GOODYEAR TIRE & RUBBER CO /OH/ Form DEF 14A March 09, 2007

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, DC 20549

SCHEDULE 14A (RULE 14a-101) SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant b Filed by a Party other than the Registrant o

Check the appropriate box:

- o Preliminary Proxy Statement
- o Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- b Definitive Proxy Statement
- o Definitive Additional Materials
- o Soliciting Material Pursuant to Section 240.14a-12

The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company (Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- b No fee required.
- o Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
 - (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
 - (5) Total fee paid:

| o | Fee pa | paid previously with preliminary materials. | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| O | Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing fo which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing. | | | | | |
| | (1) | Amount Previously Paid: | | | | |
| | (2) | Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.: | | | | |
| | (3) | Filing Party: | | | | |
| | (4) | Date Filed: | | | | |

Notice of 2007 Annual Meeting of Shareholders and Proxy Statement

The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company

1144 East Market Street Akron, Ohio 44316-0001

DATE: April 10, 2007

TIME: 9:00 A.M., Akron Time

PLACE: Offices Of The Company

Goodyear Theater

1201 East Market Street

Akron, Ohio

YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT

Please vote. Most shareholders may vote by Internet or telephone as well as by mail.

Please refer to your proxy card or page 48 of the Proxy Statement for information on how to vote by Internet or telephone. If you choose to vote by mail, please complete, date and sign your proxy card and promptly return it in the enclosed envelope.

ROBERT J. KEEGAN CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD, CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER AND PRESIDENT

March 9, 2007

Dear Shareholders:

You are cordially invited to attend Goodyear s 2007 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which will be held at the Goodyear Theater, 1201 East Market Street, Akron, Ohio, at 9:00 A.M., Akron Time, on Tuesday, April 10, 2007. During the meeting, we will discuss each item of business described in the Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders and Proxy Statement, and give a report on matters of current interest to our shareholders.

This booklet includes the Notice of Annual Meeting as well as the Proxy Statement, which provides information about Goodyear and describes the business we will conduct at the meeting.

We hope you will be able to attend the meeting. Whether or not you plan to attend, it is important that you vote via the Internet, by telephone or by completing, dating, signing and promptly returning your proxy card. This will ensure that your shares will be represented at the meeting. If you attend and decide to vote in person, you may revoke your proxy. Remember, your vote is important!

Sincerely,

Robert J. Keegan Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and President

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|----------|
| Notice of The 2007 Annual Meeting of Shareholders | I |
| Proxy Statement | 1 |
| General Information | 1 |
| Shares Voting | 1 |
| <u>Quorum</u> | 1 |
| Adjourned Meeting | 1 |
| Vote Required | 1 |
| Cumulative Voting for Directors | 1 |
| Voting of Proxy | 1 |
| Voting Shares Held in Street Name | 1 |
| Confidentiality | 2 |
| Revocability of Proxy | 2 |
| Corporate Governance Principles and Board Matters | 3 |
| Election of Directors (Proxy Item 1) | 6 |
| Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm (Proxy Item 2) | 9 |
| Shareholder Proposals (Proxy Items 3, 4 and 5) | 9 |
| Other Business | 13 |
| Beneficial Ownership of Common Stock | 13 |
| Compensation of Executive Officers and Directors | 16 |
| Compensation Discussion and Analysis | 16 |
| Compensation Committee Report | 24 |
| Summary Compensation Table | 25 |
| • • | 28 |
| Grants of Plan-Based Awards Outstanding Fauity Awards at Fiscal Year End | |
| Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End | 31 34 |
| Option Exercises and Stock Vested | 34 |
| Pension Benefits Nonguelified Deferred Commencetion | |
| Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Potential Payments Upon Termination on Change in Control | 36 |
| Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change-in-Control | 37 |
| <u>Director Compensation</u> | 44 |
| Other Matters Section 16(a) Baractical Ourseaship Baractica Counting | 46 |
| Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance | 46 |
| Principal Accountant Fees and Services Property of the Audit Committee | 46 |
| Report of the Audit Committee | 47 |
| Miscellaneous | 48 |
| Submission of Shareholder Proposals | 48 |
| Savings Plan Shares Note that the same of | 48 |
| Internet and Telephone Voting | 48 |
| Shareholders Sharing the Same Address | 48 |
| 10-K Report | 49 |
| Costs of Solicitation | 49 |

THE GOODYEAR TIRE & RUBBER COMPANY

NOTICE OF THE

2007 ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

TO BE HELD ON April 10, 2007

To The Shareholders:

The 2007 Annual Meeting of Shareholders of The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company, an Ohio corporation, will be held at the Goodyear Theater (in the Company s Principal Office Complex), 1201 East Market Street, Akron, Ohio, on Tuesday, April 10, 2007 at 9:00 A.M., Akron Time, for the following purposes:

- 1. To elect eleven members of the Board of Directors to serve one-year terms expiring at the 2008 Annual Meeting of Shareholders (Proxy Item 1); and
- 2. To consider and vote upon a proposal to ratify the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as independent registered public accounting firm for Goodyear for 2007 (Proxy Item 2); and
- 3. To consider and vote upon three Shareholder Proposals (Proxy Items 3, 4, and 5), if properly presented at the Annual Meeting; and
- 4. To act upon such other matters and to transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournments thereof.

The Board of Directors fixed the close of business on February 16, 2007 as the record date for determining shareholders entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the 2007 Annual Meeting. Only holders of record of Goodyear Common Stock at the close of business on February 16, 2007 will be entitled to vote at the 2007 Annual Meeting and adjournments, if any, thereof.

March 9, 2007

By order of the Board of Directors:

C. Thomas Harvie, Secretary

Please complete, date and sign your Proxy and return it promptly in the enclosed envelope, or vote via the Internet or by telephone.

PROXY STATEMENT

The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company

GENERAL INFORMATION

This Proxy Statement is furnished in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the Board of Directors of The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company, an Ohio corporation (Goodyear, Company, we, our or us), to be voted at the annual meeting of shareholders to be held April 10, 2007 (the Annual Meeting), and at any adjournments thereof, for the purposes set forth in the accompanying notice.

Goodyear s executive offices are located at 1144 East Market Street, Akron, Ohio 44316-0001. Our telephone number is 330-796-2121.

Our Annual Report to Shareholders for the year ended December 31, 2006 is enclosed with this Proxy Statement. The Annual Report is not considered part of the proxy solicitation materials. The approximate date on which this Proxy Statement and the related materials are first being sent to shareholders is March 9, 2007.

Shares Voting.

Holders of shares of the Common Stock, without par value, of Goodyear (the Common Stock) at the close of business on February 16, 2007 (the record date) are entitled to notice of, and to vote the shares of Common Stock they hold on the record date at, the Annual Meeting. As of the close of business on the record date, there were 180,693,799 shares of Common Stock outstanding and entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting. Each share of Common Stock is entitled to one vote.

Quorum.

In order for any business to be conducted, holders of at least a majority of shares entitled to vote must be represented at the meeting, either in person or by proxy.

Adjourned Meeting.

The holders of a majority of shares represented at the meeting, whether or not a quorum is present, may adjourn the meeting. If the time and place of the adjourned meeting is announced at the time adjournment is taken, no other notice need be given.

Vote Required.

The affirmative vote of at least a majority of the shares of Common Stock outstanding on the record date is required for any management or shareholder proposal to be adopted at the Annual Meeting. In the election of directors, the eleven candidates receiving the most votes will be elected.

Abstentions, withheld votes and broker non-votes do not affect the election of directors and have the same effect as votes against any proposal voted upon by shareholders.

Cumulative Voting For Directors.

In the voting for directors, you have the right to vote cumulatively for candidates nominated prior to the voting. In voting cumulatively for Directors, you may (a) give one candidate the number of votes equal to eleven times the

number of shares of Common Stock you are entitled to vote, or (b) distribute your votes among the eleven candidates as desired.

Voting Of Proxy.

Messrs. Richard J. Kramer, C. Thomas Harvie and Bertram Bell, have been designated as proxies to vote (or withhold from voting) shares of Common Stock in accordance with your instructions. You may give your instructions using the accompanying proxy card, via the Internet or by telephone.

Your shares will be voted for the eleven nominees identified at pages 6 through 8, unless your instructions are to withhold your vote from any one or more of the nominees or to vote cumulatively for one or more of the nominees for election. The proxies may cumulatively vote your shares if they consider it appropriate, except to the extent you expressly withhold authority to cumulate votes as to a nominee.

Your Board of Directors anticipates that all of the nominees named will be available for election. In the event an unexpected vacancy occurs, your proxy may be voted for the election of a new nominee designated by the Board of Directors.

Proxies received and not revoked prior to the Annual Meeting will be voted in favor of the proposals of the Board of Directors to ratify the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as independent registered public accounting firm for Goodyear for 2007 (Proxy Item 2), and against the shareholder proposals (Proxy Items 3, 4, and 5), unless your instructions are otherwise.

Voting Shares Held in Street Name.

If your shares are held in a stock brokerage account or by a bank or other nominee, you are considered the beneficial owner of shares held in street name, and these proxy materials are being forwarded to you by your broker, bank or nominee who is considered the shareholder of record with respect to those shares. As the beneficial owner, you have the right to direct your broker, bank or nominee on how to vote and

are also invited to attend the Annual Meeting. Your broker, bank or nominee has enclosed a voting instruction card for you to use in directing the broker, bank or nominee regarding how to vote your shares. If you do not return the voting instruction card, the broker or other nominee will determine if it has the discretionary authority to vote on the particular matter. Under applicable rules, brokers have the discretion to vote on routine matters, such as the election of directors (Proxy Item 1) and the ratification of the selection of accounting firm (Proxy Item 2), but do not have discretion to vote on non-routine matters, such as the shareholder proposals (Proxy Items 3, 4, and 5). If you do not provide voting instructions to your broker, your shares will not be voted on any proposal on which your broker does not have discretionary authority (a broker non-vote). Broker non-votes will have no effect on the election of Directors, but will have the same effect as a vote against the other proposals.

Confidentiality.

Your vote will be confidential except (a) as may be required by law, (b) as may be necessary for Goodyear to assert or defend claims, (c) in the case of a contested election of director(s), or (d) at your express request.

Revocability Of Proxy.

You may revoke or revise your proxy (whether given by mail, via the Internet or by telephone) by the delivery of a later proxy or by giving notice to Goodyear in writing or in open meeting. Your proxy revocation or revision will not affect any vote previously taken. If you hold your shares in street name please refer to the information forwarded by your broker, bank or nominee who is considered the shareholder of record for procedures on revoking or changing your proxy.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE PRINCIPLES AND BOARD MATTERS

Goodyear is committed to having sound corporate governance principles. Having such principles is essential to running Goodyear s business efficiently and to maintaining Goodyear s integrity in the marketplace. Goodyear s Corporate Governance Guidelines, Business Conduct Manual, Board of Directors and Executive Officers Conflicts of Interest Policy and charters for each of the Audit, Compensation, Corporate Responsibility and Compliance, Finance, and Governance Committees are available at http://www.goodyear.com/investor/investor_governance.html. Please note, however, that information contained on the website is not incorporated by reference in this proxy statement or considered to be a part of this document. A copy of the committee charters and corporate governance policies may also be obtained upon request to the Goodyear Investor Relations Department.

Board Independence

The Board has determined that ten of the current directors are independent within the meaning of Goodyear s independence standards, which are based on the criteria established by the New York Stock Exchange and are included as Annex I to Goodyear s Corporate Governance Guidelines which are available at http://www.goodyear.com/investor/investor_governance.html. Mr. Keegan, the Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, is not considered independent. In addition, in light of his ongoing relationship with the United Steelworkers (the USW), Mr. Wessel is not considered independent. Further, the Board expects that Mr. Wessel will recuse himself from discussions and deliberations regarding Goodyear s relationship with the USW. The Board also determined that the nature and size of the ordinary course commercial relationships between Goodyear and Delphi Corporation and Sprint Nextel Corp. did not implicate the independence of Messrs. O Neal and Forsee, respectively.

