

Edgar Filing: SPACEDEV INC - Form 10KSB

SPACEDEV INC  
Form 10KSB  
April 06, 2004

U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-KSB

ANNUAL REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2003

TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission file number 000-28947

SPACEDEV, INC.  
(Name of small business issuer in its charter)

Colorado 84-1374613  
(State or other jurisdiction (I.R.S. Employer  
of incorporation or organization) Identification number)

13855 Stowe Drive, Poway, California  
92064  
(Address of principal executive offices)  
(Zip Code)

Issuer's telephone number, including area code: (858) 375-2030

Securities registered under Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class Name of each exchange on which  
each class is registered

None. None.  
Securities to be registered under Section 12(g) of the Act:

Common Stock, \$.0001 par value  
(Title of Class)

Check whether the Issuer (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes  No

Check if there is no disclosure of delinquent filers in response to Item 405 of Regulation S-B contained in this form, and no disclosure will be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-KSB or any amendment to this Form 10-KSB.

State issuer's revenues for its most recent fiscal year: \$2,956,322

## Edgar Filing: SPACEDEV INC - Form 10KSB

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non affiliates computed by reference to the price at which the stock was sold, or the average bid and asked prices of such stock as of March 4, 2004 was \$1.10, based on the last sale price of \$1.14 as reported by the NASD Over the Counter Bulletin Board.

As of March 4, 2004, Registrant had outstanding 17,023,704 shares of common stock, its only class of common equity outstanding.

Transitional Small Business Disclosure Format (Check one): Yes [ ] No [ X ]

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I		1
ITEM 1.	DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS	1
	Forward Looking Statements	1
	General	1
	Business Strategy	4
	Products and Services; Market	5
	Components and Raw Materials	8
	Competition	8
	Regulation	9
	Employees	10
	Intellectual Property	10
ITEM 2.	DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY	11
ITEM 3.	LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	11
ITEM 4.	SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS	12
PART II	13	
ITEM 5.	MARKET FOR COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS	13
	Market Information	13
	Holdings	13
	Dividends	14
	Equity Compensation Plan Information	14
ITEM 6.	MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	15
	Overview	15
	Selection of Significant Contracts	16
	Results of Operations	20
	Liquidity and Capital Resources	28
	Critical Accounting Standards	29
	Cash Position and Removal of Going Concern	30
	Recent Accounting Pronouncements	32
	Forward-Looking Statements and Risk Analysis	34
ITEM 7.	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	37
ITEM 8.	CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS	37
ITEM 8A.	CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	38
PART III		39
ITEM 9.	DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, PROMOTERS AND CONTROL PERSONS; COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 16(a) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT	39
	Significant Employees	43
	Committees Of The Board Of Directors And Meeting Attendance	43
ITEM 10.	EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	44
	Executive Officer Compensation	44
	Director Compensation	45
	Employment Agreements	46
	Employee Benefits	47
	Section 16(A) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance	47
ITEM 11.	SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT	49
ITEM 12.	CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS	51
ITEM 13.	EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K	52

## Edgar Filing: SPACEDEV INC - Form 10KSB

ITEM 14.	PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES	54
	SIGNATURES	55
	CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER	57
	CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER	59
	CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	F-1

### PART I

#### ITEM 1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

##### FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the Company's consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto and the other financial information appearing elsewhere in this document. Readers are also urged to carefully review and consider the various disclosures made by us which attempt to advise interested parties of the factors which affect our business, including without limitation the disclosures made under the caption "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations", in our General Registration Statement on Form 10SB12G/A filed January 28, 2000 and in our other periodic reports (e.g., Form 10-KSB, Form 10-QSB and Form 8-K).

In addition to historical information, the following discussion and other parts of this document may contain forward-looking statements. These statements relate to future events or our future financial performance. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as "may," "will," "should," "expect," "plan," "anticipate," "believe," "estimate," "predict," "potential," or "continue," the negative of such terms or other comparable terminology. These statements are only predictions.

Although we believe that the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements. Moreover, neither we nor any other person assumes responsibility for the accuracy and completeness of the forward-looking statements. We undertake no obligation to publicly update any of the forward-looking statements after the date of this report to conform such statements to actual results or to changes in our expectations.

Actual results could differ materially from those anticipated by such forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, the level of sales to key customers; the economic conditions affecting our industry; actions by competitors; fluctuations in the price of raw materials; the availability of outside contractors at prices favorable to the Company; our dependence on single-source or a limited number of suppliers; our ability to protect our proprietary technology; market conditions influencing prices or pricing; an adverse outcome in potential litigation, claims and other actions by or against us, technological changes and introductions of new competing products; fluctuations in economic conditions; terrorist attacks or acts of war, particularly given the acts of terrorism against the United States on September 11, 2001 and subsequent military responses by the United States in Afghanistan and Iraq; mission disasters such as the loss of the space shuttle Columbia on February 1, 2003 during its re-entry into earth's atmosphere; ability to retain key personnel; changes in market demand; exchange rates; productivity; weather; and market and economic conditions in the areas of the world in which we operate and market our products. These are factors that we think could cause our actual results to differ materially from expected and historical events.

##### GENERAL

SpaceDev, Inc. (the "Company," "SpaceDev," "we," "us" or "our") is engaged in the conception, design, development, manufacture, integration and operations of

## Edgar Filing: SPACEDEV INC - Form 10KSB

space technology subsystems, systems, products and services. We are currently focused on the commercial and military development of low-cost micro-satellites, nano-satellites and related subsystems, hybrid rocket propulsion for space and launch vehicles, as well as the associated engineering technical services to government, aerospace and other commercial enterprises. Our products and solutions are sold directly to these customers and include sophisticated micro- and nano-satellites, hybrid rocket-based launch vehicles, orbital Maneuvering and orbital Transfer Vehicles ("MoTVs") as well as safe sub-orbital and orbital hybrid rocket-based propulsion systems. We are also developing commercial hybrid rocket motors for possible use in small launch vehicles, targets and sounding rockets, and small high performance space vehicles and subsystems.

1

Our approach is to provide smaller spacecraft - generally 250 kg (550 pounds) mass and less - and cleaner, safer hybrid propulsion systems to commercial, international and government customers. We are developing smaller spacecraft and miniaturized subsystems using proven, lower cost, high-quality off-the-shelf components. Our space products are modular and reproducible, which allows us to create affordable space solutions for our customers. By utilizing our innovative technology and experience, and space-qualifying commercial industry-standard hardware, software and interfaces, we provide increased reliability with reduced costs and risks.

We have been awarded, have successfully concluded or are successfully concluding contracts from such esteemed government, university and commercial customers as the Air Force Research Laboratory ("AFRL"), Boeing, the California Space Authority ("CSA"), the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency ("DARPA"), NASA's Jet Propulsion Laboratory ("JPL"), Lockheed Martin, the Lunar Enterprise Corporation, Malin Space Science Systems, the Missile Defense Agency ("MDA" formerly "BMDO"), the National Reconnaissance Office ("NRO"), Scaled Composites and the University of California at Berkeley ("UCB") via NASA.

We were incorporated under the laws of the State of Colorado on December 23, 1996 as Pegasus Development Group, Inc. ("PDGI"). SpaceDev, LLC of Colorado was originally formed in 1997 for commercial space exploration and was the sole owner of shares of common stock of SpaceDev (a Nevada corporation) ("SpaceDev"), formed on August 22, 1997. On October 22, 1997, PDGI issued 8,245,000 of its \$.0001 par value common stock for 100 percent (1,000,000 shares) of SpaceDev's common stock owned by SpaceDev, LLC. Upon the acquisition of the SpaceDev stock, SpaceDev was merged into PDGI and, on December 17, 1997, PDGI changed its name to SPACEDEV, INC. After the merger, SpaceDev, LLC, changed its name to SD Holdings, LLC on December 17, 1997. We became a publicly traded company in October 1997 and are trading on the Nasdaq Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board ("OTCBB") under the symbol of "SPDV."

