of Magnetek's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer required under Section 302 and 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 have been filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission as Exhibits 31.1, 31.2, and 32.1 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended July 3, 2011.

Additionally, in November 2010, Magnetek's Chief Executive Officer filed with the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") the annual certification required to be furnished pursuant to Section 303A.12 of the NYSE Listed Company Manual. The certification confirmed that Magnetek's Chief Executive Officer was not aware of any violation by Magnetek of the NYSE's corporate governance listing standards.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized in the City of Menomonee Falls, State of Wisconsin, on the 31th day of August, 2011.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated:

| Signature | Title | Date |
|--|------------------------------------|--------------------|
| /s/ MITCHELL I. QUAIN Mitchell I. Quain | Chairman of the Board of Directors | August 31, 2011 |
| /s/ DAVID A. BLOSS, Director SR. David A. Bloss, Sr. | | August 31, 2011 |
| /s/ YON Y. JORDEN Director Yon Y. Jorden | | August 31, 2011 |
| /s/ ALAN B. LEVINE Director Alan B. Levine | | August 31, 2011 |
| /s/ DAVID P. REILAND David P. Reiland | Director | August 31, 2011 |

Edgar Filing: MAGNETEK, INC. - Form 10-K

| | | |
|---|---|--------------------|
| /s/ PETER M. MCCORMICK Peter M. McCormick | Director, President and Chief Executive Officer | August 31, 2011 |
| /s/ MARTY J. SCHWENNER Marty J. Schwenner | Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer) | August 31, 2011 |
| /s/ MICHAEL J. STAUBER Michael J. Stauber | Vice President and Corporate Controller (Principal Accounting Officer) | August 31, 2011 |

Index

SCHEDULE II
MAGNETEK, INC.
VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS
YEARS ENDED JUNE 28, 2009, JUNE 27, 2010 AND JULY 3, 2011
(amounts in thousands)

| | Additions | | | | | Balance at |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|-----------|-------|--------|------------|
| | charged (recoveries) | | | | | end of |
| | beginning | added) | from | | | year |
| | of year | earnings | allowance | Other | | |
| June 28, 2009 | | | | | | |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | \$ 517 | \$ (26) | \$ (208) | \$ - | \$ 283 | |
| June 27, 2010 | | | | | | |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | \$ 283 | \$ 66 | \$ (102) | \$ 2 | \$ 249 | |
| July 3, 2011 | | | | | | |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | \$ 249 | \$ 29 | \$ (24) | \$ 1 | \$ 255 | |

Index

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Stockholders
Magnetek, Inc.

We have audited the consolidated financial statements of Magnetek, Inc. as of July 3, 2011, and June 27, 2010, and for each of the three years in the period ended July 3, 2011, and have issued our report thereon dated August 31, 2011 (included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K). Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in Item 15(a) of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. This schedule is the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion based on our audits.

In our opinion, the financial statement schedule referred to above, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP
Milwaukee, Wisconsin

August 31, 2011
