SWIFT ENERGY CO Form 10-Q November 06, 2006

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(X) QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Quarterly Period Ended September 30, 2006

Commission File Number 1-8754

SWIFT ENERGY COMPANY (Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

TEXAS 20-3940661

(State of Incorporation) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

16825 Northchase Drive, Suite 400

Houston, Texas
(Address of principal executive offices)

77060

(Zip Code)

(281) 874-2700

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months, and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer ___x___ Accelerated filer _____ Non-accelerated filer ____

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes___ No_x_

Yes__x_ No__

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of
 each of the Issuer's classes of common
 stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Common Stock (\$.01 Par Value) (Class of Stock) 29,527,985 Shares (Outstanding at October 31, 2006)

SWIFT ENERGY COMPANY

FORM 10-Q

FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2006

INDEX

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements Item 1.

> Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets - September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income - For the Three month and Nine month periods ended September 30, 2006 and 2005

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity 5 - For the Nine month period ended September 30, 2006 and year ended December 31, 2005

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows - For the Nine month periods ended September 30, 2006 and 2005

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

- Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
- Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk
- Item 4. Controls and Procedures

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

- Item 1. Legal Proceedings
- Item 1A. Risk Factors
- Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds
 Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities
- Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders
- Item 5. Other Information
- Item 6. Exhibits

SIGNATURES

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets Swift Energy Company and Subsidiaries

	_	tember 30, 2006
ASSET		
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	95,117,902
Accounts receivable-		, ,
Oil and gas sales		59,980,011
Joint interest owners		1,167,963
Other Receivables		17,701,312
Other current assets		38,394,344
Total Current Assets		212,361,532
Durantu and Equipment		
Property and Equipment:		
Oil and gas, using full-cost accounting		1 070 004 070
Proved properties		1,979,294,070
Unproved properties		84,541,410
		2,063,835,480
Furniture, fixtures, and other equipment		26,701,704
		2,090,537,184
Less - Accumulated depreciation, depletion, and amortization		(875,819,720)
		1,214,717,464
Other Assets:		
Debt issuance costs		7,130,221
Restricted assets		2,293,895
		9,424,116
	\$	1,436,503,112
	====	
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS'	EQUITY	
Current Liabilities:		FE 000 515
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$	55,293,512
Accrued capital costs		42,974,178
Accrued interest		10,336,338
Undistributed oil and gas revenues		8,948,655
Total Current Liabilities		117,552,683
Tana Tana Dakt		250 000 000
Long-Term Debt		350,000,000
Deferred Income Taxes		196,797,908
Asset Retirement Obligation Lease Incentive Obligation		20,089,268 1,787,893
Commitments and Contingencies		

Stockholders' Equity:

Preferred stock, \$.01 par value, 5,000,000 shares authorized,	
none outstanding	
Common stock, \$.01 par value, 85,000,000 shares authorized,	
29,727,913 and 29,458,974 shares issued, and 29,300,827	
and 29,009,530 shares outstanding, respectively	297 , 279
Additional paid-in capital	374,559,260
Treasury stock held, at cost, 427,086 and 449,444	
shares, respectively	(6,124,944)
Unearned compensation	
Retained earnings	380,597,278
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of income tax	946,487
	 750,275,360
	\$ 1,436,503,112

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

3

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income Swift Energy Company and Subsidiaries

	Three Mon	ths Ended	Nine Mon
		09/30/05	
Revenues: Oil and gas sales Price-risk management and other, net		\$ 101,007,524 (154,019)	3,489,510
		100,853,505	456,805,029
Costs and Expenses: General and administrative, net Depreciation, depletion and amortization Accretion of asset retirement obligation Lease operating costs Severance and other taxes Interest expense, net	45,867,715 171,545 12,926,110 18,489,838 5,776,220	5,803,946 23,870,287 191,529 12,221,153 9,670,565 6,194,370 57,951,850	120,151,446 665,812 45,843,648 49,210,714 17,436,326
Income Before Income Taxes	82,209,164	42,901,655	200,173,860
Provision for Income Taxes	31,397,597	15,394,756	73,879,339
Net Income	\$ 50,811,567	\$ 27 , 506 , 899	\$ 126,294,521

	=====	======	=====	======	
Per Share Amounts					
Basic: Net Income	\$	1.74	\$ =====	.96	\$ 4.33
Diluted: Net Income	\$ =====	1.68	\$.92	\$ 4.20
Weighted Average Shares Outstanding	29	,251,945	2	8,632,895	 29,161,278

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

4

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity Swift Energy Company and Subsidiaries

		Additional Paid-In Capital			
Balance, December 31, 2004	\$ 285,706	\$343,536,298	\$(6,896,245)	\$ (1,728,585)	\$138,52
Stock issued for benefit plans (31,424 shares)		435,134	450 , 659		
Stock options exercised (840,847 shares)	8,409	9,804,555			
Tax benefits from exercise of stock options		4,366,236			
Employee stock purchase plan (32,495 shares) Issuance of restricted stock	325	642,354			
(15,000 shares) Grants of restricted stock	150				
(158,500 shares)		6,668,608		(6,072,008)	
Forfeitures of restricted stock Amortization of restricted		(367,490)		367,490	
stock compensation				1,583,283	
Comprehensive Income:					
Net income					115,77
Other Comprehensive Income					·
Total Comprehensive Income					
Balance, December 31, 2005	\$ 294,590	\$365,085,695	\$(6,445,586)	\$ (5,849,820)	\$254,30
	=======	=======	========	========	

Stock issued for benefit plans

(22,358 shares)		714,049	320,642		
Stock options exercised					
(210,738 shares)	2,107	3,615,470			
Adoption of SFAS No. 123R		(5,875,280)		5,849,820	
Excess tax benefits from					
stock-based awards		2,772,329			
Employee stock purchase plan					
(22,425 shares)	224	671,106			
Issuance of restricted stock		•			
(35,776 shares)	358	(358)			
Amortization of stock		•			
compensation		7,576,249			
Comprehensive Income:					
Net income					126,29
Other Comprehensive Income					·
Total Comprehensive Income					
Balance, September 30, 2006	\$ 297 , 279	\$374,559,260	\$ (6,124,944)	\$	\$380 , 59
	=======	========	========	=========	======

(1) \$.01 Par Value

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

5

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Swift Energy Company and Subsidiaries

	ì	Nine Months Ende	ed Ser	ptember 30
	2006			2005
Cash Flows from Operating Activities: Net income	\$	126,294,521	\$	81 , 077 ,
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities-				
Depreciation, depletion, and amortization		120,151,446		76,853,
Accretion of asset retirement obligation		665,812		565,
Deferred income taxes		67,169,122		42,610,
Stock-based compensation expense		5,057,142		1,005,
Other		(3,678,105)		1,230,
Change in assets and liabilities-				
(Increase) decrease in accounts receivable Increase in accounts payable and accrued		(14,547,527)		15,162,
liabilities		7,404,437		739,
Increase in income taxes payable		337,716		87,
Increase in accrued interest		1,828,142		1,127,
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities		310,682,706		220 , 459 ,

Cash Flows from Investing Activities:				
Additions to property and equipment		(291,308,227)		(158,125,
Proceeds from the sale of property and equipment		20,336,133		2,387,
Net cash distributed as operator of oil and gas				
properties		(4,193,748)		(2,183,
Net cash received (distributed) as operator of				
partnerships and joint ventures		854,672		(467,
Other		(30,782)		64,
Net Cash Used in Investing Activities		(274,341,952)		(158,324,
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:				
Payments of bank borrowings				(7,500,0
Net proceeds from issuances of common stock		4,288,907		6,329,
Excess tax benefits from stock-based awards		1,483,679		
Net Cash Provided by (Used in)Financing		5,772,586		(1.170.
Activities		, <u>_</u> ,		(=, = : : ,
Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$	42,113,340	\$	60,964,
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period		53,004,562		4,920,
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$	95,117,902	\$	65,884,
	====		===	
Supplemental Disclosures of Cash Flows Information:				
Cash paid during period for interest, net of amounts				
capitalized	\$	14,721,356	Ś	16,887.
Cash paid during period for income taxes	\$	6,372,500		
the the management of the come cause	т	0,0.2,000	т.	. 5 0 7

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

6

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS SWIFT ENERGY COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

(1) General Information

The condensed consolidated financial statements included herein have been prepared by Swift Energy Company and reflect necessary adjustments, all of which were of a recurring nature, and are in the opinion of our management necessary for a fair presentation. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States have been omitted pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. We believe that the disclosures presented are adequate to allow the information presented not to be misleading. The condensed consolidated financial statements should be read

in conjunction with the audited financial statements and the notes thereto included in the latest Annual Report on Form 10-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

(2) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Holding Company Structure

In December 2005, we implemented a holding company structure pursuant to Texas and federal law in a manner designed to be a non-taxable transaction. The new parent holding company assumed the Swift Energy Company name and its common stock continues to trade on the New York and NYSE Arca (formerly the Pacific Stock Exchange) Exchanges. The purposes of this new holding company structure are to separate Swift Energy's domestic and international operations to better reflect management practices, to improve our economics, and to provide greater administrative and organizational flexibility. Under the new organizational structure, four new subsidiaries were formed with the Texas parent holding company wholly owning three Delaware subsidiaries, which in turn wholly own Swift Energy's operating subsidiaries. Swift Energy Operating, LLC is the operator of record for Swift Energy's domestic properties. Swift Energy's name, charter, bylaws, officers, board of directors, authorized shares and shares outstanding remain substantially identical. The Company's international operations continue to be conducted through Swift Energy International, Inc. Swift Energy amended its bank credit agreement, debt indentures and various other plans and documents to accommodate the internal reorganization, but the Company's day-to-day conduct of business was not impacted. Accordingly, there was no impact on our financial position or results of operations.

Property and Equipment

We follow the "full-cost" method of accounting for oil and gas property and equipment costs. Under this method of accounting, all productive and nonproductive costs incurred in the exploration, development, and acquisition of oil and gas reserves are capitalized. Such costs may be incurred both prior to and after the acquisition of a property and include lease acquisitions, geological and geophysical services, drilling, completion, and equipment. Internal costs incurred that are directly identified with exploration, development, and acquisition activities undertaken by us for our own account, and which are not related to production, general corporate overhead, or similar activities, are also capitalized. For the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, such internal costs capitalized totaled \$20.7 million and \$13.4 million, respectively. Interest costs are also capitalized to unproved oil and gas properties. For the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, capitalized interest on unproved properties totaled \$6.6 million and \$5.3 million, respectively. Interest not capitalized and general and administrative costs related to production and general overhead are expensed as incurred.

No gains or losses are recognized upon the sale or disposition of oil and gas properties, except in transactions involving a significant amount of reserves or where the proceeds from the sale of oil and gas properties would significantly alter the relationship between capitalized costs and proved reserves of oil and gas attributable to a cost center. Internal costs associated with selling properties are expensed as incurred.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-Continued SWIFT ENERGY COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Future development costs are estimated property-by-property based on current economic conditions and are amortized to expense as our capitalized oil and gas property costs are amortized.

We compute the provision for depreciation, depletion, and amortization of oil and gas properties by the unit-of-production method. Under this method, we compute the provision by multiplying the total unamortized costs of oil and gas properties--including future development costs, natural gas processing facilities, and both capitalized asset retirement obligations and undiscounted abandonment costs of wells to be drilled, net of salvage values, but excluding costs of unproved properties—by an overall rate determined by dividing the physical units of oil and gas produced during the period by the total estimated units of proved oil and gas reserves at the beginning of the period. This calculation is done on a country-by-country basis, and the period over which we will amortize these properties is dependent on our production from these properties in future years. Furniture, fixtures, and other equipment, held at cost, are depreciated by the straight-line method at rates based on the estimated useful lives of the property, which range between two and 20 years. Repairs and maintenance are charged to expense as incurred. Renewals and betterments are capitalized.

Geological and geophysical (G&G) costs incurred on developed properties are recorded in "Proved properties" and therefore subject to amortization. G&G costs incurred that are directly associated with specific unproved properties are capitalized in "Unproved properties" and evaluated as part of the total capitalized costs associated with a prospect. The cost of unproved properties not being amortized is assessed quarterly, on a country-by-country basis, to determine whether such properties have been impaired. In determining whether such costs should be impaired, we evaluate current drilling results, lease expiration dates, current oil and gas industry conditions, international economic conditions, capital availability, foreign currency exchange rates, the political stability in the countries in which we have an investment, and available geological and geophysical information. Any impairment assessed is added to the cost of proved properties being amortized. To the extent costs accumulate in countries where there are no proved reserves, any costs determined by management to be impaired are charged to expense.

Full-Cost Ceiling Test.

At the end of each quarterly reporting period, the unamortized cost of oil and gas properties, including natural gas processing facilities, capitalized asset retirement obligations, net of related salvage values and deferred income taxes, and excluding the recognized asset retirement obligation liability is limited to the sum of the estimated future net revenues from proved properties, excluding cash outflows from recognized asset retirement obligations, including future development and abandonment costs of wells to be drilled, using period-end prices, adjusted for the effects of hedging, discounted at 10%, and the lower of cost or fair value of unproved properties, adjusted for related income tax effects ("Ceiling Test"). Our hedges at September 30, 2006 consisted of crude oil price floors with strike prices higher than the period end price but did not materially affect prices used in this calculation. This calculation is done on a country-by-country basis.

The calculation of the Ceiling Test and provision for depreciation, depletion, and amortization ("DD&A") is based on estimates of proved reserves. There are numerous uncertainties inherent in estimating quantities of proved reserves and in projecting the future rates of production, timing, and plan of development. The accuracy of any reserves estimate is a function of the quality of available data and of engineering and geological interpretation and judgment. Results of drilling, testing, and production subsequent to the date of the estimate may justify revision of such estimates. Accordingly, reserves estimates are often different from the quantities of oil and gas that are ultimately recovered.

Given the volatility of oil and gas prices, it is reasonably possible that our estimate of discounted future net cash flows from proved oil and gas reserves could change in the near term. If oil and gas prices decline from our period-end prices used in the Ceiling Test, even if only for a short period, it is possible that non-cash write-downs of oil and gas properties could occur in the future.

8

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-Continued SWIFT ENERGY COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Swift Energy Company and its wholly owned subsidiaries, which are engaged in the exploration, development, acquisition, and operation of oil and natural gas properties, with a focus on inland waters and onshore oil and natural gas reserves in Louisiana and Texas, as well as onshore oil and natural gas reserves in New Zealand. Our undivided interests in natural gas processing plants, and investments in oil and gas limited partnerships where we are the general partner are accounted for using the proportionate consolidation method, whereby our proportionate share of each entity's assets, liabilities, revenues, and expenses are included in the appropriate classifications in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. Intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in preparing the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

Revenue Recognition

Oil and gas revenues are recognized when production is sold to a purchaser at a fixed or determinable price, when delivery has occurred and title has transferred, and if collectibility of the revenue is probable. Processing costs for natural gas and natural gas liquids (NGLs) that are paid in-kind are deducted from revenues. The Company uses the entitlement method of accounting in which the Company recognizes its ownership interest in production as revenue. If our sales exceed our ownership share of production, the natural gas balancing payables are reported in "Accounts payable and accrued liabilities" on the accompanying balance sheet. Natural gas balancing receivables are reported in "Other current assets" on the accompanying balance sheet when our ownership share of production exceeds sales. As of September 30, 2006, we did not have any material natural gas imbalances.

Accounts Receivable

We assess the collectibility of accounts receivable, and based on our judgment, we accrue a reserve when we believe a receivable may not be collected. At both September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, we had an allowance for doubtful accounts of less than \$0.1 million. The allowance for doubtful accounts has been deducted from the total "Accounts receivable" balances on the accompanying balance sheets. Receivables related to insurance reimbursement are computed in accordance with applicable accounting guidance; and we monitor our costs incurred and their collectibility under our insurance policies and believe all amounts recorded are recoverable.

Inventories

We value inventories at the lower of cost or market value. Cost of crude oil inventory is determined using the weighted average method and all other inventory is accounted for using the first in, first out method ("FIFO"). The major categories of inventories, which are included in "Other current assets" on the accompanying balance sheets, are shown as follows:

		Balance at September 30, 2006 (000's)		lance at er 31, 2005 000's)
Materials, Supplies and Tubulars Crude Oil	\$	11 , 146 662	\$	8,494 916
Total	\$ ======	11,808	\$	9,410

9

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-Continued SWIFT ENERGY COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("GAAP") requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amount of certain assets and liabilities and the reported amounts of certain revenues and expenses during each reporting period. We believe our estimates and assumptions are reasonable; however, such estimates and assumptions are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties that may cause actual results to differ materially from such estimates. Significant estimates underlying these financial statements include:

- o the estimated quantities of proved oil and natural gas reserves used to compute depletion of oil and natural gas properties and the related present value of estimated future net cash flows there-from,
- o accruals related to oil and gas revenues, capital expenditures and

lease operating expenses,

- o estimates of insurance recoveries related to property damage,
- o estimates of stock compensation expense,
- o estimates of our ownership in properties prior to final division of interest determination,
- o the estimated future cost and timing of asset retirement obligations, and
- o estimates made in our income tax calculations.

While we are not aware of any material revisions to any of our estimates, there will likely be future revisions to our estimates resulting from matters such as changes in ownership interests, payouts, joint venture audits, re-allocations by purchasers or pipelines, or other corrections and adjustments common in the oil and gas industry, many of which require retroactive application. These types of adjustments cannot be currently estimated and will be recorded in the period during which the adjustment occurs.