In February 1998, we acquired Integrated Space Systems ("ISS"), in San Diego. ISS was fully integrated into SpaceDev. Most of the ISS employees were former commercial Atlas launch vehicle engineers and managers who worked for General Dynamics in San Diego. As SpaceDev employees, they primarily develop systems and products based on hybrid rocket motor technology and launch vehicle systems.

In August 1998, we acquired the patents and intellectual property produced by American Rocket Company ("AMROC"). The acquisition provided us access to a large cache of hybrid rocket documents, designs and test results. AMROC specialized in the design, development and testing of hybrid rocket technology (solid fuel plus liquid oxidizer) for small sounding rockets and launch vehicles.

2

## Edgar Filing: SPACEDEV INC - Form 10KSB

In late 1998, we bid and won a government-sponsored research and development contract, which was directly related to our strategic commercial space interests. We competed with seven other industry teams and we were one of five firms selected by JPL to perform a mission and spacecraft feasibility assessment study for the proposed 200-kg Mars MicroMissions. The final report was delivered to JPL in March 1999 and, as a result, we now offer lunar and Mars commercial deep-space missions based on this and subsequent innovative space system designs.

In mid-1999, we won an R&D contract from the NRO to study small hybrid-based "micro" kick-motors for small-satellite orbital transfer applications. During the contract, we successfully developed three Secondary Payload Orbital Transfer Vehicle ("SPOTV") design concepts. We subsequently created a prototype, which led to the development of our capability to apply the SPOTV concept to our subsequent Maneuvering and orbit Transfer Vehicles ("MoTV") development programs.

In November 1999, we won a \$4.9 million mission contract by the Space Sciences Laboratory ("SSL") at UCB. We were competitively selected to design, build, integrate, test and operate, for one year, a small NASA-sponsored scientific, Earth-orbiting spacecraft called CHIPSat. CHIPSat is the first and only successful mission of NASA's low-cost University-Class Explorer ("UNEX") series to date. Due to additional NASA and customer reviews, additional work and schedule extensions, the CHIPSat contract award was increased by \$600,000 on June 15, 2001 and again by \$1.2 million on November 28, 2001, bringing the total contract value for design and build to approximately \$6.8 million. An extension of the original contract based on our successful launch and orbit status in the amount of approximately \$400,000 was awarded to us for one year of satellite operations. CHIPSat launched as a secondary payload on a Delta-II rocket on January 12, 2003. The satellite, the world's first orbiting Internet node, achieved 3-axis stabilization, meaning it was pointing and tracking properly, with all individual components and systems successfully operating, and is continuing to work well in orbit after more than a year. The CHIPSat program generated approximately \$2.1 million, \$3.2 million, \$1.7 million and \$0.4 million of revenue in 2000, 2001, 2002 and 2003, respectively.

On March 22, 2000, the California Spaceport Authority and the California Space and Technology Alliance ("CSTA") awarded us a grant of approximately \$100,000 to be used for test firing our hybrid rocket motors. California's Western Commercial Space Center also awarded us approximately \$200,000 to help build and equip its satellite and space vehicle manufacturing facilities. These capabilities are being used to expand our current project and technology base.

In July 2000, the NRO granted us two separate follow-on competitive awards of approximately \$400,000 each for further hybrid rocket engine design, test, evaluation, and development. Our work for the NRO has helped fund two innovative hybrid rocket motor products:

- a family of small versatile orbital Maneuver and orbit Transfer Vehicles ("MoTVs") using clean, safe hybrid rocket propulsion technology; and,
- a protoflight hybrid propulsion module for a 50-kg class micro-satellite.

Both of those contracts were successfully completed.

In September 2001, Scaled Composites awarded us a contract for a proprietary hybrid propulsion development program for Scaled's "SpaceShipOne," valued in excess of \$1 million. As a part of that program, we competed with another party to design a space propulsion system. The entire contract, awarded upon the

## Edgar Filing: SPACEDEV INC - Form 10KSB

submitted designs, was valued at approximately \$2.2 million. The contract was indicative of an increased demand for our hybrid motor technology and expertise in the space industry. Work on this project generated approximately \$1.2 million and \$397,000 of revenue in 2002 and 2003, respectively. In September of 2003, SpaceDev was selected by Scaled Composites as the sole supplier of hybrid propulsion systems, and was awarded the follow-on SpaceShipOne propulsion contract. We generated approximately \$115,000 of revenue in 2003 from this new contract and related engineering change orders. On December 17, 2003, which corresponded with the 100th anniversary of the Wright Brothers flight, our hybrid propulsion system, which we believe is the world's largest of its kind, aboard SpaceShipOne, successfully powered a pilot toward space on its historic first powered supersonic flight. After being released by the White Knight, a carrier aircraft, the SpaceShipOne Test Pilot flew the ship to a stable, 0.55 mach gliding flight condition, started a pull-up, and fired our hybrid rocket motor. Nine seconds later, SpaceShipOne broke the sound barrier and continued its steep powered ascent. The climb was very aggressive, accelerating forward at more than 3-g while pulling upward at more than 2.5-g. At motor shutdown, 15 seconds after ignition, SpaceShipOne was climbing at a 60-degree angle and flying near 1.2 Mach (930 mph). The test pilot then continued the maneuver to a vertical climb, achieving zero speed at an altitude of 68,000 feet. This is important because we are showing that the private sector can perform human space flight in a rapid, safe and inexpensive manner. In addition, this historic flight is the first human flight ever powered by hybrid rocket technology, and we provided the critical hybrid motor components and technology to make it happen.

On April 4, 2002, SpaceDev, Inc., an Oklahoma corporation, was formed for the purpose of investigating and developing commercial space products in the state of Oklahoma. We currently have no plans to develop this business in Oklahoma.

On April 30, 2002, the Company was awarded Phase I of a contract to develop a Shuttle-compatible propulsion module for AFRL. We received an award for Phase II of the contract on March 28, 2003. We are using the project to further expand our MoTV technology and product line to satisfy government space transportation requirements. The first two phases of the contract have an estimated value of approximately \$2.5 million, of which \$100,000 was awarded for Phase I. In addition, Phase II can be expanded with an option, at the discretion of AFRL, for an additional \$1 million, which we expect may be awarded by spring 2004. Congress has already appropriated money for this project.

### BUSINESS STRATEGY

Our strategy is based on the belief that innovative advancements in technology and the application of standard business processes and practices will make access to space much more practical and affordable. We believe these factors will cause growth in certain areas of space commerce and will create new space markets and increased demand for our proprietary products.

Our business strategy is to:

- Introduce commercial business practices into the space arena, use off-the-shelf technology in innovative ways and standardize hardware and software to reduce costs and to increase reliability and profits;
- Start with small, practical and profitable projects, and leverage credibility and profits into larger and ever more bold initiatives - utilizing partnerships where appropriate;
- Bid, win and leverage government programs to fund our Research and Development ("R&D") and product development efforts;
- Integrate our smaller, low cost commercial spacecraft and hybrid space

## Edgar Filing: SPACEDEV INC - Form 10KSB

transportation systems to provide one-stop turnkey payload and/or data delivery services to target customers;

4

- Apply our low cost space products to new applications and to create new users, new markets and new revenue streams;

- Produce and fly commercial missions, in conjunction with partners and investors, throughout the inner solar system in the commercial beyond earth orbit "space"; and

- Join or establish a team to build a safe, affordable sub-orbital, passenger space plane to help initiate the space tourism business.

We believe that our business model, emphasizing smaller satellites, commercial approaches, technological simplicity, architectural and interface standardization and horizontal integration (i.e., "whole product"), provides the following advantages:

- Enables small-space customers to contract for end-to-end mission solutions, reducing the need for and complexity of finding other contractors for different project tasks;

- Decreases schedule time and lowers total project costs, thereby providing greater value and increases return on investment for us and our customers; and

- Creates barriers to entry by and competition from competitors.

