AGILE SOFTWARE CORP Form 10-K July 25, 2001

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C.

\_\_\_\_\_

FORM 10-K

[X]ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended April 30, 2001

OR

[\_]TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission file number 000-27071

\_\_\_\_\_

AGILE SOFTWARE CORPORATION (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

One Almaden Boulevard, San Jose, California 95113-2253
(408) 975-3900

(Address and telephone number of principal executive offices)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

77-0397905 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:  $\label{eq:None} \mbox{None}$ 

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Common Stock, \$.001 par value

(Title of each class)

\_\_\_\_\_

Indicate by check mark whether the Company (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Company was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes [X] No [\_]

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definite proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III

of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. [\_]

The aggregate market value of Agile Software Corporation Common stock, \$.001 par value, held by non-affiliates as of June 30, 2001 was \$636,904,136 based upon the last sales price reported for such date on the Nasdaq National Market System. For purposes of this disclosure, shares of common stock held by persons who held more than 5% of the outstanding shares of common stock and shares held by officers and directors of the registrant, have been excluded in that such persons may be deemed to be affiliates. The determination of affiliate status is not necessarily a conclusive determination for other purposes.

Number of shares of Common Stock of Agile Software Corporation issued and outstanding as of June 30, 2001 was 47,795,687

#### DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

The registrant has incorporated by reference into Part III of this Form 10-K portions of its proxy statement for the registrant's Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held September 26, 2001.

-----

# AGILE SOFTWARE CORPORATION FORM 10-K APRIL 30, 2001

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page
		PART I	
ITEM ITEM ITEM ITEM	2.	BUSINESS  PROPERTIES  LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.  SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS	1 26 26 26
		PART II	
ITEM ITEM	6. 7.	MARKET FOR THE COMPANY'S COMMON STOCK AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS	26 28 30 39 41
		CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING	
		AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE	63
		PART III	
ITEM	11.	DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT  EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	63 63
ITEM	13.	CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS	63

PART IV

i

#### PART I

#### ITEM 1. BUSINESS

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements (within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended). These statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties, and other factors that may cause our or our industry's actual results to differ materially from those implied by the forward-looking statements. We use words such as "may," "will," "should," "expects," "estimates," "predicts," "potential," "strategy," "believes," "anticipates," "plans" and "intends" and similar expressions to identify these forward looking statements. We have based these statements on our current expectations and projections about future events. You should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which apply only as of the date of this Annual Report. Our actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements for many reasons including those discussed in "Risk Factors" and elsewhere in this Annual Report. Agile Software Corporation, incorporated on March 13, 1995 under the laws of California and reincorporated on June 22, 1999 under the laws of Delaware, is hereinafter sometimes referred to as "the Registrant," "the Company, " "Agile, " "We, " and "Us."

#### Overview

We develop and market collaborative manufacturing commerce solutions that speed the "build" and "buy" process across the virtual manufacturing network. We believe that our products improve time to volume, customer responsiveness and cost of goods sold. Our products manage product content and critical communication, collaboration and commerce transactions among original equipment manufacturers, electronic manufacturing services providers, customers and suppliers in real-time. Our products are well suited for participants connected in outsourced supply chains, as well as those managing multi-site engineering, manufacturing, sales and distribution via the Internet. Since June 1996, when we shipped our first product, we have licensed our products to more than 700 customers in the following markets: computers and peripherals, components, consumer electronics, data networking and telecommunications equipment, electronics manufacturing, medical equipment and semiconductor equipment. Our current customers in these markets include, among others, Amkor, Altera, Dell Computer, Flextronics International, GE Medical Systems, Hewlett-Packard, Jabil Circuit, Lucent Technologies, Philips, SCI and Texas Instruments.

#### Industry Background

The competitive environment for companies engaged in the manufacture and supply of products has intensified dramatically and expanded globally in recent years. This trend has been driven principally by productivity improvements arising from advances in technology and growing customer expectations for feature-rich products delivered quickly and at competitive prices. To remain competitive, companies are adopting new strategies to address these challenges.

Many companies are shifting from traditional manufacturing approaches, where

a manufacturer controls most phases of the manufacturing process from raw materials to finished goods, to a manufacturing process where much or all of the manufacturing process is outsourced to multiple companies as part of a supply chain.

By outsourcing their production, some companies have created supply chains that are more efficient, dynamic and flexible than manufacturing operations that control all phases of the manufacturing process internally. Use of the outsourced supply chain has afforded companies the flexibility to choose top suppliers and partners to make each link in the supply chain more competent, innovative and productive. As companies operate on a global basis, supply chains can span multiple continents, tying suppliers in one part of the world with a plant in another to serve customers in a third location. The end result is that companies can bring their products to market more efficiently while at the same time achieving higher levels of customer satisfaction.

1

#### Managing the Outsourced Supply Chain

A critical aspect of managing the outsourced supply chain across multiple suppliers is finding effective ways to store, access, and share information within the company as well as with all supply-chain partners during each stage of the production process. Procuring direct materials used in the production process from a multitude of sources across the globe is also a challenging task. Different stages of the production process generate many complex types of data that need to be shared across the supply chain. There are many types of data and a vast number of information flows that can occur in the production process.

Product Content. During the product design stage, the company must communicate large amounts of data within the company as well as to supply-chain partners. The company begins by designating the content of the finished product with a list of components known as the bill of materials. The components on this list can be divided into two classes: "buy" or "make." For the "buy" components, also called off-the-shelf components, specifications for each part must be determined and information must be collected and analyzed to determine if the available components meet the required specifications. Once eliqible components have been selected, the manufacturers of the components are incorporated into the approved-manufacturers list. For customized, or "make" components, other data are created, including: assembly drawings, detailing precisely how the component should be fabricated; work instructions, which guide the manual assembly process; machine instructions, to drive automated manufacturing and assembly equipment; art work, for processes such as printed circuit board fabrication; schematics, for describing electronic components and assemblies; and test instructions, which enable the suppliers and original equipment manufacturers to test for conformity to the manufacturer's specifications.

Direct Materials Sourcing. Manufacturers must eliminate inefficiency in the sourcing process by speeding the communication between buyers and suppliers, and by performing the tedious aggregation work, such as compiling and analyzing hundreds of responses to requests for quotations. Companies desire to remain competitive by reducing the average quote turnaround time from weeks to hours. Companies also want to aggregate demand for direct materials across the enterprise, enabling them to use volume purchasing to negotiate better procurement contracts. Requests for quotations can be sent to multiple suppliers simultaneously, with no more effort than sending to a single supplier, fostering a much more competitive pricing environment, helping companies procure materials at the lowest possible cost.

New Product Introduction. Prior to commencing volume production, the data created during the product design stage must be communicated to each relevant party in the supply chain. One of the complexities of the outsourced supply chain model is that supply chain members often have multiple discrete roles, including sourcing parts, fabrication, assembling components, testing and delivery. In addition, the manufacture of a product such as a personal computer can involve procurement from several hundred suppliers. Ensuring that accurate product information is disseminated promptly and to all of the correct parties is one of the most difficult challenges facing a company employing the outsourced supply chain model. Further, suppliers may often discover constraints and/or opportunities for improvements during the prototyping and pilot production phases—this often prompts a flurry of product changes requiring rapid collaboration among supply chain partners to avoid delays and excessive start—up or inventory costs.

Volume Production and Product Changes. Product specifications frequently change even during volume production. Changes can occur due to a number of reasons, including:

- . changes in design in response to customer requests or market conditions;
- changes required to address a defect in the design or to improve the manufacturing process; and
- . changes in the cost or availability of components.

The communication of information regarding product changes is a dynamic loop in which members of the supply chain must respond to market-dictated demands while also reacting to information shared among supply-chain partners. It is difficult to execute a design change through the manufacturing process expeditiously

2

and effectively, while minimizing cost. A design change requires a company to create an engineering change order; develop the specifications required by the engineering change order; secure the necessary approvals to effect the change; and communicating the change to the supply chain.

This problem is especially complex for companies operating in a market where product specifications or volume requirements may be changing continuously. For example, the requirements of a personal computer manufacturer that builds products to order may change continuously during each day as information regarding orders is received from customers or its sales force.

To address these challenges, many companies have implemented software systems that govern supply chain management, electronic data interchange, product data management and enterprise resource planning. However, many of these products were not designed to interconnect multiple companies in an outsourced supply chain, and therefore do not fully address the need for supply chain collaboration. Electronic data interchange, a software system that facilitates interconnection and exchange of data, is expensive to install and maintain and therefore is viable only to large organizations that can justify the cost. Other methods of communication and collaboration within the supply chain, including phone, paper-based solutions such as courier or fax, or e-mail or web page sources, are not linked in real-time and are slow, incomplete and often inaccurate.

As product changes become more frequent and time-to-market becomes increasingly important, the ability to manage the manufacturing process effectively becomes critical to a company's competitiveness. A company that can

disseminate information quickly and accurately to the appropriate supply chain partners may be in a position to compete effectively. However, a company that is agile and can effectively collaborate with its supply chain partners in real time can gain competitive advantage. For example, through collaboration with its supply chain partners, a company may learn that a component is not readily available due to lack of supply or that a new component is available which might substantially reduce costs or improve manufacturing efficiencies. Instead of continuing to rely on the originally selected component, the company may incorporate another component in the product design and notify partners before these components are incorporated into new products. By doing so, the company has the opportunity to increase revenues by maintaining product availability or increase profits by taking advantage of lower cost components more quickly.

#### Impact of the Internet

Companies that have successfully implemented strategies to communicate with their customers over the Internet now face the challenge of utilizing the Internet and intranets to gain the same level of increased efficiencies in their supply chain. An Internet-based software solution can offer scalability, easier implementation, compatibility across diverse information technology platforms and reduced incremental infrastructure investments. However, many companies are wary of major software development projects due to their cost, together with examples of complications encountered by enterprise application development projects undertaken in recent years. To compete effectively, companies must implement a solution which will allow them to interactively communicate information related to product design, development and manufacturing within the company and collaborate with their supply chain partners. At the same time, companies want to implement new software systems without burdening already over-taxed internal information technology personnel, while avoiding costs of outside consulting and minimizing incremental infrastructure-related expenses.

### The Agile(TM) Solution

Our collaborative manufacturing commerce solutions consist of product content management and e-procurement products that enable manufacturers to collaborate over the Internet with their supply chain partners about new or changing product content, and then source and procure the required components. Our solutions are designed for use over the Internet, reduce dependence upon traditional methods of interaction, and enable supply chain members to link to each other without requiring substantial investments in additional

3

technology infrastructure. We have also designed our products to allow for rapid implementation by the manufacturer with limited consulting assistance and by supply chain members with minimal technical expertise.

We believe that our products are well-suited for participants in outsourced supply chains connected via the Internet, as well as those managing multi-site engineering, manufacturing and sales and distribution. The Agile solution delivers the following benefits to companies and their supply chain partners:

Enhanced Productivity and Response Time. With the help of our solutions, Agile Anywhere(TM) and Agile Buyer(TM), companies can respond more rapidly to changes in customer and supplier demands, availability of components, market conditions and manufacturing capacities arising throughout the production cycle. This ability to effect change even during volume production enables Agile Anywhere and Agile Buyer users to adjust production strategies, and produce what they can sell, rather than sell what they can produce. Agile Anywhere and Agile Buyer also enhance the ability of companies to increase

their sales productivity by being first to market with the right product.

More Cost-Effective Production. The Agile Anywhere and Agile Buyer solutions are designed to help companies increase output, reduce inventory and compress the time required to complete the production cycle. Through effective collaboration, both time to market, design effectiveness and supply chain efficiency can be improved. Companies can benefit by reducing design and production errors due to miscommunication within the supply chain, and can decrease operating efficiencies incurred when obsolete parts are specified and incorrectly built products must be scrapped.

More Rapid Return on Investment. Because Agile Anywhere and Agile Buyer solutions are based on existing industry standards and do not require the implementation of custom data models, Agile Anywhere and Agile Buyer can be implemented in less time than required to implement traditional enterprise software applications which require extensive customization.

Lower Costs of Goods Sold. The Agile Buyer solution can enable companies to aggregate demand for direct materials across the enterprise, a powerful tool for negotiating better procurement contracts. Requests for quotations can be sent to multiple suppliers simultaneously, as easily as sending the request to a single supplier. Our solutions can foster a much more competitive pricing environment, helping companies procure materials at the lowest possible cost.

The Agile Growth Strategy

Our objective is to be the leading provider of collaborative manufacturing commerce solutions, enabling business-to-business global collaboration among supply-chain partners. Key elements of our strategy include:

Provide Superior Customer Satisfaction. We expect to continue to build a highly referenceable customer base of market leaders in various vertical markets and we will continue our focus on programs designed to enhance and maintain customer satisfaction. We will continue to anticipate customer needs by introducing new product functionality and new technology platforms. We believe our focus on customer satisfaction will increase customer loyalty and result in increased follow-on sales opportunities and shorter sales cycles.

Capitalize on Network Effects to Expand Our Customer Base. As users of Agile Anywhere and Agile Buyer solutions deploy our software across their supply chains, additional supply chain members will be exposed to our solutions and the functionality provided by our products. We believe that this exposure, which allows non-customer participants in the supply chain to benefit from our solutions, creates a network effect that accelerates industry recognition and adoption of our products. As additional members of a supply chain deploy our solutions, the quality and timeliness of available information relevant to the production and supply of their products improves, which increases the value to each participant and helps drive greater usage.

Pursue a Vertical Market Strategy. Since inception, we have pursued a vertical market strategy, developing product features targeted to particular industries. To date, we have focused on the electronics and

4

high technology markets which encompasses original equipment manufacturers, electronic manufacturing services and component manufacturers, as well as the medical device market. We seek to further penetrate our current markets while addressing new vertical markets characterized by high rates of product change, short product cycles, and extensive supply chains.

Leverage Our Technology Platform. We intend to continue to pioneer new Internet business applications based on emerging standards supporting electronic commerce. For example, we have used the Java computer programming language to deliver a robust, powerful and rapidly deployable Internet business application to our customers. Further, we have taken the initiative to define a protocol for supply chains, Product Definition exchange, or PDX, based on eXtensible Mark-up Language, or XML, and have submitted it to industry standards groups for approval. We intend to lead technological innovation in the collaborative manufacturing commerce market, offering our customers solutions designed to provide a rapid and high return on investment.

Extend Supply Chain Collaboration and Functionality. We believe our solution provides a robust platform that enables us to extend the functionality and application of our products to the creation and delivery of new value—added applications. We will continue to develop enhancements to our products designed to enable increased collaboration among outsourced supply chain partners. We will also address new opportunities that result from the creation of new business processes for Internet—based collaboration and interaction among supply—chain partners. For example, Agile Buyer extends the functionality of our solutions to the sourcing and procurement of electronic production materials. With the combination of Agile Buyer's direct materials sourcing capabilities and Agile Anywhere's product content management benefits, we believe that our customers will be able to enhance critical supply chain processes, including new product introductions and direct materials sourcing.

The Agile Products

Agile Anywhere Product Suite

Agile Anywhere is a suite of product content management and change collaboration solutions that automate the management of product information and engineering change orders across the electronic supply chain. Agile Anywhere manages product content information that is available through our Agile e-Hub(TM) Server. Agile Anywhere solutions include the Agile Product Definition Server, Agile Product Change Server, Agile AML Server, Agile Content Manager (Agile CM(TM)), Agile Internet Content Manager (Agile iCM(TM)), and Agile ChangeCAST.

Agile Anywhere provides a comprehensive business-to-business solution to the problem of product change collaboration across the manufacturing supply chain. Utilizing XML technology, Agile Anywhere allows supply chain partners to share and collaborate on product content and changes in real time via the Internet. Agile Anywhere is designed to provide the scalability, security and open standards that are required in an electronic supply chain. At the core of the Agile Anywhere suite is the Agile eHub Server, which manages product content, processes and business rules. Users interact with the product content within the eHub via the My Agile portal. Enterprises that manage and create the product content interact with the Agile iCM client. Utilizing the Agile eXpress(TM) Viewer, product content can also be published to users anywhere throughout the supply chain. To complete the suite, Agile provides several integration products that import, export, and publish product content from or to existing design, manufacturing, finance, and supply chain systems. Following the initial implementation of Agile Anywhere, subscriptions for additional users and application-specific modules can be added to expand the scope of the manufacturer's implementations.

Agile eHub

The foundation of Agile Anywhere is Agile eHub. The Agile eHub comprises application servers that enable users to define, store, change and manage product content information. Agile eHub incorporates new technology for high-speed performance, storage and secure data, and is designed to scale, thus

accommodating

5

the needs of supply chain partners of all sizes. The Agile eHub is designed to facilitate fast, direct Internet access, and is easily implemented. Agile eHub includes one or more of the following server modules:

Agile Product Definition Server manages parts, documents, bills of materials and drawings, in a web environment that provides fast, easy access to product content for all members of the supply chain.

Agile Product Change Server automates the electronic routing, notification and sign-off processes that are associated with engineering changes. This functionality can result in reduced ordering errors and costs and improved cycle times associated with evaluating, approving and implementing changes.

Agile AML Server enables companies to collaborate with supply chain partners on approved parts and manufacturers at the time of new product introduction as well as tracking changes throughout the manufacturing process.

Agile Administrator enables companies to easily and rapidly configure and modify Agile Anywhere components without writing code. Agile Administrator speeds the implementation of the Agile Anywhere suite and minimizes maintenance time.

#### Accessing Agile eHub

Agile customers and their supply chain partners can gain access to product content for review or modification by the following:

- . Access to the e-hub is offered through three options, Agile hCM(TM) (HTML-based), Agile iCM (Java-based) and Agile CM (Windows-based). These products are designed for individuals who have responsibility for managing a product and its content through its entire lifecycle.
- Agile includes a manufacturing hub that allows secure, personalized web access to product content that is stored in any Agile eHub. It is an intuitive, easy-to-use portal allowing users to link to any or all of their supply chain information sources in a customizable interface and participate in product content-related processes via the Internet.
- . Agile eXpress Viewer allows supply chain partners to send and receive information in the PDX format, a new standard for data exchange that we first offered with Agile Anywhere. Agile eXpress Viewer is available for downloading free of charge from the Agile web site, to enable supply chain partners to share data even if they are not Agile customers.

#### Agile Integration Products

Product content information flows throughout the supply chain, and is published to or from Agile Anywhere and a variety of other design, manufacturing, finance and supply chain systems. Agile Anywhere integration products provide data exchange between systems, as follows:

- . Agile ChangeCAST publishes released engineering change orders, approved parts lists, approved manufacturers' lists and bills of materials from Agile to separate enterprise resource planning systems.
- . Agile Integration Server(TM) (AIS) is an XML-based integration solution that makes the valuable product content held in Agile Anywhere available

to a wide variety of business applications and users both internally and across the global manufacturing network.

- . Agile Scan allows customers to scan drawings and documents into the Agile e-Hub database.
- . Agile Import allows customers to import bills of materials produced in ASCII format or in Microsoft Excel, providing a consolidated database of product information.
- . Agile Export provides a quick and easy method of exporting information to an ASCII file, allowing information in Agile Anywhere to be shared with other business applications.

6

. Agile Software Development Kit, available with Agile Anywhere, allows customers and partners to develop complementary applications to integrate with Agile in Java, Visual Basic and Visual C++.

Initial implementations of the Agile Anywhere suite typically include the Agile eHub and one or more server modules such as what we now call the Product Definition Server, Product Change Server and AML Server, together with user licenses or subscriptions, and one or more of the integration products, particularly Agile ChangeCAST. The initial order may also include a third-party adapter for other existing enterprise systems of the customer. Following the initial implementation, additional user licenses and additional server modules may be purchased.

Agile EMSdirect(TM) Service

Agile EMSdirect is an electronic service available at MyAgile.com(TM) that allows an original equipment manufacturer to submit file packages directly to an Agile system at participating electronics manufacturing service providers. Submitting file packages using Agile EMSdirect provides assured delivery and enables collaborative interaction between an electronics manufacturing service provider and its partners.

Agile Buyer Solution

Agile Buyer is an electronic commerce solution that is designed to enable companies to efficiently communicate and collaborate with all of their suppliers, sharing price, inventory, and contract information in order to speed up and lower the cost of procuring direct materials. Any supplier with access to the Internet and e-mail can participate. By eliminating tedious and time-consuming tasks from the procurement process, Agile Buyer enables companies to focus on the strategic aspects of procurement such as creating and sending requests for quotes, compiling and analyzing supplier responses, managing the contract process and tracking supplier performance quickly and easily.

