

TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/
Form 10-K
February 26, 2009

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

**ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR
15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008

OR

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR
15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

Commission file number 001-14157

TELEPHONE AND DATA SYSTEMS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

36-2669023

(State or other jurisdiction

(IRS Employer Identification No.)

of incorporation or organization)

Edgar Filing: TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

30 North LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illinois

60602

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip code)

Registrant's Telephone Number: (312) 630-1900

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class	Name of each exchange on which registered
Common Shares, \$.01 par value	New York Stock Exchange
Special Common Shares, \$.01 par value	New York Stock Exchange
7.60% Series A Notes due 2041	New York Stock Exchange
6.625% Senior Notes due 2045	New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: **None**

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Edgar Filing: TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

As of June 30, 2008, the aggregate market values of the registrant's Common Shares, Special Common Shares, Series A Common Shares and Preferred Shares held by non-affiliates were approximately \$2.1 billion, \$1.1 billion, \$4.8 million and \$0.9 million, respectively. For purposes hereof, it was assumed that each director, executive officer and holder of 10% or more of any class of voting equity security of TDS is an affiliate. The June 30, 2008 closing price of the Common Shares was \$47.27 and the Special Common Shares was \$44.10, as reported by the American Stock Exchange. Because no market exists for the Series A Common Shares and Preferred Shares, the registrant has assumed for purposes hereof that (i) each Series A Common Share has a market value equal to one Common Share because the Series A Common Shares were initially issued by the registrant in exchange for Common Shares on a one-for-one basis and are convertible on a share-for-share basis into Common, (ii) each nonredeemable Preferred Share has a market value of \$100 because each of such shares had a stated value of \$100 when issued, and (iii) each Preferred Share that is redeemable by the delivery of TDS Common Shares has a value equal to the value of the number of Common Shares (at \$47.27 per share) on June 30, 2008 that would be required to be delivered upon redemption.

The number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant's classes of common stock, as of January 31, 2009, is 51,646,376 Common Shares, \$.01 par value, 54,090,809 Special Common Shares, \$.01 par value and 6,460,792 Series A Common Shares, \$.01 par value.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Those sections or portions of the registrant's 2008 Annual Report to Shareholders, filed as Exhibit 13 hereto, and of the registrant's Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders and Proxy Statement for its 2009 Annual Meeting of Shareholders scheduled to be held May 21, 2009, described in the cross reference sheet and table of contents attached hereto are incorporated by reference into Parts II and III of this report.

CROSS REFERENCE SHEET

AND

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page Number or Reference (1)
Part I	1
Item 1. Business	1
Item 1A. Risk Factors	35
Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments	50
Item 2. Properties	50
Item 3. Legal Proceedings	50
Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	50
Part II	51
Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	52(2)
Item 6. Selected Financial Data	52(3)
Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	52(4)
Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	52(5)
Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	52(6)
Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	52
Item 9A. Controls and Procedures	52
Item 9B. Other Information	54
Part III	55
Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	55(7)
Item 11. Executive Compensation	55(8)
Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	55(9)
Item 13. Certain Relationships, Related Transactions and Director Independence	55(10)
Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services	55(11)
Part IV	56
Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	56

(1) Parenthetical references are to information incorporated by reference from Exhibit 13 hereto, which includes portions of the registrant's Annual Report to Shareholders for the year ended December 31, 2008 (Annual Report) and from the registrant's Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders and Proxy Statement for its 2009 Annual Meeting of Shareholders (Proxy Statement) to be filed on or prior to April 30, 2009.

(2) Annual Report sections entitled TDS Stock and Dividend Information and Market Price per Common Share by Quarter.

(3) Annual Report section entitled Selected Consolidated Financial Data.

(4) Annual Report section entitled Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

(5) Annual Report section entitled Market Risk.

(6) Annual Report sections entitled Consolidated Statement of Operations, Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows, Consolidated Balance Sheet, Consolidated Statement of Common Stockholders' Equity, Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Consolidated Quarterly

Edgar Filing: TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Information (Unaudited), Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.

- (7) Proxy Statement sections entitled Election of Directors, Corporate Governance, Executive Officers and Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance.
 - (8) Proxy Statement section entitled Executive and Director Compensation.
 - (9) Proxy Statement sections entitled Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Securities Authorized for Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans.
 - (10) Proxy Statement sections entitled Corporate Governance, and Certain Relationships and Related Transactions.
 - (11) Proxy Statement section entitled Fees Paid to Principal Accountants.
-

Telephone and Data Systems, Inc.

30 NORTH LASALLE STREET, CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60602

TELEPHONE (312) 630-1900

PART I

Item 1. Business

Telephone and Data Systems, Inc. (TDS) is a diversified telecommunications service company with wireless operations provided by TDS 81%-owned subsidiary, United States Cellular Corporation (U.S. Cellular®), and wireline operations provided by TDS wholly owned subsidiary, TDS Telecommunications Corporation (TDS Telecom®). TDS also conducts printing and distribution services through its 80%-owned subsidiary, Suttle-Straus, Inc. (Suttle-Straus®). At December 31, 2008, TDS served approximately 7.4 million customers in 36 states, including 6.2 million wireless customers and 1.2 million wireline equivalent access lines. U.S. Cellular, TDS Telecom and Suttle-Straus provided approximately 83%, 16% and 1% of TDS consolidated revenues during 2008, respectively. TDS business strategy is to expand its existing operations through internal growth and acquisitions and to explore and develop other telecommunications businesses that management believes will utilize TDS expertise in customer-focused telecommunications services.

TDS has three reportable segments: (i) U.S. Cellular's wireless operations; (ii) TDS Telecom's Incumbent Local Exchange Carrier (ILEC) wireline operations and (iii) TDS Telecom's Competitive Local Exchange Carrier (CLEC) wireline operations. Information about each of these segments is disclosed below. Additional information about TDS segments is incorporated herein by reference from Note 22 Business Segment Information, in TDS Annual Report to Shareholders, filed as Exhibit 13 hereto. TDS does not have any foreign operations.

TDS was incorporated in 1968 and changed its corporate domicile from Iowa to Delaware in 1998. TDS executive offices are located at 30 North LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illinois 60602. Its telephone number is 312-630-1900.

In 2008, the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) agreed to purchase the American Stock Exchange (AMEX). As a result, TDS and U.S. Cellular determined to change their listings from the AMEX to the NYSE. Effective September 15, 2008, TDS voluntarily transferred the listing of its Common Shares and Special Common Shares and U.S. Cellular voluntarily transferred the listing of its Common Shares from the AMEX to the NYSE. TDS Common Shares trade under the ticker symbol TDS and the Special Common Shares trade under the ticker symbol TDS on the NYSE. U.S. Cellular Common Shares trade on the NYSE under the ticker symbol USM .

TDS 7.60% Series A Notes trade on the NYSE under the symbol TDA and TDS 6.625% Senior Notes trade under the symbol TDI . U.S. Cellular's 8.75% Senior Notes trade on the NYSE under the symbol UZG and U.S. Cellular's 7.5% Senior Notes trade under the symbol UZV .

U.S. Cellular is a majority-owned subsidiary of TDS. As of December 31, 2008, TDS owned 81% of the combined total of the outstanding Common Shares and Series A Common Shares of U.S. Cellular and controlled 96% of the combined voting power of both classes of common stock.

Available Information

TDS website is <http://www.teldta.com>. TDS files with, or furnishes to, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, as well as various other information. Anyone may access, free of charge, through the Investor Relations portion of the website, the TDS annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to such reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as soon as reasonably practical after such material is electronically filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). The public may read and copy any materials TDS files with the SEC at the SEC s Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington D.C. 20549. The public may obtain information on the operation of the Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-732-0330. The public may also view electronic filings of TDS by accessing SEC filings at <http://www.sec.gov>.

U.S. Cellular's website address is <http://www.uscc.com>. U.S. Cellular files with, or furnishes to, the SEC annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, as well as various other information. Investors may access, free of charge, through the About Us / Investor Relations portion of the website, U.S. Cellular's annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to such reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act as soon as reasonably practical after such material is filed electronically with the SEC. The public may read and copy any materials U.S. Cellular files with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington D.C. 20549. The public may obtain information on the operation of the Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-732-0330. The public may also view electronic filings of U.S. Cellular by accessing SEC filings at <http://www.sec.gov>.

U.S. Cellular Operations

General

United States Cellular Corporation (U.S. Cellular®) was incorporated under the laws of the state of Delaware in 1983. At December 31, 2008, U.S. Cellular provided wireless service to approximately 6.2 million customers in five geographic market areas in 26 states. U.S. Cellular believes that it is currently the fifth largest full-service wireless operating company in the United States based on internally prepared calculations of the aggregate number of customers in its consolidated markets compared to the number of customers disclosed by other wireless companies in their publicly released information. U.S. Cellular operates in only one reportable segment, wireless operations, and all of its wireless operating markets are in the United States.

At December 31, 2008, U.S. Cellular owned interests in 239 consolidated wireless markets which covered portions of 34 states and a total population of 83 million. U.S. Cellular's average penetration rate in its consolidated markets, calculated by dividing the number of U.S. Cellular customers by the total population in such markets, was 7.5%. The 239 consolidated markets included 185 operating markets, or markets in which U.S. Cellular provides wireless services to customers, covering 26 states and a total population of 46 million. U.S. Cellular's average penetration rate in its consolidated operating markets was 13.5%. U.S. Cellular also owned investment interests in 16 other wireless markets. U.S. Cellular operated approximately 6,900 cell sites, had over 400 Company-operated retail stores and had relationships with agents and non-company retailers that aggregated over 1,100 locations.

Wireless Interests and Operating Markets

U.S. Cellular is a wireless telecommunications service provider. U.S. Cellular operates its adjacent wireless systems under an organizational structure in which it groups its markets (geographic service areas as defined by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) in which wireless carriers are licensed, for fixed terms, to provide service) into geographic market areas to offer customers large service areas that primarily utilize U.S. Cellular's network. Since 1985, when it began providing wireless telecommunications service in Knoxville, Tennessee and Tulsa, Oklahoma, U.S. Cellular has expanded its wireless networks and customer service operations to cover five geographic market areas in portions of 26 states as of December 31, 2008. U.S. Cellular uses roaming agreements with other wireless carriers to provide service to customers in areas not covered by U.S. Cellular's network.

U.S. Cellular is subject to regulation by the FCC as a provider of Commercial Mobile Radio Services (CMRS). The FCC regulates the licensing, construction, and operation of CMRS providers and other wireless communications systems, as well as the provision of services over those systems. The FCC currently grants two licenses to provide cellular communication service in each cellular licensed area. Multiple licenses have been granted in each personal communications service (PCS) licensed area, and these licensed areas overlap with cellular licensed

areas. See Regulation below for further discussion regarding licenses as well as the regulations promulgated by the FCC.

U.S. Cellular's ownership interests in wireless licenses include both consolidated and investment interests in cellular licenses covering metropolitan statistical areas (MSAs) and rural service areas (RSAs), PCS licenses covering basic trading areas (BTAs) and metropolitan trading areas (MTAs), advanced wireless service licenses covering MSAs, economic areas (EAs), and regional economic area groupings (REAGs), and 700 megahertz licenses covering EAs, REAGs and Cellular Market Areas (CMAs), as designated by the FCC. The following table summarizes U.S. Cellular's interests in wireless markets at December 31, 2008.

Consolidated markets in which U.S. Cellular has a controlling interest	
Operating markets	185
Non-operating markets (1)	27
	212
Consolidated markets in which U.S. Cellular has other interests (2)	27
	239
Consolidated markets to be acquired pursuant to existing agreements (3)	23
Markets to be acquired by entities in which U.S. Cellular has a non-controlling interest (4)	84
Investment interests (5)	16
Total markets	362

(1) Includes markets in which U.S. Cellular does not yet provide wireless service to customers.

(2) Includes other interests in licenses acquired by Carroll Wireless, L.P. (Carroll Wireless) and Barat Wireless, L.P. (Barat Wireless). U.S. Cellular consolidates Carroll Wireless and Barat Wireless for financial statement purposes, pursuant to the guidelines of FASB Interpretation No. 46(R), *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities - an Interpretation of ARB No. 51*, (FIN 46(R)), because U.S. Cellular anticipates benefiting from or absorbing a majority of Carroll Wireless' and Barat Wireless' expected gains or losses.

(3) Represents licenses for which U.S. Cellular has entered into binding agreements and has not yet acquired as of December 31, 2008. See *Strategic Acquisitions, Divestitures and Exchanges of Wireless Interests Completed During the Past Five Years* for additional information.

(4) Includes incremental, non-overlapping markets to be granted with respect to Auctions 73 and 78 to King Street Wireless, L.P. (King Street Wireless) and Aquinas Wireless, L.P. (Aquinas Wireless). U.S. Cellular consolidates King Street Wireless and Aquinas Wireless for financial statement purposes, pursuant to the guidelines of FIN 46(R) because U.S. Cellular anticipates benefiting from or absorbing a majority of King Street Wireless' and Aquinas Wireless' expected gains or losses. See *Strategic Acquisitions, Divestitures and Exchanges of Wireless Interests Completed During the Past Five Years* for additional information.

(5) Represents licenses in which U.S. Cellular owns an interest that is not a controlling financial interest and is accounted for using either the equity method or the cost method of accounting.

Edgar Filing: TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

U.S. Cellular manages the operations of all but two of the licenses in which it owns a controlling interest; U.S. Cellular has contracted with another wireless operator to manage the operations of these two licensees. U.S. Cellular also manages the operations of additional licenses in which it does not own a controlling interest, through agreements with the controlling interest holder or holders. U.S. Cellular accounts for its interests in each of these three licenses using the equity method of accounting. U.S. Cellular does not manage the licenses that it consolidates pursuant to the guidelines of FIN 46 (R); the controlling interest holder manages these licenses.

For purposes of tracking population counts in order to calculate market penetration, when U.S. Cellular acquires a licensed area that overlaps a licensed area it already owns, it does not duplicate the population counts for any overlapping licensed area. Only incremental population counts are added to the reported amount of total market population in the case of an acquisition of a licensed area that overlaps a previously owned licensed area. The incremental population counts that are added in such event are referred to throughout this Form 10-K as incremental population measurements.

The total market population and population equivalents measures are provided to enable comparison of the relative size of each geographic market area to U.S. Cellular's total consolidated markets and to enable comparison of the relative size of U.S. Cellular's consolidated markets to its investment interests, respectively. The total population of U.S. Cellular's consolidated markets may have no direct relationship to the number of wireless customers or the revenues that may be realized from the operation of the related wireless systems. Therefore, U.S. Cellular's reporting of total population includes the population of its total consolidated markets as well as the population of its consolidated operating markets i.e., markets in which U.S. Cellular provides wireless service to customers in order to reflect its market penetration more accurately. For comparison purposes, total market population and penetration calculations for both total consolidated markets and consolidated operating markets are shown below.

For both consolidated markets and consolidated operating markets, the tables below aggregate the total population within each geographic market area, regardless of U.S. Cellular's percentage ownership in the licenses included in such geographic market areas.

Total Consolidated Markets

Geographic Market Areas	Population(1)	Customers	Penetration	States
Central	65,442,000	3,903,000	6.0%	AL, AR, FL, GA, IA, IL, IN, KS, KY, LA, MI, MN, MO, MS, NE, OH, OK, SD, TX, WI
Mid-Atlantic	11,889,000	1,171,000	9.8%	MD, NC, PA, SC, TN, VA, WV
New England	2,869,000	525,000	18.3%	ME, NH, VT
Northwest	2,324,000	433,000	18.6%	CA, OR, WA
New York	490,000	164,000	33.5%	NY
Total	83,014,000	6,196,000	7.5%	

(1) Represents 100% of the population of the licensed areas which U.S. Cellular consolidates, based on 2007 Claritas population estimates. Population in this context includes only the areas covering such markets and is only used for the purposes of calculating market penetration and is not related to population equivalents, as defined below.

Consolidated Operating Markets

Geographic Market Areas	Population (1)	Customers	Penetration	States
Central	32,658,000	3,903,000	12.0%	IA, IL, IN, KS, MI, MN, MO, NE, OH, OK, TX, WI
Mid-Atlantic	7,668,000	1,171,000	15.3%	MD, NC, PA, SC, TN, VA, WV
New England	2,869,000	525,000	18.3%	ME, NH, VT
Northwest	2,324,000	433,000	18.6%	CA, OR, WA
New York	490,000	164,000	33.5%	NY
Total	46,009,000	6,196,000	13.5%	

(1) Represents 100% of the population of the licensed areas which U.S. Cellular operates, based on 2007 Claritas population estimates. Population in this context includes only the areas covering such markets and is only used for the purposes of calculating market penetration and is not related to population equivalents, as defined below.

Investment Markets

Edgar Filing: TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

The following table summarizes the markets in which U.S. Cellular owns an investment interest. For licenses in which U.S. Cellular owns an investment interest, the related population equivalents are shown, defined as the total population of each licensed area multiplied by U.S. Cellular's ownership interest in each such license.

Market Area/Market	Population(1)	Current Percentage Interest(2)	Current Population Equivalents(3)
Los Angeles/Oxnard, CA	18,100,000	5.5%	996,000
Oklahoma City, OK	1,124,000	14.6%	164,000
Others (fewer than 100,000 population equivalents each)			345,000
Total population equivalents in investment markets			1,505,000

(1) Represents 100% of the total population of the licensed area in which U.S. Cellular owns an interest based on 2007 Claritas population estimates.

(2) Represents U.S. Cellular's percentage ownership interest in the licensed area as of December 31, 2008.

(3) Current Population Equivalents are derived by multiplying the amount in the Population column by the percentage interest indicated in the Current Percentage Interest column.

Strategic Acquisitions, Divestitures and Exchanges of Wireless Interests Completed During the Past Five Years

U.S. Cellular's business development strategy is to obtain interests in and access to wireless licenses in areas adjacent to or in proximity to its other wireless licenses, thereby building contiguous operating market areas. U.S. Cellular anticipates that grouping its operations into market areas will continue to provide it with certain economies in its capital and operating costs. U.S. Cellular may continue to make opportunistic acquisitions or exchanges in markets that further strengthen its operating market areas and in other attractive markets. U.S. Cellular also seeks to acquire minority interests in licenses in which it already owns the majority interest and/or operates the license. From time to time, U.S. Cellular has divested outright or included in exchanges for other wireless interests certain consolidated and investment interests that are considered less essential to its operating strategy. As part of this strategy, U.S. Cellular from time to time may be engaged in negotiations relating to the acquisition or exchange of companies, strategic properties or wireless spectrum or the disposition of properties. In addition, U.S. Cellular may participate as a bidder, or member of a bidding group, in auctions for wireless spectrum administered by the FCC.

There can be no assurance that U.S. Cellular will be able to negotiate additional acquisitions or exchanges on terms acceptable to it or that regulatory approvals, where required, will be received. U.S. Cellular plans to retain minority interests in certain wireless licenses that it believes will earn a favorable return on investment. Other minority interests may be exchanged for interests in licenses that may enhance U.S. Cellular's operations or may be sold for cash or other consideration. U.S. Cellular also continues to evaluate the disposition of certain controlling interests in wireless licenses that are not essential to its corporate development strategy.

FCC Auctions. From time to time, the FCC conducts auctions through which additional spectrum is made available for the provision of wireless services. U.S. Cellular has participated in certain prior FCC auctions, as discussed below.

Auction 78. A wholly owned subsidiary of U.S. Cellular is a limited partner in Aquinas Wireless, an entity which participated in the auction of wireless spectrum designated by the FCC as Auction 78, which ended on August 20, 2008. Aquinas Wireless was qualified to bid on closed licenses that were available only to companies included under the FCC definition of entrepreneurs, which are small businesses that have a limited amount of assets and revenues. In addition, Aquinas Wireless bid on open licenses that were not subject to restriction. With respect to these licenses, however, Aquinas Wireless was qualified to receive a 25% bid credit available to very small businesses. Aquinas Wireless was a successful bidder for one closed license area and four open license areas. These five license areas cover portions of four states and are in markets which are either adjacent to or overlap current U.S. Cellular license areas. The aggregate amount paid to the FCC for the five licenses was \$2.1 million, net of the bid credits to which Aquinas Wireless was entitled. There is no prescribed timeframe for the FCC to review the qualifications of the various winning bidders and grant licenses. As of December 31, 2008, the FCC had not granted the licenses to Aquinas Wireless.

Auction 73. A wholly owned subsidiary of U.S. Cellular is a limited partner in King Street Wireless, an entity which participated in the auction of wireless spectrum in the 700 megahertz band designated by the FCC as Auction 73. King Street Wireless was qualified to receive a 25% bid credit available to very small businesses. At the conclusion of the auction on March 20, 2008, King Street Wireless was the provisional winning bidder with respect to 152 licenses for which it had bid \$300.5 million, net of its bid credit. These 152 license areas cover portions of 27 states and are in markets which are either adjacent to or overlap current U.S. Cellular license areas. There is no prescribed timeframe for the FCC to review the qualifications of the various winning bidders and grant licenses. As of December 31, 2008, the FCC had not granted the licenses to King Street Wireless.

Auction 66. A wholly owned subsidiary of U.S. Cellular is a limited partner in Barat Wireless, an entity which participated in the auction of wireless spectrum designated by the FCC as Auction 66. Barat Wireless was qualified to receive a 25% bid credit available to very small businesses. At the conclusion of the auction on September 18, 2006, Barat Wireless was a successful bidder with respect to 17 licenses for which it had bid \$127.1 million, net of its bid credit. On April 30, 2007, the FCC granted Barat Wireless applications with respect to the 17 licenses for which it was the successful bidder. These 17 license areas cover portions of 20 states and are in markets which are either adjacent to or overlap current U.S. Cellular licensed areas.

Auction 58. A wholly owned subsidiary of U.S. Cellular is a limited partner in Carroll Wireless, an entity which participated in the auction of wireless spectrum designated by the FCC as Auction 58. Carroll Wireless was qualified to bid on closed licenses that were available only to companies included under the FCC definition of entrepreneurs, which are small businesses that have a limited amount of assets and revenues. In addition, Carroll Wireless bid on open licenses that were not subject to restriction. With respect to these licenses, Carroll Wireless was qualified to receive a 25% bid credit available to very small businesses. Carroll Wireless was a successful bidder for 16 license areas in Auction 58, which ended on February 15, 2005. The aggregate amount paid to the FCC for the 16 licenses was \$129.7 million, net of the bid credit to which Carroll Wireless was entitled. On January 6, 2006, the FCC granted Carroll Wireless applications with respect to the 16 licenses for which it was the successful bidder. These 16 license areas cover portions of 10 states and are in markets which are either adjacent to or overlap current U.S. Cellular licensed areas.

Aquinas Wireless, King Street Wireless, Barat Wireless and Carroll Wireless are in the process of developing long-term business and financing plans. For financial statement purposes, U.S. Cellular consolidates Aquinas Wireless, King Street Wireless, King Street Wireless, Inc., the general partner of King Street Wireless, Barat Wireless, Barat Wireless, Inc., the general partner of Barat Wireless, Carroll Wireless and Carroll PCS, Inc., the general partner of Carroll Wireless, pursuant to the guidelines of FIN 46(R) because U.S. Cellular anticipates benefiting from or absorbing a majority of the expected gains or losses of these entities.

Acquisitions and Exchanges. In December 2008, U.S. Cellular acquired three 12 megahertz C block lower 700 megahertz licenses in Missouri for \$11.6 million in cash.

In December 2008, U.S. Cellular acquired four 12 megahertz C block lower 700 megahertz licenses in Missouri for \$8.0 million in cash.

In December 2008, U.S. Cellular acquired four 12 megahertz C block lower 700 megahertz licenses covering portions of three states (Indiana, Iowa and Nebraska) for \$4.6 million in cash.

In November 2008, U.S. Cellular acquired an F block PCS license in Oregon for \$1.1 million in cash.

In October 2008, U.S. Cellular entered into an agreement to acquire six 12 megahertz C block lower 700 megahertz licenses covering portions of three states (Kansas, Missouri and Oklahoma) for \$9.0 million in cash. This transaction closed in January 2009.

In September 2008, U.S. Cellular entered into an agreement to acquire a 12 megahertz C block lower 700 megahertz license in Missouri for \$3.3 million in cash. This transaction closed in February 2009.

In May 2008, U.S. Cellular acquired, for \$6.9 million in cash, the remaining ownership interest in one wireless market in North Carolina, in which U.S. Cellular previously owned a 50% interest.

In March 2008, U.S. Cellular acquired six 12 megahertz C block lower 700 megahertz licenses in Maine for \$5.0 million in cash.

In December 2007, U.S. Cellular acquired a 12 megahertz C block lower 700 megahertz license in Kansas for \$3.2 million in cash.

In November 2007, U.S. Cellular entered into an exchange agreement with Sprint Nextel which called for U.S. Cellular to receive PCS spectrum in eight licenses covering portions of four states (Oklahoma, West Virginia, Maryland and Iowa) and, in exchange, to deliver PCS spectrum in eight licenses covering portions of Illinois. This transaction closed on March 19, 2008. Six of the licenses that U.S. Cellular received from Sprint Nextel added spectrum in areas where U.S. Cellular already provided service and two of the licenses provided coverage in areas with incremental population of approximately 88,000. The eight licenses that U.S. Cellular transferred to Sprint Nextel were in areas where U.S. Cellular currently provides service and has what it considers an excess of spectrum (i.e., it has more spectrum than is expected to be needed to continue to provide high quality service). No cash, customers, network assets or other assets or liabilities were included in the exchange of licenses. As a result of this exchange transaction, U.S. Cellular recognized a pre-tax loss on impairment of intangible assets of \$20.8 million during 2007.

In February 2007, U.S. Cellular acquired, for \$18.3 million in cash, 100% of the membership interests in one wireless market in Iowa and obtained the 25 megahertz cellular license, expanding its wireless service in Iowa.

In April 2006, U.S. Cellular acquired, for \$18.9 million in cash, the remaining ownership interest in one wireless market in Tennessee, in which U.S. Cellular previously owned a 16.7% interest.

In December 2005, U.S. Cellular completed an exchange of certain wireless markets in Kansas, Nebraska and Idaho with a subsidiary of Alltel Corporation (Alltel). Under the agreement, U.S. Cellular acquired fifteen RSA markets in Kansas and Nebraska in exchange for two RSA markets in Idaho and \$57.1 million in cash.

In 2004, U.S. Cellular purchased certain minority interests in several wireless markets in which it already owned a controlling interest for \$40.8 million in cash.

Pursuant to a transaction with AT&T Wireless that was completed on August 1, 2003, U.S. Cellular acquired rights to acquire 21 licenses. These rights, which have a recorded value of \$42.0 million, are included in Licenses on U.S. Cellular's Consolidated Balance Sheet. Of the 21 licenses, only 17 would add incremental territory to U.S. Cellular's consolidated markets; thus, only these 17 licenses are included in the number of consolidated markets to avoid duplicate reporting of overlapping markets. During 2007, U.S. Cellular exercised its right to acquire two of the 21 licenses, which includes one of the 17 licenses reported above. The closings of the acquisitions took place on March 4, 2008. During 2008, U.S. Cellular exercised its right to acquire 18 of the 21 licenses, which includes 15 of the 17 licenses reported above. The closings of the acquisitions are expected to occur in the first half of 2009. The right to acquire the remaining license from AT&T Wireless does not have a stated expiration date. All asset values related to the acquired or pending licenses were determined by U.S. Cellular.

Divestitures. In November 2007, U.S. Cellular entered into an agreement with Sprint Nextel to exchange certain licenses. See discussion in *Acquisitions and Exchanges* above.

In October 2006, U.S. Cellular's interest in Midwest Wireless Communications, L.L.C. (Midwest Wireless) was sold to Alltel. In connection with the sale, U.S. Cellular became entitled to receive approximately \$106.0 million in cash with respect to its interest in Midwest Wireless. Of this amount, \$95.1 million was distributed upon closing and \$10.9 million was held in escrow to secure certain true-up, indemnification and other possible adjustments; the funds held in escrow were to be distributed in installments over a period of four to fifteen months following the closing. U.S. Cellular received \$6.6 million and \$4.3 million of funds from the escrow, plus interest of \$0.2 million and \$0.3 million, in 2008 and 2007, respectively.

In December 2005, U.S. Cellular completed an exchange of certain wireless markets in Kansas, Nebraska and Idaho with a subsidiary of Alltel. See discussion in *Acquisitions and Exchanges* above.

In December 2004, U.S. Cellular completed the sale of its Daytona Beach, Florida 20 megahertz C block PCS license to MetroPCS California/Florida, Inc. (MetroPCS) for \$8.5 million. U.S. Cellular recorded impairment losses related to the Daytona license of \$1.8 million in 2004 and a loss of \$0.3 million associated with buying out the former partner of the Daytona investment.

