MINDSPEED TECHNOLOGIES, INC Form 8-K April 19, 2011

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION **WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549**

FORM 8-K

CURRENT REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE **SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934** Date of Report (date of earliest event reported): April 13, 2011

MINDSPEED TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Delaware

001-31650 (Commission File Number) 01-0616769

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)

4000 MacArthur Boulevard, East Tower Newport Beach, California 92660-3095

(Address of Principal Executive Offices) (Zip Code) (949) 579-3000

> (Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Check the appropriate box below if the Form 8-K filing is intended to simultaneously satisfy the filing obligation of the registrant under any of the following provisions:

- Written communications pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act (17 CFR 230.425)
- Soliciting material pursuant to Rule 14a-12 under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14a-12) o
- Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 14d-2(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14d-2(b)) 0
- Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 13e-4(c) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13e-4(c)) 0

Item 5.02 Departure of Directors or Certain Officers; Election of Directors; Appointment of Certain Officers; Compensatory Arrangements of Certain Officers.

On April 13, 2011, Bret W. Johnsen, Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Mindspeed Technologies, Inc. (the Company), resigned from his positions with the Company, effective as of May 6, 2011, to pursue other professional interests outside of the semiconductor industry. The Company intends to initiate an immediate search for a new Chief Financial Officer.

On April 18, 2011, Kristen M. Schmidt was appointed the Company s Interim Chief Financial Officer, Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer, each effective May 6, 2011, and will serve in such positions until a permanent Chief Financial Officer is appointed. Ms. Schmidt, 40, has served as the Company s Executive Director, Finance, since July 2010, where she leads the Company s strategic planning process and sales operations and oversees the Company s treasury function, and as Director, Finance, from December 2005 to July 2010. Ms. Schmidt previously held increasingly senior positions within Finance at the Company and with its predecessor companies.

Item 9.01 Financial Statements and Exhibits.

(d) Exhibits.

Exhibit Description

Press Release of the Company, dated April 19, 2011, regarding the departure of Mr. Johnsen and the appointment of Ms. Schmidt as Interim Chief Financial Officer.

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

MINDSPEED TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Date: April 18, 2011 By: /s/ Brandi R. Steege

Brandi R. Steege

Vice President, Legal, and Secretary

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Description

Press Release of the Company, dated April 19, 2011, regarding the departure of Mr. Johnsen and the appointment of Ms. Schmidt as Interim Chief Financial Officer.

states that these efforts must be undertaken at a deliberate pace, which takes into account the impact that these changes will have on borrowers and the housing market.

The report states that the government is committed to ensuring that Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae have sufficient capital to perform under any guarantees issued now or in the future and the ability to meet any of their debt obligations, and further states that the Obama Administration will not pursue policies or reforms in a way that would impair the ability of Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae to honor their obligations. The report states the Obama Administration s belief that under the companies senior preferred stock purchase agreements with Treasury, there is sufficient funding to ensure the orderly and deliberate wind down of Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae, as described in the Administration s plan.

The report identifies a number of policy levers that could be used to wind down Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae, shrink the government s footprint in housing finance, and help bring private capital back to the mortgage market, including increasing guarantee fees, phasing in a 10% down payment requirement, reducing conforming loan limits, and winding down Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae s investment portfolios, consistent with the senior preferred stock purchase agreements.

These recommendations, if implemented, would have a material impact on our business volumes, market share, results of operations and financial condition. We cannot predict the extent to which these recommendations will be implemented or when any actions to implement them may be taken. However, we are not aware of any current plans of our Conservator to significantly change our business model or capital structure in the near-term.

Management is continuing its efforts to identify and evaluate actions that could be taken to reduce the significant uncertainties surrounding our business, as well as the level of future draws under the Purchase Agreement; however, our ability to pursue such actions may be limited by market conditions and other factors. Our future draws are dictated by the terms of the Purchase Agreement. Any actions we take will likely require approval by FHFA and Treasury before they are implemented. FHFA will regulate any actions we take related to the uncertainties surrounding our business. In addition, FHFA, Treasury, or Congress may have a different perspective from management and may direct us to focus our efforts on supporting the mortgage markets in ways that make it more difficult for us to implement any such actions.

Purchase Agreement

Overview

The Conservator, acting on our behalf, entered into the Purchase Agreement on September 7, 2008. The Purchase Agreement was subsequently amended and restated on September 26, 2008, and further amended on May 6, 2009 and December 24, 2009. Under the December 2009 amendment to the Purchase Agreement, the \$200 billion maximum amount of the commitment from Treasury will increase as necessary to accommodate any cumulative reduction in our net worth during 2010, 2011 and 2012. If we do not have a capital surplus (*i.e.*, positive net worth) at the end of 2012, then the amount of funding available after 2012 will be \$149.3 billion (\$200 billion funding commitment reduced by

cumulative draws for net worth deficits through December 31, 2009). In the event we have a capital surplus at the end of 2012, then the amount of funding available after 2012 will depend on the size of that surplus relative to cumulative draws needed for deficits during 2010 to 2012, as follows:

If the year-end 2012 surplus is lower than the cumulative draws needed for 2010 to 2012, then the amount of available funding is \$149.3 billion less the surplus.

If the year-end 2012 surplus exceeds the cumulative draws for 2010 to 2012, then the amount of available funding is \$149.3 billion less the amount of those draws.

The Purchase Agreement requires Treasury, upon the request of the Conservator, to provide funds to us after any quarter in which we have a negative net worth (that is, our total liabilities exceed our total assets, as reflected on our GAAP balance sheet). In addition, the Purchase Agreement requires Treasury, upon the request of the Conservator, to provide funds to us if the Conservator determines, at any time, that it will be mandated by law to appoint a receiver for us unless we receive these funds from Treasury. In exchange for Treasury s funding commitment, we issued to Treasury, as an aggregate initial commitment fee: (a) one million shares of Variable Liquidation Preference Senior Preferred Stock (with an initial liquidation preference of \$1 billion), which we refer to as the senior preferred stock; and (b) a warrant to purchase, for a nominal price, shares of our common stock equal to 79.9% of the total number of shares of our common stock outstanding on a fully diluted basis at the time the warrant is exercised, which we refer to as the warrant. We received no other consideration from Treasury for issuing the senior preferred stock or the warrant.

Under the terms of the Purchase Agreement, Treasury is entitled to a dividend of 10% per year, paid on a quarterly basis (which increases to 12% per year if not paid timely and in cash) on the aggregate liquidation preference of the senior preferred stock, consisting of the initial liquidation preference of \$1 billion plus funds we receive from Treasury and any

197 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

dividends and commitment fees not paid in cash. To the extent we draw on Treasury s funding commitment, the liquidation preference of the senior preferred stock is increased by the amount of funds we receive. The senior preferred stock is senior in liquidation preference to our common stock and all other series of preferred stock.

In addition to the issuance of the senior preferred stock and warrant, we are required under the Purchase Agreement to pay a quarterly commitment fee to Treasury. Under the Purchase Agreement, the fee is to be determined in an amount mutually agreed to by us and Treasury with reference to the market value of Treasury s funding commitment as then in effect, and reset every five years. We may elect to pay the quarterly commitment fee in cash or add the amount of the fee to the liquidation preference of the senior preferred stock. Treasury may waive the quarterly commitment fee for up to one year at a time, in its sole discretion, based on adverse conditions in the U.S. mortgage market. The fee was originally scheduled to commence on March 31, 2010, but was delayed until March 31, 2011 pursuant to an amendment to the Purchase Agreement. Treasury waived the fee for the first quarter of 2011, but has indicated that it remains committed to protecting taxpayers and ensuring that our future positive earnings are returned to taxpayers as compensation for their investment. Treasury stated that it would reevaluate whether the quarterly commitment fee should be set in the second quarter of 2011. Absent Treasury waiving the commitment fee in the second quarter of 2011, this quarterly commitment fee will begin accruing on April 1, 2011 and must be paid each quarter for as long as the Purchase Agreement is in effect. The amount of the fee has not yet been determined and could be substantial.

Under the Purchase Agreement, our ability to repay the liquidation preference of the senior preferred stock is limited and we may not be able to do so for the foreseeable future, if at all. The aggregate liquidation preference of the senior preferred stock and our related dividend obligations will increase further if we receive additional draws under the Purchase Agreement or if any dividends or quarterly commitment fees payable under the Purchase Agreement are not paid in cash. The amounts payable for dividends on the senior preferred stock are substantial and will have an adverse impact on our financial position and net worth.

The payment of dividends on our senior preferred stock in cash reduces our net worth. For periods in which our earnings and other changes in equity do not result in positive net worth, draws under the Purchase Agreement effectively fund the cash payment of senior preferred dividends to Treasury. It is unlikely that, over the long-term, we will generate net income or comprehensive income in excess of our annual dividends payable to Treasury, although we may experience period-to-period variability in earnings and comprehensive income. As a result, we expect to make additional draws in future periods.

While we are not aware of any current plans of our Conservator to significantly change our business model or capital structure in the near-term, there are likely to be significant changes beyond the near-term that we expect to be decided by the Obama Administration and Congress.

The Purchase Agreement includes significant restrictions on our ability to manage our business, including limiting the amount of indebtedness we can incur and capping the size of our mortgage-related investments portfolio. While the senior preferred stock is outstanding, we are prohibited from paying dividends (other than on the senior preferred stock) or issuing equity securities without Treasury s consent.

The Purchase Agreement has an indefinite term and can terminate only in limited circumstances, which do not include the end of the conservatorship. The Purchase Agreement therefore could continue after the conservatorship ends. Treasury has the right to exercise the warrant, in whole or in part, at any time on or before September 7, 2028.

Purchase Agreement Covenants

The Purchase Agreement provides that, until the senior preferred stock is repaid or redeemed in full, we may not, without the prior written consent of Treasury:

declare or pay any dividend (preferred or otherwise) or make any other distribution with respect to any Freddie Mac equity securities (other than with respect to the senior preferred stock or warrant);

redeem, purchase, retire or otherwise acquire any Freddie Mac equity securities (other than the senior preferred stock or warrant);

sell or issue any Freddie Mac equity securities (other than the senior preferred stock, the warrant and the common stock issuable upon exercise of the warrant and other than as required by the terms of any binding agreement in effect on the date of the Purchase Agreement);

terminate the conservatorship (other than in connection with a receivership);

sell, transfer, lease or otherwise dispose of any assets, other than dispositions for fair market value: (a) to a limited life regulated entity (in the context of a receivership); (b) of assets and properties in the ordinary course of business, consistent with past practice; (c) in connection with our liquidation by a receiver; (d) of cash or cash equivalents for

198 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

cash or cash equivalents; or (e) to the extent necessary to comply with the covenant described below relating to the reduction of our mortgage-related investments portfolio beginning in 2010;

issue any subordinated debt;

enter into a corporate reorganization, recapitalization, merger, acquisition or similar event; or

engage in transactions with affiliates unless the transaction is: (a) pursuant to the Purchase Agreement, the senior preferred stock or the warrant; (b) upon arm s length terms; or (c) a transaction undertaken in the ordinary course or pursuant to a contractual obligation or customary employment arrangement in existence on the date of the Purchase Agreement.

The covenants also apply to our subsidiaries.

The Purchase Agreement also provides that we may not own mortgage assets with a UPB in excess of:
(a) \$900 billion on December 31, 2009; or (b) on December 31 of each year thereafter, 90% of the aggregate amount of mortgage assets we are permitted to own as of December 31 of the immediately preceding calendar year, provided that we are not required to own less than \$250 billion in mortgage assets. Under the Purchase Agreement, we also may not incur indebtedness that would result in the par value of our aggregate indebtedness exceeding 120% of the amount of mortgage assets we are permitted to own on December 31 of the immediately preceding calendar year. The mortgage asset and indebtedness limitations are determined without giving effect to any change in the accounting standards related to transfers of financial assets and consolidation of VIEs or any similar accounting standard. Therefore, these limitations were not affected by our implementation of the changes to the accounting standards for transfers of financial assets and consolidation of VIEs, under which we consolidated our single-family PCs and certain Other Guarantee Transactions in our financial statements as of January 1, 2010.

In addition, the Purchase Agreement provides that we may not enter into any new compensation arrangements or increase amounts or benefits payable under existing compensation arrangements of any named executive officer or other executive officer (as such terms are defined by SEC rules) without the consent of the Director of FHFA, in consultation with the Secretary of the Treasury.

We are required under the Purchase Agreement to provide annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and current reports on Form 8-K to Treasury in accordance with the time periods specified in the SEC s rules. In addition, our designated representative (which, during the conservatorship, is the Conservator) is required to provide quarterly certifications to Treasury concerning compliance with the covenants contained in the Purchase Agreement and the accuracy of the representations made pursuant to the agreement. We also are obligated to provide prompt notice to Treasury of the occurrence of specified events, such as the filing of a lawsuit that would reasonably be expected to have a material adverse effect.

Warrant Covenants

The warrant we issued to Treasury includes, among others, the following covenants: (a) our SEC filings under the Exchange Act will comply in all material respects as to form with the Exchange Act and the rules and regulations thereunder; (b) we may not permit any of our significant subsidiaries to issue capital stock or equity securities, or securities convertible into or exchangeable for such securities, or any stock appreciation rights or other profit participation rights; (c) we may not take any action that will result in an increase in the par value of our common stock; (d) we may not take any action to avoid the observance or performance of the terms of the warrant and we must take all actions necessary or appropriate to protect Treasury s rights against impairment or dilution; and (e) we must provide Treasury with prior notice of specified actions relating to our common stock, such as setting a record date for

a dividend payment, granting subscription or purchase rights, authorizing a recapitalization, reclassification, merger or similar transaction, commencing a liquidation of the company or any other action that would trigger an adjustment in the exercise price or number or amount of shares subject to the warrant.

Termination Provisions

The Purchase Agreement provides that the Treasury s funding commitment will terminate under any of the following circumstances: (a) the completion of our liquidation and fulfillment of Treasury s obligations under its funding commitment at that time; (b) the payment in full of, or reasonable provision for, all of our liabilities (whether or not contingent, including mortgage guarantee obligations); and (c) the funding by Treasury of the maximum amount of the commitment under the Purchase Agreement. In addition, Treasury may terminate its funding commitment and declare the Purchase Agreement null and void if a court vacates, modifies, amends, conditions, enjoins, stays or otherwise affects the appointment of the Conservator or otherwise curtails the Conservator s powers. Treasury may not terminate its funding commitment under the Purchase Agreement solely by reason of our being in conservatorship, receivership or other insolvency proceeding, or due to our financial condition or any adverse change in our financial condition.

199 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

Waivers and Amendments

The Purchase Agreement provides that most provisions of the agreement may be waived or amended by mutual written agreement of the parties; however, no waiver or amendment of the agreement is permitted that would decrease Treasury s aggregate funding commitment or add conditions to Treasury s funding commitment if the waiver or amendment would adversely affect in any material respect the holders of our debt securities or Freddie Mac mortgage guarantee obligations.

Third-party Enforcement Rights

In the event of our default on payments with respect to our debt securities or Freddie Mac mortgage guarantee obligations, if Treasury fails to perform its obligations under its funding commitment and if we and/or the Conservator are not diligently pursuing remedies in respect of that failure, the holders of these debt securities or Freddie Mac mortgage guarantee obligations may file a claim in the United States Court of Federal Claims for relief requiring Treasury to fund to us the lesser of: (a) the amount necessary to cure the payment defaults on our debt and Freddie Mac mortgage guarantee obligations; and (b) the lesser of: (i) the deficiency amount; and (ii) the maximum amount of the commitment less the aggregate amount of funding previously provided under the commitment. Any payment that Treasury makes under those circumstances will be treated for all purposes as a draw under the Purchase Agreement that will increase the liquidation preference of the senior preferred stock.

Impact of the Purchase Agreement and FHFA Regulation on the Mortgage-Related Investments Portfolio

Under the terms of the Purchase Agreement and FHFA regulation, our mortgage-related investments portfolio is subject to a cap that decreases by 10% each year until the portfolio reaches \$250 billion. As a result, the UPB of our mortgage-related investments portfolio could not exceed \$810 billion as of December 31, 2010 and may not exceed \$729 billion as of December 31, 2011. The UPB of our mortgage-related investments portfolio, for purposes of the limit imposed by the Purchase Agreement and FHFA regulation, was \$697 billion at December 31, 2010. The annual 10% reduction in the size of our mortgage-related investments portfolio is calculated based on the maximum allowable size of the mortgage-related investments portfolio, rather than the actual UPB of the mortgage-related investments portfolio, as of December 31 of the preceding year. The limitation will be determined without giving effect to any change in the accounting standards related to transfers of financial assets and consolidation of VIEs or any similar accounting standard.

Government Support for our Business

We are dependent upon the continued support of Treasury and FHFA in order to continue operating our business. Our ability to access funds from Treasury under the Purchase Agreement is critical to keeping us solvent and avoiding the appointment of a receiver by FHFA under statutory mandatory receivership provisions.

Significant developments during 2010 with respect to the support we receive from the government include the following:

we received \$12.5 billion in funding from Treasury under the Purchase Agreement relating to our quarterly net worth deficits in 2010, which increased the aggregate liquidation preference of the senior preferred stock to \$64.2 billion as of December 31, 2010.

we paid dividends of \$5.7 billion in cash on the senior preferred stock to Treasury at the direction of the Conservator.

To address our \$401 million deficit in net worth as of December 31, 2010, FHFA, as Conservator, will submit a draw request, on our behalf, to Treasury under the Purchase Agreement in the amount of \$500 million. We expect to receive these funds by March 31, 2011. Upon funding of this draw request:

the aggregate liquidation preference on the senior preferred stock owned by Treasury will increase from \$64.2 billion as of December 31, 2010 to \$64.7 billion; and

the corresponding annual cash dividends payable to Treasury will increase to \$6.47 billion, which exceeds our annual historical earnings in all but one period.

To date, we have paid \$10.0 billion in cash dividends on the senior preferred stock. Continued cash payment of senior preferred dividends will have an adverse impact on our future financial condition and net worth. In addition, cash payment of quarterly commitment fees payable to Treasury will negatively impact our future net worth over the long-term. Treasury waived the fee for the first quarter of 2011. The amount of the fee has not yet been established and could be substantial. As a result of additional draws and other factors: (a) the liquidation preference of, and the dividends we owe on, the senior preferred stock would increase and, therefore, we may need additional draws from Treasury in order to pay our dividend obligations; and (b) there is significant uncertainty as to our long-term financial sustainability.

See NOTE 9: DEBT SECURITIES AND SUBORDINATED BORROWINGS and NOTE 13: FREDDIE MAC STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (DEFICIT) for more information on the terms of the conservatorship and the agreements described above.

200 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

Housing Finance Agency Initiative

On October 19, 2009, we entered into a Memorandum of Understanding with Treasury, FHFA, and Fannie Mae, which sets forth the terms under which Treasury and, as directed by FHFA, we and Fannie Mae, would provide assistance, through three separate initiatives, to state and local HFAs so that the HFAs can continue to meet their mission of providing affordable financing for both single-family and multifamily housing. FHFA directed us and Fannie Mae to participate in the HFA initiative on a basis that is consistent with the goals of being commercially reasonable and safe and sound. Treasury s participation in these assistance initiatives does not affect the amount of funding that Treasury can provide to Freddie Mac under the terms of the Purchase Agreement.

From October 19, 2009 to December 31, 2009, we, Treasury, Fannie Mae, and participating HFAs entered into definitive agreements setting forth the respective parties obligations under this initiative. The initiatives are as follows:

TCLFP In December 2009, on a 50-50 pro rata basis, Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae agreed to provide \$8.2 billion of credit and liquidity support, including outstanding interest at the date of the guarantee, for variable rate demand obligations, or VRDOs, previously issued by HFAs. This support was provided through the issuance of guarantees, which provide credit enhancement to the holders of such VRDOs and also create an obligation to provide funds to purchase any VRDOs that are put by their holders and are not remarketed. Treasury provided a credit and liquidity backstop on the TCLFP. These guarantees, each of which expires on or before December 31, 2012, replaced existing liquidity facilities from other providers.

NIBP In December 2009, on a 50-50 pro rata basis, Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae agreed to issue in total \$15.3 billion of partially guaranteed pass-through securities backed by new single-family and certain new multifamily housing bonds issued by HFAs. Treasury purchased all of the pass-through securities issued by Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae. This initiative provided financing for HFAs to issue new housing bonds.

Treasury will bear the initial losses of principal up to 35% of total principal for these two initiatives combined, and thereafter Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae each will be responsible only for losses of principal on the securities that it issues to the extent that such losses are in excess of 35% of all losses under both initiatives. Treasury will bear all losses of unpaid interest. Under both initiatives, we and Fannie Mae were paid fees at the time bonds were securitized and also will be paid on-going fees.

The third initiative under the HFA initiative is described below:

Multifamily Credit Enhancement Initiative. Using existing housing bond credit enhancement products, Freddie Mac is providing a guarantee of new housing bonds issued by HFAs, which Treasury purchased from the HFAs. Treasury will not be responsible for a share of any losses incurred by us in this initiative.

Related Parties as a Result of Conservatorship

As a result of our issuance to Treasury of the warrant to purchase shares of our common stock equal to 79.9% of the total number of shares of our common stock outstanding, on a fully diluted basis, we are deemed a related party to the U.S. government. Except for the transactions with Treasury discussed above in Government Support for our Business and Housing Finance Agency Initiative as well as in NOTE 9: DEBT SECURITIES AND SUBORDINATED BORROWINGS, and NOTE 13: FREDDIE MAC STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (DEFICIT), no transactions outside of normal business activities have occurred between us and the U.S. government during the year ended December 31, 2010. In addition, we are deemed related parties with Fannie Mae as both we and Fannie Mae have the same relationships with FHFA and Treasury. All transactions between us and Fannie Mae have occurred in the normal course of business.

NOTE 4: VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES

We use securitization trusts in our securities issuance process. Prior to January 1, 2010, these trusts met the definition of QSPEs and were not subject to consolidation. Effective January 1, 2010, the concept of a QSPE was removed from GAAP and entities previously considered QSPEs were required to be evaluated for consolidation. In addition, effective January 1, 2010, the approach for determining the primary beneficiary of a VIE based solely on economic variability was removed from GAAP in favor of a more qualitative approach that focuses on power and economic exposure. Specifically, GAAP states that an enterprise will be deemed to have a controlling financial interest in, and thus be the primary beneficiary of, a VIE if it has both: (a) the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the VIE s economic performance; and (b) the right to receive benefits from the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE or the obligation to absorb losses of the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE. GAAP requires ongoing assessments of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary of a VIE. See NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES Consolidation and Equity Method of Accounting for further information regarding the consolidation of certain VIEs.

Based on our evaluation, we determined that we are the primary beneficiary of trusts that issue our single-family PCs and certain Other Guarantee Transactions. Therefore, effective January 1, 2010, we consolidated on our balance sheet the

201 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

assets and liabilities of these trusts at their UPB, with accrued interest, allowance for credit losses, or other-than-temporary impairments recognized as appropriate, using the practical expedient permitted upon adoption as we determined that calculation of carrying values was not practical. Other newly consolidated assets and liabilities that either do not have a UPB or are required to be carried at fair value were measured at fair value. After January 1, 2010, new consolidations of trust assets and liabilities are recorded at either their: (a) carrying value if the underlying assets are contributed by us to the trust and consolidated at the time of the transfer; or (b) fair value for the assets and liabilities that are consolidated under the securitization trusts established for our guarantor swap program, rather than their UPB.

In addition to our PC trusts, we are involved with numerous other entities that meet the definition of a VIE, as discussed below.

VIEs for which We are the Primary Beneficiary

PC Trusts

Our PC trusts issue pass-through securities that represent undivided beneficial interests in pools of mortgages held by these trusts. For our fixed-rate PCs, we guarantee the timely payment of interest and principal. For our ARM PCs, we guarantee the timely payment of the weighted average coupon interest rate for the underlying mortgage loans and the full and final payment of principal; we do not guarantee the timely payment of principal on ARM PCs. In exchange for providing this guarantee, we may receive a management and guarantee fee and up-front delivery fees. We issue most of our PCs in transactions in which our customers exchange mortgage loans for PCs. We refer to these transactions as guarantor swaps.

PCs are designed so that we bear the credit risk inherent in the loans underlying the PCs through our guarantee of principal and interest payments on the PCs. The PC holders bear the interest rate or prepayment risk on the mortgage loans and the risk that we will not perform on our obligation as guarantor. For purposes of our consolidation assessments, our evaluation of power and economic exposure with regard to PC trusts focuses on credit risk because the credit performance of the underlying mortgage loans was identified as the activity that most significantly impacts the economic performance of these entities. We have the power to impact the activities related to this risk in our role as guarantor and master servicer.

Specifically, in our role as master servicer, we establish requirements for how mortgage loans are serviced and what steps are to be taken to avoid credit losses (*e.g.*, modification, foreclosure). Additionally, in our capacity as guarantor, we have the ability to purchase defaulted mortgage loans out of the PC trust to help manage credit losses. See NOTE 5: MORTGAGE LOANS AND LOAN LOSS RESERVES for further information regarding our purchase of mortgage loans out of PC trusts. These powers allow us to direct the activities of the VIE (*i.e.*, the PC trust) that most significantly impact its economic performance. In addition, we determined that our guarantee to each PC trust to provide principal and interest payments exposes us to losses that could potentially be significant to the PC trusts. Accordingly, we concluded that we are the primary beneficiary of our single-family PC trusts.

At December 31, 2010, we were the primary beneficiary of, and therefore consolidated, PC trusts with assets totaling \$1.7 trillion, as measured using the UPB of PCs we issued. The assets of each PC trust can be used only to settle obligations of that trust. In connection with our PC trusts, we have credit protection in the form of primary mortgage insurance, pool insurance, recourse to lenders, and other forms of credit enhancement. We also have credit protection for certain of our PC trusts that issue PCs backed by loans or certificates of federal agencies (such as FHA, VA, and USDA). See NOTE 5: MORTGAGE LOANS AND LOAN LOSS RESERVES Credit Protection and Other Forms of Credit Enhancement for additional information regarding third-party credit enhancements related to our PC trusts.

Other Guarantee Transactions

Other Guarantee Transactions are mortgage-related securities that we issue to third parties in exchange for non-Freddie Mac mortgage-related securities. See NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES Securitization Activities through Issuances of Freddie Mac Mortgage-Related Securities for information on the nature of Other Guarantee Transactions. The degree to which our involvement with securitization trusts that issue Other Guarantee Transactions provides us with power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of these VIEs (*e.g.*, the ability to mitigate credit losses on the underlying assets of these entities) and exposure to benefits or losses that could potentially be significant to the VIEs (*e.g.*, the existence of third party credit enhancements) varies by transaction. Our consolidation determination took into consideration the specific facts and circumstances of our involvement with each of these entities, including our ability to direct or influence the performance of the underlying assets and our exposure to potentially significant variability based upon the design of each entity and its governing contractual arrangements. As a result, we have concluded that we are the primary beneficiary of certain Other Guarantee Transactions with underlying assets totaling \$15.8 billion at December 31, 2010. For those Other Guarantee Transactions that we do consolidate, the investors in these securities have recourse only to the assets of those VIEs.

202 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

Consolidated VIEs

Table 4.1 represents the carrying amounts and classification of the assets and liabilities of consolidated VIEs on our consolidated balance sheets.

Table 4.1 Assets and Liabilities of Consolidated VIEs

	December 3								
Consolidated Balance Sheets Line Item		2010	20	009					
		(in milli	ons)						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	1	\$	4					
Restricted cash and cash equivalents		7,514							
Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell		29,350							
Mortgage loans held-for-investment by consolidated trusts		1,646,172							
Accrued interest receivable		6,895							
Real estate owned, net		118							
Other assets		6,001		16					
Total assets of consolidated VIEs	\$	1,696,051	\$	20					
Accrued interest payable	\$	6,502	\$						
Debt securities of consolidated trusts held by third parties		1,528,648							
Other liabilities		3,851		15					
Total liabilities of consolidated VIEs	\$	1,539,001	\$	15					

VIEs for which We are not the Primary Beneficiary

Table 4.2 represents the carrying amounts and classification of the assets and liabilities recorded on our consolidated balance sheets related to our variable interests in non-consolidated VIEs, as well as our maximum exposure to loss as a result of our involvement with these VIEs. Our involvement with VIEs for which we are not the primary beneficiary generally takes one of two forms: (a) purchasing an investment in these entities; or (b) providing a guarantee to these entities. Our maximum exposure to loss for those VIEs in which we have purchased an investment is calculated as the maximum potential charge that we would recognize in our consolidated statements of operations if that investment were to become worthless. This amount does not include other-than-temporary impairments or other write-downs that we previously recognized through earnings. In instances where we provide financial guarantees to the VIEs, our maximum exposure represents the contractual amounts that could be lost under the guarantees if counterparties or borrowers defaulted, without consideration of possible recoveries under credit enhancement arrangements.

203 Freddie Mac

December 31, 2010

Table of Contents

Table 4.2 Variable Interests in VIEs for which We are not the Primary Beneficiary

	Inv	et-Backed vestment rusts ⁽¹⁾	Mortgage-l	Trust No Se	elated Security rusts Non-Freddie Mac Securities ⁽¹⁾ (in millions)		ecuritized ultifamily Loans ⁽³⁾	Other ⁽¹⁾⁽⁴⁾
Assets and Liabilities Recorded on our								
Consolidated Balance Sheets Assets:								
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	9,909	\$	\$		\$		\$
Restricted cash and cash equivalents		- /	52	·			34	464
Investments in securities:								
Available-for-sale, at fair value			85,689		137,568			
Trading, at fair value		44	13,437		18,914			
Mortgage loans:								
Held-for-investment, unsecuritized							78,448	
Held-for-sale							6,413	
Accrued interest receivable			419		717		372	5
Derivative assets, net								2
Other assets			277		6		23	381
Liabilities:			(2)					(14)
Derivative liabilities, net			(2)					(41)
Other liabilities			(408)		(3)		(36)	(1,034)
Maximum Exposure to Loss	\$	9,953	\$ 26,392	\$	176,533	\$	85,290	\$ 11,375
Total Assets of Non-Consolidated	Φ.	120 470	ф 20 , 260	Ф	1.026.075	ф	120 220	Φ 25 0 7 5
VIEs ⁽⁵⁾	\$	129,479	\$ 29,368	3	1,036,975)	138,330	\$ 25,875

- (1) For our involvement with non-consolidated asset-backed investment trusts, non-Freddie Mac security trusts and certain other VIEs where we do not provide a guarantee, our maximum exposure to loss is computed as the carrying amount if the security is classified as trading or the amortized cost if the security is classified as available-for-sale for our investments and related assets recorded on our consolidated balance sheets, including any unrealized amounts recorded in AOCI for securities classified as available-for-sale.
- (2) Freddie Mac securities include our variable interests in single-family multiclass REMICs and Other Structured Securities, Multifamily PCs, Multifamily Other Structured Securities, and Other Guarantee Transactions that we do not consolidate. For our variable interests in other Freddie Mac security trusts for which we have provided a guarantee, our maximum exposure to loss is the outstanding UPB of the underlying mortgage loans or securities that we have guaranteed, which is the maximum contractual amount under such guarantees. However, our investments in single-family REMICs and Other Structured Securities that are not consolidated do not give rise to any additional exposure to loss as we already consolidate the underlying collateral.
- (3) For unsecuritized multifamily loans, our maximum exposure to loss is based on the UPB of these loans, as adjusted for loan level basis adjustments, any associated allowance for loan losses, accrued interest receivable, and fair value adjustments on held-for-sale loans.
- (4) For other non-consolidated VIEs where we have provided a guarantee, our maximum exposure to loss is the contractual amount that could be lost under the guarantee if the counterparty or borrower defaulted, without

- consideration of possible recoveries under credit enhancement arrangements. The maximum exposure disclosed above is not representative of the actual loss we are likely to incur, based on our historical loss experience and after consideration of proceeds from related collateral liquidation including possible recoveries under credit enhancement arrangements.
- (5) Represents the remaining UPB of assets held by non-consolidated VIEs using the most current information available, where our continuing involvement is significant. We do not include the assets of our non-consolidated trusts related to single-family REMICs and Other Structured Securities in this amount as we already consolidate the underlying collateral of these trusts on our consolidated balance sheets.

Asset-Backed Investment Trusts

We invest in a variety of non-mortgage-related, asset-backed investment trusts. These investments represent interests in trusts consisting of a pool of receivables or other financial assets, typically credit card receivables, auto loans, or student loans. These trusts act as vehicles to allow originators to securitize assets. Securities are structured from the underlying pool of assets to provide for varying degrees of risk. Primary risks include potential loss from the credit risk and interest-rate risk of the underlying pool. The originators of the financial assets or the underwriters of the deal create the trusts and typically own the residual interest in the trust assets. See NOTE 8: INVESTMENTS IN SECURITIES for additional information regarding our asset-backed investments.

At December 31, 2010, we had investments in 23 asset-backed investment trusts in which we had a variable interest but were not considered the primary beneficiary. Our investments in these asset-backed investment trusts were made in 2010. At December 31, 2010, we were not the primary beneficiary of any such trusts because our investments are passive in nature and do not provide us with the power to direct the activities of the trusts that most significantly impact their economic performance. As such, our investments in these asset-backed investment trusts are accounted for as investment securities as described in NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES. Our investments in these trusts totaled \$10.0 billion and \$12.7 billion as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and are included as cash and cash equivalents, available-for-sale securities or trading securities on our consolidated balance sheets. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, we did not guarantee any obligations of these investment trusts and our exposure was limited to the amount of our investment.

Mortgage-Related Security Trusts

Freddie Mac Securities

Freddie Mac securities related to our variable interests in non-consolidated VIEs primarily consist of our REMICs and Other Structured Securities and Other Guarantee Transactions. REMICs and Other Structured Securities are created by using

204 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

PCs or previously issued REMICs and Other Structured Securities as collateral. Our involvement with the resecuritization trusts that issue these securities does not provide us with rights to receive benefits or obligations to absorb losses nor does it provide any power that would enable us to direct the most significant activities of these VIEs because the ultimate underlying assets are PCs for which we have already provided a guarantee (*i.e.*, all significant rights, obligations and powers are associated with the underlying PC trusts). As a result, we have concluded that we are not the primary beneficiary of these resecuritization trusts.

In Other Guarantee Transactions, non-Freddie Mac mortgage-related securities are used as collateral. At December 31, 2010, our involvement with certain Other Guarantee Transactions does not provide us with the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of these VIEs. As a result, we hold a variable interest in, but are not the primary beneficiary of, certain Other Guarantee Transactions.

For non-consolidated REMICs and Other Structured Securities and Other Guarantee Transactions, our investments are primarily included in either available-for-sale securities or trading securities on our consolidated balance sheets. See NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES Securitization Activities through Issuances of Freddie Mac Mortgage-Related Securities for additional information on accounting for purchases of PCs and beneficial interests issued by resecuritization trusts. Our investments in these trusts are funded through the issuance of unsecured debt, which is recorded as other debt on our consolidated balance sheets.

Non-Freddie Mac Securities

We invest in a variety of mortgage-related securities issued by third-parties, including non-Freddie Mac agency securities, CMBS, other private-label securities backed by various mortgage-related assets, and obligations of states and political subdivisions. These investments typically represent interests in trusts that consist of a pool of mortgage-related assets and act as vehicles to allow originators to securitize those assets. Securities are structured from the underlying pool of assets to provide for varying degrees of risk. Primary risks include potential loss from the credit risk and interest-rate risk of the underlying pool. The originators of the financial assets or the underwriters of the deal create the trusts and typically own the residual interest in the trust assets. See NOTE 8: INVESTMENTS IN SECURITIES for additional information regarding our non-Freddie Mac securities.

Our investments in these non-Freddie Mac securities were made between 1994 and 2010. At December 31, 2010, we were not the primary beneficiary of any such trusts because our investments are passive in nature and do not provide us with the power to direct the activities of the trusts that most significantly impact their economic performance. As such, our investments in these non-Freddie Mac mortgage-related securities are accounted for as investment securities as described in NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, we did not guarantee any obligations of these investment trusts and our exposure was limited to the amount of our investment. Our investments in these trusts are funded through the issuance of unsecured debt, which is recorded as other debt on our consolidated balance sheets.

Unsecuritized Multifamily Loans

We purchase from originators loans made to various multifamily real estate entities, and hold such loans for investment purposes or for securitization. While we primarily purchase such loans for investment purposes or for securitization, they also help us to fulfill our affordable housing goals. These real estate entities are primarily single-asset entities (typically partnerships or limited liability companies) established to acquire, construct, or rehabilitate residential properties, and subsequently to operate the properties as residential rental real estate. The loans we acquire usually make up 80% or less of the value of the related underlying property at origination. The remaining 20% of value is typically funded through equity contributions by the partners of the borrower entity. In certain cases, the 20% not funded through the loan we acquire also includes subordinate loans or mezzanine financing from

third-party lenders. There were more than 7,000 unsecuritized loans in our mortgage-related investments portfolio as of December 31, 2010.

The UPB of our investments in these loans was \$85.9 billion and \$83.9 billion as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and was included in unsecuritized held-for-investment mortgage loans, at amortized cost, and held-for-sale mortgage loans at fair value on our consolidated balance sheets. At December 31, 2010, we were not the primary beneficiary of any such entities because the loans we acquire are passive in nature and do not provide us with the power to direct the activities of these entities that most significantly impact their economic performance. See NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES Mortgage Loans and NOTE 5: MORTGAGE LOANS AND LOAN LOSS RESERVES for more information.

205 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

Other

Our involvement with other VIEs includes our investments in LIHTC partnerships, certain other mortgage-related guarantees, and certain short-term default and other guarantee commitments that we account for as derivatives:

Investments in LIHTC Partnerships: We hold an equity investment in various LIHTC fund partnerships that invest in lower-tier or project partnerships that are single asset entities. In February 2010, the Acting Director of FHFA, after consultation with Treasury, informed us that we may not sell or transfer our investments in LIHTC assets and that he sees no other disposition options. As a result, we wrote down the carrying value of our LIHTC investments to zero as of December 31, 2009, as we will not be able to realize any value either through reductions to our taxable income and related tax liabilities or through a sale to a third party.

Certain other mortgage-related guarantees: We have other guarantee commitments outstanding on multifamily housing revenue bonds that were issued by third parties. As part of certain other mortgage-related guarantees, we also provide commitments to advance funds, commonly referred to as liquidity guarantees, which require us to advance funds to enable third parties to purchase variable-rate multifamily housing revenue bonds, or certificates backed by such bonds, that cannot be remarketed within five business days after they are tendered to their holders.

Certain short-term default and other guarantee commitments accounted for as derivatives: Our involvements in these VIEs include our guarantee of the performance of interest-rate swap contracts in certain circumstances and credit derivatives we issued to guarantee the payments on multifamily loans or securities.

At December 31, 2010, we were not the primary beneficiary of any such VIEs because our involvements in these VIEs are passive in nature and do not provide us with the power to direct the activities of the VIEs that most significantly impact their economic performance. See Table 4.2 for the carrying amounts and classification of the assets and liabilities recorded on our consolidated balance sheets related to our variable interests in these non-consolidated VIEs, as well as our maximum exposure to loss as a result of our involvement with these VIEs. Also see NOTE 10: FINANCIAL GUARANTEES for additional information about our involvement with the VIEs related to mortgage-related guarantees and short-term default and other guarantee commitments discussed above.

NOTE 5: MORTGAGE LOANS AND LOAN LOSS RESERVES

We own both single-family mortgage loans, which are secured by one to four family residential properties, and multifamily mortgage loans, which are secured by properties with five or more residential rental units. For a discussion of our significant accounting policies regarding our mortgage loans and loan loss reserves, see NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES.

Table 5.1 summarizes the types of loans on our consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2010 and 2009. For periods ending prior to January 1, 2010, the balances do not include mortgage loans underlying Freddie Mac mortgage-related securities since these were not consolidated on our balance sheets at that time. See NOTE 4: VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES for further information regarding the assets and liabilities, including mortgage loans, underlying our consolidated VIEs.

206 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

Table 5.1 Mortgage Loans

	Unsecuritized	Total ions)	December 31, 2009 Unsecuritized			
Single-family:(1)						
Fixed-rate Amortizing	\$ 126,561	\$ 1,49	93,206 \$	1,619,767	\$	49,033
Interest-only	4,161		19,616	23,777	Ψ	425
•						
Total fixed-rate	130,722	1,5	12,822	1,643,544		49,458
Adjustable-rate						
Amortizing	3,625	;	59,851	63,476		1,250
Interest-only	13,018	:	58,792	71,810		1,060
Total adjustable-rate	16,643	1	18,643	135,286		2,310
Other Guarantee Transactions backed by non-Freddie Mac securities			15,580	15 500		
FHA/VA and USDA Rural Development	1,498		3,348	15,580 4,846		3,110
2 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1,.,0		2,2.0	.,0.0		2,110
Total single-family	148,863	1,6	50,393	1,799,256		54,878
Multifamily ⁽¹⁾ :						
Fixed-rate	72,679			72,679		71,936
Adjustable-rate	13,201			13,201		11,999
USDA Rural Development	3			3		3
Total multifamily	85,883			85,883		83,938
Total UPB of mortgage loans	234,746	1,6	50,393	1,885,139	1	138,816
Deferred fees, unamortized premiums, discounts and other cost basis adjustments Lower of cost or fair value adjustments on loans	(7,665)		7,423	(242)		(9,317)
held-for-sale ⁽²⁾	(311)			(311)		(188)
Allowance for loan losses on mortgage loans	` ,			, ,		, ,
held-for-investment	(28,047)	(11,644)	(39,691)		(1,441)
Total mortgage loans, net	\$ 198,723	\$ 1,6	46,172 \$	1,844,895	\$ 1	127,870
Mortgage loans, net: Held-for-investment	\$ 192,310	\$ 1,64	46,172 \$	1,838,482	\$ 1	111,565

22

Held-for-sale 6,413 6,413 16,305

Total mortgage loans, net \$ 198,723 \$ 1,646,172 \$ 1,844,895 \$ 127,870

- (1) Based on principal balances and excluding mortgage loans traded, but not yet settled.
- (2) Includes fair value adjustments associated with mortgage loans for which we have made a fair value election.

The decrease in mortgage loans held-for-sale and increase in mortgage loans held-for-investment from December 31, 2009 to December 31, 2010 is primarily due to a change in accounting for the consolidation of VIEs which resulted in our consolidation of assets underlying approximately \$1.8 trillion of our PCs and \$21 billion of certain Other Guarantee Transactions as of January 1, 2010. Upon adoption of the new accounting standards on January 1, 2010, we redesignated all single-family loans that were held-for-sale as held-for-investment, which totaled \$13.5 billion in UPB and resulted in the recognition of a lower-of-cost-or-fair-value adjustment, which was recorded as an \$80 million reduction in the beginning balance of retained earnings for 2010. As of December 31, 2010, our mortgage loans held-for-sale consist solely of multifamily mortgage loans that we purchased for securitization. Prior to January 1, 2010, in addition to multifamily loans purchased for securitization, we also had investments in single-family mortgage loans held-for-sale. See NOTE 2: CHANGE IN ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES for further information.

We purchased UPB of \$380.7 billion of single-family mortgage loans and \$3.2 billion of multifamily loans that were classified as held-for-investment at purchase in the year ended December 31, 2010. As discussed above, prior to January 1, 2010 the majority of our single-family loan purchases were classified as held-for-sale loans. Our sales of mortgage loans occur primarily through the issuance of multifamily Other Guarantee Transactions. See NOTE 10: FINANCIAL GUARANTEES for more information. We did not sell any held-for-investment loans during the year ended December 31, 2010. We did not have significant reclassifications of mortgage loans into held-for-sale in the year ended December 31, 2010. In 2009, for loans designated as held-for-sale for which we had not elected the fair value option, we evaluated the lower of cost or fair value for such loans each period by aggregating loans based on the mortgage product type. Beginning in 2010, we elected the fair value option for all of our held-for-sale loans. During 2009, we recognized \$679 million of lower of cost or fair value adjustments on our consolidated statement of operations related to held-for-sale mortgage loans.

Credit Quality of Mortgage Loans

We evaluate the credit quality of single-family loans using different criteria than the criteria we use to evaluate multifamily loans. The current LTV ratio is one key factor we consider when estimating our loan loss reserves for single-family loans. As estimated current LTV ratios increase, the borrower s equity in the home decreases, which negatively affects

207 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

the borrower s ability to refinance or to sell the property for an amount at or above the balance of the outstanding mortgage loan. If a borrower has an estimated current LTV ratio greater than 100%, the borrower is underwater and is more likely to default than other borrowers. Table 5.2 presents information on the estimated current LTV ratios of single-family loans held-for-investment on our consolidated balance sheets. Our current LTV ratio estimates are based on available data through December 31, 2010.

Table 5.2 Recorded Investment of Held-for-Investment Mortgage Loans, by LTV Ratio

		Estimated	Cu	s of Decem errent LTV 81 100 (in mi	R	atio ⁽¹⁾ > 100 ⁽²⁾		Total
Single-family loans: 20 and 30-year or more, amortizing fixed-rate	\$	704.882	\$	393,853	\$	216,388	\$	1,315,123
15-year amortizing fixed-rate	Ф	233,422	Ф	16,432	Φ	2,523	Ф	252,377
Adjustable-rate ⁽³⁾		34,252		13,273		9,149		56,674
Alt-A, interest-only, and option ARM ⁽⁴⁾		45,068		44,540		85,213		174,821
Total single-family loans	\$	1,017,624	\$	468,098	\$	313,273	\$	1,798,995
Multifamily loans								79,178
Total recorded investment of held-for-investment loans							\$	1,878,173

- (1) The current LTV ratios are management estimates, which are updated on a monthly basis. Current market values are estimated by adjusting the value of the property at origination based on changes in the market value of homes in the same geographical area since that time. The value of a property at origination is based on the sales price for purchase mortgages and third-party appraisal for refinance mortgages. Estimates of the current LTV ratio include the credit-enhanced portion of the loan and exclude any secondary financing by third parties.
- (2) The serious delinquency rate for the total of single-family mortgage loans with estimated current LTV ratios in excess of 100% was 14.9% as of December 31, 2010.
- (3) Includes balloon/reset mortgage loans and excludes option ARMs.
- (4) We discontinued purchases of Alt-A loans on March 1, 2009 (or later, as customers—contracts permitted), and interest-only loans effective September 1, 2010. Modified loans within the Alt-A category remain as such, even though the borrower may have provided full documentation of assets and income to complete the modification. Modified loans within the option ARM category remain as such even though the modified loan no longer provides for optional payment provisions.

For information about the payment status of single-family and multifamily mortgage loans, including the amount of such loans we deem impaired, see NOTE 6: INDIVIDUALLY IMPAIRED AND NON-PERFORMING LOANS. For a discussion of certain indicators of credit quality for the multifamily loans on our consolidated balance sheets, see NOTE 19: CONCENTRATION OF CREDIT AND OTHER RISKS Mortgages and Mortgage-Related Securities.

Allowance for Loan Losses and Reserve for Guarantee Losses, or Loan Loss Reserve

We maintain an allowance for loan losses on mortgage loans that we classify as held-for-investment on our consolidated balance sheets. Prior to consolidation of certain of our securitization trusts, we also maintained a reserve

for guarantee losses for mortgage loans held by these trusts. In 2010, our reserve for guarantee losses is associated with Freddie Mac mortgage-related securities backed by multifamily loans, certain single-family Other Guarantee Transactions, and other guarantee commitments, for which we have incremental credit risk, and this reserve is included within other liabilities on our consolidated balance sheets.

During the second quarter of 2010, we identified a backlog related to the processing of loan workouts reported to us by our servicers, principally loan modifications and short sales. This backlog in processing loan modifications and short sales resulted in erroneous loan data within our loan reporting systems, thereby impacting our financial accounting and reporting systems. The resulting error impacted our provision for credit losses and allowance for loan losses and affected our previously reported financial statements for the interim period ended March 31, 2010 and the interim 2009 periods and full year ended December 31, 2009. For additional information, see NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES Basis of Presentation *Out-of-Period Accounting Adjustment*.

208 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

Table 5.3 summarizes loan loss reserve activity.

Table 5.3 Detail of Loan Loss Reserves

				201	10	Year End	ded	Decembe	er 31	1,		2009	
		Allowance Los		r Loan									
			I	Held By	I	Reserve for			4 11		R	Reserve for	
		•	Coı	nsolidated	G	uarantee				owance for Loan	Gu	ıarantee	
	Uns	ecuritized		Trusts	Ι	Losses ⁽¹⁾ (in	n n	Total nillions)		Losses]	Losses	Total
Single-family: Beginning balance	\$	693	\$		\$	32,333	\$	33,026	\$	454	\$	14,887	\$ 15,341
Adjustments to beginning balance ⁽²⁾ Provision for credit				32,006		(32,192)		(186)					
losses Charge-offs ⁽³⁾ Recoveries ⁽³⁾ Transfers, net ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾		7,532 (12,856) 2,647 29,301		9,540 (3,351) 715 (27,266)		47 (11) (40)		17,119 (16,218) 3,362 1,995		524 (507) 222		28,432 (8,874) 1,866 (3,978)	28,956 (9,381) 2,088 (3,978)
Ending balance	\$	27,317	\$	11,644	\$	137	\$	39,098	\$	693	\$	32,333	\$ 33,026
Multifamily: Beginning balance Provision for credit	\$	748	\$		\$	83	\$	831	\$	236	\$	41	\$ 277
losses Charge-offs ⁽³⁾ Recoveries ⁽³⁾		84 (103)				15 (1)		99 (104)		533 (21)		41	574 (21)
Transfers, net ⁽⁵⁾		1				1		1				1	1
Ending balance	\$	730	\$		\$	98	\$	828	\$	748	\$	83	\$ 831
Total: Beginning balance Adjustments to beginning balance ⁽²⁾	\$	1,441	\$	32,006	\$	32,416 (32,192)	\$	33,857 (186)	\$	690	\$	14,928	\$ 15,618
Provision for credit		7.616								1.057		29 472	20.520
losses Charge-offs ⁽³⁾ Recoveries ⁽³⁾ Transfers, net ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾		7,616 (12,959) 2,648 29,301		9,540 (3,351) 715 (27,266)		62 (12) (39)		17,218 (16,322) 3,363 1,996		1,057 (528) 222		28,473 (8,874) 1,866 (3,977)	29,530 (9,402) 2,088 (3,977)

Ending balance \$ 28,047 \$ 11,644 \$ 235 \$ 39,926 \$ 1,441 \$ 32,416 \$ 33,857

- (1) All of these loans are collectively evaluated for impairments. Beginning January 1, 2010, our reserve for guarantee losses is included in other liabilities. See NOTE 23: SELECTED FINANCIAL STATEMENT LINE ITEMS for further information.
- (2) Adjustments relate to the adoption of the accounting standards for transfers of financial assets and consolidation of VIEs. See NOTE 2: CHANGE IN ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES for further information.
- (3) Charge-offs represent the amount of the UPB of a loan that has been discharged to remove the loan from our consolidated balance sheet due to either foreclosure, short sales or deed-in-lieu transactions. Charge-offs exclude \$528 million and \$280 million for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, related to certain loans purchased under financial guarantees and recorded as losses on loans purchased within other expenses on our consolidated statements of operations. Recoveries of charge-offs primarily result from foreclosure alternatives and REO acquisitions on loans where a share of default risk has been assumed by mortgage insurers, servicers or other third parties through credit enhancements.
- (4) In February 2010, we announced that we would purchase substantially all single-family mortgage loans that are 120 days or more delinquent from our PC trusts. We purchased \$127.5 billion in UPB of loans from PC trusts during 2010. As a result of these purchases, related amounts of our loan loss reserves were transferred from the allowance for loan losses held by consolidated trusts and the reserve for guarantee losses into the allowance for loan losses unsecuritized.
- (5) Consist primarily of: (a) approximately \$27.5 billion of reclassified single-family reserves during 2010 related to our purchases during the period of loans previously held by consolidated trusts (as discussed in endnote (3) above); (b) amounts related to agreements with seller/servicers where the transfer represents recoveries received under these agreements to compensate us for previously incurred and recognized losses; (c) the transfer of a proportional amount of the recognized reserves for guarantee losses associated with loans purchased from non-consolidated Freddie Mac mortgage-related securities and other guarantee commitments; and (d) net amounts attributable to recapitalization of past due interest on modified mortgage loans. See NOTE 19:

 CONCENTRATIONS OF CREDIT AND OTHER RISKS Mortgage Seller/Servicers for more information about recovery agreements with our seller/servicers in 2010, including GMAC Mortgage, LLC, Bank of America, N.A., and certain of their affiliates.

For delinquent loans placed on non-accrual status on our consolidated balance sheets, we reverse all past due interest. In most cases, when we modify a non-accrual loan, the past due interest on the original loan is recapitalized, or added to the principal of the new loan and reflected as a transfer into the reserve balance. Transfers, net in the table above, included \$1.1 billion in 2010 associated with recapitalization of past due interest.

209 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

Table 5.4 presents our loan losses and our recorded investment in mortgage loans by impairment evaluation methodology.

Table 5.4 Net Investment in Mortgage Loans

	De	ecember 31, 2010		December 31, 2009							
	Single-family	Multifamily	Total (in mill	Single-familyMultifamily ions)	Total						
Recorded investment: Collectively evaluated	\$ 1,762,490	\$ 76,541 \$	-,,	\$ 20,885 \$ 79,664 \$,						
Individually evaluated	36,505	2,637	39,142	11,036 1,421	12,457						
Total recorded investment Ending balance of the	1,798,995	79,178	1,878,173	31,921 81,085	113,006						
allowance: Collectively evaluated Individually evaluated	\$ (30,477) (8,484)	` '	(30,859) (8,832)		(1,062) (379)						
Total ending balance of the allowance	(38,961)	(730)	(39,691)	(693) (748)	(1,441)						
Net investment in mortgage loans	\$ 1,760,034	\$ 78,448 \$	1,838,482	\$ 31,228 \$ 80,337 \$	5 111,565						

Credit Protection and Other Forms of Credit Enhancement

In connection with our mortgage loans, held-for-investment and other mortgage-related guarantees, we have credit protection in the form of primary mortgage insurance, pool insurance, recourse to lenders, and other forms of credit enhancements. Prior to January 1, 2010, credit protection was viewed under GAAP as part of the total consideration received for providing our credit guarantee and was therefore included within our guarantee obligation and in other assets. A separate asset was recognized and subsequently amortized into earnings as other non-interest expense under the static effective yield method in the same manner as our recognized guarantee obligation.

Commencing January 1, 2010, credit protection, including primary mortgage insurance, is no longer recognized as a separate asset to the extent it is received in connection with a consolidated guarantor swap and fully paid for by the lender; in those situations, the economic effect of credit protection is included in our estimation of the allowance for loan losses. In all other situations, credit protection continues to be recognized as a separate asset and subsequently amortized into earnings. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, we recorded \$151 million and \$597 million within other assets on our consolidated balance sheets for these credit enhancements.

Table 5.5 presents the UPB of loans in our consolidated balance sheets and underlying our financial guarantees with credit protection and the maximum amounts of potential loss recovery by type of credit protection.

Table 5.5 Recourse and Other Forms of Credit Protection

		J	JPB a	ıt		Maximu	m Coverage at					
	December 31, 2010		De	ecember 31, 2009	Dec	ember 31, 2010	Dec	cember 31, 2009				
	(in millions)											
Single-family:												
Primary mortgage insurance	\$	217,133	\$	239,339	\$	52,899	\$	58,226				
Lender recourse and indemnifications		10,064		12,169		9,566		11,083				
Pool insurance		37,868		50,721		3,299		3,649				
HFA indemnification ⁽²⁾		9,322		3,915		3,263		1,370				
Subordination ⁽³⁾		4,112		4,527		622		784				
Other credit enhancements		223		563		214		271				
Total	\$	278,722	\$	311,234	\$	69,863	\$	75,383				
Multifamily:												
HFA indemnification ⁽²⁾	\$	1,551	\$	405	\$	543	\$	142				
Subordination ⁽³⁾		12,252		6,646		1,414		641				
Other credit enhancements		9,004		6,972		2,930		2,633				
Total	\$	22,807	\$	14,023	\$	4,887	\$	3,416				

- (1) Includes the credit protection associated with unsecuritized mortgage loans, loans held by our consolidated trusts as well as our non-consolidated mortgage guarantees and excludes FHA/VA and USDA loans. Except for subordination coverage, these amounts exclude credit protection associated with \$19.8 billion and \$20.8 billion in UPB of single-family loans underlying Other Guarantee Transactions as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, for which the information was not available.
- (2) Represents the amount of potential reimbursement of losses on securities we have guaranteed that are backed by state and local HFA bonds, under which Treasury bears initial losses on these securities up to 35% of those issued under the HFA initiative on a combined basis. Treasury will also bear losses of unpaid interest.
- (3) Represents Freddie Mac issued mortgage-related securities with subordination protection, excluding those backed by HFA bonds. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, the average serious delinquency rate on loans underlying our single-family Freddie Mac issued mortgage-related securities with subordination coverage was 21.1% and 24.1%, respectively.

Primary mortgage insurance is the most prevalent type of credit enhancement within our single-family credit guarantee portfolio, and is typically provided on a loan-level basis. Pool insurance contracts generally provide insurance on a group, or pool, of mortgage loans up to a stated aggregate loss limit. As shown in the table above, the UPB of single-family loans covered by pool insurance declined during 2010, primarily due to loan payoffs and other liquidation events, which reduced

210 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

the UPB of the mortgage loans covered by such policies. We also reached the maximum limit of recovery on certain of these contracts. As a result, losses we recognized during 2010 increased on certain loans previously identified as credit enhanced.

We also have credit protection for certain of the mortgage loans on our consolidated balance sheets that are covered by insurance or partial guarantees issued by federal agencies (such as FHA, VA, and USDA). The total UPB of these loans was \$4.8 billion and \$3.1 billion as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

NOTE 6: INDIVIDUALLY IMPAIRED AND NON-PERFORMING LOANS

Individually Impaired Loans

Individually impaired single-family loans include performing and non-performing TDRs, as well as loans acquired under our financial guarantees with deteriorated credit quality. Individually impaired multifamily loans include TDRs, loans three monthly payments or more past due, and loans that are impaired based on management judgment. For a discussion of our significant accounting policies regarding impaired and non-performing loans, which are applied consistently for all single-family classes, see NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES.

Total loan loss reserves consists of a specific valuation allowance related to individually impaired mortgage loans, and a general reserve for other probable incurred losses. Our recorded investment in individually impaired mortgage loans and the related specific valuation allowance are summarized in Table 6.1 by product class (for single-family loans).

Table 6.1 Individually Impaired Loans

			Bala: Decembe		For the Year Ended December 31, 2010 Average Interest			2010									
ingle-family UP		UPB		UPB		UPB		UPB		ecorded vestment		sociated lowance (in mi		Average Recorded Investment		In	come
With no specific allowance recorded ⁽¹⁾ : 20 and 30-year or more, amortizing fixed-rate 15-year amortizing fixed-rate Adjustable rate ⁽²⁾ Alt-A, interest-only, and option	\$	8,462 119 20	\$	3,721 50 9	\$		\$ 3,721 50 9	\$	4,046 58 12	\$	521 7 1						
ARM ⁽³⁾ Total with no specific allowance recorded	\$	2,525 11,126	\$	1,098 4,878	\$		\$ 1,098 4,878	\$	1,220 5,336	\$	114 643						
With specific allowance recorded: 20 and 30-year or more, amortizing fixed-rate 15-year amortizing fixed-rate Adjustable rate ⁽²⁾	\$	25,504 229 168	\$	24,502 198 153	\$	(6,283) (17) (23)	\$ 18,219 181 130	\$	15,128 175 114	\$	561 10 5						

Alt-A, interest-only, and option ARM ⁽³⁾	7,035		6,774		(2,161)		4,613		3,753		116
Total with specific allowance recorded	\$ 32,936	\$	31,627	\$	(8,484)	\$	23,143	\$	19,170	\$	692
Combined single-family:											
20 and 30-year or more, amortizing											
fixed-rate	\$ 33,966	\$	28,223	\$	(6,283)	\$	21,940	\$	19,174	\$	1,082
15-year amortizing fixed-rate	348		248		(17)		231		233		17
Adjustable rate ⁽²⁾	188		162		(23)		139		126		6
Alt-A, interest-only, and option											
ARM ⁽³⁾	9,560		7,872		(2,161)		5,711		4,973		230
Total single-family	44,062		36,505		(8,484)		28,021		24,506		1,335
Total multifamily	2,661		2,637		(348)		2,289		2,959		107
Total single family and multifamily	¢ 46.722	¢	20 142	¢	(0.022)	Φ	20.210	Φ	27.465	¢	1 442
Total single-family and multifamily	\$ 46,723	\$	39,142	\$	(8,832)	\$	30,310	\$	27,465	\$	1,442

- (1) Individually impaired loans with no specific related valuation allowance primarily represent mortgage loans purchased out of PC pools and accounted for in accordance with the accounting standards for loans and debt securities acquired with deteriorated credit quality that have not experienced further deterioration.
- (2) Includes balloon/reset mortgage loans and excludes option ARMs.
- (3) See endnote (4) of Table 5.2 Recorded Investment of Held-for-Investment Mortgage Loans, by LTV Ratio.

At December 31, 2009, we had a recorded investment of \$12.5 billion of individually impaired loans. The average recorded investment in individually impaired loans for 2009 and 2008 was approximately \$10.7 billion and \$7.1 billion, respectively. At December 31, 2009, the recorded investment in individually impaired loans requiring a specific allowance was \$2.6 billion, and the related allowance was \$379 million.

We recognized interest income on individually impaired loans of \$818 million and \$507 million for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008. Interest income forgone on individually impaired loans was approximately \$784 million, \$276 million, and \$69 million for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively.

Mortgage Loan Performance

We do not accrue interest on loans three months or more past due.

211 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

Table 6.2 presents the recorded investment of our single-family and multifamily mortgage loans by payment status.

Table 6.2 Payment Status of Mortgage Loans

					Decemb	er 3	1, 2010 Three			
		One			Two	Two Mo Mo				
		ľ	Month	N	Months		Due, or in			
	Current	P	ast Due	P	ast Due (in r		reclosure	Total	No	n-accrual
Single-family										
20 and 30-year or more, amortizing fixed-rate	\$ 1,226,874	\$	26,442	\$	10,203	\$	51,604	\$ 1,315,123	\$	51,507
15-year amortizing fixed-rate Adjustable rate ⁽²⁾ Alt-A, interest-only, and	248,572 53,205		1,727 826		450 335		1,628 2,308	252,377 56,674		1,622 2,303
option ARM ⁽³⁾	137,395		5,701		3,046		28,679	174,821		28,620
Total single-family	1,666,046		34,696		14,034		84,219	1,798,995		84,052
Total multifamily	79,044		41		7		86	79,178		1,751
Total single-family and multifamily	\$ 1,745,090	\$	34,737	\$	14,041	\$	84,305	\$ 1,878,173	\$	85,803

- (1) Based on recorded investment in the loan. Mortgage loans whose contractual terms have been modified under agreement with the borrower are not counted as past due as long as the borrower is current under the modified terms. The payment status of a loan may be affected by temporary timing differences, or lags, in the reporting of this information to us by our servicers. In addition, if a multifamily borrower has entered into a forbearance agreement and is abiding by the terms of the agreement the borrower s payment status is reflected as current, whereas single-family loans for which the borrower has been granted forbearance will continue to reflect the past due status of the borrower, if applicable. As of December 31, 2010, approximately \$0.1 billion of multifamily loans had been granted forbearance and were not included in delinquency amounts.
- (2) Includes balloon/reset mortgage loans and excludes option ARMs.
- (3) See endnote (4) of Table 5.2 Recorded Investment of Held-for-Investment Mortgage Loans, by LTV Ratio.

We have the option under our PC agreements to purchase mortgage loans from the loan pools that underlie our PCs under certain circumstances to resolve an existing or impending delinquency or default. Our practice is to purchase and effectively liquidate loans that are 120 days or more past due from PCs when: (a) the loans have been modified; (b) foreclosure sales occur; (c) the loans have been delinquent for 24 months; or (d) the cost of guarantee payments to PC holders, including advances of interest at the PC coupon rate, exceeds the expected cost of holding the non-performing mortgage loans. On February 10, 2010, we announced that we would purchase substantially all single-family mortgage loans that are 120 days or more delinquent from our PC trusts. This change in practice was

made based on a determination that the cost of guarantee, or debt payments to the security holders, will exceed the cost of holding nonperforming loans on our consolidated balance sheets. Due to our January 1, 2010 adoption of amendments to the accounting standards for transfers of financial assets and the consolidation of VIEs, the recognized cost of purchasing most delinquent loans from PC trusts will be less than the recognized cost of continued guarantee payments to security holders. As of December 31, 2010, there were \$5.2 billion in UPB of loans that were four monthly payments past due, and that met our repurchase criteria. In certain cases we purchased and in others we expect to purchase, and thereby extinguish the related PC debt, at the scheduled PC debt payment date, unless the loans proceed to foreclosure transfer, complete a foreclosure alternative or otherwise cure by receipt of payment by the borrower before such date.

When we purchase mortgage loans from consolidated trusts, we reclassify the loans from mortgage loans held-for-investment by consolidated trusts to unsecuritized mortgage loans held-for-investment and record an extinguishment of the corresponding portion of the debt securities of the consolidated trusts. We purchased \$127.5 billion and \$10.8 billion in UPB of loans from PC trusts or associated with other guarantee commitments during the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Beginning January 1, 2010, we no longer record losses on loans purchased when we purchase loans from PCs associated with consolidated trusts since these loans are already recorded on our consolidated balance sheets. See NOTE 2: CHANGE IN ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES for further information. We recognized losses on loans purchased of \$25 million and \$4.8 billion in 2010 and 2009, respectively, related to purchases of loans from PC trusts or associated with other guarantee commitments that are included within other expenses on our consolidated statements of operations.

212 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

Table 6.3 summarizes the delinquency rates of mortgage loans within our single-family credit guarantee and multifamily mortgage portfolios.

Table 6.3 Delinquency Rates

	December 31, 2010	December 31, 2009
Delinquencies:		
Single-family:		
Non-credit-enhanced portfolio		
Serious delinquency rate	2.97%	3.00%
Total number of seriously delinquent loans	296,397	305,840
Credit-enhanced portfolio		
Serious delinquency rate	7.83%	8.17%
Total number of seriously delinquent loans	144,116	168,903
Total portfolio, excluding Other Guarantee Transactions		
Serious delinquency rate	3.73%	3.87%
Total number of seriously delinquent loans	440,513	474,743
Other Guarantee Transactions:(2)		
Serious delinquency rate	9.86%	9.44%
Total number of seriously delinquent loans	21,926	24,086
Total single-family:		
Serious delinquency rate	3.84%	3.98%
Total number of seriously delinquent loans	462,439	498,829
<i>Multifamily:</i> ⁽³⁾		
Delinquency rate	0.26%	0.20%
UPB of delinquent loans (in millions)	\$ 288	\$ 200

- (1) Single-family mortgage loans whose contractual terms have been modified under agreement with the borrower are not counted as seriously delinquent if the borrower is less than three monthly payments past due under the modified terms. Serious delinquencies on single-family mortgage loans underlying certain REMICs and Other Structured Securities, Other Guarantee Transactions, and other guarantee commitments may be reported on a different schedule due to variances in industry practice. In addition, Multifamily loans are not counted as delinquent if the borrower has entered into a forbearance agreement and is abiding by the terms of the agreement, whereas single-family loans for which the borrower has been granted forbearance will continue to reflect the past due status of the borrower, if applicable. As of December 31, 2010, approximately \$0.1 billion of multifamily loans had been granted forbearance and were not included in delinquency amounts.
- (2) Other Guarantee Transactions generally have underlying mortgage loans with higher risk characteristics but some Other Guarantee Transactions may provide inherent credit protections from losses due to underlying subordination, excess interest, overcollateralization and other features.
- (3) Multifamily delinquency performance is based on UPB of mortgage loans that are two monthly payments or more past due or those in the process of foreclosure and includes multifamily Other Guarantee Transactions. Excludes mortgage loans whose contractual terms have been modified under an agreement with the borrower as long as the borrower is less than two monthly payments past due under the modified contractual terms.

We continue to implement a number of initiatives to modify and restructure loans, including the MHA Program. Our implementation of the MHA Program, for our loans, includes the following: (a) an initiative to allow mortgages currently owned or guaranteed by us to be refinanced without obtaining additional credit enhancement beyond that

already in place for the loan (our relief refinance mortgage); (b) an initiative to modify mortgages for both homeowners who are in default and those who are at risk of imminent default (HAMP); and (c) an initiative designed to permit borrowers who meet basic HAMP eligibility requirements to sell their homes in short sales or to complete a deed in lieu transaction (HAFA). As part of accomplishing these initiatives, we pay various incentives to servicers and borrowers. We will bear the full costs associated with these workout alternatives on mortgages that we own or guarantee and will not receive a reimbursement for any component from Treasury. These initiatives slowed the rate of growth in single-family REO assets on our consolidated balance sheets during 2010 and 2009; however, the number and amount of individually impaired loans increased due to higher volumes of TDRs. We can not currently estimate whether, or the extent to which, costs incurred in the near term from HAMP or other MHA Program efforts may be offset, if at all, by the prevention or reduction of potential future costs of loan defaults and foreclosures due to these initiatives.

Loan Modifications

We rely on our single-family seller/servicers to contact borrowers who are in default or imminent default and to pursue loan modifications, based on our guidelines and the borrower s qualifications. When a borrower is considered for a loan modification, our seller/servicers obtain information on income, assets, and other borrower obligations to determine new loan terms. Under HAMP, the goal of a single-family loan modification is to reduce the borrowers monthly mortgage payments to a specified percentage of borrower s gross monthly income (31% for HAMP loans), which may be achieved through a combination of changes in the loans terms, including interest rate reductions, term extensions and principal forbearance. Although HAMP contemplates that some servicers will also make use of principal reduction to achieve reduced payments for borrowers, we have only used forbearance of principal and have not used principal forgiveness in modifying our loans.