Income Taxes

Under SFAS No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes," deferred taxes are determined based on the estimated future tax effects of differences between the financial statement and tax basis of assets and liabilities, given the provisions of the enacted tax laws. The effective tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 was higher than the U.S. Federal statutory tax rate primarily due to state income taxes, partially offset by reductions from the New Zealand statutory rate attributable to the currency effect on the New Zealand deferred tax calculation. As of September 30, 2006, we believe we have utilized all of our U.S. federal operating loss carryforwards during the 2006 tax year.

Accounts Payable and Accrued Liabilities

Included in "Accounts payable and accrued liabilities," on the accompanying balance sheets, at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 are liabilities of approximately \$9.8 million and \$9.9 million, respectively, representing the amount by which checks issued, but not presented to the Company's banks for collection, exceeded balances in the applicable disbursement bank accounts.

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss), Net of Income Tax

We follow the provisions of SFAS No. 130, "Reporting Comprehensive Income," which establishes standards for reporting comprehensive income. In addition to net income, comprehensive income or loss includes all changes to equity during a period, except those resulting from investments and distributions to the owners of the Company. At September 30, 2006, we recorded \$0.9 million, net of taxes of \$0.6 million, of derivative gains in "Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of income tax" on the accompanying balance sheet. The components of accumulated other comprehensive Income (loss) and related tax effects for 2006 were as follows:

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-Continued SWIFT ENERGY COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

	Gross Value		Tax Effect
Other comprehensive loss at December 31, 2005	\$ (110,	094) \$	40,625
Change in fair value of cash flow hedges	(17,	520)	6 , 517
Effect of cash flow hedges settled			
during the period	1,632,	363	(605, 404)
01 h	^ 1 FOA	740 6	/FF0 060)
Other comprehensive income at September 30, 2006	\$ 1,504,	749 \$	(558, 262)

Total comprehensive income was \$52.2 million and \$27.7 million for the third quarters of 2006 and 2005, respectively. Total comprehensive income was \$127.3 and \$80.5 million for the first nine months of 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Price-Risk Management Activities

The Company follows SFAS No. 133, which requires that changes in the derivative's fair value are recognized currently in earnings unless specific hedge accounting criteria are met. The statement also establishes accounting and reporting standards requiring that every derivative instrument (including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts) is recorded in the balance sheet as either an asset or a liability measured at its fair value. Hedge accounting for a qualifying hedge allows the gains and losses on derivatives to offset related results on the hedged item in the income statements and requires that a company formally document, designate, and assess the effectiveness of transactions that receive hedge accounting. Changes in the fair value of derivatives that do not meet the criteria for hedge accounting, and the ineffective portion of the hedge, are recognized currently in income.

We have a price-risk management policy to use derivative instruments to protect against declines in oil and gas prices, mainly through the purchase of price floors and collars. During the third quarters of 2006 and 2005, we recognized a net loss of \$0.4 million relating to our derivative activities. During the first nine months of 2006 and 2005, we recognized a net gain of \$1.6 million and a net loss of \$0.9 million, respectively, relating to our derivative activities. This activity is recorded in "Price-risk management and other, net" on the accompanying statements of income. At September 30, 2006, the Company had recorded \$0.9 million, net of taxes of \$0.6 million, of derivative gains in "Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of income tax" on the accompanying balance sheet. This amount represents the change in fair value for the effective portion of our hedging transactions that qualified as cash flow hedges. The amount of ineffectiveness reported in "Price-risk management and other, net" for the first nine months of 2006 and 2005 were not material. We expect to reclassify all amounts currently held in "Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of income tax" into the statement of income within the next three months when the forecasted sale of hedged production occurs.

When we entered into these transactions discussed above, they were designated as a hedge of the variability in cash flows associated with the forecasted sale of oil and natural gas production. Changes in the fair value of a hedge that is highly effective and is designated and documented and qualifies as a cash flow hedge, to the extent that the hedge is effective, are recorded in "Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of income tax." When the hedged transactions are recorded upon the actual sale of oil and natural gas, these gains or losses are reclassified from "Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of income tax" and recorded in "Price-risk management and other, net" on the accompanying statement of income. The fair value of our derivatives is computed using the Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing model and is periodically verified against quotes from brokers.

At September 30, 2006, we had in place price floors in effect for October 2006 through the December 2006 contract month for oil that cover a portion of our domestic oil production for October 2006 to December 2006. The oil price floors cover notional volumes of 900,000 barrels with a weighted average floor price of \$63.77 per barrel. Our oil price floors in place at September 30, 2006 are expected to cover approximately 45% to 50%

11

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-Continued SWIFT ENERGY COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

of our estimated domestic oil production from October 2006 to December 2006. The fair value of these instruments at September 30, 2006, was \$2.7 million and is recognized on the accompanying balance sheet in "Other current assets."

Supervision Fees

Consistent with industry practice, we charge a supervision fee to the wells we operate including our wells in which we own up to a 100% working interest. Supervision fees are recorded as a reduction to general and administrative, net based on our estimate of the costs incurred to operate the wells. The total amount of supervision fees charged to the wells we operate was \$6.4 million and \$5.8 million in the first nine months of 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Asset Retirement Obligation

In June 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued SFAS No. 143, "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations." The statement requires entities to record the fair value of a liability for legal obligations associated with the retirement obligations of tangible long-lived assets in the period in which it is incurred. When the liability is initially recorded, the carrying amount of the related long-lived asset is increased. The liability is discounted from the year the well is expected to deplete. Over time, accretion of the liability is recognized each period, and the capitalized cost is depreciated on a unit-of-production basis over the useful life of the related asset. Upon settlement of the liability, an entity either settles the obligation for its recorded amount or incurs a gain or loss upon settlement which is

included in the full cost pool. This standard requires us to record a liability for the fair value of our dismantlement and abandonment costs, excluding salvage values. Based on our experience and analysis of the oil and gas services industry, we have not factored a market risk premium into our asset retirement obligation. SFAS No. 143 was adopted by us effective January 1, 2003. The following provides a roll-forward of our asset retirement obligation:

	2006			
Asset Retirement Obligation recorded as of January 1 Accretion expense for the nine months ended September 30 Liabilities incurred for new wells and facilities	\$	19,356,367 665,812	\$	17,639,1 565,5
construction Reductions due to sold, or plugged and abandoned wells Decrease due to currency exchange rate fluctuations		552,591 (202,902) (21,600)		63,7 (360,1 (27,7
Asset Retirement Obligation as of September 30	\$ 	20,350,268	\$ 	17,880,5

At both September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, approximately \$0.3 million of our asset retirement obligation is classified as a current liability in "Accounts payable and accrued liabilities" on the accompanying balance sheets.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, Accounting Changes and Error Corrections: a replacement of APB Opinion No. 20 and FASB Statement No. 3. SFAS No. 154 requires voluntary changes in accounting principles to be applied retrospectively, unless it is impracticable. SFAS No. 154's retrospective application requirement replaces APB 20's requirement to recognize most voluntary changes in accounting principle by including in net income of the period of the change the cumulative effect of changing to the new accounting principle. If retrospective application for all prior periods is impracticable, the method used to report the change and the reason the retrospective application is impracticable are to be disclosed.

Under SFAS No. 154, retrospective application will be the transition method in the unusual instance that a newly issued accounting pronouncement does not provide specific transition guidance. It is

12

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-Continued SWIFT ENERGY COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

expected that many pronouncements will specify transition methods other than retrospective. SFAS No. 154 is effective for accounting changes made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005, and the adoption of this statement had no impact on our financial position or results of

operations.

In July 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation (FIN) No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes - an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109." This Interpretation provides guidance for recognizing and measuring uncertain tax positions, as defined in SFAS No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes." FIN No. 48 prescribes a threshold condition that a tax position must meet for any of the benefit of the uncertain tax position to be recognized in the financial statements. Guidance is also provided regarding derecognition, classification and disclosure of these uncertain tax positions. FIN No. 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company has not yet determined what impact, if any, this Interpretation will have on its financial position or results of operations.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements. SFAS No. 157 addresses how companies should approach measuring fair value when required by GAAP; it does not create or modify any current GAAP requirements to apply fair value accounting. SFAS No. 157 provides a single definition for fair value that is to be applied consistently for all accounting applications, and also generally describes and prioritizes, according to reliability, the methods and inputs used in valuations. SFAS No. 157 prescribes various disclosures about financial statement categories and amounts which are measured at fair value, if such disclosures are not already specified elsewhere in GAAP. The new measurement and disclosure requirements of SFAS No. 157 are effective for us in the first quarter 2008. The Company has not yet determined what impact, if any, this Interpretation will have on its financial position or results of operations.

(3) Share-Based Compensation

We have various types of share-based compensation plans. Refer to Note 6 of our consolidated financial statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005, for additional information related to these share-based compensation plans.

Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123 (R), "Share-Based Payment" (SFAS No. 123R) utilizing the modified prospective approach. Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123R, we accounted for stock option grants in accordance with Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees" (the intrinsic value method), and accordingly, recognized no compensation expense for employee stock option grants.

Under the modified prospective approach, SFAS No. 123R applies to new awards and to awards that were outstanding on January 1, 2006 as well as those that are subsequently modified, repurchased or cancelled. Under the modified prospective approach, compensation cost recognized for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 includes compensation cost for all share-based awards granted prior to, but not yet vested as of January 1, 2006, based on the grant-date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS No. 123, and compensation cost for all share-based awards granted subsequent to January 1, 2006, based on the grant-date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123R. Prior periods were not restated to reflect the impact of adopting the new standard.

As a result of adopting SFAS No. 123R on January 1, 2006, our income before taxes, net income and basic and diluted earnings per share for the three months ended September 30, 2006, were \$0.7 million, \$0.6 million, \$0.02, and \$0.02 lower, respectively, than if we had continued to account

for share-based compensation under APB Opinion No. 25 for our stock option grants. For the nine months ended September 30, 2006, income before taxes, net income and basic and diluted earnings per share were \$2.7 million, \$2.2 million, \$0.07, and \$0.07 lower, respectively. Upon adoption of SFAS 123R, we recorded an immaterial cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle as a result of our change in policy from recognizing forfeitures as they occur to one recognizing expense based on our expectation of the amount of awards that will vest over the requisite service period for our restricted stock awards. This amount was recorded in "General and Administrative, net" in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations.

13

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-Continued SWIFT ENERGY COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

We receive a tax deduction for certain stock option exercises during the period the options are exercised, generally for the excess of the price at which the stock is sold over the exercise price of the options. In addition, we receive an additional tax deduction when restricted stock vests at a higher value than the value used to recognize compensation expense at the date of grant. Prior to adoption of SFAS No. 123R, we reported all tax benefits resulting from the award of equity instruments as operating cash flows in our condensed consolidated statements of cash flows. In accordance with SFAS No. 123R, we are required to report excess tax benefits from the award of equity instruments as financing cash flows, these benefits totaled \$0.6 million and \$1.5 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively.

Net cash proceeds from the exercise of stock options were \$3.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. The actual income tax benefit realized from stock option exercises was \$2.0 million for the same period.

Stock compensation expense for both stock options and restricted stock issued to both employees and non-employees is recorded in "General and Administrative, net" in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of income, and was \$1.8 million and \$0.6 million for the quarter ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Stock compensation expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, and 2005 was \$5.1 million and \$1.0 million. We view all awards of stock compensation as a single award with an expected life equal to the average expected life of component awards and amortize the award on a straight-line basis over the life of the award.

The following table illustrates the effect on September 30, 2005 operating results and per share information had the Company accounted for share-based compensation in accordance with SFAS No. 123R. Our net income and earnings per share would have been adjusted to the following pro forma amounts:

Three Months Ended Nine Months Ended

		September 30, 2005	September 30, 2005
Net Income:	As Reported Stock-based employee compensation	\$27,506,899	\$81,077,709
	expense determined under fair value method for all awards, net of tax	(995, 399)	(2,968,488)
	Pro Forma	\$26,511,500	\$78,109,221
Basic EPS:	As Reported Pro Forma	\$.96 \$.93	\$2.86 \$2.75
Diluted EPS:	As Reported Pro Forma	\$.92 \$.89	\$2.77 \$2.67

14

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-Continued SWIFT ENERGY COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Stock Options

We use the Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing model to estimate the fair value of stock option awards with the following weighted-average assumptions for the indicated periods.

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Mont Septemb	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Dividend yield Expected volatility	0% 39.1%	0% 41.2%	0% 39.5%	0% 42.5%
Risk-free interest rate Expected life of options (in years)	4.8% 2.6	3.9% 3.9	4.9% 5.6	3.8% 3.5
Weighted-average grant-date fair value	\$ 12.20	\$ 15.92	\$ 19.31	\$ 10.64

The expected term has been calculated using the Securities and Exchange Commission Staff's shortcut approach from Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107. We have analyzed historical volatility and based on an analysis of all relevant factors use a three-year period to estimate expected volatility of our stock option grants.

At September 30, 2006, there was \$3.6 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to stock options which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.6 years.

The following table represents stock option activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2006:

	Sep	September 30, 2					
	Shares		Wtd. Avg. Contract Life				
Options outstanding, beginning of period Options granted Options canceled Options exercised	2,118,179 164,303 (51,578) (239,035)	\$	21.28 43.56 22.29 19.97				
Options outstanding, end of period	1,991,869	\$	23.25	5.4 Yrs			
Options exercisable, end of period	1,182,688	\$	22.71	4.1 Yrs			

The aggregate intrinsic value of options outstanding at September 30, 2006 was \$37.6 million, and the aggregate intrinsic value of options exercisable was \$22.6 million. Total intrinsic value of options exercised was \$5.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006.

Restricted Stock

The plans, as described in Note 6 of our consolidated financial statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005, allow for the issuance of restricted stock awards that may not be sold or otherwise transferred until certain restrictions have lapsed. The unrecognized compensation cost related to these awards is expected to be expensed over the period the restrictions lapse (generally one to five years).

The compensation expense for these awards was determined based on the market price of our stock at the date of grant applied to the total number of shares that were anticipated to fully vest. As of September 30, 2006, we have unrecognized compensation expense of approximately \$15.0 million associated with these awards which are expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.4 years.

15

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-Continued SWIFT ENERGY COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

The following table represents restricted stock activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2006:

	September	30, 200	6
		Wtd.	Avg.
	Shares	Grant	Price
Restricted shares outstanding, beginning of			
period	236,950	\$	34.79

Restricted shares granted	310 , 956	\$ 43.25
Restricted shares canceled	(21,530)	\$ 38.90
Restricted shares vested	(35 , 776)	\$ 24.57
Restricted shares outstanding, end of period	490,600	\$ 39.98

(4) Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share ("Basic EPS") have been computed using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the respective periods. Diluted earnings per share ("Diluted EPS") for all periods also assumes, as of the beginning of the period, exercise of stock options and restricted stock grants to employees using the treasury stock method. Certain of our stock options, that could potentially dilute Basic EPS in the future, were antidilutive for periods ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, and are discussed below.

The followig is a reconciliation of the numerators and denominators used in the calculation of Basic and Diluted EPS for the periods ended September 30, 2006 and 2005:

		Thre	ee Months Ende	ed September 30),
		2006			
	Net Income	Shares	Per Share Amount		 S
Basic EPS:					
<pre>Net Income and Share Amounts\$ Dilutive Securities:</pre>	50,811,567	29,251,945	\$ 1.74	\$ 27,506,899	28
Restricted Stock		130,905			I
Stock Options		801,025			1,
Diluted EPS:					
Net Income and Assumed Share Conversions\$	50,811,567	30,183,875	\$ 1.68	\$ 27,506,899	29

		Nine Mon	ths En	ded Sept	tember 30,							
	2006				20	005						
	Net Income	Shares	Per Share Amount		Per Share Amount						Net Income	S
Basic EPS:												
Net Income and Share Amounts\$ Dilutive Securities:	126,294,521	29,161,278	\$	4.33	\$ 81,077,709	28						
Restricted Stock		124,528										
Stock Options		777 , 587										
Diluted EPS: Net Income and Assumed Share Conversions\$	126 294 521	30 063 393	¢	4 20	\$ 81,077,709	29						

_____ ___

16

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-Continued SWIFT ENERGY COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Options to purchase approximately 2.0 million shares at an average exercise price of \$23.25 were outstanding at September 30, 2006, while options to purchase 2.4 million shares at an average exercise price of \$20.24 were outstanding at September 30, 2005. Approximately 1.6 million and less than 0.1 million stock options and non-vested shares of restricted stock were not included in the computation of Diluted EPS for the three-month periods ended September 30, 2006, and 2005, respectively, and 1.6 million and 0.2 million options and non-vested shares of restricted stock were not included in the computation of Diluted EPS for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2006, and 2005, respectively, because these options were antidilutive in that the option price was greater than the average closing market price for the common shares during those periods.

(5) Long-Term Debt

Our long-term debt, including the current portion, as of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, was as follows (in thousands):

		September 30, 2006	December 31, 2005		
Bank Borrowings	\$	 150,000 200,000	\$	 150,000 200,000	
Long-Term Debt	\$	350,000	\$ 	350 , 000	

Bank Borrowings

At September 30, 2006, we had no outstanding borrowings under our \$400.0 million credit facility with a syndicate of ten banks that had a borrowing base of \$250.0 million and expires in October 2008. The interest rate is either (a) the lead bank's prime rate (8.25% at September 30, 2006) or (b) the adjusted London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") plus the applicable margin depending on the level of outstanding debt. The applicable margin is based on the ratio of the outstanding balance to the last calculated borrowing base. On October 2, 2006, we increased the credit facility amount by \$100.0 million to \$500.0 million, and extended the expiration date by roughly three years to October 3, 2011 from October 1, 2008, see footnote eight "Subsequent Events."