Board Structure and Committee Composition

following five committees: (1) Audit, (2) Compensation, (3) Corporate Responsibility and Compliance, (4) Finance, and (5) Governance. The current membership and the function of each of the committees are described below. Each of the committees operates under a written charter adopted by the Board. All of the committee charters are available on Goodyear s website at http://www.goodyear.com/investor/investor_governance.html. During the 2006 fiscal year, the Board held 11 meetings. Each director attended at least 75% of all Board and applicable Committee meetings. Directors are encouraged to attend annual meetings of Goodyear shareholders. All twelve directors attended the last annual meeting of shareholders. As described on Goodyear s website at http://www.goodyear.com/investor/investor_contact_brd.html, shareholders may communicate with the Board or any of the Directors (including, the Lead Director or the Non-Management Directors as a group) by sending correspondence to the Office of the Secretary, The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company, 1144 East Market Street, Akron, Ohio 44316-0001. All communications will be compiled by the Secretary and submitted to the Board or the individual Directors on a periodic basis.

As of the date of this proxy statement, Goodyear s Board has 12 directors classified into three classes and the

| | | | Corporate | | | | | |
|------------------------|----------------|--------------|------------|---------|------------|----------------|--|--|
| | Responsibility | | | | | | | |
| N CD: | A 1.4 | G | and | T7* | C | C I (1) | | |
| Name of Director | Audit | Compensation | Compliance | Finance | Governance | Class(1) | | |
| Non-Employee Directors | | | | | | | | |
| James C. Boland | X* | | | X | | III | | |
| John G. Breen | X | X* | | | | II | | |

Edgar Filing: GOODYEAR TIRE & RUBBER CO /OH/ - Form DEF 14A

| Gary D. Forsee | X | X | | | | I |
|------------------------------|---|---|----|-------|-------|-----|
| William J. Hudson, Jr. | | X | | X^* | | II |
| Steven A. Minter | | | X* | | X | III |
| Denise M. Morrison | | X | | | X | I |
| Rodney O Neal | | | | X | X^* | II |
| Shirley D. Peterson | X | | | | X | II |
| G. Craig Sullivan(2) | | X | X | | | I |
| Thomas H. Weidemeyer | | | X | X | | I |
| Michael R. Wessel | | | X | | | III |
| Employee Director | | | | | | |
| Robert J. Keegan | | | | | | II |
| Number of Meetings in Fiscal | | | | | | |
| 2006 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 5 | |

X = Committee member; * = Chair; (1) Beginning with the 2007 Annual Meeting of Shareholders all directors will be elected to one year terms and the Board will no longer be classified. (2) Mr. Sullivan has been a director since April 11, 2006.

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee assists the Board in fulfilling its responsibilities for oversight of the integrity of Goodyear's financial statements, Goodyear's compliance with legal and regulatory requirements related to financial reporting, the independent accountants qualifications and independence and the performance of Goodyear's internal auditors and independent accountants. Among other things, the Audit Committee prepares the Audit Committee report for inclusion in the annual proxy statement; annually reviews the Audit Committee charter and the committee's performance; appoints, evaluates and determines the compensation of Goodyear's independent accountants; reviews and approves the scope of the annual audit plan; reviews and pre-approves all auditing services and permitted non-audit services (and related fees) to be performed by the independent accountants; oversees investigations into complaints concerning financial matters; and reviews policies and guidelines with respect to risk assessment and risk management, including Goodyear's major financial risk exposures. The Audit Committee works closely with management as well as Goodyear's independent accountants. The Audit Committee has the authority to obtain advice and assistance from, and receive appropriate funding from Goodyear for, outside legal, accounting or other advisors as the Audit Committee deems necessary to carry out its duties. The Board has determined that each member of the Audit Committee is independent and each of Messrs. Boland, Breen, and Forsee is an audit committee financial expert. The report of the Audit Committee is on page 47 of this proxy statement.

Compensation Committee

The Board of Directors has delegated to the Compensation Committee primary responsibility for establishing and administering Goodyear's compensation programs for executive officers and other key personnel. The Compensation Committee is composed entirely of independent directors. The Compensation Committee oversees Goodyear's compensation and benefit plans and policies, administers its stock plans (including reviewing and recommending equity grants to executive officers), and reviews and approves annually all compensation decisions relating to executive officers, including those for the CEO and other executive officers. The Compensation Committee also prepares a report on executive compensation for inclusion in the annual proxy statement and reviews and discusses the Compensation Discussion and Analysis with management and recommends its inclusion in the annual proxy statement. The Report of the Compensation Committee is on page 24 of this proxy statement.

In performing its duties, the Compensation Committee meets periodically with the CEO to review compensation policies and specific levels of compensation paid to executive officers and other key personnel, and reports and makes recommendations to the Board regarding executive compensation policies and programs. The Compensation Committee informs the independent directors of the Board regarding its decisions regarding compensation for the CEO and other elected officers. Under its charter, the Compensation Committee may delegate its authority to one or more of its members as appropriate.

The Compensation Committee has the authority to retain and terminate outside advisors, including compensation consultants, to assist it in evaluating actual and proposed compensation for executive officers. The Compensation Committee also has the authority to approve any such consultant s fees and the other terms of such retention. From time to time, the Compensation Committee solicits advice from an outside compensation consultant, Towers Perrin, on executive compensation matters relating to the CEO and other executive officers. This advice has consisted primarily of assistance with benchmarking compensation for senior executives and directors, and advice on current and evolving market practices in the areas of perquisites, change in control benefits, and retiree medical benefits.

Committee on Corporate Responsibility and Compliance

The Committee on Corporate Responsibility and Compliance reviews Goodyear s legal compliance programs as well as its business conduct policies and practices and its policies and practices regarding its relationships with shareholders, employees, customers, governmental agencies and the general public. The Committee may also recommend appropriate new policies to the Board of Directors.

Finance Committee

The Finance Committee consults with management and makes recommendations to the Board of Directors regarding Goodyear s capital structure, dividend policy, tax strategies, compliance with terms in financing arrangements, risk management strategies, banking arrangements and lines of credit and pension plan funding. The Finance Committee also reviews and consults with management regarding policies with respect to interest rate

and foreign exchange risk, liquidity management, counter party risk, derivative usage, credit ratings, and investor relations activities.

Governance Committee

The Governance Committee identifies, evaluates and recommends to the Board of Directors candidates for election to the Board of Directors. The Committee also develops and recommends appropriate corporate governance guidelines, recommends policies and standards for evaluating the overall effectiveness of the Board of Directors in the governance of Goodyear and undertakes such other activities as may be delegated to it from time to time by the Board of Directors. The Board has determined that each member of the Governance Committee is independent.

Consideration of Director Nominees

The policy of the Governance Committee is to consider properly submitted shareholder nominations for candidates for membership on the Board as described below under Identifying and Evaluating Nominees for Director. In evaluating such nominations, the Governance Committee seeks to address the criteria described below under Director Selection Guidelines as well as any needs for particular expertise on the Board.

Any shareholder desiring to submit a proposed candidate for consideration by the Governance Committee should send the name of such proposed candidate, together with biographical data and background information concerning the candidate, to: The Secretary, The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company, 1144 East Market Street, Akron, Ohio 44316-0001.

Director Selection Guidelines

The Board of Directors has approved Director Selection Guidelines that apply to prospective Board members. Under these criteria, members of the Board should have a reputation for high moral character, integrity and sound judgment, substantial business expertise, financial literacy, achievement in his or her chosen field, should have adequate time to devote to Goodyear, and should have the ability to effectively serve several years prior to retirement at age 70. A person s particular expertise and ability to satisfy Goodyear s independence standards and those of the New York Stock Exchange may also be evaluated. Each Director must have the ability to fully represent Goodyear s diverse constituencies.

Identifying and Evaluating Nominees for Director

The Governance Committee considers candidates for Board membership suggested by its members and other Board members, as well as management and shareholders. The Committee also retains third-party executive search firms to identify candidates. In addition, under our prior master labor agreement with the United Steelworkers (the USW), the USW had the right to nominate a candidate for consideration for membership on the Board. Mr. Wessel, who became a Director in December 2005, was identified and recommended by the USW. Mr. Sullivan was initially identified as a candidate for membership to the Board by a third-party search firm.

Once a prospective nominee has been identified, the Committee makes an initial determination as to whether to conduct a full evaluation of the candidate. This initial determination is based on whatever information is provided to the Committee with the recommendation of the prospective candidate, as well as the Committee s own knowledge of the prospective candidate, which may be supplemented by inquiries to the person making the recommendation or others. The preliminary determination is based primarily on the need for additional Board members and the likelihood that the prospective nominee can satisfy the Director Selection Guidelines described above. If the Committee determines, in consultation with the Chairman of the Board and other Board members as appropriate, that additional

consideration is warranted, it may request a third-party search firm to gather additional information about the prospective nominee s background and experience and to report its findings to the Committee. The Committee then evaluates the prospective nominee against the standards and qualifications set out in Goodyear s Director Selection Guidelines.

The Committee also considers such other relevant factors as it deems appropriate, including the current composition of the Board, the balance of management and independent directors, the need for Audit Committee expertise and the evaluations of other prospective nominees. In connection with this evaluation, the Committee determines whether to interview the prospective nominee, and if warranted, one or more members of the Committee, and others as appropriate, interview prospective nominees in person or by telephone. After completing this evaluation and interview, the Committee makes a recommendation to the full Board as to the persons who should be elected to the Board, and the Board makes its decision after considering the recommendation and report of the Committee.

Executive Sessions

Non-management Directors meet regularly in executive sessions without management. An executive session is generally held in conjunction with each regularly scheduled Board meeting. Executive sessions are led by a Lead Director, who is elected by the Board. Mr. John G. Breen currently serves as the Lead Director.

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS (Item 1 on your Proxy)

The Board of Directors has selected the following eleven nominees recommended by the Governance Committee for election to the Board of Directors. The Company s Code of Regulations sets the number of directors at eleven, but authorizes the Board to increase the number of directors to no more than fifteen persons, and to decrease the number of directors to no less than nine persons. On February 27, 2007, the Board set the number of directors at eleven, effective immediately prior to the annual meeting. The directors will hold office from election until the next Annual Meeting of Shareholders, or until their successors are elected and qualified. If any of these nominees for director becomes unavailable, the persons named in the proxy intend to vote for any alternate designated by the current Board of Directors.

JAMES C. BOLAND

Vice Chairman of Cavaliers Operating Company, LLC

Mr. Boland was the President and Chief Executive Officer of Cavs/Gund Arena Company (the Cleveland Cavaliers professional basketball team and Gund Arena) from 1998 to December 31, 2002. He became Vice Chairman of that organization on January 1, 2003, which, following a change in ownership, was renamed the Cavaliers Operating Company, LLC. Prior to his retirement from Ernst & Young in 1998, Mr. Boland served for 22 years as a partner of Ernst & Young in various roles including Vice Chairman and Regional Managing Partner, as well as a member of the firm s Management Committee. Mr. Boland is a director of Invacare Corporation and The Sherwin-Williams Company.

Age: 67

Director since: December 18, 2002

JOHN G. BREEN

Retired. Formerly Chairman of the Board of The Sherwin-Williams Company, a manufacturer of paints, coatings and related products.

Mr. Breen was the Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of The Sherwin-Williams Company from January 15, 1979 to October 25, 1999, when he retired as Chief Executive Officer. He served as Chairman of the Board of The Sherwin-Williams Company until April 26, 2000, when he retired. He is also a director of The Stanley Works.

Age: 72

Director since: January 7, 1992

WILLIAM J. HUDSON, JR.

Retired. Formerly President and Chief Executive Officer and a Director of AMP, Incorporated, a global manufacturer of electrical and electronic components and assemblies.

Mr. Hudson was the President and Chief Executive Officer of AMP, Incorporated from January 1, 1993 to August 10, 1998. Mr. Hudson served as the Vice Chairman of AMP, Incorporated from August 10, 1998 to April 30, 1999. Mr. Hudson is a member of the Executive Committee of the United States Council for International Business.