Agile Buyer manages the procurement process for the entire direct materials supply chain, including new product introduction, strategic sourcing, product sourcing, and supplier management. Agile Buyer provides a powerful combination of process workflow, contract and order management, and transaction support tools. Further, Agile Buyer lets users accomplish these work processes from their browser, reducing unproductive telephone calls and misplaced faxes.

Agile Buyer is a secure Internet-based supplier solution for sourcing and procurement of direct (production) materials. Agile Buyer encompasses all direct materials and all members of a supply chain in a single Internet-based environment. Agile Buyer automates preparation and dissemination of requests

for quotation, enables buying decision support, allows demand aggregation, issues purchase orders, permits commodity and contract management, and manages supplier performance.

#### Customers

To date, we have licensed our products to over 700 customers, predominantly within the electronics and medical device manufacturing industries. No customer accounted for more than ten percent of our total revenues in fiscal 2001, fiscal 2000 or fiscal 1999.

7

The following is a representative list of current customers in our targeted industry markets that to date have purchased Agile products and services:

Datacom/Telecom Equipment Computers and Peripherals Medical Equipment Alcatel S3/Diamond Multimedia Systems EndoSonics Fujitsu Computer Products GE Medical Aspect Telecommunications Brocade Communications Gateway Guidant Systems Hitachi Lucent Technologies Hologic Nortel Networks Iomega Humphrey Instruments NEC Visx Xircom Dell Computer AVE Medtronic Compaq Computer Johnson & Johnson/Depuy VeriFone/Hewlett-Packard Electronics Manufacturing Service Providers Components Semiconductor Equipment \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ C-MAC Industries Advanced Micro Devices Credence Systems Flextronics Micron Technology Electro-Scientific Industries International Reltec Communications FSI International Johnson Matthey Electronics Pemstar Solectron Texas Instruments SCI Systems VLSI Technology Strasbaugh Jabil Circuits Altera SMTC Amkor

Nvidia

Consumer Electronics

APW

Palm Computing
Dolby Laboratories
Handspring
Microsoft
Nintendo
Philips Mobile Computing
Scientific Atlanta

TiVo

8

#### Product Technology and Architecture

The Agile Anywhere product suite is designed upon open systems based on software industry standards for scalable Internet applications. The result is a low cost, low maintenance end-user business application that eliminates the need for complex custom or in-house development. Agile Anywhere is built on an Internet-based architecture:

- . The core of our architecture is the Agile eHub, the application server, which currently runs on Microsoft NT. The application server is the intermediary between the iCM/hCM and My Agile applications and the database, providing the necessary security for validation of the data, and the web server, which hosts the Internet access to Agile Anywhere. We use encryption technology licensed from RSA Security Inc. to maintain secure data when transported over the Internet.
- . The iCM/hCM and MyAgile applications are Java and HTML-based applications that can run on versions of Microsoft Internet Explorer and Netscape Navigator. There is also a Windows application for users who prefer a Windows user interface rather than a web browser interface. Operating systems supported include Windows 98, Windows NT, Windows 2000 and Sun Solaris. We follow the Microsoft standards for the Windows 98 and 2000 CM clients, and Internet standards for the Java iCM application running within Microsoft Internet Explorer and Netscape Communicator. Our products can be integrated with more than 15 enterprise resource planning systems including, among others, Oracle Applications, J.D. Edwards and SAP.
- . The backend includes the Oracle database server and the Agile Internet File Server.

The Agile Anywhere suite is enabled for both single-byte and double-byte localization, and has been localized for French and Japanese. We intend to provide localization for additional languages.

We have entered into platform alliances to ensure that our products are based on industry standards and to enable us to take advantage of current and emerging technologies, including alliances with Sun Microsystems, Oracle and Microsoft. To promote development, definition, adoption, promotion and implementation of open standards that can be leveraged by Agile Anywhere, we work with several industry standards organizations such as the National Institute of Standards and Technology, National Electronics Manufacturing Initiative, Institute for Interconnecting and Packaging Electronic Circuits, RosettaNet, and World Wide Web Consortium. We are involved with Solectron, Intel, HP, SCI, and other industry participants in an initiative to define an XML-based protocol called Product Definition Exchange (PDX).

#### Product Development

Our product development objectives are to:

- be innovative in developing solutions to remove complexity from supply chain collaboration;
- . develop solutions that require little custom code, contain reusable components and are easy to use, implement, maintain, and upgrade; and

. adopt industry standard technologies.

Our software development staff is divided into teams consisting of development engineers, project managers, quality assurance engineers, and technical writers. Working closely with our marketing department, we determine product functionality based upon market requirements, customer feedback, available technical support and customer engineering. We also try to incorporate emerging technologies that will allow us to develop additional features.

We introduced our first product, Agile Configurator version 1.3, in June, 1996 and have subsequently released nine revisions, adding over a dozen new modules. During this time, the product has evolved from a 2-tiered client-server database application running on Oracle to a multi-tiered application supporting both Windows and Java clients. Our product development activities are focused on broadening the scalability and

9

functionality of Agile Anywhere. We are also developing application interfaces to allow customers to more easily integrate Agile Anywhere with other systems.

Our research and development expenses, excluding stock compensation expense, were \$26.5 million, \$9.4 million and \$4.7 million for fiscal 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively, and we expect to continue to invest significantly in research and development in the future.

We cannot be sure that we will complete our existing and future development efforts within our anticipated schedule or that our new and enhanced products will have the features to make them successful. We may experience difficulties that could delay or prevent the successful development, introduction or marketing of new or enhanced products. In addition, these new and enhanced products may not meet the requirements of the marketplace and achieve market acceptance. Furthermore, despite testing by us, our implementation partners and our customers, errors might be found in new products or in releases after shipment, resulting in loss of revenue or delay in market acceptance and sales, diversion of development resources, injury to our reputation or increased service and warranty costs.

#### Sales and Marketing

Our sales and marketing organization is responsible for identifying and developing vertical markets on which we intend to focus, as well as for identifying and notifying our research and development staff of product requirements communicated to us by our customers. We market and sell our products primarily through our direct sales force located at our headquarters in San Jose, California, and at regional and local sales offices in the United States and at offices in France, Germany, Japan, Taiwan and the United Kingdom. Our direct sales force consists of Major Account Executives who focus entirely on our major accounts, Senior Account Executives who focus on specific geographic territories, and Emerging Technology Manufacturers Account Executives who focus on emerging and smaller-sized companies. We also market and sell through our direct telesales and telemarketing representatives. Sales engineers in regional office provide pre-sales technical support. We are also in the early stages of complementing our direct sales force through additional distribution channels, including non-exclusive distributors, integrators and consulting partners.

To support our direct sales efforts and to actively promote our Agile brand, we engage in a variety of marketing activities. These include co-marketing

strategies with our existing business partners, targeting additional strategic relationships, managing and maintaining our web site content, advertising in industry and other publications, conducting public relations campaigns and establishing and maintaining relationships with recognized industry analysts. We also actively participate in manufacturing-related trade shows.

A critical element of our sales strategy is to establish marketing alliances to promote sales and marketing of our products, as well as to increase product interoperability. We also pursue services alliances with consulting and integration firms to implement our software, provide customer support services, create customized customer presentations and demonstrations and endorse our products during the evaluation stage of the sales cycle. We believe that our relationships with these service providers may shorten our sales cycle because these service providers have generated and qualified sales leads, made initial customer contacts and assessed needs prior to our introduction. We currently have relationships with Accenture, TSC and Siemens for the implementation of our solutions, and a reselling agreement with Manugistics.

Customer Service and Support

Consulting and Implementation. We offer services, on a fixed-price or time and materials basis, to assist in implementation planning, product installation, implementation assistance, legacy data loading and effectiveness audits. To facilitate and enhance the integration of our products, we have entered into alliances to enable integration of our products with existing design, manufacturing, finance and supply chain systems. This approach allows us to focus on our core competencies and leverage our partners' domain knowledge, which helps reduce time to market both for our customers and us.

10

Customer Support. We believe that responsive technical support is a requirement for our continued growth. We provide technical support and unspecified product upgrades on a when-and-if available basis through our annual maintenance program. Our customers are not entitled to new products under our annual maintenance program. Customers generally purchase the first year of support at the time they initially license one of our products. After the initial term of the license is complete, the customer may renew support on an annual or multi-year basis. Customer support is offered by telephone, email and fax and we also offer an Internet-based support that features frequently asked questions, technical alerts, product upgrades and updates, problem reporting and analysis, and self-help through our on-line knowledge base. In addition, our consulting and implementation partners provide customer support and maintenance in some instances. Revenues associated with maintenance contracts are recognized ratably over the term of the maintenance contract, which is generally 12 months.

Training. We offer a variety of classes and related materials to train our customers on system administration, upgrades and new releases. These classes are also available as part of our Train the Trainer program. Training classes are offered at our headquarters in San Jose, California, at customer sites, and at other locations. To improve access to our explanatory materials, we offer on-line documentation contained on the compact discs for our products and from our web site for all our products. We also offer on-line help for the majority of our products. Customers can purchase additional documentation via our web site.

#### Competition

The market for collaborative manufacturing commerce and direct materials eprocurement solutions is highly fragmented, rapidly changing and increasingly

competitive. We expect competition to persist and intensify, which could result in price reductions, reduced gross margins and loss of market share, any one of which could seriously harm our business. Competitors vary in size and in the scope and breadth of the products and services offered.

We believe that our ability to compete depends on many factors both within and beyond our control, including:

- the performance, functionality, price, reliability and speed of implementation of our solutions;
- the timing and market acceptance of new products and product enhancements to our Agile Anywhere suite of products;
- . the quality of our customer service; and
- . the effectiveness of our sales and marketing efforts.

Although we believe that we currently compete favorably as to each of these factors, our market is relatively new and our collaborative manufacturing commerce and e-procurement solutions for direct production materials is a new category of products. In particular, we believe that we offer a suite of software that offers collaborative and interactive capabilities that many of our competitors do not effectively provide. However, we encounter competition with respect to different aspects of our solution from a variety of vendors. We currently face three primary sources of competition:

- . in-house development efforts by potential customers or partners;
- . vendors of engineering information management software, such as Parametric Technology Corporation, Dassault Systemes S.A., MatrixOne, Inc, Structural Dynamics Research Corporation and Unigraphics Solutions, Inc.; and
- . Potential competition from providers of enterprise software who seek to extend the functionality of their products, such as Oracle Corporation, SAP A.G., and i2 Technologies, Inc.

We may not be able to maintain our competitive position against current and potential competition, particularly competitors that have longer operating histories and significantly greater financial, technical,

11

marketing and other resources than we do and therefore may be able to respond more quickly to new or changing opportunities, technologies and customer requirements. Also, many current and potential competitors have greater name recognition and more extensive customer bases that could be leveraged to gain market share to our detriment. These competitors may be able to undertake more extensive promotional activities, adopt more aggressive pricing policies, and offer more attractive terms to purchasers than we can. In addition, current and potential competitors have established or may establish cooperative relationships among themselves or with third parties to enhance their products. Accordingly it is possible that new competitors or alliances among competitors may emerge and rapidly acquire significant market share. We also expect that competition may increase as a result of industry consolidation. We may not be able to maintain our competitive position against current and potential competitors, especially those with significantly greater financial, marketing, service, support, technical and other resources.

Proprietary Rights

Our success and ability to compete depend upon our proprietary technology. We rely on patent, copyright, trade secret and trademark law to protect our proprietary information. We also typically enter into agreements with our employees, consultants and customers to control their access to and distribution of our software, documentation and other proprietary information. Nevertheless, a third party could copy or otherwise obtain our software or other proprietary information without authorization, or could develop software competitive to ours. Our means of protecting our proprietary rights may not be adequate and our competitors may independently develop similar technology, duplicate our products or design around patents that may be issued to us or our other intellectual property. In addition, the laws of some foreign countries do not protect our proprietary rights to as great an extent as do the laws of the United States, and we expect that it will become more difficult to monitor the use of our products if we increase our international presence.

We utilize database management software from Oracle for our database server. Our customers can purchase this software directly from Oracle or from us. In addition, we integrate third-party software into our products from RSA Security Inc. for security and encryption technology, from Actuate for reporting capability and from Cimmetry Systems for our viewers. This third-party software may not continue to be available on commercially reasonable terms. If we cannot maintain licenses to this third-party software at an acceptable cost, shipments of our products could be delayed until equivalent software could be developed or licensed and integrated into our products. We do not believe that our business could be considered to be substantially dependent on any one of these license agreements, and none of these licenses are responsible for a significant amount of our revenues.

There has been a substantial amount of litigation in the software and Internet industries regarding intellectual property rights. It is possible that, in the future, third parties may claim that we or our current or potential future products infringe their intellectual property rights. We expect that software product developers and providers of electronic commerce solutions will increasingly be subject to infringement claims as the number of products and competitors in our industry segment grows and the functionality of products in industry segments overlaps. Any claims, with or without merit, could be time-consuming, result in costly litigation, cause product shipment delays or require us to enter into royalty or licensing agreements. If our products were found to infringe a third party's proprietary rights, we could be required to enter into royalty or licensing agreements in order to continue to be able to sell our products. Royalty or licensing agreements, if required, may not be available on terms acceptable to us or at all, which could seriously harm our business.

#### Employees

As of April 30, 2001, we had a total of 460 employees. Of this total, 125 were in engineering, 148 were in sales and marketing, 138 were in professional services, including technical support and customer training, and 49 were in finance and administration. We also retain independent contractors to support activities such as our professional services and product development. Our success depends on our ability to attract and retain

12

qualified, experienced employees. None of our employees are represented by a collective bargaining unit, and we have never experienced a work stoppage. We consider our relations with our employees to be good.

RISK FACTORS

Our future performance is subject to a variety of risks. If any of the following risks occur, our business could be harmed and the trading price of our common stock could decline. In addition to other information in this report, the following risk factors should be carefully considered in evaluating Agile and its business.

Risks Related to Our Operations

We Have a History of Losses, We Expect to Incur Losses in the Future and We May Not Achieve or Maintain Profitability

Since inception, we have funded our business primarily through selling our stock, not from cash generated from our business. We have incurred quarterly and annual losses in each of the years since we were formed and we expect to continue to incur quarterly and annual losses in the near term. We incurred losses of \$125.3 million, \$35.2 million and \$11.4 million for the fiscal years ended April 30, 2001, 2000 and 1999. As of April 30, 2001, we had an accumulated deficit of approximately \$187.1 million. We expect to continue to incur significant sales and marketing, research and development and general and administrative expenses. We have incurred and expect to continue to incur substantial non-cash costs relating to the amortization of intangible assets and stock compensation which will contribute to our net losses. We expect to incur losses for the foreseeable future. We will need to generate significant increases in revenues to achieve and maintain profitability, and we may not be able to do so. Even if we do achieve profitability, we may not be able to sustain or increase profitability on a quarterly or annual basis in the future.

Because We Have a Limited Operating History, It Is Difficult to Evaluate Our Business and Prospects

We are still in the early stages of development, so evaluating our business operations and our prospects is difficult. We incorporated in 1995 and began shipping our first product in June 1996. The revenues and income potential of our business and market are unproven. We will encounter risks and difficulties frequently encountered by early-stage companies in new and rapidly evolving markets. These risks include the following:

- we need to increase sales to achieve profitability, requiring us to sell additional licenses and software products to our existing customers and expand our customer base outside of the electronics and medical device industries;
- . we need to expand our sales and marketing, customer support and professional services organizations, build strategic relationships and expand our international operations in order to increase sales; and
- . we need to effectively manage our anticipated growth which could lead to management distractions and increased operating expenses, and will require us to attract and retain key personnel.

Our business strategy may not be successful and we may not be able to successfully address these risks. In addition, because of our limited operating history, we have limited insight into trends that may emerge and affect our business.

13

Our Quarterly Operating Results Fluctuate and Are Difficult to Predict and, if Our Future Results Are Below the Expectations of Public Market Analysts or Investors, the Price of Our Common Stock May Decline

Our quarterly operating results have varied significantly in the past and are likely to vary significantly in the future, which makes it difficult for us to predict our future operating results. This quarter-to-quarter fluctuation is due to a number of factors, including the following:

- fluctuations in demand for Internet collaborative manufacturing commerce software;
- . size and timing of sales and installations of our products;
- entry of new competitors into our market, or the announcement of new products or product enhancements by competitors;
- our ability to successfully expand our direct sales force and our international sales organization;
- . changes in our sales force incentives;
- unexpected delays in developing or introducing new and enhanced products;
- unexpected decline in purchases by our existing customers, including purchases of additional licenses and maintenance contracts;
- . delays in our customers' orders due to their priorities;
- variability in the mix of our license and professional services revenues;
- our ability to accurately price fixed-priced professional services projects;
- . variability in the mix of professional services that we perform versus those performed for our customers by others; and
- . our ability to establish and maintain relationships with our third-party implementation partners.

Furthermore, we typically receive and fulfill most of our orders within the same quarter, with the substantial majority of our orders typically received in the last month of each fiscal quarter. Recently, declining economic conditions have caused our customers to delay and reduce spending on information technology, our sales cycle has lengthened and orders are being pushed to the last day of the quarter. As a result, we may not learn of revenue shortfalls until late in a fiscal quarter, after it is too late to adjust expenses for that quarter. Moreover, recent adverse economic conditions in the United States, particularly those related to the technology industry, may increase the likelihood that customers will unexpectedly delay or cancel orders causing us to fail to achieve anticipated revenues for the quarter. A number of technology companies, particularly software companies that, like Agile, sell enterprisewide software solutions, have recently announced that adverse economic conditions have negatively affected their business and results of operations. Any revenue shortfall below our expectations could have an immediate and significant adverse effect on our results of operations.

If, in response to market pressures or other demands, we introduce new pricing structures for our existing products, we could experience customer dissatisfaction and loss of sales. In addition, we could introduce products that are sold in a manner different from how we currently market our products, or we could recognize revenue differently than under our current accounting policies. Depending on the manner in which we sell existing or future products,

this could have the effect of extending the length of time over which we recognize revenues. Furthermore, our quarterly revenues could be significantly affected based on how applicable accounting standards are amended or interpreted over time.

In addition, we have accounted for options to purchase common stock granted to consultants under variable plan accounting. The expense associated with these options may fluctuate significantly from quarter to quarter through fiscal 2006 if the price of our stock fluctuates and could cause our operating results to vary significantly from quarter to quarter.

14

Due to these and other factors, we believe that period-to-period comparisons of our results of operations are not meaningful and should not be relied upon as indicators of our future performance. It is possible that in some future periods our results of operations may be below the expectations of public market analysts and investors. If this occurs, the price of our common stock may decline.

The Impact of Changes in Global Economic Conditions on Our Customers May Cause Us to Fail to Meet Expectations, Which Would Negatively Impact the Price of Our Stock

Our operating results can vary significantly based upon the impact of changes in global economic conditions on our customers. More specifically, the macro-economic environment that we are facing in fiscal 2002 is more uncertain than in recent periods and has the potentially to materially and adversely affect us and our operating results. The revenue growth and profitability of our business depends on the overall demand for enterprise-level software services, particularly in the areas in which we compete. Because our sales are primarily to major corporate customers whose business fluctuate with general economic and business conditions, a softening of demand for computer software caused by a weakening economy may result in decreased revenues and lower growth rates. We may be especially prone to this as a result of the relatively large license transactions we have historically relied upon. Customers may defer or reconsider purchasing products if they experience a downturn in the general economy.

We May Not Achieve Anticipated Revenues if the Introduction and Customer Acceptance of Agile Anywhere or Any Upgrades or Enhancements to Our Products Is Unsuccessful

Our future financial performance will depend on customer acceptance of Agile Anywhere products and any upgrades or enhancements that we may make to our products in the future. We have generated substantially all of our revenues from licenses and services related to current and prior versions of our product suite. We believe that revenues from Agile Anywhere, together with revenues from maintenance and support contracts from Agile Anywhere and prior versions of our suite, will account for a substantial portion of our revenues for the foreseeable future. If we are unable to ship or implement any upgrades or enhancements when planned, or if the introduction of upgrades or enhancements causes customers to defer orders for our existing products, we may not achieve anticipated revenues.

