

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10QSB/A

PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC  
Form 10QSB/A  
January 07, 2004

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D. C.

FORM 10-QSB/A

QUARTERLY REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For Quarter Ended June 30, 2003

Commission File Number: 0-28498

PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES, INC.  
-----

Exact Name of Registrant.

DELAWARE ----- (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	87-0459536 ----- IRS Identification Number
2355 South 1070 West, Salt Lake City, Utah ----- (Address of principal executive offices)	84119 ----- (Zip Code)
Registrant's telephone number, including Area Code	(801) 977-8970 -----

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Sections 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES X NO

State the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common equity as of the close of the period covered by this report.

Common Stock, \$.001 par value ----- Title of Class	24,855,906 ----- Number of Shares Outstanding as of June 30, 2003
Class A Warrants to Purchase One Share of Common Stock ----- Title of Class	1,000,000 ----- Number of Warrants Outstanding as of June 30, 2003

Transitional Small Business Disclosure Format  
YES NO X

PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES, INC.  
FORM 10-QSB/A

QUARTER ENDED June 30, 2003

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements	
-----	
Balance Sheet (unaudited) - June 30, 2003 .....	3
Statements of Operations (unaudited) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2003 and June 30, 2002 .....	4
Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited) for the six months ended June 30, 2003 and June 30, 2002.....	5
Notes to Financial Statements (unaudited).....	6
 Item 2.	
-----	
Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.....	10
 PART II - OTHER INFORMATION	
Other Information.....	14
Signature Page.....	19

PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES, INC.  
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET  
(UNAUDITED)

June 30,  
2003

-----  
(Unaudited)

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10QSB/A

ASSETS

Current Assets	
Cash & Cash Equivalents	\$ 584,000
Receivables, Net	512,000
Inventory	1,968,000
Prepaid Expenses	62,000
	-----
Total Current Assets	3,126,000
Intangibles, Net	686,000
Property and Equipment, Net	307,000
Deposits and Other Assets, Net	56,000
	-----
Total Assets	4,175,000
	=====

LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

Current Liabilities:	
Trade Accounts Payable	898,000
Accrued Expenses	2,033,000
Current Portion of Long-term Debt	18,000
	-----
Total Current Liabilities	2,949,000
Long-term Debt	80,000
	-----
Total Liabilities	3,029,000

Stockholders' Equity:

Preferred Stock, Authorized:	
5,000,000 Shares, \$.001 par value	
Series A	
Authorized: 500,000 shares; issued and	
outstanding: 5,627 shares at June 30, 2003	-
Series B	
Authorized: 500,000 shares; issued and	
outstanding: 8,986 shares at June 30, 2003	-
Series C	
Authorized: 30,000 shares; issued and	
outstanding: zero shares at June 30, 2003	-
Series D	
Authorized: 1,140,000 shares; issued and	
outstanding: 5,000 shares at June 30, 2003	-
Series E	
Authorized: 50,000; issued and	
outstanding: 1,500 at June 30, 2003	-
Series F	
Authorized: 50,000; issued and	
outstanding: 5,623.75 at June 30, 2003	-
Common Stock, Authorized:	
80,000,000 Shares, \$.001 par value; issued and	
outstanding: 24,855,906 at June 30, 2003	25,000
Additional paid-in-capital	57,413,000
Stock subscription receivable	(294,000)
Accumulated Deficit	(55,998,000)
	-----
Total Stockholders' Equity	1,146,000
	-----
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity	\$ 4,175,000
	=====

# Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10QSB/A

See accompanying notes to financial statements

3

## PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (UNAUDITED)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2003 (Unaudited)	2002 (Unaudited)	2003 (Unaudited)	2002 (Unaudited)
Sales	\$ 645,000	\$ 1,279,000	\$ 1,372,000	\$ 2,810,000
Cost of Sales	538,000	781,000	881,000	1,620,000
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Gross Profit	107,000	498,000	491,000	1,190,000
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Operating Expenses:				
Marketing and Selling	229,000	747,000	552,000	1,760,000
General and Administrative	1,060,000	1,000,000	1,536,000	1,990,000
Research, development and service	294,000	614,000	574,000	1,360,000
Impairment of assets	159,000	-	159,000	-
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total Operating Expenses	1,742,000	2,361,000	2,821,000	5,120,000
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Operating Income (Loss)	(1,635,000)	(1,863,000)	(2,330,000)	(3,930,000)
Other Income and (Expense):				
Interest Income	-	1,000	3,000	-
Interest Expense	(5,000)	(9,000)	(13,000)	(10,000)
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total Other Income and (Expense)	(5,000)	(8,000)	(10,000)	(10,000)
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Net loss before provision for income taxes	(1,640,000)	(1,871,000)	(2,340,000)	(3,940,000)
Income taxes	-	-	-	-
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Net Loss	\$ (1,640,000)	\$ (1,871,000)	\$ (2,340,000)	\$ (3,940,000)
	=====	=====	=====	=====
Net Loss Per Common Share - Basic and Diluted	\$ (.07)	\$ (.11)	\$ (.10)	\$ (.10)
	=====	=====	=====	=====
Weighted Average Outstanding Shares - Basic and Diluted	23,983,000	16,654,000	22,985,000	16,220,000
	=====	=====	=====	=====

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10QSB/A

See accompanying notes to financial statements

4

PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES, INC.  
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
(UNAUDITED)

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2003 (Unaudited)	2002 (Unaudited)
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:		
Net Loss	\$ (2,340,000)	\$ (3,944,000)
Adjustment to Reconcile Net Loss to Net Cash Used In Operating Activities:		
Depreciation and Amortization	214,000	264,000
Issuance of Common Stock and Warrants for Services	35,000	48,000
Issuance of Common Stock for Settlement of Potential Litigation	190,000	-
Increase in Inventory Reserve	382,000	-
Provision for (Recovery Of) Losses on Receivables	83,000	(125,000)
Impairment of Intangible and Other Assets	159,000	-
(Increase) Decrease from Changes in:		
Trade Accounts Receivable	350,000	1,368,000
Inventories	299,000	451,000
Prepaid Expenses	19,000	(58,000)
Increase (Decrease) from Changes in:		
Trade Accounts Payable	(10,000)	34,000
Accrued Expenses and Deposits	619,000	94,000
	-----	-----
Net Cash Used in Operating Activities	-	(1,868,000)
	-----	-----
Cash Flow from Investing Activities:		
Purchase of Property and Equipment	(1)	(134,000)
Net Cash Paid in Acquisition	-	(100,000)
	-----	-----
Net Cash Used in Investing Activities	(1)	(234,000)
	-----	-----
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:		
Principal Payments on Notes Payable	(26,000)	(42,000)
Net proceeds from sale of common stock	417,000	-
	-----	-----
Net Cash Provided (Used) by Financing Activities	391,000	(42,000)
	-----	-----
Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents	390,000	(2,144,000)
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period	194,000	2,702,000
	-----	-----
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$ 584,000	\$ 558,000
	=====	=====

# Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10QSB/A

## Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information:

Cash Paid for Interest	\$ 13,000	\$ 19,000
	=====	=====
Cash Paid for Income Taxes	\$ -	\$ -
	=====	=====

See accompanying notes to financial statements

5

## PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES, INC. NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

### Significant Accounting Policies:

-----

In the opinion of management, the accompanying financial statements contain all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring items) necessary to present fairly the financial position of Paradigm Medical Industries, Inc. (the Company) as of June 30, 2003 and the results of its operations for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, and its cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002. The results of operations for the periods presented are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year period.

### Liquidity and Going Concern

-----

Due to the declining sales, significant recurring losses and cash used to fund operating activities, the auditors' report for the year ended December 31, 2002 included an explanatory paragraph that expressed substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. The Company has taken significant steps to reduce costs and increase operating efficiencies. In addition, the Company is attempting to obtain additional funding through the sale of its common stock. Traditionally the Company has relied on financing from the sale of its common and preferred stock to fund operations. If the Company is unable to obtain such financing in the near future it may be required to reduce or cease its operations.

### Reclassifications

-----

Certain amounts in the financial statements for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2002 have been reclassified to conform with the presentation of the current period financial statements.

### Net loss Per Share

-----

Net loss per common share is computed on the weighted average number of common and common equivalent shares outstanding during each period. Common stock equivalents consist of convertible preferred stock, common stock options and warrants. Common equivalent shares are excluded from the computation when their

## Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10QSB/A

effect is anti-dilutive. Other common stock equivalents consisting of options and warrants to purchase 6,502,361 and 6,426,319 shares of common stock and preferred stock convertible into 402,138 and 2,564,838 shares of common stock at June 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively, have not been included in loss years because they are anti-dilutive.

### Equity Line of Credit

-----

The Company sold approximately 696,000 shares of common stock for approximately \$84,000 during the 6 months ended June 30, 2003 under the \$20,000,000 equity line of credit with Triton West Group, Inc.

### Preferred Stock Conversions:

-----

Under the Company's Articles of Incorporation, holders of the Company's Class A and Class B Preferred Stock have the right to convert such stock into shares of the Company's common stock at the rate of 1.2 shares of common stock for each share of preferred stock. During the six months ended June 30, 2003, no shares of Series A Preferred Stock and no shares of Series B Preferred Stock were converted to the Company's Common Stock.

Holders of Series D Preferred have the right to convert such stock into shares of the Company's common stock at the rate of 1 share of common stock for each share of preferred stock. During the six months ended June 30, 2003, no shares of Series D Preferred Stock were converted to the Company's Common stock.

6

Holders of Series E Preferred have the right to convert such stock into shares of the Company's common stock at the rate of 53.3 shares of common stock for each share of preferred stock. During the six months ended June 30, 2003, no shares of Series E Preferred Stock were converted to the Company's Common stock.

Holders of Series F Preferred have the right to convert such stock into shares of the Company's common stock at the rate of 53.3 shares of common stock for each share of preferred stock. During the six months ended June 30, 2003, 650 shares of Series F Preferred Stock were converted to shares of the Company's Common stock.

### Warrants:

-----

The fair value of warrants granted as described herein is estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The exercise price per share is reflective of the then current market value of the stock. No grant exercise price was established at a discount to market. All warrants are fully vested, exercisable and nonforfeitable as of the grant date. The Company granted 623,000 warrants to purchase the Company's common stock during the period ended June 30, 2003. 423,000 of the warrants were issued in connection with a private placement of the Company's common stock and 200,000 warrants were issued for consulting services. The 200,000 warrants issued for consulting services were valued at \$35,000 using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. During the period ended June 30, 2002, the purchase agreement between the Company and Innovative Optics included warrants to purchase 250,000 shares of the Company's common stock at \$5.00 per share, exercisable over a period of three years from the closing date. The Company valued the warrants at approximately \$295,000, which amount was included in the purchase price.

## Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10QSB/A

### Stock - Based Compensation

-----

For stock options and warrants granted to employees, the Company employs the footnote disclosure provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation. SFAS No. 123 encourages entities to adopt a fair-value based method of accounting for stock options or similar equity instruments. However, it also allows an entity to continue measuring compensation cost for stock-based compensation using the intrinsic-value method of accounting prescribed by Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees (APB 25). The Company has elected to continue to apply the provisions of APB 25 and provide pro forma footnote disclosures required by SFAS No. 123. No stock-based employee compensation cost is reflected in net income, as all options granted under those plans had an exercise price equal to or greater than the market value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant.

Stock options and warrants granted to non-employees for services are accounted for in accordance with SFAS 123 which requires expense recognition based on the fair value of the options/warrants granted. The Company calculates the fair value of options and warrants granted by use of the Black-Scholes pricing model. The following table illustrates the effect on net income and earnings per share if the Company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of FASB Statement No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," to stock-based employee compensation.

7

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2003	2002	Three Months 2003
Net loss - as reported	\$ (2,340,000)	\$ (3,944,000)	\$ (1,640,000)
Deduct: total stock-based employee compensation determined under fair value based method for all awards, net of related tax effects	(311,000)	-	(37,000)
Net loss - pro forma	\$ (2,651,000)	\$ (3,944,000)	\$ (1,677,000)
Earnings per share:			
Basic and diluted - as reported	\$ (.10)	\$ (.24)	\$ (.07)
Basic and diluted - pro forma	\$ (.12)	\$ (.24)	\$ (.07)

The fair value of each option grant was estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions:

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2003	2002	
Expected dividend yield	\$ -	\$ -	
Expected stock price volatility	113% - 117%		-



## Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10QSB/A

Risk-free interest rate	4%	-
Expected life of options	3-5 years	-

The weighted average fair value of options granted to employees during the three and six months ended June 30, 2003 was \$.25.

