FIRST DATA CORP Form 10-K March 11, 2010

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

x ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009

OR

" TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from

to

Commission file number 001-11073

FIRST DATA CORPORATION

DELAWARE
(State of incorporation)
(State of incorporation)
(LR.S. Employer Identification No.)
5565 GLENRIDGE CONNECTOR, N.E., SUITE 2000, ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30342

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code (404) 890-2000

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes "No x

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act. Yes "No x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes "No"

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§ 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer " Accelerated filer " Non-accelerated filer x Smaller Reporting Company " Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes " No x

The aggregate market value of the registrant s voting stock held by non-affiliates is zero. The registrant is privately held. There were 1,000 shares of the registrant s common stock outstanding as of March 1, 2010.

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS General

First Data Corporation (FDC or the Company) is a provider of electronic commerce and payment solutions for merchants, financial institutions and card issuers globally and has operations in 36 countries, serving approximately 6.0 million merchant locations. FDC was incorporated in Delaware in 1989 and was the subject of an initial public offering in connection with a spin-off from American Express in 1992. On September 24, 2007, the Company was acquired through a merger transaction (the merger) with an entity controlled by affiliates of Kohlberg Kravis Roberts & Co. (KKR). The merger resulted in the equity of FDC becoming privately held.

The Company has acquired multiple domestic and international businesses over the last five years with the most significant acquisition being the formation of the Banc of America Merchant Services, LLC (BAMS) alliance in 2009. The acquisition resulted in a total purchase price of approximately \$3.4 billion. On June 26, 2009, Bank of America N.A. (BofA) and the Company, together with Rockmount Investments, LLC (Rockmount), an investment vehicle controlled by a third-party investor, formed a new company, BAMS. BAMS provides clients with a comprehensive suite of acquiring and processing payment products for credit and debit cards as well as merchant loyalty, prepaid, check and e-commerce solutions. The Company owns a 48.45% direct voting interest in BAMS and BofA owns a 46.55% direct voting interest. The remaining stake in BAMS is a 5% non-voting interest held by Rockmount. The Company owns a 40% noncontrolling interest in Rockmount. Refer to Note 4 of the Company s Financial Statements in Item 8 of this Form 10-K for additional information regarding the BAMS alliance.

Spin-off of The Western Union Company (Western Union)

On September 29, 2006, the Company separated its Western Union money transfer business into an independent, publicly traded company through a spin-off of 100% of Western Union to FDC shareholders in a transaction intended to qualify for tax-free treatment (the spin-off) giving the shareholders separate ownership interests in FDC and Western Union.

Segments

Effective January 1, 2009, the Company s Chief Executive Officer began making strategic and operating decisions with regards to assessing performance and allocating resources based on a new segment structure. The most significant changes were check verification, settlement and guarantee services moving from the Financial Services segment into the Retail and Alliance Services segment as well as the Prepaid Services segment moving into the Retail and Alliance Services segment. The Company is organized in three primary segments: Retail and Alliance Services, Financial Services and International. In addition, the Company currently operates its official check business through its Integrated Payment Systems (IPS) segment but is in the process of winding-down the official check business.

In the third quarter of 2009, the Company changed the financial reports provided to its Chief Executive Officer to better enable him to make operating decisions and assess the performance of the Company's business segments. The segments have not changed but the presentation of the results has changed including the Retail and Alliance Services segment being reported on a proportionate consolidation basis and the profit measure being changed to a form of EBITDA (earnings before net interest expense, income taxes, depreciation and amortization). Proportionate consolidation reflects the Company's proportionate share of the results of non-wholly owned alliances based on equity ownership, net of a proportionate share of eliminations for amounts charged between the Company and the alliances. Results in prior periods have been adjusted to conform to this presentation. Refer to Note 16 of the Company's Financial Statements in Item 8 of this Form 10-K for additional information regarding segment results.

Retail and Alliance Services

Retail and Alliance Services provides merchant acquiring and processing services, prepaid services and check verification, settlement and guarantee services. The Company provides these services to approximately 4.0 million merchant locations across the U.S. and acquired \$1.2 trillion of payment transaction dollar volume on behalf of U.S. merchants in 2009. Retail and Alliance Services facilitates merchants—ability to accept credit, debit and prepaid cards and checks by authorizing and settling merchants—credit, debit stored-value and loyalty card transactions and check transactions. At the same time, Retail and Alliance Services provides merchants with the reliability, security and back-office services that are critical to their business success. Most of this segment—s revenue is derived from regional and local merchants. Retail and Alliance Services approaches the market through diversified sales channels including equity alliances, revenue sharing alliances and referral arrangements with over 440 financial institution partners, over 1,120 non-bank referral partners, and over 580 independent sales organization partners, as of December 31, 2009.

Financial Services

Financial Services provides financial institutions and other third parties with credit, debit and retail card processing; debit network services; output services, such as statement and letter printing, embossing and mailing services; and remittance processing services. The credit, debit and retail card processing businesses provide services that enable financial institutions and other organizations offering credit cards, debit cards and retail private label cards to consumers and businesses to manage customer accounts. Financial Services also provides personal identification number (PIN) debit network services through the STAR Network which enables PIN-secured debit transaction acceptance at approximately 2.3 million ATM and retail locations in the U.S. as of December 31, 2009.

International

International provides products and services in international markets that are similar to those offered by the Retail and Alliance Services and Financial Services segments in the U.S. International has operations in 36 countries, including the U.S., with regional management teams overseeing local operations.

Integrated Payment Systems

The principle business in the Integrated Payment Systems segment is official check services. Official checks are sold through independent agents, which are financial institutions. IPS also offers payment processing services and such other services will continue after the wind down of the official check business.

Operating Locations

The Company has domestic and international operations and regional or country offices where sales, customer service and/or administrative personnel are based. The international operations generate revenues from customers located and operating outside of the U.S. Revenues generated from processing transactions at locations within the U.S. (domestic) and outside of the U.S. (international), regardless of the segments to which the associated revenues applied, were 84% and 16% of FDC s consolidated revenues for the year ended December 31, 2009, respectively. Long-lived assets attributable to domestic and international operations as percentages of FDC s total long-lived assets as of December 31, 2009 were 86% and 14%, respectively. No individual foreign country is material to the Company s total revenues or long-lived assets. Further financial information relating to the Company s international and domestic revenues and long-lived assets is set forth in Note 16 to the Company s Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

First Data Products and Services Segment Information

Financial information relating to each of the Company s segments is set forth in Note 16 to the Company s Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this Form 10-K. A discussion of factors potentially affecting the Company s operations is set forth in Item 7 Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, of this form 10-K. The Company does not have any significant customers that account for 10% or more of total consolidated revenues. Refer to the following segment discussions, which address significant customer relationships within each segment.

The Company sold a merchant acquiring business in Canada as well as a debit and credit card issuing and acquiring processing business in Austria and Active Business Services, Ltd, all reported within the International segment, in November 2009, August 2009 and July 2008, respectively, and Peace Software, reported within the Financial Services segment, in October 2008. The results of divested businesses are excluded from segment results. The International and Financial Services performance measures have been adjusted for 2008 and 2007 to exclude the results of divested businesses. Retail and Alliance Services segment performance measures have been adjusted for 2008 and 2007 to reflect the sale of 12.5% of the Company s ownership interest in the Wells Fargo Merchant Services alliance that occurred on December 31, 2008.

Retail and Alliance Services Segment

The Retail and Alliance Services segment is comprised of merchant acquiring and processing services, prepaid services and check verification, settlement and guarantee services.

Retail and Alliance Services segment revenues from external customers, segment EBITDA and assets represent the following percentages of total segment and All Other and Corporate revenues from external customers, total segment and All Other and Corporate EBITDA, and consolidated assets:

	Year ended December 31, 2009	Successor Year ended December 31, 2008	Period from September 25, 2007 through December 31, 2007	Predecessor Period from January 1, 2007 through September 24, 2007
Segment revenues from external customers	49%	48%	50%	52%
Segment EBITDA	56%	55%	57%	58%
Assets (at December 31) (1)	64%	55%	45%	

(1) Segment assets in the successor periods were impacted by purchase accounting for the merger. Assets at December 31, 2008 were additionally impacted by a goodwill impairment recorded in the fourth quarter of 2008 as described in Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, of this Form 10-K.

Description of Retail and Alliance Services Segment Operations

In the Retail and Alliance Services segment, revenues are derived primarily from providing merchant acquiring and processing services, prepaid services and check verification, settlement and guarantee services. Retail and Alliance Services businesses facilitate the acceptance of consumer transactions at the point of sale, whether it is a transaction at a physical merchant location or over the internet. A brief explanation of the segment service and product offerings is presented below.

Merchant acquiring and processing services

Merchant acquiring services facilitate the merchants ability to accept credit, debit, stored-value and loyalty cards by authorizing, capturing and settling the merchants transactions. Acquiring services also provide POS devices and other equipment necessary to capture merchant transactions. A majority of these services are offered

to the merchants through contractual alliance arrangements primarily with financial institutions, relationships with independent sales organizations and other referral/sales partners. The segment s processing services include authorization, transaction capture, settlement, chargeback handling, and internet-based transaction processing. The vast majority of these services pertain to transactions in which consumer payments to merchants are made through a card association (such as Visa or MasterCard), a debit network, or another payment network (such as Discover).

Revenues are generated from, among other things:

Discount fees charged to a merchant, net of credit card interchange and assessment fees charged by the bankcard associations or payment networks (Visa, MasterCard or Discover). The discount fee is typically either a percentage of the credit card transaction or the interchange fee plus a fixed dollar amount;

Processing fees charged to unconsolidated alliances discussed below;

Processing fees charged to merchant acquirers who have outsourced their transaction processing to the Company;

Selling and leasing POS devices; and

Debit network fees.

The items listed above are included in the Company s consolidated revenues and, for equity earnings from unconsolidated alliances, the Equity earnings in affiliates, net line item in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. The Retail and Alliance Services segment revenue and EBITDA are presented using proportionate consolidation. In addition, segment revenue excludes debit network fees and other reimbursable items.

Retail and Alliance Services provides merchant acquiring and processing services, prepaid services and check verification, guarantee and settlement services to merchants operating in approximately 4.0 million locations across the U.S. Retail and Alliance Services provides full service merchant processing primarily on Visa and MasterCard transactions and PIN-debit at the point of sale.

Growth in the Retail and Alliance Services business is derived from entering into new merchant relationships, new and enhanced product and service offerings, cross selling products and services into existing relationships, the shift of consumer spending to increased usage of electronic forms of payment and the strength of FDC s alliances and relationships with banks and other entities. The Company s alliance structures take on different forms, including consolidated subsidiaries, equity method investments and revenue sharing arrangements. Under the alliance and referral programs, the alliance/referral partners typically act as a merchant referral source. The Company benefits by providing processing services for the alliance/referral partners and their merchant customers. Both the Company and the alliance may provide management, sales, marketing, and other administrative services. The alliance strategy could be affected by further consolidation among financial institutions.

The Company s strategy with banks, independent sales organizations and referral/sales partners provides the Company with broad geographic coverage, regionally and nationally, as well as a presence in various industries. The alliance/referral partner structure allows the Company to be the processor for multiple financial institutions, any one of which may be selected by the merchant as their bank partner. Additionally, bank partners provide brand loyalty and a distribution channel through their branch networks which increases merchant retention.

There are a number of different entities involved in a merchant transaction including the cardholder, card issuer, card association, merchant, merchant acquirer, electronic processor for credit and signature debit transactions, and debit network for PIN-debit transactions. The card issuer is the financial institution that issues

credit or debit cards, authorizes transactions after determining whether the cardholder has sufficient available credit or funds for the transaction, and provides funds for the transaction. Some of these functions may be performed by an electronic processor (such as the Financial Services business) on behalf of the issuer. The card association is Visa or MasterCard, a debit network (such as STAR Network) or another payment network (such as Discover) that routes the transactions between the Company and the card issuer. The merchant is a business from which a product or service is purchased by a cardholder. The acquirer (such as the Company or one of its alliances) contracts with merchants to facilitate their acceptance of cards. A merchant acquirer may do its own processing or, more commonly, may outsource those functions to an electronic processor such as the Retail and Alliance Services segment. The acquirer/processor serves as an intermediary between the merchant and the card issuer by:

- (1) Obtaining authorization from the card issuer through a card association or debit network;
- (2) Transmitting the transaction to the card issuer through the applicable card association, payment network or debit network; and
- (3) Paying the merchant for the transaction. The Company typically receives the funds from the issuer via the card association, payment network or debit network prior to paying the merchant.

A transaction occurs when a cardholder purchases something from a merchant who has contracted with the Company, an alliance partner or a processing customer. When the merchant swipes the card through the POS terminal (which is often sold or leased, and serviced by the Company), the Company obtains authorization for the transaction from the card issuer through the card association, payment network or debit network, verifying that the cardholder has sufficient credit or adequate funds for the transaction. Once the card issuer approves the transaction, the Company or the alliance acquires the transaction from the merchant and then transmits it to the applicable debit network, payment network or card association, which then routes the transaction information to the card issuer. Upon receipt of the transaction, the card issuer delivers funds to the Company via the card association, payment network or debit network. Generally, the Company funds the merchant after receiving the money from the card association, payment network or debit network. Each participant in the transaction receives compensation for processing the transaction. For example, in a transaction using a Visa or MasterCard for \$100.00 with an interchange rate of 1.5%, the card issuer will fund the association \$98.50 and bill the cardholder \$100.00 on its monthly statement. The card association will retain assessment fees of approximately \$0.10 and forward \$98.40 to the Company. The Company will retain, for example, \$0.40 and pay the merchant \$98.00. The \$1.50 retained by the card issuer is referred to as interchange and it, like assessment fees, is set by the card association. The \$0.40 is the merchant discount and is negotiated between the merchant and the merchant acquirer.

The Company and its alliances, as merchant acquirers/processors, have certain contingent liabilities for the transactions acquired from merchants. This contingent liability arises in the event of a billing dispute between the merchant and a cardholder that is ultimately resolved in the cardholder s favor. In such a case, the transaction is charged back to the merchant and the disputed amount is credited or otherwise refunded to the cardholder. The Company may, however, collect this amount from the card association if the amount was disputed in error. If the Company or the alliance is unable to collect this amount from the merchant, due to the merchant s insolvency or other reasons, the Company or the alliance will bear the loss for the amount of the refund paid to the cardholder. In most cases, this contingent liability situation is unlikely to arise because most products or services are delivered when purchased, and credits are issued on returned items. However, where the product or service is not provided until sometime following the purchase (e.g., airline or cruise ship tickets), the risk is greater. The Company often mitigates its risk by obtaining collateral from merchants considered higher risk because they have a time delay in the delivery of services, operate in industries that experience chargebacks or are less creditworthy.

Prepaid services

First Data Prepaid Services manages prepaid stored-value card issuance and processing services (i.e. gift cards) for retailers and others. The full-service stored-value/gift card program offers transaction processing

services, card issuance and customer service for over 200 national brands and several thousand small and mid-tier merchants. The Company also provides program management and processing services for association-branded, bank-issued, open loop, stored-value, reloadable and one time prepaid card products.

EFS Transportation Services provides payment processing, settlement and specialized reporting services for transportation companies and owns and operates ATMs at truck stops. EFS Transportation Services is a closed loop payment processing system for transportation companies in the U.S. and Canada. Its products offer truck drivers a convenient way to purchase fuel, access cash and pay for repairs while on the road. Transportation companies use the processing system to manage their business daily through the internet or real time via a direct connection to a host.

Money Network offers prepaid products to address the needs of employers, employees, merchants and unbanked individuals. Money Network provides electronic payroll distribution solutions that reduce or eliminate an employer s expense associated with traditional paper paychecks as well as other prepaid retail solutions.

Check verification, settlement and guarantee services

TeleCheck offers check verification, settlement and guarantee services using the Company s proprietary database system to assist merchants in deciding whether accepting checks at the point-of-sale is a reasonable risk, or, further, to guarantee checks presented to merchants if they are approved. These services include risk management services, which utilize software, information and analysis to assist the merchant in the decision process and include identity fraud prevention and reduction. Revenues are earned primarily by charging merchant fees for check verification or guarantee services.

The majority of the Company s services involve providing check guarantee services for checks received by merchants. Under the guarantee service, when a merchant receives a check in payment for goods and services, the transaction is submitted to and analyzed by the Company. The Company either accepts or declines the check for warranty coverage under its guarantee service. If the Company approves the check for warranty coverage and the merchant accepts the check, the merchant will either deposit the check in its bank account or process it for settlement through the Company s Electronic Check Acceptance service. If the check is returned unpaid by the merchant s bank and the returned check meets the requirements for warranty coverage, the Company is required to purchase the check from the merchant at its face value. The Company then owns the purchased check and pursues collection of the check from the check writer. As a result, the Company bears the risk of loss if the Company is unable to collect the returned check from the check writer. The Company earns a fee for each check it guarantees, which generally is determined as a percentage of the check amount.

The Company s Electronic Check Acceptance service, which converts a paper check written at the point of sale into an electronic item, enables funds to be deposited electronically to the merchant s account and deducted electronically from the check writer s account.

Under the verification service, when a merchant receives a check in payment for goods or services, the transaction is submitted to and analyzed by the Company, which will either recommend the merchant accept or decline the check. If the merchant accepts the check, the merchant will deposit the check in its bank account. If the check is returned unpaid by the merchant s bank, the Company is not required to purchase the check from the merchant and the merchant bears all risk of loss on the check. The Company earns a fee for each check submitted for verification, which is generally a fixed amount per check.

Retail and Alliance Services Segment Competition

The Company s Retail and Alliance Services business competes with several service providers and financial institutions that provide these services to their merchant customers. In many cases, the merchant alliances also compete against each other for the same business. The check guarantee and verification products compete principally with the products of two other national companies.

The most significant competitive factors relate to price, brand, strength of financial institution partnership, breadth of features and functionality, scalability and servicing capability. The Retail and Alliance Services segment is further impacted by large merchant and large bank consolidation, card association business model expansion, and the expansion of new payment methods and devices.

In both the Retail and Alliance Services and Financial Services segments, the card associations and payment networks Visa, MasterCard and Discover are increasingly offering products and services that compete with the Company s products and services.

Retail and Alliance Services Seasonality

Retail and Alliance Services revenues and earnings are impacted by the volume of consumer usage of credit cards, debit cards, stored value cards and checks written at the point of sale. Retail and Alliance Services generally experiences increased POS activity during the traditional holiday shopping period in the fourth quarter, the back-to-school buying period in the third quarter, and around other nationally recognized holidays.

Retail and Alliance Services Geographic Mix and Revenues

Revenues from external customers for the Retail and Alliance Services segment are substantially all earned in the U.S. Merchant revenues outside of the U.S. are managed and reported by the Company s International segment. Within the U.S., revenues from external customers are spread across the country since Retail and Alliance Services has merchant customers and alliance partners across geographic regions and a large percentage of its transactions occur at national merchants.

Retail and Alliance Services Significant Customers

The Retail and Alliance Services segment does not have any individually significant customers; however, the Company has two significant merchant alliance relationships with financial institutions. A third significant merchant alliance was terminated on November 1, 2008 as discussed in Note 4 of the Company s Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Financial Services Segment

The Financial Services segment is comprised of:

- (1) Credit and retail card processing services;
- (2) Debit network and processing services;
- (3) Output services; and
- (4) Other services including remittance processing.

Financial Services segment revenues from external customers, segment EBITDA, and assets represent the following percentages of total segment and All Other and Corporate revenues from external customers, total segment and All Other and Corporate EBITDA and consolidated assets:

	Year ended December 31, 2009	Successor Year ended December 31, 2008	Period from September 25, 2007 through December 31, 2007	Predecessor Period from January 1, 2007 through September 24, 2007
Segment revenues from external customers	22%	22%	22%	24%

Segment EBITDA	31%	29%	29%	31%
Assets (at December 31) (1)	13%	14%	14%	

(1) Segment assets in the successor periods were impacted by purchase accounting for the merger. Assets at December 31, 2008 were additionally impacted by a goodwill impairment recorded in the fourth quarter of 2008 as described in Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, of this Form 10-K.

Description of Financial Services Segment Operations

Financial Services provides issuer card and network solutions for credit, retail and debit card processing, debit network services (including the STAR network), output services to financial institutions and other organizations offering credit, debit and retail cards to consumers and businesses to manage customer accounts. Financial services also offers payment management solutions for recurring bill payment and services to improve customer communications, billing, online banking and consumer bill payment. Revenue and profit growth in these businesses is derived from retaining and growing the core business and improving the overall cost structure. Growing the core business comes primarily from an increase in debit and credit card usage, growth from existing clients and sales to new clients and the related account conversions.

The Company has relationships and many long-term customer contracts with card issuers providing credit and retail card processing, output services for printing and embossing items, debit card processing services and STAR Network services. These contracts generally require a notice period prior to the end of the contract if a client chooses not to renew. Additionally, some contracts may allow for early termination upon the occurrence of certain events such as a change in control. The termination fees paid upon the occurrence of such events are designed primarily to cover balance sheet exposure related to items such as capitalized conversion costs or signing bonuses associated with the contract and, in some cases, may cover a portion of lost future revenue and profit. Although these contracts may be terminated upon certain occurrences, the contracts provide the segment with a steady revenue stream since a vast majority of the contracts are honored through the contracted expiration date.

Credit and retail card issuing and processing services

Credit and retail card issuing and processing services provide outsourcing services to financial institutions and other issuers of cards, such as consumer finance companies and retailers. Financial Services clients include a wide variety of banks, savings and loan associations, group service providers, retailers and credit unions. Services provided include, among other things, account maintenance, transaction authorizing and posting, fraud and risk management services and settlement.

The Company provides services throughout the period of each card s use, starting from a card-issuing client processing an application for a card. Services may include processing the card application, initiating service for the cardholder, processing each card transaction for the issuing retailer or financial institution and accumulating the card s transactions. The Company s fraud management services monitor the unauthorized use of cards which have been reported to be lost, stolen, or which exceed credit limits. The Company s fraud detection systems help identify fraudulent transactions by monitoring each cardholder s purchasing patterns and flagging unusual purchases. Other services provided include customized communications to cardholders, information verification associated with granting credit, debt collection, and customer service.

Revenues for credit and retail card issuing and processing services are derived from fees payable under contracts that depend primarily on the number of cardholder accounts on file. More revenue is derived from active accounts (those accounts on file that had a balance or any monetary posting or authorization activity during the month) than inactive accounts.

Debit network and processing services

The Company provides STAR Network access, PIN-debit and signature debit card processing services and ATM processing services, such as transaction routing, authorization, and settlement as well as ATM management

and monitoring. The STAR Network represents a telecommunications network which is connected to thousands of financial institutions, merchants, payment processors, ATM processors, and card processors that participate in the network. In the merchant acquiring process flow described above in the Retail and Alliance Services segment discussion, STAR Network represents a debit network. When a merchant acquirer or ATM owner acquires a STAR Network transaction, it sends the transaction to the network switch, which is operated by the Company, which in turn routes the transaction to the appropriate participant for authorization. To be routed through the STAR Network switch, a transaction must be initiated with a card participating in the STAR Network at an ATM or POS terminal also participating in the STAR Network. STAR Network s fees differ from those presented in the example above in the Retail and Alliance Services segment description in that the debit network charges less for PIN-debit transactions than do the card associations for credit and signature debit since there is substantially less risk involved in the PIN-debit transaction because PIN authentication is generally required and transactions are not approved unless there are sufficient funds in the customer's bank account.

Revenue related to the STAR Network and debit card and ATM processing services is derived from fees payable under contracts but are driven more by monetary transactions processed rather than by accounts on file. The Company provides services which are driven by client transactions and are separately priced and negotiated with clients. In a situation in which a PIN-secured debit transaction uses the Company s debit network and the Company is the debit card processor for the financial institution as well as the processor for the merchant, the Company receives: (1) a fee from the card issuing financial institution for running the transaction through the STAR Network switch, recognized in the Financial Services segment; (2) a fee from the card issuer for obtaining the authorization, recognized in the Financial Services segment; (3) a fee from the merchant for acquiring the transaction, which is recognized in the Retail and Alliance Services segment; and (4) a network acquirer fee from the merchant for accessing the STAR Network, which is recognized in the Financial Services segment. There are other possible configurations of transactions that result in the Company receiving multiple fees for a transaction, depending on the role the Company plays.

Output services

Output services consist of statement and letter printing, card embossing and mailing services. Services are provided to organizations that process accounts on the Company s platform as described above and for clients that process accounts on alternative platforms. The Company provides these services primarily through in-house facilities. Revenues for output services are derived primarily on a per piece basis and consist of fees for the production and materials related to finished products. The mailing services drive a majority of the Company s postage revenue.

Other services

Other services consist of the Company s remittance processing and other services. The remittance processing business processes mail-in payments for third party organizations. Revenues for remittance processing services are derived primarily on a per transaction basis and consist of fees for processing consumer payments. Other services consist primarily of on-line banking and bill payment services.

Financial Services Pipeline

During 2009, the Company converted approximately 10.7 million accounts to its system. The pipeline at December 31, 2009 was approximately 2.8 million accounts, which are primarily credit accounts. The Company expects to convert these accounts in 2010.

Financial Services Segment Competition

The Company s Financial Services segment competes with several other third-party card processors and debit networks in the U.S., as well as financial institutions with in-house operations to manage card issuance and maintenance. The Company also faces significant competition from regional and national operators of debit networks.

The most significant competitive factors are price, system performance and reliability, breadth of features and functionality, disaster recovery capabilities and business continuity preparedness, data security, scalability, and flexibility of infrastructure and servicing capability. The Financial Services business is further impacted by financial institution consolidation.

In both the Retail and Alliance Services and Financial Services segments, the card associations and payment networks Visa, MasterCard and Discover are increasingly offering products and services that compete with the Company s products and services.

Financial Services Seasonality

Debit processing and STAR Network revenues and earnings are impacted by the volume of consumer usage of debit cards at the point of sale. Such volumes are generally impacted by increased POS activity during the traditional holiday shopping period in the fourth quarter, the back-to-school buying period in the third quarter, and around other nationally recognized holidays.

Financial Services Geographic Mix and Revenues

Revenues from external customers for the Financial Services segment are substantially all earned in the U.S. Card issuing revenues outside of the U.S. are reported by the Company s International segment. Within the U.S., revenues from external customers are geographically dispersed throughout the country.

Financial Services Significant Customers

No individual customer makes up more than 10% of the Financial Services segment revenue.

International Segment

The International segment is comprised of:

Credit, retail, debit and prepaid card processing;

Merchant acquiring and processing; and

ATM and POS processing, driving, acquiring and switching services.

International segment revenues from external customers, segment EBITDA and assets represent the following percentages of total segment and All Other and Corporate revenues from external customers, total segment and All Other and Corporate EBITDA and consolidated assets:

	Year ended December 31, 2009	Successor Year ended December 31, 2008	Period from September 25, 2007 through December 31, 2007	Predecessor Period from January 1, 2007 through September 24, 2007
Segment revenues from external customers	25%	25%	25%	22%
Segment EBITDA	19%	17%	17%	15%
Assets (at December 31) (1)	15%	15%	12%	

(1) Segment assets in the successor periods were impacted by purchase accounting for the merger. Assets at December 31, 2008 were additionally impacted by a goodwill impairment recorded in the fourth quarter of 2008 as described in Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, of this Form 10-K.

The merchant acquiring and card issuing services provided by the International segment are similar in nature to the services described above in the Retail and Alliance Services and Financial Services segments other than they include substantially all the services provided outside of the U.S. For a description of the International segment s merchant acquiring and card issuing businesses refer to the Retail and Alliance Services and Financial Services segment descriptions provided above.

International Pipeline

During 2009 the Company converted approximately 2.6 million accounts to its systems. The pipeline at December 31, 2009 was approximately 5 million accounts, which are primarily credit accounts. The Company expects to convert these accounts in 2010.

International Segment Competition and Seasonality

Competition and seasonality within the International segment is similar to that of the Retail and Alliance Services and Financial Services segments for the respective product and service offerings and also includes third-party software providers. See discussions above. A noted difference from the U.S. operations is that generally there are more and smaller competitors because of the International segment s global span.

International Geographic Mix

The following countries accounted for more than 10% of the segment s revenues from external customers for the periods presented:

	Year ended December 31, 2009	Successor Year ended December 31, 2008	Period from September 25, 2007 through December 31, 2007	Predecessor Period from January 1, 2007 through September 24, 2007
United Kingdom	22%	23%	25%	25%
Germany	17%	17%	20%	20%
Australia	13%	12%	13%	15%

No individual foreign country was material to the Company s consolidated revenues.

International Significant Customers

No individual customer makes up more than 10% of the International segment revenue.

Integrated Payment Systems Segment

The principle business in the Integrated Payment Systems segment is official check services.

The Company is gradually exiting the official check line of business. The majority of the clients of this business deconverted during 2008 and there will be no new official check and money order business beyond April 2010. IPS will continue to use its licenses to offer payment services that fall under state and federal regulations and the business will continue to operate in a much reduced capacity after all of the client deconversions as outstanding official check and money order clearance activity winds down.

On October 1, 2009, IPS assigned and transferred to Western Union, among other things, certain assets, liabilities and equipment used by IPS to issue retail money orders. As of the closing date noted above, Western Union assumed IPS s role as issuer of the retail money orders, however, IPS continues to process money orders for Western Union when they are presented for payment.

Integrated Payment Systems segment revenues from external customers excluding an adjustment to reflect 2007 segment revenue on a pretax equivalent basis, segment EBITDA and assets represent the following percentages of total segment and All Other and Corporate revenues from external customers, total segment and All Other and Corporate EBITDA and consolidated assets:

	Year ended December 31, 2009	Successor Year ended December 31, 2008	Period from September 25, 2007 through December 31, 2007	Predecessor Period from January 1, 2007 through September 24, 2007
Segment revenue from external customers	0%	1%	(1)%	(2)%
Segment EBITDA (1)	(1)%	0%	3%	2%
Assets (at December 31)	3%	11%	25%	

(1) Represents IPS segment EBITDA excluding the adjustment for the wind-down of the official check business as a percentage of total segment and All Other and Corporate EBITDA also excluding such adjustment. IPS segment EBITDA including such adjustment was zero for all periods presented.

Official checks

IPS issues official checks, which are sold by agents that are financial institutions. Official checks serve as an alternative to a bank s own items such as cashiers or bank checks.

An official check transaction is initiated when a consumer or business procures an official check from one of the Company s agents. The agent generally is required to remit the funds collected from the consumer to IPS the same day or the following day. IPS pays some of its agents commissions based on short-term variable interest rates and the balance of outstanding official checks attributable to the individual agent. IPS nets the commissions paid to agents against the revenues it earns from its investments.

The official check service generates revenue primarily through the ability to invest funds pending settlement. IPS invests these funds in investments with an objective to minimize its exposure to credit risks. These investments were primarily in short-term taxable investments in 2009 as well as some corporate bonds and student loan auction-rate securities that have been classified as long-term. Prior to 2008, these investments were primarily in tax exempt securities.

Integrated Payment Systems Significant Customers

During 2009, IPS had a significant relationship with one client.

All Other and Corporate

The remainder of the Company s business units are grouped in the All Other and Corporate category, which includes First Data Government Solutions (FDGS) and smaller businesses and corporate operations.

FDGS operates payment systems and related technologies in the government sector. For instance, FDGS provides electronic tax payment processing services for the Electronic Federal Tax Payment System.

Corporate operations include administrative and shared service functions such as the executive group, legal, tax, treasury, internal audit, accounting, human resources, information technology and procurement. Costs incurred by corporate that are directly related to a segment are allocated to the respective segment. Administrative and shared service costs are retained by Corporate.

All Other and Corporate Competition

The operations within All Other and Corporate have various competitors. Any single competitor would not have a material impact on the Company.

All Other and Corporate Significant Customers

During 2009, the Company had a significant relationship with one client whose revenues represented approximately 33% of the All Other and Corporate segment revenue for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Intellectual Property

The Company owns many trademarks, trade names, patents and other intellectual property that are important to its future success. The only intellectual property rights which are individually material to the Company are the FIRST DATA trademark and trade name and the STAR trademark and trade name. The STAR trademark and trade name are used in the Financial Services segment. The FIRST DATA trademark and trade name are associated with quality and reliable electronic commerce and payments solutions. Financial institutions and merchants associate the STAR trademark and trade name with quality and reliable debit network services and processing services. Loss of the proprietary use of the FIRST DATA or STAR trademarks and trade names or a diminution in the perceived quality associated with these names could harm the growth of the Company s businesses. Also important, but not individually material, are the Vision*PLUS* and FirstVision trademarks and software. Vision*PLUS* and FirstVision are recognized globally as a quality software product and card processing system, respectively. The software is important to the Company s global expansion.

The Company uses a combination of technologies (including proprietary technology and technology obtained from third parties) to provide its products and services to its customers, and to remain competitive. The Company has various programs and procedures to protect its patents and other intellectual property rights. The patent protection associated with the Company s systems and software expires at different times over the next one to 20 years.

Employees and Labor

At December 31, 2009, the Company employed approximately 24,900 employees, approximately 96% of which were full-time employees. The majority of the employees of the Company s subsidiaries outside of the U.S. are subject to the terms of individual employment agreements. One of the Company s wholly owned subsidiaries has approximately 1,700 employees in the United Kingdom, about 25% of whom are members of Unite trade union. Employees of the Company s subsidiaries in Vienna, Austria; Frankfurt, Germany; Nürnberg, Germany are also represented by local works councils and a portion of the Frankfurt workforce is covered by a union contract. Certain employees of the Company s Korean subsidiary are represented by a Labor-Management council. Employees in certain other countries are also covered by the terms of industry-specific national collective agreements. None of the Company s employees are otherwise represented by any labor organization in the U.S. The Company believes that its relations with its employees and the labor organizations identified above are in good standing.

Available Information

FDC s principal executive offices are located at 5565 Glenridge Connector, N.E, Suite 2000, Atlanta, Georgia 30342, telephone (404) 890-2000. The Company s annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports are available free of charge to shareholders and other interested parties through the About First Data, Investor Relations portion of the Company s web site, www.firstdata.com, as soon as reasonably practical after they are filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). The SEC maintains a web site, www.sec.gov, which contains reports and other information filed electronically with the SEC by the Company. The Company s Audit Committee Charter,

Governance Compensation and Nominations Committee Charter, Technology and Investments Committee Charter, and Code of Conduct for Senior Financial Officers are available without charge through the About First Data, Investor Relations, Corporate Governance portion of the Company s web site, listed above, or by writing to the attention of Investor Relations at the address listed above.

Executive Officers of the Company

See Item 10 of this Form 10-K.

Government Regulations

Various aspects of the Company s service areas are subject to U.S. federal, state and local regulation, as well as regulation outside the U.S. Failure to comply with regulations may result in the suspension or revocation of licenses or registrations, the limitation, suspension or termination of service, and/or the imposition of civil and criminal penalties, including fines. Certain of the Company s services also are subject to rules promulgated by various payment networks, such as Visa, MasterCard and Discover, as more fully described below.

Association and Network Rules

A number of the Company s subsidiaries are subject to payment network rules of MasterCard, Visa and other associations. First Data Loan Company Canada (FDLCC) is a member of MasterCard and Visa and First Data Cono Sur, S.A. is a member of MasterCard in Argentina and Uruguay and both subsidiaries are subject to the rules of such associations. First Data Canada Merchant Solutions ULC is a member of Interac and subject to its rules and First Data Global Services Limited is a subscriber to PULSE and is therefore subject to rules applicable to its members. First Data Resources, LLC, First Data Merchant Services Corporation, FDRL, First Data Deutschland, First Data Hellas Processing Services and Holdings S.A., First Data Latvia, First Data Lithuania, First Data Polska S.A., First Data Slovakia, First Data Austria, First Data Resources Australia Limited (FDRA), BWA Merchant Services Pty Limited (BWAMS), Omnipay Limited, First Data Acquisition Corp., First Data Merchant Services Mexico, S. de R.L. de C.V., First Merchant Service GmbH, AIB Merchant Services, European Merchant Services, BNL Positivity, Merchant Solutions Private Limited (as incorporated in Singapore, Hong Kong, Bangladesh and Sri Lanka), Merchant Solutions Private (Macau) Limited, Merchant Solutions Sdn Bhd, POS Merchant Solutions (Shanghai) Consulting Co. Ltd and STAR Network are registered with Visa and/or MasterCard as service providers for member institutions and application for the registration of ICICI Merchant Services Private Limited has been made. In those situations where the Company serves as a service provider to member institutions, the Company is not an issuer or an acquirer under Visa s and MasterCard s rules.

Various subsidiaries of the Company are also processor level members of numerous debit and electronic benefits transaction (EBT) networks, such as Star Networks, Inc., Star Processing Inc., First Data Merchant Services Corporation, and Concord Transaction Services, LLC, or are otherwise subject to various network rules in connection with processing services and other services they provide to their customers and a number of the Company is subsidiaries are providing processing and other services related to ATM deployment to customers. As such, the Company is subject to applicable card association, network and national scheme rules, which could subject the Company to a variety of fines or penalties that may be levied by the card associations, banking associations or networks for certain acts and/or omissions by the Company, its sponsorees, acquirer customers, processing customers and/or merchants. The Company mitigates this risk by maintaining an extensive card association and network compliance function. The Company is also subject to network operating rules promulgated by the National Automated Clearing House Association relating to payment transactions processed by the Company using the Automated Clearing House Network and to various state and Federal laws regarding such operations, including laws pertaining to EBT.

Cashcard Australia Limited (Cashcard) is a member of the Australian Consumer Electronic Clearing System (CECS), which is a debit payment system regulated by network operating rules established and administered by Australian Payments Clearing Association Limited and which facilitates the clearing and

settlement of ATM and Electronic Funds Transfer at Point of Sale (EFTPOS) payments in Australia. Cashcard is also a member of the ATM Access Company Limited and the EFTPOS Access Company Limited which respectively administers reciprocal access and interchange arrangements for ATMs and EFTPOS in Australia. The network operating rules, ATM Access Code and EFTPOS Access Code impose a variety of sanctions, including suspension or termination of membership and fines for non-compliance. Cashcard also operates its own network of members, regulated by rules promulgated by Cashcard, which facilitates access to CECS for Cashcard s member institutions. To enable Cashcard to settle in CECS direct with banks and financial institutions, Cashcard maintains an Exchange Settlement Account (ESA) which is supervised by the Reserve Bank of Australia through its delegate, the Australian Prudential Regulatory Authority (APRA), and which requires Cashcard to adhere to conditions imposed by APRA, such as maintaining a minimum balance in the ESA.

The Company s subsidiary in Germany, TeleCash GmbH & Co. KG (TeleCash), is certified and regulated as a processor for domestic German debit card transactions by the Zentraler Kreditausschuss (ZKA), the German banking association. Failure to comply with the technical requirements set forth by the ZKA may result in suspension or termination of services.

Banking Regulation

Because a number of the Company subsidiary businesses, including card issuer processing, merchant processing and STAR Network businesses as well as those subsidiaries engaged in the business of ATM deployment, provide data processing services for financial institutions, they are subject to examination by the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council, an interagency body comprised of the federal bank and thrift regulators and the National Credit Union Association and national regulatory bodies.

FDRL in the United Kingdom holds a license from the Financial Services Authority (FSA). The FSA is the licensing and regulatory authority for all U.K. financial services, including banking, but FDRL s license is limited to acting as an insurance intermediary in connection with selling card payment protection insurance to its issuer customers cardholders.

In the European Union, Directive 2007/60 EG, the Payment Services Directive, was released by the European Parliament and by the Council on November 13, 2007, setting a framework for future regulation of bodies and corporations such as the national central banks, financial institutions, e-money institutes and payment institutions. The Payment Services Directive was implemented in most EU member states via national legislation effective November 1, 2009. As a result of the implementation of the Payment Services Directive, a number of the Company s subsidiaries have applied for a Payment Institution License which would subject these entities to regulation and oversight in the applicable member state. First Merchant Processing (Ireland) Limited and First Merchant Processing (UK) have been granted Payment Institution Licenses by the Irish Financial Regulator. EMS has submitted its Payment Institution License application to the Dutch National Bank and First Merchant Solutions GmbH have submitted its Payment Institution License application to the BaFin in Germany.

FDLCC, through which the Company conducts some of its merchant acquiring activities in Canada, is a Canadian loan company subject to regulation, examination and oversight by the Office of the Superintendent of Financial Institutions and to various provincial registration and licensing requirements. First Data Trust Company, LLC (FDTC), engages in trust activities previously conducted by the trust department of a former banking subsidiary of the Company. FDTC is subject to regulation, examination and oversight by the Division of Banking of the Colorado Department of Regulatory Agencies. These financial institution subsidiaries are also subject to various national and local banking and consumer protection laws and regulations that apply to the activities they conduct. Since FDTC is not a bank under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended (BHCA), and FDLCC does not operate any banking offices in the U.S. or do business in the U.S., except such business as may be incidental to its activities outside the U.S., the Company is affiliation with FDTC and FDLCC does not cause it to be regulated as a bank holding company or financial holding company under the BHCA.

TeleCheck Payment Systems Limited in Australia holds an Australian Financial Services License under Chapter 7 of the Corporations Act, which regulates the provision of a broad range of financial services in Australia. The license, issued by the Australian Securities and Investments Commission, entitles the Australian operations of TeleCheck to deal in and provide general financial product advice about its check guarantee and check verification product (which falls within the definition of a risk management product under the legislation). The License and the Act requires that TeleCheck s Australian operations issue product documents that comply with specific content requirements and follow prescribed procedures failing which penalties apply.

First Data Slovakia is registered with the National Bank of Slovakia as an authorized participant to the Slovak payment system.

First Data Polska S.A. is regulated as a settlement agent by the National Bank of Poland.

As a result of a recent change in legislation in Germany, the provision of factoring services to financial institutions as historically provided by First Data Deutschland GmbH has become regulated by the Federal Banking Supervision Agency (BaFin) and First Data Deutschland GmbH is now regulated by BaFin as financial services provider under the German Federal Banking Act.

Privacy and Information Security Regulations

Each of the Company s segments provides services that may be subject to various state, federal and foreign privacy laws and regulations. Relevant federal privacy laws include the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, which applies directly to a broad range of financial institutions and indirectly (or in some instances directly) to companies that provide services to financial institutions, and the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act, which applies directly to certain healthcare-related businesses and indirectly (or in some instances directly) to companies that provide services to such businesses. Relevant foreign privacy laws include Directive 95/46 EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 October 1995, as such directive is implemented in each member state of the European Union (however each member state has its own privacy laws which in some cases may be more restrictive than the Directive and impose additional duties on companies regarding handling/transfer of personal data); the Australian Privacy Act of 1988; and the Personal Information Protection and Electronic Documents Act in Canada. These laws and their implementing regulations restrict the collection, processing, storage, use and disclosure of personal information, requires notice to individuals of privacy practices and provides individuals with certain rights to prevent use and disclosure of protected information. These laws also impose requirements for safeguarding and proper destruction of personal information through the issuance of data security standards or guidelines. In addition, there are state laws restricting the ability to collect and utilize certain types of information such as Social Security and Driver's License Numbers, etc. Certain state laws impose similar privacy obligations as well as, in certain circumstances, obligations to provide notification to affected individuals, state officers and consumer reporting agencies, as well as businesses and governmental agencies that own data, of security breaches of computer data

Credit Reporting and Debt Collections Regulations

TeleCheck Services Inc. (TeleCheck) is subject to the Federal Fair Credit Reporting Act (FCRA) and various similar state laws based on TeleCheck s maintenance of a database containing the check-writing histories of consumers and the use of that information in connection with its check verification and guarantee services.

The collection business within TRS Recovery Services, Inc. (TRS) is subject to the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act and various similar state laws. TRS has licenses in a number of states in order to engage in collection in those states. FDRL has a license under the Consumer Credit Act to enable it to undertake collections activity on behalf of its card issuing customers through calls and letters to the debtors. First Data Deutschland and TeleCash in Germany each hold a license under the German Legal Services Act to undertake collections activities on behalf of its card issuing customers as well as against their own debtors.

TeleCheck or TRS may become subject to further regulation in the future as legislatures and government agencies, both federal and state, enact additional legislation or issue regulations aimed at regulating collection activities, the collection, storage and use of data and databases regarding consumers. In particular, laws regulating activities with respect to current or emerging technology such as the use of automated dialers or pre-recorded messaging or calls to cellular phones could impair the collection by TRS of returned checks, including those purchased under TeleCheck s guarantee services. Moreover, reducing or eliminating access to and use of information on drivers licenses, requiring blocking of access to credit reports or scores, mandating score or scoring methodology disclosure and proscribing the maintenance or use of consumer databases, including a consumer s rights to affect the usable content of databases, could reduce the effectiveness of TeleCheck s risk management tools or otherwise increase its costs of doing business. Such legislation could also affect the business of First Data Solutions, which provides access to non-FCRA data for identity verification and fraud-prevention purposes, by imposing new regulatory requirements or restricting the availability and completeness of consumer data.

In Australia, FDRA and BWA Merchant Services Pty. Ltd. are subject to the Privacy Act with respect to obtaining credit reports. No license is currently required but the Act regulates the persons to whom credit reports can be provided by credit reporting agencies and the uses and disclosures that can be made of the information contained in credit reports obtained about consumers.

In Greece, D.Man S.A., engages in debt collection activities and is subject to the provisions of the Greek law On the Regulation of Notification of Debtors for Overdue Debts Relating to Financial Products and registered as a Debt Notification Company under such law.

Anti-Money Laundering and Counter Terrorist Regulation

The Company s payment instrument businesses are subject to regulation by the U.S., including anti-money laundering laws and regulations, including the Bank Secrecy Act, as amended by the USA PATRIOT Act of 2001 (collectively, the BSA). The BSA, among other things, requires the issuers and sellers of money orders and official checks to develop and implement risk-based anti-money laundering programs, report large cash transactions and suspicious activity, and to maintain transaction records.

