ADVANT E CORP Form 10-K March 27, 2009

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, DC 20549

# **FORM 10-K**

(Ma	ark One)
X	ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For	the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008.
 Ear	TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For	the transition period from to Commission file number: 0-30983

# **ADVANT-E CORPORATION**

 $(Exact\ name\ of\ registrant\ as\ specified\ in\ its\ charter)$ 

DELAWARE
(State or Other Jurisdiction of

88-0339012 (I.R.S. Employer

**Incorporation or Organization)** 

**Identification No.)** 

2680 Indian Ripple Rd., Dayton, Ohio (Address of Principal Executive Offices)

45440 (Zip Code)

Registrant s telephone number: (937) 429-4288

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act:

None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Common Stock, \$.001 par value

(Title of Class)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes "No x

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act. Yes "No x

Indicate by check mark whether the issuer (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of the Form 10-K or any amendment to this form 10-K. x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer " Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer " (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes " No x

Aggregate market value of voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of March 27, 2009, based upon the closing price of the common stock as reported by Over the Counter Bulletin Board on such date was approximately \$3,357,652.

The number of shares of outstanding common stock of the issuer as of March 27, 2009 was 6,710,919.

#### PART I

#### Item 1. Business.

Advant-e Corporation was incorporated in the State of Delaware on March 9, 1994. On April 10, 2000, Advant-e Corporation acquired all of the issued and outstanding shares of Edict Systems, Inc., which was incorporated in the State of Ohio in September 1994. On July 2, 2007 Advant-e Corporation acquired all the issued and outstanding shares of Merkur Group, Inc., which was incorporated in the State of Delaware on August 28, 2001. Advant-e Corporation and its wholly-owned subsidiaries Edict Systems, Inc. and Merkur Group, Inc. are collectively referred to herein as the Company.

Advant-e Corporation through its wholly-owned subsidiaries, Edict Systems, Inc. and Merkur Group, Inc. develops, markets, resells, and hosts software and provides services that allow its customers to send and receive business documents electronically in standard and proprietary formats. Customers consist of businesses across a number of industries throughout the United States and Canada.

Edict Systems, Inc. specializes in providing hosted Electronic Data Interchange ( EDI ) solutions that utilize the Internet as the primary communications method. Customers use Edict Systems solutions to connect with business partners, integrate data with internal systems, expand and manage electronic trading communities, and validate data via a hosted business rule service. Edict s web-based EDI document processing system enables its customers, who are suppliers to grocery and other retailers, automotive manufacturers, and other large buying organizations, to send and receive electronic purchase orders, invoices, price changes, and other documents via a web-based service.

Merkur Group develops and resells software, provides professional services, and provides technical maintenance and support that enables customers to automate delivery and receipt of business documents. Merkur Group provides proprietary software that integrates and connects large Supply Chain Management (SCM), Customer Relationship Management (CRM), and financial Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) systems with third party software that provides multiple delivery and document capture options.

#### The Market

Business-to-business (B2B) e-commerce involves the automation of business processes and transactions through the use of computers and telecommunications to exchange and electronically process commercial information and transactions between businesses. The advantages of B2B e-commerce typically include elimination of redundant data entry, a reduction in administration associated with processing paper documents, a reduction in lead-time necessary to process documents, the ability to reduce inventory based on just in time methodologies, and increased data accuracy. The use of data standards for e-commerce is important for companies with disparate computer systems to communicate business documents electronically in an effective manner.

#### Strategy

The Company plans to become a leading provider of hosted B2B solutions by providing services to the marketplace for the broadest possible use. By focusing on vertical markets within the B2B marketplace along with providing horizontal solutions, the Company intends to target a broad potential customer base.

The Company intends to utilize its many years of experience in the e-commerce industry to market EnterpriseEC, the Company s Electronic Transaction Network and Trading Community Management Platform, horizontally to companies currently doing e-commerce as well as companies that will be conducting e-commerce in the future. Because EnterpriseEC is not industry specific and utilizes both standards-based data formats as well as proprietary formats, any company doing Electronic Data Interchange (EDI) or which wants to conduct business electronically is a potential customer.

The Company s Web EDI solution, a hosted, web-based system that allows small and medium size suppliers to conduct EDI, is currently widely used in the grocery industry and to a lesser but substantial extent in the automotive industry. The Company intends to duplicate the success of GroceryEC and AutomotiveEC in other vertical industries where there is a high concentration of EDI usage among large buyers, but relatively low support from small and medium size suppliers.

The strategy for Merkur Group, Inc. is to target additional customers for automating outbound documents and to increase inbound document processing installations by targeting existing outbound-only customers. Merkur also intends to identify and target specific vertical applications for both inbound and outbound document processing opportunities as well as additional ERP, MRP, and CRM packages with which it can integrate.

#### Competition

The B2B e-commerce market is highly competitive and fragmented. Numerous companies supply B2B e-commerce software products, private network services, Internet value added network (VAN) services, and web EDI capabilities. The Company s competitors range from small companies with limited resources to large companies with substantially greater financial and marketing resources than the Company.

The Company believes that existing competitors who compete with the Company in one segment of the market are likely to expand the range of their e-commerce services to include other market segments that the Company has targeted or will target. In addition, barriers to entry into the Company s markets are not sizeable, so it is likely that new competitors will enter the Company s markets on an ongoing basis. Large telecommunication, media, and software companies may offer services in direct competition to the Company. The Company believes the principal competitive factors in the commercial B2B e-commerce industry include responsiveness to customer needs, efficiency in the delivery of solutions, ease of product use, and quality of service, price and value. The Company believes it competes favorably with regard to these factors.

Merkur Group, Inc. competes in a fragmented market with many competitors, both software and hosted service providers. Merkur s proprietary software that allows it to integrate deeply with several major ERP/MRP systems, and its deep knowledge of the industry and the software which it resells, provides it with what the Company believes to be a strategic competitive advantage.

#### **Intellectual and Proprietary Rights**

The Company regards portions of its internally developed software and other designs, including its web site designs, as proprietary and will attempt to protect them by all available means including trade secret laws, employee and third-party nondisclosure agreements, and built-in software protections.

Although the Company believes that its current technology and designs have been independently developed, there can be no assurance that the technology does not or will not infringe on the rights of others. The Company has no patents or registered copyrights pertaining to its products, and it may be possible for unauthorized third parties to copy certain portions of the Company s products or to reverse engineer or otherwise obtain and use, to the Company s detriment, information that the Company regards as proprietary. Moreover, the laws of some countries do not offer the same protection to the Company s proprietary rights as do those of the United States and Canada. There can be no assurance that legal protections relied upon by the Company to protect its proprietary position will be adequate or that the Company s competitors will not independently develop technologies that are substantially equivalent or superior to those utilized by the Company. It is the intention of the Company to apply for patent protection of any processes or business methods determined to be patentable and in the best interest of the Company to do so.

The Company owns United States trademark rights to EnterpriseEC and Merkur. Other trademarks may be acquired by the Company if and when management determines that it is in the best interest of the Company to do so.

# **Third Party Technology**

The Company incorporates in its products certain software licensed to it by other software developers. These include software components and development objects licensed from various vendors. The Company also relies on licensed software development tools, database software, and server software from third party providers for the development and operation of its products.

If the Company was deprived of the right to use software incorporated in its products for any reason, or if the tools utilized in the development of its products were discontinued or the capabilities contained in future releases did not meet the standards set by the Company, there could be serious disruption to its business.

The Company s wholly-owned subsidiary, Merkur Group, Inc., buys its software for resale almost exclusively from a single supplier. The Company has a contract with that supplier through June 30, 2010. While this software is somewhat unique, similar software that includes substantially the same capabilities is available from other sources at competitive prices. The loss of the current source of supply, however, could result in disruption to the business and could have adverse impact on the Company s revenue and net income.

#### **Employees**

The Company believes its success depends to a significant extent on its ability to attract, motivate and retain highly skilled vision-oriented management and employees. To this end, the Company focuses on incentive programs for its employees and endeavors to create a corporate culture that is challenging, rewarding and career-development enhancing for employees. As of March 27, 2009, the Company had a total of fifty-nine (59) full-time employees. Thirty-nine (39) employees are technical personnel engaged in developing, maintaining or providing technical support for the Company s products and services, thirteen (13) employees are marketing and sales personnel and seven (7) are involved in administration and finance.

#### **Research and Development**

Expenditures for research and development were nominal in 2008 and 2007.

#### **Government Regulation**

Based upon its experience and knowledge of the industry, the Company believes that its products comply substantially with applicable regulations in the markets which the Company has targeted, however, there can be no assurances that future regulations or laws will not be adopted that would have an adverse effect on the Company. The Company cannot predict the extent or impact of future legislation or regulation by federal, state or local authorities.

The Company believes that it is in full compliance with the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities Exchange Commission and is in full compliance with applicable provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and its regulations, recognizing that, as a non-accelerated filer, the Company is required to comply with Section 404 by providing management s report over financial reporting when it files its annual report Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, and by providing the independent registered public accounting firm s attestation report on internal control over financial reporting when it files its annual report Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Continued compliance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 will require expenditures associated with the independent registered public accounting firm s attestation engagement and report relating to the Company s internal control over financial reporting. Expenditures such as these, related to compliance requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, may be large enough to substantially reduce future earnings and/or become so prohibitive and onerous that it may be extremely difficult for the Company to compete and grow. In this event, the Company s management would likely need to consider business alternatives that could include conversion to a non-reporting entity whereby its shares of stock would no longer be traded on the over the counter bulletin board.

#### Item 1A. Risk Factors.

#### The current recession and banking and credit crisis will likely adversely affect our business.

The current general economic recession and crisis in banking and credit markets has resulted in a loss of confidence in financial institutions, reduced spending by business and consumers and increasing government spending and deficits, all of which will likely adversely affect our business by reducing demand for our products. The adverse impacts on the Company s business from the economic slowdown has already led to longer sales cycles, postponed purchasing decisions, and reduced revenue particularly from the sale of software products.

Economic slowdowns that result in a decline in the demand for information technology specifically would result in a decline in spending by current customers and by potential customers.

#### The Company may be unable to continue to successfully implement its business strategy.

Although the Company believes its strategy can be successful, there are many reasons why it may be unable to implement it, including the Company s inability to deploy its products and services on a large scale due to software development, information technology infrastructure, or other problems; to attract a sufficiently large audience of users to its Internet-based electronic commerce network; to increase awareness of its brand; to strengthen customer loyalty; to continue to develop and upgrade its technology; and to attract, retain and motivate qualified personnel.