### Related Party Transactions:

-----

Payments for legal services to the firm of which the chairman of the board of directors is a partner were approximately \$24,000 and \$67,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

8

### Supplemental Cash Flow Information

-----

During the six months ended June 30, 2003, the Company granted 200,000 options for consulting services, which were recorded as an expense of \$35,000, which is recorded as an increase to general and administrative expense and additional paid-in capital.

During the six months ended June 30, 2003, the Company issued 1,262,000 shares of common stock, valued at \$190,000 based on the trading price on the date of issuance, as settlement of potential litigation. This amount is included in general and administrative expenses.

### Accrued Expenses

-----

Accrued expenses consist of the following at June 30, 2003:

Accrued consulting and litigation reserve	\$	691,000
Warranty and return allowance		688,000
Accrued royalties		208,000
Deferred revenue		182,000
Customer deposits		140,000
Accrued payroll and employee benefits		124,000
		-----
		\$ 2,033,000
		=====

9

## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITON AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following Management's Discussion and Analysis contains forward-looking statements, which address matters that are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties. The Company's actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of certain factors discussed in this section. The Company's fiscal year runs from January 1 to and including December 31.

## Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10QSB/A

The Company is engaged in the design, development, manufacture and sale of high technology diagnostic and surgical eye care products. Given the "going concern" status of the Company, management has focused efforts on those products and activities that will, in its opinion, achieve the most resource efficient short-term cash flow to the Company. As seen in the results for the quarter ended June 30, 2003, diagnostic products have been the major focus and the Photon(TM) and other extensive research and development projects have been put on hold pending future evaluation when the financial position of the Company improves. The new management team has reviewed the financial position of the Company including the financial statements. In the course of this review, management made certain adjustments that are included in the quarter ended June 30, 2003, including an increase in the reserve of obsolete inventory of \$332,000, an increase in the allowance for doubtful accounts receivable of \$160,000, impairment of fixed assets and intangibles of \$159,000, and increases in accruals to settle outstanding disputes in the amount of \$443,000. Although management believes these adjustments are sufficient, it will continue to monitor and evaluate the Company's financial position and the recoverability of the Company's assets.

Its ultrasound diagnostic products technology was acquired from Humphrey Systems in 1998. In October 1999, the Company purchased the inventory and design and production rights of another line of surgical equipment, also designed to perform minimally invasive cataract surgery. The line includes the Mentor SIsTem (TM), the Odyssey (TM), and the Surgitrol (TM). In November 1999, the Company entered into a Mutual Release and Settlement Agreement with the manufacturer of the Precisionist Thirty Thousand (TM) in which the Company purchased the raw material and finished goods inventory to bring manufacture of this product in-house. The Dicon (TM) perimeter and the Dicon (TM) topographer were added to product line when the Company acquired Vismed, Inc. d/b/a Dicon in June 2000. The Blood Flow Analyzer(TM) was acquired when Ocular Blood Flow, Ltd. ("OBF") was purchased in June 2000. The Company received approval for ISO 9000 and CE Mark certifications in June 2000, enabling the Company to market its diagnostic and surgical products in the European community. The Company has successfully maintained its ISO approval and CE Mark certifications to date.

Activities for the six months ended June 30, 2003, included sales of the Company's products and related accessories and disposable products. In March 2003, the Company named a new president and chief executive officer, Dr. Jeffrey F. Poore. The Company named a new vice president of sales and marketing, Ray Cannefax, during the first quarter of 2003 and a new vice president of finance and chief financial officer, Gregory C. Hill, during the second quarter of 2003.

### Results of Operations

#### Three Months Ended June 30, 2003, Compared to Three Months Ended June 30, 2002

Net sales for the three months ended June 30, 2003 decreased by \$634,000, or 50%, to \$645,000 as compared to \$1,279,000 for the same period of 2002 due primarily to the decrease in sales of the Ultrasonic Biomicroscope although lower sales were also generated by most of the Company's other products. In addition, we have reduced our sales people in an effort to reduce costs. We had 4 sales people as of June 30, 2003 compared to 8 in 2002. For the three months ended June 30, 2003, sales from the diagnostic product line totaled \$577,000, or 89% of total revenues, compared to \$769,000, or 60% of total revenues for the same period of 2002. Sales from the surgical line totaled \$68,000, or 11% of total revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2003, compared to \$294,000, or 23% of total revenues for the corresponding period of 2002. Sales of the Ultrasonic Biomicroscope were \$167,000 during the second quarter 2003, or 26% of total quarterly revenues, compared to \$459,000, or 36% of total revenues for the same period last year. Sales of the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM) increased by \$80,000 to \$80,000, or 12% of total revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2003, compared to net sales of zero during the same

## Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10QSB/A

period in 2002. Sales from the other ultrasonic products were \$36,000, or 6% of total revenues for the quarter ended June 30, 2003, compared to \$132,000, or 10% of total quarterly revenues for the same period last year. Combined sales of the perimeter and corneal topographer were \$294,000, or 46% of the total revenues for the current quarter, compared to \$312,000, or 24% of the total revenues for the same quarter of 2002. Sales have been lower both for the Company and, in the Company's opinion, for the industry due to the slowdown in the economy. Our objective is to focus our sales efforts on the products with the highest potential for sales and strong margins.

10

Gross profit for the three months ended June 30, 2003 was 17% of total revenues compared with 39% of total revenues for the comparable period of 2002. Cost of goods sold for the three months ended June 30, 2003 included an increase in the reserve for obsolete inventory of \$332,000, whereas cost of goods sold for the three months ended June 30, 2002, did not include significant write downs of inventory. During the quarter management reviewed the Company's financial position, including an analysis of inventory. Based on management's analysis of inventory, it determined that the reserve for obsolete inventory needed to be increased by \$332,000.

Marketing and selling expenses decreased by approximately \$518,000, or 69%, to \$229,000, for the three months ended June 30, 2003, from \$747,000 for the comparable period in 2002 due mainly to less personnel related expenses and travel reimbursements. The Company had fewer sales persons during the second quarter of 2003, compared to the number of sales persons employed during the second quarter of 2002. As a result, payroll related expenses and travel reimbursements were lower in the second quarter of 2003 compared with the same period in 2002.

General and administrative expenses increased by \$60,000, or 6%, to \$1,060,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2003, from \$1,000,000 for the comparable period in 2002 due principally to \$160,000 for additions to the allowance for doubtful accounts and increases in accruals of \$443,000 to settle outstanding disputes. In addition, general and administrative expenses for the quarter ended June 30, 2003 included \$190,000 for 1,262,000 shares of common stock issued to settle potential litigation. The Company has implemented cost reductions in general and administrative expenses, however such reductions were more than offset by increases due to the above mentioned items during the quarter ended June 30, 2003.

