

Aralez Pharmaceuticals Inc.  
Form 10-Q  
November 08, 2016  
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

---

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission file number: 01-37691

---

ARALEZ PHARMACEUTICALS INC.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

British Columbia, Canada  
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

98-1283375  
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

Edgar Filing: Aralez Pharmaceuticals Inc. - Form 10-Q

7100 West Credit Avenue, Suite 101, Mississauga, Ontario, Canada L5N 0E4

(Address of registrant's principal executive offices)

(905) 876-1118

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "accelerated filer," "large accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer	Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer	Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

As of the close of business on November 3, 2016, 65,427,017 common shares (no par value per share) of the registrant were issued and outstanding.



Table of Contents

Aralez Pharmaceuticals Inc.

Form 10-Q

For the Quarter Ended September 30, 2016

Table of Contents

Item	Page
<u>PART I. Financial information</u>	
1. <u>Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015</u>	4
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015</u>	5
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015</u>	6
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	7
2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	35
3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	50
4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	50
<u>PART II. Other Information</u>	
1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	51
1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	51
2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	76
3. <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	76
4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	76
5. <u>Other Information</u>	76
6. <u>Exhibits</u>	77
<u>Signatures</u>	78

Table of Contents

## PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## ITEM 1. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## ARALEZ PHARMACEUTICALS INC.

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(unaudited; in thousands of U.S. dollars, except share and per share data)

	September 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 56,533	\$ 24,816
Accounts receivable, net	8,109	5,966
Inventory	4,735	—
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	2,965	1,225
Total current assets	72,342	32,007
Property and equipment, net	2,527	251
Goodwill	77,039	—
Other intangible assets, net	127,724	—
Other long-term assets	686	—
Total assets	\$ 280,318	\$ 32,258
<b>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 1,965	\$ 4,557
Accrued expenses	23,557	11,932
Short-term contingent consideration	600	—
Other current liabilities	3,993	—
Total current liabilities	30,115	16,489
Long-term debt, net	74,520	—
Deferred tax liability	6,064	—
Long-term contingent consideration	18,900	—
Other long-term liabilities	171	986
Total liabilities	129,770	17,475
Commitments and Contingencies		
Preferred shares, no par value; unlimited shares authorized, issuable in series; none		
outstanding	—	—
Common shares, no par value, unlimited shares authorized, 65,357,300 shares		
issued and outstanding at September 30, 2016; common stock, \$0.001	—	33

Edgar Filing: Aralez Pharmaceuticals Inc. - Form 10-Q

par value, 33,259,407 issued and outstanding at December 31, 2015		
Additional paid-in capital	349,013	149,438
Accumulated other comprehensive income	8,085	—
Accumulated deficit	(206,550)	(134,688)
Total shareholders' equity	150,548	14,783
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 280,318	\$ 32,258

The accompanying unaudited notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

3

---

Table of Contents

## ARALEZ PHARMACEUTICALS INC.

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(unaudited; in thousands of U.S. dollars, except share and per share data)

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
Revenues:				
Product revenues, net	\$ 8,058	\$ —	\$ 18,998	\$ —
Other revenues	5,570	5,820	15,265	15,425
Total revenues, net	13,628	5,820	34,263	15,425
Costs and expenses:				—
Cost of product revenues (exclusive of amortization shown separately below)	3,362	—	9,260	—
Amortization of intangible assets	2,418	—	5,824	—
Selling, general and administrative	25,445	12,207	85,635	33,663
Research and development	2,037	1,806	7,923	5,091
Total costs and expenses	33,262	14,013	108,642	38,754
Loss from operations	(19,634)	(8,193)	(74,379)	(23,329)
Interest expense	(495)	—	(1,395)	—
Other (expense) income, net	(173)	17	4,354	(154)
Loss before income taxes	(20,302)	(8,176)	(71,420)	(23,483)
Provision for (benefit from) income taxes	297	(27)	442	974
Net loss	\$ (20,599)	\$ (8,149)	\$ (71,862)	\$ (24,457)
Basic net loss per common share	\$ (0.32)	\$ (0.25)	\$ (1.19)	\$ (0.75)
Diluted net loss per common share	\$ (0.32)	\$ (0.25)	\$ (1.26)	\$ (0.75)
Shares used in computing basic net loss per				
common share	65,229,055	32,732,686	60,598,676	32,476,358
Shares used in computing diluted net loss per				
common share	65,229,055	32,732,686	60,676,332	32,476,358

The accompanying unaudited notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

ARALEZ PHARMACEUTICALS INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE LOSS

(unaudited; in thousands of U.S. dollars)

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
Net loss	\$ (20,599)	\$ (8,149)	\$ (71,862)	\$ (24,457)
Other comprehensive (loss) income:				
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(2,062)	—	8,085	—
Other comprehensive (loss) income	(2,062)	—	8,085	—
Total comprehensive loss	\$ (22,661)	\$ (8,149)	\$ (63,777)	\$ (24,457)

The accompanying unaudited notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

## ARALEZ PHARMACEUTICALS INC.

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(unaudited; in thousands of U.S. dollars)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2016	2015
Operating Activities		
Net loss	\$ (71,862)	\$ (24,457)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	6,019	13
Amortization of debt issuance costs	59	—
Loss on investments in warrants	—	200
Unrealized foreign currency transaction gain	(43)	—
Loss of sale of property and equipment	200	—
Change in fair value of warrants liability	(4,722)	—
Share-based compensation expense	9,202	5,673
Benefit from deferred income taxes	(1,261)	—
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	2,135	(191)
Inventory	(926)	—
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(563)	186
Accounts payable	(2,554)	521
Accrued expenses	(3,260)	10,903
Other liabilities	(1,088)	—
Net cash used in operating activities	(68,664)	(7,152)
Investing activities		
Acquisitions of businesses, net of cash acquired	(42,887)	—
Payments for intangible assets	(520)	—
Purchases of property and equipment	(2,014)	(7)
Change in restricted cash balance	(281)	—
Proceeds from sale of warrants	—	2,479
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(45,702)	2,472
Financing activities		
Proceeds from issuance of convertible debt	75,000	—
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	75,000	1,684
Payment of debt and equity issuance costs	(673)	—
Repayment of convertible note	(3,922)	—
Proceeds (payments) related to net settlement of stock awards	338	(595)
Net cash provided by financing activities	145,743	1,089
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	31,377	(3,591)
Effect of change in foreign exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents	340	—
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	24,816	40,582
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 56,533	\$ 36,991

Supplemental non-cash investing activities:

Fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed through acquisition of business (See Note 2)	\$ 115,136	\$ —
Fair value of contingent consideration payable in connection with acquisition of business (See Note 2)	\$ 19,500	\$ —
Non-cash additions to intangible assets (See Note 6)	\$ 415	\$ —
Non-cash purchases of property and equipment	\$ —	\$ —

The accompanying unaudited notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

ARALEZ PHARMACEUTICALS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

UNAUDITED

1. ORGANIZATION, BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Organization

Aralez Pharmaceuticals Inc., together with its wholly-owned subsidiaries (“Aralez”, the “Company”, “we,” “us,” or similar pronouns), is a global specialty pharmaceutical company focused on delivering meaningful products to improve patients’ lives while creating shareholder value by acquiring, developing and commercializing products primarily in cardiovascular, pain and other specialty areas. Aralez’s global headquarters is located in Mississauga, Ontario, Canada, its U.S. headquarters will be located in Princeton, New Jersey, and its Irish headquarters is located in Dublin, Ireland. The Company’s common shares are listed on the NASDAQ Global Market under the trading symbol “ARLZ” and on the Toronto Stock Exchange under the trading symbol “ARZ.” Aralez was formed for the purpose of facilitating the business combination of POZEN Inc., a Delaware corporation (“Pozen”), and Tribute Pharmaceuticals Canada Inc., a corporation incorporated under the laws of the Province of Ontario, Canada (“Tribute”), which closed on February 5, 2016.

On February 5, 2016, pursuant to an Agreement and Plan of Merger and Arrangement between Aralez Pharmaceuticals Inc., Pozen, Tribute and other related parties (as amended, the “Merger Agreement”), Aralez completed the acquisition of Tribute by way of a court approved plan of arrangement in a stock transaction with a purchase price of \$137.6 million made up of (i) \$115.1 million related to Tribute shares, equity awards and certain warrants outstanding and (ii) \$22.5 million in repayments of Tribute indebtedness. In connection with this transaction, Pozen and Tribute were combined under and became wholly-owned subsidiaries of Aralez Pharmaceuticals Inc. (the “Merger”). Pursuant to Rule 12g-3(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, Aralez Pharmaceuticals Inc. is the successor issuer to Pozen. The Merger provides the combined company with increased financial strength and product portfolio diversity and is expected to meaningfully accelerate our operating strategies.

On September 6, 2016, Aralez Pharmaceuticals Trading DAC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Aralez (“Aralez Ireland”), acquired the U.S. and Canadian rights to ZONTIVITY® (vorapaxar), pursuant to an asset purchase agreement (the “ZONTIVITY Asset Purchase Agreement”) with Schering-Plough (Ireland) Company, an Irish private unlimited company and an affiliate of Merck & Co., Inc. (“Merck”).

Basis of Presentation and Consolidation

For financial reporting and accounting purposes, Pozen was the acquirer of Tribute pursuant to the Merger in a business combination. The condensed consolidated financial statements for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 reflect the results of operations and financial position of Pozen, but do not include the results of operations of Tribute because the Merger was completed on February 5, 2016. Aralez's results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016 include the results of Tribute from the closing date of the Merger. Aralez's results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016 also include the results of ZONTIVITY from its acquisition date (See Note 2).

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements are unaudited and have been prepared by Aralez in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"), and pursuant to, and in accordance with, the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. The condensed consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2015 was derived from audited financial statements, but certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in the Company's annual financial statements have been condensed or omitted. These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2015, which are contained in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") and with applicable Canadian securities regulators on SEDAR on March 15, 2016 ("2015 Form 10-K").

## Table of Contents

The condensed consolidated financial statements, in the opinion of management, reflect all normal and recurring adjustments necessary for a fair statement of the Company's financial position and results of operations. Certain reclassifications with respect to the presentation of accrued expenses were made to prior year figures to conform with current year presentation.

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Aralez Pharmaceuticals Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. The results of operations for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations to be expected for any future period or the entire fiscal year.

## Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires the extensive use of estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, expenses and related disclosures. The most significant assumptions are employed in estimates used in determining values of: inventories; long-lived assets, including goodwill, in-process research and development ("IPR&D"), and other intangible assets; accrued expenses; contingent consideration; income taxes; share-based compensation expense; as well as estimates used in accounting for contingencies and revenue recognition. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

## Concentration of Risk

Financial instruments, which potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk, consist principally of cash and cash equivalents, including money market funds. Our investment policy places restrictions on credit ratings, maturities, and concentration by type and issuer. We are exposed to credit risk in the event of a default by the financial institutions holding our cash and cash equivalents to the extent recorded on the balance sheet.

We are also subject to credit risk from our accounts receivable related to product sales. We monitor our exposure within accounts receivable and record a reserve against uncollectible accounts receivable as necessary. We extend credit to pharmaceutical wholesale distributors and specialty pharmaceutical distribution companies, primarily in Canada and the United States, and to other international distributors. Customer creditworthiness is monitored and collateral is not required.

## Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents consists of cash and short-term, interest-bearing instruments with original maturities of 90 days or less at the date of purchase.

#### Inventory

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or net realizable value on a first-in, first-out basis. Cost is determined to be the purchase price for raw materials and the production cost, including materials, labor and indirect manufacturing costs, for work-in-process and finished goods. The Company analyzes its inventory levels quarterly and writes-down inventory that has become obsolete, inventory that has a cost basis in excess of its expected net realizable value, inventory in excess of expected sales requirements or inventory that fails to meet commercial sale specifications to cost of product revenues. Expired inventory is disposed of and the related costs are written off to cost of product revenues.

#### Intangible Assets

#### Goodwill

Goodwill relates to amounts that arose in connection with the acquisitions of Tribute and ZONTIVITY. Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the net assets acquired when accounted for using the acquisition method of accounting for business combinations. Goodwill is not amortized but is evaluated for

## Table of Contents

impairment on an annual basis, in the fourth quarter, or more frequently if an event occurs or circumstances change that would more-likely-than-not reduce the fair value of the Company's reporting unit below its carrying amount.

### IPR&D

IPR&D acquired in a business combination is capitalized as indefinite-lived assets on the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheets at its acquisition-date fair value. Until the underlying project is completed, these assets are accounted for as indefinite-lived intangible assets and are subject to impairment testing. Once the project is completed, the carrying value of the IPR&D is reclassified to other intangible assets, net and is amortized over the estimated useful life of the asset. Post-acquisition research and development expenses related to the IPR&D projects are expensed as incurred. We acquired approximately \$3.2 million of IPR&D assets with the acquisition of Tribute, of which \$2.8 million was subsequently reclassified to other intangible assets upon receipt of regulatory approval for the related project. The remaining carrying value of IPR&D is included within other long-term assets on our condensed consolidated balance sheets at September 30, 2016.

IPR&D is tested for impairment on an annual basis or more frequently if impairment indicators are present. If IPR&D becomes impaired, the carrying value of the IPR&D is written down to its revised fair value with the related impairment charge recognized in the period in which the impairment occurs.

### Other Intangible Assets, net

Other intangible assets consist of acquired technology rights. The Company amortizes its intangible assets using the straight-line method over their estimated economic lives. Costs to obtain, maintain and defend the Company's patents are expensed as incurred. We will evaluate the potential impairment of other intangible assets if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be fully recoverable or that the useful lives of these assets are no longer appropriate. Events giving rise to impairment are an inherent risk in our industry and many factors cannot be predicted. Factors that we consider in deciding when to perform an impairment review include significant changes in our forecasted projections for the asset or asset group for reasons including, but not limited to, significant under-performance of a product in relation to expectations, significant changes or planned changes in our use of the assets, significant negative industry or economic trends, and new or competing products that enter the marketplace. The impairment test is based on a comparison of the undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated from the use of the asset group and its eventual disposition to the carrying value of the asset group. If impairment is indicated, the asset is written down by the amount by which the carrying value of the asset exceeds the related fair value of the asset with the related impairment charge recognized within the statements of operations. Such impairment charges may be material to our results.

### Contingent Consideration

Certain of the Company's business acquisitions involve the potential for future payment of consideration that is contingent upon the achievement of operational and commercial milestones and royalty payments on future product sales. The fair value of contingent consideration liabilities is determined at the acquisition date using unobservable inputs. These inputs include the estimated amount and timing of projected cash flows, the probability of success (achievement of the contingent event) and the risk-adjusted discount rate used to present value the probability-weighted cash flows. Subsequent to the acquisition date, at each reporting period, the contingent consideration liability is remeasured at current fair value with changes recorded in our condensed consolidated statements of operations. Changes in any of the inputs may result in a significantly different fair value adjustment.

#### Revenue Recognition

Principal sources of revenue are (i) product sales from the product portfolio acquired with our acquisition of Tribute and (ii) royalty revenues from sales of VIMOVO® by our commercialization partners. In all instances, revenue is recognized only when the price is fixed or determinable, persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred or services have been rendered, and collectibility of the resulting receivable is reasonably assured.

## Table of Contents

### Product Revenues, net

Revenues from the sale of products are recorded net of discounts, wholesaler fees, chargebacks, rebates, returns and allowances, and are recognized when legal title to the goods and risk of ownership has been passed to the customer. A customer's obligation to pay the Company for products is not contingent upon the resale of those products. We have a product returns policy on some of our products that allows the customer to return pharmaceutical products within a specified period of time both prior to and subsequent to the product's expiration date. Our estimate of the provision for returns is analyzed quarterly and is based upon many factors, including historical experience of actual returns and analysis of the level of inventory in the distribution channel, if any. We believe that the reserves we have established are reasonable based upon current facts and circumstances. Applying different judgments to the same facts and circumstances could result in the estimated amount for reserves to vary. If actual results vary with respect to our reserves, we may need to adjust our estimates, which could have a material effect on our results of operations in the period of adjustment.

### Other revenues

Other revenues include revenues from licensing arrangements with other biopharmaceutical companies, including milestones payments and royalties. Revenue from royalties is recognized when the Company has fulfilled the terms in accordance with contractual agreements and has no future obligation, and the amount of the royalty fee is determinable. Royalty revenue that is reasonably estimable and determinable is recognized based on estimates utilizing information reported to us by our commercialization partners. Other revenues also include revenues from sales of ZONTIVITY from its acquisition date, recognized net of related cost of product revenues and fees paid to Merck under a transition services agreement in effect for up to twelve months from the date of acquisition.

### Income Taxes

We account for income taxes using the liability method in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC"), Topic 740, "Income Taxes" ("ASC 740"). Deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on temporary differences between financial reporting and tax basis assets and liabilities and are measured by applying enacted rates and laws to taxable years in which differences are expected to be recovered or settled. Further, the effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that the rate changes. A valuation allowance is required when it is "more-likely-than-not" that all or a portion of deferred tax assets will not be realized. Since our inception, we have incurred substantial cumulative losses and may incur substantial and recurring losses in future periods. The utilization of the loss carryforwards to reduce future income taxes will depend on our ability to generate sufficient taxable income prior to the expiration of the loss carryforwards. In addition, the maximum annual use of net operating loss and research credit carryforwards is limited in certain situations where changes occur in stock ownership.

Aralez files federal and state income tax returns, as applicable, with the tax authorities in various jurisdictions including Canada, Ireland and the United States. Pozen is no longer subject to U.S. federal or North Carolina state income tax examinations by tax authorities for years before 2012. Tribute is no longer subject to Canadian income tax examinations by tax authorities for years before 2011. However, the loss and credit carryforwards generated by Pozen and Tribute may still be subject to change to the extent these losses and credits are utilized in a year that is subject to examination by tax authorities.

ASC 740 prescribes a comprehensive model for how a company should recognize, measure, present and disclose in its financial statements uncertain tax positions that the company has taken or expects to take on a tax return, including a decision whether to file or not file a return in a particular jurisdiction. Our financial statements reflect expected future tax consequences of such positions presuming the taxing authorities' full knowledge of the position and all relevant facts. We recognize any interest and penalties accrued related to unrecognized tax benefits as income tax expense.

## Table of Contents

### Share-Based Compensation

We expense the fair value of employee share-based compensation over the employees' service periods, which are generally the vesting period of the equity award. For awards with performance conditions granted, we recognize compensation cost over the expected period to achieve the performance conditions, provided achievement of the performance conditions are deemed probable. Awards with market-based conditions are expensed over the service period regardless of whether achievement of the market condition is deemed probable or is ultimately achieved. Compensation expense is measured using the fair value of the award at the grant date, adjusted for estimated forfeitures.

In order to determine the fair value of option awards on the grant date, we use the Black-Scholes option pricing model. Inherent in this model are assumptions related to expected share price volatility, estimated option life, risk-free interest rate and dividend yield. Our expected share price volatility assumption is based on the historical volatility of our stock, which is obtained from public data sources. The expected life represents the weighted average period of time that share-based awards are expected to be outstanding giving consideration to vesting schedules, historical exercise patterns and post-vesting cancellations for terminated employees that have been exhibited historically, adjusted for specific factors that may influence future exercise patterns. The risk-free interest rate is based on factual data derived from public sources. We use a dividend yield of zero as we have no intention to pay cash dividends in the foreseeable future. For performance-based awards with market conditions, the Company used a Monte Carlo simulation model to determine the fair value of awards as of the grant date.

We estimate forfeitures based on our historical experience of pre-vesting cancellations for terminated employees. An estimated forfeiture rate is applied to all equity awards, which includes option awards and restricted stock units, including performance share units. We believe that our estimates are based on outcomes that are reasonably likely to occur. To the extent actual forfeitures differ from our estimates, such amounts will be recorded as a cumulative adjustment in the period estimates are revised.

Determining the appropriate amount to expense for awards with performance conditions based on the achievement of stated goals requires judgment, including forecasting future performance results. The estimate of expense is revised periodically based on the probability of achieving the required performance targets and adjustments are made as appropriate. The cumulative impact of any revisions is reflected in the period of change. If any applicable financial performance goals are not met, no compensation cost is recognized and any previously recognized compensation cost is reversed.

### Fair Value Measurements

The accounting standard for fair value measurements defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accordance with GAAP, and requires detailed disclosures about fair value measurements. Under this standard, fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The valuation techniques are based on observable and unobservable inputs. Observable inputs reflect readily obtainable data from independent sources, while unobservable inputs reflect certain market assumptions. This standard classifies these inputs into the following hierarchy:

- Level 1 Inputs — Quoted prices for identical instruments in active markets.
- Level 2 Inputs — Quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active; and model-derived valuations whose inputs are observable or whose significant value drivers are observable.
- Level 3 Inputs — Instruments with primarily unobservable value drivers.

The fair value hierarchy level is determined by asset class based on the lowest level of significant input. In periods of market inactivity, the observability of prices and inputs may be reduced for certain instruments. This condition could cause an instrument to be reclassified between levels.

The carrying amount of our cash and cash equivalents approximates its fair value due to the short-term nature of these amounts. The warrants liability is carried at fair value and is included within other current liabilities on our

## Table of Contents

condensed consolidated balance sheet at September 30, 2016. We utilized Level 3 inputs to estimate the fair value of the warrants liability. The contingent consideration liability is also carried at fair value, and is recorded as separate short and long-term balances on the condensed consolidated balance sheet at September 30, 2016. We utilized Level 3 inputs to estimate the fair value of the contingent consideration liability.

## Foreign Currency

Our reporting currency is the U.S. dollar. The assets and liabilities of our subsidiaries that have a functional currency other than the U.S. dollar, primarily the Canadian dollar, are translated into U.S. dollars at the exchange rates in effect at the balance sheet date with the results of operations of subsidiaries translated at average exchange rates for the period. The cumulative foreign currency translation adjustment is recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income within shareholders' equity.

Transactions in foreign currencies are remeasured into the functional currency of the relevant subsidiary at the exchange rate in effect at the date of the transaction. Any monetary assets and liabilities arising from these transactions are translated into the functional currency at exchange rates in effect at the balance sheet date or on settlement. Resulting gains and losses are recorded in other income (expense), net within the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

## Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

A company is required to present, either on the face of the statement where net income is presented, in a separate statement of comprehensive income or in the notes, significant amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by the respective line items of net income. There were no amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015. Other comprehensive income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016 related to foreign currency translation adjustments.

## Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2014, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606), which requires revenue recognition based on the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects consideration Aralez expects to be entitled to in exchange for goods or services. In August 2015, the FASB issued updated guidance deferring the effective date of the revenue recognition standard. The new rules supersede prior revenue recognition requirements and most industry-specific accounting

guidance. In March, April and May 2016, the FASB issued additional updated guidance, which clarifies certain aspects of the ASU and the related implementation guidance issued by the FASB-IASB Joint Transition Resource Group for Revenue Recognition. The ASU will be effective for Aralez in the first quarter of 2018, with either full retrospective or modified retrospective application required. We have not yet selected a transition method and are evaluating the impact of the ASU on our financial statements.

In January 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-01, Financial Instruments—Overall (Subtopic 825-10), which requires equity investments to be measured at fair value with changes in fair value recognized in net income. It allows an entity to choose to measure equity investments that do not have readily determinable fair values at cost minus impairment. It also simplifies the impairment assessment of equity investments without readily determinable fair values and eliminates the requirements to disclose the methods used to estimate fair value for instruments measured at amortized cost on the balance sheet. The amendments in the ASU are effective for Aralez in the first quarter of 2018. We do not expect the adoption to have a material impact to our financial statements.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-02, Leases (Topic 842), which supersedes current lease accounting guidance. The primary difference between current GAAP and the new standard is the recognition of lease assets and lease liabilities by lessees for those leases classified as operating leases under current GAAP. The standard requires a modified retrospective approach upon adoption, with practical expedients that may be available to elect. The standard is effective for Aralez in the first quarter of 2019 and early adoption is permitted. We are evaluating the impact of the ASU on our financial statements.

Table of Contents

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-09, Compensation—Stock Compensation (Topic 718), which simplifies several aspects of the accounting for share-based payment transactions, such as the income tax consequences, classification of awards as either equity or liabilities, and classification on the statement of cash flows. The amendments include the requirement to recognize excess tax benefits and tax deficiencies as income tax expense or benefit, and to recognize excess tax benefits regardless of whether the benefit reduces taxes payable in the current period. It also allows an entity to make an entity-wide accounting policy election to either estimate the number of awards that are expected to vest or account for forfeitures when they occur. The amendments in the ASU are effective for Aralez in the first quarter of 2017, and early adoption is permitted. We are evaluating the impact of the ASU on our financial statements.

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-06, Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815), which clarifies the steps required when assessing whether the economic characteristics and risks of call (put) options are clearly and closely related to the economic characteristics and risks of their debt hosts. The ASU clarifies that when a call (put) option is contingently exercisable, an entity does not have to assess whether the event that triggers the ability to exercise a call (put) is related to interest rates or credit risks. The ASU is intended to eliminate diversity in practice in assessing embedded contingent call (put) options in debt instruments. The amendments in the ASU are effective for Aralez in the first quarter of 2017, and early adoption is permitted. We do not expect the adoption to have a material impact to our financial statements.

In August 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-15, Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230): Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payments, providing additional guidance on eight specific cash flow classification issues. The goal of the ASU is to reduce diversity in practice of classifying certain items. The amendments in the ASU are effective for Aralez in the first quarter of 2018 using a retrospective transition method, and early adoption is permitted. We are evaluating the impact of the ASU on our financial statements.

## 2.BUSINESS COMBINATIONS AND ACQUISITIONS

### Acquisition of Tribute

On February 5, 2016, Aralez completed its acquisition of Tribute. The transaction provided Aralez with increased financial strength and product portfolio diversity with several marketed products and product candidates acquired. Pursuant to the transaction, Tribute shareholders received 0.1455 common shares of Aralez, no par value per share (the “Aralez Shares”) in exchange for each common share of Tribute, no par value per share (the “Tribute Shares”) held by such shareholders. At the effective time of the Merger, each share of Pozen common stock, \$0.001 par value per share, was cancelled and automatically converted into the right to receive one Aralez Share.

We valued the entire issued and to be issued share capital of Tribute at approximately \$115.1 million based on Pozen's closing share price of \$5.94 on February 5, 2016 and an exchange ratio of 0.1455. Upon the close of the transaction, (a) each outstanding Tribute warrant entitled its respective holders the right to purchase 0.1455 fully-paid and non-assessable Aralez Shares for no additional consideration beyond that set out in the respective Tribute warrant; (b) each Tribute employee stock option entitled the respective holders of the option to either (i) exchange their Tribute option for a Tribute common share immediately prior to the Merger or (ii) convert into Aralez options entitling the holder to purchase that number of Aralez Shares equivalent to 0.1455 Aralez Shares for each Tribute Share originally issuable (with the exercise price of each Aralez option equal to the original exercise price adjusted for the 0.1455 conversion); and (c) each Tribute compensation option, previously granted to certain investors of Tribute in connection with private placement financings, entitled its respective holders the right to purchase 0.1455 fully-paid and non-assessable Aralez Shares, as well as 0.1455 one-half warrants for Aralez Shares, for no additional consideration beyond that set out in the respective compensation option certificate. As a result of the Merger, the warrants, employee stock options and compensation options are fully-vested and exercisable at any time prior to their respective expiration dates.

Table of Contents

The acquisition-date fair value of the consideration transferred is as follows:

	At February 5, 2016 (in thousands)
Equity consideration	\$ 115,136
Repayment of Tribute indebtedness	22,488
Total consideration	\$ 137,624

The acquisition-date fair value of total consideration transferred above excludes approximately \$0.5 million related to the accelerated vesting of certain equity awards of Tribute pursuant to the Merger Agreement, which was included in share-based compensation expense during the three months ended March 31, 2016.