### PRODUCTS AND SERVICES; MARKET

We currently have three primary lines of space products and services on which we believe a sound foundation and profitable, cash generating business can be built:

- Our Products - Microsatellites & Nanosatellites, BD-II Spacecraft Bus, MoTV (Maneuvering and orbital Transfer Vehicle) and Hybrid Propulsion and Launch Vehicle Systems;

- Our Subsystem Products - MFC (miniature flight computer), MS-VOS (micro space vehicle operating system), PC-DS (power conditioning and distribution system) and MST (miniature S-band transceiver); and,

- Our Services - Mission Analysis and Design, Spacecraft and Subsystem Design, Microsatellite and Nanosatellite Launches and Mission Control and Operations.

These products and services are being marketed and sold directly into primarily domestic government, university, military and commercial markets. Our business is not seasonal to any significant extent; however, our business follows normal industry trends such as increased demand during bullish economic periods, or slow-downs in demand during periods of recession.

In addition, we are working with partners to create new markets that can generate new space-related service, media, tourism and commercial revenue streams. While we believe that certain space market opportunities are still several years away, we are currently working with industry-leading partners to develop unique enabling technology for the potentially very large sub-orbital manned space plane tourism market; and, creating a new unmanned Beyond Earth Orbit commercial market with spacecraft derived from our NASA JPL Mars MicroMission and Boeing Lunar Orbiter mission design contracts.

## Edgar Filing: SPACEDEV INC - Form 10KSB

### OUR PRODUCTS

-----

Microsatellites & Nanosatellites - We design and build small, light, high-performance, reliable and affordable micro- and nanosatellites. The primary benefit of micro- and nanosatellites is lower cost and weight. Since we can dramatically reduce manufacturing costs and the costs to launch the satellites to earth-orbit and deep space, we can pass those cost savings on to our customers. Small, inexpensive satellites were once the exclusive domain of scientific and amateur groups; however, smaller satellites are now a viable alternative to larger, more expensive ones, as they provide cost-effective solutions to traditional problems. We design and build low cost, high performance space-mission solutions involving micro-satellites (generally less than 100 kg) and even smaller satellites (less than 50 kg). Our approach is to provide smaller spacecraft and compatible low cost, safe hybrid propulsion space systems to a growing market of commercial, government and potentially international customers.

5

BD-II (Boeing Delta-II compatible) spacecraft bus - We have a qualified microsatellite bus available to sell as a standard, fixed-price product to government and commercial customers needing an affordable satellite for small payloads. We began developing this product in 1999, when we were selected as the mission designer, spacecraft bus provider, integrator and mission operator of UCB Space Sciences Laboratory's ("SSL") Cosmic Hot Interstellar Plasma Spectrometer ("CHIPS") mission. CHIPSat was launched at 4:45 PM PST on January 12, 2003 from Vandenberg Air Force Base in California. The satellite achieved 3-axis stabilization with all individual components and systems successfully operating and continues to work well in orbit.

Maneuvering and orbital Transfer Vehicle ("MoTV") - Our MoTV system is a family of small, affordable, elegantly simple, throttleable, and restartable propulsion and integrated satellite products. Our MoTV can be used as a standard propulsion module to transport a customer's payload to different orbits. The MoTV provides the change in velocity and maneuvering capabilities to support a wide variety of applications for on-orbit maneuvering, proximity operations, rendezvous, inspection, docking, surveillance, protection, inclination changes and orbital transfers.

Hybrid Rocket Propulsion and Launch Vehicle System - We provide a wide variety of safe, clean, simple, reliable, cost-effective hybrid propulsion systems to safely and inexpensively enable satellites and on-orbit delivery systems to rendezvous and maneuver on-orbit and deliver payloads to sub-orbital altitudes. Hybrid rocket propulsion is a safe and low-cost technology that has tremendous benefits for current and future space missions. Our hybrid rocket propulsion technology features a simple design, is restartable, is throttleable and is easy to transport, handle and store. We acquired some of our expertise in hybrid propulsion technology from AMROC. We are using this technology to develop the responsive, affordable SpaceDev Streaker(TM) small launch vehicle under an Air Force contract.

### OUR SUBSYSTEM PRODUCTS

-----

Miniature Flight Computer ("MFC") - Our MFC is a high performance 300 million instructions per second ("MIPS") general-purpose space-qualified flight computer for a wide variety of space vehicles. It is cost-effective, has about ten times the performance-to-power ratio of current flight computers and only uses 0.5 to 6 watts of power, depending on its tasks. Our MFC has successfully passed



## Edgar Filing: SPACEDEV INC - Form 10KSB

manufacturing and environmental testing and over 14 months of reliable operations in low earth orbit ("LEO"), and is ready for civil, military and commercial spacecraft and launch vehicle applications.

6

Micro Space Vehicle Operating System ("MS-VOS") - Our MS-VOS is a small, fast, modular and layered operating system, similar to the operating systems of microcomputers. The modular nature of our MS-VOS and our other space products allow us to design and build affordable space solutions for our customers. We use industry-standard interfaces to increase reliability while reducing cost. Our MS-VOS combines standard protocols like TCP/IP, software components like VxWorks(R) and application software to effect real time command and control, scriptable autonomous vehicle control, scriptable data acquisition and telemetry.

Mission Control and Operations Software ("MC-OS") - Our MC-OS performs satellite command and control and data acquisition. This general purpose software permits direct command, control and data operations from any laptop computer anywhere in the world. The MC-OS satellite command and control is managed via user commands, batched command scripts and timed command scripts. MC-OS components include direct, real-time interactive Telnet communications with the satellite, file transfer protocol ("FTP") for file transfer between the ground station and satellite, a system security module which assigns users a password, command level and logs all user commands to disk, and a status window for monitoring MC-OS status.

Power Conditioning and Distribution System ("PC-DS") - Our PC-DS controls critical failsafe spacecraft functions, including battery charge control, bus voltage regulation, load power switching, current monitoring & limiting for the spacecraft and individual loads, and hardware load-shedding protection for spacecraft contingency management, and allows direct ground control of power switches. Our PC-DS is capable of keeping the spacecraft alive independent of any other spacecraft computers.

Our Miniature S-Band Transmitter ("MST") and Miniature S-Band Receiver ("MSR") are cost-effective solutions for low cost and low mass spacecraft. The MST and MSR feature lightweight state-of-the-art electronic circuitry designed to meet today's requirements for power efficient space-based communications hardware. The weight of the transmitter and receiver are 2.5-oz and 32-oz, respectively. These units leverage years of communications design heritage and have been operating on-orbit since the January 12, 2003 launch of CHIPSat, the first mission to be funded through NASA's UNEX Program and the first and only successful UNEX mission to date. The MST and MSR designs provide flexibility to meet customer requirements and options. Both units are designed to operate in most present day thermal, launch, and on-station LEO spacecraft environments.

### OUR SERVICES

-----

Mission Analysis and Design - We can provide end-to-end mission design and analysis, including the design of the mission and its science, commerce or technology demonstration goals, the design of an appropriate space vehicle (satellite or spacecraft), prototype development, construction and testing of the spacecraft, integration of one or more payloads (instruments, experiments or technologies) into the spacecraft, integration of the spacecraft onto the launch vehicle (rocket), the launch and the mission control and operations during the life of the mission. Many of our products and services are now qualified or are nearing qualification to assist with missions that orbit the earth, travel to another planetary body, or cruise through space taking measurements and transmitting valuable data back to Earth.

