

GREENHILL & CO INC

Form S-1/A

April 28, 2005

As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 28, 2005

Registration No. 333-124082

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO
FORM S-1
REGISTRATION STATEMENT
UNDER
THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

GREENHILL & CO., INC.
(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Delaware
(State or Other Jurisdiction of
Incorporation or Organization)

6199
(Primary Standard Industrial
Classification Code Number)
300 Park Avenue
23rd Floor
New York, New York 10022
(212) 389-1500

51-0500737
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number)

(Address, Including Zip Code, and Telephone Number, Including Area Code, of Registrant's Principal Executive Offices)

JOHN D. LIU
Chief Financial Officer
Greenhill & Co., Inc.
300 Park Avenue
23rd Floor
New York, New York 10022
(212) 389-1500

(Name, Address, Including Zip Code, and Telephone Number, Including Area Code, of Agent For Service)

Copies to:

NICHOLAS A. KRONFELD
Davis Polk & Wardwell
450 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10017
(212) 450-4000

DAVID B. HARMS
Sullivan & Cromwell LLP
125 Broad Street
New York, New York 10004
(212) 558-4000

APPROXIMATE DATE OF COMMENCEMENT OF PROPOSED SALE TO THE PUBLIC: As soon as practicable after the effective date of this Registration Statement.

If any of the securities being registered on this Form are to be offered on a delayed or continuous basis pursuant to Rule 415 under the Securities Act of 1933, check the following box.

If this Form is filed to register additional securities for an offering pursuant to Rule 462(b) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(c) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(d) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

If delivery of the prospectus is expected to be made pursuant to Rule 434, please check the following box.

CALCULATION OF REGISTRATION FEE

Title of Each Class of Securities To Be Registered	Number of Shares to be Registered (1)	Proposed Maximum Offering Price Per Unit (2)	Proposed Maximum Aggregate Offering Price (1)(2)	Amount of Registration Fee (3)
Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share	4,600,000 shares	\$ 35.60	\$ 163,760,000	\$ 19,274.55

(1)Includes shares issuable upon exercise of the underwriters' option to purchase additional shares of common stock.

(2)Estimated solely for the purpose of computing the amount of the registration fee pursuant to Rule 457 under the Securities Act of 1933.

(3)Previously paid.

The Registrant hereby amends this Registration Statement on such date or dates as may be necessary to delay its effective date until the Registrant shall file a further amendment which specifically states that this Registration Statement shall thereafter become effective in accordance with Section 8(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 or until the Registration Statement shall become effective on such date as the Commission, acting pursuant to said Section 8(a), may determine.

The information in this preliminary prospectus is not complete and may be changed. These securities may not be sold until the registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission is effective. This preliminary prospectus is not an offer to sell nor does it seek an offer to buy these securities in any jurisdiction where the offer or sale is not permitted.

Subject to Completion. Dated April 28, 2005.

4,000,000 Shares

Greenhill & Co., Inc.

Common Stock

All of the shares of common stock in the offering are being sold by the selling stockholders identified in this prospectus. Greenhill will not receive any of the proceeds from the sale of the shares being sold by the selling stockholders.

The common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "GHL". The last reported sale price of the common stock on April 27, 2005 was \$33.40 per share.

See "Risk Factors" beginning on page 7 to read about factors you should consider before buying shares of the common stock.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any other regulatory body has approved or disapproved of these securities or passed upon the accuracy or adequacy of this prospectus. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

	Per Share	Total
Public offering price	\$	\$
Underwriting discount	\$	\$
Proceeds, before expenses, to the selling stockholders	\$	\$

To the extent that the underwriters sell more than 4,000,000 shares of common stock, the underwriters have the option to purchase up to an additional 600,000 shares from the selling stockholders at the initial price to the public less the underwriting discount.

Upon completion of this offering, our managing directors and their affiliated entities will collectively own 68.5% of the total shares of common stock outstanding (or 66.5% if the underwriters' option to purchase additional shares is exercised in full).

The underwriters expect to deliver the shares against payment in New York, New York on _____, 2005.

Goldman, Sachs & Co.

UBS Investment Bank
Keefe, Bruyette & Woods

Wachovia Securities

Prospectus dated _____, 2005.

PROSPECTUS SUMMARY

This summary highlights information contained elsewhere in this prospectus. This summary does not contain all of the information you should consider before investing in our common stock. You should read this entire prospectus carefully, especially the risks of investing in our common stock discussed under "Risk Factors" on pages 7 - 13.

Greenhill

We are an independent investment banking firm that (i) provides financial advice on significant mergers, acquisitions, restructurings and similar corporate finance matters and (ii) manages merchant banking funds and commits capital to those funds. Greenhill acts for clients located throughout the world from offices in New York, London, Frankfurt and Dallas.

We were established in 1996 by Robert F. Greenhill, the former President of Morgan Stanley and former Chairman and Chief Executive of Smith Barney. Since our founding, Greenhill has grown steadily, recruiting managing directors from major investment banks and other institutions, with a range of geographic, industry and transaction specialties and different sets of corporate management and other relationships. As part of this expansion, we opened a London office in 1998, raised a merchant banking fund in 2000, opened a Frankfurt office later in 2000 and began offering financial restructuring advice in 2001. On May 11, 2004, we converted from a limited liability company to a corporation, and completed an initial public offering of our common stock. We completed the initial closing of our second merchant banking fund in March of 2005 and opened our Dallas office in April of 2005. We have 28 managing directors and two senior advisors globally.

We have demonstrated strong financial results, producing revenue and earnings growth in a variety of economic and market conditions, including a prolonged period in which global merger and acquisition activity declined significantly. Our revenue grew from \$36.9 million in 1997 (our first full year of operation) to \$151.9 million in 2004, representing a compound annual growth rate of 22%. Our revenue growth rate each year during this period ranged from a decline of 10.3% in 2001 compared to 2000, to an increase of 120.4% in 1999 compared to 1998.

Principal Sources of Revenue

Our principal sources of revenue are financial advisory and merchant banking fund management.

Financial Advisory

We provide a broad range of advice to U.S. and non-U.S. clients in relation to mergers, acquisitions, restructurings and similar corporate finance matters and are generally involved at each stage of these transactions, from initial structuring to final execution. Our focus is on providing high-quality advice to senior executive management and boards of directors of prominent large and mid-cap companies in transactions that typically are of the highest strategic and financial importance to those companies. Financial advisory services accounted for 86% and 96% of our revenues in 2004 and 2003, respectively. Non-U.S. clients are a significant part of our business, generating 46% and 52% of our financial advisory revenues in 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Merchant Banking Fund Management

Our merchant banking fund management activities currently consist primarily of management of Greenhill Capital Partners, or GCP, a family of merchant banking funds that invest in portfolio companies, including the commitment of

capital to these merchant banking funds. Merchant banking funds are private investment funds raised from contributions by qualified institutional investors and financially sophisticated individuals. The funds make substantial, sometimes controlling, investments, generally in non-public companies and typically with a view toward divesting within 3 to 5 years. Our

1

merchant banking activities historically have generated revenue almost entirely from fees earned for our management of GCP funds. In 2003, we started investing our own capital into our first merchant banking fund (or Fund I) in material amounts, in addition to that previously invested by our managing directors and other professionals of Greenhill. In March 2005, we committed \$85 million to our new merchant banking fund, Greenhill Capital Partners II (or Fund II), which we expect will represent approximately 10% of committed capital to Fund II. In addition, our managing directors (including all of our executive officers), senior advisors and other professionals have personally committed a further \$135 million of capital to Greenhill Capital Partners II. We pursue merchant banking fund management activities in addition to our financial advisory activities because: (i) our senior advisory professionals, and those we seek to recruit, are attracted by the opportunity to participate in merchant banking fund management, including the ability to invest in managed funds; and (ii) it allows us to further leverage our managing directors' industry knowledge and client contacts. We believe we can pursue merchant banking opportunities without creating conflicts with our advisory clients by typically focusing on significantly smaller companies than those with respect to which we seek to provide financial advice. Our merchant banking funds typically invest in companies with valuations that are between \$100 million and \$500 million at the time of investment.

Competitive Strengths

- **Independence** – We are an independent firm managed and majority-owned by our managing directors, free of many of the conflicts that can arise at larger, diversified financial institutions.
- **Focus on Advisory Activities** – We are focused on advising clients, particularly large and mid-size corporations, rather than on a broad range of securities businesses. We believe this focus has helped and will continue to help us attract clients and recruit financial advisory professionals who want to work in a firm where their activities are the central focus.
- **Breadth of Advisory Capabilities** – While our origin was as an advisor on mergers and acquisitions, we have acquired considerable experience and capabilities in financial restructuring situations.
- **International Capabilities** – Unlike many small investment banking firms, we have aggressively sought to develop a broad geographic scope rather than focusing on any one particular market. From 2000 through 2004, 52% of our advisory revenues were derived from clients based outside the United States, primarily from the United Kingdom and, to a lesser extent, continental Europe, Latin America and Canada.
- **Experience** – Our 28 managing directors and two senior advisors have an average of 23 years of relevant experience. Prior to joining Greenhill, 24 of those individuals were managing directors at other leading financial advisory firms or occupied comparably senior roles in leading private equity firms, law firms or corporations.
- **Strong Corporate Culture** – While Greenhill is relatively young, we have developed a strong corporate culture. We are united by our desire to build a firm where client advisory activities are at the core, and by our commitment to excellence in those activities. Only two managing directors have departed in more than 8 years, and 14 of the 28 current managing directors have five

years' tenure at Greenhill.

Notwithstanding these competitive strengths, we face a number of competitive challenges, including intense competition from larger firms that have a greater range of products and services and greater financial and other resources than we have and that may pose a threat to our ability to recruit and retain key employees. See "Risk Factors" for a discussion of the factors you should consider before buying shares of our common stock.

2

Strategy

Our strategy is principally to enhance our position as an independent advisor on important merger, acquisition and restructuring transactions, grow our financial advisory business and expand our merchant banking fund management business. We also aim to maintain a balance of activities across geographic regions and to increase the stability of our earnings. Our strategy is heavily dependent on retaining and recruiting managing directors and other senior professionals.

3

SUMMARY CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA

The following summary consolidated financial data should be read in conjunction with, and are qualified by reference to, the disclosures set forth under "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and "Unaudited Pro Forma Consolidated Financial Information" as well as in the consolidated financial statements and their notes.