Age: 72

Director since: November 7, 1995

6

ROBERT J. KEEGAN

Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and President of Goodyear

Mr. Keegan joined Goodyear on October 1, 2000, and he was elected President and Chief Operating Officer and a Director of Goodyear on October 3, 2000 and President and Chief Executive Officer effective January 1, 2003. Mr. Keegan became Chairman of the Board effective July 1, 2003. Prior to joining Goodyear, Mr. Keegan was an Executive Vice President of Eastman Kodak Company. He held various marketing, financial and managerial posts at Eastman Kodak Company from 1972 through September 2000, except for a two year period beginning in 1995 when he was an Executive Vice President of Avery Dennison Corporation.

Age: 59

Director since: October 3, 2000

STEVEN A. MINTER

Retired. Formerly President and Executive Director of The Cleveland Foundation, a community trust devoted to health, education, social services and civic and cultural affairs.

Mr. Minter was the President and Executive Director of The Cleveland Foundation, Cleveland, Ohio, from January 1, 1984 to June 30, 2003, when he retired. Since September 1, 2003, Mr. Minter has served as a part-time Executive-in-Residence at Cleveland State University.

Age: 68

Director since: February 12, 1985

DENISE M. MORRISON

Senior Vice President, President Campbell USA Soup, Sauce and Beverage

Ms. Morrison has served as the President of the Campbell USA Soup, Sauce and Beverage division of The Campbell Soup Company since June 2005. From April 2003 to June 2005 she served as Campbell Soup s President of Global Sales and Chief Customer Officer. She has been a Senior Vice President of Campbell Soup since April 2003. Prior to joining Campbell Soup, Ms. Morrison served in various managerial positions at Kraft Foods, including as Executive Vice President/General Manager of the Snacks Division from October 2001 to March 2003 and the Confections Division from January 2001 to September 2001. Ms. Morrison also served in various managerial positions at Nabisco Inc. from 1995 to 2000 and at Nestle USA from 1984 to 1995.

Age: 53

Director since: February 23, 2005

RODNEY O NEAL

Chief Executive Officer and President, Delphi Corporation

Mr. O Neal has served in various managerial positions at Delphi Corporation since 1999 and has served as the Chief Executive Officer and President since January 1, 2007. Mr. O Neal also serves on Delphi s Board of Directors. Mr. O Neal also served in various managerial and engineering positions at General Motors Corporation from 1976 to 1999, including Vice President of General Motors and President of Delphi Interior Systems prior to Delphi s separation from General Motors.

Age: 53

Director since: February 3, 2004

SHIRLEY D. PETERSON

Retired. Formerly partner in the law firm of Steptoe & Johnson LLP

Mrs. Peterson was President of Hood College from 1995-2000. From 1989 to 1993 she served in the U.S. Government, first appointed by the President as Assistant Attorney General in the Tax Division of the Department of Justice, then as Commissioner of the Internal Revenue Service. She was also a partner in the law firm of Steptoe & Johnson LLP where she served a total of 22 years from 1969 to 1989 and from 1993 to 1994. Mrs. Peterson is also a director of AK Steel Corp., Champion Enterprises, Federal-Mogul Corp., Wolverine Worldwide Inc. and is an independent trustee for DWS Scudder Mutual Funds.

Age: 65

Director since: April 13, 2004

7

G. CRAIG SULLIVAN

Retired. Former Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, The Clorox Company

Mr. Sullivan served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Clorox from 1992 to 2003. Prior to assuming the top position in 1992, he served in various managerial positions at Clorox including group vice president responsible for both manufacturing and marketing of household products for Clorox. Before joining Clorox, Mr. Sullivan held various sales management positions with The Procter & Gamble Company and American Express. Mr. Sullivan is also a director of Kimberly-Clark Corporation and Mattel, Inc.

Age: 66

Director since: April 11, 2006

THOMAS H. WEIDEMEYER

Retired. Formerly Senior Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of United Parcel Service, Inc.

Until his retirement in February 2004, Mr. Weidemeyer served as Director, Senior Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of United Parcel Service, Inc., the world s largest transportation company, since January 2001, and President of UPS Airlines since June 1994. Mr. Weidemeyer became Manager of the Americas International Operation in 1989, and in that capacity directed the development of the UPS delivery network throughout Central and South America. In 1990, Mr. Weidemeyer became Vice President and Airline Manager of UPS Airlines and in 1994 was elected its President and Chief Operating Officer. Mr. Weidemeyer became Manager of the Air Group and a member of the Management Committee that same year. In 1998 he was elected as a Director and he became Chief Operating Officer of United Parcel Service, Inc. in 2001. Mr. Weidemeyer is also a director of NRG Energy, Inc. and Waste Management, Inc.

Age: 59

Director since: December 9, 2004

MICHAEL R. WESSEL

President of The Wessel Group Incorporated,

Mr. Wessel has served as President of The Wessel Group Incorporated, a government and political affairs consulting firm, since May 2006. Prior to founding the Wessel Group, Mr. Wessel served as a consultant for the Downey McGrath Group, a government affairs consulting firm, from March 1999 to April 2006. Mr. Wessel is an attorney with almost 30 years experience as a policy and international trade advisor in Washington, D.C. In 1977 as a staff assistant to Richard Gephardt, he advised government officials on a wide range of domestic and international issues, and in 1984 he was named legislative director. In 1989, he became the policy director and in 1991 he was named general counsel for the Congressman. Mr. Wessel also served as a key economic and trade policy advisor for Mr. Gephardt s presidential campaigns in 1987-88 and 2003-04, as well as John Kerry s campaign in 2004. He was a senior policy advisor for the Clinton/Gore Transition Office in 1992 and 1993.

Age: 47

Director since: December 6, 2005

As a result of his responsibilities at Sprint Nextel Corp., where he is Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and President, and in particular due to overlapping Board meeting dates in the future, Mr. Gary D. Forsee, currently a Class I Director, has declined to stand for reelection.

8

RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM (Item 2 on your Proxy)

The Audit Committee of the Board has appointed PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (PwC) as the independent registered public accounting firm to audit Goodyear s consolidated financial statements and its internal control over financial reporting for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2007. During fiscal year 2006, PwC served as Goodyear s independent registered public accounting firm and also provided audit related, tax and other services. See Principal Accountant Fees and Services on page 46. Representatives of PwC are expected to attend the meeting, where they are expected to be available to respond to appropriate questions and, if they desire, to make a statement.

The following resolution will be presented by your Board of Directors at the Annual Meeting:

RESOLVED, that the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as independent accountants for the Company for the year ending December 31, 2007 is hereby ratified.

In the event the appointment of PwC is not ratified by the shareholders, the adverse vote will be deemed to be an indication to the Audit Committee that it should consider selecting other independent accountants for 2008.

SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS Shareholder Proposal #1 (Item 3 on your Proxy)

The proposal set forth below has been submitted by a shareholder.

3 Adopt Simple Majority Vote

RESOLVED: Comprehensive Commitment to Adopt Simple Majority Vote. Shareholders recommend that our Board take each step necessary for adoption of a simple majority vote to apply to the greatest extent possible. This includes using all means in our Board s power such as corresponding special company solicitations and one-on-one management contacts with major shareholders to obtain the majority vote required for formal adoption of this proposal topic.

This proposal is not intended to unnecessarily limit our Board s judgment in crafting the requested change to the fullest extent feasible in accordance with applicable laws and existing governance documents.

Victor Rossi, P.O. Box 249, Boonville, CA 95415 sponsors this proposal.

This topic won our 73% yes-vote at our 2006 annual meeting. At least one proxy advisory service has recommended a no-vote for directors who do not adopt a shareholder proposal after it wins one majority vote. This topic also won a 67% yes-vote average at 19 major companies in 2006. The Council of Institutional Investors www.cii.org formally recommends adoption of this proposal topic.

Our current rule allows a small minority to frustrate the will of our shareholder majority. For example, in requiring a 67% vote to make certain key governance changes at our company, if 66% vote yes and only 1% vote no only 1% could force their will on our overwhelming 66% majority.

It is important to take one step forward and support this proposal since our 2006 governance standards were not impeccable. For instance in 2006, it was reported (and certain concerns are noted):

Goodyear funded a \$1 million director gift plan that could impact our directors independence.

No shareholder right to Act by Written Consent.

No director stock ownership requirement.

No independent chairman.

Our lead director was age 72 and may not be optimally independent due to his long tenure.

Two directors had long tenure of 14 to 21 years each which may negatively impact objectivity or independence.

Two directors may be overcommitted with more than four (4) board seats each.

The above status shows there is room for improvement and reinforces the reason to take one step forward now and vote yes for simple majority vote.

Adopt Simple Majority Vote Yes on 3

9

STATEMENT OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OPPOSING SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL #1 ITEM 3

Your Board of Directors recommends a vote **against** this shareholder proposal for the following reasons:

The proposal is confusing because it is unclear whether simple majority vote refers to a majority of the shares outstanding or a majority of votes cast. If the proponent intends the latter, then the Company would be unable to change the voting requirements with respect to the two matters described below as the minimum vote required under Ohio law for amending either the Articles of Incorporation or Code of Regulations is a majority of the shares outstanding.

Almost all shareholder votes at Goodyear are already determined by a majority vote of the outstanding shares. Under Goodyear's Articles of Incorporation and Code of Regulations there are two actions which require a vote of more than a majority of the outstanding shares: an amendment to the Articles of Incorporation and the removal of a Director. Each requires a two-thirds vote of the outstanding shares. Amending either of these provisions to reduce the voting threshold to a majority of the outstanding shares would require the affirmative vote of two-thirds of the outstanding shares. However, the Board believes that it is still appropriate to require a two-thirds vote for these two matters and therefore opposes this proposal.

Assuming that simple majority votes refers to a majority of the shares outstanding, the Board believes that any concerns regarding the two-thirds requirement for removal of a director have been effectively addressed by the Board's proposal in last year s proxy statement to provide for the annual election of directors. As a result of the passage of that proposal, beginning this year all directors will be elected to one-year terms. Further, given that, under Ohio law, shareholders have the right to vote cumulatively for directors, elimination of the two-thirds removal requirement has the potential to frustrate the rights of a minority of shareholders that may have voted for the election of a particular director. With respect to amendments to the Articles of Incorporation, which in general deal only with the composition of the Company's capital structure—the two-thirds requirement ensures that key corporate decisions, such as last year's proposal to increase the number of authorized shares, have the wide support of shareholders.

The Board also strongly takes issue with the proponent s characterization of the Company s corporate governance practices. Goodyear has an independent, active and effective Board of Directors committed to the highest quality corporate governance. In addition to continually updating its Corporate Governance Guidelines, committee charters and Board practices, the Board has enacted many governance enhancements. For example, in recent years, the Board of Directors has responded to shareholder concerns by proposing to amend our Code of Regulations to provide for the annual election of directors, effected an early termination of Goodyear s poison pill and adopted a formal policy to address shareholder proposals that receive a majority of the votes cast. Finally, the Board notes that each Director makes a significant contribution regardless of the length of their tenure and other responsibilities. Moreover, each year the Governance Committee conducts an evaluation of the full Board in order to ensure its effectiveness and improve its performance.

In sum, the Board believes that Goodyear s few supermajority voting requirements promote the Board s longstanding goal of providing effective governance and value protection for the long-term benefit of shareholders.

Your Board of Directors recommends that shareholders vote AGAINST the adoption of Shareholder Proposal #1 (Proxy Item 3).

Shareholder Proposal #2 (Item 4 on your Proxy)

The proposal set forth below has been submitted by a shareholder.

Pay-for-Superior-Performance Proposal

RESOLVED: That the shareholders of The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company (Company) request that the Board of Director s Executive Compensation Committee establish a pay-for-superior-performance standard in the Company s executive compensation plan for senior executives (Plan), by incorporating the following principles into the Plan:

1. The annual incentive or bonus component of the Plan should utilize defined financial performance criteria that can be benchmarked against a disclosed peer group of companies, and provide that an annual bonus is

10

awarded only when the Company s performance exceeds its peers median or mean performance on the selected financial criteria:

- 2. The long-term compensation component of the Plan should utilize defined financial and/or stock price performance criteria that can be benchmarked against a disclosed peer group of companies. Options, restricted shares, or other equity or non-equity compensation used in the Plan should be structured so that compensation is received only when the Company s performance exceeds its peers median or mean performance on the selected financial and stock price performance criteria; and
- 3. Plan disclosure should be sufficient to allow shareholders to determine and monitor the pay and performance correlation established in the Plan.