The transaction was accounted for as a business combination under the acquisition method of accounting. Accordingly, the tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed were recorded at fair value as of the date of acquisition, with the remaining purchase price recorded as goodwill. The goodwill recognized is attributable primarily to strategic opportunities related to leveraging Tribute's existing infrastructure. Goodwill is not deductible for tax purposes.

The following table summarizes the estimated preliminary fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the date of acquisition:

	At February 5, 2016 (as adjusted) (in thousands)
Cash	\$ 4,601
Accounts receivable	3,790
Inventory	3,622
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	1,129
Property, plant and equipment	684
Intangible assets	84,034
In-process research and development	3,243
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(10,295)
Note payable	(3,604)
Warrants liability	(4,618)
Other liabilities	(7,373)
Deferred tax liability	(6,913)

Edgar Filing: Aralez Pharmaceuticals Inc. - Form 10-Q

Total net assets acquired	\$ 68,300
Goodwill	69,324
Total consideration	\$ 137,624

The purchase price allocation has been prepared on a preliminary basis and is subject to change as additional information becomes available concerning the fair value and tax basis of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed. Any adjustments to the purchase price allocation will be made as soon as practicable but no later than one year from the February 5, 2016 acquisition date. During the nine months ended September 30, 2016, we recorded immaterial measurement period adjustments.

Table of Contents

The fair values of intangible assets and IPR&D were determined using an income approach, including a discount rate applied to the projected net cash flows. We believe the assumptions are representative of those a market participant would use in estimating fair value. The preliminary fair value of intangible assets included the following:

	Preliminary Fair Value (as adjusted) (in thousands)
Marketed products:	
Fiorinal	\$ 26,954
Proferrin	9,513
Fibricor	10,018
Uracyst and Neovisc	9,874
Cambia	7,567
Other marketed products	20,108
Total acquired technology rights	\$ 84,034

The deferred tax liability of \$6.9 million relates primarily to the temporary differences associated with the identifiable intangible assets, which are not deductible for tax purposes.

The operating results of Tribute for the period from February 5, 2016 to September 30, 2016, including revenues of \$19.0 million, have been included in our condensed consolidated financial statements as of and for the period ended September 30, 2016. The net loss attributable solely to Tribute is not practicably determinable for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 given the integration of Tribute's operations within the combined company. We incurred a total of \$7.7 million in transaction costs in connection with the acquisition, which were included in selling, general and administrative expenses within our condensed consolidated statements of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2016.

#### Acquisition of ZONTIVITY

On September 6, 2016, Aralez Ireland acquired the U.S. and Canadian rights to ZONTIVITY (vorapaxar), pursuant to the ZONTIVITY Asset Purchase Agreement with Merck. ZONTIVITY represents an addition to the Company's product portfolio in cardiovascular disease and is the first and only approved therapy shown to inhibit the protease-activated receptor-1 (PAR-1), the primary receptor for thrombin, which is considered to be the most potent activator of platelets.

The purchase price for ZONTIVITY consists of (i) a payment of \$25 million by Aralez Ireland to Merck, which was made on the closing date of the acquisition, (ii) certain milestone payments to be payable by Aralez Ireland subsequent to the closing of the acquisition upon the occurrence of certain milestone events based on the annual aggregate net sales of ZONTIVITY, any combination product containing vorapaxar sulphate and one or more other active pharmaceutical ingredients or any line extension thereof, which in no event will exceed \$80 million in the aggregate, and (iii) certain royalty payments based on the annual aggregate net sales of ZONTIVITY, any combination product containing vorapaxar sulphate and one or more other active pharmaceutical ingredients or any line extension thereof.

In connection with the ZONTIVITY Asset Purchase Agreement, Aralez Pharmaceuticals Inc., Pozen, Tribute (collectively, the "Credit Parties") and certain lenders party to the Second Amended and Restated Debt Facility Agreement ("Facility Agreement") consented to Aralez Ireland entering into the ZONTIVITY Asset Purchase Agreement and consummation of the transactions contemplated thereby. Pursuant to the terms of such consent, until December 31, 2016, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions set forth in the Facility Agreement, the Credit Parties were permitted to borrow under the credit facility to finance the \$25 million payment previously made on the closing date of the ZONTIVITY acquisition. See Note 13, "Subsequent Events," for additional information.

Table of Contents

The acquisition-date fair value of the consideration transferred is as follows:

	At September 6, 2016 (in thousands)
Cash	\$ 25,000
Contingent consideration	19,500
Total consideration	\$ 44,500

As of September 30, 2016, the fair value of the short-term and long-term contingent consideration liability in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets was \$0.6 million and \$18.9 million, respectively.

Pursuant to the terms of the ZONTIVITY Asset Purchase Agreement and certain ancillary agreements entered into in connection with the acquisition, Merck has agreed to supply ZONTIVITY to Aralez Ireland for a period of up to three years following the closing of the acquisition. Merck will also provide certain transition services to Aralez Ireland following the closing of the acquisition to facilitate the transition of the supply, sale and distribution of ZONTIVITY, including distributing ZONTIVITY on behalf of Aralez Ireland in exchange for compensation specified in the transition services agreement. While the transition services agreement is in effect, at the end of each quarter, Merck will remit a net margin amount to Aralez Ireland, which will include a fee for its services. This net amount is included in other revenues on our condensed consolidated statements of operations. In addition, in connection with the foregoing transactions, Merck granted Aralez Ireland, among other things, (i) an exclusive and royalty-free license to certain trademarks solely to exploit ZONTIVITY in the U.S. and Canada and their respective territories, and (ii) an exclusive and royalty-free license to certain know-how solely in connection with the manufacture of ZONTIVITY for exploitation in the U.S. and Canada and their respective territories.

The transaction was accounted for as a business combination under the acquisition method of accounting. Accordingly, the identifiable intangible asset acquired was recorded at fair value as of the date of acquisition, with the remaining purchase price recorded as goodwill. The goodwill recognized is attributable primarily to strategic and synergistic opportunities.

The following table summarizes the estimated preliminary fair value of the asset acquired at the date of acquisition:

	At September 6, 2016 (in thousands)
Intangible asset	\$ 40,800

Total net asset acquired	40,800
Goodwill	3,700
Total consideration	\$ 44,500

The purchase price allocation has been prepared on a preliminary basis and is subject to change as additional information becomes available concerning the fair value and tax basis of the asset acquired. Any adjustments to the purchase price allocation will be made as soon as practicable but no later than one year from the September 6, 2016 acquisition date.

The operating results of ZONTIVITY for the period from September 6, 2016 to September 30, 2016, including net revenues of \$0.2 million, have been included in the condensed consolidated financial statements as of and for the three months ended September 30, 2016. The Company incurred a total of \$0.4 million in product acquisition-related costs in connection with the acquisition, which were included in selling, general and administrative expenses within our condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016.

Table of Contents

## Pro Forma Impact of Business Combinations

The following supplemental unaudited pro forma information presents Aralez's financial results as if the acquisitions of Tribute and ZONTIVITY had occurred on January 1, 2015:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2016	2015	September 30, 2016	2015
	(in thousands, except per share data)			
Total revenues, net	\$ 14,572	\$ 13,800	\$ 39,622	\$ 37,233
Net loss	\$ (22,831)	\$ (23,055)	\$ (70,990)	\$ (453,885)
Basic and diluted net loss per share	\$ (0.35)	\$ (0.35)	\$ (1.17)	\$ (7.49)

The above unaudited pro forma information was determined based on the historical GAAP results of Aralez, Tribute, and ZONTIVITY. The historical results of ZONTIVITY for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 include an intangible asset impairment charge of \$289.7 million. The pro forma financial statements also include the financial results of Medical Futures Inc. ("MFI"), a company that Tribute acquired in June 2015, which included revenues of \$3.8 million and net loss of \$0.5 million, for the nine months ended September 30, 2015. The unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated results are provided for informational purposes only and are not necessarily indicative of what Aralez's consolidated results of operations actually would have been if the acquisition was completed on January 1, 2015 or what the consolidated results of operations will be in the future. The pro forma condensed consolidated net loss includes pro forma adjustments relating to the following significant recurring and non-recurring items directly attributable to the business combination, net of the pro forma tax impact utilizing applicable statutory tax rates, which were eliminated from the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016, and included in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively:

- (i) \$0.4 million and \$13.1 million of transaction costs incurred by the combined Company for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016, respectively;
- (ii) \$0.0 million and \$12.0 million of expense for excise tax equalization payments for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016, respectively;
- (iii) \$0.0 million and \$4.0 million of severance charges for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016, respectively;
- (iv) \$0.0 million and \$1.5 million of the inventory fair value step-up for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016, respectively;

- (v) elimination of \$0.1 million and the addition of \$0.9 million in costs associated with the ZONTIVITY transition services agreement for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016, respectively, and the addition of \$1.3 million and \$2.0 million in costs associated with the ZONTIVITY transition services agreement for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively; as well as
  
- (vi) elimination of \$0.4 million and \$1.8 million of amortization for the three months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively, and \$3.1 million and \$12.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively, and the addition of amortization of finite-lived intangible assets acquired of \$0.3 million and \$2.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively, and \$3.1 million and \$7.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Table of Contents

3. BUSINESS AGREEMENTS

Agreements with Merck for ZONTIVITY

On September 6, 2016, Aralez Ireland acquired the U.S. and Canadian rights to ZONTIVITY from Merck pursuant to the ZONTIVITY Asset Purchase Agreement. Pursuant to the ZONTIVITY Asset Purchase Agreement, the purchase price for ZONTIVITY consists of (i) a payment of \$25 million by Aralez Ireland to Merck, which was made on the closing date of the acquisition, (ii) certain milestone payments payable by Aralez Ireland subsequent to the closing of the acquisition upon the occurrence of certain milestone events based on the annual aggregate net sales of ZONTIVITY, any combination product containing vorapaxar sulphate and one or more other active pharmaceutical ingredients or any line extension thereof, which in no event will exceed \$80 million in the aggregate, and (iii) certain royalty payments based on the annual aggregate net sales of ZONTIVITY, any combination product containing vorapaxar sulphate and one or more other active pharmaceutical ingredients or any line extension thereof.

Pursuant to the terms of the ZONTIVITY Asset Purchase Agreement and certain ancillary agreements entered into in connection with the acquisition, Merck has agreed to supply ZONTIVITY to Aralez Ireland for a period of up to three years following the closing of the acquisition. Merck will also provide certain transition services to Aralez Ireland following the closing of the acquisition to facilitate the transition of the supply, sale and distribution of ZONTIVITY, including distributing ZONTIVITY on behalf of Aralez Ireland in exchange for compensation specified in the transition services agreement. In addition, in connection with the foregoing transactions, Merck granted Aralez Ireland, among other things, (i) an exclusive and royalty-free license to certain trademarks solely to exploit ZONTIVITY in the U.S. and Canada and their respective territories, and (ii) an exclusive and royalty-free license to certain know-how solely in connection with the manufacture of ZONTIVITY for exploitation in the U.S. and Canada and their respective territories.

Agreements with Sun Pharma and Frontida for Fibrivor®

In May 2015, Tribute Pharmaceuticals International Inc. (“TPII”), a Barbados corporation and a wholly-owned subsidiary of Tribute, acquired the U.S. rights to Fibrivor and its related authorized generic (collectively, the “Fibrivor Products”) from a wholly-owned step-down subsidiary of Sun Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd. (“Sun Pharma”). Financial terms include a total payment of \$10.0 million of which approximately \$3.0 million was included as a liability assumed in the Merger and subsequently paid in May 2016. In connection with its acquisition of Fibrivor, TPII also entered into a supply agreement with Sun Pharma pursuant to which Sun Pharma agreed to manufacture and supply the Fibrivor Products to TPII. On June 3, 2016, Sun Pharma assigned the supply agreement to Frontida BioPharm, Inc. On June 30, 2016, TPII assigned its interest in the Fibrivor Products to Aralez Ireland.

Agreements with Novartis for Fiorinal®

In 2014, Tribute entered into an asset purchase agreement (the “Asset Purchase Agreement”) with Novartis AG and Novartis Pharma AG (collectively, “Novartis”) pursuant to which Tribute acquired from Novartis the Canadian rights to manufacture, market, promote, distribute and sell Fiorinal, Fiorinal C, Visken® and Viskazide® for the relief of pain from headache and for the treatment of cardiovascular conditions (the “Novartis Products”), as well as certain other assets relating to the Novartis Products, including certain intellectual property, marketing authorizations and related data, medical, commercial and technical information, and the partial assignment of certain manufacturing and supply agreements and tenders with third parties (the “Acquired Assets”). Tribute also assumed certain liabilities arising out of the Acquired Assets and the Licensed Assets (as defined below) after the acquisition, including product liability claims or intellectual property infringement claims by third parties relating to the sale of the Novartis Products by Tribute in Canada. In connection with the acquisition of the Acquired Assets, and pursuant to the terms of the Asset Purchase Agreement, Tribute concurrently entered into a license agreement with Novartis AG, Novartis Pharma AG and Novartis Pharmaceuticals Canada Inc., under which the Novartis entities agreed to license to Tribute certain assets relating to the Novartis Products, including certain intellectual property, marketing authorizations and related data, and medical, commercial and technical information (the “Licensed Assets”).

Table of Contents

Agreement with Faes for BLEXTENTM

In 2014, Tribute entered into an exclusive license and supply agreement with Faes Farma, S.A. (“Faes”), a Spanish pharmaceutical company, for the exclusive right to sell bilastine, a product for the treatment of allergic rhinitis and chronic idiopathic urticaria (hives) in Canada, which is now named BLEXTEN. The exclusive license is inclusive of prescription and non-prescription rights for BLEXTEN, as well as adult and pediatric presentations in Canada. On March 31, 2016, Tribute assigned its interest in BLEXTEN to Aralez Ireland. Regulatory approval to sell BLEXTEN in Canada was received from Health Canada in April 2016. We will owe sales-based milestone payments of \$1.7 million to Faes if certain sales targets are met.

Agreement with Nautilus for Cambia®

In 2010, Tribute signed a license agreement with Nautilus Neurosciences, Inc. (“Nautilus”) for the exclusive rights to develop, register, promote, manufacture, use, market, distribute and sell Cambia in Canada. In 2011, Tribute and Nautilus executed the first amendment to the license agreement and in 2012 executed the second amendment to the license agreement. Up to \$6.0 million in sales-based milestone payments may be payable over time. Royalty rates are tiered and payable at rates ranging from 22.5% to 25.0% of net sales.

Agreement with Actavis for Bezalip® SR and Soriatane®

In 2008, Tribute signed a Sales, Marketing and Distribution Agreement with Actavis Group PTC ehf (“Actavis”) to perform certain sales, marketing, distribution, finance and other general management services in Canada in connection with the importation, marketing, sales and distribution of Bezalip SR and Soriatane (the “Actavis Products”). In 2010, a first amendment was signed with Actavis to grant Tribute the right and obligation to more actively market and promote the Actavis Products in Canada. In 2011, a second amendment was signed with Actavis that extended the term of the agreement, modified certain of the other terms of the agreement and increased Tribute’s responsibilities to include the day-to-day management of regulatory affairs, pharmacovigilance and medical information relating to the Actavis Products. Tribute pays Actavis a sales and distribution fee based on a percentage of the aggregate net sales of the products. In 2011, Tribute signed a Product Development and Profit Share Agreement with Actavis to develop, obtain regulatory approval of and market Bezalip SR in the United States. Aralez may owe a milestone payment of \$5.0 million to Actavis in the event that we pursue and obtain regulatory approval to market Bezalip SR in the U.S.

Agreement with AstraZeneca/Horizon regarding VIMOVO®

In August 2006, we entered into a collaboration and license agreement, effective September 7, 2006 (the “Original AZ Agreement”), with AstraZeneca AB (“AstraZeneca”) regarding the development and commercialization of proprietary fixed dose combinations of the proton pump inhibitor (“PPI”) esomeprazole magnesium with the non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug (“NSAID”) naproxen in a single tablet for the management of pain and inflammation associated with conditions such as osteoarthritis and rheumatoid arthritis in patients who are at risk for developing NSAID-associated gastric ulcers. Under the terms of the Original AZ Agreement, we granted to AstraZeneca an exclusive, fee-bearing license, in all countries of the world except Japan, under our patents and know-how relating to combinations of gastroprotective agents and NSAIDs (other than aspirin and its derivatives). We retained responsibility for the development and filing of the New Drug Application (“NDA”) for the product in the United States, while AstraZeneca was responsible for all development activities outside the United States, as well as for all manufacturing, marketing, sales and distribution activities worldwide. We agreed to bear all expenses related to certain specified U.S. development activities. AstraZeneca agreed to pay all other development expenses, including all manufacturing-related expenses. The Original AZ Agreement established joint committees with representation of both AstraZeneca and us to manage the development and commercialization of the product. If consensus could not be reached between AstraZeneca and us, we generally would have the deciding vote with respect to development activities required for marketing approval of the product in the United States, and AstraZeneca generally would have the deciding vote with respect to any other matters. Pursuant to the terms of the Original AZ Agreement, we received an upfront license fee of \$40.0 million from AstraZeneca.

Table of Contents

The Company entered into an amendment to the Original AZ Agreement, effective as of September 6, 2007 (the “Amendment to the Original AZ Agreement”). Under the terms of the Amendment to the Original AZ Agreement, AstraZeneca agreed to pay us up to \$345.0 million, in the aggregate, in milestone payments upon the achievement of certain development, regulatory and sales events. To date we have received an aggregate of \$85.0 million in milestone payments including an upfront payment and payments for development and regulatory milestones. An additional \$260.0 million is potentially payable to us as sales performance milestones if certain aggregate sales thresholds are achieved.

Pursuant to the Original AZ Agreement, as amended, we receive a flat, low double-digit royalty rate during the royalty term on annual net sales of products made by AstraZeneca, its affiliates and sublicensees in the United States and royalties ranging from the mid-single digits to the high-teens on annual net sales of products made by AstraZeneca, its affiliates and sublicensees outside of the United States. The royalty rate may be reduced due to the loss of market share as a result of generic competition inside and outside of the United States. Our right to receive royalties from AstraZeneca for the sale of such products expires on a country-by-country basis upon the later of (a) expiration of the last-to-expire of certain patent rights relating to such products in that country, and (b) ten years after the first commercial sale of such products in such

Unless earlier terminated in accordance with its terms, the Original AZ Agreement, as amended, will expire upon the payment of all applicable royalties for the products commercialized under the agreement. Either party has the right to terminate by notice in writing to the other party upon or after any material breach of the agreement by the other party, if the other party has not cured the breach within 90 days after written notice to cure has been given, with certain exceptions. The parties also can terminate for cause under certain defined conditions. In addition, AstraZeneca can terminate at any time, at will, for any reason or no reason, in its entirety or with respect to countries outside the United States, upon 90 days’ notice. If terminated at will, AstraZeneca will owe us a specified termination payment or, if termination occurs after the product is launched, AstraZeneca may, at its option, under and subject to the satisfaction of conditions specified in the Original AZ Agreement, elect to transfer the product and all rights to us.

During 2013, AstraZeneca decided to cease promotion and sampling of VIMOVO in certain countries, including the United States and all countries in Europe, other than Spain and Portugal, which have pre-existing contractual relationships with third parties. In September 2013, we and AstraZeneca entered into a third amendment to the Original AZ Agreement which made clarifications to certain intellectual property provisions of the Original AZ Agreement to clarify that AstraZeneca’s rights under those provisions do not extend to products which contain acetylsalicylic acid. In September 2013, we and AstraZeneca also executed a letter agreement whereby we agreed that in the event that AstraZeneca divested its rights and obligations to market VIMOVO in the United States to a third-party, AstraZeneca would be relieved of its obligations under the Original AZ Agreement, as amended, with respect to the United States as of the effective date of such divestiture, including its obligation under the Original AZ Agreement, as amended, to guarantee the performance of such assignee and/or sublicensee.

In November 2013, AstraZeneca divested of all of its rights, title and interest to develop, commercialize and sell VIMOVO in the United States to Horizon Pharma USA, Inc. (“Horizon”). In connection with this divestiture, in

November 2013, we and AstraZeneca entered into an Amended and Restated Collaboration and License Agreement for the United States (the “U.S. Agreement”) and an Amended and Restated License and Collaboration Agreement for outside the United States (the “ROW Agreement”), which agreements collectively amended and restated the Original AZ Agreement. With our consent pursuant to a letter agreement among us, AstraZeneca and Horizon, AstraZeneca subsequently assigned the U.S. Agreement to Horizon in connection with the divestiture. Further, the letter agreement establishes a process for AstraZeneca and Horizon to determine if sales milestones set forth in the Original AZ Agreement are achieved on a global basis and provides other clarifications and modifications required as a result of incorporating the provisions of the Original AZ Agreement into the U.S. Agreement and the ROW Agreement or as otherwise agreed by the parties.

Pursuant to an amendment to the U.S. Agreement (the “Amendment to the U.S. Agreement”) between us and Horizon, we are guaranteed an annual minimum royalty amount of \$7.5 million each calendar year, provided that the patents owned by us which cover VIMOVO are in effect and no generic forms of VIMOVO are in the marketplace. The Amendment to the U.S. Agreement also provides that Horizon has assumed AstraZeneca’s right to lead the on-going Paragraph IV litigation relating to VIMOVO currently pending in the United States District Court for the District of New

Table of Contents

Jersey and will assume all patent-related defense costs relating to such litigation, including reimbursement up to specified amounts of the cost of any counsel retained by us, amends certain time periods for Horizon's delivery of quarterly sales reports to us, and provides for quarterly update calls between the parties to discuss performance of VIMOVO and Horizon's commercialization efforts.

Agreements with GSK, Pernix and CII regarding MT 400 (including Treximet®)

In June 2003, we entered into an agreement with Glaxo Group Limited, d/b/a GlaxoSmithKline ("GSK") for the development and commercialization of proprietary combinations of a triptan (5-HT<sub>1B/1D</sub> agonist) and a long-acting NSAID (the "GSK Agreement"). The combinations covered by the GSK Agreement are among the combinations of MT 400 (including Treximet). Under the terms of the GSK Agreement, GSK had exclusive rights in the United States to commercialize all combinations which combine GSK's triptans, including Imitrex® (sumatriptan succinate) or Amerge® (naratriptan hydrochloride), with a long-acting NSAID. We were responsible for development of the first combination product, while GSK provided formulation development and manufacturing.

Pursuant to the terms of the GSK Agreement, we received an initial \$25.0 million payment from GSK and an aggregate of \$55.0 million in milestone payments associated with the development and approval of Treximet. In addition, Pernix Therapeutics Holdings, Inc. ("Pernix"), as assignee of GSK, will pay two sales performance milestones totaling up to \$80.0 million if certain sales thresholds are achieved. Pernix, as assignee of GSK, will pay royalties on all net sales of marketed products until at least the expiration of the last-to-expire issued applicable patent based upon the scheduled expiration of currently issued patents. Pernix may reduce, but not eliminate, the royalty payable to us if generic competitors attain a pre-determined share of the market for the combination product, or if Pernix owes a royalty to one or more third parties for rights it licenses from such third parties to commercialize the product.

In November 2011, we entered into a purchase agreement with CPPIB Credit Investments Inc. ("CII"), pursuant to which we sold, and CII purchased, our right to receive future royalty payments arising from U.S. sales of MT 400, including Treximet. By virtue of the agreement, we will receive a 20% interest in royalties, if any, paid on net sales of Treximet and such other products in the United States to CII relating to the period commencing in the second quarter of 2018.

In May 2014, we, GSK, CII and Pernix, entered into certain agreements in connection with GSK's divestiture of all of its rights, title and interest to develop, commercialize and sell Treximet in the United States to Pernix. Upon the closing of the transaction in August 2014, with our consent, GSK assigned the GSK Agreement to Pernix. Immediately following the closing of the transaction, we entered into an amendment to the GSK Agreement with Pernix. This amendment, among other things, amends the royalty provisions to provide for a guaranteed quarterly minimum royalty of \$4 million for the calendar quarters commencing in January 2015 and ending in March 2018 and requires that Pernix continue certain of GSK's ongoing development activities and to undertake certain new activities, for which we will provide reasonable assistance. This amendment to the GSK Agreement also eliminates restrictions in the GSK Agreement on our right to develop and commercialize certain dosage forms of sumatriptan/naproxen

combinations outside of the United States and permits us to seek approval for these combinations on the basis of the approved NDA for Treximet. Pernix also granted us a warrant to purchase 500,000 shares of Pernix common stock at an exercise price equal to \$4.28 per share, which represented the closing price of Pernix common stock as reported on the NASDAQ Global Market on May 13, 2014. In the first quarter of 2015, the Company sold the warrant for \$2.5 million. In July 2014, we and Pernix entered into a second amendment of the GSK Agreement, effective upon the closing of the transaction in August 2014, which permits Pernix's Irish affiliate (to which Pernix assigned its rights) to further assign the GSK Agreement without our prior written consent as collateral security for the benefit of certain lenders.

Table of Contents

## 4.FAIR VALUE

The following tables set forth the Company's assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis at:

	September 30, 2016			
	Financial Instruments Carried at Fair Value			
	Significant			
	Quoted prices active markets identical items (Level 1)	other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)	Total
Assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 56,533	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 56,533
Liabilities:				
Contingent consideration	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 19,500	\$ 19,500
Warrants liability	—	—	47	47

  

	December 31, 2015			
	Financial Instruments Carried at Fair Value			
	Significant			
	Quoted prices active markets identical items (Level 1)	other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)	Total
Assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 24,816	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 24,816

## Warrants Liability

In connection with the acquisition of Tribute, the Company assumed a liability for warrants that are treated as derivatives under accounting guidance for derivatives and hedging as they were issued with exercise prices denominated in a currency different than the Company's reporting currency. Approximately 46,000 of the total 0.9 million common shares underlying the warrants outstanding as of September 30, 2016 are classified as liabilities. The warrants liability is valued using a Black-Scholes valuation model, which incorporates Level 3 assumptions including the volatility of the underlying share price and the expected term. The change in the fair value of the warrants liability of \$4.7 million is included within other (expense) income, net in the condensed consolidated statements of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2016. There was no change in the fair value of the warrants liability for the

three months ended September 30, 2016. A majority of our liability-classified warrants expired in the third quarter of 2016. See Note 9, "Shareholders' Equity and Earnings Per Share," for additional information.

#### Contingent Consideration

In connection with the acquisition of ZONTIVITY, the Company recorded a short-term and long-term contingent consideration liability for future cash payments based on the occurrence of certain milestone events and royalty payments. The contingent consideration is based on the annual aggregate net sales of ZONTIVITY, any combination product containing vorapaxar sulphate and one or more other active pharmaceutical ingredients or any line extension thereof, not to exceed \$80 million in the aggregate, and certain royalty payments based on the annual aggregate net sales of ZONTIVITY, any combination product containing vorapaxar sulphate and one or more other active pharmaceutical ingredients or any line extension thereof. The contingent consideration liability is valued using a model, which incorporates Level 3 assumptions, including the estimated amount and timing of projected cash flows, the probability of success (achievement of the contingent event) and the risk-adjusted discount rate used to present value the probability-weighted cash flows. There was no change in the fair value of the contingent consideration liability between the ZONTIVITY acquisition date and September 30, 2016. See Note 2, "Business Combinations and Acquisitions," for additional information.

Table of Contents

## Level 3 Disclosures

The following table provides quantitative information associated with the fair value measurement of the Company's Level 3 inputs at September 30, 2016:

	(in thousands)	Valuation technique	Unobservable Inputs	Inputs Utilized
Warrants liability	\$ 47	Black-Scholes	Volatility	65%
			Expected term in years	0.6
Contingent consideration	19,500	Monte Carlo	Volatility	43%
			Discount rate	13%

The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of our warrants liability include the volatility of our share price and the expected term. Significant increases or decreases in the volatility and expected term utilized would result in a significantly higher or lower fair value measurement, respectively. The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of our contingent consideration liability include the estimated amount and timing of projected cash flows, the probability of success (achievement of the contingent event) and the risk-adjusted discount rate used to calculate the present value of the probability-weighted cash flows.