We May Need to Make Additional Future Acquisitions to Remain Competitive. Our Business Could be Adversely Affected as a Result of These Acquisitions

We may encounter risks to our business during our integration of acquisitions including:

- difficulties in assimilation of acquired personnel, operations, technologies or products;
- . unanticipated costs associated with acquisitions. For example, in fiscal 2001 we recorded a \$55.2 million impairment charge relating to goodwill and other intangible assets as a result of management's decision in February 2001 to discontinue the further development of the products acquired in the DMI acquisition;
- . diversion of management's attention from other business concerns;
- . adverse effects on our existing business relationships with our customers or the customers of any acquisitions we make; and
- . inability to retain employees of acquisitions we make.

As part of our business strategy, we may in the future seek to acquire or invest in additional businesses, joint venture arrangements, products or technologies that we believe could complement or expand our business, augment our market coverage, enhance our technical capabilities or that may otherwise offer growth opportunities. Management's negotiations of potential acquisitions or joint ventures and management's integration of acquired businesses, products or technologies could divert their time and resources. Future

15

acquisitions could cause us to issue dilutive equity securities, incur debt or contingent liabilities, amortize goodwill and other intangibles, write off inprocess research and development and other acquisition-related expenses that could seriously harm our financial condition and operating results. Further, we may not be able to properly integrate acquired businesses, products or technology with our existing operations or train, retain and motivate personnel from the acquired business. If we are unable to fully integrate an acquired business, product or technology or train, retain and motivate personnel from the acquired business, we may not receive the intended benefits of that acquisition.

Recent volatility in the stock markets has made it more difficult to value acquired businesses where the consideration payable as the purchase price is stock. We may reach agreement to buy another company using our stock as consideration. Thereafter, prior to closing the acquisition the relative values of the capital stock of the acquired company could change, causing the purchase price to increase. As a result, in periods of market volatility as we are experiencing, acquisitions are difficult to complete, and we may be unable to complete beneficial acquisitions of complementary businesses or technologies at an acceptable price.

Implementation of Our Products By Large Customers May Be Complex and Customers Could Become Dissatisfied if Implementation of Our Products Proves Difficult, Costly or Time-Consuming

Our products must integrate with many existing computer systems and software programs used by our customers. Integrating with many other computer systems and software programs can be complex, time consuming and expensive, causing delays in the deployment of our products. Because we are one of the first companies to offer products designed for collaborative manufacturing commerce solutions, many customers will be facing these integration issues for the first time in the context of collaborating with supply chain partners. Customers could become dissatisfied with our products if implementations prove to be difficult, costly or time-consuming.

We Currently Perform Most of Our Implementations on a Fixed-Price Basis, Which Could Cause Us to Incur More Costs Than We Expect

When we install our products or when we have a third party install them, we typically charge customers a fixed fee for these services. At the time of a product sale and prior to agreeing to an installation price, we estimate the amount of work involved for a particular installation project. We have at times in the past underestimated and may in the future underestimate the amount of time or resources required to install our products. If we do not correctly estimate the amount of time or resources required for a large number of installations, our gross margins could decline.

If We Do Not Sell Additional Licenses or Enhanced Versions or Upgrades of Our Products to Existing Customers, We May Not Achieve Revenue Growth

The size of a new customer's initial order is relatively small and may include a limited number of user licenses. In subsequent orders, customers often add user licenses or additional products designed for specific functions, such as the AML Server targeted at manufacturers. In order to grow revenues, we depend on sales of additional user licenses to our existing customers as well as sales of new licenses to new customers. Therefore, it is important that our customers are satisfied with their initial product implementations and that they believe that expanded use of the product they purchased will provide them with additional benefits. Customers could choose not to purchase any new products or expand the use of our products. If we do not increase sales to existing customers, we may not be able to achieve revenue growth.

If We Do Not Establish and Maintain Relationships With Key Partners, We May Encounter Difficulty in Providing Implementation and Customer Support of Our Products

We rely heavily on our relationships with consulting and integration partners to implement our software, provide customer support services and endorse our products during the evaluation stage of the sales cycle.

16

Currently, a limited number of companies provide implementation services for our products. We expect to increasingly rely on these types of partners in the future. These companies are not contractually obligated to continue to provide implementation services for us or to otherwise promote our products. Although we seek to develop and maintain relationships with these types of service providers, they may have similar or more established relationships with our competitors. If these service providers do not increase this segment of their business, or reduce or discontinue their relationships with us or their support of our products, our business could be harmed. We will need to develop new third party relationships if sales of our products increase and our current partners cannot fulfill all of our needs for implementation and customer support services. Without these third parties, we would have to expand our services organization to increase the consulting and professional services that we provide to our customers and divert resources from other areas of our business. If we are required to expand our professional services capabilities, we may not be able to do so on a timely basis.

We are beginning to implement larger deployments of our products together with third parties such as Accenture. If we are not successful with these joint deployments, we may incur increased costs and customer dissatisfaction and may not achieve increased sales and market acceptance of our products.

To meet customer demand, we might have to outsource services to more costly

independent contractors and other third parties. In addition, if our implementation partners do not adequately perform implementation services, our customers could become dissatisfied with our products. In order to avoid dissatisfaction, we may need to provide supplemental implementation services at no additional cost to customers. Although we could experience an increase in services revenues if our service partners are not successful, services revenues have lower gross margins than license revenues. We could also experience delays in recognition of license revenue if customer implementation projects fall behind schedule.

We May Experience Customer Dissatisfaction and Lost Sales if Our Products Do Not Scale to Accommodate Substantial Increases in the Number of Users

Our strategy requires that our software be highly scalable, or able to accommodate substantial increases in the number of users. If our customers cannot successfully implement large-scale deployments, or if they determine that our products cannot accommodate large-scale deployments, we could experience customer dissatisfaction and find it more difficult to obtain new customers or to sell additional products to our existing customers.

We May Not Be Able to Increase Sales of Our Products if We Do Not Expand Our Direct Sales Organization

We sell our products primarily through our direct sales force. Our ability to increase our sales will depend on our ability to recruit, train and retain top quality sales people with the advanced sales skills and technical knowledge we need. Competition for qualified personnel remains intense in our industry. In addition, it takes time for our new sales personnel to become productive, particularly our senior sales and services personnel, who could take up to nine months to become fully productive. Recent volatility in our stock price could decrease our ability to hire and retain qualified personnel. If we are unable to hire or retain qualified sales personnel, or if newly hired personnel fail to develop the necessary skills or reach productivity more slowly than anticipated, it would be more difficult for us to sell our products, and we may experience a shortfall in revenues.

Our Variable Sales Cycle Makes it Difficult For Us to Predict When or if Sales Will Be Made

Our products have an unpredictable sales cycle that contributes to the uncertainty of our future operating results. With the recent economic uncertainties facing our customers, and the decline in the business that they face, our sales cycle has lengthened. Customers are taking longer to evaluate our product, and orders may be delayed or postponed. Our collaborative manufacturing commerce software is a new category of products, and

17

customers often view the purchase of our products as a significant and strategic decision. As a result, intensive marketing and sales efforts may be necessary to educate prospective customers regarding the uses and benefits of our products. Customers may take time to evaluate our products. The sale of our products may be subject to delays due to the lengthy internal budgeting, approval and evaluation processes of our customers. We may expend significant sales and marketing expenses during this evaluation period before the customer places an order with us. Customers may initially purchase a smaller number of user licenses before expanding the order to allow a greater number of users to benefit from the application. Larger customers may purchase our products as part of multiple simultaneous purchasing decisions, which may result in additional unplanned administrative processing and other delays in our product sales. If sales forecasted from a specific customer for a particular quarter

are not realized, we may experience an unplanned shortfall in revenues. As a result, we have only a limited ability to forecast the timing and size of sales of our products.

The Success of Our Business Depends on Our Key Personnel, Whose Knowledge of Our Business and Technical Expertise Would Be Difficult to Replace

Our success depends largely on the continued contributions of our key senior management, particularly Bryan D. Stolle, our Chief Executive Officer, who is not bound by an employment agreement, as well as of our key engineering and sales and marketing personnel. We do not have key-man life insurance on Mr. Stolle. If one or more members of our senior management or any of our key employees were to resign, the loss of personnel could result in delays to product development, loss of sales, and diversion of management resources.

Because of Competition For Additional Qualified Personnel, We May Not Be Able to Recruit or Retain Necessary Personnel, Which Could Impact Development or Sales of Our Products

Our success depends on our ability to attract and retain qualified, experienced employees. There is substantial competition for experienced engineering, sales and marketing personnel in our industry. The volatility and current market price of our common stock may make it more difficult for us to recruit, hire and retain qualified personnel, or cause us to incur higher salary costs. If we are unable to retain our existing key personnel, or attract and retain additional qualified personnel, we may from time to time experience inadequate levels of staffing to perform services for our customers. As a result, our growth could be limited due to our lack of capacity to develop and market our products to our customers, or we could experience deterioration in service levels or decreased customer satisfaction.

Our Efforts to Expand Sales of Our Products to Other Industries May Not Succeed

We have historically sold our products primarily to companies in the electronics and medical device manufacturing industries. We intend to market products to customers in additional industries. Although we have targeted enterprises in other markets as potential customers, these potential customers may not be as willing to purchase products like ours as have the electronics and medical device industries.

The Market For Our Products Is Newly Emerging and Customers May Not Accept Our Products

The market for software products that allow companies to collaborate with suppliers on product information and change is newly emerging. Companies have not traditionally automated collaborative manufacturing commerce solutions like we offer throughout the supply chain. We cannot be certain that this market will continue to develop and grow or that companies will elect to utilize our products rather than attempt to develop applications internally or through other sources. In addition, the use of the Internet, as well as corporate intranets, has not been widely adopted for sharing product information as well as for collaboration among supply chain participants. Companies that have already invested substantial resources in other methods of sharing product information during the manufacturing and supply process may be reluctant to adopt a new

18

approach that may replace, limit or compete with their existing systems or methods. We expect that we will continue to need to pursue intensive marketing

and sales efforts to educate prospective customers about the uses and benefits of our products. Therefore, demand for and market acceptance of our products will be subject to a high level of uncertainty.

Competition Among Providers of Software Enabling Collaboration in a Manufacturing Supply Chain May Increase, Which Could Cause Us to Reduce Prices, and Resulting in Reduced Gross Margins or Loss of Market Share

The market for products that enable companies to interactively manage and share information relating to the manufacture and supply of products is highly fragmented, rapidly changing and increasingly competitive. We expect competition to continue to intensify, which could result in price reductions for our products, reduced margins and loss of market share. Competitors vary in size and in the scope and breadth of the products and services offered. We face potential competition from in-house development efforts by potential customers or partners, vendors of software designed for management of engineering information, and developers of general purpose groupware software addressing only limited technology components involved in managing data generated by changes to the engineering process. We also face potential competition from providers of enterprise resource planning software and supply-chain software.

Many of our actual or potential competitors have a number of significant advantages over us, including:

- . longer operating histories;
- significantly greater financial, technical, marketing and other resources;
- significantly greater name recognition and a larger installed base of customers; and
- well-established relationships with our actual and potential customers as well as with systems integrators and other vendors and service providers.

These competitors may also be able to respond more quickly to new or emerging technologies and changes in customer requirements, or to devote greater resources to the development, promotion and sale of their products, than we can. Some of our actual or potential competitors may also bundle their products in a manner that may discourage potential customers from purchasing our products. Accordingly, we may not be able to maintain or expand our sales if competition increases and we are unable to respond effectively.

We May Experience Difficulties in Introducing New Products and Upgrades Which Could Result in Negative Publicity, Loss of Sales, Delay in Market Acceptance or Customer Dissatisfaction

Our future financial performance depends on our successful and timely development, introduction and market acceptance of new and enhanced products. The life cycles of our products are difficult to predict because the market for our products is new and emerging, and is characterized by rapid technological change, changing customer needs and evolving industry standards. The introduction of products or computer systems employing new technologies and emerging industry standards could render our existing products obsolete and unmarketable. For example, portions of our software are written in the Java computer programming language. If a new software language becomes standard in our industry or is considered more robust, we may need to rewrite portions of our products in another computer language in order to remain competitive. The introduction of enhancements to our suite of products may

also cause customers to defer orders for our existing products. We may experience difficulties that could delay or prevent the successful development, introduction or marketing of new or enhanced products in the future. In addition, those products may not meet the requirements of the marketplace and achieve market acceptance.

We expect to add new products to our supply chain applications by acquisition or internal development and by developing enhancements to our existing products. We have in the past experienced delays in the

19

planned release dates of our software products and upgrades, and we have discovered software defects in new products after their introduction. New products or upgrades may not be released according to schedule, or may contain defects when released. Either situation could result in negative publicity, loss of sales, delay in market acceptance of our products or customer claims against us.

Our Products Might Not Be Compatible With All Platforms, Which Could Inhibit Sales

We must continually modify and enhance our products to keep pace with changes in computer hardware and software and database technology, as well as emerging technical standards in the software industry. For example, we have designed our products to work with databases such as Oracle. Any changes to these platforms could require us to modify our products, and could cause us to delay releasing product enhancements until the updated version of that platform has been released. Furthermore, third parties develop adapters to integrate our products with other design, manufacture, finance and supply chain systems used by our customers. We rely on these third parties to update the adapters to reflect changes to our products as well as to the targeted platform in order to maintain the functionality provided by our products. As a result, uncertainties related to the timing and nature of new product announcements, introductions or modifications by vendors of operating systems, back-office applications and browsers and other Internet- related applications could hurt our business, as customers may not be certain as to how our products will operate with their existing systems.

In addition, portions of our products are based upon a programming language that does not offer all of the features available in Windows. Accordingly, certain features available to products that run on Windows may not be available in the non-Windows version of our products, and this could result in reduced customer demand. Furthermore, some of our products do not run on certain types of popular server computers, such as those that utilize the UNIX operating system. If another platform becomes more widely used or offers greater scalability, we could be required to convert, or "port," our product to that platform. We may not succeed in these efforts, and even if we do, potential customers may not choose our product. As we extend the functionality of our products to run on additional platforms, we may incur increased development costs and increased development lifecycles.

If We Are Unable to Timely Expand Our International Operations, We May Not Achieve Anticipated Revenue Growth

We believe that expansion of our international operations will be necessary for our future success, and a key aspect to our business strategy has been and is to expand our sales and support organizations internationally. Therefore, we believe that we will need to commit additional significant resources to expand our international operations. We employ sales professionals in Europe and the Asia-Pacific market. If we are unable to successfully expand further in these

international markets on a timely basis, we may not be able to achieve anticipated revenue growth. This expansion may be more difficult or take longer than we anticipate, and we may not be able to successfully market, sell, deliver and support our products internationally.

Our international expansion will subject us to a number of risks associated with international business activities. These risks include:

- difficulty in providing customer support for our software in multiple time zones;
- . the need to develop our software in multiple foreign languages;
- . longer sales cycles associated with educating foreign customers on the benefits of using our products;
- greater difficulty and longer time in collecting accounts receivable from customers located abroad;
- . political and economic instability, particularly in Asia;
- . difficulties in enforcing agreements through foreign legal systems; and
- unexpected changes in regulatory requirements that may limit our ability to export our software or sell into particular jurisdictions or impose multiple conflicting tax laws and regulations.

2.0

To date, most of our revenues have been denominated in United States dollars. If we experience an increase in the portion of our revenues denominated in foreign currencies, we may incur greater risks in currency fluctuations, particularly since we translate our foreign currency revenues once at the end of each quarter. In the future, our international revenues could be denominated in the Euro, the currency of the European Union. The Euro is an untested currency and may be subject to economic risks that are not currently contemplated. We currently do not engage in foreign exchange hedging activities, and therefore our international revenues and expenses are currently subject to the risks of foreign currency fluctuations.

We Depend on Licensed Technology and the Loss or Inability to Maintain These Technology Licenses Could Result in Increased Cost or Delays in Sales of Our Products

We license technology on a non-exclusive basis from several businesses for use with our products, including licenses from RSA Security Inc. for security and encryption technology software, Actuate Corporation for reporting capability and from Cimmetry Systems Inc. for our viewers. We anticipate that we will continue to license technology from third parties in the future. Some of the software we license from third parties would be difficult to replace. This software may not continue to be available on commercially reasonable terms, if at all. The loss or inability to maintain any of these technology licenses could result in delays in the licensing of our products until equivalent technology, if available, is identified, licensed and integrated. In addition, the effective implementation of our products depends upon the successful operation of third-party licensed products in conjunction with our products, and therefore any undetected errors in these licensed products may prevent the implementation or impair the functionality of products, delay new product introductions and/or injure our reputation. The increased use of third-party software could require us to enter into license agreements with third parties, which could result in higher royalty payments and a loss of

product differentiation and lower product gross margins.

Defects in Our Software Products Could Diminish Demand For Our Products

Our software products are complex and may contain errors that may be detected at any point in the life of the product. We have in the past discovered software errors in certain of our products and as a result have experienced delays in shipment of products during the period required to correct these errors. We cannot be sure that, despite testing by us, our implementation partners and our current and potential customers, errors will not be found in new products or releases after shipment, resulting in loss of revenue, delay in market acceptance and sales, diversion of development resources, injury to our reputation or increased service and warranty costs.

Further, our products are generally used in systems with other vendors' products, and as a result, our products must integrate successfully with these existing systems. System errors, whether caused by our products or those of another vendor, could adversely affect the market acceptance of our products, and any necessary revisions could cause us to incur significant expenses.

If We Become Subject to Product Liability Litigation, It Could Be Time Consuming and Costly to Defend

Since our products are used for mission critical applications in the supply chain, errors, defects or other performance problems could result in financial or other damages to our customers. For example, our products are designed to communicate information relating to changes in product specifications during the manufacturing process. If a supplier or other participant receives inaccurate or erroneous data, it is possible that it could claim it incurred damages based on its reliance on that data. Although our license agreements generally contain provisions designed to limit our exposure to product liability litigation, existing or future laws or unfavorable judicial decisions could negate such limitation of liability provisions. Product liability litigation, even if unsuccessful, would be time-consuming and costly to defend and could harm our business.

21

In Order to Manage Our Growth and Expansion, We Will Need to Improve and Implement New Systems, Procedures and Controls

We have recently experienced a period of rapid growth and expansion that has placed a significant strain on our management information systems and our administrative, operational and financial resources. For example, we have grown from 289 employees at April 30, 2000 to 460 employees at April 30, 2001. If we are unable to manage our growth and expansion in an efficient or timely manner, our business will be seriously harmed. In addition, we have recently hired a significant number of employees and plan to further increase our total headcount. We also plan to expand the geographic scope of our operations. This expansion has resulted and continues to result in substantial demands on our management resources. To accommodate continued anticipated growth and expansion, we will be required to:

- improve existing and implement new operational and financial systems, procedures and controls;
- . hire, train, manage, retain and motivate qualified personnel; and
- . enter into relationships with strategic partners.

These measures may place additional burdens on our management and our

internal resources.

If We Are Unable to Protect Our Intellectual Property We May Lose a Valuable Asset, Experience Reduced Market Share or Incur Costly Litigation to Protect Our Rights

Our success and ability to compete depend upon our proprietary technology, including our brand and logo and the technology underlying our products. We rely on patent, trademark, trade secret and copyright laws to protect our intellectual property. Despite our efforts to protect our intellectual property, a third party could copy or otherwise obtain our software or other proprietary information without authorization, or could develop software competitive to ours. Our means of protecting our proprietary rights may not be adequate and our competitors may independently develop similar technology, duplicate our products or design around patents that may be issued to us or our other intellectual property. In addition, the laws of some foreign countries do not protect our proprietary rights to as great an extent as do the laws of the United States, and we expect that it will become more difficult to monitor the use of our products if we increase our international presence.

We may have to resort to litigation to enforce our intellectual property rights, to protect our patents, trade secrets or know-how or to determine their scope, validity or enforceability. Enforcing or defending our proprietary technology is expensive, could cause the diversion of our resources, and may not prove successful. Our protective measures may prove inadequate to protect our proprietary rights, and any failure to enforce or protect our rights could cause us to lose a valuable asset.