The Company is also subject to certain economic and trade sanctions programs that are administered by the Treasury Department's Office of Foreign Assets Control (OFAC) that prohibit or restrict transactions to or from or dealings with specified countries, their governments, and in certain circumstances, their nationals, and with individuals and entities that are specially-designated nationals of those countries, narcotics traffickers, and terrorists or terrorist organizations.

Similar anti-money laundering and counter terrorist financing and proceeds of crime laws apply to movements of currency and payments through electronic transactions and to dealings with persons specified in lists maintained by the country equivalents to the OFAC lists in several other countries and require specific data retention obligations to be observed by intermediaries in the payment process. The Company s businesses in those jurisdictions are subject to those data retention obligations.

The Company has developed and is enhancing global compliance programs to monitor and address legal and regulatory requirements and developments.

Payment Instrument Licensing and Regulation

The Company is subject to various U.S. federal, state and foreign laws and regulations governing the sale of payment instruments, such as official checks and money orders.

In the U.S., most states license issuers of payment instruments. Many states exercise authority over the operations of the Company s services related to the sale of payment instruments and, as part of this authority,

subject the Company to periodic examinations. Many states require, among other things, that proceeds from the sales of such instruments be invested in high-quality marketable securities prior to the settlement of the transactions. Such licensing laws also may cover matters such as regulatory approval of consumer forms, consumer disclosures and the filing of periodic reports by the licensee, and require the licensee to demonstrate and maintain levels of net worth. Many states also require issuers of payment instruments and their agents to comply with federal and/or state anti-money laundering laws and regulations.

Government agencies both inside and outside the U.S. may impose new or additional rules on sales of payment instruments, including regulations which (i) impose additional identification, reporting or recordkeeping requirements; (ii) limit the entities capable of providing the sale of payment instruments; and (iii) require additional consumer disclosures.

Escheat Regulations

The Company is subject to unclaimed or abandoned property (escheat) laws in the U.S. and abroad which require the Company to turn over to certain government authorities the property of others held by the Company that has been unclaimed for a specified period of time such as, in the Integrated Payment Systems segment, payment instruments that have not been presented for payment or, in the Retail and Alliance Services segment, account balances that cannot be returned to a merchant following discontinuation of its relationship with the Company. A number of the Company s subsidiaries hold property subject to escheat laws and the Company has an ongoing program to comply with those laws. The Company is subject to audit by individual U.S. states with regard to the Company s escheatment practices.

Other

Stored-value services offered to issuers by First Data Prepaid Services (FDPS) in the U.S., and by First Data's International businesses (First Data International) outside the U.S. are subject to various federal, state and foreign laws and regulations, which may include laws and regulations related to consumer and data protection, licensing, escheat, anti-money laundering, banking, trade practices and competition and wage and employment. For example, the Credit CARD Act of 2009 created new requirements applicable to general-use prepaid cards, store gift cards, and electronic gift certificates effective August 22, 2010, and the Federal Reserve Board published on November 20, 2009, a proposed rule to amend Regulation E with respect to such cards and electronic certificates. These laws and regulations are evolving, unclear and sometimes inconsistent and subject to judicial and regulatory challenge and interpretation, and therefore the extent to which these laws and rules have application to, and their impact on, FDPS, First Data International, financial institutions, merchants or others is in flux. At this time the Company is unable to determine the impact that the clarification of these laws and their future interpretations, as well as new laws, may have on FDPS, First Data International, financial institutions, merchants or others in a number of jurisdictions. These services may also be subject to the rules and regulations of the various international, domestic and regional schemes, Networks and Associations in which FDPS, First Data International and the card issuers participate. These schemes, Networks or Associations may, generally in their discretion, modify these rules and regulations and such modifications could also impact FDPS, First Data International, financial institutions, merchants and others.

New regulation of the payments industry in the U.S. and abroad that is applicable to the Company s customers could impact the Company as well. For example, the Federal Reserve Board has issued rules amending Regulation Z (rules implementing the Truth in Lending Act) that impose new restrictions on certain credit card practices and require increased consumer disclosure effective February 22, 2010 and July 1, 2010. As further example, the Canadian Department of Finance promulgated similar new rules (the Credit Business Practices Regulations) and rules amending the existing Cost of Borrowing Regulations with effective dates in 2010. In addition, the Housing Assistance Tax Act of 2008 included an amendment to the Internal Revenue Code that requires information returns to be made for each calendar year by merchant acquiring entities and third party settlement organizations with respect to payments made in settlement of payment card transactions and third

party payment network transactions occurring in that calendar year. This requirement to make information returns applies to returns for calendar years beginning after December 31, 2010. These new regulations may require the Company to incur additional costs to modify its systems so that the Company may provide compliant services but may also provide opportunities for the Company to offer additional revenue producing services to its customers.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

The following are certain risks that could affect the Company s business and its results of operations. The risks identified below are not all encompassing but should be considered in establishing an opinion of the Company s future operations.

The Company s substantial leverage could adversely affect its ability to raise additional capital to fund its operations, limit the Company s ability to react to changes in the economy or its industry, expose the Company to interest rate risk to the extent of its variable rate debt and prevent the Company from meeting its debt obligations.

The Company is highly leveraged. The Company s high degree of leverage could have important consequences, including:

increasing the Company s vulnerability to adverse economic, industry or competitive developments;

requiring a substantial portion of cash flow from operations to be dedicated to the payment of principal and interest on the Company s indebtedness, therefore reducing the Company s ability to use its cash flow to fund the Company s operations, capital expenditures and future business opportunities;

exposing the Company to the risk of increased interest rates because certain of its borrowings, including and most significantly borrowings under the Company s senior secured credit facilities, are at variable rates of interest;

making it more difficult for the Company to satisfy its obligations with respect to its indebtedness, and any failure to comply with the obligations of any of the Company s debt instruments, including restrictive covenants and borrowing conditions, could result in an event of default under the indenture governing the notes and the agreements governing such other indebtedness;

restricting the Company from making strategic acquisitions or causing the Company to make non-strategic divestitures;

making it more difficult for the Company to obtain network sponsorship and clearing services from financial institutions;

limiting the Company s ability to obtain additional financing for working capital, capital expenditures, product development, debt service requirements, acquisitions and general corporate or other purposes; and

limiting the Company s flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in the Company s business or market conditions and placing the Company at a competitive disadvantage compared to its competitors who are less highly leveraged and who, therefore, may be able to take advantage of opportunities that the Company s leverage prevents it from exploiting.

Despite the Company s high indebtedness level, the Company and its subsidiaries still may be able to incur significant additional amounts of debt, which could further exacerbate the risks associated with the Company s substantial indebtedness.

The Company and its subsidiaries may be able to incur substantial additional indebtedness in the future. Although the indentures governing the Company s senior notes, the Company s senior subordinated notes, and the senior PIK notes of First Data Holdings Inc.; the agreement governing the Company s senior unsecured debt;

and the Company s senior secured credit facilities contain restrictions on the incurrence of additional indebtedness, these restrictions are subject to a number of significant qualifications and exceptions, and under certain circumstances, the amount of indebtedness that could be incurred in compliance with these restrictions could be substantial. If new debt is added to the Company s and its subsidiaries existing debt levels, the related risks that the Company will face would increase.

Global economics, political and other conditions may adversely affect trends in consumer spending, which may adversely impact the Company's revenue and profitability.

The global electronic payments industry depends heavily upon the overall level of consumer, business and government spending. A sustained deterioration in the general economic conditions, particularly in the United States or Europe, or increases in interest rates in key countries in which the Company operates may adversely affect the Company s financial performance by reducing the number or average purchase amount of transactions involving payment cards. A reduction in the amount of consumer spending could result in a decrease of the Company s revenue and profits.

A further weakening in the economy could also force some retailers to close resulting in exposure to potential credit losses and further transaction declines and the Company earning less on transactions due also to a potential shift to large discount merchants. Additionally, credit card issuers have been reducing credit limits and are more selective with regard to whom they issue credit cards. A continuation or acceleration of the economic slowdown could adversely impact future revenues and profits of the Company and result in a downgrade of its debt ratings which may lead to termination or modification of certain contracts and make it more difficult for the Company to obtain new business.

Material breaches in security of the Company s systems may have a significant effect on the Company s business.

The uninterrupted operation of the Company s information systems and the confidentiality of the customer/consumer information that resides on such systems are critical to the successful operations of the Company s business. The Company has security, backup and recovery systems in place, as well as a business continuity plan to ensure the system will not be inoperable. The Company also has what it deems sufficient security around the system to prevent unauthorized access to the system. However, the Company s visibility in the global payments industry may attract hackers to conduct attacks on the Company s systems that could compromise the security of the Company s data. An information breach in the system and loss of confidential information such as credit card numbers and related information could have a longer and more significant impact on the business operations than a hardware failure. The loss of confidential information could result in losing the customers confidence and thus the loss of their business, as well as imposition of fines and damages.

Acquisitions and integrating such acquisitions create certain risks and may affect the Company s operating results.

The Company has been an active business acquirer both in the United States and internationally, and may continue to be active in the future. The acquisition and integration of businesses involves a number of risks. The core risks are in the areas of valuation (negotiating a fair price for the business based on inherently limited diligence) and integration (managing the complex process of integrating the acquired company s people, products, technology and other assets so as to realize the projected value of the acquired company and the synergies projected to be realized in connection with the acquisition). The Company, Bank of America, N.A. and Rockmount Investments, LLC recently formed Banc of America Merchant Services, LLC (BAMS). Processing, technology and operational synergies of BAMS are dependent upon the successful migration of merchant accounts to the Company. Any failure to migrate accounts or material adverse impact to merchants from potential conversion issues could negatively impact the Company s business and result in a reduction of the Company s revenue and profit.

In addition, international acquisitions often involve additional or increased risks including, for example:
managing geographically separated organizations, systems and facilities;
integrating personnel with diverse business backgrounds and organizational cultures;
complying with foreign regulatory requirements;
fluctuations in currency exchange rates;
enforcement of intellectual property rights in some foreign countries;
difficulty entering new foreign markets due to, among other things, customer acceptance and business knowledge of these new markets; and
general economic and political conditions. The process of integrating operations could cause an interruption of, or loss of momentum in, the activities of one or more of the Company s combined businesses and the possible loss of key personnel. The diversion of management s attention and any delays or difficulties encountered in connection with acquisitions and the integration of the two companies operations could have an adverse effect on the Company s business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects.
The Company s debt agreements contain restrictions that will limit the Company s flexibility in operating its business.
The indentures governing the Company s senior notes, the Company s senior subordinated notes, and the senior PIK notes of First Data Holdings Inc.; the agreement governing the Company s senior unsecured debt; and the Company s senior secured credit facilities contain various covenants that limit the Company s ability to engage in specified types of transactions. These covenants limit the Company s and it s restricted subsidiaries ability to, among other things:
incur additional indebtedness or issue certain preferred shares;
pay dividends on, repurchase or make distributions in respect of the Company s capital stock or make other restricted payments;
make certain investments;
sell certain assets;
create liens;
consolidate, merge, sell or otherwise dispose of all or substantially all of the Company s assets;

enter into certain transactions with the Company s affiliates; and

designate the Company s subsidiaries as unrestricted subsidiaries.

A breach of any of these covenants could result in a default under one or more of these agreements, including as a result of cross default provisions and, in the case of the revolving credit facility, permit the lenders to cease making loans to the Company. Upon the occurrence of an event of default under the Company s senior secured credit facilities, the lenders could elect to declare all amounts outstanding under the Company s senior secured credit facilities to be immediately due and payable and terminate all commitments to extend further credit. Such actions by those lenders could cause cross defaults under the Company s other indebtedness. If the Company was unable to repay those amounts, the lenders under the Company s senior secured credit facilities could proceed against the collateral granted to them to secure that indebtedness. The Company has pledged a significant portion of the Company s assets as collateral under the Company s senior secured credit facilities. If the lenders under the senior secured credit facilities accelerate the repayment of borrowings, the Company may not have sufficient assets to repay the Company s senior secured credit facilities as well as the Company s unsecured indebtedness.

The Company depends, in part, on its merchant relationships and alliances to grow the Company's Retail and Alliance Services business. If the Company is unable to maintain these relationships and alliances, the Company's business may be adversely affected.

Growth in the Company s Retail and Alliance Services business is derived primarily from acquiring new merchant relationships, new and enhanced product and service offerings, cross selling products and services into existing relationships, the shift of consumer spending to increased usage of electronic forms of payment and the strength of the Company s alliance partnerships with banks and financial institutions and other third parties. A substantial portion of the Company s business is conducted through alliances with banks and other institutions. The Company s alliance structures take on different forms, including consolidated subsidiaries, equity method investments and revenue sharing arrangements. Under the alliance program, the Company and a bank or other institution form an alliance, either contractually or through a separate legal entity. Merchant contracts may be contributed to the alliance by the Company and/or the bank or institution. The banks and other institutions generally provide card association sponsorship, clearing and settlement services. These institutions typically act as a merchant referral source when the institution has an existing banking or other relationship. The Company provides transaction processing and related functions. Both alliance partners may provide management, sales, marketing, and other administrative services. The alliance structure allows the Company to be the processor for multiple financial institutions, any one of which may be selected by the merchant as their bank partner. The Company relies on the continuing growth of its merchant relationships, alliances and other distribution channels. There can be no guarantee that this growth will continue. The loss of merchant relationships or alliance and financial institution partners could negatively impact the Company s business and result in a reduction of the Company s revenue and profit.

The Company relies on various financial institutions to provide clearing services in connection with its settlement activities. If the Company is unable to maintain clearing services with these financial institutions and is unable to find a replacement, the Company s business may be adversely affected.

The Company relies on various financial institutions to provide clearing services in connection with the settlement activities of the Company. If such financial institutions should stop providing clearing services the Company must find other financial institutions to provide those services. If the Company is unable to find a replacement financial institution the Company may no longer be able to provide processing services to certain customers which could negatively impact the revenue and earnings of the Company.

Future consolidation of client financial institutions or other client groups may adversely affect the Company s financial condition.

The Company has experienced the negative impact of the substantial bank industry consolidation in recent years. Bank industry consolidation impacts existing and potential clients in the Company s service areas, primarily in Financial Services and Retail and Alliance Services. The Company s alliance strategy could be negatively impacted as a result of consolidations, especially where the banks involved are committed to their internal merchant processing businesses that compete with the Company. Bank consolidation has led to an increasingly concentrated client base in the industry, resulting in a changing client mix for Financial Services as well as increased price compression. Further consolidation in the bank industry or other client base could have a negative impact on the Company.

The Company is subject to the credit risk that its merchants will be unable to satisfy obligations for which the Company may also be liable.

The Company is subject to the credit risk of its merchants being unable to satisfy obligations for which the Company also may be liable. For example, the Company and its merchant acquiring alliances are contingently liable for transactions originally acquired by the Company that are disputed by the card holder and charged back to the merchants. If the Company or the alliance are unable to collect this amount from the merchant, due to the

merchant s insolvency or other reasons, the Company or the alliance will bear the loss for the amount of the refund paid to the cardholder. The Company has an active program to manage its credit risk and often mitigates its risk by obtaining collateral. Notwithstanding the Company s program for managing its credit risk, it is possible that a default on such obligations by one or more of the Company s merchants could have a material adverse effect on the Company s business.

The Company s cost saving plans are based on assumptions that may prove to be inaccurate which may negatively impact the Company s operating results.

The Company is implementing cost improvement and cost containment programs across all of the Company s business segments. While the Company expects its cost saving initiatives to result in significant cost savings throughout the Company s organization, its estimated savings are based on several assumptions that may prove to be inaccurate, and as a result the Company cannot assure that it will realize these cost savings. The failure to achieve the Company s estimated cost savings would negatively affect its financial condition and results of operations.

The ability to adopt technology to changing industry and customer needs or trends may affect the Company's competitiveness or demand for the Company's products, which may adversely affect the Company's operating results.

Changes in technology may limit the competitiveness of and demand for the Company s services. The Company s businesses operate in industries that are subject to technological advancements, developing industry standards and changing customer needs and preferences. Also, the Company s customers continue to adopt new technology for business and personal uses. The Company must anticipate and respond to these industry and customer changes in order to remain competitive within the Company s relative markets. For example, the ability to adopt technological advancements surrounding point-of-sale (POS) technology available to merchants could have an impact on the Company s International and Retail and Alliance Services business. The Company s inability to respond to new competitors and technological advancements could impact all of the Company s businesses.

Changes in credit card association or other network rules or standards could adversely affect the Company s business.

In order to provide the Company s transaction processing services, several of the Company s subsidiaries are registered with Visa and MasterCard and other networks as members or service providers for member institutions. As such, the Company and many of its customers are subject to card association and network rules that could subject the Company or its customers to a variety of fines or penalties that may be levied by the card associations or networks for certain acts or omissions by the Company, acquirer customers, processing customers and merchants. Visa, MasterCard and other networks, some of which are the Company s competitors, set the standards with respect to which the Company must comply. The termination of the Company s member registration or the Company s status as a certified service provider, or any changes in card association or other network rules or standards, including interpretation and implementation of the rules or standards, that increase the cost of doing business or limit the Company s ability to provide transaction processing services to or through the Company s customers, could have an adverse effect on the Company s business, operating results and financial condition.

Changes in card association and debit network fees or products could increase costs or otherwise limit the Company s operations.

From time to time, card associations and debit networks increase the organization and/or processing fees (known as interchange fees) that they charge. It is possible that competitive pressures will result in the Company absorbing a portion of such increases in the future, which would increase its operating costs, reduce its profit

margin and adversely affect its business, operating results and financial condition. Furthermore, the rules and regulations of the various card associations and networks prescribe certain capital requirements. Any increase in the capital level required would further limit the Company s use of capital for other purposes.

Changes in laws, regulations and enforcement activities may adversely affect the products, services and markets in which the Company operates.

The Company and its customers are subject to regulations that affect the electronic payments industry in the many countries in which the Company s services are used. In particular, the Company s customers are subject to numerous regulations applicable to banks, financial institutions and card issuers in the United States and abroad, and, consequently, the Company is at times affected by such federal, state and local regulations. Regulation of the payments industry, including regulations applicable to the Company and its customers, has increased significantly in recent years. Failure to comply with regulations may result in the suspension or revocation of license or registration, the limitation, suspension or termination of service, and/or the imposition of civil and criminal penalties, including fines which could have an adverse effect on the Company's financial condition. The Company is subject to U.S. and international financial services regulations, a myriad of consumer protection laws, escheat regulations and privacy and information security regulations to name only a few. Changes to legal rules and regulations, or interpretation or enforcement thereof, could have a negative financial effect on the Company. In addition, even an inadvertent failure by the Company to comply with laws and regulations, as well as rapidly evolving social expectations of corporate fairness, could damage the Company s reputation or brands. There is also increasing scrutiny of a number of credit card practices, from which some of the Company s customers derive significant revenue, by the U.S. Congress and governmental agencies. The Company has structured its business in accordance with existing tax laws and interpretations of such laws which have been confirmed through either tax rulings or opinions obtained in various jurisdictions including those related to value added taxes in Europe. Changes in tax laws or their interpretations could decrease the value of revenues the Company receives, the value of tax loss carryforwards and tax credits recorded on the Company s balance sheet and the amount of the Company s cash flow and have a material adverse impact on the Company s business.

The Company s business may be adversely affected by risks associated with foreign operations.

The Company is subject to risks related to the changes in currency rates as a result of its investments in foreign operations and from revenues generated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. Revenue and profit generated by international operations will increase or decrease compared to prior periods as a result of changes in foreign currency exchange rates. From time to time, the Company utilizes foreign currency forward contracts or other derivative instruments to mitigate the cash flow or market value risks associated with foreign currency denominated transactions. However, these hedge contracts may not eliminate all of the risks related to foreign currency translation. Furthermore, the Company may become subject to exchange control regulations that might restrict or prohibit the conversion of its other revenue currencies into U.S. dollars. The occurrence of any of these factors could decrease the value of revenues the Company receives from its international operations and have a material adverse impact on the Company s business.

Increase in interest rates may negatively impact the Company s operating results and financial condition.

Certain of the Company s borrowings, including borrowings under the Company s senior secured credit facilities to the extent the interest rate is not fixed by an interest rate swap, are at variable rates of interest. An increase in interest rates would have a negative impact on the Company s results of operations by causing an increase in interest expense.

Unfavorable resolution of tax contingencies could adversely affect the Company s tax expense.

The Company s tax returns and positions are subject to review and audit by federal, state, local and international taxing authorities. An unfavorable outcome to a tax audit could result in higher tax expense, thereby negatively impacting the Company s results of operations. The Company has established contingency reserves

for material, known tax exposures relating to deductions, transactions and other matters involving some uncertainty as to the proper tax treatment of the item. These reserves reflect what the Company believes to be reasonable assumptions as to the likely final resolution of each issue if raised by a taxing authority. While the Company believes that the reserves are adequate to cover reasonably expected tax risks, there is no assurance that, in all instances, an issue raised by a tax authority will be finally resolved at a financial cost not in excess of any related reserve. An unfavorable resolution, therefore, could negatively impact the Company s effective tax rate, financial position, results of operations and cash flows in the current and/or future periods. The Company s exposure to tax audits includes matters involving its former Western Union unit, which was spun off in September 2006. Under the Tax Allocation Agreement executed at the time of the spin-off, Western Union is responsible for all taxes, interest and penalties related to it and must indemnify the Company against such amounts. The Company, however, generally has ultimate liability to the relevant tax authorities for such amounts in the event Western Union were to default in its indemnification obligation.

Failure to protect the Company s intellectual property rights and defend itself from potential patent infringement claims may diminish the Company s competitive advantages or restrict it from delivering the Company s services.

The Company s trademarks, patents and other intellectual property are important to its future success. The FIRST DATA trademark and trade name and the STAR trademark and trade name are intellectual property rights which are individually material to the Company. These trademarks and trade names are widely recognized and associated with quality and reliable service. Loss of the proprietary use of the FIRST DATA or STAR trademarks and trade names or a diminution in the perceived quality associated with them could harm the growth of the Company s businesses. The Company also relies on proprietary technology. It is possible that others will independently develop the same or similar technology. Assurance of protecting its trade secrets, know-how or other proprietary information cannot be guaranteed. The Company s patents could be challenged, invalidated or circumvented by others and may not be of sufficient scope or strength to provide the Company with any meaningful protection or advantage. If the Company was unable to maintain the proprietary nature of its technologies, the Company could lose competitive advantages and be materially adversely affected. The laws of certain foreign countries in which the Company does business or contemplates doing business in the future do not recognize intellectual property rights or protect them to the same extent as do the laws of the United States. Adverse determinations in judicial or administrative proceedings could prevent the Company from selling the Company s services or prevent the Company from preventing others from selling competing services, and thereby may have a material adverse affect on the business and results of operations. Additionally, claims have been made, are currently pending, and other claims may be made in the future, with regards to the Company s technology infringing on a patent or other intellectual property rights. Unfavorable resolution of these claims could either result in the Company being restricted from delivering the related service or result in

The Company is the subject of various legal proceedings which could have a material adverse effect on the Company's revenue and profitability.

The Company is involved in various litigation matters. The Company is also involved in or is the subject of governmental or regulatory agency inquiries or investigations from time to time. If the Company is unsuccessful in its defense in the litigation matters, or any other legal proceeding, it may be forced to pay damages or fines and/or change its business practices, any of which could have a material adverse effect on the Company s revenue and profitability. For more information about the Company s legal proceedings, see Item 3: Legal Proceedings herein.

The ability to recruit, retain and develop qualified personnel is critical to the Company s success and growth.

All of the Company s businesses function at the intersection of rapidly changing technological, social, economic and regulatory developments that requires a wide ranging set of expertise and intellectual capital. For the Company to successfully compete and grow, it must retain, recruit and develop the necessary personnel who

can provide the needed expertise across the entire spectrum of its intellectual capital needs. In addition, the Company must develop its personnel to provide succession plans capable of maintaining continuity in the midst of the inevitable unpredictability of human capital. However, the market for qualified personnel is competitive and the Company may not succeed in recruiting additional personnel or may fail to effectively replace current personnel who depart with qualified or effective successors. The Company s effort to retain and develop personnel may also result in significant additional expenses, which could adversely affect the Company s profitability. The Company cannot assure that key personnel, including executive officers, will continue to be employed or that it will be able to attract and retain qualified personnel in the future. Failure to retain or attract key personnel could have a material adverse effect on the Company.

Failure to comply with state and federal antitrust requirements could adversely affect the Company s business.

Through the Company s merchant alliances, it holds an ownership interest in several competing merchant acquiring businesses while serving as the electronic processor for those businesses. In order to satisfy state and federal antitrust requirements, the Company actively maintains an antitrust compliance program. Notwithstanding the Company s compliance program, it is possible that perceived or actual violation of state or federal antitrust requirements could give rise to regulatory enforcement investigations or actions. Regulatory scrutiny of, or regulatory enforcement action in connection with, compliance with state and federal antitrust requirements could have a material adverse effect on the Company s reputation and business.

The market for the Company's electronic commerce services is evolving and may not continue to develop or grow rapidly enough for the Company to maintain and increase its profitability.

If the number of electronic commerce transactions does not continue to grow or if consumers or businesses do not continue to adopt the Company's services, it could have a material adverse effect on the profitability of the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations. The Company believes future growth in the electronic commerce market will be driven by the cost, ease-of-use, and quality of products and services offered to consumers and businesses. In order to consistently increase and maintain the Company's profitability, consumers and businesses must continue to adopt the Company's services.

The Company may experience breakdowns in its processing systems that could damage customer relations and expose it to liability.

The Company depends heavily on the reliability of its processing systems in the Company s core businesses. A system outage or data loss could have a material adverse effect on the Company s business, financial condition and results of operations. Not only would the Company suffer damage to its reputation in the event of a system outage or data loss, but the Company may also be liable to third parties. Many of the Company s contractual agreements with financial institutions require the payment of penalties if the Company s systems do not meet certain operating standards. To successfully operate the Company s business, the Company must be able to protect its processing and other systems from interruption, including from events that may be beyond the Company s control. Events that could cause system interruptions include, but are not limited to, fire, natural disaster, unauthorized entry, power loss, telecommunications failure, computer viruses, terrorist acts and war. Although the Company has taken steps to protect against data loss and system failures, there is still risk that it may lose critical data or experience system failures. The Company performs the vast majority of disaster recovery operations itself, though it utilizes select third parties for some aspects of recovery, particularly internationally. To the extent the Company outsources its disaster recovery, it is at risk of the vendor s unresponsiveness in the event of breakdowns in the Company s systems. Furthermore, the Company s property and business interruption insurance may not be adequate to compensate it for all losses or failures that may occur.

The Company may experience software defects, computer viruses and development delays, which could damage customer relations, decrease the Company s potential profitability and expose it to liability.

The Company s products are based on sophisticated software and computing systems that often encounter development delays, and the underlying software may contain undetected errors, viruses or defects. Defects in the Company s software products and errors or delays in the Company s processing of electronic transactions could result in:

additional development costs;

diversion of technical and other resources from the Company s other development efforts;

loss of credibility with current or potential customers;

harm to the Company s reputation; or

exposure to liability claims.

In addition, the Company relies on technologies supplied to it by third parties that may also contain undetected errors, viruses or defects that could have a material adverse effect on the Company s business, financial condition and results of operations. Although the Company attempts to limit its potential liability for warranty claims through disclaimers in the Company s software documentation and limitation-of-liability provisions in the Company s license and customer agreements, the Company cannot assure that these measures will be successful in limiting the Company s liability.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS.

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

As of December 31, 2009, the Company and its subsidiaries owned or leased approximately 83 domestic properties and approximately 88 international properties. These facilities are used for operational, sales and administrative purposes, and are substantially all currently being utilized.

	Leased Facilities		Owned Facilities	
	No.	Sq. Ft.	No.	Sq. Ft.
Facilities in the United States				
Retail and Alliance Services	32	1,028,806	5	623,280
Financial Services	21	714,920	12	1,826,719
Integrated Payment Systems	1	30,022		
All Other and Corporate	10	661,981	2	140,600
International Facilities				
Retail and Alliance Services	1	2,250		
International	80	1,128,447	7	430,363

Retail and Alliance Services principal operations are conducted in Melville, New York; Hagerstown, Maryland; Coral Springs, Florida and Houston, Texas. The principal operations for Financial Services are located in Omaha, Nebraska; Wilmington, Delaware; Maitland, Florida; and Chesapeake, Virginia. The principal operations for International are located in Basildon, United Kingdom; Frankfurt, Germany; Athens (Kryoneri), Greece; Sydney, Australia; and Buenos Aires, Argentina. The Company s All Other and Corporate facilities include the Company s

corporate offices in Atlanta, Georgia and Greenwood Village, Colorado.

The Company believes that its facilities are suitable and adequate for its current business; however, the Company periodically reviews its space requirements and may acquire new space to meet the needs of its businesses or consolidate and dispose of or sublet facilities which are no longer required.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

From time to time, the Company is involved in various litigation matters arising in the ordinary course of its business. None of these matters, either individually or in the aggregate, currently is material to the Company except the matter reported below.

ATM Fee Antitrust Litigation

On July 2, 2004, Pamela Brennan, Terry Crayton, and Darla Martinez filed a class action complaint on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California against the Company, its subsidiary Concord EFS, Inc., and various financial institutions (Brennan). Plaintiffs claim that the defendants violated antitrust laws by conspiring to artificially inflate foreign ATM fees that were ultimately charged to ATM cardholders. Plaintiffs seek a declaratory judgment, injunctive relief, compensatory damages, attorneys fees, costs and such other relief as the nature of the case may require or as may seem just and proper to the court. Five similar suits were filed and served in July, August and October 2004, two in the Central District of California (Los Angeles), two in the Southern District of New York, and one in the Western District of Washington (Seattle). All cases were transferred to the Northern District Court of California and the Court consolidated all of the ATM interchange cases pending against the defendants in Brennan (referred to collectively as the ATM Fee Antitrust Litigation).

On August 3, 2007, Concord filed a motion for summary judgment seeking to dismiss plaintiffs *per se* claims, arguing that there are procompetitive justifications for the ATM interchange. On March 24, 2008, the Court entered an order granting the defendants motions for partial summary judgment, finding that the claims raised in this case would need to be addressed under a Rule of Reason analysis. On February 2, 2009, the Plaintiffs filed a Second Amended Complaint and on April 6, 2009, the defendants filed a Motion to Dismiss the Second Amended Complaint. On September 4, 2009, the Court entered an order dismissing the Second Amended Complaint and, on October 16, 2009, the Plaintiffs filed a Third Amended Complaint. The defendants filed a motion to dismiss the Third Amended Complaint on November 13, 2009.

The Company believes the complaints are without merit and intends to vigorously defend them.

ITEM 4. RESERVED

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

There is no established public trading market for the Company s common stock. The Company had one record holder of common stock on March 1, 2010, and no equity securities of the Company are authorized for issuance under any equity compensation plan.

In 2008, the Company paid two dividends that totaled \$1.8 million. The senior secured revolving credit facility, senior secured term loan facility, and the indentures for the senior notes, senior PIK notes, and senior subordinated notes limit the Company s ability to pay dividends. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations-Liquidity and Capital Resources and Note 13 to the accompanying financial statements included in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following data should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and related notes thereto and Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included elsewhere in this annual report.

The Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements contain additional information about various acquisitions, dispositions, and certain charges and benefits resulting from other operating expenses, and other income (expense) which affect the comparability of information presented. Certain prior years amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

On September 24, 2007, the Company was acquired through a merger transaction (the merger) with an entity controlled by affiliates of Kohlberg Kravis Roberts & Co. The merger resulted in the equity of FDC becoming privately held. Details of the merger are more fully discussed in Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this Form 10-K. As a result of the merger, amounts below are presented for two periods: predecessor and successor, which primarily relate to the periods preceding the merger and the periods succeeding the merger, respectively.

The Company classified Western Union, Primary Payment Systems, IDLogix and Taxware as discontinued operations in 2006 and 2005 has been reclassified from historically reported results to reflect the impact. Amounts below include acquisitions since the date acquired. All results are in millions, or as otherwise noted.

In 2008, the Company changed to a classified balance sheet presentation. Balance sheet data for 2007 and 2006 have been adjusted to conform to this presentation.

	Year o Decemi		Period from September 25, 2007 through December 31,	Period from January 1, 2007 through September 24,	om December 31, ary 1, 07 ugh		
	2009	2008	2007	2007	2006	2005	
Statement of operations data:							
Revenues	\$ 9,313.8	\$ 8,811.3	\$ 2,278.5	\$ 5,772.9	\$ 7,076.4	\$ 6,526.1	
Operating expenses (a)	8,869.3	8,032.6	2,123.7	5,209.2	5,990.9	5,461.0	
Other operating expenses (b)(d)	289.7	3,255.6	(0.2)	23.3	5.0	142.6	
Interest income	11.7	26.0	17.9	30.8	55.5	12.4	
Interest expense	(1,796.4)	(1,964.9)	(584.7)	(103.6)	(248.0)	(190.9)	
Other income (expense) (c)	(61.3)	(14.4)	(74.0)	4.9	22.6	145.8	
Net (loss) income from							
continuing operations (d)	(1,014.6)	(3,608.0)	(262.9)	569.7	990.0	934.4	
Net (loss) income from discontinued operations				(3.9)	690.0	911.6	
Depreciation and amortization (e)	1,553.8	1,559.6	427.2	540.2	700.8	689.0	
Balance sheet data (at year-end):							
Total assets	\$ 39,735.4	\$ 38,176.1	\$ 52,509.3		\$ 34,565.8	\$ 34,248.5	
Total current assets (including current settlement							
assets)	10,461.6	11,393.5	20,641.6		11,229.3	*	
Total current and long-term settlement assets	7,351.0	8,662.9	18,228.4		19,149.8	16,076.3	
Assets held for sale and spin-off						3,812.6	
Total liabilities	34,408.4	35,773.8	45,609.2		24,312.7	25,714.0	
Total current liabilities (including current settlement							
obligations)	9,455.2	10,778.0	20,349.5		20,920.1	*	
Settlement obligations	7,394.7	8,680.6	18,228.4		19,166.5	16,152.5	
Long-term borrowings	22,304.9	22,075.2	21,953.5		2,294.3	3,961.1	
Other long-term liabilities	2,648.3	2,920.6	3,306.2		1,098.3	*	
Liabilities related to sale and spin-off						1,730.6	
Redeemable noncontrolling interests	226.9						
Total First Data Corporation stockholders equity	1,585.3	2,377.9	6,829.0		10,141.2	8,457.0	
Noncontrolling interests	3,514.8	24.4	71.1		111.9	77.5	

Edgar Filing:	FIRST	DATA	CORP.	- Form	10-K
Eugai Filling.	LIUOI	DAIA	CORF .	- FUIIII	10-1

Total equity	5,100.1	2,402.3	6,900.1	10,253.1	8,534.5
1 Otal Equity	3,100.1	2,402.3	0,900.1	10,233.1	0,334.3

Edgar Filing: FIRST DATA CORP - Form 10-K

	Year ended December 31, 2009	Year ended December 31, 2008	Year ended December 31, 2007	Year ended December 31, 2006	Year ended December 31, 2005
Summary operating data:					
At year-end					
Domestic active card accounts on file (in millions) (f)	120.9	127.6	128.3	116.8	91.9
International card accounts on file (in millions) (g)	80.9	80.1	62.5	47.3	30.9
For the year					
Domestic merchant transactions					
(in millions) (h)	28,257.8	26,856.9	25,359.0	22,626.0	19,882.2
Domestic debit issuer transactions (in millions) (i)	12,222.5	12,042.2	11,651.4	10,572.4	8,988.2
International transactions (in millions) (j)	5,826.8	5,397.2	4,525.5	3,763.7	2,816.0

- (a) Operating expenses include Cost of services; Cost of products sold; Selling, general and administrative; Reimbursable debit network fees, postage and other; and Depreciation and amortization.
- (b) Other operating expenses include Restructuring, net; Impairments; Litigation and regulatory settlements; and Other charges.
- (c) Other income (expense) includes Investment gains and (losses); Derivative financial instruments gains and (losses); Divestitures, net; Debt repayment gains and (losses); and Non-operating foreign currency gains and (losses).
- (d) Includes a goodwill impairment charge in 2008 of \$3.2 billion.
- (e) Includes amortization of initial payments for new contracts which is recorded as a contra-revenue within Transaction and processing service fees and amortization related to equity method investments which is netted within Equity earnings in affiliates in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.
- (f) Domestic active card accounts on file include bankcard and retail accounts that had a balance or any monetary posting or authorization activity during the last month of the quarter.
- (g) International card accounts on file include bankcard and retail.
- (h) Domestic merchant transactions include acquired VISA and MasterCard credit and signature debit, PIN-debit, electronic benefits transactions, and processed-only or gateway customer transactions at the point of sale (POS). Domestic merchant transactions include 100% of the Chase Paymentech Solutions alliance transactions in 2007 and through the November 1, 2008 termination date. Subsequent to the termination of the alliance, domestic merchant transactions include transactions related to the Company s 49% proportionate share of the alliance s assets rather than 100% of alliance activity. In addition, domestic merchant transactions include activity for JPMorgan Chase merchants that continue to process on the Company s platforms. The domestic merchant transactions continue to reflect all Wells Fargo Merchant Services alliance transactions despite the deconsolidation of the alliance effective December 31, 2008. Domestic merchant transactions for 2009 also include all of the transactions related to merchants contributed by Bank of America to the Banc of America Merchant Services alliance since the alliance was formed on June 26, 2009.
- Domestic debit issuer transactions include VISA and MasterCard signature debit, STAR ATM, STAR PIN-debit POS and ATM and PIN-debit POS gateway transactions.
- (j) International transactions include VISA, MasterCard and other card association merchant acquiring and switching, and debit issuer transactions for clients outside the U.S. Transactions include credit, signature debit and PIN-debit POS, POS gateway and ATM transactions.
- * Information not available

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS Overview

First Data Corporation (FDC or the Company), with global headquarters and principal executive offices in Atlanta, Georgia, operates electronic commerce businesses providing services that include merchant transaction processing and acquiring services; credit, retail and debit card issuing and processing services; prepaid card services; and check verification, settlement and guarantee services.

To achieve its financial objectives, the Company focuses on internal revenue growth. Internal growth is achieved through focused sales force efforts and entering into new and strengthening existing alliance partner relationships. Internal growth also is driven through increased demand through growth of clients and partners. The Company has long-standing relationships and long-term contracts with many of these clients and partners. The length of the contracts varies across the Company s business units, but the majority are for multiple years.

Segment Realignment

Effective January 1, 2009, the Company s Chief Executive Officer began making strategic and operating decisions with regards to assessing performance and allocating resources based on a new segment structure. Segment results for 2008 and 2007 have been adjusted to reflect the new structure. The Company is organized in three primary segments: Retail and Alliance Services, Financial Services and International. In addition, the Company currently operates its official check business through it Integrated Payment Systems (IPS) segment but is in the process of winding-down the official check business. The most significant changes were check verification, settlement and guarantee services moving from the Financial Services segment into the Retail and Alliance Services segment as well as the Prepaid Services segment moving into the Retail and Alliance Services segment. Each of the segments is discussed in more detail in the Segment Discussion section below.

Presentation

This Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A) is presented for the successor years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 as well as the successor period from September 25, 2007 through December 31, 2007 and the predecessor period from January 1, 2007 through September 24, 2007. The full year 2007 is also presented on a pro forma basis. Predecessor and successor periods primarily relate to the periods preceding the merger (refer to Merger in 2007 Overview below) and the periods succeeding the merger, respectively. The Company believes that the discussion on a pro forma basis is a useful supplement to the historical results as it allows the 2007 results of operations to be analyzed on a more comparable basis to 2008 full year results. See the 2007 unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated statement of operations below which reflects the consolidated results of operations as if the merger had occurred on January 1, 2007. Note that there were no adjustments in the calculation of pro forma revenue and the most significant pro forma adjustments in the calculation of pro forma expense pertained to depreciation and amortization of the re-valued fixed assets and intangible assets and to interest expense on the debt issued in connection with the merger.

The Company adopted new accounting guidance effective January 1, 2009 which requires that earnings attributed to noncontrolling interests (formerly known as minority interests) be reported as part of consolidated earnings and not as a separate component of income or expense. The Company s Consolidated Statements of Operations for 2008 and 2007 have been revised to conform to the presentation requirements of the new guidance. In addition, presentation of transactions related to noncontrolling interests in the Company s Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows in 2008 and 2007 have been revised to reclassify such items from Cash Flows from Operating Activities and Cash Flows from Investing Activities to Cash Flows from Financing Activities.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

Banc of America Merchant Services, LLC

Transaction

On June 26, 2009, Bank of America N.A. (BofA) and the Company, together with Rockmount Investments, LLC (Rockmount), an investment vehicle controlled by a third-party investor, formed a new company, Banc of America Merchant Services, LLC (BAMS). BAMS provides clients with a comprehensive suite of acquiring and processing payment products for credit and debit cards as well as merchant loyalty, prepaid, check and e-commerce solutions.

The Company owns a 48.45% direct voting interest in BAMS and BofA owns a 46.55% direct voting interest. The remaining stake in BAMS is a 5% non-voting interest held by Rockmount. The Company owns a 40% noncontrolling interest in Rockmount. The Company s 48.45% direct voting interest in BAMS, together with its control of the management committee, which governs BAMS, provides the Company with a controlling financial interest in BAMS under the applicable accounting standards and rules and thus BAMS is consolidated by the Company and reported in its Retail and Alliance Services segment. BofA s 46.55% interest in BAMS is presented as a noncontrolling interest component of total equity.

BofA s and the Company s contributions to the newly formed company were principally comprised of merchant acquiring contract rights and relationships and sales forces. The Company s contribution was most significantly comprised of assets received upon the November 1, 2008 termination of the Chase Paymentech SolutionsTM (CPS) alliance, though certain other assets were included as well. Rockmount s contribution was in the form of cash totaling \$321.7 million of which \$128.7 million represents the cash contributed to Rockmount by the Company for its 40% investment noted above.

Rockmount may, at the sole option of the third-party owning a controlling interest in Rockmount, require that BAMS redeem Rockmount s interest in BAMS. This option is available during a specified period of time after each of the fourth quarter of 2009 and the first and second quarters of 2010, and upon certain conditions, additional periods thereafter. Rockmount did not exercise their option after the fourth quarter 2009. Rockmount s interest would be redeemed by BAMS for an amount of cash based on Rockmount s capital account balance in BAMS immediately prior to the redemption subject to an additional adjustment to be paid or received by the Company and BofA based on the level of BAMS revenues for the trailing 12 month period ending at the end of the fiscal quarter immediately prior to the exercise or extension of the option. Since Rockmount has the ability to put its interests to BAMS (a consolidated subsidiary of the Company), the Company has classified the 3% non-voting interest attributable to the third-party investor as Redeemable noncontrolling interest in the Consolidated Balance Sheet rather than as Equity. The 2% non-voting interest attributable to the Company is included with the Company s direct voting interest in balances attributable to the Company in the Consolidated Financial Statements.

The formation of BAMS was accounted for by the Company as a sale of a noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary and a purchase business combination. The Company recorded a gain of approximately \$33 million (\$21 million, net of taxes), through adjustments to additional paid in capital and noncontrolling interest. The gain was not material as the assets comprising the most significant portion of the Company s contribution were recently adjusted to fair value in the fourth quarter 2008 in connection with the November 1, 2008 termination of the CPS alliance.

In comparing 2009 to 2008 in the Consolidated Results below, the impact of the BAMS alliance will be quantified based on the contribution made by BofA as the assets contributed by the Company will continue to be discussed as part of the termination of the CPS alliance.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

Purchase price allocation

The assets contributed to BAMS by the Company continue to be recorded at the Company s carrying basis, which for the majority of assets was established effective November 1, 2008 as described immediately above net of applicable amortization expense subsequently recognized, and the assets contributed by BofA were recorded at their estimated fair value. The fair value of the BofA contribution to BAMS was determined by estimating the BAMS enterprise value and attributing the appropriate portion of that value to such contribution. The Company relied in part upon a third party valuation firm in determining the enterprise value of BAMS. All key assumptions and valuations were determined by and are the responsibility of management. The value attributed to the net tangible and identifiable intangible assets contributed by BofA was based on their estimated fair values. During the fourth quarter of 2009 the final valuation was completed and the purchase price allocation resulted in identifiable intangible assets of \$1,317 million, which will be amortized over a range estimated to be 11 to 20 years, and goodwill of \$2,127 million. Refer to Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this Form 10-K for a description of the methodologies used to determine the fair value of the enterprise and intangible assets.

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934. As allowed by the SEC, the Company's policy is to not include in management s assessment of internal controls the internal controls of acquired companies in the year of acquisition if the Company deems that an assessment could not be adequately accomplished in the normal course of business. With the exception of BAMS, all acquisitions that closed in 2009 were not within the scope of management's report on internal control over financial reporting. The Company does not deem these acquisitions significant, individually or in aggregate, to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Other

On June 30, 2009, the Company extended its merchant acquiring alliance with The PNC Financial Services Group (PNC) for an additional eight years which now includes National City Corporation merchant referrals. The Company also contributed \$28 million and customer contracts in 2009 into the alliance as part of the agreement in order to maintain its ownership percentage. In addition, the Company renewed and expanded its agreement for transaction processing services with PNC which will include additional PIN-debit and ATM processing PNC gained through its acquisition of National City Corporation.

Impairment

In the fourth quarter of 2009, domestically, the Company recorded a \$33 million impairment charge related to customer contracts, a \$17 million goodwill impairment charge and a \$3 million software impairment charge related to the Information Services reporting unit within All Other and Corporate. The Company followed a discounted cash flow approach in estimating the fair value of the reporting units and intangible assets consistent with the approach used to allocate the purchase price of the merger. The significant factor that drove most of the impairment was lower projections of financial results as compared to those used in the 2008 impairment testing. Discount rates were determined on a market participant basis. The Company relied in part on a third party valuation firm in determining the appropriate discount rates. All key assumptions and valuations were determined by and are the responsibility of management. A relatively small change in these inputs would have had an immaterial impact on the impairments.