The table below provides a roll-forward of the warrants liability fair value balances that used Level 3 inputs (in thousands):

Balance at December 31, 2015	\$ —
Warrants liability assumed in Merger	4,618
Change in fair value during the period	(4,721)
Impact of foreign exchange	150
Balance at September 30, 2016	\$ 47

The table below provides a roll-forward of the contingent consideration liability fair value balances that used Level 3 inputs (in thousands):

Balance at December 31, 2015	\$ —
Contingent consideration recorded in ZONTIVITY acquisition	19,500

Change in fair value during the period	—
Balance at September 30, 2016	\$ 19,500

## 5. INVENTORY

Inventory consisted of the following at:

	September 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
	(in thousands)	
Raw materials	\$ 847	\$ —
Work-in-process	345	—
Finished goods	3,543	—
Total Inventory	\$ 4,735	\$ —

Table of Contents

## 6.GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS, NET

## Goodwill

The table below provides a roll-forward of our goodwill balances (as adjusted, in thousands):

Goodwill balance at December 31, 2015	\$ —
Goodwill from acquisition of Tribute	69,324
Goodwill from acquisition of ZONTIVITY	3,700
Impact of foreign exchange	4,015
Goodwill balance at September 30, 2016	\$ 77,039

There were no accumulated impairment losses to goodwill at September 30, 2016.

## Other Intangible Assets, Net

Other intangible assets, net consisted of the following at:

	September 30, 2016			Weighted
	Gross		Net Carrying	Average
	Carrying	Accumulated	Amount	Life
	Amount	Amortization		(in years)
		(in thousands)		
Acquired technology rights	\$ 133,548	\$ (5,824)	\$ 127,724	11

The gross carrying amount of acquired technology rights from the Merger increased \$8.8 million between the Merger closing date and September 30, 2016 due to (i) the addition of \$2.8 million reclassified from acquired IPR&D for BLEXTEN, which was approved in April 2016, (ii) approximately \$1.0 million in regulatory milestones due to Faes as a result of the approval of BLEXTEN, and (iii) \$5.0 million from the impact of foreign currency translation adjustments between the Canadian and U.S. dollars. The gross carrying amount of acquired technology rights at September 30, 2016 also includes \$40.8 million recorded in the ZONTIVITY acquisition.

Amortization expense was \$2.4 million and \$5.8 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016, respectively. There was no amortization expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015.

The estimated aggregate amortization of intangible assets as of September 30, 2016, for each of the five succeeding years and thereafter is as follows:

For the Years Ending December 31,	Estimated Amortization Expense (in thousands)
Remainder of 2016	\$ 3,040
2017	12,190
2018	12,190
2019	12,190
2020	12,190
Thereafter	75,924
Total amortization expense	\$ 127,724

Table of Contents

## 7. ACCRUED EXPENSES

Accrued expenses consisted of the following at:

	September 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
	(in thousands)	
Accrued professional fees	\$ 5,427	\$ 3,012
Accrued marketing fees	1,747	—
Accrued revenue reserves	1,404	—
Accrued royalties	2,009	—
Accrued pre-commercialization expense	1,505	—
Accrued employee-related expenses	7,704	5,229
Other accrued liabilities	3,761	3,691
Total accrued expenses	\$ 23,557	\$ 11,932

## Exit and Disposal Activities

In connection with the Merger, the Company incurred certain exit costs, primarily severance benefits to former Pozen and Tribute employees. The Company incurred severance expense of \$0.6 million and \$2.1 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016, respectively, which is primarily included within selling, general and administrative expenses in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

The following table summarizes the exit activity within accrued expenses and other long-term liabilities in the condensed consolidated balance sheets (in thousands):

Accrued severance balance at December 31, 2015	\$ 3,986
Accrued severance liability assumed in the Merger	2,484
Severance expense	2,144
Cash payments	(5,194)
Impact of foreign exchange	54
Accrued severance balance at September 30, 2016	\$ 3,474

Of the accrued severance amounts, the Company expects to pay \$2.6 million in 2016 and \$0.9 million in 2017.

8.DEBT

Convertible Notes

On February 5, 2016, Aralez issued \$75.0 million aggregate principal of 2.5% senior secured convertible notes due February 2022 (“2022 Notes”) resulting in net proceeds to Aralez, after debt issuance costs, of \$74.5 million in connection with the Facility Agreement, which was executed in December 2015 among the Credit Parties and certain lenders. The 2022 Notes are convertible into common shares of Aralez at an initial conversion premium of 32.5%, subject to adjustment upon certain events, which is equivalent to an initial conversion price of approximately \$8.28 per common share. Holders of the 2022 Notes may convert the 2022 Notes at any time and the 2022 Notes are not pre-payable by Aralez. Interest is payable to the note holders quarterly in arrears on the first business day of each January, April, July and October. Interest expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016 was \$0.5 million and \$1.3 million, respectively, which includes the amortization of debt issuance costs. We estimated the fair value of the \$75.0 million aggregate principal amount of the outstanding 2022 Notes to be approximately \$64.9 million as of September 30, 2016, using a bond plus call option model that utilizes Level 3 fair value inputs. The carrying amount of the 2022 Notes was \$74.5 million as of September 30, 2016, which is the principal amount outstanding, net of \$0.5 million of unamortized debt issuance costs to be amortized over the remaining term of the 2022 Notes.

## Table of Contents

### Credit Facility

Under the terms of the Facility Agreement, Aralez also had the ability to borrow from the lenders up to \$200 million under a credit facility until April 30, 2017. The credit facility can be drawn upon for permitted acquisitions and is to be repaid on the sixth anniversary from each draw. Amounts drawn under the credit facility will bear an interest rate of 12.5% per annum and shall be prepayable in whole or in part at any time following the end of the sixth month after the funding date of each draw. The Facility Agreement contains various representations and warranties, and affirmative and negative covenants, customary for financings of this type, including, among other things, limitations on asset sales, mergers and acquisitions, indebtedness, liens and dividends. There were no outstanding borrowings under the credit facility as of September 30, 2016.

On October 31, 2016, Aralez drew down \$25 million under the credit facility to replenish the Company's cash balance for the initial upfront payment of \$25 million in cash previously paid at the closing of the ZONTIVITY acquisition in September 2016 and drew down an additional \$175 million to finance the upfront cash payment for the acquisition of Toprol-XL. In addition, pursuant to a consent to the Facility Agreement entered into in connection with the acquisition of Toprol-XL, the lenders under the Facility Agreement agreed that they and/or affiliated funds will have available sufficient capital to make additional loans to Aralez in an aggregate amount of up to \$250 million for the payment of the purchase price of any acquisitions permitted by the terms of the Facility Agreement (as modified by such consent) with respect to target businesses mutually approved by, and as otherwise mutually agreed upon, by Aralez and the lenders, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions set forth in the Facility Agreement.

### MFI Note

On June 16, 2015, Tribute acquired MFI. As part of the consideration paid, Tribute issued a one-year unsecured convertible promissory note in the aggregate amount of C\$5.0 million (\$3.9 million) to the prior owner of MFI ("MFI Note"). The MFI Note had an interest rate of 8% per annum and was convertible in whole or in part at the holder's option during the term into Aralez common shares at a conversion rate of approximately C\$12.21 per Aralez common share. The MFI Note was repaid in full along with accrued interest at its maturity date of June 16, 2016, for a total payment of approximately \$4.2 million.

## 9. SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY AND EARNINGS PER SHARE

The following table presents a reconciliation of our beginning and ending balances in shareholders' equity for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 (in thousands):

Shareholders' equity at January 1, 2016	\$ 14,783
Issuance of common shares in connection with Merger with Tribute	115,136
Issuance of common shares to investors, net of equity issue costs	74,866
Warrants exercised	636
Payments related to net settlement of share awards	(298)
Non-cash share-based compensation expense	9,202
Foreign currency translation adjustment	8,085
Net loss	(71,862)
Shareholders' equity at September 30, 2016	\$ 150,548

Shareholders' equity at September 30, 2016 included (i) \$115.1 million related to the issuance of 18.5 million shares as consideration for the acquisition of Tribute on February 5, 2016, and (ii) \$74.9 million related to the issuance of 12.0 million shares immediately prior to the consummation of the acquisition to certain investors in connection with the Amended and Restated Subscription Agreement, net of equity issue costs. Refer to Note 1 to the Aralez financial statements included in our 2015 Form 10-K for additional information.

Table of Contents

## Basic and Diluted Net Loss Per Common Share

Basic net loss per common share has been computed by dividing net loss by the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the period. Except where the result would be antidilutive to income from continuing operations, diluted net loss per common share is computed assuming the conversion of convertible obligations and the elimination of the interest expense related to the 2022 Notes, the exercise of options to purchase common shares, the exercise of warrants, and the vesting of restricted stock units (“RSUs”), as well as their related income tax effects. Diluted net loss per common share differs from basic net loss per common share for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 given potential common shares underlying the warrants liability are dilutive when considering the unrealized gain recognized for the change in the fair value of the warrants during the period.

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
	(in thousands, except share and per share data)			
Net loss, basic	\$ (20,599)	\$ (8,149)	\$ (71,862)	\$ (24,457)
Effect of dilutive securities:				
Change in fair value of warrants liability	—	—	(4,721)	—
Net loss, diluted	\$ (20,599)	\$ (8,149)	\$ (76,583)	\$ (24,457)
Shares used in calculating basic net loss per common share	65,229,055	32,732,686	60,598,676	32,476,358
Effect of dilutive securities:				
Warrants to purchase common shares - liability-classified	—	—	77,656	—
Shares used in calculating diluted net loss per common share	65,229,055	32,732,686	60,676,332	32,476,358
Net loss per common share, basic	\$ (0.32)	\$ (0.25)	\$ (1.19)	\$ (0.75)
Net loss per common share, diluted	\$ (0.32)	\$ (0.25)	\$ (1.26)	\$ (0.75)

Potential common shares excluded from the calculation of diluted net loss per common share as their inclusion would have been antidilutive were:

	Three Months Ended September 30,	Nine Months Ended September 30,
--	--	---------------------------------------

Edgar Filing: Aralez Pharmaceuticals Inc. - Form 10-Q

	2016	2015	2016	2015
	(in thousands)			
Options to purchase common shares, RSUs and PSUs	7,416	6,709	7,416	6,709
Warrants to purchase common shares	930	—	883	—
2022 Notes convertible into common shares	9,057	—	9,057	—

27

---

Table of Contents

The Company assumed outstanding warrants in connection with the acquisition of Tribute. The warrants are classified either as a liability, if the exercise price is denominated in Canadian dollars, or as equity if the exercise price is denominated in U.S. dollars. The following is a summary of warrants outstanding and exercisable as of September 30, 2016, and grouped in accordance with their respective expiration dates, with Canadian dollar exercise prices translated to U.S. dollars at the foreign exchange rate in effect at September 30, 2016:

Quarterly period of expiration	No. of Warrants Outstanding	Weighted-Average Exercise Price
Q2 2017	46,497	4.82
Q1 2018	599,278	4.12
Q3 2018	15,815	3.78
Q4 2019	107,670	4.81
Q3 2020	109,968	4.09
Q1 2021	50,521	2.97
	929,749	\$ 4.16

## 10.SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION

## Summary of Share-Based Compensation Plans

In December 2015, our Board of Directors adopted the Aralez Pharmaceuticals 2016 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the “2016 Plan”), which became effective on February 5, 2016, upon consummation of the Merger. The 2016 Plan is the only existing plan in which we are authorized to grant equity-based awards. The 2016 Plan provides for grants of stock options, stock appreciation rights, stock awards, stock units, performance shares, performance units, and other stock-based awards to employees, directors, and consultants. Under the 2016 Plan, the Company initially reserved 2,300,000 common shares for grant plus (i) the number of shares available for issuance under both the Pozen Inc. 2010 Equity Compensation Plan and the Amended and Restated Option Plan of Tribute Pharmaceuticals Canada Inc. that were not subject to outstanding awards upon the effective date and (ii) the number of shares required to cover each stock option granted in substitution of stock options held by employees of Tribute, as required to consummate the Merger. At September 30, 2016, there were 2,163,991 common shares remaining available for grant under the 2016 Plan.

## Summary of Share-Based Compensation Expense

Edgar Filing: Aralez Pharmaceuticals Inc. - Form 10-Q

Share-based compensation expense recorded in the condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, was as follows:

	Three Months		Nine Months	
	Ended		Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
	(in thousands)			
Selling, general and administrative	\$ 2,659	\$ 1,959	\$ 8,875	\$ 5,564
Research and development	—	8	327	109
Total non-cash share-based compensation expense	\$ 2,659	\$ 1,967	\$ 9,202	\$ 5,673

Included in the table above is approximately \$0.5 million of share-based compensation expense related to the accelerated vesting of certain Tribute equity awards upon consummation of the Merger, which was recorded as selling, general and administrative expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2016.

Table of Contents

## Options to Purchase Common Shares

A summary of option activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 is as follows:

	Underlying Shares (in thousands)	Weighted- Average Exercise Price
Stock Option Awards		
Outstanding at December 31, 2015	1,985	\$ 8.18
Granted	2,140	3.61
Exercised	(458)	3.19
Forfeited or expired	(661)	8.36
Outstanding at September 30, 2016	3,006	\$ 5.73

The weighted average grant date fair value for option awards granted during the nine months ended September 30, 2016 was \$2.54 per option.

## RSUs and PSUs

A summary of RSU, including performance restricted stock units (“PSUs”), activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2016, is as follows:

	Underlying Shares (in thousands)	Weighted- Average Grant Date Fair Value
Restricted Stock Units, including PSUs		
Nonvested restricted stock units at December 31, 2015	4,042	\$ 7.80
Granted	1,630	4.48
Vested	(1,246)	7.72
Forfeited or expired	(16)	5.59
Nonvested restricted stock units at September 30, 2016	4,410	\$ 6.60

During the nine months ended September 30, 2016, 654,737 PSUs with both market-based and service conditions were granted with an aggregate grant-date fair value of \$2.8 million. The PSUs vest at the end of a three-year

performance period based on the achievement of pre-determined market-based performance goals.

## 11.COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

### Operating Leases

We lease office space and certain equipment under cancellable and non-cancelable operating lease agreements. Rent expense was approximately \$0.2 million and \$0.5 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016, respectively. Future minimum payments under our non-cancelable lease agreements at September 30, 2016 were as follows (in thousands):

Remainder of 2016	\$ 132
2017	1,276
2018	2,136
2019	2,159
2020	2,140
Thereafter	11,299
Total minimum payments	\$ 19,142

## Table of Contents

On March 31, 2016, the lease relating to approximately 17,009 square feet of office space located at Exchange Office Building, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, expired in accordance with its terms, as amended. In April 2016, we entered into an agreement to lease approximately 36,602 square feet of office space for our U.S. headquarters in Princeton, New Jersey. Pursuant to the lease agreement, we issued a letter of credit in the amount of \$0.3 million to the property owner as a security deposit, which we have classified as restricted cash and included within other current assets on the condensed consolidated balance sheet as of September 30, 2016.

## Supply Agreements

We have various supply, license, distribution and manufacturing agreements with third parties that include purchase minimums or minimum royalties. Pursuant to these agreements, we have minimum future obligations of approximately \$4.9 million as of September 30, 2016.

## Legal Proceedings

We are currently party to legal proceedings arising in the normal course of business, principally patent litigation matters. We have assessed such legal proceedings and do not believe that it is probable that a liability has been incurred or that the amount of any potential liability or range of losses can be reasonably estimated. As a result, we have not recorded any loss contingencies for any of these matters as of September 30, 2016. While it is not possible to determine the outcome of these matters, in the event of an adverse outcome or outcomes, our business could be materially harmed. We intend to vigorously defend our intellectual property rights.

## VIMOVO® ANDA Litigation

Between March 14, 2011 and May 16, 2013, Pozen, now a subsidiary of the Company, received Paragraph IV Notice Letters from Dr. Reddy's Laboratories ("DRL"), Lupin Ltd. ("Lupin"), Watson Laboratories, Inc. – Florida ("Watson"), and Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc. ("Mylan"), stating that each had filed an Abbreviated New Drug Application ("ANDA") with the Food and Drug Administration ("FDA") seeking regulatory approval to market a generic version of our VIMOVO product before the expiration of U.S. Patent No. 6,926,907 (the "907 patent"). On November 20, 2012, Pozen received a second Notice Letter from DRL stating that DRL had filed a second ANDA with the FDA seeking regulatory approval to market a different generic formulation of the VIMOVO product before the expiration of the '907 patent. The '907 patent is assigned to Pozen and listed for the VIMOVO product in the FDA's publication titled "Approved Drug Products with Therapeutic Equivalence Evaluations" (also known as the "Orange Book").

On April 21, 2011, Pozen filed suit against the first ANDA filer, DRL, in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey (the “District Court”), asserting infringement of the ‘907 patent. Pozen subsequently filed suit against the other three ANDA filers within 45 days of receipt of their respective Paragraph IV Notice Letters. Horizon, our current marketing partner for the VIMOVO product in the U.S., is Pozen’s co-plaintiff in each suit. The first suit against DRL is considered the lead case and has been consolidated with other suits for the purpose of pre-trial and discovery. On December 19, 2012, the District Court conducted a pre-trial Markman hearing to determine the proper claim construction of certain claims disputed by the parties. On May 1, 2013, the District Court issued a Markman Order construing the disputed claims. A scheduling order for the consolidated suits was issued by the District Court on June 27, 2014.

On October 15, 2013, the United States Patent & Trademark Office (“USPTO”) issued to Pozen U.S. Patent No. 8,557,285 (the “‘285 patent”). The ‘285 patent is listed in the Orange Book for the VIMOVO product and is related to the ‘907 patent. On October 23, 2013, Pozen filed suits against DRL, Lupin, Watson and Mylan in the District Court asserting infringement of the ‘285 patent. These suits have each been consolidated with the above referenced suits involving the ‘907 patent. On May 12, 2016, the court granted DRL’s motion for summary judgment of non-infringement of the ‘907 patent with respect DRL’s second ANDA. The ruling does not apply to DRL’s first-filed ANDA, nor does it apply to the other patents asserted against DRL’s second ANDA. The suits involving the ‘907 and ‘285 patents have been consolidated for trial, which has been scheduled by the District Court to begin December 7, 2016.

On October 7, 2014, the USPTO issued to Pozen U.S. Patent No. 8,852,636 (the “‘636 patent”). On October 14,

Table of Contents

2014, the USPTO issued to Pozen U.S. Patent No. 8,858,996 (the “‘996 patent”). In addition, on October 21, 2014, the USPTO issued to Pozen U.S. Patent No. 8,865,190 (the “‘190 patent”). The ‘636, ‘996 and ‘190 patents are each listed in the Orange Book for the VIMOVO product and are each related to the ‘907 and ‘285 patents.

On February 3, 2015, the USPTO issued to Pozen U.S. Patent No. 8,945,621 (the “‘621 patent”). The ‘621 patent is listed in the Orange Book for the VIMOVO product.

On May 13, 2015, Pozen and Horizon filed suit against DRL, Lupin, Actavis (formerly known as Watson) and Mylan in the District Court asserting infringement of the ‘636 and ‘996 patents. On June 18, 2015, Pozen filed Amended Complaints in each of the suits to assert infringement of the ‘190 patent.

On October 20, 2015, the USPTO issued to Pozen U.S. Patent No. 9,161,920 (the “‘920 patent”). On December 1, 2015, the USPTO issued to Pozen U.S. Patent No. 9,198,888 (the “‘888 patent”). The ‘920 and ‘888 patents are each listed in the Orange Book for the VIMOVO product and are each related to the ‘907 and ‘285 patents.

On December 29, 2015, the USPTO issued to Pozen U.S. Patent No. 9,220,698 (the “‘698 patent”). The ‘698 patent is listed in the Orange Book for the VIMOVO product.

On May 24, 2016, the USPTO issued to Pozen U.S. Patent No. 9,345,695 (the “‘695 patent”). The ‘695 patent is listed in the Orange Book for the VIMOVO product and is related to the ‘907 and ‘285 patents.

On January 25, 2016, Pozen and Horizon filed suit against Actavis in the District Court asserting infringement of the ‘920 and ‘888 patents. On March 16, 2016, the District Court consolidated this suit with the suit filed against Actavis on May 13, 2015. On February 10, 2016, Pozen filed Amended Complaints against DRL, Lupin and Mylan to assert infringement of the ‘920 and ‘888 patents. On August 10, 2016, Pozen and Horizon filed suit against DRL, Lupin, Actavis and Mylan in the District Court asserting infringement of the ‘621, ‘698, and ‘695 patents. These suits are in the initial phase and a full schedule has not yet been set by the District Court.

As with any litigation proceeding, we cannot predict with certainty the outcome of the patent infringement suits against DRL, Lupin, Mylan and Actavis relating to generic versions of VIMOVO. Furthermore, while Horizon is responsible for this litigation, including the costs of same, we nevertheless will have to incur additional expenses in connection with the lawsuits relating to VIMOVO, which may be substantial. Moreover, responding to and defending pending litigation results in a significant diversion of management’s attention and resources and an increase in professional fees.

Inter Partes Review

DRL filed a Petition for review (“IPR Petition”) of the ‘285 patent with the Patent Trial and Appeal Board (“PTAB”) of the USPTO on February 24, 2015, which was denied on October 9, 2015. The Coalition for Affordable Drugs VII L.L.C. (“CFAD”) filed IPR Petitions of the ‘907 patent, the ‘996 patent and the ‘636 patent with the PTAB on May 21, 2015, June 5, 2014 and August 7, 2015, respectively, each of which was denied on December 8, 2015, December 17, 2015 and February 11, 2016, respectively.

On August 12, 2015, CFAD filed an IPR Petition of the ‘621 patent with the PTAB. On February 22, 2016 the PTAB instituted review of the claims of the ‘621 patent. Pozen and Horizon filed a response on June 23, 2016. CFAD filed a reply to this response on September 22, 2016. Oral argument before the PTAB is set in this matter for November 16, 2016.

On August 19, 2015, Lupin filed three separate IPR Petitions of the ‘996, ‘636 and ‘190 patents with the PTAB. On March 1, 2016 the PTAB denied Lupin’s petition for review of the ‘636 patent and instituted review of a limited number of the claims in each of the ‘996 and ‘190 patents. Pozen and Horizon filed responses to the petitions for review of the ‘996 and ‘190 patents on June 27, 2016. Lupin filed replies to these responses on September 16, 2016. Oral arguments before the PTAB for these matters are scheduled for November 29, 2016.

Table of Contents

On November 12, 2015, Gray Square Pharmaceuticals, LLC (formerly known as Graybar Pharmaceuticals, LLC) filed an IPR Petition of U.S. Patent No. 7,332,183 (the “‘183 patent”) with the PTAB. The ‘183 patent is assigned to Pozen and listed with respect to Treximet in the Orange Book. Pozen and our marketing partner Pernix filed a Preliminary Response to Gray Square’s petition on February 16, 2016. On May 6, 2016, the PTAB denied Gray Square’s petition.

Canada VIMOVO® Litigation

On January 20, 2015, our Canadian licensee, AstraZeneca Canada Inc. (“AstraZeneca Canada”) received a Notice of Allegation from Mylan Pharmaceuticals ULC (“Mylan Canada”) informing them that Mylan Canada has filed an Abbreviated New Drug Submission in Canada (“ANDS”) for approval of its naproxen/esomeprazole magnesium tablets and alleging non-infringement of some of the claims and invalidity of Pozen’s Canadian Patent No. 2,449,098 (the “‘098 patent”). A Notice of Allegation is served pursuant to the Patented Medicines (Notice of Compliance) Regulations in Canada and is similar to a Paragraph IV Notice Letter in the United States. In response, Pozen and AstraZeneca Canada commenced a proceeding in the Federal Court of Canada (the “Canada Court”) in relation to the ‘098 patent on March 5, 2015 seeking to prohibit Health Canada from approving Mylan Canada’s generic naproxen/esomeprazole product. The Canadian proceeding is summary in nature and expected to be completed before March 5, 2017. In accordance with the schedule approved by the Canada Court, affidavit evidence of AstraZeneca Canada and Pozen was served on September 11, 2015 and affidavit evidence of Mylan Canada on January 8, 2016. The parties have completed cross-examinations on the affidavit evidence on April 29, 2016, as required by the schedule. The written record of AstraZeneca Canada and Pozen, for the hearing, was served and filed with the Court on July 13, 2016. Mylan Canada’s written record was served and filed on September 14, 2016. A three-day hearing of the matter has been scheduled, commencing on November 21, 2016. The proceeding will decide whether approval for Mylan Canada’s naproxen/esomeprazole magnesium tablets will be prohibited until the expiry of the ‘098 patent because none of Mylan Canada’s allegations in respect of the ‘098 patent are justified; however, the proceeding will not finally decide ‘098 patent validity or infringement. The ‘098 patent expires on May 31, 2022.

On March 23, 2016, AstraZeneca Canada received another Notice of Allegation from Mylan Canada in respect of the ‘098 patent, informing them that Mylan Canada has filed a supplemental submission for one of the strengths of its naproxen/esomeprazole magnesium tablets. This Notice of Allegation states that Mylan Canada withdrew from its ANDS the 375/20 mg strength and re-filed a supplemental submission for this strength. In this circumstance, Mylan is required to file, and has provided another Notice of Allegation in respect of the ‘098 patent. The allegations in respect of the ‘098 patent are identical to those asserted in the first Notice of Allegation. In response, Pozen and AstraZeneca Canada commenced another proceeding in the Federal Court of Canada on May 5, 2016 seeking to prohibit Health Canada from approving Mylan Canada’s 375/20 mg strength naproxen/esomeprazole magnesium tablet until the expiry of the ‘098 patent. As the allegations made in respect of the ‘098 patent are identical, on the parties’ consent, the Court has stayed the proceeding and the parties have agreed that the outcome of the first proceeding discussed above, will determine the outcome for this new proceeding.

YOSPRALA Paragraph IV Certification

On November 4, 2016, the FDA website indicated that an ANDA for a generic version of YOSPRALA 81mg/40mg was submitted on October 14, 2016. The Company expects to receive a Paragraph IV certification notice relating to this matter in the near future. The ANDA procedure under the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act allows drug manufacturers to seek approval to market a “generic” form of an approved drug through an abbreviated process that does not require new clinical trial data to establish safety and efficacy. Where approved drugs are covered by one or more patents listed in the FDA’s Approved Drug Products with Therapeutic Equivalence Evaluations (the “Orange Book”), the ANDA filer must provide a so-called Paragraph IV Certification to the FDA, in which the ANDA filer asserts that the patents listed in the Orange Book covering the approved drug are invalid, unenforceable and/or will not be infringed by the manufacture, use, or sale of the generic product. A patent holder who receives notice of a Paragraph IV Certification may then choose to sue the ANDA filer for patent infringement. Under the FDA’s rules and regulations, if the Company initiates a patent infringement suit to defend the patents identified in any Paragraph IV notice it may receive, within 45 days after the receipt of such notice, the FDA is prevented from approving the ANDA until the earlier of: (1) 30 months; (2) the expiration of the patents at issue; or (3) a decision in the infringement case that all of such

Table of Contents

patents are not infringed or invalid. In addition, any FDA approval of the generic will be subject to expiration of the 3-year data exclusivity period granted to the Company upon approval of YOSPRALA by the FDA, which data exclusivity period will expire September 14, 2019.

12. SEGMENT INFORMATION

Aralez has one operating segment, the acquisition, development and commercialization of products primarily in cardiovascular, pain and other specialty areas for the purpose of delivering meaningful products to improve patients' lives while focusing on creating shareholder value. The Company's entire business is managed by a single management team, which reports to the Chief Executive Officer.

13. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On October 31, 2016, Aralez Ireland acquired the U.S. rights to Toprol-XL® (metoprolol succinate) and the currently marketed authorized generic (the "Authorized Generic") pursuant to an asset purchase agreement (the "Toprol-XL Asset Purchase Agreement") entered into between AstraZeneca, Aralez Ireland and Aralez Pharmaceuticals Inc. Toprol-XL is a cardioselective beta-blocker indicated for the treatment of hypertension, alone or in combination with other antihypertensives; the long term treatment of angina pectoris and treatment of stable, symptomatic (NYHA class II or III) heart failure of specific origins.

The purchase price payable under the Toprol-XL Asset Purchase Agreement consists of (i) a payment of \$175 million by Aralez Ireland to AstraZeneca, paid on the closing date of the transaction; (ii) certain milestone payments payable by Aralez Ireland subsequent to the closing of the transaction upon the occurrence of certain milestone events based on the annual aggregate net sales of Toprol-XL and the Authorized Generic and other contingent events, which in no event will exceed \$48 million in the aggregate; (iii) royalty payments of (A) 15% of total quarterly net sales of Toprol-XL and any other authorized or owned generic version of Toprol-XL that is marketed, distributed or sold by or on behalf of, or under a license or sublicense from, Aralez (other than the Authorized Generic), and (B) 15% of quarterly net sales of the Authorized Generic, but for purposes of royalty payments and clause (B) only, net sales do not include the supply price paid for the Authorized Generic by Aralez Ireland to AstraZeneca under the supply agreement entered into between Aralez Ireland and AstraZeneca in respect of the applicable period and (iv) a payment for the value of the finished inventory of Toprol-XL and the Authorized Generic at closing of the transaction, not to exceed a cap specified in the Toprol-XL Asset Purchase Agreement.

On October 31, 2016, in connection with the Toprol-XL acquisition, Aralez Ireland entered into a Supply Agreement (the “Toprol-XL Supply Agreement”) with AstraZeneca. Pursuant to the terms of the Toprol-XL Supply Agreement and except as otherwise expressly set forth therein, AstraZeneca will be the exclusive manufacturer and supplier to Aralez Ireland of Toprol-XL and the Authorized Generic, each in finished bottled form for exploitation and commercialization in the U.S. The initial term of the Toprol-XL Supply Agreement is 10 years (the “Toprol-XL Supply Initial Term”). The Toprol-XL Supply Agreement will continue indefinitely following the expiration of the Toprol-XL Supply Initial Term unless terminated in accordance with its terms. Except in the case of certain uncured material breaches of the Toprol-XL Supply Agreement by Aralez Ireland or certain insolvency related events affecting Aralez Ireland, AstraZeneca may not terminate the Toprol-XL Supply Agreement unless it satisfies certain conditions related to, among other things, the transfer of technology. In addition to termination rights upon certain uncured material breaches of the Toprol-XL Supply Agreement by AstraZeneca or certain insolvency related events affecting AstraZeneca, Aralez Ireland may terminate the Toprol-XL Supply Agreement at any time following the Toprol-XL Supply Initial Term upon providing 12 months prior written notice to AstraZeneca.

In connection with the Toprol-XL Asset Purchase Agreement, on October 3, 2016, the Credit Parties and certain lenders party to the Facility Agreement entered into a Limited Consent (the “Credit Agreement Consent”) pursuant to which such lenders consented to Aralez Ireland entering into the Toprol-XL Asset Purchase Agreement and to the consummation of the transactions contemplated thereby. Pursuant to the terms of the Credit Agreement Consent, the Credit Parties were permitted to borrow under the Facility Agreement to finance the \$175 million closing date payment

Table of Contents

to be made in connection with the Toprol-XL acquisition. The Credit Agreement consent also provided that in the event the Company borrowed loans under the Facility Agreement to finance the \$175 million payment (the “Toprol-XL Loans”), the Company may also elect to concurrently borrow loans under the Facility Agreement in an aggregate principal amount of \$25 million to replenish the Company’s cash balance for the initial upfront payment of \$25 million in cash previously paid at the closing of the ZONTIVITY acquisition on September 6, 2016 (the “ZONTIVITY Loans”). However, if the Company borrowed the Toprol-XL Loans at the closing of the Toprol-XL acquisition, but did not elect to concurrently borrow the ZONTIVITY Loans, the Company would no longer be permitted to borrow, and the lenders would have no further obligation to fund, the ZONTIVITY Loans or any other acquisition loans under the Facility Agreement. On October 31, 2016, the Company borrowed both the Toprol-XL Loans and the ZONTIVITY Loans.

In addition, pursuant to the Credit Agreement Consent, the lenders agreed that they and/or affiliated funds will have available sufficient capital to make additional loans to the Credit Parties in an aggregate amount of up to \$250 million for the payment of the purchase price of any acquisitions permitted by the terms of the Facility Agreement (as modified by the Credit Agreement Consent) with respect to target businesses mutually approved by, and as otherwise mutually agreed upon, by the Company and the lenders, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions set forth in the Facility Agreement. Any such loans (to the extent made available) may be borrowed in one or more advances at any time prior to April 3, 2018.

On October 3, 2016, the requisite lenders to the Facility Agreement and the Credit Parties also entered into an Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Facility Agreement (the “Credit Agreement Amendment”) which amends certain provisions of the Facility Agreement if the Credit Parties elected to borrow under such facility to finance the \$175 million closing date payment in connection with the Toprol-XL acquisition. As discussed above, on October 31, 2016, the closing date of the Toprol-XL acquisition, the Company borrowed a total of \$200 million under the Facility Agreement. As such, pursuant to the Credit Agreement Amendment, the Facility Agreement was amended to contain additional financial performance thresholds, including a minimum adjusted EBITDA threshold and a minimum specified revenue threshold relating to net sales of Toprol-XL and the Authorized Generic received by the Company. In the event of the failure to meet both such additional financial performance thresholds, the lenders may elect to have the then outstanding principal balance of certain term loans under the Facility Agreement amortize quarterly through the maturity thereof.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2.MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q includes “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the “Securities Act”), Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”), and within the meaning of applicable securities laws in Canada. Forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements about the expected benefits of the Merger (as defined below), including growth potential, expected benefits of the acquisition of the U.S. and Canadian rights to ZONTIVITY® (vorapaxar) and the acquisition of the U.S. rights to Toprol-XL® (metoprolol succinate) and the currently marketed authorized generic, execution of our commercialization strategy with our expanded product portfolio, including YOSPRALA™, business development plans, our operating model and financial discipline, our well-capitalized financial profile, product launches, our strategies, plans, objectives, financial forecasts, goals, prospects, prospective products or product approvals, future performance or results of current and anticipated products, exposure to foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations, interest rate changes and other statements that are not historical facts, and such statements are typically identified by use of terms such as “may,” “will,” “would,” “should,” “could,” “expect,” “plan,” “intend,” “anticipate,” “believe,” “estimate,” “predict,” “likely,” “potential,” “continue” or the negative words, variations of these words or other comparable words or phrases, although some forward-looking statements are expressed differently. You should be aware that the forward-looking statements included herein represent management’s current judgment and expectations, but our actual results, events and performance could differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties which are discussed in the section entitled “Item 1A. Risk Factors” in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) and with applicable Canadian securities regulators on SEDAR on March 15, 2016 and those described from time to time in our future reports filed with the SEC and securities regulatory authorities in Canada. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in our forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future results, events, levels of activity, performance or achievement. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, unless required by law.

All dollar amounts are expressed in U.S. dollars unless otherwise noted. Amounts are expressed on an as-converted from Canadian dollar to U.S. dollar basis, as applicable, and are calculated using the conversion rates as of and for the periods ended September 30, 2016 unless otherwise noted.

Unless the context indicates otherwise, when we refer to “we,” “us,” “our,” “Aralez” or the “Company” in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, we are referring to Aralez Pharmaceuticals Inc. together with its wholly-owned subsidiaries.

This Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations ("MD&A") is provided in addition to the condensed consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes to assist readers in understanding our results of operations, financial condition and cash flows. We have organized the MD&A as follows:

- Overview—this section provides financial highlights, our business strategy, a summary of our marketed products, our product pipeline update, and a summary of our out-licensed products.
- Results of Operations—this section provides a review of our results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015.
- Liquidity and Capital Resources—this section provides a summary of our financial condition, including our sources and uses of cash, capital resources, commitments and liquidity.
- Commitments and Contingencies—this section provides a summary of our material legal proceedings and a summary of our contractual obligations.

## Table of Contents

- Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates—this section describes our critical accounting policies and the significant judgments and estimates that we have made in preparing our condensed consolidated financial statements.
- Recent Accounting Pronouncements—this section provides a summary of accounting pronouncements that have been issued, but not yet adopted by the Company.

## Overview

Aralez is a global specialty pharmaceutical company focused on delivering meaningful products to improve patients' lives while focusing on creating shareholder value by acquiring, developing and commercializing products primarily in cardiovascular, pain and other specialty areas. Aralez's global headquarters is located in Mississauga, Ontario, Canada, its U.S. headquarters will be located in Princeton, New Jersey, and its Irish headquarters is located in Dublin, Ireland. Aralez was formed for the purpose of facilitating the business combination of POZEN Inc., a Delaware corporation ("Pozen"), and Tribute Pharmaceuticals Canada Inc., a corporation incorporated under the laws of the Province of Ontario, Canada ("Tribute"), which closed on February 5, 2016.

On February 5, 2016, pursuant to an Agreement and Plan of Merger and Arrangement between Aralez Pharmaceuticals Inc., Pozen, Tribute and other related parties (as amended, the "Merger Agreement"), Aralez completed the acquisition of Tribute by way of a court approved plan of arrangement in a stock transaction with a purchase price of \$137.6 million made up of (i) \$115.1 million related to Tribute shares, equity awards and certain warrants outstanding and (ii) \$22.5 million in repayments of Tribute indebtedness. In connection with the transaction, Pozen and Tribute were combined under and became subsidiaries of Aralez Pharmaceuticals Inc., with Pozen treated as the acquiring company for accounting purposes (the "Merger"). Pursuant to Rule 12g-3(a) under the Exchange Act, Aralez Pharmaceuticals Inc. is the successor issuer to Pozen. The Merger provides the combined company with increased financial strength and product portfolio diversity and is expected to meaningfully accelerate our operating strategies. Our results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016 include the results of operations of Tribute for the period from February 5, 2016 through September 30, 2016. Refer to Note 2, "Business Combinations and Acquisitions," in the accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements for additional information with respect to the acquisition of Tribute.

On September 6, 2016, Aralez Pharmaceuticals Trading DAC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Aralez ("Aralez Ireland"), acquired the U.S. and Canadian rights to ZONTIVITY (vorapaxar) pursuant to an asset purchase agreement with Schering-Plough (Ireland) Company, an Irish private unlimited company and an affiliate of Merck & Co., Inc. ("Merck"). ZONTIVITY represents an addition to our product portfolio in cardiovascular disease and is the first and only approved therapy shown to inhibit the protease-activated receptor-1 (PAR-1), the primary receptor for thrombin, which is considered to be the most potent activator of platelets. Our results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016 include the net revenues from sales of ZONTIVITY from its acquisition date.

On September 15, 2016, we announced that the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (“FDA”) approved YOSPRALA for the secondary prevention of cardiovascular and cerebrovascular events in patients at risk for aspirin-associated gastric ulcers. In connection with such approval, we expanded our U.S. sales force by 85 representatives to a total of 110 U.S. sales representatives and launched the commercialization of YOSPRALA in the U.S. on October 3, 2016.

On October 31, 2016, Aralez Ireland acquired the U.S. rights to Toprol-XL (metoprolol succinate) and the currently marketed authorized generic (the “Authorized Generic”) pursuant to an asset purchase agreement (the “Toprol Asset Purchase Agreement”) entered into between AstraZeneca AB (“AstraZeneca”), Aralez Ireland and Aralez Pharmaceuticals Inc. Toprol-XL is a cardioselective beta-blocker indicated for the treatment of hypertension, alone or in combination with other antihypertensives; the long term treatment of angina pectoris and treatment of stable, symptomatic (NYHA class II or III) heart failure of specific origins. Toprol-XL and the Authorized Generic further expands our cardiovascular portfolio.

Table of Contents

## Financial Highlights

The following table is a summary of our financial results for the periods presented:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
	(in thousands, except per share data)			
Revenues:				
Product revenues, net	\$ 8,058	\$ —	\$ 18,998	\$ —
Other revenues	5,570	5,820	15,265	15,425
Total revenues, net	13,628	5,820	34,263	15,425
Costs and expenses:				
Cost of product revenues (exclusive of amortization shown separately below)	3,362	—	9,260	—
Amortization of intangible assets	2,418	—	5,824	—
Selling, general and administrative	25,445	12,207	85,635	33,663
Research and development	2,037	1,806	7,923	5,091
Total costs and expenses	33,262	14,013	108,642	38,754
Loss from operations	(19,634)	(8,193)	(74,379)	(23,329)
Interest and other (expense) income, net	(668)	17	2,959	(154)
Provision for (benefit from) income taxes	297	(27)	442	974
Net loss	\$ (20,599)	\$ (8,149)	\$ (71,862)	\$ (24,457)
Basic net loss per common share	\$ (0.32)	\$ (0.25)	\$ (1.19)	\$ (0.75)
Diluted net loss per common share	\$ (0.32)	\$ (0.25)	\$ (1.26)	\$ (0.75)

## Business Strategy

Our management team has a strong track record of success in creating, leading and expanding specialty pharmaceutical companies with marketing and sales capabilities. Directed by this leadership and leveraging our competitive platform, our focus on acquiring high potential growth opportunities through aggressive business development and licensing and strategic transactions, and commercializing healthcare products to provide enhanced value to a range of stakeholders, is driven by the following primary strategies:

- Maximize value of expanded portfolio – We plan to continue our focus on execution of our commercialization strategy with the recent U.S. launch of YOSPRALA, promotion of Toprol-XL and the Authorized Generic and Fibracor® as well as preparation for the relaunch of ZONTIVITY by our U.S. sales force in 2017.

- Business development through selective acquisitions – We have completed numerous transactions to expand our portfolio offering. We plan to continue to pursue value-driven business development opportunities as they arise and enhance our product pipeline and expand our geographic footprint through strategically acquiring low-risk, revenue generating product candidates or approved products with growth potential, particularly in the cardiovascular and pain anchor areas, and also in other specialty therapeutic areas that we anticipate are or will become revenue generating and accretive.
- Leverage platform for growth – We intend to maintain a lean, nimble and performance-oriented operating model with strong financial discipline. Our well-capitalized financial profile provides us with ample liquidity to commercialize our products, including YOSPRALA, which was approved by the FDA in September 2016, ZONTIVITY, which we acquired in September 2016, and Toprol-XL, which we acquired in October 2016, and creates the opportunity for sustained long-term growth, both organically and through acquisitions, while also enabling us to have an ongoing focus on growing shareholder value.

Table of Contents

Marketed Products – U.S.

Fibracor® and Authorized Generic

Fibracor is indicated as a complementary therapy along with diet for the treatment of severe hypertriglyceridemia and as a complementary therapy along with diet to reduce elevated low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, total cholesterol, triglycerides, and apolipoprotein B, and to increase high-density lipoprotein cholesterol in patients with primary hypercholesterolemia or mixed dyslipidemia. We began promoting Fibracor in the United States during the second quarter of 2016 with a 25-person U.S. sales force, which has expanded to 110 sales professionals in September 2016 in connection with the FDA approval of YOSPRALA.

ZONTIVITY®

We acquired the U.S. rights to ZONTIVITY on September 6, 2016. ZONTIVITY is the first and only approved therapy shown to inhibit the protease-activated receptor-1 (PAR-1), the primary receptor for thrombin, which is considered to be the most potent activator of platelets. In the U.S., ZONTIVITY is indicated for the reduction of thrombotic cardiovascular events in patients with a history of heart attack (myocardial infarction) or in patients with narrowing of leg arteries, called peripheral arterial disease (PAD), and should be used in combination with daily aspirin and/or clopidogrel according to their indications or standard of care. We have commenced the commercial preparations for a relaunch of ZONTIVITY by our U.S. sales force in 2017. We are currently assessing our plans with respect to the commercialization of ZONTIVITY in Canada.

YOSPRALA™ (aspirin and omeprazole)

We received FDA approval for YOSPRALA on September 14, 2016 and began commercialization in the U.S. on October 3, 2016, with a 110-person sales force. YOSPRALA is the only prescription fixed-dose combination of aspirin, an anti-platelet agent, and omeprazole, a proton pump inhibitor (“PPI”) in the U.S. It is indicated for patients who require aspirin for secondary prevention of cardiovascular and cerebrovascular events and who are at risk of developing aspirin associated gastric ulcers. YOSPRALA is designed to support both cardio- and gastro-protection for at-risk patients through the proprietary Intelli-COAT™ system, which is formulated to sequentially deliver immediate-release omeprazole (40 mg) followed by a delayed-release, enteric-coated aspirin core in either 81 mg or 325 mg dose strengths.

The Company is responsible for 2 post-marketing requirements. One is an in-vitro study to examine the breakdown products of omeprazole at different pH levels. Pending the results of that study, the FDA has requested a pharmacokinetics study measuring the levels of these degradants in serum compared to enteric-coated omeprazole.

Marketed Products – Canada

Cambia®

Cambia (diclofenac potassium for oral solution) is a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug (“NSAID”) and the only prescription NSAID available and approved in Canada for the acute treatment of migraine attacks with or without aura in adults 18 years of age or older. Cambia was licensed from Nautilus Neurosciences, Inc. (“Nautilus”) in November 2010. Cambia was approved by Health Canada in March 2012 and was commercially launched to specialists in Canada in October 2012 and broadly to all primary care physicians in February 2013. Depomed, Inc. acquired Nautilus and the product rights to Cambia in December 2013.

Fiorinal®/Fiorinal® C

Fiorinal (acetylsalicylic acid, caffeine and butalbital tablets and capsules) and Fiorinal C (acetylsalicylic acid, caffeine, butalbital and codeine capsules) were acquired from Novartis AG and Novartis Pharma AG in October 2014. Fiorinal and Fiorinal C were originally approved by Health Canada in 1971 and 1970, respectively, for the relief of tension-type headaches.

## Table of Contents

Fiorinal is a fixed dose combination drug that combines the analgesic properties of acetylsalicylic acid, with the anxiolytic and muscle relaxant properties of butalbital, and the central nervous system stimulant properties of caffeine. Fiorinal C expands on the properties of Fiorinal with the additional analgesic effect of codeine. Fiorinal and Fiorinal C are the only prescription products in Canada indicated for relief of tension type headaches. Fiorinal and Fiorinal C are currently marketed in Canada in hard gelatin capsules containing 330mg acetylsalicylic acid, 40mg caffeine, 50mg butalbital, and in the case of Fiorinal C, the addition of 15mg or 30mg of codeine. Codeine and butalbital are both habit-forming and potentially abusable. Consequently, the extended use of Fiorinal or Fiorinal C is not recommended.

### Soriatane®

Soriatane (acitretin) is indicated for the treatment of severe psoriasis (including erythrodermic and pustular types) and other disorders of keratinization. Soriatane is a retinoid, an aromatic analog of vitamin A. Soriatane was approved in Canada in 1994 and is the first and only oral retinoid indicated for severe psoriasis. Soriatane is often used when milder forms of psoriasis treatments like topical steroids, emollients and topical tar-based therapies have failed. Soriatane is under license from Actavis Group PTC ehf (“Actavis”) and we have the exclusive rights to market Soriatane in Canada.

### Bezalip® SR

Bezalip SR (bezafibrate) is an established pan-peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor activator. Bezalip SR, used to treat hyperlipidemia (high cholesterol), has over 25 years of therapeutic use globally. Bezalip SR helps lower LDL-C and triglycerides while raising HDL-C levels. It also improves insulin sensitivity and reduces blood glucose levels, which in combination with the cholesterol effects may significantly lower the incidence of cardiovascular events and development of diabetes in patients with features of metabolic syndrome. Bezalip SR is contraindicated in patients with hepatic and renal impairment, pre-existing gallbladder disease, hypersensitivity to bezafibrate, or pregnancy or lactation.

Bezalip SR is under license from Actavis, and we have the exclusive rights to market Bezalip SR in Canada and the U.S. However, we currently only market Bezalip SR in Canada.

### Proferrin®

Proferrin (heme iron polypeptide) is an iron supplement used to prevent or treat those at risk of iron deficiency. Medical Futures Inc. (“MFI”) has the exclusive right to import and distribute Proferrin in Canada pursuant to a

distribution agreement with Colorado Biolabs, Inc.

#### Other Commercialized Products

In addition to the products discussed above, we also market NeoVisc® (sodium hyaluronic solution - 1%), Uracyst® (sodium chondroitin sulfate - 2%), Durela® (tramadol hydrochloride), Resultz® (isopropyl myristate), Collatamp® G (collagen- gentamycin) and a portfolio of eight products targeted in the gastroenterology and women's health markets in Canada.

#### Product Pipeline Updates

##### BLEXTENTM (bilastine)

Bilastine is a second generation antihistamine drug for the treatment of allergic rhinoconjunctivitis and urticaria (hives). Bilastine exerts its effect as a selective histamine H1 receptor antagonist, and has an effectiveness similar to cetirizine, fexofenadine and desloratadine. It was developed in Spain by FAES Farma, S.A. In April 2016, Health Canada approved bilastine with the trade name BLEXTEN (bilastine 20mg oral tablet) for the treatment of the symptoms of Seasonal Allergic Rhinitis and Chronic Spontaneous Urticaria (such as itchiness and hives). We estimate that the

Table of Contents

Canadian antihistamine market is currently valued at approximately C\$120 million per year. We are currently planning to launch the commercialization of BLEXTEN before the end of 2016.

Out-Licensed Products

VIMOVO®

VIMOVO (naproxen/esomeprazole magnesium) is the brand name for a proprietary fixed-dose combination of enteric-coated naproxen, a pain-relieving NSAID and immediate-release esomeprazole magnesium, a PPI, in a single delayed-release tablet and is a product in our PPI-NSAID platform. We developed VIMOVO in collaboration with AstraZeneca AB (“AstraZeneca”). On April 30, 2010, the FDA approved VIMOVO for the relief of the signs and symptoms of osteoarthritis, rheumatoid arthritis, and ankylosing spondylitis, and to decrease the risk of developing gastric ulcers in patients at risk of developing NSAID-associated gastric ulcers.

In 2010, we officially transferred to AstraZeneca the IND and NDA for the product. AstraZeneca is responsible for the commercialization of VIMOVO. In November 2013, AstraZeneca entered into an agreement for Horizon Pharma USA, Inc. (“Horizon”) to acquire the U.S. rights for VIMOVO. Under the terms of the agreement, we will continue to receive from Horizon a 10% royalty on net sales of VIMOVO sold in the United States, with guaranteed annual minimum royalty payments of \$7.5 million each year beginning in 2015, provided that the patents owned by us which cover VIMOVO are in effect and no generic forms of VIMOVO are on the market. AstraZeneca will continue to have rights to commercialize VIMOVO outside of the United States and paid us a royalty of 6% on all sales within its territory through 2015 and started paying us a royalty of 10% commencing in the first quarter of 2016 and thereafter.

Treximet®

Treximet (sumatriptan/naproxen sodium) is a migraine medicine that we developed in collaboration with Glaxo Group Limited, d/b/a GlaxoSmithKline (“GSK”). The product is formulated with our patented technology of combining a triptan, sumatriptan 85mg, with an NSAID, naproxen sodium 500mg, and GSK’s RT Technology™ in a single tablet designed for the acute treatment of migraine. In 2008, the FDA approved Treximet for the acute treatment of migraine attacks, with or without aura, in adults. Treximet is currently available in the United States only.

In 2008, we transferred the IND and NDA for the product to GSK, which subsequently sold its rights in Treximet, including the related trademark, to Pernix Therapeutics Holdings, Inc. (“Pernix”) in 2014. As part of GSK’s divestiture to

Pernix, restrictions on our right to develop and commercialize certain additional dosage forms of sumatriptan/naproxen combinations outside of the United States had been eliminated, allowing us to seek approval for these combinations on the basis of the approved NDA. GSK was previously, and Pernix is currently, responsible for the commercialization of Treximet in the United States, while we receive royalties based on net sales. In 2011, we sold to a financial investor, CPPIB Credit Investments Inc. (“CII”), for an upfront lump-sum, our rights to future royalty and milestone payments relating to Treximet sales in the United States and certain other products containing sumatriptan/naproxen sodium developed and sold by Pernix in the United States. By virtue of the agreement, we will also be entitled to receive a 20% interest in royalties, if any, paid on net sales of Treximet and such other products in the United States to CII relating to the period commencing in the second quarter of 2018.

Table of Contents

Results of Operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015

## Revenues

The following table sets forth net revenues for the periods presented:

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2016		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2016	
	2015	2015	2015	2015
	(in thousands)			
Revenues:				
Product revenues, net	\$ 8,058	\$ —	\$ 18,998	\$ —
Other revenues	5,570	5,820	15,265	15,425
Total revenues, net	\$ 13,628	\$ 5,820	\$ 34,263	\$ 15,425

## Product Revenues, net

Net product revenues of \$8.1 million and \$19.0 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016, respectively, relate to the product portfolio we acquired with the acquisition of Tribute on February 5, 2016 and primarily include revenues from sales of Bezalip, Fiorinal, Soriatane, Proferrin and Fibracor. There were no product revenues for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 as the acquisition of Tribute occurred in February 2016.

## Other Revenues

Other revenues were \$5.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2016, as compared to \$5.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2015. For the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, other revenues were \$15.3 million and \$15.4 million, respectively. Other revenues for the periods presented relate primarily to royalties earned on net sales of VIMOVO by our commercialization partners. Royalty revenues decreased as a result of a decrease in net sales in the U.S. resulting from lower net pricing by Horizon, partially offset by an increase in the royalty rate due to us on net sales of VIMOVO by AstraZeneca from 6% to 10% commencing in January 2016. Other revenues for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016, also include net sales of ZONTIVITY from September 6, 2016.

## Costs and Expenses

The following table sets forth costs and expenses for the periods presented:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
	(in thousands)			
Costs and expenses:				
Cost of product revenues (exclusive of amortization shown separately below)	\$ 3,362	\$ —	\$ 9,260	\$ —
Amortization of intangible assets	2,418	—	5,824	—
Selling, general and administrative	25,445	12,207	85,635	33,663
Research and development	2,037	1,806	7,923	5,091
Total costs and expenses	\$ 33,262	\$ 14,013	\$ 108,642	\$ 38,754

## Cost of Product Revenues

Cost of product revenues were \$3.4 million and \$9.3 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016, respectively. The nine months ended September 30, 2016, includes \$1.5 million of inventory fair value step-up amortization. There were no cost of product revenues for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, as the acquisition of Tribute occurred in February 2016. There are no cost of revenues related to our other revenues.

Table of Contents

Amortization of Intangible Assets

Amortization of acquired intangible assets is recognized ratably over the estimated useful life of the related assets acquired in the Merger and the acquisition of ZONTIVITY. Amortization expense of \$2.4 million and \$5.8 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016, respectively, included expenses incurred from February 5, 2016, the closing date of the Merger, with respect to assets acquired in the Merger, and from September 6, 2016, the closing date of the ZONTIVITY acquisition, with respect to the ZONTIVITY asset. There was no amortization of intangible assets for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses were \$25.4 million and \$12.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The \$13.2 million increase in SG&A expenses was primarily driven by: \$7.0 million of commercialization costs incurred in the U.S., including (i) \$4.4 million in promotional expenses, principally related to the planned launch of YOSPRALA, (ii) \$2.2 million related to the build out of the U.S. sales force, and (iii) \$0.4 million related to the build out of the commercial infrastructure; \$4.2 million of additional expenses to support our global corporate structure; \$3.3 million of expenses related to our Canadian operation; and a \$0.7 million increase in share-based compensation expense. These increases in expenses were partially offset by a decrease in transaction expenses of approximately \$2.0 million compared to the three months ended September 30, 2015.