We May Be Subject to Intellectual Property Infringement Claims That, With or Without Merit, Could Be Costly to Defend or Settle

We may from time to time be subject to claims of infringement of other parties' proprietary rights or claims that our own intellectual property rights are invalid. There has been a substantial amount of litigation in the software and Internet industries regarding intellectual property rights. It is possible that, in the future, third parties may claim that we or our current or potential future products infringe their intellectual property. We expect that software product developers and providers of electronic commerce solutions will increasingly be subject to infringement claims as the number of products and competitors in our industry segment grows and the functionality of products in industry segments overlaps. Any infringement claims made against us, with or without merit, could be time-consuming, result in costly litigation, cause product shipment delays or negative publicity. In addition, if our products were found to infringe a third party's proprietary rights, we could be required to enter into royalty or licensing agreements in order to continue to be able to sell our products. Royalty or licensing agreements, if required, may not be available on terms acceptable to us or at all.

22

We Will Rely on Third Parties to Manage System and Network Environments for Hosted Customers

We will rely on third parties to manage system and network environments running the Agile Anywhere and Agile Buyer solutions and related solutions for customers requiring hosting. Services provided by these third parties will include managing the hosted servers, maintaining communications lines and managing network data centers, which are the locations where the Agile solutions reside. Since the hosting of the Agile solutions for certain customers will depend on these third parties, it is possible that these third

parties may not be able to meet our and our customers' service level requirements. Dissatisfaction or problems with our service or the service of the third parties that host our solutions or delays or interruptions or other problems with service due to mechanical failure, human error, security breaches, power loss and other facility failures, natural disasters, sabotage, vandalism, or other similar events could result in a reduction of business generated by the hosted environment. In the event that we choose to use alternative hosting sources, this may result in a temporary degradation of the service level for hosting services that may be unacceptable to our customers.

We Are Subject to Employer Payroll Taxes When Our Employees Exercise Their Stock Options That Could Adversely Affect Our Results of Operations

Employer payroll taxes are assessed on each employee's gain on the sale of stock received upon exercise of options, which is the difference between the price of our common stock on the date of exercise and the exercise price. During a particular period, these payroll taxes could be material. These employer payroll taxes would be recorded as an expense and are assessed at tax rates that varies depending upon the employee's taxing jurisdiction in the period such options are exercised based on actual gains realized by employees. However, because we are unable to predict how many stock options will be exercised, at what price and in which country during any particular period, we cannot predict the amount, if any, of employer payroll expense will be recorded in a future period or the impact on our future financial results.

Power Outages In California May Adversely Affect Us

We have significant operations, including our headquarters, in the state of California and are dependent on a continuous power supply. California's current energy crisis could substantially disrupt our operations and increase our expenses. California has recently implemented, and may in the future continue to implement, rolling blackouts throughout the state. If blackouts interrupt our power supply, we may be temporarily unable to continue operations at our California facilities. Any such interruption in our ability to continue operations at our facilities could delay the development and delivery of our products and services and otherwise disrupt communications with our customers or other third parties on whom we rely. Furthermore, shortages in wholesale electricity supplies have caused power prices to increase. If energy prices continue to increase, our operating expenses will likely increase which could have a negative effect on our operating results.

Some of Our Customers are Small Emerging Growth Companies that May Represent Credit Risks

We have expanded our customer base to include licenses to small emerging growth companies. Many of these companies have limited operating histories, are operating at a loss and have limited access to capital. With the significant slowdown in U.S. economic growth in the past several months and uncertainty relating to the prospects for near-term U.S. economic growth, some of these customers may represent a credit risk. If our customers experience financial difficulties or fail to experience commercial success, we may have difficulty collecting on our accounts.

23

Risks Related to the Internet on Our Business and Prospects

If Use of the Internet Does Not Continue to Develop and Reliably Support the Demands Placed on It by Electronic Commerce, We May Experience Loss of Sales

Our success depends upon continued growth in the use of the Internet as a

medium of collaboration and commerce. Although the Internet is experiencing rapid growth in the number of users, this growth is a recent phenomenon and may not continue. Furthermore, despite this growth in usage, the use of the Internet for commerce is relatively new. As a result, a sufficiently broad base of companies and their supply chain partners may not adopt or continue to use the Internet as a medium for collaboration for product content information. Our business would be seriously harmed if:

- . use of the Internet does not continue to increase or increases more slowly than expected;
- the infrastructure for the Internet does not effectively support enterprises and their supply chain partners;
- the Internet does not create a viable commercial marketplace, inhibiting the development of electronic collaborative manufacturing commerce and reducing the demand for our products;
- concerns over the secure transmission of confidential information over public networks and general disruption could inhibit the growth of the Internet as a means of conducting commercial transactions; or
- . concerns about third parties using the Internet to create interference with the use of our products over the Internet.

Capacity Restraints May Restrict the Use of the Internet as a Commercial Marketplace, Resulting in Decreased Demand For Our Products

The Internet infrastructure may not be able to support the demands placed on it by increased usage or the limited capacity of networks to transmit large amounts of data. Other risks associated with commercial use of the Internet could slow its growth, including:

- outages and other delays resulting from the inadequate reliability of the network infrastructure;
- slow development of enabling technologies and complementary products;
   and
- . limited availability of cost-effective, high-speed access.

Delays in the development or adoption of new equipment standards or protocols required to handle increased levels of Internet activity, or increased governmental regulation, could cause the Internet to lose its viability as a means of communication between manufacturers and their supply chain partners. If these or any other factors cause use of the Internet for commerce to slow or decline, the Internet may not prove viable as a commercial marketplace, resulting in decreased demand for our products.

Increasing Governmental Regulation of the Internet Could Limit the Market for  $\operatorname{Our}$  Products

As Internet commerce continues to evolve, we expect that federal, state and foreign governments will adopt laws and regulations covering issues such as user privacy, taxation of goods and services provided over the Internet, pricing, content and quality of products and services. It is possible that legislation could expose companies involved in electronic commerce to liability, taxation or other increased costs, any of which could limit the growth of electronic commerce generally. Legislation could dampen the growth in Internet usage and decrease its acceptance as a communications and commercial medium. If enacted, these laws and regulations could limit the market for our products.

24

Risks Related to Control

Our Executive Officers, Directors and Major Stockholders Will Retain Significant Control, Which May Lead to Conflicts With Other Stockholders Over Corporate Governance Matters

Currently executive officers, directors and holders of 5% or more of our outstanding common stock own, in the aggregate, approximately 17% of our outstanding common stock. These stockholders would be able to significantly influence all matters requiring approval by our stockholders, including the election of directors and the approval of significant corporate transactions. This concentration of ownership may also delay, deter or prevent a change in our control and may make some transactions more difficult or impossible to complete without the support of these stockholders.

Our Stock Price Has Been and May Continue to Be Extremely Volatile, Which May Lead to Losses By Investors and to Securities Litigation

The stock market has experienced significant price and volume fluctuations and the market prices of securities of technology companies, particularly Internet- related companies including us, have been highly volatile. Investors may not be able to resell their shares purchased in this offering at or above the offering price. The market price of our common stock may decrease significantly in response to a number of factors, some of which are beyond our control, including the following:

- . variations in our quarterly operating results;
- announcements that our revenues or income are below securities analysts' expectations;
- changes in securities analysts' estimates of our performance or industry performance;
- . changes in market valuations of similar companies;
- . sales of large blocks of our common stock;
- fluctuations in stock market price and volume, which are particularly common among highly volatile securities of software and Internet-based companies.

In the past, securities class action litigation has often been instituted against a company following periods of volatility in the company's stock price. This type of litigation, if filed against us, could result in substantial costs and could divert our management's attention and resources.

Provisions Contained in Our Charter Documents May Delay or Prevent a Change in Our Control

Provisions of our Delaware certificate of incorporation and bylaws and of Delaware law could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire us, even if a change in control would be beneficial to our stockholders. These provisions also may prevent changes in our management. We are subject to the provision of Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, which restricts certain business combinations with interested stockholders. The combination of these provisions may inhibit a non-negotiated merger or other business combination.

We Have Adopted Certain Anti-Takeover Measures That May Make it More Difficult For a Third Party to Acquire Us

Our board of directors has the authority to issue up to 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock and to determine the price, rights, preferences and privileges of those shares without any further vote or action by the stockholders. The rights of the holders of common stock will be subject to, and may be adversely affected by, the rights of the holders of any preferred stock that may be issued in the future. The issuance of shares of preferred stock, while potentially providing desirable flexibility in connection with possible acquisitions and for other corporate purposes, could have the effect of making it more difficult for a third party to acquire a

25

majority of our outstanding voting stock. We have no present intentions to issue shares of preferred stock. Further, on March 2001, our board of directors adopted a preferred stock purchase rights plan intended to guard against certain takeover tactics. The adoption of this plan was not in response to any proposal to acquire us, and the board is not aware of any such effort. The existence of this plan could also have the effect of making it more difficult for a third party to acquire a majority of our outstanding voting stock. In addition, certain provisions of our certificate of incorporation may have the effect of delaying or preventing a change of control, which could adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

#### ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

Our headquarters are currently located in four leased facilities in San Jose, California, consisting of approximately 142,000 square feet under leases expiring in 2005 with expansion and renewal options, of which approximately 18,000 square feet is currently sublet to tenants on short-term subleases. In March 2000, we entered into a lease expiring in 2005 for an additional approximately 5,000 square feet of office space located in Scotts Valley, California. We also lease offices for sales and service personnel in eight locations in the United States as well as in Paris, France, Stuttgart, Germany, London, United Kingdom, Tokyo, Japan and Taipei, Taiwan. We believe our current facilities will be adequate to meet our needs for the foreseeable future.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

None

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

None

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR THE COMPANY'S COMMON STOCK AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Our common stock is traded on the Nasdaq National Market under the symbol "Agil." The price range per share reflected in the table below represents the highest and lowest sale prices for our stock as reported by the Nasdaq National Market during each quarter the stock has been publicly traded since August 20, 1999, the date of our initial public offering.

High Low

Fiscal 2001:
Quarter Ended April 30, 2001. \$ 49.94 \$ 9.00
Quarter Ended January 31, 2001. \$ 88.25 \$24.00
Quarter Ended October 31, 2000. \$ 98.00 \$46.56
Quarter Ended July 31, 2000. \$ 75.50 \$33.88

Fiscal 2000:
Quarter Ended April 30, 2000. \$ 91.19 \$18.31
Quarter Ended January 31, 2000. \$ 112.50 \$46.59
Quarter Ended October 31, 1999. \$ 51.80 \$17.13

All information in this Item 5 has been restated to reflect a two-for-one stock split, effected in the form of a stock dividend to each stockholder of record as of March 17, 2000.

Our present policy is to retain earnings, if any, to finance future growth. We have never paid cash dividends and have no present intention to pay cash dividends. At June 30, 2001, there were 424 stockholders of record (there were a substantially greater number of Agile Software beneficial owners) and the price per share of our common stock was \$16.03.

26

During fiscal 2001 we issued an aggregate of 8,615,000 shares of our common stock upon the exercise of outstanding options to purchase our common stock. A portion of those shares was issued pursuant to an exemption by reason of Rule 701 under the Securities Act of 1933.

In August 2000, we issued a warrant to purchase 50,000 shares of our common stock in connection with the establishment of a marketing alliance with a business partner. The warrant was vested and immediately exercisable upon the date of grant. The warrant expires upon the termination of the agreement. The offer and sale of the warrant was exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, pursuant to Section 4(2) thereof. We relied on the following criteria to make such exemption available: the number of offerees, the size and manner of the offering, the sophistication of the offerees and the availability of material information.

In September 2000, we issued an aggregate of 82,222 shares of our common stock upon the exercise of an outstanding warrant on a net exercise basis, at an exercise price of \$74.92 per share. The offer and sale of the warrant and the common stock issuable upon exercise thereof, was exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, pursuant to Section 4(2) thereof. We relied on the following criteria to make such exemption available: the number of offerees, the size and manner of the offering, the sophistication of the offerees and the availability of material information.

On January 29, 2001, we issued an option to acquire up to 9,396,941 shares of our common stock at an exercise price per share of \$54.00, to Ariba, Inc., under the terms of the Agreement and Plan of Merger and Reorganization between Agile and Ariba in connection with Ariba's proposed acquisition of Agile. The option was cancelled on April 4, 2001 when the proposed acquisition was cancelled.

#### ITEM 6. SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA

The selected consolidated statement of operations data set forth below for the fiscal years ended April 30, 2001, 2000 and 1999 and the consolidated balance sheet data as of April 30, 2001 and 2000 are derived from our consolidated financial statements, which have been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, independent public accountants, and are included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The selected consolidated statement of operations data for the fiscal years ended April 30, 1998 and 1997 and the consolidated balance sheet data as of April 30, 1999, 1998 and 1997 are derived from our audited consolidated financial statements that are not included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The following selected consolidated financial data should be read in conjunction with, and are qualified by reference to, our consolidated financial statements and related notes and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations."

	Fiscal Years Ended April 30,				
	2001	2000	1999	1998	1997
	(in thousands, except per share amounts)				
Consolidated Statement of Operations Data (1): Revenues: License		\$ 21,463		•	
Professional services Maintenance	9,182 12,899		3,665 2,283		187 22
Total revenues	87 <b>,</b> 059	32 <b>,</b> 198	16 <b>,</b> 807	8,003 	1,352
Cost of revenues: License Professional services Maintenance Stock compensation Total cost of revenues	6,986 4,875 663	3,718 2,510 562	3,823 1,343 162	1,347 278 61	88 65
Gross profit					
Operating expenses: Sales and marketing: Other sales and marketing Stock compensation Research and development: Other research and	61,951		13,495	8,070	
development			4,742 858		2,510 
administration		3,411 2,182	1,938 776		1,333 
other intangible assets Acquired in-process technology	35 <b>,</b> 974	14,911			
Impairment of goodwill and					

other intangible assets Merger related expenses	•					
Total operating expenses	206,229	66,973	22,266	14,648	5 <b>,</b> 992	
Loss from operations Impairment of equity	(135,524)	(43,016)	(11,606)	(8,874)	(4,906)	
<pre>investments Interest income (expense)</pre>	. , ,		 178	 (68)	 70	
Net loss	\$(125,336) ======			,	,	
Net loss per share: Basic and diluted	\$ (2.74)					
Weighted average shares (2)		30,967	5,904	4,258	2,600	
		As of April 30,				
	2001	2000	1999 	1998 	1997 	
Consolidated Balance Sheet Data:						
Cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments Working capital (deficit) Total assets Long-term obligations Stockholders' equity	293,839	294,251 43,801 1,015	4,174	(930) 7,531 782	2,617 5,366	

28

29

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION & ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION & RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

#### Overview

We develop and market collaborative manufacturing commerce solutions that speed the "build" and "buy" process across the virtual manufacturing network. We believe that our products improve time-to-volume, customer responsiveness and cost of goods sold. Our solutions manage product content and critical communication, collaboration and commerce transactions among original equipment manufacturers, electronic manufacturing services providers, customers and suppliers in real-time. We were founded in March 1995 and in June 1996 we began

<sup>(1)</sup> The consolidated statement of operations data reflects reclassifications to allocate the non-cash stock compensation related to the issuance of stock options from a single-line item presentation within operating expenses to the individual amounts related to cost of revenues, sales and marketing, research and development, and general administration expenses.

<sup>(2)</sup> Reflects the two-for-one stock split effective March 2000.

selling our first products and delivering related services. We currently license our products in the United States through our direct sales force, and in Europe and Asia through our direct sales force and distributors. To date, revenues from international sales have not been material. We have derived our revenues principally from the licenses of our products, the delivery of professional services and from maintenance contracts.

Customers who license our software products receive a license for our application servers, one or more user licenses, and adapters provided by third parties to connect with the customer's other existing enterprise systems. Our customers generally purchase a limited number of user licenses at the time of the initial license of the software products and may purchase additional user licenses as needed. Customers may purchase implementation services from us. These professional services are generally provided on a fixed-price basis and are often provided by third-party consulting organizations. We also offer fee-based training services to our customers. As of April 30, 2001, over 98% of our customers who licensed our products had purchased maintenance contracts, which provide unspecified software upgrades on a when-and-if available basis, and technical support over a stated term, which is generally a twelve-month period. Over 95% of our customers had renewed their maintenance contracts as of April 30, 2001. We may not be able to maintain or continue these rates of purchases or renewals of maintenance agreements.

We recognize revenue under Statement of Position, or SOP, 97-2, "Software Revenue Recognition," and SOP 98-9, "Modification of SOP 97-2, Software Revenue Recognition, with Respect to Certain Transactions." When contracts contain multiple elements and vendor-specific objective evidence of fair-value exists for all undelivered elements, we account for the delivered elements in accordance with the "Residual Method" prescribed by SOP 98-9. Multiple element arrangements generally include post-contract support (PCS or support), software products, and in some cases, service. Vendor-specific objective evidence of fair value is generally determined by sales of the same element or service to third parties or through a renewal rate specified in the related arrangement. In most cases, the bundled multiple elements include PCS and the software product. In such cases, when vendor-specific objective evidence of fair value exists for all of the undelivered elements (most commonly PCS), the residual amount is recognized as revenue and the PCS is recognized ratably over the PCS term as described below.

License revenues are recognized when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, the fee is fixed or determinable, collectibility is probable, and delivery and customer acceptance (including the expiration of an acceptance period), if required under the terms of the contract, of the software products has occurred. In the event that we grant a customer the right to specified upgrades, license revenue is deferred until delivery of the specified upgrade. If vendor-specific objective evidence of fair value exists for the specified upgrade, an amount equal to this fair value is deferred. If vendor-specific objective evidence of fair value does not exist, then recognition of the entire license fee is deferred until the delivery of the specified upgrade. Allowances for estimated returns are provided upon product delivery. In instances where vendor obligations remain, recognition of revenues is deferred until the obligation has been satisfied.

Revenues from professional services consist of implementation and training services. Training revenues are recognized as the services are performed. Implementation services are typically performed under fixed-price contracts and accordingly, revenues are recognized upon customer acceptance. A provision for estimated losses on fixed-price professional services contracts is recognized in the period in which the loss becomes known.

Maintenance revenues are recognized ratably monthly over the term of the maintenance contract, which is generally twelve months. Maintenance contracts include the right to unspecified upgrades on a when-and-if available basis, and ongoing support.

Our cost of license revenues include royalties due to third parties for integrated technology, the cost of manuals and product documentation, production media used to deliver our products and packaging costs. Our cost of professional services revenues include salaries and related expenses for the implementation and training services organizations, costs of third parties contracted to provide implementation services to customers and an allocation of our overhead expenses. Our cost of maintenance revenues include salaries and related expenses for the customer support organization and an allocation of our overhead expenses. The cost of professional services can fluctuate depending upon whether more or less of the professional services are provided to our customers by us rather than by third-party service providers. We generally provide implementation services to our customers on a fixed-price basis. If we have to engage independent contractors or third parties to provide these services on our behalf, it is generally at higher cost resulting in a lower gross margin than if we had provided the services to our customers ourselves. Therefore, our gross margin from professional services may fluctuate based on who performs the services and the actual cost to provide these services. Although services revenues may increase in absolute dollars if we increase the professional services we provide, services revenues have lower gross margins than license revenues. Our overall gross profit can therefore fluctuate based on the mix of license revenues compared to professional services revenues and maintenance revenues.

Our operating expenses are classified as sales and marketing, research and development and general and administrative. We classify all charges to these operating expense categories based on the nature of the expenditures. Although each category includes expenses that are unique to the category type, there are common recurring expenditures that are typically included in all operating expenses categories, such as salaries, employee benefits, incentive compensation, bonuses, travel costs, telephone, communication, rent and allocated facilities costs and professional fees. The sales and marketing category of operating expenses includes additional expenditures specific to the marketing group, such as public relations and advertising, trade shows, marketing collateral materials, and customer user group meetings and expenditures specific to the sales group, such as commissions. To date, all software development costs in research and development have been expensed as incurred. Also included in our operating expenses is the amortization of stock compensation described below.

On November 23, 1999, we acquired Digital Market, Inc. ("DMI") in a transaction accounted for as a purchase business combination. We paid \$20.0 million in cash and issued 1,202,018 shares of our Common Stock valued at \$75.7 million or \$62.95 per share based upon the average price of our Common Stock two days before, day of and two days after the transaction measurement date. In addition, we also assumed all unvested outstanding stock options granted by DMI. The estimated fair value of the assumed options was \$5.6 million, and was included as a component of the purchase price. The fair value of the options was estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions: risk-free rate of 5.96%, expected life of 2.0 to 4.0 years, expected dividend rate of 0%, and volatility of 85%. We incurred \$1.2 million in acquisition expenses, including financial advisory and legal fees and other direct transaction costs resulting in an adjusted aggregate purchase price of \$102.5 million.