In the fourth quarter of 2009, internationally, the Company recorded \$124 million in asset impairment charges related to the International reporting unit and segment. Approximately \$64 million of the total

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

impairment charge related to the Company s business in Germany and was allocated to impair the value of customer contracts and real property by approximately \$58 million and \$6 million, respectively. The impairment occurred because of the deterioration of profitability on existing business, higher risk of revenue attrition in future years and lower projections of financial results compared to those used in prior periods. Approximately \$47 million of the total impairment charge related to impairment of customer contracts associated with Company s card-issuing business in the United Kingdom. The impairment occurred because of negative cash flow in the existing business and lower projections of financial results compared to those used in prior periods. Approximately \$2 million of the total impairment charge related to trade name impairment and was a result of the Company s decision to discontinue the use of a certain trade name in the Canadian market during the fourth quarter of 2009 and instead continue the business under the First Data brand. The remaining approximate \$11 million of the total impairment charge related to the Company s businesses in Ireland and Brazil and was comprised of a \$7 million impairment of customer contracts and \$4 million impairment of software. The impairment occurred because of cash flow losses in the existing businesses and lower projections of financial results compared to those used in prior periods. The Company followed a discounted cash flow approach in estimating the fair value of the affected asset groups and individual intangible assets within those groups consistent with the approach used to allocate the purchase price of the merger. The Company obtained an appraisal from a third party brokerage firm to assist in estimating the value of real property in Germany. All key assumptions and valuations were determined by and are the responsibility of management.

In the fourth quarter of 2008, the Company recorded a \$3.2 billion goodwill impairment charge. Every reporting unit had an impairment charge representing a percentage of goodwill ranging from a small charge for one reporting unit to all of the goodwill at two small reporting units. During the fourth quarter and in connection with the deterioration in general global economic conditions, the Company experienced a decrease in its operating results. These operating results caused the Company to reassess its near and long-term projections as part of its annual budgeting process. The Company followed a discounted cash flow approach in estimating the fair value of the reporting units and intangible assets consistent with the approach used to allocate the purchase price of the merger. The significant factors that drove most of the impairment were higher discount rates and revised projections of financial results as compared to those used to allocate the purchase price of the merger.

Economic Conditions

General economic conditions in the U.S. and other areas of the world dramatically weakened in the second half of 2008 and most of 2009 but showed improvement towards the end of 2009. Many of FDC s businesses are correlated to changes in macro economic conditions and revenue is derived in part on the number and size of consumer transactions. While the Company is partially insulated from specific industry trends through its diverse market presence, broad changes in consumer spending patterns could have a material impact on the Company s results. During the fourth quarter of 2008 and during 2009, a shift in transactions from smaller, more profitable merchants to national discounters and wholesalers impacted the Company s revenue growth. Additionally, the Company experienced increased credit losses during 2009 due to a higher level of merchant failures and bankruptcy filings.

2008 Overview

Chase Paymentech Solutions and Wells Fargo Merchant Services

On November 1, 2008, the Company and JPMorgan Chase terminated their merchant alliance relationship, CPS, which was the Company s largest merchant alliance. The Company received its proportionate 49% share of the assets of the alliance. The new domestic owned and managed business was operated as part of the Company s

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

Retail and Alliance Services segment until as noted under Banc of America Merchant Services, LLC above, the majority of the assets received by the Company from the termination of CPS were contributed to BAMS effective June 26, 2009. The Company continues to provide transaction processing and related services for certain merchants of the alliance that were allocated to JPMorgan Chase but are resident on the Company s processing platforms. The Company historically accounted for its minority interest in the alliance under the equity method of accounting. Since November 1, 2008, the portion of CPS business received by the Company in the separation is reflected on a consolidated basis throughout the financial statements. In 2008 and 2007, CPS comprised the vast majority of the Equity earnings in affiliates and the processing and other fees noted in footnote (b) on the face of the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

On December 31, 2008, the Company and Wells Fargo & Company (WFB) extended their merchant alliance relationship, Wells Fargo Merchant Services, LLC (WFMS) for five years beyond its previously contracted termination date through December 31, 2014. In connection with the agreement to extend WFMS, the Company sold 12.5% of the membership interests to WFB for cash consideration. This resulted in the Company and WFB owning 40% and 60% of WFMS, respectively, as of December 31, 2008. As a result of the transaction, the Company deconsolidated the WFMS balance sheet as of December 31, 2008 and began reflecting its remaining ownership interest as an equity method investment beginning January 1, 2009. In 2009, the Company s share of WFMS s earnings is reflected in the Equity earnings in affiliates line in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. In 2009 WFMS comprised the majority of the Equity earnings in affiliates and the processing and other fees noted in footnote (b) on the face of the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

In comparing 2009 to 2008, the net impact of the termination of CPS and the deconsolidation of WFMS were offsetting in nature but resulted in net increases in consolidated revenues and expenses and net decreases in Equity earnings in affiliates due to the relative greater significance of CPS related balances. Net income (loss) attributable to the Company was negatively impacted in 2009 compared to 2008 as the result of the WFMS membership interest sale referred to above but was generally unaffected by the structural changes for CPS. The combined impact of these transactions when comparing results for 2009 to 2008 is referred to as the net impact of the CPS and WFMS alliance transactions in the Consolidated Results discussion below. In comparing 2008 to pro forma 2007, 2008 earnings were not significantly impacted due to the termination of CPS.

2007 Overview

Merger

On September 24, 2007, the Company merged with an affiliate of Kohlberg Kravis Roberts & Co (KKR) (the merger). The merger resulted in the Company sequity becoming privately held. The Company applied purchase accounting to the opening balance sheet and results of operations effective immediately subsequent to the merger date. The value assigned to intangible assets and fixed assets as well as other purchase accounting adjustments were finalized in the third quarter of 2008 other than certain adjustments related to income tax matters that were finalized in the fourth quarter of 2008.

Official Check and Money Order Wind-down

In the first quarter of 2007, the Company announced its intent to wind-down the official check and money order business included within the IPS segment. The official check and money order businesses are conducted by a subsidiary of the Company, Integrated Payment Systems Inc., which is licensed to offer payment services that fall under state and federal regulations. This subsidiary has separate creditors and its assets, including the investment portfolio associated with the official checks and money orders, are not intended to be available to

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

creditors of First Data nor its other subsidiaries. The majority of the clients of this business deconverted during 2008 and there will be no new official check and money order business beyond April 2010. IPS also offers payment processing services and such other services will continue after the wind down of the official check and money order business. On October 1, 2009, IPS assigned and transferred to The Western Union Company (Western Union), among other things, certain assets and equipment used by IPS to issue retail money orders and approximately \$860 million of cash to satisfy all outstanding retail money orders. As of the closing date noted above, Western Union assumed IPS is role as issuer of the retail money orders.

Segment Discussion

Retail and Alliance Services Segment

The Retail and Alliance Services segment is comprised of businesses that provide services which facilitate the merchants—ability to accept credit, debit, stored-value and loyalty cards and checks. The segment—s merchant processing and acquiring services include authorization, transaction capture, settlement, chargeback handling and internet-based transaction processing and are the largest component of the segment—s revenue. A majority of these services pertain to transactions in which consumer payments to merchants are made through a card association (such as Visa or MasterCard), a debit network (such as STAR or Interlink), or another payment network (such as Discover). Many of the segment—s services are offered through alliance arrangements.

Retail and Alliance Services generally looks to the strength of its merchant alliances, independent sales organizations (ISO s) and referral partners, and focused sales force efforts as ways to grow credit, signature debit and PIN-debit processing. Financial results of the merchant alliance strategy appear both in the Transaction and processing service fees revenue and Equity earnings in affiliates line items of the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Beginning in the third quarter 2009 the Company began evaluating the Retail and Alliance Services segment based on the Company s proportionate share of the results of these alliances. Refer to Segment Results below for a more detailed discussion.

Merchant processing and acquiring revenues are driven most significantly by the number of transactions, dollar volumes of those transactions and trends in consumer spending between national, regional and local merchants. Consumers continue to increase the use of credit, debit and stored-value cards in place of cash and paper checks. Internet payments continue to grow but account for a small portion of the segment s transactions. While transactions over the internet may involve increased risk, these transactions typically generate higher profits for the Company. The Company continues to enhance its fraud detection and other systems to address such risks.

The Company experienced declines in transaction and dollar volume growth during the second half of 2008 and into 2009 due to a weakened economy. The Company experienced shifts in transaction volumes from smaller, more profitable merchants to some nationwide discounters and wholesalers in the second half of 2008 and throughout 2009 due to changes in consumer spending patterns. Trends in consumer spending between national, regional and local merchants impact revenue and operating margins as revenue per transaction and operating margins from national merchants are typically less than regional and local merchants. The segment has historically experienced three to five percent annual price compression on average, with price compression for the national merchants being higher.

In addition, Retail and Alliance Services provides check verification, settlement and guarantee services. The Company continues to see a shift to the use of debit cards from credit cards, checks and cash, with the decrease in use of checks negatively affecting the Company s check verification, settlement and guarantee business. The segment also manages prepaid stored-value card issuance and processing services (i.e. gift cards) for retailers and others.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

Financial Services Segment

The Financial Services segment provides issuer card and network solutions and payment management solutions for recurring bill payments. Financial Services also offers services to improve customer communications, billing, online banking and consumer bill payment. Issuer card and network solutions includes credit, retail and debit card processing, debit network services (including the STAR Network), and output services for financial institutions and other organizations offering credit cards, debit cards and retail private label cards to consumers and businesses to manage customer accounts. Output services include statement and letter printing, embossing and mailing services. The segment also provides remittance processing services and other payment services such as remote deposit, clearing services and processing for payments which occur in such forms as checks, ACH, wire transfer and stored-value cards. The segment s largest components of revenue consist of fees for account management, transaction authorization and posting and network switching.

Credit and retail based revenue is derived primarily from the card processing services offered to financial institutions and other issuers of cards. Revenue from these markets is driven primarily by accounts on file, with active accounts having a larger impact on revenue than inactive accounts. Retail account portfolios typically have a lower proportionate share of active accounts than credit account portfolios and product usage is different between the card types resulting in lower revenue per active retail account. In addition, contract pricing at the customer level is dependent upon the volume of accounts, mix of account types (e.g. retail, credit, co-branded credit and debit) and product usage.

Debit processing revenue is derived mostly from the processing of transactions where the Company could receive multiple fees for a transaction, depending on the role of the Company. Within the Financial Services segment, domestic debit issuer transactions have been the fastest growing type of transaction as the Company continues to see a shift to the use of debit cards from credit cards, checks and cash, with the decrease in use of checks negatively affecting the Company s remittance processing business.

The underlying economic drivers of card issuance are population demographics and employment. Strengthening in the economy typically results in an improved credit risk profile, allowing card issuers to be more aggressive in their marketing campaigns to issue more cards. Conversely, a weakening in the economy typically results in a tightening of the credit market with fewer consumers qualifying for credit.

As a result of the economic conditions in the U.S. in late 2008 and throughout 2009, credit card issuers have been reducing credit limits and closing accounts and are more selective with regard to whom they issue credit cards. Such practices have adversely impacted credit and retail card processing revenues during 2009 and 2008. Debit processing transaction growth rates have also been negatively impacted by the decline in the economy.

International Segment

The International segment businesses provide the following services outside of the U.S.: credit, retail, debit and prepaid card processing, merchant acquiring and processing; ATM and POS processing, driving, acquiring and switching services; and card processing software. The primary service offerings of the International segment are substantially the same as those provided in the Retail and Alliance Services and Financial Services segments. The largest components of the segment s revenue are fees for facilitating the merchant s ability to accept credit, retail and debit cards by authorizing, capturing, and settling merchants credit, retail, debit, stored-value and loyalty card transactions as well as for transaction authorization and posting, network switching and account management.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

Integrated Payments Systems

The IPS segment s principle business includes the issuance of official checks which are sold by agents that are financial institutions. Revenue is principally earned on invested funds which are pending settlement. This segment is in the process of winding down its official check business. For further details refer to the Official Check and Money Order Wind-Down in the 2007 Overview section above.

All Other and Corporate

All Other and Corporate is comprised of the Company s business units not included in the segments noted above as well as the Company s Corporate results. There were no significant developments within All Other and Corporate during 2009.

Industry

Bank industry consolidation impacts existing and potential clients in FDC s service areas. The Company s alliance strategy could be impacted negatively as a result of such consolidations, especially where the banks involved are committed to merchant processing businesses that compete with the Company. Conversely, if an existing alliance bank partner acquires a new merchant business, this could result in such business being contributed to the alliance. Bank consolidation has led to an increasingly concentrated client base in the industry, resulting in a changing client mix for Financial Services as well as increased price compression. Bank consolidations impacted the Company, specifically the Financial Services and Retail and Alliance Services segments, during 2009. The Financial Services segment was negatively impacted by the consolidation of JPMorgan Chase and Washington Mutual which is discussed in more detail in the Segment Results discussion below. The Retail and Alliance Services segment was positively impacted by the PNC and National City Corporation consolidation mentioned above. If bank consolidations continue in 2010, the Company could be impacted positively or negatively depending on its relationship with the bank.

Components of Revenue and Expenses

The following briefly describes the components of operating revenues and expenses as presented in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Descriptions of the revenue recognition policies are included in Note 1 of the Company s Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Transaction and processing service fees Transaction and processing service fee revenue is comprised of fees related to merchant acquiring; check processing; credit, retail and debit card processing; output and remittance processing; and payment management services. Revenues are based on a per transaction fee, a percentage of dollar volume processed, accounts on file or some combination thereof. These revenues represent approximately 62% of FDC s 2009 revenue and are most reflective of the Company s core business performance. Merchant related services revenue is comprised primarily of fees charged to merchants and processing fees charged to alliances accounted for under the equity method. Merchant discount revenue from credit card and signature debit card transactions acquired from merchants is recorded net of interchange and assessments charged by the credit card associations. Check services revenues include check verification, settlement and guarantee fees which are charged on a per transaction basis or as a percentage of the face value of the check. Card services revenue related to credit and retail card processing is comprised primarily of fees charged to the client based on cardholder accounts on file, both active and inactive. Card services revenue for output services consists of fees for printing statements and letters and embossing plastics. Debit processing and network service fees included in Card services revenue are typically based on transaction volumes processed. Other services revenue includes all other types of transactional revenue not specifically related to the classifications noted above.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

Investment income, net Revenue is derived primarily from interest generated by invested settlement assets within the IPS, Retail and Alliance Services, Financial Services and International segments and realized net gains and losses from such assets. This revenue is recorded net of official check agents commissions.

Product sales and other Sales and leasing of POS devices in the Retail and Alliance Services and International segments are the primary drivers of this revenue component, providing a recurring revenue stream. This component also includes contract termination fees, royalty income and gain/loss from the sale of merchant portfolios, all of which occur less frequently but are considered a part of ongoing operations. Also included within this line item is revenue recognized from custom programming and system consulting services as well as software licensing and maintenance revenue generated primarily from the Vision*PLUS* software in the International segment and software licensing and maintenance revenue in All Other and Corporate.

Reimbursable debit network fees, postage and other Debit network fees from PIN-debit card transactions acquired from merchants are recorded gross with the associated network fee recorded in the corresponding expense caption, principally within the Retail and Alliance Services segment. In addition, the reimbursable component and the offsetting expense caption include postage, telecommunications and similar costs that are passed through to customers principally within the Financial Services segment. Reimbursable debit network fees, postage and other revenue and the corresponding expense are not included in segment results.

Cost of services This caption includes the costs directly associated with providing services to customers and includes the following: telecommunications costs, personnel and infrastructure costs to develop and maintain applications, operate computer networks and provide associated customer support, losses on check guarantee services and merchant chargebacks, and other operating expenses.

Cost of products sold These costs include those directly associated with product and software sales such as cost of POS devices, merchant terminal leasing costs and software licensing and maintenance costs.

Selling, general and administrative This caption primarily consists of salaries, wages and related expenses paid to sales personnel, administrative employees and management as well as advertising and promotional costs and other selling expenses.

Depreciation and amortization This caption consists of the Company's depreciation and amortization expense. Excluded from this caption is the amortization of initial payments for contracts which is recorded as a contra-revenue within the Transaction and processing services fees line as well as amortization related to equity method investments which is netted within the Equity earnings in affiliates line.

Results of Operations

The following discussion for both consolidated results and segment results are for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to the year ended December 31, 2008 as well as for the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to the successor period from September 25, 2007 to December 31, 2007 and the predecessor period from January 1, 2007 to September 24, 2007. On a supplemental basis, the year ended December 31, 2008 is compared to pro forma results for the year ended December 31, 2007 which reflects consolidated results of operations as if the merger had occurred on January 1, 2007. Consolidated results should be read in conjunction with segment results, which provide more detailed discussions concerning certain components of the Consolidated Statements of Operations. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

Consolidated Results

	Hist	orica	al	Pro F	orma	rma Historical				
	Succ	cesso	r			Successor Period	P	redecessor	Percei	nt Change
						from September 25		eriod from anuary 1		Historical 2008
	Year ended	Ye	ear ended	Year	ended	through		through	2009	vs.
	December 31,	Dec	cember 31,	Decem	ber 31,	December 31,	Sep	otember 24,	vs.	Pro Forma
(in millions)	2009		2008	20	07	2007		2007	2008	2007
Revenues:										
Transaction and processing service fees	\$ 5,788.9	\$	5,785.3	\$ 5	,519.2	\$ 1,553.3	\$	3,965.9	0%	5%
Investment income, net	8.4		77.1		(75.1)	(8.2)		(66.9)	(89)%	*
Product sales and other	788.3		848.2		839.4	223.0		616.4	(7)%	1%
Reimbursable debit network fees,										
postage and other	2,728.2		2,100.7	1.	767.9	510.4		1,257.5	30%	19%
	9,313.8		8,811.3	8	,051.4	2,278.5		5,772.9	6%	9%
Expenses:										
Cost of services (exclusive of items										
shown below)	2,945.1		2,870.6	2	755.8	753.8		2,116.2	3%	4%
Cost of products sold	305.5		316.8		296.5	87.3		209.2	(4)%	7%
Selling, general and administrative	1,438.2		1,374.8	1.	404.2	404.4		1,149.9	5%	(2)%
Reimbursable debit network fees,										
postage and other	2,728.2		2,100.7	1.	767.9	510.4		1,257.5	30%	19%
Depreciation and amortization	1,452.3		1,369.7		253.9	367.8		476.4	6%	9%
Other operating expenses, net	289.7		3,255.6		23.1	(0.2)		23.3	*	*
	9,159.0		11,288.2	7	,501.4	2,123.5		5,232.5	(19)%	50%
Interest income	11.7		26.0		48.7	17.9		30.8	(55)%	(47)%
Interest expense	(1,796.4)		(1,964.9)	(2	,036.4)	(584.7)		(103.6)	(9)%	(4)%
Other income (expense) (a)	(61.3)		(14.4)		(53.3)	(74.0)		4.9	*	*
Income tax (benefit) expense	(578.8)		(699.2)	((652.1)	(176.1)		125.8	(17)%	7%
Equity earnings in affiliates	97.8		123.0		134.0	46.8		223.0	(20)%	(8)%
Loss from discontinued operations, net of taxes								(3.9)		(-)
Net (loss) income	(1,014.6)		(3,608.0)	(704.9)	(262.9)		565.8	(72)%	*
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	71.8		156.3		144.3	39.0		105.0	(54)%	8%
Net (loss) income attributable to First Data Corporation	\$ (1,086.4)	\$	(3,764.3)	\$ ((849.2)	\$ (301.9)	\$	460.8	(71)%	*

Edgar Filing: FIRST DATA CORP - Form 10-K

- * Calculation not meaningful.
- (a) Other income (expense) includes investment gains and (losses), derivative financial instruments gains and losses, divestitures, net, debt repayment gains and losses and non-operating foreign currency exchange gains and losses.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

The following provides highlights of revenue and expense changes on a consolidated basis for the successor year ended December 31, 2009, the successor year ended December 31, 2008 and the predecessor, successor and pro forma periods in 2007 while a more detailed discussion is included in the Segment Results section below.

Operating revenues overview

Transaction and processing service fees Revenues remained flat due to the beneficial incremental impact of the BAMS alliance and the net impact of the CPS and WFMS alliance transactions in Merchant related services offset by a decrease due to the weakened economy, price compression, lost business and the impact of foreign exchange rate movements in all businesses. The incremental impact of the BAMS alliance and the net impact of the CPS and WFMS alliance transactions described above benefited the growth rate by 5 and 1 percentage points, respectively. Growth of existing clients and new business also benefited 2009 revenues compared to 2008.

Transaction and processing service fees revenue was positively impacted in 2008 compared to 2007 due in part to the consolidation of acquiring revenues from merchant contracts received from the termination of the CPS alliance effective November 1, 2008 partially offset by the loss of the processing revenue previously earned from the alliance on these same contracts. This positively impacted the transaction and processing service fees growth rate by 1 percentage point in 2008 compared to pro forma 2007. These revenues are included within the Company s revenue subsequent to the termination of the alliance in 2008 but were previously netted within the Equity earnings in affiliates line within the Consolidated Statements of Operations, as the alliance was previously accounted for under the equity method. Other items positively impacting 2008 compared to 2007 were acquisitions, growth of existing clients and 2008 annual fees. Annual fees for 2007 were not included in the 2007 successor period results due to purchase accounting related to the merger. These benefits were partially offset by price compression, lost business, and the affects of a slowed economy particularly in the fourth quarter of 2008, including the 2008 holiday season.

Investment income, net Revenues decreased in 2009 compared to 2008 due to lower market interest rates and a decrease in the IPS settlement portfolio balances caused by the wind-down of the official check and money order businesses. Earnings from the official check and money order business were more than offset by commissions, some of which are not variable in nature, that are netted against earnings on the investment portfolio in the IPS segment. Commissions decreased in 2009 compared to 2008 as a result of the decrease in settlement portfolio balances noted above. In addition, investment income earned on settlement assets associated with the merchant acquiring business decreased due to lower market interest rates. Partially offsetting these decreases was a benefit in 2009 due to a \$60.3 million impairment recognized in the third and fourth quarters of 2008 (related to the student loan auction rate securities (SLARS) and other investments).

Revenue benefited in 2008 from reduced commissions that are netted against earnings on the official check and money order business investment portfolio in the IPS segment. The reduced commissions were caused by decreased interest rates and modifications to the contract terms made in conjunction with the wind-down of the official check and money order business. Investment income also benefited during 2008 from the repositioning of the IPS portfolio to taxable investments at the beginning of 2008. Investment income was negatively impacted by investment impairments of \$60.3 million (discussed above), lower market interest rates and a decrease in the portfolio balances caused by the wind-down of the official check and money order business.

From an IPS segment perspective, revenues were similarly impacted by the above noted items but were additionally affected by presenting the segment s revenues on a pretax equivalent basis in the 2007 predecessor and successor periods but not in 2008 or 2009. Such presentation is not necessary subsequent to 2007 due to the

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

repositioning of the portfolio to taxable investments. On a pre-tax equivalency basis, investment income decreased significantly in 2008 due to reduced investment balances and lower interest rates as noted above. The impact of this segment presentation in the 2007 predecessor and successor periods was eliminated for consolidated reporting purposes.

As the IPS settlement portfolio balances continue to decrease and the business continues to wind-down, investment income will diminish to insignificant amounts in 2010.

Product sales and other Revenues decreased for 2009 compared to 2008 due most significantly to a decrease of approximately \$76 million in royalty income reflected in All Other and Corporate. Also contributing to the decrease were declines resulting from divested businesses as well as declines in equipment and terminal sales, primarily internationally. Partially offsetting the decrease in 2009 compared to 2008 was an increase due to contract termination fees recognized in 2009 related to the termination of services by a customer in the Financial Services segment. The recognition of contract termination fees positively impacted the product sales and other revenue growth rate in 2009 by 4 percentage points.

Revenue benefited in 2008 from increased terminal sales in the International segment, higher royalty income within All Other and Corporate and acquisitions. Negatively impacting 2008 were lower contract termination fees and merchant portfolio sales than in the 2007 predecessor period within the Financial Services and Retail and Alliance Services segments, declines in terminal sales in the Retail and Alliance Services segment due to slowing demand and price compression, and declines in professional services revenue due to completed projects. The Company had portfolio sales in the fourth quarter of 2008, however no gain was recognized due to the effects of purchase accounting for the merger. For the year ended December 31, 2008, royalty income increased approximately \$27 million compared to the same pro forma 2007 period.

Reimbursable debit network fees, postage and other Revenues and expense increased in 2009 compared to 2008 most significantly due to the incremental impact of the BAMS alliance and the net impact of the CPS and WFMS alliance transactions described above which benefited the reimbursable debit network fees, postage and other growth rate by 11 and 19 percentage points, respectively. Also contributing to the increase was continued growth of PIN-debit transaction volumes as well as rate increases imposed by the debit networks and an increase in postage rates. Partially offsetting these increases was a decrease in print and plastic volumes as a result of the termination of services discussed above as well as the reduction in the number of accounts and account activity due to adverse economic conditions. The termination of services impacted the reimbursable debit network fees, postage and other revenue growth rate by 3 percentage points.

Revenues and expense benefited in 2008 most significantly due to an increase in debit network fees upon consolidation of revenues from merchant contracts received from the termination of the CPS alliance effective November 1, 2008. These fees are now included within the Company s revenue but were previously netted within the Equity earnings in affiliates line within the Consolidated Statements of Operations, as the alliance was previously accounted for under the equity method. This positively impacted the reimbursable debit network fees, postage and other growth rate by 5 percentage points in 2008 compared to pro forma 2007. Also benefiting 2008 were increases in debit network fees resulting from the continued growth of PIN-debit transaction volumes as well as rate increases imposed by the debit networks and an increase in postage rates.

Operating expenses overview

Cost of services Expenses increased for 2009 compared to 2008 due to the incremental impact of the BAMS alliance, the net impact of the CPS and WFMS alliance transactions and increases in expenses related to

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

platform development. Partially offsetting these increases were decreases due most significantly to decreases in employee related expenses as a result of lower incentive compensation which impacted the cost of services growth rate by 1 percentage point. Employee related expenses were also lower due to reduced headcount. Cost of services, as a percentage of transaction and processing service fee revenue, increased slightly in 2009 compared to 2008 as a result of the items noted above.

In 2008, cost of services increased due to an increase in expenses associated with global labor sourcing initiatives, consulting expense, data center consolidation costs, the impact of acquisitions and net increases in various expense items not individually significant. Partially offsetting these increases were decreases due most significantly to charges recorded in the 2007 predecessor period related to the accelerated vesting of stock options and restricted stock awards and units upon the change of control due to the merger. Also decreasing in 2008 were employee related expenses due to a reduction in share-based compensation resulting from the Company s new equity compensation plan implemented after the merger as compared to the pre-merger equity compensation plan, within All Other and Corporate, as well as merger-related reductions in force, the largest of which occurred in the fourth quarter 2007, and lower incentive compensation. Cost of services, as a percentage of transaction and processing service fee revenue, remained relatively consistent for 2008 compared to the proforma 2007 period as a result of the items noted above.

Cost of products sold Expenses decreased in 2009 compared to 2008 due principally to decreases in International equipment and terminal sales partially offset by an increase in domestic terminal costs due to the incremental impact of the BAMS alliance and replacement of outdated terminals as well as increased credit losses due to a higher level of merchant failures and bankruptcy filings resulting from challenges in the economic environment.

Costs increased in 2008 compared to the 2007 predecessor and successor periods due to acquisitions and increased terminal sales within the International segment offset partially by a decrease in costs associated with terminal and software sales due to a decline in sales volumes domestically.

Selling, general and administrative Expenses increased in 2009 compared to 2008 due to an increase in expenses associated with payments to ISO s most significantly as a result of the portion of the CPS alliance received by the Company upon termination which impacted the selling, general and administrative growth rate by 8 percentage points. Also contributing to the increase in 2009 were increased expenses due to the formation of the BAMS alliance. Partially offsetting this increase was a decrease due most significantly to lower compensation expense as a result of reduced headcount as well as lower incentive compensation which impacted the selling, general and administrative growth rate by 1 percentage point. Also contributing to the decrease were foreign currency exchange rate movements and lower legal and professional fees related to the settlement of certain litigation in 2008. Selling, general and administrative expenses, as a percentage of transaction and processing service fee revenue, increased slightly in 2009 compared to 2008 as a result of the items noted above.

Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased in 2008 compared to the 2007 predecessor and successor periods as the result of charges in the predecessor period related to the accelerated vesting of stock options and restricted stock awards and units upon the change of control due to the merger, lower incentive compensation in 2008, reduced share-based compensation expense in the successor period due to the Company's new equity compensation plan implemented after the merger as compared to the pre-merger equity compensation plan and professional fees related to the merger incurred principally in the predecessor period in 2007, mainly reflected within All Other and Corporate. The year ended 2008 also benefited from reductions in force implemented most significantly in the successor period of 2007 but also in 2008. Costs were higher in 2008 due

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

to an increase in expenses associated with payments to ISO s most significantly as a result of the portion the CPS alliance received by the Company upon termination, the impacts of acquisitions as well as sponsor management fees. Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased in 2008 compared to the 2007 pro forma period due to the items noted above excluding the impact of the 2007 accelerated vesting charges and the professional fees related to the merger which are excluded from the pro forma 2007 period. Selling, general and administrative expenses, as a percentage of transaction and processing service fee revenue decreased for 2008 compared to pro forma 2007 as a result of the items noted above.

Depreciation and Amortization Expenses increased in 2009 compared to 2008 due most significantly to the net impact of amortization associated with the CPS and WFMS alliance transactions and the BAMS alliance noted above as well as an increase due to newly capitalized assets. In addition, amortization expense increased as a result of accelerated amortization recorded in second quarter 2009 related to intangible assets associated with the contract termination in the Financial Services segment. These increases were partially offset by less amortization on certain intangible assets that are being amortized on an accelerated basis resulting in higher amortization in prior periods.

Amortization was higher in the 2008 and 2007 successor periods than in the 2007 predecessor period due to identifiable intangible assets recorded in purchase accounting related to the merger including amortization of customer relationships on an accelerated basis rather than a straight-line basis. Partially offsetting these increases was a decrease related to the depreciation of fixed assets recorded in purchase accounting related to the merger. Although the total value of the fixed assets increased from pre-merger book values, certain of the depreciable assets were determined to have longer lives which resulted in lower annual depreciation. Depreciation and amortization in 2008 increased compared to the same 2007 pro forma period due to newly capitalized assets, the impact of acquisitions, and the amortization associated with the Company s proportionate share of assets from the termination of the CPS alliance which was previously netted within the Equity earnings in affiliates line within the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Other operating expenses, net

Other operating expenses related to restructuring, impairments, litigation and regulatory settlements and other totaled \$289.7 million for the successor year ended December 31, 2009, \$3,255.6 million for the successor year ended December 31, 2008, and \$23.3 million and a benefit of \$0.2 million for the 2007 predecessor and successor periods, respectively. These items are presented on the Consolidated Statements of Operations under those respective descriptions.

2009 Activities

		Pretax Benefit (Charge)											
Successor	Retail and			All Other									
Year ended December 31, 2009	Alliance Services	Financial Services	International (in n	and Corporate nillions)	Divested Operations	Totals							
Restructuring charges	\$ (15.9)	\$ (14.5)	\$ (49.2)	\$ (22.0)	\$ (0.5)	\$ (102.1)							
Restructuring accrual reversals	4.2	1.7	2.9	0.5		9.3							
Impairments			(131.9)	(53.2)		(185.1)							
Litigation and regulatory settlements		(14.5)		2.7		(11.8)							
Total pretax charge, net of reversals	\$ (11.7)	\$ (27.3)	\$ (178.2)	\$ (72.0)	\$ (0.5)	\$ (289.7)							

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

The restructurings resulted from the elimination of management and other positions, approximately 1,700 employees, as part of the Company s cost saving initiatives as well as domestic site consolidations and the elimination of certain information technology positions. Cost savings initiatives are expected to continue into future periods resulting in additional restructuring charges. The Company estimates cost savings resulting from 2009 restructuring activities of approximately \$130 million on an annual basis. Partially offsetting the charges are reversals of 2009 and 2008 restructuring accruals related to the Company s change in strategy related to global labor sourcing initiatives as well as refining previously recorded estimates.

The following table summarizes the Company s utilization of restructuring accruals for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2009 (in millions):

	ployee erance	cility osure
Remaining accrual at January 1, 2008	\$ 6.5	\$ 0.1
Expense provision	20.4	
Cash payments and other	(4.1)	(0.1)
Changes in estimates (1)	(11.7)	
Remaining accrual at December 31, 2008	11.1	
Expense provision	101.6	0.5
Cash payments and other	(44.9)	(0.3)
Changes in estimates	(9.3)	
Remaining accrual at December 31, 2009	\$ 58.5	\$ 0.2

(1) Changes in estimates during 2008 included reversals related to pre-merger restructuring accruals recorded in purchase accounting as well as items reported in the Restructuring line item of the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

In the fourth quarter of 2009, the Company recorded impairment charges related to goodwill, customer contracts, real property, software and other intangibles as a result of the annual impairment tests that were performed. A detailed discussion of the impairment analysis is in the Impairment discussion in the Overview section above. During the third quarter of 2009, the Company recorded a charge related to an intangible asset impairment within the International segment resulting from continuing and projected losses combined with a change in business strategy related to an existing business. This was reported in the Impairments line item of the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

In 2009, the Company recorded litigation and regulatory settlements representing anticipated settlements of several matters within the Financial Services segment.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

2008 Activities

	Pretax Benefit (Charge)											
Successor	Retail a			All Other								
Year ended December 31, 2008	Allian Servic			ancial rvices	Inte	ernational (in mill	Cor	and porate		vested erations	To	otals
Restructuring charges	\$ (7.2)	\$	(13.2)							\$	(20.4)
Restructuring accrual reversals		0.7		7.6					\$	0.1		8.4
Impairments	(1,10	6.5)	(1	,396.0)	\$	(376.2)	\$	(160.7)		(204.2)	(3,	243.6)
Total pretax benefit (charge), net of reversals	\$ (1,11	3.0)	\$ (1	,401.6)	\$	(376.2)	\$	(160.7)	\$	(204.1)	\$ (3,	255.6)

The 2008 restructurings resulted from the planned terminations of approximately 1,000 employees associated with initial plans for call center consolidation and global labor sourcing initiatives primarily related to information technology development. During the fourth quarter, the Company s strategy related to global labor sourcing initiatives changed resulting in delaying implementation of certain of the initiatives and 20% fewer terminations than originally planned which resulted in the reversal of the associated charges. During the first three quarters of 2008, the Company had additional severance costs which were recorded in purchase accounting.

In the fourth quarter of 2008, the Company recorded goodwill impairment charges as a result of the annual impairment tests that were performed. A detailed discussion of the goodwill impairment analysis is in the Impairment discussion in the Overview section above. Also during 2008, the Company recorded a charge related to an asset impairment associated with the Company s subsidiary, Peace Software (Peace), included within divested operations. The impairment occurred because of the deterioration of profitability on existing business and Peace s limited success in attracting new clients. This resulted in the Company recording an impairment of \$29.9 million of the goodwill and intangible assets associated with this business which was reported in the Impairments line item of the Consolidated Statements of Operations. The Company sold Peace in October of 2008.

2007 Activities

				Pre	etax I	Benefit (Cha	arge)			
Predecessor Period from January 1 through September 24, 2007	Retail and Alliance Services	 ancial vices	Inter	national	Pa S	tegrated ayment ystems a millions)		All Other and porate	 vested erations	Totals
Restructuring charges	\$ (2.8)		\$	(7.1)					\$ (0.3)	\$ (10.2)
Restructuring accrual reversals	0.4	\$ 0.2		0.9			\$	0.7	0.1	2.3
Impairments					\$	(16.3)		(4.3)		(20.6)
Litigation and regulatory settlements	(5.0)							2.5		(2.5)
Other	2.1			(0.4)		2.2		3.8		7.7
Total pretax benefit (charge), net of reversals	\$ (5.3)	\$ 0.2	\$	(6.6)	\$	(14.1)	\$	2.7	\$ (0.2)	\$ (23.3)

Edgar Filing: FIRST DATA CORP - Form 10-K

A portion of the restructuring charges in the predecessor period resulted from efforts to improve the overall efficiency and effectiveness of the sales and sales support teams principally within the Retail and Alliance Services segment. This action resulted in the termination of approximately 230 sales related employees

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

comprising approximately 10% of the merchant acquiring business regional sales, cross-sale and sales support organizations. The other restructuring in the predecessor period resulted from the termination of approximately 140 employees within the International segment associated with data center consolidation and global sourcing initiatives.

In November 2007, the Company terminated approximately 6% of its worldwide work force as part of a strategic plan following the merger addressing simplification, efficiencies and cost savings initiatives. A majority of the successor severance costs were recorded in purchase accounting while the remaining amount was recorded through current operations.

During the 2007 predecessor period, the Company recorded a charge of \$16.3 million related to the impairment of goodwill and intangible assets associated with the wind-down of the Company s official check and money order business and an additional \$4.3 million related to the impairment of fixed assets and software associated with its government business included in All Other and Corporate. The Company also recorded a \$5.0 million litigation accrual associated with a judgment against the Company pertaining to a vendor contract issue within the Retail and Alliance Services segment, and a benefit of \$2.5 million related to the Visa settlement originally recorded in 2006 in All Other and Corporate. The Company also released a portion of the domestic escheatment accrual made in the fourth quarter 2005 which is reflected in Other. The release was prompted by reaching resolution with a large majority of states as to the Company s escheatment liability.

Interest income

Interest income in 2009 decreased compared to 2008 due to lower interest rates and a decrease in cash balances. Interest income in 2008 decreased compared to the 2007 predecessor and successor periods due to the same factors.

Interest expense

Interest expense decreased in 2009 compared to 2008 due to lower average interest rates on variable rate debt in 2009. Also contributing to the decrease were interest rate swaps that no longer qualified for hedge accounting beginning in 2009, the impact of which is \$64.3 million and is recorded in the other income (expense) line item of the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Partially offsetting these decreases was an increase due to higher average balances (approximately \$22,609.8 million as of December 31, 2009 which is slightly higher than the debt balances as of December 31, 2008) as well as higher interest rates on the Company s senior unsecured debt in 2009 as the result of amendments to such debt in June 2008.

Interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2008 and the 2007 successor period were higher than the 2007 predecessor period most significantly due to debt (approximately \$22,572.5 million as of December 31, 2008) incurred primarily as the result of the merger. Prior to the merger in 2007, the Company had debt balances of less than \$3 billion. Higher interest rates on the new merger related debt also contributed to the increase.

Interest expense for 2008 decreased compared to pro forma 2007 primarily due to decreasing interest rates which favorably impacted all unhedged variable rate debt.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

Other income (expense)

4 . W	Year ended December 31,	Dece	Successor or ended omber 31,	Septem th Dece	iod from ber 25, 2007 brough	Po f Jan thi Septe	lecessor eriod rom uary 1 rough mber 24,
(in millions)	2009		2008		2007		2007
Investment gains and (losses)	\$ 3.0	\$	21.1	\$	0.9	\$	(2.0)
Derivative financial instruments gains and (losses)	(67.4)		(12.9)		(33.3)		(0.6)
Divestitures, net	(12.9)		(8.5)		0.2		6.1
Debt repayment gains and (losses)			7.0		(17.2)		1.4
Non-operating foreign currency gains and (losses)	10.5		(21.1)		(24.6)		
Other	5.5						
Other income (expense)	\$ (61.3)	\$	(14.4)	\$	(74.0)	\$	4.9

Investment gains and (losses) The 2008 investment gains and losses resulted from the recognition of a gain related to the sale of MasterCard stock in the Retail and Alliance Services and International segments and a gain on the sale of investment securities within the Financial Services segment partially offset by a loss resulting from a money market investment impairment.

Derivative financial instruments gains and (losses) The net gains and losses in 2009 were due most significantly to the mark-to-market adjustments for cross currency swaps and interest rate swaps that are not designated as accounting hedges as well as the impact of payments on interest rate swaps that do not qualify as accounting hedges.

The derivative financial instruments loss in 2008 related most significantly to \$16.0 million of charges for ineffectiveness from interest rate swaps that were designated as accounting hedges but are not perfectly effective partially offset by miscellaneous individually insignificant items.

The derivative loss in the 2007 successor period related most significantly to a \$12.2 million mark-to-market loss on collars entered into to economically hedge, although not designated as an accounting hedge, MasterCard stock held by the Company. These collars were terminated in January 2008 in connection with the sale of the hedged MasterCard stock. A loss of approximately \$19 million was also recognized due to decreases in the fair value of forward starting, deal contingent interest rate swaps of a subsidiary of KKR, Omaha Acquisition Corporation, for the period prior to its merger with and into the Company from March 29, 2007 (its formation) through September 24, 2007 and prior to their designation as a hedge.

Divestitures, net The loss in 2009 resulted from the Company selling its debit and credit card issuing and acquiring processing business in Austria in August 2009. The loss is partially offset by a gain related to the sale of a merchant acquiring business in Canada in November 2009. During 2008, the Company recognized a loss related to a divestiture of a business within the International segment. The Company also recognized a pretax loss of \$3.8 million resulting from the sale of 12.5% of its membership interest in Wells Fargo Merchant Services, LLC discussed above in Overview . During the 2007 predecessor period, the Company recognized benefits resulting from the release of excess divestiture accruals due to the expiration of certain contingencies.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

Debt repayment gains and losses The 2008 debt repayment gain related to the early repayment of long-term debt at a discount from the principal amount. In the 2007 successor period, the debt repayment losses related to costs of tendering debt at the time of the merger and the premium paid for obtaining a consent from holders to modify terms of the Company s debt they held.

Non-operating foreign currency gains and (losses) For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and the 2007 successor period, the net non-operating foreign currency exchange gains and losses related to the mark-to-market of the Company's intercompany loans and the euro-denominated debt issued in connection with the merger. Historically, intercompany loans were deemed to be of a long-term nature for which settlement was not planned or anticipated in the foreseeable future. Accordingly, the translation adjustments were reported in Other comprehensive income . Effective in September 2007 and in conjunction with the merger, the Company made the decision to begin settling intercompany loans which results in a benefit or charge to earnings due to movement in foreign currency exchange rates.

Income taxes

The Company s effective tax rate on pretax income (loss) from continuing operations was 36.3%, a tax benefit, in 2009, 16.2%, a tax benefit, in 2008, 40.1%, a tax benefit, for the 2007 successor period, and 18.1%, a tax expense, in the 2007 predecessor period. The calculation of the effective tax rate includes most of the equity earnings in affiliates in pretax income because this item relates principally to entities that are considered pass-through entities for income tax purposes.

The effective tax rate benefit in 2009 is greater than the statutory rate due primarily to state tax benefits, foreign income taxed at lower effective rates and net income attributable to noncontrolling interests for pass through entities for which there was no tax expense provided. These positive adjustments were partially offset by an increase in the Company s liability for unrecognized tax benefits and an increase in the valuation allowance established against certain state and foreign net operating losses.

The effective tax rate benefit in 2008 was less than the statutory rate due primarily to the non-deductibility of most of the goodwill impairment expense recorded in the fourth quarter of 2008. Partially offsetting the tax disallowance of the goodwill impairment was the release of a valuation allowance against foreign tax credits established since consummation of the merger.

The change from pretax income in predecessor periods to a pretax loss in the 2007 successor period caused a general shift from an overall tax expense to an overall tax benefit. The non-taxable interest income from the IPS municipal bond portfolio in the 2007 successor period caused an increase to the effective tax rate benefit of approximately 8%. State income tax benefits were reduced in the successor loss period for separate company income and franchise tax liabilities. Also reducing the tax benefit of the pretax loss in the successor period was the valuation allowance against foreign operating losses in certain countries and foreign tax credits.

The non-taxable interest income from the IPS municipal bond portfolio significantly impacted the effective tax rate from continuing operations in the predecessor period, reducing the statutory rate by approximately 16 percentage points in the 2007 predecessor period. Most of the IPS municipal bond portfolio was converted into taxable investments in January 2008 and therefore did not have a significant impact on the Company s effective tax rate in 2008 or 2009.

Subsequent to the merger and as part of the First Data Holdings, Inc. (Holdings) consolidated federal group and consolidated, combined or unitary state groups for income tax purposes, the Company has been and

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

continues to be in a tax net operating loss position. The Company, however, continues to incur income taxes in states for which it files returns on a separate entity basis and in certain foreign countries. Generally these foreign income taxes result in a foreign tax credit in the U.S. to the extent of any U.S. income taxes on the income upon repatriation. The Company currently anticipates being able to utilize in the future most of its existing federal and state net operating loss carryforwards and its existing foreign tax credits due to the existence of significant deferred tax liabilities established in connection with purchase accounting for the merger. Accordingly, the Company has not established valuation allowances against most of such loss carryforwards nor against such foreign tax credits. The Company, however, may not be able to record a benefit related to losses in certain states and foreign countries, requiring the establishment of valuation allowances. The additional taxes recognized as part of discontinued operations in 2007 related to 2006 income tax return to provision true-ups and other tax items associated with operations discontinued in 2006.

During the year ended December 31, 2009, the Company s liability for unrecognized tax benefits was reduced by \$5 million after negotiating settlements with certain state jurisdictions. The reduction in the liability was recorded through cash payments and a decrease to tax expense. As of December 31, 2009, the Company anticipates it is reasonably possible that its liability for unrecognized tax benefits may decrease by approximately \$49 million within the next twelve months as the result of the possible closure of its 2002 federal tax year, the possible resolutions of specific contested issues in the 2003 and 2004 federal tax years, and the lapse of the statute of limitations in various state jurisdictions. The potential decrease relates to various federal and state tax benefits including research and experimentation credits and certain amortization, loss and stock warrant deductions.

