

GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP INC

Form 10-Q

August 09, 2011

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

FORM 10-Q

- x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.**

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2011

or

- o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.**

For the transition period from to

Commission File Number: 001-14965

**The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)**

**Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)**

**13-4019460
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)**

**200 West Street, New York, NY
(Address of principal executive offices)**

**10282
(Zip Code)**

**(212) 902-1000
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

x Yes o No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

APPLICABLE ONLY TO CORPORATE ISSUERS

As of July 29, 2011, there were 505,793,947 shares of the registrant's common stock outstanding.

THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC.

QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q FOR THE QUARTER ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

INDEX

Form 10-Q Item Number		Page No.
<u>PART I</u>	<u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	2
<u>Item 1</u>	<u>Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010</u>	2
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010</u>	3
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and year ended December 31, 2010</u>	4
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010</u>	5
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010</u>	6
	<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	7
	<u>Note 1. Description of Business</u>	7
	<u>Note 2. Basis of Presentation</u>	7
	<u>Note 3. Significant Accounting Policies</u>	8
	<u>Note 4. Financial Instruments Owned, at Fair Value and Financial Instruments Sold, But Not Yet Purchased, at Fair Value</u>	12
	<u>Note 5. Fair Value Measurements</u>	13
	<u>Note 6. Cash Instruments</u>	23
	<u>Note 7. Derivatives and Hedging Activities</u>	32
	<u>Note 8. Fair Value Option</u>	46
	<u>Note 9. Collateralized Agreements and Financings</u>	50
	<u>Note 10. Securitization Activities</u>	53
	<u>Note 11. Variable Interest Entities</u>	56
	<u>Note 12. Other Assets</u>	61
	<u>Note 13. Goodwill and Identifiable Intangible Assets</u>	62
	<u>Note 14. Deposits</u>	64
	<u>Note 15. Short-Term Borrowings</u>	64
	<u>Note 16. Long-Term Borrowings</u>	65
	<u>Note 17. Other Liabilities and Accrued Expenses</u>	68
	<u>Note 18. Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees</u>	69
	<u>Note 19. Shareholders' Equity</u>	74
	<u>Note 20. Regulation and Capital Adequacy</u>	77
	<u>Note 21. Earnings Per Common Share</u>	81
	<u>Note 22. Transactions with Affiliated Funds</u>	82
	<u>Note 23. Interest Income and Interest Expense</u>	83
	<u>Note 24. Income Taxes</u>	83

	<u>Note 25. Business Segments</u>	84
	<u>Note 26. Credit Concentrations</u>	88
	<u>Note 27. Legal Proceedings</u>	89
	<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	101
	<u>Statistical Disclosures</u>	102
<u>Item 2</u>	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	106
<u>Item 3</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	167
<u>Item 4</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	167
<u>PART II</u>	<u>OTHER INFORMATION</u>	167
<u>Item 1</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	167
<u>Item 2</u>	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	168
<u>Item 6</u>	<u>Exhibits</u>	169
<u>SIGNATURES</u>		170

EX-12.1: STATEMENT RE: COMPUTATION OF RATIOS OF EARNINGS TO FIXED CHARGES AND RATIOS OF EARNINGS TO COMBINED FIXED CHARGES AND PREFERRED STOCK DIVIDENDS

EX-15.1: LETTER RE: UNAUDITED INTERIM FINANCIAL INFORMATION

EX-31.1: RULE 13A-14(A) CERTIFICATIONS

EX-32.1: SECTION 1350 CERTIFICATIONS

EX-101 INSTANCE DOCUMENT

EX-101 SCHEMA DOCUMENT

EX-101 CALCULATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT

EX-101 LABELS LINKBASE DOCUMENT

EX-101 PRESENTATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT

EX-101 DEFINITION LINKBASE DOCUMENT

Table of Contents**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited)****THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EARNINGS
(UNAUDITED)**

<i>in millions, except per share amounts</i>	Three Months Ended June		Six Months Ended June	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Revenues				
Investment banking	\$ 1,448	\$ 941	\$ 2,717	\$ 2,144
Investment management	1,188	1,046	2,362	2,054
Commissions and fees	894	978	1,913	1,858
Market making	1,736	2,850	6,198	9,235
Other principal transactions	602	1,407	3,214	3,288
Total non-interest revenues	5,868	7,222	16,404	18,579
Interest income	3,681	3,302	6,788	6,303
Interest expense	2,268	1,683	4,017	3,266
Net interest income	1,413	1,619	2,771	3,037
Net revenues, including net interest income	7,281	8,841	19,175	21,616
Operating expenses				
Compensation and benefits	3,204	3,802	8,437	9,295
U.K. bank payroll tax		600		600
Brokerage, clearing, exchange and distribution fees	615	622	1,235	1,184
Market development	183	116	362	226
Communications and technology	210	186	408	362
Depreciation and amortization	372	437	962	809
Occupancy	252	274	519	530
Professional fees	263	227	496	409

Edgar Filing: GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Other expenses	570	1,129	1,104	1,594
Total non-compensation expenses	2,465	2,991	5,086	5,114
Total operating expenses	5,669	7,393	13,523	15,009
Pre-tax earnings	1,612	1,448	5,652	6,607
Provision for taxes	525	835	1,830	2,538
Net earnings	1,087	613	3,822	4,069
Preferred stock dividends	35	160	1,862	320
Net earnings applicable to common shareholders	\$ 1,052	\$ 453	\$ 1,960	\$ 3,749
Earnings per common share				
Basic	\$ 1.96	\$ 0.82	\$ 3.62	\$ 6.87
Diluted	1.85	0.78	3.40	6.41
Dividends declared per common share	\$ 0.35	\$ 0.35	\$ 0.70	\$ 0.70
Average common shares outstanding				
Basic	531.9	539.8	536.2	542.9
Diluted	569.5	580.4	576.4	585.2

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION
(UNAUDITED)**

<i>in millions, except share and per share amounts</i>	June 2011	As of December 2010
Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 45,433	\$ 39,788
Cash and securities segregated for regulatory and other purposes (includes \$42,343 and \$36,182 at fair value as of June 2011 and December 2010, respectively)	61,491	53,731
Collateralized agreements:		
Securities purchased under agreements to resell and federal funds sold (includes \$162,285 and \$188,355 at fair value as of June 2011 and December 2010, respectively)	162,285	188,355
Securities borrowed (includes \$61,865 and \$48,822 at fair value as of June 2011 and December 2010, respectively)	175,472	166,306
Receivables from brokers, dealers and clearing organizations	16,785	10,437
Receivables from customers and counterparties (includes \$7,674 and \$7,202 at fair value as of June 2011 and December 2010, respectively)	77,367	67,703
Financial instruments owned, at fair value (includes \$57,687 and \$51,010 pledged as collateral as of June 2011 and December 2010, respectively)	370,605	356,953
Other assets	27,472	28,059
Total assets	\$ 936,910	\$ 911,332
Liabilities and shareholders equity		
Deposits (includes \$2,165 and \$1,975 at fair value as of June 2011 and December 2010, respectively)	\$ 39,004	\$ 38,569
Collateralized financings:		
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase, at fair value	155,450	162,345

Securities loaned (includes \$4,839 and \$1,514 at fair value as of June 2011 and December 2010, respectively)	14,474	11,212
Other secured financings (includes \$26,448 and \$31,794 at fair value as of June 2011 and December 2010, respectively)	34,520	38,377
Payables to brokers, dealers and clearing organizations	6,412	3,234
Payables to customers and counterparties	203,382	187,270
Financial instruments sold, but not yet purchased, at fair value	149,639	140,717
Unsecured short-term borrowings, including the current portion of unsecured long-term borrowings (includes \$22,334 and \$22,116 at fair value as of June 2011 and December 2010, respectively)	56,554	47,842
Unsecured long-term borrowings (includes \$20,130 and \$18,171 at fair value as of June 2011 and December 2010, respectively)	175,210	174,399
Other liabilities and accrued expenses (includes \$7,487 and \$2,972 at fair value as of June 2011 and December 2010, respectively)	29,909	30,011
Total liabilities	864,554	833,976
Commitments, contingencies and guarantees		
Shareholders equity		
Preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share; aggregate liquidation preference of \$3,100 and \$8,100 as of June 2011 and December 2010, respectively	3,100	6,957
Common stock, par value \$0.01 per share; 4,000,000,000 shares authorized, 790,705,627 and 770,949,268 shares issued as of June 2011 and December 2010, respectively, and 507,855,774 and 507,530,772 shares outstanding as of June 2011 and December 2010, respectively	8	8
Restricted stock units and employee stock options	5,200	7,706
Nonvoting common stock, par value \$0.01 per share; 200,000,000 shares authorized, no shares issued and outstanding		
Additional paid-in capital	44,955	42,103
Retained earnings	58,659	57,163
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(347)	(286)
	(39,219)	(36,295)

Stock held in treasury, at cost, par value \$0.01 per share; 282,849,855 and 263,418,498 shares as of June 2011 and December 2010, respectively

Total shareholders' equity	72,356	77,356
----------------------------	---------------	--------

Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 936,910	\$ 911,332
---	-------------------	-------------------

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY
(UNAUDITED)**

<i>in millions</i>	<u>Six Months</u> <u>Ended</u> <u>June</u> <u>2011</u>	<u>Year Ended</u> <u>December</u> <u>2010</u>
Preferred stock		
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 6,957	\$ 6,957
Repurchased	(3,857)	
Balance, end of period	3,100	6,957
Common stock		
Balance, beginning of year	8	8
Issued		
Balance, end of period	8	8
Restricted stock units and employee stock options		
Balance, beginning of year	7,706	6,245
Issuance and amortization of restricted stock units and employee stock options	2,019	4,137
Delivery of common stock underlying restricted stock units	(4,453)	(2,521)
Forfeiture of restricted stock units and employee stock options	(68)	(149)
Exercise of employee stock options	(4)	(6)
Balance, end of period	5,200	7,706
Additional paid-in capital		
Balance, beginning of year	42,103	39,770
Delivery of common stock underlying restricted stock units and proceeds from the exercise of employee stock options	4,545	3,067
Cancellation of restricted stock units in satisfaction of withholding tax requirements	(1,782)	(972)
Excess net tax benefit related to share-based compensation	125	239
Cash settlement of share-based compensation	(36)	(1)
Balance, end of period	44,955	42,103
Retained earnings		
Balance, beginning of year	57,163	50,252
Net earnings	3,822	8,354

Dividends and dividend equivalents declared on common stock and restricted stock units	(394)	(802)
Dividends on preferred stock	(1,932)	(641)
Balance, end of period	58,659	57,163
Accumulated other comprehensive income/(loss)		
Balance, beginning of year	(286)	(362)
Currency translation adjustment, net of tax	(35)	(38)
Pension and postretirement liability adjustments, net of tax	3	88
Net unrealized gains/(losses) on available-for-sale securities, net of tax	(29)	26
Balance, end of period	(347)	(286)
Stock held in treasury, at cost		
Balance, beginning of year	(36,295)	(32,156)
Repurchased	(2,981)	(4,185)
Reissued	57	46
Balance, end of period	(39,219)	(36,295)
Total shareholders equity	\$ 72,356	\$ 77,356

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(UNAUDITED)**

<i>in millions</i>	Six Months Ended June	
	2011	2010
Cash flows from operating activities		
Net earnings	\$ 3,822	\$ 4,069
Non-cash items included in net earnings		
Depreciation and amortization	966	815
Share-based compensation	1,985	2,594
Changes in operating assets and liabilities		
Cash and securities segregated for regulatory and other purposes	(7,759)	(19,748)
Net receivables from brokers, dealers and clearing organizations	(3,170)	(1,336)
Net payables to customers and counterparties	3,884	3,263
Securities borrowed, net of securities loaned	(5,904)	(4,296)
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase, net of securities purchased under agreements to resell and federal funds sold	19,175	(3,452)
Financial instruments owned, at fair value	(9,966)	16,823
Financial instruments sold, but not yet purchased, at fair value	8,919	18,145
Other, net	703	(14,428)
Net cash provided by operating activities	12,655	2,449
Cash flows from investing activities		
Purchase of property, leasehold improvements and equipment	(520)	(452)
Proceeds from sales of property, leasehold improvements and equipment	33	49
Business acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(247)	(753)
Proceeds from sales of investments	966	445
Purchase of available-for-sale securities	(1,122)	(1,248)
Proceeds from sales of available-for-sale securities	1,339	1,269
Net cash provided by/(used for) investing activities	449	(690)
Cash flows from financing activities		
Unsecured short-term borrowings, net	298	204
Other secured financings (short-term), net	(461)	1,392
Proceeds from issuance of other secured financings (long-term)	2,334	1,752
Repayment of other secured financings (long-term), including the current portion	(5,363)	(2,528)
Proceeds from issuance of unsecured long-term borrowings	17,470	9,518
Repayment of unsecured long-term borrowings, including the current portion	(13,686)	(13,458)
Derivative contracts with a financing element, net	244	536
Deposits, net	435	(2,394)

Edgar Filing: GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Preferred stock repurchased	(3,857)	
Common stock repurchased	(2,980)	(2,269)
Dividends and dividend equivalents paid on common stock, preferred stock and restricted stock units	(2,326)	(722)
Proceeds from issuance of common stock, including stock option exercises	123	250
Excess tax benefit related to share-based compensation	346	271
Cash settlement of share-based compensation	(36)	(1)
Net cash used for financing activities	(7,459)	(7,449)
Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	5,645	(5,690)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	39,788	38,291
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 45,433	\$ 32,601

SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES:

Cash payments for interest, net of capitalized interest, were \$4.02 billion and \$3.75 billion during the six months ended June 2011 and June 2010, respectively.

Cash payments for income taxes, net of refunds, were \$1.79 billion and \$2.77 billion during the six months ended June 2011 and June 2010, respectively.

Non-cash activities:

The firm assumed \$90 million of debt in connection with business acquisitions during the six months ended June 2010. In addition, in the first quarter of 2010, the firm recorded an increase of approximately \$3 billion in both assets (primarily financial instruments owned, at fair value) and liabilities (primarily unsecured short-term borrowings and other liabilities) upon adoption of Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2009-17, Consolidations (Topic 810) Improvements to Financial Reporting by Enterprises Involved with Variable Interest Entities.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
(UNAUDITED)**

<i>in millions</i>	Three Months Ended June		Six Months Ended June	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Net earnings	\$ 1,087	\$ 613	\$ 3,822	\$ 4,069
Currency translation adjustment, net of tax	(13)	(10)	(35)	(14)
Pension and postretirement liability adjustments, net of tax	2	5	3	11
Net unrealized gains/(losses) on available-for-sale securities, net of tax	(6)	43	(29)	47
Comprehensive income	\$ 1,070	\$ 651	\$ 3,761	\$ 4,113

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)**

Note 1. Description of Business

The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. (Group Inc.), a Delaware corporation, together with its consolidated subsidiaries (collectively, the firm), is a leading global investment banking, securities and investment management firm that provides a wide range of financial services to a substantial and diversified client base that includes corporations, financial institutions, governments and high-net-worth individuals. Founded in 1869, the firm is headquartered in New York and maintains offices in all major financial centers around the world.

The firm reports its activities in the following four business segments:

Investment Banking

The firm provides a broad range of investment banking services to a diverse group of corporations, financial institutions, investment funds and governments. Services include advisory assignments with respect to mergers and acquisitions, divestitures, corporate defense activities, risk management, restructurings and spin-offs, and debt and equity underwriting of public offerings and private placements, as well as derivative transactions directly related to these activities.

Institutional Client Services

The firm facilitates client transactions and makes markets in fixed income, equity, currency and commodity products, primarily with institutional clients such as corporates, financial institutions, investment funds and governments. The firm also makes markets and clears client transactions on major stock, options and futures exchanges worldwide and provides financing, securities lending and prime brokerage services to institutional clients.

Investing & Lending

The firm invests in and originates loans to provide financing to clients. These investments and loans are typically longer-term in nature. The firm makes investments, directly and indirectly through funds that the firm manages, in debt securities, loans, public and private equity securities, real estate, consolidated investment entities and power generation facilities.

Investment Management

The firm provides investment management services and offers investment products (primarily through separately managed accounts and commingled vehicles, such as mutual funds and private investment funds) across all major asset classes to a diverse set of institutional and individual clients. The firm also offers wealth advisory services, including portfolio management and financial counseling, and brokerage and other transaction services to high-net-worth individuals and families.

Note 2. Basis of Presentation

These condensed consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (U.S. GAAP) and include the accounts of Group Inc. and all other entities in which the firm has a controlling financial interest. Intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated.

These condensed consolidated financial statements are unaudited and should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements included in the firm's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. References to the firm's Annual Report on Form 10-K are to the firm's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. The condensed consolidated financial information as of December 31, 2010 has been derived from audited consolidated financial statements not included herein.

These unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments that are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair statement of the results for the interim periods presented. These adjustments are of a normal, recurring nature. Interim period operating results may not be indicative of the operating results for a full year.

All references to June 2011 and June 2010, unless specifically stated otherwise, refer to the firm's periods ended, or the dates, as the context requires, June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010, respectively. All references to March 2011 and December 2010, unless specifically stated otherwise, refer to the dates March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. Certain reclassifications have been made to previously reported amounts to conform to the current presentation.

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)****Note 3. Significant Accounting Policies**

The firm's significant accounting policies include when and how to measure the fair value of assets and liabilities, accounting for goodwill and identifiable intangible assets, and when to consolidate an entity. See Notes 5 through 8 for policies on fair value measurements, Note 13 for policies on goodwill and identifiable intangible assets, and below and Note 11 for policies on consolidation accounting. All other significant accounting policies are either discussed below or included in the following footnotes:

Financial Instruments Owned, at Fair Value and Financial Instruments Sold, But Not Yet Purchased, at Fair Value	Note 4
Fair Value Measurements	Note 5
Cash Instruments	Note 6
Derivatives and Hedging Activities	Note 7
Fair Value Option	Note 8
Collateralized Agreements and Financings	Note 9
Securitization Activities	Note 10
Variable Interest Entities	Note 11
Other Assets	Note 12
Goodwill and Identifiable Intangible Assets	Note 13
Deposits	Note 14
Short-Term Borrowings	Note 15
Long-Term Borrowings	Note 16
Other Liabilities and Accrued Expenses	Note 17
Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees	Note 18
Shareholders' Equity	Note 19
Regulation and Capital Adequacy	Note 20
Earnings Per Common Share	Note 21
Transactions with Affiliated Funds	Note 22
Interest Income and Interest Expense	Note 23
Income Taxes	Note 24
Business Segments	Note 25
Credit Concentrations	Note 26
Legal Proceedings	Note 27

Consolidation

The firm consolidates entities in which the firm has a controlling financial interest. The firm determines whether it has a controlling financial interest in an entity by first evaluating whether the entity is a voting interest entity or a variable interest entity (VIE).

Voting Interest Entities. Voting interest entities are entities in which (i) the total equity investment at risk is sufficient to enable the entity to finance its activities independently and (ii) the equity holders have the power to direct the activities of the entity that most significantly impact its economic performance, the obligation to absorb the losses of the entity and the right to receive the residual returns of the entity. The usual condition for a controlling financial interest in a voting interest entity is ownership of a majority voting interest. If the firm has a majority voting interest in a voting interest entity, the entity is consolidated.

Variable Interest Entities. A VIE is an entity that lacks one or more of the characteristics of a voting interest entity. The firm has a controlling financial interest in a VIE when the firm has a variable interest or interests that provide it with (i) the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance and (ii) the obligation to absorb losses of the VIE or the right to receive benefits from the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE. See Note 11 for further information about VIEs.

Equity-Method Investments. When the firm does not have a controlling financial interest in an entity but can exert significant influence over the entity's operating and financial policies, the investment is accounted for either (i) under the equity method of accounting or (ii) at fair value by electing the fair value option available under U.S. GAAP. Significant influence generally exists when the firm owns 20% to 50% of the entity's common stock or in-substance common stock.

In general, the firm accounts for investments acquired subsequent to November 24, 2006, when the fair value option became available, at fair value. In certain cases, the firm applies the equity method of accounting to new investments that are strategic in nature or closely related to the firm's principal business activities, when the firm has a significant degree of involvement in the cash flows or operations of the investee or when cost-benefit considerations are less significant. See Note 12 for further information about equity-method investments.

Table of Contents

THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)**

Investment Funds. The firm has formed numerous investment funds with third-party investors. These funds are typically organized as limited partnerships or limited liability companies for which the firm acts as general partner or manager. Generally, the firm does not hold a majority of the economic interests in these funds. These funds are usually voting interest entities and generally are not consolidated because third-party investors typically have rights to terminate the funds or to remove the firm as general partner or manager. Investments in these funds are included in Financial instruments owned, at fair value. See Notes 6, 18 and 22 for further information about investments in funds.

Use of Estimates

Preparation of these condensed consolidated financial statements requires management to make certain estimates and assumptions, the most important of which relate to fair value measurements, accounting for goodwill and identifiable intangible assets, discretionary compensation accruals and the provision for losses that may arise from litigation, regulatory proceedings and tax audits. These estimates and assumptions are based on the best available information but actual results could be materially different.

Revenue Recognition

Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities at Fair Value. Financial instruments owned, at fair value and Financial instruments sold, but not yet purchased, at fair value are recorded at fair value either under the fair value option or in accordance with other U.S. GAAP. In addition, the firm has elected to account for certain of its other financial assets and financial liabilities at fair value by electing the fair value option. The fair value of a financial instrument is the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Financial assets are marked to bid prices and financial liabilities are marked to offer prices. Fair value measurements do not include transaction costs. Fair value gains or losses are generally included in Market making for positions in Institutional Client Services and Other principal transactions for positions in Investing & Lending. See Notes 5 through 8 for further information about fair value measurements.

Investment Banking. Fees from financial advisory assignments and underwriting revenues are recognized in earnings when the services related to the underlying transaction are completed under the terms of the assignment. Expenses associated with such transactions are deferred until the related revenue is recognized or the assignment is otherwise concluded. Expenses associated with financial advisory assignments are recorded as non-compensation expenses, net of client reimbursements. Underwriting revenues are presented net of related expenses.

Investment Management. The firm earns management fees and incentive fees for investment management services. Management fees are calculated as a percentage of net asset value, invested capital or commitments, and are recognized over the period that the related service is provided. Incentive fees are calculated as a percentage of a fund's or separately managed account's return, or excess return above a specified benchmark or other performance target. Incentive fees are generally based on investment performance over a 12-month period or over the life of a fund. Fees that are based on performance over a 12-month period are subject to adjustment prior to the end of the measurement period. For fees that are based on investment performance over the life of the fund, future investment underperformance may require fees previously distributed to the firm to be returned to the fund. Incentive fees are recognized only when all material contingencies have been resolved. Management and incentive fee revenues are included in Investment management revenues.

Commissions and Fees. The firm earns Commissions and fees from executing and clearing client transactions on stock, options and futures markets. Commissions and fees are recognized on the day the trade is executed.

Table of Contents

THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)**

Transfers of Assets

Transfers of assets are accounted for as sales when the firm has relinquished control over the assets transferred. For transfers of assets accounted for as sales, any related gains or losses are recognized in net revenues. Assets or liabilities that arise from the firm's continuing involvement with transferred assets are measured at fair value. For transfers of assets that are not accounted for as sales, the assets remain in Financial instruments owned, at fair value and the transfer is accounted for as a collateralized financing, with the related interest expense recognized over the life of the transaction. See Note 9 for further information about transfers of assets accounted for as collateralized financings and Note 10 for further information about transfers of assets accounted for as sales.

Receivables from Customers and Counterparties

Receivables from customers and counterparties generally consist of collateralized receivables, primarily customer margin loans, related to client transactions. Certain of the firm's receivables from customers and counterparties are accounted for at fair value under the fair value option, with changes in fair value generally included in Market making revenues. See Note 8 for further information about the fair values of these receivables. Receivables from customers and counterparties not accounted for at fair value are accounted for at amortized cost net of estimated uncollectible amounts, which generally approximates fair value. Interest on receivables from customers and counterparties is recognized over the life of the transaction and included in Interest income.

Insurance Activities

Certain of the firm's insurance and reinsurance contracts are accounted for at fair value under the fair value option, with changes in fair value included in Market making revenues. See Note 8 for further information about the fair values of these insurance and reinsurance contracts.

Revenues from variable annuity and life insurance and reinsurance contracts not accounted for at fair value generally consist of fees assessed on contract holder account balances for mortality charges, policy administration fees and surrender charges. These revenues are recognized in earnings over the period that services are provided and are included in Market making revenues. Interest credited to variable annuity and life insurance and reinsurance contract account balances and changes in reserves are recognized in Other expenses.

Premiums earned for underwriting property catastrophe reinsurance are recognized in earnings over the coverage period, net of premiums ceded for the cost of reinsurance, and are included in Market making revenues. Expenses for liabilities related to property catastrophe reinsurance claims, including estimates of losses that have been incurred but not reported, are included in Other expenses.

Table of Contents

THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)**

Share-based Compensation

The cost of employee services received in exchange for a share-based award is generally measured based on the grant-date fair value of the award. Share-based awards that do not require future service (i.e., vested awards, including awards granted to retirement-eligible employees) are expensed immediately. Share-based employee awards that require future service are amortized over the relevant service period. Expected forfeitures are included in determining share-based employee compensation expense.

The firm pays cash dividend equivalents on outstanding restricted stock units (RSUs). Dividend equivalents paid on RSUs are generally charged to retained earnings. Dividend equivalents paid on RSUs expected to be forfeited are included in compensation expense.

The firm accounts for the tax benefit related to dividend equivalents paid on RSUs as an increase to additional paid-in capital.

In certain cases, primarily related to the death of an employee or conflicted employment (as outlined in the applicable award agreements), the firm may cash settle share-based compensation awards. For awards accounted for as equity instruments, additional paid-in capital is adjusted to the extent of the difference between the current value of the award and the grant-date value of the award.

Foreign Currency Translation

Assets and liabilities denominated in non-U.S. currencies are translated at rates of exchange prevailing on the date of the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition and revenues and expenses are translated at average rates of exchange for the period. Foreign currency remeasurement gains or losses on transactions in nonfunctional currencies are recognized in earnings. Gains or losses on translation of the financial statements of a non-U.S. operation, when the functional currency is other than the U.S. dollar, are included, net of hedges and taxes, in the condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The firm defines cash equivalents as highly liquid overnight deposits held in the ordinary course of business. As of June 2011 and December 2010, Cash and cash equivalents included \$5.02 billion and \$5.75 billion, respectively, of cash and due from banks, and \$40.41 billion and \$34.04 billion, respectively, of interest-bearing deposits with banks.

Recent Accounting Developments

Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements. In January 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-06, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (Topic 820) Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements. ASU No. 2010-06 provides amended disclosure requirements related to fair value measurements. Certain of these disclosure requirements became effective for the firm beginning in the first quarter of 2010, while others became effective for the firm beginning in the first quarter of 2011. Since these amended principles require only additional disclosures concerning fair value measurements, adoption did not affect the firm's financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Reconsideration of Effective Control for Repurchase Agreements. In April 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-03, Transfers and Servicing (Topic 860) Reconsideration of Effective Control for Repurchase Agreements. ASU No. 2011-03 changes the assessment of effective control by removing (i) the criterion that requires the transferor to have the ability to repurchase or redeem financial assets on substantially the agreed terms, even in the event of default by the transferee, and (ii) the collateral maintenance implementation guidance related to that criterion. ASU No. 2011-03 is effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of ASU No. 2011-03 will not affect the firm's financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs (FASB Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 820). In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-04, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (Topic 820) Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs. ASU No. 2011-04 clarifies the application of existing fair value measurement and disclosure requirements, changes certain principles related to measuring fair value, and requires additional disclosures about fair value measurements. ASU No. 2011-04 is effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The firm is currently evaluating the impact of adoption.

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)****Note 4. Financial Instruments Owned, at Fair Value and Financial Instruments Sold, But Not Yet Purchased, at Fair Value**

Financial instruments owned, at fair value and financial instruments sold, but not yet purchased, at fair value are accounted for at fair value either under the fair value option or in accordance with other U.S. GAAP. See Note 8 for further information about the fair value option. The table below presents the firm's financial instruments owned, at fair value, including those

pledged as collateral, and financial instruments sold, but not yet purchased, at fair value. Financial instruments owned, at fair value included \$3.48 billion and \$3.67 billion as of June 2011 and December 2010, respectively, of securities accounted for as available-for-sale, substantially all of which are held in the firm's insurance subsidiaries.

<i>in millions</i>	As of June 2011		As of December 2010	
	Financial Instruments Owned	Financial Instruments Sold, But Not Yet Purchased	Financial Instruments Owned	Financial Instruments Sold, But Not Yet Purchased
Commercial paper, certificates of deposit, time deposits and other money market instruments	\$ 7,186	\$	\$ 11,262 ⁴	\$
U.S. government and federal agency obligations	87,075	29,392	84,928	23,264
Non-U.S. government obligations	55,023	28,622	40,675	29,009
Mortgage and other asset-backed loans and securities:				
Loans and securities backed by commercial real estate	6,693		6,200	5
Loans and securities backed by residential real estate	7,716	12	9,404	6
Loan portfolios ¹	1,238		1,438	
Bank loans and bridge loans	18,927	1,823 ³	18,039	1,487 ³
Corporate debt securities	25,582	9,267	24,719	7,219
State and municipal obligations	3,328		2,792	
Other debt obligations	2,554	4	3,232	
Equities and convertible debentures	71,626	33,019	67,833	24,988
Commodities	10,133	8	13,138	9

Derivatives ²	73,524	47,492	73,293	54,730
Total	\$ 370,605	\$ 149,639	\$ 356,953	\$ 140,717

1. Consists of acquired portfolios of distressed loans, primarily backed by commercial and residential real estate.
2. Net of cash collateral received or posted under credit support agreements and reported on a net-by-counterparty basis when a legal right of setoff exists under an enforceable netting agreement.
3. Includes the fair value of unfunded commitments to extend credit. The fair value of partially funded commitments is primarily included in Financial instruments owned, at fair value.
4. Includes \$4.06 billion as of December 2010 of money market instruments held by William Street Funding Corporation (Funding Corp.) to support the William Street credit extension program. See Note 18 for further information about the William Street credit extension program.

12

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)****Gains and Losses from Market Making and Other Principal Transactions**

The table below presents, by major product type, the firm's Market making and Other principal transactions revenues. These gains/(losses) are primarily related to the firm's financial instruments owned, at fair value and financial instruments sold, but not yet purchased, at fair value, including both derivative and non-derivative financial instruments. These gains/(losses) exclude related interest income and interest expense. See Note 23 for further information about interest income and interest expense.

The gains/(losses) in the table are not representative of the manner in which the firm manages its business activities because many of the firm's market making, client facilitation, and investing and lending strategies utilize financial instruments across various product types. Accordingly, gains or losses in one product type frequently offset gains or losses in other product types.

For example, most of the firm's longer-term derivatives are sensitive to changes in interest rates and may be economically hedged with interest rate swaps. Similarly, a significant portion of the firm's cash instruments and derivatives has exposure to foreign currencies and may be economically hedged with foreign currency contracts.

<i>in millions</i>	Three Months Ended June		Six Months Ended June	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Interest rates	\$ 1,034	\$ (2,836)	\$ 3,440	\$ (4,768)
Credit	929	2,216	2,980	6,449
Currencies	(984)	3,601	(2,590)	7,040
Equities	1,024	580	3,874	1,961
Commodities	(71)	77	886	686
Other	406	619	822	1,155
Total	\$ 2,338	\$ 4,257	\$ 9,412	\$ 12,523

Note 5. Fair Value Measurements

The fair value of a financial instrument is the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Financial assets are marked to bid prices and financial liabilities are marked to offer prices. Fair value measurements do not include transaction costs.

The best evidence of fair value is a quoted price in an active market. If listed prices or quotations are not available, fair value is determined by reference to prices for similar instruments, quoted prices or recent transactions in less active

markets, or internally developed models that primarily use, as inputs, market-based or independently sourced parameters, including but not limited to interest rates, volatilities, equity or debt prices, foreign exchange rates, commodities prices and credit curves.

U.S. GAAP has a three-level fair value hierarchy for disclosure of fair value measurements. The fair value hierarchy prioritizes inputs to the valuation techniques used to measure fair value, giving the highest priority to level 1 inputs and the lowest priority to level 3 inputs. A financial instrument's level in the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of any input that is significant to its fair value measurement.

The fair value hierarchy is as follows:

Level 1. Inputs are unadjusted quoted prices in active markets to which the firm had access at the measurement date for identical, unrestricted assets or liabilities.

Level 2. Inputs to valuation techniques are observable, either directly or indirectly.

Level 3. One or more inputs to valuation techniques are significant and unobservable.

See Notes 6 and 7 for further information about fair value measurements of cash instruments and derivatives, respectively.

The fair value of certain level 2 and level 3 financial assets and financial liabilities may include valuation adjustments for counterparty and the firm's credit quality, transfer restrictions, large and/or concentrated positions, illiquidity and bid/offer inputs. See Notes 6 and 7 for further information about valuation adjustments.

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)**

Level 3 financial assets are summarized below.

<i>in millions</i>	June 2011	As of March 2011	December 2010
Total level 3 financial assets	\$ 47,007	\$ 45,843	\$ 45,377
Total assets	\$ 936,910	\$ 933,289	\$ 911,332
Total financial assets at fair value	\$ 644,772	\$ 641,556	\$ 637,514
Total level 3 financial assets as a percentage of Total assets	5.0%	4.9%	5.0%
Total level 3 financial assets as a percentage of Total financial assets at fair value	7.3%	7.1%	7.1%

The increase in level 3 assets during the three months ended June 2011 primarily reflected an increase in private equity investments, principally due to transfers from level 2. The increase in level 3 assets during the six months ended June 2011 primarily reflected an increase in private equity investments, principally due to purchases and transfers from level 2, partially offset by a decrease in credit derivatives, primarily due to transfers to level 2 and settlements.

Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities by Level

The tables below present, by level within the fair value hierarchy, financial instruments owned, at fair value and financial instruments sold, but not yet purchased, at fair value, and other financial assets and financial liabilities accounted for at fair value under the fair value option. See Notes 6 and 7 for further information on the assets and liabilities included in cash instruments and derivatives, respectively, and their valuation methodologies and inputs. See Note 8 for the valuation methodologies and inputs for other financial assets and financial liabilities accounted for at fair value under the fair value option.

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)**

<i>in millions</i>	Financial Assets at Fair Value as of June 2011				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Netting and Collateral	Total
Total cash instruments	\$ 131,798	\$ 130,418	\$ 34,865	\$	\$ 297,081
Total derivatives	157	166,689	11,522	(104,844) ³	73,524
Financial instruments owned, at fair value	131,955	297,107	46,387	(104,844)	370,605
Securities segregated for regulatory and other purposes	15,770 ¹	26,573 ²			42,343
Securities purchased under agreements to resell		161,986	299		162,285
Securities borrowed		61,865			61,865
Receivables from customers and counterparties		7,353	321		7,674
Total	\$ 147,725	\$ 554,884	\$ 47,007	\$ (104,844)	\$ 644,772

<i>in millions</i>	Financial Liabilities at Fair Value as of June 2011				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Netting and Collateral	Total
Total cash instruments	\$ 89,231	\$ 12,304	\$ 612	\$	\$ 102,147
Total derivatives	60	59,738	5,291	(17,597) ³	47,492
Financial instruments sold, but not yet purchased, at fair value	89,291	72,042	5,903	(17,597)	149,639
Deposits		2,165			2,165
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase		153,374	2,076		155,450
Securities loaned		4,839			4,839
Other secured financings		21,151	5,297		26,448
Unsecured short-term borrowings		19,233	3,101		22,334

Unsecured long-term borrowings	17,576	2,554		20,130
Other liabilities and accrued expenses	543	6,944		7,487

Total **\$ 89,291** **\$ 290,923** **\$ 25,875 ⁴** **\$ (17,597)** **\$ 388,492**

1. Principally consists of U.S. Department of the Treasury (U.S. Treasury) securities and money market instruments, as well as insurance separate account assets measured at fair value.
2. Principally consists of securities borrowed and resale agreements. The underlying securities have been segregated to satisfy certain regulatory requirements.
3. Represents cash collateral and the impact of netting across levels of the fair value hierarchy. Netting among positions classified in the same level is included in that level.
4. Level 3 liabilities were 6.7% of total financial liabilities at fair value.

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)****Financial Assets at Fair Value as of December 2010**

<i>in millions</i>	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Netting and Collateral	Total
Total cash instruments	\$ 117,800	\$ 133,653	\$ 32,207	\$	\$ 283,660
Total derivatives	93	172,513	12,772	(112,085) ³	73,293
Financial instruments owned, at fair value	117,893	306,166	44,979	(112,085)	356,953
Securities segregated for regulatory and other purposes	19,794 ¹	16,388 ²			36,182
Securities purchased under agreements to resell		188,255	100		188,355
Securities borrowed		48,822			48,822
Receivables from customers and counterparties		6,904	298		7,202
Total	\$ 137,687	\$ 566,535	\$ 45,377	\$ (112,085)	\$ 637,514

Financial Liabilities at Fair Value as of December 2010

<i>in millions</i>	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Netting and Collateral	Total
Total cash instruments	\$ 75,668	\$ 9,873	\$ 446	\$	\$ 85,987
Total derivatives	45	66,963	5,210	(17,488) ³	54,730
Financial instruments sold, but not yet purchased, at fair value	75,713	76,836	5,656	(17,488)	140,717
Deposits		1,975			1,975
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase		160,285	2,060		162,345
Securities loaned		1,514			1,514
Other secured financings		23,445	8,349		31,794
Unsecured short-term borrowings		18,640	3,476		22,116

Unsecured long-term borrowings	16,067	2,104			18,171
Other liabilities and accrued expenses	563	2,409			2,972

Total **\$ 75,713** **\$ 299,325** **\$ 24,054** ⁴ **\$ (17,488)** **\$ 381,604**

1. Principally consists of U.S. Treasury securities and money market instruments, as well as insurance separate account assets measured at fair value.
2. Principally consists of securities borrowed and resale agreements. The underlying securities have been segregated to satisfy certain regulatory requirements.
3. Represents cash collateral and the impact of netting across levels of the fair value hierarchy. Netting among positions classified in the same level is included in that level.
4. Level 3 liabilities were 6.3% of total financial liabilities at fair value.

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)****Level 3 Unrealized Gains/(Losses)**

Cash Instruments. Level 3 cash instruments are frequently economically hedged with level 1 and level 2 cash instruments and/or level 1, level 2 and level 3 derivatives. Accordingly, gains or losses that are reported in level 3 can be partially offset by gains or losses attributable to level 1 or level 2 cash instruments and/or level 1, level 2 and level 3 derivatives. As a result, gains/(losses) included in the level 3 rollforward below do not necessarily represent the overall impact on the firm's results of operations, liquidity or capital resources.

Derivatives. Gains and losses on level 3 derivatives should be considered in the context of the following:

A derivative with level 1 and/or level 2 inputs is classified in level 3 in its entirety if it has at least one significant level 3 input.

If there is one significant level 3 input, the entire gain or loss from adjusting only observable inputs (i.e., level 1 and level 2 inputs) is classified as level 3.

Gains or losses that have been reported in level 3 resulting from changes in level 1 or level 2 inputs are frequently offset by gains or losses attributable to level 1 or level 2 derivatives and/or level 1, level 2 and level 3 cash instruments. As a result, gains/(losses) included in the level 3 rollforward below do not necessarily represent the overall impact on the firm's results of operations, liquidity or capital resources.

The table below presents the unrealized gains/(losses) on level 3 financial assets and financial liabilities at fair value still held at the period-end. See Notes 6 and 7 for further information about level 3 cash instruments and derivatives, respectively. See Note 8 for further information about other financial assets and financial liabilities at fair value under the fair value option.

<i>in millions</i>	Level 3 Unrealized Gains/(Losses)			
	Three Months		Six Months	
	Ended June		Ended June	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Cash instruments assets	\$ 746	\$ 191	\$ 1,913	\$ 855
Cash instruments liabilities	(95)	(109)	(67)	(60)
Net unrealized gains on level 3 cash instruments	651	82	1,846	795
Derivatives net	456	1,386	93	2,852

Edgar Filing: GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Receivables from customers and counterparties	5	(21)	21	(48)
Other secured financings	5	50	(4)	39
Unsecured short-term borrowings	(82)	224	174	307
Unsecured long-term borrowings	(117)	124	(162)	137
Other liabilities and accrued expenses	(150)	(17)	(303)	47
Total	\$ 768	\$ 1,828	\$ 1,665	\$ 4,129

17

Table of Contents

THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)**

Gains and losses in the table above include:

Three Months Ended June 2011

A net unrealized gain on cash instruments of \$651 million, primarily consisting of unrealized gains on private equity investments, and bank loans and bridge loans, where prices were generally corroborated through market transactions for similar assets during the period.

A net unrealized gain on derivatives of \$456 million, primarily reflecting an unrealized gain on credit derivatives attributable to changes in interest rates (which are level 2 inputs). This gain was partially offset by an unrealized loss on commodity derivatives, primarily due to changes in the volatility inputs (which are level 2 inputs) used to value these derivatives.

Three Months Ended June 2010

A net unrealized gain on cash instruments of \$82 million, primarily consisting of unrealized gains across most asset classes, partially offset by unrealized losses on bank loans and bridge loans.

A net unrealized gain on derivatives of \$1.39 billion, primarily driven by wider credit spreads (which are level 2 inputs) on the underlying instruments, as well as decreases in certain equity prices (which are either level 1 or level 2 inputs). These unrealized gains were substantially offset by unrealized losses on currency, interest rate and credit derivatives, which are classified within level 2 and are used to economically hedge derivatives classified within level 3.

Six Months Ended June 2011

A net unrealized gain on cash instruments of \$1.85 billion, primarily consisting of unrealized gains on bank loans and bridge loans, reflecting generally favorable credit markets, primarily during the first quarter of 2011, and private equity investments, where prices were generally corroborated through market transactions for similar assets during the period.

A net unrealized gain on derivatives of \$93 million, reflecting an unrealized gain on credit derivatives, primarily attributable to changes in interest rates (which are level 2 inputs), partially offset by an unrealized loss on currency derivatives, primarily due to changes in foreign exchange rates (which are level 2 inputs).

Six Months Ended June 2010

A net unrealized gain on cash instruments of \$795 million, primarily consisting of unrealized gains on loans and securities backed by commercial real estate, private equity investments and real estate fund investments, loans and securities backed by residential real estate, and other debt obligations, evidenced by sales of similar assets in each of these asset classes during the period.

A net unrealized gain on derivatives of \$2.85 billion, primarily attributable to changes in foreign exchange rates and interest rates (which are level 2 inputs) underlying certain credit derivatives. These unrealized gains were substantially offset by unrealized losses on currency, interest rate and credit derivatives, which are classified within level 2 and are used to economically hedge derivatives classified within level 3.

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)****Level 3 Rollforward**

If a financial asset or financial liability was transferred to level 3 during a reporting period, its entire gain or loss for the period is included in level 3. Transfers between levels are recognized at the beginning of the reporting period in which they occur.

The tables below present a summary of changes in fair value for all financial assets and financial liabilities categorized as level 3 as of the end of the period.

See Notes 6 and 7 for further information about cash instruments and derivatives, respectively, included in level 3, including information about significant transfers in or out of level 3 financial assets. See Note 8 for other financial assets and financial liabilities at fair value under the fair value option.

Level 3 Financial Assets at Fair Value for the Three Months Ended June 2011

<i>in millions</i>	Balance, beginning of period	Net unrealized gains/(losses) relating to Net realized instruments still held at period-end	Purchases	Sales	Settlements	Net transfers in and/or (out) of level 3	Balance, end of period
Total cash instruments assets	\$ 33,526	\$ 509 ¹	\$ 746 ¹	\$ 2,856	\$ (2,492)	\$ (1,378)	\$ 34,865
Total derivatives net	6,803	(160) ²	456 ^{2,3}	414	(575)	59	6,231
Securities purchased under agreements to	158			181		(40)	299

resell Receivables from customers and counterparties	322	5	(6)	321
--	------------	----------	------------	------------

1. The aggregate amounts include approximately \$277 million, \$606 million and \$372 million reported in Market making, Other principal transactions and Interest income, respectively.
2. The aggregate amounts include approximately \$138 million and \$158 million reported in Market making and Other principal transactions, respectively.
3. Principally resulted from changes in level 2 inputs.

Level 3 Financial Liabilities at Fair Value for the Three Months Ended June 2011

<i>in millions</i>	Balance, beginning of period	Net unrealized (gains)/losses relating to Net realized instruments still held at period-end	Purchases	Sales	Issuances	Settlements	Net transfers in and/or (out) of level 3	Balance, end of period	
Total cash instruments liabilities	\$ 482	\$ 1	\$ 95	\$ (130)	\$ 201	\$	\$ (21)	\$ (16)	\$ 612
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase, at fair value	1,946				130				2,076
Other secured financings	7,107	9	(5)		261	(2,091)	16		5,297
Unsecured short-term borrowings	3,209	(41)	82	(23)	179	(438)	133		3,101
Unsecured long-term borrowings	2,404	6	117	(34)	22	(2)	41		2,554
Other liabilities and accrued expenses	6,852		150			(58)			6,944

There were no significant transfers in or out of level 3 financial liabilities during the three months ended June 2011.

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)****Level 3 Financial Assets at Fair Value for the Six Months Ended June 2011**

<i>in millions</i>	Balance, beginning of year	Net unrealized gains/(losses) relating to		Purchases	Sales	Settlements	Net transfers in and/or (out) of level 3	Balance, end of period
		realized gains/ (losses)	instruments still held at period-end					
Total cash instruments assets	\$32,207	\$ 912 ¹	\$ 1,913 ¹	\$ 7,452	\$ (4,106)	\$ (2,956)	\$ (557)	\$ 34,865
Total derivatives net	7,562	(133) ²	93 ^{2,3}	776	(843)	(414)	(810)	6,231
Securities purchased under agreements to resell	100	2		245		(48)		299
Receivables from customers and counterparties	298		21	14		(12)		321

1. The aggregate amounts include approximately \$688 million, \$1.38 billion and \$758 million reported in Market making, Other principal transactions and Interest income, respectively.
2. The aggregate amounts include approximately \$(52) million and \$12 million reported in Market making and Other principal transactions, respectively.
3. Principally resulted from changes in level 2 inputs.

Level 3 Financial Liabilities at Fair Value for the Six Months Ended June 2011

<i>in millions</i>	Balance, realized instruments beginning of year	Net unrealized (gains)/losses relating to Net to	Net unrealized (gains)/losses relating to Net to	held at period-end	Purchases	Sales	Issuances	Settlements	Net transfers in and/or (out) of level 3	Balance, end of period
Total cash instruments liabilities	\$ 446	\$ (15)	\$ 67	\$ (193)	\$ 325	\$	\$	\$ (15)	\$ (3)	\$ 612
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase, at fair value	2,060					194		(178)		2,076
Other secured financings	8,349	9	4			274		(3,356)	17	5,297
Unsecured short-term borrowings	3,476	68	(174)	(31)		541		(666)	(113)	3,101
Unsecured long-term borrowings	2,104	10	162	(50)		291		(73)	110	2,554
Other liabilities and accrued expenses	2,409		303	4,337				(105)		6,944

Significant transfers in or out of level 3 financial liabilities during the six months ended June 2011 included:

Unsecured short-term borrowings and Unsecured long-term borrowings: net transfer out of level 3 of \$113 million and net transfer into level 3 of

\$110 million, respectively, principally due to a transfer of approximately \$230 million from level 3 Unsecured short-term borrowings to level 3 Unsecured long-term borrowings related to an extension in the tenor of certain borrowings.

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)****Level 3 Financial Assets at Fair Value for the Three Months Ended June 2010**

<i>in millions</i>	Balance, beginning of period	Net realized gains/ (losses)	Net unrealized gains/(losses) relating to	Net purchases, sales and settlements	Net transfers in and/or (out) of level 3	Balance, end of period
			instruments still held at period-end			
Total cash instruments assets	\$32,528	\$ 334 ¹	\$ 191 ¹	\$ (667)	\$ (435)	\$ 31,951
Total derivatives net	6,336	(104) ²	1,386 ^{2,3}	(89)	343	7,872
Securities purchased under agreements to resell	268				(268)	
Receivables from customers and counterparties	234	5	(21)			218

1. The aggregate amounts include approximately \$28 million, \$145 million and \$352 million reported in Market making, Other principal transactions and Interest income, respectively.
2. The aggregate amounts include approximately \$999 million and \$283 million reported in Market making and Other principal transactions, respectively.
3. Principally resulted from changes in level 2 inputs.

Level 3 Financial Liabilities at Fair Value for the Three Months Ended June 2010

<i>in millions</i>	Balance, beginning of period	Net realized (gains)/ losses	Net unrealized (gains)/losses relating to	Net purchases, sales, issuances and settlements	Net transfers in and/or (out) of level 3	Balance, end of period
			instruments still held at period-end			

Total cash instruments liabilities	\$ 483	\$ (112)	\$ 109	\$ 113	\$ 2	\$ 595
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase, at fair value	1,055			531	(167)	1,419
Other secured financings	8,139	12	(50)	38	(53)	8,086
Unsecured short-term borrowings	2,994	47	(224)	(224)	175	2,768
Unsecured long-term borrowings	1,715	2	(124)	(53)	359	1,899
Other liabilities and accrued expenses	2,327	2	17	21	19	2,386

There were no significant transfers in or out of level 3 financial liabilities during the three months ended June 2010.

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)****Level 3 Financial Assets at Fair Value for the Six Months Ended June 2010**

<i>in millions</i>	Balance, beginning of year	Net realized gains/ (losses)	Net unrealized gains/(losses) relating to instruments still held at period-end	Net purchases, sales and settlements	Net transfers in and/or (out) of level 3	Balance, end of period
Total cash instruments assets	\$ 34,879	\$ 735 ¹	\$ 855 ¹	\$ (2,062)	\$ (2,456)	\$ 31,951
Total derivatives net	5,196	(401) ²	2,852 ^{2, 3}	153	72	7,872
Receivables from customers and counterparties		10	(48)		256	218

1. The aggregate amounts include approximately \$416 million, \$516 million and \$658 million reported in Market making, Other principal transactions and Interest income, respectively.
2. The aggregate amounts include approximately \$2.19 billion and \$263 million reported in Market making and Other principal transactions, respectively.
3. Principally resulted from changes in level 2 inputs.

Level 3 Financial Liabilities at Fair Value for the Six Months Ended June 2010

<i>in millions</i>	Balance, beginning of year	Net realized (gains)/ losses	Net unrealized (gains)/losses relating to instruments still held at period-end	Net purchases, sales, issuances and settlements	Net transfers in and/or (out) of level 3	Balance, end of period
Total cash instruments liabilities	\$ 572	\$ (132)	\$ 60	\$ 169	\$ (74)	\$ 595

Securities sold under agreements to repurchase, at fair value	394			1,025		1,419
Other secured financings	6,756	21	(39)	1,174	174	8,086
Unsecured short-term borrowings	2,310	67	(307)	(267)	965	2,768
Unsecured long-term borrowings	3,077	15	(137)	(19)	(1,037)	1,899
Other liabilities and accrued expenses	1,913	5	(47)	22	493	2,386

Significant transfers in or out of level 3 financial liabilities during the six months ended June 2010, which were principally due to the consolidation of certain VIEs upon adoption of ASU No. 2009-17 as of January 1, 2010, included:

Unsecured long-term borrowings: net transfer out of level 3 of \$1.04 billion, principally due to the consolidation of certain VIEs, which caused the firm's borrowings from these VIEs to become intercompany borrowings which were eliminated in consolidation. Substantially all of these borrowings were level 3.

Unsecured short-term borrowings: net transfer into level 3 of \$965 million, principally due to the consolidation of certain VIEs.

Other liabilities and accrued expenses: net transfer into level 3 of \$493 million, principally due to an increase in subordinated liabilities issued by certain consolidated VIEs.

Other secured financings: net transfer into level 3 of \$174 million, principally due to the consolidation of certain VIEs.

Table of Contents

THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)**

Note 6. Cash Instruments

Cash instruments include U.S. government and federal agency obligations, non-U.S. government obligations, bank loans and bridge loans, corporate debt securities, equities and convertible debentures, and other non-derivative financial instruments owned and financial instruments sold, but not yet purchased. See below for the types of cash instruments included in each level of the fair value hierarchy and the valuation techniques and significant inputs used to determine their fair values. See Note 5 for an overview of the firm's fair value measurement policies and the fair value hierarchy.

Level 1 Cash Instruments

Level 1 cash instruments include U.S. government obligations and most non-U.S. government obligations, actively traded listed equities and certain money market instruments. These instruments are valued using quoted prices for identical unrestricted instruments in active markets.

The firm defines active markets for equity instruments based on the average daily trading volume both in absolute terms and relative to the market capitalization for the instrument. The firm defines active markets for debt instruments based on both the average daily trading volume and the number of days with trading activity.

The fair value of a level 1 instrument is calculated as quantity held multiplied by quoted market price. U.S. GAAP prohibits valuation adjustments being applied to level 1 instruments even in situations where the firm holds a large position and a sale could impact the quoted price.

Level 2 Cash Instruments

Level 2 cash instruments include commercial paper, certificates of deposit, time deposits, most government agency obligations, most corporate debt securities, commodities, certain mortgage-backed loans and securities, certain bank loans and bridge loans, less liquid publicly listed equities, certain state and municipal obligations and certain money market instruments and lending commitments.

Valuations of level 2 cash instruments can be verified to quoted prices, recent trading activity for identical or similar instruments, broker or dealer quotations or alternative pricing sources with reasonable levels of price transparency. Consideration is given to the nature of the quotations (e.g., indicative or firm) and the relationship of recent market activity to the prices provided from alternative pricing sources.

Valuation adjustments are typically made to level 2 cash instruments (i) if the cash instrument is subject to transfer restrictions and/or (ii) for other premiums and discounts that a market participant would require to arrive at fair value. Valuation adjustments are generally based on market evidence.

Level 3 Cash Instruments

Level 3 cash instruments have one or more significant valuation inputs that are not observable. Absent evidence to the contrary, level 3 cash instruments are initially valued at transaction price, which is considered to be the best initial estimate of fair value. Subsequently, the firm uses other methodologies to determine fair value, which vary based on the type of instrument. Valuation inputs and assumptions are changed when corroborated by substantive observable evidence, including values realized on sales of level 3 financial assets.

The table below presents the valuation techniques and the nature of significant inputs generally used to determine the fair values of each class of level 3 cash instrument.

Table of Contents

THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)**

Level 3 Cash Instrument	Valuation Techniques and Significant Inputs
Loans and securities backed by commercial real estate	Valuation techniques vary by instrument, but are generally based on discounted cash flow techniques.
Collateralized by a single commercial real estate property or a portfolio of properties	Significant inputs for these valuations include:
May include tranches of varying levels of subordination	Transaction prices in both the underlying collateral and instruments with the same or similar underlying collateral
	Current levels and changes in market indices such as the CMBX (an index that tracks the performance of commercial mortgage bonds)
	Market yields implied by transactions of similar or related assets
	Current performance of the underlying collateral
	Capitalization rates and multiples
Loans and securities backed by residential real estate	Valuation techniques vary by instrument, but are generally based on relative value analyses, discounted cash flow techniques or a combination thereof.
Collateralized by portfolios of residential real estate	Significant inputs are determined based on relative value analyses, which incorporate comparisons to instruments with similar collateral and risk profiles, including relevant indices such as the ABX (an index that tracks the performance of subprime residential mortgage bonds). Significant inputs include:
May include tranches of varying levels of subordination	Home price projections, residential property liquidation timelines and related costs
	Underlying loan prepayment, default and cumulative loss expectations

Transaction prices in both the underlying collateral and instruments with the same or similar underlying collateral

Market yields implied by transactions of similar or related assets

Loan portfolios

Valuations are based on discounted cash flow techniques.

Acquired portfolios of distressed loans
Primarily backed by commercial and residential real estate collateral

Significant inputs are determined based on relative value analyses, which incorporate comparisons to recent auction data for other similar loan portfolios. Significant inputs include:

Amount and timing of expected future cash flows

Market yields implied by transactions of similar or related assets

Bank loans and bridge loans

Valuation techniques vary by instrument, but are generally based on discounted cash flow techniques.

Corporate debt securities

State and municipal obligations

Significant inputs are generally determined based on relative value analyses, which incorporate comparisons both to prices of credit default swaps that reference the same or similar underlying credit risk and to other debt instruments for the same issuer for which observable prices or broker quotations are available. Significant inputs include:

Other debt obligations

Amount and timing of expected future cash flows

Current levels and trends of market indices such as CDX, LCDX and MCDX (indices that track the performance of corporate credit, loans and municipal obligations, respectively)

Market yields implied by transactions of similar or related assets

Current performance and recovery assumptions and, where the firm uses credit default swaps to value the related cash instrument, the cost of borrowing the underlying reference obligation

Equities and convertible debentures

Recent third-party investments or pending transactions are considered to be the best evidence for any change in fair value. When these are not available, the following valuation methodologies are used, as appropriate and available:

Private equity investments

Transactions in similar instruments

Discounted cash flow techniques

Third-party appraisals

Industry multiples and public comparables

Evidence includes recent or pending reorganizations (e.g., merger proposals, tender offers, debt restructurings) and significant changes in financial metrics, such as:

Current financial performance as compared to projected performance

Capitalization rates and multiples

Market yields implied by transactions of similar or related assets

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)****Fair Value of Cash Instruments by Level**

The tables below present, by level within the fair value hierarchy, cash instrument assets and liabilities, at fair value. Cash instrument assets and liabilities are

included in Financial instruments owned, at fair value and Financial instruments sold, but not yet purchased, at fair value, respectively.

