Coeur Mining, Inc. Form 10-Q May 07, 2014

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, DC 20549

FORM 10-Q

Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
 For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2014

Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the transition period from to
Commission file number 001-08641

COEUR MINING, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware 82-0109423
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) Identification No.)

104 S. Michigan Ave., Suite 900 Chicago, Illinois 60603 (Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code) (312) 489-5800

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days: Yes þ No "Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files.) Yes þ No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer b Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer "Smaller reporting company Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes "No b

The Company has 150,000,000 shares of common stock, par value of \$0.01, authorized of which 103,524,301 shares were issued and outstanding as of May 6, 2014.

COEUR MINING, INC. INDEX

| Part I. | Financial Information | Page |
|----------|---|-----------|
| | Item 1. Financial Statements | |
| | Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) (Unaudited) | <u>3</u> |
| | Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) | <u>4</u> |
| | Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets | <u>5</u> |
| | Condensed Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity | <u>6</u> |
| | Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | 7 |
| | Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | <u>29</u> |
| | Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk | <u>37</u> |
| | Item 4. Controls and Procedures | <u>39</u> |
| Part II. | Other Information | |
| | Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | <u>40</u> |
| | Item 1A. Risk Factors | <u>40</u> |
| | Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures | <u>49</u> |
| | Item 6. Exhibits | <u>50</u> |
| | | |

COEUR MINING, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) (UNAUDITED)

| | | Three months | ended N | March 31, | |
|--|------|-------------------|---------|-------------|---|
| | | 2014 | | 2013 | |
| | Note | es (In thousands, | except | share data) | |
| Revenue | 3 | \$159,633 | | \$171,797 | |
| COSTS AND EXPENSES | | | | | |
| Costs applicable to sales ⁽¹⁾ | 3 | 106,896 | | 88,059 | |
| Amortization | | 40,459 | | 49,724 | |
| General and administrative | | 13,896 | | 10,227 | |
| Exploration | | 4,217 | | 6,841 | |
| Write-downs | | | | 119 | |
| Pre-development, reclamation, and other | | 6,984 | | 5,197 | |
| Total costs and expenses | | 172,452 | | 160,167 | |
| OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE), NET | | | | | |
| Fair value adjustments, net | 9 | (11,436 |) | 17,796 | |
| Impairment of marketable securities | 11 | (2,588 |) | (35 |) |
| Interest income and other, net | | (1,983 |) | 3,856 | |
| Interest expense, net of capitalized interest | 16 | (13,054 |) | (9,732 |) |
| Total other income (expense), net | | (29,061 |) | 11,885 | |
| Income (loss) before income and mining taxes | | (41,880 |) | 23,515 | |
| Income and mining tax (expense) benefit | 7 | 4,689 | ŕ | (11,245 |) |
| NET INCOME (LOSS) | | \$(37,191 |) | \$12,270 | |
| OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS), net of tax: | | | ŕ | | |
| Unrealized gain (loss) on marketable securities, net of tax of \$(2) | 234) | 271 | | (2.566 | , |
| in 2014 | ŕ | 371 | | (3,566 |) |
| Reclassification adjustments for impairment of marketable | | 1.507 | | 2.5 | |
| securities, net of tax of \$(1,001) in 2014 | | 1,587 | | 35 | |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | | 1,958 | | (3,531 |) |
| COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) | | \$(35,233 |) | \$8,739 | , |
| | | | , | , | |
| NET INCOME (LOSS) PER SHARE | 8 | | | | |
| Basic | | \$(0.36 |) | \$0.14 | |
| | | • | , | | |
| Diluted | | \$(0.36 |) | \$0.14 | |
| (1) Excludes amortization. | | • | , | | |

⁽¹⁾ Excludes amortization.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

COEUR MINING, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)

| | | Three months | eı | | 31, |
|--|-------|----------------|----|-----------|-----|
| | | 2014 | | 2013 | |
| | Notes | (In thousands) | | | |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: | | | | | |
| Net income (loss) | | \$(37,191 |) | \$12,270 | |
| Adjustments: | | | | | |
| Amortization | | 40,459 | | 49,724 | |
| Accretion | | 4,560 | | 4,904 | |
| Deferred income taxes | | (11,781 |) | 7,425 | |
| Loss on termination of revolving credit facility | | 3,035 | | _ | |
| Fair value adjustments, net | | 10,557 | | (16,042 |) |
| Gain on foreign currency transactions | | (209 |) | (465 |) |
| Stock-based compensation | 5 | 2,565 | | 1,096 | |
| (Gain) loss on sale of assets | | 271 | | (868 |) |
| Impairment of marketable securities | 11 | 2,588 | | 35 | |
| Write-downs | | _ | | 119 | |
| Other | | _ | | 526 | |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | | | | |
| Receivables | | 5,622 | | 3,968 | |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | | (8,109 |) | (2,240 |) |
| Inventory and ore on leach pads | 13 | (13,912 |) | (20,493 |) |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | | (8,082 |) | (27,025 |) |
| CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | (9,627 |) | 12,934 | |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: | | | | | |
| Capital expenditures | | (11,936 |) | (12,827 |) |
| Purchase of short-term investments and marketable securities | | (46,220 |) | (4,649 |) |
| Sales and maturities of short-term investments | | 90 | | 4,822 | |
| Other | | (25 |) | (10,610 |) |
| CASH USED IN INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | (58,091 |) | (23,264 |) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | | | | |
| Issuance of notes and bank borrowings | 16 | 153,000 | | 300,000 | |
| Payments on long-term debt, capital leases, and associated costs | | (4,111 |) | (55,340 |) |
| Gold production royalty payments | | (14,683 |) | (15,448 |) |
| Share repurchases | | | | (12,557 |) |
| Other | | (246 |) | (454 |) |
| CASH PROVIDED BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | 133,960 | | 216,201 | |
| INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | | 66,242 | | 205,871 | |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | | 206,690 | | 125,440 | |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | | \$272,932 | | \$331,311 | |
| • | | | | | |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

COEUR MINING, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

| CONDENSED CONSOCIEDATED BALANCE SHEETS | | March 31, 2014 (Unaudited) | December 31, 2013 |
|--|-------|----------------------------------|--------------------|
| ASSETS | Notes | (In thousands, e | except share data) |
| CURRENT ASSETS | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | | \$ 272,932 | \$ 206,690 |
| Investments | 11 | 45,628 | |
| Receivables | 12 | 75,806 | 81,074 |
| Ore on leach pads | | 59,895 | 50,495 |
| Inventory | 13 | 133,578 | 132,023 |
| Deferred tax assets | 7 | 34,998 | 35,008 |
| Prepaid expenses and other | | 30,835 | 25,940 |
| | | 653,672 | 531,230 |
| NON-CURRENT ASSETS | | | |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 14 | 476,837 | 486,273 |
| Mining properties, net | 15 | 1,740,474 | 1,751,501 |
| Ore on leach pads | | 34,485 | 31,528 |
| Restricted assets | | 7,426 | 7,014 |
| Marketable securities | 11 | 15,646 | 14,521 |
| Receivables | 12 | 36,271 | 36,574 |
| Debt issuance costs, net | | 11,356 | 10,812 |
| Deferred tax assets | 7 | 829 | 1,189 |
| Other | | 9,989 | 15,336 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | | \$ 2,986,985 | \$ 2,885,978 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | | |
| CURRENT LIABILITIES | | | |
| Accounts payable | | \$ 49,958 | \$ 53,847 |
| Accrued liabilities and other | | 29,861 | 38,266 |
| Debt | 16 | 8,095 | 2,505 |
| Royalty obligations | 9,10 | 50,250 | 48,019 |
| Reclamation | 4 | 762 | 913 |
| Deferred tax liabilities | 7 | 1,858 | 1,011 |
| | | 140,784 | 144,561 |
| NON-CURRENT LIABILITIES | | | |
| Debt | 16 | 456,152 | 306,130 |
| Royalty obligations | 9,10 | 62,390 | 65,142 |
| Reclamation | 4 | 58,630 | 57,515 |
| Deferred tax liabilities | 7 | 544,096 | 556,246 |
| Other long-term liabilities | | 27,236 | 25,817 |
| | | 1,148,504 | 1,010,850 |
| STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | , | , |
| Common stock, par value \$0.01 per share; authorized 150,000,000 shares, | | | |
| issued and outstanding 103,584,671 at March 31, 2014 and 102,843,003 at | | 1,035 | 1,028 |
| December 31, 2013 | | * | • |
| Additional paid-in capital | | 2,783,520 | 2,781,164 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | | (2,948) | (4,906) |
| Accumulated deficit | | (1,083,910) | (1,046,719) |
| | | | |

TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

1,697,697 \$ 2,986,985 1,730,567 \$ 2,885,978

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

COEUR MINING, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

| (In thousands) | Common Stock Shares | Common Stock Par Value | Additional Paid In Capital | - Accumulated Deficit | Accumulated Other Comprehensi Loss | | Total |
|--|---------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|---|---|-------------|
| Balances at December 31, 2013 | 102,843 | \$1,028 | \$ 2,781,164 | \$(1,046,719) | \$ (4,906 |) | \$1,730,567 |
| Net loss | | | _ | (37,191) | | | (37,191) |
| Other comprehensive income | | | _ | _ | 1,958 | | 1,958 |
| Common stock issued under | | | | | | | |
| stock-based compensation | 742 | 7 | 2,356 | _ | | | 2,363 |
| plans, net | | | | | | | |
| Balances at March 31, 2014 (Unaudited) | 103,585 | \$1,035 | \$ 2,783,520 | \$(1,083,910) | \$ (2,948 |) | \$1,697,697 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Coeur Mining, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

NOTE 1 - BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The interim condensed consolidated financial statements of Coeur Mining, Inc. (collectively "Coeur" or "the Company") are unaudited. In the opinion of management, all adjustments and disclosures necessary for the fair presentation of these interim statements have been included. The results reported in these interim statements may not be indicative of the results reported for the year ending December 31, 2014. The condensed consolidated December 31, 2013 balance sheet data was derived from the audited consolidated financial statements. Accordingly, these unaudited interim consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2013 included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013 (the "2013 10-K").

NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES Recent Accounting Standards

On January 1, 2014, the Company adopted ASU 2013-11, "Income Taxes (Topic 740): Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists." The updated guidance requires an entity to net its unrecognized tax benefits against the deferred tax assets for all same jurisdiction net operating loss carryforwards, a similar tax loss, or tax credit carryforwards. A gross presentation will be required only if such carryforwards are not available or would not be used by the entity to settle any additional income taxes resulting from disallowance of the uncertain tax provision. The Company's adoption had no impact on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

NOTE 3 – SEGMENT REPORTING

The Company's operating segments include the Palmarejo, San Bartolomé, Rochester, and Kensington mines, the La Preciosa project, and Coeur Capital. All operating segments are engaged in the discovery and mining of gold and silver and generate the majority of their revenues from the sale of these precious metals with the exception of Coeur Capital, which holds the Endeavor silver stream and other precious metals royalties. Other includes the Joaquin project, Martha mine, corporate headquarters, elimination of intersegment transactions, and other items necessary to reconcile to consolidated amounts.

Financial information relating to the Company's segments is as follows (in thousands):

| Three months ended March 31, 2014 | Palmarejo Mine | San Bartolomé Mine | Kensingtor Mine | Rochester Mine | La Preciosa | Coeur Capital | Other | Total | |
|--|-------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|----------------|------------------|-------------|-----------|---|
| Revenue | | | | | | | | | |
| Metal sales | \$67,988 | \$27,554 | \$36,061 | \$24,154 | \$ — | \$2,890 | \$ — | \$158,647 | |
| Royalties | _ | | _ | _ | _ | 986 | _ | 986 | |
| | 67,988 | 27,554 | 36,061 | 24,154 | | 3,876 | _ | 159,633 | |
| Costs and Expenses | } | | | | | | | | |
| Costs applicable to sales ⁽¹⁾ | 43,574 | 18,901 | 28,531 | 14,708 | _ | 1,182 | _ | 106,896 | |
| Amortization | 18,659 | 4,457 | 10,709 | 4,451 | 17 | 1,702 | 464 | 40,459 | |
| Exploration | 1,005 | 26 | 1,044 | 1,174 | 184 | 203 | 581 | 4,217 | |
| Other operating expenses | 297 | 140 | 191 | 1,345 | 4,971 | 241 | 13,695 | 20,880 | |
| Other income | | | | | | | | | |
| (expense) | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest income and other, net | (1,569 |) 682 | _ | 19 | (16) | (2,548) | (1,139) | (4,571 |) |
| | (2,824 |) (20) | (22) | (4) | | _ | (10,184) | (13,054 |) |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Interest expense,

net

| Fair value adjustments, net Income and mining | (10,237) | _ | _ | (673) | _ | _ | (526) | (11,436) |
|---|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| tax (expense) | 3,828 | (2,764) | | _ | (107 | (288) | 4,020 | 4,689 |
| benefit | , | , | | | , | , | • | , |
| Net income (loss) | \$(6,349) | \$1,928 | \$(4,436) | \$1,818 | \$(5,295) | \$(2,288) | \$(22,569) | \$(37,191) |
| Segment assets ⁽²⁾ | \$1,152,913 | \$285,072 | \$332,563 | \$192,409 | \$410,998 | \$67,173 | \$110,768 | \$2,551,896 |
| Capital expenditures | \$3,742 | \$1,441 | \$4,711 | \$959 | \$138 | \$ — | \$945 | \$11,936 |

⁽¹⁾ Excludes amortization

_

⁽²⁾ Segment assets consist of receivables, prepaids, inventories, property, plant and equipment, and mineral interests

(in thousands)

Australia

Argentina

Bolivia

Mexico

Long Lived Assets United States

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

San

| Three months ended March 31, 2013 | Palmarejo Mine | Bartolomé Mine | Kensington Mine | Rochester Mine | La Preciosa | Coeur Capital | Other | | Total | |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------|------------|----------------|---|
| Revenue Metal sales Royalties | \$57,426 — | \$33,141 — | \$ 39,274 — | \$39,474 — | \$— — | \$2,983 | \$(501 — |) | \$171,797 — | |
| Royanies | \$57,426 | \$33,141 | \$39,274 | \$39,474 | \$ — | \$2,983 | \$(501 |) | \$171,797 | |
| Costs and Expenses | | | | | | | | | | |
| Costs applicable to sales ⁽¹⁾ | 26,718 | 15,678 | 23,565 | 20,777 | _ | 1,321 | _ | | 88,059 | |
| Amortization | 28,782 | 4,640 | 13,286 | 1,852 | _ | 828 | 336 | | 49,724 | |
| Exploration | 1,980 | 53 | 672 | 484 | | 308 | 3,344 | | 6,841 | |
| Write-downs Other energing | | _ | | _ | _ | _ | 119 | | 119 | |
| Other operating expenses | 168 | 3,837 | 176 | 473 | _ | (54) | 10,824 | | 15,424 | |
| Other income | | | | | | | | | | |
| (expense) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest income and | 1,941 | 605 | 130 | 57 | _ | 11 | 1,077 | | 3,821 | |
| other, net Interest expense, net | • | (32) | (259) | (5) | _ | | (5,698 |) | |) |
| Fair value | | (32) | , | (5) | | | | | | ′ |
| adjustments, net | 14,429 | | 4,227 | | | | (860 |) | 17,796 | |
| Income and mining tax (expense) benefit | (3,534) | (4,328) | | (725) | _ | (54) | (2,604 |) | (11,245 |) |
| Net income (loss) | \$8,876 | \$5,178 | \$5,673 | \$15,215 | \$— | \$537 | \$(23,20 | 9) | \$12,270 | |
| Segment assets ⁽²⁾ | \$1,900,071 | \$303,761 | \$498,762 | \$114,381 | \$ | \$32,311 | \$114,37 | 78 | \$2,963,664 | |
| Capital expenditures | | \$457 | \$3,330 | \$3,299 | \$ — | \$ — | \$427 | | \$12,827 | |
| (1) Excludes amort(2) Segment assets | | eaivables pr | anaide invan | tories prope | arty nlant | and aquinn | ant and | mi | nara1 | |
| interests | consist of fee | civables, pro | paids, mven | itories, prope | orty, piant | and equipm | iciit, aiiu | 11111 | iciai | |
| (in thousands) | | | | | | March 31 | , 2014 | Dec 201 | cember 31, | |
| Assets | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total assets for repor | • | S | | | | \$2,551,89 | 96 | | 558,819 | |
| Cash and cash equiva | | | | | | 272,932 | | 206 | ,690 | |
| Short term investment Other assets | its | | | | | 45,628 116,529 | | 120 | ,469 | |
| Total consolidated as | sets | | | | | \$2,986,9 | 85 | | 885,978 | |
| | | | | | | . , | | . , | , - | |
| Geographic Informat | ion | | | | | | | _ | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

December 31,

2013

\$384,626

25,668

94,705

235,085

1,487,228

March 31, 2014

\$378,459

24,715

94,558

231,336

1,472,092

 Other Foreign Countries
 16,151
 10,462

 Total
 \$2,217,311
 \$2,237,774

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

| | Three months | Three months ended March 31, | | |
|----------------|--------------|------------------------------|---|--|
| (in thousands) | 2014 | 2013 | | |
| Revenue | | | | |
| United States | \$60,215 | \$78,748 | | |
| Mexico | 68,511 | 57,426 | | |
| Bolivia | 27,554 | 33,141 | | |
| Australia | 2,889 | 2,983 | | |
| Other | 464 | (501 |) | |
| Total | \$159,633 | \$171,797 | | |

NOTE 4 - RECLAMATION

Reclamation and mine closure costs are based principally on legal and regulatory requirements. Management estimates costs associated with reclamation of mining properties as well as remediation costs for inactive properties. The Company uses assumptions about future costs, mineral prices, mineral processing recovery rates, production levels, capital costs and reclamation costs. On an ongoing basis, management evaluates its estimates and assumptions and future expenditures could differ from current estimates.

Changes to the Company's asset retirement obligations are as follows (in thousands):

| | March 31, 2014 | December 31, | |
|---|----------------|--------------|---|
| | Watch 31, 2014 | 2013 | |
| Asset retirement obligation - Beginning | \$57,454 | \$34,457 | |
| Accretion | 1,317 | 3,442 | |
| Additions and changes in estimates | | 20,236 | |
| Settlements | (311) | (681 |) |
| Asset retirement obligation - Ending | \$58,460 | \$57,454 | |

The Company has accrued \$0.9 million and \$1.0 million at March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, for reclamation liabilities related to former mining activities. These amounts are also included in Reclamation in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

NOTE 5 – STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

The Company has stock incentive plans for executives and eligible employees. Stock awards include stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units, performance shares, and performance share units. Stock-based compensation expense for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 was \$2.6 million and \$1.1 million, respectively. At March 31, 2014, there was \$14.1 million of unrecognized stock-based compensation cost which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average remaining vesting period of 1.9 years.