Research, development and service expenses were \$294,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2003, compared to \$614,000 recorded in the same period of 2002, a decrease of \$320,000 or 52%. Service department expenses, production development and support expenses, which include indirect manufacturing costs of purchasing, shipping and supervisory personnel, all decreased in the second quarter of 2003 compared to the same period a year ago.

Impairment of assets was \$159,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2003, compared to \$0 recorded in the same period of 2002, an increase of \$159,000. The impairment expense was due to a reserve established for anticipated asset disposals and a reduction in the value of certain intangible assets.

Other income and (expense) decreased by \$3,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2003 to \$(5,000), from \$(8,000) for the same period in 2002 as a result of a decrease in interest expense from capital leases.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2003, Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2002

## Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10QSB/A

Net sales decreased by \$1,444,000, or 51%, to \$1,372,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2003, from \$2,816,000 for the comparable period in 2002. Sales of the Company's diagnostic products were \$1,135,000, or 83% of total revenues, during the first six months of 2003 compared with \$1,965,000, or 70% of total revenues, for the comparable period of 2002. Sales of surgical products totaled \$152,000, or 11% of total revenues, for the first six months of the current year in comparison with \$470,000, or 17%, of total revenues in the comparable period of 2002. In the first six months of 2003 sales of the Ultrasonic Biomicroscope were \$210,000, or 15% of total revenues, compared to \$889,000, or 32% of total revenues, in the same period of 2002. Sales from the Blood Flow Analyzer (TM) increased by \$108,000 to \$243,000, or 18% of total revenues, during the first two quarters of 2003 compared with \$135,000, or 5% of total revenues, in the same period of last year. During the first half of 2003 sales from other ultrasonic products totaled \$129,000, or 9% of total revenues, compared with \$346,000, or 12% of total revenues, in the same period last year. Sales of the perimeter and corneal topographer generated \$552,000, or 40% of total revenues, in the first two quarters of 2003 compared with \$595,000, or 21% of total revenues, during the same period of 2002. The Company believes that its sales have been adversely affected by the slowdown in the economy. In addition, we have reduced our sales force in an effort to reduce costs. Our objective is to focus our sales efforts on the products with the highest potential for sales and strong margins. As of June 30, 2003, we had 4 sales people as compared to 8 at June 30, 2002.

Gross profit for the six months ended June 30, 2003 was 36% of total revenues, compared to 42% for the same period in 2002. Cost of goods sold for the six months ended June 30, 2003 included an increase in the reserve for obsolete inventory of \$382,000 whereas cost of goods sold for the six months ended June 30, 2002, did not include significant write downs of inventory.

11

Marketing and selling expenses decreased by \$1,210,000, or 69%, to \$552,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2003, from \$1,762,000 for the comparable period in 2002 due primarily to the lower headcounts of sales persons and travel related and associated sales expenses.

General and administrative expenses decreased by \$462,000, or 23%, to \$1,536,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2003, from \$1,998,000 for the same period in 2002, reflecting the results of the Company's cost reduction program. Depreciation and amortization expense, which includes amortization of leasehold improvements, decreased by approximately \$40,000, or 15%, to \$214,000 during the first two quarters of 2003 compared to the same period of last year. General and administrative expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2003, included \$83,000 for additions to the allowance for doubtful accounts and increases in accruals of \$443,000 to settle outstanding disputes. In addition, general and administrative expense for the six months ended June 30, 2003, included \$190,000 for 1,262,000 shares of common stock issued to settle potential litigation.

Research, development and service expenses decreased by \$790,000, or 58%, to \$574,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2003, from \$1,364,000 for the same period in 2002. Expenses associated with the development of new products during the first six months of 2003 decreased compared to the same period in 2002 as a consequence of the Company's cost reduction program.

Impairment of assets was \$159,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2003, compared to \$0 recorded in the same period of 2002, an increase of \$159,000. The impairment expense was due to a reserve established for anticipated asset disposals and a reduction in the value of certain intangible

## Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10QSB/A

assets.

Other income and (expense) decreased by \$4,000 to \$(10,000) for the six months ended June 30, 2003 from \$(14,000) for the same period in 2002. The Company had a decrease in interest income due to lower cash balances and interest rates and a decrease in interest expense from a decrease in interest expense related to capital leases.

### Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Company used no cash in operating activities for the six months ended June 30, 2003, compared to \$1,868,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2002. The reduction in cash used by operating activities for the first six months of 2003 was primarily attributable to reduced operating costs, including the closure of the San Diego facility, as well as other savings resulting from the Company's cost reduction program and management of the Company's current assets and current liabilities. The Company used \$1,000 in investing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2003, compared to \$234,000 in the same period in 2002. Cash used in investing activities in the first six months of 2002 was primarily due to the cash paid in the acquisition of certain assets of Innovative Optics and capital equipment. Net cash generated in financing activities was \$391,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2003 versus cash used of \$42,000 in the same period in 2002. As of June 30, 2003, the Company has raised approximately \$84,000 through a \$20,000,000 equity line of credit under an investment banking arrangement and \$333,000 through a private placement from the sale of the Company's common stock. In the past, the Company has relied heavily upon sales of its common and preferred stock to fund operations. There can be no assurance that such equity funding will be available on terms acceptable to the Company in the future. The Company will continue to seek funding to meet its working capital requirements through collaborative arrangements and strategic alliances, additional public offerings and/or private placements of its securities or bank borrowings. The Company is uncertain whether or not the combination of existing working capital, benefits from sales of the Company's products and the private equity line of credit will be sufficient to assure the Company's operations through December 31, 2003.

12

At June 30, 2003, the Company had net operating loss carry-forwards (NOLs) of approximately \$42,000,000 and research and development tax credit carry-forwards of approximately \$34,000. These carry-forwards are available to offset future taxable income, if any, and have begun to expire in 2001 and extend for twenty years. The Company's ability to use Net Operating Loss Carryforwards (NOLs) to offset future income is dependant upon certain limitations as a result of the pooling transaction with Vismed and the tax laws in effect at the time of the NOLs can be utilized. The Tax Reform Act of 1986 significantly limits the annual amount that can be utilized for certain of these carryforwards as a result of change of ownership.

### Effect of Inflation and Foreign Currency Exchange

The Company has not realized a reduction in the selling price of its products as a result of domestic inflation, nor has it experienced unfavorable profit reductions due to currency exchange fluctuations or inflation with its foreign customers.

