

NEW YORK TIMES CO
Form 10-K
February 22, 2011
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

Annual Report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the fiscal year ended *December 26, 2010*

Commission file number *1-5837*

THE NEW YORK TIMES COMPANY

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

New York
(State or other jurisdiction of

13-1102020
(I.R.S. Employer

incorporation or organization)

Identification No.)

620 Eighth Avenue, New York, N.Y.
(Address of principal executive offices)

10018
(Zip code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: *(212) 556-1234*

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class
Class A Common Stock of \$.10 par value

Name of each exchange on which registered
New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: *Not Applicable*

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Edgar Filing: NEW YORK TIMES CO - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The aggregate worldwide market value of Class A Common Stock held by non-affiliates, based on the closing price on June 25, 2010, the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second quarter, as reported on the New York Stock Exchange, was approximately \$1.3 billion. As of such date, non-affiliates held 72,899 shares of Class B Common Stock. There is no active market for such stock.

The number of outstanding shares of each class of the registrant's common stock as of February 15, 2011 (exclusive of treasury shares), was as follows: 146,182,163 shares of Class A Common Stock and 819,125 shares of Class B Common Stock.

Documents incorporated by reference

Portions of the Proxy Statement relating to the registrant's 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, to be held on April 27, 2011, are incorporated by reference into Part III of this report.

Table of Contents

INDEX TO THE NEW YORK TIMES COMPANY 2010 ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K

| | ITEM NO. | | |
|----------|-----------|--|------------|
| PART I | | <u>Forward-Looking Statements</u> | 1 |
| | 1 | <u>Business</u> | 1 |
| | | <u>Introduction</u> | 1 |
| | | <u>News Media Group</u> | 2 |
| | | <u>The New York Times Media Group</u> | 2 |
| | | <u>New England Media Group</u> | 4 |
| | | <u>Regional Media Group</u> | 5 |
| | | <u>About Group</u> | 6 |
| | | <u>Forest Products Investments and Other Joint Ventures</u> | 6 |
| | | <u>Raw Materials</u> | 7 |
| | | <u>Competition</u> | 7 |
| | | <u>Employees</u> | 8 |
| | | <u>Labor Relations</u> | 8 |
| | 1A | <u>Risk Factors</u> | 9 |
| | 1B | <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | 16 |
| | 2 | <u>Properties</u> | 16 |
| | 3 | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 16 |
| | | <u>Executive Officers of the Registrant</u> | 17 |
| PART II | 5 | <u>Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | 18 |
| | 6 | <u>Selected Financial Data</u> | 22 |
| | 7 | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 26 |
| | 7A | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 54 |
| | 8 | <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | 55 |
| | 9 | <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | 111 |
| | 9A | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 111 |
| | 9B | <u>Other Information</u> | 111 |
| PART III | 10 | <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u> | 112 |
| | 11 | <u>Executive Compensation</u> | 112 |
| | 12 | <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | 112 |
| | 13 | <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u> | 112 |
| | 14 | <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u> | 112 |
| PART IV | 15 | <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u> | 113 |

Table of Contents

PART I

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Annual Report on Form 10-K, including the sections titled [Item 1A Risk Factors](#) and [Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations](#), contains forward-looking statements that relate to future events or our future financial performance. We may also make written and oral forward-looking statements in our Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) filings and otherwise. We have tried, where possible, to identify such statements by using words such as believe, expect, intend, estimate, anticipate, project, plan and similar expressions in connection with any discussion of future operating or financial performance. Any forward-looking statements are and will be based upon our then-current expectations, estimates and assumptions regarding future events and are applicable only as of the dates of such statements. We undertake no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

By their nature, forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated in any such statements. You should bear this in mind as you consider forward-looking statements. Factors that we think could, individually or in the aggregate, cause our actual results to differ materially from expected and historical results include those described in [Item 1A Risk Factors](#) below as well as other risks and factors identified from time to time in our SEC filings.

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

INTRODUCTION

The New York Times Company (the Company) was incorporated on August 26, 1896, under the laws of the State of New York. The Company is a diversified media company that currently includes newspapers, digital businesses, investments in paper mills and other investments. The Company and its consolidated subsidiaries are referred to collectively in this Annual Report on Form 10-K as we, our and us.

We classify our businesses based on our operating strategies into two reportable segments, the News Media Group and the About Group. Financial information about our segments can be found in [Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations](#) and in Note 19 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

The News Media Group consists of the following:

- The New York Times Media Group, which includes The New York Times (The Times), the International Herald Tribune (the IHT), NYTimes.com and related businesses;
- the New England Media Group, which includes The Boston Globe (the Globe), Boston.com, the Worcester Telegram & Gazette (the T&G), Telegram.com and related businesses; and
- the Regional Media Group, which includes 14 daily newspapers in Alabama, California, Florida, Louisiana, North Carolina and South Carolina, their Web sites, other print publications and related businesses.

The About Group consists of the Web sites of About.com, ConsumerSearch.com, UCompareHealthCare.com and CalorieCount.com and related businesses.

Additionally, we own equity interests in a Canadian newsprint company; a supercalendered paper manufacturing partnership in Maine; Metro Boston LLC (Metro Boston), which publishes a free daily newspaper in the greater Boston area; and quadrantONE LLC (quadrantONE), which is an online advertising network that sells bundled premium, targeted display advertising onto local newspaper and other Web sites.

We also own a 16.57% interest in New England Sports Ventures, LLC, doing business as Fenway Sports Group, which owns the Boston Red Sox baseball club; Liverpool Football Club (a soccer team in the English Premier League); approximately 80% of New England Sports Network (a regional cable sports network that televises the Red Sox and Boston Bruins hockey games); and 50% of Roush Fenway Racing (a leading NASCAR team). In the second quarter of 2010, we sold

Table of Contents

50 of our units in Fenway Sports Group, and we are exploring the sale of our remaining 700 units, in whole or in parts.

Revenues, operating profit and identifiable assets of foreign operations are not significant.

Our businesses are affected by seasonal patterns in advertising, with generally higher advertising volume in the fourth quarter due to holiday advertising. The level of advertising sales in any period may also be affected by advertisers' decisions to increase or decrease their advertising expenditures in response to anticipated consumer demand and general economic conditions.

Our Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, and all amendments to those reports, and the Proxy Statement for our Annual Meeting of Stockholders are made available, free of charge, on our Web site <http://www.nytimes.com>, as soon as reasonably practicable after such reports have been filed with or furnished to the SEC.

NEWS MEDIA GROUP

The News Media Group reportable segment consists of The New York Times Media Group, the New England Media Group and the Regional Media Group. The News Media Group generates revenues principally from advertising and subscriptions.

Advertising is sold in our newspapers and other publications, on our Web sites and across other digital platforms, as discussed below. We divide such advertising into three main categories: national, retail and classified. Advertising revenue also includes preprints, which are advertising supplements. Advertising revenue information for the News Media Group appears under Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The New York Times Media Group

The New York Times Media Group comprises The Times, the IHT, NYTimes.com and related businesses. The Times, a daily (Monday through Saturday) and Sunday newspaper, commenced publication in 1851. The IHT, a daily newspaper, commenced publishing in Paris in 1887 and serves as the global edition of The Times. The Times and the IHT are available in print, online at NYTimes.com and global.nytimes.com (formerly iht.com), and on other digital platforms.

Circulation

The Times is circulated in print in each of the 50 states, the District of Columbia and worldwide. Approximately 43% of the weekday (Monday through Friday) circulation is in the 31 counties that make up the greater New York City area, which includes New York City, Westchester, Long Island, and parts of upstate New York, Connecticut, New Jersey and Pennsylvania; 57% is elsewhere. On Sundays, approximately 38% of the circulation is in the greater New York City area and 62% elsewhere. According to reports filed with the Audit Bureau of Circulations (ABC), an independent agency that audits the circulation of most U.S. newspapers and magazines, for the six-month period ended September 30, 2010, The Times had the largest daily and Sunday circulation of all seven-day newspapers in the United States.

The Times's average net paid weekday and Sunday circulation, which reflects newspapers sold predominantly in print, for the years ended December 26, 2010, and December 27, 2009, are shown below:

| (Thousands of copies) | Weekday (Mon. - Fri.) | Sunday |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------|
| 2010 | 906.1 | 1,356.8 |
| 2009 | 959.2 | 1,405.2 |

We implemented price increases for subscription and newsstand copies for The Times in the second quarter of 2009, which contributed to the declines in average weekday and Sunday copies sold in 2010 compared with 2009. In 2010, approximately 64% of the weekday and 74% of the Sunday circulation was sold through subscriptions; the remainder was sold primarily on newsstands.

Table of Contents

The IHT's average net paid circulation for the years ended December 26, 2010, and December 27, 2009, were 217,685 (estimated) and 219,188, respectively. These figures follow the guidance of Office de Justification de la Diffusion, an agency based in Paris and a member of the International Federation of Audit Bureaux of Circulations that audits the circulation of most of France's newspapers and magazines. The final 2010 figure will not be available until April 2011.

Advertising

The sales forces of The New York Times Media Group sell national, retail and classified advertising worldwide across multiple platforms, including in print, online and on other digital platforms.

According to data compiled by Kantar Media (formerly TNS Media Intelligence), an independent agency that measures advertising sales volume and estimates advertising revenue, The Times had the largest market share in 2010 in advertising revenue among a national newspaper set that consists of USA Today, The Wall Street Journal and The Times. Based on recent data provided by Kantar Media, we believe The Times ranks first by a substantial margin in advertising revenue in the general weekday and Sunday newspaper field in the New York metropolitan area.

Production and Distribution

The Times is currently printed at our production and distribution facility in College Point, N.Y., as well as under contract at 26 remote print sites across the United States. The Times is delivered to newsstands and retail outlets in the New York metropolitan area through a combination of third-party wholesalers and our own drivers. In other markets in the United States and Canada, The Times is delivered through agreements with other newspapers and third-party delivery agents.

The IHT is printed under contract at more than 38 sites throughout the world and is sold in 160 countries and territories.

Digital Platforms

NYTimes.com and global.nytimes.com reach wide audiences across the New York metropolitan region, the nation and the world. According to comScore Media Metrix, an online audience measurement service, in December 2010 NYTimes.com reached 32.4 million unique visitors in the United States and 44.8 million unique visitors worldwide. The Times and the IHT are also expanding their reach and deepening their engagement with readers and users by delivering content across other digital platforms, including mobile applications and social networking sites, as well as reader application products offering a digital reading experience similar to print.

Digital revenues are primarily from the sale of advertising. Advertising is sold to national, international and local customers and includes online display advertising (banners, large-format units, half-page units, interactive multimedia), classified advertising (real estate, help-wanted, automotive) and contextual advertising (links supplied by Google).

We currently offer paid subscriptions to Times content through several e-reader platforms. In early 2011, we also plan to begin charging consumers for content provided on NYTimes.com, as well as across other digital platforms. We intend to implement a pay model that will offer users free access to a set number of articles per month and then charge users who are not print subscribers once they exceed that number. The pay model will be designed so that readers referred from third-party sites, such as blogs, social networks and search engines, will be able to access that content without triggering the gate.

Other Businesses

The New York Times Media Group's other businesses include:

Baseline, Inc., a leading online subscription database and research service for information on the film and television industries and a provider of premium film and television data to Web sites;

The New York Times Index, which produces and licenses The New York Times Index, a print publication;

Table of Contents

Digital Archive Distribution, which licenses electronic archive databases to resellers of that information in the business, professional and library markets; and

The New York Times News Services Division, which is made up of Syndication Sales and Business Development. Syndication Sales transmits articles, graphics and photographs from The Times, the Globe and other publications to over 1,500 newspapers, magazines and Web sites in 95 countries worldwide; and offers a technology and design solution that allows other publishers and media organizations to deliver their content across new digital platforms. Business Development principally comprises Photo Archives, The New York Times Store, Book Development and Rights & Permissions.

We also have a 57% ownership interest in Epsilen, LLC, an online education platform, and its operating results are consolidated in the results of The New York Times Media Group.

New England Media Group

The New England Media Group comprises the Globe, Boston.com, the T&G, Telegram.com and related businesses. The Globe is a daily and Sunday newspaper that commenced publication in 1872. The T&G is a daily and Sunday newspaper that began publishing in 1866.

Circulation

The Globe is distributed in print throughout New England, although its circulation is concentrated in the Boston metropolitan area. According to reports filed with ABC, for the six-month period ended September 30, 2010, the Globe ranked first in New England for both daily and Sunday circulation volume.

The Globe's average net paid weekday and Sunday circulation, which reflects newspapers sold predominantly in print, for the years ended December 26, 2010, and December 27, 2009, are shown below:

| (Thousands of copies) | Weekday (Mon. - Fri.) | Sunday |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------|
| 2010 | 224.3 | 367.4 |
| 2009 | 264.5 | 419.3 |

We implemented price increases for subscription and newsstand copies of the Globe in the second quarter of 2009, which contributed to the declines in average weekday and Sunday copies sold in 2010 compared with 2009. Approximately 75% of the Globe's weekday circulation and 73% of its Sunday circulation was sold through subscriptions in 2010; the remainder was sold primarily on newsstands.

The T&G and several Company-owned non-daily newspapers – some published under the name of Coulter Press – circulate throughout Worcester County and northeastern Connecticut. The T&G's average net paid weekday and Sunday circulation for the years ended December 26, 2010, and December 27, 2009, are shown below:

| (Thousands of copies) | Weekday (Mon. - Fri.) | Sunday |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------|
| 2010 | 67.0 | 78.7 |
| 2009 | 73.6 | 86.0 |

The T&G's paid circulation includes newspapers sold in print and online beginning in August 2010, when Telegram.com implemented a pay model.

Advertising

The sales forces of the New England Media Group sell retail, classified and national advertising across multiple platforms, including in print, online and other digital platforms, broadcast and direct marketing vehicles, capitalizing on opportunities to deliver to national and local advertisers a broad audience in the New England region.

Table of Contents*Production and Distribution*

The Globe's printing operations are consolidated into its Boston, Mass., facility, and all editions of the Globe are currently printed and prepared for delivery at this facility. Virtually all of the Globe's subscription circulation was delivered by a third-party service in 2010.

Digital Platforms

The Globe's Web site, Boston.com, is one of the most-visited regional Web sites in the United States. According to comScore Media Metrix, in December 2010 Boston.com reached 6.9 million unique visitors in the United States. The Globe is also expanding its reach and deepening engagement with readers and users by delivering content across other digital platforms, including mobile applications and social networking sites, as well as reader application products offering a digital reading experience similar to print.

Boston.com primarily derives its revenue from advertising. Advertising is sold to both national and local customers and includes online display advertising and classified advertising.

We currently offer paid subscriptions to Globe content through several e-reader platforms. The Globe intends to extend both The Boston Globe and Boston.com brands across digital platforms. As part of this strategy, in September 2010, the Globe announced plans to create a new paid subscription Web site, BostonGlobe.com, which will feature content produced by the newspaper's journalists. In the second half of 2011, we plan to begin charging consumers for content provided on BostonGlobe.com, as well as across other digital platforms. Boston.com will remain free to consumers and will continue its focus on being a news and information source for the greater Boston area.

In August 2010, the T&G began charging users who are not print subscribers for unlimited access to articles produced by its staff on Telegram.com. The T&G implemented a metered model, in which users who are not print subscribers are given free access to 10 local news articles per month, and after that limit are required to have a paid print or online subscription in order to view additional locally produced articles.

Regional Media Group

The Regional Media Group includes 14 daily newspapers, of which 12 publish on Sunday, one paid weekly newspaper, related print and digital businesses, free weekly newspapers, and the North Bay Business Journal, a weekly publication targeting business leaders in California's Sonoma, Napa and Marin Counties.

The average weekday and Sunday circulation for the year ended December 26, 2010, for each of the daily newspapers of the Regional Media Group are shown below:

| Daily Newspapers | Circulation | | Daily Newspapers | Circulation | |
|---|-------------|--------|------------------------------------|-------------|--------|
| | Daily | Sunday | | Daily | Sunday |
| The Gadsden Times (Ala.) | 20,663 | 17,748 | Winter Haven News Chief (Fla.) | 4,839 | 6,550 |
| The Tuscaloosa News (Ala.) | 30,070 | 31,377 | The Courier (Houma, La.) | 14,715 | 16,252 |
| The Press Democrat (Santa Rosa, Calif.) | 60,429 | 64,538 | Daily Comet (Thibodaux, La.) | 8,673 | N/A |
| Sarasota Herald-Tribune (Fla.) | 76,693 | 96,237 | The Dispatch (Lexington, N.C.) | 8,084 | N/A |
| Star-Banner (Ocala, Fla.) | 33,880 | 41,156 | Times-News (Hendersonville, N.C.) | 13,603 | 13,911 |
| The Gainesville Sun (Fla.) | 33,278 | 40,569 | Wilmington Star-News (N.C.) | 43,233 | 45,678 |
| The Ledger (Lakeland, Fla.) | 48,802 | 63,833 | Herald-Journal (Spartanburg, S.C.) | 36,289 | 43,383 |

The Petaluma Argus-Courier, in Petaluma, Calif., our only paid subscription weekly newspaper, had an average weekly circulation for the year ended December 26, 2010, of 6,033 copies. The North Bay Business Journal, a weekly business-to-business publication, had an average weekly circulation for the year ended December 26, 2010, of 4,511 copies.

We are also expanding the reach of these newspapers by delivering content online and across other digital platforms, including mobile and social networking sites.

Table of Contents

ABOUT GROUP

The About Group includes the Web sites of About.com, ConsumerSearch.com, UCompareHealthCare.com and CalorieCount.com and related businesses.

About.com focuses on delivering at scale high-quality content that is personally relevant to its users. The Web site has more than 800 sites supported by Guides who are experts in the topics they cover and who produce original content across more than 78,000 topics, in 2.9 million articles. According to comScore Media Metrix, in December 2010 About.com reached 42.0 million unique visitors in the United States and 75.6 million unique visitors worldwide.

ConsumerSearch.com analyzes expert and user-generated consumer product reviews and recommends the best products to purchase based on the findings. UCompareHealthCare.com provides dynamic Web-based interactive tools that enable users to measure the quality of certain healthcare services. CalorieCount.com is an online resource that offers weight management tools, nutritional information and social support that is personally relevant to its users.

The About Group generates revenues through cost-per-click advertising (sponsored links for which the About Group is paid when a user clicks on the ad), display advertising and e-commerce (including sales lead generation). Almost all of its revenues (95% in 2010) are derived from the sale of cost-per-click advertising and display advertising. Cost-per-click advertising, which in 2010 represented 57% of the About Group's total advertising revenues, is principally derived from an arrangement with Google under which third-party advertising is placed on the About Group's Web sites.

FOREST PRODUCTS INVESTMENTS AND OTHER JOINT VENTURES

We have ownership interests in one newsprint mill and one mill producing supercalendered paper, a polished paper used in some magazines, catalogs and preprinted inserts, which is a higher-value grade than newsprint (the Forest Products Investments), as well as in Fenway Sports Group, Metro Boston and quadrantONE. These investments are accounted for under the equity method and reported in Investments in Joint Ventures in our Consolidated Balance Sheets. For additional information on our investments, see Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Note 7 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Forest Products Investments

We have a 49% equity interest in a Canadian newsprint company, Donohue Malbaie Inc. (Malbaie). The other 51% is owned by AbitibiBowater Inc. (AbitibiBowater), a large global manufacturer of paper, market pulp and wood products. Malbaie manufactures newsprint on the paper machine it owns within AbitibiBowater's paper mill in Clermont, Quebec. Malbaie is wholly dependent upon AbitibiBowater for its pulp, which is purchased by Malbaie from AbitibiBowater's paper mill in Clermont, Quebec. In 2010, Malbaie produced 214,000 metric tons of newsprint, of which approximately 27% was sold to us, with the balance sold to AbitibiBowater for resale.

We have a 40% equity interest in Madison Paper Industries (Madison), a partnership operating a supercalendered paper mill in Madison, Maine. Madison purchases the majority of its wood from local suppliers, mostly under long-term contracts. In 2010, Madison produced 190,000 metric tons, of which approximately 4% was sold to us.

Malbaie and Madison are subject to comprehensive environmental protection laws, regulations and orders of provincial, federal, state and local authorities of Canada or the United States (the Environmental Laws). The Environmental Laws impose effluent and emission limitations and require Malbaie and Madison to obtain, and operate in compliance with the conditions of, permits and other governmental authorizations (Governmental Authorizations). Malbaie and Madison follow policies and operate monitoring programs designed to ensure compliance with applicable Environmental Laws and Governmental Authorizations and to minimize exposure to environmental liabilities. Various regulatory authorities periodically review the status of the operations of Malbaie and Madison. Based on the foregoing, we believe that Malbaie and Madison are in substantial compliance with such Environmental Laws and Governmental Authorizations.

Table of Contents

Other Joint Ventures

We own a 16.57% interest in Fenway Sports Group, which owns the Boston Red Sox baseball club; Liverpool Football Club (a soccer team in the English Premier League); approximately 80% of New England Sports Network (a regional cable sports network); and 50% of Roush Fenway Racing (a leading NASCAR team). We are exploring the sale of our interest in Fenway Sports Group, in whole or in parts.

We own a 49% interest in Metro Boston, which publishes a free daily newspaper in the greater Boston area.

We also own a 25% interest in quadrantONE, which is an online advertising network that sells bundled premium, targeted display advertising onto local newspaper and other Web sites. The Web sites of the New England and Regional Media Groups participate in this network.

RAW MATERIALS

The primary raw materials we use are newsprint and supercalendered paper. We purchase newsprint from a number of North American producers. The paper used by The New York Times Media Group, the New England Media Group and the Regional Media Group was purchased from unrelated suppliers and related suppliers in which we hold equity interests (see Forest Products Investments). A significant portion of newsprint is purchased from AbitibiBowater, a large global manufacturer of paper, pulp and wood products.

In 2010 and 2009, we used the following types and quantities of paper (all amounts in metric tons):

| | Newsprint | | Coated, Supercalendered and Other Paper | |
|---|----------------|----------------|---|---------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| The New York Times Media Group ⁽¹⁾ | 145,000 | 154,000 | 14,900 | 16,200 |
| New England Media Group ⁽¹⁾ | 45,000 | 54,000 | 1,800 | 2,100 |
| Regional Media Group | 38,000 | 40,000 | | |
| Total | 228,000 | 248,000 | 16,700 | 18,300 |

⁽¹⁾ The Times and the Globe use coated, supercalendered or other paper for The New York Times Magazine, T: The New York Times Style Magazine and the Globe's Sunday Magazine.

COMPETITION

Our media properties and investments compete for advertising and consumers with other media in their respective markets, including paid and free newspapers, Web sites, digital platforms and applications, social media, broadcast, satellite and cable television, broadcast and satellite radio, magazines, other forms of media and direct marketing. Competition for advertising is generally based upon audience levels and demographics, price, service, targeting capabilities and advertising results, while competition for circulation and readership is generally based upon format, content, quality, service, timeliness and price.

The Times competes for advertising and circulation primarily with national newspapers such as The Wall Street Journal and USA Today; newspapers of general circulation in New York City and its suburbs; other daily and weekly newspapers and television stations and networks in markets in which The Times circulates; and some national news and lifestyle magazines.

The IHT's key competitors include all international sources of English-language news, including The Wall Street Journal's European and Asian Editions, the Financial Times, Time, Newsweek International and The Economist; and news channels CNN, CNNi, Sky News International, CNBC and BBC.

Edgar Filing: NEW YORK TIMES CO - Form 10-K

The Globe competes primarily for advertising and circulation with other newspapers and television stations in Boston, its neighboring suburbs and the greater New England region, including, among others, The Boston Herald (daily and Sunday).

Business THE NEW YORK TIMES COMPANY [P.7](#)

Table of Contents

Our other newspapers compete for advertising and circulation with a variety of newspapers and other media in their markets.

In addition, as a result of the secular shift from print to digital media, all of our newspapers increasingly face competition for audience and advertising from a wide variety of digital alternatives, including news and other Web sites, news aggregation sites, online advertising networks and exchanges, online classified services and other new media formats.

NYTimes.com and Boston.com primarily compete for advertising and traffic with other advertising-supported news and information Web sites, such as Google News, Wikipedia, Yahoo! News and CNN.com, online advertising networks and exchanges and classified advertising portals. Internationally, global.nytimes.com competes against international online sources of English language news, such as bbc.co.uk and reuters.com.

About.com competes for advertising and traffic with large-scale portals, such as AOL, MSN and Yahoo!, and across a broad array of digital advertising media, including advertising networks and exchanges. About.com also competes with other content providers, such as Demand Media, Associated Content and AOL's Seed, as well as targeted Web sites, such as WebMD, CNET and iVillage, whose content overlaps with that of About.com's individual topics.

Fenway Sports Group competes in the consumer entertainment market in Boston and New England through its interest in the Boston Red Sox and New England Sports Network, nationally through its interest in Roush Fenway Racing and internationally through its interest in Liverpool Football Club, primarily with other professional sports teams and other forms of live, film and broadcast entertainment.

EMPLOYEES

We had approximately 7,414 full-time equivalent employees as of December 26, 2010.

| | Employees |
|--------------------------------|------------------|
| The New York Times Media Group | 3,094 |
| New England Media Group | 1,912 |
| Regional Media Group | 1,755 |
| About Group | 241 |
| Corporate | 412 |
| <i>Total Company</i> | 7,414 |

Labor Relations

As of December 26, 2010, approximately 1,700 full-time equivalent employees of The Times and NYTimes.com were represented by 9 unions with 10 labor agreements. Approximately three-quarters of the 1,460 full-time equivalent employees of the Globe and Boston.com are represented by 10 unions with 12 labor agreements. Listed below are collective bargaining agreements covering the following categories of employees and applicable expiration dates. As indicated below, certain collective bargaining agreements have expired and negotiations for new contracts are ongoing. We cannot predict the timing or the outcome of these negotiations.

| | Employee Category | Expiration Date |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| The Times and NYTimes.com | Mailers | March 30, 2011 |
| | New York Newspaper Guild | March 30, 2011 |
| | Electricians | March 30, 2012 |
| | Machinists | March 30, 2012 |
| | Paperhandlers | March 30, 2014 |
| | Typographers | March 30, 2016 |
| | Pressmen | March 30, 2017 |
| | Stereotypers | March 30, 2017 |
| | Drivers | March 30, 2020 |

Table of Contents

| | Employee Category | Expiration Date |
|-----------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| The Globe | Machinists | December 31, 2010 (expired) |
| | Garage mechanics | December 31, 2010 (expired) |
| | Engravers | December 31, 2010 (expired) |
| | Technical services group | December 31, 2010 (expired) |
| | Drivers | December 31, 2010 (expired) |
| | Typographers | December 31, 2010 (expired) |
| | Boston Mailers Union | December 31, 2010 (expired) |
| | Paperhandlers | December 31, 2010 (expired) |
| | Warehouse employees | December 31, 2010 (expired) |
| | Boston Newspaper Guild | December 31, 2012 |
| | Electricians | December 31, 2012 |
| Pressmen | December 31, 2012 | |

The IHT has approximately 300 employees worldwide, including approximately 165 located in France, whose terms and conditions of employment are established by a combination of French national labor law, industry-wide collective agreements and Company-specific agreements.

Approximately one-third of the 459 full-time equivalent employees of the T&G are represented by four unions. Labor agreements with production unions expire on August 31, 2011, October 8, 2011 and November 30, 2016. The labor agreements with the Providence Newspaper Guild, representing newsroom and circulation employees, expire on June 14, 2012.

Of the approximately 233 full-time equivalent employees at The Press Democrat, 77 are represented by three unions. The labor agreement with the Teamsters, which represents certain employees in the circulation department, expires on June 30, 2011; the labor agreement with the Newspaper Guild expires on December 31, 2011; and the labor agreement with the pressmen expires on December 31, 2013.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

You should carefully consider the risk factors described below, as well as the other information included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Our business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially adversely affected by any or all of these risks, or by other risks or uncertainties not presently known or currently deemed immaterial, that may adversely affect us in the future.

Economic weakness and uncertainty in the United States, in the regions in which we operate and in key advertising categories has adversely affected and may continue to adversely affect our advertising revenues.

Advertising spending, which drives a significant portion of our revenues, is sensitive to economic conditions. National and local economic conditions, particularly in the New York City and Boston metropolitan regions, as well as in Florida, affect the levels of our national, retail and classified advertising revenue. Economic factors that have adversely affected advertising revenue include lower consumer and business spending, high unemployment, depressed home sales and other challenges affecting the economy. Our advertising revenues are particularly adversely affected if advertisers respond to weak economic conditions by reducing their budgets or shifting spending patterns or priorities, or if they are forced to consolidate or cease operations. Continuing weak economic conditions and outlook would adversely affect our level of advertising revenues and our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents

All of our businesses face substantial competition for advertisers.

We face substantial competition for advertising revenue in our various markets from free and paid newspapers, magazines, Web sites, digital platforms and applications, television, radio, other forms of media, direct marketing and online advertising networks and exchanges. Competition for advertising is generally based on audience levels and demographics, price, service and advertising results. It has intensified both as a result of the continued development and fragmentation of digital media and adverse economic conditions. Competition from all of these media and services affects our ability to attract and retain advertisers and consumers and to maintain or increase our advertising rates.

The increasing popularity of digital media and the shift in consumer habits and advertising expenditures from traditional to digital media has adversely affected and may continue to adversely affect our revenues.

Web sites, applications for mobile devices, social networking tools and other digital platforms distributing news and other content continue to gain popularity. This migration to digital technologies among both providers and consumers of content may accelerate due to economic conditions with companies seeking greater efficiencies and consumers seeking more value and lower-cost alternatives. As a result, print subscriptions may decline and advertising spending may continue to shift from traditional media forms to digital media. We expect that advertisers will continue to allocate increasing portions of their budgets to digital media, which through pay-for-performance and keyword-targeted advertising can offer more measurable returns than traditional print media. This secular shift has intensified competition for advertising in traditional media and has contributed to and may continue to contribute to a decline in print advertising revenue.

We may not achieve sufficient subscribers or audience levels to make a digital pay model financially attractive.

In early 2011, we plan to launch a pay model on NYTimes.com and across digital platforms with the intention of developing a second digital revenue stream, while preserving our digital advertising business. The Times will implement a metered model, whereby users will be asked to pay after accessing for free a set number of articles per month. Our ability to build a subscriber base on our digital platforms depends on market acceptance, consumer habits, the timely development of an adequate online infrastructure, practices of delivery platforms and other factors. Traffic levels may stagnate or decline as a result of the implementation of a pay model, which may adversely affect our advertiser base and advertising rates and result in a decline in digital revenues. If we are unable to convert a sufficient number of users to a paid status or maintain our digital audience for advertising sales, our business, financial condition and prospects may be adversely affected.

If we are unable to retain and grow our digital audience, our digital businesses will be adversely affected.

The increasing number of digital media options available on the Internet, through social networking tools and through mobile and other devices distributing news and other content is expanding consumer choice significantly. Faced with a multitude of media choices and a dramatic increase in accessible information, consumers may place greater value on when, where and how and at what price they consume digital content than they do on the source or reliability of such content. The increasing popularity of news aggregation Web sites and customized news feeds (often free to users) may reduce our traffic levels by creating a disincentive for the audience to visit our Web sites or use our digital applications. In addition, the undifferentiated presentation of some of our content in aggregation with other content may lead audiences to fail to distinguish our content from the content of other providers. Our reputations for quality journalism and content are important in competing for revenues in this environment and are based on consumer and advertiser perceptions. If consumers fail to differentiate our content from other content providers in digital media, or if the quality of our journalism or content is perceived as less reliable, we may not be able to increase our online traffic sufficiently or retain a base of frequent visitors to our digital platforms.

Table of Contents

Online traffic is also driven by Internet search results, including search results provided by Google, the primary search engine directing traffic to the Web sites of the About Group and many of our other sites. Search engines frequently update and change the methods for directing search queries to Web pages or change methodologies or metrics for valuing the quality and performance of Internet traffic on delivering cost-per-click advertisements. Any such changes could decrease the amount of revenue that we generate from online advertisements. The failure to successfully manage search engine optimization efforts across our businesses could result in a significant decrease in traffic to our various Web sites, which could result in substantial decreases in conversion rates and repeat business, as well as increased costs if we were to replace free traffic with paid traffic, any or all of which would adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

If traffic levels stagnate or decline, we may not be able to create sufficient advertiser interest in our digital businesses or to maintain or increase the advertising rates of the inventory on our digital platforms. Even if we maintain traffic levels, the market position of our brands may not be enough to counteract a significant downward pressure on advertising rates as a result of a significant increase in inventory.

The About Group depends upon arrangements with Google, and any changes in this relationship could adversely affect its business, financial condition and results of operations.

Cost-per-click revenue of the About Group is principally derived from an arrangement with Google. If the About Group is unable to renew the existing agreement with Google, the About Group's business, financial condition and results of operations may be adversely affected. In addition, the amount of revenue we receive from Google depends upon a number of factors outside of our control, including the efficiency of Google's system in attracting advertisers and serving up paid listings on our content pages and judgments made by Google about the relative attractiveness (to the advertiser) of clicks on paid listings on our Web pages. Changes to Google's paid listings network efficiency or its judgment about the relative attractiveness of clicks on paid listings on our Web pages has had and may continue to have an adverse effect on the About Group's business, financial condition and results of operations.

To remain competitive, we must be able to respond to and capitalize on changes in technology, services and standards and changes in consumer behavior.

Technological developments in the media industry continue to evolve rapidly. Advances in that technology have led to an increasing number of alternative methods for the delivery of news and other content and have driven consumer demand and expectations in unanticipated directions. If we are unable to exploit new and existing technologies to distinguish our products and services from those of our competitors or adapt to new distribution methods that provide optimal user experiences, our business, financial condition and prospects may be adversely affected.

Technological developments also pose other challenges that could adversely affect our revenues and competitive position. New delivery platforms may lead to pricing restrictions, the loss of distribution control and the loss of a direct relationship with consumers. We may also be adversely affected if the use of technology developed to block the display of advertising on Web sites proliferates.

Technological developments and any changes we make to our business model may require significant capital investments. We may be limited in our ability to invest funds and resources in digital products, services or opportunities and we may incur costs of research and development in building and maintaining the necessary and continually evolving technology infrastructure. It may also be difficult to attract and retain talent for critical positions. Some of our existing competitors and possible additional entrants may have greater operational, financial and other resources or may otherwise be better positioned to compete for opportunities and as a result, our digital businesses may be less successful.

Table of Contents

Decreases in circulation volume adversely affect our circulation and advertising revenues.

Advertising and circulation revenues are affected by circulation and readership levels of our newspaper properties. Competition for circulation and readership is generally based upon format, content, quality, service, timeliness and price. In recent years, our newspaper properties, and the newspaper industry as a whole, have experienced declining print circulation volume. This is due to, among other factors, increased competition from new digital media formats and sources other than traditional newspapers (often free to users), declining discretionary spending by consumers affected by weak economic conditions, high subscription and newsstand rates, and a growing preference among some consumers to receive all or a portion of their news other than from a newspaper. We have also experienced volume declines as a result of our strategy to implement circulation price increases at many of our newspaper properties and to focus on individually paid circulation that is preferred by advertisers. If these or other factors result in a prolonged decline in circulation volume, the rate and volume of advertising revenues may be adversely affected (as rates reflect circulation and readership, among other factors). These factors could also affect our ability to institute circulation price increases for our print products at a rate sufficient to offset circulation volume declines.

If we are unable to execute cost-control measures successfully, our total operating costs may be greater than expected, which may adversely affect our profitability.

We have significantly reduced operating costs by reducing staff and employee benefits and implementing general cost-control measures across the Company, and expect to continue these cost-control efforts. If we do not achieve expected savings or our operating costs increase as a result of our strategic initiatives, our total operating costs may be greater than anticipated. In addition, if our cost-reduction strategy is not managed properly, such efforts may affect the quality of our products and our ability to generate future revenue. Reductions in staff and employee compensation and benefits could also adversely affect our ability to attract and retain key employees.

Significant portions of our expenses are fixed costs that neither increase nor decrease proportionately with revenues. If we are not able to implement further cost control efforts or reduce our fixed costs sufficiently in response to a decline in our revenues, we may experience a higher percentage decline in our income from continuing operations.

A significant increase in the price of newsprint, or limited availability of newsprint supply, would have an adverse effect on our operating results.

The cost of raw materials, of which newsprint is the major component, represented approximately 8% of our total operating costs in 2010. The price of newsprint has historically been volatile and may increase as a result of various factors, including:

- a reduction in the number of suppliers as a result of restructurings and consolidations in the North American newsprint industry;
- declining newsprint supply as a result of paper mill closures and conversions to other grades of paper; and
- other factors that adversely impact supplier profitability, including increases in operating expenses caused by raw material and energy costs, and a rise in the value of the Canadian dollar, which adversely affects Canadian suppliers whose costs are incurred in Canadian dollars but whose newsprint sales are priced in U.S. dollars.

In addition, we rely on our suppliers for deliveries of newsprint. The availability of our newsprint supply may be affected by various factors, including strikes and other disruptions that may affect deliveries of newsprint.

If newsprint prices increase significantly or we experience significant disruptions in the availability of our newsprint supply in the future, our operating results will be adversely affected.

Table of Contents

Sustained increases in costs of providing pension and employee health and welfare benefits and the underfunded status of our pension plans may adversely affect our operations, financial condition and liquidity.

Employee benefits, including pension expense, accounted for approximately 9% of our total operating costs in 2010. Our profitability is significantly impacted by increases in these costs that may be due to factors beyond our control, including interest rates and returns on plan assets, which affect our pension expense and may increase our funding obligations. Our qualified pension plans were underfunded as of the January 1, 2011, valuation date and we expect to make substantial contributions in the future to fund this deficiency. If interest rates decrease or investment returns are below expectations, those contributions may be higher than currently anticipated. As a result, we may have less cash available for working capital and other corporate uses, which may have an adverse impact on our operations, financial condition and liquidity.

A significant number of our employees are unionized, and our business and results of operations could be adversely affected if labor negotiations or contracts were to further restrict our ability to maximize the efficiency of our operations.