<i>in millions</i>	Cash Instrument Assets at Fair Value as of June 2011			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Commercial paper, certificates of deposit, time deposits and other money market instruments	\$ 3,354	\$ 3,832	\$	\$ 7,186
U.S. government and federal agency obligations	34,736	52,339		87,075
Non-U.S. government obligations	49,036	5,987		55,023
Mortgage and other asset-backed loans and securities ¹ :				
Loans and securities backed by commercial real estate		4,298	2,395	6,693
Loans and securities backed by residential real estate		4,981	2,735	7,716
Loan portfolios			1,238	1,238
Bank loans and bridge loans		8,744	10,183	18,927
Corporate debt securities ²	114	22,721	2,747	25,582
State and municipal obligations		2,685	643	3,328
Other debt obligations ²		1,082	1,472	2,554
Equities and convertible debentures	44,558 ³	13,616 ⁴	13,452 ⁵	71,626
Commodities		10,133		10,133
Total	\$ 131,798	\$ 130,418	\$ 34,865	\$ 297,081

<i>in millions</i>	Cash Instrument Liabilities at Fair Value as of June 2011			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total

U.S. government and federal agency obligations	\$ 29,194	\$ 198	\$	\$ 29,392
Non-U.S. government obligations	27,763	859		28,622
Mortgage and other asset-backed loans and securities:				
Loans and securities backed by residential real estate		8	4	12
Bank loans and bridge loans		1,240	583	1,823
Corporate debt securities ⁶	78	9,168	21	9,267
Other debt obligations		4		4
Equities and convertible debentures ⁷	32,196	819	4	33,019
Commodities		8		8
Total	\$ 89,231	\$ 12,304	\$ 612	\$ 102,147

1. Includes \$210 million and \$684 million of collateralized debt obligations (CDOs) backed by real estate in level 2 and level 3, respectively.
2. Includes \$786 million and \$1.45 billion of CDOs and collateralized loan obligations (CLOs) backed by corporate obligations in level 2 and level 3, respectively.
3. Consists of publicly listed equity securities.
4. Principally consists of restricted and less liquid publicly listed securities.
5. Includes \$11.93 billion of private equity investments, \$1.17 billion of real estate investments and \$348 million of convertible debentures.
6. Includes \$14 million of CDOs and CLOs backed by corporate obligations in level 3.
7. Substantially all consists of publicly listed equity securities.

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)**

<i>in millions</i>	Cash Instrument Assets at Fair Value as of December 2010			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Commercial paper, certificates of deposit, time deposits and other money market instruments	\$ 4,344	\$ 6,918	\$	\$ 11,262
U.S. government and federal agency obligations	36,184	48,744		84,928
Non-U.S. government obligations	35,504	5,171		40,675
Mortgage and other asset-backed loans and securities ¹ :				
Loans and securities backed by commercial real estate		3,381	2,819	6,200
Loans and securities backed by residential real estate		7,031	2,373	9,404
Loan portfolios		153	1,285	1,438
Bank loans and bridge loans		8,134	9,905	18,039
Corporate debt securities ²	108	21,874	2,737	24,719
State and municipal obligations		2,038	754	2,792
Other debt obligations		1,958	1,274	3,232
Equities and convertible debentures	41,660 ³	15,113 ⁴	11,060 ⁵	67,833
Commodities		13,138		13,138
Total	\$ 117,800	\$ 133,653	\$ 32,207	\$ 283,660

<i>in millions</i>	Cash Instrument Liabilities at Fair Value as of December 2010			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
U.S. government and federal agency obligations	\$ 23,191	\$ 73	\$	\$ 23,264
Non-U.S. government obligations	28,168	841		29,009
Mortgage and other asset-backed loans and securities:				
Loans and securities backed by commercial real estate		5		5
Loans and securities backed by residential real estate		6		6
Bank loans and bridge loans		1,107	380	1,487
Corporate debt securities ⁶	26	7,133	60	7,219

Edgar Filing: GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Equities and convertible debentures ⁷	24,283	699	6	24,988
Commodities		9		9
Total	\$ 75,668	\$ 9,873	\$ 446	\$ 85,987

1. Includes \$212 million and \$565 million of CDOs backed by real estate in level 2 and level 3, respectively.
2. Includes \$368 million and \$1.07 billion of CDOs and CLOs backed by corporate obligations in level 2 and level 3, respectively.
3. Consists of publicly listed equity securities.
4. Substantially all consists of restricted and less liquid publicly listed securities.
5. Includes \$10.03 billion of private equity investments, \$874 million of real estate investments and \$156 million of convertible debentures.
6. Includes \$35 million of CDOs and CLOs backed by corporate obligations in level 3.
7. Substantially all consists of publicly listed equity securities.

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)****Level 3 Rollforward**

If a cash instrument was transferred to level 3 during a reporting period, its entire gain or loss for the period is included in level 3. Transfers between levels are reported at the beginning of the reporting period in which they occur.

The tables below present changes in fair value for all cash instrument assets and liabilities categorized as level 3 as of the end of the period.

See Note 5 for further information about unrealized gains and losses on level 3 cash instruments.

Level 3 Cash Instrument Assets at Fair Value for the Three Months Ended June 2011

<i>in millions</i>	Balance, beginning of period	realized gains/(losses) of period	Net unrealized gains/(losses) relating to instruments still held at period-end		Purchases ¹	Sales	Settlements	Net transfers in and/or (out) of level 3	Balance, end of period
			Net gains	Net losses					
Mortgage and other asset-backed loans and securities:									
Loans and securities backed by commercial real estate	\$2,521	\$ 41	\$ 31	\$ 302	\$ (436)	\$ (225)	\$ 161	\$ 2,395	
Loans and securities backed by residential real	2,636	60	15	382	(183)	(148)	(27)	2,735	

estate								
Loan portfolios	1,312	(15)	62	3	(44)	(44)	(36)	1,238
Bank loans and bridge loans	9,929	189	220	1,249	(559)	(524)	(321)	10,183
Corporate debt securities	3,138	93	14	404	(627)	(127)	(148)	2,747
State and municipal obligations	742	1	4	26	(119)	(2)	(9)	643
Other debt obligations	1,483	51	20	158	(316)	(90)	166	1,472
Equities and convertible debentures	11,765	89	380	332	(208)	(218)	1,312	13,452
Total	\$33,526	\$ 509	\$ 746	\$ 2,856	\$ (2,492)	\$ (1,378)	\$ 1,098	\$ 34,865

Level 3 Cash Instrument Liabilities at Fair Value for the Three Months Ended June 2011

<i>in millions</i>	Balance, realized instruments beginning of period	Net unrealized (gains)/losses relating to instruments still held at period-end	Purchases	Sales	Settlements	Net transfers in and/or (out) of level 3	Balance, end of period	
								(gains)/losses
Total	\$482	\$ 1	\$ 95	\$ (130)	\$ 201	\$ (21)	\$ (16)	\$ 612

1. Includes both originations and secondary market purchases.

Significant transfers in or out of level 3 financial assets during the three months ended June 2011 included:

Equities and convertible debentures: net transfer into level 3 of \$1.31 billion, principally due to transfers into level 3 of certain private equity investments due to reduced transparency of market prices, partially offset by transfers to level 2 of certain private equity investments due to improved transparency of market prices as a result of market transactions in these financial instruments.

Bank loans and bridge loans: net transfer out of level 3 of \$321 million, principally due to transfers to level 2 of certain loans due to improved transparency of market prices as a result of market transactions in these financial instruments, partially offset by transfers to level 3 of certain loans due to reduced transparency of market prices as a result of less market activity in these financial instruments.

Table of Contents

THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

Level 3 Cash Instrument Assets at Fair Value for the Six Months Ended June 2011

<i>in millions</i>	Balance, beginning of year	Net unrealized gains/(losses) relating to Net realized gains/ (losses) period-end	Net unrealized gains/(losses) relating to instruments still held at period-end	Purchases ¹	Sales	Settlements	Net transfers in and/or (out) of level 3	Balance, end of period
Mortgage and other asset-backed loans and securities: Loans and securities backed by commercial real estate	\$2,819	\$ 79	\$ 142	\$ 659	\$ (803)	\$ (304)	\$ (197)	\$ 2,395
Loans and securities backed by residential real estate	2,373	122	90	829	(394)	(369)	84	2,735
Loan portfolios	1,285	7	81	16	(77)	(187)	113	1,238
Bank loans and bridge loans	9,905	344	721	2,269	(802)	(1,330)	(924)	10,183
Corporate debt securities	2,737	209	148	1,154	(889)	(173)	(439)	2,747
State and municipal obligations	754	3	4	29	(135)	(3)	(9)	643
Other debt obligations	1,274	87	48	441	(306)	(153)	81	1,472
Equities and convertible	11,060	61	679	2,055	(700)	(437)	734	13,452

debentures

Total	\$32,207	\$ 912	\$ 1,913	\$ 7,452	\$ (4,106)	\$ (2,956)	\$ (557)	\$ 34,865
--------------	-----------------	---------------	-----------------	-----------------	-------------------	-------------------	-----------------	------------------

Level 3 Cash Instrument Liabilities at Fair Value for the Six Months Ended June 2011

<i>in millions</i>	Balance, beginning of year	realized (gains)/ losses period-end	Net unrealized (gains)/losses relating to Net	instruments still held at period-end	Purchases	Sales	Settlements	Net transfers in and/or (out) of level 3	Balance, end of period
Total	\$446	\$(15)	\$67	\$(193)	\$325	\$(15)	\$(3)	\$(3)	\$612

1. Includes both originations and secondary market purchases.

Significant transfers in or out of level 3 financial assets during the six months ended June 2011 included:

Bank loans and bridge loans: net transfer out of level 3 of \$924 million, principally due to transfers to level 2 of certain loans due to improved transparency of market prices as a result of market transactions in these financial instruments, partially offset by transfers to level 3 of certain loans due to reduced transparency of market prices as a result of less market activity in these financial instruments.

Equities and convertible debentures: net transfer into level 3 of \$734 million, principally due to transfers to level 3 of certain private equity investments due to reduced transparency of market prices, partially offset by transfers to level 2 of certain private equity investments due to improved transparency of market prices as a result of market transactions in these financial instruments.

Corporate debt securities: net transfer out of level 3 of \$439 million, principally due to transfers to level 2 of certain corporate debt securities due to increased transparency of market prices as a result of market transactions in these financial instruments.

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)****Level 3 Cash Instrument Assets at Fair Value for the Three Months Ended
June 2010**

<i>in millions</i>	Balance, beginning of period	Net realized gains/ (losses)	Net unrealized gains/(losses) relating to instruments still held at period-end	Net purchases, sales and settlements	Net transfers in and/or (out) of level 3	Balance, end of period
Mortgage and other asset-backed loans and securities:						
Loans and securities backed by commercial real estate	\$ 4,070	\$ 88	\$ 60	\$ (327)	\$ (23)	\$ 3,868
Loans and securities backed by residential real estate	2,131	57	61	37	(162)	2,124
Loan portfolios	1,291	4	(16)	(72)	51	1,258
Bank loans and bridge loans	9,323	134	(205)	(162)	483	9,573
Corporate debt securities	2,703	36	49	(202)	6	2,592
State and municipal obligations	870	(5)	34	(73)	(1)	825
Other debt obligations	1,487	(1)	78	(116)	(72)	1,376
Equities and convertible debentures	10,653	21	130	248	(717)	10,335
Total	\$ 32,528	\$ 334	\$ 191	\$ (667)	\$ (435)	\$ 31,951

**Level 3 Cash Instrument Liabilities at Fair Value for the Three Months
Ended June 2010**

	Balance, beginning of period	Net realized gains/ (losses)	Net unrealized (gains)/losses relating to instruments still held at period-end	Net purchases, sales and settlements	Net transfers in and/or (out) of level 3	Balance, end of period

<i>in millions</i>	beginning of period	(gains)/ losses	still held at period-end	sales and settlements	(out) of level 3	end of period
Total	\$ 483	\$ (112)	\$ 109	\$ 113	\$ 2	\$ 595

Significant transfers in or out of level 3 financial assets during the three months ended June 2010 included:

Bank loans and bridge loans: net transfer into level 3 of \$483 million, principally reflecting transfers from level 2 of certain loans due to reduced transparency of market prices as a result of less market activity in these loans.

Equities and convertible debentures: net transfer out of level 3 of \$717 million, principally due to transfers to level 2 of certain private equity investments reflecting improved transparency of market prices as a result of market transactions.

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)****Level 3 Cash Instrument Assets at Fair Value for the Six Months Ended June 2010**

<i>in millions</i>	Balance, beginning of year	Net realized gains/(losses)	Net unrealized gains/(losses) relating to instruments still held at period-end	Net purchases, sales and settlements	Net transfers in and/or (out) of level 3	Balance, end of period
Mortgage and other asset-backed loans and securities:						
Loans and securities backed by commercial real estate	\$ 4,620	\$ 172	\$ 202	\$ (1,002)	\$ (124)	\$ 3,868
Loans and securities backed by residential real estate	1,880	66	143	52	(17)	2,124
Loan portfolios	1,364	28	(6)	(194)	66	1,258
Bank loans and bridge loans	9,560	260	83	(404)	74	9,573
Corporate debt securities	2,235	72	141	793	(649)	2,592
State and municipal obligations	1,114	(3)	26	(299)	(13)	825
Other debt obligations	2,235	(10)	119	(105)	(863)	1,376
Equities and convertible debentures	11,871	150	147	(903)	(930)	10,335
Total	\$ 34,879	\$ 735	\$ 855	\$ (2,062)	\$ (2,456)	\$ 31,951

Level 3 Cash Instrument Liabilities at Fair Value for the Six Months Ended June 2010

	Balance,	Net unrealized (gains)/losses relating to instruments	Net purchases,	Net transfers in and/or	Balance,

<i>in millions</i>	beginning of year	realized (gains)/losses	still held at period-end	sales and settlements	(out) of level 3	end of period
Total	\$ 572	\$ (132)	\$ 60	\$ 169	\$ (74)	\$ 595

Significant transfers in or out of level 3 financial assets during the six months ended June 2010 included:

Equities and convertible debentures: net transfer out of level 3 of \$930 million, principally due to transfers into level 2 of certain private equity investments reflecting improved transparency of market prices as a result of market transactions.

Other debt obligations: net transfer out of level 3 of \$863 million, principally due to a reduction in financial instruments as a result of the consolidation of a VIE, which holds real estate assets. Such assets are included in Other assets in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition.

Corporate debt securities: net transfer out of level 3 of \$649 million, principally due to a reduction in financial instruments as a result of the consolidation of a VIE, which holds identifiable intangible assets, as a result of the adoption of ASU No. 2009-17. Such assets are included in Other assets in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition.

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)****Investments in Funds That Calculate Net Asset
Value Per Share**

Cash instruments at fair value include investments in funds that are valued based on the net asset value per share (NAV) of the investment fund. The firm uses NAV as its measure of fair value for fund investments when (i) the fund investment does not have a readily determinable fair value and (ii) the NAV of the investment fund is calculated in a manner consistent with the measurement principles of investment company accounting, including measurement of the underlying investments at fair value.

The firm's investments in funds that calculate NAV primarily consist of investments in firm-sponsored funds where the firm co-invests with third-party investors. The private equity, private debt and real

estate funds are primarily closed-end funds in which the firm's investments are not eligible for redemption. Distributions will be received from these funds as the underlying assets are liquidated and it is estimated that substantially all of the underlying assets of existing funds will be liquidated over the next 10 years. The firm's investments in hedge funds are generally redeemable on a quarterly basis with 91 days' notice, subject to a maximum redemption level of 25% of the firm's initial investments at any quarter-end.

The table below presents the fair value of the firm's investments in, and unfunded commitments to, funds that calculate NAV.

<i>in millions</i>	As of June 2011		As of December 2010	
	Fair Value of Investments	Unfunded Commitments	Fair Value of Investments	Unfunded Commitments
Private equity funds ¹	\$ 8,507	\$ 4,326	\$ 7,911	\$ 4,816
Private debt funds ²	3,884	3,406	4,267	3,721
Hedge funds ³	3,213		3,169	
Real estate and other funds ⁴	1,292	1,809	1,246	1,884
Total	\$ 16,896	\$ 9,541	\$ 16,593	\$ 10,421

1. These funds primarily invest in a broad range of industries worldwide in a variety of situations, including leveraged buyouts, recapitalizations and growth investments.

2.

These funds generally invest in loans and other fixed income instruments and are focused on providing private high-yield capital for mid- to large-sized leveraged and management buyout transactions, recapitalizations, financings, refinancings, acquisitions and restructurings for private equity firms, private family companies and corporate issuers.

3. These funds are primarily multi-disciplinary hedge funds that employ a fundamental bottom-up investment approach across various asset classes and strategies including long/short equity, credit, convertibles, risk arbitrage/special situations and capital structure arbitrage.
4. These funds invest globally, primarily in real estate companies, loan portfolios, debt recapitalizations and direct property.

Table of Contents

THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)**

Note 7. Derivatives and Hedging Activities

Derivative Activities

Derivatives are instruments that derive their value from underlying asset prices, indices, reference rates and other inputs, or a combination of these factors. Derivatives may be privately negotiated contracts, which are usually referred to as over-the-counter (OTC) derivatives, or they may be listed and traded on an exchange (exchange-traded).

Market-Making. As a market maker, the firm enters into derivative transactions with clients and other market participants to provide liquidity and to facilitate the transfer and hedging of risk. In this capacity, the firm typically acts as principal and is consequently required to commit capital to provide execution. As a market maker, it is essential to maintain an inventory of financial instruments sufficient to meet expected client and market demands.

Risk Management. The firm also enters into derivatives to actively manage risk exposures that arise from market-making and investing and lending activities in derivative and cash instruments. The firm's holdings and exposures are hedged, in many cases, on either a portfolio or risk-specific basis, as opposed to an instrument-by-instrument basis. The offsetting impact of this economic hedging is reflected in the same business segment as the related revenues. In addition, the firm may enter into derivatives designated as hedges under U.S. GAAP. These derivatives are used to manage foreign currency exposure on the net investment in certain non-U.S. operations and to manage interest rate exposure in certain fixed-rate unsecured long-term and short-term borrowings, and certificates of deposit.

The firm enters into various types of derivatives, including:

Futures and Forwards. Contracts that commit counterparties to purchase or sell financial instruments, commodities or currencies in the future.

Swaps. Contracts that require counterparties to exchange cash flows such as currency or interest payment streams. The amounts exchanged are based on the specific terms of the contract with reference to specified rates, financial instruments, commodities, currencies or indices.

Options. Contracts in which the option purchaser has the right but not the obligation to purchase from or sell to the option writer financial instruments, commodities or currencies within a defined time period for a specified price.

Derivatives are accounted for at fair value, net of cash collateral received or posted under credit support agreements. Derivatives are reported on a net-by-counterparty basis (i.e., the net payable or receivable for derivative assets and liabilities for a given counterparty) when a legal right of setoff exists under an enforceable netting agreement. Derivative assets and liabilities are included in Financial instruments owned, at fair value and Financial instruments sold, but not yet purchased, at fair value, respectively.

Substantially all gains and losses on derivatives not designated as hedges under ASC 815 are included in Market making and Other principal transactions.

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)**

The table below presents the fair value of exchange-traded and OTC derivatives on a net-by-counterparty basis.

<i>in millions</i>	As of June 2011		As of December 2010	
	Derivative Assets	Derivative Liabilities	Derivative Assets	Derivative Liabilities
Exchange-traded	\$ 4,878	\$ 2,877	\$ 7,601	\$ 2,794
Over-the-counter	68,646	44,615	65,692	51,936
Total	\$ 73,524	\$ 47,492	\$ 73,293	\$ 54,730

The table below presents the fair value and the number of derivative contracts by major product type on a gross basis. Gross fair values in the table below exclude the effects of both netting under enforceable netting

agreements and netting of cash collateral received or posted under credit support agreements, and therefore are not representative of the firm's exposure.

<i>in millions, except number of contracts</i>	As of June 2011			As of December 2010		
	Derivative Assets	Derivative Liabilities	Number of Contracts	Derivative Assets	Derivative Liabilities	Number of Contracts
Derivatives not accounted for as hedges						
Interest rates	\$ 432,136	\$ 388,733	283,299	\$ 463,145	\$ 422,514	272,279
Credit	120,043	100,329	357,818	127,153	104,407	367,779
Currencies	84,280	67,173	288,321	87,959	70,273	222,706
Commodities	35,348	37,468	74,870	36,689	41,666	70,890
Equities	67,975	51,807	421,571	65,815	51,948	289,059
Subtotal	739,782	645,510	1,425,879	780,761	690,808	1,222,713

Derivatives accounted for as hedges

Interest rates	19,169	18	956	23,396	33	997
Currencies	4	148	68	6	162	72
Subtotal	19,173	166	1,024	23,402	195	1,069
Gross fair value of derivatives	\$ 758,955	\$ 645,676	1,426,903	\$ 804,163	\$ 691,003	1,223,782
Counterparty netting ¹	(582,524)	(582,524)		(620,553)	(620,553)	
Cash collateral netting ²	(102,907)	(15,660)		(110,317)	(15,720)	
Fair value included in financial instruments owned	\$ 73,524			\$ 73,293		
Fair value included in financial instruments sold, but not yet purchased		\$ 47,492			\$ 54,730	

1. Represents the netting of receivable balances with payable balances for the same counterparty under enforceable netting agreements.
2. Represents the netting of cash collateral received and posted on a counterparty basis under credit support agreements.

Table of Contents

THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)**

Valuation Techniques for Derivatives

See Note 5 for an overview of the firm's fair value measurement policies and the fair value hierarchy.

Level 1 Derivatives

Exchange-traded derivatives fall within level 1 if they are actively traded and are valued at their quoted market price.

Level 2 Derivatives

Level 2 derivatives include exchange-traded derivatives that are not actively traded and OTC derivatives for which all significant valuation inputs are corroborated by market evidence.

Level 2 exchange-traded derivatives are valued using models that calibrate to market-clearing levels of OTC derivatives. Inputs to the valuations of level 2 OTC derivatives can be verified to market-clearing transactions, broker or dealer quotations or other alternative pricing sources with reasonable levels of price transparency. Consideration is given to the nature of the quotations (e.g., indicative or firm) and the relationship of recent market activity to the prices provided from alternative pricing sources.

Where models are used, the selection of a particular model to value an OTC derivative depends on the contractual terms of and specific risks inherent in the instrument, as well as the availability of pricing information in the market. Valuation models require a variety of inputs, including contractual terms, market prices, yield curves, credit curves, measures of volatility, prepayment rates, loss severity rates and correlations of such inputs. For OTC derivatives that trade in liquid markets, model selection does not involve significant management judgment because outputs of models can be calibrated to market-clearing levels.

Price transparency of OTC derivatives can generally be characterized by product type.

Interest Rate. In general, the prices and other inputs used to value interest rate derivatives are transparent, even for long-dated contracts. Interest rate swaps and options denominated in the currencies of leading industrialized nations are characterized by high trading volumes and tight bid/offer spreads. Interest rate derivatives that reference indices, such as an inflation index, or the shape of the yield curve (e.g., 10-year swap rate vs. 2-year swap rate), are more complex and are therefore less transparent, but the prices and other inputs are generally observable.

Credit. Price transparency for credit default swaps, including both single names and baskets of credits, varies by market and underlying reference entity or obligation. Credit default swaps that reference indices, large corporates and major sovereigns generally exhibit the most price transparency. For credit default swaps with other underliers, price transparency varies based on credit rating, the cost of borrowing the underlying reference obligations, and the availability of the underlying reference obligations for delivery upon the default of the issuer. Credit default swaps that reference loans, asset-backed securities and emerging market debt instruments tend to be less transparent than those that reference corporate bonds. In addition, more complex credit derivatives, such as those sensitive to the correlation between two or more underlying reference obligations, generally have less price transparency.

Currency. Prices for currency derivatives based on the exchange rates of leading industrialized nations, including those with longer tenors, are generally transparent. The primary difference between the transparency of developed and emerging market currency derivatives is that emerging markets tend to be observable for contracts with shorter tenors.

Commodity. Commodity derivatives include transactions referenced to energy (e.g., oil and natural gas), metals (e.g., precious and base) and soft commodities (e.g., agricultural). Price transparency varies based on the underlying commodity, delivery location, tenor and product quality (e.g., diesel fuel compared to unleaded gasoline). In general, price transparency for commodity derivatives is greater for contracts with shorter tenors and contracts that are more closely aligned with major and/or benchmark commodity indices.

Table of Contents

THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)**

Equity. Price transparency for equity derivatives varies by market and underlier. Options on indices and the common stock of corporates included in major equity indices exhibit the most price transparency. Exchange-traded and OTC equity derivatives generally have observable market prices, except for contracts with long tenors or reference prices that differ significantly from current market prices. More complex equity derivatives, such as those sensitive to the correlation between two or more individual stocks, generally have less price transparency.

Liquidity is essential to observability of all product types. If transaction volumes decline, previously transparent prices and other inputs may become unobservable. Conversely, even highly structured products may at times have trading volumes large enough to provide observability of prices and other inputs.

Level 3 Derivatives

Level 3 OTC derivatives are valued using models which utilize observable level 1 and/or level 2 inputs, as well as unobservable level 3 inputs.

For the majority of the firm's interest rate and currency derivatives classified within level 3, the significant unobservable inputs are correlations of certain currencies and interest rates (e.g., the correlation of Japanese yen foreign exchange rates to U.S. dollar interest rates).

For credit derivatives classified within level 3, significant level 3 inputs include long-dated credit and funding spreads, as well as certain correlation inputs required to value credit and mortgage derivatives (e.g., the likelihood of default of the underlying reference obligations relative to one another).

For level 3 equity derivatives, significant level 3 inputs generally include equity volatility inputs for options that are very long-dated and/or have strike prices that differ significantly from current market prices. In addition, the valuation of certain structured trades requires the use of level 3 inputs for the correlation of the price performance for two or more individual stocks.

For level 3 commodity derivatives, significant level 3 inputs include volatilities for options with strike prices that differ significantly from current market prices and prices for certain products for which the product quality is not aligned with benchmark indices.

Subsequent to the initial valuation of a level 3 OTC derivative, the firm updates the level 1 and level 2 inputs to reflect observable market changes and any resulting gains and losses are recorded in level 3. Level 3 inputs are changed when corroborated by evidence such as similar market transactions, third-party pricing services and/or broker or dealer quotations or other empirical market data. In circumstances where the firm cannot verify the model value by reference to market transactions, it is possible that a different valuation model could produce a materially different estimate of fair value.

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)****Valuation Adjustments**

Valuation adjustments are integral to determining the fair value of derivatives and are used to adjust the mid-market valuations, produced by derivative pricing models, to the appropriate exit price valuation. These adjustments incorporate bid/offer spreads, the cost of liquidity on large or illiquid positions and credit valuation adjustments (CVA) which account for the credit risk inherent in derivative portfolios. Market-based inputs are generally used when calibrating valuation adjustments to market-clearing levels.

In addition, for derivatives that include significant unobservable inputs, the firm makes model or exit price adjustments to account for the valuation uncertainty present in the transaction.

Fair Value of Derivatives by Level

The tables below present the fair value of derivatives on a gross basis by level and major product type. Gross fair values in the tables below exclude the effects of both netting under enforceable netting agreements and netting of cash received or posted under credit support agreements both in and across levels of the fair value hierarchy, and therefore are not representative of the firm's exposure.

<i>in millions</i>	Derivative Assets at Fair Value as of June 2011				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Cross-Level Netting	Total
Interest rates	\$ 90	\$ 451,134	\$ 81	\$	\$ 451,305
Credit		109,832	10,211		120,043
Currencies		82,194	2,090		84,284
Commodities		33,592	1,756		35,348
Equities	67	66,235	1,673		67,975
Gross fair value of derivative assets	157	742,987	15,811		758,955
Counterparty netting ¹		(576,298)	(4,289)	(1,937) ³	(582,524)
Subtotal	\$ 157	\$ 166,689	\$ 11,522	\$ (1,937)	\$ 176,431
Cash collateral netting ²					(102,907)
					\$ 73,524

Fair value included in financial instruments owned

<i>in millions</i>	Derivative Liabilities at Fair Value as of June 2011				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Cross-Level Netting	Total
Interest rates	\$ 41	\$ 388,437	\$ 273	\$	\$ 388,751
Credit		96,137	4,192		100,329
Currencies		66,354	967		67,321
Commodities		35,896	1,572		37,468
Equities	19	49,212	2,576		51,807
Gross fair value of derivative liabilities	60	636,036	9,580		645,676
Counterparty netting ¹		(576,298)	(4,289)	(1,937) ³	(582,524)
Subtotal	\$ 60	\$ 59,738	\$ 5,291	\$ (1,937)	\$ 63,152
Cash collateral netting ²					(15,660)

Fair value included in financial instruments sold, but not yet purchased

\$ 47,492

1. Represents the netting of receivable balances with payable balances for the same counterparty under enforceable netting agreements.
2. Represents the netting of cash collateral received and posted on a counterparty basis under credit support agreements.
3. Represents the netting of receivable balances with payable balances for the same counterparty across levels of the fair value hierarchy under enforceable netting agreements.

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)****Derivative Assets at Fair Value as of December 2010
Cross-Level**

<i>in millions</i>	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Netting	Total
Interest rates	\$ 49	\$ 486,037	\$ 455	\$	\$ 486,541
Credit		115,519	11,634		127,153
Currencies		86,158	1,807		87,965
Commodities		34,511	2,178		36,689
Equities	44	64,267	1,504		65,815
Gross fair value of derivative assets	93	786,492	17,578		804,163
Counterparty netting ¹		(613,979)	(4,806)	(1,768) ³	(620,553)
Subtotal	\$ 93	\$ 172,513	\$ 12,772	\$ (1,768)	\$ 183,610
Cash collateral netting ²					(110,317)
Fair value included in financial instruments owned					\$ 73,293

**Derivative Liabilities at Fair Value as of December 2010
Cross-Level**

<i>in millions</i>	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Netting	Total
Interest rates	\$ 18	\$ 422,267	\$ 262	\$	\$ 422,547
Credit		99,813	4,594		104,407
Currencies		69,726	709		70,435
Commodities		39,709	1,957		41,666
Equities	27	49,427	2,494		51,948
Gross fair value of derivative liabilities	45	680,942	10,016		691,003
Counterparty netting ¹		(613,979)	(4,806)	(1,768) ³	(620,553)

Subtotal	\$ 45	\$ 66,963	\$ 5,210	\$ (1,768)	\$ 70,450
Cash collateral netting ²					(15,720)

Fair value included in financial instruments sold, but not yet purchased

\$ 54,730

1. Represents the netting of receivable balances with payable balances for the same counterparty under enforceable netting agreements.
2. Represents the netting of cash collateral received and posted on a counterparty basis under credit support agreements.
3. Represents the netting of receivable balances with payable balances for the same counterparty across levels of the fair value hierarchy under enforceable netting agreements.

37

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)****Level 3 Rollforward**

If a derivative was transferred to level 3 during a reporting period, its entire gain or loss for the period is included in level 3. Transfers between levels are reported at the beginning of the reporting period in which they occur.

The tables below present changes in fair value for all derivatives categorized as level 3 as of the end of the period.

See Note 5 for further information about unrealized gains and losses on level 3 derivatives.

Level 3 Derivative Assets and Liabilities at Fair Value for the Three Months Ended June 2011

<i>in millions</i>	Asset/ (liability) balance, beginning of period	Net unrealized gains/(losses) relating to Net realized gains/ (losses) period-end	Net unrealized gains/(losses) relating to instruments still held at period-end	Purchases	Sales	Settlements	Net transfers in and/or (out) of level 3	Asset/ (liability) balance, end of period
Interest rates net	\$ (97)	\$ (18)	\$ (10)	\$ 9	\$	\$ 14	\$ (90)	\$ (192)
Credit net	6,591	95	568	99	(262)	(145)	(927)	6,019
Currencies net	1,132	(22)	(17)	7	(8)	2	29	1,123
Commodities net	193	(131)	(159)	118	(91)	143	111	184
Equities net	(1,016)	(84)	74	181	(214)	45	111	(903)
Total derivatives net	\$ 6,803	\$ (160)	\$ 456	\$ 414	\$ (575)	\$ 59	\$ (766)	\$ 6,231

Level 3 Derivative Assets and Liabilities at Fair Value for the Six Months Ended June 2011

Net
unrealized

	Asset/ (liability)	gains/(losses) relating Net	to				Net transfers in and/or (out) of level 3	Asset/ (liability) balance, end of period
<i>in millions</i>	balance, beginning of period	realized gains/ (losses)	instruments period-end	still held at	Purchases	SalesSettlements		
Interest rates net	\$ 194	\$ (36)	\$ (33)	\$ 9	\$ (2)	\$ 20	\$ (344)	\$ (192)
Credit net	7,040	136	388	140	(334)	(465)	(886)	6,019
Currencies net	1,098	(31)	(180)	35	(12)	(51)	264	1,123
Commodities net	220	(223)	(33)	277	(122)	78	(13)	184
Equities net	(990)	21	(49)	315	(373)	4	169	(903)
Total derivatives net	\$ 7,562	\$ (133)	\$ 93	\$ 776	\$ (843)	\$ (414)	\$ (810)	\$ 6,231

Significant transfers in or out of level 3 during the three months ended June 2011 included:

Credit net: net transfer out of level 3 of \$927 million, principally due to increased transparency of market prices for certain derivatives as a result of market transactions in these financial instruments, as well as unobservable inputs no longer being significant to the valuation of certain derivatives.

Significant transfers in or out of level 3 during the six months ended June 2011 included:

Credit net: net transfer out of level 3 of \$886 million, principally due to increased transparency of market prices for certain derivatives as a result of market transactions in these financial instruments, as well as unobservable inputs no longer being significant to the valuation of certain derivatives.

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)****Level 3 Derivative Assets and Liabilities at Fair Value for the Three Months Ended
June 2010**

	Asset/ (liability)	Net	Net unrealized gains/(losses) relating to	Net	Net transfers in	Asset/ (liability)
<i>in millions</i>	balance, beginning of period	realized gains/ (losses)	instruments still held at period-end	purchases, sales and settlements	and/or (out) of level 3	balance, end of period
Interest rates net	\$ 94	\$ (6)	\$ 43	\$ (51)	\$ (195)	\$ (115)
Credit net	7,137	(1)	949	83	358	8,526
Currencies net	468		75	287	270	1,100
Commodities net	(244)	(92)	(4)	92	(23)	(271)
Equities net	(1,119)	(5)	323	(500)	(67)	(1,368)
Total derivatives net	\$ 6,336	\$ (104)	\$ 1,386	\$ (89)	\$ 343	\$ 7,872

**Level 3 Derivative Assets and Liabilities at Fair Value for the Six Months Ended June
2010**

	Asset/ (liability)	Net	Net unrealized gains/(losses) relating to	Net	Net transfers in	Asset/ (liability)
<i>in millions</i>	balance, beginning of period	realized gains/ (losses)	instruments still held at period-end	purchases, sales and settlements	and/or (out) of level 3	balance, end of period
Interest rates net	\$ (71)	\$ (14)	\$ 7	\$ 21	\$ (58)	\$ (115)
Credit net	6,366	(39)	2,238	(19)	(20)	8,526
Currencies net	215	(37)	64	331	527	1,100
Commodities net	(90)	(259)	105	259	(286)	(271)
Equities net	(1,224)	(52)	438	(439)	(91)	(1,368)

Total derivatives net \$ 5,196 \$ (401) \$ 2,852 \$ 153 \$ 72 \$ 7,872

There were no significant transfers in or out of level 3 during the three months ended June 2010.

Significant transfers in or out of level 3 during the six months ended June 2010 included:

Currencies net: net transfer into level 3 of \$527 million, principally due to reduced transparency of the correlation inputs used to value certain currency derivatives.

Impact of Credit Spreads on Derivatives

On an ongoing basis, the firm realizes gains or losses relating to changes in credit risk on derivatives through changes in credit mitigants or the sale or unwind of the contracts.

The net gain attributable to the impact of changes in credit exposure and credit spreads on derivatives was \$156 million and \$145 million for the three months ended June 2011 and June 2010, respectively, and \$131 million and \$189 million for the six months ended June 2011 and June 2010, respectively.

Bifurcated Embedded Derivatives

The table below presents derivatives, primarily equity and interest rate products, that have been bifurcated from their related borrowings. These derivatives are recorded at fair value and included in Unsecured short-term borrowings and Unsecured long-term borrowings. See Note 8 for further information.

<i>in millions, except number of contracts</i>	June 2011	As of December 2010
Fair value of assets	\$ 398	\$ 383
Fair value of liabilities	322	267
Net	\$ 76	\$ 116
Number of contracts	341	338

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)****OTC Derivatives**

The tables below present the fair values of OTC derivative assets and liabilities by tenor and by product type. Tenor is based on expected duration for

mortgage-related credit derivatives and generally on remaining contractual maturity for other derivatives.

in millions

OTC Derivatives as of June 2011**Assets**

Product Type	0-12 Months	1-5 Years	5 Years or Greater	Total
Interest rates	\$ 7,590	\$ 28,596	\$ 62,772	\$ 98,958
Credit	2,289	14,784	12,068	29,141
Currencies	9,400	12,381	14,266	36,047
Commodities	5,708	5,439	500	11,647
Equities	5,312	13,023	6,899	25,234
Netting across product types ¹	(2,496)	(6,120)	(4,715)	(13,331)
Subtotal	\$ 27,803	\$ 68,103	\$ 91,790	187,696
Cross maturity netting ²				(16,143)
Cash collateral netting ³				(102,907)
Total				\$ 68,646

Liabilities

Product Type	0-12 Months	1-5 Years	5 Years or Greater	Total
Interest rates	\$ 3,790	\$ 14,317	\$ 18,302	\$ 36,409
Credit	1,220	5,056	3,152	9,428
Currencies	8,696	5,121	5,290	19,107
Commodities	5,087	6,565	1,847	13,499

Equities	3,350	4,644	3,312	11,306
Netting across product types ¹	(2,496)	(6,120)	(4,715)	(13,331)
Subtotal	\$ 19,647	\$ 29,583	\$ 27,188	76,418
Cross maturity netting ²				(16,143)
Cash collateral netting ³				(15,660)
Total				\$ 44,615

1. Represents the netting of receivable balances with payable balances for the same counterparty across product types within a tenor category under enforceable netting agreements. Receivable and payable balances with the same counterparty in the same product type and tenor category are netted within such product type and tenor category.
2. Represents the netting of receivable balances with payable balances for the same counterparty across tenor categories under enforceable netting agreements.
3. Represents the netting of cash collateral received and posted on a counterparty basis under credit support agreements.

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)***in millions***OTC Derivatives as of December 2010****Assets**

Product Type	0-12 Months	1-5 Years	5 Years or Greater	Total
Interest rates	\$ 7,137	\$ 34,384	\$ 60,750	\$ 102,271
Credit	2,777	16,145	13,525	32,447
Currencies	9,968	10,696	14,868	35,532
Commodities	5,664	5,996	248	11,908
Equities	4,795	10,942	7,037	22,774
Netting across product types ¹	(2,937)	(5,513)	(5,077)	(13,527)
Subtotal	\$ 27,404	\$ 72,650	\$ 91,351	\$ 191,405
Cross maturity netting ²				(15,396)
Cash collateral netting ³				(110,317)
Total				\$ 65,692

Liabilities

Product Type	0-12 Months	1-5 Years	5 Years or Greater	Total
Interest rates	\$ 4,470	\$ 14,072	\$ 19,760	\$ 38,302
Credit	1,024	4,862	3,816	9,702
Currencies	8,036	5,219	4,986	18,241
Commodities	7,279	7,838	2,528	17,645
Equities	3,962	4,977	3,750	12,689
Netting across product types ¹	(2,937)	(5,513)	(5,077)	(13,527)
Subtotal	\$ 21,834	\$ 31,455	\$ 29,763	\$ 83,052
Cross maturity netting ²				(15,396)
Cash collateral netting ³				(15,720)
Total				\$ 51,936

1. Represents the netting of receivable balances with payable balances for the same counterparty across product types within a tenor category under enforceable netting agreements. Receivable and payable balances with the same counterparty in the same product type and tenor category are netted within such product type and tenor category.
2. Represents the netting of receivable balances with payable balances for the same counterparty across tenor categories under enforceable netting agreements.
3. Represents the netting of cash collateral received and posted on a counterparty basis under credit support agreements.

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)****Derivatives with Credit-Related Contingent****Features**

Certain of the firm's derivatives have been transacted under bilateral agreements with counterparties who may require the firm to post collateral or terminate the transactions based on changes in the firm's credit ratings. The table below presents the aggregate fair value of net derivative liabilities under such agreements (excluding application of collateral posted to reduce these liabilities), the related aggregate fair value of the assets posted as collateral, and the additional collateral or termination payments that could have been called at the reporting date by counterparties in the event of a one-notch and two-notch downgrade in the firm's credit ratings.

<i>in millions</i>	June 2011	As of December 2010
Net derivative liabilities under bilateral agreements	\$ 22,240	\$ 23,843
Collateral posted	16,743	16,640
Additional collateral or termination payments for a one-notch downgrade	662	1,353
Additional collateral or termination payments for a two-notch downgrade	1,842	2,781

Credit Derivatives

The firm enters into a broad array of credit derivatives in locations around the world to facilitate client transactions and to manage the credit risk associated with market-making and investing and lending activities. Credit derivatives are actively managed based on the firm's net risk position.

Credit derivatives are individually negotiated contracts and can have various settlement and payment conventions. Credit events include failure to pay, bankruptcy, acceleration of indebtedness, restructuring, repudiation and dissolution of the reference entity.

Credit Default Swaps. Single-name credit default swaps protect the buyer against the loss of principal on one or more bonds, loans or mortgages (reference obligations) in the event the issuer (reference entity) of the reference obligations suffers a credit event. The buyer of protection pays an initial or periodic premium to the seller and receives protection for the period of the contract. If there is no credit event, as defined in the contract, the seller of protection makes no payments to the buyer of protection. However, if a credit event occurs, the seller of protection is required to make a payment, which is calculated in accordance with the terms of the contract, to the buyer of protection.

Credit Indices, Baskets and Tranches. Credit derivatives may reference a basket of single-name credit default swaps or a broad-based index. If a credit event occurs in one of the underlying reference obligations, the protection seller pays the protection buyer. The payment is typically a pro-rata portion of the transaction's total notional amount

based on the underlying defaulted reference obligation. In certain transactions, the credit risk of a basket or index is separated into various portions (tranches) each having different levels of subordination. The most junior tranches cover initial defaults and once losses exceed the notional amount of these junior tranches, any excess loss is covered by the next most senior tranche in the capital structure.

Total Return Swaps. A total return swap transfers the risks relating to economic performance of a reference obligation from the protection buyer to the protection seller. Typically, the protection buyer receives from the protection seller a floating rate of interest and protection against any reduction in fair value of the reference obligation, and in return the protection seller receives the cash flows associated with the reference obligation, plus any increase in the fair value of the reference obligation.

Table of Contents

THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)**

Credit Options. In a credit option, the option writer assumes the obligation to purchase or sell a reference obligation at a specified price or credit spread. The option purchaser buys the right but not the obligation to sell the reference obligation to, or purchase it from, the option writer. The payments on credit options depend either on a particular credit spread or the price of the reference obligation.

The firm economically hedges its exposure to written credit derivatives primarily by entering into offsetting purchased credit derivatives with identical underlyings. Substantially all of the firm's purchased credit derivative transactions are with financial institutions and are subject to stringent collateral thresholds. In addition, upon the occurrence of a specified trigger event, the firm may take possession of the reference obligations underlying a particular written credit derivative, and consequently may, upon liquidation of the reference obligations, recover amounts on the underlying reference obligations in the event of default.

As of June 2011, written and purchased credit derivatives had total gross notional amounts of \$2.08 trillion and \$2.22 trillion, respectively, for total

net notional purchased protection of \$140.51 billion. As of December 2010, written and purchased credit derivatives had total gross notional amounts of \$2.05 trillion and \$2.19 trillion, respectively, for total net notional purchased protection of \$140.63 billion.

The table below presents certain information about credit derivatives. In the table below:

fair values exclude the effects of both netting under enforceable netting agreements and netting of cash received or posted under credit support agreements, and therefore are not representative of the firm's exposure;

tenor is based on expected duration for mortgage-related credit derivatives and on remaining contractual maturity for other credit derivatives; and

the credit spread on the underlying, together with the tenor of the contract, are indicators of payment/performance risk. The firm is less likely to pay or otherwise be required to perform where the credit spread and the tenor are lower.

Maximum Payout/Notional Amount of Written Credit Derivatives by Tenor	Maximum Payout/Notional Amount of Purchased Credit Derivatives Offsetting	Other	Fair Value of Written Credit Derivatives
--	--	--------------	---

<i>millions</i>	0 12 Months	1 5 Years	5 Years or Greater	Total	Purchased Credit Derivatives ¹	Purchased Credit Derivatives ²	Asset	Liability	Net Asset (Liability)
June 2011									
credit spread on									
underlying									
(in basis points)									
0	\$ 280,294	\$ 1,114,921	\$ 261,840	\$ 1,657,055	\$ 1,544,169	\$ 250,334	\$ 29,771	\$ 12,915	\$ 16,856
500	14,348	148,516	50,168	213,032	177,754	34,221	7,097	6,248	849
1,000	11,066	85,855	26,656	123,577	103,322	18,577	2,868	10,122	(7,254)
more than 1,000	10,559	62,851	15,069	88,479	75,379	18,895	369	35,267	(34,898)
Total	\$ 316,267	\$ 1,412,143	\$ 353,733	\$ 2,082,143	\$ 1,900,624	\$ 322,027	\$ 40,105	\$ 64,552	\$ (24,444)

1. Offsetting purchased credit derivatives represent the notional amount of purchased credit derivatives to the extent they economically hedge written credit derivatives with identical underlyings.
2. This purchased protection represents the notional amount of purchased credit derivatives in excess of the notional amount included in Offsetting Purchased Credit Derivatives.

Table of Contents

THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

	Maximum Payout/Notional Amount of Written Credit Derivatives by Tenor				Total	Maximum Payout/Notional Amount of Purchased Credit Derivatives		Fair Value of Written Credit Derivatives		
	0 12 Months	1 5 Years	5 Years or Greater	Offsetting Purchased Credit Derivatives ¹		Other Purchased Credit Derivatives ²	Asset	Liability	Net Asset (Liability)	
<i>millions</i>										
December 2010										
credit spread on underlying (in points)										
0	\$ 235,798	\$ 1,094,308	\$ 288,851	\$ 1,618,957	\$ 1,511,113	\$ 232,506	\$ 32,071	\$ 14,780	\$ 17,290	
500	14,412	144,448	52,072	210,932	183,613	36,713	7,368	7,739	(37,110)	
1,000	6,384	89,212	33,553	129,149	110,019	18,686	2,571	11,256	(8,685)	
greater than 1,000	11,721	63,982	12,022	87,725	70,945	23,795	483	33,670	(33,187)	
	\$ 268,315	\$ 1,391,950	\$ 386,498	\$ 2,046,763	\$ 1,875,690	\$ 311,700	\$ 42,493	\$ 67,445	\$ (24,952)	

1. Offsetting purchased credit derivatives represent the notional amount of purchased credit derivatives to the extent they economically hedge written credit derivatives with identical underlyings.
2. This purchased protection represents the notional amount of purchased credit derivatives in excess of the notional amount included in Offsetting Purchased Credit Derivatives.

Table of Contents

THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)**

Hedge Accounting

The firm applies hedge accounting for (i) certain interest rate swaps used to manage the interest rate exposure of certain fixed-rate unsecured long-term and short-term borrowings and certain fixed-rate certificates of deposit and (ii) certain foreign currency forward contracts and foreign currency-denominated debt used to manage foreign currency exposures on the firm's net investment in certain non-U.S. operations.

To qualify for hedge accounting, the derivative hedge must be highly effective at reducing the risk from the exposure being hedged. Additionally, the firm must formally document the hedging relationship at inception and test the hedging relationship at least on a quarterly basis to ensure the derivative hedge continues to be highly effective over the life of the hedging relationship.

Interest Rate Hedges

The firm designates certain interest rate swaps as fair value hedges. These interest rate swaps hedge changes in fair value attributable to the relevant benchmark interest rate (e.g., London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR)), effectively converting a substantial portion of fixed-rate obligations into floating-rate obligations.

The firm applies the long-haul method in assessing the effectiveness of its fair value hedging relationships in achieving offsetting changes in the fair values of the hedging instrument and the risk being hedged (i.e., interest rate risk).

During the three months ended March 2010, the firm changed its method of prospectively and retrospectively assessing the effectiveness of all of its fair value hedging relationships from a dollar-offset method, which is a non-statistical method, to regression analysis, which is a statistical method.

An interest rate swap is considered highly effective in offsetting changes in fair value attributable to changes in the hedged risk when the regression analysis results in a coefficient of determination of 80% or greater and a slope between 80% and 125%.

The dollar-offset method compared the change in the fair value of the hedging instrument to the change in the fair value of the hedged item, excluding the effect of the passage of time. The prospective dollar-offset assessment used scenario analyses to test hedge effectiveness through simulations of numerous parallel and slope shifts of the relevant yield curve. Parallel shifts changed the interest rate of all maturities by identical amounts. Slope shifts changed the curvature of the yield curve. For both the prospective assessment, in response to each of the simulated yield curve shifts, and the retrospective assessment, a hedging relationship was considered effective if the fair value of the hedging instrument and the hedged item changed inversely within a range of 80% to 125%.

For qualifying fair value hedges, gains or losses on derivatives are included in Interest expense. The change in fair value of the hedged item attributable to the risk being hedged is reported as an adjustment to its carrying value and is subsequently amortized into interest expense over its remaining life. Gains or losses resulting from hedge ineffectiveness are included in Interest expense. See Note 23 for further information about interest income and interest expense.

For the three months ended June 2011 and June 2010, the gain recognized on interest rate derivatives accounted for as hedges was \$836 million and \$4.95 billion, respectively, and the related loss recognized on the hedged borrowings and bank deposits was \$1.25 billion and \$5.37 billion, respectively. For the six months ended June 2011 and June 2010, the gain/(loss) recognized on interest rate derivatives accounted for as hedges was \$(1.82) billion and \$5.64 billion, respectively, and the related gain/(loss) recognized on the hedged borrowings and bank deposits was \$913 million and \$(6.47) billion, respectively. The hedge ineffectiveness recognized on these derivatives for the three months ended June 2011 and June 2010 was a loss of \$414 million and a loss of \$418 million, respectively. The hedge ineffectiveness recognized on these derivatives for the six months ended June 2011 and June 2010 was a loss of \$909 million and a loss of \$831 million, respectively. These losses consisted primarily of the amortization of prepaid credit spreads. The gain/(loss) excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness was not material for the three and six months ended June 2011 and June 2010.

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)****Net Investment Hedges**

The firm seeks to reduce the impact of fluctuations in foreign exchange rates on its net investment in certain non-U.S. operations through the use of foreign currency forward contracts and foreign currency-denominated debt. For foreign currency forward contracts designated as hedges, the effectiveness of the hedge is assessed based on the overall changes in the fair value of the forward contracts (i.e., based on changes in forward rates). For foreign currency-denominated debt designated as a hedge, the effectiveness of the hedge is assessed based on changes in spot rates.

For qualifying net investment hedges, the gains or losses on the hedging instruments, to the extent effective, are included in the condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income.

The table below presents the gains/(losses) from net investment hedging. The gains/(losses) below are included in Currency translation adjustment, net of tax.

<i>in millions</i>	Three Months Ended June		Six Months Ended June	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Currency hedges	\$ (178)	\$ 196	\$ (403)	\$ 317
Foreign currency- denominated debt	(94)	(190)	(12)	(178)

The gain/(loss) related to ineffectiveness and the gain/(loss) reclassified to earnings from accumulated other comprehensive income was not material for the three and six months ended June 2011 and June 2010.

As of June 2011 and December 2010, the firm had designated \$2.98 billion and \$3.88 billion, respectively, of foreign currency-denominated debt, included in Unsecured long-term borrowings and Unsecured short-term borrowings, as hedges of net investments in non-U.S. subsidiaries.

Note 8. Fair Value Option**Other Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities at Fair Value**

In addition to all cash and derivative instruments included in Financial instruments owned, at fair value and Financial instruments sold, but not yet purchased, at fair value, the firm has elected to account for certain of its other financial assets and financial liabilities at fair value under the fair value option.

The primary reasons for electing the fair value option are to:

reflect economic events in earnings on a timely basis;

mitigate volatility in earnings from using different measurement attributes (e.g., transfers of financial instruments owned accounted for as financings are recorded at fair value whereas the related secured financing would be recorded on an accrual basis absent electing the fair value option); and

address simplification and cost-benefit considerations (e.g., accounting for hybrid financial instruments at fair value in their entirety versus bifurcation of embedded derivatives and hedge accounting for debt hosts).

Hybrid financial instruments are instruments that contain bifurcatable embedded derivatives and do not require settlement by physical delivery of non-financial assets (e.g., physical commodities). If the firm elects to bifurcate the embedded derivative from the associated debt, the derivative is accounted for at fair value and the host contract is accounted for at amortized cost, adjusted for the effective portion of any fair value hedges. If the firm does not elect to bifurcate, the entire hybrid financial instrument is accounted for at fair value under the fair value option.

Table of Contents

THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)**

Other financial assets and financial liabilities accounted for at fair value under the fair value option include:

resale and repurchase agreements;

securities borrowed and loaned within Fixed Income, Currency and Commodities Client Execution;

certain other secured financings, primarily transfers of assets accounted for as financings rather than sales, and certain other nonrecourse financings, including debt raised through the firm's William Street credit extension program outstanding as of December 2010;

certain unsecured short-term borrowings, consisting of all promissory notes and commercial paper and certain hybrid financial instruments;

certain unsecured long-term borrowings, including prepaid commodity transactions and certain hybrid financial instruments;

certain receivables from customers and counterparties, including certain margin loans, transfers of assets accounted for as secured loans rather than purchases and prepaid variable share forwards;

certain insurance and reinsurance contract assets and liabilities and certain guarantees;

certain deposits issued by the firm's bank subsidiaries, as well as securities held by Goldman Sachs Bank USA (GS Bank USA);

certain subordinated liabilities issued by consolidated VIEs; and

in general, investments acquired after November 24, 2006, when the fair value option became available, where the firm has significant influence over the investee and would otherwise apply the equity method of accounting.

These financial assets and financial liabilities at fair value are generally valued based on discounted cash flow techniques, which incorporate inputs with reasonable levels of price transparency, and are generally classified as level 2 because the inputs are observable. Valuation adjustments may be made for counterparty and the firm's credit quality.

Significant inputs for each category of other financial assets and financial liabilities at fair value are as follows:

Resale and Repurchase Agreements and Securities Borrowed and Loaned. The significant inputs to the valuation of resale and repurchase agreements and

securities borrowed and loaned are the amount and timing of expected future cash flows, interest rates and collateral funding spreads. See Note 9 for further information.

Other Secured Financings. The significant inputs to the valuation of other secured financings at fair value are the amount and timing of expected future cash flows, interest rates, the fair value of the collateral delivered by the firm (which is determined using the amount and timing of expected future cash flows, market yields and recovery assumptions), the frequency of additional collateral calls and the credit spreads of the firm. See Note 9 for further information.

Unsecured Short-term and Long-term Borrowings. The significant inputs to the valuation of unsecured short-term and long-term borrowings at fair value are the amount and timing of expected future cash flows, interest rates, the credit spreads of the firm, as well as commodity prices in the case of prepaid commodity transactions and, for certain hybrid financial instruments, equity prices, inflation rates and index levels. See Notes 15 and 16 for further information.

Receivables from Customers and Counterparties. The significant inputs to the valuation of certain receivables from customers and counterparties are commodity prices, interest rates and the amount and timing of expected future cash flows.

Insurance and Reinsurance Contracts. Insurance and reinsurance contracts at fair value are included in Receivables from customers and counterparties and Other liabilities and accrued expenses. The insurance and reinsurance contracts for which the firm has elected the fair value option are contracts that can be settled only in cash and that qualify for the fair value option because they are recognized financial instruments. These contracts are valued using market transactions and other market evidence where possible, including market-based inputs to models, calibration to market-clearing transactions or other alternative pricing sources with reasonable levels of price transparency. Significant level 2 inputs typically include interest rates and inflation risk. Significant level 3 inputs typically include mortality or funding benefit assumptions. When unobservable inputs to a valuation model are significant to the fair value measurement of an instrument, the instrument is classified in level 3.

Deposits. The significant inputs to the valuation of deposits are interest rates.

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)****Gains and Losses on Other Financial Assets and
Financial Liabilities at Fair Value**

The Fair Value Option columns in the table below present the gains and losses recognized as a result of the firm electing to apply the fair value option to certain financial assets and financial liabilities. These gains and losses are included in Market making and Other principal transactions.

The amounts in the table exclude contractual interest, which is included in Interest income and Interest expense, for all instruments other than hybrid financial instruments. See Note 23 for further information about interest income and interest expense. The table also excludes gains and losses related to financial instruments owned, at fair value and financial instruments sold, but not yet purchased, at fair value.