The following table summarizes the grants awarded during the three months ended March 31, 2014:

| Grant date | Restricted stock | Grant date fair value of restricted stock | Stock options | Grant date fair value of stock options | Performance shares | Grant date fair value of performance shares |
|------------------|------------------|---|---------------|---|--------------------|--|
| January 17, 2014 | 243,351 | \$ 11.12 | 32,417 | \$4.39 | 345,833 | \$12.65 |
| March 26, 2014 | 435,208 | \$ 9.31 | 382,755 | \$3.74 | | \$ — |

The following options and stock appreciation rights were exercised during the three months ended March 31, 2014:

| Award Type | Number of Exercised Units | Weighted Averag Exercised Price | Number of Exercisable Units | Weighted Average Exercisable Price |
|------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Options | _ | \$ — | 233,473 | \$ 30.01 |

 Stock Appreciation Rights
 —
 \$—
 50,209
 \$ 14.15

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

NOTE 6 - RETIREMENT SAVINGS PLAN

The Company maintains a retirement savings plan (which qualifies under Section 401(k) of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code) covering all eligible U.S. employees. Under the plan, employees may elect to contribute up to 75% of base salary, subject to ERISA limitations. The Company maintains a Safe Harbor Match and is required to make matching contributions equal to 100% of the employee's contribution up to 4% of each employee's salary. In addition, the Company provides a noncontributory defined contribution based on a percentage of each eligible employee's salary. Total plan expenses recognized for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 were \$1.4 million and \$1.3 million, respectively.

NOTE 7 – INCOME AND MINING TAXES

For the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company reported an income and mining tax benefit of \$4.7 million and an income and mining tax expense of \$11.2 million, respectively.

The following table summarizes the components of the Company's income and mining (expense) benefit:

| Three months en | nded March 31, | |
|-----------------|--|---|
| 2014 | 2013 | |
| \$(146 |) \$(3,212 |) |
| 4,432 | 73 | |
| (303 |) (105 |) |
| 3,721 | (3,673 |) |
| (2,764 |) (4,328 |) |
| (251 |) — | |
| \$4,689 | \$(11,245 |) |
| | 2014 \$(146 4,432 (303 3,721 (2,764 (251 | \$(146) \$(3,212 4,432 73 (303) (105 3,721 (3,673 (2,764) (4,328 (251) — |

The income tax provision for the three months ended March 31, 2014 varies from the statutory rate primarily due to full valuation allowance positions in certain jurisdictions, foreign exchange rate differences, and differences in tax rates for the for Company's foreign operations.

The Company has U.S. net operating loss carryforwards which expire in 2019 through 2033. Net operating losses in foreign countries have an indefinite carryforward period, except in Mexico where net operating loss carryforwards are limited to ten years.

NOTE 8 - NET INCOME (LOSS) PER SHARE

Basic income (loss) per share is computed by dividing net income (loss) available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net income (loss) per share reflects the potential dilution that would occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock. For the three months ended March 31, 2014, 2,071,279 shares of common stock equivalents related to equity-based awards were not included in the diluted per share calculation due to the net loss incurred. For the three months ended March 31, 2013, 780,421 shares of common stock equivalents related to equity-based awards were not included in the diluted per share calculation as the shares would be antidilutive. The 3.25% Convertible Senior Notes were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 because there is no excess value upon conversion over the principal amount of the Notes.

| | Three months of | ended March 31, |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| In thousands except per share amounts | 2014 | 2013 |
| Net income (loss) available to common stockholders | \$(37,191 |) \$12,270 |
| Weighted average shares | | |
| Basic | 102,365 | 89,948 |
| Effect of share based compensation plans | _ | 88 |
| Diluted | 102,365 | 90,036 |

Income (loss) per share:

Basic \$(0.36) \$0.14 Diluted \$(0.36) \$0.14

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

NOTE 9 – FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The following table presents the components of Fair value adjustments, net in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) (in thousands):

| • | Three months ended March 31, | | |
|--|------------------------------|------------|---|
| | 2014 | 2013 | |
| Palmarejo royalty obligation embedded derivative | \$(4,019 |) \$23,534 | |
| Palmarejo gold production royalty obligation | (6,218 |) (9,105 |) |
| Rochester net smelter royalty obligation | (673 |) — | |
| Gold and silver put options | (1,494 |) 4,227 | |
| Foreign exchange contracts | 968 | (860 |) |
| | \$(11,436 |) \$17,796 | |

Accounting standards establish a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are described below:

Level 1 Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for identical, unrestricted assets or liabilities;

Level 2 Quoted market prices in markets that are not active, or inputs that are observable, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the asset or liability; and

Level 3 Prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both significant to the fair value measurement and unobservable (supported by little or no market activity).

The following table presents the Company's financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis (at least annually) by level within the fair value hierarchy. Assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement (in thousands):

| | Fair Value at March 31, 2014 | | | |
|--|------------------------------|-------------|-------------|----------|
| | Total | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Short-term investments | \$45,628 | \$45,628 | \$— | \$ |
| Marketable equity securities | 15,646 | 15,646 | | _ |
| Gold and silver put options | 992 | _ | 992 | _ |
| Other derivative instruments, net | 258 | _ | 258 | _ |
| | \$62,524 | \$61,274 | \$1,250 | \$— |
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| Palmarejo royalty obligation embedded derivative | \$44,357 | \$ — | \$ — | \$44,357 |
| Rochester net smelter royalty obligation | 22,303 | _ | | 22,303 |
| Gold and silver put options | 226 | _ | 226 | |
| | \$66,886 | \$ — | \$226 | \$66,660 |

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

| | Fair Value at December 31, 2013 | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | Total | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Marketable equity securities | \$14,521 | \$14,521 | \$ — | \$ — |
| Gold and silver put options | 135 | _ | 135 | |
| | \$14,656 | \$14,521 | \$135 | \$ — |
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| Palmarejo royalty obligation embedded derivative | \$40,338 | \$ — | \$ — | \$40,338 |
| Rochester net smelter royalty obligation | 21,630 | _ | _ | 21,630 |
| Other derivative instruments, net | 1,590 | _ | 1,590 | |
| | \$63,558 | \$ — | \$1,590 | \$61,968 |

The Company's short-term investments are readily convertible to cash. The Company's investments in marketable equity securities are recorded at fair market value in the financial statements based on quoted market prices, which are accessible at the measurement date for identical assets. Such instruments are classified within Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy.

The Company's gold and silver put and call options and other derivative instruments, net, which relate to the concentrate sales contracts and foreign exchange contracts, are valued using pricing models, which require inputs that are derived from observable market data, including contractual terms, forward market prices, yield curves and credit spreads. The model inputs can generally be verified and do not involve significant management judgment. Such instruments are classified within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

The estimated fair value of the Palmarejo royalty obligation embedded derivative and Rochester net smelter royalty obligation was estimated based on observable market data including contractual terms, forward silver and gold prices, yield curves and credit spreads. The Company's current mine plans are a significant input used in the estimated fair value of the Palmarejo royalty obligation embedded derivative and Rochester net smelter royalty obligation and is considered company specific and unobservable. Therefore, the Company has classified the Palmarejo royalty obligation embedded derivative and Rochester net smelter royalty obligation as Level 3 financial liabilities. Based on the current mine plans, an expected royalty duration of 2.3 years and 4.9 years were used to estimate the fair value of the Palmarejo royalty obligation embedded derivative and Rochester net smelter royalty obligation, respectively, at March 31, 2014.

No assets or liabilities were transferred between fair value levels in the three months ended March 31, 2014. The following table presents the changes in the fair value of the Company's Level 3 financial liabilities for the three months ended March 31, 2014:

| | Balance at the beginning of the period | Revaluation | Balance at the end of the period |
|--|--|-------------|----------------------------------|
| Palmarejo royalty obligation embedded derivative | \$40,338 | \$4,019 | \$44,357 |
| Rochester net smelter royalty obligation | 21,630 | 673 | 22,303 |

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

The fair value of financial assets and liabilities measured at book value in the financial statements at March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 are presented in the following table (in thousands):

| | March 31, 2014 | | | | |
|--|----------------|------------|---------|-------------|-------------|
| | Book Value | Fair Value | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| Liabilities: | | | | | |
| 3.25% Convertible Senior Notes due 2028 | \$5,334 | \$5,281 | \$ | \$5,281 | \$ — |
| 7.875% Senior Notes due 2021 | 452,964 | 454,127 | _ | 454,127 | _ |
| Palmarejo Gold Production Royalty Obligation | 45,980 | 57,377 | _ | _ | 57,377 |
| | December 31 | , 2013 | | | |
| | Book Value | Fair Value | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| Liabilities: | | | | | |
| 3.25% Convertible Senior Notes due 2028 | \$5,334 | \$5,067 | \$5,067 | \$ — | \$ — |
| 7.875% Senior Notes due 2021 | 300,000 | 307,314 | 307,314 | _ | _ |
| Palmarejo Gold Production Royalty Obligation | 51,193 | 65,212 | | _ | 65,212 |

The fair value at March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 of the 3.25% Convertible Senior Notes and 7.875% Senior Notes outstanding were estimated using quoted market prices. The fair value of debt was transferred to Level 2 from Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy at March 31, 2014 due to a change in observability of quoted market prices. The fair value of the Palmarejo gold production royalty obligation is estimated based on observable market data including contractual terms, forward silver and gold prices, yield curves and credit spreads. The Company's current mine plan is a significant input used in the estimated fair value of the Palmarejo gold production royalty obligation and is considered company specific and unobservable. Therefore, the Company has classified the Palmarejo gold production royalty obligation as Level 3 financial liabilities. Based on the current mine plan, an expected royalty duration of 2.3 years was used to estimate the fair value of the Palmarejo gold production royalty obligation as of March 31, 2014.

NOTE 10 – DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

Palmarejo Gold Production Royalty

On January 21, 2009, the Company entered into a gold production royalty transaction with Franco-Nevada Corporation. The royalty covers 50% of the life of mine production from the Palmarejo mine and adjacent properties. The royalty transaction includes a minimum obligation of 4,167 ounces per month that ends when payments have been made on a total of 400,000 ounces of gold. At March 31, 2014, a total of 124,103 ounces of gold remain outstanding under the minimum royalty obligation.

The price volatility associated with the minimum royalty obligation is considered an embedded derivative. The Company is required to recognize the change in fair value of the remaining minimum obligation due to changing gold prices. Unrealized gains are recognized in periods when the gold price has decreased from the previous period and unrealized losses are recognized in periods when the gold price increases. The fair value of the embedded derivative is reflected net of the Company's current credit adjusted risk free rate, which was 6.1% and 4.2% at March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The fair value of the embedded derivative at March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 was a liability of \$44.4 million and \$40.3 million, respectively. During the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, the mark-to-market adjustments for this embedded derivative amounted to losses of \$4.0 million and gains of \$23.5 million, respectively.

Payments on the royalty obligation decrease the carrying amount of the minimum obligation and the derivative liability. Each monthly payment is an amount equal to the greater of the minimum of 4,167 ounces of gold or 50% of the actual gold production per month multiplied by the excess of the monthly average market price of gold above \$408 per ounce, subject to a 1% annual inflation adjustment. For the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, realized losses on settlement of the liabilities were \$6.2 million and \$9.1 million, respectively. The mark-to-market adjustments and realized losses are included in Fair value adjustments, net in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss).

Foreign Exchange Hedges

The Company periodically enters into foreign currency derivative contracts to reduce the foreign exchange risk associated with Mexican peso ("MXN") operating costs at its Palmarejo mine. At March 31, 2014, the Company had outstanding call and put option contracts, or collars, on \$35.0 million with a weighted-average strike price of 12.56 MXN for the floor and 14.98 MXN

Coeur Mining, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

for the ceiling. The fair value of these contracts was \$0.1 million at March 31, 2014. At December 31, 2013, the Company had MXN foreign exchange forward contracts on \$12.0 million in U.S. dollars. These contracts required the Company to exchange U.S. dollars for MXN at a weighted average exchange rate of 12.21 MXN to each U.S. dollar and the fair value of those contracts was a liability of \$0.9 million at December 31, 2013. In addition, at December 31, 2013, the Company had outstanding collars on \$45.0 million with a weighted-average strike price of 12.60 MXN for the floor and 14.80 MXN for the ceiling. The fair value of these contracts was nil at December 31, 2013. The Company recorded mark-to-market gains of \$1.0 million and gains of \$0.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, on the MXN forward contracts and collars. These mark-to-market adjustments are reflected in Fair value adjustments, net in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss). The Company recorded realized losses of \$0.9 million and realized gains of \$0.6 million in Costs applicable to sales during the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Concentrate Sales Contracts

The Company's concentrate sales to third-party smelters, in general, provide for a provisional payment based upon preliminary assays and forward metal prices. The provisionally priced sales contain an embedded derivative that is required to be separated from the host contract for accounting purposes. The host contract is the receivable from the sale of concentrates at the forward price at the time of sale. The embedded derivatives do not qualify for hedge accounting and are marked to market through earnings each period until final settlement. At March 31, 2014, the Company had outstanding provisionally priced sales of 0.1 million ounces of silver and 18,471 ounces of gold at prices of \$20.47 and \$1,310, respectively. At December 31, 2013, the Company had outstanding provisionally priced sales consisting of 0.2 million ounces of silver and 30,780 ounces of gold at prices of \$20.98 and \$1,259, respectively. Commodity Derivatives

At March 31, 2014, the Company purchased outstanding put options allowing it to net cash settle 50,000 ounces of gold and 2,500,000 ounces of silver at weighted average strike prices of \$1,200 per ounce and \$18.00 per ounce, respectively, if the market price of gold or silver were to average less than the strike price during the contract period. In addition, the Company sold outstanding put options requiring it to net cash settle 50,000 ounces of gold and 2,500,000 ounces of silver at weighted average strike prices of \$1,050 and \$16.00 per ounce, respectively, if the market price of gold or silver were to average less than the strike price during the contract period. These contracts expire during the second and third quarters of 2014. At March 31, 2014, the fair market value of these contracts was a net asset of \$0.8 million.

At December 31, 2013, the Company had outstanding put options allowing it to net settle 25,000 ounces of gold and 1,250,000 ounces of silver at weighted average prices of \$1,150 per ounce and \$17.00 per ounce, respectively, if the market price of gold or silver were to average less than the strike price during the contract period. At December 31, 2013, the fair market value of these contracts was a net asset of \$0.1 million.

During the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company recorded unrealized losses of \$1.5 million and unrealized gains of \$4.8 million, respectively, related to outstanding options which was included in Fair value adjustments, net in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss). The Company also recognized a realized gain of \$0.3 million and a realized loss of \$0.5 million resulting from expiring contracts during the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

At March 31, 2014, the Company had the following derivative instruments that settle in each of the years indicated (in thousands except average prices and notional ounces):

| Palmarejo gold production royalty | 2014 \$21,708 | 2015 \$24,764 | 2016 \$14,860 | Thereafter \$— |
|---|------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Average gold price in excess of minimum contractual deduction | \$498 | \$494 | \$490 | \$ — |
| Notional ounces | 43,602 | 50,153 | 30,348 | _ |
| Mexican peso put options purchased | \$35,000 | \$ — | \$ — | \$— |
| Average rate (MXN/\$) | 14.98 | | | |
| Mexican peso notional amount | 524,300 | _ | _ | _ |
| Mexican peso call options sold | \$35,000 | \$ — | \$ — | \$— — |
| Average rate (MXN/\$) | 12.56 | _ | _ | _ |
| Mexican peso notional amount | 439,600 | _ | _ | _ |
| Silver concentrate sales agreements | \$3,041 | \$ — | \$ — | \$— \$— |
| Average silver price | \$20.47 | \$ — | \$— \$— | \$ — |
| Notional ounces | 148,594 | _ | _ | _ |
| Gold concentrate sales agreements | \$24,204 | \$ — | \$— | \$— \$— |
| Average gold price | \$1,310 | \$ | \$— \$— | \$ — |
| Notional ounces | 18,471 | _ | _ | _ |
| Gold put options purchased | \$60,000 | \$ — | \$— | \$— |
| Average gold strike price | \$1,200 | \$— \$— | \$— \$— | \$— \$— |
| Notional ounces | 50,000 | _ | _ | _ |
| Silver put options purchased | \$45,000 | \$ — | \$— | \$— |
| Average silver strike price | \$18.00 | \$ | \$— \$— | \$— \$— — |
| Notional ounces | 2,500,000 | _ | _ | _ |
| Gold put options sold | \$(52,500 |) \$— | \$— | \$— |
| Average gold strike price | \$1,050 | \$ | \$— \$— | \$— \$— |
| Notional ounces | 50,000 | _ | _ | _ |
| Silver put options sold | \$(40,000 |) \$— | \$ — | \$ — |
| Average silver strike price | \$16.00 | \$ | \$ | \$— \$— |
| Notional ounces | 2,500,000 | | _ | |
| | | | | |

The following summarizes the classification of the fair value of the derivative instruments (in thousands):

| March 31, 20 | 14 |
|--------------|----|
|--------------|----|

| | Widicii 51, 2014 | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| | Prepaid expenses and other | Accrued liabilities and other | Current portion of royalty obligation | Non-current portion of royalty obligation |
| Foreign exchange contracts, peso | \$67 | \$7 | \$— | \$_ |

| Palmarejo gold production royalty | | _ | 20,747 | 23,610 |
|-----------------------------------|---------|-------|----------|----------|
| Gold and silver put options | 992 | 226 | _ | _ |
| Concentrate sales contracts | 533 | 335 | _ | _ |
| | \$1,592 | \$568 | \$20,747 | \$23,610 |
| | | | | |
| 15 | | | | |

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

| D | 1 | 0.1 | 2012 | |
|----------|-------|-------|---------|---|
| Decen | nher | - 4 I | - 70113 | |
| DUULI | ואטוו | .) I | . 401. | • |

| | Prepaid expenses and other | Accrued liabilities and other | Current portion of royalty obligation | Non-current portion of royalty obligation |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Foreign exchange contracts, peso | \$38 | \$947 | \$ | \$— |
| Palmarejo gold production royalty | _ | _ | 17,650 | 22,688 |
| Gold and silver put options, net | 135 | _ | | |
| Concentrate sales contracts | 11 | 693 | _ | _ |
| | \$184 | \$1,640 | \$17,650 | \$22,688 |

The following represent mark-to-market gains (losses) on derivative instruments for the three months ended March 31, 2014, and 2013 (in thousands):

| | | Three month | is ended March 31, | |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|--------------------|---|
| Financial statement line | Derivative | 2014 | 2013 | |
| Sales of metal | Concentrate sales contracts | \$879 | \$(1,755 |) |
| Costs applicable to sales | Foreign exchange contracts | (924 |) 627 | |
| Fair value adjustments, net | Foreign exchange contracts (MXN) | 968 | 738 | |
| Fair value adjustments, net | Foreign exchange contracts (CDN) | _ | (1,598 |) |
| Fair value adjustments, net | Palmarejo gold royalty | (10,237 |) 14,429 | |
| Fair value adjustments, net | Put and call options | (1,494 |) 4,228 | |
| | | \$(10,808 |) \$16,669 | |

Credit Risk

The credit risk exposure related to any derivative instruments is limited to the unrealized gains, if any, on outstanding contracts based on current market prices. To reduce counter-party credit exposure, the Company enters into contracts with financial institutions management deems credit worthy and limits credit exposure to each. The Company does not anticipate non-performance by any of its counterparties. In addition, to allow for situations where derivative positions may need to be revised, the Company deals only in markets that management considers highly liquid.