	For Three Months Ended		For Year Ended December 31,				
	March 31, 2005 (unaudited)	2004	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000
	(in thousands, except percentages)						
Historical							
Revenues							
Financial Advisory	\$ 39,471	\$ 25,537	\$ 130,906	\$ 121,334	\$ 107,455	\$ 95,300	\$ 106,949
Merchant Banking Fund Management & Other (a)	4,457	4,029	20,947	5,345	5,153	4,664	4,527
Total Revenues	43,928	29,566	151,853	126,679	112,608	99,964	111,476
% Change from Prior Period	—	—	20%	12%	13%	(10)%	—
Income Before Tax & Minority Interest (b)	17,288	15,360	63,508	80,661	75,813	34,797	48,524

Edgar Filing: GREENHILL & CO INC - Form S-1/A

Net Income (b), (c)	10,752	10,480	38,316	45,400	57,817	34,984	45,520
Pro Forma (unaudited) (d)							
Pro Forma Income Before Tax							
(e)	—	11,291	57,275	50,749	44,615	36,241	46,655
Pro Forma Net Income (f)	—	6,549	34,327	29,435	25,877	21,020	27,060
% Change from Prior Period	—	—	17%	14%	23%	(22)%	—

(a) Merchant Banking Fund Management & Other includes interest income of \$0.5 million and less than \$0.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively, and \$0.8 million, \$0.4 million, \$0.3 million, \$0.8 million and \$1.1 million in 2004, 2003, 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively.

(b) Prior to our May 2004 initial public offering we were a limited liability company and payments for services rendered by our managing directors were accounted for as distributions of members' capital rather than as compensation expense, except for payments made to managing directors and managing director equivalents of \$2.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and of \$2.9 million, \$5.0 million, \$1.4 million, \$25.5 million and \$27.3 million in 2004, 2003, 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively, which were recorded as compensation expense. As a result, our pre-tax earnings and compensation and benefits expense prior to our initial public offering did not reflect most payments for services rendered by our managing directors. Accordingly, pre-tax earnings in that period understated our operating costs as a corporation. Since the initial public offering, we have included all payments for services rendered by our managing directors in compensation and benefits expense.

(c) Prior to our May 2004 initial public offering, we were a limited liability company and our earnings did not fully reflect the taxes that we pay as a public corporation. Additionally, a portion of our earnings attributable to our European operations was recorded as minority interest during that period.

(d) We believe that the pro forma amounts presented, which increase compensation expense and tax expense to amounts we expect that we would have paid as a corporation during the periods reported and eliminate the minority interest attributable to our European operations, more accurately depict our results as a public company. The amounts for the year ended December 31, 2004 include the pro forma results of operations as if we operated as a public company during the period January 1, 2004 to the date of our public offering combined with the actual results of operations for the period after the public offering. The amounts for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002, 2001 and 2000 reflect pro forma results of operations as if the initial public offering had occurred as of January 1 of each of those periods.

(e) Because we had been a limited liability company prior to the initial public offering, payments for services rendered by our managing directors generally had been accounted for as distributions of members' capital

4

rather than as compensation expense. As a corporation, we include all payments for services rendered by managing directors in compensation and benefits expense.

Compensation and benefits expense, reflecting our conversion to corporate form, consists of cash compensation and non-cash compensation related to restricted stock units awarded to employees. It is our policy that annual total compensation and benefits, including that payable to the managing directors, will not exceed 50% of annual total revenues (although we retain the ability to change this policy in the future). Adjustments to increase compensation expense for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003, 2002 and 2000 of \$4.1 million, \$6.2 million, \$29.9 million, \$31.2 million and \$1.9 million, respectively, and to decrease compensation for the year ended, December 31, 2001 of \$1.4 million have been made to record total compensation and benefits expense at

45% of total revenues, consistent with the percentage of compensation paid in 2004 for the period after the initial public offering. In addition, for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, historical income before tax has been increased by \$4.4 million, \$6.5 million, \$32.2 million and \$17.6 million to reflect the elimination on a pro forma basis of minority interests held by European managing directors in a subsidiary. Prior to 2002, the European managing directors were employees and did not have a minority interest in Greenhill.

(f) As a limited liability company, we were generally not subject to income taxes except in foreign and local jurisdictions. The pro forma provision for income taxes for the year ended December 31, 2004 includes an adjustment of \$4.2 million for assumed federal, foreign, state and local income taxes as if we were a C Corporation for the period January 1, 2004 to the date of the public offering at an assumed effective rate of 42% combined with the actual tax provision for the period after the public offering. For the three months ended March 31, 2004 and the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002, 2001 and 2000, adjustments of \$4.3 million, \$18.3 million, \$18.4 million, \$15.4 million and \$16.6 million, respectively, were made to adjust our effective tax rate to 42%, reflecting assumed federal, foreign, state and local income taxes as if we were a corporation on January 1, 2003, 2002, 2001 and 2000.

Our Headquarters

Our headquarters are located at 300 Park Avenue, New York, New York 10022. Our telephone number is (212) 389-1500.

5

THE OFFERING

Common stock offered by the selling stockholders	4,000,000 shares.
Common stock to be outstanding before and after this offering	30,682,466 shares.
Underwriters' option to purchase additional shares from the selling stockholders	600,000 shares.
Voting rights	One vote per share.
Offering price	\$ _____ per share.
Use of proceeds	We will not receive any proceeds from this offering.
Dividend policy	Dividends declared per common share were \$0.08 per quarter and \$0.16 in the aggregate in 2004, as dividends were only paid in the second half of 2004, following our initial public offering. In January 2005, our Board of Directors declared a quarterly dividend of \$0.10 per share. The dividend was paid on March 15, 2005 to the common stockholders of record on February 15, 2005. In April of 2005, our Board of Directors declared a dividend of \$0.10 per share which is payable on June 15 to shareholders of record as of May 19, 2005. The declaration of this and any other dividends and, if declared, the amount of any such dividend, will be subject to our actual future earnings and

capital requirements and to the discretion of our Board of Directors. For a discussion of the factors that will affect the determination by our Board of Directors to declare dividends, see "Dividend Policy".

New York Stock Exchange symbol GHIL

Except as otherwise indicated, all amounts with respect to the volume, number and market share of mergers and acquisitions transactions and related ranking information included in this prospectus have been derived from information compiled and classified by Thomson Financial.

Unless we specifically state otherwise, the information in this prospectus does not take into account the sale of up to 600,000 shares of common stock which the underwriters have the option to purchase from the selling stockholders.

6

RISK FACTORS

You should carefully consider the following risks and all of the other information set forth in this prospectus before deciding to invest in shares of our common stock. The following risks comprise all the material risks of which we are aware; however, these risks and uncertainties may not be the only ones we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us or that we currently deem immaterial may also impair our business operations. If any of the events or developments described below actually occurred, our business, financial condition or results of operations would likely suffer. In that case, the trading price of our common stock would likely decline, and you could lose all or part of your investment in our common stock.

Our ability to retain our managing directors is critical to the success of our business

The success of our business depends upon the personal reputation, judgment, business generation capabilities and project execution skills of our 30 managing directors and senior advisors, particularly the members of our Management Committee (which consists of Robert F. Greenhill, Scott L. Bok, Simon A. Borrows, Robert H. Niehaus, Timothy M. George, James R. C. Lupton and Colin T. Roy). Founded in 1996, our business has a limited operating history and, as a result, our managing directors' personal reputations and relationships with our clients are a critical element in obtaining and maintaining client engagements, and forming and investing merchant banking funds. Accordingly, the retention of our managing directors is particularly crucial to our future success. The departure or other loss of Mr. Greenhill, our founder, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, or the departure or other loss of any other member of our Management Committee or any other managing director, each of whom manages substantial client relationships and possesses substantial experience and expertise, could materially adversely affect our ability to secure and successfully complete engagements and conduct our merchant banking business, which would materially adversely affect our results of operations.

In addition, if any of our managing directors were to join an existing competitor or form a competing company, some of our clients could choose to use the services of that competitor instead of our services. There is no guarantee that the compensation arrangements, non-competition agreements and lock-up agreements we have entered into with our managing directors are sufficiently broad or effective to prevent our managing directors from resigning to join our competitors or that the non-competition agreements would be upheld if we were to seek to enforce our rights under these agreements. See "Management—Employment, Non-Competition and Pledge Agreements" and "Management—Transfer Rights Agreements".

Our conversion to corporate form may adversely affect our ability to recruit, retain and motivate key employees

Our performance is largely dependent on the talents and efforts of highly skilled individuals. Competition for qualified employees in the financial services industry is intense. Our continued ability to compete effectively in our business depends on our ability to attract new employees and to retain and motivate our existing employees.

In connection with the conversion of Greenhill from a limited liability company to corporate form, our then managing directors and their affiliated entities received 100% of the common stock of Greenhill & Co., Inc. (or 25,000,000 shares) in exchange for their membership interests. Our managing directors and their affiliated entities currently own approximately 81.5% of the Company and following this offering will own approximately 68.5% of the Company, or 66.5% if the underwriters' option is exercised in full. Ownership of and the ability to realize equity value from our common stock, unlike that of membership interests in Greenhill, are not dependent upon a managing director's continued employment and our managing directors are no longer restricted from leaving Greenhill by the potential loss of all of the value of their ownership interests. These shares of common stock are subject to certain restrictions on transfer and a portion are pledged to secure the liquidated damages provision in each managing director's non-competition and pledge agreement. However, these agreements will survive for only a limited period after termination of services to

7

Greenhill (one year in most cases) and will permit our managing directors to leave Greenhill without losing any of their shares of common stock if they comply with these agreements. Consequently, the steps we have taken to encourage the continued service of these individuals may not be effective. The long term impact of our conversion to corporate form on our employee retention and recruitment is uncertain.

In connection with our initial public offering and the conversion of Greenhill from a limited liability company to corporate form and since that time, employees have received grants of restricted stock units under our equity incentive plan. The incentives to attract, retain and motivate employees provided by these awards or by future arrangements may not be as effective as the opportunity, which existed prior to conversion, to become a member of Greenhill. See "Management—The Equity Incentive Plan" for a description of our equity incentive plan.

A substantial portion of our revenues are derived from advisory fees

We have historically earned our revenues almost exclusively from advisory fees paid to us by our clients, in large part upon the successful completion of the client's transaction or restructuring. Financial advisory revenues represented 86% and 96% of our total revenues in 2004 and 2003, respectively. Unlike diversified investment banks, we do not have significant alternative sources of revenue, such as securities trading or underwriting. We expect that our reliance on advisory fees will continue for the foreseeable future and a decline in our advisory engagements or the market for advisory services generally would have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

Our merger and acquisition and restructuring advisory engagements are singular in nature and do not provide for subsequent engagements

Our clients generally retain us on a non-exclusive, short-term, engagement-by-engagement basis in connection with specific merger or acquisition transactions or restructuring projects, rather than under exclusive long-term contracts. As these transactions are singular in nature and our engagements are not likely to recur, we must seek out new

engagements when our current engagements are successfully completed or are terminated. As a result, high activity levels in any period are not necessarily indicative of continued high levels of activity in the next-succeeding or any other period. In addition, when an engagement is terminated, whether due to the cancellation of a transaction due to market reasons or otherwise, we may earn limited or no fees and may not be able to recoup the costs that we incurred prior to that termination.

