	_			
- 1	12.	_	 •	_

Unknown document format

on of the Application of FASB Statement No. 71.

In conjunction with the discontinuance of SFAS 71, TDS Telecom has assessed the useful lives of fixed assets and determined that the impact of any changes were not material.

**Net Income (Loss) Available to Common** totaled \$231.7 million, or \$1.93 per diluted share, in 2007, compared to \$75.2 million, or \$0.64 per diluted share, in 2006.

#### RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* (SFAS 157). SFAS 157 defines fair value as used in numerous accounting pronouncements, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in U.S. GAAP, and expands disclosures related to the use of fair value measures in financial statements. SFAS 157 does not expand the use of fair value measurements in financial statements, but standardizes its definition and guidance in U.S. GAAP. SFAS 157 emphasizes that fair value is a market-based measurement and not an entity-specific measurement, based on an exchange transaction in which the entity sells an asset or transfers a liability (exit price). SFAS 157 establishes a fair value hierarchy, from observable market data as the highest level to an entity s own fair value assumptions as the lowest level. SFAS 157 is effective for TDS 2008 financial statements. TDS is currently reviewing the requirements of SFAS 157 and has not determined the impact, if any, on its financial position or results of operations.

In September 2006, the FASB ratified Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 06-1, *Accounting for Consideration Given by a Service Provider to Manufacturers or Resellers of Equipment Necessary for an End-Customer to Receive Service from the Service Provider* (EITF 06-1). This guidance requires the application of EITF 01-9, *Accounting for Consideration Given by a Vendor to a Customer* (EITF 01-9), when consideration is given to a reseller or manufacturer for benefit to the service provider s end customer. EITF 01-9 requires that the consideration given be recorded as a liability at the time of the sale of the equipment and also provides guidance for the classification of the expense. EITF 06-1 is effective for TDS 2008 financial statements. TDS is currently reviewing the requirements of EITF 06-1 and has not yet determined the impact, if any, on its financial position or results of operations.

In February 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities, Including an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 115* (SFAS 159). SFAS 159 permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value at specified election dates. Unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected shall be reported in earnings at each subsequent reporting date. SFAS 159 also establishes presentation and disclosure requirements designed to facilitate comparisons between entities that choose different measurement attributes for similar types of assets and liabilities. SFAS 159 is effective for TDS 2008 financial statements. TDS is currently reviewing the requirements of SFAS 159 and has not yet determined the impact, if any, on its financial position or results of operations.

#### FINANCIAL RESOURCES

TDS operates in a capital- and marketing-intensive business. In recent years, TDS has generated cash from its operating activities, received cash proceeds from divestitures, used its short-term credit facilities and used long-term debt financing to fund its construction costs and operating expenses. TDS anticipates further increases in wireless customers, revenues, operating expenses, cash flows from operating activities and fixed asset additions in the future. Cash flows may fluctuate from quarter to quarter and from year to year due to seasonality, market startups and other factors. The following table provides a summary of TDS—cash flow activities for the periods shown:

	Nine Months Ended September 30,					
	2007			2006		
	(Dollars in thousands)					
Cash flows from (used in)						
Operating activities	\$	773,230	\$	671,000		
Investing activities		(390,692)		(481,658)		
Financing activities		(75,885)		(255,377)		
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	\$	306,653	\$	(66,035)		

#### **Cash Flows from Operating Activities**

TDS generated substantial cash flows from operating activities during the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006. Such cash flows were \$773.2 million and \$671.0 million, respectively. Excluding changes in assets and liabilities from operations, cash flows from operating activities totaled \$676.1 million in 2007 and \$755.4 million in 2006. Changes in assets and liabilities from operations generated \$97.1 million in 2007 and required \$84.4 million in 2006, reflecting higher net working capital balances required to support higher levels of business activity as well as higher taxes payable in 2007 related to the settlement of the forward contracts and differences in the timing of collections and payments.

The following table is a summary of the components of cash flows from operating activities:

	Nine Months Ended September 30,				
	2007		2006		
	(Dollars in thousands)				
Net income	\$	442,435	\$	277,995	
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash					
provided by operating activities		233,627		477,402	
	\$	676,062	\$	755,397	
Changes in assets and liabilities		97,168		(84,397)	
	\$	773,230	\$	671,000	

#### **Cash Flows from Investing Activities**

TDS makes substantial investments each year to acquire wireless licenses and properties and to construct, operate and upgrade modern high-quality communications networks and facilities as a basis for creating long-term value for shareholders. In recent years, rapid changes in technology and new opportunities have required substantial investments in revenue enhancing upgrades to TDS networks. Cash flows used for investing activities required \$390.7 million in the first nine months of 2007 compared to \$481.7 million 2006.

Cash used for property, plant and equipment and system development totaled \$464.8 million in 2007 and \$516.6 million in 2006. The primary purpose of TDS—construction and expansion expenditures is to provide for significant customer and usage growth, to upgrade service, and to take advantage of service-enhancing and cost-reducing technological developments in order to maintain competitive services. U.S. Cellular—s capital additions totaled \$377.4 million in 2007 and \$421.4 million in 2006 representing expenditures to construct cell sites, increase capacity in existing cell sites and switches, remodel new and existing retail stores and continue the development and enhancement of U.S. Cellular—s office systems. TDS Telecom—s capital expenditures for its incumbent local exchange carrier operations totaled \$70.4 million in 2007 and \$73.8 million in 2006 representing expenditures for switch modernization and outside plant facilities to maintain and enhance the quality of service and to offer new revenue opportunities. TDS Telecom—s capital expenditures for its competitive local exchange carrier operations totaled \$10.7 million in 2007 and \$11.6 million in 2006 for switching and other network facilities. Corporate and other capital expenditures totaled \$6.3 million in 2007 and \$9.8 million in 2006.

In connection with the settlement of the forward contracts related to TDS VeriSign Inc. Common Shares, a portion of TDS Deutsche Telekom ordinary shares, and U.S. Cellular s Vodafone ADRs, the remaining shares were sold with proceeds totaling \$91.7 million. See Marketable Equity Securities and Forward Contracts section in Liquidity and Capital resources for

further details.

TDS Telecom in the past obtained financing from the Rural Telephone Bank (RTB). In connection with such financings, TDS Telecom purchased stock in the RTB. TDS Telecom had repaid all of its debt to the RTB, but continued to own the RTB stock. In August 2005, the board of directors of the RTB approved resolutions to liquidate and dissolve the RTB. In order to effect the dissolution and liquidation, shareholders were asked to remit their shares to receive cash compensation for those shares. TDS Telecom remitted its shares and received \$101.7 million from the RTB in the second quarter of 2006.

62

At an Extraordinary General Meeting held on July 25, 2006, shareholders of Vodafone approved a Special Distribution of £0.15 per share (£1.50 per ADR) and a Share Consolidation under which every 8 ADRs of Vodafone were consolidated into 7 ADRs. As a result of the Special Distribution, which was paid on August 18, 2006, U.S. Cellular and TDS Telecom received approximately \$28.6 million and \$7.6 million, respectively, in cash; this amount, representing a return of capital for financial statement purposes, was recorded as a reduction in the accounting basis of the marketable equity securities. Also, as a result of the Share Consolidation which was effective on July 28, 2006, U.S. Cellular s previous 10,245,370 Vodafone ADRs were consolidated into 8,964,698 Vodafone ADRs and TDS Telecom s previous 2,700,545 Vodafone ADRs were consolidated into 2,362,976 ADRs.

Acquisitions required \$20.6 million in 2007 and \$98.4 million in 2006 and divestitures provided \$4.3 million in 2007 and \$0.7 million in 2006. On February 1, 2007, U.S. Cellular purchased 100% of the membership interests of Iowa 15 Wireless, LLC (Iowa 15) and obtained the 25 megahertz Federal Communications Commission cellular license to provide wireless service in Iowa RSA 15, for \$18.2 million in cash. In April 2006, U.S. Cellular purchased the remaining ownership interest in a Tennessee wireless market, in which it had previously owned a 16.7% interest, for approximately \$18.8 million in cash. Also in 2006, U.S. Cellular made capital contributions and advances to Barat Wireless, which is consolidated with U.S. Cellular for financial reporting purposes, and/or its general partner, of \$79.9 million to provide initial funding of Barat Wireless s participation in the FCC s Auction 66.

#### **Cash Flows from Financing Activities**

Cash flows from financing activities primarily reflect issuances and repayments of short-term debt, proceeds from issuance of long-term debt and from entering into forward contracts, repayments of long-term debt and repurchases of common shares. TDS has used short-term debt to finance acquisitions, to repurchase common shares and for other general corporate purposes. Cash flows from operating activities, proceeds from forward contracts and, from time to time, the sale of non-strategic cellular and other investments have been used to reduce short-term debt. In addition, from time to time, TDS has used proceeds from the issuance of long-term debt to reduce short-term debt.

Cash flows from financing activities required \$75.9 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and required \$255.4 million in the same period of 2006. Cash received from short term borrowings on revolving lines of credit provided \$25.0 million in 2007 and \$390.0 million in 2006 while repayments required \$60.0 million in 2007 and \$375.0 million in 2006. Redemptions of medium-term notes required \$35.0 million and repayments of long-term debt, including \$200.0 million of 7% unsecured senior notes plus accrued interest, required \$202.4 million in 2006. Proceeds received from the re-issuances of treasury shares in connection with employee benefit plans at TDS provided \$111.1 million in 2007 and \$3.0 million in 2006. Proceeds received from the re-issuances of treasury shares in connection with employee benefit plans at U.S. Cellular provided \$16.5 million in 2007 and \$3.9 million in 2006. Dividends paid on TDS Common Shares and Preferred Shares, required \$34.3 million in 2007 and \$32.2 million in 2006.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2007, TDS repurchased 1,483,193 Special Common Shares for \$89.1 million, or \$60.45 per share. A total of \$85.6 million was paid in cash before September 30, 2007 and \$3.5 million was paid in October. TDS did not repurchase any common shares in 2006. During the nine months ended September 30, 2007, U.S. Cellular paid \$65.2 million, to an investment bank in connection with accelerated share repurchase programs with respect to 838,000 U.S. Cellular Common Shares. See Repurchase of Securities and Dividends section in Liquidity and Capital Resources for further details. U.S. Cellular did not repurchase any of its Common Shares in 2006.