In November 2004, U.S. Cellular completed the sale to Alltel of certain wireless properties. U.S. Cellular sold two consolidated markets and five minority interests to Alltel for \$80.2 million in cash, including repayment of debt and working capital that was subject to adjustment. U.S. Cellular recorded a gain of \$38.0 million related to the sale.

In February 2004, U.S. Cellular completed the sale of certain of its wireless properties in southern Texas to AT&T Wireless for \$96.5 million in cash, including a working capital adjustment. The properties sold to AT&T Wireless included wireless assets and customers in six markets. U.S. Cellular recorded a loss of \$21.3 million related to the sale.

Competition

The wireless telecommunication industry is highly competitive. U.S. Cellular competes directly with several wireless service providers in each of its markets. In general, there are between three and five competitors in each wireless market in which U.S. Cellular provides service, excluding resellers and mobile virtual network operators (MVNOs). U.S. Cellular generally competes against each of the national wireless companies: AT&T Mobility, Sprint Nextel, T-Mobile USA and Verizon Wireless. However, not all of these competitors operate in each market where U.S. Cellular does business. These competitors have substantially greater financial, technical, marketing, sales, purchasing and distribution resources than U.S. Cellular. In addition, U.S. Cellular competes against other regional wireless companies in certain areas, including Leap Wireless International, and resellers of wireless services. Since U.S. Cellular's competitors do not disclose their subscriber counts in specific regional service areas, market share for the competitors in each regional market cannot be precisely determined.

Since each of these competitors operates on systems using spectrum licensed by the FCC and has comparable technology and facilities, competition among wireless service providers for customers is principally on the basis of types of products and services, price, size of area covered, call quality, network speed and responsiveness of customer service. U.S. Cellular employs a customer satisfaction strategy throughout its markets that it believes has contributed to its overall success, including a relatively low churn rate.

Wireless service providers continue to use handset availability and pricing to gain a competitive advantage, as almost everyone who wants and can afford a wireless handset already has one. The wireless handset is more than just a means for communication. Consumers' attitudes have shifted, and continue to shift, and a wireless handset becomes more important year after year as it expands to become the primary communication link to the world as well as a personal entertainment center and source of information. The availability of handsets on an exclusive basis to certain carriers provides them with a competitive advantage. As penetration in the industry increases over the next few years, U.S. Cellular believes that customer growth will be achieved primarily by capturing persons switching from other wireless carriers or increasing the number of multi-device users rather than by adding users that are new to the industry.

The use of national advertising and promotional programs by the national wireless service providers may be a source of additional competitive and pricing pressures in all U.S. Cellular markets, even if those operators may not provide direct service in a particular market. In addition, in the current wireless environment, U.S. Cellular's ability to compete depends on its ability to offer family and national calling plans. U.S. Cellular provides wireless services comparable to the national competitors, but the national wireless companies operate in a wider geographic area and are able to offer no- or low-cost roaming and long-distance calling packages over a wider area on their own networks than U.S. Cellular can offer on its network. If U.S. Cellular offers the same calling area as one of these competitors, U.S. Cellular will incur roaming charges for calls made in portions of the calling area, which are not part of its network, thereby increasing its cost of operations. In the central market area, U.S. Cellular's largest contiguous service area, U.S. Cellular can offer larger regional service packages without incurring significant roaming charges than it is able to offer in other parts of its network.

U.S. Cellular depends on roaming agreements with other wireless carriers to provide voice and data roaming capabilities in areas not covered by U.S. Cellular's network. If U.S. Cellular is unable to maintain or renew these agreements, U.S. Cellular's ability to continue to provide competitive nationwide wireless service to its customers could be impaired, which, in turn, would have an adverse effect on its wireless operations.

Edgar Filing: TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Bundled offerings, in the form of triple plays and quadruple plays (combination of cable or satellite television service, high-speed Internet, wireline phone service, and wireless phone service), are becoming more common among some of U.S. Cellular's competitors. In addition, wireless carriers and others are beginning to roll out new or enhanced technologies to better meet the needs of the anytime, anywhere consumer. Convergence is taking place on many levels, including dual-mode devices that act as wireline or mobile phones depending on location and the incorporation of wireless hot spot technology in mobile handsets for improved in-building coverage and for making Internet access seamless regardless of location. The path of future technology is uncertain as carriers decide between fourth generation technology paths, including LTE (Long Term Evolution) and WiMax. Although less directly a substitute for other wireless services, wireless data services such as Wi-Fi may be adequate for those who do not need full mobility wide area roaming or full two-way voice services. Technological advances or regulatory changes in the future may make available other alternatives to wireless service, thereby creating additional sources of competition.

U.S. Cellular's approach in 2009 and in future years will be to focus on the unique needs and attitudes towards wireless service of its selected target segments. U.S. Cellular will deliver selected, targeted high quality products and services at fair prices and will continue to differentiate itself through the customer experience and service quality. U.S. Cellular's ability to compete successfully in the future will depend upon its ability to anticipate and respond to changes related to new service offerings and customer preferences, competitors' pricing strategies, technology, demographic trends and economic conditions and access to adequate spectrum resources.

Technology and System Design and Construction

Technology. Wireless communication systems transmit voice, data, graphics and video through the transmission of signals over networks of radio towers using radio spectrum licensed by the FCC. Access to local, regional, national and worldwide telecommunications networks is provided through system interconnections.

There have been a number of technological developments in the wireless industry since its inception. The first generation of wireless technology was based on analog technologies. The second generation of wireless technologies is digital signal transmission technology, which allows wireless communication systems to provide voice service as well as wireless data applications. The third generation of wireless technologies enables greater speeds of data transmission and is therefore capable of supporting more complex data applications. In addition, other high-speed wireless technologies, such as Wi-Fi, are also being deployed and may offer mobile broadband capability. Fourth generation wireless technologies, including LTE and WiMax, are currently under development. The wireless standards bodies are working to standardize fourth generation wireless technologies to ensure consistent customer experiences. Fourth generation wireless technologies are planned to be different from previous wireless technologies in that they provide several-fold improvement in throughput and capacity, as well as reduced latency for data applications. These improvements are focused to a large degree on bringing lower latency Internet access to the mobile wireless experience. Fourth generation technologies accomplish this improvement through use of advanced access methods such as OFDMA (orthogonal frequency division multiple access), advanced modulation techniques such as QAM (quadrature amplitude modulation), advanced spatial processing such as MIMO (multiple input, multiple output), and IP (Internet Protocol) core architecture.

U.S. Cellular currently deploys Code Division Multiple Access (CDMA) 1XRTT digital technology throughout virtually all of its networks. Through roaming agreements with other CDMA-based wireless carriers, U.S. Cellular's customers may access CDMA service in virtually all areas of the United States. U.S. Cellular believes that CDMA technology offers advantages compared to the other second generation digital technologies, including greater spectral efficiency as well as better call quality. Another digital technology, Global System for Mobile Communication (GSM), has a larger installed base of customers worldwide. Since CDMA technology is not compatible with GSM technology, U.S. Cellular customers with CDMA only based handsets are not able to use their handsets when traveling through areas serviced only by GSM-based networks.

Previously, U.S. Cellular deployed Time Division Multiple Access (TDMA) technology in a substantial portion of its markets. As of December 31, 2007, migration of U.S. Cellular's networks to CDMA technology and migration of customers who used TDMA or analog handsets to CDMA compatible handsets were substantially complete in all of its markets. However, since TDMA-based network equipment has analog capabilities embedded, U.S. Cellular continued to operate its TDMA-based networks through February 2009, which was longer than the FCC mandate requiring retention of analog capability through February 2008. U.S. Cellular does not expect a significant adverse effect to its customer base or results of operations as a result of the discontinuation of its TDMA-based service in 2009.

A high-quality network as well as continued prudent investments in the network will remain important factors for wireless companies to remain competitive. U.S. Cellular continually reviews its long-term technology plans. In late 2006, U.S. Cellular launched services based on Evolution-Data Optimized (EVDO) technology, a third generation technology, on a limited basis. This technology, which increases the speed of

data transmissions on the wireless network, is deployed by certain other wireless companies. During 2008, U.S. Cellular continued the expansion of its EVDO network in Chicago, Illinois; southern Wisconsin; Des Moines, Iowa; and Tulsa, Oklahoma. U.S. Cellular plans to continue the expansion and anticipates that approximately 61% of its total cell sites will be EVDO capable by the end of 2009. Looking beyond 2009, U.S. Cellular will continue to evaluate additional investment in EVDO technology in light of the demand for the deployment of such technology.

At this point in time, U.S. Cellular's approach to fourth generation wireless technologies is to seek to ensure that such technologies are reasonably backwards compatible with U.S. Cellular's current wireless technologies. U.S. Cellular is seeking to accomplish this by actively participating in the various standards bodies governing the development of fourth generation wireless technologies. Backward compatibility is intended to help ensure that U.S. Cellular and its customers have a clear and seamless path to new advanced services available on fourth generation networks if and when the adoption of and demand for such new services and the competitive environment warrant the deployment of fourth generation wireless technology.

System Design and Construction. U.S. Cellular designs and constructs its systems in a manner it believes will permit it to provide high-quality service to substantially all types of wireless devices that are compatible with its network technology, based on engineering studies which relate to specific markets. Such engineering studies are performed by U.S. Cellular personnel or third-party engineering firms. Network reliability is given careful consideration and extensive redundancy is employed in many aspects of U.S. Cellular's network design. Route diversity, ring topology and extensive use of emergency standby power are also utilized to enhance network reliability and minimize service disruption from any particular network element failure.

In accordance with its strategy of building and strengthening its operating market areas, U.S. Cellular has selected high-capacity digital wireless switching systems that are capable of serving multiple markets through a single mobile telephone switching office. U.S. Cellular's wireless systems are designed to facilitate the installation of equipment that will permit microwave interconnection between the mobile telephone switching office and the cell sites. U.S. Cellular has implemented such microwave interconnection in many of the wireless systems it operates. In other areas, U.S. Cellular's systems rely upon wireline telephone connections to link cell sites with the mobile telephone switching office. Although the installation of microwave network interconnection equipment requires a greater initial capital investment, a microwave network enables a system operator to reduce the current and future charges associated with leasing telephone lines from a wireline telephone company.

Additionally, U.S. Cellular has developed and continues to expand a wide area data network to accommodate various business functions, including:

- Order processing;
- Automatic call delivery;
- Intersystem handoff;
- Credit validation;
- Fraud prevention;
- Call data record collection;
- Network management;
- Long-distance traffic; and
- Interconnectivity of all of U.S. Cellular's mobile telephone switching offices and cell sites.

In addition, the wide area network accommodates virtually all internal data communications between various U.S. Cellular office and retail locations to process customer activations. The wide area network is deployed in all of U.S. Cellular's customer service centers (Customer Care Centers) for all customer service functions using U.S. Cellular's billing and information system.

Edgar Filing: TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

U.S. Cellular believes that currently available technologies and appropriate capital additions will allow sufficient capacity on its networks to meet anticipated demand for voice services over the next few years. Increased demand for high-speed data and video services may require the acquisition of additional licenses or spectrum to provide sufficient capacity in markets where U.S. Cellular currently offers or may in the future offer these services.

Construction of wireless systems is capital-intensive, requiring substantial investment for land and improvements, buildings, towers, mobile telephone switching offices, cell site equipment, microwave equipment, engineering and installation. U.S. Cellular uses primarily its own personnel to engineer each wireless system it owns and operates, and engages contractors to construct the facilities.

The costs (inclusive of the costs to acquire licenses) to develop the systems in which U.S. Cellular owns a controlling interest have historically been financed primarily through proceeds from debt and equity offerings and, in recent years, with cash generated by operations and proceeds from the sales of wireless interests. U.S. Cellular expects to meet most of its future funding requirements with cash generated by operations and, on a temporary basis, with borrowings under its revolving credit facility. U.S. Cellular also may have access to public and private capital markets to help meet its long-term financing needs.

U.S. Cellular purchases network equipment from a few suppliers, one of which is Nortel Networks Corporation (Nortel). On January 14, 2009, Nortel filed under Canada's Companies Creditors Arrangement Act and Chapter 11 bankruptcy in the United States. U.S. Cellular has inquired into the ability of Nortel to meet its obligations to deliver equipment and received assurance from Nortel that it remains able to meet these obligations. Nortel has also worked with its suppliers to confirm that such suppliers will deliver the products Nortel needs to meet U.S. Cellular's equipment requirements. U.S. Cellular continues to monitor the financial condition of Nortel and U.S. Cellular's other network equipment suppliers. The inability or unwillingness of U.S. Cellular's network equipment suppliers, such as Nortel, to supply U.S. Cellular with network equipment could adversely affect U.S. Cellular's ability to operate its network optimally.

Products and Services

Wireless Handset Devices. U.S. Cellular offers a wide range of wireless handset devices and laptop cards for use by its customers. All of the wireless devices that U.S. Cellular offers are compatible with its CDMA 1XRTT network, and increasingly with EVDO standards. Also, all of the handsets U.S. Cellular currently offers are compliant with the FCC's enhanced 911, or E-911, requirements. In addition, U.S. Cellular offers a wide range of accessories, such as carrying cases, hands-free devices, batteries, battery chargers and other items to customers, and U.S. Cellular sells wireless devices to agents and other third-party distributors for resale.

U.S. Cellular frequently discounts wireless handset devices sold to new and current customers and provides upgraded handsets to current customers in response to competition, to attract new customers or to retain existing customers by reducing the cost of becoming or remaining a wireless customer. In most instances, where permitted by law, customers are required to sign a new service contract or extend their current service contract with U.S. Cellular at the time the handset sale takes place in order to receive such discount.

U.S. Cellular has established service facilities in many of its local markets to ensure quality service and repair of the wireless handset devices it sells. These facilities allow U.S. Cellular to improve its handset repair service by promptly assisting customers who experience equipment problems. Additionally, the following service repair programs are available to U.S. Cellular customers: over-the-counter exchange, Smart Phone advance exchange, loaner phones, express exchange and return, device recycling and returns of devices. U.S. Cellular maintains a repair facility in Tulsa, Oklahoma to handle complex repair issues.

During 2008, U.S. Cellular's Smartphone category was expanded with the addition of its first touch screen handset device, the HTC Touch. Handset devices that are considered Smartphones use an identifiable operating system, often with the ability to add applications such as for enhanced data processing, connectivity or entertainment. In addition, U.S. Cellular expanded its handset offering with the addition of two other touch screen handsets, the LG 830 and the Samsung Delve.

U.S. Cellular purchases wireless devices and accessory products from a number of manufacturers, with the substantial majority of such purchases currently made from Motorola, LG InfoComm, Samsung, Kyocera, Research In Motion, Personal Communications Devices, LLC and Superior Communications. U.S. Cellular negotiates volume discounts with its suppliers and works with them in promoting specific equipment in its local advertising. U.S. Cellular does not own significant product warehousing and distribution infrastructure. Instead, it contracts with CAT Logistics for substantially all of its handset and other product warehousing, distribution and direct customer fulfillment requirements.

U.S. Cellular monitors the financial condition of all of its wireless devices and accessories suppliers. Because U.S. Cellular purchases wireless devices and accessories from numerous suppliers, U.S. Cellular does not expect the deteriorating financial condition of any single supplier to affect U.S. Cellular's ability to offer a competitive variety of wireless devices and accessories for sale to customers.

Wireless Services. U.S. Cellular's customers are able to choose from a variety of packaged voice and data pricing plans that are designed to fit different usage patterns and customer needs. The ability to help a customer find the right pricing plan is central to U.S. Cellular's brand positioning. U.S. Cellular generally offers wide area and national consumer plans that can be tailored to a customer's needs by the addition of features or feature packages. Many plans enable small work groups or families to share the plan minutes, enabling customers to get more value for their money. Business rate plans are offered to companies to meet their unique needs. U.S. Cellular's national rate plans price all calls, regardless of where they are made or received in the United States, as local calls with no long distance or roaming charges. Additionally, U.S. Cellular offers a hybrid prepay service plan, which includes packages of minutes for a monthly fee.

U.S. Cellular's **easyedge**SM brand of enhanced data services uses a binary runtime environment for wireless (BREW) technology, licensed from Qualcomm, and adds limited computer-like functionality to handsets, enabling applications to be downloaded over-the-air directly to the customer's wireless device. These enhanced data services include news, weather, sports information, games, ring tones and other services. Applications are added to U.S. Cellular's **easyedge** catalog on an ongoing basis. Two new significant categories that were launched in 2008 include (1) Mobile Browser, which gives customers connectivity for accessing web e-mail, social networking and other Internet sites, and performing e-commerce transactions, and (2) Mobile E-mail, which helps bridge the gap for customers who want to stay connected no matter where they are or what they are doing. Further enhancing the customer's ability to explore U.S. Cellular's **easyedge** catalog, U.S. Cellular introduced its Search & Info technology that gives customers the ability to easily search for ring tones, wallpapers, games and applications. U.S. Cellular plans on further expansion of its **easyedge** and other enhanced services in 2009 and beyond.

In November 2006, U.S. Cellular launched certain enhanced multimedia services, including Digital Radio, Mobile TV and 3D Gaming, over its third generation EVDO network in Milwaukee, Wisconsin. During 2008, U.S. Cellular continued expansion of its EVDO network in: Chicago, Illinois; southern Wisconsin; Des Moines, Iowa; and Tulsa, Oklahoma.

Marketing

U.S. Cellular's marketing plan is focused on acquiring, retaining and growing customer relationships by offering high-quality products and services built around customer needs at fair prices, supported by outstanding customer service. U.S. Cellular operates under a unified brand name and logo, U.S. Cellular, across all its markets. In June 2008, U.S. Cellular launched a new branding campaign, Believe in Something Better. As part of this campaign, U.S. Cellular emphasizes its offers of rate plans with free incoming calls and that it no longer charges equipment upgrade fees to customers.

U.S. Cellular increases customer awareness using traditional media such as television, radio, newspaper and direct mail advertising, and nontraditional media such as the Internet and sponsorships. U.S. Cellular has achieved its current level of penetration of its markets through a combination of a strong brand, promotional advertising and broad distribution, and has been able to sustain a high customer retention rate based on its high-quality wireless network and outstanding customer service. U.S. Cellular's advertising is directed at gaining and retaining customers, improving potential customers' awareness of the U.S. Cellular brand, increasing existing customers' usage of U.S. Cellular's services and increasing the public awareness and understanding of the wireless services it offers. U.S. Cellular attempts to select the advertising and promotional media that are most appealing to the targeted groups of potential customers in each local market. U.S. Cellular supplements its advertising with a focused public relations program. This program combines nationally supported activities and unique local activities, events, and sponsorships to enhance public awareness of U.S. Cellular and its brand. These programs are aimed at supporting the communities U.S. Cellular serves. The programs range from loaning phones to public service operations in emergencies, to assisting victims of domestic abuse through U.S. Cellular's Stop Abuse From Existing programs, and to supporting safe driving programs.

U.S. Cellular supports a multi-faceted distribution program, including retail sales and service centers, independent agents and direct sales, in the vast majority of its markets, plus the Internet and telesales for customers who wish to contact U.S. Cellular through those channels. U.S. Cellular maintains a low customer churn rate by focusing on customer satisfaction, development of processes that are more customer-friendly, extensive training of frontline sales and support associates and the implementation of retention programs. The marketing plan stresses the value of U.S. Cellular's service offerings and incorporates combinations of rate plans, additional value-added features and services and wireless telephone equipment which are designed to meet the needs of defined customer segments and their usage patterns.

Edgar Filing: TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Company retail store locations are designed to market wireless service to the consumer and small business segments in a setting familiar to these types of customers. U.S. Cellular's e-commerce site enables customers to activate service and purchase handsets online, and this site is continually evolving to address customers' current needs. Traffic on U.S. Cellular's website is increasing as customers use the site for gathering information, purchasing handsets, signing up for service, exploring **easyedge** applications and finding the locations of its stores and agents.

Direct sales consultants market wireless service to mid- and large-size business customers. Retail sales associates work in over 400 U.S. Cellular-operated retail stores and kiosks and market wireless service primarily to the consumer and small business segments. U.S. Cellular maintains an ongoing training program to improve the effectiveness of sales consultants and retail associates by focusing their efforts on obtaining customers by facilitating the sale of appropriate packages for the customer's expected usage and value-added services that meet customer needs.

U.S. Cellular has relationships with exclusive and non-exclusive agents, which are independent businesses that obtain customers for U.S. Cellular on a commission basis. At December 31, 2008, U.S. Cellular had contracts with these businesses aggregating over 1,100 locations. U.S. Cellular provides additional support and training to its exclusive agents to increase customer satisfaction for customers they serve. U.S. Cellular's agents are generally in the business of selling wireless handsets, wireless service packages and other related products, and include major appliance dealers, car stereo companies and mass merchants including regional and national companies. Additionally, in support of its overall Internet initiatives, U.S. Cellular has recruited agents which provide services exclusively through the Internet. No single agent accounted for 10% or more of U.S. Cellular's operating revenues during the past three years.

U.S. Cellular also markets wireless service through resellers. The resale business involves the sale of wholesale access and minutes to independent companies that package and resell wireless services to end-users. These resellers generally provide prepaid and postpaid services to subscribers under their own brand names and also provide their own billing and customer service. U.S. Cellular incurs no direct subscriber acquisition costs related to reseller customers. At December 31, 2008, U.S. Cellular had approximately 489,000 customers of resellers. For the year ended December 31, 2008, revenues from resale business were less than 1% of total service revenues.

U.S. Cellular currently operates five regional Customer Care Centers with personnel who are responsible for customer service activities, and two national financial services centers with personnel who perform other credit and customer payment activities.

Customers and System Usage

U.S. Cellular provides service to a broad range of customers from a wide array of demographic segments. U.S. Cellular uses a segmentation model to classify businesses and consumers into logical groupings for developing new products and services, direct marketing campaigns, and retention efforts. U.S. Cellular focuses on both retail consumer and business customers, with its business customer focus being on small-to-mid-size businesses in vertical industries such as construction, retail, professional services and real estate. These industries are primarily served through U.S. Cellular's retail and direct sales channels.

On average, the customers in U.S. Cellular's consolidated markets used their wireless systems approximately 695 minutes per month and, together with their usage of data services, generated retail service revenue of \$46.55 per month during 2008, compared to 676 minutes and \$45.25 per month in 2007. Additional revenues generated by roamers using U.S. Cellular's systems for voice and data services and higher eligible telecommunications carrier receipts brought U.S. Cellular's total average monthly service revenue per customer to \$53.23 during 2008, an increase of 4% from \$51.17 in 2007.

U.S. Cellular's main sources of revenues are from its own customers and from inbound roaming customers. The interconnectivity of wireless service enables a customer who is in a wireless service area other than the customer's home service area (a roamer) to place or receive a call in that service area. U.S. Cellular has entered into roaming agreements with operators of other wireless systems covering virtually all systems with CDMA technology in the United States, Canada and Mexico. Roaming agreements offer customers the opportunity to roam on these systems. These reciprocal agreements automatically pre-register the customers of U.S. Cellular's systems in the other carriers' systems. In addition, a customer of a participating system roaming in a U.S. Cellular market where this arrangement is in effect is able to make and receive calls on U.S. Cellular's system. The charge for this service is negotiated as part of the roaming agreement between U.S. Cellular and the roaming customer's carrier. U.S. Cellular bills this charge to the customer's home carrier, which then bills the customer. In many instances, based on competitive factors, carriers, including U.S. Cellular, may charge lower amounts to their customers than the amounts actually charged by other wireless carriers for roaming.

U.S. Cellular's customer bills typically show separate charges for custom usage features, airtime in excess of the packaged amount (such packages may include roaming and long-distance usage), roaming, long-distance calls and data usage. Custom usage features provided by U.S. Cellular include wide area, national and mobile-to-mobile call delivery, caller ID blocking, call forwarding, voicemail, call waiting and three-way calling. Custom data features provided by U.S. Cellular include email services, instant messaging, and text and picture messaging.

The following table summarizes certain information about customers and market penetration in U.S. Cellular's consolidated operations.

	Year Ended or at December 31,				
	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
Total number of consolidated markets (1)	239	218	201	189	175
Total population of consolidated markets (000s)(2)	83,014	82,371	55,543	45,244	44,391
Total population of consolidated operating markets (000s)	46,009	44,955	44,043	43,362	39,893
Customers:					
at beginning of period (3)	6,102,000	5,815,000	5,482,000	4,945,000	4,409,000
net acquired (divested) during period(4)	3,000	6,000	23,000	60,000	(91,000)
additions during period (3)	1,535,000	1,760,000	1,535,000	1,540,000	1,557,000
disconnects during period (3)	(1,444,000)	(1,479,000)	(1,225,000)	(1,063,000)	(930,000)
at end of period (3)	6,196,000	6,102,000	5,815,000	5,482,000	4,945,000
Market penetration at end of period:					
Consolidated markets (5)	7.5%	7.4%	10.5%	12.1%	11.1%
Consolidated operating markets (5)	13.5%	13.6%	13.2%	12.6%	12.4%

- (1) Represents the number of licensed areas in which U.S. Cellular owned a majority interest or other interest at the end of each year. The results of operations of these licensed areas are included in U.S. Cellular's Consolidated Statement of Operations.
- (2) The increase in Total Population in 2007 reflects the licenses awarded to Barat Wireless at the conclusion of Auction 66; the increase in Total Population in 2006 reflects the licenses awarded to Carroll Wireless at the conclusion of Auction 58.
- (3) Represents the number of wireless customers served by U.S. Cellular in the licensed areas referred to in footnote (1). The revenues earned from services to such customers are included in the Consolidated Statement of Operations.
- (4) Represents the net number of wireless customers added to or subtracted from U.S. Cellular's customer base during the period due to acquisitions and divestitures.
- (5) Calculated by dividing the number of wireless customers at the end of the period by the total population of consolidated markets and consolidated operating markets, respectively, as estimated by Claritas.

Regulation

Regulatory Environment. U.S. Cellular's operations are subject to FCC and state regulation. The wireless licenses that are held by U.S. Cellular and by the designated entities in which U.S. Cellular owns a non-controlling interest are granted by the FCC for the use of radio frequencies in the 700 megahertz band, the 800 megahertz band (cellular licenses), the 1900 megahertz band (personal communications service or PCS licenses), and in the 1700/2100 megahertz band

(advanced wireless services or AWS-1), and are an important component of the overall value of U.S. Cellular's consolidated assets. The construction, operation and transfer of wireless systems in the United States are regulated to varying degrees by the FCC pursuant to the Communications Act of 1934 (Communications Act). In 1996, Congress enacted the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (Telecommunications Act), which amended the Communications Act. The Telecommunications Act mandated significant changes in telecommunications rules and policies to promote competition, ensure the availability of telecommunications services to all parts of the United States and streamline regulation of the telecommunications industry to remove regulatory burdens, as competition develops. The FCC has promulgated regulations governing construction and operation of wireless systems, licensing (including renewal of licenses) and technical standards for the provision of wireless services under the Communications Act, and is implementing the legislative objectives of the Telecommunications Act, as discussed below.

Licensing Wireless Service. For cellular telephone licensing purposes, the FCC has divided the United States into separate geographic markets (MSAs and RSAs). In each market, the allocated cellular frequencies are divided into two equal blocks of 25 megahertz each. The FCC originally allocated a total of 140 megahertz for broadband PCS (BTAs and MTAs). The FCC has allocated 90 megahertz for AWS-1 spectrum (MSAs, EAs and REAGs). The FCC has allocated 84 megahertz of commercial spectrum in the 700 megahertz band (including 10 megahertz in the upper 700 megahertz D block, which carries a requirement to partner with a public safety broadband licensee).

Subject to some conditions, the FCC also permits licensees to split their licenses and assign a portion on either a geographic or frequency basis, or both, to a third party. The completion of acquisitions, involving the transfer of control of all or a portion of a wireless system, requires prior FCC approval. Acquisitions of minority interests generally do not require FCC approval. Whenever FCC approval is required, any interested party may file a petition to dismiss or deny the application for approval of the proposed transfer. See *Other Recent FCC Actions* below for additional wireless service licensing actions.

The FCC currently places no limit on the amount of spectrum that an entity may hold in a particular wireless market. The FCC previously prohibited entities that controlled a cellular system in a given market from controlling the competing cellular system in that market. That rule was repealed in 2002 for MSAs and in 2005 for RSAs. In 2003, the FCC eliminated the wireless spectrum cap, which had prohibited any one entity from holding more than 55 megahertz of cellular, PCS, and Specialized Mobile Radio (SMR) spectrum in a given cellular or PCS market. The FCC now determines whether an acquisition of wireless licenses is in the public interest on a case-by-case basis. Under current guidelines, the FCC will assess the competitive situation resulting from the proposed acquisition when, as a result of the proposed transaction, any one entity will control more than 145 megahertz of cellular, PCS, SMR, 700 megahertz, AWS-1, and Broadband Radio Service (BRS) spectrum in markets where both AWS-1 and BRS spectrum is available. This is referred to as the spectrum screen. In markets where AWS-1 spectrum is available in addition to cellular, PCS, SMR and 700 megahertz spectrum, but BRS spectrum is not available, the screen is 125 megahertz. In markets where BRS spectrum is available, but AWS-1 spectrum is not available, the screen is 115 megahertz. In markets where neither AWS-1 nor BRS spectrum is available, the screen is 95 megahertz.