A high percentage of our total revenues are derived from a few clients and the termination of any one advisory engagement could reduce our revenues and harm our operating results

Each year, we advise a limited number of clients. Our top ten clients accounted for over 50% of our total revenues in each of the last three years and our largest single clients accounted for 10% and 17% of our total revenues in 2004 and 2003, respectively. While the composition of the group comprising our largest clients varies significantly from year to year, we expect that our advisory engagements will continue to be limited to a relatively small number of clients and that an even smaller number of those clients will account for a high percentage of revenues in any particular year. As a result, the adverse impact on our results of operation of one lost mandate or the failure of one transaction or restructuring on which we are advising to be completed, can be significant.

There will not be a consistent pattern in our financial results from quarter to quarter, which may result in increased volatility of our stock price

We can experience significant variations in revenues and profits during the year. These variations can generally be attributed to the fact that our revenues are usually earned in large amounts throughout the year upon the successful completion of a transaction or restructuring, the timing of which is uncertain and is not subject to our control. Compared to our larger, more diversified competitors in the financial services industry, we generally experience even greater variations in our

8

revenues and profits. This is due to our dependence on a relatively small number of transactions for most of our revenues, with the result that our earnings can be significantly affected if any particular transaction is not completed successfully, and to the fact that we lack other, more stable sources of revenue in material amounts, such as brokerage and asset management fees, which could moderate some of the volatility in advisory revenues. As a result, it may be difficult for us to achieve steady earnings growth on a quarterly basis, which could adversely affect our stock price.

In addition, in many cases we are not paid for advisory engagements that do not result in the successful consummation of a transaction or restructuring. As a result, our business is highly dependent on market conditions and the decisions and actions of our clients and interested third parties. For example, a client could delay or terminate an acquisition transaction because of a failure to agree upon final terms with the counterparty, failure to obtain necessary regulatory consents or board or shareholder approvals, failure to secure necessary financing, adverse market conditions or because the target's business is experiencing unexpected financial problems. Anticipated bidders for assets of a client during a restructuring transaction may not materialize or our client may not be able to restructure its operations or indebtedness due to a failure to reach agreement with its principal creditors. In these circumstances, in many cases we do not receive any advisory fees, other than the reimbursement of certain out-of-pocket expenses. The failure of the parties to complete a transaction on which we are advising, and the consequent loss of revenue to us, could lead to large adverse movements in our stock price. For more information, see "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Summary of Quarterly Performance".

Difficult market conditions could adversely affect our business in many ways

Adverse market or economic conditions would likely affect the number and size of transactions on which we provide mergers and acquisitions advice and therefore adversely affect our financial advisory fees. As our operations in the United States and the United Kingdom have historically provided most of our revenues and earnings, our revenues and profitability are particularly affected by economic conditions in these countries.

In the past, we have derived a substantial share of our revenues from providing investment banking advisory services to the communications and media, consumer goods, retail and financial services sectors. Any slowdown of activity in these sectors could have an adverse effect on our earnings.

Adverse market or economic conditions as well as a slowdown of activity in the sectors in which the portfolio companies of our merchant banking funds operate could have an adverse effect on the earnings of those portfolio companies, and therefore, our earnings, especially in the future as we seek to increase our merchant banking fund management revenues.

If the number of debt defaults, bankruptcies or other factors affecting demand for our restructuring advisory services declines, our revenues and profitability could suffer

During the periods when mergers and acquisitions activity decline and debt defaults increase, we increasingly rely on the provision of restructuring and bankruptcy advisory services as a source of new business. We provide various restructuring and restructuring-related advice to companies in financial distress or their creditors or other stakeholders. A number of factors affect demand for these advisory services, including general economic conditions and the availability and cost of debt and equity financing.

If demand for our restructuring services decreases, we could suffer a decline in revenues, which could lower our overall profitability.

We are seeking to expand our merchant banking fund management business, which will entail increased levels of investments in high-risk, illiquid assets

We are seeking to expand our merchant banking fund management business by establishing new merchant banking funds. Our revenues from this business are primarily derived from

9

management fees calculated as a percentage of committed capital and/or assets under management and profit overrides, which are earned if investments are profitable over a specified threshold. Our ability to form new merchant banking funds is subject to a number of uncertainties, including adverse market or economic conditions, competition from other fund managers, and the ability to negotiate terms with major investors.

In addition, through our controlling interest in the general partner of the funds, we expect to make certain principal investments in our new funds. In March 2005, we committed \$85 million to our new merchant banking fund, Greenhill Capital Partners II, which we expect will represent approximately 10% of committed capital to Greenhill Capital Partners II. The kinds of investments made by these funds are generally in relatively high-risk, illiquid assets. Contributing capital to these funds is risky and we may lose some or all of the principal amount of our investments.

Given the nature of the investments contemplated by Greenhill Capital Partners, there is a significant risk that Greenhill Capital Partners will be unable to realize its investment objectives by sale or other disposition at attractive prices or will otherwise be unable to complete any exit strategy. In particular, these risks could arise from changes in the financial condition or prospects of the portfolio company in which the investment is made, changes in national or international economic conditions or changes in laws, regulations, fiscal policies or political conditions of countries in which investments are made.

Greenhill Capital Partners will typically invest in securities of a class that are not publicly-traded. In many cases Greenhill Capital Partners may be prohibited by contract or by applicable securities laws from selling such securities for a period of time or otherwise be restricted from disposing of such securities. Greenhill Capital Partners will generally not be able to sell these securities publicly unless their sale is registered under applicable securities laws, or unless an exemption from such registration requirements is available. In particular, the Greenhill Capital Partners' ability to dispose of investments is heavily dependent on the initial public offering market, which fluctuates in terms of both volume of transactions as well as the types of companies which are able to access the market. Furthermore, the types of investments made may require a substantial length of time to liquidate.

In addition, the investments in these funds are adjusted for accounting purposes to fair value at the end of each quarter and our allocable share of these gains or losses will affect our revenue even though such market fluctuations may have no cash impact, which could increase the volatility of our quarterly earnings. It takes a substantial period of time to identify attractive merchant banking opportunities, to raise all the funds needed to make an investment and then to realize the cash value of our investment through resale. Even if a merchant banking investment proves to be profitable, it may be several years or longer before any profits can be realized in cash.

We face strong competition from far larger firms in part due to a trend toward consolidation

The investment banking industry is intensely competitive and we expect it to remain so. We compete on the basis of a number of factors, including the quality of our advice and service, innovation, reputation and price. We believe we may experience pricing pressures in our areas of operation in the future as some of our competitors seek to obtain market share by reducing prices. We are a small investment bank, with 127 employees (including managing directors and senior advisors) on December 31, 2004 and total revenues of approximately \$151.9 million in 2004. Most of our competitors in the investment banking industry have a far greater range of products and services, greater financial and marketing resources, larger customer bases, greater name recognition, more managing directors to serve their clients' needs, greater global reach and more established relationships with their customers than we have. These larger and better capitalized competitors may be better able to respond to changes in the investment banking market, to compete for skilled professionals, to finance acquisitions, to fund internal growth and to compete for market share generally.

The scale of our competitors has increased in recent years as a result of substantial consolidation among companies in the investment banking industry. In addition, a number of large commercial banks, insurance companies and other broad-based financial services firms have

10

established or acquired financial advisory practices and broker-dealers or have merged with other financial institutions. These firms have the ability to offer a wide range of products, from loans, deposit-taking and insurance to brokerage, asset management and investment banking services, which may enhance their competitive position. They also have the ability to support investment banking with commercial banking, insurance and other financial services revenues in an effort to gain market share, which could result in pricing pressure in our businesses. In particular, the

ability to provide financing as well as advisory services has become an important advantage for some of our larger competitors, and because we are unable to provide such financing we may be unable to compete for advisory clients in a significant part of the advisory market.

Greenhill is controlled by its managing directors whose interests may differ from those of our public shareholders

Our managing directors and their affiliated entities collectively own approximately 81.5% of the total shares of common stock outstanding and following this offering will own approximately 68.5% of the Company or 66.5% if the underwriters' option is exercised in full. Following this offering, Robert F. Greenhill and members of his family will beneficially own approximately 20.3% of our common stock (or 19.7% if the underwriters' option to purchase additional shares is exercised in full) and the other members of our Management Committee will own 32.6% of our common stock (or 31.7% if the underwriters' option to purchase additional shares is exercised in full).

As a result of these shareholdings, the members of our Management Committee currently are able, and will continue after this offering to be able, to elect our entire Board of Directors, control the management and policies of Greenhill and, in general, determine without the consent of the other shareholders the outcome of any corporate transaction or other matter submitted to the shareholders for approval, including mergers, consolidations and the sale of all or substantially all of the assets of Greenhill. Our managing directors currently are able, and will continue after this offering to be able, to prevent or cause a change in control of Greenhill.

Employee misconduct could harm Greenhill and is difficult to detect and deter

There have been a number of highly publicized cases involving fraud or other misconduct by employees in the financial services industry in recent years and we run the risk that employee misconduct could occur at our company. For example, misconduct by employees could involve the improper use or disclosure of confidential information, which could result in regulatory sanctions and serious reputational or financial harm. Our advisory business often requires that we deal with client confidences of the greatest significance to our clients, improper use of which may have a material adverse impact on our clients. Any breach of our clients' confidences as a result of employee misconduct may impair our ability to attract and retain advisory clients. It is not always possible to deter employee misconduct and the precautions we take to detect and prevent this activity may not be effective in all cases.

We may face damage to our professional reputation and legal liability to our clients and affected third parties if our services are not regarded as satisfactory

As an investment banking firm, we depend to a large extent on our relationships with our clients and our reputation for integrity and high-caliber professional services to attract and retain clients. As a result, if a client is not satisfied with our services, it may be more damaging in our business than in other businesses. Moreover, our role as advisor to our clients on important mergers and acquisitions or restructuring transactions involves complex analysis and the exercise of professional judgment, including rendering "fairness opinions" in connection with mergers and other transactions. Our activities may subject us to the risk of significant legal liabilities to our clients and aggrieved third parties, including shareholders of our clients who could bring securities class actions against us. In recent years, the volume of claims and amount of damages claimed in litigation and regulatory proceedings against financial intermediaries have been increasing. These risks often may be difficult to assess or quantify and their existence and magnitude often remain unknown for substantial periods of time. Our engagements typically include broad indemnities from our clients and provisions to limit our exposure to legal claims relating to our services, but these provisions may not protect us

or may not be enforceable in all cases. As a result, we may incur significant legal expenses in defending against litigation. Substantial legal liability or significant regulatory action against us could have material adverse financial effects or cause significant reputational harm to us, which could seriously harm our business prospects.