SUPPORTING STATEMENT

We feel it is imperative that compensation plans for senior executives be designed and implemented to promote long-term corporate value. A critical design feature of a well-conceived executive compensation plan is a close correlation between the level of pay and the level of corporate performance relative to industry peers. We believe the failure to tie executive compensation to superior corporate performance; that is, performance exceeding peer group performance, has fueled the escalation of executive compensation and detracted from the goal of enhancing long-term corporate value.

We believe the Company s Plan fails to promote the pay-for-superior-performance principle. Our Proposal offers a straightforward solution: The Compensation Committee should establish and disclose financial and stock price performance criteria and set peer group-related performance benchmarks that permit awards or payouts in its annual and long-term incentive compensation plans only when the Company s performance exceeds the median of its peer group. A senior executive compensation plan based on sound pay-for-superior-performance principles will help moderate excessive executive compensation and create competitive compensation incentives that will focus senior executives on building sustainable long-term corporate value.

We urge you to vote FOR this resolution.

STATEMENT OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OPPOSING SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL #2 ITEM 4

Your Board of Directors recommends a vote **against** this shareholder proposal for the following reasons:

Pay-for-performance is already the single most important principle underlying Goodyear's executive compensation system. This emphasis has helped drive strong performance since Mr. Keegan became Chief Executive Officer on January 1, 2003: during the 4-year period from January 1, 2003 through December 31, 2006, total shareholder return on Goodyear's common stock was 208.2%, compared with a total return during the same period of 73.3% for the S&P 500. This performance occurred during a period in which Goodyear faced a number of substantial challenges facing the tire industry generally, such as increasing competition from low-cost manufacturers, manufacturing overcapacity and rising raw material prices. During this period, Goodyear was also faced with several company-specific challenges, such as two significant negotiations with the United Steelworkers on the terms of a master labor agreement covering most of its manufacturing facilities in North America, the implementation of a comprehensive financing strategy, and the need to implement significant cost reductions.

Goodyear s emphasis on pay for performance is reflected in the mix of the components of an executive officer s compensation. Annual base salaries are targeted below median market rates and a significant portion of an executive s compensation is in the form of annual bonuses and long-term incentive awards tied to the performance of the Company. The performance measures for these awards are based primarily on the Company s budgetary process and are usually expressed in terms of attainment of cash flow, EBIT or net income targets. For 2006, approximately 80% of compensation for executive officers was tied to the performance of the Company. (See the Compensation Discussion and Analysis at page 16 for more information about Goodyear s compensation program.)

The Company believes that linking performance goals to its own circumstances and planning process, as opposed to the financial performance of peers, is the most effective way to maximize shareholder value. Since at any point in time peer companies can be in different circumstances from Goodyear or seeking to implement different strategies, linking incentives only to a comparison against peer performance on various measures could have unintended and unwanted consequences. For example, at a time when one or more large peer companies are

facing challenges unique to them, the Company might outperform its peers yet not deliver on its own targets for growth and profitability. The Committee may not want to reward senior executives under such circumstances and believes that the better course is for the Company, under the oversight of the Board, to set the right business goals for itself, and then to align senior executive compensation with performance against those goals.

While the Compensation Committee believes that the primary focus of our incentive criteria should be Company-specific goals, it does factor peer comparisons into its compensation decisions and philosophy. For example, the Compensation Committee generally targets primary compensation levels for executive officers either at median market rate or somewhat above such rate for comparable companies. For these purposes, in 2006 the Compensation Committee determined market rates by considering a number of groups of companies including companies ranked between 60th and 180th on the *Fortune* 500 rankings and 18 peer companies in the industrial sector with annual revenues ranging from \$9 billion to \$37 billion.

The Compensation Committee is composed solely of independent directors and devotes substantial time and attention throughout the year to executive compensation matters, to align compensation with shareholder interests and to further corporate goals and strategy. The independent directors need discretion and flexibility to be able to perform this role effectively. This proposal would put an undue constraint on the independent directors—ability to exercise judgment and would place Goodyear at a competitive disadvantage in the recruitment and retention of key executives.

Your Board of Directors recommends that shareholders vote AGAINST the adoption of Shareholder Proposal #2 (Proxy Item 4).

Shareholder Proposal #3 (Item 5 on your Proxy)

The proposal set forth below has been submitted by a shareholder.

Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan Policy Proposal

BE IT RESOLVED: That the shareholders of The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company (Company) hereby urge that the Board of Director's executive compensation committee establish a policy limiting the benefits provided under the Company's supplemental executive retirement plan (SERP Policy). The SERP Policy should provide for the following: (1) a limitation of covered compensation to a senior executive sannual salary, and (2) the exclusion of all incentive or bonus pay from inclusion in the plan's definition of covered compensation used to establish benefits. The SERP Policy should be implemented in a manner so as not to interfere with existing contractual rights of any supplemental plan participant.

SUPPORTING STATEMENT: We believe that one of the most troubling aspects of the sharp rise in executive compensation is the excessive pension benefits provided to senior corporate executives through the use of supplemental executive retirement plans (SERPs). Our Company has established a SERP, the Excess Benefit Plan. The Excess Benefit Plan provides the Company s chief executive officer (CEO) and other senior executives retirement benefits far greater than those permitted under the Company s tax-qualified pension plan. Our proposal seeks to limit excessive pension benefits by limiting the type of compensation used to calculate pension benefits under the SERP plan(s).

At present, U.S. tax law maintains a \$220,000 limit on the level of compensation used to determine a participant s retirement benefit under a tax-qualified pension plan. Our Company has established a SERP as a complement to its tax-qualified plan in order to provide senior executives increased retirement benefits. This is accomplished by raising the level of compensation used in the pension formula to calculate retirement benefits. The SERP establishes a higher

compensation level on which to calculate senior executives—pension benefits by including the executive—s full salary and annual bonus in the compensation figure. The Company—s 2006 proxy statement indicates that the combined salary and bonus figure was \$4,083,333 for the CEO, approximately 18 times the \$220,000 compensation limit in the Company—s tax-qualified pension plan.

Our position is that the inclusion of an executive s annual bonus along with his or her full salary in the pension calculation is overly generous and unjustifiable. The only type of compensation used in the SERP for establishing the level of additional pension benefits should be an executive s annual salary. No variable incentive pay should be included in a senior executive s pension calculation under the SERP. The inclusion of annual bonus or incentive payments in determining increased pension benefits can dramatically increase the pension benefit afforded senior executives and has the additional undesirable effect of converting one-time incentive compensation into guaranteed lifetime pension income.

The proposal s limitation on the type of compensation that can be considered in determining senior executives retirement benefits to only the executive s salary is a necessary and reasonable restriction on the excessiveness of supplemental retirement benefits. We urge your support for this important executive compensation reform.

STATEMENT OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OPPOSING SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL #3 ITEM 5

Your Board of Directors recommends a vote **against** this shareholder proposal for the following reasons:

Supplemental executive retirement plans, or SERPs, are an important part of executive compensation and are utilized by most large companies, many of which compete with the Company for executive talent. Over 300 of the companies in the Fortune 500 have SERPs as part of their compensation programs. Retirement benefits, including those provided through a SERP, are a critical component of an executive s overall compensation program and are essential to attracting, motivating and retaining talented executives with a history of leadership. Also, retirement benefits are an important factor in an executive s decision to accept or reject a new position. For example, when an executive is recruited to Goodyear, he or she is not able to apply their years of service at their former employer to the Company s Salaried Pension Plan. As a result, in order to attract proven executive leadership, the Compensation Committee believes that a SERP is a necessary component of a competitive retirement package.

The proposal is aimed at limiting what types of pay components may be used to calculate a benefit under the Company s SERP. Under the Company s SERP, the benefit is determined by using a formula based on an executive officer s annual base salary and bonus. At least 73% of companies in the Fortune 500 with SERPs use the same components as the Company does to determine benefits. As a result, the Compensation Committee believes that adoption of the proposal would put the Company at a competitive disadvantage in attracting qualified executives. Moreover, the structure of the Company s executive compensation program would exacerbate this competitive disadvantage. As described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis in this Proxy Statement, the Compensation Committee believes that an executive s base salary should comprise only about 20% of an executive s total compensation and base salaries for the Company s executive officers are generally below median market rates. The remaining 80% of an executive s compensation is linked to the performance of the Company. Annual bonuses are targeted to represent about 20% of total compensation and long-term compensation 60%. Limiting the SERP benefit to a formula based solely on annual base salary would not only represent a departure from market practice, but would also penalize companies, like Goodyear, where annual base salaries are below median market rates. Finally, the components used to calculate benefits under the SERP (salary and bonus) are the same as the components used to calculate the benefit under the Goodyear Salaried Pension Plan, in which substantially all of the Company s U.S. salaried workforce participates.

The Company fully discloses its executive compensation plans and practices, and the Company s SERP has been filed as an exhibit to our Annual Report on Form 10-K. For more information on the operation of the Company s SERP and Salaried Pension Plan, please see Pension Benefits elsewhere in this Proxy Statement.

The Compensation Committee is composed solely of independent directors and devotes substantial time and attention throughout the year to executive compensation matters to align compensation with shareholder interests and to further corporate goals and strategy. As described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the Compensation Committee benchmarks the Company s compensation program against a peer group to ensure that executive compensation and benefits are competitive with the marketplace. We believe that our SERP and other executive retirement benefits are consistent with market practice. This proposal would put an undue constraint on the independent directors—ability to implement and administer what they believe to be an important component of an executive—s overall compensation and would place Goodyear at a competitive disadvantage in the recruitment and

retention of key executives.

Your Board of Directors recommends that shareholders vote AGAINST the adoption of Shareholder Proposal #3 (Proxy Item 5).

OTHER BUSINESS

Your Board of Directors does not intend to bring any other business before the Annual Meeting and is not aware of any other business intended to be presented by any other person.

After the conclusion of the matters described above, shareholders will have an opportunity to ask appropriate questions regarding Goodyear and its operations.

13

If any other matters properly come before the Annual Meeting, your proxy will be voted by Messrs. Kramer, Harvie and Bell in such manner as they, in their discretion, deem appropriate.

BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF COMMON STOCK

The firms identified in the table below have reported that they beneficially owned at December 31, 2006 more than 5% of the outstanding shares of the Common Stock as follows:

| Name and Address | Shares of Common Stock Beneficially | Percent of Common Stock Outstanding Beneficially | |
|-----------------------------------|--|---|--|
| of Beneficial Owner | Owned | Owned | |
| Brandes Investment Partners, L.P. | | | |
| 11988 El Camino Real, Suite 500 | | | |
| San Diego, California 92130 | 23,306,131(1) | 12.9% | |
| Impala Asset Management LLC | | | |
| 134 Main Street | | | |
| New Canaan, Connecticut 06840 | 10,729,094(2) | 5.9% | |
| LSV Asset Management | | | |
| 1 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 4000 | | | |
| Chicago, Illinois 60606 | 9,415,439(3) | 5.2% | |

Notes:

- (1) Shared dispositive power in respect of 23,306,131 shares and shared voting power in respect of 17,947,230 shares, as stated in a Schedule 13G filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 14, 2007.
- (2) Shared voting and dispositive power in respect of 10,729,094 shares, as stated in a Schedule 13G filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 14, 2007.
- (3) Sole voting and dispositive power in respect of 9,415,439 shares, as stated in a Schedule 13G filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 12, 2007.

In addition, The Northern Trust Company, 50 South LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illinois 60675, has indicated that at the record date it held 22,115,386 shares, or approximately 12.2% of the outstanding shares, of Common Stock, including 9,538,740 shares, or approximately 5.3% of the outstanding shares, of Common Stock held as the trustee of various employee savings plans sponsored by Goodyear and certain subsidiaries.