Included in the Other columns in the table below are:

Gains and losses on the embedded derivative component of hybrid financial instruments included in unsecured short-term borrowings and unsecured long-term borrowings. These gains and losses would have been recognized under other U.S. GAAP even

if the firm had not elected to account for the entire hybrid instrument at fair value.

Gains and losses on secured financings related to transfers of assets accounted for as financings rather than sales. These gains and losses are offset by gains and losses on the related instruments included in Financial instruments owned, at fair value and Receivables from customers and counterparties.

Gains and losses on receivables from customers and counterparties related to transfers of assets accounted for as receivables rather than purchases. These gains and losses are offset by gains and losses on the related financial instruments included in Other secured financings.

Gains and losses on subordinated liabilities issued by consolidated VIEs, which are included in Other liabilities and accrued expenses. These gains and losses are offset by gains and losses on the financial assets held by the consolidated VIEs.

Gains/(Losses) on Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities at Fair Value			
Three Months Ended June		Six Months Ended June	
2011	2010	2011	2010
Fair Value	Fair Value	Fair Value	Fair Value

<i>in millions</i>	Option	Other	Option	Other	Option	Other	Option	Other
Receivables from customers and counterparties ¹	\$ (6)	\$ 200	\$ (55)	\$	\$ (5)	\$ 519	\$ (93)	\$
Other secured financings	29	(510)	58		33	(925)	54	(5)
Unsecured short-term borrowings	24	327	61	964	31	103	74	759
Unsecured long-term borrowings	68	432	286	(2,166)	71	(839)	370	(1,591)
Other liabilities and accrued expenses ²	(64)	(20)	(142)	44	(253)	67	(73)	151
Other ³	10		20		45		17	
Total	\$ 61	\$ 429	\$ 228	\$ (1,158)	\$ (78)	\$ (1,075)	\$ 349	\$ (686)

1. Primarily consists of gains/(losses) on certain transfers accounted for as receivables rather than purchases and certain reinsurance contracts.
2. Primarily consists of gains/(losses) on certain insurance and reinsurance contracts.
3. Primarily consists of gains/(losses) on resale and repurchase agreements, securities borrowed and loaned and deposits.

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)**

Excluding the gains and losses on the instruments accounted for under the fair value option described above, Market making and Other principal transactions primarily represents gains and losses on Financial instruments owned, at fair value and Financial instruments sold, but not yet purchased, at fair value.

Loans and Lending Commitments

The table below presents the difference between the aggregate fair value and the aggregate contractual principal amount for loans and long-term receivables for which the fair value option was elected.

<i>in millions</i>	June 2011	As of December 2010
Aggregate contractual principal amount of performing loans and long-term receivables in excess of the related fair value	\$ 2,923	\$ 3,090
Aggregate contractual principal amount of loans on nonaccrual status and/or more than 90 days past due in excess of the related fair value	24,433	26,653
Total ¹	\$ 27,356	\$ 29,743
Aggregate fair value of loans on nonaccrual status and/or more than 90 days past due	\$ 3,392	\$ 3,994

1. The aggregate contractual principal exceeds the related fair value primarily because the firm regularly purchases loans, such as distressed loans, at values significantly below contractual principal amounts.

As of June 2011 and December 2010, the fair value of unfunded lending commitments for which the fair value option was elected was a liability of \$1.70 billion and \$1.26 billion, respectively, and the related total contractual amount of these lending commitments was \$63.27 billion and \$51.20 billion, respectively.

Long-term Debt Instruments

The aggregate contractual principal amount of long-term debt instruments (principal and non-principal protected) for which the fair value option was elected exceeded the related fair value by \$832 million and \$701 million as of June 2011 and December 2010, respectively. Of these amounts, \$538 million and \$349 million as of June 2011 and December 2010, respectively, related to unsecured long-term borrowings and the remainder related to long-term other secured financings.

Impact of Credit Spreads on Loans and Lending Commitments

The net gains/(losses) attributable to changes in instrument-specific credit spreads on loans and lending commitments for which the fair value option was elected were \$53 million and \$(118) million for the three months ended June 2011 and June 2010, respectively, and \$809 million and \$952 million for the six months ended June 2011 and June 2010, respectively. Changes in the fair value of floating-rate loans and lending commitments are attributable to changes in instrument-specific credit spreads. For fixed-rate loans and lending commitments the firm allocates changes in fair value between interest rate-related changes and credit spread-related changes based on changes in interest rates.

Impact of Credit Spreads on Borrowings

The table below presents the net gains attributable to the impact of changes in the firm's own credit spreads on borrowings for which the fair value option was elected. The firm calculates the fair value of borrowings by discounting future cash flows at a rate which incorporates the firm's credit spreads.

<i>in millions</i>	Three Months Ended June		Six Months Ended June	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Net gains including hedges	\$ 85	\$ 390	\$ 126	\$ 497
Net gains excluding hedges	75	405	119	514

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)****Note 9. Collateralized Agreements and Financings**

Collateralized agreements are securities purchased under agreements to resell (resale agreements or reverse repurchase agreements) and securities borrowed. Collateralized financings are securities sold under agreements to repurchase (repurchase agreements), securities loaned and other secured financings. The firm enters into these transactions in order to, among other things, facilitate client activities, invest excess cash, acquire securities to cover short positions and finance certain firm activities.

Collateralized agreements and financings are presented on a net-by-counterparty basis when a legal right of setoff exists. Interest on collateralized agreements and collateralized financings is recognized over the life of the transaction and included in Interest income and Interest expense, respectively. See Note 23 for further information about interest income and interest expense.

The table below presents the carrying value of resale and repurchase agreements and securities borrowed and loaned transactions.

<i>in millions</i>	June 2011	As of December 2010
Securities purchased under agreements to resell ¹	\$ 162,285	\$ 188,355
Securities borrowed ²	175,472	166,306
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase ¹	155,450	162,345
Securities loaned ²	14,474	11,212

1. Resale and repurchase agreements are carried at fair value under the fair value option. See Note 8 for further information about the valuation techniques and significant inputs used to determine fair value.
2. As of June 2011 and December 2010, \$61.87 billion and \$48.82 billion of securities borrowed and \$4.84 billion and \$1.51 billion of securities loaned were at fair value, respectively.

Resale and Repurchase Agreements

A resale agreement is a transaction in which the firm purchases financial instruments from a seller, typically in exchange for cash, and simultaneously enters into an agreement to resell the same or substantially the same financial instruments to the seller at a stated price plus accrued interest at a future date.

A repurchase agreement is a transaction in which the firm sells financial instruments to a buyer, typically in exchange for cash, and simultaneously enters into an agreement to repurchase the same or substantially the same financial instruments from the buyer at a stated price plus accrued interest at a future date.

The financial instruments purchased or sold in resale and repurchase agreements typically include U.S. government and federal agency, and investment-grade sovereign obligations.

The firm receives financial instruments purchased under resale agreements, makes delivery of financial instruments sold under repurchase agreements, monitors the market value of these financial instruments on a daily basis, and delivers or obtains additional collateral due to changes in the market value of the financial instruments, as appropriate. For resale agreements, the firm typically requires delivery of collateral with a fair value approximately equal to the carrying value of the relevant assets in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition.

Even though repurchase and resale agreements involve the legal transfer of ownership of financial instruments, they are accounted for as financing arrangements because they require the financial instruments to be repurchased or resold at the maturity of the agreement. However, repos to maturity are accounted for as sales. A repo to maturity is a transaction in which the firm transfers a security that has very little, if any, default risk under an agreement to repurchase the security where the maturity date of the repurchase agreement matches the maturity date of the underlying security. Therefore, the firm effectively no longer has a repurchase obligation and has relinquished control over the underlying security and, accordingly, accounts for the transaction as a sale. The firm had no such transactions outstanding as of June 2011 or December 2010.

Table of Contents

THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)**

Securities Borrowed and Loaned Transactions

In a securities borrowed transaction, the firm borrows securities from a counterparty in exchange for cash. When the firm returns the securities, the counterparty returns the cash. Interest is generally paid periodically over the life of the transaction.

In a securities loaned transaction, the firm lends securities to a counterparty typically in exchange for cash or securities, or a letter of credit. When the counterparty returns the securities, the firm returns the cash or securities posted as collateral. Interest is generally paid periodically over the life of the transaction.

The firm receives securities borrowed, makes delivery of securities loaned, monitors the market value of these securities on a daily basis, and delivers or obtains additional collateral due to changes in the market value of the securities, as appropriate. For securities borrowed transactions, the firm typically requires delivery of collateral with a fair value approximately equal to the carrying value of the securities borrowed transaction.

Securities borrowed and loaned within Fixed Income, Currency and Commodities Client Execution, are recorded at fair value under the fair value option.

Securities borrowed and loaned within Securities Services are recorded based on the amount of cash collateral advanced or received plus accrued interest. As these arrangements generally can be terminated on demand, they exhibit little, if any, sensitivity to changes in interest rates.

As of June 2011 and December 2010, the firm had \$24.02 billion and \$12.86 billion, respectively, of securities received under resale agreements and securities borrowed transactions that were segregated to satisfy certain regulatory requirements. These securities are included in Cash and securities segregated for regulatory and other purposes.

Other Secured Financings

In addition to repurchase agreements and securities lending transactions, the firm funds certain assets through the use of other secured financings and pledges financial instruments and other assets as collateral in these transactions. These other secured financings consist of:

liabilities of consolidated VIEs;

transfers of assets accounted for as financings rather than sales (primarily collateralized central bank financings, pledged commodities, bank loans and mortgage whole loans);

other structured financing arrangements; and

debt raised through the firm's William Street credit extension program outstanding as of December 2010.

Other secured financings include arrangements that are nonrecourse. As of June 2011 and December 2010, nonrecourse other secured financings were \$4.54 billion and \$8.42 billion, respectively.

The firm has elected to apply the fair value option to the following other secured financings because the use of fair value eliminates non-economic volatility in earnings that would arise from using different measurement attributes:

transfers of assets accounted for as financings rather than sales;

certain other nonrecourse financings; and

debt raised through the firm's William Street credit extension program outstanding as of December 2010.

See Note 8 for further information about other secured financings that are accounted for at fair value. Other secured financings that are not recorded at fair value are recorded based on the amount of cash received plus accrued interest, which generally approximates fair value.

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)**

The table below presents information about other secured financings. In the table below:

short-term secured financings include financings maturing within one year of the financial statement date and financings that are redeemable within one year of the financial statement date at the option of the holder;

long-term secured financings that are repayable prior to maturity at the option of the firm are reflected at their contractual maturity dates; and

long-term secured financings that are redeemable prior to maturity at the option of the holders are reflected at the dates such options become exercisable.

<i>\$ in millions</i>	As of June 2011			As of December 2010		
	U.S. Dollar	Non-U.S. Dollar	Total	U.S. Dollar	Non-U.S. Dollar	Total
Other secured financings (short-term):						
At fair value	\$ 16,216	\$ 4,061	\$ 20,277	\$ 16,404	\$ 3,684	\$ 20,088
At amortized cost	135	6,097	6,232	99	4,342	4,441
<i>Interest rates</i> ¹	3.29%	0.26%		2.96%	0.71%	
Other secured financings (long-term):						
At fair value	3,309	2,862	6,171	9,594	2,112	11,706
At amortized cost	1,376	464	1,840	1,565	577	2,142
<i>Interest rates</i> ¹	1.95%	2.23%		2.14%	1.94%	
Total ²	\$ 21,036	\$ 13,484	\$ 34,520	\$ 27,662	\$ 10,715	\$ 38,377
Amount of other secured financings collateralized by:						
Financial instruments ³	\$ 20,614	\$ 11,872	\$ 32,486	\$ 27,014	\$ 8,760	\$ 35,774
Other assets ⁴	422	1,612	2,034	648	1,955	2,603

1. The weighted average interest rates exclude secured financings at fair value and include the effect of hedging activities. See Note 7 for further information about hedging activities.

2. Includes \$9.90 billion and \$8.32 billion related to transfers of financial assets accounted for as financings rather than sales as of June 2011 and December 2010, respectively. Such financings were collateralized by financial assets included in Financial instruments owned, at fair value of \$10.15 billion and \$8.53 billion as of June 2011 and December 2010, respectively.
3. Includes \$19.70 billion and \$25.63 billion of other secured financings collateralized by financial instruments owned, at fair value and \$12.78 billion and \$10.14 billion of other secured financings collateralized by financial instruments received as collateral and repledged as of June 2011 and December 2010, respectively.
4. Primarily real estate and cash.

The table below presents other secured financings by maturity.

<i>in millions</i>	As of June 2011
Other secured financings (short-term)	\$ 26,509
Other secured financings (long-term):	
2012	2,470
2013	1,596
2014	921
2015	578
2016	257
2017-thereafter	2,189
Total other secured financings (long-term)	8,011
Total other secured financings	\$ 34,520

The aggregate contractual principal amount of other secured financings (long-term) for which the fair value option was elected exceeded the related fair value by \$294 million and \$352 million as of June 2011 and December 2010, respectively.

Collateral Received and Pledged

The firm receives financial instruments (e.g., U.S. government and federal agency, other sovereign and corporate obligations, as well as equities and convertible debentures) as collateral, primarily in connection with resale agreements, securities borrowed, derivative transactions and customer margin loans.

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)**

In many cases, the firm is permitted to deliver or repledge these financial instruments when entering into repurchase agreements, securities lending agreements and other secured financings, collateralizing derivative transactions and meeting firm or customer settlement requirements.

The table below presents financial instruments at fair value received as collateral that were available to be delivered or repledged and were delivered or repledged by the firm.

<i>in millions</i>	June 2011	As of December 2010
Collateral available to be delivered or repledged	\$ 639,691	\$ 618,423
Collateral that was delivered or repledged	476,343	447,882

The firm also pledges certain financial instruments owned, at fair value in connection with repurchase agreements, securities lending agreements and other secured financings, and other assets (primarily real estate and cash) in connection with other secured financings to counterparties who may or may not have the right to deliver or repledge them. The table below presents information about assets pledged by the firm.

<i>in millions</i>	June 2011	As of December 2010
Financial instruments owned, at fair value pledged to counterparties that:		
Had the right to deliver or repledge	\$ 57,687	\$ 51,010
Did not have the right to deliver or repledge	112,430	112,750
Other assets pledged to counterparties that:		
Did not have the right to deliver or repledge	3,791	4,482

Note 10. Securitization Activities

The firm securitizes residential and commercial mortgages, corporate bonds, loans and other types of financial assets by selling these assets to securitization vehicles (e.g., trusts, corporate entities, and limited liability companies) and acts as underwriter of the beneficial interests that are sold to investors. The firm's residential mortgage securitizations are substantially all in connection with government agency securitizations.

Beneficial interests issued by securitization entities are debt or equity securities that give the investors rights to receive all or portions of specified cash inflows to a securitization vehicle and include senior and subordinated shares of principal, interest and/or other cash inflows. The proceeds from the sale of beneficial interests are used to pay the transferor for the financial assets sold to the securitization vehicle or to purchase securities which serve as collateral.

The firm accounts for a securitization as a sale when it has relinquished control over the transferred assets. Prior to securitization, the firm accounts for assets pending transfer at fair value and therefore does not typically recognize gains or losses upon the transfer of assets. Net revenues from underwriting activities are recognized in connection with the sales of the underlying beneficial interests to investors.

For transfers of assets that are not accounted for as sales, the assets remain in Financial instruments owned, at fair value and the transfer is accounted for as a collateralized financing, with the related interest expense recognized over the life of the transaction. See Notes 9 and 23 for further information about collateralized financings and interest expense, respectively.

The firm generally receives cash in exchange for the transferred assets but may also have continuing involvement with transferred assets, including ownership of beneficial interests in securitized financial assets, primarily in the form of senior or subordinated securities, and servicing rights that the firm retains at the time of securitization. The firm may also purchase senior or subordinated securities issued by securitization vehicles (which are typically VIEs) in connection with secondary market-making activities.

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)**

The primary risks included in beneficial interests and other interests from the firm's continuing involvement with securitization vehicles are the performance of the underlying collateral, the position of the firm's investment in the capital structure of the securitization vehicle and the market yield for the security. These interests are accounted for at fair value and are included in Financial instruments owned, at fair value and are generally classified in level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. See Notes 5 through 8 for further information about fair value measurements.

The table below presents the amount of financial assets securitized and the cash flows received on retained interests in securitization entities in which the firm had continuing involvement.

<i>in millions</i>	Three Months Ended June		Six Months Ended June	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Residential mortgages	\$ 14,602	\$ 13,461	\$ 22,305	\$ 23,420
Commercial mortgages			325	
Other financial assets	49		81	14
Total	\$ 14,651	\$ 13,461	\$ 22,711	\$ 23,434
Cash flows on retained interests	\$ 148	\$ 218	\$ 367	\$ 417

The table below presents the firm's continuing involvement in nonconsolidated securitization entities to which the firm sold assets, as well as the total outstanding principal amount of transferred assets in which the firm has continuing involvement. In this table:

the outstanding principal amount is presented for the purpose of providing information about the size of the securitization entities in which the firm has continuing involvement and is not representative of the firm's risk of loss;

for retained or purchased interests, the firm's risk of loss is limited to the fair value of these interests; and

purchased interests represent senior and subordinated interests, purchased in connection with secondary market-making activities, in securitization entities in which the firm also holds retained interests.

<i>in millions</i>	As of June 2011			As of December 2010		
	Outstanding Principal Amount	Fair Value of Retained Interests	Fair Value of Purchased Interests	Outstanding Principal Amount	Fair Value of Retained Interests	Fair Value of Purchased Interests
U.S. government agency-issued collateralized mortgage obligations ¹	\$ 64,929	\$ 4,739	\$	\$ 60,352	\$ 5,929	\$
Other residential mortgage-backed ²	11,166	129	5	13,318	125	5
Commercial mortgage-backed ³	4,642	753	272	5,040	849	82
CDOs, CLOs and other ⁴	12,131	61	201	12,872	62	229
Total ⁵	\$ 92,868	\$ 5,682	\$ 478	\$ 91,582	\$ 6,965	\$ 316

1. Outstanding principal amount and fair value of retained interests primarily relate to securitizations during 2011 and 2010 as of June 2011, and securitizations during 2010 and 2009 as of December 2010.
2. Outstanding principal amount and fair value of retained interests as of both June 2011 and December 2010 primarily relate to prime and Alt-A securitizations during 2007 and 2006.
3. Outstanding principal amount as of both June 2011 and December 2010 primarily relate to securitizations during 2010, 2007 and 2006. Fair value of retained interests as of both June 2011 and December 2010 primarily relate to securitizations during 2010.
4. Outstanding principal amount and fair value of retained interests as of both June 2011 and December 2010 primarily relate to CDO and CLO securitizations during 2007 and 2006.
5. Outstanding principal amount and fair value of retained interests include \$6.86 billion and \$16 million, respectively, as of June 2011, and \$7.64 billion and \$16 million, respectively, as of December 2010, related to securitization entities in which the firm's only continuing involvement is retained servicing which is not a variable interest.

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)**

In addition to the interests in the table above, the firm had other continuing involvement in the form of derivative transactions and guarantees with certain nonconsolidated VIEs. The carrying value of these derivatives and guarantees was a net liability of \$85 million and \$98 million as of June 2011 and December 2010, respectively. The notional amounts of these derivatives and guarantees are included in maximum exposure to loss in the nonconsolidated VIE tables in Note 11.

The table below presents the weighted average key economic assumptions used in measuring the fair value of retained interests and the sensitivity of this fair value to immediate adverse changes of 10% and 20% in those assumptions.

<i>\$ in millions</i>	As of June 2011		As of December 2010	
	Type of Retained Interests		Type of Retained Interests	
	Mortgage-Backed	Other ¹	Mortgage-Backed	Other ¹
Fair value of retained interests	\$ 5,621	\$ 61	\$ 6,903	\$ 62
Weighted average life (years)	6.3	3.8	7.4	4.2
Constant prepayment rate ²	9.1%	N.M.	11.6%	N.M.
Impact of 10% adverse change ²	\$ (36)	N.M.	\$ (62)	N.M.
Impact of 20% adverse change ²	(69)	N.M.	(128)	N.M.
Discount rate ³	4.6%	N.M.	5.3%	N.M.
Impact of 10% adverse change	\$ (114)	N.M.	\$ (175)	N.M.
Impact of 20% adverse change	(223)	N.M.	(341)	N.M.

1. Due to the nature and current fair value of certain of these retained interests, the weighted average assumptions for constant prepayment and discount rates and the related sensitivity to adverse changes are not meaningful as of June 2011 and December 2010. The firm's maximum exposure to adverse changes in the value of these interests is the carrying value of \$61 million and \$62 million as of June 2011 and December 2010, respectively.
2. Constant prepayment rate is included only for positions for which constant prepayment rate is a key assumption in the determination of fair value.
3. The majority of mortgage-backed retained interests are U.S. government agency-issued collateralized mortgage obligations, for which there is no anticipated credit loss. For the remainder of retained interests, the expected credit loss assumptions are reflected in the discount rate.

The preceding table does not give effect to the offsetting benefit of other financial instruments that are held to mitigate risks inherent in these retained interests. Changes in fair value based on an adverse variation in assumptions generally cannot be extrapolated because the relationship of the change in

assumptions to the change in fair value is not usually linear. In addition, the impact of a change in a particular assumption in the preceding table is calculated independently of changes in any other assumption. In practice, simultaneous changes in assumptions might magnify or counteract the sensitivities disclosed above.

Table of Contents

THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)**

Note 11. Variable Interest Entities

VIEs generally finance the purchase of assets by issuing debt and equity securities that are either collateralized by or indexed to the assets held by the VIE. The debt and equity securities issued by a VIE may include tranches of varying levels of subordination. The firm's involvement with VIEs includes securitization of financial assets, as described in Note 10, and investments in and loans to other types of VIEs, as described below. See Note 10 for additional information about securitization activities, including the definition of beneficial interests. See Note 3 for the firm's consolidation policies, including the definition of a VIE.

The firm is principally involved with VIEs through the following business activities:

Mortgage-Backed VIEs and Corporate CDO and CLO VIEs. The firm sells residential and commercial mortgage loans and securities to mortgage-backed VIEs and corporate bonds and loans to corporate CDO and CLO VIEs and may retain beneficial interests in the assets sold to these VIEs. The firm purchases and sells beneficial interests issued by mortgage-backed and corporate CDO and CLO VIEs in connection with market-making activities. In addition, the firm may enter into derivatives with certain of these VIEs, primarily interest rate swaps, which are typically not variable interests. The firm generally enters into derivatives with other counterparties to mitigate its risk from derivatives with these VIEs.

Certain mortgage-backed and corporate CDO and CLO VIEs, usually referred to as synthetic CDOs or credit-linked note VIEs, synthetically create the exposure for the beneficial interests they issue by entering into credit derivatives, rather than purchasing the underlying assets. These credit derivatives may reference a single asset, an index, or a portfolio/basket of assets or indices. See Note 7 for further information on credit derivatives. These VIEs use the funds from the sale of beneficial interests and the premiums received from credit derivative counterparties to purchase securities which serve to collateralize the beneficial interest holders and/or the credit derivative counterparty. These VIEs may enter into other derivatives, primarily interest rate swaps, which are typically not variable interests. The firm may be a counterparty to derivatives with these VIEs and generally enters into derivatives with other counterparties to mitigate its risk.

Real Estate, Credit-Related and Other Investing VIEs. The firm purchases equity and debt securities issued by and makes loans to VIEs that hold real estate, performing and nonperforming debt, distressed loans and equity securities.

Other Asset-Backed VIEs. The firm structures VIEs that issue notes to clients and purchases and sells beneficial interests issued by other asset-backed VIEs in connection with market-making activities. In addition, the firm may enter into derivatives with certain other asset-backed VIEs, primarily total return swaps on the collateral assets held by these VIEs under which the firm pays the VIE the return due to the note holders and receives the return on the collateral assets owned by the VIE. The firm generally can be removed as the total return swap counterparty. The firm generally enters into derivatives with other counterparties to mitigate its risk from derivatives with these VIEs. The firm typically does not sell assets to the other asset-backed VIEs it structures.

Power-Related VIEs. The firm purchases debt and equity securities issued by and may provide guarantees to VIEs that hold power-related assets. The firm typically does not sell assets to or enter into derivatives with these VIEs.

Investment Funds. The firm purchases equity securities issued by and may provide guarantees to certain of the investment funds it manages. The firm typically does not sell assets to or enter into derivatives with these VIEs.

Principal-Protected Note VIEs. The firm structures VIEs that issue principal-protected notes to clients. These VIEs own portfolios of assets, principally with exposure to hedge funds. Substantially all of the principal protection on the notes issued by these VIEs is provided by the asset portfolio rebalancing that is required under the terms of the notes. The firm enters into total return swaps with these VIEs under which the firm pays the VIE the return due to the principal-protected note holders and receives the return on the assets owned by the VIE. The firm may enter into derivatives with other counterparties to mitigate the risk it has from the derivatives it enters into with these VIEs. The firm also obtains funding through these VIEs. These VIEs were consolidated by the firm upon adoption of changes to U.S. GAAP on January 1, 2010.

Table of Contents

THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)**

Municipal Bond Securitizations. The firm sells municipal securities to VIEs that issue short-term qualifying tax-exempt securities. The firm consolidates these VIEs because it owns the residual interests, which allows the firm to make decisions that significantly impact the economic performance of these VIEs.

VIE Consolidation Analysis

A variable interest in a VIE is an investment (e.g., debt or equity securities) or other interest (e.g., derivatives or loans and lending commitments) in a VIE that will absorb portions of the VIE's expected losses or receive portions of the VIE's expected residual returns.

The firm's variable interests in VIEs include senior and subordinated debt in residential and commercial mortgage-backed and other asset-backed securitization entities, CDOs and CLOs; loans and lending commitments; limited and general partnership interests; preferred and common equity; derivatives that may include foreign currency, equity and/or credit risk; guarantees; and certain of the fees the firm receives from investment funds. Certain interest rate, foreign currency and credit derivatives the firm enters into with VIEs are not variable interests because they create rather than absorb risk.

The enterprise with a controlling financial interest in a VIE is known as the primary beneficiary and consolidates the VIE. The firm determines whether it is the primary beneficiary of a VIE by performing an analysis that principally considers:

which variable interest holder has the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance;

which variable interest holder has the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits from the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE;

the VIE's purpose and design, including the risks the VIE was designed to create and pass through to its variable interest holders;

the VIE's capital structure;

the terms between the VIE and its variable interest holders and other parties involved with the VIE; and

related party relationships.

The firm reassesses its initial evaluation of whether an entity is a VIE when certain reconsideration events occur. The firm reassesses its determination of whether it is the primary beneficiary of a VIE on an ongoing basis based on current facts and circumstances.

Nonconsolidated VIEs

The firm's exposure to the obligations of VIEs is generally limited to its interests in these entities. In certain instances, the firm provides guarantees, including derivative guarantees, to VIEs or holders of variable interests in VIEs.

The tables below present information about nonconsolidated VIEs in which the firm holds variable interests. Nonconsolidated VIEs are aggregated based on principal business activity. The nature of the firm's variable interests can take different forms, as described in the rows under maximum exposure to loss. In the tables below:

The maximum exposure to loss excludes the benefit of offsetting financial instruments that are held to mitigate the risks associated with these variable interests.

For retained and purchased interests and loans and investments, the maximum exposure to loss is the carrying value of these interests.

For commitments and guarantees, and derivatives, the maximum exposure to loss is the notional amount, which does not represent anticipated losses and also has not been reduced by unrealized losses already recorded. As a result, the maximum exposure to loss exceeds liabilities recorded for commitments and guarantees, and derivatives provided to VIEs.

The carrying values of the firm's variable interests in nonconsolidated VIEs are included in the condensed consolidated statement of financial condition as follows:

Substantially all assets held by the firm related to mortgage-backed, corporate CDO and CLO and other asset-backed VIEs and investment funds are included in Financial instruments owned, at fair value. Substantially all liabilities held by the firm related to mortgage-backed, corporate CDO and CLO and other asset-backed VIEs are included in Financial instruments sold, but not yet purchased, at fair value.

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)**

Assets and liabilities held by the firm related to real estate, credit-related and other investing VIEs are primarily included in Financial instruments owned, at fair value and Payables to customers and counterparties and Other liabilities and accrued expenses, respectively.

Assets and liabilities held by the firm related to power-related VIEs are primarily included in Other assets and Other liabilities and accrued expenses, respectively.

<i>in millions</i>	Nonconsolidated VIEs As of June 2011						Total
	Mortgage- backed	Corporate CDOs and CLOs	Real estate, credit- related and other investing	Other asset- backed	Power- related	Investment funds	
Assets in VIE Carrying Value of the Firm's Variable Interests	\$ 94,287²	\$ 25,377	\$ 8,813	\$ 4,267	\$ 529	\$ 2,557	\$ 135,830
Assets	6,835	1,383	1,421	220	271	4	10,134
Liabilities		87	1	25	5		118
Maximum Exposure to Loss in Nonconsolidated VIEs							
Retained interests	5,605	43		18			5,666
Purchased interests	905	701		190			1,796
Commitments and guarantees ¹		1	324		50		375
Derivatives ¹	2,673	8,207		1,152			12,032
Loans and investments	110		1,421		271	4	1,806
Total	\$ 9,293²	\$ 8,952	\$ 1,745	\$ 1,360	\$ 321	\$ 4	\$ 21,675

**Nonconsolidated VIEs
As of December 2010**

<i>in millions</i>	Mortgage- backed	Corporate CDOs and CLOs	Real estate, credit- related and other investing	Other asset- backed	Power- related	Investment funds	Total
Assets in VIE Carrying Value of the Firm's Variable Interests	\$ 88,755 ²	\$ 21,644	\$ 12,568	\$ 5,513	\$ 552	\$ 2,330	\$ 131,362
Assets	8,076	909	1,063	266	239	5	10,558
Liabilities		114	1	19	14		148
Maximum Exposure to Loss in Nonconsolidated VIEs							
Retained interests	6,887	50		12			6,949
Purchased interests	839	353		247			1,439
Commitments and guarantees ¹		1	125		69		195
Derivatives ¹	3,128	7,593		1,105			11,826
Loans and investments	104		1,063		239	5	1,411
Total	\$ 10,958 ²	\$ 7,997	\$ 1,188	\$ 1,364	\$ 308	\$ 5	\$ 21,820

1. The aggregate amounts include \$4.10 billion and \$4.52 billion as of June 2011 and December 2010, respectively, related to guarantees and derivative transactions with VIEs to which the firm transferred assets.
2. Assets in VIE and maximum exposure to loss include \$5.55 billion and \$2.78 billion, respectively, as of June 2011, and \$6.14 billion and \$3.25 billion, respectively, as of December 2010, related to CDOs backed by mortgage obligations.

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)****Consolidated VIEs**

The tables below present the carrying amount and classification of assets and liabilities in consolidated VIEs, excluding the benefit of offsetting financial instruments that are held to mitigate the risks associated with the firm's variable interests. Consolidated VIEs are aggregated based on principal business activity and their assets and liabilities are presented net of intercompany eliminations. The majority of the assets in principal-protected notes VIEs are intercompany and are eliminated in consolidation.

Substantially all the assets in consolidated VIEs can only be used to settle obligations of the VIE.

The tables below exclude VIEs in which the firm holds a majority voting interest if (i) the VIE meets the definition of a business and (ii) the VIE's assets can be used for purposes other than the settlement of its obligations.

The liabilities of real estate, credit-related and other investing VIEs and CDOs, mortgage-backed and other asset-backed VIEs do not have recourse to the general credit of the firm.

<i>in millions</i>	Consolidated VIEs As of June 2011				Total
	Real estate, credit-related and other investing	Municipal bond securitizations	CDOs, mortgage- backed and other asset- backed	Principal- protected notes	
Assets					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 446	\$	\$ 48	\$ 13	\$ 507
Cash and securities segregated for regulatory and other purposes	169				169
Receivables from brokers, dealers and clearing organizations	3				3
Receivables from customers and counterparties			17		17
Financial instruments owned, at fair value	2,277	191	580	699	3,747
Other assets	2,546		468		3,014

Total	\$ 5,441	\$ 191	\$ 1,113	\$ 712	\$ 7,457
Liabilities					
Other secured financings	\$ 2,037	\$ 200	\$ 473	\$ 3,234	\$ 5,944
Payables to customers and counterparties			24	36	60
Financial instruments sold, but not yet purchased, at fair value			58		58
Unsecured short-term borrowings, including the current portion of unsecured long-term borrowings	4			2,132	2,136
Unsecured long-term borrowings	173				173
Other liabilities and accrued expenses	1,691		32	305	2,028
Total	\$ 3,905	\$ 200	\$ 587	\$ 5,707	\$ 10,399

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)**

<i>in millions</i>	Consolidated VIEs As of December 2010				Total
	Real estate, credit-related and other investing	Municipal bond securitizations	CDOs, mortgage- backed and other asset- backed	Principal- protected notes	
Assets					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 248	\$	\$ 39	\$ 52	\$ 339
Cash and securities segregated for regulatory and other purposes	205				205
Receivables from brokers, dealers and clearing organizations	4				4
Receivables from customers and counterparties	1		27		28
Financial instruments owned, at fair value	2,531	547	550	648	4,276
Other assets	3,369		499		3,868
Total	\$ 6,358	\$ 547	\$ 1,115	\$ 700	\$ 8,720
Liabilities					
Other secured financings	\$ 2,434	\$ 630	\$ 417	\$ 3,224	\$ 6,705
Payables to customers and counterparties			12		12
Financial instruments sold, but not yet purchased, at fair value			55		55
Unsecured short-term borrowings, including the current portion of unsecured long-term borrowings	302			2,359	2,661
Unsecured long-term borrowings	6				6
Other liabilities and accrued expenses	2,004		32		2,036
Total	\$ 4,746	\$ 630	\$ 516	\$ 5,583	\$ 11,475

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)****Note 12. Other Assets**

Other assets are generally less liquid, non-financial assets. The table below presents other assets by type.

<i>in millions</i>	June 2011	As of December 2010
Property, leasehold improvements and equipment ¹	\$ 9,743	\$ 11,106
Goodwill and identifiable intangible assets ²	5,187	5,522
Income tax-related assets ³	4,917	6,239
Equity-method investments ⁴	1,140	1,445
Miscellaneous receivables and other ⁵	6,485	3,747
Total	\$ 27,472	\$ 28,059

1. Net of accumulated depreciation and amortization of \$8.06 billion and \$7.87 billion as of June 2011 and December 2010, respectively.
2. See Note 13 for further information about goodwill and identifiable intangible assets.
3. See Note 24 for further information about income taxes.
4. Excludes investments of \$3.82 billion and \$3.77 billion accounted for at fair value under the fair value option as of June 2011 and December 2010, respectively, which are included in Financial instruments owned, at fair value. See Note 8 for further information.
5. Includes \$2.93 billion of assets held for sale as of June 2011, primarily consisting of servicing advances.

Property, Leasehold Improvements and Equipment

Property, leasehold improvements and equipment included \$6.61 billion and \$6.44 billion as of June 2011 and December 2010, respectively, related to property, leasehold improvements and equipment that the firm uses in connection with its operations. The remainder is held by investment entities, including VIEs, consolidated by the firm.

Substantially all property and equipment are depreciated on a straight-line basis over the useful life of the asset.

Leasehold improvements are amortized on a straight-line basis over the useful life of the improvement or the term of the lease, whichever is shorter.

Certain costs of software developed or obtained for internal use are capitalized and amortized on a straight-line basis over the useful life of the software.

Property, leasehold improvements and equipment are tested for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances suggest that an asset's or asset group's carrying value may not be fully recoverable. The firm's policy for impairment testing of property, leasehold improvements and equipment is the same as is used for identifiable intangible assets with finite lives. See Note 13 for further information.

Assets Held for Sale

In the first quarter of 2011, the firm classified certain assets as held for sale, primarily related to Litton Loan Servicing LP (Litton), the firm's residential mortgage servicing subsidiary, and recognized impairment losses of approximately \$220 million, principally in the firm's Institutional Client Services segment. These impairment losses, which were included in Depreciation and amortization, represent the excess of (i) the carrying value of the assets held for sale over (ii) their estimated fair value less estimated cost to sell. The firm has since entered into agreements to sell these assets. The transactions are expected to close in 2011. The sale of Litton is subject to regulatory approvals and other closing conditions. In connection with the pending sale of Litton, the firm agreed to provide certain representations and warranties, specific indemnities related to Litton's servicing and foreclosure practices prior to closing, and a secured advance facility to the purchaser. The firm expects to receive total consideration that approximates the firm's adjusted carrying value for Litton.

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)****Note 13. Goodwill and Identifiable Intangible Assets**

The tables below present, by operating segment, the carrying values of goodwill and identifiable intangible assets, which are included in Other assets.

<i>in millions</i>	Goodwill	
	June	December
	2011	2010
Investment Banking:		
Underwriting	\$ 125	\$ 125
Institutional Client Services:		
Fixed Income, Currency and Commodities Client Execution ¹	5	159
Equities Client Execution	2,361	2,361
Securities Services	117	117
Investing & Lending	154	172
Investment Management	561	561
Total	\$ 3,323	\$ 3,495

<i>in millions</i>	Identifiable	
	Intangible Assets	
	As of	
	June	December
	2011	2010
Institutional Client Services:		
Fixed Income, Currency and Commodities Client Execution	\$ 525	\$ 608
Equities Client Execution	687	718
Investing & Lending	538	579
Investment Management	114	122
Total	\$ 1,864	\$ 2,027

1. The decrease from December 2010 to June 2011 is related to the classification of Litton as held for sale. See Note 12 for further information.

Goodwill

Goodwill is the cost of acquired companies in excess of the fair value of identifiable net assets at acquisition date.

Goodwill is tested annually for impairment or more frequently if events occur or circumstances change that indicate an impairment may exist.

The goodwill impairment test consists of two steps.

The first step compares the fair value of each reporting unit with its estimated net book value (including goodwill and identified intangible assets). If the reporting unit's fair value exceeds its estimated net book value, goodwill is not impaired.

If the estimated fair value of a reporting unit is less than its estimated net book value, the second step of the goodwill impairment test is performed to measure the amount of impairment loss, if any. An impairment loss is equal to the excess of the carrying amount of goodwill over its fair value.

Goodwill was tested for impairment during the fourth quarter of 2010 and no impairment was identified.

To estimate the fair value of each reporting unit, both relative value and residual income valuation techniques are used because the firm believes market participants would use these techniques to value the firm's reporting units.

Relative value techniques apply average observable price-to-earnings multiples of comparable competitors to certain reporting units' net earnings. For other reporting units, fair value is estimated using price-to-book multiples based on residual income techniques, which compare excess reporting unit returns on equity to the firm's cost of equity capital over a long-term stable growth period. The net book value of each reporting unit reflects the estimated amount of shareholders' equity required to support the activities of the reporting unit.

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)****Identifiable Intangible Assets**

The table below presents the gross carrying amount, accumulated amortization and net carrying amount of identifiable intangible assets and their weighted average remaining lives.

<i>\$ in millions</i>		June 2011	As of Weighted Average Remaining Lives	December 2010
Customer lists	Gross carrying amount	\$ 1,104		\$ 1,104
	Accumulated amortization	(561)		(529)
	Net carrying amount	\$ 543	10	\$ 575
Commodities-related intangibles ¹	Gross carrying amount	\$ 633		\$ 667
	Accumulated amortization	(100)		(52)
	Net carrying amount	\$ 533	20	\$ 615
Broadcast royalties ²	Gross carrying amount	\$ 560		\$ 560
	Accumulated amortization	(92)		(61)
	Net carrying amount	\$ 468	8	\$ 499
Insurance-related intangibles ³	Gross carrying amount	\$ 292		\$ 292
	Accumulated amortization	(146)		(146)
	Net carrying amount	\$ 146	7	\$ 146
Other ⁴	Gross carrying amount	\$ 941		\$ 953
	Accumulated amortization	(767)		(761)

	Net carrying amount	\$ 174	13	\$ 192
Total	Gross carrying amount	\$ 3,530		\$ 3,576
	Accumulated amortization	(1,666)		(1,549)
	Net carrying amount	\$ 1,864	12	\$ 2,027

1. Primarily includes commodity-related customer contracts and relationships, permits and access rights.
2. Represents television broadcast royalties held by a consolidated VIE.
3. Represents value of business acquired related to the firm's insurance businesses.
4. Primarily includes the firm's NYSE designated market maker rights and exchange-traded fund lead market maker rights.

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)**

Substantially all of the firm's identifiable intangible assets are considered to have finite lives and are amortized over their estimated lives or, in the case of insurance contracts, in proportion to estimated gross profits or premium revenues. Amortization expense for identifiable intangible assets is included in Depreciation and amortization.

The tables below present amortization expense for identifiable intangible assets for the three and six months ended June 2011 and June 2010, and the estimated future amortization expense through 2016 for identifiable intangible assets as of June 2011.

<i>in millions</i>	Three Months Ended June		Six Months Ended June	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Amortization expense	\$ 66	\$ 82	\$ 123	\$ 126

<i>in millions</i>	As of June 2011
Estimated future amortization expense:	
Remainder of 2011	\$ 134
2012	249
2013	232
2014	202
2015	170
2016	167

Identifiable intangible assets are tested for recoverability whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that an asset's or asset group's carrying value may not be recoverable.

If a recoverability test is necessary, the carrying value of an asset or asset group is compared to the total of the undiscounted cash flows expected to be received over the remaining useful life and from the disposition of the asset or asset group.

If the total of the undiscounted cash flows exceeds the carrying value, the asset or asset group is not impaired.

If the total of the undiscounted cash flows is less than the carrying value, the asset or asset group is not fully recoverable and an impairment loss is recognized as the difference between the carrying amount of the asset or asset group and its estimated fair value.

Note 14. Deposits

The tables below present deposits held in U.S. and non-U.S. offices and the maturities of time deposits. Substantially all U.S. deposits were held at GS Bank USA and were interest-bearing and substantially all non-U.S. deposits were held at Goldman Sachs Bank (Europe) PLC (GS Bank Europe) and were interest-bearing.

<i>in millions</i>	As of	
	June 2011	December 2010
U.S. offices	\$ 31,851	\$ 32,353
Non-U.S. offices	7,153	6,216
Total	\$ 39,004	\$ 38,569

<i>in millions</i>	As of June 2011		
	U.S.	Non-U.S.	Total
Remainder of 2011	\$ 989	\$ 815	\$ 1,804
2012	1,015	652	1,667
2013	1,982		1,982
2014	499		499
2015	801		801
2016	83		83
2017 – thereafter	1,372		1,372
Total	\$ 6,741 ¹	\$ 1,467 ²	\$ 8,208

1. Includes \$106 million greater than \$100,000, of which \$17 million matures within three months, \$21 million matures within three to six months, \$6 million matures within six to twelve months, and \$62 million matures after twelve months.

2. Substantially all were greater than \$100,000.

Note 15. Short-Term Borrowings

Short-term borrowings were comprised of the following:

<i>in millions</i>	June 2011	As of December 2010
Other secured financings (short-term)	\$ 26,509	\$ 24,529
Unsecured short-term borrowings	56,554	47,842
Total	\$ 83,063	\$ 72,371

See Note 9 for further information about other secured financings.

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)**

Unsecured short-term borrowings include the portion of unsecured long-term borrowings maturing within one year of the financial statement date and unsecured long-term borrowings that are redeemable within one year of the financial statement date at the option of the holder.

The firm accounts for promissory notes, commercial paper and certain hybrid financial instruments at fair value under the fair value option. See Note 8 for further information about unsecured short-term borrowings that are accounted for at fair value. Short-term borrowings that are not recorded at fair value are recorded based on the amount of cash received plus accrued interest, and such amounts approximate fair value due to the short-term nature of the obligations.

The table below presents unsecured short-term borrowings.

<i>in millions</i>	June 2011	As of December 2010
Current portion of unsecured long-term borrowings ¹	\$ 33,236	\$ 25,396
Hybrid financial instruments	14,456	13,223
Promissory notes	3,252	3,265
Commercial paper	925	1,306
Other short-term borrowings	4,685	4,652
Total	\$ 56,554	\$ 47,842
Weighted average interest rate ²	1.74%	1.77%

1. Includes \$17.14 billion and \$10.43 billion as of June 2011 and December 2010, respectively, guaranteed by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) under the Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program (TLGP).

2. The weighted average interest rates for these borrowings include the effect of hedging activities and exclude financial instruments accounted for at fair value under the fair value option. See Note 7 for further information about hedging activities.

Note 16. Long-Term Borrowings

Long-term borrowings were comprised of the following:

<i>in millions</i>	June 2011	As of December 2010
Other secured financings (long-term)	\$ 8,011	\$ 13,848
Unsecured long-term borrowings	175,210	174,399
Total	\$ 183,221	\$ 188,247

See Note 9 for further information about other secured financings. The table below presents unsecured long-term borrowings extending through 2060 and consisting principally of senior borrowings.

<i>in millions</i>	As of June 2011			As of December 2010		
	U.S. Dollar	Non-U.S. Dollar	Total	U.S. Dollar	Non-U.S. Dollar	Total
Fixed-rate obligations ¹	\$ 77,837	\$ 40,387	\$ 118,224	\$ 82,814	\$ 35,885	\$ 118,699
Floating-rate obligations ²	26,927	30,059	56,986	27,316	28,384	55,700
Total ³	\$ 104,764	\$ 70,446	\$ 175,210	\$ 110,130	\$ 64,269	\$ 174,399

1. Interest rates on U.S. dollar-denominated debt ranged from 0.10% to 10.04% (with a weighted average rate of 5.61%) and 0.20% to 10.04% (with a weighted average rate of 5.52%) as of June 2011 and December 2010, respectively. Interest rates on non-U.S. dollar-denominated debt ranged from 0.85% to 14.85% (with a weighted average rate of 4.75%) and 0.85% to 14.85% (with a weighted average rate of 4.65%) as of June 2011 and December 2010, respectively.
2. Floating interest rates generally are based on LIBOR or the federal funds target rate. Equity-linked and indexed instruments are included in floating-rate obligations.
3. Includes \$0 and \$8.58 billion as of June 2011 and December 2010, respectively, guaranteed by the FDIC under the TLGP.

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)**

The table below presents unsecured long-term borrowings by maturity date. In the table below:

unsecured long-term borrowings maturing within one year of the financial statement date and unsecured long-term borrowings that are redeemable within one year of the financial statement date at the option of the holder are included as unsecured short-term borrowings;

unsecured long-term borrowings that are repayable prior to maturity at the option of the firm are reflected at their contractual maturity dates; and

unsecured long-term borrowings that are redeemable prior to maturity at the option of the holders are reflected at the dates such options become exercisable.

<i>in millions</i>	As of June 2011
2012	\$ 11,460
2013	23,195
2014	20,474
2015	17,685
2016	27,514
2017 – thereafter	74,882
Total ¹	\$ 175,210

1. Amount includes an increase of \$6.23 billion to the carrying amount of certain unsecured long-term borrowings related to hedge accounting. The amounts related to the carrying value of unsecured long-term borrowings associated with the effect of hedge accounting by year of maturity are as follows: \$206 million in 2012, \$563 million in 2013, \$696 million in 2014, \$374 million in 2015, \$698 million in 2016 and \$3.69 billion in 2017 and thereafter.

The aggregate contractual principal amount of unsecured long-term borrowings (principal and non-principal protected) for which the fair value option was elected exceeded the related fair value by \$538 million and \$349 million as of June 2011 and December 2010, respectively.

The firm designates certain derivatives as fair value hedges to effectively convert a substantial portion of its fixed-rate unsecured long-term borrowings which are not accounted for at fair value into floating-rate obligations. Accordingly, excluding the cumulative impact of changes in the firm's credit spreads, the carrying value of unsecured long-term borrowings approximated fair value as of June 2011 and December 2010. For unsecured long-term borrowings for

which the firm did not elect the fair value option, the cumulative impact due to changes in the firm's own credit spreads would be a reduction in the carrying value of total unsecured long-term borrowings of less than 1% as of both June 2011 and December 2010. See Note 7 for further information about hedging activities.

The table below presents unsecured long-term borrowings, after giving effect to hedging activities that converted a substantial portion of fixed-rate obligations to floating-rate obligations.

<i>in millions</i>	June 2011	As of December 2010
Fixed-rate obligations		
At fair value	\$ 516	\$ 22
At amortized cost ¹	12,685	5,877
Floating-rate obligations		
At fair value	19,615	18,148
At amortized cost ¹	142,394	150,352
Total	\$ 175,210	\$ 174,399

1. The weighted average interest rates on the aggregate amounts were 2.31% (6.25% related to fixed-rate obligations and 1.99% related to floating-rate obligations) and 1.90% (5.69% related to fixed-rate obligations and 1.74% related to floating-rate obligations) as of June 2011 and December 2010, respectively. These rates exclude financial instruments accounted for at fair value under the fair value option.

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)****Subordinated Borrowings**

Unsecured long-term borrowings include subordinated debt and junior subordinated debt. Junior subordinated debt is junior in right of payment to other subordinated borrowings, which are junior to senior borrowings. As of

June 2011 and December 2010, subordinated debt had maturities ranging from 2014 to 2038 and 2012 to 2038, respectively. The table below presents subordinated borrowings.

<i>in millions</i>	As of June 2011			As of December 2010		
	Par Amount	Carrying Amount	Rate ¹	Par Amount	Carrying Amount	Rate ¹
Subordinated debt	\$14,490	\$ 16,874	4.08%	\$14,345	\$ 16,977	1.19%
Junior subordinated debt	5,085	5,613	2.44%	5,082	5,716	2.50%
Total subordinated borrowings	\$19,575	\$ 22,487	3.65%	\$19,427	\$ 22,693	1.54%

1. Weighted average interest rate after giving effect to fair value hedges used to convert these fixed-rate obligations into floating-rate obligations. See Note 7 for further information about hedging activities. See below for information about interest rates on junior subordinated debt.

Junior Subordinated Debt

Junior Subordinated Debt Issued to APEX Trusts. In 2007, Group Inc. issued a total of \$2.25 billion of remarketable junior subordinated debt to Goldman Sachs Capital II and Goldman Sachs Capital III (APEX Trusts), Delaware statutory trusts. The APEX Trusts issued \$2.25 billion of guaranteed perpetual Normal Automatic Preferred Enhanced Capital Securities (APEX) to third parties and a de minimis amount of common securities to Group Inc. Group Inc. also entered into contracts with the APEX Trusts to sell \$2.25 billion of Group Inc. perpetual non-cumulative preferred stock (the stock purchase contracts).

The APEX Trusts are wholly-owned finance subsidiaries of the firm for regulatory and legal purposes but are not consolidated for accounting purposes.

The firm accounted for the stock purchase contracts as equity instruments and, accordingly, recorded the cost of the stock purchase contracts as a reduction to additional paid-in capital. See Note 19 for information on the preferred stock that Group Inc. will issue in connection with the stock purchase contracts.

The firm pays interest semi-annually on \$1.75 billion of junior subordinated debt issued to Goldman Sachs Capital II at a fixed annual rate of 5.59% and the debt matures on June 1, 2043. The firm pays interest quarterly on \$500 million of junior subordinated debt issued to Goldman Sachs Capital III at a rate per annum equal to three-month LIBOR plus 0.57% and the debt

matures on September 1, 2043. In addition, the firm makes contract payments at a rate of 0.20% per annum on the stock purchase contracts held by the APEX Trusts.

The firm has the right to defer payments on the junior subordinated debt and the stock purchase contracts, subject to limitations, and therefore cause payment on the APEX to be deferred. During any such extension period, the firm will not be permitted to, among other things, pay dividends on or make certain repurchases of its common or preferred stock.

In connection with the APEX issuance, the firm covenanted in favor of certain of its debtholders, who were initially and are currently the holders of Group Inc. s 6.345% Junior Subordinated Debentures due February 15, 2034, that, subject to certain exceptions, the firm would not redeem or purchase (i) Group Inc. s junior subordinated debt issued to the APEX Trusts prior to the applicable stock purchase date or (ii) APEX or shares of Group Inc. s perpetual Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock, Series E (Series E Preferred Stock) or perpetual Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock, Series F (Series F Preferred Stock) prior to the date that is ten years after the applicable stock purchase date, unless the applicable redemption or purchase price does not exceed a maximum amount determined by reference to the aggregate amount of net cash proceeds that the firm has received from the sale of qualifying equity securities during the 180-day period preceding the redemption or purchase.

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)**

Junior Subordinated Debt Issued in Connection with Trust Preferred Securities. Group Inc. issued \$2.84 billion of junior subordinated debentures in 2004 to Goldman Sachs Capital I (Trust), a Delaware statutory trust. The Trust issued \$2.75 billion of guaranteed preferred beneficial interests to third parties and \$85 million of common beneficial interests to Group Inc. and used the proceeds from the issuances to purchase the junior subordinated debentures from Group Inc. The Trust is a wholly-owned finance subsidiary of the firm for regulatory and legal purposes but is not consolidated for accounting purposes.

The firm pays interest semi-annually on the debentures at an annual rate of 6.345% and the debentures mature on February 15, 2034. The coupon rate and the payment dates applicable to the beneficial interests are the same as the interest rate and payment dates for the debentures. The firm has the right, from time to time, to defer payment of interest on the debentures, and therefore cause payment on the Trust's preferred beneficial interests to be deferred, in each case up to ten consecutive semi-annual periods. During any such extension period, the firm will not be permitted to, among other things, pay dividends on or make certain repurchases of its common stock. The Trust is not permitted to pay any distributions on the common beneficial interests held by Group Inc. unless all dividends payable on the preferred beneficial interests have been paid in full.

Note 17. Other Liabilities and Accrued Expenses

The table below presents other liabilities and accrued expenses by type.

<i>in millions</i>	June 2011	As of December 2010
Compensation and benefits	\$ 6,354	\$ 9,089
Insurance-related liabilities	15,754	11,381
Noncontrolling interests ¹	1,192	872
Income tax-related liabilities ²	478	2,042
Employee interests in consolidated funds	297	451
Subordinated liabilities issued by consolidated VIEs	1,376	1,526
Accrued expenses and other	4,458	4,650
Total	\$ 29,909	\$ 30,011

1. Includes \$556 million and \$593 million related to consolidated investment funds as of June 2011 and December 2010, respectively.

2. See Note 24 for further information about income taxes.

The table below presents insurance-related liabilities by type.

<i>in millions</i>	June 2011	As of December 2010
Separate account liabilities	\$ 3,867	\$ 4,024
Liabilities for future benefits and unpaid claims	10,863 ¹	6,308
Contract holder account balances	799	801
Reserves for guaranteed minimum death and income benefits	225	248
Total	\$ 15,754	\$ 11,381

1. Includes increased liabilities related to the acquisition of Paternoster U.K. Limited, a U.K. life insurance company, in the first quarter of 2011. In connection with this acquisition, the firm acquired \$4.75 billion of assets (primarily financial instruments owned, at fair value, principally consisting of corporate debt securities) and assumed \$4.35 billion of liabilities.

Separate account liabilities are supported by separate account assets, representing segregated contract holder funds under variable annuity and life insurance contracts. Separate account assets are included in Cash and securities segregated for regulatory and other purposes.

Liabilities for future benefits and unpaid claims include liabilities arising from reinsurance provided by the firm to other insurers. The firm had a receivable of \$1.35 billion and \$1.26 billion as of June 2011 and December 2010, respectively, related to such reinsurance contracts, which is reported in Receivables from customers and counterparties. In addition, the firm has ceded risks to reinsurers related to certain of its liabilities for future benefits and unpaid claims and had a receivable of \$722 million and \$839 million as of June 2011 and December 2010, respectively, related to such reinsurance contracts, which is reported in Receivables from customers and counterparties. Contracts to cede risks to reinsurers do not relieve the firm of its obligations to contract holders. Liabilities for future benefits and unpaid claims include \$6.64 billion and \$2.05 billion carried at fair value under the fair value option as of June 2011 and December 2010, respectively.

Reserves for guaranteed minimum death and income benefits represent a liability for the expected value of guaranteed benefits in excess of projected annuity account balances. These reserves are based on total payments expected to be made less total fees expected to be assessed over the life of the contract.

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)****Note 18. Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees****Commitments**

The table below presents the firm's commitments.

<i>in millions</i>	Commitment Amount by Period of Expiration as of June 2011				Total Commitments as of	
	Remainder of 2011	2012- 2013	2014- 2015	2016- Thereafter	June 2011	December 2010
Commitments to extend credit ¹						
Commercial lending:						
Investment-grade	\$ 1,652	\$ 8,026	\$ 3,788	\$ 3,563	\$ 17,029	\$ 12,330
Non-investment-grade	1,711	4,369	3,972	5,943	15,995	11,919
William Street credit extension program	2,293	13,907	9,026	5,704	30,930	27,383
Warehouse financing	63	269			332	265
Total commitments to extend credit	5,719	26,571	16,786	15,210	64,286	51,897
Contingent and forward starting resale and securities borrowing agreements ²	71,177				71,177	46,886
Forward starting repurchase and securities lending agreements ²	19,180				19,180	12,509
Underwriting commitments	188				188	835
Letters of credit ³	1,010	515	142	1	1,668	2,210
Investment commitments	2,339	6,698	326	923	10,286	11,093
Other	375	124	60	25	584	389
Total commitments	\$99,988	\$ 33,908	\$ 17,314	\$ 16,159	\$167,369	\$125,819

1. Commitments to extend credit are presented net of amounts syndicated to third parties.

2. These agreements generally settle within three business days.

3. Consists of commitments under letters of credit issued by various banks which the firm provides to counterparties in lieu of securities or cash to satisfy various collateral and margin deposit requirements.