HAMP requires that each borrower complete a trial period during which the borrower will make monthly payments based on the estimated amount of the modification payments. Trial periods are required for at least three months. After the final trial-period payment is received by our seller/servicer and the borrower has provided necessary documentation, the borrower and servicer will enter into the modification.

213 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

We pay a \$1,000 incentive fee to a seller/servicer when they modify a single-family loan under HAMP and an additional \$500 incentive fee if the loan was current when it entered the trial period (*i.e.*, where default was imminent but had not yet occurred). In addition, our seller/servicers will receive up to \$1,000 for any modification that reduces a borrower s monthly payment by 6% or more, in each of the first three years after the modification, as long as the modified loan remains current.

Borrowers whose loans are modified through HAMP accrue monthly incentive payments that will be applied annually to reduce up to \$1,000 of their principal, per year, for five years, as long as they are making timely payments under the modified loan terms. HAMP applies to loans originated on or before January 1, 2009, and borrowers requests for such modifications will be considered until December 31, 2012.

Non-HAMP modifications generally involve an extension of the term of the loan or a reduction of the interest rate, depending on the borrower s individual circumstances. All of our single-family loan modifications during 2010 resulted in the modified loan containing a fixed interest rate or one that is fixed below market for five years and then gradually adjusts to a market rate (determined at the time of modification) and remains fixed at that new rate for the remaining term.

NOTE 7: REAL ESTATE OWNED

We obtain REO properties when we are the highest bidder at foreclosure sales of properties that collateralize non-performing single-family and multifamily mortgage loans owned by us or when a delinquent borrower chooses to transfer the mortgaged property to us in lieu of going through the foreclosure process. Upon acquiring single-family properties, we establish a marketing plan to sell the property as soon as practicable by either listing it with a sales broker or by other means, such as arranging a real estate auction. Upon acquiring multifamily properties, we may operate them with third-party property-management firms for a period to stabilize value and then sell the properties through commercial real estate brokers. See NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES, for a discussion of our significant accounting policies for REO.

For the periods presented below, the weighted average holding period for our disposed properties was less than one year. Table 7.1 provides a summary of the change in the carrying value of our REO balances.

Table 7.1 REO

	REO, Gross			aluation lowance millions)	REO, Net	
Balance, December 31, 2008 Additions Dispositions and valuation allowance assessment	\$	4,216 9,420 (8,511)	\$	(961) (611) 1,139	\$	3,255 8,809 (7,372)
Balance, December 31, 2009 Adjustments to beginning balance ⁽¹⁾ Additions Dispositions and valuation allowance assessment	\$	5,125 158 13,211 (10,586)	\$	(433) (11) (1,016) 620	\$	4,692 147 12,195 (9,966)
Balance, December 31, 2010	\$	7,908	\$	(840)	\$	7,068

(1) Adjustment to the beginning balance relates to the adoption of new accounting standards for transfers of financial assets and consolidation of VIEs. See NOTE 2: CHANGE IN ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES for further information.

The REO balance, net at December 31, 2010 and 2009 associated with single-family properties was \$7.0 billion and \$4.7 billion, respectively, and the balance associated with multifamily properties was \$107 million and \$31 million, respectively. The West region represented approximately 29% and 35% of our REO additions in 2010 and 2009, respectively, based on the number of units, and the highest concentration in the West region is in California. At December 31, 2010, our REO inventory in California represented approximately 11% of our total REO inventory based on the number of units. Our REO inventory consisted of 72,093 units and 45,052 units at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Our REO operations expenses included REO property expenses, net losses incurred on disposition of REO properties, adjustments to the holding period allowance associated with REO properties to record them at the lower of their carrying amount or fair value less the estimated costs to sell, and insurance reimbursements and other credit enhancement recoveries. An allowance for estimated declines in the REO fair value during the period properties are held reduces the carrying value of REO property. We recognized losses of \$102 million and \$749 million on REO dispositions during 2010 and 2009, respectively. We increased our valuation allowance for REO by \$211 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 to account for declines in home prices during this period, and we reduced our valuation allowance for REO by \$612 million for the year ended December 31, 2009.

In the second half of 2010, several large seller/servicers announced issues relating to the improper preparation and execution of certain documents used in foreclosure proceedings, including affidavits. A number of our seller/servicers, including several of our largest, temporarily suspended foreclosure proceedings in certain states in which they do business

214 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

while they evaluated and addressed these issues. We temporarily suspended certain foreclosure proceedings, and certain REO sales and eviction proceedings for REO properties for certain servicers during the fourth quarter of 2010 while we evaluated the impact of these issues. On October 13, 2010, FHFA made public a four-point policy framework detailing FHFA s plan to address these issues, including guidance for consistent remediation of identified foreclosure process deficiencies, and directed us to implement this plan. We resumed our REO sales in November 2010.

The method of accounting for cash flows associated with REO acquisitions changed significantly with our adoption of amendments to the accounting standards for transfers of financial assets and consolidation of VIEs. In 2009, the majority of our REO acquisitions resulted from cash payment for extinguishments of mortgage loans within PC pools at the time of their conversion to REO. These cash outlays are included in net payments of mortgage insurance and acquisitions and dispositions of REO in our consolidated statements of cash flows. Effective January 1, 2010, REO property acquisitions resulted from extinguishment of our mortgage loans held on our consolidated balance sheets and are treated as non-cash transfers. As a result, the amount of non-cash acquisitions of REO properties during the year ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 was \$22.0 billion and \$1.2 billion, respectively.

NOTE 8: INVESTMENTS IN SECURITIES

Commencing with our adoption of two new accounting standards on January 1, 2010, we changed the way we account for the purchase and sale of the majority of our PCs and certain Other Guarantee Transactions. See NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES for a discussion of our significant accounting policies related to our investments in securities.

Table 8.1 summarizes amortized cost, estimated fair values, and corresponding gross unrealized gains and gross unrealized losses for available-for-sale securities by major security type.

Table 8.1 Available-For-Sale Securities

December 31, 2010	Amortized Cost			Gross realized Gains (in m	Ur L	Gross arealized cosses ⁽¹⁾ ns)	Fair Valu	
Mortgage-related securities:								
Freddie Mac	\$	80,742	\$	5,142	\$	(195)	\$	85,689
Subprime		47,916		1		(14,056)		33,861
CMBS		58,455		1,551		(1,919)		58,087
Option ARM		10,726		16		(3,853)		6,889
Alt-A and other		15,561		58		(2,451)		13,168
Fannie Mae		23,025		1,348		(3)		24,370
Obligations of states and political subdivisions		9,885		31		(539)		9,377
Manufactured housing		945		13		(61)		897
Ginnie Mae		268		28				296
Total mortgage-related securities		247,523		8,188		(23,077)		232,634
Total available-for-sale securities	\$	247,523	\$	8,188	\$	(23,077)	\$	232,634

December 31, 2009

Mortgage-related securities:				
Freddie Mac	\$ 215,198	\$ 9,410	\$ (1,141)	\$ 223,467
Subprime	56,821	2	(21,102)	35,721
CMBS	61,792	15	(7,788)	54,019
Option ARM	13,686	25	(6,475)	7,236
Alt-A and other	18,945	9	(5,547)	13,407
Fannie Mae	34,242	1,312	(8)	35,546
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	11,868	49	(440)	11,477
Manufactured housing	1,084	1	(174)	911
Ginnie Mae	320	27		347
Total mortgage-related securities	413,956	10,850	(42,675)	382,131
Non-mortgage-related securities:				
Asset-backed securities	2,444	109		2,553
Total non-mortgage-related securities	2,444	109		2,553
Total available-for-sale securities	\$ 416,400	\$ 10,959	\$ (42,675)	\$ 384,684

⁽¹⁾ Includes non-credit-related other-than-temporary impairments on available-for-sale securities recognized in AOCI and temporary unrealized losses.

215 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

Available-For-Sale Securities in a Gross Unrealized Loss Position

Table 8.2 shows the fair value of available-for-sale securities in a gross unrealized loss position, and whether they have been in that position less than 12 months or 12 months or greater, including the non-credit-related portion of other-than-temporary impairments which have been recognized in AOCI.

Table 8.2 Available-For-Sale Securities in a Gross Unrealized Loss Position

	Fai Valu	r) Other Temj	·-Than- poraryl	Jnr - Γem	Ionths ealized nporary irment	y		12 Months or Greater Gross Unrealized Losses Other-Than- Fair Temporary Temporary Value Impairment (Impairment (2) Total (in millions)				Fair Value	To Gros Other-Than Temporary Impairment ⁽				
•		494 6 950 3 42	\$	(1)	\$	(70) (51) (3)	\$	(70) (51) (1) (3)	\$	1,880 33,839 8,894 6,838 12,025	\$	(10,041) (844) (3,744) (1,846)	\$	(125) (4,015) (1,024) (108) (602)	\$ (14,056) (1,868) (3,852) (2,448)	\$ 4,374 33,845 11,844 6,841 12,067	\$	(10,041) (844) (3,745) (1,846)
	3,	54 953 8		(1)		(163)		(163) (1)		3,402 507		(45)		(3) (376) (15)	(3) (376) (60)	7,355 515		(46)
		510510	\$	(2)	\$	(287)	\$	(289) (289)	\$	67,399 67,399	\$	(16,520) (16,520)	\$	(6,268) (6,268)	\$ (22,788)	\$ 76,909 76,909		(16,522)
	Fai Valu	r) Other Temj	·-Than- poraryl	Jnr - Γem	Ionths ealized iporary irment	y			Fair Value	Otł Te	ner-Than- mporary pairment ⁽)	Un Tei Imp	realized I mporary	ses Total	Fair Value	Ter	Tot Gross er-Than- mporary airment ⁽ I)
•	6, 3,	219 173 580 457		4,219) 2,165)	\$	(52) (62) (56) (36)	\$	(52) (4,281) (56) (2,201)	\$	11,068 29,540 48,067 4,712	\$	(9,238) (1,017) (3,784)	\$	(1,089) (7,583) (6,715) (490)	\$ (1,089) (16,821) (7,732) (4,274)	\$ 15,287 35,713 51,647 7,169	\$	(13,457) (1,017) (5,949)

Edgar	Filina:	MINDSPEED	TECHNOLOGIES.	INC -	Form	8-K
Luuai	i iiiiiiu.		I LOI INOLOGILO.	1110 -	1 01111	0-11

(1,833)

8,954

6.996

124

(1,509)

(6)

(426)

(3,342)

(6)

(426)

13,222

597

7,945

(3,995)

\$ (24,533)

212 17	(58)		(58)	685	(57)	(59)	(116)	897 17	(115)
22,348	(8,604)	(265)	(8,869)	110,146	(15,929)	(17,877)	(33,806)	132,494	(24,533)

\$ (8,604) \$ (265) \$ (8,869) \$ 110,146 \$ (15,929) \$ (17,877) \$ (33,806) \$ 132,494

- (1) Represents the pre-tax amount of non-credit-related other-than-temporary impairments on available-for-sale securities not expected to be sold which are recognized in AOCI.
- (2) Represents the pre-tax amount of temporary impairments on available-for-sale securities recognized in AOCI.

At December 31, 2010, total gross unrealized losses on available-for-sale securities were \$23.1 billion, as noted in Table 8.2. The gross unrealized losses relate to 2,496 individual lots representing 2,403 separate securities, including securities with non-credit-related other-than-temporary impairments recognized in AOCI. We routinely purchase multiple lots of individual securities at different times and at different costs. We determine gross unrealized gains and gross unrealized losses by specifically identifying investment positions at the lot level; therefore, some of the lots we hold for a single security may be in an unrealized gain position while other lots for that security may be in an unrealized loss position, depending upon the amortized cost of the specific lot.

Impairment Recognition on Investments in Securities

4,268

473

949

\$ 22,348

(2,162)

(43)

(2)

(14)

(2.205)

(2)

(14)

We recognize impairment losses on available-for-sale securities within our consolidated statements of operations as net impairment of available-for-sale securities recognized in earnings when we conclude that a decrease in the fair value of a security is other-than-temporary. We prospectively adopted an amendment to the accounting standards for investments in debt and equity securities on April 1, 2009. This amendment changed the recognition, measurement and presentation of other-than-temporary impairment for debt securities. See NOTE 2: CHANGE IN ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES Other Changes in Accounting Principles *Change in the Impairment Model for Debt Securities* for further information regarding the impact of this amendment on our consolidated financial statements.

We conduct quarterly reviews to evaluate each available-for-sale security that has an unrealized loss for other-than-temporary impairment. An unrealized loss exists when the current fair value of an individual security is less than its amortized cost basis. We recognize other-than-temporary impairment in earnings if one of the following conditions exists: (a) we have the intent to sell the security; (b) it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security before

216 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

recovery of its unrealized loss; or (c) we do not expect to recover the amortized cost basis of the security. If we do not intend to sell the security and we believe it is not more likely than not that we will be required to sell prior to recovery of its unrealized loss, we recognize only the credit component of other-than-temporary impairment in earnings and the amounts attributable to all other factors are recognized in AOCI. The credit component represents the amount by which the present value of cash flows expected to be collected from the security is less than the amortized cost basis of the security.

Our net impairment of available-for-sale securities recognized in earnings on our consolidated statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, includes amounts related to certain securities where we have previously recognized other-than-temporary impairments through AOCI, but upon the recognition of additional credit losses, these amounts were reclassified out of non-credit losses in AOCI and charged to earnings. In certain instances, we recognized credit losses in excess of unrealized losses in AOCI.

The evaluation of whether unrealized losses on available-for-sale securities are other-than-temporary contemplates numerous factors. We perform an evaluation on a security-by-security basis considering all available information. The relative importance of this information varies based on the facts and circumstances surrounding each security, as well as the economic environment at the time of assessment. Important factors include, but are not limited to:

whether we intend to sell the security and it is not more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security before sufficient time elapses to recover all unrealized losses;

loan level default modeling for single-family residential mortgages that considers individual loan characteristics, including current LTV ratio, FICO score, and delinquency status, requires assumptions about future home prices and interest rates, and employs internal default and prepayment models. The modeling for CMBS employs third-party models that require assumptions about the economic conditions in the areas surrounding each individual property;

analysis of the performance of the underlying collateral relative to its credit enhancements using techniques that require assumptions about future loss severity, default, prepayment, and other borrower behavior. Implicit in this analysis is information relevant to expected cash flows (such as collateral performance and characteristics);

the length of time and extent to which the fair value of the security has been less than the book value and the expected recovery period; and

the impact of changes in credit ratings (i.e., rating agency downgrades).

For the majority of our available-for-sale securities in an unrealized loss position, we have asserted that we have no intent to sell and that we believe it is not more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis. Where such an assertion has not been made, the security s entire decline in fair value is deemed to be other-than-temporary and is recorded within our consolidated statements of operations as net impairment of available-for-sale securities recognized in earnings.

See Table 8.2 Available-For-Sale Securities in a Gross Unrealized Loss Position for the length of time our available-for-sale securities have been in an unrealized loss position. Also see Table 8.3 Significant Modeled Attributes for Certain Non-Agency Mortgage-Related Securities for the modeled default rates and severities that were used to determine whether our senior interests in certain non-agency mortgage-related securities would experience a cash shortfall.

Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae Securities

We record the purchase of mortgage-related securities issued by Fannie Mae as investments in securities in accordance with the accounting standards on investments in debt and equity securities. In contrast, commencing January 1, 2010, our purchase of mortgage-related securities that we issue (*e.g.*, PCs, REMICs and Other Structured Securities, and Other Guarantee Transactions) is recorded as either investments in securities or extinguishment of debt securities of consolidated trusts depending on the nature of the mortgage-related security that we purchase. See NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES Securitization Activities through Issuances of Freddie Mac Mortgage-Related Securities for additional information. We hold these Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae securities that are in an unrealized loss position at least to recovery and typically to maturity. As the principal and interest on these securities are guaranteed and we do not intend to sell these securities and it is not more likely than not that we will be required to sell such securities before a recovery of the unrealized losses, we consider these unrealized losses to be temporary.

Non-Agency Mortgage-Related Securities Backed by Subprime, Option ARM, Alt-A and Other Loans

We believe the unrealized losses on the non-agency mortgage-related securities we hold are a result of poor underlying collateral performance, limited liquidity, and large risk premiums. We consider securities to be other-than-temporarily impaired when future credit losses are deemed likely.

Our review of the securities backed by subprime, option ARM, and Alt-A and other loans includes loan level default modeling and analyses of the individual securities based on underlying collateral performance, including the collectibility of amounts that would be recovered from primary monoline insurers. In the case of monoline insurers, we also consider factors

217 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

such as the availability of capital, generation of new business, pending regulatory action, credit ratings, security prices, and credit default swap levels traded on the insurers. We consider loan level information including estimated current LTV ratios, FICO scores, and other loan level characteristics. We also consider the differences between the loan level characteristics of the performing and non-performing loan populations.

Table 8.3 presents the modeled default rates and severities, without regard to subordination, that are used to determine whether our senior interests in certain non-agency mortgage-related securities will experience a cash shortfall. Our proprietary default model requires assumptions about future home prices, as defaults and severities are modeled at the loan level and then aggregated. The model uses projections of future home prices at the state level. Assumptions of voluntary prepayment rates derived from our proprietary prepayment models are also an input to the present value of expected losses and are discussed below.

21 2010

Table 8.3 Significant Modeled Attributes for Certain Non-Agency Mortgage-Related Securities

		Dece	ember 31, 20	10			
				Alt-A (1)			
	Subprime first	t Option	Fixed	Variable	Hybrid		
	lien	ARM	Rate	Rate	Rate		
	-		ars in millior				
		(3-3-1		/			
<u>Issuance Date</u>							
2004 and prior:							
UPB	\$ 1,354	\$ 129	\$ 1,010	\$ 583	\$ 2,385		
Weighted average collateral defaults ⁽²⁾	35%	38%	8%	50%	26%		
Weighted average collateral severities ⁽³⁾	56%	53%	46%	52%	39%		
Weighted average voluntary prepayment	3070	3370	1070	3270	3770		
rates ⁽⁴⁾	4%	6%	9%	2%	4%		
Average credit enhancement ⁽⁵⁾	41%	20%	14%	19%	16%		
2005:	4170	20%	1470	1970	10%		
UPB	\$ 7,771	\$ 3,128	¢ 1 224	\$ 936	¢ 4 225		
	' '	' /	\$ 1,334		\$ 4,335		
Weighted average collateral defaults ⁽²⁾	55%	53%	24%	58%	45%		
Weighted average collateral severities ⁽³⁾	66%	63%	53%	57%	49%		
Weighted average voluntary prepayment							
rates ⁽⁴⁾	1%	8%	6%	1%	3%		
Average credit enhancement ⁽⁵⁾	54%	18%	6%	28%	7%		
2006:							
UPB	\$ 21,710	\$ 7,605	\$ 620	\$ 1,283	\$ 1,342		
Weighted average collateral defaults ⁽²⁾	65%	66%	39%	66%	57%		
Weighted average collateral severities ⁽³⁾	70%	69%	60%	63%	56%		
Weighted average voluntary prepayment							
rates ⁽⁴⁾	5%	6%	4%	2%	3%		
Average credit enhancement ⁽⁵⁾	19%	7%	9%	1%	4%		
2007:							
UPB	\$ 22,921	\$ 4,784	\$ 171	\$ 1,522	\$ 396		
Weighted average collateral defaults ⁽²⁾	63%	65%	54%	67%	64%		
Weighted average collateral severities ⁽³⁾	72%	70%	68%	66%	67%		
Weighted average voluntary prepayment	, 0	. 0 ,0	33,3	00,0	3.70		
rates ⁽⁴⁾	5%	3%	2%	3%	3%		
1400	5 70	3 70	270	3 10	370		

Average credit enhancement ⁽⁵⁾	21%	15%	16%	1%	0%
Total:					
UPB	\$ 53,756	\$ 15,646	\$ 3,135	\$ 4,324	\$ 8,458
Weighted average collateral defaults ⁽²⁾	62%	63%	23%	62%	42%
Weighted average collateral severities ⁽³⁾	70%	69%	56%	62%	50%
Weighted average voluntary prepayment					
rates ⁽⁴⁾	4%	5%	6%	2%	3%
Average credit enhancement ⁽⁵⁾	25%	12%	10%	9%	9%

- (1) Excludes non-agency mortgage-related securities backed by other loans, which are primarily comprised of securities backed by home equity lines of credit.
- (2) The expected cumulative default rate expressed as a percentage of the current collateral UPB.
- (3) The expected average loss given default calculated as the ratio of cumulative loss over cumulative default rate for each security.
- (4) The security s voluntary prepayment rate represents the average of the monthly voluntary prepayment rate weighted by the security s outstanding UPB.
- (5) Reflects the ratio of the current amount of the securities that will absorb losses in the securitization structure before any losses are allocated to securities that we own. Percentage generally calculated based on the total UPB of all credit enhancement in the form of subordination of the security divided by the total UPB of all of the tranches of collateral pools from which credit support is drawn for the security that we own. Excludes credit enhancement provided by monoline bond insurance.

In evaluating the non-agency mortgage-related securities backed by subprime, option ARM, and Alt-A and other loans for other-than-temporary impairment, we noted that the percentage of securities that were AAA-rated and the percentage that were investment grade declined significantly since acquisition. While these ratings have declined, the ratings themselves are not determinative that a loss is more or less likely. While we consider credit ratings in our analysis, we believe that our detailed security-by-security analyses provide a more consistent view of the ultimate collectibility of contractual amounts due to us. As such, we have impaired securities with current ratings ranging from CCC to AAA and have determined that other securities within the same ratings were not other-than-temporarily impaired. However, we carefully consider individual ratings, especially those below investment grade, including changes since December 31, 2010.

Our analysis is conducted on a quarterly basis and is subject to change as new information regarding delinquencies, severities, loss timing, prepayments, and other factors becomes available. While it is reasonably possible that, under certain

218 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

conditions, collateral losses on our remaining available-for-sale securities for which we have not recorded an impairment charge could exceed our credit enhancement levels and a principal or interest loss could occur, we do not believe that those conditions were likely as of December 31, 2010.

In addition, we considered fair values at December 31, 2010, as well as any significant changes in fair value since December 31, 2010 to assess if they were indicative of potential future cash shortfalls. In this assessment, we put greater emphasis on categorical pricing information than on individual prices. We use multiple pricing services and dealers to price the majority of the non-agency mortgage-related securities we hold. We observed significant dispersion in prices obtained from different sources. However, we carefully consider individual and sustained price declines, placing greater weight when dispersion is lower and less weight when dispersion is higher. Where dispersion is higher, other factors previously mentioned receive greater weight. For further information, see NOTE 20: FAIR VALUE DISCLOSURES.

Commercial Mortgage-Backed Securities

CMBS are exposed to stresses in the commercial real estate market. We use external models to identify securities that have an increased risk of failing to make their contractual payments. We then perform an analysis of the underlying collateral on a security-by-security basis to determine whether we will receive all of the contractual payments due to us. While it is reasonably possible that, under certain conditions, collateral losses on our CMBS for which we have not recorded an impairment charge could exceed our credit enhancement levels and a principal or interest loss could occur, we do not believe that those conditions were likely as of December 31, 2010. We do not intend to sell these securities and it is not more likely than not that we will be required to sell such securities before recovery of the unrealized losses.

Obligations of States and Political Subdivisions

These investments consist of housing revenue bonds. We believe the unrealized losses on obligations of states and political subdivisions are primarily a result of movements in interest rates and liquidity and risk premiums. We have determined that the impairment of these securities is temporary based on our conclusion that we do not intend to sell these securities and it is not more likely than not that we will be required to sell such securities before a recovery of the unrealized losses. We believe that any credit risk related to these securities is minimal because of the issuer guarantees provided on these securities.

Monoline Bond Insurance

We rely on monoline bond insurance, including secondary coverage, to provide credit protection on some of our non-agency mortgage-related securities as well as our non-mortgage-related securities. Circumstances in which it is likely a principal and interest shortfall will occur and there is substantial uncertainty surrounding a primary monoline bond insurer—s ability to pay all future claims can give rise to recognition of other-than-temporary impairment recognized in earnings. See NOTE 19: CONCENTRATION OF CREDIT AND OTHER RISKS—Bond Insurers—for additional information.

Other-Than-Temporary Impairments on Available-for-Sale Securities

Table 8.4 summarizes our net impairments of available-for-sale securities recognized in earnings by security type.

Table 8.4 Net Impairment of Available-For-Sale Securities Recognized in Earning (§)

Securities Recognized in Earnings For the Year Ended December 31, 2008 2010 2009 (in millions) Mortgage-related securities: Subprime \$ (1,769) (6,526)(3,621)Option ARM (1,395)(1,726)(7,602)Alt-A and other (1,020)(5,253)(2,572)**CMBS** (97)(137)Obligations of states and political subdivisions (68)Manufactured housing (90)(27)(51)Total other-than-temporary impairments on mortgage-related securities (11,012)(16,634)(4,308)Non-mortgage-related securities: Asset-backed securities (185)(1,048)Total other-than-temporary impairments on non-mortgage-related

(1) As a result of the adoption of an amendment to the accounting standards for investments in debt and equity securities on April 1, 2009, net impairment of available-for-sale securities recognized in earnings for the nine months ended December 31, 2009 (which is included in the year ended December 31, 2009) and the year ended December 31, 2010 includes credit-related other-than-temporary impairments and other-than-temporary impairments on securities which we intend to sell or it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell. In contrast, net impairment of available-for-sale securities recognized in earnings for the three months ended March 31, 2009 (which is included in the year ended December 31, 2009) and the year ended December 31, 2008 includes both credit-related and non-credit-related other-than-temporary impairments as well as other-than-temporary impairments on securities for which we could not assert the positive intent and ability to hold until recovery of the unrealized losses.

Total other-than-temporary impairments on available-for-sale securities

securities

219 Freddie Mac

\$ (4,308)

Net Impairment of Available-For-Sale

(185)

\$ (11,197)

(1,048)

\$ (17,682)

Table of Contents

Net impairment of available-for-sale securities recognized in earnings includes other-than-temporary impairments of non-mortgage-related asset-backed securities where we could not assert that we did not intend to sell these securities before a recovery of the unrealized losses. The decision to impair these asset-backed securities is consistent with our consideration of these securities as a contingent source of liquidity. See NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES Investments in Securities for information regarding our policy on accretion of impairments.

Table 8.5 presents a roll-forward of the credit-related other-than-temporary impairment component of the amortized cost related to available-for-sale securities: (a) that we have written down for other-than-temporary impairment; and (b) for which the credit component of the loss is recognized in earnings. The credit-related other-than-temporary impairment component of the amortized cost represents the difference between the present value of expected future cash flows, including the estimated proceeds from bond insurance, and the amortized cost basis of the security prior to considering credit losses. The beginning balance represents the other-than-temporary impairment credit loss component related to available-for-sale securities for which other-than-temporary impairment occurred prior to January 1, 2010. Net impairment of available-for-sale securities recognized in earnings is presented as additions in two components based upon whether the current period is: (a) the first time the debt security was credit-impaired; or (b) not the first time the debt security was credit-impaired. The credit loss component is reduced if we sell, intend to sell or believe we will be required to sell previously credit-impaired available-for-sale securities. Additionally, the credit loss component is reduced if we receive cash flows in excess of what we expected to receive over the remaining life of the credit-impaired debt security or the security matures or is fully written down.

Table 8.5 Other-Than-Temporary Impairments Related to Credit Losses on Available-For-Sale Securities

14.878

\$

Year Ended December 31. 2010

	(in	millions)
Credit-related other-than-temporary impairments on available-for-sale securities recognized in earnings:		
Beginning balance remaining credit losses to be realized on available-for-sale securities held		
at the beginning of the period where other-than-temporary impairments were recognized in earnings	\$	11,513
Additions:		
Amounts related to credit losses for which an other-than-temporary impairment was not previously recognized		120
Amounts related to credit losses for which an other-than-temporary impairment was previously		
recognized		4,188
Reductions:		(570)
Amounts related to securities which were sold, written off or matured		(650)
Amounts related to amortization resulting from increases in cash flows expected to be collected that are recognized over the remaining life of the security		(293)
Ending balance remaining credit losses to be realized on available-for-sale securities held at		

(1) Excludes other-than-temporary impairments on securities that we intend to sell or it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell before recovery of the unrealized losses.

period end where other-than-temporary impairments were recognized in earnings⁽²⁾

(2) Excludes increases in cash flows expected to be collected that will be recognized in earnings over the remaining life of the security of \$558 million, net of amortization.

220 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

Realized Gains and Losses on Available-For-Sale Securities

Table 8.6 below illustrates the gross realized gains and gross realized losses received from the sale of available-for-sale securities.

Table 8.6 Gross Realized Gains and Gross Realized Losses on Sales of Available-For-Sale Securities

	Year Ended Decem 2010 2009 (in millions)			2008
Gross realized gains				
Mortgage-related securities: Freddie Mac	\$	27	\$ 879	\$ 423
Fannie Mae		54	φ 377 2	φ 4 23
Obligations of states and political subdivisions		3	2	75
Total mortgage-related securities gross realized gains		84	883	565
Non-mortgage-related securities:				
Asset-backed securities		10	313	1
Total non-mortgage-related securities gross realized gains		10	313	1
Gross realized gains		94	1,196	566
Gross realized losses				
Mortgage-related securities: ⁽¹⁾				
Freddie Mac		(1)	(113)	(13)
Fannie Mae		(6)		(2)
Option ARM Obligations of states and political subdivisions		(6)		(5)
Congations of states and pointed subdivisions				(3)
Total mortgage-related securities gross realized losses		(7)	(113)	(20)
Gross realized losses		(7)	(113)	(20)
Net realized gains (losses)	\$	87	\$ 1,083	\$ 546

⁽¹⁾ These individual sales do not change our conclusion that we do not intend to sell our remaining mortgage-related securities and it is not more likely than not that we will be required to sell such securities before a recovery of the unrealized losses.

Maturities and Weighted Average Yield of Available-For-Sale Securities

Table 8.7 summarizes, by major security type, the remaining contractual maturities and weighted average yield of available-for-sale securities.

Table 8.7 Maturities and Weighted Average Yield of Available-For-Sale Securities

December 31, 2010	Cost	Amortized Cost Fair Value (dollars in millions)						
Mortgage-related securities:								
Due within 1 year or less	\$	164 \$	167	6.13%				
Due after 1 through 5 years		998	1,047	5.18				
Due after 5 through 10 years	7,	761	8,127	5.04				
Due after 10 years	238,	600	223,293	3.68				
Total mortgage-related securities	247,	523	232,634	3.73				
Total available-for-sale securities	\$ 247,	523 \$	232,634	3.73				

- (1) Maturity information provided is based on contractual maturities, which may not represent expected life as obligations underlying these securities may be prepaid at any time without penalty.
- (2) The weighted average yield is calculated based on a yield for each individual lot held at December 31, 2010. The numerator for the individual lot yield consists of the sum of: (a) the year-end interest coupon rate multiplied by the year-end UPB; and (b) the annualized amortization income or expense calculated for December 2010 (excluding the accretion of non-credit-related other-than-temporary impairments and any adjustments recorded for changes in the effective rate). The denominator for the individual lot yield consists of the year-end amortized cost of the lot excluding effects of other-than-temporary impairments on the UPB of impaired lots.

AOCI Related to Available-For-Sale Securities

Table 8.8 presents the changes in AOCI related to available-for-sale securities. The net unrealized holding gains (losses) represents the net fair value adjustments recorded on available-for-sale securities throughout the year, after the effects of our federal statutory tax rate of 35%. The net reclassification adjustment for net realized losses represents the amount of those fair value adjustments, after the effects of our federal statutory tax rate of 35%, that have been recognized in earnings due to a sale of an available-for-sale security or the recognition of an impairment loss.

221 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

Table 8.8 AOCI Related to Available-For-Sale Securities

	Year Ended Decemb 2010 2009 (in millions)					per 31, 2008			
Beginning balance	\$	(20,616)	\$	(28,510)	\$	(7,040)			
Adjustment to initially apply the adoption of amendments to accounting									
standards for transfers of financial assets and the consolidation of VIEs ⁽¹⁾		(2,683)							
Adjustment to initially apply the adoption of an amendment to the									
accounting standards for investments in debt and equity securities ⁽²⁾				(9,931)					
Adjustment to initially apply the accounting standards on the fair value									
option for financial assets and liabilities ⁽³⁾						(854)			
Net unrealized holding gains (losses) ⁽⁴⁾		10,876		11,250		(31,753)			
Net reclassification adjustment for net realized losses ⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾		2,745		6,575		11,137			
Ending balance	\$	(9,678)	\$	(20,616)	\$	(28,510)			

- (1) Net of tax benefit of \$1.4 billion for the year ended December 31, 2010.
- (2) Net of tax benefit of \$5.3 billion for the year ended December 31, 2009.
- (3) Net of tax benefit of \$460 million for the year ended December 31, 2008.
- (4) Net of tax benefit (expense) of \$(5.9) billion, \$(6.1) billion and \$17.1 billion for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.
- (5) Net of tax benefit of \$1.5 billion, \$3.5 billion and \$6.0 billion for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.
- (6) Includes the reversal of previously recorded unrealized losses that have been recognized on our consolidated statements of operations as impairment losses on available-for-sale securities of \$2.8 billion, \$7.3 billion and \$11.5 billion, net of taxes, for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Trading Securities

Table 8.9 summarizes the estimated fair values by major security type for trading securities.

Table 8.9 Trading Securities

	2010	nber 31, 2009 nillions)
Mortgage-related securities:		
Freddie Mac	\$ 13,437	\$ 170,955
Fannie Mae	18,726	34,364
Ginnie Mae	172	185
Other	31	28
Total mortgage-related securities	32,366	205,532

Non-mortgage-related securities:		
Asset-backed securities	44	1,492
Treasury bills	17,289	14,787
Treasury notes	10,122	
FDIC-guaranteed corporate medium-term notes	441	439
Total non-mortgage-related securities	27,896	16,718
Total fair value of trading securities	\$ 60,262	\$ 222,250

For the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 we recorded net unrealized gains (losses) on trading securities held at December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 of \$(1.4) billion, \$4.3 billion and \$1.6 billion, respectively.

Total trading securities include \$2.5 billion and \$3.3 billion, respectively, of assets as defined by the derivative and hedging accounting guidance regarding certain hybrid financial instruments as of December 31, 2010 and 2009. Gains (losses) on trading securities on our consolidated statements of operations include gains (losses) of \$(53) million and \$96 million, respectively, related to these trading securities for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009.

Collateral Pledged

Collateral Pledged to Freddie Mac

Our counterparties are required to pledge collateral for securities purchased under agreements to resell transactions and most derivative instruments are subject to collateral posting thresholds generally related to a counterparty s credit rating. We consider the types of securities being pledged to us as collateral when determining how much we lend related to securities purchased under agreements to resell transactions. Additionally, we subsequently and regularly review the market values of these securities compared to amounts loaned to ensure our exposure to losses is minimized. We had cash and cash equivalents pledged to us related to derivative instruments of \$2.2 billion and \$3.1 billion at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Although it is our practice not to repledge assets held as collateral, a portion of the collateral may be repledged based on master agreements related to our derivative instruments. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, we did not have collateral in the form of securities pledged to and held by us under these master agreements. Also at December 31, 2010 and 2009, we did not have securities pledged to us for securities purchased under agreements to resell transactions that we had the right to repledge. From time to time we may obtain pledges of collateral from certain seller/servicers as additional

222 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

security for their obligations to us, including their obligations to repurchase mortgages sold to us in breach of representations and warranties.

In addition, we hold cash and cash equivalents as collateral primarily in connection with certain of our multifamily guarantees as credit enhancements. The cash and cash equivalents held as collateral related to these transactions at December 31, 2010 and 2009 was \$550 million and \$322 million, respectively.

Collateral Pledged by Freddie Mac

We are required to pledge collateral for margin requirements with third-party custodians in connection with secured financings and derivative transactions with some counterparties. The level of collateral pledged related to our derivative instruments is determined after giving consideration to our credit rating. As of December 31, 2010, we had one uncommitted intraday line of credit with a third party, which is secured, in connection with the Federal Reserve s payments system risk policy, which restricts or eliminates delinquent overdrafts by the GSEs, in connection with our use of the Fedwire system. In certain circumstances, the line of credit agreement gives the secured party the right to repledge the securities underlying our financing to other third parties, including the Federal Reserve Bank. We pledge collateral to meet our collateral requirements under the line-of-credit agreement upon demand by the counterparty.

Table 8.10 summarizes all securities pledged as collateral by us, including assets that the secured party may repledge and those that may not be repledged.

Table 8.10 Collateral in the Form of Securities Pledged

	2010	mber 31, 2009 nillions)
Securities pledged with the ability for the secured party to repledge: Debt securities of consolidated trusts held by third parties ⁽¹⁾ Available-for-sale securities Securities pledged without the ability for the secured party to repledge:	\$ 9,915 817	10,879
Debt securities of consolidated trusts held by third parties ⁽¹⁾ Available-for-sale securities	5	302
Total securities pledged	\$ 10,737	\$ 11,181

(1) Commencing January 1, 2010, represents PCs held by us in our Investments segment mortgage investments portfolio and pledged as collateral. As a result of the change in accounting principles, this amount is recorded as a reduction to debt securities of consolidated trusts held by third parties on our consolidated balance sheets.

Securities Pledged with the Ability of the Secured Party to Repledge

At December 31, 2010, we pledged securities with the ability of the secured party to repledge of \$10.7 billion, of which \$10.5 billion was collateral posted in connection with our uncommitted intraday line of credit with a third party as discussed above.

At December 31, 2009, we pledged securities with the ability of the secured party to repledge of \$10.9 billion, of which \$10.8 billion was collateral posted in connection with our uncommitted intraday line of credit with a third party

as discussed above.

There were no borrowings against the line of credit at December 31, 2010 or 2009. The remaining \$0.2 billion and \$0.1 billion of collateral posted with the ability of the secured party to repledge at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, was posted in connection with our futures transactions.

Securities Pledged without the Ability of the Secured Party to Repledge

At December 31, 2010 and 2009, we pledged securities without the ability of the secured party to repledge of \$5 million and \$302 million, respectively, at a clearinghouse in connection with our futures transactions.

Collateral in the Form of Cash Pledged

At December 31, 2010, we pledged \$8.5 billion of collateral in the form of cash and cash equivalents, all but \$40 million of which related to our derivative agreements as we had \$9.4 billion of such derivatives in a net loss position. At December 31, 2009, we pledged \$5.8 billion of collateral in the form of cash and cash equivalents, of which \$5.6 billion related to our derivative agreements as we had \$6.0 billion of such derivatives in a net loss position. The remaining \$40 million and \$220 million was posted at clearinghouses in connection with our securities and other derivative transactions at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

NOTE 9: DEBT SECURITIES AND SUBORDINATED BORROWINGS

Debt securities that we issue are classified on our consolidated balance sheets as either debt securities of consolidated trusts held by third parties or other debt that we issue to fund our operations. Commencing with our adoption of two new accounting standards on January 1, 2010, the mortgage loans that are held by the consolidated securitization trusts are

223 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

recognized as mortgage loans held-for-investment by consolidated trusts and the beneficial interests issued by the consolidated securitization trusts and held by third parties are recognized as debt securities of consolidated trusts held by third parties on our consolidated balance sheets. See NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES Securitization Activities through Issuances of Freddie Mac Mortgage-Related Securities for additional information.

Under the Purchase Agreement, without the prior written consent of Treasury, we may not incur indebtedness that would result in the par value of our aggregate indebtedness exceeding 120% of the amount of mortgage assets we are allowed to own on December 31 of the immediately preceding calendar year. Our debt cap under the Purchase Agreement was \$1.08 trillion in 2010 and will be \$972 billion in 2011.

Because of this debt limit, we may be restricted in the amount of debt we are allowed to issue to fund our operations. Under the Purchase Agreement, the amount of our indebtedness is determined without giving effect to any change in the accounting standards related to transfers of financial assets and consolidation of VIEs or any similar accounting standard. We also cannot become liable for any subordinated indebtedness, without the prior consent of Treasury.

As of December 31, 2010, we estimate that the par value of our aggregate indebtedness totaled \$728.2 billion, which was approximately \$351.8 billion below the applicable limit of \$1.08 trillion. Our aggregate indebtedness is calculated as the par value of: (a) total debt, net; less (b) debt securities of consolidated trusts held by third parties.

In the tables that follow, the categories of short-term debt (due within one year) and long-term debt (due after one year) are based on the original contractual maturity of the debt instrument classified as other debt.

Table 9.1 summarizes the interest expense and the balances of total debt, net per our consolidated balance sheets. Prior periods have been reclassified to conform to the current presentation.

Table 9.1 Total Debt, Net

	Inter	est F	Expense f	or t	the				
	Year I	Ende	d Decem	ber	31,	Balance, Net at(1)			
	2010		2009 millions)		2008	Dec	cember 31, 2010 (in n		December 31, 2009 ions)
Other debt:									
Short-term debt	\$ 552	\$	2,234	\$	6,800	\$	197,106	\$	238,171
Long-term debt:									
Senior debt	16,317		19,754		26,278		516,123		541,735
Subordinated debt	46		162		254		711		698
Total long-term debt	16,363		19,916		26,532		516,834		542,433
Total other debt Debt securities of consolidated trusts held	16,915		22,150		33,332		713,940		780,604
by third parties	75,216						1,528,648		
Total debt, net	\$ 92,131	\$	22,150	\$	33,332	\$	2,242,588	\$	780,604

(1) Represents par value, net of associated discounts, premiums and hedge-related basis adjustments, with \$0.9 billion and \$0.5 billion, respectively, of other short-term debt, and \$3.6 billion and \$8.4 billion, respectively, of other long-term debt that represents the fair value of debt securities with the fair value option elected at December 31, 2010 and 2009.

During 2010 and 2009, we recognized fair value gains (losses) of \$581 million and \$(405) million, respectively, on our foreign-currency denominated debt, of which \$461 million and \$(209) million, respectively, are gains (losses) related to our net foreign-currency translation.

Other Short-Term Debt

As indicated in Table 9.2, a majority of other short-term debt consisted of Reference Bills[®] securities and discount notes, paying only principal at maturity. Reference Bills[®] securities, discount notes, and medium-term notes are unsecured general corporate obligations. Certain medium-term notes that have original maturities of one year or less are classified as other short-term debt.

224 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

Table 9.2 provides additional information related to our other short-term debt. Prior periods have been reclassified to conform to the current presentation.

Table 9.2 Other Short-Term Debt

	December 31,									
	Par Value	2010 Balance, Net ⁽¹⁾	Effective Rate ⁽²⁾ (dollars in	Par Value millions)	2009 Balance, Net ⁽¹⁾	Effective Rate ⁽²⁾				
Reference Bills® securities and discount notes Medium-term notes	\$ 194,875 2,364	\$ 194,742 2,364	0.24% 0.31	\$ 227,732 10,561	\$ 227,611 10,560	0.26% 0.69				
Other short-term debt	\$ 197,239	\$ 197,106	0.25	\$ 238,293	\$ 238,171	0.28				

- (1) Represents par value, net of associated discounts and premiums.
- (2) Represents the weighted average effective rate that remains constant over the life of the instrument, which includes the amortization of discounts or premiums and issuance costs.

Federal Funds Purchased and Securities Sold Under Agreements to Repurchase

Securities sold under agreements to repurchase are effectively collateralized borrowing transactions where we sell securities with an agreement to repurchase such securities. These agreements require the underlying securities to be delivered to the dealers who arranged the transactions. Federal funds purchased are unsecuritized borrowings from commercial banks that are members of the Federal Reserve System. At both December 31, 2010 and 2009, we had no balances in federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase.

Other Long-Term Debt

Table 9.3 summarizes our other long-term debt. Prior periods have been reclassified to conform to the current presentation.

Table 9.3 Other Long-Term Debt

	December 31,									
			2010			2009				
	Contractual Maturity ⁽¹⁾	Par Value	Balance, Net ⁽²⁾	Interest Rates (dol	Par Value lars in millions)	Balance, Net ⁽²⁾	Interest Rates			
Other long-term debt: Other senior debt: ⁽³⁾ Fixed-rate:	2011-2037	\$ 107,328	\$ 107,272	0.40%	6.50% \$ 154,545	\$ 154,417	1.00% 6.63%			

Edgar Filing: MINDSPEED TECHNOLOGIES, INC - Form 8-K

Medium-term notes callablé) Medium-term notes non-callable U.S. dollar Reference Notes®	2011-2028	31,107	31,335	0.52% 13.25%	15,071	15,255	1.00% 13.25%
non-callable Reference Notes®	2011-2032	239,497	239,486	0.38% 6.75%	253,781	253,696	1.13% 7.00%
securities non-callable Variable-rate:	2012-2014	2,021	2,131	4.38% 5.13%	5,668	5,921	4.38% 5.75%
Medium-term notes callablé ⁾ Medium-term	2011-2030	32,404	32,403	Various	24,084	24,081	Various
notes non-callable Zero-coupon:	2011-2026	91,332	91,346	Various	73,629	73,649	Various
Medium-term notes callable Medium-term	2030-2040	12,191	2,971	%	23,388	4,444	%
notes non-callable ⁽⁷⁾ Hedging-related	2011-2039	14,189	9,035	%	15,705	10,084	%
basis adjustments		N/A	144		N/A	188	
Total other senior debt Other subordinated		530,069	516,123		565,871	541,735	
debt: Fixed-rate Zero-coupon ⁽⁸⁾	2011-2018 2019	578 331	575 136	5.00% 8.25% %	578 331	575 123	5.00% 8.25% %
Total other subordinated debt		909	711		909	698	
Total other long-term debt ⁽⁹⁾		\$ 530,978	\$ 516,834		\$ 566,780	\$ 542,433	

⁽¹⁾ Represents contractual maturities at December 31, 2010.

⁽²⁾ Represents par value of long-term debt securities and subordinated borrowings, net of associated discounts or premiums and hedge-related basis adjustments.

⁽³⁾ For debt denominated in a currency other than the U.S. dollar, the outstanding balance is based on the exchange rate at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

- (4) Includes callable FreddieNotes® securities of \$5.4 billion and \$6.1 billion at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.
- (5) Includes callable FreddieNotes[®] securities of \$7.0 billion and \$5.5 billion at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.
- (6) The effective rates for zero-coupon medium-term notes callable ranged from 4.40% 7.25% and 5.78% 7.25% at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.
- (7) The effective rates for zero-coupon medium-term notes non-callable ranged from 0.52% 11.18% and 0.56% 11.18% at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.
- (8) The effective rate for zero-coupon subordinated debt was 10.51% at both December 31, 2010 and 2009.
- (9) The effective rates for other long-term debt were 2.78% and 3.41% at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The effective rate represents the weighted average effective rate that remains constant over the life of the instrument, which includes the amortization of discounts or premiums and issuance costs and hedging-related basis adjustments.

225 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

A portion of our other long-term debt is callable. Callable debt gives us the option to redeem the debt security at par on one or more specified call dates or at any time on or after a specified call date.

Debt Securities of Consolidated Trusts Held by Third Parties

Debt securities of consolidated trusts held by third parties represents our liability to third parties that hold beneficial interests in our consolidated securitization trusts (*e.g.*, single-family PC trusts and certain Other Guarantee Transactions).

Table 9.4 summarizes the debt securities of our consolidated trusts held by third parties based on underlying mortgage product type.

Table 9.4 Debt Securities of Our Consolidated Trusts Held by Third Partie(4)

			Decem	.	
	Contractual Maturity ⁽²⁾ UPB (dollar		nlance, Net millions)	Interest Rates ⁽²⁾	
Debt securities of consolidated trusts held by					
third parties:					
Single-family:					
30-year or more, fixed-rate	2011-2048	\$ 1,1	10,943	\$ 1,118,994	1.60% - 16.25%
20-year fixed-rate	2012-2031	(63,941	64,752	3.50% - 9.00%
15-year fixed-rate	2011-2026	22	27,269	229,510	2.50% - 10.50%
Adjustable-rate ⁽³⁾	2011-2047	:	50,904	51,351	% - 10.05%
Interest-only ⁽⁴⁾	2026-2040	(61,773	61,830	1.87% - 7.86%
FHA/VA	2011-2040		2,171	2,211	1.60% - 15.00%
Total debt securities of consolidated trusts					
held by third parties ⁽⁵⁾		\$ 1,5	17,001	\$ 1,528,648	

- (1) Debt securities of consolidated trusts held by third parties are prepayable without penalty.
- (2) Based on the contractual maturity and interest rates of debt securities of our consolidated trusts held by third parties.
- (3) The minimum interest rate of 0% reflects interest rates on principal-only classes of Other Guarantee Transactions.
- (4) Includes interest-only securities and interest-only mortgage loans that allow the borrowers to pay only interest for a fixed period of time before the loans begin to amortize.
- (5) The effective rate for debt securities of consolidated trusts held by third parties was 4.57% at December 31, 2010. The effective rate represents the weighted average effective rate, which includes the amortization of discounts or premiums.

Table 9.5 summarizes the contractual maturities of other long-term debt securities and debt securities of consolidated trusts held by third parties at December 31, 2010.

Table 9.5 Contractual Maturity of Other Long-Term Debt and Debt Securities of Consolidated Trusts Held by Third Parties

Annual Maturities	Par Value ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ (in millions)			
Other debt:				
2011	\$	120,951		
2012		138,474		
2013		79,177		
2014		36,328		
2015		45,779		
Thereafter		110,269		
Debt securities of consolidated trusts held by third parties ⁽³⁾		1,517,001		
Total		2,047,979		
Net discounts, premiums, hedge-related and other basis adjustments ⁽⁴⁾		(2,497)		
Total debt securities of consolidated trusts held by third parties and other long-term debt	\$	2,045,482		

- (1) Represents par value of long-term debt securities and subordinated borrowings and UPB of debt securities of our consolidated trusts held by third parties.
- (2) For other debt denominated in a currency other than the U.S. dollar, the par value is based on the exchange rate at December 31, 2010.
- (3) Contractual maturities of debt securities of consolidated trusts held by third parties may not represent expected maturity as they are prepayable at any time without penalty.
- (4) Other basis adjustments primarily represent changes in fair value attributable to instrument-specific credit risk related to other foreign-currency-denominated debt.

Lines of Credit

At both December 31, 2010 and 2009, we had one secured, uncommitted intraday line of credit with a third party totaling \$10 billion. We use this line of credit regularly to provide us with additional liquidity to fund our intraday activities through the Fedwire system in connection with the Federal Reserve s payments system risk policy, which restricts or eliminates daylight overdrafts by GSEs. No amounts were drawn on this line of credit at December 31, 2010 or December 31, 2009. We expect to continue to use the current facility to satisfy our intraday financing needs; however, as the line is uncommitted, we may not be able to draw on it if and when needed.

226 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

Subordinated Debt Interest and Principal Payments

In a September 23, 2008 statement concerning the conservatorship, the Director of FHFA stated that we would continue to make interest and principal payments on our subordinated debt, even if we fail to maintain required capital levels. As a result, the terms of any of our subordinated debt that provide for us to defer payments of interest under certain circumstances, including our failure to maintain specified capital levels, are no longer applicable.

NOTE 10: FINANCIAL GUARANTEES

We securitize substantially all of the single-family mortgage loans we purchase and issue securities backed by such mortgages, which we guarantee. Beginning January 1, 2010, we no longer recognize a financial guarantee for such trusts as we recognize both the mortgage loans and the debt securities of these securitization trusts on our consolidated balance sheets. See NOTE 2: CHANGE IN ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES for further information about changes in accounting affecting our securitized guarantees. Table 10.1 presents our maximum potential amount of future payments, our recognized liability, and the maximum remaining term of our financial guarantees that are not consolidated on our balance sheets.

Table 10.1 Financial Guarantees

	Decei	nber 31, 20	10	Dece	mber 31, 2009	iber 31, 2009		
			Maximum					
	Maximum	Recognized	Remaining	Maximum	Recognized 1	d Remaining		
	Exposure(1)	Liability	Term	Exposure(1)	Liability	Term		
	(dollars in millions, terms in years)							
Non-consolidated Freddie Mac								
securities	\$ 25,279	\$ 202	41	\$ 1,854,813	\$ 11,949	43		
Other guarantee commitments	18,670	427	38	15,069	516	40		
Derivative instruments	37,578	301	35	30,362	76	33		
Servicing-related premium guarantees	172		5	193		5		

⁽¹⁾ Maximum exposure represents the contractual amounts that could be lost under the non-consolidated guarantees if counterparties or borrowers defaulted, without consideration of possible recoveries under credit enhancement arrangements, such as recourse provisions, third-party insurance contracts, or from collateral held or pledged. The maximum exposure disclosed above is not representative of the actual loss we are likely to incur, based on our historical loss experience and after consideration of proceeds from related collateral liquidation. In addition, the maximum exposure for our liquidity guarantees is not mutually exclusive of our default guarantees on the same securities; therefore, these amounts are also included within the maximum exposure of non-consolidated Freddie Mac securities and other guarantee commitments.

Non-consolidated Freddie Mac Securities

We issue three types of mortgage-related securities: (a) PCs; (b) REMICs and Other Structured Securities; and (c) Other Guarantee Transactions. We guarantee the payment of principal and interest on these securities, which are backed by pools of mortgage loans, irrespective of the cash flows received from the borrowers. Commencing January 1, 2010, only our guarantees issued to non-consolidated securitization trusts are accounted for in accordance with the accounting standards for guarantees (*i.e.*, a guarantee asset and guarantee obligation are recognized).

At December 31, 2010 and 2009, there were \$1.4 trillion and \$1.7 trillion, respectively, of securities we issued in resecuritization of our PCs and other previously issued REMICs and Other Structured Securities. The securities issued in these resecuritizations consist of single-class and multiclass securities backed by PCs, REMICs, interest only strips, and principal only strips and do not increase our credit-related exposure. As a result, no guarantee asset or guarantee obligation is recognized for these transactions and they are excluded from the table above.

We recognize a guarantee asset, guarantee obligation and a reserve for guarantee losses, as necessary, for securities issued by non-consolidated securitization trusts and other guarantee commitments for which we are exposed to incremental credit risk. Our guarantee obligation represents the recognized liability, net of cumulative amortization, associated with our guarantee of PCs and certain Other Guarantee Transactions issued to non-consolidated securitization trusts. In addition to our guarantee obligation, we recognized a reserve for guarantee losses, which is included within other liabilities on our consolidated balance sheets, which totaled \$0.2 billion and \$32.4 billion at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. For many of the loans underlying our non-consolidated guarantees, there are credit protections from third parties, including subordination, covering a portion of our exposure. See NOTE 5: MORTGAGE LOANS AND LOAN LOSS RESERVE for information about credit protections on loans we guarantee. See NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES for further information about our accounting for financial guarantees.

During 2010 and 2009, we issued and guaranteed \$375.9 billion and \$467.0 billion, respectively, in UPB of Freddie Mac mortgage-related securities backed by single-family mortgage loans (excluding those backed by HFA bonds). In accordance with the changes in accounting standards for the consolidation of VIEs, we did not recognize a guarantee asset or a guarantee obligation for these single-family securities. We also issued approximately \$5.9 billion and \$2.1 billion in UPB of Other Structured Securities and Other Guarantee Transactions backed by multifamily mortgage loans during 2010 and 2009, respectively, for which a guarantee asset and guarantee obligation were recognized. As explained above, the vast majority of our issued mortgage-related securities are no longer accounted for in accordance with the accounting standards for guarantees (*i.e.*, a guarantee asset and guarantee obligation are not recognized) as a result of the consolidation of certain

227 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

of our securitization trusts commencing January 1, 2010. See NOTE 5: MORTGAGE LOANS AND LOAN LOSS RESERVES for further information on mortgage loans underlying our consolidated mortgage trusts.

In connection with transfers of financial assets to non-consolidated securitization trusts that are accounted for as sales and for which we have incremental credit risk, we recognize our guarantee obligation in accordance with the accounting standards for guarantees. Additionally, we may retain an interest in the transferred financial assets (*e.g.*, a beneficial interest issued by the securitization trust). See NOTE 11: RETAINED INTERESTS IN MORTGAGE-RELATED SECURITIZATIONS for further information on these retained interests.

Securitization Trusts

Effective December 2007 we established securitization trusts for the administration of cash remittances received on the underlying assets of our PCs and REMICs and Other Structured Securities. As described in NOTE 2: CHANGE IN ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES, we now recognize the cash held by our consolidated single-family PC trusts and certain Other Guarantee Transactions as restricted cash and cash equivalents on our consolidated balance sheets. We receive fees as master servicer, issuer, trustee and administrator for our consolidated PCs and REMICs and Other Structured Securities, however, such amounts are now recorded within net interest income. These fees are derived from interest earned on principal and interest cash flows held in restricted cash and cash equivalents between the time funds are remitted to the trust by servicers and the date of distribution to our PCs and REMICs and Other Structured Securities holders. These fees are offset by interest expense we incur when a borrower prepays a mortgage, but the full amount of interest for the month is due to the PC investor. We recognized trust management income (expense) of \$0 million, \$(761) million, and \$(70) million during 2010 (on our non-consolidated trusts), 2009 (on all trusts), and 2008 (on all trusts), respectively, on our consolidated statements of operations.

Other Guarantee Commitments

We provide long-term standby commitments to certain of our customers, which obligate us to purchase seriously delinquent loans that are covered by those agreements. These other guarantee commitments totaled \$5.5 billion and \$5.1 billion of UPB at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. We also had other guarantee commitments on multifamily housing revenue bonds that were issued by HFAs of \$9.7 billion and \$9.2 billion in UPB at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. In addition, as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, we had issued guarantees under the TCLFP on securities backed by HFA bonds with UPB of \$3.5 billion and \$0.8 billion, respectively.

As part of the guarantee arrangements pertaining to multifamily housing revenue bonds, we provided commitments to advance funds, commonly referred to as liquidity guarantees. These guarantees require us to advance funds to enable others to repurchase any tendered tax-exempt and related taxable bonds that are unable to be remarketed. Any such advances are treated as loans and are secured by a pledge to us of the repurchased securities until the securities are remarketed. We hold cash and cash equivalents on our consolidated balance sheets for the amount of these commitments. No advances under these liquidity guarantees were outstanding at December 31, 2010 or 2009.

Derivative Instruments

Derivative instruments include written options, written swaptions, interest-rate swap guarantees, and short-term default guarantee commitments accounted for as credit derivatives. See NOTE 12: DERIVATIVES for further discussion of these derivative guarantees.

We guaranteed the performance of interest-rate swap contracts in three circumstances. First, as part of a resecuritization transaction, we transferred certain swaps and related assets to a third party. We guaranteed that interest income generated from the assets would be sufficient to cover the required payments under the interest-rate

swap contracts. Second, we guaranteed that a borrower would perform under an interest-rate swap contract linked to a borrower sadjustable-rate mortgage. And third, in connection with our issuance of certain REMICs and Other Structured Securities, which are backed by tax-exempt bonds, we guaranteed that the sponsor of the transaction would perform under the interest-rate swap contract linked to the senior variable-rate certificates that we issued.

We also have issued REMICs and Other Structured Securities with stated final maturities that are shorter than the stated maturity of the underlying mortgage loans. If the underlying mortgage loans to these securities have not been purchased by a third party or fully matured as of the stated final maturity date of such securities, we will sponsor an auction of the underlying assets. To the extent that purchase or auction proceeds are insufficient to cover unpaid principal amounts due to investors in such REMICs and Other Structured Securities, we are obligated to fund such principal. Our maximum exposure on these guarantees represents the outstanding UPB of the underlying mortgage loans.

228 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

Servicing-Related Premium Guarantees

We provide guarantees to reimburse servicers for premiums paid to acquire servicing in situations where the original seller is unable to perform under its separate servicing agreement. The liability associated with these agreements was not material at December 31, 2010 and 2009.

Other Indemnifications

In connection with certain business transactions, we may provide indemnification to counterparties for claims arising out of breaches of certain obligations (*e.g.*, those arising from representations and warranties) in contracts entered into in the normal course of business. Our assessment is that the risk of any material loss from such a claim for indemnification is remote and there are no probable and estimable losses associated with these contracts. Therefore, we have not recorded any liabilities related to these indemnifications on our consolidated balance sheets at December 31, 2010 and 2009.

NOTE 11: RETAINED INTERESTS IN MORTGAGE-RELATED SECURITIZATIONS

Beginning January 1, 2010, in accordance with the amendment to the accounting standards on consolidation of VIEs, we consolidated our single-family PC trusts and certain Other Guarantee Transactions. As a result, a large majority of our transfers of financial assets that historically qualified as sales (*e.g.*, the transfer of mortgage loans to our single-family PC trusts) are no longer treated as such because the financial assets are transferred to a consolidated entity. In addition, to the extent that we receive newly-issued PCs or Other Guarantee Transactions in connection with such a transfer, we extinguish a proportional amount of the debt securities of the consolidated trust. See NOTE 2: CHANGE IN ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES for further information regarding the impacts of consolidation of our single-family PC trusts and certain Other Guarantee Transactions.

Certain of our transfers of financial assets to non-consolidated trusts and third parties may continue to qualify as sales. In connection with our transfers of financial assets that qualify as sales, we may retain certain interests in the transferred assets. Our retained interests are primarily beneficial interests issued by non-consolidated securitization trusts (*e.g.*, multifamily PCs and multiclass resecuritization securities). These interests are included in investments in securities on our consolidated balance sheets. In addition, our guarantee asset recognized in connection with non-consolidated securitization transactions also represents a retained interest. For more information about our retained interests in mortgage-related securitizations, see NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES Investments in Securities. These transfers and our resulting retained interests are not significant to our consolidated financial statements in 2010.

Our exposure to credit losses on the loans underlying our retained securitization interests and our guarantee asset was recorded within our reserve for guarantee losses on PCs and as a component of our guarantee obligation, respectively. For information regarding our charge-offs, and delinquencies on loans we have securitized, see NOTE 5: MORTGAGE LOANS AND LOAN LOSS RESERVES and NOTE 6: INDIVIDUALLY IMPAIRED AND NON-PERFORMING LOANS. Table 11.1 below presents the carrying values of our retained interests in securitization transactions as of December 31, 2009. Carrying values of our retained interests at December 31, 2010 were not significant.

Table 11.1 Carrying Value of Retained Interests

December 31, 2009 (in millions)

Retained Interests, mortgage-related securities \$ 91,537 Retained Interests, guarantee asset \$ 10,444

Retained Interests, Mortgage-Related Securities

We estimate the fair value of retained interests in mortgage-related securities based on independent price quotes obtained from third-party pricing services or dealer provided prices. The hypothetical sensitivity of the carrying value of retained securitization interests is based on internal models adjusted where necessary to align with fair values.

Retained Interests, Guarantee Asset

Our approach for estimating the fair value of the guarantee asset at December 31, 2009 used third-party market data as practicable. For approximately 80% of the fair value of the guarantee asset, which related to fixed-rate loan products that reflect current market rates, the valuation approach involved obtaining dealer quotes on proxy securities with collateral similar to aggregated characteristics of our portfolio. This effectively equated the guarantee asset with current, or spot, market values for excess servicing interest-only securities. We consider these securities to be comparable to the guarantee asset in that they represent interest-only cash flows and do not have matching principal-only securities. The remaining 20% of the fair value of the guarantee asset related to underlying loan products for which comparable market prices were not readily available. These amounts related specifically to ARM products, highly seasoned loans or fixed-rate loans with coupons that are not consistent with current market rates. This portion of the guarantee asset was valued using an expected cash flow approach, including only those cash flows expected to result from our contractual right to receive management and

229 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

guarantee fees, with market input assumptions extracted from the dealer quotes provided on the more liquid products, reduced by an estimated liquidity discount.

The fair values at the time of securitization and subsequent fair value measurements at the end of a period were primarily estimated using third-party information. Consequently, we derived the assumptions presented in Table 11.2 by determining those implied by our valuation estimates, with the IRRs adjusted where necessary to align our internal models with estimated fair values determined using third-party information. However, prepayment rates are presented based on our internal models and were not similarly adjusted. For the portion of our guarantee asset that was valued by obtaining dealer quotes on proxy securities, we derived the assumptions from the prices we were provided. Table 11.2 contains estimates of the key assumptions used to derive the fair value measurement that related solely to our guarantee asset on financial guarantees of single-family loans. These represent the average assumptions used both at the end of the period as well as the valuation assumptions at guarantee issuance during the year presented on a combined basis.

Table 11.2 Key Assumptions Used in Measuring the Fair Value of Guarantee Asset)

		For the Year Ended December 31,				
Mean Valuation Assumptions	2009	2008				
IRRs ⁽²⁾	13.8%	12.3%				
Prepayment rates ⁽³⁾	26.4%	15.5%				
Weighted average lives (years)	3.3	5.6				

- (1) Estimates based solely on valuations on our guarantee asset associated with single-family loans, which represent approximately 97% of the total guarantee asset.
- (2) IRR assumptions represent a UPB weighted average of the discount rates inherent in the fair value of the recognized guarantee asset. We estimated the IRRs using a model which employs multiple interest rate scenarios versus a single assumption.
- (3) Although prepayment rates are simulated monthly, the assumptions above represent annualized prepayment rates based on UPB.

The objective of the sensitivity analysis below is to present our estimate of the financial impact of an unfavorable change in the input values associated with the determination of fair values of these retained interests. We did not use these inputs in determining fair value of our retained interests as our measurements were principally based on third-party pricing information. The weighted average assumptions within Table 11.3 represent our estimates of the assumed IRR and prepayment rates implied by market pricing as of each period end and were derived using our internal models. Since we did not use these internal models for determining fair value in our reported results under GAAP, this sensitivity analysis is hypothetical and would not be indicative of actual results, particularly due to the change in accounting standards on January 1, 2010. In addition, the effect of a variation in a particular assumption on the fair value of the retained interest was estimated independently of changes in any other assumptions. Changes in one factor would have resulted in changes in another, which would have affected the impact of the change.

Table 11.3 Sensitivity Analysis of Retained Interests

As of December 31, 2009 (dollars in millions)

Retained Interests, Mortgage-Related Securities

Weighted average IRR assumptions	4.5%
Impact on fair value of 100 bps unfavorable change	\$ (3,634)
Impact on fair value of 200 bps unfavorable change	\$ (7,008)
Weighted average prepayment rate assumptions	11.4%
Impact on fair value of 10% unfavorable change	\$ (85)
Impact on fair value of 20% unfavorable change	\$ (161)

Retained Interests, Guarantee Asset (Single-Family Only)

Weighted average IRR assumptions	8.5%
Impact on fair value of 100 bps unfavorable change	\$ (382)
Impact on fair value of 200 bps unfavorable change	\$ (714)
Weighted average prepayment rate assumptions	20.1%
Impact on fair value of 10% unfavorable change	\$ (517)
Impact on fair value of 20% unfavorable change	\$ (995)

We receive proceeds in securitizations accounted for as sales for those securities sold to third parties. Subsequent to these securitizations, we receive cash flows related to interest income and repayment of principal on the securities we retain for investment. Regardless of whether our issued mortgage-related security is sold to third parties or held by us for investment, we are obligated to make cash payments to acquire foreclosed properties and certain delinquent or impaired mortgages under our financial guarantees. Table 11.4 summarizes cash flows on retained interests related to securitizations accounted for as sales during 2009 and 2008. Cash flows associated with our retained interests in 2010 were not significant.

230 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

Table 11.4 Details of Cash Flows

For the Year Ended December 31, 2009 2008 (in millions)

Cash flows from:

Proceeds from transfers of Freddie Mac securities that were accounted for as sales ⁽¹⁾	\$ 118,445	\$ 36,885
Cash flows received on the guarantee asset ⁽²⁾	2,922	2,871
Principal and interest from retained securitization interests ⁽³⁾	21,377	20,411
Purchases of delinquent or foreclosed loans and required purchase of balloon		
mortgages ⁽⁴⁾	(26,346)	(13,539)

- (1) On our consolidated statements of cash flows, this amount is included in investing activities as part of proceeds from sales of trading and available-for-sale securities.
- (2) Represents cash received from securities receiving sales treatment and related to management and guarantee fees, which reduce the guarantee asset. On our consolidated statements of cash flows, the change in guarantee asset and the corresponding management and guarantee fee income are reflected as operating activities.
- (3) On our consolidated statements of cash flows, the cash flows from interest are included in net income (loss) and the principal repayments are included in investing activities as part of proceeds from maturities of available-for-sale securities.
- (4) On our consolidated statements of cash flows, this amount is included in investing activities as part of purchases of held-for-investment mortgages. Includes our acquisitions of REO in cases where a foreclosure sale occurred while a loan was owned by the securitization trust.

In addition to the cash flow shown above, we are obligated under our guarantee to make up any shortfalls in principal and interest to the holders of our securities, including those shortfalls arising from losses incurred in our role as trustee for the master trust, which administers cash remittances from mortgages and makes payments to the security holders. See NOTE 10: FINANCIAL GUARANTEES for further information on these cash flows.

Gains and Losses on Transfers of PCs and REMICs and Other Structured Securities that are Accounted for as Sales

The gain or loss on a securitization that qualifies as a sale is determined, in part, based on the carrying amounts of the financial assets sold. The carrying amounts of the assets sold are allocated between those sold to third parties and those held as retained interests based on their relative fair value at the date of sale. We recognized net pre-tax gains (losses) on transfers of mortgage loans, PCs and REMICs and Other Structured Securities that were accounted for as sales of approximately \$1.5 billion and \$151 million for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. These transactions were not significant in 2010 due to the changes in the accounting standards for consolidation of PC trusts and certain Other Guarantee Transactions that became effective January 1, 2010.

NOTE 12: DERIVATIVES

Use of Derivatives

We use derivatives primarily to:

hedge forecasted issuances of debt;

synthetically create callable and non-callable funding;

regularly adjust or rebalance our funding mix in order to more closely match changes in the interest-rate characteristics of our mortgage assets; and

hedge foreign-currency exposure.