We are subject to extensive regulation in the financial services industry

We, as a participant in the financial services industry, are subject to extensive regulation in the United States and elsewhere. We face the risk of significant intervention by regulatory authorities in all jurisdictions in which we conduct our business. Among other things, we could be fined, prohibited from engaging in some of our business activities or subject to limitations or conditions on our business activities. In addition, as a result of recent highly publicized financial scandals, the regulatory environment in which we operate may be subject to further regulation. New laws or regulations or changes in the enforcement of existing laws or regulations applicable to our clients may also adversely affect our business.

Legal restrictions on our clients may reduce the demand for our services

New laws or regulations or changes in enforcement of existing laws or regulations applicable to our clients may also adversely affect our businesses. For example, changes in antitrust enforcement could affect the level of mergers and acquisitions activity and changes in regulation could restrict the activities of our clients and their need for the types of advisory services that we provide to them.

Our share price may decline due to the large number of shares eligible for future sale

Sales of substantial amounts of common stock by our managing directors and other employees, or the possibility of such sales, may adversely affect the price of the common stock and impede our ability to raise capital through the issuance of equity securities. See "Shares Eligible for Future Sale" for a discussion of possible future sales of common stock.

As of March 31, 2005, there were 30,682,466 shares of common stock outstanding, which is net of 67,534 shares of common stock held in treasury. The 5,750,000 shares of common stock sold in our initial public offering in May 2004 (or 5,682,466 shares of common stock, after our repurchase of the 67,534 shares of common stock currently held in treasury) are freely transferable without restriction or further registration under the Securities Act of 1933. Upon completion of this offering, 9,682,466 shares of outstanding common stock will be freely transferable, and if the underwriters exercise their overallotment option in full, 10,282,466 shares will be freely transferable. Subject to certain exceptions described under "Shares Eligible for Future Sale", the remaining 21,000,000 shares of common stock (or 20,400,000 shares, if the underwriters exercise their overallotment option in full) may not be sold until May 11, 2009, except in one or more underwritten public offerings approved by our underwritten offering committee which consists of Robert F. Greenhill (who chairs the committee), Scott L. Bok and Simon A. Borrows. Approval of an underwritten offering by the committee will require approval of either the chair of the committee or the joint approval of the other two members of the committee. Accordingly, Robert Greenhill alone, or Scott Bok and Simon Borrows together, may permit a sale of shares of our common stock that could adversely affect the market price of our common stock. After May 11, 2009, there will be no remaining contractual restrictions on resale on the shares issued to our managing directors at the time of the initial public offering. In connection with the departure of a managing director, we expect to repurchase 800,000 shares of common stock in August of 2005. See "Management—Managing Director Departure." In addition, 7,338,312 of such shares of common stock held by Robert F. Greenhill through his affiliated entities, Lord James Blyth and Harvey R. Miller will be eligible for resale pursuant to Rule 144 after May 11, 2006 and will not be subject to such contractual restrictions after that date. In addition, as of March 31, 2005, we had awarded our directors, managing directors and other employees an aggregate of 1,097,666 restricted stock units. Each restricted stock unit represents the holder's right to receive one share of our common stock or a cash payment

equal to the fair market value therefor, at our election, following the applicable vesting date. Awards of restricted stock units to our directors granted upon the directors' initial appointment or election to the board vest in full one year from their grant date. Awards of restricted stock units to our directors granted as compensation for services rendered vest

12

immediately. Awards of restricted stock units to our managing directors and other employees generally vest either ratably over a five year period beginning on the first anniversary of the grant date or do not vest until the fifth anniversary of their grant date, when they vest in full. Shares will be issued in respect of restricted stock units only under the circumstances specified in the applicable award agreements and the equity incentive plan. Assuming all of the conditions to vesting are fulfilled, the maximum number of shares that could be issued in respect of the 1,097,666 restricted stock units that had been granted as of March 31, 2005 would be: 131,434 shares in 2005, 145,592 shares in 2006, 137,195 shares in 2007, 137,195 shares in 2008, 148,311 shares in 2009, and 397,939 shares in 2010. See "Shares Eligible for Future Sale" for a discussion of the shares of common stock that may be sold into the public market in the future. In connection with this offering, each of the company, its directors, officers and the selling stockholders has agreed with the underwriters, subject to certain exceptions, not to dispose of or hedge any of their common stock or securities convertible into or exchangeable for shares of common stock during the period from the date of this prospectus continuing through a date that is not less than one year after the date of this prospectus. See "Underwriting" for more information on the lock-up restrictions imposed by the underwriters.

The market price of our common stock may decline

The price of the common stock after this offering may fluctuate widely, depending upon many factors, including the perceived prospects of Greenhill and the financial services industry in general, differences between our actual financial and operating results and those expected by investors, changes in general economic or market conditions and broad market fluctuations. Declines in the price of our stock may adversely affect our ability to recruit and retain key employees, including our managing directors.

The historical and unaudited pro forma consolidated financial information in this prospectus may not permit you to predict our costs of operations

The historical consolidated financial information in this prospectus relating to periods before May 11, 2004 does not reflect the added costs that we have incurred since that date as a public company or the changes that have occurred in our capital structure and operations as a result of our initial public offering. Because we historically operated through partnerships and limited liability companies prior to our transition to corporate form, at the time of our initial public offering in May 2004, we paid little or no taxes on profits and paid limited salaries to our managing directors. In preparing our unaudited pro forma consolidated financial information, we deducted and charged to earnings estimated income taxes based on an estimated tax rate, which may be different from our actual tax rate in the future, and estimated salaries, payroll taxes and benefits for our managing directors. The estimates we used in our unaudited pro forma consolidated financial information may not be similar to our actual experience as a public corporation. For more information on our historical financial statements and unaudited pro forma consolidated financial information, see "Unaudited Pro Forma Consolidated Financial Information" and our historical consolidated financial statements and their notes included elsewhere in this prospectus.

We may be required to make substantial payments under certain indemnification agreements

In connection with our initial public offering and our conversion to corporate form in May 2004, we entered into agreements that provide for the indemnification of our managing directors, directors, officers and certain other persons authorized to act on our behalf against certain liabilities of our managing directors relating to the time they were members or partners of Greenhill & Co. Holdings, LLC or its affiliates, and certain tax liabilities of our members that may arise in respect of periods prior to the offering when we were a limited liability company. We may be required to make substantial payments under these indemnification agreements, which could adversely affect our financial condition. For more information on our indemnification arrangements, see "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions—Incorporation Transactions", "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions—Director and Officer Indemnification" and "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions—Tax Indemnification Agreement and Related Matters".

13

SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

We have made statements under the captions "Prospectus Summary", "Risk Factors", "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and "Business" and in other sections of this prospectus that are forward-looking statements. In some cases, you can identify these statements by forward-looking words such as "may", "might", "will", "should", "expect", "plan", "anticipate", "believe", "estimate", "predict", "potential" or "continue", the negative of these terms and other comparable terminology. These forward-looking statements, which are subject to risks, uncertainties and assumptions about us, may include projections of our future financial performance, based on our growth strategies and anticipated trends in our business. These statements are only predictions based on our current expectations and projections about future events. There are important factors that could cause our actual results, level of activity, performance or achievements to differ materially from the results, level of activity, performance or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. In particular, you should consider the numerous risks outlined under "Risk Factors".

These risks are not exhaustive. Other sections of this prospectus may include additional factors which could adversely impact our business and financial performance. Moreover, we operate in a very competitive and rapidly changing environment. New risk factors emerge from time to time and it is not possible for our management to predict all risk factors, nor can we assess the impact of all factors on our business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements.

Although we believe the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future results, level of activity, performance or achievements. Moreover, neither we nor any other person assumes responsibility for the accuracy or completeness of any of these forward-looking statements. You should not rely upon forward-looking statements as predictions of future events. We are under no duty to update any of these forward-looking statements after the date of this prospectus to conform our prior statements to actual results or revised expectations.

Forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, the following:

- the discussion of significant growth and profit opportunities for firms like ours in the United States and abroad in "Business—Industry Trends";
- the statements about (i) our expectation that our total compensation and benefits, including that payable to our managing directors, will not exceed 50% of total revenues in "Summary

Consolidated Financial Data", "Selected Consolidated Financial and Other Data", "Unaudited Pro Forma Consolidated Financial Information" and (ii) our expectation to make certain principal investments and our expectation of revenues from a profit override and from gains on investments of our capital beginning in 2005 in "Prospectus Summary—Principal Sources of Revenue—Merchant Banking Fund Management", "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Results of Operations—Merchant Banking Fund Management and Other Revenues" and "Business—Principal Sources of Revenue— Merchant Banking Fund Management";

- the statement about our expectation of benefits from a sustained increase in M&A volume in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Business Environment";
- the statements about our belief that a firm focused on advisory activities can be highly profitable and grow rapidly in "Business—Industry Trends";
- the statements about our expectation of profit overrides for investments made by Greenhill Capital Partners beginning in 2004 in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Results of Operations—Merchant Banking Fund Management and Other Revenues" and our expectation of a 25% share of profit overrides earned on the third fund to be raised by Barrow Street Capital in "Business—Principal Sources of Revenue—Merchant Banking Fund Management" and "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions—Relationship with Barrow Street Capital";

14

- the discussion of our ability to meet liquidity needs without maintaining significant cash balances in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources"; and
- all the statements in "Business—Strategy" and "Prospectus Summary—Strategy" about our plans, goals, intentions and expectations concerning expanding the depth and breadth of our advisory business, expanding the size of our merchant banking fund management activities, maintaining a balance of activities across geographic regions and increasing the stability of our earnings.

15

USE OF PROCEEDS

The selling stockholders will receive all of the net proceeds from the sale of the shares of common stock offered hereby. We will not receive any proceeds from the offering.

DIVIDEND POLICY

Dividends declared per common share were \$0.08 per quarter and \$0.16 in the aggregate in 2004, as dividends were only paid in the second half of 2004, following our initial public offering. Dividend equivalents of \$0.1 million were recorded in 2004 on the restricted stock units that are expected to vest. Additionally, in January 2005 and April 2005, our Board of Directors declared separate quarterly dividends of \$0.10 per share for an aggregate of \$0.20 per share.

The declaration of this and any other dividends and, if declared, the amount of any such dividend, will be subject to our actual future earnings and capital requirements and to the discretion of our Board of Directors. Our Board of Directors will take into account such matters as general business conditions, our financial results, capital requirements, contractual, legal and regulatory restrictions on the payment of dividends by us to our shareholders or by our subsidiaries to us, and such other factors as our Board of Directors may deem relevant.

16

CAPITALIZATION

The following table sets forth our capitalization as of March 31, 2005. This table should be read in conjunction with "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations", "Unaudited Pro Forma Consolidated Financial Information" and the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this prospectus. Our capitalization will not be affected by this offering.