Commitments to Extend Credit

The firm's commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend with fixed termination dates and depend on the satisfaction of all contractual conditions to borrowing. The total commitment amount does not necessarily reflect actual future cash flows because the firm may syndicate all or substantial portions of these commitments and commitments can expire unused or be reduced or cancelled at the counterparty's request.

The firm generally accounts for commitments to extend credit at fair value. Losses, if any, are generally recorded, net of any fees in Other principal transactions.

Commercial Lending. The firm's commercial lending commitments are generally extended in connection with contingent acquisition financing and other types of corporate lending as well as commercial real estate financing. Commitments that are extended for contingent acquisition financing are often intended to be short-term in nature, as borrowers often seek to replace them with other funding sources.

William Street Credit Extension Program.

Substantially all of the commitments provided under the William Street credit extension program are to investment-grade corporate borrowers. Commitments under the program are principally extended by William Street Commitment Corporation (Commitment Corp.), a consolidated wholly-owned subsidiary of GS Bank USA, GS Bank USA, and other subsidiaries of GS Bank USA. Historically, commitments extended by Commitment Corp. were supported, in part, by funding raised by Funding Corp., another consolidated wholly-owned subsidiary of GS Bank USA. As of April 26, 2011, the funding raised by Funding Corp. had been repaid in its entirety. The commitments extended by Commitment Corp. that had been supported by this funding are now supported by funding from GS Bank USA.

Table of Contents

THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)**

The assets and liabilities of Commitment Corp. are legally separated from other assets and liabilities of the firm. The assets of Commitment Corp. will not be available to its shareholders until the claims of its creditors have been paid. In addition, no affiliate of Commitment Corp., except in limited cases as expressly agreed in writing, is responsible for any obligation of Commitment Corp.

Sumitomo Mitsui Financial Group, Inc. (SMFG) provides the firm with credit loss protection that is generally limited to 95% of the first loss the firm realizes on approved loan commitments, up to a maximum of approximately \$950 million, with respect to most of the William Street commitments. In addition, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions, upon the firm's request, SMFG will provide protection for 70% of additional losses on such commitments, up to a maximum of \$1.13 billion, of which \$300 million and \$375 million of protection had been provided as of June 2011 and December 2010, respectively. The firm also uses other financial instruments to mitigate credit risks related to certain William Street commitments not covered by SMFG.

Warehouse Financing. The firm provides financing to clients who warehouse financial assets. These arrangements are secured by the warehoused assets, primarily consisting of residential and commercial mortgages.

Contingent and Forward Starting Resale and Securities Borrowing Agreements/Forward Starting Repurchase and Securities Lending Agreements

The firm enters into resale and securities borrowing agreements and repurchase and securities lending agreements that settle at a future date. The firm also enters into commitments to provide contingent financing to its clients through resale agreements. The firm's funding of these commitments depends on the satisfaction of all contractual conditions to the resale agreement and these commitments can expire unused.

Investment Commitments

The firm's investment commitments consist of commitments to invest in private equity, real estate and other assets directly and through funds that the firm raises and manages. These commitments include \$1.86 billion and \$1.97 billion as of June 2011 and December 2010, respectively, related to real estate private investments and \$8.43 billion and \$9.12 billion as of June 2011 and December 2010, respectively, related to corporate and other private investments. Of these amounts, \$9.48 billion and \$10.10 billion as of June 2011 and December 2010, respectively, relate to commitments to invest in funds managed by the firm, which will be funded at market value on the date of investment.

Leases

The firm has contractual obligations under long-term noncancelable lease agreements, principally for office space, expiring on various dates through 2069. Certain agreements are subject to periodic escalation provisions for increases in real estate taxes and other charges. The table below presents future minimum rental payments, net of minimum sublease rentals.

As of

<i>in millions</i>	June 2011
Remainder of 2011	\$ 251
2012	493
2013	428
2014	399
2015	366
2016	333
2017-thereafter	1,437
Total	\$ 3,707

Operating leases include office space held in excess of current requirements. Rent expense relating to space held for growth is included in Occupancy. The firm records a liability, based on the fair value of the remaining lease rentals reduced by any potential or existing sublease rentals, for leases where the firm has ceased using the space and management has concluded that the firm will not derive any future economic benefits. Costs to terminate a lease before the end of its term are recognized and measured at fair value on termination.

Table of Contents

THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)**

Contingencies

Legal Proceedings. See Note 27 for information on legal proceedings, including certain mortgage-related matters.

Certain Mortgage-Related Contingencies. There are multiple areas of focus by regulators, governmental agencies and others within the mortgage market that may impact originators, issuers, servicers and investors. There remains significant uncertainty surrounding the nature and extent of any potential exposure for participants in this market.

Representations and Warranties. The firm was not a significant originator of residential mortgage loans. The firm did purchase loans originated by others and generally received loan-level representations of the type described below from the originators. During the period 2005 through 2008, the firm sold approximately \$10 billion of loans to government-sponsored enterprises and approximately \$11 billion of loans to other third parties. In addition, the firm transferred loans to trusts and other mortgage securitization vehicles. As of June 2011, the outstanding balance of the loans transferred to trusts and other mortgage securitization vehicles during the period 2005 through 2008 was approximately \$45 billion. This amount reflects paydowns and cumulative losses of approximately \$80 billion (\$16 billion of which are cumulative losses). A small number of these Goldman Sachs-issued securitizations with an outstanding principal balance of \$683 million and total paydowns and cumulative losses of \$1.38 billion (\$440 million of which are cumulative losses) were structured with credit protection obtained from monoline insurers. In connection with both sales of loans and securitizations, the firm provided loan level representations of the type described below and/or assigned the loan level representations from the party from whom the firm purchased the loans.

The loan level representations made in connection with the sale or securitization of mortgage loans varied among transactions but were generally detailed representations applicable to each loan in the portfolio and addressed matters relating to the property, the borrower and the note. These representations generally included, but were not limited to, the following: (i) certain attributes of the borrower's financial status; (ii) loan-to-value ratios, owner occupancy status and certain other characteristics of the property; (iii) the lien position; (iv) the fact that the loan was originated in compliance with law; and (v) completeness of the loan documentation.

To date, repurchase claims and actual repurchases of residential mortgage loans based upon alleged breaches of representations have not been significant and have mainly involved government-sponsored enterprises. During both the three and six months ended June 2011, the firm incurred an immaterial loss on the repurchase of less than \$10 million of loans. As of June 2011, outstanding repurchase claims were not material.

Ultimately, the firm's exposure to claims for repurchase of residential mortgage loans based on alleged breaches of representations will depend on a number of factors including the following: (i) the extent to which these claims are actually made; (ii) the extent to which there are underlying breaches of representations that give rise to valid claims for repurchase; (iii) in the case of loans originated by others, the extent to which the firm could be held liable and, if it is, the firm's ability to pursue and collect on any claims against the parties who made representations to the firm; (iv) macro-economic factors, including developments in the residential real estate market; and (v) legal and regulatory developments.

Based upon the large number of defaults in residential mortgages, including those sold or securitized by the firm, there is a potential for increasing claims for repurchases. However, the firm is not in a position to make a meaningful estimate of that exposure at this time.

Table of Contents

THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)**

Foreclosure and Other Mortgage Loan Servicing Practices and Procedures. The firm has received a number of requests for information from regulators and other agencies, including state attorneys general and banking regulators, as part of an industry-wide focus on the practices of lenders and servicers in connection with foreclosure proceedings and other aspects of mortgage loan servicing practices and procedures. The requests seek information about the foreclosure and servicing protocols and activities of Litton, the firm's residential mortgage servicing subsidiary, and any deviations therefrom. The firm is cooperating with the requests and is reviewing Litton's practices in this area. These inquiries may result in the imposition of fines or other regulatory action. Litton temporarily suspended evictions and foreclosure and real estate owned sales in a number of states, including those with judicial foreclosure procedures in the third quarter of 2010. Litton resumed these activities beginning in the fourth quarter of 2010. As of June 2011, the firm is not aware of foreclosures where the underlying foreclosure decision was not warranted. As of June 2011, the value of the firm's mortgage servicing rights was not material and any impact on their value would not be material to the firm. In connection with the pending sale of Litton, the firm agreed to provide certain representations and warranties, and specific indemnities related to Litton's servicing and foreclosure practices prior to the close of the sale.

Guaranteed Minimum Death and Income Benefits. In connection with its insurance business, the firm is contingently liable to provide guaranteed minimum death and income benefits to certain contract holders and has established a reserve related to \$6.19 billion and \$6.11 billion of contract holder account balances as of June 2011 and December 2010, respectively, for such benefits. The weighted average attained age of these contract holders was 69 years for both June 2011 and December 2010.

The net amount at risk, representing guaranteed minimum death and income benefits in excess of contract holder account balances, was \$1.29 billion and \$1.60 billion as of June 2011 and December 2010, respectively. See Note 17 for further information about insurance liabilities.

Guarantees

The firm enters into various derivatives that meet the definition of a guarantee under U.S. GAAP, including written equity and commodity put options, written currency contracts and interest rate caps, floors and swaptions. Disclosures about derivatives are not required if they may be cash settled and the firm has no basis to conclude it is probable that the counterparties held the underlying instruments at inception of the contract. The firm has concluded that these conditions have been met for certain large, internationally active commercial and investment bank counterparties and certain other counterparties. Accordingly, the firm has not included such contracts in the table below.

The firm, in its capacity as an agency lender, indemnifies most of its securities lending customers against losses incurred in the event that borrowers do not return securities and the collateral held is insufficient to cover the market value of the securities borrowed.

In the ordinary course of business, the firm provides other financial guarantees of the obligations of third parties (e.g., standby letters of credit and other guarantees to enable clients to complete transactions and fund-related guarantees). These guarantees represent obligations to make payments to beneficiaries if the guaranteed party fails to fulfill its obligation under a contractual arrangement with that beneficiary.

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)**

The table below presents certain information about derivatives that meet the definition of a guarantee and certain other guarantees. The maximum payout in the table below is based on the notional amount of the contract and therefore does not represent anticipated losses. See Note 7 for further information about credit derivatives that meet the definition of a guarantee which are not included below.

Because derivatives are accounted for at fair value, carrying value is considered the best indication of payment/performance risk for individual contracts. However, the carrying values below exclude the effect of a legal right of setoff that may exist under an enforceable netting agreement and the effect of netting of cash collateral posted under credit support agreements.

<i>in millions</i>	Carrying Value of Net Liability	As of June 2011 Maximum Payout/Notional Amount by Period of Expiration				Total
		Remainder of 2011	2012- 2013	2014- 2015	2016- Thereafter	
Derivatives ¹	\$ 8,941	\$282,572	\$ 397,688	\$ 72,796	\$75,698	\$ 828,754
Securities lending indemnifications ²		32,604				32,604
Other financial guarantees ³	30	249	1,251	490	1,188	3,178

1. These derivatives are risk managed together with derivatives that do not meet the definition of a guarantee, and therefore these amounts do not reflect the firm's overall risk related to its derivative activities.
2. Collateral held by the lenders in connection with securities lending indemnifications was \$33.58 billion as of June 2011. Because the contractual nature of these arrangements requires the firm to obtain collateral with a market value that exceeds the value of the securities lent to the borrower, there is minimal performance risk associated with these guarantees.
3. Other financial guarantees excludes certain commitments to issue standby letters of credit that are included in Commitments to extend credit. See table in Commitments above for a summary of the firm's commitments.

As of December 2010, the carrying value of the net liability related to derivative guarantees and other financial guarantees was \$8.26 billion and \$28 million, respectively.

Guarantees of Securities Issued by Trusts. The firm has established trusts, including Goldman Sachs Capital I, II and III, and other entities for the limited purpose of issuing securities to third parties, lending the proceeds to the firm and entering into contractual arrangements with the firm and third parties related to this purpose. The firm does not consolidate these entities. See Note 16 for further information about the transactions involving Goldman Sachs Capital I, II and III.

The firm effectively provides for the full and unconditional guarantee of the securities issued by these entities. Timely payment by the firm of amounts due to these entities under the borrowing, preferred stock and related contractual arrangements will be sufficient to cover payments due on the securities issued by these entities.

Management believes that it is unlikely that any circumstances will occur, such as nonperformance on the part of paying agents or other service providers, that would make it necessary for the firm to make payments related to these entities other than those required under the terms of the borrowing, preferred stock and related contractual arrangements and in connection with certain expenses incurred by these entities.

Indemnities and Guarantees of Service Providers. In the ordinary course of business, the firm indemnifies and guarantees certain service providers, such as clearing and custody agents, trustees and administrators, against specified potential losses in connection with their acting as an agent of, or providing services to, the firm or its affiliates.

The firm also indemnifies some clients against potential losses incurred in the event specified third-party service providers, including sub-custodians and third-party brokers, improperly execute transactions. In addition, the firm is a member of payment, clearing and settlement networks as well as securities exchanges around the world that may require the firm to meet the obligations of such networks and exchanges in the event of member defaults.

In connection with its prime brokerage and clearing businesses, the firm agrees to clear and settle on behalf of its clients the transactions entered into by them with other brokerage firms. The firm's obligations in respect of such transactions are secured by the assets in the client's account as well as any proceeds received from the transactions cleared and settled by the firm on behalf of the client. In connection with joint venture investments, the firm may issue loan guarantees under which it may be liable in the event of fraud, misappropriation, environmental liabilities and certain other matters involving the borrower.

Table of Contents

THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)**

The firm is unable to develop an estimate of the maximum payout under these guarantees and indemnifications. However, management believes that it is unlikely the firm will have to make any material payments under these arrangements, and no material liabilities related to these guarantees and indemnifications have been recognized in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition as of June 2011 and December 2010.

Other Representations, Warranties and Indemnifications. The firm provides representations and warranties to counterparties in connection with a variety of commercial transactions and occasionally indemnifies them against potential losses caused by the breach of those representations and warranties. The firm may also provide indemnifications protecting against changes in or adverse application of certain U.S. tax laws in connection with ordinary-course transactions such as securities issuances, borrowings or derivatives.

In addition, the firm may provide indemnifications to some counterparties to protect them in the event additional taxes are owed or payments are withheld, due either to a change in or an adverse application of certain non-U.S. tax laws.

These indemnifications generally are standard contractual terms and are entered into in the ordinary course of business. Generally, there are no stated or notional amounts included in these indemnifications, and the contingencies triggering the obligation to indemnify are not expected to occur. The firm is unable to develop an estimate of the maximum payout under these guarantees and indemnifications. However, management believes that it is unlikely the firm will have to make any material payments under these arrangements, and no material liabilities related to these arrangements have been recognized in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition as of June 2011 and December 2010.

Guarantees of Subsidiaries. Group Inc. fully and unconditionally guarantees the securities issued by GS Finance Corp., a wholly-owned finance subsidiary of the firm.

Group Inc. has guaranteed the payment obligations of Goldman, Sachs & Co. (GS&Co.), GS Bank USA, GS Bank Europe and Goldman Sachs Execution & Clearing, L.P. (GSEC), subject to certain exceptions.

In November 2008, the firm contributed subsidiaries into GS Bank USA, and Group Inc. agreed to guarantee certain losses, including credit-related losses, relating to assets held by the contributed entities. In connection with this guarantee, Group Inc. also agreed to pledge to GS Bank USA certain collateral, including interests in subsidiaries and other illiquid assets.

In addition, Group Inc. guarantees many of the obligations of its other consolidated subsidiaries on a transaction-by-transaction basis, as negotiated with counterparties. Group Inc. is unable to develop an estimate of the maximum payout under its subsidiary guarantees; however, because these guaranteed obligations are also obligations of consolidated subsidiaries included in the table above, Group Inc.'s liabilities as guarantor are not separately disclosed.

**Note 19. Shareholders' Equity
Common Equity**

On July 18, 2011, Group Inc. declared a dividend of \$0.35 per common share to be paid on September 29, 2011 to common shareholders of record on September 1, 2011.

The firm's share repurchase program is intended to help maintain the appropriate level of common equity and to substantially offset increases in share count over time resulting from employee share-based compensation. The repurchase program is effected primarily through regular open-market purchases, the amounts and timing of which are determined primarily by the firm's current and projected capital positions (i.e., comparisons of the firm's desired level and composition of capital to its actual level and composition of capital) and the issuance of shares resulting from employee share-based compensation, but which may also be influenced by general market conditions and the prevailing price and trading volumes of the firm's common stock. Any repurchase of the firm's common stock requires approval by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (Federal Reserve Board).

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)**

During the three and six months ended June 2011, the firm repurchased 10.8 million and 19.8 million shares of its common stock at an average cost per share of \$139.20 and \$150.14, for a total cost of \$1.50 billion and \$2.97 billion, respectively, under the share repurchase program. In addition, pursuant to the terms of certain share-based compensation plans, employees may remit shares to the firm or the firm may cancel RSUs to satisfy minimum statutory

employee tax withholding requirements. Under these plans, during the six months ended June 2011, employees remitted 75,378 shares with a total value of \$12 million and the firm cancelled 11.0 million of RSUs with a total value of \$1.78 billion.

Preferred Equity

The table below presents perpetual preferred stock issued and outstanding.

Series	Shares Authorized	Shares Issued	Shares Outstanding	Dividend Rate	Earliest Redemption Date	Redemption Value (in millions)
A	50,000	30,000	29,999	3 month LIBOR + 0.75%, with floor of 3.75% per annum	April 25, 2010	\$ 750
B	50,000	32,000	32,000	6.20% per annum	October 31, 2010	800
C	25,000	8,000	8,000	3 month LIBOR + 0.75%, with floor of 4.00% per annum	October 31, 2010	200
D	60,000	54,000	53,999	3 month LIBOR + 0.67%, with floor of 4.00% per annum	May 24, 2011	1,350
	185,000	124,000	123,998			\$ 3,100

Each share of non-cumulative Series A Preferred Stock, Series B Preferred Stock, Series C Preferred Stock and Series D Preferred Stock issued and outstanding has a par value of \$0.01, has a liquidation preference of \$25,000, is represented by 1,000 depositary shares and is redeemable at the firm's option, subject to the approval of the Federal Reserve Board, at a redemption price equal to \$25,000 plus declared and unpaid dividends.

All series of preferred stock are pari passu and have a preference over the firm's common stock on liquidation. Dividends on each series of preferred stock, if declared, are payable quarterly in arrears. The firm's ability to declare or pay dividends on, or purchase, redeem or otherwise acquire, its common stock is subject to certain restrictions in the event that the firm fails to pay or set aside full dividends on the preferred stock for the latest completed dividend period.

In 2007, the Board of Directors of Group Inc. (Board) authorized 17,500.1 shares of Series E Preferred Stock, and 5,000.1 shares of Series F Preferred Stock, in connection with the APEX Trusts. See Note 16 for further information.

Under the stock purchase contracts with the APEX Trusts, Group Inc. will issue on the relevant stock purchase dates (on or before June 1, 2013 and September 1, 2013 for Series E and Series F Preferred Stock, respectively) one share of Series E and Series F Preferred Stock to Goldman Sachs Capital II and III, respectively, for each \$100,000 principal amount of subordinated debt held by these trusts. When issued, each share of Series E and Series F Preferred Stock will have a par value of \$0.01 and a liquidation preference of \$100,000 per share.

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)**

Dividends on Series E Preferred Stock, if declared, will be payable semi-annually at a fixed annual rate of 5.79% if the stock is issued prior to June 1, 2012 and quarterly thereafter, at a rate per annum equal to the greater of (i) three-month LIBOR plus 0.77% and (ii) 4.00%.

Dividends on Series F Preferred Stock, if declared, will be payable quarterly at a rate per annum equal to three-month LIBOR plus 0.77% if the stock is issued prior to September 1, 2012 and quarterly thereafter, at a rate per annum equal to the greater of (i) three-month LIBOR plus 0.77% and (ii) 4.00%.

The preferred stock may be redeemed at the option of the firm on the stock purchase dates or any day thereafter, subject to approval from the Federal Reserve Board and certain covenant restrictions governing the firm's ability to redeem or purchase the preferred stock without issuing common stock or other instruments with equity-like characteristics.

In March 2011, the firm provided notice to Berkshire Hathaway Inc. and certain of its subsidiaries (collectively, Berkshire Hathaway) that it would redeem in full the

50,000 shares of the firm's 10% Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series G (Series G Preferred Stock) held by Berkshire Hathaway for the stated redemption price of \$5.50 billion (\$110,000 per share), plus accrued and unpaid dividends. In connection with this notice, the firm recognized a preferred dividend of \$1.64 billion (calculated as the difference between the carrying value and redemption value of the preferred stock), which was recorded as a reduction to the firm's first quarter earnings applicable to common shareholders and common shareholders' equity. The redemption also resulted in the acceleration of \$24 million of preferred dividends related to the period from April 1, 2011 to the redemption date, which was included in the firm's results during the three months ended March 2011. The Series G Preferred Stock was redeemed on April 18, 2011. Berkshire Hathaway continues to hold a five-year warrant, issued in October 2008, to purchase up to 43.5 million shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$115.00 per share.

The table below presents preferred dividends declared on preferred stock.

	Three Months Ended June				Six Months Ended June			
	2011	in millions	2010	in millions	2011	in millions	2010	in millions
	per share		per share		per share		per share	
Series A	\$ 231.77	\$ 7	\$ 231.77	\$ 7	\$ 471.35	\$ 14	\$ 471.35	\$ 14

Series B	387.50	13	387.50	13	775.00	25	775.00	25
Series C	247.22	2	247.22	2	502.78	4	502.78	4
Series D	247.22	13	247.22	13	502.78	27	502.78	27
Series G			2,500.00	125	2,500.00	125 ¹	5,000.00	250
Total		\$ 35		\$ 160		\$ 195		\$ 320

1. Excludes preferred dividends related to the redemption of the firm's Series G Preferred Stock.

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income/(Loss)

The table below presents accumulated other comprehensive income/(loss) by type.

<i>in millions</i>	June 2011	As of December 2010
Currency translation adjustment, net of tax	\$ (205)	\$ (170)
Pension and postretirement liability adjustments, net of tax	(226)	(229)
Net unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities, net of tax ¹	84	113
Total accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax	\$ (347)	\$ (286)

1. Substantially all consists of net unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities held by the firm's insurance subsidiaries as of both June 2011 and December 2010.

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)****Note 20. Regulation and Capital Adequacy**

The Federal Reserve Board is the primary regulator of Group Inc., a bank holding company and a financial holding company under the U.S. Bank Holding Company Act of 1956. As a bank holding company, the firm is subject to consolidated regulatory capital requirements that are computed in accordance with the Federal Reserve Board's capital adequacy regulations currently applicable to bank holding companies (Basel 1). These capital requirements, which are based on the Capital Accord of the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (Basel Committee), are expressed as capital ratios that compare measures of capital to risk-weighted assets (RWAs). The firm's bank depository institution subsidiaries, including GS Bank USA, are subject to similar capital requirements.

Under the Federal Reserve Board's capital adequacy requirements and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action that is applicable to GS Bank USA, the firm and its bank depository institution subsidiaries must meet specific capital requirements that involve quantitative measures of assets, liabilities and certain off-balance-sheet items as calculated under regulatory reporting practices. The firm and its bank depository institution subsidiaries' capital amounts, as well as GS Bank USA's prompt corrective action classification, are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings and other factors.

Many of the firm's subsidiaries, including GS&Co. and the firm's other broker-dealer subsidiaries, are subject to separate regulation and capital requirements as described below.

Group Inc.

Federal Reserve Board regulations require bank holding companies to maintain a minimum Tier 1 capital ratio of 4% and a minimum total capital ratio of 8%. The required minimum Tier 1 capital ratio and total capital ratio in order to be considered a well-capitalized bank holding company under the Federal Reserve Board guidelines are 6% and 10%, respectively. Bank holding companies may be expected to maintain ratios well above the minimum

levels, depending on their particular condition, risk profile and growth plans. The minimum Tier 1 leverage ratio is 3% for bank holding companies that have received the highest supervisory rating under Federal Reserve Board guidelines or that have implemented the Federal Reserve Board's risk-based capital measure for market risk. Other bank holding companies must have a minimum Tier 1 leverage ratio of 4%.

The table below presents information regarding Group Inc.'s regulatory capital ratios.

<i>\$ in millions</i>	June 2011	As of December 2010
Tier 1 capital	\$ 66,365	\$ 71,233
Tier 2 capital	13,811	13,660
Total capital	80,176	84,893

Risk-weighted assets	451,010	444,290
Tier 1 capital ratio	14.7%	16.0%
Total capital ratio	17.8%	19.1%
Tier 1 leverage ratio	7.3%	8.0%

RWAs under the Federal Reserve Board's risk-based capital guidelines are calculated based on the amount of market risk and credit risk. RWAs for market risk are determined by reference to the firm's Value-at-Risk (VaR) models, supplemented by other measures to capture risks not reflected in VaR models. Credit risk for on-balance sheet assets is based on the balance sheet value. For off-balance sheet exposures, including OTC derivatives and commitments, a credit equivalent amount is calculated based on the notional amount of each trade. All such assets and amounts are then assigned a risk weight depending on, among other things, whether the counterparty is a sovereign, bank or qualifying securities firm or other entity (or if collateral is held, depending on the nature of the collateral).

Tier 1 leverage ratio is defined as Tier 1 capital under Basel 1 divided by average adjusted total assets (which includes adjustments for disallowed goodwill and intangible assets, and the carrying value of equity investments in non-financial companies that are subject to deductions from Tier 1 capital).

Table of Contents

THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)**

Regulatory Reform

The firm is currently working to implement the requirements set out in the Federal Reserve Board's Capital Adequacy Guidelines for Bank Holding Companies: Internal-Ratings-Based and Advanced Measurement Approaches, which are based on the advanced approaches under the Revised Framework for the International Convergence of Capital Measurement and Capital Standards issued by the Basel Committee as applicable to Group Inc. as a bank holding company (Basel 2). U.S. banking regulators have incorporated the Basel 2 framework into the existing risk-based capital requirements by requiring that internationally active banking organizations, such as Group Inc., adopt Basel 2 following the successful completion of a parallel run. As required by the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Dodd-Frank Act), U.S. banking regulators have adopted a rule which requires large banking organizations, upon adoption of Basel 2, to continue to calculate risk-based capital ratios under both Basel 1 and Basel 2. For each of the Tier 1 and Total capital ratios, the lower of the ratios calculated will be used to determine whether the bank meets its minimum risk-based capital requirements.

In addition, the Basel Committee has undertaken a program of substantial revisions to its capital guidelines. In particular, the changes in the Basel 2.5 guidelines will result in increased capital requirements for market risk; additionally, the Basel 3 guidelines issued by the Basel Committee in December 2010 revise the definition of Tier 1 capital, introduce Tier 1 common equity as a regulatory metric, set new minimum capital ratios (including a new capital conservation buffer, which must be composed exclusively of Tier 1 common equity and will be in addition to the other capital ratios), introduce a Tier 1 leverage ratio within international guidelines for the first time, and make substantial revisions to the computation of risk-weighted assets for credit exposures. Implementation of the new requirements is expected to take place over the next several years. Although the U.S. federal banking agencies have now issued proposed rules that are intended to implement certain aspects of the Basel 2.5 guidelines, they have not yet addressed all aspects of those guidelines or the Basel 3 changes. In addition, both the Basel Committee and U.S. banking regulators implementing the Dodd-Frank

Act have indicated that they will impose more stringent capital standards on systemically important financial institutions. The Basel Committee has proposed a methodology to assess the global systemic importance of a bank and the range of loss absorbing capital that a bank that is deemed systemically important should maintain. Because this proposal has not yet been adopted by the Basel Committee, the assessment criteria have not yet been finalized; nevertheless, it is probable that they will apply to the firm. Therefore, the regulations ultimately applicable to the firm may be substantially different from those that have been published to date.

The Dodd-Frank Act will subject the firm at a firmwide level to the same leverage and risk-based capital requirements that apply to depository institutions and directs banking regulators to impose additional capital requirements as disclosed above. The Federal Reserve Board will be required to begin implementing the new leverage and risk-based capital regulation by January 2012. As a consequence of these changes, Tier 1 capital treatment for the firm's junior subordinated debt issued to trusts will be phased out over a three-year period beginning on January 1, 2013. The interaction between the Dodd-Frank Act and the Basel Committee's proposed changes adds further uncertainty to the firm's future capital requirements.

A number of other governmental entities and regulators, including the U.S. Treasury, the European Union (EU) and the U.K. s Financial Services Authority (FSA), have also proposed or announced changes which will result in increased capital requirements for financial institutions.

As a consequence of these developments, the firm expects minimum capital ratios required to be maintained under Federal Reserve Board regulations will be increased and changes in the prescribed calculation methodology are expected to result in higher RWAs and lower capital ratios than those currently computed.

The capital requirements of several of the firm s subsidiaries will also be impacted in the future by the various proposals from the Basel Committee, the Dodd-Frank Act, and other governmental entities and regulators.

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)****Bank Subsidiaries**

GS Bank USA, an FDIC-insured, New York State-chartered bank and a member of the Federal Reserve System and the FDIC, is regulated by the Federal Reserve Board and the New York State Banking Department and is subject to minimum capital requirements (described further below) that are calculated in a manner similar to those applicable to bank holding companies. GS Bank USA computes its capital ratios in accordance with the regulatory capital guidelines currently applicable to state member banks, which are based on Basel 1 as implemented by the Federal Reserve Board, for purposes of assessing the adequacy of its capital. In order to be considered a well-capitalized depository institution under the Federal Reserve Board guidelines, GS Bank USA must maintain a Tier 1 capital ratio of at least 6%, a total capital ratio of at least 10% and a Tier 1 leverage ratio of at least 5%. In November 2008, the firm contributed subsidiaries into GS Bank USA. In connection with this contribution, GS Bank USA agreed with the Federal Reserve Board to minimum capital ratios in excess of these well-capitalized levels. Accordingly, for a period of time, GS Bank USA is expected to maintain a Tier 1 capital ratio of at least 8%, a total capital ratio of at least 11% and a Tier 1 leverage ratio of at least 6%.

The table below presents information regarding GS Bank USA's regulatory capital ratios under Basel 1 as implemented by the Federal Reserve Board.

	June 2011	As of December 2010
Tier 1 capital ratio	19.1%	18.8%
Total capital ratio	20.1% ¹	23.9%
Tier 1 leverage ratio	21.5%	19.5%

1. The decrease from December 2010 to June 2011 is primarily related to GS Bank USA's repayment of \$4.00 billion of subordinated debt to Group Inc. and \$1.00 billion dividend to Group Inc. in the first quarter of 2011.

GS Bank USA is currently working to implement the Basel 2 framework. Similar to the firm's requirement as a bank holding company, GS Bank USA is required to adopt Basel 2 following the successful completion of a parallel run. In addition, the capital requirements for GS Bank USA are expected to be impacted by changes to the Basel Committee's capital guidelines and by the Dodd-Frank Act, as outlined above.

The deposits of GS Bank USA are insured by the FDIC to the extent provided by law. The Federal Reserve Board requires depository institutions to maintain cash reserves with a Federal Reserve Bank. The amount deposited by the firm's depository institution subsidiaries held at the Federal Reserve Bank was approximately \$31.77 billion and

\$28.12 billion as of June 2011 and December 2010, respectively, which exceeded required reserve amounts by \$31.19 billion and \$27.45 billion as of June 2011 and December 2010, respectively. GS Bank Europe, a wholly-owned credit institution, is regulated by the Central Bank of Ireland and is subject to minimum capital requirements. As of June 2011 and December 2010, GS Bank USA and GS Bank Europe were both in compliance with all regulatory capital requirements.

Transactions between GS Bank USA and its subsidiaries and Group Inc. and its subsidiaries and affiliates (other than, generally, subsidiaries of GS Bank USA) are regulated by the Federal Reserve Board. These regulations generally limit the types and amounts of transactions (including loans to and borrowings from GS Bank USA) that may take place and generally require those transactions to be on an arm's-length basis.

Table of Contents

THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)**

Broker-Dealer Subsidiaries

The firm's U.S. regulated broker-dealer subsidiaries include GS&Co. and GSEC. GS&Co. and GSEC are registered U.S. broker-dealers and futures commission merchants, and are subject to regulatory capital requirements, including those imposed by the SEC, the U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC), Chicago Mercantile Exchange, the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. (FINRA) and the National Futures Association. Rule 15c3-1 of the SEC and Rule 1.17 of the CFTC specify uniform minimum net capital requirements, as defined, for their registrants, and also effectively require that a significant part of the registrants' assets be kept in relatively liquid form. GS&Co. and GSEC have elected to compute their minimum capital requirements in accordance with the Alternative Net Capital Requirement as permitted by Rule 15c3-1.

As of June 2011, GS&Co. had regulatory net capital, as defined by Rule 15c3-1, of \$11.51 billion, which exceeded the amount required by \$9.61 billion. As of June 2011, GSEC had regulatory net capital, as defined by Rule 15c3-1, of \$1.68 billion, which exceeded the amount required by \$1.55 billion.

In addition to its alternative minimum net capital requirements, GS&Co. is also required to hold tentative net capital in excess of \$1 billion and net capital in excess of \$500 million in accordance with the market and credit risk standards of Appendix E of Rule 15c3-1. GS&Co. is also required to notify the SEC in the event that its tentative net capital is less than \$5 billion. As of June 2011 and December 2010, GS&Co. had tentative net capital and net capital in excess of both the minimum and the notification requirements.

Insurance Subsidiaries

The firm has U.S. insurance subsidiaries that are subject to state insurance regulation and oversight in the states in which they are domiciled and in the other states in which they are licensed. In addition, certain of

the firm's insurance subsidiaries outside of the U.S. are regulated by the FSA and certain are regulated by the Bermuda Monetary Authority. The firm's insurance subsidiaries were in compliance with all regulatory capital requirements as of June 2011 and December 2010.

Other Non-U.S. Regulated Subsidiaries

The firm's principal non-U.S. regulated subsidiaries include Goldman Sachs International (GSI) and Goldman Sachs Japan Co., Ltd. (GSJCL). GSI, the firm's regulated U.K. broker-dealer, is subject to the capital requirements of the FSA. GSJCL, the firm's regulated Japanese broker-dealer, is subject to the capital requirements imposed by Japan's Financial Services Agency. As of June 2011 and December 2010, GSI and GSJCL were in compliance with their local capital adequacy requirements. Certain other non-U.S. subsidiaries of the firm are also subject to capital adequacy requirements promulgated by authorities of the countries in which they operate. As of June 2011 and December 2010, these subsidiaries were in compliance with their local capital adequacy requirements.

Restrictions on Payments

The regulatory requirements referred to above restrict Group Inc.'s ability to withdraw capital from its regulated subsidiaries. As of June 2011 and December 2010, approximately \$24.85 billion and \$24.70 billion, respectively, of net assets of regulated subsidiaries were restricted as to the payment of dividends to Group Inc. In addition to

limitations on the payment of dividends imposed by federal and state laws, the Federal Reserve Board, the FDIC and the New York State Banking Department have authority to prohibit or to limit the payment of dividends by the banking organizations they supervise (including GS Bank USA) if, in the relevant regulator's opinion, payment of a dividend would constitute an unsafe or unsound practice in the light of the financial condition of the banking organization.

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)****Note 21. Earnings Per Common Share**

Basic earnings per common share (EPS) is calculated by dividing net earnings applicable to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. Common shares outstanding includes common stock and RSUs for which no future service is required as a condition to the delivery of the underlying common stock. Diluted EPS includes the determinants of basic EPS and, in addition reflects the dilutive effect of the common stock deliverable for stock warrants and options and for RSUs

for which future service is required as a condition to the delivery of the underlying common stock. The firm treats unvested share-based payment awards that have non-forfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents as a separate class of securities in calculating EPS.

The table below presents the computations of basic and diluted EPS.

<i>in millions, except per share amounts</i>	Three Months Ended June		Six Months Ended June	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Numerator for basic and diluted EPS net earnings applicable to common shareholders	\$ 1,052	\$ 453	\$ 1,960	\$ 3,749
Denominator for basic EPS weighted average number of common shares	531.9	539.8	536.2	542.9
Effect of dilutive securities:				
RSUs	14.3	14.2	13.4	13.2
Stock options and warrants	23.3	26.4	26.8	29.1
Dilutive potential common shares	37.6	40.6	40.2	42.3

Denominator for diluted EPS weighted average number of common shares and dilutive potential common shares	569.5	580.4	576.4	585.2
Basic EPS	\$ 1.96	\$ 0.82	\$ 3.62	\$ 6.87
Diluted EPS	1.85	0.78	3.40	6.41

In the table above, unvested share-based payment awards that have non-forfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents are treated as a separate class of securities in calculating EPS. The impact of applying this methodology was a reduction to basic EPS of \$0.02 for both the three months ended June 2011 and

June 2010, and \$0.04 for both the six months ended June 2011 and June 2010.

The diluted EPS computations in the table above do not include the antidilutive effect as follows:

<i>in millions</i>	Three Months Ended June		Six Months Ended June	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Number of antidilutive RSUs and common shares underlying antidilutive stock options and warrants	6.5	6.1	6.3	6.0

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)****Note 22. Transactions with Affiliated Funds**

The firm has formed numerous nonconsolidated investment funds with third-party investors. The firm generally acts as the investment manager for these funds and, as such, is entitled to receive management fees and, in certain cases, advisory fees or incentive fees from these funds. Additionally, the firm invests alongside the third-party investors in certain funds.

The tables below present fees earned from affiliated funds, fees receivable from affiliated funds and the aggregate carrying value of the firm's interests in affiliated funds.

<i>in millions</i>	Three Months Ended June		Six Months Ended June	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Fees earned from affiliated funds	\$ 664	\$ 597	\$ 1,516	\$ 1,150

<i>in millions</i>	As of	
	June 2011	December 2010
Fees receivable from funds	\$ 698	\$ 886
Aggregate carrying value of interests in funds	15,071	14,773

The firm has provided voluntary financial support to certain of its funds that have experienced significant reductions in capital and liquidity or had limited access to the debt markets during the financial crisis. As of June 2011 and December 2010, the firm had exposure to these funds in the form of loans and guarantees of \$297 million and \$253 million, respectively, primarily related to certain real estate funds. In addition, as of December 2010, the firm had outstanding commitments to extend credit to these funds of \$160 million. No such commitments were outstanding as of June 2011.

The firm may provide additional voluntary financial support to these funds if they were to experience significant financial distress; however, such amounts are not expected to be material to the firm. In the ordinary course of business, the firm may also engage in other activities with these funds, including, among others, securities lending,

trade execution, market making, custody, and acquisition and bridge financing. See Note 18 for the firm's investment commitments related to these funds.

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)****Note 23. Interest Income and Interest Expense**

Interest income is recorded on an accrual basis based on contractual interest rates. The table below presents the sources of interest income and interest expense.

<i>in millions</i>	Three Months Ended June		Six Months Ended June	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Interest income				
Deposits with banks	\$ 27	\$ 18	\$ 56	\$ 33
Securities borrowed, securities purchased under agreements to resell and federal funds sold	233	136	402	215
Financial instruments owned, at fair value	2,948	2,785	5,463	5,406
Other interest ¹	473	363	867	649
Total interest income	3,681	3,302	6,788	6,303
Interest expense				
Deposits	68	69	140	137
Securities loaned and securities sold under agreements to repurchase	236	163	437	299
Financial instruments sold, but not yet purchased, at fair value	763	481	1,259	976
Short-term borrowings ²	122	113	251	231
Long-term borrowings ²	856	738	1,642	1,484
Other interest ³	223	119	288	139
Total interest expense	2,268	1,683	4,017	3,266
Net interest income	\$ 1,413	\$ 1,619	\$ 2,771	\$ 3,037

1. Primarily includes interest income on customer debit balances and other interest-earning assets.
2. Includes interest on unsecured borrowings and other secured financings.
3. Primarily includes interest expense on customer credit balances and other interest-bearing liabilities.

Note 24. Income Taxes

Provision for Income Taxes

Income taxes are provided for using the asset and liability method under which deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for temporary differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities. The firm reports interest expense related to income tax matters in Provision for taxes and income tax penalties in Other expenses.

Deferred Income Taxes

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities. These temporary differences result in taxable or deductible amounts in future years and are measured using the tax rates and laws that will be in effect when such differences are expected to reverse. Valuation allowances are established to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount that more likely than not will be realized. Tax assets and liabilities are presented as a component of Other assets and Other liabilities and accrued expenses, respectively.

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)****Unrecognized Tax Benefits**

The firm recognizes tax positions in the financial statements only when it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained on examination by the relevant taxing authority based on the technical merits of the position. A position that meets this standard is measured at the largest amount of benefit that will more likely than not be realized on settlement. A liability is established for differences between positions taken in a tax return and amounts recognized in the financial statements.

Regulatory Tax Examinations

The firm is subject to examination by the U.S. Internal Revenue Service (IRS) and other taxing authorities in jurisdictions where the firm has significant business operations, such as the United Kingdom, Japan, Hong Kong, Korea and various states, such as New York. The tax years under examination vary by jurisdiction. The firm believes that during 2011, certain audits have a reasonable possibility of being completed. The firm does not expect completion of these audits to have a material impact on the firm's financial condition but it may be material to operating results for a particular period, depending, in part, on the operating results for that period.

The table below presents the earliest tax years that remain subject to examination by major jurisdiction.

Jurisdiction	As of June 2011
U.S. Federal ¹	2005
New York State and City ²	2004
United Kingdom	2007
Japan ³	2005
Hong Kong	2005
Korea	2008

1. IRS examination of fiscal 2008 through calendar 2010 will begin during 2011. IRS examination of fiscal 2005, 2006 and 2007 began during 2008. IRS examination of fiscal 2003 and 2004 has been completed but the liabilities for those years are not yet final.
2. New York State and City examination of fiscal 2004, 2005 and 2006 began in 2008.
3. Japan National Tax Agency examination of fiscal 2005 through 2009 began during the first quarter of 2010.

All years subsequent to the above remain open to examination by the taxing authorities. The firm believes that the liability for unrecognized tax benefits it has established is adequate in relation to the potential for additional assessments.

Note 25. Business Segments

In the fourth quarter of 2010, the firm reorganized its three previous reportable business segments into four new reportable business segments: Investment Banking, Institutional Client Services, Investing & Lending and Investment Management. Prior periods are presented on a comparable basis.

Basis of Presentation

In reporting segments, certain of the firm's business lines have been aggregated where they have similar economic characteristics and are similar in each of the following areas: (i) the nature of the services they provide, (ii) their methods of distribution, (iii) the types of clients they serve and (iv) the regulatory environments in which they operate.

The cost drivers of the firm taken as a whole—compensation, headcount and levels of business activity—are broadly similar in each of the firm's business segments. Compensation and benefits expenses in the firm's segments reflect, among other factors, the overall performance of the firm as well as the performance of individual businesses. Consequently, pre-tax margins in one segment of the firm's business may be significantly affected by the performance of the firm's other business segments.

The firm allocates revenues and expenses among the four reportable business segments. Due to the integrated nature of these segments, estimates and judgments are made in allocating certain revenue and expense items. Transactions between segments are based on specific criteria or approximate third-party rates. Total operating expenses include corporate items that have not been allocated to individual business segments. The allocation process is based on the manner in which management views the business of the firm.

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)**

Management believes that the following information provides a reasonable representation of each segment's contribution to consolidated pre-tax earnings and total assets.

<i>in millions</i>		For the Three Months Ended or as of June		For the Six Months Ended or as of June	
		2011	2010	2011	2010
Investment Banking	Net revenues	\$ 1,448	\$ 941	\$ 2,717	\$ 2,144
	Operating expenses	981	713	1,904	1,593
	Pre-tax earnings	\$ 467	\$ 228	\$ 813	\$ 551
	Segment assets	\$ 1,670	\$ 1,345	\$ 1,670	\$ 1,345
Institutional Client Services	Net revenues ¹	\$ 3,515	\$ 4,981	\$ 10,162	\$ 13,488
	Operating expenses	3,040	4,173	7,624	9,004
	Pre-tax earnings	\$ 475	\$ 808	\$ 2,538	\$ 4,484
	Segment assets	\$ 844,569	\$ 787,148	\$ 844,569	\$ 787,148
Investing & Lending	Net revenues	\$ 1,044	\$ 1,786	\$ 3,749	\$ 3,756
	Operating expenses	547	934	1,778	1,842
	Pre-tax earnings	\$ 497	\$ 852	\$ 1,971	\$ 1,914
	Segment assets	\$ 79,058	\$ 83,727	\$ 79,058	\$ 83,727
Investment Management	Net revenues	\$ 1,274	\$ 1,133	\$ 2,547	\$ 2,228
	Operating expenses	1,056	954	2,123	1,903
	Pre-tax earnings	\$ 218	\$ 179	\$ 424	\$ 325

	Segment assets	\$ 11,613	\$ 10,968	\$ 11,613	\$ 10,968
Total	Net revenues	\$ 7,281	\$ 8,841	\$ 19,175	\$ 21,616
	Operating expenses	5,669	7,393	13,523	15,009
	Pre-tax earnings	\$ 1,612	\$ 1,448	\$ 5,652	\$ 6,607
	Total assets	\$ 936,910	\$ 883,188	\$ 936,910	\$ 883,188

1. Includes \$21 million and \$37 million for the three months ended June 2011 and June 2010, respectively, and \$50 million and \$63 million for the six months ended June 2011 and June 2010, respectively, of realized gains on securities held in the firm's insurance subsidiaries which are accounted for as available-for-sale.

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)**

Operating expenses in the table above include the following expenses that have not been allocated to the firm's segments:

net provisions for a number of litigation and regulatory proceedings of \$45 million and \$615 million for the three months ended June 2011 and June 2010, respectively, and \$69 million and \$636 million for the six months ended June 2011 and June 2010, respectively;

charitable contributions of \$25 million for both the six months ended June 2011 and June 2010; and

real estate-related exit costs of \$4 million and \$6 million for the three and six months ended June 2010, respectively.

The tables below present the amounts of net interest income included in net revenues, and the amounts of depreciation and amortization expense included in pre-tax earnings.

<i>in millions</i>	Three Months Ended June		Six Months Ended June	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Investment Banking	\$	\$	\$	\$
Institutional Client Services	918	1,190	2,132	2,468
Investing & Lending	442	379	535	468
Investment Management	53	50	104	101
Total net interest	\$ 1,413	\$ 1,619	\$ 2,771	\$ 3,037

<i>in millions</i>	Three Months Ended June		Six Months Ended June	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Investment Banking	\$ 38	\$ 40	\$ 89	\$ 84
Institutional Client Services	192	225	523	448
Investing & Lending	94	130	262	191
Investment Management	48	45	92	92

Total depreciation and amortization	\$372	\$440	\$966	\$815
--	--------------	--------------	--------------	--------------

Geographic Information

Due to the highly integrated nature of international financial markets, the firm manages its businesses based on the profitability of the enterprise as a whole. The methodology for allocating profitability to geographic regions is dependent on estimates and management judgment because a significant portion of the firm's activities require cross-border coordination in order to facilitate the needs of the firm's clients. Specifically, in interim periods, the firm generally allocates compensation and benefits to geographic regions based upon the firmwide compensation to net revenues ratio. In the fourth quarter when compensation by employee is finalized, compensation and benefits are allocated to the geographic regions based upon total actual compensation during the year.

Geographic results are generally allocated as follows:

Investment Banking: location of the client and investment banking team.

Institutional Client Services: Fixed Income, Currency and Commodities Client Execution, and Equities (excluding Securities Services): location of the market-making desk; Securities Services: location of the primary market for the underlying security.

Investing & Lending: Investing: location of the investment; Lending: location of the client.

Investment Management: location of the sales team.

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)**

The table below presents the total net revenues and pre-tax earnings of the firm by geographic region allocated based on the methodology referred to

above, as well as the percentage of total net revenues and pre-tax earnings (excluding Corporate) for each geographic region.

<i>\$ in millions</i>	Three Months Ended June				Six Months Ended June			
	2011		2010		2011		2010	
Net revenues								
Americas ¹	\$ 4,825	66%	\$ 4,575	52%	\$ 11,664	61%	\$ 11,706	54%
EMEA ²	1,751	24	2,146	24	4,625	24	6,051	28
Asia	705	10	2,120	24	2,886	15	3,859	18
Total net revenues	\$ 7,281	100%	\$ 8,841	100%	\$ 19,175	100%	\$ 21,616	100%
Pre-tax earnings								
Americas ¹	\$ 1,202	73%	\$ 1,202	58%	\$ 3,475	61%	\$ 3,991	55%
EMEA ²	483	29	117	6	1,571	27	1,917	26
Asia	(28)	(2)	748	36	700	12	1,366	19
Subtotal	1,657	100%	2,067	100%	5,746	100%	7,274	100%
Corporate ³	(45)		(619)		(94)		(667)	
Total pre-tax earnings	\$ 1,612		\$ 1,448		\$ 5,652		\$ 6,607	

1. Substantially all relates to the U.S.

2. EMEA (Europe, Middle East and Africa).

3.

Consists of net provisions for a number of litigation and regulatory proceedings of \$45 million and \$615 million for the three months ended June 2011 and June 2010, respectively, and \$69 million and \$636 million for the six months ended June 2011 and June 2010, respectively; charitable contributions of \$25 million for both the six months ended June 2011 and June 2010; and real estate-related exit costs of \$4 million and \$6 million for the three and six months ended June 2010, respectively.

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)****Note 26. Credit Concentrations**

Credit concentrations may arise from market making, client facilitation, investing, underwriting, lending and collateralized transactions and may be impacted by changes in economic, industry or political factors. The firm seeks to mitigate credit risk by actively monitoring exposures and obtaining collateral from counterparties as deemed appropriate.

While the firm's activities expose it to many different industries and counterparties, the firm routinely executes a high volume of transactions with asset managers, investment funds, commercial banks, brokers and dealers, clearing houses and exchanges, which results in significant credit concentrations.

In the ordinary course of business, the firm may also be subject to a concentration of credit risk to a particular counterparty, borrower or issuer, including sovereign issuers, or to a particular clearing house or exchange.

The table below presents the credit concentrations in assets held by the firm. As of June 2011 and December 2010, the firm did not have credit exposure to any other counterparty that exceeded 2% of total assets.

<i>\$ in millions</i>	June 2011	As of December 2010
U.S. government and federal agency obligations ¹	\$ 94,283	\$ 96,350
% of total assets	10.1%	10.6%
Other sovereign obligations ^{1, 2}	\$ 54,740	\$ 40,379
% of total assets	5.8%	4.4%

1. Included in Financial instruments owned, at fair value and Cash and securities segregated for regulatory and other purposes.
2. Principally consisting of securities issued by the governments of the United Kingdom, Japan and Germany as of June 2011, and the United Kingdom, Japan and France as of December 2010.

To reduce credit exposures, the firm may enter into agreements with counterparties that permit the firm to offset receivables and payables with such counterparties and/or enable the firm to obtain collateral on an upfront or contingent basis. Collateral obtained by the firm related to derivative assets is principally cash and is held by the firm

or a third-party custodian. Collateral obtained by the firm related to resale agreements and securities borrowed transactions is primarily U.S. government and federal agency obligations and other sovereign obligations. See Note 9 for further information about collateralized agreements and financings.

The table below presents U.S. government and federal agency obligations, and other sovereign obligations that collateralize resale agreements and securities borrowed transactions (including those in Cash and securities segregated for regulatory and other purposes). Because the firm's primary credit exposure on such transactions is to the counterparty to the transaction, the firm would be exposed to the collateral issuer only in the event of counterparty default.

<i>in millions</i>	June 2011	As of December 2010
U.S. government and federal agency obligations	\$ 106,982	\$ 121,366
Other sovereign obligations ¹	97,628	73,357

1. Principally consisting of securities issued by the governments of France and Germany.

Table of Contents

THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)**

Note 27. Legal Proceedings

The firm is involved in a number of judicial, regulatory and arbitration proceedings (including those described below) concerning matters arising in connection with the conduct of the firm's businesses. Many of these proceedings are at preliminary stages, and many of these cases seek an indeterminate amount of damages.

With respect to matters described below, management has estimated the upper end of the range of reasonably possible loss as being equal to (i) the amount of money damages claimed, where applicable, (ii) the amount of securities that the firm sold in cases involving underwritings where the firm is being sued by purchasers and is not being indemnified by a party that the firm believes will pay any judgment, or (iii) in cases where the purchasers are demanding that the firm repurchase securities, the price that purchasers paid for the securities less the estimated value, if any, as of June 2011 of the relevant securities. As of June 2011, the firm has estimated the aggregate amount of reasonably possible losses for these matters to be approximately \$2.0 billion.

Under ASC 450 an event is reasonably possible if the chance of the future event or events occurring is more than remote but less than likely and an event is remote if the chance of the future event or events occurring is slight. Thus, references to the upper end of the range of reasonably possible loss for cases in which the firm is able to estimate a range of reasonably possible loss mean the upper end of the range of loss for cases for which the firm believes the risk of loss is more than slight. The amounts reserved against such matters are not significant as compared to the upper end of the range of reasonably possible loss.

Management is unable to estimate a range of reasonably possible loss for cases described below in which damages have not been specified and (i) the proceedings are in early stages, (ii) there is uncertainty as to the likelihood of a class being certified or the ultimate size of the class, (iii) there is uncertainty as to the outcome of pending appeals or motions, (iv) there are significant factual issues to be resolved, and/or (v) there are novel legal issues presented. However, for these cases, management does not believe, based on currently available

information, that the outcomes of these proceedings will have a material adverse effect on the firm's financial condition, though the outcomes could be material to the firm's operating results for any particular period, depending, in part, upon the operating results for such period.

IPO Process Matters. Group Inc. and GS&Co. are among the numerous financial services companies that have been named as defendants in a variety of lawsuits alleging improprieties in the process by which those companies participated in the underwriting of public offerings in recent years.

GS&Co. has, together with other underwriters in certain offerings as well as the issuers and certain of their officers and directors, been named as a defendant in a number of related lawsuits filed in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York alleging, among other things, that the prospectuses for the offerings violated the federal securities laws by failing to disclose the existence of alleged arrangements tying allocations in certain

offerings to higher customer brokerage commission rates as well as purchase orders in the aftermarket, and that the alleged arrangements resulted in market manipulation. On October 5, 2009, the district court approved a settlement agreement entered into by the parties. The firm has paid into a settlement fund the full amount that GS&Co. would contribute in the proposed settlement. On October 23, 2009, certain objectors filed a petition in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit seeking review of the district court's certification of a class for purposes of the settlement, and various objectors appealed certain aspects of the settlement's approval. All but two of the appeals have been withdrawn, and on December 8, 2010, January 14, 2011 and February 3, 2011, plaintiffs moved to dismiss the remaining appeals. On May 17, 2011, the appellate court dismissed one of the appeals and remanded the other, and further proceedings are in progress in the district court regarding the objectors' membership in the class. On July 19, 2011, the objectors whose appeal had been dismissed filed a motion to recall the mandate dismissing the appeal and for reconsideration.

Table of Contents

THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)**

GS&Co. is among numerous underwriting firms named as defendants in a number of complaints filed commencing October 3, 2007, in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Washington alleging violations of Section 16 of the Exchange Act in connection with offerings of securities for 15 issuers during 1999 and 2000. The complaints generally assert that the underwriters, together with each issuer's directors, officers and principal shareholders, entered into purported agreements to tie allocations in the offerings to increased brokerage commissions and aftermarket purchase orders. The complaints further allege that, based upon these and other purported agreements, the underwriters violated the reporting provisions of, and are subject to short-swing profit recovery under, Section 16 of the Exchange Act. The district court granted defendants' motions to dismiss by a decision dated March 12, 2009 on the grounds that the plaintiff's demands were inadequate with respect to certain actions and that the remainder of the actions were time-barred. On December 2, 2010, the appellate court affirmed in part and reversed in part, upholding the dismissal of seven of the actions in which GS&Co. is a defendant that were dismissed based on the deficient demands but remanding the remaining eight actions in which GS&Co. is a defendant that were dismissed as time-barred for consideration of other bases for dismissal. On December 16, 2010, the underwriters and the plaintiff filed petitions for rehearing and/or rehearing en banc, which were denied on January 18, 2011. On June 27, 2011, the U.S. Supreme Court granted the defendants' petition for review of whether the actions that were remanded are time-barred and denied the plaintiff's petition.

GS&Co. has been named as a defendant in an action commenced on May 15, 2002 in New York Supreme Court, New York County, by an official committee of unsecured creditors on behalf of eToys, Inc., alleging that the firm intentionally underpriced eToys, Inc.'s initial public offering. The action seeks, among other things, unspecified compensatory damages resulting from the alleged lower amount of offering proceeds. On appeal from rulings on GS&Co.'s motion to dismiss, the New York Court of Appeals dismissed claims for breach of contract, professional malpractice and unjust enrichment, but permitted claims for breach of fiduciary duty and fraud to continue. On remand to the lower court, GS&Co. moved to dismiss the surviving claims or, in the alternative, for summary

judgment, but the motion was denied by a decision dated March 21, 2006, and the court subsequently permitted plaintiff to amend the complaint again. On November 8, 2010, GS&Co.'s motion for summary judgment was granted by the lower court; plaintiff has appealed.

Group Inc. and certain of its affiliates have, together with various underwriters in certain offerings, received subpoenas and requests for documents and information from various governmental agencies and self-regulatory organizations in connection with investigations relating to the public offering process. Goldman Sachs has cooperated with these investigations.