### Impact of New Accounting Pronouncements

In January 2003, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Interpretation No. 46, Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities (FIN No. 46),

## Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10QSB/A

which addresses consolidation by business enterprises of variable interest entities. FIN No. 46 clarifies the application of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51, Consolidated Financial Statements, to certain entities in which equity investors do not have the characteristics of a controlling financial interest or do not have sufficient equity at risk for the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support from other parties. FIN No. 46 applies immediately to variable interest entities created after January 31, 2003, and to variable interest entities in which an enterprise obtains an interest after that date. It applies in the first fiscal year or interim period beginning after June 15, 2003, to variable interest entities in which an enterprise holds a variable interest that it acquired before February 1, 2003. The Company does not expect to identify any variable interest entities that must be consolidated. In the event a variable interest entity is identified, the Company does not expect the requirements of FIN No. 46 to have a material impact on its financial condition or results of operations.

In April 2003, the FAS issued SFAS No. 149, "Amendment of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities." SFAS No. 149 amends and clarifies financial accounting and reporting for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts (collectively referred to as derivative) and for hedging activities under SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. This Statement is effective for contracts entered into or modified after June 30, 2003. Management is currently evaluating the effect that the adoption of SFAS No. 149 may have, but believes it will have no material effect on its results of operations and financial position.

In May 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 150, "Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity." SFAS No. 150 requires that certain financial instruments, which under provisions guidance may have been accounted for as equity, must now be accounted for as liabilities (or an asset in some circumstances.) The financial instruments affected include mandatory redeemable stock, certain financial instruments that require or may require the issuer to buy back some of its shares in exchange for cash other assets and certain obligations that can be settled with shares of stock. This Statement is effective for all such financial instruments entered into or modified after May 31, 2003, and otherwise is effective at the beginning of the first interim period beginning after June 15, 2003. SFAS No. 150 was adopted in the quarter ended June 20, 2003 and did not have a material impact on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

13

### Item 3. Controls and Procedures

#### (a) Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures

Based on their evaluations as of June 30, 2003, the principal executive officer and principal financial officer of the Company have concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-14(c) and 15d-14(c) under the Securities Exchange Act) are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that the Company files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the SEC.

#### (b) Changes in internal controls

There were no significant changes in the Company's internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect these internal controls

## Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10QSB/A

subsequent to the date of their most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

### Part II: Other Information

#### Item 1. Legal Proceedings

An action was brought against the Company in March 2000 by George Wiseman, a former employee, in the Third District Court of Salt Lake County, State of Utah. The complaint alleges that the Company owes Mr. Wiseman 6,370 shares of its common stock plus costs, attorney's fees and a wage penalty (equal to 1,960 additional shares of Paradigm common stock) pursuant to Utah law. The action is based upon an extension of a written employment agreement. The Company believes the complaint is without merit and intends to vigorously defend against the action.

An action was brought against the Company on March 7, 2000 in the Third District Court of Salt Lake County, State of Utah, by the Merrill Corporation that alleges that we owe the Merrill Corporation approximately \$20,000 together with interest thereon at the rate of 10% per annum from August 30, 1999, plus costs and attorney's fees. The complaint alleges a breach of contract relative to printing services. The Company filed an answer to the complaint. On August 12, 2003, the court dismissed the action without prejudice.

An action was brought against the Company in September 2000 by PhotoMed International, Inc. and Daniel M. Eichenbaum in the Third District Court of Salt Lake County, State of Utah. The action involves an amount of royalties that are allegedly due and owing to PhotoMed International, Inc. and Dr. Eichenbaum with respect to the sales of certain equipment plus attorney's fees. Discovery has taken place and the Company has paid royalties of \$14,736 to bring all payments up to date through June 30, 2001. The Company has been working with Photomed International and Dr. Eichenbaum to ensure that the calculations have been correctly made on the royalties paid as well as the proper method of calculation for the future.

It is anticipated that once the parties can agree on the correct calculations on the royalties, the legal action will be dismissed. The issue in dispute concerning the method of calculating royalties is whether royalties should be paid on returned equipment. Since July 1, 2001, only one Photon(TM) laser system has been sold and no systems returned. Thus, the amount of royalties due, according to calculations, is \$600. The Company intends to make payment of this amount to PhotoMed and Dr. Eichenbaum and, as a result, to have the legal action dismissed. However, if the parties are unable to agree on a method for calculating royalties, there is a risk that PhotoMed and Dr. Eichenbaum might amend their complaint to request termination of the license agreement and, if successful, the Company would lose right to manufacture and sell the Photon(TM) laser system.

The Company received a demand letter dated December 9, 2002 from counsel for Dan Blacklock, dba Danlin Corp. The letter demands payment in the amount of \$65,160 for manufacturing and supplying parts for microkeratome blades. The Company's records show that it received approximately \$34,824 in parts from the Danlin Corp., but that the additional amounts that the Danlin Corp contends are owed were from parts that were received but rejected by the Company because they had never been ordered. On August 14, 2003, the Company agreed with Danlin Corp. to a settlement of the dispute.

The Company received a demand letter dated December 30, 2002 from

## Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10QSB/A

counsel for Thomas F. Motter, the Company's former Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Motter claims in the letter that he was entitled to certain stock options that had not been issued to him in a timely manner. By the time the options were actually issued to him, however, they had expired. Mr. Motter contends that if the options had been issued in a timely manner, he would have exercised them in a manner that would have given him a substantial benefit. Mr. Motter requests restitution for the loss of the financial opportunity. Mr. Motter also claims that he was defrauded by us by not being given an extended employment agreement when he terminated the change of control agreement that he had entered into with the Company.

Mr. Motter is further claiming payment for accrued vacation time during the 13 years he had been employed by the Company, asserting that he only had a total of four weeks of vacation during that period. Finally, Mr. Motter is threatening a shareholder derivative action against the Company because of the Board of Directors' alleged failure to conduct an investigation into conversations that took place in a chat room on Yahoo. Mr. Motter asserts that certain individuals participating in the conversations were our officers or directors whose interests were in conflict with the interest of the shareholders. The Company believes that Mr. Motter's claims and assertions are without merit and intends to vigorously defend against any legal action that Mr. Motter may bring.