The terms of our credit facility at September 30, 2006, include, among other restrictions, a limitation on the level of cash dividends (not to exceed \$5.0 million in any fiscal year), a remaining aggregate limitation on purchases of our stock of \$15.0 million, requirements as to maintenance of certain minimum financial ratios (principally pertaining to adjusted working capital ratios and EBITDAX), and limitations on incurring other

debt or repurchasing our 7-5/8% senior notes due 2011 or 9-3/8% senior subordinated notes due 2012. Since inception, no cash dividends have been declared on our common stock. We are currently in compliance with the provisions of this agreement. The credit facility is secured by our domestic oil and gas properties. We have also pledged 65% of the stock in our two New Zealand subsidiaries as collateral for this credit facility. The borrowing base amount is re-determined at least every six months and was increased by our bank group to \$250.0 million effective October 2, 2006, at our request from \$150 million. Under the terms of the credit facility, we can increase this commitment amount to the total amount of the borrowing base at our discretion, subject to the terms of the credit agreement. The next scheduled borrowing base review is on May 1, 2007.

Interest expense on the credit facility, including commitment fees and amortization of debt issuance costs, totaled \$0.2 million for each of the three month period ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, and \$0.6 million and \$0.8 million for the nine month period ended September 30, 2006 and 2005. The amount of commitment fees included in interest expense, net was \$0.1 million for each of the three month period ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, and \$0.4 million for each of the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 and 2005.

17

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-Continued SWIFT ENERGY COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Senior Notes Due 2011

These notes consist of \$150.0 million of 7-5/8% senior notes due 2011, which were issued on June 23, 2004 at 100% of the principal amount and will mature on July 15, 2011. The notes are senior unsecured obligations that rank equally with all of our existing and future senior unsecured indebtedness, are effectively subordinated to all our existing and future secured indebtedness to the extent of the value of the collateral securing such indebtedness, including borrowing under our bank credit facility, and rank senior to all of our existing and future subordinated indebtedness. Interest on these notes is payable semi-annually on January 15 and July 15, and commenced on January 15, 2005. On or after July 15, 2008, we may redeem some or all of the notes, with certain restrictions, at a redemption price, plus accrued and unpaid interest, of 103.813% of principal, declining to 100% in 2010 and thereafter. In addition, prior to July 15, 2007, we may redeem up to 35% of the notes with the net proceeds of qualified offerings of our equity at a redemption price of 107.625% of the principal amount of the notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest. We incurred approximately \$3.9 million of debt issuance costs related to these notes, which is included in "Debt issuance costs" on the accompanying balance sheets and will be amortized to interest expense, net over the life of the notes using the effective interest method. Upon certain changes in control of Swift Energy, each holder of notes will have the right to require us to repurchase all or any part of the notes at a purchase price in cash equal to 101% of the principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the date of purchase. The terms of these notes include, among other restrictions, a limitation on how much of our own common stock we may repurchase. We are currently in compliance with the provisions of the indenture governing these senior notes.

Interest expense on the 7-5/8% senior notes due 2011, including amortization of debt issuance costs totaled \$3.0 million for both the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and \$8.9 million for each of the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Senior Subordinated Notes Due 2012

These notes consist of \$200.0 million of 9-3/8% senior subordinated notes due May 2012, which were issued on April 16, 2002, and will mature on May 1, 2012. The notes are unsecured senior subordinated obligations and are subordinated in right of payment to all our existing and future senior debt, including our bank credit facility and 7-5/8% senior notes. Interest on these notes is payable semiannually on May 1 and November 1, and commenced on November 1, 2002. On or after May 1, 2007, we may redeem these notes, with certain restrictions, at a redemption price, plus accrued and unpaid interest, of 104.688% of principal, declining to 100% in 2010. In addition, prior to May 1, 2005, we could have redeemed up to 33.33% of these notes with the net proceeds of qualified offerings of our equity at 109.375% of the principal amount of these notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest. Upon certain changes in control of Swift Energy, each holder of these notes will have the right to require us to repurchase the notes at a purchase price in cash equal to 101% of the principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the date of purchase. The terms of these notes include, among other restrictions, a limitation on how much of our own common stock we may repurchase. We are currently in compliance with the provisions of the indenture governing these subordinated notes.

Interest expense on the 9-3/8% senior subordinated notes due 2012, including amortization of debt issuance costs totaled \$4.8 million for each of the three month periods ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, and \$14.4 million for each of the nine month periods ended September 30, 2006 and 2005.

The aggregate maturities on our long-term debt are \$150 million for 2011 and \$200 million for 2012.

We have capitalized interest on our unproved properties in the amount of \$2.2 million and \$1.8 million for the three month periods ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and \$6.6 million and \$5.3 million for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

18

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-Continued SWIFT ENERGY COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

(6) Foreign Activities

As of September 30, 2006, our gross capitalized oil and gas property costs in New Zealand totaled approximately \$340.3 million. Approximately \$324.9 million has been included in the "Proved properties" portion of our oil and gas properties, while \$15.4 million is included as "Unproved properties." Our functional currency in New Zealand is the U.S. Dollar.

Net assets of our New Zealand operations total \$266.4 million at September 30, 2006.

(7) Acquisitions and Dispositions

In November 2005, we acquired interests in the South Bearhead Creek field in Central Louisiana. This field is approximately 50 miles south of our Masters Creek field. We paid approximately \$24.3 million in cash for these interests. After taking into account internal acquisition costs of \$2.6 million, and assumed liabilities of \$1.4 million, our total cost was \$28.3 million. We allocated \$26.2 million of the acquisition price to "Proved properties," \$2.5 million to "Unproved properties," and recorded a liability for \$0.4 million to "Asset retirement obligation" on our accompanying consolidated balance sheet. In December 2005, we acquired additional interests in this field. We paid approximately \$4.6 million in cash for these additional interests. After taking into account internal acquisition costs of \$0.6 million, our total cost was \$5.2 million. We allocated \$4.9 million of the acquisition price to "Proved properties," \$0.4 million to "Unproved properties," and recorded a liability for \$0.1million to "Asset retirement obligation" on our accompanying consolidated balance sheets. These acquisitions were accounted for by the purchase method of accounting. We made these acquisitions to increase our exploration and development opportunities in this area. The revenues and expenses from these properties have been included in our accompanying consolidated statements of income from the date of acquisition forward, however, given the acquisitions were in November and December 2005, these amounts were immaterial for 2005.

In April 2006, we sold our minority interests in the Brookeland and Masters Creek natural gas processing plants for approximately \$20.3 million in cash. Under the "full-cost" method of accounting for oil and gas property and equipment costs, the proceeds of this sale were applied against our oil and gas properties and equipment balance, and no gain or loss was recognized on this transaction.

(8) Subsequent Events

In October 2006, we acquired interests in five South Louisiana fields from BP America Production Company. The total price for these interests was approximately \$169 million. The property interests are located primarily in: Bayou Sale, Horseshoe Bayou and Jeanerette fields (all located in St. Mary Parish), High Island field in Cameron Parish and Bayou Penchant field in Terrebonne Parish. We have recorded \$17.5 million in "Other current assets" at September 30, 2006 related to the deposit for this acquisition. In addition, we have acquired virtually all of the outstanding interest in the South Bearhead Creek field, located in Beauregard Parish, Louisiana, for approximately \$4.5 million in November 2006.

In October 2006, we settled all insurance claims with our insurers relating to hurricanes Katrina and Rita and entered into a confidential final settlement agreement. The receipt of these amounts is expected to result in a benefit of \$7.7 million in the fourth quarter of 2006, for the portion of the above referenced settlement, which we have determined to be non-property damage related claims, based on internal calculations.

On October 2, 2006, we increased the credit facility amount by \$100.0 million to \$500.0 million, and extended the expiration date by roughly three years to October 3, 2011 from October 1, 2008. The other terms of the credit facility, including the borrowing base amount and commitment amount, stayed substantially the same. Certain of the covenants imposed by this credit facility were amended with the extension of the facility to be

less restrictive on various corporate actions and are discussed below. The terms of our credit facility include, among other restrictions, a limitation on the level of cash dividends (not to exceed \$15.0 million in any fiscal year which increased from \$5.0 million), a remaining aggregate limitation on purchases of our stock of \$50.0 million which increased from \$15.0 million, requirements as to maintenance of certain minimum financial ratios (principally pertaining to adjusted working capital ratios and

19

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-Continued SWIFT ENERGY COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

EBITDAX), and limitations on incurring other debt or repurchasing our 7-5/8% senior notes due 2011 or 9-3/8% senior subordinated notes due 2012. The borrowing base amount is re-determined at least every six months and was increased by our bank group to \$250.0 million effective October 2, 2006, at our request from \$150 million.

(9) Condensed Consolidating Financial Information

In December 2005, we amended the indenture for our 9-3/8% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2012 and our 7-5/8% Senior Notes due 2011 to reflect our new holding company organizational structure (as discussed in Note 2). Pursuant to the amendment, both Swift Energy Company and Swift Energy Operating, LLC (a wholly owned indirect subsidiary of Swift Energy Company) became co-obligors of these senior notes and senior subordinated debt. The co-obligations are full and unconditional and are joint and several. Prior to amendment, Swift Energy Company was the sole obligor. The following is condensed consolidating financial information for Swift Energy Company, Swift Energy Operating, LLC, and significant subsidiaries:

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheets

LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

Current liabilities

(in 000's)	September 30, 200					30, 2006
			Swift Energy Operating, LLC (Co-obligor)			Other sidiaries
ASSETS						
Current assets	\$		\$	191,681	\$	20,681
Property and equipment				972,444		242,273
Investment in subsidiaries (equity method)		750 , 275				544,086
Other assets				41,187		655
Total assets	\$	750 , 275	\$	1,205,312	\$	807,695
	=====				===	

\$

--- \$ 103,274 \$ 14,279

Long-term liabilities Stockholders' equity		 750 , 275		557,951 544,086		43,141 750,275
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ =====	750,275	\$ ===	1,205,312	 \$ ===	807 , 695

20

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-Continued SWIFT ENERGY COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

(in 000's)				Decem	ıber	31, 2005
	Swift Energy Co. (Parent and Co-obligor)		Oper (Co			ther sidiaries
ASSETS Current assets Property and equipment Investment in subsidiaries (equity method) Other assets Total assets	 \$	607,318 	 \$		 \$	216,316 410,612 682 649,877
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY Current liabilities Long-term liabilities Stockholders' equity Total liabilities and stockholders' equity		607,318			 \$	29,610 607,318
Condensed Consolidating Statements of Income (in 000's)	 Swift (Pare	Energy Co. ent and obligor)	Three Swif Oper	e Months End Tt Energy rating, LLC	led S	September 30
Revenues						
Expenses				77,409		13,841
Income (loss) before the following:				75 , 871		6,338

Equity in net earnings of subsidiaries	50,8	312	46,342
Income before income taxes	50,8	75,8	71 52 , 681
Income tax provision (benefit)	-	29 , 52	1,869
Net income	\$ 50,8	312 \$ 46,342	2 \$ 50,812

21

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-Continued SWIFT ENERGY COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

(in 000's)					ne Months E				
		Swift En (Parent Co-obl	vift Energy Co. Swift Energy (Parent and Operating, LLC Co-obligor) (Co-obligor)		Parent and Operating, Co-obligor) (Co-obligo		Swift Energy Operating, LLC (Co-obligor)		Other sidiaries
	Revenues	\$		\$	406,080	\$	50 , 725		
	Expenses				218,391		38,241		
	<pre>Income (loss) before the following: Equity in net earnings of subsidiaries</pre>		126,295		187 , 690 		116,811		
	<pre>Income before income taxes Income tax provision (benefit)</pre>		126,295		187,690 70,879		129,295		
	Net income		•		116,811		•		
(in	000's)				nree Months				
		Swift En (Paren	ergy Co. it and	Subsidiaries					
	Revenues	\$	81,220	\$	19,633	\$			
	Expenses		46,057		11,895				
	<pre>Income (loss) before the following: Equity in net earnings of subsidiaries</pre>		35,163 5,838		7 , 738 		·		

Net income	\$ 27,507	\$ 5,838	\$ (5,838)
Income tax provision (benefit)	13,494	1,900	
Income before income taxes	41,001	7,738	(5,838)

22

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-Continued SWIFT ENERGY COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

in 000's)	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2							
		Energy Co. ent and suer)	0 Sub	ther sidiaries	Eliminations			
Revenues	\$	246,946	\$	53,830	\$	(2)		
Expenses		141,940		34,398		(2)		
Income (loss) before the following: Equity in net earnings of subsidiaries		15 , 346		19,432		(15,346)		
Income before income taxes				19,432				
Income tax provision (benefit)				4,086				
Net income				15,346				
ondensed Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows (in 000's)	Swift (Pare) Co-ol	Energy Co. nt and oligor)	Swi Oper (Co-	ating, LLC obligor)	Subs	Other		
Cash flow from operations Cash flow from investing activities Cash flow from financing activities			\$	281,570 (237,602) 5,773	\$	(46,844)		

Net increase in cash Cash, beginning of period						(7,627) 8,094
Cash, end of period	\$ =====		\$	94,651	\$	467
(in 000's)		Ni	ne Moi	nths Ended	Septe	mber 30, 2
	(Pa:	Energy Co. rent and ssuer)			Elim	inations
Cash flow from operations Cash flow from investing activities Cash flow from financing activities	\$	184,254 (129,713) (1,171)		(33,896)		5,284
Net increase (decrease) in cash Cash, beginning of period		53 , 370 205		7,594 4,715		
Cash, end of period	\$	53 , 575		12,309	•	

23

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-Continued SWIFT ENERGY COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

(10) Segment Information

The Company has two reportable segments, one domestic and one foreign, both of which are in the business of oil and natural gas exploration and production. The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies. We evaluate our performance based on profit or loss from oil and gas operations before price-risk management and other, net, general and administrative, net, and interest expense, net. Our reportable segments are managed separately based on their geographic locations. Financial information by operating segment is presented below:

			Three Months	Ended September 3	30,
		2006			
	Domestic	New Zealand	Total	Domestic	Ne
Oil and gas sales	\$ 153,754,146	\$ 19,614,403 \$	\$ 173 , 368 , 549	\$ 81,692,754	\$

Costs and Expenses:

Depreciation,					
depletion and amortization	37,619,396	8,248,319	45,867,715	17,328,183	
Accretion of asset					
retirement obligation	133,846	37 , 699	171,545	157,442	
Lease operating costs	9,620,102	3,306,008	12,926,110	8,903,988	
Severance and other taxes	17,251,643	1,238,195	18,489,838	8,438,368	
Income from oil and gas					
operations	\$ 89,129,159	\$ 6,784,182	\$ 95,913,341	\$ 46,864,773	\$
Price-risk management					
and other, net			90,303		
General and					
administrative, net			8,018,260		
Interest expense, net			5,776,220		
,,					
Income Before Income Taxes			\$ 82,209,164		
Income Delete Income Taxes			===========		

24

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-Continued SWIFT ENERGY COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

						Nine Mo	onth	ns Ended Septer	mber
			2006						
		Domestic	N	Wew Zealand		Total		Domestic	Ne
Oil and gas sales	\$	403,128,509	\$	50,187,010	\$	453,315,519	\$	248,399,764	\$
Costs and Expenses: Depreciation, depletion and amortization	٦	97,613,811		22,537,635		120,151,446		57,560,343	
Lease operating costs		•		9,502,147		665,812 45,843,648 49,210,714			
Income from oil and gas Operations	\$	222,660,537	\$	14,783,362	\$	237,443,899	\$	138,524,683	\$
Price-risk management and other, net						3,489,510			

General and

administrative, net 23,323,223 Interest expense, net 17,436,326

Income Before Income Taxes \$ 200,173,860

Total Assets \$1,197,620,135 \$ 238,882,977 \$1,436,503,112 \$ 886,525,732 \$ 2

25

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS SWIFT ENERGY COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

ITEM 2.

You should read the following discussion and analysis in conjunction with our financial information and our condensed consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in this report and our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005. The following information contains forward-looking statements. For a discussion of limitations inherent in forward-looking statements, see "Forward-Looking Statements" on page 39 of this report.

Overview

Swift Energy had record net income, revenues, and production for the third quarter 2006. Net income increased 85% to \$50.8 million, revenues increased 72% to \$173.5 million, and production increased 39% to 18.8 Bcfe, all as compared to the hurricane-affected third quarter 2005 levels. For the nine months ended September 30, 2006, net income increased 56% to \$126.3 million, revenues increased 52% to \$456.8 million, and production increased 15% to 51.6 Bcfe, all as compared to the same period in 2005. The continued strong crude oil prices, increases in our domestic production, and our recovery from the hurricanes of 2005 contributed to these record increases. As a result of our domestic production increases, 71% of our third quarter production was liquid hydrocarbons, of which 64% was crude oil and 7% was natural gas liquids.

New Zealand produced 3.5 Bcfe in the third quarter of 2006, a 19% decrease from the same period in 2005. During the third quarter of 2006, we were successful on one of three wells drilled in New Zealand and suspended operations on another.

Our production in the Lake Washington Field averaged more than 18,500 net barrels of oil per day during the third quarter of 2006, achieving our year-end exit rate goal ahead of plan. We successfully completed 11 of 14 wells in the third quarter of 2006, including 9 of 11 domestic development wells drilled for a success rate of 82% for the quarter. As a result of activity-to-date, as well as our recent Louisiana acquisitions, we are increasing our 2006 annual production guidance to a range of 70.0 to 71.0 Bcfe from our previous guidance range of 68.8 to 70.5 Bcfe.

Our overall costs and expenses continued to increase during the third quarter of 2006, as have costs across our sector due to tight industry

conditions. These increases were in line with our expectations, excluding the insurance reimbursements as a result of the settlement during the third quarter of our insurance claims due to Hurricanes Katrina and Rita, the proceeds of which have not all been received. These costs also increased as a result of our workforce expansion and expensing of stock compensation. We expect cost pressures to continue to affect the industry for the remainder of 2006.