Hedge Forecasted Debt Issuances

We typically commit to purchase mortgage investments on an opportunistic basis for a future settlement, typically ranging from two weeks to three months after the date of the commitment. To facilitate larger and more predictable debt issuances that contribute to lower funding costs, we use interest-rate derivatives to economically hedge the interest-rate risk exposure from the time we commit to purchase a mortgage to the time the related debt is issued.

Create Synthetic Funding

We also use derivatives to synthetically create the substantive economic equivalent of various debt funding structures. For example, the combination of a series of short-term debt issuances over a defined period and a pay-fixed interest rate swap with the same maturity as the last debt issuance is the substantive economic equivalent of a long-term fixed-rate debt instrument of comparable maturity. Similarly, the combination of non-callable debt and a call swaption, or option to enter into a receive-fixed interest rate swap, with the same maturity as the non-callable debt, is the substantive economic equivalent of callable debt. These derivatives strategies increase our funding flexibility and allow us to better match asset and liability cash flows, often reducing overall funding costs.

Adjust Funding Mix

We generally use interest-rate swaps to mitigate contractual funding mismatches between our assets and liabilities. We also use swaptions and other option-based derivatives to adjust the contractual terms of our debt funding in response to changes in the expected lives of our investments in mortgage-related assets. As market conditions dictate, we take

231 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

rebalancing actions to keep our interest-rate risk exposure within management-set limits. In a declining interest-rate environment, we typically enter into receive-fixed interest rate swaps or purchase Treasury-based derivatives to shorten the duration of our funding to offset the declining duration of our mortgage assets. In a rising interest-rate environment, we typically enter into pay-fixed interest rate swaps or sell Treasury-based derivatives in order to lengthen the duration of our funding to offset the increasing duration of our mortgage assets.

Foreign-Currency Exposure

We use foreign-currency swaps to eliminate virtually all of our exposure to fluctuations in exchange rates related to our foreign-currency denominated debt by entering into swap transactions that effectively convert foreign-currency denominated obligations into U.S. dollar-denominated obligations.

Types of Derivatives

We principally use the following types of derivatives:

LIBOR- and Euribor-based interest-rate swaps;

LIBOR- and Treasury-based options (including swaptions);

LIBOR- and Treasury-based exchange-traded futures; and

Foreign-currency swaps.

In addition to swaps, futures and purchased options, our derivative positions include the following:

Written Options and Swaptions

Written call and put swaptions are sold to counterparties allowing them the option to enter into receive- and pay-fixed interest rate swaps, respectively. Written call and put options on mortgage-related securities give the counterparty the right to execute a contract under specified terms, which generally occurs when we are in a liability position. We use these written options and swaptions to manage convexity risk over a wide range of interest rates. Written options lower our overall hedging costs, allow us to hedge the same economic risk we assume when selling guaranteed final maturity REMICs with a more liquid instrument and allow us to rebalance the options in our callable debt and REMICs portfolios. We may, from time to time, write other derivative contracts such as caps, floors, interest-rate futures and options on buy-up and buy-down commitments.

Commitments

We routinely enter into commitments that include: (a) our commitments to purchase and sell investments in securities; and (b) our commitments to purchase and extinguish or issue debt securities of our consolidated trusts. Most of these commitments are derivatives subject to the requirements of derivatives and hedging accounting.

Swap Guarantee Derivatives

In connection with some of the guarantee arrangements pertaining to multifamily housing revenue bonds and multifamily pass-through certificates, we may also guarantee the sponsor s or the borrower s obligations as a counterparty on any related interest-rate swaps used to mitigate interest-rate risk, which are accounted for as swap guarantee derivatives.

Credit Derivatives

We entered into credit derivatives, including risk-sharing agreements. Under these risk-sharing agreements, default losses on specific mortgage loans delivered by sellers are compared to default losses on reference pools of mortgage loans with similar characteristics. Based upon the results of that comparison, we remit or receive payments based upon the default performance of the referenced pools of mortgage loans. In addition, we have entered into agreements whereby we assume credit risk for mortgage loans held by third parties in exchange for a monthly fee. We are obligated to purchase any of the mortgage loans that become four monthly payments past due.

In addition, we have purchased mortgage loans containing debt cancellation contracts, which provide for mortgage debt or payment cancellation for borrowers who experience unanticipated losses of income dependent on a covered event. The rights and obligations under these agreements have been assigned to the servicers. However, in the event the servicer does not perform as required by contract, under our guarantee, we would be obligated to make the required contractual payments.

For a discussion of our significant accounting policies related to derivatives, please see NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES Derivatives.

232 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

Derivative Assets and Liabilities at Fair Value

Table 12.1 presents the location and fair value of derivatives reported in our consolidated balance sheets.

 Table 12.1
 Derivative Assets and Liabilities at Fair Value

	At D Notional or	ecember 31, 2	2010	At Do	2009	
	Contractual Amount		ves at Fair alue Liabilities ⁽¹⁾ (in mi	Contractual Amount		ves at Fair alue Liabilities ⁽¹⁾
Total derivative portfolio Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments under the accounting standards for derivatives and hedging(2) Interest-rate swaps: Receive-fixed Pay-fixed Basis (floating to floating)	\$ 324,590 394,294 2,375	\$ 6,952 3,012 6	\$ (3,267) (24,210) (2)	\$ 271,403 382,259 52,045	\$ 3,466 2,274 1	\$ (5,455) (16,054) (61)
Total interest-rate swaps Option-based: Call swaptions	721,259	9,970	(27,479)	705,707	5,741	(21,570)
Purchased Written Put Swaptions	114,110 11,775	8,391	(244)	168,017 1,200	7,764	(19)
Purchased Written Other option-based	59,975 6,000	1,404	(8)	91,775	2,592	
derivatives ⁽³⁾	47,234	1,460	(10)	141,396	1,705	(12)
Total option-based Futures Foreign-currency swaps Commitments ⁽⁴⁾ Credit derivatives	239,094 212,383 2,021 14,292 12,833	11,255 3 172 103 12	(262) (170) (123) (5)	402,388 80,949 5,669 13,872 14,198	12,061 5 1,624 81 26	(31) (89) (70) (11)
Swap guarantee derivatives Total Derivatives not designated as hedging	3,614	01.515	(36)	3,521	10.520	(34)
instruments Netting adjustments ⁽⁵⁾	1,205,496	21,515 (21,372)	(28,075) 26,866	1,226,304	19,538 (19,323)	(21,805) 21,216
Total derivative portfolio, net	\$ 1,205,496	\$ 143	\$ (1,209)	\$ 1,226,304	\$ 215	\$ (589)
(1)						

The value of derivatives on our consolidated balance sheets is reported as derivative assets, net and derivative liabilities, net.

- (2) See Use of Derivatives for additional information about the purpose of entering into derivatives not designated as hedging instruments and our overall risk management strategies.
- (3) Primarily includes purchased interest rate caps and floors.
- (4) Commitments include: (a) our commitments to purchase and sell investments in securities; and (b) our commitments to purchase and extinguish or issue debt securities of our consolidated trusts.
- (5) Represents counterparty netting, cash collateral netting, net trade/settle receivable or payable, and net derivative interest receivable or payable. The net cash collateral posted and net trade/settle receivable were \$6.3 billion and \$1 million, respectively, at December 31, 2010. The net cash collateral posted and net trade/settle payable were \$2.5 billion and \$1 million, respectively, at December 31, 2009. The net interest receivable (payable) of derivative assets and derivative liabilities was approximately \$(0.8) billion and \$(0.6) billion at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, which was mainly related to interest rate swaps that we have entered into.

The carrying value of our derivatives on our consolidated balance sheets is equal to their fair value, including net derivative interest receivable or payable and net trade/settle receivable or payable and is net of cash collateral held or posted, where allowable by a master netting agreement. Derivatives in a net asset position are reported as derivative assets, net. Similarly, derivatives in a net liability position are reported as derivative liabilities, net. Cash collateral we obtained from counterparties to derivative contracts that has been offset against derivative assets, net at December 31, 2010 and 2009 was \$2.2 billion and \$3.1 billion, respectively. Cash collateral we posted to counterparties to derivative contracts that has been offset against derivative liabilities, net at December 31, 2010 and 2009 was \$8.5 billion and \$5.6 billion, respectively. We are subject to collateral posting thresholds based on the credit rating of our long-term senior unsecured debt securities from S&P or Moody s. In the event our credit ratings fall below certain specified rating triggers or are withdrawn by S&P or Moody s, the counterparties to the derivative instruments are entitled to full overnight collateralization on derivative instruments in net liability positions. The aggregate fair value of all derivative instruments with credit-risk-related contingent features that were in a liability position on December 31, 2010, was \$9.3 billion for which we have posted collateral of \$8.5 billion in the normal course of business. If the credit-risk-related contingent features underlying these agreements were triggered on December 31, 2010, we would be required to post an additional \$0.8 billion of collateral to our counterparties.

At December 31, 2010 and 2009, there were no amounts of cash collateral that were not offset against derivative assets, net or derivative liabilities, net, as applicable. See NOTE 19: CONCENTRATION OF CREDIT AND OTHER RISKS for further information related to our derivative counterparties.

233 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

ceive-fixed swaps

loating to floating)

terest-rate swaps

based: aptions

Gains and Losses on Derivatives

Table 12.2 presents the gains and losses on derivatives reported in our consolidated statements of operations.

Table 12.2 Gains and Losses	on]	Derivative	<u>(</u> })										
tives in Cash Flow g Relationships ⁽³⁾		in AC	of Gain or ecognized I on Deriv etive Portic ed Decem 2009	Amour from A (Ef Year E 2010	nings	Amount of or (Loss Recognized Other Incomplete (Ineffecti Portion a Amoun Excluded for Effectiven Testing) Year End December 2010 2009							
								`	millions)				
ed interest rate swaps ⁽⁴⁾ I sale commitments cash flow hedges ⁽⁵⁾	\$		\$		\$	(564) 17	\$ (1,010)	\$	(1,165)	\$	(92) (1,283)	\$	\$
cash now heages													
	\$		\$		\$	(547)	\$ (1,010)	\$	(1,165)	\$	(1,375)	\$	\$
ives not designated as hedging nents under the accounting standards ivatives and hedging ⁽⁷⁾		Year E 2010	End	e Gains (Lo led Decem 2009 a millions)	ber								
-rate swaps: fixed													
-currency denominated	\$	(119)	\$		\$								
llar denominated		9,825		(13,337)		29,732							

Table of Contents 77

(13,273)

27,078

13,611

(10,566)

248

(194)

30,221

(58,295)

(27,965)

17,242

14

109

9,706

(7,679)

6,548

(199)

65

(17,450)

ptions			
ed	(1,621)	323	(1,095)
	82	(321)	156
ption-based derivatives(8)	33	(370)	763
ption-based	4,843	(10,686)	17,080
	(210)	(300)	(2,074)
-currency swaps ⁽⁹⁾	(468)	138	(584)
tments ⁽¹⁰⁾	(85)	(708)	(112)
lerivatives	5	(4)	27
uarantee derivatives	3	(20)	(4)
.)		12	(27)
1	(3,591)	2,043	(13,659)
of periodic settlements:			
-fixed interest rate swaps ⁽¹²⁾	6,381	5,817	1,928
ed interest rate swaps	(10,909)	(9,964)	(3,482)
-currency swaps	19	89	319
	15	115	(60)
crual of periodic settlements	(4,494)	(3,943)	(1,295)
	\$ (8,085)	\$ (1,900)	\$ (14,954)

- (1) For all derivatives in qualifying hedge accounting relationships, the accrual of periodic cash settlements is recorded in net interest income on our consolidated statements of operations. For derivatives not in qualifying hedge accounting relationships, the accrual of periodic cash settlements is recorded in derivative gains (losses) on our consolidated statements of operations.
- (2) Gain or (loss) arises when the fair value change of a derivative does not exactly offset the fair value change of the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk, and is a component of other income in our consolidated statements of operations. No amounts have been excluded from the assessment of effectiveness.
- (3) Derivatives that meet specific criteria may be accounted for as cash flow hedges. Changes in the fair value of the effective portion of open qualifying cash flow hedges are recorded in AOCI. Net deferred gains and losses on closed cash flow hedges (*i.e.*, where the derivative is either terminated or redesignated) are also included in AOCI until the related forecasted transaction affects earnings or is determined to be probable of not occurring.
- (4) In 2008, we ceased designating derivative positions as cash flow hedges associated with forecasted issuances of debt in conjunction with our entry into conservatorship on September 6, 2008. As a result of our discontinuance of this hedge accounting strategy, we transferred the previous deferred amount of \$(472) million related to the fair value changes of these hedges from open cash flow hedges to closed cash flow hedges within AOCI on September 6, 2008.
- (5) Amounts reported in AOCI related to changes in the fair value of commitments to purchase securities that are designated as cash flow hedges are recognized as basis adjustments to the related assets which are amortized in earnings as interest income. Amounts linked to interest payments on long-term debt are recorded in other debt interest expense and amounts not linked to interest payments on long-term debt are recorded in expense related to derivatives.
- (6) Gains (losses) are reported as derivative gains (losses) on our consolidated statements of operations.
- (7) See Use of Derivatives for additional information about the purpose of entering into derivatives not designated as hedging instruments and our overall risk management strategies.
- (8) Primarily includes purchased interest rate caps and floors.
- (9) Foreign-currency swaps are defined as swaps in which the net settlement is based on one leg calculated in a foreign-currency and the other leg calculated in U.S. dollars.

- (10) Commitments include: (a) our commitments to purchase and sell investments in securities; and (b) our commitments to purchase and extinguish or issue debt securities of our consolidated trusts.
- (11) Related to the bankruptcy of Lehman Brothers Holdings, Inc., or Lehman.
- (12) Includes imputed interest on zero-coupon swaps.

234 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

Hedge Designation of Derivatives

At December 31, 2010 and 2009, we did not have any derivatives in hedge accounting relationships; however, there are deferred net losses recorded in AOCI related to closed cash flow hedges. As shown in Table 12.3, the total AOCI related to derivatives designated as cash flow hedges was a loss of \$2.2 billion and \$2.9 billion at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, composed of deferred net losses on closed cash flow hedges. Closed cash flow hedges involve derivatives that have been terminated or are no longer designated as cash flow hedges. Fluctuations in prevailing market interest rates have no impact on the deferred portion of AOCI relating to losses on closed cash flow hedges.

The previous deferred amount related to closed cash flow hedges remains in our AOCI balance and will be recognized into earnings over the expected time period for which the forecasted issuances of debt impact earnings. Over the next 12 months, we estimate that approximately \$516 million, net of taxes, of the \$2.2 billion of cash flow hedging losses in AOCI at December 31, 2010 will be reclassified into earnings. The maximum remaining length of time over which we have hedged the exposure related to the variability in future cash flows on forecasted transactions, primarily forecasted debt issuances, is 23 years. However, over 70% and 90% of AOCI relating to closed cash flow hedges at December 31, 2010, will be reclassified to earnings over the next five and ten years, respectively.

During 2008 we designated cash flow hedge accounting relationships for certain commitments to sell mortgage-related securities; however, we discontinued hedge accounting for these derivative instruments in December 2008. In addition, during 2008, we designated certain derivative positions as cash flow hedges of changes in cash flows associated with our forecasted issuances of debt, consistent with our risk management goals, in an effort to reduce interest rate risk related volatility in our consolidated statements of operations. In conjunction with our entry into conservatorship on September 6, 2008, we determined that we could no longer assert that the associated forecasted issuances of debt were probable of occurring and, as a result, we ceased designating derivative positions as cash flow hedges associated with forecasted issuances of debt. As a result of our discontinuance of this hedge accounting strategy, we transferred \$27.6 billion in notional amount and \$(488) million in fair value from open cash flow hedges to closed cash flow hedges on September 6, 2008.

Table 12.3 presents the changes in AOCI related to derivatives designated as cash flow hedges. Net reclassifications of losses to earnings represents the AOCI amount that was recognized in earnings as the originally hedged forecasted transactions affected earnings, unless it was deemed probable that the forecasted transaction would not occur. If it is probable that the forecasted transaction will not occur, then the deferred gain or loss associated with the hedge related to the forecasted transaction would be reclassified into earnings immediately. For further information on our net deferred tax asset valuation allowance see NOTE 14: INCOME TAXES.

Table 12.3 AOCI Related to Cash Flow Hedge Relationships

	Year I 2010	Ended Decemb 2009 (in millions)	per 31, 2008
Beginning balance ⁽¹⁾ Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle ⁽²⁾ Net change in fair value related to cash flow hedging activities ⁽³⁾	\$ (2,905) (7)	\$ (3,678)	\$ (4,059) 4 (522)
Net reclassifications of losses to earnings ⁽⁴⁾	673	773	899
Ending balance ⁽¹⁾	\$ (2,239)	\$ (2,905)	\$ (3,678)

- (1) Represents the effective portion of the fair value of open derivative contracts (*i.e.*, net unrealized gains and losses) and net deferred gains and losses on closed (*i.e.*, terminated or redesignated) cash flow hedges.
- (2) Represent adjustments to initially apply new accounting standards. Net of tax benefit of \$4 million and \$0 million for years ended December 31, 2010 and 2008, respectively. We adopted: (a) amendments to the accounting standards on transfers of financial assets and consolidation of VIEs, as well as a change in the amortization method for certain related deferred items during 2010; and (b) the accounting standard on the fair value option for financial assets and financial liabilities during 2008.
- (3) Net of tax benefit of \$0 million, \$0 million, and \$25 million for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.
- (4) Net of tax benefit of \$337 million, \$392 million, and \$476 million for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

NOTE 13: FREDDIE MAC STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (DEFICIT)

Issuance of Senior Preferred Stock

Pursuant to the Purchase Agreement described in NOTE 3: CONSERVATORSHIP AND RELATED MATTERS, we issued one million shares of senior preferred stock to Treasury on September 8, 2008. The senior preferred stock was issued to Treasury in partial consideration of Treasury s commitment to provide funds to us under the Purchase Agreement.

Shares of the senior preferred stock have a par value of \$1, and have a stated value and initial liquidation preference equal to \$1,000 per share. The liquidation preference of the senior preferred stock is subject to adjustment. Dividends that are not paid in cash for any dividend period will accrue and be added to the liquidation preference of the senior preferred stock. In addition, any amounts Treasury pays to us pursuant to its funding commitment under the Purchase Agreement and any quarterly commitment fees that are not paid in cash to Treasury nor waived by Treasury will be added to the liquidation

235 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

preference of the senior preferred stock. As described below, we may make payments to reduce the liquidation preference of the senior preferred stock in limited circumstances.

Treasury, as the holder of the senior preferred stock, is entitled to receive, when, as and if declared by our Board of Directors, cumulative quarterly cash dividends at the annual rate of 10% per year on the then-current liquidation preference of the senior preferred stock. Total dividends paid in cash during 2010, 2009, and 2008 at the direction of the Conservator were \$5.7 billion, \$4.1 billion, and \$172 million, respectively. If at any time we fail to pay cash dividends in a timely manner, then immediately following such failure and for all dividend periods thereafter until the dividend period following the date on which we have paid in cash full cumulative dividends (including any unpaid dividends added to the liquidation preference), the dividend rate will be 12% per year.

The senior preferred stock ranks ahead of our common stock and all other outstanding series of our preferred stock, as well as any capital stock we issue in the future, as to both dividends and rights upon liquidation. The senior preferred stock provides that we may not, at any time, declare or pay dividends on, make distributions with respect to, or redeem, purchase or acquire, or make a liquidation payment with respect to, any Freddie Mac common stock or other securities ranking junior to the senior preferred stock unless: (a) full cumulative dividends on the outstanding senior preferred stock (including any unpaid dividends added to the liquidation preference) have been declared and paid in cash; and (b) all amounts required to be paid with the net proceeds of any issuance of capital stock for cash (as described in the following paragraph) have been paid in cash. Shares of the senior preferred stock are not convertible. Shares of the senior preferred stock have no general or special voting rights, other than those set forth in the certificate of designation for the senior preferred stock or otherwise required by law. The consent of holders of at least two-thirds of all outstanding shares of senior preferred stock is generally required to amend the terms of the senior preferred stock.

We are not permitted to redeem the senior preferred stock prior to the termination of Treasury s funding commitment set forth in the Purchase Agreement; however, we are permitted to pay down the liquidation preference of the outstanding shares of senior preferred stock to the extent of: (a) accrued and unpaid dividends previously added to the liquidation preference and not previously paid down; and (b) quarterly commitment fees previously added to the liquidation preference and not previously paid down. In addition, if we issue any shares of capital stock for cash while the senior preferred stock is outstanding, the net proceeds of the issuance must be used to pay down the liquidation preference of the senior preferred stock; however, the liquidation preference of each share of senior preferred stock may not be paid down below \$1,000 per share prior to the termination of Treasury s funding commitment. Following the termination of Treasury s funding commitment, we may pay down the liquidation preference of all outstanding shares of senior preferred stock at any time, in whole or in part. If, after termination of Treasury s funding commitment, we pay down the liquidation preference of each outstanding share of senior preferred stock in full, the shares will be deemed to have been redeemed as of the payment date.

Table 13.1 provides a summary of our senior preferred stock outstanding at December 31, 2010.

Table 13.1 Senior Preferred Stock

Initial Liquidation Total Total Shares **Shares** Par **Preference Liquidation** Redeemable Price per Share Preference⁽¹⁾ On or After(2) **Draw Date** Authorizedutstanding Value (in millions, except initial liquidation preference price per share)

Senior							
preferred							
$stock:^{(3)}$							
10%	September 8, 2008 ₍₄₎	1.00	1.00	\$ 1.00	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,000	N/A
$10\%^{(5)}$	November 24, 2008				N/A	13,800	N/A
$10\%^{(5)}$	March 31, 2009				N/A	30,800	N/A
$10\%^{(5)}$	June 30, 2009				N/A	6,100	N/A
$10\%^{(5)}$	June 30, 2010				N/A	10,600	N/A
$10\%^{(5)}$	September 30, 2010				N/A	1,800	N/A
10%(5)	December 30, 2010				N/A	100	N/A
Total, senior preferred							
stock		1.00	1.00	\$ 1.00		\$ 64,200	

- (1) Amounts stated at redemption value.
- (2) In accordance with the Purchase Agreement, until the senior preferred stock is repaid or redeemed in full, we may not, without the prior written consent of Treasury, redeem, purchase, retire or otherwise acquire any Freddie Mac equity securities (other than the senior preferred stock or warrant).
- (3) Dividends on the senior preferred stock are cumulative, and the dividend rate is 10% per year. However, if at any time we fail to pay cash dividends in a timely manner, then immediately following such failure and for all dividend periods thereafter until the dividend period following the date on which we have paid in cash full cumulative dividends, the dividend rate will be 12% per year.
- (4) We did not receive any cash proceeds from Treasury as a result of issuing the initial liquidation preference.
- (5) Represents an increase in the liquidation preference of our senior preferred stock due to the receipt of funds from Treasury.

We received \$10.6 billion in June 2010, \$1.8 billion in September 2010, and \$100 million in December 2010 pursuant to draw requests that FHFA submitted to Treasury on our behalf to address the deficits in our net worth as of March 31, 2010, June 30, 2010, and September 30, 2010, respectively. In addition, we had a deficit in net worth of \$401 million as of

236 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

December 31, 2010. See NOTE 3: CONSERVATORSHIP AND RELATED MATTERS Government Support for our Business for additional information regarding the draw request that FHFA, as Conservator, will submit on our behalf to Treasury to address our deficit in net worth. The aggregate liquidation preference on the senior preferred stock owned by Treasury was \$64.2 billion and \$51.7 billion as of December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. See NOTE 18: REGULATORY CAPITAL for additional information.

Issuance of Common Stock Warrant

Pursuant to the Purchase Agreement described in NOTE 3: CONSERVATORSHIP AND RELATED MATTERS, on September 7, 2008, we, through FHFA, in its capacity as Conservator, issued a warrant to purchase common stock to Treasury. The warrant was issued to Treasury in partial consideration of Treasury s commitment to provide funds to us under the terms set forth in the Purchase Agreement.

The warrant gives Treasury the right to purchase shares of our common stock equal to 79.9% of the total number of shares of our common stock outstanding on a fully diluted basis on the date of exercise. The warrant may be exercised in whole or in part at any time on or before September 7, 2028, by delivery to us of: (a) a notice of exercise; (b) payment of the exercise price of \$0.00001 per share; and (c) the warrant. If the market price of one share of our common stock is greater than the exercise price, then, instead of paying the exercise price, Treasury may elect to receive shares equal to the value of the warrant (or portion thereof being canceled) pursuant to the formula specified in the warrant. Upon exercise of the warrant, Treasury may assign the right to receive the shares of common stock issuable upon exercise to any other person.

We account for the warrant in permanent equity. At issuance on September 7, 2008, we recognized the warrant at fair value, and we do not recognize subsequent changes in fair value while the warrant remains classified in equity. We recorded an aggregate fair value of \$2.3 billion for the warrant as a component of additional paid-in-capital. We derived the fair value of the warrant using a modified Black-Scholes model. If the warrant is exercised, the stated value of the common stock issued will be reclassified to common stock in our consolidated balance sheets. The warrant was determined to be in-substance non-voting common stock, because the warrant s exercise price of \$0.00001 per share is considered non-substantive (compared to the market price of our common stock). As a result, the warrant is included in the computation of basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share. The weighted average shares of common stock outstanding for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively, included shares of common stock that would be issuable upon full exercise of the warrant issued to Treasury.

Preferred Stock

Table 13.2 provides a summary of our preferred stock outstanding at December 31, 2010. We have the option to redeem our preferred stock on specified dates, at their redemption price plus dividends accrued through the redemption date. However, without the consent of Treasury, we are restricted from making payments to purchase or redeem preferred stock as well as paying any preferred dividends, other than dividends on the senior preferred stock. In addition, all 24 classes of preferred stock are perpetual and non-cumulative, and carry no significant voting rights or rights to purchase additional Freddie Mac stock or securities. Costs incurred in connection with the issuance of preferred stock are charged to additional paid-in capital.

237 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

Table 13.2 Preferred Stock

	Issue Date	Shares AuthorizedO		Total Par Value	Redemption Price per Share	Outstanding Balance ⁽¹⁾	Redeemable On or After ⁽²⁾	OTC Symbol ⁽³⁾
			(in million	is, except	redemption	price per sha	re)	
Preferred stock:								
1996 Variable-rate ⁽⁴⁾	April 26, 1996	5.00	5.00	\$ 5.00	\$ 50.00	\$ 250	June 30, 2001	FMCCI
5.81%	October 27,						October 27,	
5%	1997 March 23,	3.00	3.00	3.00	50.00	150	1998	(5)
1998 Variable-rate ⁽⁶⁾	1998 September	8.00	8.00	8.00	50.00	400	March 31, 2003	FMCKK
	23 and 29, 1998	4.40	4.40	4.40	50.00	220	September 30, 2003	FMCCG
5.10%	September						September 30,	
5.30%	23, 1998 October 28,	8.00	8.00	8.00		400	2003 October 30,	FMCCH
5.10%	1998 March 19,	4.00	4.00	4.00	50.00	200	2000	(5)
5.79%	1999 July 21,	3.00	3.00	3.00	50.00	150	March 31, 2004	(5)
1999 Variable-rate ⁽⁷⁾	1999 November	5.00	5.00	5.00	50.00	250	June 30, 2009 December 31,	FMCCK
	5, 1999	5.75	5.75	5.75	50.00	287	2004	FMCCL
2001 Variable-rate ⁽⁸⁾	January 26, 2001	6.50	6.50	6.50	50.00	325	March 31, 2003	FMCCM
2001 Variable-rate ⁽⁹⁾	March 23, 2001	4.60	4.60	4.60	50.00	230	March 31, 2003	FMCCN
5.81%	March 23, 2001	3.45	3.45	3.45	50.00	173	March 31, 2011	FMCCO
6%	May 30,							
2001 Variable-rate ⁽¹⁰⁾	2001 May 30,	3.45	3.45	3.45		173	June 30, 2006	FMCCP
5.70%	2001 October 30,	4.02	4.02	4.02	50.00	201	June 30, 2003 December 31,	FMCCJ
5.81%	2001 January 29,	6.00	6.00	6.00	50.00	300	2006	FMCKP
	2002	6.00	6.00	6.00	50.00	300	March 31, 2007	(5)
2006 Variable-rate ⁽¹¹⁾	July 17, 2006	15.00	15.00	15.00	50.00	750	June 30, 2011	FMCCS
6.42%	July 17, 2006	5.00	5.00	5.00	50.00	250	June 30, 2011	FMCCT
5.90%	October 16, 2006	20.00	20.00	20.00	25.00	500	September 30, 2011	FMCKO

Edgar Filing: MINDSPEED TECHNOLOGIES, INC - Form 8-K

5.57%	January 16,						December 31,	
	2007	44.00	44.00	44.00	25.00	1,100	2011	FMCKM
5.66%	April 16,							
	2007	20.00	20.00	20.00	25.00	500	March 31, 2012	FMCKN
6.02%	July 24,							
	2007	20.00	20.00	20.00	25.00	500	June 30, 2012	FMCKL
6.55%	September						September 30,	
	28, 2007	20.00	20.00	20.00	25.00	500	2017	FMCKI
2007 Fixed-to-floating	December						December 31,	
rate ⁽¹²⁾	4, 2007	240.00	240.00	240.00	25.00	6,000	2012	FMCKJ
Total, preferred stock		464.17	464.17	\$ 464.17		\$ 14,109		

- (1) Amounts stated at redemption value.
- (2) In accordance with the Purchase Agreement, until the senior preferred stock is repaid or redeemed in full, we may not, without the prior written consent of Treasury, redeem, purchase, retire or otherwise acquire any Freddie Mac equity securities (other than the senior preferred stock or warrant).
- (3) Preferred stock trades exclusively through the OTC market unless otherwise noted.
- (4) Dividend rate resets quarterly and is equal to the sum of three-month LIBOR plus 1% divided by 1.377, and is capped at 9.00%.
- (5) Issued through private placement.
- (6) Dividend rate resets quarterly and is equal to the sum of three-month LIBOR plus 1% divided by 1.377, and is capped at 7.50%.
- (7) Dividend rate resets on January 1 every five years after January 1, 2005 based on a five-year Constant Maturity Treasury rate, and is capped at 11.00%. Optional redemption on December 31, 2004 and on December 31 every five years thereafter.
- (8) Dividend rate resets on April 1 every two years after April 1, 2003 based on the two-year Constant Maturity Treasury rate plus 0.10%, and is capped at 11.00%. Optional redemption on March 31, 2003 and on March 31 every two years thereafter.
- (9) Dividend rate resets on April 1 every year based on 12-month LIBOR minus 0.20%, and is capped at 11.00%. Optional redemption on March 31, 2003 and on March 31 every year thereafter.
- (10) Dividend rate resets on July 1 every two years after July 1, 2003 based on the two-year Constant Maturity Treasury rate plus 0.20%, and is capped at 11.00%. Optional redemption on June 30, 2003 and on June 30 every two years thereafter.
- (11) Dividend rate resets quarterly and is equal to the sum of three-month LIBOR plus 0.50% but not less than 4.00%.
- (12) Dividend rate is set at an annual fixed rate of 8.375% from December 4, 2007 through December 31, 2012. For the period beginning on or after January 1, 2013, dividend rate resets quarterly and is equal to the higher of:(a) the sum of three-month LIBOR plus 4.16% per annum; or (b) 7.875% per annum. Optional redemption on December 31, 2012, and on December 31 every five years thereafter.

Stock-Based Compensation

Following the implementation of the conservatorship in September 2008, we suspended the operation of our ESPP, and are no longer making grants under our 2004 Employee Plan or our Directors Plan. We collectively refer to the 2004 Employee Plan and the 1995 Employee Plan as the Employee Plans. Under the Purchase Agreement, we cannot issue any new options, rights to purchase, participations or other equity interests without Treasury s prior approval. However, grants outstanding as of the date of the Purchase Agreement remain in effect in accordance with their terms. Prior to conservatorship, we made grants under three stock-based compensation plans:

ESPP: At December 31, 2010, the maximum number of shares of common stock authorized for grant to employees in accordance with the ESPP totaled 6.8 million shares and approximately 5.8 million shares remained available for grant.

2004 Employee Plan: At December 31, 2010, the maximum number of shares of common stock authorized for grant to employees in accordance with the 2004 Employee Plan totaled 30.9 million shares and approximately 26.0 million shares remained available for grant.

Directors Plan: At December 31, 2010, the maximum number of shares of common stock authorized for grant to members of our Board of Directors in accordance with the Directors Plan totaled 2.4 million shares and approximately 1.6 million shares remained available for grant.

We did not repurchase or issue any of our common shares or non-cumulative preferred stock during 2010 and 2009, except for issuances of treasury stock as reported on our consolidated statements of equity (deficit) relating to stock-based

238 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

compensation granted prior to conservatorship. Common stock delivered under these stock-based compensation plans consist of treasury stock or shares acquired in market transactions on behalf of the participants. During 2010, restrictions lapsed on 1,293,937 restricted stock units and 209,435 restricted stock units were forfeited. At December 31, 2010, 1,380,124 restricted stock units remained outstanding. In addition, there were 41,160 shares of restricted stock outstanding at both December 31, 2010 and 2009. During 2010, no stock options were exercised and 591,390 stock options were forfeited or expired. At December 31, 2010, 3,182,452 stock options were outstanding.

During the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, we recognized \$24 million and \$57 million, respectively, of compensation expense related to stock-based compensation on our consolidated statements of operations.

Dividends Declared During 2010

No common dividends were declared in 2010. During 2010, we paid dividends of \$5.7 billion in cash on the senior preferred stock at the direction of our Conservator. We did not declare or pay dividends on any other series of Freddie Mac preferred stock outstanding during 2010.

On March 30, 2010, our REIT subsidiaries paid preferred stock dividends for one quarter, consistent with approval from Treasury and direction from FHFA. No other preferred or common stock dividends were paid by the REITs during the year ended December 31, 2010. See NOTE 16: NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS for more information.

Delisting of Common Stock and Preferred Stock from NYSE

On July 8, 2010, we delisted our common and 20 previously-listed classes of preferred securities from the NYSE pursuant to a directive by FHFA, our Conservator.

Our common stock and the classes of preferred stock that were previously listed on the NYSE are traded exclusively in the OTC market. Shares of our common stock now trade under the ticker symbol FMCC. We expect that our common stock and the previously listed classes of preferred stock will continue to trade in the OTC market so long as market makers demonstrate an interest in trading the common and preferred stock.

NOTE 14: INCOME TAXES

Income Tax Benefit (Expense)

We are exempt from state and local income taxes. Table 14.1 presents the components of our income tax benefit (expense) for 2010, 2009, and 2008.

Table 14.1 Federal Income Tax Benefit (Expense)

	Year 2010	2	ed Dec 2009 million	per 31, 2008
Current income tax benefit (expense) Deferred income tax benefit (expense)	\$ 18 67		160 670	\$ (45) (5,507)
Total income tax benefit (expense) ⁽¹⁾	\$ 85	5 \$	830	\$ (5,552)

(1) Does not reflect: (a) the deferred tax effects of unrealized (gains) losses on available-for-sale securities, the tax effects of net (gains) losses related to the effective portion of derivatives designated in cash flow hedge relationships, and the tax effects of certain changes in our defined benefit plans which are reported as part of AOCI; (b) certain stock-based compensation tax effects reported as part of additional paid-in capital; and (c) the tax effect of the cumulative effect of change in accounting principles.

A reconciliation between our federal statutory income tax rate and our effective tax rate for 2010, 2009, and 2008 is presented in Table 14.2.

Table 14.2 Reconciliation of Statutory to Effective Tax Rate

	Year Ended December 31,													
		201	10		2009					2008				
	A	mount	Perce	nt	Amount Perce (dollars in million					Percen	t			
					((ionai s n	1 111111	110115)						
Statutory corporate tax rate	\$	5,209	35	.0%	\$	7,834		35.0%	\$	15,597	35.0)%		
Tax-exempt interest		213	1	.4		252		1.1		266	0.6	5		
Tax credits		585	3	.9		594		2.7		589	1.3	3		
Unrecognized tax benefits and related														
interest/contingency reserves		(12)	(0	.1)		(12)		(0.1)		167	0.4	4		
Valuation allowance		(5,155)	(34	.6)		(7,860)	((35.1)		(22,172)	(49.8	3)		
Other		16	0	.1		22		0.1		1				
Effective tax rate	\$	856	5	.7%	\$	830		3.7%	\$	(5,552)	(12.5	5)%		

In 2010, 2009, and 2008, our effective tax rate differs from the statutory tax rate of 35% primarily due to the establishment of a valuation allowance against a portion of our net deferred tax assets. Those income tax benefits recognized in 2010 and 2009 represent the current tax benefits associated with our ability to carry back net operating tax losses

239 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

generated in 2009 and expected to be generated in 2010, as well as amounts related to the amortization of net deferred losses on pre-2008 closed cash flow hedges.

Deferred Tax Assets, Net

The sources and tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to significant portions of deferred tax assets and liabilities for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 are presented in Table 14.3.

Table 14.3 Deferred Tax Assets, Net

	2010 (in mi	2009 llions)
Deferred tax assets:		
Deferred fees	\$ 1,561	\$ 1,613
Basis differences related to derivative instruments	5,014	4,473
Credit related items and reserve for loan losses	17,850	16,296
Basis differences related to assets held for investment	•	1,361
Unrealized (gains) losses related to available-for-sale securities	5,211	11,101
LIHTC and AMT credit carryforward	2,360	1,598
Net operating loss carryforward, net of unrecognized tax benefits	12,122	,
Other items, net	268	67
Total deferred tax assets	44,386	36,509
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Basis differences related to assets held for investment	(5,270)	
Basis differences related to debt	(192)	(300)
Total deferred tax liability	(5,462)	(300)
Valuation allowance ⁽¹⁾	(33,381)	(25,108)
Deferred tax assets, net	\$ 5,543	\$ 11,101

(1) The valuation allowance as of December 31, 2010 includes \$3.1 billion related to the adoption of the accounting standards for transfers of financial assets and consolidation of VIEs. See NOTE: 2: CHANGE IN ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES for additional information.

We use the asset and liability method to account for income taxes in accordance with the accounting standards for income taxes. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized based upon the expected future tax consequences of existing temporary differences between the financial reporting and the tax reporting basis of assets and liabilities using enacted statutory tax rates. Valuation allowances are recorded to reduce net deferred tax assets when it is more likely than not that a tax benefit will not be realized. The realization of our net deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of sufficient taxable income in available carryback years from current operations and unrecognized tax benefits, and upon our intent and ability to hold available-for-sale debt securities until the recovery of any temporary unrealized losses. On a quarterly basis, we consider all evidence currently available, both positive and negative, in determining whether, based on the weight of that evidence, the net deferred tax assets will be realized or whether the valuation allowance should be adjusted.

Events since our entry into conservatorship, including those described in NOTE 3: CONSERVATORSHIP AND RELATED MATTERS, fundamentally affect our control, management, and operations and are likely to affect our future financial condition and results of operations. These events have resulted in a variety of uncertainties regarding our future operations, our business objectives and strategies, and our future profitability, the impact of which cannot be reliably forecasted at this time. In evaluating our need for a valuation allowance, we considered all of the events and evidence discussed above, in addition to: (a) our three-year cumulative loss position; (b) our carryback and carryforward availability; (c) our difficulty in predicting unsettled circumstances; and (d) our conclusion that we have the intent and ability to hold our available-for sale securities to the recovery of any temporary unrealized losses.

Subsequent to the date of our entry into conservatorship, we determined that it was more likely than not that a portion of our net deferred tax assets would not be realized due to our inability to generate sufficient taxable income and, therefore, we recorded a valuation allowance. After evaluating all available evidence, including the events and developments related to our conservatorship, volatility in the economy, and related difficulty in forecasting future profit levels, we reached a similar conclusion in subsequent quarters, including in the fourth quarter of 2010. We increased our valuation allowance by \$8.3 billion in total during 2010. The \$8.3 billion increase during 2010 was primarily attributable to the creation of a net operating loss carryforward in 2010 and other temporary differences generated during the year, as well as a \$3.1 billion increase attributable to the adoption of the accounting standards for transfers of financial assets and consolidation of VIEs. See NOTE 2: CHANGE IN ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES for additional information on our adoption of these accounting standards. Our total valuation allowance as of December 31, 2010 was \$33.4 billion. As of December 31, 2010, after consideration of the valuation allowance, we had a net deferred tax asset of \$5.5 billion primarily representing the tax effect of unrealized losses on our available-for-sale securities. We believe the deferred tax asset related to these unrealized losses is more likely than not to be realized because of our conclusion that we have the intent and ability to hold our available-for-

240 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

sale securities until any temporary unrealized losses are recovered. Our view of our ability to realize the net deferred tax asset may change in future periods, particularly if the mortgage and housing markets continue to decline.

In 2009, we generated a net operating loss that we carried back to offset our 2007 income tax liability, resulting in an AMT liability for 2007 after the carryback claim was applied. In 2010, we are estimating a net operating loss that we anticipate carrying back to partially offset our 2008 regular and AMT liability. AMT credits generated from the 2007 and 2008 AMT liability totaling \$36 million will not expire. As a result of the carryback claim to offset our 2007 income tax liability, approximately \$533 million of our LIHTC generated in 2007 were limited. Additionally, we have unused tax credits of \$603 million, \$602 million, and \$585 million from 2008, 2009, and 2010, respectively, that will be carried forward into future years because we were in an AMT position. These LIHTC totaling \$2.3 billion that are available for use in the future will begin to expire in 2027.

As of December 31, 2010, we have a net operating loss carryforward of \$34.7 billion that will expire in 2030.

Unrecognized Tax Benefits

Table 14.4 Unrecognized Tax Benefits

	:	2010	_	009 illions)	008
Balance at January 1	\$	805	\$	636	\$ 637
Changes based on tax positions in prior years		372		(34)	(29)
Changes based on tax positions in current years		48		203	102
Decreases in unrecognized tax benefits due to settlements with taxing authorities		(5)			(74)
Balance at December 31	\$	1,220	\$	805	\$ 636

At December 31, 2010, we had total unrecognized tax benefits, exclusive of interest, of \$1.2 billion. This amount relates to tax positions for which ultimate deductibility is highly certain, but for which there is uncertainty as to the timing of such deductibility. If favorably resolved, \$1.2 billion of unrecognized tax benefits would have a positive impact on the effective tax rate due to the reversal of the valuation allowance established against deferred tax assets created by the uncertain tax positions. This favorable impact would be offset by a \$332 million tax expense related to the establishment of a valuation allowance against credits and net operating losses that have been carried forward. A valuation allowance has not currently been recorded against this amount because a portion of the unrecognized tax benefits was used as a source of taxable income in our realization assessment of our net deferred tax assets.

We continue to recognize interest and penalties, if any, in income tax expense. Total accrued interest receivable was approximately \$245 million at December 31, 2010, unchanged from December 31, 2009. Amounts included in total accrued interest relate to: (a) unrecognized tax benefits; (b) pending claims with the IRS for open tax years; (c) the tax benefit related to the settlement for tax years 1985 to 1997; and (d) the impact of payments made to the IRS in prior years in anticipation of potential tax deficiencies. Of the \$245 million of accrued interest receivable as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, approximately \$248 million and \$233 million of accrued interest payable, respectively, is allocable to unrecognized tax benefits. We recognized \$0 million, \$0 million, and \$160 million of interest income (expense) in 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively. We have accrued no amounts for penalties during 2010, 2009 or 2008.

The period for assessment under the statute of limitations for federal income tax purposes is open on corporate income tax returns filed for tax years 1988 to 2009. Tax years 1985 to 1997 were before the U.S. Tax Court. In June 2008, we reached agreement with the IRS on a settlement regarding the tax treatment of the customer relationship intangible asset recognized upon our transition from non-taxable to taxable status in 1985. As a result of this agreement, we re-measured the tax benefit from this uncertain tax position and recognized \$171 million of tax benefit and interest income in the second quarter of 2008. This settlement, which was approved by the Joint Committee on Taxation of Congress, resolves the last matter to be decided by the U.S. Tax Court for these tax years. Those matters not resolved by settlement agreement in the case, including the favorable financing intangible asset decided favorably by the Court in 2006, are no longer subject to appeal. Therefore, the tax years 1985 through 1997 are now effectively settled.

The IRS completed its examinations of tax years 1998 to 2007. We received Statutory Notices from the IRS assessing \$3.0 billion of additional income taxes and penalties for the 1998 to 2005 tax years. We filed a petition with the U.S. Tax Court in October 2010 in response to the Statutory Notices. The principal matter of controversy involves questions of timing and potential penalties regarding our tax accounting method for certain hedging transactions. The IRS responded to our petition with the U.S. Tax Court in December 2010. We continue to seek resolution of the controversy by settlement. It is reasonably possible that the hedge accounting method issue will be resolved within the next 12 months. We believe adequate reserves have been provided for settlement on reasonable terms. However, changes could occur in the gross balance of unrecognized tax benefits within the next 12 months that could have a material impact on income tax expense in the period the issue is resolved if the outcome reached is not in our favor and the assessment is in excess of the amount currently reserved. We have no information that would enable us to estimate such impact at this time.

241 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

Tax Status of REITs

On September 19, 2008, FHFA, as Conservator, advised us of FHFA s determination that no further common or preferred stock dividends should be paid by our REIT subsidiaries. FHFA specifically directed us, as the controlling stockholder of both REIT subsidiaries and the boards of directors of both companies, not to declare or pay any dividends on the preferred stock of the REITs until FHFA directed otherwise. However, at our request and with Treasury s consent, FHFA directed us and the boards of directors of our REIT subsidiaries to: (a) declare and pay dividends for one quarter on the preferred shares of our REIT subsidiaries during each of the fourth quarter of 2009 and the first quarter of 2010; and (b) take all steps necessary to effect the elimination of the REITs by merger in a timely and expeditious manner. The business decision to eliminate the REITs was made to achieve increased flexibility in the management of the assets of our REIT subsidiaries and to simplify our business operations.

During the second quarter of 2010, each of our two REIT subsidiaries was eliminated via a merger transaction, which resulted in no gain or loss recognized on our consolidated statement of operations. This resulted in the elimination of the noncontrolling interest from our consolidated balance sheets as of June 30, 2010.

For a discussion of our significant accounting policies related to income taxes, please see NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES Income Taxes.

NOTE 15: EMPLOYEE BENEFITS

Defined Benefit Plans

We maintain a tax-qualified, funded defined benefit pension plan, or Pension Plan, covering substantially all of our employees. Pension Plan benefits are based on an employee s years of service and highest average compensation, up to legal plan limits, over any consecutive 36 months of employment. Our Pension Plan assets are invested in various combinations of equity, fixed income, and other types of investments. In addition to our Pension Plan, we maintain a nonqualified, unfunded defined benefit pension plan for our officers, as part of our Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, or SERP. The related retirement benefits for our SERP are paid from our general assets. Our qualified and nonqualified defined benefit pension plans are collectively referred to as defined benefit pension plans.

We maintain a defined benefit postretirement health care plan, or Retiree Health Plan, that generally provides postretirement health care benefits on a contributory basis to retired employees age 55 or older who rendered at least 10 years of service (five years of service if the employee was eligible to retire prior to March 1, 2007) and who, upon separation or termination, immediately elected to commence benefits under the Pension Plan in the form of an annuity. Our Retiree Health Plan is currently unfunded and the benefits are paid from our general assets. This plan and our defined benefit pension plans are collectively referred to as the defined benefit plans.

We accrue the estimated cost of retiree benefits as employees render the services necessary to earn their pension and postretirement health benefits. Our pension and postretirement health care costs related to these defined benefit plans for 2010, 2009, and 2008 presented in the following tables were calculated using assumptions as of December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively. The funded status of our defined benefit plans for 2010 and 2009 presented in the following tables was calculated using assumptions as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

242 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

Table 15.1 shows the changes in our benefit obligations and fair value of plan assets using December 31 as the valuation measurement date for amounts recognized on our consolidated balance sheets.

Table 15.1 Obligation and Funded Status of our Defined Benefit Plans

		D	•]	Postret	iren	nent
	2	Pens Bend 2010		2	2010	Benefits 2009		
Change in benefit obligation:								
Benefit obligation at January 1	\$	629	\$	581	\$	155	\$	133
Service cost		32		32		7		7
Interest cost		37		34		9		8
Net actuarial loss (gain)		46		(3)		7		9
Benefits paid		(15)		(15)		(2)		(2)
Plan amendments		(4)						
Benefit obligation at December 31		725		629		176		155
Change in plan assets:								
Fair value of plan assets at January 1		579		446				
Actual return on plan assets		92		68				
Employer contributions		37		80				
Benefits paid		(15)		(15)				
Fair value of plan assets at December 31		693		579				
Funded status at December 31	\$	(32)	\$	(50)	\$	(176)	\$	(155)
Amounts recognized on our consolidated balance sheets at December 31:								
Other assets	\$	30	\$	12	\$		\$	
Other liabilities		(62)		(62)		(176)		(155)
AOCI, net of taxes, related to defined benefit plans:(1)								
Net actuarial loss	\$	105	\$	123	\$	11	\$	3
Prior service cost (credit)		(2)		1				
Total AOCI, net of taxes	\$	103	\$	124	\$	11	\$	3

⁽¹⁾ Includes the effect of the establishment of a valuation allowance against our net deferred tax assets.

The accumulated benefit obligation for all defined benefit pension plans was \$591 million and \$507 million at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The accumulated benefit obligation represents the actuarial present value of future expected benefits attributed to employee service rendered before the measurement date and based on employee service and compensation prior to that date.

Table 15.2 provides additional information for our defined benefit pension plans. The aggregate accumulated benefit obligation and fair value of plan assets are disclosed as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, with the projected benefit obligation included for illustrative purposes. The projected benefit obligation is the actuarial present value of all benefits, based on our defined benefit pension plans benefit formula, attributed to service already provided and based on assumptions of future salary increases.

Table 15.2 Additional Information for Defined Benefit Pension Plans

	 nsion Plan	010 ERP	1	Cotal (in m	I	nsion Plan ns)	009 ERP	Т	'otal
Projected benefit obligation	\$ 663	\$ 62	\$	725	\$	567	\$ 62	\$	629
Fair value of plan assets Accumulated benefit obligation	\$ 693 541	\$ 50	\$	693 591	\$	579 460	\$ 47	\$	579 507
Fair value of plan assets over (under) accumulated benefit obligation	\$ 152	\$ (50)	\$	102	\$	119	\$ (47)	\$	72

The measurement of our benefit obligations includes assumptions about the rate of future compensation increases included in Table 15.3.

Table 15.3 Weighted Average Assumptions Used to Determine Projected and Accumulated Benefit Obligations

	Pension	Benefits	Postretirement Health Benefits				
	December 31, 2010	December 31, 2009	December 31, 2010	December 31, 2009			
Discount rate Rate of future	5.65%	6.00%	5.65%	6.00%			
compensation increase	5.10% to 6.50%	5.10% to 6.50%					
		243		Freddie Mac			

Table of Contents

Table 15.4 presents the components of the net periodic benefit cost with respect to pension and postretirement health care benefits for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008. Net periodic benefit cost is included in salaries and employee benefits on our consolidated statements of operations.

Table 15.4 Net Periodic Benefit Cost Detail

								Po	stret	ireme	nt	
		Pen	sioi	n Benef	its		Health Benefits					
							Year Ended December					er
	Ye	ear En	ded	Decem	ıber	31,	31,			1,		
	20	10	2	009	20	008	20	10	20	09	20	800
					(i	n mill	ions	s)				
Net periodic benefit cost detail:												
Service cost	\$	32	\$	32	\$	35	\$	7	\$	7	\$	9
Interest cost on benefit obligation		37		34		33		9		8		8
Expected return on plan assets		(40)		(33)		(41)						
Recognized net loss		10		14		2						
Recognized prior service credit								(1)		(1)		(1)
Net periodic benefit cost	\$	39	\$	47	\$	29	\$	15	\$	14	\$	16

Table 15.5 presents the changes in AOCI related to our defined benefit plans recorded to AOCI throughout the year, after the effects of our federal statutory tax rate of 35%. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, a portion of the valuation allowance established against the net deferred tax asset related to our defined benefit plans was recorded to AOCI in the amounts of \$24 million and \$28 million, respectively. See NOTE 14: INCOME TAXES for further information on our deferred tax assets valuation allowance. The estimated net actuarial loss and prior service credit for our defined benefit plans that will be amortized from AOCI into net periodic benefit cost in 2011 is \$(5) million and \$1 million, respectively. These amounts reflect the impact of the valuation allowance against our net deferred tax assets.

Table 15.5 AOCI Related to Defined Benefit Plans

	Decem 2010	Ended lber 31, 2009 illions)
Beginning balance	\$ (127)	\$ (169)
Amounts recognized in AOCI:(1)		
Recognized net gain		29
Recognized prior service credit	4	
Net reclassification adjustments: ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾		
Recognized net loss	10	14
Recognized prior service credit	(1)	(1)
Ending balance ⁽¹⁾	\$ (114)	\$ (127)

- (1) Includes the effect of the establishment of a valuation allowance against our net deferred tax assets.
- (2) Represent amounts subsequently recognized as adjustments to other comprehensive income as those amounts are recognized as components of net periodic benefit cost.

Table 15.6 includes the assumptions used in the measurement of our net periodic benefit cost.

Table 15.6 Weighted Average Assumptions Used to Determine Net Periodic Benefit Cost

	Yea	Postretirement Health Benefits Year Ended December 3						
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009	2008		
Discount rate Rate of future compensation	6.00%	6.00%	6.25%	6.00%	6.00%	6.25%		
increase Expected long-term rate of return on	5.10% to 6.50%	5.10% to 6.50%	5.10% to 6.50%					
plan assets	7.25%	7.50%	7.50%					

For the 2010 and 2009 benefit obligations, we determined the discount rate using a yield curve consisting of spot interest rates at half-year increments for each of the next 30 years, developed with pricing and yield information from high-quality bonds. The future benefit plan cash flows were then matched to the appropriate spot rates and discounted back to the measurement date. Finally, a single equivalent discount rate was calculated that, when applied to the same cash flows, results in the same present value of the cash flows as of the measurement date.

The expected long-term rate of return on plan assets was estimated using a portfolio return calculator model. The model considered the historical returns and the future expectations of returns for each asset class in our defined benefit plans in conjunction with our target investment allocation to arrive at the expected rate of return. The resulting expected long-term rate of return is selected based on the median projected return generated net of expenses using a weighted average of the major categories of assets as described in Table 15.8.

244 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

The assumed health care cost trend rates used in measuring the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation as of December 31, 2010 are 8.70% for pre-age 65 employees and 8.90% for post-age 65 employees in 2011, gradually declining to an ultimate rate of 4.5% in 2029 and remaining at that level thereafter.

Table 15.7 sets forth the effect on the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation for health care benefits as of December 31, 2010, and the effect on the service cost and interest cost components of the net periodic postretirement health benefit cost that would result from a 1% increase or decrease in the assumed health care cost trend rate.

Table 15.7 Selected Data Regarding our Retiree Medical Plan

	1% Increase (in 1	1% Decrease millions)
Effect on the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation for health care benefits Effect on the service and interest cost components of the net periodic postretirement	\$ 35	\$ (28)
health benefit cost	4	(3)

Plan Assets

The Pension Plan's retirement investment committee has fiduciary responsibility for establishing and overseeing the investment policies and objectives of our Pension Plan and they review the appropriateness of our Pension Plan s investment strategy on an ongoing basis. Prior to 2009, our Pension Plan investment committee employed a total return investment approach whereby a diversified blend of equities and fixed income investments was used to maximize the long-term return of plan assets for a prudent level of risk. In 2009, the investment committee changed the Pension Plan asset allocation strategy to a liability-driven investment philosophy designed to better match assets with estimated liabilities. The target allocations were 40% equity securities, 40% fixed income securities, and 20% asset allocation funds in 2010 and 2009. Investment risk is measured and monitored on an ongoing basis through quarterly investment portfolio and liability reviews and periodic asset and liability studies. Due to the level of risk associated with certain investment securities, it is at least reasonably possible that changes in their values will occur in the near term and that such changes could materially affect the amounts reported in the statements of net assets available for benefits. However, the Pension Plan asset allocation is designed to be well diversified to limit exposure to significant concentrations of risk. Notably, the asset allocation includes a liability-driven fixed income strategy, which decreases the net risk exposure to interest rates from combined assets and liabilities. For example, if long-term interest rates increase, both plan assets and liabilities would be expected to decrease. While diversified, our Pension Plan is also exposed to equity market risk, credit risk, and currency risk, but expects to be compensated for taking these risks over the long term.

Our Pension Plan assets did not include any direct ownership of our securities at December 31, 2010 and 2009.

Plan Assets Subject to Fair Value Hierarchy

We categorized our pension plan assets that are measured at fair value within the fair value hierarchy of the accounting standards for fair value measurements and disclosures based on the valuation techniques used to derive the fair value. Certain other assets in our pension plan assets, such as cash and cash equivalents, are recorded at their carrying amounts which approximate fair value. These are presented as a reconciling item below.

Table 15.8 sets forth our Pension Plan assets at December 31, 2010 by asset category. See NOTE 20: FAIR VALUE DISCLOSURES for additional information about the fair value hierarchy.

Table 15.8 Pension Plan Assets Measured at Fair Value by Asset Category

	Plan Assets at December 31,												
				20	10						20	09	
	Qu	oted						Qu	oted				
	Pr	ices						Pr	ices				
		in							in				
	Ac	tive						Ac	tive				
			_	ificant						Sign	ificant		
		or			Signific				or		ther	Signific	
	Idei	ntical			nobserv			Ide	ntical	Obse	ervab k	nobser	
		sets	In	puts	Input				sets	In	puts	Inpu	
	,	evel			(Leve			`	evel			(Leve	
		1)	(Le	evel 2)	3)		'otal		1)	(Le	evel 2)	3)	Total
							(in m	illior	ıs)				
Asset Category:													
Equity:													
U.S. large-cap	\$		\$	146	\$	\$	146	\$		\$	120	\$	\$ 120
U.S. small/mid cap		81					81		63				63
International Equity				65			65				64		64
Fixed Income:													
Government/Corporate Bonds				9			9				40		40
Synthetic Fixed Income				260			260				180		180
Other Types of Investments:													
Asset Allocation Funds				130			130				110		110
Subtotal	\$	81	\$	610	\$		691	\$	63	\$	514	\$	577
Cash and Cash Equivalents							2						2
Total						\$	693						\$ 579

For U.S. small/mid cap equity securities that are measured using individual price quotes available on nationally-recognized exchanges, we classify these investments as Level 1 under the fair value hierarchy since they represent unadjusted

245 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

quoted prices in active markets that we have the ability to access on the measurement date. Our other Pension Plan assets are measured using net asset values and are classified as Level 2. The net asset value is calculated by aggregating the fair value of the assets held by the fund as of the measurement date divided by the number of ownership units in the fund and represents our exit price.

Valuation Methods and Assumptions for Pension Plan Assets Subject to the Fair Value Hierarchy

Our Pension Plan assets are invested in various combinations of equity, fixed income, and other types of investments. Equity investments are diversified across U.S. and non-U.S. companies with small and large capitalizations. Fixed income securities include corporate bonds of companies from diversified industries, mortgage-backed securities, and U.S. treasury securities. The following is a description of the major asset categories and the significant investment strategies for the investment funds in which our Pension Plan s assets are invested, and that are subject to the fair value hierarchy:

Equity

U.S. Large Cap: Investments in this category consist of an S&P 500 equity index fund, measured at the net asset value of the fund shares held by the Pension Plan.

U.S. Small/Mid Cap: Investments in this category include separately managed portfolios that invest in stocks of small- and mid-capitalization U.S. companies, which are measured at the closing price reported on nationally-recognized exchanges.

International Equity: Investments in this category include commingled as well as mutual fund products. These strategies invest in stocks of companies located in developed and emerging market countries, whether traded on U.S. or international exchanges. These investments are measured at the net asset value of fund shares held by the Pension Plan.

Fixed Income

Government/Corporate Bonds: Investments in this category consist of a passively managed bond fund constructed to correspond to the characteristics of the Barclays Capital Government/Credit index. These investments are measured at the net asset value of fund shares held by the Pension Plan.

Synthetic Fixed Income: Investments in this category include commingled funds of fixed income and derivative instruments designed to provide protection against interest rate exposure arising from expected liability payments. These investments are measured at the net asset value of fund shares held by the Pension Plan.

Other Types of Investments

Asset Allocation Funds: This category comprises commingled funds and mutual funds that invest in multiple asset classes, including U.S. and international equities, bonds and real estate assets. These investments are measured at the net asset value of fund shares held by the Pension Plan.

Cash Flows Related to Defined Benefit Plans

Our general practice is to contribute to our Pension Plan an amount equal to at least the minimum required contribution, if any, but no more than the maximum amount deductible for federal income tax purposes each year. During 2010, we made a contribution to our Pension Plan of \$33 million. We made a contribution to our Pension Plan

of \$74 million during 2009 in an effort to fully fund the Pension Plan s projected benefit obligation. We have not yet determined whether a contribution to our Pension Plan is required in 2011.

In addition to the Pension Plan contributions noted above, we paid \$4 million during 2010 and \$6 million during 2009 in benefits under our SERP. Allocations under our SERP, as well as our Retiree Health Plan, are in the form of benefit payments, as these plans are unfunded.

Table 15.9 sets forth estimated future benefit payments expected to be paid for our defined benefit plans. The expected benefits are based on the same assumptions used to measure our benefit obligation at December 31, 2010.

Table 15.9 Estimated Future Benefit Payments

		Pension Benefits (in n	Postretirement Health Benefits nillions)
2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 Years 2016-2020		\$ 15 16 18 20 23 157	\$ 4 4 5 5 6 40
	246		Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

Defined Contribution Plans

Our Thrift/401(k) Savings Plan, or Savings Plan, is a tax-qualified defined contribution pension plan offered to all eligible employees. Employees are permitted to contribute from 1% to 25% of their eligible compensation to the Savings Plan, subject to limits set by the Internal Revenue Code. We match employees contributions up to 6% of their eligible compensation per year, with such matching contributions being made each pay period; the percentage matched depends upon the employee s length of service. Employee contributions and our matching contributions are immediately vested. We also have discretionary authority to make additional contributions to our Savings Plan that are allocated to each eligible employee, based on the employee s eligible compensation. Employees become vested in our discretionary contributions ratably over such employee s first five years of service, after which time employees are fully vested in their discretionary contribution accounts. In addition to our Savings Plan, we maintain a non-qualified defined contribution plan for our officers, designed to make up for benefits lost due to limitations on eligible compensation imposed by the Internal Revenue Code, and to make up for deferrals of eligible compensation under both our Executive Deferred Compensation Plan and our Mandatory Executive Deferred Base Salary Plan. We incurred costs of \$41 million, \$40 million, and \$33 million for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively, related to these plans. These expenses were included in salaries and employee benefits on our consolidated statements of operations.

Executive Deferred Compensation Plan and Mandatory Executive Deferred Base Salary Plan

Our Executive Deferred Compensation Plan is an unfunded, non-qualified plan that allows officers to elect to defer substantially all or a portion of their corporate-wide annual cash bonus and up to 80% of their eligible annual salary for any number of years specified by the employee. In December 2010, we advised participants in the Executive Deferred Compensation Plan that the company is suspending deferrals of pay under this Plan during calendar year 2011 and that it will review future deferral options during the fourth quarter of 2011.

In December 2009, we adopted, with the approval of FHFA and in consultation with Treasury, the Mandatory Executive Deferred Base Salary Plan covering compensation of our officers at the level of senior vice president and above. This plan is unfunded and is effective beginning in 2009 and is part of a compensation design for senior executives that we believe will remain in place throughout the conservatorship. Part of this design requires that a portion of a senior executive s base salary be mandatorily deferred until the following year. The Mandatory Executive Deferred Base Salary Plan is a mechanism by which these deferrals and the corresponding cash distributions are made. Our SERP has also been amended to generally include compensation deferred under the Mandatory Executive Deferred Base Salary Plan.

Distributions under these two deferred compensation plans are paid from our general assets. We record a liability equal to the accumulated deferred salary, cash bonus, and accrued interest, as applicable, net of any related distributions made to plan participants.

NOTE 16: NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS

The equity and net earnings attributable to the noncontrolling interests in consolidated subsidiaries for prior periods were reported on our consolidated balance sheets as noncontrolling interest and on our consolidated statements of operations as net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interest. There was no material AOCI associated with the noncontrolling interests recorded on our consolidated balance sheets. The majority of the balances in these accounts related to our two majority-owned REITs. For a discussion of our significant accounting policies regarding our basis of accounting and our determination of controlling and noncontrolling interests, see NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES.

In February 1997, we formed two majority-owned REIT subsidiaries funded through the issuance of common stock (99.9% of which was held by us) and a total of \$4.0 billion of perpetual, step-down preferred stock originally issued to third party investors. We repurchased most of the preferred stock held by third parties during 2007 and 2008 and as of December 31, 2009 we held approximately 84% of the issued preferred shares.

On September 19, 2008, FHFA, as Conservator, advised us of FHFA s determination that no further common or preferred stock dividends should be paid by our REIT subsidiaries. FHFA specifically directed us, as the controlling stockholder of both REIT subsidiaries and the boards of directors of both companies, not to declare or pay any dividends on the preferred stock of the REITs until FHFA directs otherwise. However, at our request and with Treasury s consent, FHFA directed us and the boards of directors of our REIT subsidiaries to: (a) declare and pay dividends for one quarter on the preferred shares of our REIT subsidiaries during each of the fourth quarter of 2009 and the first quarter of 2010; and (b) take all steps necessary to effect the elimination of the REITs by merger in a timely and expeditious manner. The business decision to eliminate the REITs was made to achieve increased flexibility in the management of the assets of our REIT subsidiaries and to simplify our business operations.

247 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

During 2010, each of our two REIT subsidiaries was eliminated via a merger transaction, which resulted in no gain or loss recognized on our consolidated statements of operations. This resulted in the elimination of the noncontrolling interest from our consolidated balance sheets.

NOTE 17: SEGMENT REPORTING

We evaluate segment performance and allocate resources based on a Segment Earnings approach, subject to the conduct of our business under the direction of the Conservator. See NOTE 3: CONSERVATORSHIP AND RELATED MATTERS for additional information about the conservatorship. Beginning January 1, 2010, we revised our method for presenting Segment Earnings to reflect changes in how management measures and assesses the financial performance of each segment and the company as a whole. Under the revised method, the financial performance of our segments is measured based on each segment s contribution to GAAP net income (loss). This change in method, in conjunction with our implementation of changes in accounting standards relating to transfers of financial assets and the consolidation of VIEs, resulted in significant changes to our presentation of Segment Earnings.

We present Segment Earnings by: (a) reclassifying certain investment-related activities and credit guarantee-related activities between various line items on our GAAP consolidated statements of operations; and (b) allocating certain revenues and expenses, including certain returns on assets and funding costs, and all administrative expenses to our three reportable segments. These reclassifications and allocations are described below in Segment Earnings.

We do not consider our assets by segment when evaluating segment performance or allocating resources. We conduct our operations solely in the U.S. and its territories. Therefore, we do not generate any revenue from geographic locations outside of the U.S. and its territories.

Segments

Our operations consist of three reportable segments, which are based on the type of business activities each performs Investments, Single-family Guarantee, and Multifamily. The chart below provides a summary of our three reportable segments and the All Other category. As reflected in the chart, certain activities that are not part of a reportable segment are included in the All Other category. Under our revised method for presenting Segment Earnings, the All Other category consists of material corporate level expenses that are: (a) non-recurring in nature; and (b) based on management decisions outside the control of the management of our reportable segments. By recording these types of activities to the All Other category, we believe the financial results of our three reportable segments represent the decisions and strategies that are executed within the reportable segments and provide greater comparability across time periods. Items included in the All Other category consist of: (a) the deferred tax asset valuation allowance associated with previously recognized income tax credits carried forward; and (b) in 2009, the write-down of our LIHTC investments. Other items previously recorded in the All Other category prior to the revision to our method for presenting Segment Earnings have been allocated to our three reportable segments.

248 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

Segment	Description	Activities/Items
Investments	Segment Earnings for the Investments segment reflects results from our investment, funding and hedging activities. In our Investments segment, we invest principally in mortgage-related securities and single-family mortgage loans funded by other debt issuances and hedged using derivatives. Segment Earnings for this segment consist primarily of the returns on these investments, less the related funding, hedging, and administrative expenses.	Investments in mortgage-related securities and single-family performing mortgage loans Investments in asset-backed securities All other traded instruments / securities, excluding CMBS Debt issuances All asset / liability management returns Guarantee buy-ups / buy-downs, net of execution gains / losses Cash and liquidity management Deferred tax asset valuation allowance Allocated administrative expenses and
Single-Family Guarantee	Segment Earnings for the Single-family Guarantee segment reflects results from our single-family credit guarantee activities. In our Single-family Guarantee segment, we purchase single-family mortgage loans originated by our seller/servicers in the primary mortgage market. In most instances, we use the mortgage securitization process to package the purchased mortgage loans into guaranteed mortgage-related securities. We guarantee the payment of principal and interest on the mortgage-related security in exchange for management and guarantee fees. Segment Earnings for this segment consist primarily of management and guarantee fee revenues, including amortization of upfront fees, less the related credit costs (<i>i.e.</i> , provision for credit losses),	Management and guarantee fees on PCs, including those retained by us, and single-family mortgage loans in the mortgage investments portfolio Up-front credit delivery fees Adjustments for security performance Credit losses on all single-family assets Expected net float income or expense on the single-family credit guarantee portfolio Deferred tax asset valuation allowance

Table of Contents 106

administrative expenses, allocated funding costs, and amounts related to net float

benefits or expenses.