The Internal Revenue Service (IRS) completed its examination of the United States federal consolidated income tax returns of the Company for 2003 and 2004 and issued a Notice of Deficiency (the Notice) in December 2008. The Notice claims that the Company and its subsidiaries, which included Western Union during the years at issue, owe significant additional taxes, interest and penalties with respect to a variety of adjustments. The Company and Western Union agree with several of the adjustments in the Notice. As to the adjustments that are in dispute, for 2003 such issues represent total taxes and penalties allegedly due of approximately \$34 million, of which \$11 million relates to the Company and \$23 million relates to Western Union, and for 2004 such issues represent total taxes and penalties allegedly due of approximately \$94 million, of which \$2 million relates to the Company and \$92 million relates to Western Union. The Company estimates that the total interest due (pretax) on such amounts for both years is approximately \$49 million through December 31, 2009, of which \$6 million relates to the Company and \$43 million relates Western Union. As to the disputed issues, the Company and Western Union are contesting the asserted deficiencies in United States Tax Court. The Company believes that it has adequately reserved for its disputed issues and final resolution of those issues will not have a material adverse effect on its financial position or results of operations.

Under the Tax Allocation Agreement executed at the time of the spin-off of Western Union on September 29, 2006, Western Union is responsible for and must indemnify the Company against all taxes, interest and penalties that relate to Western Union for periods prior to the spin-off date, including the amounts asserted in the Notice as described above. If Western Union were to agree to or be finally determined to owe any amounts for such periods but were to default in its indemnification obligation under the Tax Allocation Agreement, the Company as parent of the tax group during such periods generally would be required to pay the amounts to the relevant tax authority, resulting in a potentially material adverse effect on the Company s financial position and results of operations. As of December 31, 2009, the Company had approximately \$137 million of uncertain income tax liabilities recorded related to Western Union for periods prior to the spin-off date. The Company has recorded a corresponding account receivable of equal amount from Western Union,

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

which is included as a long-term account receivable in the Other long-term assets line of the Company s Consolidated Balance Sheets, reflecting the indemnification obligation. The uncertain income tax liabilities and corresponding receivable are based on information provided by Western Union regarding its tax contingency reserves for periods prior to the spin-off date. There is no assurance that a Western Union-related issue raised by the IRS or other tax authority will be finally resolved at a cost not in excess of the amount reserved and reflected in the Company s uncertain income tax liabilities and corresponding receivable from Western Union.

Equity earnings in affiliates

Equity earnings in affiliates decreased in 2009 compared to 2008 due to the net impact of the CPS and WFMS alliance transactions described above. Equity earnings in affiliates for 2008 and in the 2007 successor period was lower than the 2007 predecessor period due to increased amortization associated with the value assigned to the identifiable intangible assets of merchant alliances from the excess of the Company s investment over the proportionate share of the affiliates net assets from the merger as well as amortization of customer relationships on an accelerated basis in the successor periods. Equity earnings in affiliates for 2008 also were negatively impacted due to the CPS alliance termination which occurred on November 1, 2008 resulting in two fewer months of equity earnings when compared to the proforma 2007 period.

Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests

Most of the net income attributable to noncontrolling interests relates to the Company's consolidated merchant alliances. Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests decreased in 2009 compared to 2008 due to the deconsolidation of the alliance with Wells Fargo at December 31, 2008 upon sale of part of the Company's interest in the alliance discussed in Overview above. Partially offsetting this decrease was an increase due to the formation of the BAMS alliance beginning in June 2009. Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests increased in 2008 compared to 2007 due to the new alliance with Allied Irish Banks p.l.c. (AIB) in January 2008 and higher earnings from the alliance with Wells Fargo.

Segment Results

FDC classifies its businesses into four segments: Retail and Alliance Services, Financial Services, International and Integrated Payment Systems. Integrated Payment Systems and All Other and Corporate are not discussed separately as their results that had a significant impact on operating results are discussed in the Consolidated Results discussion above. As discussed above in Overview, results of operations reflect the segment realignment for all periods presented.

The Company sold a merchant acquiring business in Canada as well as a debit and credit card issuing and acquiring processing business in Austria and Active Business Services, Ltd, all reported within the International segment, in November 2009, August 2009 and July 2008, respectively, and Peace Software, reported within the Financial Services segment, in October 2008. The results of divested businesses are excluded from segment results. The International and Financial Services performance measures have been adjusted for 2009, 2008 and 2007 to exclude the results of divested businesses. Retail and Alliance Services segment performance measures have been adjusted for 2008 and 2007 to reflect the sale of 12.5% of the Company s ownership interest in the Wells Fargo Merchant Services alliance that occurred on December 31, 2008.

Beginning in the third quarter of 2009, the Company changed the financial reports provided to its Chief Executive Officer, the Company $\,$ s chief operating decision maker ($\,$ CODM $\,$), to better enable him to make operating decisions and assess the performance of the Company $\,$ s business segments. The segments have not

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

changed from those described in the Segment Realignment section above but the presentation of the results has changed from prior periods. Results for 2008 and 2007 have been adjusted to conform to this presentation.

The business segment measurements provided to and evaluated by the CODM are computed in accordance with the following principles:

The accounting policies of the operating segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies.

Retail and Alliance Services segment revenue does not include equity earnings because it is reported using proportionate consolidation as described below. Other segment revenue includes equity earnings in affiliates (excluding amortization expense) and intersegment revenue.

Segment revenue excludes reimbursable debit network fees, postage and other revenue.

Segment earnings before net interest expense, income taxes, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA) includes equity earnings in affiliates and excludes depreciation and amortization expense, net income attributable to noncontrolling interests, other operating expenses and other income (expense). Retail and Alliance Services segment EBITDA does not include equity earnings because it is reported using proportionate consolidation as described below. Additionally, segment EBITDA is adjusted for items similar to certain of those used in calculating the company s compliance with debt covenants. The additional items that are adjusted to determine segment EBITDA are:

stock based compensation expense is excluded;

official check and money order businesses EBITDA are excluded;

cost of data center technology and savings initiatives are excluded and represent implementation costs associated with initiatives to reduce operating expenses including items such as platform and data center consolidation initiatives in the International segment, expenses related to the reorganization of global application development resources, expenses associated with domestic data center consolidation initiatives and planned workforce reduction expenses, as well as certain platform development and other costs directly associated with the termination of the CPS alliance all of which are considered nonrecurring projects (excludes costs accrued in purchase accounting);

KKR merger related items are excluded and represent third party expenses including legal, accounting and other advisory fees incurred in connection with the merger of the Company with affiliates of KKR and the debt issued thereunder. Other adjustments also include the exclusion of KKR annual sponsor fees for management, consulting, financial and other advisory services and the effect of purchase accounting associated with the merger on EBITDA which is primarily the result of revenue recognition adjustments.

Edgar Filing: FIRST DATA CORP - Form 10-K

Retail and Alliance Services segment revenue and EBITDA are reflected based on the Company s proportionate share of the results of its investments in businesses accounted for under the equity method and consolidated subsidiaries with noncontrolling ownership interests. In addition, Retail and Alliance Services segment measures reflect commission payments to certain ISO s, which are treated as an expense in the Consolidated Statements of Operations, as contra revenue to be consistent with revenue share arrangements with other ISO s that are recorded as contra revenue.

Corporate operations include administrative and shared service functions such as the executive group, legal, tax, treasury, internal audit, accounting, human resources, information technology and procurement. Costs incurred by Corporate that are directly attributable to a segment are allocated to the respective segment. Administrative and shared service costs are retained by Corporate.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

Retail and Alliance Services Segment Results

	Histo	orical		P	ro Forma	a Historical				
	Succ	essor				Successor	Pr	edecessor	Percen	t Change
						Period from	Pe	riod from		Historical
						September 25	J	anuary 1		2008
	Year ended	Y	ear ended	Y	ear ended	through	1	through	2009	vs.
	December 31,	Dec	cember 31,	De	cember 31,	December 31,	Sep	tember 24,	vs.	Pro Forma
(in millions)	2009		2008		2007	2007	2007		2008	2007
Revenues:										
Transaction and										
processing service fees	\$ 2,720.1	\$	2,894.2	\$	2,871.1	\$ 801.2	\$	2,069.9	(6)%	1%
Investment income, net	5.4		44.3		84.6	22.2		62.4	(88)%	(48)%
Product sales and other	337.3		338.7		373.4	93.4		280.0	0%	(9)%
Segment revenue	\$ 3,062.8	\$	3,277.2	\$	3,329.1	\$ 916.8	\$	2,412.3	(7)%	(2)%
Segment EBITDA	\$ 1,193.5	\$	1,407.8	\$	1,380.5	\$ 395.3	\$	985.2	(15)%	2%
Segment Margin	39%		43%		41%	43%		41%	(4)pts	2pts
	Yea	ar end	led December	31,						
	2009		2008		2007					
Key indicators:										
Domestic merchant										
transactions (a)	28,257.8		26,856.9		25,359.0				5%	6%

⁽a) Domestic merchant transactions include acquired VISA and MasterCard credit and signature debit, PIN-debit, electronic benefits transactions, and processed-only or gateway customer transactions at the POS. Domestic merchant transactions include 100% of the CPS alliance transactions in 2007 and through the November 1, 2008 termination date. Subsequent to the termination of the alliance, domestic merchant transactions include transactions related to the Company s 49% proportionate share of the alliance s assets rather than 100% of alliance activity. In addition, domestic merchant transactions include activity for JPMorgan Chase merchants that continue to process on the Company s platforms. The domestic merchant transactions continue to reflect all WFMS alliance transactions despite the deconsolidation described above. Domestic merchant transactions for 2009 also include all of the transactions related to merchants contributed by BofA to the BAMS alliance since the alliance was formed on June 26, 2009.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

Transaction and processing service fees revenue

	Hist	Historical			ro Forma	His	storica	I		
	Suc	cesso	or			Successor	Pı	edecessor	Percei	nt Change
						Period from	Pe	riod from		Historical
						September 25	J	anuary 1		2008
	Year ended	Ye	ear ended		ear ended	through		through	2009	vs.
	December 31,	Dec	ember 31,	De	ecember 31, December 31,		Ser	tember 24,	vs.	Pro Forma
(in millions)	2009		2008		2007	2007	•	2007	2008	2007
Acquiring revenue	\$ 2,078.3	\$	2,160.7	\$	2,099.2	\$ 571.9	\$	1,527.3	(4)%	3%
Check processing revenue	357.2		380.2		411.9	112.0		299.9	(6)%	(8)%
Prepaid revenue	212.4		228.6		214.8	76.8		138.0	(7)%	6%
Processing fees and other revenue from alliance partners	72.2		124.7		145.2	40.5		104.7	(42)%	(14)%
Total transaction and processing service fees revenue	\$ 2,720.1	\$	2,894.2	\$	2,871.1	\$ 801.2	\$	2,069.9	(6)%	1%

Acquiring revenue

Acquiring revenue decreased in 2009 compared to 2008 due to economic weakness and resulting changes in consumer spending patterns, merchant attrition, and price compression. The changes in spending patterns resulted in a decrease to the average ticket size of signature based transactions and a shift from smaller, more profitable merchants to national discounters and wholesalers. Price compression was within the Company s historical three to five percentage range. Also, the Company experienced a shift from credit card usage to the use of PIN debit cards resulting in less revenue per transaction. These effects were partly offset by increased transaction volume, new sales and higher fee-related income.

Transactions are not comparable year over year due to the items noted in (a) above. BAMS transactions earn a lower revenue amount per transaction than the Company has historically experienced due to its business mix. Also, differences in transaction growth and revenue growth occur due to debit transactions having lower revenue per transaction, the impact of adverse economic conditions including lower average ticket size and business mix whereby national merchants and ISO growth are outpacing other higher margin transactions.

The Company anticipates that acquiring revenue trends could continue to be negatively impacted by transaction volume shifting from smaller merchants to discounters and wholesalers, PIN-debit growth outpacing credit, and lower average ticket size. These trends are all impacted by consumer spending patterns.

Revenue in 2008 compared to 2007 was positively impacted by annual fees recognized in the fourth quarter of 2008 that were not included in 2007 results due to purchase accounting related to the merger as well as changes in pricing. Acquiring revenue was negatively impacted due to lower average ticket size for acquired credit card transactions, to shifts in transaction volumes from smaller, more profitable merchants to several nationwide discounters and wholesalers and other impacts of the economy.

Revenue growth for 2008 compared to pro forma 2007 was most significantly impacted by annual fees. This increase was partially offset by the factors noted above.

Edgar Filing: FIRST DATA CORP - Form 10-K

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

Check processing revenue

Check processing revenue decreased in 2009 compared to 2008 resulting from a decrease in overall check volumes, particularly with the regional merchants, and, to a lesser extent, a shift in transactions to national merchants which have lower processing revenue due to volume.

Check processing revenue for 2008 was negatively impacted by a decrease in revenue from existing clients due to declines in overall check volumes from those seen in 2007 with the check verification volumes experiencing the most significant decrease. The decrease in revenue from existing clients negatively impacted the 2008 check services revenue growth rate by 9 percentage points compared to pro forma 2007.

Prepaid revenue

Prepaid revenue decreased in 2009 compared to 2008 due to transaction volume and card shipment declines as a result of an adverse economy. Partially offsetting the decrease was an increase due to new business.

Prepaid revenue for 2008 benefited from growth of existing clients due to transaction growth as well as having a full year of results for an acquisition that was completed in the fourth quarter of 2007. Prepaid services revenue for 2008 was negatively impacted by net lost business primarily in ATM services.

Processing fees and other revenue from alliance partners

The decrease in processing fees and other revenue from alliance partners is due to the termination of the CPS alliance partially offset by processing fees related to the BAMS alliance. Processing fees and other revenue from alliance partners in 2008 compared to 2007 was negatively impacted due most significantly as a result of the CPS termination on November 1, 2008 (two fewer months in 2008 compared to 2007).

Product sales and other revenue

Product sales and other revenue growth for 2009 compared to 2008 remained flat. Revenue for 2008 was negatively impacted by decreased terminal sales resulting from slowing in equipment demand in part due to elevated prior year placements associated with merchants having to remain compliant with association rules, price compression and merchant portfolio sales in the first three quarters of 2007. The Company had portfolio sales in the fourth quarter of 2008, however, no gain was recognized due to the effects of purchase accounting for the merger.

Segment EBITDA

In addition to the impact of the items noted above in the revenue discussion, Retail and Alliance Services segment EBITDA in 2009 compared to 2008 was negatively impacted by the effects of the economy on spending patterns, increased credit losses due to a higher level of merchant failures and bankruptcy filings and by the negative impact of the BAMS alliance due to third party processing of the bank contributed merchants in the short-term. This negative impact will gradually reverse over time as the Company operationalizes the alliance, captures synergies and converts merchants to its platform. Increased credit losses negatively impacted segment EBITDA growth rates by 3 percentage points for 2009 compared to 2008. Partially offsetting these decreases was an increase due to lower incentive compensation in 2009 that contributed 1 percentage point to the segment EBITDA growth rate for 2009 compared to 2008 as well as general reductions in work force.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

Retail and Alliance Services segment EBITDA in 2008 was also positively impacted by the annual fees and change in pricing discussed in the acquiring revenue discussion above. Segment EBITDA in 2008 was negatively impacted due to a slow 2008 holiday season. The 2008 segment EBITDA was not impacted by a charge similar to that recognized during the first quarter 2007 when the Company bought out a revenue sharing agreement as part of a new, larger relationship with Discover Financial Services LLC.

Segment EBITDA for 2008 increased compared to the same pro forma 2007 period due to the items noted above.

Financial Services Segment Results

	Historical Successor				Pı	ro Forma	Hi	storical				
							Successor Period from	Pr	edecessor	Percent Change		
(in millions)	Dece	Year ended ember 31, 2009	Year ended December 31, 2008		Year ended December 31, 2007		September 25 through December 31, 2007	J	eriod from anuary 1 through otember 24, 2007	2009 vs. 2008	Historical 2008 vs. Pro Forma 2007	
Revenues:												
Transaction and processing service fees	\$	1,379.8	\$	1,480.4	\$	1,483.1	\$ 405.6	\$	1,077.5	(7)%	(0)%	
Investment income, net		1.0	_	2.6		4.1	0.7	3.4		(62)%	(37)%	
Product sales and other		62.0		34.5		58.3	8.8		49.5	80%	(41)%	
Segment revenue	\$	1,442.8	\$	1,517.5	\$	1,545.5	\$ 415.1	\$	1,130.4	(5)%	(2)%	
Segment EBITDA	\$	645.3	\$	753.1	\$	723.2	\$ 202.2	\$	521.0	(14)%	4%	
Segment margin		45%		50%		47%	49%		46%	(5)pts	3pts	
											•	
		Yea 2009	r end	led December 2008	31,	2007						
Key indicators:												
Domestic debit issuer transactions (a)	1	2,222.5		12,042.2		11,651.4				1%	3%	
Domestic active card accounts on file (end of period) (b)												
Bankcard		48.3		50.5		48.4				(4)%	4%	
Retail		72.6		77.1		79.9				(6)%	(4)%	
Total		120.9		127.6		128.3				(5)%	(1)%	
Domestic card accounts on file (end of period) (c)												
Bankcard		123.2		131.0		130.7				(6)%	0%	
Retail		385.3		379.4		381.8				2%	(1)%	
Debit		153.3		126.8		122.3				21%	4%	

Edgar Filing: FIRST DATA CORP - Form 10-K

Total	661.8	637.2	634.8	4%	0%

(a) Domestic debit issuer transactions include VISA and MasterCard signature debit, STAR ATM, STAR PIN-debit POS and ATM and PIN-debit POS gateway transactions.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

- (b) Domestic active card accounts on file include bankcard and retail accounts that had a balance or any monetary posting or authorization activity during the last month of the quarter.
- (c) Domestic card accounts on file include credit, retail and debit card accounts as of the last month of the quarter. Transaction and processing service fees revenue

Components of transaction and processing service fees revenue

	Historical Successor			Pı	Pro Forma Hist					
						Successor	Predecessor		Percent Change	
					Period from	Period from			Historical	
						September 25	Ja	nuary 1		2008
	Year ended	Ye	ar ended		ear ended	through	t	hrough	2009	vs.
	December 31,	Dec	ember 31,	Dec	ember 31,	December 31,	Sep	tember 24,	vs.	Pro Forma
(in millions)	2009		2008		2007	2007		2007	2008	2007
Credit card, retail card and debit										
processing	\$ 972.0	\$	1,019.9	\$	1,033.2	\$ 282.9	\$	750.3	(5)%	(1)%
Output services	242.5		285.1		276.2	71.3		204.9	(15)%	3%
Other revenue	165.3		175.4		173.7	51.4		122.3	(6)%	1%
Total	\$ 1,379.8	\$	1,480.4	\$	1,483.1	\$ 405.6	\$	1,077.5	(7)%	(0)%

Credit card, retail card and debit processing revenue

Credit card and retail card processing revenue was negatively impacted in 2009 compared to 2008 due to the decline in active accounts and reduced pricing partially offset by net new business. As a result of the adverse economic conditions credit card issuers are being more selective with whom they issue cards as discussed above and consumers are using their cards less frequently resulting in fewer active credit and retail card accounts.

The economic downturn has also slowed the growth rate of debit issuer transactions. Growth in debit issuer transactions was largely offset by transactions lost as a result of the Washington Mutual deconversion. In 2009 compared to 2008, debit issuer transaction growth exceeded the impact of the lost Washington Mutual transactions resulting in a modest increase. Debit issuer transactions grew in 2009 compared to 2008 and in 2008 compared to 2007 due most significantly to the shift to debit cards from credit cards, cash and checks largely offset by lost business.

Debit processing revenue in 2009 compared to 2008 was negatively impacted by the Washington Mutual impact noted above as well as other lost business and price compression partially offset by debit transaction growth and new business. The Financial Services segment Credit card, retail card and debit processing revenue growth rates were negatively impacted by 3 percentage points in 2009 compared to 2008 as a result of the termination of services provided to Washington Mutual. Approximately half of the impact on revenue from the Washington Mutual deconversion (mostly debit processing and output services) was offset in total Financial Services segment revenue by the recognition of contract termination fees in the Product sales and other line in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Certain agreements, representing 19% of Washington Mutual 2008 revenue, will terminate in 2010. Recognition of contract termination fees will partially offset the impact of the lost business in 2010. Washington Mutual Bank represented approximately 7% of transaction and processing service fees revenue for the segment in 2008.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

The Company received notification from a large financial institution that it will not renew its debit processing agreement at the end of the contract term. The contract is scheduled to expire in December 2010 and a portion of the business is expected to begin deconverting in late 2010 with minimal impact to revenue in 2010. The Company has also received notification of termination from various other financial institutions that are less significant individually, which are scheduled to deconvert throughout 2010. Including the large financial institution, these agreements represented approximately 4% of the segment stransaction and processing service fees revenue for 2009.

Credit card, retail card and debit processing revenue was negatively impacted in 2008 by price compression and net lost business but benefited from growth of existing clients as well as having a full year of results from the Instant Cash Services® acquisition. The acquisition related to the debit card processing business occurred in the first half of 2007. Credit card and retail card processing revenue and debit processing revenue both decreased in 2008 compared to pro forma 2007 due to the factors noted above. The acquisition noted above contributed 1 percentage point to the credit card, retail card and debit processing revenue growth rate.

Output services revenue

Output services revenue decreased in 2009 compared to 2008 due to lost business and decreases in print mail and plastics volumes from existing customers as a result of the reduction in the number of accounts and account activity due to adverse economic conditions. Partially offsetting these decreases were increases due to additional print and plastics volumes as a result of new business. Most of the lost business relates to Washington Mutual Bank, which represented 17% of output services revenue in 2008. The output services revenue growth rate was negatively impacted by 9 percentage points for 2009 compared to 2008 as a result of the lost business with Washington Mutual Bank.

Output services revenue benefited in 2008 from internal growth partially offset by net lost business. The lost business related to statement production.

Other revenue

Other revenue consists mostly of revenue from remittance processing and online banking and bill payment services. Other revenue decreased in 2009 compared to 2008 due to lost business and lower remittance and check processing volumes due to adverse economic conditions and the shift from paper to electronic forms of payment. The wind-down of an existing product also contributed to the decrease. These declines were partially offset by the addition of a new client in the remittance business as well as growth in online banking and bill payment revenue.

Other revenue for 2008 benefited from having a full year of results from the FundsXpress acquisition, an on-line banking and bill payment service provider acquired in the first half of 2007, but was negatively impacted by net lost business related to remittance processing and call volumes. The FundsXpress acquisition benefited the 2008 other revenue growth rate by 9 percentage points compared to pro forma 2007 and net lost business negatively impacted the 2008 growth rate by 8 percentage points.

Product sales and other revenue

Product sales and other revenue increased in 2009 compared to 2008 due most significantly to the recognition of contract termination fees in 2009 related to the termination of services with Washington Mutual Bank.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

Product sales and other revenue in 2008 was negatively impacted due most significantly to contract termination fees received in the 2007 predecessor period as well as a decrease in professional service fees in 2008 in the credit card and retail card businesses.

Segment EBITDA

In addition to the items noted above, Financial Services segment EBITDA decreased in 2009 compared to 2008 due most significantly to higher costs as a result of technology contractor services (including costs related to compliance with new credit card regulations) as well as higher technology cost allocations. Also impacting segment EBITDA was lower incentive compensation which benefited the growth rate by 2 percentage points. The contract termination fees related to the Washington Mutual Bank agreement termination discussed above offset the impact of losing the processing services such that the termination had no impact on segment EBITDA. The termination would have otherwise affected the segment EBITDA growth rate by 4 percentage points in 2009 compared to 2008.

Financial Services segment EBITDA in 2008 was positively impacted by decreases in compensation and other operating expenses resulting from restructurings in the fourth quarter of 2007 and other reductions in staff in 2008. Such reductions in expenses were significant enough to substantially offset the impact of price reductions and lost business. Negatively impacting 2008 are the items noted above in the revenue discussion.

International Segment Results

	Hist	orica	l	P	ro Forma	His	torical				
	Succ	essoi	•			Successor	Pr	edecessor	Percei	nt Change	
(in millions)	Year ended December 31, 2009		ear ended cember 31, 2008		ear ended cember 31, 2007	Period from September 25 through December 31, 2007	Ja t	riod from anuary 1 hrough tember 24, 2007	2009 vs. 2008	Historical 2008 vs. Pro Forma 2007	
Revenues:											
Transaction and processing service fees	\$ 1,197.1	\$	1,324.3	\$	1,162.9	\$ 355.1	\$	807.8	(10)%	14%	
Product sales and other	334.1		315.6		266.1	82.0		184.1	6%	19%	
Other revenues	40.9		56.1		50.2	13.8		36.4	(27)%	12%	
Segment revenue	\$ 1,572.1	\$	1,696.0	\$	1,479.2	\$ 450.9	\$	1,028.3	(7)%	15%	
Segment EBITDA	\$ 398.7	\$	433.3	\$	368.9	\$ 117.9	\$	251.0	(8)%	17%	
Segment Margin	25%		26%		25%	26%		24%	(1)pt	1pt	
Year ended December 31, 2009 2008 2007											
Key indicators:											
International transactions (a)	5,826.8		5,397.2		4,525.5				8%	19%	
International card accounts on file											
(end of period) (b)	80.9		80.1		62.5				1%	28%	

⁽a) International transactions include VISA, MasterCard and other card association merchant acquiring and switching, and debit issuer transactions for clients outside the U.S. Transactions include credit, signature debit and PIN-debit POS, POS gateway and ATM

Edgar Filing: FIRST DATA CORP - Form 10-K

transactions.

(b) International card accounts on file include bankcard and retail.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

Summary

Segment revenue decreased in 2009 compared to 2008 due to foreign currency exchange rate movements, price compression and lost business. Foreign currency exchange rate movements negatively impacted the segment revenue growth rate by 9 percentage points for 2009 compared to 2008. Partially offsetting these decreases were new business and growth from existing clients.

Segment revenue in 2008 benefited from acquisitions and growth of existing clients. The most significant of these acquisitions were First Data Polska in Poland and the alliance with AIB in Ireland. Negatively impacting 2008 was price compression and net lost business.

Transaction and processing service fee revenue

Transaction and processing service fees revenue decreased in 2009 compared to 2008 due generally to the same items noted above. Foreign currency exchange rate movements negatively impacted the transaction and processing service fees revenue growth rate by 9 percentage points for 2009 compared to 2008. The majority of the lost business noted above impacted the United Kingdom, Canada and Germany in 2009, a significant portion of which related to the wind-down of a UK issuing contract assumed by the Company in a previous year. Partially offsetting these decreases was an increase due to regulation changes in Australia allowing direct charging of transaction fees to customers in 2009, new business and growth from existing clients.

Transaction and processing service fees revenue benefited in 2008 due generally to the factors noted above. Acquisitions benefited revenue most significantly followed by growth of existing clients driven by increased transaction volumes. Revenue from the acquisitions related mostly to merchant businesses and growth from existing clients was driven mostly by activity in Argentina, Slovakia, Greece, Korea and the UK acquiring business. Negatively impacting 2008 was price compression. Foreign currency exchange rates did not have a significant impact on growth rates in 2008.

Transaction and processing service fee revenue is driven by accounts on file and transactions. The spread between growth in these two indicators and revenue growth was driven mostly by the impact of foreign exchange rate movements, the mix of transaction types and price compression.

Product sales and other revenue

Product sales and other revenue increased in 2009 compared to 2008 due mostly to new license fee revenue and new business partially offset by decreased equipment and terminal sales.

Product sales and other revenue benefited in 2008 from increased terminal-related revenue and the impact of acquisitions but was negatively impacted by a decrease in professional services fees in 2008 due to the completion of projects in 2007 as well as contract termination fees received in 2007.

Segment EBITDA

Segment EBITDA decreased in 2009 compared to 2008 due to foreign currency exchange rate movements and price compression (as discussed in the revenue discussion above) as well as other items that were not individually significant. Foreign currency exchange rate movements adversely impacted the segment EBITDA growth rate by 11 percentage points in 2009 compared to 2008. Partially offsetting these decreases were benefits related to reduced headcount, growth from existing clients and lower incentive compensation in 2009.

Segment EBITDA in 2008 was impacted by the factors noted in the revenue discussion above as well as lower employee related expenses due to merger related reductions in force partially offset by an assessment for delays in a conversion project.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

Pro Forma Financial Information

The following Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations reflects the consolidated results of operations of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2007 as if the merger had occurred on January 1, 2007. The pro forma statement is derived from the application of pro forma adjustments to the historical Statement of Operations of the predecessor period January 1, 2007 to September 24, 2007 and the successor period from September 25, 2007 to December 31, 2007. The pro forma Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements, related notes and other financial information included elsewhere in this Form 10-K.

The pro forma adjustments are described in the notes to the pro forma Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations and are based on available information and assumptions that management believes are reasonable. The pro forma adjustments and results of operations in the successor period are based on the final allocation of the purchase price and final valuation of intangible and fixed assets and reflect the modification of certain of the debt from variable to fixed interest rates. The pro forma Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations is not necessarily indicative of the future results of operations of the successor Company or results of operations of the successor Company that would have actually occurred had the merger been consummated as of January 1, 2007.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations

	His	torical	Pro Forma Adjustments		Pr	o Forma
(in millions)	Successor Period from September 25 through December 31, 2007	Predecessor Period from January 1 through September 24, 2007				ear ended ember 31, 2007
Revenues:						
Transaction and processing service fees	\$ 1,553.3	\$ 3,965.9	\$		\$	5,519.2
Investment income, net	(8.2)	(66.9)				(75.1)
Product sales and other	223.0	616.4				839.4
Reimbursable debit network fees, postage and other	510.4	1,257.5				1,767.9
	2,278.5	5,772.9				8,051.4
Expenses:						
Cost of services (exclusive of items shown below)	753.8	2,116.2	(114.2)	(a)		2,755.8
Cost of products sold	87.3	209.2	,	()		296.5
Selling, general and administrative	404.4	1,149.9	(150.1)	(b)		1,404.2
Reimbursable debit network fees, postage and other	510.4	1,257.5	()	(-)		1,767.9
Depreciation and amortization	367.8	476.4	409.7	(c)		1,253.9
Other operating expenses (d)	(0.2)	23.3		(-)		23.1
o mer operating enpenses (a)	(0.2)	20.0				20.1
	2,123.5	5,232.5	145.4			7,501.4
Operating profit	155.0	540.4	(145.4)			550.0
Interest income	17.9	30.8				48.7
Interest expense	(584.7)	(103.6)	(1,348.1)	(e)		(2,036.4)
Other income (expense)	(74.0)	4.9	15.8	(f)		(53.3)
(I)	(* ***)			()		()
(Loss) income before income taxes, equity earnings in affiliates and						
discontinued operations	(485.8)	472.5	(1,477.7)			(1,491.0)
Income tax (benefit) expense	(176.1)	125.8	(601.8)	(g)		(652.1)
Equity earnings in affiliates	46.8	223.0	(135.8)	(h)		134.0
Equity carnings in armaces	+0.0	223.0	(133.0)	(11)		134.0
Net (loss) income from continuing operations	(262.9)	569.7	(1,011.7)			(704.9)
Less: Net income from continuing operations attributable to	(202.9)	309.7	(1,011.7)			(704.9)
noncontrolling interests	39.0	105.3				144.3
noncontrolling interests	39.0	105.5				144.3
Not (loss) income from continuingtime -timilately E'						
Net (loss) income from continuing operations attributable to First	¢ (201.0)	¢ 464.4	¢ (1.011.7)		ď	(0.40, 2)
Data Corporation	\$ (301.9)	\$ 464.4	\$ (1,011.7)		\$	(849.2)

Edgar Filing: FIRST DATA CORP - Form 10-K

(a) Adjustments to Cost of services consist of adjustments related to the reversal of amortization of prior year service costs and actuarial gains and losses related to defined benefit plans of \$3.9 million; the reversal of costs associated with the accelerated vesting of equity awards of \$105.6 million; and the reversal of rent expense of \$4.7 million related to synthetic leases bought out as a result of change in control provisions.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

- (b) Adjustments to Selling, general and administrative expenses consist of adjustments to recognize expense resulting from the sponsor s management fee of \$15.0 million; the reversal of merger transaction costs of \$72.6 million; the reversal of costs associated with the accelerated vesting of equity awards of \$89.9 million; and the reversal of amortization of prior year service costs and actuarial gains and losses related to defined benefit plans of \$2.6 million.
- (c) Adjustments to Depreciation and amortization consists of adjustments related to increased other intangible asset amortization expense of \$425.2 million; an adjustment for increased depreciation expense on buildings bought out of synthetic leases of \$3.6 million; and an adjustment related to decreased fixed asset depreciation expense of \$19.1 million (although the total value of the fixed assets increased from the valuation, certain of the depreciable assets had longer lives which resulted in lower annual depreciation).
- (d) Other operating expenses include: net restructuring charges, impairments, litigation and regulatory settlements, and other.
- (e) Reflects pro forma interest expense resulting from the Company s new capital structure. The adjustment includes interest expense, amortization of commitment fees and debt issuance costs, and the impact of interest rate swaps associated with the new facilities and notes described in Note 9 of the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this Form 10-K less the interest expense recognized on the notes that were repaid in conjunction with the merger. The adjustment also includes amortization of structuring fees incurred upon modification of the term loan facilities also described in Note 9 of the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this Form 10-K. The adjustment excludes the impact of the bridge financing fees paid at the closing of the merger and amortized through the date of the aforementioned modification as they are not considered indicative of long-term ongoing operations. Interest has been calculated, as applicable, at rates consistent with the final fixed interest rates stipulated in the modifications in June 2008 of the term loan facilities. Interest for floating rate debt has been calculated using the applicable effective LIBOR rate.
- (f) Represents the elimination of debt repayment costs associated with the Company s debt existing prior to the merger.
- (g) Represents the tax effect of the pro forma adjustments, calculated at a marginal rate of 37.3% for 2007.
- (h) Adjustment to equity method investments consists of increased other intangible asset amortization expense.

Unaudited Pro Forma Segment Revenues (a)

(in millions)	Per Sept tl De	iccessor iod from tember 25 hrough ecember 31, 2007	Po J	redecessor eriod from anuary 1 through otember 24, 2007	Pro	Adjusted S Revenue
Retail and Alliance Services	\$	916.8	\$	2,412.3	\$	\$ 3,329.1
Financial Services		415.1		1,130.4		1,545.5
International		450.9		1,028.3		1,479.2
Integrated Payments Systems		34.3		71.4		105.7
All Other and Corporate		84.8		240.8		325.6
Divested businesses		56.9		140.0		196.9
Total segment, all other and corporate and divested businesses	\$	1,958.8	\$	5,023.2	\$	\$ 6,982.0

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

Unaudited Pro Forma Segment EBITDA (a)

(in millions)	Peri Sept th De	ccessor iod from ember 25 arough cember 31, 2007	Pe J	redecessor eriod from anuary 1 through otember 24, 2007	Pro Forma Adjustmen	orma Adjusted rating Profit
Retail and Alliance Services	\$	395.3	\$	985.2	\$	\$ 1,380.5
Financial Services		202.2		521.0		723.2
International		117.9		251.0		368.9
Integrated Payments Systems						
All Other and Corporate		(23.6)		(65.1)		(88.7)
Divested businesses		26.5		57.3		83.8
Total segment, all other and corporate and divested businesses	\$	718.3	\$	1,749.4	\$	\$ 2,467.7

(a) No pro forma adjustments have been made to segment revenue or segment EBITDA in 2007. Accordingly, values represent the sum of predecessor and successor periods. Segment EBITDA by definition already include the pro forma adjustments in the Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations.

Capital Resources and Liquidity

The Company s source of liquidity is principally cash generated from operating activities supplemented as necessary on a short-term basis by borrowings against its revolving credit facility. Deterioration of cash generated from operating activities from 2009 results may result in certain capital expenditures being limited and may require the use of the revolving credit facility to fund interest payments or capital expenditures; however, the Company will continue with its cost savings initiatives in order to mitigate any potential deterioration. Based on the above, the Company believes its current level of cash and short-term financing capabilities along with future cash flows from operations are sufficient to meet the needs of the business. The following discussion highlights the Company s cash flow activities and the sources and uses of funding during the successor years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, the successor period from September 25, 2007 through December 31, 2007 and the predecessor period from January 1, 2007 through September 24, 2007.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Investments (other than those included in settlement assets) with original maturities of three months or less (that are readily convertible to cash) are considered to be cash equivalents and are stated at cost, which approximates market value. At December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company held \$737.0 million and \$406.3 million in cash and cash equivalents, respectively.

Included in cash and cash equivalents are amounts held by IPS that are not available to fund any operations outside of the IPS business. In addition, cash and cash equivalents also includes amounts held by the BAMS alliance, which is consolidated by the Company, that are not available to fund operations outside of the alliance. At December 31, 2009 and 2008, the cash and cash equivalents held by IPS and the BAMS alliance totaled \$345.1 million and \$180.3 million, respectively. All other domestic cash balances, to the extent available, are used to fund the Company s short-term liquidity needs.

Edgar Filing: FIRST DATA CORP - Form 10-K

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

Cash and cash equivalents also includes amounts held outside of the U.S. at December 31, 2009 and 2008 totaling \$247.1 million and \$166.1 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2009, there was approximately \$125 million of cash and cash equivalents held outside of the U.S. that could be used for general corporate purposes. The Company plans to fund any cash needs in 2010 within the International segment with cash held by the segment, but if necessary, could fund such needs using cash from the U.S., subject to satisfying debt covenant restrictions.

Cash Flows from Operating Activities from Continuing Operations

		Successor r ended nber 31,	Sept	riod from tember 25, 2007 hrough ember 31,	i	Perio	decessor od from uary 1, 2007 rough mber 24,
Source/(use) (in millions)	2009	2008		2007		2	2007
Net (loss) income from continuing operations	\$ (1,014.6)	\$ (3,608.0)	\$	(262.9)		\$	569.7
Depreciation and amortization (including amortization netted							
against equity earnings in affiliates and revenues)	1,553.8	1,559.6		427.2			540.2
Other non-cash and non-operating items, net	654.1	3,224.3		38.2			88.7
Increase (decrease) in cash, excluding the effects of acquisitions and							
dispositions, resulting from changes in:							
Accounts receivable, current and long-term	288.8	(86.4)		(316.9)			(145.4)
Other assets, current and long-term	215.6	297.4		124.8			(28.7)
Accounts payable and other liabilities, current and long-term	(40.2)	(18.5)		(103.2)			(13.3)
Income tax accounts	(657.9)	(768.8)		(61.4)			69.6
Excess tax benefit from share-based payment arrangement		(13.1)					(219.8)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities from continuing operations	\$ 999.6	\$ 586.5	\$	(154.2)		\$	861.0

Cash flows provided by (used in) operating activities for the periods presented resulted from normal operating activities and reflect the timing of the Company s working capital requirements.

Cash flows from operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2009 included a source of cash of \$246 million which resulted from funding of domestic settlement obligations which should have been received from a card association on December 31, 2008 but was not received until the first business day of 2009 due to a file transfer delay.

The Company s operating cash flow is impacted by its level of debt. Approximately \$1,412.2 million, \$1,424.7 million, \$480.0 million and \$90.5 million in cash interest was paid during 2009, 2008, the 2007 successor period and the 2007 predecessor period, respectively. Cash interest increased significantly subsequent to the merger on September 24, 2007. In addition, since September 2008, interest on the Company s senior unsecured debt is payable semi-annually in the first and third quarters of the year. Accordingly, the cash interest of the Company is greater in the first and third quarters than it is in the second and fourth quarters (all other debt has interest due monthly or quarterly). Cash interest payments in 2010 are expected to be similar to interest paid in 2009. Using December 31, 2009 balances, a 10 percent increase in interest rates on an annualized basis would increase interest expense by approximately \$1.3 million.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

The Company s operating cash flows are impacted by fluctuations in working capital. During 2009, such fluctuations included, most significantly, sources related to the timing of prefunding certain settlement arrangements, collection of receivables and distributions of earnings received from alliances. Such sources were offset by uses associated with timing of payments for various liabilities including semi-annual payments of interest on senior unsecured debt and incentive compensation earned in 2008. The formation of BAMS negatively impacted working capital in 2009 due most significantly to the prefunding of associated settlement arrangements and timing of collections of receivables offset by sources from other prefunding arrangements and the timing of payments on various expenses incurred by the alliance.

Operating cash flows for both 2009 and 2008 were impacted by the Company being in a net operating loss carryforward position for U.S. federal income tax purposes. As a result, the Company has not received cash for any of the income tax benefit recorded in the respective years related to U.S. federal income taxes. The Company was able to carry back most of the net operating loss from the successor 2007 period and received a cash benefit in 2008.

Cash flows from operating activities from continuing operations increased in 2009 compared to 2008 due most significantly to the \$246 million out of period collection and the timing of prefunding both described above.

The most significant sources of cash in 2008 were associated with the collection of receivables, distributions of earnings associated with certain affiliates and the timing of certain settlement arrangements. Offsetting these sources were uses of cash associated with the \$246 million out of period collection described above and payments for various liabilities the most significant of which included interest payments on long-term debt, incentive compensation payments, pension plan contributions to the United Kingdom pension plan and income taxes.

The source of cash in 2008 compared to the use of cash in the successor 2007 period and the source of cash in the predecessor 2007 period resulted most significantly from timing associated with certain settlement arrangements and collections of receivables and a decrease in the use of cash associated with the excess tax benefit from share-based payment arrangement resulting from the accelerated payout of stock options and restricted stock in 2007 in conjunction with the merger. Partially offsetting these items were larger uses of cash in 2008 resulting from incentive compensation payments as well as interest payments on long-term debt. Cash flows from operating activities in 2008 were lower, in part, due to earnings associated with CPS not being distributed as the result of potential cash needs associated with the termination of the alliance.

The most significant uses of cash in the successor 2007 period were associated with timing of certain settlement arrangements and payments for various liabilities the most significant of which included employee related liabilities, interest payments on long-term debt, severance payments and pension plan contributions to the United Kingdom pension plan. Partially offsetting these uses were sources of cash associated with collections of receivables and distributions of earnings associated with certain affiliates as well as a net refund of income taxes. The most significant sources of cash in the predecessor 2007 period were associated with the collection of receivables and distributions of earnings associated with certain affiliates. Partially offsetting these sources were uses of cash associated with timing of certain settlement arrangements and payments for various liabilities, net payments of income taxes and payments totaling approximately \$70 million for merger related costs.

The Company anticipates funding operations throughout 2010 primarily with cash flows from operating activities and by closely managing discretionary capital and other spending; however, any shortfalls would be supplemented as necessary by borrowings against its revolving credit facility.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

Cash Flows from Investing Activities

	Year e Deceml		Period from September 25, 2007 through December 31,	Pei Ja t	redecessor riod from anuary 1, 2007 through tember 24,
Source/(use) (in millions)	2009	2008	2007		2007
Merger, net of cash acquired			\$ (25,756.2)		
Current period acquisitions, net of cash acquired	\$ (86.5)	\$ (188.7)	(62.8)	\$	(690.3)
Payments related to other businesses previously acquired	(14.7)	(35.6)	(0.5)		(50.0)
Proceeds from dispositions, net of expenses paid and cash disposed	88.1	215.1			
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment	29.4				
Additions to property and equipment, net	(199.1)	(283.9)	(55.2)		(275.5)
Payments to secure customer service contracts, including outlays for					
conversion, and capitalized systems development costs	(180.0)	(163.9)	(57.5)		(123.7)
Proceeds from the sale of marketable securities	3.9	74.9	14.1		11.8
Other investing activities	(48.7)	(1.3)	108.7		18.1
Net cash used in investing activities	\$ (407.6)	\$ (383.4)	\$ (25,809.4)	\$	(1,109.6)

Merger

As discussed in Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this Form 10-K, the Company merged with an entity controlled by affiliates of KKR on September 24, 2007. The \$25.8 billion represents the use of cash to purchase the FDC shares from its shareholders as well as other related transaction costs.

Acquisitions

The Company finances acquisitions through a combination of internally generated funds, short-term borrowings and equity of its parent company. The Company continues to pursue opportunities that strategically fit into the business. The Company currently does not expect to fund material acquisitions in 2010. If cash flows from operating activities are not sufficient to fund acquisitions, the Company may borrow against its revolving credit facility or find other sources of financing.

The Company continues to manage its portfolio of businesses and evaluate the possible divestiture of businesses that do not match its long-term growth objectives. For a more detailed discussion on acquisitions in 2009, 2008, the 2007 successor period and the 2007 predecessor period refer to Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this Form 10-K. The Company funded significantly less in 2009 for acquisitions than in prior years. All acquisitions during these periods were funded from cash flows from operating activities or from the reinvestment of cash proceeds from sale of other assets other than the acquisition of the Company s proportionate share of the BAMS alliance and CPS discussed in significant non-cash transactions below. Purchases of noncontrolling interests are classified as financing activities as noted below.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

Payments Related to Other Businesses Previously Acquired

For all periods, payments related to other businesses previously acquired related mostly to contingent consideration associated with a merchant alliance. The payments in 2009 and 2008 were recognized as a part of purchase accounting associated with the merger with affiliates of KKR and did not result in an increase in assets. The merger is described in Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this Form 10-K. There will be no additional payments of contingent consideration associated with this merchant alliance. Additionally, no significant payments associated with other businesses is anticipated.

Proceeds from Dispositions, net of expenses paid and cash disposed

The source of cash in proceeds from dispositions in 2009 resulted from the Company selling a merchant acquiring business in Canada in the fourth quarter of 2009 and selling its debit and credit card issuing and acquiring processing business in Austria in the third quarter of 2009. The source of cash in proceeds from dispositions in 2008 resulted from the Company selling its interest in Early Warning Services, which had been accounted for under the equity method, and selling its subsidiary Active Business Services Ltd. both in the third quarter of 2008 as well as from selling its subsidiary Peace in October 2008 and from reducing its ownership interest in the alliance with Wells Fargo in December 2008 as described in 2008 Overview above.