A primary focus this year has been capitalizing on the geologic and geophysical efforts that have become evident with some of our recent successes, particularly at the Newport area in Lake Washington. We expect the first set of our pre-stack depth migration of our Lake Washington 3-D data to be completed in the fourth quarter of 2006, which will help us understand Newport's deeper potential as well as the deeper horizons in Lake Washington. We are widening our focus on activity at Bay de Chene and Cote Blanche Island, which helps confirm our seismic interpretation and should also help further define similar opportunities in other fault blocks surrounding the salt feature in Bay De Chene. The 3-D seismic shoot in Cote Blanche Island was completed early in the third quarter of 2006 and is now being processed. We expect to begin benefiting from this new data with our drilling program in Cote Blanche Island during the second half of 2007.

Very early in the fourth quarter of 2006, we closed on several strategic acquisitions of interests in five additional fields in South Louisiana and the consolidation of almost 100% of the working interests in our South Bearhead Creek Field for approximately \$169 million and \$4.5 million, respectively. We believe these transactions add strategic anchor assets in a very productive fairway that should benefit us and our stakeholders for many years to come.

Since the end of the third quarter, we have accessed our line of credit under our credit facility to help fund acquisition activity, along with cash on hand. Early in the fourth quarter of 2006, we also increased our credit facility to \$500 million from \$400 million and extended it about three years to October 3, 2011.

26

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS-Continued SWIFT ENERGY COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Our drilling program for the remainder of 2006 is to drill 4 additional wells in the Lake Washington area, 5 additional wells in Bay de Chene, with at least 2 wells in Bay de Chene being potential high impact exploration wells with results expected in 2007. In New Zealand, plans are underway to complete the Goss well in the Tikorangi formation for testing and evaluation. We are in the midst of our 2007 capital planning and are using a conservative price deck relative to commodity prices with the continued goal of conducting operations within our cash flow. Crude oil and natural gas prices have receded somewhat from the record highs earlier in 2006 but still remain strong, particularly with respect to crude oil.

This year is shaping up to be a year of accomplishment for Swift Energy. We have strengthened our balance sheet. We have built a large

regional 3-D seismic database that has enabled us to create the most significant portfolio of prospects in Swift Energy's history. Our staff has been executing and delivering on our strategic plan, which has led to significant production growth. In the fourth quarter of 2006, our South Louisiana drilling program will continue to target potentially high impact exploration prospects and the Company will begin integrating the additional five fields we acquired in South Louisiana, all of which are covered by our 3-D seismic database. As Swift Energy concludes the execution of our 2006 plan, we believe our activity and results will lead to further visible value creation for our shareholders.

Results of Operations - Three Months Ended September 30, 2006 and 2005

Revenues. Our revenues in the third quarter of 2006 increased by 72% compared to revenues in the same period in 2005, due primarily to an increase in commodity prices and the production increase principally from our Lake Washington field and reduced third quarter of 2005 volumes as a result of the hurricanes. Revenues from our oil and gas sales comprised substantially all of net revenues for the third quarter of 2006 and 2005. In the third quarter of 2006, oil production made up 64% of total production, natural gas made up 29%, and NGL represented 7%. In the third quarter of 2005, oil production made up 47% of total production, natural gas made up 44%, and NGL represented 9%. The percentage of our total production from oil increased as Lake Washington production, which is predominantly oil, increased over third quarter of 2005 levels.

Our third quarter 2006 weighted average prices increased 24% to \$9.24 per Mcfe from \$7.48 in the third quarter of 2005, with oil prices appreciating 17% to \$69.62 from \$59.66, natural gas prices decreasing 8% to \$4.87 from \$5.29, and NGL prices rising 14% to \$36.18 from \$31.84.

27

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS-Continued SWIFT ENERGY COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

The following table provides additional information regarding the changes in the sources of our oil and gas sales and volumes for the periods ended September 30, 2006 and 2005:

Three Months Ended September 30,

Area	Oil and Gas Sales	s (In Millions)	Net Oil and Gas Sales Volu
	2006	2005	2006
AWP Olmos	\$ 13.4	\$ 16.5	1.9
Brookeland	4.7	6.5	0.6
Lake Washington	116.1	46.6	10.5
Masters Creek	3.3	4.5	0.4
Other	16.3	7.6	1.8

Total Domestic	\$ 153.8	\$ 81.7	15.2	
Rimu/Kauri		11.3	1.7 1.8	
Total New Zealand	\$ 19.6	\$ 19.3	3.5	
Total	\$ 173.4	\$ 101.0	18.8	

		Sales Vo	Average		
_	Oil (MBbl)	NGL (MBbl)		Combined (Bcfe)	Oil (Bbl)
2006					
Three Months Ended September 30:					
<u>-</u>	1,824	159	3.3	15.2	\$69.54
New Zealand					
Total	1 , 992	220	5.5	18.8	\$69.62
2005	=======================================	======= :	======		
Three Months Ended September 30:	0.0.5	110	2 0	0 1	¢50 44
				9.1	
New Zealand	134	85 	3.1	4.4	\$61.23
Total	1,059	204	5.9	13.5	\$59.66

In the third quarter of 2006, our \$72.4 million increase in oil, NGL, and natural gas sales resulted from:

oPrice variances that had a \$18.5 million favorable impact on sales, of which \$19.8 million was attributable to the 17% increase in average oil prices received, \$1.0 million was attributable to the 14% increase in average NGL prices received, offset by \$2.3 million of decreases attributable to the 8% decrease in average gas prices received; and

oVolume variances that had a \$53.9 million favorable impact on sales, with \$55.7 million of increases coming from the 933,000 Bbl increase in oil sales volumes, \$0.5 million of increases attributable to the 16,000 Bbl increase in NGL sales volumes, offset by \$2.3 million of decreases due to the 0.4 Bcf decrease in gas sales volumes.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS-Continued SWIFT ENERGY COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Costs and Expenses. Our expenses in the third quarter of 2006 increased \$33.3 million, or 57%, compared to expenses in the same period of 2005. The increase was due to a \$22.0 million increase in DD&A as our production and depletable oil and gas property base increased, an \$8.8 million increase in severance and other taxes due to increased production volumes and higher commodity prices in the third quarter of 2006, and a \$2.2 million increase in net general and administrative expenses.

Our third quarter 2006 general and administrative expenses, net, increased \$2.2 million, or 38%, from the level of such expenses in the same 2005 period. This increase was primarily due to an expansion of our workforce and an increase in stock compensation expense resulting from the adoption of SFAS No. 123R. Our stock compensation expense recorded in general and administrative, net, increased by \$1.2\$ million, net of capitalized amounts, over third quarter of 2005 levels. For the third quarters of 2006 and 2005, our capitalized general and administrative costs, including capitalized stock compensation, totaled \$8.1 million and \$4.6 million, respectively. Our capitalized general and administrative expenses increased due to the expansion of our workforce and the capitalization of stock compensation related to the geological and geophysical workforce. Our net general and administrative expenses per Mcfe produced were \$0.43 per Mcfe in the third quarters of both 2006 and 2005. The portion of supervision fees recorded as a reduction to general and administrative expenses was \$2.2 million for the third quarter of 2006 and \$2.0 million for the 2005 period.

DD&A increased \$22.0 million, or 92%, in the third quarter of 2006 from the level of those expenses in the same period of 2005. Domestically, DD&A increased \$20.3 million in the third quarter of 2006 due to increases in the depletable oil and gas property base, including future development costs and higher production in the 2006 period. In New Zealand, DD&A increased by \$1.7 million in the third quarter of 2006 due to increases in the depletable oil and gas property base and lower reserves volumes, partially offset by lower production in the 2006 period. Our DD&A rate per Mcfe of production was \$2.45 and \$1.77 in the third quarters of 2006 and 2005, respectively.

We recorded \$0.2 million of accretions to our asset retirement obligation in both the third quarters of 2006 and 2005.

Our lease operating costs in the third quarter of 2006 increased \$0.7 million, or 6%, over the level of such expenses in the same 2005 period. Almost all of the increase was related to our domestic operations, which increased primarily due to higher production from our South Louisiana area and higher insurance costs. Offsetting the increase in costs and reducing the lease operating cost per Mcfe, was recording of a reduction in lease operating expense of \$2.8 million related to the settlement of insurance claims from hurricanes Katrina and Rita in the third quarter of 2006. Our lease operating costs in New Zealand were \$3.3 million in both the third quarters of 2006 and 2005, respectively. Our lease operating costs per Mcfe produced were \$0.69 in the third quarter of 2006 and \$0.91 in the third quarter of 2005. Higher production volumes in the third quarter of 2006 over the third quarter of 2005 decreased the cost per Mcfe in the 2006 period.

In the third quarter of 2006, severance and other taxes increased \$8.8 million, or 91%, over levels in the third quarter of 2005. The increase was due primarily to higher commodity prices and increased Lake Washington production. Severance taxes on oil in Louisiana are 12.5% of oil sales, which is higher than in the other states where we have production. As our percentage of oil production in Louisiana increases, the overall percentage of severance costs to sales also increases. Severance and other taxes, as a percentage of oil and gas sales, were approximately 10.7% and 9.6% in the third quarters of 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Our total interest cost in the third quarter of 2006 was \$8.0 million, of which \$2.2 million was capitalized. Our total interest cost in the third quarter of 2005 was also \$8.0 million, of which \$1.8 million was capitalized. We capitalize a portion of interest related to unproved properties. The decrease of interest expense in the third quarter of 2006 was primarily attributable to higher capitalized costs.

Our overall effective tax rate was 38.2% in the third quarter of 2006 and 35.9% in the third quarter of 2005. The effective income tax rate for the third quarter of 2006 was higher than the U.S. statutory rate

29

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS-Continued SWIFT ENERGY COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

primarily due to state income taxes, an unfavorable rate impact for non-deductible stock compensation, and was partially offset by reductions from the New Zealand statutory rate attributable to the currency effect on the New Zealand deferred tax calculation. The third quarter of 2005 rate is lower due to a favorable correction in the New Zealand basis of oil and gas properties.

Net Income. For the third quarter of 2006, our net income of \$50.8 million was 85% higher, and Basic EPS of \$1.74 was 81% higher, than our third quarter of 2005 net income of \$27.5 million and Basic EPS of \$0.96. Our Diluted EPS in the third quarter of 2006 of \$1.68 was 82% higher than our third quarter 2005 Diluted EPS of \$0.92. These higher amounts are due to our increased oil and gas revenues, which in turn were higher due to continued strong commodity prices and increased production during the third quarter of 2006.

Results of Operations - Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006 and 2005

Revenues. Our revenues in the first nine months of 2006 increased by 52% compared to revenues in the same period in 2005, due primarily to an increase in commodity prices and the production increase principally from our Lake Washington field. Revenues from our oil and gas sales comprised substantially all of net revenues for the first nine months of 2006 and 2005. In the first nine months of 2006, oil production made up 61% of total production volumes, natural gas made up 33%, and NGL represented 6%. In the first nine months of 2005, oil production made up 51% of total production volumes, natural gas made up 41%, and NGL represented 8%. The percentage of our total production from oil increased as Lake Washington production, which is predominantly oil, increased over 2005 levels.

Our first nine months of 2006 weighted average prices increased 31% to \$8.78 per Mcfe from \$6.71 in the first nine months of 2005, with oil prices appreciating 29% to \$66.92 from \$51.99, natural gas prices increasing 6% to \$5.02 from \$4.73, and NGL prices rising 20% to \$32.69 from \$27.15.

30

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS-Continued SWIFT ENERGY COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

The following table provides additional information regarding the changes in the sources of our oil and gas sales and volumes for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005:

Nine Months Ended September 30,

Area	Oil and Gas Sales	(In Millions)	Net Oil and Gas Sal
	2006	2005	2006
AWP Olmos	\$ 42.0	\$ 40.7	5.6
Brookeland	12.3	14.6	1.6
Lake Washington	300.7	160.1	28.3
Masters Creek	10.8	13.6	1.3
Other	37.3	19.4	4.3
Total Domestic	\$ 403.1	\$ 248.4	41.1
Rimu/Kauri	29.6	33.1	5.0
TAWN	20.6	20.0	5.5
Total New Zealand	\$ 50.2	\$ 53.1	10.5
Total	\$ 453.3	\$ 301.5	51.6

The following table provides additional information regarding our oil and gas sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005:

	Sales Volume			Average	
	Oil (MBbl)	NGL (MBbl)	Gas (Bcf)	Combined (Bcfe)	Oil (Bbl)
2006					
Nine Months Ended September 30: Domestic	4,866	319	10.0	41.1	\$66.75

	New Zealand	373	191	7.1	10.5	\$69.13
	Total	5,239	510	17.1	51.6	\$66.92
2005						
Nine	Months Ended September 30:					
	Domestic	3,448	381	9.1	32.0	\$51.65
	New Zealand	358	255	9.2	12.9	\$55.25
	Total	3,806	636	18.3	44.9	\$51.99
	Total	3,806 =====	636	18.3	44.9	\$51.9

In the first nine months of 2006, our \$151.9 million increase in oil, NGL, and natural gas sales resulted from:

oPrice variances that had a \$86.2 million favorable impact on sales, of which \$78.3 million was attributable to the 29% increase in average oil prices received, \$5.1 million was attributable to the 6% increase in average gas prices received, and \$2.8 million was attributable to the 20% increase in average NGL prices received; and

oVolume variances that had a \$65.7 million favorable impact on sales, with \$74.5 million of increases coming from the 1,433,000 Bbl increase in oil sales volumes, offset by \$5.4 million of decreases due to the 1.1 Bcf decrease in gas sales volumes, and \$3.4 million of decreases attributable to the 126,000 Bbl decrease in NGL sales volumes.

31

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS-Continued SWIFT ENERGY COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Costs and Expenses. Our expenses in the first nine months of 2006 increased \$80.3 million, or 46%, compared to expenses in the same period of 2005. The increase was due to a \$43.3 million increase in DD&A as our production and depletable oil and gas property base increased, a \$19.6 million increase in severance and other taxes due to increased production volumes and higher commodity prices in 2006, and an \$11.0 million increase in lease operating costs due to higher production and hurricane repair costs.

Our first nine months of 2006 general and administrative expenses, net, increased \$7.6 million, or 49%, from the level of such expenses in the same 2005 period. This increase was primarily due to an expansion of our workforce and an increase in stock compensation expense resulting from the adoption of SFAS No. 123R. Our stock compensation expense recorded in general and administrative, net, increased by \$3.9 million, net of capitalized amounts, over the first nine months of 2005. For the nine months of 2006 and 2005, our capitalized general and administrative costs, including capitalized stock compensation, totaled \$20.7 million and \$13.4 million, respectively. Our capitalized general and administrative expenses

increased due to the expansion of our workforce and the capitalization of stock compensation related to the geological and geophysical workforce. Our net general and administrative expenses per Mcfe produced increased to \$0.45 per Mcfe in the first nine months of 2006 from \$0.35 per Mcfe in the same 2005 period. The portion of supervision fees recorded as a reduction to general and administrative expenses was \$6.4 million for the first nine months of 2006 and \$5.8 million for the 2005 period.

DD&A increased \$43.3 million, or 56%, in the first nine months of 2006 from the level of those expenses in the same period of 2005. Domestically, DD&A increased \$40.1 million in the first nine months of 2006 due to increases in the depletable oil and gas property base, including future development costs, and higher production in the 2006 period. In New Zealand, DD&A increased by \$3.2 million in the first nine months of 2006 due to increases in the depletable oil and gas property base and lower reserves volumes, partially offset by lower production in the 2006 period. Our DD&A rate per Mcfe of production was \$2.33 and \$1.71 in the first nine months of 2006 and 2005, respectively.

We recorded \$0.7 million and \$0.6 million of accretions to our asset retirement obligation in the first nine months of 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Our lease operating costs in the first nine months of 2006 increased \$11.0 million, or 32%, over the level of such expenses in the same 2005 period. Almost all of the increase was related to our domestic operations, which increased primarily due to higher production from our South Louisiana area and higher insurance costs. Our lease operating costs in New Zealand increased in the first nine months of 2006 by \$0.3 million due to planned maintenance work. Our lease operating costs per Mcfe produced were \$0.89 in the first nine months of 2006 and \$0.78 in the first nine months of 2005.

In the first nine months of 2006, severance and other taxes increased \$19.6 million, or 66%, over levels in the first nine months of 2005. The increase was due primarily to higher commodity prices and increased Lake Washington production. Severance taxes on oil in Louisiana are 12.5% of oil sales, which is higher than in the other states where we have production. As our percentage of oil production in Louisiana increases, the overall percentage of severance costs to sales also increases. Severance and other taxes, as a percentage of oil and gas sales, were approximately 10.9% and 9.8% in the first nine months of 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Our total interest cost in the first nine months of 2006 was \$24.0 million, of which \$6.6 million was capitalized. Our total interest cost in the first nine months 2005 was \$24.1 million, of which \$5.3 million was capitalized. We capitalize a portion of interest related to unproved properties. The decrease of interest expense in the first nine months of 2006 was primarily attributable to higher capitalized costs.

Our overall effective tax rate was 36.9% and 34.8% in the first nine months of 2006 and 2005, respectively. The effective income tax rate for the first nine months of 2006 was higher than the U.S. statutory rate primarily due to state income taxes, an unfavorable rate impact for

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS-Continued SWIFT ENERGY COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

non-deductible stock compensation, and was partially offset by reductions from the New Zealand statutory rate attributable to the currency effect on the New Zealand deferred tax calculation. For the first nine months of 2005, the rate was lower due to a favorable correction in the New Zealand basis of oil and gas properties.