Allocated debt costs, administrative expenses and taxes

Multifamily

Segment Earnings for the Multifamily segment reflects results from our investments and guarantee activities in multifamily mortgage loans and securities. Our new purchases of multifamily mortgage loans are primarily made for purposes of aggregation and then securitization, which supports the availability of financing for multifamily properties. We also purchase CMBS for investment; however, we have not purchased significant amounts of non-agency CMBS for investment since 2008. The Multifamily segment does not issue REMIC securities but does issue Other Structured Securities, Other Guarantee Transactions, and other guarantee commitments. Segment Earnings for this segment also include management and guarantee fee income and the interest earned on assets related to multifamily investment activities, net of allocated funding costs.

Multifamily mortgage loans and associated securitization activities

Investments in CMBS

LIHTC and valuation allowance

Deferred tax asset valuation allowance

Allocated debt costs, administrative expenses and taxes

Provided other guarantee commitments on multifamily mortgage loans

All Other

The All Other category consists of corporate-level expenses that are material and non-recurring in nature and based on management decisions outside the control of the reportable segments.

LIHTC write-down

Tax settlements, as applicable

Legal settlements, as applicable

The deferred tax asset valuation allowance associated with previously recognized income tax credits carried forward.

Segment Earnings

Beginning January 1, 2010, under the revised method of presenting Segment Earnings, the sum of Segment Earnings for each segment and the All Other category will equal GAAP net income (loss) attributable to Freddie Mac. However, the accounting principles we apply to present certain line items in Segment Earnings for our reportable segments, in particular Segment Earnings net interest income and management and guarantee income, differ significantly from those applied in preparing the comparable line items in our consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP. Accordingly, the results of such line items differ significantly from, and should not be used as a substitute for, the comparable line items as determined in accordance with GAAP. For reconciliations of the Segment Earnings line items to the comparable line items in our consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP, see Table 17.2 Segment Earnings and Reconciliation to GAAP Results.

249 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

Segment Earnings presented include the following items that are included in our GAAP-basis earnings, but were deferred or excluded under the previous method for presenting Segment Earnings:

Current period GAAP earnings impact of fair value accounting for investments, debt and derivatives;

Allocation of the valuation allowance established against our net deferred tax assets;

Gains and losses on investment sales and debt retirements;

Losses on loans purchased and related recoveries;

Other-than-temporary impairment of securities recognized in earnings in excess of expected losses; and

GAAP-basis accretion income that may result from impairment adjustments.

We restated Segment Earnings for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 to reflect the changes in our method of evaluating the performance of our reportable segments described above. These revisions significantly impacted the prior period reported results for the Investments segment and, to a lesser extent, the Single-family Guarantee segment, because the revised method includes fair value adjustments, gains and losses on investment sales, loans purchased from PC pools and debt retirements that are included in GAAP-based earnings, but that had previously been excluded from or deferred in Segment Earnings. These revisions did not have a significant impact on the prior period results for the Multifamily segment.

The restated Segment Earnings for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 do not include changes to the guarantee asset, guarantee obligation or other items that were eliminated or changed as a result of the amendments to the accounting standards for transfers of financial assets and consolidation of VIEs adopted on January 1, 2010, as these changes were applied prospectively consistent with our GAAP financial results. See NOTE 2: CHANGE IN ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES for further information regarding the consolidation of certain of our securitization trusts.

Many of the reclassifications, adjustments and allocations described below relate to the amendments to the accounting standards for transfers of financial assets and consolidation of VIEs. These amendments require us to consolidate our single-family PC trusts and certain Other Guarantee Transactions, which makes it difficult to view the results of the three operating segments from a GAAP perspective. For example, as a result of the amendments, the net guarantee fee earned on mortgage loans held by our consolidated trusts is included in net interest income on our GAAP consolidated statements of operations. Previously, we separately recorded the guarantee fee on our GAAP consolidated statements of operations as a component of non-interest income. Through the reclassifications described below, we move the net guarantee fees earned on mortgage loans into Segment Earnings management and guarantee income.

Investment Activity-Related Reclassifications

In preparing certain line items within Segment Earnings, we make various reclassifications to earnings determined under GAAP related to our investment activities, including those described below. Through these reclassifications, we move certain items into or out of net interest income so that, on a Segment Earnings basis, net interest income reflects how we measure the effective interest on securities held in our mortgage investments portfolio and our cash and other investments portfolio.

We use derivatives extensively in our investment activity. The reclassifications described below allow us to reflect, in Segment Earnings net interest income, the costs associated with this use of derivatives.

The accrual of periodic cash settlements of all derivatives is reclassified in Segment Earnings from derivative gains (losses) into net interest income to fully reflect the periodic cost associated with the protection provided by these contracts.

Up-front cash paid or received upon the purchase or writing of swaptions and other option contracts is reclassified in Segment Earnings prospectively on a straight-line basis from derivative gains (losses) into net interest income over the contractual life of the instrument to fully reflect the periodic cost associated with the protection provided by these contracts.

Amortization related to certain items is not relevant to how we measure the economic yield earned on the securities held in our investments portfolio. Therefore, as described below, we reclassify these items in Segment Earnings from net interest income to non-interest income.

Amortization related to derivative commitment basis adjustments associated with mortgage-related and non-mortgage-related securities is reclassified in Segment Earnings from net interest income to non-interest income.

Amortization related to accretion of other-than-temporary impairments on non-mortgage-related securities held in our cash and other investments portfolio is reclassified in Segment Earnings from net interest income to non-interest income.

Amortization related to premiums and discounts associated with PCs and Other Guarantee Transactions issued by our consolidated trusts that we previously held and subsequently transferred to third parties is reclassified in Segment

250 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

Earnings from net interest income to non-interest income. The amortization is related to deferred gains (losses) on transfers of these securities.

Credit Guarantee Activity-Related Reclassifications

In preparing certain line items within Segment Earnings, we make various reclassifications to earnings determined under GAAP related to our credit-guarantee activities, including those described below. All credit guarantee-related income and costs are included in Segment Earnings management and guarantee income.

Net guarantee fee is reclassified in Segment Earnings from net interest income to management and guarantee income.

Implied management and guarantee fee related to unsecuritized mortgage loans held in the mortgage investments portfolio is reclassified in Segment Earnings from net interest income to management and guarantee income.

The portion of the amount reversed for accrued but uncollected interest upon placing loans on a non-accrual status that relates to guarantee fees is reclassified in Segment Earnings from net interest income to management and guarantee income. The remaining portion of the allowance for lost interest is reclassified in Segment Earnings from net interest income to provision for credit losses. Under GAAP-basis earnings and Segment Earnings, the guarantee fee is not accrued on loans three monthly payments or more past due.

Segment Adjustments

In presenting Segment Earnings net interest income and management and guarantee income, we make adjustments to better reflect how management measures and assesses the performance of each segment and the company as a whole. These adjustments relate to amounts that are no longer reflected in net income (loss) as determined in accordance with GAAP as a result of the adoption of new accounting standards for the transfers of financial assets and the consolidation of VIEs. These adjustments are reversed through the segment adjustments line item within Segment Earnings, so that Segment Earnings (loss) for each segment will equal GAAP net income (loss) attributable to Freddie Mac for each segment beginning January 1, 2010. Segment adjustments consist of the following:

We adjust our Segment Earnings net interest income for the Investments segment to include the amortization of cash premiums and discounts and buy-up and buy-down fees on the consolidated Freddie Mac mortgage-related securities we purchase as investments. As of December 31, 2010, the unamortized balance of such premiums and discounts and buy-up and buy-down fees was \$2.4 billion. These adjustments are necessary to reflect the economic yield realized on investments in consolidated Freddie Mac mortgage-related securities purchased at a premium or discount or with buy-up or buy-down fees. We include an offsetting amount in the segment adjustments line within Segment Earnings.

We adjust our Segment Earnings management and guarantee income for the Single-family Guarantee segment to include the amortization of delivery fees recorded in periods prior to January 1, 2010. As of December 31, 2010, the unamortized balance of such fees was \$2.9 billion. We consider such fees to be part of the effective rate of the guarantee fee on guaranteed mortgage loans. This adjustment is necessary in order to better reflect the realization of revenue associated with guarantee contracts over the life of the underlying loan. We include an offsetting amount in the segment adjustments line within Segment Earnings.

Segment Allocations

The results of each reportable segment include directly attributable revenues and expenses. Administrative expenses that are not directly attributable to a segment are allocated to our segments using various methodologies, depending on the nature of the expense (*i.e.*, semi-direct versus indirect). Net interest income for each segment includes allocated debt funding costs related to certain assets of each segment. These allocations, however, do not include the effects of dividends paid on our senior preferred stock. The tax credits generated by the LIHTC partnerships and any valuation allowance on these tax credits are allocated to the Multifamily segment. The deferred tax asset valuation allowance associated with previously recognized income tax credits carried forward is allocated to the All Other category. All remaining taxes are calculated based on a 35% federal statutory rate as applied to pre-tax Segment Earnings.

251 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

Table 17.1 presents Segment Earnings by segment.

Table 17.1 Summary of Segment Earning(s)

	Year 1 2010	ed Decemb 2009 millions)	er	31, 2008
Segment Earnings (loss), net of taxes: Investments Single-family Guarantee Multifamily All Other	\$ 1,251 (16,256) 965 15	\$ 6,476 (27,143) (511) (4,240)	\$	(28,021) (20,349) (56) 174
Total Segment Earnings (loss), net of taxes	(14,025)	(25,418)		(48,252)
Reconciliation to GAAP net income (loss) attributable to Freddie Mac: Credit guarantee-related adjustments ⁽²⁾ Tax-related adjustments Total reconciling items, net of taxes		5,948 (2,083) 3,865		(2,873) 1,006 (1,867)
Net income (loss) attributable to Freddie Mac	\$ (14,025)	\$ (21,553)	\$	

- (1) Beginning January 1, 2010, under our revised method, the sum of Segment Earnings for each segment and the All Other category equals GAAP net income (loss) attributable to Freddie Mac.
- (2) Consists primarily of amortization and valuation adjustments related to the guarantee asset and guarantee obligation which are excluded from Segment Earnings and cash compensation exchanged at the time of securitization, excluding buy-up and buy-down fees, which is amortized into earnings. These reconciling items exist in periods prior to 2010 as the amendment to the accounting standards for transfers of financial assets and consolidation of VIEs was applied prospectively on January 1, 2010.

252 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

Table 17.2 presents detailed financial information by financial statement line item for our reportable segments and All Other.

Table 17.2 Segment Earnings and Reconciliation to GAAP Results

Year Ended December 31, 2010

			No	n-l	nterest I	nco	ome (Loss	s)			Non-In	ter	rest Exp	en	se				Inco Pro		
	Provision		gemen	t		De	erivative	(Other			1	REO	C	Other			LI	нтс		come Tax
st	for Credit			S	ecurity		Gains N		-Interes	dm	ninistrati (Эф		on-	-Interes	tSe	gmenPa		ershi Tax	iр&	enefit
ne	Losses	Inco	ome ⁽¹⁾ I	mp	airments	(]	Losses)	(Loss)	E	xpenses (in mi			Ex	xpens & o	djus	stments ⁽	² /C1	redit	(Ex	(pense)
92 72 14	\$ (18,785) (99)		3,635 101	\$	(3,819) (96)	\$	(1,859)	\$	(405) 1,351 237	\$	(455) (879) (212)	\$	(676)	\$	(18) (629) (66)	\$	1,358 (953)	\$	585	\$	259 608 (611) 15
78	(18,884)		3,736		(3,915)		(1,853)		1,183		(1,546)		(673)		(713)		405		585		271
20 58	1,666	(2,640) (953)		(393)		(6,232)		(521)								(405)				
78	1,666	(3,593)		(393)		(6,232)		(521)								(405)				
56	\$ (17,218)	\$	143	\$	(4,308)	\$	(8,085)	\$	662	\$	(1,546)	\$	(673)	\$	(713)	\$		\$	585	\$	271

⁽¹⁾ Management and guarantee income total per consolidated statements of operations is included in other income on our GAAP consolidated statements of operations.

253 Freddie Mac

⁽²⁾ See Segment Earnings Segment Adjustments for additional information regarding these adjustments.

⁽³⁾ See Segment Earnings Investment Activity-Related Reclassifications and Credit Guarantee Activity-Related Reclassifications for information regarding these reclassifications.

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

		N	Non-Interest I	nber 31, 2009 Interest Exp			ome Tax ovision				
Net	N Provision	Management and		Derivative		11011	REO	Other	LIHTC	Income Tax	
erest			Security	Gains	Non-Interes	d dministrati		lon-Inter&	a rtnershi	ps Benefit	In
come	Credit Losses	Income ⁽¹⁾]	Impairments	(Losses)	Income (Loss)	Expenses (in million	-	Expense	Tax Credit	(Expense)	()
8,090 307 856	\$ (29,102) (574)	•	\$ (9,870) (137)	\$ 4,695 (27)	\$ 4,682 721 (462) (3,653)	, ,	(287)	\$ (33) (4,888) (18) (109)	594	\$ (572) 3,573 (594) (478)	(
9,253	(29,676)	3,538	(10,007)	4,668	1,288	(1,651)	(307)	(5,048)	594	1,929	(
21 7,799	6 140	(945) 440	(1,190)	(6,568)	7,055 (1,011)			(189)		390	
7,820	146	(505)	(1,190)	(6,568)	6,044			(189)		(2,083) (1,693)	
17,073	\$ (29,530)	\$ 3,033	\$ (11,197)	\$ (1,900)	\$ 7,332	\$ (1,651)	\$ (307)	\$ (5,237)	\$ 594	\$ 236	\$
					Year Eı	nded Decem	nber 31, 2008	8	Ino	~~~ Tow	
l		No	on-Interest In	icome (Loss	3)	Non-	Interest Exp	pense		ome Tax ovision	
et]	Ma Provision	anagement and	I	Derivative	Other		REO	Other	LIHTC	Income Tax	
rest	for G Credit	Guarantee S	Security	Gains N	Non-Intere At Income	dministrativ	O perations	Non-Inter &	Ba rtnershi Tax	ips Benefit	I
me		Income ⁽¹⁾ Im	ıpairments	(Losses)		Expenses (in million	Expense ons)	Expense		(Expense)	
815 S 280	\$ (16,325)	\$ \$ \$ 3,615	\$ (17,129) \$	\$ (12,845)	\$ 2,793 880	\$ (486) (826)	\$ (1,097)	\$ (1,117) (1,730)	•	\$ (2,047) (5,146)	

115

(517)

(193)

(21)

589

(532)

(3)

772

76

(229)

	,			, ,	, ,	,		, ,		174	
,867	(16,554)	3,691	(17,129)	(12,848)	3,156	(1,505)	(1,097)	(2,868)	589	(7,551)	
3 ,926	21 101	(614) 293	(553)	(11) (2,095)	(1,989) (1,076)			(283)		404 1,006	
,929	122	(321)	(553)	(2,106)	(3,065)			(283)		1,410	
796	\$ (16,432)	\$ 3,370	\$ (17,682)	\$ (14,954)	\$ 91	\$ (1,505)	\$ (1,097)	\$ (3,151)	\$ 589	\$ (6,141)	\$

- (1) Management and guarantee income total per consolidated statements of operations is included in other income on our GAAP consolidated statements of operations.
- (2) Consists primarily of amortization and valuation adjustments pertaining to the guarantee asset and guarantee obligation which are excluded from Segment Earnings and cash compensation exchanged at the time of securitization, excluding buy-up and buy-down fees, which is amortized into earnings. These reconciling items exist in periods prior to 2010 as the amendment to the accounting standards for transfers of financial assets and consolidation of VIEs was applied prospectively on January 1, 2010.
- (3) See Segment Earnings Investment Activity-Related Reclassifications and Credit Guarantee Activity-Related Reclassifications for information regarding these reclassifications.

254 Freddie Mac

NOTE 18: REGULATORY CAPITAL

On October 9, 2008, FHFA announced that it was suspending capital classification of us during conservatorship in light of the Purchase Agreement. Concurrent with this announcement, FHFA classified us as undercapitalized as of June 30, 2008 based on discretionary authority provided by statute. FHFA continues to closely monitor our capital levels, but the existing statutory and FHFA-directed regulatory capital requirements are not binding during conservatorship. We continue to provide our submissions to FHFA on both minimum and risk-based capital.

Our regulatory minimum capital is a leverage-based measure that is generally calculated based on GAAP and reflects a 2.50% capital requirement for on-balance sheet assets and 0.45% capital requirement for off-balance sheet obligations. Based upon our adoption of amendments to the accounting standards for transfers of financial assets and consolidation of VIEs, we determined that, under the new consolidation guidance, we are the primary beneficiary of trusts that issue our single-family PCs and certain Other Guarantee Transactions and, therefore, effective January 1, 2010, we consolidated on our balance sheet the assets and liabilities of these trusts. Pursuant to regulatory guidance from FHFA, our minimum capital requirement was not automatically affected by adoption of these amendments. Specifically, upon adoption of these amendments, FHFA directed us, for purposes of minimum capital, to continue reporting single-family PCs and certain Other Guarantee Transactions held by third parties using a 0.45% capital requirement. Notwithstanding this guidance, FHFA reserves the authority under the GSE Act to raise the minimum capital requirement for any of our assets or activities. On February 8, 2010, FHFA issued a notice of proposed rulemaking setting forth procedures and standards for such a temporary increase in minimum capital levels.

Our regulatory capital standards in effect prior to our entry into conservatorship on September 6, 2008 are described below.

Regulatory Capital Standards

The GSE Act established minimum, critical and risk-based capital standards for us.

Prior to our entry into conservatorship, those standards determined the amounts of core capital and total capital that we were to maintain to meet regulatory capital requirements. Core capital consisted of the par value of outstanding common stock (common stock issued less common stock held in treasury), the par value of outstanding non-cumulative, perpetual preferred stock, additional paid-in capital and retained earnings (accumulated deficit), as determined in accordance with GAAP. Total capital included core capital and general reserves for mortgage and foreclosure losses and any other amounts available to absorb losses that FHFA included by regulation.

Minimum Capital

The minimum capital standard required us to hold an amount of core capital that was generally equal to the sum of 2.50% of aggregate on-balance sheet assets and approximately 0.45% of the sum of our PCs held by third parties and other aggregate off-balance sheet obligations. As discussed below, in 2004 FHFA implemented a framework for monitoring our capital adequacy, which included a mandatory target capital surplus over the minimum capital requirement.

Critical Capital

The critical capital standard required us to hold an amount of core capital that was generally equal to the sum of 1.25% of aggregate on-balance sheet assets and approximately 0.25% of the sum of our PCs held by third parties and other aggregate off-balance sheet obligations.

Risk-Based Capital

The risk-based capital standard required the application of a stress test to determine the amount of total capital that we were to hold to absorb projected losses resulting from adverse interest-rate and credit-risk conditions specified by the GSE Act prior to enactment of the Reform Act and added 30% additional capital to provide for management and operations risk. The adverse interest-rate conditions prescribed by the GSE Act included an up-rate scenario in which 10-year Treasury yields rise by as much as 75% and a down-rate scenario in which they fall by as much as 50%. The credit risk component of the stress tests simulated the performance of our mortgage portfolio based on loss rates for a benchmark region. The criteria for the benchmark region were intended to capture the credit-loss experience of the region that experienced the highest historical rates of default and severity of mortgage losses for two consecutive origination years.

Classification

Prior to FHFA s suspension of our capital classifications in October 2008, FHFA assessed our capital adequacy not less than quarterly.

To be classified as adequately capitalized, we must meet both the risk-based and minimum capital standards. If we fail to meet the risk-based capital standard, we cannot be classified higher than undercapitalized. If we fail to meet the minimum capital requirement but exceed the critical capital requirement, we cannot be classified higher than significantly

255 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

undercapitalized. If we fail to meet the critical capital standard, we must be classified as critically undercapitalized. In addition, FHFA has discretion to reduce our capital classification by one level if FHFA determines in writing that:
(a) we are engaged in conduct that could result in a rapid depletion of core or total capital, the value of collateral pledged as security has decreased significantly, or the value of the property subject to mortgages held or securitized by us has decreased significantly; (b) we are in an unsafe or unsound condition; or (c) we are engaging in unsafe or unsound practices.

If we were classified as adequately capitalized, we generally could pay a dividend on our common or preferred stock or make other capital distributions (which includes common stock repurchases and preferred stock redemptions) without prior FHFA approval so long as the payment would not decrease total capital to an amount less than our risk-based capital requirement and would not decrease our core capital to an amount less than our minimum capital requirement. However, during conservatorship, the Conservator has instructed our Board of Directors that it should consult with and obtain the approval of the Conservator before taking any actions involving capital stock and dividends. In addition, while the senior preferred stock is outstanding, we are prohibited from paying dividends (other than on the senior preferred stock) or issuing equity securities without Treasury s consent.

If we were classified as undercapitalized, we would be prohibited from making a capital distribution that would reduce our core capital to an amount less than our minimum capital requirement. We also would be required to submit a capital restoration plan for FHFA approval, which could adversely affect our ability to make capital distributions.

If we were classified as significantly undercapitalized, we would be prohibited from making any capital distribution that would reduce our core capital to less than the critical capital level. We would otherwise be able to make a capital distribution only if FHFA determined that the distribution would: (a) enhance our ability to meet the risk-based capital standard and the minimum capital standard promptly; (b) contribute to our long-term financial safety and soundness; or (c) otherwise be in the public interest. Also under this classification, FHFA could take action to limit our growth, require us to acquire new capital or restrict us from activities that create excessive risk. We also would be required to submit a capital restoration plan for FHFA approval, which could adversely affect our ability to make capital distributions.

If we were classified as critically undercapitalized, FHFA would have the authority to appoint a conservator or receiver for us.

In addition, without regard for our capital classification, under the GSE Act, we are not permitted to make a capital distribution if, after making the distribution, we would be undercapitalized, except the Director of FHFA may permit us to repurchase shares if the repurchase is made in connection with the issuance of additional shares or obligations in at least an equivalent amount and will reduce our financial obligations or otherwise improve our financial condition. Also without regard to our capital classification, under Freddie Mac s charter, we must obtain prior written approval of FHFA to make any capital distribution that would decrease total capital to an amount less than the risk-based capital level or that would decrease core capital to an amount less than the minimum capital level.

Performance Against Regulatory Capital Standards

Table 18.1 summarizes our minimum capital requirements and deficits and net worth.

Table 18.1 Net Worth and Minimum Capital

December 31, December 31, 2010 2009

(in millions)

GAAP net worth ⁽¹⁾	\$ (401)	\$ 4,372
Core capital (deficit) ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	\$ (52,570)	\$ (23,774)
Less: Minimum capital requirement ⁽²⁾	25,987	28,352
Minimum capital surplus (deficit) ⁽²⁾	\$ (78,557)	\$ (52,126)

- (1) Net worth (deficit) represents the difference between our assets and liabilities under GAAP.
- (2) Core capital and minimum capital figures for December 31, 2010 are estimates. FHFA is the authoritative source for our regulatory capital.
- (3) Core capital excludes certain components of GAAP total equity (deficit) (*i.e.*, AOCI, liquidation preference of the senior preferred stock and non-controlling interests) as these items do not meet the statutory definition of core capital.

Following our entry into conservatorship, we have focused our risk and capital management, consistent with the objectives of conservatorship, on, among other things, maintaining a positive balance of GAAP equity in order to reduce the likelihood that we will need to make additional draws on the Purchase Agreement with Treasury, while returning to long-term profitability. The Purchase Agreement provides that, if FHFA determines as of quarter end that our liabilities have exceeded our assets under GAAP, Treasury will contribute funds to us in an amount equal to the difference between such liabilities and assets.

Under the GSE Act, FHFA must place us into receivership if FHFA determines in writing that our assets are and have been less than our obligations for a period of 60 days. FHFA notified us that the measurement period for any mandatory receivership determination with respect to our assets and obligations would commence no earlier than the SEC public filing deadline for our quarterly or annual financial statements and would continue for 60 calendar days after that date. FHFA

256 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

advised us that, if, during that 60-day period, we receive funds from Treasury in an amount at least equal to the deficiency amount under the Purchase Agreement, the Director of FHFA will not make a mandatory receivership determination.

At December 31, 2010, our liabilities exceeded our assets under GAAP by \$401 million. As such, we must obtain funding from Treasury pursuant to its commitment under the Purchase Agreement in order to avoid being placed into receivership by FHFA. FHFA, as Conservator, will submit a draw request to Treasury under the Purchase Agreement in the amount of \$500 million, which we expect to receive by March 31, 2011. Upon funding of the draw request that FHFA will submit to eliminate our net worth deficit at December 31, 2010, our aggregate funding received from Treasury under the Purchase Agreement will increase to \$63.7 billion. This aggregate funding amount does not include the initial \$1.0 billion liquidation preference of senior preferred stock that we issued to Treasury in September 2008 as an initial commitment fee and for which no cash was received. As a result of the additional \$500 million draw request, the aggregate liquidation preference of the senior preferred stock will increase from \$64.2 billion as of December 31, 2010 to \$64.7 billion. We paid a quarterly dividend of \$1.3 billion, \$1.3 billion, \$1.6 billion, and \$1.6 billion on the senior preferred stock in cash on March 31, 2010, June 30, 2010, September 30, 2010, and December 31, 2010, respectively, at the direction of the Conservator.

Subordinated Debt Commitment

In October 2000, we announced our adoption of a series of commitments designed to enhance market discipline, liquidity and capital. In September 2005, we entered into a written agreement with FHFA that updated those commitments and set forth a process for implementing them. Under the terms of this agreement, we committed to issue qualifying subordinated debt for public secondary market trading and rated by no fewer than two nationally recognized statistical rating organizations in a quantity such that the sum of total capital plus the outstanding balance of qualifying subordinated debt will equal or exceed the sum of 0.45% of our PCs, Other Structured Securities, and Other Guarantee Transactions outstanding and 4% of our on-balance sheet assets at the end of each quarter. Qualifying subordinated debt is defined as subordinated debt that contains a deferral of interest payments for up to five years if: (a) our core capital falls below 125% of our critical capital requirement; or (b) our core capital falls below our minimum capital requirement and pursuant to our request, the Secretary of the Treasury exercises discretionary authority to purchase our obligations under Section 306(c) of our charter. Qualifying subordinated debt will be discounted for the purposes of this commitment as it approaches maturity with one-fifth of the outstanding amount excluded each year during the instrument s last five years before maturity. When the remaining maturity is less than one year, the instrument is entirely excluded. FHFA, as Conservator of Freddie Mac, has suspended the requirements in the September 2005 agreement with respect to issuance, maintenance and reporting and disclosure of Freddie Mac subordinated debt during the term of conservatorship and thereafter until directed otherwise.

Regulatory Capital Monitoring Framework

In a letter dated January 28, 2004, FHFA created a framework for monitoring our capital. The letter directed that we maintain a 30% mandatory target capital surplus over our minimum capital requirement, subject to certain conditions and variations; that we submit weekly reports concerning our capital levels; and that we obtain prior approval of certain capital transactions. The mandatory target capital surplus was subsequently reduced to 20%.

FHFA, as Conservator of Freddie Mac, has announced that the mandatory target capital surplus will not be binding during the term of conservatorship.

NOTE 19: CONCENTRATION OF CREDIT AND OTHER RISKS

Mortgages and Mortgage-Related Securities

Our business activity is to participate in and support the residential mortgage market in the United States, which we pursue by both issuing guaranteed mortgage securities and investing in mortgage loans and mortgage-related securities.

Table 19.1 summarizes the concentration by year of origination and geographical area of the approximately \$1.8 trillion and \$1.9 trillion UPB of our single-family credit guarantee portfolio as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. See NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES, NOTE 5: MORTGAGE LOANS AND LOAN LOSS RESERVES, and NOTE 8: INVESTMENTS IN SECURITIES for more information about credit risk associated with loans and mortgage-related securities that we hold.

257 Freddie Mac

Table 19.1 Concentration of Credit Risk Single-Family Credit Guarantee Portfolio

	December Percentage	er 31, 2010 Serious	December Percentage	er 31, 2009 Serious	Los	of Credit ses ⁽¹⁾ Ended
	of Portfolio ⁽²⁾	Delinquency Rate ⁽³⁾	_	Delinquency De Rate ⁽³⁾	cember 31, 2010	December 31, 2009
Year of Origination						
2010	18%	0.1%	%	%	%	%
2009	21	0.3	23	0.1		
2008	9	4.9	12	3.4	7	5
2007	11	11.6	14	10.5	34	36
2006	9	10.5	11	9.4	30	35
2005	10	6.0	12	5.2	20	15
2004 and prior	22	2.5	28	2.2	9	9
Total	100%	3.8%	100%	4.0%	100%	100%
By Region ⁽⁴⁾						
West	27%	4.7%	27%	5.3%	48%	53%
Northeast	25	3.2	25	3.0	8	8
North Central	18	3.1	18	3.2	15	15
Southeast	18	5.6	18	5.6	25	20
Southwest	12	2.1	12	2.2	4	4
Total	100%	3.8%	100%	4.0%	100%	100%
State						
California	16%	4.9%	15%	5.8%	26%	32%
Florida	6	10.5	6	10.3	19	15
Arizona	3	6.1	3	7.3	11	11
Nevada	1	11.9	1	11.4	6	6
Michigan	3	3.0	3	3.7	5	6
Illinois	5	4.6	5	4.4	5	3
Georgia	3	4.1	3	4.4	3	3
All other	63	N/A	64	N/A	25	24
Total	100%	3.8%	100%	4.0%	100%	100%

⁽¹⁾ Credit losses consist of the aggregate amount of charge-offs, net of recoveries, and REO operations expense in each of the respective periods and exclude forgone interest on non-performing loans and other market-based losses recognized on our consolidated statements of operations.

(2)

- Based on the UPB of our single-family credit guarantee portfolio, which includes unsecuritized single-family mortgage loans held by us on our consolidated balance sheets and those underlying Freddie Mac mortgage-related securities, or covered by our other guarantee commitments.
- (3) Serious delinquencies on mortgage loans underlying certain REMICs and Other Structured Securities, Other Guarantee Transactions, and other guarantee commitments may be reported on a different schedule due to variances in industry practice.
- (4) Region designation: West (AK, AZ, CA, GU, HI, ID, MT, NV, OR, UT, WA); Northeast (CT, DE, DC, MA, ME, MD, NH, NJ, NY, PA, RI, VT, VA, WV); North Central (IL, IN, IA, MI, MN, ND, OH, SD, WI); Southeast (AL, FL, GA, KY, MS, NC, PR, SC, TN, VI); Southwest (AR, CO, KS, LA, MO, NE, NM, OK, TX, WY).

We primarily invest in and securitize single-family mortgage loans. However, we also invest in and guarantee multifamily mortgage loans, which totaled \$108.7 billion and \$101.3 billion in UPB as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Table 19.2 summarizes the concentration of multifamily mortgages in our multifamily mortgage portfolio by certain attributes. Information presented for multifamily mortgage loans includes certain categories based on loan or borrower characteristics present at origination. The table includes a presentation of each category in isolation. A single loan may fall within more than one category (for example, a non-credit enhanced loan may also have an original LTV ratio greater than 80%).

258 Freddie Mac

Table 19.2 Concentration of Credit Risk Multifamily Mortgage Portfolio

			per 31, 2010 Delinquency Rate ⁽¹⁾ (dollars in	,	UPB	Delinquency Rate ⁽¹⁾
By State	4	40.4	0.069		10.5	
California	\$	19.4	0.06%	\$	18.2	%
Texas		12.8	0.52		11.7	0.26
New York		9.2 6.4	0.56		9.0 5.6	0.42
Florida Vincinia		5.6	0.56		5.6	0.42
Virginia Georgia		5.5	0.98		5.3	0.65
All other states		49.8	0.98		45.9	0.03
All other states		49.0	0.24		43.9	0.24
Total	\$	108.7	0.26%	\$	101.3	0.20%
By Region ⁽²⁾						
West	\$	28.4	0.07%	\$	26.5	0.10%
North Central		9.7	0.30		9.0	0.19
Northeast		31.0			29.5	
Southeast		19.2	0.59		17.4	0.52
Southwest		20.4	0.61		18.9	0.35
Total	\$	108.7	0.26%	\$	101.3	0.20%
By Category ⁽³⁾	بد			_		
Original LTV ratio > 80%	\$	6.8	2.30%	\$	6.8	1.63%
Original DSCR below 1.10	\$	3.3	1.22%	\$	3.5	1.78%
Non-credit enhanced loans	\$	87.5	0.12%	\$	87.6	0.07%

⁽¹⁾ Based on the UPB of multifamily mortgages two monthly payments or more delinquent or in foreclosure.

One indicator of risk for mortgage loans in our multifamily mortgage portfolio is the amount of a borrower s equity in the underlying property. A borrower s equity in a property decreases as the LTV ratio increases. Higher LTV ratios negatively affect a borrower s ability to refinance or sell a property for an amount at or above the balance of the outstanding mortgage. The DSCR is another indicator of future credit performance. The DSCR estimates a multifamily borrower s ability to service its mortgage obligation using the secured property s cash flow, after deducting non-mortgage expenses from income. The higher the DSCR, the more likely a multifamily borrower will be able to continue servicing its mortgage obligation. Credit enhancement reduces our exposure to a potential credit loss. As of December 31, 2010, over one-half of the multifamily loans, measured both in terms of number of loans and on a UPB

⁽²⁾ See endnote (4) to Table 19.1 Concentration of Credit Risk Single-family Credit Guarantee Portfolio for a description of these regions.

⁽³⁾ These categories are not mutually exclusive and a loan in one category may also be included within another.

basis, that were two monthly payments or more past due had credit enhancements that we currently believe will mitigate our expected losses on those loans.

We estimate that the percentage of loans in our multifamily mortgage portfolio with a current LTV ratio of greater than 100% was approximately 8% and our estimate of the current average DSCR for these loans was 1.1 as of December 31, 2010. We estimate that the percentage of loans in our multifamily mortgage portfolio with a current DSCR less than 1.0 was 7% as of December 31, 2010, and the average current LTV ratio of these loans was 108%. Our multifamily mortgage portfolio includes certain loans for which we have credit enhancement. Our estimates of current DSCRs are based on the latest available income information for these properties and our assessments of market conditions. Our estimates of the current LTV ratios for multifamily loans are based on values we receive from a third-party service provider as well as our internal estimates of property value, for which we may use changes in tax assessments, market vacancy rates, rent growth and comparable property sales in local areas as well as third-party appraisals for a portion of the portfolio. We periodically perform our own valuations or obtain third-party appraisals in cases where a significant deterioration in a borrower s financial condition has occurred, the borrower has applied for refinancing, or in certain other circumstances where we deem it appropriate to reassess the property value. Our internal estimates of property valuation are derived using techniques that include income capitalization, discounted cash flows, sales comparables, or replacement costs.

Credit Performance of Certain Higher Risk Single-Family Loan Categories

There are several residential loan products that are designed to offer borrowers greater choices in their payment terms. For example, interest-only mortgages allow the borrower to pay only interest for a fixed period of time before the loan begins to amortize. Option ARM loans permit a variety of repayment options, which include minimum, interest-only, fully amortizing 30-year and fully amortizing 15-year payments. The minimum payment alternative for option ARM loans allows the borrower to make monthly payments that may be less than the interest accrued for the period. The unpaid interest, known as negative amortization, is added to the principal balance of the loan, which increases the outstanding loan balance. We

259 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

have not purchased option ARM loans in 2010 or 2009, and beginning September 1, 2010, we no longer purchase interest-only loans.

Participants in the mortgage market often characterize single-family loans based upon their overall credit quality at the time of origination, generally considering them to be prime or subprime. Many mortgage market participants classify single-family loans with credit characteristics that range between their prime and subprime categories as Alt-A because these loans have a combination of characteristics of each category, may be underwritten with lower or alternative income or asset documentation requirements compared to a full documentation mortgage loan, or both. However, there is no universally accepted definition of subprime or Alt-A. Although we discontinued new purchases of mortgage loans with lower documentation standards for assets or income beginning March 1, 2009 (or later, as our customers contracts permitted), we continued to purchase certain amounts of these mortgages in cases where the loan was either a part of our relief refinance mortgage initiative or in another refinance mortgage initiative and the pre-existing mortgage (including Alt-A loans) was originated under less than full documentation standards. In the event we purchase a refinance mortgage in either our relief refinance mortgage initiative or in another mortgage refinance initiative and the original loan had been previously identified as Alt-A, such refinance loan may no longer be categorized or reported as Alt-A in Table 19.3 because the new refinance loan replacing the original loan would not be identified by the servicer as an Alt-A loan. As a result, our reported Alt-A balances may be lower than would otherwise be the case had such refinancing not occurred. For non-agency mortgage-related securities that are backed by Alt-A loans, we categorize securities as Alt-A if the securities were identified as such based on information provided to us when we entered into these transactions.

Although we do not categorize single-family mortgage loans we purchase or guarantee as prime or subprime, we recognize that there are a number of mortgage loan types with certain characteristics that indicate a higher degree of credit risk. For example, a borrower s credit score is a useful measure for assessing the credit quality of the borrower. Statistically, borrowers with higher credit scores are more likely to repay or have the ability to refinance than those with lower scores. The industry has viewed those borrowers with credit scores below 620 based on the FICO scores scale as having a higher risk of delinquency.

Presented below is a summary of the serious delinquency rates of certain higher-risk categories of single-family loans in our single-family credit guarantee portfolio. During 2010 and 2009, a significant percentage of our charge-offs and REO acquisition activity was associated with these loan groups. The table includes a presentation of each higher risk category in isolation. A single loan may fall within more than one category (for example, an interest-only loan may also have an original LTV ratio greater than 90%). Loans with a combination of these attributes will have an even higher risk of delinquency than those with isolated characteristics.

Table 19.3 Certain Higher-Risk Categories in the Single-Family Credit Guarantee Portfolio

	As of December 31, Serious							
	Percen Portfo	Delinqu Rat	•					
	2010 2009		2010	2009				
Interest-only loans	5%	7%	18.4%	17.6%				
Option ARM loans	1%	1%	21.2%	17.9%				
$Alt-A^{(2)}$	6%	8%	12.2%	12.3%				
Original LTV ratio greater than 90% ⁽³⁾ loans	9%	8%	7.8%	9.1%				
Lower original FICO scores (less than 620)	3%	4%	13.9%	14.9%				

- (1) Based on UPB.
- (2) Alt-A loans may not include those loans that were previously classified as Alt-A and that have been refinanced as either a relief refinance mortgage or in another refinance mortgage initiative.
- (3) Based on our first lien exposure on the property. Includes the credit-enhanced portion of the loan and excludes any secondary financing by third parties.

The percentage of borrowers in our single-family credit guarantee portfolio, based on UPB, with estimated current LTV ratios greater than 100% was 18% at both December 31, 2010 and 2009. As estimated current LTV ratios increase, the borrower s equity in the home decreases, which negatively affects the borrower s ability to refinance or to sell the property for an amount at or above the balance of the outstanding mortgage loan. If a borrower has an estimated current LTV ratio greater than 100%, the borrower is underwater and is more likely to default than other borrowers. The serious delinquency rate for single-family loans with estimated current LTV ratios greater than 100% was 14.9% and 14.8% as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

We categorize our investments in non-agency mortgage-related securities as subprime, option ARM, or Alt-A if the securities were identified as such based on information provided to us when we entered into these transactions. We have not identified option ARM, CMBS, obligations of states and political subdivisions, and manufactured housing securities as either subprime or Alt-A securities. See NOTE 8: INVESTMENTS IN SECURITIES for further information on these categories and other concentrations in our investments in securities.

260 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

Seller/Servicers

We acquire a significant portion of our single-family mortgage purchase volume from several large seller/servicers with whom we have entered into mortgage purchase volume commitments that provide for the lenders to deliver us a specified dollar amount of mortgages during a specified period of time. Our top 10 single-family seller/servicers provided approximately 78% of our single-family purchase volume during the year ended December 31, 2010. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., Bank of America, N.A., and Chase Home Finance LLC accounted for 27%, 12% and 10% of our single-family mortgage purchase volume and were the only single-family seller/servicers that comprised 10% or more of our purchase volume during the year ended December 31, 2010. We are exposed to the risk that we could lose purchase volume to the extent these arrangements are terminated without replacement from other lenders.

We are exposed to institutional credit risk arising from the potential insolvency or non-performance by our seller/servicers of their obligations to repurchase mortgages or (at our option) indemnify us in the event of:

(a) breaches of the representations and warranties they made when they sold the mortgages to us; (b) failure to comply with our servicing requirements; or (c) failure to honor their recourse and indemnification obligations to us. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the UPB of loans subject to our repurchase requests issued to our single-family seller/servicers was approximately \$3.8 billion and \$4.2 billion, and approximately 34% and 20% of these requests, respectively, were outstanding for more than four months since issuance of our repurchase request. Our contracts require that a seller/servicer repurchase a mortgage within 30 days after we issue a repurchase request, unless the seller/servicer avails itself of an appeals process provided for in our contracts, in which case the deadline for repurchase is extended until we decide the appeal. During the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, we recovered amounts that covered losses with respect to \$6.4 billion and \$4.3 billion, respectively, of UPB on loans associated with our repurchase requests, including amounts associated with one-time settlement agreements.

GMAC Mortgage, LLC and Residential Funding Company, LLC (collectively GMAC), indirect subsidiaries of Ally Financial Inc. (formerly, GMAC Inc.), are seller/servicers that together serviced and subserviced for an affiliated entity approximately 3% of the single-family loans in our single-family credit guarantee portfolio as of December 31, 2010. In March 2010, we entered into an agreement with GMAC, under which they made a one-time payment to us for the partial release of repurchase obligations relating to loans sold to us prior to January 1, 2009. The partial release does not affect any of GMAC s potential repurchase obligations for loans sold to us by GMAC after January 1, 2009, nor does it affect the ability to recover amounts associated with failure to comply with our servicing requirements. The agreement did not have a material impact on our 2010 consolidated statements of operations.

On December 31, 2010, we entered into an agreement with Bank of America, N.A., and two of its affiliates, BAC Home Loans Servicing, LP and Countrywide Home Loans, Inc., to resolve currently outstanding and future claims for repurchases arising from the breach of representations and warranties on certain loans purchased by us from Countrywide Home Loans, Inc. and Countrywide Bank FSB. Under the terms of the agreement, we received a \$1.28 billion cash payment in consideration for releasing Bank of America and its two affiliates from current and future repurchase requests arising from loans sold to us by the Countrywide entities for which the first regularly scheduled monthly payments were due on or before December 31, 2008. The UPB of the loans in this portfolio, as of December 31, 2010, was approximately \$114 billion. The agreement applies only to certain claims for repurchase based on breaches of representations and warranties and the agreement contains specified limitations and does not cover loans sold to us or serviced for us by other Bank of America entities. The agreement did not have a material impact on our 2010 consolidated statements of operations.

On August 24, 2009, one of our single-family seller/servicers, Taylor, Bean & Whitaker Mortgage Corp., or TBW, filed for bankruptcy and announced its plan to wind down its operations. We have exposure to TBW with respect to its loan repurchase obligations. We also have exposure with respect to certain borrower funds that TBW held for the benefit of Freddie Mac. TBW received and processed such funds in its capacity as a servicer of loans owned or

guaranteed by Freddie Mac. TBW maintained certain bank accounts, primarily at Colonial Bank, to deposit such borrower funds and to provide remittance to Freddie Mac. Colonial Bank was placed into receivership by the FDIC in August 2009.

On or about June 14, 2010, we filed a proof of claim in the TBW bankruptcy aggregating \$1.78 billion. Of this amount, approximately \$1.15 billion relates to current and projected repurchase obligations and approximately \$440 million relates to funds deposited with Colonial Bank or with the FDIC as its receiver, which are attributable to mortgage loans owned or guaranteed by us and previously serviced by TBW. The remaining \$190 million represents miscellaneous costs and expenses incurred in connection with the dissolution of TBW. On July 1, 2010, TBW filed a comprehensive final reconciliation report in the bankruptcy court indicating, among other things, that approximately \$203 million in funds held in bank accounts maintained by TBW related to its servicing of Freddie Mac s loans and was potentially available to pay Freddie Mac s claims. These assets include certain funds on deposit with Colonial Bank. We have analyzed the report and, as necessary and appropriate, may revise the amount of our claim.

261 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

In a related matter, both TBW and Bank of America, N.A., which is also a claimant in the TBW bankruptcy, have sought discovery against Freddie Mac. While no actions against Freddie Mac related to TBW have been initiated in bankruptcy court or elsewhere to recover assets, TBW and Bank of America, N.A. have indicated that they wish to determine whether the bankruptcy estate of TBW has any potential rights to seek to recover assets transferred by TBW to Freddie Mac prior to bankruptcy. TBW has indicated to us that it may file an action to recover certain funds paid to us prior to the bankruptcy. At this time, we are unable to estimate our potential exposure, if any, to such claims. See NOTE 21: LEGAL CONTINGENCIES for additional information on our claims arising from TBW s bankruptcy.

In some cases, the ultimate amounts of recovery payments we received and may receive in the future from seller/servicers were and may be significantly less than the amount of our estimates of potential exposure to losses related to their obligations. Our estimate of probable incurred losses for exposure to seller/servicers for their repurchase obligations to us is a component of our allowance for loan losses as of December 31, 2010 and 2009. See NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES Allowance for Loan Losses and Reserve for Guarantee Losses for further information. We believe we have adequately provided for these exposures, based upon our estimates of incurred losses, in our loan loss reserves at December 31, 2010 and 2009; however, our actual losses may exceed our estimates.

Our seller/servicers have an active role in our loss mitigation efforts, including under the MHA Program, and therefore we also have exposure to them to the extent a decline in their performance results in a failure to realize the anticipated benefits of our loss mitigation plans. A significant portion of our single-family mortgage loans are serviced by several large seller/servicers. Our top five single-family loan servicers, Wells Fargo Bank N.A., Bank of America N.A., JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., Citimortgage, Inc., and U.S. Bank, N.A., together serviced approximately 68% of our single-family mortgage loans, the first three of which each serviced 10% or more of our single-family mortgage loans, as of December 31, 2010.

During the second half of 2010, a number of our seller/servicers, including several of our largest ones, temporarily suspended foreclosure proceedings in some or all states in which they do business. These seller/servicers announced these suspensions were necessary while they evaluated and addressed issues relating to the improper preparation and execution of certain documents used in foreclosure proceedings, including affidavits. See NOTE 7: REAL ESTATE OWNED for additional information.

As of December 31, 2010 our top four multifamily servicers, Berkadia Commercial Mortgage LLC, Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., CBRE Capital Markets, Inc., and Deutsche Bank Berkshire Mortgage, each serviced more than 10% of our multifamily mortgage portfolio and together serviced approximately 52% of our multifamily mortgage portfolio.

We are exposed to the risk that multifamily seller/servicers could come under financial pressure due to the current stressful economic environment, which could potentially cause degradation in the quality of servicing they provide to us or, in certain cases, reduce the likelihood that we could recover losses through lender repurchase or through recourse agreements or other credit enhancements, where applicable. We continue to monitor the status of all our multifamily seller/servicers in accordance with our counterparty credit risk management framework.

Mortgage Insurers

We have institutional credit risk relating to the potential insolvency of or non-performance by mortgage insurers that insure single-family mortgages we purchase or guarantee. For our exposure to mortgage insurers, we evaluate the recovery from insurance policies for mortgage loans that we hold for investment as well as loans underlying our non-consolidated Freddie Mac mortgage-related securities and covered by other guarantee commitments as part of the estimate of our loan loss reserves. See NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES Allowance for Loan Losses and Reserve for Guarantee Losses for additional information. As of December 31, 2010,

these insurers provided coverage, with maximum loss limits of \$56.8 billion, for \$274.7 billion of UPB in connection with our single-family credit guarantee portfolio. Our top six mortgage insurer counterparties, Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Corporation (or MGIC), Radian Guaranty Inc., Genworth Mortgage Insurance Corporation, PMI Mortgage Insurance Co., United Guaranty Residential Insurance Co. and Republic Mortgage Insurance Co. each accounted for more than 10% and collectively represented approximately 95% of our overall mortgage insurance coverage at December 31, 2010. All our mortgage insurance counterparties are rated BBB or below as of December 31, 2010, based on the lower of the S&P or Moody s rating scales and stated in terms of the S&P equivalent.

During 2010, increases in default volumes and in the time period between claim filing and receipt of payment resulted in an increase of our receivables for mortgage and pool insurance claims. Although the volume of rescissions of claims under mortgage insurance coverage temporarily declined mid-year, the volume of rescissions returned to elevated levels by year end. When an insurer rescinds coverage, the seller/servicer generally is in breach of representations and warranties made to us when we purchased the affected mortgage. Consequently, we may require the seller/servicer to repurchase the mortgage or to indemnify us for additional loss.

262 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

In 2010, we reached the maximum limit of recovery under certain pool insurance policies. As a result, losses we recognized in 2010 increased on certain loans previously identified as credit enhanced. We may reach aggregate loss limits on other pool insurance policies in the near term, which would further increase our credit losses.

We received proceeds of \$1.8 billion and \$952 million during the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, from our primary and pool mortgage insurance policies for recovery of losses on our single-family loans. We had outstanding receivables from mortgage insurers of \$2.3 billion and \$1.7 billion as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The balance of our outstanding accounts receivable from mortgage insurers, net of associated reserves, was approximately \$1.5 billion and \$1.0 billion as December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Based upon currently available information, we believe that all of our mortgage insurance counterparties will continue to pay all claims as due in the normal course for the near term, except for claims obligations of Triad Guaranty Insurance Corporation (or Triad) that were partially deferred beginning June 1, 2009, under order of Triad s state regulator. In 2010, we approved Essent Guaranty, Inc., which acquired certain assets and infrastructure of Triad in December 2009, as a new mortgage insurer.

Bond Insurers

Bond insurance, including primary and secondary policies, is a credit enhancement covering certain of our investments in non-agency mortgage-related securities. Primary policies are acquired by the securitization trust issuing securities we purchase, while secondary policies are acquired by us. At December 31, 2010, we had coverage, including secondary policies, on non-agency mortgage-related securities totaling \$10.7 billion of UPB. At December 31, 2010, the top five of our bond insurers, Ambac Assurance Corporation, Financial Guaranty Insurance Company (or FGIC), MBIA Insurance Corp., Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp. (or AGMC), and National Public Finance Guarantee Corp. or (NPFGC), each accounted for more than 10% of our overall bond insurance coverage and collectively represented approximately 99% of our total coverage.

In November 2009, the New York State Insurance Department ordered FGIC to restructure in order to improve its financial condition and to suspend paying any and all claims effective immediately. On March 25, 2010, FGIC made an exchange offer to the holders of various residential mortgage-backed securities insured by FGIC. The offer was ultimately terminated due to insufficient participation by security holders. On August 4, 2010, FGIC Corporation, the parent company of FGIC, announced that it had filed for bankruptcy. We continue to monitor FGIC s efforts to restructure and assess the impact on our investments.

In March 2010, Ambac established a segregated account for certain Ambac-insured securities, including those held by Freddie Mac, and consented to the rehabilitation of the segregated account requested by the Wisconsin Office of the Commissioner of Insurance. On March 24, 2010, a Wisconsin state circuit court issued an order for rehabilitation and an order for temporary injunctive relief regarding the segregated account. Among other things, no claims arising under the segregated account will be paid, and policyholders are enjoined from taking certain actions until the plan of rehabilitation is approved by the circuit court. The plan of rehabilitation was filed with the circuit court by the Office of the Commissioner of Insurance on October 8, 2010, and approved on January 24, 2011. On November 8, 2010, Ambac Financial Group Inc, the parent company of Ambac, filed for bankruptcy.

We believe that, in addition to FGIC and Ambac, some of our other bond insurers lack sufficient ability to fully meet all of their expected lifetime claims-paying obligations to us as such claims emerge.

We evaluate the recovery from primary monoline bond insurance policies as part of our impairment analysis for our investments in securities. If a monoline bond insurer fails to meet its obligations on our investments in securities, then the fair values of our securities would further decline, which could have a material adverse effect on our results and financial condition. We recognized other-than-temporary impairment losses during 2009 and 2010 related to

investments in mortgage-related securities covered by bond insurance as a result of our uncertainty over whether or not certain insurers will meet our future claims in the event of a loss on the securities. See NOTE 8: INVESTMENTS IN SECURITIES for further information on our evaluation of impairment on securities covered by bond insurance.

Cash and Other Investments Counterparties

We are exposed to institutional credit risk from the potential insolvency or non-performance of counterparties of non-mortgage-related investment agreements and cash equivalent transactions, including those entered into on behalf of our securitization trusts. These financial instruments are investment grade at the time of purchase and primarily short-term in nature, which mitigates institutional credit risk for these instruments.

During 2008, we recognized \$1.1 billion of losses on investment activity associated with our role as securities administrator for our securitization trusts on unsecured loans made to Lehman on the trusts behalf. These short-term loans were due to mature on September 15, 2008, the date Lehman filed for bankruptcy; however, Lehman failed to repay these

263 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

loans and the accrued interest. The loss incurred in 2008 associated with this transaction is included in other expenses on our consolidated statements of operations. See NOTE 21: LEGAL CONTINGENCIES for further information on this claim.

As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, there were \$91.6 billion and \$94.7 billion, respectively, of cash and other non-mortgage assets invested with institutional counterparties or the Federal Reserve Bank. As of December 31, 2010, these primarily included: (a) \$31.3 billion of cash equivalents invested in 45 counterparties that had short-term credit ratings of A-1 or above on the S&P or equivalent scale; (b) \$3.4 billion of federal funds sold with three counterparties that had short-term S&P ratings of A-1 or above; (c) \$0.3 billion of federal funds sold with one counterparty that had a short-term S&P rating of A-2; (d) \$42.1 billion of securities purchased under agreements to resell with nine counterparties that had short-term S&P ratings of A-1 or above; (e) \$0.7 billion of securities purchased under agreements to resell with one counterparty that had short-term S&P rating of A-2; and (f) \$13.3 billion of cash deposited with the Federal Reserve Bank. The December 31, 2009 counterparty credit exposure includes amounts on our consolidated balance sheet as well as those off-balance sheet that we entered into on behalf of our securitization trusts that were not consolidated.

Derivative Portfolio

On an ongoing basis, we review the credit fundamentals of all of our OTC derivative counterparties to confirm that they continue to meet our internal standards. We assign internal ratings, credit capital, and exposure limits to each counterparty based on quantitative and qualitative analysis, which we update and monitor on a regular basis. We conduct additional reviews when market conditions dictate or certain events affecting an individual counterparty occur.

Derivative Counterparties

Our use of derivatives exposes us to counterparty credit risk, which arises from the possibility that the derivative counterparty will not be able to meet its contractual obligations. Exchange-traded derivatives, such as futures contracts, do not measurably increase our counterparty credit risk because changes in the value of open exchange-traded contracts are settled daily through a financial clearinghouse established by each exchange. OTC derivatives, however, expose us to counterparty credit risk because transactions are executed and settled between us and our counterparty. Our use of OTC interest-rate swaps, option-based derivatives and foreign-currency swaps is subject to rigorous internal credit and legal reviews. All our OTC derivatives counterparties are major financial institutions and are experienced participants in the OTC derivatives market.

Master Netting and Collateral Agreements

We use master netting and collateral agreements to reduce our credit risk exposure to our active OTC derivative counterparties for interest-rate swaps, option-based derivatives and foreign-currency swaps. Master netting agreements provide for the netting of amounts receivable and payable from an individual counterparty, which reduces our exposure to a single counterparty in the event of default. On a daily basis, the market value of each counterparty s derivatives outstanding is calculated to determine the amount of our net credit exposure, which is equal to derivatives in a net gain position by counterparty after giving consideration to collateral posted. Our collateral agreements require most counterparties to post collateral for the amount of our net exposure to them above the applicable threshold. Bilateral collateral agreements are in place for the majority of our counterparties. Collateral posting thresholds are tied to a counterparty s credit rating. Derivative exposures and collateral amounts are monitored on a daily basis using both internal pricing models and dealer price quotes. Collateral is typically transferred within one business day based on the values of the related derivatives. This time lag in posting collateral can affect our net uncollateralized exposure to derivative counterparties.

Collateral posted by a derivative counterparty is typically in the form of cash, although U.S. Treasury securities, Freddie Mac mortgage-related securities, or our debt securities may also be posted. In the event a counterparty defaults on its obligations under the derivatives agreement and the default is not remedied in the manner prescribed in the agreement, we have the right under the agreement to direct the custodian bank to transfer the collateral to us or, in the case of non-cash collateral, to sell the collateral and transfer the proceeds to us.

Our uncollateralized exposure to counterparties for OTC interest-rate swaps, option-based derivatives, foreign-currency swaps, and purchased interest-rate caps, after applying netting agreements and collateral, was \$32 million and \$128 million at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. In the event that all of our counterparties for these derivatives were to have defaulted simultaneously on December 31, 2010, our maximum loss for accounting purposes would have been approximately \$32 million. One of our counterparties, HSBC Bank USA, which was rated AA– as of February 11, 2011, accounted for greater than 10% of our net uncollateralized exposure to derivatives counterparties at December 31, 2010.

The total exposure on our OTC forward purchase and sale commitments, which are treated as derivatives, was \$103 million and \$81 million at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. These commitments are uncollateralized. Because the typical maturity of our forward purchase and sale commitments is less than 60 days and they are generally settled through a clearinghouse, we do not require master netting and collateral agreements for the counterparties of these

264 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

commitments. However, we monitor the credit fundamentals of the counterparties to our forward purchase and sale commitments on an ongoing basis to ensure that they continue to meet our internal risk-management standards.

NOTE 20: FAIR VALUE DISCLOSURES

Fair Value Hierarchy

The accounting standards for fair value measurements and disclosures establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. Fair value represents the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Observable inputs reflect market data obtained from independent sources. Unobservable inputs reflect assumptions based on the best information available under the circumstances. We use valuation techniques that maximize the use of observable inputs, where available, and minimize the use of unobservable inputs.

The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are described below:

- Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for identical assets or liabilities;
- Level 2: Quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets and liabilities in markets that are not active; inputs other than quoted market prices that are observable for the asset or liability; and inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets; and
- Level 3: Unobservable inputs for the asset or liability that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair values.

Assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety within the fair value hierarchy based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement. Table 20.1 sets forth by level within the fair value hierarchy assets and liabilities measured and reported at fair value on a recurring basis in our consolidated balance sheets at December 31, 2010 and 2009.

265 Freddie Mac

Table 20.1 Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

	Fair Value at December 31, 2010									
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) (in millions)	Netting Adjustment ⁽¹⁾	Total					
Assets: Investments in securities: Available-for-sale, at fair value: Mortgage-related securities:										
Freddie Mac Subprime CMBS	\$	\$ 83,652 54,972	\$ 2,037 33,861 3,115	\$	\$ 85,689 33,861 58,087					
Option ARM Alt-A and other		13	6,889 13,155		6,889 13,168					
Fannie Mae Obligations of states and political subdivisions		24,158	9,377		24,370 9,377					
Manufactured housing Ginnie Mae		280	897 16		897 296					
Total available-for-sale securities, at fair value Trading, at fair value: Mortgage-related securities:		163,075	69,559		232,634					
Freddie Mac		11,138	2,299		13,437					
Fannie Mae		17,872	854		18,726					
Ginnie Mae Other		145 11	27 20		172 31					
Offici		11	20		31					
Total mortgage-related securities Non-mortgage-related securities:		29,166	3,200		32,366					
Asset-backed securities Treasury bills Treasury notes FDIC-guaranteed corporate	17,289 10,122	44			44 17,289 10,122					
medium-term notes		441			441					
Total non-mortgage-related securities	27,411	485			27,896					
Total trading securities, at fair value	27,411	29,651	3,200		60,262					

Edgar Filing: MINDSPEED TECHNOLOGIES, INC - Form 8-K

Total investments in securities Mortgage loans:		27,411		192,726		72,759				292,896
Held-for-sale, at fair value Derivative assets, net:						6,413				6,413
Interest-rate swaps Option-based derivatives				9,921 11,255		49				9,970 11,255
Other		3		266		21				290
Subtotal, before netting adjustments Netting adjustments ⁽¹⁾		3		21,442		70		(21,372)		21,515 (21,372)
Total derivative assets, net		3		21,442		70		(21,372)		143
Other assets: Guarantee asset, at fair value						541				541
Total assets carried at fair value on a recurring basis	\$	27,414	\$	214,168	\$	79,783	\$	(21,372)	\$	299,993
Liabilities: Debt securities recorded at fair value	\$		¢	4 442	\$		\$		\$	4 442
Derivative liabilities, net:	Ф		\$	4,443	Ф		Ф		Þ	4,443
Interest-rate swaps		0		26,856		623				27,479
Option-based derivatives Other		8 170		252 28		2 136				262 334
Subtotal, before netting adjustments Netting adjustments ⁽¹⁾		178		27,136		761		(26,866)		28,075 (26,866)
Total derivative liabilities, net		178		27,136		761		(26,866)		1,209
Total delivative habilities, let		170		27,130		701		(20,000)		1,20)
Total liabilities carried at fair value on a recurring basis	\$	178	\$	31,579	\$	761	\$	(26,866)	\$	5,652
			26	6					Fre	ddie Mac

Fair '	Value	e at 1	Decemb	oer 31	1, 2009
--------	-------	--------	--------	--------	---------

			ran vai	ue at	December .	31, 2009		
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Ob I	gnificant Other servable (nputs Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) (in millions)		Netting Adjustment ⁽¹⁾		Total
Assets: Investments in securities: Available-for-sale, at fair value: Mortgage-related securities: Freddie Mac	\$	\$	202,660	\$	20,807	\$	\$	223,467
Subprime	Ψ	Ψ	202,000	Ψ	35,721	Ψ	Ψ	35,721
CMBS					54,019			54,019
Option ARM					7,236			7,236
Alt-A and other			16		13,391			13,407
Fannie Mae			35,208		338			
			33,208		330			35,546
Obligations of states and political					11 477			11 477
subdivisions					11,477			11,477
Manufactured housing			2.42		911			911
Ginnie Mae			343		4			347
Total mortgage-related securities			238,227		143,904			382,131
Non-mortgage-related securities:								
Asset-backed securities			2,553					2,553
Total available-for-sale securities, at			• 40 =00		442.004			201.601
fair value Trading, at fair value:			240,780		143,904			384,684
Mortgage-related securities:								
Freddie Mac			168,150		2,805			170,955
Fannie Mae			33,021		1,343			34,364
Ginnie Mae			158		27			185
Other					28			28
Total mortgage-related securities Non-mortgage-related securities:			201,329		4,203			205,532
Asset-backed securities			1,492					1,492
Treasury Bills	14,787		1,172					14,787
FDIC-guaranteed corporate	17,/0/							17,707
medium-term notes			439					439
medium-term notes			433					439
Total non-mortgage-related securities	14,787		1,931					16,718

Edgar Filing: MINDSPEED TECHNOLOGIES, INC - Form 8-K

Total trading securities, at fair value	14,787	203,260	4,203		222,250
Total investments in securities	14,787	444,040	148,107		606,934
Mortgage Loans: Held-for-sale, at fair value			2,799		2,799
Derivative assets, net	5	19,409	124	(19,323)	215
Other assets: Guarantee asset, at fair value			10,444		10,444
Total assets carried at fair value on a recurring basis	\$ 14,792	\$ 463,449	\$ 161,474	\$ (19,323)	\$ 620,392
Liabilities:					
Debt securities recorded at fair value Derivative liabilities, net	\$ 89	\$ 8,918 21,162	\$ 554	\$ (21,216)	\$ 8,918 589
Total liabilities carried at fair value on a recurring basis	\$ 89	\$ 30,080	\$ 554	\$ (21,216)	\$ 9,507

⁽¹⁾ Represents counterparty netting, cash collateral netting, net trade/settle receivable or payable and net derivative interest receivable or payable. The net cash collateral posted and net trade/settle receivable were \$6.3 billion and \$1 million, respectively, at December 31, 2010. The net cash collateral posted and net trade/settle receivable were \$2.5 billion and \$1 million, respectively, at December 31, 2009. The net interest receivable (payable) of derivative assets and derivative liabilities was approximately \$(0.8) billion and \$(0.6) billion at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, which was mainly related to interest rate swaps that we have entered into.

Recurring Fair Value Changes

For the year ended December 31, 2010, we did not have any significant transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 assets or liabilities.

Our Level 3 items mainly consist of non-agency mortgage-related securities. Level 3 measurements consist of assets and liabilities that are supported by little or no market activity where observable inputs generally are not available. The fair value of these assets and liabilities is measured using significant inputs that are considered unobservable. Unobservable inputs reflect assumptions based on the best information available under the circumstances. We use valuation techniques that maximize the use of observable inputs, where available, and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. See Valuation Methods and Assumptions Subject to Fair Value Hierarchy for additional information about the valuation methods and assumptions used in our fair value measurements.

267 Freddie Mac

During 2010, our Level 3 assets decreased by \$81.7 billion primarily due to the transfer of the majority of CMBS from Level 3 to Level 2 and our adoption of the amendments to the accounting standards for transfers of financial assets and consolidation of VIEs. During 2010, the CMBS market continued to improve and we observed significantly less variability in fair value quotes received from dealers and third-party pricing services. In the fourth quarter of 2010 we determined that these market conditions stabilized to a degree that we believe indicates that unobservable inputs are no longer significant to the fair values of these securities and, as a result, we transferred \$51.3 billion of CMBS from Level 3 to Level 2. The adoption of the amendments to the accounting standards for transfers of financial assets and consolidation of VIEs resulted in the elimination of \$28.8 billion in our Level 3 assets on January 1, 2010, including: (1) certain mortgage-related securities issued by our consolidated trusts that are held by us; and (2) the guarantee asset for guarantees issued to our consolidated trusts. In addition, we transferred \$0.4 billion of other Level 3 assets to Level 2 during 2010, resulting from improved liquidity and availability of price quotes received from dealers and third-party pricing services.

During 2009, our Level 3 assets increased by \$48.1 billion primarily due to the transfer of CMBS securities from Level 2 to Level 3 given the continued weakness in the market for non-agency CMBS, as evidenced by low transaction volumes and wide spreads, as investor demand for these assets remained limited. As a result, we continued to observe significant variability in the quotes received from dealers and third-party pricing services. We concluded that the prices on these securities received from pricing services and dealers were reflective of significant unobservable inputs. Consequently, we transferred \$46.4 billion of Level 2 assets to Level 3 during 2009.

Table 20.2 provides a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances for assets and liabilities measured at fair value using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3).

For The Year Ended December 31, 2010

Realized and unrealized gains

Table 20.2 Fair Value Measurements of Assets and Liabilities Using Significant Unobservable Inputs

	В	salance,	Cumulative effect of change in accounting					(losses) Included in other Included in comprehensive							rchases, uances, les and	Net transfers in and/or out		salance,
	December 31, 2009	,		nciple ⁽¹⁾		nuary 1, 2010 ea	arnings ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾ fficome ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾ (in million					Total s)	settlements, net ⁽⁶⁾		of Level 3 ⁽⁷⁾	Dec	ember 3 2010	
n securities: -sale, at fair																		
ited securities:																		
	\$	20,807	\$ ((18,775)	\$	2,032	\$		(\$	5	\$	5	\$		\$	\$	2,037
		35,721				35,721		(1,769)	€))		7,046		5,277		(7,137)			33,861
		54,019				54,019					369		369			(51,273)	3,115
		7,236				7,236		(1,402)	2)		2,611		1,209		(1,556)			6,889
er		13,391				13,391		(1,020))		3,128		2,108		(2,344)			13,155
		338				338									(139)	13		212

f states and									
ivisions	11,477		11,477	4	(123)	(119)	(1,981)		9,377
housing	911		911	(27)	126	99	(113)		897
1	4		4		(1)	(1)	(5)	18	16
e-for-sale									
ted securities ir value: ited securities:	143,904	(18,775)	125,129	(4,214)	13,161	8,947	(13,275)	(51,242)	69,559
ilea securities.	2,805	(5)	2,800	(777)		(777)	659	(383)	2,299
1	1,343	(5)	1,343	(449)		(449)	(38)	(2)	854
1	27		27	1		1	(1)	(-)	27
	28	(1)	27	(1)		(1)	(4)	(2)	20
ted securities	4,203	(6)	4,197	(1,226)		(1,226)	616	(387)	3,200
ns:									
at fair value	2,799		2,799	(1)		(1)	3,615		6,413
$\mathbf{c}^{(9)}$	(430)		(430)	(141)		(141)	(120)		(691)
et ⁽¹⁰⁾	10,444	(10,024)	420	(24)		(24)	145		541
				268				Freddie Mac	С

Included

Table of Contents

For The Year Ended December 31, 2009 Realized and unrealized gains (losses)

Net

transfers

	Balance January		Included in	in other		iss	archases, suances, ales and		in and/or out of		Balance, eember 31,	Unrealiz gains (losses)		
	2009		rnings ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽ {income ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾				Total (in m	settlements, net ⁽⁶⁾ nillions)		Level 3 ⁽⁷⁾		2009		still held ⁽⁸⁾
estments in securities: uilable-for-sale, at fair value: rtgage-related securities: ddie Mac	\$ 18,32	20	\$ (2)	2) \$	\$ 1,833	\$	1,831	\$	1,035	\$	(379)	\$	20,807	\$
prime	52,26		(6,526)		2,958		(3,568)		(12,977)				35,721	(6,52
BS	2,86	51	(137)		6,940		6,803		(2,284)		46,639		54,019	(13
ion ARM	7,37	18	(1,726)	.)	3,416		1,690		(1,832)				7,236	(1,72)
A and other	13,23	36	(2,572)	.)	6,130		3,558		(3,404)		1		13,391	(2,5)
nie Mae	39) 6			6		6		(42)		(22)	1	338	
igations of states and political														
divisions	10,52	28	2		1,955		1,957		(1,008)				11,477	
nufactured housing	74		(51))	336		285		(117)				911	(.4
nie Mae	1	12							(2)		(6)	ı	4	
al mortgage-related securities n-mortgage-related securities:	105,74	10	(11,012))	23,574		12,562		(20,631)		46,233		143,904	(11,01
et-backed securities			(7))	8		1		(1)					
al available-for-sale														
ırities, at fair value ding, at fair value: rtgage-related securities:	105,74	10	(11,019))	23,582		12,563		(20,632)		46,233		143,904	(11,01
ddie Mac	1,57	15	971				971		(90)		349		2,805	96
nie Mae	58		514				514		187		60		1,343	51
nie Mae		14	2				2		(2)		13		27	
er		29	(1)				(1)		(3)		3		28	
al mortgage-related securities n-mortgage-related securities: IC-guaranteed corporate	2,20)0	1,486				1,486		92		425		4,203	1,4
lium-term notes									250		(250)	ı		
al trading securities, at fair														
ie rtgage loans:	2,20)()	1,486				1,486		342		175		4,203	1,4
d-for-sale, at fair value	40)1	(81))			(81)		2,479				2,799	(9

derivatives ⁽⁹⁾	10	$0 \qquad (388)$	(388)	(142)	(430)	(-
er assets:						
rantee asset ⁽¹⁰⁾	4,84	7 5,298	5,298	299	10,444	5,
(1) B		11 1 .1			C CC 11	

- (1) Represents adjustment to initially apply the accounting standards on accounting for transfers of financial assets and consolidation of VIEs.
- (2) Changes in fair value for available-for-sale investments are recorded in AOCI, while gains and losses from sales are recorded in other gains (losses) on investments on our consolidated statements of operations. For mortgage-related securities classified as trading, the realized and unrealized gains (losses) are recorded in other gains (losses) on investments on our consolidated statements of operations.
- (3) Changes in fair value of derivatives are recorded in derivative gains (losses) on our consolidated statements of operations for those not designated as accounting hedges, and AOCI, for those accounted for as a cash flow hedge to the extent the hedge is effective.
- (4) Changes in fair value of the guarantee asset are recorded in other income on our consolidated statements of operations.
- (5) For held-for-sale mortgage loans with fair value option elected, gains (losses) on fair value changes and sale of mortgage loans are recorded in other income on our consolidated statements of operations.
- (6) For non-agency mortgage-related securities, primarily represents principal repayments.
- (7) Transfer in and/or out of Level 3 during the period is disclosed as if the transfer occurred at the beginning of the period.
- (8) Represents the amount of total gains or losses for the period, included in earnings, attributable to the change in unrealized gains (losses) related to assets and liabilities classified as Level 3 that were still held at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Included in these amounts are credit-related other-than-temporary impairments recorded on available-for-sale securities.
- (9) Net derivatives include derivative assets and derivative liabilities prior to counterparty netting, cash collateral netting, net trade/settle receivable or payable and net derivative interest receivable or payable.
- (10) We estimate that all amounts recorded for unrealized gains and losses on our guarantee asset relate to those amounts still in position. Cash received on our guarantee asset is presented as settlements in the table. The amounts reflected as included in earnings represent the periodic fair value changes of our guarantee asset.