Licensing Facilities. The FCC must be notified each time an additional cell site for a wireless system is constructed which enlarges the service area of a given cellular market. The height and power of base stations in wireless systems are regulated by FCC rules, as are the types of signals emitted by these stations. The FCC also imposes a requirement that all wireless licensees register and obtain FCC registration numbers for all of their antenna towers which require prior Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) clearance. All new towers must be registered at the time of construction. All wireless towers of less than 10 meters in height, building-mounted antennas and wireless telephones must comply with radio frequency radiation guidelines. The FCC also regulates tower construction in accordance with its regulations, through which it carries out its responsibilities under the National Environmental Policy Act and the Historic Preservation Act. The FCC is currently evaluating possible changes to its environmental processing rules in light of a February 2008 decision of the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit (*American Bird Conservancy et al v. FCC*), which held that the FCC's current environmental processing requirements with respect to wireless towers violated the National Environmental Policy Act and other laws. In October 2004, the FCC adopted a Nationwide Programmatic Agreement which exempts certain new towers from historic preservation review, but which imposes additional notification and approval requirements on carriers with respect to state historic preservation offices and Native American tribes with an interest in the tower's location. In addition to regulation by the FCC, wireless systems are subject to certain FAA regulations with respect to the siting, construction, painting and lighting of wireless transmitter towers and antennas as well as local zoning requirements. U.S. Cellular believes that its facilities are in compliance with these requirements.

Licensing Commercial Mobile Radio Service. Pursuant to 1993 amendments to the Communications Act, cellular, personal communications, advanced wireless, and 700 megahertz services are classified as commercial mobile radio service, in that they are services offered to the public for a fee and are interconnected to the public switched telephone network. The FCC has determined that it will not require carriers providing such services to comply with a number of statutory provisions otherwise applicable to common carriers, such as the filing of tariffs.

All commercial mobile radio service wireless licensees must satisfy specified coverage requirements. Licensees which fail to meet the coverage requirements may be subject to forfeiture of their licenses. Cellular licensees were required, during the five years following the initial grant of the respective license, to construct their systems to provide service (at a specified signal strength) to the territory encompassed by their service area. Failure to provide such coverage resulted in reduction of the relevant license area by the FCC. All 30 megahertz block PCS licensees must construct facilities that provide coverage to one-third of the population of the service area within five years of the initial license grants and to two-thirds of the population within ten years. All other personal communication service licensees and certain 10 and 15 megahertz block licensees must construct facilities that provide coverage to one-fourth of the population of the licensed area or make a showing of substantial service in their license area within five years of the original license grants.

In a rulemaking proceeding concluded in July 2004, the FCC amended its rules to add a substantial service option alternative for 30 megahertz block PCS licensees to the service specific construction benchmarks already available to these licensees. These rules, which took effect on February 14, 2005, give carriers greater flexibility to provide service based on the needs of individual customers and their own unique business plans. AWS-1 licensees are also subject to a substantial performance standard during their license term. However, for the 700 megahertz licenses auctioned in Auction 73, concluding in March 2008, the build out requirements were significantly increased. For the 700 megahertz and AWS-1 licenses auctioned before 2008, referred to above, the FCC employs a substantial service standard at the time of license renewal which can be met by providing coverage to 20% of the population in the licensed area. The FCC has also provided a separate safe harbor for meeting that standard with respect to rural areas, namely coverage to at least 75% of the geographic areas of at least 20% of the rural areas within any licensed area. For the 700 megahertz EA and CMA licenses auctioned in Auction 73, however, 35% geographic coverage of the license area will be required within the first four years of license grant and 70% geographic coverage will be required within ten years. Future wireless spectrum allocations may be subject to similar build out requirements.

Cellular and PCS licenses are granted for ten-year periods. As an exception to the general rule, AWS-1 spectrum licenses granted before December 31, 2009 have a fifteen-year term. In April of 2007, the FCC amended its rules to establish initial license terms for 700 megahertz Commercial Services Band Licenses of ten years from February 17, 2009.

The FCC has established standards for conducting comparative renewal proceedings between a cellular licensee seeking renewal of its license and challengers filing competing applications. The FCC has (i) established criteria for comparing the renewal applicant to challengers, including the standards under which a renewal expectancy will be granted to the applicant seeking license renewal; (ii) established basic qualifications standards for challengers; and (iii) provided procedures for preventing possible abuses in the comparative renewal process. The FCC has concluded that it will award a renewal expectancy if the licensee has (i) provided substantial performance, which is defined as sound, favorable and substantially above a level of mediocre service just minimally justifying renewal; and (ii) complied with FCC rules and policies and the Communications Act. A majority of geographically licensed services, including PCS and AWS-1 licensees, also are afforded similar renewal expectancy. If renewal expectancy is awarded to an existing licensee, its license is renewed and competing applications are not considered. All of U.S. Cellular's licenses which it applied to have renewed between 1995 and 2008 have been renewed.

In April 2007, the FCC established a separate renewal processing procedure for 700 megahertz Commercial Services Band licensees by eliminating the filing of competing applications to the renewal requests of 700 megahertz licensees. Under these revised procedures, however, 700 megahertz renewal applicants will be required to make substantial service showings which may in some cases require demonstration of service coverage which exceeds the FCC's build out requirements for this service. The FCC may consider the issue of renewal expectancies in all wireless services in 2009, seeking to make the standard consistent.

All of U.S. Cellular's FCC licenses for the microwave radio stations it used to link its cell sites with each other and with its mobile telephone switching offices were required to be renewed in 2001. All of those licenses were renewed for ten-year terms. All newly obtained microwave licenses receive ten-year terms as well.

U.S. Cellular conducts and plans to conduct its operations in accordance with all relevant FCC rules and regulations and anticipates being able to qualify for renewal expectancy in its upcoming renewal filings. Accordingly, U.S. Cellular believes that current regulations will have no significant effect on the renewal of its licenses. However, changes in the regulation of wireless operators or their activities and of other mobile service providers could have a material adverse effect on U.S. Cellular's operations.

E-911. There are certain ongoing regulatory proceedings before the FCC which are of particular importance to the wireless industry. In one proceeding, the FCC has imposed enhanced wireless 911, or E-911, regulations on wireless

carriers. The rules require wireless carriers to provide different levels of detailed location information about E-911 callers depending on the capabilities of the local emergency call center, or Public Safety Answering Point (PSAP). U.S. Cellular has implemented phase one E-911 in all its markets where the local PSAP has requested the service and can process the location information requested. U.S. Cellular is also in compliance with the FCC s requirement that 95 percent of all the handsets in use on its network have GPS-capabilities.

In 2007, the FCC issued an order that requires wireless carriers to provide increasingly more accurate location information about E-911 callers to local PSAPs. The 2007 order requires carriers to transition from testing and confirming compliance with the FCC's location accuracy requirements in a geographic area as large as a state to testing and confirming compliance with the FCC's location accuracy requirements in the following smaller geographic areas: (i) each Department of Commerce Economic Area (currently 176 across the country) the carrier operates in by September 11, 2008; (ii) each MSA (currently 305 in the U.S.) or RSA (currently 427 in the U.S.) the carrier operates in by September 11, 2010 and (iii) the geographic area of each PSAP (over 7,500 PSAPs in FCC's registry) in the carrier's service area no later than September 11, 2012. The 2007 order is controversial because many wireless carriers have argued that the technology does not currently exist to allow carriers to comply with these new accuracy requirements for every PSAP. Compliance with these requirements will likely require a significant commitment of personnel and financial resources for new equipment, software and additional location accuracy testing. The FCC is currently considering a compromise to modify those requirements, which it is possible it will act upon in 2009. Under the proposal, in 2012, instead of measuring the accuracy at the PSAP level, carriers would be required to measure accuracy at the county level, an easier standard to meet. Adoption of the modified rule would be favorable to wireless carriers, relative to the rule as initially proposed. U.S. Cellular is in compliance with the September 11, 2008 requirement of the 2007 order.

Communications Assistance to Law Enforcement Act. Under a 1994 federal law, the Communications Assistance to Law Enforcement Act (CALEA), all telecommunications carriers, including U.S. Cellular and other wireless licensees, have been required to implement certain equipment changes necessary to assist law enforcement authorities in achieving an enhanced ability to conduct electronic surveillance of those suspected of criminal activity. U.S. Cellular timely purchased and installed CALEA-compliant equipment prior to the effective date of the FCC's new CALEA rules.

Pending Proceedings Reciprocal Compensation. Since 1996, FCC rules generally have required symmetrical and reciprocal compensation, that is, payment at the same rate, for interconnecting wireless and local exchange facilities. Asymmetrical rates can be set if carrier costs justify such rates. In the absence of an order by a state public utilities commission establishing carrier interconnection costs, rates can be set in accordance with FCC default proxy rates or carriers may agree not to compensate each other, a so called "bill and keep" arrangement. The states have jurisdiction over such interconnection proceedings. In February 2005, the FCC adopted an order finding that state wireless termination tariffs, which certain local wireline carriers had sought to impose in the absence of interconnection agreements with wireless carriers, were unlawful. The order applied prospectively and required the negotiation of interconnection agreements to set rates. It also clarified that wireline carriers may request such agreements from wireless carriers, as well as vice versa, which had not been clear under the rules.

The FCC currently is considering changes to the entire system of intercarrier compensation, of which wireless-wireline interconnection is a part. It is not possible to predict with certainty the results of that proceeding but it is likely that the FCC will require increased emphasis on cost-based charges and, thus, that there would be fewer rate based subsidies for local exchange carriers, including those contained in interstate access charges, which wireless carriers also must pay on calls to wireline carriers deemed to be interstate calls under the FCC's rules. Such a result would be favorable to wireless carriers.

Pending Proceedings Automatic Roaming. In 2007, the FCC issued an order which requires wireless carriers to allow other wireless carriers' customers to roam on their systems automatically, that is, by prior agreement between carriers. The FCC ruling applies only to real-time, two-way switched voice or data services that are interconnected with the public switched network and text messaging services. This ruling is generally favorable to smaller and regional carriers who may have been at a competitive disadvantage relative to the national carriers if they were unable to obtain roaming arrangements on reasonable terms and conditions. The order, however, does not extend the obligation to markets in

which the carrier seeking to roam holds an FCC license even if such carrier has yet to build out its network in such market. The FCC also has sought additional comment on the possibility of extending this requirement to data roaming which is not connected to the public switched network, such as wireless broadband Internet access. Action by the FCC on the in market and data roaming issues is possible during 2009.

Pending Proceedings Early Termination Fees. On May 18, 2005, the FCC issued two public notices seeking comment on whether wireless early termination fees are to be considered a rate under Section 332 of the Act and, thus, exempt from state regulation and/or state consumer class action or other lawsuits. It would be in the best interest of wireless carriers for the FCC to rule that such fees are, in fact, a wireless rate. The FCC took no formal action during 2008, other than to conduct a public hearing over the efficacy of wireless carriers early termination fee practices. Legislation has also been introduced in Congress which would regulate wireless carriers ability to charge early termination fees to customers. In 2008, a number of wireless carriers, including U.S. Cellular, began to voluntarily pro-rate early termination fees.

Pending Proceedings Customer Proprietary Network Information (CPNI). FCC rules require all carriers to safeguard the CPNI of their customers and prevent its disclosure to any person not authorized by the customer to possess such information. CPNI is information relating to a customer's telephone usage, such as numbers called and numbers from which calls were received. Wireless carriers may themselves use CPNI to market additional wireless services to customers without their prior consent, but must obtain such consent to market non-wireless services. The CPNI issue has become prominent recently in light of disclosures of unauthorized persons coming into possession, through fraudulent means, of some of the customer telephone records of certain wireless carriers and then selling such information. During 2007, the FCC issued an order which imposed additional obligations upon wireless carriers to safeguard customer data. Those regulations became effective on December 8, 2007. U.S. Cellular has implemented a series of new practices and procedures intended to comply with those regulations. The FCC also sought additional comments on other proposed CPNI safeguards in 2007, but has taken no further action.

Pending Proceedings Backup Power Requirements. During 2007, the FCC issued an order which would have required all wireless carriers to provide 24 hours of backup power to all switching sites and eight hours of backup power to each cell site (excluding sites where compliance is precluded by federal, state, tribal or local law, or by a risk to safety of life or health, or is prohibited by a legal obligation or agreement.) Within six months of the effective date of the rules, each wireless carrier was required to file a report with the FCC detailing its state of compliance. A carrier would then have had an additional six months to file a compliance plan with the FCC with respect to those sites identified in the initial report for which the carrier is unable to provide the required amount of backup power. The U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia issued a temporary stay of the order, but deferred further action pending completion by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) of its review of the order. In December 2008, OMB concluded that the FCC violated the Paperwork Reduction Act in its adoption of the order. The FCC subsequently determined that it would no longer seek to adopt the backup power rules rejected by OMB. However, it is likely it will begin a new proceeding in 2009 concerning backup power requirements.

Pending Proceedings Universal Service. The Telecommunications Act establishes principles and a process for implementing a modified universal service policy. This policy seeks nationwide, affordable service and access to advanced telecommunications and information services. It calls for reasonably comparable urban and rural rates and services. The Telecommunications Act also requires universal service to schools, libraries and rural health facilities at discounted rates. Wireless carriers must provide such discounted rates to such organizations in accordance with federal regulations. The FCC has implemented the mandate of the Telecommunications Act to create a universal service support mechanism to ensure that all Americans have access to telecommunications services. The Telecommunications Act requires all interstate telecommunications providers, including wireless service providers, to make an equitable and non-discriminatory contribution to support the cost of providing universal service, unless their contribution would be *de minimis*. At present, the provision of wireline and wireless telephone service in high cost areas is subsidized by support from the universal service fund, to which, as noted above, all carriers with interstate and international revenues must contribute. Carriers are free to pass such contributions on to their customers. Such contributions, which were based on a percentage of the total billed revenue of carriers for a given previous period of time, began in 1998. Since February 2003, such payments initially have been based on estimates of future revenues and subsequently have been adjusted based on actual revenues for the periods involved. Previously, these payments were based on historical revenues. In 2008, U.S. Cellular contributed over \$130 million into the universal service fund.

Wireless carriers also are eligible to receive universal service support payments in certain circumstances if they provide specified services in high cost areas. U.S. Cellular has sought designation as an eligible telecommunications carrier (ETC) qualified to receive universal service support in several states. To date, U.S. Cellular has been designated as an ETC in the states of Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Maine, Missouri, Nebraska, New Hampshire, New York, North Carolina, Oklahoma, Oregon, Tennessee, Virginia, Washington, Wisconsin and West Virginia and has received payments of \$128 million in high cost support payments for its service to high cost areas in the states referred to above.

In May 2008, the FCC adopted a state-by-state temporary cap to funding for competitive ETCs based on the funding level available as of March 31, 2008. The cap will have the effect of reducing the amount of support that U.S. Cellular would otherwise have been eligible to receive. The FCC is currently considering three USF proposals for altering the manner in which support is provided to ETCs. One of the proposals would eliminate funding for wireless carriers, one would step down the level of support over five years at 20% per year beginning immediately upon the effective date of the order and one would require a wireless carrier to submit a cost study showing that its costs are higher than the costs of the incumbent local exchange carrier to be eligible to receive any support. Adoption of any of these proposals would have a profound impact on the amount of support, if any, wireless ETCs continue to receive. It is not certain which of them, if any, will be adopted. The FCC may also consider other proposals currently being submitted by interested parties.

700 Megahertz Spectrum Auction. In January 2000, pursuant to a congressional directive, the FCC adopted service rules for licensing the commercial use of 30 megahertz of spectrum in the 747-762 megahertz and 777-792 megahertz spectrum bands. Subsequently, the FCC adopted service rules for the 688-746 megahertz band, portions of which were auctioned in 2002 and 2003. Those rules provided that a majority of the spectrum in these bands would be auctioned in large regional service areas, although there were portions available which cover individual MSA and RSA markets. The FCC has conducted two auctions for such MSA and RSA licensed spectrum and certain other portions of the 688-746 megahertz spectrum which ended in September 2002 and June 2003, respectively. An additional auction to license the remaining unauctioned 62 megahertz of 700 megahertz spectrum, consisting of REAG, EA and CMA licenses, commenced on January 24, 2008 and concluded on March 20, 2008. The vast majority of licenses offered were sold. The most notable exception was the 10 megahertz nationwide D Block license, which required the D Block licensee to form a public/private partnership with a Public Safety Broadband Licensee for adjacent spectrum to implement a nationwide interoperable broadband network for first responders. In 2008, the FCC re-evaluated the rules for the D Block and on September 25, 2008 issued a Third Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking which, among other changes, proposed to offer the D Block spectrum on both a regional basis and national basis subject to revised public/private partnership obligations. The FCC had not taken action in this proceeding as of December 31, 2008.

AWS-3 and H Block Spectrum Auctions. In June 2008, the FCC adopted a Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking proposing to adopt application, licensing, operating, and technical rules to permit nationwide operations on an unpaired basis in the 2155-2180 megahertz band (AWS-3 band) and to permit operations on the paired 1915-1920 megahertz and 1995-2000 megahertz bands (H Block) on a BTA basis. The FCC's proposals included selection of licensees by auction and permitting commercial mobile and fixed operations in both bands. Numerous AWS-1 and PCS licensees opposed the FCC's technical proposals for the AWS-3 band and the H Block because of the interference potential of AWS-3 and H Block operations to incumbent AWS-1 and PCS operations, respectively. In October 2008, the FCC released a Public Notice and a report recommending standards to minimize the interference potential of AWS-3 operations to AWS-1 operations. The FCC has not conducted a similar analysis of the potential for harmful interference between H Block and PCS operations. The FCC could adopt rules to resolve these potential interference issues and initiate further proceedings to auction one or both of these bands later this year.

Other Recent FCC Developments. In November 2008, the FCC adopted a decision permitting unlicensed operation of fixed and personal/portable devices in the TV Broadcast Bands at locations where that spectrum is not being used by licensed services (white spaces) commencing as soon as February 18, 2009. The FCC also announced its intention to explore in separate proceedings whether higher powered unlicensed operations might be accommodated in the TV white spaces in rural areas.

Telecommunications Act - General. The primary purpose and effect of the Telecommunications Act is to open all telecommunications markets to competition. The Telecommunications Act makes most direct or indirect state and local barriers to competition unlawful. It directs the FCC to preempt all inconsistent state and local laws and regulations, after notice and comment proceedings. It also enables electric and other utilities to engage in telecommunications service through qualifying subsidiaries.

Only narrow powers over wireless carriers are left to state and local authorities. Each state retains the power to impose competitively neutral requirements that are consistent with the Telecommunications Act's universal service provisions and necessary for universal services, public safety and welfare, continued service quality and consumer rights. While a state may not impose requirements that effectively function as barriers to entry, it retains limited authority to regulate certain competitive practices in rural telephone company service areas.

In May 2003, the FCC adopted new spectrum leasing policies which permit licensees of cellular, PCS, and SMR spectrum, among other bands, to lease to third parties any amount of spectrum in any geographic area encompassed by their licenses, and for any period of time not extending beyond the current term of the license. The FCC has also adopted streamlined processing rules for applications for assignment and transfer of control of telecommunications carrier licenses. These new rules and policies were expanded and clarified by the FCC in July 2004 to permit spectrum leasing in additional wireless services, to streamline processing of spectrum leasing applications as well as traditional license transfers and assignments and to establish new categories of spectrum leasing arrangements. Designated entity applicants or licensees are subject to a restriction that they cannot lease more than 50% of the spectrum capacity for any of their licenses without losing designated entity benefits if they entered into such an arrangement after April 26, 2006. This restriction would generally be applicable to AWS, 700 megahertz and other Part 27 licenses. Designated entity applicants and licensees are also subject to a restriction that they cannot lease more than 25% of spectrum capacity for any of their licenses without having the gross revenues (and sometimes total assets) of the entity to whom they lease the capacity attributed to them, which could result in loss of designated entity status. This restriction is also not applicable to arrangements entered into before April 26, 2006.

State and Local Regulation. U.S. Cellular is also subject to state and local regulation in some instances. In 1981, the FCC preempted the states from exercising jurisdiction in the areas of licensing, technical standards and market structure. In 1993, Congress preempted states from regulating the entry of wireless systems into service and the rates charged by wireless systems to customers. The siting and construction of wireless facilities, including transmitter towers, antennas and equipment shelters are still subject to state or local zoning and land use regulations. However, in 1996, Congress amended the Communications Act to provide that states could not discriminate against wireless carriers in tower zoning proceedings and had to decide on zoning requests with reasonable speed. In addition, states may still regulate other terms and conditions of wireless service.

In 2000, the FCC ruled that the preemption provisions of the Communications Act do not preclude the states from acting under state tort, contract, and consumer protection laws to regulate the practices of commercial mobile radio service carriers, even if such activities might have an incidental effect on wireless rates. This ruling has led to more state regulation of commercial mobile radio service carriers, particularly from the standpoint of consumer protection. U.S. Cellular intends to comply with state regulation and to seek reasonable regulation of its activities in this regard.

The FCC is required to forbear from applying any statutory or regulatory provision that is not necessary to keep telecommunications rates and terms reasonable or to protect consumers. A state may not apply a statutory or regulatory provision that the FCC decides to forbear from applying. In addition, the FCC must review its telecommunications regulations every two years and change any that are no longer necessary. Further, the FCC is empowered under certain circumstances to preempt state regulatory authorities if a state is obstructing the Communications Act's basic purposes.

U.S. Cellular and its subsidiaries have been and intend to remain active participants in proceedings before the FCC and state regulatory authorities. Proceedings with respect to the foregoing policy issues before the FCC and state regulatory authorities could have a significant impact on the competitive market structure among wireless providers and the relationships between wireless providers and other carriers. U.S. Cellular is unable to predict the scope, pace or financial impact of policy changes which could be adopted in these proceedings.

Radio Frequency Emissions. The FCC has adopted rules specifying standards and the methods to be used in evaluating radio frequency emissions from radio equipment, including network equipment and handsets used in connection with commercial mobile radio service. These rules were upheld on appeal by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit. The U.S. Supreme Court declined to review the Second Circuit's ruling. U.S. Cellular's network facilities and the handsets it sells to customers comply with these standards.

On December 7, 2004, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia upheld, in EMR Network v. FCC, the FCC's current requirements regarding radio frequency emissions and held that the FCC was not obliged to commence inquiry into the non-thermal effects of radio frequency emissions. The court also evaluated the studies relied upon by the plaintiffs and concluded they were insufficient. The FCC, however, is considering changes in its rules regarding human exposure to radio frequency magnetic fields in a separate proceeding.

Media reports have suggested that radio frequency emissions from handsets, wireless data devices and cell sites may raise various health concerns, including cancer or tumors, and may interfere with various electronic medical devices, including hearing aids and pacemakers. Although some studies have suggested that radio frequency emissions may cause certain biological effects, most of the expert reviews conducted to date have concluded that the evidence does not support a finding of adverse health effects, but that further research is appropriate. Research

and studies are ongoing.

These concerns over radio frequency emissions may discourage the use of handsets and wireless data devices and may result in significant restrictions on the location and operation of cell sites, all of which could have a material adverse effect on U.S. Cellular's results of operations. Several class action and single-plaintiff lawsuits have been filed against several other wireless service operators and several wireless phone manufacturers, asserting product liability, breach of warranty and other claims relating to radio frequency transmissions to and from handsets and wireless data devices. The lawsuits seek substantial monetary damages as well as injunctive relief.

One important case in which the plaintiff alleged that his brain tumor had been caused by his wireless telephone use, Newman v. Verizon et al., was dismissed in the U.S. District Court in Maryland in October 2002. The U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit affirmed the dismissal in October 2003, upholding the lower court's decision that plaintiff had failed to produce admissible scientific evidence that mobile phone use causes brain cancer.

Several other cases alleging injury were filed as were class action cases alleging that wireless telephones increase the risk of adverse health effects unless they are used with headsets. In March 2005, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit reversed a lower court's decision in the case of Pinney v. Nokia, et al., which had dismissed five class action lawsuits alleging that the wireless industry had endangered consumers by selling mobile phones without headsets. The court found that the federal court did not have jurisdiction over the claims in four of the cases and held that the state law claims were not preempted by federal law in the fifth case. In October 2005, the U.S. Supreme Court declined to review the Fourth Circuit decision.

Subsequently, four of the cases were remanded to state courts in New York, Pennsylvania, Maryland and Georgia where they had been filed. Thereafter, plaintiffs amended their complaints in two of the cases to add new defendants and those defendants removed the cases to federal court under the provisions of the newly enacted Class Action Reform Act. Plaintiffs have voluntarily dismissed all but one of the putative class action cases. That case was dismissed by the federal district court on grounds that the claims were preempted by federal law. That decision is on appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit. Also following the Fourth Circuit's decision in Pinney, the FCC was granted leave to participate as *amicus curiae* in a case alleging a brain injury from use of a wireless phone and has filed a brief indicating the agency's disagreement with the preemption aspect of that decision. In August 2007, the Superior Court of the District of Columbia dismissed that case and five others consolidated with it for pretrial purposes on federal preemption grounds. That decision is on appeal to the District of Columbia Court of Appeals.

There can be no assurance that the outcome of these or other lawsuits will not have a material adverse effect on the wireless industry, including U.S. Cellular. U.S. Cellular carries insurance with respect to such matters, but there is no assurance that such insurance would be sufficient, will continue to be available or will not be cost-prohibitive in the future.

Pending Petition - Spectrum Aggregation Limit. On July 16, 2008, the Rural Telecommunications Group, Inc. (RTG), comprised of rural telephone companies with wireless interests, filed a petition requesting that the FCC initiate a rulemaking proceeding to adopt a spectrum aggregation limit or cap. RTG proposes the imposition, on a county level, of a 110 megahertz aggregation limit for all commercial terrestrial wireless spectrum below 2.3 gigahertz, including cellular, PCS, 700 megahertz, and AWS spectrum. Comments and reply comments on the RTG petition were filed in December 2008 and this petition is pending before the FCC. If the FCC is going to act on the RTG petition, it must first initiate a rulemaking proceeding, issue a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking and consider additional comments and reply comments. At present, it is uncertain whether the FCC will do so.

Pending Petition - Handset Exclusivity Arrangements. On May 20, 2008, Rural Cellular Association (RCA), comprised of rural wireless carriers, filed a petition for rulemaking requesting that the FCC initiate a rulemaking proceeding to investigate exclusivity arrangements between commercial wireless carriers and handset manufacturers and to prohibit such arrangements if the FCC concluded that they were contrary to the public interest, as RCA believes them to be. Comments and reply comments on the RCA petition were filed in February 2009. If the FCC is going to act on the RCA petition, it must first initiate a rule-making proceeding, issue a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking and consider additional comments and reply comments. At present, it is uncertain whether the FCC will do so.

TDS Telecom Operations

Overview

TDS wireline operations are conducted through TDS Telecom and its subsidiaries. TDS Telecom is a wholly owned subsidiary of TDS. TDS Telecom's corporate headquarters are located in Madison, Wisconsin. TDS Telecom is a holding company that, through its affiliates, provides high-quality communication services, including full-service local and long-distance voice service, broadband services, including high-speed Internet access, and video services, to rural and suburban communities. TDS Telecom has 114 telephone company subsidiaries that are incumbent local exchange carriers. An Incumbent Local Exchange Carrier (ILEC) is an independent local telephone company that, before the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (Telecommunications Act), in most instances, had the exclusive right and responsibility to provide local transmission and switching services in its designated service territory. TDS Telecom served approximately 776,700 equivalent access lines in 28 states through its ILEC subsidiaries as of December 31, 2008.

TDS Telecom subsidiaries also provide telecommunications services as a competitive local exchange carrier in Illinois, Michigan, Minnesota (including Minneapolis/St. Paul), North Dakota and Wisconsin (including Madison and Milwaukee) under the TDS Metrocom brand name. Competitive Local Exchange Carriers (CLEC) enter the operating areas of ILECs to offer local exchange and other telephone services. TDS Telecom served approximately 393,000 equivalent access lines through its CLEC subsidiaries at December 31, 2008.

The table below sets forth, as of December 31, 2008, the ten largest states in which TDS Telecom's operations are located, based on the number of equivalent access lines and the percentage of the total number of equivalent access lines operated by all of the telephone subsidiaries of TDS Telecom.