Net Income. For the first nine months of 2006, our net income of \$126.3 million was 56% higher, and Basic EPS of \$4.33 was 52% higher, than our first nine months of 2005 net income of \$81.1 million and Basic EPS of \$2.86. Our Diluted EPS in the first nine months of 2006 of \$4.20 was 52% higher than our first nine months of 2005 Diluted EPS of \$2.77. These higher amounts are due to our increased oil and gas revenues, which in turn were higher due to continued strong commodity prices and increased production during the first nine months of 2006.

Share-Based Compensation

Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted SFAS No. 123R, "Share-Based Payment" utilizing the modified prospective approach. Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123R, we accounted for stock option grants in accordance with APB No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees" (the intrinsic value method), and accordingly, recognized no compensation expense for employee stock option grants. The adoption of SFAS No. 123R will increase our compensation expense related to employee stock option grants over prior period levels.

Under the modified prospective approach, SFAS No. 123R applies to new awards and to awards that were outstanding on January 1, 2006 as well as those that are subsequently modified, repurchased or cancelled. Under the modified prospective approach, compensation cost recognized in the nine months ended September 30, 2006 includes compensation cost for all share-based awards granted prior to, but not yet vested as of January 1, 2006, based on the grant-date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS No. 123, and compensation cost for all share-based awards granted subsequent to January 1, 2006, based on the grant-date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123R. Prior periods were not restated to reflect the impact of adopting the new standard.

As a result of adopting SFAS No. 123R on January 1, 2006, our income before taxes, net income and basic and diluted earnings per share for the three months ended September 30, 2006, were \$0.7 million, \$0.6 million, \$0.02, and \$0.02 lower, respectively, than if we had continued to account for share-based compensation under APB Opinion No. 25 for our stock option grants. For the nine months ended September 30, 2006, income before taxes, net income and basic and diluted earnings per share were \$2.7 million, \$2.2 million, \$0.07, and \$0.07 lower, respectively. Upon adoption of SFAS 123R, we recorded an immaterial cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle as a result of our change in policy from recognizing forfeitures as they occur to recognizing expense based on our expectation of the amount of awards that will vest over the requisite service period for our restricted stock awards. This amount was recorded in "General and Administrative, net" in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations.

We continue to use the Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing model to

estimate the fair value of stock-option awards with the following weighted-average assumptions for the indicated periods.

	Three Mont		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Dividend yield	0%	0%	0%	0%
Expected volatility	39.1%	41.2%	39.5%	42.5%
Risk-free interest rate	4.8%	3.9%	4.9%	3.8%
Expected life of options (in years)	2.6	3.9	5.6	3.5
Weighted-average grant-date fair value	\$ 12.20	\$ 15.92	\$ 19.31	\$ 10.64

33

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS-Continued SWIFT ENERGY COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

The expected term has been calculated using the Securities and Exchange Commission Staff's shortcut approach from Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107. We have analyzed historical volatility and based on analysis of all relevant factors use a three-year period to estimate expected volatility of our stock option grants. We view all awards of stock compensation as a single award with an expected life equal to the average expected life of component awards and amortize the award on a straight-line basis over the life of the award.

At September 30, 2006, there was \$3.6 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to stock options, which are expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.6 years, and unrecognized compensation expense of \$15.0 million related to restricted stock awards which are expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.4 years. The compensation expense for restricted stock awards was determined based on the market price of our stock at the date of grant applied to the total numbers of shares that were anticipated to fully vest.

Contractual Commitments and Obligations

We had no material changes in our contractual commitments and obligations from December 31, 2005 amounts referenced under "Contractual Commitments and Obligations" in Management's Discussion and Analysis" in our Annual Report on form 10-K for the period ending December 31, 2005.

Commodity Price Trends and Uncertainties

Oil and natural gas prices historically have been volatile and are expected to continue to be volatile in the future. The price of oil has declined in September 2006 from levels earlier in the year; however, it is currently significantly higher when compared to longer-term historical prices. Factors such as worldwide supply disruptions, worldwide economic

conditions, weather conditions, actions taken by OPEC, and fluctuating currency exchange rates can cause wide fluctuations in the price of oil. Domestic natural gas prices continue to remain higher when compared to longer-term historical prices. North American weather conditions, the industrial and consumer demand for natural gas, storage levels of natural gas, and the availability and accessibility of natural gas deposits in North America can cause significant fluctuations in the price of natural gas. Such factors are beyond our control.

Income Tax Regulations

As of September 30, 2006, we believe we have utilized all of our U.S. federal operating loss carryforwards during the 2006 tax year.

The tax laws in the jurisdictions in which we operate continuously change and professional judgments regarding such tax laws can differ. On May 18, 2006 the State of Texas enacted a new tax bill that significantly changes the state's franchise tax, effective January 1, 2007 for calendar year taxpayers. The old franchise tax was computed on both "earned surplus" (based on income and director and officer compensation) and taxable capital. The new franchise tax is computed on "gross margin" (generally based on revenue less costs of goods sold). The Company accounts for the earned surplus portion of the old franchise tax as an income tax. The Company will continue to account for the new franchise tax as an income tax.

The Company has recomputed its Texas income tax expense by applying the franchise tax rules to its current income tax expense and has recalculated its cumulative deferred income tax following the new franchise tax rules. This change did not result in a significant adjustment to the Company's tax rate or its cumulative deferred tax liability.

34

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS-Continued SWIFT ENERGY COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Liquidity and Capital Resources

During the first nine months of 2006, we relied upon our net cash provided by operating activities of \$310.7 million and proceeds from the sale of property and equipment of \$20.3 million to fund capital expenditures of \$291.3 million. During the first nine months of 2005, we relied upon our net cash provided by operating activities of \$220.5 million to fund capital expenditures of \$158.1 million and to pay down our bank borrowings by \$7.5 million.

Acquisitions

In October 2006, we acquired interests in five South Louisiana fields from BP America Production Company. The total price for these interests was approximately \$169 million. The property interests are located primarily in: Bayou Sale, Horseshoe Bayou and Jeanerette fields (all located in St. Mary Parish), High Island field in Cameron Parish and Bayou Penchant field in Terrebonne Parish. In addition, we have acquired

virtually all of the outstanding interest in the South Bearhead Creek field, located in Beauregard Parish, Louisiana, for \$4.5 million in November 2006.

Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities. For the first nine months of 2006, our net cash provided by operating activities was \$310.7 million, representing a 41% increase as compared to \$220.5 million generated during the same 2005 period. The \$90.2 million increase in the first nine months of 2006 was primarily due to an increase of \$151.9 million in oil and gas sales, attributable to higher commodity prices and production, offset in part by higher lease operating costs due to higher production and insurance costs and higher severance taxes due to higher oil and gas revenues.

Accounts Receivable. We assess the collectibility of accounts receivable, and based on our judgment, we accrue a reserve when we believe a receivable may not be collected. At both September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, we had an allowance for doubtful accounts of less than \$0.1 million. The allowance for doubtful accounts has been deducted from the total "Accounts receivable" balances on the accompanying balance sheets. Receivables related to insurance reimbursement are computed in accordance with applicable accounting guidance; and we monitor our costs incurred and their collectibility under our insurance policies and believe all amounts recorded are recoverable.

In October 2006, we settled all insurance claims with our insurers relating to hurricanes Katrina and Rita and entered into a confidential final settlement agreement. Based on an internal calculation, the property damage related amount of the settlement was applied first to offset the previously established insurance accounts receivable and then as a reduction to the appropriate capital and expense categories. The receipt of these amounts in the fourth quarter of 2006 is also expected to result in a benefit of \$7.7 million for the portion of the above referenced settlement, which we have determined to be non-property damage related claims, based on internal calculations.

Bank Credit Facility. We had no borrowings under our bank credit facility at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005. Our bank credit facility at September 30, 2006 consisted of a \$400.0 million revolving line of credit with a \$250.0 million borrowing base. The borrowing base is re-determined at least every six months and was reaffirmed by our bank group at \$250.0 million, effective October 2, 2006. On October 2, 2006, we increased our revolving line of credit by \$100.0 million, to \$500.0 million, and extended the expiration date by roughly three years to October 3, 2011. Also, on October 2, 2006, we requested that the commitment $\mbox{amount with our bank be}$ $\mbox{increased to $250}$ $\mbox{million from $150}$ million. We can increase this commitment amount to the total amount of the borrowing base at our discretion, subject to the terms of the credit agreement. Our revolving credit facility includes, among other restrictions that changed somewhat as the facility was renewed and extended, requirements to maintain certain minimum financial ratios (principally pertaining to adjusted working capital ratios and EBITDAX), and limitations on incurring other debt. We are in compliance with the provisions of this agreement.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS-Continued SWIFT ENERGY COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Our access to funds from our credit facility is not restricted under any "material adverse condition" clause, a clause that is common for credit agreements to include. A "material adverse condition" clause can remove the obligation of the banks to fund the credit line if any condition or event would reasonably be expected to have an adverse or material effect on our operations, financial condition, prospects or properties, and would impair our ability to make timely debt repayments. Our credit facility includes covenants that require us to report events or conditions having a material adverse effect on our financial condition. The obligation of the banks to fund the credit facility is not conditioned on the absence of a material adverse effect.

Debt Maturities. Our credit facility extends until October 3, 2011. Our \$150.0 million of 7-5/8% senior notes mature July 15, 2011, and our \$200.0 million of 9-3/8% senior subordinated notes mature May 1, 2012.

Working Capital. Our working capital improved from a surplus of \$16.6 million at December 31, 2005, to a surplus of \$94.8 million at September 30, 2006. The improvement primarily resulted from an increase in cash balances, and an increase in our accounts receivable balances, partially offset by an increase in accrued capital costs due to an increase in our drilling and facility construction activities from year-end 2005 levels.

Capital Expenditures. In the first nine months of 2006, we relied upon our net cash provided by operating activities of \$310.7 million and proceeds from the sale of property and equipment of \$20.3 million to fund capital expenditures of \$291.3 million. Our total capital expenditures of approximately \$291.3 million in the first nine months of 2006 included:

Domestic expenditures of \$244.0\$ million as follows:

- o \$189.4 million for drilling and developmental activity costs, predominantly in our South Louisiana and AWP areas;
- o \$43.6 million of domestic prospect costs, principally related to seismic activities, prospect leasehold, and geological costs of unproved prospects;
- o \$11.0 million primarily for leasehold improvements in our Houston office, software, computer equipment, vehicles, furniture, and fixtures;

New Zealand expenditures of \$47.3 million as follows:

- o \$39.1 million for drilling, developmental activity, and gas processing plant costs;
- o \$7.8 million on prospect costs and geological costs of unproved properties;
- o and \$0.4 million for computer equipment, software, furniture, and fixtures.

We successfully completed 35 of 49 wells in the first nine months of 2006, for a success rate of 71%. Domestically, we completed 32 of 42 wells for a success rate of 76%. A total of 17 wells were drilled in the Lake Washington area, of which 15 were completed, and 17 wells were drilled in

the AWP Olmos area, of which 11 were completed. Six additional wells were drilled successfully, three in Cote Blanche Island and one each in Bay de Chene, South Bearhead Creek, and Brookeland. In Alaska, we drilled an unsuccessful exploratory well. In New Zealand, we drilled four development wells, three of which were completed, and drilled three unsuccessful exploratory wells.

Our current 2006 capital expenditure budget for our drilling and operations was increased to \$391 to \$415 million. We expect to spend an additional \$155 to \$161 million on the South Louisiana acquisition and the South Bearhead Creek acquisition of interests, net of approximately \$20 to \$25 million in dispositions. The \$20 to \$25 million of dispositions relate

36

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS-Continued SWIFT ENERGY COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

to non-core properties and is inclusive of the \$20 million sale of our minority interest in the Brookeland and Masters Creek natural gas processing plants. Approximately 85% of the 2006 budget is targeted for domestic activities, with about 15% planned for activities in New Zealand. We plan to spend \$250 to \$270 million in our South Louisiana region, which includes Lake Washington, Bay de Chene and Cote Blanche Island. We expect that our 2006 capital expenditures to approximate our cash flows provided from operating activities during 2006, as was the case in 2005. Our acquisitions are not budgeted and are being funded through cash on hand, cash flow and bank borrowings. For 2006, we have increased our production growth target to 70 to 71 Bcfe, from the previous range of 68 to 70.5 Bcfe and maintained our 5% to 8% targeted increase for proved reserves, over the 2005 levels.

For the last three months of 2006, we expect to make capital expenditures of approximately \$282\$ to \$314\$ million, which includes property acquisitions of \$174\$ to \$179\$ million. These estimated 2006 amounts include an increase due to higher drilling and services costs over prior year levels. Capital expenditures for 2005 totaled \$236\$ million.

Our 2006 capital expenditures continue to be focused on developing and producing long-lived reserves in South Louisiana, AWP Olmos, Rimu/Kauri, and TAWN areas, along with property acquisitions in the South Louisiana area. We expect our 2006 total production to increase over 2005 levels, primarily from our South Louisiana area. Our production in the AWP Olmos area is expected to remain relatively flat. We expect production in our other core areas to decrease as a limited amount of new drilling is currently budgeted to offset the natural production decline of these properties.

In New Zealand, we signed a natural gas supply agreement in July 2006 that covers production from our Rimu and Kauri wells until December 31, 2009. The benefits of the new agreement are to increase our sales price from the current price, termination of our existing sales agreements which called for lower sales prices on our natural gas production, and the ability to separately market production from new Rimu/Kauri wells with our purchaser retaining a right of first negotiation.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, Accounting Changes and Error Corrections: a replacement of APB Opinion No. 20 and FASB Statement No. 3. SFAS No. 154 requires voluntary changes in accounting principles to be applied retrospectively, unless it is impracticable. SFAS No. 154's retrospective application requirement replaces APB 20's requirement to recognize most voluntary changes in accounting principle by including in net income of the period of the change the cumulative effect of changing to the new accounting principle. If retrospective application for all prior periods is impracticable, the method used to report the change and the reason the retrospective application is impracticable are to be disclosed.

Under SFAS No. 154, retrospective application will be the transition method in the unusual instance that a newly issued accounting pronouncement does not provide specific transition guidance. It is expected that many pronouncements will specify transition methods other than retrospective. SFAS No. 154 is effective for accounting changes made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005, and the adoption of this statement had no impact on our financial position or results of operations.

In July 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation (FIN) No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes – an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109." This Interpretation provides guidance for recognizing and measuring uncertain tax positions, as defined in SFAS No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes." FIN No. 48 prescribes a threshold condition that a tax position must meet for any of the benefit of the uncertain tax position to be recognized in the financial statements. Guidance is also provided regarding derecognition, classification and disclosure of these uncertain tax positions. FIN No. 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company has not yet determined what, if any, impact this interpretation will have on its financial position or results of operations.

37

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS-Continued SWIFT ENERGY COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements. SFAS No. 157 addresses how companies should approach measuring fair value when required by GAAP; it does not create or modify any current GAAP requirements to apply fair value accounting. SFAS No. 157 provides a single definition for fair value that is to be applied consistently for all accounting applications, and also generally describes and prioritizes, according to reliability, the methods and inputs used in valuations. SFAS No. 157 prescribes various disclosures about financial statement categories and amounts which are measured at fair value, if such disclosures are not already specified elsewhere in GAAP. The new measurement and disclosure requirements of SFAS No. 157 are effective for us in the first quarter 2008. The Company has not yet determined what impact, if any, this Interpretation will have on its financial position or

results of operations.

38

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS-Continued SWIFT ENERGY COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Forward Looking Statements

The statements contained in this report that are not historical facts are forward-looking statements as that term is defined in Section 21E of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Such forward-looking statements may pertain to, among other things, financial results, capital expenditures, drilling activity, development activities, cost savings, production efforts and volumes, hydrocarbon reserves, hydrocarbon prices, liquidity, regulatory matters and competition. Such forward-looking statements generally are accompanied by words such as "plan," "future," "estimate," "expect," "budget," "predict," "anticipate," "projected," "should," "believe" or other words that convey the uncertainty of future events or outcomes. Such forward-looking information is based upon management's current plans, expectations, estimates and assumptions, upon current market conditions, and upon engineering and geologic information available at this time, and is subject to change and to a number of risks and uncertainties, and therefore, actual results may differ materially. Among the factors that could cause actual results to differ materially are the uncertainty of finding, replacing, developing or acquiring reserves; fluctuations in crude oil, natural gas and natural gas liquids prices or demand; adequate availability of skilled personnel, services and supplies; the uncertainty of drilling results; potential failure or delays in achieving reserve or production levels from existing and future oil and gas development projects due to operating hazards, drilling risks and the inherent uncertainties in predicting oil and gas reserves and oil and gas reservoir performance; requirements for capital; general economic conditions; changes in geologic or engineering information; changes in market conditions; competition and government regulations; as well as the risks and uncertainties discussed herein, and set forth from time to time in our other public reports, filings and public statements. Also, because of the volatility in oil and gas prices and other factors, interim results are not necessarily indicative of those for a full year.

39

Item 3.

QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISKS

Commodity Risk

Our major market risk exposure is the volatile commodity pricing applicable to our oil and natural gas production. Realized commodity prices received for such production are primarily driven by the prevailing worldwide price for crude oil and spot prices applicable to natural gas. The effects of such pricing volatility are expected to continue.

Our price-risk management policy permits the utilization of derivative instruments (such as futures, forward contracts, swaps, and option contracts such as floors and collars) to mitigate price risk associated with fluctuations in oil and natural gas prices. Below is a description of the derivative instruments we have utilized to hedge our exposure to price risk.

oPrice Floors - At September 30, 2006, we had in place price floors in effect through the December 2006 contract month for oil, which are expected to cover approximately 45% to 50% of our domestic oil production for October 2006 through December 2006. The oil floors cover notional volumes of 900,000 barrels, and expire at various dates from October 2006 to December 2006, with a weighted average floor price of \$63.77 per barrel.

oNew Zealand Gas Contracts - All of our current gas production in New Zealand is sold under long-term, fixed-price contracts denominated in New Zealand dollars. These contracts protect against price volatility, and our revenue from these contracts will vary only due to production fluctuations and foreign exchange rates.