Capital Expenditures

The Company incurred capital expenditures consisting of property and equipment purchases, payments to secure customer service contracts and capitalized systems development costs, including expenditures related to data center consolidation, of approximately \$379.1 million in 2009. During 2009, the Company entered into sale leaseback transactions for certain equipment which resulted in proceeds from the sale of approximately \$22 million. Capital expenditures are estimated to be approximately \$370 million in 2010. Capital expenditures in 2009 were funded through cash flows from operating activities. Capital expenditures in 2010 are also expected to be funded by cash flows from operations. If, however, cash flows from operating activities are insufficient, the Company will decrease its discretionary capital expenditures, enter into capital leases or utilize its revolving credit facility.

Capital expenditures in 2009 decreased from 2008 as a result of the Company implementing cost savings initiatives. Capital expenditures in 2007 were high due mostly to the purchase of buildings and fixed assets out of synthetic leases triggered by the merger, expenditures related to the U.S. data center consolidation and an increase in contract costs.

Proceeds from the Sale of Marketable Securities

Proceeds from the sale of marketable securities in 2008 as well as the 2007 successor period resulted from the sale of MasterCard shares and, in 2008, the sale of one additional investment. Proceeds in the predecessor period in 2007 resulted from the partial liquidation of miscellaneous marketable securities.

Other Investing Activities

The use of cash from other investing activities in 2009 related primarily to a \$28.0 million contribution to the PNC alliance as discussed in the Overview section above and a \$21.0 million increase in regulatory and restricted cash balances. Due to volatility in the global credit and capital markets, certain of the Company s portfolio holdings within settlement assets may have a less favorable market value or are otherwise impaired.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

Refer to Note 18 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this Form 10-K for a description of the impacted securities. The Company does not anticipate the need to liquidate the securities until after 2010.

The use of cash from other investing activities in 2008 related mostly to \$12.3 million in illiquid money market funds reclassified from cash and cash equivalents in December 2008 and other items not individually significant. These were mostly offset by a source of cash related to proceeds from the sale of merchant portfolios and the redemption of VISA stock.

The source of cash from other investing activities in the 2007 successor period related most significantly to \$49.5 million from activity associated with the Company s First Financial Bank which was dissolved prior to December 31, 2007, \$44.3 million from the sale of strategic investments and a decrease of \$34.6 million in regulatory, restricted and escrow cash balances. These sources were partially offset by a use related to \$20.2 million in payments for termination of interest rate and cross currency swaps. The use of cash in the 2007 predecessor period related to sources of \$75.0 million in distributions from certain strategic investments, proceeds from the sale of merchant portfolios and proceeds from the sale of investments as well as \$48.6 million related to activity associated with the Company s First Financial Bank. Offsetting these sources were uses related to \$85.2 million in payments for termination of interest rate and cross currency swaps and a \$31.1 million increase in regulatory, restricted and escrow cash balances.

Cash Flows from Financing Activities

	Year o Decem		Pe Sep	eriod from otember 25, 2007 through cember 31,	Pe J	redecessor criod from anuary 1, 2007 through otember 24,
Source/(use) (in millions)	2009	2008		2007		2007
Short-term borrowings, net	\$ (206.1)	\$ (41.9)	\$	238.5	\$	26.3
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt		100.4		21,245.7		
Principal payments on long-term debt	(243.1)	(326.8)		(2,033.3)		(126.6)
Proceeds from issuance of common stock				7,224.4		187.4
Distributions and dividends paid to noncontrolling interests	(10.0)	(150.9)		(36.6)		(126.5)
Contributions from noncontrolling interests	193.0					2.1
Purchases of noncontrolling interest		(78.4)		(73.8)		
Capital contributed by Parent		126.8				
Excess tax benefit from share-based payment arrangement		13.1				219.8
Purchase of treasury shares						(371.8)
Cash dividends		(1.8)				(67.7)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	\$ (266.2)	\$ (359.5)	\$	26,564.9	\$	(257.0)

Short-Term Borrowings, net

The use of cash related to short-term borrowings in 2009 resulted from a net \$18.0 million payment on the senior secured revolving credit facility as well as \$189.5 million of net payments on credit lines used to prefund settlement activity. The use of cash in 2008 resulted from a net \$42.0 million payment on the senior secured revolving credit facility as well as timing of draws and payments on credit lines associated with settlement activity. The source of cash in the successor period from September 25, 2007 through December 31, 2007 was

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

related to \$60 million drawn on the senior secured revolving credit facility as well as timing of draws and payments on credit lines associated with settlement activity. The source of cash in the predecessor period from January 1, 2007 through September 24, 2007 related to timing of draws and payments on credit lines associated with settlement activity.

The Company has a senior secured revolving credit facility that currently has commitments from nondefaulting financial institutions to provide \$1,769.4 million of credit. The Company had no amount outstanding against the revolving credit facility as of December 31, 2009 and \$18.0 million outstanding against the revolving credit facility as of December 31, 2008, representing an incremental use of cash of \$18.0 million. As of December 31, 2009, \$1,729.8 million remained available under this facility after considering the letters of credit issued under it.

The Company utilizes its revolving credit facility on a short-term basis to fund investing or operating activities when cash flows from operating activities are not sufficient. The Company believes the capacity under its senior secured revolving credit facility is sufficient to meet its short-term liquidity needs. The senior secured revolving credit facility can be used for working capital and general corporate purposes. There are multiple institutions that have nondefaulting commitments under this facility with none representing more than approximately 17% of the remaining capacity.

The Company had a \$1.5 billion commercial paper program in the 2007 predecessor period that was issued under a \$1.5 billion revolving credit facility, both of which terminated in conjunction with the merger.

Proceeds from Issuance of Long-Term Debt

On September 24, 2007, the Company entered into several debt instruments in conjunction with the merger. Details of each instrument are described in Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

In 2008, the Company received \$100.4 million from its senior secured term loan facility as a result of a draw on the Company s delayed draw term loan when an equal amount of pre-merger notes were repaid. As of December 31, 2008, the Company s ability to draw on its delayed draw term loan expired.

The Company received \$21.2 billion, net of debt issuance costs, in the successor period from September 25, 2007 through September 30, 2007 resulting from debt issued in conjunction with the merger. Also in the successor 2007 period, the Company received \$25.6 million from its senior secured term loan facility as a result of a draw on the Company s delayed draw term loan when an equal amount of pre-merger notes were repaid.

The adverse economic conditions experienced in the U.S. and around the world in 2008 and 2009 impacted the Company s results of operations and as a result, have impacted the Company s debt ratings. As of March 10, 2010, the Company s long-term corporate family rating from Moody s was B3 (stable). The long-term local issuer credit rating from Standard and Poor s was B (stable). The long-term issuer default rating from Fitch was B (stable). Additionally, the current economic conditions and the Company s current level of debt may impair the ability of the Company to get additional funding beyond its revolving credit facility if needed.

Principal Payments on Long-Term Debt

During 2009, 2008 and the successor 2007 period, the Company made payments of \$129.0 million, \$128.4 million and \$32.0 million related to its senior secured term loan facility, respectively and \$34.1 million in debt restructuring fees in both 2009 and 2008.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

During 2009, the Company paid \$10.7 million related to a note due in 2009. During 2008, the Company paid \$81.7 million related to notes due in 2008 and repurchased \$18.7 million in debt (par value of \$30 million).

In January 2007, the Company repurchased \$32.4 million of its 4.7% senior notes due August 1, 2013, \$30.2 million of its 4.85% senior notes due October 1, 2014, and \$28.0 million of its 4.95% senior notes due June 15, 2015. On September 24, 2007 and in conjunction with the merger, the Company repurchased \$2.0 billion of debt. In December 2007, the Company paid off its medium-term note due in 2008 for \$25.6 million.

Payments for capital leases were \$68.2 million for 2009, \$57.1 million for 2008, \$14.3 million for the successor 2007 period and \$35.0 million for the predecessor 2007 period.

Proceeds from Issuance of Common Stock

The Company received proceeds in the 2007 successor period representing equity funding from its parent, Holdings, related to the merger. Proceeds during the 2007 predecessor period resulted from stock option exercises and purchases under the Company s employee stock purchase plan.

Distributions and Dividends Paid to Noncontrolling Interests

Distributions and dividends paid to noncontrolling interests primarily represent distributions of earnings. The decrease in 2009 from 2008 is primarily the result of the deconsolidation of WFMS as discussed in Overview above. The 2007 predecessor period also includes the distribution of \$27.6 million of proceeds received from the sale of Taxware to noncontrolling interests.

Contributions from Noncontrolling Interests

Activity in 2009 represents the cash contribution from Rockmount to BAMS. The contribution represents the cash contributed by the third-party investor that controls Rockmount. For additional information regarding the BAMS alliance, refer to the Overview section above.

Purchases of Noncontrolling Interest

For information concerning the Company s purchases of noncontrolling interests refer to the Acquisitions section above as well as Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Capital Contributed by Parent

During 2008, the Company received capital contributions from Holdings, comprised of the proceeds from purchases of shares in Holdings by certain management employees of FDC. The Company used these contributions to fund operations.

Excess Tax Benefit from Share-based Payment Arrangement

The excess tax benefit from share-based payment arrangement in 2008 represents the exercise of Western Union stock options and restricted stock held by FDC employees. The excess tax benefit from share-based payment arrangement in 2007 represents the exercise of and accelerated payout of stock options and restricted stock. This is also reflected in the Cash Flows from Operating Activities from Continuing Operations section above.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

Purchase of Treasury Shares

During the predecessor period in 2007, the Company repurchased 11.2 million shares for \$335.3 million related to employee benefit plans. The difference between the cost of shares repurchased noted above and the amount reflected in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows is due to timing of trade settlements. The Company did not repurchase any shares under its board authorized stock repurchase programs during the predecessor period in 2007.

Cash Dividends

The Company paid cash dividends to Holdings in 2008 to fund employee stock repurchases under the employee stock program and other miscellaneous, minor operational needs. The decrease in cash dividends from the predecessor period in 2007 is due to the merger and the associated suspension of regular quarterly dividends.

Letters, Lines of Credit and Other

		Total Available As of December 31,		
(in millions)	2009	2008	2009	2008
Letters of Credit (a)	\$ 500.1	\$ 514.3	\$ 39.7	\$ 54.0
Lines of Credit and Other (b)	\$ 563.9	\$ 655.5	\$ 109.2	\$ 295.0

- (a) Up to \$500 million of the Company s senior secured revolving credit facility is available for letters of credit, of which \$39.6 million and \$39.7 million of letters of credit were issued under the facility as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. An additional \$0.1 million and \$14.3 million of letters of credit were outstanding associated with other arrangements as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Outstanding letters of credit are held in connection with certain business combinations, lease arrangements, bankcard association agreements and other security agreements. All letters of credit expire prior to February 20, 2011 with a one-year renewal option. The Company expects to renew most of the letters of credit prior to expiration.
- (b) As of December 31, 2009, represents \$379.5 million of committed lines of credit as well as certain uncommitted lines of credit and other agreements that are available in various currencies to fund settlement and other activity for the Company s international operations. Except for \$14.4 million available for working capital needs, the Company cannot use these lines of credit for general corporate purposes. Certain of these arrangements are uncommitted but, as of the dates presented, the Company had borrowings outstanding against them.

The Company believes its liquidity exposure associated with its lines of credit is slightly increased as a result of the current status of the global economy; however, the Company does not believe this will have any impact on the overall liquidity of the Company. In the event one or more of the aforementioned lines of credit becomes unavailable, the Company will utilize its existing cash, cash flows from operating activities or its revolving credit facility to meet its liquidity needs.

Significant Non-Cash Transactions

On June 26, 2009, the Company entered into the BAMS alliance with BofA and Rockmount as discussed in the Overview section above. The Company s and BofA s direct contributions to the alliance consisted of non-cash assets and liabilities.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

During 2009, 2008 and the 2007 successor period, the principal amount of the Company's senior PIK (Payment In-Kind) notes increased by \$333.0 million, \$197.4 million and \$67.5 million, respectively, resulting from the payment of accrued interest expense. Beginning October 1, 2011, the interest on the PIK term loan facility will be required to be paid in cash and the first such payment will be due in March 2012.

During the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company entered into capital leases totaling approximately \$105 million and \$89 million, respectively. Capital leases into which the Company entered during the successor and predecessor periods in 2007 were immaterial.

On September 17, 2008, the Company launched a registered exchange offer to exchange the \$2.2 billion aggregate principal amount of its 9.875% senior notes due 2015 for publicly tradable notes having substantially identical terms and guarantees, except that the exchange notes are freely tradable. Substantially all of the notes were exchanged effective October 21, 2008. There was no expenditure, other than professional fees incurred in connection with the Registration Statement itself, or receipt of cash associated with this exchange.

In accordance with the terms of the amended senior unsecured term loan and senior subordinated unsecured term loan facilities and in September 2008, the Company exchanged substantially all of the remaining balance of its 9.875% senior unsecured cash-pay term loan bridge loans due 2015 as well as all of its 10.55% senior unsecured PIK term loan bridge loans due 2015 and 11.25% senior subordinated unsecured term loan bridge loans due 2016 for senior notes, senior PIK notes and senior subordinated notes, respectively, in each case having substantially identical terms and guarantees with the exception of interest payments being due semi-annually on March 31 and September 30 of each year instead of quarterly. In March 2009, the remaining balance of its 9.875% senior unsecured cash-pay term loan bridge loans due 2015 that was not previously exchanged was exchanged for senior notes identical to those described above. There was no expenditure, other than professional fees incurred in connection with the Exchange Offering itself, or receipt of cash associated with this exchange.

On August 10, 2009, the Company launched a registered exchange offer to exchange aggregate principal amounts of \$3.2 billion of its 10.55% senior PIK notes, \$2.5 billion of its 11.25% senior subordinated notes and \$1.6 billion of its 9.875% senior notes (which constituted all such notes outstanding at that date) for publicly tradable notes having substantially identical terms and guarantees, except that the exchange notes are freely tradable. Substantially all of the notes were exchanged effective September 9, 2009. There was no expenditure, other than professional fees incurred in connection with the Registration Statement itself, or receipt of cash associated with this exchange.

On November 1, 2008, the Company and JPMorgan Chase terminated their merchant alliance, CPS, which was the Company s largest merchant alliance. The Company received its proportionate 49% share of the assets of the alliance, including domestic merchant contracts, an equity investment in Merchant Link, a full-service ISO and Agent Bank unit, and a portion of the employees. The receipt of the Company s proportionate share of CPS was accounted for as a business combination and was a non-cash transaction.

Significant non-cash transactions during the 2007 predecessor period included the grant of approximately 3.7 million shares of restricted stock to certain employees.

Guarantees and Covenants

All obligations under the senior secured revolving credit facility and senior secured term loan facility are unconditionally guaranteed by substantially all existing and future, direct and indirect, wholly owned, material domestic subsidiaries of the Company other than Integrated Payment Systems Inc. The senior secured facilities

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

contain a number of covenants that, among other things, restrict the Company s ability to incur additional indebtedness; create liens; enter into sale and leaseback transactions; engage in mergers or consolidations; sell or transfer assets; pay dividends and distributions or repurchase the Company s or its parent company s capital stock; make investments, loans or advances; prepay certain indebtedness; make certain acquisitions; engage in certain transactions with affiliates; amend material agreements governing certain indebtedness; and change its lines of business. The senior secured facilities also require the Company to not exceed a maximum senior secured leverage ratio and contain certain customary affirmative covenants and events of default, including a change of control. The senior secured term loan facility also requires mandatory prepayments based on a percentage of excess cash flow generated by the Company.

All obligations under the senior notes, senior PIK notes and senior subordinated notes are similarly guaranteed on a subordinated basis in accordance with their terms by each of the Company s domestic subsidiaries that guarantee obligations under the Company s senior secured term loan facility described above. These notes and facilities also contain a number of covenants similar to those described for the senior secured term loan facility noted above. The Company is in compliance with all applicable covenants as of December 31, 2009 and anticipates it will remain in compliance in future periods.

Although the senior secured revolving credit facility, senior secured term loan facility, senior notes, senior PIK notes and senior subordinated notes contain restrictions on the Company s ability to incur additional indebtedness, these restrictions are subject to numerous qualifications and exceptions, the most significant of which is the ability to incur indebtedness in connection with the Company s settlement operations. The Company believes that the indebtedness that can be incurred under these exceptions as well as additional credit under the existing senior secured revolving credit facility are sufficient to satisfy the Company s intermediate and long-term needs.

Covenant Compliance

Under the senior secured revolving credit and term loan facilities and the associated indentures, certain limitations, restrictions and defaults could occur if the Company is not able to satisfy and remain in compliance with specified financial ratios. The Company has agreed that after October 1, 2008 it will not permit the Consolidated Senior Secured Debt to Consolidated EBITDA (both as defined in the agreement) Ratio for any 12 month period (last four fiscal quarters) ending during a period set forth below to be greater than the ratio set forth below opposite such period:

Period	Ratio
October 1, 2008 to September 30, 2009	7.25 to 1.00
October 1, 2009 to September 30, 2010	7.00 to 1.00
October 1, 2010 to September 30, 2011	6.75 to 1.00
October 1, 2011 to September 30, 2012	6.50 to 1.00
October 1, 2012 to September 30, 2013	6.25 to 1.00
Thereafter	6.00 to 1.00

The breach of this covenant could result in a default under the senior secured revolving credit facility and the senior secured term loan credit facility and the lenders could elect to declare all amounts borrowed due and payable. Any such acceleration would also result in a default under the indentures, senior notes, senior PIK notes and senior subordinated notes. As of December 31, 2009, the Company is in compliance with this covenant with Consolidated Senior Secured Debt of \$12,836.7 million, Consolidated EBITDA of \$2,392.4 million and a Ratio of 5.37 to 1.00.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

In determining Consolidated EBITDA, EBITDA is calculated by reference to income (loss) from continuing operations plus interest and other financing costs, net, provision for income taxes, and depreciation and amortization. Consolidated EBITDA as defined in the agreements (also referred to as debt covenant EBITDA) is calculated by adjusting EBITDA to exclude unusual items and other adjustments permitted in calculating covenant compliance under the indentures and the credit facilities. The Company believes that the inclusion of supplementary adjustments to EBITDA applied in presenting Consolidated EBITDA are appropriate to provide additional information to investors to demonstrate the Company s ability to comply with its financing covenants.

The calculation of Consolidated EBITDA under the senior secured term loan facility is as follows (in millions):

	mor	st twelve oths ended ober 31, 2009
Net loss attributable to First Data Corporation	\$	(1,086.4)
Interest expense, net (1)		1,784.7
Income tax benefit		(578.8)
Depreciation and amortization (2)		1,553.8
EBITDA (13)		1,673.3
Stock based compensation (3)		19.2
Other items (4)		351.0
Official check and money order EBITDA (5)		19.9
Cost of data center, technology and savings initiatives (6)		147.9
KKR merger related items (7)		27.2
Pre-acquisition EBITDA of acquired or divested businesses (8)		(38.7)
Projected near-term cost savings and revenue enhancements (9)		160.0
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests (10)		10.8
Equity entities taxes, depreciation and amortization (11)		13.2
Other (12)		8.6
Consolidated EBITDA (13)	\$	2,392.4

- (1) Includes interest expense and interest income.
- (2) Includes amortization of initial payments for new contracts which is recorded as a contra-revenue within Transaction and processing service fees of \$27.7 million and amortization related to equity method investments which is netted within the Equity earnings in affiliates line of \$73.8 million.
- (3) Stock based compensation recognized as expense.
- (4) Other items include net restructuring, impairments, litigation and regulatory settlements, investment gains and losses, derivative financial instruments gains and losses, net divestitures, non-operating foreign currency gains and losses and other as applicable to the period presented.
- (5) Represents an adjustment to exclude the official check and money order businesses from EBITDA due to the Company s wind down of these businesses.
- (6) Represents implementation costs associated with initiatives to reduce operating expenses including items such as platform and data center consolidation initiatives in the International segment, expense related to the reorganization of global application development resources, expense associated with domestic data center consolidation initiatives and planned workforce reduction expenses, as well as certain platform development and other costs directly associated with the termination of the Chase Paymentech alliance, all of which are considered one-time projects (excludes costs accrued in purchase accounting).

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

- (7) Represents the exclusion of third party expenses including legal, accounting and other advisory fees incurred in connection with the merger of the Company with an affiliate of KKR and the debt issued thereunder, KKR annual sponsor fees for management, consulting, financial and other advisory services and the effect of purchase accounting associated with the merger on EBITDA, which is primarily the result of revenue recognition adjustments.
- (8) Reflects the EBITDA of companies acquired or divested after December 31, 2008 through December 31, 2009, as if these companies had been acquired or divested on January 1, 2009.
- (9) Reflects cost savings and revenue enhancements projected to be achieved within twelve months on an annualized basis, principally in connection with cost savings initiatives described in Note 6 and the BAMS alliance.
- (10) Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests excluding amounts attributable to consolidated entities in which the Company does not have a 50% or more direct ownership interest as provided for under the terms of the agreements governing the Company s senior unsecured debt and/or senior secured credit facilities. The Company has only a 48.45% direct ownership interest in BAMS and a 2% indirect interest in BAMS via its 40% noncontrolling interest in Rockmount, a holder of a 5% non-voting interest in BAMS.
- (11) Represents the Company s proportional share of income taxes, depreciation, and amortization on equity method investments.
- (12) Includes non-capitalized merger and acquisitions costs and losses on equity method investments.
- (13) EBITDA is defined as net income (loss) attributable to First Data Corporation before net interest expense, income taxes, depreciation and amortization. EBITDA is not a recognized term under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and does not purport to be an alternative to net income (loss) attributable to First Data Corporation as a measure of operating performance or to cash flows from operating activities as a measure of liquidity. Additionally, EBITDA is not intended to be a measure of free cash flow available for management s discretionary use as it does not consider certain cash requirements such as interest payments, tax payments and debt service requirements. The presentation of EBITDA has limitations as an analytical tool and should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for analysis of the Company s results as reported under GAAP. Management believes EBITDA is helpful in highlighting trends because EBITDA excludes the results of decisions that are outside the control of operating management and can differ significantly from company to company depending on long-term strategic decisions regarding capital structure, the tax jurisdictions in which companies operate and capital investments. Management compensates for the limitations of using non-GAAP financial measures by using them to supplement GAAP results to provide a more complete understanding of the factors and trends affecting the business than GAAP results alone.

Consolidated EBITDA (or debt covenant EBITDA) is defined as EBITDA adjusted to exclude certain material non-cash items, non-recurring items that the Company does not expect to continue at the same level in the future and certain items management believes will materially impact future operating results and adjusted to include near-term cost savings projected to be achieved within twelve months on an annualized basis principally in connection with cost savings initiatives described in Note 6 above. Consolidated EBITDA is further adjusted to add net income attributable to noncontrolling interests of non-wholly-owned subsidiaries and exclude other miscellaneous adjustments that are used in calculating covenant compliance under the agreements governing the Company senior unsecured debt and/or senior secured credit facilities. The Company believes that the inclusion of supplementary adjustments to EBITDA are appropriate to provide additional information to investors about items that will impact the calculation of EBITDA that is used to determine covenant compliance under the agreements governing the Company senior unsecured debt and/or senior secured credit facilities. Since not all companies use identical calculations, this presentation of Consolidated EBITDA may not be comparable to other similarly titled measures of other companies.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

During 2009 and 2008, the Company did not engage in any off-balance sheet financing activities. During the predecessor 2007 period, other than facility and equipment leasing arrangements, the Company did not engage in off-balance sheet financing activities. Prior to the merger, the Company had several synthetic operating lease arrangements. On September 20, 2007, the Company purchased the buildings and equipment under its synthetic operating lease arrangements as contractually required due to change in control provisions contained in the agreements. Rent expense related to synthetic operating leases was \$4.7 million for the predecessor period from January 1, 2007 through September 24, 2007.

Contractual Obligations

The Company s contractual obligations as of December 31, 2009 are as follows (in millions):

	Payments Due by Period				
		Less than			After
	Total	1 year	1-3 years	4-5 years	5 years
Borrowings (a)	\$ 31,417.2	\$ 1,597.8	\$ 3,152.0	\$ 15,084.9	\$ 11,582.5
Capital lease obligations (b)	258.8	67.3	82.3	22.7	86.5
Operating leases	229.8	62.1	74.9	36.6	56.2
Pension plan contributions (c)	34.2	34.2			
Purchase obligations (d):					
Technology and telecommunications (e)	543.3	429.5	72.4	16.7	24.7
All other (f)	343.4	210.1	117.2	5.1	11.0
Other long-term liabilities	46.3	42.7	2.5	0.3	0.8
	\$ 32,873.0	\$ 2,443.7	\$ 3,501.3	\$ 15,166.3	\$ 11,761.7

- (a) Includes future cash interest payments on long-term borrowings through scheduled maturity dates. Includes \$12,627.7 million of variable rate debt. Also includes the impact of interest rates swaps that convert \$7,500 million of the variable rate debt to fixed rates. The swaps expire in 2010 and 2012. Interest payments for the variable rate debt and the associated interest rate swaps were calculated using interest rates as of December 31, 2009.
- (b) Includes future payments on capital leases, including interest expense, through scheduled expiration dates.
- (c) The amount of pension plan contributions depends upon various factors that cannot be accurately estimated beyond a one-year time frame.
- (d) Many of the Company s contracts contain clauses that allow the Company to terminate the contract with notice, and with or without a termination penalty. Termination penalties are generally an amount less than the original obligation. Certain contracts also have an automatic renewal clause if the Company does not provide written notification of its intent to terminate the contract. Obligations under certain contracts are usage-based and are, therefore, estimated in the above amounts. Historically, the Company has not had any significant defaults of its contractual obligations or incurred significant penalties for termination of its contractual obligations.
- (e) Technology and telecommunications includes obligations related to hardware purchases, which includes purchases of ATMs and terminals, software licenses, hardware and software maintenance and support, technical consulting services and telecommunications services. The Company anticipates it will renew approximately \$216 million of significant telecommunications contracts that expire during 2010. Payments related to these contracts are included in the table above through the current expiration date.
- (f) Other includes obligations related to materials, data, non-technical contract services, facility security, investor management fees, maintenance and marketing promotions.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

At December 31, 2009, the Company had approximately \$595 million of tax contingencies included in long-term income taxes payable in the Other long-term liabilities — line of the Consolidated Balance Sheets, including approximately \$137 million of income tax liabilities for which Western Union is required to indemnify the Company. Timing of tax payments is dependent upon various factors which cannot be reasonably estimated at this time.

Critical Accounting Policies

Stock-Based Compensation

Upon the September 24, 2007 close of the merger, the vesting of FDC stock options, restricted stock awards and restricted stock units (including Western Union stock options, restricted stock awards and restricted stock units held by FDC personnel) was accelerated and the associated expense recorded in the predecessor financial statements. These stock-based compensation plans were terminated at that time. On October 26, 2007, the Company established a stock incentive plan for certain management employees of FDC and its affiliates (stock plan). This stock plan is at the Holdings level which owns 100% of FDC sequity interests. The stock plan provides the opportunity for certain management employees to purchase shares in Holdings and then receive a number of stock options or restricted stock based on a multiple of their investment in such shares, the plan also allows for the Company to award shares and options to certain management employees. The expense associated with this plan is recorded by FDC. FDC uses the Black-Scholes option pricing model to measure the fair value of stock option awards. The Company chose the Black-Scholes model based on the Company s experience with the model and the determination that the model could be used to provide a reasonable estimate of the fair value of awards with terms such as those issued by Holdings. Option-pricing models require estimates of a number of key valuation inputs including expected volatility, expected dividend yield, expected term and risk-free interest rate. Certain of these inputs are more subjective than in previous periods due to Holdings being privately held and thus not having objective historical or public information. The most subjective inputs are the expected term, expected volatility and determination of share value. The expected term is determined using probability weighted expectations and expected volatility is determined using a selected group of guideline companies as surrogates for Holdings.

On a quarterly basis, the Company estimates the fair value of Holdings common stock. Periodically, a third party valuation firm provides assistance with certain key assumptions and performs calculations using the valuation methods discussed below. All key assumptions and valuations were determined by and are the responsibility of management. The Company relies on the results of a discounted cash flow analysis but also considers the results of a market approach. The discounted cash flow analysis is dependent on a number of significant management assumptions regarding the expected future financial results of the Company and Holdings as well as upon estimates of an appropriate cost of capital. A sensitivity analysis is performed in order to establish a narrow range of estimated fair values for the shares of Holdings common stock. The market approach consists of identifying a set of guideline public companies. Multiples of historical and projected EBITDA determined based on the guideline companies is applied to Holdings EBITDA in order to establish a range of estimated fair value for the shares of Holdings common stock. The Company considers the results of both of these approaches, placing primary reliance on the discounted cash flow analysis. The concluded range of fair values is also compared to the value determined by the Board of Directors for use in transactions, including stock sales and repurchases. After considering all of these estimates of fair value, the Company then determines a single estimated fair value of the stock to be used in accounting for share based compensation.

The current stock plan includes performance options that vest based upon Company EBITDA targets following the five years after grant date. These EBITDA targets have both annual and cumulative components.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

The Company did not meet the 2009 and 2008 annual EBITDA targets associated with the performance stock options. In addition, the Company could not conclude it is probable that the annual or cumulative future EBITDA targets associated with the performance stock options will be met. The Company is not planning to recognize expense related to these options in future periods unless or until attainment of applicable targets is judged to be probable. The options also have certain accelerated vesting provisions upon a change in control, an initial public offering, and certain termination costs.

Reserve for Merchant Credit Losses and Check Guarantees

With respect to the merchant acquiring business, the Company s merchant customers (or those of its unconsolidated alliances) have the liability for any charges properly reversed by the cardholder. In the event, however, that the Company is not able to collect such amounts from the merchants due to merchant fraud, insolvency, bankruptcy or another reason, the Company may be liable for any such reversed charges. The Company s risk in this area primarily relates to situations where the cardholder has purchased goods or services to be delivered in the future such as airline tickets.

The Company s obligation to stand ready to perform is minimal in relation to the total dollar volume processed. The Company requires cash deposits, guarantees, letters of credit or other types of collateral from certain merchants to minimize this obligation. Collateral held by the Company is classified within Settlement assets and the obligation to repay the collateral if it is not needed is classified within Settlement obligations on the Company s Consolidated Balance Sheets. The amounts of collateral held by the Company and its unconsolidated alliances are as follows (in millions):

At December 31,	2009	2008
Cash and cash equivalents collateral	\$ 721.8	\$ 730.3
Collateral in the form of letters of credit	123.7	197.3
Total collateral	\$ 845.5	\$ 927.6

The Company also utilizes a number of systems and procedures to manage merchant risk. Despite these efforts, the Company historically has experienced some level of losses due to merchant defaults.

The Company s contingent obligation relates to imprecision in its estimates of required collateral. A provision for this obligation is recorded based primarily on historical experience of credit losses and other relevant factors such as economic downturns or increases in merchant fraud. Merchant credit losses are included in Cost of services in the Company s Consolidated Statements of Operations. The following table presents the aggregate merchant credit losses incurred compared to total dollar volumes processed:

	Successor Year ended December 31,			Period from		Predecessor Period from		
					•	mber 25, 2007		uary 1, 2007
					th	rough	th	rough
	2009 2008		December 31, 2007		September 24, 2007			
FDC and consolidated and unconsolidated alliances credit losses (in								
millions)	\$	93.3	\$	40.4	\$	14.0	\$	33.7
FDC and consolidated alliances credit losses (in millions)	\$	89.7	\$	35.0	\$	12.0	\$	27.9

Total dollar volume acquired (in billions)

\$ 1,259.1

\$ 1,437.9

443.0

\$

\$ 1,101.5

81

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

The reserve recorded on the Company s Consolidated Balance Sheets only relates to the business conducted by its consolidated subsidiaries. The reserve for unconsolidated alliances is recorded only in the alliances respective financial statements. The Company has not recorded any reserve for estimated losses in excess of reserves recorded by the unconsolidated alliances nor has the Company identified needs to do so. At December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company and its consolidated and unconsolidated alliances had aggregate merchant credit loss reserves of \$46.5 million and \$23.4 million, respectively. The amount of the reserves attributable to entities consolidated by the Company was \$45.2 million and \$20.3 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The Company believes the recorded reserve approximates the fair value of the contingent obligation.

The credit loss reserves, both for the unconsolidated alliances and the Company, are comprised of amounts for known losses and a provision for losses incurred but not reported (IBNR). These reserves primarily are determined by performing a historical analysis of chargeback loss experience. Other factors are considered that could affect that experience in the future. Such items include the general economy and economic challenges in a specific industry or those affecting certain types of clients. Once these factors are considered, the Company or the unconsolidated alliance establishes a rate (percentage) that is calculated by dividing the expected chargeback (credit) losses by dollar volume processed. This rate is then applied against the dollar volume processed each month and charged against earnings. The resulting reserve balance is then compared to requirements for known losses and estimates for IBNR items. Historically, this estimation process has proven to be materially accurate and the Company believes the recorded reserve approximates the fair value of the contingent obligation.

The majority of the TeleCheck business involves the guarantee of checks received by merchants. If the check is returned, TeleCheck is required to purchase the check from the merchant at its face value and pursue collection from the check writer. A provision for estimated check returns, net of anticipated recoveries, is recorded at the transaction inception based on recent history. At December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company had accrued warranty balances of \$16.6 million and \$15.2 million, and accrued recovery balances of \$32.5 million and \$45.1 million, respectively. Accrued warranties are included in Other current liabilities and accrued recoveries are included in Accounts receivable in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The Company establishes an incremental liability (and deferred revenue) for the fair value of the check guarantee. The liability is relieved and revenue is recognized when the check clears, is presented to TeleCheck, or the guarantee period expires. The majority of the guarantees are settled within 30 days. The incremental liability was approximately \$2.5 million and \$1.9 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

The following table details the check guarantees of TeleCheck for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, the successor period from September 25, 2007 through December 31, 2007, the predecessor period from January 1, 2007 through September 24, 2007.

	Successor				Pr	edecessor	
	Year ended December 31,			Period from			
				ember 25,	Ja	January 1,	
				2007		2007	
			tl	nrough	t	hrough	
			December 31,		Sep	September 24,	
	2009	2008		2007		2007	
Aggregate face value of guaranteed checks (in billions)	\$ 42.7	\$ 43.4	\$	12.7	\$	30.4	
Aggregate amount of checks presented for warranty (in millions)	\$ 366.2	\$ 404.4	\$	128.2	\$	303.6	
Warranty losses net of recoveries (in millions)	\$ 115.8	\$ 106.3	\$	35.8	\$	80.0	

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

The maximum potential future payments under the guarantees were estimated by the Company to be approximately \$1.6 billion at December 31, 2009 which represented an estimate of the total uncleared checks at that time.

Income Taxes

The determination of the Company s provision for income taxes requires management s judgment in the use of estimates and the interpretation and application of complex tax laws. Judgment is also required in assessing the timing and amounts of deductible and taxable items. The Company establishes contingency reserves for material, known tax exposures relating to deductions, transactions and other matters involving some uncertainty as to the proper tax treatment of the item. The Company s reserves reflect its judgment as to the resolution of the issues involved if subject to judicial review. Several years may elapse before a particular matter, for which the Company has established a reserve, is audited and finally resolved or clarified. While the Company believes that its reserves are adequate to cover reasonably expected tax risks, issues raised by a tax authority may be finally resolved at an amount different than the related reserve. Such differences could materially increase or decrease the Company s income tax provision in the current and/or future periods. When facts and circumstances change (including a resolution of an issue or statute of limitations expiration), these reserves are adjusted through the provision for income taxes in the period of change. As the result of the additional interest and amortization expenses that the Company incurs due to the merger, the Company is currently in a tax net operating loss position. Judgment is required to determine whether some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. To the extent the Company determines that it will not realize the benefit of some or all of its deferred tax assets, then these assets will be adjusted through the Company s provision for income taxes in the period in which this determination is made.

Estimating Fair Value

The Company has investment securities and derivative financial instruments that are carried at fair value.

Fair value is defined by accounting guidance as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The Company s approach to estimating the fair value of its financial instruments varies depending upon the nature of the instrument and may require the Company to make significant judgments regarding inputs into the valuation.

In estimating fair values for investment securities and derivative financial instruments, the Company believes that third-party market prices are the best evidence of exit price and where available, bases its estimates on such prices. If such prices are unavailable for the instruments held by the Company, fair values are estimated using market prices of similar instruments, third-party broker quotes or a probability weighted discounted cash flow analysis. Where observable market data is unavailable or impracticable to obtain, the valuation involves substantial judgment by the Company. All key assumptions and valuations are the responsibility of management.

Investment Securities

The Company held \$762.2 million and \$894.4 million of investment securities as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Approximately \$449.7 million and \$492.2 million of the Company s investment securities were SLARS as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Beginning in 2008, investment banks and broker dealers became less willing to support auction rate securities (ARS) auctions. As a result, multiple auctions failed, including auctions for SLARS held by the Company. Due to these market changes the valuation of SLARS became highly judgmental in 2008.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

The Company will not be able to readily access liquidity for the SLARS until the auction market successfully resumes, a secondary market is established for long-term investors, or issuers redeem the securities. Due to the lack of observable market activity for the SLARS held by the Company as of December 31, 2009, the Company, with the assistance of a third party valuation firm upon which the Company in part relied, made certain assumptions, primarily relating to estimating both the weighted average life for the securities held by the Company and the impact of the current lack of liquidity on the fair value. All key assumptions and valuations were determined by and are the responsibility of management. At December 31, 2009, the securities were valued based on a probability weighted discounted cash flow analysis. The Company considered each security s key terms including date of issuance, date of maturity, auction intervals, scheduled auction dates, maximum auction rate, as well as underlying collateral, ratings, and guarantees or insurance. Substantially all SLARS held by the Company have collateral backed by FFELP. The probabilities of auction failure, a successful auction at par or repurchase at par for each future period were then forecasted. The Company assumed that the issuers will continue to pay maximum interest rates on the securities until the event of a successful auction or repurchase, at which point the Company would sell the SLARS at par through the auction. To determine the fair value of each security, the weighted average cash flows for each period were discounted back to present value at the determined discount rate for each security. As of December 31, 2009, cumulative probabilities of principal to be returned for AAA and Aaa rated SLARS were estimated at approximately 48% over a two year period and 89% over a five year period. The probabilities were lower for lower rated securities. The discount rates used in the valuation were a combination of the liquidity risk premium assigned to the security (which ranged from 4% to 5%) plus the treasury strip yield (zero coupon treasury bond) for the individual period for which a cash flow was being discounted. The liquidity risk premium on the SLARS has decreased by 100 basis points from December 31, 2008 due to falling spreads on asset backed securities as well as indications of improved market liquidity. A 100 basis point change in liquidity risk premium, as well as other factors including default probability and default recovery rate assumptions, would impact the value of the SLARS by approximately \$19 million.

As of December 31, 2009, the Company also held certain investments in primarily short-term debt securities, including discounted commercial paper, money market funds and fixed rate corporate bonds. Many of these securities are considered cash equivalents. Prices for these securities are not quoted on active exchanges but are priced through an independent third party pricing service based on quotations from market-makers in the specific instruments or, where appropriate, other market inputs including interest rates, benchmark yields, reported trades, issuer spreads, two sided markets, benchmark securities, bids, offers, and reference data. In certain instances, amortized cost is considered an appropriate approximation of market value. Other investments are valued based upon either quoted prices from active exchanges or available third-party broker quotes.

Changes in fair value of investment securities are recorded through the Other comprehensive income (OCI) component of equity with the exception of investment partnerships which are recorded through Investment income in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Regardless of investment type, declines in the fair value of the investments are reviewed to determine whether they are other than temporary in nature. Absent any other indications of a decline in value being temporary in nature, the Company s policy is to treat a decline in an equity investment s quoted market price that has lasted for more than six months as an other-than-temporary decline in value. For equity securities declines in value that are judged to be other than temporary in nature are recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. For public company equity securities, the Company s policy is to treat a decline in the investment s quoted market value that has lasted for more than six months as an other than temporary decline in value. For debt securities when the Company intends to sell an impaired debt security or it is more likely than not it will be required to sell prior to recovery of its amortized cost basis, an other-than-temporary-impairment (OTTI) has occurred. The impairment is recognized in earnings equal to the entire difference between the debt security s amortized cost basis and its fair value. When

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

the Company does not intend to sell an impaired debt security and it is not more likely than not it will be required to sell prior to recovery of its amortized cost basis, the Company assesses whether it will recover its amortized cost basis. If the entire amortized cost will not be recovered, a credit loss exists resulting in the credit loss portion of the OTTI being recognized in earnings and the amount related to all other factors recognized in OCI.

Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company uses derivative financial instruments to enhance its ability to manage its exposure to certain financial and market risks, primarily those related to changes in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates. Interest rate swaps are entered into to manage interest rate risk associated with the Company s variable-rate borrowings. Cross currency swaps for various foreign currencies are entered into to manage foreign currency exchange risk associated with the Company s initial investments in certain foreign subsidiaries or certain intercompany loans to foreign subsidiaries. Forward contracts on various foreign currencies are entered into to manage foreign currency exchange risk associated with the Company s forecasted foreign currency denominated sales or purchases. The Company s policy is to minimize its cash flow and net investment exposures related to adverse changes in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates. The Company s objective is to engage in risk management strategies that provide adequate downside protection.

Derivative financial instruments are entered into for periods consistent with related underlying exposures and do not constitute positions independent of those exposures. The Company applies strict policies to manage each of these risks, including prohibition against derivatives trading, derivatives market-making or any other speculative activities. Although certain derivatives do not qualify for hedge accounting, they are entered into for economic hedge purposes and are not considered speculative. The Company is monitoring the financial stability of its derivative counterparties. Certain of these counterparties received support from the federal government in the recent past due to difficult financial conditions. Although these counterparties remain highly-rated (in the A category or higher), their ability to satisfy their commitments may be dependent on receiving continued support from the federal government.

The Company designated interest rate swaps as cash flow hedges of forecasted interest rate payments related to its variable rate borrowings and certain of the cross currency swaps as foreign currency hedges of its net investment in a foreign subsidiary. During 2009, certain of the Company s interest rate swaps ceased to be highly effective and the Company discontinued hedge accounting for the affected derivatives. Additionally during 2009, certain other interest rate swaps were de-designated from receiving hedge accounting treatment. Other cross currency swaps and forward contracts on various foreign currencies did not qualify or have not been designated as accounting hedges and do not receive hedge accounting treatment.

As required, derivative financial instruments are recognized in the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets at their fair value. The Company's derivatives are not exchange listed and therefore the estimated fair value of derivative financial instruments is modeled in Bloomberg using the Bloomberg reported market data and the actual terms of the derivative contracts. These models reflect the contractual terms of the derivatives, such as notional value and expiration date, as well as market-based observable inputs including interest and foreign currency exchange rates, yield curves and the credit quality of the counterparties along with the Company's creditworthiness in order to appropriately reflect non-performance risk. The Company's counterparties also provide it with the indicative fair values of its derivative instruments which it compares to the results obtained using Bloomberg software. Considering Bloomberg software is a widely accepted financial modeling tool and there is limited visibility to the preparation of the third-party quotes, the Company chooses to rely on the Bloomberg software in estimating the fair value of its derivative financial instruments. Inputs to the derivative pricing models are generally observable and do not contain a high level of subjectivity. While the Company

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

believes its estimates result in a reasonable reflection of the fair value of these instruments, the estimated values may not be representative of actual values that could have been realized as of December 31, 2009 or that will be realized in the future. All key assumptions and valuations are the responsibility of management.

With respect to derivative financial instruments that are afforded hedge accounting, the effective portion of changes in the fair value of a derivative that is designated and qualifies as a cash flow hedge is recorded in OCI and reclassified into earnings in the same period or periods during which the hedged transaction affects earnings. The effective portion of changes in the fair value of a net investment hedge is recorded as part of the cumulative translation adjustment in OCI. Any ineffectiveness associated with the aforementioned derivative financial instruments as well as the periodic change in the mark-to-market of the derivative financial instruments not designated as accounting hedges are recorded immediately in Other income (expense) in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Intangible Assets

FDC capitalizes initial payments for new contracts, contract renewals and conversion costs associated with customer contracts and system development costs. Capitalization of such costs is subject to strict accounting policy criteria and requires management judgment as to the appropriate time to initiate capitalization. Capitalization of initial payments for contracts and conversion costs only occurs when management is satisfied that such costs are recoverable through future operations, contractual minimums and/or penalties in case of early termination.

The Company develops software that is used in providing processing services to customers. To a lesser extent, the Company also develops software to be sold or licensed to customers. Capitalization of internally developed software, primarily associated with operating platforms, occurs only upon management s estimation that the likelihood of successful development and implementation reaches a probable level. Currently unforeseen circumstances in software development could require the Company to implement alternative plans with respect to a particular effort, which could result in the impairment of previously capitalized software development costs.

The Company s accounting policy is to limit the amount of capitalized costs for a given contract to the lesser of the estimated ongoing future cash flows from the contract or the termination fees the Company would receive in the event of early termination of the contract by the customer. The Company s entitlement to termination fees may, however, be subject to challenge if a customer were to allege that the Company was in breach of contract. This entitlement is also subject to the customer s ability to pay.

In addition to the internally generated intangible assets discussed above, the Company also acquires intangible assets through business combinations and asset acquisitions. In these transactions, the Company typically acquires and recognizes intangible assets such as customer relationships, software, and trade names. Acquired customer relationships consist of customer contracts that are within their initial terms as well as those in renewal status. The amounts recorded for these relationships include both the value of remaining contractual terms and the value of potential future renewals. These relationships are with customers such as merchants and financial institutions.

In a business combination, each intangible asset is recorded at its fair value. In an asset acquisition, the cost of the acquisition is allocated among the intangible assets, generally by their relative fair values. The Company generally estimates the fair value of acquired intangible assets using the excess earnings method, royalty savings method, or cost savings method, all of which are a form of a discounted cash flow analysis. These estimates

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

require various assumptions about the future cash flows associated with the assets, appropriate costs of capital and other inputs such as an appropriate royalty rate. Changes to these estimates would materially impact the value assigned to the assets as well as the amounts subsequently recorded as amortization expense.