As indicated above, TDS generated cash flows from operating activities of \$773.2 million and \$671.0 million during the first nine months of 2007 and 2006, respectively. At September 30, 2007, TDS had cash and cash equivalents of \$1,320.0 million. TDS believes that cash flows from operating activities, existing cash balances and funds available from the revolving credit facilities provide substantial financial flexibility for TDS to meet both its short- and long-term needs for the foreseeable future. In addition, TDS and its subsidiaries may have access to public and private capital markets to help meet their long-term financing needs.

63

However, the availability of external financial resources is dependent on economic events, business developments, technological changes, financial conditions or other factors, some of which are not in TDS control. If at any time financing is not available on terms acceptable to TDS, TDS might be required to reduce its business development and capital expenditure plans, which could have a materially adverse effect on its business and financial condition. TDS cannot provide assurances that circumstances that could materially adversely affect TDS liquidity or capital resources will not occur. Economic downturns, changes in financial markets or other factors could affect TDS liquidity and availability of capital resources. Uncertainty of access to capital for telecommunications companies, deterioration in the capital markets, other changes in market conditions or other factors could limit or restrict the availability of financing on terms and prices acceptable to TDS, which could require TDS to reduce its construction, development and acquisition programs.

#### **Revolving Credit Facilities**

TDS has a \$600 million revolving credit facility available for general corporate purposes. At September 30, 2007, TDS had no outstanding notes payable and \$3.4 million letters of credit were outstanding, leaving \$596.6 million available for use. Borrowings under the revolving credit facility bear interest at the London InterBank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus a contractual spread based on TDS credit rating. At September 30, 2007, the contractual spread was 75 basis points. TDS may select borrowing periods of either seven days or one, two, three or six months (the one-month LIBOR was 5.12% at September 30, 2007). If TDS provides less than two days notice of intent to borrow, interest on borrowings is at the prime rate less 50 basis points (the prime rate was 7.75% at September 30, 2007). This credit facility expires in December 2009.

TDS also has \$75 million of direct bank lines of credit at September 30, 2007, all of which were unused. The terms of the direct lines of credit bear negotiated interest rates up to the prime rate (the prime rate was 7.75% at September 30, 2007).

U.S. Cellular has a \$700 million revolving credit facility available for general corporate purposes. At September 30, 2007, U.S. Cellular had no outstanding notes payable and \$0.2 million letters of credit were outstanding, leaving \$699.8 million available for use. Borrowings under the revolving credit facility bear interest at LIBOR plus a contractual spread based on U.S. Cellular s credit rating. At September 30, 2007, the contractual spread was 75 basis points. U.S. Cellular may select borrowing periods of either seven days or one, two, three or six months (the one-month LIBOR was 5.12% at September 30, 2007). If U.S. Cellular provides less than two days notice of intent to borrow, interest on borrowings is the prime rate less 50 basis points (the prime rate was 7.75% at September 30, 2007). This credit facility expires in December 2009.

TDS and U.S. Cellular s interest costs on their revolving credit facilities would increase if their credit ratings from Moody s were lowered. However, their credit facilities would not cease to be available solely as a result of a decline in their credit ratings. A downgrade in TDS or U.S. Cellular s credit ratings could adversely affect their ability to renew existing, or obtain access to new, credit facilities in the future. TDS and U.S. Cellular s credit ratings are as follows:

Moody s (Issued September 20, 2007) Baa3 stable outlook

Standard & Poor s (Issued June 21, 2007) BB+ with developing outlook

Fitch (Issued August 16, 2007) BBB+ stable outlook

On September 20, 2007, Moody s changed its outlook on TDS and U.S. Cellular s credit rating to stable from under review for possible further downgrade.

On August 16, 2007, Fitch changed its outlook on TDS and U.S. Cellular s credit rating to stable from ratings watch negative.

On February 13, 2007, Standard & Poor s lowered its credit ratings on TDS and U.S. Cellular to BBB- from BBB. The ratings remained on credit watch with negative implications. On April 23, 2007, Standard & Poor s lowered its credit rating on TDS and U.S. Cellular to BB+ from BBB-. The ratings remained on credit watch with negative implications. On June 21, 2007, Standard & Poor s affirmed the BB+ rating, and removed the company from Credit Watch. The outlook is developing.

The maturity dates of certain of TDS and U.S. Cellular s revolving credit facilities would accelerate in the event of a change in control.

The continued availability of the revolving credit facilities requires TDS and U.S. Cellular to comply with certain negative and affirmative covenants, maintain certain financial ratios and represent certain matters at the time of each borrowing. As noted in Note 15, TDS and U.S. Cellular were in default of the revolving credit facilities due to restatements and late SEC filings. TDS and U.S. Cellular received waivers of such defaults and subsequently made all required filings and ceased to be in default. TDS and U.S. Cellular believe they were in compliance as of September 30, 2007 with all covenants and other requirements set forth in the revolving credit facilities.

#### Long-term Debt

TDS long-term debt indentures do not contain any provisions resulting in acceleration of the maturities of outstanding debt in the event of a change in TDS credit rating. However, a downgrade in TDS credit rating could adversely affect its ability to obtain long-term debt financing in the future. TDS believes it was in compliance as of September 30, 2007 with all covenants and other requirements set forth in its long-term debt indenture.

#### **Marketable Equity Securities and Forward Contracts**

TDS and its subsidiaries hold a substantial amount of marketable equity securities that are publicly traded and can have volatile movements in share prices. TDS and its subsidiaries do not make direct investments in publicly traded companies and all of these interests were acquired as a result of sales, trades or reorganizations of other assets.

TDS investment in Deutsche Telekom AG ( Deutsche Telekom ) resulted from TDS disposition of its over 80%-owned personal communications services operating subsidiary, Aerial Communications, Inc., to VoiceStream Wireless Corporation ( VoiceStream ) in exchange for stock of VoiceStream, which was then acquired by Deutsche Telekom in exchange for Deutsche Telekom stock. The investment in Vodafone Group Plc ( Vodafone ) resulted from certain dispositions of non-strategic cellular investments to, or settlements with, AirTouch Communications, Inc. ( AirTouch ) in exchange for stock of AirTouch, which was then acquired by Vodafone whereby TDS and its subsidiaries received American Depositary Receipts ( ADRs ) representing Vodafone stock. The investment in VeriSign, Inc. ( VeriSign ) resulted from the acquisition by VeriSign of Illuminet, Inc., a telecommunications entity in which several TDS subsidiaries held interests. The investment in Rural Cellular Corporation ( Rural Cellular ) resulted from a consolidation of several cellular partnerships in which TDS subsidiaries held interests into Rural Cellular, and the distribution of Rural Cellular stock in exchange for these interests.

Subsidiaries of TDS have a number of forward contracts with counterparties related to the marketable equity securities that they hold. The forward contracts mature from July 2007 to September 2008 and, at TDS—option, may be settled in shares of the respective securities or cash. TDS has and until May 2007 U.S. Cellular had provided guarantees to the counterparties which provide assurance that all principal and interest amounts will be paid by its subsidiary when due. If shares are delivered in the settlement of the forward contract, TDS would incur a current tax liability at the time of delivery. Deferred taxes have been provided for the difference between the book basis and the tax basis of the marketable equity securities and are included in deferred tax liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. As of September 30, 2007, such deferred tax liabilities totaled \$582.8 million.

TDS is and until May 2007 U.S. Cellular was required to comply with certain covenants under the forward contracts. As noted in Note 17, TDS and U.S. Cellular were in default of certain forward contracts due to restatements and late SEC filings. TDS and U.S. Cellular received waivers of such defaults and subsequently made all required filings and ceased to be in default. TDS believes that it was in compliance as of September 30, 2007 with all covenants and other requirements set forth in its forward contracts. U.S. Cellular did not have any forward contracts as of September 30, 2007.

A portion of the forward contracts related to the Deutsche Telekom ordinary shares held by TDS matured in July through September 2007. The loan amounts associated with the forward contracts were \$516.9 million. TDS elected to deliver a substantial majority of the 45,492,172 Deutsche Telekom ordinary shares in settlement of the forward contracts, and to dispose of all of its remaining Deutsche Telekom ordinary shares related to such forward contracts. TDS recognized a pre-tax gain of \$248.9 million at the time of the delivery of the Deutsche Telekom ordinary shares. Since shares were delivered in the settlement of the forward contract, TDS incurred a current tax liability in the amount of \$176.5 million at the time of the delivery. After these forward contracts were settled in July through September 2007, TDS owns 85,969,689 of the Deutsche Telekom ordinary shares and has a derivative liability of \$516.6 million under the related forward contract. TDS will determine whether to settle the remaining forward contracts in shares or in cash at a time closer to the maturity dates.

Forward contracts related to the VeriSign Common Shares held by TDS and the Vodafone ADRs held by U.S. Cellular matured in May 2007. The loan amounts associated with the forward contracts related to the VeriSign Common Shares held by TDS and the Vodafone ADRs held by U.S. Cellular were \$20.8 million and \$159.9 million, respectively. TDS elected to deliver a substantial majority of the 2,361,333 VeriSign Common Shares in settlement of the forward contracts, and to dispose of all remaining VeriSign Common Shares in connection therewith. U.S. Cellular elected to deliver a substantial majority of its 8,964,698 Vodafone ADRs in settlement of the forward contracts, and to dispose of all of its remaining Vodafone ADRs in connection therewith. After these forward contracts were settled in May 2007, TDS no longer owns any VeriSign Common Shares, U.S. Cellular no longer owns any Vodafone ADRs and TDS and U.S. Cellular no longer have any liability or other obligations under such forward contracts. TDS recognized a pre-tax gain of \$137.9 million at the time of the delivery of the VeriSign Common Shares and Vodafone ADRs. Since shares were delivered in the settlement of the forward contracts, TDS incurred a current tax liability in the amount of \$43.4 million at the time of the delivery.

The following table details the outstanding forward contracts, related marketable equity securities, and maturity dates of the contracts as of September 30, 2007, all of which relate to TDS.