#### The Company may be unsuccessful at continuing to manage its growth.

The Company s growth can place a significant strain on management and operations, including sales, marketing, customer support, research and development, finance and administrative operations. Maintaining profitability depends, among other things, on the Company s ability to successfully expand its products, services and markets and to manage its operations effectively. Difficulties in managing growth, including difficulties in obtaining and retaining talented management and other personnel could have a material adverse affect on the Company s business and financial results.

#### The Company has introduced several electronic commerce B2B products, and market acceptance is critical to the Company s success.

The Company is currently marketing EnterpriseEC, GroceryEC, AutomotiveEC, RetailEC, ManufacturingEC, HealthcareEC, ValidateEC, DeliveryWare and other products and services. The Company s customers are comprised primarily of small and medium size suppliers of large grocery and general merchandise retailers, automotive manufacturers, and other large buying organizations and large companies that process electronically via fax large volumes of outbound documents. Broad and timely acceptance of the Company s recently introduced products, which is critical to its future success, is subject to a number of significant risks. These risks include the ability to successfully market and sell these products; the products—ability to support large numbers of customers; the need to enhance the features and services of the Company s products; and the need to significantly expand internal resources to support planned growth of these products.

Although the Company expects to derive a significant portion of its long-term future revenue from its electronic commerce products and services, the pricing and revenue models for these products are ever changing in a fluid market environment. If these products do not achieve the level of market acceptance anticipated at a satisfactory pricing level, the Company s business and financial results would suffer.

The Company s success depends highly upon our achieving broad market acceptance of our products and services. Market acceptance requires, among other things, that we:

educate potential customers on the benefits of our products

commit a substantial amount of human and financial resources to secure strategic partnerships and relationships

develop internal sales, marketing and customer support activities

There can be no assurance that we will be able to achieve any or all of these objectives, and thus obtain sufficient acceptance of our products to maintain profitable operations. Potential customers may perceive nominal benefit from our products and services. As a result, potential customers may not value, and may be unwilling to pay for our products and services. We do not have extensive brand images, nor do we expect to spend significant marketing expenses to build and promote brand images. If our products do not achieve broad market acceptance, we may not be able to continue operating in our business or operate at sufficient levels or profitability.

#### System enhancements, upgrades and other factors could cause service disruptions of Internet-based products.

As the Company enhances and upgrades its Internet-based products and services, customers could suffer temporary service interruptions. Other factors, such as unauthorized intervention and access into the Company s servers may also cause system delays or denials of service. The Company has and will continue to take steps to ensure that such disruptions do not occur, and that any disruptions that do occur are insignificant. However, any problems not resolved in a timely manner could negatively affect the Company s business and financial results.

#### Products slated for future introduction may not be accepted by the market.

The Company intends to expand existing product offerings and to develop new products for introduction in the future. The Company developed in 2007 a new product called ValidateEC, which enables customers to monitor their electronic commerce activities via a hosted business rule engine so they can rapidly design, test, and implement business rules and proactively respond to exceptions. Success of ValidateEC and other newly developed products depends upon the Company sability to successfully market these products to existing customers and to penetrate new markets. The Company cannot guarantee that its new products will gain acceptance in the marketplace on a scale sufficient to provide cash flows and profits.

#### The Company s sales and marketing staff organization is limited in size.

The Company s current sales and marketing staff is small compared to competitors. Although the Company has hired employees with sales and marketing experience and skills and will continue to do so in the future, the Company can only be successful if it can establish and maintain an effective and significant sales and marketing organization.

#### The Company may not be able to recruit and retain skilled employees.

The Company is substantially dependent on the continued services and performance of all its employees. If the Company is unable to attract, assimilate and retain highly qualified employees, the Company is business and financial results may suffer. Some competitors offer more lucrative compensation packages that include stock options and other stock-based compensation and higher-profile employment opportunities.

#### The Company may not be able to continue to compete successfully against companies offering similar products and services.

The Company has many competitors. Management expects that new competitors will attempt to enter the market and that existing competitors will improve the performance of their current products or introduce new products or new technologies that provide improved performance characteristics. New product introductions by our competitors could cause a significant decline in sales or loss of market acceptance of our existing products and future products. The number of companies offering B2B e-commerce services is large and increasing at a rapid rate. The Company believes that competition for B2B e-commerce products and services will continue to increase as the Internet further develops as a communication and commercial medium. Although the Company believes its products and marketing strategy are unique, the Company directly and indirectly competes for customers with numerous Internet and non-Internet businesses, including traditional VANs; Internet VANs; and web-based B2B e-commerce companies. Most of our competitors are well established, better known, and significantly larger, with substantially greater technical, marketing, and financial resources than we have. The greater resources of many of our competitors may permit them to

respond more rapidly than we can to changes in technology. As a result, many of the products and services we offer are developed and offered by other companies in the industry.

Many of these potential competitors are likely to enjoy substantial competitive advantages compared to the Company, including the ability to offer a wider array of products and services, larger production and technical staffs, greater name recognition, larger marketing budgets and resources, larger customer and user bases and substantially greater financial, technical and other resources.

Our ability to compete in the market will depend upon a number of factors including the success of our marketing efforts and our continued ability to secure and maintain ongoing relationships with companies in the industries we serve. We expect to compete based upon the quality, reliability, flexibility and the ease of use of our products. We also expect to compete on value relative to the features our products offer.

To be competitive, the Company must respond promptly and effectively to the challenges of technological change, evolving standards, and competitors innovations by continuing to enhance its products and services and to expand its sales and marketing channels. Increased competition could result in loss of market share, reduced prices or reduced margins, any of which could adversely affect the Company s business. Competition is likely to increase significantly as new companies enter the market and current competitors expand their services.

#### Government regulation could adversely affect the Company.

The Company is subject to government regulation. Laws and regulations have been or may be adopted with respect to the Internet or other on-line services covering issues such as user liability and privacy, copyright protection, and distribution. The applicability to the Internet of existing laws in various jurisdictions governing issues is uncertain and may take years to resolve. Demand for the Company s products features and services may be affected by additional regulation of the Internet. Federal, State, or governments of foreign countries may attempt to regulate the Company s transmissions, levy sales or other taxes relating to the Company s activities or impose other restrictions on the Company s services. The laws governing the Internet, however, remain largely unsettled, even in areas where there has been some legislative action. In addition, the growth and development of the market for B2B e-commerce may prompt the adoption of more stringent laws, both in the United States and abroad, that impose additional burdens on companies conducting business over the Internet. The requirement that the Company comply with any new legislation or regulation, or any unanticipated application or interpretation of existing laws, may decrease the demand for the Company s services, increase the cost of doing business or otherwise have a material adverse effect on the Company s business, results of operations and financial condition.

#### Internet capacity constraints may adversely affect the Company s operations.

The Company s success depends, in large part, on Internet access and the ability of the Internet to accommodate rapidly increasing traffic. The Internet may not prove to be a viable commercial medium because of inadequate development of the necessary infrastructure (e.g., reliable network backbone), timely development of complementary technologies, delays in the development or adoption of new standards and protocols required to handle increased levels of Internet activity, or increased government regulation. If the Internet continues to experience significant growth in the number of users and the level of use, then the Internet infrastructure may not be able to continue to support the demands placed on it

#### Our systems infrastructure could be interrupted and fail to operate.

The Company relies on the Internet and, accordingly, depends upon the continuous, reliable and secure operation of Internet servers and related hardware and software. Several large Internet commerce companies have suffered highly publicized system failures that resulted in adverse reactions to their stock prices, significant negative publicity and, in certain instances, litigation. Although agreements are in place to host the Company systems and provide bandwidth with suitable precautions in place to prevent system failures and outages, it is likely that the Company will also suffer service outages from time to time. To the extent that the Company service is interrupted, its users will be inconvenienced and the Company service reputation may be diminished. Some of these outcomes could directly result in a reduction in the Company service, significant negative publicity, a reduction in revenues, a loss of customers and a potential for litigation.

Although the Company anticipates that its computer and communications hardware will be protected through physical and software safeguards, they will still be vulnerable to fire, storm, flood, power loss, telecommunications failures, physical or software break-ins and other similar events. The Company does not currently have full redundancy for all of the Company s computer and telecommunications facilities in separate geographic locations to counter an area-wide catastrophe where the Company does business. A catastrophic event could have a significant negative effect on the Company s business, results of operations, and financial condition.

The Company also depends upon third parties to provide potential users with web browsers and Internet and on-line services necessary for access to the Company s services. It is possible that users will experience difficulties with the Internet and other on-line services due to system failures, including failures unrelated to the Company s systems. Any sustained disruption in Internet access provided by third parties could have a material adverse effect on the Company s business, results of operations and financial condition.

The Company retains confidential customer information in the Company s databases. It is, therefore, critical that the Company s facilities and infrastructure remain secure and that the facilities and infrastructure are perceived by customers to be secure. Despite the implementation of measures in the Internet industry, the Company s infrastructure is potentially vulnerable to physical break-ins, computer viruses, programming errors or similar disruptive problems. A material security breach could damage the Company s reputation or result in potential liability.

#### The Company s platform infrastructure and its scalability are not proven.

As the Company grows and its Internet-based products are used by an increasing number of users, the network infrastructure needs to be expanded. In addition, the Company needs to accommodate changing customer requirements. The Company may not be able to accurately project the rate or timing of increases, if any, in the use of its systems or to expand and upgrade the systems and infrastructure to accommodate such changes on a timely basis, at a commercially reasonable cost, or at all. The systems may not accommodate increased use while maintaining acceptable overall performance.

#### Potential liability exists for the inappropriate disclosure of confidential information.

Claims for unlawful disclosure of confidential information have been brought, sometimes successfully, against on-line service providers in the past. Any such liability will have a material adverse effect on the Company s reputation, business, results of operations and financial condition.

