

GOLDFIELD CORP
Form 10-Q
May 12, 2011
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES

þ

EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2011

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES

..

EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____

COMMISSION FILE NUMBER: 1-7525

THE GOLDFIELD CORPORATION

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Delaware
(State or other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)

88-0031580
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

1684 West Hibiscus Blvd., Melbourne, Florida, 32901

Edgar Filing: GOLDFIELD CORP - Form 10-Q

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)(Zip Code)

(321) 724-1700

(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Not Applicable

(Former Name, Former Address and Former Fiscal Year, if Changed Since Last Report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-Accelerated Filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

The number of shares the Registrant's Common Stock outstanding as of May 3, 2011 was 25,451,354.

Table of Contents

THE GOLDFIELD CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q

FOR THE QUARTER ENDED MARCH 31, 2011

INDEX

PART I. <u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	3
Item 1. <u>Financial Statements</u>	3
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	3
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations</u>	4
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	5
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	6
Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation.</u>	12
Item 4T. <u>Controls and Procedures.</u>	21
PART II. <u>OTHER INFORMATION</u>	23
Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings.</u>	23
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	23
Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	23
Item 4. <u>(Removed and Reserved).</u>	23
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	23
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	24

Table of Contents**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements****THE GOLDFIELD CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****(Unaudited)**

	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
ASSETS		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 3,657,417	\$ 4,174,518
Accounts receivable and accrued billings, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$0 in 2011 and 2010	3,383,574	4,393,659
Current portion of notes receivable	38,152	45,102
Construction inventory	122,259	1,279
Real estate inventory	633,233	774,584
Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts	2,669,103	1,254,054
Prepaid expenses	774,349	304,802
Other current assets	73,686	125,689
Total current assets	11,351,773	11,073,687
Property, buildings and equipment, at cost, net of accumulated depreciation of \$22,847,045 in 2011 and \$22,137,012 in 2010	10,442,711	8,232,306
Notes receivable, less current portion	227,742	237,714
Deferred charges and other assets		
Land and land development costs	662,219	662,219
Cash surrender value of life insurance	647,437	655,775
Restricted cash	122,052	79,000
Other assets	67,954	18,781
Total deferred charges and other assets	1,499,662	1,415,775
Total assets	\$ 23,521,888	\$ 20,959,482
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Current liabilities		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 3,115,505	\$ 2,418,056
Contract loss accruals	19,858	65,989
Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts	19,702	102,948
Current portion of notes payable	991,429	1,176,552
Federal and state income taxes payable	14,397	12,642
Reserve for remediation	79,130	97,725
Total current liabilities	4,240,021	3,873,912
Other accrued liabilities	-	17,094

Edgar Filing: GOLDFIELD CORP - Form 10-Q

Notes payable, less current portion	4,834,651	2,610,000
Total liabilities	9,074,672	6,501,006
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders' equity		
Preferred stock, \$1 par value, 5,000,000 shares authorized, none issued		
Common stock, \$.10 par value, 40,000,000 shares authorized; 27,813,772 shares issued and 25,451,354 shares outstanding	2,781,377	2,781,377
Capital surplus	18,481,683	18,481,683
Accumulated deficit	(5,507,657)	(5,496,397)
Treasury stock, 2,362,418 shares, at cost	(1,308,187)	(1,308,187)
Total stockholders' equity	14,447,216	14,458,476
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 23,521,888	\$ 20,959,482

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents**THE GOLDFIELD CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS****(Unaudited)**

	Three Months Ended	
	2011	March 31, 2010
Revenue		
Electrical construction	\$ 8,154,530	\$ 7,636,922
Real estate development	765,872	896,026
Total revenue	8,920,402	8,532,948
Costs and expenses		
Electrical construction	7,008,979	6,276,026
Real estate development	430,626	493,518
Selling, general and administrative	747,065	900,486
Depreciation	734,135	716,844
Loss on sale of assets	714	-
Total costs and expenses	8,921,519	8,386,874
Total operating (loss) income	(1,117)	146,074
Other (expenses) income, net		
Interest income	6,634	7,271
Interest expense, net	(27,002)	(33,247)
Other income, net	20,381	9,747
Total other income (expenses), net	13	(16,229)
(Loss) income from continuing operations before income taxes	(1,104)	129,845
Income tax provision	10,156	15,159
Net (loss) income	\$ (11,260)	\$ 114,686
(Loss) income per share of common stock - basic and diluted	\$ (0.00)	\$ 0.00
Weighted average shares outstanding - basic and diluted	25,451,354	25,451,354

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents**THE GOLDFIELD CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****(Unaudited)**

	Three Months Ended	
	March 31,	
	2011	2010
Cash flows from operating activities		
Net (loss) income	\$ (11,260)	\$ 114,686
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities		
Depreciation	734,135	716,844
Loss on sale of assets	714	-
Cash surrender value of life insurance	8,338	4,653
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable and accrued billings	1,010,085	396,448
Remediation insurance receivable	-	1,212
Construction inventory	(120,980)	96,891
Real estate inventory	141,351	187,910
Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts	(1,415,049)	(1,068,283)
Income taxes recoverable	-	309,973
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(466,717)	(533,478)
Restricted cash	(43,052)	-
Income taxes payable	1,755	-
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	536,059	411,307
Contract loss accrual	(46,131)	(383,698)
Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts	(83,246)	(235)
Reserve for remediation	(18,595)	-
Net cash provided by operating activities	227,407	254,230
Cash flows from investing activities		
Proceeds from notes receivable	16,922	(1,768)
Purchases of property and equipment	(2,793,604)	(1,722,464)
Net cash used in investing activities	(2,776,682)	(1,724,232)
Cash flows from financing activities		
Proceeds from notes payable	2,632,696	1,546,050
Repayments on notes payable	(600,522)	(870,030)
Net cash provided by financing activities	2,032,174	676,020
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(517,101)	(793,982)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	4,174,518	3,534,993
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 3,657,417	\$ 2,741,011

Edgar Filing: GOLDFIELD CORP - Form 10-Q

Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:		
Interest paid	\$ 77,069	\$ 25,341
Income taxes paid (refunded), net	8,401	(294,814)
Supplemental disclosure of non-cash investing and financing activities		
Liability for equipment acquired	151,650	77,097
Debt issued in lieu of interest paid	7,354	7,166
	See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements	

Table of Contents

THE GOLDFIELD CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Note 1 Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Overview

The Goldfield Corporation (the Company) was incorporated in Wyoming in 1906 and subsequently reincorporated in Delaware in 1968. The Company's principal lines of business are electrical construction and real estate development. The principal market for the Company's electrical construction operation is electric utilities throughout most of the United States. The primary focus of the Company's real estate operations is on the development of luxury condominium projects on the east coast of Florida. The Company also makes limited opportunistic investments in distressed residential properties, which it improves or repositions for resale.

