# SAMARITAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC

Form 10KSB April 15, 2005

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-KSB

(X) Annual Report Under SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004

( ) TRANSITIONAL REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_to\_\_\_

Commission file number 0-26775

Samaritan Pharmaceuticals Inc. (Name of small business issuer in its charter)

Nevada (State or other jurisdiction of Incorporation or organization) 88-0431538 (I.R.S.Employer Identification No.)

101 Convention Center Drive, Suite 310, Las Vegas, Nevada 89109
(Address of Principal Executive Offices) (Zip Code)

(702) 735-7001 Issuer's telephone number

Securities to be registered Pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:  $\label{eq:None} \mbox{None}$ 

Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act: Common Stock, \$.001 par value per share (Title of class)

Check whether the issuer (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or  $15\,(d)$  of the Exchange Act during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes |X| No |...|

Check if there is no disclosure of delinquent filers in response to Item 405 of Regulation S-B is not contained in this form, and no disclosure will be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-KSB or any amendment to this Form 10-KSB.

The registrant had no revenues in the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004. The aggregate market value of the issued voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the average bid and asked price of such common stock, as of March 31, 2005, was approximately \$48,800,803 based upon, as a reasonable assumption, that the issuer's shareholders list, standing alone, supplies an accurate presentation of those shareholders who are non affiliates, determined by the issuer to be those persons who are not officers, directors or owners of 10% or more of the common stock. The company had 133,282,603 common shares issued and outstanding as of March 31, 2005.

Transitional Small Business Disclosure Format (Check one): Yes\_\_ No \_X\_

# SAMARITAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. FORM 10-KSB

## GENERAL FORM FOR REGISTRATION OF SECURITIES

## Table of Contents

## Part I

Item	1.	Description of Business1
Item	2.	Description of Property8
Item	3.	Legal Proceedings8
Item	4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders8
		Part II
Item	5.	Market For Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters8
Item	6.	Management's Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operation10
Item	7.	Financial Statements
Item	8.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosures
Item	8A.	Controls and Procedures
Item	8B.	Other Information
		Part III
Item	9.	Directors, Executive Officers, Promoters and Control Persons; Compliance With Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act
Item	10.	Executive Compensation
Item	11.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters
Item	12.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions21
Item	13.	Exhibits
Item	14.	Principal Accountant Fees and Services22
Signa	tures.	23

## PART I

#### ITEM 1. BUSINESS.

Samaritan was formed in September 1994 and became public in October 1997. Our principal executive offices are located at 101 Convention Center Drive, Suite 310, Las Vegas, NV 89109, and our telephone number is (702) 735-7001.

Samaritan Pharmaceuticals is working to ensure a longer and better life, for patients suffering with AIDS, Alzheimer's, Cancer, and Cardiovascular disease. Samaritan is a pipeline-driven Biopharmaceutical company, with a clear focus on advancing early stage innovative drugs through clinical development, to become commercially valuable compounds.

#### Business Model

We believe that Samaritan fills a unique niche in that it brings commercial drug development expertise, and the financial resources to further University innovation; innovation that could be reluctantly left on a scientist's bench due to a University's lack of expertise, or a University's economic priorities.

Samaritan brings a business acumen to University discoveries, that includes an expertise in accomplishing Pre-IND FDA preclinicals, FDA regulatory affairs, patent applications (IP), NIH grants, clinical study drug production, chemistry, manufacturing and controls, stability studies, and human clinical trials "proof of concept studies" with all its related preclinical studies required to get FDA drug approval. In addition, Samaritan brings a specialized relationship based business development program to market and license its innovation with potential partners in the pharmaceutical industry.

Samaritan strives to develop drugs for indications that have a potential commercial value of at least \$300 million dollars a year to ultimately interest major pharmaceuticals in-licensing. Samaritan believes its collaborations will foster greater scientific creativity due to autonomy, and therefore advance drug candidates more rapidly by decreasing the average travel time from lab to patients.

#### Management Team

Samaritan's management team is focused on creating shareholder value. Together they have created a viable business model that it believes will be the uphill road map for Samaritan's future. The management team is collectively, bright, entrepreneurial, energetic, perseverant, and devoted full time to creating potential value drivers and shareholder value.

Samaritan has shaped its current pipeline of drugs by in-licensing innovative discoveries through its Samaritan Labs/Georgetown University collaboration; and

its strategic focus is to use this model, with other top tier Universities, to create a substantial pipeline and gain its own commercial presence.

Overview of Samaritan's Research Pipeline

Samaritan's proprietary HIV drug SP-01A headlines the Company's pipeline. SP-01A is an oral HIV entry-inhibitor that works by blocking the HIV viruses' ability to infect CD4+ cells. In Phase I/II clinical trials, SP-01A demonstrated "proof of concept" with significance in two crucial areas, viral load and improvement in quality of life. The drug was also observed to have a favorable safety profile and was well tolerated. The data suggests that SP-01A is a promising drug for patients experiencing "drug resistance." The innovative concept underlying the mechanism of action of SP-01A was the basis used to develop two new HIV drug candidates, SP-10 and SP-03, both with robust HIV entry inhibitor properties.

1

Samaritan's Alzheimer's technology features four promising therapeutics, SP-04, SP-04m, SP-08, and SP-233; two neural stem cell differentiation therapies, SP-sc4 and SP-sc7; a predictive diagnostic; and an animal model. The stem cell therapy drugs have been shown, in cell cultures and in animals, to awaken brain dormant stem cells and to transform them (differentiate) into new neurons. The Alzheimer's diagnostic is a simple blood test that has proven superior to the invasive spinal taps and MRIs currently used. Finally, the Alzheimer's animal model offers a model to rapidly screen and develop innovative drugs for Alzheimer's disease.

A promising cancer drug, SP-C007, and a breast cancer diagnostic highlight Samaritan's cancer program. The diagnostic provides a predictive prognosis of cancerous tumor aggressiveness with more than twice the accuracy rate than that of current technologies.

Samaritan's pipeline rounds out nicely with its cholesterol recognition peptide. This technology plays a role in binding and taking out cholesterol from LDL, thus offering an immediate response to hypercholesterolemia.

Samaritan's Drug Development Programs

Samaritan is currently advancing two distinct drug development programs:

#### AIDS/HIV Program

- o SP-01A for HIV Resistance (Oral Entry Inhibitor); PII/III Clinical trials 2005-2006
- o SP-10 for HIV Resistance (Oral Entry Inhibitor); Preclinicals being readied to apply for Investigational New Drug (IND) application with the FDA

#### Alzheimer's Program

- o SP-233 for Alzheimer's; Preclinicals being readied to apply for Investigational New Drug (IND) application with the FDA
- o SP-004 and SP-04m for Alzheimer's; Preclinicals being readied to apply for Investigational New Drug (IND) application with the FDA

#### AIDS/HIV Drug Development Program

Background: Currently approved antiretroviral medications target either the HIV viral reverse transcriptase (RT), Nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitors (NRTIs), Non-Nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitors (NNRTIs), and the viral

Protease Inhibitors (PIs), or they inhibit viral fusion with host cells (Fusion Inhibitors). A regimen using a combination of these agents is considered the standard of care and, when effective, results in suppression of the virus below the detection limits.

The long-term use of antiretroviral therapy is sometimes hampered by poor compliance due to pill burden, by the route of administration when the oral delivery is impossible, food restrictions, and major side effects that impact Quality of Life. Furthermore, one of the major reasons for therapy failure is the emergence of resistant virus against one or more of the anti-HIV medications or, to some extent, an entire class of drug (cross-resistance).

Enfuvirtide (Fuzeon(TM)) was recently approved as an HIV-1 fusion/entry inhibitor, a new class of treatment that inhibits the fusion of the HIV-1 virus to the CD4+ cell membrane by preventing the conformational changes required for this fusion. Since the mechanism of action of Enfuvirtide is different from other classes of anti-HIV medication, it is effective in patients who have failed other therapies due to emergence of resistant virus. However, a recent study demonstrated the emergence of resistance to Enfuvirtide due to different mutations of the viral glycoprotein gp41. The rapid rate of mutation of HIV-1 and conferred resistance of the virus to current therapies continues to necessitate a need for additional new therapeutic agents.

2

To that end, Samaritan has advanced a hypothesis regarding the immuno-modulating and anti-viral effects of SP-01A in the treatment of HIV infection.

SP-01A Hypothesis

Samaritan hypothesized that the HIV-associated dysregulation of cortisol levels may play a role in the pathophysiology of AIDS including modulation of cell-mediated immunity. Experimental evidence suggested that cortisol and its receptors were critically involved at some level in the regulation of immune function in HIV infection. Therefore, it was reasonable to hypothesize that treatment with a cortisol-modulating agent may improve the immune function in HIV-infected patients.

In pursuing this hypothesis, we discovered that the modulatory effect of SP-01A on the stress-induced corticosteroid increase may be related to a reduction of the expression of the cholesterol synthesis key enzyme HMG-CoA reductase mRNA leading to a reduction in cholesterol synthesis. Several observations have also established that inhibitors of cholesterol synthesis inhibit cell fusion formation induced by HIV-l and that drugs extracting cholesterol from the cellular membrane exert an anti-HIV-l effect, in-vitro.

Taken together, Samaritan's preclinical data appears to suggest that the effect of SP-01A on cholesterol synthesis leads to a modification of the cholesterol content of the host cell membrane, which, in turn, reduces the HIV-1 virus replication by rendering it much more difficult for the virus to enter and infect the cell.

SP-10 Second HIV Drug Development in Conjunction with SP-01A

SP-10 discovered in Samaritan Laboratories, Georgetown University, was a result of the Samaritan/Georgetown University collaboration. After its discovery, continuous HIV preclinical studies demonstrated that SP-10 exhibited antiviral properties by blocking the entry of HIV and multi drug-resistant HIV viruses into the cells. Moreover, SP-10 has shown very low toxicity, suggesting that it lacks serious side effects. Toxicity is a major problem with most current

antivirals, along with the development of drug resistance. So far, all of the current antivirals on the market are demonstrating drug resistance.

Since SP-01A is intended to be administered in combination with current antiviral therapy for the indication of HIV drug resistance; Samaritan decided to pursue SP-10 as overall antiviral for HIV that could be administered alone or in combination with the normally administered triple therapy for both HIV in general and drug resistance.

In pursuing the preclinical development of SP-01A as an antiviral for drug resistance, we decided, at the same time, to accomplish the same preclinical data required by the FDA for SP-01A for SP-10 although we intend to study SP-10 as a stand alone antiviral.

So far, preclinical data taken together for SP-01A and SP-10, suggests that these compounds reduce HIV virus replication by modifying the structure of the host cell membrane thus rendering it impossible for the HIV virus to enter and infect the cell. They both can be classified as oral entry inhibitors and could prove more effective than today's antiretroviral therapy because they would prevent HIV from invading healthy cells, rather than going after the virus when it might be too late as it has already inserted itself into these cells.

#### SP-01A Development

Proof of Concept/Phase I/II study

The safety and dose response of orally administered SP-01A in HIV-infected patients was assessed in a Phase I/II study. The study was an 8-week non-randomized, open-label study conducted at a single investigational site (AIDS Research Alliance, West Hollywood, CA) with 29 patients infected with HIV-1 who were being treated with concomitant triple combination antiretroviral therapy for at least 8 weeks prior to study initiation.

3

Upon submitting PI/II clinical study efficacy data, and upon evaluation by the FDA, Samaritan's IND/protocol was transferred to the Anti-Viral Division of FDA, which in turn requested further supporting antiviral preclinical studies, such as demonstration of anti-HIV-1 drug resistance and numerous other studies where SP-01A confirmed its results as an antiretroviral therapy. In addition, the inhibitory effect of SP-01A on the entry of HIV and multi drug resistant HIV viral strains reinforced our conviction of a new mechanism of action which targets the host cell, rather than the virus itself, rendering therefore SP-01A less susceptible, than any other drug on the market, to emerging resistances. Studies to investigate whether SP-01A induces resistance are underway.

#### SP-01 A Phase II/III Development

Samaritan expects to commence "A Multi-Center Double-Blind, Randomized, Placebo-Controlled Study of Orally Administered SP-01A as Monotherapy Treatment of HIV-Infected Patients" trial to demonstrate efficacy as an antiviral and gather dosage data in preparation for later stage PIII clinical trials, assuming positive outcome data.

Why Samaritan Choose Drug Resistance Indication

Resistance: The Ability of the HIV Virus to Mutate and Survive "We keep returning to the same issue: Whatever we throw at HIV, this simple but highly mutable virus finds a way to dodge it." This was the comment made by clinicians and researchers at The 11th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections (Boston; February 10 - 14, 2003). The subject was resistance; the ability of the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) to mutate such

that antiretroviral agents, designed to inhibit its replication, are no longer effective.