Approximately 40% of our full-time equivalent work force are unionized. As a result, we are required to negotiate the wages, salaries, benefits, staffing levels and other terms with many of our employees collectively. Our results could be adversely affected if future labor negotiations or contracts were to further restrict our ability to maximize the efficiency of our operations. If we were to experience labor unrest, strikes or other business interruptions in connection with labor negotiations or otherwise, or if we are unable to negotiate labor contracts on reasonable terms, our ability to produce and deliver our most significant products could be impaired. In addition, our ability to make short-term adjustments to control compensation and benefits costs, rebalance our portfolio of businesses or otherwise adapt to changing business needs may be limited by the terms of our collective bargaining agreements.

Due to our participation in multiemployer pension plans, we have exposures under those plans that may extend beyond what our obligations would be with respect to our employees.

We participate in, and make periodic contributions to, various multiemployer pension plans that cover many of our union employees. Our required contributions to these funds could increase because of a shrinking contribution base as a result of the insolvency or withdrawal of other companies that currently contribute to these funds, inability or failure of withdrawing companies to pay their withdrawal liability, low interest rates, lower than expected returns on pension fund assets or other funding deficiencies.

We incurred significant pension withdrawal liabilities in 2009 in connection with amendments to various collective bargaining agreements affecting certain multiemployer pension plans, primarily at the Globe, and the closure of City & Suburban Delivery Systems, Inc. (City & Suburban), our retail and newsstand distribution subsidiary, which resulted in the partial or complete cessation of contributions to certain multiemployer plans. We may be required to make additional contributions under applicable law with respect to those plans or other multiemployer pension plans from which we may withdraw or partially withdraw. Our withdrawal liability for any multiemployer pension plan will depend on the extent of that plan's funding of vested benefits. If a multiemployer pension plan in which we participate has significant underfunded liabilities, such underfunding will increase the size of our potential withdrawal liability.

We may buy or sell different properties as a result of our evaluation of our portfolio of businesses. Such acquisitions or divestitures would affect our costs, revenues, profitability and financial position.

From time to time, we evaluate the various components of our portfolio of businesses and may, as a result, buy or sell different properties. These acquisitions or divestitures affect our costs, revenues, profitability and financial position. We may also consider the acquisition of specific properties or businesses that fall outside our traditional lines of business if we deem such properties sufficiently attractive.

Table of Contents

Divestitures have inherent risks, including possible delays in closing transactions (including potential difficulties in obtaining regulatory approvals), the risk of lower-than-expected sales proceeds for the divested businesses, unexpected costs associated with the separation of the business to be sold from our integrated information technology systems and other operating systems, and potential post-closing claims for indemnification. In addition, adverse economic or market conditions may result in fewer potential bidders and unsuccessful sales efforts. Expected cost savings, which are offset by revenue losses from divested businesses, may also be difficult to achieve or maximize due to our fixed cost structure.

Acquisitions also involve risks, including difficulties in integrating acquired operations, diversions of management resources, debt incurred in financing these acquisitions (including the related possible reduction in our credit ratings and increase in our cost of borrowing), differing levels of management and internal control effectiveness at the acquired entities and other unanticipated problems and liabilities. Competition for certain types of acquisitions, particularly digital properties, is significant. Even if successfully negotiated, closed and integrated, certain acquisitions or investments may prove not to advance our business strategy and may fall short of expected return on investment targets.

From time to time, we make noncontrolling minority investments in private entities and these investments may be subject to capital calls. We may have limited voting rights or an inability to influence the direction of such entities, although income from such investments may represent a significant portion of our income. Therefore, the success of these ventures may be dependent upon the efforts of our partners, fellow investors and licensees. These investments are generally illiquid, and the absence of a market inhibits our ability to dispose of them. This inhibition as well as an inability to control the timing or process relating to a disposition could adversely affect our liquidity and the value we may ultimately attain on a disposition. If the value of the companies in which we invest declines, we may be required to record a charge to earnings.

Our debt agreements contain restrictions that limit our flexibility in operating our business.

Our debt agreements contain various covenants that limit our ability to engage in specified types of transactions. For example, these covenants, among other things, restrict, subject to certain exceptions, our ability and the ability of our subsidiaries to:

- incur or guarantee additional debt or issue certain preferred equity;
- pay dividends on or make distributions to holders of our common stock or make other restricted payments;
- sell certain assets;
- create or incur liens on certain assets to secure debt;
- make certain investments;
- consolidate, merge, sell or otherwise dispose of all or substantially all of our assets; or
- enter into certain transactions with affiliates.

These restrictions limit our flexibility in operating our business and responding to opportunities.

Changes in our credit ratings or macroeconomic conditions may affect our liquidity, increasing borrowing costs and limiting our financing options.

Our long-term debt is currently rated below investment grade by Standard & Poor's and Moody's Investors Service. If our credit ratings remain below investment grade or are lowered further, borrowing costs for future long-term debt or short-term borrowing facilities may increase and our financing options, including our access to the unsecured borrowing market, would be more limited. We may also be subject to additional restrictive covenants that would reduce our flexibility. In addition, macroeconomic conditions, such as continued or increased volatility or disruption in the credit markets, would adversely affect our ability to refinance existing debt or obtain additional financing to support operations or to fund new acquisitions or capital-intensive internal initiatives.

Table of Contents

We may be required to record non-cash impairment charges with respect to certain of our assets.

Each year, we evaluate the various components of our portfolio in connection with annual impairment testing. We also continually evaluate whether current factors or indicators require the performance of an interim impairment assessment of those assets, as well as other investments and other long-lived assets. We may be required to record a non-cash charge if the financial statement carrying value of an asset is in excess of its estimated fair value. Fair value could be adversely affected by weak economic or market conditions within our industry that may have an adverse impact on our cash flows or our stock price. An impairment charge would adversely affect our reported earnings.

We may not be able to protect intellectual property rights upon which our business relies, and if we lose intellectual property protection, our assets may lose value.

Our business depends on our intellectual property, including our valuable brands, content, services and internally developed technology. We believe our proprietary trademarks and other intellectual property rights are important to our continued success and our competitive position.

Unauthorized parties may attempt to copy or otherwise obtain and use our content, services, technology and other intellectual property, and we cannot be certain that the steps we have taken to protect our proprietary rights will prevent any misappropriation or confusion among consumers and merchants, or unauthorized use of these rights.

Advancements in technology have exacerbated the risk by making it easier to duplicate and disseminate content. In addition, as our business and the risk of misappropriation of our intellectual property rights have become more global in scope, we may not be able to protect our proprietary rights in a cost effective manner in a multitude of jurisdictions with varying laws.

If we are unable to procure, protect and enforce our intellectual property rights, we may not realize the full value of these assets, and our business may suffer. If we must litigate in the United States or elsewhere to enforce our intellectual property rights or determine the validity and scope of the proprietary rights of others, such litigation may be costly and divert the attention of our management.

Our Class B Common Stock is principally held by descendants of Adolph S. Ochs, through a family trust, and this control could create conflicts of interest or inhibit potential changes of control.

We have two classes of stock: Class A Common Stock and Class B Common Stock. Holders of Class A Common Stock are entitled to elect 30% of the Board of Directors and to vote, with holders of Class B Common Stock, on the reservation of shares for equity grants, certain material acquisitions and the ratification of the selection of our auditors. Holders of Class B Common Stock are entitled to elect the remainder of the Board and to vote on all other matters. Our Class B Common Stock is principally held by descendants of Adolph S. Ochs, who purchased The Times in 1896. A family trust holds approximately 90% of the Class B Common Stock. As a result, the trust has the ability to elect 70% of the Board of Directors and to direct the outcome of any matter that does not require a vote of the Class A Common Stock. Under the terms of the trust agreement, the trustees are directed to retain the Class B Common Stock held in trust and to vote such stock against any merger, sale of assets or other transaction pursuant to which control of The Times passes from the trustees, unless they determine that the primary objective of the trust can be achieved better by the implementation of such transaction. Because this concentrated control could discourage others from initiating any potential merger, takeover or other change of control transaction that may otherwise be beneficial to our businesses, the market price of our Class A Common Stock could be adversely affected.

Legislative and regulatory developments may result in increased costs and lower advertising revenue from our digital businesses.

All of our operations are subject to government regulation in the jurisdictions in which they operate. Due to the wide geographic scope of its operations, the IHT is subject to regulation by political entities throughout the world. In addition, our Web sites are available worldwide and are subject to laws regulating the Internet both within and outside the United States. We may incur increased costs necessary to comply with existing and newly adopted laws and regulations or penalties for any failure to comply. Advertising revenue from our digital businesses could be adversely affected, directly or indirectly, by existing or future laws and regulations relating to the use of consumer data in digital media.

Table of Contents

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

Our principal executive offices are located in our New York headquarters building in the Times Square area. The building was completed in 2007 and consists of approximately 1.54 million gross square feet, of which approximately 828,000 gross square feet of space have been allocated to us. We owned a leasehold condominium interest representing approximately 58% of the New York headquarters building until March 6, 2009, when one of our affiliates entered into an agreement to sell and simultaneously lease back a portion of our leasehold condominium interest (the Condo Interest). The sale-leaseback transaction encompassed 21 floors, or approximately 750,000 rentable square feet, currently occupied by us. The sale price for the Condo Interest was \$225 million. We have an option, exercisable during the 10th year of the lease term, to repurchase the Condo Interest for \$250 million. The lease term is 15 years, and we have three renewal options that could extend the term for an additional 20 years. We continue to own seven floors in our New York headquarters building, totaling approximately 216,000 rentable square feet, that were not included in the sale-leaseback transaction, of which six floors are leased to a third party.

In addition, we built a printing and distribution facility with 570,000 gross square feet located in College Point, N.Y., on a 31-acre site for which we have a ground lease. We have an option to purchase the property at any time before the lease ends in 2019. We own a facility in Boston, Mass., of 703,000 gross square feet that includes printing operations and offices. We also own properties with an aggregate of approximately 1,235,000 gross square feet and lease properties with an aggregate of approximately 370,000 rentable square feet in various locations. These properties, our New York headquarters and the College Point and Boston properties are used by our News Media Group. Properties leased by the About Group total approximately 46,000 rentable square feet.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

There are various legal actions that have arisen in the ordinary course of business and are now pending against us. Such actions are usually for amounts greatly in excess of the payments, if any, that may be required to be made. It is the opinion of management after reviewing such actions with our legal counsel that the ultimate liability that might result from such actions will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial statements.

P.16 2010 ANNUAL REPORT

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

| Name | Age | Employed By Registrant Since | Recent Position(s) Held as of February 22, 2011 (except as noted) |
|----------------------------------|------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Corporate Officers | | | |
| Arthur Sulzberger, Jr. | 59 | 1978 | Chairman (since 1997) and Publisher of The Times (since 1992) |
| Janet L. Robinson | 60 | 1983 | President and Chief Executive Officer (since 2005); Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer (2004); Senior Vice President, Newspaper Operations (2001 to 2004); President and General Manager of The Times (1996 to 2004) |
| Michael Golden | 61 | 1984 | Vice Chairman (since 1997); President and Chief Operating Officer, Regional Media Group (since 2009); Publisher of the IHT (2003 to 2008); Senior Vice President (1997 to 2004) |
| James M. Follo | 51 | 2007 | Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (since 2007); Chief Financial and Administrative Officer, Martha Stewart Living Omnimedia, Inc. (2001 to 2006) |
| R. Anthony Bente | 47 | 1989 | Senior Vice President, Finance (since 2008); Corporate Controller (since 2007); Vice President (2003 to 2008); Treasurer (2001 to 2007) |
| Todd C. McCarty | 45 | 2009 | Senior Vice President, Human Resources (since 2009); Senior Vice President, Global Human Resources, The Reader's Digest Association (2008 to 2009); Senior Vice President, Human Resources, Rite Aid Corporation (2005 to 2008); Senior Vice President, North American Human Resources, Starwood Hotels & Resorts Worldwide, Inc. (2000 to 2005) |
| Martin A. Nisenholtz | 55 | 1995 | Senior Vice President, Digital Operations (since 2005); Chief Executive Officer, New York Times Digital (1999 to 2005) |
| Kenneth A. Richieri | 59 | 1983 | Senior Vice President (since 2007), General Counsel (since 2006) and Secretary (from 2008 to February 2011); Vice President (2002 to 2007); Deputy General Counsel (2001 to 2005); Vice President and General Counsel, New York Times Digital (1999 to 2003) |
| Operating Unit Executives | | | |
| Scott H. Heekin-Canedy | 59 | 1987 ⁽¹⁾ | President and General Manager of The Times (since 2004); Senior Vice President, Circulation of The Times (1999 to 2004) |
| Christopher M. Mayer | 49 | 1984 | Publisher of the Globe and President of the New England Media Group (since 2010); Senior Vice President, Circulation and Operations of the Globe (2008 to 2009); Chief Information Officer and Senior Vice President of the Globe (2005 to 2008); Vice President, Circulation Sales of the Globe (2002 to 2005) |

⁽¹⁾ Mr. Heekin-Canedy left the Company in 1989 and returned in 1992.

Table of Contents

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER**MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES****MARKET INFORMATION**

The Class A Common Stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange. The Class B Common Stock is unlisted and is not actively traded.

The number of security holders of record as of February 15, 2011, was as follows: Class A Common Stock: 7,044; Class B Common Stock: 28.

In February 2009, our Board of Directors voted to suspend the quarterly dividend on our Class A and Class B Common Stock. The decision to pay a dividend in future periods and the appropriate level of dividends will be considered by our Board of Directors on an ongoing basis in light of our earnings, capital requirements, financial condition and other factors considered relevant. In addition, our Board of Directors will consider restrictions in any existing indebtedness, such as the terms of our 6.625% senior unsecured notes due 2016, which restrict our ability to pay dividends. See *Third-Party Financing* in *Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations*.

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high and low closing sales prices for the Class A Common Stock as reported on the New York Stock Exchange.

| Quarters | 2010 | | 2009 | |
|----------------|----------|----------|---------|---------|
| | High | Low | High | Low |
| First Quarter | \$ 14.67 | \$ 10.62 | \$ 7.70 | \$ 3.51 |
| Second Quarter | 12.80 | 8.35 | 6.99 | 4.52 |
| Third Quarter | 9.76 | 7.18 | 8.82 | 4.77 |
| Fourth Quarter | 10.00 | 7.60 | 12.16 | 7.32 |

P.18 2010 ANNUAL REPORT Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Table of Contents

EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION

The following table presents information regarding our existing equity compensation plans as of December 26, 2010.

| Plan category | Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights | Weighted average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights | Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) |
|--|---|--|--|
| | (a) | (b) | (c) |
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders | | | |
| Stock options and stock-based awards | 21,769,000 ¹ | \$ 33 | 8,252,000 ² |
| Employee Stock Purchase Plan | | | 7,013,000 ³ |
| Total | 21,769,000 | | 15,265,000 |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders | None | None | None |

(1) Includes shares of Class A Common Stock to be issued upon exercise of outstanding stock options granted under the Company's 1991 Executive Stock Incentive Plan (the 1991 Incentive Plan), as well as its Non-Employee Directors' Stock Option Plan or Non-Employee Directors' Stock Incentive Plan (together, the Directors' Plans). Includes shares of Class A Common Stock to be issued upon conversion of stock-settled restricted stock units under the 1991 Incentive Plan.

(2) Includes shares of Class A stock available for future stock options to be granted under the Company's 2010 Incentive Compensation Plan (the 2010 Incentive Plan) and the Directors' Plan. The 2010 Incentive Plan has 8,000,000 shares remaining for issuance upon the grant, exercise or other settlement of share-based awards. The Directors' Plan provides for the issuance of up to 496,000 shares of Class A Common Stock in the form of stock options or restricted stock units. The amount reported for stock options includes the aggregate number of securities remaining (approximately 252,000 as of December 26, 2010) for future issuances under that plan. Stock options granted under the 1991 Incentive Plan, 2010 Incentive Plan and the Directors' Plan must provide for an exercise price of 100% of the fair market value on the date of grant and, except in the case of the 2010 Incentive Plan (which does not specify a maximum term), a maximum term of 10 years.

(3) Includes shares of Class A Common Stock available for future issuance under the Company's Employee Stock Purchase Plan.

Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities THE NEW YORK TIMES COMPANY P.19

Table of Contents

PERFORMANCE PRESENTATION

The following graph shows the annual cumulative total stockholder return for the five years ending December 31, 2010, on an assumed investment of \$100 on December 31, 2005, in the Company, the Standard & Poor's S&P 500 Stock Index and an index of peer group media companies. The peer group returns are weighted by market capitalization at the beginning of each year. The peer group is comprised of the Company and the following media companies: Gannett Co., Inc., Media General, Inc., The McClatchy Company and The Washington Post Company. Stockholder return is measured by dividing (a) the sum of (i) the cumulative amount of dividends declared for the measurement period, assuming monthly reinvestment of dividends, and (ii) the difference between the issuer's share price at the end and the beginning of the measurement period by (b) the share price at the beginning of the measurement period. As a result, stockholder return includes both dividends and stock appreciation.

UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

On December 15, 2010, we issued 800 shares of Class A Common Stock to holders of Class B Common Stock upon the conversion of such Class B Common Stock into Class A Common Stock. The conversions, which were in accordance with our Certificate of Incorporation, did not involve a public offering and were exempt from registration pursuant to Section 3(a)(9) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

P.20 2010 ANNUAL REPORT Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Table of ContentsISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES⁽¹⁾

| Period | Total Number of Shares of Class A Common Stock Purchased | Average Price Paid Per Share of Class A Common Stock | Total Number of Shares of Class A Common Stock Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs | Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares of Class A Common Stock that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs |
|---|---|---|--|---|
| | (a) | (b) | (c) | (d) |
| September 27, 2010- October 31, 2010 | | \$ | | \$ 91,386,000 |
| November 1, 2010- November 28, 2010 | | | | \$ 91,386,000 |
| November 29, 2010- December 26, 2010 | | | | \$ 91,386,000 |
| Total for the fourth quarter of 2010 | | \$ | | \$ 91,386,000 |

⁽¹⁾ On April 13, 2004, our Board of Directors authorized repurchases in an amount up to \$400 million. During the fourth quarter of 2010, we did not purchase any shares of Class A Common Stock pursuant to our publicly announced share repurchase program. As of February 15, 2011, we had authorization from our Board of Directors to repurchase an amount of up to approximately \$91 million of our Class A Common Stock. Our Board of Directors has authorized us to purchase shares from time to time as market conditions permit. There is no expiration date with respect to this authorization.

Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer
Purchases of Equity Securities THE NEW YORK TIMES COMPANY P.21

Table of Contents**ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA**

The Selected Financial Data should be read in conjunction with Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and the Consolidated Financial Statements and the related Notes in Item 8. The results of operations for WQXR-FM and WQEW-AM, previously included in The New York Times Media Group, which is part of the News Media Group, have been presented as discontinued operations for all periods presented before the sale of WQXR-FM in 2009. The Broadcast Media Group's results of operations have been presented as discontinued operations, and certain assets and liabilities are classified as held for sale for all periods presented before the Group's sale in 2007. The pages following the table show certain items included in Selected Financial Data. All per share amounts on those pages are on a diluted basis. All fiscal years presented in the table below comprise 52 weeks, except 2006, which comprises 53 weeks.

| (In thousands) | As of and for the Years Ended | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | December 26, 2010 | December 27, 2009 | December 28, 2008 | December 30, 2007 | December 31, 2006 |
| <i>Statement of Operations Data</i> | | | | | |
| Revenues | \$ 2,393,463 | \$ 2,440,439 | \$ 2,939,764 | \$ 3,184,757 | \$ 3,274,387 |
| Operating costs | 2,136,927 | 2,307,800 | 2,783,076 | 2,919,031 | 2,986,853 |
| Impairment of assets | 16,148 | 4,179 | 197,879 | 11,000 | 814,433 |
| Pension withdrawal expense | 6,268 | 78,931 | | | |
| Net pension curtailment gain | | 53,965 | | | |
| Loss on leases and other | | 34,633 | | | |
| Gain/(loss) on sale of assets | | 5,198 | | (68,156) | |
| Operating profit/(loss) | 234,120 | 74,059 | (41,191) | 186,570 | (526,899) |
| Income/(loss) from joint ventures | 19,035 | 20,667 | 17,062 | (2,618) | 19,340 |
| Gain on sale of investment | 9,128 | | | | |
| Interest expense, net | 85,062 | 81,701 | 47,790 | 39,842 | 50,651 |
| Premium on debt redemption | | 9,250 | | | |
| Income/(loss) from continuing operations before income taxes | 177,221 | 3,775 | (71,919) | 144,110 | (558,210) |
| Income/(loss) from continuing operations | 108,705 | 1,569 | (65,940) | 86,960 | (571,892) |
| Discontinued operations, net of income taxes | 13 | 18,332 | 8,602 | 121,637 | 28,090 |
| Net income/(loss) attributable to The New York Times Company common stockholders | \$ 107,704 | \$ 19,891 | \$ (57,839) | \$ 208,704 | \$ (543,443) |
| <i>Balance Sheet Data</i> | | | | | |
| Property, plant and equipment - net | \$ 1,156,786 | \$ 1,250,021 | \$ 1,353,619 | \$ 1,468,013 | \$ 1,375,365 |
| Cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments | 399,642 | 36,520 | 56,784 | 51,532 | 72,360 |
| Total assets | 3,285,741 | 3,088,557 | 3,401,680 | 3,473,092 | 3,855,928 |
| Total debt and capital lease obligations | 996,443 | 769,217 | 1,059,375 | 1,034,979 | 1,445,928 |
| Total New York Times Company stockholders equity | 659,927 | 604,042 | 503,963 | 978,200 | 819,842 |

Table of Contents

| (In thousands, except ratios, per share and employee data) | As of and for the Years Ended | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | December 26, 2010 | December 27, 2009 | December 28, 2008 | December 30, 2007 | December 31, 2006 |
| Per Share of Common Stock | | | | | |
| Basic earnings/(loss) per share attributable to The New York Times Company common stockholders: | | | | | |
| Income/(loss) from continuing operations | \$ 0.74 | \$ 0.01 | \$ (0.46) | \$ 0.61 | \$ (3.95) |
| Discontinued operations, net of income taxes | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.06 | 0.84 | 0.19 |
| Net income/(loss) | \$ 0.74 | \$ 0.14 | \$ (0.40) | \$ 1.45 | \$ (3.76) |
| Diluted earnings/(loss) per share attributable to The New York Times Company common stockholders: | | | | | |
| Income/(loss) from continuing operations | \$ 0.71 | \$ 0.01 | \$ (0.46) | \$ 0.61 | \$ (3.95) |
| Discontinued operations, net of income taxes | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.06 | 0.84 | 0.19 |
| Net income/(loss) | \$ 0.71 | \$ 0.14 | \$ (0.40) | \$ 1.45 | \$ (3.76) |
| Dividends per share | \$ | \$ | \$.750 | \$.865 | \$.690 |
| Stockholders' equity per share | \$ 4.32 | \$ 4.13 | \$ 3.51 | \$ 6.79 | \$ 5.67 |
| Average basic shares outstanding | 145,636 | 144,188 | 143,777 | 143,889 | 144,579 |
| Average diluted shares outstanding | 152,600 | 146,367 | 143,777 | 144,158 | 144,579 |
| Key Ratios | | | | | |
| Operating profit/(loss) to revenues | 10% | 3% | 1% | 6% | 16% |
| Return on average common stockholders equity | 17% | 4% | 8% | 23% | 48% |
| Return on average total assets | 3% | 1% | 2% | 6% | 13% |
| Total debt and capital lease obligations to total capitalization | 60% | 56% | 68% | 51% | 64% |
| Current assets to current liabilities ⁽¹⁾ | 1.70 | 1.00 | .60 | .68 | .91 |
| Ratio of earnings to fixed charges ⁽²⁾ | 2.78 | | | 3.14 | |
| Full-Time Equivalent Employees | 7,414 | 7,665 | 9,346 | 10,231 | 11,585 |

⁽¹⁾ The current assets to current liabilities ratio is higher in 2010 because of a higher cash balance.

⁽²⁾ In 2009, 2008 and 2006, earnings were inadequate to cover fixed charges by approximately \$16 million, \$56 million and \$579 million, respectively, due to certain charges in each year.

Table of Contents

The items below are included in the Selected Financial Data.

2010

The items below had an unfavorable effect on our results of \$17.8 million, or \$.13 per share:

- a \$16.1 million pre-tax charge (\$10.1 million after tax, or \$.07 per share) for the impairment of assets at the Globe's printing facility in Billerica, Mass., whose results are included in The New England Media Group.
- a \$12.7 million pre-tax gain from the sale of an asset at one of the paper mills in which we have an investment. Our share of the pre-tax gain, after eliminating the noncontrolling interest portion, is \$10.2 million (\$6.4 million after tax, or \$.04 per share).
- an \$11.4 million one-time tax charge (\$.07 per share) for the reduction in future tax benefits for retiree health benefits resulting from the federal health care legislation enacted in 2010.
- a \$9.1 million pre-tax gain (\$5.3 million after tax, or \$.03 per share) from the sale of 50 of our 750 units in Fenway Sports Group.
- a \$6.8 million pre-tax charge (\$4.1 million after tax, or \$.03 per share) for severance costs.
- a \$6.3 million pre-tax charge (\$3.9 million after tax, or \$.03 per share) for an adjustment to estimated pension withdrawal obligations under several multiemployer pension plans at the Globe, whose results are included in the New England Media Group.

2009

The items below had an unfavorable effect on our results of \$56.3 million, or \$.38 per share:

- a \$78.9 million pre-tax charge (\$49.5 million after tax, or \$.34 per share) for a pension withdrawal obligation under certain multiemployer pension plans.
- a \$54.0 million pre-tax net pension curtailment gain (\$30.7 million after tax, or \$.21 per share) resulting from freezing of benefits under various Company-sponsored qualified and non-qualified pension plans.
- a \$53.9 million pre-tax charge (\$32.3 million after tax, or \$.22 per share) for severance costs.
- a \$34.9 million pre-tax gain (\$19.5 million after tax, or \$.13 per share) from the sale of WQXR-FM.
- a \$34.6 million pre-tax charge (\$20.0 million after tax, or \$.13 per share) for a loss on leases (\$31.1 million) and a fee (\$3.5 million) for the early termination of a third-party printing contract. The lease charge includes a \$22.8 million charge for a loss on leases associated with the closure of City & Suburban, our retail and newsstand distribution subsidiary, and an \$8.3 million loss on a lease for office space at The New York Times Media Group.
- a \$9.3 million pre-tax charge (\$5.3 million after tax, or \$.04 per share) for a premium on the redemption of \$250.0 million principal amount of our 4.5% notes, which was completed in April 2009.
- a \$5.2 million pre-tax gain (\$3.2 million after tax, or \$.02 per share) on the sale of surplus real estate assets at the Regional Media Group.
- a \$4.2 million pre-tax charge (\$2.6 million after tax, or \$.01 per share) for the impairment of assets due to the reduced scope of a systems project.

2008

The items below had an unfavorable effect on our results of \$180.1 million, or \$1.24 per share:

- a \$160.4 million pre-tax, non-cash charge (\$109.3 million after tax, or \$.76 per share) for the impairment of property, plant and equipment, intangible assets and goodwill at the New England Media Group.
- an \$81.0 million pre-tax charge (\$46.2 million after tax, or \$.32 per share) for severance costs.
- a \$19.2 million pre-tax, non-cash charge (\$10.7 million after tax, or \$.07 per share) for the impairment of an intangible asset at the IHT, whose results are included in The New York Times Media Group.
- an \$18.3 million pre-tax, non-cash charge (\$10.4 million after tax, or \$.07 per share) for the impairment of assets for a systems project.

Table of Contents

a \$5.6 million pre-tax, non-cash charge (\$3.5 million after tax, or \$.02 per share) for the impairment of our 49% ownership interest in Metro Boston.

2007

The items below increased net income by \$18.8 million, or \$.13 per share:

- a \$190.0 million pre-tax gain (\$94.0 million after tax, or \$.65 per share) from the sale of the Broadcast Media Group.
- a \$68.2 million net pre-tax loss (\$41.3 million after tax, or \$.29 per share) from the sale of assets, mainly our Edison, N.J., facility, which we closed in March 2008.
- a \$42.6 million pre-tax charge (\$24.4 million after tax, or \$.17 per share) for accelerated depreciation of certain assets at the Edison, N.J., facility.
- a \$39.6 million pre-tax gain (\$21.2 million after tax, or \$.15 per share) from the sale of WQEW-AM.
- a \$35.4 million pre-tax charge (\$20.2 million after tax, or \$.14 per share) for severance costs.
- an \$11.0 million pre-tax, non-cash charge (\$6.4 million after tax, or \$.04 per share) for the impairment of an intangible asset at the T&G, whose results are included in the New England Media Group.
- a \$7.1 million pre-tax, non-cash charge (\$4.1 million after tax, or \$.03 per share) for the impairment of our 49% ownership interest in Metro Boston.

2006

The items below had an unfavorable effect on our results of \$763.0 million, or \$5.28 per share:

- an \$814.4 million pre-tax, non-cash charge (\$735.9 million after tax, or \$5.09 per share) for the impairment of goodwill and other intangible assets at the New England Media Group.
- a \$34.3 million pre-tax charge (\$19.6 million after tax, or \$.14 per share) for severance costs.
- a \$20.8 million pre-tax charge (\$11.5 million after tax, or \$.08 per share) for accelerated depreciation of certain assets at our Edison, N.J., facility.
- a \$14.3 million increase in pre-tax income (\$8.3 million after tax, or \$.06 per share) related to the additional week in our 2006 fiscal calendar.
- a \$7.8 million pre-tax loss (\$4.3 million after tax, or \$.03 per share) from the sale of our 50% ownership interest in Discovery Times Channel, which we sold in October 2006.

Table of Contents

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis provides information that management believes is relevant to an assessment and understanding of our consolidated financial condition as of December 26, 2010, and results of operations for the three years ended December 26, 2010. This item should be read in conjunction with our Consolidated Financial Statements and the related Notes included in this Annual Report.

EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW

We are a diversified media company that currently includes newspapers, digital businesses, investments in paper mills and other investments. We classify our businesses based on our operating strategies into two reportable segments, the News Media Group and the About Group.

The News Media Group consists of the following divisions:

The New York Times Media Group, which includes The Times, the IHT, NYTimes.com and related businesses;
the New England Media Group, which includes the Globe, Boston.com, the T&G, Telegram.com and related businesses; and
the Regional Media Group, which includes 14 daily newspapers in Alabama, California, Florida, Louisiana, North Carolina and South Carolina, their Web sites, other print publications and related businesses.

The About Group consists of About.com, ConsumerSearch.com, UCompareHealthCare.com and CalorieCount.com and related businesses.

Our revenues were \$2.4 billion in 2010. The percentage of revenues contributed by division is below.

News Media Group

The News Media Group generates revenues principally from print and digital advertising and through circulation. Other revenues, primarily consist of revenues from news services/syndication, commercial printing, rental income and digital archives. The News Media Group's main operating costs are employee-related costs and raw materials, primarily newsprint.

News Media Group revenues in 2010 by category and percentage share are below.

Table of Contents

About Group

The About Group generates revenues through cost-per-click advertising (sponsored links for which the About Group is paid when a user clicks on the ad), display advertising and e-commerce (including sales lead generation). Almost all of its revenues (95% in 2010) are derived from the sale of cost-per-click and display advertising. Cost-per-click advertising accounted for 57% of the About Group's total advertising revenues in 2010. The About Group's main operating costs are employee-related costs and content and hosting costs.

Joint Ventures

Our investments accounted for under the equity method are as follows:

- a 49% interest in Metro Boston, which publishes a free daily newspaper in the greater Boston area;
- a 49% interest in a Canadian newsprint company, Malbaie;
- a 40% interest in a partnership, Madison, operating a supercalendered paper mill in Maine;
- a 25% interest in quadrantONE, an online advertising network that sells bundled premium, targeted display advertising onto local newspaper and other Web sites; and
- a 16.57% interest in New England Sports Ventures, doing business as Fenway Sports Group, which owns the Boston Red Sox baseball club; Liverpool Football Club (a soccer team in the English Premier League); approximately 80% of New England Sports Network (a regional cable sports network that televises the Red Sox and Boston Bruins hockey games); and 50% of Roush Fenway Racing (a leading NASCAR team). In the second quarter of 2010, we sold 50 of our units in Fenway Sports Group, and we are exploring the sale of our remaining 700 units, in whole or in parts.

Business Environment

We believe that a number of factors and industry trends have had, and will continue to have, an adverse effect on our business and prospects. These include the following:

Economic conditions

The challenging business environment in 2010 adversely affected our advertising revenues. Advertising spending, which drives a significant portion of our revenues, is susceptible to economic conditions. Advertising revenue trends improved in 2010 with the rate of decline in print advertising revenues moderating compared with 2009, as encouraging signs of improvement were seen in the overall economy. Weak national and local economic conditions, particularly in the New York City and Boston metropolitan regions, affect the levels of our national, classified and retail advertising revenue. Changes in spending patterns and priorities, including shifts in marketing strategies and budget cuts of key advertisers, in response to weak economic conditions, have depressed and may continue to depress our advertising revenue.

Secular shift to digital media choices

The competition for advertising revenue in various markets has intensified as a result of the continued development of digital media technologies. We expect that technological developments will continue to favor digital media choices, adding to the challenges posed by audience fragmentation.

We have expanded and will continue to expand our digital offerings; however, most of our revenues are currently from traditional print products where advertising revenues are declining. We believe that the shift from traditional media forms to a growing number of digital media choices has contributed to, and may continue to contribute to, a decline in print advertising. In digital advertising, the marketplace has experienced significant downward pressure on advertising rates as a result of significant increases in inventory. As the advertising climate remains challenged, media companies have been re-evaluating their business models, with some moving towards various forms of digital pay models that will depend on greater market acceptance and a shift in consumer attitudes.

Table of Contents

Circulation

Circulation is another significant source of revenue for us. Circulation revenues are affected by circulation and readership levels. In recent years, our newspaper properties, and the newspaper industry as a whole, have experienced declining print circulation volume. This is due to, among other factors, increased competition from new digital formats and sources other than traditional newspapers (often free to users), declining discretionary spending by consumers, higher subscription and newsstand rates and a growing preference among some consumers for receiving all or a portion of their news from a variety of sources.

Costs

A significant portion of our costs are fixed costs and therefore we are limited in our ability to reduce costs in the short term. Our most significant costs are employee-related costs and raw materials, which together accounted for approximately 50% of our total operating costs in 2010. Changes in employee-related costs and the price and availability of newsprint can materially affect our operating results.

For a discussion of these and other factors that could affect our results of operations and financial condition, see [Forward-Looking Statements](#) and [Item 1A Risk Factors](#).

Our Strategy

Our results in 2010 reflect our ability to manage the business during a period of transformation for our industry and amidst uneven economic conditions. We anticipate that the challenges we currently face will continue, and we believe that the following elements are key to our efforts to address them.

Extending the reach of our brands

Because of our high-quality content, we believe we have very powerful and trusted brands that attract educated, affluent and influential audiences. We are addressing the increasingly fragmented media landscape by building on the strength of our brands, particularly The Times. We have significantly expanded our presence on new digital platforms and added new tools and multimedia features across our properties. To better serve the distinct audiences of the Globe and Boston.com, the Globe also plans to extend its reach by launching a new paid subscription site, BostonGlobe.com, in the second half of 2011.

Strengthening our digital businesses

Our goal is to grow our digital businesses by broadening our audiences, deepening engagement and monetizing the usage of our Web sites. We are pursuing a multiplatform strategy across our Company with new digital products and new platforms, such as mobile, social media networks and reader application products.

Our digital businesses provide diversified advertising revenue. NYTimes.com benefits from the large national advertiser base that The Times brand attracts, and About.com generates most of its revenues from cost-per-click and display advertising. We are also focused on continuing to offer a premier environment for integrated brand advertising across platforms through advertising product innovation and our integrated print and digital sales structure.

Diversifying our revenue streams

As the advertising marketplace changes, we plan to continue to diversify our revenue streams to make us less susceptible to the inevitable economic cycles and to respond to the secular changes in our industry.

In response, we have sought to strengthen our national advertising presence at The Times. In 2010, approximately 57% of the News Media Group's advertising revenues were from national advertising.

Our digital businesses also provide meaningful diversification during our transition to an increasingly multiplatform company, with 16.2% of all revenues and 26.3% of all advertising rev-

Edgar Filing: NEW YORK TIMES CO - Form 10-K

P.28 2010 ANNUAL REPORT Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Table of Contents

venues in 2010 derived from our digital businesses. We have begun offering paid subscriptions of our content through several e-reader platforms. In early 2011, we also plan to introduce a pay model for NYTimes.com and across digital platforms with the intention of developing a second digital revenue stream, while preserving our digital advertising business. We will implement a metered model that will offer users free access to a set number of articles per month and then charge users who are not print subscribers once they exceed that number. We have also announced plans to launch a pay model for a new paid subscription Web site, BostonGlobe.com, in the second half of 2011. As our news and information are being featured in an increasingly broad range of platforms and devices, we will continue to examine our circulation pricing in coordination with our overall multiplatform strategy to reflect the extended reach of our content.

Restructuring our cost base

Over the past few years, we have focused on realigning our cost base to ensure that we are operating our businesses as efficiently as possible, while maintaining the quality of our journalism and achieving our long-term strategy. We took significant actions in 2009 to re-engineer our cost base, which led to a \$475 million reduction in operating costs in 2009. While expense control efforts have become more challenging as we have cycled past those actions, we reduced our operating costs by approximately \$171 million in 2010. While we expect higher newsprint prices and pension expense, we remain focused on managing our expenses to identify further efficiencies in our operations and to respond to the secular changes in our industry.

Managing our portfolio of businesses

Over the past several years, we have been managing our portfolio of businesses, focusing more on growth areas, such as digital. We also continue to evaluate our businesses to determine whether they are meeting our targets for financial performance, growth and return on investment and whether they remain relevant to our strategy.

Managing our pension-related obligations

The funded status of our qualified defined benefit pension plans has been adversely affected by the current interest rate environment and required contributions for our qualified pension plans can have a significant impact on future cash flows.