Marketable Equity Security	Shares	Loan Amounts (Dollars in thousands)	Maturity Date	
Vodafone Group Plc	2,362,976	\$ 41,183	Fourth Quarter 2007	
Deutsche Telekom AG	30,000,000	340,963	First Quarter 2008	
Deutsche Telekom AG	38,000,000	452,104	Second Quarter 2008	
Unamortized Discount		(6,094)		
		446,010		
Deutsche Telekom AG	17,969,689	222,298	Third Quarter 2008	
Unamortized Discount		(8,387)		
		213,911		
		\$ 1,042,067		

On October 19, 2007, TDS elected to deliver a substantial majority of the 2,362,976 Vodafone ADRs in settlement of the forward contracts relating to such Vodafone ADRs, with a loan value of \$41.2 million, and to dispose of the remaining Vodafone ADRs in connection with such forward contracts. TDS will recognize a pre-tax gain of \$39.9 million in the fourth quarter reflecting the delivery of the Vodafone ADRs. Since ADRs were delivered in the settlement of the forward contract, TDS will incur a current tax liability in the amount of \$10.9 million in the fourth quarter at the time of the delivery. As a result, following such settlement and disposition, TDS no longer owns any Vodafone ADRs.

Based on the delivery of VeriSign Common Shares, a portion of the Deutsche Telekom shares, and Vodafone ADRs in 2007 and assuming the delivery of shares upon settlement of all of the other forward contracts and based on the fair market value of the marketable equity securities and the related derivative liabilities as of September 30, 2007, TDS would be required to pay federal and state income taxes of approximately \$581.9 million; \$219.9 million related to settlements in the second and third quarter of 2007; \$10.9 million related to settlements in the fourth quarter of 2007; and \$351.1 million related to settlements in 2008. These cash outflows will be offset somewhat by the net after-tax proceeds from the sale of the remaining shares for cash. The amount of income taxes payable will change upon settlement of the forward contracts as the marketable equity securities and the related derivative liabilities will be valued as of the settlement date, not September 30, 2007.

Deutsche Telekom paid a dividend of EUR 0.72 per share in May 2007. Using a weighted-average exchange rate of \$1.36 per EUR, TDS recorded dividend income of \$128.5 million, before taxes, in the second quarter of 2007.

TDS and its subsidiaries own 719,396 shares of Rural Cellular Corporation (RCCC). On July 30, 2007, RCCC announced that Verizon Wireless has agreed to purchase the outstanding shares of RCCC for \$45 per share in cash. The acquisition is expected to close in the first half of 2008. If the transaction closes, TDS will receive approximately \$32.4 million in cash, recognize a \$31.7 million pre-tax gain and cease to own any interest in RCCC.

#### **Capital Expenditures**

U.S. Cellular s anticipated capital expenditures for 2007 primarily reflect plans for construction, system expansion and the buildout of certain of its licensed areas. U.S. Cellular plans to finance its construction program using cash flows from operating activities and short-term financing. U.S. Cellular s capital spending for 2007 is currently expected to be approximately \$600 million. These expenditures primarily address the following needs:

Expand and enhance U.S. Cellular s coverage in its service areas;

Provide additional capacity to accommodate increased network usage by current customers; and

Enhance U.S. Cellular s retail store network and office systems.

TDS Telecom s anticipated capital spending for 2007 is currently expected to range from \$120 to \$140 million to provide for normal growth and to upgrade plant and equipment to provide enhanced services.

#### Acquisitions, Divestitures and Exchanges

TDS assesses its existing wireless and wireline interests on an ongoing basis with a goal of improving competitiveness of its operations and maximizing its long-term return on investment. As part of this strategy, TDS reviews attractive opportunities to acquire additional operating markets, telecommunications companies and wireless spectrum. In addition, TDS may seek to divest outright or include in exchanges for other interests those markets and interests that are not strategic to its long-term success. TDS may from time-to-time be engaged in negotiations relating to the acquisition, divestiture or exchange of companies, strategic properties or wireless spectrum. In addition, TDS may participate as a bidder, or member of a bidding group, in auctions administered by the FCC.

From time to time, the FCC conducts auctions through which additional spectrum is made available for the provision of wireless services. The FCC has scheduled an auction of spectrum in the 700 MHz band, designated by the FCC as Auction 73, to begin on January 24, 2008. Although its participation is more likely than not, TDS has not made a final determination as to whether it will participate in the auction. TDS has participated in certain prior FCC auctions, as discussed below.

On February 1, 2007, U.S. Cellular purchased 100% of the membership interests of Iowa 15 Wireless, LLC ( Iowa 15 ) and obtained the 25 megahertz FCC cellular license to provide wireless service in Iowa RSA 15, for \$18.2 million in cash. This acquisition increased investments in licenses, goodwill and customer lists by \$7.9 million, \$5.9 million and \$1.6 million, respectively.

In addition, during the first nine months of 2007, TDS Telecom and Suttle Straus each acquired a company for cash, which purchases aggregated \$2.3 million. These acquisitions increased goodwill by \$1.8 million of which \$1.0 million is deductible for income tax purposes.

A wholly-owned subsidiary of U.S. Cellular is a limited partner in Barat Wireless, L.P. (Barat Wireless), an entity which participated in the auction of wireless spectrum designated by the FCC as Auction 66. Barat Wireless was qualified to receive a 25% discount available to very small businesses which are defined as having annual gross revenues of less than \$15 million. At the conclusion of the auction on September 18, 2006, Barat Wireless was the high bidder with respect to 17 licenses and had bid \$127.1 million, net of its discount. On April 30, 2007, the FCC granted Barat Wireless applications with respect to the 17 licenses for which it was the winning bidder.

Barat Wireless is in the process of developing its long-term business and financing plans. As of September 30, 2007, U.S. Cellular had made capital contributions and advances to Barat Wireless and/or its general partner of \$127.2 million. Barat Wireless used the funding to pay the FCC an initial deposit of \$79.9 million on July 14, 2006 to allow it to participate in Auction 66. On October 18, 2006, Barat Wireless paid the balance due at the conclusion of the auction for the licenses with respect to which Barat Wireless was the high bidder; such amount totaled \$47.2 million. For financial statement purposes, U.S. Cellular consolidates Barat Wireless and Barat Wireless, Inc., the general partner of Barat Wireless, pursuant to the guidelines of FASB Interpretation No. 46(R), *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, and interpretation of ARB* No. 51, (FIN 46(R)), as U.S. Cellular anticipates benefiting from or absorbing a majority of Barat Wireless expected gains or losses. Pending finalization of Barat Wireless permanent financing plan, and upon request by Barat Wireless, U.S. Cellular may agree to make additional capital contributions and advances to Barat Wireless and/or its general partner.

In October 2006, Midwest Wireless Communications, L.L.C. (Midwest Wireless) was sold to ALLTEL Corporation. In connection with the sale, U.S. Cellular became entitled to receive approximately \$106.0 million in cash with respect to its interest in Midwest Wireless. Of this amount, \$95.1 million was distributed upon closing and \$10.9 million was held in escrow to secure certain true-up, indemnification and other possible adjustments; the funds held in escrow were to be distributed in installments over a period of four to fifteen months following the closing. During the first nine months of 2007, U.S. Cellular received \$4.3 million of funds that were distributed from the aforementioned escrow. At September 30, 2007, the amount which U.S. Cellular might be entitled to receive from the escrow in future periods was \$6.6 million, excluding accrued interest income.

In April 2006, U.S. Cellular purchased the remaining ownership interest in a Tennessee wireless market, in which it had previously owned a 16.7% interest, for approximately \$18.8 million in cash. This acquisition increased investments in licenses, goodwill and customer lists by \$5.5 million, \$4.0 million and \$2.0 million, respectively.

A wholly-owned subsidiary of U.S. Cellular is a limited partner in Carroll Wireless L.P. (Carroll Wireless), an entity which participated in the auction of wireless spectrum designated by the FCC as Auction 58. Carroll Wireless was qualified to bid on closed licenses that were available only to companies included under the FCC definition of entrepreneurs, which are small businesses that have a limited amount of assets and revenues. In addition, Carroll Wireless bid on open licenses that were not subject to restriction. With respect to these licenses, however, Carroll Wireless was qualified to receive a 25% discount available to very small businesses which were defined as having average annual gross revenues of less than \$15 million. Carroll Wireless was a successful bidder for 17 license areas in Auction 58, which ended on February 15, 2005. The aggregate amount paid to the FCC for the 17 licenses was \$129.9 million, net of the discounts to which Carroll Wireless was entitled. These 17 license areas cover portions of 12 states and are in markets which are either adjacent to or overlap current U.S. Cellular licensed areas. On January 6, 2006, the FCC granted Carroll Wireless applications with respect to 16 of the 17 licenses for which it had been the successful bidder and dismissed one application, relating to Walla Walla, Washington. Following the completion of Auction 58, the FCC determined that a portion of the Walla Walla license was already licensed to another party and should not have been included in Auction 58. Accordingly, in 2006, Carroll Wireless received a full refund of the \$0.2 million previously paid to the FCC with respect to the Walla Walla license.

Carroll Wireless is in the process of developing its long-term business and financing plans. As of September 30, 2007, U.S. Cellular had made capital contributions and advances to Carroll Wireless and/or its general partner of approximately \$129.9 million; \$129.7 million of this amount is included in Licenses in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. For financial statement purposes, U.S. Cellular consolidates Carroll Wireless and Carroll PCS, Inc., the general partner of Carroll Wireless, pursuant to the guidelines of FIN 46(R), as U.S. Cellular anticipates benefiting from or absorbing a majority of Carroll Wireless expected gains or losses. Pending finalization of Carroll Wireless permanent financing plan, and upon request by Carroll Wireless, U.S. Cellular may make additional capital contributions and advances to Carroll Wireless and/or its general partner. In November 2005, U.S. Cellular approved additional funding of \$1.4 million of which \$0.1 million was provided to Carroll Wireless through September 30, 2007.

#### Repurchase of Securities and Dividends

On March 2, 2007, the TDS Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$250 million of TDS Special Common Shares from time to time through open market purchases, block transactions, private purchases or otherwise. This authorization will expire on March 2, 2010. As of September 30, 2007, TDS repurchased 1,483,193 Special Common Shares for \$89.1 million, or \$60.03 per share pursuant to this authorization.