HIV Resistant Mutant Strains Are Evolving at a Record Pace From 1995 to 2000, the frequency of resistance mutations increased from 8.0 percent to 22.7 percent. Simultaneously, the frequency of multi-drug resistance increased from 3.8 percent to 10.2 percent.

#### Resistance Among Newly-Infected Patients

It is estimated that the prevalence of transmitted resistance to antiretroviral drugs is between 1% and 11% among persons in North America who are newly infected with HIV. The frequency of high-level resistance to one or more drugs increased from 3.4 percent during the period from 1995 to 1998, to 12.4 percent during the period from 1999 to 2000 and the frequency of multi-drug resistance increased from 1.1 percent to 6.2 percent. Moreover, phenotypic resistance has increased at least three-fold in five years: resistance to nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTI) - 269% increase; resistance to non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTI) - 374% increase; resistance to protease inhibitors (PI) - 2,000% increase.

Resistance Among Treatment-Experienced Patients An estimated 10% - 20% of all people with HIV/AIDS that undergo HAART therapy are treatment failures.

#### The Concerns of Resistance

There is a need for novel new therapies with the ability to suppress and maintain inhibition of viral replication upon initiation of therapy. This virus must not be able to develop resistance to this therapy. In lieu of such a therapy, there is a need for treatment modalities with the ability to maintain or even increase the efficacy of first and subsequent HAART regimens.

Drug Development Alzheimer's Program

Samaritan has a long-term commitment to developing innovative and unique treatments for Alzheimer's disease. It is widely recognized that new approaches are vitally needed to help suffering patients and their families in the fight against Alzheimer's disease. Samaritan believes the best strategy against Alzheimer's disease may be to prevent, reduce or slow its onset to spare patients, families and the healthcare system much of the tremendous burdens and tragedies that accompany this illness.

One of the major problems with the diagnosis and treatment of neurological diseases, such as Alzheimer's disease, is the inability of clinicians to determine the onset of disease. Recent evidence suggests that inflammation and increase in free radicals may play a large role in the specific cause of Alzheimer's disease.

4

### Alzheimer's Diagnostic

In Samaritan's quest to find an accurate diagnostic, inventors have surprisingly found that central nervous system DHEA is increased in patients having Alzheimer's, in contrast to decreased levels of DHEA found in the periphery (blood). Although this finding agrees with previous reports that DHEA levels in Alzheimer's patients are abnormally low and have been recommending taking DHEA supplements as a means of prevention, it suggests that brain DHEA formation is separate from peripheral DHEA levels, thus questioning the use of DHEA as a means of Alzheimer's disease prevention.

Samaritan inventors have identified a distinct mechanism for DHEA formation in

brain from precursors that they are able to follow in the blood; using a chemical reaction, that allows the prediction of DHEA levels in brain. This research has been the basis of Samaritan's Alzheimer's diagnostic test and granting of research funds from the National Institute of Health (NIH).

#### SP-233 Alzheimer's Drug

Excessive accumulation in the brain of the beta-amyloid peptide, due either to overproduction and/or decreased clearance and the formation of senile plaques, is one of the hallmarks of Alzheimer disease.

SP-233 was identified based on its ability to protect neurons against beta-amyloid-induced toxicity. SP-233 was shown to bind to beta-amyloid peptide, prevent its oligomerization and entry into neurons, protect neuronal mitochondria from beta-amyloid-induced damage, and maintain neuronal cell energy levels. Samaritan's preclinical data is suggesting SP-233 as a new unique approach for Alzheimer's disease therapy.

#### SP-233 Development

Detailed studies on the mechanism of action of SP-233, in rodent and human neurons, have been performed and the toxicity of the compound in "in-vitro" studies has been studied. Samaritan has performed the majority of the preclinical studies required to apply to the FDA for an IND and is currently performing toxicology studies.

#### SP-004/SP-04m Alzheimer's drug

Alzheimer's disease is characterized by multifaceted pathology involving a number of dysregulated molecular mechanisms that include, at least, changes in (i) cholinergic transmission, (ii) sigma-1 receptor-mediated pathways, and (iii) increased free radical production. Even though the improvement of the cholinergic transmission of the patients suffering from Alzheimer's is necessary (the basis of most of today's therapies), targeting acetyl cholinesterase solely is certainly not sufficient, in relationship to the numerous pathways involved in Alzheimer's disease pathology. Under the Georgetown University-Samaritan collaboration agreement a number of compounds were developed with the goal to express multiple properties allowing them to act simultaneously at two distinct targets, important in neuronal function, i.e., enzyme acetyl cholinesterase, and the sigma 1 receptor SP-004 and SP-04m efficacy has been validated in vitro, and in animal models, in vivo.

#### SP-004/SP-04m Development

Detailed studies on the mechanism of action of SP-004 and SP-04m have been performed and the toxicity of the compound in-vitro has been studied. Preclinical toxicology studies will be now undertaken required to apply to the FDA for an IND.

#### Alzheimer's Stem Cell Drugs

Samaritan is fast tracking its development of its neuronal stem cell therapy drugs (SP-sc4 and SP-sc7) that can induce dormant brain neuronal stem cells to differentiate rapidly into adult neuron cells as a novel treatment for Alzheimer's disease and other neurodegenerative disorders. Repairing brain damage by replacing the lost neurons and restoring neuronal function is certainly the most ambitious and exciting challenge physicians and scientists are currently facing. In that aspect, the concept of stem cell therapy is extremely promising. Hence, the access to the differentiation of stem cells into neurons may serve as a database of specialized cells for regenerative medicine as a treatment for neurodegenerative diseases and brain stroke.

Screening a database/collection of naturally occurring compounds, the Georgetown University group under the Samaritan/Georgetown University collaborative agreement identified compounds that were efficacious in inducing in-vitro and in rats' in vivo neural stem cell differentiation and neurogenesis. Further in vivo studies in animal models of neurodegenerative disease are in progress in order to validate the use of these compounds in regenerating the neuronal network from pre-existing stem cells in the adult.

#### Alzheimer's Rat Model

One of the limiting factors in screening for the compounds displaying neuroprotective properties is the lack of an animal model allowing for the rapid evaluation of the efficacy of the compounds under investigation. In our race to find a way to stop the spread of Alzheimer's disease, we decided to develop an animal model that mimics the human phenotype of Alzheimer's disease pathology. Considering the critical role of beta-amyloid peptide in Alzheimer's disease development, we undertook a non-transgenic approach to induce an "Alzheimer's like" neuropathology in rat, in which a proprietary formulation is administered directly in the brain of the rat producing a microenvironment resembling that which may occur in Alzheimer's disease brain. Four weeks treatment of the rats with the solution induced memory impairment accompanied by increased hyperphosphorylated Tau protein levels in CSF, both part of the Alzheimer's disease phenotype seen in patients. Further histopathology of the rat brains indicated the presence of neuritic plaques, tangles, neuronal loss and gliosis, typical features of postmortem Alzheimer's disease human brain specimens. Thus, this animal model rat in addition to provide us with the means to rapidly screen and develop therapeutic and diagnostic tools for controlling the disease it might also be a useful approach to unveil the mechanisms underlying the onset and progression of Alzheimer's disease.

Our Alzheimer's Rat Model is being validated by Samaritan for use to test the efficacy of SP compounds and is due for publication. It is also expected to be validated by other academic scientists specializing in this area of research in the near future.

## Planned Drug Development

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{SP}}\xspace-1000$  Cardiovascular cholesterol drug peptide that binds and removes cholesterol from LDL.

#### NIH Grants

1R41 NS048688 STTR (\$188,000) entitled "Plasma Diagnostic for Alzheimer's Disease". 1R41 AG024684 STTR (\$100,000) entitled "SP004, a o1 ligand with AchE inhibition properties".

Samaritan has in-licensed seventeen potential breakthrough discoveries from Georgetown University and has filed nineteen related patent applications to protect its growing pipeline of innovation. This pipeline is supported by a number of peer-reviewed journals that support its credentials.

### Peer Reviewed Publications

Neuropharmacology 2005; in press. "Identification, design, synthesis, and pharmacological activity of (4-ethyl-piperaz-1-yl)-phenylmethanone derivatives with neuroprotective properties against a-amyloid-induced toxicity"

Pharmacology 2005;74:65-78. "Local Anesthetic Procaine Protects Rat Pheochromocytoma PC12 Cells against beta-Amyloid-Induced Neurotoxicity"

Steroids 2004; 69:1-16. "Identification of naturally occurring spirostenols preventing beta-amyloid-induced neurotoxicity"

Analytical Biochemistry 2004; 324: 123-130. "A capillary as chromatography/mass

spectrometric method for the quantification of hydroxysteroids in human plasma"

6

Neurobiology of Aging 2003; 24:57-65. February "Oxidative Stress-mediated DHEA Formation in Alzheimer's Disease Pathology"

Journal of Pharmacology Experimental Therapeutics 2003; 307:1148-1157.

"Inhibition of Adrenal Corticol Steroid Formation by Procaine Is Mediated by Reduction of the cAMP-Induced 3-Hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A Reductase Messenger Ribonucleic Acid Levels"

Journal of Receptor & Signal Transduction Research 2003; 23:225-238 "Expression of Peripheral Benzodiazepine Receptor (PBR) in Human Tumors Relationship to Breast, Colorectal and Prostate Tumor Progression"

Journal of Neurochemistry 2002; 83: 1110-1119. "22R-Hydroxycholesterol Protects Neuronal Cells from a-Amyloid-Induced Cytoxicity by Binding to a-Amyloid Peptide"

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA 2001; 98: 1267-1272. "Cholesterol binding at the cholesterol recognition/interaction amino acid consensus (CRAC) of the peripheral type Benzodiazepine receptor and inhibition of steroidogenesis by an HIV TAT-CRAC peptide"

Molecular Endocrinology 2001; 15:2211-2228. "Identification, Localization, and Function in Steroidogenesis of PAP7: A Peripheral-Type Benzodiazepine Receptorand PKA (RIa) - Associated Protein"

Endocrinology 1998; 139:4991-4997. "Peripheral-Type Benzodiazepine Receptor Function in Cholesterol Transport. Identification of a Putative Cholesterol Recognition/Interaction Amino Acid Sequence and Consensus Pattern"

#### Collaborations

#### Georgetown University Collaboration

On June 8, 2001, Samaritan Pharmaceuticals signed a research collaboration with Georgetown University to further develop Samaritan's pipeline. Starting with the quarter beginning April 1, 2004, the research collaboration term was extended to 2014 and the budget between Georgetown University and Samaritan has been increased to a total of \$1,000,000 per year. The \$1,000,000 is paid by Samaritan over four quarterly payments of \$250,000, is unallocated, and covers the general research and development effort.

Under the agreement, Samaritan receives worldwide exclusive rights, to any novel therapeutic agents or diagnostic technologies that may result from the research collaboration. Dr. Vassilios Papadopoulos and Dr. Janet Greeson lead their team of eight research professionals (including five Ph.D. level research scientists) who have expertise in the fields of endocrinology, pharmacology, cell biology, organic and steroid chemistry, and computer modeling. We are not obligated to pay Georgetown any milestone payments. Georgetown is entitled to receive royalties based on our revenue from product sales and sublicenses, if any. Samaritan has, at its own expense, assumed responsibility for the process of seeking any regulatory approvals for and conducting clinical trials with respect to any licensed product or application of the licensed technology. Samaritan controls and has the financial responsibility for the prosecution and maintenance in respect to any patent rights related to the licensed technology.

#### Pharmaplaz, Ireland Collaboration

Samaritan Pharmaceuticals and Pharmaplaz, an Athlone, Ireland pharmaceutical company, based outside of Dublin, Ireland, entered into a broad strategic

collaboration agreement for the production and supply of Samaritan's lead compound SP-01A, and Samaritan's pipeline of drugs, that expands across a variety of therapeutic areas to include AIDS, Alzheimer's, cancer and cardiovascular disease. Under the terms of the alliance, Pharmaplaz will collaborate with Samaritan's pipeline development, scale up, and manufacturing requirements, while working on drug formulation and testing, production of pilot batches, development of analytical methods, drug specifications, process validations and drug optimization. The companies will also work together to secure regulatory approval by the FDA for selected products in the U.S. markets.

7

#### Employees

As of the date, hereof we have 8 employees that work directly for Samaritan Pharmaceuticals and 13 Ph.D. scientists that work under our collaboration agreement with Georgetown University. In addition, we make extensive use of consultants.

#### ITEM 2. DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY

The company's executive offices are currently located at 101 Convention Center Drive, Suite 310, Las Vegas, Nevada 89109. The 1,100 square foot office space is rented at a base rent of \$2,700 per month. In addition, under the Research Collaboration agreement between Georgetown University and Samaritan Pharmaceuticals, Georgetown provides office and laboratory space, which is located at Samaritan Research Laboratories, Biochemistry, and Molecular Biology Dept., Med/Dent Bldg, 3900 Reservoir Road NW, Washington DC 20057.

#### ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are, from time to time, involved in various legal proceedings in the ordinary course of our business. While it is impossible to predict accurately or to determine the eventual outcome of these matters, the Company believes that the outcome of these proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on the financial statements of the Company.

#### ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

No matters were submitted to a vote of security holders during the fourth quarter of 2004.

#### PART II

#### ITEM 5. MARKET FOR COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The Company's Common Stock is traded on the American Stock Exchange under the symbol "LIV". As of December 31, 2004, there were approximately nine hundred sixty-nine (969) holders of record of the Company's common stock. Certain of the shares of common stock are held in "street" name and may, therefore, be held by numerous beneficial owners. The Company has never paid a cash dividend on its common stock. The payment of dividends may be made at the discretion of the Board of Directors of the Company and will depend upon, among other things, the Company's operations, its capital requirements, and its overall financial condition. The following table sets forth the range of high and low closing quotations for our common stock for each quarter within the last two fiscal years. Such quotes reflect inter-dealer prices without retail mark-up, mark-down or commission and may not represent actual transactions. The quotations may be rounded for presentation.

Fiscal Years Ended

	December 31,	2004	December	31, 2003
	High	Low	High	Low
First Quarter Second Quarter Third Quarter Fourth Quarter	.72 1.69 1.40 1.30	.33 .51 .77	.20 .26 .90	.13 .15 .18

8

Equity Compensation Plan Information

Name of Plan	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options warrants, and rights	Weighted average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Number of securities remaining for future issuance
Equity compensation Plans approved By security holders (1)	20,924,930	\$0.56	5,248,646
Equity compensation Plans not approved By security holders (2)	0	0	0
Total	20,924,930		

- (1) Samaritan Pharmaceuticals, Inc. 2001 Stock Incentive Plan filed as an exhibit to Form 10-QSB on August 6, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (2) Samaritan Pharmaceuticals, Inc. has entered into irrevocable trust agreements, "Samaritan Pharmaceuticals Inc. Executive Benefit Plans", to fund deferred compensations benefits, with institutional trustees providing for the payment out of the assets of the trusts of benefits accrued under our various benefit plans, employment agreements and other employment arrangements as the company specifies from time to time. To the extent not already irrevocable, the trusts would become irrevocable upon a change of control of Samaritan Pharmaceuticals. The company may contribute to the trusts from time to time, and additional funding could be required upon a change of control. The trusts are subject to their terms and to the claims of our general creditors in specified circumstances, to make payments under the terms of the benefit plans, employment agreements and other employment arrangements from time to time specified by the company.

Changes in Securities; Recent sales of unregistered securities; use of proceeds from registered securities.

Securities, unregistered, were sold by the Company under an exemption from

registration. The title of these securities was the Common Stock of the Company. They were sold for cash unless otherwise noted in this section. They were sold in private transactions to persons believed to be of a class of private investors acting on their own comprised of "accredited investors" (as such term is defined in Regulation D of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission) and a limited number of non-accredited investors. All investors, to the best knowledge of the Company, not affiliated with the Company, purchased the shares with apparent investment intent. The Company relied upon, among other possible exemptions, Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. It's reliance on said exemption was based upon the fact that no public solicitation was used by the Company in the offer or sale, and that the securities were legended shares, along with a notation at the respective transfer agent, restricting the shares from sale or transfer as is customary with reference to Rule 144 of the SEC.

Management notes that the Company issues stock as compensation for services and supplies, valuing such issues premised upon the fair market value of the stock or the services, whichever is more clearly determinable.

During the year ended December 31, 2004, the Company issued an aggregate of 2,081,249 shares of common stock in consideration of services rendered or to be rendered to the Company. Such shares were valued at an aggregate of \$1,790,478 ranging from \$.16-\$1.19 per share, representing the fair value of the shares issued. The issuances were recorded as non-cash compensation expense. During the year ended December 31, 2004 the Company exchange 11,426,733 shares of the company stock for \$4,300,938.

9

During the year ended December 31, 2003, the Company issued an aggregate of 4,062,833 shares of common stock in consideration of services rendered or to be rendered to the Company. Such shares were valued at an aggregate of \$553,842 ranging from \$.16-\$.71 per share, representing the fair value of the shares issued. The issuances were recorded as non-cash compensation expense. During the year ended December 31, 2003 the Company exchanged 12,740,870 shares of the Company's common stock in settlement of accounts payable, accrued salaries for officers and equity financing totaling \$1,152,703. To the extent that the market value of shares issued as payment of accrued salaries exceeded the recorded amount of accrued salaries, such amount was recognized as additional compensation. The amount of additional compensations recorded at December 31, 2003 was \$2,305,863.

During the year 2003, through various private placements, the Company sold 17,493,664 shares for \$2,409,789.

On April 22, 2003, we entered into a common stock purchase agreement with Fusion Capital Fund II, LLC, pursuant to which Fusion Capital has agreed to purchase our common stock from time to time at our option up to an aggregate amount of \$10,000,000. The SEC declared effective the Company's registration statement on Form SB-2, Commission Registration No. 333-105818 on October 9, 2003. In the year ended December 31, 2004, the company sold 8,758,240 shares of common stock to Fusion Capital for gross proceeds of \$3,100,001. The proceeds from these sales were used for general corporate purposes and working capital.

## ITEM 6. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OR PLAN OF OPERATION

This Plan of Operation should be read in conjunction with the accompanying consolidated financial statements and notes included in this report.

#### General Overview

We are a leading small cap biopharmaceutical company focused on the development of novel therapeutic and diagnostic products. We have devoted substantially all of our resources to undertaking our drug discovery and development programs. The majority of our resources have been expended in the pursuit of FDA required preclinical studies, and Phase II/III clinical trials, for Samaritan's HIV drug SP-01A (Sphirewall), an oral entry inhibitor.

In addition, and at the same time, Samaritan has devoted major resources to its Alzheimer's technology, which features: three promising therapeutics, SP-04, SP-08, and SP-233; two stem cell, neuron differentiation therapies, SP-sc4 and SP-sc7; a predictive Alzheimer's diagnostic; and an Alzheimer's animal model. Samaritan, as well, has devoted resources to its promising cancer drug, SP-C007, and a breast cancer diagnostic; plus, its cholesterol recognition peptide, which plays a role in transforming and binding LDL cholesterol while subsequently raising HDL.

#### Plan of Operation

We have used the proceeds from private placements of our capital stock primarily to expand our preclinical and clinical efforts as well as for general working capital. At this time we are beginning to commit additional resources to the development of SP-01A as wells as for the development of our other drugs. Additional detail regarding the human trials and INDs that the Company plans to file are discussed in Part I, Item 1, Description of Business, of this annual report.

We incurred research and development expenses of \$1,543,921 for the year ended December 31, 2004, up from \$838,208 in the year-earlier period primarily due to increase in financial commitment with Georgetown University and the hiring of additional FDA regulatory affairs personnel. We expect that research and development expenditures related to drug discovery and development will increase

10

during 2005 and subsequent years due to the continuation and expansion of clinical trials for our small molecule programs, the initiation of trials for other potential indications and additional study expenditures for potential pharmaceutical candidates. Research and development expenses may fluctuate from period to period depending upon the stage of certain projects and the level of pre-clinical testing and clinical trial-related activities. In conjunction with the additional research and development activities we expect to conduct, we anticipate adding two administrative staff and four research and development support personnel in the next 12 months. In June 2004, we hired a Chief Drug Development Officer at an annual salary of \$300,000 plus benefits.

General and administrative expenses decreased to \$3,561,302 for the year of 2004 from \$4,902,213 in the same period in 2003. This decrease primarily reflected a reduction in stock-based consulting and compensation costs.

Depreciation and amortization amounted to \$27,218 and \$23,776 for year ended December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively. Interest expense amounted to \$142 and \$6,334 for the year ended December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively. The decrease is due to the retirement of notes payable during 2003.

As a result of the factors noted above, the net loss since inception on September 5, 1994 to December 31, 2004 was \$28.2 million. We had a net loss of \$4,864,361 and \$5,520,531 for the year ended December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively and had a loss per share of \$(0.04) and \$(0.07) per share for the

year ended December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively. Our expenses have related mainly to costs incurred in research activities for the development of our drug candidates and from administrative expenses required to support these efforts. We expect losses to continue for the near future, and such losses will likely increase as human clinical trials are undertaken in the United States for drugs. Future profitability will be dependent upon our ability to complete the development of our pharmaceutical products, obtain necessary regulatory approvals and effectively market such products. In addition, future profitability will require that the Company establish agreements with other parties for the clinical testing, manufacturing, commercialization and sale of its products.

The Company's current cash position is \$2,438,451 and the Company has \$1,490,812 of marketable securities. We are continuing efforts to raise additional capital and execute our research and development plans. Even if we are successful in raising sufficient money to carry out these plans, additional clinical development, necessary to bring our products to market, we will require significant additional capital.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash used in operating activities during the twelve-month period ended December 31, 2004 was \$3,287,896 compared to \$2,233,841 for the same period a year earlier. The increase is due to the payment of services for cash rather than stock compensation.

Cash used in investing activities was \$2,495,178 for the twelve months period ended December 31, 2004, compared to \$18,734 in for the same period in 2003. The increase is primarily due to the increase in investments and marketable securities.

Cash provided by financing activities was \$7,850,940 for the twelve-month period ended December 31, 2004, compared to \$2,265,335 in the same period for 2003. The cash provided in the 2004 period was comprised of \$450,000 from the sale of warrants, \$4,300,938 from equity private placements, and \$3,100,001 from equity financing.

Current assets as of December 31, 2004 were \$4,005,612 as compared to current liabilities of \$170,167.

On April 22, 2003, we entered into a common stock purchase agreement with Fusion Capital Fund II, LLC, pursuant to which Fusion Capital has agreed to purchase our common stock from time to time at our option up to an aggregate amount of \$10,000,000. The SEC declared effective the Company's registration statement on Form SB-2, Commission Registration No. 333-105818 on October 9, 2003. The amount of shares remaining under this Registration Statement as of December 31, 2004 was 3,019,555.

11

The Company's dependence on raising additional capital will continue at least until the Company is able to commercially market one of its products at significant sales level. Depending on profit margins and other factors, the Company may still need additional funding to continue research and development efforts. The Company's future capital requirements and the adequacy of its financing depend upon numerous factors, including the successful commercialization of the Company's drug candidates, progress in its product development efforts, progress with preclinical studies and clinical trials, the cost and timing of production arrangements, the development of effective sales and marketing activities, the cost of filing, prosecuting, defending and

enforcing intellectual property rights, competing technological and market developments, and the development of strategic alliances for the marketing of its products.

We do not believe that debt financing from financial institutions will be available until at least the time that one of our products is approved for commercial production. To date, none of our proprietary products has reached a commercial stage, and hence, we do not have, nor do we anticipate revenue in the near future. We have been unprofitable since our inception and have incurred significant losses. We will continue to have significant general and administrative expenses, including expenses related to clinical studies, our collaboration with Georgetown University, and patent prosecution. We have funded our operations through a series of private placements and through our agreement with Fusion Capital, which we believe will assist the Company in meetings its cash needs. Except for an agreement to sell shares to Fusion Capital, discussed above, no commitment exists for continued investments, or for any underwriting.

Even with our financing arrangement with Fusion Capital, we may require substantial additional funds to sustain our operations and grow our business. The amount of which will depend, among other things, on the rate of progress and the cost of our research and product development programs and clinical trial activities, the cost of preparing, filing, prosecuting, maintaining and enforcing patent claims and other intellectual property rights, and the cost of developing manufacturing and marketing capabilities, if we decide to undertake those activities. The clinical development of a therapeutic product is a very expensive and lengthy process and may be expected to utilize \$5 to \$20 million over a three to six year development cycle. Although we believe we could license the manufacturing and marketing rights to our products in return for up-front licensing and other fees and royalties on any sales, there can be no assurance that we will be able to do so in the event we seek to do so. We need to obtain additional funds to develop our therapeutics products and our future access to capital is uncertain. The allocation of limited resources is an ongoing issue for us as we move from research activities into the more costly clinical investigations required to bring therapeutic products to market.

The extent we rely on Fusion Capital as a source of funding will depend on a number of factors including, the prevailing market price of our common stock and the extent to which we are able to secure working capital from other sources. If obtaining sufficient financing from Fusion Capital were to prove prohibitively expensive, we will need to secure another source of funding in order to satisfy our working capital needs. Even if we are able to access the full amount under the common stock purchase agreement with Fusion Capital, we may still need additional capital to fully implement our business, operating and development plans. If we are unable to obtain additional financing we might be required to delay, scale back or eliminate certain of our research and product development programs or clinical trials, or be required to license third parties to commercialize products or technologies that we would otherwise undertake ourselves, or cease certain operations all together, any of which might have a material adverse effect upon us. If we raise additional funds by issuing equity securities, dilution to stockholders may result, and new investors could have rights superior to existing holders of shares. Should the financing we require to sustain our working capital needs be unavailable or prohibitively expensive when we require it, the consequences would be a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, financial condition, and prospects.