On January 24, 2003, an action was brought by Dr. John Charles Casebeer against the Company in the Montana Second Judicial District Court, Silver Bow County, State of Montana (Civil No. DU-0326). The complaint alleges that Dr. Casebeer entered into a personal services contract with the Company memorialized by a letter dated April 20, 2002, with it being alleged that Dr. Casebeer fully performed his obligations. Dr. Casebeer asserts that he is entitled to \$43,750 per quarter for consultant time and as an incentive to be granted each quarter \$5,000 in options issued at the fair market value. An additional purported incentive was \$50,000 in shares of stock being issued at the time a formalized contract was to be signed by the parties. In the letter it is provided that at its election, the Company may pay the consideration in the form of stock or cash and that stock would be issued within 30 days of the close of the quarter. Prior to the litigation, the Company issued 43,684 shares to Dr. Casebeer. The referenced letter provides that termination may be made by either party upon giving 90 days written notice. Notice was given by the Company in early November 2002. The Company recently filed its answer in defense of the action. Issues include whether or not Dr. Casebeer fully performed as asserted. The case has been settled through the issuance of 300,000 additional shares of the Company's common stock to Dr. Casebeer.

On May 14, 2003, a complaint was filed in the United States District Court, District of Utah, captioned Richard Meyer, individually and on behalf of all others similarly suited v. Paradigm Medical Industries, Inc., Thomas Motter, Mark Miehle and John Hemmer, Case No. 2:03 CV00448TC. The complaint also indicates that it is a "Class Action Complaint for Violations of Federal Securities Law and Plaintiffs Demand a Trial by Jury." The Company has retained legal counsel to review the complaint, which appears to be focused on alleged false and misleading statements pertaining to the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM) and concerning a purchase order from Valdespino Associates Enterprises and Westland Financial Corporation.

More specifically, the complaint alleges that the Company falsely stated in its Securities and Exchange Commission filings and press releases that it had received authorization to use an insurance reimbursement CPT code from the CPT Code Research and Development Division of the American Medical Association in connection with the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM), adding that the CPT code provides for a reimbursement to doctors of \$57.00 per patient for use of the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM). The complaint also alleges that on July 11, 2002, the Company issued a press release falsely announcing that the Company had



## Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10QSB/A

received a purchase order from Valdespino Associates Enterprises and Westland Financial Corporation for 200 sets of its entire portfolio of products, with \$70 million in systems to be delivered over a two-year period, then another \$34 million of orders to be completed in the third year. As a result of these statements, the complaint contends that the price of the Company's shares of common stock was artificially inflated during the period from April 25, 2001 through May 14, 2003, and the persons who purchased the Company's common shares during that period suffered substantial damages. The complaint requests judgment for unspecified damages, together with interest and attorney's fees.

15

The Company disputes having issued false and misleading statements concerning the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM) and a purchase order from Valdespino Associates Enterprises of Westland Financial Corporation. On April 25, 2001, the Company issued a press release that stated the Company had received authorization to use a common procedure terminology or a CPT code number 92120 for our Blood Flow Analyzer(TM). This press release was based on a letter the Company received from the CPT Editorial Research and Development Department of the American Medical Association authorizing the Company to use a common procedure terminology or CPT code number 92120 for its Blood Flow Analyzer(TM), which provides for a reimbursement to doctors. Currently, there is full reimbursement by insurance payors to doctors using the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM) in 22 states and partial reimbursement for other states. The Company believes it has continued to correctly represent in its Securities and Exchange Commission filings that it has received authorization from the CPT Editorial Research and Development Department of the American Medical Association to use CPT code number 92120 for the Company's Blood Flow Analyzer(TM) that provides for a reimbursement to doctors.

On July 11, 2002, the Company issued a press release that stated it received a purchase order from Valdespino Associates Enterprises and Westland Financial Corporation for 200 complete sets of its entire product portfolio of diagnostic and surgical equipment for Mexican ophthalmic practitioners, followed by a second order of 100 sets of equipment. The press release was based on a purchase order dated July 10, 2002 that the Company entered into with Westland Financial regarding the sale of 200 sets of surgical and diagnostic equipment to Mexican ophthalmic practitioners. On September 13, 2002, the Board of Directors issued another press release updating the status of its product sales to the Mexican ophthalmic practitioners. In that press release the board stated that the Company had been in discussions for the prior nine months with Westland Financial aimed at supplying the Company's medical device products to the Mexican market. Westland Financial is primarily involved in financing and leasing activities and international sales transactions. In the past, the Company has had a business relationship with Westland Financial. Upon investigation, the Board of Directors determined that the purchase order referenced in the July 11, 2002 press release was not of such a nature as to be enforceable for the purpose of sales or revenue recognition. In addition, the Company has not sent any shipment of medical products to Mexican ophthalmic practitioners nor received payment for those products pursuant to those discussions. The September 13, 2002 press release also stated that discussions were continuing with Westland Financial regarding sales and marketing activities for the Company's medical device products in Mexico, but the Company could not, at the time, predict or provide any assurance that any transactions would result.

16

On June 2, 2003, a complaint was filed in the United States District

## Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10QSB/A

Court captioned Michael Marrone v. Paradigm Medical Industries, Inc., Thomas Motter, Mark Miehle and John Hemmer, Case No. 2:03 CV00513 PGC. On or about June 11, 2003, a complaint was filed in the same United States District Court captioned Milian v. Paradigm Medical Industries, Inc., Thomas Motter, Mark Miehle and John Hemmer, Case No. 2:03 CV00617PGC. Both seek class action status. These cases are substantially similar in nature to the Meyer case, including the contention that as a result of allegedly false statements regarding the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM) and the purchase ordered from Valdespino Associates Enterprises and Westland Financial Corporation, the price of the Company's common stock was artificially inflated and the persons who purchased the Company's common shares during the class period suffered substantial damages. The cases request judgment for unspecified damages, together with interest and attorneys' fees. These cases have been consolidated into a single action. The Company believes the consolidated cases are without merit and intends to vigorously defend and protect its interests in the said cases. If the Company is are not successful in defending and protecting its interests in these cases and a judgment is entered against it for substantial damages, the Company would not be able to pay such liability and, as a result, would be forced to seek bankruptcy protection.

An action was filed on June 20, 2003, in the Third Judicial District Court, Salt Lake County, State of Utah (Civil No. 030914195) by CitiCorp Vendor Finance, Inc., formerly known as Copelco Capital, Inc. The complaint claims that \$49,626 plus interest is due for the leasing of two copy machines that were delivered to the Company's Salt Lake City facilities on or about April of 2000. The action also seeks an award of attorney's fees and costs incurred in the collection. The Company disputes the amounts allegedly owed, asserting that equipment the Company returned to the leasing company did not work properly. A responsive pleading has not yet been filed.