World Online Litigation. In March 2001, a Dutch shareholders' association initiated legal proceedings for an unspecified amount of damages against GSI and others in Amsterdam District Court in connection with the initial public offering of World Online in March 2000, alleging misstatements and omissions in the offering materials and that the market was artificially inflated by improper public statements and stabilization activities. Goldman Sachs and ABN AMRO Rothschild served as joint global coordinators of the approximately \$2.9 billion offering. GSI underwrote 20,268,846 shares and GS&Co. underwrote 6,756,282 shares for a total offering price of approximately \$1.16 billion.

The district court rejected the claims against GSI and ABN AMRO, but found World Online liable in an amount to be determined. On appeal, the Netherlands Court of Appeals affirmed in part and reversed in part the decision of the district court, holding that certain of the alleged disclosure deficiencies were actionable as to GSI and ABN AMRO. On further appeal, the Netherlands Supreme Court on November 27, 2009 affirmed the rulings of the Court of Appeals, except that it found certain additional aspects of the offering materials actionable and held that individual investors could potentially hold GSI and ABN AMRO responsible for certain public statements and press releases by World Online and its former CEO. The parties entered into a definitive settlement agreement, dated July 15, 2011, pursuant to which GSI will contribute up to \$48 million to a settlement fund. The firm has reserved the full amount of GSI's proposed contribution to the settlement. Smaller shareholders' associations have made demands for compensation of damages.

Table of Contents

THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)**

Research Matters. Group Inc. and certain of its affiliates are subject to a number of investigations and reviews by various governmental and regulatory bodies and self-regulatory organizations relating to research practices, including communications among research analysts, sales and trading personnel and clients. On June 9, 2011, pursuant to a settlement, a consent order was entered by the Massachusetts Securities Division pursuant to which GS&Co. paid a \$10 million civil penalty and agreed to certain undertakings regarding its research practices. Other regulators, including the SEC and FINRA, have been investigating similar matters, and Goldman Sachs is in discussions with the SEC staff and the FINRA staff concerning resolutions of their proposed charges.

Adelphia Communications Fraudulent Conveyance Litigation. GS&Co. is named a defendant in two adversary proceedings commenced in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, one on July 6, 2003 by a creditors committee, and the second on or about July 31, 2003 by an equity committee of Adelphia Communications, Inc. Those proceedings were consolidated in a single amended complaint filed by the Adelphia Recovery Trust on October 31, 2007. The complaint seeks, among other things, to recover, as fraudulent conveyances, approximately \$62.9 million allegedly paid to GS&Co. by Adelphia Communications, Inc. and its affiliates in respect of margin calls made in the ordinary course of business on accounts owned by members of the family that formerly controlled Adelphia Communications, Inc. The district court assumed jurisdiction over the action and on April 8, 2011 granted GS&Co.'s motion for summary judgment. On May 6, 2011, the plaintiff filed a notice of appeal.

Specialist Matters. Spear, Leeds & Kellogg Specialists LLC (SLKS) and certain affiliates have received requests for information from various governmental agencies and self-regulatory organizations as part of an industry-wide investigation relating to activities of floor specialists in recent years. Goldman Sachs has cooperated with the requests.

On March 30, 2004, certain specialist firms on the NYSE, including SLKS, without admitting or denying the allegations, entered into a final global settlement with the SEC and the NYSE covering certain activities during the years 1999 through 2003. The SLKS settlement involves, among other things, (i) findings by the SEC and the NYSE that SLKS violated certain federal securities laws and NYSE rules, and in some cases failed to supervise certain individual specialists, in connection with trades that allegedly disadvantaged customer orders, (ii) a cease and desist order against SLKS, (iii) a censure of SLKS, (iv) SLKS' agreement to pay an aggregate of \$45.3 million in disgorgement and a penalty to be used to compensate customers, (v) certain undertakings with respect to SLKS systems and procedures, and (vi) SLKS' retention of an independent consultant to review and evaluate certain of SLKS compliance systems, policies and procedures. Comparable findings were made and sanctions imposed in the settlements with other specialist firms. The settlement did not resolve the related private civil actions against SLKS and other firms or regulatory investigations involving individuals or conduct on other exchanges. On May 26, 2011, the SEC issued an order directing the undistributed settlement funds to be transferred to the U.S. Treasury; the funds will accordingly not be allocated to any settlement fund for the civil actions described below.

SLKS, Spear, Leeds & Kellogg, L.P. and Group Inc. are among numerous defendants named in purported class actions brought beginning in October 2003 on behalf of investors in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York alleging violations of the federal securities laws and state common law in connection with NYSE floor specialist activities. The actions, which have been consolidated, seek unspecified compensatory damages, restitution and disgorgement on behalf of purchasers and sellers of unspecified securities between October 17, 1998 and

October 15, 2003. By a decision dated March 14, 2009, the district court granted plaintiffs' motion for class certification. The defendants' petition with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit seeking review of the certification ruling was denied by an order dated October 1, 2009. The specialist defendants' petition for a rehearing and/or rehearing en banc was denied on February 24, 2010.

Table of Contents**THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)**

Treasury Matters. GS&Co. was named as a defendant in a purported class action filed on March 10, 2004 in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Illinois on behalf of holders of short positions in 30-year U.S. Treasury futures and options on the morning of October 31, 2001. The complaint alleged that the firm purchased 30-year bonds and futures prior to a forthcoming U.S. Treasury refunding announcement that morning based on non-public information about that announcement, and that such purchases increased the costs of covering such short positions. The complaint also named as defendants the Washington, D.C.-based political consultant who allegedly was the source of the information, a former GS&Co. economist who allegedly received the information, and another company and one of its employees who also allegedly received and traded on the information prior to its public announcement. The complaint alleged violations of the federal commodities and antitrust laws, as well as Illinois statutory and common law, and seeks, among other things, unspecified damages including treble damages under the antitrust laws. The district court dismissed the antitrust and Illinois state law claims but permitted the federal commodities law claims to proceed. Plaintiff's motion for class certification was denied by a decision dated August 22, 2008. GS&Co. moved for summary judgment, and the district court granted the motion but only insofar as the claim relates to the trading of treasury bonds. On October 13, 2009, the parties filed an offer of judgment and notice of acceptance with respect to plaintiff's individual claim. The plaintiff attempted to pursue an appeal of the denial of class certification, as did another individual trader who had previously litigated and lost an individual claim and unsuccessfully sought to intervene in the purported class action. On August 5, 2011, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit affirmed the lower court's rulings denying both the plaintiff's and the proposed intervenor's ability to pursue class claims, but remanded for further consideration as to the amount of pre-judgment interest on the plaintiff's individual claim.

Fannie Mae Litigation. GS&Co. was added as a defendant in an amended complaint filed on August 14, 2006 in a purported class action pending in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia. The complaint asserts violations of the federal securities laws generally arising from allegations concerning Fannie Mae's accounting practices in connection with certain Fannie Mae-sponsored REMIC transactions that were allegedly arranged by GS&Co. The complaint does not specify a dollar amount of damages. The other defendants include Fannie Mae, certain of its past and present officers and directors, and accountants. By a decision dated May 8, 2007, the district court granted GS&Co.'s motion to dismiss the claim against it. The time for an appeal will not begin to run until disposition of the claims against other defendants.

Beginning in September 2006, Group Inc. and/or GS&Co. were named as defendants in four Fannie Mae shareholder derivative actions in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia. The complaints generally allege that the Goldman Sachs defendants aided and abetted a breach of fiduciary duty by Fannie Mae's directors and officers in connection with certain Fannie Mae-sponsored REMIC transactions, and one of the complaints also asserts a breach of contract claim. The complaints also name as defendants certain former officers and directors of Fannie Mae as well as an outside accounting firm. The complaints seek, *inter alia*, unspecified damages. The Goldman Sachs defendants were dismissed without prejudice from the first filed of these actions, and the remaining claims in that action were dismissed for failure to make a demand on Fannie Mae's board of directors. That dismissal has been affirmed on appeal. The district court dismissed the remaining three actions on July 28, 2010. The plaintiffs filed motions for reconsideration, which were denied on October 22, 2010, and have revised their notices of appeal in these actions. On January 20, 2011, the appellate court consolidated all actions on appeal.

Table of Contents

THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)**

Compensation-Related Litigation. On January 17, 2008, Group Inc., its Board, executive officers and members of its management committee were named as defendants in a purported shareholder derivative action in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York predicting that the firm's 2008 Proxy Statement would violate the federal securities laws by undervaluing certain stock option awards and alleging that senior management received excessive compensation for 2007. The complaint seeks, among other things, an equitable accounting for the allegedly excessive compensation. Plaintiff's motion for a preliminary injunction to prevent the 2008 Proxy Statement from using options valuations that the plaintiff alleges are incorrect and to require the amendment of SEC Form 4s filed by certain of the executive officers named in the complaint to reflect the stock option valuations alleged by the plaintiff was denied, and plaintiff's appeal from this denial was dismissed. On February 13, 2009, the plaintiff filed an amended complaint, which added purported direct (i.e., non-derivative) claims based on substantially the same theory. The plaintiff filed a further amended complaint on March 24, 2010, and the defendants' motion to dismiss this further amended complaint was granted on September 30, 2010. On October 22, 2010, the plaintiff filed a notice of appeal from the dismissal of his complaint.

On March 24, 2009, the same plaintiff filed an action in New York Supreme Court, New York County against Group Inc., its directors and certain senior executives alleging violation of Delaware statutory and common law in connection with substantively similar allegations regarding stock option awards. On January 7, 2011, the plaintiff filed an amended complaint. Defendants moved to dismiss the amended complaint on March 4, 2011, and the parties subsequently agreed to stay the state court action pending the final resolution of the appeal from the dismissal of the federal court action in respect of the firm's 2008 Proxy Statement, as well as any remanded proceedings further adjudicating defendants' motion to dismiss.

Purported shareholder derivative actions have been commenced in New York Supreme Court, New York County and the Delaware Court of Chancery beginning on December 14, 2009, alleging that the Board breached its fiduciary duties in connection with setting compensation levels for the year 2009 and that such levels are excessive. The complaints name as defendants Group Inc., the Board and certain senior executives. The complaints seek, *inter alia*, unspecified damages, restitution of certain compensation paid, and an order requiring the firm to adopt corporate reforms. In the actions in New York state court, on April 8, 2010, the plaintiffs filed a motion indicating that they no longer intend to pursue their claims but are seeking an award of attorneys' fees in connection with bringing the suit, which the defendants have opposed. In the actions brought in the Delaware Court of Chancery, the defendants moved to dismiss on March 9, 2010, and the plaintiffs amended their complaint on April 28, 2010 to include, among other things, the allegations included in the SEC's action described in the Mortgage-Related Matters section below. The defendants moved to dismiss this amended complaint on May 12, 2010. In lieu of responding to defendants' motion, plaintiffs moved on December 8, 2010 for permission to file a further amended complaint, which the defendants had opposed. The court granted plaintiffs' motion to amend on January 19, 2011, and the defendants moved to dismiss the second amended complaint on February 4, 2011.

Group Inc. and certain of its affiliates are subject to a number of investigations and reviews from various governmental agencies and self-regulatory organizations regarding the firm's compensation processes. The firm is cooperating with the investigations and reviews.

Table of Contents

THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)**

Mortgage-Related Matters. On April 16, 2010, the SEC brought an action (SEC Action) under the U.S. federal securities laws in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York against GS&Co. and Fabrice Tourre, one of its employees, in connection with a CDO offering made in early 2007 (ABACUS 2007-AC1 transaction), alleging that the defendants made materially false and misleading statements to investors and seeking, among other things, unspecified monetary penalties. Investigations of GS&Co. by FINRA and of GSI by the FSA were subsequently initiated, and Group Inc. and certain of its affiliates have received subpoenas and requests for information from other regulators, regarding CDO offerings, including the ABACUS 2007-AC1 transaction, and related matters.

On July 14, 2010, GS&Co. entered into a consent agreement with the SEC, settling all claims made against GS&Co. in the SEC Action (SEC Settlement), pursuant to which, GS&Co. paid \$550 million of disgorgement and civil penalties, and which was approved by the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York on July 20, 2010.

On September 9, 2010, the FSA announced a settlement with GSI pursuant to which the FSA found that GSI violated certain FSA principles by failing to (i) provide notification about the SEC Wells Notice issued to Mr. Tourre (who worked on the ABACUS 2007-AC1 transaction but subsequently transferred to GSI and became registered with the FSA) and (ii) have procedures and controls to ensure that GSI's Compliance Department would be alerted to various aspects of the SEC investigation so as to be in a position to determine whether any aspects were reportable to the FSA. The FSA assessed a fine of £17.5 million.

On November 9, 2010, FINRA announced a settlement with GS&Co. relating to GS&Co.'s failure to file Form U4 updates within 30 days of learning of the receipt of Wells Notices by Mr. Tourre and another employee as well as deficiencies in the firm's systems and controls for such filings. FINRA assessed a fine of \$650,000 and GS&Co. agreed to undertake a review and remediation of the applicable systems and controls.

On January 6, 2011, ACA Financial Guaranty Corp. filed an action against GS&Co. in respect of the ABACUS 2007-AC1 transaction in New York Supreme Court, New York County. The complaint includes allegations of fraudulent inducement, fraudulent concealment and unjust enrichment and seeks at least \$30 million in compensatory damages, at least \$90 million in punitive damages and unspecified disgorgement. On March 8, 2011, GS&Co. filed a motion to compel arbitration and/or to dismiss the complaint. On April 25, 2011, the plaintiff filed an amended complaint, and on June 3, 2011, GS&Co. moved to dismiss the amended complaint.

Since April 22, 2010, a number of putative shareholder derivative actions have been filed in New York Supreme Court, New York County, and the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York against Group Inc., the Board and certain officers and employees of Group Inc. and its affiliates in connection with mortgage-related matters between 2004 and 2007, including the ABACUS 2007-AC1 transaction and other CDO offerings. These derivative complaints generally include allegations of breach of fiduciary duty, corporate waste, abuse of control, mismanagement, unjust enrichment, misappropriation of information, securities fraud and insider trading, and challenge the accuracy and adequacy of Group Inc.'s disclosure. These derivative complaints seek, among other things, declaratory relief, unspecified compensatory damages, restitution and certain corporate governance reforms. The New York Supreme Court has consolidated the two actions pending in that court. The federal court cases have also been

consolidated, and plaintiffs filed a consolidated amended complaint on August 1, 2011. In addition, as described in the Compensation-Related Litigation section above, the plaintiffs in the compensation-related Delaware Court of Chancery actions have amended their complaint to assert, among other things, allegations similar to those in the derivative claims referred to above, the defendants moved to dismiss this amended complaint, the plaintiffs amended the complaint further and the defendants moved to dismiss the second amended complaint on February 4, 2011. On May 18, 2011, the defendants moved to stay or dismiss the New York state court action in favor of the federal court action and/or the Delaware Chancery Court action.

Table of Contents

THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)**

Since April 23, 2010, the Board has received letters from shareholders demanding that the Board take action to address alleged misconduct by GS&Co., the Board and certain officers and employees of Group Inc. and its affiliates. The demands generally allege misconduct in connection with the firm's securitization practices, including the ABACUS 2007-AC1 transaction, the alleged failure by Group Inc. to adequately disclose the SEC investigation that led to the SEC Action, and Group Inc.'s 2009 compensation practices. The demands include a letter from a Group Inc. shareholder, which previously made a demand that the Board investigate and take action in connection with auction products matters, and expanded its demand to address the foregoing matters. The Board previously rejected the demand relating to auction products matters.

In addition, beginning April 26, 2010, a number of purported securities law class actions have been filed in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York challenging the adequacy of Group Inc.'s public disclosure of, among other things, the firm's activities in the CDO market and the SEC investigation that led to the SEC Action. The purported class action complaints, which name as defendants Group Inc. and certain officers and employees of Group Inc. and its affiliates, have been consolidated, generally allege violations of Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act and seek unspecified damages. Plaintiffs filed a consolidated amended complaint on July 25, 2011.

GS&Co., Goldman Sachs Mortgage Company and GS Mortgage Securities Corp. and three current or former Goldman Sachs employees are defendants in a putative class action commenced on December 11, 2008 in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York brought on behalf of purchasers of various mortgage pass-through certificates and asset-backed certificates issued by various securitization trusts established by the firm and underwritten by GS&Co. in 2007. The complaint generally alleges that the registration statement and prospectus supplements for the certificates violated the federal securities laws, and seeks unspecified compensatory damages and rescission or recessionary damages. On January 28, 2010, the defendants' motion to dismiss the second amended complaint was granted with leave to replead certain claims. On March 31, 2010, the plaintiff filed a third amended complaint relating to two offerings, which the defendants moved to dismiss on June 22, 2010. This motion to dismiss was denied as to the plaintiff's Section 12(a)(2) claims and granted as to the plaintiff's

Section 11 claims, and the plaintiff's motion for reconsideration was denied on November 17, 2010. The plaintiff filed a motion for entry of final judgment or certification of an interlocutory appeal as to plaintiff's Section 11 claims, which was denied on January 11, 2011. The plaintiff then filed a motion for leave to amend to reinstate the damages claims based on allegations that it had now sold its securities, which was denied on March 3, 2011. On May 5, 2011, the court granted plaintiff's motion for entry of a final judgment dismissing all its claims. On July 5, 2011, plaintiff filed a notice of appeal. On June 3, 2010, another investor (who had unsuccessfully sought to intervene in the action) filed a separate putative class action asserting substantively similar allegations relating to an additional offering pursuant to the 2007 registration statement. The defendants moved to dismiss this separate action on November 1, 2010. These trusts issued, and GS&Co. underwrote, approximately \$785 million principal amount of certificates to all purchasers in the offerings at issue in the complaint (excluding those offerings for which the claims have been dismissed).

Group Inc., GS&Co., Goldman Sachs Mortgage Company and GS Mortgage Securities Corp. are among the defendants in a separate putative class action commenced on February 6, 2009 in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York brought on behalf of purchasers of various mortgage pass-through certificates and

asset-backed certificates issued by various securitization trusts established by the firm and underwritten by GS&Co. in 2006. The other defendants include three current or former Goldman Sachs employees and various rating agencies. The second amended complaint generally alleges that the registration statement and prospectus supplements for the certificates violated the federal securities laws, and seeks unspecified compensatory and rescissionary damages. Defendants moved to dismiss the second amended complaint. On January 12, 2011, the district court granted the motion to dismiss with respect to offerings in which plaintiff had not purchased securities, but denied the motion to dismiss with respect to a single offering in which the plaintiff allegedly purchased securities. These trusts issued, and GS&Co. underwrote, approximately \$698 million principal amount of certificates to all purchasers in the offerings at issue in the complaint (excluding those offerings for which the claims have been dismissed). On March 18, 2011, the district court bifurcated class and merits discovery.

Table of Contents

THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)**

On September 30, 2010, a putative class action was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York against GS&Co., Group Inc. and two former GS&Co. employees on behalf of investors in notes issued in 2006 and 2007 by two synthetic CDOs (Hudson Mezzanine 2006-1 and 2006-2). The complaint, which was amended on February 4, 2011, asserts federal securities law and common law claims, and seeks unspecified compensatory, punitive and other damages. The defendants moved to dismiss on April 5, 2011.

Various alleged purchasers of, and counterparties involved in transactions relating to, mortgage pass-through certificates, CDOs and other mortgage-related products (including the Federal Home Loan Banks of Seattle, Chicago, Indianapolis and Boston, the Charles Schwab Corporation, Cambridge Place Investment Management Inc., Heungkuk Life Insurance Co. Limited (Heungkuk), Basis Yield Alpha Fund (Master) (Basis Yield), Landesbank Baden-Württemberg, The Union Central Life Insurance Company, Ameritas Life Insurance Corp., Acacia Life Insurance Company and Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Company, among others) have filed complaints in state and federal court against firm affiliates, generally alleging that the offering documents for the securities that they purchased contained untrue statements of material facts and material omissions and generally seeking rescission and damages. Certain of these complaints also name other firms as defendants. A number of other entities (including the National Credit Union Administration, the Federal Housing Finance Agency, Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, Allstate Insurance Company, John Hancock and related parties and American International Group, Inc. (AIG)) have threatened to assert claims against the firm in connection with various mortgage-related offerings, and the firm has entered into agreements with a number of these entities to toll the relevant statute of limitations. The firm estimates, based on currently available information, that the aggregate cumulative losses experienced by the plaintiffs with respect to the securities at issue in active cases brought against the firm where purchasers are seeking rescission of mortgage-related securities was approximately \$485 million as of June 2011. This amount was calculated as the aggregate amount by

which the initial purchase price for the securities allegedly purchased by the plaintiffs exceeds the estimated June 2011 value of those securities. This estimate does not include the threatened claims noted above or potential claims by other purchasers in the same or other mortgage-related offerings that have not actually brought claims against the firm or the claim by Basis Yield, which has been dismissed with leave to amend.

Heungkuk has also filed a criminal complaint against certain past and present employees of the firm in South Korea relating to its purchase of a CDO securitization from Goldman Sachs. The filing does not represent any judgment by a governmental entity, but starts a process whereby the prosecutor investigates the complaint and determines whether to take action.

On July 1, 2011, a putative shareholder derivative action was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York against Group Inc., the Board and certain officers and employees of Group Inc. and its affiliates in connection with the servicing of residential mortgage loans and other mortgage-related activities, since January 2009. The complaint alleges breach of fiduciary duty, and seeks, among other things, declaratory relief, unspecified damages and certain governance reforms.

The firm has also received requests for information and/or subpoenas from federal, state and local regulators and law enforcement authorities, relating to the mortgage-related securitization process, subprime mortgages, CDOs, synthetic

mortgage-related products, particular transactions involving these products, and servicing and foreclosure activities, and is cooperating with these regulators and other authorities. See also Financial Crisis-Related Matters below.

The firm expects to be the subject of additional putative shareholder derivative actions, purported class actions, rescission and put back claims and other litigation, additional investor and shareholder demands, and additional regulatory and other investigations and actions with respect to mortgage-related offerings, loan sales, CDOs, and servicing and foreclosure activities. See Note 18 for further information regarding mortgage-related contingencies.

Table of Contents

THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)**

Auction Products Matters. On August 21, 2008, GS&Co. entered into a settlement in principle with the Office of the Attorney General of the State of New York and the Illinois Securities Department (on behalf of the North American Securities Administrators Association) regarding auction rate securities. Under the agreement, Goldman Sachs agreed, among other things, (i) to offer to repurchase at par the outstanding auction rate securities that its private wealth management clients purchased through the firm prior to February 11, 2008, with the exception of those auction rate securities where auctions are clearing, (ii) to continue to work with issuers and other interested parties, including regulatory and governmental entities, to expeditiously provide liquidity solutions for institutional investors, and (iii) to pay a \$22.5 million fine. The settlement is subject to definitive documentation and approval by the various states. On June 2, 2009, GS&Co. entered into an Assurance of Discontinuance with the New York State Attorney General. On March 19, 2010, GS&Co. entered into an Administrative Consent Order with the Illinois Secretary of State, Securities Department, which had conducted an investigation on behalf of states other than New York. GS&Co. has entered into similar consent orders with most states and is in the process of doing so with the remaining states.

On September 4, 2008, Group Inc. was named as a defendant, together with numerous other financial services firms, in two complaints filed in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York alleging that the defendants engaged in a conspiracy to manipulate the auction securities market in violation of federal antitrust laws. The actions were filed, respectively, on behalf of putative classes of issuers of and investors in auction rate securities and seek, among other things, treble damages in an unspecified amount. Defendants' motion to dismiss was granted on January 26, 2010. On March 1, 2010, the plaintiffs filed a notice of appeal from the dismissal of their complaints.

Private Equity-Sponsored Acquisitions Litigation. Group Inc. and GS Capital Partners are among numerous private equity firms and investment banks named as defendants in a federal antitrust action filed in the U.S. District Court for the District of Massachusetts in December 2007. As amended, the complaint generally alleges that the defendants have colluded to limit competition in bidding for private equity-sponsored acquisitions of public companies, thereby resulting in lower prevailing bids and, by extension, less consideration for shareholders of those companies in violation of Section 1 of the U.S. Sherman Antitrust Act and common law. The complaint seeks, among other things, treble damages in an unspecified amount. Defendants moved to dismiss on August 27, 2008. The district court dismissed claims relating to certain transactions that were the subject of releases as part of the settlement of shareholder actions challenging such transactions, and by an order dated December 15, 2008 otherwise denied the motion to dismiss. On April 26, 2010, the plaintiffs moved for leave to proceed with a second phase of discovery encompassing additional transactions. On August 18, 2010, the court permitted discovery on eight additional transactions, and the plaintiffs filed a fourth amended complaint on October 7, 2010. The defendants filed a motion to dismiss certain aspects of the fourth amended complaint on October 21, 2010, and the court granted that motion on January 13, 2011. On January 21, 2011, certain defendants, including Group Inc., filed a motion to dismiss another claim of the fourth amended complaint on the grounds that the transaction was the subject of a release as part of the settlement of a shareholder action challenging the transaction. The court granted that motion on March 1, 2011. On July 11, 2011, the plaintiffs moved for leave to file a fifth amended complaint encompassing additional transactions.

Table of Contents

THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)**

Washington Mutual Securities Litigation. GS&Co. is among numerous underwriters named as defendants in a putative securities class action amended complaint filed on August 5, 2008 in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Washington. As to the underwriters, plaintiffs allege that the offering documents in connection with various securities offerings by Washington Mutual, Inc. failed to describe accurately the company's exposure to mortgage-related activities in violation of the disclosure requirements of the federal securities laws. The defendants include past and present directors and officers of Washington Mutual, the company's former outside auditors, and numerous underwriters. By a decision dated October 27, 2009, the federal district court granted and denied in part the underwriters' motion to dismiss the plaintiffs' amended complaint. On October 12, 2010, the court granted class certification (except as to one transaction). On December 1, 2010, the defendants moved for partial judgment on the pleadings as to two of the offerings. By a decision dated January 28, 2011, the district court denied the defendants' motion for partial judgment on the pleadings. On June 30, 2011, the underwriter defendants and plaintiffs entered into a definitive settlement agreement, pursuant to which GS&Co. would contribute to a settlement fund. On July 21, 2011 the court preliminarily approved the settlement and scheduled a final hearing for November 4, 2011. The firm has paid the full amount of GS&Co.'s proposed contribution to the settlement.

GS&Co. underwrote approximately \$520 million principal amount of securities to all purchasers in the offerings at issue in the complaint (excluding those offerings for which the claims have been dismissed).

On September 25, 2008, the FDIC took over the primary banking operations of Washington Mutual, Inc. and then sold them. On September 27, 2008, Washington Mutual, Inc. filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy in the U.S. bankruptcy court in Delaware.

IndyMac Pass-Through Certificates Litigation.

GS&Co. is among numerous underwriters named as defendants in a putative securities class action filed on May 14, 2009 in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. As to the underwriters, plaintiffs allege that the offering documents in connection with various securitizations of mortgage-related assets violated the disclosure requirements of the federal securities laws. The defendants include IndyMac-related entities formed in connection with the securitizations, the underwriters of the offerings, certain ratings agencies which evaluated the credit quality of the securities, and certain former officers and directors of IndyMac affiliates. On November 2, 2009, the underwriters moved to dismiss the complaint. The motion was granted in part on February 17, 2010 to the extent of dismissing claims based on offerings in which no plaintiff purchased, and the court reserved judgment as to the other aspects of the motion. By a decision dated June 21, 2010, the district court formally dismissed all claims relating to offerings in which no named plaintiff purchased certificates (including all offerings underwritten by GS&Co.), and both granted and denied the defendants' motions to dismiss in various other respects. On May 17, 2010, four additional investors filed a motion seeking to intervene in order to assert claims based on additional offerings (including two underwritten by GS&Co.). On July 6, 2010 and August 19, 2010, two additional investors filed motions to intervene in order to assert claims based on additional offerings (none of which were underwritten by GS&Co.). The defendants opposed the motions on the ground that the putative intervenors' claims were time-barred and, on June 21, 2011, the court denied the motions to intervene with respect to, among others, the claims based on the offerings underwritten by GS&Co. Certain of the putative intervenors (including those seeking to assert claims based on two offerings underwritten by GS&Co.) have filed notices of appeal.

GS&Co. underwrote approximately \$751 million principal amount of securities to all purchasers in the offerings at issue in the May 2010 motion to intervene. On July 11, 2008, IndyMac Bank was placed under an FDIC receivership, and on July 31, 2008, IndyMac Bancorp, Inc. filed for Chapter 7 bankruptcy in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court in Los Angeles, California.

Table of Contents

THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)**

Employment-Related Matters. On May 27, 2010, a putative class action was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York by several contingent technology workers who were employees of third-party vendors. The plaintiffs are seeking overtime pay for alleged hours worked in excess of 40 per work week. The complaint alleges that the plaintiffs were de facto employees of GS&Co. and that GS&Co. is responsible for the overtime pay under federal and state overtime laws. The complaint seeks class action status and unspecified damages. On March 21, 2011, the parties agreed to the terms of a settlement in principle. The parties are in the process of seeking court approval of the settlement. The firm has reserved the full amount of the proposed settlement.

On September 15, 2010, a putative class action was filed in the U.S. District for the Southern District of New York by three former female employees alleging that Group Inc. and GS&Co. have systematically discriminated against female employees in respect of compensation, promotion, assignments, mentoring and performance evaluations. The complaint alleges a class consisting of all female employees employed at specified levels by Group Inc. and GS&Co. since July 2002, and asserts claims under federal and New York City discrimination laws. The complaint seeks class action status, injunctive relief and unspecified amounts of compensatory, punitive and other damages. On November 22, 2010, Group Inc. and GS&Co. filed a motion to stay the claims of one of the named plaintiffs and to compel individual arbitration with that individual, based on an arbitration provision contained in an employment agreement between Group Inc. and the individual. On April 28, 2011, the magistrate judge to whom the district judge assigned the motion denied the motion. On July 7, 2011, the magistrate judge denied Group Inc.'s and GS&Co.'s motion for reconsideration of the magistrate judge's decision, and on July 21, 2011 Group Inc. and GS&Co. appealed the magistrate judge's decision to the district court. On June 13, 2011, Group, Inc. and GS&Co. moved to strike the class allegations of one of the three named plaintiffs based on her failure to exhaust administrative remedies. On July 22, 2011, Group Inc. and GS&Co. moved to strike all of the plaintiffs' class allegations, and for partial summary judgment as to plaintiffs' disparate impact claims.

Transactions with the Hellenic Republic (Greece). Group Inc. and certain of its affiliates are subject to a number of investigations and reviews by various governmental and regulatory bodies and self-regulatory organizations in connection with the firm's transactions with the Hellenic Republic (Greece), including financing and swap transactions. Goldman Sachs is cooperating with the investigations and reviews.

Sales, Trading and Clearance Practices. Group Inc. and certain of its affiliates are subject to a number of investigations and reviews, certain of which are industry-wide, by various governmental and regulatory bodies and self-regulatory organizations relating to the sales, trading and clearance of corporate and government securities and other financial products, including compliance with the SEC's short sale rule, algorithmic and quantitative trading, futures trading, securities lending practices, trading and clearance of credit derivative instruments, commodities trading, private placement practices, compliance with the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and the effectiveness of insider trading controls and internal information barriers.

The European Commission announced in April 2011 that it is initiating proceedings to investigate further numerous financial services companies, including Group Inc., in connection with the supply of data related to credit default swaps and in connection with profit sharing and fee arrangements for clearing of credit default swaps, including potential anti-competitive practices. The U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) has been investigating similar matters as

regards the supply of data.

The CFTC has been investigating the role of GSEC as the clearing broker for an SEC-registered broker-dealer client. The CFTC staff has orally advised GSEC that it intends to recommend that the CFTC bring aiding and abetting, civil fraud and supervision-related charges against GSEC arising from its provision of clearing services to this broker-dealer client based on allegations that GSEC knew or should have known that the client's subaccounts maintained at GSEC were actually accounts belonging to customers of the broker-dealer client and not the client's proprietary accounts.

Goldman Sachs is cooperating with the investigations and reviews.

Table of Contents

THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)**

EU Price-Fixing Matter. On July 5, 2011, the European Commission issued a Statement of Objections to Group Inc. raising allegations of an industry-wide conspiracy to fix prices for power cables including by an Italian cable company in which certain Goldman Sachs-affiliated investment funds held ownership interests from 2005 to 2009. The Statement of Objections proposes to hold Group Inc. jointly and severally liable for some or all of any fine levied against the cable company under the concept of parental liability under EU competition law.

Municipal Securities Matters. Group Inc. and certain of its affiliates are subject to a number of investigations and reviews by various governmental and regulatory bodies and self-regulatory organizations relating to transactions involving municipal securities, including wall-cross procedures and conflict of interest disclosure with respect to state and municipal clients, the trading and structuring of municipal derivative instruments in connection with municipal offerings, political contribution rules, underwriting of Build America Bonds and the possible impact of credit default swap transactions on municipal issuers. Goldman Sachs is cooperating with the investigations and reviews.

Group Inc., Goldman Sachs Mitsui Marine Derivative Products, L.P. (GSMMDP) and GS Bank USA are among numerous financial services firms that have been named as defendants in numerous substantially identical individual antitrust actions filed beginning on November 12, 2009 that have been coordinated with related antitrust class action litigation and individual actions, in which no Goldman Sachs affiliate is named, for pre-trial proceedings in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. The plaintiffs include individual California municipal entities and three New York non-profit entities.

On April 26, 2010, the Goldman Sachs defendants' motion to dismiss complaints filed by several individual California municipal plaintiffs was denied. All of these complaints against Group Inc., GSMMDP and GS Bank USA generally allege that the Goldman Sachs defendants participated in a conspiracy to arrange bids, fix prices and divide up the market for derivatives used by municipalities in refinancing and hedging transactions from 1992 to 2008. The complaints assert claims under the federal antitrust laws and either California's Cartwright Act or New York's Donnelly Act, and seek, among other things, treble damages under the antitrust laws in an unspecified amount and injunctive relief.

Financial Crisis-Related Matters. Group Inc. and certain of its affiliates are subject to a number of investigations and reviews by various governmental and regulatory bodies and self-regulatory organizations and litigation relating to the 2008 financial crisis, including the establishment and unwind of credit default swaps between Goldman Sachs and American International Group, Inc. (AIG) and other transactions with, and in the securities of, AIG, The Bear Stearns Companies Inc., Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. and other firms. Goldman Sachs is cooperating with the investigations and reviews.

In the second quarter of 2011, a Staff Report of the Senate Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations concerning the key causes of the financial crisis was issued. Goldman Sachs and another financial institution were used as case studies with respect to the role of investment banks. The report was referred to the DOJ and the SEC for review. The firm is cooperating with the investigations arising from this referral, which are ongoing.

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and the Shareholders of
The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.:

We have reviewed the accompanying condensed consolidated statement of financial condition of The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries (the Company) as of June 30, 2011, the related condensed consolidated statements of earnings for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, the condensed consolidated statement of changes in shareholders' equity for the six months ended June 30, 2011, the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, and the condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010. These condensed consolidated interim financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management.

We conducted our review in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our review, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the accompanying condensed consolidated interim financial statements for them to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated statement of financial condition as of December 31, 2010, and the related consolidated statements of earnings, changes in shareholders' equity, cash flows and comprehensive income for the year then ended (not presented herein), and in our report dated February 28, 2011, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying condensed consolidated statement of financial condition as of December 31, 2010 and the condensed consolidated statement of changes in shareholders' equity for the year ended December 31, 2010, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the consolidated financial statements from which it has been derived.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

New York, New York
August 8, 2011

Table of Contents**STATISTICAL DISCLOSURES****Distribution of Assets, Liabilities and Shareholders Equity**

The tables below present a summary of consolidated average balances and interest rates.

<i>in millions, except rates</i>	Three Months Ended June					
	2011			2010		
	Average balance	Interest	Average rate (annualized)	Average balance	Interest	Average rate (annualized)
Assets						
Deposits with banks	\$ 37,466	\$ 27	0.29%	\$ 25,789	\$ 18	0.28%
U.S.	30,364	22	0.29	21,519	14	0.26
Non-U.S.	7,102	5	0.28	4,270	4	0.38
Securities borrowed, securities purchased under agreements to resell, at fair value, and federal funds sold	353,754	233	0.26	357,201	136	0.15
U.S.	228,216	(68)	(0.12)	248,180	24	0.04
Non-U.S.	125,538	301	0.96	109,021	112	0.41
Financial instruments owned, at fair value ^{1, 2}	293,698	2,948	4.03	270,875	2,785	4.12
U.S.	187,298	2,115	4.53	190,166	2,178	4.59
Non-U.S.	106,400	833	3.14	80,709	607	3.02
Other interest-earning assets ³	144,598	473	1.31	114,987	363	1.27
U.S.	100,190	256	1.02	79,984	200	1.00
Non-U.S.	44,408	217	1.96	35,003	163	1.87
Total interest-earning assets	829,516	3,681	1.78	768,852	3,302	1.72
Cash and due from banks	4,219			3,193		
Other non-interest-earning assets ²	114,532			110,754		
Total Assets	\$ 948,267			\$ 882,799		
Liabilities						
Interest-bearing deposits	\$ 38,568	\$ 68	0.71%	\$ 36,576	\$ 69	0.76%
U.S.	31,836	59	0.74	30,024	64	0.85
Non-U.S.	6,732	9	0.54	6,552	5	0.31
Securities loaned and securities sold under agreements to repurchase, at fair value	178,297	236	0.53	161,571	163	0.40
U.S.	113,271	66	0.23	115,658	87	0.30
Non-U.S.	65,026	170	1.05	45,913	76	0.66
	110,293	763	2.77	90,497	481	2.13

Financial instruments sold, but not yet purchased ^{1, 2}						
U.S.	58,367	278	1.91	43,979	230	2.10
Non-U.S.	51,926	485	3.75	46,518	251	2.16
Commercial paper	1,372	1	0.27	1,652	1	0.23
U.S.	95		0.20	521		0.24
Non-U.S.	1,277	1	0.28	1,131	1	0.23
Other borrowings ^{4, 5}	74,914	121	0.65	52,608	112	0.85
U.S.	48,614	110	0.91	29,953	95	1.27
Non-U.S.	26,300	11	0.17	22,655	17	0.30
Long-term borrowings ^{5, 6}	186,335	856	1.84	189,015	738	1.57
U.S.	179,976	814	1.81	179,403	684	1.53
Non-U.S.	6,359	42	2.65	9,612	54	2.25
Other interest-bearing liabilities ⁷	202,167	223	0.44	184,947	119	0.26
U.S.	147,911	(95)	(0.26)	140,113	(45)	(0.13)
Non-U.S.	54,256	318	2.35	44,834	164	1.47
Total interest-bearing liabilities	791,946	2,268	1.15	716,866	1,683	0.94
Non-interest-bearing deposits	190			213		
Other non-interest-bearing liabilities ²	83,657			92,191		
Total liabilities	875,793			809,270		
Shareholders' equity						
Preferred stock	3,100			6,957		
Common stock	69,374			66,572		
Total shareholders' equity	72,474			73,529		
Total liabilities, preferred stock and shareholders' equity	\$ 948,267			\$ 882,799		
Interest rate spread			0.63%			0.78%
Net interest income and net yield on interest-earning assets		\$ 1,413	0.68		\$ 1,619	0.84
U.S.		1,093	0.80		1,301	0.97
Non-U.S.		320	0.45		318	0.56
Percentage of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities attributable to non-U.S. operations ⁸						
Assets			34.17%			29.79%
Liabilities			26.75			24.72

Table of Contents**STATISTICAL DISCLOSURES**

<i>in millions, except rates</i>	Six Months Ended June					
	2011			2010		
	Average balance	Interest	Average rate (annualized)	Average balance	Interest	Average rate (annualized)
Assets						
Deposits with banks	\$ 35,780	\$ 56	0.32%	\$ 25,748	\$ 33	0.26%
U.S.	30,089	44	0.29	20,730	25	0.24
Non-U.S.	5,691	12	0.43	5,018	8	0.32
Securities borrowed, securities purchased under agreements to resell, at fair value, and federal funds sold	349,388	402	0.23	354,904	215	0.12
U.S.	226,732	(68)	(0.06)	245,287	(3)	0.00
Non-U.S.	122,656	470	0.77	109,617	218	0.40
Financial instruments owned, at fair value ^{1, 2}	289,123	5,463	3.81	270,465	5,406	4.03
U.S.	185,681	3,929	4.27	188,310	4,142	4.44
Non-U.S.	103,442	1,534	2.99	82,155	1,264	3.10
Other interest-earning assets ³	141,017	867	1.24	111,642	649	1.17
U.S.	97,514	459	0.95	77,506	346	0.90
Non-U.S.	43,503	408	1.89	34,136	303	1.79
Total interest-earning assets	815,308	6,788	1.68	762,759	6,303	1.67
Cash and due from banks	4,177			2,942		
Other non-interest-earning assets ²	113,718			109,942		
Total Assets	\$ 933,203			\$ 875,643		
Liabilities						
Interest-bearing deposits	\$ 38,672	\$ 140	0.73%	\$ 37,801	\$ 137	0.73%
U.S.	32,244	124	0.78	31,180	127	0.82
Non-U.S.	6,428	16	0.50	6,621	10	0.30
Securities loaned and securities sold under agreements to repurchase, at fair value	173,696	437	0.51	155,631	299	0.39
U.S.	112,112	153	0.28	111,458	142	0.26
Non-U.S.	61,584	284	0.93	44,173	157	0.72
Financial instruments sold, but not yet purchased ^{1, 2}	102,841	1,259	2.47	87,186	976	2.26
U.S.	53,799	501	1.88	44,709	459	2.07
Non-U.S.	49,042	758	3.12	42,477	517	2.45
Commercial paper	1,408	2	0.22	1,673	2	0.25
U.S.	73		0.19	422		0.18

Non-U.S.	1,335	2	0.23	1,251	2	0.27
Other borrowings ^{4, 5}	72,414	249	0.69	50,934	229	0.91
U.S.	47,016	230	0.99	29,736	193	1.31
Non-U.S.	25,398	19	0.15	21,198	36	0.34
Long-term borrowings ^{5, 6}	185,922	1,642	1.78	191,243	1,484	1.56
U.S.	179,529	1,548	1.74	181,049	1,363	1.52
Non-U.S.	6,393	94	2.97	10,194	121	2.39
Other interest-bearing liabilities ⁷	198,277	288	0.29	187,009	139	0.15
U.S.	145,602	(149)	(0.21)	142,003	(129)	(0.18)
Non-U.S.	52,675	437	1.67	45,006	268	1.20
Total interest-bearing liabilities	773,230	4,017	1.05	711,477	3,266	0.93
Non-interest-bearing deposits	155			226		
Other non-interest-bearing liabilities ²	85,299			90,954		
Total liabilities	858,684			802,657		
Shareholders equity						
Preferred stock	4,753			6,957		
Common stock	69,766			66,029		
Total shareholders equity	74,519			72,986		
Total liabilities, preferred stock and shareholders equity	\$ 933,203			\$ 875,643		
Interest rate spread			0.63%			0.74%
Net interest income and net yield on interest-earning assets		\$ 2,771	0.69		\$ 3,037	0.80
U.S.		1,957	0.73		2,355	0.89
Non-U.S.		814	0.60		682	0.60
Percentage of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities attributable to non-U.S. operations ⁸						
Assets			33.77%			30.28%
Liabilities			26.23			24.02

Table of Contents

STATISTICAL DISCLOSURES

1. Consists of cash financial instruments, including equity securities and convertible debentures.
2. Derivative instruments and commodities are included in other non-interest-earning assets and other non-interest-bearing liabilities.
3. Primarily consists of cash and securities segregated for regulatory and other purposes and certain receivables from customers and counterparties.
4. Consists of short-term other secured financings and unsecured short-term borrowings, excluding commercial paper.
5. Interest rates include the effects of interest rate swaps accounted for as hedges.
6. Consists of long-term other secured financings and unsecured long-term borrowings.
7. Primarily consists of certain payables to customers and counterparties.
8. Assets, liabilities and interest are attributed to U.S. and non-U.S. based on the location of the legal entity in which the assets and liabilities are held.

Table of Contents**STATISTICAL DISCLOSURES****Ratios**

The table below presents selected financial ratios.

	Three Months Ended June		Six Months Ended June	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Annualized net earnings to average assets	0.5%	0.7%	0.8%	1.1%
Annualized return on average common shareholders equity ¹	6.1⁴	7.9	8.0⁴	13.1
Annualized return on average total shareholders equity ²	6.0	8.0	10.3	12.7
Total average equity to average assets	7.6	8.3	8.0	8.3
Dividend payout ratio ³	18.9	44.9	20.6	10.9

1. Based on net earnings applicable to common shareholders divided by average monthly common shareholders equity.
2. Based on net earnings divided by average monthly total shareholders equity.
3. Dividends declared per common share as a percentage of diluted earnings per common share.
4. The \$1.64 billion Series G Preferred Stock dividend was not annualized in the calculation of annualized net earnings applicable to common shareholders since it has no impact on other quarters in the year.

Cross-border Outstandings

Cross-border outstandings are based upon the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council's (FFIEC) regulatory guidelines for reporting cross-border risk. Claims include cash, receivables, securities purchased under agreements to resell, securities borrowed and cash financial instruments, but exclude derivative instruments and commitments. Securities purchased under agreements to resell and securities

borrowed are presented based on the domicile of the counterparty, without reduction for related securities collateral held.

The tables below present cross-border outstandings for each country in which cross-border outstandings exceed 0.75% of consolidated assets in accordance with the FFIEC guidelines.

<i>in millions</i>	As of June 2011			Total
	Banks	Governments	Other	

Country				
France	\$ 38,462	\$ 4,665	\$ 4,215	\$ 47,342
United Kingdom	5,425	5,787	32,405	43,617
Cayman Islands	1	81	41,716	41,798
Japan	23,764	147	4,107	28,018
Germany	9,679	13,001	3,643	26,323
China	11,178	166	3,683	15,027
Switzerland	2,796	13	5,746	8,555
Ireland	739	229	6,996 ¹	7,964

<i>in millions</i>	As of December 2010			Total
	Banks	Governments	Other	
Country				
France	\$ 29,380	\$ 7,369	\$ 4,326	\$ 41,075
United Kingdom	5,630	4,833	26,516	36,979
Cayman Islands	7		35,949	35,956
Japan	28,579	49	4,936	33,564
Germany	3,897	15,791	2,186	21,874
China	10,724	700	2,705	14,129
Switzerland	2,464	150	6,875	9,489

1. Primarily comprised of interests in mutual funds domiciled in Ireland, but whose underlying investments are primarily located outside of Ireland.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

INDEX

	Page No.
<u>Introduction</u>	107
<u>Executive Overview</u>	107
<u>Business Environment</u>	110
<u>Critical Accounting Policies</u>	111
<u>Use of Estimates</u>	114
<u>Results of Operations</u>	115
<u>Balance Sheet and Funding Sources</u>	130
<u>Equity Capital</u>	136
<u>Off-Balance-Sheet Arrangements and Contractual Obligations</u>	141
<u>Overview and Structure of Risk Management</u>	144
<u>Liquidity Risk Management</u>	148
<u>Market Risk Management</u>	154
<u>Credit Risk Management</u>	159
<u>Operational Risk Management</u>	164
<u>Recent Accounting Developments</u>	165
<u>Certain Risk Factors That May Affect Our Businesses</u>	165
<u>Cautionary Statement Pursuant to the U.S. Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995</u>	166

Table of Contents

Introduction

The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. (Group Inc.) is a leading global investment banking, securities and investment management firm that provides a wide range of financial services to a substantial and diversified client base that includes corporations, financial institutions, governments and high-net-worth individuals. Founded in 1869, the firm is headquartered in New York and maintains offices in all major financial centers around the world.

We report our activities in the following four business segments: Investment Banking, Institutional Client Services, Investing & Lending and Investment Management. See Results of Operations below for further information about our business segments.

This Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations should be read in conjunction with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. References to our Annual Report on Form 10-K are to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

When we use the terms Goldman Sachs, the firm, we, us and our, we mean Group Inc., a Delaware corporation, its consolidated subsidiaries.

References to this Form 10-Q are to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2011. All references to June 2011 and June 2010, unless specifically stated otherwise, refer to our periods ended, or the dates, as the context requires, June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010, respectively. All references to March 2011 and December 2010, unless specifically stated otherwise, refer to the dates March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. Certain reclassifications have been made to previously reported amounts to conform to the current presentation.

Executive Overview

Three Months Ended June 2011 versus June 2010. Our diluted earnings per common share were \$1.85 for the second quarter of 2011 compared with \$0.78¹ for the second quarter of 2010. Annualized return on average common shareholders' equity (ROE²) was 6.1% for the second quarter of 2011.

During the quarter, the firm repurchased 10.8 million shares of its common stock for a total cost of \$1.50 billion. Including the impact of these repurchases, book value per common share and tangible book value per common share³ each increased 1.6% during the quarter to \$131.44 and \$121.60, respectively. Our Tier 1 capital ratio under Basel 1⁴ was 14.7% and our Tier 1 common ratio under Basel 1⁴ was 12.9% as of June 2011, both essentially unchanged compared with the end of the first quarter of 2011.

The firm generated net revenues of \$7.28 billion and net earnings of \$1.09 billion for the second quarter of 2011. These results reflected significantly lower net revenues in Institutional Client Services and Investing & Lending compared with the second quarter of 2010. This decrease was partially offset by significantly higher net revenues in Investment Banking, as well as higher net revenues in Investment Management compared with the second quarter of 2010.

In the context of more difficult economic and financial conditions, the firm launched an initiative to identify areas where we can operate more efficiently and reduce our operating expenses. We have targeted approximately

\$1.2 billion in annual run rate compensation and non-compensation reductions. This initiative will be achieved through a combination of a reduction in total staff and planned expenditures, and we expect to complete it before year-end.

1. Excluding the impact of the \$600 million related to the U.K. bank payroll tax and the \$550 million related to the SEC settlement in the second quarter of 2010, diluted earnings per common share were \$2.75 and \$8.36 for the three and six months ended June 2010, respectively. We believe that presenting our results excluding the impact of these items is meaningful because it increases the comparability of period-to-period results. Diluted earnings per common share excluding these items is a non-GAAP measure and may not be comparable to similar non-GAAP measures used by other companies. See Results of Operations Financial Overview below for further information about our calculation of diluted earnings per common share excluding the impact of these items.
2. See Results of Operations Financial Overview below for further information about our calculation of ROE.
3. We believe that tangible common shareholders equity and tangible book value per common share are meaningful because they are measures that we and investors use to assess capital adequacy. Tangible common shareholders equity and tangible book value per common share are non-GAAP measures and may not be comparable to similar non-GAAP measures used by other companies. See Equity Capital Other Capital Metrics below for further information about our calculation of tangible common shareholders equity and tangible book value per common share.
4. Tier 1 common ratio is a non-GAAP measure and may not be comparable to similar non-GAAP measures used by other companies. See Equity Capital Consolidated Regulatory Capital Ratios below for further information about our Tier 1 capital ratio and Tier 1 common ratio.

Table of Contents

An overview of the results for each of our business segments are discussed below.

Institutional Client Services

The decrease in Institutional Client Services compared with the second quarter of 2010 reflected significantly lower net revenues in Fixed Income, Currency and Commodities Client Execution, partially offset by higher net revenues in Equities. The decline in Fixed Income, Currency and Commodities Client Execution reflected significantly lower results in mortgages, commodities and interest rate products. High levels of uncertainty and decreased levels of liquidity during the quarter contributed to difficult market-making conditions, particularly in mortgages and commodities, and prompted us to operate at generally lower levels of risk. In addition, net revenues in currencies decreased slightly and net revenues in credit products were essentially unchanged compared with the second quarter of 2010. During the quarter, Fixed Income, Currency and Commodities Client Execution operated in a challenging environment reflecting broad market concerns and uncertainty, which led to slightly lower levels of activity. The effect of these macro concerns was more pronounced within our Asian and European franchises.

The increase in Equities compared with the second quarter of 2010 primarily reflected higher net revenues in equities client execution. This increase reflected improved results in derivatives compared with a difficult second quarter of 2010. However, results in the second quarter of 2011 for equities client execution more broadly reflected a challenging environment generally characterized by lower levels of activity and low volatility levels. In addition, commissions and fees were lower compared with the second quarter of 2010, reflecting lower client activity. Securities services net revenues were higher compared with the second quarter of 2010, reflecting the impact of higher average customer balances.

Investing & Lending

Results in Investing & Lending for the second quarter of 2011 included a loss of \$176 million from our investment in the ordinary shares of Industrial and Commercial Bank of China Limited (ICBC), net gains of \$686 million from other investments in equities and net revenues of \$200 million from debt securities and loans, primarily reflecting net interest income.

Investment Banking

The increase in Investment Banking reflected significantly higher net revenues in our Underwriting business, as well as in Financial Advisory. Net revenues in debt underwriting were significantly higher than the second quarter of 2010, primarily reflecting an increase in leveraged finance activity. Net revenues in equity underwriting were also significantly higher than the second quarter of 2010, reflecting an increase in industry-wide equity and equity-related offerings. The increase in Financial Advisory reflected an increase in industry-wide completed mergers and acquisitions.

Investment Management

The increase in Investment Management was primarily due to an increase in management and other fees, reflecting both favorable changes in the mix of assets under management and higher average assets under management, as well as higher incentive fees. During the quarter, assets under management increased \$4 billion to \$844 billion reflecting market appreciation and inflows in fixed income assets, partially offset by outflows in money market, alternative investment and equity assets.

Six Months Ended June 2011 versus June 2010. Our diluted earnings per common share were \$3.40 for the first half of 2011 compared with \$6.41 ¹ for the first half of 2010. Annualized ROE ² was 8.0% for the first half of 2011. Excluding the impact of the \$1.64 billion preferred dividend related to the redemption of our 10% Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series G (Series G Preferred Stock) in the first quarter of 2011, diluted earnings per common share were \$6.25 ³ and annualized ROE was 10.2% ³ for the first half of 2011.

1. Excluding the impact of the \$600 million related to the U.K. bank payroll tax and the \$550 million related to the SEC settlement in the second quarter of 2010, diluted earnings per common share were \$2.75 and \$8.36 for the three and six months ended June 2010, respectively. We believe that presenting our results excluding the impact of these items is meaningful because it increases the comparability of period-to-period results. Diluted earnings per common share excluding these items is a non-GAAP measure and may not be comparable to similar non-GAAP measures used by other companies. See Results of Operations Financial Overview below for further information about our calculation of diluted earnings per common share excluding the impact of these items.
2. See Results of Operations Financial Overview below for further information about our calculation of ROE.
3. We believe that presenting our results excluding the impact of the \$1.64 billion preferred dividend related to the redemption of our Series G Preferred Stock (calculated as the difference between the carrying value and the redemption value of the preferred stock) is meaningful because it increases the comparability of period-to-period results. Diluted earnings per common share and ROE excluding the dividend are non-GAAP measures and may not be comparable to similar non-GAAP measures used by other companies. See Results of Operations Financial Overview below for further information about our calculation of diluted earnings per common share and ROE excluding the impact of this dividend.

Table of Contents

The firm generated net revenues of \$19.18 billion and net earnings of \$3.82 billion for the first half of 2011. These results reflected significantly lower net revenues in Institutional Client Services, partially offset by significantly higher net revenues in Investment Banking and higher net revenues in Investment Management. Net revenues in Investing & Lending were essentially unchanged compared with the first half of 2010.

An overview of the results for each of our business segments are discussed below.

Institutional Client Services

The decrease in Institutional Client Services compared with the first half of 2010 reflected significantly lower net revenues in Fixed Income, Currency and Commodities Client Execution. Although client activity levels improved during the first quarter of 2011, the environment became more challenging during the second quarter of 2011 reflecting broad market concerns and uncertainty, which led to slightly lower levels of activity. In addition, high levels of uncertainty and decreased levels of liquidity during the second quarter of 2011 contributed to difficult market-making conditions and prompted us to operate at generally lower levels of risk. As a result of these conditions, net revenues across the franchise were lower during the first half of 2011 compared with the first half of 2010.

Net revenues in Equities increased slightly compared with the first half of 2010, primarily reflecting higher net revenues in securities services. The increase in securities services compared with the first half of 2010 reflected the impact of higher average customer balances. Equities client execution net revenues were essentially unchanged and reflected improved results in derivatives compared with the first half of 2010, although results more broadly in equities client execution for the first half of 2011 reflected a challenging environment characterized by low levels of activity and low volatility levels. Commissions and fees increased slightly compared with the first half of 2010.

Investing & Lending

Net revenues in Investing & Lending for the first half of 2011 included a gain of \$140 million from our investment in the ordinary shares of ICBC, net gains of \$1.74 billion from other investments in equities and net gains and net interest of \$1.22 billion from debt securities and loans.

Investment Banking

The increase in Investment Banking primarily reflected significantly higher net revenues in our Underwriting business. Net revenues in debt underwriting were significantly higher than the first half of 2010, reflecting an increase in leveraged finance and investment-grade activity. Net revenues in equity underwriting were also significantly higher than the first half of 2010, reflecting higher net revenues from initial public offerings. Net revenues in Financial Advisory also increased compared with the first half of 2010.

Investment Management

The increase in Investment Management was primarily due to an increase in management and other fees, reflecting favorable changes in the mix of assets under management and higher average assets under management, as well as higher incentive fees. During the first half of 2011, assets under management increased \$4 billion to \$844 billion reflecting net market appreciation, primarily in fixed income and equity assets, partially offset by net outflows, primarily in money market assets.