We have been able to meet our cash needs during the past 12 months. We continue to explore avenues to obtain the capital needed for our operations through private placements and by sale of our shares to Fusion Capital.

Ouantitative and Oualitative Information About Market Risk

We do not engage in trading market-risk sensitive instruments and do not purchase hedging instruments or "other than trading" instruments that are likely to expose us to market risk, whether interest rate, foreign currency exchange, commodity price or equity price risk. We have no outstanding debt instruments, have not entered into any forward or future contracts, and have purchased no options and entered into no swaps. We have no credit lines or other borrowing facilities, and do not view ourselves as subject to interest rate fluctuation risk at the present time.

#### Critical Accounting Policies

#### Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Management believes that the estimates utilized in preparing its financial statements are reasonable and prudent. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

The most significant accounting estimates relate to valuing equity transactions as described below. The value assigned to stock warrants granted to non-employees are accounted for in accordance with SFAS No. 123 and Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) 96-18, Accounting for Equity Instruments That Are Issued to Other Than Employees for Acquiring, or in Conjunction with Selling, Goods or Services. The Company values warrants using the Black-Scholes pricing model. Common stock is valued using the market price of common stock on the measurement date as defined in EITF 96-18.

#### Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation

The Company applies Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 and related interpretations in accounting for employee stock-based compensation, and includes the required footnote disclosures thereon. The Company accounts for nonemployee stock-based compensation in accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) 96-18, Accounting for Equity Instruments That Are Issued to Other Than Employees for Acquiring, or in Conjunction with Selling, Goods or Services. Amounts are based on the fair value of the consideration received or the fair value of the equity instruments issued, whichever is more reliably measurable.

#### Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes revenue at the time service is performed on commercial contracts and collectability is assured. Revenue from government grants is a reimbursement for expenditures associated with the research. The Company submits bills to the grant agency and revenue is recognized at the time the reimbursement request is submitted.

#### New Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2002, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation - Transition and Disclosure - an amendment of FASB Statement No. 123 (SFAS No. 148). SFAS No. 148 amends FASB Statement No. 123 to provide alternative methods of transition for a voluntary change to the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation. In addition, SFAS No. 148 amends the disclosure requirements of FASB Statement No. 123 to require prominent disclosures in both annual and interim financial statements about the method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation and the effect of the method used on reported results. SFAS No. 148 is effective for the fiscal years beginning after December 15,

2002.

In January 2003, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 46, Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities (FIN 46) which addressed consolidation by business enterprises of variable interest entities that meet certain criteria. FIN 46 was effective upon issuance, but did not have an impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

13

In May 2003, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 150, Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity (SFAS No. 150), which establishes standards for how a company classifies and measures certain financial instruments with characteristics of both liabilities and equity. SFAS No. 150 is generally effective for interim periods beginning after June 15, 2003.

The adoption of these new pronouncements did not have, or are not expected to have, a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial position or results of operations.

#### RISK FACTORS

You should carefully consider the risks described below before making an investment decision. The risks described below are not the only ones facing our company. Additional risks not presently known to us or that we currently deem immaterial may also impair our business operations.

Our business, financial condition or results of operations could be adversely affected by any of these risks. The trading price of our common stock could decline due to any of these risks, and you may lose all or part of your investment.

We have a substantial accumulated deficit and limited working capital.

The Company had an accumulated deficit of \$28,245,428 as of December 31, 2004. Since the Company presently has no source of revenues and is committed to continuing its product research and development program, significant expenditures and losses will continue until development of new products is completed and such products have been clinically tested, approved by the FDA and successfully marketed. In addition, the Company has funded its operations primarily through the sale of Company securities, and has had limited working capital for its product development and other activities. We do not believe that debt financing from financial institutions will be available until at least the time that one of our products is approved for commercial production.

We have no current product sales revenues or profits.

The Company has devoted its resources to developing a new generation of therapeutic drug products, but such products cannot be marketed until clinical testing is completed and governmental approvals have been obtained. Accordingly, there is no current source of revenues, much less profits, to sustain the Company's present activities, and no revenues will likely be available until, and unless the new products are clinically tested, approved by the FDA and successfully marketed, either by the Company or a marketing partner, an outcome which the Company is not able to guarantee.

It is uncertain that the Company will have access to future capital or government grants.

It is not expected that the Company will generate positive cash flow from operations for at least the next several years. As a result, substantial additional equity or debt financing or the receipt of one or more government grants for research and development and/or clinical development will be required to fund our activities. We cannot be certain that we will be able to consummate any such financing on favorable terms, if at all, or receive any such government grants or that such financing or government grants will be adequate to meet our capital requirements. Any additional equity financing could result in substantial dilution to stockholders, and debt financing, if available, will most likely involve restrictive covenants which preclude the Company from making distributions to stockholders and taking other actions beneficial to stockholders. If adequate funds are not available, the Company may be required to delay or reduce the scope of its drug development program or attempt to continue development by entering into arrangements with collaborative partners or others that may require the Company to relinquish some or all of its rights to proprietary drugs. The inability to fund its capital requirements would have a material adverse effect on the Company.

14

The Company is not certain that it will be successful in the development of its drug candidates.

The successful development of any new drug is highly uncertain and is subject to a number of significant risks. Our drug candidates, all of which are in a development stage, require significant, time-consuming and costly development, testing and regulatory clearance. This process typically takes several years and can require substantially more time. Risks include, among others, the possibility that a drug candidate will (i) be found to be ineffective or unacceptably toxic, (ii) have unacceptable side effects, (iii) fail to receive necessary regulatory clearances, (iv) not achieve broad market acceptance, (v) be subject to competition from third parties who may market equivalent or superior products, or (vi) be affected by third parties holding proprietary rights that will preclude the Company from marketing a drug product. There can be no assurance that the development of drug candidates will demonstrate the efficacy and safety of a drug candidate as a therapeutic drug, or, even if demonstrated, that there will be sufficient advantages to its use over other drugs or treatments so as to render the drug product commercially viable. In the event that the Company is not successful in developing and commercializing one or more drug candidates, investors are likely to realize a loss of their entire investment.

Positive results in preclinical and early clinical trials do not ensure that future clinical trials will be successful or that drug candidates will receive any necessary regulatory approvals for the marketing, distribution or sale of such drug candidates.

Success in preclinical and early clinical trials does not ensure that large-scale clinical trials will be successful. Clinical results are frequently susceptible to varying interpretations that may delay, limit or prevent regulatory approvals. The length of time necessary to complete clinical trials and to submit an application for marketing approval for a final decision by a regulatory authority varies significantly and may be difficult to predict.

The Company will face intense competition from other companies in the pharmaceutical industry.

The Company is engaged in a segment of the pharmaceutical industry that is highly competitive and rapidly changing. If successfully developed and approved, any of the Company's drug candidates will likely compete with several existing

therapies. In addition, other companies are pursuing the development of pharmaceuticals that target the same diseases as are targeted by the drugs being developed by the Company. The Company anticipates that it will face intense and increasing competition in the future as new products enter the market and advanced technologies become available. We cannot assure that existing products or new products developed by competitors will not be more effective, or more effectively marketed and sold than those by the Company. Competitive products may render the Company's drugs obsolete or noncompetitive prior to the Company's recovery of development and commercialization expenses.

Many of the Company's competitors will also have significantly greater financial, technical and human resources and will likely be better equipped to develop, manufacture and market products. In addition, many of these competitors have extensive experience in preclinical testing and clinical trials, obtaining FDA and other regulatory approvals and manufacturing and marketing pharmaceutical products. A number of these competitors also have products that have been approved or are in late-stage development and operate large, well-funded research and development programs. Smaller companies may also prove to be significant competitors, particularly through collaborative arrangements with large pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies. Furthermore, academic institutions, government agencies and other public and private research organizations are becoming increasingly aware of the commercial value of their inventions and are actively seeking to commercialize the technology they have developed. Accordingly, competitors may succeed in commercializing products more rapidly or effectively than the Company, which would have a material adverse effect on the Company.

15

There is no assurance that the Company's products will have market acceptance.

The success of the Company will depend in substantial part on the extent to which a drug product, once approved, achieves market acceptance. The degree of market acceptance will depend upon a number of factors, including (i) the receipt and scope of regulatory approvals, (ii) the establishment and demonstration in the medical community of the safety and efficacy of a drug product, (iii) the product's potential advantages over existing treatment methods and (iv) reimbursement policies of government and third party payors. We cannot predict or guarantee that physicians, patients, healthcare insurers or maintenance organizations, or the medical community in general, will accept or utilize any drug product of the Company.

The unavailability of health care reimbursement for any of our products will likely adversely impact our ability to market effectively such products and whether health care reimbursement will be available for any of our products is uncertain.

The Company's ability to commercialize its technology successfully will depend in part on the extent to which reimbursement for the costs of such products and related treatments will be available from government health administration authorities, private health insurers and other third-party payors. Significant uncertainty exists as to the reimbursement status of newly-approved medical products. The Company cannot guarantee that adequate third-party insurance coverage will be available for the Company to establish and maintain price levels sufficient for realization of an appropriate return on its investments in developing new therapies. Government, private health insurers, and other third-party payors are increasingly attempting to contain health care costs by limiting both coverage and the level of reimbursement for new therapeutic products approved for marketing by the FDA. Accordingly, even if coverage and reimbursement were provided by government, private health insurers, and

third-party payors for uses of the Company's products, the market acceptance of these products would be adversely affected if the amount of reimbursement available for the use of the Company's therapies proved to be unprofitable for health care providers.

Uncertainties related to health care reform measures may affect the Company's success.

There have been a number of federal and state proposals during the last few years to subject the pricing of health care goods and services, including prescription drugs, to government control and to make other changes to U.S. health care system. It is uncertain which legislative proposals will be adopted or what actions federal, state, or private payors for health care treatment and services may take in response to any health care reform proposals or legislation. The Company cannot predict the effect health care reforms may have on its business, and there is no guarantee that any such reforms will not have a material adverse effect on the Company.

Further testing of our drug candidates will be required and there is no assurance of FDA approval.

The FDA and comparable agencies in foreign countries impose substantial requirements upon the introduction of medical products, through lengthy and detailed laboratory and clinical testing procedures, sampling activities and other costly and time-consuming procedures. Satisfaction of these requirements typically takes several years or more and varies substantially based upon the type, complexity, and novelty of the product.

The effect of government regulation and the need for FDA approval will delay marketing of new products for a considerable period of time, impose costly procedures upon the Company's activities, and provide an advantage to larger companies that compete with the Company. There can be no assurance that FDA or other regulatory approval for any products developed by the Company will be granted on a timely basis or at all. Any such delay in obtaining, or failure to obtain, such approvals would materially and adversely affect the marketing of any contemplated products and the ability to earn product revenue. Further, regulation of manufacturing facilities by state, local, and other authorities is subject to change. Any additional regulation could result in limitations or restrictions on the Company's ability to utilize any of its technologies, thereby adversely affecting the Company's operations.

16

Human pharmaceutical products are subject to rigorous preclinical testing, clinical trials, and other approval procedures mandated by the FDA and foreign regulatory authorities. Various federal and foreign statutes and regulations also govern or influence the manufacturing, safety, labeling, storage, record keeping and marketing of pharmaceutical products. The process of obtaining these approvals and the subsequent compliance with appropriate U.S. and foreign statutes and regulations are time-consuming and require the expenditure of substantial resources. In addition, these requirements and processes vary widely from country to country.

Among the uncertainties and risks of the FDA approval process are the following: (i) the possibility that studies and clinical trials will fail to prove the safety and efficacy of the drug, or that any demonstrated efficacy will be so limited as to significantly reduce or altogether eliminate the acceptability of the drug in the marketplace, (ii) the possibility that the costs of development, which can far exceed the best of estimates, may render commercialization of the drug marginally profitable or altogether unprofitable, and (iii) the possibility

that the amount of time required for FDA approval of a drug may extend for years beyond that which is originally estimated. In addition, the FDA or similar foreign regulatory authorities may require additional clinical trials, which could result in increased costs and significant development delays. Delays or rejections may also be encountered based upon changes in FDA policy and the establishment of additional regulations during the period of product development and FDA review. Similar delays or rejections may be encountered in other countries

The Company's success will be dependent on licenses and proprietary rights it receives from other parties, and on any patents it may obtain.

Our success will depend in large part on the ability of the Company and its licensors to (i) maintain license and patent protection with respect to their drug products, (ii) defend patents and licenses once obtained, (iii) maintain trade secrets, (iv) operate without infringing upon the patents and proprietary rights of others and (iv) obtain appropriate licenses to patents or proprietary rights held by third parties if infringement would otherwise occur, both in the U.S. and in foreign countries. The Company has obtained licenses to patents and other proprietary rights from Georgetown University.