Non-recurring Fair Value Changes

Certain assets are not measured at fair value on an ongoing basis but are subject to fair value adjustments in certain circumstances. We consider the fair value measurement related to these assets to be non-recurring. These assets include REO, net, impaired held-for-investment multifamily mortgage loans, and single-family held-for-sale mortgage loans. These fair value measurements usually result from the write-down of individual assets to current fair value amounts due to impairments.

For a discussion related to our fair value measurement of single-family held-for-sale mortgage loans, see Valuation Methods and Assumptions Subject to Fair Value Hierarchy *Mortgage Loans, Held-for-Sale*. As of January 1, 2010, we reclassified single-family loans that were historically classified as held-for-sale to unsecuritized mortgage loans held-for-investment. Therefore, these loans were not subject to fair value measurements after this date. See NOTE 2: CHANGE IN ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES for additional information.

The fair value of impaired multifamily held-for-investment mortgage loans is generally based on the value of the underlying property. Given the relative illiquidity in the markets for these impaired loans, and differences in contractual terms of each loan, we classified these loans as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy. See Valuation Methods and Assumptions Subject to Fair Value Hierarchy *Mortgage Loans, Held-for-Investment* for additional details.

REO is initially measured at its fair value less costs to sell. In subsequent periods, REO is reported at the lower of its carrying amount or fair value less costs to sell. Subsequent measurements of fair value less costs to sell are estimated values based on relevant current and historical factors, which are considered to be unobservable inputs. As a result,

REO is classified as Level 3 under the fair value hierarchy. See Valuation Methods and Assumptions Subject to Fair Value Hierarchy *REO*, *Net* for additional details.

269 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

Table 20.3 presents assets measured and reported at fair value on a non-recurring basis in our consolidated balance sheets by level within the fair value hierarchy at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Table 20.3 Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Non-Recurring Basis

		Fair Value at I	Decembe	r 31, 201	10				
	Quoted Prices in Active	Significant Other	Signi	ficant					
		Observable Inputs		ervable outs			Total Gains		
	Assets (Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Lev	vel 3) illions)	ŗ	Γotal		ses) ⁽⁵⁾	
Assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis:									
Mortgage loans: ⁽¹⁾ Held-for-investment REO, net ⁽²⁾	\$	\$	\$	1,560 5,606	\$	1,560 5,606	\$	(183) (290)	
Total assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis	\$	\$	\$	7,166	\$	7,166	\$	(473)	

	Fair Value at I	December 31	, 2009	•			
Quoted Prices in Active	Significant Other	Significa					
Markets for	Observable		ble				Total
Identical Assets	Inputs	Inputs				(Sains
(Level 1)	(Level 2)	` '		Т	otal	(Lo	osses) ⁽⁵⁾
\$	\$	13,3	93		894 13,393 1,532	\$	(231) (64) 607 (3,669) (109)
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Quoted Prices Significant in Other Active Markets Observable for Identical Inputs Assets (Level 1) (Level 2)	Quoted Prices Significant in Other Significant Active Markets Observable Unobserva for Identical Inputs Inputs Assets (Level 1) (Level 2) (Level 3) (in million)	Quoted Prices Significant in Other Significant Active Markets Observable Unobservable for Identical Inputs Inputs Assets (Level 1) (Level 2) (Level 3) (in millions)	Prices Significant in Other Significant Active Markets Observable Unobservable for Identical Inputs Inputs Assets (Level 1) (Level 2) (Level 3) (in millions) \$ \$ \$ 894 \$ 13,393	Quoted Prices Significant in Other Significant Active Markets Observable Unobservable for Identical Inputs Inputs Assets (Level 1) (Level 2) (Level 3) (in millions) \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ 894 \$ 894 \$ 13,393	Quoted Prices Significant in Other Significant Active Markets Observable Unobservable for Identical Inputs Inputs Assets (Level 1) (Level 2) (Level 3) Total (Louin millions) \$ \$ 894 \$ 894 \$ 13,393 13,393

Total assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis \$ \$ 15,819 \$ 15,819 \$ (3,466)

- (1) Represents carrying value and related write-downs of loans for which adjustments are based on the fair value amounts. These loans include held-for-sale mortgage loans where the fair value is below cost and impaired multifamily mortgage loans, that are classified as held-for-investment and have a related valuation allowance.
- (2) Represents the fair value and related losses of foreclosed properties that were measured at fair value subsequent to their initial classification as REO, net. The carrying amount of REO, net was written down to fair value of \$5.6 billion, less estimated costs to sell of \$406 million (or approximately \$5.2 billion) at December 31, 2010. The carrying amount of REO, net was written down to fair value of \$1.5 billion, less estimated costs to sell of \$106 million (or approximately \$1.4 billion) at December 31, 2009.
- (3) Represents the carrying value and related write-downs of impaired LIHTC partnership equity investments for which adjustments are based on the fair value amounts.
- (4) Represents the carrying value and related write-downs of impaired LIHTC partnership consolidated investments for which adjustments are based on fair value amounts.
- (5) Represents the total gains (losses) recorded on items measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Fair Value Election

We elected the fair value option for certain types of securities, multifamily held-for-sale mortgage loans, foreign-currency denominated debt, and certain other debt.

Certain Available-for-Sale Securities with Fair Value Option Elected

We elected the fair value option for certain available-for-sale mortgage-related securities to better reflect the natural offset these securities provide to fair value changes recorded historically on our guarantee asset at the time of our election. In addition, upon adoption of the accounting standards for the fair value option, we elected this option for available-for-sale securities within the scope of the accounting standards for investments in beneficial interests in securitized financial assets to better reflect any valuation changes that would occur subsequent to impairment write-downs previously recorded on these instruments. By electing the fair value option for these instruments, we reflect valuation changes through our consolidated statements of operations in the period they occur, including any increases in value.

For mortgage-related securities and investments in securities that were selected for the fair value option and subsequently classified as trading securities, the change in fair value is recorded in other gains (losses) on investment securities recognized in earnings in our consolidated statements of operations. See NOTE 8: INVESTMENTS IN SECURITIES for additional information regarding the net unrealized gains (losses) on trading securities, which include gains (losses) for other items that are not selected for the fair value option. Related interest income continues to be reported as interest income in our consolidated statements of operations. See NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES Investments in Securities for additional information about the measurement and recognition of interest income on investments in securities.

Debt Securities with Fair Value Option Elected

We elected the fair value option for foreign-currency denominated debt and certain other debt securities. In the case of foreign-currency denominated debt, we have entered into derivative transactions that effectively convert these instruments to U.S. dollar denominated floating rate instruments. The fair value changes on these derivatives were recorded in derivative

270

Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

gains (losses) in our consolidated statements of operations. We elected the fair value option on these debt instruments to better reflect the economic offset that naturally results from the debt due to changes in interest rates. We also elected the fair value option for certain other debt securities containing potential embedded derivatives that required bifurcation.

The changes in fair value of debt securities with the fair value option elected were \$580 million and \$(404) million for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, which were recorded in gains (losses) on debt recorded at fair value in our consolidated statements of operations. The changes in fair value related to fluctuations in exchange rates and interest rates were \$583 million and \$(204) million for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The remaining changes in the fair value of \$(3) million and \$(200) million were attributable to changes in the instrument-specific credit risk for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The change in fair value attributable to changes in instrument-specific credit risk was primarily determined by comparing the total change in fair value of the debt to the total change in fair value of the interest-rate and foreign-currency derivatives used to hedge the debt. Any difference in the fair value change of the debt compared to the fair value change in the derivatives is attributed to instrument-specific credit risk.

The difference between the aggregate fair value and aggregate UPB for long-term debt securities with fair value option elected was \$108 million and \$249 million at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Related interest expense continues to be reported as interest expense in our consolidated statements of operations. See NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES Debt Securities Issued for additional information about the measurement and recognition of interest expense on debt securities issued.

Multifamily Held-For-Sale Mortgage Loans with Fair Value Option Elected

We elected the fair value option for multifamily mortgage loans that were purchased through our CME initiative. Through this channel, we acquire loans that we intend to securitize and sell to CMBS investors. While this is consistent with our overall strategy to expand our multifamily business, it differs from our traditional buy-and-hold strategy with respect to multifamily loans held-for-investment. Therefore, these multifamily mortgage loans were classified as held-for-sale mortgage loans in our consolidated balance sheets to reflect our intent to sell in the future.

We recorded \$(1) million and \$(81) million from the change in fair value in gains (losses) in other income in our consolidated statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The fair value changes that were attributable to changes in the instrument-specific credit risk were \$18 million and \$24 million for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The gains and losses attributable to changes in instrument specific credit risk were determined primarily from the changes in OAS level.

The difference between the aggregate fair value and the aggregate UPB for multifamily held-for-sale loans with the fair value option elected was \$(311) million and \$(97) million at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Related interest income continues to be reported as interest income in our consolidated statements of operations. See NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES Mortgage Loans for additional information about the measurement and recognition of interest income on our mortgage loans.

Valuation Methods and Assumptions Subject to Fair Value Hierarchy

We categorize assets and liabilities that we measure and report at fair value in our consolidated balance sheets within the fair value hierarchy based on the valuation process used to derive the fair value and our judgment regarding the observability of the related inputs.

Investments in Securities

Agency Securities

Fixed-rate agency securities are valued based on dealer-published quotes for a base TBA security, adjusted to reflect the measurement date as opposed to a forward settlement date (carry) and pay-ups for specified collateral. The base TBA price varies based on agency, term, coupon, and settlement month. The carry adjustment converts forward settlement date prices to spot or same-day settlement date prices such that the fair value is estimated as of the measurement date, and not as of the forward settlement date. The carry adjustment uses our internal prepayment and interest rate models. A pay-up is added to the base TBA price for characteristics that are observed to be trading at a premium versus TBAs; this currently includes seasoning and low-loan balance attributes. Haircuts are applied to a small subset of positions that are less liquid and are observed to trade at a discount relative to TBAs; this includes securities that are not eligible for delivery into TBA trades.

Adjustable-rate agency securities are valued based on the median of prices from multiple pricing services. The key valuation drivers used by the pricing services include the interest rate cap structure, term, agency, remaining term, and months-to-next coupon reset, coupled with prevailing market conditions, namely interest rates.

Because fixed-rate and adjustable-rate agency securities are generally liquid and contain observable pricing in the market, they generally are classified as Level 2.

271 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

Multiclass structures are valued using a variety of methods, depending on the product type. The predominant valuation methodology uses the median prices from multiple pricing services. This method is used for structures for which there is typically significant, relevant market activity. Some of the key valuation drivers used by the pricing services are the collateral type, tranche type, weighted average life, and coupon, coupled with interest rates. Other tranche types that are more challenging to price are valued using the median prices from multiple dealers. These include structured interest-only, structured principal-only, inverse floaters, and inverse interest-only structures. Some of the key valuation drivers used by the dealers are the collateral type, tranche type, weighted average life, and coupon, coupled with interest rates. In addition, there is a subset of tranches for which there is a lack of relevant market activity that are priced using a proxy relationship where the position is matched to the closest dealer-priced tranche, then valued by calculating an OAS using our proprietary prepayment and interest rate models from the dealer-priced tranche. If necessary, our judgment is applied to estimate the impact of differences in prepayment uncertainty or other unique cash flow characteristics related to that particular security. We then determine the fair values for these securities by using the estimated OAS as an input to the valuation calculation in conjunction with interest-rate and prepayment models to calculate the NPV of the projected cash flows. These positions typically have smaller balances and are more difficult for dealers to value. There is also a subset of positions for which prices are published on a daily basis; these include trust interest-only and trust principal-only strips. These are fairly liquid tranches and are quoted on a regular settlement date basis. In order to align the regular settlement date price with the balance sheet date, the OAS is calculated based on the published prices. Then the tranche is valued using that OAS applied to the balance sheet date.

Multiclass agency securities are classified as Level 2 or 3 depending on the significance of the inputs that are not observable.

Commercial Mortgage-Backed Securities

CMBS are valued based on the median prices from multiple pricing services. Some of the key valuation drivers used by the pricing services include the collateral type, collateral performance, capital structure, issuer, credit enhancement, coupon, and weighted average life, coupled with the observed spread levels on trades of similar securities. The weighted average coupon and weighted-average life of the collateral underlying our CMBS investments were 5.7% and 4.3 years, respectively, as of December 31, 2010. Many of these securities have significant prepayment lockout periods or penalty periods that limit the window of potential prepayment to a relatively narrow band. These securities are primarily classified in Level 2.

Subprime, Option ARM, and Alt-A and Other (Mortgage-Related)

These private-label investments are valued using either the median of multiple dealer prices or the median prices from multiple pricing services. Some of the key valuation drivers used by the dealers and pricing services include the product type, vintage, collateral performance, capital structure, credit enhancements, and coupon, coupled with interest rates and spreads observed on trades of similar securities, where possible. The market for non-agency mortgage-related securities backed by subprime, option ARM, and Alt-A and other loans is highly illiquid, resulting in wide price ranges as well as wide credit spreads. These securities are primarily classified in Level 3.

Table 20.4 below presents the fair value of subprime, option ARM, and Alt-A and other investments we held by origination year.

Table 20.4 Fair Value of Subprime, Option ARM, and Alt-A and Other Investments by Origination Year

Fair Value at

Year of Origination	December 31, 2010 (in millions)
2004 and prior 2005 2006	\$ 4,998 13,126 19,333
2007 2008 and beyond	16,461
Total	\$ 53,918

Obligations of States and Political Subdivisions

These include housing revenue and municipal bonds, and are valued by taking the median prices from multiple pricing services. Some of the key valuation drivers used by the pricing services include the structure of the bond, call terms, cross-collateralization features, and tax-exempt features coupled with municipal bond rates, credit ratings, and spread levels. These securities are unique, resulting in low trading volumes and are classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy.

Manufactured Housing

Securities backed by loans on manufactured housing properties are dealer-priced and we arrive at the fair value by taking the median of multiple dealer prices. Some of the key valuation drivers include the collateral s performance and

272 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

vintage. These securities are classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy because key inputs are unobservable in the market due to low levels of liquidity.

Asset-Backed Securities (Non-Mortgage-Related)

These private-label non-mortgage-related securities are dealer-priced. Some of the key valuation drivers include the discount margin, subordination level, and prepayment speed, coupled with interest rates. They are classified as Level 2 because of their liquidity and tight pricing ranges.

Treasury Bills and Treasury Notes

Treasury bills and Treasury notes are classified as Level 1 in the fair value hierarchy since they are actively traded and price quotes are widely available at the measurement date for the exact security we are valuing.

FDIC-Guaranteed Corporate Medium-Term Notes

Since these securities carry the FDIC guarantee, they are considered to have no credit risk. They are valued based on yield analysis. They are classified as Level 2 because of their high liquidity and tight pricing ranges.

Mortgage Loans, Held-for-Sale

Mortgage loans, held-for-sale represent multifamily mortgage loans at December 31, 2010 with the fair value option elected. Thus, all held-for-sale mortgage loans are measured at fair value on a recurring basis.

The fair value of multifamily mortgage loans is generally based on market prices obtained from a third party pricing service provider for similar actively traded mortgages, adjusted for differences in loan characteristics and contractual terms. The pricing service aggregates observable price points from two markets: agency and non-agency. The agency market consists of purchases made by the GSEs of loans underwritten by our counterparties in accordance with our guidelines while the non-agency market generally consists of secondary market trades between banks and other financial institutions of loans that were originated and initially held in portfolio by these institutions. The pricing service blends the observable price data obtained from these two distinct markets into a final composite price based on the expected probability that a given loan will trade in one of these two markets. This estimated probability is largely a function of the loan scredit quality, as determined by its current LTV ratio and DSCR. The result of this blending technique is that lower credit quality loans receive a lower percentage of agency price weighting and higher credit quality loans receive a higher percentage of agency price weighting.

Given the relative illiquidity in the marketplace for multifamily mortgage loans and differences in contractual terms, these loans are classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy.

On January 1, 2010, we reclassified single-family loans that were historically classified as held-for-sale to unsecuritized mortgage loans held-for-investment. Therefore, these loans are reported at amortized cost and are no longer subject to the fair value hierarchy at December 31, 2010. Prior to January 1, 2010, these loans were recorded at the lower-of-cost-or-fair-value on our consolidated balance sheets and were measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis. See Valuation Methods and Assumptions Not Subject to Fair Value Hierarchy *Mortgage Loans* for additional information regarding the valuation techniques we use for our single-family mortgage loans.

Mortgage Loans, Held-for-Investment

Mortgage loans, held-for-investment measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis represent impaired multifamily mortgage loans, which are not measured at fair value on an ongoing basis but have been written down to fair value due to impairment. The valuation technique we use to measure the fair value of impaired multifamily mortgage loans, held-for-investment is based on the value of the underlying property and may include assessment of third-party appraisals, environmental, and engineering reports that we compare with relevant market performance to arrive at a fair value. Our valuation technique incorporates one or more of the following methods: income capitalization, discounted cash flow, sales comparables, and replacement cost. We consider the physical condition of the property, rent levels, and other market drivers, including input from sales brokers and the property manager. We classify impaired multifamily mortgage loans, held-for-investment as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy as their valuation includes significant unobservable inputs.

Derivative Assets, Net

Derivative assets largely consist of interest-rate swaps, option-based derivatives, futures, and forward purchase and sale commitments that we account for as derivatives. The carrying value of our derivatives on our consolidated balance sheets is equal to their fair value, including net derivative interest receivable or payable, trade/settle receivable or payable and is net of cash collateral held or posted, where allowable by a master netting agreement. Derivatives in a net unrealized gain position are reported as derivative assets, net. Similarly, derivatives in a net unrealized loss position are reported as derivative liabilities, net.

273 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

Interest-Rate Swaps and Option-Based Derivatives

The fair values of interest-rate swaps are determined by using the appropriate yield curves to discount the expected cash flows of both the fixed and variable rate components of the swap contracts. In doing so, we first observe publicly available market spot interest rates, such as money market rates, Eurodollar futures contracts and LIBOR swap rates. The spot curves are translated to forward curves using internal models. From the forward curves, the periodic cash flows are calculated on the pay and receive side of the swap and discounted back at the relevant forward rates to arrive at the fair value of the swap. Since the fair values of the swaps are determined by using observable inputs from active markets, these are generally classified as Level 2 under the fair value hierarchy.

Option-based derivatives include call and put swaptions and other option-based derivatives, the majority of which are European options. The fair values of the European call and put swaptions are calculated by using market observable interest rates and dealer-supplied interest rate volatility grids as inputs to our option-pricing models. Within each grid, prices are determined based on the option term of the underlying swap and the strike rate of the swap. Derivatives with embedded American options are valued using dealer-provided pricing grids. The grids contain prices corresponding to specified option terms of the underlying swaps and the strike rate of the swaps. Interpolation is used to calculate prices for positions for which specific grid points are not provided. Derivatives with embedded Bermudan options are valued based on prices provided directly by counterparties. Swaptions are classified as Level 2 under the fair value hierarchy. Other option-based derivatives include exchange-traded options that are valued by exchange-published daily closing prices. Therefore, exchange-traded options are classified as Level 1 under the fair value hierarchy. Other option-based derivatives also include purchased interest-rate cap and floor contracts that are valued by using observable market interest rates and cap and floor rate volatility grids obtained from dealers, and cancellable interest rate swaps that are valued by using dealer prices. Cap and floor contracts are classified as Level 2 and cancellable interest rate swaps with fair values using significant unobservable inputs are classified as Level 3 under the fair value hierarchy.

As of December 31, 2010, the fair value of our interest-rate swaps, before counterparty and cash collateral netting adjustments, was \$(17.5) billion. The fair value of option-based derivatives, before counterparty and cash collateral netting adjustments, was \$11.0 billion on December 31, 2010, with a remaining weighted-average life of 4.46 years. Table 20.5 below shows the fair value, prior to counterparty and cash collateral netting adjustments, for our interest-rate swaps and option-based derivatives and the maturity profile of our derivative positions. It also provides the weighted-average fixed rates of our pay-fixed and receive-fixed swaps.

Table 20.5 Fair Values and Maturities for Interest-Rate Swaps and Option-Based Derivatives

			Decemb	er 31, 2010					
				Fair	Fair Value ⁽¹⁾				
	Notional or			1 to 3	Greater than 3	In Excess			
	or Fair than Contractual Amount Value ⁽²⁾ 1 Year (dollars		1 Year	Years in millions)	and up to 5 Years	of 5 Years			
Interest-rate swaps: Receive-fixed: Swaps Weighted average fixed rate ⁽³⁾ Forward storting swaps ⁽⁴⁾	\$ 302,178	\$ 3,314	\$ 137 1.54%	\$ 534 1.12%	\$ 1,269 2.39%	\$ 1,374 3.66%			
Forward-starting swaps ⁽⁴⁾	22,412	371		123	(9)	257			

Edgar Filing: MINDSPEED TECHNOLOGIES, INC - Form 8-K

Weighted average fixed rate ⁽³⁾				3.47%	1.88%	4.19%
Basis (floating to floating)	2,375	4			4	
Pay-fixed:						
Swaps	338,035	(17,189)	(273)	(1,275)	(3,297)	(12,344)
Weighted-average fixed rate ⁽³⁾			3.11%	2.21%	3.04%	4.02%
Forward-starting swaps ⁽⁴⁾	56,259	(4,009)				(4,009)
Weighted-average fixed rate ⁽³⁾						4.54%
Total interest-rate swaps	\$ 721,259	\$ (17,509)	\$ (136)	\$ (618)	\$ (2,033)	\$ (14,722)
Option-based derivatives:						
Call swaptions	\$ 125,885	\$ 8,147	\$ 2,754	\$ 2,661	\$ 1,246	\$ 1,486
Put swaptions	65,975	1,396	136	451	226	583
Other option-based						
derivatives ⁽⁵⁾	47,234	1,450	(8)		(1)	1,459
Total option-based	\$ 239,094	\$ 10,993	\$ 2,882	\$ 3,112	\$ 1,471	\$ 3,528

- (1) Fair value is categorized based on the period from December 31, 2010 until the contractual maturity of the derivatives.
- (2) Represents fair value for each product type, prior to counterparty netting, cash collateral netting, net trade/settle or payable, and net derivative interest receivable or payable adjustments.
- (3) Represents the notional weighted average rate for the fixed leg of the swaps.
- (4) Represents interest-rate swap agreements that are scheduled to begin on future dates ranging from less than one year to fifteen years.
- (5) Primarily includes purchased interest rate caps and floors.

274 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

Other Derivatives

Other derivatives mainly consist of exchange-traded futures, foreign-currency swaps, certain forward purchase and sale commitments, and credit derivatives. The fair value of exchange-traded futures is based on end-of-day closing prices obtained from third-party pricing services; therefore, they are classified as Level 1 under the fair value hierarchy. The fair value of foreign-currency swaps is determined by using the appropriate yield curves to calculate and discount the expected cash flows for the swap contracts; therefore, they are classified as Level 2 under the fair value hierarchy since the fair values are determined through models that use observable inputs from active markets.

Certain purchase and sale commitments are also considered to be derivatives and are classified as Level 2 or Level 3 under the fair value hierarchy, depending on the fair value hierarchy classification of the purchased or sold item, whether a security or loan. Such valuation techniques are further discussed in the *Investments in Securities* section above and Valuation Methods and Assumptions Not Subject to Fair Value Hierarchy *Mortgage Loans*.

Credit derivatives primarily include purchased credit default swaps and certain short-term default guarantee commitments, which are valued using prices from the respective counterparty and verified using third-party dealer credit default spreads at the measurement date. We classify credit derivatives as Level 3 under the fair value hierarchy due to the inactive market and significant divergence among prices obtained from the dealers.

Consideration of Credit Risk in Our Valuation of Derivatives

The fair value of derivative assets considers the impact of institutional credit risk in the event that the counterparty does not honor its payment obligation. Additionally, the fair value of derivative liabilities considers the impact of our institutional credit risk. Based on this evaluation, our fair value of derivatives is not adjusted for credit risk because we obtain collateral from, or post collateral to, most counterparties, typically within one business day of the daily market value calculation, and substantially all of our credit risk arises from counterparties with investment-grade credit ratings of A or above. See NOTE 19: CONCENTRATION OF CREDIT AND OTHER RISKS for a discussion of our counterparty credit risk.

Other Assets, Guarantee Asset

Our guarantee asset is valued either through obtaining dealer quotes on similar securities or through an expected cash flow approach. Because of the broad range of liquidity discounts applied by dealers to these similar securities and because the expected cash flow valuation approach uses significant unobservable inputs, we classified the guarantee asset as Level 3.

REO, Net

REO is carried at the lower of its carrying amount or fair value less costs to sell. The fair value of REO is calculated using an internal model that considers state and collateral level data to produce an estimate of fair value based on REO dispositions in the most recent three months. We use the actual disposition prices on REO and the current loan UPB to estimate the current fair value of REO. Certain adjustments, such as state specific adjustments, are made to the estimated fair value, as applicable. Due to the use of unobservable inputs, REO is classified as Level 3 under the fair value hierarchy.

Debt Securities Recorded at Fair Value

We elected the fair value option for foreign-currency denominated debt instruments and certain other debt securities. See Fair Value Election *Debt Securities with Fair Value Option Elected* for additional information. We determine

the fair value of these instruments by obtaining multiple quotes from dealers. Since the prices provided by the dealers consider only observable data such as interest rates and exchange rates, these fair values are classified as Level 2 under the fair value hierarchy.

Derivative Liabilities, Net

See discussion under Derivative Assets, Net above.

Consolidated Fair Value Balance Sheets

The supplemental consolidated fair value balance sheets in Table 20.6 present our estimates of the fair value of our financial assets and liabilities at December 31, 2010 and 2009. The valuations of financial instruments on our consolidated fair value balance sheets are in accordance with the accounting standards for fair value measurements and disclosures and the accounting standards for financial instruments. The consolidated fair value balance sheets do not purport to present our net realizable, liquidation, or market value as a whole. Furthermore, amounts we ultimately realize from the disposition of assets or settlement of liabilities may vary significantly from the fair values presented.

During the second quarter of 2010 our fair value results as presented in our consolidated fair value balance sheets were affected by a change in the estimation of a risk premium assumption embedded in our model to apply credit costs, which led to a \$6.9 billion decrease in our fair value measurement of mortgage loans. For more information concerning our approach to valuation related to our mortgage loans, see Valuation Methods and Assumptions Not Subject to Fair Value Hierarchy *Mortgage Loans*.

275 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

Table 20.6 Consolidated Fair Value Balance Sheets

	December Carrying			, 2010	Decemb arrying	er 3	,
	A	mount ⁽¹⁾	Fa	ir Value (in bill	nount ⁽¹⁾		Fair Value
Assets							
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	37.0	\$	37.0	\$ 64.7	\$	64.7
Restricted cash and cash equivalents		8.1		8.1	0.5		0.5
Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements							
to resell		46.5		46.5	7.0		7.0
Investments in securities:							
Available-for-sale, at fair value		232.6		232.6	384.7		384.7
Trading, at fair value		60.3		60.3	222.2		222.2
Total investments in securities		292.9		292.9	606.9		606.9
Mortgage loans:							
Mortgage loans held by consolidated trusts		1,646.2		1,667.5			
Unsecuritized mortgage loans		198.7		191.5	127.9		119.9
Chisecultized mortgage found		170.7		171.5	127.7		117.7
Total mortgage loans		1,844.9		1,859.0	127.9		119.9
Derivative assets, net		0.1		0.1	0.2		0.2
Other assets		32.3		37.2	34.6		37.2
Total assets	\$	2,261.8	\$	2,280.8	\$ 841.8	\$	836.4
Liabilities							
Debt, net:							
Debt securities of consolidated trusts held by third parties	\$	1,528.7	\$	1,589.5	\$ 	\$	
Other debt		713.9		729.7	780.6		795.4
Total debt, net		2,242.6		2,319.2	780.6		795.4
Derivative liabilities, net		1.2		1.2	0.6		0.6
Other liabilities		18.4		19.0	56.2		102.9
Total liabilities		2,262.2		2,339.4	837.4		898.9
Net assets							
Net assets attributable to Freddie Mac:		(10		(10	517		51.7
Senior preferred stockholders		64.2		64.2	51.7		51.7
Preferred stockholders		14.1		0.3	14.1		0.5
Common stockholders		(78.7)		(123.1)	(61.5)		(114.7)
Total net assets attributable to Freddie Mac		(0.4)		(58.6)	4.3		(62.5)
Noncontrolling interest		. ,		. ,	0.1		. ,
-							

160

Total net assets (0.4) (58.6) 4.4 (62.5)

Total liabilities and net assets \$ 2.261.8 \$

(1) Equals the amount reported on our GAAP consolidated balance sheets.

Limitations

Our consolidated fair value balance sheets do not capture all elements of value that are implicit in our operations as a going concern because our consolidated fair value balance sheets only capture the values of the current investment and securitization portfolios as of the dates presented. For example, our consolidated fair value balance sheets do not capture the value of new investment and securitization business that would likely replace prepayments as they occur, nor do they include any estimation of intangible or goodwill values. Thus, the fair value of net assets attributable to stockholders presented on our consolidated fair value balance sheets does not represent an estimate of our net realizable, liquidation or market value as a whole.

The fair value of certain financial instruments is based on our assumed current principal exit market as of the dates presented. As new markets are developed, our assumed principal exit market may change. The use of different assumptions and methodologies to determine the fair values of certain financial instruments, including the use of different principal exit markets, could have a material impact on the fair value of net assets attributable to stockholders presented on our consolidated fair value balance sheets.

We report certain assets and liabilities that are not financial instruments (such as property and equipment and REO), as well as certain financial instruments that are not covered by the disclosure requirements in the accounting standards for financial instruments, such as pension liabilities, at their carrying amounts in accordance with GAAP on our consolidated fair value balance sheets. We believe these items do not have a significant impact on our overall fair value results. Other non-financial assets and liabilities on our GAAP consolidated balance sheets represent deferrals of costs and revenues that are amortized in accordance with GAAP, such as deferred debt issuance costs and deferred fees. Cash receipts and payments related to these items are generally recognized in the fair value of net assets when received or paid, with no basis reflected on our fair value balance sheets.

276 Freddie Mac

2.280.8

\$ 841.8

836.4

Table of Contents

Valuation Methods and Assumptions Not Subject to Fair Value Hierarchy

The following are valuation assumptions and methods for items not subject to the fair value hierarchy either because they are not measured at fair value other than on the fair value balance sheet or are only measured at fair value at inception.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents largely consist of highly liquid investment securities with an original maturity of three months or less used for cash management purposes, as well as cash held at financial institutions and cash collateral posted by our derivative counterparties. Given that these assets are short-term in nature with limited market value volatility, the carrying amount on our GAAP consolidated balance sheets is deemed to be a reasonable approximation of fair value.

Federal Funds Sold and Securities Purchased Under Agreements to Resell

Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell principally consist of short-term contractual agreements such as reverse repurchase agreements involving Treasury and agency securities and federal funds sold. Given that these assets are short-term in nature, the carrying amount on our GAAP consolidated balance sheets is deemed to be a reasonable approximation of fair value.

Mortgage Loans

Single-family mortgage loans are not subject to the fair value hierarchy since they are classified as held-for-investment and recorded at amortized cost. Certain multifamily mortgage loans are subject to the fair value hierarchy since these are either recorded at fair value with the fair value option elected or they are held for investment and recorded at fair value upon impairment, which is based upon the fair value of the collateral as multifamily loans are collateral-dependent.

Single-Family Loans

We determine the fair value of single-family mortgage loans as an estimate of the price we would receive if we were to securitize those loans, as we believe this represents the principal market for such loans. This includes both those held by consolidated trusts and unsecuritized loans and excludes single-family loans for which a contractual modification has been completed. Our estimate of fair value is based on comparisons to actively traded mortgage-related securities with similar characteristics. We adjust to reflect the excess coupon (implied management and guarantee fee) and credit obligation related to performing our guarantee.

To calculate the fair value, we begin with a security price derived from benchmark security pricing for similar actively traded mortgage-related securities, adjusted for yield, credit, and liquidity differences. This security pricing process is consistent with our approach for valuing similar securities retained in our investment portfolio or issued to third parties. See Valuation Methods and Assumptions Subject to Fair Value Hierarchy *Investments in Securities*.

We estimate the present value of the additional cash flows on the mortgage loan coupon in excess of the coupon on the mortgage-related securities. Our approach for estimating the fair value of the implied management and guarantee fee at December 31, 2010 used third-party market data as practicable. The valuation approach for the majority of implied management and guarantee fee that relates to fixed-rate loan products with coupons at or near current market rates involves obtaining dealer quotes on hypothetical securities constructed with collateral from our single-family credit guarantee portfolio. The remaining implied management and guarantee fee relates to underlying loan products

for which comparable market prices were not readily available. These amounts relate specifically to ARM products, highly seasoned loans, or fixed-rate loans with coupons that are not consistent with current market rates. This portion of the implied management and guarantee fee is valued using an expected cash flow approach, including only those cash flows expected to result from our contractual right to receive management and guarantee fees.

The implied management and guarantee fee for single-family mortgage loans is also net of the related credit and other costs (such as general and administrative expense) and benefits (such as credit enhancements) inherent in our guarantee obligation. We use entry-pricing information for all guaranteed loans that would qualify for purchase under current underwriting guidelines (used for the majority of the guaranteed loans, but accounts for a small share of the overall fair value of the guarantee obligation). For loans that do not qualify for purchase based on current underwriting guidelines, we use our internal credit models, which incorporate factors such as loan characteristics, loan performance status information, expected losses, and risk premiums without further adjustment (used for less than a majority of the guaranteed loans, but accounts for the largest share of the overall fair value of the guarantee obligation).

For single-family mortgage loans for which a contractual modification has been completed, we estimate fair value based on our estimate of prices we would receive if we were to sell these loans in the whole loan market, as this represents our current principal market for modified loans. These prices are obtained from multiple dealers who reference market activity, where available, for modified loans and use internal models and their judgment to determine default rates, severity rates, and risk premiums.

277 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

Multifamily Loans

For a discussion of the techniques used to determine the fair value of held-for-sale, and both impaired and non-impaired held-for-investment multifamily loans, see Valuation Methods and Assumptions Subject to Fair Value Hierarchy Mortgage Loans, Held-for-Investment and Mortgage Loans, Held-for-Sale, respectively.

Other Assets

Our other assets are not financial instruments required to be valued at fair value under the accounting standards for disclosures about the fair value of financial instruments, such as property and equipment. For most of these non-financial instruments in other assets, we use the carrying amounts from our GAAP consolidated balance sheets as the reported values on our consolidated fair value balance sheets, without any adjustment. These assets represent an insignificant portion of our GAAP consolidated balance sheets. Certain non-financial assets in other assets on our GAAP consolidated balance sheets are assigned a zero value on our consolidated fair value balance sheets. This treatment is applied to deferred items such as deferred debt issuance costs.

We adjust the GAAP-basis deferred taxes reflected on our consolidated fair value balance sheets to include estimated income taxes on the difference between our consolidated fair value balance sheets net assets attributable to common stockholders, including deferred taxes from our GAAP consolidated balance sheets, and our GAAP consolidated balance sheets equity attributable to common stockholders. To the extent the adjusted deferred taxes are a net asset, this amount is included in other assets. In addition, if our net deferred tax assets on our consolidated fair value balance sheets, calculated as described above, exceed our net deferred tax assets on our GAAP consolidated balance sheets that have been reduced by a valuation allowance, our net deferred tax assets on our consolidated fair value balance sheets are limited to the amount of our net deferred tax assets on our GAAP consolidated balance sheets. If the adjusted deferred taxes are a net liability, this amount is included in other liabilities.

Accrued interest receivable is one of the components included within other assets on our consolidated fair value balance sheets. On our GAAP consolidated balance sheets, we reverse accrued but uncollected interest income when a loan is placed on non-accrual status. There is no such reversal performed for the fair value of accrued interest receivable disclosed on our consolidated fair value balance sheets. Rather, the mechanism by which we consider the loan s non-accrual status is through our internally-modeled credit cost component of the loan s fair value. As a result, there is a difference between the accrued interest receivable GAAP-basis carrying amount and its fair value disclosed on our consolidated fair value balance sheets.

Total Debt, Net

Total debt, net represents debt securities of consolidated trusts held by third parties and other debt that we issued to finance our assets. On our consolidated GAAP balance sheets, total debt, net, excluding debt securities for which the fair value option has been elected, is reported at amortized cost, which is net of deferred items, including premiums, discounts, and hedging-related basis adjustments.

For fair value balance sheet purposes, we use the dealer-published quotes for a base TBA security, adjusted for the carry and pay-up price adjustments, to determine the fair value of the debt securities of consolidated trusts held by third parties. The valuation techniques we use are similar to the approach we use to value our investments in agency securities for GAAP purposes. See Valuation Methods and Assumptions Subject to Fair Value Hierarchy *Investment in Securities Agency Securities* for additional information regarding the valuation techniques we use.

Other debt includes both non-callable and callable debt, as well as short-term zero-coupon discount notes. The fair value of the short-term zero-coupon discount notes is based on a discounted cash flow model with market inputs. The

valuation of other debt securities represents the proceeds that we would receive from the issuance of debt and is generally based on market prices obtained from broker/dealers, reliable third-party pricing service providers or direct market observations. We elected the fair value option for foreign-currency denominated debt and certain other debt securities and reported them at fair value on our GAAP consolidated balance sheets. See Valuation Methods and Assumptions Subject to Fair Value Hierarchy *Debt Securities Recorded at Fair Value* for additional information.

Other Liabilities

Other liabilities consist of accrued interest payable on debt securities, the guarantee obligation for our other guarantee commitments and guarantees issued to non-consolidated entities, the reserve for guarantee losses on non-consolidated trusts, servicer advanced interest payable and certain other servicer liabilities, accounts payable and accrued expenses, payables related to securities, and other miscellaneous liabilities. We believe the carrying amount of these liabilities is a reasonable approximation of their fair value, except for the guarantee obligation for our other guarantee commitments and guarantees issued to non-consolidated entities. The technique for estimating the fair value of our guarantee obligation related to the credit component of the loan s fair value is described in the Mortgage Loans Single-Family Loans section.

278 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

Furthermore, certain deferred items reported as other liabilities on our GAAP consolidated balance sheets are assigned zero value on our consolidated fair value balance sheets, such as deferred fees. Also, as discussed in Other Assets, other liabilities may include a deferred tax liability adjusted for fair value balance sheet purposes.

Net Assets Attributable to Senior Preferred Stockholders

Our senior preferred stock held by Treasury in connection with the Purchase Agreement is recorded at the stated liquidation preference for purposes of the consolidated fair value balance sheets. As the senior preferred stock is restricted as to its redemption, we consider the liquidation preference to be the most appropriate measure for purposes of the consolidated fair value balance sheets.

Net Assets Attributable to Preferred Stockholders

To determine the preferred stock fair value, we use a market-based approach incorporating quoted dealer prices.

Net Assets Attributable to Common Stockholders

Net assets attributable to common stockholders is equal to the difference between the fair value of total assets and the sum of total liabilities reported on our consolidated fair value balance sheets, less the value of net assets attributable to senior preferred stockholders, the fair value attributable to preferred stockholders and the fair value of noncontrolling interests.

Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Subsidiaries

Noncontrolling interests in consolidated subsidiaries primarily represented preferred stock interests that third parties held in our two majority-owned REIT subsidiaries at December 31, 2009. The fair value of the third-party noncontrolling interests in these REITs on our consolidated fair value balance sheets at December 31, 2009 was based on Freddie Mac s preferred stock quotes. During the second quarter of 2010, the two REITs were eliminated via a merger transaction. As a result, there was no preferred stock of the REITs held by third party stockholders at December 31, 2010. For more information, see NOTE 16: NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS.

NOTE 21: LEGAL CONTINGENCIES

We are involved as a party to a variety of legal and regulatory proceedings arising from time to time in the ordinary course of business including, among other things, contractual disputes, personal injury claims, employment-related litigation and other legal proceedings incidental to our business. We are frequently involved, directly or indirectly, in litigation involving mortgage foreclosures. From time to time, we are also involved in proceedings arising from our termination of a seller/servicer s eligibility to sell mortgages to, and/or service mortgages for, us. In these cases, the former seller/servicer sometimes seeks damages against us for wrongful termination under a variety of legal theories. In addition, we are sometimes sued in connection with the origination or servicing of mortgages. These suits typically involve claims alleging wrongful actions of seller/servicers. Our contracts with our seller/servicers generally provide for indemnification against liability arising from their wrongful actions with respect to mortgages sold to Freddie Mac.

Litigation and claims resolution are subject to many uncertainties and are not susceptible to accurate prediction. In accordance with the accounting standards for contingencies, we reserve for litigation claims and assessments asserted or threatened against us when a loss is probable and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated.

Putative Securities Class Action Lawsuits

Ohio Public Employees Retirement System (OPERS) vs. Freddie Mac, Syron, et al. This putative securities class action lawsuit was filed against Freddie Mac and certain former officers on January 18, 2008 in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Ohio purportedly on behalf of a class of purchasers of Freddie Mac stock from August 1, 2006 through November 20, 2007. The plaintiff alleges that the defendants violated federal securities laws by making false and misleading statements concerning our business, risk management and the procedures we put into place to protect the company from problems in the mortgage industry. On April 10, 2008, the Court appointed OPERS as lead plaintiff and approved its choice of counsel. On September 2, 2008, defendants filed a motion to dismiss plaintiff s amended complaint. On November 7, 2008, the plaintiff filed a second amended complaint, which removed certain allegations against Richard Syron, Anthony Piszel, and Eugene McQuade, thereby leaving insider-trading allegations against only Patricia Cook. The second amended complaint also extends the damages period, but not the class period. The plaintiff seeks unspecified damages and interest, and reasonable costs and expenses, including attorney and expert fees. On November 19, 2008, the Court granted FHFA s motion to intervene in its capacity as Conservator. On April 6, 2009, defendants filed a motion to dismiss the second amended complaint, which motion remains pending.

Kuriakose vs. Freddie Mac, Syron, Piszel and Cook. Another putative class action lawsuit was filed against Freddie Mac and certain former officers on August 15, 2008 in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York for alleged violations of federal securities laws purportedly on behalf of a class of purchasers of Freddie Mac stock from November 21, 2007 through August 5, 2008. The plaintiff claims that defendants made false and misleading statements about Freddie

279 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

Mac s business that artificially inflated the price of Freddie Mac s common stock, and seeks unspecified damages, costs, and attorneys fees. On February 6, 2009, the Court granted FHFA s motion to intervene in its capacity as Conservator. On May 19, 2009, plaintiffs filed an amended consolidated complaint, purportedly on behalf of a class of purchasers of Freddie Mac stock from November 30, 2007 through September 7, 2008. Freddie Mac filed a motion to dismiss the complaint on February 24, 2010, which motion remains pending.

At present, it is not possible for us to predict the probable outcome of these lawsuits or any potential impact on our business, financial condition, or results of operations.

Shareholder Demand Letters

In late 2007 and early 2008, the Board of Directors received three letters from purported shareholders of Freddie Mac, which together contain allegations of corporate mismanagement and breaches of fiduciary duty in connection with the company s risk management, alleged false and misleading financial disclosures, and the alleged sale of stock based on material non-public information by certain current and former officers and directors of Freddie Mac. Collectively, the letters demanded that the board commence an independent investigation into the alleged conduct, institute legal proceedings to recover damages and unjust enrichment from board members, senior officers, Freddie Mac s outside auditors, and other parties who allegedly aided or abetted the improper conduct, and implement corporate governance initiatives to ensure that the alleged problems do not recur. Prior to the conservatorship, the Board of Directors formed a Special Litigation Committee, or SLC, to investigate the purported shareholders allegations, and engaged counsel for that purpose. Pursuant to the conservatorship, FHFA, as the Conservator, has succeeded to the powers of the Board of Directors, including the power to conduct investigations such as the one conducted by the SLC of the prior Board of Directors. The counsel engaged by the former SLC is continuing the investigation pursuant to instructions from FHFA. As described below, each of these purported shareholders subsequently filed lawsuits against Freddie Mac.

Shareholder Derivative Lawsuits

On July 24, 2008 and August 15, 2008, purported shareholders, The Adams Family Trust, Kevin Tashjian and the Louisiana Municipal Police Employees Retirement System, or LMPERS, filed two derivative lawsuits in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia against certain current and former officers and directors of Freddie Mac, with Freddie Mac named as a nominal defendant in the actions. On October 15, 2008, the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia consolidated these two cases. Previously, on March 10, 2008, a purported shareholder, Robert Bassman, had filed a similar shareholder derivative lawsuit in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York, which was subsequently transferred to the Eastern District of Virginia and then, on December 12, 2008, consolidated with the cases filed by The Adams Family Trust, Kevin Tashjian, and LMPERS. While no consolidated complaint has yet been filed, the complaints collectively assert claims for breach of fiduciary duty, negligence, violations of federal securities laws, violations of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and unjust enrichment. Those claims are based on allegations that defendants failed to implement and/or maintain sufficient risk management and other controls; failed to adequately reserve for uncollectible loans and other risks of loss; and made false and misleading statements regarding the company s exposure to the subprime market, the strength of the company s risk management and internal controls, and the company s underwriting standards in response to alleged abuses in the subprime market. The plaintiffs also allege that certain of the defendants breached their fiduciary duties and unjustly enriched themselves through their salaries, bonuses, benefits and other compensation, and sale of stock based on material non-public information. The complaints seek unspecified damages, equitable relief, the imposition of a constructive trust for the proceeds of alleged insider stock sales, an accounting, restitution, disgorgement, declaratory relief, an order requiring reform and improvement of corporate governance, punitive damages, costs, interest, and attorneys, accountants and experts fees.

After FHFA successfully intervened in these consolidated actions in its capacity as Conservator, it filed a motion to substitute for plaintiffs. On July 27, 2009, the District Court entered an order granting FHFA s motion, and on August 20, 2009, the plaintiffs filed an appeal of that order. On October 29, 2009, FHFA filed a motion to dismiss the appeal for lack of appellate jurisdiction, which motion remains pending. On November 16, 2009, the District Court issued an order granting the parties consent motion to stay all proceedings, including the deadlines for the defendants to answer or otherwise respond to the complaints, which stay was extended by the District Court until February 1, 2011. On February 1, 2011, FHFA filed a status report with the District Court requesting that it extend the stay until March 2, 2011. The District Court granted this request on February 16, 2011.

On June 6, 2008, a purported shareholder, the Esther Sadowsky Testamentary Trust, filed a shareholder derivative complaint in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York against certain former officers and current and former directors of Freddie Mac. Plaintiff asserts claims for alleged breach of fiduciary duty and declaratory and injunctive relief, based on allegations that defendants caused the company to violate its charter by engaging in unsafe, unsound and improper speculation in high risk mortgages to boost near term profits, report growth in the company s mortgage-related

280 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

investments portfolio and guarantee business, and take market share away from its primary competitor, Fannie Mae. Among other things, plaintiff seeks an accounting, an order requiring that defendants remit all salary and compensation received during the periods they allegedly breached their duties, and an award of pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, attorneys fees, expert fees and consulting fees, and other costs and expenses. On November 13, 2008, FHFA filed a motion to substitute for the Esther Sadowsky Testamentary Trust. On February 26, 2009, Robert Bassman filed a motion with the District Court to intervene or, in the alternative, to appear as amicus curiae. On May 6, 2009, the District Court granted FHFA s motion to substitute and denied Bassman s motion to intervene. On June 4, 2009, the Esther Sadowsky Testamentary Trust filed a notice of appeal of the May 6 order granting FHFA s substitution motion. On September 17, 2009, Bassman filed a notice of appeal of the May 6 order denying his motion to intervene or appear as amicus curiae. On March 10, 2010, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit granted FHFA s motion to dismiss the appeal of the Esther Sadowsky Testamentary Trust and dismissed that appeal on April 12, 2010 due to lack of jurisdiction. On October 28, 2010, the District Court granted FHFA s motion to extend the stay through February 1, 2011. On February 1, 2011, FHFA filed a status report with the District Court requesting that it extend the stay until March 2, 2011. The District Court granted this request on February 2, 2011.

At present, it is not possible for us to predict the probable outcome of these lawsuits or any potential impact on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Energy Lien Litigation

On July 14, 2010, the State of California filed a lawsuit against Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, FHFA, and others in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California, alleging that Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac committed unfair business practices in violation of California law by asserting that property liens arising from government-sponsored energy initiatives such as California s Property Assessed Clean Energy, or PACE program cannot take priority over a mortgage to be sold to Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac. The lawsuit contends that the PACE programs create liens superior to such mortgages and that, by affirming Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac s positions, FHFA has violated the National Environmental Policy Act, or NEPA, and the Administrative Procedure Act, or APA. The complaint seeks declaratory and injunctive relief, costs and such other relief as the court deems proper.

Similar complaints have been filed by other parties. On July 26, 2010, the County of Sonoma filed a lawsuit against Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, FHFA, and others in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California, alleging similar violations of California law, NEPA, and the APA. In a filing dated September 23, 2010, the County of Placer moved to intervene in the Sonoma County lawsuit as a party plaintiff seeking to assert similar claims, which motion was granted on November 1, 2010. On October 1, 2010, the City of Palm Desert filed a similar complaint against Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, and FHFA in the Northern District of California. On October 8, 2010, Leon County and the Leon County Energy Improvement District filed a similar complaint against Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, FHFA, and others in the Northern District of Florida. On October 12, 2010, FHFA filed a motion before the Judicial Panel on Multi-District Litigation seeking an order transferring these cases as well as a related case filed only against FHFA, for coordination or consolidation of pretrial proceedings. This motion was denied on February 8, 2011. On October 14, 2010, the defendants filed a motion to dismiss the lawsuits pending in the Northern District of California. Also on October 14, 2010, the County of Sonoma filed a motion for preliminary injunction seeking to enjoin the defendants from giving any force or effect in Sonoma County to certain directives by FHFA regarding energy retrofit loan programs and other related relief. On October 26, 2010, the Town of Babylon filed a similar complaint against Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, and FHFA, as well as the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York.

The defendants have filed motions to dismiss the lawsuits brought in the Northern District of California and the Northern District of Florida; responses to the other complaints are not yet required. On December 23, 2010, the

Northern District of California granted the parties joint stipulation dismissing as defendants the individual officers of Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae from the State of California and County of Sonoma matters. On December 17, 2010, the judge handling the cases in the Northern District of California requested a position statement from the United States, which was filed on February 8, 2011.

At present, it is not possible for us to predict the probable outcome of these lawsuits or any potential impact on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Government Investigations and Inquiries

On September 26, 2008, Freddie Mac received a federal grand jury subpoena from the U.S. Attorney s Office for the Southern District of New York. The subpoena sought documents relating to accounting, disclosure, and corporate governance matters for the period beginning January 1, 2007. Subsequently, we were informed that the subpoena was withdrawn, and that an investigation is being conducted by the U.S. Attorney s Office for the Eastern District of Virginia. On September 26, 2008, Freddie Mac received notice from the Staff of the Enforcement Division of the SEC that it is also conducting an

281 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

inquiry to determine whether there has been any violation of federal securities laws, and directing the company to preserve documents. On October 21, 2008, the SEC issued to the company a request for documents. The SEC staff has also conducted interviews of company employees. Beginning January 23, 2009, the SEC issued subpoenas to Freddie Mac and certain of its employees pursuant to a formal order of investigation. Freddie Mac is cooperating fully in these matters.

Freddie Mac has been informed by Donald J. Bisenius, Executive Vice President Single Family Credit Guarantee, that on February 10, 2011, he received a Wells Notice from the SEC staff in connection with the investigation. The Wells Notice indicates that the staff is considering recommending that the SEC bring civil enforcement action against Mr. Bisenius for possible violations of the federal securities laws and related rules that are alleged to have occurred in 2007 and 2008.

Under the SEC s procedures, a recipient of a Wells Notice has an opportunity to respond in the form of a written submission that seeks to persuade the SEC staff that no action should be commenced. Mr. Bisenius has informed the company that he intends to make such a submission.

Related Third Party Litigation and Indemnification Requests

On December 15, 2008, a plaintiff filed a putative class action lawsuit in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York against certain former Freddie Mac officers and others styled *Jacoby v. Syron, Cook, Piszel, Banc of America Securities LLC, JP Morgan Chase & Co., and FTN Financial Markets*. The complaint, as amended on December 17, 2008, contends that the defendants made material false and misleading statements in connection with Freddie Mac s September 2007 offering of non-cumulative, non-convertible, perpetual fixed-rate preferred stock, and that such statements grossly overstated Freddie Mac s capitalization and failed to disclose Freddie Mac s exposure to mortgage-related losses, poor underwriting standards and risk management procedures. The complaint further alleges that Syron, Cook, and Piszel made additional false statements following the offering. Freddie Mac is not named as a defendant in this lawsuit, but the underwriters previously gave notice to Freddie Mac of their intention to seek full indemnity and contribution under the Underwriting Agreement in this case, including reimbursement of fees and disbursements of their legal counsel. The case is currently dormant and we believe plaintiff may have abandoned it.

By letter dated October 17, 2008, Freddie Mac received formal notification of a putative class action securities lawsuit, *Mark v. Goldman, Sachs & Co., J.P. Morgan Chase & Co., and Citigroup Global Markets Inc.*, filed on September 23, 2008, in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York, regarding the company s November 29, 2007 public offering of 8.375% Fixed to Floating Rate Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock.

On January 29, 2009, a plaintiff filed a putative class action lawsuit in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York styled *Kreysar v. Syron, et al.* On April 30, 2009, the Court consolidated the Mark case with the Kreysar case, and the plaintiffs filed a consolidated class action complaint on July 2, 2009. The consolidated complaint alleges that three former Freddie Mac officers, certain underwriters and Freddie Mac s auditor violated federal securities laws by making material false and misleading statements in connection with an offering by Freddie Mac of \$6 billion of 8.375% Fixed to Floating Rate Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock Series Z that commenced on November 29, 2007. The complaint further alleges that certain defendants and others made additional false statements following the offering. The complaint names as defendants Syron, Piszel, Cook, Goldman, Sachs & Co., JPMorgan Securities Inc., Banc of America Securities LLC, Citigroup Global Markets Inc., Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated, UBS Securities LLC and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP.

The defendants filed a motion to dismiss the consolidated class action complaint on September 30, 2009. On January 14, 2010, the Court granted the defendants motion to dismiss the consolidated action with leave to file an

amended complaint on or before March 15, 2010. On March 15, 2010, plaintiffs filed their amended consolidated complaint against these same defendants. The defendants moved to dismiss the amended consolidated complaint on April 28, 2010. On July 29, 2010, the Court granted the defendants motion to dismiss, without prejudice, and allowed the plaintiffs leave to replead. On August 16, 2010, the plaintiffs filed their second amended consolidated complaint against these same defendants. The defendants moved to dismiss the second amended consolidated complaint on September 16, 2010. On October 22, 2010, the Court granted the defendants motion to dismiss, without prejudice, again allowing the plaintiffs leave to replead. On November 14, 2010, the plaintiffs filed a third amended consolidated complaint against PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, Syron and Piszel, omitting the underwriter defendants and Cook. On January 11, 2011, the Court granted the remaining defendants motion to dismiss the complaint with respect to PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, but denied the motion with respect to Syron and Piszel. Freddie Mac is not named as a defendant in the consolidated lawsuit, but the underwriters previously gave notice to Freddie Mac of their intention to seek full indemnity and contribution under the Underwriting Agreement in this case, including reimbursement of fees and disbursements of their legal counsel. At present, it is not possible for us to predict the probable outcome of the lawsuit or any potential impact on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

282 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

Lehman Bankruptcy

On September 15, 2008, Lehman filed a chapter 11 bankruptcy petition in the Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York. Thereafter, many of Lehman s U.S. subsidiaries and affiliates also filed bankruptcy petitions (collectively, the Lehman Entities). Freddie Mac had numerous relationships with the Lehman Entities which give rise to several claims. On September 22, 2009, Freddie Mac filed proofs of claim in the Lehman bankruptcies aggregating approximately \$2.1 billion. On April 14, 2010, Lehman filed its chapter 11 plan and disclosure statement, providing for the liquidation of the bankruptcy estate s assets over the next three years. On January 25, 2011, Lehman filed its first amended plan and disclosure statement. The plan and disclosure statement are subject to court approval.

Taylor, Bean & Whitaker Bankruptcy

On August 24, 2009, TBW filed for bankruptcy in the Bankruptcy Court for the Middle District of Florida. Prior to that date, Freddie Mac had terminated TBW s status as a seller/servicer of loans. On or about June 14, 2010, Freddie Mac filed a proof of claim in the TBW bankruptcy aggregating \$1.78 billion. Of this amount, about \$1.15 billion relates to current and projected repurchase obligations and about \$440 million relates to funds deposited with Colonial Bank, or with the FDIC as its receiver, which are attributable to mortgage loans owned or guaranteed by us and previously serviced by TBW. The remaining \$190 million represents miscellaneous costs and expenses incurred in connection with the dissolution of TBW. On July 1, 2010, TBW filed a comprehensive final reconciliation report in the bankruptcy court indicating, among other things, that approximately \$203 million in funds held in bank accounts maintained by TBW related to its servicing of Freddie Mac s loans and was potentially available to pay Freddie Mac s claims. We have analyzed the report and, as necessary and appropriate, may revise the amount of our claim.

Both TBW and Bank of America, N.A., which is also a claimant in the TBW bankruptcy, have sought discovery against Freddie Mac. While no actions against Freddie Mac related to TBW have been initiated in bankruptcy court or elsewhere to recover assets, TBW and Bank of America, N.A. have indicated that they wish to determine whether the bankruptcy estate of TBW has any potential rights to seek to recover assets transferred by TBW to Freddie Mac prior to bankruptcy. TBW has also indicated to us that it may file an action to recover certain monies paid to us prior to the bankruptcy. At this time, we are unable to estimate our potential exposure, if any, to such claims.

On or about May 14, 2010, certain underwriters of Lloyds of London brought an adversary proceeding in bankruptcy court against TBW, Freddie Mac and other parties seeking a declaration rescinding mortgage bankers bonds insuring against loss resulting from dishonest acts by TBW s officers and directors. Several excess insurers on the bonds thereafter filed similar claims in that action. Freddie Mac has filed a proof of loss under the bonds, but we are unable to estimate our potential recovery, if any, thereunder. Discovery in the proceeding has been stayed at the request of the U.S. Department of Justice, pending completion of a criminal trial involving the former chief executive officer of TBW.

For more information, see NOTE 19: CONCENTRATION OF CREDIT AND OTHER RISKS Seller/Servicers.

IRS Litigation

We received Statutory Notices from the IRS assessing \$3.0 billion of additional income taxes and penalties for the 1998 to 2005 tax years. We filed a petition with the U.S. Tax Court in October 2010 in response to the Statutory Notices. The IRS responded to our petition with the U.S. Tax Court in December 2010. We continue to seek resolution of the controversy by settlement. For information on this matter, see NOTE 14: INCOME TAXES.

NOTE 22: EARNINGS (LOSS) PER SHARE

We have participating securities related to options and restricted stock units with dividend equivalent rights that receive dividends as declared on an equal basis with common shares but are not obligated to participate in undistributed net losses. Consequently, in accordance with accounting standards for earnings per share, we use the two-class method of computing earnings per share. Basic earnings per common share are computed by dividing net loss attributable to common stockholders by weighted average common shares outstanding basic for the period. The weighted average common shares outstanding basic during the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 include the weighted average number of shares during the periods that are associated with the warrant for our common stock issued to Treasury as part of the Purchase Agreement since the warrant is unconditionally exercisable by the holder at a minimal cost. See NOTE 3: CONSERVATORSHIP AND RELATED MATTERS for further information.

Diluted loss per common share is computed as net loss attributable to common stockholders divided by weighted average common shares outstanding diluted for the period, which considers the effect of dilutive common equivalent shares outstanding. For periods with net income, the effect of dilutive common equivalent shares outstanding includes:

(a) the weighted average shares related to stock options; and (b) the weighted average of restricted shares and restricted stock units. Such items are included in the calculation of weighted average common shares outstanding diluted during periods of net income, when the assumed conversion of the share equivalents has a dilutive effect. Such items are excluded from the

283 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

weighted average common shares outstanding basic. For a discussion of our significant accounting policies regarding our calculation of earnings per common share, see NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES Earnings Per Common Share.

Table 22.1 Loss Per Common Share Basic and Diluted

		2010		ded Decembe 2009	2008				
	(dollars in millions, except per share amounts)								
Net loss attributable to Freddie Mac Preferred stock dividends ⁽¹⁾ Amount allocated to participating security option holders	\$	(14,025) (5,749)	\$	(21,553) (4,105)	\$	(50,119) (675) (1)			
Net loss attributable to common stockholders	\$	(19,774)	\$	(25,658)	\$	(50,795)			
Weighted average common shares outstanding basic (in thousands) ⁽²⁾ Dilutive potential common shares (in thousands)		3,249,369		3,253,836		1,468,062			
Weighted average common shares outstanding diluted (in thousands)		3,249,369		3,253,836		1,468,062			
Antidilutive potential common shares excluded from the computation of dilutive potential common shares (in thousands) Basic loss per common share Diluted loss per common share	\$	5,290 (6.09) (6.09)	\$ \$	7,541 (7.89) (7.89)	\$	10,611 (34.60) (34.60)			

- (1) Consistent with the covenants of the Purchase Agreement, we paid dividends on our senior preferred stock, but did not declare dividends on any other series of preferred stock outstanding subsequent to entering conservatorship.
- (2) Includes the weighted average number of shares during 2010 and 2009 respectively that are associated with the warrant for our common stock issued to Treasury as part of the Purchase Agreement. This warrant is included in shares outstanding basic, since it is unconditionally exercisable by the holder at a minimal cost of \$0.00001 per share.

NOTE 23: SELECTED FINANCIAL STATEMENT LINE ITEMS

As discussed in NOTE 2: CHANGE IN ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES, we adopted amendments to the accounting standards for transfers of financial assets and consolidation of VIEs effective January 1, 2010. As a result of this change in accounting principles, certain line items on our consolidated statements of operations, consolidated balance sheets, and consolidated statements of cash flows are no longer material to our 2010 consolidated results of operations, financial position, and cash flows.

As this change in accounting principles was applied prospectively, the results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2010 reflect the consolidation of our single-family PC trusts and certain Other Guarantee Transactions while the results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 reflect the accounting policies in effect at that time, *i.e.*, these securitization entities were accounted for off-balance sheet. Table 23.1 highlights the

significant line items that are no longer disclosed separately on our consolidated statements of operations.

Table 23.1 Line Items No Longer Disclosed Separately on our Consolidated Statements of Operations

		e Year En ember 31	ì
	2010	2009 millions)	2008
Other income:			
Management and guarantee income	\$ 143	\$ 3,033	\$ 3,370
Gains (losses) on guarantee asset	(61)	3,299	(7,091)
Income on guarantee obligation	135	3,479	4,826
Gains (losses) on sale of mortgage loans	267	745	117
Lower-of-cost-or-fair-value adjustments on held-for-sale mortgage loans		(679)	(30)
Gains (losses) on mortgage loans recorded at fair value	(249)	(190)	(14)
Recoveries on loans impaired upon purchase	806	379	495
Low-income housing tax credit partnerships		(4,155)	(453)
Trust management income (expense)		(761)	(70)
All other	819	222	195
Total other income per consolidated statements of operations	\$ 1,860	\$ 5,372	\$ 1,345
Other expenses:			
Losses on loans purchased	\$ 25	\$ 4,754	\$ 1,634
Securities administrator loss on investment activity ⁽¹⁾			1,082
All other	688	483	435
Total other expenses per consolidated statements of operations	\$ 713	\$ 5,237	\$ 3,151

⁽¹⁾ Represents losses we recognized in 2008 on investments made by us in Lehman on behalf of our securitization trusts. See NOTE 19: CONCENTRATION OF CREDIT AND OTHER RISKS for additional information.

284 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

Table 23.2 highlights the significant line items that are no longer disclosed separately on our consolidated balance sheets.

Table 23.2 Line Items No Longer Disclosed Separately on our Consolidated Balance Sheets

	December 31, 2010 (in n			December 31, 2009 millions)		
Other assets:	ď	541	¢	10 444		
Guarantee asset All other ⁽¹⁾	\$	541 10,334	\$	10,444 4,942		
Total other assets per consolidated balance sheets	\$	10,875	\$	15,386		
Other liabilities:						
Guarantee obligation	\$	625	\$	12,465		
Reserve for guarantee losses		235		32,416		
All other ⁽²⁾		7,238		6,291		
Total other liabilities per consolidated balance sheets	\$	8,098	\$	51,172		

- (1) Includes accounts and other receivables of \$8.7 billion and \$2.8 billion at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.
- (2) Includes servicer advanced interest payable and certain other servicer liabilities of \$4.5 billion and \$0 billion at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Includes accounts payable and accrued expenses of \$1.8 billion and \$5.6 billion at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Table 23.3 highlights the significant line items that are no longer disclosed separately on our consolidated statements of cash flows.

Table 23.3 Line Items No Longer Disclosed Separately on our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

	For The Year Ended December 31,					
	2010	2009 (in millions)	2008			
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash from operating activities:						
Low-income housing tax credit partnerships	\$	\$ 4,155	\$ 453			
Losses on loans purchased	25	4,754	1,634			
Change in:						
Due to PCs and REMICs and Other Structured Securities trusts	14	250	(623)			
Guarantee asset, at fair value	(121)	(5,597)	4,744			
Guarantee obligation	(17)	(183)	(1,470)			
Other, net	(134)	(461)	(170)			

Total other, net \$ (233) \$ 2,918 \$ 4,568

END OF CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND ACCOMPANYING NOTES

285 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

QUARTERLY SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

		1Q (in	mi	2Q illions, ex	cep	2010 3Q et share-r	elat	4Q ed amou		ull-Year
Net interest income Provision for credit losses Non-interest income (loss) Non-interest expense Income tax benefit (expense) Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	\$	4,125 (5,396) (4,854) (667) 103	\$	4,136 (5,029) (3,627) (479) 286	\$	4,279 (3,727) (2,646) (828) 411	\$	4,316 (3,066) (461) (958) 56	\$	16,856 (17,218) (11,588) (2,932) 856
Net loss attributable to Freddie Mac	\$	(6,688)	\$	(4,713)	\$	(2,511)	\$	(113)	\$	(14,025)
Net loss attributable to common stockholders	\$	(7,980)	\$	(6,009)	\$	(4,069)	\$	(1,716)	\$	(19,774)
Loss per common share: ⁽¹⁾ Basic Diluted	\$ \$	(2.45) (2.45)	\$ \$	(1.85) (1.85)	\$ \$	(1.25) (1.25)	\$ \$	(0.53) (0.53)	\$ \$	(6.09) (6.09)
		1Q	•	2Q		2009 3Q	•	4Q		ull-Year
		_	mil	_	cept		elate	_		ull-Year
Net interest income Provision for credit losses Non-interest income (loss) Non-interest expense Income tax benefit (expense) Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling	\$	_	mil \$	llions, exc	s	3Q t share-re	\$	ed amour		17,073 (29,530) (2,732) (7,195) 830
Provision for credit losses Non-interest income (loss) Non-interest expense	\$	(in 3,859 (8,915) (3,088) (2,768)		4,255 (5,665) 3,215 (1,688)	_	3Q t share-re 4,462 (7,973) (1,082) (965)		4,497 (6,977) (1,777) (1,774)	ıts)	17,073 (29,530) (2,732) (7,195)
Provision for credit losses Non-interest income (loss) Non-interest expense Income tax benefit (expense) Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling	\$	(in 3,859 (8,915) (3,088) (2,768)		4,255 (5,665) 3,215 (1,688) 184	\$	3Q t share-re 4,462 (7,973) (1,082) (965) 149	\$	4,497 (6,977) (1,777) (1,774) (440)	\$ \$	17,073 (29,530) (2,732) (7,195) 830
Provision for credit losses Non-interest income (loss) Non-interest expense Income tax benefit (expense) Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	\$	(in 3,859 (8,915) (3,088) (2,768) 937	\$	4,255 (5,665) 3,215 (1,688) 184	\$	3Q t share-re 4,462 (7,973) (1,082) (965) 149	\$	4,497 (6,977) (1,777) (1,774) (440)	\$ \$	17,073 (29,530) (2,732) (7,195) 830
Provision for credit losses Non-interest income (loss) Non-interest expense Income tax benefit (expense) Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests Net loss attributable to Freddie Mac	\$	(in 3,859 (8,915) (3,088) (2,768) 937	\$	4,255 (5,665) 3,215 (1,688) 184 1	\$	3Q t share-re 4,462 (7,973) (1,082) (965) 149 1 (5,408)	\$	4,497 (6,977) (1,777) (1,774) (440) (1) (6,472)	\$ \$	17,073 (29,530) (2,732) (7,195) 830 1 (21,553)

⁽¹⁾ Earnings (loss) per common share is computed independently for each of the quarters presented. Due to the use of weighted average common shares outstanding when calculating earnings (loss) per share, the sum of the four quarters may not equal the full-year amount. Earnings (loss) per common share amounts may not recalculate using the amounts in this table due to rounding.