TDS did not repurchase any common shares in 2006.

The Board of Directors of U.S. Cellular has authorized the repurchase of up to 1% of the outstanding U.S. Cellular Common Shares held by non-affiliates on a quarterly basis, primarily for use in employee benefit plans ( Limited Authorization ). This authorization does not have an expiration date.

68

On March 6, 2007, the Board of Directors of U.S. Cellular authorized the repurchase of up to 500,000 Common Shares of U.S. Cellular (the Additional Authorization ) from time to time through open market purchases, block transactions, private transactions or other methods. This authorization was in addition to U.S. Cellular s existing Limited Authorization discussed above, and was scheduled to expire on March 6, 2010. However, as discussed below, because this authorization was fully utilized, no further purchases are available under this authorization.

U.S. Cellular has entered into accelerated share repurchase (ASR) agreements to purchase its shares through an investment banking firm in private transactions. The repurchased shares are being held as treasury shares. In connection with each ASR, the investment banking firm will purchase an equivalent number of shares in the open-market over time. Each program must be completed within two years of the trade date of the respective ASR. At the end of each program, U.S. Cellular will receive or pay a price adjustment based on the average price of shares acquired by the investment banking firm pursuant to the ASR during the purchase period, less a negotiated discount. The purchase price adjustment can be settled, at U.S. Cellular s option, in cash or in U.S. Cellular Common Shares. The subsequent purchase price adjustment will change the cost basis of the U.S. Cellular treasury shares.

Activity related to U.S. Cellular s repurchases of shares through ASR transactions on April 4 and July 10, 2007 and its obligations and potential obligations to the investment banking firm, are detailed in the table below.

(dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)		April 4, 2007	July 10, 2007		Totals
Number of Shares Repurchased by U.S. Cellular (1)		670,000	168,000		838,000
Weighted average price (2)	\$	73.22	\$ 96.10		050,000
Initial purchase price to investment banking firm	\$	49,057	\$ 16,145	\$	65,202
V A GI D I II V (A-					
Number of Shares Purchased by Investment Banking Firm (As					
of September 30, 2007)		181,970			181,970
Average price of shares, net of discount, purchased by Investment	Ф	70.51			
banking firm	\$	78.51			
Additional amount due to investment banking firm for shares	Φ.	0.65		Φ.	0.65
purchased through September 30, 2007 (3)	\$	967		\$	967
Equivalent number of shares based on September 30, 2007 closing					
price (4)		9,847			9,847
Remaining Shares to be Purchased by Investment Banking Firm		400.020	160,000		(5( 020
under ASR		488,030	168,000	Φ.	656,030
Potential additional cost of remaining shares to be purchased(5)	\$	11,898	\$ 202	\$	12,100
Potential additional shares to settle ASR based on September 30,		10116			100.01
2007 closing price (6)		121,163	2,053		123,216
Total Potential Additional Cost to Settle ASR, Based on					
September 30, 2007 Closing Price					
If settled in cash	\$	12,865	\$ 202	\$	13,067
If settled in shares		131,010	2,053		133,063

- (1) The repurchased shares are being held as treasury shares.
- (2) Weighted average price includes any per share discount and commission paid to the investment banking firm.

- (3) Represents the purchase price adjustment owed by U.S. Cellular to the investment banking firm as of September 30, 2007 for the shares purchased through such date, based on the difference between the price paid per share by U.S. Cellular in connection with the ASR, and the average price paid per share by the investment banking firm.
- (4) Represents the number of additional U.S. Cellular Common Shares that would need to be delivered to the investment banking firm based on the closing price of \$98.20 on September 30, 2007, if U.S. Cellular settled the additional amount due described in footnote (3) with shares.
- (5) Represents the additional purchase price adjustment that would be potentially owed by U.S. Cellular to the investment banking firm as of September 30, 2007 based on the difference between the initial price paid per share by U.S. Cellular in connection with the ASR, and the closing price of U.S. Cellular Common Shares on September 30, 2007.
- (6) Represents the number of additional U.S. Cellular Common Shares that would need to be delivered to the investment banking firm based on the closing price of \$98.20 on September 30, 2007, if U.S. Cellular settled the potential additional amount due described in footnote (5) with shares.

At September 30, 2007, there were 656,030 shares remaining to be purchased by the investment banking firm pursuant to the ASRs. Thus, the amounts owed and potentially owed by U.S. Cellular to the investment banking firm as shown in the table above would increase or decrease by \$656,030 for each \$1 increase or decrease in the U.S. Cellular stock price of \$98.20 as of September 30, 2007. Any amount owed will be settled at the conclusion of each program.

In addition, on October 25, 2007, U.S. Cellular entered into another ASR to purchase 168,000 of its Common Shares from an investment banking firm in a private transaction under the Limited Authorization. Including a commission payable to the investment banking firm, the shares were repurchased for \$16.2 million or \$96.52 per share.

TDS ownership percentage of U.S. Cellular increases upon such U.S. Cellular share repurchases. Therefore, TDS accounts for U.S. Cellular spurchases of U.S. Cellular Common Shares as step acquisitions using purchase accounting. In addition, subsequent ASR purchase price adjustment may result in additional amounts being allocated to licenses, goodwill and customer lists at TDS. See Note 20 Common Share Repurchase Program for more details on the amounts allocated to licenses, goodwill and customers lists at TDS as a result of the ASR transactions.

TDS paid total dividends on its Common Shares and preferred shares of \$34.3 million in the first nine months of 2007 and \$32.2 million in 2006. TDS paid quarterly dividends per share of \$0.0975 in 2007 and \$0.0925 in 2006.

#### **Contractual and Other Obligations**

The Contractual and Other Obligations disclosed in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in TDS Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006, did not include any liabilities related to unrecognized tax benefits under FIN 48. Because TDS is unable to reasonably predict the ultimate amount or timing of settlement of such FIN 48 liabilities, the Contractual and Other Obligations table has not been updated to include such liabilities. As of September 30, 2007, there has been no material change to Contractual and Other Obligations or FIN 48 liabilities included in TDS Form 10-K for the year-ended December 31, 2006.

#### Sale of Certain Accounts Receivable

In December 2006, U.S. Cellular entered into an agreement to sell \$226.0 million face amount of accounts receivable written off in previous periods; the proceeds from the sale were \$5.9 million. The agreement transferred all rights, title, and interest in the account balances, along with the right to collect all amounts due, to the buyer. The sale was subject to a 180-day period in which the buyer could request a refund for any unenforceable accounts. The transaction was recognized as a sale during the fourth quarter of 2006 in accordance with the provisions of FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities, with the gain deferred until expiration of the recourse period. During the second quarter 2007, U.S. Cellular recognized a gain of \$5.0 million, net of refunds for unenforceable accounts. The gain is included in Selling, general and administrative expense on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. All expenses related to the transaction were recognized in the period incurred.

#### **Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

TDS has no transactions, agreements or contractual arrangements with unconsolidated entities involving off-balance sheet arrangements, as defined by SEC rules, that have or are reasonably likely to have a material current or future effect on financial condition, changes in financial condition, results of operations, cash flows from operating activities, liquidity, capital resources or financial flexibility.

<u>Investments in Unconsolidated Entities.</u> TDS has certain variable interests in investments in which TDS holds a minority interest. Such investments totaled \$225.3 million as of September 30, 2007 and are accounted for using either the equity or cost method. TDS maximum loss exposure for these variable interests is limited to the aggregate carrying amount of the investments.

Indemnity Agreements. TDS enters into agreements in the normal course of business that provide for indemnification of counterparties. These include certain asset sales and financings with other parties. The terms of the indemnifications vary by agreement. The events or circumstances that would require TDS to perform under these indemnities are transaction specific; however these agreements may require TDS to indemnify the counterparty for costs and losses incurred from litigation or claims arising from the underlying transaction. TDS is unable to estimate the maximum potential liability for these types of indemnifications as the amounts are dependent on the outcome of future events, the nature and likelihood of which cannot be determined at this time. Historically, TDS has not made any significant indemnification payments under such agreements. TDS is party to an indemnity agreement with T-Mobile regarding certain contingent liabilities at Aerial Communications for the period prior to Aerial s merger into VoiceStream Wireless in 2000. As of September 30, 2007, TDS has recorded liabilities of \$0.9 million relating to this indemnity.

#### APPLICATION OF CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

TDS prepares its consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP). TDS significant accounting policies are discussed in detail in Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements and TDS Application of Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates is discussed in detail in the Management Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, both included in TDS Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006. Except for the change in accounting for uncertain tax positions resulting from the adoption of a new accounting standard as discussed below, there were no material changes to TDS significant accounting policies or application of critical accounting policies during the first nine months of 2007.

#### Accounting for the Effects of Certain Types of Regulation

Historically, TDS Telecom s incumbent local exchange carrier ( ILEC ) operations followed the accounting for regulated enterprises prescribed by FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 71, *Accounting for the Effects of Certain Types of Regulation* ( SFAS 71 ). This accounting recognizes the economic effects of rate-making actions of regulatory bodies in the financial statements of the TDS Telecom ILEC operations.

TDS Telecom has regularly monitored the appropriateness of the application of SFAS 71. Recent changes in TDS Telecom s business environment have caused competitive forces to surpass regulatory forces such that TDS Telecom has concluded that it is no longer reasonable to assume that rates set at levels that will recover the enterprise s cost can be charged to its customers. TDS Telecom has experienced increasing access line losses due to increasing levels of competition across all of the ILEC service areas. Competition has intensified in 2007 from cable and wireless operators who have extended their investment beyond major markets to enable a broader range of voice and data services that compete directly with TDS Telecom s service offerings. These alternative telecommunications providers have transformed a pricing structure historically based on the recovery of costs to a pricing structure based on market conditions. Consequently, TDS Telecom has had to alter its strategy to compete in its markets. Specifically, in the third quarter of 2007, TDS Telecom initiated an aggressive program of service bundling and deep discounting and has made the decision to voluntarily exit certain revenue pools administered by the FCC-supervised National Exchange Carrier Association in order to achieve additional pricing flexibility to meet competitive pressures.