Our business, by its nature, does not produce predictable earnings. Our results in any given period can be materially affected by conditions in global financial markets, economic conditions generally and other factors. For a further discussion of the factors that may affect our future operating results, see **Certain Risk Factors That May Affect Our Businesses** below, as well as **Risk Factors** in Part I, Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents**Business Environment****Global**

Real gross domestic product (GDP) appears to have increased in most economies in the second quarter of 2011, although at a slower pace than in the first quarter. However, concerns about European sovereign debt risk, the supply-chain disruptions resulting from the earthquake and tsunami in Japan and inflation in emerging markets intensified during the second quarter of 2011, while concerns emerged towards the end of the quarter about the uncertainty of a resolution on the U.S. federal debt ceiling. In addition, other broad market concerns that emerged during 2010 and the first quarter of 2011, such as political unrest in the Middle East and uncertainty over financial regulatory reform, continued during the quarter. Global equity markets were negatively impacted by these concerns and uncertainties during the second quarter. Measures of inflation in most major and emerging economies increased during the quarter primarily due to earlier commodity price increases, although the price of crude oil decreased during the quarter. The U.S. dollar depreciated against the Euro and the Japanese yen, but remained essentially unchanged against the British pound. Industry-wide announced mergers and acquisitions volumes decreased during the quarter, while completed mergers and acquisitions volumes were essentially unchanged. In addition, debt offerings volumes decreased during the quarter, while equity and equity-related offerings volumes increased, particularly in initial public offerings.

United States

In the United States, real GDP increased modestly during the second quarter, although growth in consumer spending slowed. Industrial production remained broadly unchanged, reflecting the impact of supply-chain disruptions associated with Japan, and unemployment levels increased during the quarter. In addition, measures of core inflation increased during the second quarter. The U.S. Federal Reserve maintained its federal funds rate at a target range of zero to 0.25% and concluded its quantitative easing measures, which included the purchase of significant amounts of U.S. Treasury debt. The 10-year U.S. Treasury note yield ended the second quarter 29 basis points lower at 3.18%. In equity markets, the Dow Jones Industrial Average increased 1%, while the S&P 500 Index and the NASDAQ Composite Index ended the quarter essentially unchanged.

Europe

In the Eurozone economies, real GDP growth appeared to moderate during the second quarter. Concerns about fiscal challenges in several Eurozone economies intensified during the quarter, weighing on economic growth in these economies and risk appetite more broadly. Surveys of business confidence deteriorated and measures of core inflation increased during the second quarter. The European Central Bank increased its main refinancing operations rate by 25 basis points to 1.25% during the quarter. The Euro appreciated by 2% against the U.S. dollar. In the United Kingdom, real GDP growth moderated during the second quarter. The Bank of England maintained its official bank rate at 0.50% and the British pound was essentially unchanged against the U.S. dollar. Long-term government bond yields in the U.K. and the Eurozone generally declined during the quarter, although spreads between German bond yields and those of certain Eurozone economies widened during the quarter. Equity markets in continental Europe generally decreased during the quarter, while equity markets in the U.K. were essentially unchanged.

Asia

In Japan, real GDP appeared to decline further in the second quarter due to the economic impact of the earthquake and tsunami. Measures of core inflation turned positive during the quarter for the first time since early 2009. The Bank of Japan left its target overnight call rate unchanged at a range of zero to 0.10%. The yield on 10-year Japanese

government bonds decreased during the quarter. The Japanese yen appreciated by 3% against the U.S. dollar and the Nikkei 225 Index ended the quarter 1% higher. In China, real GDP growth remained strong during the second quarter, although the pace of growth moderated compared with the first quarter of 2011. Measures of inflation remained elevated during the quarter. The People's Bank of China increased the reserve requirement ratio by 150 basis points during the quarter. The Chinese yuan appreciated slightly against the U.S. dollar and the Shanghai Composite Index decreased by 6% during the quarter. Equity markets in Hong Kong and South Korea also decreased during the quarter. In India, economic growth appeared to remain solid, supported by continued strength in domestic demand. In addition, measures of inflation remained elevated. The Indian rupee was essentially unchanged against the U.S. dollar and equity markets in India declined.

Table of Contents

Critical Accounting Policies

Fair Value

Fair Value Hierarchy. Financial instruments owned, at fair value and Financial instruments sold, but not yet purchased, at fair value (i.e., inventory), as well as certain other financial assets and financial liabilities, are reflected in our condensed consolidated statements of financial condition at fair value (i.e., marked-to-market), with related gains or losses generally recognized in our condensed consolidated statements of earnings. The use of fair value to measure financial instruments is fundamental to our risk management practices and is our most critical accounting policy.

The fair value of a financial instrument is the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. In determining fair value, the hierarchy under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (U.S. GAAP) gives (i) the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (level 1 inputs), (ii) the next priority to inputs other than level 1 inputs that are observable either directly or indirectly (level 2 inputs), and (iii) the lowest priority to inputs that cannot be observed in market activity (level 3 inputs). Assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to their fair value measurement.

The fair values for substantially all of our financial assets and financial liabilities, including derivatives, are based on observable prices and inputs and are classified in levels 1 and 2 of the hierarchy. Certain level 2 financial instruments may require appropriate discounts (i.e., valuation adjustments) for factors such as:

transfer restrictions;

the credit quality of a counterparty or the firm; and

other premiums and discounts that a market participant would require to arrive at fair value.

Valuation adjustments are generally based on market evidence.

Instruments categorized within level 3 of the fair value hierarchy, which represent approximately 5% of the firm's total assets, require one or more significant inputs that are not observable. Absent evidence to the contrary, instruments classified within level 3 of the fair value hierarchy are initially valued at transaction price, which is considered to be the best initial estimate of fair value. Subsequent to the transaction date, we use other methodologies to determine fair value, which vary based on the type of instrument. Estimating the fair value of level 3 financial instruments may require judgments to be made. These judgments include:

determining the appropriate valuation methodology and/or model for each type of level 3 financial instrument;

determining model inputs based on an evaluation of all relevant empirical market data, including prices evidenced by market transactions, interest rates, credit spreads, volatilities and correlations; and

determining appropriate valuation adjustments related to illiquidity or counterparty credit quality.

Regardless of the methodology, valuation inputs and assumptions are only changed when corroborated by substantive evidence.

Controls Over Valuation of Financial Instruments. Market makers and investment professionals in our revenue-producing units are responsible for pricing our financial instruments. Our control infrastructure is independent of the revenue-producing units and is fundamental to ensuring that all of our financial instruments are appropriately valued at market-clearing levels. In the event that there is a difference of opinion in situations where estimating the fair value of financial instruments requires judgment (e.g., calibration to market comparables or trade comparison, as described below), the final valuation decision is made by senior managers in control and support functions that are independent of the revenue-producing units (independent control and support functions). This independent price verification is critical to ensuring that our financial instruments are properly valued.

Table of Contents

Price Verification. The objective of price verification is to have an informed and independent opinion with regard to the valuation of financial instruments under review. Instruments that have one or more significant inputs which cannot be corroborated by external market data are classified within level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. Price verification strategies utilized by our independent control and support functions include:

Trade Comparison. Analysis of trade data (both internal and external where available) is used to determine the most relevant pricing inputs and valuations.

External Price Comparison. Valuations and prices are compared to pricing data obtained from third parties (e.g., broker or dealers, MarkIt, Bloomberg, IDC, TRACE). Data obtained from various sources is compared to ensure consistency and validity. When broker or dealer quotations or third-party pricing vendors are used for valuation or price verification, greater priority is generally given to executable quotations.

Calibration to Market Comparables. Market-based transactions are used to corroborate the valuation of positions with similar characteristics, risks and components.

Relative Value Analyses. Market-based transactions are analyzed to determine the similarity, measured in terms of risk, liquidity and return, of one instrument relative to another, or for a given instrument, of one maturity relative to another.

Collateral Analyses. Margin disputes on derivatives are examined and investigated to determine the impact, if any, on our valuations.

Execution of Trades. Where appropriate, trading desks are instructed to execute trades in order to provide evidence of market-clearing levels.

Backtesting. Valuations are corroborated by comparison to values realized upon sales.

See Notes 5 through 8 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-Q for further information about fair value measurements.

Review of Net Revenues. Independent control and support functions ensure adherence to our pricing policy through a combination of daily procedures, one of which is the process of validating and understanding results by attributing and explaining net revenues by the underlying factors. Through this process we independently validate net revenues, identify and resolve potential fair value or trade booking issues on a timely basis and ensure that risks are being properly categorized and quantified.

Review of Valuation Models. Quantitative professionals within our Market Risk Management department (Market Risk Management) perform an independent model approval process. This process incorporates a review of a diverse set of model and trade parameters across a broad range of values (including extreme and/or improbable conditions) in order to critically evaluate:

- the model's suitability for valuation and risk management of a particular instrument type;
- the model's accuracy in reflecting the characteristics of the related product and its significant risks;
- the suitability and properties of the numerical algorithms incorporated in the model;
- the model's consistency with models for similar products; and

the model's sensitivity to input parameters and assumptions.

New or changed models are reviewed and approved. Models are evaluated and re-approved annually to assess the impact of any changes in the product or market and any market developments in pricing theories.

See [Market Risk Management](#) and [Credit Risk Management](#) for a further discussion of how we manage the risks inherent in our businesses.

Table of Contents

Level 3 Financial Assets at Fair Value. The table below presents financial assets measured at fair value and the amount of such assets that are classified within level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

Total level 3 assets were \$47.01 billion, \$45.84 billion and \$45.38 billion as of June 2011, March 2011 and December 2010, respectively. The increase in level 3 assets during the three months ended June 2011 primarily reflected an increase in private equity investments, principally due to transfers from level 2.

The increase in level 3 assets during the six months ended June 2011 primarily reflected an increase in private equity investments, principally due to purchases and transfers from level 2, partially offset by a decrease in credit derivatives, primarily due to transfers to level 2 and settlements.

See Notes 5 through 8 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-Q for further information about fair value measurements.

<i>in millions</i>	As of June 2011		As of March 2011		As of December 2010	
	Total at Fair Value	Level 3 Total	Total at Fair Value	Level 3 Total	Total at Fair Value	Level 3 Total
Commercial paper, certificates of deposit, time deposits and other money market instruments	\$ 7,186	\$	\$ 13,100	\$	\$ 11,262	\$
U.S. government and federal agency obligations	87,075		100,222		84,928	
Non-U.S. government obligations	55,023		44,540		40,675	
Mortgage and other asset-backed loans and securities:						
Loans and securities backed by commercial real estate	6,693	2,395	5,912	2,521	6,200	2,819
Loans and securities backed by residential real estate	7,716	2,735	8,426	2,636	9,404	2,373
Loan portfolios ¹	1,238	1,238	1,314	1,312	1,438	1,285
Bank loans and bridge loans	18,927	10,183 ²	18,063	9,929 ²	18,039	9,905 ²
Corporate debt securities	25,582	2,747	26,515	3,138	24,719	2,737
State and municipal obligations	3,328	643	2,718	742	2,792	754
Other debt obligations	2,554	1,472	3,599	1,483	3,232	1,274
Equities and convertible debentures	71,626	13,452	75,343	11,765	67,833	11,060
Commodities	10,133		5,911		13,138	
Total cash instruments	297,081	34,865	305,663	33,526	283,660	32,207
Derivatives	73,524	11,522	69,143	11,837	73,293	12,772
	370,605	46,387	374,806	45,363	356,953	44,979

Financial instruments owned, at
fair value

Securities segregated for regulatory and other purposes	42,343		34,325		36,182	
Securities purchased under agreements to resell	162,285	299	162,094	158	188,355	100
Securities borrowed	61,865		62,236		48,822	
Receivables from customers and counterparties	7,674	321	8,095	322	7,202	298
Total	\$ 644,772	\$ 47,007	\$ 641,556	\$ 45,843	\$ 637,514	\$ 45,377

1. Consists of acquired portfolios of distressed loans, primarily backed by commercial and residential real estate.
2. Includes certain mezzanine financing, leveraged loans arising from capital market transactions and other corporate bank debt.

Table of Contents

Goodwill and Identifiable Intangible Assets

Goodwill. Goodwill is the cost of acquired companies in excess of the fair value of net assets, including identifiable intangible assets, at the acquisition date. We test the goodwill in each of our reporting units for impairment at least annually, by comparing the estimated fair value of each reporting unit with its estimated net book value. We derive the fair value based on valuation techniques we believe market participants would use (i.e., observable price-to-earnings multiples and price-to-book multiples). We derive the net book value by estimating the amount of shareholders' equity required to support the activities of each reporting unit. Estimating the fair value of our reporting units requires management to make judgments. Critical inputs include (i) projected earnings, (ii) estimated long-term growth rates and (iii) cost of equity. Our last annual impairment test was performed during our 2010 fourth quarter and no impairment was identified. See Note 13 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-Q for the carrying value of our goodwill by operating segment.

Identifiable Intangible Assets. We amortize our identifiable intangible assets over their estimated lives or, in the case of insurance contracts, in proportion to estimated gross profits or premium revenues. Identifiable intangible assets are tested for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances suggest that an asset's or asset group's carrying value may not be fully recoverable.

An impairment loss, generally calculated as the difference between the estimated fair value and the carrying value of an asset or asset group, is recognized if the sum of the estimated undiscounted cash flows relating to the asset or asset group is less than the corresponding carrying value. See Note 13 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-Q for the carrying value and estimated remaining lives of our identifiable intangible assets by major asset class and the carrying value of our identifiable intangible assets by operating segment.

A prolonged period of market weakness could adversely impact our businesses and impair the value of our identifiable intangible assets. In addition, certain events could indicate a potential impairment of our identifiable intangible assets, including (i) decreases in revenues from commodity-related customer contracts and relationships, (ii) decreases in cash receipts from television broadcast royalties, (iii) an adverse action or assessment by a regulator or (iv) adverse actual experience on the contracts in our variable annuity and life insurance business. Management judgment is required to evaluate

whether indications of potential impairment have occurred, and to test intangibles for impairment if required.

Use of Estimates

The use of generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make certain estimates and assumptions. In addition to the estimates we make in connection with fair value measurements, the accounting for goodwill and identifiable intangible assets, and discretionary compensation accruals, the use of estimates and assumptions is also important in determining provisions for potential losses that may arise from litigation, regulatory proceedings and tax audits.

A substantial portion of our compensation and benefits represents discretionary compensation, which is finalized at year-end. We believe the most appropriate way to allocate estimated annual discretionary compensation among interim periods is in proportion to the net revenues earned in such periods. In addition to the level of net revenues, our overall compensation expense in any given year is also influenced by, among other factors, prevailing labor markets, business mix, the structure of our share-based compensation programs and the external environment. See Results of Operations Financial Overview Operating Expenses below for information regarding our ratio of compensation and benefits to net revenues.

We estimate and provide for potential losses that may arise out of litigation and regulatory proceedings to the extent that such losses are probable and can be reasonably estimated. In accounting for income taxes, we estimate and provide for potential liabilities that may arise out of tax audits to the extent that uncertain tax positions fail to meet the recognition standard under FASB Accounting Standards Codification 740. See Note 24 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-Q for further information about accounting for income taxes.

Significant judgment is required in making these estimates and our final liabilities may ultimately be materially different. Our total estimated liability in respect of litigation and regulatory proceedings is determined on a case-by-case basis and represents an estimate of probable losses after considering, among other factors, the progress of each case or proceeding, our experience and the experience of others in similar cases or proceedings, and the opinions and views of legal counsel. See Notes 18 and 27 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-Q for information on certain judicial, regulatory and legal proceedings.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations**

The composition of our net revenues has varied over time as financial markets and the scope of our operations have changed. The composition of net revenues can also vary over the shorter term due to fluctuations in U.S. and global economic and market

conditions. See **Certain Risk Factors That May Affect Our Businesses** below and **Risk Factors** in Part I, Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for a further discussion of the impact of economic and market conditions on our results of operations.

Financial Overview

The table below presents an overview of our financial results.

<i>\$ in millions, except per share amounts</i>	Three Months Ended June		Six Months Ended June	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Net revenues	\$ 7,281	\$ 8,841	\$ 19,175	\$ 21,616
Pre-tax earnings	1,612	1,448	5,652	6,607
Net earnings	1,087	613	3,822	4,069
Net earnings applicable to common shareholders	1,052	453	1,960	3,749
Diluted earnings per common share	1.85	0.78	3.40	6.41
Annualized return on average common shareholders equity ¹	6.1%	7.9%	8.0%	13.1%
Diluted earnings per common share, excluding the impact of the Series G Preferred Stock dividend ²	N/A	N/A	\$ 6.25	N/A
Annualized return on average common shareholders equity, excluding the impact of the Series G Preferred Stock dividend ²	N/A	N/A	10.2%	N/A
Diluted earnings per common share, excluding the impact of U.K. bank payroll tax and SEC settlement ³	N/A	\$ 2.75	N/A	\$ 8.36
Annualized return on average common shareholders equity, excluding the impact of U.K. bank payroll tax and SEC settlement ³	N/A	9.5%	N/A	14.8%

1. Annualized ROE is computed by dividing annualized net earnings applicable to common shareholders by average monthly common shareholders' equity. The impact of the \$1.64 billion Series G Preferred Stock dividend in the first quarter of 2011 was not annualized in the calculation of annualized net earnings applicable to common shareholders for the six months ended June 2011 as this amount has no impact on other quarters in the year. In addition, the impact of the \$600 million U.K. bank payroll tax and the \$550 million SEC settlement in the second

quarter of 2010 were not annualized in the calculation of annualized net earnings applicable to common shareholders for the three and six months ended June 2010 as these are one-time events and therefore these amounts have no impact on other quarters in the year. The table below presents our average common shareholders equity.

<i>in millions</i>	Average for the			
	Three Months Ended June 2011		Six Months Ended June 2010	
Total shareholders equity	\$ 72,474	\$ 73,529	\$ 74,519	\$ 72,986
Preferred stock	(3,100)	(6,957)	(4,753)	(6,957)
Common shareholders equity	\$ 69,374	\$ 66,572	\$ 69,766	\$ 66,029

2. We believe that presenting our results excluding the impact of the \$1.64 billion Series G Preferred Stock dividend in the first quarter of 2011 is meaningful because it increases the comparability of period-to-period results. Diluted earnings per common share and ROE excluding this dividend are non-GAAP measures and may not be comparable to similar non-GAAP measures used by other companies. The tables below present the calculation of net earnings applicable to common shareholders, diluted earnings per common share and average common shareholders equity excluding the impact of this dividend.

<i>in millions, except per share amount</i>	Six Months Ended June 2011
Net earnings applicable to common shareholders	\$ 1,960
Impact of the Series G Preferred Stock dividend	1,643
Net earnings applicable to common shareholders, excluding the impact of the Series G Preferred Stock dividend	3,603
Divided by: average diluted common shares outstanding	576.4
Diluted earnings per common share, excluding the impact of the Series G Preferred Stock dividend	\$ 6.25

Table of Contents

<i>in millions</i>	Average for the Six Months Ended June 2011
Total shareholders' equity	\$ 74,519
Preferred stock	(4,753)
Common shareholders' equity	69,766
Impact of the Series G Preferred Stock dividend	939
Common shareholders' equity, excluding the impact of the Series G Preferred Stock dividend	\$ 70,705

3. We believe that presenting our results excluding the impact of the \$600 million U.K. bank payroll tax and the \$550 million SEC settlement in the second quarter of 2010 is meaningful as these were one-time events and excluding them increases the comparability of period-to-period results. Diluted earnings per common share and ROE excluding these items are non-GAAP measures and may not be comparable to similar non-GAAP measures used by other companies. The tables below present the calculation of net earnings applicable to common shareholders, diluted earnings per common share and average common shareholders' equity excluding the impact of these items.

<i>in millions, except per share amounts</i>	Three Months Ended June	Six Months Ended June
	2010	2010
Net earnings applicable to common shareholders	\$ 453	\$ 3,749
Impact of U.K. bank payroll tax	600	600
Pre-tax impact of SEC settlement	550	550
Tax impact of SEC settlement	(6)	(6)
Net earnings applicable to common shareholders, excluding the impact of U.K. bank payroll tax and SEC settlement	1,597	4,893
Divided by: average diluted common shares outstanding	580.4	585.2
Diluted earnings per common share, excluding the impact of U.K. bank payroll tax and SEC settlement	\$ 2.75	\$ 8.36

	Average for the	
	Three Months Ended June	Six Months Ended June
<i>in millions</i>	2010	2010
Total shareholders' equity	\$ 73,529	\$ 72,986
Preferred stock	(6,957)	(6,957)
Common shareholders' equity	66,572	66,029
Impact of U.K. bank payroll tax	300	171
Impact of SEC settlement	136	78
Common shareholders' equity, excluding the impact of U.K. bank payroll tax and SEC settlement	\$ 67,008	\$ 66,278

Table of Contents

Net Revenues

Three Months Ended June 2011 versus June 2010. Net revenues were \$7.28 billion for the second quarter of 2011, 18% lower than the second quarter of 2010, reflecting significantly lower net revenues in Institutional Client Services and Investing & Lending. This decrease was partially offset by significantly higher net revenues in Investment Banking, as well as higher net revenues in Investment Management.

Six Months Ended June 2011 versus June 2010. Net revenues were \$19.18 billion for the first half of 2011, 11% lower than the first half of 2010, reflecting significantly lower net revenues in Institutional Client Services, partially offset by significantly higher net revenues in Investment Banking and higher net revenues in Investment Management. Net revenues in Investing & Lending were essentially unchanged compared with the first half of 2010.

Net Interest Income

Three Months Ended June 2011 versus June 2010. Net interest income for the second quarter of 2011 was \$1.41 billion, 13% lower than the second quarter of 2010. The decrease compared with the second quarter of 2010 was primarily due to higher interest expense related to our long-term borrowings and a decrease in net dividend income.

Six Months Ended June 2011 versus June 2010. Net interest income for the first half of 2011 was \$2.77 billion, 9% lower than the first half of 2010. The decrease compared with the first half of 2010 was primarily due to higher interest expense related to our long-term borrowings and a decrease in net dividend income.

Non-interest Revenues

Investment banking

During the second quarter of 2011, investment banking revenues continued to reflect an operating environment generally characterized by higher levels of industry-wide mergers and acquisitions activity as compared with 2010. Underwriting activity levels were higher compared with the second quarter of 2010, reflecting generally higher equity prices, continued low interest rates and increased refinancing activity. However, heightened uncertainty regarding the global economic outlook and concerns about European sovereign debt risk and the U.S. debt ceiling negatively impacted global equity markets during the second quarter of 2011, and continued to weigh on corporate activity. If these concerns and uncertainties were to continue, or if equity prices decline or interest rates increase,

resulting in lower levels of client activity, investment banking revenues would likely be negatively impacted.

Three Months Ended June 2011 versus June 2010. Investment banking revenues on the condensed consolidated statement of earnings were \$1.45 billion, 54% higher than the second quarter of 2010, reflecting significantly higher underwriting and financial advisory revenues. The increase in underwriting revenues primarily reflected an increase in leveraged finance activity and an increase in industry-wide equity and equity-related offerings. The increase in financial advisory revenues reflected an increase in industry-wide completed mergers and acquisitions.

Six Months Ended June 2011 versus June 2010. Investment banking revenues on the condensed consolidated statement of earnings were \$2.72 billion, 27% higher than the first half of 2010, primarily reflecting significantly higher underwriting revenues. The increase in underwriting revenues primarily reflected an increase in leveraged finance and investment-grade activity, as well as higher revenues from initial public offerings. Financial advisory revenues were also higher compared with the first half of 2010.

Investment management

During the second quarter of 2011, investment management revenues reflected an operating environment generally characterized by a continuation of industry trends, including improved asset prices and a shift in investor assets away

from money markets in favor of asset classes with potentially higher risk and returns. These trends have resulted in favorable changes in the mix of assets under management, as well as appreciation in the value of client assets. If asset prices decline or investors change their mix of assets to lower risk asset classes or withdraw their assets, investment management revenues would likely be negatively impacted. In addition, continued uncertainty regarding the global economic outlook could result in downward pressure on assets under management.

Three Months Ended June 2011 versus June 2010. Investment management revenues on the condensed consolidated statement of earnings were \$1.19 billion, 14% higher than the second quarter of 2010. The increase in revenues compared with the second quarter of 2010 was primarily due to an increase in management fees, reflecting both favorable changes in the mix of assets under management and higher average assets under management, as well as higher incentive fees.

Table of Contents

Six Months Ended June 2011 versus June 2010. Investment management revenues on the condensed consolidated statement of earnings were \$2.36 billion, 15% higher than the first half of 2010. The increase in revenues compared with the first half of 2010 was primarily due to an increase in management fees, reflecting favorable changes in the mix of assets under management and higher average assets under management, as well as higher incentive fees.

Commissions and fees

Broad market concerns and uncertainties that emerged during 2010, including concerns about European sovereign debt risk, continued to have a negative impact on client activity during the second quarter of 2011. Client activity was also negatively impacted by concerns regarding the state of the global economy, including a further deterioration in economic data, increased sovereign risk in a number of smaller European member states along with the potential negative economic impact on financial institutions with credit exposure to those sovereigns, and the U.S. debt ceiling. If these concerns and uncertainties were to continue, commissions and fees would likely be negatively impacted.

Three Months Ended June 2011 versus June 2010. Commissions and fees on the condensed consolidated statement of earnings were \$894 million, 9% lower than the second quarter of 2010, reflecting lower client activity.

Six Months Ended June 2011 versus June 2010. Commissions and fees on the condensed consolidated statement of earnings were \$1.91 billion, 3% higher than the first half of 2010.

Market making

Broad market concerns and uncertainties that emerged during 2010, including concerns about European sovereign debt risk and uncertainty over financial regulatory reform, continued to have a negative impact on our market-making revenues during the second quarter of 2011. Market-making revenues during the quarter were also negatively impacted by concerns regarding the state of the global economy, including a further deterioration in economic data, increased sovereign risk in a number of smaller European member states along with the potential negative economic impact on financial institutions with credit exposure to those sovereigns, and the U.S. debt ceiling. In addition, volatility in market prices, price divergence between our cash positions and corresponding hedges, and decreased liquidity

levels contributed to lower revenues from managing client-originated market-making inventory. In this environment, we reduced our market risk levels, and many of our clients reduced their risk appetite, resulting in slightly lower activity levels during the quarter. If these concerns and uncertainties were to continue, and conditions were to remain challenging, market-making revenues would likely be negatively impacted.

Three Months Ended June 2011 versus June 2010. Market-making revenues on the condensed consolidated statement of earnings were \$1.74 billion, 39% lower than the second quarter of 2010, reflecting significantly lower revenues in mortgages, commodities and interest rate products. High levels of uncertainty and decreased levels of liquidity during the quarter contributed to difficult market-making conditions, particularly in mortgages and commodities, and prompted us to operate at generally lower levels of risk. During the quarter, revenues in these products were impacted by a challenging environment reflecting broad market concerns and uncertainty, which led to slightly lower levels of activity. These decreases were partially offset by higher revenues in equities, reflecting improved results in derivatives compared with a difficult second quarter of 2010. However, in the second quarter of 2011, revenues in equities more broadly reflected a challenging environment generally characterized by lower levels of activity and low volatility levels.

Six Months Ended June 2011 versus June 2010. Market-making revenues on the condensed consolidated statement of earnings were \$6.20 billion, 33% lower than the first half of 2010. Although client activity levels improved during the first quarter of 2011, the environment became more challenging during the second quarter of 2011 reflecting broad market concerns and uncertainty, which led to slightly lower levels of activity. In addition, high levels of uncertainty

and decreased levels of liquidity during the second quarter of 2011 contributed to difficult market-making conditions and prompted us to operate at generally lower levels of risk. As a result of these conditions, revenues were lower in most of our major market-making products during the first half of 2011 compared with the first half of 2010. Equities revenues were essentially unchanged compared with the first half of 2010, as results in derivatives improved compared with the first half of 2010, although revenues more broadly in equities for the first half of 2011 reflected a challenging environment characterized by low levels of activity and low volatility levels.

Table of Contents

Other principal transactions

During the second quarter of 2011, other principal transactions revenues reflected an operating environment characterized by generally widening credit spreads and global equity markets that were negatively impacted by broad market concerns and uncertainty. If equity markets decline and credit spreads widen, other principal transactions revenues would likely be negatively impacted.

Three Months Ended June 2011 versus June 2010. Other principal transactions revenues on the condensed consolidated statement of earnings were \$602 million for the second quarter of 2011. Results for the second quarter of 2011 primarily included net gains from private equity investments and revenues from our consolidated entities held for investment purposes, partially offset by net losses from our investment in the ordinary shares of ICBC and other public equity investments. In the second quarter of 2010, revenues in other principal transactions primarily included a gain from our investment in the ordinary shares of ICBC, revenues from our consolidated entities held for investment purposes and net gains from debt securities and loans.

Six Months Ended June 2011 versus June 2010. Other principal transactions revenues on the condensed consolidated statement of earnings were \$3.21 billion for the first half of 2011. Results for the first half of 2011 primarily included net gains from private equity investments, net gains from debt securities and loans, and revenues from our consolidated entities held for investment purposes. In the first half of 2010, revenues in other principal transactions primarily included net gains from debt securities and loans, net gains from both private and public equity securities, including a gain from our investment in the ordinary shares of ICBC, as well as revenues from our consolidated entities held for investment purposes.

Operating Expenses

Our operating expenses are primarily influenced by compensation, headcount and levels of business activity. Compensation and benefits includes salaries, estimated year-end discretionary compensation, amortization of equity awards and other items such as benefits. Discretionary compensation is significantly impacted by, among other factors, the level of net revenues, prevailing labor markets, business mix, the structure of our share-based compensation programs and the external environment.

On July 19, 2011, the United Kingdom enacted legislation that imposes an annual non-deductible tax on relevant liabilities, as defined in the legislation, for periods ending on or after January 1, 2011 for certain financial services activities of large banks, including their subsidiaries, that operate in the U.K. Our current estimate of the tax for 2011 is approximately \$130 million, of which three-fourths will be recognized in non-compensation expenses in the third quarter of 2011, with the remainder recognized in non-compensation expenses in the fourth quarter of 2011. The final amount may vary from this estimate because the tax will be based on the relevant liabilities as of December 31, 2011.

In the context of more difficult economic and financial conditions, the firm launched an initiative to identify areas where we can operate more efficiently and reduce our operating expenses. We have targeted approximately \$1.2 billion in annual run rate compensation and non-compensation reductions. This initiative will be achieved through a combination of a reduction in total staff and planned expenditures, and we expect to complete it before year-end.

Table of Contents

The table below presents our operating expenses and total staff.

<i>\$ in millions</i>	Three Months Ended June		Six Months Ended June	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Compensation and benefits	\$ 3,204	\$ 3,802	\$ 8,437	\$ 9,295
U.K. bank payroll tax		600		600
Brokerage, clearing, exchange and distribution fees	615	622	1,235	1,184
Market development	183	116	362	226
Communications and technology	210	186	408	362
Depreciation and amortization	372	437	962	809
Occupancy	252	274	519	530
Professional fees	263	227	496	409
Other expenses	570	1,129	1,104	1,594
Total non-compensation expenses	2,465	2,991	5,086	5,114
Total operating expenses	\$ 5,669	\$ 7,393	\$ 13,523	\$ 15,009
Total staff at period-end ¹	35,500	34,100		
Total staff at period-end including consolidated entities held for investment purposes ²	38,300	38,900		

1. Includes employees, consultants and temporary staff.

2. Compensation and benefits and non-compensation expenses related to consolidated entities held for investment purposes are included in their respective line items in the condensed consolidated statements of earnings. Consolidated entities held for investment purposes are entities that are held strictly for capital appreciation, have a defined exit strategy and are engaged in activities that are not closely related to our principal businesses.

Three Months Ended June 2011 versus June 2010. Operating expenses were \$5.67 billion for the second quarter of 2011, 23% lower than the second quarter of 2010. The accrual for compensation and benefits expenses was \$3.20 billion for the second quarter of 2011, a 16% decline compared with the second quarter of 2010. Operating expenses for the second quarter of 2010 included an estimate of \$600 million related to the U.K. bank payroll tax. Total staff and total staff including consolidated entities held for investment purposes were essentially unchanged during the second quarter of 2011.

Non-compensation expenses were \$2.47 billion, 18% lower than the second quarter of 2010. The decrease compared with the second quarter of 2010 was primarily attributable to the impact of net provisions for litigation and regulatory proceedings of \$615 million during the second quarter of 2010 (including \$550 million related to the SEC settlement). The second quarter of 2011 included net provisions for litigation and regulatory proceedings of \$45 million.

Table of Contents

Six Months Ended June 2011 versus June 2010. Operating expenses were \$13.52 billion for the first half of 2011, 10% lower than the first half of 2010. The accrual for compensation and benefits expenses was \$8.44 billion for the first half of 2011, a 9% decline compared with the first half of 2010. The ratio of compensation and benefits to net revenues for the first half of 2011 was 44.0%, compared with 43.0% ¹ (which excludes the impact of the U.K. bank payroll tax) for the first half of 2010. Operating expenses for the first half of 2010 included an estimate of \$600 million related to the U.K. bank payroll tax. Total staff and total staff including consolidated entities held for investment purposes decreased slightly during the first half of 2011.

Non-compensation expenses were \$5.09 billion, essentially unchanged compared with the first half of 2010. The first half of 2011 included net provisions for litigation and regulatory proceedings of \$69 million compared with \$636 million during the first half of 2010 (including \$550 million related to the SEC settlement). This decrease was offset by the impact of impairment charges of approximately \$220 million related to assets classified as held for sale during the first half of 2011, primarily related to Litton Loan

Servicing LP, our residential mortgage servicing subsidiary, and increased levels of business activity, including higher market development expenses and increased operating expenses related to our consolidated entities held for investment purposes.

Provision for Taxes

The effective income tax rate for the first half of 2011 was 32.4%, essentially unchanged from 32.3% for the first quarter of 2011 and down slightly from 32.7% ² for 2010, which excluded the impact of the \$465 million U.K. bank payroll tax for the full year and the \$550 million SEC settlement, substantially all of which was non-deductible. Including the impact of these items, the effective income tax rate was 35.2% for 2010.

In December 2010, the rules related to the deferral of U.S. tax on certain non-repatriated active financing income were extended retroactively to January 1, 2010 through December 31, 2011. If these rules are not extended beyond December 31, 2011, the expiration may materially increase our effective income tax rate beginning in 2013.

1. Our total compensation and benefits expenses including the \$600 million U.K. bank payroll tax were \$4.40 billion and \$9.90 billion for the three and six months ended June 2010, respectively. We believe that presenting our ratio of compensation and benefits to net revenues excluding the impact of the \$600 million U.K. bank payroll tax is meaningful as this is a one-time event and excluding it increases the comparability of period-to-period results. The ratio of compensation and benefits to net revenues excluding the impact of this item is a non-GAAP measure and may not be comparable to similar non-GAAP measures used by other companies. The table below presents the calculation of the ratio of compensation and benefits to net revenues including and excluding the impact of this item.

<i>\$ in millions</i>	Six Months Ended June 2010
Compensation and benefits (which excludes the impact of the \$600 million U.K. bank payroll tax)	\$ 9,295
Ratio of compensation and benefits to net revenues	43.0%

Compensation and benefits, including the impact of the \$600 million U.K. bank payroll tax	\$ 9,895
Ratio of compensation and benefits to net revenues, including the impact of the \$600 million U.K. bank payroll tax	45.8%

2. We believe that presenting our effective income tax rate for 2010 excluding the impact of the U.K. bank payroll tax for the full year and the SEC settlement, substantially all of which was non-deductible, is meaningful as excluding these items increases the comparability of period-to-period results. Effective income tax rate excluding the impact of these items is a non-GAAP measure and may not be comparable to similar non-GAAP measures used by other companies. The table below presents the calculation of the effective income tax rate excluding the impact of these amounts.

<i>\$ in millions</i>	Year Ended December 2010		
	Pre-tax earnings	Provision for taxes	Effective income tax rate
As reported	\$ 12,892	\$ 4,538	35.2%
Add back:			
Impact of the U.K. bank payroll tax	465		
Impact of the SEC settlement	550	6	
As adjusted	\$ 13,907	\$ 4,544	32.7%

Table of Contents**Segment Operating Results**

The table below presents the net revenues, operating expenses and pre-tax earnings of our segments.

<i>in millions</i>		Three Months Ended June		Six Months Ended June	
		2011	2010	2011	2010
Investment Banking	Net revenues	\$ 1,448	\$ 941	\$ 2,717	\$ 2,144
	Operating expenses	981	713	1,904	1,593
	Pre-tax earnings	\$ 467	\$ 228	\$ 813	\$ 551
Institutional Client Services	Net revenues	\$ 3,515	\$ 4,981	\$ 10,162	\$ 13,488
	Operating expenses	3,040	4,173	7,624	9,004
	Pre-tax earnings	\$ 475	\$ 808	\$ 2,538	\$ 4,484
Investing & Lending	Net revenues	\$ 1,044	\$ 1,786	\$ 3,749	\$ 3,756
	Operating expenses	547	934	1,778	1,842
	Pre-tax earnings	\$ 497	\$ 852	\$ 1,971	\$ 1,914
Investment Management	Net revenues	\$ 1,274	\$ 1,133	\$ 2,547	\$ 2,228
	Operating expenses	1,056	954	2,123	1,903
	Pre-tax earnings	\$ 218	\$ 179	\$ 424	\$ 325
Total	Net revenues	\$ 7,281	\$ 8,841	\$ 19,175	\$ 21,616
	Operating expenses	5,669	7,393	13,523	15,009
	Pre-tax earnings	\$ 1,612	\$ 1,448	\$ 5,652	\$ 6,607

Operating expenses in the table above include the following expenses that have not been allocated to our segments:

net provisions for a number of litigation and regulatory proceedings of \$45 million and \$615 million for the three months ended June 2011 and June 2010, respectively, and \$69 million and \$636 million for the six months ended June 2011 and June 2010, respectively;

charitable contributions of \$25 million for both the six months ended June 2011 and June 2010; and

real estate-related exit costs of \$4 million and \$6 million for the three and six months ended June 2010, respectively.

Net revenues in our segments include allocations of interest income and interest expense to specific securities, commodities and other positions in relation to the cash generated by, or funding requirements of, such underlying positions. See Note 25 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-Q for further information about our business segments.

The cost drivers of Goldman Sachs taken as a whole — compensation, headcount and levels of business activity — are broadly similar in each of our business segments. Compensation and benefits expenses within our segments reflect, among other factors, the overall performance of Goldman Sachs as well as the performance of individual businesses. Consequently, pre-tax margins in one segment of our business may be significantly affected by the performance of our other business segments. A discussion of segment operating results follows.

Table of Contents**Investment Banking**

Our Investment Banking segment is comprised of:

Financial Advisory. Includes advisory assignments with respect to mergers and acquisitions, divestitures, corporate defense activities, risk management, restructurings and spin-offs, and derivative transactions directly related to these client advisory assignments.

Underwriting. Includes public offerings and private placements of a wide range of securities, loans and other financial instruments, and derivative transactions directly related to these client underwriting activities.

The table below presents the operating results of our Investment Banking segment.

<i>in millions</i>	Three Months Ended June		Six Months Ended June	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Financial Advisory	\$ 637	\$ 471	\$ 994	\$ 935
Equity underwriting	378	225	804	597
Debt underwriting	433	245	919	612
Total Underwriting	811	470	1,723	1,209
Total net revenues	1,448	941	2,717	2,144
Operating expenses	981	713	1,904	1,593
Pre-tax earnings	\$ 467	\$ 228	\$ 813	\$ 551

The table below presents our financial advisory and underwriting transaction volumes.¹

<i>in billions</i>	Three Months Ended June		Six Months Ended June	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Announced mergers and acquisitions	\$ 184	\$ 86	\$ 358	\$ 211
Completed mergers and acquisitions	175	98	339	195
Equity and equity-related offerings ²	17	9	42	23
Debt offerings ³	59	54	132	117

1. Source: Thomson Reuters. Announced and completed mergers and acquisitions volumes are based on full credit to each of the advisors in a transaction. Equity and equity-related offerings and debt offerings are based on full credit for single book managers and equal credit for joint book managers. Transaction volumes may not be indicative of net revenues in a given period. In addition, transaction volumes for prior periods may vary from amounts previously reported due to the subsequent withdrawal or a change in the value of a transaction.
2. Includes Rule 144A and public common stock offerings, convertible offerings and rights offerings.
3. Includes non-convertible preferred stock, mortgage-backed securities, asset-backed securities and taxable municipal debt. Includes publicly registered and Rule 144A issues. Excludes leveraged loans.

Three Months Ended June 2011 versus June 2010. Net revenues in Investment Banking were \$1.45 billion, 54% higher than the second quarter of 2010.

Net revenues in Financial Advisory were \$637 million, 35% higher than the second quarter of 2010, reflecting an increase in industry-wide completed mergers and acquisitions. Net revenues in our Underwriting business were \$811 million, 73% higher than the second quarter of 2010. Net revenues in debt underwriting were significantly higher than the second quarter of 2010, primarily reflecting an increase in leveraged finance activity. Net revenues in equity underwriting were also significantly higher than the second quarter of 2010, reflecting an increase in industry-wide equity and equity-related offerings.

During the second quarter of 2011, Investment Banking continued to operate in an environment generally characterized by higher levels of industry-wide mergers and acquisitions activity as compared with 2010. Underwriting activity levels were higher compared with the second quarter of 2010, reflecting generally higher equity prices, continued low interest rates and increased refinancing activity. However, heightened uncertainty regarding the global economic outlook and concerns about European sovereign debt risk and the U.S. debt ceiling negatively impacted global equity markets during the second quarter of 2011, and continued to weigh on corporate activity. If these concerns and uncertainties were to continue, or if equity prices decline or interest rates increase, resulting in lower levels of client activity, net revenues in Investment Banking would likely be negatively impacted.

Our investment banking transaction backlog was unchanged compared with the end of the first quarter of 2011. Estimated net revenues from potential advisory transactions were higher compared with the end of the first quarter of 2011, reflecting an increase in client activity. This increase was offset by a decline in potential debt underwriting transactions, reflecting a decrease in client mandates to underwrite leveraged finance transactions, as well as lower estimated net revenues from potential equity underwriting transactions.

Table of Contents

Our investment banking transaction backlog represents an estimate of our future net revenues from investment banking transactions where we believe that future revenue realization is more likely than not. We believe changes in our investment banking transaction backlog may be a useful indicator of client activity levels which, over the long term, impact our net revenues. However, the timeframe for completion and corresponding revenue recognition of transactions in our backlog varies based on the nature of the assignment, as certain transactions may remain in our backlog for longer periods of time and others may enter and leave within the same reporting period. In addition, our transaction backlog is subject to certain limitations, such as assumptions about the likelihood that individual client transactions will occur in the future. Transactions may be cancelled or modified, and transactions not included in the estimate may also occur.

Operating expenses were \$981 million for the second quarter of 2011, 38% higher than the second quarter of 2010, due to increased compensation and benefits expenses, primarily resulting from higher net revenues, partially offset by the impact of the U.K. bank payroll tax during the second quarter of 2010. Pre-tax earnings were \$467 million in the second quarter of 2011, compared with \$228 million in the second quarter of 2010.

Six Months Ended June 2011 versus June 2010. Net revenues in Investment Banking were \$2.72 billion, 27% higher than the first half of 2010.

Net revenues in Financial Advisory were \$994 million, 6% higher than the first half of 2010. Net revenues in our Underwriting business were \$1.72 billion, 43% higher than the first half of 2010. Net revenues in debt underwriting were significantly higher than the first half of 2010, reflecting an increase in leveraged finance and investment-grade activity. Net revenues in equity underwriting were also significantly higher than the first half of 2010, reflecting higher net revenues from initial public offerings.

During the first half of 2011, Investment Banking operated in an environment generally characterized by higher levels of industry-wide mergers and acquisitions activity as compared with 2010. Underwriting activity levels were higher compared with the first half of 2010, reflecting generally higher equity prices, continued low interest rates, increased refinancing activity and a slight recovery in the commercial mortgage-backed securities market. However, heightened uncertainty regarding the global economic outlook and concerns about European sovereign debt risk and the U.S. debt ceiling

negatively impacted global equity markets, particularly in the second quarter of 2011, and continued to weigh on corporate activity. If these concerns and uncertainties were to continue, or if equity prices decline or interest rates increase, resulting in lower levels of client activity, net revenues in Investment Banking would likely be negatively impacted.

Our investment banking transaction backlog increased compared with the end of 2010. The increase compared with the end of 2010 was primarily due to an increase in estimated net revenues from potential advisory transactions, as well as an increase in potential equity underwriting transactions, which reflected an increase in client mandates to underwrite initial public offerings. Estimated net revenues from potential debt underwriting transactions also increased during the first half of 2011, reflecting an increase in client mandates to underwrite commercial mortgage-backed securities.

Operating expenses were \$1.90 billion for the first half of 2011, 20% higher than the first half of 2010, due to increased compensation and benefits expenses, primarily resulting from higher net revenues, partially offset by the impact of the U.K. bank payroll tax during the first half of 2010. Pre-tax earnings were \$813 million for the first half of 2011, 48% higher than the first half of 2010.

Institutional Client Services

Our Institutional Client Services segment is comprised of:

Fixed Income, Currency and Commodities Client Execution. Includes client execution activities related to making markets in interest rate products, credit products, mortgages, currencies and commodities.

We generate market-making revenues in these activities, in three ways:

In large, highly liquid markets (such as markets for U.S. Treasury bills, large capitalization S&P 500 stocks, or mortgage pass-through certificates), we execute a high volume of transactions for our clients for modest spreads and fees.

In less liquid markets (such as mid-cap corporate bonds, growth market currencies and certain non-agency mortgage-backed securities), we execute transactions for our clients for spreads and fees that are generally somewhat larger.

We also structure and execute transactions involving customized or tailor-made products that address our clients risk exposures, investment objectives or other complex needs (such as a jet fuel hedge for an airline).

Table of Contents

Given the focus on the mortgage market, our mortgage activities are further described below.

Our activities in mortgages include commercial mortgage-related securities, loans and derivatives, residential mortgage-related securities, loans and derivatives (including U.S. government agency-issued collateralized mortgage obligations, other prime, subprime and Alt-A securities and loans), and other asset-backed securities, loans and derivatives.

We buy, hold and sell long and short mortgage positions, primarily for market making for our clients. Our inventory therefore changes based on client demands and is generally held for short-term periods.

See Notes 18 and 27 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-Q for information about exposure to mortgage repurchase requests, mortgage rescissions and mortgage-related litigation.

Equities. Includes client execution activities related to making markets in equity products, as well as commissions and fees from executing and clearing institutional client transactions on major stock, options and futures exchanges worldwide. Equities also includes our securities services business, which provides financing, securities lending and other prime brokerage services to institutional clients, including hedge funds, mutual funds, pension funds and foundations, and generates revenues primarily in the form of interest rate spreads or fees.

The table below presents the operating results of our Institutional Client Services segment.

<i>in millions</i>	Three Months Ended June		Six Months Ended June	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Fixed Income, Currency and Commodities Client Execution	\$ 1,599	\$ 3,367	\$ 5,924	\$ 9,384
Equities client execution	623	312	1,602	1,599
Commissions and fees	861	940	1,832	1,784
Securities services	432	362	804	721
Total Equities	1,916	1,614	4,238	4,104
Total net revenues	3,515	4,981	10,162	13,488
Operating expenses	3,040	4,173	7,624	9,004
Pre-tax earnings	\$ 475	\$ 808	\$ 2,538	\$ 4,484

Three Months Ended June 2011 versus June 2010. Net revenues in Institutional Client Services were \$3.52 billion, 29% lower than the second quarter of 2010.

Net revenues in Fixed Income, Currency and Commodities Client Execution were \$1.60 billion, 53% lower than the second quarter of 2010, reflecting significantly lower results in mortgages, commodities and interest rate products. High levels of uncertainty and decreased levels of liquidity during the quarter contributed to difficult market-making

conditions, particularly in mortgages and commodities, and prompted us to operate at generally lower levels of risk. In addition, net revenues in currencies decreased slightly and net revenues in credit products were essentially unchanged compared with the second quarter of 2010. During the quarter, Fixed Income, Currency and Commodities Client Execution operated in a challenging environment reflecting broad market concerns and uncertainty, which led to slightly lower levels of activity. The effect of these macro concerns was more pronounced within our Asian and European franchises.

Net revenues in Equities were \$1.92 billion, 19% higher than the second quarter of 2010, primarily reflecting higher net revenues in equities client execution. This increase reflected improved results in derivatives compared with a difficult second quarter of 2010. However, results in the second quarter of 2011 for equities client execution more broadly reflected a challenging environment generally characterized by lower levels of activity and low volatility levels. In addition, commissions and fees were lower compared with the second quarter of 2010, reflecting lower client activity. Securities services net revenues were higher compared with the second quarter of 2010, reflecting the impact of higher average customer balances.

Institutional Client Services operated in an environment generally characterized by broad market concerns and uncertainties that emerged during 2010, including concerns about European sovereign debt risk and uncertainty over financial regulatory reform, that continued to have a negative impact on our net revenues during the second quarter of 2011. Our results during the quarter were also negatively impacted by concerns regarding the state of the global economy, including a further deterioration in economic data, increased sovereign risk in a number of smaller European member states along with the potential negative economic impact on financial institutions with credit exposure to those sovereigns, and the U.S. debt ceiling. In addition, volatility in market prices, price divergence between our cash positions and corresponding hedges, and decreased liquidity levels contributed to lower net revenues from managing client-originated market-making inventory in Fixed Income, Currency and Commodities Client

Table of Contents

Execution. In this environment, we reduced our market risk levels, and many of our clients reduced their risk appetite, resulting in slightly lower activity levels during the quarter. If these concerns and uncertainties were to continue, and market-making conditions were to remain challenging, net revenues in Fixed Income, Currency and Commodities Client Execution and Equities would likely be negatively impacted.

Operating expenses were \$3.04 billion for the second quarter of 2011, 27% lower than the second quarter of 2010, due to decreased compensation and benefits expenses and the impact of the U.K. bank payroll tax during the second quarter of 2010. Pre-tax earnings were \$475 million in the second quarter of 2011, 41% lower than the second quarter of 2010.

Six Months Ended June 2011 versus June 2010. Net revenues in Institutional Client Services were \$10.16 billion, 25% lower than the first half of 2010.

Net revenues in Fixed Income, Currency and Commodities Client Execution were \$5.92 billion, 37% lower than the first half of 2010. Although client activity levels improved during the first quarter of 2011, the environment became more challenging during the second quarter of 2011 reflecting broad market concerns and uncertainty, which led to slightly lower levels of activity. In addition, high levels of uncertainty and decreased levels of liquidity during the second quarter of 2011 contributed to difficult market-making conditions and prompted us to operate at generally lower levels of risk. As a result of these conditions, net revenues across the franchise were lower during the first half of 2011 compared with the first half of 2010.

Net revenues in Equities were \$4.24 billion, 3% higher compared with the first half of 2010, primarily reflecting higher net revenues in securities services. The increase in securities services compared with the first half of 2010 reflected the impact of higher average customer balances. Equities client execution net revenues were essentially unchanged and reflected improved results in derivatives compared with the first half of 2010, although results more broadly in equities client execution for the first half of 2011 reflected a challenging environment characterized by low levels of activity and low volatility levels. Commissions and fees increased slightly compared with the first half of 2010.

Institutional Client Services operated in an environment generally characterized by broad market concerns and uncertainties that emerged during 2010, including concerns about European sovereign debt risk and uncertainty over financial regulatory reform, along with additional concerns and uncertainties that emerged during the first quarter of 2011, including political unrest in the Middle East, the earthquake and tsunami in Japan and inflation in emerging markets. In addition, during the second quarter of 2011, a further deterioration in economic data, increased sovereign risk in a number of smaller European member states along with the potential negative economic impact on financial institutions with credit exposure to those sovereigns, and concerns regarding the U.S. debt ceiling negatively impacted our net revenues. If these concerns and uncertainties were to continue, and market-making conditions were to remain challenging, net revenues in Fixed Income, Currency and Commodities Client Execution and Equities would likely be negatively impacted.

Operating expenses were \$7.62 billion for the first half of 2011, 15% lower than the first half of 2010, due to decreased compensation and benefits expenses and the impact of the U.K. bank payroll tax during the first half of 2010. Pre-tax earnings were \$2.54 billion in the first half of 2011, 43% lower than the first half of 2010.

Investing & Lending

Investing & Lending includes our investing activities and the origination of loans to provide financing to clients. These investments and loans are typically longer-term in nature. We make investments, directly and indirectly through funds that we manage, in debt securities, loans, public and private equity securities, real estate, consolidated investment entities and power generation facilities.

The table below presents the operating results of our Investing & Lending segment.

<i>in millions</i>	Three Months Ended June		Six Months Ended June	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
ICBC	\$ (176)	\$ 905	\$ 140	\$ 683
Equity securities (excluding ICBC)	686	(44)	1,740	803
Debt securities and loans	200	422	1,224	1,552
Other ¹	334	503	645	718
Total net revenues	1,044	1,786	3,749	3,756
Operating expenses	547	934	1,778	1,842
Pre-tax earnings	\$ 497	\$ 852	\$ 1,971	\$ 1,914

1. Primarily includes net revenues related to our consolidated entities held for investment purposes.

Table of Contents

Three Months Ended June 2011 versus June 2010. Net revenues in Investing & Lending were \$1.04 billion for the second quarter of 2011. Results for the second quarter of 2011 included a loss of \$176 million from our investment in the ordinary shares of ICBC, net gains of \$686 million from other investments in equities and net revenues of \$200 million from debt securities and loans, primarily reflecting net interest income on loans, primarily mezzanine and senior corporate loans. The net gains from other investments in equities were primarily driven by private equity positions, partially offset by losses from public equity positions. In the second quarter of 2010, net revenues in Investing & Lending primarily reflected a net gain of \$905 million from our investment in the ordinary shares of ICBC, net losses of \$44 million from other investments in equities and net gains and net interest of \$422 million from debt securities and loans. If equity markets decline and credit spreads widen, net revenues in Investing & Lending would likely be negatively impacted.

Operating expenses were \$547 million for the second quarter of 2011, 41% lower than the second quarter of 2010, primarily due to decreased compensation and benefits expenses. Pre-tax earnings were \$497 million in the second quarter of 2011, 42% lower than the second quarter of 2010.

Six Months Ended June 2011 versus June 2010. Net revenues in Investing & Lending were \$3.75 billion for the first half of 2011. Net revenues in Investing & Lending included a gain of \$140 million from our investment in the ordinary shares of ICBC, net gains of \$1.74 billion from other investments in equities and net gains and net interest of \$1.22 billion from debt securities and loans, primarily mezzanine and senior corporate loans. The net gains from other investments in equities were primarily driven by gains from private equity positions. Net revenues from debt securities and loans reflected the impact of generally favorable credit markets, which provided favorable conditions for borrowers to refinance, primarily during the first quarter of 2011. In the first half of 2010, net revenues in Investing & Lending included a gain of \$683 million from our investment in the ordinary shares of ICBC, net gains of \$803 million from other investments in equities and net gains and net interest of \$1.55 billion from debt securities and loans. If equity markets decline and credit spreads widen, net revenues in Investing & Lending would likely be negatively impacted.

Operating expenses were \$1.78 billion for the first half of 2011, 3% lower than the first half of 2010, due to decreased compensation and benefits expenses, partially offset by higher expenses related to our consolidated entities held for investment purposes. Pre-tax earnings were \$1.97 billion in the first half of 2011, 3% higher than the first half of 2010.

Investment Management

Investment Management provides investment management services and offers investment products (primarily through separately managed accounts and commingled vehicles, such as mutual funds and private investment funds) across all major asset classes to a diverse set of institutional and individual clients. Investment Management also offers wealth advisory services, including portfolio management and financial counseling, and brokerage and other transaction services to high-net-worth individuals and families.

Assets under management typically generate fees as a percentage of net asset value, which vary by asset class and are affected by investment performance as well as asset inflows and redemptions. In certain circumstances, we are also entitled to receive incentive fees based on a percentage of a fund's return or when the return exceeds a specified benchmark or other performance targets. Incentive fees are recognized when all material contingencies are resolved.

The table below presents the operating results of our Investment Management segment.

Three Months**Six Months**

<i>in millions</i>	Ended June		Ended June	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Management and other fees	\$ 1,080	\$ 966	\$ 2,128	\$ 1,898
Incentive fees	63	33	137	59
Transaction revenues	131	134	282	271
Total net revenues	1,274	1,133	2,547	2,228
Operating expenses	1,056	954	2,123	1,903
Pre-tax earnings	\$ 218	\$ 179	\$ 424	\$ 325

Assets under management include only client assets where we earn a fee for managing assets on a discretionary basis. This includes assets in our mutual funds, hedge funds, private equity funds and separately managed accounts for institutional and individual investors. Assets under management do not include the self-directed assets of our clients, including brokerage accounts, or interest-bearing deposits held through our bank depository institution subsidiaries.

Table of Contents

The tables below present our assets under management by asset class and a summary of the changes in our assets under management.