Basis of Financial Statement Presentation

In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited interim consolidated financial statements include all adjustments necessary to present fairly the Company's financial position, results of operations and changes in cash flows for the interim periods reported. These adjustments are of a normal recurring nature. All financial statements presented herein are unaudited with the exception of the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2010, which was derived from the audited consolidated financial statements. The results of operations for the interim periods shown in this report are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for the fiscal year. These statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements included in the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Use of Estimates

Management of the Company has made a number of estimates and assumptions relating to the reporting of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities to prepare these financial statements in conformity with U. S. generally accepted accounting principles. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Management considers the most significant estimates in preparing these financial statements to be the estimated cost to complete electrical construction contracts in progress, estimated cost to complete real estate development projects in progress, fair value of real estate inventory, recoverability of deferred tax assets and the adequacy of the provision for remediation.

Financial Instruments - Fair Value

The carrying amounts reported in the consolidated balance sheets for cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable and accrued billings, contracts receivable, and accounts payable and accrued liabilities approximate fair value due to the immediate or short-term maturity of these financial instruments. The fair value of notes receivable is considered by management to approximate carrying value based on their interest rates and terms, maturities, collateral and current status of the receivables. The Company's long-term notes payable are estimated by management to approximate carrying value since the interest rates prescribed by the Bank are variable market interest rates and are adjusted periodically. Restricted cash is also considered by management to approximate fair value due to the nature of the asset held in a secured interest bearing bank account.

Restricted Cash

The Company's restricted cash includes cash deposited in a secured interest bearing bank account, as required by a Collateral Trust Agreement in connection with the Company's workers' compensation insurance policies, as described in note 9 to the consolidated financial statements.

Note 2 Inventory

Construction inventory, which consists of specifically identified electrical construction materials, is stated at the lower of cost or market.

Real estate inventory, which consists of completed condominium units, is carried at the lower of cost or estimated fair value. In accordance with ASC 360-10 *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-lived Assets*, real estate inventory is reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. If no changes in circumstances occur management reviews

real estate inventory on a

Table of Contents

quarterly basis. If the carrying amount or basis is not expected to be recovered, impairment losses are recorded and the related assets are adjusted to their estimated fair value.

As of March 31, 2011, the Company has three completed condominium units held for sale within the Pineapple House project compared to four at December 31, 2010.

For the three months ended March 31, 2011, the Company reviewed the Pineapple House inventory for impairment. ASC 360-10 requires that if the undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by an asset are less than its carrying amount, an impairment charge should be recorded to write-down the carrying amount of such asset to its fair value. The fair value of an asset is the amount at which that asset could be bought or sold in a current transaction between willing parties, that is, other than in a forced or liquidation sale. The Company also complies with ASC Topic 820 *Fair Value Measurement*, which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The Company did not record an impairment write-down for either of the three months ended March 31, 2011 or 2010.

Note 3 Notes Payable

The following table presents the balances of our notes payable as of the dates indicated:

	Maturity Date	March 31, 2011	December 31 2010
Working capital loan	January 5, 2012	\$ -	\$ -
\$6.94 million equipment loan	February 22, 2016	5,826,080	-
Pineapple House mortgage	-	-	456,552
\$3.8 million equipment loan	-	-	3,330,000
Total notes payable		5,826,080	3,786,552
Less current portion of notes payable		(991,429)	(1,176,552)
Notes payable, less current portion		\$ 4,834,651	\$ 2,610,000

As of March 31, 2011, the Company, the Company's wholly owned subsidiaries, Southeast Power Corporation (Southeast Power), Bayswater Development Corporation (Bayswater) and Pineapple House of Brevard, Inc. (Pineapple House) have a loan agreement and a series of related ancillary agreements with Branch Banking and Trust Company (the Bank) providing for a revolving line of credit loan for a maximum principal amount of \$3.0 million, to be used as a Working Capital Loan. The Working Capital Loan was renewed on February 22, 2011, with modified terms, and includes the grant of a security interest by Southeast Power in all now owned and hereafter acquired and wherever located personal property as follows: (i) machinery and equipment, including all accessions thereto, all manufacturers' warranties, parts and tools therefore; (ii) all vehicles owned by Southeast Power; and (iii) all proceeds (cash and non-cash) and products of the foregoing. Interest is payable monthly at an annual rate equal to monthly LIBOR rate plus two and one-half percent (2.81% and 3.50% as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively) and principal is due and payable on January 5, 2012. As of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, there were no borrowings outstanding under the Working Capital Loan.

During the three month period ended March 31, 2011, the Company made payments of principal and interest to the Bank totaling \$458,160, paying off the Pineapple House Mortgage in its entirety. Borrowings outstanding under the Pineapple House Mortgage agreement were \$0 and \$457,000 as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

As of March 31, 2011, the Company's wholly owned subsidiary, Southeast Power, and the Bank, are parties to a \$6.94 million Promissory Note and related ancillary agreements (the Southeast Power Loan Agreement). The obligations of Southeast Power pursuant to the Southeast Power Loan Agreement and the Promissory Note are secured by the grant of a continuing security interest in all now owned and hereafter acquired and wherever located personal property as follows: (i) machinery and equipment, including all accessions thereto, all manufacturers' warranties, parts and tools therefore; (ii) all vehicles owned by Southeast Power as more specifically described in the Security Agreement between Southeast Power and the Bank dated February 22, 2011; and (iii) all proceeds (cash and non-cash) and products of the foregoing.

The Southeast Power Loan Agreement will mature, and all amounts due to the Bank under the Southeast Power Loan Agreement and the related Promissory Note, will be due and payable in full on February 22, 2016. The

Table of Contents

Company must make monthly payments of principal and interest to the Bank commencing on March 22, 2011 in equal monthly principal payments of \$82,619 plus accrued interest, with one final payment of all remaining principal and accrued interest due on February 22, 2016. The Southeast Power Loan Agreement bears interest at a rate per annum equal to monthly LIBOR plus two and one-half percent, which will be adjusted monthly and subject to a maximum rate of 24.00% (2.81% as of March 31, 2011). The initial interest rate for advances was 2.8125%. On February 22, 2011, proceeds of the loan were used by the Company to refinance the existing debt outstanding under the \$3.8 million equipment loan (\$3.3 million outstanding as of December 31, 2010) and to fund the purchase of additional equipment and vehicles to be owned by Southeast Power. As of March 31, 2011, borrowings outstanding under the Southeast Power Loan Agreement were \$5.8 million.

The Company's debt arrangements contain various financial and other covenants including, but not limited to, minimum tangible net worth, outside debt limitation, and maximum debt to tangible net worth ratio. Other loan covenants prohibit, among other things, incurring additional indebtedness, issuing loans to other entities in excess of a certain amount, entering into a merger or consolidation, and any change in the Company's current Chief Executive Officer without prior written consent from the Bank. The loans also have cross-default provisions whereby any default under any loans of the Company (or its subsidiaries) with the Bank will constitute a default under all of the other loans of the Company (and its subsidiaries) with the Bank. The Company was in compliance with all of its covenants as of March 31, 2011.