#### The Company is dependent on intellectual property rights.

The Company s intellectual property is important to its business. The Company relies on a combination of copyright, trademark and trade secret laws, confidentiality procedures and contractual provisions to protect its intellectual property. The Company s efforts to protect its intellectual property may not be adequate. Competitors may independently develop similar technology or duplicate the Company s products or services. Unauthorized parties may infringe upon or misappropriate the Company s products, services or proprietary information. In addition, the laws of some foreign countries do not protect proprietary rights as well as the laws of the United States, and the global nature of the Internet makes it difficult to control the ultimate destination of its products and services. In the future, litigation may be necessary to enforce the Company s intellectual property rights or to determine the validity and scope of the proprietary rights of others. Any such litigation could be time-consuming and costly. It may even be cost prohibitive, and there is always a risk that the Company will not prevail if a suit is filed. The Company could be subject to intellectual property infringement claims as the number of competitors grows and the content and functionality of its services overlaps with competitive offerings. Defending against these claims, even if not meritorious, could be expensive and divert the Company s attention from its operations. If the Company becomes liable to third parties for infringing their intellectual property rights, it could be required to pay a substantial damage award and forced to develop noninfringing technology, obtain a license or cease selling the applications that contain the infringing technology. The Company may be unable to develop non-infringing technology or obtain a license on commercially reasonable terms, or at all. The Company also intends to rely on a variety of technologies that it will license from third parties, including any product development, database, and Internet server software that will be used to operate its products and services. These third-party licenses may not be available to the Company on commercially reasonable terms. If the Company were deprived of the right to use software incorporated in its products for any reason, or if the tools utilized in the development of its products were discontinued or the capabilities contained in future releases were not up to the standards set by the Company, there could be a serious disruption to the business.

#### The Company s wholly-owned subsidiary, Merkur Group, Inc., buys its software for resale almost exclusively from a single supplier.

The Company s wholly-owned subsidiary, Merkur Group, Inc., buys its software for resale almost exclusively from a single supplier. Purchases from this supplier totaled \$608,027 during 2008. The Company has a contract with that supplier through June 30, 2010. While this software is somewhat unique, similar software that includes substantially the same capabilities is available from other sources at competitive prices. The loss of the current source of supply, however, could result in disruption to the business and could have adverse impact on the Company s revenue and net income.

#### The Company may not be able to achieve sustained revenue growth and satisfactory levels of profitability.

The majority of the Company s revenue is dependent on the number of customers who subscribe to its Internet-based products and services, and the volume of the data, documents or other information those customers send or retrieve utilizing these services. The success of the Company s products and services and other proposed products and services depend to a large extent on the future of B2B e-commerce using the Internet, which is uncertain. In addition, the Company anticipates increasing its operating expenses, especially in the areas of sales, marketing, product development, and customer service. As a result, the Company may not be able to achieve and/or sustain levels of profitability that are satisfactory to investors and shareholders. If the Company experiences a shortfall in its estimated revenue, it may be unable to adjust spending in a timely manner to achieve desired profits.

# Business use of the Internet may stagnate and the Internet s infrastructure may fail.

If the Internet does not gain increased acceptance for B2B e-commerce, the Company will not grow and profitability will be hampered. Concerns about the security of on-line transactions and the privacy of users may inhibit the growth of the Internet as a means of delivering business documents and data. The Company may need to incur significant expenses and use significant resources to protect against the threat of security breaches or to alleviate problems caused by security breaches. The Company cannot be certain that the infrastructure or complementary services necessary to maintain the Internet as a useful and easy means of transferring documents and data will continue to develop.

#### The Company is dependent on data centers, which could be destroyed or damaged.

The Company s Internet-based services are dependent upon the ability to protect computer equipment and the information stored on this equipment against damage that may be caused by fire, power loss, telecommunication or Internet failures, unauthorized intrusion, computer viruses and disabling devices, internal errors and other similar events. The Company currently leases space in a data center located in Dayton, Ohio that provides physical security (24 hour security guards) and environment control (humidity and temperature). The Company s computer

system has a battery backup system that provides from 10 to 20 minutes of up time, which is enough time for the data center s generator to activate. The generator has a 1-minute cut over time but can then run for 40 hours before refueling. Fuel providers are on call 365 days per year so the generator can run indefinitely until power is restored. The data center is connected directly into the Internet backbone carriers via a SONET ring with separate backhauled feeds so that an accidental line cut cannot get all feeds and the SONET ring automatically switches all traffic to other feeds. The Company also maintains

backup systems at its facility in Beavercreek, Ohio located approximately twelve miles from the data center. In the event of a regional catastrophe, the Company may suffer a significant loss to its systems and may be unable to provide services to customers, which could have a substantial effect on the Company.

Depending on future considerations, the Company has plans to lease backup data center space, which is geographically separated from its current data center with procedures to provide for switching to the backup data center in the event of a catastrophic event or system failure.

#### If we become subject to product liability litigation, it could be costly and time consuming to defend.

Our products are used for company-wide, integral computer applications with significant potential impact on our customers—sales of their products and other timing implications. Any errors, defects, delays or other performance problems with our products could result in financial or other loss for our customers. Although we have contractual limits to our liability, product liability litigation would be time consuming and costly to defend, even if we were successful.

#### Continued compliance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 may be extremely expensive.

Continued compliance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 may be so costly as to substantially reduce future earnings and/or become so prohibitive and onerous that it may be extremely difficult for the Company to compete and grow in this regulatory environment. In this event, the Company s management may need to consider business alternatives, such as conversion to a non-reporting entity whereby its shares of stock would no longer be traded on the over the counter bulletin board.

#### A terrorist attack would likely adversely affect our business.

A terrorist attack would likely adversely affect our business by interrupting our communications system, disrupting the speed, efficiency and effectiveness of the internet, disrupting the service of value added networks (VANs), disrupting our power supply and generally negatively impacting our operations severely by curtailing our operations and thereby reducing our revenue and profits.

#### **Reports to Security Holders**

We are currently subject to the reporting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act, and we file periodic reports including annual Form 10-K and quarterly Form 10-Q, and other information with the Securities and Exchange Commission (Commission). In addition, we will, upon request, furnish shareholders with annual reports containing audited financial statements certified by our independent registered public accounting firm and interim reports containing unaudited financial information. We will provide without charge to each person who receives a copy of this Form 10-K, upon written or oral request, a copy of any information that is incorporated by reference in this Form 10-K (not including exhibits to the information that is incorporated by reference unless the exhibits are themselves specifically incorporated by reference). Such request should be directed to Advant-e Corporation, Attention: Investor Relations, 2680 Indian Ripple Rd., Dayton, OH 45440, telephone 937-429-4288. Our web sites are www.advant-e.com, www.edictsystems.com, and www.merkur.com.

For further information with respect to the Company, reference is made to all other reports and information that we have filed with the Commission, which may be inspected and copied at the public reference facilities of the Commission in Washington D.C. Copies of such material can be obtained from the Public Reference Section of the Commission, 100 F Street, N.E. Room 1580, Washington, D.C. 20549, telephone 1-800-SEC-0330, at prescribed rates and are available on the Internet at http://www.sec.gov. The Commission maintains an Internet site that contains reports, proxy and information statements and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the Commission. Visitors to the site may access such information by searching the EDGAR database.

#### Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

Not required for a smaller reporting company.

#### Item 2. Properties.

The Company leases for \$105,480 per year 12,000 square feet of office space as its corporate office and principal place of business of Edict Systems, Inc. in Dayton, Ohio. The lease expires on September 30, 2011, except that the Company has two three-year renewal options through 2017.

The Company leases for \$67,040 per year 4,170 square feet of office space as the principal place of business of Merkur Group, Inc. in West Chester, Ohio. The lease expires on March 31,2012.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

None.

# Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders. None.

#### **PART II**

#### Item 5. Market for Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The following table sets forth, during the periods indicated, the range of high and low bid prices for the Company s Common Stock (symbol AVEE ) on the OTC Bulletin Board. Such high and low bid information reflects inter-dealer quotes, without retail mark-up, mark-down or commissions and may not represent actual transactions.

	Divid	dends	High	Low
2008				
Quarter ended:				
March 31, 2008	\$	.00	2.100	1.650
June 30, 2008		.00	2.250	1.050
September 30, 2008		.00	1.990	1.500
December 31, 2008		.14	1.700	1.000
2007				
Quarter ended:				
March 31, 2007		.00	2.450	1.810
June 30, 2007		.00	2.235	1.220
September 30, 2007		.00	1.850	1.350
December 31, 2007		.00	2.740	1.450

As of February 18, 2009 the Company had approximately 303 registered holders of record of common stock. Some of those registered holders are brokers who are holding shares for multiple clients in street name. Accordingly, the Company believes the number of actual shareholders of common stock exceeds the number of registered holders of record.

The Company paid a special cash dividend in the fourth quarter of 2008 of \$.14 per common share. This was the first and only dividend Advant-e Corporation has paid. Dividend payments are at the discretion of the Board of Directors and depend upon the Company s earnings, financial position, general economic conditions and other pertinent factors. Presently the Company intends to reinvest future earnings, if any, back into the Company to fund its development and expansion.

No securities are authorized for issuance under share-based compensation plans.

#### **Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**

On August 9, 2007 the Company publicly announced, in a press release and in Form 8-K filed with the SEC, a share repurchase program for up to \$750,000 in fair market value of the Company s common stock through June 30, 2008 on the open market or in privately negotiated transactions. On June 30, 2008 the Company s Board of Directors authorized an extension of its share repurchase program through December 31, 2009. The following table summarizes the share repurchase program by month during the fourth quarter of 2008:

	(a) Total number of	(b)		Total number of shares purchased as part of publicly announced plans or	Approximate dollar value of shares that may yet be purchased under the plans or	
Period	shares purchased	share programs		programs	programs	
October 2008	36,650	\$	1.50	36,650	\$	531,444
November 2008	5,392	\$	1.39	5,392	\$	523,934
Total	42,042	\$	1.41	42,042	\$	523,934

#### Item 6. Selected Financial Data

Not required for a smaller reporting company.

# Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. Forward looking statements

This Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements, including statements regarding the expectations of future operations. For this purpose, any statements contained in this Form 10-K that are not statements of historical fact may be deemed to be forward-looking statements. Without limiting the foregoing, words such as may, will, expect, believe, anticipate, estimate, or continue or comparable terminology are intendidentify forward-looking statements. These statements by their nature involve substantial risks and uncertainties, and actual results may differ materially depending on a variety of factors, many of which are not within the Company s control. These factors include, but are not limited to, economic conditions generally and in the industries in which the Company may participate, competition within the chosen industry, including competition from much larger competitors, technological advances, and the failure to successfully develop business relationships. In light of these risks and uncertainties, you are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. The Company acknowledges that the safe harbor contained in the Litigation Reform Act of 1995 is not applicable to the disclosure in this Form 10-K.

This item should be read in conjunction with 
Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data 
and other items contained elsewhere in this 
Form 10-K.