For purposes of accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP), we estimated that our qualified pension plans were underfunded (meaning the present value of future obligations exceeded the fair value of plan assets) as of December 26, 2010, by approximately \$442 million, an improvement of approximately \$79 million from our fiscal year-end 2009.

For funding purposes as measured in accordance with the Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA), based on preliminary results, as of January 1, 2011, the underfunded status of our qualified pension plans was approximately \$270 million, an improvement of approximately \$150 million from the prior year. In 2010, the funded status of these pension plans benefited from the contributions made and favorable performance in pension assets, offset in part by lower interest rates.

We made contributions totaling approximately \$176 million in 2010 to certain qualified pension plans. The majority of these contributions were discretionary. We made a \$9.0 million contribution to one of our qualified pension plans in January 2011. We may make discretionary contributions in 2011 to our Company-sponsored qualified pension plans based on cash flows, pension asset performance, interest rates and other factors, but we will not be required to make mandatory contributions in 2011, except for contractual contributions of approximately \$32 million in connection with The New York Times Newspaper Guild pension plan.

We have taken a number of other steps to manage our pension-related obligations. At the end of 2009, we froze our non-union pension plan. In addition, in connection with amending certain collective bargaining agreements in 2009, we froze a qualified pension plan and withdrew or partially withdrew from various multiemployer pension plans.

Table of Contents

In addition, we have focused on managing our multiemployer pension plans. While certain of our cost management efforts have created pension withdrawal liabilities, principally in connection with certain union agreements at the Globe and the closure of our wholesale distribution business, we believe these measures were an important step to address pension obligations that we projected would otherwise have continued to grow over time.

Improving our liquidity

We have continued to manage our liquidity position by improving our financial flexibility and we remain focused on reducing our total debt.

We have improved our financial flexibility through the cash we generate from our businesses, and the decisive steps we have taken to reduce costs, manage capital spending, suspend our dividend and rebalance our portfolio of assets. In addition, in November 2010, we completed a \$225.0 million private debt offering of 6.625% senior unsecured notes due 2016, and we intend to use the net proceeds for general corporate purposes including, among other things, to pay down debt and other financial obligations as part of our refinancing strategy.

With our strong cash flows from operations in 2010, along with proceeds from the private debt offering, we ended 2010 with cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments of approximately \$400 million, even after making pension contributions totaling approximately \$176 million during the year. As of December 26, 2010, our total debt and capital lease obligations was approximately \$996 million and our total debt and capital lease obligations, net of cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments, was approximately \$597 million, which we believe provides a useful measure of our liquidity and overall debt position.

The majority of our debt matures in 2015 or later. Our \$400.0 million revolving credit facility expires in June 2011. As of December 26, 2010, other than letters of credit of approximately \$62 million, we had no borrowings under the facility. We believe our cash balance and cash provided by operations, in combination with other financing sources, will be sufficient to meet our immediate financing needs. We expect that the renewal or replacement of the revolving credit facility, if any, would be at a reduced level and would require additional restrictions and/or be on a secured basis.

Our decisive steps to manage capital spending further contributed to our improved liquidity in 2010. In 2010, our capital expenditures totaled approximately \$35 million. We project capital expenditures will be approximately \$45 to \$55 million in 2011, as we invest in, among other things, digital initiatives across our Company.

Outlook

We remain in a challenging business environment, resulting in lingering uncertainty about the economic outlook and an increasingly competitive landscape. Advertising revenue continues to be highly volatile and visibility remains limited. In January 2011, print advertising revenues decreased at approximately the same level we experienced in the fourth quarter of 2010 and digital advertising revenues increased in the mid-single digits, as we experienced continued strength at the News Media Group, partially offset by softness at the About Group.

We expect circulation revenues in the first quarter of 2011 to decrease in line with the declines we experienced in the second half of 2010.

Given current industry forecasts, we expect newsprint prices to increase and negatively impact operating expense, particularly in the first half of 2011.

For 2011, we project capital expenditures to be between \$45 and \$55 million. We expect depreciation and amortization to be \$125 to \$130 million and interest expense, net to be \$100 to \$105 million.

Table of Contents

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Overview

The following table presents our consolidated financial results.

| (In thousands) | December 26, 2010 | December 27, 2009 | December 28, 2008 | % Change | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------|----------|
| | | | | 10-09 | 09-08 |
| Revenues | | | | | |
| Advertising | \$ 1,300,361 | \$ 1,336,291 | \$ 1,771,033 | (2.7) | (24.5) |
| Circulation | 931,493 | 936,486 | 910,154 | (0.5) | 2.9 |
| Other | 161,609 | 167,662 | 258,577 | (3.6) | (35.2) |
| Total revenues | 2,393,463 | 2,440,439 | 2,939,764 | (1.9) | (17.0) |
| Operating costs | | | | | |
| Production costs: | | | | | |
| Raw materials | 160,422 | 166,387 | 250,843 | (3.6) | (33.7) |
| Wages and benefits | 498,270 | 524,782 | 620,573 | (5.1) | (15.4) |
| Other | 303,086 | 330,061 | 438,927 | (8.2) | (24.8) |
| Total production costs | 961,778 | 1,021,230 | 1,310,343 | (5.8) | (22.1) |
| Selling, general and administrative costs | 1,054,199 | 1,152,874 | 1,328,432 | (8.6) | (13.2) |
| Depreciation and amortization | 120,950 | 133,696 | 144,301 | (9.5) | (7.3) |
| Total operating costs | 2,136,927 | 2,307,800 | 2,783,076 | (7.4) | (17.1) |
| Impairment of assets | 16,148 | 4,179 | 197,879 | * | (97.9) |
| Pension withdrawal expense | 6,268 | 78,931 | | (92.1) | N/A |
| Net pension curtailment gain | | 53,965 | | N/A | N/A |
| Loss on leases and other | | 34,633 | | N/A | N/A |
| Gain on sale of assets | | 5,198 | | N/A | N/A |
| Operating profit/(loss) | 234,120 | 74,059 | (41,191) | * | * |
| Income from joint ventures | 19,035 | 20,667 | 17,062 | (7.9) | 21.1 |
| Gain on sale of investment | 9,128 | | | N/A | N/A |
| Interest expense, net | 85,062 | 81,701 | 47,790 | 4.1 | 71.0 |
| Premium on debt redemption | | 9,250 | | N/A | N/A |
| Income/(loss) from continuing operations before income taxes | 177,221 | 3,775 | (71,919) | * | * |
| Income tax expense/(benefit) | 68,516 | 2,206 | (5,979) | * | * |
| Income/(loss) from continuing operations | 108,705 | 1,569 | (65,940) | * | * |
| Discontinued operations: | | | | | |
| (Loss)/income from discontinued operations, net of income taxes | | (1,156) | 302 | N/A | * |
| Gain on sale, net of income taxes | 13 | 19,488 | 8,300 | (99.9) | * |
| Income/(loss) from discontinued operations, net of income taxes | 13 | 18,332 | 8,602 | (99.9) | * |
| Net income/(loss) | 108,718 | 19,901 | (57,338) | * | * |
| Net income attributable to the noncontrolling interest | (1,014) | (10) | (501) | * | (98.0) |
| Net income/(loss) attributable to The New York Times Company common stockholders | \$ 107,704 | \$ 19,891 | \$ (57,839) | * | * |

Edgar Filing: NEW YORK TIMES CO - Form 10-K

* Represents an increase or decrease in excess of 100%.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations THE NEW YORK TIMES COMPANY *P.31*

Table of Contents

Revenues

Revenues by reportable segment and for the Company as a whole were as follows:

| | December 26, 2010 | December 27, 2009 | December 28, 2008 | % Change | |
|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------|--------|
| | | | | 10-09 | 09-08 |
| (In thousands) | | | | | |
| Revenues | | | | | |
| News Media Group | \$ 2,257,386 | \$ 2,319,378 | \$ 2,824,469 | (2.7) | (17.9) |
| About Group | 136,077 | 121,061 | 115,295 | 12.4 | 5.0 |
| Total revenues | \$ 2,393,463 | \$ 2,440,439 | \$ 2,939,764 | (1.9) | (17.0) |

News Media Group

Advertising, circulation and other revenues by division of the News Media Group and for the Group as a whole were as follows:

| | December 26, 2010 | December 27, 2009 | December 28, 2008 | % Change | |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------|--------|
| | | | | 10-09 | 09-08 |
| (In thousands) | | | | | |
| The New York Times Media Group | | | | | |
| Advertising | \$ 780,424 | \$ 797,298 | \$ 1,067,916 | (2.1) | (25.3) |
| Circulation | 683,717 | 683,445 | 668,129 | 0.0 | 2.3 |
| Other | 92,697 | 101,118 | 180,510 | (8.3) | (44.0) |
| Total | \$ 1,556,838 | \$ 1,581,861 | \$ 1,916,555 | (1.6) | (17.5) |
| New England Media Group | | | | | |
| Advertising | \$ 213,720 | \$ 230,886 | \$ 319,114 | (7.4) | (27.6) |
| Circulation | 167,360 | 167,998 | 154,201 | (0.4) | 8.9 |
| Other | 42,809 | 41,710 | 50,334 | 2.6 | (17.1) |
| Total | \$ 423,889 | \$ 440,594 | \$ 523,649 | (3.8) | (15.9) |
| Regional Media Group | | | | | |
| Advertising | \$ 177,056 | \$ 192,924 | \$ 276,463 | (8.2) | (30.2) |
| Circulation | 80,416 | 85,043 | 87,824 | (5.4) | (3.2) |
| Other | 19,187 | 18,956 | 19,978 | 1.2 | (5.1) |
| Total | \$ 276,659 | \$ 296,923 | \$ 384,265 | (6.8) | (22.7) |
| Total News Media Group | | | | | |
| Advertising | \$ 1,171,200 | \$ 1,221,108 | \$ 1,663,493 | (4.1) | (26.6) |
| Circulation | 931,493 | 936,486 | 910,154 | (0.5) | 2.9 |
| Other | 154,693 | 161,784 | 250,822 | (4.4) | (35.5) |

| | | | | | |
|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------|--------|
| <i>Total</i> | \$ 2,257,386 | \$ 2,319,378 | \$ 2,824,469 | (2.7) | (17.9) |
|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------|--------|

Advertising Revenue

Advertising revenue is primarily determined by the volume, rate and mix of advertisements. The challenging business environment in 2010, including the transformation of our industry and an uneven economic recovery, adversely affected our advertising revenues. Advertising spending, which drives a significant portion of our revenues, is susceptible to economic conditions. Changes in spending patterns and priorities, including shifts in marketing strategies and budget cuts, of key advertisers in response to uneven economic conditions and alternative digital advertising platforms, contributed to the decline in print advertising revenues and the growth in digital advertising revenues. Advertising revenue trends improved in 2010 with the rate of decline in print advertising revenues moderating compared with 2009, as encouraging signs of improvement were seen in the overall economy. In 2010, News Media Group advertising revenues decreased primarily due to lower print volume across most advertising categories, offset in part by higher digital advertising. Print advertising revenues, which represented approximately 82% of total advertising

Table of Contents

revenues for the News Media Group, declined 7.9% in 2010, mainly due to lower national and retail advertising. Digital advertising revenues grew 18.3% in 2010, mainly driven by growth in national advertising.

In 2009, News Media Group advertising revenues decreased primarily due to lower print and digital volume. Print advertising revenues, which represented approximately 85% of total advertising revenues for the News Media Group, declined 28.8% in 2009. Digital advertising revenues declined 10.9% in 2009, mainly due to classified advertising declines.

Advertising revenues (print and digital) by category for the News Media Group were as follows:

| (In thousands) | December 26, 2010 | December 27, 2009 | December 28, 2008 | % Change | |
|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------|---------------|
| | | | | 10-09 | 09-08 |
| News Media Group | | | | | |
| National | \$ 664,377 | \$ 667,732 | \$ 857,616 | (0.5) | (22.1) |
| Retail | 277,196 | 301,075 | 397,949 | (7.9) | (24.3) |
| Classified | 190,911 | 213,823 | 357,827 | (10.7) | (40.2) |
| Other | 38,716 | 38,478 | 50,101 | 0.6 | (23.2) |
| Total | \$ 1,171,200 | \$ 1,221,108 | \$ 1,663,493 | (4.1) | (26.6) |

Below is a percentage breakdown of 2010 advertising revenue by division:

| | Retail | | | Classified | | | Total Classified | Other Advertising Revenue | Total |
|--------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------|----------|---------------------|---------------------------------|------------|
| | National | and Preprint | Help Wanted | Real Estate | Auto | Other | | | |
| The New York Times Media Group | 76% | 13% | 2% | 5% | 1% | 2% | 10% | 1% | 100% |
| New England Media Group | 30 | 33 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 27 | 10 | 100 |
| Regional Media Group | 6 | 60 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 28 | 6 | 100 |
| Total News Media Group | 57 | 24 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 16 | 3 | 100 |

The New York Times Media Group

Total advertising revenues declined in 2010 compared with 2009 primarily due to lower print advertising revenues, particularly in national and classified advertising, offset in part by strong growth in digital national advertising revenues.

National advertising revenues were flat compared with 2009 as the declines in print advertising offset the solid growth in digital advertising revenues. The gains in digital advertising were offset by declines in print advertising primarily due to lower volume in the studio entertainment, telecommunications and financial services categories in 2010. Increased spending in the financial services, American fashion and media categories contributed to growth in national digital advertising in 2010.

Soft economic conditions throughout 2010 continued to negatively affect retail advertising, which decreased in 2010 compared with 2009. Retail advertising declined primarily due to lower volume in various print advertising categories, such as mass market and department stores advertising. While retail advertising experienced declines in the first three quarters of 2010 compared with the respective periods in 2009, both digital and print retail advertising revenues grew in the fourth quarter of 2010 compared with the fourth quarter of 2009 mainly as advertisers responded to increased consumer spending.

Classified advertising revenues declined in 2010 compared with 2009 primarily due to declines in all print categories (real estate, automotive and help-wanted). While all print classified advertising categories continued to be negatively affected by soft economic conditions and experienced secular shifts to digital alternatives, digital real estate advertising revenues grew in 2010 compared with 2009.

Table of Contents

Total advertising revenues declined in 2009 compared with 2008 primarily due to lower print advertising, particularly in the national category. Digital advertising also declined, principally in the classified and national categories.

National advertising revenues decreased in 2009 compared with 2008 primarily due to lower print advertising. National print advertising was negatively affected by weak economic conditions, with significant categories, such as studio entertainment, financial services and international fashion, experiencing declines. National digital advertising also experienced volume declines in 2009 compared with 2008. In the fourth quarter of 2009, the national print advertising revenue declines lessened as the quarter progressed, and national digital advertising increased, as advertising demand improved as the economy began to recover.

Retail advertising revenues in 2009 declined compared with 2008 mainly because of lower volume in various print categories. Continued economic weakness contributed to shifts in marketing strategies and budget cuts of major advertisers, which negatively affected retail advertising.

Classified advertising revenues declined in 2009 compared with 2008 mainly due to declines in all print categories (real estate, help-wanted and automotive) and digital categories. Weak economic conditions contributed to the declines in print and digital classified advertising, with declines in print classified advertising exacerbated by secular shifts to digital alternatives, particularly in the help-wanted and real estate categories.

New England Media Group

Total advertising revenues declined in 2010 compared with 2009 mainly due to continued declines in print advertising revenue, partially offset by growth in digital advertising. Retail, national and classified advertising revenues decreased primarily due to lower volume in various print advertising categories. Print declines were also exacerbated by secular shifts to digital advertising. Digital advertising revenues grew in 2010 compared with 2009 mainly as a result of higher national advertising volume.

Total advertising revenues declined in 2009 compared with 2008 primarily due to continued declines in print advertising revenue. Digital advertising also declined. Retail, national and particularly classified advertising revenues declined in 2009 compared with 2008, mainly due to declines in various print and digital advertising categories. Soft economic conditions and challenging market conditions in the Boston and greater New England area led to declines in all print categories of classified advertising revenues (help-wanted, real estate and automotive) and nearly all digital classified categories (mainly help-wanted and real estate). The help-wanted category experienced the most significant declines due to the continued softness in the job market. Print declines were also exacerbated by secular shifts to digital advertising.

Regional Media Group

Total advertising revenues declined in 2010 compared with 2009 primarily due to declines in print advertising revenue, partially offset by growth in digital advertising revenues. Print advertising revenues experienced declines mainly due to lower volume in the retail and classified (real estate and automotive) advertising categories. Soft economic conditions continued to contribute to declines in the retail sector. Digital advertising revenues grew in 2010 compared with 2009 mainly as a result of higher national advertising volume.

Total advertising revenues declined in 2009 compared with 2008 primarily due to declines in all print categories, particularly in the retail and classified areas (real estate, help-wanted and automotive). Soft economic conditions contributed to declines in the Florida and the California housing markets. About two-thirds of the Regional Media Group advertising revenues came from newspapers in Florida and California. Also, in 2009, digital classified and retail advertising decreased due to continued economic weakness.

Table of Contents

Circulation Revenue

Circulation revenue is based on the number of copies sold and the subscription and newsstand rates charged to customers.

Circulation revenues in 2010 were flat compared with 2009 primarily as declines in copies sold across the News Media Group offset the subscription and newsstand price increases implemented by both The Times and the Globe in the second quarter of 2009.

Circulation revenues in 2009 increased compared with 2008 mainly because of higher subscription and newsstand prices, offset in part by volume declines across the News Media Group and the impact of the closure of City & Suburban in early January 2009.

Other Revenue

Other revenues for the News Media Group decreased in 2010 compared with 2009 primarily because of lower digital archives and commercial printing revenues.

Other revenues for the News Media Group decreased in 2009 compared with 2008 primarily due to lower revenues from our wholesale delivery operations as a result of the closure of City & Suburban in early January 2009 in addition to lower commercial printing revenues.

About Group

In 2010, revenues for the About Group increased primarily due to growth in display and cost-per-click advertising as a result of higher rates and page views compared with 2009. However, uneven economic conditions, as well as competitive pressures in the market, negatively impacted display advertising in the fourth quarter of 2010. In addition, design changes in cost-per-click advertisements served by Google had a negative impact on page views and click-through rates in the second half of 2010, and we expect that to be the case through the first half of 2011.

In 2009, revenues for the About Group increased primarily due to higher advertising rates in cost-per-click advertising and higher volume of display advertising, which showed an improving trend compared with 2008.

Operating Costs

Below are charts of our consolidated operating costs.

Components of Consolidated

Operating Costs

Consolidated Operating

Costs as a Percentage of Revenues

Table of Contents

Operating costs were as follows:

| (In thousands) | December 26, 2010 | December 27, 2009 | December 28, 2008 | % Change | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------|---------------|
| | | | | 10-09 | 09-08 |
| Operating costs | | | | | |
| Production costs: | | | | | |
| Raw materials | \$ 160,422 | \$ 166,387 | \$ 250,843 | (3.6) | (33.7) |
| Wages and benefits | 498,270 | 524,782 | 620,573 | (5.1) | (15.4) |
| Other | 303,086 | 330,061 | 438,927 | (8.2) | (24.8) |
| Total production costs | 961,778 | 1,021,230 | 1,310,343 | (5.8) | (22.1) |
| Selling, general and administrative costs | 1,054,199 | 1,152,874 | 1,328,432 | (8.6) | (13.2) |
| Depreciation and amortization | 120,950 | 133,696 | 144,301 | (9.5) | (7.3) |
| Total operating costs | \$ 2,136,927 | \$ 2,307,800 | \$ 2,783,076 | (7.4) | (17.1) |

Production Costs

Total production costs in 2010 decreased compared with 2009 primarily due to lower compensation and benefits costs (\$27 million) and outside printing and distribution costs (\$12 million), mainly reflecting the impact of a reduced workforce and cost-saving initiatives, as well as lower raw materials expense (\$6 million), primarily newsprint.

In 2010, newsprint expense declined 6.7%, with 8.5% from lower consumption offset in part by 1.8% from higher pricing. Newsprint prices hit the bottom of the cycle in the third quarter of 2009, but increased steadily through the first half of 2010. Although we did not experience significant newsprint price increases in the second half of 2010, newsprint prices were higher than the same period in 2009.

Total production costs in 2009 decreased compared with 2008 primarily as a result of savings from our strategy to reengineer our cost base and declining raw materials expense. Our staff reduction efforts and other cost-saving initiatives lowered compensation costs and benefits expense by approximately \$95 million.

In 2009, raw materials expense declined approximately \$84 million, primarily in newsprint. Newsprint expense declined 30.3%, with 19.4% from lower consumption and 10.9% from lower pricing. Newsprint prices increased in 2008, reaching a peak in the fourth quarter, and then declined significantly until the third quarter of 2009 due to an oversupply of newsprint in the market as a result of a rapid decline in consumption.

The closure of City & Suburban in January 2009 contributed approximately \$49 million in production cost savings in 2009.

Selling, General and Administrative Costs

Total selling, general and administrative costs in 2010 decreased compared with 2009 primarily due to lower severance costs (\$48 million) as a result of the timing of workforce reduction programs, lower bad debt expense (\$16 million) due to improvements in the overall economy and lower benefits expense (\$11 million), professional fees (\$8 million) and various other costs.

Total selling, general and administrative costs in 2009 decreased compared with 2008, primarily as a result of savings from our strategy to reengineer our cost base. In 2009, our cost reduction efforts resulted in approximately \$68 million of savings from the closure of City & Suburban and \$49 million in lower promotion costs and professional fees. In addition, we had lower severance costs of approximately \$26 million.

Table of Contents*Depreciation and Amortization*

Consolidated depreciation and amortization by reportable segment, Corporate and the Company as a whole, were as follows:

| | December 26, | December 27, | December 28, | % Change | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------|--------------|
| (In thousands) | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 10-09 | 09-08 |
| <i>Depreciation and amortization</i> | | | | | |
| News Media Group | \$ 109,341 | \$ 122,609 | \$ 124,254 | (10.8) | (1.3) |
| About Group | 11,609 | 11,087 | 12,251 | 4.7 | (9.5) |
| Corporate | | | 7,796 | N/A | N/A |
| <i>Total depreciation and amortization</i> | \$ 120,950 | \$ 133,696 | \$ 144,301 | (9.5) | (7.3) |

Depreciation and amortization decreased at the News Media Group in 2010 compared with 2009 primarily due to the accelerated depreciation expense recognized in 2009 for assets at the Billerica, Mass., printing facility. We completed the consolidation of this printing facility with the Globe's printing facility in Boston, Mass., in the second quarter of 2009.

Beginning in 2009, we began to allocate Corporate's depreciation and amortization expense to our operating segments. Therefore, Corporate did not recognize depreciation and amortization expense in 2010 or 2009.

Segment Operating Costs

The following table sets forth consolidated costs by reportable segment, Corporate and the Company as a whole.

| | December 26, | December 27, | December 28, | % Change | |
|------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------|---------------|
| (In thousands) | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 10-09 | 09-08 |
| <i>Operating costs</i> | | | | | |
| News Media Group | \$ 2,015,728 | \$ 2,182,964 | \$ 2,657,537 | (7.7) | (17.9) |
| About Group | 74,125 | 70,180 | 75,905 | 5.6 | (7.5) |
| Corporate | 47,074 | 54,656 | 49,634 | (13.9) | 10.1 |
| <i>Total operating costs</i> | \$ 2,136,927 | \$ 2,307,800 | \$ 2,783,076 | (7.4) | (17.1) |

News Media Group

In 2010, operating costs for the News Media Group decreased compared with 2009 primarily due to declines across most major categories. Lower severance costs (\$46 million), compensation and benefits costs (\$30 million), bad debt expense (\$17 million), outside printing and distribution costs (\$16 million), and depreciation and amortization costs (\$13 million) were the main drivers. Lower severance costs were due to the timing of workforce reduction programs and lower compensation and benefits costs reflect the impact of our reduced workforce and cost-saving initiatives. Lower bad debt expense was a result of improved economic conditions compared with 2009. Lower outside printing and distribution costs mainly reflect the impact of cost-saving initiatives.

In 2009, operating costs for the News Media Group decreased compared with 2008 primarily due to reductions in nearly all major expense categories as a result of our strategy to reengineer our cost base and declining raw materials expense. The closure of City & Suburban in January 2009 contributed approximately \$119 million in cost savings in 2009. Our cost-saving initiatives lowered compensation costs and benefits expense by approximately \$106 million and promotion costs and professional fees by approximately \$44 million. Raw materials expense declined approximately \$84 million, particularly in newsprint, mainly as a result of lower newsprint consumption. In addition, we had lower severance costs of approximately \$27 million.

Edgar Filing: NEW YORK TIMES CO - Form 10-K

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations THE NEW YORK TIMES COMPANY [P.37](#)

Table of Contents

About Group

Operating costs for the About Group increased in 2010 compared with 2009 primarily due to higher compensation and benefits costs (\$2.2 million) and higher marketing costs (\$0.5 million).

Operating costs for the About Group decreased in 2009 compared with 2008 primarily due to reductions in nearly all major categories as a result of cost-saving initiatives. These efforts lowered marketing costs (\$2.1 million) and professional fees (\$1.6 million). Depreciation and amortization expense also declined in 2009 (\$1.1 million).

Corporate

Operating costs for Corporate decreased in 2010 compared with 2009 primarily due to lower professional fees (\$6.7 million) and benefits and compensation costs (\$3.2 million), offset in part by a one-time benefit from the sale of an asset (\$1.8 million) in the second quarter of 2009.

Operating costs for Corporate increased in 2009 compared with 2008 primarily due to higher performance-related compensation costs and benefits expense (\$19.2 million), offset in part by lower depreciation expense (\$7.8 million).

Other Items

Impairment of Assets

2010

We consolidated the Globe's printing facility in Billerica, Mass., into the Boston, Mass., printing facility in the second quarter of 2009. After exploring different opportunities for the assets at Billerica, we entered into an agreement in the third quarter of 2010 to sell the majority of these assets to a third party. Therefore, assets with a carrying value of approximately \$20 million were written down to their fair value, resulting in a \$16.1 million impairment charge in 2010.

There were no impairment charges in connection with our 2010 annual impairment test, which was completed in the fourth quarter. However, the Regional Media Group's estimated fair value continues to approximate its carrying value in 2010. The Regional Media Group includes approximately \$152 million of goodwill in 2010.

In determining the fair value of the Regional Media Group, we made significant judgments and estimates regarding the expected severity and duration of the current economic slowdown and the secular changes affecting the newspaper industry. The effect of these assumptions on projected long-term revenues, along with the continued benefits from reductions to the group's cost structure, play a significant role in calculating the fair value of the Regional Media Group.

We estimated a 2% annual growth rate to arrive at a normalized residual year representing the perpetual cash flows of the Regional Media Group. The residual year cash flow was capitalized to arrive at the terminal value of the Regional Media Group. Utilizing a discount rate of 10.5%, the present value of the cash flows during the projection period and terminal value were aggregated to estimate the fair value of the Regional Media Group. We assumed a discount rate of 10.5% in the discounted cash flow analysis for the 2010 annual impairment test compared to a 10.2% discount rate used in the 2009 annual impairment test. In determining the appropriate discount rate, we considered the weighted average cost of capital for comparable companies.

We believe that if the Regional Media Group's projected cash flows are not met during 2011, a goodwill impairment charge is possible in 2011.

2009

In the fourth quarter of 2009, we recorded a \$4.2 million charge for an impairment of assets due to the reduced scope of a systems project at the News Media Group.

Table of Contents**2008**

In the first quarter of 2008, we recorded a non-cash impairment charge of \$18.3 million for the write-down of assets for a systems project at the News Media Group. We reduced the scope of a major advertising and circulation project to decrease capital spending, which resulted in the write-down of previously capitalized costs.

In the third quarter of 2008, we performed an interim impairment test at the New England Media Group, which is part of the News Media Group reportable segment, due to certain impairment indicators, including the continued decline in print advertising revenue affecting the newspaper industry and lower-than-expected current and projected operating results. The assets tested included goodwill, indefinite-lived intangible assets, other long-lived assets being amortized and an equity method investment in Metro Boston.

We recorded a non-cash impairment charge of \$166.0 million. This impairment charge reduced the carrying value of goodwill and other intangible assets of the New England Media Group to zero.

The fair value of the New England Media Group's goodwill was the residual fair value after allocating the total fair value of the New England Media Group to its other assets, net of liabilities. The total fair value of the New England Media Group was estimated using a combination of a discounted cash flow model (present value of future cash flows) and a market approach model based on comparable businesses. The goodwill was not tax deductible because the 1993 acquisition of the Globe was structured as a tax-free stock transaction.

The fair value of the mastheads at the New England Media Group was calculated using a relief-from-royalty method and the fair value of the customer list was calculated by estimating the present value of associated future cash flows.

The property, plant and equipment of the New England Media Group was estimated at fair value less cost to sell. The fair value was determined giving consideration to market and income approaches to value.

The carrying value of our investment in Metro Boston was written down to fair value because the business had experienced lower-than-expected growth and we anticipated lower growth compared with previous projections, leading management to conclude that the investment was other than temporarily impaired. The impairment was recorded within Income from joint ventures.

Our 2008 annual impairment test, which was completed in the fourth quarter, resulted in an additional non-cash impairment charge of \$19.2 million relating to the IHT masthead. The impairment charge reduced the carrying value of the IHT masthead to zero. The asset impairment mainly resulted from lower projected operating results and cash flows primarily due to the economic downturn and secular decline of print advertising revenues. The fair value of the masthead was calculated using a relief-from-royalty method.

The impairment charges included in Impairment of assets and Income from joint ventures in our Consolidated Statements of Operations, are presented below by asset.

| (In thousands) | December 26, 2010 | | | December 27, 2009 | | | December 28, 2008 | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|----------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|------------|
| | Pre-tax | Tax | After-tax | Pre-tax | Tax | After-tax | Pre-tax | Tax | After-tax |
| Newspaper mastheads | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 57,470 | \$ 22,653 | \$ 34,817 |
| Goodwill | | | | | | | 22,897 | | 22,897 |
| Customer list | | | | | | | 8,336 | 3,086 | 5,250 |
| Property, plant and equipment | 16,148 | 6,017 | 10,131 | 4,179 | 1,615 | 2,564 | 109,176 | 44,167 | 65,009 |
| Total | 16,148 | 6,017 | 10,131 | 4,179 | 1,615 | 2,564 | 197,879 | 69,906 | 127,973 |
| Metro Boston investment | | | | | | | 5,600 | 2,084 | 3,516 |
| Total | \$ 16,148 | \$ 6,017 | \$ 10,131 | \$ 4,179 | \$ 1,615 | \$ 2,564 | \$ 203,479 | \$ 71,990 | \$ 131,489 |

Edgar Filing: NEW YORK TIMES CO - Form 10-K

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations THE NEW YORK TIMES COMPANY [P.39](#)

Table of Contents

Pension Withdrawal Expense

In 2010, we recorded a \$6.3 million charge for a change in estimate of pension withdrawal obligations under several multiemployer pension plans at the Globe.

The 2009 pension withdrawal obligation expense was \$78.9 million. In 2009, employees of the Globe represented by various unions ratified amendments to their collective bargaining agreements that allowed us to withdraw or partially withdraw from various multiemployer pension plans. The withdrawals resulted in withdrawal liabilities to the respective plans for our proportionate share of any unfunded vested benefits. We recorded a \$73.6 million charge for the present value of estimated future payments under the pension withdrawal liabilities. Our total future payments are estimated to be approximately \$214 million. The actual liability will not be known until each plan completes a final assessment of the withdrawal liability and issues a demand to us.

Also in 2009, we recorded a \$5.3 million charge for the present value of future payments under a pension withdrawal liability under a multiemployer pension plan related to the closure of our subsidiary, City & Suburban. Our total future payments are approximately \$6 million.

Pension Curtailment Gain

We did not make any significant changes to our pension plans during 2010. However, in 2009, we made the following changes to certain of our pension plans which resulted in a net pension curtailment gain of \$54.0 million.

We amended a Company-sponsored qualified defined benefit pension plan for non-union employees to discontinue future benefit accruals under the plan and freeze existing accrued benefits effective December 31, 2009. Benefits earned by participants under the pension plan prior to January 1, 2010 were not affected. We also froze a non-qualified defined benefit pension plan that provides enhanced retirement benefits to select members of management. The accrued benefits under this supplemental benefit plan will be determined and frozen based on eligible earnings through December 31, 2009. The reduction of benefits under the qualified and non-qualified plans mentioned above and various other non-qualified plans resulted in a curtailment gain of \$56.7 million.

In 2009, we also froze a Company-sponsored qualified pension plan in connection with ratified amendments to a collective bargaining agreement covering the Newspaper Guild of the Globe. The amendments resulted in a curtailment loss of \$2.5 million.

In 2009, we also eliminated certain non-qualified retirement benefits of various employees of the Globe in connection with the amendment of two union agreements. The amendments resulted in a curtailment loss of \$0.2 million.

Loss on Leases and Other

The total loss on leases and other recorded in 2009 was \$34.6 million.

In 2009, we recorded a loss of \$22.8 million for the present value of remaining rental payments under leases, for property previously occupied by City & Suburban, in excess of rental income under potential subleases. Also in 2009, we recorded a loss of \$8.3 million for the present value of remaining rental payments under a lease for office space at The New York Times Media Group, in excess of rental income under potential subleases.

In the fourth quarter of 2009, we also recorded a \$3.5 million charge for the early termination of a third-party printing contract.

Gain on Sale of Assets

In 2009, we sold certain surplus real estate assets at the Regional Media Group and recorded a gain of \$5.2 million on the sales.

Table of Contents

Operating Profit/(Loss)

Consolidated operating profit/(loss) by reportable segment, Corporate and the Company as a whole, were as follows:

| | December 26, 2010 | December 27, 2009 | December 28, 2008 | % Change | |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------|-------|
| (In thousands) | | | | 10-09 | 09-08 |
| <i>Operating profit/(loss)</i> | | | | | |
| News Media Group | \$ 219,242 | \$ 21,163 | \$ (30,947) | * | * |
| About Group | 61,952 | 50,881 | 39,390 | 21.8 | 29.2 |
| Corporate | (47,074) | 2,015 | (49,634) | * | * |
| <i>Total operating profit/(loss)</i> | \$ 234,120 | \$ 74,059 | \$ (41,191) | * | * |

* Represents an increase or decrease in excess of 100%.

We discuss the reasons for the year-to-year changes in each segment's and Corporate's operating profit in the Revenues, Operating Costs, and Other Items sections above.

NON-OPERATING ITEMS

Income from Joint Ventures

We have investments in Metro Boston, two paper mills (Malbaie and Madison), quadrantONE and Fenway Sports Group, which are accounted for under the equity method. Our proportionate share of the operating results of these investments is recorded in Income from joint ventures in our Consolidated Statements of Operations. See Note 7 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information regarding these investments.

In 2010, we had income from joint ventures of \$19.0 million compared with \$20.7 million in 2009. In 2010, we recorded a pre-tax gain of \$12.7 million from the sale of an asset at one of the paper mills in which we have an investment. Our share of the pre-tax gain, after eliminating the noncontrolling interest portion, is \$10.2 million. The \$12.7 million gain is included within Income from joint ventures in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Excluding this gain, income from joint ventures declined in 2010 compared with 2009 primarily due to lower paper selling prices at both paper mills in which we have investments.

In addition, in 2010, we sold 50 of our 750 units in Fenway Sports Group, resulting in a pre-tax gain of \$9.1 million, which is included in the Consolidated Statements of Operations as Gain on sale of investment.

In 2009, we had income from joint ventures of \$20.7 million compared with \$17.1 million in 2008. The 2008 income in joint ventures included a \$5.6 million non-cash impairment charge for our equity investment in Metro Boston.

Interest Expense, Net

Interest expense, net, was as follows:

| (In thousands) | December 26, 2010 | December 27, 2009 | December 28, 2008 |
|----------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
|----------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|

Edgar Filing: NEW YORK TIMES CO - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|---|----|----------------|-----------|-----------|
| <i>Interest expense, net</i> | | | | |
| Cash interest expense | \$ | 79,349 | \$ 78,606 | \$ 50,119 |
| Non-cash amortization of discount on debt | | 7,251 | 6,084 | 711 |
| Capitalized interest | | (299) | (1,566) | (2,639) |
| Interest income | | (1,239) | (1,423) | (401) |
| <i>Total interest expense, net</i> | \$ | 85,062 | \$ 81,701 | \$ 47,790 |

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations THE NEW YORK TIMES COMPANY [P.41](#)

Table of Contents

Interest expense, net, increased in 2010 compared with 2009 as a result of higher interest rates on our debt, offset in part by lower average debt outstanding.

Interest expense, net, increased in 2009 compared with 2008, also due primarily to higher interest rates on our debt, offset in part by lower average debt outstanding.

Premium on Debt Redemption

In April 2009, we settled the redemption of all \$250.0 million outstanding aggregate principal amount of our 4.5% notes due March 15, 2010, that had been called for redemption in March 2009. The redemption price of approximately \$260 million included a \$9.3 million premium and was computed under the terms of the notes as the present value of the scheduled payments of principal and unpaid interest, plus accrued interest to the redemption settlement date.

Income Taxes

We had income tax expense of \$68.5 million on pre-tax income of \$177.2 million in 2010. Our effective income tax rate was 38.7% in 2010. The effective tax rate for 2010 was favorably affected by approximately \$22 million for the reversal of reserves for uncertain tax positions due to the closing of tax audits and the lapse of applicable statutes of limitations and unfavorably affected by an \$11.4 million one-time tax charge as described below.

The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, which was enacted on March 23, 2010, and the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, which was enacted on March 30, 2010, eliminated the tax deductibility of certain retiree health care costs, beginning January 1, 2013, to the extent of federal subsidies received by plan sponsors that provide retiree prescription drug benefits equivalent to Medicare Part D. Because the future anticipated retiree health care liabilities and related subsidies are already reflected in our financial statements, this legislation required us to reduce the related deferred tax asset recognized in our financial statements. As a result, we recorded a one-time tax charge of \$11.4 million in 2010 for the reduction in future tax benefits for retiree health benefits resulting from the federal health care legislation enacted in 2010.

We had \$2.2 million of tax expense on pre-tax income of \$3.8 million in 2009. Our effective income tax rate was 58.4% in 2009. The high tax rate was driven by the impact of certain items, including the reduction of deferred tax asset balances resulting from lower income tax rates, on near break-even results in 2009.