On January 31, 2007, each director and nominee, each person named in the Summary Compensation Table on page 25, and all directors and executive officers as a group, beneficially owned the number of shares of Common Stock set forth in the Beneficial Ownership of Directors and Management table below.

BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF DIRECTORS AND MANAGEMENT

| D C -! - 1 | O1 | . • 4 T | 21 | 2007 (1) | |
|------------|-----------|-------------|----------|---------------------------|--|
| Beneficial | Uwnersr | iin at iani | iarv 🕦 . | ZUU / (I) | |
| Denena | O WILLIAM | ութ աւ ցաու | .ui, 01, | = 007 (1) | |

| | beneficial Ownership at January 31, 2007 (1) | | | | |
|--------------------------|--|------------------|--------------------------------------|------------|---------|
| | | Shares of Common | Shares of Common Stock Subject | | |
| | Shares of | Stock | to | | |
| | Common | Held in | | Deferred | Percent |
| | Stock | Savings | Exercisable | Share | of |
| | Owned | <u> </u> | | Equivalent | |
| Name | Directly (2) | Plan (3) | Options (4) | Units | Class |
| James C. Boland | 3,000 | -0- | -0- | 23,266(11) | * |
| John G. Breen | 200(5) | -0- | -0- | 53,675(11) | * |
| Gary D. Forsee | 1,000 | -0- | -0- | 34,929(11) | * |
| Joseph M. Gingo | 19,344(6) | 1,340 | 102,649 | 2,707(12) | * |
| C. Thomas Harvie | 36,629 | 1,668 | 201,532 | -0- | * |
| William J. Hudson, Jr. | 5,000 | -0- | -0- | 42,346(11) | * |
| Robert J. Keegan | 186,015(7) | 673 | 818,157 | -0- | * |
| Richard J. Kramer | 40,991(8) | 324 | 134,953 | 455(12) | * |
| Steven A. Minter | 4,580 | -0- | -0- | 36,633(11) | * |
| Denise M. Morrison | 1,100 | -0- | -0- | 9,237(11) | * |
| Rodney O Neal | -0- | -0- | -0- | 15,251(11) | * |
| Shirley D. Peterson | -0- | -0- | -0- | 13,348(11) | * |
| Jonathan D. Rich | 40,589(9) | 5,967 | 102,738 | 49,936(12) | * |
| G. Craig Sullivan | 5,000 | -0- | -0- | 2,293(11) | * |
| Thomas H. Weidemeyer | 1,000 | -0- | -0- | 10,551(11) | * |
| Michael R. Wessel | -0- | -0- | -0- | 5,497(11) | * |
| All directors, the Named | | | | | |
| Officers and all other | | | | | |
| executive officers as a | | | | | |
| group (30 persons) | 498,638(10) | 28,319 | 2,282,826 | 344,631 | 1.5 |
| * Less than 1% | | | | | |

Notes:

- (1) The number of shares indicated as beneficially owned by each of the directors and named executive officers, and the 2,809,783 shares of Common Stock indicated as beneficially owned by all directors and officers as a group, and the percentage of Common Stock outstanding beneficially owned by each person and the group, has been determined in accordance with Rule 13d-3(d)(1) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
- (2) Unless otherwise indicated in a subsequent note, each person named and each member of the group has sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares of Common Stock shown.
- (3) Shares held in trust under Goodyear s Employee Savings Plan for Salaried Employees.
- (4) Shares which may be acquired upon the exercise of options which are exercisable prior to April 1, 2007.

- (5) Shares acquired by Mr. Breen pursuant to Goodyear s 1994 Restricted Stock Award Plan for Non-employee Directors, which shares are subject to certain restrictions.
- (6) Includes 2,284 shares owned by his spouse.
- (7) Includes 13,000 shares owned by his spouse.
- (8) Includes 10,000 shares acquired under a Restricted Stock Purchase Agreement, which shares are subject to the Company s repurchase option and certain restrictions on transfer.
- (9) Includes 1,000 shares owned jointly by Mr. Rich and his spouse.
- (10) Includes 479,289 shares owned of record and beneficially or owned beneficially through a nominee, and 19,349 shares held by or jointly with family members of certain directors and executive officers.
- (11) Deferred units, each equivalent to a hypothetical share of Common Stock, accrued to accounts of the director under Goodyear s Outside Directors Equity Participation Plan, payable in cash following retirement from the Board of Directors. See Director Compensation at page 44.
- (12) Units, each equivalent to a hypothetical share of Common Stock, deferred pursuant to performance awards earned and receivable in cash, shares of Common Stock, or any combination thereof, at the election of the executive officer.

COMPENSATION OF EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND DIRECTORS

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Compensation Philosophy

The key objectives of our executive compensation program are to:

attract and retain qualified and experienced executive officers and other key personnel,

motivate executives and other key personnel to attain appropriate short-term and long-term performance goals and manage the company for sustained long-term growth, and

align executives interests with those of our stockholders.

To help us achieve these objectives, we strive to offer our executive officers compensation and benefits that are attractive and competitive in the marketplace for talent. The key components of compensation provided to our executive officers are:

annual salaries.

annual cash bonuses based on performance measured against specific goals and individual performance,

long-term compensation in the form of

stock options tied to the growth in the Company s stock price from the date of grant,

performance shares tied to the achievement of specific financial objectives during a three-year performance period and the growth in the Company s stock price, and

cash awards under a long-term incentive plan tied to achieving the same financial objectives used to determine performance share awards,

retirement benefits, and

perquisites.

Over the past two years, the market value of our common stock has risen and made stock-based compensation a more viable alternative than in prior years. As a result, our mix of compensation has evolved and reflects a greater emphasis on grants of performance shares and a corresponding decrease in long-term cash-based incentives and stock options. Consistent with general market practice, the Compensation Committee believes that base salary should comprise approximately 20% of the aggregate compensation represented by salary, annual cash bonus, and long-term compensation (such elements referred to collectively herein as primary compensation). The remaining approximately 80% of primary compensation is a mix of annual cash bonus, stock options, performance shares (paid half in cash and half in stock), and long-term cash-based incentive awards.

We generally target base salaries below median market rates, as required by our master labor agreement (the USW Agreement) with the United Steelworkers (the USW), and we target performance-based and equity compensation at

rates that are either at the median market rate or somewhat above such rate. We generally emphasize compensation that can vary based on annual, long-term and stock price performance, over fixed compensation elements. As a result, the total amount of primary compensation is targeted either at median market rate or somewhat above such rate for comparable companies. This approach provides an opportunity for compensation in excess of market median rates through superior performance. At the same time, executives can earn less than market median rates for performance that is less than acceptable and/or due to declines in our stock price.

We are guided by the following core principles in establishing compensation for our executives, including the Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and the other executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table (the named executive officers):

First, compensation programs should motivate our executives to take actions that are best for our long-term performance while delivering positive annual results.

Second, as executives move to a greater level of responsibility, the percentage of their pay based on performance should increase.

Third, performance pay should offer an opportunity for above average compensation for above average performance balanced by the risk of below average compensation when our performance does not meet our goals.

Fourth, the percentage of total compensation paid in the form of equity should also increase as executives have increasing responsibility for corporate performance, thereby more completely aligning their interests directly with those of our stockholders.

Compensation Decision-Making

Our Board of Directors (the Board) has delegated to the Compensation Committee of the Board (the Compensation Committee) primary responsibility for establishing and administering our compensation programs for executive officers and other key personnel. The Compensation Committee is composed entirely of independent directors. The Compensation Committee oversees our compensation and benefit plans and policies, administers our stock plans (including reviewing and recommending equity grants to executive officers), and reviews and approves annually all compensation decisions relating to executive officers, including those for the CEO and the other named executive officers.

In performing its duties, the Compensation Committee meets periodically with the CEO to review compensation policies and specific levels of compensation paid to executive officers and other key personnel, and reports and makes recommendations to the Board regarding executive compensation policies and programs. The Compensation Committee informs the other independent directors of the Board regarding its decisions regarding compensation for the CEO and other elected officers.

At least annually, the Compensation Committee reviews our executive compensation practices to determine whether they meet, and are consistent with, the key objectives of our compensation program. In addition, in 2006 members of our Executive Compensation group in our Corporate Human Resources Department made a comprehensive presentation to the full Board on compensation matters, including compensation philosophy, elements and mix of compensation, and our various compensation programs.

Compensation Committee Charter

The Compensation Committee has a charter that it follows in carrying out its responsibilities. It has also developed a set of policies and guidelines that it follows in considering and making decisions. The Compensation Committee reviews its charter and its policies each year, modifying them as it believes desirable. The Compensation Committee s charter is available to stockholders on our website at www.goodyear.com. The Compensation Committee generally adheres to the guidelines and philosophy described above under Compensation Philosophy. However, significant changes in our business or the markets in general, may cause the Compensation Committee to deviate from these guidelines as it deems appropriate. This allows the Compensation Committee to meet its primary objective of attracting, motivating and retaining talented executives and to serve the best interests of the Company and our stockholders.

Role of Employees and Compensation Consultant

Employees within the Executive Compensation group in our Corporate Human Resources Department support the Compensation Committee in its work. Among other things, this support includes providing reports, data and analyses with respect to current and proposed compensation, answering inquiries from members of the Compensation Committee, and preparing documentation with respect to compensation plans and programs.

The CEO meets periodically with the Compensation Committee to review compensation policy and specific levels of compensation paid to executive officers and other key personnel. In addition, the CEO annually makes recommendations to the Compensation Committee regarding salary adjustments and the setting of annual bonus

targets and long-term compensation awards for executive officers, including the other named executive officers.

The Compensation Committee has the authority to retain and terminate outside advisors, including compensation consultants, to assist it in evaluating actual and proposed compensation for our executive officers. The Compensation Committee also has the authority to approve any such consultant s fees and the other terms of such retention. From time to time, the Compensation Committee solicits advice from an outside compensation consultant, Towers Perrin, on executive compensation matters relating to the CEO and other executive officers. This advice has consisted primarily of assistance with benchmarking compensation for senior executives and directors, and advice on current and evolving market practices in the areas of perquisites, change in control benefits, and retiree medical benefits. In 2006, we paid Towers Perrin approximately \$100,000 for these services provided to the Compensation Committee. Towers Perrin did not attend any meetings of the Compensation Committee in 2006, but did meet with the Chairman of the Compensation Committee.

Towers Perrin provides other advice and consulting services to us from time to time, consisting mainly of consulting services regarding expensing methodologies under Statement of Financial Standards No. 123(R) (SFAS 123(R)). In 2006 we paid Towers Perrin approximately \$18,000 in respect of such services, which services were provided in 2005. Towers Perrin did not provide any such services to us in 2006.

Benchmarking of Primary Compensation

As noted above, the Compensation Committee generally targets primary compensation levels for named executive officers at median market rates. For these purposes, the Compensation Committee has determined market rates by considering three sources:

a group of companies ranked between 60th and 180th on the *Fortune* 500 rankings (in the most recent ranking, this represented a range of annual revenues from \$11.9 billion to \$29.3 billion, with Goodyear s annual projected revenues representing the median of such group);

18 peer companies with annual revenues ranging from \$9 billion to \$37 billion and median revenues of \$14 billion; and

compensation surveys published by five national human resources consulting firms.

The 18-member peer group noted above consists of: United Technologies, Caterpillar, Johnson Controls, Honeywell, 3M, Deere & Co, Visteon, Lear, Emerson Electric, Whirlpool, Illinois Tool Works, Paccar, Dana, Delphi, Textron, Inc., Eaton, PPG Industries, and Arvinmeritor. This peer group has been used because its membership reflects alignment with the nature of our business, workforce and global complexity. The Compensation Committee intends to review the composition of this peer group in 2007 with a view toward considering the inclusion of consumer products companies as Goodyear continues to execute on its strategy of positioning itself as a market-driven, consumer-focused company rather than a typical auto supplier. The Compensation Committee may also make changes in the peer group from time to time based on the criteria described above or other relevant factors.