#### 2008 Results Compared to 2007

#### **Executive Summary**

Results of operations for Advant-e Corporation and Subsidiaries include results for Merkur Group, Inc., acquired on July 2, 2007, for a full year in 2008, but only six months in 2007.

Revenue for 2008 of \$8,869,169 increased by \$1,706,840, or 24%, compared to revenue for 2007.

Revenue in 2008 from Edict Systems, Inc., which provides internet-based EDI solutions increased by \$659,371, or 11%, compared to revenue for 2007.

Revenue in 2008 from Merkur Group, Inc., which sells software and related products and services, increased by \$1,047,469, or 96%, compared to 2007 (Revenue in 2007 for Merkur Group, Inc. includes revenue from the date of acquisition, July 2, 2007, to December 31, 2007).

Net income for 2008 of \$1,063,790 increased \$41,111, or 4%, compared to net income in 2007.

Net income in 2008 from Edict Systems, Inc. of \$996,958 increased by \$5,471 compared to 2007. Net income was favorably affected by the revenue increase, but was adversely affected by increased personnel related costs and expenses primarily for technical staffing, and losses on short-term investments.

Net income in 2008 from Merkur Group, Inc. of \$121,048 increased by \$62,748 compared to 2007, before amortization of intangible assets resulting from the acquisition of Merkur Group, Inc. of \$54,216 (\$27,108 in 2007).

The Company generated net cash flows from operating activities of \$1,427,412 in 2008 compared to \$1,320,448 in 2007.

The Company paid a special dividend in the fourth quarter of 2008 of \$0.14 per share that totaled \$940,704.

In 2008 the Company paid \$151,066 for 101,096 shares purchased under its stock repurchase program.

#### Revenue

Revenue in 2008 increased by \$1,706,840, or 24%, compared to revenue in 2007 as indicated in the table below. Revenue from Edict Systems internet-based products and services increased by 11% and comprised 76% of total revenue in 2008. Revenue from Merkur Group software-based products and services increased by 96% because 2007 includes Merkur operations for only six months from July 2, 2007, the acquisition date of Merkur Group, Inc.

	2008		200	)7	Increase		
	Amount	% of Total	Amount	% of Total	Amount	% of Total	
Edict Systems internet-based products and services	\$ 6,734,976	76	\$ 6,075,605	85	\$ 659,371	11	
Merkur Group software-based products and services	2,134,193	24	1,086,724	15	1,047,469	96	
Total revenue	\$ 8,869,169	100	\$ 7,162,329	100	\$ 1,706,840	24	

Revenue in 2008 and 2007 from the sale of Internet based Electronic Data Interchange (EDI) products and services sold by Edict Systems are shown in the table below:

	2008		200	)7	Increase (Decrease)	
	Amount	% of Total	Amount	% of Total	Amount	% of Total
Web EDI						
GroceryEC	\$ 4,636,280	69	\$ 4,211,541	69	\$ 424,739	10
AutomotiveEC	614,176	9	497,874	8	116,302	23
Other Web EDI	215,630	3	225,236	4	(9,606)	(4)
EnterpriseEC	1,175,178	17	1,047,227	17	127,951	12
Other products and services	93,712	2	93,727	2	(15)	
Total	\$ 6,734,976	100	\$ 6,075,605	100	\$ 659,371	11

Revenue from the grocery industry comprised 69% of the Company s Internet-based revenue in 2008 and 2007, and grew by \$424,739, or 10%, in 2008 compared to 2007. The Company s presence in the grocery industry remains strong and continues to grow.

Revenue from the automotive industry, comprising 9% of the Company s Internet-based revenue in 2008, grew by \$116,302, or 23%, in 2008 compared to 2007. The Company is continuing to build on its automotive industry base.

Revenue from EnterprisEC, the Company s Internet value added network, comprising 17% of the Company s Internet-based revenue in 2008, grew by \$127,951, or 12%, in 2008 compared to 2007. The growth occurred despite the constraints of pricing pressures and wide availability of alternate connectivity options. Both constraints are expected to continue in the foreseeable future.

The Company expects to allocate resources in 2009 to strengthen its position of serving small and medium sized suppliers of large grocery store companies; to develop additional business in the automotive industries; and to continue its efforts to diversify and expand into other industries such as healthcare, manufacturing, and consumer packaged products.

Revenue from the sale of software based products and services sold by Merkur Group in 2008 and 2007 are summarized below:

	200	<b>)</b> 8	2007	(a)	Increase (Decrease)		
	Amount	% of Total	Amount	% of Total	Amount	% of Total	
Software	\$ 641,706	30	\$ 372,992	35	\$ 268,714	72	
Hardware	177,709	8	55,509	5	122,200	220	
Maintenance contracts	919,413	43	385,015	35	534,398	139	
Professional services	395,365	19	273,208	25	122,157	45	
Total	\$ 2,134,193	100	\$ 1,086,724	100	\$ 1,047,469	96	

(a) The revenue for 2007 includes revenue only for the six-month period from July 2, 2007, the acquisition date of Merkur Group, Inc., through December 31, 2007.

#### Gross margin and cost of revenue

The Company s gross margin, as a percent of revenue, declined from 65% in 2007 to 61% in 2008. This decline occurred primarily due to the following:

Gross margins of software and related products sold by Merkur Group are less than gross margins of Internet based products and services sold by Edict Systems. Results of operations for 2008 included revenue from Merkur Group at these relatively lower grow margins for a full year, compared to only six months in 2007, for the period from July 2, 2007 to December 31, 2007.

Edict Systems incurred increased personnel related costs, primarily pertaining to the technical staff, that included additional personnel, salary increases for key personnel, and benefits increases.

Edict Systems incurred increased deprecation charges related to ongoing computer systems infrastructure improvements and increased amortization of software.

Merkur Group sold more hardware in 2008 than in 2007 at margins that were lower than Merkur s average gross margin for its other products, and at 2008 margins that were less than the 2007 margins for hardware.

Cost of revenue increased by \$977, 280. Increases of \$647,007 were directly attributable to the inclusion of Merkur Group operations in 2008 for a full year compared to six months in 2007. Increases of \$330,813 were attributable to expenditures by Edict Systems primarily for salaries and benefits for technical personnel and depreciation related to ongoing infrastructure improvements.

#### Marketing, general and administrative expenses

Marketing, general and administrative expenses increased by \$558,198, or 18%, in 2008 compared to 2007, due primarily to the incremental expense incurred by Merkur Group in 2008 of \$363,759 and increased personnel related costs and other administrative costs primarily related to increased revenue.

#### Other income (expense), net

Other income (expense) net, detailed in the table below, decreased by \$108,132 in 2008 compared to 2007. The decrease was due primarily to losses on short-term investments in 2008 of \$62,052, compared to income from short-term investments of \$17,912 in 2007, and due to declining interest rates earned on cash and cash equivalents in 2008 compared to 2007.

			Increase
	2008	2007	(Decrease)
Interest income earned on cash and cash equivalents	\$ 36,782	65,704	(28,922)
Income (loss) on short-term investments	(62,052)	17,912	(79,964)
Interest expense	(9,244)	(6,185)	(3,059)
Miscellaneous	3,813		3,813
	\$ (30,701)	77,431	(108, 132)

#### Liquidity and capital resources

Cash and cash equivalents increased by \$50,558 in 2008 to a balance of \$2,090,005 at December 31, 2008. The Company generated net cash flows from operating activities of \$1,427,412. Cash and cash equivalents were used to pay dividends of \$940,704 (a special dividend of \$0.14 per share), purchase property and equipment, primarily computer systems infrastructure enhancements, of \$285,084, and purchase 101,096 shares of the Company s stock pursuant to the Company s stock repurchase program for \$151,066.

Short-term investments decreased by \$59,430 due primarily to losses on those investments, primarily common stocks.

Shareholders equity decreased by \$27,980 to a total of \$4,446,773 at December 31, 2008. While 2008 net income was \$1,063,790, the Company paid dividends of \$940,704 and purchased its own shares, pursuant to its stock repurchase program, for \$151,066.

Management believes that the Company will have sufficient financial resources, including cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments at December 31, 2008, and cash generated from operations in 2009, to meet business requirements for the next 12 months, including capital expenditures for the Company s computer hardware and software infrastructure, new product development, working capital requirements, new sales and marketing efforts, and any personnel additions.

#### Changes in Consolidated Balance Sheet from December 31, 2007 to December 31, 2008

Some balance sheet changes that occurred in 2008 that are not described elsewhere in this Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations are described below:

Accounts receivable decreased \$106,146 due primarily to reduced revenues from Merkur in the last part of 2008 compared with the same period in 2007 and improved collection of Merkur customer accounts.

Prepaid software maintenance costs decreased by \$27,591 and deferred revenue decreased by \$61,416 as billings for software maintenance and support contracts declined in the last six months of 2008 compared to 2007 related directly to a corresponding decline in software license sales. Revenue and costs related to software maintenance and support contracts is recognized over the life of the maintenance support contract period, generally twelve months.

Software development costs, net decreased by \$81,785 as a result of amortization expense.

Other intangible assets decreased \$84,712 due to the amortization of the costs associated with the purchase of Merkur Group on July 2, 2007.

#### Capitalized development costs

The following table sets forth the cost and accumulated amortization of the products comprising the Software Development Costs asset at December 31, 2008:

		Accumulated	
Product	Cost	Amortization	Net
Web EDI, new version	\$ 229,990	124,579	105,411
Validate EC	15,363	8,321	7,042
Total	\$ 245,353	132,900	112,453

The unamortized costs relate exclusively to internal use software and costs associated with website development and related enhancements.

The ongoing assessment of recoverability of capitalized software development costs requires considerable judgment by management with respect to certain external factors, including, but not limited to, anticipated future revenues, estimated economic life and changes in software and hardware technologies. Impairment of asset value is considered whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable.