We had an income tax benefit of \$6.0 million on a pre-tax loss of \$71.9 million in 2008. Our effective income tax rate in 2008 was 8.3%. In 2008, the effective tax rate was low because the goodwill portion of the non-cash impairment charge at the New England Media Group and losses on investments in corporate-owned life insurance policies were non-deductible for tax purposes. In addition, a change in Massachusetts state tax law had an unfavorable effect.

Discontinued Operations

Discontinued operations are summarized in the following chart.

| (In thousands) | December 26, 2010 | December 27, 2009 | December 28, 2008 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Revenues | \$ | \$ 5,062 | \$ 9,092 |
| Total operating costs | | 7,082 | 8,537 |
| Pre-tax (loss)/income | | (2,020) | 555 |
| Income tax (benefit)/expense | | (864) | 253 |
| (Loss)/income from discontinued operations, net of income taxes | | (1,156) | 302 |
| Gain on sale, net of income taxes: | | | |
| Gain/(loss) on sale | 16 | 34,914 | (565) |
| Income tax expense/(benefit) | 3 | 15,426 | (8,865) |
| Gain on sale, net of income taxes | 13 | 19,488 | 8,300 |

Edgar Filing: NEW YORK TIMES CO - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | |
|---|----|----|----|--------|----|-------|
| <i>Income from discontinued operations, net of income taxes</i> | \$ | 13 | \$ | 18,332 | \$ | 8,602 |
|---|----|----|----|--------|----|-------|

P.42 2010 ANNUAL REPORT Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Table of Contents*WQXR-FM*

On October 8, 2009, we completed the sale of WQXR-FM, a New York City classical radio station, to subsidiaries of Univision Radio Inc. and WNYC Radio for a total of approximately \$45 million. Univision Radio paid us \$33.5 million to exchange the FCC 105.9 FM broadcast license and transmitting equipment for our license, equipment and stronger signal at 96.3 FM. At the same time, WNYC Radio purchased the FCC license for 105.9 FM, all related transmitting equipment and WQXR-FM's call letters and Web site from us for \$11.5 million. We used the proceeds from the sale to pay outstanding debt. We recorded a pre-tax gain of approximately \$35 million (approximately \$19 million after tax) in 2009. In 2010, we recorded post-closing adjustments to the gain.

The results of operations for WQXR-FM are presented as discontinued operations in our Consolidated Financial Statements for all periods presented. WQXR-FM was previously consolidated in the results of The New York Times Media Group, which is part of the News Media Group.

Broadcast Media Group

On May 7, 2007, we sold our Broadcast Media Group, which consisted of nine network-affiliated television stations, their related Web sites and digital operating center, for approximately \$575 million. In 2008, we recognized an \$8.3 million adjustment to the gain on sale of the Broadcast Media Group, which was recorded in 2007, as a result of a reduction in income taxes and post-closing adjustments to the gain.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES**Overview**

The following table presents information about our financial position.

Financial Position Summary

| (In thousands, except ratios) | December 26, 2010 | December 27, 2009 | % Change 10-09 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 369,668 | \$ 36,520 | * |
| Short-term investments | 29,974 | | N/A |
| Short-term debt ⁽¹⁾ | 38 | 41 | (7.3)% |
| Long-term debt ⁽¹⁾ | 996,405 | 769,176 | 29.5% |
| Total New York Times Company stockholders' equity | 659,927 | 604,042 | 9.3% |
| Ratios: | | | |
| Total debt to total capitalization | 60% | 56% | 7.1% |
| Current assets to current liabilities | 1.70 | 1.00 | 70.0% |

⁽¹⁾ Short-term debt includes the current portion of capital lease obligations. Long-term debt includes the long-term portion of capital lease obligations.

* Represents an increase in excess of 100%.

We meet our cash obligations with cash inflows from operations, in combination with other financing sources. Our primary sources of cash inflows from operations are advertising and circulation sales. Advertising provided 54% and circulation provided 39% of total revenues in 2010. The remaining cash inflows from operations are from other revenue sources such as news services/syndication, commercial printing, rental income and digital archives. Our primary source of cash outflows are for employee compensation, pension and other benefits, raw materials, services and supplies, interest and income taxes. Contributions to our qualified pension plans can have a significant impact on cash flows. See Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits for additional information regarding our pension plans.

Edgar Filing: NEW YORK TIMES CO - Form 10-K

We have continued to manage our liquidity position and we remain focused on reducing our total debt. Our strong cash flows from operations in 2010 and the proceeds from the private debt offering completed in November 2010 improved our financial flexibility, and we ended 2010

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations THE NEW YORK TIMES COMPANY [P.43](#)

Table of Contents

with cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments of approximately \$400 million. As of December 26, 2010, we had total debt and capital lease obligations of approximately \$996 million and total debt and capital lease obligations, net of cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments, of approximately \$597 million, even after making contributions totaling approximately \$176 million to certain qualified pension plans during 2010.

In 2011, we believe our cash balance and cash provided by operations, in combination with other financing sources, will be sufficient to meet our immediate financing needs.

Capital Resources*Sources and Uses of Cash*

Cash flows provided by/(used in) by category were as follows:

| | December 26, | December 27, | December 28, | % Change | |
|----------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------|-------|
| (In thousands) | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 10-09 | 09-08 |
| Operating activities | \$ 153,327 | \$ 256,766 | \$ 246,438 | (40.3) | 4.2 |
| Investing activities | \$ (40,520) | \$ 8,073 | \$ (160,509) | * | * |
| Financing activities | \$ 220,666 | \$ (286,164) | \$ (81,235) | * | * |

* Represents an increase or decrease in excess of 100%.

Operating Activities

Operating cash inflows include cash receipts from advertising and circulation sales and other revenue transactions. Operating cash outflows include payments for employee compensation, pension and other benefits, raw materials, services and supplies, interest and income taxes.

Net cash provided by operating activities decreased in 2010 compared with 2009 primarily driven by contributions totaling approximately \$176 million to certain qualified pension plans, offset in part by improved operating performance as a result of cost-saving initiatives in recent years.

While revenues declined in 2009, net cash provided by operating activities increased in 2009 compared with 2008. The revenue decline was more than offset by a reduction in operating costs and lower working capital requirements.

Investing Activities

Cash from investing activities generally includes proceeds from the sale of assets or a business. Cash used in investing activities generally includes payments for capital projects, acquisitions of new businesses and investments.

Net cash used in investing activities in 2010 was primarily for capital expenditures and the purchase of short-term investments, partially offset by loan repayments from a third-party circulation service provider, and proceeds from the sale of 50 of our 750 units in Fenway Sports Group.

Net cash provided by investing activities in 2009 was primarily due to the proceeds from the sale of WQXR-FM and other assets, offset in part by capital expenditures.

Net cash used in investing activities in 2008 was primarily due to capital expenditures related to the consolidation of our New York area printing operations into our facility in College Point, N.Y., and for the construction of our New York headquarters.

Capital expenditures (on an accrual basis) were \$34.7 million in 2010, \$45.4 million in 2009 and \$127.2 million in 2008.

Financing Activities

Cash from financing activities generally includes borrowings under third-party financing arrangements, the issuance of long-term debt and funds from equity transactions. Cash used in financing activities generally includes the repayment of amounts outstanding under third-party financing arrangements and long-term debt.

Net cash provided by financing activities in 2010 consisted mainly of debt incurred under the issuance of 6.625% senior unsecured notes (see Third-Party Financing below).

P.44 2010 ANNUAL REPORT Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Table of Contents

Net cash used in financing activities in 2009 consisted mainly of repayments under our revolving credit agreements, repayments in connection with the redemption of our 4.5% notes due March 15, 2010 and the repurchase of medium-term notes, partially offset by debt incurred under the issuance of 14.053% senior unsecured notes and a sale-leaseback financing (see Third-Party Financing below).

Net cash used in financing activities in 2008 consisted mainly of repayments of commercial paper and payment of dividends partially offset by borrowings under our revolving credit agreements.

See our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for additional information on our sources and uses of cash.

Third-Party Financing

Our total debt and capital lease obligations consisted of the following:

| (In thousands) | December 26, 2010 | December 27, 2009 |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|
| 4.610% medium-term notes due in 2012, net of unamortized debt costs of \$229 in 2010 and \$354 in 2009 | \$ 74,771 | \$ 74,646 |
| 5.0% senior notes due in 2015, net of unamortized debt costs of \$140 in 2010 and \$169 in 2009 | 249,860 | 249,831 |
| 14.053% senior notes due in 2015, net of unamortized debt costs of \$22,320 in 2010 and \$25,851 in 2009 | 227,680 | 224,149 |
| 6.625% senior notes due in 2016, net of unamortized debt costs of \$4,898 in 2010 | 220,102 | |
| Option to repurchase ownership interest in headquarters building in 2019, net of unamortized debt costs of \$32,694 in 2010 and \$36,161 in 2009 | 217,306 | 213,839 |
| Total debt | 989,719 | 762,465 |
| Capital lease obligations | 6,724 | 6,752 |
| <i>Total debt and capital lease obligations</i> | \$ 996,443 | \$ 769,217 |

Based on borrowing rates currently available for debt with similar terms and average maturities, the fair value of our long-term debt was approximately \$1.1 billion as of December 26, 2010 and approximately \$907 million as of December 27, 2009. We were in compliance with our covenants under our third-party financing arrangements as of December 26, 2010.

4.610% Notes

We have \$75.0 million aggregate principal amount of 4.610% medium-term notes due September 26, 2012, outstanding (the 4.610% Notes).

The 4.610% Notes may be redeemed, in whole or in part, at any time, at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the notes redeemed, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the redemption date plus a make-whole premium. The 4.610% Notes are not otherwise callable.

The 4.610% Notes are subject to certain covenants that, among other things, limit (subject to customary exceptions) our ability and the ability of certain material subsidiaries to:

- create liens on certain assets to secure debt; and
- enter into certain sale-leaseback transactions.

5.0% Notes

We have \$250.0 million aggregate principal amount of 5.0% senior unsecured notes due March 15, 2015, outstanding (the 5.0% Notes).

The 5.0% Notes may be redeemed, in whole or in part, at any time, at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the notes redeemed, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the redemption date plus a make-whole premium. The 5.0% Notes are not otherwise callable.

Edgar Filing: NEW YORK TIMES CO - Form 10-K

The 5.0% Notes are subject to certain covenants that, among other things, limit (subject to customary exceptions) our ability and the ability of certain material subsidiaries to:

create liens on certain assets to secure debt; and
enter into certain sale-leaseback transactions.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations THE NEW YORK TIMES COMPANY [P.45](#)

Table of Contents
14.053% Notes

In January 2009, pursuant to a securities purchase agreement with Inmobiliaria Carso, S.A. de C.V. and Banco Inbursa S.A., Institución de Banca Múltiple, Grupo Financiero Inbursa (each an Investor and collectively the Investors), we issued, for an aggregate purchase price of \$250.0 million, (1) \$250.0 million aggregate principal amount of 14.053% senior unsecured notes due January 15, 2015 (the 14.053% Notes), and (2) detachable warrants to purchase 15.9 million shares of our Class A Common Stock at a price of \$6.3572 per share. The warrants are exercisable at the holder's option at any time and from time to time, in whole or in part, until January 15, 2015. Each Investor is an affiliate of Carlos Slim Helú, the beneficial owner of approximately 7% of our Class A Common Stock (excluding the warrants). Each Investor purchased an equal number of 14.053% Notes and warrants.

We received proceeds of approximately \$242 million (purchase price of \$250.0 million, net of a \$4.5 million investor funding fee and transaction costs), of which approximately \$221 million was allocated to the 14.053% Notes and included in Long-term debt and capital lease obligations and approximately \$21 million was allocated to the warrants and included in Additional paid-in capital in our Consolidated Balance Sheet. The difference between the purchase price of \$250.0 million and the \$221 million allocated to the 14.053% Notes, or approximately \$29 million, is being amortized over a six-year period through interest expense. The effective interest rate on this transaction was approximately 17%.

We have an option, at any time on or after January 15, 2012, to prepay all or any part of the 14.053% Notes at a premium of the outstanding principal amount, plus accrued interest. The prepayment premium is 105.0% from January 15, 2012 to January 14, 2013; 102.5% from January 15, 2013 to January 14, 2014; and 100.0% from January 15, 2014 to the maturity date. In addition, at any time prior to January 15, 2012, we may at our option prepay all or any part of the notes by paying a make-whole premium amount based on the present value of the remaining scheduled payments. Given the terms, we currently intend to repay or refinance the 14.053% Notes at the earliest practicable date after January 15, 2012, depending on available financing or other sources of cash at the time.

The 14.053% Notes contain certain covenants that, among other things, limit (subject to customary exceptions) our ability and the ability of our subsidiaries to:

- incur additional indebtedness;
- create liens with respect to any of our properties; and
- transfer or sell assets.

6.625% Notes

In November 2010, we completed an offering of \$225.0 million aggregate principal amount of 6.625% senior unsecured notes due December 15, 2016 (6.625% Notes).

We received proceeds of approximately \$220 million (purchase price of \$225.0 million, net of approximately \$5 million in transaction costs). The effective interest rate on this transaction was approximately 7%.

We have the option to redeem all or a portion of the 6.625% Notes, at any time, at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the notes redeemed plus accrued and unpaid interest to the redemption date plus a make-whole premium. The 6.625% Notes are not otherwise callable.

The 6.625% Notes are subject to certain covenants that, among other things, limit (subject to customary exceptions) our ability and the ability of our subsidiaries to:

- incur additional indebtedness and issue preferred stock;
- pay dividends or make other equity distributions;
- agree to any restrictions on the ability of our restricted subsidiaries to make payments to us;
- create liens on certain assets to secure debt;
- make certain investments;
- merge or consolidate with other companies or transfer all or substantially all of our assets; and
- engage in sale and leaseback transactions.

Table of Contents

Sale-Leaseback Financing

In March 2009, an affiliate of our Company entered into an agreement to sell and simultaneously lease back the Condo Interest in our New York headquarters building. The sale price for the Condo Interest was \$225.0 million. We have an option, exercisable during the 10th year of the lease term, to repurchase the Condo Interest for \$250.0 million. The lease term is 15 years, and we have three renewal options that could extend the term for an additional 20 years.

The transaction is accounted for as a financing transaction. As such, we have continued to depreciate the Condo Interest and account for the rental payments as interest expense. The difference between the purchase option price of \$250.0 million and the net sale proceeds of approximately \$211 million, or approximately \$39 million, will be amortized over a 10-year period through interest expense. The effective interest rate on this transaction was approximately 13%.

Revolving Credit Agreement

Our \$400.0 million credit agreement expiring in June 2011 is used for general corporate purposes and provides a facility for the issuance of letters of credit. As of December 26, 2010, excluding letters of credit, there were no outstanding borrowings under the revolving credit agreement. We expect that the renewal or replacement of the revolving credit facility, if any, would be at a reduced level and would require additional restrictions and/or be on a secured basis.

Any borrowings under the revolving credit agreement bear interest at specified margins based on our credit rating, over various floating rates selected by us. The amount available under our revolving credit agreement is summarized in the following table.

| (In thousands) | December 26, 2010 |
|--|----------------------|
| Revolving credit agreement | \$ 400,000 |
| Less: | |
| Amount outstanding under revolving credit agreement | |
| Letters of credit | 62,129 |
| <i>Amount available under revolving credit agreement</i> | <i>\$ 337,871</i> |

The revolving credit agreement contains a covenant that requires a specified level of stockholders' equity, which, as defined by the agreement, does not include accumulated other comprehensive loss and excludes the impact of one-time non-cash charges. The required level of stockholders' equity (as defined by the agreement) is the sum of \$950.0 million plus an amount equal to 25% of net income for each fiscal year ending after December 28, 2003, when net income exists. As of December 26, 2010, the amount of stockholders' equity in excess of the required level was approximately \$756 million, which excludes the impact of non-cash impairment charges incurred in 2006, 2007 and 2008 that together aggregated approximately \$878 million.

Table of Contents**Contractual Obligations**

The information provided is based on management's best estimate and assumptions of our contractual obligations as of December 26, 2010. Actual payments in future periods may vary from those reflected in the table.

| (In thousands) | Total | Payment due in | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| | | 2011 | 2012-2013 | 2014-2015 | Later Years |
| Long-term debt ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 1,560,923 | \$ 92,551 | \$ 254,777 | \$ 637,534 | \$ 576,061 |
| Capital leases ⁽²⁾ | 11,724 | 594 | 1,125 | 1,104 | 8,901 |
| Operating leases ⁽²⁾ | 74,272 | 18,122 | 25,577 | 16,615 | 13,958 |
| Benefit plans ⁽³⁾ | 1,526,392 | 129,089 | 262,632 | 288,557 | 846,114 |
| Total | \$ 3,173,311 | \$ 240,356 | \$ 544,111 | \$ 943,810 | \$ 1,445,034 |

⁽¹⁾ Includes estimated interest payments on long-term debt. See Note 8 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information related to our long-term debt.

⁽²⁾ See Note 20 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information related to our capital and operating leases.

⁽³⁾ Includes estimated benefit payments, net of plan participant contributions, under our Company-sponsored pension and other postretirement benefits plans. Payments for these plans have been estimated over a 10-year period; therefore the amounts included in the "Later Years" column only include payments for the period of 2016-2020. While benefit payments under these plans are expected to continue beyond 2020, we believe that an estimate beyond this period is impracticable. Benefit plans in the table above also include estimated payments for multiemployer pension plan withdrawal liabilities. See Notes 11 and 12 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information related to our pension benefits and other postretirement benefits plans.

Other Liabilities - Other in our Consolidated Balance Sheets include liabilities related to i) deferred compensation, primarily consisting of our deferred executive compensation plan (the "DEC plan"), ii) our liability for uncertain tax positions, and iii) various other liabilities. These liabilities are not included in the table above primarily because the future payments are not determinable.

The DEC plan enables certain eligible executives to elect to defer a portion of their compensation on a pre-tax basis. While the initial deferral period is for a minimum of two years up to a maximum of 15 years (after which time taxable distributions must begin), the executive has the option to extend the deferral period. Therefore, the future payments under the DEC plan are not determinable. See Note 13 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on "Other Liabilities - Other."

Our tax liability for uncertain tax positions was approximately \$74 million, including approximately \$18 million of accrued interest and penalties. Until formal resolutions are reached between us and the tax authorities, the timing and amount of a possible audit settlement for uncertain tax benefits is not practicable. Therefore, we do not include this obligation in the table of contractual obligations. See Note 14 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on "Income Taxes."

We have a contract with a major paper supplier to purchase newsprint. The contract requires us to purchase annually the lesser of a fixed number of tons or a percentage of our total newsprint requirement at market rate in an arm's length transaction. Since the quantities of newsprint purchased annually under this contract are based on our total newsprint requirement, the amount of the related payments for these purchases is excluded from the table above.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have letters of credit outstanding of approximately \$62 million, primarily for obligations under our workers' compensation program, sale-leaseback financing and the acquisition of our New York headquarters site.

Edgar Filing: NEW YORK TIMES CO - Form 10-K

P.48 2010 ANNUAL REPORT Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Table of Contents**CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

Our Consolidated Financial Statements are prepared in accordance with GAAP. The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the Consolidated Financial Statements for the periods presented.

We continually evaluate the policies and estimates we use to prepare our Consolidated Financial Statements. In general, management's estimates are based on historical experience, information from third-party professionals and various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the facts and circumstances. Actual results may differ from those estimates made by management.

We believe our critical accounting policies include our accounting for long-lived assets, retirement benefits, stock-based compensation, income taxes, self-insurance liabilities and accounts receivable allowances. Additional information about these policies can be found in Note 2 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements. Specific risks related to our critical accounting policies are discussed below.

Long-Lived Assets

We evaluate whether there has been an impairment of goodwill or intangible assets not amortized on an annual basis or in an interim period if certain circumstances indicate that a possible impairment may exist. All other long-lived assets are tested for impairment if certain circumstances indicate that a possible impairment exists.

| (In thousands) | December 26, 2010 | December 27, 2009 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| Long-lived assets | \$ 1,836,665 | \$ 1,945,684 |
| Total assets | \$ 3,285,741 | \$ 3,088,557 |
| Percentage of long-lived assets to total assets | 56% | 63% |

The impairment analysis is considered critical to our segments because of the significance of long-lived assets to our Consolidated Balance Sheets.

We test for goodwill impairment at the reporting unit level, which are our operating segments. Separate financial information about these segments is regularly evaluated by our chief operating decision-maker in deciding how to allocate resources.

The goodwill impairment test is a two-step process. The first step, used to identify potential impairment, compares the fair value of the reporting unit with its carrying amount, including goodwill. Fair value is calculated by a combination of a discounted cash flow model and a market approach model. In calculating fair value for each reporting unit, we generally weigh the results of the discounted cash flow model more heavily than the market approach because the discounted cash flow model is specific to our business and long-term projections. If the fair value exceeds the carrying amount, goodwill is not considered impaired. If the carrying amount exceeds the fair value, the second step must be performed to measure the amount of the impairment loss, if any. The second step compares the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill with the carrying amount of that goodwill. An impairment loss would be recognized in an amount equal to the excess of the carrying amount of the goodwill over the implied fair value of the goodwill.

The discounted cash flow analysis requires us to make various judgments, estimates and assumptions, many of which are interdependent, about future revenues, operating margins, growth rates, capital expenditures, working capital and discount rates. The starting point for the assumptions used in our discounted cash flow analysis is the annual long-range financial forecast. The annual planning process that we undertake to prepare the long-range financial forecast takes into consideration a multitude of factors, including historical growth rates and operating performance, related industry trends, macroeconomic conditions, and marketplace data, among others. Assumptions are also made for perpetual growth rates for periods beyond the long-range financial forecast period. Our estimates of fair value are sensitive to changes in all of these variables, certain of which relate to broader macroeconomic conditions outside our control.

Table of Contents

The market approach analysis includes applying a multiple, based on comparable market transactions, to certain operating metrics of the reporting unit.

We compare the sum of the fair values of our reporting units to our market capitalization to determine whether our estimates of reporting unit fair value are reasonable.

Intangible assets that are not amortized (trade names) are tested for impairment at the asset level by comparing the fair value of the asset with its carrying amount. Fair value is calculated utilizing the relief-from-royalty method, which is based on applying a royalty rate, which would be obtained through a lease, to the cash flows derived from the asset being tested. The royalty rate is derived from market data. If the fair value exceeds the carrying amount, the asset is not considered impaired. If the carrying amount exceeds the fair value, an impairment loss would be recognized in an amount equal to the excess of the carrying amount of the asset over the fair value of the asset.

All other long-lived assets (intangible assets that are amortized, such as customer lists, as well as property, plant and equipment) are tested for impairment at the asset group level associated with the lowest level of cash flows. An impairment exists if the carrying value of the asset i) is not recoverable (the carrying value of the asset is greater than the sum of undiscounted cash flows) and ii) is greater than its fair value.

The significant estimates and assumptions used by management in assessing the recoverability of goodwill, other intangible assets acquired and other long-lived assets are estimated future cash flows, discount rates, growth rates, as well as other factors. Any changes in these estimates or assumptions could result in an impairment charge. The estimates, based on reasonable and supportable assumptions and projections, require management's subjective judgment. Depending on the assumptions and estimates used, the estimated results of the impairment tests can vary within a range of outcomes.

In addition to annual testing, management uses certain indicators to evaluate whether the carrying values of our long-lived assets may not be recoverable and an interim impairment test may be required. These indicators include i) current-period operating or cash flow declines combined with a history of operating or cash flow declines or a projection/forecast that demonstrates continuing declines in cash flow or an inability to improve our operations to forecasted levels, ii) a significant adverse change in the business climate, whether structural or technological and iii) a decline in our stock price and market capitalization.

Management has applied what it believes to be the most appropriate valuation methodology for its impairment testing. See Note 5 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Retirement Benefits

Our pension and other postretirement benefit costs are accounted for using actuarial valuations. We are required to recognize the funded status of our defined benefit pension plans measured as the difference between plan assets and the benefit obligation on the balance sheet and to changes in the funded status that arise during the period but are not recognized as components of net periodic pension cost, within other comprehensive income, net of income taxes. As of December 26, 2010, the assets related to our qualified pension plans were measured at fair value.

We consider accounting for retirement plans critical to all of our operating segments because management is required to make significant subjective judgments about a number of actuarial assumptions, which include discount rates, health-care cost trend rates, salary growth, long-term return on plan assets and mortality rates. These assumptions may have an effect on the amount and timing of future contributions.

Depending on the assumptions and estimates used, the impact from our pension and other postretirement benefits could vary within a range of outcomes and could have a material effect on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

See **Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits** below for more information on our retirement benefits.

P.50 2010 ANNUAL REPORT Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Table of Contents

Stock-Based Compensation

We account for stock-based compensation in accordance with the fair value recognition provisions. Stock-based compensation cost is measured at the grant date, and for certain awards at the end of each reporting period based on the fair value of the award, and is recognized as expense over the appropriate vesting period. Determining the fair value of stock-based awards at the grant date requires judgment, including estimating the expected term of stock options, the expected volatility of our stock and expected dividends. In addition, judgment is required in estimating the amount of stock-based awards that are expected to be forfeited. If actual results differ significantly from these estimates or different key assumptions were used, it could have a material effect on our Consolidated Financial Statements. See Note 17 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information regarding stock-based compensation expense.

Income Taxes

We consider accounting for income taxes critical to our operations because management is required to make significant subjective judgments in developing our provision for income taxes, including the determination of deferred tax assets and liabilities, and any valuation allowances that may be required against deferred tax assets.

Income taxes are recognized for the following: i) amount of taxes payable for the current year and ii) deferred tax assets and liabilities for the future tax consequence of events that have been recognized differently in the financial statements than for tax purposes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are established using statutory tax rates and are adjusted for tax rate changes in the period of enactment.

We are also required to assess whether deferred tax assets shall be reduced by a valuation allowance if it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Our process includes collecting positive (e.g., sources of taxable income) and negative (e.g., recent historical losses) evidence and assessing, based on the evidence, whether it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets will not be realized.

We recognize in our financial statements the impact of a tax position if that tax position is more likely than not of being sustained on audit, based on the technical merits of the tax position. This involves the identification of potential uncertain tax positions, the evaluation of tax law and an assessment of whether a liability for uncertain tax positions is necessary. Different conclusions reached in this assessment can have a material impact on the Consolidated Financial Statements.

We operate within multiple taxing jurisdictions and are subject to audit in these jurisdictions. These audits can involve complex issues, which could require an extended period of time to resolve. Until formal resolutions are reached between us and the tax authorities, the timing and amount of a possible audit settlement for uncertain tax benefits is difficult to predict.

Self-Insurance

We self-insure for workers' compensation costs, automobile and general liability claims, up to certain deductible limits, as well as for certain employee medical and disability benefits. The recorded liabilities for self-insured risks are primarily calculated using actuarial methods. The liabilities include amounts for actual claims, claim growth and claims incurred but not yet reported. Actual experience, including claim frequency and severity as well as health-care inflation, could result in different liabilities than the amounts currently recorded. The recorded liabilities for self-insured risks were approximately \$63 million as of December 26, 2010 and \$76 million as of December 27, 2009.

Table of Contents*Accounts Receivable Allowances*

Credit is extended to our advertisers and subscribers based upon an evaluation of the customers' financial condition, and collateral is not required from such customers. We use prior credit losses as a percentage of credit sales, the aging of accounts receivable and specific identification of potential losses to establish reserves for credit losses on accounts receivable. In addition, we establish reserves for estimated rebates, returns, rate adjustments and discounts based on historical experience.

| (In thousands) | December 26, 2010 | December 27, 2009 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| Accounts receivable allowances | \$ 30,209 | \$ 36,485 |
| Accounts receivable-net | 302,245 | 342,075 |
| <i>Accounts receivable-gross</i> | \$ 332,454 | \$ 378,560 |
| Total current assets | \$ 857,232 | \$ 500,573 |
| Percentage of accounts receivable allowances to gross accounts receivable | 9% | 10% |
| Percentage of net accounts receivable to current assets | 35% | 68% |

We consider accounting for accounts receivable allowances critical to all of our operating segments because of the significance of accounts receivable to our current assets and operating cash flows. If the financial condition of our customers were to deteriorate, resulting in an impairment of their ability to make payments, additional allowances may be required, which could have a material effect on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

PENSIONS AND OTHER POSTRETIREMENT BENEFITS

| (In thousands) | December 26, 2010 | December 27, 2009 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| Pension and other postretirement liabilities | \$ 931,638 | \$ 996,110 |
| Total liabilities | \$ 2,621,665 | \$ 2,481,314 |
| Percentage of pension and other postretirement liabilities to total liabilities | 36% | 40% |

Pension Benefits

We sponsor several pension plans; participate in The New York Times Newspaper Guild pension plan, a joint Company and Guild-sponsored plan; and make contributions to several multiemployer pension plans in connection with collective bargaining agreements. These plans cover the majority of our employees.

Our Company-sponsored defined benefit pension plans include qualified plans (funded) as well as non-qualified plans (unfunded). These plans provide participating employees with retirement benefits in accordance with benefit formulas detailed in each plan. Our non-qualified plans provide enhanced retirement benefits to select members of management.

We also have a foreign-based pension plan for certain IHT employees (the foreign plan). The information for the foreign plan is combined with the information for U.S. non-qualified plans. The benefit obligation of the foreign plan is immaterial to our total benefit obligation.

The funded status of our qualified and non-qualified pension plans as of December 26, 2010 is as follows:

Edgar Filing: NEW YORK TIMES CO - Form 10-K

| | December 26, 2010 | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|
| (In thousands) | Qualified Plans | Non- Qualified Plans | All Plans |
| Pension obligation | \$ 1,823,625 | \$ 253,743 | \$ 2,077,368 |
| Fair value of plan assets | 1,381,811 | | 1,381,811 |
| <i>Pension underfunded obligation</i> | <i>\$ 441,814</i> | <i>\$ 253,743</i> | <i>\$ 695,557</i> |

P.52 2010 ANNUAL REPORT Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Table of Contents

For funding purposes as measured in accordance with ERISA, based on preliminary results, the underfunded status of our qualified pension plans as of January 1, 2011, was approximately \$270 million, an improvement of approximately \$150 million from the prior year. In 2010, the funded status of these pension plans benefited from contributions and favorable asset performance, offset in part by lower interest rates.

We made contributions of approximately \$176 million to certain qualified pension plans in 2010. The majority of these contributions were discretionary. We made a \$9.0 million contribution to one of our Company-sponsored qualified pension plans in January 2011. We may make discretionary contributions in 2011 to our Company-sponsored qualified pension plans based on cash flows, pension asset performance, interest rates and other factors, but we will not be required to make mandatory contributions, except for contractual contributions of approximately \$32 million in connection with The New York Times Newspaper Guild pension plan.

Pension expense is calculated using a number of actuarial assumptions, including an expected long-term rate of return on assets (for qualified plans) and a discount rate. Our methodology in selecting these actuarial assumptions is discussed below.

In determining the expected long-term rate of return on assets, we evaluated input from our investment consultants, actuaries and investment management firms, including their review of asset class return expectations, as well as long-term historical asset class returns. Projected returns by such consultants and economists are based on broad equity and bond indices.

Our objective is to select an average rate of earnings expected on existing plan assets and expected contributions to the plan during the year. The expected long-term rate of return determined on this basis was 8.75% in 2010. Our plan assets had a rate of return of approximately 13% in 2010. We regularly review our actual asset allocation and periodically rebalance our investments to meet our investment strategy.

The value (market-related value) of plan assets is multiplied by the expected long-term rate of return on assets to compute the expected return on plan assets, a component of net periodic pension cost. The market-related value of plan assets is defined as either fair value or a calculated value that recognizes changes in fair value over not more than five years. Our market-related value is a calculated value that recognizes changes in fair value over three years.

Based on the composition of our assets at the beginning of the year, we estimated our 2011 expected long-term rate of return to be 8.25%, a decline of .50% from 2010. If we had decreased our expected long-term rate of return on our plan assets by .50% in 2010, pension expense would have increased by \$6.5 million in 2010 for our qualified pension plans. Our funding requirements would not have been materially affected.

We determined our discount rate using a Ryan ALM, Inc. Curve (the Ryan Curve). The Ryan Curve provides the bonds included in the curve and allows adjustments for certain outliers (e.g., bonds on watch). We believe the Ryan Curve allows us to calculate an appropriate discount rate.

To determine our discount rate, we project a cash flow based on annual accrued benefits. For active participants, the benefits under the respective pension plans are projected to the date of termination. The projected plan cash flow is discounted to the measurement date, which is the last day of our fiscal year, using the annual spot rates provided in the Ryan Curve. A single discount rate is then computed so that the present value of the benefit cash flow equals the present value computed using the Ryan Curve rates.

The discount rate determined on this basis was 5.60% for our qualified plans and 5.45% for our non-qualified plans as of December 26, 2010.

If we had decreased the expected discount rate by .50% in 2010, pension expense would have increased by approximately \$4 million for our qualified pension plans and \$0.3 million for our non-qualified pension plans. Our funding requirements would not have been materially affected.

We will continue to evaluate all of our actuarial assumptions, generally on an annual basis, and will adjust as necessary. Actual pension expense will depend on future investment performance, changes in future discount rates, the level of contributions we make and various other factors.

Table of Contents

See Note 11 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information regarding our pension plans.

Other Postretirement Benefits

We provide health benefits to retired employees (and their eligible dependents) who are not covered by any collective bargaining agreements, if the employees meet specified age and service requirements. We no longer provide post-age 65 retiree medical benefits for employees who retire on or after March 1, 2009. We also contribute to a postretirement plan under the provisions of a collective bargaining agreement. We accrue the costs of postretirement benefits during the employees' active years of service and our policy is to pay our portion of insurance premiums and claims from our assets.

The annual postretirement expense was calculated using a number of actuarial assumptions, including a health-care cost trend rate and a discount rate. The health-care cost trend rate range decreased to 5% to 8.5% as of December 26, 2010, from 5% to 9% as of December 27, 2009. A 1% increase/decrease in the health-care cost trend rates range would result in an increase of \$0.4 million or a decrease of \$0.4 million in our 2010 service and interest costs, respectively, two factors included in the calculation of postretirement expense. A 1% increase/decrease in the health-care cost trend rates would result in an increase of approximately \$8 million or a decrease of approximately \$7 million, in our accumulated benefit obligation as of December 26, 2010. Our discount rate assumption for postretirement benefits is consistent with that used in the calculation of pension benefits. See Pension Benefits above for information on our discount rate assumption.

See Notes 11 and 12 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In October 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued new guidance which amends previous guidance related to the accounting for revenue arrangements with multiple deliverables. The guidance specifically addresses how consideration should be allocated to the separate units of accounting. The guidance is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010, and will apply to our 2011 fiscal year. The guidance can be applied prospectively to new or materially modified arrangements after the effective date or retrospectively for all periods presented, and early application is permitted. We do not believe the adoption will have a material impact on our financial statements.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Our market risk is principally associated with the following:

We do not have interest rate risk related to our debt because, as of December 26, 2010, our portfolio does not include variable-rate debt. Newsprint is a commodity subject to supply and demand market conditions. We have equity investments in two paper mills, which provide a partial hedge against price volatility. The cost of raw materials, of which newsprint expense is a major component, represented 8% of our total operating costs in 2010 and 7% in 2009. Based on the number of newsprint tons consumed in 2010 and 2009, a \$10 per ton increase in newsprint prices would have resulted in additional newsprint expense of \$2.3 million (pre-tax) in 2010 and \$2.5 million (pre-tax) in 2009. A significant portion of our employees are unionized and our results could be adversely affected if labor negotiations were to restrict our ability to maximize the efficiency of our operations. In addition, if we were to experience labor unrest, strikes or other business interruptions in connection with labor negotiations, or if we are unable to negotiate labor contracts on reasonable terms, our ability to produce and deliver our most significant products could be impaired.

See Notes 4, 7, 8 and 20 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA**

THE NEW YORK TIMES COMPANY 2010 FINANCIAL REPORT

| INDEX | PAGE |
|--|-------------|
| <u>Management's Responsibilities Report</u> | 56 |
| <u>Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting</u> | 57 |
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 58 |
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting</u> | 59 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 26, 2010, December 27, 2009 and December 28, 2008</u> | 60 |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 26, 2010 and December 27, 2009</u> | 62 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 26, 2010, December 27, 2009 and December 28, 2008</u> | 64 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 26, 2010, December 27, 2009 and December 28, 2008</u> | 66 |
| <u>Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 69 |
| <u>1. Basis of Presentation</u> | 69 |
| <u>2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies</u> | 69 |
| <u>3. Short-Term Investments</u> | 73 |
| <u>4. Inventories</u> | 73 |
| <u>5. Impairment of Assets</u> | 74 |
| <u>6. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets</u> | 75 |
| <u>7. Investments in Joint Ventures</u> | 76 |
| <u>8. Debt</u> | 78 |
| <u>9. Other</u> | 81 |
| <u>10. Fair Value Measurements</u> | 82 |
| <u>11. Pension Benefits</u> | 83 |
| <u>12. Other Postretirement Benefits</u> | 92 |
| <u>13. Other Liabilities</u> | 95 |
| <u>14. Income Taxes</u> | 95 |
| <u>15. Discontinued Operations</u> | 97 |
| <u>16. Earnings/(Loss) Per Share</u> | 98 |
| <u>17. Stock-Based Awards</u> | 99 |
| <u>18. Stockholders' Equity</u> | 103 |
| <u>19. Segment Information</u> | 104 |
| <u>20. Commitments and Contingent Liabilities</u> | 107 |
| <u>Quarterly Information (Unaudited)</u> | 108 |
| <u>Schedule II - Valuation and Qualifying Accounts for the three years ended December 26, 2010</u> | 110 |

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSIBILITIES REPORT

The Company's consolidated financial statements were prepared by management, who is responsible for their integrity and objectivity. The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) and, as such, include amounts based on management's best estimates and judgments.

Management is further responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The Company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with GAAP. The Company follows and continuously monitors its policies and procedures for internal control over financial reporting to ensure that this objective is met (see Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting below).