<i>in billions</i>	June 30,		As of December 31,	
	2011	2010	2010	2009
Alternative investments ¹	\$ 148	\$ 146	\$ 148	\$ 146
Equity	148	125	144	146
Fixed income	352	326	340	315
Total non-money market assets	648	597	632	607
Money markets	196	205	208	264
Total assets under management	\$ 844	\$ 802	\$ 840	\$ 871

1. Primarily includes hedge funds, private equity, real estate, currencies, commodities and asset allocation strategies.

<i>in billions</i>	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 840	\$ 840	\$ 840	\$ 871
Net inflows/(outflows)				
Alternative investments	(3)	1	(3)	2
Equity	(2)	(9)	(2)	(11)
Fixed income	7	(2)	2	5
Total non-money market net inflows/(outflows)	2	(10)	(3)	(4)
Money markets	(5)	(14)	(12)	(59)
Total net inflows/(outflows)	(3)	(24)	(15)	(63)
Net market appreciation/(depreciation)	7	(14)	19	(6)
Balance, end of period	\$ 844	\$ 802	\$ 844	\$ 802

Three Months Ended June 2011 versus June 2010. Net revenues in Investment Management were \$1.27 billion, 12% higher than the second quarter of 2010. The increase in net revenues compared with the second quarter of 2010 was primarily due to an increase in management and other fees, reflecting both favorable changes in the mix of assets under management and higher average assets under management, as well as higher incentive fees. During the quarter,

assets under management increased \$4 billion to \$844 billion reflecting market appreciation and inflows in fixed income assets, partially offset by outflows in money market, alternative investment and equity assets.

During the second quarter of 2011, Investment Management operated in an environment generally characterized by a continuation of industry trends, including improved asset prices and a shift in investor assets away from money markets in favor of asset classes with potentially higher risk and returns. These trends have resulted in favorable changes in the mix of assets under management, as well as appreciation in the value of client assets. If asset prices decline or

investors change their mix of assets to lower risk asset classes or withdraw their assets, net revenues in Investment Management would likely be negatively impacted. In addition, continued uncertainty regarding the global economic outlook could result in downward pressure on assets under management.

Operating expenses were \$1.06 billion for the second quarter of 2011, 11% higher than the second quarter of 2010, due to increased compensation and benefits expenses, partially offset by the impact of the U.K. bank payroll tax during the second quarter of 2010. Pre-tax earnings were \$218 million in the second quarter of 2011, 22% higher than the second quarter of 2010.

Six Months Ended June 2011 versus June 2010. Net revenues in Investment Management were \$2.55 billion, 14% higher than the first half of 2010. The increase in net revenues compared with the first half of 2010 was primarily due to an increase in management and other fees, reflecting favorable changes in the mix of assets under management and higher average assets under management, as well as higher incentive fees. During the first half of 2011, assets under management increased \$4 billion to \$844 billion reflecting net market appreciation of \$19 billion, primarily in fixed income and equity assets, partially offset by net outflows of \$15 billion, primarily in money market assets.

The trends during the entire first half of 2011 were similar to those in the second quarter of 2011 noted above. In the future, if asset prices decline or investors change their mix of assets to lower risk asset classes or withdraw their assets, net revenues in Investment Management would likely be negatively impacted. In addition, continued uncertainty regarding the global economic outlook could result in downward pressure on assets under management.

Operating expenses were \$2.12 billion for the first half of 2011, 12% higher than the first half of 2010, due to increased compensation and benefits expenses, primarily resulting from higher net revenues, partially offset by the impact of the U.K. bank payroll tax during the first half of 2010. Pre-tax earnings were \$424 million for the first half of 2011, 30% higher than the first half of 2010.

Geographic Data

See Note 25 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-Q for a summary of our total net revenues and pre-tax earnings by geographic region.

Table of Contents

Regulatory Reform

The U.S. Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Dodd-Frank Act), enacted in July 2010, significantly restructures the financial regulatory regime under which we operate. The implications of the Dodd-Frank Act for our businesses will depend to a large extent on the provisions of required future rulemaking by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (Federal Reserve Board), the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC), the SEC, the U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) and other agencies, as well as the development of market practices and structures under the regime established by the legislation and the rules adopted pursuant to it. Additionally, we expect that major European and Asian authorities will adopt regulatory reforms consistent with some aspects of the Dodd-Frank Act. These reforms may also affect our businesses. However, we expect that the principal areas of impact for us will be:

the Dodd-Frank prohibition on proprietary trading and the limitation on the sponsorship of, and investment in, hedge funds and private equity funds by banking entities, including bank holding companies;

increased regulation of and restrictions on over-the-counter (OTC) derivatives markets and transactions; and

increased regulatory capital requirements.

In addition, the legislation creates a new systemic risk oversight body to oversee and coordinate the efforts of the primary U.S. financial regulatory agencies in establishing regulations to address financial stability concerns, including more stringent supervisory requirements and prudential standards applicable to systemically important financial institutions. Legal and regulatory changes under consideration in other jurisdictions could also have an impact on our activities. See **Business Regulation** in Part I, Item 1 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for more information.

We evaluated the prohibition on proprietary trading and determined that businesses that engage in bright line proprietary trading, as discussed in the Financial Stability Oversight Council's study on the Volcker Rule of the Dodd-Frank Act, are most likely to be prohibited under the legislation. As such, in 2010, we liquidated substantially all of the positions that had been held within Principal Strategies in our former Equities operating segment. In addition, during the first quarter of 2011, we commenced the liquidation of the positions that had been held by the global macro proprietary trading business in our former Fixed Income, Currency and Commodities operating segment. We believed the activities of these businesses were likely to be considered bright line proprietary trading and therefore not permissible under the Dodd-Frank Act. The full impact of the Dodd-Frank Act and other regulatory reforms on our businesses, our clients and the markets in which we operate will depend on the manner in which the relevant authorities develop and implement the required rules and the reaction of market participants to these regulatory developments over the next several years. We will continue to assess our business, risk management, and compliance practices to conform to developments in the regulatory environment. However, the remaining businesses within our Institutional Client Services segment perform market-making and securities services related activities, and we currently expect that their activities will be permissible under the Dodd-Frank Act.

Table of Contents

Balance Sheet and Funding Sources

Balance Sheet Management

One of our most important risk management disciplines is our ability to manage the size and composition of our balance sheet. While our asset base changes due to client activity, market fluctuations and business opportunities, the size and composition of our balance sheet reflect (i) our overall risk tolerance, (ii) our ability to access stable funding sources and (iii) the amount of equity capital we hold.

Although our balance sheet fluctuates on a day-to-day basis, our total assets and adjusted assets at quarterly and year-end dates are generally not materially different from those occurring within our reporting periods.

In order to ensure appropriate risk management, we seek to maintain a liquid balance sheet and have processes in place to dynamically manage our assets and liabilities which include:

quarterly planning;

business-specific limits;

monitoring of key metrics; and

scenario analyses.

Quarterly Planning. We prepare a quarterly balance sheet plan that combines our projected total assets and composition of assets with our expected funding sources and capital levels for the upcoming quarter. The objectives of this quarterly planning process are:

to develop our near-term balance sheet projections, taking into account the general state of the financial markets and expected client-driven and firm-driven activity levels;

to ensure that our projected assets are supported by an adequate level and tenor of funding and that our projected capital and liquidity metrics are within management guidelines; and

to allow business risk managers and managers from our independent control and support functions to objectively evaluate balance sheet limit requests from business managers in the context of the firm's overall balance sheet constraints. These constraints include the firm's liability profile and equity capital levels, maturities and plans for new debt and equity issuances, share repurchases, deposit trends and secured funding transactions.

To prepare our quarterly balance sheet plan, business risk managers and managers from our independent control and support functions meet with business managers to review current and prior period metrics and discuss expectations for the upcoming quarter. The specific metrics reviewed include asset and liability size and composition, aged inventory, limit utilization, risk and performance measures, and capital usage.

Our consolidated quarterly plan, including our balance sheet plans by business, funding and capital projections, and projected capital and liquidity metrics, is reviewed by the Finance Committee. See [Overview and Structure of Risk](#)

Management.

Business-Specific Limits. The Finance Committee sets asset and liability limits for each business and aged inventory limits for certain financial instruments as a disincentive to hold inventory over longer periods of time. These limits are set at levels which are close to actual operating levels in order to ensure prompt escalation and discussion among business managers and managers in our independent control and support functions on a routine basis. The Finance Committee reviews and approves balance sheet limits on a quarterly basis and may also approve changes in limits on an ad hoc basis in response to changing business needs or market conditions.

Monitoring of Key Metrics. We monitor key balance sheet metrics daily both by business and on a consolidated basis, including asset and liability size and composition, aged inventory, limit utilization, risk measures and capital usage. In our consolidated balance sheet, we allocate assets to businesses and review and analyze movements resulting from new business activity as well as market fluctuations.

Table of Contents

Scenario Analyses. We conduct scenario analyses to determine how we would manage the size and composition of our balance sheet and maintain appropriate funding, liquidity and capital positions in a variety of situations:

These scenarios cover short-term and long-term time horizons using various macro-economic and firm-specific assumptions. We use these analyses to assist us in developing longer-term funding plans, including the level of unsecured debt issuances, the size of our secured funding program and the amount and composition of our equity capital. We also consider any potential future constraints, such as limits on our ability to grow our asset base in the absence of appropriate funding.

Through our Internal Capital Adequacy Assessment Process (ICAAP) and our resolution and recovery planning, we further analyze how we would manage our balance sheet through the duration of a severe crisis and we develop plans for mitigating actions to access funding, generate liquidity, and/or redeploy equity capital, as appropriate.

Balance Sheet Allocation

In addition to preparing our condensed consolidated statement of financial condition in accordance with U.S. GAAP, we prepare a balance sheet that generally allocates assets to our businesses, which is a non-GAAP presentation and may not be comparable to similar non-GAAP presentations used by other companies. We believe that presenting our assets on this basis is meaningful because it is consistent with the way management views and manages risks associated with the firm's assets and better enables investors to assess the liquidity of the firm's assets. The table below presents a summary of this balance sheet allocation.

<i>in millions</i>	As of June 2011	December 2010
Excess liquidity (Global Core Excess)	\$ 165,843	\$ 174,776
Other cash	9,086	7,565
Excess liquidity and cash	174,929	182,341
Secured client financing	269,336	279,291
Inventory	270,853	260,406
Secured financing agreements	91,252	70,921
Receivables	43,992	32,396
Institutional Client Services	406,097	363,723
ICBC	8,001	7,589
Equity (excluding ICBC)	24,990	22,972
Debt	22,006	24,066
Receivables and other	4,079	3,291
Investing & Lending	59,076	57,918
Total inventory and related assets	465,173	421,641

Other assets	27,472	28,059
Total assets	\$ 936,910	\$ 911,332

The following is a description of the captions in the table above.

Excess Liquidity and Cash. We maintain substantial excess liquidity to meet a broad range of potential cash outflows and collateral needs in the event of a stressed environment. See Liquidity Risk Management below for details on the composition and sizing of our excess liquidity pool or Global Core Excess (GCE). In addition to our excess liquidity, we maintain other operating cash balances, primarily for use in specific currencies, entities, or jurisdictions where we do not have immediate access to parent company liquidity.

Secured Client Financing. We provide collateralized financing for client positions, including margin loans secured by client collateral, securities borrowed, and resale agreements primarily collateralized by government obligations. As a result of client activities, we are required to segregate cash and securities to satisfy regulatory requirements. Our secured client financing arrangements, which are generally short-term, are accounted for at fair value or at amounts that approximate fair value, and include daily margin requirements to mitigate counterparty credit risk.

Institutional Client Services. In Institutional Client Services, we maintain inventory positions to facilitate market-making in fixed income, equity, currency and commodity products. Additionally, as part of client market-making activities, we enter into resale or securities borrowing arrangements to obtain securities which we can use to cover transactions in which we or our clients have sold securities that have not yet been purchased. The receivables in Institutional Client Services primarily relate to securities transactions.

Investing & Lending. In Investing & Lending, we make investments and originate loans to provide financing to clients. These investments and loans are typically longer-term in nature. We make investments, directly and indirectly through funds that we manage, in debt securities, loans, public and private equity securities, real estate and other investments.

Other Assets. Other assets are generally less liquid, non-financial assets, including property, leasehold improvements and equipment, goodwill and identifiable intangible assets, income tax-related receivables, equity-method investments and miscellaneous receivables.

Table of Contents

The tables below present the reconciliation of this balance sheet allocation to our U.S. GAAP balance sheet. In the tables below, total assets for Institutional Client Services and Investing & Lending represent the inventory and related assets. These amounts differ from total assets by business segment disclosed in Note 25

to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-Q because total assets disclosed in Note 25 include allocations of our excess liquidity and cash, secured client financing and other assets.

<i>in millions</i>	As of June 2011					
	Excess Liquidity and Cash ¹	Secured Client Financing	Institutional Client Services	Investing & Lending	Other Assets	Total Assets
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 45,433	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 45,433
Cash and securities segregated for regulatory and other purposes		61,491				61,491
Securities purchased under agreements to resell and federal funds sold	64,395	73,984	23,607	299		162,285
Securities borrowed	21,087	86,740	67,645			175,472
Receivables from brokers, dealers and clearing organizations		3,028	13,755	2		16,785
Receivables from customers and counterparties		44,093	30,237	3,037		77,367
Financial instruments owned, at fair value	44,014		270,853	55,738		370,605
Other assets					27,472	27,472
Total assets	\$ 174,929	\$ 269,336	\$ 406,097	\$ 59,076	\$ 27,472	\$ 936,910

<i>in millions</i>	As of December 2010					
	Excess Liquidity and Cash ¹	Secured Client Financing	Institutional Client Services	Investing & Lending	Other Assets	Total Assets
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 39,788	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 39,788
Cash and securities segregated for regulatory and other purposes		53,731				53,731
	62,854	102,537	22,866	98		188,355

Securities purchased under agreements to resell and federal funds sold						
Securities borrowed	37,938	80,313	48,055			166,306
Receivables from brokers, dealers and clearing organizations		3,702	6,698	37		10,437
Receivables from customers and counterparties		39,008	25,698	2,997		67,703
Financial instruments owned, at fair value	41,761		260,406	54,786		356,953
Other assets					28,059	28,059
Total assets	\$ 182,341	\$ 279,291	\$ 363,723	\$ 57,918	\$ 28,059	\$ 911,332

1. Includes unencumbered cash, U.S. government and federal agency obligations (including highly liquid U.S. federal agency mortgage-backed obligations), and French, German, United Kingdom and Japanese government obligations.

Table of Contents**Less Liquid Inventory Composition**

We seek to maintain a liquid balance sheet comprised of assets that can be readily sold or funded on a secured basis. However, we do hold certain financial instruments that may be more difficult to sell, or fund on a secured basis, especially during times of market stress. We focus on funding these assets with liabilities that have longer-term contractual maturities to reduce the need to refinance in periods of market stress, and generally hold higher levels of total capital for these assets than for more liquid types of financial instruments. The table below presents our aggregate holdings in these categories of financial instruments.

<i>in millions</i>	As of	
	June 2011	December 2010
Mortgage and other asset-backed loans and securities	\$ 15,647	\$ 17,042
Bank loans and bridge loans ¹	18,927	18,039
Emerging market debt securities	4,961	3,931
High-yield and other debt obligations	12,146	11,553
Private equity investments and real estate fund investments ²	15,669	14,807
Emerging market equity securities	5,515	5,784
ICBC ordinary shares ³	8,001	7,589
Other restricted public equity securities	82	116
Other investments in funds ⁴	3,259	3,212

1. Includes funded commitments and inventory held in connection with our origination, investing and market-making activities.
2. Includes interests in funds that we manage. Such amounts exclude assets for which the firm does not bear economic exposure of \$2.43 billion and \$1.68 billion as of June 2011 and December 2010, respectively, including assets related to consolidated investment funds and consolidated VIEs.
3. Includes interests of \$4.98 billion and \$4.73 billion as of June 2011 and December 2010, respectively, held by investment funds managed by Goldman Sachs.
4. Includes interests in other investment funds that we manage.

See Notes 4 through 6 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-Q for further information about the financial instruments we hold.

Balance Sheet Analysis and Metrics

As of June 2011, total assets on our condensed consolidated statement of financial condition were \$936.91 billion, an increase of \$25.58 billion from December 2010. This increase is primarily due to (i) an increase in financial instruments owned, at fair value of \$13.65 billion, primarily due to increases in non-U.S. government obligations, and equities and convertible debentures, partially offset by a decrease in physical commodities, within Institutional Client Services and (ii) an increase in receivables from customers and counterparties of \$9.66 billion, primarily due to client-driven activity in Secured Client Financing and Institutional Client Services. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in collateralized agreements of \$16.90 billion, primarily due to a decrease in client-driven activity.

As of June 2011, total liabilities on our condensed consolidated statement of financial condition were \$864.55 billion, an increase of \$30.58 billion from December 2010. This increase is primarily due to (i) an increase in payables to customers and counterparties of \$16.11 billion primarily due to client-driven activity, (ii) an increase in unsecured borrowings of \$9.52 billion, primarily due to new issuances, partially offset by maturities, and (iii) an increase in financial instruments sold, but not yet purchased, at fair value of \$8.92 billion, primarily due to increases in U.S. government and federal agency obligations and equities and convertible debentures.

As of June 2011 and December 2010, our total securities sold under agreements to repurchase, accounted for as collateralized financings, were \$155.45 billion and \$162.35 billion, respectively, which were 5% lower and 2% higher, respectively, than the daily average amount of repurchase agreements over the respective quarters. As of June 2011, the decrease in our repurchase agreements relative to the daily average during the quarter was due to a decrease in client-driven and a decrease in firm financing activities at the end of the quarter. The level of our repurchase agreements fluctuates between and within periods, primarily due to providing clients with access to highly liquid collateral, such as U.S. government, federal agency and investment-grade sovereign obligations through collateralized financing activities.

Table of Contents

The table below presents information on our assets, shareholders' equity and leverage ratios.

<i>\$ in millions</i>	As of June 2011	December 2010
Total assets	\$ 936,910	\$ 911,332
Adjusted assets	639,809	588,927
Total shareholders' equity	72,356	77,356
Leverage ratio	12.9x	11.8x
Adjusted leverage ratio	8.8x	7.6x
Debt to equity ratio	2.4x	2.3x

Adjusted assets. Adjusted assets equals total assets less (i) low-risk collateralized assets generally associated with our secured client financing transactions, federal funds sold and excess liquidity and (ii) cash and securities we segregate for regulatory and other purposes. Adjusted assets is a non-GAAP measure and may not be comparable to similar non-GAAP measures used by other companies.

The table below presents the reconciliation of total assets to adjusted assets.

<i>in millions</i>	As of June 2011	December 2010
Total assets	\$ 936,910	\$ 911,332
Deduct:		
Securities borrowed	(175,472)	(166,306)
Securities purchased under agreements to resell and federal funds sold	(162,285)	(188,355)
Add:		
Financial instruments sold, but not yet purchased, at fair value	149,639	140,717
Less derivative liabilities	(47,492)	(54,730)
	102,147	85,987
Deduct:		
Cash and securities segregated for regulatory and other purposes	(61,491)	(53,731)
Adjusted assets	\$ 639,809	\$ 588,927

Leverage ratio. The leverage ratio equals total assets divided by total shareholders' equity and measures the proportion of equity and debt the firm is using to finance assets. This ratio is different from the Tier 1 leverage ratio included in 'Equity Capital' Consolidated Regulatory Capital Ratios' below, and further described in Note 20 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-Q.

Adjusted leverage ratio. The adjusted leverage ratio equals adjusted assets divided by total shareholders' equity. We believe that the adjusted leverage ratio is a more meaningful measure of our capital adequacy than the leverage ratio because it excludes certain low-risk collateralized assets that are generally supported with little or no capital. The adjusted leverage ratio is a non-GAAP measure and may not be comparable to similar non-GAAP measures used by other companies.

Our adjusted leverage ratio increased to 8.8x as of June 2011 from 7.6x as of December 2010 as our adjusted assets increased and our total shareholders' equity decreased, primarily reflecting the redemption of the firm's Series G Preferred Stock.

Debt to equity ratio. The debt to equity ratio equals unsecured long-term borrowings divided by total shareholders' equity.

Funding Sources

Our primary sources of funding are secured financings, unsecured long-term and short-term borrowings, and deposits. We seek to maintain broad and diversified funding sources globally.

We raise funding through a number of different products, including:

collateralized financings, such as repurchase agreements, securities loaned and other secured financings;

long-term unsecured debt through syndicated U.S. registered offerings, U.S. registered and 144A medium-term note programs, offshore medium-term note offerings and other debt offerings;

short-term unsecured debt through U.S. and non-U.S. commercial paper and promissory note issuances and other methods; and

demand and savings deposits through cash sweep programs and time deposits through internal and third-party broker networks.

We generally distribute our funding sources through our own sales force to a large, diverse creditor base in a variety of markets in the Americas, Europe and Asia. We believe that our relationships with our creditors are critical to our liquidity. Our creditors include banks, governments, securities lenders, pension funds, insurance companies, mutual funds and individuals. We have imposed various internal guidelines to monitor creditor concentration across our funding programs.

Table of Contents

Secured Funding. We fund a significant amount of our inventory on a secured basis. Secured funding is less sensitive to changes in our credit quality than unsecured funding due to the nature of the collateral we post to our lenders. However, because the terms or availability of secured funding, particularly short-dated funding, can deteriorate rapidly in a difficult environment, we generally do not rely on short-dated secured funding unless it is collateralized with highly liquid securities such as government obligations.

Substantially all of our other secured funding is executed for tenors of one month or greater. Additionally, we monitor counterparty concentration and hold a portion of our GCE for refinancing risk associated with our secured funding transactions. We seek longer terms for secured funding collateralized by lower-quality assets because these funding transactions may pose greater refinancing risk.

The weighted average maturity of our secured funding, excluding funding collateralized by highly liquid securities eligible for inclusion in our GCE, exceeded 100 days as of June 2011.

A majority of our secured funding for securities not eligible for inclusion in the GCE is executed through term repurchase agreements and securities lending contracts. We also raise financing through other types of collateralized financings, such as secured loans and notes.

Unsecured Long-Term Borrowings. We issue unsecured long-term borrowings as a source of funding to meet our long-term financing requirements and to finance a portion of our GCE. We issue in different tenors, currencies, and products to maximize the diversification of our investor base. The table below presents our quarterly unsecured long-term borrowings maturity profile through the second quarter of 2017 as of June 2011.

Table of Contents

The weighted average maturity of our unsecured long-term borrowings as of June 2011 was approximately eight years. To mitigate refinancing risk, we seek to limit the principal amount of debt maturing on any one day or during any week or year. We enter into interest rate swaps to convert a substantial portion of our long-term borrowings into floating-rate obligations in order to minimize our exposure to interest rates. See Note 16 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-Q for further information about our unsecured long-term borrowings.

Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program (TLGP). As of June 2011, we had \$17.14 billion of senior unsecured short-term debt outstanding guaranteed by the FDIC under the TLGP, all of which will mature on or prior to June 15, 2012. We have not issued long-term debt under the TLGP since March 2009 and the program has expired for new issuances.

Unsecured Short-Term Borrowings. A significant portion of our short-term borrowings were originally long-term debt that is scheduled to mature within one year of the reporting date. We use short-term borrowings to finance liquid assets and for other cash management purposes. We primarily issue commercial paper, promissory notes, and other hybrid instruments. We prefer issuing promissory notes, in which we do not make a market, over commercial paper, which we may repurchase prior to maturity through the ordinary course of business as a market maker.

As of June 2011, our unsecured short-term borrowings, including the current portion of unsecured long-term borrowings, were \$56.55 billion. See Note 15 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-Q for further information about our unsecured short-term borrowings.

Deposits. As of June 2011, our bank depository institution subsidiaries had \$39.00 billion in customer deposits, including \$8.21 billion of certificates of deposit and other time deposits with a weighted average maturity of three years, and \$30.79 billion of other deposits, substantially all of which were from cash sweep programs. We utilize deposits to finance lending activities in our bank subsidiaries and to support potential outflows, such as lending commitments.

Goldman Sachs Bank USA (GS Bank USA) has access to funding through the Federal Reserve Bank discount window. While we do not rely on this funding in our liquidity planning and stress testing, we maintain policies and procedures necessary to access this funding and test discount window borrowing procedures.

Equity Capital

The level and composition of our equity capital are determined by multiple factors including our consolidated regulatory capital requirements and ICAAP, and may also be influenced by other factors such as rating agency guidelines, subsidiary capital requirements, the business environment, conditions in the financial markets and assessments of potential future losses due to adverse changes in our business and market environments. In addition, we maintain a contingency capital plan which provides a framework for analyzing and responding to an actual or perceived capital shortfall.

Our consolidated regulatory capital requirements are determined by the Federal Reserve Board, as described below. Our ICAAP incorporates an internal risk-based capital assessment designed to identify and measure material risks associated with our business activities, including market risk, credit risk and operational risk, in a manner that is closely aligned with our risk management practices. Our internal risk-based capital assessment is supplemented with the results of stress tests.

As of June 2011, our total shareholders' equity was \$72.36 billion (consisting of common shareholders' equity of \$69.26 billion and preferred stock of \$3.10 billion). As of December 2010, our total shareholders' equity was \$77.36 billion (consisting of common shareholders' equity of \$70.40 billion and preferred stock of \$6.96 billion). In addition, our \$5.00 billion of junior subordinated debt issued to trusts qualifies as equity capital for regulatory and certain rating agency purposes. See Consolidated Regulatory Capital Ratios below for information regarding the impact of regulatory developments.

Table of Contents**Consolidated Regulatory Capital**

The Federal Reserve Board is the primary regulator of Group Inc., a bank holding company and a financial holding company under the U.S. Bank Holding Company Act of 1956. As a bank holding company, we are subject to consolidated regulatory capital requirements that are computed in accordance with the Federal Reserve Board's capital adequacy regulations currently applicable to bank holding companies (Basel 1). These capital requirements, which are based on the Capital Accord of the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (Basel Committee), are expressed as capital ratios that compare measures of capital to risk-weighted assets (RWAs). See Note 20 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-Q for additional information regarding the firm's RWAs. The firm's capital levels are also subject to qualitative judgments by its regulators about components, risk weightings and other factors.

Federal Reserve Board regulations require bank holding companies to maintain a minimum Tier 1 capital ratio of 4% and a minimum total capital ratio of 8%. The required minimum Tier 1 capital ratio and total capital ratio in order to be considered a well-capitalized bank holding company under the Federal Reserve Board guidelines are 6% and 10%, respectively. Bank holding companies may be expected to maintain ratios well above the minimum levels, depending on their particular condition, risk profile and growth plans. The minimum Tier 1 leverage ratio is 3% for bank holding companies that have received the highest supervisory rating under Federal Reserve Board guidelines or that have implemented the Federal Reserve Board's risk-based capital measure for market risk. Other bank holding companies must have a minimum Tier 1 leverage ratio of 4%.

Consolidated Regulatory Capital Ratios

The table below presents information about our regulatory capital ratios.

<i>\$ in millions</i>	June 2011	As of December 2010
Common shareholders' equity	\$ 69,256	\$ 70,399
Less: Goodwill	(3,323)	(3,495)
Less: Disallowable intangible assets	(1,864)	(2,027)
Less: Other deductions ¹	(5,804)	(5,601)
Tier 1 Common Capital	58,265	59,276
Preferred stock	3,100	6,957
Junior subordinated debt issued to trusts	5,000	5,000
Tier 1 Capital	66,365	71,233
Qualifying subordinated debt ²	14,046	13,880
Less: Other deductions ¹	(235)	(220)
Tier 2 Capital	13,811	13,660
Total Capital	\$ 80,176	\$ 84,893
Risk-Weighted Assets ³	\$ 451,010	\$ 444,290
Tier 1 Capital Ratio	14.7%	16.0%

Total Capital Ratio	17.8%	19.1%
Tier 1 Leverage Ratio ³	7.3%	8.0%
Tier 1 Common Ratio ⁴	12.9%	13.3%

1. Principally includes equity investments in non-financial companies and the cumulative change in the fair value of our unsecured borrowings attributable to the impact of changes in our own credit spreads, disallowed deferred tax assets, and investments in certain nonconsolidated entities.
2. Substantially all of our subordinated debt qualifies as Tier 2 capital for Basel 1 purposes.
3. See Note 20 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-Q for additional information about the firm's RWAs and Tier 1 leverage ratio.
4. The Tier 1 common ratio equals Tier 1 common capital divided by RWAs. We believe that the Tier 1 common ratio is meaningful because it is one of the measures that we and investors use to assess capital adequacy and, while not currently a formal regulatory capital ratio, this measure is of increasing importance to regulators. The Tier 1 common ratio is a non-GAAP measure and may not be comparable to similar non-GAAP measures used by other companies.

Our Tier 1 capital ratio decreased to 14.7% as of June 2011 from 16.0% as of December 2010. Our Tier 1 leverage ratio decreased to 7.3% as of June 2011 from 8.0% as of December 2010. Substantially all of the decrease in our Tier 1 capital ratio and Tier 1 leverage ratio reflected the impact of the redemption of the firm's Series G Preferred Stock.

Table of Contents

We are currently working to implement the requirements set out in the Federal Reserve Board's Capital Adequacy Guidelines for Bank Holding Companies: Internal Ratings-Based and Advanced Measurement Approaches, which are based on the advanced approaches under the Revised Framework for the International Convergence of Capital Measurement and Capital Standards issued by the Basel Committee as applicable to us as a bank holding company (Basel 2). U.S. banking regulators have incorporated the Basel 2 framework into the existing risk-based capital requirements by requiring that internationally active banking organizations, such as us, adopt Basel 2 following the successful completion of a parallel run. As required by the Dodd-Frank Act, U.S. banking regulators have adopted a rule which requires large banking organizations, upon adoption of Basel 2, to continue to calculate risk-based capital ratios under both Basel 1 and Basel 2. For each of the Tier 1 and Total capital ratios, the lower of the ratios calculated will be used to determine whether the bank meets its minimum risk-based capital requirements.

In addition, the Basel Committee has undertaken a program of substantial revisions to its capital guidelines. In particular, the changes in the Basel 2.5 guidelines will result in increased capital requirements for market risk; additionally, the Basel 3 guidelines issued by the Basel Committee in December 2010 revise the definition of Tier 1 capital, introduce Tier 1 common equity as a regulatory metric, set new minimum capital ratios (including a new capital conservation buffer, which must be composed exclusively of Tier 1 common equity and will be in addition to the other capital ratios), introduce a Tier 1 leverage ratio within international guidelines for the first time, and make substantial revisions to the computation of RWAs for credit exposures. Implementation of the new requirements is expected to take place over the next several years. Although the U.S. federal banking agencies have now issued proposed rules that are intended to implement certain aspects of the Basel 2.5 guidelines, they have not yet addressed all aspects of those guidelines or the Basel 3 changes. In addition, both the Basel Committee and U.S. banking regulators implementing the Dodd-Frank Act have indicated that they will impose more stringent capital standards on systemically important financial institutions. The Basel Committee has proposed a methodology to assess the global systemic importance of a bank and the range of loss absorbing capital that a bank that is deemed systemically important should maintain. Because this proposal has not yet been adopted by the Basel Committee, the assessment criteria have not yet been finalized;

nevertheless, it is probable that they will apply to us. Therefore, the regulations ultimately applicable to us may be substantially different from those that have been published to date.

The Dodd-Frank Act will subject us at a firmwide level to the same leverage and risk-based capital requirements that apply to depository institutions and directs banking regulators to impose additional capital requirements as disclosed above. The Federal Reserve Board will be required to begin implementing the new leverage and risk-based capital regulation by January 2012. As a consequence of these changes, Tier 1 capital treatment for our junior subordinated debt issued to trusts will be phased out over a three-year period beginning on January 1, 2013. The interaction between the Dodd-Frank Act and the Basel Committee's proposed changes adds further uncertainty to our future capital requirements.

A number of other governmental entities and regulators, including the U.S. Treasury, the European Union and the Financial Services Authority in the United Kingdom, have also proposed or announced changes which will result in increased capital requirements for financial institutions.

As a consequence of these developments, we expect minimum capital ratios required to be maintained under Federal Reserve Board regulations will be increased and changes in the prescribed calculation methodology are expected to result in higher RWAs and lower capital ratios than those currently computed.

See Note 20 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-Q for additional information about our regulatory capital ratios and the related regulatory requirements.

Internal Capital Adequacy Assessment Process

We perform an ICAAP with the objective of ensuring that the firm is appropriately capitalized relative to the risks in our business.

As part of our ICAAP, we perform an internal risk-based capital assessment. This assessment incorporates market risk, credit risk and operational risk. Market risk is calculated by using Value-at-Risk (VaR) calculations supplemented by risk-based add-ons which include risks related to rare events (tail risks). Credit risk utilizes assumptions about our counterparties' probability of default, the size of our losses in the event of a default and the maturity of our counterparties' contractual obligations to us. Operational risk is calculated based on scenarios incorporating multiple types of operational failures. Backtesting is used to gauge the effectiveness of models at capturing and measuring relevant risks.

Table of Contents

We evaluate capital adequacy based on the result of our internal risk-based capital assessment, supplemented with the results of stress tests which measure the firm's performance under various market conditions. Our goal is to hold sufficient capital, under our internal risk-based capital framework, to ensure we remain adequately capitalized after experiencing a severe stress event. Our assessment of capital adequacy is viewed in tandem with our assessment of liquidity adequacy and integrated into the overall risk management structure, governance and policy framework of the firm.

We attribute capital usage to each of our businesses based upon our internal risk-based capital and regulatory frameworks and manage the levels of usage based upon the balance sheet and risk limits established.

Rating Agency Guidelines

The credit rating agencies assign credit ratings to the obligations of Group Inc., which directly issues or guarantees substantially all of the firm's senior unsecured obligations. GS Bank USA has also been assigned long-term issuer ratings as well as ratings on its long-term and short-term bank deposits. In addition, credit rating agencies have assigned ratings to debt obligations of certain other subsidiaries of Group Inc.

The level and composition of our equity capital are among the many factors considered in determining our credit ratings. Each agency has its own definition of eligible capital and methodology for evaluating capital adequacy, and assessments are generally based on a combination of factors rather than a single calculation. See [Liquidity Risk Management](#) [Credit Ratings](#) for further information about our credit ratings.

Subsidiary Capital Requirements

Many of our subsidiaries, including GS Bank USA and our broker-dealer subsidiaries, are subject to separate regulation and capital requirements in jurisdictions throughout the world. For purposes of assessing the adequacy of its capital, GS Bank USA has established an ICAAP which is similar to that used by Group Inc. GS Bank USA's capital levels and prompt corrective action classification are subject to qualitative judgments by its regulators about components, risk weightings and other factors.

We expect that the capital requirements of several of our subsidiaries will be impacted in the future by the various developments arising from the Basel Committee, the Dodd-Frank Act, and other governmental entities and regulators.

See Note 20 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-Q for information about GS Bank USA's capital ratios under Basel 1 as implemented by the Federal Reserve Board, and for further information about the capital requirements of our other regulated subsidiaries and the potential impact of regulatory reform.

Subsidiaries not subject to separate regulatory capital requirements may hold capital to satisfy local tax guidelines, rating agency requirements (for entities with assigned credit ratings) or internal policies, including policies concerning the minimum amount of capital a subsidiary should hold based on its underlying level of risk. In certain instances, Group Inc. may be limited in its ability to access capital held at certain subsidiaries as a result of regulatory, tax or other constraints. As of June 2011 and December 2010, Group Inc.'s equity investment in subsidiaries was \$70.96 billion and \$71.30 billion, respectively, compared with its total shareholders' equity of \$72.36 billion and \$77.36 billion, respectively.

Group Inc. has guaranteed the payment obligations of GS&Co., GS Bank USA, Goldman Sachs Bank (Europe) PLC and GSEC subject to certain exceptions. In November 2008, we contributed subsidiaries into GS Bank USA, and Group Inc. agreed to guarantee certain losses, including credit-related losses, relating to assets held by the contributed entities. In connection with this guarantee, Group Inc. also agreed to pledge to GS Bank USA certain collateral, including interests in subsidiaries and other illiquid assets.

Our capital invested in non-U.S. subsidiaries is generally exposed to foreign exchange risk, substantially all of which is managed through a combination of derivatives and non-U.S. denominated debt.

Contingency Capital Plan

Our contingency capital plan provides a framework for analyzing and responding to a perceived or actual capital deficiency, including, but not limited to, identification of drivers of a capital deficiency, as well as mitigants and potential actions. It outlines the appropriate communication procedures to follow during a crisis period, including internal dissemination of information as well as ensuring timely communication with external stakeholders.

Table of Contents**Equity Capital Management**

Our objective is to maintain a sufficient level and optimal composition of equity capital. We principally manage our capital through issuances and repurchases of our common stock. We may also, from time to time, issue or repurchase our preferred stock, junior subordinated debt issued to trusts and other subordinated debt or other forms of capital as business conditions warrant and subject to any regulatory approvals. We manage our capital requirements principally by setting limits on balance sheet assets and/or limits on risk, in each case both at the consolidated and business levels. We attribute capital usage to each of our businesses based upon our internal risk-based capital and regulatory frameworks and manage the levels of usage based upon the balance sheet and risk limits established.

Preferred Stock. In March 2011, we provided notice to Berkshire Hathaway that we would redeem in full the 50,000 shares of our Series G Preferred Stock held by Berkshire Hathaway for the stated redemption price of \$5.50 billion (\$110,000 per share), plus accrued and unpaid dividends. In connection with this notice, we recognized a preferred dividend of \$1.64 billion (calculated as the difference between the carrying value and redemption value of the preferred stock), which was recorded as a reduction to our first quarter earnings applicable to common shareholders and common shareholders' equity, and reduced our earnings per common share and book value per common share by \$2.82 and \$3.06, respectively, in the first quarter of 2011. The redemption also resulted in the acceleration of \$24 million of preferred dividends related to the period from April 1, 2011 to the redemption date, which was included in our results during the three months ended March 2011. The Series G Preferred Stock was redeemed on April 18, 2011. Berkshire Hathaway continues to hold a five-year warrant, issued in October 2008, to purchase up to 43.5 million shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$115.00 per share.

Share Repurchase Program. We seek to use our share repurchase program to help maintain the appropriate level of common equity and to substantially offset increases in share count over time resulting from employee share-based compensation. The repurchase program is effected primarily through regular open-market purchases, the amounts and timing of which are determined primarily by our current and projected capital positions (i.e., comparisons of our desired level and composition of capital to our actual level and composition of capital) and the issuance of shares resulting from employee share-based compensation, but which may also be influenced by general market conditions and the prevailing price and trading volumes of our common stock.

On July 18, 2011, the Board of Directors of Group Inc. (Board) authorized the repurchase of an additional 75.0 million shares of common stock pursuant to the firm's existing share repurchase program. As of July 18, 2011, under the share repurchase program approved by the Board, we can repurchase up to 90.8 million additional shares of common stock, including the newly authorized amount; however, any such repurchases are subject to the approval of the Federal Reserve Board. See "Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds" in Part II, Item 2 and Note 19 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-Q for additional information on our repurchase program.

See Notes 16 and 19 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-Q for further information about our preferred stock, junior subordinated debt issued to trusts and other subordinated debt.

Other Capital Metrics

The table below presents information on our shareholders' equity and book value per common share.

	As of	
	June 2011	December 2010
<i>\$ in millions, except per share amounts</i>		

Total shareholders' equity	\$ 72,356	\$ 77,356
Common shareholders' equity	69,256	70,399
Tangible common shareholders' equity	64,069	64,877
Book value per common share	131.44	128.72
Tangible book value per common share	121.60	118.63

Table of Contents

Tangible common shareholders equity. Tangible common shareholders equity equals total shareholders equity less preferred stock, goodwill and identifiable intangible assets. Tangible book value per common share is computed by dividing tangible common shareholders equity by the number of common shares outstanding, including RSUs granted to employees with no future service requirements. We believe that tangible common shareholders equity and tangible book value per common share are meaningful because they are measures that we and investors use to assess capital adequacy. Tangible common shareholders equity and tangible book value per common share are non-GAAP measures and may not be comparable to similar non-GAAP measures used by other companies.

The table below presents the reconciliation of total shareholders equity to tangible common shareholders equity.

<i>in millions</i>	June 2011	As of December 2010
Total shareholders equity	\$ 72,356	\$ 77,356
Deduct: Preferred stock	(3,100)	(6,957)
Common shareholders equity	69,256	70,399
Deduct: Goodwill and identifiable intangible assets	(5,187)	(5,522)
Tangible common shareholders equity	\$ 64,069	\$ 64,877

Book value and tangible book value per common share. Book value and tangible book value per common share are based on common shares outstanding, including RSUs granted to employees with no future service requirements, of 526.9 million and 546.9 million as of June 2011 and December 2010, respectively.

Off-Balance-Sheet Arrangements and Contractual Obligations

Off-Balance-Sheet Arrangements

We have various types of off-balance-sheet arrangements that we enter into in the ordinary course of business. Our involvement in these arrangements can take many different forms, including:

purchasing or retaining residual and other interests in special purpose entities such as mortgage-backed and other asset-backed securitization vehicles;

holding senior and subordinated debt, interests in limited and general partnerships, and preferred and common stock in other nonconsolidated vehicles;

entering into interest rate, foreign currency, equity, commodity and credit derivatives, including total return swaps;

entering into operating leases; and

providing guarantees, indemnifications, loan commitments, letters of credit and representations and warranties.

We enter into these arrangements for a variety of business purposes, including securitizations. The securitization vehicles that purchase mortgages, corporate bonds, and other types of financial assets are critical to the functioning of several significant investor markets, including the mortgage-backed and other asset-backed securities markets, since they offer investors access to specific cash flows and risks created through the securitization process.

We also enter into these arrangements to underwrite client securitization transactions; provide secondary market liquidity; make investments in performing and nonperforming debt, equity, real estate and other assets; provide investors with credit-linked and asset-repackaged notes; and receive or provide letters of credit to satisfy margin requirements and to facilitate the clearance and settlement process.

Table of Contents

Our financial interests in, and derivative transactions with, such nonconsolidated entities are accounted for at fair value, in the same manner as our other financial instruments, except in cases where we apply the equity method of accounting.

When we transfer a security that has very little, if any, default risk under an agreement to repurchase the security where the maturity date of the repurchase agreement matches the maturity date of the underlying security (such that we effectively no longer have a repurchase obligation) and we have relinquished

control over the underlying security, we record such transactions as sales. These transactions are referred to as repos to maturity. We had no such transactions outstanding as of June 2011 or December 2010.

The table below presents where a discussion of our various off-balance-sheet arrangements may be found in Part I, Items 1 and 2 of this Form 10-Q. In addition, see Note 3 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-Q for a discussion of our consolidation policies.

Type of Off-Balance-Sheet Arrangement	Disclosure in Form 10-Q
Variable interests and other obligations, including contingent obligations, arising from variable interests in nonconsolidated VIEs	See Note 11 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-Q.
Leases, letters of credit, and lending and other commitments	See below and Note 18 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-Q.
Guarantees	See below and Note 18 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-Q.
Derivatives	See Notes 4, 5, 7 and 18 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-Q.

Table of Contents**Contractual Obligations**

We have certain contractual obligations which require us to make future cash payments. These contractual obligations include our unsecured long-term borrowings, secured long-term financings, time deposits, contractual interest payments and insurance agreements, all of which are included in our condensed consolidated statement of financial condition. Our obligations to make future cash payments also

include certain off-balance-sheet contractual obligations such as purchase obligations, minimum rental payments under noncancelable leases and commitments and guarantees.

The table below presents our contractual obligations, commitments and guarantees as of June 2011.

<i>in millions</i>	Remainder of 2011	2012-2013	2014-2015	2016- Thereafter	Total
Amounts related to on-balance-sheet obligations					
Time deposits ¹	\$	\$ 2,316	\$ 1,300	\$ 1,455	\$ 5,071
Secured long-term financings ²		4,066	1,499	2,446	8,011
Unsecured long-term borrowings ³		34,655	38,159	102,396	175,210
Contractual interest payments ⁴	3,233	13,509	10,456	35,251	62,449
Insurance liabilities ⁵	461	1,653	1,539	16,518	20,171
Subordinated liabilities issued by consolidated VIEs		49	117	1,210	1,376
Amounts related to off-balance-sheet arrangements					
Commitments to extend credit	5,719	26,571	16,786	15,210	64,286
Contingent and forward starting resale and securities borrowing agreements	71,177				71,177
Forward starting repurchase and securities lending agreements	19,180				19,180
Underwriting commitments	188				188
Letters of credit	1,010	515	142	1	1,668
Investment commitments	2,339	6,698	326	923	10,286
Minimum rental payments	251	921	765	1,770	3,707
Purchase obligations	375	124	60	25	584
Derivative guarantees	282,572	397,688	72,796	75,698	828,754
Securities lending indemnifications	32,604				32,604
Other financial guarantees	249	1,251	490	1,188	3,178

1. Excludes \$3.14 billion of time deposits maturing within one year.

2. The aggregate contractual principal amount of secured long-term financings for which the fair value option was elected, primarily consisting of transfers of financial assets accounted for as financings rather than sales and certain other nonrecourse financings, exceeded their related fair value by \$294 million.
3. Includes an increase of \$6.23 billion to the carrying amount of certain of our unsecured long-term borrowings related to fair value hedges. In addition, the aggregate contractual principal amount of unsecured long-term borrowings (principal and non-principal protected) for which the fair value option was elected exceeded the related fair value by \$538 million.
4. Represents estimated future interest payments related to unsecured long-term borrowings, secured long-term financings and time deposits based on applicable interest rates as of June 2011. Includes stated coupons, if any, on structured notes.
5. Represents estimated undiscounted payments related to future benefits and unpaid claims arising from policies associated with our insurance activities, excluding separate accounts and estimated recoveries under reinsurance contracts.

Table of Contents

In the table above:

Obligations maturing within one year of our financial statement date or redeemable within one year of our financial statement date at the option of the holder are excluded and are treated as short-term obligations.

Obligations that are repayable prior to maturity at the option of Goldman Sachs are reflected at their contractual maturity dates and obligations that are redeemable prior to maturity at the option of the holders are reflected at the dates such options become exercisable.

Amounts included in the table do not necessarily reflect the actual future cash flow requirements for these arrangements because commitments and guarantees represent notional amounts and may expire unused or be reduced or cancelled at the counterparty's request.

Due to the uncertainty of the timing and amounts that will ultimately be paid, our liability for unrecognized tax benefits has been excluded. See Note 24 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-Q for further information about our unrecognized tax benefits.

See Notes 15 and 18 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-Q for further information about our short-term borrowings, and commitments and guarantees.

As of June 2011, our unsecured long-term borrowings were \$175.21 billion, with maturities extending to 2060, and consisted principally of senior borrowings. See Note 16 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-Q for further information about our unsecured long-term borrowings.

As of June 2011, our future minimum rental payments net of minimum sublease rentals under noncancelable leases were \$3.71 billion. These lease commitments, principally for office space, expire on various dates through 2069. Certain agreements are subject to periodic escalation provisions for increases in real estate taxes and other charges. See Note 18 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-Q for further information about our leases.

Our occupancy expenses include costs associated with office space held in excess of our current requirements. This excess space, the cost of which is charged to earnings as incurred, is being held for potential growth or to replace currently occupied space that we may exit in the future. We regularly evaluate our current and future space capacity in relation to current and projected staffing levels. During the three and six months ended June 2011, total occupancy expenses for space held in excess of our current requirements were \$29 million and \$58 million, respectively, which includes costs related to the transition to our new headquarters in New York City. In addition, during the six months ended June 2011, we did not incur any exit costs related to our office space. We may incur exit costs in the future to the extent we (i) reduce our space capacity or (ii) commit to, or occupy, new properties in the locations in which we operate and, consequently, dispose of existing space that had been held for potential growth. These exit costs may be material to our results of operations in a given period.

Overview and Structure of Risk Management

Overview

We believe that effective risk management is of primary importance to the success of the firm. Accordingly, we have comprehensive risk management processes through which we monitor, evaluate and manage the risks we assume in conducting our activities. These include market, credit, liquidity, operational, legal, regulatory and reputational risk

exposures. Our risk management framework is built around three core components: governance, processes and people.

Governance. Risk management governance starts with our Board, which plays an important role in reviewing and approving risk management policies and practices, both directly and through its Risk Committee, which consists of all of our independent directors. The Board also receives periodic updates on firmwide risks from our independent control and support functions. Next, at the most senior levels of the firm, our leaders are experienced risk managers, with a sophisticated and detailed understanding of the risks we take. Our senior managers lead and participate in risk-oriented committees, as do the leaders of our independent control and support functions including those in internal audit, compliance, controllers, credit risk management, human capital management, legal, market risk management, operations, operational risk management, tax, technology and treasury.

Table of Contents

The firm's governance structure provides the protocol and responsibility for decision-making on risk management issues and ensures implementation of those decisions. We make extensive use of risk-related committees that meet regularly and serve as an important means to facilitate and foster ongoing discussions to identify, manage and mitigate risks.

We maintain strong communication about risk and we have a culture of collaboration in decision-making among the revenue-producing units, independent control and support functions, committees and senior management. While we believe that the first line of defense in managing risk rests with the managers in our revenue-producing units, we dedicate extensive resources to independent control and support functions in order to ensure a strong oversight structure and an appropriate segregation of duties.

Processes. We maintain various processes and procedures that are critical components of our risk management. First and foremost is our daily discipline of marking substantially all of the firm's inventory to current market levels. Goldman Sachs carries its inventory at fair value, with changes in valuation reflected immediately in our risk management systems and in net revenues. We do so because we believe this discipline is one of the most effective tools for assessing and managing risk and that it provides transparent and realistic insight into our financial exposures.

We also apply a rigorous framework of limits to control risk across multiple transactions, products, businesses and markets. This includes setting credit and market risk limits at a variety of levels and monitoring these limits on a daily basis. Limits are typically set at levels that will be periodically exceeded, rather than at levels which reflect our maximum risk appetite. This fosters an ongoing dialogue on risk among revenue-producing units, independent control and support functions, committees and senior management, as well as rapid escalation of risk-related matters. See [Market Risk Management](#) and [Credit Risk Management](#) for further information on our risk limits.

Active management of our positions is another important process. Proactive mitigation of our market and credit exposures minimizes the risk that we will be required to take outsized actions during periods of stress.

We also focus on the rigor and effectiveness of the firm's risk systems. The goal of our risk management technology is to get the right information to the right people at the right time, which requires systems that are comprehensive, reliable and timely. We devote significant time and resources to our risk management technology to ensure that it consistently provides us with complete, accurate and timely information.

People. Even the best technology serves only as a tool for helping to make informed decisions in real time about the risks we are taking. Ultimately, effective risk management requires our people to interpret our risk data on an ongoing and timely basis and adjust risk positions accordingly. In both our revenue-producing units and our independent control and support functions, the experience of our professionals, and their understanding of the nuances and limitations of each risk measure, guide the firm in assessing exposures and maintaining them within prudent levels.

Structure

Ultimate oversight of risk is the responsibility of the firm's Board. The Board oversees risk both directly and through its Risk Committee. Within the firm, a series of committees with specific risk management mandates have oversight or decision-making responsibilities for risk management activities. Committee membership generally consists of senior managers from both our revenue-producing units and our independent control and support functions. We have established procedures for these committees to ensure that appropriate information barriers are in place. Our primary risk committees, most of which also have additional sub-committees or working groups, are described below. In addition to these committees, we have other risk-oriented committees which provide oversight for different businesses, activities, products, regions and legal entities.

Membership of the firm's risk committees is reviewed regularly and updated to reflect changes in the responsibilities of the committee members. Accordingly, the length of time that members serve on the respective committees varies as determined by the relevant committee charter or the committee chairs, and based on the responsibilities of the members within the firm.

In addition, independent control and support functions, which report to the chief financial officer, general counsel, chief administrative officer, or in the case of Internal Audit, to the Audit Committee of the Board, are responsible for day-to-day oversight of risk, as discussed in greater detail in the following sections.

Table of Contents

The chart below presents an overview of our risk management governance structure, highlighting the oversight of our Board, our key risk-related

committees and the independence of our control and support functions.

Management Committee. The Management Committee oversees the global activities of the firm, including all of the firm's independent control and support functions. It provides this oversight directly and through authority delegated to committees it has established. This committee is comprised of the most senior leaders of the firm, and is chaired by the firm's chief executive officer. The Management Committee has established various committees with delegated authority and appoints the chairpersons of these committees (the chairpersons then appoint the other members of the committees). All of these committees (and other committees established by such committees) report, directly or indirectly, to the Management Committee. Most members of the Management Committee are also members of other firmwide, divisional and regional committees. The

following are the committees established by the Management Committee that are principally involved in firmwide risk management.

Firmwide Client and Business Standards Committee. The Firmwide Client and Business Standards Committee assesses and makes determinations regarding business standards and practices, reputational risk management, client relationships and client service, and is chaired by the firm's president and chief operating officer. This committee also has responsibility for overseeing the implementation of the recommendations of the Business Standards Committee. This committee has established the following two committees that report to it and is responsible for appointing the chairpersons of these committees and other committee members:

Table of Contents

Firmwide New Activity Committee. The Firmwide New Activity Committee is responsible for reviewing new activities and establishing a process to identify and review previously approved activities that are significant and that have changed in complexity and/or structure or present different reputational and suitability concerns over time to consider whether these activities remain appropriate. This committee is co-chaired by the firm's head of operations/chief operating officer for Europe, Middle East and Africa and the chief administrative officer of our Investment Management Division.

Firmwide Suitability Committee. The Firmwide Suitability Committee is responsible for setting standards and policies for product, transaction and client suitability and providing a forum for consistency across divisions, regions and products on suitability assessments. This committee also reviews suitability matters escalated from other firm committees. This committee is co-chaired by the firm's international general counsel and the chief operating officer of our Investment Management Division.

Firmwide Risk Committee. The Firmwide Risk Committee is responsible for the ongoing monitoring and control of the firm's global financial risks. Through both direct and delegated authority, the Firmwide Risk Committee approves firmwide, product, divisional and business-level limits for both market and credit risks, approves sovereign credit risk limits and reviews results of stress tests and scenario analyses. This committee is co-chaired by the firm's chief financial officer and a senior managing director from the firm's executive office. The following four committees report to the Firmwide Risk Committee, which is responsible for appointing the chairperson of each of these committees, who then appoints the other committee members:

Securities Division Risk Committee. The Securities Division Risk Committee sets market risk limits, subject to overall firmwide risk limits, for our Fixed Income, Currency and Commodities Client Execution and Equities Client Execution businesses based on a number of risk measures, including VaR, stress tests, scenario analyses, and inventory levels. This committee is chaired by the chief risk officer of our Securities Division.

Credit Policy Committee. The Credit Policy Committee establishes and reviews broad credit policies and parameters that are implemented by our Credit Risk Management department (Credit Risk Management). This committee is chaired by the firm's chief credit officer.

Operational Risk Committee. The Operational Risk Committee provides oversight of the ongoing development and implementation of our operational risk policies, framework and methodologies, and monitors the effectiveness of operational risk management. This committee is chaired by the chief risk officer of GS Bank USA.

Finance Committee. The Finance Committee has oversight of firmwide liquidity, the size and composition of our balance sheet and capital base, and our credit ratings. This committee regularly reviews our liquidity, balance sheet, funding position and capitalization, and makes adjustments in light of current events, risks and exposures, and regulatory requirements. This committee is also responsible for reviewing and approving balance sheet limits and the size of our GCE. This committee is co-chaired by the firm's chief financial officer and the firm's global treasurer.

The following committees report jointly to the Firmwide Risk Committee and the Firmwide Client and Business Standards Committee, which also appoint the chairpersons of these committees (who then appoint the members of the committees).

Firmwide Capital Committee. The Firmwide Capital Committee provides approval and oversight of debt-related underwriting transactions, including related commitments of the firm's capital. This committee aims to ensure that

business and reputational standards for underwritings and capital commitments are maintained on a global basis. This committee is co-chaired by the firm's global treasurer and the head of credit finance for Europe, Middle East and Africa.

Firmwide Commitments Committee. The Firmwide Commitments Committee reviews the firm's underwriting and distribution activities with respect to equity and equity-related product offerings, and sets and maintains policies and procedures designed to ensure that legal, reputational, regulatory and business standards are maintained on a global basis. In addition to reviewing specific transactions, this committee periodically conducts general strategic reviews of sectors and products and establishes policies in connection with transaction practices. This committee is co-chaired by the head of our Latin America Group and the global co-head of the firm's technology, media and telecom group for Investment Banking.

Table of Contents

Investment Management Division Risk Committee. The Investment Management Division Risk Committee is responsible for the ongoing monitoring and control of global market, counterparty credit and liquidity risks associated with the activities of our investment management businesses. The head of Investment Management Division risk management is the chair of this committee and appoints the other members.

Liquidity Risk Management

Liquidity is of critical importance to financial institutions. Most of the recent failures of financial institutions have occurred in large part due to insufficient liquidity. Accordingly, the firm has in place a comprehensive and conservative set of liquidity and funding policies to address both firm-specific and broader industry or market liquidity events. Our principal objective is to be able to fund the firm and to enable our core businesses to continue to generate revenues, even under adverse circumstances.

We manage liquidity risk according to the following principles:

Excess Liquidity. We maintain substantial excess liquidity to meet a broad range of potential cash outflows and collateral needs in a stressed environment.

Asset-Liability Management. We assess anticipated holding periods for our assets and their potential illiquidity in a stressed environment. We manage the maturities and diversity of our funding across markets, products and counterparties; and seek to maintain liabilities of appropriate tenor relative to our asset base.

Contingency Funding Plan. We maintain a contingency funding plan to provide a framework for analyzing and responding to a liquidity crisis situation or periods of market stress. This framework sets forth the plan of action to fund normal business activity in emergency and stress situations. These principles are discussed in more detail below.