Note 4 Commitments and Contingencies Related to Discontinued Operations

Through certain of our subsidiaries and predecessor companies, we were previously engaged in mining activities, and all such activities were discontinued or disposed of prior to 2003. As a result of these historical activities, over the past several years, we have been contacted by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (the "EPA") in connection with remediation activities at the Anderson-Calhoun Mine/Mill site in Stevens County, Washington (as a potentially responsible party), and the Newton County Mine Tailings Superfund site in Newton County, Missouri (pursuant to a request for information). The Company's mining related activities on which the EPA's claims were based ceased in 1957, with respect to the Stevens County site and in 1949, with respect to the Newton County site.

In each case, the Company entered into a settlement agreement with the EPA, and made a payment to the EPA in connection therewith (\$73,000 with respect to the Stevens County site and \$76,630 with respect to the Newton County site) in exchange for a covenant not to sue from the EPA relating to the applicable site. The Company is not aware of any facts suggesting that the Company's future liability associated with either of these sites is more than de minimis.

The Company received \$542,000 from two of its former general liability insurance carriers in respect of its costs associated with the defense of the matter relating to the Stevens County site, which represented 100% of its insurable costs incurred in connection therewith. The Company has not sought any recovery from its insurers with respect to the matter relating to the Newton County site.

In accordance with ASC 410-30 *Environmental Obligations* and ASC 450-20 *Loss Contingencies*, the Company has estimated a provision for the environmental liability associated with the Newton County site of \$79,000 (accrued as a current liability within discontinued operations). As of March 31, 2011, the cumulative net expense related to this matter was \$118,000. This represents the Company's estimated costs associated with the settlement agreement relating to the Newton County site, including the professional fees associated therewith.

Liabilities of discontinued operations have been reflected in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets as follows:

	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Reserve for remediation	\$ 79,130	\$ 97,725

Note 5 Commitments and Contingencies

In certain circumstances, the Company is required to provide performance bonds to secure its contractual commitments of its electrical construction subsidiary. Management is not aware of any performance bonds issued for the Company that have ever been called by a customer. As of March 31, 2011, outstanding performance bonds issued on behalf of the Company's electrical construction subsidiary amounted to approximately \$8.3 million.

Table of Contents**Note 6 (Loss) Earnings Per Share of Common Stock**

Basic (loss) earnings per common share is computed by dividing net (loss) earnings by the weighted average number of common stock shares outstanding during the period. Diluted (loss) earnings per share reflects the potential dilution that could occur if common stock equivalents, such as stock options outstanding, were exercised into common stock that subsequently shared in the earnings of the Company.

As of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company had no common stock equivalents. The computation of the weighted average number of common stock shares outstanding excludes 2,362,418 shares of Treasury Stock for each of the three month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Note 7 Income Taxes

As of March 31, 2011, the Company had net operating loss (NOL) carryforwards of approximately \$5.7 million available to offset future federal taxable income, which if unused will begin to expire in 2028, and alternative minimum tax (AMT) credit carryforwards of approximately \$275,000 available to reduce future federal income taxes over an indefinite period. In addition, there were inventory basis differences of \$612,000, which will be recognized as condominium units are sold. The Company also had NOL carryforwards from Florida of approximately \$6.1 million available to offset future Florida taxable income, which if unused will begin to expire in 2027.

The carrying amounts of deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance, if based on the available evidence, it is more likely than not such assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which the deferred tax assets are expected to be recovered or settled. In the assessment for a valuation allowance, appropriate consideration is given to all positive and negative evidence related to the realization of the deferred tax assets. This assessment considers, among other matters, the nature, frequency and severity of current and cumulative losses, forecasts of future profitability, the duration of statutory carryforward periods, experience with loss carryforwards expiring unused and tax planning alternatives. If the Company determines it will not be able to realize all or part of the deferred tax assets, a valuation allowance would be recorded to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount that is more likely than not to be realized.

Based upon an evaluation of all available evidence, the Company established a full valuation allowance against net deferred tax assets beginning in 2008. The Company's cumulative loss position over the evaluation period and the current market conditions were significant negative evidence in assessing the need for a valuation allowance. Consequently, the future provision for income taxes will include no tax benefit with respect to losses incurred and limited tax expense with respect to income generated until the respective valuation allowance is eliminated. This will cause variability in the effective tax rate. In the event the Company were to subsequently determine that it would be able to realize deferred tax assets in the future in excess of the net recorded amount, an adjustment to the previously recorded valuation allowance would increase income in the period such determination was made. The net deferred tax asset valuation allowance was \$1.8 million as of March 31, 2011, compared to \$2.2 million as of December 31, 2010.

The following table presents the provision for income tax and effective income tax rate from continuing operations for the three months ended March 31 as indicated:

	2011	2010
Income tax provision	\$ 10,156	\$ 15,159
Effective income tax rate	919.9%	11.7%

The effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2011 was 919.9%. This rate is distorted due to the minimal amount of consolidated loss in relation to state income taxes payable. The income tax provision includes only state income tax expense attributable to a subsidiary and does not reflect the federal statutory rate of 34%. Due to the impact of the deferred tax valuation allowance and the volatility in estimated future taxable income, the effective tax rate is based on a year-to-date income tax calculation. The effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2010 of 11.7% differs from the statutory rate primarily due to previously unrecognized NOL carryforwards available to offset taxable income.

The Company had gross unrecognized tax benefits of \$17,000 as of both March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010. The Company believes that it is reasonably possible that the liability for unrecognized tax benefits related to certain

Table of Contents

state income tax matters may be settled within the next twelve months. The federal statute of limitation has expired for tax years prior to 2005 and relevant state statutes vary. The Company is currently not under any income tax audits or examinations and does not expect the assessment of any significant additional tax in excess of amounts reserved.

The Company accrues interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits as interest expense and other general and administrative expenses, respectively, and not as a component of income taxes.

Note 8 Business Segment Information

The Company is currently involved in two segments, electrical construction and real estate development. There were no material amounts of sales or transfers between segments and no material amounts of foreign sales. Any intersegment sales have been eliminated.

The following table sets forth certain segment information for the three months ended March 31 as indicated:

	2011	2010
Revenue		
Electrical construction	\$ 8,154,530	\$ 7,636,922
Real estate development	765,872	896,026
Total revenues	8,920,402	8,532,948
Operating expenses		
Electrical construction	7,826,078	7,041,771
Real estate development	541,101	645,317
Corporate	554,340	699,786
Total operating expenses	8,921,519	8,386,874
Operating (loss) income		
Electrical construction	328,452	595,151
Real estate development	224,771	250,709
Corporate	(554,340)	(699,786)
Total operating (loss) income	(1,117)	146,074
Other income (expenses), net		
Electrical construction	(20,302)	(12,009)
Real estate development	14,344	(10,424)
Corporate	5,971	6,204
Total other income (expenses), net	13	(16,229)
Net (loss) income before taxes		
Electrical construction	308,150	583,142
Real estate development	239,115	240,285
Corporate	(548,369)	(693,582)
Total net (loss) income before taxes	\$ (1,104)	\$ 129,845

Operating income (loss) is total operating revenue less operating expense inclusive of depreciation and SG&A expense for each segment. Operating income (loss) excludes interest expense, interest income and income taxes. Corporate expenses are comprised of general and administrative expense and corporate depreciation expense.