Selling, general and administrative expenses were \$85.6 million and \$33.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The \$51.9 million increase in SG&A expenses was primarily driven by: \$18.1 million of commercialization costs incurred in the U.S., including (i) \$12.0 million in promotional expenses, principally related to YOSPRALA, (ii) \$4.0 million related to the build out of the U.S. sales force, and (iii) \$2.1 million related to the build out of the commercial infrastructure; \$12.0 million for excise tax equalization payments; \$11.2 million of costs incurred to support our global corporate structure; \$10.6 million of expenses related to our Canadian operation; a \$3.5 million increase in share-based compensation expense; and \$0.5 million of additional transaction fees. These increases in expenses were partially offset by a decrease in severance and retention expenses of approximately \$4.0 million compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2015.

Research and Development Expenses

Research and development expenses were \$2.0 million and \$1.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The increase in research and development for the three months ended September 30,

Edgar Filing: Aralez Pharmaceuticals Inc. - Form 10-Q

2016 compared to September 30, 2015 was primarily due to higher costs incurred for YOSPRALA, for which FDA approval was received in September 2016.

Research and development expenses were \$7.9 million and \$5.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The increase in research and development expenses during the nine months ended September 30, 2016 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2015 was principally related to higher costs incurred with the development of YOSPRALA, including the qualification of the new primary aspirin supplier of the active pharmaceutical ingredient.

Interest and Other (Expense) Income, net

The following table sets forth interest expense and other (expense) income, net for the periods presented:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
	(in thousands)			
Interest expense	\$ (495)	\$ —	\$ (1,395)	\$ —
Other (expense) income, net	(173)	17	4,354	(154)
Total interest and other (expense) income, net	\$ (668)	\$ 17	\$ 2,959	\$ (154)

## Table of Contents

### Interest Expense

Interest expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016 was \$0.5 million and \$1.4 million, respectively, primarily due to the issuance of \$75.0 million aggregate principal amount of our 2.5% senior secured convertible notes in February 2016. There was no interest expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015.

### Other (Expense) Income, net

Other expense, net for the three months ended September 30, 2016 was \$0.2 million principally related to a loss on foreign exchange. Other income, net for the nine months ended September 30, 2016, principally related to a \$4.7 million change in the fair value of the warrants liability acquired from Tribute during the period, partially offset by a \$0.5 million loss on foreign exchange. The decrease in the fair value of the warrants liability was primarily driven by the decrease in our share price, which is an input into the Black-Scholes valuation model used to estimate the fair value of the warrants as of September 30, 2016.

### Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Company's principal sources of liquidity are cash generated from the royalty payments received from our commercialization partners for net sales of VIMOVO, the operating income of the legacy Tribute business, sales of YOSPRALA, ZONTIVITY, and Toprol-XL and the Authorized Generic, and the financing executed on February 5, 2016. Our principal liquidity requirements are for working capital; operational expenses; commercialization activities for products, including YOSPRALA, ZONTIVITY, Toprol-XL and Fibricor, and product candidates; capital expenditures and debt service payments.

At September 30, 2016, we had \$56.5 million of cash and cash equivalents compared to \$24.8 million at December 31, 2015. In addition, on October 31, 2016, we drew \$25 million under the Second Amended and Restated Debt Facility Agreement (the "Facility Agreement"), which was executed in December 2015 among Aralez Pharmaceuticals Inc., Pozen, Tribute (the "Credit Parties") and certain lenders, to replenish the Company's cash balance for the initial upfront payment of \$25 million in cash previously paid at the closing of the ZONTIVITY acquisition, which cash will be used for our operating needs. We believe that we have sufficient cash and cash equivalents together with cash expected to be generated from operations, including royalty receipts, to fund our operations for at least the next twelve months, including (i) our launch of YOSPRALA, which commenced in October 2016, and relaunch of ZONTIVITY in 2017, (ii) the related build-out of the Aralez sales and marketing team, (iii) payment of contractual obligations, including any royalty payments and potential milestone payments that may become due, (iv) interest payments on our indebtedness, and (v) planned capital expenditures.

We expect to incur significant expenses in the future for the continued commercialization of our products, the launch of YOSPRALA, relaunch of ZONTIVITY and investments in other product opportunities and business development activities.

In connection with the Toprol-XL Asset Purchase Agreement, on October 3, 2016, the Credit Parties and the requisite lenders party to the Facility Agreement entered into a Limited Consent (the “Credit Agreement Consent”) pursuant to which such lenders consented to the Company entering into the Toprol-XL Asset Purchase Agreement and to the consummation of the transactions contemplated thereby. Pursuant to the Credit Agreement Consent, the lenders under the Facility Agreement agreed that they and/or affiliated funds will have available sufficient capital to make additional loans to Aralez in an aggregate amount of up to \$250 million for the payment of the purchase price of any acquisitions permitted by the terms of the Facility Agreement (as modified by the Credit Agreement Consent) with respect to target businesses mutually approved by, and as otherwise mutually agreed upon, by the Company and the lenders, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions set forth in the Facility Agreement. Any such loans (to the extent made available) may be borrowed in one or more advances at any time prior to April 3, 2018.

To the extent our capital resources are insufficient to meet future operating requirements or business development activities, we may need to raise additional capital, reduce planned expenditures, or incur indebtedness.

## Table of Contents

If we require additional financing in the future, we cannot assure you that it will be available to us on favorable terms, or at all, particularly if the credit and financial markets are constrained at the time we require funding.

### Borrowings and Other Liabilities

At September 30, 2016, we had \$75.0 million aggregate principal outstanding related to our 2.5% senior secured convertible notes due February 2022 (the “2022 Notes”) issued to certain lenders under the Facility Agreement.

In addition, under the terms of the Facility Agreement, Aralez was permitted to borrow from the lenders up to \$200 million under a credit facility until April 30, 2017 for permitted acquisitions. There were no outstanding borrowings under this credit facility as of September 30, 2016. However, on October 31, 2016, the Company borrowed \$200 million under such existing credit facility, \$175 million of which was used to fund the upfront cash closing payment for the acquisition of Toprol-XL and \$25 million of which was used to replenish the Company’s cash balance for the initial upfront payment of \$25 million in cash previously paid at the closing of the ZONTIVITY acquisition in September 2016. Amounts drawn under the credit facility bear an interest rate of 12.5% per annum, are to be repaid on the sixth anniversary of each draw, and shall be prepayable in whole or in part at any time following the end of the sixth month after the funding date of each draw. The Facility Agreement contains various representations and warranties, financial performance thresholds, and affirmative and negative covenants, customary for financings of this type, including, among other things, limitations on asset sales, mergers and acquisitions, indebtedness, liens and dividends.

On June 16, 2015, Tribute acquired MFI. As part of the consideration paid, Tribute issued a one-year unsecured convertible promissory note in the aggregate amount of C\$5.0 million (\$3.9 million) to the prior owner of MFI (“MFI Note”). The MFI Note was repaid in full along with accrued interest at its maturity date of June 16, 2016, for a total payment of approximately \$4.2 million.

See Note 8, “Debt,” and Note 13, “Subsequent Events,” in the accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements for additional information.

### Repurchases of Common Shares

From time to time, our Board of Directors may authorize us to repurchase our common shares, subject to compliance with our credit agreement. If and when our Board of Directors should determine to authorize any such action, it would

be on terms and under market conditions that the Board of Directors determines are in the best interest of Aralez and its shareholders. Any such repurchases could deplete some of our cash resources.

#### Cash Flows

#### Operating Activities

Net cash used in operating activities was \$68.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 compared to \$7.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2015. The increase in cash used in operating activities was primarily due to pre-commercialization expenses incurred for the launch of YOSPRALA and costs related to the build out and support of the global corporate infrastructure. In addition, net cash used in operating activities included expenses related to the acquisition of Tribute, including payments of transaction and product acquisition-related expenses of approximately \$12.9 million, excise tax equalization payments of \$12.0 million, and severance payments of \$5.2 million.

#### Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities was \$45.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 compared to net cash provided by investing activities of \$2.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2015. Net cash used in investing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2016, principally related to \$25.0 million of cash consideration paid to Merck as an initial upfront payment for the ZONTIVITY acquisition and \$17.9 million of cash

Table of Contents

consideration used to consummate the Merger, consisting of the repayment of Tribute indebtedness, net of cash acquired. For the nine months ended September 30, 2015, \$2.5 million was received for the sale of warrants.

Financing Activities

Net cash provided by financing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 was \$145.7 million compared to \$1.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2015. Net cash provided by financing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 included the receipt of \$75.0 million from the issuance of the 2022 Notes and \$75.0 million from the issuance of equity to certain investors, net of issuance costs of \$0.7 million, partially offset by \$3.9 million used for the repayment of the MFI Note.

Commitments and Contingencies

Legal Proceedings

See Note 11, “Commitments and Contingencies,” in the accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Contractual Obligations

The table below presents a summary of our contractual obligations at September 30, 2016 (in thousands):

	Total	Payments Due By Period			
		Through December 31,	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	More than 5 years
Contractual Obligations (1)		2016			
2022 Notes – principal (2)	\$ 75,000	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 75,000
2022 Notes – interest (2)	10,511	473	3,750	3,755	2,533
Operating lease obligations (3)	19,142	132	3,412	4,299	11,299
Other (4)	23,741	19,477	3,225	602	437

Edgar Filing: Aralez Pharmaceuticals Inc. - Form 10-Q

Total	\$ 128,394	\$ 20,082	\$ 10,387	\$ 8,656	\$ 89,269
-------	------------	-----------	-----------	----------	-----------

---

- (1) This table does not include potential future milestone payments, royalty or profit-share obligations to third parties under asset purchase, product development, license and other agreements to the extent that the timing and likelihood of such milestone payments are not known, and, in the case of royalty and profit-share obligations, if the amount of such obligations are not reasonably estimable, as discussed below.
  
- (2) The interest expense for the 2022 Notes includes the fixed-rate 2.5% per annum interest payable on the \$75.0 million principal outstanding as of September 30, 2016. The table above assumes no conversions prior to maturity.
  
- (3) Amounts represent lease obligations existing at September 30, 2016, primarily for office space. During the nine months ended September 30, 2016, we entered into lease agreements for our new global headquarters in Mississauga, Ontario, Canada, for our U.S. headquarters in Princeton, New Jersey, and for our Irish headquarters in Dublin, Ireland. The table above includes lease commitments for the full term of the leases under the respective agreements. The agreement for the Princeton, New Jersey, lease may be terminated after seven years in consideration of an early termination penalty equal to four months of rent.
  
- (4) Other consists of open purchase orders under agreements to purchase goods or services and non-cancelable commitments to third parties for minimum royalties payable and minimum purchase obligations under various license, distribution and manufacturing agreements.

We have various agreements with third-parties with contingent consideration and milestone payments that are potentially payable by or to us, as more fully described in Note 2, “Business Combinations and Acquisitions” and Note 3, “Business Agreements,” in the accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements. These payments are contingent upon achieving development, regulatory and/or sales-based milestones that may or may not ever

## Table of Contents

be achieved. Therefore, our requirement to make or receive such payments in the future or at all is highly uncertain. These agreements include:

- In connection with our acquisition of ZONTIVITY, we are obligated to pay certain milestone payments upon the occurrence of certain milestone events based on the annual aggregate net sales of ZONTIVITY, any combination product containing vorapaxar sulphate and one or more other active pharmaceutical ingredients or any line extension thereof, which in no event will exceed \$80 million in the aggregate, and certain royalty payments based on the annual aggregate net sales of ZONTIVITY, any combination product containing vorapaxar sulphate and one or more other active pharmaceutical ingredients or any line extension thereof.
  
- Under an exclusive license and supply agreement with Faes Farma, S.A. (“Faes”), a Spanish pharmaceutical company, we have the exclusive right to sell bilastine, a product for the treatment of allergic rhinitis and chronic idiopathic urticaria (hives) in Canada, which is now named BLEXTEN. We will owe up to \$1.7 million in sales-based milestone payments to Faes if certain sales targets are met.
  
- Under a license agreement with Nautilus Neurosciences, Inc. (“Nautilus”), we have the exclusive rights to develop, register, promote, manufacture, use, market, distribute and sell Cambia in Canada. Up to \$6.0 million in sales-based milestone payments may be payable over time.
  
- We have a product development and profit share agreement with Actavis Group PTC ehf (“Actavis”) to develop, obtain regulatory approval of and market Bezalip SR in the United States. We may owe a milestone payment of \$5.0 million to Actavis in the event that we pursue and obtain regulatory approval to market Bezalip SR in the U.S.

## Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

At September 30, 2016, we have not entered into any off-balance sheet arrangements, as defined by Item 303(a)(4) of Regulation S-K.

## Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

We prepare our condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, or GAAP. The preparation of consolidated financial statements requires estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. Actual results may differ from these estimates. The accounting policies that we believe are most critical to fully understand our condensed consolidated financial statements include those relating to: revenue recognition; intangible assets; income taxes; accounting for share-based compensation and fair value

measurements.

## Revenue Recognition

Principal sources of revenue are (i) product sales from the product portfolio acquired with our acquisition of Tribute, and (ii) royalty revenues from sales of VIMOVO by our commercialization partners. In all instances, revenue is recognized only when the price is fixed or determinable, persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred or services have been rendered, and collectibility of the resulting receivable is reasonably assured.

Revenues from the sale of products are recorded net of discounts, wholesaler fees, chargebacks, rebates, returns and allowances, and are recognized when legal title to the goods and risk of ownership has been passed to the customer. A customer's obligation to pay the Company for products is not contingent upon the resale of those products. We have a product returns policy on some of our products that allows the customer to return pharmaceutical products within a specified period of time both prior to and subsequent to the product's expiration date. Our estimate of the provision for returns is analyzed quarterly and is based upon many factors, including historical experience of actual returns and analysis of the level of inventory in the distribution channel, if any. We believe that the reserves we have established are reasonable based upon current facts and circumstances. Applying different judgments to the same facts and circumstances could result in the estimated amount for reserves to vary. If actual results vary with respect to our

## Table of Contents

reserves, we may need to adjust our estimates, which could have a material effect on our results of operations in the period of adjustment.

Other revenues include revenues from licensing arrangements with other biopharmaceutical companies, including milestones payments and royalties. Revenue from royalties is recognized when the Company has fulfilled the terms in accordance with contractual agreements and has no future obligation, and the amount of the royalty fee is determinable. Royalty revenue that is reasonably estimable and determinable is recognized based on estimates utilizing information reported to us by our commercialization partners. Other revenues also include revenues from sales of ZONTIVITY, acquired September 6, 2016, recognized net of related cost of product revenues and fees paid to an affiliate of Merck under a transition services agreement in effect for up to twelve months from the date of acquisition.

## Intangible Assets

### Goodwill

Goodwill relates to amounts that arose in connection with the acquisitions of Tribute and ZONTIVITY. Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the net assets acquired when accounted for using the acquisition method of accounting for business combinations. Goodwill is not amortized but is evaluated for impairment on an annual basis, in the fourth quarter, or more frequently if an event occurs or circumstances change that would more-likely-than-not reduce the fair value of the Company's reporting unit below its carrying amount.

### In-Process Research and Development ("IPR&D")

IPR&D acquired in a business combination is capitalized on the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheets at its acquisition-date fair value. Until the underlying project is completed, these assets are accounted for as indefinite-lived intangible assets and are subject to impairment testing. Once the project is completed, the carrying value of the IPR&D is amortized over the estimated useful life of the asset. Post-acquisition research and development expenses related to the IPR&D projects are expensed as incurred.

IPR&D is tested for impairment on an annual basis or more frequently if impairment indicators are present. If IPR&D becomes impaired, the carrying value of the IPR&D is written down to its revised fair value with the related impairment charge recognized in the period in which the impairment occurs. The valuation techniques utilized in performing the initial valuation of IPR&D or subsequent quantitative impairment tests incorporate significant assumptions and judgments to estimate the fair value.

## Other Intangible Assets

Other intangible assets consist of acquired technology rights. The Company amortizes its intangible assets using the straight-line method over their estimated economic lives. Costs to obtain, maintain and defend the Company's patents are expensed as incurred. We will evaluate the potential impairment of other intangible assets if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be fully recoverable or that the useful lives of these assets are no longer appropriate. Events giving rise to impairment are an inherent risk in our industry and many factors cannot be predicted. Factors that we consider in deciding when to perform an impairment review include significant changes in our forecasted projections for the asset or asset group for reasons including, but not limited to, significant under-performance of a product in relation to expectations, significant changes or planned changes in our use of the assets, significant negative industry or economic trends, and new or competing products that enter the marketplace. The impairment test is based on a comparison of the undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated from the use of the asset group and its eventual disposition to the carrying value of the asset group. If impairment is indicated, the asset is written down by the amount by which the carrying value of the asset exceeds the related fair value of the asset with the related impairment charge recognized within the statements of operations. Such impairment charges may be material to our results. The valuation techniques utilized in performing the initial valuation of other intangible assets or subsequent quantitative impairment tests incorporate significant assumptions and judgments to estimate the fair value. The use of different valuation techniques or assumptions could result in significantly different fair value estimates.

Table of Contents

Contingent Consideration

Certain of the Company's business acquisitions involve the potential for future payment of consideration that is contingent upon the achievement of operational and commercial milestones and royalty payments on future product sales. The fair value of contingent consideration liabilities is determined at the acquisition date using unobservable inputs. These inputs include the estimated amount and timing of projected cash flows, the probability of success (achievement of the contingent event) and the risk-adjusted discount rate used to present value the probability-weighted cash flows. Subsequent to the acquisition date, at each reporting period, the contingent consideration liability is remeasured at current fair value with changes recorded in earnings. Changes in any of the inputs may result in a significantly different fair value adjustment.

Income Taxes

We account for income taxes using the liability method in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC"), Topic 740, "Income Taxes" ("ASC 740"). Deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on temporary differences between financial reporting and tax basis assets and liabilities and are measured by applying enacted rates and laws to taxable years in which differences are expected to be recovered or settled. Further, the effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that the rate changes. A valuation allowance is required when it is "more-likely-than-not" that all or a portion of deferred tax assets will not be realized. Since our inception, we have incurred substantial cumulative losses and may incur substantial and recurring losses in future periods. The utilization of the loss carryforwards to reduce future income taxes will depend on our ability to generate sufficient taxable income prior to the expiration of the loss carryforwards. In addition, the maximum annual use of net operating loss and research credit carryforwards is limited in certain situations where changes occur in stock ownership.

Aralez files federal and state income tax returns, as applicable, with the tax authorities in various jurisdictions including Canada, Ireland and the U.S. Pozen is no longer subject to U.S. federal or North Carolina state income tax examinations by tax authorities for years before 2012. Tribute is no longer subject to Canadian income tax examinations by tax authorities for years before 2011. However, the loss and credit carryforwards generated by Pozen and Tribute may still be subject to change to the extent these losses and credits are utilized in a year that is subject to examination by tax authorities.

ASC 740 prescribes a comprehensive model for how a company should recognize, measure, present and disclose in its financial statements uncertain tax positions that the company has taken or expects to take on a tax return, including a decision whether to file or not file a return in a particular jurisdiction. Our financial statements reflect expected future tax consequences of such positions presuming the taxing authorities' full knowledge of the position and all relevant facts. We recognize any interest and penalties accrued related to unrecognized tax benefits as income tax expense.

## Share-Based Compensation

We expense the fair value of employee share-based compensation over the employees' service periods, which are generally the vesting period of the equity award. For awards with performance conditions granted, we recognize compensation cost over the expected period to achieve the performance conditions, provided achievement of the performance conditions are deemed probable. Awards with market-based conditions and service conditions are expensed over the service period regardless of whether achievement of the market condition is deemed probable or is ultimately achieved. Compensation expense is measured using the fair value of the award at the grant date, adjusted for estimated forfeitures.

In order to determine the fair value of option awards on the grant date, we use the Black-Scholes option pricing model. Inherent in this model are assumptions related to expected share price volatility, estimated option life, risk-free interest rate and dividend yield. Our expected share price volatility assumption is based on the historical volatility of our stock, which is obtained from public data sources. The expected life represents the weighted average period of time that share-based awards are expected to be outstanding giving consideration to vesting schedules, historical exercise patterns

## Table of Contents

and post-vesting cancellations for terminated employees that have been exhibited historically, adjusted for specific factors that may influence future exercise patterns. The risk-free interest rate is based on factual data derived from public sources. We use a dividend yield of zero as we have no intention to pay cash dividends in the foreseeable future. For performance-based awards with market conditions, the Company used a Monte Carlo simulation model to determine the fair value of awards as of the grant date.

We estimate forfeitures based on our historical experience of pre-vesting cancellations for terminated employees. Our estimated forfeiture rate is applied to all equity awards, which includes option awards and restricted stock units, including performance restricted stock units. We believe that our estimates are based on outcomes that are reasonably likely to occur. To the extent actual forfeitures differ from our estimates, such amounts will be recorded as a cumulative adjustment in the period estimates are revised.

Determining the appropriate amount to expense for performance-based awards based on the achievement of stated goals requires judgment, including forecasting future performance results. The estimate of expense is revised periodically based on the probability of achieving the required performance targets and adjustments are made as appropriate. The cumulative impact of any revisions is reflected in the period of change. If any applicable financial performance goals are not met, no compensation cost is recognized and any previously recognized compensation cost is reversed.

## Fair Value Measurements

The accounting standard for fair value measurements defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accordance with GAAP, and requires detailed disclosures about fair value measurements. Under this standard, fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The valuation techniques are based on observable and unobservable inputs. Observable inputs reflect readily obtainable data from independent sources, while unobservable inputs reflect certain market assumptions. This standard classifies these inputs into the following hierarchy:

- Level 1 Inputs — Quoted prices for identical instruments in active markets.
- Level 2 Inputs — Quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active; and model-derived valuations whose inputs are observable or whose significant value drivers are observable.
- Level 3 Inputs — Instruments with primarily unobservable value drivers.

The fair value hierarchy level is determined by asset class based on the lowest level of significant input. In periods of market inactivity, the observability of prices and inputs may be reduced for certain instruments. This condition could cause an instrument to be reclassified between levels.

The carrying amounts of our cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, net, accounts payable and accrued expenses approximate their fair value due to the short-term nature of these amounts. The warrants liability and contingent consideration are our only liabilities carried at fair value, and we utilized Level 3 inputs to estimate fair value. The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of our warrants liability, which uses a Black-Scholes valuation model, include the volatility of our common stock and the expected term. The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of our contingent consideration liability include the estimated amount and timing of projected cash flows, the probability of success (achievement of the contingent event) and the risk-adjusted discount rate used to present value the probability-weighted cash flows. The use of different inputs could result in materially different fair value estimates.

#### Recent Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 1, “Organization, Basis of Presentation and Accounting Policies”, in the accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements within Item 1 of Part I in this report, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

There has been no material change in our exposure to market risk since December 31, 2015. For discussion of our market risk exposure, refer to Item 7A., “Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk,” in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and with applicable Canadian securities regulators on SEDAR on March 15, 2016.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures designed to ensure that we are able to collect the information we are required to disclose in the reports we file with the SEC and to process, summarize and disclose this information within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the SEC. Based on the evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in the Exchange Act, Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) as of September 30, 2016, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that such disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our periodic reports filed under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure and is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified by the SEC’s rules and forms.

On February 5, 2016, we acquired Tribute Pharmaceuticals Canada Inc. (“Tribute”). See Note 2, “Business Combinations and Acquisitions,” in the accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements for additional information regarding the acquisition. We are in the process of integrating policies, processes, people, technology and operations for the consolidated company, and we will continue to evaluate the impact of any related changes to our internal control over financial reporting. We are also evaluating whether we will exclude Tribute from our evaluation of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting for the year ending December 31, 2016. There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended September 30, 2016, that has materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II. Other Information

ITEM 1.LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

See Note 11, “Commitments and Contingencies,” in the accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements within Item 1 of Part I in this report, which is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 1A.RISK FACTORS

Certain factors may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations, and you should carefully consider them. Accordingly, in evaluating our business, we encourage you to consider the following discussion of risk factors in its entirety, in addition to other information contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, as well as our other public filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) and securities regulatory authorities in Canada. The risks and uncertainties described below are those we currently believe to be material, but they are not the only ones we face. If any of the following risks, or any other risks and uncertainties that we have not yet identified or that we currently consider not to be material, actually occur or become material risks, our business and financial condition could be materially and adversely affected. Unless context indicates otherwise, when we refer to “we,” “us,” “our,” “Aralez,” or the “Company” herein, we are referring to Aralez Pharmaceuticals Inc. together with its wholly-owned subsidiaries.

Risks Related to Our Business

Our ability to generate revenues from our products is subject to attaining significant market acceptance among physicians, patients, third-party payors and the medical community.

Our current products, and other products or product candidates that we may develop, acquire or in-license, may not attain market acceptance among physicians, patients, third-party payors or the medical community. Even if a product displays a favorable efficacy and safety profile in clinical trials, market acceptance of a product will not be known until after it is launched or relaunched and a product may not generate the revenues that we anticipate. The degree of market acceptance will depend upon a number of factors, including:

- the acceptance by primary care doctors and other medical specialists of our products as an alternative to other therapies;
- the receipt and timing of regulatory approvals;
- the timing of market introduction of our products as well as competitive drugs;
- the availability of coverage and adequate reimbursement and pricing from government and other third-party payors;
- the price of our products, both in absolute terms and relative to alternative therapies;
- the indications for which the product is approved;
- the rate of adoption by healthcare providers;
- the rate of product acceptance by target patient populations;
- the availability of alternative therapies;

Edgar Filing: Aralez Pharmaceuticals Inc. - Form 10-Q

- the extent and effectiveness of marketing efforts by our collaborators, third-party distributors and agents;
- the strength of sales, marketing and distribution support;
- the existence of adverse publicity regarding our products or similar products and the pricing of pharmaceutical products generally;
- historical experience with a product or similar products and market perception of a product or similar products;

51

---

Table of Contents

- the efficacy of our products compared to alternative therapies; and
- the extent and severity of side effects as compared to alternative therapies.

Risks related to the factors above are particularly relevant to our new product acquisitions, including ZONTIVITY® and Toprol-XL®, and our new product launches, including YOSPRALATM and BlextenTM (targeted to be launched in Canada before the end of 2016). The commencement of commercialization of these products by Aralez in a short period of time will require significant efforts from us and the devotion of substantial resources as we will need to, among other things, establish the commercial infrastructure necessary to support these products. With respect to YOSPRALA, our commercial organization is launching a new product to the market. With respect to ZONTIVITY, the product was previously launched and existing market perception may make it challenging for Aralez to successfully relaunch and commercialize the product.

If we make strategic acquisitions, we will incur a variety of costs and may fail to realize all of the anticipated benefits of the transactions or those benefits may take longer to realize than expected. We may be unable to identify, acquire, close or integrate acquisition targets successfully.

A significant part of our business strategy includes acquiring and integrating complementary businesses, products, technologies or other assets, and forming strategic alliances and other business combinations, to help drive future growth. We may also in-license new products or compounds. Acquisitions or similar arrangements may be complex, time-consuming and expensive, and the process of negotiating the acquisition and integrating an acquired product, drug candidate, technology, business or company might result in operating difficulties and expenditures and might require significant management attention that would otherwise be available for ongoing development of our business, whether or not any such transaction is ever consummated. Moreover, we may never realize the anticipated benefits of any acquisition or forecasted sales may not materialize.

In addition, there are a number of risks and uncertainties relating to our closing transactions. If such transactions are not completed for any reason, we will be subject to several risks, including the following: (i) the market price of our common shares may reflect a market assumption that such transactions will occur, and a failure to complete such transactions could result in a negative perception by the market of us generally and a decline in the market price of our common shares; and (ii) many costs relating to the such transactions may be payable by us whether or not such transactions are completed, which costs may be significant.