Customer Credit Risk

We are exposed to the risk of financial non-performance by customers. Our ability to collect on sales to our customers is dependent on the liquidity of our customer base. To manage customer credit risk, we monitor credit ratings of customers and seek to minimize exposure to any one customer where other customers are readily available. Due to availability of other purchasers, we do not believe that the loss of any single oil or gas customer would have a material adverse effect on our financial position or results of operations.

Foreign Currency Risk

We are exposed to the risk of fluctuations in foreign currencies, most notably the New Zealand dollar. Fluctuations in rates between the New Zealand dollar and U.S. dollar may impact our financial results from our New Zealand subsidiaries since we have receivables, liabilities, natural gas and NGL sales contracts, and New Zealand income tax obligations, all denominated in New Zealand dollars.

Interest Rate Risk

Our Senior Notes due 2011 and Senior Subordinated Notes due 2012 have fixed interest rates; consequently we are not exposed to cash flow risk from market interest rate changes on these notes. However, there is a risk that market rates will decline and the required interest payments on our Senior Notes and Senior Subordinated Notes may exceed those payments based on the current market rate. At September 30, 2006, we had no borrowings under our credit facility, which is subject to floating rates and therefore susceptible to interest rate fluctuations. The result of a 10% fluctuation in the bank's base rate would constitute 83 basis points and would not have a material adverse effect on our 2006 cash flows based on

this same level or a modest level of borrowing.

40

Item 4.

CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our filings under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms. Our chief executive officer and chief financial officer have evaluated our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report and have concluded that such disclosure controls and procedures are effective in ensuring that material information required to be disclosed in this report is accumulated and communicated to them and our management to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting during the first nine months of 2006 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

41

SWIFT ENERGY COMPANY

PART II. - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

No material legal proceedings are pending other than ordinary, routine litigation incidental to the Company's business.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

There have been no material changes in our risk factors from those disclosed in our 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

None.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.

None. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders. Item 4. None. Item 5. Other Information. None. Item 6. Exhibits. 10.1* Third Amendment to First Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, effective as of October 2, 2006. 31.1* Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Certification of Chief Financial Officer 31.2* pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. 32* Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Filed herewith 42

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

SWIFT ENERGY COMPANY (Registrant)

Date: November 3, 2006 By: (original signed by)

Alton D. Heckaman, Jr. Executive Vice President & Chief Financial Officer

Date: November 3, 2006 By: (original signed by)

David W. Wesson Controller &

Principal Accounting Officer

43

Exhibit 31.1

CERTIFICATION

- I, Terry E. Swift, certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2006, of Swift Energy Company;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15(d)-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
- a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
- b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting, to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
- c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered

by this report based on such evaluation; and

- d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
- a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
- b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 3, 2006

/s/ Terry E. Swift

Terry E. Swift
Chairman of the Board &
Chief Executive Officer

44

Exhibit 31.2

CERTIFICATION

- I, Alton D. Heckaman, Jr., certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2006, of Swift Energy Company;

- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15(d)-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
- a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
- b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting, to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
- c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
- d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
- a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
- b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 3, 2006

/s/ Alton D. Heckaman Jr.

Alton D. Heckaman, Jr.

Executive Vice President & Chief Financial Officer

45

Exhibit 32

Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer

Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

In connection with the accompanying Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2006 (the "Report") of Swift Energy Company ("Swift") as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 3, 2006, the undersigned, in his capacity as an officer of Swift, hereby certifies pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that to his knowledge:

- The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
- The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of Swift.

Dated: November 3, 2006

/s/ Alton D. Heckaman, Jr.

Alton D. Heckaman, Jr. Executive Vice President & Chief Financial Officer

Dated: November 3, 2006

/s/ Terry E. Swift

Terry E. Swift Chairman of the Board &

Chief Executive Officer

46

THIRD AMENDMENT TO FIRST AMENDED AND RESTATED CREDIT AGREEMENT

AMONG

SWIFT ENERGY COMPANY
SWIFT ENERGY OPERATING, LLC
AS BORROWER

JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A. AS ADMINISTRATIVE AGENT

WELLS FARGO BANK (TEXAS), NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
AS SYNDICATION AGENT

BNP PARIBAS
AS SYNDICATION AGENT

CALYON NEW YORK BRANCH AS DOCUMENTATION AGENT

SOCIETE GENERALE
AS DOCUMENTATION AGENT

AND

THE LENDERS SIGNATORY HERETO

AND

J.P. MORGAN SECURITIES, INC. AS SOLE LEAD ARRANGER AND SOLE BOOK RUNNER

Effective as of October 2, 2006

Revolving Line of Credit of up to \$500,000,000 with Letter of Credit Subfacility

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ARTICLE I	DEFINITIONS1
1.01	Terms Defined Above1
1.02	Terms Defined in Agreement1
1.03	References1
1.04	Articles and Sections2
1.05	Number and Gender2
ARTICLE II AM	MENDMENTS2

PAGE

2.01	Amendment of Section 1.22
2.02	Amendment of Section 2.11(a)3
2.03	Amendment of Section 2.123
2.04	Amendment of Section 2.143
2.05	Amendment of Section 6.14
2.06	Amendment of Section 6.24
2.07	Amendment of Section 6.44
2.08	Amendment of Section 6.54
2.09	Amendment of Section 6.8(h)4
2.10	Amendment of Exhibit I4
2.11	Amendment of Exhibit V5
2.12	Amendment of Exhibit VIII5
2.13	Amendment of Exhibit X5
ARTICLE	III CONDITIONS5
3.01	Receipt of Documents5
3.02	Accuracy of Representations and Warranties6
3.03	Matters Satisfactory to Lenders6
ARTICLE	IV REPRESENTATIONS AND WARRANTIES
ARTICLE	V RATIFICATION6
ARTICLE	VI MISCELLANEOUS
6.01	Scope of Amendment6
6.02	Agreement as Amended6
6.03	Parties in Interest6
6.04	Rights of Third Parties6
6.05	ENTIRE AGREEMENT6
6.06	JURISDICTION AND VENUE7
	i

Exhibit	I	Form of Promissory Note
Exhibit	V	Facility Amounts
Exhibit	VIII	Subsidiaries and Partnerships
Exhibit	X	Pricing Schedule

ii

THIRD AMENDMENT TO FIRST AMENDED AND RESTATED CREDIT AGREEMENT

This THIRD AMENDMENT TO FIRST AMENDED AND RESTATED CREDIT AGREEMENT (this "Third Amendment") is made and entered into effective as of October 2, 2006, by and among SWIFT ENERGY COMPANY, a Texas corporation, and SWIFT ENERGY OPERATING, LLC, a Texas limited liability company, (collectively the "Borrower"), each

lender that is a signatory hereto or becomes a signatory hereto as provided in Section 9.1 of the Credit Agreement (individually, together with its successors and assigns, a "Lender" and, collectively, together with their respective successors and assigns, the "Lenders"), and JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A., (successor by merger to Bank One, NA (Main Office Chicago)), a national banking association, as Administrative Agent for the Lenders (in such capacity, together with its successors in such capacity pursuant to the terms hereof, the "Administrative Agent"), J.P. MORGAN SECURITIES, INC. as Sole Lead Arranger and Sole Book Runner, WELLS FARGO BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, as Syndication Agent, BNP PARIBAS, as Syndication Agent, CALYON NEW YORK BRANCH as Documentation Agent and SOCIETE GENERALE as Documentation Agent.

WITNESSETH

WHEREAS, SWIFT ENERGY COMPANY, a Texas corporation prior to merging ("Old Swift") into Swift Energy Operating, LLC ("Operating"), was the Borrower in the First Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated June 29, 2004, as amended by First Amendment to First Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated October 21, 2005, and as further amended by Second Amendment to First Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated December 28, 2005 (the "Agreement") to reflect that Operating and the newly formed parent holding company, Swift Energy Company, a Texas corporation ("Swift") were the parties to the credit agreement, to which reference is made for all purposes;

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual covenants and agreements of the parties to the Agreement, as set forth therein, and the mutual covenants and agreements of the parties hereto, as set forth in this Third Amendment, the parties hereto agree as follows:

ARTICLE I DEFINITIONS

- 1.01.....Terms Defined Above. As used herein, each of the terms "Administrative Agent," "Agreement," "Borrower," "Lender" "Lenders" and "Third Amendment" shall have the meaning assigned to such term hereinabove.
- 1.02.....Terms Defined in Agreement. As used herein, each term defined in the Agreement shall have the meaning assigned thereto in the Agreement, unless expressly provided herein to the contrary.
- 1.03.....References. References in this Third Amendment to Article or Section numbers shall be to Articles and Sections of this Third Amendment, unless expressly stated herein to the contrary. References in this Third Amendment to "hereby," "herein," hereinafter," hereinabove," "hereinbelow," "hereof," and "hereunder" shall be to this Third Amendment in its entirety and not only to the particular Article or Section in which such reference appears.

1

- 1.04....Articles and Sections. This Third Amendment, for convenience only, has been divided into Articles and Sections and it is understood that the rights, powers, privileges, duties, and other legal relations of the parties hereto shall be determined from this Third Amendment as an entirety and without regard to such division into Articles and Sections and without regard to headings prefixed to such Articles and Sections.
- 1.05.....Number and Gender. Whenever the context requires, reference herein made

to the single number shall be understood to include the plural and likewise the plural shall be understood to include the singular. Words denoting sex shall be construed to include the masculine, feminine, and neuter, when such construction is appropriate, and specific enumeration shall not exclude the general, but shall be construed as cumulative. Definitions of terms defined in the singular and plural shall be equally applicable to the plural or singular, as the case may be.

ARTICLE II AMENDMENTS

The Borrower, Administrative Agent and the Lenders hereby amend the Agreement in the following particulars:

2.01.....Amendment of Section 1.2. Section 1.2 of the Agreement is hereby amended as follows:

The following definitions are amended or deleted as follows:

"Base Rate" shall be deleted and replaced by "Prime Rate".

"Controlled Foreign Subsidiaries" shall mean Swift Energy New Zealand Limited, Swift Energy Canada, Ltd. and Southern Petroleum (New Zealand) Exploration Limited.

"Final Maturity" shall mean October 3, 2011.

"Future Net Investments in New Zealand" shall mean Investments by the Borrower, on a non-consolidated basis, beginning in the year 2002, in its Subsidiaries in New Zealand for the purpose of production of oil, gas and other hydrocarbons in New Zealand which shall take into consideration the amount of dollars invested and the amount of dollars returned to the Borrower from such Subsidiaries to arrive at the sum which will be the net investment for the Borrower in New Zealand.

"Prime Rate" shall mean the rate of interest per annum announced from time to time by the Administrative Agent as its Prime Rate. The Prime Rate is a variable rate and each change in the Prime Rate is effective from and including the date the change is announced as being effective. THE PRIME RATE IS A REFERENCE RATE AND MAY NOT BE THE ADMINISTRATIVE AGENT'S LOWEST RATE.

2

- 2.02 Amendment of Section 2.11(a). Section 2.11(a) of the Agreement is amended to read as follows:
 - "2.11 Borrowing Base Determinations (a) The Borrowing Base as of October 2, 2006, is acknowledged by the Borrower and the Lenders to be \$250,000,000."
- 2.03 Amendment of Section 2.12. The second paragraph of Section 2.12 of the Agreement shall be amended to read as follows:
 - "2.12 Mandatory Prepayments.

"If at any time and from time to time, there are no outstanding amounts due and owing under this Agreement, Borrower shall be entitled to sell Oil and Gas Properties which are part of the Borrowing Base and retain the sales proceeds therefrom without the consent of the Lenders, but subject to a reduction in the then existing Borrowing Base by the amount of the Release Price (as hereinafter defined) for such properties. Upon the sale of any Oil and Gas Properties which are part of the Borrowing Base in excess of 10% of the Borrowing Base amount during any fiscal year while there are amounts due and owing under this Agreement (no such sale will be permitted without the prior written consent of the Required Lenders), the Borrower will immediately make a prepayment of principal on the loans equal to, and the Borrowing Base will be automatically reduced by, an amount equal to 100% of the Release Price of the sold properties. The term "Release Price" means the price determined by the Required Lenders in their discretion based upon the loan value of the Oil and Gas Properties being sold by the Borrower that the Required Lenders in their discretion (using such methodology, assumptions and discount rates as such Lender's customarily use in assigning loan value to Oil and Gas Properties) assign to such oil and gas properties as of the time in question."

2.04 Amendment of Section 2.14. Section 2.14 of the Agreement is hereby amended to read as follows:

"2.14 Commitment Amount. The Commitment Amount as of October 2, 2006, is \$250,000,000. The Commitment Amount may be reduced by the Borrower, in multiples of \$10,000,000, upon three Business Days' prior written notice to the Administrative Agent but not more than two times in any fiscal year. At any time after the Closing Date, and so long as no Default or Event of Default has occurred and is continuing, Borrower shall have the right (without the consent of any Lender(s)) to increase the Commitment Amount to an aggregate amount of up to the then current Borrowing Base, provided that (i) each Lender shall be offered a pro rata share of any increase, (ii) no Lender's commitment shall be increased without its consent, and (iii) if needed, other eligible institutions may become Lenders to accommodate an increase."

3

2.05 Amendment of Section 6.1. Section 6.1 of the Agreement is hereby amended to read as follows by adding (1):

"6.1 Indebtedness; Contingent Obligations. ...,
and (1) amounts applicable to Controlled Foreign
Subsidiaries."

2.06 Amendment of Section 6.2. Section 6.2 of the Agreement is hereby amended to change (q) and add (h) as follows:

"6.2 Loans or Advances. (g) loans or advances to wholly owned Subsidiaries, not including Controlled Foreign Subsidiaries, for Oil and Gas related investments in an amount not to exceed \$5,000,000 in the aggregate unless such Subsidiary has provided a guaranty hereunder, or (h) loans or advances to Controlled Foreign Subsidiaries to the extent otherwise permitted under Section 6.8(h)."

- 2.07 Amendment of Section 6.4. Section 6.4 of the Agreement is hereby amended to change \$25,000,000 to \$50,000,000. The remainder of Section 6.4 shall not be changed.
- 2.08 Amendment of Section 6.5. Section 6.5 of the Agreement is hereby amended to read as follows:
 - "6.5 Dividends and Distributions. Declare, pay or make, whether in cash or other Property, any dividend or distribution on any share of any class of its capital stock other than cash dividends not exceeding \$15,000,000 in any fiscal year, provided that both before and after giving effect to any such distribution there shall exist no Default or Event of Default, and dividends paid in capital stock of the Borrower; or purchase, redeem or otherwise acquire, directly or indirectly, for value or set apart in any way for redemption, retirement or other acquisition, directly or indirectly, any of its stock now or hereafter outstanding; return any capital to its stockholders; or make any distribution (whether by reduction of capital or otherwise) of its assets to its stockholders. Provided, however, the Borrower may acquire of its common stock after the Closing Date having a fair market value at the time of Acquisition not to exceed in the aggregate \$50,000,000."
- 2.09 Amendment of Section 6.8(h). Section 6.8(h) of the Agreement is hereby amended to read as follows:
 - "6.8 Investments. ... and (h) Future Net Investments in New Zealand which may not exceed 10% of the Borrowing Base amount per year."
- 2.10 Amendment of Exhibit I. Exhibit I, i.e. the "Form of Promissory Note" shall be as set forth on Exhibit I to this Third Amendment to First Amended and Restated Credit Agreement.

4

- 2.11 Amendment of Exhibit V. Exhibit V, i.e., "Facility Amounts" shall be as set forth on Exhibit V of this Third Amendment to First Amended and Restated Credit Agreement.
- 2.12 Amendment of Exhibit VIII. Exhibit VIII, i.e. "Subsidiaries and Partnerships" shall be as set forth on Exhibit VIII to this Third Amendment to First Amended and Restated Credit Agreement.
- 2.13 Amendment of Exhibit X. Exhibit X, i.e., "Pricing Schedule" shall be as set forth on Exhibit X to this Third Amendment to First Amended and Restated Credit Agreement.

ARTICLE III CONDITIONS

The obligation of the Lenders to amend the Agreement as provided herein is subject to the fulfillment of the following conditions precedent:

3.01 Receipt of Documents. The Lenders shall have received, reviewed, and approved the following documents and other items, appropriately executed when necessary and in form and substance satisfactory to the Lenders:

- (a) multiple counterparts of this Third Amendment, as requested by the Lender;
- (b) the Notes;
- (c) Ratification of and Amendment to Act of Mortgage and Security Agreement;
- (d) Ratification of and Amendment to Mortgage, Deed of Trust, Security Agreement, Financing Statement, Fixture Filing and Assignment of Production;
- (e) a certificate of incumbency, including specimen signatures of all officers or other representatives of the Borrower who are authorized to execute Loan Documents on behalf of the Borrower, such certificate being executed by the secretary or an assistant secretary or another authorized representative of the Borrower;
- (f) copies of resolutions of the Borrower, adopted by the board of directors of the Borrower approving the Loan Documents to which the Borrower is a party and authorizing the transactions contemplated herein and therein, accompanied by a certificate dated the Closing Date issued by the secretary or assistant secretary or another authorized representative of the Borrower to the effect that such copies are true and correct copies of resolutions duly adopted and that such resolutions constitute all the resolutions adopted with respect to such transactions, have not been amended, modified, or rescinded in any respect, and are in full force and effect as of the date of such certificate; and
- (g) such other agreements, documents, items, instruments, opinions, certificates, waivers, consents, and evidence as the Administrative Agent may reasonably request.