Edgar Filing: GOLDFIELD CORP - Form 10-Q

The following table sets forth identifiable assets by segment as of the dates indicated:

Identifiable assets	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Electrical construction	\$ 20,502,218	\$ 17,859,453
Real estate development	1,362,220	1,497,369
Corporate	1,657,450	1,602,660
Total	\$ 23,521,888	\$ 20,959,482

A significant portion of the Company's electrical construction revenue has historically been derived from three or four utility customers each year. For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, the three largest customers

Table of Contents

accounted for 47% and 54%, respectively, of the Company's total revenue. The real estate development operations did not have revenue from any one customer that exceeded 10% of the Company's total revenue for the three month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010.

Note 9 - Restricted Cash

On October 25, 2010, the Company, as grantor, Valley Forge Insurance Company (the Beneficiary) and Branch Banking and Trust Company (the Trustee) entered into a Collateral Trust Agreement (the Agreement) in connection with the Company's workers' compensation insurance policies issued by the Beneficiary (the Policies) beginning in 2009. The Agreement was made to grant the Beneficiary a security interest in certain of the Company's assets and to place those assets in a Trust Account to secure the Company's obligations to the Beneficiary under the Policies. The deposits maintained under the Agreement will be recorded as restricted cash, within the non current assets section of our balance sheet.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation.****Forward-Looking Statements**

We make forward-looking statements within the safe harbor provision of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 throughout this document. You can identify these statements by forward-looking words such as may, will, expect, anticipate, believe, estimate, plan, and continue or similar words. We have based these statements on our current expectations about future events. Although we believe that our expectations reflected in or suggested by our forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot assure you that these expectations will be achieved. Our actual results may differ materially from what we currently expect. Factors that may affect the results of our electrical construction operations include, among others: the level of construction activities by public utilities; the timing and duration of construction projects for which we are engaged; our ability to estimate accurately with respect to fixed price construction contracts; and heightened competition in the electrical construction field, including intensification of price competition. Factors that may affect the results of our real estate development operations include, among others: the continued weakness in the Florida real estate market; the level of consumer confidence; our ability to acquire land; increases in interest rates and availability of mortgage financing to our buyers; and increases in construction and homeowner insurance and the availability of insurance. Factors that may affect the results of all of our operations include, among others: adverse weather; natural disasters; effects of climate changes; changes in generally accepted accounting principles; ability to obtain necessary permits from regulatory agencies; our ability to maintain or increase historical revenue and profit margins; general economic conditions, both nationally and in our region; adverse legislation or regulations; availability of skilled construction labor and materials and material increases in labor and material costs; and our ability to obtain additional and/or renew financing. Other important factors which could cause our actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements in this document include, but are not limited to, those discussed in this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, as well as those discussed elsewhere in this report and as set forth from time to time in our other public filings and public statements. In addition to the other information included in this report and our other public filings and releases, a discussion of factors affecting our business is included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 under Item 1A. Risk Factors sections and should be considered while evaluating our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

You should read this report completely and with the understanding that our actual future results may be materially different from what we expect. We may not update these forward-looking statements, even in the event that our situation changes in the future. All forward-looking statements attributable to us are expressly qualified by these cautionary statements.

Overview

We are a provider of electrical construction services throughout most of the United States and a developer of condominiums on the east coast of Florida and we also make limited opportunistic investments in distressed residential properties, which we improve or reposition for resale. Through our subsidiary, Southeast Power Corporation, we are engaged in the construction and maintenance of electric utility facilities for electric utilities and industrial customers and the installation of fiber optic cable for fiber optic cable manufacturers, telecommunication companies and electric utilities. Southeast Power is headquartered in Titusville, Florida, and performs electrical contracting services throughout most of the United States, and has recently opened a new facility in Bastrop, Texas.

We report our results under two reportable segments, electrical construction and real estate development. For the three months ended March 31, 2011 our total consolidated revenue was \$8.9 million, of which 91% was attributable to the electrical construction segment and 9% to the real estate development segment.

The electrical construction business is highly competitive and fragmented. We compete with other independent contractors, including larger regional and national firms that may have financial, operational, technical and marketing resources that exceed our own. We also face competition from existing and prospective customers establishing or augmenting in-house service organizations that employ personnel who perform some of the same types of service as those provided by us. In addition, a significant portion of our electrical construction revenue is derived from a small group of customers, with several different customers accounting for a substantial portion of our revenue in any given year. For example, for the year ended December 31, 2010 and the three months ended March 31, 2011, three of our customers accounted for approximately 45% and 47% of our consolidated revenue,

Table of Contents

respectively. The loss of, or decrease in current demand from, one or more of these customers would, if not replaced by other business, result in a decrease in revenue, margins and profits which could be material.

Through our subsidiary Bayswater Development Corporation and its various subsidiaries (Bayswater) we are engaged in the acquisition, development, management and disposition of land and improved properties. The primary focus of our real estate operations has been the development of residential condominium projects along the east coast of Central Florida and recently we have expanded our real estate development operations to include the opportunistic acquisition of residential properties in distress sale situations for subsequent refurbishment and resale. We intend to continue to use our knowledge of the local real estate market obtained through our condominium development operations to selectively pursue opportunities as they arise. As of March 31, 2011, we have acquired, refurbished and sold four such residential properties. Our most recently completed condominium project, Pineapple House, is an eight-story building in Melbourne, Florida containing thirty-three luxury river-view condominium units of which thirty one units have been sold and one unit was repurchased as of March 31, 2011. It is the first phase of a planned multi-phase development.

Our customers generally are pre-retirement, retirement or second homebuyers seeking higher quality, maintenance free residences with generous amenities. The housing market has experienced the most significant downturn in recent history. The credit markets and mortgage industry have experienced a period of unparalleled instability, and this disruption has affected buyers ability to secure financing for home purchases. Foreclosures and distress sales continue to adversely affect market conditions. Increasing local unemployment levels is another factor affecting the real estate market. As a result of these and other factors, we continue to postpone commencement of the next phase of the Pineapple House project until market conditions improve. We are unable to predict when market conditions will improve. Market conditions may continue to have an adverse impact on the sales and pricing of our real estate properties, the commencement and development of new projects and on the results of our real estate development operations. We have completed the first phase of the Pineapple House project on budget and in a timely manner, and believe the project is attractive and of high quality. Furthermore, we are no longer incurring construction costs with respect to this phase and our share of the holding costs on the unsold units is expected to be no more than \$22,000 annually.

Critical Accounting Estimates

This discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to fixed price electrical construction contracts, real estate development projects, deferred income tax assets and environmental remediation. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. Our management has discussed the selection and development of our critical accounting policies, estimates and related disclosure with the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors.

Percentage of Completion Electrical Construction Segment

We recognize revenue from fixed price contracts on a percentage-of-completion basis, using primarily the cost-to-cost method based on the percentage of total cost incurred to date in proportion to total estimated cost to complete the contract. Total estimated cost, and thus contract income, is impacted by several factors including, but not limited to, changes in productivity and scheduling, and the cost of labor, subcontracts, materials and equipment. Additionally, external factors such as weather, site conditions and scheduling that differ from those assumed in the original bid (to the extent contract remedies are unavailable), client needs, client delays in providing approvals, the availability and skill level of workers in the geographic location of the project, a change in the availability and proximity of materials and governmental regulation, may also affect the progress and estimated cost of a project s completion and thus the timing of income and revenue recognition.