If an acquisition is consummated, the integration of the acquired business, product or other assets into the Company may also be complex and time-consuming and, if such businesses, products and assets are not successfully integrated, we may not achieve the anticipated benefits, cost-savings or growth opportunities. Potential difficulties that may be encountered in the integration process include the following: integrating personnel, operations, manufacturing technology and systems, while maintaining focus on selling and promoting existing and newly-acquired products; coordinating geographically dispersed organizations; distracting management and employees from operations; retaining existing customers and attracting new customers; maintaining the business relationships the acquired company, or the company that previously owned such product, has established, including with healthcare providers, third-party payors and distributors; and managing inefficiencies associated with integrating the operations of the Company.

Furthermore, we have incurred, and may incur in the future, restructuring and integration costs and a number of non-recurring transaction costs associated with these acquisitions, combining the operations of the Company and the acquired business and achieving desired synergies. These fees and costs may be substantial. Non-recurring transaction costs include, but are not limited to, fees paid to legal, financial, regulatory, manufacturing and accounting advisors, filing fees, transfer and other transaction-related taxes and printing costs. Additional unanticipated costs may be incurred in the integration of the businesses of the Company and the acquired business. There can be no assurance that the elimination of certain duplicative costs, as well as the realization of other efficiencies related to the integration of

the acquired business, will offset the incremental transaction-related costs over time. Therefore, any net benefit may not be achieved in the near term, the long term or at all.

Finally, these acquisitions and other arrangements, even if successfully integrated, may fail to further our business strategy as anticipated or to achieve anticipated benefits and success, expose us to increased competition or challenges with respect to our products or geographic markets, and expose us to additional liabilities associated with an acquired business, product, technology or other asset or arrangement. Any one of these challenges or risks could impair our ability to realize any benefit from our acquisition or arrangement after we have expended resources on them.

## Table of Contents

For example, in February 2016, we completed the acquisition of Tribute Pharmaceuticals Canada Inc. (“Tribute”), in September 2016, we completed the acquisition of the U.S. and Canadian rights to ZONTIVITY, and in October 2016, we completed the acquisition of the U.S. rights to Toprol-XL and the currently marketed authorized generic (the “Authorized Generic”). Such acquisitions represent significant acquisitions for the Company and may expose us to a number of the risks identified above. We may face difficulties in connection with the integration of such businesses with the Company, which integration activities may be complex, time-consuming and disruptive to the operation of our business generally. In particular, as part of our acquisition of the rights to Toprol-XL and ZONTIVITY, AstraZeneca AB (“AstraZeneca”) and Schering-Plough (Ireland) Company, an Irish private unlimited company and an affiliate of Merck & Co., Inc. (“Merck”), respectively, have agreed to provide us with critical transition services, including services related to supply, technology and packaging, market access and reimbursement, sales and distribution, and certain finance and financial reporting services. We will need to work collaboratively with AstraZeneca and Merck to ensure that such services are provided in an effective and timely manner. We have limited ability to control the amount or timing of resources that AstraZeneca and Merck devote to such services. If AstraZeneca and/or Merck fail to devote sufficient time and resources to conducting such services, perform such services in a substandard manner, materially breach their obligations to conduct such services or undergo a change of control, it will delay or hinder our ability to successfully commercialize Toprol-XL and/or ZONTIVITY. In addition, the costs incurred in connection with integration activities may be more substantial than we anticipated and, as a result, may significantly reduce or even outweigh any benefits and efficiencies realized during our integration efforts.

We may also face challenges transferring the assets, such as contracts, regulatory requirements and technology, to the extent applicable, associated with such acquired businesses. In addition, we may not be successful in our commercialization efforts with respect to such businesses or face increased competition with respect to the acquired products and, as a result, we may not be able to achieve all of the anticipated benefits of such transactions. Any of these factors could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations or could decrease or delay the expected accretive effect of such transactions or cause the market value of our common shares to decline.

Failure to successfully acquire, license or develop and market additional product candidates or approved products would impair our ability to grow.

As part of our growth strategy, we intend to acquire, license or develop and market additional products and product candidates. We are pursuing various therapeutic opportunities through our pipeline. The product candidates to which we allocate our resources may not end up being successful. In addition, because our internal research capabilities are limited, we may depend upon pharmaceutical, biotechnology and other researchers to sell or license products or technology to us. The success of this strategy depends partly upon our ability to identify, select, license and/or acquire promising pharmaceutical or other healthcare product candidates and products for Canada, the United States and elsewhere. Failure of this strategy would impair our ability to grow.

The process of proposing, negotiating and implementing a license or acquisition of a product candidate or approved product is lengthy and complex. Other companies, including some with substantially greater financial, marketing and sales resources, may compete with us for the license or acquisition of product candidates and approved products. We may devote resources to potential acquisitions or in-licensing opportunities that are never completed, or we may fail to realize the anticipated benefits of such efforts. We may not be able to acquire the rights to additional product candidates or approved products on terms that we find acceptable, or at all.

In addition, future acquisitions may entail numerous operational and financial risks, including:

- exposure to unknown liabilities;

disruption of our business and diversion of management's time and attention to develop acquired products or technologies;

- incurrence of substantial debt, dilutive issuances of securities or depletion of cash to pay for acquisitions;
- higher than expected acquisition and integration costs;
- difficulty in combining the operations and personnel of any acquired businesses with our operations and personnel;

Table of Contents

- increased amortization expenses;
- failure of the acquired business to achieve expected financial results;
- increased or unexpected competition with respect to the acquired business;
- impairment of relationships with key suppliers or customers of any acquired businesses due to changes in management and ownership; and
- inability to motivate key employees of any acquired businesses.

Further, any unapproved product candidate that we acquire may require additional development efforts prior to commercial sale, including extensive clinical testing and approval by applicable regulatory authorities. All product candidates are prone to risks of failure typical of pharmaceutical product development, including the possibility that a product candidate will not be shown to be sufficiently safe and effective for approval by applicable regulatory authorities.

We currently depend and will in the future depend on third parties to manufacture our products and product candidates. If these manufacturers fail to meet our requirements or any regulatory requirements, the product development and commercialization of our products and candidates will be delayed.

We do not have, and have no plans to develop, the internal capability to manufacture our products or product candidates. We rely upon third-party manufacturers and our partners to supply us with the commercial and developmental supplies of our products and product candidates. For example, we have a supply agreement with Patheon Pharmaceuticals Inc. (“Patheon”), pursuant to which Patheon manufactures our requirements for the sale of YOSPRALA in the United States. In connection with the acquisition of ZONTIVITY, Merck agreed to supply the product to us for a period of up to three years post-closing, after which we must establish a new manufacturer for the product. In addition, with respect to the acquisition of Toprol-XL and the Authorized Generic, AstraZeneca agreed to supply such products to us for a period of at least 10 years following the closing of such acquisition. The manufacturing facilities of our third party manufacturers may be inspected from time to time and need to be found to be in full compliance with Good Manufacturing Practices (“cGMP”), quality system management requirements or similar standards, and we may not be able to ensure that such third parties comply with these obligations. The failure of our contract manufacturers to comply with cGMP regulations, quality system management requirements or similar regulations could result in enforcement action by the Food and Drug Administration (“FDA”) or its foreign counterparts, including, but not limited to, warning letters, fines, injunctions, civil or criminal penalties, recall or seizure of products, total or partial suspension of production or importation, suspension or withdrawal of regulatory approval for approved or in-market products, refusal of the government to renew marketing applications, licenses or approve pending applications or supplements, suspension of ongoing clinical trials, imposition of new manufacturing requirements, closure of facilities and criminal prosecution. These enforcement actions could lead to a delay or suspension in production. Furthermore, the failure of our ingredient or material suppliers to comply with regulatory requirements can impact our ability to supply the market with our products. For example, in connection with the approval process for YOSPRALA, our initial primary aspirin active pharmaceutical ingredient (“API”) supplier had informed us that it received warning letters from the FDA relating to Form 483 inspection deficiencies and as a result we designated our previously designated secondary aspirin API supplier as our primary supplier and approval of YOSPRALA was significantly delayed.

There is no guarantee that manufacturers and API or other material suppliers that enter into commercial supply contracts with us will be financially viable entities going forward, or will not otherwise breach or terminate their agreements with us. If we do not have the necessary commercial supply contracts, or if any of our current or future third party manufacturers or API suppliers are unable to satisfy our requirements or meet any regulatory requirements, and we are or will be required to find alternative sources of supply, there may be additional costs and delays in product development and commercialization of our product candidates or we may be required to comply with additional regulatory requirements.

In the event that suppliers of a product, ingredient or any materials we need to manufacture or package our products or licensed products are not available or not for sale at the time we need such ingredient or material in order to meet our required delivery schedule or on commercially reasonable terms, then we could be at risk of a product shortage or stock-out. We rely on our suppliers in many cases to ensure the adequate supply of ingredients, APIs and packaging material and for the timely delivery of orders placed by us. Should we experience a shortage in supply of a product,

54

---

Table of Contents

licensed product, or API, any material sales of such product or licensed product could be harmed or reduced and our ability to generate revenues from such product or licensed product may be impaired.

Certain of our products may never be approved for commercial use in all desired jurisdictions. Failure to successfully commercialize our products or develop, gain approval of or commercialize our product candidates would adversely impact our financial condition and prospects.

We anticipate that an important component of our success will depend on the successful commercialization of our products upon regulatory approval in territories where our products are not approved, such as YOSPRALA in Europe and Canada and MT400 in Canada. Before we can market and sell our products in a particular jurisdiction, we need to obtain necessary regulatory approvals (from the FDA in the United States, Health Canada in Canada and from similar foreign regulatory agencies in other jurisdictions), and in some jurisdictions, reimbursement authorization. There are no guarantees that we or our commercialization partners will obtain approval in those countries where we wish to commercialize our products. Even if we or our commercialization partners obtain additional regulatory approvals, we may never generate significant revenues from any commercial sales of our products. These approvals may not be granted on a timely basis, if at all. Nor can any assurance be given that if such approval is secured, the approved labeling will not have significant labeling limitations, including limitations on the indications for which we can market a product, or require onerous risk management programs. Further, our current or future collaboration agreements may terminate, or require us to make certain payments to our collaborators, or our collaborators may have the right to terminate their agreements with us or reduce or eliminate their payments to us under these agreements, based on our inability to obtain, or delays in obtaining, regulatory approval for our product candidates. If we fail to successfully commercialize our current and future products, we may be unable to generate sufficient revenues to sustain and grow our business, and our business, financial condition and results of operations will be adversely affected.

In addition, if our development projects are not successful or are significantly delayed, we may not recover our substantial investments in the product candidates and our failure to bring these product candidates to market on a timely basis, or at all, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations and could cause the market value of our common shares to decline.

Certain of our products have a limited shelf life which could result in costs associated with inventory which exceeds the appropriate age limits.

Certain of our products have a limited shelf life. Accordingly, product which exceeds the appropriate age limits may not be sold, may result in product returns and must be destroyed, which would have an adverse financial impact associated with the cost of writing off obsolete inventory.

We continue to evaluate the commercial opportunities for our current products and product candidates in connection with our development of a worldwide commercialization strategy. If we are unable to develop sales and marketing capabilities on our own, or through partnerships, we will not be able to fully exploit the commercial potential of our products and the costs of pursuing such a strategy may have a material adverse impact on our results of operations.

We continue to evaluate the commercial opportunities for our products and product candidates in connection with our development of a worldwide commercialization strategy. In June 2015, our Board of Directors appointed Adrian Adams as our new Chief Executive Officer and Andrew I. Koven as our new President and Chief Business Officer, each of whom has experience creating, leading and expanding pharmaceutical companies with marketing and sales capabilities. We have made significant expenditures to secure commercial resources to launch YOSPRALA in the United States and commercialize other existing products and anticipate that we will continue to make significant expenditures related to the commercialization of our current products or products we may acquire and to expand or enhance our marketing capabilities to support our anticipated growth. Any failure or extended delay in the expansion

or enhancement of our sales and marketing capabilities or inability to effectively operate in the marketplace alone or together with our partners could adversely impact our business. There can be no assurance that our sales and marketing efforts will generate significant revenues and costs of pursuing such a strategy may have a material adverse impact on our results of operations. Events or factors that may inhibit or hinder our commercialization efforts include:

- building and developing our own commercial team or playing a role in the commercialization with a partner will be expensive and time-consuming and will result in high cash burn or reduced profitability;

Table of Contents

- failure to acquire sufficient or suitable personnel to establish, oversee, or implement our commercialization strategy;
  - failure to recruit, train, oversee and retain adequate numbers of effective sales and marketing personnel;
  - failure to develop a commercial strategy ourselves or together with partners that can effectively reach and persuade adequate numbers of physicians to prescribe our products;
    - our or our partners' inability to secure reimbursement at a reasonable price;
  - unforeseen costs and expenses associated with creating or acquiring and sustaining an independent commercial organization;
  - incurrence of costs in advance of anticipated revenues and subsequent failure to generate sufficient revenue to offset additional costs; and
  - ability to fund our commercialization efforts alone or together with our partners on terms acceptable to us, if at all.
- If we are unable to effectively train and equip our sales force, our ability to successfully commercialize our products will be harmed.

We are required to expend significant time and resources to train our sales force to be credible, compliant and persuasive in educating physicians to prescribe and pharmacists to dispense our products. In addition, we must train our sales force to ensure that a consistent and appropriate message about our products is being delivered to our potential customers. Our sales representatives may also experience challenges promoting multiple products when they call on physicians and their office staff. This is particularly true with respect to our products that have competing products prescribed to similar patients. If we are unable to effectively train our sales force and equip them with effective materials, including medical and sales literature to help them inform and educate potential customers about the benefits of our products and their proper administration and approved indications, our efforts to successfully commercialize our products could be put in jeopardy, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, share price and operations.

Our reliance on collaborations with third parties to develop, manufacture and commercialize our development products is subject to inherent risks and may result in delays in product development and lost or reduced revenues, restricting our ability to commercialize our products and adversely affecting our profitability.

We depend upon collaborations with third parties to develop, manufacture and/or supply our products and, in some cases, we depend substantially upon third parties to commercialize these products. As a result, our ability to develop, obtain regulatory approval of, manufacture and commercialize our existing and possibly future products and product candidates depends upon our ability to maintain existing, and enter into and maintain new, contractual and collaborative arrangements with others. We also engage and/or may in the future engage third party manufacturers and clinical trial investigators.

In addition, the identification of new compounds or product candidates for development has led us in the past, and may continue to require us, to enter into license or other collaborative agreements with others, including pharmaceutical companies and research institutions. Such collaborative agreements for the acquisition of new compounds or product candidates would typically require us to pay license fees, make milestone payments and/or pay royalties. For products we out-license, these agreements may result in our revenues being lower than if we developed our product candidates ourselves and in our loss of control over the development of our product candidates.

Contractors or collaborators may have the right to terminate their agreements with us after a specified notice period for any reason or upon a default by us. For example, AstraZeneca and Horizon Pharma USA, Inc. ("Horizon"), with respect to VIMOVO®, and Pernix Therapeutics Holdings, Inc. ("Pernix"), with respect to Treximet®, have the right to terminate their respective agreements with us upon a 90-day notice for any reason. Contractors or collaborators may have the right to reduce their payments to us under their agreements. For example, Pernix, with respect to Treximet, and AstraZeneca and Horizon, with respect to VIMOVO, have the right to reduce the royalties on net sales of products

payable to us under their respective agreements if generic competitors enter the market and attain a pre-determined share of the market for products marketed under the agreements, or if they must pay a royalty to one or more third parties for

56

---

## Table of Contents

rights they license from those third parties to commercialize products marketed under the agreements. Further, our current or future collaboration agreements may terminate, or our collaborators may have the right to terminate their agreements with us or reduce or eliminate their payments to us under these agreements, based on our inability to obtain, or delays in obtaining, regulatory approval for our product candidates or our contract manufacturers' inability to manufacture our products or to supply the sufficient quantities of our products to meet market demand. If our current or future collaborators exercise termination rights they may have, or if the agreements terminate because of delays in obtaining regulatory approvals, or for other reasons, and we are not able to establish replacement or additional research and development collaborations or licensing arrangements, we may not be able to develop and/or commercialize our product candidates. Moreover, any future collaborations or license arrangements we may enter into may not be on terms favorable to us.

Collaborators may decide not to continue marketing our products in certain countries of the territory, as was the case when AstraZeneca informed us that, after a strategic business review, it had decided to cease promotion and sampling of VIMOVO by the end of the third quarter of 2013 in certain countries, including the United States and all countries in Europe, other than Spain and Portugal, which have pre-existing contractual relationships with third parties. In addition, collaborators may decide to assign their rights under our agreement to third parties. For example, we had a collaboration agreement with Glaxo Group Limited, d/b/a GlaxoSmithKline ("GSK") for the development and commercialization of certain triptan combinations using our MT 400 technology, including Treximet, in the United States, and GSK subsequently divested all of its rights, title and interest to develop, commercialize and sell the licensed products in the United States to Pernix.

Other risks associated with our collaborative and contractual arrangements with others include the following:

- we may not have day-to-day control over the activities of our contractors or collaborators;
- our collaborators may fail to defend or enforce patents they own on compounds or technologies that are incorporated into the products we develop with them;
- third parties may not fulfill their regulatory or other obligations;
- we may not realize the contemplated or expected benefits from collaborative or other arrangements;
- if any collaborator were to breach its agreement with us or otherwise fail to conduct collaborative activities in a timely or successful manner, the pre-clinical or clinical development or commercialization of the affected product candidate or research program would be delayed or terminated;
- our collaborators may be able to exercise control, under certain circumstances, over our ability to protect our patent rights under patents covered by the applicable collaboration agreement; and
- disagreements may arise regarding a breach of the arrangement, the interpretation of the agreement, ownership of proprietary rights, clinical results or regulatory approvals.

These factors could lead to delays in the development of our product candidates and/or the commercialization of our products or reduction in the milestone and/or royalty payments we receive from our collaborators, or could result in our not being able to commercialize our products. Further, disagreements with our contractors or collaborators could require or result in litigation or arbitration, which would be time-consuming and expensive. Our ultimate success may depend upon the success and performance on the part of these third parties. If we fail to maintain these relationships or establish new relationships as required, development of our product candidates and/or the commercialization of our products will be delayed or may never be realized.

We are dependent upon a small number of customers for a significant portion of our revenue, and the loss of or significant reduction in sales to these customers would adversely affect our results of operations.

We sell our products in the United States and Canada to a limited number of distributors. Under this distribution model, the distributors generally take physical delivery of product and generally sell the product directly to pharmacies or patients. In addition, certain of our products may be highly dependent on a small number of

customers. We expect this significant distributor/customer concentration to continue for the foreseeable future. Our ability to generate and grow sales of our products will depend, in part, on the extent to which our distributors are able to provide adequate distribution of our products. Although we believe we can find additional distributors, if necessary, our revenue during any period of

57

---

## Table of Contents

disruption could suffer and we might incur additional costs. In addition, these customers are responsible for a significant portion of our net trade accounts receivable balances. The loss of any large customer, a significant reduction in sales we make to them, any cancellation of orders they have made with us, or any failure to pay for the products we have shipped to them could adversely affect our results of operations.

We may not be able to compete with treatments now being developed and marketed, or which may be developed and marketed in the future by other companies.

Our products and product candidates will compete with existing and new therapies and treatments. There are also likely to be numerous competitors that are engaged in the development of alternatives to our technologies and products, which could render our products, product candidates and technologies obsolete or non-competitive. For example, our primary competitors will likely include large pharmaceutical companies, biotechnology companies, universities and public and private research institutions. Some of these companies have greater research and development capabilities, experience, manufacturing, marketing, financial and managerial resources than we do. Collaborations or mergers between large pharmaceutical or biotechnology companies with competing drugs and technologies could enhance our competitors' financial, marketing and other resources. Accordingly, our competitors may succeed in developing competing drugs or technologies, obtaining patent protection, obtaining regulatory approval for products, commercializing products or gaining market acceptance more rapidly than we can. Any delays we encounter in obtaining regulatory approvals for our product candidates increases this risk.

The competition for VIMOVO, and any other proton pump inhibitor ("PPI") – non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug ("NSAID") products that may be developed and receive regulatory approval, may come from the oral NSAID market, specifically the traditional non-selective NSAIDs (such as naproxen and diclofenac), traditional NSAID/gastroprotective agent combination products or combination product packages (such as Arthrotec® and Prevacid® NapraPAC™), combinations of NSAIDs and PPIs taken as separate pills and the only remaining COX-2 inhibitor, Celebrex®. The competition for PPI-aspirin ("PA") products, such as YOSPRALA, may come from aspirin itself, other aspirin-combination products that may be introduced, as well as other products used for secondary prevention. Toprol-XL and the Authorized Generic compete against several generic offerings for metoprolol succinate. ZONTIVITY competes with certain products referred to as oral anti-platelets, which market is dominated by Plavix® and generic offerings for clopidogrel bisulfate. There are also two newer, competitive anti-platelet offerings in this class: Effient® and Brilinta®.

Based upon their drug product and pipeline portfolios and the overall competitiveness of our industry, we believe that we face, and will continue to face, intense competition from other companies for securing collaborations with pharmaceutical companies, establishing relationships with academic and research institutions, and acquiring licenses to proprietary technology. Our competitors, either alone or with collaborative parties, may also succeed with technologies or products that are more effective than any of our current or future technologies or products. Many of our actual or potential competitors, either alone or together with collaborative parties, have substantially greater financial resources, and almost all of our competitors have larger numbers of scientific and administrative personnel than we do. If we cannot successfully compete with new or existing products, our marketing and sales will suffer and we may not ever receive any revenues from sales of products or may not receive sufficient revenues to achieve profitability.

For certain of our products, we depend on reimbursement from third-party payors and a failure to obtain coverage or reduction in the extent of reimbursement could reduce our product sales and revenue.

Sales of certain of our products, including those recently acquired (e.g., Toprol-XL and ZONTIVITY), are dependent, in part, on the availability and extent of reimbursement from government health administration authorities, private health insurers and other organizations and our continued participation in such programs. These entities may refuse to

provide coverage and reimbursement, determine to provide a lower level of coverage and reimbursement than anticipated, or reduce previously approved levels of coverage and reimbursement, including in the form of higher mandatory rebates or modified pricing terms.

In certain countries, including Canada, where we sell or are seeking or may seek to commercialize our products, pricing, coverage and level of reimbursement of prescription drugs are subject to governmental control. We may be unable to timely or successfully negotiate coverage, pricing, and reimbursement on terms that are favorable to us, or such coverage, pricing, and reimbursement may differ in separate regions in the same country. A significant reduction in the amount of reimbursement or pricing for our products in one or more countries may reduce our profitability and adversely

## Table of Contents

affect our financial condition. Certain countries establish pricing and reimbursement amounts by reference to the price of the same or similar products in other countries. If coverage or the level of reimbursement is limited in one or more countries, we may be unable to obtain or maintain anticipated pricing or reimbursement in current or new territories. In the United States, the EU member states, and elsewhere, there have been, and we expect there will continue to be, efforts to control and reduce healthcare costs. In the United States, for example, the price of drugs has come under intense scrutiny by the U.S. Congress and other government officials and political candidates. Third-party payors decide which drugs they will pay for and establish reimbursement and co-payment levels. Government and other third-party payors are increasingly challenging the prices charged for healthcare products, examining the cost effectiveness of drugs in addition to their safety and efficacy, and limiting or attempting to limit both coverage and the level of reimbursement for prescription drugs.

Changes in government regulations or private third-party payors' reimbursement policies may reduce reimbursement for our products and adversely affect our future results. Our commercial success depends on obtaining and maintaining reimbursement at anticipated levels for our products. It may be difficult to project the impact of evolving reimbursement mechanics or the willingness of payors to cover our products. If we are unable to obtain or maintain coverage, or coverage is reduced in one or more countries, our pricing may be affected and our product sales, results of operations or financial condition could be harmed. In addition, as the price of drugs undergoes more scrutiny, there is the possibility of retroactive price adjustments or coverage or penalties for prices that may be deemed excessive. If any such actions were applied to the Company, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be harmed.

Failure to be included in formularies developed by managed care organizations, governments, hospitals and other organizations may negatively impact the utilization of our products, which could harm our market share and negatively impact our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Managed care organizations and other third-party payors try to negotiate the pricing of medical services and products to control their costs. Managed care organizations and pharmacy benefit managers typically develop formularies to reduce their cost for medications. Formularies can be based on the prices and therapeutic benefits of the available products. Due to their lower costs, generic products are often favored. The breadth of the products covered by formularies varies considerably from one managed care organization to another, and many formularies include alternative and competitive products for treatment of particular medical conditions. Failure to be included in such formularies or to achieve favorable formulary status may negatively impact the utilization of our products. If our products are not included within an adequate number of formularies or adequate reimbursement levels are not provided, or if those policies increasingly favor generic products, our market share and gross margins could be harmed, as could our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Contractual relationships with governmental customers may impose special burdens on us and provide special benefits to those customers, including the right to change or terminate the contract in response to budgetary constraints, policy changes or competition.

A portion of our revenues come from customers that are governmental agencies or vendors to such agencies. Government contracts and subcontracts may be subject to some or all of the following:

- termination when appropriated funding for the current fiscal year is exhausted or becomes unavailable;
- certain rights for the benefit of the government customer, including termination for convenience, the right to place contracts out for bid before the full contract term, as well as the right to make unilateral changes in contract requirements;
- “most-favored” pricing disclosure requirements that are designed to ensure that the government can negotiate and receive pricing akin to that offered commercially and requirements to submit proprietary cost or pricing data to

- ensure that government contract pricing is fair and reasonable;
- commercial customer price tracking requirements that require contractors to monitor pricing offered to a specified class of customers and to extend price reductions offered to that class of customers to the government;
- reporting and compliance requirements related to, among other things: equal employment opportunity, affirmative action for veterans and for workers with disabilities, and accessibility for the disabled;

## Table of Contents

- broader audit rights than we would usually grant to non-governmental customers; and
- specialized remedies for breach and default or failure to meet service level commitments, including setoff rights, retroactive price adjustments, and civil or criminal fraud penalties, as well as mandatory administrative dispute resolution procedures instead of state contract law remedies.

In addition, certain violations of federal law may subject government contractors to having their contracts terminated and, under certain circumstances, suspension and/or debarment from future government contracts.

Generic competition of our products could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations and could cause the market value of our common shares to decline.

Upon the expiration or loss of patent protection for our products, or upon the “at-risk” launch (despite pending patent infringement litigation against the generic product) by a generic competitor of a generic version of our products, we can lose a significant portion of sales of that product, or royalty revenue in the case of out-licensed products, in a very short period, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations and could cause the market value of our common shares to decline. In addition, for products where a generic market already exists, there may be increased generic competition from new entrants to the generic market. For example, we currently compete with suppliers of generic versions of Toprol-XL and could face these adverse effects if additional generic competitors enter the market.

If we lose our license from any licensors, we may be unable to continue a substantial part of our business.

We have licensed certain assets, including certain intellectual property, marketing authorizations and related data, and medical commercial and technical information, used in a substantial part of our business. Such license agreements may be terminated by the licensor if we are in breach of our obligations under, or fail to perform any terms of, the agreement and fail to cure that breach. If a license agreement is terminated, then we may lose our rights to utilize the intellectual property and other assets covered by such agreement to manufacture, market, promote, distribute and sell the licensed products, which may prevent us from continuing a substantial part of our business and may result in a material and serious adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and any prospects for growth.

We will not be able to commercialize our product candidates if preclinical studies do not produce successful results or if clinical trials do not demonstrate safety and efficacy in humans.