Data with respect to comparable elements of primary compensation is compiled for the groups of companies described above from available sources, including, in most cases, the most recently available annual proxy statements containing executive compensation data.

Tax Deductibility of Pay

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code (the Code) provides that compensation paid to a public company s chief executive officer and its four other highest paid executive officers in excess of \$1 million is not deductible unless certain procedural requirements have been satisfied. The Compensation Committee believes that awards under our 2002 and 2005 Performance Plans qualify for full deductibility under Section 162(m).

Although compensation paid under two of our plans, the Executive Performance Plan and the Performance Recognition Plan is performance-based, it does not qualify for the deductibility exception for performance-based compensation and is subject to the Section 162(m) limitation on deductibility. As discussed in greater detail below, in light of our financial condition and capital structure in recent years, the Compensation Committee believes it is in our best interests and our stockholders best interests to retain flexibility in awarding incentive compensation under the Executive Performance Plan and the Performance Recognition Plan that does not qualify for the exception for performance-based compensation. The Compensation Committee will continue to review and evaluate, as necessary, the impact of Section 162(m) on our executive compensation programs.

Stockholding Guidelines

To better link the interests of management and stockholders, the Board, upon the recommendation of the Compensation Committee, adopted stockholding guidelines for our executive officers effective January 1, 2006. These guidelines specify a number of shares that our executive officers must accumulate and hold within five years of the later of the effective date of the program or the date of appointment as an officer. The specific share requirements are based on a multiple of annual base salary ranging from one to five times, with the higher multiples applicable to executive officers having the highest levels of responsibility. Amounts invested in the Goodyear stock fund of the Goodyear Employee Savings Plan, share equivalent units in the company s deferred compensation plan, restricted stock, and stock owned outright by executive officers (or their spouses) are counted as ownership in assessing compliance with the guidelines. Unexercised stock options and unearned performance shares are not counted toward compliance with the guidelines. We do not have any policies or strategies to hedge any economic risk associated with these stockholding guidelines.

Elements of Compensation

In addition to primary compensation (base salary, annual cash bonuses, stock option, performance shares, and cash-based long-term incentive awards), we provide executive officers with certain other compensation and benefits. These other arrangements include pension and post-termination benefits, deferred compensation arrangements, and a limited amount of perquisites, as well as other employee benefits generally available to all employees on a non-discriminatory basis. Each of these elements is described in more detail in the sections that follow. For more information regarding the Committee s 2006 compensation decisions please see 2006 Salary Decisions, 2006 Bonus Payouts Under Performance Recognition Plan, 2006 Grants and Payouts under the Executive Performance Plan, 2006 Performance Share Grants, and 2006 Stock Option Grants elsewhere in this Proxy Statement.

Annual Compensation

Base Salaries

We provide base salaries to recognize the skills, competencies, experience, and individual performance each named executive officer brings to his position. We target base salaries below median market rates, as required by the USW Agreement, and place correspondingly greater emphasis on performance-based incentive and equity compensation. Salary guidelines for each named executive officer—s position are based primarily on market data that we derive through our benchmarking practices, as described above. We also develop salary guidelines from compensation surveys using regression analysis based on revenues of the surveyed companies. In addition to data derived from such surveys, the Compensation Committee reviews general surveys prepared by national human resource consulting firms indicating past, present and projected salary structures and annual increases for executive positions. The Compensation Committee also considers the CEO—s recommendations, which are based in substantial part on the guidelines described above as well as on certain subjective factors, including the CEO—s evaluation of the performance of each named executive officer against objectives established at the start of each year, their current and future responsibilities, our recent financial performance, retention considerations and general economic and competitive conditions.

Annual Cash Bonuses Performance Recognition Plan

The Performance Recognition Plan provides annual cash-based incentives for approximately 700 participants, including all named executive officers. Awards under the Performance Recognition Plan are designed to emphasize important short-term operating and tactical objectives that executives can influence and which help create long-term value as well as providing balance to the long-term elements of our compensation program. Awards generally have the following characteristics:

an individual s target bonus level for the award is set annually, as a percentage of base salary, at rates slightly above market median levels to make up for the shortfall in targeted base salaries and to provide the opportunity to earn overall annual compensation at market median levels;

the level of funding of the annual bonus pool is based on the level of achievement of two financial performance criteria (linked to overall company and/or operating unit results), adjusted for extraordinary items and other relevant factors as recommended by the CEO and approved by the Compensation Committee;

the amount of individual payouts for executives can range from 0% to 200% of the executive s target bonus, based on the executive s performance during the year against individual objectives; and

the total payout for all participants may not exceed the bonus pool.

Annual bonus target levels for each position, as a percentage of annual salary, are based primarily on market data which we derive by benchmarking against a subset of *Fortune* 500 companies and a smaller peer group, as described above. In addition to data derived from such studies, the Compensation Committee reviews general surveys prepared by national human resource consulting firms indicating past, present and projected bonus structures for executive positions. The Compensation Committee also considers the CEO s recommendations, which are based on substantially the same considerations described above under Base Salaries .

Each financial performance criteria has a target level as well as a minimum and maximum level, which are determined based on the perceived difficulty of the established targets and actual results for those financial measures in prior years. For corporate officers, funding of the bonus pool available for payouts is based on overall company results with respect to the two financial performance criteria. Funding of the bonus pool for officers of our six operating units is based 60% on that operating unit s results and 40% on overall company results. In this

manner, we believe our executives are held most accountable for financial results in the areas where they have the most control and influence.

In determining the funding of the bonus pool available for payouts, the Compensation Committee first compares actual results with the target performance level for the two financial performance criteria. This comparison is done for the company overall, and for each operating unit. These results are referred to as the actual results. Then, the Committee considers and takes into account the following three factors to determine whether the actual results should be adjusted:

non-recurring restructuring charges are considered for exclusion, consistent with past practice, because the Committee believes senior managers should be encouraged to make decisions with long-term benefits to the Company without being concerned about the impact on their incentive compensation;

the effects of significant one-time, unanticipated, non-operating or extraordinary events are considered for exclusion, consistent with past practice, because the effect of such events would generally not have been reflected in the performance targets; and

qualitative factors that might call for adjustment of the actual results are considered upon the recommendation of the CEO based on his overall assessment of our business and performance.

The size of the overall bonus pool thus depends on the actual results and the extent to which the company-wide and operating unit performance criteria are achieved, as adjusted to reflect the factors described above. In 2002, 2003, 2004, and 2005, the Compensation Committee established bonus payment pools of 46%, 72%, 188%, and 162%, respectively, of the aggregate target bonus level for such years.

After the size of the bonus pool has been determined as described above, the amount of the payout for each named executive officer is determined. In this process, the officer is target bonus amount is first multiplied by the same fraction used to determine the aggregate bonus amount to fund the bonus pool applicable to such officer. (For example, if the bonus pool applicable to such officer is funded at 150% of the aggregate target bonus amount, the officer is individual payout would initially be set at 150% of his individual bonus target.) Then, the CEO assesses the officer is individual payout amounts to the Compensation Committee, which reviews and approves the final payouts. The Compensation Committee undertakes the same process for the CEO and makes the determination as to final payout amount for the CEO. Participants can earn between 0% and 200% of their target bonus, but the total payout for all participants may not exceed the aggregate bonus pool.

To illustrate how the Performance Recognition Plan works, assume an award with a target level of \$50,000. If the company-wide and operating unit performance criteria are attained in an amount equal to 150% of their target amounts, the amount contributed to the overall bonus pool in respect of this award is \$75,000 (*i.e.* 150% of \$50,000). However, the individual having this award would be eligible to receive a payout between \$0 and \$100,000 (*i.e.* 200% of the target level), depending on the individual s own performance and contribution to company goals.

Awards are generally paid in cash. However, named executive officers may elect to defer all or a portion of their award in the form of cash or stock units. If deferred in the form of stock units, we will match 20% of the deferred amount with additional stock units. The stock units are converted to shares of Goodyear common stock and paid to the participant on the first business day of the third year following the end of the plan year under which the award was earned. See Executive Deferred Compensation Plan below.

Long-Term Compensation

A significant portion of primary compensation for each named executive officer is comprised of long-term compensation, which encompasses grants of stock options and performance shares under our 2002 and 2005 Performance Plans (collectively, the Performance Plans) and long-term cash-based incentive awards under our Executive Performance Plan. Long-term performance-based compensation is generally designed to represent approximately 60% of the annual primary compensation of named executive officers, assuming achievement of target levels. This is consistent with our emphasis on long-term compensation which better ties the executive s compensation to changes over time in the price of our common stock. The mix of long-term compensation between stock option grants, performance share grants, and cash-based long-term incentives was based, in part, on the number of shares available for grant under the Performance Plans, as well as considerations relating to managing the dilutive effect of share-based awards.

The amount and terms of grants to named executive officers under the Performance Plans and the Executive Performance Plan are based on criteria established by the Compensation Committee and typically include

responsibility level, base salary level, current market price, officer performance, recent Goodyear performance, and, with respect to the Performance Plans, the number of shares available under the plan. As discussed above under Compensation Philosophy, the Compensation Committee makes grants under these plans with the objective of providing a target primary compensation opportunity equal to the median market rates.

Cash-Based Awards Under the Executive Performance Plan

The Executive Performance Plan provides long-term incentive compensation opportunities in order to attract, retain and reward key personnel and to motivate key personnel to achieve our long-term business objectives. This plan was originally established, in 2003, to address the limitations of providing compensation through our Performance Plans resulting from the relatively low market price of our common stock at the time, such as the potentially dilutive impact of stock grants in the quantity that would have been necessary to provide meaningful incentive compensation (which would have required more shares than were then available under the 2002 Performance Plan). As a result, the Compensation Committee determined that a cash-based plan was the most appropriate tool for providing retention and performance incentives.

The Compensation Committee generally makes Executive Performance Plan grants at its first meeting following completion of the prior fiscal year (typically in February). Awards of units under the Executive Performance Plan generally have the following characteristics:

the target value is \$100 per unit;

the payout amount is based on results over a three-year period as compared with performance goals set at the start of the three-year period; and

the payout amount can range from \$0 per unit to \$200 per unit based on actual results (and assuming the recipient remains continuously employed by us through the performance period).

The number of target units awarded annually to each named executive officer is based on a number of considerations, including market data about competitive long-term compensation and the CEO s recommendations. In determining target awards, the CEO takes into consideration certain subjective factors, including the CEO s evaluation of the performance of each named executive officer, our recent performance, retention considerations and general economic and competitive conditions.

Performance criteria for grants made for the 2004-2006 performance period were cumulative net income and cumulative cash flow, each weighted equally. Results were based entirely on our consolidated performance, with no award tied to an executive s business unit or individual performance. In this manner, the plan emphasizes long-term consolidated financial results, balances measurement under our annual bonus plan and reinforces the need for teamwork among executives. Net income was used as a measure to focus on bottom line improvement. Cash flow focused on our efforts to manage the cash requirements associated with our business, including our debt, pension and OPEB obligations and our efforts improve our capital structure.

The performance criteria for grants made for the 2005-2007 and 2006-2008 performance periods were cumulative net income and cumulative cash flow, net of debt, each weighted equally. While the cash flow target focuses on our efforts to manage our cash requirements as described above, adjusting for net debt provides incentive for reduction of our obligations, including our debt and pension obligations. As a result, the amount of debt that is netted out is equal to the amount of total debt on the consolidated balance sheet plus expected domestic pension funding for the next three fiscal years, less cash on the consolidated balance sheet.

172,900 units were granted under the Executive Performance Plan for the performance period 2004-2006. All of these grants included a guaranteed minimum payment of either \$25 or \$50 per unit. This guaranteed minimum payment feature was included as a retention tool to help keep the senior executive team together during the anticipated turnaround period for the company. 180,500 units were granted in respect of the 2005-2007 performance period and 167,590 units were granted in respect of the 2006-2008 performance period. Grants for the performance periods 2005-2007 and 2006-2008 do not carry any guaranteed minimum payment.