The consolidated financial statements were audited by Ernst & Young LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, in 2010, 2009 and 2008. Its audits were conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) and its report is shown on page 58.

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors, which is composed solely of independent directors, meets regularly with the independent registered public accounting firm, internal auditors and management to discuss specific accounting, financial reporting and internal control matters. Both the independent registered public accounting firm and the internal auditors have full and free access to the Audit Committee. Each year the Audit Committee selects, subject to ratification by stockholders, the firm which is to perform audit and other related work for the Company.

THE NEW YORK TIMES COMPANY

BY: JANET L. ROBINSON
President and Chief Executive Officer
February 22, 2011

THE NEW YORK TIMES COMPANY

BY: JAMES M. FOLLO
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
February 22, 2011

P.56 2010 ANNUAL REPORT Management's Responsibilities Report

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Management of the Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The Company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with GAAP. The Company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that:

- pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company;
- provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and
- provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 26, 2010. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission in Internal Control - Integrated Framework. Based on its assessment, management concluded that the Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 26, 2010.

The Company's independent registered public accounting firm, Ernst & Young LLP, that audited the consolidated financial statements of the Company included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, has issued an attestation report on the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 26, 2010, which is included on page 59 in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM ON CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of

The New York Times Company

New York, NY

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of The New York Times Company as of December 26, 2010 and December 27, 2009, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three fiscal years in the period ended December 26, 2010. Our audit also included the financial statement schedule listed at Item 15(A)(2) of The New York Times Company's 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K. These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of The New York Times Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of The New York Times Company at December 26, 2010 and December 27, 2009, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three fiscal years in the period ended December 26, 2010, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), The New York Times Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 26, 2010, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, and our report dated February 22, 2011 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

New York, New York

February 22, 2011

P.58 2010 ANNUAL REPORT Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of

The New York Times Company

New York, NY

We have audited The New York Times Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 26, 2010, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). The New York Times Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on The New York Times Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, The New York Times Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 26, 2010 based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of The New York Times Company as of December 26, 2010 and December 27, 2009, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three fiscal years in the period ended December 26, 2010 and our report dated February 22, 2011 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

New York, New York

February 22, 2011

Table of Contents

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

| (In thousands) | December 26, 2010 | Years Ended December 27, 2009 | December 28, 2008 |
|---|----------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Revenues | | | |
| Advertising | \$ 1,300,361 | \$ 1,336,291 | \$ 1,771,033 |
| Circulation | 931,493 | 936,486 | 910,154 |
| Other | 161,609 | 167,662 | 258,577 |
| Total | 2,393,463 | 2,440,439 | 2,939,764 |
| Operating costs | | | |
| Production costs | | | |
| Raw materials | 160,422 | 166,387 | 250,843 |
| Wages and benefits | 498,270 | 524,782 | 620,573 |
| Other | 303,086 | 330,061 | 438,927 |
| Total production costs | 961,778 | 1,021,230 | 1,310,343 |
| Selling, general and administrative costs | 1,054,199 | 1,152,874 | 1,328,432 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 120,950 | 133,696 | 144,301 |
| Total operating costs | 2,136,927 | 2,307,800 | 2,783,076 |
| Impairment of assets | 16,148 | 4,179 | 197,879 |
| Pension withdrawal expense | 6,268 | 78,931 | |
| Net pension curtailment gain | | 53,965 | |
| Loss on leases and other | | 34,633 | |
| Gain on sale of assets | | 5,198 | |
| Operating profit/(loss) | 234,120 | 74,059 | (41,191) |
| Income from joint ventures | 19,035 | 20,667 | 17,062 |
| Gain on sale of investment | 9,128 | | |
| Interest expense, net | 85,062 | 81,701 | 47,790 |
| Premium on debt redemption | | 9,250 | |
| Income/(loss) from continuing operations before income taxes | 177,221 | 3,775 | (71,919) |
| Income tax expense/(benefit) | 68,516 | 2,206 | (5,979) |
| Income/(loss) from continuing operations | 108,705 | 1,569 | (65,940) |
| Discontinued operations: | | | |
| (Loss)/income from discontinued operations, net of income taxes | | (1,156) | 302 |
| Gain on sale, net of income taxes | 13 | 19,488 | 8,300 |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of income taxes | 13 | 18,332 | 8,602 |
| Net income/(loss) | 108,718 | 19,901 | (57,338) |
| Net income attributable to the noncontrolling interest | (1,014) | (10) | (501) |
| Net income/(loss) attributable to The New York Times Company common stockholders | \$ 107,704 | \$ 19,891 | \$ (57,839) |
| Amounts attributable to The New York Times Company common stockholders: | | | |
| Income/(loss) from continuing operations | \$ 107,691 | \$ 1,559 | \$ (66,441) |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of income taxes | 13 | 18,332 | 8,602 |

Edgar Filing: NEW YORK TIMES CO - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--------------------------|------------|-----------|-------------|
| <i>Net income/(loss)</i> | \$ 107,704 | \$ 19,891 | \$ (57,839) |
|--------------------------|------------|-----------|-------------|

See Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

P.60 2010 ANNUAL REPORT Consolidated Statements of Operations

Table of Contents

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS continued

| (In thousands, except per share data) | December 26, 2010 | Years Ended December 27, 2009 | December 28, 2008 |
|--|------------------------------|--|------------------------------|
| Average number of common shares outstanding | | | |
| Basic | 145,636 | 144,188 | 143,777 |
| Diluted | 152,600 | 146,367 | 143,777 |
| Basic earnings/(loss) per share attributable to The New York Times Company common stockholders: | | | |
| Income/(loss) from continuing operations | \$ 0.74 | \$ 0.01 | \$ (0.46) |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of income taxes | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.06 |
| Net income/(loss) | \$ 0.74 | \$ 0.14 | \$ (0.40) |
| Diluted earnings/(loss) per share attributable to The New York Times Company common stockholders: | | | |
| Income/(loss) from continuing operations | \$ 0.71 | \$ 0.01 | \$ (0.46) |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of income taxes | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.06 |
| Net income/(loss) | \$ 0.71 | \$ 0.14 | \$ (0.40) |
| Dividends per share | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.75 |

See Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

| (In thousands, except share and per share data) | December 26, 2010 | December 27, 2009 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| <i>Assets</i> | | |
| <i>Current assets</i> | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 369,668 | \$ 36,520 |
| Short-term investments | 29,974 | |
| Accounts receivable (net of allowances: 2010 \$30,209; 2009 \$36,485) | 302,245 | 342,075 |
| Inventories | 16,132 | 16,303 |
| Deferred income taxes | 68,875 | 44,860 |
| Other current assets | 70,338 | 60,815 |
| Total current assets | 857,232 | 500,573 |
| <i>Investments in joint ventures</i> | 134,641 | 131,357 |
| <i>Property, plant and equipment</i> | | |
| Equipment | 1,166,697 | 1,221,505 |
| Buildings, building equipment and improvements | 873,801 | 882,902 |
| Land | 140,997 | 143,305 |
| Assets in progress | 24,247 | 8,979 |
| Total at cost | 2,205,742 | 2,256,691 |
| Less: accumulated depreciation and amortization | (1,048,956) | (1,006,670) |
| Property, plant and equipment net | 1,156,786 | 1,250,021 |
| <i>Intangible assets acquired</i> | | |
| Goodwill (less accumulated impairment losses of \$805,218 in 2010 and 2009) | 644,464 | 652,196 |
| Other intangible assets acquired (less accumulated amortization of \$69,383 in 2010 and \$61,494 in 2009) | 35,415 | 43,467 |
| Total intangible assets acquired | 679,879 | 695,663 |
| <i>Deferred income taxes</i> | 255,701 | 318,126 |
| <i>Miscellaneous assets</i> | 201,502 | 192,817 |
| <i>Total assets</i> | \$ 3,285,741 | \$ 3,088,557 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS continued

| (In thousands, except share and per share data) | December 26, 2010 | December 27, 2009 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| <i>Liabilities and stockholders equity</i> | | |
| <i>Current liabilities</i> | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 113,968 | \$ 119,228 |
| Accrued payroll and other related liabilities | 143,850 | 121,881 |
| Unexpired subscriptions | 72,896 | 77,504 |
| Accrued expenses and other | 173,663 | 181,887 |
| Total current liabilities | 504,377 | 500,500 |
| <i>Other liabilities</i> | | |
| Long-term debt and capital lease obligations | 996,405 | 769,176 |
| Pension benefits obligation | 772,785 | 815,422 |
| Postretirement benefits obligation | 130,623 | 151,250 |
| Other | 217,475 | 244,966 |
| Total other liabilities | 2,117,288 | 1,980,814 |
| <i>Stockholders equity</i> | | |
| Serial preferred stock of \$1 par value authorized 200,000 shares none issued | | |
| Common stock of \$.10 par value: | | |
| Class A authorized 300,000,000 shares; issued: 2010 149,302,487; | | |
| 2009 148,315,621 (including treasury shares: 2010 3,970,238; | | |
| 2009 4,627,737) | 14,930 | 14,832 |
| Class B convertible authorized and issued shares: 2010 819,125; | | |
| 2009 825,475 (including treasury shares: 2010 none; 2009 none) | 82 | 83 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 40,155 | 43,603 |
| Retained earnings | 1,126,294 | 1,018,590 |
| Common stock held in treasury, at cost | (134,463) | (149,302) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of income taxes: | | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | 11,298 | 16,838 |
| Unrealized derivative loss on cash-flow hedge of equity method investment | (1,143) | (697) |
| Funded status of benefit plans | (397,226) | (339,905) |
| Total accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of income taxes | (387,071) | (323,764) |
| Total New York Times Company stockholders equity | 659,927 | 604,042 |
| <i>Noncontrolling interest</i> | | |
| | 4,149 | 3,201 |
| Total stockholders equity | 664,076 | 607,243 |
| <i>Total liabilities and stockholders equity</i> | \$ 3,285,741 | \$ 3,088,557 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

| (In thousands) | December 26, 2010 | Years Ended December 27, 2009 | December 28, 2008 |
|--|----------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|
| <i>Cash flows from operating activities</i> | | | |
| Net income/(loss) | \$ 108,718 | \$ 19,901 | \$ (57,338) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income/(loss) to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Impairment of assets | 16,148 | 4,179 | 197,879 |
| Pension withdrawal expense | 6,268 | 78,931 | |
| Gain on sale of investment | (9,128) | | |
| Net pension curtailment gain | | (53,965) | |
| Gain on sale of Radio Operations | | (34,914) | |
| Loss on leases and other | | 34,633 | |
| Premium on debt redemption | | 9,250 | |
| Gain on sale of assets | | (5,198) | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 120,950 | 133,775 | 144,409 |
| Stock-based compensation | 7,029 | 11,250 | 15,431 |
| Excess undistributed earnings of affiliates | (10,710) | (17,892) | (169) |
| Deferred income taxes | 61,271 | 44,431 | (18,958) |
| Long-term retirement benefit obligations | (167,498) | 13,936 | (2,981) |
| Other net | 5,595 | 9,816 | (17,196) |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of acquisitions/dispositions: | | | |
| Accounts receivable net | 39,830 | 52,817 | 42,093 |
| Inventories | 171 | 8,324 | 2,065 |
| Other current assets | (572) | 15,798 | 2,752 |
| Accounts payable and other liabilities | (20,137) | (65,919) | (60,962) |
| Unexpired subscriptions | (4,608) | (2,387) | (587) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 153,327 | 256,766 | 246,438 |
| <i>Cash flows from investing activities</i> | | | |
| Capital expenditures | (33,565) | (51,056) | (166,990) |
| Purchase of short-term investments | (29,974) | | |
| Loan repayments/(issuance) net | 11,500 | (11,500) | |
| Proceeds from sale/(purchase) of investments net | 9,254 | (1,338) | 12,218 |
| Proceeds from the sale of assets | 2,265 | 26,543 | |
| Proceeds from the sale of Radio Operations | | 45,424 | |
| Acquisitions, net of cash acquired of \$2,353 in 2008 | | | (5,737) |
| Net cash (used in)/provided by investing activities | (40,520) | 8,073 | (160,509) |
| <i>Cash flows from financing activities</i> | | | |
| Commercial paper borrowings net | | | (111,741) |
| (Repayments)/borrowings under revolving credit agreements net | | (380,000) | 185,000 |
| Long-term obligations: | | | |
| Proceeds from issuance of senior unsecured notes | 220,248 | 221,322 | |
| Proceeds from sale-leaseback financing | | 210,502 | |
| Redemption of long-term debt | | (259,513) | |
| Repayments | (592) | (98,958) | (49,561) |
| Capital shares: | | | |
| Issuance | 1,010 | 443 | |
| Repurchases | | (489) | (231) |
| Proceeds from sale of warrants | | 20,529 | |
| Dividends paid to stockholders | | | (108,541) |
| Other financing proceeds net | | | 3,839 |
| Net cash provided by/(used in) financing activities | 220,666 | (286,164) | (81,235) |
| Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 333,473 | (21,325) | 4,694 |

Edgar Filing: NEW YORK TIMES CO - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|-------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | (325) | 1,061 | 558 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of the year | 36,520 | 56,784 | 51,532 |
| <i>Cash and cash equivalents at the end of the year</i> | \$ 369,668 | \$ 36,520 | \$ 56,784 |

See Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

P.64 2010 ANNUAL REPORT Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

Table of Contents

SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES TO CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

Cash Flow Information

| (In thousands) | December 26, 2010 | Years Ended December 27, 2009 | December 28, 2008 |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|
| SUPPLEMENTAL DATA | | | |
| <i>Cash payments</i> | | | |
| Interest | \$ 76,748 | \$ 68,976 | \$ 50,086 |
| Income tax paid/(refunds) net | \$ 18,948 | \$ (23,692) | \$ 27,490 |

Non-Cash

Accrued capital expenditures included in Accounts payable in the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets were approximately \$6 million in 2010, \$4 million in 2009 and \$18 million in 2008.
See Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

| (In thousands, except share and per share data) | Capital Stock | | Retained Earnings | Common Stock Held in Treasury, at Cost | Accumulated Other Compre- hensive Loss, Net of Income Taxes | Total New York Times Company Stock- holders Equity | Non- controlling Interest | Total Stock- holders Equity |
|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|--|---|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | Class A and Class B Common | Additional Paid-in Capital | | | | | | |
| <i>Balance, December 30, 2007</i> | \$ 14,889 | \$ 9,869 | \$ 1,170,288 | \$ (161,395) | \$ (55,451) | \$ 978,200 | \$ 5,907 | \$ 984,107 |
| Comprehensive loss: | | | | | | | | |
| Net (loss)/income | | | (57,839) | | | (57,839) | 501 | (57,338) |
| Foreign currency translation loss (net of tax benefit of \$1,678) | | | | | (5,167) | (5,167) | | (5,167) |
| Change in unrecognized amounts included in pension and postretirement obligations (net of tax benefit of \$222,577) | | | | | (311,477) | (311,477) | (551) | (312,028) |
| Comprehensive loss | | | | | | (374,483) | (50) | (374,533) |
| Adjustment to adopt accounting for deferred compensation (net of tax benefit of \$3,747) | | | (5,209) | | | (5,209) | | (5,209) |
| Dividends, common \$.75 per share | | | (108,541) | | | (108,541) | | (108,541) |
| Issuance of shares: | | | | | | | | |
| Retirement units 6,873 Class A shares | | (71) | | 130 | | 59 | | 59 |
| Employee stock purchase plan 48,753 Class A shares | | (72) | | 919 | | 847 | | 847 |
| Restricted shares forfeited 9,320 Class A shares | | 176 | | (176) | | | | |
| Restricted stock units vested 56,961 Class A shares | | (1,369) | | 1,074 | | (295) | | (295) |
| Stock-based compensation | | 15,431 | | | | 15,431 | | 15,431 |
| Tax shortfall from equity award exercises | | (1,815) | | | | (1,815) | | (1,815) |
| Repurchase of stock 26,859 Class A shares | | | | (231) | | (231) | | (231) |
| Acquired noncontrolling interest | | | | | | | 1,061 | 1,061 |
| Distributions | | | | | | | (3,852) | (3,852) |
| <i>Balance, December 28, 2008</i> | 14,889 | 22,149 | 998,699 | (159,679) | (372,095) | 503,963 | 3,066 | 507,029 |

See Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY continued

| (In thousands, except share and per share data) | Capital Stock | | Retained Earnings | Common Stock Held in Treasury, at Cost | Accumulated Other Compre- hensive Loss, Net of Income Taxes | Total New York Times Company Stock- holders Equity | Non- controlling Interest | Total Stock- holders Equity |
|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|--|---|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | Class A and Class B Common | Additional Paid-in Capital | | | | | | |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | | | | |
| Net income | | | 19,891 | | | 19,891 | 10 | 19,901 |
| Foreign currency translation income (net of tax expense of \$533) | | | | | 2,345 | 2,345 | | 2,345 |
| Unrealized derivative loss on cash-flow hedge of equity method investment (net of tax benefit of \$523) | | | | | (697) | (697) | | (697) |
| Change in unrecognized amounts included in pension and postretirement obligations (net of tax expense of \$24,754) | | | | | 46,683 | 46,683 | 125 | 46,808 |
| Comprehensive income | | | | | | 68,222 | 135 | 68,357 |
| Issuance of warrants | | 20,529 | | | | 20,529 | | 20,529 |
| Issuance of shares: | | | | | | | | |
| Retirement units 3,385 Class A shares | | (30) | | 75 | | 45 | | 45 |
| Employee stock purchase plan 139,904 Class A shares | 14 | 827 | | | | 841 | | 841 |
| Stock options 118,400 Class A shares | 12 | 417 | | | | 429 | | 429 |
| Stock conversions 159 Class B shares to A shares | | | | | | | | |
| Restricted shares forfeited 2,585 Class A shares | | 57 | | (57) | | | | |
| Restricted stock units vested 57,520 Class A shares | | (1,079) | | 908 | | (171) | | (171) |
| 401(k) Company stock match 444,936 Class A shares | | (7,466) | | 9,940 | | 2,474 | | 2,474 |
| Stock-based compensation | | 10,433 | | | | 10,433 | | 10,433 |
| Tax shortfall from equity award exercises | | (2,234) | | | | (2,234) | | (2,234) |
| Repurchase of stock 52,412 Class A shares | | | | (489) | | (489) | | (489) |
| Balance, December 27, 2009 | 14,915 | 43,603 | 1,018,590 | (149,302) | (323,764) | 604,042 | 3,201 | 607,243 |

See Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY continued

| (In thousands, except share and per share data) | Capital Stock | | Retained Earnings | Common Stock Held in Treasury, at Cost | Accumulated Other Compre- hensive Loss, Net of Income Taxes | Total New York Times Company Stock- holders Equity | Non- controlling Interest | Total Stock- holders Equity |
|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|--|---|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | Class A and Class B Common | Additional Paid-in Capital | | | | | | |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | | | | |
| Net income | | | 107,704 | | | 107,704 | 1,014 | 108,718 |
| Foreign currency translation loss (net of tax benefit of \$4,076) | | | | | (5,540) | (5,540) | | (5,540) |
| Unrealized derivative loss on cash-flow hedge of equity method investment (net of tax benefit of \$316) | | | | | (446) | (446) | | (446) |
| Change in unrecognized amounts included in pension and postretirement obligations (net of tax benefit of \$39,281) | | | | | (57,321) | (57,321) | (66) | (57,387) |
| Comprehensive income | | | | | | 44,397 | 948 | 45,345 |
| Issuance of shares: | | | | | | | | |
| Retirement units 18,038 Class A shares | | (109) | | 427 | | 318 | | 318 |
| Employee stock purchase plan 722,916 Class A shares | 72 | 3,761 | | | | 3,833 | | 3,833 |
| Stock options 257,600 Class A shares | 25 | 913 | | | | 938 | | 938 |
| Stock conversions 6,350 Class B shares to A shares | | | | | | | | |
| Restricted stock units vested 203,566 Class A shares | | (6,180) | | 4,828 | | (1,352) | | (1,352) |
| 401(k) Company stock match 435,895 Class A shares | | (5,106) | | 9,584 | | 4,478 | | 4,478 |
| Stock-based compensation | | 7,119 | | | | 7,119 | | 7,119 |
| Tax shortfall from equity award exercises | | (3,846) | | | | (3,846) | | (3,846) |
| Balance, December 26, 2010 | \$ 15,012 | \$ 40,155 | \$ 1,126,294 | \$ (134,463) | \$ (387,071) | \$ 659,927 | \$ 4,149 | \$ 664,076 |

See Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Basis of Presentation

Nature of Operations

The New York Times Company is a diversified media company currently including newspapers, digital businesses, investments in paper mills and other investments (see Note 7). The New York Times Company and its consolidated subsidiaries are referred to collectively as the Company, we, us or our. Our major source of revenue is advertising, predominantly from our newspaper business. The newspapers generally operate in the Northeast, Southeast and California markets in the United States.

Principles of Consolidation

The Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of our Company and our wholly and majority-owned subsidiaries after elimination of all significant intercompany transactions.

The portion of the net income or loss and equity of a subsidiary attributable to the owners of a subsidiary other than the Company (a noncontrolling interest) is included within net income or loss in our Consolidated Statements of Operations and as a component of consolidated stockholders' equity in our Consolidated Balance Sheets and Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity.

Fiscal Year

Our fiscal year end is the last Sunday in December. Fiscal years 2010, 2009 and 2008 each comprise 52 weeks. Our fiscal years ended as of December 26, 2010, December 27, 2009 and December 28, 2008.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Cash and Cash Equivalents

We consider all highly liquid debt instruments with original maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

Short-Term Investments

We have the intention and ability to hold our short-term investments to maturity. These investments are classified as held-to-maturity and are reported at amortized cost plus accrued interest. The changes in the value of these securities, other than impairment charges, are not reported in our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Accounts Receivable

Credit is extended to our advertisers and our subscribers based upon an evaluation of the customer's financial condition, and collateral is not required from such customers. Allowances for estimated credit losses, rebates, returns, rate adjustments and discounts are generally established based on historical experience.

Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or current market value. Inventory cost is generally based on the last-in, first-out (LIFO) method for newsprint and the first-in, first-out (FIFO) method for other inventories.

Investments

Investments in which we have at least a 20%, but not more than a 50%, interest are generally accounted for under the equity method. Investment interests below 20% are generally accounted for under the cost method, except if we could exercise significant influence, the investment would be

Table of Contents

accounted for under the equity method. We have an investment interest below 20% in a limited liability company which is accounted for under the equity method (see Note 7).

Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment are stated at cost. Depreciation is computed by the straight-line method over the shorter of estimated asset service lives or lease terms as follows: buildings, building equipment and improvements 10 to 40 years; equipment 3 to 30 years. We capitalize interest costs and certain staffing costs as part of the cost of major projects.

We evaluate whether there has been an impairment of long-lived assets, primarily property, plant and equipment, if certain circumstances indicate that a possible impairment may exist. These assets are tested for impairment at the asset group level associated with the lowest level of cash flows. An impairment exists if the carrying value of the asset i) is not recoverable (the carrying value of the asset is greater than the sum of undiscounted cash flows) and ii) is greater than its fair value.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets Acquired

Goodwill is the excess of cost over the fair value of tangible and other intangible net assets acquired. Goodwill is not amortized but tested for impairment annually or in an interim period if certain circumstances indicate a possible impairment may exist. Our annual impairment testing date is the first day of our fiscal fourth quarter.

Other intangible assets acquired consist primarily of trade names on various acquired properties, customer lists, content and other assets. Other intangible assets acquired that have indefinite lives (trade names) are not amortized but tested for impairment annually or in an interim period if certain circumstances indicate a possible impairment may exist. Certain other intangible assets acquired (customer lists, content and other assets) are amortized over their estimated useful lives and tested for impairment if certain circumstances indicate an impairment may exist.

We test for goodwill impairment at the reporting unit level, which are our operating segments. Separate financial information about these segments is regularly evaluated by our chief operating decision-maker in deciding how to allocate resources.

The goodwill impairment test is a two-step process. The first step, used to identify potential impairment, compares the fair value of the reporting unit with its carrying amount, including goodwill. Fair value is calculated by a combination of a discounted cash flow model and a market approach model. In calculating fair value for each reporting unit, we generally weigh the results of the discounted cash flow model more heavily than the market approach because the discounted cash flow model is specific to our business and long-term projections. If the fair value exceeds the carrying amount, goodwill is not considered impaired. If the carrying amount exceeds the fair value, the second step must be performed to measure the amount of the impairment loss, if any. The second step compares the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill with the carrying amount of that goodwill. An impairment loss would be recognized in an amount equal to the excess of the carrying amount of the goodwill over the implied fair value of the goodwill.

The discounted cash flow analysis requires us to make various judgments, estimates and assumptions, many of which are interdependent, about future revenues, operating margins, growth rates, capital expenditures, working capital and discount rates. The starting point for the assumptions used in our discounted cash flow analysis is the annual long-range financial forecast. The annual planning process that we undertake to prepare the long-range financial forecast takes into consideration a multitude of factors, including historical growth rates and operating performance, related industry trends, macroeconomic conditions, and marketplace data, among others. Assumptions are also made for perpetual growth rates for periods beyond the long-range financial forecast period. Our estimates of fair value are sensitive to changes in all of these variables, certain of which relate to broader macroeconomic conditions outside our control.

The market approach analysis includes applying a multiple, based on comparable market transactions, to certain operating metrics of the reporting unit.

Table of Contents

We compare the sum of the fair values of our reporting units to our market capitalization to determine whether our estimates of reporting unit fair value are reasonable.

Intangible assets that are not amortized (trade names) are tested for impairment at the asset level by comparing the fair value of the asset with its carrying amount. Fair value is calculated utilizing the relief-from-royalty method, which is based on applying a royalty rate, which would be obtained through a lease, to the cash flows derived from the asset being tested. The royalty rate is derived from market data. If the fair value exceeds the carrying amount, the asset is not considered impaired. If the carrying amount exceeds the fair value, an impairment loss would be recognized in an amount equal to the excess of the carrying amount of the asset over the fair value of the asset.

All other long-lived assets (intangible assets that are amortized, such as customer lists) are tested for impairment at the asset group level associated with the lowest level of cash flows. An impairment exists if the carrying value of the asset i) is not recoverable (the carrying value of the asset is greater than the sum of undiscounted cash flows) and ii) is greater than its fair value.

The significant estimates and assumptions used by management in assessing the recoverability of goodwill, other intangible assets acquired and other long-lived assets are estimated future cash flows, discount rates, growth rates, as well as other factors. Any changes in these estimates or assumptions could result in an impairment charge. The estimates, based on reasonable and supportable assumptions and projections, require management's subjective judgment. Depending on the assumptions and estimates used, the estimated results of the impairment tests can vary within a range of outcomes.

In addition to annual testing, management uses certain indicators to evaluate whether the carrying values of its long-lived assets may not be recoverable and an interim impairment test may be required. These indicators include i) current-period operating or cash flow declines combined with a history of operating or cash flow declines or a projection/forecast that demonstrates continuing declines in the cash flow or the inability to improve our operations to forecasted levels, ii) a significant adverse change in the business climate, whether structural or technological and iii) a decline in our stock price and market capitalization.

Management has applied what it believes to be the most appropriate valuation methodology for its impairment testing. See Note 5.

Self-Insurance

We self-insure for workers' compensation costs, automobile and general liability claims, up to certain deductible limits, as well as for certain employee medical and disability benefits. The recorded liabilities for self-insured risks are primarily calculated using actuarial methods. The liabilities include amounts for actual claims, claim growth and claims incurred but not yet reported. The recorded liabilities for self-insured risks were approximately \$63 million as of December 26, 2010 and \$76 million as of December 27, 2009.

Pension and Other Postretirement Benefits

We sponsor several pension plans, participate in The New York Times Newspaper Guild pension plan, a joint Company and Guild-sponsored plan, and make contributions to several others, in connection with collective bargaining agreements, that are considered multiemployer pension plans. These plans cover substantially all employees.

We recognize the funded status of our defined benefit pension plans—measured as the difference between plan assets and the benefit obligation on the balance sheet and recognize changes in the funded status that arise during the period but are not recognized as components of net periodic pension cost, within other comprehensive income, net of income taxes. The assets related to our qualified pension plans are measured at fair value.

We also recognize the present value of pension liabilities associated with the withdrawal from multiemployer pension plans.

See Notes 11 and 12 for additional information regarding pension and other postretirement benefits.

Table of Contents

Revenue Recognition

Advertising revenue is recognized when advertisements are published or placed on our digital media platforms or, with respect to certain digital media advertising, each time a user clicks on certain ads, net of provisions for estimated rebates, rate adjustments and discounts.

We recognize a rebate obligation as a reduction of revenue, based on the amount of estimated rebates that will be earned and claimed, related to the underlying revenue transactions during the period. Measurement of the rebate obligation is estimated based on the historical experience of the number of customers that ultimately earn and use the rebate.

Rate adjustments primarily represent credits given to customers related to billing or production errors and discounts represent credits given to customers who pay an invoice prior to its due date. Rate adjustments and discounts are accounted for as a reduction of revenue, based on the amount of estimated rate adjustments or discounts related to the underlying revenue during the period. Measurement of rate adjustments and discount obligations are estimated based on historical experience of credits actually issued.

Circulation revenue includes newsstand and subscription revenue. Newsstand revenue is recognized based on date of publication, net of provisions for related returns. Proceeds from subscription revenue are deferred at the time of sale and are recognized in earnings on a pro rata basis over the terms of the subscriptions.

Other revenue is recognized when the related service or product has been delivered.

Income Taxes

Income taxes are recognized for the following: i) amount of taxes payable for the current year and ii) deferred tax assets and liabilities for the future tax consequence of events that have been recognized differently in the financial statements than for tax purposes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are established using statutory tax rates and are adjusted for tax rate changes in the period of enactment.

We assess whether our deferred tax assets shall be reduced by a valuation allowance if it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Our process includes collecting positive (e.g., sources of taxable income) and negative (e.g., recent historical losses) evidence and assessing, based on the evidence, whether it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets will not be realized.

We recognize in our financial statements the impact of a tax position if that tax position is more likely than not of being sustained on audit, based on the technical merits of the tax position. This involves the identification of potential uncertain tax positions, the evaluation of tax law and an assessment of whether a liability for uncertain tax positions is necessary. Different conclusions reached in this assessment can have a material impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

We operate within multiple taxing jurisdictions and are subject to audit in these jurisdictions. These audits can involve complex issues, which could require an extended period of time to resolve. Until formal resolutions are reached between us and the tax authorities, the timing and amount of a possible audit settlement for uncertain tax benefits is difficult to predict.

Stock-Based Compensation

We establish fair value for our stock-based awards to determine our cost and recognize the related expense over the appropriate vesting period. We recognize compensation expense for stock options, stock under our Company's Employee Stock Purchase Plan (ESPP), cash-settled restricted stock units, stock-settled restricted stock units, awards under a Long-Term Incentive Plan (LTIP), stock appreciation rights and restricted stock (together, the Stock-Based Awards). See Note 17 for additional information related to stock-based compensation expense.

Earnings/(Loss) Per Share

Basic earnings/(loss) per share is calculated by dividing net earnings/(loss) available to common stockholders by the weighted-average common shares outstanding. Diluted earnings/

Table of Contents

(loss) per share is calculated similarly, except that it includes the dilutive effect of the assumed exercise of securities, including outstanding warrants and the effect of shares issuable under our Company's stock-based incentive plans if such effect is dilutive.

Foreign Currency Translation

The assets and liabilities of foreign companies are translated at year-end exchange rates. Results of operations are translated at average rates of exchange in effect during the year. The resulting translation adjustment is included as a separate component in the Stockholders' Equity section of our Consolidated Balance Sheets, in the caption Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of income taxes.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in our Consolidated Financial Statements. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

Reclassifications

For comparability, certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform with the 2010 presentation.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In October 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued new guidance which amends previous guidance related to the accounting for revenue arrangements with multiple deliverables. The guidance specifically addresses how consideration should be allocated to the separate units of accounting. The guidance is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010, and will apply to our 2011 fiscal year. The guidance can be applied prospectively to new or materially modified arrangements after the effective date or retrospectively for all periods presented, and early application is permitted. We do not believe the adoption will have a material impact on our financial statements.

3. Short-Term Investments

Short-term investments in our Consolidated Balance Sheet comprise U.S. Treasury securities. These securities were purchased on December 3, 2010 at a discount of .17% maturing six months from the date of purchase. Since we have the intention and ability to hold the investments to maturity, these investments are classified as held-to-maturity and are reported at amortized cost plus accrued interest. The changes in the value of these securities, other than impairment charges, are not reported in our Consolidated Financial Statements.

The carrying value (which approximated the fair value) of the short-term investments was \$30.0 million as of December 26, 2010.

4. Inventories

Inventories as shown in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets were as follows:

| (In thousands) | December 26, 2010 | December 27, 2009 |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Newsprint and magazine paper | \$ 12,596 | \$ 12,013 |
| Other inventory | 3,536 | 4,290 |
| Total | \$ 16,132 | \$ 16,303 |

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or current market value. Cost was determined utilizing the LIFO method for 66% of inventory in 2010 and 70% of inventory in 2009. The excess of replacement or current cost over stated LIFO value was approximately \$5 million as of December 26, 2010 and \$3 million as of December 27, 2009. The remaining portion of inventory is accounted for under the FIFO method.

Table of Contents

5. Impairment of Assets

2010

We consolidated the printing facility of The Boston Globe (the *Globe*) in Billerica, Mass., into the Boston, Mass., printing facility in the second quarter of 2009. After exploring different opportunities for the assets at Billerica, we entered into an agreement in the third quarter of 2010 to sell the majority of these assets to a third party. Therefore, assets with a carrying value of approximately \$20 million were written down to their fair value, resulting in a \$16.1 million impairment charge in 2010.

There were no impairment charges in connection with our 2010 annual impairment test, which was completed in the fourth quarter. However, the Regional Media Group's estimated fair value continues to approximate its carrying value in 2010. The Regional Media Group includes approximately \$152 million of goodwill in 2010.

In determining the fair value of the Regional Media Group, we made significant judgments and estimates regarding the expected severity and duration of the current economic slowdown and the secular changes affecting the newspaper industry. The effect of these assumptions on projected long-term revenues, along with the continued benefits from reductions to the group's cost structure, play a significant role in calculating the fair value of the Regional Media Group.

We estimated a 2% annual growth rate to arrive at a normalized residual year representing the perpetual cash flows of the Regional Media Group. The residual year cash flow was capitalized to arrive at the terminal value of the Regional Media Group. Utilizing a discount rate of 10.5%, the present value of the cash flows during the projection period and terminal value were aggregated to estimate the fair value of the Regional Media Group. We assumed a discount rate of 10.5% in the discounted cash flow analysis for the 2010 annual impairment test compared to a 10.2% discount rate used in the 2009 annual impairment test. In determining the appropriate discount rate, we considered the weighted average cost of capital for comparable companies.

We believe that if the Regional Media Group's projected cash flows are not met during 2011, a goodwill impairment charge is possible in 2011.

2009

In the fourth quarter of 2009, we recorded a \$4.2 million charge for an impairment of assets due to the reduced scope of a systems project at the News Media Group.

2008

In the first quarter of 2008, we recorded a non-cash impairment charge of \$18.3 million for the write-down of assets for a systems project at the News Media Group. We reduced the scope of a major advertising and circulation project to decrease capital spending, which resulted in the write-down of previously capitalized costs.

In the third quarter of 2008, we performed an interim impairment test at the New England Media Group, which is part of the News Media Group reportable segment, due to certain impairment indicators, including the continued decline in print advertising revenue affecting the newspaper industry and lower-than-expected current and projected operating results. The assets tested included goodwill, indefinite-lived intangible assets, other long-lived assets being amortized and an equity method investment in Metro Boston.

We recorded a non-cash impairment charge of \$166.0 million. This impairment charge reduced the carrying value of goodwill and other intangible assets of the New England Media Group to zero.

The fair value of the New England Media Group's goodwill was the residual fair value after allocating the total fair value of the New England Media Group to its other assets, net of liabilities. The total fair value of the New England Media Group was estimated using a combination of a discounted cash flow model (present value of future cash flows) and a market approach model based on comparable businesses. The goodwill was not tax deductible because the 1993 acquisition of the *Globe* was structured as a tax-free stock transaction.

Table of Contents

The fair value of the mastheads at the New England Media Group was calculated using a relief-from-royalty method and the fair value of the customer list was calculated by estimating the present value of associated future cash flows.

The property, plant and equipment of the New England Media Group was estimated at fair value less cost to sell. The fair value was determined giving consideration to market and income approaches to value.

The carrying value of our investment in Metro Boston was written down to fair value because the business had experienced lower-than-expected growth and we anticipated lower growth compared with previous projections, leading management to conclude that the investment was other than temporarily impaired. The impairment was recorded within Income from joint ventures.

Our 2008 annual impairment test, which was completed in the fourth quarter, resulted in an additional non-cash impairment charge of \$19.2 million relating to the International Herald Tribune (the IHT) masthead. The impairment charge reduced the carrying value of the IHT masthead to zero. The asset impairment mainly resulted from lower projected operating results and cash flows primarily due to the economic downturn and secular decline of print advertising revenues. The fair value of the masthead was calculated using a relief-from-royalty method.