NOTE 11 – INVESTMENTS

The Company invests part of its cash balances in a managed portfolio of liquid investments including highly-rated corporate bonds which are classified as short-term investments. The Company also invests in marketable equity securities of silver and gold exploration and development companies. These investments are classified as available-for-sale and are measured at fair value in the financial statements with unrealized gains and losses recorded in Other comprehensive income (loss).

| • | At March 31, 2014 | | | | | |
|------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|---|------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| | Cost | Gross Unrealized Losses | | Gross Unrealized Gains | Estimated Fair Value | |
| Short-term investments | \$45,724 | \$(96 |) | \$ — | \$45,628 | |
| Marketable equity securities | 15,484 | (724) | | 886 | 15,646 | |
| | At December 31, 2013 | | | | | |
| | | Gross | | Gross | Estimated | |
| | Cost | Unrealized | | Unrealized | Fair Value | |
| | | Losses | | Gains | Tall Value | |
| Marketable equity securities | \$17,649 | \$(3,300 |) | \$172 | \$14,521 | |

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

The following table summarizes the gross unrealized losses on investment securities for which other-than-temporary impairments have not been recognized and the fair values of those securities, aggregated by the length of time the individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position, at March 31, 2014 (in thousands):

| | Less than twelve months | | Twelve mo | nths or more | Total | | | |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|------------|------------|--|--|
| | Unrealized | Fair Value | Unrealized | Fair Value | Unrealized | Fair Value | | |
| | Losses | Tall value | Losses | ran value | Losses | ran value | | |
| Short-term investments | \$(96 |)\$45,628 | \$ — | \$— | \$(96 |)\$45,628 | | |
| Marketable equity securities | \$ — | \$ — | \$(724 |)\$1,320 | \$(724 |)\$1,320 | | |

In the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company recognized a net unrealized gain of \$0.6 million and a net unrealized loss of \$3.6 million, respectively, in Other comprehensive income (loss). The Company performs a quarterly assessment on each of its marketable securities with unrealized losses to determine if the security is other than temporarily impaired. The company recorded other-than-temporary impairment losses of \$2.6 million and \$35 thousand for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

NOTE 12 - RECEIVABLES

Receivables consist of the following (in thousands):

| | March 31, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
|---|----------------|-------------------|
| Receivables - current | | |
| Trade receivables | \$10,679 | \$17,303 |
| Income tax receivable | 7,856 | 6,240 |
| Value added tax receivable | 51,540 | 49,168 |
| Other | 5,731 | 8,363 |
| | \$75,806 | \$81,074 |
| Receivables - non-current | | |
| Value added tax receivable | \$36,271 | \$36,574 |
| NOTE 13 – INVENTORY AND ORE ON LEACH PADS Inventory consists of the following (in thousands): | | Docambar 21 |
| Inventory consists of the following (in thousands): | | December 31, |
| Inventory: | March 31, 2014 | 2013 |
| Concentrate | \$12,788 | \$14,855 |
| Precious metals | 54,622 | 52,250 |
| Supplies | 66,168 | 64,918 |
| | \$133,578 | \$132,023 |
| Ore on Leach Pads: | | |
| Current | \$59,895 | \$50,495 |
| Non-Current | 34,485 | 31,528 |
| | \$94,380 | \$82,023 |
| Total Inventory and Ore on Leach Pads | \$227,958 | \$214,046 |

17

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

NOTE 14 - PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

Property, plant and equipment consist of the following (in thousands):

| | March 31, | December 31, |
|--------------------------|-----------|--------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 |
| Land | \$1,764 | \$1,764 |
| Facilities and equipment | 870,251 | 855,318 |
| Capital leases | 9,015 | 16,133 |
| | 881,030 | 873,215 |
| Accumulated amortization | (411,446 |) (395,520) |
| | 469,584 | 477,695 |
| Construction in progress | 7,253 | 8,578 |
| | \$476,837 | \$486,273 |

NOTE 15 – MINING PROPERTIES

Mining properties consist of the following (in thousands):

| March 31, 2014 | 4 Palmarejo | San Bartolomé | Kensington | Rochester | La Preciosa | Joaquin | Coeur Capital | Total |
|--|--|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------------|---|
| Mine development | \$155,262 | \$70,764 | \$271,834 | \$150,280 | \$— | \$— | \$ | \$648,140 |
| Accumulated amortization | (112,989) | (23,114) | (85,823) | (105,767) | _ | _ | _ | (327,693) |
| | 42,273 | 47,650 | 186,011 | 44,513 | _ | _ | _ | 320,447 |
| Mineral interests | 1,146,572 | 26,643 | _ | _ | 408,352 | 93,429 | 83,871 | 1,758,867 |
| Accumulated amortization | (309,615) | (9,080) | _ | _ | _ | _ | (20,145) | (338,840) |
| | 836,957 | 17,563 | _ | _ | 408,352 | 93,429 | 63,726 | 1,420,027 |
| Mining properties, net | \$879,230 | \$65,213 | \$186,011 | \$44,513 | \$408,352 | \$93,429 | \$63,726 | \$1,740,474 |
| properties, net | | | | | | | | |
| December 31, 2013 | Palmarejo | San Bartolomé | Kensington | Rochester | La Preciosa | Joaquin | Coeur Capital | Total |
| December 31, 2013 Mine development | Palmarejo \$151,845 | | Kensington \$268,351 | Rochester \$150,348 | | Joaquin \$— | | Total \$641,305 |
| December 31, 2013 Mine | - | Bartolomé \$70,761 | | | Preciosa | _ | Capital | |
| December 31, 2013 Mine development Accumulated | \$151,845 (110,143) 41,702 | Bartolomé \$70,761 | \$268,351 | \$150,348 | Preciosa \$— | _ | Capital | \$641,305 |
| December 31, 2013 Mine development Accumulated amortization | \$151,845 (110,143) 41,702 | Bartolomé \$70,761 (22,236) 48,525 | \$268,351 (80,032) | \$150,348 (103,130) | Preciosa \$— — — | \$— — — | Capital \$— — | \$641,305 (315,541) 325,764 |
| December 31, 2013 Mine development Accumulated amortization Mineral interes Accumulated | \$151,845 (110,143) 41,702 tts1,146,572 | Bartolomé \$70,761 (22,236) 48,525 26,643 | \$268,351 (80,032) | \$150,348 (103,130) | Preciosa \$— — — | \$— — — | Capital \$— 78,133 | \$641,305 (315,541) 325,764 1,753,129 |

NOTE 16 - DEBT

Long-term debt and capital lease obligations at March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 are as follows (in thousands):

| | March 31, 2 | 014 | December 3 | 1, 2013 |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | Current | Non-Current | Current | Non-Current |
| 3.25% Convertible Senior Notes due 2028 | \$5,334 | \$ — | \$ — | \$5,334 |
| 7.875% Senior Notes due 2021 | _ | 452,964 | _ | 300,000 |
| Capital lease obligations | 2,761 | 3,188 | 2,505 | 796 |

\$8,095 \$456,152 \$2,505 \$306,130

Coeur Mining, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

7.875% Senior Notes due 2021

On January 29, 2013, the Company completed an offering of \$300 million in aggregate principal amount of 7.875% Senior Notes due 2021 (the "Original Notes") in a private placement conducted pursuant to Rule 144A and Regulation S under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"). The Company commenced an exchange offer for the Notes on September 30, 2013 to exchange the Notes for freely transferable notes containing substantially similar terms, in accordance with the registration rights granted to the holders of the Notes when they were issued. The exchange offer was consummated on November 5, 2013.

On March 12, 2014, the Company completed an offering of \$150 million in aggregate principal amount of 7.875% Senior Notes due 2021 (the "Additional Notes" and together with the Original Notes, the "Senior Notes") in a private placement conducted pursuant to Rule 144A and Regulation S under the Securities Act. The Additional Notes constitute a further issuance of the Original Notes and form a single series of debt securities with the Original Notes. Upon completion of Coeur's offering of the Additional Notes, the aggregate principal amount of the outstanding Senior Notes was \$450 million. The Company commenced an exchange offer for the Additional Notes on April 10, 2014 to exchange the Additional Notes for freely transferable notes containing substantially similar terms, in accordance with the registration rights granted to the holders of the Additional Notes when they were issued. The exchange offer is expected to expire on May 9, 2014, unless extended.

3.25% Convertible Senior Notes due 2028

Per the indenture governing the 3.25% Convertible Senior Notes due 2028 (the "Convertible Notes"), the Company announced on February 13, 2013 that it was offering to repurchase all of the Convertible Notes. As of February 12, 2013, there was \$48.7 million aggregate principal amount of Convertible Notes outstanding. The Company repurchased \$43.3 million in aggregate principal amount, leaving a balance of \$5.3 million at March 31, 2014. The notes are classified as current liabilities at March 31, 2014 as a result of the holders' option to require the Company to repurchase the notes on March 15, 2015.

Revolving Credit Facility

On August 1, 2012, Coeur Alaska, Inc. and Coeur Rochester, Inc. (the "Borrowers"), each a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, entered into a Credit Agreement (the "Credit Agreement") by and among the Company, the Borrowers, the lenders party thereto and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., as administrative agent. The Credit Agreement provided for a senior secured revolving credit facility (the "Revolving Credit Facility") in an aggregate principal amount of up to \$100.0 million, which principal amount may be increased, subject to receiving additional commitments therefor, by up to \$50.0 million. The unused line fee for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, was \$0.2 million and \$0.1 million, charged to interest expense.

On January 16, 2014, the Company and the Borrowers entered into Amendment No. 1 to Credit Agreement (the "Amendment") to amend the Credit Agreement. Pursuant to the Amendment, among other things, the pricing of loans and undrawn commitments under the Credit Agreement was modified and certain modifications were made to the financial covenants and negative covenant provisions under the Credit Agreement. On March 20, 2014, the Borrowers notified the administrative agent that they were terminating the Revolving Credit Facility, effective March 25, 2014. The Company wrote off \$3.0 million related to the termination of the Credit Agreement in the three months ended March 31, 2014. No amounts were outstanding under the Revolving Credit Facility at the time of termination, and no early termination penalty was payable in connection with the termination.

Lines of Credit

At March 31, 2014, San Bartolomé had two outstanding lines of credit supporting value added tax recoveries in Bolivia. One line is for \$7.0 million bearing interest at 3.0% per annum and the other line is for \$4.0 million bearing interest at 3.0% per annum. There was no balance outstanding on the two lines of credit at March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Palmarejo Gold Production Royalty Obligation

On January 21, 2009, Coeur Mexicana entered into a gold production royalty transaction with Franco-Nevada Corporation under which Franco-Nevada purchased a royalty covering 50% of the life of mine gold to be produced from its Palmarejo silver and gold mine in Mexico.

The royalty agreement provides for a minimum obligation to be paid monthly on a total of 400,000 ounces of gold, or 4,167 ounces per month over an initial eight year period. Each monthly payment is an amount equal to the greater of 4,167 ounces of gold or 50% of actual gold production multiplied by the excess of the monthly average market price of gold above \$408 per ounce, subject to a 1% annual inflation compounding adjustment. As of March 31, 2014, payments had been made on a total of 275,898 ounces of gold with further payments to be made on an additional 124,103 ounces of gold. After payments have been made on a total of 400,000 ounces of gold, the royalty obligation is payable in the amount of 50% of actual gold production per month multiplied by the excess of the monthly average market price of gold above \$400 per ounce, adjusted as described above. Payments under the royalty agreement are to be made in cash or gold bullion. During the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, the

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Company paid \$14.7 million and \$15.4 million, respectively, in royalty payments to Franco-Nevada Corporation. Payments made during the minimum obligation period will result in a reduction to the remaining minimum obligation. Payments made beyond the minimum obligation period will be recognized in operating expenses.

The Company used an implicit interest rate of 30.0% to discount the original obligation, based on the fair value of the consideration received projected over the expected future cash flows at inception of the obligation. The discounted obligation is accreted to its expected future value over the expected minimum payment period based on the implicit interest rate. The Company recognized accretion expense for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 of \$3.2 million and \$4.1 million, respectively. At March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the remaining minimum obligation under the royalty agreement was \$46.0 million and \$51.2 million, respectively.

Three months ended March 31,

Interest Expense

Interest expense is made up of the following (in thousands):

| | 2014 (in thousands) | 2013 |
|---|---------------------|---------|
| 3.25% Convertible Senior Notes due 2028 | \$43 | \$337 |
| 7.875% Senior Notes due 2021 | 6,464 | 4,134 |
| Revolving Credit Facility | 179 | 125 |
| Write off of revolver costs | 3,035 | |
| Capital lease obligations | 59 | 168 |
| Other debt obligations | _ | 197 |
| Accretion of Franco Nevada royalty obligation | 3,196 | 4,062 |
| Amortization of debt issuance costs | 498 | 525 |
| Accretion of debt (premium) discount | (36) | 577 |
| Capitalized interest | (384) | (393) |
| Total interest expense, net of capitalized interest | \$13,054 | \$9,732 |

NOTE 17 - SUPPLEMENTAL GUARANTOR INFORMATION

The following Condensed Consolidating Financial Statements are presented to satisfy disclosure requirements of Rule 3-10 of Regulation S-X resulting from the guarantees by Coeur Alaska, Inc., Coeur Explorations, Inc., Coeur Rochester, Inc., Coeur South America Corp., and Coeur Capital Inc. (collectively, the "Subsidiary Guarantors") of the \$450 million aggregate principal amount of Senior Notes. The following schedules present Condensed Consolidating Financial Statements of (a) Coeur, the parent company; (b) the Subsidiary Guarantors; and (c) certain wholly owned domestic and foreign subsidiaries of the Company (collectively, the "Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries"). Each of the Subsidiary Guarantors is 100% owned by Coeur and the guarantees are full and unconditional. There are no restrictions on the ability of Coeur to obtain funds from its subsidiaries by dividend or loan.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2014

| | Coeur Mining, Inc. | | Guarantor Subsidiari | | Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | | ^r Eliminations | | s Consolidated | |
|--|--------------------------|---|-------------------------|---|-------------------------------|---|---------------------------|---|----------------|---|
| Revenue | \$— | | \$60,500 | | \$ 99,133 | | \$— | | \$ 159,633 | |
| COSTS AND EXPENSES | | | | | | | | | | |
| Costs applicable to sales ⁽¹⁾ | _ | | 43,238 | | 63,658 | | _ | | 106,896 | |
| Amortization | 377 | | 15,296 | | 24,786 | | | | 40,459 | |
| General and administrative | 13,052 | | 166 | | 678 | | | | 13,896 | |
| Exploration | 586 | | 2,421 | | 1,210 | | | | 4,217 | |
| Pre-development, reclamation, and other | _ | | 1,536 | | 5,448 | | | | 6,984 | |
| Total costs and expenses | 14,015 | | 62,657 | | 95,780 | | _ | | 172,452 | |
| OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE), NET | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fair value adjustments, net | (526 |) | (673 |) | (10,237 |) | | | (11,436 |) |
| Impairment of marketable securities | _ | | (2,588 |) | _ | | | | (2,588 |) |
| Interest income and other, net | 840 | | 46 | | (2,211 |) | (658 |) | (1,983 |) |
| Interest expense, net of capitalized interest | (10,183) |) | (26 |) | (3,503 |) | 658 | | (13,054 |) |
| Total other income (expense), net | (9,869 |) | (3,241 |) | (15,951 |) | | | (29,061 |) |
| Loss before income and mining taxes | (23,884 |) | (5,398 |) | (12,598 |) | | | (41,880 |) |
| Income and mining tax (expense) benefit | (146 |) | | | 4,835 | | | | 4,689 | |
| Total loss after income and mining taxes | (24,030 |) | (5,398 |) | (7,763 |) | | | (37,191 |) |
| Equity income (loss) in consolidated subsidiaries | (13,161 |) | 178 | | _ | | 12,983 | | _ | |
| NET INCOME (LOSS) | \$(37,191 |) | \$ (5,220 |) | \$ (7,763 |) | \$12,983 | | \$ (37,191 |) |
| OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS), net of tax: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Unrealized gain (loss) on marketable securities, net of tax | 371 | | 467 | | _ | | (467 |) | 371 | |
| Reclassification adjustments for impairment of marketable securities | 1,587 | | 1,587 | | | | (1,587 |) | 1,587 | |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | 1,958 | | 2,054 | | _ | | (2,054 |) | 1,958 | |
| COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) (1) Excludes amortization. | \$(35,233 |) | \$ (3,166 |) | \$ (7,763 |) | \$ 10,929 | | \$ (35,233 |) |