The patent positions of pharmaceutical companies, including those of the Company, are uncertain and involve complex legal and factual questions. There is no guarantee that the Company or its licensors have or will develop or obtain the rights to products or processes that are patentable, that patents will issue from any of the pending applications or that claims allowed will be sufficient to protect the technology licensed to the Company. In addition, we cannot be certain that any patents issued to or licensed by the Company will not be challenged, invalidated, infringed or circumvented, or that the rights granted thereunder will provide competitive disadvantages to the Company.

Litigation, which could result in substantial cost, may also be necessary to enforce any patents to which the Company has rights, or to determine the scope, validity and unenforceability of other parties' proprietary rights, which may affect the rights of the Company. U.S. patents carry a presumption of validity and generally can be invalidated only through clear and convincing evidence. There can be no assurance that the Company's licensed patents would be held valid by a court or administrative body or that an alleged infringer would be found to be infringing. The mere uncertainty resulting from the institution and continuation of any technology-related litigation or interference proceeding could have a material adverse effect on the Company pending resolution of the disputed matters.

The Company may also rely on unpatented trade secrets and expertise to maintain its competitive position, which it seeks to protect, in part, by confidentiality agreements with employees, consultants and others. There can be no assurance that these agreements will not be breached or terminated, that the Company will have adequate remedies for any breach, or that trade secrets will not otherwise become known or be independently discovered by competitors.

The Company's license agreements can be terminated in the event of a breach.

The license agreements pursuant to which the Company has licensed its core technologies for its potential drug products permit the licensors, respectively Georgetown University, to terminate the agreement under certain circumstances, such as the failure by the licensee to use its reasonable best efforts to commercialize the subject drug or the occurrence of any other uncured material breach by the licensee. The license agreements also provide that the licensor is

primarily responsible for obtaining patent protection for the technology licensed, and the licensee is required to reimburse it for the costs it incurs in performing these activities. The license agreements also require the payment of specified royalties. Any inability or failure to observe these terms or pay these costs or royalties could result in the termination of the applicable license agreement in certain cases. The termination of any license agreement would have a material adverse effect on the Company.

Protecting our proprietary rights is difficult and costly.

The patent positions of pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies can be highly uncertain and involve complex legal and factual questions. Accordingly, we cannot predict the breadth of claims allowed in these companies' patents or whether the Company may infringe or be infringing these claims. Patent disputes are common and could preclude the commercialization of our products. Patent litigation is costly in its own right and could subject us to significant liabilities to third parties. In addition, an adverse decision could force us to either obtain third-party licenses at a material cost or cease using the technology or product in dispute.

The Company's success is dependent on its key personnel.

The Company is dependent on a small management group and on independent researchers, some of whom are inventors of the patents licensed to the Company for core technologies and drugs developed at Georgetown University. Scientific personnel may from time to time serve as consultants to the Company and may devote a portion of their time to the Company's business, as well as continue to devote substantial time to the furtherance of the Company's sponsored research at Georgetown University and other affiliated institutions as may be agreed to in the future, but such personnel are not employees of the Company and are not bound under written employment agreements. The services of such persons are important to the Company, and the loss of any of these services may adversely affect the Company.

Our success is dependent upon the continued services and performance of Dr. Janet Greeson, our chief executive officer; president and chairman; and Dr. Vassilios Papadopoulos. We do not maintain key man insurance on either officer. We have a 5-year employment agreement with Dr. Greeson that expires in 2006. The loss of their services could delay our product development programs and our research and development efforts at Georgetown University. In addition, the loss of Dr. Janet Greeson is grounds for termination of the collaboration with Georgetown University. In addition, competition for qualified employees among companies in the biotechnology and biopharmaceutical industry is intense and we cannot assure you that we would be able to recruit qualified personnel on acceptable terms to replace them.

We may be unable to retain skilled personnel and maintain key relationships.

The success of our business depends, in large part, on our ability to attract and retain highly qualified management, scientific and other personnel, and on our ability to develop and maintain important relationships with leading research institutions and consultants and advisors. Competition for these types of personnel and relationships is intense from numerous pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies, universities and other research institutions. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to attract and retain such individuals on commercially acceptable terms or at all, and the failure to do so would have a material adverse effect on the Company.

We currently have no sales or marketing capability.

The Company does not have marketing or sales personnel. The Company will have to

develop a sales force, or rely on marketing partners or other arrangements with third parties for the marketing, distribution and sale of any drug product that is ready for distribution. There is no guarantee that the Company will be able to establish marketing, distribution or sales capabilities or arrange with third parties to perform those activities on terms satisfactory to the Company, or that any internal capabilities or third party arrangements will be cost-effective.

18

In addition, any third parties with which the Company may establish marketing, distribution or sales arrangements may have significant control over important aspects of the commercialization of a drug product, including market identification, marketing methods, pricing, composition of sales force and promotional activities. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to control the amount and timing of resources that any third party may devote to the products of the Company or prevent any third party from pursuing alternative technologies or products that could result in the development of products that compete with, and/or the withdrawal of support for, the products of the Company.

The Company does not have internal manufacturing capabilities and may not be able to develop efficient manufacturing capabilities or contract for such services from third parties such as Pharmaplaz on commercially acceptable terms.

The Company will not have any manufacturing capacity. When required, the Company will seek to establish relationships with third-party manufacturers for the manufacture of clinical trial material and the commercial production of a drug product just as it has with Pharmaplaz, Ltd. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to establish relationships with third-party manufacturers on commercially acceptable terms or that third-party manufacturers will be able to manufacture a drug product on a cost-effective basis in commercial quantities under good manufacturing practices mandated by the FDA.

The dependence upon third parties for the manufacture of products may adversely affect future costs and the ability to develop and commercialize a drug product on a timely and competitive basis. Further, there can be no assurance that manufacturing or quality control problems will not arise in connection with the manufacture of the drug product or that third party manufacturers will be able to maintain the necessary governmental licenses and approvals to continue manufacturing such products. Any failure to establish relationships with third parties for its manufacturing requirements on commercially acceptable terms would have a material adverse effect on the Company.

The Company does not have its own research facilities and will be dependent on third parties for drug development.

The Company does not have its own research and development facilities and engages consultants and independent contract research organizations to design and conduct clinical trials in connection with the development of a drug. As a result, these important aspects of a drug's development will be outside the direct control of the Company. In addition, there can be no assurance that such third parties will perform all of their obligations under arrangements with the Company or will perform those obligations satisfactorily.

In the future, we anticipate that we will need to obtain additional or increased product liability insurance coverage and it is uncertain that such increased or additional insurance coverage can be obtained on commercially reasonable terms.

The business of the Company will expose it to potential product liability risks that are inherent in the testing, manufacturing and marketing of pharmaceutical

products. There can be no assurance that product liability claims will not be asserted against the Company. The Company intends to obtain additional limited product liability insurance for its clinical trials, directly or through its marketing development partners or CRO (contract research organization) partners, when they begin in the U.S. and to expand its insurance coverage if and when the Company begins marketing commercial products. However, there can be no assurance that the Company will be able to obtain product liability insurance on commercially acceptable terms or that the Company will be able to maintain such insurance at a reasonable cost or in sufficient amounts to protect against potential losses. A successful product liability claim or series of claims brought against the Company could have a material adverse effect on the Company.

19

Insurance coverage is increasingly more difficult to obtain or maintain.

Obtaining insurance for our business, property and products is increasingly more costly and narrower in scope, and we may be required to assume more risk in the future. If we are subject to third-party claims or suffer a loss or damage in excess of our insurance coverage, we may be required to share that risk in excess of our insurance limits. Furthermore, any first- or third-party claims made on any of our insurance policies may impact our ability to obtain or maintain insurance coverage at reasonable costs or at all in the future.

The market price of our shares, like that of many biotechnology companies, is highly volatile.

Market prices for the Company's Common Stock and the securities of other medical and biomedical technology companies have been highly volatile and may continue to be highly volatile in the future. Factors such as announcements of technological innovations or new products by the Company or its competitors, government regulatory action, litigation, patent or proprietary rights developments, and market conditions for medical and high technology stocks in general can have a significant impact on any future market for the Common Stock.

We are not paying dividends on our Common Stock.

The Company has never paid cash dividends on Common Stock, and does not intend to do so in the foreseeable future.

The issuance of more common shares or our preferred stock may adversely affect our Common Stock.

The Board of Directors is authorized to issue more common stock and designate one or more series of preferred stock and to fix the rights, preferences, privileges and restrictions thereof, without any action by the stockholders. The designation and issuance of such shares of our preferred stock may adversely affect the Common Stock, if the rights, preferences and privileges of such preferred stock (i) restrict the declaration or payment of dividends on Common Stock, (ii) dilute the voting power of Common Stock, (iii) impair the liquidation rights of the Common Stock or (iv) delay or prevent a change in control of the Company from occurring, among other possibilities.

Under provisions of the Company's certificate of incorporation, bylaws and Nevada law, the Company's management may be able to block or impede a change in control.

The issuance of preferred stock may make it more difficult for a third party to acquire, or may discourage a third party from acquiring, a majority of the voting stock. These and other provisions of the Certificate of Incorporation and

the by-laws, as well as certain provisions of Nevada law, could delay or impede the removal of incumbent directors and could make more difficult a merger, tender offer or proxy contest involving a change of control of the Company, even if such events could be beneficial to the interest of the stockholders as a whole. Such provisions could limit the price that certain investors might be willing to pay in the future for the Common Stock.

Officers' and directors' liabilities are limited under Nevada law.

Pursuant to the Company's Certificate of Incorporation and by-laws, as authorized under applicable Nevada law, directors are not liable for monetary damages for breach of fiduciary duty, except in connection with a breach of the duty of loyalty, for acts or omissions not in good faith or which involve intentional misconduct or a knowing violation of law, for dividend payments or stock repurchases illegal under Nevada law or for any transaction in which a director has derived an improper personal benefit. The Certificate of Incorporation and by-laws provide that the Company must indemnify its officers and directors to the fullest extent permitted by Nevada law for all expenses incurred in the settlement of any actions against such persons in connection with their having served as officers or directors.

#### ITEM 7. FINANCIAL STATEMENT

Samaritan Pharmaceuticals, Inc. financial statements, schedules and supplementary data, appear in a separate section of this report beginning with page F-1.

2.0

ITEM 8. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES

The company had a change in registrant's certifying accountant filed as an 8-K, on September 27, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference.

## ITEM 8A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

As of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures. The Company's disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide a reasonable level of assurance of achieving the Company's disclosure control objectives. The Company's Principal Executive Officer and Principal Accounting Officer have concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are, in fact, effective at this reasonable assurance level as of the period covered.

#### ITEM 8B. OTHER INFORMATION.

In connection with the evaluation of the Company's internal controls during the Company's fourth fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2004, the Company's Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer have determined that there are no changes to the Company's internal controls over financial reporting that has materially affected, or is reasonable likely to materially effect, the Company's internal controls over financial reporting.

PART III

ITEM 9. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, PROMOTERS AND CONTROL PERSONS;

COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 16(A) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT

The information required by Item 9 as to directors, executive officers, promoters and control persons is incorporated by reference from the Company's Proxy Statement to be filed by the Company with Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year.

#### ITEM 10. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by Item 10 as to executive compensation is incorporated by reference from the Company's Proxy Statement to be filed by the Company with Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year.

#### ITEM 11. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The information required by Item 11 as to security ownership of certain beneficial owners and management is incorporated by reference from the Company's Proxy Statement to be filed by the Company with Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year.

#### ITEM 12. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

The information required by Item 12 as to certain relationships and related transactions is incorporated by reference from the Company's Proxy Statement to be filed by the Company with Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year.