An action was filed in June, 2003 in the Third Judicial District Court, Salt Lake County, State of Utah (Civil No. 030914719) by Franklin Funding, Inc. in which it alleges that the Company had entered into a lease agreement for the lease of certain equipment for which payment is due. It is claimed that there is due and owing approximately \$89,988 after accruing late fees, interest, repossession costs, collection costs and attorneys' fees. On August 29, 2003, the Company agreed to a settlement of the case with Franklin Funding, Inc.

17

On July 10, 2003, an action was filed in the United States District Court, District of Utah, by Innovative Optics, Inc. and Barton Dietrich Investments, L.P. Defendants include the Company, and Thomas Motter, Mark Miehle and John Hemmer, former officers of the Company. The complaint claims that Innovative and Barton entered into an asset purchase agreement with the Company on January 31, 2002, in which the Company agreed to purchase all the assets of Innovative in consideration for the issuance of 1,310,000 shares of the Company's common stock to Innovative. The complaint also claims that the Company allegedly made false and misleading statements pertaining to the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM) and concerning a purchase order from Valdespino Associates Enterprises and Westland Financial Corporation. The purpose of these statements, according to the complaint, was to induce Innovative to sell its assets and purchase the shares of the Company's common stock at artificially inflated prices while simultaneously deceiving Innovative and Barton into believing that the Company's shares were worth more than they actually were. The complaint contends that as a result of these statements, Innovative and Barton suffered substantial damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

The complaint further claims that 491,250 of the shares to be issued to Innovative in the asset purchase transaction were not issued on a timely basis

## Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10QSB/A

and the Company also did not file a registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission within five months of the closing date of the asset purchase transaction. As a result, the complaint alleges that the value of the shares of the Company's common stock issued to Innovative in the transaction declined, and Innovative and Barton suffered damages in an amount to be proven at trial. The Company filed an answer to the complaint. The Company believes the complaint is without merit and intends to vigorously defend and protect its interests in the action. If the Company is not successful in defending and protecting its interests in this action and a judgment is entered against it for substantial damages, the Company would not be able to pay such liability and, as a result, would be forced to seek bankruptcy protection.

The Company is not a party to any other material legal proceedings outside the ordinary course of its business or to any other legal proceedings which, if adversely determined, would have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

18

### Item 2. Changes in Securities

On June 30, 2003, the Company completed the sale of 845,266 shares of common stock to 14 accredited investors, as defined in Section 2(15) of the Securities Act of 1933 and Rule 501 of Regulation D thereunder, through a private placement under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933 and Rule 506 of Regulation D thereunder at a price of \$.375 per share. The Company received a total of \$316,975 in cash in the private placement transaction and issued 84,526 shares of common stock in commissions and expenses. The accredited investors also received warrants to purchase 422,634 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$.75 per share.

### Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None

### Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

At the adjourned meeting of the annual shareholders meeting held on June 13, 2003, the following matters were acted upon: (i) three directors consisting of Randall A. Mackey, Dr. David M. Silver and Keith D. Ignatz were elected to serve until the next annual shareholders meeting or until their respective successors are elected and qualified (for Randall A. Mackey 13,566,083 votes were cast in favor of election, 146,013 votes were cast against election and there were no abstentions; for Dr. David M. Silver, 13,555,083 votes were cast in favor of election, 147,013 votes were cast against election and there were no abstentions; and for Keith D. Ignatz, 13,555,783 votes were cast in favor of election, 146,313 votes were cast against election and there were no abstentions); (ii) the amendment to the Company's certificate of incorporation to increase the number of authorized shares of the Company's common stock from 40,000,000 to 80,000,000 shares was approved (with 12,890,370 votes cast for approval, 783,170 votes against approval and 38,566 abstentions); (iii) the amendment to the 1995 Stock Option Plan to authorize an additional 1,000,000 shares of common stock was approved (with 12,666,543 votes cast for approval, 981,692 votes against approval and 53,861 abstentions); (iv) the granting of stock options to the outside directors was ratified (with 12,772,441 votes cast for ratification, 877,375 votes against ratification and 52,280 abstentions); and (v) the appointment of Tanner & Co. as the Company's independent accountants for fiscal year ended December 31, 2003, was ratified (with 13,552,256 votes cast for ratification, 104,233 votes against ratification and 45,607 abstentions).

## Item 5. Other Information

As of June 26, 2003, the Company's shares trade on the OTC Bulletin Board. As a result, it may be more difficult for shareholders to dispose of the Company's securities, or to obtain accurate quotations on their market value. Furthermore, the prices for the Company's securities may be lower than might otherwise be obtained. On October 8, 2002, the Company received a notice from Nasdaq's Listing Qualifications staff that for the previous 30 consecutive trading days, the price of its common stock closed below the minimum \$1.00 per share requirement for continued inclusion on Nasdaq under Marketplace Rule 4310(c)(4). The notice further provided that if at anytime before April 7, 2003, the bid price of the Company's common stock closed at \$1.00 or more for a minimum of 10 consecutive trading days, it would be notified by the staff that it complies with such rule.

On April 15, 2003, the Company received notice of a determination by Nasdaq's Listing Qualifications staff that it failed to comply with the minimum bid price rules for continued listing set forth in Marketplace Rule 4310(c)(4) and did not meet the Rule 4310(c)(2)(A) inclusion requirements. Specifically, the notice stated that the Company has not regained compliance with the minimum \$1.00 closing bid price per share requirement (noting that pursuant to the October 8, 2002, notice from the Nasdaq Listing Qualifications staff, the Company provided 180 calendar days, or until April 7, 2003, to regain compliance with this requirement) and the Company does not qualify with the \$5,000,000 shareholders equity, \$50,000,000 market value of listed securities or \$750,000 net income from continuing operations requirement for an additional 180 calendar day compliance period to comply with Marketplace Rule 4310(c)(4). The April 15, 2003, notice further stated that as of December 31, 2002, the Company reported stockholders' equity of \$2,847,000 and net losses from continuing operations of approximately \$11,155,000, and as of April 14, 2003, the market value of its listed securities was \$4,208,108. Accordingly, the Company's common stock would be delisted from the Nasdaq SmallCap Market at the opening of business on April 24, 2003. Separately, Nasdaq informed the Company that listing fees of \$22,500 and \$18,000 under Rule 4310(c)(13) are owed to the Nasdaq SmallCap Market.