The accuracy of our revenue and profit recognition in a given period is almost solely dependent on the accuracy of our estimates of the cost to complete each project. Due to our experience and our detailed approach in determining our cost estimates for all of our significant projects, we believe our estimates to be highly reliable. However, our projects can be complex and in almost every case the profit margin estimates for a project will either increase or decrease to some extent from the amount that was originally estimated at the time of bid. Because we have a

Table of Contents

number of projects of varying levels of complexity and size in process at any given time, these changes in estimates can offset each other without materially impacting our overall profitability. If a current estimate of total costs indicates a loss on a contract, the projected loss is recognized in full when determined. Accrued contract losses as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, were \$20,000 and \$66,000, respectively. The accrued contract losses for 2011 and 2010 are mainly attributable to several transmission projects experiencing either adverse weather conditions or unexpected construction issues. Revenue from change orders, extra work, variations in the scope of work and claims is recognized when realization is probable.

Percentage of Completion Real Estate Development Segment

We currently do not have any projects in development. For all future projects, revenue will be recognized using the guidance provided in ASC 360-20, *Real Estate Sales*. ASC 360-20 provides guidance in assessing the collectability of the sales price, which is required in order to recognize profit under the percentage-of-completion method pursuant to ASC 360-20-40-50 *Sales of Condominium Units*. ASC 360-20-40-50 states that an entity should evaluate the adequacy of the buyer's initial and continuing investment in reaching a conclusion that the sales price is collectible. To meet the continuing involvement criterion, a buyer would be required to either (1) make additional payments during the construction term at least equal to the level of annual payments that would be required to fund principal and interest on a customary mortgage for the remaining purchase price of the property, or (2) increase the minimum initial investment by an equivalent aggregate amount. If the test for initial and continuing investment is not met, the deposit method should be applied and profit recognized only after the buyer's aggregate deposit meets the required investment tests for the duration of the construction period. In future projects, we believe that we will be required in most cases to collect higher deposits from buyers than we have historically in order to recognize revenue under the percentage-of-completion method of accounting. If we are unable to meet the requirements of ASC Topic 360 on future projects, we will be required to defer revenue recognition until the aggregate investment tests described in ASC 360-20-40-50 have been met.

In general, we believe that material differences in the total actual cost of a project versus our total estimated project cost are unlikely due to the nature of the fixed price contracts we enter into with the general contractors on our real estate projects.

If a current estimate of total project cost indicates a loss on a project, the projected loss is recognized in full when determined. There were no contract loss accruals recorded as of March 31, 2011 or December 31, 2010 in the real estate development segment. The timing of revenue and expense recognition using the percentage-of-completion method is contingent on construction productivity. Factors possibly impeding construction productivity include, but are not limited to, supply of labor, materials and equipment, scheduling, weather, permitting and unforeseen events. When a buyer defaults on a contract for sale, revenue and expense recognized in prior periods are adjusted in the period of default.

Real Estate Inventory Valuation

Real estate inventory, which consists of completed condominium units, is carried at the lower of cost or estimated fair value. In accordance with ASC 360-10 *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-lived Assets*, real estate inventory is reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. If no changes in circumstances occur management reviews real estate inventory on a quarterly basis. If the carrying amount or basis is not expected to be recovered, impairment losses are recorded and the related assets are adjusted to their estimated fair value. We also comply with ASC Topic 820 *Fair Value Measurement*, which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements.

As of March 31, 2011, management reviewed the real estate inventory for impairment. ASC 360-10 requires that if the undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by an asset are less than its carrying amount, an impairment charge should be recorded to write-down the carrying amount of such asset to its fair value. The fair value of an asset is the amount at which that asset could be bought or sold in a current transaction between willing parties, that is, other than in a forced or liquidation sale.

In determining the need for recording any impairment on our real estate inventory, management reviews the carrying value of the remaining inventory. Management considers sales expectations and the historical pace of sales during the prior year. Management also evaluates the margins of the property sold, current selling prices and any property under contract. Considering these factors we establish three probability scenarios for the amount of inventory we project to sell over the next twelve months and each successive twelve month period until all properties are

Table of Contents

projected to be sold. We estimate the number of properties that will be sold using 60%, 30% and 10% levels of probability.

Due to the fact that the estimates and assumptions included in our cash flow models are based upon historical results and projected trends, they do not anticipate unexpected changes in market conditions that may lead to us incurring additional impairment charges in the future. Additional factors considered in our analysis are unemployment rates, local real estate market trends, such as supply and demand, marketing incentives, and other local factors. Therefore, changes in the local economy highly influence our market conditions. Our most critical assumptions in our cash flow models are our projected absorption, which is analyzed based on our historical sales and current sales within surrounding areas, current selling prices and a discount factor.

We estimate the fair value of our condominium units by using a discounted cash flow model, which incorporates the probability assessments described above. In estimating the cash flows for completed condominium units, we use various estimates such as (a) expected sales pace to absorb the number of units based upon economic conditions that may have either a short-term or long-term impact on the market in which the units are located, competition within our market, historical sales rates of the units within the project; and (b) expected net sales prices in the near-term based upon current pricing estimates, as well as estimated changes in future sales prices based upon historical sales prices of the units within the project, or historical sales prices of similar product offerings in our market. Our determination of fair value requires discounting the estimated cash flows at a rate commensurate with the inherent risks associated with selling the assets and related estimated cash flows. In determining the fair value of the remaining condominium units in our Pineapple House project we used a discount rate of 8%.

In addition, we have applied sensitivity factors to our impairment analysis. If our assumptions or estimates in our fair value calculations change, we could incur impairment charges in future periods, which would decrease operating income and result in lower asset values on our balance sheet. For example, we performed a sensitivity test for the three key assumptions in our real estate inventory impairment test: current selling prices, discount factor, and projected absorption pace. Based on these analyses, we determined that a ten percent decrease in the estimated selling prices of the properties in inventory, an increase of ten percent in the estimated discount factor used in our calculation or a decrease of one unit in the estimated number of condominium units to be sold over the next twelve months, each calculated separately, had no impact on the carrying value of our real estate inventory as of March 31, 2011 or December 31, 2010, because even using these adverse assumptions, we still estimate the fair value of our condominium inventory to be at or above its carrying value, based on our discounted cash flow model.

Our current real estate inventory consists of one condominium project with three remaining condominium units as of March 31, 2011. During the three months ended March 31, 2011, two condominium units, or 50% of the remaining units at the end of the prior year, were sold in excess of their carrying values and one additional unit was purchased for resale. In addition, the units sold are similar to the units remaining to be sold. We are selling at substantially our current asking price, which is in excess of our carrying costs. Based on the above, management determined that no impairment write-down to our current real estate inventory was necessary.