#### ITEM 13. EXHIBITS

Evhihite

Listed below are all exhibits filed as part of this report. Some exhibits are filed by the Registrant with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Rule 12b-32 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

21

No.	Description
_	ement and Plan of Reorganization (1) cles of Incorporation, as amended and restated (6)
	of common stock certificate (1) Stock Option Plan (9)
	gnment between Linda Johnson and the Company dated September 6,
	gnment between Linda Johnson and Spectrum Pharmaceuticals oration dated May 14, 1999. (5)
_	ement containing the assignment of U.S. Patent Application 33,247 with improvements dated May 22, 1990. (5)
	on Stock Purchase Agreement between Company and Fusion Capital Fund LLC, dated April 22, 2003 (2)
_	stration Rights Agreement between Company and Fusion Capital Fund LLC dated April 22, 2003. (2)
10.7Agre	ement between Samaritan Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Thomas Lang (9) ement between Samaritan Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Eugene Boyle (5) ement between Samaritan Pharmaceuticals, Inc and Janet Greeson (5)
10.9Rese	earch Collaboration and Licensing Agreement between Georgetown rersity and Samaritan Pharmaceuticals, Inc., dated June 8, 2001 (6)
10.10Mast	er Clinical Trial and Full Scale Manufacturing Agreement dated

October 5, 2004 (10)
14.1...Code of Ethics (8)
16.1...Letter on change in certifying accountant (7)
21.1...List of Subsidiaries (1)
31.1...Certification of Chief Executive Officer
31.2...Certification of Chief Financial Officer
32.1...Certification re: Section 906

\_\_\_\_\_\_

- (1)....Filed as an exhibit to Samaritan Pharmaceutical's Form 10-SB, filed on July 21, 1999, and incorporated herein by reference. (2)....Filed as an exhibit to Samaritan Pharmaceutical's Report on Form 8-K filed on April 25, 2003, and incorporated herein by reference.
- (3).....Filed as an exhibit to Samaritan Pharmaceutical's Annual Report on Form 10K-SB, filed on April 3, 2001, and incorporated herein by reference.
- (4)....Filed as an exhibit to Samaritan Pharmaceutical's Schedule 14A filed on April 3, 2001, and incorporated herein by reference. (5)....Filed as an exhibit to Samaritan Pharmaceutical's Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB filed on August 14, 2002, and incorporated herein by reference.
- (6)....Filed as an exhibit to Samaritan Pharmaceutical's Registration Statement on Form SB-2 (SEC file number 333-105818) an incorporated herein by reference.
- (7).....Filed as an exhibit to Form 8-K, on September 27, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (8).....Filed as an exhibit to Form 10-KSB on April 15, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (9)....Filed as an exhibit to Form 10-QSB on August 16, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (10)....Filed as an exhibit to Form 10-QSB on November 15, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference.

#### ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by Item 14 as to principal accounting fees and services is incorporated by reference from the Company's Proxy Statement to be filed by the Company with Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year.

22

#### SIGNATURES

In accordance with Section 13 OR 15 (d) of the Exchange Act, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

#### SAMARITAN PHARMACEUTICAL, INC

Dated: April 14, 2005

By: /s/ Janet Greeson, Ph.D.

Janet Greeson, Ph.D.

President, Chief Executive

Officer, Chairman

Dated: April 14, 2005 By: /s/ Eugene Boyle

Eugene Boyle,
Chief Financial Officer,

Director

Dated: April 14, 2005 By: /s/ Doug Bessert

-----

28

Doug Bessert Director

Dated:	April	14,	2005	Ву:	/s/	Vassilios Papadopoulos, Ph.D.
						Vassilios Papadopoulos, Ph.D. Director
Dated:	April	14,	2005	By:	/s/	H. Thomas Winn
						H. Thomas Winn Director
Dated:	April	14,	2005	By:	/s/	Cynthia C. Thompson
						Cynthia C. Thompson Director
Dated:	April	14,	2005	By:	/s/	Welter "Budd" Holden
						Welter "Budd" Holden Director
Dated:	April	14,	2005	By:	/s/	Erasto Saldi, M.D.
						Erasto Saldi, M.D. Director

23

# SAMARITAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. (A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

## INDEX

	Page Number
REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM	F - 2
CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS:	
Balance Sheet	F - 3
Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income	F - 4
Statements of Shareholders' Equity	F - 5
Statements of Cash Flows	F - 6
Notes to Financial Statements	F-7 - F-14

F-1

#### REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Board of Directors and Stockholders Samaritan Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Samaritan Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (a development stage company) as of December 31, 2004 and the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income, shareholders' equity and cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2003. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, the consolidated financial position of Samaritan Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (a development stage company) as of December 31, 2004 and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2003 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying cumulative statements of operations and comprehensive income, shareholders' equity and cash flows regarding the period from inception (September 5, 1994) through December 31, 2004, include activity prior to our engagement as auditors upon which we or the predecessor auditor have not performed procedures. Therefore, we do not express an opinion on them.

/s/ Sherb & Co., LLP

Sherb & Co., LLP

Certified Public Accountants

New York, New York

March 31, 2005

F-2

### SAMARITAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. (A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

#### CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

December 31, 2004

### ASSETS

CURRENT	ASSETS:
Cas	sh

Cash	\$ 2,438,451
Marketable securities	1,490,812
Interest receivable	23,238
Prepaid expenses	53,111

TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS

PROPERTY AND	EQUIPMENT	37,221

#### OTHE

ER ASSETS:	
Patent registration costs	430,060
Purchased technology rights	30,879
Marketable securities	492,608
Note receivable	250,000
Deposits	2,779
TOTAL OTHER ASSETS	1,206,326
TOTAL OTHER ASSETS	1,200,320


\$		5,	, 2	4 9	θ,	1.	59	1
-	==	==		==		=:		=

4,005,612

## LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

## CURRENT LIABILITIES:

Accounts payable	\$	147,753
Accrued expenses		22,414

TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES	170,167
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY:  Common stock, 200,000,000 shares authorized at \$.001  par value, 132,030,199 issued and outstanding	132,030
Additional paid-in capital	33,697,043
Deferred compensation	(304,416)
Treasury stock	(250,248)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	(16,580)
Accumulated deficit during development stage	(28, 178, 837)
TOTAL SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY	5,078,992
	\$ 5,249,159

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

F-3

# SAMARITAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. (A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS & COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

FROM INCEPTION (SEPTEMBER 5, 1994) TO DECEMBER 31, 2004 AND FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2004 AND 2003

From
Inception
(September 5, 1994)
To -----December 31, 2004 2004
(Unaudited)

32

\$	300,000	\$ - 	
	6,283,470 13,276 21,403,387		1,5 ( 3,5
	1,147,834		
			(2
	28,478,837		4,8
	(28,178,837)		(4,8
	(16,580)		(
\$	(28,195,417)		(4,8
\$	(0.86)	\$	
=======		======	======
=======	32,931,183	======	124 <b>,</b> 4
	\$ =======	6,283,470 13,276 21,403,387 1,147,834 (369,130) 28,478,837 (28,178,837) (16,580) \$ (28,195,417) \$ (0.86)	6,283,470 13,276 21,403,387 1,147,834 (369,130) 28,478,837 (28,178,837) (16,580) \$ (28,195,417) \$ \$ (0.86) \$ ====================================

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements

F-4

SAMARITAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. (A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' DEFICIT (UNAUDITED)
FROM INCEPTION (SEPTEMBER 5, 1994) TO September 30, 2004

Shares

	Number of Shares	Par Value Common Stock	Reserved for Conversion	Additional Paid in Capital	Warrant
Inception at September 5, 1994	-	\$ -	\$ -	-	\$
Shares issued for cash, net of offering costs Warrants issued for cash Shares issued as compensation	6,085,386 -	609 -	<u>-</u>	635,481 -	5 <b>,</b> 0
for services	714,500	71	_	1,428,929	
Net loss	-	-	-	-	
December 31, 1996	6,799,886	680	-	2,064,410	5,0
Issuance of stock, prior to acquisition Acquisition of subsidiary for	206,350	21	-	371,134	
stock	1,503,000	150	_	46,545	
Shares of parent redeemed, par value \$.0001	(8,509,236)	(851)	_	851	
Shares of public subsidiary issued, par value \$.001	7,689,690	7 <b>,</b> 690	820	(8,510)	ļ
Net loss	-	-	-	-	
December 31, 1997	7,689,690	7,690	820	2,474,430	5 <b>,</b> 0
Conversion of parent's shares Shares issued for cash, net of	696,022	696	(696)	-	
offering costs Shares issued in cancellation	693,500	694	-	605,185	
of debt Shares issued as compensation	525,000 400,000	525 400	<del>-</del>	524,475 349,600	
Net loss	_	<del>-</del>	<del>-</del>	<del>-</del>	
December 31, 1998	10,004,212	10,005	124	3,953,690	5,0
Conversion of parent's shares Shares issued in cancellation	13,000	13	(13)	-	I
of debt Shares issued for cash, net of	30,000	30	-	29,970	
offering costs Shares issued as compensation	45,000 3,569,250	45 3,569	- -	41,367 462,113	152 1
Detachable warrants issued Detachable warrants exercised Debentures converted to stock	100,000 1,682,447	100 1,682	- - -	148,900 640,438	152,1 (149,0
Net loss	_	-	-	-	
December 31, 1999	15,443,909	15,444	111	5,276,478	8,1
Conversion of parent's shares Shares issued for cash, net of	128,954	129	(111)	(18)	

Edgai i liling. OAMAI ti		STIONED ING TO			
offering costs Shares issued in cancellation	1,575,192	1,575	_	858,460	
of debt	875,000	875	-	660,919	
Shares issued in cancellation					
of accounts payable	100,000	100	_	31,165	
Shares issued as compensation	3,372,945	3,373	_	2,555,094	
Warrants exercised	38,807	39	_	3,086	(3,
Warrants expired	_	_	_	5,000	(5,
Net loss			-	-	
December 31, 2000	21,534,807	21,535	_	9,390,184	
See accompanying notes	to the consolid	ated financial s	tatements		
	F-5				
Shares issued for cash, net of	6 405 000	6 405		1 055 550	
offering cost	6,497,088	6,497	_	1,257,758	
Shares issued as compensation	9,162,197	9,162	_	1,558,599	
Shares issued for previously					
purchased shares	342,607	342	_	188,208	
Shares issued in cancellation					
of accounts payable	200,000	200	_	68 <b>,</b> 880	
Amortization of deferred					
compensation	_	_	_	_	
Stock options issued for					
services	_	-	_	439,544	
Net loss		_ 	-	-	
December 31, 2001	37,736,699	37 <b>,</b> 736	_	12,903,173	
Shares issued for cash, net of					
offering costs	18,657,500	18,658	_	2,077,641	
Shares issued as compensation	3,840,525	3,841	_	1,044,185	
Shares issued for previously	3,010,323	0,011		1,011,100	
purchased shares	50,000	50	_	4,950	
Shares issued in cancellation	30,000	50		1, 330	
of accounts payable	4,265,184	4,265	_	539,291	
Amortization of deferred	1,200,101	1,200		333,231	
compensation	_	_	_		
Shares issued in cancellation					
of notes payable					
	_	_	_	_	
Stock options issued for				225 000	
services	_	_	_	225,000	
Net loss					
December 31, 2002	64,549,908	64,550		16,794,240	
Shares issued for cash, net of	17 400 664	17 400		0 000 000	
offering costs	17,493,664	17,493	_	2,392,296	
Shares issued as compensation	4,062,833	4,063	_	549 <b>,</b> 779	
Shares issued for previously					
purchased shares	1,160,714	1,161	_	161,339	
Shares issued in cancellation					
of accounts navable and					

of accounts payable and

accrued compensation	9,615,870	9,616	-	3,448,950	
Shares issued in cancellation		_		_	
of notes payable	0	0	_	0	
Shares issued in connection					
with equity financing	3,125,000	3,125		(3,125)	
Exercise of stock options	7,770,892	7,771	_	1,112,077	
Shares reacquired in settlement					
of judgement	(1,564,048)	(1,564)	_	251,812	
Stock options issued for					
services	_	_	_	145,000	
Net loss	_	_	_		
December 31, 2003	106,214,833	106,214	_	24,852,369	
Shares issued for cash, net					
of offering costs	11,426,733	11,427	_	4,289,511	
Shares issued as compensation,					
expensed	2,081,249	2,081	_	1,788,397	
Amortization of deferred					
compensation	-	_	_	_	
Shares issued for previously					
purchased shares	83 <b>,</b> 332	83	_	12,417	
Exercise of stock options	16,950,468	16,951	_	4,841,869	
Exercise of warrants	635,000	635	_	449,365	
Shares issued in connection					
with equity financing	8,758,240	8 <b>,</b> 758	_	3,091,243	
Stock retired in settlement of					
subscriptions receivable	(13,869,656)	(13 <b>,</b> 870)	_	(5,964,798)	
Shares reacquired in settlement					
of judgement	(250 <b>,</b> 000)	(250)	-	(231,100)	
Stock options issued for service		-	_	567 <b>,</b> 771	
Other comprehensive income (loss		_	-	-	
Net Loss		-	_		
Danamban 21 2004	132,030,199	c 122 020		coo coo o co	
December 31, 2004	132,030,199	\$ 132,030 =======	\$ - ========	\$33 <b>,</b> 697 <b>,</b> 043	\$

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements

F-5

# SAMARITAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. (A DEVELOPMENT STATE COMPANY)

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' DEFICIT

FROM INCEPTION (SEPTEMBER 5, 1994) TO September 30, 2004

	Accumulated							
			Other	Stock				
		erred ensation	Comprehensive Income	Subscripti Receivable		Treasury Shares	Accumula Deficit	
Inception at September 5, 1994	\$		-	\$ -	- \$		\$	
Shares issued for cash, net of								
offering costs		_	_	_		_		
Warrants issued for cash		_	_	-	-	_		