	As of March 31, 2005
Stockholders' equity:	
Common stock, \$0.01 par value per share, 100,000,000 shares authorized and 30,750,000 shares issued and outstanding (1)	\$ 307,500
Restricted stock units	5,260,599
Additional paid-in capital	106,743,051
Retained earnings	23,354,223
Accumulated other comprehensive income	796,555
Treasury stock, at cost, par value \$0.01 per share; 67,534 shares	(2,127,932)
Total stockholders' equity	134,333,996
Total capitalization	\$ 134,333,996

(1)Includes 67,534 shares repurchased and held in treasury.

17

UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Because Greenhill was a limited liability company prior to its May 2004 initial public offering, payments for services rendered by our managing directors generally were accounted for as distributions of members' capital (or, in the case of our European managing directors who were partners of Greenhill & Co. International LLP, minority interest) rather than compensation expense during that period. As a result, our compensation and benefits expense did not reflect a large portion of payments for services rendered by our managing directors and therefore understated our operating costs as a public company. It is currently our policy that total annual compensation and benefits, including that payable to our managing directors, will not exceed 50% of total revenues each year (although we retain the ability to change this policy in the future).

Similarly, as a limited liability company prior to its May 2004 initial public offering, Greenhill was not subject to U.S. federal or state income taxes, and our controlled U.K. affiliate, Greenhill & Co. International LLP, as a limited liability partnership effective as of January 1, 2002, was not generally subject to U.K. income taxes. However, Greenhill was subject to New York City Unincorporated Business Tax on its U.S. earnings, which are no longer applicable to it following its conversion to corporate form. As a result, Greenhill's tax expense prior to the initial public offering understates the level of taxes paid by us as a public company.

In order to reflect compensation, tax and minority interest as if Greenhill operated as a public company as of January 1, 2004, the Unaudited Pro Forma Consolidated Financial Information gives effect to adjustments during the period from January 1, 2004 to the date of the initial public offering to the following items:

- total compensation and benefits expenses equivalent to 45% of our total revenues, which is our current level of compensation and benefits expense;
- the provision for corporate income taxes at a 42.0% effective rate; and
- the elimination of minority interests that represent the membership interests in Greenhill & Co. International LLP held directly by our managing directors based in Europe.

These items are collectively referred to as the "Pro Forma Adjustments".

The Pro Forma Adjustments are based upon available information and certain assumptions that management believes are reasonable. The Unaudited Pro Forma Consolidated Financial Information and accompanying notes should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes.

The following Unaudited Pro Forma Consolidated Financial Information is based upon the historical consolidated financial statements of Greenhill. The Unaudited Pro Forma Consolidated Statement of Income Information for the year ended December 31, 2004 was prepared as if the incorporation transactions and the related transactions described under "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions—Incorporation Transactions" and this offering had taken place on January 1, 2004. As permitted by the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission, the Unaudited Pro Forma Consolidated Financial Information is presented on a condensed basis.

The Unaudited Pro Forma Consolidated Financial Information presented is not necessarily indicative of the results of operations or financial position that might have occurred had our reorganization and initial public offering actually taken place as of the dates specified, or that may be expected to occur in the future.

18

Unaudited Pro Forma Consolidated Statement of Income Information

	Year Ended December 31, 2004		
	Historical	Pro Forma Adjustments	Pro Forma
	(in thousands, except per share data)		
Total Revenue	\$ 151,853	\$ —	\$ 151,853
Compensation and benefits	61,447	6,233 (a)	67,680
Other expenses	26,898	—	26,898

Edgar Filing: GREENHILL & CO INC - Form S-1/A

Total expenses	88,345	6,233	94,578
Income before tax and minority interest	63,508	(6,233)	57,275
Minority interest in net income of subsidiary	6,487	(6,487) (b)	—
Income before tax	57,021	254	57,275
Provision for taxes	18,705	4,243 (c)	22,948
Net income	\$ 38,316	\$ (3,989)	\$ 34,327
Average common shares outstanding:			
Basic	28,780	—	28,780
Diluted	28,789	—	28,789
Earnings per share:			
Basic	1.33	(0.14)	1.19
Diluted	1.33	(0.14)	1.19

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the Unaudited Pro Forma Consolidated Financial Information.

19

NOTES TO UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION

- (a) Because Greenhill was a limited liability company prior to its initial public offering, payments for services rendered by our managing directors generally were accounted for during this period as distributions of members' capital rather than as compensation expense. As a corporation, we include all payments for services rendered by our managing directors in compensation and benefits expense.

Compensation and benefits expense, reflecting our conversion to corporate form, consists of cash compensation and non-cash compensation related to restricted stock units awarded to employees. It is our policy that our total compensation and benefits, including that payable to our managing directors, will not exceed 50% of total revenues each year (although we retain the ability to change this policy in the future). An adjustment has been made to record total compensation and benefits expense at 45% of total revenues, consistent with the percentage of compensation paid in 2004 for the period after the initial public offering.

- (b) Prior to the consummation of our initial public offering, our managing directors who were the partners in Greenhill & Co. International LLP, or GCI, exchanged their ownership interests in GCI through a series of consecutive exchanges, for equity interests in Greenhill & Co., Inc. immediately following the merger of Greenhill & Co. Holdings, LLC into Greenhill & Co., Inc. Since our initial public offering, we have had no minority interests in GCI and accordingly have eliminated such historical minority interest expense on a pro forma basis.

- (c) As a limited liability company, we were generally not subject to income taxes except in foreign and local jurisdictions. The pro forma provision for income taxes for the year ended December 31, 2004 includes assumed federal, foreign, state and local income taxes as if we were a corporation for the period from January 1, 2004 to the date of the initial public offering at an assumed effective rate of 42%.

20

SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL AND OTHER DATA

The following selected consolidated financial and other data of Greenhill & Co., Inc. should be read in conjunction with, and are qualified by reference to, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations", "Unaudited Pro Forma Consolidated Financial Information" and the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included elsewhere in this prospectus. The selected consolidated statement of income data for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003, and 2002 and the selected consolidated balance sheet data as of December 31, 2004 and 2003 are derived from, and qualified by reference to, the audited consolidated financial statements of Greenhill & Co., Inc. included elsewhere in this prospectus and should be read in conjunction with those consolidated financial statements and notes thereto.

The selected consolidated statement of income data for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000 and the selected consolidated balance sheet data as of December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000 have been derived from audited consolidated financial statements of Greenhill not included in this prospectus. The selected financial data at and for the three months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004 are derived from our unaudited financial statements and include all adjustments, consisting of normal and recurring adjustments, that we consider necessary for a fair presentation of our results of operations and financial position as of and for such periods.

The unaudited pro forma data set forth below for the year ended December 31, 2004 have been derived from the pro forma data set forth in "Unaudited Pro Forma Consolidated Financial Information" included elsewhere in this prospectus. The unaudited pro forma data for the other periods presented have been calculated based on assumptions consistent to those used for the 2004 unaudited pro forma consolidated financial information. Because our historical earnings do not fully reflect our managing director compensation or reflect the level of taxes that we pay as a corporation and include minority interests that have been eliminated following our conversion to corporate form, we believe that inclusion of this pro forma data is important to provide an accurate depiction of our business. A reconciliation of pro forma data to historical financial information follows this table.

	As of or for the Three Months Ended March 31,			As of or for the Year Ended December 31,			
	2005 (unaudited)	2004	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000
	(in thousands, except per share and number of employee data)						
Statement of Income Data:							
Total Revenues	\$ 43,928	\$ 29,566	\$ 151,853	\$ 126,679	\$ 112,608	\$ 99,964	\$ 111,476
% Change from Prior Year	—	—	20%	12%	13%	(10%)	30%
Actual Compensation & Benefit Expense(a)	19,920	9,236	61,447	27,094	19,476	46,428	48,295
Non-Compensation Expense	6,720	4,970	26,898	18,924	17,319	18,739	14,657
Income Before Tax and Minority Interest(a)	17,288	15,360	63,508	80,661	75,813	34,797	48,524
Net Income(a)(b)	10,752	10,480	38,316	45,400	57,817	34,984	45,520
Diluted Earnings Per Share	0.35	n/a	1.33	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Balance Sheet Data:							
Total Assets	\$ 166,328	\$ 48,076	\$ 177,016	\$ 60,638	\$ 63,794	\$ 90,327	\$ 57,490
Total Liabilities	31,392	26,598	49,273	18,209	14,363	38,230	23,604

Edgar Filing: GREENHILL & CO INC - Form S-1/A

Minority Interest Stockholders' and Members' Equity	602	6,483	504	10,172	7,758	—	—
Pro Forma Data (unaudited)(c)	134,334	14,995	127,239	32,257	41,673	52,097	33,886
Pro Forma Income Before Tax(d)(e)	\$ —	\$ 11,291	\$ 57,275	\$ 50,749	\$ 44,615	\$ 36,241	\$ 46,655
Pro Forma Net Income(d)(e)(f)	—	6,549	34,327	29,435	25,877	21,020	27,060

21

	As of or for the Three Months Ended March 31,			As of or for the Year Ended December 31,			
	2005	2004	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000
	(unaudited)						
	(in thousands, except per share and number of employee data)						
Pro Forma Diluted Earnings Per Share	—	0.26	1.19	1.18	1.04	0.84	1.08
Pro Forma Diluted Average Common Shares Outstanding(g)	—	25,000	28,789	25,000	25,000	25,000	25,000
Selected Data and Ratios (unaudited):							
Income Before Tax and Minority Interest as a Percentage of Revenues	39%	52%	42%	64%	67%	35%	44%
Revenues per Employee(h)	—	—	1,298	1,201	1,155	1,212	1,742
Employees(i):							
United States	—	—	76	63	64	52	48
Europe	—	—	51	44	40	39	26
Total Employees	—	—	127	107	104	91	74

(a) Prior to our May 2004 initial public offering we were a limited liability company and payments for services rendered by our managing directors were accounted for as distributions of members' capital rather than as compensation expense, except for payments made to managing directors and managing director equivalents of \$2.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and of \$2.9 million, \$5.0 million, \$1.4 million, \$25.5 million and \$27.3 million in 2004, 2003, 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively, which were recorded as compensation expense. As a result, our pre-tax earnings and compensation and benefits expense prior to our initial public offering did not reflect most payments for services rendered by our managing directors. Accordingly, pre-tax earnings in that period understated our operating costs as a corporation. Since the initial public offering, we have included all payments for services rendered by our managing directors in compensation and benefits expense.

(b)

Prior to our May 2004 initial public offering, we were a limited liability company and our earnings did not fully reflect the taxes that we pay as a public corporation. Additionally, a portion of our earnings attributable to our European operations was recorded as minority interest during that period.