#### **Fourth Quarter Results**

The Company s operating results in the fourth quarter of 2008 compared to the fourth quarter of 2007 are indicated in the following table:

	Fourth Quarter 2008	Fourth Quarter 2007
Revenue	\$ 2,156,415	2,086,701
Cost of revenue	860,693	688,790
Gross margin	1,295,722	2 1,397,911
Marketing, general and administrative expenses	835,564	916,305
Operating income	460,158	481,606
Other income (expense), net	(28,460	0) 4,174
Income before income taxes	431,698	485,780
Income tax expense	159,522	2 171,703
Net income	\$ 272,176	314,077
Earnings per share basic and diluted	\$ 0.04	0.04

#### Revenue

Fourth quarter 2008 revenue increased by \$69,714, or 3%, compared to the fourth quarter 2007. While revenue from internet-based subscription services sold by Edict Systems increased in the fourth quarter of 2008 by \$108,032, or 7%, compared to the fourth quarter of 2007, revenue from the software and related products and services of Merkur Group decreased in the fourth quarter of 2008 by \$38,318, or 3%, compared to the fourth quarter of 2007. Merkur Group s revenue is heavily reliant on the sale of non-recurring new software license sales. Due to the current decline in general business and economic conditions, many companies are deferring purchasing decisions, negatively impacting Merkur s revenue.

#### Gross margin and cost of revenue

The Company s gross margin, as a percent of revenue, was 60% in the fourth quarter of 2008 compared to 67% in 2007. The gross margin decline was due primarily to increased personnel-related Edict Systems technical staff costs.

Marketing, general and administrative expenses

Marketing, general and administrative expenses decreased by \$80,741 primarily due to reduced personnel costs.

14

Other income (expense), net

Other income (expense), net decreased in 2008 by \$32,634 compared to 2007 due primarily to losses on short-term investments.

Net income

Net income declined by \$41,901 in 2008 compared to 2007 primarily due to the decline in Merkur Group revenue, increased personnel-related Edict Systems technical staff costs, and losses on short-term investments.

#### **Critical Accounting Estimates and Policies**

#### Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes revenues in accordance with the Securities Exchange Commission Staff Accounting Bulletin 101 (SAB 101), which requires the Company to recognize revenue when, in addition to other criteria, delivery has occurred or services have been rendered.

Revenues from Internet-based products and services are comprised of four components account activation and trading partner set-up fees, monthly subscription fees, usage-based transactional fees and customer payments for the Company s development of applications designed to meet specific customer specifications.

Revenues earned from account activation and trading partner set-up fees are recognized after the Company performs consultative work required in order to establish an electronic trading partnership between the customer and their desired trading partners. Trading partnerships, once established, require no ongoing effort on the part of the Company and customers are able to utilize the electronic trading partnerships either directly with their customers or via a service provider other than the Company.

Revenue from monthly subscription fees is recognized over the period to which the subscription applies.

Revenue from usage based transaction fees is recognized in the period in which the transactions are processed.

Revenue from customer payments for the Company s development of applications designed to meet specific customer specifications is recognized over the contract period, generally twelve months.

Revenue from the sale of software and related products is recognized upon delivery of the software to the customer when title and risk of loss are transferred. The Company follows the guidance provided in Emerging Issues Task Force Abstract (EITF) No. 99-19, Reporting Revenue Gross as a Principal versus Net as an Agent. Based upon this guidance the Company records revenue from the sale of software and related products at gross, and the related software purchases are included in cost of sales. Customers have a 30-day period in which they can choose to accept or return the software. Historically, customer returns have not been significant.

Revenue from maintenance contracts is recognized over the life of the maintenance and support contract period, generally twelve months. Revenue from professional services is recognized upon performance of those services.

#### Software Development Costs

The Company accounts for the costs of computer software that it develops for internal use and costs associated with operation of its web sites in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants Statement of Position (SOP) 98-1, Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software Developed or Obtained for Internal Use and Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) No. 00-2. Accounting for Web Site Development Costs. Such capitalized costs represent solely the salaries and benefits of employees working on the graphics and content development stages, or adding functionality or features. In accordance with SOP 98-1 and EITF No. 00-2, overhead, general and administrative and training costs are not capitalized. The Company accounts for the costs of computer software that it sells, leases and markets as a separate product in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 86, Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software to be Sold, Leased or Otherwise Marketed. Capitalized costs are amortized by the straight-line method over the remaining estimated economic lives of the software application, generally three years, and are reported at the lower of unamortized cost or net realizable value.

The ongoing assessment of recoverability of capitalized software development costs requires considerable judgment by management with respect to certain external factors, including, but not limited to, anticipated future revenues, estimated economic life and changes in software and hardware technologies. Impairment of asset value is considered whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable.

#### Software Maintenance Costs

Prepaid software maintenance costs represent amounts paid to the primary software supplier of Merkur Group, Inc. for providing program upgrades and software modifications to remediate programming errors during the lives of the related customer maintenance and support contracts. These costs are charged to expense over the lives of the maintenance and support contract periods, generally twelve months.

#### Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2008, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Staff Position FSP No. 157-2, Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157, which delayed the effective date of SFAS 157 for certain non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities to fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years. Non-financial assets include the Company s goodwill and other intangible assets. The Company does not have any non-financial liabilities. The Company has deferred the adoption of SFAS 157 with regards to non-financial assets and liabilities in accordance with FSP No. 157-2. The Company believes the adoption of this standard will not have a material impact on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

In October 2008, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Staff Position (FSP) No. 157-3 Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset When the Market for That Asset Is Not Active (FSP 157-3). FSP 157-3 clarifies the application of SFAS No. 157 in a market that is not active, and addresses application issues such as the use of internal assumptions when relevant observable data does not exist, the use of observable market information when the market is not active, and the use of market quotes when assessing the relevance of observable and unobservable data. FSP 157-3 is effective for all periods presented in accordance with SFAS No. 157. The adoption of this standard did not have a material impact on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

In June 2008, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Staff Position EITF (FSP EITF) 03-6-1, Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities. FSP EITF 03-6-1 clarifies that unvested share-based awards that contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents (whether paid or unpaid) are participating securities and must be included in the computation of Earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method. FSP EITF 03-6-1 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. The Company believes the adoption of this standard will not have a material impact on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

In May 2008, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued SFAS No. 162, The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles. SFAS No. 162 identifies a consistent framework for selecting accounting principles to be used in preparing financial statements that are presented in conformity with U.S. GAAP for nongovernmental entities. SFAS No. 162 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. The Company believes the adoption of this standard will not have a material impact on its overall results of operations, financial position, or cash flows.

In March 2008, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued SFAS No. 161, Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133. The standard requires additional quantitative disclosures (provided in tabular form) and qualitative disclosures for derivative instruments. The required disclosures include how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity s financial position, financial performance, and cash flows; relative volume of derivative activity; the objectives and strategies for using derivative instruments; the accounting treatment for those derivative instruments formally designated as the hedging instrument in a hedge relationship; and the existence and nature of credit-related contingent features for derivatives. SFAS No. 161 does not change the accounting treatment for derivative instruments. SFAS No. 161 is effective in the first quarter of fiscal year 2009. The Company believes the adoption of this standard will not have a material impact on the Company s consolidated financial statements

In December 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 141 (revised 2007), Business Combinations. Under this revised statement, an entity is required to recognize the assets acquired, liabilities assumed, contractual contingencies, and contingent consideration at their fair value on the acquisition date. It further requires that acquisition-related costs are recognized separately from the acquisition and are expensed as incurred, restructuring costs generally are expensed in periods subsequent to the acquisition date, and changes in accounting for deferred tax asset valuation allowances and acquired income tax uncertainties after the measurement period impact income tax expense. In addition, acquired in-process research and development is capitalized as an intangible asset and amortized over its estimated useful life. The Company is required to adopt this statement in the first quarter of 2009, thereby changing the Company is accounting treatment for business combinations on a prospective basis beginning in 2009.

In December 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued SFAS No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements an amendment of ARB No. 51. SFAS No. 160 changes the accounting and reporting for minority interests, which will be recharacterized as non-controlling interests and classified as a component of equity. SFAS No. 160 is effective for the Company on a prospective basis for business combinations with an acquisition date beginning in the first quarter of fiscal year 2009. The Company believes the adoption of this standard will not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

#### Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

Not required for a smaller reporting company.

# Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data. List of Financial Statements

Report of J.D. Cloud & Co. L.L.P., Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2008 and 2007

Consolidated Statements of Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2008 and 2007

Consolidated Statements of Shareholders Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2008 and 2007

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2008 and 2007

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

#### REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders

Advant-e Corporation and Subsidiaries

Dayton, Ohio

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Advant-e Corporation and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, and the related consolidated statements of income, shareholders equity, and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Advant-e Corporation and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with U. S. generally accepted accounting principles.

/s/ J.D. Cloud & Co. L.L.P. J.D. Cloud & Co. L.L.P. Certified Public Accountants Cincinnati, Ohio

February 26, 2009

# CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

# December 31, 2008 and 2007

	2008	2007
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 2,090,005	2,039,447
Short-term investments	232,721	292,151
Accounts receivable, net	699,095	805,241
Prepaid software maintenance costs	156,027	183,618
Prepaid expenses and deposits	74,361	68,930
Prepaid income taxes	16,837	
Deferred income taxes	152,156	70,554
Total current assets	3,421,202	3,459,941
Software development costs, net	112,453	194,238
Property and equipment, net	434,645	433,658
Goodwill	1,474,615	1,450,368
Other intangible assets, net	413,932	498,644
	- ,	,-
Total assets	\$ 5,856,847	6,036,849
Total assets	φ 5,650,647	0,030,049
Liabilities and Shareholders Equity		
Current liabilities:	Φ 207.274	211 720
Accounts payable	\$ 207,374	211,738
Accrued salaries and other expenses	283,360	273,210
Income taxes payable	<b>702 (7</b>	112,700
Deferred revenue	583,677	645,093
Total current liabilities	1,074,411	1,242,741
Deferred income taxes	335,663	319,355
Total liabilities	1,410,074	1,562,096
Shareholders equity:		
Common stock, \$.001 par value; 20,000,000 shares authorized; 6,738,261 shares issued and 6,713,919 shares		
outstanding at December 31, 2008; 6,875,015 shares issued and 6,815,015 shares outstanding at December 31,		
2007	6,738	6,875
Paid-in capital	2,020,206	2,210,200
Retained earnings	2,455,764	2,332,678
Treasury stock, at cost, 24,342 and 60,000 shares at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively	(35,935)	(75,000)
	(00,700)	(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Total shareholders equity	4,446,773	4,474,753
Total shareholders equity	4,440,773	+,+1+,133
m - 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	φ. <b>σ.οσ.</b> σ.ο.:=	(02(01)
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$ 5,856,847	6,036,849