286 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that the information we are required to disclose in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified by the SEC rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to senior management, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing our disclosure controls and procedures, we recognize that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and we must apply judgment in implementing possible controls and procedures.

Management, including the company s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2010. As a result of management s evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of December 31, 2010, at a reasonable level of assurance, because our disclosure controls and procedures did not adequately ensure the accumulation and communication to management of information known to FHFA that is needed to meet our disclosure obligations under the federal securities laws. We have not been able to update our disclosure controls and procedures to provide reasonable assurance that information known by FHFA on an ongoing basis is communicated from FHFA to Freddie Mac s management in a manner that allows for timely decisions regarding our required disclosure. Based on discussions with FHFA and the structural nature of this continuing weakness, it is likely that we will not remediate this weakness in our disclosure controls and procedures while we are under conservatorship. As noted below, we also consider this situation to be a continuing material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting.

Management s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f). Internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer and effected by the Board of Directors, management and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of our financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with GAAP.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting cannot provide absolute assurance of preventing or detecting all misstatements. It is a process that involves human diligence and compliance and is, therefore, subject to lapses in judgment and breakdowns resulting from human error. It also can be circumvented by collusion or improper override. Because of its limitations, there is a risk that internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect on a timely basis errors or fraud that could cause a material misstatement of the financial statements.

We assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010. In making our assessment, we used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway

Commission, or COSO, in *Internal Control* Integrated Framework. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the company s annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis by a company s internal controls. Based on our assessment, we identified a material weakness related to our inability to update our disclosure controls and procedures in a manner that adequately ensures the accumulation and communication to management of information known to FHFA that is needed to meet our disclosure obligations under the federal securities laws, including disclosures affecting our consolidated financial statements.

We have been under conservatorship of FHFA since September 6, 2008. FHFA is an independent agency that currently functions as both our Conservator and our regulator with respect to our safety, soundness and mission. Because we are in conservatorship, some of the information that we may need to meet our disclosure obligations may be solely within the knowledge of FHFA. As our Conservator, FHFA has the power to take actions without our knowledge that could be material to investors and could significantly affect our financial performance. Although we and FHFA have attempted to design and implement disclosure policies and procedures that would account for the conservatorship and accomplish the same objectives as disclosure controls and procedures for a typical reporting company, there are inherent structural limitations on our ability to design, implement, test or operate effective disclosure controls and procedures under the current circumstances. As our Conservator and regulator, FHFA is limited in its ability to design and implement a complete set of disclosure controls and procedures relating to us, particularly with respect to current reporting pursuant to Form 8-K. Similarly, as a regulated entity,

287 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

we are limited in our ability to design, implement, operate and test the controls and procedures for which FHFA is responsible. For example, FHFA may formulate certain intentions with respect to the conduct of our business that, if known to management, would require consideration for disclosure or reflection in our financial statements, but that FHFA, for regulatory reasons, may be constrained from communicating to management. As a result, we did not maintain effective controls and procedures designed to ensure complete and accurate disclosure as required by GAAP as of December 31, 2010.

Because of this material weakness, we have concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was not effective as of December 31, 2010 based on the COSO criteria. PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, audited the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010 and also determined that our internal control over financial reporting was not effective. PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP s report appears in FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.

Mitigating Actions Related to the Material Weakness in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

As described under Management s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting, we have not remediated the material weakness related to our disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2010. Given the structural nature of this weakness, we believe it is likely that we will not remediate this material weakness while we are under conservatorship. However, both we and FHFA have continued to engage in activities and employ procedures and practices intended to permit accumulation and communication to management of information needed to meet our disclosure obligations under the federal securities laws. These include the following:

FHFA has established the Office of Conservator Affairs, which is intended to facilitate operation of the company with the oversight of the Conservator.

We provide drafts of our SEC filings to FHFA personnel for their review and comment prior to filing. We also provide drafts of external press releases, statements and speeches to FHFA personnel for their review and comment prior to release.

FHFA personnel, including senior officials, review our SEC filings prior to filing, including this annual report on Form 10-K, and engage in discussions regarding issues associated with the information contained in those filings. Prior to filing this annual report on Form 10-K, FHFA provided us with a written acknowledgement that it had reviewed the annual report on Form 10-K, was not aware of any material misstatements or omissions in the annual report on Form 10-K, and had no objection to our filing the annual report on Form 10-K.

The Acting Director of FHFA is in frequent communication with our Chief Executive Officer, typically meeting (in person or by phone) on a weekly basis.

FHFA representatives hold frequent meetings, typically weekly, with various groups within the company to enhance the flow of information and to provide oversight on a variety of matters, including accounting, capital markets management, external communications and legal matters.

Senior officials within FHFA s accounting group meet frequently, typically weekly, with our senior financial executives regarding our accounting policies, practices and procedures.

In view of our mitigating activities related to the material weakness, we believe that our consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2010, have been prepared in conformity with GAAP.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting During the Quarter Ended December 31, 2010

We evaluated the changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended December 31, 2010 and concluded that there were no matters that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting. Subsequent to year end, Bruce M. Witherell resigned from his position and responsibilities as our Chief Operating Officer effective February 9, 2011.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

Election of Directors

Upon the appointment of FHFA as our Conservator on September 6, 2008, the Conservator immediately succeeded to all rights, titles, powers and privileges of Freddie Mac, and of any stockholder, officer or director thereof, with respect to the company and its assets, including, without limitation, the right of holders of our common stock to vote with respect to the election of directors and any other matter for which stockholder approval is required or deemed advisable.

288 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

On February 17, 2011, the Conservator executed a written consent re-electing each of the then-current directors as members of our Board of Directors, effective as of that date. The individuals elected by the Conservator for another term as directors are listed below.

Linda B. Bammann
Carolyn H. Byrd
Robert R. Glauber
Charles E. Haldeman, Jr.
Laurence E. Hirsch
John A. Koskinen
Christopher S. Lynch
Nicolas P. Retsinas
Clayton S. Rose
Eugene B. Shanks, Jr.
Anthony A. Williams

The terms of the directors elected under the February 17, 2011 consent will continue until the date of the next annual meeting of stockholders or the Conservator next elects directors by written consent, whichever occurs first.

2011 Target Compensation for Named Executive Officers

The table below sets forth the approved 2011 Semi-Monthly Base Salary, Deferred Base Salary, Target Incentive Opportunity, and Total Direct Compensation (as those terms are defined in our Executive Compensation Plan) for each officer who is a Named Executive Officer for the year ended December 31, 2010. With the approval of FHFA in consultation with Treasury, we approved the 2011 target compensation for Messrs. Haldeman, Kari, Bostrom, and Federico (each of whom was also a Named Executive Officer for the year ended December 31, 2009) on February 22, 2011 and approved the 2011 target compensation for Mr. Bisenius on January 18, 2011.

Table 68 2011 Target Compensation for Named Executive Officers

	2011 Target Total Direct Compensation			sation		
			Semi-		Target	Target Total
			Monthly	Deferred	Incentive	Direct
Named Executive Officer	Title		Base Salary	Base Salary	Opportunity	Compensation
Charles E. Haldeman, Jr.	CEO		\$ 900,000	\$ 3,100,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 6,000,000
Ross J. Kari	CFO		675,000	1,658,333	1,166,667	3,500,000
Robert E. Bostrom	EVP	General	500,000	1,333,333	916,667	2,750,000
	Counsel & Corporate					
	Secret	ary				
Peter J. Federico	EVP	Investments &	400,000	1,340,000	870,000	2,610,000
	Capita	Capital Markets and				
	Treasi	urer				
Donald J. Bisenius	EVP	Single-Family	400,000	1,100,000	750,000	2,250,000
	Credit	Guarantee				

Departure of Named Executive Officer

Mr. Bisenius has notified us that he plans to leave Freddie Mac effective April 1, 2011. He will continue to perform his duties as Executive Vice President Single-Family Credit Guarantee until that date.

289 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Background

On September 6, 2008, the Director of FHFA appointed FHFA as our Conservator. Upon its appointment as Conservator, FHFA immediately succeeded to, among other things, the right of holders of our common stock to vote with respect to the election of directors. As a result, stockholders no longer have the ability to recommend director nominees or vote for the election of our directors. Accordingly, we will not solicit proxies, distribute a proxy statement to stockholders, or hold an annual meeting of stockholders in 2011. Instead, the Conservator has elected directors by a written consent in lieu of an annual meeting, as it did in 2009 and 2010.

Directors

On November 24, 2008, the Conservator reconstituted our Board of Directors and delegated certain powers to the Board while reserving certain powers of approval to itself. See Authority of the Board and Board Committees. The Conservator determined that the Board is to have a non-executive Chairman, and is to consist of a minimum of nine and not more than 13 directors, with the Chief Executive Officer being the only corporate officer serving as a member of the Board.

The Conservator executed a written consent, effective February 17, 2011, electing all of the then-current directors to another term as our directors. The terms of those directors will end: (a) on the date of the next annual meeting of our stockholders; or (b) when the Conservator next elects directors by written consent, whichever occurs first.

Our Board seeks candidates for director who have achieved a high level of stature, success, and respect in their principal occupations. Each of our current directors was selected as a candidate because of his or her character, judgment, experience, and expertise. The qualifications of candidates also were evaluated in light of the requirement in our charter, as amended by the Reform Act, that our Board must at all times have at least one individual from the homebuilding, mortgage lending and real estate industries, and at least one person from an organization representing consumer or community interests or one person who has demonstrated a career commitment to the provision of housing for low-income households. Consistent with the examination guidance for corporate governance issued by FHFA, the factors considered also include the knowledge directors would have, as a group, in the areas of business, finance, accounting, risk management, public policy, mortgage lending, real estate, low-income housing, homebuilding, regulation of financial institutions, and any other areas that may be relevant to our safe and sound operation. Additionally, in accordance with the guidance issued by FHFA, we considered whether a candidate s other commitments, including the number of other board memberships held by the candidate, would permit the candidate to devote sufficient time to the candidate s duties and responsibilities as a director. See Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence Board Diversity for additional information concerning the Board s consideration of diversity in identifying director nominees and candidates.

The following is a brief discussion of: the age and length of Board service of each director; each director s experience, qualifications, attributes, and/or skills that led to his or her selection as a director; and other biographical information about our directors, as of February 15, 2011:

Linda B. Bammann joined the Board in December 2008. She is 54 years old. She is an experienced finance executive with in-depth knowledge of risk management gained from her previous employment and board

memberships. Ms. Bammann s risk management experience enables her to contribute significantly to the Board s oversight of our enterprise risk management.

Ms. Bammann was Executive Vice President, Deputy Chief Risk Officer for JPMorgan Chase & Co. from July 2004 until her retirement in January 2005. Prior to that, Ms. Bammann held several positions with Bank One Corporation beginning in 2000, including Executive Vice President and Chief Risk Management Officer from 2001 until Bank One s acquisition by JPMorgan Chase & Co. in July 2004. Ms. Bammann also was a member of Bank One s executive planning group. From 1992 to 2000, Ms. Bammann was a Managing Director with UBS Warburg LLC and predecessor firms. Ms. Bammann was a board member of the Risk Management Association, and chairperson of the Loan Syndications and Trading Association. Ms. Bammann currently is a director of Manulife Financial Corporation, where she is a member of the Risk Committee and the Management Resources and Compensation Committee, and of The Manufacturers Life Insurance Company, a subsidiary of Manulife Financial Corporation.

Carolyn H. Byrd joined the Board in December 2008. She is 62 years old. She is an experienced finance executive who has held a variety of leadership positions. She also has significant public company audit committee experience. Ms. Byrd s internal audit and public company audit committee experience enables her to support the Board s oversight of our internal control over financial reporting and compliance matters.

Ms. Byrd has been Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of GlobalTech Financial, LLC, a financial services company she founded, since 2000. From 1997 to 2000, Ms. Byrd was President of Coca-Cola Financial Corporation.

290 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

From 1977 to 1997, Ms. Byrd held a variety of domestic and international positions with The Coca-Cola Company, including Chief of Internal Audits and Director of the Corporate Auditing Department. She is currently a director of AFC Enterprises, Inc., where she is the Chair of the Audit Committee and a member of the People Services (Compensation) Committee and of Regions Financial Corporation, where she is a member of the Audit Committee and the Risk Committee. Ms. Byrd is a former member of the board of directors and audit committee member of Circuit City Stores, Inc. and RARE Hospitality International, Inc., and she also served on the board of directors of St. Paul Travelers Companies, Inc.

Robert R. Glauber joined the Board in 2006. He is 71 years old. Mr. Glauber is an experienced finance executive who has held several leadership positions in the private and public sectors and has academic experience focusing on financial matters. Mr. Glauber s extensive experience in the public, private and academic sectors, including his experience as chairman of boards of directors of other public companies, enables him to provide the Board with valuable guidance on financial and regulatory matters.

Mr. Glauber is a Lecturer at Harvard s Kennedy School of Government and was a visiting professor at the Harvard Law School. Previously, he served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the National Association of Securities Dealers, (now the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc.), the private-sector regulator of U.S. securities firms, from September 2001 to September 2006, after becoming NASD s CEO in November 2000. Prior to becoming an officer at NASD, he was a Lecturer at the Kennedy School from 1992 until 2000, Under Secretary of the Treasury for Finance from 1989 to 1992 and, prior to that, a Professor of Finance at the Harvard Business School for 25 years. In 1987-88, Mr. Glauber served as Executive Director of the Task Force (Brady Commission) appointed by President Reagan to report on the October 1987 stock market break. He has served on the boards of the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston, a number of Dreyfus mutual funds, the Investment Company Institute, Quadra Realty Trust, and as president of the Boston Economic Club. Mr. Glauber currently is a director of Moody s Corporation, where he is a member of the Audit Committee and the Governance and Compensation Committee; Chairman of XL Group plc (an insurance company), where he is a member of the Nominating, Governance and External Affairs Committee and the Risk and Finance Committee; Chairman of Northeast Bancorp, where he is the Chairman of the Corporate Governance Committee, a member of the Risk Committee, and a member of the Loan Investment Committee of Northeast Bank, a subsidiary of Northeast Bancorp; and Vice Chairman of the International Financial Reporting Standards Foundation. He has been a Senior Advisor at Peter J. Solomon Co., an investment bank, since November 2006.

Charles E. Haldeman, Jr. joined the Board in August 2009, upon the commencement of his employment as Chief Executive Officer of Freddie Mac. He is 62 years old. He is an experienced finance executive and leader of finance and investment organizations. Mr. Haldeman s experience as a leader of financial organizations enables him to provide valuable business and operating perspectives to the Board.

Prior to joining Freddie Mac, Mr. Haldeman served as Chairman of Putnam Investment Management, LLC, the investment advisor for the Putnam Funds, from July 2008 though June 2009. He joined Putnam Investments in 2002 as Senior Managing Director and Co-Head of the investment division, was appointed President and Chief Executive Officer in November 2003, and served in that capacity until June 2008. He was a member of Putnam Funds Board of Trustees from 2004 until July 2009, and was named President of the Putnam Funds in 2007. He served as a member of Putnam Investments Board of Trustees from November 2003 until June 2009, where he served as a member of the audit committee. Prior to joining Putnam, Mr. Haldeman served as Chief Executive Officer of Delaware Investments from 2000 to 2002, and as chairman from 2001 to 2002. He was the President and Chief Operating Officer of United Asset Management Corporation from 1998 to 1999. Mr. Haldeman served as chairman of Dartmouth College s Board of Trustees from 2007 until 2010.

Laurence E. Hirsch joined the Board in December 2008. He is 65 years old. He is an experienced finance executive who has held leadership positions in the homebuilding, real estate and investment industries. Mr. Hirsch s experience in the homebuilding and real estate industries allows him to provide to the Board valuable business experience and an understanding of customer relationships and the homebuilding industry.

Mr. Hirsch has been Chairman of Highlander Partners, L.P., a private equity firm, since April 2004. Mr. Hirsch was Chief Executive Officer of Centex Corporation, a large homebuilder, from 1988 until his retirement in March 2004 and its Chairman from 1991 until March 2004. Mr. Hirsch is the Chairman of Eagle Materials Inc., where he is also Chairman of the Executive Committee. Mr. Hirsch is a director of A. H. Belo Corporation, where he is a member of the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee, and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, and formerly served on the board of directors of Belo Corp., its parent company. In addition, Mr. Hirsch is Chairman of the Center for European Policy Analysis in Washington, D.C.

291 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

John A. Koskinen joined the Board in September 2008. He is 71 years old. He brings over thirty-five years of executive, board and government experience to the Board. He has managed a wide range of companies and divisions engaged in a variety of activities including mortgage securitization and investment, real estate development and management, hotel and resort operations, home building, and insurance. Mr. Koskinen s broad-based public and private sector experience provides leadership and operating experience to the Board. His business restructuring experience enables him to provide valuable guidance to the Board regarding the management of our business operations while in conservatorship.

Mr. Koskinen was initially appointed as Non-Executive Chairman of Freddie Mac in September 2008 and served in that role for all of 2010. Previously, Mr. Koskinen was President of the United States Soccer Foundation for four years, deputy mayor and city administrator of Washington, D.C. from 2000 to 2003, assistant to the president and chair of the President's Council on Year 2000 Conversion from 1998 to 2000, and deputy director for management of the Office of Management and Budget from 1994 to 1997. Prior to his government service, Mr. Koskinen worked as a senior executive of The Palmieri Company, including serving as President and Chief Executive Officer, participating in the restructuring of a range of large, troubled enterprises including Penn Central, the Teamsters Pension Fund, Levitt and Sons, Inc. and Mutual Benefit. Mr. Koskinen also is a director of The AES Corporation, where he is a member of the Financial Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee, and the Technology Advisory Council, and American Capital, Ltd., where he is a member of the Audit and Compliance Committee.

Christopher S. Lynch joined the Board in December 2008. He is 53 years old. He is an experienced senior accounting executive who served as the lead audit signing partner and account executive for several large financial institutions with mortgage lending businesses. He also has significant public company audit committee experience and risk management experience. Mr. Lynch s extensive experience in finance, accounting and risk management enables him to provide valuable guidance to the Board on complex accounting and risk management issues, including in his role as chairman of our audit committee.

Mr. Lynch is an independent consultant providing a variety of services to financial intermediaries, including risk management, strategy, governance, financial and regulatory reporting and troubled-asset management. Prior to retiring from KPMG LLP in May 2007, Mr. Lynch held a variety of leadership positions at KPMG, including National Partner in Charge Financial Services, the U.S. firm s largest industry division. Mr. Lynch chaired KPMG s Americas Financial Services Leadership team, was a member of the Global Financial Services Leadership and the U.S. Industries Leadership teams and led the Banking & Finance practice. Mr. Lynch also served as a partner in KPMG s Department of Professional Practice and as a Practice Fellow at the Financial Accounting Standards Board. Mr. Lynch was the lead and audit signing partner for some of KPMG s largest financial services clients. Mr. Lynch also is a director of American International Group, Inc., where he is the Chair of the Audit Committee and a member of the Finance and Risk Management Committee.

Nicolas P. Retsinas joined the Board in 2007. He is 64 years old. He is an experienced leader in the governmental and educational sectors, with in-depth knowledge of the mortgage lending and real estate industries. He also has represented consumer and community interests and has demonstrated a career commitment to the provision of housing for low-income households. Mr. Retsinas public, private and academic experience, including his service on the boards of several not-for-profit organizations, enables him to bring to the Board broad knowledge and understanding of housing and consumer and community issues.

Mr. Retsinas is a senior lecturer in Real Estate at the Harvard Business School and is Director Emeritus of Harvard University s Joint Center for Housing Studies, where he served as Director from 1998 to 2010. He is also a lecturer in Housing Studies at the Graduate School of Design. Prior to his Harvard appointment,

Mr. Retsinas served as Assistant Secretary for Housing Federal Housing Commissioner at the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development from 1993 to 1998 and as Director of the Office of Thrift Supervision from 1996 to 1997. He served on the Board of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation from 1996 to 1997, the Federal Housing Finance Board from 1993 to 1998 and the Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation from 1993 to 1998. Mr. Retsinas serves on the Board of Trustees for the National Housing Endowment and for Enterprise Community Partners and on the Board of Directors of the Center for Responsible Lending.

Clayton S. Rose joined the Board in October 2010. He is 52 years old. He is a finance executive with leadership experience in finance and investment organizations and with academic experience focused on financial services and managerial ethics. Mr. Rose s leadership, operating and academic experience enables him to provide the Board with valuable guidance regarding business execution, corporate finance and capital markets.

Mr. Rose is Professor of Management Practice at the Harvard Business School, and has been a member of its faculty since July 2007. He was awarded a PhD in sociology (with distinction) from the University of Pennsylvania in the

292 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

same year. He was an adjunct professor at the Stern School of Business at New York University from 2002 to 2004, and at the Graduate School of Business at Columbia University from 2002 to 2006. In 2001, Mr. Rose served as Vice Chairman and Chief Operating Officer of JP Morgan, the investment bank of J.P. Morgan Chase & Co. Previously, he worked at J.P. Morgan & Co. Incorporated from 1981 to 2000, where, among other positions, he was head of the Global Investment Banking and the Global Equities Divisions and served as a member of the firm s executive committee. Mr. Rose is a member of the board of directors of XL Group plc, where he is a member of the Nominating, Governance and External Affairs Committee and the Risk and Finance Committee. He is a trustee of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute and the National Opinion Research Center at the University of Chicago, and is a director of Public/Private Ventures. From November 2007 to March 2010, he served as Chairman of the board of managers of Highbridge Capital Management, an alternative investment management firm owned by JPMorgan Chase & Co. Mr. Rose previously served as a member of the boards of directors of Mercantile Bankshares Corporation from September 2003 to April 2007 and of Lexicon Pharmaceuticals, Inc. from September 2003 through October 2007.

Eugene B. Shanks, Jr. joined the Board in December 2008. He is 63 years old. He is an experienced finance executive with leadership and risk management expertise. Mr. Shanks leadership and risk management experience enables him to provide the Board with valuable guidance on risk management issues and our strategic direction.

Mr. Shanks is a Trustee of Vanderbilt University and a consultant to the board of directors of ACE Limited, a member of the Advisory Board of the Stanford Institute for Economic Policy Research, a director of NewPower Holdings, Inc., and a founding director at The Posse Foundation. From November 2007 until August 2008, Mr. Shanks was a senior consultant to Trinsum Group, Incorporated, a strategic consulting and asset management company. From 1997 until its sale in 2002, Mr. Shanks was President and Chief Executive Officer of NetRisk, Inc., a risk management software and advisory services company he founded. From 1973 to 1978 and from 1980 to 1995, Mr. Shanks held a variety of positions with Bankers Trust New York Corporation, including head of Global Markets from 1986 to 1992 and President and Director from 1992 to 1995. From 1978 to 1980, he was Treasurer of Commerce Union Bank in Nashville, Tennessee.

Anthony A. Williams joined the Board in December 2008. He is 59 years old. He is an experienced leader of state and local governments, with extensive knowledge concerning real estate and housing for low-income individuals. He also has significant experience in financial matters and is an experienced academic focusing on public management issues. Mr. Williams leadership and operating experience in the public sector allows him to provide a unique perspective on state and local housing issues.

Mr. Williams is the William H. Bloomberg Lecturer in Public Management at Harvard s Kennedy School of Government. Since January 2010, he has served as Executive Director of the Government Practice at The Corporate Executive Board Company. Since May 2009, Mr. Williams has been affiliated with Arent Fox LLP, a law firm. Prior to this, Mr. Williams served as the Chief Executive Officer of Primum Public Realty Trust, beginning in January 2007. Mr. Williams served as the Mayor of Washington, D.C. from 1999 to January 2007, and as its Chief Financial Officer from 1995 to 1998. In 2005, Mr. Williams served as Vice Chair of the Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments, and in 2004, Mr. Williams served as President of the National League of Cities. From 1993 to 1995, Mr. Williams was the first Chief Financial Officer for the U.S. Department of Agriculture. From 1991 to 1993, Mr. Williams was the Deputy State Comptroller of Connecticut. From 1989 to 1991, Mr. Williams was the Executive Director of the Community Development Agency of St. Louis, Missouri. From 1988 to 1989, Mr. Williams was an Assistant Director with the Boston Redevelopment Authority where he led the Department of Neighborhood Housing and Development, one of the Authority s four primary divisions. Mr. Williams is a director of Meruelo Maddox Properties, Inc., where he is a member of the Audit Committee and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. Mr. Williams is

also a member of the Board of Trustees of the Calvert Sage Fund and of each fund comprising the Calvert Multiple Funds.

Authority of the Board and Board Committees

The directors serve on behalf of, and exercise authority as directed by, the Conservator. The Conservator has delegated to the Board and its committees authority to function in accordance with the duties and authorities set forth in applicable statutes, regulations and regulatory examination and policy guidance, and our Bylaws and Board committee charters, as such duties or authorities may be modified by the Conservator. The Conservator has instructed the Board that it should consult with and obtain the approval of the Conservator before taking action in the following areas:

actions involving capital stock, dividends, the Purchase Agreement between us and Treasury, increases in risk limits, material changes in accounting policy, and reasonably foreseeable material increases in operational risk;

293 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

creation of any subsidiary or affiliate or any substantial transaction between us and any of our subsidiaries or affiliates, except for transactions undertaken in the ordinary course (*e.g.*, the creation of a trust, REMIC, REIT, or similar vehicle);

matters that relate to conservatorship, such as, but not limited to, the initiation of, and material actions in connection with, significant litigation addressing the actions or authority of the Conservator, repudiation of contracts, qualified financial contracts in dispute due to our conservatorship, and counterparties attempting to nullify or amend contracts due to our conservatorship;

actions involving hiring, compensation, and termination benefits of directors and officers at the executive vice president level and above (including, regardless of title, executive positions with the functions of chief operating officer, chief financial officer, general counsel, chief business officer, chief investment officer, treasurer, chief compliance officer, chief risk officer, and chief/general/internal auditor);

actions involving the retention and termination of external auditors and law firms serving as consultants to the Board;

settlements in excess of \$50 million of litigation, claims, regulatory proceedings, or tax-related matters;

any merger with or purchase or acquisition of a business involving consideration in excess of \$50 million; and

any action that, in the reasonable business judgment of the Board at the time that the action is taken, is likely to cause significant reputation risk.

The Board has five standing committees: Audit; Business and Risk; Compensation; Executive; and Nominating and Governance. All standing committees other than the Executive Committee meet regularly. The membership of each committee is shown in the table below.

Table 69 Board of Directors Committee Membership

Director	Audit	Business and Risk	Compensation	Executive	Nominating and Governance
L. Bammann		C	\checkmark	\checkmark	
C. Byrd	\checkmark				\checkmark
R. Glauber	\checkmark			\checkmark	C
C. Haldeman				\checkmark	
L. Hirsch		\checkmark			\checkmark
J. Koskinen				C	
C. Lynch	C		\checkmark	\checkmark	
N. Retsinas		\checkmark			\checkmark
C. Rose		\checkmark	\checkmark		
E. Shanks		\checkmark	C	\checkmark	
A. Williams	\checkmark				\checkmark
$\sqrt{\ }$ = Member of the Committee					
C = Chairman of the Committee					

The charters reflecting the duties of the committees have been adopted by the Board and approved by the Conservator. All of the charters of the standing committees are available on our website at www.freddiemac.com/governance/bd_committees.html.

Our Board has an independent Non-Executive Chairman, whose responsibilities include presiding over meetings of the Board, regularly scheduled executive sessions of the non-employee directors, and executive sessions including only the independent directors that occur at least once annually if any of the non-employee directors are not independent. Mr. Koskinen was initially appointed to the position of Non-Executive Chairman by the Conservator in September 2008 and served in that role for all of 2010.

Communications with Directors

Interested parties wishing to communicate any concerns or questions about Freddie Mac to the Non-Executive Chairman of the Board or to our non-employee directors as a group may do so by U.S. mail, addressed to the Corporate Secretary, Freddie Mac, Mail Stop 200, 8200 Jones Branch Drive, McLean, VA 22102-3110. Communications may be addressed to a specific director or directors or to groups of directors, such as the independent or non-employee directors.

294 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

Executive Officers

As of February 15, 2011, our executive officers are as follows:

Name	Age	Year of Affiliation	Position
Charles E. Haldeman, Jr.	62	2009	Chief Executive Officer
Ross J. Kari	52	2009	Executive Vice President Chief Financial Officer
Donald J. Bisenius	52	1992	Executive Vice President Single Family Credit Guarantee
Robert E. Bostrom	58	2006	Executive Vice President General Counsel & Corporate Secretary
Peter J. Federico	44	1988	Executive Vice President Investments & Capital Markets and Treasurer
Michael C. May	52	1983	Executive Vice President Multifamily
Anthony N. Renzi	47	2010	Executive Vice President Single Family Portfolio Management
Raymond G. Romano	49	2004	Executive Vice President Chief Credit Officer
Jerry Weiss	52	2003	Executive Vice President Chief Administrative Officer & Chief Compliance Officer
Paige H. Wisdom	49	2008	Executive Vice President Chief Enterprise Risk Officer
Timothy F. Kenny	49	2007	Senior Vice President General Auditor
Robert D. Mailloux	43	2002	Senior Vice President Corporate Controller & Principal Accounting Officer
Hollis S. McLoughlin	60	2004	Senior Vice President External Relations
Paul E. Mullings	60	2005	Senior Vice President Single Family Sourcing
Joseph A. Rossi	57	2005	Senior Vice President Operations & Technology

The following is a brief biographical description of each executive officer who is not also a member of the Board.

Ross J. Kari was appointed Executive Vice President Chief Financial Officer in October 2009. Mr. Kari joined us from Fifth Third Bancorp, a financial services firm, where he served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer beginning in November 2008. Previously, he served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Safeco Corporation, an insurance firm, from June 2006 to October 2008. Prior to that, Mr. Kari served as Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of the Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco, a government sponsored enterprise and part of the Federal Home Loan Bank System, from February 2002 to June 2006. Mr. Kari is a member of the board of directors of KKR Financial Holdings LLC where he is the Chairman of the Audit Committee.

Donald J. Bisenius was appointed Executive Vice President Single Family Credit Guarantee in May 2009. Prior to holding his current position, he served as Senior Vice President Single Family Credit Guarantee from May 2008 until May 2009 and Senior Vice President Credit Policy and Portfolio Management from November 2003 until April 2008. From October 2001 until October 2003. Mr. Bisenius was Senior Vice President Credit Risk Management. Prior to that, he served in a number of positions since joining us in January 1992. Before his service with us, Mr. Bisenius served in a variety of positions with the Federal Housing Finance Board and the Federal Home Loan Bank Board in Washington, DC.

Robert E. Bostrom was appointed Executive Vice President General Counsel & Corporate Secretary in February 2006. Prior to joining us, Mr. Bostrom was the managing partner of the New York office of Winston & Strawn LLP, a member of that firm s executive committee and head of its financial institutions practice. Mr. Bostrom originally joined Winston & Strawn in 1990. From 1992 until 1996, Mr. Bostrom served as Executive Vice President of Legal, Regulatory and Compliance and General Counsel of National Westminster Bancorp.

Peter J. Federico was appointed Executive Vice President Investments & Capital Markets and Treasurer in October 2010. In this position, Mr. Federico is responsible for managing all of our mortgage investment activities for the mortgage-related investments portfolio. He also manages our short- and long-term debt issuance program. Prior to this, Mr. Federico served as our Senior Vice President Investments & Capital Markets and Treasurer from May 2009 until October 2010. From December 2008 until May 2009, he served as Treasurer and Senior Vice President Treasury & Liability Management, a position in which he was responsible for managing our debt and equity funding, as well as our Liquidity & Contingency Portfolio of non-mortgage investments. From March 2006 to December 2008, Mr. Federico served as Senior Vice President Asset & Liability Management, managing the interest rate risks associated with our mortgage investment and guarantee businesses. In that position, he also was responsible for the management of our Liquidity and Contingency Portfolio. He was named Vice President, Asset & Liability Management in 2000. Mr. Federico joined us in 1988.

Michael C. May was appointed Executive Vice President Multifamily in October 2010. Prior to this he served as our Senior Vice President Multifamily beginning in August 2005. Prior to that appointment, Mr. May served as our Senior Vice President, Operations from February 2005 until August 2005. He also served as Senior Vice President, Mortgage Sourcing, Operations & Funding from November 2003 to February 2005. Prior to that, Mr. May held the positions of Senior Vice President, Single Family Operations from July 2002 through October 2003 and Senior Vice President, Project Enterprise from January 2001 to July 2002. Mr. May also held various additional positions since joining us in 1983.

Anthony Renzi joined us as Executive Vice President Single-Family Portfolio Management in April 2010. In this position, Mr. Renzi is responsible for our loss management in the single-family credit portfolio and oversees our loss mitigation activities. He also manages our relationships with servicers and the implementation of our foreclosure avoidance efforts. Prior to joining us, Mr. Renzi served as chief operating officer of GMAC Residential Capital and president of GMAC Mortgage Corporation since 2008, and managed their operational and financial activities. From 2006 to 2008, he was chief

295 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

operating officer of the Residential Finance Group, where he led servicing operations, risk management, and strategic sourcing. Prior to that, Mr. Renzi held several executive positions at GMAC Mortgage including executive vice president, senior vice president of client branded solutions, vice president of loan administration and senior loan counselor.

Raymond G. Romano was appointed Executive Vice President Chief Credit Officer in April 2009. Prior to this appointment, he served as our Senior Vice President Chief Credit Officer from December 2008 until March 2009 and as acting Chief Credit Officer from September 2008 until December 2008. Before being appointed Chief Credit Officer, Mr. Romano served as Senior Vice President Credit Risk Oversight, a position he held since March 2004. Prior to that, Mr. Romano served as Senior Vice President and Chief Credit Risk Officer and held other executive positions at different major financial institutions, including North American Mortgage Company in Tampa, Dime Savings Bank of NY, and with Citicorp s Investment Bank.

Jerry Weiss was appointed Executive Vice President Chief Administrative Officer & Chief Compliance Officer in August 2010. In this role, Mr. Weiss is responsible for managing the Human Resources and External Relations functions and leads the Compliance, Regulatory Affairs, and Mission organizations. He also serves as Chief Compliance Officer. Prior to that he served as our Senior Vice President Compliance, Regulatory Affairs and Mission, and Chief Compliance Officer from April 2009 until July 2010. Mr. Weiss served as Senior Vice President Compliance and Regulatory Affairs and Chief Compliance Officer from April 2008 until April 2009. Prior to this appointment, Mr. Weiss served as our Senior Vice President and Chief Compliance Officer since joining us in October 2003. Prior to joining us, Mr. Weiss worked from 1990 at Merrill Lynch Investment Managers, most recently as First Vice President and Global Head of Compliance. From 1982 to 1990, Mr. Weiss was with a national law practice in Washington, D.C., where he specialized in securities regulation and corporate finance matters.

Paige H. Wisdom was appointed Executive Vice President Chief Enterprise Risk Officer in October 2010. Prior to this she served as our Senior Vice President Chief Enterprise Risk Officer from April 2010 until October 2010. Prior to this appointment, she served as the Senior Vice President Business Unit Chief Financial Officer from January 2008 through March 2010. From August 2004 until December 2007, Ms. Wisdom served as a Business Unit Chief Financial Officer at Bank of America for key businesses including Global Business and Financial Services; Business, Lending, and Global Technology; and Service and Fulfillment. Prior to joining Bank of America, Ms. Wisdom served at Bank One Corporation/JP Morgan from June 2000 until July 2004, most recently as the Chief Financial Officer, Corporate Bank. Prior to that she served in leadership positions with increasing responsibilities at UBS/Warburg Dillon Read, Citibank Salomon Smith Barney, and Swiss Bank Corporation/SBC Warburg Dillon Read.

Timothy F. Kenny was appointed Senior Vice President General Auditor in July 2008. Prior to this appointment, Mr. Kenny served as Vice President and Interim General Auditor starting in May 2008. Before that, he served as our Vice President, Assistant General Auditor from September 2007 to May 2008. From 2001 to 2007, Mr. Kenny was a Managing Director with BearingPoint, Inc. (formerly KPMG Consulting, Inc.) where he directed a large team of financial professionals on a variety of financial risk management consulting projects with Ginnie Mae, the Federal Housing Administration, private sector mortgage bankers and other federal credit agencies. He was appointed a member of the BearingPoint, Inc. 401(k) Plan Committee in 2004 and served as a member until his resignation in 2007. He joined KPMG LLP, the predecessor organization to KPMG Consulting, in 1986, was promoted to a KPMG Audit Partner in 1997, and served in that position until the separation of KPMG Consulting from KPMG LLP in February 2001.

Robert D. Mailloux was appointed Senior Vice President Corporate Controller & Principal Accounting Officer in April 2010. Prior to holding his current position, Mr. Mailloux served as our Vice President Acting Corporate Controller beginning in October 2008. Prior to that appointment, he served as Vice President Corporate and Multifamily Business Segment Controller, from May 2008 until October 2008, and as Vice President Corporate

Financial Accounting from September 2004 until May 2008. Before that, Mr. Mailloux held the position of Director Corporate Reporting and Analysis from March 2002 until September 2004. Before joining us, Mr. Mailloux served for 12 years at a leading accounting firm, where he managed a variety of large audit and consulting engagements in the financial services and real estate industries.

Hollis S. McLoughlin was appointed Senior Vice President External Relations in September 2008. Prior to that he served as Senior Vice President External Relations and Chief of Staff from April 2008 until September 2008. Prior to this appointment, Mr. McLoughlin served as our Senior Vice President, External Relations starting in January 2006. He also served as Senior Vice President and Chief of Staff from April 2004 to January 2006. During the period from 1998 until 2004, Mr. McLoughlin was Chief Operating Officer of two private equity-backed operating companies. Before that, he was one of the founding partners of Darby Overseas, a private equity partnership based in Washington, D.C. He also has been a senior executive at Purolator Courier, an overnight delivery company, and a privately held transportation company. Mr. McLoughlin served from 1989 through 1992 as assistant secretary of the Treasury under President George Bush, where

296 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

he was responsible for the coordination of all policy and management of several key internal functions. He served as chief of staff to Sen. Nicholas Brady, R-N.J., in 1982 and to Rep. Millicent Fenwick, R-N.J., from 1975 to 1979.

Paul E. Mullings was appointed Senior Vice President Single Family Sourcing in July 2005. Before joining us, Mr. Mullings was Senior Vice President of JPMorgan Chase and Mortgage Finance Manager and Fair Lending Executive at Chase Home Finance. Prior to joining Chase Home Finance in 1997, Mr. Mullings was President and Chief Executive Officer of Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. Mr. Mullings was also President and Chief Executive Officer of the residential mortgage division of First Interstate Bank, Los Angeles. Prior to First Interstate, he held a series of senior management positions with increasing responsibilities at Glendale Federal Bank, Glendale, California.

Joseph A. Rossi was appointed Senior Vice President Operations & Technology in June 2010. Prior to that he served as our Senior Vice President Operations beginning in February 2008 and as our Senior Vice President Technology Services beginning in April 2007. Mr. Rossi joined us in March 2005 as our Senior Vice President Investment Capital Market Operations. Before joining us, Mr. Rossi served in leadership positions at Mellon Bank, JP MorganChase and Citibank in New York where he developed a significant portfolio of experience in all aspects of global securities clearance, custody, fund administration, and accounting.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires the directors and executive officers of a reporting company and persons who own more than 10% of a registered class of such company s equity securities to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership with the SEC. Based solely on a review of such reports, we believe that during 2010 all of our directors and executive officers complied with such reporting obligations, except that as a result of an administrative error, one Form 4 reporting the vesting of a Restricted Stock Unit grant for Anurag Saksena, a former executive officer, was filed one day late.

Codes of Conduct

We have separate codes of conduct applicable to all employees and to Board members that outline the principles, policies, and laws governing their activities. Upon joining us or our Board, all employees and directors, respectively, are required to sign acknowledgements that they have read the applicable code and agree to abide by it. In addition, all employees and directors must respond to an annual questionnaire concerning code compliance. The employee code also serves as the code of ethics for senior executives and financial officers required by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and SEC regulations. Copies of our employee and director codes of conduct are available, and any amendments or waivers that would be required to be disclosed are posted, on our website at www.freddiemac.com.

Audit Committee Financial Expert

We have a standing Audit Committee that satisfies the audit committee definition under Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Exchange Act and the requirements of Rule 10A-3 under the Exchange Act. Although our stock was delisted from the NYSE in July 2010, certain of the corporate governance requirements of the NYSE Listed Company Manual, including those relating to audit committees, continue to apply to us because they are incorporated by reference in the FHFA corporate governance regulations. Our Audit Committee satisfies the audit committee definition under Sections 303A.06 and 303A.07 of the NYSE Listed Company Manual. The current members of the Audit Committee are Carolyn H. Byrd, Robert R. Glauber, Christopher S. Lynch and Anthony A. Williams, all of whom the Board determined in February 2011 are independent within the meaning of Rule 10A-3 under the Exchange Act and Section 303A.02 of the NYSE Listed Company Manual.

Mr. Lynch has been a member of the Audit Committee since December 2008 and currently is its chairman. The Board determined in February 2011 that Mr. Lynch meets the definition of an audit committee financial expert under SEC regulations.

297 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Executive Summary

Our critical role in providing liquidity and stability to the U.S. housing and mortgage markets while in conservatorship requires us to attract, motivate and retain talented executives who can lead the company despite our uncertain future. To assist us in achieving these priorities, in December 2009 our Board adopted (and FHFA approved, in consultation with Treasury) a new executive compensation program.

The performance-based incentives under the program, which represent a significant amount of total compensation for our executives, are funded primarily based on our performance against objectives that are established by the Board, reviewed and approved by FHFA, and reflect our priorities during conservatorship. The funding level also takes into account other relevant factors beyond performance against these objectives.

For 2010, the performance-based elements of compensation were determined by evaluating performance against a balanced set of objectives in four areas: mission, financial execution, accounting and controls, and our business infrastructure. The evaluation was initially conducted by management and then reviewed and approved by the Compensation Committee. Following the evaluation of performance, the Compensation Committee then considered whether any other relevant internal or external factors should be taken into account in establishing the funding level.

The following table shows the approved funding level for each component of performance-based compensation under the program. A detailed discussion is contained in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis about the company s performance against the objectives applicable to each component of performance-based compensation as well as other factors considered by the Compensation Committee in determining the performance-based compensation funding levels.

Table 70 Assessment of 2010 Performance

	Target Incentive Opportunity			
2010 Deferred Base Salary (Performance-Based Element)	2010 First Installment	2009 Second Installment		

Funding Level Percent 88% 95% 95%

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

This section contains information regarding our compensation programs and policies applicable to the following individuals, who were determined to be our Named Executive Officers for the year ended December 31, 2010 under SEC rules.

Charles E. Haldeman, Jr., Chief Executive Officer

Ross J. Kari, Executive Vice President Chief Financial Officer

Robert E. Bostrom, Executive Vice President General Counsel & Corporate Secretary

Peter J. Federico, Executive Vice President
Investments & Capital Markets and Treasurer

Donald J. Bisenius, Executive Vice President Single Family Credit Guarantee

See Other Information Departure of Named Executive Officer for information concerning Mr. Bisenius plan to leave Freddie Mac, effective April 1, 2011.

Executive Management Compensation Program

Overview of Program Structure

The Executive Management Compensation Program covers the compensation of Freddie Mac executives in the following positions, each a Covered Officer:

Chief Executive Officer, Chief Operating Officer, and Chief Financial Officer;

All Executive Vice Presidents; and

All Senior Vice Presidents.

Each Named Executive Officer is a Covered Officer.

Prior to its approval in December 2009, our senior management and Compensation Committee worked closely with FHFA over the course of several months to develop and refine the Executive Compensation Program s overall structure under the terms of our conservatorship. The program is a result of collaboration and compromise with FHFA that reflects the principles established by Treasury s executive compensation guidelines for companies receiving federal assistance. Specifically, the Executive Compensation Program was designed to align executive pay with achievement of our mission of providing liquidity, stability, and affordability to a troubled mortgage market and with certain financial, infrastructure development and other corporate performance objectives established annually by our Board and approved by FHFA. These objectives reflect our responsibilities both under our charter and in conservatorship as determined by the Conservator. The

298 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

Executive Compensation Program establishes strict recapture provisions that protect our interests as well as those of taxpayers. The Executive Compensation Program has also been designed to position us to retain critical executives and attract new executive talent as we continue to support the nation s housing recovery amidst the uncertainties regarding our future.

One key element of the Executive Compensation Program that differs from Treasury s executive compensation guidelines is that all compensation is delivered exclusively in cash. We cannot provide equity-based compensation to our employees under the terms of the Purchase Agreement with Treasury, unless such grants are approved by Treasury. In addition, uncertainty regarding our future status makes our stock ineffective as a vehicle for delivering incentive compensation.

Participation in the Executive Compensation Program is contingent upon a Covered Officer agreeing to be bound by the terms of a recapture arrangement that has been approved by both the Compensation Committee and FHFA. A further discussion of the recapture arrangement is set forth below in Other Executive Compensation Considerations Recapture Policy.

Finally, although the Compensation Committee takes the lead role in considering and recommending executive compensation, the following circumstances limit the Compensation Committee s authority during conservatorship:

When FHFA was appointed as our Conservator in September 2008, it assumed all of the rights, titles, powers, and privileges of the company and its stockholders, directors and management, including the authority to set executive compensation. Under the terms of the Purchase Agreement, FHFA is required to consult with Treasury on compensation matters for our executive officers.

Our directors serve on behalf of FHFA and exercise their authority as directed by FHFA. More information about the role of our directors is provided above in Directors, Executive Officers, and Corporate Governance Authority of the Board and Board Committees.

FHFA has directed that our Board consult with and obtain FHFA s approval before taking any action involving compensation or termination benefits for any officer at the level of executive vice president and above and, regardless of title, executives who hold positions with the functions of chief operating officer, chief financial officer, general counsel, chief business officer, chief investment officer, treasurer, chief compliance officer, chief risk officer, and chief/general internal auditor.

FHFA retains the authority not only to approve both the terms and amount of any compensation prior to payment to any of our executive officers, but also to modify any existing compensation arrangements.

Elements of Compensation and Total Direct Compensation

Under the Executive Compensation Program, a Covered Officer starget total direct compensation consists of three elements. Semi-Monthly Base Salary, Deferred Base Salary, and a Target Incentive Opportunity. The Target TDC is established for each annual performance cycle. Under the Executive Compensation Program, two-thirds of a Covered Officer s Target TDC will consist of the sum of the Semi-Monthly and Deferred Base Salaries, and one-third will consist of the Target Incentive Opportunity. More information on the three elements of the Target TDC is provided below.

Semi-Monthly Base Salary is paid in cash on a semi-monthly basis and provides a fixed level of compensation designed to fairly compensate each Named Executive Officer for the responsibility level of his position. Semi-Monthly Base Salary cannot exceed \$500,000 per year, except for the CEO and CFO, or other exceptions

as approved from time to time by FHFA. For any Covered Officer other than the CEO and CFO whose Semi-Monthly Base Salary was greater than \$500,000 immediately prior to the adoption of the Executive Compensation Program in December 2009, that Covered Officer s Semi-Monthly Base Salary was reduced to \$500,000 effective January 1, 2010.

Deferred Base Salary is earned during one year but not paid until the following year. Deferred Base Salary is provided in two portions: a fixed portion, which provides certainty as to amount and is not subject to increase or decrease on the basis of corporate performance, and a performance-based portion, which is subject to adjustment to provide incentives to the Covered Officers to achieve specific corporate performance measures. Payment of both portions is deferred until the following year as described in the next paragraph, which aligns the executives interests with long-term performance and provides an incentive for executive retention.

For Deferred Base Salary earned in 2010 and subsequent years, 50% (the fixed portion) will be earned during each quarter and paid in a fixed amount on the last business day of the corresponding quarter of the following calendar year. The remaining 50% (the performance-based portion) will be earned and paid on the same timetable as the fixed portion, but the Executive Compensation Program permits the amount actually paid to range from 0% to 125% based on the performance-based Deferred Base Salary funding level determined by the Compensation Committee with the approval of FHFA. Individual differentiation is not provided for under the Executive

299 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

Compensation Program for performance-based Deferred Base Salary and therefore each Covered Officer s payment is equal to his or her target multiplied by the funding level. While the Executive Compensation Program allows for an approved funding level greater than 100%, it is the current intention of the Compensation Committee not to approve a funding level in excess of 100% while the company is in conservatorship.

The Target Incentive Opportunity (TIO) is a performance-based, long-term incentive award that is designed to provide incentives to the Covered Officers to achieve specific corporate performance measures. Each Covered Officer s target award is equal to one-third of his or her annual Target TDC. The award is granted annually and earned over a two-year period based on the considerations discussed below. Half of each award is earned in the year granted, with the other half earned in the following year. Payment may range from 0% to 150% of target, as determined by the Compensation Committee with the approval of FHFA, and will occur no later than March 15 of the year following the year to which the annual performance measures are applicable. Individual differentiation is provided for under the Executive Compensation Program for TIO payments based on an assessment of division and/or individual performance as determined by the Chief Executive Officer or, in the case of the Chief Executive Officer, the Board of Directors.

While the Executive Compensation Program allows for a Covered Officer to receive a TIO payment greater than 100% of the target, it is the current intention of the Compensation Committee not to approve payments to the Chief Executive Officer or Chief Financial Officer that are in excess of 100% of their individual targets while the company is in conservatorship.

Except in the limited circumstances described below (see Potential Payments Upon Termination of Employment or Change-in-Control), we will pay installments of TIO and Deferred Base Salary awards only if the Named Executive Officer is employed by Freddie Mac on the scheduled payment date.

The Executive Compensation Program is effective for as long as Freddie Mac remains in conservatorship. The provisions of the Executive Compensation Program may be amended by the Compensation Committee, if approved by FHFA after consulting with Treasury.

The following diagram depicts potential total direct compensation, including the three elements of compensation, under the Executive Compensation Program, using a covered officer with a \$3,000,000 Target TDC for 2010 as an example.

Performance Measures for the Performance-Based Elements of Compensation

The performance measures for the performance-based portion of Deferred Base Salary, the first installment of the 2010 TIO grant, and the second installment of the 2009 TIO grant, together with a description of the assessment of actual performance against such measures, are presented below in Determination of the Performance-Based Portion of 2010 Deferred Base Salary and Determination of Actual Target Incentive Opportunity. These performance measures, which were developed by management, the Compensation Committee, and FHFA, were chosen because we believe they reflect our priorities under conservatorship. They also require the participation and support of employees throughout the company.

300 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

Determination of 2010 and 2011 Target TDC for Named Executive Officers

Role of Compensation Consultants

As part of the annual process to determine the Target TDC for each of the Named Executive Officers, the Compensation Committee receives guidance from an independent compensation consultant that is selected by the Compensation Committee without any recommendation by management. In addition to the annual process to determine the Target TDC, the compensation consultant provides guidance during the course of the year on executive compensation matters and can be engaged, as needed, by either the Compensation Committee or the full Board on special projects.

From August 2009 to May 2010, the Compensation Committee retained Steven Hall & Partners as its consultant. In May 2010, the Compensation Committee determined it would be appropriate to end its relationship with Steven Hall & Partners after it became aware that Steven Hall & Partners potential engagement with another client might give the appearance of a conflict of interest.

In September 2010, the Compensation Committee retained Meridian Compensation Partners, LLC as its consultant.

Steven Hall & Partners and Meridian Compensation Partners, LLC have not provided the Compensation Committee with any non-executive compensation consulting services, nor has either firm provided any services to our management.

Gathering Comparative Market Compensation Data

As part of its process to establish each Named Executive Officer's Target TDC under the Executive Compensation Program, the Compensation Committee reviewed the compensation of executives in comparable positions at companies that are either in a similar line of business or are otherwise comparable for purposes of recruiting and retaining individuals with the requisite skills and capabilities. We refer to this group of companies as the Comparator Group. In September 2009, the Committee reviewed and discussed the composition of the Comparator Group with its compensation consultant at the time, Steven Hall & Partners, and determined that the following companies should be included in the Comparator Group for 2010:

Allstate Hartford Financial Services Group Prudential Financial

American Express JPMorgan Chase* State Street
Bank of America* MasterCard SunTrust Banks
Bank of New York Mellon MetLife U.S. Bancorp

BlackRock Northern Trust Visa

Citigroup* PNC Financial Services Group Wells Fargo*

Fannie Mae

In October 2010, the Committee reviewed and discussed the composition of the Comparator Group with its current compensation consultant, Meridian Compensation Partners, LLC, and determined that the 19 companies that comprised the 2010 Comparator Group continue to reflect the relevant labor market for us and our executive talent. Accordingly, the 2011 Comparator Group is comprised of the same 19 companies as the 2010 Comparator Group.

^{*} Compensation data to be used from these diversified banking firms is taken only from their mortgage or real estate divisions.

In the event there is insufficient data from the Comparator Group for any of the Named Executive Officer positions, or if the Committee's compensation consultant believes that additional data sources would strengthen the analysis of competitive market compensation levels, the Compensation Committee can use alternative survey sources to make these assessments. For 2010, the alternative survey sources used by the Compensation Committee were compensation surveys published by human resources consulting firms Aon Hewitt, Towers Watson, and McLagan, an Aon Hewitt consulting company. For 2011, the alternative survey source used by the Compensation Committee was a compensation survey published by McLagan. In order to preserve confidentiality and encourage continuing participation, these consulting firms do not attribute the data in their surveys to the companies that participate in their surveys.

Establishing Target TDC

In establishing Target TDC levels for our Named Executive Officers, the Compensation Committee used as a guideline the market median, or 50th percentile, of the total direct compensation, consisting of base salary, annual incentive, and long-term incentive awards, paid to comparable positions at Comparator Group companies or in the alternative survey sources. While the market median was used as the guideline for Target TDC, the Compensation Committee had the authority to establish Target TDC above or below such level as it deemed appropriate, for each Named Executive Officer. Additional factors considered by the Compensation Committee were the executive officer s past performance and the criticality of the officer s role.

In establishing the Named Executive Officers 2010 Target TDC, the Compensation Committee reviewed 2009 data from the Comparator Group and alternative survey sources. Specifically, for the positions of Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Executive Vice President General Counsel & Corporate Secretary, the Compensation Committee, at the recommendation of Steven Hall & Partners, reviewed competitive market compensation data from the Comparator Group and

301 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

surveys published by Aon Hewitt and Towers Watson. For the positions of Executive Vice President Investments & Capital Markets and Treasurer and Executive Vice President Single Family Credit Guarantee, the Compensation Committee reviewed competitive market data from a survey published by McLagan, because no reasonable match was available in the Comparator Group.

With respect to 2010 Target TDC for the Named Executive Officers, the Compensation Committee, working with Steven Hall & Partners, either developed recommendations or reviewed recommendations presented by senior management and its compensation consultant (Aon Hewitt).

In December 2009, the Compensation Committee applied the criteria described above to set 2010 Target TDC for the Named Executive Officers, which were reviewed and approved by FHFA, in consultation with Treasury.

The table below sets forth the approved 2010 Semi-Monthly Base Salary, Deferred Base Salary, TIO, and Target TDC for our Named Executive Officers. These amounts represent compensation targets, not the actual amount of compensation paid for performance during 2010. Information about the amounts actually paid during or with respect to performance during 2010 to these executives is set forth below in the Summary Compensation Table.

Table 71 2010 Semi-Monthly Base Salary, Deferred Base Salary, Target Incentive Opportunity, and Target TDC

		Semi-		2010 Target TDC Target		
		Monthly Base	Deferred	Incentive	Target	
Named Executive Officer	Title	Salary	Base Salary	Opportunity	TDC	
Charles E. Haldeman, Jr.	CEO	\$ 900,000	\$ 3,100,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 6,000,000	
Ross J. Kari	EVP CFO	675,000	1,658,333	1,166,667	3,500,000	
Robert E. Bostrom	EVP General Counsel					
	& Corporate Secretary	500,000	1,360,000	930,000	2,790,000	
Peter J. Federico	EVP Investments &					
	Capital Markets and					
	Treasurer	400,000	1,340,000	870,000	2,610,000	
Donald J. Bisenius	EVP Single Family					
	Credit Guarantee	400,000	1,100,000	750,000	2,250,000	

In establishing the Named Executive Officer s 2011 Target TDC, the Compensation Committee reviewed 2010 data from the Comparator Group and one alternative survey source. Specifically, for the positions of CEO, CFO and Executive Vice President General Counsel & Corporate Secretary, the Compensation Committee, at the recommendation of Meridian Compensation Partners, LLC, reviewed competitive market compensation data from the Comparator Group. For the positions of Executive Vice President Investments & Capital Markets and Treasurer and Executive Vice President Single Family Credit Guarantee, the Compensation Committee at the recommendation of Meridian Compensation Partners, LLC, reviewed competitive market data from a survey published by McLagan, because no reasonable match was available in the Comparator Group.

With respect to 2011 Target TDC for the Named Executive Officers, the Compensation Committee, working with Meridian Compensation Partners, LLC, reviewed recommendations presented by senior management.

The Compensation Committee s authority was limited to setting 2011 Target TDC at a level that was either the same as or lower than each Named Executive Officer s 2010 Target TDC, based on the December 16, 2010 directive from FHFA that the company maintain individual salaries and wage rates at 2010 levels for 2011, absent a promotion or a significant change in responsibilities. In February 2011, the Compensation Committee applied the compensation criteria described above to set 2011 Target TDC for the Named Executive Officers, which were reviewed and approved by FHFA, in consultation with Treasury.

The 2011 Target TDC and each of the other elements of compensation remains unchanged for all Named Executive Officers other than Mr. Bostrom. Mr. Bostrom s Target TDC was reduced by \$40,000 to \$2,750,000 to bring it more in line with the 50th percentile of the 2010 competitive market data. His semi-monthly base salary remains at \$500,000, but his Deferred Base Salary and TIO have been reduced to \$1,333,333 and \$916,667, respectively. See Other Information 2011 Target Compensation for Named Executive Officers for additional information.

<u>Determination of the Performance-Based Portion of 2010 Deferred Base Salary</u>

Over the course of 2010, the Compensation Committee received updates from management on our achievement against the performance objectives used to determine the funding level for the performance-based portion of Deferred Base Salary. In the fourth quarter of 2010, management presented the Compensation Committee with a final achievement assessment against the performance objectives and concluded that we would achieve most, but not all, of the performance objectives.

302 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

The table below presents the performance measures and management s assessment of our achievement against those performance measures.

Table 72 Achievement of Performance Measures for the Performance-Based Portion of Deferred Base Salary

Performance Measure	Weighting	Key Factors Impacting Achievement Assessment				
Mission	35%	Modified over 160,000 mortgages in support of MHA,				
Support the Obama		significantly exceeding the				
Administration s		target range of 70,000 120,000. However, the primary				
Making Home Affordable		servicers reporting				
Program;		had only contacted 45% of obligated parties for occupied				
Meet 2010 affordable goals and		properties on which				
subgoals (if feasible, as		the loans were 60 or more days delinquent, below the target of				
determined by		75% or more.				
FHFA); and		Based on preliminary information, we believe we did not				
Complete the buildout of the		achieve the 2010 Single-				
Making		family Low-Income Areas Purchase goal and the related				
Home Affordable Compliance		Low-Income Areas sub-				
function.		goal, and we also believe we may not have achieved the 2010				
		Multifamily Low-				
		Income goal. We are discussing with FHFA whether these				
		goals were infeasible				
		under the terms of the GSE Act due to market and other				
		factors. See Table 5				
		Affordable Housing Goals for 2010 and 2011 for more				
		information about these				
		goals.				
		Completed buildout of MHA-Compliance function.				

Financial Execution

Meet targets for:

Segment Earnings;

Return on Assets (ROA) on new single-family and multifamily purchases;

Underwriting quality on new single-

family and multifamily purchases;

Option-Adjusted Spread (OAS) on new

investments purchases;

Retained portfolio balance; Conservation of assets; and Efficiency/administrative expenses. 35%

Single-family segment loss of \$16.3 billion for 2010 was within the target range.

Multifamily segment earnings of \$1.0 billion for 2010 exceeded the target.

Investment segment earnings of \$1.3 billion were below target due to the inclusion

in earnings of mark-to-market (MTM) losses without the offsetting MTM gains

recorded in AOCI, which is the section of the balance sheet that includes MTM

changes on AFS securities.

We exceeded the objectives related to return on assets (ROA) for single-family and

multifamily purchases. 2010 single-family ROA benefited from improved PC price

performance during the first half of the year, which reduced the compensation we

provided to customers for the difference in price between our PCs and comparable

Fannie Mae securities. 2010 Multifamily ROA benefited from gains on

securitization activity under our CME initiative.

For the underwriting quality on new purchases:

Single-Family: The estimated default costs for the worst quintile of new

purchases was 11 bps, significantly less than the target of 40 to 50 bps

Multifamily: The weighted average DSCR for the lowest 10% of newly

purchased multifamily conventional loans, based on origination DSCR, was

1.25x the debt payment, which achieved the target of 1.23x or greater.

The OAS on new purchases for the investment portfolio was below target due to

transactions entered into to improve security performance. We would have achieved

the OAS target had these transactions been excluded.

The year-end unpaid principal balance of the retained portfolio was \$697 billion,

well below the \$810 billion limit prescribed in the Senior Preferred Stock Purchase

Agreement.

With regard to conservation of assets:

Draws from Treasury of \$13.0 billion for 2010, which include the

\$500 million draw request that FHFA will submit to Treasury to eliminate our

net worth deficit at December 31, 2010, were within the target range;

2010 credit losses of \$14.2 billion were just under the low end of the target

range. See RISK MANAGEMENT Portfolio Management Activities

Credit Loss Performance for more information; and Net accounting savings on foreclosure alternatives over the estimated costs had

the loans gone to REO of \$1.6 billion exceeded the high end of the target

range of \$1.0 to \$1.5 billion.

We met the objective of limiting 2010 administrative expenses, excluding non-

recurring items such as the costs associated with special policy and housing

initiatives such as the MHA program, to no more than \$1.509 billion. 2010

administrative expenses measured on this basis totaled \$1.4 billion.

Successfully executed the annual internal audit plan.

Accounting and Controls

Execute the 2010 internal audit plan;

Complete the implementation of accounting standards relating to transfers of financial assets and consolidation of variable

interest

entities; and

Complete Sarbanes-Oxley

Section 404

work to support the 2009

financial

year certification.

Implemented new accounting standards relating to transfers of financial assets and

consolidation of variable interest entities.

Successfully completed work necessary to support certification under Section 404

of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act for the 2009 financial year.

Business Infrastructure

Complete the 2010 elements of

our

business infrastructure plan.

Achieved milestones for nine of the 10 business infrastructure

revised the timetable for one of the multifamily infrastructure

workstreams.

workstreams and

20%

For certain of the performance measures, we have chosen not to disclose the specific target or both the target and actual results because we believe such disclosure would cause us competitive harm, as the disclosures would provide our competitors and customers with proprietary information on how we determine our pricing for the mortgages we purchase or

303 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

guarantee. For these performance measures, management and the Compensation Committee considered the likelihood of achievement when recommending and approving the target or target range. Each target was set at a level determined to be realistic and achievable, taking into account our priorities during conservatorship, including providing liquidity, stability and affordability in a period of tremendous uncertainty in the mortgage markets, as well as the general economic outlook. Management and the Compensation Committee considered a number of factors with respect to each of the Financial Execution performance measures for which the target is not otherwise disclosed in Table 72. These factors included, but were not limited to, the following:

Segment Earnings:

Single-Family: Historical single family segment earnings, delinquency rates, estimated default costs, loan modifications under HAMP, and the impact of adopting accounting standards related to transfers of financial assets and consolidation of variable interest entities.

Multifamily: Historical multifamily segment earnings, delinquency rates, projected volume, and anticipated LIHTC losses.

Investment: Historical investment segment earnings, interest rate trends, the liquidity of the assets in the investment portfolio, and the balance limit on our retained portfolio.

Return on Assets: Historical new purchase ROA, the competitive market, estimated default costs, guarantee fees, and security performance-related costs.

Option-Adjusted Spread on New Investment Purchases: Historical OAS, the impacts of the Federal Reserve s mortgage security purchase program and the balance limit on our retained portfolio.

Conservation of Assets:

Senior Preferred Draws: In addition to the factors considered for Segment Earnings, we also considered the impact of anticipated credit-related expenses, economic conditions and home prices.

Realized Credit Losses: Anticipated charge-offs and REO operations expense.

After reviewing and discussing management s final performance assessment against the performance measures, the Compensation Committee concurred with management s assessment. The Compensation Committee then identified and discussed additional factors that it believed should be taken into consideration in determining the appropriate funding level for the performance-based portion of Deferred Base Salary. These included:

The continued enhancement of the Enterprise Risk Management organization, which strengthens risk-management across the company, provides for a better framework for risk management and improves our work process;

Management s identification of opportunities to improve the processing of foreclosure alternative transactions, specifically loan modifications and short sales;

Our increased focus and accelerated progress with respect to diversity and inclusion; and

Internal control and operational deficiencies identified by management and FHFA during 2010 related to both the Multifamily and Information Technology divisions.

After considering our achievement against the performance objectives as well as the additional factors, which had the overall effect of lowering the funding level, the Compensation Committee developed a preliminary recommended funding level for the performance-based portion of Deferred Base Salary of approximately 88%. The Compensation Committee s preliminary recommendation was submitted to FHFA for review. Following this review, management informed the Compensation Committee that there were no material changes to the assessment that was presented during the fourth quarter of 2010 and this funding level was then formally approved by the Compensation Committee and FHFA.

The following chart compares the target and actual amounts of 2010 Deferred Base Salary for each Named Executive Officer. The actual amount earned is scheduled to be paid in equal quarterly installments on the last business day of each calendar quarter of 2011.

Table 73 2010 Deferred Base Salary

	Target 20	10 Deferred Ba	se Salary	Actual 2010 Deferred Base Salary			
		Performance-	Total Target		Performance-	Total Actual	
			Deferred			Deferred	
		Based	Base		Based	Base	
	Fixed			Fixed			
Named Executive Officer	Portion	Portion	Salary	Portion	Portion	Salary	
Mr. Haldeman	\$ 1,550,000	\$ 1,550,000	3,100,000	\$ 1,550,000	\$ 1,362,450	\$ 2,912,450	
Mr. Kari	829,167	829,166	1,658,333	829,167	728,838	1,558,005	
Mr. Bostrom	680,000	680,000	1,360,000	680,000	597,720	1,277,720	
Mr. Federico	670,000	670,000	1,340,000	670,000	588,930	1,258,930	
Mr. Bisenius	550,000	550,000	1,100,000	550,000	483,450	1,033,450	
		30)4		1	Freddie Mac	

Table of Contents

In order to receive the Deferred Base Salary that was earned during 2010, the Covered Officer must be employed by us on the payment date, subject to certain exceptions. If a Covered Officer is involuntarily terminated, any unpaid Deferred Base Salary will be forfeited unless the Compensation Committee recommends that the Covered Officer receive either all or a portion of the unpaid Deferred Base Salary and the Compensation Committee s recommendation is approved by FHFA after consulting with Treasury, as appropriate. Further, if a Covered Officer voluntarily terminates employment, any unpaid Deferred Base Salary will be forfeited. Accordingly, Mr. Bisenius will forfeit the second, third and fourth quarterly installments of his Deferred Base Salary if he leaves the company as planned on April 1, 2011.

Determination of Actual Target Incentive Opportunity

Over the course of 2010, the Compensation Committee received updates from management on our achievement against the performance objectives used to determine the funding level for the two TIO installments. In the fourth quarter of 2010, management presented the Compensation Committee with a final achievement assessment against the performance objectives used in determining the funding level for the two installments for which payment is based on performance during 2010.

For the first installment of the 2010 TIO, management concluded that we would achieve most, but not all, of the performance objectives. The table below presents the performance measures and management s assessment of our achievement against those performance measures for the first installment of the 2010 TIO.