Based on these material factors impacting its operations, management determined in the third quarter of 2007 that it is no longer appropriate to continue the application of SFAS 71 for reporting its financial results. Accordingly, TDS Telecom recorded a non-cash extraordinary gain of \$42.8 million, net of taxes of \$27.0 million, upon discontinuance of the provisions of SFAS 71, as required by the provisions of FASB Statement

of Financial Accounting Standard No. 101, Regulated Enterprises Accounting for the Discontinuation of the Application of FASB Statement No. 71. The components of the non-cash extraordinary gain are as follows:

	Before 7	Γax Effects	After Tax Effects					
		(in thousands)						
Write off of regulatory cost of removal	\$	70,107	\$	43,018				
Write off of other net regulatory assets		(259)		(191)				
Total	\$	69,848	\$	42,827				

In conjunction with the discontinuance of SFAS 71, TDS Telecom has assessed the useful lives of fixed assets and determined that the impact of any changes were not material.

#### **Income Taxes**

Effective January 1, 2007, TDS adopted FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes* (FIN 48). In accordance with FIN 48, TDS recognized a cumulative-effect adjustment of \$4.4 million, decreasing its liability for unrecognized tax benefits, interest, and penalties and increasing the January 1, 2007 balance of Common Stockholders Equity. Of this amount, \$20.7 million increases accumulated other comprehensive income and \$16.3 million represents the cumulative reduction of beginning retained earnings.

At January 1, 2007, TDS had \$28.4 million in unrecognized tax benefits which, if recognized, would reduce income tax expense by \$14.3 million, net of the federal benefit from state income taxes. Included in the balance of unrecognized tax benefits at January 1, 2007, is an immaterial amount related to tax positions for which it is possible that the total amounts could change during the next twelve months. At September 30, 2007 TDS had \$33.9 million in unrecognized tax benefits, which, if recognized, would reduce income tax expense by \$18.1 million, net of the federal benefit from state income taxes.

TDS recognizes accrued interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense. This amount totaled \$1.2 million and \$3.4 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively. Accrued interest and penalties were \$1.3 million and \$4.7 million as of January 1, 2007 and September 30, 2007, respectively.

TDS and its subsidiaries file federal and state income tax returns. With few exceptions, TDS is no longer subject to federal, state and local income tax examinations by tax authorities for years prior to 2002. TDS consolidated federal income tax returns for the years 2002 2005 are currently under examination by the Internal Revenue Service. TDS and its subsidiaries are also under examination by various state taxing authorities.

#### CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

The following persons are partners of Sidley Austin LLP, the principal law firm of TDS and its subsidiaries: Walter C.D. Carlson, a trustee and beneficiary of a voting trust that controls TDS, the non-executive chairman of the board and member of the board of directors of TDS and a director of U.S. Cellular, a subsidiary of TDS; William S. DeCarlo, the General Counsel of TDS and an Assistant Secretary of TDS and certain subsidiaries of TDS; and Stephen P. Fitzell, the General Counsel of U.S. Cellular and TDS Telecommunications Corporation and an Assistant Secretary of certain subsidiaries of TDS. Walter C.D. Carlson does not provide legal services to TDS or its subsidiaries. TDS, U.S. Cellular and their subsidiaries had legal costs from Sidley Austin of \$2.7 million and \$8.9 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively, and \$2.7 million and \$9.1 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively.

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors is responsible for the review and oversight of all related party transactions as such term is defined by the rules of the American Stock Exchange.

#### PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995

#### SAFE HARBOR CAUTIONARY STATEMENT

This Form 10-Q ( Form 10-Q ), including exhibits, contains statements that are not based on historical fact and represent forward-looking statements, as this term is defined in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. All statements, other than statements of historical facts, that address activities, events or developments that TDS intends, expects, projects, believes, estimates, plans or anticipates will or may occur in the future are forward-looking statements. The words believes, anticipates, estimates, expects, plans, projects and sin expressions are intended to identify these forward-looking statements, but are not the exclusive means of identifying them. Such forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause actual results, events or developments to be significantly different from any future results, events or developments expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Such risks, uncertainties and other factors include those set forth below, as more fully discussed under Risk Factors in TDS Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006. However, such factors are not necessarily all of the important factors that could cause actual results, performance or achievements to differ materially from those expressed in, or implied by, the forward-looking statements contained in this document. Other unknown or unpredictable factors also could have material adverse effects on future results, performance or achievements. TDS undertakes no obligation to update publicly any forward-looking statements whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. You should carefully consider the Risk Factors in TDS Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006, the following factors and other information contained in, or incorporated by reference into, this Form 10-Q to understand the material risks relating to TDS business.

Intense competition in the markets in which TDS operates could adversely affect TDS revenues or increase its costs to compete.

A failure by TDS service offerings to meet customer expectations could limit TDS ability to attract and retain customers and could have an adverse effect on TDS operations.

TDS system infrastructure may not be capable of supporting changes in technologies and services expected by customers, which could result in lost customers and revenues.

An inability to obtain or maintain roaming arrangements with other carriers on terms that are acceptable to TDS could have an adverse effect on TDS business, financial condition or results of operations.

Changes in access to content for data or video services or access to new handsets being developed by vendors, or an inability to manage its supply chain or inventory successfully, could have an adverse effect on TDS business, financial condition or results of operations.

A failure by TDS to acquire adequate radio spectrum could have an adverse effect on TDS business and operations.

TDS is likely to participate in FCC auctions of additional spectrum in the future and, during certain periods, will be subject to the FCC s anti-collusion rules, which could have an adverse effect on TDS.

An inability to attract and/or retain management, technical, sales and other personnel could have an adverse effect on TDS business, financial condition or results of operations.

TDS assets are concentrated in the U.S. telecommunications industry. As a result, its results of operations may fluctuate based on factors related entirely to conditions in this industry.

Consolidation in the telecommunications industry could adversely affect TDS revenues and increase its costs of doing business.

Changes in general economic and business conditions, both nationally and in the markets in which TDS operates, could have an adverse effect on TDS business, financial condition or results of operations.

Changes in various business factors could have an adverse effect on TDS business, financial condition or results of operations. These business factors may include but are not limited to demand, usage, pricing, growth, penetration, churn, expenses, customer acquisition and retention, roaming rates, minutes of use, mix of products and services and costs.

Advances or changes in telecommunications technology, such as Voice over Internet Protocol, WiMAX or LTE (Long-Term Evolution), could render certain technologies used by TDS obsolete, could reduce TDS revenues or could increase its costs of doing business.

Changes in TDS enterprise value, changes in the supply or demand of the market for wireless licenses or telephone company franchises, adverse developments in the business or the industry in which TDS is involved and/or other factors could require TDS to recognize impairments in the carrying value of TDS license costs, goodwill and/or physical assets.

Costs, integration problems or other factors associated with acquisitions/divestitures of properties or licenses and/or expansion of TDS business could have an adverse effect on TDS business, financial condition or results of operations.

A significant portion of TDS revenues is derived from customers who buy services through independent agents and dealers who market TDS services on a commission basis. If TDS relationships with these agents and dealers are seriously harmed, its wireless revenues could be adversely affected.

TDS investments in technologies which are unproven or for which success has not yet been demonstrated may not produce the benefits that TDS expects.

A failure by TDS to complete significant network build-out and system implementation as part of its plans to improve the quality, coverage, capabilities and capacity of its network could have an adverse effect on its operations.

Financial difficulties of TDS key suppliers or vendors, or termination or impairment of TDS relationships with such suppliers or vendors or interruption of or interference in the delivery of equipment from such suppliers or vendors, due to intellectual property disputes or other matters, could result in a delay or termination of TDS receipt of equipment, content or services which could adversely affect TDS business and results of operations.

TDS has significant investments in entities that it does not control. Losses in the value of such investments could have an adverse effect on TDS results of operations or financial condition.

War, conflicts, hostilities and/or terrorist attacks or equipment failure, power outages, natural disasters or breaches of network or information technology security could have an adverse effect on TDS business, financial condition or results of operations.

The market prices of TDS Common Shares and Special Common Shares are subject to fluctuations due to a variety of factors.

Changes in guidance or interpretations of accounting requirements, changes in industry practice, identification of errors or changes in management assumptions could require amendments to or restatements of financial information or disclosures included in this or prior filings with the SEC.

Restatements of financial statements by TDS and related matters, including resulting delays in filing periodic reports with the SEC, could have an adverse effect on TDS—credit rating, liquidity, financing arrangements, capital resources and ability to access the capital markets, including pursuant to shelf registration statements; could adversely affect TDS—listing arrangements on the American Stock Exchange and/or New York Stock Exchange; and/or could have other negative consequences, any of which could have an adverse effect on the trading prices of TDS publicly traded equity and/or debt and/or on TDS—business, financial condition or results of operations.

The pending SEC investigation regarding the restatement of TDS financial statements could result in substantial expenses, and could result in monetary or other penalties.

Changes in facts or circumstances, including new or additional information that affects the calculation of potential liabilities for contingent obligations under guarantees, indemnities or otherwise, could require TDS to record charges in excess of amounts accrued in the financial statements, if any, which could have an adverse effect on TDS financial condition or results of operations.

A failure to successfully remediate existing material weaknesses in internal control over financial reporting in a timely manner or the identification of additional material weaknesses in the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting could result in inaccurate financial statements or other disclosures or fail to prevent fraud, which could have an adverse effect on TDS business, financial condition or results of operations.

Early redemptions of debt or repurchases of debt, issuances of debt, changes in prepaid forward contracts, changes in operating leases, changes in purchase obligations or other factors or developments could cause the amounts reported under Contractual Obligations in TDS Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations to be different from the amounts actually incurred.

An increase of TDS debt in the future could subject TDS to various restrictions and higher interest costs and decrease its cash flows and earnings.

Uncertainty of access to capital for telecommunications companies, deterioration in the capital markets, other changes in market conditions, changes in TDS credit ratings or other factors could limit or restrict the availability of financing on terms and prices acceptable to TDS, which could require TDS to reduce its construction, development and acquisition programs.