The following table discloses aggregate net book values for conversion costs, contract costs, software (both developed and acquired), and customer relationships (in millions):

	Dec	December 31,		
	2009	2008		
Conversion costs	\$ 43.5	\$ 21.9		
Contract costs	109.1	91.0		
Software	652.6	823.1		
Customer relationships	6,008.8	5,987.6		

The Company tests contract and conversion costs greater than \$1 million for recoverability on an annual basis by comparing the remaining expected undiscounted cash flows under the contract to the net book value. Any assets that are determined to be unrecoverable are written down to their fair value. This analysis requires significant assumptions regarding the future profitability of the customer contract during its remaining term. In addition to this annual test, these assets and all other long lived assets (including customer relationships) are tested for impairment upon an indicator of potential impairment. Such indicators include, but are not limited to: a current period operating or cash flow loss associated with the use of an asset or asset group, combined with a history of such losses and/or a forecast anticipating continued losses; a significant adverse change in the business, legal climate, market price of an asset or manner in which an asset is being used; an accumulation of costs for a project significantly in excess of the amount originally expected; or an expectation that an asset will be sold or otherwise disposed of at a loss.

In 2009, the Company recorded impairment charges as follows: \$147 million related to customer contracts; \$7 million related to software; \$6 million related to real property; \$6 million related to other intangibles; and \$2 million related to trade name impairment charges. The Company followed a discounted cash flow approach in estimating the fair value of the affected asset groups and individual intangible assets within those groups consistent with the approach used to allocate the purchase price of the merger. The factors that drove most of the impairments were lower projections of financial results as compared to those used in prior years, the deterioration of profitability and negative cash flow in existing business and higher risk of revenue attrition in future years. The trade name impairment was a result of the Company s decision to discontinue the use of a certain trade name in the Canadian market and instead continue the business under the First Data brand. Discount rates were determined on a market participant basis. The Company relied in part on a third party valuation firm in determining the appropriate discount rates. The Company obtained an appraisal from a third party brokerage firm to assist in estimating the value of real property. All key assumptions and valuations were determined by and are the responsibility of management. A relatively small change in these inputs would have had an immaterial impact on the impairments.

Goodwill

Due to the merger, the Company recorded all assets and liabilities at their estimated fair value, which was finalized in 2008, on the acquisition date. The Company s goodwill balance was \$17.5 billion and \$14.9 billion as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Goodwill represents the excess of cost over the fair value of net assets acquired, including identifiable intangible assets, and was allocated to reporting units upon finalization of the intangible valuation that was completed due to the merger. The Company s reporting units are businesses at the operating segment level or one level below the operating segment level for which discrete financial information is prepared and regularly reviewed by management.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

The Company tests goodwill annually for impairment, as well as upon an indicator of impairment, using a fair value approach at the reporting unit level. In step one of the impairment test, the Company estimates the fair value of each reporting unit using a discounted cash flow analysis. The Company believes that this methodology provides the Company with a reasonable estimate of each reporting unit s fair value. The estimate of fair value requires various assumptions about a reporting unit s future financial results and cost of capital. The Company determines the cost of capital for each reporting unit giving consideration to a number of factors including the discount rate used by the third party valuation firm in their calculations of the fair value of Holdings common stock. All key assumptions and valuations are determined by and are the responsibility of management. If it is determined that the fair value of the reporting unit is less than its carrying value, the Company proceeds to step two of the impairment test which requires the Company to estimate the fair value of all of the reporting unit s assets and liabilities and calculate an implied fair value of goodwill, which is the difference between the reporting unit s fair value and the fair value of all its other assets and liabilities. If the implied fair value of goodwill is less than its carrying value, the shortfall is recognized as an impairment. The methodology for estimating fair value in step two varies by asset; however, the most significant assets are intangible assets. The Company estimates the fair value of the intangible assets using the excess earnings method, royalty savings method, or cost savings method, all of which are a form of a discounted cash flow analysis. An impairment charge of a reporting unit s goodwill could have a material adverse effect on the Company s financial results. Changes in the underlying business and economic conditions could affect these estimates used in the analysis discussed above, which in turn could affect the fair value of the reporting unit. Thus, it is possible for reporting units that record impairments to record additional impairments in the future.

In the fourth quarter of 2009 the Company recorded a \$17 million goodwill impairment charge related to the Information Services reporting unit within All Other and Corporate. The Company followed a discounted cash flow approach in estimating the fair value of the reporting units and intangible assets consistent with the approach used to allocate the purchase price of the merger. The significant factor that drove most of the impairment was lower projections of financial results as compared to those used in the 2008 impairment testing. Discount rates were determined on a market participant basis. The Company relied in part on a third party valuation firm in determining the appropriate discount rates. All key assumptions and valuations were determined by and are the responsibility of management.

A small change in these inputs could have had a material impact on the impairment as demonstrated below in discussing the 2008 impairment.

The following table discloses goodwill by reporting unit after recording impairment charges as well as the percentage by which the fair value of the reporting unit exceeded the carrying value as of October 1, 2009, the most recent impairment analysis date (in millions):

Reporting unit	Segment or All Other and Corporate	Goodwill balance	% by which Fair Value exceeds Carrying Value
Merchant Services	Retail and Alliance Services	\$ 12,419.7	4%
Prepaid Services	Retail and Alliance Services	253.1	13%
Financial Services	Financial Services	2,061.8	16%
International	International	2,371.2	5%
Government Solutions	All Other and Corporate	18.4	171%

Total goodwill \$17,124.2

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

Additions to goodwill in the fourth quarter of 2009 resulted most significantly from purchase price adjustments related to prior year acquisitions. The goodwill balance as of December 31, 2009 was \$17,475.8 million.

The Company performed its annual goodwill impairment test in the fourth quarter of 2008 and recorded a total impairment charge of \$3.2 billion that impacted every reporting unit. The primary causes of the impairment charges were higher discount rates and revised projections of financial results as compared to those used to allocate the purchase price of the merger. The assumptions used in the test reflect the Company s estimates as of December 31, 2008 and appropriately consider the impact of the deterioration in general global economic conditions. The impairment calculation is sensitive to certain inputs. A 50 basis point increase in the discount rate would have increased the 2008 impairment charge by approximately \$1.5 billion while a 50 basis point decrease in the discount rate would have decreased the 2008 impairment charge by approximately \$1.2 billion. A \$50 million decrease to the forecasted 2009 operating profit of the Merchant Services reporting unit (included within the Retail and Alliance Services segment), with no change to expected growth rates or other assumptions, would have increased the reporting unit s 2008 impairment charge by approximately \$0.9 billion while a \$50 million increase would have entirely eliminated the reporting unit s impairment charge of \$0.7 billion. Thus, a continued deterioration in the economy could have a material effect on the impairment calculation and result in additional impairment charges in future periods.

Due to the valuation of the Company s intangible assets associated with the merger, it was determined an annual goodwill impairment test was not needed for 2007. Discussion of impairments that were recorded is included in Note 3 of the Company s Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Transactions with Related Parties as defined by SFAS No. 57

A substantial portion of the Company s business within the Retail and Alliance Services and International segments is conducted through merchant alliances. Merchant alliances are alliances between the Company and financial institutions. If the Company has majority ownership and management control over an alliance, then the alliance s financial statements are consolidated with those of the Company and the related processing fees are treated as an intercompany transaction and eliminated upon consolidation. If the Company does not have a controlling ownership interest in an alliance, it uses the equity method of accounting to account for its investment in the alliance. As a result, the Company s consolidated revenues include processing fees charged to alliances accounted for under the equity method. No directors or officers of the Company have ownership interests in any of the alliances. The formation of each of these alliances generally involves the Company and the bank contributing contractual merchant relationships to the alliance and a cash payment from one owner to the other to achieve the desired ownership percentage for each. The Company and the bank contract a long-term processing service agreement as part of the negotiation process. This agreement governs the Company s provision of transaction processing services to the alliance.

The Company negotiated all agreements with the alliance banks. Therefore, all transactions between the Company and its alliances were conducted at arm s length; nevertheless, accounting guidance defines a transaction between the Company and an entity for which investments are accounted for under the equity method by the Company as a related party transaction requiring separate disclosure in the financial statements provided by the Company. Accordingly, the revenue associated with these related party transactions are presented on the face of the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Subsequent to the merger, certain members of the Company s new Board of Directors are affiliated with KKR.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

In connection with the consummation of the merger, First Data entered into a management agreement with affiliates of KKR pursuant to which such entities or their affiliates will provide management services to the Company. Pursuant to such agreement, the Company pays an aggregate annual base management fee of \$20 million, which increases annually by 5% each October, and reimburses out-of-pocket expenses incurred in connection with the provision of services pursuant to the agreement. The agreement provides that the Company will pay fees in connection with certain subsequent financing, acquisition, disposition and change of control transactions, as well as a termination fee based on the net present value of future payment obligations under the management agreement, in the event of an initial public offering or under certain other circumstances. The agreement also includes customary exculpation and indemnification provisions in favor of KKR and its affiliates.

Refer to Note 11 of the Company s Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this Form 10-K for additional information regarding transactions with related parties.

New Accounting Guidance

In September 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued an Accounting Standards Update for Investments in Certain Entities that Calculate Net Asset Value per Share (or its Equivalent). The amendments in this update provide guidance on how companies should estimate the fair value of certain investments that do not have readily determinable fair values. Examples of these investments may include hedge funds, private equity funds, real estate funds, venture capital funds, offshore fund vehicles, and funds of funds. If an investment is within the scope of the update, the amendments permit investors to measure the fair value of the investment using the investment s net asset value per share (NAV) unless it is probable that the investment will be sold at an amount other than NAV. The update also requires additional disclosures about the attributes of these investments. This update applies to certain assets in the Company s defined benefit pension plans and is effective for the year-end measurement of plan assets on December 31, 2009. The Company adopted the new guidance in the fourth quarter 2009 and it did not have a material impact on its financial position and results of operations and doesn t expect it to materially impact future periods.

In October 2009, the FASB revised its guidance on Revenue Recognition for Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements. The amendments in this update will enable companies to separately account for multiple revenue-generating activities (deliverables) that they perform for their customers. Existing U.S. GAAP requires a company to use vendor-specific objective evidence (VSOE) or third party evidence of selling price to separate deliverables in a multiple-deliverable arrangement. The update will allow the use of an estimated selling price if neither VSOE nor third-party evidence is available. The update will require additional disclosures of information about an entity s multiple-deliverable arrangements. The requirements of the update will apply prospectively for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010, although early adoption is permitted. The Company adopted the new guidance on January 1, 2010 and has no arrangements for which this adoption will have a material impact on its financial position and results of operations.

Forward-Looking Statements

Certain matters the Company discusses in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and in other public statements may constitute forward-looking statements. You can identify forward-looking statements because they contain words such as believes, expects, may, will, should, seeks plans, estimates, or anticipates or similar expressions which concern the Company s strategy, plans, projections or intentions. Examples of forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, all statements the Company makes

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

relating to revenue, EBITDA, earnings, margins, growth rates and other financial results for future periods. Forward-looking statements are based on the Company s current expectations and assumptions regarding its business, the economy and other future conditions. Because forward-looking statements relate to the future, they are subject to inherent uncertainties, risks and changes in circumstances that are difficult to predict. The Company s actual results may differ materially from those contemplated by the forward-looking statements, which are neither statements of historical fact nor guarantees or assurances of future performance. Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements include:

- no adverse impact on the Company s business as a result of its high degree of leverage; (b) successful conversions under service contracts with major clients, including clients of Banc of America Merchant Services, LLC; (c) timely, successful and cost-effective implementation of processing systems to provide new products, improved functionality and increased efficiencies; timely, successful and cost-effective consolidation of the Company s processing platforms and data centers; successful and timely integration of significant businesses and technologies acquired by the Company and realization of anticipated synergies; absence of further consolidation among client financial institutions or other client groups which has a significant impact on Company client relationships and no material loss of business from significant customers of the Company; achieving planned revenue growth throughout the Company, including in the merchant alliance program which involves several alliances not under the sole control of the Company and each of which acts independently of the others, and successful management of pricing pressures through cost efficiencies and other cost-management initiatives; anticipation of and response to technological changes, particularly with respect to e-commerce;
- successfully managing the credit and fraud risks in the Company s business units and the merchant alliances, particularly in the context of the developing e-commerce markets;
- (j) no material breach of security of any of the Company s systems;
- (k) continuing development and maintenance of appropriate business continuity plans for the Company s processing systems based on the needs and risks relative to each such system;

(I) attracting and retaining qualified key employees;
 (m) no unanticipated changes in laws, regulations, credit card association rules or other industry standards affecting the Company s businesses which require significant product redevelopment efforts, reduce the market for or value of its products or render products obsolete;
 (n) continuation of the existing interest rate environment so as to avoid unanticipated increases in interest on the Company s borrowings;
 (o) no unanticipated developments relating to previously disclosed lawsuits, investigations or similar matters;
 (p) no catastrophic events that could impact the Company s or its major customer s operating facilities, communication systems and

technology or that has a material negative impact on current economic conditions or levels of consumer spending; and

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

(q) successfully managing the potential both for patent protection and patent liability in the context of rapidly developing legal framework for expansive software patent protection.

Variations from these assumptions or failure to achieve these objectives could cause actual results to differ from those projected in the forward-looking statements. Factors or events that could cause the Company sactual results to differ may emerge from time to time, and it is not possible for the Company to predict all of them. Any forward-looking statement made by the Company speaks only as of the date on which it was made. The Company assumes no obligation to update or revise forward-looking statements to reflect changed assumptions, the occurrence of unanticipated events, or changes to projections over time, except as may be required by law. Due to the uncertainties inherent in forward-looking statements, readers are urged not to place undue reliance on these statements.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK Interest Rate Risk

The Company is exposed to market risk from changes in interest rates. The Company is assets include both fixed and floating rate interest-bearing securities. These investments arise primarily from settlement funds held by the Company associated with the merchant acquiring business and official check business. The Company invests these funds pending settlement. The Company has classified these investments as available-for-sale. Accordingly, they are carried on the Company is Consolidated Balance Sheets at fair market value. A portion of the Company is Integrated Payment Systems (IPS) business involves the payment of commissions to selling agents of its official check products and such commissions are generally computed based on short-term variable rates. The continued wind-down of this business resulted in a decrease in its investment portfolio balance as well as a decrease in commissions during the year ended December 31, 2009.

The Company s interest rate-sensitive liabilities are its debt instruments. The Company s senior secured term loan facility is subject to variable interest rates. The Company has interest rate swaps on \$7.5 billion of the variable rate debt that convert it to fixed rates. As of December 31, 2009, the Company had approximately \$5.1 billion of variable rate debt not subject to a fixed rate swap.

Using the December 31, 2009 balances, a 10% proportionate increase in short-term interest rates on an annualized basis compared to the interest rates at December 31, 2009, which for the three month LIBOR was 0.2506%, and a corresponding and parallel shift in the remainder of the yield curve, would result in a decrease to pretax income of \$0.5 million. The \$0.5 million decrease to pretax income (due to 10% increase in variable rates as of December 31, 2009) is a combination of the following: a) \$1.3 million increase in interest expense related to the Company s balance of variable interest rate debt, net of interest rate swaps, at December 31, 2009 and b) \$0.8 million increase in interest income associated with operating cash balances, settlement related cash balances, and investment positions (netted with commissions paid to selling agents). Conversely, a corresponding decrease in interest rates would result in a comparable increase to pretax income. Actual interest rates could change significantly more than 10%. There are inherent limitations in the sensitivity analysis presented, primarily due to the assumption that interest rate movements are linear and instantaneous. As a result, the analysis is unable to reflect the potential effects of more complex market changes that could arise, which may positively or negatively affect income.

Foreign Currency Risk

The Company is exposed to changes in currency rates as a result of its investments in foreign operations, revenues generated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar and foreign currency denominated loans. Revenue and profit generated by international operations will increase or decrease compared to prior periods as a result of changes in foreign currency exchange rates.

A hypothetical uniform 10% weakening in the value of the U.S. dollar relative to all the currencies in which the Company's revenues and profits are denominated would result in an increase to pretax income of approximately \$10 million. The increase results from a \$107 million increase related to foreign exchange on intercompany loans and a \$14 million increase related to foreign exchange on foreign currency earnings. This increase is partially offset by a \$100 million decrease related to a euro denominated term loan held by the Company as well as an \$11 million decrease related to a euro denominated cross currency swap held by the Company, assuming consistent operating results as the preceding twelve months from December 31, 2009. There are inherent limitations in the sensitivity analysis presented, primarily due to the assumption that foreign exchange rate movements are linear and instantaneous. As a result, the analysis is unable to reflect the potential effects of more complex market changes that could arise, which may positively or negatively affect income.

Regulatory

Through its merchant alliances, the Retail and Alliance Services segment holds an ownership interest in several competing merchant acquiring businesses while serving as the electronic processor for those businesses. In order to satisfy state and federal antitrust requirements, the Company actively maintains an antitrust compliance program.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA FIRST DATA CORPORATION

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

COVERED BY REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

(Item 15(a))

First Data Corporation and Subsidiaries:	
Consolidated Financial Statements:	
Report of Ernst & Young LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	95
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the successor periods for the year ended December 31, 2009, the year ended December 31,	
2008 and from September 25, 2007 through December 31, 2007, and for the predecessor period from January 1, 2007 through	
September 24, 2007.	96
Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2009 and 2008	97
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the successor periods for the year ended December 31, 2009, the year ended December 31,	
2008 and from September 25, 2007 through December 31, 2007, and for the predecessor period from January 1, 2007 through	
September 24, 2007.	98
Consolidated Statements of Equity for the successor periods for the year ended December 31, 2009, the year ended December 31, 2008	
and from September 25, 2007 through December 31, 2007, and for the predecessor period from January 1, 2007 through September 24,	
<u>2007.</u>	99
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the successor periods for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008	
and from the September 25, 2007 through December 31, 2007, and for the predecessor period from January 1, 2007 through	
September 24, 2007	10
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements	102
Schedules:	
Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts	191

All other schedules for First Data Corporation and subsidiaries have been omitted since the required information is not present or not present in amounts sufficient to require submission of the schedule, or because the information required is included in the respective financial statements or notes thereto.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders of First Data Corporation

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of First Data Corporation as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the related consolidated statements of operations, cash flows, equity and comprehensive income (loss) for the period from January 1, 2007 through September 24, 2007 (predecessor period), and for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 and for the period from September 25, 2007 through December 31, 2007 (successor periods) (collectively consolidated financial statements). Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15(a). These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of First Data Corporation at December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for the period from January 1, 2007 through September 24, 2007 (predecessor period), and for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 and for the period from September 25, 2007 through December 31, 2007 (successor periods), in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

As discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, effective January 1, 2009, the Company retrospectively adopted authoritative guidance relating to noncontrolling interests in consolidated financial statements. As further discussed in Notes 6, 4, and 18, respectively, the Company adopted authoritative guidance relating to (i) when and how to assess other-than-temporary impairments of securities, effective April 1, 2009, (ii) accounting for business combinations, effective January 1, 2009, and (iii) accounting for fair value measurements of assets and liabilities, effective January 1, 2008.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), First Data Corporation s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated March 10, 2010 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Denver, Colorado

March 10, 2010

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	Year (Decem		Period from September 25, 2007 through	Predecessor Period from January 1, 2007 through
(in millions)	2009	2008	December 31, 2007 (a)	September 24, 2007
Revenues:	2009	2008	2007 (a)	2007
Transaction and processing service fees:				
Merchant related services (b)	\$ 3,047.0	\$ 2,786.9	\$ 691.0	\$ 1,833.6
Check services	364.1	386.4	113.7	304.1
Card services	1,841.6	2,035.7	571.6	1,411.9
Other services	536.2	576.3	177.0	416.3
Investment income, net	8.4	77.1	(8.2)	(66.9)
Product sales and other (b)	788.3	848.2	223.0	616.4
Reimbursable debit network fees, postage and other	2,728.2	2,100.7	510.4	1,257.5
	9,313.8	8,811.3	2,278.5	5,772.9
Expenses:				
Cost of services (exclusive of items shown below)	2,945.1	2,870.6	753.8	2,116.2
Cost of products sold	305.5	316.8	87.3	209.2
Selling, general and administrative	1,438.2	1,374.8	404.4	1,149.9
Reimbursable debit network fees, postage and other	2,728.2	2,100.7	510.4	1,257.5
Depreciation and amortization	1,452.3	1,369.7	367.8	476.4
Other operating expenses:				
Restructuring, net	92.8	12.0	(0.2)	7.9
Impairments	185.1	3,243.6		20.6
Litigation and regulatory settlements	11.8			2.5
Other				(7.7)
	9,159.0	11,288.2	2,123.5	5,232.5
Operating profit (loss)	154.8	(2,476.9)	155.0	540.4
Interest income	11.7	26.0	17.9	30.8
Interest expense	(1,796.4)	(1,964.9)	(584.7)	(103.6)
Other (expense) income	(61.3)	(14.4)	(74.0)	4.9
	(1,846.0)	(1,953.3)	(640.8)	(67.9)
(Loss) income before income taxes, equity earnings in affiliates				
and discontinued operations	(1,691.2)	(4,430.2)	(485.8)	472.5
Income tax (benefit) expense	(578.8)	(699.2)	(176.1)	125.8
Equity earnings in affiliates	97.8	123.0	46.8	223.0
Net (loss) income from continuing operations	(1,014.6)	(3,608.0)	(262.9)	569.7
Net loss from discontinued operations, net of taxes of \$0, \$0, \$0, and \$3.0, respectively				(3.9)
Net (loss) income	(1,014.6)	(3,608.0)	(262.9)	565.8

Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	71.8	156.3	39.0	105.0
Net (loss) income attributable to First Data Corporation	\$ (1,086.4)	\$ (3,764.3)	\$ (301.9)	\$ 460.8

- (a) Includes the results of operations (reflecting the change in fair value of forward starting contingent interest rate swaps) of Omaha Acquisition Corporation for the period prior to the merger with and into First Data Corporation from March 29, 2007 (its formation) through September 24, 2007. Also includes post merger results of First Data Corporation for the period from September 25, 2007 to December 31, 2007.
- (b) Includes processing fees, administrative service fees and other fees charged to merchant alliances accounted for under the equity method of \$107.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, \$220.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, \$69.4 million for the successor period from September 25, 2007 through December 31, 2007 and \$186.5 million for the predecessor period from January 1, 2007 through September 24, 2007, respectively.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	Succ	essor
December 31,	2009	2008
(in millions, except common stock share amounts)		
ASSETS		
Current assets:	¢ 727.0	¢ 406.2
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 737.0	\$ 406.3
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$14.9 (2009) and \$16.6 (2008)	2,455.5	2,637.2
Settlement assets	6,870.3	7,930.2
Other current assets	398.8	419.8
Total current assets	10,461.6	11,393.5
Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$463.7 (2009) and \$261.1 (2008)	1,051.4	1,087.8
Goodwill	17,475.8	14,861.2
Customer relationships, net of accumulated amortization of \$1,723.8 (2009) and \$932.1 (2008)	6,008.8	5,987.6
Other intangibles, net of accumulated amortization of \$698.3 (2009) and \$373.1 (2008)	2,121.1	1,915.6
Investment in affiliates	1,291.3	1,259.6
Long-term settlement assets	480.7	732.7
Other long-term assets	844.7	938.1
Total assets	\$ 39,735.4	\$ 38,176.1
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 200.7	\$ 186.5
Short-term and current portion of long-term borrowings	304.9	497.3
Settlement obligations	7,394.7	8,680.6
Other current liabilities	1,554.9	1,413.6
Total current liabilities	9,455.2	10,778.0
Long-term borrowings	22,304.9	22,075.2
Long-term deferred tax liabilities	1,346.4	1,648.2
Other long-term liabilities	1,301.9	1,272.4
	2,2 4 212	2,21211
Total liabilities	34,408.4	35,773.8
Commitments and contingencies (See Note 12)		
Redeemable noncontrolling interest	226.9	
First Data Corporation stockholder s equity:		
Common stock, \$.01 par value; authorized and issued 1,000 shares (2009 and 2008)		
Additional paid-in capital	7,394.3	7,380.8
Paid-in capital	7,394.3	7,380.8
Accumulated loss	(5,127.3)	(4,068.0)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(681.7)	(934.9)
Total First Data Corporation stockholder s equity	1,585.3	2,377.9
Noncontrolling interests	3,514.8	24.4

Total equity	5,100.1	2,402.3
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 39,735.4	\$ 38,176.1

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(in millions) Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	Year ended December 31, 2009 \$ 406.3	Successor Year ended December 31, 2008 \$ 606.5	Period from September 25, 2007 through December 31, 2007	Predecessor Period from January 1, 2007 through September 24, 2007 \$ 1,154.2
	7 10010	7 33310		, ,,,,,,,,
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES				
Net (loss) income from continuing operations	(1,014.6)	(3,608.0)	\$ (262.9)	569.7
Net loss from discontinued operations				(3.9)
Adjustments to reconcile to net cash provided by operating activities:				
Depreciation and amortization (including amortization netted against equity				
earnings in affiliates and revenues)	1,553.8	1,559.6	427.2	540.2
Charges related to other operating expenses and other income (expense)	350.5	3,267.0	73.8	20.9
Other non-cash and non-operating items, net	303.6	(42.7)	(35.6)	67.8
Increase (decrease) in cash, excluding the effects of acquisitions and				
dispositions, resulting from changes in:				
Accounts receivable, current and long-term	288.8	(86.4)	(316.9)	(145.4)
Other assets, current and long-term	215.6	297.4	124.8	(28.7)
Accounts payable and other liabilities, current and long-term	(40.2)	(18.5)	(103.2)	(13.3)
Income tax accounts	(657.9)	(768.8)	(61.4)	69.6
Excess tax benefit from share-based payment arrangement		(13.1)		(219.8)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities from continuing	000.6	506.5	(154.0)	061.0
operations	999.6	586.5	(154.2)	861.0
Net cash used in operating activities from discontinued operations				(9.7)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	999.6	586.5	(154.2)	851.3
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES				
Merger, net of cash acquired			(25,756.2)	
Current year acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(86.5)	(188.7)	(62.8)	(690.3)
Payments related to other businesses previously acquired	(14.7)	(35.6)	(0.5)	(50.0)
Proceeds from dispositions, net of expenses paid and cash disposed	88.1	215.1	(0.0)	(20.0)
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment	29.4	210.1		
Additions to property and equipment, net	(199.1)	(283.9)	(55.2)	(275.5)
Payments to secure customer service contracts, including outlays for	()	(22.11)		(,
conversion, and capitalized systems development costs	(180.0)	(163.9)	(57.5)	(123.7)
Proceeds from the sale of marketable securities	3.9	74.9	14.1	11.8
Other investing activities	(48.7)	(1.3)	108.7	18.1
Net cash used in investing activities	(407.6)	(383.4)	(25,809.4)	(1,109.6)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES				
Short-term borrowings, net	(206.1)	(41.9)	238.5	26.3
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt		100.4	21,245.7	
Principal payments on long-term debt	(243.1)	(326.8)	(2,033.3)	(126.6)
Proceeds from issuance of common stock			7,224.4	187.4
Distributions and dividends paid to noncontrolling interests	(10.0)	(150.9)	(36.6)	(126.5)
Contributions from noncontrolling interests	193.0			2.1
Purchase of noncontrolling interests		(78.4)	(73.8)	
Capital contributed by Parent		126.8		
Excess tax benefit from share-based payment arrangement		13.1		219.8
Purchase of treasury shares				(371.8)
Cash dividends		(1.8)		(67.7)

Edgar Filing: FIRST DATA CORP - Form 10-K

Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(266	2)	(359.5)	26,564.9)	(257.0)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	4	9	(43.8)	5	2	34.5
Change in cash and cash equivalents	330	7	(200.2)	606.:	5	(480.8)
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 737	0 \$	406.3	\$ 606	5 \$	673.4

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY

First	D	ata	Corporation	Shareholder

						First Dat	ta Corpora	tion Shareh	older			
	Accumulated								~ .			
	('amı	nnahanai	Retained		Other	_		Treas	ury Stock		
	•			ve Earnings (Accumulated		prenensive Income	Common	Paid-In			Jone	ontrolling
(in millions, except per share amounts) Predecessor	Total		(Loss)	(Loss)		(Loss)	Shares	Capital	Shares	Cost		iterests
Balance, December 31, 2006 (as previously												
reported)	\$ 10,253.1			\$ 10,900.6	\$	(16.9)	1,067.7	\$ 9,724.3	(314.8)	\$ (10,466.8)	\$	111.9
Adjustment to record adoption of	(22.7)			(22.7)								
new accounting guidance	(22.7)			(22.7)								
Balance, December 31, 2006 (Adjusted)	10,230.4			10,877.9		(16.9)	1,067.7	9,724.3	(314.8)	(10,466.8)		111.9
Acquisition	4.0											4.0
Contributions from noncontrolling interests	2.1											2.1
Distributions and dividends paid to												
noncontrolling interests	(126.5)											(126.5)
Comprehensive income												
Net income	565.8	\$	565.8	460.8								105.0
Other comprehensive income (loss):												
Unrealized losses on securities	(18.2)		(18.2)			(18.2)						
Unrealized gains on hedging activities	0.4		0.4			0.4						
Foreign currency translation adjustment	123.1		123.1			123.1						
Other comprehensive income			105.3									
Comprehensive income		\$	671.1									
Purchase of treasury shares	(335.3)								(11.2)	(335.3)		
Stock issued for compensation and benefit												
plans	659.2			(84.0)				394.1	12.5	349.1		
Cash dividends declared by First Data	(45.0)			(17.0)								
Corporation (\$0.06 per share)	(45.3)			(45.3)								1.6
Other	1.6											1.6
Balance, September 24, 2007	\$ 11,061.3			\$ 11,209.4	\$	88.4	1,067.7	\$ 10,118.4	(313.5)	\$ (10,453.0)	\$	98.1
a												
Successor Investment by Parent Company	\$ 7,224.4						0.0	\$ 7,224.4				
Equity held by noncontrolling interests	98.1						0.0	Ψ 1,224.4			\$	98.1
Acquisitions	4.4										Ψ	4.4
Purchases of noncontrolling interests	(35.9)											(35.9)
Distributions and dividends paid to	(001)											(001)
noncontrolling interests	(36.6)											(36.6)
Comprehensive loss												
Net (loss) income	(262.9)	\$	(262.9)	\$ (301.9)								39.0
Other comprehensive income (loss):	Ì		,	Ì								
Unrealized losses on hedging activities	(109.1)		(109.1)		\$	(109.1)						
Foreign currency translation adjustment	15.7		15.7			14.0						1.7
Minimum pension liability adjustment	1.6		1.6			1.6						
Other comprehensive loss			(91.8)									
Comprehensive loss		\$	(354.7)									
- I		-	(22)									

Other	0.4					0.4
Balance, December 31, 2007	6,900.1	(301.9)	(93.5)	0.0	7,224.4	\$ 71.1

${\bf CONSOLIDATED\ STATEMENTS\ OF\ EQUITY\ (Continued)}$

			First Data Corporation Shareholder									
				Retained	Accumulated Other	orporation	Treasury Stock					
		Con	nprehensiv		omprehensive			11 casary	Stock			
(in millions, except per share amounts)	Total		Income (Loss)	Accumulated (Loss)	Income (Loss)	Common Shares	Paid-In Capital	Shares	Noncontrolling Cost Interests			
Purchase of noncontrolling interests	(12.7)		()	, ,	(,				(12.7)			
Dispositions	(35.1)								(35.1)			
Distributions and dividends paid to												
noncontrolling interests	(150.9)								(150.9)			
Comprehensive loss												
Net (loss) income	(3,608.0)	\$	(3,608.0)	(3,764.3)					156.3			
Other comprehensive loss:												
Unrealized losses on securities	(11.2)		(11.2)		(11.2)							
Unrealized losses on hedging activities	(243.2)		(243.2)		(243.2)							
Foreign currency translation adjustment	(560.3)		(560.3)		(556.5)				(3.8)			
Minimum pension liability adjustment	(30.5)		(30.5)		(30.5)							
Other comprehensive loss			(845.2)									
Comprehensive loss		\$	(4,453.2)									
Comprehensive 1055		Ψ	(1,133.2)									
Capital contributed by Parent	126.8						126.8					
Stock compensation expense and excess tax	120.0						120.0					
benefit from share-based payment arrangement	29.6						29.6					
Cash dividends paid by First Data Corporation	27.0						27.0					
to Parent	(1.8)			(1.8)								
Other	(0.5)			(1.0)					(0.5)			
	()								(***)			
Balance, December 31, 2008	2,402.3			(4,068.0)	(934.9)	0.0	7,380.8		24.4			
Adjustment resulting from adoption of new	2,102.0			(1,000.0)	(55.15)	0.0	7,50010		2			
accounting guidance				27.1	(27.1)							
Acquisitions	20.4			2,.1	(2711)				20.4			
Formation of Banc of America Merchant												
Services, LLC alliance	3,431.9						20.8		3,411.1			
Distributions and dividends paid to	.,								,			
noncontrolling interests	(10.0)								(10.0)			
Comprehensive loss	, i								· · · · · ·			
Net (loss) income (1)	(1,018.3)	\$	(1,018.3)	(1,086.4)					68.1			
Other comprehensive gain (loss):												
Unrealized gains on securities	10.9		10.9		10.9							
Unrealized gains on hedging activities	110.2		110.2		110.2							
Foreign currency translation adjustment	228.2		228.2		223.7				4.5			
Pension liability adjustment	(64.5)		(64.5)		(64.5)							
Other comprehensive gain			284.8									
Comprehensive loss		\$	(733.5)									
i		-	()									
Adjustment to redemption value of redeemable												
noncontrolling interests	(30.2)						(26.5)		(3.7)			
Stock compensation expense and other	19.2						19.2		(3.7)			
Stock compensation expense and other	17.2						17.2					

\$ (5,127.3) \$ (681.7)

0.0 \$ 7,394.3

Balance, December 31, 2009

\$ 5,100.1

\$ \$ 3,514.8

(1) The total net loss presented in the Consolidated Statements of Equity for the twelve months ended December 31, 2009 is \$3.7 million greater than the amount presented on the Consolidated Statements of Operations due to the net income attributable to the redeemable noncontrolling interests not included in equity.

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

100

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE (LOSS) INCOME

(in millions)

		Predecessor		
	Year ended	Year ended	Period from September 25 through	Period from January 1 through
	December 31, 2009	December 31, 2008	December 31, 2007	September 24, 2007
Net (loss) income (1)	\$ (1,018.3)	\$ (3,608.0)	\$ (262.9)	\$ 565.8
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:				
Unrealized gains (losses) on securities	10.9	(11.2)		(18.2)
Unrealized gains (losses) on hedging activities	110.2	(243.2)	(109.1)	0.4
Pension liability adjustment	(64.5)	(30.5)	1.6	
Foreign currency translation adjustment	228.2	(560.3)	15.7	123.1
Total other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	284.8	(845.2)	(91.8)	105.3
Comprehensive (loss) income	(733.5)	(4,453.2)	(354.7)	671.1
Less: Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests	72.6	152.5	40.7	105.0
Comprehensive (loss) income attributable to First Data Corporation	\$ (806.1)	\$ (4,605.7)	\$ (395.4)	\$ 566.1

⁽¹⁾ The total net loss presented in the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive (Loss) Income for the twelve months ended December 31, 2009 is \$3.7 million greater than the amount presented on the Consolidated Statements of Operations due to the net income attributable to the redeemable noncontrolling interests not included in equity.

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

101

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1: Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Business Description

First Data Corporation (FDC or the Company) operates electronic commerce businesses providing a variety of services to financial institutions, commercial establishments and consumers. Such services include merchant transaction processing and acquiring; credit, retail and debit card issuing and processing; and check verification, settlement and guarantee services.

On September 24, 2007, the Company was acquired through a merger transaction (the $\,$ merger $\,$) with an entity controlled by affiliates of Kohlberg Kravis Roberts & Co. (KKR $\,$ or the $\,$ sponsor $\,$). The merger resulted in the equity of FDC becoming privately held. Details of the merger are more fully discussed in Note 2.

Upon completion of a strategic review of the Company s official check and money order operations in the first quarter of 2007, the Company decided to gradually exit this line of business. The majority of the clients of this business deconverted during 2008 and there will be no new official check and money order business beyond April 2010. On October 1, 2009, Integrated Payment Systems Inc. (IPS) assigned and transferred to The Western Union Company (Western Union), among other things, certain assets and equipment used by IPS to issue retail money orders and approximately \$860 million of cash to satisfy all outstanding retail money orders. Western Union assumed IPS s role as issuer of the retail money orders. IPS will continue to use its licenses to offer payment services that fall under state and federal regulations and the business will continue to operate in a much reduced capacity after all of the client deconversions as outstanding official check and money order clearance activity related to the financial institution clients winds down.

Consolidation

The accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements of FDC include the accounts of FDC and its controlled subsidiaries. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated. Investments in unconsolidated affiliated companies are accounted for under the equity method and are included in Investment in affiliates in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets. The Company generally utilizes the equity method of accounting when it has an ownership interest of between 20% and 50% in an entity, provided the Company is able to exercise significant influence over the investee s operations.

The Company consolidates an entity s financial statements when the Company either will absorb a majority of the entity s expected losses or residual returns, in the case of a variable interest entity (VIE), or has the ability to exert control over a subsidiary. Control is normally established when ownership interests exceed 50% in an entity; however, when the Company does not exercise control over a majority-owned entity as a result of other investors having rights over the management and operations of the entity, the Company accounts for the entity under the equity method. As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, there were no greater-than-50%-owned affiliates whose financial statements were not consolidated.

As a result of the merger, the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and cash flows are presented for two periods: predecessor (the period from January 1, 2007 to September 24, 2007) and successor (the period from September 25, 2007 to December 31, 2007 and the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2009), which relate to the period preceding the merger and the periods succeeding the merger, respectively, except as noted at footnote (a) on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. The Company applied purchase accounting to the opening balance sheet and results of operations on September 25, 2007 as the merger occurred at the close of business on September 24, 2007. The merger resulted in a new basis of accounting beginning on September 25, 2007.

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the Consolidated Financial Statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Presentation

In January 2009, the Company adopted new accounting guidance related to noncontrolling interests which modified reporting for noncontrolling interests (minority interests) in consolidated financial statements. The new guidance required noncontrolling interests be reported in equity on the balance sheet and establishes a new framework for recognizing net income or loss and comprehensive income by the controlling interest. Upon adoption, prior period financial statements were revised to conform to the presentation requirements of the new guidance.

Effective January 1, 2009, the Company re-aligned the business and began making strategic and operating decisions with regards to assessing performance and allocating resources based on a new segment structure. Additionally, beginning in the third quarter of 2009, the Company s Chief Operating Decision Maker (its Chief Executive Officer) began evaluating results of the Company s segments based upon certain revised performance measures. Segment results for 2008 and 2007 have been revised to reflect the new structure and revised performance measures. Refer to Note 16 for a description of the segments and these performance measures. Other amounts in 2008 and 2007 have been adjusted to conform to current year presentation, the largest of which was the reclassification of certain expenses from Cost of services to Selling, general and administrative .

The Company sold a merchant acquiring business in Canada as well as a debit and credit card issuing and acquiring processing business in Austria and Active Business Services, Ltd, all reported within the International segment, in November 2009, August 2009 and July 2008, respectively, and Peace Software, reported within the Financial Services segment, in October 2008. The results of divested businesses are excluded from segment results. The International and Financial Services performance measures have been adjusted for 2009, 2008, and 2007 to exclude the results of divested businesses. Retail and Alliance Services segment performance measures have been adjusted for 2008 and 2007 to reflect the sale of 12.5% of the Company s ownership interest in the Wells Fargo Merchant Services alliance that occurred on December 31, 2008.

Depreciation and amortization presented as a separate line item on the Company s Consolidated Statements of Operations does not include amortization of initial payments for new contracts which is recorded as a contra-revenue within Transaction and processing service fees of \$27.7 million for the successor year ended December 31, 2009, \$10.9 million for the successor year ended December 31, 2008, \$0.9 million for the successor period from September 25, 2007 through December 31, 2007, and \$39.6 million for the predecessor period from January 1, 2007 through September 24, 2007. Also not included is amortization related to equity method investments which is netted within the Equity earnings in affiliates line of \$73.8 million for the successor year ended December 31, 2009, \$179.0 million for the successor year ended December 31, 2008, \$58.5 million for the successor period from September 25, 2007 through December 31, 2007, and \$24.2 million for the predecessor period from January 1, 2007 through September 24, 2007.

Revenue Recognition

The majority of the Company s revenues are comprised of transaction-based fees, which typically constitute a percentage of dollar volume processed, or a fee per transaction processed, or account on file or some combination thereof. In limited circumstances, revenue is allocated to the separate units of accounting in a

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

multiple element transaction based on relative fair values, provided each element has stand alone value to the customer, the fair value of any undelivered items can be readily determined, and delivery of any undelivered items is probable and substantially within the Company s control.

The official check and money order services and merchant acquiring business generate revenue through the ability to invest funds pending settlement. With respect to official checks, IPS pays some of its agents commissions based on short-term variable interest rates and the balance of outstanding official checks attributable to the individual agent. IPS nets the commissions paid to agents against the revenues it earns from its investments. Gains and losses associated with the above noted investments are recognized in revenue.

In the case of merchant contracts that the Company owns and manages, revenue is primarily comprised of fees charged to the merchant, net of interchange and assessments charged by the credit card associations, and is recognized at the time of sale. The fees charged to the merchant are a percentage of the credit card and signature based debit card transaction s dollar value, a fixed amount or a combination of the two. Personal identification number based debit (PIN-debit) network fees are recognized in Reimbursable debit network fees, postage and other revenues and expenses in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. STAR network access fees charged to merchants are assessed on a per transaction basis.

Interchange fees and assessments charged by credit card associations to the Company s consolidated subsidiaries and network fees related to PIN-debit transactions charged by debit networks are as follows (in millions):

		Successor		Predecessor				
	Year ended I	December 31,		eriod from otember 25,		riod from anuary 1,		
				2007 through		2007 through		
				cember 31,		tember 24,		
	2009	2008		2007		2007		
Interchange fees and assessments	\$ 14,325.2	\$ 9,186.9	\$	2,129.8	\$	5,241.9		
Debit network fees	2.091.9	1.351.7		303.1		719.8		

The Company charges processing fees to its merchant alliances. In situations where an alliance is accounted for under the equity method, the Company s consolidated revenues include the processing fees charged to the alliance, as presented on the face of the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Revenue from check verification, settlement and guarantee services is recognized at the time of sale less the fair value of the guarantee. The fair value of the guarantee is deferred until the later of the Company being called upon to honor the guarantee or the expiration of the guarantee. Check verification fees generally are a fixed amount per transaction while check guarantee fees generally are a percentage of the check amount.

The purchase and sale of merchant contracts is an ordinary element of the Company s Retail and Alliance Services and International businesses, and therefore, the gains from selling these revenue-generating assets are included within the Product sales and other component of revenues.

Fees based on cardholder accounts on file, both active and inactive, are recognized after the requisite services or period has occurred. Fees for PIN-debit transactions where the Company is the debit card processor for the financial institution are recognized on a per transaction basis. Revenues for output services are derived primarily on a per piece basis and consist of fees for the production, materials and postage related to mailing finished products.

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Software licensing revenue, which is reported in the Product sales and other line item of the Consolidated Statements of Operations, is not recognized until each of the following four criteria are met: evidence of an agreement exists, delivery and acceptance has occurred or services have been rendered, the selling price is fixed or determinable, and collection of the selling price is reasonably assured.

The sale and leasing of point-of-sale devices (terminals) are also reported in Product Sales and Other. Revenue for terminals sold or sold under a sales-type lease transaction is recognized when the following four criteria are met: evidence of an agreement exists, delivery has occurred, the selling price or minimum lease payments are fixed or determinable, and collection of the selling price or minimum lease payments is reasonably assured. Revenue for operating leases is recognized on a straight-line basis over the lease term.

Services not specifically described above are generally transaction based fees that are recognized at the time the transactions are processed or programming services that are recorded as work is performed.

Stock-Based Compensation

Stock-based compensation to employees is measured at the grant date fair values of the respective stock options and restricted stock awards and expensed over the requisite service periods. An estimate of forfeitures is applied when calculating compensation expense. The Company recognizes compensation cost on awards with graded vesting on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period for the entire award. In conjunction with the merger, all predecessor stock-based compensation plans were terminated and vesting provisions were accelerated and all unrecognized stock-based compensation was recognized in the predecessor period. The Company established a new stock-based compensation plan in October 2007. Refer to Note 14 for additional discussion regarding details of the Company s stock-based compensation plan.

Foreign Currency Translation

The U.S. dollar is the functional currency for most of the Company s U.S. based businesses and certain foreign based businesses. Significant operations with a local currency as their functional currency include operations in the United Kingdom, Australia, Germany, Greece and Argentina. Foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities for these units and other less significant operations are translated into U.S. dollars based on exchange rates prevailing at the end of the period, and revenues and expenses are translated at average exchange rates during the period. The effects of foreign exchange gains and losses arising from the translation of assets and liabilities of those entities where the functional currency is not the U.S. dollar are included as a component of Other Comprehensive Income (OCI). Intercompany loans were considered invested on a long-term basis in the predecessor period and accordingly foreign exchange gains and losses were recorded in OCI. In the successor period, the intercompany loans are not considered invested on a long-term basis and such foreign currency gains and losses were recorded in income. Transaction gains and losses related to operating assets and liabilities were included in the Cost of services and Selling, general and administrative lines of the Consolidated Statements of Operations and were immaterial. Non-operating transaction gains and losses derived from non-operating assets and liabilities are included in the Other income (expense) line of the Consolidated Statements of Operations and are separately disclosed in Note 10.

Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company utilizes derivative instruments to enhance its ability to manage interest rate risk and foreign exchange risk. The Company recognizes all derivative financial instruments in the Consolidated Balance Sheets as assets or liabilities at fair value. Such amounts are recorded in either the Other long-term assets , Other

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

current liabilities or Other long-term liabilities captions in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Changes in fair value of derivative instruments are recognized immediately in earnings unless the derivative is designated and qualifies as a hedge of future cash flows or a hedge of a net investment in a foreign operation. For derivatives that qualify as hedges of future cash flows, the effective portion of changes in fair value is recorded temporarily in equity as a component of OCI and then recognized in earnings in the same period or periods during which the hedged item affects earnings. For derivatives that qualify as a hedge of a net investment in a foreign operation, the gain or loss is reported in OCI as part of the cumulative translation adjustment to the extent the hedge is effective. Any ineffective portions of cash flow hedges and net investment hedges are recognized in the Other income (expense) line in the Consolidated Statements of Operations during the period of change. Additional discussion of derivative instruments is provided in Note 7.

Noncontrolling Interests

Noncontrolling interests represent the minority shareholders—share of the net income or loss of and equity in consolidated subsidiaries. Substantially all of the Company—s noncontrolling interests are presented pretax in the Consolidated Statements of Operations as—Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests—since the majority of the Company—s non-wholly owned consolidated subsidiaries are flow through entities for tax purposes. Noncontrolling interests are presented as a component of equity in the Consolidated Balance Sheets and reflect the original investments by these noncontrolling shareholders in the consolidated subsidiaries, along with their proportionate share of the earnings or losses of the subsidiaries, net of dividends or distributions. For business acquisitions occurring on or after January 1, 2009, noncontrolling interest at the date of acquisition is based on the total fair value of the acquired entity and the noncontrolling interest—s share of that value.

Reserve for Merchant Credit Losses and Check Guarantees

With respect to the merchant acquiring business, the Company s merchant customers (or those of its unconsolidated alliances) have the liability for any charges properly reversed by the cardholder. In the event, however, that the Company is not able to collect such amounts from the merchants due to merchant fraud, insolvency, bankruptcy or another reason, the Company may be liable for any such reversed charges. The Company s risk in this area primarily relates to situations where the cardholder has purchased goods or services to be delivered in the future such as airline tickets.

The Company s obligation to stand ready to perform is minimal in relation to the total dollar volume processed. The Company requires cash deposits, guarantees, letters of credit or other types of collateral by certain merchants to minimize its obligation. Collateral held by the Company is classified within Settlement assets and the obligation to repay the collateral if it is not needed is classified within Settlement obligations on the Company s Consolidated Balance Sheets. The Company also utilizes a number of systems and procedures to manage merchant risk. Despite these efforts, the Company historically has experienced some level of losses due to merchant defaults.

The Company s contingent obligation relates to imprecision in its estimates of required collateral. A provision for this obligation is recorded based primarily on historical experience and other relevant factors such as economic downturns or increases in merchant fraud. Merchant credit losses are included in Cost of services in the Company s Consolidated Statements of Operations. The amount of the reserves attributable to entities consolidated by the Company was \$45.2 million and \$20.3 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

The majority of the TeleCheck Services, Inc. (TeleCheck) business involves the guarantee of checks received by merchants. If the check is returned, TeleCheck is required to purchase the check from the merchant at its face value and pursue collection from the check writer. A provision for estimated check returns, net of anticipated recoveries, is recorded at the transaction inception based on recent history. At December 31, 2009 and

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

2008, the Company had accrued warranty balances of \$16.6 million and \$15.2 million, and accrued recovery balances of \$32.5 million and \$45.1 million, respectively. Accrued warranties are included in Other current liabilities and accrued recoveries are included in Accounts receivable in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The maximum potential future payments under the guarantees were estimated by the Company to be approximately \$1.6 billion at December 31, 2009 which represented an estimate of the total uncleared checks at that time.

Income Taxes

The Company and its domestic subsidiaries file a consolidated U.S. income tax return with its parent. Holdings—as defined in Note 2. The Company—s foreign operations file income tax returns in their local jurisdictions. Income taxes are computed in accordance with current accounting guidance and reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the financial reporting carrying amounts of assets and liabilities and the corresponding income tax amounts. The Company has deferred tax assets and liabilities and maintains valuation allowances where it is more likely than not that all or a portion of deferred tax assets will not be realized. To the extent the Company determines that it will not realize the benefit of some or all of its deferred tax assets, then these deferred tax assets will be adjusted through the Company—s provision for income taxes in the period in which this determination is made.

The Company recognizes the tax benefits from uncertain tax positions only when it is more likely than not, based on the technical merits of the position, that the tax position will be sustained upon examination, including the resolution of any related appeals or litigation. The tax benefits recognized in the consolidated financial statements from such a position are measured as the largest benefit that has a greater than fifty percent likelihood of being realized upon ultimate resolution.

Discontinued Operations

Losses from discontinued operations for the predecessor period from January 1, 2007 through September 24, 2007 relate to certain tax account true-ups and discrete tax items related to Western Union.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Investments (other than those included in settlement assets) with original maturities of three months or less (that are readily convertible to cash) are considered to be cash equivalents and are stated at cost, which approximates market value. Cash and cash equivalents that were restricted from use due to regulatory requirements are included in Other long-term assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets and were immaterial at December 31, 2009 and 2008.

Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable balances are stated net of allowance for doubtful accounts. Historically, the Company has not encountered significant write-offs. The Company records allowances for doubtful accounts when it is probable that the accounts receivable balance will not be collected. Long-term accounts receivable balances are included in Other long-term assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at fair value for assets in place at the time of the merger or cost less accumulated depreciation. The allocation of the merger purchase price to fixed assets was finalized in the third quarter 2008. Depreciation expense is computed using the straight-line method over the lesser of the estimated

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

useful life of the related assets (generally three to 10 years for equipment, furniture and leasehold improvements, and 30 years for buildings) or the lease term. Maintenance and repairs which do not extend the useful life of the respective assets are charged to expense as incurred. Amounts charged to expense for the depreciation and amortization of property and equipment, including equipment under capital lease, were \$300.3 million in 2009, \$252.7 million in 2008, \$65.0 million for the successor period September 25, 2007 through December 31, 2007 and \$165.1 million for the predecessor period January 1, 2007 through September 24, 2007.

Goodwill and Other Intangibles

As discussed in Note 2, the Company merged with an entity controlled by affiliates of KKR on September 24, 2007. The total purchase price was allocated to the Company s net tangible and identifiable intangible assets (including customer relationships, software and trade names) based on their estimated fair values. The excess of the purchase price over the net tangible and identifiable intangible assets was recorded as goodwill. The allocation of the purchase price to identifiable intangible assets was finalized in the third quarter of 2008.

Goodwill represents the excess of purchase price over tangible and intangible assets acquired less liabilities assumed arising from business combinations. Goodwill is generally allocated to reporting units based upon relative fair value (taking into consideration other factors such as synergies) when an acquired business is integrated into multiple reporting units. The Company s reporting units are at the operating segment level or businesses one level below the operating segment level for which discrete financial information is prepared and regularly reviewed by management. When a business within a reporting unit is disposed of, goodwill is allocated to the disposed business using the relative fair value method. Relative fair value is estimated using a discounted cash flow analysis.

The Company tests goodwill annually for impairment, as well as upon an indicator of impairment, using a fair value approach at the reporting unit level. The Company estimates the fair value of each reporting unit using a discounted cash flow analysis. The Company performed its annual goodwill impairment test in the fourth quarters of 2009 and 2008 and recorded total impairment charges of \$17 million and \$3.2 billion, respectively, as discussed in Note 3. The 2009 goodwill impairment impacted a reporting unit within All Other and Corporate while the 2008 impairment impacted every reporting unit, also as discussed in Note 3.

Customer relationships represent the estimated value of the Company s relationships with customers, primarily merchants and financial institutions, for which it provides services. Prior to the merger, customer relationships were amortized on a straight-line basis over their expected useful lives. Customer relationships recorded as part of the purchase price allocation for the merger and those recorded subsequent to the merger are amortized based on the pattern of undiscounted cash flows for the period as a percentage of total projected undiscounted cash flows. The Company selected this amortization method for these customer relationships based on a conclusion that the projected undiscounted cash flows could be reliably determined.

FDC capitalizes initial payments for new contracts, contract renewals and conversion costs associated with customer processing relationships to the extent recoverable through future operations, contractual minimums and/or penalties in the case of early termination. The Company s accounting policy is to limit the amount of capitalized costs for a given contract to the lesser of the estimated ongoing future cash flows from the contract or the termination fees the Company would receive in the event of early termination of the contract by the customer. The initial payments for new contracts and contract renewals are amortized over the term of the contract as a reduction of the associated revenue (transaction and processing service fees). Conversion costs are also amortized over the term of the contract but are recorded as an expense in Depreciation and amortization in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The Company develops software that is used in providing processing services to customers. To a lesser extent, the Company also develops software to be sold or licensed to customers. Software development costs are capitalized once technological feasibility of the software has been established. Costs incurred prior to establishing technological feasibility are expensed as incurred. Technological feasibility is established when the Company has completed all planning, designing, coding and testing activities that are necessary to determine that a product can be produced to meet its design specifications, including functions, features and technical performance requirements. Capitalization of costs ceases when the product is available for general use. Software development costs are amortized using the straight-line method over the estimated useful life of the software, which is generally five years. Software values allocated as part of the purchase price allocation are amortized over three to 10 years.

In addition to capitalized contract and software development costs, other intangibles include copyrights, patents, acquired software, trademarks and noncompete agreements acquired in business combinations. Other intangibles, except for the First Data trade name discussed below, are amortized on a straight-line basis over the length of the contract or benefit period, which generally ranges from three to 25 years. Other intangible amortization expense (including amortization associated with investments in affiliates) totaled \$1,253.5 million in 2009, \$1,306.9 million in 2008, \$362.2 million for the successor period from September 25, 2007 through December 31, 2007, and \$375.1 million for the predecessor period from January 1, 2007 through September 24, 2007.

In conjunction with the allocation of the purchase price related to the merger, \$603.5 million was allocated to the First Data trade name. Upon consideration of many factors, including the determination that there are no legal, regulatory or contractual provisions that limit the useful life of the First Data trade name, the Company determined that the First Data trade name had an indefinite useful life. The Company also considered the effects of obsolescence, demand, competition, other economic factors and ability to maintain and protect the trade name without significant expenditures. The First Data trade name is expected to contribute directly or indirectly to the future cash flows of the Company for an indefinite period. As an indefinite lived asset, the First Data trade name will not be amortized but will be reviewed annually for impairment until such time as it is determined to have a finite life. The First Data trade name was not impaired at December 31, 2009 or 2008.

The following table provides the components of other intangibles (in millions):

As of December 31,	2009 Cost		2009 ccumulated nortization		2009 Net of cumulated nortization	2008 Cost		2008 cumulated portization	Acc	2008 Net of cumulated cortization
Customer relationships	\$ 7,732.6	\$	(1,723.8)	\$	6,008.8	\$ 6,919.7	\$	(932.1)	\$	5,987.6
Other intangibles: Conversion costs	\$ 57.2	¢	(13.7)	\$	43.5	\$ 31.9	¢	(10.0)	\$	21.9
Contract costs	145.5	φ	(36.4)	φ	109.1	96.8	φ	(5.8)	φ	91.0
Software	1,197.0		(544.4)		652.6	1,141.6		(318.5)		823.1
Other	1,419.7		(103.8)		1,315.9	1,018.4		(38.8)		979.6
Total other intangibles	\$ 2,819.4	\$	(698.3)	\$	2,121.1	\$ 2,288.7	\$	(373.1)	\$	1,915.6

The estimated future aggregate amortization expense for existing customer relationships and other intangibles as of December 31, 2009 is \$1,134.0 million in 2010, \$1,021.3 million in 2011, \$883.3 million in 2012, \$789.6 million in 2013, and \$749.2 million in 2014.

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The Company tests contract and conversion costs greater than \$1 million for recoverability on an annual basis by comparing the remaining expected undiscounted cash flows under the contract to the net book value. Any assets that are determined to be unrecoverable are written down to their fair value. In addition to this annual test, these assets and all other long lived assets are tested for impairment upon an indicator of potential impairment. The Company recorded impairment charges related to customer contracts and other intangibles as described in Note 3.

Inventory

Inventories are stated at lower of cost or market and consist primarily of POS terminals, forms and envelopes. The cost of inventory is determined using average cost for POS terminals and first-in first-out (FIFO) for forms.

Investment Securities

The Company s current settlement assets include short-term, liquid investments, primarily money market funds, discounted commercial paper, and corporate bonds. The Company s long-term settlement assets are comprised of student loan auction rate securities (SLARS) and corporate bonds. Additionally, the Company maintains investments in marketable and non-marketable securities, chiefly equity securities held for strategic purposes, the majority of which are carried at cost and included in the Other current assets and Other long-term assets line items of the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The specific identification method is used to determine the cost basis of securities sold. At December 31, 2009 and 2008, all of the debt and equity securities included in the above noted investments, except cost method investments, were classified as available-for-sale. Unrealized gains and losses on these investments are included as a separate component of OCI, net of any related tax effect. The Company assesses marketable securities for impairment quarterly. Cost method investments are also evaluated quarterly to determine whether an event or change in circumstance has occurred in that period that may have a significant adverse effect on the fair value and, if practicable to do so, the fair value is estimated.

For equity securities declines in value that are judged to be other than temporary in nature are recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. For public company equity securities, the Company s policy is to treat a decline in the investment s quoted market value that has lasted for more than six months as an other than temporary decline in value. For debt securities when the Company intends to sell an impaired debt security or it is more likely than not it will be required to sell prior to recovery of its amortized cost basis, an other-than-temporary-impairment (OTTI) has occurred. The impairment is recognized in earnings equal to the entire difference between the debt security s amortized cost basis and its fair value. When the Company does not intend to sell an impaired debt security and it is not more likely than not it will be required to sell prior to recovery of its amortized cost basis, the Company assesses whether it will recover its amortized cost basis. If the entire amortized cost will not be recovered, a credit loss exists resulting in the credit loss portion of the OTTI being recognized in earnings and the amount related to all other factors recognized in OCI. The Company adopted this accounting for OTTI effective April 1, 2009 in accordance with new accounting guidance and the cumulative effect is reported as Adjustment resulting from adoption of new accounting guidance on the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Equity. Refer to Note 18 for a detailed discussion regarding the fair value of the Company s investments.

New Accounting Guidance

In September 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued an Accounting Standards Update for Investments in Certain Entities that Calculate Net Asset Value per Share (or its Equivalent). The amendments in this update provide guidance on how companies should estimate the fair value of certain investments that do not have readily determinable fair values. Examples of these investments may include hedge

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

funds, private equity funds, real estate funds, venture capital funds, offshore fund vehicles, and funds of funds. If an investment is within the scope of the update, the amendments permit investors to measure the fair value of the investment using the investment s net asset value per share (NAV) unless it is probable that the investment will be sold at an amount other than NAV. The update also requires additional disclosures about the attributes of these investments. This update applies to certain assets in the Company s defined benefit pension plans and is effective for the year-end measurement of plan assets on December 31, 2009. The Company adopted the new guidance in the fourth quarter 2009 and it did not have a material impact on its financial position and results of operations.

In October 2009, the FASB revised its guidance on Revenue Recognition for Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements. The amendments in this update will enable companies to separately account for multiple revenue-generating activities (deliverables) that they perform for their customers. Existing U.S. GAAP requires a company to use vendor-specific objective evidence (VSOE) or third party evidence of selling price to separate deliverables in a multiple-deliverable arrangement. The update will allow the use of an estimated selling price if neither VSOE nor third-party evidence is available. The update will require additional disclosures of information about an entity s multiple-deliverable arrangements. The requirements of the update will apply prospectively for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010, although early adoption is permitted. The Company adopted the new guidance on January 1, 2010 and has no arrangements for which this adoption will have a material impact on its financial position and results of operations.

Note 2: Merger

On April 1, 2007, the Company entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the Merger Agreement) with New Omaha Holdings L.P., a Delaware limited partnership (Parent), and Omaha Acquisition Corporation, a Delaware corporation and a subsidiary of Parent (Sub). Parent is controlled by affiliates of KKR. On September 24, 2007, under the terms of the Merger Agreement, Sub merged with and into the Company (the merger) with the Company continuing as the surviving corporation and a subsidiary of First Data Holdings, Inc. (Holdings; formerly known as New Omaha Holdings Corporation), a Delaware corporation and a subsidiary of Parent.

As of the effective time of the merger, each issued and outstanding share of common stock of the Company was cancelled and converted into the right to receive \$34.00 in cash, without interest (other than shares owned by Parent, Sub or Holdings, which were cancelled and given no consideration). Additionally, vesting of FDC stock options, restricted stock awards and restricted stock units was accelerated upon closing of the merger. As a result, holders of stock options received cash equal to the intrinsic value of the awards based on a market price of \$34.00 per share while holders of restricted stock awards and restricted stock units received \$34.00 per share in cash, without interest. Vesting of Western Union options, restricted stock awards and restricted stock units held by FDC employees was also accelerated upon closing of the merger.

The merger was financed by a combination of the following: borrowings under the Company senior secured credit facilities, senior unsecured term loan facility agreement, senior subordinated term loan facility, and the equity investment of Holdings. The purchase price was approximately \$26.6 billion including \$179.2 million in capitalized transaction costs and excluding assumed debt. The merger was funded primarily through a \$7.2 billion equity contribution from Holdings and \$22.0 billion in debt financing discussed more fully in Note 9.

Purchase Price Allocation

The total purchase price was allocated to the Company s net tangible and identifiable intangible assets based on their estimated fair values as set forth below. A portion of the valuation of identifiable intangible assets was allocated to the Company s investments in unconsolidated alliances (reflected in the Investment in affiliates

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

line of the Consolidated Balance Sheets). The excess of the purchase price over the net tangible and identifiable intangible assets was recorded as goodwill. The Company finalized its purchase accounting in the third quarter of 2008 though certain adjustments related to income tax matters were made in the fourth quarter 2008.

(in millions)	
Property and equipment	\$ 1,047.3
Customer relationships	6,353.3
Software	852.5
Trade names	813.6
Other intangibles	157.0
Goodwill	18,354.2
Investment in affiliates	3,596.0
Deferred taxes	(3,408.7)
Other assets and liabilities acquired, net	(1,180.2)
Total purchase price	\$ 26,585.0

The estimated weighted-average useful lives (excluding the impact of accelerated amortization and the First Data trade name which was determined to have an indefinite life) associated with intangible assets are approximately:

Customer relationships	14 years
Software	6 years
Trade names	15 years
Other intangibles	16 years
Investment in affiliates	11 years
Total weighted-average useful lives	13 years

The Company generally uses straight-line amortization for intangible assets other than for customer relationships for which the pattern of economic benefits are known and for which an accelerated method of amortization is used to more appropriately allocate the cost of the relationships to the periods that will benefit from them. Deferred tax liabilities were recorded related to the allocation of the purchase price to intangible assets. Less than 5% of goodwill resulting from the merger is deductible for tax purposes at a local jurisdiction level. The allocation of goodwill by segment was as follows (in millions):

Retail and Alliance Services	\$ 11,654.8
Financial Services	3,471.9
International	3,077.4
Integrated Payment Systems	
All Other and Corporate	150.1

\$ 18,354.2

Goodwill is reviewed at least annually for impairment. The Company performed its annual goodwill impairment test in the fourth quarter 2009 and 2008 and recorded impairments of approximately \$17 million and \$3.2 billion, respectively, as discussed in Note 3.

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Merger Related Restructuring Charges

During the fourth quarter of 2007, the Company implemented a plan that provided strategic direction for the Company under its new leadership. The plan anticipated capturing efficiencies related to the simplification of domestic and international operations and other near term cost saving initiatives as well as certain reductions in personnel. In accordance with this plan and in November 2007, the Company terminated approximately 1,600 employees across the organization representing all levels of employees and approximately 6% of its worldwide work force. A majority of them ceased working before December 31, 2007. Additional actions occurred during first, second, and third quarters of 2008 resulting in the termination of over 500 employees across the organization reflected in purchase accounting.

The following table summarizes the Company sutilization of restructuring accruals related to the merger recorded in purchase accounting for the successor years ended December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2009:

	En	nployee
(in millions)	Sev	verance
Accrual at December 31, 2007	\$	92.4
Charges recorded in purchase accounting		48.1
Cash payments		(118.2)
Other adjustments including foreign exchange		(10.4)
Remaining accrual at December 31, 2008	\$	11.9
Cash payments		(9.2)
Other adjustments including foreign exchange		(0.9)
Remaining accrual at December 31, 2009	\$	1.8

Merger and Other Related Costs

During the predecessor period from January 1, 2007 through September 24, 2007, the Company expensed merger related costs consisting primarily of investment banking, accounting and legal fees totaling \$69.7 million. The Company recorded \$2.9 million of additional merger related costs in the successor period from September 25, 2007 through December 31, 2007. The Company also recognized a pretax charge of \$175.9 million during the predecessor period related to accelerated vesting of all outstanding FDC unvested stock options, restricted stock awards and restricted stock units as well as Western Union unvested stock options, restricted stock awards and restricted stock units held by FDC employees and an additional \$19.6 million of associated taxes (excluding all income tax impacts).

<u>Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations</u>

The following Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations reflects the consolidated results of operations of the Company as if the merger had occurred on January 1, 2007. The historical financial information has been adjusted to give effect to events that are (1) directly attributed to the merger, (2) factually supportable, and (3) with respect to the statement of operations, expected to have a continuing impact on the combined results. Such items include interest expense related to debt issued in conjunction with the merger as well as additional amortization expense associated with the valuation of intangible assets. The pro forma adjustments are based on the final allocation of the purchase price and final valuation of intangible and fixed assets and reflect the modification of certain of the debt from variable to fixed interest rates. This unaudited pro forma information should not be relied upon as necessarily being indicative of the historical results that would have been obtained if the merger had actually occurred on that date, nor of the results that may be obtained in the future.

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations

	Dec	ar ended ember 31, 2007 millions)
Revenues:		
Transaction and processing service fees	\$	5,519.2
Investment income, net		(75.1)
Product sales and other		839.4
Reimbursable debit network fees, postage and other		1,767.9
		8,051.4
Expenses:		
Cost of services (exclusive of items shown below)		2,755.8
Cost of products sold		296.5
Selling, general and administrative		1,404.2
Reimbursable debit network fees, postage and other		1,767.9
Depreciation and amortization		1,253.9
Other operating expenses:		
Restructuring, net		7.7
Impairments		20.6
Litigation and regulatory settlements		2.5
Other		(7.7)
		7,501.4
Operating profit		550.0
Interest income		48.7
Interest expense		(2,036.4)
Other (expense) income		(53.3)
outer (expense) meente		(33.3)
		(2,041.0)
		(2,041.0)
I l-fiiiiiii		(1.401.0)
Loss before income taxes, equity earnings in affiliates and discontinued operations		(1,491.0)
Income tax benefit		(652.1)
Equity earnings in affiliates		134.0
Net loss from continuing operations		(704.9)
Less: Net income from continuing operations attributable to noncontrolling interests		144.3
2005. The media from continuing operations attributable to noncontrolling interests		177.3
Net loss from continuing operations attributable to First Data Corporation	\$	(849.2)
0 1		()

Additional Information

On September 24, 2007, Holdings sold \$1.0 billion aggregate principal amount of 11.5% senior PIK notes due 2016 to GS Mezzanine Partners VI Fund, L.P. and the Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. This \$1.0 billion, net of fees, was the source of funds for a portion of Holdings investment in

FDC. No cash interest will accrue on these notes. Interest on the notes will be paid by increasing the principal amount of the notes. Holdings senior PIK notes are unsecured and neither FDC nor its subsidiaries provide credit support for Holdings obligations under the notes. As a result, the senior PIK notes of Holdings are not indebtedness of FDC or its subsidiaries. However, the senior PIK notes contain a number of covenants that, among other things, restrict, subject to certain exceptions, FDC s ability to:

114

inc	eur additional indebtedness;	
en	gage in mergers or consolidations;	

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

sell or transfer assets and subsidiary stock;

pay dividends and distributions or repurchase its capital stock;

make certain investments, loans or advances;

prepay certain indebtedness;

enter into agreements that restrict the payment of dividends by subsidiaries or the repayment of intercompany loans and advances; and

engage in certain transactions with affiliates.

Note 3: Restructuring, Impairments, Litigation and Regulatory Settlements and Other Costs

The Company recorded restructuring charges, impairment charges, litigation and regulatory settlements and other costs during the three years ended December 31, 2009. Restructuring accruals are reviewed each period and balances in excess of anticipated requirements are reversed through the same Consolidated Statements of Operations caption in which they were originally recorded. Such reversals resulted from the favorable resolution of contingencies and changes in facts and circumstances.

A summary of net pretax benefits (charges), incurred by segment, for each period is as follows (in millions):

		Pretax Benefit (Charge)												
		tail and					Integrated All Other							
Successor year ended December 31, 2009				Financial Services		rnational	Payment Systems	Co	and orporate	Di	vested	Totals		
Restructuring charges	\$	(15.9)	\$	(14.5)	\$	(49.2)	\$	\$	(22.0)	\$	(0.5)	\$	(102.1)	
Restructuring accrual reversals		4.2		1.7		2.9			0.5		, ,		9.3	
Impairments						(131.9)			(53.2)				(185.1)	
Litigation and regulatory settlements				(14.5)					2.7				(11.8)	
Total pretax charge, net of reversals	\$	(11.7)	\$	(27.3)	\$	(178.2)	\$	\$	(72.0)	\$	(0.5)	\$	(289.7)	
Successor year ended December 31, 2008														
Restructuring charges	\$	(7.2)	\$	(13.2)			\$					\$	(20.4)	
Restructuring accrual reversals		0.7		7.6						\$	0.1		8.4	
Impairments	(1,106.5)	(1,396.0)	\$	(376.2)		\$	(160.7)	(204.2)	((3,243.6)	
Total pretax charge, net of reversals	\$ (1,113.0)	\$ (1,401.6)	\$	(376.2)	\$	\$	(160.7)	\$ (204.1)	\$ ((3,255.6)	
Predecessor period from January 1, 2007 to September 24, 2007														
Restructuring charges	\$	(2.8)			\$	(7.1)				\$	(0.3)	\$	(10.2)	
Restructuring accrual reversals		0.4	\$	0.2		0.9		\$	0.7		0.1		2.3	

Impairments				\$ (16.3)	(4.3)		(20.6)
Litigation and regulatory settlements	(5.0)				2.5		(2.5)
Other	2.1		(0.4)	2.2	3.8		7.7
Total pretax benefit (charge), net of reversals	\$ (5.3)	\$ 0.2	\$ (6.6)	\$ (14.1)	\$ 2.7	\$ (0.2)	\$ (23.3)

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Restructuring charges

2009

The 2009 restructurings resulted from the elimination of management and other positions, approximately 1,700 employees, as part of the Company s cost saving initiatives as well as domestic site consolidations and the elimination of certain information technology positions. Cost saving initiatives are expected to continue into future periods resulting in additional restructuring charges. Partially offsetting the charges are reversals of 2009 and 2008 restructuring accruals related to the Company s change in strategy related to global labor sourcing initiatives as well as refining previously recorded estimates.

2008

The 2008 restructurings resulted from the planned termination of approximately 1,000 employees associated with initial plans for call center consolidation and global labor sourcing initiatives primarily related to information technology development. During the fourth quarter, the Company s strategy related to global labor sourcing initiatives changed resulting in delaying implementation of certain of the initiatives and 20% fewer terminations than originally planned which resulted in the reversal of the associated charges. The Company incurred additional charges through 2009 related to these plans. During the first three quarters of 2008, the Company had additional severance costs which were recorded in purchase accounting as discussed in Note 2 above.

2007

A portion of the restructuring charges recorded in the 2007 predecessor period resulted from efforts to improve the overall efficiency and effectiveness of the sales and sales support teams principally within the Retail and Alliance Services segment. This action resulted in the termination of approximately 230 sales related employees comprising approximately 10% of the merchant acquiring business—regional sales, cross-sale and sales support organizations. This restructuring plan was completed in the first quarter of 2007. The other restructuring charges in the predecessor period resulted from the termination of approximately 140 employees within the International segment. The terminations were associated with data center consolidation and global sourcing initiatives. During the 2007 predecessor period, the Company also reversed prior period restructuring accruals related to changes in estimates regarding severance costs from restructuring activities that occurred in 2005 through 2007.

The following table summarizes the Company $\,$ s utilization of restructuring accruals, excluding merger related restructuring charges described in Note 2, for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2009 (in millions):

	Employee Severance	Facility Closure
Remaining accrual at January 1, 2008	\$ 6.5	\$ 0.1
Expense provision	20.4	
Cash payments and other	(4.1)	(0.1)
Changes in estimates (1)	(11.7)	
Remaining accrual at December 31, 2008	11.1	
Expense provision	101.6	0.5
Cash payments and other	(44.9)	(0.3)
Changes in estimates	(9.3)	
Remaining accrual at December 31, 2009	\$ 58.5	\$ 0.2

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(1) Changes in estimates during 2008 included reversals related to pre-merger restructuring accruals recorded in purchase accounting as well as items reported in the Restructuring, net line item of the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Impairments

In the fourth quarter of 2009, within All Other and Corporate, the Company recorded approximately \$33 million in impairment charges related to customer contracts, a goodwill impairment charge of approximately \$17 million and a software impairment charge of approximately \$3 million related to the Information Services reporting unit. The Company followed a discounted cash flow approach in estimating the fair value of the reporting unit and intangible assets consistent with the approach used to allocate the purchase price of the merger. The significant factor that drove most of the impairment was lower projections of financial results as compared to those used in the 2008 impairment testing. Discount rates were determined on a market participant basis. The Company relied in part on a third party valuation firm in determining the appropriate discount rates. All key assumptions and valuations were determined by and are the responsibility of management.

Also in the fourth quarter of 2009, the Company recorded approximately \$124 million in asset impairment charges related to the International reporting unit and segment. Approximately \$64 million of the total impairment charge related to the Company s business in Germany and was allocated to impair the value of customer contracts and real property by approximately \$58 million and \$6 million, respectively. The impairment occurred because of the deterioration of profitability on existing business, higher risk of revenue attrition in future years and lower projections of financial results compared to those used in prior periods. Approximately \$47 million of the total impairment charge related to impairment of customer contracts associated with the Company s card-issuing business in the United Kingdom. The impairment occurred because of negative cash flow in the existing business and lower projections of financial results compared to those used in prior periods. Approximately \$2 million of the total impairment charge related to trade name impairment and was a result of the Company s decision to discontinue the use of a certain trade name in the Canadian market during the fourth quarter of 2009 and instead continue the business under the First Data brand. The remaining \$11 million of the total impairment charge related to the Company s businesses in Ireland and Brazil and was comprised of approximately \$7 million for impairment of customer contracts and approximately \$4 million for software impairment. The impairment occurred because of cash flow losses in the existing businesses and lower projections of financial results compared to those used in prior periods. The Company followed a discounted cash flow approach in estimating the fair value of the affected asset groups and individual intangible assets within those groups consistent with the approach used to allocate the purchase price of the merger. The Company obtained an appraisal from a third party brokerage firm to assist in estimating the value of real property in Germany. All key assumptions and valuations were determined by and are the responsibility of management.

During the third quarter of 2009, the Company recorded a charge of \$7.7 million related to an intangible asset impairment within the International segment resulting from continuing and projected losses combined with a change in business strategy related to an existing business.

During 2008 the Company performed its annual goodwill impairment test in the fourth quarter of 2008 and recorded a total impairment charge of \$3.2 billion that impacted every reporting unit. The primary causes of the impairment charges were higher discount rates and revised projections of financial results as compared to those used to allocate the purchase price of the merger. The revised projections resulted from the global economic situation in 2008 that caused a decrease in near-term projections and a delay in the attainment of long-term projections. Discount rates were determined on a market participant basis and increased due to the increased risk in the marketplace and more costly access to capital. The assumptions used in the test reflect the Company s

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

estimates as of December 31, 2008 and appropriately consider the impact of the deterioration in general global economic conditions. The Company relied in part on a third party valuation firm in determining the appropriate discount rates. All key assumptions and valuations were determined by and are the responsibility of management.

Also during 2008, the Company recorded a charge related to an asset impairment associated with the Company s subsidiary, Peace, included within divested businesses in the table above. The impairment occurred because of the deterioration of profitability on existing business and Peace s limited success in attracting new clients. This resulted in the Company recording an impairment of \$29.9 million of the goodwill and intangible assets associated with this business. The Company sold Peace in October of 2008.

During the 2007 predecessor period, the Company recorded a charge of \$16.3 million related to the impairment of goodwill and intangible assets associated with the wind-down of the Company s official check and money order business and an additional \$4.3 million related to the impairment of fixed assets and software associated with its government business included in All Other and Corporate.

Litigation and regulatory settlements

In 2009, the Company recorded anticipated settlements of several matters within the Financial Services segment.

In the predecessor period from January 1, 2007 through September 24, 2007, the Company recorded a \$5.0 million litigation accrual associated with a judgment against the Company pertaining to a vendor contract issue in the Retail and Alliance Services segment and a benefit of \$2.5 million related to the Visa settlement originally recorded in 2006 in All Other and Corporate.

Other

The majority of the benefit recorded during the 2007 predecessor period related to the release of a portion of the domestic escheatment accrual made in the fourth quarter of 2005. The release was prompted by reaching resolution with a large majority of states as to the Company s escheatment liability.

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 4: Business Combinations, Asset Acquisitions and Dispositions

Businesses and Assets Acquired	Month	Consideration Total in millions)	n (a) Cash
2009:			
Banc of America Merchant Services, LLC (BAMS)	June	\$ 3,444.2	
ICICI Merchant Services	December	68.7	\$ 68.7
Nine other acquisitions and merchant portfolio acquisitions		25.8	25.8
		\$ 3,538.7	\$ 94.5
2008:			
Alliance with Allied Irish Banks p.l.c. (AIB)	January	\$ 178.2	\$ 178.2
Money Network Financial, LLC (Money Network) noncontrolling interest buyout	July	60.8	60.8
Chase Paymentech Solutions TM (CPS) Alliance termination (b)	November	2,746.0	
Two other acquisitions and merchant portfolio acquisitions		28.1	28.1
		\$ 3,013.1	\$ 267.1
2007:			
Successor			
First Data Government Solutions (FDGS) noncontrolling interest buyout	November	\$ 73.8	\$ 73.8
Merchant Solutions Alliance	November	29.7	29.7
Three other acquisitions and merchant portfolio acquisitions		48.7	48.7
		\$ 152.2	\$ 152.2
Predecessor Let a Control Con	3.6	Φ 105.5	ф.105.7
Instant Cash Services® (Instant Cash)	March	\$ 125.7	\$ 125.7
Funds X press	June	134.9	134.9
First Data Polska (formerly POLCARD SA)	August	331.9	331.9
Four other acquisitions and merchant portfolio acquisitions		122.1	122.1

Effective January 1, 2009, the Company s accounting for business combinations followed the new accounting guidance for business combinations and noncontrolling interests.

On June 26, 2009, Bank of America N.A. (BofA) and the Company, together with Rockmount Investments, LLC (Rockmount), an investment vehicle controlled by a third-party investor, formed a new company, BAMS. BAMS provides clients with a comprehensive suite of acquiring and processing payment products for credit and debit cards as well as merchant loyalty, prepaid, check and e-commerce solutions.

\$ 714.6 \$714.6

⁽a) Does not consider cash acquired or debt assumed. Does not reflect cash paid or received in years subsequent to initial acquisition.

⁽b) The receipt of the Company s proportionate 49% share of the alliance was accounted for as a purchase business combination. 2009 Acquisitions

The Company owns a 48.45% direct voting interest in BAMS and BofA owns a 46.55% direct voting interest. The remaining stake in BAMS is a 5% non-voting interest held by Rockmount. The Company owns a

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

40% noncontrolling interest in Rockmount. The Company s 48.45% direct voting interest in BAMS, together with its control of the management committee, which governs BAMS, provides the Company with a controlling financial interest in BAMS under the applicable accounting standards and rules and thus BAMS is consolidated by the Company and reported in its Retail and Alliance Services segment. BofA s 46.55% interest in BAMS is presented as a noncontrolling interest component of total equity.

BofA s and the Company s contributions to the newly formed company were principally comprised of merchant acquiring contract rights and relationships and sales forces. The Company s contribution was most significantly comprised of assets received upon the November 1, 2008 termination of the CPS alliance, though certain other assets were included as well. Rockmount s contribution was in the form of cash totaling \$321.7 million of which \$128.7 million represents the cash contributed to Rockmount by the Company for its 40% investment noted above.

Rockmount may, at the sole option of the third-party owning a controlling interest in Rockmount, require that BAMS redeem Rockmount s interest in BAMS. This option is available during a specified period of time after each of the fourth quarter of 2009 and the first and second quarters of 2010, and upon certain conditions, additional periods thereafter. Rockmount did not exercise their option after the fourth quarter 2009. Rockmount s interest would be redeemed by BAMS for an amount of cash based on Rockmount s capital account balance in BAMS immediately prior to the redemption subject to an additional adjustment to be paid or received by the Company and BofA based on the level of BAMS revenues for the trailing 12 month period ending at the end of the fiscal quarter immediately prior to the exercise or extension of the option. Since Rockmount has the ability to put its interests to BAMS (a consolidated subsidiary of the Company), the Company has classified the 3% non-voting interest attributable to the third-party investor as Redeemable noncontrolling interest in the Consolidated Balance Sheet rather than as Equity. The 2% non-voting interest attributable to the Company is included with the Company s direct voting interest in balances attributable to the Company in the Consolidated Financial Statements.

The formation of BAMS was accounted for by the Company as a sale of a noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary and a purchase business combination. The Company recorded a gain of approximately \$33 million (\$21 million, net of taxes), through adjustments to additional paid in capital and noncontrolling interest. The gain was not material as the assets comprising the most significant portion of the Company s contribution were recently adjusted to fair value in the fourth quarter 2008 in connection with the November 1, 2008 termination of the CPS alliance.

The assets contributed to BAMS by the Company continue to be recorded at the Company s carrying basis, which for the majority of assets was established effective November 1, 2008 as described immediately above net of applicable amortization expense subsequently recognized, and the assets contributed by BofA were recorded at their estimated fair value. The fair value of the BofA contribution to BAMS was determined by estimating the BAMS enterprise value and attributing the appropriate portion of that value to such contribution. The Company relied in part upon a third party valuation firm in determining the enterprise value of BAMS. All key assumptions and valuations were determined by and are the responsibility of management. The value attributed to the net tangible and identifiable intangible assets contributed by BofA was based on their estimated fair values. During the fourth quarter of 2009 the final valuation was completed and the purchase price allocation resulted in identifiable intangible assets of \$1,317 million, which will be amortized over a range estimated to be 11 to 20 years, and goodwill of \$2,127 million.

In December 2009, the Company formed a merchant acquiring alliance with ICICI Bank, ICICI Merchant Services. ICICI Merchant Services provides card acquiring services in India. The preliminary purchase price

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

allocation resulted in identifiable intangible assets of \$33 million, which are being amortized over five to 12 years and goodwill of \$42 million. The Company owns 81% of the alliance which is consolidated and reported in the International segment.

The aggregate cash paid for acquisitions during the year ended December 31, 2009 was approximately \$87 million, net of cash acquired. The aggregate preliminary purchase price allocation associated with acquisitions during 2009 resulted in identifiable intangible assets and goodwill as follows:

	Preliminary purchase price	
	allocation (in millions)	Weighted-average useful life
Customer relationships	\$ 967.7	11 years
Trade names	389.0	20 years
Other intangibles	16.2	9 years
Total identifiable intangibles	\$ 1,372.9	14 years
Goodwill (a)	\$ 2,169.7	

(a) Much of the goodwill in the BAMS transaction represents synergies in processing and other strengths of the respective partners. None of the goodwill is deductible for tax purposes.

Additional Information

The pro forma impact of all 2009 acquisitions on net income was not material.

2009 Dispositions

In August 2009 the Company divested its debit and credit card issuing and acquiring processing business in Austria which was reported as part of the International segment. The Company recognized a loss on the sale of \$37.2 million, comprised of a \$21.9 million loss classified as Other income (expense) and a \$15.3 million income tax expense in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

In November 2009, the Company sold a merchant acquiring business in Canada which was reported as part of the International segment. The Company recognized a loss on the sale of \$7.8 million, comprised of a \$10.0 million gain classified as Other income (expense) and a \$17.8 million income tax expense in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

2008 Acquisitions

In January 2008, the Company entered into an alliance with AIB, of which the Company owns 50.1%. The alliance provides card acquiring services in the Republic of Ireland, the United Kingdom and elsewhere in Europe. The purchase price allocation resulted in identifiable intangible assets of \$79 million, which are being amortized over 10 years, a trade name of \$15 million that is being amortized over 10 years and goodwill of \$90 million. The alliance with AIB is consolidated and reported in the International segment.

In February 2008, the Company purchased the remaining interest in Unified Network Payment Solutions (UNPS) located in Canada. UNPS is consolidated and reported as part of the International segment.

In July 2008, FDC and its parent, Holdings, purchased the remaining 18.2% and 13.6% of the outstanding equity of Money Network, respectively, not already owned by the Company. The purchase price paid by

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Holdings consisted of shares of its common stock. FDC subsequently purchased Holdings interest in Money Network for an amount equivalent to the value of the shares issued by Holdings as purchase consideration. Money Network is reported as part of the Retail and Alliance Services segment.

In September 2008, the Company purchased 50% of EUFISERV s inter-bank processing business (subsequently renamed Trionis). Trionis will provide services across Europe. The Company accounts for its investment under the equity method of accounting within the International segment.

On November 1, 2008, the Company and JPMorgan Chase terminated their merchant alliance, CPS, which was the Company s largest merchant alliance. The Company received its proportionate 49% share of the assets of the alliance, including domestic merchant contracts, an equity investment in Merchant Link, a full-service independent sales organization (ISO) and Agent Bank unit, and a portion of the employees. The new domestic owned and managed business is being operated as part of FDCs Retail and Alliance Services segment mostly within the BAMS alliance since June 2009 as discussed above. First Data will continue to provide transaction processing and related services for certain merchants of the alliance that were allocated to JPMorgan Chase but are resident on First Data's processing platforms. First Data has historically accounted for its noncontrolling interest in the alliance under the equity method of accounting. Beginning November 1, 2008, the portion of the alliance's business received by the Company in the separation is reflected on a consolidated basis throughout the financial statements. CPS accounted for the vast majority of the Equity earnings in affiliates and the processing and other fees noted in footnote (b) on the face of the Consolidated Statements of Operations. The receipt of the Company's proportionate share of CPS was accounted for as a purchase business combination. The assets and liabilities received were recorded at their fair values. As a result of the alliance termination and subsequent business combination, the Company assessed its deferred tax liabilities established at the time of the merger and reversed \$508 million of those liabilities through purchase accounting for the Company's proportionate share of CPS. The purchase price allocation resulted in identifiable intangible assets of \$1,047 million, which are being amortized over three to approximately nine years, and goodwill of \$964 million.

The aggregate cash paid for acquisitions during the year ended December 31, 2008 was approximately \$267 million. The aggregate preliminary purchase price allocation associated with acquisitions during 2008 resulted in identifiable intangible assets and goodwill as follows:

	Preliminary purchase price	
	allocation (in millions)	Weighted-average useful life
Software	\$ 59.4	4 years
Customer relationships	1,056.8	9 years
Trade names	16.2	10 years
Other intangibles	13.7	9 years
Total identifiable intangibles	\$ 1,146.1	9 years
Goodwill (a)	\$ 1,111.3	

(a) Approximately \$439 million of goodwill resulting from 2008 acquisitions is expected to be deductible for tax purposes. Additional Information

The pro forma impact of all 2008 acquisitions on net income was not material.

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

2008 Dispositions

In July 2008, the Company sold its subsidiary Active Business Services Ltd. which was reported as part of the International segment.

In July 2008, the Company sold its interest in Early Warning Services which had been accounted for under the equity method and was reported in All Other and Corporate.

In October 2008, the Company sold its subsidiary Peace which was reported as part of the Financial Services segment.

On December 31, 2008, the Company sold 12.5% of the membership interests in their merchant alliance, Wells Fargo Merchant Services, LLC (WFMS), to Wells Fargo & Company (WFB), for cash consideration totaling \$222 million. This resulted in the Company owning 40% of the merchant alliance. FDC deconsolidated the WFMS balance sheet as of December 31, 2008 and is reflecting its remaining ownership interest as an equity method investment in the Retail and Alliance Services segment. The Company recognized a pretax loss of \$3.8 million resulting from the transaction.

2007 Acquisitions

Predecessor

In January 2007, the Company acquired Size Technologies, a provider of loyalty, stored value and transaction marketing solutions. Size Technologies is reported as part of the Retail and Alliance Services segment.

In February 2007, the Company acquired the assets of Datawire, an internet-based transaction delivery company. Datawire is reported as part of the Retail and Alliance Services segment.

In March 2007, the Company acquired Intelligent Results, a customer data analytics and decision management software provider. Intelligent Results is reported as part of All Other and Corporate.

In March 2007, the Company acquired Instant Cash, a debit card and ATM payment processing service provider for community banks, credit unions, thrifts and non-financial institutions. The purchase price allocation resulted in identifiable intangible assets of \$54.3 million, which were being amortized over three to 10 years, and goodwill of \$70.2 million. Instant Cash is reported as part of the Financial Services segment.

In June 2007, the Company acquired FundsXpress, a provider of online banking and bill payment services. The purchase price allocation resulted in identifiable intangible assets of \$45.0 million, which were being amortized over eight years, and goodwill of \$88.7 million. FundsXpress is reported as part of the Financial Services segment.