Table 74 Achievement of Performance Measures for First Installment of 2010 Target Incentive Opportunity

Performance Measure Mission Same as for the performance-based element of Deferred Base Salary.	Weighting 35%	Key Factors Impacting Achievement Assessment Same as for the performance-based element of Deferred Base Salary.
Financial Execution Achieve the Financial Execution objectives related to 2010 new purchases and conservation of assets for the performance-based element of Deferred Base Salary.	20%	As discussed further in Table 72 Achievement of Performance Measures for the Performance Based Portion of Deferred Base Salary , we achieved the 2010 new purchases and conservation of assets objectives.
Accounting and Controls Strengthen the control environment and submit a plan to the Audit Committee to assure more efficient and effective processes are in place to maintain Sarbanes-Oxley compliance.	20%	Controls environment strengthened, but less than expected. Of the four significant deficiencies scheduled for remediation during 2010: One was fully remediated; Two had their scopes expanded and target remediation dates extended into 2011; and One did not meet its target remediation date.
Business Infrastructure Complete the 2010 elements of our business infrastructure plan; and Operate the existing technology and operations infrastructure in an	25%	Achieved milestones for nine of the 10 business infrastructure workstreams: Revised the timetable for one of the multifamily infrastructure workstreams; and Based on performance indicators for key applications and

efficient fashion, while maintaining service and quality standards.

processes, our business infrastructure operated efficiently and service and quality standards were met.

After reviewing and discussing management s final performance assessment against the specific performance measures, the Compensation Committee concurred with management s assessment. The Compensation Committee then identified and discussed one additional factor that it believed should be taken into consideration in determining the appropriate funding level for the first installment of the 2010 TIO. The additional factor considered by the Committee was the overall upgrade in the talent and capability in senior-level roles and how this improvement strengthens our position for the future. After considering both our achievement against the performance objectives as well as the additional factor, which had the overall effect of increasing the funding level, the Compensation Committee developed a preliminary recommended funding level for the 2010 TIO first installment of approximately 95%. The Compensation Committee s preliminary recommendation was submitted to FHFA for review. Following this review, management informed the Committee that there were no material changes to the assessment that was presented during the fourth quarter of 2010 and this funding level was then formally approved by the Compensation Committee and FHFA.

305 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

For the second installment of the 2009 TIO, management concluded that we would fully achieve the performance objectives. The table below presents the performance measures and management s assessment of our achievement against those performance measures for the second installment of the 2009 TIO.

Table 75 Achievement of Performance Measures for Second Installment of 2009 Target Incentive Opportunity

Performance Measure Accounting and Controls Remediation of MRAs that are scheduled to be remediated in 2010 and avoidance of any significant repeat MRAs in 2010	Weighting 25%	Key Factors Impacting Achievement Assessment Completed the necessary steps with respect to all four MRAs scheduled to be remediated No repeat MRAs were identified in 2010
Business Infrastructure Same as for the performance-based element of Deferred Base Salary	75%	Same as for the performance-based element of Deferred Base Salary.

After reviewing and discussing management s final performance assessment against the specified performance measures, the Compensation Committee concurred with management s assessment. The Compensation Committee did not identify any additional factors that should be taken into consideration in determining the appropriate funding level for the second installment of the 2009 TIO. After considering our achievement against the specified performance objectives, the Compensation Committee developed a preliminary recommended funding level for the second installment of the 2009 TIO of approximately 95%. The Compensation Committee s preliminary recommendation was submitted to FHFA for review. Following this review, management informed the Compensation Committee that there were no material changes to the assessment that was presented during the fourth quarter of 2010 and this funding level was then formally approved by the Compensation Committee and FHFA.

For both the 2009 and 2010 TIO installments, the Compensation Committee concurred with the CEO s recommendation that each of the Named Executive Officers (other than Mr. Haldeman) receive a payment equal to the Compensation Committee s approved funding level. While individual differentiation is provided for under the terms of the Executive Compensation Program, there is no requirement that this discretion be exercised. The decision not to vary individual payments was made based on the following factors:

During 2010, all of the Covered Officers who remained employed with us at year-end had either substantially achieved or exceeded the objectives established for them at the start of the year, which are described below; and

The recommendation reinforces to the entire Covered Officer group the need for highly coordinated, cross-functional collaboration.

The Compensation Committee reviewed and approved the CEO s recommendation. After consultation with the other non-management members of the Board and consideration of Mr. Haldeman s performance, the Compensation Committee also approved payments to Mr. Haldeman in amounts equal to the approved funding level for the two Target Incentive Opportunity installments.

FHFA then approved the recommended payment to each Named Executive Officer.

The following chart summarizes the TIO applicable to performance during 2010 for each of the Named Executive Officers under the Executive Compensation Program and the amount of the 2010 TIO that was approved by the Compensation Committee and FHFA and paid on February 18, 2011.

Table 76 2010 Target Incentive Opportunity

	2010 First I	2009 Second Installment		
Named Executive Officer	Target	Actual	Target	Actual
Mr. Haldeman	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 947,000	\$ 395,834	\$ 375,250
Mr. Kari	583,334	552,417	130,502	123,716
Mr. Bostrom	465,000	440,355	465,000	440,820
Mr. Federico	435,000	411,945	419,079	397,287
Mr. Bisenius	375,000	355,125	359,691	340,987

The 2009 second installment amounts for Messrs. Haldeman and Kari reflect a pro-ration of their annual TIO based on their respective dates of hire during 2009.

2010 Target TDC Compared to 2010 Actual TDC

The following table shows 2010 Target TDC compared to the approved 2010 actual TDC for each of the Named Executive Officers. The amounts displayed in both the Total Target and Total Actual columns include the sum of Semi-

306 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

Monthly Base Salary, Deferred Base Salary and those amounts associated with the first installment of the 2010 TIO and the second installment of the 2009 TIO payments.

Table 77 2010 Target TDC Compared to the Approved 2010 Actual TDC

	2010 Semi-Monthly	2010 Deferr	ed Base Salary	Oppor (2010 1st Ins	rtunity stallment and nstallment)	Tot	$tal^{(1)}$
amed Executive Officer	Base Salary	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual
r. Haldeman	\$ 900,000	\$ 3,100,000	\$ 2,912,450	\$ 1,395,834	\$ 1,322,250	\$ 5,395,834	\$ 5,134,700
r. Kari	675,000	1,658,333	1,558,005	713,836	676,133	3,047,169	2,909,138
r. Bostrom	500,000	1,360,000	1,277,720	930,000	881,175	2,790,000	2,658,895
r. Federico	400,000	1,340,000	1,258,930	854,079	809,232	2,594,079	2,468,162
r. Bisenius	400,000	1,100,000	1,033,450(2)	734,691	696,112	2,234,691	2,129,562

- (1) The table does not include the second installment of each Named Executive Officer s 2010 Target Incentive Opportunity that is scheduled to be paid in March 2012.
- (2) Mr. Bisenius will forfeit the second, third and fourth quarterly installments of his Deferred Base Salary if he leaves the company as planned on April 1, 2011.

Named Executive Officer Individual Performance Objectives

The chart below describes the individual performance measures for our Named Executive Officers, as well as their level of achievement against those performance measures. The majority of these individual performance measures were either one of the corporate performance measures or supported achievement of one of the corporate performance measures. Achievement against the corporate performance measures is discussed in Determination of the Performance-Based Portion of Deferred Base Salary and Determination of Actual Target Incentive Opportunity for Named Executive Officers.

307 Freddie Mac

Torget Incentive

Table of Contents

Individual Performance Measures

Mr. Haldeman

Lead the execution of objectives included in the corporate scorecard; In 2010, Mr. Haldeman created a strong Enhance human capital initiatives related to diversity and inclusion and management continuity; and leadership team and was able to maintain management continuity through a time of

Foster a risk management culture throughout the company, including incorporating risk-adjusted measures in management decision making and the assessment of business performance.

Mr. Kari

Complete all finance-related business infrastructure initiative deliverables:

Identify opportunities to meet or exceed the Financial Execution and Mission goals in the corporate scorecard;

Complete all activities necessary to certify compliance with Sarbanes-Oxley Section 404;

Timely implement accounting standards relating to transfers of financial assets and consolidation of variable interest entities; and Identify and execute on opportunities to reduce corporate expenses.

Assessment of Performance

In 2010, Mr. Haldeman created a strong leadership team and was able to maintain management continuity through a time of change and departures of top executives in the Human Resources, Technology and Risk functions. He led human capital initiatives to create an atmosphere of openness and accessibility with employees, including the creation of a new division the Office of Diversity and Inclusion. He strengthened the risk-management discipline across the company, providing a better framework for risk management and improving our risk management process.

Mr. Kari successfully executed several key projects while also bringing about multiple structural improvements in the Finance division. He achieved the finance-related business infrastructure plan deliverables, led the timely implementation of accounting standards relating to transfers of financial assets and consolidation of variable interest entities, and managed the activities necessary to certify compliance with Sarbanes-Oxley Section 404. Mr. Kari was also instrumental in coordinating activities between various divisions in order to resolve business issues and identify opportunities to meet or exceed corporate scorecard objectives. He demonstrated effective leadership as he designed, communicated, and implemented a significant reorganization.

Mr. Bostrom

Manage high profile, complex litigation and corporate investigations;

Coordinate our compliance with new SEC disclosure requirements related to Board governance and compensation matters;

Provide effective legal advice and support to business units in the execution of their 2010 transaction goals and business plans, including the Making Home Affordable Program;

Manage the company s response to a high volume of significant newly enacted regulations, regulatory orders and guidance, including

Mr. Bostrom s technical proficiency and experience allowed us to manage demanding and complex legal issues. In particular, he managed complex litigation and government and corporate investigations and performed additional activities created by the current environment, including significant business transactions. He advised on numerous significant federal and state legislative

the financial services reform legislation; and

Establish a corporate e-discovery program to mitigate litigation-related risks.

Mr. Federico

Support and provide liquidity and stability in the mortgage market by:

Satisfying the portfolio size limit prescribed in the Senior Preferred Stock Purchase Agreement; and,

Achieving the Option Adjusted Spread target on new purchases; Maximize the effectiveness of funding and liquidity management activities:

Enhance the internal controls of the Investments and Capital Markets division; and

Improve the flexibility, transparency and effectiveness of investment-related models.

matters, provided advice on conservatorship operations and business transactions, and provided advice on new initiatives and regulatory matters. The Legal division also acquired an e-discovery solution that will create efficiencies in litigation activities.

Mr. Federico was successful in building strong and collaborative relationships within the company and with our conservator that helped in the initiation and implementation of strategies to meet the applicable objectives in the corporate scorecard and provide liquidity and stability in the mortgage market. He also worked with our regulator to develop and implement a more comprehensive and stringent set of liquidity measures and limits. During 2010, all interest rate risks were maintained within predetermined limits, all open Matters Requiring Attention were remediated according to the approved schedules, and all model enhancements were implemented as planned.

Mr. Bisenius

Lead achievement of the applicable objectives in the corporate scorecard related to sourcing profitable new business;

Manage credit losses on the existing mortgage portfolio;

Complete the applicable elements of 2010 the business infrastructure scorecard objectives applicable to new plan; and purchases of single-family mortgages v

Meet the 2010 affordable housing goals and subgoals applicable to new single-family purchases.

Under Mr. Bisenius leadership, during 2010 the single-family guarantee business achieved or exceeded all of the corporate scorecard objectives applicable to new purchases of single-family mortgages while maintaining strong credit quality. He also effectively managed credit losses on existing mortgages and achieved all of his division s business infrastructure plan deliverables. With respect to the affordable housing goals, based on preliminary information, it is expected that all of the single-family goals will be achieved with the exception of one goal and its related subgoal.

Written Agreements Relating to Employment of CEO and CFO

We have entered into: (a) a Memorandum Agreement; and (b) a recapture agreement with each of Messrs. Haldeman and Kari in connection with their employment as our executive officers. Copies of the Memorandum Agreement and the recapture agreement regarding Messrs. Haldeman and Kari were filed as Exhibits 10.1 and 10.2, respectively, to our Current

308 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

Reports on Form 8-K filed on July 21 and September 24, 2009 with respect to each executive s employment with us. We have also entered into indemnification agreements with certain of our current directors and executive officers, each, an indemnitee, including Messrs. Haldeman and Kari. A copy of the general form of indemnification agreement is filed as Exhibit 10.2 to our Form 8-K filed on December 23, 2008.

The indemnification agreements provide that we will indemnify the indemnitee to the fullest extent permitted by our Bylaws and Virginia law. This obligation includes, subject to certain terms and conditions, indemnification against all liabilities and expenses (including attorneys fees) actually and reasonably incurred by the indemnitee in connection with any threatened or pending action, suit or proceeding, except such liabilities and expenses as are incurred because of the indemnitee s willful misconduct or knowing violation of criminal law. The indemnification agreements provide that if requested by the indemnitee, we will advance expenses, subject to repayment by the indemnitee of any funds advanced if it is ultimately determined that the indemnitee is not entitled to indemnification. The rights to indemnification under the indemnification agreements are not exclusive of any other right the indemnitee may have under any statute, agreement or otherwise. Our obligations under the indemnification agreements will continue after the indemnitee is no longer a director or officer of the company with respect to any possible claims based on the fact that the indemnitee was a director or officer, and the indemnification agreements will remain in effect in the event the conservatorship is terminated. The indemnification agreements also provide that indemnification for actions instituted by FHFA will be governed by the standards set forth in FHFA s Notice of Proposed Rulemaking published in the Federal Register on November 14, 2008, proposing an amendment to FHFA s interim final golden parachute payments regulation to address prohibited and permissible indemnification payments. In January 2009, FHFA issued final regulations relating to golden parachute payments. Under those final regulations, FHFA may limit golden parachute payments, and the regulations set forth factors to be considered by the Director of FHFA in acting upon his authority to limit these payments. A proposed rule was published by FHFA in June 2009 that has not yet been adopted in final form. In general, this proposal would give FHFA the authority to prohibit indemnification payments in cases involving administrative proceedings before FHFA or civil actions initiated by FHFA.

The compensation provisions of each executive s Memorandum Agreement, in combination with provisions of the Executive Compensation Program, are summarized separately below. Additional information about the components of executive compensation is discussed above in *Elements of Compensation and Total Direct Compensation*.

Mr. Haldeman s compensation is as follows:

A Semi-Monthly Base Salary of \$900,000 per year;

Deferred Base Salary in the amount of \$3.1 million for each of 2009 and 2010, payable as described above; and

A Target Incentive Opportunity in the amount of \$2.0 million for each of 2009 and 2010, payable as described above.

Mr. Kari s compensation is as follows:

A Semi-Monthly Base Salary of no less than \$675,000 per year;

Deferred Base Salary of \$1,658,333 for each of 2009 and 2010, payable as described above;

A Target Incentive Opportunity of \$1,166,667 for each of 2009 and 2010, payable as described above; and

A cash sign-on award of \$1,950,000 in recognition of the annual incentive opportunity and unvested equity that Mr. Kari forfeited by leaving his previous employer. This award was paid in installments during Mr. Kari s first

year of employment with us (25% in October 2009, 25% in April 2010, and 50% in October 2010). A portion of each installment is subject to repayment in the event that, prior to the first anniversary of an installment payment date, Mr. Kari terminates his employment with us for any reason or we terminate his employment for cause (as defined in the Memorandum Agreement).

Their Memorandum Agreements provide that Messrs. Haldeman and Kari will receive the following additional forms of compensation during their employment with us:

The opportunity to participate in all employee benefit plans offered to our senior executive officers, including our SERP, pursuant to the terms of these plans. For a description of these plans see Compensation Tables below; and

If we terminate the employment of Mr. Haldeman or Mr. Kari for any reason other than cause (as defined in the Memorandum Agreement), he will be eligible to receive termination benefits pursuant to the terms of any then-applicable severance plan or policy, subject to the approval of FHFA. Executive Compensation Program participants, including Messrs. Haldeman and Kari, are not currently entitled to a guaranteed level of severance benefits upon any type of termination event other than death or disability. For additional information on compensation and benefits payable in the event of a termination of employment, see Potential Payments Upon Termination of Employment or Change-in-Control below.

We have also entered into recapture and restrictive covenant agreements with each of the executives. The recapture requirements included in these agreements, and the similar recapture requirements applicable to all other Covered Officers

309 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

under the Recapture Policy, are described below under Recapture Policy. The non-competition and non-solicitation provisions included in the restrictive covenant agreement are described in Potential Payments Upon Termination of Employment or Change-in-Control.

Other Executive Compensation Considerations

Perquisites

We believe that perquisites should be a minimal part of the compensation package for our Named Executive Officers. We provide certain perquisites because we believe there is a business-related benefit, including that the perquisites assist in attracting and retaining executive talent. Shortly after being placed in conservatorship, and following a thorough review of competitive market practices, we eliminated a number of perquisites we previously offered and determined that only certain perquisites are appropriate. Accordingly, only the following perquisites were provided to the Named Executive Officers during 2010:

Personal Financial Planning. Reimbursement for assistance with personal financial planning, tax planning, and/or estate planning, up to an annual maximum benefit that varies by position; and

Relocation Benefits. Under our relocation program, we provide assistance in finding a new home and selling an existing home, which may involve the purchase of the Named Executive Officer s existing home. We also pay the cost of packing and transporting household goods, provide temporary lodging, reimburse certain travel expenses, and provide a one-time payment to cover miscellaneous expenses.

Although available, none of the Named Executive Officers received either of the following perquisites during 2010: (a) reimbursement of up to \$700 of expenses associated with a comprehensive annual physical exam that are not otherwise covered by the Named Executive Officer s medical insurance; and (b) reimbursement of business-related spousal travel expenses.

Total annual perquisites for any Named Executive Officer cannot exceed \$25,000 without FHFA approval and none of the perquisites include a gross-up for taxes due on the perquisite itself.

Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan

Our Named Executive Officers are eligible to participate in our Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, or SERP. The SERP is designed to provide participants with the full amount of benefits to which they would have been entitled under our Pension Plan and Thrift/401(k) Savings Plan if those plans: (a) were not subject to certain limits on compensation that can be taken into account under the Internal Revenue Code; and (b) did not exclude from compensation amounts deferred under our Executive Deferred Compensation Plan and the Mandatory Executive Deferred Base Salary Plan. Effective January 1, 2010, the SERP was amended at the direction of FHFA to provide that the maximum covered compensation for purposes of the SERP, relative to a Covered Officer, may not exceed two times the Covered Officer s Semi-Monthly Base Salary. A copy of the amendment to the SERP is filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Form 8-K filed on December 24, 2009.

We provide a SERP because it helps us to remain competitive with the companies with which we compete for talent and thereby assists in attracting and retaining executive talent. For additional information regarding this benefit see Compensation Tables below.

Recapture Policy

The Recapture Policy provides that certain compensation paid under the Executive Compensation Program will be subject to recapture if any of the following events occur subsequent to the date that the Named Executive Officer agreed to the terms of the Recapture Policy.

Payment Based on Materially Inaccurate Information If the Named Executive Officer obtains a bonus or incentive payment based on materially inaccurate financial statements or performance metrics.

Termination for Cause If the Named Executive Officer s employment is terminated for cause, as defined in the Recapture Policy.

Subsequent Determination of Cause If, within two years of the termination of the Named Executive Officer's employment, the Board makes a determination in good faith that circumstances existed at the time of the Named Executive Officer's termination that would have justified a termination for cause and that actions taken by the Named Executive Officer resulted in material business or reputational harm to us.

The additional event listed below is applicable only to Messrs. Haldeman and Kari.

Accounting Restatement Resulting from the Executive s Misconduct If misconduct by the CEO and/or the CFO necessitates the preparation of an accounting restatement due to material non-compliance with financial reporting requirements.

310 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

If any of these triggering events occur, the Board will determine whether more compensation was paid to the Named Executive Officer than would otherwise have been paid had we been aware of the triggering event or events at the time the compensation was paid or awarded. If such a determination is made, the following elements of compensation will be subject to recapture: (a) Deferred Base Salary; (b) Target Incentive Opportunity; (c) any equity awards that vest after the adoption of the Executive Compensation Program; and (d) any termination benefits paid. Only compensation paid up to two years prior to the triggering event or the date of termination or compensation paid at the time of termination, as applicable, will be subject to recapture. Additionally, the occurrence of a triggering event may result in cancellation of any future payment obligations and/or any outstanding equity awards.

The amount of compensation recaptured will be determined by the Board, subject to the guidelines described above. Additional details are included in the Recapture Policy, which was filed as Exhibit 10.4 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 31, 2009. For the triggering event applicable only to Messrs. Haldeman and Kari, the compensation subject to recapture will be determined in accordance with Section 304 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.

Stock Ownership and Hedging Policies

In November 2008, FHFA approved the suspension of our stock ownership guidelines because we had ceased paying our executives stock-based compensation. Also, the Purchase Agreement prohibits us from issuing any shares of our equity securities without the prior written consent of Treasury. The suspension of stock ownership requirements is expected to continue through the conservatorship and until we resume granting stock-based compensation.

All employees, including our Named Executive Officers, are prohibited from purchasing and selling derivative securities related to our equity securities, including warrants, puts and calls, or from dealing in any derivative securities other than pursuant to our stock-based benefit plans. All directors and employees (including the Named Executive Officers) are prohibited from transacting in options (other than options granted by us) or other hedging instruments as specified in our Insider Trading Policy. In addition, all directors and employees (including our Named Executive Officers) are prohibited from holding our securities in a margin account or pledging our securities as collateral for a loan.

Section 162(m) Limits on the Tax Deductibility of Our Compensation Expenses

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code imposes a \$1 million limit on the amount that a company may annually deduct for compensation to its CEO and certain other Named Executive Officers, unless, among other things, the compensation is performance-based, as defined in section 162(m). Given the conservatorship and the desire to maintain flexibility to promote our corporate goals, awards of retention and deferred pay, and long-term incentive awards for 2010 performance are not structured to qualify as performance-based compensation under section 162(m).

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

None of the members of the Board of Directors who served on the Compensation Committee during fiscal year 2010 were our officers or employees or had any relationship with us that would be required to be disclosed by us under Item 407(e)(4) of Regulation S-K.

311 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

Compensation Committee Report

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis with management and, based on such review and discussion, has recommended to the Board that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

This report is respectfully submitted by the members of the Compensation Committee of the Board.

Eugene B. Shanks, Jr., Chairman Linda B. Bammann Christopher S. Lynch Clayton S. Rose

Compensation and Risk

Our management conducted an assessment of our compensation plans and programs that were in place during 2010 and that were applicable to employees at all levels, including the Executive Compensation Program in which our executives participate. The purpose of the assessment was to determine whether the design and operation of our compensation plans create incentives for employees to take inappropriate risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on us. The assessment was conducted by members of our enterprise risk management and human resources teams, as well as by Aon Hewitt, management s compensation consultant.

The review included an evaluation of the mix of fixed and variable compensation; eligibility for participation in incentive programs, the process by which target compensation levels are established, the process for establishing performance objectives and for evaluating performance against those objectives, the methodology used to allocate the incentive funding among divisions, departments, and individual employees (including maximum individual payout levels); and the involvement of the Compensation Committee and FHFA in the compensation process. An evaluation was also made of the linkage between corporate and divisional performance objectives.

The assessment was discussed with the Compensation Committee in December 2010. Management s conclusion, with which the Compensation Committee concurred, is that our compensation policies and practices do not create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on us. In reaching this conclusion, management considered a number of factors, including the following:

Our compensation programs are designed to provide an appropriate mix of both fixed and variable compensation;

The variable elements of compensation provide an appropriate mix of annual and multi-year incentives based on our current state and objectives;

We utilize a balanced set of financial and operational objectives for both the annual and multi-year incentive plans that are focused on four key aspects of our operations: (a) mission; (b) financial and risk; (c) business infrastructure; and (d) accounting and controls;

Payouts under the annual and multi-year incentive plans are not formulaic in nature and the Compensation Committee has the discretion to adjust the funding levels based on any factor or factors it determines to be relevant, which mitigates the risk that employees will place an inappropriate focus on achievement of any single objective;

The Compensation Committee s oversight of our compensation plans and programs and, during conservatorship, FHFA s role in structuring and overseeing our compensation plans and programs; and

Our adoption of a compensation recapture policy applicable to all senior officers that enables us to recoup certain elements of previously paid compensation upon the occurrence of specified events, including payment based on materially inaccurate financial information.

312 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

Compensation Tables

The following tables set forth compensation information for our Named Executive Officers: our Chief Executive Officer, our Chief Financial Officer, and our three other most highly compensated executive officers who were serving as executive officers as of December 31, 2010.

Change in

Table 78 Summary Compensation Table 2010

								Pension Value and	
		Sa Paid during	alary		Stock	Ontion	Non-Equity Incentive Plan		All Othor
	Year	Year ⁽¹⁾	Deferred ⁽²⁾	Bonus ⁽³⁾		Option AwardsC		Compensation (⁵⁾ Earnings ⁽⁶ Co	
leman, Jr.	2010	\$ 900,000	\$ 1,550,000	\$	\$	\$	\$ 2,684,700	\$ 214,460	\$ 104,374
Officer	2009	356,250	1,277,083				395,833		56,489
	2010	675,000	829,167	1,462,500			1,404,971	69,742	391,276
nancial Officer	2009	151,010	370,999	487,500			130,502		69,290
om	2010	500,000	680,000				1,478,895	148,151	97,232
Counsel &	2009	600,000	1,260,000	405,000			663,750	144,534	124,103
tary	2008	600,000		180,000	1,650,030			105,907	106,694
c o	2010	400,000	670,000				1,398,162	249,147	97,846
nts & Capital asurer	2009	381,629	1,294,685	405,000			838,438	85,525	121,522
i ius amily Credit	2010	400,000	550,000				1,179,562	230,069	92,052

- (1) The amounts shown for 2010 and 2009 represent Semi-Monthly Base Salary under the Executive Compensation Program as described in Compensation Discussion and Analysis Executive Management Compensation Program and, for 2008, base salary.
- (2) The amounts shown represent the fixed portion of Deferred Base Salary earned under the terms of the Executive Compensation Program. The fixed portion of the 2010 Deferred Base Salary earned during each calendar quarter in 2010 will be paid in cash on the last business day of the corresponding quarter in 2011, provided the Named Executive Officer is employed by us on such payment date or in the event such officer dies, retires or has a long-term disability in 2011. The remaining portion of the 2010 Deferred Base Salary is reported in Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation because it is performance-based and the amount that is paid is variable.

 Mr. Bisenius will forfeit the second, third and fourth quarterly installments of his Deferred Base Salary if he leaves the company as planned on April 1, 2011.

Amounts shown as 2009 Deferred Base Salary were earned during each calendar quarter in 2009 and paid in cash on the last business day of the corresponding quarter in 2010.

- (3) The amounts shown for Mr. Kari represent the portion of the cash sign-on bonus paid in 2009 and 2010, which he received in recognition of the forfeited annual incentive opportunity and unvested equity at his previous employer. See CD&A Written Agreements Relating to Employment of CEO and CFO. The amounts shown in 2009 for Messrs. Bostrom and Federico represent the second and third service-based installment payments under the retention awards granted in 2008. The amount shown in 2008 for Mr. Bostrom represents the first service-based installment payment under the retention award granted in 2008.
- (4) The amount reported for stock awards is the aggregate grant date fair value of restricted stock unit awards granted during the year indicated, computed in accordance with FASB Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718 (Compensation Stock Compensation), except that the amount reported does not reflect estimated forfeitures. While grants of RSUs include the right to receive dividend equivalents, dividends on our common stock have been suspended during conservatorship by order of the Conservator.

Stock options granted prior to January 1, 2006 also include dividend equivalent rights on each share underlying the option. Payment of accrued dividend equivalents on stock options vested as of December 31, 2004 occurs as options are exercised or expire unexercised. Of the Named Executive Officers, only Mr. Federico received cash payments during 2010 (\$16,726) and 2009 (\$13,274) for dividend equivalents associated with options that expired unexercised. Dividend equivalents on stock options vested after December 31, 2004 were paid at the same time that dividends were declared and paid on our common stock.

(5) The 2010 amounts reported reflect the portion of the 2010 and 2009 Target Incentive Opportunities that were earned for 2010 and paid on February 18, 2011 and the performance-based portion of the 2010 Deferred Base Salary earned during each calendar quarter in 2010 which is scheduled to be paid on the last business day of the corresponding quarter in 2011. See CD&A Executive Management Compensation Program Performance Measures for the Performance-Based Elements of Compensation. As noted above, Mr. Bisenius will forfeit the second, third and fourth quarterly installments of his Deferred Base Salary if he leaves the company as planned on April 1, 2011.

The 2009 amounts reported reflect the portion of the 2009 Target Incentive Opportunity that was earned for 2009 and paid on March 12, 2010. The 2009 amounts reported for Messrs. Bostrom and Federico also include the final, performance-based portions of the September 2008 retention awards of \$315,000 each, paid on March 15, 2010.

(6) Except for the deferred compensation amounts described in the last paragraph of this note, the amounts reported in this column reflect only the actuarial increase in the present value of each Named Executive Officer's accrued benefits under our Pension Plan and the Pension SERP Benefit determined using the time periods and assumptions applied in our consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009, and 2010, respectively.

With the exception of Messrs. Bostrom, Federico, and Bisenius, the values reported include amounts that the Named Executive Officers are not currently entitled to receive because such amounts are not yet vested. The amounts reported do not include values associated with retiree medical benefits, which are generally available on the same terms to all employees. Deferred Base Salary under the Executive Compensation Program is not considered compensation eligible for deferral in accordance with the EDCP. The Executive Compensation Program does not provide for interest on Deferred Base Salary.

For 2009, the amounts reported in this column for Mr. Federico include above-market earnings (\$126) on his accumulated balances in the EDCP as of December 31, 2009.

313 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

(7) Amounts reflect (i) basic and matching contributions we made to our tax-qualified Thrift/401(k) Savings Plan; (ii) accruals we made pursuant to the Thrift/401(k) SERP Benefit; (iii) FlexDollars (described below); and (iv) perquisites and other personal benefits received. These amounts for 2010 are as follows:

	Thrift/401(k) Savings Plan	Thrift/401(k) SERP Benefit	Total Flex	
	Contributions	Accruals	Dollars	Perquisites
Mr. Haldeman	\$	\$ 22,500	\$ 19,035	\$ 62,839
Mr. Kari			14,792	376,484
Mr. Bostrom	18,689	64,375	14,168	
Mr. Federico	22,364	53,700	21,782	
Mr. Bisenius	22,364	53,700	15,988	

Employer contributions to the Thrift/401(k) Savings Plan are available on the same terms to all of our employees. We match up to the first 6% of eligible compensation at 100% of the employee s contributions, with the percentage matched dependent upon the employee s length of service. Employee contributions and our matching contributions are invested in accordance with the employee s investment elections and are immediately vested. In addition, on a discretionary basis, we may make an additional contribution to our Thrift/401(k) Savings Plan, referred to as the basic contribution, that is allocated on behalf of each eligible employee, based on a stated percentage of each employee s eligible compensation. When we make a basic contribution, it occurs after the end of the calendar year to which it relates. The formula for the contribution is 2% of pay up to the Social Security wage base, which was \$106,800 for 2010, and 4% of pay above the Social Security wage base. Basic contributions were approved and posted to employees accounts in 2008, 2009, and 2010. Basic contributions received on or after January 1, 2008 are subject to a graded vesting schedule such that employees with less than five years of service are not fully vested in a basic contribution on the contribution date, but they become vested at the rate of 20% per year over the first five years of service.

For additional information regarding the Thrift/401(k) SERP Benefit, see Non-qualified Deferred Compensation below. Amounts for the Thrift/401(k) Savings Plan contributions and Thrift/401(k) SERP Benefit accruals are presented without regard to vesting status. To be eligible for the portion of the Thrift/401(k) SERP Benefit attributable to matching contributions, the Named Executive Officer must contribute the maximum amount permitted under the terms of the Thrift/401(k) Savings Plan on a pre-tax basis throughout the entire period of the year in which the Named Executive Officer is eligible to make such contributions. Mr. Haldeman contributed the maximum amount to the Thrift/401(k) Savings Plan prior to completing the one year service requirement needed to be eligible for Thrift/401(k) SERP Benefit accruals in 2010. Mr. Kari did not contribute the required amount and thus was not eligible for Thrift/401(k) SERP Benefit accruals in 2010.

FlexDollars are provided under our Flexible Benefits Plan and are generally available to all employees to offset costs related to medical, dental and vision coverage, group term life insurance, accidental death and personal loss insurance, and vacation purchase. FlexDollars can be used to offset the cost of other benefits and any unused FlexDollars are payable as taxable income.

Perquisites are valued at their aggregate incremental cost to us. During the years reported, the aggregate value of perquisites received by all Named Executive Officers other than Messrs. Haldeman and Kari was less than \$10,000. In accordance with SEC rules, amounts shown under All Other Compensation do not include perquisites or personal benefits for a Named Executive Officer that, in the aggregate, amount to less than \$10,000.

The amount shown in the Perquisites column for Mr. Haldeman consists entirely of relocation expenses paid as part of the relocation benefit we agreed to provide when we hired him. The amount shown in the Perquisites column for Mr. Kari consists of (a) relocation expenses of \$369,484 paid as part of the relocation benefit we agreed to provide when we hired him; and (b) financial planning services. As part of our standard executive relocation program, we purchased Mr. Kari s former home at a price equal to the average of two independent appraisals, while the price at which the home ultimately sold was significantly lower because of a decline in the home s value between our purchase and the sale. SEC rules require that we include this difference as fiscal year 2010 compensation.

We calculated the incremental cost to us of providing each of Mr. Haldeman s and Mr. Kari s relocation expenses based on actual cost; that is, the total amount of expenses incurred by us in providing the benefit.

The amounts shown in All Other Compensation for 2009 for Messrs. Haldeman and Kari have been restated to include payments for relocation services (\$9,644 and \$10,152, respectively) incurred late in 2009 and inadvertently excluded from amounts previously reported.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards 2010

The following table contains information concerning grants of plan-based awards to each of the Named Executive Officers during 2010. We are prohibited from issuing equity securities, without Treasury s consent, under the terms of the Purchase Agreement. Accordingly, no stock awards were granted during 2010. For a description of the performance and other measures used to determine payouts, see CD&A Executive Management Compensation Program Elements of Compensation and Total Direct Compensation Deferred Base Salary, Target Incentive Opportunity, Performance Measures for the Performance-Based Elements of Compensation, Determination of the Performance-Based Portion of 2010 Deferred Base Salary, and Determination of Actual Target Incentive Opportunity.

314 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

Table 79 Grants of Plan-Based Awards 2010

N		No	Estimated Future on-Equity Incentiv	re Plan Awards ⁽¹⁾
Name	Award	Thresh	nold Target	Maximum
	Target Incentive			
Mr. Haldeman	Opportunity	\$	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 3,000,000
	Performance-Based Deferre	ed		
	Base Salary		1,550,000	1,937,500
	Total		3,550,000	4,937,500
	Target Incentive			
Mr. Kari	Opportunity		1,166,667	1,750,001
	Performance-Based Deferre	ed		
	Base Salary		829,166	1,036,458
	Total		1,995,833	2,786,459
	Target Incentive			
Mr. Bostrom	Opportunity		930,000	1,395,000
	Performance-Based Deferre	ed		
	Base Salary		680,000	850,000
	Total		1,610,000	2,245,000
	Target Incentive			
Mr. Federico	Opportunity		870,000	1,305,000
	Performance-Based Deferre	ed		
	Base Salary		670,000	837,500
	Total		1,540,000	2,142,500
	Target Incentive			
Mr. Bisenius	Opportunity		750,000	1,125,000
	Performance-Based Deferre	ed		
	Base Salary		550,000	687,500
	Total		1,300,000	1,812,500

⁽¹⁾ The amounts reported reflect the Target Incentive Opportunity and the performance-based portion of the Deferred Base Salary granted in 2010. The Target Incentive Opportunity actually earned can range from 0% of target (reported in the Threshold column) up to a maximum of 150% of target (reported in the Maximum column). The performance-based portion of the Deferred Base Salary actually earned can range from 0% of target (reported in the Threshold column) up to a maximum of 125% of target (reported in the Maximum column). However, while the Executive Compensation Program allows for an approved funding level greater than 100%, it is the current intention of the Compensation Committee not to approve a funding level in excess of 100% while the company is in conservatorship. Actual amounts earned are reported in the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column of Table 78 Summary Compensation Table 2010.

The 2010 Target Incentive Opportunity is scheduled to be paid in two installments, the first of which occurred on February 18, 2011, and the second of which is scheduled to occur no later than March 15, 2012. The

performance-based portion of the 2010 Deferred Base Salary is payable in equal quarterly installments on the last business day of each quarter in 2011.

Mr. Bisenius will forfeit all future payouts of his non-equity incentive plan awards if he leaves the company as planned on April 1, 2011.

315 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End 2010

The following table shows outstanding equity awards held by the Named Executive Officers as of December 31, 2010.

Table 80 Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End 2010

			N I 6	Number	Awards ⁽³⁾		Stock A	wards ⁽³⁾
			Number of Securities				Number of Shares or	Market Value of Shares or
			Underlying Unexercise	•		Option	Units of	Units of Stock That
	Award		Options	Options	Exercise Price	Expiration	Have Not	Have Not Vested
Name	Type ⁽¹⁾	Grant Date	Exercisab le n(#xercisable	e (#) \$) ⁽²⁾	Date	Vested (#)	$(\$)^{(4)}$
Mr. Haldeman Mr. Kari					\$			\$
Mr. Bostrom	SO	06/05/06	11,950	0	\$ 60.45	06/04/16		
	RSU	03/29/07	,		7 00110		3,762	1,147
	RSU	03/07/08					31,044	9,469
Mr. Federico	SO	03/02/01	1,870	0	\$ 67.85	03/01/11	- ,-	,
	SO	03/01/02	2,870	0	\$ 64.35	02/29/12		
	SO	03/13/03	4,000	0	\$ 52.65	03/12/13		
	SO	04/01/04	3,590	0	\$ 59.51	03/31/14		
	SO	06/04/04	2,330	0	\$ 58.92	06/03/14		
	SO	04/11/05	4,730	0	\$ 62.79	04/10/15		
	RSU	03/29/07					4,573	1,395
	RSU	03/07/08					30,413	9,276
Mr. Bisenius	SO	03/02/01	4,720	0	\$ 67.85	03/01/11		
	SO	03/01/02	5,480	0	\$ 64.35	02/29/12		
	SO	11/26/03	4,700	0	\$ 54.30	11/25/13		
	SO	08/09/04	4,500	0	\$ 64.36	08/08/14		
	SO	04/11/05	4,420	0	\$ 62.79	04/10/15		
	RSU	03/29/07					1,513	461
	RSU	03/07/08					11,451	3,493

- (1) The rows labeled SO indicate stock options and the rows labeled RSU indicate restricted stock units.
- (2) Consistent with the terms of our 2004 Employee Plan, the option exercise price was set at a price equal to the fair market value of our common stock on the grant date.
- (3) Amounts reported in this table for RSUs represent the unvested portion of awards, while amounts reported in this table for options represent the unexercised portion of awards. Except for those awards noted in the two bullets below, the option and stock awards listed in the table vest in four equal annual installments beginning on the anniversary of the grant date:

A portion of the RSUs granted on March 7, 2008 vest in three annual installments (33%, 33%, and 34%) beginning on the anniversary of the grant date. The outstanding portion of these awards consisted of 4,326 RSUs for Mr. Bostrom and 2,423 RSUs for Mr. Federico; and

Stock options granted on March 2, 2001, March 1, 2002, March 13, 2003, and June 4, 2004 vested at a rate of 25% on each of the second, third, fourth, and fifth anniversaries of the grant date.

- Stock options granted on November 26, 2003 vested at a rate of 25% annually beginning on March 6, 2005. Stock options granted on August 9, 2004 vested at a rate of 25% beginning on the first anniversary of the grant date, and 25% on April 1, 2006, April 1, 2007, and April 1, 2008.
- (4) Market value is calculated by multiplying the number of RSUs held by each Named Executive Officer on December 31, 2010 by the closing price of our common stock on December 31, 2010 (\$0.305), the last trading day of the year.

For information on alternative settlement provisions of RSU and stock option grants in the event of certain terminations, see Table 84 Potential Payments Upon Termination of Employment or Change-in-Control as of December 31, 2010 below.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested 2010

The following table sets forth information concerning value realized upon the vesting of RSUs during 2010 by each of the Named Executive Officers. No Named Executive Officer exercised options in 2010.

Table 81 Option Exercises and Stock Vested 2010

	Stock Aw	Stock Awards					
	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting	Value	Realized				
Name	$(#)^{(1)}$	on Vesting $(\$)^{(2)}$					
Mr. Haldeman	0	\$	0				
Mr. Kari	0		0				
Mr. Bostrom	27,067	33,105					
Mr. Federico	23,821	29	9,278				
Mr. Bisenius	8,226	10	0,107				

- (1) Amounts reported reflect the number of RSUs that vested during 2010 prior to our withholding of shares to satisfy applicable taxes.
- (2) Amounts reported are calculated by multiplying the number of RSUs that vested during 2010 by the fair market value of our common stock on the date of vesting.

Pension Benefits 2010

The following table shows the actuarial present value of the accumulated retirement benefits payable to each of the Named Executive Officers under our Pension Plan and the Pension SERP Benefit (the component of the SERP that relates to the Pension Plan), computed as of December 31, 2010. A summary of the material terms of each plan follows the table, including information on early retirement.

316 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

Table 82 Pension Benefits 2010

Plan Name	Number of Years Credited Service (#) ⁽¹⁾	Present Value of Accumulated Benefit (\$) ⁽²⁾	Payments During Last Fiscal Year (\$)
Pension Plan	1.3	\$ 31,368	\$ 0
Pension SERP			
Benefit	1.3	183,092	0
Pension Plan	1.2	16,534	0
Pension SERP			
Benefit	1.2	53,208	0
Pension Plan	5	93,001	0
Pension SERP			
Benefit	5	442,874	0
Pension Plan	22.3	258,217	0
Pension SERP			
Benefit	22.3	807,256	0
Pension Plan	19	331,982	0
Pension SERP			
Benefit	19	651,339	0
	Pension Plan Pension SERP Benefit Pension Plan Pension SERP Benefit Pension Plan Pension SERP Benefit Pension Plan Pension Plan Pension SERP Benefit Pension SERP Benefit Pension SERP	Plan Name (#)(1) Pension Plan Pension SERP Benefit 1.3 Pension Plan Pension SERP Benefit 1.2 Pension Plan Pension Plan Pension SERP Benefit 5 Pension Plan Pension Plan Pension SERP Benefit 5 Pension Plan Pension SERP Benefit 22.3 Pension Plan Pension Plan Pension SERP	Plan Name Credited Service (#)(1) Accumulated Benefit (\$)(2) Pension Plan Pension SERP Benefit Pension Plan Pension SERP Benefit Pension SERP Benefit Pension Plan Pension Plan Pension SERP Benefit Pension Plan Pension SERP Benefit Pension Plan Pension Plan Pension Plan Pension SERP Benefit Pension SERP Benefit Pension SERP Benefit Pension Plan Pension SERP Benefit Pension Plan Pension Plan Pension Plan Pension SERP Benefit Pension Plan Pension SERP Pension SERP Pension SERP 331,982

- (1) Amounts reported represent the credited years of service for each Named Executive Officer as of December 31, 2010, under the Pension Plan and the Pension SERP Benefit, respectively.
- (2) Amounts reported reflect the present value, expressed as a lump sum as of December 31, 2010, of each Named Executive Officer s benefits under the Pension Plan and the Pension SERP Benefit, respectively. Amounts reported are calculated using the assumptions applied in NOTE 15 to the consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and the normal retirement age of 65 specified in the Pension Plan. As of December 31, 2010, the commencement age to determine the monthly accrued benefit and present value for the Pension Plan was changed from normal retirement date to the earliest unreduced retirement date (if applicable). For benefits earned through December 31, 2010, the Pension Plan provides an unreduced early retirement benefit at the earlier of: (a) age 62 and 15 years of service; and (b) age 65. The Pension SERP Benefit does not provide an early retirement benefit, therefore age 65 is the assumed commencement date. Messrs. Federico and Bisenius are eligible for unreduced Pension Plan benefits at age 62 for benefits earned prior to December 31, 2010. Mr. Federico s change in pension value from December 31, 2009 to December 31, 2010 reflects an increase in value of \$52,726 to reflect a change in methodology to include the value of unreduced benefits available at age 62 in the Pension Plan. For Messrs. Haldeman and Kari, the amounts shown include amounts, if any, in which the Named Executive Officers are not yet vested. Pension Plan and Pension SERP Benefits do not vest until the participant attains five years of vesting service, at which time the participant vests fully.

Pension Plan

The Pension Plan is a tax-qualified, defined benefit pension plan that we maintain, covering substantially all employees who have attained age 21 and completed one year of service with us. Pension Plan benefits are based on an employee s years of service and compensation, up to limits imposed by law. Specifically, the normal retirement benefit under the Pension Plan for service after December 31, 1988 is a monthly payment commencing at age 65 calculated as follows:

1% of the participant s highest average monthly compensation for the 36-consecutive month period during which the participant s compensation was the highest;

multiplied by the participant s full and partial years of credited service under the Pension Plan.

For purposes of the Pension Plan, compensation includes the non-deferred base salary paid to each employee (which includes Semi-Monthly Base Salary under our Executive Compensation Program), as well as overtime pay, shift differentials, non-deferred bonuses paid under our corporate-wide annual bonus program or pursuant to a functional incentive plan (excluding the value of any stock options or cash equivalents), commissions and salary reductions under the Thrift/401(k) Savings Plan and the Flexible Benefits Plan, and qualified transportation benefits under Internal Revenue Code Section 132(f)(4). Compensation does not include, among other things, supplemental compensation plans providing temporary pay, deferrals under the Executive Compensation Program, or amounts paid after termination of employment other than amounts included in a final paycheck.

Notwithstanding the lump sum nature of the disclosure in the preceding table, lump sum payments are not permitted under the Pension Plan if the present value of the accrued benefit would equal or exceed \$25,000. The normal form of benefit under the Pension Plan is an annuity providing monthly payments for the life of the participant (and a survivor annuity for the participant s spouse if applicable). Optional forms of benefit payment are available. A benefit with an actuarial present value equal to or less than \$5,000 may only be paid as a lump sum.

Participants under the Pension Plan who terminate employment before age 55 with at least five years of service are considered terminated vested participants. Such participants may commence their benefit under the Pension Plan as early as age 55. The benefit is equal to the vested portion of the participant s accrued benefit, reduced by 1/180th for each of the first 60 months, and by 1/360th for each of the next 60 months, by which the commencement of such benefits precedes age 65.

An early retirement benefit is available to a participant who terminates employment on or after age 55 with at least five years of service. For service before January 1, 2011, this early retirement benefit is reduced by 3% for each year (prorated monthly for partial years) by which the commencement of such benefits precedes the earlier of: (a) the participant s attainment of age 65; or (b) the participant s attainment of age 62 or later with at least 15 years of service. For service after December 31, 2010, the reduction is 5% for each year (prorated monthly for partial years) by which the commencement of benefits precedes the participant s attainment of age 65. For participants with service prior to January 1, 2011 and after December 31, 2010, the reductions are separately calculated, and the early retirement benefit is the sum of the two calculations. Death benefits are available provided the participant completed at least five years of service prior to death.

317 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan Pension SERP Benefit

The Pension SERP Benefit component of the SERP is designed to provide participants with the full amount of benefits to which they would have been entitled under the Pension Plan if that plan: (a) was not subject to certain limits on compensation that can be taken into account under the Internal Revenue Code; and (b) did not exclude from compensation Deferred Base Salary and amounts deferred under our Executive Deferred Compensation Plan. For example, the Pension Plan is only permitted under the Internal Revenue Code to consider the first \$245,000 of an employee s compensation during 2010 for the purpose of determining the participant s compensation-based normal retirement benefit. Effective January 1, 2010, the SERP was amended to provide that the maximum covered compensation for purposes of the SERP, relative to a Covered Officer, may not exceed two times the Covered Officer s Semi-Monthly Base Salary. We believe the Pension SERP Benefit is an appropriate benefit because offering such a benefit helps us remain competitive with companies in the Comparator Group.

The Pension SERP Benefit is calculated as the participant s accrued annual benefit payable at age 65 (or current age, if greater) under the Pension Plan without application of the limits described in the preceding paragraph, less the participant s actual accrued benefit under the Pension Plan. The Pension SERP Benefit is vested for each participant to the same extent that the participant is vested in the corresponding benefit under the Pension Plan.

To be eligible for the Pension SERP Benefit for any year, the Named Executive Officer must be eligible to participate in the Pension Plan.

Pension SERP Benefits that vest on or after January 1, 2005 are generally distributed in a lump sum after separation from service and are payable 90 days after the end of the calendar year in which separation occurs. Subject to plan limitations and restrictions under Internal Revenue Code Section 409A, employees may elect that this portion of the Pension SERP Benefit be paid upon separation in the form of a single life annuity at age 65 or in reasonably equal annual installments over five, 10 or 15 years (including interest). Under IRS rules, distributions to so-called key employees (as defined by the IRS in regulations concerning Internal Revenue Code Section 409A) on account of separation from service may not commence earlier than six months from the key employee s separation from service. Payments under the SERP will be delayed if necessary to meet this requirement. In the case of death, the Pension SERP Benefit is distributed as a lump sum within 90 days of such event.

Pension SERP Benefits that vested prior to January 1, 2005 are generally distributed after separation from service (other than retirement) in the form of a single life annuity commencing at age 65. In the case of retirement, the vested pre-2005 Pension SERP Benefit is combined with the vested pre-2005 Thrift/401(k) SERP Benefit and is paid out in the form of a single life annuity payable at age 65 (or in a series of reasonably equal installments over 15 years commencing with retirement if actuarial estimates indicate that payment form would yield a longer period of payment). In the case of death, the vested pre-2005 Pension SERP Benefit is paid in the form of a lump sum within 90 days of such event.

Non-qualified Deferred Compensation

Executive Deferred Compensation Plan

The EDCP allows the Named Executive Officers to defer receipt of a portion of their annual salary and cash bonus (and to defer settlement of RSUs granted between 2002 and 2007). The EDCP is a non-qualified plan and is unfunded (benefits are paid from our general assets). Pursuant to the plan, deferrals may be made for a period of whole years as elected by the employee, but in no event past termination of employment. Deferred amounts are credited with interest, which is currently the prime rate as reported by the Wall Street Journal as of the first business day of the applicable calendar year, plus 1%. When employees make deferral elections for a particular year, they also specify the form in

which the deferral will be distributed after the expiration of the election. The available selections are lump sum or reasonably equal installments over five, 10, or 15 years. A six-month delay in commencement of distributions on account of separation from service applies to key employees, in accordance with Internal Revenue Code Section 409A. Hardship withdrawals are permitted in certain limited circumstances.

On October 8, 2008, we amended the EDCP to permit participants to make a one-time election by October 31, 2008 to change the timing and form of the distribution of their existing non-equity balances in the EDCP. Messrs. Federico and Bisenius elected new in-service distributions scheduled to be paid in three installments in March 2009, December 2009, and May 2010. None of the other Named Executive Officers have made deferrals under the EDCP. In December 2010, we advised participants in the EDCP that we are suspending deferrals of pay under the EDCP during calendar year 2011, and that we will review future deferral options during the fourth quarter of 2011.

Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan Thrift/401(k) SERP Benefit

The Thrift/401(k) SERP Benefit component of the SERP is an unfunded, nonqualified defined contribution plan designed to provide participants with the full amount of benefits that they would have been entitled to under the Thrift/401(k) Savings Plan if that plan: (a) was not subject to certain limits on compensation that can be taken into account under

318 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

the Internal Revenue Code; and (b) did not exclude from compensation Deferred Base Salary and amounts deferred under our EDCP. For example, in 2010 under the Internal Revenue Code, only the first \$245,000 of an employee s compensation is considered when determining our percentage-based matching contribution and the basic contribution for any participant in the Thrift/401(k) Savings Plan. Effective January 1, 2010, the SERP was amended to provide that the maximum covered compensation for purposes of the SERP, relative to a Covered Officer, may not exceed two times the Covered Officer s Semi-Monthly Base Salary. We believe the Thrift/401(k) SERP Benefit is an appropriate benefit because offering such a benefit helps us remain competitive with companies in the Comparator Group.

The Thrift/401(k) SERP Benefit equals the amount of the employer matching contributions and basic contribution for each Named Executive Officer that would have been made to the Thrift/401(k) Savings Plan during the year, based upon the participant s eligible compensation, without application of the above limits, less the amount of the matching contributions and basic contribution actually made to the Thrift/401(k) Savings Plan during the year. Participants are credited with earnings or losses in their Thrift/401(k) SERP Benefit accounts based upon each participant s individual direction of the investment of such notional amounts among the virtual investment funds available under the SERP. Such investment options are based upon and mirror the performance of the investment options available under the Thrift/401(k) Savings Plan. As of December 31, 2010, there were 20 investment options in which participants notional amounts could be deemed invested.

To be eligible for the Thrift/401(k) SERP Benefit, the Named Executive Officer must be eligible for matching contributions and basic contributions under the Thrift/401(k) Savings Plan for part of the year. In addition, to be eligible for the portion of the Thrift/401(k) SERP Benefit attributable to employer matching contributions, the Named Executive Officer must contribute the maximum amount permitted under the terms of the Thrift/401(k) Savings Plan on a pre-tax basis throughout the entire portion of the year in which the Named Executive Officer is eligible to make such contributions. That portion of the Thrift/401(k) SERP Benefit is vested when accrued, while the accrual relating to the basic contribution paid prior to 2008 is subject to five-year cliff vesting, and the accrual relating to the basic contribution paid in 2008 and later years is subject to five-year graded vesting of 20% per year. The Thrift/401(k) SERP Benefits that vest on or after January 1, 2005 are generally distributed in a lump sum payable 90 days after the end of the calendar year in which separation from service occurs. A six-month delay in commencement of distributions on account of separation from service applies to key employees, in accordance with Internal Revenue Code Section 409A. If the Named Executive Officer dies, the vested Thrift/401(k) SERP Benefit is paid in the form of a lump sum within 90 days of death.

Thrift/401(k) SERP Benefits that vested prior to January 1, 2005 are generally distributed after separation from service (other than retirement) in the form of three reasonably equal annual installments, starting in the first quarter of the calendar year following the year in which the separation from service occurs. In the case of retirement, the vested pre-2005 Thrift/401(k) SERP Benefit is combined with the vested pre-2005 Pension SERP Benefit and is payable in the form of a single life annuity at age 65 (or in a series of reasonably equal installments over 15 years commencing with retirement if actuarial estimates indicate that this payment form would yield a longer period of payment). In the case of death, the vested pre-2005 Thrift/401(k) SERP Benefit is paid in the form of a lump sum within 90 days of such event.

319 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

The following table shows the contributions, earnings, withdrawals and distributions, and accumulated balances under the Thrift/401(k) SERP Benefit for each Named Executive Officer and the EDCP for Messrs. Federico and Bisenius (the only participating Named Executive Officers) as of December 31, 2010.

Table 83 Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation

		Freddie			
	Executive Contributions	Mac	Aggregate	Aggregate	Aggregate
Name	in Last FY (\$) ⁽¹⁾	Accruals in Last FY (\$) ⁽²⁾	Earnings in Last FY (\$) ⁽³⁾	Withdrawals/ Distributions (\$) ⁽⁴⁾	Balance at Last FYE (\$) ⁽⁵⁾
Mr. Haldeman					
Thrift/401(k) SERP Benefit	\$ 0	\$ 22,500	\$ 4	\$ 0	\$ 22,504
EDCP	0	0	0	0	0
Mr. Kari					
Thrift/401(k) SERP Benefit	0	0	0	0	0
EDCP	0	0	0	0	0
Mr. Bostrom					
Thrift/401(k) SERP Benefit	0	64,375	16,812	0	291,582
EDCP	0	0	0	0	0
Mr. Federico					
Thrift/401(k) SERP Benefit	0	53,700	(1,517)	0	400,728
EDCP	0	0	1,453	114,435	0
Mr. Bisenius					
Thrift/401(k) SERP Benefit	0	53,700	60,754	0	551,106
EDCP	0	0	6,032	474,966	0
(1) TI CEDD 1 . 11 C	1 (1)	•			

- (1) The SERP does not allow for employee contributions.
- (2) Amounts reported reflect our accruals under the Thrift/401(k) SERP Benefit during 2010. These amounts are also reported in the All Other Compensation column in Table 78 Summary Compensation Table 2010.
- (3) Amounts reported represent the total interest and other earnings credited to each Named Executive Officer under the Thrift/401(k) SERP Benefit and the EDCP during 2010. The credited interest rate for deferrals under the EDCP for 2010 was 4.25%. There are no above-market earnings reflected in the column Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings in Table 78 Summary Compensation Table 2010 for Messrs. Federico and Bisenius since the EDCP interest rate was not above 120% of the long-term federal rate for 2010.
- (4) Messrs. Federico and Bisenius received distributions in March 2009, December 2009, and May 2010 under the new in-service distribution schedule discussed in the Non-qualified Deferred Compensation Executive Deferred Compensation Plan section.
- (5) Amounts reported reflect the accumulated balances under the Thrift/401(k) SERP Benefit for each Named Executive Officer and, for Messrs. Federico and Bisenius, accumulated balances under the EDCP. Under the Thrift/401(k) SERP Benefit, matching contribution accruals vest immediately, whereas the basic contribution accruals relating to the basic contribution paid prior to 2008 are subject to cliff vesting of 100% at the end of five years and the accruals relating to the basic contribution paid in 2008 and later years are subject to five-year graded vesting of 20% per year. The aggregate balances in the above chart are fully vested. However, based on their August 10, 2009 and October 12, 2009 hire date, respectively, Messrs. Haldeman and Kari have not received a basic contribution at this time. For a more detailed discussion of the matching contribution accruals and basic

contribution accruals, see Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan Thrift/401(k) SERP Benefit above.

The following 2009 Thrift/401(k) SERP Benefit accrual amounts were reported in the column All Other Compensation in the 2009 Summary Compensation Table as compensation for each Named Executive Officer for whom such accruals were made and reported during 2009, as follows: (a) Mr. Haldeman: \$0; (b) Mr. Kari: \$0; (c) Mr. Bostrom: \$92,500; and (d) Mr. Federico: \$77,880. Based on Mr. Haldeman and Mr. Kari s hire date, they were not eligible for Thrift/401(k) SERP Benefit accruals. See Amendment No. 2 to our Form 10-K filed on April 12, 2010. In addition, Mr. Bisenius had a Thrift/401(k) SERP Benefit accrual amount of \$66,813 for 2009, although this was not reported in the Summary Compensation Table because he was not a Named Executive Officer for 2009. In the 2008 Summary Compensation Table, the Thrift/401(k) SERP Benefit accrual amounts were reported in the column All Other Compensation for only one Named Executive Officer for whom such accruals were made and reported during 2008, as follows: Mr. Bostrom: \$78,600. See Amendment No. 1 to our Form 10-K filed on April 30, 2009. In addition, Mr. Federico had a Thrift/401(k) SERP Benefit accrual amount of \$79,520 for 2008, and Mr. Bisenius had a Thrift/401(k) SERP Benefit accrual amount of \$43,032 for 2008, although those were not reported in the Summary Compensation Table because they were not Named Executive Officers for 2008.

Potential Payments Upon Termination of Employment or Change-in-Control

We have entered into certain agreements and maintain certain plans that call for us to pay compensation to our Named Executive Officers in the event of a termination of employment with us. The compensation and benefits potentially payable to each Named Executive Officer if the officer had terminated his employment under various circumstances as of December 31, 2010 are described in the discussion and reported in the table below. For more information, see Employment and Separation Agreements below. FHFA reviewed the terms of the employment agreements for Messrs. Haldeman and Kari and approved the termination benefits set forth therein. The actual payment of such termination benefits is subject to FHFA review and approval.

We are not obligated to provide any additional compensation to our Named Executive Officers in connection with a change in control.

Each of our Named Executive Officers is subject to a restrictive covenant agreement with us. Each agreement provides that the Named Executive Officer will not seek employment with one of our competitors for a specified period immediately following termination of employment, regardless of whether the executive s employment is terminated by the executive, by us, or by mutual agreement. The specified period is 24 months for Messrs. Haldeman and Kari and 12 months for Messrs. Bostrom, Federico, and Bisenius. During the 12-month period immediately following termination, each executive also agrees not to: (a) solicit or recruit any of our managerial employees; (b) compete against us in any of our business activities; or (c) make disparaging remarks about us. The agreement also provides for confidentiality of information that constitutes trade secrets or proprietary or other confidential information.

320 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2010, Messrs. Bostrom, Federico, and Bisenius had vested in their benefits under the Thrift/401(k) SERP Benefit and the Pension SERP Benefit, while Messrs. Haldeman and Kari had not. The amounts presented in Table 84 do not include vested RSU or stock option awards, vested balances in the Thrift/401(k) SERP Benefit or vested benefits in the Pension SERP Benefit as of December 31, 2010, because such vesting was not in connection with a termination or change-in-control. Amounts shown in the tables also do not include certain items available to all employees generally upon a termination event.

For RSUs, the value shown in the tables is calculated on a grant-by-grant basis by multiplying the number of unvested RSUs by the closing price of our common stock on December 31, 2010. No value is included in the tables for stock options because the exercise prices for all such options held by Named Executive Officers are substantially higher than the closing price of our common stock on December 31, 2010.

Potential Payments to Current Named Executive Officers

The Executive Compensation Program addresses the treatment of Semi-Monthly Base Salary, Deferred Base Salary, and the Target Incentive Opportunity upon various termination events. In order to be eligible to receive any portion of a Target Incentive Opportunity installment payment, a Covered Officer must have been employed for a minimum of four whole calendar months during the performance year to which the award applies.

Additionally, none of the Covered Officers are guaranteed termination benefits upon any type of termination event other than death or disability and the actual payment of a termination benefit is subject to FHFA review and approval at the time of payment. The discussion that follows describes the termination benefits, if any, provided upon various types of termination events.

Death. Any earned but unpaid Deferred Base Salary or Target Incentive Opportunity installments will be paid as soon as administratively possible in the event of death. If, at the time of death, the funding level has not been determined, the award will remain outstanding until such determination is made. Payment will occur as soon as administratively possible following the determination of the funding level.

Disability. Treatment upon a Long-Term Disability (as defined in the Executive Compensation Program) is the same as upon death, except that payment of any Deferred Base Salary will occur in accordance with the approved payment schedule and not as soon as administratively possible following termination of employment.

Retirement. Treatment upon an eligible Retirement (as defined in the Executive Compensation Program) is the same as upon Long-Term Disability, except that only a pro-rata portion of a Target Incentive Opportunity installment payment will occur based on the number of whole months worked in the performance year during which the officer retires. No information is provided in Table 84 with respect to a termination of employment on account of a retirement because none of the Named Executive Officers was retirement-eligible under the Executive Compensation Program as of December 31, 2010.

Voluntary or For Cause. The Named Executive Officers are not entitled to any termination benefits in the event of a voluntary termination or a termination for cause and all earned but unpaid Deferred Base Salary and the unpaid portion of any outstanding Target Incentive Opportunity awards are forfeited.

Involuntary Termination Without Cause. The Named Executive Officers are not entitled to any termination benefits in the event of an involuntary termination without cause unless the Compensation Committee recommends that the Named Executive Officer receive termination benefits and the Committee s recommendation is approved by FHFA after consulting with Treasury, as appropriate. In determining whether to recommend payment of termination benefits and the amount of such benefits, the Compensation Committee will

take into account one or more factors that it determines are relevant, including:

The facts and circumstances associated with the termination;

The performance and contributions of the Named Executive Officer during his or her tenure with us;

The amount of earned but unpaid Deferred Base Salary as of the date of termination; and

Our need to provide reasonable and competitive termination benefits in order to attract and retain high caliber executives during conservatorship.

The following table describes the potential payments as of December 31, 2010 upon termination of the Named Executive Officers employed as of that date that results from death or disability. There are no payments or benefits payable upon termination of employment for other reasons or upon a change-in-control. Additionally, Semi-Monthly Base Salary is only payable through the date of death or a termination resulting from disability. The amounts presented in this table do not include vested RSU or stock option awards, vested balances in the Thrift/401(k) SERP Benefit, or vested benefits in the Pension SERP Benefit as of December 31, 2010, because such vesting was not in connection with a termination or change-

321 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

in-control. Amounts shown in the tables also do not include certain items available to all employees generally upon a termination event. Additional information is provided in the footnotes following the table.

Table 84 Potential Payments Upon Termination of Employment or Change-in-Control as of December 31, 2010

	Death	Disability
Charles E. Haldeman, Jr.		
Compensation:		
Deferred Base Salary ⁽¹⁾	\$ 2,912,450	\$ 2,912,450
Incentive Opportunity ⁽²⁾	1,322,250	1,322,250
Benefits:		
Non-Qualified Pension ⁽³⁾		183,092
Total	\$ 4,234,700	\$ 4,417,792
Ross J. Kari		
Compensation:		
Deferred Base Salary ⁽¹⁾	\$ 1,558,005	\$ 1,558,005
Incentive Opportunity ⁽²⁾	676,133	676,133
Benefits:		
Non-Qualified Pension ⁽³⁾		53,208
Total	\$ 2,234,138	\$ 2,287,346
Robert E. Bostrom		
Compensation:		
Deferred Base Salary ⁽¹⁾	\$ 1,277,720	\$ 1,277,720
Incentive Opportunity ⁽²⁾	881,175	881,175
Equity Awards ⁽⁴⁾	10,616	10,616
Total	\$ 2,169,511	\$ 2,169,511
Peter J. Federico		
Compensation:		
Deferred Base Salary ⁽¹⁾	\$ 1,258,930	\$ 1,258,930
Incentive Opportunity ⁽²⁾	809,232	809,232
Equity Awards ⁽⁴⁾	10,671	10,671
Total	\$ 2,078,833	\$ 2,078,833
Donald J. Bisenius		
Compensation:		
Deferred Base Salary ⁽¹⁾	\$ 1,033,450	\$ 1,033,450
Incentive Opportunity ⁽²⁾	696,112	696,112
Equity Awards ⁽⁴⁾	3,954	3,954
Total	\$ 1,733,516	\$ 1,733,516

- (1) The amount reported as Deferred Base Salary is equal to any earned but unpaid Deferred Base Salary, adjusted to reflect the approved funding level.
- (2) The amount reported under Incentive Opportunity is equal to the first installment associated with the 2010 Target Incentive Opportunity and the second installment associated with the 2009 Target Incentive Opportunity. Both amounts have been adjusted to reflect the approved funding level.
- (3) The amount reported under Non-Qualified Pension reflects the non-vested Pension SERP Benefit as of December 31, 2010. Under the terms of the SERP, a participant continues to accrue service while disabled (as defined in the SERP).

(4) The amount reported under Equity Awards reflects the immediate vesting of the Named Executive Officer's outstanding RSU grants in the event of death or disability. Death also results in the immediate settlement of the outstanding RSUs, while a Disability event results in continued vesting of all grants in accordance with the vesting schedule outlined in the award agreement as if termination had not occurred. The values shown were calculated by multiplying the number of RSUs that will continue to vest by the closing price of our common stock on December 31, 2010 (\$.305), the last trading day of the year.

Alternative Settlement Provisions for Equity Awards in the Event of Certain Terminations

RSUs

The RSUs awarded to our employees, including our Named Executive Officers, contain alternative settlement provisions in the event of certain terminations, as follows:

Death. Immediate vesting and settlement occurs in the event of death.

Disability and Retirement. In the event of disability, normal retirement, or a retirement other than a normal retirement (all as defined in the 2004 Employee Plan), RSUs will vest immediately and will be settled in accordance with the vesting schedule outlined in the award agreement as if termination had not occurred. This treatment is subject to the executive s signing an agreement containing certain restrictive covenants to protect our business interests. Violation of any of the covenants results in the forfeiture of unsettled shares and the requirement to repay any after-tax gain realized from the settlement of shares within 12 months of the forfeiture event.

Involuntary Termination Without Cause. In the event of an involuntary termination other than for cause, the Compensation Committee may, contingent on approval from FHFA, provide for RSUs to vest immediately and settle in accordance with the vesting schedule outlined in the award agreement as if termination had not occurred. Under

322 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

interim guidance provided by FHFA, this provision is limited to awards scheduled to vest within 12 months of the executive stermination date.

All Other Terminations. If the Named Executive Officer s employment is terminated for any reason other than those described above, all RSUs unvested as of the date of termination are forfeited.

Stock Options

The stock options granted to our employees, including our Named Executive Officers, all of which were exercisable as of December 31, 2010, include alternative settlement provisions in the event of certain terminations which are similar to the provisions for RSUs, with the following modifications:

Death. The stock options remain exercisable for three years after the date of termination in the event of death.

Disability. The stock options remain exercisable for the full balance of their term in the event of disability.

Retirement. In the event of retirement, as defined in the 2004 Employee Plan, stock options will remain exercisable for the full balance of their term, subject to the executive signing an agreement containing the same restrictive covenants as described above for RSUs.

All Other Terminations. If the individual s employment is terminated for any reason other than those described above, the stock options remain exercisable for 90 days following termination.

Employment and Separation Agreements

Messrs. Haldeman and Kari

The various agreements entered into in connection with the employment of Messrs. Haldeman and Kari are summarized above. See Written Agreements Relating to Employment of CEO and CFO.

Mr. Bostrom

We have no continuing obligations under the letter agreement entered into with Mr. Bostrom in January 2006. The final installment of 3,000 shares pursuant to his sign-on RSU award, as set forth in his letter agreement, vested on March 3, 2010.

The agreement pertaining to Mr. Bostrom was filed as an exhibit to our Form 10-K/A filed on April 30, 2009.

Mr. Federico

We do not have an employment agreement with Mr. Federico.

Mr. Bisenius

We do not have an employment agreement with Mr. Bisenius.