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2013

| | Coeur Mining, Inc. | | Guarantor Subsidiaries | s | Non-Guaran Subsidiaries | toı | Elimination | ns | Consolida | ted |
|--|--------------------------|---|---------------------------|---|----------------------------|-----|-------------|----|------------|-----|
| Revenue | \$— | | \$ 78,748 | | \$ 93,049 | | \$— | | \$ 171,797 | |
| COSTS AND EXPENSES | | | | | | | | | | |
| Costs applicable to sales ⁽¹⁾ | _ | | 44,342 | | 43,717 | | _ | | 88,059 | |
| Amortization | 219 | | 15,141 | | 34,364 | | _ | | 49,724 | |
| General and administrative | 9,736 | | 168 | | 323 | | _ | | 10,227 | |
| Exploration | 349 | | 1,464 | | 5,028 | | _ | | 6,841 | |
| Write-downs | _ | | | | 119 | | _ | | 119 | |
| Pre-development, reclamation, and other | _ | | 429 | | 4,768 | | _ | | 5,197 | |
| Total costs and expenses | 10,304 | | 61,544 | | 88,319 | | _ | | 160,167 | |
| OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE), NET | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fair value adjustments, net | (860 |) | 4,227 | | 14,429 | | _ | | 17,796 | |
| Impairment of marketable securities | (35 |) | | | | | | | (35 |) |
| Interest income and other, net | 899 | | 234 | | 3,563 | | (840 |) | 3,856 | |
| Interest expense, net of capitalized interest | (5,698 |) | (264 |) | (4,610 |) | 840 | | (9,732 |) |
| Total other income (expense), net | (5,694 |) | 4,197 | | 13,382 | | _ | | 11,885 | |
| Loss before income and mining taxes | (15,998 |) | 21,401 | | 18,112 | | _ | | 23,515 | |
| Income and mining tax (expense) benefit | (2,486 |) | (780 |) | (7,979 |) | _ | | (11,245 |) |
| Total loss after income and mining taxes | (18,484 |) | 20,621 | | 10,133 | | _ | | 12,270 | |
| Equity income (loss) in consolidated subsidiaries | 30,754 | | _ | | _ | | (30,754 |) | _ | |
| NET INCOME (LOSS) | \$12,270 | | \$ 20,621 | | \$ 10,133 | | \$ (30,754 |) | \$ 12,270 | |
| OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME | | | | | | | • | | | |
| (LOSS), net of tax: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Unrealized gain (loss) on marketable securities, net of tax | (3,566 |) | _ | | _ | | _ | | (3,566 |) |
| Reclassification adjustments for impairment of marketable securities | 35 | | _ | | _ | | _ | | 35 | |
| Other comprehensive loss | (3,531 |) | _ | | | | _ | | (3,531 |) |
| COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) | \$8,739 | | \$ 20,621 | | \$ 10,133 | | \$ (30,754 |) | \$ 8,739 | |
| (1) Excludes amortization. | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2014

| | | | | | Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | | Eliminations | Consolidated | |
|--|-----------|---|---------|---|-------------------------------|---|--------------|--------------|---|
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: | ζ, | | | | | | | | |
| Cash provided by (used in) operating activities | \$(37,623 |) | \$4,932 | | \$ 10,082 | | \$12,982 | (9,627 |) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | | | | | | | | |
| Capital expenditures | (945 |) | (5,670 |) | (5,321 |) | | (11,936 |) |
| Purchase of short term investments and marketable securities | (45,796 |) | (424 |) | _ | | _ | (46,220 |) |
| Sales and maturities of short term investments | 90 | | _ | | _ | | _ | 90 | |
| Other | _ | | _ | | (25 |) | | (25 |) |
| Investments in consolidated subsidiaries CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) INVESTING ACTIVITIES | 13,160 | | (178 |) | _ | | (12,982) | _ | |
| | (33,491 |) | (6,272 |) | (5,346 |) | (12,982) | (58,091 |) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | | | | | | | | |
| Issuance of notes and bank borrowings | 153,000 | | _ | | _ | | | 153,000 | |
| Payments on long-term debt, capital leases, and associated costs | (3,196 |) | (412 |) | (503 |) | _ | (4,111 |) |
| Gold production royalty payments | _ | | _ | | (14,683 |) | | (14,683 |) |
| Net intercompany borrowings (lending) | (11,475 |) | 1,515 | | 9,960 | | | | |
| Other | (246 |) | _ | | | | _ | (246 |) |
| CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) FINANCING ACTIVITIES | 138,083 | | 1,103 | | (5,226 |) | _ | 133,960 | |
| NET CHANGE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | 66,969 | | (237 |) | (490 |) | _ | 66,242 | |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 137,076 | | 991 | | 68,623 | | _ | 206,690 | |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$204,045 | | \$754 | | \$ 68,133 | | \$— | \$272,932 | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2013

| | Coeur Mining, Inc | 2. | Guarantor Subsidiario | es | Non-Guaran Subsidiaries | | Eliminations | Consolidat | ted |
|--|----------------------|-----------|--------------------------|----|----------------------------|---|--------------|------------|-----|
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: | C, | | | | | | | | |
| Cash provided by (used in) operating activities | \$22,976 | | \$16,889 | | \$ 3,823 | | \$(30,754) | \$12,934 | |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | | | | | | | | |
| Capital expenditures | (428 |) | (6,626 |) | (5,773 |) | | (12,827 |) |
| Purchase of short term investments and marketable securities | (1,598 |) | (16 |) | (3,035 |) | _ | (4,649 |) |
| Sales and maturities of short term investments | 1,375 | | 14 | | 3,433 | | _ | 4,822 | |
| Other | (11,553 |) | 238 | | 705 | | | (10,610 |) |
| Investments in consolidated subsidiaries | (30,754 |) | _ | | _ | | 30,754 | _ | |
| CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) INVESTING ACTIVITIES | (42,958 |) | (6,390 |) | (4,670 |) | 30,754 | (23,264 |) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | | | | | | | | |
| Issuance of notes and bank borrowings | 300,000 | | _ | | | | | 300,000 | |
| Payments on long-term debt, capital leases, and associated costs | (52,565 |) | (1,240 |) | (1,535 |) | _ | (55,340 |) |
| Gold production royalty payments | _ | | _ | | (15,448 |) | | (15,448 |) |
| Share repurchases | (12,557 |) | _ | | _ | | _ | (12,557 |) |
| Net intercompany borrowings (lending) | 7,355 | | (9,377 |) | 2,022 | | | | |
| Other | (454 |) | _ | | | | | (454 |) |
| CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) FINANCING ACTIVITIES | 241,779 | | (10,617 |) | (14,961 |) | _ | 216,201 | |
| NET CHANGE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | 221,797 | | (118 |) | (15,808 |) | _ | 205,871 | |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 86,788 | | 400 | | 38,252 | | _ | 125,440 | |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$308,585 | | \$282 | | \$ 22,444 | | \$ | \$331,311 | |

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET MARCH 31, 2014

| WIARCII 31, 2017 | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| | Coeur | Guarantor | Non-Guaranto: Subsidiaries | r Eliminations | Consolidated |
| ACCETC | Mining, Inc. | Subsidiaries | Subsidiaries | | |
| ASSETS CURRENT ASSETS | | | | | |
| | \$204,045 | \$ 754 | \$ 68,133 | \$ — | \$272,932 |
| Cash and cash equivalents Investments | 45,628 | \$ 134 | \$ 00,133 | J — | 45,628 |
| Receivables | 102 | | 62,583 | _ | 75,806 |
| Ore on leach pads | 102 | 59,895 | 02,363 | | 59,895 |
| Inventory | | 37,386 | 96,192 | | 133,578 |
| Deferred tax assets | | 37,360 | 34,998 | | 34,998 |
| Prepaid expenses and other | 3,729 | — 9,449 | 17,657 | | 30,835 |
| repaid expenses and other | 253,504 | 120,605 | 279,563 | _ | 653,672 |
| NON-CURRENT ASSETS | 233,304 | 120,003 | 219,303 | | 033,072 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 6,576 | 141,364 | 328,897 | | 476,837 |
| Mining properties, net | 0,570 | 236,130 | 1,504,344 | | 1,740,474 |
| Ore on leach pads | _ | 34,485 | 1,304,344 | _ | 34,485 |
| Restricted assets | 830 | 50 | | _ | 7,426 |
| Marketable securities | | 15,646 | U,540 | | 15,646 |
| Receivables | | 13,040 | 36,271 | | 36,271 |
| Debt issuance costs, net | — 11,356 | _ | 50,271 | _ | 11,356 |
| Deferred tax assets | 955 | | (126) | | 829 |
| Net investment in subsidiaries | 1,231,366 | 24,202 | 1,600,990 | (2,856,558) | —— |
| Other | 54,521 | 31,372 | 324,489 | | 9,989 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$1,559,108 | \$ 603,854 | \$ 4,080,974 | \$(3,256,951) | • |
| TOTAL MODELS | Ψ1,557,100 | ψ 003,034 | Ψ 4,000,274 | Ψ(3,230,731) | Ψ2,700,703 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' | | | | | |
| EQUITY | | | | | |
| CURRENT LIABILITIES | | | | | |
| Accounts payable | \$1,301 | \$ 17,840 | \$ 30,817 | \$ — | \$49,958 |
| Accrued liabilities and other | 9,811 | 7,904 | 13,214 | | 29,861 |
| Debt | 5,334 | 1,903 | 335,137 | * ' | 8,095 |
| Royalty obligations | | 4,951 | 45,299 | | 50,250 |
| Reclamation | | | 643 | 119 | 762 |
| Deferred tax liabilities | | 847 | 1,011 | _ | 1,858 |
| | 16,446 | 33,445 | 426,121 | (335,228) | 140,784 |
| NON-CURRENT LIABILITIES | -, - | , - | - , | (, | - , - |
| Debt | 452,964 | 2,765 | 65,469 | (65,046) | 456,152 |
| Royalty obligations | | 17,352 | 45,038 | | 62,390 |
| Reclamation | | 46,627 | 12,122 | (119) | 58,630 |
| Deferred tax liabilities | 37,096 | 1,618 | 505,382 | _ | 544,096 |
| Other long-term liabilities | 2,634 | 518 | 24,084 | | 27,236 |
| Intercompany payable (receivable) | (647,729) | 400 0 60 | 218,767 | _ | |
| 1 7 1 | (155,035) | 497,842 | 870,862 | (65,165) | 1,148,504 |
| STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | , , , | • | • | , , , | • |
| Common stock | 1,035 | 250 | 122,666 | (122,916) | 1,035 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 2,783,520 | 79,712 | 3,258,037 | | 2,783,520 |
| | | - | | | |

| Accumulated deficit Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (1,083,910) (2,948) 1,697,697 | (4,544 (2,851 72,567 |) | (596,712 — 2,783,991 |) | 601,256 2,851 (2,856,558) | (1,083,910) (2,948) 1,697,697 |
|--|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|----------------------------|---|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | \$1,559,108 | \$ 603,854 | | \$ 4,080,974 | | \$(3,256,951) | \$2,986,985 |
| 25 | | | | | | | |

Coeur Mining, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET DECEMBER 31,2013

| | Coeur Mining, Inc. | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|
| ASSETS | | | | | |
| CURRENT ASSETS | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$137,076 | \$ 991 | \$ 68,623 | \$ — | \$206,690 |
| Investments | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ |
| Receivables | 530 | 19,982 | 60,562 | _ | 81,074 |
| Ore on leach pads | _ | 50,495 | _ | _ | 50,495 |
| Inventory | _ | 35,290 | 96,733 | _ | 132,023 |
| Deferred tax assets | _ | _ | 35,008 | _ | 35,008 |
| Prepaid expenses and other | 4,128 | 5,282 | 16,530 | _ | 25,940 |
| | 141,734 | 112,040 | 277,456 | _ | 531,230 |
| NON-CURRENT ASSETS | | | | | |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 5,980 | 143,118 | 337,175 | _ | 486,273 |
| Mining properties, net | | 235,537 | 1,515,964 | _ | 1,751,501 |
| Ore on leach pads | | 31,528 | | _ | 31,528 |
| Restricted assets | 830 | 50 | 6,134 | | 7,014 |
| Marketable securities | | 14,521 | | _ | 14,521 |
| Receivables | | _ | 36,574 | _ | 36,574 |
| Debt issuance costs, net | 10,812 | | | | 10,812 |
| Deferred tax assets | 955 | | 234 | _ | 1,189 |
| Net investment in subsidiaries | 1,242,480 | 46,215 | 1,578,799 | (2,867,494) | |
| Other | 53,858 | 14,616 | 320,425 | | 15,336 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$1,456,649 | \$ 597,625 | \$ 4,072,761 | \$(3,241,057) | \$2,885,978 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' | | | | | |
| EQUITY | | | | | |
| CURRENT LIABILITIES | | | | | |
| Accounts payable | \$1,963 | \$ 15,864 | \$ 36,020 | \$ — | \$53,847 |
| Accrued liabilities and other | 16,693 | 8,016 | 14,611 | (1,054) | 38,266 |
| Debt | _ | 1,262 | 309,472 | (308,229) | 2,505 |
| Royalty obligations | | 3,934 | 44,085 | | 48,019 |
| Reclamation | | _ | 794 | 119 | 913 |
| Deferred tax liabilities | | _ | 1,011 | _ | 1,011 |
| | 18,656 | 29,076 | 405,993 | (309,164) | 144,561 |
| NON-CURRENT LIABILITIES | | | | | |
| Debt | 305,335 | 255 | 64,820 | (64,280) | 306,130 |
| Royalty obligations | | 17,696 | 47,446 | | 65,142 |
| Reclamation | | 45,894 | 11,740 | (119) | 57,515 |
| Deferred tax liabilities | 37,095 | 1,618 | 517,533 | _ | 556,246 |
| Other long-term liabilities | 2,467 | 544 | 22,806 | _ | 25,817 |
| Intercompany payable (receivable) | (637,471) | 427,085 | 210,386 | _ | |
| | (292,574) | 493,092 | 874,731 | (64,399) | 1,010,850 |
| STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | | | | |
| Common stock | 1,028 | 250 | 122,666 | (122,916) | 1,028 |

| Additional paid-in capital | 2,781,164 | 79,712 | 3,258,037 | (3,337,749) 2,781,164 |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|------------|--------------|---------------------------|
| Accumulated deficit | (1,046,719) | 401 | (588,666) | 588,265 (1,046,719) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (4,906) | (4,906) | | 4,906 (4,906) |
| | 1,730,567 | 75,457 | 2,792,037 | (2,867,494) 1,730,567 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND | \$1,456,649 | \$ 597.625 | \$ 4,072,761 | \$(3,241,057) \$2,885,978 |
| STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | Ψ1,120,012 | φυνν,ο2υ | Ψ 1,072,701 | Ψ(5,211,057) Ψ2,005,570 |

Coeur Mining, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

NOTE 18 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Labor Union Contracts

The Company maintains a labor agreement in South America with Sindicato de Trabajadores Mineros de la Empresa Manquiri S.A. at the San Bartolomé mine in Bolivia. The San Bartolomé mine labor agreement, which became effective January 28, 2010, is currently active and does not have a fixed term. At March 31, 2014, approximately 10% of the Company's worldwide labor force was covered by collective bargaining agreements. We cannot predict whether this agreement will be renewed on similar terms or at all, whether future labor disruptions will occur or, if disruptions do occur, how long they will last.

Kensington Production Royalty

On July 7, 1995, Coeur, through its wholly owned subsidiary, Coeur Alaska, Inc., acquired the 50% ownership interest of Echo Bay Exploration Inc., or Echo Bay, giving Coeur 100% ownership of the Kensington property. Coeur Alaska is obligated to pay Echo Bay, a subsidiary of Kinross Gold Corporation, a scaled net smelter return royalty on 1.0 million ounces of future gold production after Coeur Alaska recoups the \$32.5 million purchase price and its construction and development expenditures incurred after July 7, 1995 in connection with placing the property into commercial production. The royalty ranges from 1% at gold prices of \$400 per ounce to a maximum of 2.5% at gold prices above \$475 per ounce, with the royalty to be capped at 1.0 million ounces of production. No royalty has been paid to date as the purchase price has not been recouped.

Rochester Production Royalties

The Company acquired the Rochester property from ASARCO, a subsidiary of Grupo Mexico SA de CV, in 1983. The Company is obligated to pay a net smelter royalty interest to ASARCO when the market price of silver equals or exceeds \$23.60 per ounce up to a maximum rate of 5% with the condition that Rochester achieves positive cash flow for the applicable year. If cash flow at Rochester is negative in any calendar year, the maximum royalty payable is \$250,000. Royalty expense was nil due to silver prices below \$23.60 per ounce for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and \$1.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013.

Commencing January 1, 2014, Coeur Rochester is obligated to pay a 3.4% net smelter returns royalty on up to 39.4 million silver equivalent ounces produced and sold from a portion of the Rochester mine, payable on a quarterly basis. For each calendar quarter, the royalty will be payable on the actual sales prices received (exclusive of gains or losses associated with trading activities), less refining costs, of gold and silver produced and sold from the applicable portions of the Rochester mine. Changes in the Company's mine plan and silver and gold prices will result in the recognition of mark-to-market gains or losses in Fair value adjustments, net, in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income (Loss).

Palmarejo Gold Production Royalty

On January 21, 2009, Coeur Mexicana entered into a gold production royalty transaction with Franco-Nevada Corporation under which Franco-Nevada purchased a royalty covering 50% of the life of mine gold to be produced from its Palmarejo silver and gold mine in Mexico. The royalty agreement provides for a minimum obligation to be paid monthly on a total of 400,000 ounces of gold, or 4,167 ounces per month over an initial eight-year period. Please see Note 10 -- Derivative Financial Instruments for further discussion on the royalty obligation.

Sites Related to Callahan Mining Corporation

In 1991, the Company acquired all of the outstanding common stock of Callahan Mining Corporation. The Company has received requests for information or notices of potential liability from state or federal agencies with regard to Callahan's operations at sites in Maine, Colorado and Washington. The Company did not make any decisions with respect to generation, transport or disposal of hazardous waste at these sites. Therefore, the Company believes that it is not liable for any potential cleanup costs either directly as an operator or indirectly as a parent. To date, none of these agencies have made any claims against the Company or Callahan for cleanup costs at these sites. The Company anticipates that further agency interaction may be possible with respect to these sites.

Callahan operated a mine and mill in Brooksville, Maine from 1968 until 1972 and subsequently disposed of the property. In 2000, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, or EPA, made a formal request to the Company for

information regarding the site. The site was placed on the National Priorities List on September 5, 2002, and the Maine Department of Transportation, a partial owner of the property, signed a consent order in 2005. In January 2009, the EPA and the State of Maine made additional formal requests to the Company for information relating to the site, to which the Company responded. The first phase of cleanup at the site began in April 2011.