State	Number of Equivalent Access Lines as of December 31, 2008	Percent of Total
Wisconsin	379,900	32%
Michigan	136,100	12%
Tennessee	113,100	10%
Minnesota	107,500	9%
Georgia	61,100	5%
New Hampshire	40,600	3%
Indiana	38,800	3%
Alabama	29,700	3%
Maine	28,500	2%
Illinois	28,400	2%
Total for 10 Largest States	963,700	82%
Other States	206,000	18%
Total	1,169,700	100%

Each TDS Telecom ILEC provides wireline local telephone service to residential and business customers through its switching and intra-city network. Long-distance or toll service is provided by TDS Telecom's own long-distance unit that resells long-distance service in its ILEC markets and through connections with long-distance carriers which purchase network access from the TDS Telecom ILECs. The long-distance unit served 347,000 ILEC access lines as of December 31, 2008.

TDS Telecom is committed to offering services using customer-friendly bundling to provide a single source tailored for its customers' voice, broadband and video needs. Future growth in telephone operations is expected to be derived from providing service to new or presently underserved customers, expanding service in the areas currently served by TDS Telecom, upgrading existing customers to higher grades of

service and increasing penetration of services. Additionally, growth may be derived from new services made possible by advances in technology, and the acquisition or development of additional ILEC and CLEC operations.

The following table summarizes certain information regarding TDS Telecom's ILEC and CLEC operations:

	2008	2007	December 31, 2006	2005	2004
ILEC equivalent access lines(1)	776,700	762,700	757,300	735,300	730,400
% Residential	76.7%	76.4%	75.7%	75.4%	74.8%
% Business (nonresidential)	23.3%	23.6%	24.3%	24.6%	25.2%
CLEC equivalent access lines(1)	393,000	435,000	456,200	448,600	426,800
% Residential	25.3%	30.1%	33.9%	36.0%	38.1%
% Business (nonresidential)	74.7%	69.9%	66.1%	64.0%	61.9%
Dial-up Internet customers:					
ILEC	34,600	56,300	77,100	90,700	101,300
CLEC	4,800	7,600	10,200	14,200	18,200
Digital subscriber line customers:					
ILEC	178,000	143,500	105,100	65,500	41,900
CLEC	40,100	43,300	42,100	36,400	29,000
ILEC long-distance customers	347,000	345,200	340,000	321,500	295,000

(1) Equivalent access lines are the sum of physical access lines and high-capacity data lines adjusted to estimate the equivalent number of physical access lines in terms of capacity. A physical access line is the individual circuit connecting a customer to a telephone company's central office facilities.

Business Strategy

TDS Telecom's strategy is to be the preferred provider of telecommunications services including voice, broadband, and video services in its chosen markets. This strategy encompasses many components including: developing service and product, market and customer strategies; investing in networks and deploying advanced technologies; monitoring the competitive environment; advocating with respect to state and federal regulation for positions that support its ability to provide advanced telecommunications services to its customers; and exploring transactions to acquire or divest properties that would result in strengthening its operations.

TDS Telecom seeks to protect and grow revenue streams by providing its customers with state-of-the-art telecommunications solutions, maintaining high-quality service and selectively acquiring local telephone companies. Management believes that TDS Telecom has a number of advantages, including a modern network substantially upgraded to provide a variety of advanced calling and broadband services, a strong local presence and an established brand name.

The competitive environment in the telecommunications industry has changed significantly as a result of technological advances, changing customer requirements and changes to regulation. Both ILECs and CLECs are faced with significant challenges, including competition from cable television, wireless and other wireline providers, the industry decline in use of second lines by customers, decreases in compensation for the use of owned networks, increases in the cost for use of other providers' networks, and new technologies such as Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP). These challenges could have a material adverse effect on the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of TDS Telecom.

The business plan provides for TDS Telecom to meet these challenges by:

- Outperforming market competitors by focusing on customer satisfaction and providing superior service;
- Fortifying existing markets with an emphasis on providing a robust set of services, including advanced broadband services;
- Offering the option of bundled packages of services to customers for their convenience and cost savings;
- Introducing new products and services to strengthen customer relationships and enhance revenue streams;
- Intensifying development of the network and transitioning to digital Internet protocol technology;
- Providing video services through resale of a satellite provider's service and through terrestrial deployment;
- Driving substantial productivity gains to help achieve profitable growth; and
- Aggressively advocating public policy that recognizes the importance of rural Americans having access to state-of-the-art telecommunications services at reasonable prices.

Incumbent Local Exchange Carrier Segment

As of December 31, 2008, TDS Telecom was the eighth largest local exchange telephone company in the United States. This ranking was based on the number of telephone access lines served and excludes the telephone operations of cable television companies. All of TDS Telecom's access lines are served by digital switching technology, which, in conjunction with other technologies, allows TDS Telecom to offer additional premium services to its customers.

TDS Telecom provides service to both retail and wholesale customers. Retail customers are customers that reside within the ILEC service territories to whom TDS Telecom provides direct telecommunication services. Retail customers are composed primarily of residential customers and businesses, government and institutional users. Wholesale customers are primarily interexchange carriers (companies that provide long-distance telephone service between local exchange areas) that compensate TDS Telecom for (i) providing services in connection with the use of its facilities to originate and terminate their interstate and intrastate voice and data transmissions and (ii) for billing and collection services.

TDS Telecom's ILEC retail operations provide local telephone service, access to the long-distance network for customers in their respective service areas, broadband service and video through a resale agreement with a satellite provider. The ILECs also provide directory advertising through contracts with outside vendors. TDS Telecom provides centralized services as well as administrative and support services to field operations from its corporate offices in Madison, Wisconsin.

The ILEC retail presence includes 114 companies in 28 states. These companies serve both residential and business customers. As of December 31, 2008, approximately 77% of TDS Telecom's ILEC equivalent access lines serve residential customers and approximately 23% serve business customers.

The retail customer base is a mix of rural, small town and suburban customers, with concentrations in the Upper Midwest and the Southeast. As of December 31, 2008, approximately 82% of TDS Telecom's ILEC retail customers are located in rural and small town areas, while the other 18% are located in more suburban markets. TDS Telecom's promotional and sales strategy for the retail customer consists of two major initiatives: building brand equity by creating awareness of the TDS Telecom brand name and using direct marketing to sell specific products and services. The more rural and diverse nature of TDS Telecom's markets has historically made direct marketing more efficient and cost effective than mass media such as radio, television and newspapers. In addressing its consumer markets, TDS Telecom has made extensive and aggressive use of direct mail. It has been more selective, though still active, in the use of other alternative marketing channels such as telemarketing and door-to-door sales as a means of generating sales. TDS Telecom continues to explore new ways of marketing to its customers, in particular, finding ways to better take advantage of the marketing capabilities of the Internet. Uniform branding is making the use of mass media more attractive, and TDS Telecom has increasingly incorporated these elements into its media mix.

Most ILEC business customers could be described as small to medium-sized businesses or small office/home office customers. TDS Telecom focuses its marketing on information-intensive industries such as financial services, health services, real estate, hotels and motels, education and government. TDS Telecom uses its direct sales force, targeted mailings, and telemarketing to sell products and services to the commercial markets, which are segmented into tiers based on size (in terms of both lines and revenues) and strategic importance. Different sales and distribution channels are targeted at each segment.

Edgar Filing: TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

TDS Telecom's wholesale presence involves a diverse customer base. TDS Telecom receives a significant amount of its ILEC revenue from the sale of traditional wholesale services, such as access services and billing and collection services to the interexchange carriers. TDS Telecom continues to provide a high level of service to traditional interexchange carrier wholesale customers such as AT&T, MCI and Sprint. Recent and proposed regulatory changes and mergers discussed below may affect the sources of TDS Telecom's ILEC wholesale revenues.

The wholesale market focus is on access revenues. TDS Telecom's operating telephone subsidiaries receive access revenue as compensation for carrying interstate and intrastate traffic on their networks. Access services generated \$278 million, or approximately 46% of TDS Telecom's ILEC revenue for the year ended December 31, 2008. The interstate and intrastate access rates charged include the cost of providing service plus a fair rate of return on the plant investment used to provide such service.

Both states and the FCC are currently examining regulated forms of access and accompanying compensation, however, the prospect for action is uncertain. See "Incumbent Local Exchange Carrier Regulation" below.

Incumbent Local Exchange Carrier Market Strategy

Central to the ILEC market strategy is providing superior customer service, offering a full complement of services with value-added bundles and packages, and building brand equity in TDS Telecom.

Customer Service. TDS Telecom distinguishes itself in the way customer service is offered to its retail customers. TDS Telecom operates ILEC companies in 28 states with professional field service representatives who both live and work in many of the communities they serve. To better meet the changing needs of its customers, TDS Telecom recently created specialized customer service teams to more effectively and efficiently serve the individual needs of its retail customer segment.

Value-Added Product Bundles and Packages. Management of TDS Telecom believes that its residential and business customers have a strong preference to purchase complementary telecommunications services from a single provider. TDS Telecom has found that by offering and bundling services in customer-friendly packages, it can build customer loyalty and reduce customer churn. TDS Telecom offers bundles which include local telephone services, broadband services, long-distance services and video services offered through a sales agency relationship with satellite provider DISH Network.

Broadband. TDS Telecom's objective is to be the preferred broadband provider in its markets by offering a wide range of premium Internet services. TDS Telecom continues to invest in Digital Subscriber Line (DSL) and as of December 31, 2008, was able to provide this service to 90% of its ILEC access lines. At that date, 85% of its ILEC DSL customers had 1.5 megabits per second or faster service with 52% at speeds between 3 - 25 megabits per second.

TDS Telecom continued to expand its presence in the business broadband market with high-speed symmetrical dedicated broadband, hosted-managed Internet Protocol telephony, point-to-point Ethernet and co-location products. Hosted-managed Internet Protocol telephony (known as managed IP) delivers business customers a converged voice and data communications solution to the desktop. Point-to-point Ethernet provides customers secure and reliable high-speed data links for two or more locations over the Company's internal network, not the public Internet. Co-location provides customer web server hosting at a TDS Telecom facility, providing space for computer equipment, Internet bandwidth, and controlled-environment facilities.

Long Distance. TDS Telecom has continued to grow its long-distance product line and is the number one long-distance provider in its ILEC territory. Sixty-one percent of TDS' ILEC physical access lines have a TDS long-distance product.

Brand Equity. TDS Telecom continued to build on its brand identity by increasing its Internet web presence. TDS Telecom's web site offers product and service information, product and service ordering capability, electronic payment options, customer account management and Company information. TDS Telecom continues to leverage its sales and

marketing messages through cost-effective public relations activities. For example, TDS Telecom has entered into a sports marketing agreement with the University of Wisconsin for advertising and signage throughout the university sports complexes and other high-traffic areas, which increases awareness of the TDS Telecom brand (covering both ILEC and CLEC) with current and potential customers. Management of TDS Telecom believes that branding will increase the loyalty of its customers and reduce expenses through more cost-effective marketing.

Incumbent Local Exchange Carrier Market Technology

TDS Telecom continues its program of transitioning to an Internet Protocol (IP) based broadband network. TDS Telecom intends to meet competition by providing its customers with high-quality telecommunications services and building its network to take advantage of a full complement of advanced telecommunications technologies, including plans to:

- Establish more robust Internet connectivity to its exchanges, which will provide both greater capacity and more reliability;
- Continue to extend fiber to its digital serving areas. A digital serving area is a defined geographic area within an exchange that is served by a digital-loop carrier system. The digital-loop carrier system extends the data capability of the central office to the defined geographic area. Having fiber-fed digital serving areas allows the expansion of services (such as higher broadband speeds) to more customers located at a greater distance from the central office equipment;

- Continue to invest in technologies that leverage its existing copper plant. These copper-based technologies include a range of DSL products that enable high-speed broadband access. These technologies can be deployed over single or multiple copper loops to both residential and commercial customers;
- Deploy passive optical network technology, which enables significantly greater broadband speeds, to new residential subdivisions and to commercial customers when the investment is economically justified; and
- Implement a Multi-Gigabit Wide Area Network (WAN) that will evolve TDS Telecom's broadband backhaul network to meet its customers' capacity and reliability demands and unlock the benefits of access line aggregation scale in both new product development and operational efficiency.

As TDS Telecom continues to upgrade and expand its network, it is also standardizing equipment and processes to increase efficiency. For example, TDS Telecom utilizes centralized monitoring and management of its network to reduce costs and improve service reliability. Network standardization has supported TDS Telecom in operating its 24-hours-a-day / 7-days-per-week Network Management Center, which continuously monitors the network in an effort to proactively identify and correct network faults prior to any customer impact.

Incumbent Local Exchange Carrier Market Competition

The Telecommunications Act initiated a process of transformation in the telecommunications industry. Public policy has for some time embraced the dual objectives of universal service and competition for long-distance services and, to a more limited extent, permitted some local service competition, for example, from wireless providers. The Telecommunications Act, however, established local competition as a national telecommunications policy. The Telecommunications Act requires non-exempt ILECs to provide interconnection services and access to unbundled network elements to any CLEC that seeks to enter the ILECs' markets. The Telecommunications Act also allows CLECs to co-locate network equipment in ILEC central offices and prevents ILECs and CLECs from unduly restricting each other from the use of facilities or information that enable competition. The FCC has adopted rules implementing the Telecommunications Act and establishing the pricing that ILECs are able to charge for interconnection services and for providing elements of the network. However, all except three of the TDS Telecom ILECs remain exempt from the most burdensome market opening requirements. See the Incumbent Local Exchange Carrier Regulation section below for a discussion on rural exemptions. The exemption rules, coupled with the challenging economics of competing in lower population density markets and the high service quality TDS Telecom provides, have delayed wireline CLECs' entry into some of TDS Telecom's ILEC markets. TDS Telecom, however, has experienced physical access line losses due to competition from cable providers offering voice (VoIP) and data services via cable modems, from wireless carriers offering local and nationwide calling plans, and from other VoIP providers, as well as due to removal of second lines.

Cable television companies have developed technological improvements that have allowed them to extend their competitive operations beyond major markets and that enable them to provide a broader range of voice and data services over their cable networks; and several national cable companies have aggressively pursued these opportunities. The cable companies capable of offering voice communication are bundling voice, data and video at a discounted price to attract customers from traditional telephone companies. TDS Telecom estimates that 54% of its access lines currently face competition from cable providers that can either offer voice services now or in the near future as compared to 30% in 2006. Also, wireless telephone service providers increasingly constitute a significant source of competition with ILEC services, especially since wireless carriers have begun to compete effectively on the basis of price with more traditional wireline telephone services. As a result, some customers have chosen to completely forego use of traditional wireline telephone service and instead rely solely on wireless service for voice services. This trend is more pronounced among residential customers, which comprise approximately 77% of TDS Telecom's ILEC equivalent

access lines as of December 31, 2008. TDS Telecom anticipates this trend will continue, as wireless service providers continue to expand their coverage areas, reduce their rates, improve the quality of their services, and offer enhanced new services. Substantially all of TDS Telecom's customers are currently capable of receiving wireless services from a competitive service provider. VoIP technology has also improved and has led cable, broadband and other communications companies to substantially increase their offerings of VoIP service to business and residential customers. VoIP providers route calls partially or wholly over the Internet, without use of ILEC's circuit switches and, in the case of cable operators and CLECs, without use of ILEC networks to carry their communications traffic. VoIP providers frequently use existing broadband networks to deliver flat-rate, all-distance calling plans that may also offer features that cannot readily be provided by traditional ILECs. These plans may also be priced below the prices currently charged for traditional ILEC local and long-distance telephone services. To remain competitive TDS Telecom has launched its own VoIP-based services for commercial customers in certain markets.

Incumbent Local Exchange Carrier Regulation

TDS Telecom subsidiaries are primarily ILECs, which were the traditional regulated local telephone companies in their communities. TDS Telecom's ILECs are regulated by federal and state regulatory agencies and TDS Telecom strives to maintain positive relationships with these regulators. Rates, including local rates paid by end users and intrastate access charges paid by carriers that exchange traffic with the TDS Telecom ILECs, continue to be subject to state commission approval in many states. The regulators also establish and oversee implementation of the provisions of the federal and state telecommunications laws, including interconnection requirements, universal service obligations, promotion of competition, and the deployment of advanced services. Regulators enforce these provisions through their general oversight of such matters, through orders, and sometimes, through the imposition of financial penalties. TDS Telecom's ILECs will routinely pursue desired changes in rate structures and regulation in an attempt to maintain affordable rates and reasonable earnings. However, due to increased competition, these subsidiaries have had to move from a pricing structure historically based on costs to one primarily based on market conditions.

For the TDS Telecom ILEC companies, state regulators generally must approve rate adjustments, service areas, service standards and accounting methods and these regulators are authorized to limit the return earned on capital, subject to applicable state law. In some states, construction plans, borrowing, depreciation rates, affiliated charge transactions and certain other financial transactions of ILECs also are subject to regulatory approval. States traditionally have designated a single ILEC as the provider of last resort in a local market and then regulated the entry of additional competing providers into the same local market. The Telecommunications Act, however, largely preempted state authority over market entry. Nevertheless, while states may not impose requirements that effectively function as barriers to entry, and the FCC is required to preempt state requirements if they impose such barriers to entry, states still retain authority to regulate competitive entry in rural telephone company service areas.

As a general matter, TDS Telecom has elected alternative forms of regulation for its ILEC subsidiaries in several states and will continue to pursue alternative regulation, as appropriate, for its remaining ILEC subsidiaries. Alternative regulation may be desirable because it offers additional flexibility in setting prices and in bundling services. For those ILEC subsidiaries for which alternative regulation is permitted and elected, TDS Telecom must ensure compliance within the requirements imposed by such regulation, while at the same time it seeks to pursue opportunities afforded by whatever flexibility is afforded under alternative regulation. The possibility exists, however, that regulators may seek to re-regulate TDS Telecom's ILEC subsidiaries under traditional rate-of-return regulation if they determine that it no longer is appropriate to regulate them under alternative regulation. While ILEC subsidiaries that operate in states under alternative regulation typically do not face as much regulatory scrutiny of their earnings, TDS Telecom ILEC subsidiaries in other states that are subject to traditional rate-of-return regulation typically must continue to file rate cases and face earnings reviews by state regulatory commissions. TDS Telecom will continue to manage these traditional rate cases, as well as respond to state commission initiated earnings reviews. Furthermore, in all states, other regulatory issues will need to be addressed, such as responding to the financial impacts of any universal service and access charge reform, regulation of new competitors (e.g., VoIP and cable providers) and changes to other intercarrier compensation mechanisms.

Most of the TDS Telecom ILEC subsidiaries participate in both the National Exchange Carrier Association (NECA) interstate common line and traffic sensitive access charge tariffs. Many of TDS Telecom's ILECs also participate in the access revenue pools administered by the FCC-supervised NECA, which collects and distributes the revenues from interstate access charges. The FCC retains regulatory oversight over interstate toll (long-distance) rates and other issues relating to interstate telephone service, and continues to regulate the interstate access system.

Where applicable and subject to state regulatory approval, TDS Telecom's ILEC subsidiaries utilize intrastate access tariffs and participate in intrastate revenue pools. However, many intrastate toll revenue pooling arrangements, formerly sources of substantial revenues to TDS Telecom's ILEC subsidiaries, were replaced with access charge based arrangements designed to generate revenue flows similar to those previously realized in the pooling process. While several states where TDS Telecom operates are considering ways to lower intrastate access rates, most have decided to forestall proceedings pending an FCC decision on access reform, but they may choose to continue their proceedings if an FCC decision is not forthcoming in the near future.

Over the past decade, the FCC has periodically contemplated reforming the access charge system and the universal service fund. During 2008, the FCC considered how and whether to change the system of compensating carriers for use of each other's networks, which is commonly referred to as intercarrier compensation. In late 2008 the FCC sought comment on several proposals modifying the existing intercarrier compensation system, but did not issue any decision regarding this matter. The FCC has also been considering whether to regulate all VoIP providers as telecommunications service providers. Regardless of that decision, the FCC has ruled that VoIP providers are subject to access charges for VoIP traffic that originates and terminates on the public switched network. We expect the FCC to continue its efforts to reform intercarrier compensation during 2009. If the FCC adopts changes in access charge regulations that reduce the revenues from interstate and/or potentially intrastate access charges, these changes could have a material adverse impact on TDS Telecom. TDS Telecom will attempt to replace lost access revenues through charges to customers or through alternative government support payments. If TDS Telecom is unable to replace lost access charge revenues with increased revenues in other areas, this could have a material adverse effect on its financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

During 2008, the FCC also continued reviewing the universal service fund and applicable rules to assess the sustainability of the fund along with the process for determining the appropriate contributors, contribution rate, collection method, supported services, and the eligibility and portability of payments. The FCC specifically sought comment on proposals made by the Federal-State Joint Board and by the FCC itself to change the universal service high cost fund in various ways. These proposals include: the creation of separate wireless, wireline, and broadband funds, with an overall cap on all funds; a separate cap on payments to wireless carriers; elimination of the identical support rules; using reverse auctions (a form of competitive bidding) to determine the amount of support to be provided to eligible telecommunications carriers, and limiting the number of carriers eligible to receive support in a given area. The FCC also adopted an interim cap on the USF high cost funding that goes to competitive ETCs, limiting such funding for the state to the levels provided to all such carriers, but took no action on the other proposals during 2008. We expect the FCC will consider similar proposals and others in 2009. It is not certain which, if any, of them will be adopted. Any changes in the universal service fund that reduce the size of the fund and payments to TDS Telecom could have a material adverse impact on the company's financial position, results of operations, and cash flows.

All forms of federal support available to ILECs are now portable to any local competitor that qualifies for support as an eligible telecommunications carrier. A number of wireless carriers have been classified as eligible telecommunications carriers. To limit the growth of the universal service fund while making it more sustainable, the FCC adopted stricter criteria and reporting requirements when it is responsible for certifying eligible providers to receive funds, but states are not required to adopt these standards when they certify a provider. On April 29, 2008, the FCC also adopted an interim cap on the USF high cost funding that goes to competitive ETCs, limiting such funding for the state to the levels provided to all such carriers in that state in March 2008, with an exemption from the cap for carriers serving tribal lands and Alaskan Native Lands. We expect the FCC to deal with the funding for competitive ETCs as part of its overall comprehensive reform of the universal service fund.

The Telecommunications Act requires all telecommunications carriers to interconnect with other carriers. All local exchange carriers are required to permit resale, to provide number portability, dialing parity, and access to rights-of-way and to pay reciprocal compensation. Unless exempted or granted a suspension or modification from these requirements, ILECs also must negotiate interconnection terms in good faith, not discriminate, unbundle elements of their network and service components, offer their retail services at wholesale rates to their competitors, and allow other carriers to place equipment necessary for interconnection or access on their premises. The FCC also requires ILECs' rates for interconnection and network components to be based on total element long-run incremental costs.

All TDS Telecom ILECs are classified as rural telephone companies. The Telecommunications Act generally exempts rural telephone companies from the interconnection, unbundling and collocation requirements specified above, until they receive a bona fide request for interconnection and the relevant state commission has determined that the rural exemption should be lifted. The Telecommunications Act also exempts rural telephone companies from reselling services at wholesale rates. All but three of TDS Telecom ILECs still maintain this rural exemption. To date, the interconnection requests received by TDS Telecom ILECs have recognized their status as rural telephone companies, and have been limited in scope to issues such as number portability. TDS Telecom has received and responded to interconnection requests in many states from cable companies seeking to provide voice service in the ILEC's local calling area. Provision of voice service by such cable operators represents a change in the competitive landscape that significantly increases the competitive challenge to TDS Telecom's operations that overlap such cable operators.

The FCC and various provisions of federal law require carriers to comply with numerous regulatory requirements. Compliance with these requirements may be costly and noncompliance can lead to lawsuits and financial penalties. These requirements include letting subscribers change to competitors' services without changing their telephone numbers, taking actions to preserve the available pool of telephone numbers, making telecommunications accessible for those with disabilities, monitoring and reporting network outages, and properly handling and protecting customer proprietary network information. Under the Communications Assistance to Law Enforcement Act, all telecommunications carriers, including TDS Telecom, must implement certain equipment changes necessary to assist law enforcement authorities in achieving an enhanced ability to conduct electronic surveillance of those suspected of criminal activity. TDS Telecom believes it is in compliance with these requirements.

The FCC continues to consider policies to encourage nationwide advanced broadband infrastructure development. TDS Telecom has invested significantly to deliver broadband services to its customers and supports policies that further the goal of bringing broadband services to all rural customers. State commissions also have been seeking to mandate the deployment of advanced services and enhancements to the infrastructure and those mandates may result in additional costs to condition loops to provide the service.

In 2005, the FCC changed the regulatory classification of DSL service from Title II (common carrier regulation) to Title I (which governs information services and is mostly deregulated). Specifically, the FCC provided ILECs with flexibility to offer the transmission component of DSL service on a common carrier basis, a non-common carrier basis, or some combination of both to affiliated or unaffiliated Internet service providers. TDS Telecom elected to offer the transmission component on a common carrier basis which allows its companies to continue to receive existing levels of access and universal service fund support for DSL service. In addition, companies opting to provide the service on the common carrier basis also were provided the additional flexibility to continue to provide the service under tariff or elect to do so on a detariffed basis. TDS Telecom decided that effective June 30, 2007, it would no longer provide DSL service under the NECA tariff and the Company has since offered this service on a detariffed basis, which has provided the Company with pricing and provisioning flexibility.

TDS Telecom continues to participate in state and federal regulatory and legislative processes to urge that any telecommunications reform measures treat rural areas fairly and continue to provide sufficient contributions to high-cost rural service areas to keep TDS Telecom ILECs rates affordable and allow for the continued development of rural telecommunications infrastructure. The ongoing changes in public policy due to numerous court, regulatory and legislative proceedings and the introduction of competition may adversely affect the earnings of the operating subsidiaries, and TDS Telecom is not able to predict the impact of these changes.

Incumbent Local Exchange Carrier and Related Acquisitions and Divestitures

TDS Telecom may make opportunistic acquisitions of operating telephone companies, customers, or related communications providers. Since January 1, 2004, TDS Telecom has acquired three telephone companies that at the dates of their purchases served a total of 18,400 equivalent access lines for aggregate consideration totaling \$51.2 million in cash.

On November 30, 2008, TDS Telecom purchased 100% of the outstanding shares of State Long Distance Company, a telephone company in Wisconsin that at the date of purchase served 11,500 equivalent access lines, for \$27.0 million in cash, plus working capital adjustments of \$10.1 million.

On May 31, 2008, TDS Telecom acquired 100% of the outstanding shares of The Mosinee Telephone Company for \$17.4 million in cash. The Mosinee Telephone Company is a telephone company that at the date of purchase served 5,800 equivalent access lines in Wisconsin.

On February 13, 2008, TDS Telecom acquired 100% of the outstanding shares of West Point Telephone Company, a telephone company in Indiana that at the date of purchase served 1,100 equivalent access lines, for \$6.8 million in cash.

TDS Telecom did not acquire any operating telephone companies, customers, or related communications providers from 2004 through 2007.

Telephone holding companies and others actively compete for the acquisition of telephone companies and such acquisitions are subject to the consent or approval of regulatory agencies in most states and in some cases of the FCC and of the Department of Justice. Also in some cases, these acquisitions are subject to the obtaining of federal waivers that may affect the form of regulation or amount of interstate cost recovery of the acquired telephone exchanges. The TDS acquisition strategy is to focus on geographic clustering of telephone companies to achieve cost economies and to complement TDS Telecom's product and services growth strategy. While management believes that it will be successful in making additional acquisitions, there can be no assurance that TDS or TDS Telecom will be able to negotiate additional acquisitions on terms acceptable to them or that regulatory approvals, where required, will be received.

Competitive Local Exchange Carrier Segment

TDS Telecom provides competitive local exchange carrier telecommunications services through its TDS Metrocom subsidiary. TDS Telecom leverages the existing strengths of its ILECs into operations as a competitive local exchange carrier. TDS Telecom's CLEC operations offer competitively priced voice, broadband and related services primarily to commercial customers and certain residential customers in selected markets.

TDS Telecom's CLEC operations are primarily facilities-based, having deployed nine switching facilities, 113 co-locations and multiple, primarily local, fiber networks across portions of the service areas. Currently, the operations depend on using Regional Bell Operating Company (RBOC) local loops to reach most customers. TDS Telecom's CLEC strategy maintains a geographic focus and is designed to leverage TDS Telecom's existing management and infrastructure to complement TDS Telecom's ILEC clustering strategy. TDS Telecom has followed a strategy of controlled entry into certain targeted mid-size communities, regionally proximate to existing TDS Telecom facilities and service areas, with facilities-based entry as a CLEC. Utilizing the infrastructure (e.g., billing systems, network control center, operating systems, financial systems, accounting, technology planning, etc.) built for the TDS Telecom ILEC business has allowed the TDS Telecom CLEC to operate more efficiently. TDS Telecom's strategy is to be the leading alternative provider for commercial customers' telecommunications needs in its CLEC markets. To this end, TDS Telecom has deployed industry standard Class 5 time-division multiplexing switches as well as new generation softswitches and Internet Protocol technologies in its targeted CLEC markets. TDS Telecom follows a clustering approach to building its CLECs which allows it to cost effectively aggregate and transport long-distance traffic, share service and repair resources and realize marketing efficiencies. As in its ILEC markets, TDS Telecom positions itself as an integrated wireline communications provider in its chosen CLEC markets by providing local, long-distance, broadband, and some Internet Protocol-based services through its own facilities-based networks.