In 2006, in order to more closely align executive compensation to the performance of our common stock and to better manage concerns about stockholder dilution, and in response to new accounting rules with respect to stock options under SFAS 123(R), we introduced performance shares as a new component of long-term compensation for named executive officers and other key personnel.

Performance shares are granted under the Performance Plans and generally have the following terms:

vesting is based on results over a three-year period as compared with performance goals set at the start of the three-year period; and

once vested, shares are paid 50% in cash (based on the market value of our common stock on the vesting date) and 50% in stock.

The number of performance shares awarded annually to each named executive officer, measured by the percentage of total long-term compensation represented by such shares, is based on a number of considerations, including market data about comparable long-term cash-based incentive compensation and the CEO s recommendations, which are based in part on certain subjective factors, including the CEO s evaluation of the performance of each named executive officer, our recent performance, retention considerations and general economic and competitive conditions. Historically, each named executive officer has received performance shares equivalent in value to approximately 10% of the value of his grant that year under the Executive Performance Plan.

Stock Options

The Compensation Committee annually grants stock options to named executive officers and other key employees to link executives to results earned by shareholders and build executive ownership. Through 2005, we made annual grants at the end of our fourth fiscal quarter. In February 2006, we moved the grant date, beginning with the 2007 annual grant, to the first Compensation Committee meeting following the end of the fiscal year (usually in February) to better match the grant to annual fiscal year performance. As a result, there was no annual grant during 2006. The Compensation Committee believes that annual grants of stock options provide additional long-term incentives to improve our future performance. In addition to annual grants, we make stock option grants to new hires and for special employee recognition throughout the year.

Stock options are granted under the Performance Plans and generally have the following terms:

options vest in equal, annual installments over a 4-year period; and

the exercise price is equal to the market value of our common stock on the date of grant, with the market value determined by averaging the high and low prices of our common stock on that date.

In addition, each non-qualified stock option includes the right to the automatic grant of a new option (a reinvestment option) for that number of shares tendered in the exercise of the original stock option. The reinvestment option will be granted on, and will have an exercise price equal to the market value of our common stock on the date of exercise. Reinvestment options are generally subject to the same terms and conditions as the original stock option but do not include the right for a further reinvestment option. All reinvestment options vest one year from the date of grant.

The amount of stock options to be awarded each year is determined based on the number of available options under the Performance Plans, as well as market data on long term-compensation. We use a Black-Scholes valuation model to determine the number of stock options needed to provide the desired value consistent with median market compensation.

Pension Benefits

We provide named executive officers with pension benefits pursuant to both a qualified pension plan, the Goodyear Salaried Pension Plan (the Salaried Plan), and a partially funded, non-qualified plan, the Goodyear Supplementary Pension Plan (the Supplementary Plan). For more information regarding the terms of these plans and the named executive officers accrued benefits under these plans, see the table captioned Pension Benefits and the accompanying narrative elsewhere in this Proxy Statement.

The Salaried Plan is designed to provide tax-qualified pension benefits for most Goodyear employees. All of the named executive officers participate in the Salaried Plan along with other Goodyear employees. The Supplementary Plan provides additional pension benefits to executive officers and certain other key individuals identified by the Compensation Committee. The Supplementary Plan provides pension benefits to participants who retire with at least 30 years of service or retire after age 55 with ten years of service. However, benefits payable under the Supplementary Plan are offset by the amount of any benefits payable under the Salaried Plan and certain prior employer pension plans. The Committee believes supplemental executive retirement plans such as the Supplementary Plan are an important part of executive compensation and are utilized by most large companies, many of which compete with the Company for executive talent. Retirement benefits, including those provided through a supplemental executive retirement plan, are a critical component of an executive s overall compensation program and are essential to attracting, motivating and retaining talented executives with a history of leadership. Retirement benefits are an important factor in an executive s decision to accept or reject a new position.

We also maintain a non-qualified unfunded Excess Benefit Plan that pays an additional pension benefit over that paid under the Salaried Plan if a participant does not meet the eligibility requirements of the Supplementary Plan.

The additional benefit is equal to the amount a participant would have received from the Salaried Plan but does not because of the limitations imposed by the Code on pension benefits under qualified plans. This plan is provided to allow the continuation of benefits from the qualified plan to individuals whose income exceeds the Code guidelines for qualified plans.

Severance and Change-in-Control Benefits

We provide for the payment of severance benefits to our named executive officers upon certain types of terminations of employment. The Goodyear Employee Severance Plan (the Severance Plan) provides certain severance benefits to our employees and employees of our domestic subsidiaries who participate in the Salaried Plan. For additional information regarding the terms of the Severance Plan and benefits payable under such plan, see Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change-in-Control.

In addition to benefits provided under the Severance Plan, under appropriate circumstances, such as reductions in force or elimination of positions, we may provide severance benefits to executive officers, including the named executive officers, whose employment terminates prior to retirement. In determining whether to provide such benefits and in what amount, we consider all relevant facts and circumstances, including length of service, circumstances of the termination, the executive officer s contributions to company objectives, and other relevant factors. When we provide such benefits, typically the amount of severance is the equivalent of six to 18 months of base salary plus an amount based on the individual s target bonus then in effect over an equivalent period. The severance payment may be paid in a lump sum or in installments. We also may provide limited outplacement and personal financial planning services to eligible executive officers following their termination at the employee s choice. The Compensation Committee reviews and approves any such severance benefits.

In addition, Mr. Keegan s employment agreement provides for the payment of severance compensation if we terminate his employment without cause or if Mr. Keegan terminates his employment for good reason, as such terms are defined in such agreement. For additional information regarding the terms of Mr. Keegan s employment agreement and the severance benefits payable under such agreement, see Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change-in-Control elsewhere in this Proxy Statement. Among other things, Mr. Keegan s employment agreement provides that if Mr. Keegan is subject to any excise taxes resulting from a severance payment in connection with a change in control, he is entitled to receive an additional amount sufficient to cover the amount of any such excise or related taxes.

Perquisites

We provide certain executive officers with certain personal benefits and perquisites, as described below. The Compensation Committee has reviewed and approved the perquisites described below. While the Committee does not consider these perquisites to be a significant component of executive compensation, it recognizes that such perquisites are an important factor in attracting and retaining talented executives.

Home Security Systems. In order to enhance their safety, we pay for the cost of home security systems for a limited number of executive officers. We cover the cost of installation, monitoring and maintenance for these systems.

Use of Company Aircraft. In appropriate circumstances, and only if approved by the CEO, executive officers are permitted to use our company aircraft for personal travel. In these limited circumstances, the executive is also required to reimburse us for such use in an amount determined using the Standard Industry Fare Level.

Tire Program. We offer our executive officers and Board members the opportunity to receive up to two sets of tires per year at our expense. Expenses covered include the cost of tires, mounting, balancing and disposal fees. We also provide reimbursement for the taxes on the income associated with this benefit.

Financial Planning and Tax Preparation Services. We offer financial assistance to our executive officers to help them cover the cost of financial planning and tax preparation services. In providing this benefit, we seek to alleviate our executives—concern regarding personal financial planning so that they may devote their full attention to company business. The maximum annual cost to the company under this program is \$9,000 per officer.

Club Memberships. We pay the annual dues for one club membership for a limited number of executive officers. The membership is intended to be used primarily for business purposes, although executive officers may use the club for personal purposes. Executive officers are required to pay all costs related to their personal use of the club.

Annual Physical Exams. Our executive officers may undergo an annual comprehensive physical examination for which we pay any amount that is not covered by insurance.

Executive Deferred Compensation Plan

The Goodyear Executive Deferred Compensation Plan (the Deferred Compensation Plan) is a non-qualified deferred compensation plan that provides named executive officers and other highly compensated employees the opportunity to defer various forms of compensation. The plan provides several deferral period options. For 2006, Mr. Rich is the only named executive officer who made deferrals under the plan. In 2006, Mr. Rich deferred his 2005 Performance Recognition Plan bonus into Goodyear stock units, and received a 20% premium in stock units as provided in the Deferred Compensation Plan. This premium recognizes the greater risks associated with receiving his bonus payout in stock instead of cash. For participants, this is an investment decision made with dollars earned in the annual bonus program and offers an additional means to save for retirement. There is no premium or guaranteed return associated with the deferral. The stock units will be converted to shares of Goodyear common stock and paid to Mr. Rich on the first business day of the third year following the end of the plan year under which the award was earned.

For additional information regarding the terms of the deferred compensation plan, see Nonqualified Deferred Compensation elsewhere in this Proxy Statement.

Other Benefits

Payments to Overseas Executives. Where warranted, we provide tax equalization payments, housing allowances, and other similar benefits to our executives living overseas to compensate them for the additional costs of their overseas assignments.

Goodyear Employee Savings Plan. The Savings Plan permits eligible employees, including named executive officers, to contribute 1% to 50% of their compensation to their Savings Plan account, subject to an annual contribution ceiling (\$15,000 in 2006). Savings Plan participants who are age 50 or older and contributing at the maximum plan limits or at the annual contribution ceiling are entitled to make catch-up contributions annually up to a specified amount (\$5,000 in 2006). Contributions to the Savings Plan are not included in the current taxable income of the employee pursuant to Section 401(k) of the Code. Employee contributions are invested, at the direction of the participant, in any one or more of the fifteen available funds and/or in mutual funds under a self directed account.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

We have reviewed and discussed the foregoing Compensation Discussion and Analysis with management. Based on our review and discussion with management, we have recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Proxy Statement and in Goodyear s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.

The Compensation Committee

John G. Breen, Chairman William J. Hudson, Jr. G. Craig Sullivan Gary D. Forsee Denise M. Morrison

Notwithstanding anything to the contrary set forth in any of our previous filings under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that incorporate future filings, including this Proxy Statement, in whole or in part, the foregoing Compensation Committee Report shall not be incorporated by reference into any such filings.

Summary Compensation Table

The table below sets forth information regarding the compensation of the CEO and the Chief Financial Officer of Goodyear (the CFO) and the persons who were, at December 31, 2006, the other three most highly compensated executive officers of Goodyear (collectively, the named executive officers) for services in all capacities to Goodyear and its subsidiaries during 2006.

| | Year | Salary (\$) | Bonus (\$)(1) | Stock Awards (\$)(2) | Option Awards (\$)(3) | Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)(4) | Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensatio Earnings (\$)(5) | | n |
|--|------|----------------|------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|--|---|-----------|-------|
| Leegan of the Board, outive Officer | | | | | | | | | |
| ent Kramer Vice President Financial | 2006 | \$ 1,133,333 | \$ 2,244,000 | \$91,191 | \$ 1,949,118 | \$ 8,000,000 | \$ 3,802,099 | \$ 93,377 | \$ 17 |
|). Rich North | 2006 | 507,033 | 667,400 | 59,274 | 379,517 | 2,000,000 | 260,948 | 18,006 | 3 |
| Fire Harvie e President, ounsel and | 2006 | 451,733 | 200,000 | 163,933 | 367,894 | 2,000,000 | 216,409 | 20,629 | 3 |
| Gingo Vice President stems and | 2006 | 453,367 | 411,800 | 33,741 | 349,033 | 1,600,000 | 547,983 | 11,969 | |
| mical Officer | 2006 | 382,000 | 351,000 | 23,102 | 216,240 | 1,200,000 | 1,050,744 | 8,397 | 3 |

- (1) Represents amounts awarded under the Performance Recognition Plan for performance during 2006. For additional information regarding amounts awarded to the named executive officers under the Performance Recognition Plan, see Compensation Discussion and Analysis Elements of Compensation Annual Compensation Annual Cash Bonuses Under the Performance Recognition Plan and 2006 Bonus Payouts Under the Performance Recognition Plan below.
- (2) Represents the amount recognized for financial statement reporting purposes for 2006 in respect of outstanding stock awards in accordance with SFAS 123(R), excluding estimates of forfeitures in the case of awards with

Change in

service-based vesting conditions. The assumptions made in valuing stock awards reported in this column are discussed in Note 1, Accounting Policies under Stock-Based Compensation and Note 12, Stock Compensation Plans to Goodyear s consolidated financial statements included in its annual report for the year ended December 31, 2006. On February 22, 2006, performance share units were granted in the amount of 15,000, 9,750, 6,800, 5,550, 3,800 to Messrs. Keegan, Kramer, Rich, Harvie and Gingo, respectively, with a performance period of January 1, 2006, to December 31, 2008. For additional information regarding such grants, see Compensation Discussion and Analysis Elements of Compensation Long-Term Compensation Performance Shares and Grants of Plan-Based Awards 2006 Performance Share Grants below. For Mr. Rich, also includes 8,323 stock units issued pursuant to the Goodyear Executive Deferred Compensation Plan in an amount equal to 20% of the amount of Mr. Rich s 2005 bonus, which Mr. Rich deferred. See Nonqualified Deferred Compensation.