The Van Stone Mine in Stevens County, Washington consists of several parcels of land and was mined from 1926 until 1993 by multiple owners. Callahan sold its parcel in 1990. In February 2010, the State of Washington Department of Ecology

Coeur Mining, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

notified Callahan that it, among others, is a potentially liable person (PLP) under Washington law. Asarco LLC ("Asarco"), an affiliate of American Smelting and Refining Company, which developed the mill on the site in 1951, settled for \$3.5 million. Another potentially liable person, Vaagen Brothers, signed a consent order which allows access to the site for a Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study. Neither the Company nor Callahan has received any further notices from the Washington Department of Ecology. On June 5, 2012, Asarco filed a lawsuit in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Washington against five named defendants, including Callahan, seeking contribution for the \$3.5 million settlement. Callahan filed a response and defense to the lawsuit on December 11, 2012 and does not believe it has any liability to Asarco. The Court has set a trial date for September 22, 2014. On January 23, 2013, the Court entered an Order dismissing one of the five named defendants from the lawsuit as a result of the parties reaching a settlement.

Callahan controlled the Akron Mine located in Gunnison County, Colorado under lease and option agreements with several owners from 1937-1960. In December 2003, the United States Forest Service ("USFS") made a formal request for information to the Company for information regarding the site, to which the Company responded. In February 2007, the USFS made a formal request for information to Callahan for information regarding the site, to which Callahan responded. In April 2013, the USFS made a formal request for information to the Company regarding the site, to which the Company responded on June 10, 2013. In November 2013, the USFS made a formal request for additional information to the Company regarding the site, to which the Company responded on January 21, 2014. Bolivian Temporary Restriction on Mining above 4,400 Meters

On October 14, 2009, the Bolivian state-owned mining organization, COMIBOL, announced by resolution that it was temporarily suspending mining activities above the elevation of 4,400 meters above sea level while stability studies of Cerro Rico mountain are undertaken. The Company holds rights to mine above this elevation under valid contracts with COMIBOL as well as under authorized contracts with local mining cooperatives that hold their rights under contract themselves with COMIBOL. The Company temporarily adjusted its mine plan to confine mining activities to the ore deposits below 4,400 meters above sea level and timely notified COMIBOL of the need to lift the restriction. The Cooperative Reserva Fiscal, with which the Company has one of those contracts, subsequently interpreted the COMIBOL resolution and determined that the Huacajchi deposit was not covered by such resolution. In March 2010, the Cooperative Reserva Fiscal notified COMIBOL that, based on its interpretation, it was resuming mining of high grade material above the 4,400 meter level in the Huacajchi deposit. In December 2011, the Cooperative Reserva Fiscal sent a similar notification to COMIBOL with respect to a further area above the 4,400 meter level known as Huacajchi Sur. Based on these notifications and on the absence of any objection from COMIBOL, the Company resumed mining operations at the San Bartolomé mine on the Huacajchi deposit and Huacajchi Sur during 2012. Mining in other areas above the 4,400 meter level continues to be suspended.

The partial suspension may reduce production until the Company is able to resume mining above 4,400 meters, generally. It is uncertain at this time how long the suspension will remain in place. In addition, it is possible that COMIBOL may decide that the Company's operations at the Huacajchi deposit or Huacajchi Sur are subject to the COMIBOL resolution, which may force the Company to ultimately cease mining at such deposits. If COMIBOL objects to the Company mining at the Huacajchi deposit or Huacajchi Sur or if the other restrictions are not lifted, the Company may need to write down the carrying value of the asset. It is also uncertain if any new mining or investment policies or shifts in political attitude may affect mining in Bolivia.

Appeal of Plan of Operations Amendment at Rochester in Nevada

The Rochester property is the subject of an administrative appeal filed by Great Basin Resource Watch ("GBRW") with the Interior Board of Land Appeals ("IBLA"). This appeal challenges the decision of the U.S. Bureau of Land Management ("BLM") to approve a plan of operations amendment permitting resumed mining in the existing mine pit and construction of a new heap leach pad. GBRW asserts that the National Environmental Policy Act ("NEPA") required an Environmental Impact Statement for the plan of operations amendment, as opposed to the Environmental Assessment ("EA") that was prepared. GBRW further alleges that BLM violated the Federal Land Policy & Management Act ("FLPMA") by failing to avoid unnecessary and undue degradation of public lands. Because GBRW did not seek a stay of BLM's decision, operations are proceeding as approved. Coeur was granted intervenor status in

the appeal and is actively participating in its resolution. The BLM and Coeur assert that the EA complies with NEPA and that BLM complied with FLPMA by, among other things, requiring mitigation of any possible future effects on water quality. BLM filed a Supplemental Briefing on March 1, 2012 regarding additional analysis conducted by the BLM further supporting and strengthening BLM and Coeur's positions that the EA complies with NEPA. The Company cannot predict whether this will result in further briefing with the IBLA, when the IBLA will rule on the appeal or what impact, if any, an adverse ruling may have on Rochester's operations.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
The following discussion provides information that management believes is relevant to an assessment and
understanding of the consolidated financial condition and results of operations of Coeur Mining and its subsidiaries
(collectively, "Coeur", "the Company", "our", and "we"). The Company uses certain non-GAAP financial
performance measures in its MD&A such as costs applicable to sales, all-in sustaining costs, and adjusted net income.
For a detailed description of each of the non-GAAP measures, please see "Non-GAAP Financial Performance
Measures" at the end of this item. The Company believes it is important to read this item in conjunction with our
interim unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and the notes thereto included in this quarterly report
and our 2013 10-K, as well as other information the Company files with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Overview

The Company is a large primary silver producer with significant gold production and mines located in the United States, Mexico, and Bolivia; development projects in Mexico and Argentina; and streaming and royalty interests in Australia, Mexico, Ecuador, and Chile. The Palmarejo, San Bartolomé, Kensington, and Rochester mines, each of which is operated by the Company, and Coeur Capital, primarily comprised of the Endeavor silver stream and other precious metal royalties, constitute the Company's principal sources of revenues.

The Company's business strategy is to discover, acquire, develop and operate low-cost silver and gold mines and acquire precious metal streaming and royalty interests that together produce long-term cash flow, provide opportunities for growth through continued exploration, and generate superior and sustainable returns for stockholders. The Company's management focuses on maximizing net cash flow through identifying and implementing revenue enhancement opportunities at existing operations, reducing operating and non-operating costs, consistent capital discipline, and efficient management of working capital.

Highlights - Three Months Ended March 31, 2014

Metal sales of \$158.6 million and royalty revenue of \$1.0 million

Production of 7.6 million silver equivalent ounces, consisting of 4.1 million silver ounces and 58,836 gold ounces Costs applicable to sales were \$13.25 per silver equivalent ounce and \$1,005 per gold ounce (see "Non-GAAP Financial Performance Measures")

All-in sustaining costs were \$19.12 per silver equivalent ounce (see "Non-GAAP Financial Performance Measures")
Adjusted net loss of \$19.5 million or \$0.19 per share (see "Non-GAAP Financial Performance Measures")
\$11.9 million in capital expenditures

Issued \$150 million 7.875% Senior Notes due 2021, increasing cash and short term investments to \$318.6 million at March 31, 2014

Consolidated Performance

Net loss was \$37.2 million in the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared to Net income of \$12.3 million in the three months ended March 31, 2013. The decrease in Net income (loss) in the three months ended March 31, 2014 is primarily due to unfavorable fair value adjustments and lower average realized silver and gold prices, partially offset by higher silver and gold ounces sold.

The Company produced 4.1 million ounces of silver and 58,836 ounces of gold in the three months ended March 31, 2014, compared to 3.8 million ounces of silver and 56,913 ounces of gold in the three months ended March 31, 2013. Silver production increased in the three months ended March 31, 2014 due to higher grade at Palmarejo and higher recoveries and tons placed at Rochester. Gold production increased in the three months ended March 31, 2014 due to higher gold grade at Palmarejo.

Costs applicable to sales were \$13.25 per silver equivalent ounce and \$1,005 per gold ounce in the three months ended March 31, 2014, compared to \$14.07 per silver equivalent ounce and \$890 per gold ounce in the three months ended March 31, 2013. Costs applicable to sales per silver equivalent ounce decreased in the three months ended March 31, 2014 due to higher silver production at Rochester and higher gold production at Palmarejo. Costs applicable to sales per gold ounce increased in the three months ended March 31, 2014 due to higher mining and milling costs at Kensington, reflecting higher mining rates at ore grades more in line with average reserve grades.

| | Three months e | ended March 31, |
|---|----------------|-----------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 |
| Silver ounces produced ⁽²⁾ | 4,094,365 | 3,834,829 |
| Gold ounces produced ⁽²⁾ | 58,836 | 56,913 |
| Silver equivalent ounces produced ⁽¹⁾ | 7,624,525 | 7,249,609 |
| Silver ounces sold ⁽²⁾ | 3,861,398 | 3,058,254 |
| Gold ounces sold ⁽²⁾ | 62,578 | 51,926 |
| Silver equivalent ounces sold ⁽¹⁾ | 7,616,078 | 6,173,814 |
| Average realized price per silver ounce | \$20.29 | \$30.30 |
| Average realized price per gold ounce | \$1,298 | \$1,630 |
| Costs applicable to sales per silver equivalent ounce ⁽³⁾ (excluding Kensington) | \$13.25 | \$14.07 |
| Costs applicable to sales per gold ounce ⁽³⁾ (Kensington) | \$1,005 | \$890 |
| All-in sustaining costs per silver equivalent ounce ⁽³⁾ | \$19.12 | \$19.85 |

- (1) Silver equivalent ounces calculated using a 60:1 silver to gold ratio.
 - Payable basis. Production before smelter recoveries was 4,115,957 and 3,854,795 silver ounces and 59,489 and 56,020 gold ounces for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Sales before smelter
- (2) 56,929 gold ounces for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Sales before smelter recoveries were 3,895,559 and 3,076,534 silver ounces and 63,468 and 52,581 gold ounces for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.
- (3) See "Non-GAAP Financial Performance Measures."

Consolidated Financial Results

Three Months Ended March 31, 2014 compared to Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 Revenue

Metal sales decreased by \$13.2 million, or 7.7%, to \$158.6 million due to lower average realized silver and gold prices, partially offset by higher production at Palmarejo and Rochester. The Company sold 3.9 million ounces of silver and 62,578 ounces of gold, compared to sales of 3.1 million ounces of silver and 51,926 ounces of gold. The Company realized average silver and gold prices of \$20.29 per ounce and \$1,298 per ounce, respectively, compared with realized average prices of \$30.30 per ounce and \$1,630 per ounce, respectively. Silver and gold each contributed 50% of sales, compared to 53% of sales from silver and 47% from gold. Royalty revenues were \$1.0 million, primarily due to the creation of Coeur Capital and its acquisition of Global Royalty Corp. in the fourth quarter of 2013.

Costs Applicable to Sales

Costs applicable to sales increased by \$18.8 million, or 21.4%, to \$106.9 million. The increase in costs applicable to sales is primarily due to higher silver and gold ounces sold and higher mining and milling costs at Kensington, partially offset by lower silver unit costs at Rochester.

Amortization

Amortization decreased by \$9.3 million, or 18.6%, primarily due to lower amortizable mineral interests and mining equipment as a result of the 2013 impairment charges at the Palmarejo and Kensington mines.

Expenses

General and administrative expenses increased \$3.7 million, primarily due to higher compensation and benefits related to expanded capabilities in the relocated corporate office.

Exploration expenses decreased by \$2.6 million, or 38.4%, to \$4.2 million primarily as a result of reduced exploration activity near the Company's Joaquin project in Argentina. Over 76,703 feet (23,379 meters) of combined core and reverse circulation drilling was completed for discovery of new silver and gold mineralization.

Pre-development, reclamation, and other expenses increased to \$7.0 million or 34.4%, primarily due the La Preciosa feasibility study which is scheduled to be completed in mid-2014.

Other Income and Expenses

Non-cash fair value adjustments, net, including other-than-temporary impairments of marketable securities, were a loss of \$14.0 million compared to a gain of \$17.8 million, primarily due to the impact of changing future gold prices on the Palmarejo gold production royalty obligation.

Interest income and other, net decreased by \$5.8 million to an expense of \$2.0 million, compared to income of \$3.9 million, primarily due to a gain on the sale of various assets in the 2013 period.

Interest expense, net of capitalized interest, increased to \$13.1 million from \$9.7 million primarily due to write-off of Credit Agreement costs, partly offset by higher capitalized interest.

Income and Mining Taxes

The Company reported an income and mining tax benefit of approximately \$4.7 million compared to income and mining tax expense of \$11.2 million. The following table summarizes the components of the Company's income tax (expense) benefit:

| | Three months e | nded March 31, | |
|------------------------------|----------------|----------------|---|
| (in thousands) | 2014 | 2013 | |
| United States | \$(146 |) \$(3,212 |) |
| Argentina | 4,432 | 73 | |
| Australia | (303 |) (105 |) |
| Mexico | 3,721 | (3,673 |) |
| Bolivia | (2,764 |) (4,328 |) |
| Canada | (251 |) — | |
| Income tax (expense) benefit | \$4,689 | \$(11,245 |) |

During the three months ended March 31, 2014, the Company recorded an \$8.1 million benefit primarily related to foreign exchange rate differences in Argentina and Mexico, partly offset by income and mining tax expense of \$3.4 million in Australia, Bolivia, and Canada primarily related to higher metal prices.

During the three months ended March 31, 2013, the Company recognized a current provision primarily related to higher metal prices and inflation adjustments on non-monetary assets. Also as a result of an audit of the 2009 Bolivian tax return, the Company has recognized an additional \$1.5 million of tax expense, including interest and penalties, related to uncertainty in similar tax positions. In addition, the Company recognized a net \$7.6 million deferred tax provision for the recognition of deferred taxes on deductible temporary differences, foreign exchange rate adjustments and net operating loss carryforwards in various jurisdictions (principally in Bolivia and Mexico). Results of Operations

Palmarejo

| | Three months ended March 31, | | |
|---|------------------------------|-----------|--|
| | 2014 | 2013 | |
| Tons milled | 571,345 | 573,170 | |
| Silver ounces produced | 1,820,484 | 1,646,397 | |
| Gold ounces produced | 25,216 | 22,965 | |
| Silver equivalent ounces produced | 3,333,444 | 3,024,297 | |
| Costs applicable to sales/oz ⁽¹⁾ | \$13.36 | \$13.39 | |
| (1) See Non-GAAP Financial Performance Measures | | | |

Three Months Ended March 31, 2014 compared to Three Months Ended March 31, 2013

Silver production increased due to higher grade, partially offset by lower recoveries. Metal sales were \$68.0 million, or 43% of Coeur's metal sales, compared with \$57.4 million, or 33% of Coeur's metal sales. Costs applicable to sales per ounce were mostly unchanged as higher production offset realized currency hedge losses. Amortization decreased to \$18.7 million compared to \$28.8 million due to lower amortizable mineral interests and mining equipment. Capital expenditures were \$3.7 million compared to \$5.3 million.

San Bartolomé

| | Three months ended March 31, | | |
|---|------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| | 2014 | 2013 374,985 | |
| Tons milled | 385,375 | | |
| Silver ounces produced | 1,355,420 | 1,391,099 | |
| Costs applicable to sales/oz ⁽¹⁾ | \$13.93 | \$14.14 | |

⁽¹⁾ See Non-GAAP Financial Performance Measures

Three Months Ended March 31, 2014 compared to Three Months Ended March 31, 2013

Silver production decreased due to lower grade, which was mostly offset by higher mill throughput. Silver sales were \$27.6 million, or 17% of Coeur's metal sales, compared with \$33.1 million, or 19% of Coeur's metal sales. Costs applicable to sales per ounce decreased as a result of lower consumables costs. Amortization was \$4.5 million compared to \$4.6 million. Capital expenditures were \$1.4 million compared to \$0.5 million. Rochester

| | Three months ended March 31, | | |
|---|------------------------------|-----------|--|
| | 2014 | 2013 | |
| Tons placed | 3,640,861 | 2,439,757 | |
| Silver ounces produced | 750,362 | 647,589 | |
| Gold ounces produced | 8,192 | 8,742 | |
| Silver equivalent ounces produced | 1,241,882 | 1,172,109 | |
| Costs applicable to sales/oz ⁽¹⁾ | \$12.67 | \$15.15 | |
| (1) C N CAADE: 11D C M | | | |

⁽¹⁾ See Non-GAAP Financial Performance Measures

Three Months Ended March 31, 2014 compared to Three Months Ended March 31, 2013

Silver production increased as a result of higher grade, higher tons placed, and timing of recoveries. Metal sales were \$24.2 million, or 15% of Coeur's metal sales, compared with \$39.5 million, or 23% of Coeur's metal sales. Costs applicable to sales per ounce decreased due to higher silver production, partly offset by higher leaching costs due to leach pad expansion. Amortization was \$4.5 million compared to \$1.9 million due to higher production from the Stage III leach pad. Capital expenditures were \$1.0 million compared to \$3.3 million, due to the completion of the Stage III leach pad expansion at the end of 2013.

Kensington

| | Three months ended March 31, | | |
|---|------------------------------|---------|--|
| | 2014 | 2013 | |
| Tons milled | 159,697 | 129,057 | |
| Gold ounces produced | 25,428 | 25,206 | |
| Costs applicable to sales/oz ⁽¹⁾ | \$1,005 | \$890 | |

(1) See Non-GAAP Financial Performance Measures

Three Months Ended March 31, 2014 compared to Three Months Ended March 31, 2013

Gold production increased due to significantly higher mill throughput, mostly offset by lower grade. Metal sales were \$36.1 million compared to \$39.3 million, which represented 23% of Coeur's metal sales in each period. Costs applicable to sales per ounce increased due to higher mining and milling costs, reflecting higher mining rates at ore grades more in line with average reserve grade. Amortization was \$10.7 million compared to \$13.3 million due to lower amortizable mineral interests and mining equipment. Capital expenditures were \$4.7 million compared to \$3.3 million.

Coeur Capital

| | Three months ended March 31, | | |
|---|------------------------------|---------|--|
| Endeavor Silver Stream | 2014 | 2013 | |
| Tons milled | 193,219 | 194,519 | |
| Silver ounces produced | 168,099 | 149,744 | |
| Costs applicable to sales/oz ⁽¹⁾ | \$8.90 | \$12.13 | |

⁽¹⁾ See Non-GAAP Financial Performance Measures

Three Months Ended March 31, 2014 compared to Three Months Ended March 31, 2013

Metal sales were \$2.9 million compared to \$3.0 million. Silver production increased due to higher grade and recoveries. Costs applicable to sales per ounce decreased due to the impact of lower silver prices on the Company's silver price sharing agreement with the Endeavor mine operator. Amortization was \$1.7 million compared to \$0.8 million.