TDS Telecom began offering CLEC services in 1997. These services are primarily offered in markets such as: Madison, greater Fox Valley, Milwaukee, Racine, Kenosha, Janesville and Beloit, Wisconsin; Rockford and Lake County (northern suburbs of Chicago), Illinois; greater Grand Rapids, Kalamazoo, Battle Creek, Holland, Grand Haven, Lansing, Jackson, Ann Arbor and the western suburbs of Detroit, Michigan; Fargo and Grand Forks, North Dakota; and Minneapolis/St. Paul, Rochester, Duluth, St. Cloud and Brainerd, Minnesota. As of December 31, 2008, TDS Telecom had 393,000 CLEC equivalent access lines, of which 95% were provisioned on TDS Telecom owned switching facilities.

Competitive Local Exchange Carrier Market Strategy

The CLEC strategy places primary emphasis on small and medium-sized commercial customers. Medium-sized commercial prospects are characterized by above average access line to employee ratios, heavier utilization of broadband services and a focus on using telecommunications for business improvement. Commercial accounts typically seek increased communications capabilities at reduced costs. To combat RBOC former customer Winback programs that use a low price strategy, TDS Telecom pursues an application sales strategy. This commercial, consultative sales approach builds on customer preference for integrated communication services and the customer's perception that some of the value of the product is in personalized service. Application sales techniques create user value by a process of discovery of customer

needs focused on utilizing new and existing technologies to improve business performance and create greater efficiencies in the use of telecommunications services. Ongoing after-the-sale support consultants ensure that customers have up-to-date information about new technologies and opportunities to frequently evaluate the configurations of their telecommunications services. The application sales approach also aids in maximizing the opportunities for integrated voice and data technologies as businesses increase their use of broadband as part of their business models. The application sales approach is typified by TDS hosted-managed IP telephony service which provides integrated voice and data services to the customer's desktop. This desktop integration provides clear productivity enhancements along with reduced business management expense to TDS Telecom CLEC business customers.

An emphasis on product development has led to the introduction of several integrated voice and data solutions as well as the creation of small business bundled products targeting three line and greater business customers that make buying voice and broadband services easier and increase the value of these products. Offering cost effective voice and broadband solutions bundled with and provisioned on a single access line provides for direct cost savings to the customer, removes distance limitations commonly associated with DSL technology, and gives the customer greater flexibility to grow business telecommunications use.

Additional commercial products, services and applications are under development to sell deeper into new and existing commercial accounts. Expanded offerings for the commercial sector include traditional telephone systems, Internet Protocol enabled telephone systems and new service offerings, such as the hosted managed IP telephony service described above. Combining CLEC service offerings with customer premise equipment (CPE) products is intended to drive greater customer revenues while promoting a One Vendor telecommunications provider experience for CPE, voice and broadband services. Additional Internet Protocol and managed services product sets under development include firewall services, Internet intrusion protection services, and universal resource locator (URL) filtering. All of these provide commercial customers with additional services, controls and network protection.

With respect to the residential segment, TDS Telecom continues its strategy of serving the current residential customer base with high quality customer service and with competitive pricing. The focus is on the current customer base rather than acquiring new residential customers in all of the CLEC markets, except the market in Madison, Wisconsin. TDS Telecom is actively marketing to new residential customers in Madison, where it has the additional capability to provision voice and data services using fixed wireless technology on licensed frequency assignments.

While TDS Telecom positions itself as a high-quality telecommunications provider, it is experiencing price competition from RBOCs, other CLECs and cable companies as it seeks to gain and retain customers. In addition, the RBOCs are actively seeking regulatory and technological barriers that could impede TDS Telecom's access to facilities used to provide CLEC telecommunications services. TDS Telecom continues to seek to develop and maintain an efficient CLEC cost structure to ensure that it can match price-based initiatives from competitors. Wireless broadband, Internet Protocol telephony, and packet switching networks are all being evaluated or deployed to increase high-speed data reach, to lower the cost of providing service, and to ensure continued network access to customers for service provisioning. To effectively compete in its chosen markets, TDS Telecom is continuing new service and product development to provide high-quality, leading edge services to its customers that can be leveraged by both its ILEC and CLEC operations. TDS Telecom is also actively advocating regulatory frameworks that would enable its operations to grow profitably and continue to meet customer expectations for new and improved services.

Competitive Local Exchange Carrier Technology

TDS Telecom's CLEC strategies recognize the changing telecommunications marketplace and the need to meet customer demands for greater bandwidth while decreasing dependence on RBOC local loops. TDS Telecom intends to meet competition by providing its customers with high-quality telecommunications services and building its network to take full advantage of advanced telecommunications technologies including:

- Deploying a hosted managed IP voice service to all of its CLEC markets. This service allows customers to integrate their voicemail and e-mail messaging platforms, self provision advanced calling features, and integrate their telephone sets with their personal computers.

- Deploying converged voice and data services that can be dynamically allocated and provisioned using an RBOC local loop and a channel bank at the commercial customer's premise. The advantage of having dynamic allocation is that a single loop can provide greater broadband speeds when the voice lines are not in use.
- Deploying fixed wireless technology using 2.5 gigahertz licensed spectrum acquired in the Madison, Wisconsin market. Fixed wireless delivery facilitates provisioning broadband and voice services to customers using facilities that are owned and operated by TDS Telecom, thus eliminating the need for RBOC local loops and limiting the risk of regulatory changes affecting the cost of delivering service. To learn whether wireless technology can meet its next generation voice and data needs TDS Telecom may trial different spectrum in order to gain knowledge of wireless network capabilities and signal propagation.
- Continuing to expand its fiber network into additional commercial customer premises and to upgrade its capacity to existing customers when economically justified.

Competitive Local Exchange Carrier Market Competition

TDS Telecom's CLEC operations face a range of competition including RBOCs, other competitive local exchange carriers, cable providers, wireless carriers, and VoIP providers.

TDS Telecom's CLEC operations compete with RBOCs on the basis of price, reliability, state-of-the-art technology, product and service offerings, route diversity, ease of ordering, and customer service, including responsiveness to customer needs. RBOCs have long-standing relationships with their customers and are well established in their respective markets. RBOCs are offering increased pricing flexibility for their services and have implemented long-term customer contracts with high cancellation penalties for retention purposes. RBOCs continue to pursue aggressive "Winback" programs that have been somewhat effective in regaining lines lost to CLECs. TDS Telecom believes that, in general, its CLEC operations provide more attention and responsiveness to customers than RBOCs provide to similar sized customers in TDS Telecom's CLEC markets.

TDS Telecom also faces competition from other telecommunication providers in almost all of the areas where it has CLEC operations. These entities include RBOC resellers, cable television companies, VoIP providers, wireless carriers, traditional Internet service providers, wireless Internet service providers (WISP) and private networks built by large end users. TDS Telecom's CLEC market positioning in relation to these carriers is based on regional focus, application orientation, results driven sales teams, intense customer care, simple and compelling offers, and consistent execution of processes including the back office provisioning processes required to manage connections with RBOC-provided facilities.

Competitive Local Exchange Carrier Market Regulation

Edgar Filing: TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

TDS Telecom's CLECs are regulated by state and federal regulatory agencies, including the FCC, similar to ILECs. (See Incumbent Local Exchange Carrier Regulation above.) However, CLECs are subject to significantly less regulation than incumbent local exchange carriers.

The FCC exercises regulatory jurisdiction over all facilities of, and services offered by, communications common carriers to the extent those facilities are used to provide, originate or terminate interstate communications. The FCC has established different levels of regulation for dominant carriers and non-dominant carriers. For domestic interstate communications services, only incumbent local exchange carriers are classified as dominant carriers. All other carriers are classified as non-dominant. The FCC regulates many of the rates, charges and services of dominant carriers to a greater degree than those of non-dominant carriers. As non-dominant carriers, CLECs may install and operate facilities for domestic interstate communications without prior FCC authorization. CLECs are not required to maintain tariffs for domestic interstate long-distance services. However, they are required to submit certain periodic reports to the FCC and to pay regulatory fees.

CLECs are also subject to regulation by state public service commissions. Certain state public service commissions require CLECs to obtain operating authority prior to initiating intrastate services. Certain states also require the filing of tariffs or price lists and/or customer-specific contracts. TDS Telecom's CLEC operations are not currently subject to rate-of-return or price regulation. However, CLECs are subject to state-specific quality of service, universal service, periodic reporting and other regulatory requirements, although the extent of these requirements is generally less than those applicable to ILECs. In addition, local governments may require CLECs to obtain licenses or franchises which regulate the use of public rights-of-way necessary to install and operate their networks.

A number of federal and state regulatory proposals, policies and proceedings are important to TDS Telecom's CLEC operations.

The Telecommunications Act requires ILECs to provide requesting carriers such as TDS Telecom's CLEC with nondiscriminatory access to unbundled network elements (UNEs) at cost-based rates. UNEs are components of ILEC networks that CLECs lease, and in some cases, combine with their own network facilities to provide services to end user customers. In August 2003, the FCC released its Triennial Review Order (TRO), which modified the circumstances under which ILECs must make available to CLECs UNEs at cost-based rates. Although a reviewing court reversed and remanded a substantial portion of the TRO, the court upheld the FCC's decision to limit the extent to which ILECs must unbundle and make available at cost-based rates to CLECs fiber optic lines and broadband hybrid loops, which consist of both fiber and copper components. The reviewing court's decision had the practical effect of increasing CLEC costs to deliver certain high-capacity services to customers because CLECs no longer could rely on ILECs to lease them fiber lines and broadband hybrid loops at cost-based rates. As a result, TDS Telecom's CLEC today either must construct its own fiber optic lines and hybrid loops, pay a higher rate to lease these facilities from ILECs, or seek other alternative providers where available.

In February 2005, the FCC released its Triennial Review Remand Order (TRRO), which addressed the TRO issues that the reviewing court reversed and remanded. The TRRO had the practical effect of eliminating additional UNEs and narrowing the scope of UNEs that ILECs have to make available to CLECs on a non-discriminatory basis at cost-based rates. This increased CLEC costs. For instance, the TRRO eliminated CLEC access to the UNE-Platform, a combination of UNE switching and loop elements that enabled CLECs to lease facilities and serve customers on a more efficient basis. The TRRO also eliminated unbundled access to very high capacity loops and dark fiber, which the FCC concluded CLECs could obtain elsewhere in a competitive market. Additionally, the TRRO limited the circumstances under which ILECs had to unbundle and make available to CLECs DS-1 and DS-3 high-capacity loops and transport by requiring those services to be made available only in wire centers where certain competitive triggers were not met. Although these new rules have affected many CLECs, their effect on TDS Telecom's CLEC operations has been modest because TDS Telecom's CLEC primarily serves markets where the competitive triggers established by the FCC have not been met. More generally, where ILEC facilities no longer are available as UNEs to TDS Telecom's CLEC, they typically are available on a commercial basis, although at higher rates and on less favorable terms than if they had remained UNEs.

In 2005, TDS Telecom worked with a group of competitive carriers advocating that reasonable conditions be placed upon the merged company formed by the combination of SBC and AT&T. In its order approving the SBC/AT&T merger, the FCC imposed two conditions that were favorable to TDS Telecom's CLEC operations: (1) a two-year cap on the rates charged by AT&T (formerly SBC) for UNEs; and (2) a recalculation of the wire centers where UNEs will be available. The first condition provided temporary stability for a major driver of costs in TDS Telecom's CLEC operations. The second resulted in a greater number of geographic areas in which TDS Telecom's CLECs have access to unbundled network elements. During 2006, the combined SBC/AT&T, acquired BellSouth. As a condition approving the AT&T/BellSouth merger, the FCC extended the same restrictions on UNE rate increases for a period that will end in December 2009. Additional conditions were imposed that will streamline the process for negotiating and extending interconnection agreements with the new AT&T, which conditions benefit TDS Telecom's CLEC operations. As a consequence of these merger conditions, AT&T's unbundled loop rates should remain stable until 2010. It is uncertain whether these rates will change thereafter.

Separately, Qwest filed a request to raise non-recurring charges on unbundled network elements in its ILEC service region. An agreement was approved in September 2008 that resolved Qwest's filing, whereby nonrecurring rates generally increased; however, these were offset by decreases in recurring co-location costs.

Verizon and Qwest have also filed requests for forbearance from unbundling requirements in certain markets. These requests were denied by the FCC in 2007 and 2008, respectively, and Verizon has appealed the FCC's denial. That appeal is pending. Separately, the FCC has initiated a proceeding to examine the circumstances under which it would evaluate subsequent requests for forbearance. That proceeding also is pending.

New and Developing Technologies

An important component of TDS Telecom's business strategy is to develop high-growth services, particularly IP-based, broadband services. Broadband services are one of the fastest growing portions of the telecommunications services industry. In light of the growth of Internet use and rapid introduction of new voice and data applications, TDS Telecom intends to offer a suite of IP-based, broadband services in all of its markets and advance the technology where it is already deployed. This will allow TDS Telecom to position itself as a full-service broadband services provider to both residential and commercial customers. Various services utilizing broadband connections are in various stages of research and development including:

- In 2007, TDS Telecom introduced its first suite of VoIP services for its commercial customers in the Madison, Wisconsin area. In 2008, TDS rolled the suite of services out to all remaining CLEC markets and the Monticello, Minnesota ILEC market. This suite allows customers to integrate voicemail and e-mail messaging

platforms, self-provision advanced calling features, and integrate telephone sets with their computers. These services are provided over broadband connections to a hosted VoIP environment provided by TDS Telecom.

- TDS Telecom believes that demand for Triple Play (voice, broadband and video) services is clearly demonstrated in the marketplace. TDS Telecom currently has an Internet Protocol television (IPTV) trial underway in two ILEC markets. In addition to this terrestrial video trial, an agreement with a direct broadcast satellite provider positions TDS Telecom to compete for Triple Play customers across virtually all of its markets. TDS Telecom believes these are early signs of the emergence of a substantial market for on-demand TV, that TDS Telecom s high-speed broadband networks will be well positioned to offer.

TDS Other Items

Employees

TDS enjoys satisfactory employee relations. As of December 31, 2008, approximately 12,500 persons were employed by TDS, less than 1% of whom were represented by unions.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995

SAFE HARBOR CAUTIONARY STATEMENT

This Annual Report on Form 10-K, including exhibits, contains statements that are not based on historical fact and represent forward-looking statements, as this term is defined in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. All statements, other than statements of historical facts, that address activities, events or developments that TDS intends, expects, projects, believes, estimates, plans or anticipates will or may occur in the future are forward-looking statements. The words believes, anticipates, estimates, expects, plans, intends and similar expressions are intended to identify these forward-looking statements, but are not the exclusive means of identifying them. Such forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause actual results, events or developments to be significantly different from any future results, events or developments expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Such risks, uncertainties and other factors include those set forth below under Risk Factors in this Form 10-K. However, such factors are not necessarily all of the important factors that could cause actual results, performance or achievements to differ materially from those expressed in, or implied by, the forward-looking statements contained in this document. Other unknown or unpredictable factors also could have material adverse effects on future results, performance or achievements. TDS undertakes no obligation to update publicly any forward-looking statements whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. You should carefully consider the following risk factors and other information contained in, or incorporated by reference into, this Form 10-K to understand the material risks relating to TDS' business.

RISK FACTORS

Intense competition in the markets in which TDS operates could adversely affect TDS' revenues or increase its costs to compete.

Competition in the telecommunications industry is currently intense and could intensify further in the future due to the general effects of a weak economy and attendant unemployment on consumer spending, as well as due to wireless industry factors such as increasing market penetration and decreasing customer churn rates which combine to reduce the pool of available new customers. TDS' ability to compete effectively will depend, in part, on its ability to anticipate and respond to various competitive factors affecting the telecommunications industry. TDS anticipates that competition may cause the prices for products and services to continue to decline, and the costs to compete to increase, in the future. Most of TDS' competitors are national or global telecommunications companies that are larger than TDS, possess greater resources, possess more extensive coverage areas and more spectrum within their coverage areas, and market other services with their communications services that TDS does not offer. In addition, TDS may face competition from technologies that may be introduced in the future or from new entrants into the industry. New technologies, services and products that are more commercially effective than the technologies, services and products offered by TDS may be developed. There can be no assurance that TDS will be able to compete successfully in this environment.

Sources of competition to TDS' wireless business typically include three to five competing wireless telecommunications service providers in each market, wireline telecommunications service providers, cable television companies, resellers (including mobile virtual network operators), and providers of other alternate telecommunications services. Many of TDS' wireless competitors and other competitors have substantially greater financial, technical, marketing, sales, purchasing and distribution resources than TDS.

Sources of competition to TDS' wireline ILEC business include, but are not limited to, resellers of local exchange services, interexchange carriers, satellite transmission service providers, wireless communications providers, cable television companies, competitive access service providers, competitive local exchange carriers, Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) providers and providers using other emerging technologies. In the future, TDS expects the number of its wireline physical access lines served to continue to be adversely affected by wireless and broadband substitution and by cable company competition.

Sources of competition to TDS' wireline CLEC business include the sources identified in the prior paragraph as well as the ILEC in each market, which enjoys competitive advantages, including its wireline connection to virtually all of the customers and potential customers of TDS' CLEC, its established brand name and its substantial financial resources. TDS' CLEC is typically required to discount services to win potential customers. These factors result in lower operating margins for TDS' CLEC, and make it vulnerable to any discount pricing policies that the ILEC may adopt to exploit its lower-cost structure and greater financial resources.

These factors are not in TDS control. Changes in such competitive factors could result in product, service, pricing or cost disadvantages and could have an adverse effect on TDS business, financial condition or results of operations.

A failure by TDS service offerings to meet customer expectations could limit TDS ability to attract and retain customers and could have an adverse effect on TDS operations.

Customer acceptance of the services that TDS offers is and will continue to be affected by technology and range of device and service-based differences from competition and by the operational performance, quality, reliability, and coverage of TDS networks. TDS may have difficulty attracting and retaining customers if it is unable to meet customer expectations for a range of services, or if it is otherwise unable to resolve quality issues relating to its networks, billing systems, or customer care or if any of those issues limit TDS ability to expand its network capacity or customer base, or otherwise place TDS at a competitive disadvantage to other service providers in its markets. The levels of customer demand for any TDS next-generation services and products are uncertain. Customer demand could be impacted by differences in the types of services offered, service content, technology, footprint and service areas, network quality, customer perceptions, customer care levels and rate plans.

TDS system infrastructure may not be capable of supporting changes in technologies and services expected by customers, which could result in lost customers and revenues.

The telecommunications industry is experiencing significant changes in technologies and services expected by customers. Future technological changes or advancements may enable other technologies to equal or exceed TDS current levels of service and render its system infrastructure obsolete. New technologies or services often render existing technology products, services or infrastructure obsolete, too costly or otherwise unmarketable. TDS system infrastructure may not be capable of supporting changes in technologies and services expected by customers. TDS may be unable to successfully deploy complex next generation services. If TDS is unable to meet future advances in or changes in competing technologies on a timely basis, or at an acceptable cost, it may not be able to compete effectively with other carriers, which could result in lost customers and revenues. This could have an adverse effect on TDS business, financial condition or results of operations.

An inability to obtain or maintain roaming arrangements with other carriers on terms that are acceptable to TDS could have an adverse effect on TDS business, financial condition or results of operations.

TDS customers can access another carrier's digital system automatically only if the other carrier allows TDS customers to roam on its network. TDS relies on roaming agreements with other carriers to provide roaming capability to its customers in areas of the U.S., Mexico and Canada outside its service areas and to improve coverage within selected areas of TDS network footprint. Such agreements cover traditional voice services as well as data services, which are an area of strong growth for TDS and other carriers. Although TDS currently has long-term roaming agreements with certain other carriers, these agreements generally are subject to renewal and termination if certain events occur, including, without limitation, if network standards are not maintained. Some competitors may be able to obtain lower roaming rates than TDS is able to obtain because they have larger call volumes or because of their affiliations with, or ownership of, wireless carriers, or may be able to reduce roaming charges by providing service principally over their own networks. In addition, the quality of service that a wireless carrier delivers during a roaming call may be inferior to the quality of service TDS provides, the price of a roaming call may not be competitive with prices of other wireless carriers for such call, and TDS customers may not be able to use some of the advanced features, such as voicemail notification, or data applications that TDS customers enjoy when making calls within TDS network. TDS rate of adoption of new technologies, such as those enabling high-speed data services, could affect its ability to enter into or maintain roaming agreements with other carriers. In addition, TDS wireless CDMA and CDMA 1XRTT technology is not compatible with technologies such as GSM-based technologies which are used by certain other carriers, which limits the ability of TDS to enter into roaming agreements with such other carriers. TDS roaming partners could switch their business to new operators or, over time, to their own networks. Changes in roaming usage patterns, rates per roaming minute of use and relationships with carriers whose customers generate roaming minutes of use on TDS network could have an adverse effect on TDS revenues and revenue growth.

If TDS is unable to obtain or maintain roaming agreements with other wireless carriers that contain pricing and other terms that are competitive and acceptable to TDS, and that satisfy TDS quality and interoperability requirements, its business, financial condition or results of operations could be adversely affected.

TDS currently receives a significant amount of roaming revenues from its wireless business. As a result of recently announced acquisitions by other companies in the wireless industry, TDS anticipates that its roaming revenues will decline significantly over the next several quarters. Further industry consolidation and continued build outs by existing and new wireless carriers could cause roaming revenues to decline even more, which would have an adverse effect on TDS business, financial condition and results of operations.

TDS revenues include roaming revenues related to the use of TDS network by other carriers' customers who travel within TDS coverage areas. A significant portion of these roaming revenues is derived from Verizon Wireless (Verizon) and Alltel Corporation (Alltel). In January 2009, Verizon acquired Alltel. As a result of this transaction, the network footprints of Verizon and Alltel will be combined. This is expected to result in significant decreases in roaming revenues for TDS, since the combined Verizon and Alltel entity is expected to significantly reduce its use of TDS network in certain coverage areas that are currently used by Verizon and Alltel (as separate entities). Additional changes in the network footprints of other carriers also could have an adverse effect on TDS roaming revenues. For example, consolidation among other carriers which have network footprints that currently overlap TDS network could further decrease the amount of roaming revenues for TDS. Accordingly, further industry consolidation could cause roaming revenues to decline even more, which would have an adverse effect on TDS business, financial condition and results of operations.

A failure by TDS to obtain access to adequate radio spectrum could have an adverse effect on TDS business and operations.

TDS wireless business depends on the ability to use portions of the radio spectrum licensed by the FCC. TDS could fail to obtain access to sufficient spectrum capacity in new and existing markets, whether through FCC auctions or other transactions, in order to meet the potential expanded demands for existing services in critical markets, and to enable deployment of next-generation services. Such a failure could have a material adverse impact on the quality of TDS services or TDS ability to roll out such future services in some markets, or could require that TDS curtail existing services in order to make spectrum available for next-generation services. TDS may acquire access to spectrum through a number of alternatives, including participation in spectrum auctions, partnering on a non-controlling basis with other auction applicants (Other Applicants) and other acquisitions and exchanges. As required by law, the FCC has conducted auctions for licenses to use some parts of the radio spectrum. The decision to conduct auctions, and the determination of what spectrum frequencies will be made available for auction are made by the FCC pursuant to laws that they administer. The FCC may not choose to or even be able to allocate spectrum sufficient to meet the demands of all those wishing to obtain licenses. TDS or Other Applicants may not be successful in FCC auctions in obtaining the spectrum that either believes is necessary to implement its business and technology strategies. In addition, newly auctioned spectrum may not be compatible with existing spectrum, and vendors may not create suitable products to use such spectrum. Further, access to use spectrum won in FCC auctions may not be available on a timely basis. Such access is dependent upon the FCC actually granting licenses won in the various auctions, which can be delayed for various reasons, including the possible need for the FCC to transition current users of spectrum to other portions of the radio spectrum. TDS also may seek to acquire radio spectrum through purchases and exchanges with other spectrum licensees. However, TDS may not be able to acquire sufficient spectrum through these types of transactions, and TDS may not be able to complete any of these transactions on favorable terms.

To the extent conducted by the FCC, TDS is likely to participate in FCC auctions of additional spectrum in the future as an applicant or as a non-controlling partner in another auction applicant and, during certain periods, will be subject to the FCC's anti-collusion rules, which could have an adverse effect on TDS.

From time to time, the FCC conducts auctions through which additional spectrum is made available for the provision of wireless services. TDS has participated in such auctions in the past and is likely to participate in other auctions conducted by the FCC in the future as an applicant or as a non-controlling partner in another auction applicant. FCC anti-collusion rules place certain restrictions on business communications and disclosures by participants in an FCC auction. These anti-collusion rules may restrict the normal conduct of TDS business and/or disclosures by TDS relating to an FCC auction, which could last three to six months or more. The restrictions could have an adverse effect on TDS business, financial condition or results of operations.

An inability to attract and/or retain management, technical, sales and other personnel could have an adverse effect on TDS business, financial condition or results of operations.

Edgar Filing: TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Due to competition for qualified management, technical, sales and other personnel, there can be no assurance that TDS will be able to continue to attract and/or retain qualified personnel necessary for the development of its business. The loss of the services of existing personnel as well as the failure to recruit additional qualified personnel in a timely manner could have an adverse effect on TDS' business, financial condition or results of operations.

TDS' assets are concentrated in the U.S. telecommunications industry. As a result, its results of operations may fluctuate based on factors related entirely to conditions in this industry.

TDS assets are concentrated in the U.S. telecommunications industry and, in particular in the Midwestern portion of the United States. TDS focus on the U.S. telecommunications industry, with concentrations of assets and operations in the Midwest, together with its positioning relative to larger competitors with greater resources within the industry, may represent increased risk for investors due to the lack of diversification.

The expected future completion of recently announced acquisitions will lead to increased consolidation in the wireless telecommunications industry. TDS lower scale relative to larger wireless carriers has in the past and could in the future prevent or delay its access to new products including handsets, new technology and/or new content and applications which could adversely affect TDS ability to attract and retain customers and, as a result, could adversely affect its business, financial condition or results of operations.

There has been a trend in the telecommunications and related industries in recent years towards consolidation of service providers through acquisitions, reorganizations and joint ventures. TDS expects this trend towards consolidation to continue, leading to larger competitors over time. TDS may be unable to compete successfully with larger companies that have substantially greater financial, technical, marketing, sales, purchasing and distribution resources or that offer more services than TDS, which could adversely affect TDS revenues and costs of doing business.

TDS businesses increasingly depend on access to content for data or video services and access to new handsets and other devices being developed by vendors. TDS ability to obtain such access depends in part on other parties. For example, filings in proceedings before the FCC have alleged that larger companies have entered into exclusive arrangements with handset manufacturers which arrangements have the potential to restrict the market availability of particular handsets. If TDS is unable to obtain timely access to content for data, music or video services or timely access to new handsets being developed by vendors, its business, financial condition or results of operations could be adversely affected.

Inability to manage its supply chain or inventory successfully could have an adverse effect on TDS business, financial condition or results of operations.

Operation of TDS supply chain and management of its inventory balances require accurate forecasting of customer growth and demand, which has become increasingly challenging. If overall demand for handsets or the mix of demand for handsets is significantly different than TDS expectations, TDS could face inadequate or excess supplies of particular models of handsets. This could result in lost sales opportunities or a buildup of inventory that could not be sold easily. Either of these situations could adversely affect TDS revenues, costs of doing business, results of operations or financial condition.

Changes in general economic and business conditions, both nationally and in the markets in which TDS operates, could have an adverse effect on TDS business, financial condition or results of operations.

TDS operating results may be subject to factors which are outside of TDS control, including changes in general economic and business conditions, both nationally and in the markets in which TDS operates. Such factors could have a material adverse effect on TDS business, financial condition or results of operations.

Changes in various business factors could have an adverse effect on TDS business, financial condition or results of operations.

Edgar Filing: TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Changes in any of several factors could have an adverse effect on TDS' business, financial condition or results of operations. These business factors may include but are not limited to:

- Demand;
- Pricing;
- Growth;
- Average revenue per unit;
- Penetration;
- Churn;
- Expenses;
- Customer acquisition and retention costs;
- Customers' ability to honor existing service contracts and the potential impact on bad debts expense;
- Roaming rates;
- Minutes of use; and
- Mix and costs of products and services.