Other Assets Valuation

As described above, the Company carefully monitors the value of the real estate inventory, and the Company also regularly performs impairment analysis on the electrical construction segment's property and equipment balances. In conducting our impairment testing, we have considered whether the decrease in the Company's market capitalization below our book value should be a specific triggering event necessitating impairment testing. We note that the Company's market capitalization is significantly lower than our book value. While market capitalization is an indicator of market sentiment on a particular day, the day-to-day share price of the Company's stock at particular points in time may not, and frequently does not, fairly reflect the value of the Company's significant assets, primarily the real estate inventory of our real estate segment and the investment in the equipment of our electrical construction segment. We further note that in almost all of the past sixteen years our market capitalization has been significantly below our book value.

Under these circumstances, we do not consider such market capitalization to be a specific triggering event necessitating impairment testing, particularly in light of the fact that the Company does not have any goodwill or similar intangible assets recorded on its balance sheet. Regardless, the Company reviews the book value of its assets on a regular basis to determine possible impairments in accordance with ASC Topic 360, as it believes it will continue as a viable business in the future. Specifically, in its evaluation for potential impairment, the Company determines the value of its real estate inventory, as well as its investments in electrical construction property and equipment using a fair value methodology, and in accordance with ASC Topic 820.

Table of Contents

Deferred Tax Assets

We account for income taxes in accordance with ASC Topic 740, *Income Taxes*, which establishes the recognition requirements necessary for implementation. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax effects attributable to temporary differences and carryforwards between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and the respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date.

As of March 31, 2011, our deferred tax assets were largely comprised of net operating loss (NOL) carryforwards, alternative minimum tax (AMT) credit carryforwards and inventory basis differences on unsold condominium units. The carrying amounts of deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance, if based on the available evidence, it is more likely than not such assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which the deferred tax assets are expected to be recovered or settled. In the assessment for a valuation allowance, appropriate consideration is given to all positive and negative evidence related to the realization of the deferred tax assets. This assessment considers, among other matters, the nature, frequency and severity of current and cumulative losses, forecasts of future profitability, the duration of statutory carryforward periods, our experience with loss carryforwards expiring unused and tax planning alternatives. If we determine we will not be able to realize all or part of our deferred tax assets, a valuation allowance would be recorded to reduce our deferred tax assets to the amount that is more likely than not to be realized.

Based upon an evaluation of all available evidence, we established a full valuation allowance against our net deferred tax assets beginning in 2008. Our cumulative loss position over the evaluation period and the current market conditions were significant negative evidence in assessing the need for a valuation allowance. Consequently, our future provision for income taxes will include no tax benefit with respect to losses incurred and limited tax expense with respect to income generated until the respective valuation allowance is eliminated. This will cause variability in our effective tax rate. In the event we were to subsequently determine that we would be able to realize our deferred tax assets in the future in excess of our net recorded amount, an adjustment to the previously recorded valuation allowance would increase income in the period such determination is made.

Provision for Remediation

In July 2010, we received a request from the United States Environmental Protection Agency (the EPA) for information pursuant to Section 104(e) of CERCLA for Newton County Mine Tailings Superfund Site, Newton County, Missouri relating to mining activities involving the site. Subsequently, we entered into a settlement agreement with the EPA wherein we agreed to pay the EPA the sum of \$76,630 in exchange for a covenant not to sue from the EPA relating to the Newton County site, which payment was made on April 28, 2011.

As of March 31, 2011, the cumulative net expense, related to the Newton County site, was \$118,000. This represents the Company's estimated costs associated with the settlement agreement with the EPA and the professional fees associated with this matter for which the Company currently does not expect any insurance recoveries. Refer to note 4 to the consolidated financial statements for a discussion of these matters.

Table of Contents**RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 Compared to Three Months Ended March 31, 2010

Segment Information

The table below is a reconciliation of our operating income (loss) attributable to each of our segments for the three months ended March 31 as indicated:

	2011	2010
Electrical construction		
Revenue	\$ 8,154,530	\$ 7,636,922
Operating expenses		
Cost of goods sold	7,008,979	6,276,026
Selling, general and administrative	92,006	75,987
Depreciation	725,079	689,758
Loss on sale of assets	14	-
Total operating expenses	7,826,078	7,041,771
Operating income	\$ 328,452	\$ 595,151
Real estate development		
Revenue	\$ 765,872	\$ 896,026
Operating expenses		
Cost of goods sold	430,626	493,518
Selling, general and administrative	109,944	150,661
Depreciation	531	1,138
Total operating expenses	541,101	645,317
Operating income	\$ 224,771	\$ 250,709

Operating income (loss) is total operating revenue less operating expenses inclusive of depreciation and selling, general and administrative expenses for each segment. Operating expenses also include any gains or losses on the sale of property and equipment. Operating income (loss) excludes interest expense, interest and other income, and income taxes.

Revenue

Total revenue in the three months ended March 31, 2011, increased by 4.5% to \$8.9 million, compared to \$8.5 million in the three months ended March 31, 2010, mainly due to an increase in electrical construction revenue.

Electrical construction revenue increased 6.8% to \$8.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to \$7.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The increase in revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2011, when compared to the same period in 2010, is mainly due to the timing of projects and an overall increase in activity in the current period.

The varying magnitude and duration of electrical construction projects may result in substantial fluctuations in our backlog from time to time. Backlog represents the uncompleted portion of services to be performed under project-specific contracts and the estimated value of future services that we expect to provide under our existing service agreements, including new contractual agreements on which work has not begun. In many instances, our customers are not contractually committed to specific volumes of services and many of our contracts may be terminated with notice, therefore we do not consider any portion of our backlog to be firm. However, our customers become obligated once we provide the services they have requested. Our service agreements are typically multi-year agreements, and we include in our backlog the amount of services

Edgar Filing: GOLDFIELD CORP - Form 10-Q

projected to be performed over the terms of the contracts based on our historical relationships with these customers. Our estimates of a customer's requirements during a particular future period may not be accurate at any point in time. As of March 31, 2011, the electrical construction operation's backlog was approximately \$6.2 million, which included approximately \$4.5 million from fixed price contracts for which revenue is recognized using percentage-of-completion and approximately \$1.7 million from service agreement contracts for which revenue is recognized as work is performed. We expect substantially all of our current backlog to be completed within the current fiscal year. This compares to a backlog of

Table of Contents

\$11.5 million at March 31, 2010, of which approximately \$6.7 million represented backlog from fixed price contracts and approximately \$4.8 million represented service agreement backlog.

Revenue from our real estate development operations decreased 14.5% to \$766,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to \$896,000 for the like period in 2010. This decrease was mainly due to the number and sales price of the properties sold during 2011, when compared to 2010. During the three months ended March 31, 2011 a total of two condominium units from our Pineapple House project were sold, compared to three units for the same three month period in 2010. During the three months ended March 31, 2011, we had no real estate projects under construction.

As of March 31, 2011, there was no backlog for the real estate development operation s segment.