Changes in the regulatory environment or a failure by TDS to timely or fully comply with any regulatory requirements could adversely affect TDS financial condition, results of operations or ability to do business. For example, if adopted, the Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service recommendation to impose an interim cap on high-cost support received by competitive eligible telecommunications carriers from the Universal Service Fund could impair TDS ability to offer service in many rural areas and could adversely affect its business, financial condition or results of operations.

Changes in income tax rates, laws, regulations or rulings, or federal or state tax assessments could have an adverse effect on TDS financial condition or results of operations.

Settlements, judgments, restraints on its current or future manner of doing business and/or legal costs resulting from pending and future litigation could have an adverse effect on TDS financial condition, results of operations or ability to do business.

The possible development of adverse precedent in litigation or conclusions in professional studies to the effect that radio frequency emissions from handsets, wireless data devices and/or cell sites cause harmful health consequences, including cancer or tumors, or may interfere with various electronic medical devices such as pacemakers, could have an adverse effect on TDS wireless business, financial condition or results of operations.

Certain matters, such as control by the TDS Voting Trust and provisions in the TDS Restated Certificate of Incorporation, may serve to discourage or make more difficult a change in control of TDS.

Any of the foregoing events or other events could cause revenues, customer additions, operating income, capital expenditures and/or any other financial or statistical information to vary from TDS forward looking estimates by a material amount.

#### ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

MARKET RISK

#### Long-term Debt

TDS is subject to risks due to fluctuations in interest rates. As of September 30, 2007, the majority of TDS debt, excluding long-term debt related to the forward contracts, is in the form of long-term, fixed-rate notes with original maturities ranging up to 40 years. Accordingly, fluctuations in interest rates can lead to significant fluctuations in the fair value of such instruments. The long-term debt related to the forward contracts consists of both variable-rate debt and fixed-rate zero coupon debt. The variable-rate forward contracts require quarterly interest payments that are dependent on market interest rates. Increases in interest rates will result in increased interest expense. As of September 30, 2007, TDS had not entered into any significant financial derivatives to reduce its exposure to interest rate risks.

Refer to the disclosure under Market Risk Long-Term Debt in TDS Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006, for additional information about the annual requirements of principal payments, the average interest rates, and the estimated fair values of long-term debt.

#### Marketable Equity Securities and Derivatives

TDS maintains a portfolio of available-for-sale marketable equity securities, the majority of which were obtained in connection with the sale of non-strategic investments. The market value of these investments aggregated \$1,802.1 million at September 30, 2007 and \$2,790.6 million as of December 31, 2006, respectively. TDS cumulative net unrealized holding gain, net of tax and minority interest, included in Accumulated other comprehensive income in the Consolidated Balance Sheets totaled \$570.6 million at September 30, 2007.

TDS and its subsidiaries own 719,396 shares of Rural Cellular Corporation (RCCC). On July 30, 2007, RCCC announced that Verizon Wireless has agreed to purchase the outstanding shares of RCCC for \$45 per share in cash. The acquisition is expected to close in the first half of 2008. If the transaction closes, TDS will receive approximately \$32.4 million in cash, recognize a \$31.7 million pre-tax gain and cease to own any interest in RCCC.

As noted in LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES - Marketable Equity Securities and Forward Contracts above, the forward contracts related to the VeriSign Common Shares and a portion of the Deutsche Telekom shares held by TDS, and the Vodafone ADRs held by U.S. Cellular matured in the first nine months of 2007. TDS elected to deliver the VeriSign Common Shares and a portion of the Deutsche Telekom ordinary shares, and to dispose of all remaining VeriSign Common Shares and Deutsche Telekom ordinary shares in connection therewith. TDS continues to hold 85,969,689 Deutsch Telekom ordinary shares and is subject to related forward contracts that mature in the fourth quarter of 2007 and the first three

quarters of 2008. U.S. Cellular elected to deliver Vodafone ADRs in settlement of the related forward contracts and to dispose of all remaining Vodafone ADRs held by U.S. Cellular in connection therewith. TDS settled the forward contracts related to the 2,362,976 Vodafone ADRs that matured in October 2007.

Subsidiaries of TDS have a number of forward contracts with counterparties related to the marketable equity securities that they hold. TDS has provided guarantees to the counterparties which provide assurance to the counterparties that all principal and interest amounts are paid by its subsidiaries when due. The economic hedge risk management objective of the forward contracts is to hedge the value of the marketable equity securities from losses due to decreases in the market prices of the securities ( downside limit ) while retaining a share of gains from increases in the market prices of such securities ( upside potential ). The downside limit is hedged at or above the cost basis of the securities.

Under the terms of the forward contracts, TDS will continue to own the contracted shares and will receive dividends paid on such contracted shares, if any. The forward contracts mature from October 2007 through September 2008 and, at TDS—option, may be settled in shares of the respective security or in cash, pursuant to formulas that—collar—the price of the shares. The collars effectively limit downside risk and upside potential on the contracted shares. The collars are typically contractually adjusted for any changes in dividends on the underlying shares. If the dividend increases, the collar—s upside potential is typically reduced. If the dividend decreases, the collar—s upside potential is typically increased. If TDS elects to settle in shares, it will be required to deliver the number of shares of the contracted security determined pursuant to the formula. If shares are delivered in the settlement of the forward contract, TDS would incur a current tax liability at the time of delivery. If TDS elects to settle in cash it will be required to pay an amount in cash equal to the fair market value of the number of shares determined pursuant to the formula. See Note 17—Long-Term Debt and Forward Contracts for additional information on the settlement of forward contracts.

The following table summarizes certain details related to the contracted securities as of September 30, 2007.

	Collar (1)										
Security	Shares		Downside Limit (Floor)	Upside Potential (Ceiling)			Loan Amount (000s)(2)				
Vodafone	2,362,976	\$	17.43	\$	17.54	\$	41,183				
Deutsche Telekom	85,969,689	\$	10.89-\$12.41	\$	12.40-\$14.99		1,015,364				
Unamortized debt discount							(14,480)				
						\$	1,042,067				

- (1) The per share amounts represent the range of floor and ceiling prices of all securities monetized.
- (2) Total amount is included in current liabilities in the caption Forward Contracts.

The following analysis presents the hypothetical change in the fair value of marketable equity securities and derivative instruments at September 30, 2007, using the Black-Scholes model, assuming hypothetical price fluctuations of plus and minus 10%, 20% and 30%.

(Dollars in millions)	Valuation of investments assuming indicated decrease			September 30, 2007	Valuation of investments assuming indicated increase				
	-30%	-20%	-10%	Fair Value	+10%	+20%	+30%		
Marketable Equity									
Securities	1,261.5	1,441.7	1,621.9	1,802.1	1,982.3	2,165.5	2,342.7		
Derivative Instruments (1)	(63.6)	(211.1)	(372.8)	(561.1)	(717.6)	(893.9)	(1,071.0)		

(1) Represents the fair value of the derivative instruments assuming the indicated increase or decrease in the underlying securities.

#### **Accelerated Share Repurchases**

U.S. Cellular has entered into accelerated share repurchase (ASR) agreements to purchase its shares through an investment banking firm in private transactions. The repurchased shares are being held as treasury shares. In connection with each ASR, the investment banking firm will purchase an equivalent number of shares in the open-market over time. Each program must be completed within two years of the trade date of the respective ASR. At the end of each program, U.S. Cellular will receive or pay a price adjustment based on the average price of shares acquired by the investment banking firm pursuant to the ASR during the purchase period, less a negotiated discount. The purchase price adjustment can be settled, at U.S. Cellular s option, in cash or in U.S. Cellular Common Shares. The subsequent purchase price adjustment will change the cost basis of the U.S. Cellular treasury shares.

78

Activity related to U.S. Cellular s repurchases of shares through ASR transactions on April 4 and July 10, 2007 and its obligations and potential obligations to the investment banking firm, are detailed in the table below.

(dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)		April 4, 2007		July 10, 2007		Totals
Number of Shares Repurchased by U.S. Cellular (1)		670,000		168,000		838,000
Weighted average price (2)	\$	73.22	\$	96.10		
Initial purchase price to investment banking firm	\$	49,057	\$	16,145	\$	65,202
Number of Shares Purchased by Investment Banking Firm (As of						
September 30, 2007)		181,970				181,970
Average price of shares, net of discount, purchased by Investment banking		,				ĺ
firm	\$	78.51				
Additional amount due to investment banking firm for shares purchased						
through September 30, 2007 (3)	\$	967			\$	967
Equivalent number of shares based on September 30, 2007 closing price						
(4)		9,847				9,847
Remaining Shares to be Purchased by Investment Banking Firm under		400.020		160,000		656.000
ASR	ф	488,030	Ф	168,000	ф	656,030
Potential additional cost of remaining shares to be purchased(5)	\$	11,898	\$	202	\$	12,100
Potential additional shares to settle ASR based on September 30, 2007		101 162		2.052		102.016
closing price (6)		121,163		2,053		123,216
Total Potential Additional Cost to Settle ASR, Based on September 30,						
2007 Closing Price						
If settled in cash	\$	12,865	\$	202	\$	13,067
If settled in shares	Ψ	131,010	Ψ	2,053	Ψ	133,063
i semed in similar		121,010		2,000		100,000

- (1) The repurchased shares are being held as treasury shares.
- (2) Weighted average price includes any per share discount and commission paid to the investment banking firm.
- (3) Represents the purchase price adjustment owed by U.S. Cellular to the investment banking firm as of September 30, 2007 for the shares purchased through such date, based on the difference between the price paid per share by U.S. Cellular in connection with the ASR, and the average price paid per share by the investment banking firm.
- (4) Represents the number of additional U.S. Cellular Common Shares that would need to be delivered to the investment banking firm based on the closing price of \$98.20 on September 30, 2007, if U.S. Cellular settled the additional amount due described in footnote (3) with shares.
- Represents the additional purchase price adjustment that would be potentially owed by U.S. Cellular to the investment banking firm as of September 30, 2007 based on the difference between the initial price paid per share by U.S. Cellular in connection with the ASR, and the closing price of U.S. Cellular Common Shares on September 30, 2007.
- (6) Represents the number of additional U.S. Cellular Common Shares that would need to be delivered to the investment banking firm based on the closing price of \$98.20 on September 30, 2007, if U.S. Cellular settled the potential additional amount due described in footnote (5) with shares.