Advances or changes in telecommunications technology, such as Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP), High-Speed Packet Access, WiMAX or Long-Term Evolution (LTE), could render certain technologies used by TDS obsolete, could reduce TDS' revenues or could increase its costs of doing business.

The telecommunications industry is experiencing significant technological change, as evidenced by evolving industry standards, ongoing improvements in the capacity and quality of digital technology, shorter development cycles for new services and products and enhancements and changes in end-user requirements and preferences. Technological advances and industry changes, such as the implementation by other carriers of third generation (3G) technology, wideband technologies such as Wi-Fi and WiMAX which do not necessarily rely on FCC-licensed spectrum, the development of fourth generation technology (4G) such as LTE, or the evolution of High-Speed Packet Access could cause the technology used on TDS' wireless networks to become less competitive or obsolete. In addition, Voice over Internet Protocol, also known as VoIP, is an emerging technological trend that could cause a decrease in demand for TDS' telephone services. TDS may not be able to respond to such changes and implement new technology on a timely or cost-effective basis, which could reduce its revenues or increase its costs of doing business. If TDS cannot keep pace with these technological changes or other changes in the telecommunications industry over time, its financial condition, results of operations or ability to do business could be adversely affected.

Changes in TDS' enterprise value, changes in the market supply or demand for wireless licenses or wireline markets, adverse developments in the business or the industry in which TDS is involved and/or other factors could require TDS to recognize impairments in the carrying value of its license costs, goodwill and/or physical assets.

Edgar Filing: TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

A large portion of TDS' assets consists of intangible assets in the form of licenses and goodwill. TDS also has substantial investments in long-lived assets such as property, plant and equipment. TDS reviews its licenses, goodwill and other long-lived assets for impairment annually or whenever events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of such assets may not be fully recoverable. An impairment loss may need to be recognized to the extent the carrying value of the assets exceeds the fair value of such assets. The amount of any such impairment loss could be significant and could have a material adverse effect on TDS' reported financial results for the period in which the loss is recognized. The estimation of fair values requires assumptions by management about factors that are highly uncertain including future cash flows, the appropriate discount rate, and other factors. Different assumptions for these factors or different valuation methodologies could create materially different results.

During 2008, TDS recognized a \$414.4 million loss on impairment of its licenses. The loss is attributable to further deterioration in the credit and financial markets and the accelerated decline in the overall economy in the fourth quarter of 2008, which has led to the use of a higher discount rate when projecting future cash flows and lower than previously projected earnings in the wireless industry. As a result, the estimated fair value of TDS' licenses has declined, causing an impairment of certain of these assets. Future events could cause additional decreases in the fair value of TDS' licenses, goodwill or other long-lived assets which could result in additional impairments.

Costs, integration problems or other factors associated with acquisitions/divestitures of properties or licenses and/or expansion of TDS business could have an adverse effect on TDS business, financial condition or results of operations.

Edgar Filing: TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

As part of TDS' operating strategy, TDS may expand the markets in which it operates through the acquisition of other telecommunications service providers, the acquisition of selected licenses or operating markets from such providers or through direct investment. The acquisition of additional businesses will depend on TDS' ability to identify suitable acquisition candidates, to negotiate acceptable terms for their acquisition and to finance any such acquisitions. TDS also will be subject to competition for suitable acquisition candidates. Any acquisitions, if made, could divert the resources and management time of TDS and would require integration with TDS' existing business operations and services. As a result, there can be no assurance that any such acquisitions will occur or that any such acquisitions, if made, would be made in a timely manner or on terms favorable to TDS or would be successfully integrated into TDS' operations. These transactions commonly involve a number of risks, including:

- Ability to enter markets in which TDS has limited or no direct prior experience and competitors have stronger positions;
- Uncertain revenues and expenses, with the result that TDS may not realize the growth in revenues, anticipated cost structure, profitability, or return on investment that it expects;
- Difficulty of integrating the technologies, services, products, operations and personnel of the acquired businesses;
- Diversion of management's attention;
- Disruption of ongoing business;
- Impact on TDS' cash and available credit lines for use in financing future growth and working capital needs;
- Inability to retain key personnel;
- Inability to successfully incorporate acquired assets and rights into TDS' service offerings;
- Inability to maintain uniform standards, controls, procedures and policies; and
- Impairment of relationships with employees, customers or vendors.

Failure to overcome these risks or any other problems encountered in these transactions could have a material adverse effect on TDS' business, financial condition or results of operations.

Also, the FCC's recent conditional approvals for certain acquisitions proposed by other carriers on such carriers' acceptance of a voluntary cap on USF funding or a reduction of such USF funding over a specified time period could provide a risk or impediment to expansion by TDS.

If TDS expands into new telecommunications businesses or markets, it may incur significant expenditures, a substantial portion of which must be made before any revenues will be realized. Such expenditures may increase as a result of the accelerated pace of regulatory and technological changes. Such expenditures, together with the associated high initial costs of providing service in new markets, may result in reduced cash flow until an adequate revenue base is established. There can be no assurance that an adequate revenue base will be established in any new technology or market which TDS pursues.

Edgar Filing: TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

If TDS expands into new telecommunications businesses or markets, it will incur certain additional risks in connection with such expansion, including increased legal and regulatory risks, and possible adverse reaction by some of its current customers. Such telecommunications businesses and markets are highly competitive and, as a new entrant, TDS may be disadvantaged. The success of TDS entry into new telecommunications businesses or markets will be dependent upon, among other things, TDS ability to select new equipment and software and to integrate the new equipment and software into its operations, to hire and train qualified personnel and to enhance its existing administrative, financial and information systems to accommodate the new businesses or markets. No assurance can be given that TDS will be successful with respect to these efforts.

If TDS is not successful with respect to its expansion initiatives, its business, financial condition or results of operations could be adversely affected.

A significant portion of TDS wireless revenues is derived from customers who buy services through independent agents who market TDS services on a commission basis. If TDS relationships with these agents are seriously harmed, its wireless revenues could be adversely affected.

Edgar Filing: TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

TDS has relationships with agents to obtain customers. Agents are independent business people who obtain customers for TDS on a commission basis. TDS agents are generally in the business of selling wireless telephones, wireless service packages and other related products. Also, TDS agents include major appliance dealers, car stereo companies and mass merchants including regional and national companies. In support of its overall Internet initiatives, TDS has recruited agents which provide services exclusively through the Internet.

TDS business and growth depends, in part, on the maintenance of satisfactory relationships with its agents. As a result of recent economic conditions, many companies, including certain TDS agents are having financial difficulties. If such relationships are seriously harmed or if such parties experience financial difficulties, including bankruptcy, TDS revenues and, as a result, its financial condition or results of operations, could be adversely affected.

TDS investments in technologies which are unproven or for which success has not yet been demonstrated may not produce the benefits that TDS expects.

Edgar Filing: TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

TDS is making investments in various new technologies and service and product offerings. These investments include technologies for enhanced data services offerings. TDS expects new services, products and solutions based on these new technologies to contribute to future growth in its revenues. However, the markets for some of these services, products and solutions are still emerging and the overall potential for these markets remains uncertain. If customer demand for these new services, products and solutions does not develop as expected, TDS financial condition or results of operations could be adversely affected.

A failure by TDS to complete significant network construction and system implementation as part of its plans to improve the quality, coverage, capabilities and capacity of its network could have an adverse effect on its operations.

TDS business plan includes significant construction activities and enhancements to its network. As TDS deploys, expands, and enhances its network, it may need to acquire additional spectrum. Also, as TDS continues to build out and enhance its network, TDS must, among other things, continue to:

- Lease, acquire or otherwise obtain rights to cell and switch sites;
- Obtain zoning variances or other local governmental or third-party approvals or permits for network construction;
- Complete and update the radio frequency design, including cell site design, frequency planning and network optimization, for each of TDS markets; and
- Improve, expand and maintain customer care, network management, billing and other financial and management systems.

Any difficulties encountered in completing these activities, as well as problems in vendor equipment availability, technical resources, system performance or system adequacy, could delay expansion of operations and product capabilities in new or existing markets or result in increased costs in all markets. Failure to successfully build out and enhance TDS network and necessary support facilities and systems in a cost effective manner, and in a manner that satisfies customer expectations for quality and coverage, could have an adverse effect on TDS business, business prospects, financial condition or results of operations.

Financial difficulties (including bankruptcy proceedings) of TDS key suppliers or vendors, termination or impairment of TDS relationships with such suppliers or vendors, or a failure by TDS to manage its supply chain effectively could result in delays or termination of TDS receipt of required equipment or services, or could result in excess quantities of required equipment or services, any of which could adversely affect TDS business, financial condition or results of operations.

TDS depends upon certain vendors to provide it with equipment, services or content to continue its network construction and upgrade and to operate its business. TDS does not have operational or financial control over such key suppliers and has limited influence with respect to the manner in which these key suppliers conduct their businesses. As a result of recent economic conditions, many companies, including certain TDS suppliers, are facing financial difficulties and/or bankruptcy. If these key suppliers experience financial difficulties or file for bankruptcy they may be unable to provide equipment, services or content to TDS on a timely basis or cease to provide such equipment, services or content or otherwise fail to honor their obligations to TDS. In such case, TDS may be unable to maintain and upgrade its network or provide services to its customers in a competitive manner, or could suffer other disruptions to its business. In that event, TDS' business, financial condition or results of operations could be adversely affected.

On January 14, 2009, Nortel Networks Corporation (Nortel), a key supplier of network equipment, business communications systems, and technical support for TDS, announced that it, Nortel Networks Limited and certain of its other Canadian subsidiaries, will seek creditor protection under the Companies Creditors Arrangement Act in Canada. Additionally, certain of Nortel's U.S. subsidiaries, including Nortel Networks Inc. and Nortel Networks Capital Corporation, have filed voluntary petitions in the United States under Chapter 11 (reorganization) of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code, and certain of Nortel's other subsidiaries made similar filings in other jurisdictions. If Nortel does not succeed in its reorganization, the following could adversely impact TDS' future results of operations and cash flows:

- Reduced competition among telecommunications equipment suppliers could increase the future costs to acquire such equipment;
- Replacement and upgrades of Nortel equipment with equipment from other vendors could be more costly; and
- Maintenance of Nortel equipment could be more costly.

TDS has significant investments in entities that it does not control. Losses in the value of such investments could have an adverse effect on TDS' financial condition or results of operations.

TDS has significant investments in entities that it does not control, including a 5.5% ownership interest in the Los Angeles SMSA Limited Partnership (the LA Partnership), and limited partnership interests in Aquinas Wireless L.P., King Street Wireless L.P., Barat Wireless L.P. and Carroll Wireless L.P. TDS cannot provide assurance that these entities will operate in a manner that will increase the value of TDS investments, that TDS proportionate share of income from the LA Partnership will continue at the current level in the future or that TDS will not incur losses from the holding of such investments. Losses in the values of such investments or a reduction in income from the LA Partnership could adversely affect TDS financial condition or results of operations.

A material disruption in TDS telecommunication networks or information technology, including breaches of network or information technology security, could have an adverse effect on TDS business, financial condition or results of operations.

TDS relies extensively on its telecommunication networks and information technology to operate and manage its business, process transactions and summarize and report results. TDS networks and information technology are subject to damage or interruption due to various events, including power outages, computer, network and telecommunications failures, computer viruses, security breaches, hackers, catastrophic events, natural disasters, errors or unauthorized actions by employees and vendors, flawed conversion of systems, disruptive technologies and technology changes. If TDS networks and information technology are not adequately adapted to changes in technology or are damaged or fail to function properly, and/or if TDS security is breached or otherwise compromised, TDS could suffer material adverse consequences, including loss of critical and private data, including customer data, interruptions or delays in its operations, inaccurate billings, inaccurate financial reporting, and significant costs to remedy the problems. If TDS systems become unavailable or suffer a security breach of customer or other data, TDS may be required to expend significant resources and take various actions to address the problems, including notification under data privacy laws and regulations, may be subject to fines, sanctions and litigation, and its reputation and operating results could be adversely affected. Any material disruption in TDS networks or information technology, including security breaches, could have an adverse effect on TDS business, financial condition or results of operations.

Wars, conflicts, hostilities and/or terrorist attacks or equipment failures, power outages, natural disasters or other events could have an adverse effect on TDS' business, financial condition or results of operations.

Wars, conflicts, hostilities, terrorist attacks, major equipment failures, power outages, natural disasters, or similar disasters or failures that affect TDS wireless or wireline telephone switching offices, information systems, microwave links, third-party owned local and long-distance networks on which TDS relies, TDS cell sites or other equipment or the networks of other providers which TDS customers use or on which they roam could have a material adverse effect on TDS operations. Although TDS has certain back-up and similar arrangements, TDS has not established a formal, comprehensive business continuity or emergency response plan at this time. As a result, under certain circumstances, TDS may not be prepared to continue its operations, respond to emergencies or recover from disasters or other similar events. TDS inability to operate its telecommunications systems or access or operate its information systems even for a limited time period may result in a loss of customers or impair TDS ability to serve customers or attract new customers, which could have an adverse effect on TDS business, financial condition or results of operations.

The market prices of TDS Common Shares and Special Common Shares are subject to fluctuations due to a variety of factors.

Edgar Filing: TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Factors that may affect the future market prices of TDS Common Shares and Special Common Shares include:

- General economic conditions, including conditions in the credit and financial markets;
- Wireless and telecommunications industry conditions;
- Fluctuations in TDS quarterly customer additions, churn rate, revenues, results of operations or cash flows;
- Variations between TDS actual financial and operating results and those expected by analysts and investors;
and
- Announcements by TDS competitors.

Any of these or other factors could adversely affect the future market prices of TDS Common Shares or Special Common Shares, or could cause the future market prices of TDS Common Shares or Special Common Shares to fluctuate from time to time.

Changes in interpretations of accounting requirements, changes in industry practice, identification of errors or changes in management assumptions could require amendments to or restatements of financial information or disclosures included in this or prior filings with the SEC.

Edgar Filing: TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

TDS prepares its consolidated financial statement in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) and files such financial statements with the SEC in accordance with the SEC s rules and regulations. The preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP requires TDS to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. TDS bases its estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions and information that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities. Actual results may differ from estimates under different assumptions or conditions. Changes in accounting requirements or in guidance or interpretations related to such requirements, changes in industry practice, identification of errors or changes in estimates or assumptions could require restatements of financial information or amendments to disclosures included in this or prior filings with the SEC.

Restatements of financial statements by TDS and related matters, including resulting delays in filing periodic reports with the SEC, could have an adverse effect on TDS business, financial condition or results of operations.

Restatements and delays in filing reports with the SEC could have adverse consequences, including the following: TDS' credit ratings could be downgraded, which would result in an increase in its borrowing costs and could make it more difficult for TDS to borrow funds on satisfactory terms. The lenders on TDS' revolving credit agreement could refuse to waive a default or extend a waiver of default, impose restrictive covenants or conditions or require increased payments and fees. The holders of debt under TDS' indenture could attempt to assert a default and, if successful and TDS does not cure the default in a timely manner, accelerate such debt. The New York Stock Exchange could begin delisting proceedings with respect to the TDS Common Shares, TDS Special Common Shares and TDS debt that is listed thereon. TDS may not be able to use or file shelf registration statements on Form S-3 for an extended period of time, which may limit TDS' ability to access the capital markets. TDS may not be able to use Form S-8 registration statements relating to its employee benefit plans, which may have an adverse effect on TDS' ability to attract and retain employees. TDS also could face shareholder litigation or SEC enforcement action. Any of these events could have an adverse effect on TDS' business, financial condition or results of operations.

Identification of material weaknesses in the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting could result in inaccurate financial statements or other disclosures or fail to prevent fraud, which could have an adverse effect on TDS' business, financial condition or results of operations.

Pursuant to Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, TDS is required to furnish a report of management's assessment of the design and effectiveness of its internal control over financial reporting as part of its Form 10-K filed with the SEC. TDS management also is required to report on the effectiveness of TDS' disclosure controls and procedures. The independent auditors of TDS are required to attest to, and report on, the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Material weaknesses could result in inaccurate financial statements or other disclosures or failure to prevent fraud, which could have an adverse effect on TDS' business, financial condition or results of operations. Further, if TDS does not successfully remediate any known material weaknesses in a timely manner, it could be subject to sanctions by regulatory authorities such as the SEC, it could fail to timely meet its regulatory reporting obligations, or investor perceptions could be negatively affected; each of these potential consequences could have an adverse effect on TDS' business, financial condition or results of operations.

Changes in facts or circumstances, including new or additional information that affects the calculation of potential liabilities for contingent obligations under guarantees, indemnities or otherwise, could require TDS to record charges in excess of amounts accrued in the financial statements, if any, which could have an adverse effect on TDS' financial condition or results of operations.

The preparation of financial statements requires TDS to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. TDS bases its estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions and information that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities. Actual results may differ from estimates under different assumptions or conditions. Changes in facts or circumstances, including new or additional information that affects the calculation of potential liabilities for contingent obligations under guarantees, indemnities or otherwise, could require TDS to record charges in excess of amounts accrued in the financial statements, if any, which could have an adverse effect on TDS financial condition or results of operations.

Early redemptions or repurchases of debt, issuances of debt, changes in operating leases, changes in purchase obligations or other factors or developments could cause the amounts reported under Contractual Obligations in TDS Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations to be different from the amounts actually incurred.

Edgar Filing: TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

TDS has reported amounts with respect to future contractual obligations under the caption Contractual Obligations in its Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in this Form 10-K. The actual amounts disbursed in the future may differ materially from these currently reported amounts due to various factors, including early redemptions of debt or repurchases of debt, issuances of debt, changes in operating leases, changes in purchase obligations or other factors or developments, which could have an adverse effect on TDS' business, financial condition or results of operations.

An increase in the amount of TDS' debt in the future could subject TDS to higher interest costs and restrictions on its financing, investing and operating activities and could decrease its net income and cash flows.

TDS may increase its debt in the future for acquisitions or other purposes. For example, TDS may require substantial additional financing to fund acquisitions or other investments, capital expenditures, license purchases, operating costs and expenses, or other growth initiatives. TDS currently relies on its committed revolving credit facilities to meet any additional short-term financing needs. Other sources of financing may include public or private debt. The agreements governing any indebtedness may contain financial and other covenants that could impair TDS flexibility and restrict TDS ability to pursue growth opportunities. In addition, increased debt levels could result in higher interest costs and lower net income and cash flows.

Recent market events and conditions, including disruption in credit and other financial markets and the deterioration of U.S. and global economic conditions, could, among other things, impede TDS' access to or increase the cost of financing its operating and investment activities and/or result in reduced revenues and lower operating income and cash flows, which would have an adverse effect on TDS' financial condition or results of operations.

The recent disruption in the credit and financial markets, decline in consumer confidence, increase in unemployment, decline in economic growth and uncertainty about corporate earnings have had a significant negative impact on the U.S. and global financial and credit markets and the overall economy. These events have had an adverse impact on financial institutions resulting in limited access to capital and credit for many companies. In December 2009, the TDS and U.S. Cellular revolving credit facilities will expire. TDS and U.S. Cellular believe that they are unlikely to be able to obtain similar terms as exist in the current facilities. In particular, TDS and U.S. Cellular believe that the amount of the facilities could be significantly reduced, the term of the facilities could be shortened, and the pricing on the facilities could be increased. In addition, other types of financing, such as bond financing, may be unavailable or only be available on unfavorable terms.

Although TDS and U.S. Cellular are not currently experiencing any limitation of access to their revolving credit facilities and are not aware of any issues currently impacting the ability of the lenders under their revolving credit facilities to honor their commitments to extend credit, there is no assurance that the U.S. and global credit crisis will not adversely affect TDS' and U.S. Cellular's ability to borrow on their revolving credit facilities in the future.

These economic uncertainties make it very difficult to accurately forecast and plan future business activities. If the current uncertain economic conditions continue or deteriorate, there could be a material adverse impact on TDS' financial position, revenues, results of operations and cash flows.

Uncertainty of access to capital for telecommunications companies, deterioration in the capital markets, other changes in market conditions, changes in TDS' credit ratings or other factors could limit or restrict the availability of financing on terms and prices acceptable to TDS, which could require TDS to reduce its construction, development or acquisition programs.

TDS and its subsidiaries operate capital-intensive businesses. TDS has used internally-generated funds and has also obtained substantial funds from external sources to finance the build out and enhancement of markets, to fund acquisitions and for general corporate purposes. TDS also may require substantial additional capital for, among other uses, acquisitions of providers of wireless or wireline telecommunications services, spectrum license or system acquisitions, system development and network capacity expansion. There can be no assurance that sufficient funds will continue to be available to TDS or its subsidiaries on terms or at prices acceptable to TDS. Uncertainty of access to capital for telecommunications companies, deterioration in the capital markets, other changes in market conditions, changes in TDS credit ratings or other factors could limit or restrict the availability of financing on terms and prices acceptable to TDS, which could require TDS to reduce its construction, development and acquisition programs. Reduction of TDS construction, development and acquisition programs likely would have a negative impact on TDS consolidated revenues, income and cash flows.

Changes in the regulatory environment or a failure by TDS to timely or fully comply with any applicable regulatory requirements could adversely affect TDS financial condition, results of operations or ability to do business.

TDS operations are subject to varying degrees of regulation by the FCC, state public utility commissions and other federal, state and local regulatory agencies and legislative bodies. Adverse decisions or increased regulation by these regulatory bodies could negatively impact TDS operations by, among other things, increasing TDS costs of doing business, permitting greater competition or limiting TDS ability to engage in certain sales or marketing activities. For instance, on April 2, 2007, the FCC issued an order establishing new rules for the safeguarding of customer proprietary network information. TDS is incurring additional operating costs as it conforms its procedures to these rules.

TDS wireless business requires licenses granted by the FCC to provide wireless telecommunications services. Typically, such licenses are issued for initial ten year terms and may be renewed for additional ten year terms subject to FCC approval of the renewal applications. Failure to comply with FCC requirements in a given service area could result in the revocation of TDS license for that area or in the imposition of fines. Court decisions and rulemakings could have a substantial impact on TDS wireless operations, including rulemakings on intercarrier access compensation and universal service. Litigation and different objectives among federal and state regulators could create uncertainty and delay TDS ability to respond to new regulations. TDS is unable to predict the future actions of the various regulatory bodies that govern TDS, but such actions could have material adverse effects on TDS wireless business.

During 2008, the FCC sought comment on proposals made by the Federal-State Joint Board and by the FCC itself to change the universal service high cost fund in various ways. These proposals include: the creation of separate wireless, wireline, and broadband funds, with an overall cap on all funds, including the wireline and wireless funds; a separate cap on payments to wireless carriers; elimination of the identical support rules, thereby requiring wireless carriers to receive support based on their own costs rather than wireline per line costs; using reverse auctions (a form of competitive bidding) to determine the amount of support to be provided to eligible telecommunications carriers, and limiting the number of carriers eligible to receive support to a given area. While the FCC did not act on these proposals in 2008, it may consider similar proposals in 2009. It is not certain which of them, if any, will be adopted. Adoption by the FCC of any form of cap, of limits on the number of carriers eligible to receive support for a given area or of its proposals related to identical support or reverse auctions would likely reduce the amount of support that wireless carriers would be otherwise eligible to receive.

As a result of the transition of the administration from a Republican Party president to a Democratic Party president in January 2009, a Republican who served as Chairman of the FCC until January 20, 2009, and who generally supported changes in universal service programs which would have the effect of reducing payments to wireless carriers, has left the FCC. It is uncertain at this time how a new FCC Chairman and new Commissioners appointed by the new administration will view these issues. Also, the Chairman of the House Commerce Committee, which has jurisdiction over telecommunications issues, the Chairman of the Telecommunications Sub-Committee of the House Commerce Committee, and the Chairman of the Senate Commerce Committee have been replaced. All of these changes individually and collectively could have significant effects on how the FCC and potentially Congress will consider and act upon universal service issues.

In addition, new or amended regulatory requirements could increase TDS costs and divert resources from other initiatives.

TDS wireline operations are subject to varying degrees of regulation by the FCC, state public utility commissions and other federal, state and local regulatory agencies and legislative bodies. Adverse decisions or increased regulation by these regulatory bodies could negatively impact TDS operations by, among other things, increasing TDS costs of doing business, permitting greater competition or limiting TDS ability to engage in certain sales or marketing activities. TDS is unable to predict the future actions of the various regulatory bodies that govern TDS, but such actions could have material adverse effects on TDS wireline business.

TDS ILECs have been granted permission to operate by each of the states in which they operate. TDS is subject to regulation from the regulatory commissions in each of these states as well as from the FCC. State regulatory commissions have primary jurisdiction over local and intrastate rates that TDS charges customers, including, without limitation, other telecommunications companies, and service quality standards. The FCC has primary jurisdiction over the interstate access rates that TDS charges other telecommunications companies that use TDS network and other issues related to interstate service. TDS receives a substantial amount of its ILEC revenues from interexchange carriers for providing access to its network and from compensation from the Universal Service Fund and other support funds. The FCC is re-examining all currently regulated forms of access charges and the prospect for continued access charges is uncertain. Furthermore, the FCC is reviewing the Universal Service Fund and applicable rules to assess the sustainability of the fund and is examining the process for determining the appropriate contributors, contribution rate, collection method, supported services, and the eligibility and portability of payments. Changes in access charges and the Universal Service Fund that reduce the size of the fund and/or payments to TDS could have a material adverse impact on these sources of revenues. Future revenues, costs, and capital investment in TDS wireline business could be adversely affected by material changes to these regulations including but not limited to changes in intercarrier compensation, state and federal universal service support, loop (UNE-L) pricing and requirements, and VoIP regulation.

Although TDS CLECs are not subject to regulatory review in the same way as the ILECs, the viability of their business model depends on FCC and state regulations. Court decisions and regulatory developments relating to UNE-L and access and transport options could negatively affect the CLEC's ability to obtain access to certain local networks or to provide broadband services to end users and/or could increase the CLEC's cost of providing some services. As a result of certain recent court decisions and regulatory developments, TDS has phased-out most of its CLEC operations that relied on an UNE-P provided by incumbent carriers. Moreover, the further loss of some access and transport options as a result of future developments would be unfavorable for TDS CLEC operations and could negatively affect their ability to provide broadband services

to end users.

TDS attempts to timely and fully comply with all regulatory requirements. However, in certain circumstances, TDS may not be able to timely or fully comply with all regulatory requirements due to various factors, including changes to regulatory requirements, limitations in or availability of technology, insufficient time provided for compliance, problems encountered in attempting to comply or other factors. Any failure by TDS to timely or fully comply with any regulatory requirements could adversely affect TDS' financial condition, results of operations or ability to do business.

Changes in USF funding and/or intercarrier compensation could have a material adverse impact on TDS' financial position or results of operations.

As previously mentioned, during 2008, the FCC sought comment on proposals made by the Federal-State Joint Board and by the FCC itself to change the universal service fund (USF) high cost program in various ways. On April 29, 2008, the FCC adopted an interim cap on the USF high cost funding that goes to competitive ETCs, limiting such funding in a particular state to the levels provided to all such carriers in that state in March 2008, with an exemption from the cap for carriers serving tribal lands and Alaskan Native Lands. While the cap is in effect, which will be of indefinite duration, wireless ETCs such as U.S. Cellular will receive less support than they would have been otherwise eligible to receive before the cap was in effect, because overall support for wireless carriers as a group will not increase as a carrier adds customers or as new competitive carriers are granted ETC status in a particular state. The FCC order imposing the cap was published on July 1, 2008 and became effective on August 1, 2008. The FCC also is considering other significant changes in the USF as well as in intercarrier compensation.

Also, on July 28, 2008, at least 24 companies, including TDS, were sent a letter relating to USF from a congressional committee. The letter states that the committee is investigating the USF High Cost Program, focusing on how the recipients of support funds use the funds they receive, and requested information regarding these support funds. In addition, in October 2008, TDS received a subpoena from the FCC's Office of Inspector General requesting information regarding depreciation rates and methodologies relating to USF, similar to subpoenas received by other companies. TDS has provided the information requested and intends to fully cooperate with regard to all such requests.

TDS is not able to predict what, if any, changes ultimately will be adopted by the FCC or any action that may be taken as a result of the foregoing requests. Such changes could have a material adverse impact on TDS' financial condition and results of operations.

Changes in income tax rates, laws, regulations or rulings, or federal or state tax assessments could have an adverse effect on TDS' financial condition or results of operations.

Edgar Filing: TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

TDS does not have control over changes in income tax rates, laws, regulations or rulings, or federal and state tax assessments. Income taxes and other federal or state taxes represent significant expenses for TDS. Accordingly, changes in income tax rates, laws, regulations or rulings, or federal and state tax assessments could have an adverse effect on TDS financial condition or results of operations.

Settlements, judgments, restraints on its current or future manner of doing business and/or legal costs resulting from pending and future litigation could have an adverse effect on TDS financial condition, results of operations or ability to do business.