The Company requested an oral hearing before a Nasdaq Listing Qualifications Panel to review the staff's determination. The request automatically stayed the delisting of its common stock. On April 23, 2003, the Company received formal notice from Nasdaq that a hearing to consider its appeal would be held on May 29, 2003. On May 29, 2003, Dr. Jeffrey F. Poore, the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer; Randall A. Mackey, the Chairman of the Board; and Dr. David M. Silver, a director of the Company, attended an oral hearing before a Nasdaq Listing Qualifications Panel in Washington, D.C. At the hearing Dr. Poore presented to the panel a definitive plan both for regaining compliance with the particular deficiencies cited in the April 15, 2003, letter from the Nasdaq Listing Qualifications staff and sustaining long term compliance with the Nasdaq Marketplace Rules, including all applicable maintenance criteria. On June 24, 2003, the Company received notification from the Nasdaq Listing Qualifications Panel that it was to be delisted from the Nasdaq Stock Market effective June 26, 2003. The Company's securities trade on the OTC Bulletin Board effective June 26, 2003. Because the Company's securities are delisted from the Nasdaq SmallCap Market and now trade on the OTC Bulletin Board, additional sales requirements on broker-dealers would adversely affect the ability of shareholders to sell their securities and the trading price of the Company's securities could decline.

## Item 6. Exhibits

## Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10QSB/A

(a) Exhibits

-----

The following Exhibits are filed herewith pursuant to Rule 601 of Regulation S-B or are incorporated by reference to previous filings.

20

Exhibit No. -----	Document Description -----
2.1	Amended Agreement and Plan of Merger between Paradigm Medical Industries, Inc., a California corporation and Paradigm Medical Industries, Inc., a Delaware corporation(1)
3.1	Certificate of Incorporation(1)
3.2	Amended Certificate of Incorporation(11)
3.3	Bylaws(1)
4.1	Warrant Agency Agreement with Continental Stock Transfer & Trust Company(3)
4.2	Specimen Common Stock Certificate (2)
4.3	Specimen Class A Warrant Certificate(2)
4.4	Form of Class A Warrant Agreement(2)
4.5	Underwriter's Warrant with Kenneth Jerome & Co., Inc.(3)
4.6	Warrant to Purchase Common Stock with Note Holders re bridge financing (1)
4.7	Warrant to Purchase Common Stock with Mackey Price & Williams (1)
4.8	Specimen Series C Convertible Preferred Stock Certificate(4)
4.9	Certificate of the Designations, Powers, Preferences and Rights of the Series C Convertible Preferred Stock(4)
4.10	Specimen Series D Convertible Preferred Stock Certificate (5)
4.11	Certificate of the Designations, Powers, Preferences and Rights of the Series D Convertible Preferred Stock (5)
4.12	Warrant to Purchase Common Stock with Cyndel & Co. (5)
4.13	Warrant Agreement with KSH Investment Group, Inc. (5)
4.14	Warrant to Purchase Common Stock with R.F. Lafferty & Co., Inc. (5)
4.15	Warrant to Purchase Common Stock with Dr. Michael B. Limberg (5)
4.16	Warrant to Purchase Common Stock with John W. Hemmer (6)
4.17	Stock Purchase Warrant with Triton West Group, Inc.(8)
4.18	Warrant to Purchase Common Stock with KSH Investment Group, Inc.(8)
4.19	Warrants to Purchase Common Stock with Consulting for Strategic Growth, Ltd. (8)
10.1	Exclusive Patent License Agreement with Photomed(1)
10.2	Consulting Agreement with Dr. Daniel M. Eichenbaum(1)
10.3	Lease with Eden Roc (4)
10.4	1995 Stock Option Plan and forms of Stock Option Grant Agreement (1)
10.5	Private Equity Line of Credit Agreement with Triton West Group, Inc. (7)
10.6	Renewed Consulting Agreement with Dr. Michael B. Limberg (8)
10.7	Asset Purchase Agreement with Innovative Optics, Inc. and Barton Dietrich Investments, L.P.(9)
10.8	Escrow Agreement with Innovative Optics, Inc., Barton Dietrich Investments, L.P. and Mackey Price & Williams (9)
10.9	Assignment and Assumption Agreement with Innovative Optics, Inc. (9)
10.10	General Assignment and Bill of Sale with Innovative Optics, Inc.

## Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10QSB/A

- (9)
- 10.11 Non-Competition and Confidentiality Agreement with Mario F. Barton (9)
  - 10.12 Termination of Employment Agreement with Mark R. Miehle(11)
  - 10.13 Consulting Agreement with Mark R. Miehle(11)
  - 10.14 Employment Agreement with Jeffrey F. Poore (12)
  - 31.1 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as enacted by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
  - 31.2 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as enacted by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
  - 32.1 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
  - 32.2 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

- 
- (1) Incorporated by reference from Registration Statement on Form SB-2, as filed on March 19, 1996.
  - (2) Incorporated by reference from Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement on Form SB-2, as filed on May 14, 1996.
  - (3) Incorporated by reference from Amendment No. 2 to Registration Statement on Form SB-2, as filed on June 13, 1996.

21

- (4) Incorporated by reference from Annual Report on Form 10-KSB, as filed on April 16, 1998.
- (5) Incorporated by reference from Registration Statement on Form SB-2, as filed on April 29, 1999.
- (6) Incorporated by reference from Report on Form 10-QSB, as filed on August 16, 2000.
- (7) Incorporated by reference from Report on Form 10-QSB, as filed on March 15, 2001.
- (8) Incorporated by reference from Report on Form 10-QSB, as filed on August 14, 2001.
- (9) Incorporated by reference from Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed on March 5, 2002.
- (10) Incorporated by reference from Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement on Form S-3, as filed on March 20, 2002.
- (11) Incorporated by reference from Report on Form 10-QSB, as filed on November 18, 2002.
- (12) Incorporated by reference from Registration Statement on Form SB-2, as filed on July 7, 2003.

(b) Reports on Form 8-K

Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed on April 29, 2003.

22

### SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

REGISTRANT

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10QSB/A

PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES, INC.  
Registrant

DATED: January 7, 2004

By:/s/ Jeffrey F. Poore

-----  
Jeffrey F. Poore  
President and Chief  
Executive Officer (Principal Executive  
Officer)

DATED: January 7, 2004

By:/s/ Luis A. Mostacero

-----  
Luis A. Mostacero  
Controller (Principal Financial and  
Accounting Officer)