The total acquisition price of \$102.5 million was allocated to the assets acquired, including tangible and intangible assets, and liabilities assumed based upon the fair value of such assets and liabilities on the date of the acquisition. The total acquisition price of the acquisition was allocated to assets and liabilities based on management's estimates of their fair value and an independent appraisal of certain intangible assets, with the excess costs over the net assets acquired allocated to goodwill. The aggregate purchase price was allocated as follows (in thousands):

Net tangible liabilities	\$	(6,659)
In-process technology		1,300
Existing technology		1,850
Trademark		150
Assembled workforce		2,100
Goodwill	1	03,776
	\$1	02,517
	====	=====

The net tangible liabilities consisted primarily of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, property and equipment, accounts payable and other liabilities and notes payable. Because the in-process technology had not reached the stage of technological feasibility at the acquisition date and had no alternative future use, the amount was immediately charged to operations. The amount allocated to existing technology, trademark and assembled workforce was being amortized over the estimated useful lives of three years. The purchase price in excess of identified tangible and intangible assets was allocated as goodwill. As a result of the rapid technological changes occurring in the software and Internet industries, goodwill was being amortized over the estimated useful life of three years. The valuation of the intangible assets was been determined using management's assumptions and a valuation report from an independent appraiser.

Management assesses the impairment of identifiable intangibles and related goodwill periodically in accordance with the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 121, Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed of. Impairment of enterprise level goodwill is also assessed periodically in accordance with the provision of Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 17, Intangible Assets. An impairment review is performed whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. Factors considered important which could trigger an impairment review include, but are not limited to, significant underperformance relative to expected historical or projected future operating results, significant changes in the manner of use of the acquired assets or the strategy for our overall business, significant negative industry or economic trends, a significant decline in our stock price for a sustained period, or a significant decline in our market capitalization relative to net book value. When it is determined that the carrying value of goodwill may not be recoverable based upon the existence of one or more of the above indicators of impairment, any impairment is measured based on a projected discounted cash flow method using a discount rate commensurate with the risk inherent in our current business model.

During the fourth quarter of 2001, management performed an impairment assessment of the identifiable intangibles and goodwill recorded upon the acquisition of DMI. This assessment was performed primarily as a result of the decision by management in February 2001 to discontinue the further development

of the products acquired in the DMI acquisition. As a result of the assessment, an impairment charge of \$55.2 million was recorded to reduce the carrying value of developed technology and goodwill. The charge was determined based upon our estimated discounted cash flows using a discount rate of 25%. The assumptions supporting such cash flows including the discount rate were determined using management's best estimates. The remaining identifiable intangibles balance of approximately \$1.2 million, consisting principally of workforce in place, will continue to be amortized over its remaining useful life of 1.5 years which management considers appropriate.

We granted options below market price to purchase 269,144 shares of our common stock to certain DMI employees who remained our employees after the acquisition. We recorded additional deferred stock

32

compensation of approximately \$11.7 million associated with these stock option grants, which was amortized over their expected term of 18 months.

In connection with the granting of stock options to our employees (including the DMI employees who remained our employees after the acquisition) and nonemployee consultants, we have recorded unearned stock compensation totaling approximately \$40.4 million through April 30, 2001, of which \$9.4 million remains to be amortized. This amount is included as a component of stockholders' equity and is being amortized by charges to operations over the applicable vesting period of the options, consistent with the accelerated amortization method described in Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, Interpretation No. 28. We recognized amortization of unearned stock compensation of \$16.1 million in fiscal 2001 and \$11.8 million in fiscal 2000. The amortization of the remaining unearned stock compensation at April 30, 2001 will result in additional charges to operations through fiscal 2005. We calculated the fair value of options to purchase 130,000 shares of our common stock granted to non-employee consultants, which totals \$1.5 million as of April 30, 2001, using the Black-Scholes option pricing model as prescribed by SFAS No. 123 with the following underlying assumptions: expected volatility of 100%, risk-free interest rate of 4.6% and option terms of two to four years. We are accounting for these options under variable plan accounting and therefore the expense associated with these options may fluctuate significantly from quarter to quarter through fiscal 2005.

In September 2000, in connection with a marketing alliance with a third party, we issued a warrant to purchase 50,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$67.05 per share, the fair value of our common stock on the date of the agreement. We recorded a charge of \$2.0 million representing the fair value of the warrant, estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions: risk-free rate of 5.75%, expected life of 3.0 years, expected dividend rate of 0%, and volatility of 80%. Such amount is presented as a reduction of stockholders' equity and is being amortized to sales and marketing expense over the three-year life of the marketing alliance.

The warrant was granted on a non-contingent basis and vests immediately. The warrant is not subject to repurchase, nor does it require substantial performance for the third party to exercise. The marketing alliance is a three-year non-exclusive cooperative agreement, which is designed to enhance our and the third party's potential revenues in their respective areas, and credibility in collaborative manufacturing commerce without constraining each other's business. We will each be responsible for our own cost and expenses in performing joint marketing sales activities.

Although our total revenues have increased from year to year, we have

incurred significant costs to develop our products and to recruit and train personnel for our engineering, sales, marketing, professional services and administration departments. As a result, we have incurred significant losses since inception, and, as of April 30, 2001, had an accumulated deficit of \$187.1 million.

We intend to continue to incur significant sales and marketing, research and development, general and administrative expenses and stock compensation expenses. For example, we had 460 full-time employees at April 30, 2001, compared to 289 at April 30, 2000 and 156 at April 30, 1999. We will seek to hire additional employees in the future. We expect to continue to incur operating losses for the foreseeable future. In order to achieve profitability, we will need to increase our revenues significantly. Therefore, we cannot be sure that we will ever attain or maintain profitability. Our expansion will also place significant demands on our management and operational resources. To manage this rapid growth and increased demands, we must improve existing and implement new operational and financial systems, procedures and controls. We must also hire, train, manage, retain and motivate qualified personnel. We expect future expansion to continue to challenge our ability to hire, train, manage, retain and motivate our employees.

In view of the rapidly changing nature of our market and our limited operating history, we believe that period-to-period comparisons of our revenues and other operating results are not necessarily meaningful and should not be relied upon as indications of future performance. Our historic revenue growth rates are not necessarily sustainable or indicative of our future growth.

33

On March 17, 2000, the Board of Directors authorized a two-for-one stock split of our common stock, in the form of a stock dividend. The financial information included in this report has been restated to give effect to the stock split.

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth selected consolidated financial data for the periods indicated, expressed as a percentage of total revenues:

	Fiscal Year	Ended April	30,
		2000	1999
Revenues: License	75 % 10	67 % 15	65 % 22 13
Total revenues	100	100	100
Cost of revenues: License Professional services Maintenance Stock compensation	4 8 6 1	5 12 8 2	5 23 8 1
Total cost of revenues		 26 	37

Gross profit		74	63
Operating expenses:			
Sales and marketing:			
Other sales and marketing	71	83	80
Stock compensation	8	18	3
Research and development:			
Other research and development	31	29	28
Stock compensation	5	10	5
General and administrative:			
Other general and administration	7	11	12
Stock compensation	4	7	5
Amortization of goodwill and other			
intangible assets	41	46	
Acquired in-process technology		4	
Impairment of goodwill and other			
intangible assets	64		
Merger related expenses			
mala la la casa de la		 208	132
Total operating expenses		ZU8 	132
Loss from operations			(69)
Impairment of equity investments			
Interest and other income			3
Interest expense		(2)	(2)
-			
Net loss	(144)%	(109)%	(68)%
	=======================================		=====

Fiscal Years Ended April 30, 2001 2000 and 1999

#### Revenues

Our total revenues were \$87.1 million in fiscal 2001, \$32.2 million in fiscal 2000 and \$16.8 million in fiscal 1999, representing increases of \$54.9 million, or 170%, in fiscal 2001 compared to fiscal 2000 and \$15.4 million, or 92%, in fiscal 2000 compared to fiscal 1999. We had no customer that accounted for more than 10% of our total revenues in fiscal 2001, fiscal 2000 or fiscal 1999.

34

License Revenues. Our license revenues were \$65.0 million in fiscal 2001, \$21.5 million in fiscal 2000 and \$10.9 million in fiscal 1999, representing increases of \$43.5 million, or 203%, in fiscal 2001 compared to fiscal 2000 and \$10.6 million, or 98%, in fiscal 2000 compared to fiscal 1999. License revenues as a percentage of total revenues were 75% in fiscal 2001, 67% in fiscal 2000 and 65% in fiscal 1999. The increase in absolute dollars in fiscal 2001 compared to 2000 and in fiscal 2000 compared to fiscal 1999 was due to software licensed to new customers and additional software licensed to existing customers resulting from increased market acceptance of our suite of products.

Professional Services Revenues. Our professional services revenues were \$9.2 million in fiscal 2001, \$4.8 million in fiscal 2000 and \$3.7 million in fiscal 1999, representing increases of \$4.4 million, or 92%, in fiscal 2001 compared to fiscal 2000 and \$1.1 million, or 31%, in fiscal 2000 compared to fiscal 1999. Professional services revenues as a percentage of total revenues were 10% in fiscal 2001, 15% in fiscal 2000 and 22% in fiscal 1999. The increase in professional services revenues in absolute dollars in fiscal 2001 compared to fiscal 2000 and in fiscal 2000 compared to fiscal 1999 reflects

increased license revenues and an increased range of services, consisting of additional data migration and integration services. The decrease in professional services revenues as a percentage of total revenues is due to the increased percentage of professional services that were provided by third party implementers who invoiced the customer directly.

Maintenance Revenues. Our maintenance revenues were \$12.9 million in fiscal 2001, \$5.9 million in fiscal 2000 and \$2.3 in fiscal 1999, representing increases of \$7.0 million, or 117%, in fiscal 2001 compared to fiscal 2000 and \$3.7 million, or 161%, in fiscal 2000 compared to fiscal 1999. Maintenance revenues as a percentage of total revenues were 15% in fiscal 2001, 18% in fiscal 2000 and 13% in fiscal 1999. The increase in maintenance revenues in absolute dollars in fiscal 2001 compared to fiscal 2000 and in fiscal 2000 compared to fiscal 1999 reflects increased sales of licenses of our products. The decrease in maintenance revenues as a percentage of total revenues in fiscal 2001 compared to fiscal 2000 was due to a higher proportion of license revenues to total revenues resulting from increased market acceptance of our products.

### Cost of Revenues

Cost of License Revenues. Cost of license revenues, excluding stock compensation, were \$3.8 million in fiscal 2001, \$1.5 million in fiscal 2000 and \$819,000 in fiscal 1999, representing increases of \$2.4 million, or 164%, in fiscal 2001 compared to fiscal 2000 and \$632,000, or 77%, and in fiscal 2000 compared to fiscal 1999. Cost of license revenues as a percentage of license revenues were 6% in fiscal 2001, 7% in fiscal 2000 and 8% in fiscal 1999. The increase in the cost of license revenue in absolute dollars in fiscal 2001 compared to fiscal 2000 and in fiscal 2000 compared to fiscal 1999 reflects increased expenses associated with royalties due to third parties for software used in our products. Cost of license revenues as a percentage of total license revenues decreased in fiscal 2001 compared to fiscal 2000 and in fiscal 2000 compared to fiscal 1999 as add-on licenses, which have a higher gross margin than initial customer licenses, have increased as a percentage of total license revenues.

Cost of Professional Services Revenues. Cost of professional services revenues, excluding stock compensation, were \$7.0 million in fiscal 2001, \$3.7 million in fiscal 2000 and \$3.8 million in fiscal 1999, representing an increase of \$3.3 million, or 88% in fiscal 2001 compared to 2000 and a decrease of \$105,000, or 3%, in fiscal 2000 compared to 1999. Cost of services revenues as a percentage of services revenues were 76% in fiscal 2001, 78% in fiscal 2000 and 104% in fiscal 1999. The increase in cost of professional services revenues in absolute dollars in fiscal 2001 compared to fiscal 2000 was due to increased professional services personnel necessary to support our increased customer base. The decrease in cost of professional services revenues as a percentage of professional services revenues in fiscal 2001 compared to fiscal 2000 and fiscal 2000 compared to fiscal 1999 was due to economies of scale realized as a result of increased management personnel and experienced maintenance personnel. In certain periods in the past, and potentially in the future, our cost of professional services revenues exceeded or may exceed our professional services revenues, primarily because the actual cost of providing the services, whether provided internally or through third parties,

35

exceeded or may exceed the fixed price payment received from some of our customers. In addition, as we increase the size of our professional services staff, costs will be incurred for new personnel before they become fully productive.

Cost of Maintenance Revenues. Cost of maintenance revenues, excluding stock compensation, were \$4.9 million for fiscal 2001, \$2.5 million for fiscal 2000 and \$1.3 million for fiscal 1999, representing increases of \$2.4 million, or 94%, in fiscal 2001 compared to fiscal 2000 and \$1.2 million, or 87%, in fiscal 2000 compared to fiscal 1999. Cost of maintenance revenues as a percentage of maintenance revenues were 38% in fiscal 2001, 42% in fiscal 2000 and 59% in fiscal 1999. The increase in cost of maintenance revenues in absolute dollars in fiscal 2001 compared to fiscal 2000 and in fiscal 2000 compared to fiscal 1999 was due to hiring and training a support organization needed in connection with our increased customer base during these periods. The decrease in cost of maintenance revenues as a percentage of maintenance revenues in fiscal 2001 compared to fiscal 2000 and fiscal 2000 compared to fiscal 1999 was due to economies of scale realized as a result of increased management personnel and experienced maintenance personnel.

## Operating Expenses

Sales and Marketing. Sales and marketing expenses, excluding stock compensation, were \$62.0 million in fiscal 2001, \$26.7 million in fiscal 2000 and \$13.5 million in fiscal 1999, representing increases of \$35.3 million, or 132%, in fiscal 2001 compared to 2000 and \$13.2 million, or 98%, in fiscal 2000 compared to fiscal 1999. Sales and marketing expenses, excluding stock compensation, as a percentage of total revenues were 71% in fiscal 2001, 83% in fiscal 2000 and 80% in fiscal 1999. The increase in sales and marketing expenses in fiscal 2001 compared to fiscal 2000 and in fiscal 2000 compared to fiscal 1999 reflects significant personnel-related expenses such as salaries, benefits and commissions, recruiting fees, travel expenses and related costs of hiring sales management, sales representatives, sales engineers and marketing personnel. We anticipate that our sales and marketing expenses will increase in absolute dollars for the foreseeable future as we expand our domestic and international sales force.

Research and Development. Research and development expenses, excluding stock compensation, were \$26.5 million in fiscal 2001, \$9.4 million in fiscal 2000 and \$4.7 million in fiscal 1999, representing increases of \$17.0 million, or 181%, in fiscal 2001 compared to fiscal 2000 and \$4.7 million, or 98%, in fiscal 2000 compared to fiscal 1999. Research and development expenses, excluding stock compensation, as a percentage of total revenues were 31% in fiscal 2001, 29% in fiscal 2000 and 28% in fiscal 1999. The absolute dollar increases in research and development expenses in fiscal 2001 compared to fiscal 2000 and in fiscal 2000 compared to fiscal 1999 were due to the increase in the number of our software developers, quality assurance personnel and outside contractors to support our product development, documentation and testing activities related to the development and release of the latest versions of our products. We anticipate that research and development expenses will continue to increase in absolute dollars for the foreseeable future as we continue to add to our research and development staff.

General and Administrative. General and administrative expenses, excluding stock compensation, were \$6.3 million in fiscal 2001, \$3.4 million in fiscal 2000 and \$1.9 million in fiscal 1999, representing an increase of \$2.8 million, or 83%, in fiscal 2001 compared to fiscal 2000 and an increase of \$1.5 million, or 76%, in fiscal 2000 compared to fiscal 1999. General and administrative expenses, excluding stock compensation, as a percentage of total revenues were 7% in fiscal 2001, 11% in fiscal 2000 and 12% in fiscal 1999. The absolute dollar increase in costs in fiscal 2001 compared to fiscal 2000 and in fiscal 2000 compared to fiscal 1999 was due to hiring additional finance, executive and administrative personnel to support the growth of our business during that period. We expect that general and administrative expenses will increase in absolute dollars for the foreseeable future as we expand our operations.

36

Amortization of Stock Compensation. During fiscal 2001, fiscal 2000 and fiscal 1999 we recorded a total of approximately \$37.3 million of unearned stock compensation. We recognized amortization of stock compensation of \$16.1 million in fiscal 2001, \$11.8 million in fiscal 2000 and \$2.3 million in fiscal 1999. Amortization of stock compensation consists of the following (in thousands):

		Fiscal Year Ended April 30,				April
	_	2001		2000		1999
Cost of revenues	\$	663	\$	562	\$	162
Sales and marketing		7,294		5,820		457
Research and development		4,346		3,281		858
General and administrative		3,749		2,182		776
Total	\$	16,052	\$	11,845	\$	22,253
	=		==		=:	======

Amortization of Intangible Assets. Of the \$102.5 million purchase price for Digital Market, Inc., \$103.8 million was allocated to goodwill and \$4.1 million was allocated to intangible assets, both of which were being amortized over a period of 3 years. We amortized \$36.0 million of goodwill and intangibles in fiscal 2001.

Acquired In-process Technology. Of the \$102.5 million purchase price for Digital Market, Inc., \$1.3 million was allocated to in-process research and development, which was expensed in full upon completion of the acquisition in November 2000. Please see Note 5 in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for a more detailed discussion of the charge for in-process research and development.

Impairment of Goodwill and Other Intangibles. During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2001, we performed an impairment assessment of the identifiable intangibles and goodwill recorded upon the acquisition of DMI. This assessment was performed primarily as a result of management's decision in February 2001 to discontinue the further development of the products acquired in the DMI acquisition. As a result of the assessment, we recorded a \$55.2 million impairment charge to reduce the carrying value of developed technology and goodwill. The charge was determined based upon our estimated discounted cash flows using a discount rate of 25%. The assumptions supporting such cash flows including the discount rate were determined using management's best estimates. The remaining identifiable intangibles balance of approximately \$1.2 million, consisting principally of workforce in place, will be amortized over its remaining useful life of 1.5 years which management considers appropriate.

Impairment of Equity Investments. During fiscal 2001, we determined that certain equity investments of privately held companies had incurred a decline in value that was considered other than temporary. We recorded a charge of \$8.6 million in our results of operations to write down the investments to their estimated fair values. See Note 4 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for more detailed information

There were no impairment charges related to goodwill and other intangible assets or equity investments recorded in fiscal 2000 or fiscal 1999.

Merger related expenses. On January 29, 2001, we signed a definitive agreement to be acquired by Ariba, Inc., ("Ariba") a leading business-to-business eCommerce solutions provider. However, on April 4, 2001, Ariba and Agile mutually agreed to terminate the proposed merger without payment of any termination fees due to the recent challenging economic and market conditions. We have incurred merger related costs totaling \$5.0 million related to financial advisors and other professional fees which were all expensed during fiscal 2001.

Interest and Other Income (Expense), Net. Interest and other income (expense), net was \$18.7 million for fiscal 2001, \$7.8 million for fiscal 2000 and \$178,000 for fiscal 1999. The increase in fiscal 2001 compared to fiscal 2000 and fiscal 2000 to fiscal 1999 was due primarily to higher interest income generated from the increase in cash and cash equivalents and investments as a result of our initial and follow-on public offerings in fiscal 2000.

37

Income Taxes. No provision for income taxes has been recorded since our inception because we have incurred net losses in all periods. As of April 30, 2001, we had net operating loss carryforwards for federal income tax reporting purposes of approximately \$85.6 million that expire in various amounts beginning in fiscal 2011. We also had net operating loss carryforwards for state income tax reporting purposes of approximately \$42.9 million that expire in various amounts beginning in fiscal 2004. The U.S. tax laws contain provisions that limit the use in any future period of net operating loss and credit carryforwards upon the occurrence of certain events, including a significant change in ownership interests. We had deferred tax assets, including our net operating loss carryforwards and tax credits of approximately \$42.8 million as of April 30, 2001. A valuation allowance has been recorded for the entire deferred tax asset as a result of uncertainties regarding the realization of the asset balance. See note 6 in the notes to consolidated financial statements.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

We have historically satisfied our cash requirements primarily through issuances of equity securities and lease and debt financing. In August 1999, we completed our initial public offering and concurrent private placement of our common stock, which resulted in net proceeds to the Company of approximately \$80.4 million, before offering expenses. We used \$21.2 million of the proceeds from our initial public offering to pay the cash portion of the consideration payable by us in our acquisition of Digital Market and the related acquisition costs.