Shares issued as compensation					
for services	-	-	-	_	
Net loss	-	-	_	-	(2,152,
December 31, 1996	-	_	-	-	(2,152,
Issuance of stock, prior to acquisition					
Acquisition of subsidiary					
for stock	_	_	_	_	
Shares of parent redeemed,					
par value \$.0001	_	_	_	_	
Shares of public subsidiary issued, par value \$.001	-	-	-	-	
Net loss	-	_	_	_	(979,
December 31, 1997					(3,132,
Conversion of parent's shares	_	_	_	_	
Shares issued for cash, net of offering costs	_	_	_	_	
Shares issued in cancellation					
of debt Shares issued as compensation	_	_	_	_	
bhales issued as compensation					
Net loss	_	_	_	_	(1,009,
December 31, 1998	_	-	_	_	(4,142,
December 31, 1998  Conversion of parent's shares	-	-	-	-	(4,142,
Conversion of parent's shares Shares issued in cancellation	-	-	-	-	(4,142,
Conversion of parent's shares	- - -	-	- -	- -	(4,142,
Conversion of parent's shares Shares issued in cancellation of debt Shares issued for cash, net of offering costs	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	(4,142,
Conversion of parent's shares Shares issued in cancellation of debt Shares issued for cash, net of offering costs Shares issued as compensation	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -	(4,142,
Conversion of parent's shares Shares issued in cancellation of debt Shares issued for cash, net of offering costs	- - - - - -	- - - - -	- - - - -	- - - - -	(4,142,
Conversion of parent's shares Shares issued in cancellation of debt Shares issued for cash, net of offering costs Shares issued as compensation Detachable warrants issued	- - - - - -	- - - - - -	- - - - - -	- - - - - -	(4,142,
Conversion of parent's shares Shares issued in cancellation of debt Shares issued for cash, net of offering costs Shares issued as compensation Detachable warrants issued Detachable warrants exercised	- - - - - - -	- - - - - - -	- - - - - - -	- - - - - - -	(4,142, (1,671,
Conversion of parent's shares Shares issued in cancellation of debt Shares issued for cash, net of offering costs Shares issued as compensation Detachable warrants issued Detachable warrants exercised Debentures converted to stock	- - - - - - -	- - - - - - -	- - - - - - -	- - - - - - -	
Conversion of parent's shares Shares issued in cancellation of debt Shares issued for cash, net of offering costs Shares issued as compensation Detachable warrants issued Detachable warrants exercised Debentures converted to stock Net loss	- - - - - - - -	- - - - - - -	- - - - - - -	- - - - - - -	(1,671,
Conversion of parent's shares Shares issued in cancellation of debt Shares issued for cash, net of offering costs Shares issued as compensation Detachable warrants issued Detachable warrants exercised Debentures converted to stock Net loss December 31, 1999  Conversion of parent's shares Shares issued for cash, net of	- - - - - - - -	- - - - - - - -	- - - - - - - -	- - - - - - - -	(1,671,
Conversion of parent's shares Shares issued in cancellation of debt Shares issued for cash, net of offering costs Shares issued as compensation Detachable warrants issued Detachable warrants exercised Debentures converted to stock  Net loss  December 31, 1999  Conversion of parent's shares Shares issued for cash, net of offering costs Shares issued in cancellation	- - - - - - - - -	- - - - - - - - -	- - - - - - - - -	- - - - - - - -	(1,671,
Conversion of parent's shares Shares issued in cancellation of debt Shares issued for cash, net of offering costs Shares issued as compensation Detachable warrants issued Detachable warrants exercised Debentures converted to stock Net loss December 31, 1999  Conversion of parent's shares Shares issued for cash, net of offering costs	- - - - - - - - - -	- - - - - - - - -	- - - - - - - - - -	- - - - - - - - -	(1,671,
Conversion of parent's shares Shares issued in cancellation of debt Shares issued for cash, net of offering costs Shares issued as compensation Detachable warrants issued Detachable warrants exercised Debentures converted to stock  Net loss  December 31, 1999  Conversion of parent's shares Shares issued for cash, net of offering costs Shares issued in cancellation of debt Shares issued in cancellation of accounts payable	- - - - - - - - -	- - - - - - - - - -	- - - - - - - - - - -	- - - - - - - - - -	(1,671,
Conversion of parent's shares Shares issued in cancellation of debt Shares issued for cash, net of offering costs Shares issued as compensation Detachable warrants issued Detachable warrants exercised Debentures converted to stock  Net loss  December 31, 1999  Conversion of parent's shares Shares issued for cash, net of offering costs Shares issued in cancellation of debt Shares issued in cancellation of accounts payable Shares issued as compensation	- - - - - - - - - (759,560)	- - - - - - - - - -		- - - - - - - - - -	(1,671,
Conversion of parent's shares Shares issued in cancellation of debt Shares issued for cash, net of offering costs Shares issued as compensation Detachable warrants issued Detachable warrants exercised Debentures converted to stock  Net loss  December 31, 1999  Conversion of parent's shares Shares issued for cash, net of offering costs Shares issued in cancellation of debt Shares issued in cancellation of accounts payable	- - - - - - - - - (759,560)	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	(1,671,
Conversion of parent's shares Shares issued in cancellation of debt Shares issued for cash, net of offering costs Shares issued as compensation Detachable warrants issued Detachable warrants exercised Debentures converted to stock  Net loss  December 31, 1999  Conversion of parent's shares Shares issued for cash, net of offering costs Shares issued in cancellation of debt Shares issued in cancellation of accounts payable Shares issued as compensation Warrants exercised	- - - - - - - - (759,560)	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	(1,671,
Conversion of parent's shares Shares issued in cancellation of debt Shares issued for cash, net of offering costs Shares issued as compensation Detachable warrants issued Detachable warrants exercised Debentures converted to stock  Net loss  December 31, 1999  Conversion of parent's shares Shares issued for cash, net of offering costs Shares issued in cancellation of debt Shares issued in cancellation of accounts payable Shares issued as compensation Warrants exercised Warrants expired	- - - - - - - - (759,560)	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	(1,671,  (5,813,

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements

F-5

Shares issued for cash, net of offering costs	_	_	_	_	
Shares issued as compensation	(230,512)	_	-	_	
Shares issued for previously purchased shares	_	_	_	_	
Shares issued in cancellation					
of accounts payable	_	_	_	_	
Amortization of deferred compensation	40E 026				
Stock options issued for	495,036	_	_	_	
services	_	_	_	_	
Net loss	-	_	_		(4,079,
December 31, 2001	(495,036)	_	-		(13,736,
Shares issued for cash, net					
of offering costs	_	-	_	_	
Shares issued as compensation Shares issued for previously	_	_	_	_	
purchased shares	_	_	_	_	
Shares issued in cancellation					
of accounts payable	-	_	_	_	
Amortization of deferred compensation	495,036	_	_	_	
Shares issued in cancellation	,				
of notes payable	_	_	_	_	
Stock options issued for services		_	_	_	
Net loss	_	_	_	_	(4,057,
December 31, 2002	-	-			(17,793,
Shares issued for cash, net of offering costs	_	_	_	_	
Shares issued as compensation	_	_	_	_	
Shares issued for previously					
purchased shares	-	-	_	_	
Shares issued in cancellation of accounts payable and					
accrued compensation	_	_	_	_	
Shares issued in cancellation					
of notes payable	_	_			
Shares issued in connection with equity financing	_	_	_	_	
Exercise of stock options	-	_	(1,119,848)	_	
Shares reacquired in settlement			. , , ,		
of judgement	-	_	_	(250,248)	
Stock options issued for services		_	_	_	
Net loss	_	_	_	_	(5,520,
21 2002				4050 010:	
December 31, 2003	_	_	(1,119,848)	(250,248)	(23,314,

,	 =======	 	========	==	========	========
December 31, 2004	 \$ (304,416)	\$ (16,580)	\$ 0		\$ (250,248)	\$(28,178,8
Net Loss	_	-		-	_	(4,864,3
Other comprehensive income (loss)	_	(16,580)			-	
Stock options issued for services	_	_			-	
of judgement	_	_			_	
Shares reacquired in settlement						
subscriptions receivable	_	_	5,978,66	8	_	
Stock retired in settlement of						
with equity financing	_	_		_	_	
Shares issued in connection						
Exercise of warrants	_	_		_	_	
Exercise of stock options	_	_	(4,858,82	0)	_	
purchased shares	_	_		_	_	
Shares issued for previously	•					
compensation	240,000	_		_	_	
Amortization of deferred	, , , , ,					
expensed	(544,416)	-		_	_	
Shares issued as compensation,						
of offering costs	_	_		_	_	
Shares issued for cash, net						

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements

F-5

## SAMARITAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

FROM INCEPTION (SEPTEMBER 5, 1994) AND FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2004 AND 2003

	(Sep	From Inception tember 5, 1994)	For the Enc Decem	
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:	DEC	To EMBER 31, 2004	 2004	 2
Net loss	\$	(28,178,837)	\$ (4,864,361)	\$ (5
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:				
Depreciation and amortization		1,147,834	27,218	
Stock based compensation		9,590,130	1,246,062	2
Stock options issued for services		1,377,315	567 <b>,</b> 771	
Amortization of deferred compensation		1,230,072	240,000	
Other income		(231,350)	(231,350)	
(Increase) decrease in assets:				
Interest receivable and prepaids		(89 <b>,</b> 589)	(55,092)	
Deposits		12,941	_	

Increase (decrease) in liabilities:						
Deferred revenue Accounts payable and accrued expenses		2,030,980		(218,144)		
necounce parable and accided expenses						
NET CASH USED IN OPERATING ACTIVITIES		(13,110,504)		(3,287,896)		(2
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: Purchase of technology		(108,969)		_		
Purchase of furniture and equipment		(115, 963)		(17,316)		
Note receivable		(250,000)		(250,000)		
Purchase of marketable securities		(2,000,000)		(2,000,000)		
Patent registration costs		(439,479)		(227,862)		
NET CASH USED IN INVESTING ACTIVITIES		(2,914,411)		(2,495,178)		
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:						
Proceeds from warrants		607 <b>,</b> 125		450,000		
Proceeds from debentures		642,120		_		
Proceeds from stock issued for cash		12,583,570		4,300,939		2
Proceeds from equity financing Proceeds from common stock to be issued		3,100,001 206,050		3,100,001		
Short-term loan repayments		(288, 422)		_		
Short-term loan proceeds		1,612,922		_		
-						
NET CASH PROVIDED BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES		18,463,366		7,850,940		2
CHANGE IN CASH		2,438,451		2,067,866		
CASH AT BEGINNING OF YEAR		-		370,585		
CASH AT END OF YEAR	\$	2,438,451	\$	2,438,451	\$	
	====		===		===	-===
NON-CASH FINANCING AND INVESTING ACTIVITIES:						
Purchase of net, non-cash assets of subsidiary for stock	ċ	195	ć		ć	
Short-term debt retired through issuance	\$	195	\$	_	\$	
of stock	\$	1,890,695	\$	_	\$	
Issuance of common stock, previously subscribed	\$	180,000	\$	12,500	\$	
Treasury stock acquired through settlement						
of judgement	\$	250,248	\$	_	\$	
Stock subscriptions receivable	\$	1,119,848	\$	_	\$	1
Stock retired in settlement of subscriptions receivable	ċ	/F 070 ((0)	ċ	/E 070 ((0)	ċ	
Stock received in settlement	\$ \$	(5,978,668) (231,350)	\$ \$	(5,978,668) (231,350)	\$ \$	
Stock received in Settlement Stock as compensation for services	\$	5,175,792	\$	1,246,062	\$	3
Stock issued in cancellation of accounts payable	\$	4,248,938	\$	-,210,002	\$	1
Exercise of stock options	\$	4,858,820	\$	4,858,820	\$	_

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements

F-6

# SAMARITAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. (A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY) NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2004 AND 2003

#### 1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

A. Samaritan Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ("the Company") was formed in September 1994 and became public in October 1997. Our principal executive offices are located in Las Vegas, Nevada.

Samaritan Pharmaceuticals is working to ensure a longer and better life, for patients suffering with AIDS, Alzheimer's, Cancer, and Cardiovascular disease. Samaritan is a pipeline-driven Biopharmaceutical company, with a clear focus on advancing early stage innovative drugs through clinical development, to become commercially valuable compounds.

#### B. Basis of Consolidation

The accompanying financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly owned subsidiary. All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

#### C. Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid temporary cash investments with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

#### D. Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are recorded at cost. Depreciation is provided using the straight line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets.

#### E. Intangibles

1) Legal fees associated with filing patents are recorded at cost. Amortization, once the patent is approved, will be calculated using the straight-line method, over the estimated useful lives of the patents.

The Company has 1 issued U.S. patent and had 13 pending patent applications in the U.S. to protect its proprietary methods and processes. The Company also filed corresponding foreign patent applications for certain of these U.S. patent applications. As of December 31, 2004, its patent portfolio outside the U.S. comprised 1 issued patent and over 13 pending patent applications. The issued U.S. patent and pending patent applications relate to Alzheimer's, Cancer, Cardiovascular, and HIV indications. Certain U.S. patents may be eligible for patent term extensions under the Hatch-Waxman Act may be available to Samaritan for the lost opportunity to market and sell the invention during the regulatory review process.