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

#### CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

# For the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007

	2008	2007
Revenue	\$ 8,869,169	7,162,329
Cost of revenue	3,476,670	2,498,850
Gross margin	5,392,499	4,663,479
Marketing, general and administrative expenses	3,705,542	3,147,344
Operating income	1,686,957	1,516,135
Other income (expense), net	(30,701)	77,431
Income before income taxes	1,656,256	1,593,566
Income tax expense	592,466	570,887
Net income	\$ 1,063,790	1,022,679
Earnings per share basic and diluted	\$ 0.16	0.15
Weighted average shares outstanding basic and diluted	6,785,794	6,655,808

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

# For the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007

	<b>Outstanding Common Stock</b>			Paid-in	Retained	Treasury	
	Shares	A	mount	Capital	Earnings	Stock	Total
Balance January 1, 2007	6,478,714	\$	6,478	1,641,906	1,309,999		2,958,383
Net income					1,022,679		1,022,679
Issuance of shares for the purchase of Merkur Group, Inc.	396,301		397	568,294			568,691
Purchase of shares	(60,000)					(75,000)	(75,000)
Balance December 31, 2007	6,815,015		6,875	2,210,200	2,332,678	(75,000)	4,474,753
Net income					1,063,790		1,063,790
Cash dividends (\$0.14 per share)					(940,704)		(940,704)
Purchase of shares	(101,096)					(151,066)	(151,066)
Retirement of shares			(137)	(189,994)		190,131	
Balance December 31, 2008	6,713,919	\$	6,738	2,020,206	2,455,764	(35,935)	4,446,773

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

# For the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007

	2008	2007
Cash flows from operating activities:	¢ 1.072.700	1 022 (70
Net income	\$ 1,063,790	1,022,679
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash flows from operating activities:	294.007	229 614
Depreciation  Amortization of coftware development costs	284,097	228,614
Amortization of software development costs	81,785	68,746
Amortization of other intangible assets  Deferred income taxes	84,712 (65,294)	42,356 (69,732)
Purchases of trading securities Proceeds from sale of trading securities	(264,182) 258,457	(187,218)
Net realized (gain) loss on sales of securities	952	183,694
Net unrealized (gain) loss on trading securities  Net unrealized (gain) loss on trading securities		(2,438)
	64,203	(11,755)
Increase (decrease) in cash arising from changes in assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisition:	106 146	(12.102)
Accounts receivable Prepaid software maintenance costs	106,146	(12,192)
	27,591	(11,306)
Prepaid expenses and deposits Prepaid income taxes	(5,431)	(2,182)
Accounts payable	(16,837)	(41.094)
	(4,364)	(41,084)
Accrued salaries and other expenses	10,150	47,487
Income taxes payable Deferred revenue	(136,947)	3,058
Deferred revenue	(61,416)	61,721
Net cash flows from operating activities	1,427,412	1,320,448
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchases of property and equipment	(285,084)	(242,125)
Software development costs	(203,004)	(15,363)
Purchase of Merkur Group, Inc.		(998,295)
Furchase of Merkul Group, Inc.		(990,293)
Net cash flows from investing activities	(285,084)	(1,255,783)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Net payments on bank line of credit		(160,000)
Purchase of treasury shares	(151,066)	(75,000)
Dividends paid	(940,704)	
•	, , ,	
Net cash flows from financing activities	(1,091,770)	(235,000)
Net cash hows from matering activities	(1,0)1,770)	(233,000)
	50.550	(170.225)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	50,558	(170,335)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	2,039,447	2,209,782
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$ 2,090,005	2,039,447
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow items:		
Income taxes paid	\$ 810,279	637,561
Non cash transactions:	, , , , , , , ,	,
Retirement of shares	\$ 190,131	
Issuance of shares for purchase of Merkur Group, Inc.	\$	568,692
The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.		-,

#### ADVANT-E CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### **December 31, 2008**

#### Note 1: Basis of Presentation, Organization and Other Matters

#### Nature of Operations

Advant-e Corporation through its wholly-owned subsidiaries, Edict Systems, Inc. and Merkur Group, Inc. (collectively, the Company ), develops, markets, resells, and hosts software and provides services that allow its customers to send and receive business documents electronically in standard and proprietary formats. Edict Systems, Inc. specializes in providing hosted Electronic Data Interchange solutions that utilize the Internet as the primary communications method. Customers use Edict Systems, Inc. solutions to connect with business partners, integrate data with internal systems, expand and manage electronic trading communities, and validate data via a hosted business rule service. Merkur Group, Inc. develops and resells software, provides professional services, and provides technical maintenance and support that enables customers to automate delivery and receipt of business documents. Merkur Group, Inc. provides proprietary software that integrates and connects large Supply Chain Management (SCM), Customer Relationship Management (CRM), and financial Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) systems with third party software that provides multiple delivery and document capture options. Customers consist of businesses across a number of industries throughout the United States and Canada.

#### Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Advant-e Corporation and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Edict Systems, Inc., throughout the periods covered by this form 10-K, and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Merkur Group, Inc., since the date of its acquisition by Advant-e Corporation on July 2, 2007. Inter-company accounts and transactions are eliminated in consolidation.

#### Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U. S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Significant estimates used in preparing these financial statements include those considered in the assessment of recoverability of capitalized software development costs, those used in the assessment of potential impairment of goodwill, and those used in recording prepaid software maintenance costs and deferred revenue. It is at least reasonably possible that the significant estimates used will change within the next year.

#### Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company classifies as cash equivalents all highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less.

#### Short-term Investments

Short-term investments are reported at fair value using available quoted market prices and consist of marketable equity and U. S. Treasury debt securities. These investments are classified as trading securities at December 31, 2008 and 2007. The Company uses the specific identification method to determine the cost of securities sold. The fair value of the Company s short-term investments declined \$42,191 to \$190,530 as of February 23, 2009.

#### Fair Value

On January 1, 2008, the Company adopted the required provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 157, Fair Value Measurements (SFAS No. 157). SFAS No. 157 establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy under SFAS No. 157 are as follows:

Level 1 Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for identical, unrestricted assets or liabilities;

Level 2 Quoted prices in markets that are not active, or inputs that are observable either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the asset or liability;

Level 3 Prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both significant to the fair value measurement and unobservable (i.e. supported by little or not market activity).

A financial instrument s level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

Assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis, excluding accrued interest components, consisted of marketable equity securities and treasury securities, classified as Short-term investments on the Consolidated Balance Sheet, as of December 31, 2008. The Company does not have any liabilities required to be reported in accordance with SFAS No. 157.

As of December 31, 2008, short-term investments are valued using quoted market prices and therefore categorized as level 1 fair value instruments

#### Accounts Receivable and Credit Policies

Accounts receivable are uncollateralized customer obligations due under normal trade terms generally requiring payment upon receipt of invoice or within thirty days.

Accounts receivable include amounts billed to customers and amounts that are unbilled at the end of the period for services that were performed before the end of the period. Customer account balances with invoices dated over 30 days old are considered delinquent.

The carrying amount of accounts receivable is reduced by a valuation allowance that reflects management s best estimate of the amounts that will not be collected. Management considers account balances that are over 90 days old as having a high probability of uncollectibility and generally includes those amounts in the valuation allowance. In addition, management individually reviews accounts receivable balances and, based on an assessment of current creditworthiness, estimates the account balances that will not be collected and includes those amounts, if any, in the valuation allowance.

The allowance for uncollectible accounts was \$26,500 at December 31, 2008 and \$10,000 at December 31, 2007.

#### Software Maintenance Costs

Prepaid software maintenance costs represent amounts paid to the primary software supplier of Merkur Group, Inc. for providing program upgrades and software modifications to remediate programming errors during the lives of the related customer maintenance and support contracts. These costs are charged to expense over the lives of the maintenance and support contract periods, generally twelve months.

#### Software Development Costs

The Company accounts for the costs of computer software that it develops for internal use and costs associated with operation of its web sites in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants Statement of Position (SOP) 98-1, Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software Developed or Obtained for Internal Use and Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) No. 00-2, Accounting for Web Site Development Costs. Such capitalized costs represent solely the salaries and benefits of employees working on the graphics and content development stages, or adding functionality or features. In accordance with SOP 98-1 and EITF No. 00-2, overhead, general and administrative and training costs are not capitalized. The Company accounts for the costs of computer software that it sells, leases and markets as a separate product in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 86, Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software to be Sold, Leased or Otherwise Marketed. Capitalized costs are amortized by the straight-line method over the remaining estimated economic lives of the software application, generally three years, and are reported at the lower of unamortized cost or net realizable value.

The unamortized costs relate exclusively to internal use software and costs associated with website development and related enhancements.

The ongoing assessment of recoverability of capitalized software development costs requires considerable judgment by management with respect to certain external factors, including, but not limited to, anticipated future revenues, estimated economic life and changes in software and hardware technologies. Impairment of asset value is considered whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable.

### Property and Equipment

Property and equipment is carried at cost. Depreciation is provided using straight line and accelerated methods over the estimated useful lives of the assets of three to seven years. Costs of normal maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred.

#### Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, net

Goodwill represents the excess of the Company s purchase price over the fair value of the net identifiable assets of Merkur Group, Inc., acquired on July 2, 2007.

Other intangible assets, which arose from the acquisition of Merkur Group, Inc., consist of contractual vendor relationships, customer relationships, and proprietary computer software. Intangible assets acquired in business acquisitions are recorded at fair values using the income or cost approach. The other intangible assets are amortized on a straight-line basis over their expected useful lives of five to seven years.

Management assesses goodwill related to the acquisition of Merkur Group, Inc. for impairment in accordance with provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting (SFAS) No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. Significant management judgment is required in assessing the impairment of goodwill. Management completed the required annual impairment test at the end of the second quarter of 2008 and determined that no impairment existed. Due to the weakening of the overall economy and general business conditions, management completed an additional impairment test at the end of 2008 and determined that no impairment existed.

#### Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes revenues in accordance with the Securities Exchange Commission Staff Accounting Bulletin 101 (SAB 101), which requires the Company to recognize revenue when, in addition to other criteria, delivery has occurred or services have been rendered.

Revenues from Internet-based products and services are comprised of four components account activation and trading partner set-up fees, monthly subscription fees, usage based transactional fees and customer payments for the Company s development of applications designed to meet specific customer specifications.

Revenues earned from account activation and trading partner set-up fees are recognized after the Company performs consultative work required in order to establish an electronic trading partnership between the customer and their desired trading partners. Trading partnerships, once established, require no ongoing effort on the part of the Company and customers are able to utilize the electronic trading partnerships either directly with their customers or via a service provider other than the Company.

Revenue from monthly subscription fees is recognized over the period to which the subscription applies.