In December 1999, we completed a follow-on public offering, which resulted in net proceeds to the Company, before offering expenses, of \$272.6 million. Prior to the offerings we had financed our operations through private sales of preferred stock, with net proceeds of \$26.2 million, and through bank loans and equipment leases.

We had a \$5.0 million senior line of credit facility with a bank, borrowings thereunder bearing interest at 8.5%, which expired on August 31, 2000 and was not renewed by the Company. Accounts receivable and certain other assets secured this line of credit. At April 30, 2001, no balance was outstanding under this line of credit. Capital lease obligations, including both short-term and long-term portions, were \$454,000, excluding the amount payable for interest, at April 30, 2001, and are payable through fiscal 2003.

We also have noncancelable operating leases for office space of approximately \$20.2 million at April 30, 2001 which are payable through fiscal 2006

As of April 30, 2001, we had cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments totaling \$300.5 million, an increase of \$650,000 from cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments held as of April 30, 2000. Our working capital at April 30, 2001 was \$293.8 million.

Our operating activities resulted in net cash outflows of \$3.4 million for fiscal 2001, \$11.4 million for fiscal 2000 and \$5.1 million for fiscal 1999. Net cash used in operating activities in fiscal 2001 was primarily attributable to net loss for the year (less non-cash expenses) and increases in accounts receivables, and to a lesser extent, by increases in other assets. These cash flows used in operating activities were partially offset by increases in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities and deferred revenue. Net cash used in operating activities in fiscal 2000 was primarily the result of net loss for the year (less non-cash expenses), increases in accounts receivables and other assets, and a decrease in accrued expenses and other liabilities. These cash flows used in operating activities were partially offset by increases in deferred revenue. Net cash used in operating activities in fiscal 1999 was primarily attributable to net loss for the year (less noncash expenses) and increases in accounts receivables and other assets, partially offset by increases in accrued expenses and other liabilities and deferred revenue.

Investing activities used cash of \$8.9 million in fiscal 2001, \$206.3 million in fiscal 2000 and \$459,000 in fiscal 1999. Net cash used in investing activities in fiscal 2001 consisted of purchases of privately-held equity investments and purchases of property and equipment, partially offset by net maturities of marketable

38

investments. Purchases of property and equipment in fiscal 2001 were approximately \$12.4 million. These expenditures were primarily for computer hardware and software and furniture and fixtures. Net cash used in investing activities for fiscal 2000 consisted of purchases of marketable securities, the acquisition of Digital Market, Inc., and to a lesser extent an equity investment in a privately held company, and purchases of property and equipment. Net cash used in investing activities for fiscal 1999 consisted primarily of cash used to acquire property and equipment. We expect that capital expenditures will continue to increase to the extent we continue to increase our headcount or expand our operations.

Financing activities provided cash of \$9.4 million in fiscal 2001, \$350.4 million in fiscal 2000 and \$13.4 million in fiscal 1999. In fiscal 2001, cash was provided primarily through exercises of employee stock options. In fiscal 2000, cash was provided from our initial public offering and follow-on stock offering, offset by repayments of our debt obligations. In fiscal 1999, cash was provided from the issuance of preferred stock, notes payable and other borrowings. This was partially offset by repayments of our debts.

We currently anticipate that we will continue to experience significant growth in our operating expenses for the foreseeable future as we:

- . enter new markets for our products;
- . increase research and development spending;

- . increase our sales and marketing activities; and
- . enhance our operational and financial systems.

We currently anticipate that our current cash, cash equivalents will be sufficient to meet our anticipated cash needs for working capital and capital expenditures for at least the next 12 months. However, we may need to raise additional funds in future periods through public or private financings, or other sources, to fund our operations and potential acquisitions, if any, until we achieve profitability, if ever. We may not be able to obtain adequate or favorable financing at that time. Failure to raise capital when needed could harm our business. If we raise additional funds through the issuance of equity securities, the percentage of ownership of our stockholders would be reduced. Furthermore, these equity securities might have rights, preferences or privileges senior to our common stock.

### Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 1998, the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, or SFAS 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities." SFAS 133 establishes new standards of accounting and reporting for derivative instruments and hedging activities. SFAS 133 requires that all derivatives be recognized at fair value in the statement of financial position, and that the corresponding gains or losses be reported either in the statement of operations or as a component of comprehensive loss, depending on the type of hedging relationship that exists. In July 1999, the Financial Accounting Standard Boards issued SFAS No. 137, or "SFAS 137," "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities -- Deferral of the Effective Date of SFAS No. 133." SFAS 137 deferred the effective date of SFAS 133 until the first fiscal year beginning after June 15, 2000. The Company does not currently hold derivative instruments or engage in hedging activities. The Company is currently evaluating the impact SFAS 133 will have on its financial position and results of operations.

### ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

### Foreign Currency Risk

We develop products in the United States and market our products in North America, and to a lesser extent in Europe and Asia. As a result, our financial results could be affected by factors such as changes in foreign currency exchange rates or weak economic conditions in foreign markets. Because nearly all of our

39

revenue is currently denominated in U.S. dollars, an increase in the value of the U.S. dollar relative to foreign currencies could make our products less competitive in foreign markets. Although we will continue to monitor our exposure to currency fluctuations, and, where appropriate, may use financial hedging techniques in the future to minimize the effect of these fluctuations, we cannot assure you that exchange rate fluctuations will not harm our business in the future.

### Interest Rate Risk

Our interest income is sensitive to changes in the general level of U.S. interest rates, particularly since the majority of our investments are in short-term instruments. The primary objective of our investment activities is to preserve principal while at the same time maximizing the income we receive from our investments without significantly increasing risk. Some of the

securities that we have invested in may be subject to market risk. This means that a change in prevailing interest rates may cause the principal amount of the investment to fluctuate. For example, if we hold a security that was issued with a fixed interest rate at the then-prevailing rate and the prevailing interest rate later rises, the principal amount of our investment will probably decline. To minimize this risk, we maintain the majority of our portfolio of cash in money market funds and short-term investments classified as "available for sale." In general, money market funds and short-term investments are not subject to market risk because the interest paid on such funds fluctuates with the prevailing interest rate. Because some of our debt arrangements are based on variable rates of interest, our interest expense is sensitive to changes in the general level of U.S. interest rates. Since these obligations represent a small percentage of our total capitalization, we believe that there is not a material risk exposure.

The table below represents principal (or notional) amounts and related weighted-average interest rates by year of maturity of our investment portfolio.

	Year Ended	Thereafter	Total
	(in thousa	nds, except rates)	interest
Cash equivalents	4.79% \$160,608 5.32%		\$ 85,758 4.79% \$160,608 5.32% \$246,366

Other Investments

We invest in equity instruments of privately-held companies for business and strategic purposes. These investments are included in other long-term assets and are accounted for under the cost method when ownership is less than 20% and we do not have the ability to exercise significant influence over operations. As of April 30, 2001, we had \$4.6 million invested in privately-held companies, some of which are business partners. For these investments, our policy is to regularly review the assumptions underlying the operating performance and cash flow forecasts in assessing the carrying values. We identify and record impairment losses when events and circumstances indicate that such assets might be impaired.

During fiscal 2001, we determined that these investments had incurred a decline in value that was other-than-temporary and reduced their carrying amounts to estimated fair value by a charge of \$8.6 million to results of operations.

40

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

AGILE SOFTWARE CORPORATION

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Page
Agile Software Corporation	
Report of Independent Accountants	42
Consolidated Balance Sheets	43
Consolidated Statements of Operations	44
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity	45
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	46
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	47

41

### REPORT OF INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of Agile Software Corporation

In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and the related consolidated statements of operations, of stockholders' equity and of cash flows present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Agile Software Corporation and its subsidiaries (the "Company") at April 30, 2001 and 2000, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended April 30, 2001, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management; our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these statements in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

San Jose, California May 21, 2001

42

AGILE SOFTWARE CORPORATION

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(In thousands, except per share amounts)

	April	30,
	2001	2000
ASSETS		
Current assets: Cash and cash equivalents		\$142,721 157,154
accounts of \$1,250 and \$603 as of April 30, 2001 and 2000, respectively	22,626 12,105	6,537 4,979
Total current assets	335,256  12,975	311,391 12,550 6,519
Intangible assets, net Other assets	1,198 5,762	92,965 7,376
	\$ 355 <b>,</b> 191	
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities: Accounts payable		\$ 1,434 6,391 8,634 681
Total current liabilities	95	17,140 518 39 458
	41,551	18,155
Commitments and contingencies (Note 9)		
Stockholders' equity: Common Stock, \$.001 par value; 100,000 shares authorized; 47,508 and 46,475 shares issued and outstanding as of April 30, 2001 and 2000,		
respectively	48 510,433 (628) (9,368)	(1,461)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	217 (187,062)	
Total stockholders' equity	313,640	412,646
	\$ 355,191 =======	\$430,801

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

43

### AGILE SOFTWARE CORPORATION

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (In thousands, except per share amounts)

	Fiscal Yea	r Ended Ap	ed April 30,	
	2001	2000	1999 	
Revenues:				
License	•	•		
Professional services			3,665	
Maintenance	12 <b>,</b> 899	5 <b>,</b> 948	2 <b>,</b> 283	
Total revenues	87 <b>,</b> 059	32 <b>,</b> 198		
Cost of revenues:				
License	3,830	1,451	819	
Professional services	•	•	3,823	
Maintenance		2,510		
Stock compensation		562	162	
Total cost of revenues	16,354	8,241	6,147	
Gross profit	70,705			
•				
Operating expenses:				
Sales and marketing:	61 051	26 657	10 405	
Other sales and marketing	61,951 7,294	•	•	
Stock compensation	·	·	457	
Other research and development		•	•	
Stock compensation  General and administrative:	4,346	3,281	858	
Other general and administration	6,255	3,411	1,938	
Stock compensation	3,749	2,182	776	
assets	35 <b>,</b> 974	14,911		
Acquired in-process technology  Impairment of goodwill and other intangible		1,300		
assets	55 <b>,</b> 224			
Merger related expenses	4,985			
Total operating expenses	206,229	66,973	22,266	
Loss from operations	(135,524)	(43,016)	(11,606)	
Interest and other income	18,938	8,554	447	
Impairment of equity investments				
Interest expense			(269)	
Net loss	\$(125,336) =======			
Net loss per share:		<b></b>	<b></b>	

Basic and diluted	\$ (2.74)	\$ (1.14)	\$ (1.94)
	=======	======	======
Weighted average shares	45,703	30,967	5,904
		=======	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

44

## AGILE SOFTWARE CORPORATION

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (In thousands, except per share amounts)

	Convertible Preferred Stock		Preferred Stock Common Stock			Notes Receivable	Unearned	Accumul Othe
	Shares	Amount			Capital	From Stockholders	Stock Compensation	Comprehe Loss
Balance at April 30, 1998	10,096	10	7 <b>,</b> 996	8	17,864	(363)	(2,237)	
unvested Common Stock Issuance of Common Stock on exercise of			(240)		(38)	32		
options Issuance of restricted Common Stock in exchange for notes			630		447	(419)		
receivable Repayment of notes receivable from			14		19	(19)		
stockholders Issuance of Series F Convertible Preferred Stock at \$6.75 per share, net of						21		
issuance costs Issuance of	1,778	2			11,970			
warrants Unearned stock					274			
compensation Amortization of unearned					4,963		(4,963)	
compensation Net loss		 					2 <b>,</b> 253	 
Balance at April 30, 1999	11,874	12	8,400	8	35,499	(748)	(4,947)	

- , , ,								
Repurchase of unvested Common								
Stock			(56)		(12)			
Issuance of			<b>\</b> = = <b>&gt;</b>		,			
Common Stock								
under Employee								
Stock Purchase								
Plan			87		774			
Issuance of Common Stock on								
exercise of								
options			1,339	1	2,283	(1,378)		
Conversion of			•		•			
Convertible								
Preferred to	(11,874)	(12)	23,748	24	(12)			
Common Stock in								
initial public offering								
Issuance of								
Common Stock in								
public								
offerings			11,521	12	351,325			
Issuance of								
Common Stock for								
acquisition of DMI			1,202	1	79,051			
Unrealized gain	-		1,202	Τ.	/ ⊅ <b>,</b> ∪ ∪ ±	•		
on investments								(53
Repayment of								
notes receivable								
from						CCE		
stockholders Issuance of						665		
Issuance of Common Stock on								
exercise of								
warrants			234		511			
Unearned stock								
compensation					30,736		(30,736)	
Amortization of								
unearned stock							11 Q/J5	
compensation Net loss							11,845 	
NCC 1000								
Balance at April								
30, 2000	\$	<i>,</i>	46,475	\$46	\$500 <b>,</b> 155	\$(1,461)	\$(23,838)	\$ (53
Repurchase of								
unvested Common Stock	_ <b>_</b>		/01\	_	(46)	20	_ <b>_</b>	
Stock			(91)		(46)	∠∪		-
Common Stock on								
exercise of								
options			870	1	6,512	(48)		
Issuance of								
Common Stock								
under Employee								
Stock Purchase			250	1	2,892			
DMI escrow			250	±	2,052			
shares								
retained			(78)		(662)			
Unrealized gain								
on investments								74

Repayment of notes receivable from stockholders Issuance of Common Stock on exercise of						861		
warrants			82					
Unearned stock								
compensation					1,582		(1,582)	
Amortization of								
unearned stock								
compensation							16,052	
Net loss								
Balance at April								
30, 2001		\$	47,508	\$48	\$510,433	\$ (628)	\$ (9,368)	\$ 21
	======	=====	=====	===	======	======	=======	

Comprehensive Loss

Balance at April 30, 1998..... \$ (8,942) \_\_\_\_\_

Repurchase of unvested Common Stock..... Issuance of Common Stock on exercise of options..... Issuance of restricted Common Stock in exchange for notes receivable..... Repayment of notes receivable from stockholders.... Issuance of Series F Convertible Preferred Stock at \$6.75 per share, net of issuance costs.. Issuance of warrants..... Unearned stock compensation.... Amortization of unearned compensation.... \$ (11,428) Net loss..... Balance at April

30, 1999..... \$ (11,428)

```
Repurchase of
unvested Common
Stock.....
Issuance of
Common Stock
under Employee
Stock Purchase
Plan.....
Issuance of
Common Stock on
exercise of
options.....
Conversion of
Convertible
Preferred to....
Common Stock in
initial public
offering
Issuance of
Common Stock in
public
offerings.....
Issuance of
Common Stock for
acquisition of
DMI.....
Unrealized gain
on investments..
                $ (530)
Repayment of
notes receivable
from
stockholders....
Issuance of
Common Stock on
exercise of
warrants....
Unearned stock
compensation....
Amortization of
unearned stock
compensation....
Net loss.....
                   (35, 193)
Balance at April
30, 2000..... $ (35,723)
                _____
Repurchase of
unvested Common
Stock.....
Issuance of
Common Stock on
exercise of
options.....
Issuance of
Common Stock
under Employee
Stock Purchase
Plan.....
DMI escrow
shares
retained.....
```

Unrealized gain

on investments.. \$ 747 Repayment of notes receivable from stockholders.... Issuance of Common Stock on exercise of warrants.... Unearned stock compensation.... Amortization of unearned stock  ${\tt compensation....}$ Net loss..... (125, 336)Balance at April 30, 2001...... \$(124,589)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

45

### AGILE SOFTWARE CORPORATION

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (In thousands)

	Fiscal Year Ended April 30,				
	2001	2000	1999		
Cash flows from operating activities:  Net loss	\$(125,336)	\$(35,193)	\$(11,428)		
used in operating activities:  Acquired in-process technology		1,300			
Provision for doubtful accounts  Depreciation and amortization	647 41,791	•			
Amortization of stock compensation  Warrant expense	•	11,845 253			
<pre>Impairment of goodwill, intangible assets   and equity investments Changes in operating assets and liabilities,   net of acquisition:</pre>	63,785				
Accounts receivable  Other assets, current and non-current		(1,490) (4,608)			
Accounts payable	4,695	140 (4,076)	2,391		
Deferred revenue  Net cash used in operating activities		3,424  (11,375)			
Cash flows from investing activities: Purchases of investments	(219,080)	(176,672)			

Proceeds from maturities and sales of investments  Purchases of privately-held investments  Cash paid in business combination, net  Acquisition of property and equipment	(6,350)	6,438 (7,000) (23,462) (5,570)	 (459)
Net cash used in investing activities	(8,873)	(206,266) 	(459)
Cash flows from financing activities:  Proceeds from bank line of credit	 (745)   9,332	 (822)  (3,000) 353,516	1,900 (2,900) (638) 3,000
Repayment of notes receivable from stockholders	861 	·	21
Net cash provided by financing activities	9,448	350,359	13,377
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(2,804) 142,721	132,718 10,003	2,160
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$ 139,917 =======	\$142,721	
Supplemental disclosure:  Cash paid during the period for interest	\$ 189		\$ 168
Non-cash investing and financing activities:  Common Stock issued in exchange for notes receivable	\$ 48		\$ 438
Property and equipment acquired under capital lease		\$ 415	\$ 1,000
Additional stock compensation, net	\$ 1,582 ======	\$ 30,736 ======	•
DMI escrow shares retained	\$ 662 ======		

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

46

## AGILE SOFTWARE CORPORATION

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1--THE COMPANY AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES:

The Company

Agile Software Corporation (the "Company") was incorporated in California on March 13, 1995 and is headquartered in San Jose, California. The Company reincorporated in Delaware in June 1999. The Company develops and markets

collaborative manufacturing commerce solutions that speed the "build" and "buy" process across the virtual manufacturing network. The Company believes that its products improve time to volume, customer responsiveness and cost of goods sold. The Company's solutions manage product content and critical communication, collaboration and commerce transactions among original equipment manufacturers, electronic manufacturing services providers, customers and suppliers.

Principles of consolidation and basis of presentation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The consolidated statements of operations reflect certain reclassifications to allocate the non-cash stock compensation expense related to the issuance of stock options from a single-line item presentation to the individual amounts related to cost of revenues, sales and marketing, research and development, and general and administration expenses.

Use of estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Cash and cash equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents. The majority of the Company's cash equivalents consist of commercial paper and money market funds.

Investments

Management determines the appropriate classification of the Company's investments in marketable debt and equity securities at the time of purchase, and re-evaluates this designation at each balance sheet date. The Company classifies all securities as "available-for-sale" and carries them at fair value with unrealized gains or losses related to these securities included as a component of stockholders' equity in the consolidated balance sheet. The Company's investment objectives include the safety and preservation of invested funds and liquidity of investments that is sufficient to meet cash flow requirements. Cash, cash equivalents, and investments in debt and equity securities are placed with high credit quality financial institutions and commercial companies and government agencies in order to limit the amount of credit exposure. Realized gains and losses are determined using the specific identification method.

47

AGILE SOFTWARE CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-- (Continued)

Impairment of investments

Impairment of investments in non-publicly traded companies is assessed quarterly based upon the investee company's business prospects, financial condition, subsequent financings, liquidity and comparable public company market values. If the Company determines an impairment is necessary, the cost basis of the investment is written down and the amount of the write down is reflected as a charge to earnings.

#### Concentrations of credit risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to significant concentrations of credit risk consist of cash and cash equivalents, investments and accounts receivable. Cash and cash equivalents are deposited with financial institutions that management believes are credit worthy.

The Company performs ongoing credit evaluations of its customers' financial condition and, generally, requires no collateral from its customers. The Company maintains an allowance for doubtful accounts receivable based on the expected collectibility of accounts receivable. To date, the Company has not experienced any material losses with respect to its accounts receivable.

At April 30, 2001 no customers comprised of more than 10% of the total accounts receivable balance.

### Fair value of financial instruments

The Company's financial instruments, including cash, cash equivalents, investments, accounts receivable, accounts payable, notes payable and capital lease obligations are carried at cost, which approximates their fair value because of the short-term maturity of these instruments.