Spacecraft and Subsystem Design - We also provide reliable, affordable access to space through innovative solutions currently lacking in the marketplace. Our approach is to provide smaller spacecraft - generally 250 kg mass and less - and compatible hybrid propulsion space systems to commercial, university and government customers. The small spacecraft market is supported by the evolution and enabling of microelectronics, common hardware & software interface standards, and smaller launch vehicles. Reduction of the size and mass of traditional spacecraft electronics has reduced the overall spacecraft size, mass, and volume over the past 10 to 15 years. For example, our Miniature Flight Computer ("MFC") is only 24 cubic inches and provides 300 million instructions per second ("MIPS") of processing power versus a competitor's more "traditional" solution that requires about 63 cubic inches and only provides 10 MIPS.

Microsatellite & Nanosatellite Launches - To support the growth in customer demand within the small satellite market, we work with launch providers to identify and market affordable launch opportunities and to provide customers with a complete on-orbit data delivery service that combines our spacecraft and hybrid propulsion products. These innovative, low-cost, turnkey launch solutions will allow us to provide one-stop shopping for launch services, spacecraft, payload accommodation, total flight system integration and test and mission operations. The customer only needs to provide the payload, and we are capable to perform all the tasks required for the customer to get to orbit and to begin collecting their data.

Mission Control and Operations - Our mission control and operations center, located in our headquarters building near San Diego, coupled with our mission control and operations package, is uniquely Internet-based and allows for the operation and control of missions from anywhere in the world that has access to the Internet. CHIPSat is the first U.S. mission to use end-to-end satellite operations with TCP/IP and FTP. While this concept has been analyzed and demonstrated by the NASA OMNI team, CHIPSat is the first to implement the concept as the only means of satellite communication. A formation flying cluster or constellation of TCP/IP-based microsatellites, similar to the cluster of microsats we are developing for the Missile Defense Agency, can be designed to communicate directly with each other, as in a wide area network in space. Providing any one satellite/node in this network is in line-of-sight with any ground station at any given time, the entire constellation could always maintain ground station connectivity, thus creating a network on-orbit and on the web, a direct extension of CHIPSat's elegantly simple TCP/IP mission operations architecture.

#### COMPONENTS AND RAW MATERIALS

Although we may experience a shortage of certain parts and components related to our products, we have many alternative suppliers and distributors and are not dependent on any individual supplier or distributor. Furthermore, we have not experienced difficulty in our ability to obtain our parts or component materials, nor do we expect this to be an issue in the future.

#### COMPETITION

We compete for sales of our products and services based on price, performance, technical features, contracting approach, reliability, availability, customization, and, in some situations, geography. Our primary competition for low-cost propulsion systems using clean, safe, commercially available hybrid rocket motor technology comes from Cesaroni Technology Incorporated in Canada and their affiliates. While Lockheed Martin has demonstrated large-scale hybrid rocket capability, and there are a number of smaller enterprises, especially

## Edgar Filing: SPACEDEV INC - Form 10KSB

academic-based organizations, in the domestic market currently investigating various aspects of hybrid rocket technology, to-date we have seen limited competitive pressures arising from these organizations.

8

The primary domestic competition for unmanned earth-orbiting micro-satellites, unmanned deep space micro-spacecraft and micro-satellite subsystems as well as software systems comes from other small companies such as AeroAstro, Orbital Sciences and Spectrum Astro. The most established international competitors are Surrey Satellite Technology Limited ("SSTL") in the United Kingdom, OHB Systems in Germany, an OHB Technology AG Company, and EADS Astrium with locations throughout Western Europe. Swedish Space Corporation is also able to compete in the small-satellite arena, particularly in the European market. In addition to private companies, there are a limited number of universities in the United States that have the capability to produce reasonably simple micro-satellites; these include Weber State in Ogden, Utah and Colorado University in Boulder, Colorado.

While we believe that our product and service offerings provide a wide breadth of solutions for our customers and prospective customers, some of our competitors compete across many of our product lines. Several of our current and potential competitors have greater resources, including technical and engineering resources. We are not aware of any established large companies (e.g., Northrop Grumman, Lockheed Martin, Boeing), which have expressed corporate goals to design and build inexpensive micro-spacecraft for a mission, which would be our direct competition.

### REGULATION

Our business activities are regulated by various agencies and departments of the U.S. government and, in certain circumstances, the governments of other countries. Several government agencies, including NASA and the U.S. Air Force, maintain Export Control Offices to ensure that any disclosure of scientific and technical information complies with the Export Administration Regulations and the International Traffic in Arms Regulations ("ITAR"). Exports of the Company's products, services and technical data require either Technical Assistance Agreements ("TAAs") or licenses from the U.S. Department of State, depending on the level of technology being transferred. This includes recently published regulations restricting the ability of U.S.-based companies to complete offshore launches, or to export certain satellite components and technical data to any country outside the United States. The export of information with respect to ground-based sensors, detectors, high-speed computers, and national security and missile technology items are controlled by the Department of Commerce. The government is very strict with respect to compliance and has served notice that failure to comply with the ITAR and/or the Commerce Department regulations may subject guilty parties to fines of up to \$1 million and/or up to 10 years imprisonment per violation. The failure of the Company to comply with any of the foregoing regulations could have serious adverse effects as dictated by the rules associated with compliance to the ITAR regulations. Also, our ability to successfully market and sell into international markets may be severely hampered due to ITAR regulation requirements. Our conservative position is to consider any material beyond standard marketing material to be regulated by ITAR regulations. This year we began an active and comprehensive internal and external ITAR training program provided by our regulatory consulting firm, Q International Group, and the Society for International Affairs, both for our employees and our Empowered Official, Mr. Slansky. We also introduced in 2003 an Internal Export Compliance Control Program for defense articles and defense services controlled by the U.S. Department of State under ITAR.

9

## Edgar Filing: SPACEDEV INC - Form 10KSB

In addition to the standard local, state and national government regulations that all businesses must adhere to, the space industry has specific regulations. In the U.S., command and telemetry frequency assignments for space missions are primarily regulated by the Federal Communications Commission for our domestic commercial products. Our products geared toward domestic government customers are regulated by the National Telecommunications Information Agency and any of our products sold internationally, if any, are regulated by the International Telecommunications Union. All launch vehicles that are launched from a launch site in the United States must pass certain launch range safety regulations that are administered by the U.S. Air Force. In addition, all commercial space launches that we might perform require a license from DOT. Satellites that are launched must obtain approvals for command and frequency assignments. For international approvals, the FCC and NTIA obtain these approvals from the ITU. These regulations have been in place for a number of years to cover the large number of non-government commercial space missions that have been launched and put into orbit in the last 15 to 20 years. Any commercial deep space mission that we might perform would be subject to these regulations. Presently, we are not aware of any additional or unique government regulations related to commercial deep space missions.

We are also required to obtain permits, licenses, and other authorizations under federal, state, local and foreign statutes, laws or regulations or other governmental restrictions relating to the environment or to emissions, discharges or releases of pollutants, contaminants, petroleum or petroleum products, chemicals or industrial, toxic or hazardous substances or wastes into the environment including, without limitation, ambient air, surface water, ground water, or land, or otherwise relating to the manufacture, processing, distribution, use, treatment, storage, disposal, transport or handling of pollutants, contaminants, petroleum or petroleum products, chemicals or industrial, toxic or hazardous substances or wastes or the clean-up or other remediation thereof. Presently, we do not have a requirement to obtain any special environmental licenses or permits.

We may need to utilize the Deep Space Network on some of our missions. The DSN is a U.S. funded network of large antennas that supports interplanetary spacecraft missions and radio and radar astronomy observations for the exploration of the solar system and the universe. The network also supports selected Earth-orbiting missions. The network is a facility of NASA, and is managed and operated for NASA by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory. The Telecommunications and Mission Operations Directorate manages the program within JPL. Coordination for the use of this facility is arranged with the Telecommunications and Mission Operations Command.