- (c) Prior to our May 2004 initial public offering we were a limited liability company and our earnings did not fully reflect the compensation and benefits expense or the taxes that we pay as a public corporation. Additionally, a portion of our earnings attributable to our European operations was recorded as minority interest during that period. We believe that the pro forma amounts presented, which increase compensation expense and tax expense to amounts we expect we would have paid as a corporation during that period and eliminate the minority interest, more accurately depict our results as a public company. The amounts for the year ended December 31, 2004 include the pro forma results of operations as if we operated as a public company during the period January 1, 2004 to the date of our initial public offering combined with the actual results of operations for the period after the public offering. The amounts for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002, 2001 and 2000 reflect pro forma results of operations as if the initial public offering had occurred as of January 1 of each of those periods.
- (d) Compensation and benefits expense, reflecting our conversion to corporate form, consists of cash compensation and non-cash compensation related to restricted stock units awarded to employees. It is our policy that total annual compensation and benefits, including that payable to the managing directors, will not exceed 50% of total revenues each year (although the company

22

retains the ability to change this policy in the future). Adjustments to increase compensation expense for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003, 2002 and 2000 of \$4.1 million, \$6.2 million, \$29.9 million, \$31.2 million and \$1.9 million, respectively, and to decrease compensation for the year ended December 31, 2001 of \$1.4 million have been made to record total compensation and benefits expense at 45% of total revenues, consistent with the percentage of compensation paid in 2004 for the period after the initial public offering.

- (e) For the three months ended March 31, 2004 and the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, historical income before tax has been increased by \$4.4 million, \$6.5 million, \$32.2 million and \$17.6 million, respectively, to reflect the elimination on a pro forma basis of minority interests held by European managing directors in a subsidiary. Prior to 2002, the European managing directors were employees and did not have a minority interest in Greenhill.
- (f) As a limited liability company, we were generally not subject to income taxes except in foreign and local jurisdictions. The pro forma provision for income taxes for the year ended December 31, 2004 includes an adjustment of \$4.2 million for assumed federal, foreign, state and local income taxes as if we were a C Corporation for the period January 1, 2004 to the date of the public offering at an assumed effective rate of 42% combined with the actual tax provision for the period after the public offering. For the three months ended March 31, 2004 and the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002, 2001 and 2000, adjustments of \$4.3 million, \$18.3 million, \$18.4 million, \$15.4 million and \$16.6 million, respectively were made to adjust our effective tax rate to 42%, reflecting assumed federal, foreign, state and local income taxes as if we were a corporation on January 1, 2004, 2003, 2002, 2001 and 2000.
- (g) For 2004 the actual and pro forma numbers of common shares outstanding give effect to (i) 25,000,000 shares issued in connection with our reorganization in conjunction with the initial public offering as if it occurred on January 1, 2004, (ii) the weighted average of the 5,750,000 shares and the common stock equivalents issued in conjunction with and subsequent to the initial public offering and (iii) the 9,346 shares of treasury stock purchased by us in 2004. For the three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2003, 2002, 2001 and 2000 the pro forma number of common shares outstanding gives effect to the shares

issued in connection with our reorganization as if it occurred on January 1 of each period.

(h) Total revenues divided by average number of employees (including managing directors and senior advisors) in each period.

(i) Includes our managing directors and senior advisors.

23

Reconciliation of Unaudited Pro Forma Data to Historical Financial Information

The following table reconciles unaudited Pro Forma Income Before Tax to Income Before Tax & Minority Interest. See "Unaudited Pro Forma Consolidated Financial Information" for more information on the assumptions underlying these calculations with respect to 2004. The pro forma data for 2003, 2002, 2001 and 2000 are based on consistent assumptions.

	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2004		For the Year Ended December 31, 2003 2002 2001 2000 (in thousands)			
Income Before Tax and Minority Interest	\$ 15,360	\$ 63,508	\$ 80,661	\$ 75,813	\$ 34,797	\$ 48,524
Add back (deduct):						
Historical Compensation and Benefits	9,236	61,447	27,094	19,476	46,428	48,295
Pro Forma Compensation and Benefits	(13,305)	(67,680)	(57,006)	(50,674)	(44,984)	(50,164)
Pro Forma Income Before Tax	\$ 11,291	\$ 57,275	\$ 50,749	\$ 44,615	\$ 36,241	\$ 46,655

The following table reconciles unaudited Pro Forma Net Income to Net Income:

	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2004		For the Year Ended December 31, 2003 2002 2001 2000 (in thousands)			
Net Income	\$ 10,480	\$ 38,316	\$ 45,400	\$ 57,817	\$ 34,984	\$ 45,520
Add back (deduct):						
Historical Compensation and Benefits	9,236	61,447	27,094	19,476	46,428	48,295
Pro Forma Compensation and Benefits	(13,305)	(67,680)	(57,006)	(50,674)	(44,984)	(50,164)
Minority Interest	4,396	6,487	32,223	17,649	—	—
Historical Taxes	484	18,705	3,038	347	(187)	3,004

Pro Forma Taxes	(4,742)	(22,948)	(21,314)	(18,738)	(15,221)	(19,595)
Pro Forma Net Income	\$ 6,549	\$ 34,327	\$ 29,435	\$ 25,877	\$ 21,020	\$ 27,060

24

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and the related notes that appear elsewhere in this prospectus. This discussion contains forward-looking statements reflecting our current expectations that involve risks and uncertainties. Actual results and the timing of events may differ significantly from those projected in such forward-looking statements due to a number of factors, including those set forth in the section entitled "Risk Factors" and elsewhere in this prospectus.

Greenhill is an independent investment banking firm that (i) provides financial advice on significant mergers, acquisitions, restructurings and similar corporate finance matters and (ii) manages merchant banking funds and commits capital to those funds. We act for clients located throughout the world from offices in New York, London and Frankfurt. Our activities constitute a single business segment with two principal sources of revenue:

- Financial Advisory, which includes advice on mergers, acquisitions, restructurings and similar corporate finance matters; and
- Merchant Banking Fund Management, which currently consists primarily of management of Greenhill's private equity funds, Greenhill Capital Partners, and principal investments by Greenhill in those funds.

The majority of our revenues are derived from our Financial Advisory business and we expect it to remain so for the near to medium term. The main driver of the Financial Advisory business is overall mergers and acquisitions, or M&A, and restructuring volume, particularly in the industry sectors and geographic markets in which we focus. In addition, new managing director hires add incrementally to our revenue and income growth potential.

Business Environment

Economic and global financial market conditions can materially affect our financial performance. See "Risk Factors." Net income and revenues in any period may not be indicative of full-year results or the results of any other period and may vary significantly from year to year and quarter to quarter.

Financial Advisory revenues were \$39.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2005 compared to \$25.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and \$130.9 million in the year ended December 31, 2004 compared to \$121.3 million in the year ended December 31, 2003, which represents an increase of 54% and 8%, respectively. At the same time, worldwide completed merger and acquisition, or M&A, volume for all corporations increased by 26% from \$242 billion, in the first three months of 2004 to \$304 billion in the same period in 2005 (Source: Thomson Financial as of April 21, 2005) and by 28%, from \$1,218 billion in 2003 to \$1,560 billion in 2004 (Source: Thomson Financial as of February 16, 2005). Aggregate advisory revenue reported by four leading investment banks that publicly disclose their advisory fee revenue increased by 50% from \$2.8 billion in 2003 to \$4.2 billion in 2004 (Data for three of the four investment banks reflect November fiscal year ends). From a longer term perspective, our 2004 Financial Advisory revenues were 54% higher than in 1999, while the aggregate advisory revenue reported by those four leading firms was 29% lower than in 1999.

Although we may benefit from any sustained increase in M&A volume, we have been and will continue to be constrained by the relatively small size of our firm and we may not grow as rapidly as our principal competitors. In addition, some of the benefits we expect to experience in connection with the increase in M&A volume will be partially offset by the current decline in restructuring activity.

25

Results of Operations

The following tables set forth data relating to Greenhill's sources of revenue:

Revenue by Source

	For the Three Months		For the Year Ended December 31,				
	Ended March 31, 2005 (unaudited)	2004	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000
	(in millions)						
Financial Advisory	\$ 39.5	\$ 25.6	\$ 130.9	\$ 121.3	\$ 107.4	\$ 95.3	\$ 107.0
Merchant Banking Fund Management and Other	4.4	4.0	21.0	5.4	5.2	4.7	4.5
Total Revenues	\$ 43.9	\$ 29.6	\$ 151.9	\$ 126.7	\$ 112.6	\$ 100.0	\$ 111.5

Financial Advisory Revenue by Client Location

	For the Year Ended December 31,				
	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000
United States	54.5%	47.8%	61.2%	41.4%	34.7%
Europe	42.7%	44.0%	31.2%	52.7%	62.0%
Latin America & Other	2.8%	8.2%	7.6%	5.9%	3.3%

Financial Advisory Revenue by Industry

	For the Year Ended December 31,				
	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000
Communications & Media	29.4%	24.1%	26.1%	20.4%	45.5%
Consumer Goods & Retail	24.8%	26.1%	15.4%	34.1%	7.4%
Financial Services	17.3%	15.0%	12.8%	11.2%	21.7%
Technology	0.5%	7.1%	6.6%	11.2%	12.2%
Energy & Utilities	9.7%	9.3%	6.1%	0.8%	3.0%

Lodging & Leisure	3.7%	0.6%	2.5%	5.4%	3.1%
General Industrial & Other	14.6%	17.8%	30.5%	16.9%	7.1%

Financial Advisory Revenues

We operate in a highly competitive environment where there are no long-term contracted sources of revenue, and each revenue-generating engagement, which typically relates to only one potential transaction, is separately awarded and negotiated. Our list of clients, and our list of clients with whom there is a currently active revenue-generating engagement, changes continually. We gain new clients each year through our business development initiatives, by recruiting additional senior investment banking professionals who bring with them client relationships and through referrals from directors, attorneys and other parties with whom we have relationships. At the same time, we lose clients each year as a result of the sale or merger of a client, a change in a client's senior management, competition from other investment banks and other causes.

We earn a majority of our Financial Advisory revenue from completion fees that are dependent on the successful completion of a merger, acquisition, restructuring or similar transaction. A transaction can fail to be completed for many reasons, including failure to agree upon final terms with the counterparty, failure to secure necessary board or shareholder approvals, failure to secure necessary financing and failure to achieve necessary regulatory approvals. In certain client engagements, often those involving financially distressed companies, we earn a significant portion of our revenue in the form of retainers and similar fees that are contractually agreed upon with each client for each assignment but are not necessarily linked to the end result.

26

We do not allocate our Financial Advisory revenue by type of advice rendered (M&A, restructuring or other) because of the complexity of the assignments for which we earn revenue. For example, a restructuring assignment can involve, and in some cases end successfully in, a sale of all or part of the financially distressed client. Likewise, an acquisition assignment can relate to a financially distressed target involved in or considering a restructuring. Finally, an M&A assignment can be received from a relationship that derives from a prior restructuring assignment, and vice versa.

First Quarter 2005 versus First Quarter 2004. We earned \$39.5 million in Financial Advisory Revenue in the first quarter of 2005 compared to \$25.6 million in the first quarter of 2004, which represents an increase of 54%. During the first quarter of 2005, we earned advisory fees from one transaction that exceeded 10% of total 2004 revenue.