Royalty revenue was \$1.0 million compared to nil primarily due to the acquisition of Global Royalty Corp. in December 2013.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash Used in Operating Activities

Net cash used in operating activities for the three months ended March 31, 2014 was \$9.6 million, compared with net cash provided by operations of \$12.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013, due to lower average realized prices for silver and gold, partially offset by higher silver and gold ounces sold and a smaller increase in working capital.

| | Three months | | |
|--|--------------|------------|---|
| (in thousands) | 2014 | 2013 | |
| Cash flow before changes in operating assets and liabilities | \$14,854 | \$58,724 | |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | | |
| Receivables and other current assets | 5,622 | 3,968 | |
| Prepaid expenses and other | (8,109 |) (2,240 |) |
| Inventories | (13,912 |) (20,493 |) |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | (8,082 |) (27,025 |) |
| CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES | \$(9,627 |) \$12,934 | |
| | | | |

Cash Used in Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2014 was \$58.1 million, compared to net cash used in investing activities of \$23.3 million in the three months ended March 31, 2013, primarily due to the purchase of short-term investments.

The Company spent \$11.9 million on capital expenditures in the three months ended March 31, 2014, compared with \$12.8 million in the three months ended March 31, 2013. Capital expenditures in the three months ended March 31, 2014 were primarily related to underground development at Palmarejo and Kensington and equipment additions at Kensington.

Cash Provided by Financing Activities

Net cash provided by financing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2014 was \$134.0 million compared to net cash provided by financing activities of \$216.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013. The decrease in cash provided by financing activities is primarily the result of the follow-on offering of \$150 million of Senior Notes in the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared to the original offering of \$300 million of Senior Notes in the three months ended March 31, 2013, partially offset by the repurchase of \$43.3 million of Convertible Notes in the three months ended March 31, 2013.

Termination of Revolving Credit Facility

On March 20, 2014, Coeur Alaska, Inc. and Coeur Rochester, Inc., each a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, notified the administrative agent under the Credit Agreement, that they were terminating the Revolving Credit Facility, effective March

25, 2014. No amounts were outstanding under the Revolving Credit Facility at the time of termination, and no early termination penalty was payable in connection with the termination.

Other Liquidity Matters

The Company asserts that its earnings from the Palmarejo operation are permanently reinvested. Therefore, no provision has been made for United States federal and state income taxes on the Company's tax basis differences in Mexico, which primarily relate to accumulated foreign earnings which have been reinvested and are expected to be reinvested outside the United States indefinitely. The Company does not believe that the amounts permanently reinvested will have a material impact on liquidity.

The Company may elect to defer some capital investment activities or to secure additional capital to ensure it maintains sufficient liquidity. In addition, if the Company decides to pursue the acquisition of additional mineral interests, new capital projects, or acquisitions of new properties, mines or companies, additional financing activities may be necessary. There can be no assurances that such financing will be available when or if needed upon acceptable terms, or at all.

Critical Accounting Policies and Accounting Developments

Please see Note 2 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES contained in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 for the Company's critical accounting policies and estimates.

Cautionary Statement Concerning Forward-Looking Statements

This report contains numerous forward-looking statements relating to the Company's gold and silver mining business, including growth strategies, tax positions, and initiatives to generate, protect and maximize cash flow, manage metals price risk, reduce costs, enhance revenue and manage working capital and expenditures. Such forward-looking statements are identified by the use of words such as "believes," "intends," "expects," "hopes," "may," "should," "will," "plan, "projects," "contemplates," "anticipates" or similar words. Actual results could differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements. The factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements include: (i) the risk factors set forth below under Part II, Item 1A and in the "Risk Factors" section of the 2013 10-K and the risks and uncertainties discussed in this MD&A; (ii) the risks and hazards inherent in the mining business (including risks inherent in developing large-scale mining projects, environmental hazards, industrial accidents, weather or geologically related conditions), (iii) changes in the market prices of gold and silver and a sustained lower price environment, (iv) the uncertainties inherent in the Company's production, exploratory and developmental activities, including risks relating to permitting and regulatory delays, ground conditions and grade variability, (v) any future labor disputes or work stoppages, (vi) the uncertainties inherent in the estimation of gold and silver ore reserves and future production, (vii) changes that could result from the Company's future acquisition of new mining properties or businesses, (viii) reliance on third parties to operate certain mines where the Company owns silver production and reserves, (ix) the absence of control over mining operations in which the Company or any of its subsidiaries holds royalty or streaming interests and risks related to these mining operations (including results of mining and exploration activities, environmental, economic and political risks and changes in mine plans and project parameters); (x) the loss of any third-party smelter to which the Company markets silver and gold, (xi) the effects of environmental and other governmental regulations, (xii) the risks inherent in the ownership or operation of or investment in mining properties or businesses in foreign countries, and (xiii) the Company's ability to raise additional financing necessary to conduct its business, make payments or refinance any debt. Readers are cautioned not to put undue reliance on forward-looking statements. The Company disclaims any intent or obligation to update publicly these forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Non-GAAP Financial Performance Measures

Non-GAAP financial measures are intended to provide additional information only and do not have any standard meaning prescribed by generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP"). These measures should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for performance measures prepared in accordance with GAAP.

Adjusted Net Income (Loss)

Management uses adjusted net income (loss) to evaluate the Company's operating performance, and to plan and forecast business operations. The Company believes the use of adjusted net income (loss) reflects the underlying

operating performance of our core mining business and allows investors and analysts to compare results of the Company to similar results of other mining companies. Management's determination of the components of adjusted net income are evaluated periodically and are based, in part, on a review of non-GAAP financial measures used by mining industry analysts.

Net income (loss) is reconciled to Adjusted net income (loss) in the table below, with amounts presented after-tax:

| • | Three months e | Three months ended March 31, | | |
|---|----------------|------------------------------|---|--|
| | 2014 | 2013 | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$(37,191 |) \$12,270 | | |
| Fair value adjustments, net | 7,827 | (13,467 |) | |
| Stock-based compensation | 2,453 | 1,085 | | |
| Impairment of marketable securities | 2,588 | 35 | | |
| Accretion of royalty obligation | 1,821 | 2,569 | | |
| Write-downs | _ | 119 | | |
| Loss on revolver termination | 3,035 | _ | | |
| Adjusted net income (loss) | \$(19,467 |) \$2,611 | | |
| Adjusted net income (loss) per share | \$(0.19 |) \$0.03 | | |
| Costs Applicable to Sales and All-in Sustaining Costs | | | | |

Management uses costs applicable to sales ("CAS") and all-in sustaining costs ("AISC") to evaluate the Company's current operating performance and life of mine performance from discovery through reclamation. We believe these measures assist analysts, investors and other stakeholders in understanding the costs associated with producing silver and assessing our operating performance and ability to generate free cash flow from operations. These measures may not be indicative of operating profit or cash flow from operations as determined under GAAP. Management believes converting the benefit from selling gold into silver equivalent ounces (silver to gold ratio of 60:1) best allows management, analysts, investors and other stakeholders to evaluate the operating performance of the Company. Other companies may calculate CAS and AISC differently as a result of reflecting the benefit from selling non-silver metals as a by-product credit rather than converting to silver equivalent ounces, differences in the determination of sustaining capital expenditures and differences in underlying accounting principles and accounting frameworks such as in International Financial Reporting Standards.

Three Months Ended March 31, 2014

| | Silver | | | | | Gold | |
|--|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|
| | Palmarejo | San Bartolomé | Rochester | Endeavor | Total | Kensington | Total |
| Costs applicable to sales, including amortization (U.S. GAAP) | \$62,233 | \$23,358 | \$19,159 | \$2,135 | \$106,885 | \$39,240 | \$146,125 |
| Amortization Costs applicable to sales | 18,659 \$43,574 | 4,457 \$18,901 | 4,451 \$14,708 | 953 \$1,182 | 28,520 \$78,365 | 10,709 \$28,531 | 39,229 \$106,896 |
| Silver equivalent ounces sold | 3,261,982 | 1,357,307 | 1,160,829 | 132,800 | 5,912,918 | | |
| Gold ounces sold | | | | | | 28,386 | |
| Costs applicable to sales per ounce | \$13.36 | \$13.93 | \$12.67 | \$8.90 | \$13.25 | \$1,005 | |
| Treatment and refining costs | | | | | | | 1,672 |
| Sustaining capital General and administrative Exploration Reclamation | | | | | | | 11,936 13,896 4,217 1,286 |
| Project/pre-development costs | | | | | | | 5,698 |
| All-in sustaining costs | | | | | | | \$145,601 |

| Silver equivalent ounces sold | 5,912,918 |
|---|-----------|
| Kensington silver equivalent ounces sold | 1,703,160 |
| Consolidated silver equivalent ounces sold | 7,616,078 |
| All-in sustaining costs per silver equivalent ounce | \$19.12 |
| 35 | |

| Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 Silver Gold | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| | Palmarejo | San | Rochester | Endeavor | Total | Kensington | Total |
| Costs applicable to sales, | . | Bartolomé | | | | 8.1 | |
| including amortization (U.S. GAAP) Amortization Costs applicable to sales Silver equivalent ounces sold | \$55,500 | \$20,318 | \$22,629 | \$2,149 | \$100,596 | \$36,851 | \$137,447 |
| | 28,782 \$26,718 | 4,640 \$15,678 | 1,852 \$20,777 | 828 \$1,321 | 36,102 \$64,494 | 13,286 \$23,565 | 49,388 \$88,059 |
| | 1,995,000 | 1,108,874 | 1,371,598 | 108,942 | 4,584,414 | Ψ25,505 | Ψ00,037 |
| Gold ounces sold | | | | | | 26,490 | |
| Costs applicable to sales per ounce | \$13.39 | \$14.14 | \$15.15 | \$12.13 | \$14.07 | \$890 | |
| Treatment and refining | | | | | | | 2.525 |
| costs | | | | | | | 2,535 |
| Sustaining capital General and administrative Exploration | e | | | | | | 9,672 10,227 6,841 |
| Reclamation Project/pre-development | | | | | | | 712 |
| costs | | | | | | | 4,485 |
| All-in sustaining costs | | | | | | | \$122,531 |
| Silver equivalent ounces sold | | | | | | | 4,584,414 |
| Kensington silver equivalent ounces sold | | | | | | | 1,589,400 |
| Consolidated silver equivalent ounces sold | | | | | | | 6,173,814 |
| All-in sustaining costs per silver equivalent ounce | | | | | | | \$19.85 |
| • | | | | | | | |
| 36 | | | | | | | |

Item 3. Ouantitative and Oualitative Disclosure about Market Risk

The Company is exposed to various market risks as a part of its operations. In an effort to mitigate losses associated with these risks, the Company may, at times, enter into derivative financial instruments. These may take the form of forward sales contracts, put and call options related to future silver and gold production, foreign currency exchange contracts and interest rate swaps. The Company does not actively engage in the practice of trading derivative instruments for profit. This discussion of the Company's market risk assessments contains "forward looking statements" that contain risks and uncertainties. Actual results and actions could differ materially from those discussed below. The Company's operating results are substantially dependent upon the world market prices of silver and gold. The Company has no control over silver and gold prices, which can fluctuate widely and are affected by numerous factors, such as supply and demand and investor sentiment. In order to mitigate some of the risk associated with these fluctuations, the Company will at times enter into forward sales contracts or purchase put and call options. The Company continually evaluates the potential benefits of engaging in these strategies based on current market conditions. The Company may be exposed to nonperformance risk by counterparties as a result of its hedging activities. This exposure would be limited to the amount that the spot price of the metal falls short of the contract price. The Company enters into contracts and other arrangements from time to time in an effort to reduce the negative effect of price changes on its cashflows. These arrangements typically consist of managing its exposure to foreign currency exchange rates and market prices associated with changes in gold and silver commodity prices.

Concentrate Sales Contracts

The Company enters into concentrate sales contracts with third-party smelters. The contracts, in general, provide for a provisional payment based upon provisional assays and quoted metal prices. The provisionally priced sales contracts contain an embedded derivative that is required to be separated from the host contract for accounting purposes. The host contract is the receivable from the sale of concentrates at the forward price at the time of sale. The embedded derivative, which is the final settlement based on a future price, does not qualify for hedge accounting. These embedded derivatives are recorded as derivative assets in prepaid expenses and other or as derivative liabilities in accrued liabilities and other on the balance sheet and are adjusted to fair value through earnings each period until the date of final settlement.

At March 31, 2014, the Company had outstanding provisionally priced sales of 0.1 million ounces of silver and 18,471 ounces of gold at prices of \$20.47 and \$1,310, respectively. For a 10% change in realized silver price, revenue would vary (plus or minus) approximately \$0.3 million and for a 10% change in realized gold price, revenue would vary (plus or minus) approximately \$2.4 million. At December 31, 2013, the Company had outstanding provisionally priced sales consisting of 0.2 million ounces of silver and 30,780 ounces of gold at prices of \$20.98 and \$1,259, respectively.

Foreign Currency Contracts and Hedges

The Company operates, or has mineral interests, in several foreign countries, specifically Australia, Bolivia, Chile, Mexico, Argentina, and Ecuador, which exposes it to risks associated with fluctuations in the exchange rates of the currencies involved. As part of its program to manage foreign currency risk, the Company from time to time enters into foreign currency exchange hedge contracts. These contracts enable the Company to purchase a fixed amount of foreign currencies at a fixed exchange rate or to lock in a specific exchange rate. Gains and losses on foreign exchange contracts that are related to firm commitments and designated and effective as hedges are deferred and recognized in the same period as the related transaction. All other contracts that do not qualify as hedges are marked to market and the resulting gains or losses are recorded in income. The Company continually evaluates the potential benefits of entering into these contracts to mitigate foreign currency risk and proceeds when it believes that the exchange rates are most beneficial.

During the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company entered into forward foreign currency exchange contracts as well as call and put option contracts, also referred to as collars, to reduce the foreign exchange risk associated with forecasted Mexican peso ("MXN") operating costs at its Palmarejo mine and development costs at La Preciosa.

At March 31, 2014, the Company had outstanding collars on \$35.0 million with a weighted-average strike price of 12.56 MXN for the floor and 14.98 MXN for the ceiling. The Company had an asset related to these contracts with a fair value of \$0.1 million at March 31, 2014. A 10% increase in the MXN rate would result in a change in fair value of

\$3.3 million and a 10% decrease would result in a change in fair value of \$0.3 million.

The Company recorded mark-to-market gains of \$1.0 million and gains of \$0.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. These mark-to-market adjustments are reflected in Fair value adjustments, net in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss). The Company recorded realized losses of \$0.9 million and realized gains of \$0.6 million in production costs applicable to sales during the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Palmarejo Gold Production Royalty

On January 21, 2009, the Company entered into the gold production royalty transaction with Franco-Nevada Corporation. The minimum royalty obligation ends when payments have been made on a total of 400,000 ounces of gold. As of March 31, 2014, a total of 124,103 ounces of gold remain outstanding under the minimum royalty obligation. The price volatility associated with the minimum royalty obligation is considered an embedded derivative financial instrument under U.S. GAAP. The fair value of the embedded derivative at March 31, 2014 and 2013 was a liability of \$44.4 million and \$40.3 million, respectively. During the years ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, the mark-to-market adjustments for this embedded derivative amounted losses of \$4.0 million and gains of \$23.5 million, respectively. For the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, realized losses on settlement of the liabilities were \$6.2 million and \$9.1 million, respectively. The mark-to-market adjustments and realized losses are included in Fair value adjustments, net in the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss).

The Company used an implicit interest rate of 30.0% to discount the original obligation, based on the fair value of the consideration received projected over the expected future cash flows at inception of the obligation. The discounted obligation is accreted to its expected future value over the expected minimum payment period based on the implicit interest rate. The Company recognized accretion expense for the years ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 of \$3.2 million and \$4.1 million, respectively. At March 31, 2014 and 2013, the remaining minimum obligation under the royalty agreement was \$46.0 million and \$51.2 million, respectively. A 10% change in the price of gold would result in a change in the fair value of the net derivative liability at March 31, 2014 to vary by approximately \$14.4 million. Gold and Silver Hedges

At March 31, 2014, the Company had outstanding put options allowing it to net settle 50,000 ounces of gold and 2,500,000 ounces of silver at weighted average strike prices of \$1,200 per ounce and \$18.00 per ounce, respectively, if the market price of gold or silver were to average less than the strike price during the contract period. In addition, the Company had outstanding put options requiring it to net settle 50,000 ounces of gold and 2,500,000 ounces of silver at weighted average strike prices of \$1,050 and \$16.00 per ounce, respectively, if the market price of gold or silver were to average less than the strike price during the contract period. A 10% change in the price of silver and gold would result in a change in fair value of \$0.6 million and \$1.9 million, respectively. These contracts expire during the second and third quarters of 2014. At March 31, 2014, the fair market value of these contracts was a net asset of \$0.8 million. During the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company recorded unrealized losses of \$1.5 million and unrealized gains of \$4.8 million, respectively, related to outstanding options which was included in Fair value adjustments, net in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss). The Company also recognized a realized gain of \$0.3 million and a realized loss of \$0.5 million resulting from expiring contracts during the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Additional information about the Company's derivative financial instruments may be found in Note 10 -- Derivative Financial Instruments in the notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures (a) Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report, the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act), and management necessarily applied its judgment in assessing the costs and benefits of such controls and procedures, which by their nature, can provide only reasonable assurance regarding management's control objectives. The design of any system of controls is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events. Based upon the foregoing, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective and operating to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by it in the reports it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and to provide reasonable assurance that such information is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. (b) Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Based on an evaluation by the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, such officers concluded that there was no change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during three months ended March 31, 2014 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. Other Information Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The information contained in Note 18 -- Commitments and Contingencies in the notes to the consolidated financial statements included in this quarterly report is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 1A.Risk Factors

Item 1A (Risk Factors) of the 2013 10-K sets forth information relating to important risks and uncertainties that could materially adversely affect the Company's business, financial condition or operating results. Those risk factors have been supplemented and updated in this Form 10-Q. Additional risks and uncertainties that we do not presently know or that we currently deem immaterial also may impair our business operations.

The Company's results of operations, cash flows and operating costs are highly dependent upon the market prices of silver and gold and other commodities, which are volatile and beyond the Company's control. The Company's use of derivative contracts to protect against such volatility exposes us to risk of opportunity loss, mark-to-market accounting adjustments and exposure to counterparty credit risk.