At September 30, 2007, there were 656,030 shares remaining to be purchased by the investment banking firm pursuant to the ASRs. Thus, the amounts owed and potentially owed by U.S. Cellular to the investment banking firm as shown in the table above would increase or decrease by \$656,030 for each \$1 increase or decrease in the U.S. Cellular stock price of \$98.20 as of September 30, 2007. Any amount owed will be settled at the conclusion of each program.

In addition, on October 25, 2007, U.S. Cellular entered into another ASR to purchase 168,000 of its Common Shares from an investment banking firm in a private transaction under the Limited Authorization. Including a commission payable to the investment banking firm, the shares were repurchased for \$16.2 million or \$96.52 per share. The repurchased shares are being held as treasury shares.

#### ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

#### **Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

TDS maintains disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act )) that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in its reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is processed, recorded, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to TDS management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives.

As required by SEC Rule 13a-15(b), TDS carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of TDS disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report. Based on this evaluation, management concluded that TDS disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of September 30, 2007, at the reasonable assurance level, because of the material weaknesses described below. Notwithstanding the material weaknesses that existed as of September 30, 2007, management has concluded that the consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position, results of operation and cash flows of TDS and its subsidiaries in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP).

A material weakness is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the interim or annual consolidated financial statements will not be prevented or detected. Management identified the following material weaknesses in internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, which continued to exist at September 30, 2007:

- 1. TDS did not maintain a sufficient complement of personnel with an appropriate level of accounting knowledge, experience and training in the application of GAAP commensurate with the financial reporting requirements and the complexity of TDS—operations and transactions. Further, TDS did not have a sufficient number of qualified personnel to create, communicate and apply accounting policies and procedures in compliance with GAAP. This control deficiency contributed to the material weaknesses discussed in items 2 and 3 below and the restatement of TDS—annual consolidated financial statements for 2005, 2004, 2003 and 2002, the interim consolidated financial statements for all quarters in 2005, 2004 and 2003, the interim consolidated financial statements for the first and second quarters of 2006, as well as adjustments, including audit adjustments, to the 2006 third quarter interim consolidated financial statements and the 2006 annual consolidated financial statements. Additionally, this control deficiency could result in a misstatement of substantially all accounts and disclosures that would result in a material misstatement to TDS—interim or annual consolidated financial statements that would not be prevented or detected.
- 2. TDS did not maintain effective controls over the completeness, accuracy, presentation and disclosure of its accounting for income taxes, including the determination of income tax expense, income taxes payable, liabilities accrued for tax contingencies and deferred income tax assets and liabilities. Specifically, TDS did not have effective controls designed and in place to accurately calculate income tax expense and income tax payable, monitor the

difference between the income tax basis and the financial reporting basis of assets and liabilities and reconcile the resulting basis difference to its deferred income tax asset and liability balances. This control deficiency resulted in the restatement of TDS annual consolidated financial statements for 2005, 2004, 2003 and 2002, the interim consolidated financial statements for all quarters in 2005, 2004 and 2003, the interim consolidated financial statements for the first and second quarters of 2006, as well as adjustments, including audit adjustments, to the 2006 third quarter interim consolidated financial statements and the 2006 annual consolidated financial statements. Additionally, this control deficiency could result in a misstatement of the aforementioned accounts that would result in a material misstatement to TDS interim or annual consolidated financial statements that would not be prevented or detected.

3. TDS did not maintain effective controls over its accounting for property, plant and equipment. Specifically, effective controls were not designed and in place to ensure accurate recording of transfers and disposals of equipment. This control deficiency affected depreciation expense, property, plant and equipment and accumulated depreciation. This control deficiency resulted in the restatement of TDS—annual consolidated financial statements for 2005, 2004 and 2003, the interim consolidated financial statements for all quarters in 2005 and 2004, the interim consolidated financial statements for the first and second quarters of 2006, as well as adjustments, including audit adjustments, to the 2006 third quarter interim consolidated financial statements and the 2006 annual consolidated financial statements. Additionally, this control deficiency could result in a misstatement of the aforementioned accounts that would result in a material misstatement to TDS—interim or annual consolidated financial statements that would not be prevented or detected.

#### Remediation of Material Weaknesses in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management has been and is currently addressing each of the material weaknesses in internal control over financial reporting and is committed to remediating them as expeditiously as possible. Further, management is undertaking a multi-year program to improve its technical accounting expertise, documentation from policies through detailed procedures and automation of accounting and financial reporting. Management is devoting significant time and resources to the remediation effort. Management s remediation activities include the following:

Controller Review Committee The Controller Review Committee was formed in the fourth quarter of 2004 and currently consists of TDS Corporate Controller, U.S. Cellular s Controller and TDS Telecom s Chief Financial Officer. The Committee oversees the accounting treatment for current, unusual or nonrecurring matters. The Committee has retained external financial accounting experts to advise the Committee on technical accounting matters and, in addition, to provide technical accounting training related to current accounting developments on a quarterly basis.

Accounting Policies and Processes TDS has engaged external consultants to assist an internal team with a comprehensive review of key accounting policies and processes with the intent of eliminating the identified material weaknesses in internal control over financial reporting and improving the design and operating effectiveness of controls and processes. Such improvements will include the development and enhancement of written accounting policies and procedures, including policies and procedures for new accounting pronouncements, as well as communication and training related to the policies and procedures. Upon remediation of the material weaknesses, a similar team will be focused on longer-term improvements in key financial processes and support systems, with an emphasis on simplification of the financial reporting structure, automation, and the implementation of preventive and system-based controls.

Training Management has engaged external consultants to assist TDS in developing and implementing a training program specific to the needs of accounting personnel. Training sessions were conducted in the fourth quarter of 2006 and the first and third quarters of 2007, and additional classes will be conducted in the future. In connection with these training efforts, management plans to develop greater expertise within each organization with respect to selected areas of accounting and to expand staffing in the accounting policy area to include training responsibilities.

Recruiting TDS has added, and is actively recruiting to fill, several new director, manager and staff level positions which will enhance the overall level of technical expertise and enable improvements in controls and processes.

TDS a Manager, Accounting and Reporting was added in the second quarter of 2005; a Manager, External Reporting was added in the third quarter of 2005; a Director of Accounting Policy and a Director, Internal Controls and SOX Compliance were added in the third quarter of 2006; a Manager of Accounting Policy was added in the first quarter of 2007; a Director of Tax Accounting was added in July 2007; and a Vice President and Controller of TDS Telecom was added in the fourth quarter of 2007. A new Senior Vice President and Corporate Controller was added in the third quarter of 2007 as a result of the retirement of the previous Senior Vice President and Corporate Controller.

U.S. Cellular a Vice President and Controller was added in the second quarter of 2005 and promoted to Executive Vice President Finance and Chief Financial Officer in the first quarter of 2007; a Director, Accounting Policy and Reporting was added in the second quarter of 2006; a Manager, Accounting Policy was added in the fourth quarter of 2006; a new Vice President and Controller was added in the first quarter of 2007; and a Manager, Accounting Policy and Research, Director Operations Accounting and a Director Remediation Projects were added in the second quarter of 2007.

Income Tax Accounting TDS has engaged external tax advisors to assist in enhancing controls with respect to monitoring the difference between the income tax basis and financial reporting basis of assets and liabilities and reconciling the difference to the deferred income tax asset and liability balances. The scope of this project encompasses controls over income taxes on a TDS enterprise-wide basis, including U.S. Cellular. In addition, TDS is in the process of implementing tax provisioning software which TDS believes will enhance its internal controls related to income taxes on a TDS enterprise-wide basis. As noted above, TDS added a Director of Tax Accounting in July 2007.

Property, Plant and Equipment TDS has engaged external consultants to assist in enhancing controls related to accounting and reporting for property, plant and equipment, including controls related to transfers and disposals of assets. The scope of this project includes improvements to the fixed assets management system and supporting processes and procedures, including a cycle count program covering cell sites and switches and improved financial system integration, which management believes will enhance its internal controls related to property, plant and equipment.

#### Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in TDS internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended September 30, 2007, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect TDS internal control over financial reporting.

There was a change in the person holding the title of Senior Vice President and Corporate Controller of TDS during the third quarter of 2007. However, this has not been reported as a material change because this position previously existed.

82

### TELEPHONE AND DATA SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

### Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

TDS is involved in a number of legal proceedings before the FCC and various state and federal courts. If TDS believes that a loss arising from such legal proceedings is probable and can be reasonably estimated, an amount is accrued in the financial statements for the estimated loss. If only a range of loss can be determined, the best estimate within that range is accrued; if none of the estimates within that range is better than another, the low end of the range is accrued. The assessment of legal proceedings is a highly subjective process that requires judgments about future events. The legal proceedings are reviewed at least quarterly to determine the adequacy of the accruals and related financial statement disclosure. The ultimate settlement of proceedings may differ materially from amounts accrued in the financial statements and could have a material effect on the results of operations, financial condition or cash flows.

### Item 1A. Risk Factors.

In addition to the information set forth in this Form 10-Q, you should carefully consider the factors discussed in Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006, which could materially affect TDS business, financial condition or future results. The risks described in this Form 10-Q and in our Annual Report on Form 10-K may not be the only risks facing TDS. Additional unidentified or unrecognized risks and uncertainties may materially adversely affect TDS business, financial condition and/or operating results. Subject to the foregoing, TDS has not identified for disclosure any material changes to the risk factors as previously disclosed in TDS Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006, except for the following:

TDS is likely to participate in FCC auctions of additional spectrum in the future and, during certain periods, will be subject to the FCC s anti-collusion rules, which could have an adverse effect on TDS.