The impairment charges included in Impairment of assets and Income from joint ventures in our Consolidated Statements of Operations, are presented below by asset.

| (In thousands) | December 26, 2010 | | | December 27, 2009 | | | December 28, 2008 | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|----------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|------------|
| | Pre-tax | Tax | After-tax | Pre-tax | Tax | After-tax | Pre-tax | Tax | After-tax |
| Newspaper mastheads | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 57,470 | \$ 22,653 | \$ 34,817 |
| Goodwill | | | | | | | 22,897 | | 22,897 |
| Customer list | | | | | | | 8,336 | 3,086 | 5,250 |
| Property, plant and equipment | 16,148 | 6,017 | 10,131 | 4,179 | 1,615 | 2,564 | 109,176 | 44,167 | 65,009 |
| Total | 16,148 | 6,017 | 10,131 | 4,179 | 1,615 | 2,564 | 197,879 | 69,906 | 127,973 |
| Metro Boston investment | | | | | | | 5,600 | 2,084 | 3,516 |
| Total | \$ 16,148 | \$ 6,017 | \$ 10,131 | \$ 4,179 | \$ 1,615 | \$ 2,564 | \$ 203,479 | \$ 71,990 | \$ 131,489 |

6. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill in 2010 and 2009 were as follows:

| (In thousands) | News Media Group | About Group | Total |
|--|------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Balance as of December 28, 2008 | | | |
| Goodwill | \$ 1,096,441 | \$ 369,978 | \$ 1,466,419 |
| Accumulated impairment losses | (805,218) | | (805,218) |
| Balance as of December 28, 2008 | 291,223 | 369,978 | 661,201 |
| Goodwill disposed during year | (11,239) | | (11,239) |
| Foreign currency translation | 2,234 | | 2,234 |
| Balance as of December 27, 2009 | | | |
| Goodwill | 1,087,436 | 369,978 | 1,457,414 |
| Accumulated impairment losses | (805,218) | | (805,218) |
| Balance as of December 27, 2009 | 282,218 | 369,978 | 652,196 |

Edgar Filing: NEW YORK TIMES CO - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Foreign currency translation | (7,732) | | (7,732) |
| Balance as of December 26, 2010 | | | |
| Goodwill | 1,079,704 | 369,978 | 1,449,682 |
| Accumulated impairment losses | (805,218) | | (805,218) |
| <i>Balance as of December 26, 2010</i> | \$ 274,486 | \$ 369,978 | \$ 644,464 |

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements THE NEW YORK TIMES COMPANY [P.75](#)

Table of Contents

Goodwill disposed during 2009 was related to the sales of the TimesDaily, a daily newspaper previously included in the Regional Media Group, which is part of the News Media Group and WQXR-FM (see Note 15). The foreign currency translation line item reflects changes in goodwill resulting from fluctuating exchange rates related to the consolidation of the IHT.

Other intangible assets acquired were as follows:

| (In thousands) | December 26, 2010 | | | December 27, 2009 | | |
|---|-----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Net | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Net |
| <i>Amortized other intangible assets:</i> | | | | | | |
| Content | \$ 25,712 | \$ (16,510) | \$ 9,202 | \$ 25,712 | \$ (13,677) | \$ 12,035 |
| Customer lists | 28,316 | (21,281) | 7,035 | 28,355 | (19,331) | 9,024 |
| Other | 36,390 | (31,592) | 4,798 | 36,532 | (28,486) | 8,046 |
| Total | 90,418 | (69,383) | 21,035 | 90,599 | (61,494) | 29,105 |
| <i>Unamortized other intangible assets:</i> | | | | | | |
| Trade names | 14,380 | | 14,380 | 14,362 | | 14,362 |
| <i>Total other intangible assets acquired</i> | <i>\$ 104,798</i> | <i>\$ (69,383)</i> | <i>\$ 35,415</i> | <i>\$ 104,961</i> | <i>\$ (61,494)</i> | <i>\$ 43,467</i> |

The table above includes the foreign currency translation adjustment related to the consolidation of the IHT.

As of December 26, 2010, the remaining weighted-average amortization period is seven years for content, six years for customer lists and three years for other intangible assets acquired included in the table above.

Amortization expense related to amortized other intangible assets acquired was \$8.1 million in 2010, \$8.2 million in 2009 and \$11.5 million in 2008. Amortization expense for the next five years related to these intangible assets is expected to be as follows:

| (In thousands) | Amount |
|----------------|----------|
| Year | |
| 2011 | \$ 7,700 |
| 2012 | 5,300 |
| 2013 | 2,100 |
| 2014 | 1,100 |
| 2015 | 800 |

7. Investments in Joint Ventures

As of December 26, 2010, our Company's investments in joint ventures consisted of equity ownership interests in the following entities:

| Company | Approximate % Ownership |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Metro Boston LLC (Metro Boston) | 49% |
| Donohue Malbaie Inc. (Malbaie) | 49% |
| Madison Paper Industries (Madison) | 40% |
| quadrantONE LLC (quadrantONE) | 25% |
| Fenway Sports Group | 16.57% |

Edgar Filing: NEW YORK TIMES CO - Form 10-K

Our Company's investments above are accounted for under the equity method, and are recorded in Investments in joint ventures in our Consolidated Balance Sheets. Our proportionate

P.76 2010 ANNUAL REPORT Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

shares of the operating results of our investments are recorded in **Income from joint ventures** in our Consolidated Statements of Operations and in **Investments in joint ventures** in our Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Metro Boston

We own a 49% interest in Metro Boston, which publishes a free daily newspaper in the greater Boston area. We recorded a non-cash charge of \$5.6 million in 2008 related to an impairment of this investment. This charge is included in **Income from joint ventures** in our Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Malbaie & Madison

We also have investments in a Canadian newsprint company, Malbaie, and a partnership operating a supercalendered paper mill in Maine, Madison (together, the **Paper Mills**).

Our Company and Myllykoski Corporation, a Finnish paper manufacturing company, are partners through subsidiary companies in Madison. Our Company's percentage ownership of Madison, which represents 40%, is through an 80%-owned consolidated subsidiary. Myllykoski Corporation owns a 10% interest in Madison through a 20% noncontrolling interest in the consolidated subsidiary of our Company.

We received distributions from Malbaie of \$2.8 million in 2009 and \$4.7 million in 2008. There were no distributions in 2010.

We received distributions from Madison of \$5.3 million in 2010 and \$26.0 million in 2008. There were no distributions in 2009.

The News Media Group purchased newsprint and supercalendered paper from the Paper Mills at competitive prices. Such purchases aggregated approximately \$33 million in 2010, \$39 million in 2009 and \$68 million in 2008.

In 2010, we recorded a pre-tax gain of \$12.7 million from the sale of an asset at one of the Paper Mills. Our share of the pre-tax gain, after eliminating the noncontrolling interest portion, is \$10.2 million. The \$12.7 million gain is included in **Income from joint ventures** in our Consolidated Statements of Operations.

quadrantONE

We own a 25% interest in quadrantONE, an online advertising network that sells bundled premium, targeted display advertising onto local newspaper and other Web sites.

Fenway Sports Group

We own a 16.57% interest in Fenway Sports Group, which owns the Boston Red Sox baseball club; Liverpool Football Club (a soccer team in the English Premier League); approximately 80% of New England Sports Network (a regional cable sports network that televises the Red Sox and Boston Bruins hockey games); and 50% of Roush Fenway Racing (a leading NASCAR team).

In 2010, we sold 50 of our units in Fenway Sports Group, resulting in a pre-tax gain of \$9.1 million. We intend to continue to explore the sale of our remaining 700 units in Fenway Sports Group, in whole or in parts.

Table of Contents

The following tables present summarized information for our Company's unconsolidated joint ventures. Summarized unaudited condensed combined balance sheets of our Company's unconsolidated joint ventures were as follows as of:

| (In thousands) | December 31, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|--------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Current assets | \$ 272,672 | \$ 158,574 |
| Non-current assets | 1,421,083 | 884,862 |
| Total assets | 1,693,755 | 1,043,436 |
| Current liabilities | 426,020 | 202,246 |
| Non-current liabilities | 613,971 | 225,977 |
| Total liabilities | 1,039,991 | 428,223 |
| Equity | 580,603 | 545,448 |
| Noncontrolling interest | 73,161 | 69,765 |
| Total equity | \$ 653,764 | \$ 615,213 |

Summarized unaudited condensed combined income statements of our Company's unconsolidated joint ventures were as follows for the years ended:

| (In thousands) | December 31, 2010 | December 31, 2009 | December 31, 2008 |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Revenues | \$ 936,223 | \$ 844,950 | \$ 887,976 |
| Costs and expenses | 850,950 | 739,289 | 744,301 |
| Operating income | 85,273 | 105,661 | 143,675 |
| Other income/(expense) | 14,724 | 5,418 | (7,306) |
| Pre-tax income | 99,997 | 111,079 | 136,369 |
| Income tax (benefit)/expense | (111) | 932 | 3,876 |
| Net income | 100,108 | 110,147 | 132,493 |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest | 23,725 | 20,631 | 21,269 |
| Net income less noncontrolling interest | \$ 76,383 | \$ 89,516 | \$ 111,224 |

8. Debt

Our total debt and capital lease obligations consisted of the following:

| (In thousands) | December 26, 2010 | December 27, 2009 |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|
| 4.610% medium-term notes due in 2012, net of unamortized debt costs of \$229 in 2010 and \$354 in 2009 | \$ 74,771 | \$ 74,646 |
| 5.0% senior notes due in 2015, net of unamortized debt costs of \$140 in 2010 and \$169 in 2009 | 249,860 | 249,831 |
| 14.053% senior notes due in 2015, net of unamortized debt costs of \$22,320 in 2010 and \$25,851 in 2009 | 227,680 | 224,149 |

Edgar Filing: NEW YORK TIMES CO - Form 10-K

| | | |
|--|-------------------|------------|
| 6.625% senior notes due in 2016, net of unamortized debt costs of \$4,898 in 2010 | 220,102 | |
| Option to repurchase ownership interest in headquarters building in 2019, net of unamortized debt costs of \$32,694 in 2010 and \$36,161 in 2009 | 217,306 | 213,839 |
| Total debt | 989,719 | 762,465 |
| Capital lease obligations | 6,724 | 6,752 |
| <i>Total debt and capital lease obligations</i> | \$ 996,443 | \$ 769,217 |

Long-Term Debt

Based on borrowing rates currently available for debt with similar terms and average maturities, the fair value of our long-term debt was approximately \$1.1 billion as of December 26, 2010 and approximately \$907 million as of December 27, 2009.

Table of Contents

The aggregate face amount of maturities of long-term debt over the next five years and thereafter is as follows:

| (In thousands) | Amount |
|---|-------------------|
| 2011 | \$ |
| 2012 | 75,000 |
| 2013 | |
| 2014 | |
| 2015 | 500,000 |
| Thereafter | 475,000 |
| Total face amount of maturities | 1,050,000 |
| Less: Unamortized debt costs | (60,281) |
| <i>Carrying value of long-term debt</i> | \$ 989,719 |

Interest expense, net, as shown in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations was as follows:

| (In thousands) | December 26, 2010 | December 27, 2009 | December 28, 2008 |
|---|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| <i>Interest expense, net</i> | | | |
| Cash interest expense | \$ 79,349 | \$ 78,606 | \$ 50,119 |
| Non-cash amortization of discount on debt | 7,251 | 6,084 | 711 |
| Capitalized interest | (299) | (1,566) | (2,639) |
| Interest income | (1,239) | (1,423) | (401) |
| <i>Total interest expense, net</i> | \$ 85,062 | \$ 81,701 | \$ 47,790 |

4.610% Notes

We have \$75.0 million aggregate principal amount of 4.610% medium-term notes due September 26, 2012, outstanding (the 4.610% Notes).

The 4.610% Notes may be redeemed, in whole or in part, at any time, at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the notes redeemed, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the redemption date plus a make-whole premium. The 4.610% Notes are not otherwise callable.

The 4.610% Notes are subject to certain covenants that, among other things, limit (subject to customary exceptions) our ability and the ability of certain material subsidiaries to:

- create liens on certain assets to secure debt; and
- enter into certain sale-leaseback transactions.

5.0% Notes

We have \$250.0 million aggregate principal amount of 5.0% senior unsecured notes due March 15, 2015, outstanding (the 5.0% Notes).

The 5.0% Notes may be redeemed, in whole or in part, at any time, at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the notes redeemed, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the redemption date plus a make-whole premium. The 5.0% Notes are not otherwise callable.

The 5.0% Notes are subject to certain covenants that, among other things, limit (subject to customary exceptions) our ability and the ability of certain material subsidiaries to:

- create liens on certain assets to secure debt; and

Edgar Filing: NEW YORK TIMES CO - Form 10-K

enter into certain sale-leaseback transactions.

14.053% Notes

In January 2009, pursuant to a securities purchase agreement with Inmobiliaria Carso, S.A. de C.V. and Banco Inbursa S.A., Institución de Banca Múltiple, Grupo Financiero Inbursa (each an Investor and collectively the Investors), we issued, for an aggregate purchase price of \$250.0 million, (1) \$250.0 million aggregate principal amount of 14.053% senior unsecured notes due

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements THE NEW YORK TIMES COMPANY *P.79*

Table of Contents

January 15, 2015 (the 14.053% Notes), and (2) detachable warrants to purchase 15.9 million shares of our Class A Common Stock at a price of \$6.3572 per share. The warrants are exercisable at the holder's option at any time and from time to time, in whole or in part, until January 15, 2015. Each Investor is an affiliate of Carlos Slim Helú, the beneficial owner of approximately 7% of our Class A Common Stock (excluding the warrants). Each Investor purchased an equal number of 14.053% Notes and warrants.

We received proceeds of approximately \$242 million (purchase price of \$250.0 million, net of a \$4.5 million investor funding fee and transaction costs), of which approximately \$221 million was allocated to the 14.053% Notes and included in Long-term debt and capital lease obligations and approximately \$21 million was allocated to the warrants and included in Additional paid-in capital in our Consolidated Balance Sheet. The difference between the purchase price of \$250.0 million and the \$221 million allocated to the 14.053% Notes, or approximately \$29 million, is being amortized over a six-year period through interest expense. The effective interest rate on this transaction was approximately 17%.

We have an option, at any time on or after January 15, 2012, to prepay all or any part of the 14.053% Notes at a premium of the outstanding principal amount, plus accrued interest. The prepayment premium is 105.0% from January 15, 2012 to January 14, 2013; 102.5% from January 15, 2013 to January 14, 2014; and 100.0% from January 15, 2014 to the maturity date. In addition, at any time prior to January 15, 2012, we may at our option prepay all or any part of the notes by paying a make-whole premium amount based on the present value of the remaining scheduled payments. Given the terms, we currently intend to repay or refinance the 14.053% Notes at the earliest practicable date after January 15, 2012, depending on available financing or other sources of cash at the time.

The 14.053% Notes contain certain covenants that, among other things, limit (subject to customary exceptions) our ability and the ability of our subsidiaries to:

- incur additional indebtedness;
- create liens with respect to any of our properties; and
- transfer or sell assets.

6.625% Notes

In November 2010, we completed an offering of \$225.0 million aggregate principal amount of 6.625% senior unsecured notes due December 15, 2016 (6.625% Notes).

We received proceeds of approximately \$220 million (purchase price of \$225.0 million, net of approximately \$5 million in transaction costs). The effective interest rate on this transaction was approximately 7%.

We have the option to redeem all or a portion of the 6.625% Notes, at any time, at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the notes redeemed plus accrued and unpaid interest to the redemption date plus a make-whole premium. The 6.625% Notes are not otherwise callable.

The 6.625% Notes are subject to certain covenants that, among other things, limit (subject to customary exceptions) our ability and the ability of our subsidiaries to:

- incur additional indebtedness and issue preferred stock;
- pay dividends or make other equity distributions;
- agree to any restrictions on the ability of our restricted subsidiaries to make payments to us;
- create liens on certain assets to secure debt;
- make certain investments;
- merge or consolidate with other companies or transfer all or substantially all of our assets; and
- engage in sale and leaseback transactions.

Sale-Leaseback Financing

In March 2009, an affiliate of our Company entered into an agreement to sell and simultaneously lease back a portion of our leasehold condominium interest in our Company's headquarters building located at 620 Eighth Avenue in New York City (the Condo Interest). The sale price for

Table of Contents

the Condo Interest was \$225.0 million. We have an option, exercisable during the 10th year of the lease term, to repurchase the Condo Interest for \$250.0 million. The lease term is 15 years, and we have three renewal options that could extend the term for an additional 20 years.

The transaction is accounted for as a financing transaction. As such, we have continued to depreciate the Condo Interest and account for the rental payments as interest expense. The difference between the purchase option price of \$250.0 million and the net sale proceeds of approximately \$211 million, or approximately \$39 million, is being amortized over a 10-year period through interest expense. The effective interest rate on this transaction was approximately 13%.

Revolving Credit Agreement

Our \$400.0 million credit agreement expiring in June 2011 is used for general corporate purposes and provides a facility for the issuance of letters of credit. As of December 26, 2010, excluding letters of credit, there were no outstanding borrowings under the revolving credit agreement.

Any borrowings under the revolving credit agreement bear interest at specified margins based on our credit rating, over various floating rates selected by us. The amount available under our revolving credit agreement is summarized in the following table.

| (In thousands) | December 26, 2010 |
|--|----------------------|
| Revolving credit agreement | \$ 400,000 |
| Less: | |
| Amount outstanding under revolving credit agreement | |
| Letters of credit | 62,129 |
| <i>Amount available under revolving credit agreement</i> | <i>\$ 337,871</i> |

The revolving credit agreement contains a covenant that requires a specified level of stockholders' equity, which, as defined by the agreement, does not include accumulated other comprehensive loss and excludes the impact of one-time non-cash charges. The required level of stockholders' equity (as defined by the agreement) is the sum of \$950.0 million plus an amount equal to 25% of net income for each fiscal year ending after December 28, 2003, when net income exists. As of December 26, 2010, the amount of stockholders' equity in excess of the required level was approximately \$756 million, which excludes the impact of non-cash impairment charges incurred in 2006, 2007 and 2008 that together aggregated approximately \$878 million.

Premium on Debt Redemption

In April 2009, we settled the redemption of all \$250.0 million outstanding aggregate principal amount of our 4.5% notes due March 15, 2010, that had been called for redemption in March 2009. The redemption price of approximately \$260 million included a \$9.3 million premium and was computed under the terms of the notes as the present value of the scheduled payments of principal and unpaid interest, plus accrued interest to the redemption settlement date.

9. Other

Severance Costs

We recognized severance costs of \$6.8 million in 2010, \$53.9 million in 2009 and \$81.0 million in 2008. In 2010, 2009 and 2008, these costs were primarily recognized at the News Media Group related to various initiatives and are primarily recorded in Selling, general and administrative costs in our Consolidated Statements of Operations. We had a severance liability of \$11.1 million and \$35.3 million included in Accrued expenses and other in our Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 26, 2010 and December 27, 2009, respectively, of which the majority of the December 26, 2010 balance will be paid in 2011.

Table of Contents

Loss on Leases and Other

The total loss on leases and other recorded in 2009 was \$34.6 million.

In 2009, we recorded a loss of \$22.8 million for the present value of remaining rental payments under leases, for property previously occupied by City & Suburban Delivery Systems, Inc. (City & Suburban), in excess of rental income under potential subleases. Also in 2009, we recorded a loss of \$8.3 million for the present value of remaining rental payments under a lease for office space at The New York Times Media Group, in excess of rental income under potential subleases.

In the fourth quarter of 2009, we also recorded a \$3.5 million charge for the early termination of a third-party printing contract.

Gain on Sale of Assets

In 2009, we sold certain surplus real estate assets at the Regional Media Group and recorded a gain on the sales totaling \$5.2 million.

City & Suburban Closure

In January 2009, we closed City & Suburban, which operated a wholesale distribution business that delivered The New York Times (The Times) and other newspapers and magazines to newsstands and retail outlets in the New York metropolitan area. With this change, we moved to a distribution model similar to that of The Times 's national edition. As a result, The Times is currently delivered to newsstands and retail outlets in the New York metropolitan area through a combination of third-party wholesalers and our Company 's own drivers. In other markets in the United States and Canada, The Times is delivered through agreements with other newspapers and third-party delivery agents.

Total costs recorded to close City & Suburban were approximately \$64 million, of which approximately \$31 million was recorded in 2009 and approximately \$33 million was recorded in 2008 (principally consisting of \$29 million in severance costs). In 2009, the costs included the \$22.8 million estimated loss on leases and a \$5.3 million charge for a multiemployer pension plan withdrawal liability (see Note 11).

Consolidation of Printing Plants

We completed the consolidation of the Globe 's printing facility in Billerica, Mass., into the Boston, Mass., facility in the second quarter of 2009. Total costs recorded in connection with the consolidation were approximately \$29 million, of which approximately \$25 million was recorded in 2009 (approximately \$13 million in severance costs, approximately \$6 million in accelerated depreciation and approximately \$6 million in moving costs) and approximately \$4 million was recorded in 2008 (for accelerated depreciation). In addition, we recognized a \$16.1 million impairment charge in 2010 (See Note 5).

Capital expenditures to consolidate the Globe 's printing operations into one printing plant were approximately \$5 million, of which the majority was incurred in 2009.

We consolidated the printing operations of a facility we leased in Edison, N.J., into our facility in College Point, N.Y., in 2007. The Edison, N.J., facility was closed in March 2008. The costs to close the Edison facility were approximately \$89 million, principally consisting of accelerated depreciation charges (approximately \$69 million), severance costs (approximately \$15 million) and plant restoration costs (approximately \$5 million).

Loan Issuance

In 2009, we made a one-year secured term loan of approximately \$13 million to a third-party circulation service provider. In April 2010, we amended the loan, reducing the amount of the loan to \$10 million and extending the maturity date for one year until April 2011. On October 22, 2010, the loan was repaid in full.

10. Fair Value Measurements

Fair value is the price that would be received upon the sale of an asset or paid upon transfer of a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The

Table of Contents

transaction would be in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability, based on assumptions that a market participant would use in pricing the asset or liability.

The fair value hierarchy consists of three levels:

Level 1 quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the reporting entity has the ability to access at the measurement date;

Level 2 inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly; and

Level 3 unobservable inputs for the asset or liability.

As of December 26, 2010 and December 27, 2009, we had assets related to our qualified pension plans measured at fair value. The required disclosures regarding such assets are presented within Note 11. The disclosure of the fair value of our short-term investments, which are classified as Level 1, is included in Note 3 and the fair value of our long-term debt is included in Note 8.

11. Pension Benefits

We sponsor several pension plans; participate in The New York Times Newspaper Guild pension plan, a joint Company and Guild-sponsored plan; and make contributions to several multiemployer plans in connection with collective bargaining agreements. These plans cover the majority of our employees.

Our Company-sponsored defined benefit pension plans include qualified plans (funded) as well as non-qualified plans (unfunded). These plans provide participating employees with retirement benefits in accordance with benefit formulas detailed in each plan. Our non-qualified plans provide enhanced retirement benefits to select members of management.

We also have a foreign-based pension plan for certain IHT employees (the foreign plan). The information for the foreign plan is combined with the information for U.S. non-qualified plans. The benefit obligation of the foreign plan is immaterial to our total benefit obligation.

In 2010, we recorded a \$6.3 million charge for a change in estimate of pension withdrawal obligations under several multiemployer pension plans at the Globe. The 2009 pension withdrawal expense was \$78.9 million related to the following items:

Employees of the Globe represented by various unions ratified amendments to their collective bargaining agreements that allowed us to withdraw or partially withdraw from various multiemployer pension plans. The withdrawals resulted in withdrawal liabilities to the respective plans for our proportionate share of any unfunded vested benefits. We recorded a \$73.6 million charge for the present value of estimated future payments under the pension withdrawal liabilities. Our total future payments are currently estimated to be approximately \$214 million. The actual liability will not be known until each plan completes a final assessment of the withdrawal liability and issues a demand to us.

We recognized a \$5.3 million charge for the present value of future payments under a pension withdrawal liability under a multiemployer pension plan related to the closure of our subsidiary, City & Suburban. Our total future payments are approximately \$6 million.

We did not make significant changes to our pension plans during 2010. During 2009, we made the following changes to certain of our pension plans, which resulted in a net pension curtailment gain of \$54.0 million.

We amended a Company-sponsored qualified pension plan for non-union employees to discontinue future benefit accruals under the plan and freeze existing accrued benefits effective December 31, 2009. Benefits earned by participants under the plan prior to January 1, 2010, were not affected. We also froze a non-qualified pension plan that provides enhanced retirement benefits to select members of management. The accrued benefits under this supplemental benefit plan were determined and frozen based on eligible earnings through December 31, 2009. The reduction of benefits under the qualified and non-qualified plans mentioned above and various other non-qualified plans resulted in a curtailment gain of \$56.7 million.

We froze a Company-sponsored qualified pension plan in connection with ratified amendments to a collective bargaining agreement covering the Newspaper Guild of the Globe. The amendments resulted in a curtailment loss of \$2.5 million.

Table of Contents

We eliminated certain non-qualified retirement benefits of various employees of the Globe in connection with the amendment of two union agreements. The amendments resulted in a curtailment loss of \$0.2 million.

Net Periodic Pension Cost

The components of net periodic pension (income)/costs were as follows:

| (In thousands) | December 26, 2010 | | | December 27, 2009 | | | December 28, 2008 | | |
|--|-------------------|---------------------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| | Qualified Plans | Non-Qualified Plans | All Plans | Qualified Plans | Non-Qualified Plans | All Plans | Qualified Plans | Non-Qualified Plans | All Plans |
| <i>Components of net periodic pension cost/ (income)</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| Service cost | \$ 12,045 | \$ 1,896 | \$ 13,941 | \$ 28,266 | \$ 1,687 | \$ 29,953 | \$ 40,437 | \$ 3,009 | \$ 43,446 |
| Interest cost | 102,523 | 13,602 | 116,125 | 102,757 | 14,431 | 117,188 | 100,313 | 13,991 | 114,304 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (113,625) | | (113,625) | (113,359) | | (113,359) | (127,659) | | (127,659) |
| Recognized actuarial loss | 16,496 | 4,103 | 20,599 | 21,901 | 4,061 | 25,962 | 2,916 | 4,951 | 7,867 |
| Amortization of prior service cost/(credit) | 803 | | 803 | (4,728) | 562 | (4,166) | 1,648 | 78 | 1,726 |
| Curtailment (gain)/loss | | | | (58,283) | 4,318 | (53,965) | | (406) | (406) |
| <i>Net periodic pension cost/(income)</i> | \$ 18,242 | \$ 19,601 | \$ 37,843 | \$ (23,446) | \$ 25,059 | \$ 1,613 | \$ 17,655 | \$ 21,623 | \$ 39,278 |

Other changes in plan assets and benefit obligations recognized in other comprehensive income were as follows:

| (In thousands) | December 26, 2010 | December 27, 2009 | December 28, 2008 |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Net loss/(gain) | \$ 122,879 | \$ (69,416) | \$ 663,296 |
| Prior service cost/(credit) | | 2,115 | (65,314) |
| Amortization of loss | (20,599) | (25,962) | (7,867) |
| Amortization of prior service (cost)/credit | (803) | 4,166 | (1,726) |
| Effect of curtailment | (1,083) | (2,375) | |
| <i>Total recognized in other comprehensive income</i> | \$ 100,394 | \$ (91,472) | \$ 588,389 |
| <i>Total recognized in net periodic benefit cost and other comprehensive income</i> | \$ 138,237 | \$ (89,859) | \$ 627,667 |

The estimated actuarial loss and prior service cost that will be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive loss into net periodic pension cost over the next fiscal year is approximately \$29 million and \$1 million, respectively.

Pension cost for our multiemployer pension plans include contributions made to the plans, which are in accordance with the formula in the relevant collective bargaining agreements, and accretion expense related to increasing the present value of our pension withdrawal obligations. Pension cost for these plans is not reflected above and was approximately \$14 million in 2010, \$12 million in 2009 and \$15 million in 2008. In addition, we recorded expense for our pension withdrawal liabilities of \$6.3 million in 2010 and \$78.9 million in 2009, as discussed above.

The amount of cost recognized for defined contribution benefit plans was approximately \$23 million for 2010, \$14 million for 2009 and \$13 million for 2008. Effective January 1, 2010, we increased our contribution under a defined contribution plan for non-union employees. This change to the defined contribution plan was made in conjunction with freezing our Company-sponsored qualified pension plan for non-union employees. The incremental contribution is equal to 3% of the employee's eligible earnings, up to applicable limits under the Internal Revenue Code.

Table of Contents*Benefit Obligation and Plan Assets*

The changes in the benefit obligation and plan assets and other amounts recognized in other comprehensive loss were as follows:

| (In thousands) | December 26, 2010 | | | December 27, 2009 | | |
|--|-------------------|---------------------|--------------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| | Qualified Plans | Non-Qualified Plans | All Plans | Qualified Plans | Non-Qualified Plans | All Plans |
| <i>Change in benefit obligation</i> | | | | | | |
| Benefit obligation at beginning of year | \$ 1,671,244 | \$ 230,602 | \$ 1,901,846 | \$ 1,637,546 | \$ 227,810 | \$ 1,865,356 |
| Service cost | 12,045 | 1,896 | 13,941 | 28,266 | 1,687 | 29,953 |
| Interest cost | 102,523 | 13,602 | 116,125 | 102,757 | 14,431 | 117,188 |
| Plan participants' contributions | 32 | | 32 | 17 | | 17 |
| Amendments | | | | | 2,115 | 2,115 |
| Actuarial loss | 131,759 | 25,333 | 157,092 | 25,039 | 17,499 | 42,538 |
| Curtailments | (1,083) | | (1,083) | (37,802) | (18,539) | (56,341) |
| Benefits paid | (92,895) | (17,367) | (110,262) | (84,579) | (14,441) | (99,020) |
| Effects of change in currency conversion | | (323) | (323) | | 40 | 40 |
| Benefit obligation at end of year | 1,823,625 | 253,743 | 2,077,368 | 1,671,244 | 230,602 | 1,901,846 |
| <i>Change in plan assets</i> | | | | | | |
| Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year | 1,150,915 | | 1,150,915 | 994,777 | | 994,777 |
| Actual return on plan assets | 147,837 | | 147,837 | 225,313 | | 225,313 |
| Employer contributions | 175,922 | 17,367 | 193,289 | 15,387 | 14,441 | 29,828 |
| Plan participants' contributions | 32 | | 32 | 17 | | 17 |
| Benefits paid | (92,895) | (17,367) | (110,262) | (84,579) | (14,441) | (99,020) |
| Fair value of plan assets at end of year | 1,381,811 | | 1,381,811 | 1,150,915 | | 1,150,915 |
| <i>Net amount recognized</i> | \$ (441,814) | \$ (253,743) | \$ (695,557) | \$ (520,329) | \$ (230,602) | \$ (750,931) |
| <i>Amount recognized in the Consolidated Balance Sheets</i> | | | | | | |
| Current liabilities | \$ | \$ (16,436) | \$ (16,436) | \$ | \$ (15,877) | \$ (15,877) |
| Noncurrent liabilities ⁽¹⁾ | (441,814) | (237,307) | (679,121) | (520,329) | (214,725) | (735,054) |
| <i>Net amount recognized</i> | \$ (441,814) | \$ (253,743) | \$ (695,557) | \$ (520,329) | \$ (230,602) | \$ (750,931) |
| <i>Amount recognized in accumulated other comprehensive loss</i> | | | | | | |
| Actuarial loss | \$ 699,709 | \$ 76,723 | \$ 776,432 | \$ 619,742 | \$ 55,493 | \$ 675,235 |
| Prior service cost | 2,762 | | 2,762 | 3,565 | | 3,565 |
| <i>Total</i> | \$ 702,471 | \$ 76,723 | \$ 779,194 | \$ 623,307 | \$ 55,493 | \$ 678,800 |

(1) The Pension benefits obligation in our Consolidated Balance Sheet includes the noncurrent liabilities related to the qualified and non-qualified plans as well as pension withdrawal liabilities under multiemployer pension plans. The pension withdrawal liabilities under multiemployer pension plans were approximately \$94 million as of December 26, 2010 and approximately \$80 million as of December 27, 2009.

The accumulated benefit obligation for all pension plans was \$2.04 billion and \$1.87 billion as of December 26, 2010 and December 27, 2009, respectively.

Edgar Filing: NEW YORK TIMES CO - Form 10-K

Information for pension plans with an accumulated benefit obligation in excess of plan assets was as follows:

| | December 26, | December 27, |
|--------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| (In thousands) | 2010 | 2009 |
| Projected benefit obligation | \$ 2,077,368 | \$ 1,901,846 |
| Accumulated benefit obligation | \$ 2,035,644 | \$ 1,870,405 |
| Fair value of plan assets | \$ 1,381,811 | \$ 1,150,915 |

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements THE NEW YORK TIMES COMPANY [P.85](#)

Table of Contents**Assumptions**

Weighted-average assumptions used in the actuarial computations to determine benefit obligations for our Company's qualified pension plans were as follows:

| | December 26, | December 27, |
|---|--------------|--------------|
| (Percent) | 2010 | 2009 |
| Discount rate | 5.60% | 6.30% |
| Rate of increase in compensation levels | 4.00% | 4.00% |

The rate of increase in compensation levels is applicable only for qualified pension plans that have not been frozen.

Weighted-average assumptions used in the actuarial computations to determine net periodic pension cost for our qualified plans were as follows:

| | December 26, | December 27, | December 28, |
|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| (Percent) | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Discount rate | 6.30% | 6.45% | 6.45% |
| Rate of increase in compensation levels | 4.00% | 3.50% | 4.50% |
| Expected long-term rate of return on assets | 8.75% | 8.75% | 8.75% |

Weighted-average assumptions used in the actuarial computations to determine benefit obligations for our non-qualified plans were as follows:

| | December 26, | December 27, |
|---|--------------|--------------|
| (Percent) | 2010 | 2009 |
| Discount rate | 5.45% | 6.00% |
| Rate of increase in compensation levels | 3.50% | 3.50% |

The rate of increase in compensation levels is applicable only for the non-qualified pension plans that have not been frozen.

Weighted-average assumptions used in the actuarial computations to determine net periodic pension cost for our Company's non-qualified plans were as follows:

| | December 26, | December 27, | December 28, |
|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| (Percent) | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Discount rate | 6.00% | 6.55% | 6.35% |
| Rate of increase in compensation levels | 3.50% | 3.50% | 4.50% |
| Expected long-term rate of return on assets | N/A | N/A | N/A |

We determined our discount rate using a Ryan ALM, Inc. Curve (the Ryan Curve). The Ryan Curve provides the bonds included in the curve and allows adjustments for certain outliers (e.g., bonds on watch). We believe the Ryan Curve allows us to calculate an appropriate discount rate.

To determine our discount rate, we project a cash flow based on annual accrued benefits. For active participants, the benefits under the respective pension plans are projected to the date of termination. The projected plan cash flow is discounted to the measurement date, which is

Edgar Filing: NEW YORK TIMES CO - Form 10-K

the last day of our fiscal year, using the annual spot rates provided in the Ryan Curve. A single discount rate is then computed so that the present value of the benefit cash flow equals the present value computed using the Ryan Curve rates.

In determining the expected long-term rate of return on assets, we evaluated input from our investment consultants, actuaries and investment management firms, including our review of asset class return expectations, as well as long-term historical asset class returns. Projected returns by such consultants and economists are based on broad equity and bond indices. Our objective is to select an average rate of earnings expected on existing plan assets and expected contributions to the plan during the year.

P.86 2010 ANNUAL REPORT Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

The value (market-related value) of plan assets is multiplied by the expected long-term rate of return on assets to compute the expected return on plan assets, a component of net periodic pension cost. The market-related value of plan assets is defined as either fair value or a calculated value that recognizes changes in fair value over not more than five years. Our market-related value is a calculated value that recognizes changes in fair value over three years.

Plan Assets

Company-Sponsored Pension Plans

The assets underlying the Company-sponsored qualified pension plans are managed by professional investment managers. These investment managers are selected and monitored by the pension investment committee, composed of certain senior executives, who are appointed by the Finance Committee of the Board of Directors of the Company. The Finance Committee is responsible for adopting our investment policy, which includes rules regarding the selection and retention of qualified advisors and investment managers. The pension investment committee is responsible for implementing and monitoring compliance with our investment policy, selecting and monitoring investment managers and communicating the investment guidelines and performance objectives to the investment managers.

Our contributions are made on a basis determined by the actuaries in accordance with the funding requirements and limitations of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA) and the Internal Revenue Code.

Investment Policy and Strategy

The primary long-term investment objective is to allocate assets in a manner that produces a total rate of return that meets or exceeds the growth of our pension liabilities.

The intermediate-term objective is to allocate assets in a manner that outperforms each of the capital markets in which assets are invested, net of costs, measured over a complete market cycle. Overall fund performance is compared to a Target Allocation Index based on the target allocations and comparable portfolios with similar investment objectives.

Asset Allocation Guidelines

In accordance with our asset allocation strategy, for substantially all of our Company-sponsored pension plan assets, investments are categorized into long duration fixed income investments whose value is highly correlated to that of the pension plan obligations (Long Duration Assets) or other investments, such as equities and high-yield fixed income securities, whose return over time is expected to exceed pension plan obligations (Return-Seeking Assets).

The proportional allocation of assets between Long Duration Assets and Return-Seeking Assets is dependent on the funded status of each pension plan. Under our policy, a funded status of 85% to 90% requires an allocation of total assets of 45% to 55% to Long Duration Assets and 45% to 55% to Return-Seeking Assets. As our funded status increases, the allocation to Long Duration Assets will increase and the allocation to Return-Seeking Assets will decrease.

The following asset allocation guidelines apply to the Return-Seeking Assets:

| Asset Category | Percentage Range |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| U.S. Equities | 55-70% |
| International Equities | 20-30% |
| Total Equity | 75-95% |
| Fixed Income | 0-5% |
| Fixed Income Alternative Investments | 0-5% |
| Equity Alternative Investments | 0-5% |
| Cash Reserves | 0-5% |

Table of Contents

The weighted-average asset allocations of our Company-sponsored pension plans by asset category for both Long Duration and Return-Seeking Assets, as of December 26, 2010, were as follows:

| Asset Category | Percentage |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|
| U.S. Equities | 42% |
| International Equities | 18% |
| Total Equity | 60% |
| Fixed Income | 34% |
| Fixed Income Alternative Investments | 3% |
| Equity Alternative Investments | 3% |
| Cash Reserves | 0% |

The specified target allocation of assets and ranges set forth above are maintained and reviewed on a periodic basis by the pension investment committee. The pension investment committee may direct the transfer of assets between investment managers in order to rebalance the portfolio in accordance with approved asset allocation ranges to accomplish the investment objectives for the pension plan assets.

The New York Times Newspaper Guild Pension Plan

The assets underlying The New York Times Newspaper Guild pension plan are managed by investment managers selected and monitored by the Board of Trustees of the Newspaper Guild of New York. These investment managers are provided the authority to manage the investment assets of The New York Times Newspaper Guild pension plan, including acquiring and disposing of assets, subject to the certain guidelines.