Completed assignments in the first quarter of 2005 included:

- the sale of Aggregate Industries, plc to Holcim S.A.;
- the sale of LNR Property Corporation to Cerberus Capital Management LP;
- the provision of a fairness opinion to MeadWestvaco Corporation on the sale of its papers business; and
- the sale of Sea Pines Associates, Inc. to the Riverstone Group, LLC.

2004 versus 2003. Financial Advisory revenues were \$130.9 million in the year ended December 31, 2004 compared to \$121.3 million in the year ended December 31, 2003, which represents an increase of 8%. The increase reflected the recovery in M&A market volume and our continued business development efforts. As expected, the volume of financial-distress related business declined in 2004, partially offsetting the increase in traditional M&A activity.

Prominent advisory assignments completed in 2004 include:

- the sale of certain assets by Akzo Nobel N.V.;
- the acquisition of the propane operations of Star Gas Partners, L.P. by Inergy, L.P.;
- the sale by Ingersoll-Rand Company Ltd., of its Dresser-Rand business unit to First Reserve;
- the sale of Schwab Soundview Capital Markets to UBS;
- the sale of Warner-Chilcott to Waren Acquisition Ltd., which is a private equity buyout group led by JP Morgan Chase and CS First Boston; and
- the sale of WH Smith's publishing business, Hodder Headline, to Hachette Livre S.A.

We earned advisory revenue from 47 different clients in 2004, compared to 39 in 2003; 32 of those clients had not produced any 2003 revenue. We earned \$1 million or more from 25 of those clients in 2004, compared to 31 in 2003. The ten largest fee-paying clients in 2004 constituted 53% of our total revenue, and only one of those clients had in any prior year been among our ten largest fee-paying clients. We had one client that constituted approximately 10% of total revenue in 2004. Our revenues in 2004 attributable to this client related to an engagement that was singular in nature, like all of our other advisory engagements.

2003 versus 2002. We earned Financial Advisory revenues of \$121.3 million in 2003, an increase of 13% compared to 2002. At the same time, worldwide completed M&A volume for all corporations decreased by 10%, from \$1,352 billion to \$1,129 billion (Source: Thomson Financial as of February 16, 2005), and aggregate advisory revenues reported by Goldman Sachs, Lehman Brothers, Merrill Lynch and Morgan Stanley declined by 22%, from \$3.6 billion to \$2.8 billion. The increase in our Financial Advisory revenues reflected our business development efforts and continued high levels of M&A and restructuring transactions involving financially distressed companies, which was the principal driver of our Financial Advisory revenues in 2002 and 2003.

27

Prominent advisory assignments completed in 2003 include:

- the restructuring of AT&T Canada Inc.;
- the restructuring and sale of assets of Bethlehem Steel Corporation;
- the sale of Debenhams plc to a consortium of private equity investors;
- the sale of certain assets of Electronic Data Systems Corporation to Fiserv Inc.; and
- the sale of Wella AG to The Procter & Gamble Company.

We earned advisory revenue from 39 different clients in 2003, compared to 43 in 2002; 18 of those clients did not produce any 2002 revenue. We earned \$1 million or more from 31 of those clients in 2003, compared to 30 in 2002. In 2003, the ten largest fee-paying clients constituted 55% of our total revenue, and none of those ten clients had in any prior year been among our ten largest fee-paying clients. We had one client in 2003 that individually constituted more than 10% of total revenue, and this client accounted for 17% of total revenue. Our revenues in 2003 attributable to this client related to an engagement that was singular in nature, like all of our other advisory engagements.

Merchant Banking Fund Management and Other Revenues

Our Merchant Banking Fund Management activities currently consist of the management of Greenhill's private equity funds, Greenhill Capital Partners, and principal investments by Greenhill in Greenhill Capital Partners. Until recently, our investments in Greenhill Capital Partners generally were made only by our members and other professionals for their own accounts. In October 2003, we began to make principal investments in material amounts through our

predecessor, Greenhill & Co. Holdings, LLC. Prior to 2004, our Merchant Banking Fund Management activities historically had generated revenue almost entirely from fees earned from our management of Greenhill Capital Partners, which were calculated as a percentage of committed capital of the funds under management. Beginning in 2004, Merchant Banking Fund Management generated modest unrealized revenues from our small portion of the override of the profits over a specified threshold earned on pre-2004 investments managed on behalf of outside investors. We also received income from gains on investments of our capital in Greenhill Capital Partners, and other principal activities. Any losses on a fund's investments will offset any gains in that fund and reduce our Merchant Banking Fund Management revenues accordingly.

We began earning asset management fees in 2000 when we formed Greenhill Capital Partners and raised a group of funds with \$423 million of investment commitments. We refer to our first group of funds as Greenhill Capital Partners I or Fund I. Of the total commitments to Fund I, 20% was from members and other professionals of Greenhill and does not bear management fees, and 80% was from outside investors and does bear management fees. Effective in 2003, the portion bearing management fees declined to 76.5% as a result of our purchase of certain outside investors' interests. On March 31, 2005, the general partners of Fund I terminated the commitment period for Fund I. As a result, the annual management fee payable by the limited partners in Fund I was reduced to 1% of the invested capital from between 1.25% to 1.5% (total invested capital was approximately \$229 million as of March 31, 2005). Such management fee is payable only by the outside investors not employed by or affiliated with us.

Participation in profit overrides, calculated as a percentage (typically 20%) of the profits over a specified threshold (typically 8%) earned by outside investors in investments by Greenhill Capital Partners, was historically assigned principally to managing directors of Greenhill, and any profit override ultimately realized in relation to such investments will be paid directly to such individuals. For investments made by Fund I beginning in 2004, Greenhill recognizes as revenue 100% of the profit override. Approximately one-half of such profit override is allocated, at Greenhill's discretion, as compensation directly to individuals at Greenhill involved in the management of Fund I. The amount of profit override earned by Greenhill in the future will depend on the profits (if any) ultimately generated on the portion of investments made by Fund I in 2004 and thereafter that are attributable to outside investors.

28

Prior to 2003, commitments to Fund I were made by individual members and other professionals of Greenhill in their personal capacity rather than by Greenhill itself, and Greenhill had no investments in (or gains or losses from) such funds. In late 2003, we began to invest as principal alongside our investors, and as of December 31, 2004, we had made a total of \$13.1 million of principal investments in, and \$16.4 million of commitments to, Fund I.

In March 2005, we completed the initial closing of our second private equity fund, Greenhill Capital Partners II (or Fund II). The total committed capital for Fund II as of the initial closing was \$558 million.

We have committed \$85 million of the capital raised so far, and our managing directors and other professionals have personally committed a further \$135 million. The remainder of the committed capital was raised from a variety of institutional investors, as well from wealthy families and corporate executives. Committed capital is expected to be drawn down from time to time over an investment period of up to 5 years to fund investments by Fund II.

Like Fund I, Fund II expects to focus primarily on mid-market investments in the energy, telecommunications and financial services sectors—industries in which Greenhill has significant experience and expertise. Within these sectors, Fund II intends to continue to pursue primarily a value-based, contrarian investment strategy.

Fund II's managing general partner, which is controlled by Greenhill, makes investment decisions for the fund and is entitled to receive from Fund II an override of 20% of the profits earned by Fund II over a specified threshold on the capital committed by outside investors to Fund II (\$338 million as of the initial closing of Fund II in March 2005) and an override of 10% of the profits earned by Fund II over a specified threshold on the capital committed by Greenhill's managing directors, senior advisors and certain other employees to Fund II (\$132 million as of the initial closing of Fund II). Greenhill recognizes as revenue 100% of the profit override earned by the managing general partner of Fund II on investments made by Fund II. Approximately one-half of such profit override is allocated, at Greenhill's discretion, as compensation to managing directors and other employees of Greenhill involved in the management of Fund II. All limited partners in Fund II (including those who are managing directors or other employees of Greenhill) have agreed to pay during the commitment period an annual management fee to the managing general partner of Fund II equal to 1.5% of the capital committed by such limited partners. The commitment period will terminate on March 31, 2010 unless terminated earlier by the general partner. Upon termination of the commitment period, the annual management fee will be reduced to 1% of the invested capital. No management fee or profit override is payable in respect of the capital committed by Greenhill.

In addition to our Merchant Banking Fund Management activities in connection with Greenhill Capital Partners, we previously invested in Barrow Street Capital, LLC, or Barrow Street Capital, a limited liability company that manages two real estate merchant banking funds. One of the two managing principals of Barrow Street Capital is Robert F. Greenhill, Jr., son of Robert F. Greenhill, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Greenhill. The investment in Barrow Street Capital was sold to the two managing principals of Barrow Street in March 2004 for book value.

First Quarter 2005 versus First Quarter 2004. We earned \$4.4 million in Merchant Banking Fund Management & Other Revenues in the first quarter of 2005 compared to \$4.0 million in the first quarter of 2004, representing an increase of 10%. The increase was primarily due to an increase in interest income. We earned \$1.5 million in profit overrides in the first three months of 2005 compared to no such overrides in the prior period, but this increase was offset by a decline in net realized and unrealized gains on investments of \$1.7 million.

2004 versus 2003. For the year ended December 31, 2004, the Company earned \$21.0 million in Merchant Banking Fund Management and Other Revenues compared to \$5.4 million in the year ended December 31, 2003, an increase of 289%. In 2004, these revenues were principally comprised of asset management fees earned from Fund I of \$4.5 million, unrealized investment gains and profit overrides earned on investments made in Fund I of \$11.3 million and \$4.1 million, respectively, other unrealized investment income of \$0.3 million and interest income of \$0.8 million. In

29

comparison, in 2003, the Merchant Banking Fund Management and Other Revenues were principally comprised of asset management fees of \$5.0 million and interest income of \$0.4 million. The principal driver of growth in Merchant Banking Fund Management and Other Revenues in 2004 were unrealized investment gains and profit overrides on investments made in Fund I.

A significant portion of the increase in unrealized investment gains was attributable to an increase in the fair value of Fund I's investment in a publicly traded security. This security represented approximately 40% of the total fair value of the Fund I investment portfolio at December 31, 2004. The investment gains or losses in our investment portfolio may fluctuate significantly over time due to factors beyond our control, such as individual portfolio company performance, equity market valuations and merger and acquisition opportunities. Revenue recognized from gains recorded in 2004 is not necessarily indicative of revenue that may be realized in future periods.

2003 versus 2002. Greenhill had \$5.4 million of Merchant Banking Fund Management and Other Revenues in 2003 and \$5.2 million of such revenues in 2002. These revenues were principally comprised of asset management fees earned from Fund I of \$5.0 million and \$4.7 million in 2003 and 2002, respectively. In addition, Greenhill earned \$0.4 million from its investment in Barrow Street Capital as compared to \$0.1 million in 2002. This gain in 2003 was offset by a \$0.4 million loss on Greenhill's investment in Fund I. The remainder of our Merchant Banking Fund Management and Other Revenues primarily reflects interest income.