We and our development partners, as applicable, conduct extensive preclinical studies and clinical trials to demonstrate the safety and efficacy in humans of our product candidates in order to obtain regulatory approval for the sale of our product candidates. Preclinical studies and clinical trials are expensive, can take many years and have uncertain outcomes. If clinical trials are unsuccessful, we will not be able to commercialize our product candidates and additional studies may be required.

Developments following regulatory approval may adversely affect sales of the Company’s products.

Even after a product reaches market, certain developments following regulatory approval, including results in post-marketing requirements, Phase IV trials or other studies, may decrease demand for the Company’s products, including the following:

- the re-review of products that are already marketed;
- new scientific information and evolution of scientific theories;
- the recall or loss of marketing approval of products that are already marketed;
- changing government standards or public expectations regarding safety, efficacy or labeling changes; and
- greater scrutiny in advertising and promotion.

Events giving rise to concerns among some prescribers and patients relating to the safety or efficacy of pharmaceutical products, whether or not scientifically justified, can lead to product recalls, withdrawals, or declining sales, as well as product liability, consumer fraud and/or other claims, including potential civil or criminal governmental actions.

60

---

## Table of Contents

For example, if the results of any post-approval studies, including the in-vitro or in-vivo post-marketing studies with YOSPRALA required by the FDA, demonstrated any potential/hypothetical/actual adverse effects not identified in the predecessor clinical studies, it could significantly reduce demand for the product or require the Company to take actions that could negatively affect sales, including removing the product from the market, restricting its distribution or applying for labeling changes.

We depend on key personnel and may not be able to retain these employees or recruit additional qualified personnel, which would harm our research and development and commercialization efforts as well as our ability to identify, acquire, close or integrate acquisition targets successfully.

We are highly dependent on the efforts of our key management, especially Adrian Adams, our Chief Executive Officer, and Andrew I. Koven, our President and Chief Business Officer. If we should lose the services of Mr. Adams or Mr. Koven, or are unable to replace the services of our other key personnel who may leave the Company, or if we fail to recruit other key scientific and commercial personnel, we may be unable to achieve our business objectives and growth strategies. There is intense competition for qualified scientific and commercial personnel. We may not be able to continue to attract and retain the qualified personnel necessary for developing our business. Furthermore, our future success may also depend in part on the continued service of our other key management personnel and our ability to recruit and retain additional personnel, as required by our business. Many of the other biotechnology and pharmaceutical companies with whom we compete for qualified personnel have greater financial and other resources, different risk profiles and longer histories in the industry than we do. They also may provide more diverse opportunities and better chances for career advancement. Some of these characteristics may be more appealing to high quality candidates than that which we have to offer. If we are unable to continue to attract and retain high quality personnel, the rate and success at which we can develop and commercialize products and product candidates will be limited.

Our business, financial condition and results of operations are subject to risks arising from the international scope of our operations.

Our international operations and any future international operations may expose us to risks that could negatively impact our future results. Our operations may not develop in the same way or at the same rate as might be expected in a country with an economy similar to the United States or Canada. The additional risks that we may be exposed to in these cases include, but are not limited to:

- tariffs and trade barriers;
- currency fluctuations, which could decrease the Company's revenues or increase its costs;
- regulations related to customs and import/export matters;
- tax issues, such as tax law changes and variations in tax laws;
- limited access to qualified staff;
- inadequate infrastructure;
- cultural and language differences;
- inadequate banking systems;
- different and/or more stringent environmental laws and regulations;
- restrictions on the repatriation of profits or payment of dividends;
- crime, strikes, riots, civil disturbances, terrorist attacks or wars;
- nationalization or expropriation of property;
- law enforcement authorities and courts that are weak or inexperienced in commercial matters; and
- deterioration of political relations among countries.

Any of these factors, or any other international factors, could have a material adverse impact on our business, financial condition and results of operations and could cause the market value of our common shares to decline. Similarly,

adverse economic conditions impacting our customers in these countries or uncertainty about global economic conditions could

61

---

## Table of Contents

cause purchases of our products to decline, which would adversely affect our revenues and operating results. Any failure to attain our projected revenues and operating results as a result of adverse economic or market conditions could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations and could cause the market value of our common shares to decline.

Due to the large portion of our business conducted in currency other than U.S. dollars, we have significant foreign currency risk.

Our consolidated financial statements are presented in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, and we report, and will continue to report, our results in U.S. dollars. Some of our operations are conducted by subsidiaries in Canada, Ireland and other countries outside of the United States. The results of operations and the financial position of these subsidiaries are recorded in the relevant foreign currencies and then translated into U.S. dollars. Any change in the value of the Canadian dollar or of the currencies in the other markets in which we operate against the U.S. dollar during a given financial reporting period would result in a foreign currency loss or gain on the translation of U.S. dollar denominated revenues and costs. The exchange rates between many of the currencies in the other markets in which we operate against the U.S. dollar have fluctuated significantly in recent years and may fluctuate significantly in the future. Consequently, our reported earnings could fluctuate materially as a result of foreign exchange translation gains or losses and may not be comparable from period to period.

We face market risks attributable to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates and foreign currency exposure on the translation into U.S. dollars of the financial results of our operations in Canada and Europe. Exchange rate fluctuations could have an adverse effect on our results of operations. Both favorable and unfavorable foreign currency impacts to our foreign currency-denominated operating expenses are mitigated to a certain extent by the natural, opposite impact on our foreign currency-denominated revenue. In addition, the repurchase of principal under our U.S. dollar denominated debt may result in foreign exchange gains or losses for Canadian income tax purposes.

### Risks related to Legislation and Regulations

As we pursue commercialization of our product portfolio and other opportunities for our future products ourselves, failure to comply with the laws governing the marketing and sale of such products may result in regulatory agencies taking action against us and/or our partners, which could significantly harm our business.

As we pursue commercialization of YOSPRALA, ZONTIVITY, Toprol-XL, Fibricor®, our Canadian product portfolio and other future products, we will be subject to extensive regulation by the FDA, Health Canada and the governmental authorities in other countries. In particular, there are many federal, state, provincial and local laws that we will need to comply with in connection with the marketing, promoting, distribution and sale of pharmaceutical products. If we fail to comply with U.S. and Canadian regulatory requirements and those in other countries where our products are sold, we could lose our marketing approvals or be subject to civil and/or criminal penalties, injunctions, fines or other sanctions. In addition, incidents of adverse drug reactions, unintended side effects or misuse relating to our products could result in additional regulatory controls or restrictions, or even lead to withdrawal of a product from the market. The imposition of one or more of these penalties could adversely affect our revenues and our ability to conduct our business as planned. As a condition to granting marketing approval of a product, the FDA, Health Canada or other applicable regulatory authorities may require a company to conduct additional clinical trials, the results of which could result in the subsequent loss of marketing approval, changes in product labeling or new or increased concerns about side effects or efficacy of a product. Compliance with the extensive laws and regulations to which we are subject is complicated, time-consuming and expensive. We cannot assure you that we will be in compliance with all potentially applicable laws and regulations. Even minor, inadvertent irregularities can potentially give rise to claims that the law has been violated.

We are subject to various laws and regulations, including “fraud and abuse” laws, anti-bribery laws and privacy and security regulations, and a failure to comply with such laws and regulations or prevail in any litigation related to noncompliance could have a material adverse impact on our business, financial condition and results of operations and could cause the market value of our common shares to decline.

Pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies have faced lawsuits and investigations pertaining to violations of healthcare “fraud and abuse” laws, such as the federal False Claims Act, the federal Anti-Kickback Statute, the United States Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (the “FCPA”) and other federal, state and provincial laws and regulations. We also face increasingly strict data privacy and security laws in the United States, Canada and other countries, the violation of which could result in fines and other sanctions. The United States Department of Health and Human Services Office of

Table of Contents

Inspector General recommends, and increasingly states, that pharmaceutical companies have comprehensive compliance programs and disclose certain payments made to healthcare providers or funds spent on marketing and promotion of drug products. While we have developed a corporate compliance program, we cannot assure you that we or our employees or agents are or will be in compliance with all applicable federal, state, provincial or foreign regulations and laws. If we are in violation of any of these requirements or any such actions are instituted against us, and we are not successful in defending ourselves or asserting our rights, those actions could have a significant impact on our business, including the imposition of significant fines, exclusion from federal healthcare programs or other sanctions.

The FCPA, the Canadian Corruption of Foreign Public Officials Act (the “CFPOA”) and similar worldwide anti-bribery laws generally prohibit companies and their intermediaries from making improper payments to officials for the purpose of obtaining or retaining business. Although we require our employees to consult with our legal department prior to making any payment or gift thought to be exempt under applicable law, there is no assurance that such policies or procedures will work effectively all of the time or protect us against liability under the FCPA and/or the CFPOA for actions taken by our employees and other intermediaries with respect to our business or any businesses that we may acquire. We may operate in parts of the world that have experienced governmental corruption to some degree and, in certain circumstances, strict compliance with anti-bribery laws may conflict with local customs and practices or may require us to interact with doctors and hospitals, some of which may be state controlled, in a manner that is different from the United States and Canada. We cannot assure you that our internal control policies and procedures will protect us from reckless or criminal acts committed by our employees or agents. Violations of these laws, or allegations of such violations, could disrupt our business and result in criminal or civil penalties or remedial measures, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations and could cause the market value of our common shares to decline.

We are also subject to various privacy and security regulations, including, but not limited to, the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, as amended by the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act of 2009 (as amended, “HIPAA”). HIPAA mandates, among other things, the adoption of uniform standards for the electronic exchange of information in common healthcare transactions (e.g., healthcare claims information and plan eligibility, referral certification and authorization, claims status, plan enrollment, coordination of benefits and related information), as well as standards relating to the privacy and security of individually identifiable health information, which require the adoption of administrative, physical and technical safeguards to protect such information. In addition, many states have enacted comparable laws addressing the privacy and security of health information, some of which are more stringent than HIPAA. Failure to comply with these laws can result in the imposition of significant civil and criminal penalties.

Numerous other countries have, or are developing, laws governing the collection, use and transmission of personal information as well. The EU member states and other jurisdictions have adopted data protection laws and regulations, which impose significant compliance obligations. For example, the European Commission adopted the EU Data Protection Directive, as implemented into national laws by the EU member states, which imposed strict obligations and restrictions on the ability to collect, analyze, and transfer personal data, including health data from clinical trials and adverse event reporting. Data protection authorities from different EU member states have interpreted the privacy laws differently, which adds to the complexity of processing personal data in the European Union, and guidance on implementation and compliance practices are often updated or otherwise revised. Any failure to comply with the rules arising from the EU Data Protection Directive and related national laws of EU member states could lead to government enforcement actions and significant penalties against us, and adversely impact our operating results. In December 2015, a proposal for an EU Data Protection Regulation, intended to replace the current EU Data Protection Directive, was agreed between the European Parliament, the Council of the European Union and the European Commission. The EU Data Protection Regulation, which was adopted in early 2016, introduced new data protection requirements in the European Union and substantial fines for breaches of the data protection rules. The EU Data

Protection Regulation, which will be applicable from May 25, 2018, will increase our responsibility and liability in relation to personal data that we process and we may be required to put in place additional mechanisms ensuring compliance with the new EU data protection rules. The EU Data Protection Regulation aims to make it easier for multinational companies operating across the EU to comply with data protection laws through the harmonization of such laws. However, it does permit EU member states to legislate in a number of areas, which means that inconsistencies will still arise.

The costs of compliance with these laws and the potential liability associated with the failure to comply with these laws could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

## Table of Contents

Legislative or regulatory reform of the healthcare system may affect our ability to sell our products profitably and could adversely affect our business.

In the United States and certain state and foreign jurisdictions, there have been a number of legislative and regulatory proposals to change the healthcare system in ways that could impact our ability to sell our products profitably. The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 (the “Health Care Reform Act”) may affect the operational results of companies in the pharmaceutical industry, including the Company and other healthcare-related industries, by imposing on them additional costs. Effective January 1, 2010, the Health Care Reform Act increased the minimum Medicaid drug rebates for pharmaceutical companies, expanded the 340B drug discount program, and made changes to affect the Medicare Part D coverage gap, or “donut hole.” The law also revised the definition of “average manufacturer price” for reporting purposes, which has the potential to affect the amount of our Medicaid drug rebates to states. Beginning in 2011, the law imposed a significant annual fee on companies that manufacture or import branded prescription drug products.

The Health Care Reform Act also added substantial new provisions affecting compliance, some of which required the entire industry to modify business practices with healthcare practitioners. Pharmaceutical manufacturers are required in 2013 to comply with the federal Physician Payments Sunshine Act, which was passed as part of the Health Care Reform Act and requires pharmaceutical companies to monitor and report payments, gifts, the provision of samples and other remuneration made to physicians and other healthcare professionals and healthcare organizations.

We are unable to predict the future course of federal or state healthcare legislation. A variety of federal and state agencies are in the process of implementing the Health Care Reform Act, including through the issuance of rules, regulations or guidance that materially affect our business. The risk of our being found in violation of these rules and regulations is increased by the fact that many of them have not been fully interpreted by applicable regulatory authorities or the courts, and their provisions are open to a variety of interpretations. The Health Care Reform Act and further changes to healthcare laws or regulatory framework that reduce our revenues or increase our compliance or other costs could also have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations and cash flows, and could cause the market value of our common shares to decline.

In Canada, patented drug products are subjected to regulation by the Patented Medicines Prices Review Board (“PMPRB”) pursuant to the Patent Act (Canada) and the Patented Medicines Regulations. The PMPRB does not approve prices for drug products in advance of their introduction to the market. The PMPRB provides guidelines from which companies like us set their prices at the time they launch their products. All patented pharmaceutical products introduced in Canada are subject to the post-approval, post launch scrutiny of the PMPRB. Since the PMPRB does not pre-approve prices for a patented drug product in Canada, there may be risk involved in the determination of an allowable price selected for a patented drug product at the time of introduction to the market by the company launching such products in Canada. If the PMPRB does not agree with the pricing assumptions chosen by such company introducing a new drug product, the price chosen could be challenged by the PMPRB pursuant to the PMPRB initiating an investigation and, if it is determined, usually pursuant to an oral tribunal hearing, that the price charged is excessive, the price of the product may be reduced and a fine may be levied against the company for any amount deemed to be in excess of the allowable price determined. Drug products that have no valid patents are not subject to the PMPRB’s jurisdiction.

Our status as a foreign corporation for U.S. federal tax purposes could be affected by IRS action or a change in U.S. tax law.

Although the Company is incorporated in Canada, the Internal Revenue Service (the “IRS”) may assert that we should be treated as a U.S. corporation (and, therefore, a U.S. tax resident) for U.S. federal income tax purposes pursuant to Section 7874 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the “Code”). A corporation is generally considered a

tax resident in the jurisdiction of its organization or incorporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes. As a result of the Company being an entity incorporated in the Province of British Columbia, it would generally be classified as a foreign corporation (and, therefore, a non-U.S. tax resident) under these rules. Section 7874 of the Code provides an exception pursuant to which a foreign incorporated entity may, in certain circumstances, be treated as a U.S. corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

Under Section 7874 of the Code, the Company may be treated as a U.S. corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes if former Pozen Inc. (“Pozen”) shareholders hold 80% or more of the vote or value of the Company’s shares by reason of holding stock in Pozen immediately after the transaction pursuant to which Pozen and Tribute were combined under and became wholly-owned subsidiaries of Aralez Pharmaceuticals Inc. (the “Merger”) and the Company’s

Table of Contents

expanded affiliated group after the Merger does not have substantial business activities in Canada relative to its worldwide activities. As a result of the fact that the former shareholders of Pozen owned (within the meaning of Section 7874 of the Code) less than 80% (by both vote and value) of the combined entity's stock immediately after the Merger, we believe we qualify as a foreign corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes following the Merger. However, there can be no assurance that there will not exist in the future a subsequent change in the facts or in law, which might cause us to be treated as a domestic corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes, including with retroactive effect.

Further, there can be no assurance that the IRS will agree with the position that the ownership test was satisfied. There is limited guidance regarding the application of Section 7874 of the Code, including with respect to the provisions regarding the application of the ownership test. If we were unable to be treated as a foreign corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes, the benefits associated with enhanced global cash management, including increased liquidity resulting from access to cash generated by our non-U.S. subsidiaries, would be jeopardized.

Our tax position may be adversely affected by changes in tax law relating to multinational corporations, or increased scrutiny by tax authorities.

Under current law, we expect to be treated as a foreign corporation for U.S. federal tax purposes. However, changes to the rules in Section 7874 of the Code or the Treasury regulations promulgated thereunder could adversely affect our status as a foreign corporation for U.S. federal tax purposes, and any such changes could have prospective or retroactive application. In addition, recent legislative proposals have aimed to expand the scope of U.S. corporate tax residence, and such legislation, if passed, could have an adverse effect on us.

Moreover, the United States Congress, the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development and other government agencies in Canada and other jurisdictions where we and our affiliates do business have had an extended focus on issues related to the taxation of multinational corporations. One example is in the area of "base erosion and profit shifting," where payments are made between affiliates from a jurisdiction with high tax rates to a jurisdiction with lower tax rates. As a result, the tax laws in the United States, Canada and other countries in which we and our affiliates do business could change on a prospective or retroactive basis, and any such changes could adversely affect us.

For example, in April 2016, the U.S. Treasury and IRS issued temporary regulations that expand the scope of transactions that are subject to the rules designed to eliminate the U.S. tax benefits of inversions, which regulations could limit our ability to engage in certain stock transactions in the future. Additionally, in October 2016 the U.S. Treasury and IRS issued final and temporary regulations that address whether an interest in a related corporation is debt or equity, which regulations would impact the treatment of future inter-company debt and limit the ability to deduct interest thereon.

Changes in tax laws and unanticipated tax obligations could adversely affect our effective income tax rate, other tax obligations and profitability.

We are subject to income and other taxes in Canada, the United States, and certain foreign jurisdictions. Our effective income tax rate and other tax obligations in the future could be adversely affected by a number of factors including changes in the mix of earnings in countries with differing statutory tax rates, changes in the valuation of deferred tax assets and liabilities, disagreements with taxing authorities with respect to the interpretation of tax laws and regulations and changes in tax laws. We regularly assess all of these matters to determine the adequacy of our tax provision which is subject to discretion. If our assessments are incorrect, it could have an adverse effect on our business and financial condition.

There can be no assurance that income and other tax laws and administrative policies with respect to the income and other tax consequences generally applicable to us, to our subsidiaries, or to a U.S. or Canadian holder of common shares will not be changed in a manner which adversely affects holders of our common shares.

If we fail to comply with our reporting and payment obligations under the Medicaid Drug Rebate Program, Medicare, PMPRB obligations, governmental funded drug formularies or other governmental pricing programs, we could be subject to additional reimbursement requirements, penalties, sanctions and/or fines which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and growth prospects.

Pricing and rebate calculations vary among products and programs. The calculations are complex and are often subject to interpretation by us, governmental or regulatory agencies and the courts. We cannot assure you that our

## Table of Contents

submissions will not be found by Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (“CMS”) to be incomplete or incorrect. Governmental agencies may also make changes in program interpretations, requirements or conditions of participation, some of which may have implications for amounts previously estimated or paid. The Medicaid rebate amount is computed each quarter based on our submission to CMS of our current average manufacturer price and best price for the quarter. If we become aware that our reporting for a prior quarter was incorrect, or has changed as a result of recalculation of the pricing data, we are obligated to resubmit the corrected data for a period not to exceed twelve quarters from the quarter in which the data originally were due, and CMS may request or require restatements for earlier periods as well. Such restatements and recalculations increase our costs for complying with the laws and regulations governing the Medicaid Drug Rebate Program. Any corrections to our rebate calculations could result in an overage or underage in our rebate liability for past quarters, depending on the nature of the correction. Price recalculations also may affect the ceiling price at which we are required to offer our products to certain covered entities, such as safety-net providers, under the 340B drug discount program.

We are liable for errors associated with our submission of pricing data. In addition to retroactive rebates and the potential for 340B program refunds, if we are found to have knowingly submitted false average manufacturer price, average sales price (“ASP”), or best price information to the government or made a misrepresentation in the reporting of our ASP, we may be liable for civil monetary penalties. Our failure to submit monthly/quarterly average manufacturer price, ASP, and best price data on a timely basis could result in a civil monetary penalty. Such failure also could be grounds for CMS to terminate our Medicaid drug rebate agreement, pursuant to which we participate in the Medicaid program. In the event that CMS terminates our rebate agreement, federal payments may not be available under Medicaid or Medicare Part B for our covered outpatient drugs.

Federal law requires that a company must participate in the Department of Veterans Affairs Federal Supply Schedule (“FSS”) pricing program, established by Section 603 of the Veterans Health Care Act of 1992, to be eligible to have its products paid for with federal funds. If we overcharge the government in connection with our FSS contract, whether due to a misstated federal ceiling price or otherwise, we are required to refund the difference to the government. Failure to make necessary disclosures and/or to identify contract overcharges can result in allegations against us under the False Claims Act and other laws and regulations. Unexpected refunds to the government, and responding to a government investigation or enforcement action, would be expensive and time-consuming, and could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and growth prospects.

Our business involves the use of hazardous materials, and we and our third-party manufacturers must comply with environmental laws and regulations, which can be expensive and restrict how we do business.

Our third-party manufacturers’ activities involve the controlled storage, use and disposal of hazardous materials owned by us, including the components of our approved products and product candidates and other hazardous compounds. We and our manufacturers are subject to federal, state, provincial and local as well as foreign laws and regulations governing the use, manufacture, storage, handling and disposal of these hazardous materials. Although we believe that the safety procedures utilized by our third-party manufacturers for handling and disposing of these materials comply with the standards prescribed by these laws and regulations, we cannot eliminate the risk of accidental contamination or injury from these materials. In the event of an accident, federal, state, provincial or foreign authorities may curtail the use of these materials and interrupt our business operations. We do not currently maintain hazardous materials insurance coverage. If we are subject to any liability as a result of our third-party manufacturers’ activities involving hazardous materials, our business and financial condition may be adversely affected.

## Risks Related to Our Financial Position and Capital Requirements

We have incurred losses since inception and we may continue to incur losses for the foreseeable future.

We have a limited operating history and even less history operating as a combined organization following the Merger. For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015, Pozen had net losses of approximately \$37.8 million and, on a pro forma basis combined with Tribute, \$44.6 million. In addition, for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 we had net losses of approximately \$71.9 million. Our ability to receive product revenue from the sale of products is dependent on a number of factors, principally the development, regulatory approval and successful commercialization of our products and product candidates. We expect that the amount of our operating losses will fluctuate significantly from quarter to quarter principally as a result of increases and decreases in our development efforts, the timing and amount of payments that we may receive from others and the timing of our commercial expenses, including increased expenses in connection with the commercialization of Fibracor, YOSPRALA, ZONTIVITY, Toprol-XL and other current or acquired

## Table of Contents

products. If our licensed or marketed products do not perform well in the marketplace, our revenue will be impacted and our business could be materially harmed.

We have had limited product revenues and other sources of revenues to date and new sources of revenue have only just been approved or acquired. Even if we achieve profitability in the future, we cannot be certain that we will sustain profitability, which would depress the market price of our common shares and could cause our investors to lose all or a part of their investment.

Our ability to become profitable depends upon our ability to generate revenues from sales of our products. New sources of product revenue have only recently been approved, in the case of YOSPRALA in the United States and BLEXTEN (targeted to be launched in Canada before the end of 2016) in Canada, or acquired by the Company, in the case of ZONTIVITY in the United States and Canada and Toprol-XL in the United States. In addition, Tribute only acquired Fibrivor in May 2015. The ability of such sources to generate revenues depends on a variety of factors, including the success of our commercialization efforts and competition in applicable markets. Product revenue for products which we license out is dependent upon the commercialization efforts of our partners. One of our primary sources of revenue to date is the royalty payments that we may receive in connection with the commercialization of VIMOVO by AstraZeneca, outside of the United States (excluding Japan), and Horizon in the United States. In the event that AstraZeneca, Horizon or any other third-party with future commercialization rights to any of our products or product candidates fails to adequately commercialize those products or product candidates because it lacks adequate financial or other resources, decides to focus on other initiatives or otherwise, our ability to successfully commercialize our products or product candidates in the applicable jurisdictions would be limited, which would adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects. In addition, our ability to generate future revenues depends in part on our success in:

- commercialization of our existing products and any other product candidates for which we obtain approval or that we acquire;
- obtaining Health Canada and EU approval for YOSPRALA;
- securing potential Canadian approval and potentially additional foreign regulatory approvals for Treximet; and
- developing, acquiring or in-licensing and commercializing a portfolio of other product candidates in addition to our current products.

Even if we do generate additional product sales, we may not be able to sustain profitability on a quarterly or annual basis. Our failure to become and remain profitable would depress the market price of our common shares and could impair our ability to raise capital, expand our business, diversify our product offerings or continue our operations.

We may need additional funding and may not have access to capital. If we are unable to raise capital when needed, we may need to delay, reduce or eliminate our product development or commercialization efforts.

In the future, we may need to raise additional funds to execute our evolving business strategy. We have incurred losses from operations since inception and we may continue to incur additional operating losses. Our actual capital requirements will depend upon numerous factors, including:

- completing the regulatory approval process, and any further required clinical development related thereto, for product candidates;
- our ability to commercialize or arrange for the commercialization of our products;
- the costs of commercialization of our products;
- the costs of filing, prosecuting, defending and enforcing any patent claims and other intellectual property rights;
- the effect of competing technological and market developments;
- generic competition with respect to our products;
- the timing of our payment or receipt, as applicable, of milestone payments and royalties under



Table of Contents

collaborative, license, acquisition or other agreements;

- the effect of changes and developments in, or termination of, our collaborative, license, acquisition and other relationships;
- the terms and timing of any additional collaborative, license, acquisition and other arrangements that we may establish; and
- the ability to acquire or in-license additional complementary products or products that augment our current product portfolio.

As of September 30, 2016, we had an aggregate of \$56.5 million in cash and cash equivalents. In connection with the closing of the Merger, we received \$75 million equity investment and \$75 million convertible debt. In addition, pursuant to a Second Amended and Restated Debt Facility Agreement (the “Facility Agreement”), dated December 7, 2015, among us, Pozen, Tribute (“the Credit Parties”) and certain lenders party thereto, we had the ability to borrow up to an additional aggregate principal amount of \$200 million for acquisitions. On October 31, 2016, the Company borrowed the full \$200 million that had been available under the Facility Agreement for acquisitions, \$175 million of which was used to fund the upfront cash closing payment for the acquisition of Toprol-XL and \$25 million of which was used to replenish the Company’s cash balance for the initial upfront payment of \$25 million in cash paid at the closing of the ZONTIVITY acquisition in September 2016. In addition to this borrowing, pursuant to a consent provided by the requisite lenders under the Facility Agreement with respect to the Toprol-XL acquisition, the lenders under the Facility Agreement agreed that they and/or affiliated funds will make available additional loans to the Credit Parties in an aggregate amount of up to \$250 million for the payment of the purchase price of any acquisitions permitted by the terms of the Facility Agreement (as modified by such consent) with respect to target businesses mutually approved by, and as otherwise mutually agreed upon, by the Company and the lenders, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions set forth in the Facility Agreement. Any such loans (to the extent made available) may be borrowed in one or more advances at any time prior to April 3, 2018. We have not yet borrowed any of this additional \$250 million available amount.

While we believe that we will have sufficient cash reserves and cash flow to fund our operations to the point of generating continuous positive cash flow based on our current expectations of continued revenue growth, we may need to raise additional capital if we choose to expand our commercialization or development efforts more rapidly than we presently anticipate, if we develop, acquire or in-license additional products or acquire companies or if our revenues do not meet expectations. In addition, our expenses might increase beyond currently expected levels if we decide to, or any regulatory agency requires us to, conduct additional clinical trials, studies or investigations for any of our product candidates, including in connection with the FDA’s (or its foreign equivalent) consideration, or reconsideration, of our regulatory filings for our product candidates. We are planning to commercialize our PA product candidates in the United States without a commercial partner and our expenses will increase relative to prior years as we continue the transition from a development company that licenses its product candidates to other companies into a fully integrated, specialty pharmaceutical company.