Investment Policy and Strategy

Assets of The New York Times Newspaper Guild pension plan are to be invested in a manner that is consistent with the fiduciary standards set forth by ERISA, the provisions of The New York Times Newspaper Guild pension plan's Trust Agreement and all other relevant laws. The objective is to achieve a rate of return after inflation of 3% while avoiding excess volatility, achieve a long-term rate of return that meets or exceeds the assumed actuarial rate and maintain sufficient income and liquidity to fund benefit payments.

Asset Allocation Guidelines

The following asset allocations guidelines apply to the assets of The New York Times Newspaper Guild pension plan:

| Asset Category | Percentage Range |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| U.S. Equities | 50-60% |
| International Equities | 5-15% |
| Total Equity | 50-75% |
| Fixed Income | 35-45% |
| Cash Equivalents | Minimal |

The specified target allocation of assets and ranges set forth above are maintained and reviewed on a periodic basis by the Trustees. The Trustees have the responsibility for taking the necessary actions to rebalance The New York Times Newspaper Guild pension plan within the established targets.

Table of Contents

The New York Times Newspaper Guild pension plan's weighted-average asset allocations by asset category, as of December 26, 2010, were as follows:

| Asset Category | Percentage |
|------------------------|-------------------|
| U.S. Equities | 59% |
| International Equities | 10% |
| Total Equity | 69% |
| Fixed Income | 30% |
| Cash Equivalents | 1% |

Fair Value of Plan Assets

The fair value of the assets underlying our Company-sponsored qualified pension plans and The New York Times Newspaper Guild pension plan by asset category are as follows:

| (In thousands) | Fair Value Measurements at December 26, 2010 | | | Total |
|---|--|--|--|---------------------|
| | Quoted Prices Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | |
| Asset Category | | | | |
| Equity Securities: | | | | |
| U.S. Equities | \$ 191,559 | \$ | \$ | \$ 191,559 |
| International Equities | 95,880 | | | 95,880 |
| Common/Collective Funds ⁽¹⁾ | | 570,244 | | 570,244 |
| Fixed Income Securities: | | | | |
| Corporate Bonds | | 309,223 | | 309,223 |
| U.S. Treasury and Other Government Securities | | 55,235 | | 55,235 |
| Insurance Contracts | | 35,924 | | 35,924 |
| Municipal and Provincial Bonds | | 19,799 | | 19,799 |
| Government Sponsored Enterprises ⁽²⁾ | | 12,551 | | 12,551 |
| Other | | 8,208 | | 8,208 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents | | 9,207 | | 9,207 |
| Real Estate | | | 37,471 | 37,471 |
| Private Equity | | | 31,187 | 31,187 |
| Assets at Fair Value | \$ 287,439 | \$ 1,020,391 | \$ 68,658 | \$ 1,376,488 |
| Other Assets | | | | 5,323 |
| Total | | | | \$ 1,381,811 |

⁽¹⁾ The underlying assets of the common/collective funds are primarily comprised of equity and fixed income securities. The fair value in the above table represents our ownership share of the net asset value of the underlying funds.

⁽²⁾ Represents investments that are not backed by the full faith and credit of the United States government.

Table of Contents

| (In thousands) Asset Category | Fair Value Measurements at December 27, 2009 | | | Total |
|---|---|--|--|---------------------|
| | Quoted Prices Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | |
| Equity Securities: | | | | |
| U.S. Equities | \$ 208,289 | \$ | \$ | \$ 208,289 |
| International Equities | 83,461 | | | 83,461 |
| Common/Collective Funds ⁽¹⁾ | | 509,621 | | 509,621 |
| Fixed Income Securities: | | | | |
| Corporate Bonds | | 197,284 | | 197,284 |
| Insurance Contracts | | 44,961 | | 44,961 |
| U.S. Treasury and Other Government Securities | | 29,060 | | 29,060 |
| Government Sponsored Enterprises ⁽²⁾ | | 7,664 | | 7,664 |
| Municipal and Provincial Bonds | | 3,647 | | 3,647 |
| Other | | 3,160 | | 3,160 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents | | 5,225 | | 5,225 |
| Real Estate | | | 33,938 | 33,938 |
| Private Equity | | | 20,564 | 20,564 |
| Assets at Fair Value | \$ 291,750 | \$ 800,622 | \$ 54,502 | \$ 1,146,874 |
| Other Assets | | | | 4,041 |
| Total | | | | \$ 1,150,915 |

⁽¹⁾ The underlying assets of the common/collective funds are primarily comprised of equity and fixed income securities. The fair value in the above table represents our ownership share of the net asset value of the underlying funds.

⁽²⁾ Represents investments that are not backed by the full faith and credit of the United States government.

Level 1 and Level 2 Investments

Where quoted prices are available in an active market for identical assets, such as equity securities traded on an exchange, transactions for the asset occur with such frequency that the pricing information is available on an ongoing/daily basis. We, therefore, classify these types of investments as Level 1 where the fair value represents the closing/last trade price for these particular securities.

For our investments where pricing data may not be readily available, fair values are estimated by using quoted prices for similar assets, in both active and not active markets, and observable inputs, other than quoted prices, such as interest rates and credit risk. We classify these types of investments as Level 2 because we are able to reasonably estimate the fair value through inputs that are observable, either directly or indirectly.

Level 3 Investments

We have investments in private equity funds and a real estate investment fund that have been determined to be Level 3 investments, within the fair value hierarchy, because the inputs to determine fair value are considered unobservable.

The general valuation methodology used for the private equity investment funds is the market approach. The market approach utilizes prices and other relevant information such as similar market transactions, type of security, size of the position, degree of liquidity, restrictions on the disposition, latest round of financing data, current financial position and operating results among other factors.

The general valuation methodology used for the real estate investment fund is developed by a third-party appraisal. The appraisal is performed in accordance with guidelines set forth by the Appraisal Institute and take into account projected income and expenses of the property, as well as recent sales of similar properties.

Table of Contents

As a result of the inherent limitations related to the valuations of the Level 3 investments, due to the unobservable inputs of the underlying funds, the estimated fair value may differ significantly from the values that would have been used had a market for those investments existed.

The reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances of the fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) as of December 26, 2010 is as follows:

| (In thousands) | Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | | |
|--|---|----------------|-----------|
| | Real Estate | Private Equity | Total |
| <i>Balance at beginning of year</i> | \$ 33,938 | \$ 20,564 | \$ 54,502 |
| Actual gain on plan assets: | | | |
| Relating to assets still held | 3,533 | 4,503 | 8,036 |
| Related to assets sold during the period | | | |
| Capital contribution | | 6,120 | 6,120 |
| Sales | | | |
| <i>Balance at end of year</i> | \$ 37,471 | \$ 31,187 | \$ 68,658 |

The reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances of the fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) as of December 27, 2009 is as follows:

| (In thousands) | Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | | |
|--|---|----------------|-----------|
| | Real Estate | Private Equity | Total |
| <i>Balance at beginning of year</i> | \$ 52,689 | \$ 17,995 | \$ 70,684 |
| Actual loss on plan assets: | | | |
| Relating to assets still held | (16,422) | (355) | (16,777) |
| Related to assets sold during the period | (73) | | (73) |
| Capital contribution | | 2,924 | 2,924 |
| Sales | (2,256) | | (2,256) |
| <i>Balance at end of year</i> | \$ 33,938 | \$ 20,564 | \$ 54,502 |

Cash Flows

For funding purposes as measured in accordance with ERISA, based on preliminary results, the underfunded status of our qualified pension plans as of January 1, 2011, was approximately \$270 million, an improvement of approximately \$150 million from the prior year. In 2010, the funded status of these pension plans benefited from contributions and favorable asset performance offset, in part, by lower interest rates.

We made contributions of approximately \$176 million to certain qualified pension plans in 2010. The majority of these contributions were discretionary. We made a \$9.0 million contribution to one of our Company-sponsored qualified pension plans in January 2011. We may make discretionary contributions in 2011 to our Company-sponsored qualified pension plans based on cash flows, pension asset performance, interest rates and other factors, but will not be required to make mandatory contributions except for contractual contributions of approximately \$32 million in connection with The New York Times Newspaper Guild pension plan.

Table of Contents

The following benefit payments (net of plan participant contributions for non-qualified plans) under our pension plans, which reflect expected future services, are expected to be paid:

| (In thousands) | Plans | | Total |
|----------------|-----------|-------------------|------------|
| | Qualified | Non- Qualified | |
| 2011 | \$ 89,447 | \$ 16,904 | \$ 106,351 |
| 2012 | 92,793 | 16,524 | 109,317 |
| 2013 | 94,670 | 17,186 | 111,856 |
| 2014 | 96,965 | 17,586 | 114,551 |
| 2015 | 101,822 | 18,139 | 119,961 |
| 2016-2020 | 572,004 | 57,988 | 629,992 |

While benefit payments under these pension plans are expected to continue beyond 2020, we believe that an estimate beyond this period is impracticable.

12. Other Postretirement Benefits

We provide health benefits to retired employees (and their eligible dependents) who are not covered by any collective bargaining agreements, if the employees meet specified age and service requirements. We no longer provide post-age 65 retiree medical benefits for employees who retire on or after March 1, 2009. We also contribute to a postretirement plan under the provisions of a collective bargaining agreement. We accrue the costs of postretirement benefits during the employees' active years of service and our policy is to pay our portion of insurance premiums and claims from our assets.

The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, which was enacted on March 23, 2010, and the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, which was enacted on March 30, 2010, eliminated the tax deductibility of certain retiree health care costs, beginning January 1, 2013, to the extent of federal subsidies received by plan sponsors that provide retiree prescription drug benefits equivalent to Medicare Part D. Because the future anticipated retiree health care liabilities and related subsidies are already reflected in our financial statements, this legislation required us to reduce the related deferred tax asset recognized in our financial statements. As a result, we recorded a one-time tax charge of \$11.4 million in 2010 for the reduction in future tax benefits for retiree health benefits resulting from the federal health care legislation enacted in 2010. The impact of this legislation was not material and is included in our year-end measurement of our postretirement benefits obligation.

The components of net periodic postretirement (income)/costs were as follows:

| (In thousands) | December 26, 2010 | December 27, 2009 | December 28, 2008 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| <i>Components of net periodic postretirement benefit cost</i> | | | |
| Service cost | \$ 1,076 | \$ 1,551 | \$ 3,283 |
| Interest cost | 9,340 | 10,355 | 13,718 |
| Recognized actuarial loss | 3,129 | 2,014 | 3,527 |
| Amortization of prior service credit | (15,602) | (14,902) | (11,891) |
| <i>Net periodic postretirement benefit (income)/cost</i> | \$ (2,057) | \$ (982) | \$ 8,637 |

Table of Contents

The changes in the benefit obligations recognized in other comprehensive income were as follows:

| (In thousands) | December 26, 2010 | December 27, 2009 | December 28, 2008 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Net (gain)/loss | \$ (16,865) | \$ 15,749 | \$ (28,319) |
| Prior service credit | | (9,581) | (39,676) |
| Amortization of loss | (3,129) | (2,014) | (3,527) |
| Amortization of prior service credit | 15,602 | 14,902 | 11,891 |
| <i>Total recognized in other comprehensive income</i> | <i>\$ (4,392)</i> | <i>\$ 19,056</i> | <i>\$ (59,631)</i> |
| <i>Total recognized in net periodic benefit cost and other comprehensive income</i> | <i>\$ (6,449)</i> | <i>\$ 18,074</i> | <i>\$ (50,994)</i> |

The estimated actuarial loss and prior service credit that will be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive loss into net periodic benefit cost over the next fiscal year is approximately \$2 million and \$16 million, respectively.

In connection with collective bargaining agreements, we contribute to several welfare plans. Contributions are made in accordance with the formula in the relevant agreement. Postretirement costs related to these welfare plans are not reflected above and were approximately \$18 million in 2010 and 2009 and \$22 million in 2008.

The changes in the benefit obligation and plan assets and other amounts recognized in other comprehensive loss were as follows:

| (In thousands) | December 26, 2010 | December 27, 2009 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| <i>Change in benefit obligation</i> | | |
| Benefit obligation at beginning of year | \$ 164,811 | \$ 161,876 |
| Service cost | 1,076 | 1,551 |
| Interest cost | 9,340 | 10,355 |
| Plan participants' contributions | 4,569 | 3,466 |
| Actuarial (gain)/loss | (16,865) | 15,749 |
| Plan amendments | | (9,581) |
| Benefits paid | (21,438) | (20,916) |
| Medicare subsidies received | 924 | 2,311 |
| Benefit obligation at the end of year | 142,417 | 164,811 |
| <i>Change in plan assets</i> | | |
| Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year | | |
| Employer contributions | 15,945 | 15,139 |
| Plan participants' contributions | 4,569 | 3,466 |
| Benefits paid | (21,438) | (20,916) |
| Medicare subsidies received | 924 | 2,311 |
| Fair value of plan assets at end of year | | |
| <i>Net amount recognized</i> | <i>\$ (142,417)</i> | <i>\$ (164,811)</i> |
| <i>Amount recognized in the Consolidated Balance Sheets</i> | | |
| Current liabilities | \$ (11,794) | \$ (13,561) |
| Noncurrent liabilities | (130,623) | (151,250) |

Edgar Filing: NEW YORK TIMES CO - Form 10-K

| | | |
|--|--------------|--------------|
| <i>Net amount recognized</i> | \$ (142,417) | \$ (164,811) |
| <i>Amount recognized in accumulated other comprehensive loss</i> | | |
| Actuarial loss | \$ 31,967 | \$ 51,961 |
| Prior service credit | (117,350) | (132,952) |
| <i>Total</i> | \$ (85,383) | \$ (80,991) |

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements THE NEW YORK TIMES COMPANY P.93

Table of Contents

Weighted-average assumptions used in the actuarial computations to determine the postretirement benefit obligations were as follows:

| | December 26, 2010 | December 27, 2009 |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|
| Discount rate | 5.35% | 5.92% |
| Estimated increase in compensation level | 3.50% | 3.50% |

Weighted-average assumptions used in the actuarial computations to determine net periodic postretirement cost were as follows:

| | December 26, 2010 | December 27, 2009 | December 28, 2008 |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Discount rate | 5.92% | 6.67% | 6.68% |
| Estimated increase in compensation level | 3.50% | 3.50% | 4.50% |

The assumed health-care cost trend rates were as follows:

| | December 26, 2010 | December 27, 2009 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| <i>Health-care cost trend rate assumed for next year:</i> | | |
| Medical | 6.75-8.50% | 7.00-9.00% |
| Prescription | 8.00% | 9.00% |
| Rate to which the cost trend rate is assumed to decline (ultimate trend rate) | 5.00% | 5.00% |
| Year that the rate reaches the ultimate trend rate | 2019 | 2019 |

Assumed health-care cost trend rates have a significant effect on the amounts reported for the health-care plans. A one-percentage point change in assumed health-care cost trend rates would have the following effects:

| (In thousands) | One-Percentage Point | |
|---|----------------------|------------|
| | Increase | Decrease |
| Effect on total service and interest cost for 2010 | \$ 425 | \$ (370) |
| Effect on accumulated postretirement benefit obligation as of December 26, 2010 | \$ 7,694 | \$ (6,707) |

The following benefit payments (net of plan participant contributions) under our Company's postretirement plans, which reflect expected future services, are expected to be paid:

| (In thousands) | Amount |
|----------------|-----------|
| 2011 | \$ 13,810 |
| 2012 | 11,842 |
| 2013 | 11,761 |
| 2014 | 11,497 |
| 2015 | 11,292 |
| 2016-2020 | 52,781 |

While benefit payments under these postretirement plans are expected to continue beyond 2020, we believe that an estimate beyond this period is impracticable.

Edgar Filing: NEW YORK TIMES CO - Form 10-K

We expect to receive cash payments of approximately \$3 million related to the retiree drug subsidy from 2011 through 2020 in connection with the Medicare Prescription Drug Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003. The benefit payments in the above table are not reduced for the subsidy.

[P.94](#) 2010 ANNUAL REPORT Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

We accrue the cost of certain benefits provided to former or inactive employees after employment, but before retirement, during the employees active years of service. Benefits include life insurance, disability benefits and health-care continuation coverage. The accrued cost of these benefits amounted to \$20.2 million as of December 26, 2010 and \$23.9 million as of December 27, 2009.

13. Other Liabilities

The components of the Other Liabilities Other balance in our Consolidated Balance Sheets were as follows:

| (In thousands) | December 26, 2010 | December 27, 2009 |
|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Deferred compensation | \$ 87,102 | \$ 89,969 |
| Other liabilities | 130,373 | 154,997 |
| Total | \$ 217,475 | \$ 244,966 |

Deferred compensation consists primarily of deferrals under our deferred executive compensation plan (the DEC Plan). The DEC plan enables certain eligible executives to elect to defer a portion of their compensation on a pre-tax basis. While the initial deferral period is for a minimum of two years up to a maximum of 15 years (after which time taxable distributions must begin), the executive has the option to extend the deferral period. Employees' contributions earn income based on the performance of investment funds they select.

We invest deferred compensation in life insurance products designed to closely mirror the performance of the investment funds that the participants select. Our investments in life insurance products are included in Miscellaneous assets in our Consolidated Balance Sheets, and were \$88.6 million as of December 26, 2010 and \$88.9 million as of December 27, 2009.

Other liabilities in the preceding table above primarily include our tax contingency and worker's compensation liability.

14. Income Taxes

Reconciliations between the effective tax rate on income/(loss) from continuing operations before income taxes and the federal statutory rate are presented below.

| (In thousands) | December 26, 2010 | | December 27, 2009 | | December 28, 2008 | |
|---|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| | Amount | % of Pre-tax | Amount | % of Pre-tax | Amount | % of Pre-tax |
| Tax at federal statutory rate | \$ 62,027 | 35.0% | \$ 1,321 | 35.0% | \$ (25,172) | 35.0% |
| State and local taxes, net | 19,010 | 10.7 | 12,418 | 329.1 | 5,322 | (7.4) |
| Effect of enacted changes in tax laws | 11,370 | 6.4 | 11,743 | 311.2 | 5,337 | (7.5) |
| Reduction in uncertain tax positions | (21,722) | (12.2) | (22,338) | (592.0) | (11,178) | 15.5 |
| Effect of New York State investment tax credits | | | | | (3,965) | 5.5 |
| (Gain)/loss on Company-owned life insurance | (3,319) | (1.9) | (4,156) | (110.1) | 13,462 | (18.7) |
| Non-deductible goodwill | | | 852 | 22.5 | 8,014 | (11.1) |
| Other, net | 1,150 | 0.7 | 2,366 | 62.7 | 2,201 | (3.0) |
| Income tax expense/(benefit) | \$ 68,516 | 38.7% | \$ 2,206 | 58.4% | \$ (5,979) | 8.3% |

Table of Contents

The components of income tax expense as shown in our Consolidated Statements of Operations were as follows:

| (In thousands) | December 26, 2010 | December 27, 2009 | December 28, 2008 |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| <i>Current tax expense/(benefit)</i> | | | |
| Federal | \$ 14,354 | \$ (23,748) | \$ 11,171 |
| Foreign | 682 | 784 | 656 |
| State and local | (7,791) | (19,261) | 1,152 |
| Total current tax expense/(benefit) | 7,245 | (42,225) | 12,979 |
| <i>Deferred tax expense/(benefit)</i> | | | |
| Federal | 49,214 | 28,247 | (22,087) |
| Foreign | 2,137 | (4,473) | 349 |
| State and local | 9,920 | 20,657 | 2,780 |
| Total deferred tax expense/(benefit) | 61,271 | 44,431 | (18,958) |
| <i>Income tax expense/(benefit)</i> | \$ 68,516 | \$ 2,206 | \$ (5,979) |

State tax operating loss carryforwards (loss carryforwards) totaled \$8.7 million as of December 26, 2010 and \$13.5 million as of December 27, 2009. Such loss carryforwards expire in accordance with provisions of applicable tax laws and have remaining lives generally ranging from 1 to 20 years.

The components of the net deferred tax assets and liabilities recognized in our Consolidated Balance Sheets were as follows:

| (In thousands) | December 26, 2010 | December 27, 2009 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| <i>Deferred tax assets</i> | | |
| Retirement, postemployment and deferred compensation plans | \$ 420,745 | \$ 434,961 |
| Accruals for other employee benefits, compensation, insurance and other | 56,280 | 31,486 |
| Accounts receivable allowances | 9,587 | 10,109 |
| Other | 91,424 | 127,794 |
| Gross deferred tax assets | 578,036 | 604,350 |
| Valuation allowance | | (1,156) |
| Net deferred tax assets | \$ 578,036 | \$ 603,194 |
| <i>Deferred tax liabilities</i> | | |
| Property, plant and equipment | \$ 141,052 | \$ 151,382 |
| Intangible assets | 44,568 | 29,600 |
| Investments in joint ventures | 11,796 | 13,007 |
| Other | 56,044 | 46,219 |
| Gross deferred tax liabilities | 253,460 | 240,208 |
| Net deferred tax asset | \$ 324,576 | \$ 362,986 |
| <i>Amounts recognized in the Consolidated Balance Sheets</i> | | |
| Deferred tax asset - current | \$ 68,875 | \$ 44,860 |
| Deferred tax asset - long-term | 255,701 | 318,126 |

| | | |
|-------------------------------|------------|------------|
| <i>Net deferred tax asset</i> | \$ 324,576 | \$ 362,986 |
|-------------------------------|------------|------------|

We assess whether a valuation allowance should be established against deferred tax assets based on the consideration of both positive and negative evidence using a more likely than not standard. In making such judgments, significant weight is given to evidence that can be objectively verified. We evaluated our deferred tax assets for recoverability using a consistent approach that considers our three-year historical cumulative income/(loss), including an assessment of the degree

Table of Contents

to which any such losses were due to items that are unusual in nature (e.g., impairments of non-deductible goodwill and intangible assets). We concluded that a valuation allowance is not required as of December 26, 2010.

Income tax benefits related to the exercise or vesting of equity awards reduced current taxes payable by \$2.1 million in 2010, \$1.0 million in 2009 and \$0.7 million in 2008.

As of December 26, 2010, and December 27, 2009, Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of income taxes in our Consolidated Balance Sheets and for the years then ended in our Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity was net of deferred tax assets of approximately \$280 million and \$242 million, respectively.

A reconciliation of unrecognized tax benefits is as follows:

| (In thousands) | December 26, 2010 | December 27, 2009 | December 28, 2008 |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| <i>Balance at beginning of year</i> | \$ 70,578 | \$ 92,582 | \$ 118,279 |
| Additions based on tax positions related to the current year | 2,565 | 3,545 | 6,918 |
| Reductions for tax positions of prior years | (13,347) | (13,484) | (27,960) |
| Reductions from lapse of applicable statutes of limitations | (4,160) | (12,065) | (4,655) |
| <i>Balance at end of year</i> | \$ 55,636 | \$ 70,578 | \$ 92,582 |

The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits that would, if recognized, affect the effective income tax rate was approximately \$36 million as of December 26, 2010 and approximately \$46 million as of December 27, 2009.

We also recognize accrued interest expense and penalties related to the unrecognized tax benefits within income tax expense or benefit. The total amount of accrued interest and penalties was approximately \$18 million as of December 26, 2010, and approximately \$28 million as of December 27, 2009. The total amount of accrued interest and penalties was a net benefit of \$6.3 million in 2010 and \$4.4 million in 2009 and expense of \$0.4 million in 2008.

With few exceptions, we are no longer subject to U.S. federal, state and local, or non-U.S. income tax examinations by tax authorities for years prior to 2004. Management believes that our accrual for tax liabilities is adequate for all open audit years. This assessment relies on estimates and assumptions and may involve a series of complex judgments about future events.

It is reasonably possible that certain income tax examinations may be concluded, or statutes of limitation may lapse, during the next twelve months, which could result in a decrease in unrecognized tax benefits of approximately \$20 million that would, if recognized, impact the effective tax rate.

15. Discontinued Operations

Discontinued operations are summarized in the following table.

| (In thousands) | December 26, 2010 | December 27, 2009 | December 28, 2008 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Revenues | \$ | \$ 5,062 | \$ 9,092 |
| Total operating costs | | 7,082 | 8,537 |
| Pre-tax (loss)/income | | (2,020) | 555 |
| Income tax (benefit)/expense | | (864) | 253 |
| (Loss)/income from discontinued operations, net of income taxes | | (1,156) | 302 |
| Gain on sale, net of income taxes: | | | |

Edgar Filing: NEW YORK TIMES CO - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|---|--------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Gain/(loss) on sale | 16 | 34,914 | (565) |
| Income tax expense/(benefit) | 3 | 15,426 | (8,865) |
| Gain on sale, net of income taxes | 13 | 19,488 | 8,300 |
| <i>Income from discontinued operations, net of income taxes</i> | \$ 13 | \$ 18,332 | \$ 8,602 |

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements THE NEW YORK TIMES COMPANY [P.97](#)

Table of Contents*WQXR-FM*

On October 8, 2009, we completed the sale of WQXR-FM, a New York City classical radio station, to subsidiaries of Univision Radio Inc. and WNYC Radio for a total of approximately \$45 million. Univision Radio paid us \$33.5 million to exchange the FCC 105.9 FM broadcast license and transmitting equipment for our license, equipment and stronger signal at 96.3 FM. At the same time, WNYC Radio purchased the FCC license for 105.9 FM, all related transmitting equipment and WQXR-FM's call letters and Web site from us for \$11.5 million. We used the proceeds from the sale to pay outstanding debt. We recorded a pre-tax gain of approximately \$35 million (approximately \$19 million after tax) in 2009. In 2010, we recorded post-closing adjustments to the gain.

The results of operations for WQXR-FM are presented as discontinued operations in our Consolidated Financial Statements for all periods presented. WQXR-FM was previously consolidated in the results of The New York Times Media Group, which is part of the News Media Group.

Broadcast Media Group

On May 7, 2007, we sold our Broadcast Media Group, which consisted of nine network-affiliated television stations, their related Web sites and digital operating center, for approximately \$575 million. In 2008, we had an \$8.3 million adjustment to the gain on sale of the Broadcast Media Group, which was recorded in 2007, as a result of a reduction in income taxes and post-closing adjustments to the gain.

16. Earnings/(Loss) Per Share

Basic and diluted earnings/(loss) per share were as follows:

| (In thousands, except per share data) | December 26, 2010 | December 27, 2009 | December 28, 2008 |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Income/(loss) from continuing operations | \$ 107,691 | \$ 1,559 | \$ (66,441) |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of income taxes | 13 | 18,332 | 8,602 |
| Net income/(loss) | \$ 107,704 | \$ 19,891 | \$ (57,839) |
| Average number of common shares outstanding Basic | 145,636 | 144,188 | 143,777 |
| Incremental shares for assumed exercise of securities | 6,964 | 2,179 | |
| Average number of common shares outstanding Diluted | 152,600 | 146,367 | 143,777 |
| Income/(loss) from continuing operations | \$ 0.74 | \$ 0.01 | \$ (0.46) |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of income taxes | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.06 |
| Income/(loss) per share Basic | \$ 0.74 | \$ 0.14 | \$ (0.40) |
| Income/(loss) from continuing operations | \$ 0.71 | \$ 0.01 | \$ (0.46) |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of income taxes | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.06 |
| Income/(loss) per share Diluted | \$ 0.71 | \$ 0.14 | \$ (0.40) |

The difference between basic and diluted shares is that diluted shares include the dilutive effect of the assumed exercise of outstanding securities. Our stock options and warrants, issued in connection with our 14.053% Notes, could have the most significant impact on diluted shares.

Securities that could potentially be dilutive are excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share when a loss from continuing operations exists or when the exercise price exceeds the market value of our Common Stock, because their inclusion would result in an anti-dilutive effect on per share amounts.

Edgar Filing: NEW YORK TIMES CO - Form 10-K

The number of stock options that were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share because their exercise price exceeded the market value of our Common Stock (2010 and 2009) or because they were anti-dilutive due to a loss from continuing operations (2008) were approximately 24 million in 2010, 29 million in 2009 and 31 million in 2008, respectively. The stock option exercise prices range from \$3.63 to \$48.54.

[P.98](#) 2010 ANNUAL REPORT Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents**17. Stock-Based Awards**

Under our Company's 1991 Executive Stock Incentive Plan (the 1991 Incentive Plan) and our 1991 Executive Cash Bonus Plan (together, the 1991 Executive Plans), the Board of Directors was authorized to grant awards to key employees of cash, restricted and unrestricted shares of our Class A Common Stock (Common Stock), retirement units (stock equivalents) or such other awards as the Board of Directors deemed appropriate. Effective April 27, 2010, our 2010 Incentive Compensation Plan (the 2010 Incentive Plan) was approved by our Company's stockholders and replaced the 1991 Executive Plans.

Our 2004 Non-Employee Directors' Stock Incentive Plan (the 2004 Directors' Plan) provides for the issuance of up to 500,000 shares of Common Stock in the form of stock options or restricted stock awards. Under our 2004 Directors' Plan, each non-employee director of our Company has historically received annual grants of non-qualified stock options with 10-year terms to purchase 4,000 shares of Common Stock from our Company at the average market price of such shares on the date of grant. Restricted stock has not been awarded under the 2004 Directors' Plan for the purpose of stock-based compensation.

We recognize stock-based compensation expense for stock options, Common Stock under our Company's ESPP, cash-settled restricted stock units, stock-settled restricted stock units, LTIP awards, stock appreciation rights and restricted stock (for 2008 only) (together, Stock-Based Awards). Stock-based compensation expense was \$8.4 million in 2010, \$20.4 million in 2009 and \$17.7 million in 2008.

Stock-based compensation expense is recognized over the period from the date of grant to the date when the award is no longer contingent on the employee providing additional service. Our Company's 1991 Incentive Plan, 2010 Incentive Plan and our 2004 Directors' Plan provide that awards generally vest over a stated vesting period or upon the retirement of an employee or director, as the case may be.

Our pool of excess tax benefits (APIC Pool) available to absorb tax deficiencies was approximately \$32 million as of December 26, 2010.

Stock Options and Stock Appreciation Rights

Our Company's 1991 Incentive Plan provided, and the 2010 Incentive Plan provides, for grants of both incentive and non-qualified stock options principally at an option price per share of 100% of the fair value of our Common Stock on the date of grant. Stock options have generally been granted with a 3-year vesting period and a 6-year term, or a 4-year or 3-year vesting period and a 10-year term. The stock options vest in equal annual installments.

Our 2004 Directors' Plan provides for grants of stock options to non-employee Directors at an option price per share of 100% of the fair value of Common Stock on the date of grant. Stock options are granted with a 1-year vesting period and a 10-year term. Our Company's Directors are considered employees for this purpose.

During 2009, we discovered that portions of previously awarded stock option grants exceeded that permitted to be granted to a single individual during any calendar year under the terms of our Company's plans. A total of 250,000 options in 2008 and 200,000 options in 2009 granted in excess of applicable plan limits were determined to be null and void. The independent directors of our Company's Board of Directors, after consultation with all non-management directors, approved the grant of deferred payment stock appreciation rights equal in number and on the same economic terms comparable to the void options. Stock appreciation rights are classified as liability awards because we incur a liability, payable in cash, based on the fair value of the stock appreciation rights. These rights are measured at their fair value at the end of each reporting period utilizing the Black-Scholes valuation model and, therefore, will fluctuate based on the changes in our stock price.

Table of Contents

Changes in our Company's stock options in 2010 were as follows:

| (Shares in thousands) | Options | December 26, 2010 | | Aggregate Intrinsic Value \$(000s) |
|--|---------------|--|--|---|
| | | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (Years) | |
| Options outstanding, beginning of year | 26,338 | \$ 35 | 4 | \$ 16,532 |
| Granted | 902 | 11 | | |
| Exercised | (257) | 4 | | |
| Forfeited/Expired | (5,569) | 40 | | |
| <i>Options outstanding at end of period</i> | 21,414 | \$ 33 | 4 | \$ 10,629 |
| <i>Options expected to vest at end of period</i> | 21,365 | \$ 33 | 4 | \$ 10,443 |
| <i>Options exercisable at end of period</i> | 18,392 | \$ 37 | 3 | \$ 3,339 |

The total intrinsic value for stock options exercised was approximately \$1.7 million in 2010 and \$0.5 million in 2009. There were no stock option exercises in 2008.

The fair value of the stock options granted was estimated on the date of grant using a Black-Scholes valuation model that uses the assumptions noted in the following table. The risk-free rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant. The expected life (estimated period of time outstanding) of stock options granted was estimated using the historical exercise behavior of employees for grants with a 10-year term. Stock options have historically been granted with this term, and therefore information necessary to make this estimate was available. The expected life of stock options granted with a 6-year term was determined using the average of the vesting period and term, an acceptable method. Expected volatility was based on historical volatility for a period equal to the stock option's expected life, ending on the date of grant, and calculated on a monthly basis. The fair value for stock options granted with different vesting periods are calculated separately.

| | December 26, 2010 | | December 27, 2009 | | December 28, 2008 | | |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------|----------------------|---------|----------------------|---------|---------|
| | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 6 | 10 | 10 |
| Term (In years) | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| Vesting (In years) | | | | | | | |
| Risk-free interest rate | 3.19% | 2.69% | 2.72% | 2.45% | 2.70% | 3.84% | 3.03% |
| Expected life (in years) | 6 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 4.5 | 5 | 6 |
| Expected volatility | 41.60% | 45.93% | 31.01% | 35.00% | 18.52% | 18.68% | 19.25% |
| Expected dividend yield | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 4.69% | 4.69% | 4.69% |
| Weighted-average fair value | \$ 4.99 | \$ 4.68 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.71 | \$ 1.96 | \$ 2.31 | \$ 2.24 |

Restricted Stock

Our 1991 Incentive Plan also provided, and the 2010 Incentive Plan provides, for grants of restricted stock. We did not grant restricted stock in 2010, 2009 or 2008, but rather granted restricted stock units (see below). The fair value of restricted stock is the average market price at date of grant. The intrinsic value of restricted stock vested was \$1.4 million in 2009 and \$0.6 million in 2008.

Restricted Stock Units

Edgar Filing: NEW YORK TIMES CO - Form 10-K

Our 1991 Incentive Plan also provided, and the 2010 Incentive Plan provides, for grants of other awards, including restricted stock units. Restricted stock units granted in 2010 and 2009 are cash-settled, while restricted stock units granted in 2008 and before are stock-settled. For cash-settled restricted stock units, each restricted stock unit represents our obligation to deliver to the

P.100 2010 ANNUAL REPORT Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

holder cash, equivalent to the market value of the underlying shares of Common Stock upon vesting. For stock-settled restricted stock units, each restricted stock unit represents our obligation to deliver to the holder one share of Common Stock upon vesting.

In 2010 and 2009, we granted cash-settled restricted stock units with a 3-year vesting period. The fair value of cash-settled and stock-settled restricted stock units is the average market price at date of grant. Cash-settled restricted stock units are classified as liability awards because we incur a liability, payable in cash, based on our stock price. The cash-settled restricted stock unit is measured at its fair value at the end of each reporting period and, therefore, will fluctuate based on the fluctuations in our stock price.

Changes in our cash-settled restricted stock units in 2010 were as follows:

| | December 26, 2010 | |
|---|------------------------------|---|
| | Restricted Stock Units | Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value |
| (Shares in thousands) | | |
| Unvested cash-settled restricted stock units at beginning of period | 565 | \$ 4 |
| Granted | 288 | 11 |
| Vested | (26) | 4 |
| Forfeited | (39) | 5 |
| <i>Unvested cash-settled restricted stock units at end of period</i> | <i>788</i> | <i>\$ 6</i> |
| <i>Unvested cash-settled restricted stock units expected to vest at end of period</i> | <i>768</i> | <i>\$ 6</i> |

The intrinsic value of restricted stock units vested was \$0.3 million in 2010 and \$0.1 million in 2009. In 2008, we granted stock-settled restricted stock units with a 3-year vesting period.

Changes in our Company's stock-settled restricted stock units in 2010 were as follows:

| | December 26, 2010 | |
|--|------------------------------|---|
| | Restricted Stock Units | Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value |
| (Shares in thousands) | | |
| Unvested stock-settled restricted stock units at beginning of period | 719 | \$ 24 |
| Granted | | |
| Vested | (345) | 27 |
| Forfeited | (19) | 23 |
| <i>Unvested stock-settled restricted stock units at end of period</i> | <i>355</i> | <i>\$ 22</i> |
| <i>Unvested stock-settled restricted stock units expected to vest at end of period</i> | <i>355</i> | <i>\$ 22</i> |

The intrinsic value of stock-settled restricted stock units vested was \$3.3 million in 2010, \$0.5 million in 2009 and \$0.8 million in 2008.

ESPP

Edgar Filing: NEW YORK TIMES CO - Form 10-K

Under our Company's ESPP, participating employees purchase Common Stock through payroll deductions. Employees may withdraw from an offering before the purchase date and obtain a refund of the amounts withheld through payroll deductions plus accrued interest.

In 2010, there was one 12-month ESPP offering with a purchase price set at a 15% discount of the average market price of the Common Stock on October 14, 2009 or December 27, 2010, whichever was lower. The purchase price was \$7.16 for the 2010 offering. Approximately 600,000 shares were issued under the 2010 ESPP offering in the 2011 fiscal year.

The fair value of the offering was estimated on the date of grant using a Black-Scholes valuation model that uses the assumptions noted in the following table. The risk-free rate is based on the

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements THE NEW YORK TIMES COMPANY *P.101*

Table of Contents

U.S. treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant. Expected volatility was based on the historical volatility on the day of grant.

| | |
|-----------------------------|-----------|
| Risk-free interest rate | .771% |
| Expected life | 1.2 years |
| Expected volatility | 72.11% |
| Expected dividend yield | 0% |
| Weighted-average fair value | \$ 3.87 |

In 2009, there was one 12-month ESPP offering with a purchase price set at a 15% discount of the average market price of the Common Stock on November 24, 2008 or December 28, 2009, whichever was lower. The purchase price was \$5.30 and the weighted-average fair value was \$2.34 for the 2009 offering. Approximately 700,000 shares were issued under the 2009 ESPP offering in the 2010 fiscal year.

In 2008, there was one 12-month ESPP offering with a purchase price set at a 5% discount of the average market price on December 26, 2008. With these terms, the ESPP was not considered a compensatory plan, and therefore compensation expense was not recorded for shares issued under the ESPP in 2008.