- (3) Represents the amount recognized for financial statement reporting purposes for 2006 in respect of outstanding option awards in accordance with SFAS 123(R), excluding estimates of forfeitures in the case of awards with service-based vesting conditions. The assumptions made in valuing option awards reported in this column are discussed in Note 1, Accounting Policies under Stock-Based Compensation and Note 12, Stock Compensation Plans to Goodyear s consolidated financial statements included in its annual report for the year ended December 31, 2006. Includes option grants to those named executive officers who reloaded options during 2006. See Grants of Plan-Based Awards below.
- (4) Represents amounts awarded under the Executive Performance Plan in respect of the performance period of January 1, 2004, through December 31, 2006. For additional information regarding such awards, see Compensation Discussion and Analysis Elements of Compensation Long-Term Compensation

Cash-Based Awards Under the Executive Performance Plan and Grants of Plan-Based Awards 2006 Grants and Payouts Under the Executive Performance Plan below.

- (5) Represents change in pension value for each named executive officer. No nonqualified deferred compensation earnings are required to be reported.
- (6) Includes amounts for home security system installation and monitoring expenses, personal financial planning services, personal use of company aircraft, annual dues for club memberships, the cost of annual physical exams, and provision of up to two sets of automobile tires per year. For Mr. Keegan, this includes \$32,760 for home security system installation and monitoring expense, and also includes \$38,162 for premiums on life insurance policies which will be used to cover Goodyear s obligation to make a charitable donation recommended by Mr. Keegan following his death, pursuant to the Director's Charitable Award Program. For more information regarding such program, please see Director Compensation below. The aggregate incremental cost to the company of providing the home security system is equal to the invoice cost of such system and related services, and the aggregate incremental cost of the life insurance policies is the annual premium and related fees. Also includes \$368, \$302, \$786, and \$269 for Messrs. Keegan, Kramer, Rich and Gingo, respectively, which represents reimbursement of taxes in respect of income associated with the company s provision of up to two sets of automobile tires per year.

Employment Agreement

Mr. Keegan s compensation is based, in part, on a written employment agreement entered into in 2000. The agreement provided for an initial salary of \$800,000 and an option to purchase 250,000 shares of restricted stock, the restrictions on which lapsed in 2002. Additionally, the agreement credited Mr. Keegan s previous service at Eastman Kodak Company towards his pension benefits payable by Goodyear. The agreement also established Mr. Keegan s participation in the Performance Recognition Plan as well as our equity-based incentive compensation programs.

Mr. Keegan s agreement was supplemented in 2004 to provide for the payment of severance compensation in the event of certain employment termination events. The severance compensation would consist of (i) two times the sum of Mr. Keegan s annual base salary and target bonus in effect at the time of termination, plus (ii) the pro rata portion of Mr. Keegan s target bonus for the then current fiscal year. The agreement restricts Mr. Keegan from participating in any business that competes with Goodyear for a period of two years after termination. The term of the supplemental agreement expires February 28, 2009.

2006 Salary Decisions

In addition to using the methodologies described above in Compensation Discussion and Analysis Elements of Compensation Annual Compensation Base Salary for setting salary guidelines, in 2006 we compared total compensation levels for our five most highly compensated officers and 17 additional executives against survey data provided by Towers Perrin for approximately 155 U.S. industrial companies with annual revenues of \$10 billion or more. We concluded that the base salaries of our named executive officers who are direct reports to the CEO were, in the aggregate, below the market median, in accordance with the USW Agreement. However, the base salary of the CFO was found to be significantly below the median for his position. Based on the CFO s skills and experience, performance and significant contributions to the success of our operations in 2005, his base salary relative to the market median, and our desire to retain him in this position, in February 2006 the Compensation Committee increased his base salary 14.9% effective May 1, 2006, bringing his base salary within 10% of market median.

In 2006, the overall increase in base salaries for all executive officers, excluding the CEO, was 3.18%. Mr. Keegan, Mr. Rich, Mr. Harvie and Mr. Gingo received increases of 4.5%, 2.2%, 2.4% and 2.4%, respectively. Salaries of the

named executive officers in 2006 were an average of 5% lower than the median indicated by the salary guidelines described above in Compensation Discussion and Analysis Elements of Compensation Annual Compensation Base Salary . Salaries in 2006 averaged approximately 33% of total annual cash compensation paid to the named executive officers.

2006 Bonus Payouts Under Performance Recognition Plan

In 2006, the performance criteria used for bonus awards under the Performance Recognition Plan were as follows:

for corporate officers (including Messrs. Keegan, Kramer, Harvie and Gingo): (i) Goodyear s net sales, less cost of goods sold, selling, administrative and general expenses, and finance charges (adjusted EBIT) and (ii) Goodyear s operating cash flow (primarily cash flow from operations and investing activities, each adjusted for exchange, less the change in restricted cash and dividends paid to minority interests in subsidiaries), both equally weighted at 50% and independent of each other; and

for officers of our six operating units (including Mr. Rich): (i) the operating unit s net sales, less cost of goods sold and selling, administrative and general expenses (EBIT) and (ii) the operating unit s operating cash flow (as defined above), both equally weighted at 50% and independent of each other.

Adjusted EBIT is derived from our audited financial statements by reducing net sales for cost of goods sold, selling, administrative and general expenses, and finance charges, and EBIT is derived from our audited financial statements by reducing net sales for cost of goods sold and selling, administrative and general expenses. The Compensation Committee used Adjusted EBIT for corporate officers, rather than EBIT, to provide an incentive to reduce finance charges, given existing debt levels. Overall, the Compensation Committee believed the financial targets reflected a significant stretch for the Company given the dynamic business environment, rapidly increasing costs of raw materials and the uncertainty with respect to the renewal of the predecessor to the USW Agreement, which expired in 2006.

As described above in Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the Compensation Committee uses a two-step process to determine the level of funding of the bonus pool available for payouts. First, the committee compares actual results with the target performance level for the two financial performance criteria. This comparison is done for the company overall, and for each operating unit. These results are referred to as the actual results. Second, the committee considers and takes into account extraordinary items and other relevant factors to determine whether the actual results should be adjusted.

In February 2007, the Compensation Committee reviewed actual results for 2006 with respect to achievement of the company-wide and operating unit financial performance criteria. In addition, the Compensation Committee considered several extraordinary items and other relevant factors to adjust those actual results, including the following:

consistent with past practices, the committee excluded cash restructuring charges and accelerated depreciation expense (including asset impairment charges related to restructuring activities) related to plant closures announced during 2006;

the committee made certain adjustments related to the impact of the USW strike; and

certain adjustments were made to the operating cash flow results for the Company based on the CEO s and Compensation Committee s overall assessment of the Company s performance and circumstances during 2006.

For overall company results (the performance of which is relevant for determining bonus amounts for Messrs. Keegan, Kramer, Harvie and Gingo), target Adjusted EBIT was \$674 million and actual Adjusted EBIT (adjusted as described above) was \$574 million, or approximately 15% below target, and target operating cash flow was \$0 and actual operating cash flow (adjusted as described above) was \$106 million, or significantly in excess of the target. In reviewing these results, the Compensation Committee also considered the challenges we faced during 2006, including the significant operating challenges posed by the USW strike. In light of the company s Adjusted

EBIT and operating cash flow results and the other factors described above, the Compensation Committee determined to fund the corporate portion of the bonus pool in an amount equal to 132% of the target amount.

The North American Tire unit (the performance of which is relevant for determining Mr. Rich s bonus) failed to meet its EBIT and cash flow targets, even after adjustments were taken into consideration. Nevertheless, the CEO recommended to the Compensation Committee, and the Compensation Committee agreed, that the bonus pool for the North American Tire unit be funded in an amount equal to 50% of the target amount. As noted in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, funding of the bonus pool for officers of our six operating units is based 60% on that operating unit s results and 40% on overall company results. Funding of the North American Tire unit s bonus pool at the 50% level is equal to approximately 40% of the company-wide bonus pool of 132%. In addition,

the decision to fund the bonus pool at this level was based on the CEO s assessment that the North American Tire unit and its employees should be rewarded for their strong efforts during an extremely challenging year and for creating solid business platforms for future growth. During 2006, the North American Tire unit faced severe operating challenges, including a 12-week strike and a decline in overall demand for tire products. The CEO also considered that, despite these challenges, management of the North American Tire unit continued consistently to push its team for strong performance and achieved a number of significant accomplishments, including: (i) retention of customers during the USW strike, (ii) reaching agreement with the USW on the terms of a new master labor agreement that we estimate will result in savings of more than \$600 million through 2009, (iii) taking significant restructuring actions such as announcing the closure of two factories, (iv) improving the market share of the Goodyear brand in the face of an overall decline in the consumer tire market in North America, and (v) maintaining strong dealer relationships and distribution networks during the market slowdown and strike.

The bonus pools for the other operating units were funded, based on those units $\,$ performance compared with targeted performance, in amounts that ranged from 50% to 173% of the target amounts for such units. Overall, the aggregate bonus pool was funded in the amount of \$30,845,100, or 102% of the overall target bonus amount.

The Compensation Committee then reviewed the CEO s assessment of each named executive officer s performance during 2006 and his contribution to the company s results in 2006. With respect to the CEO, the Compensation Committee also considered its own assessment of the CEO s performance during 2006 and his contribution to the company s results in 2006. In particular, the CEO and Compensation Committee considered the extraordinary efforts of a number of the named executive officers during the USW strike as well as their substantial contributions in furthering the Company s strategic initiatives. As a result of these considerations, and in light of the aggregate amount available in the bonus pool, the Compensation Committee approved the following 2006 payout amounts for named executive officers under the Performance Recognition Plan:

| | Target Payout | Payout Range | | | | | | Actual Award | |
|--------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|----|-----------|-------------------------|-----------|------------------------|--|
| Name | as a % of Salary | as a % of Salary | Target Award (\$) | | | Actual Award (\$) | | as a % of Salary | |
| Keegan | 148% | 0%-296% | \$ 1,700,000 | \$ | 3,400,000 | \$ | 2,244,000 | 195% | |
| Kramer | 89% | 0%-178% | 470,000 | | 940,000 | | 667,400 | 126% | |
| Rich | 88% | 0%-176% | 400,000 | | 800,000 | | 200,000 | 44% | |
| Harvie | 63% | 0%-126% | 290,000 | | 580,000 | | 411,800 | 90% | |
| Gingo | 68% | 0%-136% | 260,000 | | 520,000 | | 351,000 | 91% | |

As a group, the named executive officers received payouts at an average of 109% of their target amount. The Performance Recognition Plan payouts represent an average of approximately 132% of total 2006 cash compensation for the named executive officers.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards

The following table summarizes grants of plan-based awards made to the named executive officers during 2006.

| All | |
|-------|-------|
| Other | Grant |

All **Date** Other **Option** Fair Value Stock Awards: Closing of Number Awards: of ExerciseMarket Stock or **Number Securities Base** Price and **Estimated Future Payouts Under Estimated Future Non-Equity Payouts Under Incentive Plan Equity Incentive** of **Price** Plan Awards (2) Awards (1) Shares Underlying of **Option** on of Stock GranThreshold arget Maximum**Options Option Grant Awards** Maximum or

Name Date (\$)