In August 2007, the Company acquired First Data Polska, a merchant acquirer and card issuer processor in Poland. The purchase price allocation resulted in identifiable intangible assets of \$98.6 million, which were being amortized over eight years, and goodwill of \$184.3 million. First Data Polska is reported as part of the International segment.

The aggregate cash paid, net of cash acquired, for acquisitions during the predecessor period from January 1, 2007 through September 24, 2007 was approximately \$690 million. The valuations of the identifiable intangible assets of the businesses acquired during the 2007 predecessor period were contemplated in the valuation associated with the merger discussed in Note 2.

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Successor

In November 2007, the Company purchased the remaining interest in its FDGS subsidiary previously owned by noncontrolling interests. FDGS is reported as part of All Other and Corporate.

In October 2007, the Company acquired Deecal International, a specialty software solutions provider for commercial payments in Dublin, Ireland. Deecal International is reported as part of the International segment.

In November 2007, the Company acquired Check Forte, a payment transaction processing company in Brazil. Check Forte is reported as part of the International segment.

In November 2007, the Company formed an alliance with Standard Chartered PLC (Merchant Solutions), of which the Company owns 56%. The alliance provides merchant processing services in Asia. Merchant Solutions is a consolidated entity within FDC and is reported as part of the International segment.

The aggregate cash paid, net of cash acquired, for acquisitions during the successor period from September 25, 2007 through December 31, 2007 was approximately \$137 million. The aggregate purchase price allocation associated with acquisitions during the 2007 successor period resulted in identifiable intangible assets and goodwill as follows:

Purchase price	
allocation (in millions)	Weighted-average useful life
\$ 5.9	7 years
31.0	8 years
9.8	10 years
\$ 46.7	8 years
\$ 71.5	
	(in millions) \$ 5.9 31.0 9.8 \$ 46.7

Additional Information

The pro forma impact of all 2007 acquisitions on net income was not material.

Other Information

The following table outlines the net assets acquired and net cash paid for acquisitions (at date of acquisition) (in millions):

	Year ended December 31, 2009	Year ended December 31, 2008	Period from September 25, 2007 through December 31, 2007	Predecessor Period from January 1, 2007 through September 24, 2007
Fair value of net assets acquired	\$ 3,538.7	\$ 3,013.1	\$ 152.2	\$ 714.6
Less non-cash consideration	(3,444.2)	(2,746.0)		
Less cash acquired	(8.0)		(15.6)	(24.3)

Net cash paid for acquisitions \$ 86.5 \$ 267.1 \$ 136.6 \$ 690.3

124

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The following table presents changes to goodwill for the year ended December 31, 2009 and the year ended December 31, 2008, (in millions):

	Retail and Alliance Services	Financial Services	International	All Other and Corporate	Divested Operations	Totals
January 1, 2008 balance	\$ 10,474.2	\$ 3,303.1	\$ 2,624.1	\$ 188.5	\$ 227.3	\$ 16,817.2
Acquisitions	753.7		66.4			820.1
Dispositions/Deconsolidation	(734.0)				(5.2)	(739.2)
Purchase price adjustments related to the merger	1,433.1	(136.2)	239.7	53.1	6.0	1,595.7
Purchase price adjustments related to prior year						
successor acquisitions	(0.1)		(0.2)			(0.3)
Goodwill impairments	(1,106.5)	(1,395.2)	(375.6)	(160.4)	(181.3)	(3,219.0)
Other adjustments (primarily foreign currency)	(1.3)		(407.8)		(4.2)	(413.3)
Balance as of December 31, 2008						
Goodwill	11,925.6	3,166.9	2,522.2	241.6	223.9	18,080.2
Accumulated impairment losses	(1,106.5)	(1,395.2)	(375.6)	(160.4)	(181.3)	(3,219.0)
	10,819.1	1,771.7	2,146.6	81.2	42.6	14,861.2
Acquisitions	2,127.2		42.5			2,169.7
Dispositions					(38.6)	(38.6)
Purchase price adjustments	323.6	(0.3)	31.8	(0.2)		354.9
Reallocation of goodwill	(244.4)	290.4		(46.0)		
Goodwill impairments				(16.6)		(16.6)
Other adjustments (primarily foreign currency)	0.9		148.3		(4.0)	145.2
Balance as of December 31, 2009						
Goodwill	14,132.9	3,457.0	2,744.8	195.4	181.3	20,711.4
Accumulated impairment losses	(1,106.5)	(1,395.2)	(375.6)	(177.0)	(181.3)	(3,235.6)
	\$ 13,026.4	\$ 2,061.8	\$ 2,369.2	\$ 18.4		\$ 17,475.8

The terms of certain of the Company s acquisition agreements provide for additional consideration to be paid if the acquired entity s results of operations exceed certain targeted levels or if certain other conditions are met, as well as other payments or receipts of cash related to certain events that transpired subsequent to the acquisition of certain companies. Targeted levels are generally set substantially above the historical experience of the acquired entity at the time of acquisition. Such additional consideration is paid in cash and is recorded when payable as additional purchase price. Additional consideration was paid totaling \$14.7 million in 2009, \$35.6 million in 2008, \$0.5 million in the successor period from September 25, 2007 through December 31, 2007, and \$50.0 million in the predecessor period from January 1, 2007 through September 24, 2007. As of December 31, 2009, the Company did not have any contingent consideration payable.

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 5: Settlement Assets and Obligations

Settlement assets and obligations result from FDC s processing services and associated settlement activities, including settlement of payment transactions. Settlement assets are generated principally from merchant services transactions. Certain merchant settlement assets that relate to settlement obligations accrued by the Company are held by partner banks to which the Company does not have legal ownership but has the right to use to satisfy the related settlement obligation. FDC records corresponding settlement obligations for amounts payable to merchants and for payment instruments not yet presented for settlement. At December 31, 2009, the difference in the aggregate amount of such assets and liabilities is primarily due to unrealized net investment gains and losses, which are reported as OCI in equity. The principal components of FDC s settlement assets and obligations are as follows (in millions):

	Succ	cessor
December 31,	2009	2008
Settlement assets:		
Current settlement assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 2,627.8	\$ 4,772.3
Investment securities	250.9	121.9
Due from card associations and bank partners	3,832.4	2,807.1
Due from merchants	139.9	
Due from selling agents	19.3	228.9
	6,870.3	7,930.2
Long-term settlement assets:		
Investment securities	480.7	732.7
	\$ 7,351.0	\$ 8,662.9
Settlement obligations:		
Current settlement obligations:		
Payment instruments outstanding	\$ 1,232.6	\$ 3,734.8
Card settlements due to merchants	6,144.0	4,718.6
Due to selling agents	18.1	227.2
	\$ 7,394.7	\$ 8,680.6

Cash equivalents consist of short-term time deposits, commercial paper and other investments. See Note 6 for information concerning the Company s investment securities.

FDC generated revenues from its investment of certain settlement assets, the majority of which pertained to cash equivalents and investment securities. At December 31, 2009, the IPS segment portfolio was invested in cash equivalents with ratings of A1/P1 or better or in the A category or better and long-term investments rated in the A category or better with the exception of \$73.9 million in lower rated securities, primarily auction rate securities described in Note 18. Short-term investment securities were not rated. IPS segment investment portfolio balances averaged \$2.7 billion in 2009, \$7.3 billion in 2008, and \$12.6 billion in 2007. Investment revenues (before commissions to certain selling agents and hedging gains and losses) from the IPS segment portfolio totaled \$31.1 million in 2009, \$163.2 million in 2008, \$116.6 million for the successor period September 25, 2007 through December 31, 2007, and \$341.5 million for the predecessor period January 1, 2007 through September 24, 2007 (on a pretax equivalent basis: \$31.1 million, \$163.2 million, \$172.5 million, and \$524.0 million, respectively).

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 6: Investment Securities

The principal components of the Company s investment securities are as follows (in millions):

December 31, 2009	Cost (1)	Unr	ross ealized Sain	Uni (Loss)	Gross realized excluding FTI (2)	Reco	OTTI gnized in CI (2)(3)	Fair Value (4)
Student loan auction rate securities	\$ 494.4			\$	(29.8)	\$	(14.9)	\$ 449.7
Corporate bonds	270.7	\$	0.7					271.4
Other securities:								
Cost-based investments	25.1							25.1
Other	15.8		0.2					16.0
Total other	40.9		0.2					41.1
Totals	\$ 806.0	\$	0.9	\$	(29.8)	\$	(14.9)	\$ 762.2

	Gross Gross Unrealized OTTI						
D. 1. 24 2000	Cost	Unrealized	(Loss) excluding	Recognized in	Fair		
December 31, 2008	(1)	Gain	OTTI (2)	OCI (2) (3)	Value (4)		
Student loan auction rate securities	\$ 505.5		\$ (13.3)		\$ 492.2		
Corporate bonds	342.1		(4.4)		337.7		
Other securities:							
Cost-based investments	26.9				26.9		
Other	37.6				37.6		
Total other	64.5				64.5		
Totals	\$ 912.1		\$ (17.7)		\$ 894.4		

- (1) Represents amortized cost for debt securities.
- (2) OTTI refers to other-than-temporary-impairments.
- (3) Represents the fair value adjustment for debt securities excluding that attributable to credit losses.
- (4) Represents cost for cost-based investments.

Investment securities are a component of the Company s settlement assets and represent the investment of funds received by FDC from the sale of payment instruments (official checks and financial institution money orders) by authorized agents. The investment securities included in current settlement assets include primarily money market funds, discounted commercial paper and corporate bonds. The Company s long-term settlement assets are comprised primarily of SLARS and corporate bonds. The Company received proceeds from the sale of long-term investment securities of \$46.8 million, \$0.5 billion, \$0.7 billion and \$10.9 billion for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, the successor period from September 25, 2007 through December 31, 2007 and the predecessor period from January 1, 2007 through September 24, 2007, respectively. Sales of these investments resulted in \$0.6 million of gross realized pretax losses and \$0.1 million of gross realized pretax gains for the year ended December 31, 2009, \$0.6 million of gross realized pretax losses and no gross realized pretax gains for the year ended December 31, 2008, \$1.5 million of gross realized pretax losses and \$0.3 million of gross realized pretax gains for the successor period from September 25, 2007 through December 31, 2007 and \$12.4 million of gross realized pretax losses and \$16.8 million of gross realized pretax

gains for the predecessor period from January 1, 2007 through September 24, 2007 reclassified out of OCI into the Investment income, net line item

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

of the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Additionally, the Company recognized other than temporary impairments during 2008 of \$60.3 million related to this investment portfolio, including the \$48.0 million related to SLARS discussed below.

The Company carries other investments including equity securities and shares of a money market fund which are carried at fair value and included in the Other current assets and Other long-term assets line items of the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Additionally, the Company maintained investments in non-marketable securities, held for strategic purposes (collectively referred to as cost method investments) which are carried at cost and included in Other long-term assets in the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets. These investments are evaluated for impairment upon an indicator of impairment such as events or changes in circumstances that may have a significant adverse effect on the fair value of the investment. Where there are no indicators of impairment present, the Company estimates the fair value for the cost-based investments only if it is practicable to do so. As of December 31, 2009 it was deemed impracticable to estimate the fair value on \$19.7 million of cost method assets, due to the lack of indicators of impairment, as well as the lack of sufficient data upon which to develop a valuation model and the excessive costs of obtaining an independent valuation in relation to the size of the investments. Proceeds from the sale of these investment securities totaled \$3.9 million, \$74.9 million, \$14.1 million and \$11.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, the successor period from September 25, 2007 through December 31, 2007 and the predecessor period from January 1, 2007 through September 24, 2007, respectively. Realized pretax gains and losses associated with these investments were recognized in the Other income (expense) line item of the Consolidated Statements of Operations described in Note 10.

As of December 31, 2009, all of the above noted investments, except cost method investments, were classified as available-for-sale. The Company uses specific identification to determine the cost of a security sold and the amount of gains and losses reclassified out of OCI. Unrealized gains and losses on investments carried at fair value were included as a separate component of OCI, net of any related tax effects. Excluding the impact on OCI of the cumulative effect adjustment discussed below, net unrealized holding gains and (losses), net of tax, of \$10.6 million, \$(70.9) million, \$(13.4) million and \$(3.8) million were recorded to OCI for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, the successor period from September 25, 2007 through December 31, 2007 and the predecessor period from January 1, 2007 through September 24, 2007, respectively.

The following table presents the gross unrealized losses and fair value of the Company s investments with unrealized losses, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position (in millions):

	Less than 12 months		More than	12 months (1)		Total
	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized	Total	Unrealized
December 31, 2009	Value	Losses	Value	Losses	Fair Value	Losses
Student loan auction rate securities			\$ 449.7	\$ (44.7)	\$ 449.7	\$ (44.7)
	Less than	n 12 months	More than	n 12 months		Total
	Less that Fair	n 12 months Unrealized	More thai Fair	n 12 months Unrealized	Total	Total Unrealized
December 31, 2008					Total Fair Value	
December 31, 2008 Student loan auction rate securities	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized		Unrealized

⁽¹⁾ The total unrealized losses classified as more than 12 months at December 31, 2009 was significantly higher than the total unrealized losses classified as less than 12 months at December 31, 2008 due to the cumulative effect adjustment discussed below.

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

As of December 31, 2009, the Company s unrealized losses related to the following:

Student loan auction rate securities The unrealized losses resulted from securities that have decreased in fair value to below their amortized cost primarily due to the current lack of liquidity resulting from the failure of the auction mechanisms. During 2008, an other-than-temporary-impairment loss of \$48.0 million was recognized relating to the SLARS under the then applicable accounting guidance in addition to the unrealized loss reflected in the table directly above. Effective April 1, 2009, in connection with the adoption of new accounting guidance for recognition and presentation of other-than-temporary impairments, the Company performed an assessment of the previously impaired SLARS to determine whether the securities were other-than-temporarily-impaired under the new guidance. The Company does not currently intend to sell the SLARS and does not consider it more likely than not that it will be required to sell the SLARS before the recovery of their amortized cost basis. This determination was based on management s expectation as to when certain related settlement liabilities will need to be funded and the Company s ability to use its revolving credit facility in the event the settlement liabilities need to be funded before the SLARS are liquid.

The Company believes that the SLARS currently held, with the exception of securities issued by NextStudent, will recover all of their principal value by their maturity date due to the following:

the securities are comprised primarily of senior tranches;

the securities are predominantly backed by collateral that is 97%-98% guaranteed by FFELP with subordinated tranches covering the non-guaranteed portion;

the securities have loan to collateral value ratios of 99% or greater for all senior securities and 100% for the subordinated security;

the securities have above investment grade credit ratings with the majority of securities rated at A3 and A- or higher with the exception of NextStudent securities which are rated B3 and BBB from Moody s and Fitch, respectively.

The Company believes that the NextStudent SLARS may not recover all of their principal value by their maturity date and that it may incur a credit loss on these securities at least equal to the non-guaranteed portion of the underlying collateral. Based on the Company s qualitative assessment of these and other relevant factors, management concluded that a credit loss should be recognized for the securities issued by NextStudent and no credit loss should be recognized for all other SLARS. In accordance with the transition guidance prescribed by the new

accounting guidance, as of April 1, 2009, the Company recognized a cumulative effect adjustment by increasing the opening balance of retained earnings by \$27.1 million, net of tax, and recording a corresponding unrealized loss in OCI on the Consolidated Statement of Equity. The cumulative effect adjustment was equal to the amount of other-than-temporary-impairment, net of the related tax effects, previously recorded in the Statement of Operations for these securities less the three percent credit loss for NextStudent (effectively reversing \$43.3 million of impairment expense recognized in 2008 and not associated with SLARS sold during the first three months of 2009). The amortized cost basis of the securities was increased by the pretax amount of the cumulative effect adjustment. As of the adoption date of the new accounting guidance discussed above, total cumulative credit losses of \$2.7 million had been recognized in the Statement of Operations on the NextStudent SLARS. During the year ended December 31, 2009, there were no additional credit losses for any of the SLARS held.

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The following table presents maturity information for the Company s debt securities at December 31, 2009 (in millions):

	Fai	ir Value
Due within one year	\$	241.1
Due after one year through five years		30.7
Due after five years through 10 years		28.8
Due after 10 years		421.0
Total debt securities	\$	721.6

Note 7: Derivative Financial Instruments

Risk Management Objectives and Strategies

The Company is exposed to various financial and market risks, including those related to changes in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates, that exist as part of its ongoing business operations. The Company utilizes certain derivative financial instruments to enhance its ability to manage these risks.

As of December 31, 2009, the Company uses derivative instruments to mitigate (i) cash flow risks with respect to changes in interest rates (forecasted interest payments on variable rate debt), (ii) to protect the initial net investment in certain foreign subsidiaries and/or affiliates with respect to changes in foreign currency exchange rates and (iii) to protect the Company from foreign currency exposure related to an outsourcing contract with a foreign vendor.

Derivative instruments are entered into for periods consistent with related underlying exposures and do not constitute positions independent of those exposures. The Company applies strict policies to manage each of these risks, including prohibition against derivatives trading, derivatives market-making or any other speculative activities. Although certain derivatives do not qualify for hedge accounting, they are maintained for economic hedge purposes and are not considered speculative.

The Company s policy is to minimize its cash flow and net investment exposures related to adverse changes in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates. The Company s objective is to engage in risk management strategies that provide adequate downside protection.

Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

The Company recognizes all derivatives in the Other long-term assets , Other current liabilities and Other long-term liabilities captions in the Consolidated Balance Sheets at their fair values. The Company has designated certain of its interest rate swaps as cash flow hedges of forecasted interest rate payments related to its variable rate debt and certain of its cross currency swaps as a foreign currency hedge of its net investment in a foreign subsidiary. Other interest rate swaps, cross currency swaps and forward contracts on various foreign currencies no longer qualify or have not been designated as accounting hedges and do not receive hedge accounting treatment.

With respect to derivative instruments that are afforded hedge accounting, the effective portion of changes in the fair value of a derivative that is designated and qualifies as a cash flow hedge is recorded in OCI and reclassified into earnings in the same period or periods during which the hedged transaction affects earnings. The effective portion of changes in the fair value of a net investment hedge is recorded as part of the cumulative translation adjustment in OCI. Any ineffectiveness is recorded immediately in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The Company formally documents all relationships between hedging instruments and the underlying hedged items, as well as its risk management objective and strategy for undertaking various hedge transactions. This process includes linking all derivatives that are designated as cash flow hedges to forecasted transactions and net investment hedges to the underlying investment in a foreign subsidiary or affiliate. The Company formally assesses, both at inception of the hedge and on an ongoing basis, whether the hedge is highly effective in offsetting changes in cash flows or foreign currency exposure of the underlying hedged items. The Company also performs an assessment of the probability of the forecasted transactions on a periodic basis. If it is determined that a derivative ceases to be highly effective during the term of the hedge or if the forecasted transaction is no longer probable, the Company will discontinue hedge accounting prospectively for such derivative.

Credit Risk

The Company is monitoring the financial stability of its derivative counterparties. Certain of these counterparties received support from the federal government in the recent past due to difficult financial conditions. Although these counterparties remain highly-rated (in the A category or higher), their ability to satisfy their commitments may be dependent on receiving continued support from the federal government. The credit risk inherent in these agreements represents the possibility that a loss may occur from the nonperformance of a counterparty to the agreements. The Company performs a review at inception of the hedge, as circumstances warrant, and at least on a quarterly basis of the credit risk of these counterparties. The Company also monitors the concentration of its contracts with individual counterparties. The Company s exposures are in liquid currencies (primarily in U.S. dollars, euros and Australian dollars), so there is minimal risk that appropriate derivatives to maintain the hedging program would not be available in the future.

DERIVATIVES NOT QUALIFYING FOR HEDGE ACCOUNTING

At December 31, 2009, the Company had certain derivative instruments that functioned as economic hedges but no longer qualify or were not designated to qualify for hedge accounting. Such instruments included a cross-currency swap to hedge foreign currency exposure from an intercompany loan, cross-currency swaps to hedge an investment in a foreign subsidiary from fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates, a foreign exchange rate collar to hedge foreign currency exposure related to an outsourcing contract with a foreign vendor, and interest rate swaps to hedge the interest payments on variable rate debt from fluctuations in interest rates. During the third quarter of 2009, the Company settled and terminated the Canadian dollar foreign currency forward contracts that were used to hedge forecasted foreign currency sales in connection with a restructuring of the related sales contract.

During the first quarter of 2009, one of the cash flow hedges of interest payments on the Company s variable rate debt previously designated to qualify for hedge accounting ceased to be highly effective. As such, the Company did not apply hedge accounting to the discontinued hedge during the first quarter of 2009 and discontinued prospective hedge accounting for the affected derivatives with a notional balance of \$1.5 billion. During the second quarter of 2009, the Company made an election with respect to the duration of the variable LIBOR interest rate payments it was hedging which was inconsistent with the original hedge strategy documented in the accounting designation. Accordingly, the Company had to de-designate the affected interest rate swaps, with \$2 billion notional amount, from receiving hedge accounting. The Company was able to re-designate prospectively an interest rate swap with a notional amount of \$500 million to continue to receive hedge accounting treatment; however, the other interest rate swaps with \$1.5 billion notional amount no longer met the criteria to qualify for hedge accounting primarily due to the significant off-market value of the swaps and will not be receiving hedge accounting treatment prospectively. While the derivatives no longer qualify for hedge accounting, they continue to be effective economically in eliminating the variability in interest rate payments on the corresponding portion of the Company s variable rate debt.

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

As of December 31, 2009, the notional amount of the foreign exchange rate collar was approximately 88 million Philippine pesos (\$1.9 million). The notional amount of the cross-currency swaps was 91.1 million euro (approximately \$131.0 million). The notional amount of the interest rate swaps that no longer qualify for hedge accounting was \$3.0 billion.

The periodic change in the mark-to-market of the derivative instruments not designated as accounting hedges is recorded immediately in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. For information on the location and amounts of derivative fair values in the Consolidated Balance Sheets and derivative gains and losses in the Consolidated Statements of Operations, see the tabular information presented below.

In the third quarter of 2007 and prior to the consummation of the merger, the Company entered into two forward starting, deal contingent interest rate swaps. Such swaps did not qualify for hedge accounting until consummation of the merger. From the date the swaps were entered into until designated as hedges on September 24, 2007, the swaps were marked-to-market which resulted in a charge of approximately \$19 million. This amount was recorded as a successor transaction in Other income (expense) in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

DERIVATIVES THAT QUALIFY FOR HEDGE ACCOUNTING

Hedge of a Net Investment in a Foreign Operation

As of December 31, 2009, the Company had a cross currency swap that was designated as a hedge of net investments in foreign operations. Since the existing derivative instrument was not at zero fair value at the time of designation, the hedging relationship creates some ineffectiveness which is recognized immediately in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. The effective portion of the change in fair value of the cross currency swap is recognized in the Consolidated Statement of Equity. As of December 31, 2009, the aggregate notional amount of the cross currency swap was 115.0 million Australian dollars (approximately \$102.0 million).

For information on the location and amounts of derivative fair values in the Consolidated Balance Sheets and derivative gains and losses in the Consolidated Statements of Operations, see the tabular information presented below.

Cash Flow Hedges

As of December 31, 2009, the Company had interest rate swaps which were designated as cash flow hedges of the variability in the interest payments on \$4.5 billion of the approximate \$12.6 billion variable rate senior secured term loan. As discussed above, the Company had additional interest rate swaps with notional amounts totaling \$3 billion that ceased to qualify for hedge accounting during the first and second quarters of 2009. The Company also had basis rate swaps that modify the variable rates on \$4.0 billion of the \$7.5 billion interest rate swaps and that lower the fixed interest rates on those interest rate swaps. The basis swaps pay interest at rates equal to three-month-LIBOR and receive interest at rates equal to one-month-LIBOR plus a fixed spread. An additional basis swap with a notional amount of \$2.0 billion expired on June 24, 2009 and all other basis swaps with a combined notional amount of \$4.0 billion expire on September 24, 2010. The Company pays interest on its senior secured term loan facility based on the one-month-LIBOR interest rate index to match the terms of the basis swaps. Ineffectiveness associated with these hedges is recognized immediately in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

At December 31, 2009, the maximum length of time over which the Company is hedging its exposure is approximately 3 years. The Company follows the hypothetical derivative method to measure hedge ineffectiveness. An \$11.3 million loss and a \$16.0 million loss associated with ineffectiveness were recognized in

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

earnings during the twelve months ended December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively, related to the cash flow hedges mostly due to the hedges being off-market at the time of designation. The amount of losses in OCI related to the hedged transactions as of December 31, 2009 that is expected to be reclassified into the Consolidated Statements of Operations within the next 12 months is approximately \$136 million.

For information on the location and amounts of derivative fair values in the Consolidated Balance Sheets and derivative gains and losses in the Consolidated Statements of Operations, see the tabular information presented below.

FAIR VALUE OF DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS

Fair Value of Derivative Instruments in the Consolidated Balance Sheets

	As of December 31, 2009				
		Derivative Assets Balance Sheet		Derivative Liabilities Balance Sheet	
(in millions)	Fair Value	e Location	Fair Value	Location	
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments			* (3.0.1 t)		
Interest rate contracts			\$ (304.4)	Other long-term liabilities and Other current liabilities	
Foreign exchange contracts			(10.0)	Other long-term liabilities	
Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments			(314.4)		
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments					
Interest rate contracts			(153.5)	Other long-term liabilities and Other current liabilities	
Foreign exchange contracts	\$ 1.2	Other long-term assets	(3.6)	Other long-term liabilities	
Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments	1.2		(157.1)		
Total Derivatives	\$ 1.2		\$ (471.5)		

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Fair Value of Derivative Instruments in the Consolidated Balance Sheets

	As of December 31, 2008			2008
(in millions)		erivative Assets Balance Sheet Location		Derivative Liabilities Balance Sheet Location
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments				
Interest rate contracts			\$ (598.8)	Other long-term liabilities and Other current liabilities
Foreign exchange contracts	\$ 8.9	Other long- term assets		
Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments	8.9		(598.8)	
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments				
Foreign exchange contracts	2.8	Other long- term assets	(2.5)	Other long-term liabilities
Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments	2.8		(2.5)	
Total Derivatives	\$ 11.7		\$ (601.3)	

The Effect of Derivative Instruments on the Consolidated Statements of Operations

For the year ended December 31, 2009

(in millions, pretax) Derivatives in cash flow hedging relationships	Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in OCI on Derivative (Effective Portion) 2009	Amount of Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion) 2009	Location of Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion)	Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative (Ineffective Portion) 2009	Location of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative (Ineffective Portion)
Interest rate contracts	\$ 41.1	\$(131.4)	Interest Expense	\$ (11.3)	Other income (expense)
Derivatives in net					
investment hedging relationships	2009	2009		2009	
Foreign exchange contracts	\$ (21.9)			\$ 1.1	Other income (expense)

	Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on	Location of Gain or (Loss)
ivatives not designated as hedging	Derivative	recognized in Income on
instruments	2009	Derivative
Interest rate contracts	\$ (53.0)	Other income (expense)
Foreign exchange contracts	\$ (4.2)	Other income

134

(expense)

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The Effect of Derivative Instruments on the Consolidated Statements of Operations

For the year ended December 31, 2008

(in millions, pretax) Derivatives in cash flow hedging relationships	Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in OCI on Derivative (Effective Portion) 2008	Amount of Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion) 2008	Location of Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion)	Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative (Ineffective Portion) 2008	Location of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative (Ineffective Portion)
Interest rate contracts	\$ (433.1)	\$(45.8)	Interest Expense	\$ (16.0)	Other income (expense)
Derivatives in net investment hedging relationships Foreign exchange contracts	2008 \$ 17.3	2008		2008 \$ (1.7)	Other income (expense)
	Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income	Location of Gain or (Loss) recognized in			
	on Derivative	Income on Derivative			
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments	2008				
Foreign exchange contracts	\$ 4.8	Other income			
		(expense)			

ACCUMULATED DERIVATIVE GAINS AND LOSSES

The following table summarizes activity in other comprehensive income for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 related to derivative instruments classified as cash flow hedges and net investment hedges held by the Company (in millions, after tax):

		cessor	
	Year ended December 31, 2009		ear ended cember 31, 2008
Accumulated loss included in other comprehensive income at beginning of the period	\$ (339.6)	\$	(106.5)
Less: Reclassifications into earnings from other comprehensive income	82.4		28.7
	(257.2)		(77.8)
Net gains and (losses) in fair value of derivatives (a)	14.9		(261.8)

Accumulated loss included in other comprehensive income at end of the period

\$ (242.3)

\$

(339.6)

(a) Gains and losses are included in unrealized (losses) gains on hedging activities and in foreign currency translation adjustment on the Consolidated Statements of Equity.

135

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 8: Income Taxes

	Successor Year ended December 31,		Period from September 25, 2007 through December 31,		Predece Period f January 1 throu Septembe		
(in millions)	2009	2008		2007	2007		
Components of pretax (loss) income before discontinued operations:							
Domestic	\$ (1,799.2)	\$ (3,983.1)	\$	(473.0)	\$	558.1	
Foreign	205.8	(324.1)		34.0		137.4	
	\$ (1,593.4)	\$ (4,307.2)	\$	(439.0)	\$	695.5	
(Benefit) provision for income taxes before discontinued operations:							
Federal	\$ (579.6)	\$ (667.8)	\$	(182.9)	\$	55.0	
State and local	(38.8)	(46.6)		(5.8)		36.3	
Foreign	39.6	15.2		12.6		34.5	
	\$ (578.8)	\$ (699.2)	\$	(176.1)	\$	125.8	

The Company s effective tax rates from continuing operations differ from statutory rates as follows:

	Successor (1)			Predecessor
	Year er Decembe	nded	Period from September 25, 2007 through	Period from January 1, 2007 through
	••••	****	December 31,	September 24,
	2009	2008	2007	2007
Federal statutory rate	35.0%	35.0%	35.0%	35.0%
State income taxes, net of federal income tax benefit	2.1	1.1	0.8	1.9
Nontaxable income from noncontrolling interests	1.6	1.3	3.1	(5.2)
Foreign rate differential	2.5	1.2	(2.0)	(2.2)
Interest earned on municipal investments	0.0	0.0	8.3	(15.7)
Dividend exclusion	0.0	0.0	1.0	(1.6)
Valuation allowances	(1.7)	(0.1)	(6.1)	(0.2)
Liability for unrecognized tax benefits	(2.5)	(0.7)	(1.5)	3.0
Prior year income tax return true-ups	0.0	0.2	0.0	1.9
Non-deductible merger related expenses	0.0	0.0	(0.2)	2.7
Goodwill impairment	(0.3)	(24.4)	0.0	0.0
Other	(0.4)	2.6	1.7	(1.5)
Effective tax rate	36.3%	16.2%	40.1%	18.1%

The change from pretax income in predecessor periods to a pretax loss in the successor periods caused a general shift in several components of the tax rate reconciliation.

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The Company s income tax provisions (benefits) consisted of the following components:

	Successor				Predecessor		
	Year ended December 31,		Period from September 25, 2007 through December 31,		Period from January 1, 2007 through September 24,		
(in millions)	2009	2008	2007		2007		
Current							
Federal	\$ 39.8	\$ 31.4	\$	(29.7)	\$	63.3	
State and local	13.1	29.2		7.5		39.7	
Foreign	67.7	103.5		13.7		48.5	
	120.6	164.1		(8.5)		151.5	
Deferred							
Federal	(619.4)	(699.1)		(153.2)		(8.3)	
State and local	(51.9)	(75.8)		(13.3)		(3.4)	
Foreign	(28.1)	(88.4)		(1.1)		(14.0)	
	(699.4)	(863.3)		(167.6)		(25.7)	
	\$ (578.8)	\$ (699.2)	\$	(176.1)	\$	125.8	

Income tax payments, net of refunds received, of \$79 million in 2009 were less than current expense primarily as a result of the increased liability for unrecognized tax benefits. Income tax payments, net of refunds received, of \$69 million in 2008 were less than current expense primarily due to the actual receipt of tax refunds related to the successor period from September 25, 2007 through December 31, 2007. Income tax refunds received, net of tax payments, of \$108 million in the successor period from September 25, 2007 through December 31, 2007 were more than current benefit primarily due to the actual receipt of tax refunds related to the predecessor period from January 1, 2007 through September 24, 2007 and the year ended December 31, 2006. Income tax payments of \$56 million in the predecessor period from January 1, 2007 through September 24, 2007 were less than current expense primarily due to increased tax benefits associated with the exercise of stock options recorded directly to equity resulting in a federal net operating loss carryback for a refund.

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the expected tax consequences of temporary differences between the book and tax bases of the Company s assets and liabilities. Valuation allowances are recorded to reduce deferred tax assets when it is more likely than not that a tax benefit will not be realized. Deferred tax assets are included in both Other current assets and Other long-term assets in the Company s Consolidated Balance Sheets. Deferred tax liabilities are included in Deferred long-term tax liabilities in the Company s Consolidated Balance Sheets. The following table outlines the principal components of deferred tax items (in millions):

December 31,		2009		2008
Deferred tax assets related to:				
Reserves and other accrued expenses	\$	337.3	\$	205.7
Pension obligations		72.7		36.2
Employee related liabilities		57.1		42.3
Deferred revenues		9.1		2.9
Unrealized securities and hedging (gain)/loss		163.1		215.6
Net operating losses and tax credit carryforwards		547.5		303.4
U.S. foreign tax credits on undistributed earnings		186.8		140.4
Foreign exchange (gain)/loss		34.8		23.1
Total deferred tax assets		1,408.4		969.6
Valuation allowance		(110.6)		(83.2)
		(()
Realizable deferred tax assets		1,297.8		886.4
Realizable deferred tax assets		1,277.0		000.4
Deferred tax liabilities related to:				
Property, equipment and intangibles	(2,052.2)	(2,061.0)
Investment in affiliates and other	((328.6)	((192.2)
U.S. tax on foreign undistributed earnings		(138.9)		(132.2) (137.1)
C.S. tax on foreign undistributed earnings		(136.9)		(137.1)
Total deferred tax liabilities	(2,519.7)	(2,390.3)
Total deferred and intolinies		2,517.17		2,370.3)
Net deferred tax liabilities	\$ (1,221.9)	\$ (1,503.9)

The Company s deferred tax assets and liabilities were included in the Consolidated Balance Sheets as follows (in millions):

	Successor				
December 31,	2009	2008			
Current deferred tax assets	\$ 121.2	\$ 133.3			
Long-term deferred tax assets	3.3	11.0			
Long-term deferred tax liabilities	(1,346.4)	(1,648.2)			
Net deferred tax liabilities	\$ (1,221.9)	\$ (1,503.9)			

Included in the changes from December 31, 2008 to December 31, 2009 in the deferred tax balances above are deferred tax assets and liabilities for differences between the assigned fair market values and the tax bases of the assets and liabilities recognized in purchase business combinations related to BAMS and CPS.

As of December 31, 2009, the Company had recorded a valuation allowance of \$110.6 million against federal, state and foreign net operating losses. The increase to the valuation allowance in 2009 was primarily due to current year state and foreign net operating losses which may not be

utilized within the statute of limitations.

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

As of December 31, 2009, the Company had aggregate federal net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$1,130 million. If not utilized, these carryforwards will expire in years 2015 through 2029.

As of December 31, 2009, the Company had aggregate foreign net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$231 million. Foreign net operating loss carryforwards of \$149 million, if not utilized, will expire in years 2010 through 2019. The remaining foreign net operating loss carryforwards of \$82 million have an indefinite life.

As of December 31, 2009, the Company had aggregate state net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$2,302 million. If not utilized, these carryforwards will expire in years 2010 through 2029.

As of December 31, 2009, the Company had foreign tax credit carryforwards of approximately \$54 million. If not utilized, these carryforwards will expire in years 2017 through 2019.

A reconciliation of the unrecognized tax benefits for the predecessor period from January 1, 2007 through September 24, 2007, the successor period from September 25, 2007 through December 31, 2007, the year ended December 31, 2008 and the year ended December 31, 2009 is as follows (in millions):

Predecessor:	
Balance as of January 1, 2007	\$ 303.5
Increases for tax positions of prior years	28.6
Increases for tax positions related to the current period	7.8
Decreases due to the lapse of applicable statute of limitations	(30.9)
Balance as of September 24, 2007	309.0
Successor:	
Increases for tax positions of prior years	5.4
Increases for tax positions related to the current period	58.4
Decreases for cash settlements with taxing authorities	(0.6)
Decreases due to the lapse of applicable statute of limitations	(4.1)
Balance as of December 31, 2007	368.1
Increases for tax positions of prior years	23.1
Decreases for tax positions of prior years	(11.6)
Increases for tax positions related to the current period	4.9
Decreases for cash settlements with taxing authorities	(3.3)
Decreases due to the lapse of applicable statute of limitations	(9.7)
Balance as of December 31, 2008	371.5
Increases for tax positions of prior years	21.6
Decreases for tax positions of prior years	(5.2)
Increases for tax positions related to the current period	35.4
Decreases for cash settlements with taxing authorities	(2.9)
Decreases due to the lapse of applicable statute of limitations	(5.4)
Balance as of December 31, 2009	\$ 415.0

Most of the unrecognized tax benefits are included in the Other long-term liabilities line of the Consolidated Balance Sheets, net of the federal benefit on state income taxes (approximately \$26 million at December 31, 2009). However, those unrecognized tax benefits that affect the

federal consolidated tax years

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

ending December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2009 are included in the Long-term deferred tax liabilities line of the Consolidated Balance Sheets, as these items reduce the Company s net operating loss and credit carryforwards from those periods. The unrecognized tax benefits at December 31, 2009 included approximately \$217 million of tax positions that, if recognized, would affect the effective tax rate.

During the year ended December 31, 2009, the Company s liability for unrecognized tax benefits was reduced by \$5 million after negotiating settlements with certain state jurisdictions. The reduction in the liability was recorded through cash payments and a decrease to tax expense.

During the year ended December 31, 2008, the Company s liability for unrecognized tax benefits was reduced by \$11 million after negotiating settlements with certain state jurisdictions. The reduction in the liability was recorded through cash payments and a decrease to goodwill.

In September 2007, the Company s statute of limitations expired for certain state and federal positions without adjustment, resulting in the Company s unrecognized tax benefits decreasing by approximately \$31 million, of which \$1 million was recognized as a decrease to income tax expense of the predecessor period and the remaining \$30 million as a decrease to goodwill. The \$31 million decrease was comprised of \$4 million of federal and \$27 million of state tax positions. The Company increased unrecognized tax benefits in the third quarter of 2007 for uncertainty regarding a federal tax receivable in the amount of approximately \$8 million, which increased tax expense. Additional state statutes expired in October 2007 decreasing the Company s unrecognized tax benefits by approximately \$4 million, which decreased goodwill.

The Company recognizes interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in the Income tax (benefit) expense line item of the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Included in the Income tax (benefit) expense line for the year ended December 31, 2009 was approximately \$18 million of accrued interest expense (net of related tax benefits). Included for the year ended December 31, 2008, the successor period from September 25, 2007 through December 31, 2007 and the predecessor period from January 1, 2007 through September 24, 2007 were approximately \$15 million, \$4 million and \$6 million, respectively, of accrued interest expense (net of related tax benefits). The Company had approximately \$70 million of interest and penalties (net of related tax benefits) accrued at December 31, 2009 which were not included in the period ending balance of \$415.0 million of unrecognized tax benefits. Accrued interest and penalties are included in the Other long-term liabilities line of the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The related tax benefits of the accrued interest are included in the Long-term deferred tax liabilities line of the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

As of December 31, 2009, the Company anticipates it is reasonably possible that its liability for unrecognized tax benefits may decrease by approximately \$49 million within the next twelve months as the result of the possible closure of its 2002 federal tax year, the possible resolutions of specific contested issues in the 2003 and 2004 federal tax years, and the lapse of the statute of limitations in various state jurisdictions. The potential decrease relates to various federal and state tax benefits including research and experimentation credits and certain amortization, loss and stock warrant deductions.

The Company or one or more of its subsidiaries file income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction and various states and foreign jurisdictions. Those tax returns are subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) and the relevant state and foreign tax authorities. The tax years under examination and open to examination vary by jurisdiction. As of December 31, 2009, the Company is no longer subject to income tax examination by the IRS for years before 2002. State and local examinations are substantially complete through 1999. Foreign jurisdictions generally remain subject to examination by their respective authorities from 2000 forward, none of which is considered a major jurisdiction.

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The IRS completed its examination of the U.S. federal consolidated income tax returns of the Company for 2003 and 2004 and issued a Notice of Deficiency (the Notice) in December 2008. The Notice claims that the Company and its subsidiaries, which included Western Union during the years at issue, owe significant additional taxes, interest and penalties with respect to a variety of adjustments. The Company and Western Union agree with several of the adjustments in the Notice. As to the adjustments that are in dispute, for 2003 such issues represent total taxes and penalties allegedly due of approximately \$34 million, of which \$11 million relates to the Company and \$23 million relates to Western Union, and for 2004 such issues represent total taxes and penalties allegedly due of approximately \$94 million, of which \$2 million relates to the Company and \$92 million relates to Western Union. The Company estimates that the total interest due (pretax) on such amounts for both years is approximately \$49 million through December 31, 2009, of which \$6 million relates to the Company and \$43 million relates to Western Union. As to the disputed issues, the Company and Western Union are contesting the asserted deficiencies in U.S. Tax Court. The Company believes that it has adequately reserved for its disputed issues and final resolution of those issues will not have a material adverse effect on its financial position or results of operations.

Prior to its spin-off, Western Union was part of the FDC consolidated, unitary and combined income tax returns (combined tax returns) through the spin-off date of September 29, 2006. Under the Tax Allocation Agreement executed at the time of the spin-off of Western Union, Western Union is responsible for and must indemnify the Company against all taxes, interest and penalties that relate to Western Union for periods prior to the spin-off date, including the amounts asserted in the Notice as described above. If Western Union were to agree to or be finally determined to owe any amounts for such periods but were to default in its indemnification obligation under the Tax Allocation Agreement, the Company as parent of the tax group during such periods generally would be required to pay the amounts to the relevant tax authority, resulting in a potentially material adverse effect on the Company s financial position and results of operations. Accordingly, as of December 31, 2009, the Company had approximately \$137 million of uncertain income tax liabilities recorded related to Western Union for periods prior to the spin-off date. The Company has recorded a corresponding accounts receivable of equal amount from Western Union, which is included as a long-term accounts receivable in the Other long-term assets line of the Consolidated Balance Sheets, to reflect the indemnification for such liabilities. The uncertain income tax liabilities and corresponding receivable are based on information provided by Western Union regarding its tax contingency reserves for periods prior to the spin-off date. There is no assurance that a Western Union-related issue raised by the IRS or other tax authority will be finally resolved at a cost not in excess of the amount reserved and reflected in the Company s uncertain income tax liabilities and corresponding receivable from Western Union. The Western Union contingent liability is in addition to the FDC liability for unrecognized tax benefits discussed above.

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 9: Borrowings

Borrowings consisted of the following at December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008:

(in millions)	Decen	December 31, 2009		December 31, 2008	
Short-term borrowings:					
Senior secured revolving credit facility			\$	18.0	
Other short-term borrowings	\$	109.2		295.0	
Total short-term borrowings		109.2		313.0	
Current portion of long-term borrowings:					
3.90% Notes due 2009				10.3	
4.50% Notes due 2010		12.8			
Senior secured term loan facility due 2014		129.2		128.9	
Capital lease obligations		53.7		45.1	
Total current portion of long-term borrowings		195.7		184.3	
Long-term borrowings:				10.1	
4.50% Notes due 2010		20.0		12.1	
5.625% Notes due 2011		30.0		28.6	
4.70% Notes due 2013 4.85% Notes due 2014		12.7 3.0		12.0 2.8	
4.85% Notes due 2014 4.95% Notes due 2015		7.5		7.1	
9.875% Senior notes due 2015		3,750.0		3,750.0	
10.55% Senior PIK notes due 2015 (a)		3,347.9		3,014.9	
11.25% Senior subordinated notes due 2016		2,500.0		2,500.0	
Senior secured term loan facility due 2014		12,498.5		12,603.4	
Capital lease obligations		155.3		144.3	
Total long-term borrowings		22,304.9		22,075.2	
Total borrowings	\$	22,609.8	\$	22,572.5	

(a) Payment In-Kind (PIK) Senior secured revolving credit facility

The Company has a senior secured revolving credit facility that currently has commitments from nondefaulting financial institutions to provide \$1,769.4 million of credit. Up to \$500 million of the senior secured revolving credit facility is available for letters of credit (of which \$39.6 million and \$39.7 million of letters of credit were issued under the facility as of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively). As of December 31, 2009, \$1,729.8 million remained available under the revolving credit facility after considering the letters of credit issued under it.

Interest is payable at a rate equal to, at the Company s option, either (a) LIBOR for deposits in the applicable currency plus an applicable margin or (b) the higher of (1) the prime rate of Credit Suisse and (2) the federal funds effective rate plus 0.50%, plus an applicable margin. The weighted-average interest rates were 4.8% and 6.2% as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The commitment fee rate for the unused portion of this facility is 0.50% per year. The revolving credit facility has a term through the third quarter of 2013.

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Other short-term borrowings

The Company had approximately \$564 million and \$656 million available under short-term lines of credit and other arrangements with foreign banks and alliance partners primarily to fund settlement activity, as of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. Certain of these arrangements are uncommitted (approximately \$184 million and \$273 million, respectively) but, as of the periods presented, the Company had some borrowings outstanding against them. These arrangements are primarily associated with international operations and are in various functional currencies, the most significant of which are the euro, Australian dollar and Polish zloty. The weighted average interest rates associated with these arrangements were 3.3% and 4.2% as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Commitment fees for the committed lines of credit range from 0.1% to 2.0%.

Long-term debt repurchases and principal repayments

In 2009, the Company paid off its 3.90% Note due in 2009 for \$10.7 million.

In December 2008, the Company repurchased debt as follows:

	Principal
	Amount
(in millions)	Repurchased
3.90% Notes due 2009	\$ 4.6

4.50% Notes due 2010