We may be unable to raise additional equity funds when we desire to do so due to unfavorable market conditions in our industry, or generally, or due to other unforeseen developments in our business. Further, we cannot be certain that additional funding will be available on acceptable terms, or at all. If we are unable to raise additional capital in sufficient amounts or on terms acceptable to us, we may have to significantly delay, scale back or discontinue the development or commercialization of one or more of our products or product candidates, or delay, cut back or abandon our plans to grow the business through acquisition or in-licensing. We also could be required to:

- seek collaborators for one or more of our current or future product candidates at an earlier stage than otherwise would be desirable or on terms that are less favorable than might otherwise be available; or
- relinquish or license on unfavorable terms our rights to technologies or product candidates that we otherwise would seek to develop or commercialize ourselves.

Any of the above events could significantly harm our business, financial condition and prospects and cause the price of our common shares to decline.

Raising additional capital may cause dilution to our existing shareholders, restrict our operations or require us to relinquish intellectual property rights to our product candidates.

68

---

## Table of Contents

Additional capital may be needed in the future to continue our planned operations. We may seek additional capital through a combination of private and public equity offerings, debt financings, receivables or royalty financings, strategic partnerships and alliances and licensing arrangements. To the extent that we raise additional capital through the sale of equity or convertible debt securities, the ownership interest of our existing shareholders will be diluted, and the terms may include liquidation or other preferences that adversely affect the rights of our shareholders. Debt, receivables and royalty financings may be coupled with an equity component, such as warrants to purchase shares, which could also result in dilution of our existing shareholders' ownership. The incurrence of additional indebtedness would result in increased fixed payment obligations and could result in certain restrictive covenants, such as limitations on our ability to incur additional debt, limitations on our ability to acquire or license intellectual property rights and other operating restrictions that could adversely impact our ability to conduct our business.

As noted above, in connection with our acquisitions of Toprol-XL and ZONTIVITY, we have borrowed an additional \$200 million under the Facility Agreement. This substantial debt obligation could have adverse consequences, including requiring a substantial portion of cash flow from operations to be dedicated to servicing this indebtedness, thereby reducing our ability to use our cash flow to fund our operations and pursue future business opportunities and making it more difficult to satisfy our obligations with respect to indebtedness.

Covenants and financial performance thresholds imposed by the Facility Agreement restrict our business and operations in many ways and if we do not effectively manage our covenants and financial performance thresholds, our financial conditions and results of operations could be adversely affected.

The Facility Agreement imposes various covenants that limit our ability and/or our subsidiaries' ability to, among other things:

- consolidate or merge with or into another person;
- enter into certain transactions with affiliates;
- pay dividends or distributions;
- create, incur or suffer liens;
- create, incur, assume guarantee or be liable with respect to indebtedness;
- acquire assets or transfer products or material assets; and
- issue equity securities senior to our common shares or convertible or exercisable for equity securities senior to our common shares.

The covenants imposed by the Facility Agreement and our obligations to service our outstanding debt:

- limit our ability to borrow additional funds for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions or other general business purposes;
- limit our ability to use our cash flow or obtain additional financing for future working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions or other general business purposes;
- may require us to use a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to make debt service payments;
- limit our flexibility to plan for, or react to, changes in our business and industry;
- place us at a competitive disadvantage compared to our less leveraged competitors; and
- increase our vulnerability to the impact of adverse economic and industry conditions.

If we are unable to successfully manage the limitations and decreased flexibility on our business due to our debt obligations, we may not be able to capitalize on strategic opportunities or grow our business to the extent we would be able to without these limitations. Our failure to comply with any of the covenants could result in a default under the Facility Agreement, which could permit the required lenders to declare all or part of any outstanding loans to be immediately due and payable.



## Table of Contents

In addition, in connection with the Toprol-XL acquisition, the Facility Agreement was amended to include additional financial performance thresholds, including a minimum adjusted EBITDA threshold and a minimum specified revenue threshold relating to net sales of Toprol-XL and the Authorized Generic received by the Company. In the event of the failure to meet both such additional financial performance thresholds, the lenders thereunder may elect to have the then outstanding principal balance of certain term loans under the Facility Agreement amortize quarterly through the maturity thereof.

### Risks Related to Our Intellectual Property and Product Liability

We may become involved in infringement actions which are uncertain, costly and time-consuming and could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations and could cause the market value of our common shares to decline.

The pharmaceutical industry historically has generated substantial litigation concerning the manufacture, use and sale of products, and we expect this litigation activity to continue. The intellectual property rights of pharmaceutical companies, including us, are generally uncertain and involve complex legal, scientific and factual questions. In order to protect or enforce patent rights, we may initiate litigation against third parties. If we are not successful in defending an attack on our patents and maintaining exclusive rights to market one or more of our products still under patent protection, we could lose a significant portion of sales in a very short period. We may also become subject to infringement claims by third parties and may have to defend against charges that we violated patents or the proprietary rights of third parties. If we infringe the intellectual property rights of others, we could lose our right to develop or sell products, including our generic products, or could be required to pay monetary damages or royalties to license proprietary rights from third parties. The outcomes of infringement actions are uncertain and infringement actions are costly and divert technical and management personnel from their normal responsibilities.

Third parties seeking to market generic versions of branded pharmaceutical products in the United States often file Abbreviated New Drug Applications (“ANDA”) with the FDA (with a similar process in Canada and other foreign countries) containing a certification stating that the ANDA applicant believes that the patents protecting the branded pharmaceutical product are invalid, unenforceable and/or not infringed. Such certifications are commonly referred to as Paragraph IV certifications. We and Horizon are engaged in Paragraph IV litigations with several generic pharmaceutical companies with respect to our VIMOVO patents. We and AstraZeneca are also engaged in a proceeding in Canada with Mylan Pharmaceuticals ULC (“Mylan Canada”), which is seeking approval of its generic version of VIMOVO in Canada prior to the expiration of our Canadian patent. In addition, on November 4, 2016, the FDA website indicated that an ANDA for a generic version of YOSPRALA 81mg/40 mg was submitted on October 14, 2016 and the Company expects to receive a Paragraph IV certification notice relating to this matter in the near future. If we are unsuccessful in any of these proceedings, or once our or our licensors’ applicable patents expire, and the FDA or Health Canada approve a generic version of one of our marketed products, such an outcome would have a material adverse effect on sales of such product, our business and our results of operations.

If we are unable to obtain or protect intellectual property rights related to our products and product candidates, we may not be able to compete effectively in our market.

The pharmaceutical industry places considerable importance on obtaining patent and trade secret protection for new technologies, products and processes. Our success will depend, in part, on our ability, and the ability of our licensors, to obtain and to keep protection for our products and technologies under the patent laws of the United States and other countries, so that we can stop others from using our inventions. Our success also will depend on our ability to prevent others from using our trade secrets. In addition, we must operate in a way that does not infringe, or violate, the patent,

trade secret and other intellectual property rights of other parties.

We cannot know how much protection, if any, our patents will provide or whether our patent applications will issue as patents. The breadth of claims that will be allowed in patent applications cannot be predicted and neither the validity nor enforceability of claims in issued patents can be assured. If, for any reason, we are unable to obtain and enforce valid claims covering our products and technology, we may be unable to prevent competitors from using the same or similar technology or to prevent competitors from marketing identical products. For example, if we and our partner Horizon are unsuccessful in protecting our patents in the litigation against several generic pharmaceutical companies who have filed ANDAs for VIMOVO, such companies could market a generic version of the product prior to the expiration of our patents.

70

---

Table of Contents

Furthermore, even if they are unchallenged, our patents and patent applications may not adequately protect our intellectual property or prevent others from designing around our claims. If the patent applications we hold fail to issue or if their breadth or strength of protection is threatened, it could dissuade companies from collaborating with us to develop them and threaten our ability to commercialize our products. We cannot offer any assurances about which, if any, patents will issue or whether any issued patents will be found invalid or unenforceable or will go unthreatened by third parties. Since patent applications in the United States and most other countries are confidential for a period of time after filing, and some remain so until issued, we cannot be certain that we were the first to file any patent application related to our products or any other product candidates. Furthermore, if third parties have filed such patent applications, an interference proceeding in the United States may be able to be provoked by a third-party or instituted by us to determine who was the first to invent any of the subject matter covered by the patent claims of our applications or, as in many jurisdictions, such as in Canada, the earlier filed third-party application may be cited against our patent application by a patent office in rejecting our application on the basis that the invention lacks novelty.

Our ability to obtain patents is highly uncertain because, to date, some legal principles remain unresolved, there has not been a consistent policy regarding the breadth or interpretation of claims allowed in patents in the United States and other jurisdictions, and the specific content of patents and patent applications that are necessary to support and interpret patent claims is highly uncertain due to the complex nature of the relevant legal, scientific and factual issues. Changes in either patent laws or interpretations of patent laws in the United States and other countries may diminish the value of our intellectual property or narrow the scope of our patent protection. For example, in 2011, the Leahy-Smith America Invents Act (the “Leahy-Smith Act”) was enacted, and it included a number of significant changes to patent law in the United States. These include provisions that affect the way patent applications will be prosecuted and may also affect patent litigation. The United States Patent and Trademark Office has developed new and untested regulations and procedures to govern the full implementation of the Leahy-Smith Act, and many of the substantive changes to patent law associated with the Leahy-Smith Act, and in particular, the first to file provisions, only became effective in March 2013. The Leahy-Smith Act has also introduced procedures making it easier for third parties to challenge issued patents, as well as to intervene in the prosecution of patent applications. For example, third parties have filed petitions seeking Inter Partes Review (“IPR”) of some of our VIMOVO patents and one of our Treximet patents. A number of these petitions, including the petition with respect to the Treximet, have been denied while others are still pending or have resulted in reviews that are ongoing. Finally, the Leahy-Smith Act contains statutory provisions that require the United States Patent and Trademark Office to issue new regulations for their implementation and it may take the courts years to interpret the provisions of the new statute. Accordingly, it is too early to tell what, if any, impact the Leahy-Smith Act will have on the operation of our business and the protection and enforcement of our intellectual property. However, the Leahy-Smith Act and its implementation could increase the uncertainties and costs surrounding the prosecution of our patent applications and the enforcement or defense of our issued patents. In addition, the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act allows applicants seeking approval of biosimilar or interchangeable versions of biological products to initiate a process for challenging some or all of the patents covering the innovator biological product used as the reference product. This process is complicated and could result in the limitation or loss of certain patent rights. An inability to obtain, enforce and defend patents covering our proprietary technologies would materially and adversely affect our business prospects and financial condition.

Further, the laws of some foreign countries do not protect proprietary rights to the same extent or in the same manner as the laws of the United States and Canada. As a result, we may encounter significant problems in protecting and defending our intellectual property in the United States, Canada and other countries. For example, if the issuance to us, in a given country, of a patent covering an invention is not followed by the issuance, in other countries, of patents covering the same invention, or if any judicial interpretation of the validity, enforceability, or scope of the claims in, or the written description or enablement in, a patent issued in one country is not similar to the interpretation given to the corresponding patent issued in another country, our ability to protect our intellectual property in those countries may be limited. For example, since patent protection is territorial, the teachings of a U.S. patent will generally only be

protected in the United States. If we do not have a corresponding patent in another jurisdiction, the teachings of the U.S. patent may be in the public domain in such jurisdiction and free for a third-party to practice. Changes in either patent laws or in interpretations of patent laws in the United States, Canada and other countries may materially diminish the value of our intellectual property or narrow the scope of our patent protection. If we are unable to prevent material disclosure of the non-patented intellectual property related to our technologies to third parties, and there is no guarantee that we will have any such enforceable trade secret protection, we may not be able to establish or maintain a competitive advantage in our market, which could materially adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

## Table of Contents

In addition to the protection afforded by patents, we rely on trade secret protection and confidentiality agreements to protect proprietary know-how that is not patentable, processes for which patents are difficult to enforce and any other elements of our drug discovery and development processes that involve proprietary know-how, information or technology that is not covered by patents. However, trade secrets are difficult to protect, and we cannot be certain that others will not develop the same or similar technologies on their own. We have taken steps, including entering into confidentiality agreements with our employees, consultants, outside scientific collaborators, sponsored researchers and other advisors, to protect our trade secrets and unpatented know-how. These agreements generally require that the other party keep confidential and not disclose to third parties all confidential information developed by the party or made known to the party by the Company during the course of the party's relationship with the Company. We also typically obtain agreements from these parties, which provide that inventions conceived by the party in the course of rendering services to the Company will be our exclusive property. However, these agreements may not be honored and may not effectively assign intellectual property rights to the Company. Enforcing a claim that a party illegally obtained and is using our trade secrets or know-how is difficult, expensive and time-consuming, and the outcome is unpredictable. In addition, courts outside Canada and the United States may be less willing to protect trade secrets or know-how. The failure to obtain or maintain trade secret protection could adversely affect our competitive position.

If product liability lawsuits are brought against us, we may incur substantial liabilities and may be required to limit commercialization of our products.

We face an inherent business risk of exposure to significant product liability and other claims in the event that the use of our products caused, or is alleged to have caused, adverse effects. For example, we may be sued if any of our products or product candidates allegedly causes injury or is found to be otherwise unsuitable during clinical testing, manufacturing, marketing or sale. Any such product liability claims may include allegations of defects in manufacturing, defects in design, a failure to warn of dangers inherent in the product, negligence, strict liability or a breach of warranties. Claims could also be asserted under state consumer protection acts. If we cannot successfully defend ourselves against product liability claims, we may incur substantial liabilities or be required to limit commercialization of our product candidates. The withdrawal of a product following complaints and/or incurring significant costs, including the requirement to pay substantial damages in personal injury cases or product liability cases, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations and could cause the market value of our common shares to decline.

Our product liability insurance coverage may not be sufficient to cover our claims and we may not be able to obtain sufficient coverage at a reasonable cost in the future. We will explore, on an on-going basis, expanding our insurance coverage related to the sale of our future marketed products when we obtain marketing approval for such products and commercial sales of such products begin. However, we may not be able to obtain commercially reasonable product liability insurance for any products approved for marketing. If a plaintiff brings a successful product liability claim against us in excess of our insurance coverage, if any, we may incur substantial liabilities and our business may be harmed or fail.

For example, if proton pump inhibitors are found, or are perceived, to create health risks, our ability to sell YOSPRALA could be materially adversely affected, product liability lawsuits may be brought against us, and our business could be substantially harmed.

If our products or technologies are stolen, misappropriated or reverse engineered, others could use our products or licensed products to produce competing products or technologies.

Third parties, including our partners, contract manufacturers, contractors and others involved in our business often have access to our products, licensed products, and technologies. If our products, licensed products or technologies were stolen, misappropriated or reverse engineered, they could be used by other parties that may be able to reproduce

our products, licensed products, or technologies for their own commercial gain. If this were to occur, it would be difficult for us to challenge this type of use, especially in countries with limited intellectual property protection.

#### Risks Related to Ownership of Our Common Shares

The price of our common shares could be volatile, which may result in significant losses to our shareholders.

72

---

## Table of Contents

The trading price of our common shares could be highly volatile and subject to wide fluctuations in response to various factors, some of which are beyond our control, including limited trading volume. In addition to the factors discussed in the “Risk Factors” of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, these factors include:

- fluctuations in our operating results and revenues generated by our marketed products;
- announcements of technological innovations, acquisitions or licensing of therapeutic products or product candidates by us or our competitors;
- prolonged stock shortages from third-party manufacturers;
- published reports by securities analysts;
- positive or negative progress with our clinical trials or with regulatory approvals of our product candidates;
- commercial success of VIMOVO, Fibracor, YOSPRALA, ZONTIVITY, Toprol-XL and our other products and product candidates once approved;
- generic introductions of existing marketed products with no generic competition or additional generic competition for Toprol-XL;
- governmental regulation, including reimbursement policies;
  - developments in patent or other proprietary rights;
- developments in our relationships with collaborative partners or our inability to obtain consents or achieve minimum licensing terms;
- announcements by our collaborative partners regarding our products or product candidates;
  - developments in new or pending litigation;
- public concern as to the safety and efficacy of our products;
  - our ability to acquire or license new products or companies and the perception of the value of such transactions, and our ability to integrate and grow such products or companies;
- the sale or attempted sale of a large amount of our common shares into the market; and
- general market conditions.

The common shares are listed on the NASDAQ Global Market and the Toronto Stock Exchange. Volatility in the market prices of our common shares may increase as a result of our common shares being listed on both the NASDAQ Global Market and the Toronto Stock Exchange because trading is split between the two markets, resulting in less liquidity on both exchanges. In addition, different liquidity levels, volume of trading, currencies and market conditions on the two exchanges may result in different prevailing trading prices.

In addition, the stock market in general, and the NASDAQ Global Market, the Toronto Stock Exchange and the stocks of biotechnology companies in particular, have experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations that have often been unrelated or disproportionate to the operating performance of these companies. Broad market and industry factors may adversely affect the market price of our common shares, regardless of our actual operating performance.

Sales of substantial amounts of shares of our common stock in the public market could cause our share price to decline.

If our existing shareholders sell, or indicate an intention to sell, substantial amounts of our common stock in the public market, the trading price of our common stock could decline. Certain shareholders hold significant positions in our common shares. Any sales of substantial amounts of our common shares in the public market, including sales or distributions of shares by our large investors, or the perception that such sales or distributions might occur, could harm the market price of our common shares and could impair our ability to raise capital through the sale of additional equity securities. Further, shareholder ownership will be diluted if we raise additional capital by issuing equity securities. In addition, our common shares that are either subject to outstanding options or reserved for future issuance under our



Table of Contents

employee benefit plans are or may become eligible for sale in the public market to the extent permitted by the provisions of various vesting schedules and Rule 144 and Rule 701 under the Securities Act. If these additional common shares are sold, or if it is perceived that they will be sold, in the public market, the trading price of our common shares could decline.

Anti-takeover provisions in our Articles and certain provisions under the British Columbia Business Corporations Act (“BCBCA”) could prevent or delay transactions that our shareholders may favor and may prevent shareholders from changing the direction of our business or management.

Provisions of our Articles and certain provisions under the BCBCA may discourage, delay or prevent a merger or acquisition that our shareholders may consider favorable, including transactions in which shareholders might otherwise receive a premium for their shares, and may also frustrate or prevent any attempt by shareholders to change the direction or management of the Company. For example, these provisions:

- authorize the issuance of “blank check” preferred shares without any need for action by shareholders;
- require a 75% majority of shareholder votes cast in favor of a resolution to remove a director;
- require a 66 2/3% majority of shareholder votes cast in favor of a resolution to effect various amendments to our Articles;
- require that (i) in the case of shareholder action by written consent, a matter that would normally require an ordinary resolution shall require written consent by shareholders representing at least 66 2/3% of the votes entitled to be cast in favor of such resolution, and (ii) in the case of any other resolution of the shareholders, the written consent of shareholders representing 100% of the votes entitled to be cast in favor of such resolution;
- establish advance notice requirements for nominations for election to the Board of Directors; and
- require shareholder proposals for matters to be acted upon by shareholders at shareholder meetings to be submitted pursuant to, and in accordance with, the applicable provisions of the BCBCA for inclusion in the Company’s proxy materials by a date that is not later than three months prior to the anniversary date of the prior year’s shareholder meeting.

These provisions, among others, whether alone or together, could delay or impede hostile takeovers and changes in control or changes to the composition of our Board of Directors or management. Any provision of our constating documents that has the effect of delaying or deterring a change in control could limit the opportunity for our shareholders to receive a premium for their common shares and could also affect the price that some investors are willing to pay for our common shares.

Provisions of Canadian law may delay, prevent or make undesirable an acquisition of all or a significant portion of our common shares or assets.

The Investment Canada Act subjects an acquisition of control of us by a non-Canadian to government review if our enterprise value as calculated pursuant to the legislation exceeds a threshold amount. A reviewable acquisition may not proceed unless the relevant Minister is satisfied that the investment is likely to be of net benefit to Canada. This could prevent or delay a change of control and may eliminate or limit strategic opportunities for shareholders to sell their common shares.

The rights of our shareholders may differ from the rights typically offered to shareholders of a U.S. corporation and these differences may make our common shares less attractive to investors.

The Company is incorporated under the laws of the Province of British Columbia, Canada, and therefore certain of the rights of holders of its shares are governed by Canadian law, including the provisions of the BCBCA, and by our Notice of Articles and Articles. These rights differ in certain respects from the rights of shareholders in typical U.S. corporations and these differences may make our common shares less attractive to investors.

An investor may be unable to bring actions or enforce judgments against us and certain of our directors.

The Company is incorporated under the laws of the Province of British Columbia. Some of our directors and officers reside principally outside of the United States and a substantial portion of our assets and a substantial portion of

74

---

Table of Contents

the assets of these persons are located outside the United States. Consequently, it may not be possible for an investor to effect service of process within the United States on us or those persons. Furthermore, it may not be possible for an investor to enforce judgments obtained in United States courts based upon the civil liability provisions of United States federal securities laws or other laws of the United States against us or those persons.

We do not expect to pay dividends for the foreseeable future, and our shareholders must rely on increases in the trading price of our common shares for returns on their investment.

Except for the \$1.75 per share special cash distribution by Pozen on December 30, 2013 (representing a surplus of corporate cash and accounted for as a return of capital to stockholders), we have never paid cash dividends on our common shares and do not expect to pay dividends in the immediate future. We anticipate that the Company will retain all earnings, if any, to support our operations. Any future determination to pay dividends on our common shares will be at the sole discretion of the Board of Directors and will depend on, among other things, the Company's results of operations, current and anticipated cash requirements and surplus, financial condition, contractual restrictions and financing agreement covenants, solvency tests imposed by corporate law and other factors that the Board of Directors may deem relevant. Holders of our common shares must rely on increases in the trading price of our shares for returns on their investment in the foreseeable future. In addition, the Facility Agreement prohibits the Company from making any cash dividend or distributing any of its assets, including its intangibles, to any of its shareholders in such capacity or its affiliates, subject to certain exceptions. The Facility Agreement also includes restrictions on the Company from incurring liens and undertaking indebtedness, subject to certain exceptions, which limitations may further impact the ability of the Company to pay any future dividends. See “- Covenants and financial performance thresholds imposed by the Facility Agreement restrict our business and operations in many ways and if we do not effectively manage our covenants and financial performance thresholds, our financial conditions and results of operations could be adversely affected” above.

We have incurred and will continue to incur significant increased costs as a result of operating as a public company and our management will be required to devote substantial time to compliance initiatives.

As a public company, we have incurred and will continue to incur significant legal, accounting and other expenses that we would not incur if we were a private company. In particular, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (the “Sarbanes-Oxley Act”), as well as rules subsequently implemented by the SEC, applicable securities laws in Canada, the NASDAQ Global Market and the Toronto Stock Exchange, impose significant requirements on public companies, including requiring establishment and maintenance of effective disclosure and financial controls and changes in corporate governance practices. These rules and regulations have substantially increased our legal and financial compliance costs and have made some activities more time-consuming and costly. Further, these rules and regulations may lack specificity and are subject to varying interpretations. Their application in practice may evolve over time, as new guidance is provided by regulatory and governing bodies. This could result in continuing uncertainty regarding compliance matters and higher costs of compliance as a result of ongoing revisions to such corporate governance standards.

In particular, our efforts to comply with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, National Instrument 52-109 - Certification of Disclosures in Issuers' Annual and Interim Filings and the related regulations regarding our required assessment of our internal controls over financial reporting and our external auditors' audit of that assessment requires the commitment of significant financial and managerial resources. We consistently assess the adequacy of our internal controls over financial reporting, remediate any control deficiencies that may be identified, and validate through testing that our controls are functioning as documented. While we do not anticipate any material weaknesses, the inability of management to assess our internal controls over financial reporting as effective could result in adverse consequences to us, including, but not limited to, a loss of investor confidence in the reliability of our financial statements, which could cause the market price of our stock to decline. The existence of this or one or more other

material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in our internal control over financial reporting could result in errors in our financial statements, and substantial costs and resources may be required to rectify any internal control deficiencies. Although we continually review and evaluate internal control systems to allow management to report on the sufficiency of our internal controls, we cannot assure you that we will not discover weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting. Any such weakness or failure to remediate any existing material weakness could materially adversely affect our ability to comply with applicable financial reporting requirements and the requirements of our various agreements.

We are committed to maintaining high standards of corporate governance and public disclosure, and our efforts to comply with evolving laws, regulations and standards in this regard have resulted in, and are likely to continue to

Table of Contents

result in, increased general and administrative expenses and a diversion of management time and attention from revenue-generating activities to compliance activities. In addition, the laws, regulations and standards regarding corporate governance may make it more difficult, or increasingly more expensive, for us to obtain director and officer liability insurance. Further, members of the Board of Directors and executive officers could face an increased risk of personal liability in connection with their performance of duties. As a result, we may face difficulties attracting and retaining qualified board members and executive officers, which could harm our business. If we fail to comply with new or changed laws, regulations or standards of corporate governance, our business and reputation may be harmed.

If securities or industry analysts do not publish research or publish inaccurate or unfavorable research about our business, our share price and trading volume could decline.

The trading market for our common shares will depend in part on the research and reports that securities or industry analysts publish about us or our business. There is no guarantee that securities analysts will cover our securities, and the lack of research coverage may adversely affect our share price. If one or more of the securities analysts publish inaccurate or unfavorable research about our business, our share price would likely decline. If one or more of these securities analysts cease coverage of the Company or fail to publish reports on us regularly, demand for our common shares could decrease, which might cause our share price and trading volume to decline.

ITEM 2.UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

None.

ITEM 3.DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

ITEM 4.MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

ITEM 5.OTHER INFORMATION

None.



Table of Contents

ITEM 6.EXHIBITS

- 2.1 Asset Purchase Agreement, dated as of September 6, 2016, by and between Schering-Plough (Ireland) Company, Aralez Pharmaceuticals Trading DAC and Aralez Pharmaceuticals Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Aralez Pharmaceutical Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed September 8, 2016).†
- 2.2 Asset Purchase Agreement, dated as of October 3, 2016, by and between AstraZeneca AB, Aralez Pharmaceuticals Trading DAC and Aralez Pharmaceuticals Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Aralez Pharmaceutical Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed October 7, 2016).†
- 2.3 Supply Agreement, dated as of October 31, 2016, by and between AstraZeneca AB and Aralez Pharmaceuticals Trading DAC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Aralez Pharmaceuticals Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed November 4, 2016). †
- 10.1 Limited Consent, dated October 3, 2016, by and among Aralez Pharmaceuticals Inc., POZEN Inc., Tribute Pharmaceuticals Canada Inc., Deerfield Private Design Fund III, L.P., Deerfield International Master Fund, L.P., and Deerfield Partners, L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Aralez Pharmaceutical Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed October 7, 2016).
- 10.2 Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Facility Agreement, dated October 3, 2016, by and among Aralez Pharmaceuticals Inc., POZEN Inc., Tribute Pharmaceuticals Canada Inc., Deerfield Private Design Fund III, L.P., Deerfield International Master Fund, L.P., and Deerfield Partners, L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Aralez Pharmaceutical Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed October 7, 2016).
- 31.1 Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 101 The following materials from Aralez Pharmaceuticals Inc.'s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2016, formatted in eXtensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL): (i) Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, (ii) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, (iii) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, (iv) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, and (v) Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

†Confidential treatment requested. Confidential materials omitted and filed separately with Securities and Exchange Commission.



Table of Contents

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

ARALEZ PHARMACEUTICALS INC.

November 8, 2016

By: /s/ Scott J. Charles  
Scott J. Charles  
Chief Financial Officer  
(Authorized Officer and Principal Financial Officer)