5

- 3.02 Accuracy of Representations and Warranties. The representations and warranties contained in Article IV of the Agreement and this Third Amendment shall be true and correct.
- 3.03 Matters Satisfactory to Lenders. All matters incident to the consummation of the transactions contemplated hereby shall be satisfactory to the Administrative Agent and the Lenders.

ARTICLE IV REPRESENTATIONS AND WARRANTIES

The Borrower hereby expressly re-makes, in favor of the Lenders, all of the representations and warranties set forth in Article IV of the Agreement, and represents and warrants that all such representations and warranties remain true and unbreached.

ARTICLE V RATIFICATION

Each of the parties hereto does hereby adopt, ratify, and confirm the Agreement and the other Loan Documents, in all things in accordance with the terms and provisions thereof, as amended by this Third Amendment.

ARTICLE VI MISCELLANEOUS

- 6.01 Scope of Amendment. The scope of this Third Amendment is expressly limited to the matters addressed herein and this Third Amendment shall not operate as a waiver of any past, present, or future breach, Default, or Event of Default under the Agreement except to the extent, if any, that any such breach, Default, or Event of Default is remedied by the effect of this Third Amendment.
- 6.02 Agreement as Amended. All references to the Agreement in any document heretofore or hereafter executed in connection with the transactions contemplated in the Agreement shall be deemed to refer to the Agreement as amended by this Third Amendment.
- 6.03 Parties in Interest. All provisions of this Third Amendment shall be binding upon and shall inure to the benefit of the Borrower, the Administrative Agent and the Lenders and their respective successors and assigns.
- 6.04 Rights of Third Parties. All provisions herein are imposed solely and exclusively for the benefit of the Administrative Agent, the Lenders and the Borrower, and no other Person shall have standing to require satisfaction of such provisions in accordance with their terms and any or all of such provisions may be freely waived in whole or in part by the Lenders at any time if in their sole discretion it deems it advisable to do so.
- 6.05 ENTIRE AGREEMENT. THIS THIRD AMENDMENT CONSTITUTES THE ENTIRE AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE PARTIES HERETO WITH RESPECT TO THE SUBJECT HEREOF AND SUPERSEDES ANY PRIOR AGREEMENT, WHETHER WRITTEN OR ORAL, BETWEEN SUCH PARTIES REGARDING THE SUBJECT HEREOF. FURTHERMORE IN THIS REGARD, THIS THIRD AMENDMENT, THE AGREEMENT, THE NOTE, THE SECURITY INSTRUMENTS, AND THE OTHER WRITTEN DOCUMENTS REFERRED TO IN THE AGREEMENT OR EXECUTED IN CONNECTION WITH OR AS SECURITY FOR THE NOTES REPRESENT, COLLECTIVELY, THE FINAL AGREEMENT AMONG THE PARTIES THERETO AND MAY NOT BE CONTRADICTED BY EVIDENCE OF PRIOR, CONTEMPORANEOUS, OR SUBSEQUENT ORAL AGREEMENTS OF THE PARTIES. THERE ARE NO UNWRITTEN ORAL AGREEMENTS AMONG THE PARTIES.

6

6.06 JURISDICTION AND VENUE. ALL ACTIONS OR PROCEEDINGS WITH RESPECT TO, ARISING DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY IN CONNECTION WITH, OUT OF, RELATED TO, OR FROM THIS THIRD AMENDMENT, THE AGREEMENT OR ANY OTHER LOAN DOCUMENT MAY BE LITIGATED IN COURTS HAVING SITUS IN HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS. EACH OF THE BORROWER, THE ADMINISTRATIVE AGENT AND THE LENDERS HEREBY SUBMITS TO THE JURISDICTION OF ANY LOCAL, STATE, OR FEDERAL COURT LOCATED IN HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS, AND HEREBY WAIVES ANY RIGHTS IT MAY HAVE TO TRANSFER OR CHANGE THE JURISDICTION OR VENUE OF ANY LITIGATION BROUGHT AGAINST IT BY THE BORROWER, THE ADMINISTRATIVE AGENT OR THE LENDERS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS SECTION.

7

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, this Agreement is executed effective as of the date first above written.

BORROWER:

	SWIFT EN	ERGY COMPANY
	Ву:	
		Alton D. Heckaman, Jr. Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
	By•	
	-1.	Adrian D. Shelley Treasurer
SWIFT EN	ERGY OPER	ATING, LLC
	Ву:	Alton D. Heckaman, Jr. Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
	By:	
	•	Adrian D. Shelley Treasurer
Address for Notices: Swift Energy Company Swift Energy Operating, LLC 16825 Northchase Drive, Suite 400 Houston, Texas 77060 Attention: Alton D. Heckaman, Jr. Telecopy: (281) 874-2701		riedsuler
(Signatures	Continued	on Next Page)
	8	
ADMINISTRAT	IVE AGENT	AND LENDER:
		CHASE BANK, N.A., (successor by Bank One, NA (Main Office Chicago)
	Ву:	
	-	Charles Kingswell-Smith Managing Director
Applicable Lending Office		nanaging Director

for Alternative Base Rate Loans and Eurodollar Rate Loans:

10 South Dearborn, Floor 19 Chicago, Illinois 60603

Address for Notices:

600 Travis, 20th Floor Houston, Texas 77002

Attention: Charles Kingswell-Smith

Telecopy: (713) 216-7770

(Signatures Continued on Next Page)

9

LENDER

BANK OF SCOTLAND

By:

Printed Name:

Title:

Applicable Lending Office for Alternative Base Rate Loans and Eurodollar Rate Loans:

565 Fifth Avenue

New York, New York 10017 Attention: Shirley Vargas Telecopy: 212-479-2807

Address for Notices: 1021 Main Street, Suite 1370 Houston, Texas 77002

Attention: Richard Butler Telecopy: 713-651-9714

(Signatures Continued on Next Page)

	LENDER:
	NATEXIS BANQUES POPULAIRES
	By:
	Printed Name:
	Title:
	By:
	Printed Name:
	Title:
Applicable Lending Office for Alternative Base Rate Loans and Eurodollar Rate Loans:	d
333 Clay Street, Suite 4340 Houston, Texas 77002 Attention: Donovan Broussard	
Address for Notices:	
Attention: Telecopy:	
(Signatures	Continued on Next Page)
	11

LENDER:

COMPASS BANK

	By:
	Printed Name:
	Title:
Applicable Lending Office for Alternative Base Rate Loans and Eurodollar Rate Loans:	d
Address for Notices:	
(Signatures	Continued on Next Page)
	12
DOCUMENTA	TION AGENT AND LENDER:
	SOCIETE GENERALE
	Ву:
	Printed Name:
	Title:

Applicable Lending Office for Alternative Base Rate Loans and Eurodollar Rate Loans:

560 Lexington Avenue New York, New York 10022

Attention: Arlene Tellerman Telephone: 212-278-6086 Telecopy: 212-278-7490

Address for Notices:

1111 Bagby, Suite 2020 Houston, TX 77002

Attention: Mr. Jason Henderson

Ms. Elena Robciuc

Telecopy: 713-650-0824

(Signatures Continued on Next Page)

13

DOCUMENTATION AGENT AND LENDER:

CALYON NEW YORK BRANCH

By:
Printed Name:
Title:
By:
Printed Name:
Title:

Applicable Lending Office for Alternative Base Rate Loans and Eurodollar Rate Loans:

1301 Avenue of the Americas, 15th Floor New York, New York 10019

Attn: Loan Administration Department with a copy to: 1301 Travis, Suite 2100 Houston, Texas 77002 Attention: Tom Byargeon Address for Notices: 1301 Avenue of the Americas, 15th Floor New York, New York 10019 Attn: Loan Administration Department with a copy to: 1301 Travis, Suite 2100 Houston, TX 77002 Attention: Tom Byargeon Telecopy: 713-751-0307 (Signatures Continued on Next Page) 14 SYNDICATION AGENT AND LENDER: WELLS FARGO BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION By: Printed Name: _____ Title: ______

Applicable Lending Office for Alternative Base Rate Loans and Eurodollar Rate Loans:

1740 Broadway, 3rd Floor Denver, CO 80274 Attention: Tanya Ivie

Address for Notices:

1000 Louisiana St., 3rd Floor Houston, TX 77002 Attention: Chris Carter

(Signatures Continued on Next Page)

15

SYNDICATION AGENT AND LENDER:

BNP PARIBAS

By:	
Printed Name	:
Title:	

Applicable Lending Office for Alternative Base Rate Loans and Eurodollar Loans:

Address for Notices:

(Signatures Continued on Next Page)

16

	LENDER:
	COMERICA BANK
	By:
	Printed Name:
	Title:
Applicable Lending Office for Alternative Base Rate Loans and Eurodollar Rate Loans:	
39200 Six Mile Road Livonia, Michigan 48152 Attention: Jeffrey Zelenka Telecopy: 734-632-2993	
Address for Notices:	
910 Louisiana, Suite 410 Houston, Texas 77002 Attention: Huma Vadgama Telecopy: 713-220-5651	
(Signatures	Continued on Next Page)
	17
LENDER:	
	NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
APILGI DANK	NATIONAL ADDOCTATION
	D
	By:

Kenneth R. Batson, III

Vice President, Energy Lending

Applicable Lending Office for Alternative Base Rate Loans and Eurodollar Rate Loans:

AMEGY BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION Attention: Dana Chargois

Address for Notices:

P.O. Box 27459 Houston, Texas 77227 Attention: Dana Chargois Telecopy: 713-693-7467

18

EXHIBIT I

PROMISSORY NOTE

\$66,616,161.82 Houston, Texas

October 2, 2006

FOR VALUE RECEIVED and WITHOUT GRACE, the undersigned ("Maker") promises to pay to the order of JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A. ("Payee"), at the banking quarters of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., in Houston, Harris County, Texas, the sum of SIXTY-SIX MILLION SIX HUNDRED SIXTEEN THOUSAND ONE HUNDRED SIXTY-ONE AND 82/100 (\$66,616,161.82), or so much thereof as may be advanced against this Note pursuant to the First Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of June 29, 2004, by and among Maker, Bank One, NA, as a Lender and as the Administrative Agent, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as a Lender and as Syndication Agent, CALYON, as a Lender and as Documentation Agent and Societe Generale as a Lender and Documentation Agent, and the other Lenders signatory thereto (as amended, restated or supplemented from time to time, the "Credit Agreement"), together with interest at the rates and calculated as provided in the Credit Agreement. The indebtedness evidenced by this Note, both principal and interest, is payable as provided in the Credit Agreement.

Reference is hereby made to the Credit Agreement for matters governed thereby, including, without limitation, certain events which will entitle the Lenders to accelerate the maturity of all amounts due hereon. Capitalized terms used but not defined in this Note shall have the meanings assigned to such terms in the Credit Agreement.

This Note is issued pursuant to, is a "Note" under, and is payable as provided in, the Credit Agreement and is a substitution for and supersedes the Note dated December 28, 2005 and all other prior Notes under this Agreement.

This Note shall be governed and controlled by the laws of the State of Texas (without giving effect to the principles thereof relating to conflicts of law); provided, however, that CHAPTER 345 OF THE TEXAS FINANCE CODE (which regulates certain revolving credit loan accounts and revolving triparty accounts) shall not apply to this Note.

SWIFT ENERGY COMPANY

By:

Alton D. Heckaman, Jr. Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

By:

Adrian D. Shelley

Treasurer

[Page One of Two Page Note]

I-i

SWIFT ENERGY OPERATING, LLC

By:

Alton D. Heckaman, Jr. Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

By:

Adrian D. Shelley Treasurer

[Page Two of Two Page Note]

I-ii

EXHIBIT I
PROMISSORY NOTE
Houston, Texas

\$66,616,161.82

October 2, 2006

FOR VALUE RECEIVED and WITHOUT GRACE, the undersigned ("Maker") promises to pay to the order of CALYON NEW YORK BRANCH ("Payee"), at the banking quarters of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., in Houston, Harris County, Texas, the sum of SIXTY-SIX MILLION SIX HUNDRED SIXTEEN THOUSAND ONE HUNDRED SIXTY-ONE AND 82/100 (\$66,616,161.82), or so much thereof as may be advanced against this Note pursuant to the First Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of June 29, 2004, by and among Maker, Bank One, NA, as a Lender and as the Administrative Agent, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as a Lender and as Syndication Agent, CALYON, as a Lender and as Documentation Agent and Societe Generale as a Lender and Documentation Agent, and the other Lenders signatory thereto (as amended, restated or supplemented from time to time, the "Credit Agreement"),

together with interest at the rates and calculated as provided in the Credit Agreement. The indebtedness evidenced by this Note, both principal and interest, is payable as provided in the Credit Agreement.

Reference is hereby made to the Credit Agreement for matters governed thereby, including, without limitation, certain events which will entitle the Lenders to accelerate the maturity of all amounts due hereon. Capitalized terms used but not defined in this Note shall have the meanings assigned to such terms in the Credit Agreement.

This Note is issued pursuant to, is a "Note" under, and is payable as provided in, the Credit Agreement and is a substitution for and supersedes the Note dated December 28, 2005 and all other prior Notes under this Agreement.

This Note shall be governed and controlled by the laws of the State of Texas (without giving effect to the principles thereof relating to conflicts of law); provided, however, that CHAPTER 345 OF THE TEXAS FINANCE CODE (which regulates certain revolving credit loan accounts and revolving triparty accounts) shall not apply to this Note.

SWIFT ENERGY COMPANY

By:

Alton D. Heckaman, Jr. Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

By:

Adrian D. Shelley Treasurer

[Page One of Two Page Note]

I-i

SWIFT ENERGY OPERATING, LLC

By:

Alton D. Heckaman, Jr. Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

By:

Adrian D. Shelley Treasurer

[Page Two of Two Page Note]

I-ii

EXHIBIT I
PROMISSORY NOTE
Houston, Texas

\$66,616,161.82

October 2, 2006

FOR VALUE RECEIVED and WITHOUT GRACE, the undersigned ("Maker") promises to pay to the order of SOCIETE GENERALE ("Payee"), at the banking quarters of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., in Houston, Harris County, Texas, the sum of SIXTY-SIX MILLION SIX HUNDRED SIXTEEN THOUSAND ONE HUNDRED SIXTY-ONE AND 82/100 (\$66,616,161.82), or so much thereof as may be advanced against this Note pursuant to the First Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of June 29, 2004, by and among Maker, Bank One, NA, as a Lender and as the Administrative Agent, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as a Lender and as Syndication Agent, CALYON, as a Lender and as Documentation Agent and Societe Generale as a Lender and Documentation Agent, and the other Lenders signatory thereto (as amended, restated or supplemented from time to time, the "Credit Agreement"), together with interest at the rates and calculated as provided in the Credit Agreement. The indebtedness evidenced by this Note, both principal and interest, is payable as provided in the Credit Agreement.

Reference is hereby made to the Credit Agreement for matters governed thereby, including, without limitation, certain events which will entitle the Lenders to accelerate the maturity of all amounts due hereon. Capitalized terms used but not defined in this Note shall have the meanings assigned to such terms in the Credit Agreement.

This Note is issued pursuant to, is a "Note" under, and is payable as provided in, the Credit Agreement and is a substitution for and supersedes the Note dated December 28, 2005 and all other prior Notes under this Agreement.

This Note shall be governed and controlled by the laws of the State of Texas (without giving effect to the principles thereof relating to conflicts of law); provided, however, that CHAPTER 345 OF THE TEXAS FINANCE CODE (which regulates certain revolving credit loan accounts and revolving triparty accounts) shall not apply to this Note.

SWIFT ENERGY COMPANY

By:

Alton D. Heckaman, Jr. Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

By:

Adrian D. Shelley Treasurer

[Page One of Two Page Note]

I-iii

SWIFT ENERGY OPERATING, LLC

By:

Alton D. Heckaman, Jr. Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

By:

Adrian D. Shelley Treasurer

[Page Two of Two Page Note]

I-iv

EXHIBIT I
PROMISSORY NOTE
Houston, Texas

\$63,636,363.62

October 2, 2006

FOR VALUE RECEIVED and WITHOUT GRACE, the undersigned ("Maker") promises to pay to the order of WELLS FARGO BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION ("Payee"), at the banking quarters of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., in Houston, Harris County, Texas, the sum of SIXTY-THREE MILLION SIX HUNDRED THIRTY-SIX THOUSAND, THREE HUNDRED SIXTY-THREE AND 62/100 (\$63,636,363.62), or so much thereof as may be advanced against this Note pursuant to the First Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of June 29, 2004, by and among Maker, Bank One, NA, as a Lender and as the Administrative Agent, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as a Lender and Societe Generale as a Lender and Documentation Agent, and the other Lenders signatory thereto (as amended, restated or supplemented from time to time, the "Credit Agreement"), together with interest at the rates and calculated as provided in the Credit Agreement. The indebtedness evidenced by this Note, both principal and interest, is payable as provided in the Credit Agreement.

Reference is hereby made to the Credit Agreement for matters governed thereby, including, without limitation, certain events which will entitle the Lenders to accelerate the maturity of all amounts due hereon. Capitalized terms used but not defined in this Note shall have the meanings assigned to such terms in the Credit Agreement.

This Note is issued pursuant to, is a "Note" under, and is payable as provided in, the Credit Agreement and is a substitution for and supersedes the Note dated December 28, 2005 and all other prior Notes under this Agreement.

This Note shall be governed and controlled by the laws of the State of Texas (without giving effect to the principles thereof relating to conflicts of law); provided, however, that CHAPTER 345 OF THE TEXAS FINANCE CODE (which regulates certain revolving credit loan accounts and revolving triparty accounts) shall not apply to this Note.