Edgar Filing: TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

TDS is regularly involved in a number of legal proceedings before the FCC and various state and federal courts. Such legal proceedings can be complex, costly, protracted and highly disruptive to business operations by diverting the attention and energies of management and other key personnel.

The assessment of legal proceedings is a highly subjective process that requires judgments about future events. The amounts ultimately received or paid upon settlement or other resolution of litigation and other contingencies may differ materially from amounts accrued in the financial statements. In addition, litigation or similar proceedings could impose restraints on TDS' current or future manner of doing business. Such potential outcomes could have an adverse effect on TDS' financial condition, results of operations or ability to do business.

The possible development of adverse precedent in litigation or conclusions in professional studies to the effect that radio frequency emissions from handsets, wireless data devices and/or cell sites cause harmful health consequences, including cancer or tumors, or may interfere with various electronic medical devices, such as pacemakers, could have an adverse effect on TDS wireless business, financial condition or results of operations.

Media reports have suggested that certain radio frequency emissions from wireless handsets may be linked to various health problems, including cancer or tumors, and may interfere with various electronic medical devices, including hearing aids and pacemakers. Concerns over radio frequency emissions may discourage use of wireless handsets or expose TDS to potential litigation. Any resulting decrease in demand for wireless services or costs of litigation and damage awards could have an adverse effect on TDS' business, financial condition or results of operations.

In addition, some studies have indicated that some aspects of using wireless phones while driving may impair drivers' attention in certain circumstances, making accidents more likely. These concerns could lead to potential litigation relating to accidents, deaths or serious bodily injuries, any of which could have an adverse effect on TDS' business, financial condition or results of operations.

Numerous state and local legislative bodies have proposed legislation restricting or prohibiting the use of wireless phones while driving motor vehicles. These proposed laws or other similar laws, if passed, could have the effect of reducing customer usage, which could have an adverse effect on TDS' business, financial condition, or results of operations.

Claims of infringement of intellectual property and proprietary rights of others, primarily involving patent infringement claims, could prevent TDS from using necessary technology to provide services or subject TDS to expensive intellectual property litigation or monetary penalties, which could have an adverse effect on TDS' business, financial condition or results of operations.

If technology that TDS uses in products or services were determined by a court to infringe a patent or other intellectual property right held by another person, TDS could be precluded by a court from using that technology and could be required to pay significant monetary damages. TDS also may be required to pay significant royalties to such person to continue to use such technology in the future. The successful enforcement of any intellectual property rights, or TDS' inability to negotiate a license for such rights on acceptable terms, could force TDS to cease using the relevant technology and offering services incorporating the technology. Any litigation to determine the validity of claims that TDS' products or services infringe or may infringe intellectual property rights of another, regardless of their merit or resolution, could be costly and divert the effort and attention of TDS' management and technical personnel. Regardless of the merits of any specific claim, TDS cannot give assurance that it would prevail in litigation because of the complex technical issues and inherent uncertainties in intellectual property litigation. Although TDS generally seeks to obtain indemnification agreements from vendors that provide it with technology, there can be no assurance that any claim of infringement will be covered by an indemnity or that TDS will be able to recover all or any of its losses and costs under any available indemnity agreements. Any claims of infringement of intellectual property and proprietary rights of others could prevent TDS from using necessary technology to provide its services or subject TDS to expensive intellectual property litigation or monetary penalties, which could have an adverse effect on TDS' business, financial condition or results of operations.

Certain matters, such as control by the TDS Voting Trust and provisions in the TDS Restated Certificate of Incorporation, may serve to discourage or make more difficult a change in control of TDS.

Edgar Filing: TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

The TDS restated certificate of incorporation, as amended, and the TDS bylaws contain provisions which may serve to discourage or make more difficult a change in control of TDS without the support of the TDS Voting Trust and the TDS Board of Directors or without meeting various other conditions.

The TDS restated certificate of incorporation, as amended, authorizes the issuance of different series of common stock, which have different voting rights. The TDS Series A Common Shares have the power to elect approximately 75% (less one) of the directors and have ten votes per share in matters other than the election of directors. The TDS Common Shares (with one vote per share) and TDS Special Common Shares (with one vote per share) vote as a separate group only with respect to the election of 25% (plus one) of the directors. In matters other than the election of such directors, the TDS Common Shares have one vote per share and the TDS Special Common Shares have no votes except as required by law. As a result, the TDS Special Common Shares would generally not have any vote in connection with any change of control transaction involving TDS.

A substantial majority of the outstanding TDS Series A Common Shares are held in the TDS Voting Trust which expires on June 30, 2035. The TDS Voting Trust was created to facilitate the long-standing relationships among the trustees certificate holders. By virtue of the number of shares held by them, the voting trustees have the power to elect eight directors based on the current TDS Board of Directors size of 12 directors, and control a majority of the voting power of TDS with respect to matters other than the election of directors.

The existence of the TDS Voting Trust is likely to deter any potential unsolicited or hostile takeover attempts or other efforts to obtain control of TDS and may make it more difficult for shareholders to sell shares of TDS at higher than market prices. The trustees of the TDS Voting Trust have advised TDS that they intend to maintain the ability to keep or dispose of voting control of TDS.

The TDS restated certificate of incorporation, as amended, also authorizes the TDS Board of Directors to designate and issue TDS Undesignated Shares in one or more classes or series of preferred or common stock from time to time. Generally, no further action or authorization by the shareholders is necessary prior to the designation or issuance of the additional TDS Undesignated Shares authorized pursuant to the TDS restated certificate of incorporation, as amended, unless applicable laws or regulations would require such approval in a given instance. Such TDS Undesignated Shares could be issued in circumstances that would serve to preserve control of TDS then existing management.

In addition, the TDS restated certificate of incorporation, as amended, includes a provision which authorizes the TDS Board of Directors to consider various factors, including effects on customers, taxes, and the long-term and short-term interests of TDS, in the context of a proposal or offer to acquire or merge the corporation, or to sell its assets, and to reject such offer if the TDS Board of Directors determines that the proposal is not in the best interests of the corporation based on such factors.

The provisions of the TDS restated certificate of incorporation, as amended, and the TDS bylaws and the existence of various classes of capital stock could prevent shareholders from profiting from an increase in the market value of their shares as a result of a change in control of TDS by delaying or preventing such change in control.

A failure by TDS to successfully execute its business strategy could have an adverse effect on TDS business, financial condition or results of operations.

U.S. Cellular is a regional wireless carrier that operates on a customer satisfaction strategy, seeking to meet customer needs by providing a comprehensive range of wireless products and services, excellent customer support, and a high-quality network. U.S. Cellular seeks to operate controlling interests in wireless licenses in areas adjacent to or in proximity to its other wireless licenses, thereby building contiguous operating market areas. U.S. Cellular relies on roaming agreements with other carriers to provide roaming capability to its customers in areas of the U.S. outside its service areas and to improve coverage within selected areas of U.S. Cellular's network footprint.

TDS Telecom's strategy is to be the preferred provider of telecommunications services including voice, broadband, and video services in its chosen markets. TDS Telecom has initiated an aggressive program of service bundling and deep discounting and made the decision to voluntarily exit certain revenue pools administered by the FCC-supervised National Exchange Carrier Association in order to achieve additional pricing flexibility to meet competitive pressures and to increase customer loyalty through reducing churn. Service bundling is dependent on various factors, including the ability of TDS Telecom to continue to be able to partner with a provider of satellite television.

The successful execution of these strategies depends on various internal and external factors, many of which are not in TDS' control. TDS' ability to implement and execute its business strategies and as a result, achieve desired financial results, could be affected by such factors. Such factors include pricing practices by competitors, relative scale, purchasing power, roaming and other strategic agreements, wireless handset availability, timing of introduction of handsets and other factors. Even if TDS executes its business strategies as intended, such strategies may not be successful in the long term. A failure by TDS to execute its business strategy successfully could have an adverse effect on TDS' wireless and wireline businesses, financial condition or results of operations.

Any of the foregoing events or other events could cause revenues, customer additions, operating income, capital expenditures and/or any other financial or statistical information to vary from TDS forward-looking estimates by a material amount.

Edgar Filing: TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

From time to time, TDS may disclose forward-looking information, including estimates of future operating income; depreciation, amortization and accretion expenses; service revenues; net retail customer additions; and/or capital expenditures. Any such forward-looking information includes consideration of known or anticipated changes to the extent disclosed, but unknown or unanticipated events, including but not limited to risks discussed above, could cause such estimates to differ materially from the actual amounts.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

The physical properties of TDS consist principally of: (i) switching and cell-site equipment associated with wireless operations; (ii) telephone lines, central office and related equipment, as well as land and buildings associated with ILEC wireline operations; and (iii) fiber lines, central office and related equipment associated with CLEC wireline operations. As of December 31, 2008, TDS Property, plant and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation, totaled \$3,568.9 million; \$2,620.4 million at U.S. Cellular, \$918.5 million at TDS Telecom and \$30.1 million at Corporate and Suttle-Straus.

TDS properties, plant and equipment are maintained in good operating condition and are suitable and adequate for TDS business operations. TDS leases most of its offices and transmitter sites used in its wireless business and owns substantially all of its central office buildings, local administrative buildings, warehouses and storage facilities used in its wireline operations. TDS cell and transmitter sites and telephone lines are located on private and public property. Locations on private land are by virtue of easements or other arrangements.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

The United States Department of Justice (DOJ) has notified TDS and U.S. Cellular, a subsidiary of TDS, that each is a named defendant in a civil action brought by a private party in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia under the qui tam provisions of the federal False Claims Act. TDS and U.S. Cellular were advised that the complaint seeks return of approximately \$165 million of bid credit from certain FCC auctions and requests treble damages. The complaint remains under seal pending the DOJ's consideration as to whether to intervene in the proceeding. The DOJ has not yet made any decision as to whether it will intervene. However, as a result of the complaint, the DOJ is investigating TDS and U.S. Cellular's participation in certain spectrum auctions conducted by the FCC between 2005 and 2008, through Carroll Wireless, L.P., Barat Wireless, L.P., and King Street Wireless, L.P. These limited partnerships were winning bidders in Auction 58, Auction 66, and Auction 73, respectively, and received a 25% bid credit in the applicable auction price under FCC rules. The DOJ is investigating whether these limited partnerships qualified for the 25% bid credit in auction price considering their arrangements with TDS and U.S. Cellular. TDS and U.S. Cellular are cooperating with the DOJ's review. TDS and U.S. Cellular believe that U.S. Cellular's arrangements with these limited partnerships and the limited partnerships' participation in the FCC auctions complied with applicable law and FCC rules and each of TDS and U.S. Cellular intends to vigorously defend itself against any claim that it violated applicable law or FCC rules. At this time, TDS cannot predict the outcome of this review or any proceeding.

See Note 18 Commitments and Contingencies in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further information.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

No matters were submitted to a vote of security holders during the fourth quarter of 2008.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

In November 2008, TDS completed the authorization made in 2007 for the repurchase of up to \$250 million in aggregate purchase price of TDS Special Common Shares. On November 3, 2008, the Board of Directors of TDS authorized a new \$250 million stock repurchase program for both TDS Common and Special Common shares. Depending on market conditions, such shares may be repurchased in compliance with Rule 10b-18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (Exchange Act), pursuant to Rule 10b5-1 under the Exchange Act, or pursuant to accelerated share repurchase arrangements, prepaid share repurchases, private transactions or as otherwise authorized. This authorization will expire in November 2011.

The following table provides certain information with respect to all purchases made by or on behalf of TDS, and any open market purchases made by any affiliated purchaser (as defined by the SEC) of TDS, of TDS Special Common Shares and Common Shares during the fourth quarter of 2008.

TDS PURCHASES OF SPECIAL COMMON SHARES AND COMMON SHARES

Period	(a) Total Number of Shares Purchased	(b) Average Price Paid per Share	(c) Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	(d) Maximum Dollar Value of Shares that may yet be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
2007 Authorization:				
October 1 - 31, 2008				
Special Common	285,350	\$ 27.49	285,350	\$ 499,884
November 1 - 30, 2008				
Special Common	18,514	27.00	18,514	
2008 Authorization:				
November 1 - 30, 2008				
Common	1,506,320	28.65	1,506,320	
Special Common	689,378	27.46	689,378	
Total	2,195,698	28.28	2,195,698	187,910,446
December 1 - 31, 2008				
Common	49,307	29.63	49,307	
Special Common	449,387	28.32	449,387	
Total	498,694	28.45	498,694	173,724,604
Total as of or for the quarter ended December 31, 2008				
Common	1,555,627	28.69	1,555,627	
Special Common	1,442,629	27.72	1,442,629	
Total	2,998,256	\$ 28.22	2,998,256	\$ 173,724,604

The following is additional information with respect to the 2007 Special Common Shares authorization and the 2008 Common and Special Common Shares authorization:

- i. The date the 2007 program was announced was March 5, 2007 by Form 8-K. The date the 2008 program was announced was November 5, 2008 by Form 8-K.
- ii. The amount originally approved for the 2007 program was up to \$250 million in aggregate purchase price of TDS Special Common Shares. The amount originally approved for the 2008 program was up to \$250 million in aggregate purchase price of TDS Common and Special Common Shares.
- iii. The expiration date for the 2007 program was March 2, 2010. The expiration date for the 2008 program is November 3, 2011.
- iv. The 2007 Special Common Shares authorization was completed in November 2008. The 2008 Common and Special Common Shares authorization did not expire during the fourth quarter of 2008.
- v. TDS has not determined to terminate the foregoing 2008 Common and Special Common Shares repurchase program prior to expiration, or to cease making further purchases thereunder, during the fourth quarter of 2008.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

Incorporated by reference from Exhibit 13 to this Form 10-K, Annual Report section entitled Selected Consolidated Financial Data, except for Ratio of earnings to fixed charges, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 12 to this Form 10-K.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Incorporated by reference from Exhibit 13 to this Form 10-K, Annual Report section entitled Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Incorporated by reference from Exhibit 13 to this Form 10-K, Annual Report section entitled Market Risk.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Incorporated by reference from Exhibit 13 to this Form 10-K, Annual Report sections entitled Consolidated Statement of Operations, Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows, Consolidated Balance Sheet, Consolidated Statement of Common Stockholders' Equity, Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Consolidated Quarterly Information (Unaudited), Management's Report on Internal Controls Over Financial Reporting and Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

TDS maintains disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act)) that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in its reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is processed, recorded, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to TDS management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives.

As required by SEC Rule 13a-15(b), TDS carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of TDS disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report. Based on this evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that TDS disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2008, at the reasonable assurance level.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act. TDS internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). TDS internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the issuer; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP, and that receipts and expenditures of the issuer are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and, where required, the board of directors of the issuer; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the issuer's assets that could have a material effect on the interim or annual consolidated financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Under the supervision and with the participation of TDS management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, TDS conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of its internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Management has concluded that TDS maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008 based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the COSO.

The effectiveness of TDS internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008 has been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in the firm's report which is incorporated by reference into Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K from Exhibit 13 filed herewith.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

The following material changes in internal control over financial reporting relating to income taxes were implemented and/or became operational during the quarter ended December 31, 2008:

- Enhanced reconciliation procedures related to deferred income tax assets and liabilities and accrued income taxes payable;

- Enhanced documentation and summarization of income tax accounting results for management review;

- Formalized processes to increase communication of significant transactions and events between the income tax accounting team and other financial personnel within TDS; and

- Enhanced procedures to validate data in critical reports.

As a result of the aforementioned internal control and process improvements, management has determined that the material weakness associated with accounting for income taxes, that existed as of September 30, 2008, has been remediated as of December 31, 2008.

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

Incorporated by reference from Proxy Statement sections entitled Election of Directors, Corporate Governance, Executive Officers and Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

Incorporated by reference from Proxy Statement section entitled Executive and Director Compensation.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

Incorporated by reference from Proxy Statement sections entitled Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Securities Authorized for Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans.

Item 13. Certain Relationships, Related Transactions and Director Independence

Incorporated by reference from Proxy Statement sections entitled Corporate Governance and Certain Relationships and Related Transactions.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

Incorporated by reference from Proxy Statement section entitled Fees Paid to Principal Accountants.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

(a) The following documents are filed as a part of this report:

(1)	Financial Statements	
	Consolidated Statement of Operations	Annual Report*
	Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows	Annual Report*
	Consolidated Balance Sheet	Annual Report*
	Consolidated Statement of Common Stockholders' Equity	Annual Report*
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	Annual Report*
	Consolidated Quarterly Information (Unaudited)	Annual Report*
	Management's Report on Internal Controls Over Financial Reporting	Annual Report*
	Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	Annual Report*
	PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP	

* Incorporated by reference from Exhibit 13.

(2) Financial Statement Schedules

	Location
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on Financial Statement Schedule PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP	page S-1
II. Valuation and Qualifying Accounts	page S-2
Los Angeles SMSA Limited Partnership Financial Statements	page S-3
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Deloitte & Touche LLP	page S-4
Balance Sheets	page S-5
Statements of Operations	page S-6
Statements of Changes in Partners' Capital	page S-7
Statements of Cash Flows	page S-8
Notes to Financial Statements	page S-9

All other schedules have been omitted because they are not applicable or not required because the required information is shown in the financial statements or notes thereto.

(3) Exhibits

The exhibits set forth in the accompanying Index to Exhibits are filed as a part of this Report. Compensatory plans or arrangements are identified in the Exhibit Index with an asterisk.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on

Financial Statement Schedule

To the Board of Directors of

Telephone and Data Systems, Inc.:

Our audits of the consolidated financial statements and of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting referred to in our report dated February 26, 2009 appearing in the 2008 Annual Report to Shareholders of Telephone and Data Systems, Inc. (which report and consolidated financial statements are incorporated by reference in this Annual Report on Form 10-K) also included an audit of the financial statement schedule listed in Item 15(a)(2) of this Form 10-K. In our opinion, based on our audits and the report of other auditors, this financial statement schedule presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements.

Chicago, Illinois
February 26, 2009

TELEPHONE AND DATA SYSTEMS, INC.

SCHEDULE II - VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS

Description Column A (Dollars in thousands)	Balance at Beginning of Period Column B	Additions		Deductions Column D	Balance at End of Period Column E
		Charged to Costs and Expenses Column C-1	Charged to Other Accounts Column C-2		
For the Year Ended December 31, 2008					
Deducted from deferred tax asset:					
For unrealized net operating losses	\$ (74,867)	\$	\$ (3,893)	\$	\$ (78,760)
Deducted from accounts receivable:					
For doubtful accounts	(21,623)	(83,004)		85,425	(19,202)
For the Year Ended December 31, 2007					
Deducted from deferred tax asset:					
For unrealized net operating losses	\$ (49,506)	\$ 11,974	\$ (37,335)	\$	\$ (74,867)
Deducted from accounts receivable:					
For doubtful accounts	(25,383)	(74,988)		78,748	(21,623)
For the Year Ended December 31, 2006					
Deducted from deferred tax asset:					
For unrealized net operating losses	\$ (43,677)	\$	\$ (5,829)	\$	\$ (49,506)
Deducted from accounts receivable:					
For doubtful accounts	(20,820)	(70,366)		65,803	(25,383)

LOS ANGELES SMSA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

TDS' investment in the Los Angeles SMSA Limited Partnership is accounted for by the equity method. Pursuant to Rule 3-09 of Regulation S-X, TDS is required to include audited financial statements of such investment in this Form 10-K filing. The partnership's financial statements were obtained by TDS as a limited partner. Through U.S. Cellular (an 81% subsidiary of TDS), TDS' ownership percentage of the Los Angeles SMSA Limited Partnership is 5.5%.

S-3

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Partners of Los Angeles SMSA Limited Partnership:

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of Los Angeles SMSA Limited Partnership (the Partnership) as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, and the related statements of operations, changes in partners' capital, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Partnership's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Partnership is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Partnership's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Partnership as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

Atlanta, Georgia
February 26, 2009

LOS ANGELES SMSA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP**BALANCE SHEETS****DECEMBER 31, 2008 AND 2007****(Dollars in Thousands)**

	2008	2007
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS:		
Accounts receivable, net of allowance of \$19,265 and \$16,975	\$ 276,141	\$ 283,307
Unbilled revenue	20,280	23,692
Due from General Partner	392,543	413,716
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	3,037	4,284
Total current assets	692,001	724,999
PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT Net	1,611,814	1,566,982
WIRELESS LICENSES	79,543	79,543
OTHER ASSETS	656	551
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 2,384,014	\$ 2,372,075
LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS CAPITAL		
CURRENT LIABILITIES:		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 76,718	\$ 77,805
Advance billings and customer deposits	108,578	102,355
Deferred gain on lease transaction	4,923	4,923
Total current liabilities	190,219	185,083
LONG TERM LIABILITIES:		
Deferred gain on lease transaction	53,611	58,592
Other long term liabilities	11,481	9,687
Total long term liabilities	65,092	68,279
Total liabilities	255,311	253,362
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (see Notes 6 and 7)		
PARTNERS CAPITAL	2,128,703	2,118,713
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS CAPITAL	\$ 2,384,014	\$ 2,372,075

See notes to financial statements.

LOS ANGELES SMSA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2008, 2007 AND 2006

(Dollars in Thousands)

	2008	2007	2006
OPERATING REVENUES (see Note 5 for Transactions with Affiliates and Related Parties):			
Service revenues, net	\$ 3,428,291	\$ 3,319,515	\$ 2,926,169
Equipment, net and other revenues	475,729	423,013	401,584
Total operating revenues	3,904,020	3,742,528	3,327,753
OPERATING COSTS AND EXPENSES (see Note 5 for Transactions with Affiliates and Related Parties):			
Cost of service (excluding depreciation and amortization related to network assets included below)	560,250	543,800	483,552
Cost of equipment	720,276	614,572	553,986
Selling, general and administrative	1,131,665	1,044,193	938,591
Depreciation and amortization	313,389	291,303	264,400
(Gain) loss on disposal of property, plant and equipment		8	(23)
Total operating costs and expenses	2,725,580	2,493,876	2,240,506
OPERATING INCOME	1,178,440	1,248,652	1,087,247
OTHER INCOME:			
Interest income, net	25,526	34,110	38,052
Other, net	6,024	5,839	6,217
Total other income	31,550	39,949	44,269
NET INCOME	\$ 1,209,990	\$ 1,288,601	\$ 1,131,516
Allocation of Net Income:			
Limited partners	\$ 725,994	\$ 773,160	\$ 678,909
General Partner	\$ 483,996	\$ 515,441	\$ 452,607

See notes to financial statements.

LOS ANGELES SMSA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN PARTNERS CAPITAL

YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2008, 2007 AND 2006

(Dollars in Thousands)

	General Partner		Limited Partners		United States Cellular Corporation	Total Partners Capital
	AirTouch Cellular	AirTouch Cellular	Cellco Partnership			
BALANCE January 1, 2006	\$ 799,438	\$ 845,406	\$ 243,829	\$ 109,923	\$ 1,998,596	
Distributions	(440,000)	(465,300)	(134,200)	(60,500)	(1,100,000)	
Net income	452,607	478,631	138,045	62,233	1,131,516	
BALANCE December 31, 2006	812,045	858,737	247,674	111,656	2,030,112	
Distributions	(480,000)	(507,600)	(146,400)	(66,000)	(1,200,000)	
Net income	515,441	545,078	157,209	70,873	1,288,601	
BALANCE December 31, 2007	847,486	896,215	258,483	116,529	2,118,713	
Distributions	(480,000)	(507,600)	(146,400)	(66,000)	(1,200,000)	
Net income	483,996	511,826	147,619	66,549	1,209,990	
BALANCE December 31, 2008	\$ 851,482	\$ 900,441	\$ 259,702	\$ 117,078	\$ 2,128,703	

See notes to financial statements.

LOS ANGELES SMSA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2008, 2007 AND 2006

(Dollars in Thousands)

	2008	2007	2006
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:			
Net income	\$ 1,209,990	\$ 1,288,601	\$ 1,131,516
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	313,389	291,303	264,400
Net (gain) loss on disposal of property, plant and equipment		8	(23)
Provision for losses on accounts receivable	49,685	39,694	25,088
Amortization of deferred gain on lease transaction	(4,982)	(4,918)	(4,513)
Changes in certain assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable	(42,519)	(67,870)	(54,292)
Unbilled revenue	3,412	2,793	(2,282)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	1,247	(1,092)	(362)
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(3,462)	(7,475)	(1,007)
Advance billings and customer deposits	6,223	11,215	16,057
Other long term liabilities	1,794	1,066	3,538
Net cash provided by operating activities	1,534,777	1,553,325	1,378,120
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:			
Capital expenditures, including purchases from affiliates, net	(355,950)	(325,815)	(338,490)
Change in due from General Partner, net	21,173	(27,510)	60,370
Net cash used in investing activities	(334,777)	(353,325)	(278,120)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:			
Distributions to partners	(1,200,000)	(1,200,000)	(1,100,000)
Net cash used in financing activities	(1,200,000)	(1,200,000)	(1,100,000)
CHANGE IN CASH			
CASH Beginning of year			
CASH End of year	\$	\$	\$
NONCASH TRANSACTIONS FROM INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES:			
Accruals for capital expenditures	\$ 13,357	\$ 10,455	\$ 10,959

See notes to financial statements.

LOS ANGELES SMSA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2008, 2007 AND 2006

(Dollars in Thousands)

1. ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT

Los Angeles SMSA Limited Partnership Los Angeles SMSA Limited Partnership (the Partnership) was formed on January 1, 1984. The principal activity of the Partnership is providing cellular service in the Los Angeles metropolitan service area.

The partners and their respective ownership percentages as of December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 are as follows:

General Partner:	
AirTouch Cellular* (General Partner)	40.0%
Limited Partners:	
AirTouch Cellular*	42.3%
Cellco Partnership	12.2%
United States Cellular Corporation	5.5%

*AirTouch Cellular is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Verizon Wireless (VAW) LLC (a wholly-owned subsidiary of Cellco Partnership (Cellco) doing business as Verizon Wireless).

2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Use of Estimates The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results may differ from those estimates. Estimates are used for, but not limited to, the accounting for: allocations, allowance for uncollectible accounts receivable, unbilled revenue, fair value of financial instruments, depreciation and amortization, useful lives and impairment of assets, accrued expenses, and contingencies. Estimates and assumptions are

periodically reviewed and the effects of any material revisions are reflected in the financial statements in the period that they are determined to be necessary.

Revenue Recognition The Partnership earns revenue by providing access to the network (access revenue) and for usage of the network (airtime/usage revenue), which includes roaming and long distance revenue. In general, access revenue is billed one month in advance and is recognized when earned; the unearned portion is classified in advance billings. Airtime/usage revenue, roaming revenue and long distance revenue are recognized when service is rendered and included in unbilled revenue until billed. Equipment sales revenue associated with the sale of wireless handsets and accessories is recognized when the products are delivered to and accepted by the customer, as this is considered to be a separate earnings process from the sale of wireless services. In accordance with the provisions of Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 00-21, *Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables*, the Partnership recognizes customer activation fees as part of equipment revenue. The roaming rates charged by the Partnership to Cellco do not necessarily reflect current market rates. The Partnership will continue to re-evaluate the rates on a periodic basis (see Note 5). The Partnership's revenue recognition policies are in accordance with the Securities and Exchange Commission's (SEC) Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 101, *Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements*, SAB No. 104, *Revenue Recognition*, and EITF Issue No. 00-21.

The Partnership reports taxes imposed by governmental authorities on revenue-producing transactions between the Partnership and its customers, that are within the scope of EITF No. 06-3, *How Taxes Collected from Customers and Remitted to Governmental Authorities Should Be Presented in the Income Statement*, in the financial statements on a net basis.

Operating Costs and Expenses Operating expenses include expenses incurred directly by the Partnership, as well as an allocation of certain administrative and operating costs incurred by Cellco or its affiliates on behalf of the Partnership. Employees of Cellco provide services performed on behalf of the Partnership. These employees are not employees of the Partnership and therefore, operating expenses include direct and allocated charges of salary and employee benefit costs for the services provided to the Partnership. The General Partner believes such allocations, principally based on the Partnership's percentage of total customers, customer gross additions or minutes-of-use, are reasonable. The roaming rates charged to the Partnership by Cellco do not necessarily reflect current market rates. The Partnership will continue to re-evaluate the rates on a periodic basis (see Note 5).

Retail Stores The daily operations of all retail stores located within the Partnership are managed by Cellco. However, all income and expenses incurred by these retail stores are recorded on the books of the Partnership.

Income Taxes The Partnership is not a taxable entity for federal and state income tax purposes. Any taxable income or loss is apportioned to the partners based on their respective partnership interests and is reported by them individually.