Revenue from usage based transaction fees is recognized in the period in which the transactions are processed.

Revenue from customer payments for the Company s development of applications designed to meet specific customer specifications is recognized over the contract period, generally twelve months.

Revenue from the sale of software and related products is recognized upon delivery of the software to the customer when title and risk of loss are transferred. The Company follows the guidance provided in EITF No. 99-19, Reporting Revenue Gross as a Principal versus Net as an Agent. Based upon this guidance the Company records revenue from the sale of software and related products at gross, and the related software purchases are included in cost of sales. Customers have a 30-day period in which they can choose to accept or return the software. Historically, customer returns have not been significant.

Revenue from maintenance contracts is recognized over the life of the maintenance and support contract period, generally twelve months. Revenue from professional services is recognized upon performance of those services.

#### **Income Taxes**

Deferred income taxes are determined using the liability method of accounting. Under this method, the net deferred tax asset or liability is determined based on the enacted laws and rates applied to the temporary differences between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities.

The Company recognizes the tax benefits from uncertain tax positions only if it is more likely than not that the tax positions will be sustained on examination by taxing authorities, based on the technical merits of the position, in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for uncertainty in Income Taxes an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 (FIN 48). Tax years subsequent to 2004 remain subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service. At December 31, 2008 there were no unrecognized tax benefits.

#### Earnings Per Share

Earnings per share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the year.

#### Advertising

All advertising costs are expensed as incurred. Advertising was \$47,376 in 2008 and \$47,051 in 2007.

## Reclassifications

Certain prior period data presented in the financial statements has been reclassified to conform to current year presentation.

#### Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2008, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Staff Position FSP No. 157-2, Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157, which delayed the effective date of SFAS 157 for certain non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities to fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years. Non-financial assets include the Company s goodwill and other intangible assets. The Company does not have any non-financial liabilities. The Company has deferred the adoption of SFAS 157 with regards to non-financial assets and liabilities in accordance with FSP No. 157-2. The Company believes the adoption of this standard will not have a material impact on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

In October 2008, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Staff Position (FSP) No. 157-3 Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset When the Market for That Asset Is Not Active (FSP 157-3). FSP 157-3 clarifies the application of SFAS No. 157 in a market that is not active, and addresses application issues such as the use of internal assumptions when relevant observable data does not exist, the use of observable market information when the market is not active, and the use of market quotes when assessing the relevance of observable and unobservable data. FSP 157-3 is effective for all periods presented in accordance with SFAS No. 157. The adoption of this standard did not have a material impact on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

In June 2008, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Staff Position EITF (FSP EITF) 03-6-1, Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities. FSP EITF 03-6-1 clarifies that unvested share-based awards that contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents (whether paid or unpaid) are participating securities and must be included in the computation of Earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method. FSP EITF 03-6-1 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. The Company believes the adoption of this standard will not have a material impact on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

In May 2008, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued SFAS No. 162, The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles. SFAS No. 162 identifies a consistent framework for selecting accounting principles to be used in preparing financial statements that are presented in conformity with U.S. GAAP for nongovernmental entities. SFAS No. 162 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. The Company believes the adoption of this standard will not have a material impact on its overall results of operations, financial position, or cash flows.

In March 2008, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued SFAS No. 161, Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133. The standard requires additional quantitative disclosures (provided in tabular form) and qualitative disclosures for derivative instruments. The required disclosures include how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity s financial position, financial performance, and cash flows; relative volume of derivative activity; the objectives and strategies for using derivative instruments; the accounting treatment for those derivative instruments formally designated as the hedging instrument in a hedge relationship; and the existence and nature of credit-related contingent features for derivatives. SFAS No. 161 does not change the accounting treatment for derivative instruments. SFAS No. 161 is effective in the first quarter of fiscal year 2009. The Company believes the adoption of this standard will not have a material impact on the Company s consolidated financial statements

In December 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 141 (revised 2007), Business Combinations. Under this revised statement, an entity is required to recognize the assets acquired, liabilities assumed, contractual contingencies, and contingent consideration at their fair value on the acquisition date. It further requires that acquisition-related costs are recognized separately from the acquisition and are expensed as incurred, restructuring costs generally are expensed in periods subsequent to the acquisition date, and changes in accounting for deferred tax asset valuation allowances and acquired income tax uncertainties after the measurement period impact income tax expense. In addition, acquired in-process research and development is capitalized as an intangible asset and amortized over its estimated useful life. The Company is required to adopt this statement in the first quarter of 2009, thereby changing the Company is accounting treatment for business combinations on a prospective basis beginning in 2009.

In December 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued SFAS No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements an amendment of ARB No. 51. SFAS No. 160 changes the accounting and reporting for minority interests, which will be recharacterized as non-controlling interests and classified as a component of equity. SFAS No. 160 is effective for the Company on a prospective basis for business combinations with an acquisition date beginning in the first quarter of fiscal year 2009. The Company believes the adoption of this standard will not have a material impact on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

#### **Note 2: Acquisition**

On July 2, 2007, Advant-e Corporation acquired 100% of the outstanding shares of Merkur Group, Inc. The results of Merkur Group, Inc. s operations have been included in the consolidated financial statements since that date.

The aggregate purchase price of \$1,566,987 was comprised of cash payments of \$927,938 and issuance of 396,301 unregistered common shares valued at \$568,692 to the former shareholders of Merkur Group, Inc. and \$70,357 in related acquisition costs. The value of the unregistered common shares issued was determined based on a weighted-average market price of Advant-e Corporation s common shares over the 5-trading day period from June 28, 2007 through July 5, 2007.

The following table summarizes the fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the date of acquisition:

\$ 632,624
33,450
1,474,615
541,000
2,681,689
908,579
206,123
1,114,702
\$ 1,566,987

In 2008, The Company adjusted the allocations of the purchase price of Merkur Group, Inc. by \$24,247 that was not reflected at December 31, 2007 by increasing Goodwill and Liabilities assumed for federal income taxes payable for the period from January 1, 2007 to July 2, 2007, the acquisition date.

The goodwill related to this acquisition is not deductible for income tax purposes.

The following unaudited pro-forma information shows results of operations as if the acquisition had occurred at the beginning of those periods:

	Year Ended I	Year Ended December 31,		
	2008	2007		
Revenue	\$ 8,869,169	8,048,049		
Income before income taxes	1,656,256	1,568,886		
Net income	1,063,790	1,040,190		
Earnings per share	0.16	0.15		

**Note 3: Other Intangible Assets** 

Other intangible assets consist of the following at December 31:

	2008	2007
Contractual vendor relationships	\$ 130,000	130,000
Customer relationships	185,000	185,000
Proprietary computer software	226,000	226,000
	541,000	541,000
Accumulated amortization	(127,068)	(42,356)
Other intangible assets, net	\$ 413,932	498,644

Amortization of other intangible assets was \$84,712 and \$42,356 in 2008 and 2007, respectively. Estimated amortization of other intangible assets over the next five years is \$84,712 in each of the years 2009 through 2011, \$71,714 in 2012, and \$58,714 in 2013.

## **Note 4: Property and Equipment**

Property and equipment consists of the following at December 31:

	2008	2007
Computer and office equipment	\$ 1,188,077	915,012
Computer software	207,686	195,667
Leasehold improvements	34,290	34,290
	1,430,053	1,144,969
Accumulated depreciation	(995,408)	(711,311)
Property and equipment, net	\$ 434,645	433,658

## **Note 5: Income Taxes**

Income tax expense consists of the following:

	2008	2007
Current income tax expense:		
Federal	\$ 615,853	581,646
State	41,907	58,973
	657,760	640,619
Deferred income tax expense (benefit):		
Federal	(53,699)	(60,740)
State	(11,595)	(8,992)
	(65,294)	(69,732)
Total income tax expense	\$ 592,466	570,887

The following is a reconciliation of the income tax expense to the amount computed at the federal statutory rate of 34%:

	2008	2007
Income tax expense at Federal statutory rate	\$ 563,127	541,812
State income taxes	29,339	29,075
Income tax expense	\$ 592,466	570,887

Deferred income taxes consisted of the following at December 31:

	2008	2007
Deferred income tax assets:		
Accounts payable, accrued expenses, and other	\$	84,595
Allowance for uncollectible accounts	9,010	3,600
Net unrealized loss on short-term investments	12.044	

100 450	224,591
,	,
11,170	26,263
230,674	339,049
,	,
	927
38,234	71,868
	188,441
97,480	74,663
53,049	72,439
149,016	179,512
76,402	
414 181	587,850
414,101	307,030
\$ 183,507	248,801
	38,234 97,480 53,049 149,016

The Company had no material uncertain tax positions in 2008 or at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

#### Note 6: Line of Credit

At December 31, 2008, the Company has a bank line of credit totaling \$1,000,000 at the bank s prime commercial interest rate and is collateralized by substantially all of the assets of one of the Company s subsidiaries. Any borrowings are payable upon demand. The line of credit expires on May 5, 2009, when the Company intends to renew the line of credit. The line of credit is guaranteed by the Company s Chief Executive Officer. No borrowings are outstanding at December 31, 2008.

#### Note 7: Common Stock and Treasury Stock

Pursuant to the Company s stock repurchase program that expires on December 31, 2009, the Company purchased during 2008 101,096 shares for \$151,066, and during 2007 the Company purchased 60,000 shares for \$75,000. The Company subsequently retired 136,754 of those shares during 2008.

## **Note 8: Profit Sharing Plan**

The Company has 401(k) plans covering employees who choose to participate in the plans. Company contributions are discretionary. The Company s contributions to the plans were \$19,350 and \$17,422 in 2008 and 2007, respectively.

#### Note 9: Financial Instruments and Concentration of Risks

Financial instruments, which potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk, consist principally of accounts receivable and cash deposits in high quality financial institutions that include primarily large Ohio-based regional banks and also a local credit union and a brokerage firm. While bank deposits at times exceeded federally insured limits, the Company s current policy is to manage those accounts so that the balances do not exceed federally insured limits. Credit risk with respect to trade accounts receivable is limited due to the large number of primarily domestic customers who are geographically dispersed.

The carrying amounts of the Company s financial instruments at December 31, 2008 and 2007 approximate fair value.

The Company s wholly-owned subsidiary, Merkur Group, Inc., buys its software for resale predominantly from a single supplier. Purchases from this supplier totaled \$608,027 during 2008 and \$339,718 during the period from July 2, 2007 to December 31, 2007. Currently the Company has a contract with that supplier through June 30, 2010. Similar software is available from other sources.