Silver and gold are commodities, and their prices are volatile. During the twelve months ended December 31, 2013, the price of silver ranged from a low of \$18.70 per ounce to a high of \$32.31 per ounce, and the price of gold ranged from a low of \$1,192 per ounce to a high of \$1,694 per ounce. During the first quarter of 2014, the price of silver ranged from a low of \$19.17 per ounce to a high of \$22.12 per ounce, and the price of gold ranged from a low of \$1,221 per ounce to a high of \$1,385 per ounce. The closing market prices of silver and gold on May 6, 2014 were \$19.63 per ounce and \$1,306 per ounce, respectively.

Silver and gold prices are affected by many factors beyond the Company's control, including prevailing interest rates and returns on other asset classes, expectations regarding inflation, speculation, currency values, governmental decisions regarding the disposal of precious metals stockpiles, global and regional demand and production, political and economic conditions and other factors. In addition, Exchange Traded Funds ("ETFs"), which have substantially facilitated the ability of large and small investors to buy and sell precious metals, have become significant holders of gold and silver. Factors that are generally understood to contribute to a decline in the prices of silver and gold include a strengthening of the U.S. dollar, net outflows from gold and silver ETFs, bullion sales by private and government holders and a general global economic slowdown.

Because the Company derives all of its revenues from sales of silver and gold, its results of operations and cash flows will fluctuate as the prices of these metals increase or decrease. A sustained period of declining or low gold and silver prices would materially and adversely affect the results of operations and cash flows. Additionally, if market prices for silver and gold decline or remain at relatively low levels for a sustained period of time, the Company may have to revise its operating plans, including reducing operating costs and capital expenditures, terminating or suspending mining operations at one or more of its properties and discontinuing certain exploration and development plans. The Company may be unable to decrease its costs in an amount sufficient to offset reductions in revenues, and may incur losses.

Operating costs at the Company's mines are affected by the price of input commodities, such as fuel, electricity, labor, chemical reagents, explosives, steel and concrete. Prices for these input commodities are volatile and can fluctuate due to conditions that are difficult to predict, including global competition for resources, currency fluctuations, consumer or industrial demand and other factors. Continued volatility in the prices of commodities and other supplies the Company purchases could lead to higher costs, which would adversely affect results of operations and cash flows.

Since the beginning of 2011, the Company has made strategic minority investments in several silver and gold development companies in North and South America. The value of these investments depends significantly on the market prices of silver and gold. The value of these investments has declined, and the Company cannot assure you that the value of these investments, or the value of future investments it may make in other development companies, will not decline further. Declines in the value of these investments could adversely affect the Company's financial condition.

A significant and sustained decline in gold and silver prices during 2013 caused the Company to write down its long-lived assets and, in the future such declines could cause one or more of the Company's mining properties to become unprofitable, which could require the Company to record additional write-downs of longlived assets. Such write-downs may adversely affect the Company's results of operations and financial condition.

The Company reviews its long-lived assets for recoverability pursuant to the Financial Accounting Standard Board's Accounting Standards Codification Section 360 ("ASC 360"). Under that standard, the Company reviews the recoverability of the cost of its long-lived assets, such as its mining properties, upon a triggering event. Such review involves the Company estimating the future undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the use and eventual disposition of the asset. Impairment, measured by comparing an asset's carrying value to its fair value, must be recognized when the carrying value of the asset exceeds these cash flows. The Company conducts a review of the financial performance of its mines in connection with the preparation of its financial statements for each reported period and determines whether any triggering events are indicated.

The Company's assessment of the recoverability of its long-lived assets as of December 31, 2013 under ASC 360 indicated that a write-down of its long-lived assets at December 31, 2013 of approximately \$773 million was required. This non-cash write-down resulted in an impairment charge in the Company's statement of comprehensive income (loss) and reduced the carrying value of mining properties and property, plant and equipment on the Company's balance sheet. See "Note 4-Write-Downs" in the notes to the Company's consolidated financial statements in the 2013 Form 10-K for further detail.

If there are further significant and sustained declines in silver and gold prices or if the currently low silver or gold prices remain at such prices, or if the Company fails to control production and operating costs or realize the mineable ore reserves at its mining properties, the Company may terminate or suspend mining operations at one or more of its properties. These events could require a further write-down of the carrying value of the Company's assets. Any such actions would adversely affect the Company's results of operations and financial condition.

The Company may record other types of additional mining property charges in the future if it sells a property for a price less than its carrying value or has to increase reclamation liabilities in connection with the closure and reclamation of a property. Any such additional write-downs of mining properties could adversely affect the Company's results of operations and financial condition.

The Company's use of derivative contracts to protect against market price volatility exposes it to risk of opportunity loss, mark-to-market accounting adjustments and exposure to counterparty credit risk.

From time to time, the Company may enter into price risk management contracts to protect against fluctuations in the price of its products and changes in the price of fuel and other input costs. These contracts could include forward sales or purchase contracts, futures contracts, purchased or sold put and call options and other contracts.

Any use of forward or futures contracts can expose the Company to risk of an opportunity loss. The use of derivative contracts may also result in significant mark-to-market accounting adjustments, which may have a material adverse impact on reported financial results. The Company is exposed to credit risk with contract counterparties, including, but not limited to, sales contracts and derivative contracts. In the event of nonperformance in connection with a contract, the Company could be exposed to a loss of value for that contract.

The Company is an international company and is exposed to political and social risks in the countries in which it has significant operations or interests.

A majority of the Company's revenues are generated by operations outside the United States, and it is subject to significant risks inherent in mineral extraction by foreign companies and contracts with government owned entities. Exploration, development, production and closure activities in many countries are potentially subject to heightened political and social risks that are beyond the Company's control. These risks include the possible unilateral cancellation or forced renegotiation of contracts, unfavorable changes in foreign laws and regulations, royalty and tax increases, claims by governmental entities or indigenous communities, expropriation or nationalization of property and other risks arising out of foreign sovereignty over areas in which operations are conducted. The right to export silver and gold may depend on obtaining certain licenses and quotas, which could be delayed or denied at the discretion of the relevant regulatory authorities. In addition, the Company's rights under local law may be less secure in countries where judicial systems are susceptible to manipulation and intimidation by government agencies, non-governmental organizations or civic groups.

Any of these developments could require the Company to curtail or terminate operations at its mines, incur significant costs to meet newly-imposed environmental or other standards, pay greater royalties or higher prices for labor or services and recognize higher taxes, which could materially and adversely affect financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

These risks may be higher in developing countries in which the Company may expand its exploration for and development of mineral deposits. Potential operations in these areas increase the Company's exposure to risks of war, local economic conditions, political disruption, civil disturbance and governmental policies that may disrupt its operations.

The Company's ongoing and future success depends on developing and maintaining productive relationships with the communities, including indigenous peoples, and other stakeholders in its operating locations. The Company believes its operations can provide valuable benefits to surrounding communities, in terms of direct employment, training and skills development and other community benefits associated with ongoing payment of taxes. In addition, the Company seeks to maintain its partnerships and relationships with local communities and stakeholders in a variety of ways, including in-kind contributions, volunteer time, sponsorships and donations. Notwithstanding the Company's ongoing efforts, local communities and stakeholders can become dissatisfied with its activities, which may result in civil unrest, protests, direct action or campaigns against it. Any such occurrences could materially and adversely affect the Company's financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

The Company's operations outside the United States also expose it to economic and operational risks.

The Company's operations outside the United States also expose it to economic and operational risks. Local economic conditions can cause shortages of skilled workers and supplies, increase costs and adversely affect the security of operations. In addition, higher incidences of criminal activity and violence in the area of some of the Company's foreign operations, including drug-cartel related violence in Mexico, could adversely affect the Company's ability to operate in an optimal fashion and may impose greater risks of theft and greater risks as to personnel and property security. These conditions could lead to lower productivity and higher costs, which would adversely affect results of operations and cash flows. The Company sells gold and silver doré in U.S. dollars, but it conducts operations outside the United States in local currency. Currency exchange movements could adversely affect results of operations.

Silver and gold mining involves significant production and operational risks.

Silver and gold mining involves significant production and operational risks, including those related to uncertain mineral exploration success, unexpected geological or mining conditions, the difficulty of development of new deposits, unfavorable climate conditions, equipment or service failures, current unavailability of or delays in installing and commissioning plants and equipment, import or customs delays and other general operating risks.

Commencement of mining can reveal mineralization or geologic formations, including higher than expected content of other minerals that can be difficult to separate from silver, which can result in unexpectedly low recovery rates. Problems also may arise due to the quality or failure of locally obtained equipment or interruptions to services (such as power, water, fuel or transport or processing capacity) or technical support, which could result in the failure to achieve expected target dates for exploration, or could cause production activities to require greater capital expenditure to achieve expected recoveries. Many of these production and operational risks are beyond the Company's control. Delays in commencing successful mining activities at new or expanded mines, disruptions in production and low recovery rates could have adverse effects on the Company's financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

The estimation of ore reserves is imprecise and depends upon subjective factors. Estimated ore reserves may not be realized in actual production. The Company's results of operations and financial position may be adversely affected by

inaccurate estimates.

The ore reserve figures presented in the Company's public filings are estimates made by the Company's technical personnel and independent mining consultants the Company contracts with. Reserve estimates are a function of geological and engineering analyses that require the Company to make assumptions about production costs, recoveries and silver and gold market prices. Reserve estimation is an imprecise and subjective process. The accuracy of such estimates is a function of the quality of available data and of engineering and geological interpretation, judgment and experience. Assumptions about silver and gold market prices are subject to great uncertainty as those prices have fluctuated widely in the past. Declines in the market prices of silver or gold may render reserves containing relatively lower grades of ore uneconomic to exploit, and the Company may be required to reduce reserve estimates, discontinue development or mining at one or more of its properties or write down assets as impaired. Should the Company encounter mineralization or geologic formations at any of its mines or projects different from those predicted, it may adjust its reserve estimates and alter its mining plans. Either of these alternatives may adversely affect actual production and financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

The Company's estimates of future production are imprecise, depend upon subjective factors and may not be realized in actual production and such estimates speak only as of their respective dates.

The Company has in the past, and may in the future, provide estimates and projections of its future production. Any such information is forward-looking. Such estimates are made by the Company's management and technical personnel and depend on numerous assumptions, including assumptions about the availability, accessibility, sufficiency and quality of ore, the Company's costs of production, its ability to sustain and increase production levels, the sufficiency of its infrastructure, the performance of its personnel and equipment, its ability to maintain and obtain mining interests and permits and its compliance with existing and future laws and regulations. Actual results and experience may differ materially from these assumptions. Any such production estimates speak only as of the date on which they are made, and the Company disclaims any intent or obligation to update such estimates, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Forward sales and royalty arrangements can result in limiting the Company's ability to take advantage of increased metal prices while increasing its exposure to lower metal prices.

The Company has in the past entered into, and may in the future enter into, arrangements under which it has agreed to make royalty or similar payments to lenders or other third parties in amounts that are based on expected production and price levels for gold or silver. The Company enters into such arrangements when it concludes that they provide it with necessary capital to develop a specific mining property on favorable terms or to achieve other business objectives. Royalty or similar payment obligations, however, can limit the Company's ability to realize the full effects of rising gold or silver prices and require the Company to make potentially significant cash payments if the mine fails to achieve specified minimum production levels.

The Company's future operating performance may not generate cash flows sufficient to meet debt payment obligations.

As of March 31, 2014, the Company had approximately \$464.2 million of outstanding indebtedness. In addition, the Company's total debt excludes \$46.0 million for future minimum estimated gold production royalty payments due from its subsidiary Coeur Mexicana to Franco-Nevada. The liabilities associated with these gold production royalty payments increase as the price of gold increases. The Company's ability to make scheduled debt payments on outstanding indebtedness will depend on future results of operations and cash flows. The Company's results of operations and cash flows, in part, are subject to economic factors beyond its control, including the market prices of silver and gold. The Company may not be able to generate enough cash flow to meet obligations and commitments. If the Company cannot generate sufficient cash flow from operations to service debt, it may need to further refinance debt, dispose of assets or issue equity to obtain the necessary funds.

The Company cannot predict whether it would be able to refinance debt, issue equity or dispose of assets to raise funds on a timely basis or on satisfactory terms.

The Company's future growth will depend upon its ability to develop new mines, either through exploration at existing properties or by acquisition from other mining companies.

Because mines have limited lives based on proven and probable ore reserves, an important element of the Company's business strategy is the opportunistic acquisition of silver and gold mines, properties and businesses or interests therein. During 2011, the Company successfully constructed a new leach pad at the Company's Rochester mine. Development of other major mining properties at Palmarejo, San Bartolomé and Kensington has been substantially completed. Since December 2012, the Company has owned 100% of the Joaquin silver- gold development project located in the Santa Cruz province of southern Argentina. As a result of its acquisition of Orko (now Coeur La Preciosa Silver Corp.) in April 2013, the Company also holds the La Preciosa silver-gold project in the state of

Durango, Mexico. The Company's ability to achieve significant additional growth in revenues and cash flows will depend upon success in further developing existing properties and developing or acquiring new mining properties. Both strategies are inherently risky, and the Company cannot assure that it will be able to successfully develop existing or new mining properties or acquire additional mining properties on favorable economic terms or at all.

While it is the Company's practice to engage independent mining consultants to assist in evaluating and making acquisitions, any mining properties or interests that it may acquire may not be developed profitably. If profitable when acquired, that profitability might not be sustained. In connection with any future acquisitions, the Company may incur indebtedness or issue equity securities or securities convertible into equity securities, resulting in increased interest expense, or dilution of the percentage ownership of existing stockholders. The Company cannot predict the impact of future acquisitions on the price of its common stock, or assure that it would be able to obtain any necessary financing on acceptable terms. Unprofitable acquisitions, or additional indebtedness or issuances of securities in connection with such acquisitions, may negatively affect results of operations.

Significant investment risks and operational costs are associated with exploration, development and mining activities. These risks and costs may result in lower economic returns and may adversely affect the Company's business.

The Company's ability to sustain or increase its present production levels depends in part on successful exploration and development of new ore bodies and expansion of existing mining operations. Substantial expenditures are required to establish ore reserves, to extract metals from ores and, in the case of new properties, to construct mining and processing facilities.

Mineral exploration, particularly for silver and gold, involves many risks and is frequently unproductive. Even if mineral deposits are found, those deposits may be insufficient in quantity and quality to return a profit from production, or it may take a number of years until production is possible, during which time the economic viability of the project may change. Few properties which are explored are ultimately developed into producing mines. The commercial viability of a mineral deposit, once developed, depends on a number of factors, including: the particular attributes of the deposit, such as size, grade and proximity to infrastructure; government regulations including taxes, royalties and land tenure; land use; importing and exporting of minerals; environmental protection; mineral prices; completion of favorable feasibility studies; issuance and maintenance of necessary permits; and receipt of adequate financing. Factors that affect adequacy of infrastructure include: reliability of roads, bridges, power sources and water supply; unusual or infrequent weather phenomena; sabotage; and government or other interference in the maintenance or provision of such infrastructure. All of these factors are highly cyclical. The exact effect of these factors cannot be accurately predicted, but the combination may result in not receiving an adequate return on invested capital.

In addition, development projects may have no operating history upon which to base estimates of future operating costs and capital requirements. Development project items such as estimates of reserves, metal recoveries and cash operating costs are to a large extent based upon the interpretation of geologic data, obtained from a limited number of drill holes and other sampling techniques, and feasibility studies. Estimates of cash operating costs are then derived based upon anticipated tonnage and grades of ore to be mined and processed, the configuration of the ore body, expected recovery rates of metals from the ore, comparable facility and equipment costs, anticipated climate conditions and other factors. As a result, actual operating costs and economic returns of any and all development projects may materially differ from the costs and returns estimated, and accordingly, the Company's financial condition, results of operations and cash flows may be negatively affected.

The Company might be unable to raise additional financing necessary to meet capital needs, conduct business, make payments when due or refinance debt.

The Company might need to raise additional funds in order to meet capital needs, implement its business plan, refinance debt or acquire complementary businesses or products. Any required additional financing might not be available on commercially reasonable terms, or at all. If the Company raises additional funds by issuing equity securities or securities convertible into equity securities, holders of its common stock could experience significant dilution of their ownership interest, and these securities could have rights senior to those of the holders of common stock.

The Company's silver-gold project, La Preciosa, is subject to significant development, operational and regulatory risks.

As a development phase project, La Preciosa is subject to numerous risks. These risks include uncertainty as to the development of the La Preciosa project in accordance with current expectations or at all, and the ultimate extent, quality, grade and minability of mineralization. Further, the Company may be unable to complete project and environmental permitting within an economically acceptable time frame.

The preliminary economic assessment, or PEA, for the La Preciosa project completed in 2013 does not have sufficient certainty to constitute a pre-feasibility study or a feasibility study. The PEA includes mineralized material that is

considered too speculative geologically to have economic considerations applied to it that would enable the material to be categorized as proven and probable reserves. The Company cannot assure that the results reflected in the PEA will be realized or that it will ever be in a position to identify proven and probable reserves at the La Preciosa project. In particular, the PEA uses estimated capital costs and operating costs which are based on factors including tonnage and grades of metal expected to be mined and processed and expected recovery rates, none of which has been completed to a pre-feasibility study or a feasibility study level. While the Company is currently conducting a feasibility study on the La Preciosa project, the ultimate identification of proven and probable reserves will depend on a number of factors, including the attributes of the deposit (including size, grade, geological formation and proximity to infrastructure), metal prices, government regulations (including regulations pertaining to taxes, royalties, land use, international trade and permitting) and environmental protections. It is possible that proven and probable reserves will never be identified at the La Preciosa project, which would inhibit the Company's ability to develop the La Preciosa project into a commercial mining operation. In addition, following completion of the feasibility study, the Company may determine not to proceed with project construction.

The Company plans to configure and design the La Preciosa project as a large-tonnage, open pit operation in an effort to maximize annual production and mine life. However, the Company may be unable to obtain the permits required for this design scope, or may be unable to complete the design in a manner that complies with environmental laws. Further, geological or technological impediments to extraction and processing may render the engineering impracticable or uneconomic.

As a result of these and related risks, future estimates of or actual cash operating costs and economic returns of the La Preciosa project may materially differ from the estimated costs and returns for this project, and accordingly, the Company's financial condition, results of operations and cash flows may be negatively affected.

A significant delay or disruption in sales of concentrates as a result of the unexpected discontinuation of purchases by smelter customers could have a material adverse effect on results of operations.