### EMPLOYEES

At December 31, 2003, we employed approximately thirty (30) persons full and part-time, most of whom are aerospace, mechanical and electrical engineers. We expect to hire other personnel as necessary for completion of projects, product development, quality assurance, sales and marketing, finance and administration. In addition, due to the nature of our business, we anticipate that it may become necessary to lay off employees whose work is no longer required to maintain operations in order to prevent cost overruns. We do not have any collective bargaining agreements with our employees, and we believe our employee-relations are good.

### INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

We rely, in part, on patents, trade secrets and know-how to develop and maintain our competitive position and technological advantage. We intend to protect our intellectual property through a combination of patents, license agreements,

## Edgar Filing: SPACEDEV INC - Form 10KSB

trademarks, service marks, copyrights, trade secrets and other methods of restricting disclosure and transferring title. There can be no assurance that such applications will be granted. We have and intend to continue entering into confidentiality agreements with our employees, consultants and vendors; enter into license agreements with third parties; and, generally, seek to control access to and distribution of our intellectual property.

10

In August 1998, we acquired a license to intellectual property (including two patents and trade secrets) from an individual who had acquired them from the former AMROC, which specialized in hybrid rocket technology. We are obligated to issue warrants to this individual to purchase a minimum of 100,000 and a maximum of 3,000,000 shares of our common stock over ten years beginning at the inception of the agreement, depending on our annual revenues directly related to sales of hybrid technology-based products from the original technology acquisition. To date, we have issued warrants to purchase a total of 100,000 shares of our common stock under the agreement.

### ITEM 2. DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY

In January 2003, we entered into a sale and leaseback of our 25,000 square foot facility in Poway, California. Our facility includes a small Spacecraft Assembly and Test facility ("SAT") with an 1,800 square foot Class 100,000 clean room, avionics development lab, machine shop with rocket motor casting capability, mechanical assembly lab, and mission control and operations center. Key uses of our California facility are program and project conferences and meetings, engineering design, engineering analysis, spacecraft assembly, avionics labs and software labs and media outreach. We also have an Internet-based Mission Control and Operations Center in our building. Our facility allows for efficient design, assembly and test of our products and technologies.

We originally purchased our headquarter facility in December 1998, and as noted above we sold the facility and entered into a sale-leaseback in January 2003. The rent is approximately \$25,700 per month with a 3.5% COLA increase annually. We are responsible for property tax and liability insurance on the facility. We were required to make an advance payment in the form of a security deposit of approximately \$25,700, which we carry as an asset on our balance sheet. Our Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Benson, provided a guarantee for the leaseback. [See Notes 2 and 9(c) to our consolidated financial statements for additional information.] The original purchase price of the facility was \$1.1 million, and the selling price of the facility was \$3.2 million. The total debt repayment from the transaction was approximately \$2,407,000. The approximate net proceeds to us for working capital purposes was \$636,000.

### ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

On June 18, 2001, we entered into a relationship with two individuals (doing business as EMC Holdings Corporation ("EMC")), whereby EMC was to provide certain consulting and advisory services to us in exchange for our common stock. EMC received the first installment of 500,000 shares of our common stock on June 26, 2001. Total expense for the initial stock issuance through September 30, 2001 was valued at approximately \$455,000. Pursuant to a demand for arbitration filed by us on November 7, 2001, we sought the return of all or a portion of the shares issued to EMC. EMC filed its own claim with the American Arbitration Association on November 13, 2001, alleging that we owed EMC \$118,000 in fees, plus damages.

A three-day arbitration hearing was held in May and June 2002 with respect to claims arising out of consulting and advisory service agreements between EMC and

## Edgar Filing: SPACEDEV INC - Form 10KSB

us. On July 17, 2002, an interim award was issued in favor of us against EMC, ordering the return of the initial installment of 500,000 shares and denying EMC's claim for \$118,000. On October 22, 2002, a status conference was held and a tentative final award was issued again in the favor of us. Included in this tentative final ruling was an award of approximately \$83,000 in attorney and arbitration fees to us. The tentative final ruling became effective on October 29, 2002, and was submitted to the Superior Court of California, Orange County, for entry of judgment.

11

Because collection of the attorney and arbitration fees award is not assured, we expensed all of our fees related to this matter. Any recovery of fees will be recorded as income in the period they are received. The return of the 500,000 shares, as provided in the interim award issued on July 17, 2002, was recorded in the third quarter of 2002 as a reversal of the original expense recorded. See "Results of Operations" below. In June 2003, we ceased efforts to recover the awarded fees, as it was determined that the cost to pursue collection exceeded the likelihood of collection.

### ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

No matters were submitted to a vote of our shareholders during the fourth quarter of our fiscal year ended December 31, 2003.

12

## PART II

### ITEM 5. MARKET FOR COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

#### MARKET INFORMATION

Our common stock has been traded on the Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board ("OTCBB") since August 1998 under the symbol "SPDV" or "SPDV.OB." The following table sets forth the trading history of our common stock on the OTCBB for each quarter as reported by Yahoo Finance Historical Prices ([www.finance.yahoo.com](http://www.finance.yahoo.com)). The quotations reflect inter-dealer prices, without retail mark-up, markdown or commission and may not represent actual transactions.

QUARTER ENDING	QUARTERLY HIGH	QUARTERLY LOW
-----	-----	-----
3/31/2002	\$0.65	\$0.48
6/30/2002	\$0.64	\$0.43
9/30/2002	\$0.52	\$0.30
12/31/2002	\$0.50	\$0.29
3/31/2003	\$0.55	\$0.41
6/30/2003	\$0.75	\$0.33
9/30/2003	\$1.80	\$0.55
12/31/2003	\$1.15	\$0.81
3/31/2004	\$1.85	\$0.92

#### HOLDERS

As of March 4, 2004, there were over 200 holders of record of our common stock. We estimate the total number of beneficial owners of our common stock to be in excess of 2,500 holders. We believe that the number of beneficial owners is

Edgar Filing: SPACEDEV INC - Form 10KSB

substantially greater than the number of record holders because a significant portion of our outstanding common stock is held in broker "street names" for the benefit of individual investors.

13

DIVIDENDS

We have never paid a cash dividend on our Common Stock. Payment of dividends is at the discretion of the Board of Directors. The Board of Directors plans to retain earnings, if any, for operations and does not intend to pay dividends in the foreseeable future.

EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION

EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION

	(a)	(b)	
Plan category . . . . .	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding issuance options, warrants and rights reflected in column (a)	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Number of remaining future issued equity compensation plans (excluding . . . . .)
Equity compensation plans . . . . . approved by security holders	3,124,807	\$ 0.93	
Equity . . . . . compensation plans not approved by security holders	2,500,000	\$ 2.00	
Total . . . . .	5,624,807	\$ 1.47	

14

ITEM 6. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the Company's consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto and the other financial information appearing elsewhere in this document. Readers are also urged to carefully review and consider the various disclosures made by us which attempt to advise interested parties of the factors which affect our business, including without limitation our General Registration Statement on Form 10SB12G/A filed January 28, 2000 as well as any or all of our recent filings including prior year 10-KSB and quarterly 10-QSB filings.

## Edgar Filing: SPACEDEV INC - Form 10KSB

In addition to historical information, the following discussion and other parts of this document may contain forward-looking statements. These statements relate to future events or our future financial performance. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as "may," "will," "should," "expect," "plan," "anticipate," "believe," "estimate," "predict," "potential," or "continue," the negative of such terms or other comparable terminology. These statements are only predictions. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements. Moreover, neither we nor any other person assumes responsibility for the accuracy and completeness of the forward-looking statements. We undertake no obligation to publicly update any of the forward-looking statements after the date of this report to conform such statements to actual results or to changes in our expectations.