#### **Note 10: Operating Leases**

The Company is obligated under leases for office space and equipment that expire at various dates through August 2012. Lease expense was \$177,686 and \$114,141 in 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Minimum annual lease payments under these non-cancellable operating lease agreements are as follows:

2009	\$ 180,103
2010	179,696
2011	150,083
2012	17,743
Total	\$ 527,625

# **Note 11: Segment Reporting**

The Company has two reportable segments: Internet-based electronic commerce document processing (Edict Systems, Inc.) and software-based electronic commerce document processing (Merkur Group, Inc.). The Company evaluates the performance of each reportable segment on Income before income taxes excluding the effects of acquisition-related amortization of other intangible assets and related income taxes. The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those for the Company. The Company s reportable segments are managed as separate business units.

The following is segment information for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively, and includes segment assets at December 31, 2008 and 2007:

#### Year Ended December 31, 2008

			Reconciling	Total
	Internet-based	Software	Items (a)	Consolidated
Revenue	\$ 6,734,976	2,137,152	(2,959)	8,869,169
Income before income taxes	1,555,430	185,538	(84,712)	1,656,256
Income tax expense	558,472	64,490	(30,496)	592,466
Net income	996,958	121,048	(54,216)	1,063,790
Segment assets	2,785,597	1,182,703	1,888,547	5,856,847
Expenditures for property and equipment	268,293	16,791		285,084
Depreciation and amortization	344,191	21,691	84,712	450,594
Interest expense		9,244		9,244
Interest income	31,154	5,629		36,783

#### Year Ended December 31, 2007

T-4-1

			Reconciling	Total
	Internet-based	Software	Items (a)	Consolidated
Revenue	\$ 6,075,605	1,088,025	(1,301)	7,162,329
Income before income taxes	1,544,510	91,412	(42,356)	1,593,566
Income tax expense	553,023	33,112	(15,248)	570,887
Net income	991,487	58,300	(27,108)	1,022,679
Segment assets	3,331,217	756,620	1,949,012	6,036,849
Expenditures for property and equipment	222,518	19,607		242,125
Depreciation and amortization	285,447	11,913	42,356	339,716
Interest expense	181	6,004		6,185
Interest income	65,227	477		65,704

(a) Reconciling items consist of goodwill, other intangible assets and related amortization in connection with the Merkur Group, Inc. acquisition.

## Note 12: Other Income (Expense), net

Other income (expense), net consists of the following:

	2008	2007
Interest income earned on cash and cash equivalents	\$ 36,782	65,704
Income (loss) on short-term investments	(62,052)	17,912
Interest expense	(9,244)	(6,185)
Miscellaneous	3,813	
	\$ (30,701)	77,431

## Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.

None.

## Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.

Not required for a smaller reporting company.

### Item 9A (T). Controls and Procedures. Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures.

We have conducted an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2008 pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective in timely alerting them to material information relating to the Company required to be included in our periodic Securities and Exchange Commission filings. No significant changes were made in our internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect these controls subsequent to the date of their evaluation.

#### Management s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting.

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) promulgated under the Exchange Act as a process designated by, or under the supervision of, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer and effected by our board of directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with U. S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Our management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control Integrated Framework. Based on our assessment, management believes that, as of December 31, 2008, our internal control over financial reporting is effective based on those criteria.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of our registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management s report was not subject to attestation by our registered public accounting firm pursuant to temporary rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission that permit us to provide only management s report in this annual report.

#### **Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting.**

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by this Report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information.

None.

#### **PART III**

#### Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers, and Corporate Governance.

The names of the directors and executive officers of Advant-e Corporation as of December 31, 2008, their ages and the nature of all positions with Advant-e Corporation presently held by them are as follows:

Jason K. Wadzinski 44 President/CEO/Chairman of the Board of Directors

James E. Lesch 63 Chief Financial Officer/Director

Jason K. Wadzinski is Chairman of the Board of Directors, CEO and President of Advant-e Corporation and has served in those capacities since the merger of Edict Systems, Inc. and Advant-e Corporation in 2000. He also serves as Chairman and CEO of Edict Systems, Inc. and has served in those capacities since he founded the Company in 1990. He has served as CEO of Merkur Group, Inc. since its acquisition on July 2, 2007.

James E. Lesch is Chief Financial Officer of Advant-e Corporation and has served in that capacity since January 1, 2005. He was appointed to the Company s Board of Directors on April 25, 2005. From September 30, 2002 through December 31, 2004 he served as Director of Accounting for Advant-e Corporation.

No family relationship exists among directors and executive officers. No legal proceedings occurred during the last five years that are material to an evaluation of the ability or integrity of any director or executive officer.

The Company s Code of Ethics for its principal executive officer, principal financial officer, or controller is available on the Company s website at www.advant-e.com. The Company will provide any person, without charge, a copy of the code of ethics upon receipt of a written request addressed to Advant-e Corporation, Attention: Investor Relations, 2680 Indian Ripple Rd. Dayton, OH 45440.

#### Item 11. Executive Compensation.

		Annual	Board of	Employer 401(k)	Total
Name and Title	Year	Salary	<b>Directors Fees</b>	Contribution	Compensation
Jason K. Wadzinski					
President/CEO/Chairman of the Board of Directors	2008	\$ 220,000	20,000	2,200	242,200
	2007	191,000	15,000	1,910	207,910
James E. Lesch					
Chief Financial Officer/Member of the Board of Directors	2008	110,000	20,000	1,100	131,100
	2007	110,000	15,000	1,100	126,100

Jason K. Wadzinski and James E. Lesch are the executive officers of the Company. No payments classified as long-term compensation, other annual compensation, or all other compensation were made. The Company has no long-term incentive plans.

Fees to Directors are paid at the discretion of the Board of Directors.

#### Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.

The following tables set forth as of March 27, 2009, the number and percentage of the outstanding shares of Common Stock which, according to the information supplied to the Company, were beneficially owned by (i) each person who is currently a director of the Company, (ii) each executive officer, (iii) all current directors and executive officers of the Company as a group, and (iv) each person who, to the knowledge of the Company, is the beneficial owner of more than 5% of the outstanding Common Stock.

Except as otherwise indicated, the persons named in the table have sole voting and dispositive power with respect to all shares beneficially owned, subject to community property laws where applicable.

(i) The following table has been completed for each Director of the Company who owns Advant-e shares:

Name and Address	Common Shares	Options	Percent of Class
Jason Wadzinski	3,658,508	0	54.5
C/O Edict Systems, Inc.			
2680 Indian Ripple Rd.			
Dayton OH 45440			

(ii) The following table has been completed for each Executive Officer of the Company who owns Advant-e shares:

Name and Address	Common Shares	Options	Percent of Class
Jason Wadzinski	3,658,508	0	54.5
C/O Edict Systems, Inc.			
2680 Indian Ripple Rd.			
Dayton, OH 45440			

(iii) The following table has been completed for all Directors and Executive Officers of the Company as a group:

	Common		Percent of
	Shares	Options	Class
All Officers and Directors as a Group (1 person)	3,658,508	0	54.5

(iv) The following table has been completed for those persons known to the Company as beneficial owners of five percent or more of the Company s voting Common Stock:

Name and Address	Common Shares	Options	Percent of Class
Jason Wadzinski	3,658,508	0	54.5
C/O Edict Systems, Inc.			
2680 Indian Ripple Rd.			
Dayton, OH 45440			
Total shares outstanding at March 27, 2009	6,710,919		

## Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.

The Company s two members of its Board of Directors, as indicated in item 10 above, are not independent as defined by NASDAQ Corporate Governance rules.

## Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services.

Aggregate fees billed for each of the last two fiscal years for professional services rendered by the Registrant s principal accountants were as follows:

	2008	2007
Audit Fees for the audit of the registrant s annual financial statements and review of financial statements included in the		
registrant s form 10-Q	\$ 65,320	58,501
Audit-Related Fees		
Audit fees for the audit of Merkur Group, Inc. for 2006 and 2005		51,780
Research and consultation on various financial reporting and accounting matters	9,603	5,150
Tax Fees preparation of federal and state income and personal property tax returns and tax advice on various issues	11,990	6,150
Total	\$ 86,913	121,581

Professional services rendered by the Registrant s principal accountants are pre-approved by the Company s Chief Executive Officer and majority shareholder.

#### PART IV

# Item 15. Exhibits.

Exhibit Number 2	<b>Description</b> Plan of purchase of Merkur Group, Inc. on July 2, 2007	Method of Filing Previously filed (E)
3(i)	Amended Certificate of Incorporation	Previously filed (A)
3(ii)	By-laws	Previously filed (B)

4	Instruments defining the rights of security holders including indentures	Previously filed (C)
14	Code of ethics	Previously filed (D)
21	Subsidiaries of the registrant	Filed herewith (F)
31	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications	Filed herewith
32	Section 1350 Certifications	Filed herewith

- (A) Filed with Amendment No. 2 to Form 10-SB filed as of October 13, 2000
- (B) Filed with Amendment No. 1 to Form 10-SB filed as of July 17, 2000
- (C) Filed with Amendment No. 2 to Form 10-SB filed as of October 13, 2000 and with Form 10-QSB for the quarter ended September 30, 2001 filed as of November 14, 2001
- (D) Filed with Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2004 filed as of March 24, 2005.
- (E) Filed with Form 8-K on July 2, 2007.
- (F) Filed with Form 10-SB filed as of July 11, 2000 and filed to add subsidiary purchased on July 2, 2007

The following financial statements have been filed as part of this report form 10-K:

Report of J.D. Cloud & Co. L.L.P., Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2008 and 2007

Consolidated Statements of Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2008 and 2007

Consolidated Statements of Shareholders Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2008 and 2007

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2008 and 2007

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

#### **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Advant-e Corporation

Registrant

March 27, 2009 By: /s/ Jason K. Wadzinski

Jason K. Wadzinski Chief Executive Officer

Chairman of the Board of Directors

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated:

March 27, 2009 By: /s/ Jason K. Wadzinski

Jason K. Wadzinski Chief Executive Officer

Chairman of the Board of Directors

March 27, 2009 By: /s/ James E. Lesch

James E. Lesch

Chief Financial Officer Principal Accounting Officer Member of the Board of Directors

Supplemental Information to be Furnished With Reports Filed Pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Act by Registrants Which

Have Not Registered Securities Pursuant to Section 12 of the Act

No annual report or proxy statement has been sent to security holders.