The Company currently markets silver and gold concentrates to third-party smelters and refineries in China and Japan. The loss of any one smelter could have a material adverse effect on the Company if alternative smelters and refineries were unavailable. The Company cannot ensure that alternative smelters or refineries would be available if the need for them were to arise or that it would not experience delays or disruptions in sales that would materially and adversely affect results of operations.

The Company's silver and gold production may decline in the future, reducing its results of operations and cash flows.

The Company's silver and gold production, unless it is able to develop or acquire new properties, will decline over time due to the exhaustion of reserves and the possible closure of mines in response to declining metals prices or other factors. Identifying promising mining properties is difficult and speculative.

The Company encounters strong competition from other mining companies in connection with the acquisition of properties producing or capable of producing silver and gold. Many of these companies have greater financial resources than the Company does. Consequently, the Company may be unable to replace and expand current ore reserves through the acquisition of new mining properties or interests therein on terms that are considered acceptable. As a result, revenues from the sale of silver and gold may decline, resulting in lower income and reduced growth. The Company cannot assure that it would be able to replace the production that would be lost due to the exhaustion of reserves and the possible closure of mines.

There are significant hazards associated with mining activities, some of which may not be fully covered by insurance.

The mining business is subject to risks and hazards, including environmental hazards, industrial accidents, the encountering of unusual or unexpected geological formations, cave-ins, flooding, earthquakes and periodic interruptions due to inclement or hazardous weather conditions. These occurrences could result in damage to, or destruction of, mineral properties or production facilities, personal injury or death, environmental damage, reduced production and delays in mining, asset write-downs, monetary losses and possible legal liability.

Insurance fully covering many environmental risks, including potential liability for pollution or other hazards as a result of disposal of waste products occurring from exploration and production, is not generally available to us or to other companies in the industry. Any liabilities that the Company incurs for these risks and hazards could be significant and could adversely affect results of operations, cash flows and financial condition.

The Company is subject to significant governmental regulations, including under the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act, and related costs and delays may negatively affect its business.

Mining activities are subject to extensive federal, state, local and foreign laws and regulations governing environmental protection, natural resources, prospecting, development, production, post-closure reclamation, taxes, labor standards and occupational health and safety laws and regulations, including mine safety, toxic substances and other matters. The costs associated with compliance with such laws and regulations are substantial. Possible future laws and regulations, or more restrictive interpretations of current laws and regulations by governmental authorities, could cause additional expense, capital expenditures, restrictions on or suspensions of operations and delays in the development of new properties.

U.S. surface and underground mines like the Kensington and Rochester mines are continuously inspected by the U.S. Mine Safety and Health Administration ("MSHA"), which inspections often lead to notices of violation. Recently, the MSHA has been conducting more frequent and more comprehensive inspections of mining operations in general.

Failure to comply with applicable laws, regulations and permitting requirements may result in enforcement actions, including orders issued by regulatory or judicial authorities causing operations to cease or be curtailed, which may require corrective

measures including capital expenditures, installation of additional equipment or remedial actions. In addition, any of the Company's U.S. mines could be subject to a temporary or extended shutdown as a result of a violation alleged by the MSHA. Parties engaged in mining operations or in the exploration or development of mineral properties may be required to compensate those suffering loss or damage by reason of the mining activities and may be subject to civil or criminal fines or penalties imposed for violations of applicable laws or regulations. Any such penalties, fines, sanctions or shutdowns could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business and results of operations.

Compliance with environmental regulations and litigation based on environmental regulations could require significant expenditures.

Environmental regulations mandate, among other things, the maintenance of air and water quality standards and land reclamation, and set forth limitations on the generation, transportation, storage and disposal of solid and hazardous waste. Environmental legislation is evolving in a manner that will require stricter standards and enforcement, increased fines and penalties for non-compliance, more stringent environmental assessments of proposed projects, and a heightened degree of responsibility for mining companies and their officers, directors and employees. The Company may incur environmental costs that could have a material adverse effect on financial condition and results of operations. Any failure to remedy an environmental problem could require it to suspend operations or enter into interim compliance measures pending completion of the required remedy. The environmental standards that ultimately may be imposed at a mine site affect the cost of remediation and could exceed the financial accruals that the Company has made for such remediation. The potential exposure may be significant and could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

Moreover, governmental authorities and private parties may bring lawsuits based upon damage to property and injury to persons resulting from the environmental, health and safety impacts of prior and current operations, including operations conducted by other mining companies many years ago at sites located on properties that the Company currently or formerly owned. These lawsuits could lead to the imposition of substantial fines, remediation costs, penalties and other civil and criminal sanctions. Substantial costs and liabilities, including for restoring the environment after the closure of mines, are inherent in the Company's operations. The Company cannot assure that any such law, regulation, enforcement or private claim would not have a material adverse effect on its financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Some of the mining wastes from the Company's U.S. mines currently are exempt to a limited extent from the extensive set of U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (the "USEPA") regulations governing hazardous waste under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act ("RCRA"). If the USEPA were to repeal this exemption, and designate these mining wastes as hazardous under RCRA, the Company would be required to expend additional amounts on the handling of such wastes and to make significant expenditures to construct hazardous waste storage or disposal facilities. In addition, if any of these wastes causes contamination in or damage to the environment at a U.S. mining facility, that facility could be designated as a "Superfund" site under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act ("CERCLA"). Under CERCLA, any present owner or operator of a Superfund site or the owner or operator at the time of contamination may be held jointly and severally liable regardless of fault, and may be forced to undertake extensive remedial cleanup action or to pay for the cleanup efforts. The owner or operator also may be liable to federal, state and tribal governmental entities for the cost of damages to natural resources, which could be substantial. Additional regulations or requirements also are imposed on the Company's tailings and waste disposal areas in Alaska under the federal Clean Water Act ("CWA") and in Nevada under the Nevada Water Pollution Control Law which implements the CWA.

Airborne emissions are subject to controls under air pollution statutes implementing the Clean Air Act in Nevada and Alaska. In addition, there are numerous legislative and regulatory proposals related to climate change, including legislation pending in the U.S. Congress to require reductions in greenhouse gas emissions. Adoption of these proposals could have a materially adverse effect on results of operations and cash flows.

The Company relies on third parties who own, maintain and operate the mines underlying its royalty and streaming assets.

The Endeavor mine is owned, maintained and operated by Cobar, a wholly owned subsidiary of CBH. However, pursuant to a silver sale and purchase agreement, the Company's wholly owned subsidiary, CDE Australia Pty. Ltd. ("CDE Australia"), has acquired all silver production and reserves at the Endeavor mine, up to a total of 20.0 million payable ounces. CDE Australia has agreed to pay Cobar an operating cost contribution of \$1.00 for each ounce of payable silver plus 50% of the amount by which the silver price exceeds \$7.00 per ounce, subject to annual adjustments for inflation. In addition, the Company currently holds a tiered royalty on McEwen Mining Inc.'s El Gallo/Magistral mine in Mexico, currently paying a 3.5% NSR, a 1.5% NSR on Dynasty Metals & Mining, Inc.'s Zaruma mine in Ecuador and a 2.0% NSR on Mandalay Resources Corp.'s Cerro Bayo mine in Chile, and plans to acquire additional royalty and streaming interests in the future.

The Company relies on third parties to own, maintain and operate the mining projects underlying its royalty and streaming interests, which exposes it to substantial counterparty risk. These third parties may fail to adequately or appropriately operate or maintain their respective projects or may be unable or unwilling to fulfill their obligations under their agreements with the Company.

The Company cannot ensure that each of these third parties will not suffer financial hardship, will continue as a going concern or will not enter bankruptcy or otherwise liquidate. Any such event could expose the Company to significant costs and could limit the amounts, if any, the Company could recover in any proceeding against any such third party for breach of their agreement with the Company. There can be no assurance that the production from any of these mining operations will meet forecasted production targets. At any time, any of the owners or operators of these mining operations may decide to suspend or discontinue operations. In addition, the owners or operators of projects that are not yet operational in which the Company may hold royalty or streaming interests may decide to delay or not to proceed with commencing commercial production. Any failure, inability or refusal of a counterparty to meet its obligations to the Company under these royalty or streaming arrangements could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, results of operations or financial condition.

The Company's ability to obtain necessary government permits to expand operations or begin new operations can be materially affected by third party activists.

Private parties such as environmental activists frequently attempt to intervene in the permitting process and to persuade regulators to deny necessary permits or seek to overturn permits that have been issued. Obtaining the necessary governmental permits is a complex and time-consuming process involving numerous jurisdictions and often involving public hearings and costly undertakings. These third party actions can materially increase the costs and cause delays in the permitting process and could cause the Company to not proceed with the development or expansion of a mine.

An environmental organization, Great Basin Resource Watch ("GBRW") has brought an administrative appeal challenging the Bureau of Land Management's ("BLM") approval in October 2010 of a plan of amendment which allows active mining to be resumed and a new heap leach pad to be constructed at the Rochester property. However, because GBRW did not seek a stay of the BLM's decision, operations have been proceeding as approved during the Interior Board of Land Appeals proceeding. The Company cannot predict the outcome of the appeal or what effect, if any, an adverse ruling may have on current operations. If an adverse ruling is issued, the Company may be required to update the permitting for the current operations at Rochester.

The Company's operations in Bolivia are subject to political risks.

Since 2009, a working group composed of Bolivian government officials and key mining sector participants has been working on amendments to the country's existing mining law. In March 2014, the Bolivian President officially presented the working group's new mining law proposal to Congress for approval. During this legislative process, amendments were proposed which triggered protests by mining cooperatives. Currently, the Bolivian government and mining cooperatives are engaged in discussions to address these changes. The Company has been assessing the potential effects of the proposed legislation on its Bolivian operations but any effects remain uncertain until the law is enacted. The law is expected to regulate royalties and to provide for mining contracts with the government rather than concession holding. If the new mining law mandates a renegotiation of the terms of our existing contracts with the Bolivian state-owned mining company, Corporacion Minera de Bolivia ("COMIBOL") and the mining cooperatives, this could materially adversely affect the profitability and cash flow of our operations in Bolivia. It is also uncertain if any new mining or investment policies or shifts in political attitude may further affect mining in Bolivia.

In addition, pending the enactment of the new mining law, companies are operating under Law No. 403 of September 18, 2013, and its regulatory Supreme Decree, which provides for the reversion of mining rights if the Ministry of

Mines verifies that a person with mining rights has not initiated mining activities or developed the mining rights. The contracts with COMIBOL and the cooperatives are excluded from the application of Law No. 403. In April 2014, our subsidiary in Bolivia was served by the Bolivian government with a reversion decision affecting nine mining rights wholly-owned by our subsidiary. The affected area is not in an area of active mining by the Company and the Company's San Bartolomé operations were not targeted as an area of interest in the decision since all of our past and current mining activity is performed through our contracts with COMIBOL and the mining cooperatives. Nonetheless, the Company has challenged the decision and is waiting for the outcome of the appeal process.

On October 14, 2009, the COMIBOL announced by resolution that it was temporarily suspending mining activities above the elevation of 4,400 meters above sea level while stability studies of the Cerro Rico mountain are undertaken. The Company holds rights to mine above this elevation under valid contracts with COMIBOL as well as under authorized contracts with local mining cooperatives that hold their rights under contract themselves with COMIBOL. The Company temporarily adjusted its mine plan to confine mining activities to the ore deposits below 4,400 meters above sea level and timely notified COMIBOL of the

need to lift the restriction. In 2010 and 2011, the Cooperative Reserva Fiscal, with whom the Company has contracted, subsequently determined that the Huacajchi and Huacajchi Sur deposits were not covered by the COMIBOL resolution and notified COMIBOL that it was resuming mining of high-grade material above the 4,400 meter level in the Huacajchi and Huacajchi Sur deposits. Based on these notifications and the absence of any objection from COMIBOL, the Company resumed mining operations at the San Bartolomé mine on the Huacajchi and Huacajchi Sur deposits in 2012. Mining in other areas above the 4,400 meter level continue to be suspended. The partial suspension may reduce production until the Company is able to resume mining above 4,400 meters generally. It is uncertain at this time how long the suspension will remain in place. In addition, it is possible that COMIBOL may decide that the Company's operations at the Huacajchi deposit or Huacajchi Sur are subject to the COMIBOL resolution, which may force it to ultimately cease mining at such deposits. If COMIBOL objects to the Company's mining at the Huacajchi deposit or Huacajchi Sur or if the other restrictions are not lifted, the Company may need to write down the carrying value of the asset.

Although we previously carried political risk insurance with respect to the San Bartolomé mine in Bolivia, we recently determined not to renew this coverage. In determining whether to renew the coverage, we based our assessment on the political risk environment and the likelihood of a timely and material claim payout against the cost of carrying political risk insurance, which was approximately \$2.1 million as of the most recent period ended December 16, 2013.

The Company's business depends on good relations with its employees.

The Company could experience labor disputes, work stoppages or other disruptions in production that could adversely affect its business and results of operations. Labor disruptions may be used to advocate labor, political or social goals, particularly at non-U.S. mines. For example, labor disruptions may occur in sympathy with strikes or labor unrest in other sectors of local economies. During the past three years, two of the Company's mines have experienced work stoppages, each of which was resolved within a short period of time and had no material effect on operations. The Company cannot assure that work stoppages or other disruptions will not occur in the future. Any such work stoppage or disruption could expose the Company to significant costs and have a material adverse effect on its business, results of operations or financial condition.

As of March 31, 2014, unions represented approximately 9.9% of the Company's worldwide workforce, all of which were composed of workers at the San Bartolomé mine in Bolivia. The Company currently has a labor agreement at the San Bartolomé mine which is in effect for 2014. The Company cannot predict whether this agreement will be renewed on similar terms or at all, whether future labor disruptions will occur or, if disruptions do occur, how long they will last.

Disputes regarding the Company's mining claims, concessions or surface rights to land in the vicinity of the Company's mining projects, could adversely impact operations.

The validity of mining or exploration claims, concessions or rights, which constitute most of the Company's property holdings, is often uncertain and may be contested. The Company has used commercially reasonable efforts, in accordance with industry standard, to investigate its title or claims to its various properties, however, no assurance can be given that applicable governments will not revoke or significantly alter the conditions of the applicable exploration and mining claims, concessions or rights or that such exploration and mining claims, concessions or rights will not be challenged by third parties. Although the Company has attempted to acquire satisfactory title to undeveloped properties, in accordance with mining industry practice it does not generally obtain title opinions until a decision is made to develop a property. As a result, some titles, particularly titles to undeveloped properties may be defective. Defective title to any of the Company's exploration and mining claims, concessions or rights could result in litigation, insurance claims and potential losses affecting its business as a whole. There may be challenges to the title of any of the claims comprising the Company's projects that, if successful, could impair development and operations. A defect could result in the Company losing all or a portion of its right, title, estate and interest in and to the properties to which

the title defect relates.

In Mexico, while mineral rights are administered by the federal government through federally issued mining concessions, ejidos (communal owners of land recognized by the federal laws in Mexico) control surface or surface access rights to the land. An ejido may sell or lease lands directly to a private entity. While the Company has agreements or is in the process of negotiating agreements with the ejidos that impact all of its projects in Mexico, some of these agreements may be subject to renegotiation. In Bolivia, we obtain surface rights from cooperatives, through a series of "joint venture" contracts. Changes to the existing agreements or leases or failure to reach agreement in any negotiations may have a significant impact on operations at the Company's projects and may, on occasion, lead to litigation. Further, the Bolivian government under Law No. 403 may have the ability to reverse our wholly-owned mining rights. Any such reversion decision, if successful upon appeal, could adversely impact our future mining plans.

The Company has the ability to issue additional equity securities, which would lead to dilution of its issued and outstanding common stock and may materially and adversely affect the price of its common stock.

The issuance of additional equity securities or securities convertible into equity securities would result in dilution of the Company's existing stockholders' equity ownership. The Company is authorized to issue, without stockholder approval, 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock in one or more series, to establish the number of shares to be included in each series and to fix the designation, powers, preferences and relative participating, optional, conversion and other special rights of the shares of each series as well as the qualification, limitations or restrictions on each series. Any series of preferred stock could contain dividend rights, conversion rights, voting rights, terms of redemption, redemption prices, liquidation preferences or other rights superior to the rights of holders of its common stock. If the Company issues additional equity securities, the price of its common stock may be materially and adversely affected.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Information pertaining to mine safety matters is reported in accordance with Section 1503(a) of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act in Exhibit 95.1 attached to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

| Item 6. | Exhibits |
|---------------------------|--|
| 4.1 | Second Supplemental Indenture, dated March 12, 2014, among the Registrant, as issuer, certain subsidiaries of the Registrant, as guarantors thereto, and The Bank of New York Mellon, as trustee (Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 12, 2014). |
| 4.2 | Registration Rights Agreement, dated March 12, 2014, among the Registrant, certain subsidiaries of the Registrant, Barclays Capital Inc. (Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 12, 2014). |
| 10.1 | Offer letter dated February 15, 2013 from the Registrant to Casey M. Nault (Filed herewith).* |
| 10.2 | Offer letter dated February 15, 2013 from the Registrant to Keagan J. Kerr (Filed herewith).* |
| 10.3 | Amendment No. 1 to Credit Agreement, dated January 16, 2014, by and among the Registrant, as the parent, Coeur Alaska, Inc. and Coeur Rochester, Inc., as the borrowers, the lenders party thereto and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., as administrative agent (Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Form S-3 (Reg. No. 333-193652) filed on January 30, 2014) |
| 31.1 | Certification of the CEO (Filed herewith). |
| 31.2 | Certification of the CFO (Filed herewith). |
| 32.1 | CEO Section 1350 Certification (Filed herewith). |
| 32.2 | CFO Section 1350 Certification (Filed herewith). |
| 95.1 | Mine Safety Disclosure (Filed herewith). |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document** |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema** |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase** |
| 101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase** |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase** |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase** |
| * Manag | gement contract or compensatory plan or arrangement. |

^{**} The following financial information from Coeur Mining, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the three months ended March 31, 2014, formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss), Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, Condensed Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

COEUR MINING, INC.

(Registrant)

Dated May 7, 2014 /s/ Mitchell J. Krebs

MITCHELL J. KREBS

President and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)

Dated May 7, 2014 /s/ Peter C. Mitchell

PETER C. MITCHELL

Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)

Dated May 7, 2014 /s/ Mark Spurbeck

MARK SPURBECK

Vice President, Finance (Principal Accounting Officer)