### Property and equipment

Property and equipment are recorded at cost. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method based upon the useful lives of the assets, which range from two to five years, or the lease term of the respective assets, if shorter.

### Software development costs

Effective May 1, 1999, the Company adopted Statement of Position ("SOP") 98-1, "Accounting for the Cost of Computer Software Developed or Obtained for Internal Use." SOP 98-1 provides guidance on accounting for computer software developed or obtained for internal use including the requirement to capitalize specified costs and amortization of such costs. The adoption of SOP 98-1 did not have a material effect on the Company's results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

Software development costs are included in research and development and are expensed as incurred. After technological feasibility is established, material software development costs are capitalized. The capitalized cost is then amortized on a straight-line basis over the estimated product life, or in the ratio of current revenues to total projected product revenues, whichever is greater. To date, the period between achieving technological feasibility, which the Company has defined as the establishment of a working model which typically occurs when the beta testing commences, and the general availability of such software has been short and software development costs qualifying for capitalization have been insignificant. Accordingly, the Company has not capitalized any software development costs.

AGILE SOFTWARE CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-- (Continued)

Goodwill and Other Intangibles

Goodwill and other intangibles are carried at cost less accumulated amortization. Goodwill and other identifiable intangibles, including assembled workforce, trademarks, and developed technology are amortized on a straightline basis over their estimated useful lives, generally three years.

Amortization expense related to goodwill and other intangibles was \$36.0 million, \$14.9 million and \$0 in 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively.

Management assesses the impairment of identifiable intangibles and related goodwill periodically in accordance with the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 121, Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed of. The Company also assesses the impairment of enterprise level goodwill periodically in accordance with the provision of Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 17, Intangible Assets. An impairment review is performed whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. Factors considered important which could trigger an impairment review include, but are not limited to, significant underperformance relative to expected historical or projected future operating results, significant changes in the manner of use of the acquired assets or the strategy for the Company's overall business, significant negative industry or economic trends, a significant decline in the Company's stock price for a sustained period, and the Company's market capitalization relative to net book value. When the Company determines that the carrying value of goodwill may not be recoverable based upon the existence of one or more of the above indicators of impairment, the Company measures any impairment based on a projected discounted cash flow method using a discount rate commensurate with the risk inherent in the Company's current business model.

### Revenue recognition

The Company recognizes revenues in accordance with SOP 97-2, "Software Revenue Recognition," and SOP 98-9, "Modification of SOP 97-2, Software Revenue Recognition, with Respect to Certain Transactions."

The Company derives revenues from the license of software products under software license agreements and from the delivery of professional services and maintenance services. When contracts contain multiple elements, and vendor-specific objective evidence of fair value exists for all undelivered elements, the Company accounts for the delivered elements in accordance with the "Residual Method" prescribed by SOP 98-9. Multiple element arrangements generally include post-contract customer support (PCS or maintenance), software products, and in some cases, service. Vendor-specific objective evidence of fair value is generally determined by sales of the same element or service to other customers, or with respect to PCS, through a renewal rate specified in the related arrangement.

License revenues are recognized when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, the fee is fixed or determinable, collectibility is probable, and delivery and customer acceptance (including the expiration of an acceptance period), if required under the terms of the contract, of the software products have occurred. In the event the Company grants its customers the right to specified upgrades, license revenue is deferred until delivery of the specified upgrade. If vendor-specific objective evidence of fair value exists for the specified upgrade, then an amount equal to this fair value is deferred. If

vendor-specific objective evidence of fair value does not exist, then the entire license fee is deferred until the delivery of the specified upgrade. Allowances for estimated returns are provided upon product delivery. In instances where vendor obligations remain, revenues are deferred until the obligation has been satisfied.

Revenues from professional services consist of implementation and training services. Training revenues are recognized as the services are performed. Implementation services are typically performed under fixed-price

49

### AGILE SOFTWARE CORPORATION

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

contracts and accordingly, revenues are recognized upon customer acceptance. A provision for estimated losses on fixed-price professional services contracts is recognized in the period in which the loss becomes known.

Maintenance revenues are recognized ratably over the term of the maintenance contract, which is generally twelve months. Maintenance contracts include the right to unspecified upgrades on a when-and-if available basis, and ongoing support.

### Income taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes under the asset and liability approach which recognizes deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the tax basis of assets and liabilities and their financial statement reported amounts. The Company records a valuation allowance against deferred tax assets when it is more likely than not that such assets will not be realized.

#### Comprehensive income

Unrealized gains on investments for fiscal 2001 represent the Company's only component of comprehensive loss, which is excluded from net loss.

### Net loss per share

Basic net loss per share is computed by dividing the net loss available to holders of Common Stock for the period by the weighted average number of shares of Common Stock outstanding during the period. Diluted net loss per share is the same as basic net loss per share because the calculation of diluted net loss per share excludes potential shares of Common Stock since their effect is antidilutive. Potential shares of Common Stock consist of unvested restricted Common Stock, incremental common shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options and warrants and, for periods prior to our initial public offering, shares issuable upon conversion of Convertible Preferred Stock.

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted net loss per share for the periods indicated (in thousands, except per share amounts):

Fiscal	Year	Ended	April	30,
2001		2000		1999

Numerator:			
Net loss	\$(125,336)	\$(35,193)	\$(11,428)
Denominator:			
Weighted average shares	46,943	33,007	8,280
Weighted average unvested shares of Common			
Stock subject to repurchase	(1,240)	(2,040)	(2,376)
Denominator for basic and diluted			
calculation	45,703	30,967	5,904
	=======	======	======
Net loss per share:			
Basic and diluted	\$ (2.74)	\$ (1.14)	\$ (1.94)
	=======	======	======

50

### AGILE SOFTWARE CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-- (Continued)

The following table sets forth potential shares of Common Stock that are not included in the diluted net loss per share calculation above because to do so would be anti-dilutive as of the dates indicated below (in thousands):

	As o	30,	
	2001	2000	1999
Preferred Stock			23,748
Preferred Stock warrants	50	82	316
Unvested Common Stock subject to repurchase	916	1,763	1,928
Common Stock options	15,919	9,335	2,320
	16,885	11,180	28,312
	=====		=====

## Stock compensation

The Company accounts for stock-based employee compensation arrangements in accordance with provisions of APB Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees" and complies with the disclosure provisions of SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation." Under APB Opinion No. 25, unearned compensation is based on the difference, if any, on the date of the grant, between the fair value of the Company's common stock and the exercise price. Unearned compensation is amortized and expensed in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Interpretation No. 28 using the multiple option approach.

The Company accounts for stock issued to non-employees in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123 and Emerging Issues Task Force No. 96-18. "Accounting for Equity Instruments That Are Issued to Other Than Employees for Acquiring, or in Conjunction with Selling, Goods or Services."

Foreign currency translation

For foreign operations with the local currency as the functional currency, assets and liabilities are translated into U.S. dollars at the exchange rate on the balance sheet date. Income and expense items are translated at average rates of exchange prevailing during each period. Translation adjustments are accumulated in a separate component of stockholders' equity.

For foreign operations with the U.S. dollar as the functional currency, monetary assets and liabilities are translated into U.S. dollars at the exchange rate on the balance sheet date. Nonmonetary assets and liabilities are remeasured into U.S. dollars at historical exchange rates. Income and expense items are translated at average rates of exchange prevailing during each period. Translation adjustments are recognized currently as a component of foreign currency gain of loss included in the consolidated statement of operations. Translation adjustments were not significant during any of the periods presented.

### Segment information

The Company identifies its operating segments based on business activities, management responsibility and geographical location. During each of the three years in the period ended April 30, 2001, the Company operated in a single business segment, primarily in the United States. Through April 30, 2001, foreign operations have not been significant in either revenue or investment in long-lived assets.

Recent accounting pronouncements

In June 1998, the FASB issued SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities." SFAS No. 133 establishes new standards of accounting and reporting for derivative instruments

51

## AGILE SOFTWARE CORPORATION

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

and hedging activities. SFAS No. 133 requires that all derivatives be recognized at fair value in the statement of financial position, and that the corresponding gains or losses be reported either in the statement of operations or as a component of comprehensive loss, depending on the type of hedging relationship that exists. In July 1999, the FASB issued SFAS No. 137, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities -- Deferral of the Effective Date of SFAS No. 133." SFAS No. 137 deferred the effective date of SFAS No. 133 until the first fiscal year beginning after June 15, 2000. The Company does not currently hold derivative instruments or engage in hedging activities. The Company is currently evaluating the impact SFAS No. 133 will have on its financial position and results of operations.

## Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to the prior year consolidated financial statements to conform to the current year presentation.

NOTE 2--BALANCE SHEET COMPONENTS (IN THOUSANDS):

Property and equipment comprise the following:

	As of Ap	ril 30,
	2001	2000
Computer hardware and software	3,513	\$ 7,753 2,100 792
Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization	•	10,645 (4,126)
	\$ 12,975 ======	\$ 6,519 ======

Accrued expenses and other liabilities comprise the following:

	Ž	As of April 30		
	2001		2000	
Accrued employee costs		5,591 492 1,647 1,033 2,781	\$	2,903 158 1,156  2,174
	\$	11,544	\$	6,391 =====

Intangible assets comprise the following:

	I	As of April 30,			
		2001		2000	
Technology. Trademark. Assembled workforce. Goodwill.		90  2,100 		150	
Less: Accumulated amortization		2,190 (992)  1,198		14,911)	
	==		==		

52

## AGILE SOFTWARE CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

NOTE 3--MARKETABLE INVESTMENTS:

The following is a summary of available-for-sale securities (in thousands):

		April 30	), 2001	
	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Gains	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
Commercial paper  Corporate debt securities  Government debt securities  Foreign debt securities	\$ 123,467 92,757 26,913 3,012	\$ 36 187 28 7	\$ (6) (33) (2)	\$123,497 92,911 26,939 3,019
	\$ 246,149	\$ 258	\$ (41)	\$246,366
Included in cash and cash equivalents	\$ 85,755	\$ 8	\$ (5)	\$ 85,758
investments	160,394	250	(36)	160,608
	\$ 246,149 ======	\$ 258 ====	\$ (41) =====	\$246,366 ======
		April 3(	), 2000	
	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Gains	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
Commercial paper  Corporate debt securities  Government debt securities  Foreign debt securities	Cost \$ 120,441     91,230     58,426     17,121 \$ 287,218		Losses \$ (63) (345) (72) (50)  \$ (530)	Value  \$120,378 90,885 58,354 17,071  \$286,688
Corporate debt securities Government debt securities	Cost \$ 120,441     91,230     58,426     17,121 \$ 287,218	Gains  \$  	Losses \$ (63) (345) (72) (50)	Value  \$120,378 90,885 58,354 17,071
Corporate debt securities  Government debt securities  Foreign debt securities  Included in cash and cash equivalents  Included in short-term investments	Cost \$ 120,441     91,230     58,426     17,121 \$ 287,218	S  \$ \$ =====	\$ (63) (345) (72) (50)  \$ (530) =====	Value  \$120,378 90,885 58,354 17,071  \$286,688 ======
Corporate debt securities  Government debt securities  Foreign debt securities  Included in cash and cash equivalents  Included in short-term	Cost \$ 120,441     91,230     58,426     17,121 \$ 287,218 \$ 117,045	S  \$ \$ =====	\$ (63) (345) (72) (50)  \$ (530) ===== \$ (61)	Value \$120,378 90,885 58,354 17,071 \$286,688 \$116,984

At April 30, 2001 and 2000, all marketable debt securities had scheduled maturities of less than one year. At April 30, 2001 and 2000, marketable debt securities totaling \$85.8 million and \$117.0 million, respectively had maturities less than three months from date of purchase and are classified as cash equivalents.

=====

\_\_\_\_\_

### NOTE 4--OTHER ASSETS:

Other assets include investments in equity instruments of privately held companies which amounted to \$4.8 million and \$7.0 million as of April 30, 2001 and 2000, respectively. These equity investments held in private companies have been reclassified from long-term investments to other assets as of April 30,

2000 to conform to the current presentation. During fiscal 2001, the company purchased an additional \$6.4 million of equity investments in privately held companies. These investments, accounted for using the cost method and consisting primarily of investments in preferred stock in privately-held companies, are reviewed each reporting period for declines considered other-than-temporary, and, if appropriate, written down to their estimated fair value.

During the fourth quarter of 2001, the Company determined that certain of these investments had incurred a decline in value that was other-than-temporary primarily due to the limited liquidity and poor prospects for additional funding and reduced their carrying amounts to their estimated fair value by a charge of \$8.6 million to results of operations.

53

### AGILE SOFTWARE CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (Continued)

### NOTE 5--ACQUISITION:

On November 23, 1999, the Company acquired Digital Markets, Inc. (DMI) in a transaction accounted for as a purchase business combination. Agile paid \$20.0 million in cash and issued 1,202,018 shares of its common stock, valued at \$75.7 million or \$62.95 per share based upon the average price of its common stock two days before, the day of and two days after the transaction measurement date. In addition, the Company also assumed all outstanding stock options granted by Digital Market. The estimated fair value of the assumed options was \$5.6 million, and was included as a component of the purchase price. The fair value of the assumed options was estimated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions: expected dividend yield: 0%; volatility: 85%; expected terms: two to four years; and a risk-free interest rate of 5.96%. The Company incurred \$1.2 million in acquisition expenses, including financial advisory and legal fees and other direct transaction costs resulting in an adjusted aggregate purchase price of \$102.5 million.

The total acquisition price of \$102.5 million was allocated to the assets acquired, including tangible and intangible assets, and liabilities assumed based upon the fair value of such assets and liabilities on the date of the acquisition. The total purchase cost of the acquisition was allocated to assets and liabilities based on management's estimates of their fair value and an independent appraisal of certain intangible assets, with the excess costs over the net assets acquired allocated to goodwill. The aggregate purchase price was allocated as follows (in thousands):

Net tangible liabilities In-process technology	
Existing technology	•
Trademark	150
Assembled workforce	•
Goodwill	103,776
	\$102 <b>,</b> 517

The net tangible liabilities consisted primarily of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, property and equipment, accounts payable and other liabilities and notes payable. Because the in-process technology had not reached the stage of technological feasibility at the acquisition date and had no alternative future use, the amount was immediately charged to operations. The amount allocated to existing technology, trademark and assembled workforce are being amortized over the estimated useful lives of three years. The purchase price in excess of identified tangible and intangible assets was allocated as goodwill. As a result of the rapid technological changes occurring in the software and Internet industries, goodwill is being amortized over the estimated useful life of three years. The valuation of the intangible assets was determined using management's assumptions and a valuation report from an independent appraiser.

### Acquired in-process technology

In connection with the acquisition of DMI, the Company recorded a \$1.3 million charge in fiscal 2000 for acquired in-process technology since the in-process technology had not yet reached the stage of technological feasibility at the acquisition date and had no alternative future use. The Company acquired one existing product called Digital Buyer and in-process technology primarily consisting of projects to add substantial functionality to the Digital Buyer product. The value of the in-process technology was determined by an independent third party appraiser using the income approach.

54

#### AGILE SOFTWARE CORPORATION

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-- (Continued)

### Impairment

During the fourth quarter ended April 30, 2001, the Company performed an impairment assessment of the identifiable intangibles and goodwill recorded upon the acquisition of DMI. This assessment was performed primarily as a result of the decision by management in February 2001 to discontinue the further development of the products acquired in the DMI acquisition. As a result of the assessment, the Company recorded a \$55.2 million impairment charge reflecting the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeded the estimated future discounted cash flows. The charge was determined based upon the estimated discounted future cash flows relating to the future cash flows from the specific products acquired using a discount rate of 25%. The assumptions supporting such cash flows including the discount rate were determined using management's best estimates. The remaining identifiable intangibles balance of approximately \$1.2 million, consisting principally of workforce in place, will continue to be amortized over its remaining useful life of 1.5 years which management considers appropriate. No write down of goodwill or other intangibles assets occurred during fiscal 2000 or fiscal 1999.

## NOTE 6--INCOME TAXES:

The Company's operating losses are generated domestically, and amounts attributable to its foreign operations have been insignificant for all periods presented. For each of the three years in the period ended April 30, 2001, the Company incurred net operating losses and accordingly no provision for income taxes has been recorded. In addition, no benefit for income taxes has been recorded due to the uncertainty of the realization of any tax assets. At April 30, 2001, the Company had approximately \$85.6 million of federal and \$42.9

million of state net operating loss, respectively. The net operating loss carryforwards will begin to expire in 2011 and 2004 for federal and California purposes if not utilized. Included in the net operating loss is \$40.8 million and \$20.4 million of federal and state net operating loss carryforwards relating to employee stock options, the benefit of which will be credited to equity when realized. The Tax Reform Act of 1986 limits the use of net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards in certain situations where changes occur in the stock ownership of a company. In the event the Company has a change in ownership, utilization of the carryforwards could be restricted.

The components of the Company's deferred tax assets/liabilities are as follows (in thousands):

	I	As of April 30,						
		2001		2001		2001		2000
Deferred tax assets:								
Depreciation and amortization	\$	1,865	\$	692				
Reserves and accruals		4,198		1,717				
Credit carryforwards		4,482		2,517				
Net operating loss carryforwards		32,206		18,004				
Total deferred tax asset		42 <b>,</b> 751		22 <b>,</b> 930				
Less: Valuation allowance		(42,751)		(22,930)				
Net deferred tax assets	\$		\$					
	==		==					

For financial reporting purposes, the Company has incurred a loss in each period since its inception. Based on the available objective evidence, including the Company's history of losses, management believes it is more likely than not that the net deferred tax assets will not be fully realizable. Accordingly, the Company provided for a full valuation allowance against its net deferred tax assets at April 30, 2001 and 2000.

55

## AGILE SOFTWARE CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

A reconciliation between the amount of income tax benefit determined by applying the applicable U.S. statutory income tax rate to pre-tax loss is as follows:

	Fisca En Apri		
	2001	2000	1999
Federal statutory rate	(34)%	(34)%	(34)%

	%	%	%
Change in valuation allowance on deferred tax assets	5	33	40
Write-off of acquisition related assets	18		
Tax credit carryforwards generated	(1)	(5)	
Nondeductible stock compensation	4	6	
Acquisition and related amortization	9	2	
State tax, net of federal impact	(1)	(2)	(6)

#### NOTE 7--STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY:

In June 1999, the Company's Board of Directors authorized the reincorporation of the Company in the State of Delaware. As a result of the reincorporation, the Company is authorized to issue 100,000,000 shares of \$.001 par value Common Stock and 10,000,000 shares of \$.001 par value Preferred Stock. The Board of Directors has the authority to issue the undesignated Preferred Stock in one or more series and to fix the rights, preferences, privileges and restrictions thereof. All share and per share amounts as of and for each period presented have been adjusted to reflect the reincorporation.

Initial public offering and concurrent private placement of common stock

In August 1999, the Company completed its initial public offering of 6,900,000 shares of Common Stock, including the exercise of the underwriter's overallotment option, at \$10.50 per share. Net proceeds to the Company, before offering expenses, were \$67.4 million or \$9.77 per share. Offering expenses were \$1.6 million. Simultaneous with the closing of the initial public offering, the Company sold an aggregate of 1,331,282 shares of Common Stock at \$9.77 share in private placements to three corporate investors. Upon the closing of the initial public offering, the outstanding 11,874,000 shares of Preferred Stock were converted into 23,748,000 shares of Common Stock and a warrant to purchase 120,000 shares of Common Stock at \$3.38 per share was exercised.

### Follow-on stock offering

In December 1999, the Company completed its follow-on public offering of 5,290,000 shares of Common Stock, including the exercise of the underwriters' overallotment option, at \$87.00 per share. The Company sold 3,290,000 in this offering and selling stockholders sold 2,000,000 shares. Net proceeds to the Company, before offering expenses, were \$272.6 million. Upon the closing of the follow-on public offering, warrants to purchase 114,380 shares of Common Stock at prices ranging from \$0.58 to \$1.48 per share were exercised.

### Stock split

On March 17, 2000, the Board of Directors authorized a two-for-one stock split of the Company's common stock, in the form of a stock dividend. All information presented in these financial statements has been retroactively adjusted to reflect the stock split.