From time to time, the FCC conducts auctions through which additional spectrum is made available for the provision of wireless services. TDS has participated in such auctions in the past and is likely to participate in such auctions in the future. FCC anti-collusion rules place certain restrictions on business communications and disclosures by participants in an FCC auction. The FCC has scheduled an auction, referred to as Auction 73, to begin on January 24, 2008. If certain reserve prices are not met, the FCC will follow Auction 73 with a contingent auction, referred to as Auction 76. For purposes of applying its anti-collusion rules the FCC has determined that both auctions will be treated as a single auction, which means that, in the event that the contingent auction is needed, the anti-collusion rules would apply commencing on the application deadline for Auction 73, which is December 3, 2007, until the down payment deadline for Auction 76. If TDS or one of its affiliates submits an application to participate in Auction 73, applicable FCC rules will place certain restrictions on business communications with other companies and on public disclosures relating to TDS participation. These anti-collusion rules may restrict the normal conduct of TDS business and/or disclosures by TDS relating to the auctions, which could last 3 to 6 months or more. The restrictions could have an adverse effect on TDS business, financial condition or results of operations.

## Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

The table required by this item is not included with respect to TDS Common Shares because TDS does not have a share repurchase authorization with respect to its Common Shares and there have been no purchases made by or on behalf of TDS, or any open market purchases made by any affiliated purchaser (as defined by the SEC) of any TDS Common Shares during the quarter ended September 30, 2007.

83

On March 2, 2007, the TDS Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$250 million in aggregate purchase price of TDS Special Common Shares from time to time pursuant to open market purchases and/or block purchases in compliance with Rule 10b-18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended ( Exchange Act ), pursuant to Rule 10b5-1 under the Exchange Act, or pursuant to accelerated share repurchase arrangements, prepaid share repurchases, private transactions or as otherwise. This authorization will expire on March 2, 2010.

The following table provides certain information with respect to all purchases made by or on behalf of TDS, and any open market purchases made by any affiliated purchaser (as defined by the SEC) of TDS, of TDS Special Common Shares during the quarter covered by this Form 10-Q.

#### TDS PURCHASES OF SPECIAL COMMON SHARES

Period	(a) Total Number of Special Common Shares Purchased	(b) Average Price Paid per Special Common Share	(c) Total Number of Special Common Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	(d) Maximum Dollar Value of Special Common Shares that may yet be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
July 1 31, 2007	120,692	\$ 64.67	120,692	\$ 229,635,177
August 1 31, 2007	708,546	58.82	708,546	187,958,759
September 1 30, 2007	436,675	61.93	436,675	160,917,604
Total for or as of end of the quarter ended				
September 30, 2007	1,265,913	\$ 60.45	1,265,913	\$ 160,917,604

The following is additional information with respect to the Special Common Shares authorization:

- I. The date the program was announced was March 5, 2007 by Form 8-K.
- II. The amount originally approved was up to \$250 million in aggregate purchase price of TDS Special Common Shares.
- III. The original expiration date for the program is March 2, 2010.
- IV. The Special Common Shares authorization did not expire during the third quarter of 2007.

V. TDS has not determined to terminate the foregoing Special Common Shares repurchase program prior to expiration, or to cease making further purchases thereunder, during the third quarter of 2007.

## Item 4. Submission of Matter to a Vote of Security-Holders.

At the Annual Meeting of Shareholders of TDS, held on July 26, 2007, the following number of votes were cast for the matters indicated:

## 1. Election of Directors:

a. For the election of eight Directors of the Company by the holders of Series A Common Shares and Preferred Shares:

Nominee	For	Withhold	Broker Non-vote
James Barr III	61,223,731	9,459	0
LeRoy T. Carlson	61,232,191	1,000	0
LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr.	61,232,191	1,000	0
Dr. Letitia G.C. Carlson	61,232,191	1,000	0
Walter C.D. Carlson	61,232,191	1,000	0
Kenneth R. Meyers	61,232,191	1,000	0
Donald C. Nebergall	61,233,001	190	0
George W. Off	61,233,001	190	0

b. For the election of four Directors of the Company by the holders of Common Shares and Special Common Shares:

Nominee	For	Withhold	Broker Non-vote
Gregory P. Josefowicz	99,655,081	2,099,603	0
Christopher D. O Leary	99,700,311	2,054,373	0
Mitchell H. Saranow	96,785,005	4,969,680	0
Herbert S. Wander	96,409,790	5,344,894	0

2. Proposal to Approve an Amended Non-Employee Director Compensation Plan by the holders of Series A Common Shares, Preferred Shares and Common Shares:

			Broker
For	Against	Abstain	Non-vote
104,564,308	719,722	63,316	3,063,474

3. Proposal to Ratify the Selection of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as Independent Public Accountants for 2007 by the holders of Series A Common Shares, Preferred Shares and Common Shares:

			Broker
For	Against	Abstain	Non-vote
107,664,042	714,907	31,872	0

## Item 5. Other Information.

The following information is being provided to update prior disclosures made pursuant to the requirements of Form 8-K, Item 2.03 - Creation of a Direct Financial Obligation or an Obligation Under an Off-Balance Sheet Arrangement of a Registrant.

U.S. Cellular has no borrowings under its Revolving Credit Facility as of September 30, 2007.

The foregoing description is qualified by reference to the description of the Revolving Credit Facility under Item 1.01 in U.S. Cellular s Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 9, 2004, and a copy of the Revolving Credit Facility, which is included as Exhibit 4.1 of U.S. Cellular s Current Report on such Form 8-K dated December 9, 2004 and is incorporated by reference herein.

### Item 6. Exhibits

- Exhibit 10.1 Guidelines and Procedures for TDS Officer Bonuses for 2007 Performance Year, is hereby incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.1 in TDS Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2007.
- Exhibit 10.2 Employment Offer Letter Agreement from TDS dated August 16, 2007 and accepted on August 17, 2007 by Douglas D. Shuma Effective September 1, 2007, is hereby incorporated from Exhibit 10.1 of TDS Current Report on Form 8-K dated August 31, 2007.
- Exhibit 10.3 Deferred Compensation Agreement between TDS and Kenneth R. Meyers effective January 1, 2007, is hereby incorporated from Exhibit 99.1 of TDS Current Report on Form 8-K dated January 1, 2007.
- Exhibit 11 Computation of Earnings per share is included herein as Note 9 to the financial statements.
- Exhibit 12 Statement regarding computation of ratios.
- Exhibit 31.1 Chief Executive Officer certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
- Exhibit 31.2 Chief Financial Officer certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
- Exhibit 32.1 Chief Executive Officer certification pursuant to Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code.
- Exhibit 32.2 Chief Financial Officer certification pursuant to Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code.

The foregoing exhibits include only the exhibits that relate specifically to this Form 10-Q or that supplement the exhibits identified in TDS Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006. Reference is made to TDS Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006 for a complete list of exhibits, which are incorporated herein except to the extent supplemented or superseded above.

## **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

## TELEPHONE AND DATA SYSTEMS, INC.

(Registrant)

Date: November 6, 2007 /s/ LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr.

LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr.,

President and Chief Executive Officer

(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: November 6, 2007 /s/ Kenneth R. Meyers

Kenneth R. Meyers,

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)

Date: November 6, 2007 /s/ Douglas D. Shuma

Douglas D. Shuma, Senior Vice President and Corporate Controller

(Principal Accounting Officer)

Signature page for the TDS 2007 Third Quarter Form 10-Q

## TELEPHONE AND DATA SYSTEMS, INC.

## RATIOS OF EARNINGS TO FIXED CHARGES

	- 1	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007 2006		
	(Dollars in thousands)			
EARNINGS:				
Income before income taxes and minority interest	\$ 747,260	\$	496,522	
Add (deduct):				
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated entities	(71,394)		(66,376)	
Distributions from unconsolidated entities	47,871		39,692	
Minority interests in pre-tax income of subsidiaries that do not have fixed				
charges	(12,024)		(10,130)	
	\$ 711,713	\$	459,708	
Add fixed charges:				
Consolidated interest expense (1)	162,776		177,185	
Interest portion (1/3) of consolidated rent expense	34,473		30,840	
	\$ 908,962	\$	667,733	
FIXED CHARGES:				
Consolidated interest expense (1)	\$ 162,776	\$	177,185	
Capitalized interest	582			
Interest portion (1/3) of consolidated rent expense	34,473		30,840	
	\$ 197,831	\$	208,025	
RATIO OF EARNINGS TO FIXED CHARGES	4.59		3.21	
Tax-effected preferred dividends	\$ 65	\$	245	
Fixed charges	197,831		208,025	
Fixed charges and preferred dividends	\$ 197,896	\$	208,270	
RATIO OF EARNINGS TO FIXED CHARGES AND PREFERRED				
DIVIDENDS	4.59		3.21	
DIVIDENDO	7.37		5.21	

<sup>(1)</sup> Interest expense on income tax contingencies is not included in fixed charges.

Certification of Chief Executive Officer

I, LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr., certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Telephone and Data Systems, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant s other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
- a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
- b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
- c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant s disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
- d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant s most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant s internal control over financial reporting; and

- 5. The registrant s other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant s auditors and the audit committee of the registrant s board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
- a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant s ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
- any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant s internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 6, 2007

/s/ LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr. LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr. President and Chief Executive Officer Certification of Chief Financial Officer

- I, Kenneth R. Meyers, certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Telephone and Data Systems, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant s other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
- a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
- b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
- c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant s disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
- d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant s most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant s internal control over financial reporting; and

- 5. The registrant s other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant s auditors and the audit committee of the registrant s board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
- a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant s ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
- any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant s internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 6, 2007

/s/ Kenneth R. Meyers Kenneth R. Meyers Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

# Certification Pursuant to Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code

I, LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr., the chief executive officer of Telephone and Data Systems, Inc., certify that (i) the quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the third quarter of 2007 fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and (ii) the information contained in the Form 10-Q fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of Telephone and Data Systems, Inc.

/s/ LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr. LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr. November 6, 2007

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 has been provided to Telephone and Data Systems, Inc. and will be retained by Telephone and Data Systems, Inc. and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

# Certification Pursuant to Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code

I, Kenneth R. Meyers, the chief financial officer of Telephone and Data Systems, Inc., certify that (i) the quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the third quarter of 2007 fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and (ii) the information contained in the Form 10-Q fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of Telephone and Data Systems, Inc.

/s/ Kenneth R. Meyers Kenneth R. Meyers November 6, 2007

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 has been provided to Telephone and Data Systems, Inc. and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.