Actual results could differ materially from those anticipated by such forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, the level of sales to key customers; the economic conditions affecting our industry; actions by competitors; fluctuations in the price of raw materials; the availability of outside contractors at prices favorable to the Company; our dependence on single-source or a limited number of suppliers; our ability to protect our proprietary technology; market conditions influencing prices or pricing; an adverse outcome in potential litigation, claims and other actions by or against us; technological changes and introductions of new competing products; the current recession; terrorist attacks or acts of war, particularly given the acts of terrorism against the United States on September 11, 2001 and subsequent military responses by the United States and coalition forces; mission disasters such as the loss of the space shuttle Columbia on February 1, 2003 during its re-entry into earth's atmosphere; ability to retain key personnel; changes in market demand; exchange rates; productivity; weather; and market and economic conditions in the areas of the world in which we operate and market our products. These are factors that we think could cause our actual results to differ materially from expected and historical events.

### OVERVIEW

We are engaged in the conception, design, development, manufacture, integration and operations of space technology systems, products and services. We are currently focused on the commercial and military development of low-cost micro-satellites, nano-satellites and related subsystems, hybrid rocket propulsion for space, launch and human flight vehicles as well as associated engineering and technical services primarily to government agencies, and specifically the Department of Defense. Our products and solutions are sold, mainly on a project-basis, directly to these customers and include sophisticated micro- and nano-satellites, hybrid rocket-based launch vehicles, Maneuvering and orbital Transfer Vehicles ("MoTVs") as well as safe sub-orbital and orbital hybrid rocket-based propulsion systems. Although we believe there will be a commercial market for our micro-satellite and nano-satellite products and services in the long-term, the early adopters of this technology appears to be the military and our "products" are considered to be the outcome of specific projects. We are also developing commercial hybrid rocket motors for possible use in small launch vehicles, targets and sounding rockets and small high performance space vehicles and subsystems for commercial customers.

We were incorporated under the laws of the State of Colorado on December 23, 1996 as Pegasus Development Group, Inc. ("PDGI"). SpaceDev, LLC of Colorado was originally formed in 1997 for commercial space exploration and was the sole owner of shares of common stock of SpaceDev (a Nevada corporation) ("SpaceDev"),



## Edgar Filing: SPACEDEV INC - Form 10KSB

formed on August 22, 1997. On October 22, 1997, PDGI issued 8,245,000 of its \$.0001 par value common stock for 100 percent (1,000,000 shares) of SpaceDev's common stock owned by SpaceDev, LLC. Upon the acquisition of the SpaceDev stock, SpaceDev was merged into PDGI and, on December 17, 1997, PDGI changed its name to SPACEDEV, INC. After the merger, SpaceDev, LLC, changed its name to SD Holdings, LLC on December 17, 1997. We became a publicly traded company in October 1997 and are trading on the Nasdaq Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board ("OTCBB") under the symbol of "SPDV."

### SELECTION OF SIGNIFICANT CONTRACTS

On March 31, 2004, we were awarded a \$43,362,271, five-year, cost-plus-fixed fee indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity contract to conduct a micro satellite distributed sensing experiment, an option for a laser communications experiment, and other micro satellite studies and experiments as required in support of the Advanced Systems Deputate of the Missile Defense Agency. This effort will be accomplished in a phased approach. The total five-year contract has a ceiling amount of \$43,362,271. The principal place of performance will be Poway, California. We expect to complete the work under the contract before February 2009. Government contract funds will not expire at the end of the current government fiscal year. The micro satellite distributed sensing experiment is intended to design and build up to six responsive, affordable, high performance micro satellites to support national missile defense. The milestone-based, multiyear, multiphase contract has an effective start date of March 1, 2004. The first phase is expected to be completed this year and will result in detailed mission and microsat designs. The estimated first phase revenue is \$1.1 million. The overall contract calls for us to analyze, design, develop, fabricate, integrate, test, operate and support a networked cluster of three formation-flying boost phase and midcourse tracking microsattelites, with an option to design, develop, fabricate, integrate, test, operate and support a second cluster of three formation flying microsats to be networked on-orbit with high speed laser communications technology. The second phase is anticipated to begin September 1, 2004 and run through 2005.

On October 2, 2003, we were awarded an exclusive, follow-on contract to provide the hybrid rocket motor systems and components for SpaceShipOne. We provide our facilities, resources and a team of launch vehicle and hybrid propulsion engineers & technical personnel in continued support of the SpaceShipOne program. The contract called for us to use our best efforts to satisfy the requirements of the SpaceShipOne program, based on our experience with the prior phases. We are to provide two sets of re-usable flight test hardware, including a bulkhead, commonly known as the SpaceDev bulkhead, machined in the flight configuration, a main oxidizer valve of the current design and associated interfaces and plumbing to the SpaceDev bulkhead, a motor control system, igniter housings, pressure transducers, and thermocouples as required for input to the motor control system. In addition, we are to produce and assemble test motors, including but not limited to, all expendable or semi-reusable materials as defined by our baseline design motor. We are also to provide on-site engineering test support and post-test analysis. Provisions are made in the contract for minimum monthly payments in the event of customer schedule slippage as well as additional levels of support via engineering change orders, if required. The total contract value is estimated at \$429,000. Approximately \$115,000 of revenue was realized in the year ending December 31, 2003, with approximately \$35,000 from engineering change orders and the remaining \$80,000 from the contract.

On July 24, 2003, we were awarded a contract by Lunar Enterprise of California ("LEC") for a first phase project to begin developing a conceptual mission and spacecraft design for a lunar lander program. The unmanned mission will be

## Edgar Filing: SPACEDEV INC - Form 10KSB

designed to put a small dish antenna near the south pole of the Moon. From that location it will be in near-constant sunlight for solar power generation, and should be able to perform multi-wavelength astronomy while communicating with ground stations on Earth. The contract value was \$100,000 and was completed by November 2003. We believe that there is a possibility for a follow-on phase of \$140,000 to further analyze launch opportunities, spacecraft design, trajectory possibilities, potential landing areas, available technologies for a small radio astronomy system, and communications and data handling requirements. This phase, if awarded, would be targeted for a mid-2004 completion. Although this project is currently unfunded, if the project were to proceed past the analysis stage, the total mission cost could exceed \$50-\$75 million. Again, we can give no assurance that the contract will be awarded to us. Revenues for the year ending December 31, 2003 were approximately \$70,000.

Also on July 9, 2003, we were awarded a second contract by the Missile Defense Agency ("MDA") to explore the use of micro-satellites ("microsats") in national missile defense. Our microsats are operated over the Internet and are capable of pointing and tracking targets in space or on the ground. This study explored fast response microsat launch and commissioning; small, low-power passive sensors; target acquisition and tracking; formation flying and local area networking within a cluster of microsats; and an extension of our proven use of the Internet for on-orbit command, control and data handling. The contract was successfully concluded on February 27, 2004. The total contract value was \$800,000 with approximately \$481,000 of revenue realized in the year ending December 31, 2003 and approximately \$319,000 of revenue realized in the first quarter of 2004. The total value of our microsatellite studies for MDA was over \$1 million in 2003. This second contract is being considered an investigatory phase by MDA. (See Note 11. Subsequent Events to the Consolidated Financial Statements.)

On July 9, 2003, we were awarded a Phase I Small Business Innovation Research ("SBIR") contract by Air Force Research Lab ("AFRL") to design and begin the development of the SpaceDev Streaker(TM) small launch vehicle ("SLV"). SpaceDev Streaker(TM) will be designed to responsively and affordably lift up to 1,000 pounds to Low Earth Orbit ("LEO"). The SpaceDev Streaker(TM) SLV concept is based on a proprietary combination of technologies to increase the performance of hybrid rocket motor technology. Hybrid rocket motors are a combination of solid fuel and liquid oxidizer, and can be relatively safe, clean, non-explosive, and storable, and can be throttled, shut down and restarted. This contract is valued at approximately \$100,000, is a fixed price, milestone-based agreement, which should be completed within one year. We believe that this SBIR will move into Phase II valued at approximately \$750,000 of carry-forward work for us, plus an additional \$750,000 of funds provided by Congress. This money will be used to develop and test fire our large Common Core Booster for the SpaceDev Streaker(TM) launch vehicle. We believe that there may be some interest by Congress in providing additional matching funding to expand and accelerate the scope of the work; however, there can be no assurance that such work will be awarded to us. Revenues for the year ending December 31, 2003 were approximately \$50,000.