56

### AGILE SOFTWARE CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-- (Continued)

Restricted stock

The Company has granted stock to certain founders and employees under a restricted stock plan. This plan was terminated in June 1999. Through June 1999, the Company had sold 4,496,550 shares of Common Stock to such founders and employees that were subject to certain repurchase rights by the Company. The Company has a right of first offer in connection with any proposed sale or transfer of these shares and has the right to repurchase these shares at the original issue price. The Company's right to repurchase such shares declines on a percentage basis, usually over four years, based on the length of the employees' continual employment with the Company. At April 30, 2001, there were no shares of founders restricted stock outstanding and 231,000 shares granted under the Company's restricted stock plan were subject to repurchase at a weighted average price of \$0.35 per share.

Certain of these and other shares were issued in exchange for notes receivable, which are full recourse and additionally collateralized by the underlying shares of Common Stock. These notes receivable are payable on various dates through March 2004 and bear interest at rates ranging from 4.5% to 7.4%. These notes receivable have been included as a component of stockholders' equity.

## Rights Agreement

During fiscal 2001, the Company adopted a Stockholder Rights Plan (Rights Agreement). Pursuant to the Rights Agreement, rights were distributed at the rate of one right for each share of Common Stock owned by the Company's stockholders of record on April 26, 2001. The rights expire on April 2, 2011 unless extended or earlier redeemed or exchanged by the Company.

Under the Rights Agreement, each right entitles the registered holder to purchase one one-thousandth of a Series A Preferred share of the Company at a price of \$120.00. The rights will become exercisable only if a person or group acquires beneficial ownership of 15% or more of the Company's common stock or commences a tender offer or exchange offer upon consummation of which such person or group would beneficially own 15% or more of the Company's common stock.

### Warrant

In September 2000, in connection with a marketing alliance with a business partner, the Company issued a warrant to purchase 50,000 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$67.05 per share, the fair value of the Company's common stock on the date of the agreement. The Company recorded a charge of \$2.0 million representing the fair value of the warrant, estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions: risk-free rate of 5.75%, expected life of 3.0 years, expected dividend rate of 0%, and volatility of 80%. Such amount is presented as a reduction of stockholders' equity and is being amortized to expense over the three-year life of the marketing alliance.

The warrant was granted on a non-contingent basis and vests immediately. The warrant is not subject to repurchase, nor does it require substantial performance for the third party to exercise. The marketing alliance is a three-year non-exclusive cooperative agreement, which is designed to enhance the company's and the third party's potential revenues in their respective areas, and credibility in collaborative manufacturing commerce without constraining each other's business. The Company and third party will be responsible for their own cost and expenses in performing joint marketing and sales activities.

Upon the Company's merger or consolidation with or sale or conveyance of all of its assets to any other corporation or entity, to the extent that the warrant has not been exercised in full by the effective date of such

transaction, this warrant shall terminate.

57

#### AGILE SOFTWARE CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

NOTE 8--EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS:

401(k) plan

The Company's employee savings and retirement plan is qualified under Section 401 of the Internal Revenue Code. Employees may elect to reduce their current compensation by up to the statutory prescribed annual limit and have the amount of such reduction contributed to the 401(k) Plan. The Company provides a 50% match to employee contributions up to \$1,500. Employees of the Company may elect to participate in the Company's 401(k) plan. The Company has not made any contributions to the 401(k) plan.

Employee stock purchase plan

In June 1999, the Board adopted the 1999 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the "Purchase Plan") which became effective on the date of the Company's initial public offering, and reserved 1,000,000 shares of Common Stock for issuance thereunder. This reserve was automatically increased to 2,000,000 shares on May 1, 2000 and will increase each May 1 thereafter until and including May 1, 2009, by an amount equal to the lesser of 1,000,000 shares per year, 2% of the number of shares of Common Stock which are issued and outstanding on the last day of the preceding fiscal year or a number of shares determined by the Company's Board of Directors. Employees generally will be eligible to participate in the Purchase Plan if they are employed by the Company for more than 20 hours per week and more than five months in a fiscal year end. In general, the price at which the Common Stock is purchased under the Purchase Plan is 85% of the lesser of the fair market value of the Company's Common Stock on the first day of the applicable offering period or on the purchase date. Employees generally may not purchase more than 2,000 shares in a sixmonth period or stock having a value greater than \$25,000 in any calendar year as measured at the beginning of the offering period.

During fiscal 2001, 250,000 shares were issued under the Purchase Plan at an average price of \$11.57 per share.

1995 Stock option plan

In May 1995, the Company adopted the 1995 Stock Option Plan (the "1995 Plan") which, as amended, provides for the issuance of incentive and nonqualified stock options to employees, directors and consultants of the Company. Under the 1995 Plan, 11,750,000 shares have been authorized for issuance as of April 30, 2001. This reserve will be automatically increased on the first day of each fiscal year by the lesser of 1,000,000 shares per year, 5% of the number of shares of the Company's Common Stock which were issued and outstanding on the last day of the preceding fiscal year or a number of shares determined by the Company's board of directors. Options granted under the 1995 Plan are for periods not to exceed ten years and options must be issued at prices not less than 100% and 85%, for incentive and nonqualified stock options, respectively, of the estimated fair value of the stock on the date of grant as determined by the Board of Directors. Options granted to stockholders who own greater than 10% of the outstanding stock are for periods not to exceed five years, and must be issued at prices not less than 110% of the estimated

fair value of the stock on the date of grant. Options are exercisable upon grant and generally vest 25% or 20% at the end of the first year and at a rate of 1/36 or 1/48 per month thereafter such that they vest over four or five years, respectively.

2000 Nonstatutory stock option plan

In February 2000, the Company adopted the 2000 Nonstatutory Stock Option Plan (the "2000 Plan") which provides for the issuance of nonqualified stock options to employees and consultants of the Company. Under the 2000 Plan, 14,500,000 shares have been authorized for issuance. Options granted under the 2000

58

### AGILE SOFTWARE CORPORATION

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (Continued)

Plan must be issued at prices not less than 85% of the estimated fair value of the stock on the date of grant as determined by the Board of Directors, or a committee designated by the Board. The Company's Board of Directors, or a committee designated Board, determines the vesting schedule and term of each grant.

The following table summarizes activity under all stock option plans (shares in thousands):

	Shares Available for Grant	Number Outstanding	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Balance at April 30, 1998 Options authorized Options granted Options exercised Options canceled Unvested shares repurchased	156 2,000 (1,956)  60 230	1,054  1,956 (630) (60)	\$ 0.42  1.28 0.71 0.85
Balance at April 30, 1999 Options authorized Options granted Options exercised Options canceled Unvested shares repurchased	490 10,000 (8,864)  510 56	2,320  8,864 (1,339) (510) 	1.06  24.86 1.70 12.18
Balance at April 30, 2000  Options authorized  Options granted  Options exercised  Options canceled  Unvested shares repurchased	2,192 9,500 (8,615)  1,161 91	9,335  8,615 (870) (1,161) 	22.95  27.86 7.48 26.23
Balance at April 30, 2001	4,329 =====	15,919 =====	\$26.13

At April 30, 2001, approximately 916,000 outstanding shares of Common Stock purchased under the stock option plans were subject to repurchase at a weighted average purchase price of \$1.33 per share. Upon termination of employment, unvested shares previously purchased under the plans are subject to repurchase by the Company at a price equal to the exercise price.

59

### AGILE SOFTWARE CORPORATION

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-- (Continued)

The following table summarizes the information about stock options outstanding and exercisable as of April 30, 2001 (shares in thousands, except per share data):

	Options Outstanding			Options Ve	
Range of Exercise Prices			Average Exercise	Number	
Exercise tires		(rears)			
\$ 0.075 - \$ 5.00 9.5 - 10.31 10.52 - 15.52 17.25 - 20.69 21.81 - 35.25 36.63 - 55.25 55.75 - 64.50 65.31 - 78.50 100.06 - 100.50	3,578 2,328 1,623	9.86 9.71 9.00 9.39 9.33 8.77	10.28 12.24 20.61 30.90 46.34 61.12 71.42	63 36 615 133 33 357	14.74 20.69 29.85 51.26
\$ 0.075 - \$100.50	15,919 =====	9.12	\$ 26.13	2,001	\$ 28.03

Fair value disclosures

The Company calculated the fair value of each option grant under the Plan on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model as prescribed by SFAS No. 123 with the following underlying assumptions:

		Year End	ded
	2001	2000	1999 
Dividend yield	 100% 4.6%	 100% 6.5%	  5.7%

Expected	life (in	n yea:	rs)				5	5	5
Weighted	average	fair	value	of	options	granted	\$24.20	\$22.16	\$0.64

The minimum value method was used in fiscal 1999.

Had compensation cost for options granted under the Plan been determined based on the fair value at the grant dates for the awards under a method prescribed by SFAS No. 123, the Company's net loss would have been increased to the pro forma amounts below for the fiscal years ended April 30, 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively (in thousands, except per share amounts):

		Fiscal Year	r Ended Api	ril 30,
		2001	2000	1999
Net loss as reported		\$(125,336)	\$(35,193)	\$(11,428)
Pro forma net loss		(243,729)	(50,881)	(11,529)
Net loss per share as repo	orted	(2.74)	(1.14)	(1.94)
Pro forma net loss per sha	are	\$ (5.33)	\$ (1.64)	(1.95)

Because the determination of the fair value of all options granted after the Company became a public entity includes an expected volatility factor and because additional option grants are expected to be made each year, the compensation expense for options granted during each of the three years in the period ended April 30, 2001 are not representative of the pro forma effects of options grants on reported net income (loss) for future years.

60

### Unearned stock compensation

In connection with certain stock option grants during the fiscal years ended April 30, 2001, 2000 and 1999, the Company recorded unearned stock compensation cost totaling \$1.6 million, \$30.7 million and \$5.0 million, respectively, which is being amortized over the vesting period of the related options, generally five years, using the multiple option approach. Amortization of unearned stock compensation totaled \$16.1 million, \$11.9 million and \$2.3 million for the years ended April 30, 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively.

## NOTE 9--COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES:

The Company has entered into noncancelable operating leases for office space and capital leases for equipment with original terms ranging from 12 to 60 months. The terms of certain operating leases provide for rental payments on a graduated scale. The Company recognizes expense on a straight-line basis over the lease period and has accrued for rent expense incurred but not paid. The future minimum lease payments under these leases at April 30, 2001 are as follows (in thousands):

Op	erating Le	eases	
Future		Net Future	
Minimum	Less	Minimum	
Lease	Sublease	Lease	Capital

Fiscal Year Ended April 30,	-		Payments	
2002	\$ 4,437	\$432	\$ 4,005	\$ 385
2003	4,602	347	4,255	96
2004	4,548	49	4,499	
2005	4,590		4,590	
2006	1,984		1,984	
Total minimum lease payments	\$20,161	\$828	\$19 <b>,</b> 333	481
	======	====	======	
Less: Amount representing interest				(27)
Present value of capital lease				
obligations				454
Less: Current portion				(359)
Capital lease obligations,				
noncurrent				\$ 95

Property and equipment under capital leases were as follows (in thousands):

	As of April 30			30,
	200	)1	2	2000
Computer hardware and software		,589 470	\$	2,702 520
Less: Accumulated depreciation	,			3,222 (2,466)
	\$	251	\$	756

Rent expense under noncancelable operating leases was approximately \$3,569,000, net of sublease rental income of \$349,000, for the year ended April 30, 2001, \$1,261,000, net of sublease rental income of \$184,000, for the year ended April 30, 2000, and \$568,000, net of sublease rental income of \$208,000, for the year ended April 30, 1999.

Bank line-of-credit

The Company had a line-of-credit agreement with a bank that provided for borrowings of up to \$5,000,000, including \$500,000 available for the issuance of letters of credit and foreign currency exchange

61

### AGILE SOFTWARE CORPORATION

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-- (Continued)

activity. Borrowings under the line-of-credit agreement bore interest at an annual rate of 8.5%, subject to adjustment by the bank. Borrowings under the

line of credit were collateralized by the assets of the Company. The line-of-credit agreement expired in August 2000 and was not renewed by the Company.

NOTE 10--UNAUDITED QUARTERLY CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA

		Quarte	rs		
	First	Second		Fourth	Fiscal Year
Fiscal 2001:					
Total revenues	\$ 15,768	\$ 20,246	\$ 25,025	\$ 26,020	\$ 87,059
Gross profit	12,524	16,437	20,576	21,168	70,705
Net loss (1)	(14,947)	(14,064)	(12,593)	(83,732)	(125,336)
Net loss per basic and					
diluted share	(.33)	(.31)	(.27)	(1.80)	(2.74)
Fiscal 2000:					
Total revenues	\$ 5,890	\$ 6,935	\$ 8,563	\$ 10,810	\$ 32,198
Gross profit	4,190	5,283	6,316	8,168	23,957
Net loss	(4,076)	(4,283)	(12,932)	(13,902)	(35,193)
Net loss per basic and					
diluted share	(.61)	(.14)	(.31)	(.31)	(1.14)

(1) Net loss in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2001 includes a \$55.2 impairment charge related to the write-off of goodwill and other intangible assets resulting from the DMI acquisition in November 1999, an \$8.6 million charge related to the writedown of the Company's equity investments in privately held companies, and \$5.0 million in financial advisory expenses and professional fees relating to the termination of the merger with Ariba, Inc. on April 4, 2001.

62

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None

### PART III

### ITEM 10. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

See the information set forth in the section entitled "Proposal No. 1—Election of Directors" in Agile's Proxy Statement for the 2001 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after the end of Agile's fiscal year ended April 30, 2001 (the "2001 Proxy Statement"), which is incorporated herein by reference.

## ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

See the information set forth in the section entitled "Executive Compensation and Related Information" in the 2001 Proxy Statement, which is incorporated herein by reference.

### ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

See the information set forth in the section entitled "Stock Ownership of

Certain Beneficial Owners and Management" in the 2001 Proxy Statement, which is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIP AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

See the information set forth in the section entitled "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions" in the 2001 Proxy Statement, which is incorporated herein by reference.

63

### PART IV

- ITEM 14. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K
  - (a) 1. Financial Statements

See Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

2. Financial Statement Schedules

None

3. Exhibits

The exhibits listed on the accompanying index to exhibits immediately following the financial statement schedule are filed as part of, or incorporated by reference into, this Form 10-K.

Number	Description	of	Document
Exhibit			

- 2.1 Agreement and Plan of Merger dated as of August 17, 1999 by and between Agile Software Corporation and Delaware Agile Software Corporation.(2)
- 2.2 Agreement and Plan of Reorganization dated as of October 10, 1999, by and between Agile Software Corporation, Alaska Acquisition Corporation and Digital Market, Inc.(3)
- 3.1 Certificate of Incorporation of Agile Software Corporation, as amended to date.(1)
- 3.2 Certificate of Elimination and Certificate of Amendment.(1)
- 3.3 Amended and Restated Bylaws of Agile Software Corporation.(9)
- 4.1 Specimen Common Stock Certificate.(1)
- 10.1 Amended and Restated 1995 Stock Option Plan.(1)
- 10.2 1999 Employee Stock Purchase Plan.(1)
- 10.3 Form of Indemnity Agreement between Agile Software Corporation and its directors and officers.(1)
- 10.4 Almaden Financial Plaza Office Lease dated May 30, 1996 between North Block Partnership and Agile Software Corporation, as amended.(1)

Number	Description of Document
Exhibit	64
10.14	Series F Preferred Stock Purchase Agreement.(1)
10.13	Series E Preferred Stock Purchase Agreement.(1)
10.12	Series D Preferred Stock Purchase Agreement.(1)
10.11	Series C Preferred Stock Purchase Agreement.(1)
10.10	Series B Preferred Stock Purchase Agreement.(1)
10.9	Series A Preferred Stock Purchase Agreement.(1)
10.8	Fifth Amended and Restated Investors' Rights Agreement dated June 4, 1998 by and among Agile Software Corporation and the investors listed on Schedule A thereto.(1)
10.7	Master Lease Agreement dated September 18, 1995 between Comdisco, Inc. and Agile Software Corporation, and associated equipment schedules.(1)
10.6	Revolving Credit Loan and Security Agreement (Accounts and Inventory) dated December 11, 1996 between Comerica Bank California and Agile Software Corporation as modified.(1)
10.5	Subordinated Loan and Security Agreement dated February 8, 1999 between Comdisco, Inc. and Agile Software Corporation.(1)

10.15	Sixth Amended and Restated Investor Rights Agreement dated as August 16, 1999 by and among Agile Software Corporation and the investors listed on Schedule A thereto.(2)
10.16	Office Lease dated as of November 5, 1999 by and between 55 Almaden Boulevard Limited Partnership and Agile Software Corporation.(4)
10.17	2000 Nonstatutory Stock Option Plan.(5)
10.18	First Amendment to Office Lease dated as of October 18,2000 by and between 55 Almaden Boulevard Limited Partnership and Agile Software Corporation.(6)
10.19	Fifth Amendment to Office Lease dated as of October 10, 2000 by and between North Block Partnership and Agile Software Corporation.(6)
10.20	Agreement and Plan of Reorganization among Ariba, Inc., Silver Merger Corporation and Agile Software Corporation dated January 29, 2001.(7)
10.21	Mutual Termination Agreement and Release by and among Ariba, Inc., Sliver Merger Corporation, and Agile Software Corporation, dated April 2, 2001.(8)

- 10.22 Form of Rights Agreement between the Company and Fleet National Bank, as Rights Agent(including as Exhibit A the form of Certificate of Designation, Preferences and Rights of the Terms of the Series A Preferred Stock, as Exhibit B the form of Right Certificate, and as Exhibit C the Summary of Terms of Rights Agreement).(9)
- 21.1 Subsidiaries of Agile Software Corporation.
- 23.1 Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, Independent Accountants.
- 24.1 Power of Attorney (included on page 66).

-----

- (1) Incorporated by reference to Agile's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-81387), declared effective on August 19, 1999.
- (2) Incorporated by reference to Agile's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (file No. 000-27071), filed on July 14, 1999.
- (3) Incorporated by reference to Agile's current report on Form 8-K (file No. 000-27071), filed on December 8, 1999.
- (4) Incorporated by reference to Agile's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-91243), declared effective on December 13, 1999.
- (5) Incorporated by reference to Agile's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (file No. 333-35416), filed on April 21, 2000.
- (6) Incorporated by reference to Agile's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (file No. 000-27071), filed on December 12, 2000.
- (7) Incorporated by reference to Agile's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (file No. 000-27071), filed on March 12, 2001.
- (8) Incorporated by reference to Agile's Current Report on Form 8-K (file No. 000-27071), filed on April 4, 2001.
- (9) Incorporated by reference to Agile's Current Report on Form 8-K (file No. 000-27071), filed on April 26, 2001.
  - (b) Reports On Form 8-K

A current report on Form 8-K was filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission by Agile on April 4, 2001 to report the termination of our merger with Ariba, Inc. and the Termination Agreement and Release entered in connection with the termination.

A current report on Form 8-K was filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission by Agile on April 26, 2001 to report the Company's adoption of a Preferred Stock Purchase Right Agreement.

(c) Exhibits

See Item 14(a)(3), above.

(d) Financial Statement Schedules

See Item 8, above.

65

### SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirement of Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this Form 10-K to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

AGILE SOFTWARE CORPORATION

/s/ Thomas P. Shanahan

By:

Thomas P. Shanahan

Executive Vice President and Chief

Financial Officer

Date: July 25, 2001

### POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL PERSONS BY THESE PRESENTS, that each person whose signature appears below constitutes and appoints Bryan D. Stolle and Thomas P. Shanahan, and each of them, his true and lawful attorneys—in—fact, watch with the power of substitution, for him in any and all capacities, to sign any amendments to thus Report on Form 10-K, and to file the same, with Exhibits thereto and other documents in connection therewith with the Securities and Exchange Commission, hereby ratifying and confirming all that each of said attorneys—in—fact, or substitute or substitutes, may do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this Form 10-K has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated:

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ Bryan D. Stolle	Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer,	July 25, 2001
Bryan D. Stolle	President and Director (Principal Executive Officer)	
/s/ Thomas P. Shanahan	Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer,	July 25, 2001
Thomas P. Shanahan	Secretary and Director (Principal and Director (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	
/s/ Klaus-Dieter Laidig	Director	July 25, 2001
Klaus-Dieter Laidig		
/s/ James L. Patterson	Director	July 25, 2001
James L. Patterson		
/s/ Nancy J. Schoendorf	Director	July 25, 2001
Nancy J. Schoendorf		