LTIP Awards

Our Company's 1991 Executive Plans provided for grants of cash awards to key executives payable at the end of a multi-year performance period. The target award is determined at the beginning of the period and can increase to a maximum of 175% of the target or decrease to zero.

For the award granted for the cycle beginning in 2005 paid in 2010, the total payment was based on a key performance measure, Total Shareholder Return (TSR). TSR is calculated as stock appreciation plus reinvested dividends. For the award granted for the cycle beginning in 2006 payable in 2011, 50% of the payment is based on TSR. The payment is subject to approval by the Board's Compensation Committee. In 2010, there were approximately \$7 million in payments for LTIP awards based on TSR. There were no LTIP awards based on TSR paid in 2009 and 2008.

The LTIP awards based on TSR are classified as liability awards because we incur a liability, payable in cash, indexed to our stock price. The LTIP award liability is measured at its fair value at the end of each reporting period and, therefore, will fluctuate based on the operating results and the performance of our TSR relative to the peer group's TSR. The fair value of the LTIP awards was calculated by comparing our TSR against a predetermined peer group's TSR over the performance period. The payout of the LTIP awards are based on relative performance; therefore, correlations in stock price performance among the peer group companies also factor into the valuation.

For awards granted for the cycle beginning in 2007 and subsequent periods, the actual payment, if any, will no longer have a performance measure based on TSR. Therefore, these awards are not considered stock-based compensation.

As of December 26, 2010, unrecognized compensation expense related to the unvested portion of our Stock-Based Awards was approximately \$4 million and is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.3 years.

Table of Contents

We generally issue shares for the exercise of stock options and ESPP from unissued reserved shares and issue shares for stock-settled restricted stock units and our Company stock match under a 401(k) plan from treasury shares.

Shares of Class A Common Stock reserved for issuance were as follows:

| (In thousands) | December 26, 2010 | December 27, 2009 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| <i>Stock options, stock settled restricted stock units, retirement units and other awards</i> | | |
| Outstanding | 21,769 | 27,075 |
| Available | 8,252 | 10,022 |
| <i>Employee Stock Purchase Plan</i> | | |
| Available | 7,013 | 7,736 |
| <i>401(k) Company stock match</i> | | |
| Available | 4,619 | 5,055 |
| <i>Total Outstanding</i> | 21,769 | 27,075 |
| <i>Total Available</i> | 19,884 | 22,813 |

In addition to the shares available in the table above, there were approximately 819,000 shares as of December 26, 2010 and 825,000 shares as of December 27, 2009 of Class B Common Stock available for conversion into shares of Class A Common Stock.

18. Stockholders' Equity

Shares of our Company's Class A and Class B Common Stock are entitled to equal participation in the event of liquidation and in dividend declarations. The Class B Common Stock is convertible at the holders' option on a share-for-share basis into Class A Common Stock. Upon conversion, the previously outstanding shares of Class B Common Stock are automatically and immediately retired, resulting in a reduction of authorized Class B Common Stock. As provided for in our Company's Certificate of Incorporation, the Class A Common Stock has limited voting rights, including the right to elect 30% of the Board of Directors, and the Class A and Class B Common Stock have the right to vote together on the reservation of our Company shares for stock options and other stock-based plans, on the ratification of the selection of a registered public accounting firm and, in certain circumstances, on acquisitions of the stock or assets of other companies. Otherwise, except as provided by the laws of the State of New York, all voting power is vested solely and exclusively in the holders of the Class B Common Stock.

The Adolph Ochs family trust holds approximately 90% of the Class B Common Stock and, as a result, has the ability to elect 70% of the Board of Directors and to direct the outcome of any matter that does not require a vote of the Class A Common Stock.

We can repurchase Class A Common Stock under our stock repurchase program from time to time either in the open market or through private transactions. These repurchases may be suspended from time to time or discontinued. In 2010 and 2009, we did not repurchase any shares of Class A Common Stock pursuant to our stock repurchase program.

We may issue preferred stock in one or more series. The Board of Directors is authorized to set the distinguishing characteristics of each series of preferred stock prior to issuance, including the granting of limited or full voting rights; however, the consideration received must be at least \$100 per share. No shares of preferred stock were issued or outstanding as of December 26, 2010.

Table of Contents

19. Segment Information

Our Company's reportable segments consist of the News Media Group and the About Group. These segments are evaluated regularly by key management in assessing performance and allocating resources.

Revenues, operating profit and identifiable assets of foreign operations are not significant. The About Group generated more than 50% of its revenue in 2010 through cost-per-click advertising which is principally derived from an arrangement with one customer.

Below is a description of our Company's reportable segments:

News Media Group

The News Media Group consists of The New York Times Media Group, which includes The Times, the IHT, NYTimes.com, and related businesses; the New England Media Group, which includes the Globe, Boston.com, the Worcester Telegram & Gazette, Telegram.com and related businesses; and the Regional Media Group, which includes 14 daily newspapers in Alabama, California, Florida, Louisiana, North Carolina and South Carolina, their Web sites, other print publications and related businesses.

About Group

The About Group consists of About.com, ConsumerSearch.com, UCompareHealthCare.com, CalorieCount.com and related businesses.

Table of Contents

Our Statements of Operations by segment and Corporate were as follows:

| (In thousands) | December 26, 2010 | December 27, 2009 | December 28, 2008 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Revenues | | | |
| News Media Group | \$ 2,257,386 | \$ 2,319,378 | \$ 2,824,469 |
| About Group | 136,077 | 121,061 | 115,295 |
| Total | \$ 2,393,463 | \$ 2,440,439 | \$ 2,939,764 |
| Operating Profit/(Loss) | | | |
| News Media Group | \$ 219,242 | \$ 21,163 | \$ (30,947) |
| About Group | 61,952 | 50,881 | 39,390 |
| Corporate | (47,074) | 2,015 | (49,634) |
| Total | \$ 234,120 | \$ 74,059 | \$ (41,191) |
| Income from joint ventures | 19,035 | 20,667 | 17,062 |
| Gain on sale of investment | 9,128 | | |
| Interest expense, net | 85,062 | 81,701 | 47,790 |
| Premium on debt redemption | | 9,250 | |
| Income/(loss) from continuing operations before income taxes | 177,221 | 3,775 | (71,919) |
| Income tax expense/(benefit) | 68,516 | 2,206 | (5,979) |
| Income/(loss) from continuing operations | 108,705 | 1,569 | (65,940) |
| Discontinued operations: | | | |
| (Loss)/income from discontinued operations, net of income taxes | | (1,156) | 302 |
| Gain on sale, net of income taxes | 13 | 19,488 | 8,300 |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of income taxes | 13 | 18,332 | 8,602 |
| Net income/(loss) | 108,718 | 19,901 | (57,338) |
| Net income attributable to the noncontrolling interest | (1,014) | (10) | (501) |
| Net income/(loss) attributable to The New York Times Company common stockholders | \$ 107,704 | \$ 19,891 | \$ (57,839) |

The News Media Group's operating profit/(loss) includes:

2010 a \$16.1 million charge for the impairment of assets at the Globe's printing facility in Billerica, Mass., which was consolidated into the Boston, Mass., printing facility in the second quarter of 2009 and a \$6.3 million charge for an adjustment to estimated pension withdrawal obligations under several multiemployer pension plans at the Globe.

2009 a \$78.9 million charge primarily for a pension withdrawal obligation under certain multiemployer pension plans, a \$31.1 million charge for loss on leases, a \$5.2 million gain on sale of assets, a \$4.2 million charge for the impairment of assets due to the reduced scope of a systems project, \$3.5 million charge for the early termination of a third-party printing contract and a \$2.7 million curtailment loss,

2008 a \$197.9 million non-cash charge for the impairment of assets.

Corporate includes a pension curtailment gain of \$56.7 million in 2009.

See Notes 5, 9 and 11 for additional information regarding these items.

Table of Contents

Advertising, circulation and other revenue, by division of the News Media Group, were as follows:

| (In thousands) | December 26, 2010 | December 27, 2009 | December 28, 2008 |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| <i>The New York Times Media Group</i> | | | |
| Advertising | \$ 780,424 | \$ 797,298 | \$ 1,067,916 |
| Circulation | 683,717 | 683,445 | 668,129 |
| Other | 92,697 | 101,118 | 180,510 |
| Total | \$ 1,556,838 | \$ 1,581,861 | \$ 1,916,555 |
| <i>New England Media Group</i> | | | |
| Advertising | \$ 213,720 | \$ 230,886 | \$ 319,114 |
| Circulation | 167,360 | 167,998 | 154,201 |
| Other | 42,809 | 41,710 | 50,334 |
| Total | \$ 423,889 | \$ 440,594 | \$ 523,649 |
| <i>Regional Media Group</i> | | | |
| Advertising | \$ 177,056 | \$ 192,924 | \$ 276,463 |
| Circulation | 80,416 | 85,043 | 87,824 |
| Other | 19,187 | 18,956 | 19,978 |
| Total | \$ 276,659 | \$ 296,923 | \$ 384,265 |
| <i>Total News Media Group</i> | | | |
| Advertising | \$ 1,171,200 | \$ 1,221,108 | \$ 1,663,493 |
| Circulation | 931,493 | 936,486 | 910,154 |
| Other | 154,693 | 161,784 | 250,822 |
| Total | \$ 2,257,386 | \$ 2,319,378 | \$ 2,824,469 |

Our Company's segment and Corporate depreciation and amortization, capital expenditures and assets reconciled to consolidated amounts were as follows:

| (In thousands) | December 26, 2010 | December 27, 2009 | December 28, 2008 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| <i>Depreciation and Amortization</i> | | | |
| News Media Group | \$ 109,341 | \$ 122,609 | \$ 124,254 |
| About Group | 11,609 | 11,087 | 12,251 |
| Corporate | | | 7,796 |
| Total | \$ 120,950 | \$ 133,696 | \$ 144,301 |
| <i>Capital Expenditures</i> | | | |
| News Media Group | \$ 26,417 | \$ 38,136 | \$ 112,746 |
| About Group | 4,856 | 4,339 | 4,818 |
| Corporate | 3,423 | 2,965 | 9,633 |

Edgar Filing: NEW YORK TIMES CO - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|------------------|----|-----------|----|-----------|
| <i>Total</i> | \$ | 34,696 | \$ | 45,440 | \$ | 127,197 |
| <i>Assets</i> | | | | | | |
| News Media Group | \$ | 1,869,798 | \$ | 1,993,482 | \$ | 2,218,006 |
| About Group | | 429,509 | | 437,597 | | 447,715 |
| Corporate | | 851,793 | | 526,066 | | 619,283 |
| Investments in joint ventures | | 134,641 | | 131,357 | | 112,596 |
| WQXR-FM Discontinued Operations | | | | 55 | | 4,080 |
| <i>Total</i> | \$ | 3,285,741 | \$ | 3,088,557 | \$ | 3,401,680 |

P.106 2010 ANNUAL REPORT Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

20. Commitments and Contingent Liabilities

Operating Leases

Operating lease commitments are primarily for office space and equipment. Certain office space leases provide for rent adjustments relating to changes in real estate taxes and other operating costs.

Rental expense amounted to approximately \$25 million in 2010, \$26 million in 2009 and \$34 million in 2008. The approximate minimum rental commitments under non-cancelable leases, net of subleases, as of December 26, 2010 were as follows:

| (In thousands) | Amount |
|---|------------------|
| 2011 | \$ 18,122 |
| 2012 | 15,088 |
| 2013 | 10,489 |
| 2014 | 8,920 |
| 2015 | 7,695 |
| Later years | 13,958 |
| Total minimum lease payments | 74,272 |
| Less: noncancelable subleases | (19,499) |
| <i>Total minimum lease payments, net of noncancelable subleases</i> | \$ 54,773 |

Capital Leases

Future minimum lease payments for all capital leases, and the present value of the minimum lease payments as of December 26, 2010, were as follows:

| (In thousands) | Amount |
|---|-----------------|
| 2011 | \$ 594 |
| 2012 | 573 |
| 2013 | 552 |
| 2014 | 552 |
| 2015 | 552 |
| Later years | 8,901 |
| Total minimum lease payments | 11,724 |
| Less: imputed interest | (5,000) |
| <i>Present value of net minimum lease payments including current maturities</i> | \$ 6,724 |

Other

We have letters of credit of approximately \$62 million, primarily for obligations under our workers' compensation program, sale-leaseback financing and for our New York headquarters.

There are various legal actions that have arisen in the ordinary course of business and are now pending against us. These actions are generally for amounts greatly in excess of the payments, if any, that may be required to be made. It is the opinion of management after reviewing these actions with our legal counsel that the ultimate liability that might result from these actions would not have a material adverse effect on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

QUARTERLY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

As described in Note 15 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, WQXR-FM's results of operations have been presented as discontinued operations for all periods presented.

| | 2010 Quarters | | | | Full |
|---|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|
| | March 28, 2010 (13 weeks) | June 27, 2010 (13 weeks) | September 26, 2010 (13 weeks) | December 26, 2010 (13 weeks) | Year (52 weeks) |
| (In thousands, except per share data) | | | | | |
| Revenues | \$ 587,867 | \$ 589,587 | \$ 554,333 | \$ 661,676 | \$ 2,393,463 |
| Operating costs | 535,209 | 528,762 | 522,924 | 550,032 | 2,136,927 |
| Impairment of assets | | | 16,148 | | 16,148 |
| Pension withdrawal expense | | | 6,268 | | 6,268 |
| Operating profit | 52,658 | 60,825 | 8,993 | 111,644 | 234,120 |
| Income/(loss) from joint ventures | 9,111 | 7,678 | 5,482 | (3,236) | 19,035 |
| Gain on sale of investment | | 9,128 | | | 9,128 |
| Interest expense, net | 20,584 | 20,614 | 20,627 | 23,237 | 85,062 |
| Income/(loss) from continuing operations before income taxes | 41,185 | 57,017 | (6,152) | 85,171 | 177,221 |
| Income tax expense/(benefit) | 27,027 | 25,435 | (2,018) | 18,072 | 68,516 |
| Income/(loss) from continuing operations | 14,158 | 31,582 | (4,134) | 67,099 | 108,705 |
| Income/(loss) from discontinued operations, net of income taxes | | 237 | (224) | | 13 |
| Net income/(loss) | 14,158 | 31,819 | (4,358) | 67,099 | 108,718 |
| Net (income)/loss attributable to the noncontrolling interest | (1,365) | 214 | 97 | 40 | (1,014) |
| Net income/(loss) attributable to The New York Times Company common stockholders | \$ 12,793 | \$ 32,033 | \$ (4,261) | \$ 67,139 | \$ 107,704 |
| Amounts attributable to The New York Times Company common stockholders: | | | | | |
| Income/(loss) from continuing operations | \$ 12,793 | \$ 31,796 | \$ (4,037) | \$ 67,139 | \$ 107,691 |
| Income/(loss) from discontinued operations, net of income taxes | | 237 | (224) | | 13 |
| Net income/(loss) | \$ 12,793 | \$ 32,033 | \$ (4,261) | \$ 67,139 | \$ 107,704 |
| Average number of common shares outstanding: | | | | | |
| Basic | 145,195 | 145,601 | 145,803 | 145,947 | 145,636 |
| Diluted | 153,924 | 152,962 | 145,803 | 151,048 | 152,600 |
| Basic earnings/(loss) per share attributable to The New York Times Company common stockholders: | | | | | |
| Income/(loss) from continuing operations | \$ 0.09 | \$ 0.22 | \$ (0.03) | \$ 0.46 | \$ 0.74 |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of income taxes | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Net income/(loss) | \$ 0.09 | \$ 0.22 | \$ (0.03) | \$ 0.46 | \$ 0.74 |

Edgar Filing: NEW YORK TIMES CO - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-------------|----|-------------|----|---------------|----|-------------|----|-------------|
| Diluted earnings/(loss) per share attributable to The New York Times Company common stockholders: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Income/(loss) from continuing operations | \$ | 0.08 | \$ | 0.21 | \$ | (0.03) | \$ | 0.44 | \$ | 0.71 |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of income taxes | | 0.00 | | 0.00 | | 0.00 | | 0.00 | | 0.00 |
| Net income/(loss) | \$ | 0.08 | \$ | 0.21 | \$ | (0.03) | \$ | 0.44 | \$ | 0.71 |

Table of Contents

| | 2009 Quarters | | | | Full Year (52 weeks) |
|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | March 29, 2009 (13 weeks) | June 28, 2009 (13 weeks) | September 27, 2009 (13 weeks) | December 27, 2009 (13 weeks) | |
| (In thousands, except per share data) | | | | | |
| Revenues | \$ 607,133 | \$ 582,693 | \$ 569,462 | \$ 681,151 | \$ 2,440,439 |
| Operating costs | 652,458 | 552,366 | 522,243 | 580,733 | 2,307,800 |
| Pension withdrawal expense/(gain) | | 6,649 | 73,600 | (1,318) | 78,931 |
| Net pension curtailment expense/(gain) | | 196 | 2,510 | (56,671) | (53,965) |
| Loss on leases and other | 16,363 | | | 18,270 | 34,633 |
| Gain on sale of assets | | | 5,198 | | 5,198 |
| Impairment of assets | | | | 4,179 | 4,179 |
| Operating (loss)/profit | (61,688) | 23,482 | (23,693) | 135,958 | 74,059 |
| Income from joint ventures | 4,403 | 8,434 | 7,498 | 332 | 20,667 |
| Interest expense, net | 18,146 | 21,656 | 21,028 | 20,871 | 81,701 |
| Premium on debt redemption | | 9,250 | | | 9,250 |
| (Loss)/income from continuing operations before income taxes | (75,431) | 1,010 | (37,223) | 115,419 | 3,775 |
| Income tax (benefit)/expense | (1,171) | (38,200) | (2,482) | 44,059 | 2,206 |
| (Loss)/income from continuing operations | (74,260) | 39,210 | (34,741) | 71,360 | 1,569 |
| Income/(loss) from discontinued operations, net of income taxes | 31 | (86) | (994) | 19,381 | 18,332 |
| Net (loss)/income | (74,229) | 39,124 | (35,735) | 90,741 | 19,901 |
| Net (income)/loss attributable to the noncontrolling interest | (239) | (60) | 111 | 178 | (10) |
| Net (loss)/income attributable to The New York Times Company common stockholders | \$ (74,468) | \$ 39,064 | \$ (35,624) | \$ 90,919 | \$ 19,891 |
| Amounts attributable to The New York Times Company common stockholders: | | | | | |
| (Loss)/income from continuing operations | \$ (74,499) | \$ 39,150 | \$ (34,630) | \$ 71,538 | \$ 1,559 |
| Income/(loss) from discontinued operations, net of income taxes | 31 | (86) | (994) | 19,381 | 18,332 |
| Net (loss)/income | \$ (74,468) | \$ 39,064 | \$ (35,624) | \$ 90,919 | \$ 19,891 |
| Average number of common shares outstanding: | | | | | |
| Basic | 143,907 | 143,981 | 144,335 | 144,530 | 144,188 |
| Diluted | 143,907 | 144,626 | 144,335 | 150,189 | 146,367 |
| Basic (loss)/earnings per share attributable to The New York Times Company common stockholders: | | | | | |
| (Loss)/income from continuing operations | \$ (0.52) | \$ 0.27 | \$ (0.24) | \$ 0.50 | \$ 0.01 |
| Income/(loss) from discontinued operations, net of income taxes | 0.00 | 0.00 | (0.01) | 0.13 | 0.13 |
| Net (loss)/income | \$ (0.52) | \$ 0.27 | \$ (0.25) | \$ 0.63 | \$ 0.14 |
| Diluted (loss)/earnings per share attributable to The New York Times Company common stockholders: | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: NEW YORK TIMES CO - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|---|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|---------|
| (Loss)/income from continuing operations | \$ (0.52) | \$ 0.27 | \$ (0.24) | \$ 0.48 | \$ 0.01 |
| Income/(loss) from discontinued operations, net of income taxes | 0.00 | 0.00 | (0.01) | 0.13 | 0.13 |
| Net (loss)/income | \$ (0.52) | \$ 0.27 | \$ (0.25) | \$ 0.61 | \$ 0.14 |

Earnings/(loss) per share amounts for the quarters do not necessarily equal the respective year-end amounts for earnings or loss per share due to the weighted-average number of shares outstanding used in the computations for the respective periods. Earnings/(loss) per share amounts for the respective quarters and years have been computed using the average number of common shares outstanding.

Our largest source of revenue is advertising. Seasonal variations in advertising revenues cause our quarterly consolidated results to fluctuate. Our business has historically experienced higher advertising volume in the fourth quarter than the remaining quarters because of holiday advertising. The level of advertising sales in any period may also be affected by advertisers' decisions to increase or decrease their expenditures in response to anticipated consumer demand and general economic conditions.

Quarterly Information THE NEW YORK TIMES COMPANY *P.109*

Table of Contents

SCHEDULE II VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS

For the Three Years Ended December 26, 2010

| Column A (In thousands) | Column B | Column C | Column D | Column E | Column F |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|---|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Description | Balance at beginning of period | Additions charged to operating costs net | Additions related to acquisitions | Deductions ^(a) | Balance at end of period |
| <i>Year Ended December 26, 2010</i> | | | | | |
| Accounts receivable allowances: | | | | | |
| Uncollectible accounts | \$ 21,395 | \$ 6,434 | \$ | \$ 10,809 | \$ 17,020 |
| Rate adjustments and discounts | 7,439 | 12,635 | | 15,722 | 4,352 |
| Returns allowance | 7,651 | 1,257 | | 71 | 8,837 |
| Total | \$ 36,485 | \$ 20,326 | \$ | \$ 26,602 | \$ 30,209 |
| <i>Year Ended December 27, 2009</i> | | | | | |
| Accounts receivable allowances: | | | | | |
| Uncollectible accounts | \$ 18,500 | \$ 22,941 | \$ | \$ 20,046 | \$ 21,395 |
| Rate adjustments and discounts | 6,382 | 34,277 | | 33,220 | 7,439 |
| Returns allowance | 8,956 | 2,149 | | 3,454 | 7,651 |
| Total | \$ 33,838 | \$ 59,367 | \$ | \$ 56,720 | \$ 36,485 |
| <i>Year Ended December 28, 2008</i> | | | | | |
| Accounts receivable allowances: | | | | | |
| Uncollectible accounts | \$ 17,970 | \$ 22,508 | \$ 650 | \$ 22,628 | \$ 18,500 |
| Rate adjustments and discounts | 6,164 | 34,368 | | 34,150 | 6,382 |
| Returns allowance | 14,271 | 238 | | 5,553 | 8,956 |
| Total | \$ 38,405 | \$ 57,114 | \$ 650 | \$ 62,331 | \$ 33,838 |

^(a) Includes write-offs, net of recoveries.

Table of Contents

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

Not applicable.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

EVALUATION OF DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Janet L. Robinson, our Chief Executive Officer, and James M. Follo, our Chief Financial Officer, have evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) as of December 26, 2010. Based upon such evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that the information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Management's report on internal control over financial reporting and the attestation report of our independent registered public accounting firm on our internal control over financial reporting are set forth in Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K and are incorporated by reference herein.

CHANGES IN INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended December 26, 2010, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

Not applicable.

Table of Contents

PART II

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

In addition to the information set forth under the caption Executive Officers of the Registrant in Part I of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, the information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the sections titled Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance, Proposal Number 1 Election of Directors, Interests of Related Persons in Certain Transactions of the Company, Board of Directors and Corporate Governance, beginning with the section titled Independent Directors, but only up to and including the section titled Audit Committee Financial Experts, and Board Committees of our Proxy Statement for the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

The Board has adopted a code of ethics that applies not only to our CEO and senior financial officers, as required by the SEC, but also to our Chairman and Vice Chairman. The current version of such code of ethics can be found on the Corporate Governance section of our Web site, <http://www.nytc.com>.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the sections titled Compensation Committee, Directors Compensation, Directors and Officers Liability Insurance and Compensation of Executive Officers of our Proxy Statement for the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the sections titled Principal Holders of Common Stock, Security Ownership of Management and Directors and The 1997 Trust of our Proxy Statement for the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the sections titled Interests of Related Persons in Certain Transactions of the Company, Board of Directors and Corporate Governance Independent Directors, Board of Directors and Corporate Governance Board Committees and Board of Directors and Corporate Governance Policy on Transactions with Related Persons of our Proxy Statement for the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the section titled Proposal Number 4 Selection of Auditors, beginning with the section titled Audit Committee's Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures, but only up to and not including the section titled Recommendation and Vote Required of our Proxy Statement for the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Table of Contents

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(A) DOCUMENTS FILED AS PART OF THIS REPORT

(1) Financial Statements

As listed in the index to financial information in Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

(2) Supplemental Schedules

The following additional consolidated financial information is filed as part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K and should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements set forth in Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data. Schedules not included with this additional consolidated financial information have been omitted either because they are not applicable or because the required information is shown in the Consolidated Financial Statements.

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| Consolidated Schedule for the Three Years Ended December 26, 2010: | |
| II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts | 110 |

Separate financial statements and supplemental schedules of associated companies accounted for by the equity method are omitted in accordance with the provisions of Rule 3-09 of Regulation S-X.

(3) Exhibits

An exhibit index has been filed as part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K and is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: February 22, 2011

THE NEW YORK TIMES COMPANY

(Registrant)

BY: /s/ KENNETH A. RICHIERI
Kenneth A. Richieri
Senior Vice President and General Counsel

We, the undersigned directors and officers of The New York Times Company, hereby severally constitute Kenneth A. Richieri and James M. Follo, and each of them singly, our true and lawful attorneys with full power to them and each of them to sign for us, in our names in the capacities indicated below, any and all amendments to this Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| Signature | Title | Date |
|----------------------------|--|-------------------|
| /s/ Arthur Sulzberger, Jr. | Chairman and Director | February 22, 2011 |
| /s/ Janet L. Robinson | Chief Executive Officer, President and Director (Principal Executive Officer) | February 22, 2011 |
| /s/ Michael Golden | Vice Chairman and Director | February 22, 2011 |
| /s/ James M. Follo | Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer) | February 22, 2011 |
| /s/ R. Anthony Bente | Senior Vice President, Finance and Corporate Controller (Principal Accounting Officer) | February 22, 2011 |
| /s/ Raul E. Cesan | Director | February 22, 2011 |
| /s/ Robert E. Denham | Director | February 22, 2011 |
| /s/ Lynn G. Dolnick | Director | February 22, 2011 |
| /s/ Carolyn D. Greenspon | Director | February 22, 2011 |
| /s/ James A. Kohlberg | Director | February 22, 2011 |
| /s/ Dawn G. Lepore | Director | February 22, 2011 |
| /s/ David E. Liddle | Director | February 22, 2011 |
| /s/ Ellen R. Marram | Director | February 22, 2011 |
| /s/ Thomas Middelhoff | Director | February 22, 2011 |
| /s/ Doreen A. Toben | Director | February 22, 2011 |

Table of Contents**INDEX TO EXHIBITS**

Exhibit numbers 10.17 through 10.33 are management contracts or compensatory plans or arrangements.

| Exhibit Number | Description of Exhibit |
|-----------------------|---|
| (3.1) | Certificate of Incorporation as amended and restated to reflect amendments effective July 1, 2007 (filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Form 10-Q dated August 9, 2007, and incorporated by reference herein). |
| (3.2) | By-laws as amended through November 19, 2009 (filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Form 8-K dated November 20, 2009, and incorporated by reference herein). |
| (4) | The Company agrees to furnish to the Commission upon request a copy of any instrument with respect to long-term debt of the Company and any subsidiary for which consolidated or unconsolidated financial statements are required to be filed, and for which the amount of securities authorized thereunder does not exceed 10% of the total assets of the Company and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis. |
| (4.1) | Indenture, dated March 29, 1995, between the Company and The Bank of New York Mellon (as successor to Chemical Bank), as trustee (filed as an Exhibit to the Company's registration statement on Form S-3 File No. 33-57403, and incorporated by reference herein). |
| (4.2) | First Supplemental Indenture, dated August 21, 1998, between the Company and The Bank of New York Mellon (as successor to The Chase Manhattan Bank (formerly known as Chemical Bank)), as trustee (filed as an Exhibit to the Company's registration statement on Form S-3 File No. 333-62023, and incorporated by reference herein). |
| (4.3) | Second Supplemental Indenture, dated July 26, 2002, between the Company and The Bank of New York Mellon (as successor to JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (formerly known as Chemical Bank and The Chase Manhattan Bank)), as trustee (filed as an Exhibit to the Company's registration statement on Form S-3 File No. 333-97199, and incorporated by reference herein). |
| (4.4) | Securities Purchase Agreement, dated January 19, 2009, among the Company, Inmobiliaria Carso, S.A. de C.V. and Banco Inbursa S.A., Institución de Banca Múltiple, Grupo Financiero Inbursa (including forms of notes, warrants and registration rights agreement) (filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Form 8-K dated January 21, 2009, and incorporated by reference herein). |
| (4.5) | Form of Preemptive Rights Certificate (filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Form 8-K dated January 21, 2009, and incorporated by reference herein). |
| (4.6) | Form of Preemptive Rights Warrant Agreement between the Company and Mellon Investor Services LLC (filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Form 8-K dated January 21, 2009, and incorporated by reference herein). |
| (4.7) | Indenture, dated as of November 4, 2010, by and between the Company and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee (filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Form 8-K dated November 4, 2010, and incorporated by reference herein). |
| (4.8) | Form of 6.625% Senior Notes due 2016 (included as an Exhibit to Exhibit 4.7 above). |
| (4.9) | Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of November 4, 2010, by and between the Company and Barclays Capital Inc. (filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Form 8-K dated November 4, 2010, and incorporated by reference herein). |
| (10.1) | Agreement of Lease, dated as of December 15, 1993, between The City of New York, as landlord, and the Company, as tenant (as successor to New York City Economic Development Corporation (the EDC)), pursuant to an Assignment and Assumption of Lease With Consent, made as of December 15, 1993, between the EDC, as Assignor, to the Company, as Assignee (filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Form 10-K dated March 21, 1994, and incorporated by reference herein). |

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Description of Exhibit |
|-----------------------|--|
| (10.2) | Funding Agreement #4, dated as of December 15, 1993, between the EDC and the Company (filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Form 10-K dated March 21, 1994, and incorporated by reference herein). |
| (10.3) | New York City Public Utility Service Power Service Agreement, dated as of May 3, 1993, between The City of New York, acting by and through its Public Utility Service, and The New York Times Newspaper Division of the Company (filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Form 10-K dated March 21, 1994, and incorporated by reference herein). |
| (10.4) | Letter Agreement, dated as of April 8, 2004, amending Agreement of Lease, between the 42nd St. Development Project, Inc., as landlord, and The New York Times Building LLC, as tenant (filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Form 10-Q dated November 3, 2006, and incorporated by reference herein). |
| (10.5) | Agreement of Sublease, dated as of December 12, 2001, between The New York Times Building LLC, as landlord, and NYT Real Estate Company LLC, as tenant (filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Form 10-Q dated November 3, 2006, and incorporated by reference herein). |
| (10.6) | First Amendment to Agreement of Sublease, dated as of August 15, 2006, between 42nd St. Development Project, Inc., as landlord, and NYT Real Estate Company LLC, as tenant (filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Form 10-Q dated November 3, 2006, and incorporated by reference herein). |
| (10.7) | Second Amendment to Agreement of Sublease, dated as of January 29, 2007, between 42nd St. Development Project, Inc., as landlord, and NYT Real Estate Company LLC, as tenant (filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Form 8-K dated February 1, 2007, and incorporated by reference herein). |
| (10.8) | Third Amendment to Agreement of Sublease (NYT), dated as of March 6, 2009, between 42nd St. Development Project, Inc., as landlord, and NYT Real Estate Company LLC, as tenant (filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Form 8-K dated March 9, 2009, and incorporated by reference herein). |
| (10.9) | Fourth Amendment to Agreement of Sublease (NYT), dated as of March 6, 2009, between 42nd St. Development Project, Inc., as landlord, and 620 Eighth NYT (NY) Limited Partnership, as tenant (filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Form 8-K dated March 9, 2009, and incorporated by reference herein). |
| (10.10) | Fifth Amendment to Agreement of Sublease (NYT), dated as of August 31, 2009, between 42nd St. Development Project, Inc., as landlord, and 620 Eighth NYT (NY) Limited Partnership, as tenant (filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Form 10-Q dated November 4, 2009, and incorporated by reference herein). |
| (10.11) | Agreement of Sublease (NYT-2), dated as of March 6, 2009, between 42nd St. Development Project, Inc., as landlord, and NYT Real Estate Company LLC, as tenant (filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Form 8-K dated March 9, 2009, and incorporated by reference herein). |
| (10.12) | First Amendment to Agreement of Sublease (NYT-2), dated as of March 6, 2009, between 42nd St. Development Project, Inc., as landlord, and NYT Building Leasing Company LLC, as tenant (filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Form 8-K dated March 9, 2009, and incorporated by reference herein). |
| (10.13) | Agreement of Purchase and Sale, dated as of March 6, 2009, between NYT Real Estate Company LLC, as seller, and 620 Eighth NYT (NY) Limited Partnership, as buyer (filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Form 8-K dated March 9, 2009, and incorporated by reference herein). |

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Description of Exhibit |
|-----------------------|--|
| (10.14) | Lease Agreement, dated as of March 6, 2009, between 620 Eighth NYT (NY) Limited Partnership, as landlord, and NYT Real Estate Company LLC, as tenant (filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Form 8-K dated March 9, 2009, and incorporated by reference herein). |
| (10.15) | First Amendment to Lease Agreement, dated as of August 31, 2009, 620 Eighth NYT (NY) Limited Partnership, as landlord, and NYT Real Estate Company LLC, as tenant (filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Form 10-Q dated November 4, 2009, and incorporated by reference herein). |
| (10.16) | Credit Agreement, dated as of June 21, 2006 and as amended and restated as of September 7, 2006, among the Company, as the borrower, the several lenders from time to time party thereto, Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent, swing line lender and L/C issuer, Banc of America Securities LLC, as joint lead arranger and joint book manager, J.P. Morgan Securities Inc., as joint lead arranger and joint book manager, JPMorgan Chase Bank, as documentation agent and The Bank of New York and Suntrust Bank, as co-syndication agents (filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Form 10-Q dated November 8, 2007, and incorporated by reference herein). |
| (10.17) | The Company's 2010 Incentive Compensation Plan (filed as an exhibit to the Company's Form 8-K dated April 28, 2010, and incorporated by reference herein). |
| (10.18) | The Company's 1991 Executive Stock Incentive Plan, as amended and restated through October 11, 2007 (filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Form 8-K dated October 12, 2007, and incorporated by reference herein). |
| (10.19) | The Company's 1991 Executive Cash Bonus Plan, as amended and restated through October 11, 2007 (filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Form 8-K dated October 12, 2007, and incorporated by reference herein). |
| (10.20) | The Company's Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, amended and restated effective December 31, 2009 (filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Form 8-K dated November 12, 2009, and incorporated by reference herein). |
| (10.21) | Amendment to the Company's Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, amended effective April 27, 2010 (filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Form 10-Q dated August 5, 2010, and incorporated by reference herein). |
| (10.22) | The Company's Deferred Executive Compensation Plan, as amended and restated effective January 1, 2008 (filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Form 8-K dated October 12, 2007, and incorporated by reference herein). |
| (10.23) | Amendment to the Company's Deferred Executive Compensation Plan, amended effective January 1, 2010 (filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Form 10-Q dated November 4, 2009, and incorporated by reference herein). |
| (10.24) | Amendment No. 2 to the Company's Deferred Executive Compensation Plan, amended effective April 27, 2010 (filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Form 10-Q dated August 5, 2010, and incorporated by reference herein). |
| (10.25) | Amendment No. 3 to the Company's Deferred Executive Compensation Plan, amended effective November 30, 2010. |
| (10.26) | The Company's Non-Employee Directors' Stock Option Plan, as amended through September 21, 2000 (filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Form 10-Q dated November 8, 2000, and incorporated by reference herein). |
| (10.27) | The Company's 2004 Non-Employee Directors' Stock Incentive Plan, effective April 13, 2004 (filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Form 10-Q dated May 5, 2004, and incorporated by reference herein). |
| (10.28) | The Company's Non-Employee Directors' Deferral Plan, as amended and restated through October 11, 2007 (filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Form 8-K dated October 12, 2007, and incorporated by reference herein). |

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Description of Exhibit |
|-----------------------|---|
| (10.29) | The Company's Savings Restoration Plan, effective as of January 1, 2010 (filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Form 8-K dated November 12, 2009, and incorporated by reference herein). |
| (10.30) | The Company's Supplemental Executive Savings Plan, effective as of January 1, 2010 (filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Form 8-K dated November 12, 2009, and incorporated by reference herein). |
| (10.31) | Amendment to the Company's Supplemental Executive Savings Plan, amended effective April 27, 2010 (filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Form 10-Q dated August 5, 2010, and incorporated by reference herein). |
| (10.32) | Stock Appreciation Rights Agreement, dated as of September 17, 2009, between the Company and Arthur Sulzberger, Jr. (filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Form 8-K dated September 18, 2009, and incorporated by reference herein). |
| (10.33) | Stock Appreciation Rights Agreement, dated as of September 17, 2009, between the Company and Janet L. Robinson (filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Form 8-K dated September 18, 2009, and incorporated by reference herein). |
| (12) | Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges. |
| (21) | Subsidiaries of the Company. |
| (23.1) | Consent of Ernst & Young LLP. |
| (24) | Power of Attorney (included as part of signature page). |
| (31.1) | Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification. |
| (31.2) | Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification. |
| (32.1) | Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| (32.2) | Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| (101.INS)* | XBRL Instance Document. |
| (101.SCH)* | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema. |
| (101.CAL)* | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase. |
| (101.DEF)* | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase. |
| (101.LAB)* | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase. |
| (101.PRE)* | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Document. |

* Pursuant to Rule 406 T of Regulation S-T, these interactive data files are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 or Section 18 of the Securities Exchange of 1934, as amended, and otherwise are not subject to liability under those sections.