17

On July 9, 2003, we were awarded a Phase I contract to develop micro- and nano-satellite bus and subsystem designs. This AFRL SBIR contract, valued at approximately \$100,000, will enable us to explore the further miniaturization of our unique and innovative microsat subsystems. It will also enable us to explore ways to reduce the time and cost to build small satellites through further standardization in order to help define de facto standards for payload hardware and software interfaces. The contract is fixed price, milestone-based and should be completed within one year. We believe that this SBIR will move into Phase II valued at approximately \$750,000 of carry-forward work for us;

## Edgar Filing: SPACEDEV INC - Form 10KSB

however, there can be no assurance that such work will be awarded to us. Revenues for the year ending December 31, 2003 were approximately \$40,000.

On April 30, 2002, we were awarded Phase I of a contract to develop a Shuttle-compatible propulsion module for the AFRL. We received an award for Phase II of the contract on March 28, 2003, and will use the project to further expand our product line to satisfy commercial and government space transportation requirements. The first two phases of the contract (including an additional add-on option) are worth up to approximately \$2.5 million, of which \$100,000 was awarded for Phase I, and approximately \$1.4 million was awarded for Phase II. AFRL Phase II is a cost-plus contract. We anticipate that to complete AFRL Phase II, additional time and funding will be required. We are currently negotiating with the AFRL for a small extension of Phase II in order to complete the work, which we anticipate will be granted in the second quarter of 2004. In addition to the Phase I and Phase II awards, there is an option worth approximately \$1 million pending initiation. The option has been awarded and work will begin once certain milestones are met to the satisfaction of the AFRL project manager. The additional funding to complete AFRL Phase II may come from the \$1 million option; thereby, requiring a reduction in the original scope of the option. We anticipate a successful resolution to the AFRL II contract extension. Revenues for the year ending December 31, 2003 were approximately \$29,600 for Phase I and \$997,000 for Phase II.

On June 18, 2001, we entered into a relationship with two individuals (doing business as EMC Holdings Corporation ("EMC")) whereby EMC was to provide certain consulting and advisory services to us. EMC received the first installment of 500,000 shares of our common stock on June 26, 2001. Total expense for the initial stock issuance through September 30, 2001 was approximately \$455,000. Pursuant to a demand for arbitration filed by us on November 7, 2001, we sought the return of all or a portion of the shares issued to EMC. Following a three-day arbitration in May and June 2002, on July 17, 2002, an interim award was issued in favor of us against EMC, ordering the return of the initial installment of our 500,000 shares and denying EMC's own claim for \$118,000. On October 22, 2002, a tentative final award was issued in our favor including an award of approximately \$83,000 in attorney and arbitration fees to us. The tentative final ruling became effective on October 29, 2002, and has been submitted to the Superior Court of California, Orange County, for entry of judgment. Because collection of the attorney and arbitration fees award is not assured, we expensed all of our fees related to this matter. Any recovery of the fees will be recorded as income in the period they are received; however, at this time, we do not expect any recovery and in June 2003, we ceased efforts to recover the awarded fees, as it was determined that the cost to pursue collection exceeded the likelihood of collection. The return of our 500,000 shares, as provided in the interim award issued on July 17, 2002, was recorded in the third quarter of 2002 as a reversal of the original expense recorded. Because the original expense was not recorded as an extraordinary item, the reversal of the expense did not qualify as an extraordinary item.

18

In September 2001, we were awarded a contract for a proprietary propulsion research program (for what is now called Scaled Composites' SpaceShipOne) valued at approximately \$1.6 million. Total revenue was extended to \$1.8 million in April 2002 and the contract expired on July 31, 2003, after all work on Phase II was completed. As a part of this commercial propulsion program, we competed with another vendor to design a hybrid propulsion system. On September 19, 2003, we won the competition and were awarded an exclusive contract for the proprietary components and technology to power the hybrid rocket motor. The new total contract value is estimated to be approximately \$650,000. Revenues from this contract during the year ending December 31, 2003 were approximately \$80,000 and we anticipate that the contract will continue providing revenue

## Edgar Filing: SPACEDEV INC - Form 10KSB

opportunity for us through 2004. In addition, there have been several time and materials engineering work orders issued to support the ongoing program, during 2003 we received approximately \$35,000 in revenue from these work orders and expect continuation of this work during 2004.

In April 2001, we were awarded one of four \$1.0 million contracts from NASA's Jet Propulsion Laboratory in Pasadena, California. As part of a Boeing-led team, we participated in a study of the options for a potential Mars sample return mission in 2011. The contract ran from April through October 2001. Our revenue from this contract in 2002 was approximately \$7,000 and there was no revenue from this contract in 2003.

In November 1999, we won a \$4.9 million turnkey mission contract by the Space Sciences Laboratory ("SSL") at UCB. We were competitively selected by UCB/SSL to design, build, integrate, test and operate, for one year, a small NASA-sponsored scientific, Earth-orbiting spacecraft called CHIPSat. CHIPSat is the first and only successful mission of NASA's low-cost University-Class Explorer ("UNEX") series to date. CHIPSat launched as a secondary payload on a Delta-II rocket on January 12, 2003. The satellite achieved 3-axis stabilization, meaning it was pointing and tracking properly, with all individual components and systems successfully operating and is continuing to work well in orbit after one year. In 2000, we reviewed the contract status at year-end and determined that the total estimated costs at the end of the program would exceed the likely revenue. As a result, we accrued a loss of approximately \$860,000 based on the expected contract modification of \$600,000, which was approved on June 15, 2001. On November 28, 2001, a second contract modification was signed with UCB, which added approximately \$1.2 million to the contract as well as an increase in contract scope. This increased the total contract revenue to approximately \$6.8 million and reduced the total expected loss on the contract to approximately \$460,000. During 2002, an additional contract modification for approximately \$400,000 was signed, which also increased the contract value and increased the scope of the contract to the current value of the CHIPSat project of approximately \$7.4 million, thereby increasing the total expected loss to approximately \$514,000. In retrospect, some of the CHIPSat expenses creating the loss could have been recorded as research and development costs associated with our ongoing satellite design and development programs. As of December 31, 2003, the total contract costs were expended. Revenues for the years ending 2003 and 2002 were approximately \$356,000 and \$1.7 million, respectively. The original support contract expired on December 31, 2003. CHIPSat is still operating successfully and providing UCB with new and interesting data. UCB requested to extend the program and we recently negotiated a new time and materials contract in the form of a purchase order with UCB for continuing support of this project.

19

In February 1998, our operations were expanded with the acquisition of Integrated Space Systems, Inc. ("ISS"), a California corporation founded for the purpose of providing engineering and technical services related to space-based systems. The ISS employee base, acquired upon acquisition, largely consisted of former commercial Atlas launch vehicle engineers and managers who worked for General Dynamics and expanded our then current employee base to 20 employees. ISS was purchased for approximately \$3.6 million, paid in Rule 144 restricted common shares of SpaceDev. Goodwill of approximately \$3.5 million was capitalized and was to be amortized over a period of 60 months, based on the purchase price exceeding the net asset value of approximately \$164,000. As a result of a change in corporate focus, on November 15, 2001, we determined that the unamortized balance of goodwill from ISS, which was approximately \$923,000, had become impaired and it was written off. While the ISS segment did provide small hybrid propulsion space systems and engineering services on separate contracts (mainly with government agencies), the engineering service contracts

## Edgar Filing: SPACEDEV INC - Form 10KSB

had expired and, therefore, would not be producing revenue or cash flow to support future operations. We determined that all future business, contracts and proposals would be sought after only in the SpaceDev name, making it a more efficient way for us to manage and track multiple contracts and work on many different business ventures at the same time within the same operating segment. All activities have been integrated into SpaceDev, Inc. and we filed for dissolution of ISS in December 2003.

### RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Please refer to the consolidated financial statements, which are a part of this report, for further information regarding the results of operations.

#### YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2003 -VS.- YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002

During the year ending December 31, 2003, we had net sales of approximately \$2,960,000 as compared to net sales of approximately \$3,370,000 for the same period in 2002. Sales declined primarily due to government delays in finalizing the follow-on contracts for AFRL and MDA and to customer delays on SpaceShipOne. Sales in 2003 reflected the substantial completion of CHIPSat and the completion of the original SpaceShipOne contract, AFRL Phase I and MDA Phase I, while a new exclusive proprietary propulsion contract (SpaceShipOne), began on October 2, 2003, a new contract with MDA began on July 9, 2003, a new contract with AFRL began on July 9, 2003 and a new contract with Lunar Enterprises began on July 24, 2003. The total value of the MDA, AFRL and Lunar Enterprises contracts were \$800,000, \$1.4 million and \$100,000, respectively. Revenues for the year ending December 31, 2003 were comprised of approximately \$29,600 and \$997,000 from AFRL Phase I and II, respectively, \$397,000 and \$115,000 from the original and new exclusive proprietary propulsion contracts (SpaceShipOne), respectively, \$250,000 and \$481,000 from MDA Phase I and II, respectively, \$356,000 from the CHIPSat program, \$100,000 from the contract by Lunar Enterprises of California and approximately \$220,400 from all other programs. During the same period of 2002, sales were comprised of approximately \$1.7 million from the CHIPSat program, approximately \$1.2 million from the original SpaceShipOne propulsion development program, approximately \$300,000 from the completion of our outstanding government grants, approximately \$70,000 from Phase I of the AFRL project and approximately \$130,000 from all other programs.

20

For the year ending December 31, 2003, we had costs of sales (direct and allocated costs associated with individual contracts) of approximately \$2,415,000, or 82% of net sales, as compared to approximately \$3,348,000 or 99% of net sales, during the same period in 2002. The decrease in cost of sales was primarily due to a lower overall cost structure, combined with the implementation of stronger cost controls and project monitoring. Also, we altered our cost allocation method in the second quarter of 2003 as we completed CHIPSat, our main fixed price contract at the time, and began work on our new AFRL and MDA cost plus contracts. We continue to focus efforts on developing project management skills and reports to assist in the efficient and effective management of our projects. The gross margin percentage for the year ending December 31, 2003 was 18% of net sales, an increase of 16% of net sales, as compared to 2% of net sales for the period in 2002.

We experienced an increase of approximately \$1,364,000 in operating expenses from approximately \$66,000, or 2% of net sales, in the year ending December 31, 2002 to approximately \$1,430,000, or 48% of net sales, for the year ending December 31, 2003. Operating expenses include general and administrative expenses ("G&A"), marketing and sales expenses and research and development expenses as well as stock and stock option based compensation expenses. In 2002, we experienced a one-time reversal for the EMC transaction (see EMC

## Edgar Filing: SPACEDEV INC - Form 10KSB

Holdings Corporation transaction in MD&A Overview Section above). The increase in operating expenses for the year ending would have been approximately \$905,000, rather than the stated \$1,360,000 increase, without the one-time EMC reversal. The following comparisons are based on total operating expenses excluding the effects of the one-time EMC reversal.

- Marketing and sales expenses accounted for approximately 15% of the increase in operating expenses, from approximately \$258,000, or 8% of net sales, for the year ending December 31, 2002, to approximately \$395,000, or 13% of net sales, during the same period in 2003, mainly due to our decision to expand our marketing and sales department and add a Vice President of Marketing and Product Development. Although our Vice President of Marketing and Product Development is no longer with us, our CEO, Mr. Benson is leading our marketing & sales efforts and most of his expenses are being charged to this department.

- Research and development ("R&D") expenses accounted for approximately 31% of the increase in operating expenses. We began incurring R&D expenses of approximately \$281,000, or 10% of net sales, during the year ending December 31, 2003. Approximately \$192,000 of R&D was in connection with our hybrid rocket propulsion design system and technologies and the remaining \$89,000 was part of our satellite bus design and development.

- Approximately 1% of the increase in operating expenses came from stock and stock option based compensation expense. During the year ending December 31, 2003, we had an increase in stock and stock option based compensation expense from approximately (\$452,000), or (14%) of net sales, in 2002 to approximately \$9,000 or 0% of net sales during the same period in 2003. This increase was mainly due to the reversal of stock compensation from the EMC arbitration ruling as noted above.

- G&A expenses accounted for approximately 53% of the increase in operating expenses. The increase in G&A expenses from approximately \$261,000 for the year ending December 31, 2002 to approximately \$746,000 for the same period in 2003 was primarily due to new rent charges of approximately \$291,000 (we owned the building in 2002 and incurred interest expense on loans but not rental payments) plus one-time revolving credit facility expenses of approximately \$42,000 and an increase in G&A labor expense with the hiring of our Chief Financial Officer, offset by a reduction in G&A labor expense of \$92,000 primarily due to the loss of our Vice President of Operations.

21

Non-operating expense/(income) consists of interest expense, non-cash debt discount expense and deferred gain on the sale of our building, as well as, other loan fees and expenses.

- Interest expense for the year ending December 31, 2003 and 2002 was approximately \$91,000, or 3% of net sales, and \$263,000, or 8% of net sales, respectively. The decrease was due to the building sale on January 31, 2003, which eliminated building debt and reduced overall interest on the notes associated with the building. We continue to pay interest expense on certain capital leases and settlement notes. In addition, we accrued interest expense related to our related party note, convertible debentures and our revolving credit facility. In the years ending December 31, 2003 and 2002, the accrued interest on our related party note was approximately \$47,000 and \$45,000 respectively. We also accrued and paid approximately \$18,000 of interest on our convertible notes and accrued approximately \$14,000 of interest, \$42,000 of fees and \$126,000 of non-cash loan fees on our revolving credit facility for the year ending December 31, 2003.

- In conjunction with our convertible notes, we recorded a convertible note

## Edgar Filing: SPACEDEV INC - Form 10KSB

debt discount of \$475,000 related to warrants that accompanied the convertible debt issue in 2002; however, since we made a partial repayment and the note holders converted the remaining balance and forfeited half of their warrants, the debt discount amount was reduced from \$475,000 to \$237,500. The reduction is exclusively attributable to forfeiture of half of the original warrants. During the year ending December 31, 2003, the convertible debt was eliminated. A debt discount adjustment of approximately \$234,000 was made and the ending balance of \$112,500 was recorded on the statement of operations for the year.

- We recognized approximately \$107,500 of the deferred gain on the sale of the building during the year ending December 31, 2003 and we will continue to amortize the remaining deferred gain of approximately \$1,065,000 into non-operating income over the remainder of the lease. In relation to the gain we received on the building, we also accrued an income tax payable expense of \$40,000 at March 31, 2003 of which none remained at December 31, 2003. The reduction of the income tax payable was due to a change in estimate based on the loss we experienced during the year.

- We realized loan fees related to our revolving credit facility and expenses related to the conversion of notes to common stock below fair market value of approximately \$258,000 for the year ending December 31, 2003. We anticipate additional expenses related to similar note to equity conversions in the quarters ahead.

During the year ending December 31, 2003, we incurred a net loss of approximately \$1,246,000, or 42% of net sales, compared to a net loss of approximately \$376,000, or 11% of net sales, for the same period in 2002. During the year ending December 31, 2003, we incurred an EBITDA (earnings before interest taxes depreciation and amortization) of approximately