Operating Expenses

We classify operating expenses as compensation and benefits expense and non-compensation expenses.

The principal component of our operating expenses is compensation and benefits expense. Because we were a limited liability company prior to our initial public offering in May 2004, payments for services rendered by our managing directors prior to our initial public offering were generally accounted for as distributions of members' capital or minority interest expense rather than as compensation expense. As a result, our pre-initial public offering compensation and benefits expense did not reflect a large portion of payments for services rendered by our managing directors and therefore understates our operating costs as a public company. As a corporation, we now include all payments for services rendered by our managing directors in compensation and benefits expense.

Operating expenses apart from compensation have been modest in proportion to revenues, as a result of the relatively small number of staff and related costs (including travel, office space, communications, depreciation and professional services) that Greenhill bears. A portion of certain costs are reimbursed by clients under the terms of client engagements. In addition, Barrow Street Capital reimburses us for certain occupancy related costs, health care premiums and other costs incurred by us.

The following table sets forth information relating to our operating expenses, which are reported net of reimbursements of certain expenses by our clients and merchant banking portfolio companies:

	Three Months Ended March 31,		Year Ended December 31,		
	2005	2004	2004	2003	2002
	(in millions, unaudited)		(in millions, except employee data)		
Number of Employees at Year End	—	—	127	107	104
Actual Compensation and Benefits Expense	\$ 19.9	\$ 9.2	\$ 61.4	\$ 27.1	\$ 19.5
% of Revenues	45%	31%	40%	21%	17%
Pro Forma Compensation and Benefits Expense(a)	—	13.3	67.7	57.0	50.7
% of Revenues	—	45%	45%	45%	45%

30

	Three Months Ended March 31,		Year Ended December 31,		
	2005	2004	2004	2003	2002
	(in millions, unaudited)		(in millions, except employee data)		

Non-Compensation Expense:					
Other Operating Expenses	6.1	4.2	23.4	15.5	13.9
Depreciation and Amortization	0.6	0.8	3.5	3.4	3.4
Total Non-Compensation Expense	6.7	5.0	26.9	18.9	17.3
% of Revenues	15%	17%	18%	15%	15%
Total Actual Operating Expense	26.6	14.2	88.3	46.0	36.8
% of Revenues	61%	48%	58%	36%	33%
Total Pro Forma Operating Expense(a)	—	18.3	94.6	75.9	68.0
% of Revenues	—	62%	62%	60%	60%

(a)The amount for the year ended December 31, 2004 reflects actual expenses for the period subsequent to our initial public offering and pro forma expenses for the period prior to our initial public offering; the amounts for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002 reflect pro forma expenses.

Compensation and Benefits

The principal component of our operating expenses is compensation and benefits expense. Because we were a limited liability company prior to our initial public offering, payments for services rendered by our managing directors generally were accounted for as distributions of members' capital or minority interest expense rather than as compensation expense. As a result, our pre-initial public offering compensation and benefits expense did not reflect a large portion of payments for services rendered by our managing directors and understates the expected operating costs to be incurred as a public company. As a corporation, we include all payments for services rendered by our managing directors in compensation and benefits expense. It is our policy that our total compensation and benefits, including that payable to our managing directors, will not exceed 50% of total revenues each year (although we retain the ability to change this policy in the future). Since the initial public offering, our compensation to revenues ratio has been 45%. One factor in determining compensation expense was the accounting impact of the introduction into our compensation packages of equity-related compensation in the form of restricted stock units.

First Quarter 2005 versus First Quarter 2004. Our Total Compensation and Benefits Expense in the first quarter of 2005 was \$19.9 million, which reflects a 45% ratio of compensation to revenues. This amount compares to pro forma Total Compensation and Benefits Expense of \$13.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2004, which reflected a 45% ratio of compensation to revenues. Since the initial public offering, our compensation to revenues ratio has been 45%. The increase of \$6.6 million or 50% over the pro forma amount for the first quarter of 2004 is due to the higher level of revenues in the first quarter of 2005.

Our actual Total Compensation and Benefits Expense for the three months ended March 31, 2004 was \$9.2 million.

2004 versus 2003. Our pro forma Total Compensation and Benefits expense for the year ended December 31, 2004 was \$67.7 million, which reflects a 45% compensation ratio for the year. This compares against \$57.0 million of pro forma Total Compensation and Benefits expense for the year ended December 31, 2003. This represents an increase of 19%, and is related to the increase in revenues for the period.

Our actual compensation and benefits expense for the years ended December 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003 was \$61.4 million and \$27.1 million, respectively.

2003 versus 2002. Our pro forma Total Compensation and Benefits expense for the year ended December 31, 2003 was \$57.0 million, which reflects a 45% compensation ratio for the year. This compares against \$50.7 million of pro forma Total Compensation and Benefits expense for the year ended December 31, 2002. This represents an increase of 12%, and is related to the increase in revenues for the period.

Our actual Compensation and Benefits expense increased to \$27.1 million in 2003, an increase of \$7.6 million over compensation and benefit expense of \$19.5 million in 2002. Compensation expense for the managing directors increased \$3.6 million, principally related to the treatment of a portion of our chief executive officer's earnings as compensation expense effective for 2003.

Non-Compensation Expense

Our non-compensation expense includes the costs for occupancy and rental, communications, information services, professional fees, recruiting, travel and entertainment, insurance, depreciation and other operating expenses. Reimbursable client expenses are netted against non-compensation expenses.

First Quarter 2005 versus First Quarter 2004. Our non-compensation expenses were \$6.7 million in the first quarter of 2005, which compared to \$5.0 million in the first quarter of 2004, representing an increase of 34%. The increase is related principally to the write-off of uncollectible accounts (\$1.0 million), increased recruiting expenses (\$0.1 million) and expenses associated with operating as a public entity (\$0.6 million), offset in part by lower depreciation expense (\$0.1 million). In addition, non-U.S. operating costs increased approximately \$0.1 million due to the decline in the value of the U.S. dollar.

Non-compensation expense as a percentage of revenue in the three months ended March 31, 2005 was 15%, compared to 17% for the three months ended March 31, 2004. The decrease in non-compensation expenses as a percentage of revenue is principally related to the increase in revenue.

2004 versus 2003. For the year ended December 31, 2004, our non-compensation expenses were \$26.9 million, which compared to \$18.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2003, representing an increase of 42%.

Non-compensation expense as a percentage of revenue in the year ended December 31, 2004 was 18%. This compares to 15% for the year ended December 31, 2003. The increase in these expenses as a percentage of revenue was principally related to the expansion of our office space (\$1.3 million), increases in recruiting efforts (\$0.8 million), the costs of being a public company (\$1.2 million), an increase in net travel expense (\$0.9 million) and a transaction-specific consultancy expense (\$2.6 million) in conjunction with a client engagement. In addition, non-U.S. operating costs increased approximately \$0.7 million due to the decline in the relative value of the U.S. dollar compared to the comparable period in 2003.

As a public company, our costs for such items as insurance, accounting and legal advice have increased. We also incur costs that we have not previously incurred for director fees, administrative expenses and various other costs of a public company. In the aggregate, we estimate that we will incur incremental costs on an annualized basis in excess of \$3.1 million as a result of our conversion to a public company.

2003 versus 2002. For the year ended December 31, 2003, our non-compensation expenses were \$18.9 million, which compared to \$17.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2002, representing an increase of 9%.

Non-compensation expense as a percentage of revenue in the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002 was 15%. The increase in 2003 expenses as compared to 2002 related principally to an increase in net travel expenses of \$1.0 million, an increase of \$0.2 million in occupancy expense due to the November 2003 expansion of the New York office and an increase of \$0.9 million in our foreign non-compensation expense resulting from the weakening dollar's

impact on the foreign exchange translation, offset, in part, by a decrease in general office costs of \$0.3 million.

The firm's non-compensation expense as a percentage of revenue can vary as a result of a variety of factors, including fluctuation in quarterly revenue amounts, the amount of recruiting and business development activity, the amount of reimbursement of engagement-related expenses by clients, currency movements and other factors. Accordingly, the non-compensation expense as a

32

percentage of revenue in any particular quarter may not be indicative of the non-compensation expense as a percentage of revenue in future periods.

Provision for Income Taxes

Prior to our initial public offering, Greenhill was a limited liability company and was generally not subject to U.S. federal or state income taxes and its U.K. controlled affiliate Greenhill & Co. International LLP, as a limited liability partnership, was generally not subject to U.K. income taxes. However, Greenhill was subject to the 4.0% New York City Unincorporated Business Tax on its U.S. earnings. In addition, certain of Greenhill's non-U.S. subsidiaries have been subject to income taxes in their local jurisdictions. As a result of the completion of our initial public offering in May 2004, we are subject to federal, foreign and state corporate income taxes.

First Quarter 2005 versus First Quarter 2004. The Provision for Taxes in the first quarter of 2005 was \$6.4 million, which reflects an effective tax rate of approximately 38%. This compares to a pro forma Provision for Taxes in the first quarter of 2004 of \$4.7 million based on an assumed tax rate of 42% for the period. Actual taxes for the first quarter of 2004, which reflect local taxes that we were subject to as a limited liability company prior to our initial public offering, were \$0.5 million. The decrease in the effective tax rate in the first quarter of 2005 as compared to the pro forma effective tax rate for the same period in the prior year is principally due to the fact that a greater proportion of our advisory earnings during the first quarter of 2005 were derived from non-U.S. sources, which benefit from relatively lower tax rates than U.S.-based advisory income.

2004 versus 2003. For the year ended December 31, 2004, our pro forma Provision for Taxes was \$22.9 million, or a rate of 40%. The pro forma provision for income taxes for the year ended December 31, 2004 includes assumed federal, foreign, state and local income taxes as if we were a C Corporation for the period January 1, 2004 to the date of the public offering at an assumed effective rate of 42% combined with the actual tax provision for the period after the public offering. For the year ended December 31, 2003, the pro forma provision for taxes of \$21.3 million reflected an assumed tax rate of 42%. The decrease in the pro forma effective tax rate in 2004 resulted principally from an increase in investment earnings, which are taxed at lower rates. Our actual effective tax rate for 2004 was 29.5% (reflecting our status as a C corporation for the period between our public offering and December 31, 2004). Actual tax expense for the year ended December 31, 2004 and 2003 was \$18.7 million and \$3.0 million, respectively.

2003 versus 2002. For the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, our pro forma Provision for Taxes were \$21.3 million and \$18.7 million respectively, reflecting an assumed tax rate of 42%. Actual tax expense for the year ended December 31, 2003 and 2002 was \$3.0 million and \$0.3 million, respectively.