SWIFT ENERGY COMPANY

By:

Alton D. Heckaman, Jr. Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

By:

Adrian D. Shelley Treasurer

[Page One of Two Page Note]

I-v

SWIFT ENERGY OPERATING, LLC

By:

Alton D. Heckaman, Jr. Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

By:

Adrian D. Shelley Treasurer

[Page Two of Two Page Note]

I-vi

EXHIBIT I
PROMISSORY NOTE
Houston, Texas

\$60,000,000.00

October 2, 2006

FOR VALUE RECEIVED and WITHOUT GRACE, the undersigned ("Maker") promises to pay to the order of BANK OF SCOTLAND ("Payee"), at the banking quarters of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., in Houston, Harris County, Texas, the sum of SIXTY MILLION AND 00/100 (\$60,000,000.00), or so much thereof as may be advanced against this Note pursuant to the First Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of June 29, 2004, by and among Maker, Bank One, NA, as a Lender and as the Administrative Agent, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as a Lender and as Syndication Agent, CALYON, as a Lender and as Documentation Agent and Societe Generale as a Lender and Documentation Agent, and the other Lenders signatory thereto (as amended, restated or supplemented from time to time, the "Credit Agreement"), together with interest at the rates and calculated as provided in the Credit Agreement. The indebtedness evidenced by this Note, both principal and interest, is payable as provided in the Credit Agreement.

Reference is hereby made to the Credit Agreement for matters governed thereby, including, without limitation, certain events which will entitle the Lenders to accelerate the maturity of all amounts due hereon. Capitalized terms used but not defined in this Note shall have the meanings assigned to such terms

in the Credit Agreement.

This Note is issued pursuant to, is a "Note" under, and is payable as provided in, the Credit Agreement and is a substitution for and supersedes the Note dated December 28, 2005 and all other prior Notes under this Agreement.

This Note shall be governed and controlled by the laws of the State of Texas (without giving effect to the principles thereof relating to conflicts of law); provided, however, that CHAPTER 345 OF THE TEXAS FINANCE CODE (which regulates certain revolving credit loan accounts and revolving triparty accounts) shall not apply to this Note.

SWIFT ENERGY COMPANY

By:

Alton D. Heckaman, Jr. Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

By:

Adrian D. Shelley Treasurer

[Page One of Two Page Note]

I-vii

SWIFT ENERGY OPERATING, LLC

By:

Alton D. Heckaman, Jr. Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

By:

Adrian D. Shelley Treasurer

[Page Two of Two Page Note]

I-viii

EXHIBIT I PROMISSORY NOTE

\$29,166,666.36

Houston, Texas October 2, 2006

FOR VALUE RECEIVED and WITHOUT GRACE, the undersigned ("Maker") promises to pay to the order of NATEXIS BANQUES POPULAIRES ("Payee"), at the banking quarters of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., in Houston, Harris County, Texas, the sum of TWENTY-NINE MILLION ONE HUNDRED SIXTY-SIX THOUSAND SIX HUNDRED SIXTY-SIX AND 36/100 (\$29,166,666.36), or so much thereof as may be advanced against this Note pursuant to the First Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of June 29, 2004, by and among Maker, Bank One, NA, as a Lender and as the Administrative Agent, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as a Lender and as Syndication Agent, CALYON, as a Lender and as Documentation Agent and Societe Generale as a Lender and Documentation Agent, and the other Lenders signatory thereto (as amended, restated or supplemented from time to time, the "Credit Agreement"), together with interest at the rates and calculated as provided in the Credit Agreement. The indebtedness evidenced by this Note, both principal and interest, is payable as provided in the Credit Agreement.

Reference is hereby made to the Credit Agreement for matters governed thereby, including, without limitation, certain events which will entitle the Lenders to accelerate the maturity of all amounts due hereon. Capitalized terms used but not defined in this Note shall have the meanings assigned to such terms in the Credit Agreement.

This Note is issued pursuant to, is a "Note" under, and is payable as provided in, the Credit Agreement and is a substitution for and supersedes the Note dated December 28, 2005 and all other prior Notes under this Agreement.

This Note shall be governed and controlled by the laws of the State of Texas (without giving effect to the principles thereof relating to conflicts of law); provided, however, that CHAPTER 345 OF THE TEXAS FINANCE CODE (which regulates certain revolving credit loan accounts and revolving triparty accounts) shall not apply to this Note.

SWIFT ENERGY COMPANY

By:

Alton D. Heckaman, Jr. Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

By:

Adrian D. Shelley Treasurer

[Page One of Two Page Note]

I-ix

SWIFT ENERGY OPERATING, LLC

By:

Alton D. Heckaman, Jr. Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

By:

Adrian D. Shelley

Treasurer

[Page Two of Two Page Note]

I-x

EXHIBIT I
PROMISSORY NOTE
Houston, Texas

\$29,166,666.36

October 2, 2006

FOR VALUE RECEIVED and WITHOUT GRACE, the undersigned ("Maker") promises to pay to the order of COMPASS BANK ("Payee"), at the banking quarters of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., in Houston, Harris County, Texas, the sum of TWENTY-NINE MILLION ONE HUNDRED SIXTY-SIX THOUSAND SIX HUNDRED SIXTY-SIX AND 36/100 (\$29,166,666.36), or so much thereof as may be advanced against this Note pursuant to the First Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of June 29, 2004, by and among Maker, Bank One, NA, as a Lender and as the Administrative Agent, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as a Lender and as Syndication Agent, CALYON, as a Lender and as Documentation Agent and Societe Generale as a Lender and Documentation Agent, and the other Lenders signatory thereto (as amended, restated or supplemented from time to time, the "Credit Agreement"), together with interest at the rates and calculated as provided in the Credit Agreement. The indebtedness evidenced by this Note, both principal and interest, is payable as provided in the Credit Agreement.

Reference is hereby made to the Credit Agreement for matters governed thereby, including, without limitation, certain events which will entitle the Lenders to accelerate the maturity of all amounts due hereon. Capitalized terms used but not defined in this Note shall have the meanings assigned to such terms in the Credit Agreement.

This Note shall be governed and controlled by the laws of the State of Texas (without giving effect to the principles thereof relating to conflicts of law); provided, however, that CHAPTER 345 OF THE TEXAS FINANCE CODE (which regulates certain revolving credit loan accounts and revolving triparty accounts) shall not apply to this Note.

SWIFT ENERGY COMPANY

By:

Alton D. Heckaman, Jr. Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

By:

Adrian D. Shelley Treasurer

[Page One of Two Page Note]

I-xi

SWIFT ENERGY OPERATING, LLC

By:

Alton D. Heckaman, Jr. Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

By:

Adrian D. Shelley Treasurer

[Page Two of Two Page Note]

I-xii

EXHIBIT I
PROMISSORY NOTE
Houston, Texas

\$54,545,454.52

October 2, 2006

FOR VALUE RECEIVED and WITHOUT GRACE, the undersigned ("Maker") promises to pay to the order of BNP PARIBAS ("Payee"), at the banking quarters of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., in Houston, Harris County, Texas, the sum of FIFTY-FOUR MILLION FIVE HUNDRED FORTY-FIVE THOUSAND FOUR HUNDRED FIFTY-FOUR AND 52/100 (\$54,545,454.52), or so much thereof as may be advanced against this Note pursuant to the First Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of June 29, 2004, by and among Maker, Bank One, NA, as a Lender and as the Administrative Agent, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as a Lender and as Syndication Agent, CALYON, as a Lender and as Documentation Agent and Societe Generale as a Lender and Documentation Agent, and the other Lenders signatory thereto (as amended, restated or supplemented from time to time, the "Credit Agreement"), together with interest at the rates and calculated as provided in the Credit Agreement. The indebtedness evidenced by this Note, both principal and interest, is payable as provided in the Credit Agreement.

Reference is hereby made to the Credit Agreement for matters governed thereby, including, without limitation, certain events which will entitle the Lenders to accelerate the maturity of all amounts due hereon. Capitalized terms used but not defined in this Note shall have the meanings assigned to such terms in the Credit Agreement.

This Note is issued pursuant to, is a "Note" under, and is payable as provided in, the Credit Agreement and is a substitution for and supersedes the

Note dated December 28, 2005 and all other prior Notes under this Agreement.

This Note shall be governed and controlled by the laws of the State of Texas (without giving effect to the principles thereof relating to conflicts of law); provided, however, that CHAPTER 345 OF THE TEXAS FINANCE CODE (which regulates certain revolving credit loan accounts and revolving triparty accounts) shall not apply to this Note.

SWIFT ENERGY COMPANY

By:

Alton D. Heckaman, Jr. Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

By:

Adrian D. Shelley

Treasurer

[Page One of Two Page Note]

I-xiii

SWIFT ENERGY OPERATING, LLC

By:

Alton D. Heckaman, Jr. Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

By:

Adrian D. Shelley

Treasurer

[Page Two of Two Page Note]

I-xiv

EXHIBIT I
PROMISSORY NOTE
Houston, Texas

\$31,818,181.82

October 2, 2006

FOR VALUE RECEIVED and WITHOUT GRACE, the undersigned ("Maker") promises to pay to the order of COMERICA BANK ("Payee"), at the banking quarters of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., in Houston, Harris County, Texas, the sum of THIRTY-ONE MILLION EIGHT HUNDRED EIGHTEEN THOUSAND ONE HUNDRED EIGHTY-ONE AND 82/100 (\$31,818,181.82), or so much thereof as may be advanced against this Note

pursuant to the First Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of June 29, 2004, by and among Maker, Bank One, NA, as a Lender and as the Administrative Agent, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as a Lender and as Syndication Agent, CALYON, as a Lender and as Documentation Agent and Societe Generale as a Lender and Documentation Agent, and the other Lenders signatory thereto (as amended, restated or supplemented from time to time, the "Credit Agreement"), together with interest at the rates and calculated as provided in the Credit Agreement. The indebtedness evidenced by this Note, both principal and interest, is payable as provided in the Credit Agreement.

Reference is hereby made to the Credit Agreement for matters governed thereby, including, without limitation, certain events which will entitle the Lenders to accelerate the maturity of all amounts due hereon. Capitalized terms used but not defined in this Note shall have the meanings assigned to such terms in the Credit Agreement.

This Note is issued pursuant to, is a "Note" under, and is payable as provided in, the Credit Agreement and is a substitution for and supersedes the Note dated December 28, 2005 and all other prior Notes under this Agreement.

This Note shall be governed and controlled by the laws of the State of Texas (without giving effect to the principles thereof relating to conflicts of law); provided, however, that CHAPTER 345 OF THE TEXAS FINANCE CODE (which regulates certain revolving credit loan accounts and revolving triparty accounts) shall not apply to this Note.

SWIFT ENERGY COMPANY

By:

Alton D. Heckaman, Jr. Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

By:

Adrian D. Shelley Treasurer

[Page One of Two Page Note]

I-xv

SWIFT ENERGY OPERATING, LLC

By:

Alton D. Heckaman, Jr. Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

By:

Adrian D. Shelley Treasurer

[Page Two of Two Page Note]

I-xvi

EXHIBIT I
PROMISSORY NOTE

\$31,818,181.82

Houston, Texas October 2, 2006

FOR VALUE RECEIVED and WITHOUT GRACE, the undersigned ("Maker") promises to pay to the order of AMEGY BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION ("Payee"), at the banking quarters of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., in Houston, Harris County, Texas, the sum of THIRTY-ONE MILLION EIGHT HUNDRED EIGHTEEN THOUSAND ONE HUNDRED EIGHTY-ONE AND 82/100 (\$31,818,181.82), or so much thereof as may be advanced against this Note pursuant to the First Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of June 29, 2004, by and among Maker, Bank One, NA, as a Lender and as the Administrative Agent, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as a Lender and as Syndication Agent, CALYON, as a Lender and as Documentation Agent and Societe Generale as a Lender and Documentation Agent, and the other Lenders signatory thereto (as amended, restated or supplemented from time to time, the "Credit Agreement"), together with interest at the rates and calculated as provided in the Credit Agreement. The indebtedness evidenced by this Note, both principal and interest, is payable as provided in the Credit Agreement.

Reference is hereby made to the Credit Agreement for matters governed thereby, including, without limitation, certain events which will entitle the Lenders to accelerate the maturity of all amounts due hereon. Capitalized terms used but not defined in this Note shall have the meanings assigned to such terms in the Credit Agreement.

This Note is issued pursuant to, is a "Note" under, and is payable as provided in, the Credit Agreement and is a substitution for and supersedes the Note dated December 28, 2005 and all other prior Notes under this Agreement.

This Note shall be governed and controlled by the laws of the State of Texas (without giving effect to the principles thereof relating to conflicts of law); provided, however, that CHAPTER 345 OF THE TEXAS FINANCE CODE (which regulates certain revolving credit loan accounts and revolving triparty accounts) shall not apply to this Note.

SWIFT ENERGY COMPANY

By:

Alton D. Heckaman, Jr. Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

By:

Adrian D. Shelley
Treasurer

[Page One of Two Page Note]

I-xvii

SWIFT ENERGY OPERATING, LLC

By:

Alton D. Heckaman, Jr. Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

By:

Adrian D. Shelley Treasurer

[Page Two of Two Page Note]

I-xviii

EXHIBIT V FACILITY AMOUNTS

	Facility	Borrowing	Commitment
Name of Lender	Amount	Base	Amount
JPMorgan Chase Bank, NA	\$66,616,161.82	\$33,308,080.91	\$33,308,080.91
CALYON	\$66,616,161.82	\$33,308,080.91	\$33,308,080.91
Societe Generale	\$66,616,161.82	\$33,308,080.91	\$33,308,080.91
Wells Fargo Bank, National	\$63,636,363.62	\$31,818,181.81	\$31,818,181.81
Association			
Bank of Scotland	\$60,000,000.00	\$30,000,000.00	\$30,000,000.00
BNP Paribas	\$54,545,454.52	\$27,272,727.26	\$27,272,727.26
Amegy Bank National	\$31,818,181.82	\$15,909,090.91	\$15,909,090.91
Association			
Comerica Bank	\$31,818,181.82	\$15,909,090.91	\$15,909,090.91
Compass Bank	\$29,166,666.36	\$14,583,333.18	\$14,583,333.18
Natexis Banques Populaires	\$29,166,666.36	\$14,583,333.18	\$14,583,333.18
Facility Totals	\$500,000,000.00	\$250,000,000	\$250,000,000

EXHIBIT VIII

SUBSIDIARIES AND PARTNERSHIPS

Percentage Ownership of Outstanding Common Stock,

Name	Outstanding Common Stock, Membership Interest or Partnership Interest (Distributive Share)	Place of Incorporation or Jurisdiction of Formation of Partnership	p
Subsidiaries: GASRS, Inc.	100.00%	TX	16825 No
SWENCO-Western, Inc.	100.00%	TX	16825 No Houston,
Swift Energy Marketing Company	100.00%	TX	16825 No Houston,
Swift Energy Exploration Services, Inc.	100.00%	TX	16825 No Houston,
Swift Energy International, Inc.	100.00%	DE	16825 No Houston,
Swift Energy Canada, Ltd.	100.00%	Canada	16825 No Houston,
Swift Energy Group, Inc.	100.00%	DE	103 Foul Wilmingt
Swift Energy New Zealand Limited	100.00%	New Zealand	16825 No Houston,
Swift Energy New Zealand Holdings Limited	100.00%	TX	16825 No Suite 40 Houston,
Swift Energy Operating, LLC	100.00%	TX	16825 No Suite 40 Houston,
	VIII-i		
Swift Energy USA, Inc.	100.00%	DE	103 Foul Wilmingt
Southern Petroleum (New Zealand) Exploration Limited	100.00%	TX	16825 No Suite 40 Houston,
Swift Energy Alaska, Inc.	100.00%	DE	16825 No Suite 40 Houston,
Partnerships: Swift Energy Development Program	40.00%	TX	c/o Swif

1996-A, Ltd.

Swift Energy Development Program 1998, Ltd.

40.00%

TX

Houston, c/o Swif 16825 No Houston,

16825 No

VIII-ii

X-i

EXHIBIT X

Pricing schedule

	Level I Status	Level II Status	Level III Status		
Applicable Margin					
Eurodollar Rate Loans	100 bps.	125 bps	150 bps	175	
Alternate Prime Rate Loans	0.00% bps	0.00% bps	0.00% bps	0.2	
Applicable Fee Rate (*)	25 bps	30 bps	35 bps	37.	

For the purposes of this Schedule, the following terms have the following meanings subject to the final paragraph of this Schedule:

"Borrowing Base Usage" means, as of any date, the percent of the Borrowing Base then in effect represented by the sum of (i) the aggregate principal amount of all loans then outstanding under this Agreement, plus (ii) the aggregate face amount of all Letters of Credit then outstanding under this Agreement.

"Level I Status" exists at any date if the Borrowing Base Usage as of such date is less than 50%.

"Level II Status" exists at any date if the Borrowing Base Usage as of such date is less than 75%, but equal to or in excess of 50%.

"Level III Status" exists at any date if the Borrowing Base Usage as of such date is less than 90%, but equal to or in excess of 75%.

"Level IV Status" exists at any date, if the Borrower has not qualified for Level I Status, Level II Status, or Level III Status.

"Status" means Level I Status, Level II Status, Level III Status, or Level IV Status.

Applicable Fee Rate determined in accordance with the pricing Schedule hereto on the average daily unused (outstanding Letters of Credit will count as usage) portion of the Commitment Amount, payable quarterly in arrears to the Administrative Agent for the ratable benefit of the Lenders (including the Administrative Agent) from closing until the Final Maturity.

The Applicable Margin and Applicable Fee Rate shall be determined by the Administrative Agent from time to time in accordance with the foregoing table.

X-i