Operating Results

Total operating loss was \$1,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to operating income of \$146,000 in 2010, a decrease of \$147,000. Electrical construction operations had operating income of \$328,000 in the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to operating income of \$595,000 during the three months ended March 31, 2010, a decrease of \$267,000. Operating margins on electrical construction operations decreased to 4.0% for the three months ended March 31, 2011, from 7.8% for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The decrease in operating margins for the three months ended March 31, 2011, when compared to the same period in 2010, was largely the result of additional expenses associated with our growth and expansion efforts within the electrical construction segment.

Real estate development operations had operating income of \$225,000 in the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to \$251,000 in the three months ended March 31, 2010, a decrease of \$26,000. This decrease was mainly due to the amount and sales price of the properties sold during the three months ended 2011, compared to the same period in the prior year. As of March 31, 2011, we held three Pineapple House condominium units for sale.

Costs and Expenses

Total costs and expenses, and the components thereof, increased to \$8.9 million in the three months ended March 31, 2011, from \$8.4 million in the three months ended March 31, 2010, an increase of 6.4%.

Electrical construction cost of goods sold increased to \$7.0 million in the three months ended March 31, 2011, from \$6.3 million in the three months ended March 31, 2010, an increase of 11.7%. The increase in costs corresponds to the aforementioned increase in overhead operating costs attributable to expansion efforts made during the three months ended March 31, 2011.

Costs of goods sold for real estate development operations decreased to \$431,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2011, from \$494,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2010, a decrease of 12.7%. The decrease in costs of goods sold is primarily attributable to the variance in the amount and costs of the properties sold during the three months ended 2011, when compared to the same period in 2010.

The following table sets forth selling, general and administrative (SG&A) expenses for each segment for the three months ended March 31 as indicated:

	2011	2010
Electrical construction	\$ 92,006	\$ 75,987
Real estate development	109,944	150,661
Corporate	545,115	673,838
Total	\$ 747,065	\$ 900,486

SG&A expenses decreased to \$747,000 in the three months ended March 31, 2011, from \$900,000 in the three months ended March 31, 2010. The decreases in SG&A expenses are mainly attributable to a decrease in corporate administrative expenditures, specifically legal and professional services, during the three months ended March 31, 2011, when compared to the same period in 2010. As a percentage of revenue, SG&A expenses decreased to 8.4% for the three months ended March 31, 2011, from 10.6% in the three months ended March 31, 2010, due primarily to the decrease in corporate administrative expenditures in the current period.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth depreciation expense for each segment for the three months ended March 31 as indicated:

	2011	2010
Electrical construction	\$ 725,079	\$ 689,758
Real estate development	531	1,138
Corporate	8,525	25,948
Total	\$ 734,135	\$ 716,844

Depreciation expense remained increased to \$734,000 during the three months ended March 31, 2011, from \$717,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2010.

Income Taxes

The following table presents our provision for income tax and effective income tax rate from continuing operations for the three months ended March 31 as indicated:

	2011	2010
Income tax provision	\$ 10,156	\$ 15,159
Effective income tax rate	919.9%	11.7%

Our effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2011 was 919.9%. This rate is distorted due to the minimal amount of consolidated loss in relation to state income taxes payable. Our income tax provision is primarily only state income tax expense attributable to a subsidiary and does not reflect the federal statutory rate of 34%. Due to the impact of our deferred tax valuation allowance and the volatility in estimated future taxable income, our effective tax rate is based on a year-to-date income tax calculation. Our effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2010 of 11.7% differs from the statutory rate primarily due to previously unrecognized NOL carryforwards available to offset taxable income.

Discontinued Operations

Through certain of our subsidiaries and predecessor companies, we were previously engaged in mining activities, and all such activities were discontinued or disposed of prior to 2003. As a result of these historical activities, over the past several years, we have been contacted by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (the EPA) in connection with remediation activities at the Anderson-Calhoun Mine/Mill site in Stevens County, Washington (as a potentially responsible party), and the Newton County Mine Tailings Superfund site in Newton County, Missouri (pursuant to a request for information). The Company's mining related activities on which the EPA's claims were based ceased in 1957, with respect to the Stevens County site and in 1949, with respect to the Newton County site.

In each case, the Company entered into a settlement agreement with the EPA, and made a payment to the EPA in connection therewith (\$73,000 with respect to the Stevens County site and \$76,630 with respect to the Newton County site) in exchange for a covenant not to sue from the EPA relating to the applicable site. The Company is not aware of any facts suggesting that the Company's future liability associated with either of these sites is more than de minimis.

As of March 31, 2011, the cumulative net expense related to the Newton County site was \$118,000. This represents our estimated costs associated with the settlement agreement with the EPA and the professional fees associated with this matter for which we currently do not expect any insurance recoveries. Refer to note 4 to the consolidated financial statements for a discussion of these matters.

Table of Contents**Liquidity and Capital Resources****Working Capital Analysis**

Our primary cash needs have been for capital expenditures and working capital. Our primary sources of cash have been cash flow from operations and borrowings under our lines of credit. As of March 31, 2011, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$3.7 million and working capital of \$7.1 million, as compared to cash and cash equivalents of \$4.2 million and working capital of \$7.2 million as of December 31, 2010. In addition, we had \$3.0 million available in an unused revolving line of credit as of March 31, 2011, as discussed in note 3 to the consolidated financial statements. This revolving line of credit is used as a Working Capital Loan and is due and payable on January 5, 2012, at which time we expect to renew this loan. We anticipate that this cash on hand, our credit facilities and our future cash flows from operating activities will provide sufficient cash to enable us to meet our future operating needs and debt requirements.

Cash Flow Analysis

The following table presents our net cash flows for each of the three month periods ended March 31 as indicated:

	2011	2010
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 227,407	\$ 254,230
Net cash used in investing activities	(2,776,682)	(1,724,232)
Net cash provided by financing activities	2,032,174	676,020
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	\$ (517,101)	\$ (793,982)

Operating Activities

Cash flows from operating activities are comprised of the net (loss) income, adjusted to reflect the timing of cash receipts and disbursements therefrom. Our cash flows are influenced by the level of operations, operating margins and the types of services we provide, as well as the stages of our projects in both the electrical construction and real estate segments.

Cash provided by our operating activities totaled \$227,000 in the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to cash provided of \$254,000 from operating activities for the same prior year period. Our cash flows are influenced by the level of operations, operating margins, the types of services we provide, as well as the stages of our projects in both the electrical construction and real estate segments. Operating cash flows normally fluctuate relative to the status of projects within both the real estate and electrical construction segments.

Days of Sales Outstanding Analysis

We evaluate fluctuations in our accounts receivable and costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts for the electrical construction segment by comparing days of sales outstanding (DSO). We calculate DSO as of the end of any period by utilizing the respective quarter s electrical construction revenue to determine sales per day. We then divide accounts receivable and accrued billings, net of allowance for doubtful accounts at the end of the period by sales per day to calculate DSO for accounts receivable. To calculate DSO for costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings, we divide costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts by sales per day.

For the quarters ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, our DSO for accounts receivable were 37 and 39, respectively, and our DSO for costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts were 29 and 32, respectively. The decrease in our DSO for costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts was mainly due to the increase in transmission and fiber optic project revenue during the first quarter 2011, when compared to the same period in 2010. As of May 4, 2011, we have received approximately 86.8% of our March 31, 2011 outstanding trade accounts receivable and have billed 81.0% of our costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings balance.

Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities during the three months ended March 31, 2011, was \$2.8 million, compared to cash used of \$1.7 million during 2010. The increase in cash used in our investing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2011, when compared to 2010 is

primarily due to the increase in capital expenditures during the

Table of Contents

current year period. These capital expenditures are mainly attributed to purchases of equipment, primarily trucks and heavy machinery used by our electrical construction segment for the upgrading and replacement of equipment. Our capital budget for 2011 is expected to total approximately \$4.6 million, the majority of which is for upgrading of equipment and purchases of new equipment for the construction of concrete foundations and expansion efforts, mainly within the electrical construction segment. These purchases will be funded through our cash reserves and our equipment loan.

Financing Activities

Cash provided by financing activities during the three months ended March 31, 2011 was \$2.0 million, compared to cash provided by financing activities of \$676,000 during the prior year period. Our financing activities for the current year consisted mainly of net borrowings on our equipment loan of \$2.6 million, offset by repayments on notes payable of \$458,000 for the Pineapple House mortgage, and \$143,000 on the electrical construction equipment loans. Our financing activities for the prior year period consisted mainly of proceeds of \$500,000 from our Working Capital Loan and \$1.0 million from our \$3.8 million equipment loan. These borrowings were partially offset by repayments on notes payable of \$870,000 during the prior year period for the Pineapple House Mortgage. See note 3 to the consolidated financial statements for more information regarding these borrowings.

We have paid no cash dividends on our Common Stock since 1933, and it is not expected that we will pay any cash dividends on our Common Stock in the immediate future.

Debt Covenants

Our debt arrangements contain various financial and other covenants including, but not limited to, minimum tangible net worth, outside debt limitation, and maximum debt to tangible net worth ratio. Other loan covenants prohibit, among other things, incurring additional indebtedness, issuing loans to other entities in excess of a certain amount, entering into a merger or consolidation, and any change in the Company's current Chief Executive Officer without prior written consent from the lender. The loans also have cross-default provisions whereby any default under any loans of the Company (or its subsidiaries) with the lender will constitute a default under all of the other loans of the Company (and its subsidiaries) with the lender. The most significant of these covenants are minimum tangible net worth, outside debt limitation, and maximum debt to tangible net worth ratio. The Company must maintain a tangible net worth of at least \$13.5 million, no more than \$500,000 in outside debt and a maximum debt to worth ratio of no greater than 1.5 to 1.0. The Company was in compliance with all of its covenants as of March 31, 2011.

The following are computations of these most restrictive financial covenants:

	Covenant	Actual as of March 31, 2011
Tangible net worth minimum	\$ 13,500,000	\$ 14,447,216
Outside debt must not exceed \$500,000	500,000	-
Maximum debt/worth ratio must not exceed 1.5 : 1.0	1.5 : 1.0	0.63 : 1.0

Forecast

We anticipate our cash on hand, cash flows from operations and credit facilities will provide sufficient cash to enable us to meet our working capital needs, debt service requirements and planned capital expenditures for at least the next twelve months. The amount of our planned capital expenditures will depend, to some extent, on the results of our future performance. However, our revenue, results of operations and cash flows, as well as our ability to seek additional financing may be negatively impacted by factors including, but not limited to, a decline in demand for electrical construction services and/or real estate in the markets served and general economic conditions, heightened competition, availability of construction materials, increased interest rates and adverse weather conditions.

Item 4T. Controls and Procedures.**Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the SEC, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management timely. An

evaluation was performed under the

Table of Contents

supervision and with the participation of our management, including John H. Sottile, our Chief Executive Officer and Stephen R. Wherry, our Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) as of March 31, 2011. Based upon that evaluation, our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of March 31, 2011.

Changes in Internal Controls over Financial Reporting

No changes in our internal controls over financial reporting occurred during the first quarter of 2011 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

Based on current regulations, Section 404(a) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act required our management to provide an assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010. We have performed the necessary system and process documentation in preparation for the evaluation and testing required for management to make this assessment and for our independent registered public accounting firm to provide their attestation report. On July 21, 2010, President Obama signed the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act. Included in the Act is a provision that permanently exempts smaller public companies that qualify as either a Non-Accelerated Filer or Smaller Reporting Company from the auditor attestation requirement of Section 404(b) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. For our fiscal year ending December 31, 2010, we were exempt from such requirement.

Limitations of the Effectiveness of Controls

A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance, not absolute assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Because of inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues, if any, within a company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people or by management override of the controls. The design of any system of controls is also based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that the design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions; over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with policies and procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected. Accordingly, our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of our disclosure control system are met and, as set forth above, our CEO and CFO have concluded, based on their evaluation, that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of March 31, 2011 to provide reasonable assurance that the objectives of the disclosure control system were met.

Table of Contents

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

Environmental

The following supplements the discussion set forth under Part I, Item 3, Legal Proceedings in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

On April 20, 2011, our proposed Settlement Agreement with the EPA relating to investigation and removal activities at the Newton County Mine Tailings Superfund site located in Newton County, Missouri was finalized, and on April 28, 2011, the Company made a cash payment to the EPA of \$76,630 in exchange for a covenant not to sue from the EPA relating to the site. The Company is not aware of any facts suggesting that the Company's future liability associated with the Site is more than de minimis.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes from the risk factors previously disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Since September 17, 2002, we have had a stock repurchase plan which, as last amended by the Board of Directors on September 21, 2010, permits the purchase of up to 3,500,000 shares until September 30, 2011. We did not purchase any of our Common Stock during the three months ended March 31, 2011. As of March 31, 2011, we have a maximum of 1,154,940 shares that may be purchased under our publicly announced stock repurchase plan. Since the inception of the repurchase plan, we have repurchased 2,345,060 shares of our Common Stock at a cost of \$1,289,467 (average cost of \$0.55 per share). We may repurchase our shares either in the open market or through private transactions. The volume of the shares to be repurchased is contingent upon market conditions and other factors. The Company currently holds the repurchased stock as Treasury Stock, reported at cost. Prior to September 17, 2002, the Company had 17,358 shares of Treasury Stock which it had purchased at a cost of \$18,720.

Item 4. (Removed and Reserved).

Item 6. Exhibits

- *31-1 Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 15 U.S.C. Section 7241
- *31-2 Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 15 U.S.C. Section 7241
- *32-1 **Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C. Section 1350
- *32-2 **Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C. Section 1350

* Filed herewith.

** These exhibits are intended to be furnished in accordance with Regulation S-K Item 601(b)(32)(ii) and shall not be deemed to be filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Act of 1934 or incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933, except as shall be expressly set forth by specific reference.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Dated: May 12, 2011

THE GOLDFIELD CORPORATION

By: /s/ John H. Sottile
John H. Sottile
Chairman of the Board, President and Chief
Executive Officer (Principal Executive
Officer)

 /s/ Stephen R. Wherry
Stephen R. Wherry
Senior Vice President, Chief Financial

Officer, Treasurer and Assistant Secretary
(Principal Financial and Accounting
Officer)