Excess Liquidity

Our most important liquidity policy is to pre-fund our estimated potential cash needs during a liquidity crisis and hold this excess liquidity in the form of unencumbered, highly liquid securities and cash. We believe that this global core excess would be readily convertible to cash in a matter of days, through liquidation, by entering into repurchase agreements or from maturities of reverse repurchase agreements, and that this cash would allow us to meet immediate obligations without needing to sell other assets or depend on additional funding from credit-sensitive markets.

As of June 2011 and December 2010, the fair value of the securities and certain overnight cash deposits included in our GCE totaled \$165.84 billion and \$174.78 billion, respectively. Based on the results of our internal liquidity risk model, discussed below, as well as our consideration of other factors including but not limited to a qualitative assessment of the condition of the financial markets and the firm, we believe our liquidity position as of June 2011 was appropriate.

The table below presents the fair value of the securities and certain overnight cash deposits that are included in our GCE.

	Average for the Three Months Ended June 2011	Year Ended December 2010
<i>in millions</i>		

U.S. dollar-denominated	\$123,087	\$130,072
Non-U.S. dollar-denominated	41,191	37,942
Total	\$164,278	\$168,014

The U.S. dollar-denominated excess is composed of unencumbered U.S. government and federal agency obligations (including highly liquid U.S. federal agency mortgage-backed obligations), all of which are eligible as collateral in Federal Reserve open market operations and certain overnight U.S. dollar cash deposits. The non-U.S. dollar-denominated excess is composed of only unencumbered French, German, United Kingdom and Japanese government obligations and certain overnight cash deposits in highly liquid currencies. We strictly limit our excess liquidity to this narrowly defined list of securities and cash because they are highly liquid, even in a difficult funding environment. We do not include other potential sources of excess liquidity, such as lower-quality unencumbered securities or committed credit facilities, in our GCE.

Table of Contents

The table below presents the fair value of our GCE by asset class.

<i>in millions</i>	Average for the	
	Three Months Ended June 2011	Year Ended December 2010
Overnight cash deposits	\$ 33,108	\$ 25,040
Federal funds sold		75
U.S. government obligations	91,150	102,937
U.S. federal agency obligations, including highly liquid U.S. federal agency mortgage-backed obligations	2,987	3,194
French, German, United Kingdom and Japanese government obligations	37,033	36,768
Total	\$ 164,278	\$ 168,014

The GCE is held at Group Inc. and our major broker-dealer and bank subsidiaries, as presented in the table below.

<i>in millions</i>	Average for the	
	Three Months Ended June 2011	Year Ended December 2010
Group Inc.	\$ 49,479	\$ 53,757
Major broker-dealer subsidiaries	73,970	69,223
Major bank subsidiaries	40,829	45,034
Total	\$ 164,278	\$ 168,014

Our GCE reflects the following principles:

The first days or weeks of a liquidity crisis are the most critical to a company's survival.

Focus must be maintained on all potential cash and collateral outflows, not just disruptions to financing flows. Our businesses are diverse, and our liquidity needs are determined by many factors, including market movements, collateral requirements and client commitments, all of which can change dramatically in a difficult funding environment.

During a liquidity crisis, credit-sensitive funding, including unsecured debt and some types of secured financing agreements, may be unavailable, and the terms (e.g., interest rates, collateral provisions and tenor) or availability of other types of secured financing may change.

As a result of our policy to pre-fund liquidity that we estimate may be needed in a crisis, we hold more unencumbered securities and have larger debt balances than our businesses would otherwise require. We believe that our liquidity is stronger with greater balances of highly liquid unencumbered securities, even though it increases our total assets and our funding costs.

We believe that our GCE provides us with a resilient source of funds that would be available in advance of potential cash and collateral outflows and gives us significant flexibility in managing through a difficult funding environment.

In order to determine the appropriate size of our GCE, we use an internal liquidity model, referred to as the Modeled Liquidity Outflow, which captures and quantifies the firm's liquidity risks. We also consider other factors including but not limited to a qualitative assessment of the condition of the financial markets and the firm.

We distribute our GCE across subsidiaries, asset types, and clearing agents to provide us with sufficient operating liquidity to ensure timely settlement in all major markets, even in a difficult funding environment.

We maintain our GCE to enable us to meet current and potential liquidity requirements of our parent company, Group Inc., and our major broker-dealer and bank subsidiaries. The Modeled Liquidity Outflow incorporates a consolidated requirement as well as a standalone requirement for each of our major broker-dealer and bank subsidiaries. Liquidity held directly in each of these subsidiaries is intended for use only by that subsidiary to meet its liquidity requirements and is assumed not to be available to Group Inc. unless (i) legally provided for and (ii) there are no additional regulatory, tax or other restrictions. We hold a portion of our GCE directly at Group Inc. to support consolidated requirements not accounted for in the major subsidiaries. In addition to the GCE held at our major broker-dealer and bank subsidiaries, we maintain operating cash balances in several of our other operating entities, primarily for use in specific currencies, entities, or jurisdictions where we do not have immediate access to parent company liquidity.

In addition to our GCE, we have a significant amount of other unencumbered cash and financial instruments, including other government obligations, high-grade money market securities, corporate obligations, marginable equities, loans and cash deposits not included in our GCE. The fair value of these assets averaged \$86.84 billion and \$72.98 billion for the three months ended June 2011 and year ended December 2010, respectively. We do not consider these assets liquid enough to be eligible for our GCE liquidity pool and therefore conservatively do not assume we will generate liquidity from these assets in a short-term stress scenario.

Table of Contents

Modeled Liquidity Outflow. Our Modeled Liquidity Outflow is based on a scenario that includes both a market-wide stress and a firm-specific stress, characterized by some or all of the following qualitative elements:

Global recession, default by a medium-sized sovereign, low consumer and corporate confidence, and general financial instability.

Severely challenged market environment with material declines in equity markets and widening of credit spreads.

Damaging follow-on impacts to financial institutions leading to the failure of a large bank.

A firm-specific crisis potentially triggered by material losses, reputational damage, litigation, executive departure, and/or a ratings downgrade.

The following are the critical modeling parameters of the Modeled Liquidity Outflow:

Liquidity needs over a 30-day scenario.

A two-notch downgrade of the firm's long-term senior unsecured credit ratings.

A combination of contractual outflows, such as upcoming maturities of unsecured debt, and contingent outflows (e.g., actions though not contractually required, we may deem necessary in a crisis). We assume that most contingent outflows will occur within the initial days and weeks of a crisis.

No issuance of equity or unsecured debt.

No support from government funding facilities. Although we have access to various central bank funding programs, we do not assume reliance on them as a source of funding in a liquidity crisis.

No diversification benefit across liquidity risks. We assume that liquidity risks are additive.

Maintenance of our normal business levels. We do not assume asset liquidation, other than the GCE.

The Modeled Liquidity Outflow is calculated and reported to senior management on a daily basis. We regularly refine our model to reflect changes in market or economic conditions and the firm's business mix.

The potential contractual and contingent cash and collateral outflows covered in our Modeled Liquidity Outflow include:

Unsecured Funding

Contractual: All upcoming maturities of unsecured long-term debt, commercial paper, promissory notes and other unsecured funding products. We assume that we will be unable to issue new unsecured debt or rollover any maturing debt.

Contingent: Repurchases of our outstanding long-term debt, commercial paper and hybrid financial instruments in the ordinary course of business as a market maker.

Deposits

Contractual: All upcoming maturities of term deposits. We assume that we will be unable to raise new term deposits or rollover any maturing term deposits.

Contingent: Withdrawals of bank deposits that have no contractual maturity. The withdrawal assumptions reflect, among other factors, the type of deposit, whether the deposit is insured or uninsured, and the firm's relationship with the depositor.

Secured Funding

Contractual: A portion of upcoming contractual maturities of secured funding trades due to either the inability to refinance or the ability to refinance only at wider haircuts (i.e., on terms which require us to post additional collateral). Our assumptions reflect, among other factors, the quality of the underlying collateral and counterparty concentration.

Contingent: A decline in value of financial assets pledged as collateral for financing transactions, which would necessitate additional collateral postings under those transactions.

OTC Derivatives

Contingent: Collateral postings to counterparties due to adverse changes in the value of our OTC derivatives.

Contingent: Other outflows of cash or collateral related to OTC derivatives, including the impact of trade terminations, collateral substitutions, collateral disputes, collateral calls or termination payments required by a two-notch downgrade in our credit ratings, and collateral that has not been called by counterparties, but is available to them.

Table of Contents

Exchange-Traded Derivatives

Contingent: Variation margin postings required due to adverse changes in the value of our outstanding exchange-traded derivatives.

Contingent: An increase in initial margin and guaranty fund requirements by derivative clearing houses.

Customer Cash and Securities

Contingent: Liquidity outflows associated with our prime brokerage business, including withdrawals of customer credit balances, and a reduction in customer short positions, which serve as a funding source for long positions.

Unfunded Commitments

Contingent: Draws on our unfunded commitments. Draw assumptions reflect, among other things, the type of commitment and counterparty.

Other

Other upcoming large cash outflows, such as tax payments.

Asset-Liability Management

Our liquidity risk management policies are designed to ensure we have a sufficient amount of financing, even when funding markets experience persistent stress. We seek to maintain a long-dated and diversified funding profile, taking into consideration the characteristics and liquidity profile of our assets.

Our approach to asset-liability management includes:

Conservatively managing the overall characteristics of our funding book, with a focus on maintaining long-term, diversified sources of funding in excess of our current requirements. See [Balance Sheet and Funding Sources](#) [Funding Sources](#) for additional details.

Actively managing and monitoring our asset base, with particular focus on the liquidity, holding period and our ability to fund assets on a secured basis. This enables us to determine the most appropriate funding products and tenors. Less liquid assets are more difficult to fund and therefore require funding that has longer tenors with a greater proportion of unsecured debt. See [Balance Sheet and Funding Sources](#) [Balance Sheet Management](#) for more detail on our balance sheet management process.

Raising secured and unsecured financing that has a sufficiently longer term than the anticipated holding period of our assets. This reduces the risk that our liabilities will come due in advance of our ability to generate liquidity from the sale of our assets. Because we maintain a highly liquid balance sheet, the holding period of certain of our assets may be materially shorter than their contractual maturity dates.

Our goal is to have sufficient total capital (unsecured long-term borrowings plus total shareholders' equity) so that we can avoid reliance on asset sales (other than our GCE). However, we recognize that orderly asset sales may be prudent or necessary in a severe or persistent liquidity crisis. The target amount of our total capital is based on an internal funding model which incorporates the following long-term financing requirements:

The portion of financial instruments owned, at fair value that we believe could not be funded on a secured basis in periods of market stress, assuming stressed fair values.

Goodwill and identifiable intangible assets, property, leasehold improvements and equipment, and other illiquid assets.

Derivative and other margin and collateral requirements.

Anticipated draws on our unfunded loan commitments.

Regulatory requirements to hold capital or other forms of financing in excess of what we would otherwise hold in regulated subsidiaries.

Subsidiary Funding Policies. The majority of our unsecured funding is raised by Group Inc. which lends the necessary funds to its subsidiaries, some of which are regulated, to meet their asset financing, liquidity and capital requirements. In addition, Group Inc. provides its regulated subsidiaries with the necessary capital to meet their regulatory requirements. The benefits of this approach to subsidiary funding are enhanced control and greater flexibility to meet the funding requirements of our subsidiaries. Funding is also raised at the subsidiary level through a variety of products, including secured funding, unsecured borrowings and deposits.

Table of Contents

Our intercompany funding policies assume that, unless legally provided for, a subsidiary's funds or securities are not freely available to its parent company or other subsidiaries. In particular, many of our subsidiaries are subject to laws that authorize regulatory bodies to block or reduce the flow of funds from those subsidiaries to Group Inc. Regulatory action of that kind could impede access to funds that Group Inc. needs to make payments on its obligations.

Accordingly, we assume that the capital provided to our regulated subsidiaries is not available to Group Inc. or other subsidiaries and any other financing provided to our regulated subsidiaries is not available until the maturity of such financing.

Group Inc. has provided substantial amounts of equity and subordinated indebtedness, directly or indirectly, to its regulated subsidiaries. For example, as of June 2011, Group Inc. had \$29.73 billion of equity and subordinated indebtedness invested in GS&Co., its principal U.S. registered broker-dealer; \$43.81 billion invested in GSI, a regulated U.K. broker-dealer; \$2.71 billion invested in Goldman Sachs Execution & Clearing, L.P., a U.S. registered broker-dealer; \$3.22 billion invested in Goldman Sachs Japan Co., Ltd., a regulated Japanese broker-dealer; and \$19.44 billion invested in GS Bank USA, a regulated New York State-chartered bank. Group Inc. also had \$67.52 billion of unsubordinated loans and \$11.38 billion of collateral provided to these entities as of June 2011 and significant amounts of capital invested in and loans to its other regulated subsidiaries.

Contingency Funding Plan

The Goldman Sachs contingency funding plan sets out the plan of action we would use to fund business activity in crisis situations and periods of market stress. The contingency funding plan outlines a list of potential risk factors, key reports and metrics that are reviewed on an ongoing basis to assist in assessing the severity of, and managing through, a liquidity crisis and/or market dislocation. The contingency funding plan also describes in detail the firm's potential responses if our assessments indicate that the firm has entered a liquidity crisis, which include pre-funding for what we estimate will be our potential cash and collateral needs as well as utilizing secondary sources of liquidity. Mitigants and action items to address specific risks which may arise are also described and assigned to individuals responsible for execution.

The contingency funding plan identifies key groups of individuals to foster effective coordination, control and distribution of information, all of which are critical in the management of a crisis or period of market stress. The contingency funding plan also details the responsibilities of these groups and individuals, which include making and disseminating key decisions, coordinating all contingency activities throughout the duration of the crisis or period of market stress, implementing liquidity maintenance activities and managing internal and external communication.

Credit Ratings

The table below presents our unsecured credit ratings (excluding debt guaranteed by the FDIC under the TLGP) and outlook.

	As of June 2011					Rating Outlook
	Short-Term Debt	Long-Term Debt	Subordinated Debt	Trust Preferred ¹	Preferred Stock ²	
DBRS, Inc.	R-1 (middle)	A (high)	A	A	BBB	Stable ⁵
Fitch, Inc. ³	F1+	A+	A	A-	A-	Stable ⁶

Moody's Investors Service ⁴	P-1	A1	A2	A3	Baa2	Negative⁷
Standard & Poor's Ratings Services	A-1	A	A-	BBB-	BBB-	Negative⁷
Rating and Investment Information, Inc.	a-1+	AA-	A+	N/A	N/A	Negative⁸

1. Trust preferred securities issued by Goldman Sachs Capital I.
2. Includes Group Inc.'s non-cumulative preferred stock and the Normal Automatic Preferred Enhanced Capital Securities (APEX) issued by Goldman Sachs Capital II and Goldman Sachs Capital III.
3. GS Bank USA has been assigned a rating of AA- for long-term bank deposits, F1+ for short-term bank deposits and A+ for long-term issuer.
4. GS Bank USA has been assigned a rating of Aa3 for long-term bank deposits, P-1 for short-term bank deposits and Aa3 for long-term issuer.
5. Applies to long-term and short-term ratings.
6. Applies to long-term issuer default ratings.
7. Applies to long-term ratings.
8. Applies to issuer rating.

Table of Contents

We rely on the short-term and long-term debt capital markets to fund a significant portion of our day-to-day operations and the cost and availability of debt financing is influenced by our credit ratings. Credit ratings are also important when we are competing in certain markets, such as OTC derivatives, and when we seek to engage in longer-term transactions. See **Certain Risk Factors That May Affect Our Businesses** below and **Risk Factors** in Part I, Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for a discussion of the risks associated with a reduction in our credit ratings.

We believe our credit ratings are primarily based on the credit rating agencies' assessment of:

our liquidity, market, credit and operational risk management practices;

the level and variability of our earnings;

our capital base;

our franchise, reputation and management;

our corporate governance; and

the external operating environment, including the assumed level of government support.

We allocate a portion of our GCE to ensure we would be able to make the additional collateral or termination payments that may be required in the event of a two-notch reduction in our long-term credit ratings, as well as collateral that has not been called by counterparties, but is available to them. The table below presents the additional collateral or termination payments that could have been called at the reporting date by counterparties in the event of a one-notch and two-notch downgrade in our credit ratings.

<i>in millions</i>	June 2011	As of December 2010
Additional collateral or termination payments for a one-notch downgrade	\$ 662	\$ 1,353
Additional collateral or termination payments for a two-notch downgrade	1,842	2,781

The Basel Committee on Banking Supervision's international framework for liquidity risk measurement, standards and monitoring calls for imposition of a liquidity coverage ratio, designed to ensure that the banking entity maintains an adequate level of unencumbered high-quality liquid assets based on expected cash outflows under an acute liquidity stress scenario, and a net stable funding ratio, designed to promote more medium- and long-term funding of the assets and activities of banking entities over a one-year time horizon. The liquidity coverage ratio would be implemented subject to an observation period beginning in 2011, but would not be introduced as a requirement until January 1, 2015, and the net stable funding ratio would not be introduced as a requirement until January 1, 2018. While the principles behind the new framework are broadly consistent with our current liquidity management framework, it is possible that the implementation of these standards could impact our liquidity and funding requirements and practices.

Cash Flows

As a global financial institution, our cash flows are complex and bear little relation to our net earnings and net assets. Consequently, we believe that traditional cash flow analysis is less meaningful in evaluating our liquidity position than the excess liquidity and asset-liability management policies described above. Cash flow analysis may, however, be helpful in highlighting certain macro trends and strategic initiatives in our businesses.

Six Months Ended June 2011. Our cash and cash equivalents increased by \$5.65 billion to \$45.43 billion at the end of the second quarter of 2011. We generated \$13.10 billion in net cash from operating and investing activities. We used net cash of \$7.45 billion for financing activities, primarily for the repurchases of our Series G Preferred Stock and common stock, and the payment of dividends, partially offset by net issuances of unsecured and secured borrowings.

Six Months Ended June 2010. Our cash and cash equivalents decreased by \$5.69 billion to \$32.60 billion at the end of the second quarter of 2010. We generated net cash of \$2.45 billion in our operating activities. We used net cash in investing and financing activities of \$8.14 billion, primarily due to net repayments in unsecured long-term borrowings, a decrease in bank deposits and repurchases of common stock.

Table of Contents

Market Risk Management

Overview

Market risk is the risk of loss in the value of our inventory due to changes in market prices. We hold inventory primarily for market making for our clients and for our investing and lending activities. Our inventory therefore changes based on client demands and our investment opportunities. Our inventory is accounted for at fair value and therefore fluctuates on a daily basis. Categories of market risk include the following:

Interest rate risk: primarily results from exposures to changes in the level, slope and curvature of yield curves, the volatilities of interest rates, mortgage prepayment speeds and credit spreads.

Equity price risk: results from exposures to changes in prices and volatilities of individual equities, baskets of equities and equity indices.

Currency rate risk: results from exposures to changes in spot prices, forward prices and volatilities of currency rates.

Commodity price risk: results from exposures to changes in spot prices, forward prices and volatilities of commodities, such as electricity, natural gas, crude oil, petroleum products, and precious and base metals.

Market Risk Management Process

We manage our market risk by diversifying exposures, controlling position sizes and establishing economic hedges in related securities or derivatives. This includes:

accurate and timely exposure information incorporating multiple risk metrics;

a dynamic limit setting framework; and

constant communication among revenue-producing units, risk managers and senior management.

Market Risk Management, which is independent of the revenue-producing units and reports to the firm's chief risk officer, has primary responsibility for assessing, monitoring and managing market risk at the firm. We monitor and control risks through strong firmwide oversight and independent control and support functions across the firm's global businesses.

Managers in revenue-producing units are accountable for managing risk within prescribed limits. These managers have in-depth knowledge of their positions, of markets and the instruments available to hedge their exposures.

Managers in revenue-producing units and Market Risk Management discuss market information, positions and estimated risk and loss scenarios on an ongoing basis.

Risk Measures

Market Risk Management produces risk measures and monitors them against market risk limits set by our firm's risk committees. These measures reflect an extensive range of scenarios and the results are aggregated at trading desk, business and firmwide levels.

We use a variety of risk measures to estimate the size of potential losses for both moderate and more extreme market moves over both short-term and long-term time horizons. Risk measures used for shorter-term periods include VaR and sensitivity metrics. For longer-term horizons, our primary risk measures are stress tests. Our risk reports detail key risks, drivers and changes for each desk and business, and are distributed daily to senior management of both our revenue-producing units and our independent control and support functions.

Systems

We have made a significant investment in technology to monitor market risk including:

- an independent calculation of VaR and stress measures;

- risk measures calculated at individual position levels;

- attribution of risk measures to individual risk factors of each position;

- the ability to report many different views of the risk measures (e.g., by desk, business, product type or legal entity);
and

- the ability to produce ad hoc analyses in a timely manner.

Table of Contents

Value-at-Risk

VaR is the potential loss in value of inventory positions due to adverse market movements over a defined time horizon with a specified confidence level. We typically employ a one-day time horizon with a 95% confidence level. The VaR model captures risks including interest rates, equity prices, currency rates and commodity prices. As such, VaR facilitates comparison across portfolios of different risk characteristics. VaR also captures the diversification of aggregated risk at the firmwide level.

We are aware of the inherent limitations to VaR and therefore use a variety of risk measures in our market risk management process. Inherent limitations to VaR include:

VaR does not estimate potential losses over longer time horizons where moves may be extreme.

VaR does not take account of the relative liquidity of different risk positions.

Previous moves in market risk factors may not produce accurate predictions of all future market moves.

When calculating VaR, we use historical simulations with full valuation of approximately 70,000 market factors. The historical data used in our VaR calculation is weighted to give greater importance to more recent observations and reflect current asset volatilities. This improves the accuracy of our estimates of potential loss. As a result, even if our inventory positions were unchanged, our VaR would increase with increasing market volatility and vice versa.

Given its reliance on historical data, VaR is most effective in estimating risk exposures in markets in which there are no sudden fundamental changes or shifts in market conditions.

We evaluate the accuracy of our VaR model through daily backtesting (i.e., comparing daily trading net revenues to the VaR measure calculated as of the prior business day) at the firmwide level and for each of our businesses and major regulated subsidiaries.

Our VaR measure does not include:

positions that are best measured and monitored using sensitivity measures; and

the impact of changes in counterparty and our own credit spreads on derivatives as well as changes in our own credit spreads on unsecured borrowings for which the fair value option was elected.

Stress Testing

We use stress testing to examine risks of specific portfolios as well as the potential impact of significant risk exposures across the firm. We use a variety of scenarios to calculate the potential loss from a wide range of market moves on the firm's portfolios. These scenarios include the default of single corporate or sovereign entities, the impact of a move in a single risk factor across all positions (e.g., equity prices or credit spreads) or a combination of two or more risk factors.

Unlike VaR measures, which have an implied probability because they are calculated at a specified confidence level, there is generally no implied probability that our stress test scenarios will occur. Instead, stress tests are used to model both moderate and more extreme moves in underlying market factors. When estimating potential loss, we generally assume that our positions cannot be reduced or hedged (although experience demonstrates that we are generally able to do so).

Stress test scenarios are conducted on a regular basis as part of the firm's routine risk management process and on an ad hoc basis in response to market events or concerns. Stress testing is an important part of the firm's risk management process because it allows us to highlight potential loss concentrations, undertake risk/reward analysis, and assess and mitigate our risk positions.

Limits

We use risk limits at various levels in the firm (including firmwide, product and business) to govern risk appetite by controlling the size of our exposures to market risk. Limits are reviewed frequently and amended on a permanent or temporary basis to reflect changing market conditions, business conditions or tolerance for risk.

The Firmwide Risk Committee sets market risk limits at firmwide and product levels and our Securities Division Risk Committee sets sub-limits for market-making and investing activities at a business level. The purpose of the firmwide limits is to assist senior management in controlling the firm's overall risk profile. Sub-limits set the desired maximum amount of exposure that may be managed by any particular business on a day-to-day basis without additional levels of senior management approval, effectively leaving day-to-day trading decisions to individual desk managers and traders. Accordingly, sub-limits are a management tool designed to ensure appropriate escalation rather than to establish maximum risk tolerance. Sub-limits also distribute risk among various businesses in a manner that is consistent with their level of activity and client demand, taking into account the relative performance of each area.

Table of Contents

Our market risk limits are monitored daily by Market Risk Management, which is responsible for identifying and escalating, on a timely basis, instances where limits have been exceeded. The business-level limits that are set by the Securities Division Risk Committee are subject to the same scrutiny and limit escalation policy as the firmwide limits.

When a risk limit has been exceeded (e.g., due to changes in market conditions, such as increased volatilities or changes in correlations), it is reported to the appropriate risk committee and a discussion takes place with the relevant desk managers, after which either the risk position is reduced or the risk limit is temporarily or permanently increased.

Metrics

We analyze VaR at the firmwide level and a variety of more detailed levels, including by risk category, business, and region. The tables below present average daily VaR and quarter-end VaR by risk category.

Average Daily VaR

<i>in millions</i> Risk Categories	Three Months Ended June		Six Months Ended June	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Interest rates	\$ 76	\$ 87	\$ 81	\$ 98
Equity prices	35	61	42	74
Currency rates	21	36	23	36
Commodity prices	39	32	38	40
Diversification effect ¹	(70)	(80)	(77)	(100)
Total	\$ 101	\$ 136	\$ 107	\$ 148

1. Equals the difference between total VaR and the sum of the VaRs for the four risk categories. This effect arises because the four market risk categories are not perfectly correlated.

Our average daily VaR decreased to \$101 million for the second quarter of 2011 from \$136 million for the second quarter of 2010, reflecting decreases across most risk categories, primarily due to reduced exposures and lower levels of volatility.

Quarter-End VaR and High and Low VaR

<i>in millions</i> Risk Categories	As of		Three Months Ended June 2011	
	June 2011	March 2011	High	Low
Interest rates	\$ 78	\$ 82	\$ 87	\$ 71
Equity prices	33	42	67	21
Currency rates	15	26	28	13
Commodity prices	22	49	53	20

Diversification effect ¹	(63)	(87)		
Total	\$ 85	\$ 112	\$ 114	\$ 82

1. Equals the difference between total VaR and the sum of the VaRs for the four risk categories. This effect arises because the four market risk categories are not perfectly correlated.

Our daily VaR decreased to \$85 million as of June 2011 from \$112 million as of March 2011, due to decreases across all risk categories, primarily due to reduced exposures. These decreases were partially offset by a decrease in the diversification benefit across risk categories.

During the second quarter of 2011, the firmwide VaR risk limit was not exceeded. Separately, the firmwide VaR risk limit was reduced on one occasion during the quarter, reflecting lower risk utilization and the market environment.

Table of Contents

The chart below reflects the VaR over the last four quarters.

The chart below presents the frequency distribution of our daily trading net revenues for substantially all inventory positions included in VaR for the quarter ended June 2011.

Daily trading net revenues are compared with VaR calculated as of the end of the prior business day. The firm incurred trading losses on a single day in excess of our 95% one-day VaR (i.e., a VaR exception) on one occasion during the second quarter of 2011.

During periods in which the firm has significantly more positive net revenue days than net revenue loss days, we expect to have fewer VaR exceptions because, under normal conditions, our business model generally produces positive net revenues. In more adverse markets, we generally produce lower net revenues and more loss days, resulting in more VaR exceptions. In addition, VaR backtesting is performed against total daily market-making revenues, including bid/offer net revenues which are more likely than not to be positive by their nature.

Table of Contents**Sensitivity Measures**

Certain portfolios and individual positions are not included in VaR because VaR is not the most appropriate risk measure. The market risk of these positions is determined by estimating the potential reduction in net revenues of a 10% decline in the underlying asset value. The market risk related to our investment in the ordinary shares of ICBC excludes interests held by investment funds managed by Goldman Sachs.

The table below presents market risk for positions that are not included in VaR. These measures do not reflect diversification benefits across asset categories and therefore have not been aggregated.

Asset Categories	10% Sensitivity	
	Amount as of	
<i>in millions</i>	June 2011	March 2011
ICBC	\$ 292	\$ 319
Equity (excluding ICBC) ¹	2,549	2,596
Debt ²	1,486	1,483

1. Relates to private and restricted public equity securities, including interests in firm-sponsored funds that invest in corporate equities and real estate and interests in firm-sponsored hedge funds.
2. Relates to corporate bank debt, loans backed by commercial and residential real estate, and other corporate debt, including acquired portfolios of distressed loans and interests in our firm-sponsored funds that invest in corporate mezzanine and senior debt instruments.

VaR excludes the impact of changes in counterparty and our own credit spreads on derivatives as well as changes in our own credit spreads on unsecured borrowings for which the fair value option was elected. The estimated sensitivity of our net revenues to a one basis point increase in credit spreads (counterparty and our own) on derivatives was a \$4 million gain as of June 2011. In addition, the estimated sensitivity of our net revenues to a one basis point increase in our own credit spreads on unsecured borrowings for which the fair value option was elected was an \$8 million gain (including hedges) as of June 2011.

In addition to the positions included in VaR and the sensitivity measures described above, as of June 2011, we held \$3.48 billion of securities accounted for as available-for-sale primarily consisting of \$1.27 billion of corporate debt securities, the majority of which will mature after five years, with an average yield of 6%, \$865 million of mortgage and other asset-backed loans and securities, the majority of which will mature after ten years, with an average yield of 11%, and \$563 million of U.S. government and federal agency obligations, the majority of which will mature after five years, with an average yield of 3%. As of December 2010, we held \$3.67 billion of securities accounted for as available-for-sale primarily consisting of \$1.69 billion of corporate debt securities, the majority of which will mature after five years, with an average yield of 6%, \$670 million of mortgage and other asset-backed loans and securities, which will mature after ten years, with an average yield of 11%, and \$637 million of U.S. government and federal agency obligations, the majority of which will mature after ten years, with an average yield of 4%.

In addition, as of June 2011 and December 2010, we had commitments and held loans under the William Street credit extension program. As of December 2010, we also held money market instruments under this program. See Note 18 to

the condensed consolidated financial statements in Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-Q for further information about our William Street credit extension program.

Additionally, we make investments accounted for under the equity method and we also make direct investments in real estate, both of which are included in Other assets in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition. Direct investments in real estate are accounted for at cost less accumulated depreciation. See Note 12 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-Q for information on Other assets.

Table of Contents

Credit Risk Management

Overview

Credit risk represents the potential for loss due to the default or deterioration in credit quality of a counterparty (e.g., an OTC derivatives counterparty or a borrower) or an issuer of securities or other instruments we hold. Our exposure to credit risk comes mostly from client transactions in OTC derivatives and loans and lending commitments. Credit risk also comes from cash placed with banks, securities financing transactions (i.e., resale and repurchase agreements and securities borrowing and lending activities) and receivables from brokers, dealers, clearing organizations, customers and counterparties.

Credit Risk Management, which is independent of the revenue-producing units and reports to the firm's chief risk officer, has primary responsibility for assessing, monitoring and managing credit risk at the firm. The Credit Policy Committee and the Firmwide Risk Committee establish and review credit policies and parameters. In addition, we hold other positions that give rise to credit risk (e.g., bonds held in our inventory and secondary bank loans). These credit risks are captured as a component of market risk measures, which are monitored and managed by Market Risk Management, consistent with other inventory positions.

Policies authorized by the Firmwide Risk Committee and the Credit Policy Committee prescribe the level of formal approval required for the firm to assume credit exposure to a counterparty across all product areas, taking into account any enforceable netting provisions, collateral or other credit risk mitigants.

Credit Risk Management Process

Effective management of credit risk requires accurate and timely information, a high level of communication and knowledge of customers, countries, industries and products. Our process for managing credit risk includes:

- approving transactions and setting and communicating credit exposure limits;
- monitoring compliance with established credit exposure limits;
- assessing the likelihood that a counterparty will default on its payment obligations;
- measuring the firm's current and potential credit exposure and losses resulting from counterparty default;
- reporting of credit exposures to senior management, the Board and regulators;
- use of credit risk mitigants, including collateral and hedging; and
- communication and collaboration with other independent control and support functions such as operations, legal and compliance.

As part of the risk assessment process, Credit Risk Management performs credit reviews which include initial and ongoing analyses of our counterparties. A credit review is an independent judgment about the capacity and willingness of a counterparty to meet its financial obligations. For substantially all of our credit exposures, the core of our process is an annual counterparty review. A counterparty review is a written analysis of a counterparty's business profile and financial strength resulting in an internal credit rating which represents the probability of default on financial obligations to the firm. The determination of internal credit ratings incorporates assumptions with respect to the

counterparty's future business performance, the nature and outlook for the counterparty's industry, and the economic environment. Senior personnel within Credit Risk Management, with expertise in specific industries, inspect and approve credit reviews and internal credit ratings.

Our global credit risk management systems capture credit exposure to individual counterparties and on an aggregate basis to counterparties and their subsidiaries (economic groups). These systems also provide management with comprehensive information on our aggregate credit risk by product, internal credit rating, industry, country and region.

Risk Measures and Limits

We measure our credit risk based on the potential loss in an event of non-payment by a counterparty. For derivatives and securities financing transactions, the primary measure is potential exposure, which is our estimate of the future exposure that could arise over the life of a transaction based on market movements within a specified confidence level. Potential exposure takes into account netting and collateral arrangements. For loans and lending commitments, the primary measure is a function of the notional amount of the position. We also monitor credit risk in terms of current exposure, which is the amount presently owed to the firm after taking into account applicable netting and collateral.

Table of Contents

We use credit limits at various levels (counterparty, economic group, industry, country) to control the size of our credit exposures. Limits for counterparties and economic groups are reviewed regularly and revised to reflect changing appetites for a given counterparty or group of counterparties. Limits for industries and countries are based on the firm's risk tolerance and are designed to allow for regular monitoring, review, escalation and management of credit risk concentrations.

Stress Tests/Scenario Analysis

We use regular stress tests to calculate the credit exposures, including potential concentrations that would result from applying shocks to counterparty credit ratings or credit risk factors (e.g., currency rates, interest rates, equity prices). These shocks include a wide range of moderate and more extreme market movements. Some of our stress tests include shocks to multiple risk factors, consistent with the occurrence of a severe market or economic event. Unlike potential exposure, which is calculated within a specified confidence level, with a stress test there is generally no assumed probability of these events occurring.

We run stress tests on a regular basis as part of our routine risk management processes and conduct tailored stress tests on an ad hoc basis in response to market developments. Stress tests are regularly conducted jointly with the firm's market and liquidity risk functions.

Risk Mitigants

To reduce our credit exposures on derivatives and securities financing transactions, we may enter into netting agreements with counterparties that permit us to offset receivables and payables with such counterparties. We may also reduce credit risk with counterparties by entering into agreements that enable us to obtain collateral from them on an upfront or contingent basis and/or to terminate transactions if the counterparty's credit rating falls below a specified level.

For loans and lending commitments, we typically employ a variety of potential risk mitigants, depending on the credit quality of the borrower and other characteristics of the transaction. Risk mitigants include: collateral provisions, guarantees, covenants, structural seniority of the bank loan claims and, for certain lending commitments, provisions in the legal documentation that allow the firm to adjust loan amounts, pricing, structure and other terms as market conditions change. The type and structure of risk mitigants employed can significantly influence the degree of credit risk involved in a loan.

When we do not have sufficient visibility into a counterparty's financial strength or when we believe a counterparty requires support from its parent company, we may obtain third-party guarantees of the counterparty's obligations. We may also mitigate our credit risk using credit derivatives or participation agreements.

Credit Exposures

The firm's credit exposures are described further below.

Cash and Cash Equivalents. Cash and cash equivalents include both interest-bearing and non-interest bearing deposits. To mitigate the risk of credit loss, we place substantially all of our deposits with highly rated banks and central banks.

OTC Derivatives. Derivatives are reported on a net-by-counterparty basis (i.e., the net payable or receivable for derivative assets and liabilities for a given counterparty) when a legal right of setoff exists under an enforceable netting agreement.

Derivatives are accounted for at fair value net of cash collateral received or posted under credit support agreements. As credit risk is an essential component of fair value, the firm includes a credit valuation adjustment (CVA) in the fair

value of derivatives to reflect counterparty credit risk, as described in Note 7 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-Q. CVA is a function of the present value of expected exposure, the probability of counterparty default and the assumed recovery upon default.

Table of Contents

The tables below present the distribution of our exposure to OTC derivatives by tenor, based on expected duration for mortgage-related credit derivatives and generally on remaining contractual

maturity for other derivatives, both before and after the effect of collateral and netting agreements. The categories shown reflect our internally determined public rating agency equivalents.

in millions

Credit Rating Equivalent	As of June 2011						
	0 - 12 Months	1 - 5 Years	5 Years or Greater	Total	Netting ¹	Exposure	Exposure Net of Collateral
AAA/Aaa	\$ 720	\$ 1,038	\$ 2,395	\$ 4,153	\$ (611)	\$ 3,542	\$ 3,252
AA/Aa2	3,628	9,069	20,342	33,039	(17,278)	15,761	10,140
A/A2	14,683	34,831	47,186	96,700	(71,464)	25,236	14,267
BBB/Baa2	3,831	16,607	16,207	36,645	(24,062)	12,583	7,624
BB/Ba2 or lower	4,068	5,327	5,376	14,771	(5,628)	9,143	6,447
Unrated	873	1,231	284	2,388	(7)	2,381	2,054
Total	\$ 27,803	\$ 68,103	\$ 91,790	\$ 187,696	\$ (119,050)	\$ 68,646	\$ 43,784

in millions

Credit Rating Equivalent	As of December 2010						
	0 - 12 Months	1 - 5 Years	5 Years or Greater	Total	Netting ¹	Exposure	Exposure Net of Collateral
AAA/Aaa	\$ 504	\$ 728	\$ 2,597	\$ 3,829	\$ (491)	\$ 3,338	\$ 3,088
AA/Aa2	5,234	8,875	15,579	29,688	(18,167)	11,521	6,935
A/A2	13,556	38,522	49,568	101,646	(74,650)	26,996	16,839
BBB/Baa2	3,818	18,062	19,625	41,505	(27,832)	13,673	8,182
BB/Ba2 or lower	3,583	5,382	3,650	12,615	(4,553)	8,062	5,439
Unrated	709	1,081	332	2,122	(20)	2,102	1,539
Total	\$ 27,404	\$ 72,650	\$ 91,351	\$ 191,405	\$ (125,713)	\$ 65,692	\$ 42,022

1. Represents the netting of receivable balances with payable balances for the same counterparty across tenor categories under enforceable netting agreements, and the netting of cash collateral received under credit support agreements. Receivable and payable balances with the same counterparty in the same tenor category are netted within such tenor category.

Table of Contents

Lending Activities. We manage the firm's traditional credit origination activities, including funded loans, lending commitments and the William Street credit extension program, using the credit risk process, measures and limits described above. Other lending positions, including secondary trading positions, are risk-managed as a component of market risk.

Resale Agreements and Securities Borrowed. The firm bears credit risk related to resale agreements and securities borrowed only to the extent that cash advanced to the counterparty exceeds the value of the collateral received. Therefore, the firm's credit exposure on these transactions is significantly lower than the amounts recorded on the condensed consolidated statement of financial condition (which represent fair value or contractual value before consideration of collateral received). The firm also has credit exposure on repurchase agreements and securities loaned, which are liabilities on our condensed consolidated statement of financial condition, to the extent that the value of collateral pledged to the counterparty for these transactions exceeds the amount of cash received.

Other Credit Exposures. The firm is exposed to credit risk from its receivables from brokers, dealers and clearing organizations and customers and counterparties. Receivables from brokers, dealers and clearing organizations are primarily comprised of initial margin placed with clearing organizations and receivables related to sales of securities which have traded, but not yet settled. These receivables have minimal credit risk due to the low probability of clearing organization default and the short-term nature of receivables related to securities settlements. Receivables from customers and counterparties are generally comprised of collateralized receivables related to customer securities transactions and have minimal credit risk due to both the value of the collateral received and the short-term nature of these receivables.

Credit Exposures

The tables below present the firm's credit exposures related to cash, OTC derivatives, loans and lending commitments associated with traditional credit origination activities, and securities financing transactions, broken down by industry, region and internal credit rating.

During the six months ended June 2011, total credit exposures increased by \$27.70 billion primarily reflecting growth in loans and lending commitments as well as an increase in exposure from securities financing transactions. Counterparty defaults and the associated credit losses remained at low levels during the six months ended June 2011. The credit quality of the overall portfolio as of June 2011 was relatively unchanged from December 2010.

During the second quarter of 2011 a number of European member states, including Portugal, Ireland, Italy, Greece and Spain, experienced credit deterioration. As of June 2011, our combined current credit exposure, net of collateral and economic hedges, to these countries, including sovereign and corporate counterparties or borrowers, was approximately \$1.89 billion, with no one country representing a majority of the exposure. The combined current credit exposure to these countries excluding economic hedges was approximately \$3.13 billion as of June 2011. In addition to current exposure, we held unfunded lending commitments to borrowers in these countries of approximately \$700 million as of June 2011.

Table of Contents

Exposure by Industry

	Cash		OTC Derivatives		Loans and Lending Commitments ¹		Securities Financing Transactions ²		Total	
	As of June 2011	As of December 2010	As of June 2011	As of December 2010	As of June 2011	As of December 2010	As of June 2011	As of December 2010	As of June 2011	As of December 2010
Investment Bankers & Other	\$ 101	\$	\$ 9,647	\$ 8,760	\$ 1,678	\$ 1,317	\$ 5,140	\$ 4,999	\$ 16,566	\$
Financial Institutions	11,827	11,020	24,696	23,255	2,900	3,485	4,911	5,592	44,334	
Consumer Products, Retail Banking, and Other			1,364	1,082	10,469	8,141			11,833	
Global Markets & Central Finance	33,499	28,766	14,479	11,705	2,271	1,370	10,328	2,401	60,577	
Government & Education			2,322	2,161	6,465	5,754	164	199	8,951	
Commodities		1	2,339	2,462	3,258	3,054	492	521	6,089	
Energy Resources & Infrastructure			5,086	5,259	13,922	11,021	5	5	19,013	
Technology, Media, and Telecommunications			429	528	1,989	1,523	3	3	2,421	
Real Estate		1	1,618	1,694	10,991	7,690	26	13	12,635	
Other			936	962	4,887	3,822	8	2	5,831	
Total	6		5,730	7,824	6,078	6,007	91	59	11,905	
	\$ 45,433	\$ 39,788	\$ 68,646	\$ 65,692	\$ 64,908	\$ 53,184	\$ 21,168	\$ 13,794	\$ 200,155	\$ 196,283

Exposure by Region

	Cash		OTC Derivatives		Loans and Lending Commitments ¹		Securities Financing Transactions ²		Total	
	As of June 2011	As of December 2010	As of June 2011	As of December 2010	As of June 2011	As of December 2010	As of June 2011	As of December 2010	As of June 2011	As of December 2010
North America	\$ 37,147	\$ 34,528	\$ 32,874	\$ 34,468	\$ 46,191	\$ 38,151	\$ 6,928	\$ 7,634	\$ 123,140	\$ 114,771
Europe	1,617	810	28,616	23,396	17,199	14,451	12,735	4,953	60,167	46,791
Asia	6,669	4,450	7,156	7,828	1,518	582	1,505	1,207	16,848	12,370

\$ 45,433 \$ 39,788 \$ 68,646 \$ 65,692 \$ 64,908 \$ 53,184 \$ 21,168 \$ 13,794 \$ 200,155 \$ 1

Exposure by Credit Quality

	Cash		OTC Derivatives		Loans and Lending		Securities Financing		Total	
	As of		As of		As of		As of		As of	
	June 2011	December 2010	June 2011	December 2010	June 2011	December 2010	June 2011	December 2010	June 2011	December 2010
ing	\$ 31,132	\$ 27,851	\$ 3,542	\$ 3,338	\$ 1,804	\$ 1,783	\$ 1,364	\$ 877	\$ 37,842	\$ 37,842
	6,130	4,547	15,761	11,521	7,861	5,273	9,968	2,510	39,720	39,720
	5,999	5,603	25,236	26,996	19,295	15,766	8,291	8,771	58,821	58,821
	861	1,007	12,583	13,673	20,075	17,544	1,321	1,466	34,840	34,840
lower	1,263	764	9,143	8,062	15,873	12,774	207	130	26,486	26,486
	48	16	2,381	2,102		44	17	40	2,446	2,446
	\$ 45,433	\$ 39,788	\$ 68,646	\$ 65,692	\$ 64,908	\$ 53,184	\$ 21,168	\$ 13,794	\$ 200,155	\$ 200,155

1. Includes approximately \$5 billion and \$4 billion of loans as of June 2011 and December 2010, respectively, and approximately \$60 billion and \$49 billion of lending commitments as of June 2011 and December 2010, respectively. Excludes approximately \$14 billion of loans as of both June 2011 and December 2010, and lending commitments with a total notional value of approximately \$4 billion and \$3 billion as of June 2011 and December 2010, respectively, that are risk managed as part of market risk using VaR and sensitivity measures.
2. Represents credit exposure, net of securities collateral received on resale agreements and securities borrowed and net of cash received on repurchase agreements and securities loaned. These amounts are significantly lower than the amounts recorded on the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition, which represent fair value or contractual value before consideration of collateral received.
3. EMEA (Europe, Middle East and Africa).

Table of Contents

Operational Risk Management

Overview

Operational risk is the risk of loss resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes, people and systems or from external events. Our exposure to operational risk arises from routine processing errors as well as extraordinary incidents, such as major systems failures. Potential types of loss events related to internal and external operational risk include:

- clients, products and business practices;
- execution, delivery and process management;
- business disruption and system failures;
- employment practices and workplace safety;
- damage to physical assets;
- internal fraud; and
- external fraud.

The firm maintains a comprehensive control framework designed to provide a well-controlled environment to minimize operational risks. The Firmwide Operational Risk Committee provides oversight of the ongoing development and implementation of our operational risk policies and framework. Our Operational Risk Management department (Operational Risk Management) is a risk management function independent of our revenue-producing units and is responsible for developing and implementing policies, methodologies and a formalized framework for operational risk management with the goal of minimizing our exposure to operational risk.

Operational Risk Management Process

Managing operational risk requires timely and accurate information as well as a strong control culture. We seek to manage our operational risk through:

- the training, supervision and development of our people;
- the active participation of senior management in identifying and mitigating key operational risks across the firm;
- independent control and support functions that monitor operational risk on a daily basis and have instituted extensive policies and procedures and implemented controls designed to prevent the occurrence of operational risk events;
- proactive communication between our revenue-producing units and our independent control and support functions; and
- a network of systems throughout the firm to facilitate the collection of data used to analyze and assess our operational risk exposure.

We combine top-down and bottom-up approaches to manage and measure operational risk. From a top-down perspective, the firm's senior management assesses firmwide and business level operational risk profiles. From a bottom-up perspective, revenue-producing units and independent control and support functions are responsible for risk management on a day-to-day basis, including identifying, mitigating, and escalating operational risks to senior management.

Our operational risk framework is in part designed to comply with the operational risk measurement rules under Basel 2 and has evolved based on the changing needs of our businesses and regulatory guidance. Our framework includes the following practices:

Risk identification and reporting;

Risk measurement; and

Risk monitoring.

Internal Audit performs a review of our operational risk framework, including our key controls, processes and applications, on an annual basis to ensure the effectiveness of our framework.

Risk Identification and Reporting

The core of our operational risk management framework is risk identification and reporting. We have a comprehensive data collection process, including firmwide policies and procedures, for operational risk events.

We have established policies that require managers in our revenue-producing units and our independent control and support functions to escalate operational risk events. When operational risk events are identified, our policies require that the events be documented and analyzed to determine whether changes are required in the firm's systems and/or processes to further mitigate the risk of future events.

In addition, our firmwide systems capture internal operational risk event data, key metrics such as transaction volumes, and statistical information such as performance trends. We use an internally-developed operational risk management application to aggregate and organize this information. Managers from both revenue-producing units and independent control and support functions analyze the information to evaluate operational risk exposures and identify businesses, activities or products with heightened levels of operational risk. We also provide operational risk reports to senior management, risk committees and the Board periodically.

Table of Contents

Risk Measurement

We measure the firm's operational risk exposure over a twelve-month time horizon using scenario analyses, together with qualitative assessments of the potential frequency and extent of potential operational risk losses, for each of the firm's businesses. Operational risk measurement incorporates qualitative and quantitative assessments of factors including:

internal and external operational risk event data;

assessments of the firm's internal controls;

evaluations of the complexity of the firm's business activities;

the degree of and potential for automation in the firm's processes;

new product information;

the legal and regulatory environment;

changes in the markets for the firm's products and services, including the diversity and sophistication of the firm's customers and counterparties; and

the liquidity of the capital markets and the reliability of the infrastructure that supports the capital markets.

The results from these scenario analyses are used to monitor changes in operational risk and to determine business lines that may have heightened exposure to operational risk. These analyses ultimately are used to determine the appropriate level of operational risk capital to hold.

Risk Monitoring

We evaluate changes in the operational risk profile of the firm and its businesses, including changes in business mix or jurisdictions in which the firm operates, by monitoring these factors at a firmwide, entity and business level. The firm has both detective and preventive internal controls, which are designed to reduce the frequency and severity of operational risk losses and the probability of operational risk events. We monitor the results of assessments and independent internal audits of these internal controls.

Recent Accounting Developments

See Note 3 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-Q for information about Recent Accounting Developments.

Certain Risk Factors That May Affect Our Businesses

We face a variety of risks that are substantial and inherent in our businesses, including market, liquidity, credit, operational, legal, regulatory and reputational risks. For a discussion of how management seeks to manage some of these risks, see Overview and Structure of Risk Management. A summary of the more important factors that could affect our businesses follows. For a further discussion of these and other important factors that could affect our businesses, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows and liquidity, see Risk Factors in Part I, Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Our businesses have been and may continue to be adversely affected by conditions in the global financial markets and economic conditions generally.

Our businesses have been and may be adversely affected by declining asset values. This is particularly true for those businesses in which we have net long positions, receive fees based on the value of assets managed, or receive or post collateral.

Our businesses have been and may be adversely affected by disruptions in the credit markets, including reduced access to credit and higher costs of obtaining credit.

Our market-making activities have been and may be affected by changes in the levels of market volatility.

Our investment banking, client execution and investment management businesses have been adversely affected and may continue to be adversely affected by market uncertainty or lack of confidence among investors and CEOs due to general declines in economic activity and other unfavorable economic, geopolitical or market conditions.

Our investment management business may be affected by the poor investment performance of our investment products.

We may incur losses as a result of ineffective risk management processes and strategies.

Our liquidity, profitability and businesses may be adversely affected by an inability to access the debt capital markets or to sell assets or by a reduction in our credit ratings or by an increase in our credit spreads.

Conflicts of interest are increasing and a failure to appropriately identify and address conflicts of interest could adversely affect our businesses.

Table of Contents

Group Inc. is a holding company and is dependent for liquidity on payments from its subsidiaries, many of which are subject to restrictions.

Our businesses, profitability and liquidity may be adversely affected by deterioration in the credit quality of, or defaults by, third parties who owe us money, securities or other assets or whose securities or obligations we hold.

Concentration of risk increases the potential for significant losses in our market-making, underwriting, investing and lending activities.

The financial services industry is highly competitive.

We face enhanced risks as new business initiatives lead us to transact with a broader array of clients and counterparties and expose us to new asset classes and new markets.

Derivative transactions and delayed settlements may expose us to unexpected risk and potential losses.

Our businesses may be adversely affected if we are unable to hire and retain qualified employees.

Our businesses and those of our clients are subject to extensive and pervasive regulation around the world.

We may be adversely affected by increased governmental and regulatory scrutiny or negative publicity.

A failure in our operational systems or infrastructure, or those of third parties, could impair our liquidity, disrupt our businesses, result in the disclosure of confidential information, damage our reputation and cause losses.

Substantial legal liability or significant regulatory action against us could have material adverse financial effects or cause us significant reputational harm, which in turn could seriously harm our business prospects.

The growth of electronic trading and the introduction of new trading technology may adversely affect our business and may increase competition.

Our commodities activities, particularly our power generation interests and our physical commodities activities, subject us to extensive regulation, potential catastrophic events and environmental, reputational and other risks that may expose us to significant liabilities and costs.

In conducting our businesses around the world, we are subject to political, economic, legal, operational and other risks that are inherent in operating in many countries.

We may incur losses as a result of unforeseen or catastrophic events, including the emergence of a pandemic, terrorist attacks or natural disasters.

On August 5, 2011, Standard & Poor's lowered the long-term sovereign credit rating of U.S. Government debt obligations from AAA to AA+. On August 8, 2011, S&P also downgraded the long-term credit ratings of U.S. government-sponsored enterprises. These actions initially have had an adverse effect on financial markets and although we are unable to predict the longer-term impact on such markets and the participants therein, it might be material and adverse.

Cautionary Statement Pursuant to the U.S. Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995

We have included or incorporated by reference in this Form 10-Q, and from time to time our management may make, statements that may constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the safe harbor provisions of the U.S. Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements are not historical facts, but instead represent only our beliefs regarding future events, many of which, by their nature, are inherently uncertain and outside our control. It is possible that our actual results and financial condition may differ, possibly materially, from the anticipated results and financial condition indicated in these forward-looking statements. For a discussion of some of the risks and important factors that could affect our future results and financial condition, see Certain Risk Factors That May Affect Our Businesses above, as well as Risk Factors in Part I, Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

Statements about our investment banking transaction backlog also may constitute forward-looking statements. Such statements are subject to the risk that the terms of these transactions may be modified or that they may not be completed at all; therefore, the net revenues, if any, that we actually earn from these transactions may differ, possibly materially, from those currently expected. Important factors that could result in a modification of the terms of a transaction or a transaction not being completed include, in the case of underwriting transactions, a decline or continued weakness in general economic conditions, outbreak of hostilities, volatility in the securities markets generally or an adverse development with respect to the issuer of the securities and, in the case of financial advisory transactions, a decline in the securities markets, an inability to obtain adequate financing, an adverse development with respect to a party to the transaction or a failure to obtain a required regulatory approval. For a discussion of other important factors that could adversely affect our investment banking transactions, see **Certain Risk Factors That May Affect Our Businesses** above, as well as **Risk Factors** in Part I, Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk are set forth under **Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Market Risk Management** in Part I, Item 2 above.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this report, an evaluation was carried out by Goldman Sachs management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act)). Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that these disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this report. In addition, no change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act) occurred during our most recent quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

We are involved in a number of judicial, regulatory and arbitration proceedings concerning matters arising in connection with the conduct of our businesses. Many of these proceedings are at preliminary stages, and many of these cases seek an indeterminate amount of damages. However, we believe, based on currently available information, that the results of such proceedings, in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, but may be material to our operating results for any particular period, depending, in part, upon the operating results for such period. Given the range of litigation and investigations presently under way, our litigation expenses can be expected to remain high. See **Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Use of Estimates** in Part I, Item 2 of this Form 10-Q. See Note 27 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-Q for information on certain judicial, regulatory and legal proceedings.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds**

The table below sets forth the information with respect to purchases made by or on behalf of The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. or any affiliated purchaser (as

defined in Rule 10b-18(a)(3) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) of our common stock during the three months ended June 30, 2011.

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs ¹	Maximum Number of Shares That May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs ¹
Month #1 (April 1, 2011 to April 30, 2011)	840,733	\$ 152.30	840,733	25,715,643
Month #2 (May 1, 2011 to May 31, 2011)	4,457,892	141.20	4,457,892	21,257,751
Month #3 (June 1, 2011 to June 30, 2011)	5,466,641	135.55	5,466,641	15,791,110
Total	10,765,266		10,765,266	

1. On March 21, 2000, we announced that the Board of Directors of Group Inc. (Board) had approved a repurchase program, pursuant to which up to 15 million shares of our common stock may be repurchased. This repurchase program was increased by an aggregate of 355 million shares by resolutions of our Board adopted on June 18, 2001, March 18, 2002, November 20, 2002, January 30, 2004, January 25, 2005, September 16, 2005, September 11, 2006, December 17, 2007 and July 18, 2011. We seek to use our share repurchase program to help maintain the appropriate level of common equity and to substantially offset increases in share count over time resulting from employee share-based compensation. The repurchase program is effected primarily through regular open-market purchases, the amounts and timing of which are determined primarily by our current and projected capital positions (i.e., comparisons of our desired level and composition of capital to our actual level and composition of capital) and the issuance of shares resulting from employee share-based compensation, but which

may also be influenced by general market conditions and the prevailing price and trading volumes of our common stock.

The total remaining authorization under the firm's repurchase program was 90,791,110 shares as of July 18, 2011; the repurchase program has no set expiration or termination date. Any repurchase of our common stock requires approval by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibits

- 12.1 Statement re: Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges and Ratios of Earnings to Combined Fixed Charges and Preferred Stock Dividends.
- 15.1 Letter re: Unaudited Interim Financial Information.
- 31.1 Rule 13a-14(a) Certifications.*
- 32.1 Section 1350 Certifications.*
- 101 Interactive data files pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T: (i) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010, (ii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, (iii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and year ended December 31, 2010, (iv) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010, (v) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010, and (vi) the notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.*

* This information is furnished and not filed for purposes of Sections 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.

Name: David A. Viniar
By: /s/ David A. Viniar
Title: Chief Financial Officer

Name: Sarah E. Smith
By: /s/ Sarah E. Smith
Title: Principal Accounting Officer

Date: August 8, 2011

170