Inventory Inventory is owned by Cellco and held on consignment by the Partnership. Such consigned inventory is not recorded on the Partnership's financial statements. Upon sale, the related cost of the inventory is transferred to the Partnership at Cellco's cost basis and included in the accompanying statements of operations.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts The Partnership maintains allowances for uncollectible accounts receivable for estimated losses resulting from the inability of customers to make required payments. Estimates are based on the aging of the accounts receivable balances and the historical write-off experience, net of recoveries.

Property, Plant and Equipment Property, plant and equipment primarily represents costs incurred to construct and expand capacity and network coverage on Mobile Telephone Switching Offices and cell sites. The cost of property, plant and equipment is depreciated over its estimated useful life using the straight-line method of accounting. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of their estimated useful lives or the term of the related lease. Major improvements to existing plant and equipment are capitalized. Routine maintenance and repairs that do not extend the life of the plant and equipment are charged to expense as incurred.

Upon the sale or retirement of property, plant and equipment, the cost and related accumulated depreciation or amortization is eliminated from the accounts and any related gain or loss is reflected in the Statements of Operations.

Network engineering costs incurred during the construction phase of the Partnership's network and real estate properties under development are capitalized as part of property, plant and equipment and recorded as construction-in-progress until the projects are completed and placed into service.

FCC Licenses The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) issues licenses that authorize cellular carriers to provide service in specific cellular geographic service areas. The FCC grants licenses for terms of up to ten years. In 1993 the FCC adopted specific standards to apply to cellular renewals, concluding it will reward a license renewal to a cellular licensee that meets certain standards of past performance. Historically, the FCC has granted license renewals routinely. The current terms of the Partnership's FCC licenses expire in October 2014, February 2016 and April 2017. The General Partner believes it will be able to meet all requirements necessary to secure renewal of the Partnership's cellular licenses. FCC wireless licenses totaling \$79,543 are recorded on the books of the Partnership as of December 31, 2008 and 2007. There are additional wireless licenses issued by the FCC that authorize the Partnership to provide cellular service recorded on the books of Cellco.

S-10

Valuation of Assets Long-lived assets, including property, plant and equipment and intangible assets with finite lives, are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the asset may not be recoverable. The carrying amount of a long-lived asset is not recoverable if it exceeds the sum of the undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the use and eventual disposition of the asset. The impairment loss, if determined to be necessary, would be measured as the amount by which the carrying amount of the asset exceeds the fair value of the asset.

The FCC licenses recorded on the books of the Partnership are evaluated for impairment by the General Partner. In addition, Cellco believes that under the Partnership agreement it has the right to allocate, based on a reasonable methodology, any impairment loss recognized by Cellco for all licenses included in Cellco's national footprint. Cellco does not charge the Partnership for the use of any FCC license recorded on its books (except for the annual cost of \$28,144 related to the spectrum lease, as discussed in Note 5).

The FCC licenses, on the books of Cellco and the Partnership, are treated as an indefinite life intangible asset under the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets* and are not amortized, but rather are tested for impairment annually or between annual dates, if events or circumstances warrant. All of the licenses in Cellco's nationwide footprint are tested in the aggregate for impairment under SFAS No. 142.

Cellco evaluates its wireless licenses for potential impairment annually, and more frequently if indications of impairment exist. Cellco tests its licenses on an aggregate basis, in accordance with EITF No. 02-7, *Unit of Accounting for Testing Impairment of Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets*, using a direct value methodology in accordance with SEC Staff Announcement No. D-108, *Use of the Residual Method to Value Acquired Assets other than Goodwill*. The direct value approach determines fair value using estimates of future cash flows associated specifically with the wireless licenses. If the fair value of the aggregated wireless licenses is less than the aggregated carrying amount of the wireless licenses, an impairment is recognized. Cellco evaluated its wireless licenses for potential impairment as of December 15, 2008 and December 15, 2007. These evaluations resulted in no impairment of Cellco's wireless licenses.

Fair Value Measurements SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*, defines fair value, expands disclosures about fair value measurements, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles and establishes a hierarchy that categorizes and prioritizes the sources to be used to estimate fair value. Under SFAS

No. 157, fair value is defined as an exit price, representing the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. SFAS 157 also establishes a three-tier hierarchy for inputs used in measuring fair value, which prioritizes the inputs used in the valuation methodologies in measuring fair value:

Level 1 - Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities

Level 2 - Observable inputs other than quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities

Level 3 - No observable pricing inputs in the market

On February 12, 2008, the FASB issued FSP No. FAS 157-2, *Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157*, which delays the effective date of SFAS No. 157 for one year for all nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis. The Partnership elected a partial deferral of SFAS No. 157 under the provisions of FSP No. 157-2 related to the measurement of fair value used when evaluating wireless licenses and other long-lived assets for impairment. On October 10, 2008, the FASB issued FSP No. 157-3, *Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset When the Market for That Asset Is Not Active*, which clarifies application of SFAS No. 157 in a market that is not active. FSP No. 157-3 was effective upon issuance, including prior periods for which financial statements have not been issued. The impact of partially adopting SFAS No. 157 on January 1, 2008 and the related FSP No. 157-3 was not material to the financial statements.

Effective January 1, 2009, as permitted by FSP No. 157-2, the Partnership adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 157 related to the non-recurring measurement of fair value used when evaluating certain nonfinancial assets, including wireless licenses and other long-lived assets, in the determination of impairment under SFAS No. 142 or SFAS No. 144, and when measuring the acquisition-date fair values of nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities in a business combination in accordance with SFAS No. 141(R), *Business Combinations (Revised)*.

Concentrations To the extent the Partnership's customer receivables become delinquent, collection activities commence. No single customer is large enough to present a significant financial risk to the Partnership. The Partnership maintains an allowance for losses based on the expected collectibility of accounts receivable.

Cellco and the Partnership rely on local and long distance telephone companies, some of whom are related parties, and other companies to provide certain communication services. Although management believes alternative telecommunications facilities could be found in a timely manner, any disruption of these services could potentially have an adverse impact on the Partnership's operating results.

Although Cellco and the General Partner attempt to maintain multiple vendors for its network assets and inventory, which are important components of its operations, they are currently acquired from only a few sources. Certain of these products are in turn utilized by the Partnership and are important components of the Partnership's operations. If the suppliers are unable to meet Cellco's needs as it builds out its network infrastructure and sells service and equipment, delays and increased costs in the expansion of the Partnership's network infrastructure or losses of potential customers could result, which would adversely affect operating results.

Financial Instruments The Partnership's trade receivables and payables are short-term in nature, and accordingly, their carrying value approximates fair value.

Due from General Partner Due from General Partner principally represents the Partnership's cash position. Cellco manages, on behalf of the General Partner, all cash, inventory, investing and financing activities of the Partnership. As such, the change in due from General Partner is reflected as an investing activity or a financing activity in the Statements of Cash Flows depending on whether it represents a net asset or net liability for the Partnership.

Additionally, administrative and operating costs incurred by Cellco on behalf of the General Partner, as well as property, plant, and equipment transactions with affiliates, are charged to the Partnership through this account. Interest income or interest expense is based on the average monthly outstanding balance in this account and is calculated by applying the General Partner's average cost of borrowing from Verizon Global Funding, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Verizon Communications, Inc., which was approximately 3.9%, 5.4% and 5.4% for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Included in net interest income is interest income of \$25,800, \$34,304 and \$38,286 for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively, related to the due from General Partner.

Distributions The Partnership is required to make distributions to its partners on a quarterly basis based upon the Partnership's operating results, cash availability and financing needs as determined by the General Partner at the date of the distribution.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements In April 2008, the FASB issued FSP No. FAS 142-3, *Determination of the Useful Life of Intangible Assets*. FSP 142-3 removes the requirement under SFAS No. 142 to consider whether an intangible asset can be renewed without substantial cost or material modifications to the existing terms and conditions, and replaces it with a requirement that an entity consider its own historical experience in renewing similar arrangements, or a consideration of market participant assumptions in the absence of historical experience. FSP 142-3 also requires entities to disclose information that enables users of financial statements to assess the extent to which the expected future cash flows associated with the asset are

affected by the entity's intent and/or ability to renew or extend the arrangement. The Partnership is required to adopt FSP 142-3 effective January 1, 2009 on a prospective basis. The adoption of FSP 142-3 on January 1, 2009 did not have an impact on the financial statements.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, *Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities – an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133*. This statement requires additional disclosures for derivative instruments and hedging activities that include how and why an entity uses derivatives, how these instruments and the related hedged items are accounted for under SFAS No. 133 and related interpretations, and how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect the entity's financial position, results of operations and cash flows. SFAS No. 161 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008. The adoption of SFAS No. 161 on January 1, 2009 did not have an impact on the financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(R), *Business Combinations (Revised)*, to replace SFAS No. 141, *Business Combinations*. SFAS No. 141(R) requires the use of the acquisition method of accounting, defines the acquirer, establishes the acquisition date and broadens the scope to all transactions and other events in which one entity obtains control over one or more other businesses. This statement is effective for business combinations or transactions entered into for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2008. The adoption of SFAS No. 141(R) on January 1, 2009 did not have an impact on the financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements – an amendment of ARB No. 51*. SFAS No. 160 establishes accounting and reporting standards for the noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary and for the retained interest and gain or loss when a subsidiary is deconsolidated. This statement is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2008 prospectively, except for the presentation and disclosure requirements which will be applied retrospectively for all periods presented. The adoption of SFAS No. 160 on January 1, 2009 did not have an impact on the financial statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities*. SFAS No. 159 permits entities to choose to measure eligible items at fair value, and to report unrealized gains and losses in earnings on items for which the fair value option has been elected. The Partnership adopted SFAS No. 159 effective January 1, 2008 and the impact of adoption did not have an impact on the financial statements.

3 PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

Property, plant and equipment consists of the following as of December 31, 2008 and 2007:

	Useful Lives	2008	2007
Land		\$ 7,656	\$ 7,664
Buildings and improvements	10-40 years	434,298	400,605
Cellular plant equipment	3-15 years	2,586,438	2,534,976
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	2-5 years	84,456	77,267
Leasehold improvements	5 years	221,245	184,399
		3,334,093	3,204,911
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization		1,722,279	1,637,929
Property, plant and equipment, net		\$ 1,611,814	\$ 1,566,982

Capitalized network engineering costs of \$13,427 and \$15,101 were recorded during the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Construction-in-progress included in certain of the classifications shown above, principally cellular plant equipment, amounted to \$115,865 and \$145,093 at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Tower Transactions Prior to the acquisition of the Partnership interest by Cellco in 2000, Vodafone Group Plc (Vodafone), then parent company of AirTouch Cellular, entered into agreements to sublease all of its unused space on up to 430 of its communications towers (Sublease Agreement) to SpectraSite Holdings, Inc. (SpectraSite) in exchange for \$155,000. At various closings in 2001 and 2000, SpectraSite leased 274 communications towers owned and operated by the Partnership for \$98,465. At December 31, 2008 and 2007, the Partnership has \$58,534 and \$63,515, respectively, recorded as deferred gain on lease transaction. The Sublease Agreement requires monthly maintenance fees for the existing physical space used by the Partnership's cellular equipment. The Partnership paid \$9,387, \$9,777 and \$9,718 to SpectraSite pursuant to the Sublease Agreement for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively, which is included in cost of service in the accompanying Statements of Operations. The terms of the Sublease Agreement differ for leased communication towers versus those owned by the Partnership and range from 20 to 99 years.

4 ACCOUNTS PAYABLE AND ACCRUED LIABILITIES

Accounts payable and accrued liabilities consist of the following:

2008	2007
------	------

Edgar Filing: TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Accounts payable	\$	35,706	\$	32,222
Non-income based taxes and regulatory fees		25,331		31,431
Accrued commissions		15,681		14,152
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$	76,718	\$	77,805

S-14

5. TRANSACTIONS WITH AFFILIATES AND RELATED PARTIES

Significant transactions with affiliates (Cellco and its related entities) and other related parties, including allocations and direct charges, are summarized as follows for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006:

	2008	2007	2006
Service revenues (a)	\$ 192,887	\$ 219,495	\$ 215,812
Equipment and other revenues (b)	(22,674)	(25,126)	(33,911)
Cost of service (c)	466,418	458,912	439,658
Cost of equipment (d)	68,194	64,427	52,927
Selling, general and administrative (e)	811,034	741,137	623,738

-
- (a) Service revenues include roaming revenues relating to customers of other affiliated markets, long distance, data and allocated contra-revenues including revenue concessions.
- (b) Equipment and other revenues include switch revenue, sales of handsets and accessories and allocated contra-revenues including equipment concessions and coupon rebates.
- (c) Cost of service includes roaming costs relating to customers roaming in other affiliated markets and allocated cost of telecom, long distance, and handset applications.
- (d) Cost of equipment includes handsets, accessories, and allocated warehousing and freight.
- (e) Selling, general and administrative expenses include salaries, commissions and billing, and allocated office telecom, customer care, sales and marketing, advertising, and commissions.

All affiliate transactions captured above, are based on actual amounts directly incurred by Cellco on behalf of the Partnership and/or allocations from Cellco. Revenues and expenses were allocated based on the Partnership's percentage of total customers or gross customer additions or minutes of use, where applicable. The General Partner believes the allocations are reasonable. The affiliate transactions are not necessarily conducted at arm's length.

The Partnership had net purchases involving plant, property, and equipment from affiliates with a net book value of \$176,924, \$160,165 and \$225,547 in 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

On October 19, 2007, the Partnership entered into lease agreements for the right to use additional spectrum owned by Cellco. The initial term of these agreements is ten years. The 2008 annual lease commitment of \$28,144 represents the costs of financing the spectrum, and does not necessarily reflect the economic value of the services received. No additional spectrum purchases or lease commitments, other than the \$28,144 have been entered into by the Partnership as of December 31, 2008.

6. COMMITMENTS

The General Partner, on behalf of the Partnership, and the Partnership itself have entered into operating leases for facilities, equipment and spectrum used in its operations. Lease contracts include renewal options that include rent expense adjustments based on the Consumer Price Index as well as annual and end-of-lease term adjustments. Rent expense is recorded on a straight-line basis. The noncancelable lease term used to calculate the amount of the straight-line rent expense is generally determined to be the initial lease term, including any optional renewal terms that are reasonably assured. Leasehold improvements related to these operating leases are amortized over the shorter of their estimated useful lives or the noncancelable lease term. For the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, the Partnership recognized a total of \$88,619, \$66,102 and

\$53,502, respectively, as rent expense related to payments under these operating leases, which was included in cost of service and general and administrative expenses in the accompanying Statements of Operations.

Aggregate future minimum rental commitments under noncancelable operating leases, excluding renewal options that are not reasonably assured, for the years shown are as follows:

Years	Amount
2009	\$ 72,812
2010	63,961
2011	56,459
2012	49,632
2013	42,076
2014 and thereafter	130,075
Total minimum payments	\$ 415,015

From time to time the General Partner enters into purchase commitments, primarily for network equipment, on behalf of the Partnership.

7. CONTINGENCIES

Cellco is subject to various lawsuits and other claims including class actions, product liability, patent infringement, antitrust, partnership disputes, and claims involving relations with resellers and agents. Cellco is also defending lawsuits filed against itself and other participants in the wireless industry alleging various adverse effects as a result of wireless phone usage. Various consumer class action lawsuits allege that Cellco breached contracts with consumers, violated certain state consumer protection laws and other statutes and defrauded customers through concealed or misleading billing practices. Certain of these lawsuits and other claims may impact the Partnership. These litigation matters may involve indemnification obligations by third parties and/or affiliated parties covering all or part of any potential damage awards against Cellco and the Partnership and/or insurance coverage. All of the above matters are subject to many uncertainties, and outcomes are not predictable with assurance.

The Partnership may be allocated a portion of the damages that may result upon adjudication of these matters if the claimants prevail in their actions. Consequently, the ultimate liability with respect to these matters at December 31, 2008 cannot be ascertained. The potential effect, if any, on the financial statements of the Partnership, in the period in which these matters are resolved, may be material.

In addition to the aforementioned matters, Cellco is subject to various other legal actions and claims in the normal course of business. While Cellco's legal counsel cannot give assurance as to the outcome of each of these matters, in management's opinion, based on the advice of such legal counsel, the ultimate liability with respect to any of these actions, or all of them combined, will not materially affect the financial statements of the Partnership.

8. **RECONCILIATION OF ALLOWANCE FOR DOUBTFUL ACCOUNTS**

	Balance at Beginning of the Year	Additions Charged to Operations	Write-offs Net of Recoveries	Balance at End of the Year
Accounts Receivable Allowances:				
2008	\$ 16,975	\$ 49,685	\$ (47,395)	\$ 19,265
2007	\$ 12,028	\$ 39,694	\$ (34,747)	\$ 16,975
2006	9,274	25,088	(22,334)	12,028

S-17

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

TELEPHONE AND DATA SYSTEMS, INC.

By: */s/ LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr.
LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr.
President and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)*

By: */s/ Kenneth R. Meyers
Kenneth R. Meyers
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)*

By: */s/ Douglas D. Shuma
Douglas D. Shuma
Senior Vice President and Controller
(Principal Accounting Officer)*

Dated: February 26, 2009

Edgar Filing: TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr. LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr.	Director	February 26, 2009
/s/ Letitia G.C. Carlson Letitia G.C. Carlson	Director	February 26, 2009
/s/ Prudence E. Carlson Prudence E. Carlson	Director	February 26, 2009
/s/ Walter C.D. Carlson Walter C.D. Carlson	Director	February 26, 2009
/s/ James Barr III James Barr III	Director	February 26, 2009
/s/ Gregory P. Josefowicz Gregory P. Josefowicz	Director	February 26, 2009
/s/ Kenneth R. Meyers Kenneth R. Meyers	Director	February 26, 2009
/s/ Donald C. Nebergall Donald C. Nebergall	Director	February 26, 2009
/s/ George W. Off George W. Off	Director	February 26, 2009
/s/ Christopher D. O Leary Christopher D. O Leary	Director	February 26, 2009
/s/ Mitchell H. Saranow Mitchell H. Saranow	Director	February 26, 2009
/s/ Herbert S. Wander Herbert S. Wander	Director	February 26, 2009

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Exhibit Number	Description of Document
3.1(a)	TDS Restated Certificate of Incorporation, as amended, is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to TDS Report on Form 8-A/A filed on July 10, 1998.
3.1(b)	Certificate of Amendment to Restated Certificate of Incorporation is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to TDS Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2004.
3.1(c)	Certificate of Amendment dated April 11, 2005 to TDS Restated Certificate of Incorporation, as amended, is hereby incorporated by reference from Exhibit 3 to TDS Report on Form 8-A filed on April 11, 2005.
3.2	TDS Restated Bylaws, as amended, are hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to TDS Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 3, 2008.
4.1(a)	TDS Restated Certificate of Incorporation, as amended, is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to TDS Report on Form 8-A/A filed on July 10, 1998.
4.1(b)	Certificate of Amendment to Restated Certificate of Incorporation is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to TDS Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2004.
4.1(c)	Certificate of Amendment dated April 11, 2005 to TDS Restated Certificate of Incorporation, as amended, is hereby incorporated by reference from Exhibit 3 to TDS Report on Form 8-A filed on April 11, 2005.
4.2	TDS Restated Bylaws, as amended, are hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to TDS Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 3, 2008.
4.3(a)	Indenture between TDS and BNY Midwest Trust Company dated November 1, 2001 is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to TDS Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2001.
4.3(b)	First Supplemental Indenture dated November 28, 2001 by and between TDS and BNY Midwest Trust Company, establishing TDS 7.60% Series A Notes is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1 to TDS Report on Form 8-A, filed on November 29, 2001.
4.3(c)	Second Supplemental Indenture dated May 31, 2002 by and between TDS and BNY Midwest Trust Company making changes to the First Supplemental Indenture is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.8 to TDS Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2002.
4.3(d)	Third Supplemental Indenture dated March 31, 2005 by and between TDS and BNY Midwest Trust Company, establishing TDS 6.625% Senior Notes due 2045, is hereby incorporated by reference to TDS Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 23, 2005.
4.4	Amended and Restated Revolving Credit Agreement dated December 9, 2004 among TDS and the lenders named therein Bank of America, N.A. as administrative agent; TD Securities (USA) LLC as syndication agent; Wachovia Bank, National Association, LaSalle Bank National Association and The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi, LTD., Chicago Branch, each as documentation agents, is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to TDS Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 9, 2004.
4.5	Amended and Restated Revolving Credit Agreement dated December 9, 2004 among U.S. Cellular the lenders named therein Toronto Dominion (Texas) LLC as administrative agent, Wachovia Capital Markets as syndication agent and Citibank, N.A. and LaSalle Bank National Association as co-documentation agents is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to U.S. Cellular's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 9, 2004.
4.6(a)	

Edgar Filing: TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Indenture dated June 1, 2002 between U.S. Cellular and BNY Midwest Trust Company of New York is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Form S-3 (File No. 333-88344).

4.6(b) Form of Second Supplemental Indenture dated as of October 31, 2002 between U.S. Cellular and BNY

Midwest Trust Company, relating to \$130,000,000 of United States Cellular Corporation's 8.75% Senior Notes due 2032, is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to U.S. Cellular's Current Report on Form 8-K dated October 31, 2002.

- 4.6(c) Form of Third Supplemental Indenture dated as of December 3, 2003 between U.S. Cellular and BNY Midwest Trust Company, relating to \$444,000,000 of U.S. Cellular's 6.70% Senior Notes due 2033, is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to United States Cellular Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 3, 2003.
- 4.6(d) Form of Fourth Supplemental Indenture dated as of June 9, 2004 between U.S. Cellular and BNY Midwest Trust Company, relating to \$330,000,000 of U.S. Cellular's 7.50% Senior Notes due 2032, is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to U.S. Cellular's Current Report on Form 8-K dated June 9, 2004.
- 4.6(e) Form of Fifth Supplemental Indenture dated as of June 21, 2004 between U.S. Cellular and BNY Midwest Trust Company, relating to \$100,000,000 of U.S. Cellular's 6.70% Senior Notes due 2033, is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to U.S. Cellular's Current Report on Form 8-K dated June 21, 2004.
- 9.1 Amendment and Restatement (dated April 22, 2005) of Voting Trust Agreement dated June 30, 1989 is hereby incorporated by reference to the Exhibit filed on Amendment No. 3 to Schedule 13D dated May 2, 2005 filed by the trustees of such voting trust with respect to TDS Common Shares.
- 10.1(a)* Salary Continuation Agreement for LeRoy T. Carlson dated May 20, 1977, as amended May 22, 1981 and May 25, 1984, is hereby incorporated by reference to TDS' Registration Statement on Form S-2, No. 2-92307.
- 10.1(b)* Amendment to Salary Continuation Agreement for LeRoy T. Carlson is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to TDS' Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 25, 2008.
- 10.2(a)* Supplemental Benefit Agreement for LeRoy T. Carlson dated March 21, 1980, as amended March 20, 1981, is hereby incorporated by reference to an exhibit to TDS' Registration Statement on Form S-7, No. 2-74615.
- 10.2(b)* Memorandum of Amendment to Supplemental Benefit Agreement dated May 28, 1991 is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2(b) to TDS' Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1991.
- 10.3(a)* TDS Amended and Restated 2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to TDS' Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 11, 2005.
- 10.3(b)* First Amendment to TDS Amended and Restated 2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to TDS' Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 10, 2007.
- 10.3(c)* Second Amendment to TDS Amended and Restated 2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to TDS' Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 10, 2007.
- 10.3(d)* Third Amendment to TDS Amended and Restated 2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to TDS' Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 22, 2008.
- 10.4* TDS Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, as amended and restated, effective January 1, 2009 is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to TDS' Current Report on Form 8-K dated August 27, 2008.
- 10.5(a)* TDS 2003 Employee Stock Purchase Plan is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of TDS' Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 11, 2005.
- 10.5(b)* TDS 2009 Employee Stock Purchase Plan is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit A to TDS' Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders and Proxy Statement dated April 15, 2008.
-

Edgar Filing: TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

- 10.6* TDS Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors, as amended May 22, 2008, is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to TDS Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2008.
- 10.7(a)* TDS Bonus Deferral and Stock Unit Match Program 2008 Bonus Year is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to TDS Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 10, 2007.
- 10.7(b)* Election Form for TDS Bonus Deferral and Stock Unit Match Program 2008 Bonus Year is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to TDS Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 10, 2007.
- 10.8* TDS Bonus Deferral and Stock Unit Match Program and Election Form is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to TDS Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 22, 2008.
- 10.9(a)* U.S. Cellular 2005 Long-Term Incentive Plan, as amended, is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit B to U.S. Cellular's Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders and Proxy Statement dated April 5, 2005.
- 10.9(b)* First Amendment to U.S. Cellular 2005 Long-Term Incentive Plan is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to U.S. Cellular's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 7, 2006.
- 10.9(c)* Second Amendment to U.S. Cellular 2005 Long-Term Incentive Plan is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to U.S. Cellular's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 10, 2007.
- 10.9(d)* Third Amendment to U.S. Cellular 2005 Long-Term Incentive Plan is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to U.S. Cellular's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 10, 2007.
- 10.9(e)* Fourth Amendment to U.S. Cellular 2005 Long-Term Incentive Plan is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to U.S. Cellular's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 10, 2007.
- 10.9(f)* Fifth Amendment to U.S. Cellular 2005 Long-Term Incentive Plan is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to U.S. Cellular's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 9, 2008.
- 10.10(a)* U.S. Cellular Executive Deferred Compensation Interest Account Plan is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to U.S. Cellular's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 10, 2007.
- 10.10(b)* First Amendment to U.S. Cellular Executive Deferred Compensation Interest Account Plan is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to U.S. Cellular's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 9, 2008.
- 10.10(c)* Election Form for U.S. Cellular Executive Deferred Compensation Interest Account Plan is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to U.S. Cellular's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 10, 2007.
- 10.11* Form of U.S. Cellular Executive Deferred Compensation Agreement - Phantom Stock Account for Deferred Bonus is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to U.S. Cellular's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 9, 2008.
- 10.12(a)* U.S. Cellular 2003 Employee Stock Purchase Plan is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 of U.S. Cellular's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (Registration No. 333-103543).
- 10.12(b)* U.S. Cellular 2009 Employee Stock Purchase Plan is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit B to U.S. Cellular's Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders and Proxy Statement dated April 15, 2008.
- 10.13* Form of U.S. Cellular's 2005 Long-Term Incentive Plan Stock Option Award Agreement for John E. Rooney is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to U.S. Cellular's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 9, 2008.
-

Edgar Filing: TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

- 10.14* Form of U.S. Cellular's 2005 Long-Term Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement for John E. Rooney is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to U.S. Cellular's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 9, 2008.
- 10.15* Guidelines for the Determination of Annual Bonus for President and Executive Officer of U.S. Cellular are hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to U.S. Cellular's Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 25, 2008.
- 10.16* Form of TDS Corporate Officer Long Term Incentive Plan Stock Option Award Agreement is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to TDS' Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 19, 2008.
- 10.17(a)* Retention Agreement between TDS and Kenneth R. Meyers dated December 4, 2006, is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.3 to TDS' Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 30, 2006.
- 10.17(b)* Amendment to Retention Agreement between TDS and Kenneth R. Meyers is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to TDS' Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 22, 2008.
- 10.18(a)* TDS 2007 Deferred Compensation Agreement between TDS and Kenneth R. Meyers dated December 26, 2006 is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to TDS' Current Report on Form 8-K dated January 1, 2007.
- 10.18(b)* Amendment to TDS 2007 Deferred Compensation Agreement between TDS and Kenneth R. Meyers is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to TDS' Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 22, 2008.
- 10.19* Form of TDS Corporate Officer Long Term Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to TDS' Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 22, 2008.
- 10.20* Terms of Letter Agreement between U.S. Cellular and John E. Rooney dated March 28, 2000 is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10 to U.S. Cellular's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2000.
- 10.21(a)* Guidelines and Procedures for TDS Officer Bonuses for 2007 Performance Year are hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to TDS' Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2007.
- 10.21(b)* First Amendment to the Guidelines and Procedures for TDS Officer Bonuses are hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to TDS' Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 19, 2008.
- 10.22* Guidelines for the Determination of Annual Bonus for President and Chief Executive Officer of TDS are hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to TDS' Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 19, 2008.
- 10.23* Guidelines for the Determination of Annual Bonus for Chairman Emeritus of TDS are hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to TDS' Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 19, 2008.
- 11 Statement regarding computation of earnings per share (included in Note 6 Earnings Per Share in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Exhibit 13).
- 12 Statement regarding computation of ratio of earnings to fixed charges for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007, 2006, 2005 and 2004.
- 13 Incorporated portions of 2008 Annual Report to Shareholders.
- 21 Subsidiaries of TDS.
- 23.1 Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP.
-

- 23.2 Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Deloitte & Touche LLP.
- 31.1 Chief Executive Officer certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
- 31.2 Chief Financial Officer certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
- 32.1 Chief Executive Officer certification pursuant to Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code.
- 32.2 Chief Financial Officer certification pursuant to Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code.

*Indicates a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.