F-7

The Company reviews patent costs for impairment by comparing the carrying value

of the patents with the fair value. Fair value is estimated using the present value of expected future cash flows. The Company believes it will recover the full amount of the patent costs based on forecasts of sales of the products related to the patents.

2) Purchased technology rights are recorded at cost and are being amortized using the straight line method over the estimated useful life of the technology. Amortization was approximately \$10,896 for the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2003. Accumulated amortization at December 31, 2004 was \$78,090. Amortization expense associated with these technology rights for the next five years will be \$10,896 per year.

#### F. Earnings (loss) per share

The Company reports loss per common share in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") no. 128, "Earnings Per Share." The per share effects of potential common shares such as warrants, options, convertible debt and convertible preferred stock have not been included, as the effect would be antidilutive. The Company has 20,942,930 options outstanding at December 31, 2004, which were not included.

#### G. Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### H. Income Taxes

Pursuant to Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 109 ("SFAS 109")
"Accounting for Income Taxes", the Company accounts for income taxes under the liability method. Under the liability method, a deferred tax asset or liability is determined based upon the tax effect of the differences between the financial statement and tax basis of assets and liabilities as measured by the enacted rates, which will be in effect when these differences reverse.

#### I. Research and Development Costs

Research and development costs are expensed when incurred.

#### J. Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

The Company reviews long-lived assets and certain identifiable assets related to those on a quarterly basis for impairment whenever circumstances and situations change such that there is an indication that the carrying amounts may not be recovered. At December 31, 2004, the Company does not believe that any impairment has occurred.

F-8

#### K. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 107 "Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments" (SFAS 107) requires the disclosure of fair value information about financial instruments whether or not recognized on the balance sheet, for which it is practicable to estimate the value. Where quoted market

prices are not readily available, fair values are based on quoted market prices of comparable instruments. The carrying amount of cash, accounts payable and accrued expenses approximates fair value because of the short maturity of those instruments.

#### L. Stock Based Compensation

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," ("SFAS 123"), encourages, but does not require, companies to record compensation cost for stock-based employee compensation plans at fair value. The Company has chosen to account for stock-based compensation using the intrinsic value method prescribed in Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees", and related Interpretations. Accordingly, compensation cost for the Company's stock at the date of the grant over the amount of an employee must pay to acquire the stock. The Company has adopted the "disclosure only" alternative described in SFAS 123 and SFAS 148, which require pro forma disclosures of net income and earnings per share as if the fair value method of accounting had been applied.

#### M. Marketable Securities

At December 31, 2004, the Company holds three brokered Certificates of Deposit with a total market value of \$1,983,420. Unrealized gains and losses, determined by the difference between historical purchase price and the market value at each balance sheet date, are recorded as a component of Accumulated Other Comprehensive loss in Shareholder's Deficit. Realized gains and losses will be determined by the difference between historical purchase price and gross proceeds received when the marketable securities are sold.

#### N. New Accounting Pronouncements

In April 2003, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 149 ("SFAS 149"), "Amendment of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities". This statement amends SFAS 133 to provide clarification on the financial accounting and reporting of derivative instruments and hedging activities and requires contracts with similar characteristics to be accounted for on a comparable basis. The Company is in the process of assessing the effect of SFAS 149 and does not expect the adoption of this statement, which will be effective for contracts entered into or modified after June 30, 2003, to have a material effect on its financial position or results of operations.

In May 2003, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 150 ("SFAS 150"), "Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments and Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity". SFAS 150 establishes standards on the classification and measurement of financial instruments with characteristics of both liabilities and equity. SFAS 150 became effective for financial instruments entered into or modified after May 31, 2003. The adoption of SFAS 150 is not expected to have a material effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

F-9

In December 2004, the FASB issued FASB Statement No. 123R, "Share-Based Payment, an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 123" ("FAS No. 123R"). FAS No. 123R requires companies to recognize in the statement of operations the grant- date fair value of stock options and other equity-based compensation issued to employees. FAS No. 123R is effective beginning in the Company's second quarter of fiscal 2006. The Company is in process of evaluating the impact of this pronouncement on its financial position.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS Statement No. 153, "Exchanges of Nonmonetary Assets." The Statement is an amendment of APB Opinion No. 29 to eliminate the exception for nonmonetary exchanges of similar productive assets and replaces it with a general exception for exchanges of nonmonetary assets that do not have commercial substance. The Company believes that the adoption of this standard will have no material impact on its financial statements.

Management does not believe that any recently issued but not yet effective accounting pronouncements if currently adopted would have a material effect on the accompanying financial statements.

#### 2. PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

Property and equipment, at cost, consist of the following as of December 31, 2004:

	Estimated Useful Life (Years)	
Furniture and Fixtures Software Accumulated depreciation	3-7 3	\$106,494 9,470 (78,743)
		\$ 37,221 =======

Depreciation expense for the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2003 was \$16,322 and \$12,880 respectively.

#### 3. SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

On June 27, 2003, the Company amended its articles of incorporation to increase the authorized number of shares to 200 million and on April 24, 2001, a class of 5 million shares of preferred stock. There are no outstanding preferred stock shares at December 31, 2004.

### A. 2001 Stock Option Plan (the "2001 Plan").

The short and long-term compensation program includes stock options granted under the Stock Incentive Plan as well as non-qualified stock options. The Option Plan is designed to reward executives for achieving long-term financial performance goals over a three-year to ten-year period, provide retention incentives for executives, and tie a significant portion of an executive's total compensation to long-term performance. Stock options for executive officers and key associates are part of the incentive program and link the enhancement of shareholder value directly to their total compensation.

F - 10

Shares Available under the Plan: The number of awards that may be granted under the 2001 Plan in each calendar year will not exceed twenty percent (20%) of (i) the total shares of common stock outstanding on a fully diluted basis, without taking into account awards outstanding under the 2001 Plan that are exercisable for or convertible into common stock or that are unvested stock awards (referred to as "outstanding awards"), at the close of business on the last day of the preceding calendar year, less (ii) the number of shares subject to "outstanding awards" at the close of business on that date.

There were 25,000,806 options granted and 20,924,930 options remaining outstanding pursuant to the plan as of December 31, 2004.

The following table summarizes the Company's stock options outstanding at

December 31, 2004:

	Shares	_	ed average se price
Outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2002 Granted Exercised Expired	8,994,208 14,758,942 (7,770,892) (20,000)	\$	.25 .22 (.14) (.10)
Outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2003 Granted Exercised Expired	15,962,258 25,000,806 (17,585,468) (2,452,666)	\$	.34 .51 (.30) (.51)
Outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2004	20,924,930	\$ ======	.56

The Company applies APB No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," and related interpretations in accounting for its stock options. As a result no compensation expense has been recognized for employee and director stock options. Had the Company determined compensation cost based on the fair value at the grant date for its stock options under SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," the Company's net loss would have been reported as follows:

531)
531)
.07)
.10)

F-11

The Company utilizes the Black-Scholes option-pricing model to calculate the fair value of each individual issuance of options with the following assumptions used for grants during the year ended December 31, 2004 and 2003. The per-share weighted average fair value of stock options granted during 2004 and 2003 was \$0.24 and \$0.19, respectively, on the date of grant using the Black Scholes pricing model and the following assumptions for the year ended December 31, 2004 and 2003:

	2004	2003
Expected dividend yield	0%	0%
Risk-free interest rate	5%	5%
Annualized volatility	82%	122%

At December 31, 2004 the range of exercise price for all of the Company's outstanding stock options was \$.10 - \$1.26, with an average remaining life of five years and an average exercise price of \$.56.

C. Stock as compensation and settlement of debt

The Company issues stock as compensation for services valuing such issues premised upon the fair market value of the stock.

During the year ended December 31, 2004, the Company issued an aggregate of 2,081,249 shares of common stock in consideration of services rendered or to be rendered to the Company. Such shares were valued at an aggregate of \$1,790,478 ranging from \$.16 - \$1.19 per share, representing the fair value of the shares issued. The issuances were recorded as non-cash compensation expense and deferred compensation. The unamortized balance of deferred compensation at December 31, 2004 is \$304,416.

During the year ended December 31, 2003, the Company issued an aggregate of 4,062,833 shares of common stock in consideration of services rendered or to be rendered to the Company. Such shares were valued at an aggregate of \$553,842 ranging from \$.16-\$.71 per share, representing the fair value of the shares issued. The issuances were recorded as non-cash compensation expense. During the year ended December 31, 2003 the Company exchanged 12,740,870 shares of the Company's common stock in settlement of accounts payable, accrued salaries for officers and equity financing totaling \$1,152,703. To the extent that the market value of shares issued as payment of accrued salaries exceeded the recorded amount of accrued salaries, such amount was recognized as additional compensation. The amount of additional compensation recorded at December 31, 2003 was \$2,305,863.

During the year ended December 31, 2004, the Company also issued 8,758,240 shares in connection with the common stock purchase agreement with Fusion Capital (Note 9).

#### D. Private Placement

During the year ended December 31, 2004, through various private placements, the Company sold 11,426,733 shares for \$4,300,938. During the year 2003, through various private placements, the Company sold 17,493,664 shares for \$2,409,789.

F-12

#### 4. INCOME TAXES

The Company has net operating losses at December 31, 2004 of approximately \$15,200,000 expiring through 2024. Utilization of these losses may be limited by the "change of ownership" rules as set forth in section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code.

Deferred income tax assets as of December 31, 2004 of \$5,320,000 as a result of net operating losses, have been fully offset by valuation allowances. The valuation allowances have been established equal to the full amounts of the deferred tax assets, as the Company is not assured that it is more likely than not that these benefits will be realized.

A reconciliation of the statutory U.S. Federal rate (35%) and effective rates is as follows:

Years	Ended	December	31,
2004			2003

Expected income tax benefit at
Federal statutory rate
Permanent differences
Benefit not recognized

#### 5. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

A. The Company leases various facilities under operating lease agreements expiring through April 2005. Rental expense for the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2003 was \$49,883 and \$40,006 respectively. Future minimum annual lease payments under the facilities lease agreements for agreements lasting more than one year are as follows:

2005 \$11,120

B. During the year ended December 31, 2004, the Company amended its research collaboration and licensing agreement with Georgetown University ("Georgetown"), which terminates in 2014. As consideration for Georgetown's performance under this Agreement the Company shall pay Georgetown \$1,000,000 per year in quarterly installments commencing with the quarter ended March 31, 2004.

C. The Company has entered into employment agreements with two officers. These agreements started January 1, 2001 and are for five years with annual compensation for both at \$780,000, with an annual increase not less than 5% per year. Each officer at their option can receive payment in Company common stock calculated at the lowest closing price of the stock quoted for the period for which the salary has been earned, divided by the current discount rate for restricted stock offered by the Company.

F-13

Each officer is entitled to a bonus payable in ten year warrants based on a calculation of the Company's market capitalization. In addition each officer is guaranteed annual incentive stock options of the greater of \$250,000 or a percentage of the issued and outstanding shares on the anniversary date of the agreement. The percentage ranges from 1% to 4%. Such options vest 25% each quarter and are priced at the lowest closing price of the Company's common stock in the quarter preceding the grant. The options terminate after ten years.

#### 6. LITIGATION

Samaritan, from time to time, is involved in various legal proceedings in the ordinary course of its business.

## 7. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

In the ordinary course of business, we entered into transactions with Clay County Holdings ("CCH"). These transactions include loans made to and from CCH. In the past, CCH had made a loan to Samaritan which Samaritan paid off in 2003. During 2004, Samaritan created a notes receivable with CCH for \$250,000 which amount bears interest at a rate of 12% per annum. The note receivable is secured by pledge of common stock in Samaritan owned by CCH. CCH is also an affiliate of Nevada Gold and Casinos through CCH ownership of over 10% of Nevada Gold and Casinos common stock. A Director of the Company is the CEO of Nevada Gold and Casinos but is not a shareholder of CCH.

#### 8. OTHER INCOME

Other income consists of the return of 250,000 shares of common stock that had been issued as compensation to a consultant in a prior year. The shares were returned due to the fact that the services were not performed. The shares were valued at their original issuance value, \$231,350.

#### 9. FUSION TRANSACTION

On April 22, 2003, the Company entered into a common stock purchase agreement with Fusion Capital Fund II, LLC, pursuant to which Fusion Capital has agreed to purchase shares our common stock from time to time at the Company's option up to an aggregate amount of \$10,000,000. The SEC declared effective the Company's registration statement on Form SB-2, Commission Registration No. 333-105818 on October 9, 2003. In the year ended December 31, 2004, the Company sold 8,758,240 shares of common stock to Fusion Capital for gross proceeds of \$3,100,000. The proceeds from these sales were used for general corporate purposes and working capital.

#### 10. RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES

Marketability of the product is dependent, among other things, upon securing additional capital to successfully complete the clinical testing of the product, securing FDA approval, and procurement of viable patents.