Director Compensation

After we entered conservatorship, FHFA approved compensation for Board members in the form of cash retainers only, paid on a quarterly basis. Under the terms of the Purchase Agreement, without Treasury s consent, we are prohibited from making stock grants to directors while this agreement remains in effect. We do not maintain any pension or retirement plans for directors. Non-employee directors are reimbursed for reasonable out-of-pocket costs for attending each meeting of the Board or a Board committee of which they are a member.

The reasons for this shift toward compensation delivered entirely in cash were similar, in the case of director compensation, to some of those described above regarding the structural change in executive compensation (see Overview Executive Management Compensation Program Overview of Program Structure). However, the considerations underlying director and executive compensation differed in one key respect. There is no provision in the director compensation program for pay that varies depending on business results. While such incentive compensation is deemed appropriate to give management strong incentives to devise and execute business plans and achieve positive financial results, it is viewed in the case of directors as inconsistent with their oversight role.

Board compensation levels during conservatorship are shown in the table below.

Table 85 Board Compensation 2010 Non-Employee Director Compensation Levels

Board Service	
Cash Compensation	
Annual Retainer	\$ 160,000
Annual Retainer for Non-Executive Chairman	290,000
Committee Service (Cash)	
Annual Retainer for Audit Committee Chair	\$ 25,000
Annual Retainer for Business and Risk Committee Chair	15,000
Annual Retainer for Committee Chairs (other than Audit or Business and Risk)	10,000
Annual Retainer for Audit Committee Members	10,000
323	Freddie Mac

The following table summarizes the 2010 compensation provided to all persons who served as non-employee directors during 2010.

Table 86 2010 Director Compensation

	Fees Earned or	Stock Option	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation	All Other	
Name	Paid in Cash	Awards Awards	Earnings ⁽⁴⁾	Compensation ⁽⁵⁾	Total
B. Alexander ⁽¹⁾	\$ 43,750	\$ \$	\$	\$ 10,000	\$ 53,750
R. Glauber ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	180,000			10,000	190,000
N. Retsinas ⁽²⁾	160,000			4,500	164,500
L. Bammann	173,750			2,500	176,250
C. Byrd	170,000				170,000
L. Hirsch	160,000			10,000	170,000
J. Koskinen	290,000			10,000	300,000
C. Lynch	185,000				185,000
C. Rose ⁽¹⁾	34,348				34,348
E. Shanks, Jr.	170,000			10,000	180,000
A. Williams	167,500				167,500

- (1) The amount represents partial annual compensation for the period served during 2010. Ms. Alexander chose not to stand for re-election to the Board in March 2010 and Mr. Rose joined the Board in October 2010. Because the termination of her service as director did not result from death, disability or retirement, Ms. Alexander forfeited 5,043 unvested RSUs upon her termination of service.
- (2) At December 31, 2010, the aggregate number of common shares underlying the outstanding RSU awards that had not vested and were held by each non-employee director was as follows: Mr. Glauber 2,970 shares; and Mr. Retsinas 2,970 shares.
- (3) At December 31, 2010, the aggregate number of common shares underlying outstanding option awards, exercisable and unexercisable, held by each non-employee director was as follows: Mr. Glauber 1,822 shares.
- (4) We do not have any pension or retirement plans for our non-employee directors.
- (5) In 2010, the Freddie Mac Foundation provided a dollar-for-dollar match to eligible organizations and institutions, up to an aggregate amount of \$10,000 per director per calendar year. Matching contributions made to charities designated by the non-employee directors were as follows: Ms. Alexander, \$10,000; Ms Bammann, \$2,500; Mr. Glauber, \$10,000; Mr. Koskinen, \$10,000; Mr. Retsinas, \$4,500; Mr. Hirsch, \$10,000; and Mr. Shanks, Jr., \$10,000.

Indemnification. We have also made arrangements to indemnify our directors against certain liabilities which are similar to the terms on which our executive officers are indemnified. For a description of such terms, see Written Agreements Relating to Employment of CEO and CFO.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Security Ownership

Our only class of voting stock is our common stock. The following table shows the beneficial ownership of our common stock as of February 15, 2011 by our current directors, our Named Executive Officers, all of our directors and executive officers as a group, and holders of more than 5% of our common stock. Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with SEC rules for computing the number of shares of common stock beneficially owned by a person and the percentage ownership of that person. As of February 15, 2011, each director and Named Executive Officer, and all of our

324 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

directors and executive officers as a group, owned less than 1% of our outstanding common stock. The information presented below is based on information provided to us by the individuals or entities specified in the table.

Table 87 Stock Ownership by Directors, Executive Officers, and Greater-Than-5% Holders As of February 15, 2011

		Common Stock Beneficially Owned	Stock Options Exercisable Within 60 Days	Total Common Stock
		Excluding	of	Beneficially
Name	Position	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Stock} \\ \textbf{Options}^{(1)} \end{array}$	February 15, 2011	Owned ⁽¹⁾
Linda B. Bammann	Director	0	0	0
Carolyn H. Byrd	Director	0	0	0
Robert R. Glauber	Director	5,533(2)	1,822	7,355
Laurence E. Hirsch	Director	0	0	0
John A. Koskinen	Director	0	0	0
Christopher S. Lynch	Director	0	0	0
Nicolas P. Retsinas	Director	$7,791_{(3)}$	0	7,791
Clayton S. Rose	Director	0	0	0
Eugene B. Shanks, Jr.	Director	0	0	0
Anthony A. Williams	Director	0	0	0
Charles E. Haldeman, Jr.	Chief Executive Officer	0	0	0
Ross J. Kari	EVP Chief Financial Officer	0	0	0
Robert E. Bostrom	EVP General Counsel &			
	Corporate Secretary	66,095(4)	11,950	78,045
Peter J. Federico	EVP Investments & Capital			
	Markets and Treasurer	$60,014_{(5)}$	19,390	79,404
Donald J. Bisenius	EVP Single Family Credit			
	Guarantee	23,952(6)	23,820	47,772
All directors and executive officers as a group (25 persons)		430,947 ₍₇₎	180,690	611,637

5% Holder	Common Stock Beneficially Owned	Percent of Class
U.S. Department of the Treasury 1500 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, D.C. 20220	Variable ⁽⁸⁾	79.9%

⁽¹⁾ Includes shares of stock beneficially owned as of February 15, 2011. Also includes RSUs vesting within 60 days of February 15, 2011. An RSU represents a conditional contractual right to receive one share of our common stock at a specified future date. See Executive Compensation Compensation Discussion and Analysis above for more information.

- (2) Includes 5,322 RSUs and 211 dividend equivalents on RSUs.
- (3) Includes 3,896 RSUs and 106 dividend equivalents on RSUs.
- (4) Includes 21,447 RSUs.
- (5) Includes 20,991 RSUs.
- (6) Includes 7,238 RSUs.
- (7) Includes 131,675 RSUs and 317 dividend equivalents on RSUs.
- (8) In September 2008, we issued to Treasury a warrant to purchase, for one one-thousandth of a cent (\$0.00001) per share, shares of our common stock equal to 79.9% of the total number of shares of our common stock outstanding on a fully diluted basis at the time the warrant is exercised. The warrant may be exercised in whole or in part at any time until September 7, 2028. As of the date of this filing, Treasury has not exercised the warrant. The information above assumes Treasury beneficially owns no other shares of our common stock.

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

The following table provides information about our common stock that may be issued upon the exercise of options, warrants, and rights under our existing equity compensation plans at December 31, 2010. Our stockholders have approved the ESPP, the 2004 Employee Plan, the 1995 Employee Plan, and the Directors Plan. We suspended the operation of these plans following our entry into conservatorship and are no longer granting awards under such plans.

Table 88 Common Stock

	Number of securities		Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under
	upon exercise of outstanding	Weighted average exercise price of outstanding	equity compensation plans (excluding
Plan Category	options, warrants	options, warrants, and rights	securities reflected in column (a))
Equity compensation plans approved by stockholders	4,603,736(1)	\$ 42.68(2)	33,395,665(3)
Equity compensation plans not approved by stockholders	None	N/A	None

- (1) Includes 1,421,284 restricted stock units and shares of restricted stock issued under the Directors Plan, the 1995 Employee Plan, and the 2004 Employee Plan.
- (2) For the purpose of calculating this amount, the restricted stock units and shares of restricted stock are assigned a value of zero.
- (3) Includes 25,962,031 shares, 5,845,739 shares, and 1,587,895 shares available for issuance under the 2004 Employee Plan, the ESPP, and the Directors Plan, respectively. No shares are available for issuance under the 1995 Employee Plan.

325 Freddie Mac

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

Policy Governing Related Person Transactions

The Board has adopted a written policy governing the approval of related person transactions. This policy sets forth procedures for the review and approval or ratification of transactions involving related persons, which consist of any person who is, or was at any time since the beginning of our last completed fiscal year, a director, a director nominee, an executive officer, or an immediate family member of any of the foregoing persons.

Under authority delegated by the Board, the Executive Vice President General Counsel & Corporate Secretary, or the General Counsel, and the Nominating and Governance Committee (or its Chair under certain circumstances), each, an Authorized Approver, are responsible for applying the Related Person Transactions Policy. Transactions covered by the Related Person Transactions Policy consist of any transaction, arrangement or relationship or series of similar transactions, arrangements or relationships, in which: (a) the aggregate amount involved exceeded or is expected to exceed \$120,000; (b) we were or are expected to be a participant; and (c) any related person had or will have a direct or indirect material interest. The Related Person Transactions Policy includes a list of categories of transactions identified by the Board as having no significant potential for an actual conflict of interest or the appearance of a conflict or improper benefit to a related person, and thus not subject to review.

Our Legal Division assesses whether any proposed transaction involving a related person is covered by the Related Person Transactions Policy. If so, the transaction is reviewed by the appropriate Authorized Approver. In consultation with the Chair of the Nominating and Governance Committee, the General Counsel may refer any proposed transaction to the Nominating and Governance Committee for review and approval.

If possible, approval of a related person transaction is obtained prior to the effectiveness or consummation of the transaction. If advance approval of a related person transaction by the appropriate Authorized Approver is not feasible or otherwise not obtained, then the transaction is considered promptly by the appropriate Authorized Approver to determine whether ratification is warranted.

In determining whether to approve or ratify a related person transaction covered by the Related Person Transactions Policy, the appropriate Authorized Approver reviews and considers all relevant information which may include:
(a) the nature of the related person s interest in the transaction; (b) the approximate total dollar value of, and extent of the related person s interest in, the transaction; (c) whether the transaction was or would be undertaken in the ordinary course of our business; (d) whether the transaction is proposed to be, or was, entered into on terms no less favorable to us than terms that could have been reached with an unrelated third party; and (e) the purpose, and potential benefits to us, of the transaction.

Corporate Governance Guidelines

In March 2010, the Board adopted our amended Corporate Governance Guidelines, which are available on our website at www.freddiemac.com/governance/pdf/gov_guidelines.pdf.

Director Independence

The non-employee members of the Board evaluated the independence, as defined in both Sections 4 and 5 of our Guidelines and in Section 303A.02 of the NYSE Listed Company Manual, of the members of our Board who have

served in 2011, each of whom also served on our Board in 2010, and Barbara T. Alexander, who served on our Board until March 2010. In connection with that evaluation, the non-employee members of the Board determined that all current members of our Board (other than Charles E. Haldeman, Jr., our CEO) and Ms. Alexander were independent during their service in 2010 and 2011. Mr. Haldeman is not considered an independent director because he is our CEO.

The non-employee members of the Board also concluded that all current members of the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee, and the Nominating and Governance Committee are independent within the meaning of both Sections 4 and 5 of our Guidelines and Section 303A.02 of the NYSE Listed Company Manual. The non-employee members of the Board also determined that all current members of the Audit Committee are independent within the meaning of Rule 10A-3 promulgated under the Exchange Act, and Section 303A.06 of the NYSE Listed Company Manual.

In determining the independence of each Board member, the non-employee members of the Board reviewed the following categories or types of relationships, in addition to those specifically addressed by the standards contained in Section 5 of our Guidelines, to determine whether those relationships, either individually or when aggregated with other relationships, would constitute a material relationship between the Director and us that would impair a Director s judgment as a member of the Board or create the perception or appearance of such an impairment:

Board Memberships With For-Profit Business Partners. Mses. Alexander, Bammann, and Byrd and Messrs. Glauber, Lynch, Retsinas, and Rose serve as directors, and Mr. Shanks serves as a consultant to the board of directors, of other companies that engage or have engaged in business with us resulting in payments between us and such companies during the past three fiscal years. After considering the nature and extent of the specific relationship between each of

326 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

those companies and us, and the fact that these Board members are directors of these other companies rather than employees, the non-employee members of the Board concluded that those business relationships did not constitute material relationships between any of the Directors and us that would impair their independence as our Directors.

Board Memberships With Charitable Organizations To Which We Have Made Contributions. Messrs. Koskinen, Retsinas, and Williams or their immediate family members serve or served as board members or trustees of charitable organizations that have received monetary contributions from us, the Freddie Mac Foundation or contributions by our executive officers within the last three fiscal years. In each case, the total annual amount contributed was below the applicable threshold in our Guidelines that would require a specific determination that the Board member is independent in spite of the contribution. The non-employee members of the Board considered the contributions and the nature of the organizations and concluded that those relationships with charitable organizations did not constitute material relationships between any of the Directors and us that would impair their independence as our Directors.

Board Members Who Are Executive Officers Or Employees Of Business Partners. Mr. Williams was appointed as Executive Director of the Government Practice at The Corporate Executive Board Company in January 2010. CEB provides best practices research and analysis and executive education to corporations through memberships in various subject-matter interest groups organized and managed by CEB. Mr. Williams responsibilities at CEB include contributing to and authoring literature; advising on the development of CEB s state and local government service strategy and its existing federal government service offerings; and promoting future CEB services. In 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 year-to-date, we paid CEB \$664,200, \$362,100, \$515,700 and \$347,300, respectively, for memberships in certain of CEB s subject-matter interest groups. Currently, we are a member of 11 CEB groups, and in 2008, 2009 and 2010 we were a member of 23, 11 and 12 groups, respectively. The annual amounts of our payments to CEB in 2008 and 2009 were substantially below 2% of CEB s annual revenues for the applicable years and the 2010 and 2011 payments are substantially less than 2% of CEB s 2009 revenues (the latest year for which CEB revenue is publicly available). Therefore, under our Guidelines, those annual payments do not preclude the non-employee members of the Board from concluding that Mr. Williams is independent. The non-employee members of the Board considered those payments and the nature and extent of the relationship between us and CEB and concluded that this business relationship did not constitute a material relationship between Mr. Williams and us that would impair Mr. Williams independence as our Director.

Financial Relationships with For-Profit Business Partners. Since 2005, Ms. Bammann has owned stock of JPMorgan Chase & Co., or JPMorgan. In the aggregate, this stock represents a material portion of her net worth. JPMorgan conducts significant business with Freddie Mac, including, among other things, as a single-family and multifamily seller/servicer, as an underwriter of our debt and mortgage securities and as a capital markets counterparty. In order to eliminate any potential conflict of interest that might arise as a result of this stock ownership, Ms. Bammann has agreed to recuse herself from discussing and acting upon any matters that are to be considered by the full Board or any of the committees of which she is a member (including the Business and Risk Committee, which she chairs), and that relate directly to JPMorgan, and that therefore might affect the value of her JPMorgan stock. The Audit Committee Chairman, in consultation with the Non-Executive Chairman, will address any questions that may arise regarding whether recusal from a particular discussion or action is appropriate.

In evaluating Ms. Bammann s independence in light of her ownership of JPMorgan stock, the non-employee members of the Board considered the nature and extent of Freddie Mac s business relationship with JPMorgan, actions previously undertaken by the Board through the Business and Risk Committee relating to JPMorgan and any potential impact that her stock ownership might have on her independent judgment as a Freddie Mac director, taking into

account the recusal arrangement. The non-employee members of the Board concluded that Ms. Bammann s recusal arrangement concerning JPMorgan would address any actual or potential conflicts of interest that might arise with respect to her ownership of JPMorgan stock. Accordingly, the non-employee members concluded that Ms. Bammann s ownership of JPMorgan stock does not constitute a material relationship between her and Freddie Mac that would impair her independence as a Freddie Mac Director.

Mr. Rose receives an annuity from JPMorgan in connection with his retirement from that firm in 2001. The amount of Mr. Rose s annuity is fixed and does not depend in any way on JPMorgan s revenues or profits. In evaluating the impact of Mr. Rose s annuity from JPMorgan on his independence, the non-employee members of the Board considered the structure of the annuity, the amount of the annuity as a percentage of Mr. Rose s annual adjusted gross income, and Freddie Mac s business relationship with JPMorgan. The non-employee members of the Board also were informed that Mr. Rose had agreed to recuse himself from discussing or acting upon any matter to be considered by our Board that could threaten the viability of JPMorgan. The non-employee members of the Board concluded that Mr. Rose s JPMorgan annuity does not constitute a material relationship between him and Freddie Mac that would impair his independence as a Freddie Mac Director.

327 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

Board Diversity

The Board identifies Director nominees or candidates when the Conservator has requested that the Board identify candidates for the Conservator to consider for election by written consent and when there is a vacancy on the Board, at which time the Board may exercise the authority delegated to it by the Conservator to fill such vacancies, subject to review by the Conservator.

Our charter provides that our Board must at all times have at least one person from the homebuilding, mortgage lending, and real estate industries, and at least one person from an organization representing community or consumer interests or one person who has demonstrated a career commitment to the provision of housing for low-income households. In addition, the examination guidance for corporate governance issued by FHFA provides that in identifying individuals for nomination for election to the Board, the Board should consider the knowledge of such individuals, as a group, in the areas of business, finance, accounting, risk management, public policy, mortgage lending, real estate, low-income housing, homebuilding, regulation of financial institutions, and any other areas that may be relevant to our safe and sound operation.

In addition, our Guidelines explain that we seek to have a diversity of talent on the Board and that candidates are selected, in part, for their experience and expertise. The Guidelines also explain that when identifying director nominees, the Nominating and Governance Committee considers, among other factors, our needs, the talents and skills then available on the Board, and, with respect to incumbent directors, their continued involvement in business and professional activities relevant to us, the skills and experience that should be represented on the Board, the availability of other individuals with desirable skills to join the Board, and the desire to maintain a diverse Board.

FHFA has also adopted a final rule regarding minority and women inclusion that became effective on January 28, 2011. The final rule implements section 1116 of HERA and requires us to, among other things, promote diversity and the inclusion of women, minorities, and individuals with disabilities in all activities, including in the election of directors.

The Board does not currently have, but is developing, a formal policy with regard to the consideration of diversity in identifying director nominees and candidates, as required by these regulations.

Board Leadership Structure and Role in Risk Oversight

The positions of Chief Executive Officer and Non-Executive Chairman of the Board are held by different individuals. This leadership structure was established by the Conservator when it appointed separate individuals to hold those two positions in September 2008. The examination guidance for corporate governance issued by FHFA provides that once separated, the functions of the Chief Executive Officer and the Non-Executive Chairman of the Board should remain separated until such time as the Director of FHFA determines otherwise.

The responsibility for risk oversight is shared by two committees of the Board, the Business and Risk Committee and the Audit Committee. The Business and Risk Committee is responsible for assisting the Board in the oversight, on an enterprise-wide basis, of our risk management framework, including management of credit risk (including counterparty risk), market risk (including interest rate and liquidity risk), model risk, operational risk, strategic risk, and reputation risk. The risk oversight responsibilities of the Audit Committee include reviewing: (a) management s guidelines and policies governing the processes for assessing and managing our risks; and (b) our major financial risk exposures (including but not limited to market, credit, and operational risks) and the steps management has taken to monitor and control such exposures.

The Business and Risk Committee and the Audit Committee generally meet in joint session at least quarterly to carry out their respective risk oversight responsibilities on behalf of the Board. The membership of those two committees collectively consists of all members of the Board except Messrs. Koskinen and Haldeman, who generally also attend the joint sessions. Copies of the Charters of the Audit Committee and the Business and Risk Committee are available on our website at http://www.freddiemac.com/governance/bd committees.html.

The Chief Enterprise Risk Officer reports regularly to the joint meetings of the Business and Risk Committee and the Audit Committee. In addition, the Chief Credit Officer reports regularly to the Business and Risk Committee. The Chief Enterprise Risk Officer and the Chief Credit Officer also report to the full Board as appropriate.

For a discussion of the Compensation Committee s conclusion that our compensation policies and practices do not create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on us, see Executive Compensation Compensation and Risk.

Transactions with 5% Shareholders

As a result of our issuance to Treasury of the warrant to purchase shares of our common stock equal to 79.9% of the total number of shares of our common stock outstanding, on a fully diluted basis, we are deemed a related party to the U.S. government. Except for the transactions with Treasury discussed in NOTE 3: CONSERVATORSHIP AND RELATED MATTERS Government Support for our Business and NOTE 3: CONSERVATORSHIP AND RELATED MATTERS Housing Finance Agency Initiative as well as in NOTE 9: DEBT SECURITIES AND SUBORDINATED BORROWINGS,

328 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

and NOTE 13: FREDDIE MAC STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (DEFICIT), no transactions outside of normal business activities have occurred between us and the U.S. government since the beginning of 2010.

FHFA, as conservator, approved the Purchase Agreement and our administrative role in the MHA Program and the Memorandum of Understanding with Treasury, FHFA, and Fannie Mae (see NOTE 3: CONSERVATORSHIP AND RELATED MATTERS Housing Finance Agency Initiative). The remaining transactions described in the sections referenced above did not require review and approval under any of our policies and procedures relating to transactions with related persons.

In addition, we are deemed related parties with Fannie Mae as both we and Fannie Mae have the same relationships with FHFA and Treasury. All transactions between us and Fannie Mae have occurred in the normal course of business.

Transactions with Institutions Related to Directors

In the ordinary course of business, we were a party during 2010, and expect to continue to be a party during 2011, to certain business transactions with institutions affiliated with members of our Board. Management believes that the terms and conditions of the transactions were no more and no less favorable to us than the terms of similar transactions with unaffiliated institutions to which we are, or expect to be, a party. The only such transaction that is required to be disclosed under SEC rules is described below.

Mr. Williams joined our Board in December 2008. In January of 2010, he was appointed Executive Director of the Government Practice at CEB. CEB provides best practices research and analysis and executive education to corporations through memberships in various subject-matter interest groups organized and managed by CEB. Mr. Williams responsibilities at CEB include contributing to and authoring literature; advising on the development of CEB s state and local government service strategy and its existing federal government service offerings; and promoting future CEB services. We purchased memberships in certain membership groups, and paid CEB \$515,700 and \$347,300 for those memberships, in 2010 and 2011 year-to-date, respectively.

This transaction was not required to be reviewed, approved or ratified under our Related Person Transactions Policy because the Board concluded that our business relationship with CEB did not constitute a material relationship between Mr. Williams and us that would impair Mr. Williams independence as our director.

Transactions with Institutions Related to Executive Officers

Mr. Renzi joined us in April 2010 as our Executive Vice President Single Family Portfolio Management. Prior to that, he served as the Chief Operating Officer of GMAC Residential Capital and as President of GMAC Mortgage Corporation. That employment ended in March 2010.

GMAC Residential Capital, LLC, GMAC Mortgage Corporation, GMAC Mortgage, LLC, and Residential Funding Company, LLC are all affiliated entities, and are now reorganized as subsidiaries of Ally Financial Inc., or Ally.

GMAC Mortgage, LLC, is a seller/servicer that sold mortgages to Freddie Mac with an aggregate unpaid principal balance of approximately \$15.7 billion in 2010, and mortgages with an aggregate unpaid principal balance of approximately \$2.9 billion through February 10, 2011.

GMAC Mortgage, LLC and Residential Funding Company, LLC (indirect subsidiaries of Ally) are seller/servicers that together serviced and subserviced for an affiliated entity approximately 3% of the single-family loans in our single-family credit guarantee portfolio as of December 31, 2010. In 2011, these entities continue to service and

subservice our single-family loans in our single-family credit guarantee portfolio, and we expect that selling and servicing relationship to continue for full year 2011.

In addition, in March 2010, we entered into an agreement with GMAC Mortgage, LLC and Residential Funding Company, LLC under which they made a one-time payment to us for the partial release of repurchase obligations relating to loans sold to us prior to January 1, 2009. The partial release does not affect any of GMAC s potential repurchase obligations for loans sold to us by GMAC after January 1, 2009.

Mr. Renzi s relationship with these entities included the following:

Mr. Renzi s 2010 performance metrics for his role as Chief Operating Officer at GMAC Residential Capital, upon which his 2010 year end performance assessment would have been based, included maintaining superior servicing performance in its relationship with each of Freddie Mac, Fannie Mae, and HUD. Because Mr. Renzi left that employment in March 2010 (prior to his affiliation with us), he did not receive any bonus payments based on this performance metric.

At the time Mr. Renzi joined us, he was entitled to payments from Ally consisting of unpaid deferred stock units granted during his employment. At that time, the remaining payments had an aggregate grant date value of

329 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

approximately \$860,000. The aggregate amount actually paid may be either higher or lower based on Ally s value. Payments are scheduled to be made in cash semi-monthly and will continue through March 2015.

Mr. Renzi also had outstanding RSUs when he left his employment. The RSUs vested on December 31, 2010 with a value at vesting of approximately \$46,478. The vesting of the RSUs was based solely on the passage of time and was not related to any performance metric relating to Mr. Renzi, Freddie Mac or Ally.

In order to eliminate any potential conflict of interest, Mr. Renzi, in his capacity as an employee of Freddie Mac, has been, and will continue to be, recused from any transactions with or decisions relating to Ally or its affiliates through such time that he has received his last payment from Ally and its affiliates. Specifically, Mr. Renzi has been recused from serving as the final decision-maker, and from influencing final decisions, relating to: (a) any and all aspects of Freddie Mac s relationship with Ally or its affiliates pertaining to both performing and non-performing loan servicing; (b) any other business transactions with Ally or its affiliates or their status as a counterparty with us; or (c) reviews of Ally or its affiliates by our MHA Compliance function under the Financial Agency Agreement with Treasury.

Mr. Renzi s relationship with Ally and its affiliates was not required to be reviewed, approved or ratified under our Related Person Transactions Policy because Mr. Renzi, in his capacity as an employee, is recused from any involvement in transactions with or decisions relating to Ally and its affiliates for the period that he is receiving payments on unpaid stock units. For this reason, Mr. Renzi does not have a material interest in our relationship with Ally or its affiliates.

Conservatorship Agreements

Treasury, FHFA, and the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System have taken a number of actions to support us during conservatorship, including entering into the Purchase Agreement, described in this Form 10-K. See NOTE 3: CONSERVATORSHIP AND RELATED MATTERS Purchase Agreement and NOTE 3: CONSERVATORSHIP AND RELATED MATTERS Government Support for our Business, NOTE 3: CONSERVATORSHIP AND RELATED MATTERS Related Parties as a Result of Conservatorship.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

Description of Fees

The following is a description of fees billed to us by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, our independent public accountants, during 2010 and 2009.

Table 89 Auditor Fees

	2010	2009
Audit Fees ⁽²⁾	\$ 29,484,646	\$ 42,913,079
Audit-Related Fees ⁽³⁾	18,000	18,000
Tax Fees ⁽⁴⁾	3,050,000	4,295,000
All Other Fees ⁽⁵⁾	148,805	
Total	\$ 32.701.451	\$ 47,226,079

⁽¹⁾ These fees represent amounts billed within the designated year and include reimbursable expenses of \$436,051 and \$1,295,736 for 2010 and 2009, respectively.

- (2) Audit fees include fees and expenses billed by PricewaterhouseCoopers in connection with the SAS 100 quarterly reviews of our interim financial information and the audit of our annual consolidated financial statements. The audit fees billed during 2010 include fees and expenses related to the 2009 (\$8,839,260) and 2010 (\$20,645,386) audits. In addition to the amounts shown above, approximately \$8.1 million of fees and reimbursable expenses will be billed in 2011 for the 2010 audit. The audit fees billed during 2009 include fees and expenses related to the 2008 (\$14,318,278) and 2009 (\$28,594,801) audits. Audit fees of \$95,542 and \$81,300 in 2010 and 2009, respectively, related to the Freddie Mac Foundation are excluded because these fees are incurred and paid separately by the Freddie Mac Foundation.
- (3) The 2010 and 2009 audit-related fees resulted from our Comperio subscription (\$18,000) renewals.
- (4) Tax fees in 2010 include fees for providing non-audit tax compliance services relating to the preparation of 2009 tax returns, preparation of quarterly estimated tax calculations and other services relating to improving Freddie Mac s annual tax compliance process (\$3,000,000), as well as process documentation services and tax accounting method change services (\$50,000). The tax fees billed in 2009 covered services related to the preparation of 2008 tax returns, preparation of quarterly estimated tax calculations and other services related to improving Freddie Mac s annual tax compliance process (\$3,500,000), as well as process documentation services and tax accounting method change services (\$295,000). Additionally, \$500,000 of the 2010 tax fees were billed in 2009 upon execution of the non-audit tax compliance services engagement letter.
- (5) All other fees for 2010 (\$148,805) resulted from fees and expenses billed by PricewaterhouseCoopers for the performance of advisory services related to management s reorganization of our Finance Division.

Approval of Independent Auditor Services and Fees

As provided in its charter, the Audit Committee appoints, subject to FHFA approval, our independent public accounting firm and reviews the scope of the annual audit and pre-approves, subject (as required) to FHFA approval, all audit and non-audit services permitted under applicable law to be performed by the independent public accounting firm.

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act and related rules adopted by the SEC require that all services provided to companies subject to the reporting requirements of the Exchange Act by their independent auditors be pre-approved by their audit committee or by authorized members of the committee, with certain exceptions. The Audit Committee s charter requires that the Audit

330 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

Committee pre-approve any audit services, and any non-audit services permitted under applicable law, to be performed by our independent auditors (or to designate one or more members of the Audit Committee to pre-approve such services and report such pre-approval to the Audit Committee).

Audit services that are within the scope of an auditor s engagement approved by the Audit Committee prior to the performance of those services are deemed pre-approved and do not require separate pre-approval. Audit services not within the scope of an Audit Committee-approved engagement, as well as permissible non-audit services, must be separately pre-approved by the Audit Committee.

When the Audit Committee pre-approves a service, the Audit Committee typically sets a dollar limit for such service. Management endeavors to obtain pre-approval of the Audit Committee, or of the Chairman of the Audit Committee (when the Chairman of the Audit Committee has been delegated such authority), before it incurs fees exceeding the dollar limit. If the Chairman of the Audit Committee approves the increase, the Chairman will report such approval at the Audit Committee s next scheduled meeting.

The pre-approval procedure is administered by our senior financial management, which reports throughout the year to the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee pre-approved all audit, audit-related, tax, and other services performed in 2009 and 2010.

331 Freddie Mac

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

- (a) Documents filed as part of this report:
 - (1) Consolidated Financial Statements

The consolidated financial statements required to be filed in this annual report on Form 10-K are included in Part II, Item 8.

(2) Financial Statement Schedules

None.

(3) Exhibits

An Exhibit Index has been filed as part of this annual report on Form 10-K beginning on page E-1 and is incorporated herein by reference.

332 Freddie Mac

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized. Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation

By: /s/ Charles E. Haldeman, Jr.

Charles E. Haldeman, Jr. Chief Executive Officer

Date: February 24, 2011

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Capacity	Date
/s/ John A. Koskinen John A. Koskinen	Non-Executive Chairman of the Board	February 24, 2011
/s/ Charles E. Haldeman, Jr. Charles E. Haldeman, Jr.	Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)	February 24, 2011
/s/ Ross J. Kari Ross J. Kari	Executive Vice President Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)	February 24, 2011
/s/ Robert D. Mailloux Robert D. Mailloux	Senior Vice President Corporate Controller and Principal Accounting Officer (Principal Accounting Officer)	February 24, 2011
/s/ Linda B. Bammann*	Director	February 24, 2011
Linda B. Bammann		
/s/ Carolyn H. Byrd* Carolyn H. Byrd	Director	February 24, 2011
/s/ Robert R. Glauber* Robert R. Glauber	Director	February 24, 2011
/s/ Laurence E. Hirsch* Laurence E. Hirsch	Director	February 24, 2011

/s/ Christopher S. Lynch* Christopher S. Lynch	Director	February 24, 2011
/s/ Nicolas P. Retsinas* Nicolas P. Retsinas	Director	February 24, 2011
/s/ Clayton S. Rose* Clayton S. Rose	Director	February 24, 2011
/s/ Eugene B. Shanks, Jr.* Eugene B. Shanks, Jr.	Director	February 24, 2011
/s/ Anthony A. Williams* Anthony A. Williams	Director	February 24, 2011
*By: /s/ Ross J. Kari		
Ross J. Kari Attorney-in-Fact		
	333	Freddie Mac

GLOSSARY

The Glossary includes acronyms and defined terms that are used throughout this Form 10-K.

1995 Employee Plan 1995 Stock Compensation Plan, as amended

2004 Employee Plan 2004 Stock Compensation Plan, as amended and restated June 6, 2008

Agency securities Generally refers to mortgage-related securities issued by the GSEs or government agencies.

Alt-A loan Although there is no universally accepted definition of Alt-A, many mortgage market participants classify single-family loans with credit characteristics that range between their prime and subprime categories as Alt-A because these loans have a combination of characteristics of each category, may be underwritten with lower or alternative income or asset documentation requirements compared to a full documentation mortgage loan, or both. In determining our Alt-A exposure on loans underlying our single-family credit guarantee portfolio, we classified mortgage loans as Alt-A if the lender that delivers them to us classified the loans as Alt-A, or if the loans had reduced documentation requirements, as well as a combination of certain credit characteristics and expected performance characteristics at acquisition which, when compared to full documentation loans in our portfolio, indicate that the loan should be classified as Alt-A. In the event we purchase a refinance mortgage in either our relief refinance mortgage initiative or in another mortgage refinance initiative and the original loan had been previously identified as Alt-A, such refinance loan may no longer be categorized or reported as an Alt-A mortgage in this Form 10-K and our other financial reports because the new refinance loan replacing the original loan would not be identified by the servicer as an Alt-A loan. As a result, our reported Alt-A balances may be lower than would otherwise be the case had such refinancing not occurred. For non-agency mortgage-related securities that are backed by Alt-A loans, we categorize our investments in non-agency mortgage-related securities as Alt-A if the securities were identified as such based on information provided to us when we entered into these transactions.

AMT Alternative Minimum Tax

AOCI Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of taxes

ARM Adjustable-rate mortgage A mortgage loan with an interest rate that adjusts periodically over the life of the mortgage loan based on changes in a benchmark index.

Board Board of Directors

BPS Basis points One one-hundredth of 1%. This term is commonly used to quote the yields of debt instruments or movements in interest rates.

Cash and other investments portfolio Our cash and other investments portfolio is comprised of our cash and cash equivalents, federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell, and investments in non-mortgage-related securities.

CD&A Compensation Discussion and Analysis

CEB The Corporate Executive Board Company

CEO Chief Executive Officer

CFO Chief Financial Officer

Charter The Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation Act, as amended, 12 U.S.C. § 1451 et seq.

CMBS Commercial mortgage-backed security A security backed by mortgages on commercial property (often including multifamily rental properties) rather than one-to-four family residential real estate. Although the mortgage pools underlying CMBS can include mortgages financing multifamily properties and commercial properties, such as office buildings and hotels, the classes of CMBS that we hold receive distributions of scheduled cash flows only from multifamily properties. Military housing revenue bonds are included as CMBS within investments-related disclosures. We have not identified CMBS as either subprime or Alt-A securities.

CME Freddie Mac Capital Markets Execution A multifamily mortgage initiative in which we purchase loans pre-designated for securitization through an Other Guarantee Transaction.

Conforming loan/Conforming jumbo loan/Conforming loan limit A conventional single-family mortgage loan with an original principal balance that is equal to or less than the applicable conforming loan limit, which is a dollar amount cap on the size of the original principal balance of single-family mortgage loans we are permitted by law to purchase or securitize.

334 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

The conforming loan limit is determined annually based on changes in FHFA s housing price index. Any decreases in the housing price index are accumulated and used to offset any future increases in the housing price index so that conforming loan limits do not decrease from year-to-year. Since 2006, the base conforming loan limit for a one-family residence has been set at \$417,000 with higher limits in certain high-cost areas.

Beginning in 2008, the conforming loan limits were increased for mortgages originated in certain high-cost areas above the conforming loan limits. In addition, conforming loan limits for certain high-cost areas were increased temporarily (up to \$729,250 for a one-family residence). Actual loan limits are set by FHFA for each county (or equivalent) and the loan limit for specific high-cost areas may be lower than the maximum amounts. We refer to loans that we have purchased with UPB exceeding \$417,000 as conforming jumbo loans.

Conservator The Federal Housing Finance Agency, acting in its capacity as conservator of Freddie Mac.

Convexity A measure of how much a financial instrument s duration changes as interest rates change.

Core spread income Refers to a fair value estimate of the net current period accrual of income from the spread between mortgage-related investments and debt, calculated on an option-adjusted basis.

Covered Officer Those executives in the following positions, each of whom are compensated pursuant to the Executive Management Compensation Program: (a) Chief Executive Officer; (b) Chief Operating Officer; (c) Chief Financial Officer; (d) all Executive Vice Presidents; and (e) all Senior Vice Presidents. Each of the Named Executive Officers is a Covered Officer.

Credit enhancement Any number of different financial arrangements that are designed to reduce credit risk by partially or fully compensating an investor in the event of certain financial losses. Examples of credit enhancements include mortgage insurance, overcollateralization, indemnification agreements, and government guarantees.

Credit losses Consists of charge-offs, net of recoveries, and REO operations income (expense).

Deed in lieu of foreclosure An alternative to foreclosure in which the borrower voluntarily conveys title to the property to the lender and the lender accepts such title (sometimes together with an additional payment by the borrower) in full satisfaction of the mortgage indebtedness.

Delinquency A failure to make timely payments of principal or interest on a mortgage loan. For single-family mortgage loans, we generally report delinquency rate information for loans that are seriously delinquent. For multifamily loans, we report delinquency rate information based on the UPB of loans that are two monthly payments or more past due or in the process of foreclosure.

Derivative A financial instrument whose value depends upon the characteristics and value of an underlying financial asset or index, such as a security or commodity price, interest or currency rates, or other financial indices.

Directors Plan 1995 Directors Stock Compensation Plan, as amended and restated

Dodd-Frank Act Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act.

DSCR Debt Service Coverage Ratio An indicator of future credit performance for multifamily loans. The DSCR estimates a multifamily borrower s ability to service its mortgage obligation using the secured property s cash flow, after deducting non-mortgage expenses from income. The higher the DSCR, the more likely a multifamily borrower will be able to continue servicing its mortgage obligation.

Duration The weighted average maturity of a financial instrument s cash flows. Duration is used as a measure of a financial instrument s price sensitivity to changes in interest rates.

Duration gap A measure of the difference between the estimated durations of our interest rate sensitive assets and liabilities. We present the duration gap of our financial instruments in units expressed as months. A duration gap of zero implies that the change in value of our interest rate sensitive assets from an instantaneous change in interest rates would be expected to be accompanied by an equal and offsetting change in the value of our debt and derivatives, thus leaving the net fair value of equity unchanged.

EDCP Executive Deferred Compensation Plan

Effective rent The average rent paid by the tenant over the term of a lease. Does not include discounts for concessions, or premiums, such as for non-standard lease terms.

335 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

ESPP Employee Stock Purchase Plan

Euribor Euro Interbank Offered Rate

EVP Executive Vice President

Exchange Act Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended

Executive Compensation Program Executive Management Compensation Program, as amended and restated

Fannie Mae Federal National Mortgage Association

FASB Financial Accounting Standards Board

FDIC Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation

Federal Reserve Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System

FHA Federal Housing Administration

FHFA Federal Housing Finance Agency FHFA is an independent agency of the U.S. government established by the Reform Act with responsibility for regulating Freddie Mac, Fannie Mae, and the FHLBs.

FHLB Federal Home Loan Bank

FICO score A credit scoring system developed by Fair, Isaac and Co. FICO scores are the most commonly used credit scores today. FICO scores are ranked on a scale of approximately 300 to 850 points with a higher value indicating a lower likelihood of credit default.

Fixed-rate mortgage Refers to a mortgage originated at a specific rate of interest that remains constant over the life of the loan.

Foreclosure alternative A workout option pursued when a home retention action is not successful or not possible. A foreclosure alternative is either a short sale or deed in lieu of foreclosure.

Foreclosure transfer Refers to our completion of a transaction provided for by the foreclosure laws of the applicable state, in which a delinquent borrower s ownership interest in a mortgaged property is terminated and title to the property is transferred to us or to a third party. State foreclosure laws commonly refer to such transactions as foreclosure sales, sheriff s sales, or trustee s sales, among other terms. When we, as mortgage holder, acquire a property in this manner, we pay for it by extinguishing some or all of the mortgage debt.

Freddie Mac mortgage-related securities Securities we issue and guarantee, including PCs, REMICs and Other Structured Securities, and Other Guarantee Transactions.

GAAP Generally accepted accounting principles

Ginnie Mae Government National Mortgage Association

GSE Act The Federal Housing Enterprises Financial Safety and Soundness Act of 1992, as amended by the Reform Act.

GSEs Government sponsored enterprises Refers to certain legal entities created by the U.S. government, including Freddie Mac, Fannie Mae, and the FHLBs.

Guarantee fee The fee that we receive for guaranteeing the payment of principal and interest to mortgage security investors.

Guidelines Corporate Governance Guidelines, as revised

HAFA Home Affordable Foreclosures Alternative program In 2009, the Treasury Department introduced the HAFA program to provide an option for HAMP-eligible homeowners who are unable to keep their homes. The HAFA program took effect on April 5, 2010 and we implemented it effective August 1, 2010.

HAMP Home Affordable Modification Program Refers to the effort under the MHA Program whereby the U.S. government, Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae commit funds to help eligible homeowners avoid foreclosure and keep their homes through mortgage modifications.

HERA The Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008

336 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

HFA State or local Housing Finance Agency

HUD U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Prior to the enactment of the Reform Act, HUD had general regulatory authority over Freddie Mac, including authority over our affordable housing goals and new programs. Under the Reform Act, FHFA now has general regulatory authority over us, though HUD still has authority over Freddie Mac with respect to fair lending.

Implied volatility A measurement of how the value of a financial instrument changes due to changes in the market s expectation of potential changes in future interest rates. A decrease in implied volatility generally increases the estimated fair value of our mortgage assets and decreases the estimated fair value of our callable debt and options-based derivatives, while an increase in implied volatility generally has the opposite effect.

Interest-only loan A mortgage loan that allows the borrower to pay only interest (either fixed-rate or adjustable-rate) for a fixed period of time before principal amortization payments are required to begin. After the end of the interest-only period, the borrower can choose to refinance the loan, pay the principal balance in total, or begin paying the monthly scheduled principal due on the loan.

IRS Internal Revenue Service

LIBOR London Interbank Offered Rate

LIHTC partnerships Low-income housing tax credit partnerships Prior to 2008, we invested as a limited partner in LIHTC partnerships, which are formed for the purpose of providing funding for affordable multifamily rental properties. These LIHTC partnerships invest directly in limited partnerships that own and operate multifamily rental properties that generate federal income tax credits and deductible operating losses.

Liquidation preference Generally refers to an amount that holders of preferred securities are entitled to receive out of available assets, upon liquidation of a company. The initial liquidation preference of our senior preferred stock was \$1.0 billion. The aggregate liquidation preference of our senior preferred stock includes the initial liquidation preference plus amounts funded by Treasury under the Purchase Agreement. In addition, dividends and periodic commitment fees not paid in cash are added to the liquidation preference of the senior preferred stock. We may make payments to reduce the liquidation preference of the senior preferred stock only in limited circumstances.

LTV ratio Loan-to-value ratio The ratio of the unpaid principal amount of a mortgage loan to the value of the property that serves as collateral for the loan, expressed as a percentage. Loans with high LTV ratios generally tend to have a higher risk of default and, if a default occurs, a greater risk that the amount of the gross loss will be high compared to loans with lower LTV ratios. We report LTV ratios based solely on the amount of the loan purchased or guaranteed by us, generally excluding any second lien mortgages (unless we own or guarantee the second lien).

MBA Mortgage Bankers Association of America

MD&A Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

MHA Program Making Home Affordable Program Formerly known as the Housing Affordability and Stability Plan, the MHA Program was announced by the Obama Administration in February 2009. The MHA Program is designed to help in the housing recovery, promote liquidity and housing affordability, expand foreclosure prevention efforts and set market standards. The MHA Program includes: (a) the Home Affordable Refinance Program, which gives eligible homeowners with loans owned or guaranteed by Freddie Mac or Fannie Mae an opportunity to refinance into loans with more affordable monthly payments; and (b) HAMP.

Monolines Companies that provide credit insurance principally covering securitized assets in both the primary issuance and secondary markets.

Mortgage assets Refers to both mortgage loans and the mortgage-related securities we hold in our mortgage-related investments portfolio.

Mortgage-related investments portfolio Our investment portfolio, which consists principally of mortgage-related securities and single-family and multifamily mortgage loans. Our mortgage-related investments portfolio under the Purchase Agreement is determined without giving effect to any change in accounting standards related to transfers of financial assets and consolidation of VIEs or any similar accounting standard. Accordingly, for purposes of the portfolio limit, when PCs and certain Other Guarantee Transactions are purchased into the mortgage-related investments portfolio, this is considered the acquisition of assets rather than the reduction of debt.

337 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

Mortgage-to-debt OAS The net OAS between the mortgage and agency debt sectors. This is an important factor in determining the expected level of net interest yield on a new mortgage asset. Higher mortgage-to-debt OAS means that a newly purchased mortgage asset is expected to provide a greater return relative to the cost of the debt issued to fund the purchase of the asset and, therefore, a higher net interest yield. Mortgage-to-debt OAS tends to be higher when there is weak demand for mortgage assets and lower when there is strong demand for mortgage assets.

MRA Matter requiring attention

Multifamily mortgage A mortgage loan secured by a property with five or more residential rental units.

Multifamily mortgage portfolio Consists of multifamily mortgage loans held by us on our consolidated balance sheets as well as those underlying non-consolidated Freddie Mac mortgage-related securities, and other guarantee commitments, but excluding those underlying our guarantees of HFA bonds under the HFA Initiative.

NASD National Association of Securities Dealers

Net worth The amount by which our total assets exceed our total liabilities as reflected on our consolidated balance sheets prepared in conformity with GAAP.

NIBP New Issue Bond Program

NPV Net present value

NYSE New York Stock Exchange

OAS Option-adjusted spread An estimate of the incremental yield spread between a particular financial instrument (*e.g.*, a security, loan or derivative contract) and a benchmark yield curve (*e.g.*, LIBOR or agency or U.S. Treasury securities). This includes consideration of potential variability in the instrument s cash flows resulting from any options embedded in the instrument, such as prepayment options.

Option ARM loan Mortgage loans that permit a variety of repayment options, including minimum, interest only, fully amortizing 30-year and fully amortizing 15-year payments. The minimum payment alternative for option ARM loans allows the borrower to make monthly payments that may be less than the interest accrued for the period. The unpaid interest, known as negative amortization, is added to the principal balance of the loan, which increases the outstanding loan balance. For our non-agency mortgage-related securities that are backed by option ARM loans, we categorize securities as option ARM if the securities were identified as such based on information provided to us when we entered into these transactions. We have not identified option ARM securities as either subprime or Alt-A securities.

OTC Over-the-counter

Other guarantee commitments Mortgage-related assets held by third parties for which we provide our guarantee without our securitization of the related assets.

Other Guarantee Transactions Transactions in which third parties transfer non-Freddie Mac mortgage-related securities to trusts specifically created for the purpose of issuing mortgage-related securities, or certificates, in the Other Guarantee Transactions.

PCs Participation Certificates Securities that we issue as part of a securitization transaction. Typically we purchase mortgage loans from parties who sell mortgage loans, place a pool of loans into a PC trust and issue PCs from that trust. The PCs are generally transferred to the seller of the mortgage loans in consideration of the loans or are sold to third party investors if we purchased the mortgage loans for cash.

Pension Plan Employees Pension Plan

Pension SERP Benefit The component of the SERP that relates to the Pension Plan.

Primary mortgage market The market where lenders originate mortgage loans and lend funds to borrowers. We do not lend money directly to homeowners, and do not participate in this market.

PMVS Portfolio Market Value Sensitivity Our primary interest-rate risk measurement. PMVS measures are estimates of the amount of average potential pre-tax loss in the market value of our net assets due to parallel (PMVS-L) and non-parallel (PMVS-YC) changes in LIBOR.

338 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

Purchase Agreement / Senior Preferred Stock Purchase Agreement An agreement the Conservator, acting on our behalf, entered into with Treasury on September 7, 2008, which was subsequently amended and restated on September 26, 2008 and further amended on May 6, 2009 and December 24, 2009.

QSPE Qualifying Special Purpose Entity A term used within the former accounting standards on transfers and servicing of financial assets to describe a particular trust or other legal vehicle that was demonstrably distinct from the transferor, had significantly limited permitted activities and could only hold certain types of assets, such as passive financial assets. Prior to January 1, 2010, the securitization trusts that were used for the administration of cash remittances received on the underlying assets of our PCs and REMICs and Other Structured Securities were QSPEs and, as such, they were not consolidated.

Recorded Investment The dollar amount of a loan or security recorded on our consolidated balance sheets, excluding any valuation allowance, such as the allowance for loan losses, but which does reflect direct write-downs of the investment. For mortgage loans, direct write-downs consist of valuation allowances associated with recording our initial investment in loans acquired with evidence of credit deterioration at the time of purchase.

Reform Act The Federal Housing Finance Regulatory Reform Act of 2008, which, among other things, amended the GSE Act by establishing a single regulator, FHFA, for Freddie Mac, Fannie Mae, and the FHLBs.

REIT Real estate investment trust To maintain REIT status under the Internal Revenue Code, a REIT must distribute 90% of its taxable earnings to shareholders annually. During the second quarter of 2010, our majority-owned REIT subsidiaries were eliminated via a merger transaction.

Related Persons Transaction Policy Written policy governing the approval of related person transactions.

Relief refinance mortgage A single-family mortgage loan delivered to us for purchase or guarantee that meets the criteria of the Freddie Mac Relief Refinance Mortgagesm initiative. This initiative is our implementation of the Home Affordable Refinance Program for our loans. Although the Home Affordable Refinance Program is targeted at borrowers with current LTV ratios above 80% (and up to a maximum of 125%), our initiative also allows borrowers with LTV ratios below 80% to participate.

REMIC Real Estate Mortgage Investment Conduit A type of multiclass mortgage-related security that divides the cash flows (principal and interest) of the underlying mortgage-related assets into two or more classes that meet the investment criteria and portfolio needs of different investors.

REMICs and Other Structured Securities (or in the case of Multifamily securities, **Other Structured Securities**) Single- and multiclass securities issued by Freddie Mac that represent beneficial interests in pools of PCs and certain other types of mortgage-related assets. REMICs and Other Structured Securities that are single-class securities pass through the cash flows (principal and interest) on the underlying mortgage-related assets. REMICs and Other Structured Securities that are multiclass securities divide the cash flows of the underlying mortgage-related assets into two or more classes designed to meet the investment criteria and portfolio needs of different investors. Our principal multiclass securities qualify for tax treatment as REMICs.

REO Real estate owned Real estate which we have acquired through foreclosure or through a deed in lieu of foreclosure.

ROA Return on assets

RSU Restricted stock unit

S&P Standard & Poor s

SD Significant deficiencies

SEC Securities and Exchange Commission

Secondary mortgage market A market consisting of institutions engaged in buying and selling mortgages in the form of whole loans (*i.e.*, mortgages that have not been securitized) and mortgage-related securities. We participate in the secondary mortgage market by purchasing mortgage loans and mortgage-related securities for investment and by issuing guaranteed mortgage-related securities, principally PCs.

Senior preferred stock The shares of Variable Liquidation Preference Senior Preferred Stock issued to Treasury under the Purchase Agreement.

339 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

Seriously delinquent Single-family mortgage loans that are three monthly payments or more past due or in the process of foreclosure as reported to us by our servicers.

SERP Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan

Short sale Typically an alternative to foreclosure consisting of a sale of a mortgaged property in which the homeowner sells the home at market value and the lender accepts proceeds (sometimes together with an additional payment or promissory note from the borrower) that are less than the outstanding mortgage indebtedness.

Single-family credit guarantee portfolio Consists of unsecuritized single-family loans, single-family loans held by consolidated trusts, and single-family loans underlying non-consolidated Other Guarantee Transactions and covered by other guarantee commitments. Excludes our REMICs and Other Structured Securities that are backed by Ginnie Mae Certificates and our guarantees under the HFA Initiative.

Single-family mortgage A mortgage loan secured by a property containing four or fewer residential dwelling units.

Spread The difference between the yields of two debt securities, or the difference between the yield of a debt security and a benchmark yield, such as LIBOR.

Strips Mortgage pass-through securities created by separating the principal and interest payments on a pool of mortgage loans. A principal-only strip entitles the security holder to principal cash flows, but no interest cash flows, from the underlying mortgages. An interest-only strip entitles the security holder to interest cash flows, but no principal cash flows, from the underlying mortgages.

Subprime Participants in the mortgage market may characterize single-family loans based upon their overall credit quality at the time of origination, generally considering them to be prime or subprime. Subprime generally refers to the credit risk classification of a loan. There is no universally accepted definition of subprime. The subprime segment of the mortgage market primarily serves borrowers with poorer credit payment histories and such loans typically have a mix of credit characteristics that indicate a higher likelihood of default and higher loss severities than prime loans. Such characteristics might include, among other factors, a combination of high LTV ratios, low credit scores or originations using lower underwriting standards, such as limited or no documentation of a borrower s income. While we have not historically characterized the loans in our single-family credit guarantee portfolio as either prime or subprime, we do monitor the amount of loans we have guaranteed with characteristics that indicate a higher degree of credit risk. Notwithstanding our historical characterizations of the single family credit guarantee portfolio, certain security collateral underlying our Other Guarantee Transactions have been identified as subprime based on information provided to Freddie Mac when the transactions were entered into. We also categorize our investments in non-agency mortgage-related securities as subprime if they were identified as such based on information provided to us when we entered into these transactions.

SVP Senior Vice President

Swaption An option contract to enter into an interest-rate swap. In exchange for an option premium, a buyer obtains the right but not the obligation to enter into a specified swap agreement with the issuer on a specified future date.

TBA To be announced

TCLFP Temporary Credit and Liquidity Facility Program

TDC Total direct compensation

TDR Troubled debt restructuring A type of loan modification in which the changes to the contractual terms result in concessions to borrowers that are experiencing financial difficulties.

Thrift/401(k) SERP Benefit The component of the SERP that relates to the Thrift/401(k) Savings Plan.

TIO Target Incentive Opportunity

Total comprehensive income (loss) Consists of net income (loss) plus changes in: (a) the unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities; (b) the effective portion of derivatives accounted for as cash flow hedge relationships; and (c) defined benefit plans.

Total mortgage portfolio Includes mortgage loans and mortgage-related securities held on our consolidated balance sheets as well as the balances of our non-consolidated issued and guaranteed single-class and multiclass securities, and other mortgage-related financial guarantees issued to third parties.

340 Freddie Mac

Table of Contents

Treasury U.S. Department of the Treasury

UPB Unpaid principal balance

USDA U.S. Department of Agriculture

VA U.S. Department of Veteran Affairs

VIE Variable Interest Entity A VIE is an entity: (a) that has a total equity investment at risk that is not sufficient to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support provided by another party; or (b) where the group of equity holders does not have: (i) the ability to make significant decisions about the entity s activities; (ii) the obligation to absorb the entity s expected losses; or (iii) the right to receive the entity s expected residual returns.

Warrant Refers to the warrant we issued to Treasury on September 8, 2008 pursuant to the Purchase Agreement. The warrant provides Treasury the ability to purchase shares of our common stock equal to 79.9% of the total number of shares of Freddie Mac common stock outstanding on a fully diluted basis on the date of exercise.

Workout, or loan workout A workout is either: (a) a home retention action, which is either a loan modification, repayment plan, or forbearance agreement; or (b) a foreclosure alternative, which is either a short sale or a deed in lieu of foreclosure.

Yield curve A graphical display of the relationship between yields and maturity dates for bonds of the same credit quality. The slope of the yield curve is an important factor in determining the level of net interest yield on a new mortgage asset, both initially and over time. For example, if a mortgage asset is purchased when the yield curve is inverted, with short-term rates higher than long-term rates, our net interest yield on the asset will tend to be lower initially and then increase over time. Likewise, if a mortgage asset is purchased when the yield curve is steep, with short-term rates lower than long-term rates, our net interest yield on the asset will tend to be higher initially and then decrease over time.

341 Freddie Mac

EXHIBIT INDEX

Description* Exhibit No. 3.1 Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation Act (12 U.S.C. §1451 et seq.), as amended through July 21, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2010, as filed on August 9, 2010) 3.2 Bylaws of the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, as amended and restated July 1, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K as filed on June 7, 2010) 4.1 Eighth Amended and Restated Certificate of Designation, Powers, Preferences, Rights, Privileges, Qualifications, Limitations, Restrictions, Terms and Conditions of Voting Common Stock (no par value per share) dated September 10, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K as filed on September 11, 2008) 4.2 Certificate of Creation, Designation, Powers, Preferences, Rights, Privileges, Qualifications, Limitations, Restrictions, Terms and Conditions of Variable Rate, Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock (par value \$1.00 per share), dated April 23, 1996 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form 10 as filed on July 18, 2008) 4.3 Certificate of Creation, Designation, Powers, Preferences, Rights, Privileges, Qualifications, Limitations, Restrictions, Terms and Conditions of 5.81% Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock (par value \$1.00 per share), dated October 27, 1997 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form 10 as filed on July 18, 2008) Certificate of Creation, Designation, Powers, Preferences, Rights, Privileges, Qualifications, 4.4 Limitations, Restrictions, Terms and Conditions of 5% Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock (par value \$1.00 per share), dated March 23, 1998 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form 10 as filed on July 18, 2008) 4.5 Certificate of Creation, Designation, Powers, Preferences, Rights, Privileges, Qualifications, Limitations, Restrictions, Terms and Conditions of 5.1% Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock (par value \$1.00 per share), dated September 23, 1998 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form 10 as filed on July 18, 2008) 4.6 Amended and Restated Certificate of Creation, Designation, Powers, Preferences, Rights, Privileges, Qualifications, Limitations, Restrictions, Terms and Conditions of Variable Rate, Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock (par value \$1.00 per share), dated September 29, 1998 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.6 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form 10 as filed on July 18, 2008) 4.7 Certificate of Creation, Designation, Powers, Preferences, Rights, Privileges, Qualifications, Limitations, Restrictions, Terms and Conditions of 5.3% Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock (par value \$1.00 per share), dated October 28, 1998 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.7 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form 10 as filed on July 18, 2008) 4.8 Certificate of Creation, Designation, Powers, Preferences, Rights, Privileges, Qualifications, Limitations, Restrictions, Terms and Conditions of 5.1% Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock (par value \$1.00 per share), dated March 19, 1999 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.8 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form 10 as filed on July 18, 2008) 4.9 Certificate of Creation, Designation, Powers, Preferences, Rights, Privileges, Qualifications, Limitations, Restrictions, Terms and Conditions of 5.79% Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock (par value \$1.00 per share), dated July 21, 1999 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.9 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form 10 as filed on July 18, 2008)

- 4.10 Certificate of Creation, Designation, Powers, Preferences, Rights, Privileges, Qualifications, Limitations, Restrictions, Terms and Conditions of Variable Rate, Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock (par value \$1.00 per share), dated November 5, 1999 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.10 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form 10 as filed on July 18, 2008)
- 4.11 Certificate of Creation, Designation, Powers, Preferences, Rights, Privileges, Qualifications, Limitations, Restrictions, Terms and Conditions of Variable Rate, Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock (par value \$1.00 per share), dated January 26, 2001 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.11 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form 10 as filed on July 18, 2008)
- 4.12 Certificate of Creation, Designation, Powers, Preferences, Rights, Privileges, Qualifications, Limitations, Restrictions, Terms and Conditions of Variable Rate, Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock (par value \$1.00 per share), dated March 23, 2001 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.12 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form 10 as filed on July 18, 2008)

E-1 Freddie Mac

Exhibit No.	Description*
4.13	Certificate of Creation, Designation, Powers, Preferences, Rights, Privileges, Qualifications, Limitations, Restrictions, Terms and Conditions of 5.81% Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock (par value \$1.00 per share), dated March 23, 2001 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.13 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form 10 as filed on July 18, 2008)
4.14	Certificate of Creation, Designation, Powers, Preferences, Rights, Privileges, Qualifications, Limitations, Restrictions, Terms and Conditions of Variable Rate, Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock (par value \$1.00 per share), dated May 30, 2001 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.14 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form 10 as filed on July 18, 2008)
4.15	Certificate of Creation, Designation, Powers, Preferences, Rights, Privileges, Qualifications, Limitations, Restrictions, Terms and Conditions of 6% Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock (par value \$1.00 per share), dated May 30, 2001 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.15 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form 10 as filed on July 18, 2008)
4.16	Certificate of Creation, Designation, Powers, Preferences, Rights, Privileges, Qualifications, Limitations, Restrictions, Terms and Conditions of 5.7% Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock (par value \$1.00 per share), dated October 30, 2001 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.16 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form 10 as filed on July 18, 2008)
4.17	Certificate of Creation, Designation, Powers, Preferences, Rights, Privileges, Qualifications, Limitations, Restrictions, Terms and Conditions of 5.81% Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock (par value \$1.00 per share), dated January 29, 2002 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.17 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form 10 as filed on July 18, 2008)
4.18	Certificate of Creation, Designation, Powers, Preferences, Rights, Privileges, Qualifications, Limitations, Restrictions, Terms and Conditions of Variable Rate, Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock (par value \$1.00 per share), dated July 17, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.18 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form 10 as filed on July 18, 2008)
4.19	Certificate of Creation, Designation, Powers, Preferences, Rights, Privileges, Qualifications, Limitations, Restrictions, Terms and Conditions of 6.42% Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock (par value \$1.00 per share), dated July 17, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.19 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form 10 as filed on July 18, 2008)
4.20	Certificate of Creation, Designation, Powers, Preferences, Rights, Privileges, Qualifications, Limitations, Restrictions, Terms and Conditions of 5.9% Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock (par value \$1.00 per share), dated October 16, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.20 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form 10 as filed on July 18, 2008)
4.21	Certificate of Creation, Designation, Powers, Preferences, Rights, Privileges, Qualifications, Limitations, Restrictions, Terms and Conditions of 5.57% Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock (par value \$1.00 per share), dated January 16, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.21 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form 10 as filed on July 18, 2008)
4.22	Certificate of Creation, Designation, Powers, Preferences, Rights, Privileges, Qualifications, Limitations, Restrictions, Terms and Conditions of 5.66% Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock (par value \$1.00 per share), dated April 16, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.22 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form 10 as filed on July 18, 2008)
4.23	Certificate of Creation, Designation, Powers, Preferences, Rights, Privileges, Qualifications, Limitations, Restrictions, Terms and Conditions of 6.02% Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock (par value \$1.00 per share), dated July 24, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.23 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form 10 as filed on July 18, 2008)
4.24	Certificate of Creation, Designation, Powers, Preferences, Rights, Privileges, Qualifications, Limitations, Restrictions, Terms and Conditions of 6.55% Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock

(par value \$1.00 per share), dated September 28, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.24 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form 10 as filed on July 18, 2008)

4.25 Certificate of Creation, Designation, Powers, Preferences, Rights, Privileges, Qualifications, Limitations, Restrictions, Terms and Conditions of Fixed-to-Floating Rate Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock (par value \$1.00 per share), dated December 4, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.25 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form 10 as filed on July 18, 2008)

E-2 Freddie Mac

Exhibit No.	Description*
4.26	Certificate of Creation, Designation, Powers, Preferences, Rights, Privileges, Qualifications, Limitations, Restrictions, Terms and Conditions of Variable Liquidation Preference Senior Preferred Stock (par value \$1.00 per share), dated September 7, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K as filed on September 11, 2008)
4.27	Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation Global Debt Facility Agreement, dated February 24, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2010, as filed on May 5, 2010)
10.1	Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation 2004 Stock Compensation Plan (as amended and restated as of June 6, 2008) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form 10 as filed on July 18, 2008)
10.2	First Amendment to the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation 2004 Stock Compensation Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form 10 as filed on July 18, 2008)
10.3	Second Amendment to the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation 2004 Stock Compensation Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Registrant s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2009, as filed on August 7, 2009)
10.4	Form of Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement for executive officers under the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation 2004 Stock Compensation Plan for awards on and after March 4, 2005 but prior to January 1, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form 10 as filed on July 18, 2008)
10.5	Form of Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement for executive officers under the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation 2004 Stock Compensation Plan for awards on and after January 1, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form 10 as filed on July 18, 2008)
10.6	Form of Restricted Stock Units Agreement for executive officers under the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation 2004 Stock Compensation Plan for awards on and after March 4, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form 10 as filed on July 18, 2008)
10.7	Form of Restricted Stock Units Agreement for executive officers under the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation 2004 Stock Compensation Plan for supplemental bonus awards on March 7, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Registrant 's Registration Statement on Form 10 as filed on July 18, 2008)
10.8	Form of Performance Restricted Stock Units Agreement for executive officers under the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation 2004 Stock Compensation Plan for awards on March 29, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form 10 as filed on July 18, 2008)
10.9	Form of Performance Restricted Stock Units Agreement for executive officers under the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation 2004 Stock Compensation Plan for awards on March 7, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form 10 as filed on July 18, 2008)
10.10	Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation Global Amendment to Affected Stock Options under Nonqualified Stock Option Agreements and Separate Dividend Equivalent Rights, effective December 31, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form 10 as filed on July 18, 2008)
10.11	Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation Amendment to Restricted Stock Units Agreements and Performance Restricted Stock Units Agreements, dated December 31, 2008 (incorporated by

- reference to Exhibit 10.10 to the Registrant s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008, as filed on March 11, 2009)
- 10.12 Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation 1995 Stock Compensation Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form 10 as filed on July 18, 2008)
- 10.13 First Amendment to the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation 1995 Stock Compensation Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form 10 as filed on July 18, 2008)
- 10.14 Second Amendment to the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation 1995 Stock Compensation Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form 10 as filed on July 18, 2008)

E-3 Freddie Mac

Exhibit No.	Description*
10.15	Third Amendment to the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation 1995 Stock Compensation Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form 10 as filed on July 18, 2008)
10.16	Form of Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement for executive officers under the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation 1995 Stock Compensation Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form 10 as filed on July 18, 2008)
10.17	Form of Restricted Stock Units Agreement for executive officers under the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation 1995 Stock Compensation Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form 10 as filed on July 18, 2008)
10.18	Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation Employee Stock Purchase Plan (as amended and restated as of January 1, 2005) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form 10 as filed on July 18, 2008)
10.19	Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation 1995 Directors Stock Compensation Plan (as amended and restated June 8, 2007) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.17 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form 10 as filed on July 18, 2008)
10.20	Form of Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement for non-employee directors under the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation 1995 Directors Stock Compensation Plan for awards in 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 to the Registrant 's Registration Statement on Form 10 as filed on July 18, 2008)
10.21	Form of Restricted Stock Units Agreement for non-employee directors under the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation 1995 Directors Stock Compensation Plan for awards in 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.23 to the Registrant 's Registration Statement on Form 10 as filed on July 18, 2008)
10.22	Form of Restricted Stock Units Agreement for non-employee directors under the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation 1995 Directors Stock Compensation Plan for awards since 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.24 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form 10 as filed on July 18, 2008)
10.23	Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation Directors Deferred Compensation Plan (as amended and restated April 3, 1998) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.25 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form 10 as filed on July 18, 2008)
10.24	First Amendment to the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation Directors Deferred Compensation Plan (as amended and restated April 3, 1998) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.27 to the Registrant s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008, as filed on March 11, 2009)
10.25	Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation Executive Deferred Compensation Plan (as amended and restated effective January 1, 2008) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.28 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form 10 as filed on July 18, 2008)
10.26	First Amendment to the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation Executive Deferred Compensation Plan (as amended and restated effective January 1, 2008) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2008, as filed on November 14, 2008)
10.27	2009 Officer Short-Term Incentive Program (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.30 to the Registrant s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008, as filed on March 11, 2009)
10.28	2009 Long-Term Incentive Award Program, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Registrant s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2009, as filed

- on August 7, 2009)
- 10.29 Forms of award agreements under 2009 Long-Term Incentive Award Program (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2009, as filed on August 7, 2009)
- 10.30 2010 Vice President and Non-Officer Long-Term Incentive Award Program (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2009, as filed on August 9, 2010)
- 10.31 Officer Severance Policy, dated January 24, 2011
- Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation Severance Plan (as restated and amended effective January 1, 1997) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.31 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form 10 as filed on July 18, 2008)
- 10.33 First Amendment to the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation Severance Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.32 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form 10 as filed on July 18, 2008)

E-4 Freddie Mac

Exhibit No.	Description*
10.34	Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (as amended and restated effective January 1, 2008) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.33 to the Registrant s
10.35	Registration Statement on Form 10 as filed on July 18, 2008) First Amendment to the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (As Amended and Restated January 1, 2008) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.38 to the Registrant s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31,
10.36	2009, as filed on February 24, 2010) Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation Long-Term Disability Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.34 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form 10 as filed on July 18, 2008)
10.37	First Amendment to the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation Long-Term Disability Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.35 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form 10 as filed on July 18, 2008)
10.38	Second Amendment to the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation Long-Term Disability Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.36 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form 10 as filed on July 18, 2008)
10.39	FHFA Conservatorship Retention Program, Executive Vice President and Senior Vice President, Parameters Document, September 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2008, as filed on November 14, 2008)
10.40	Form of cash retention award for executive officers for awards in September 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2008, as filed on November 14, 2008)
10.41	Executive Management Compensation Program (as amended and restated as of October 11, 2010) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed on October 13, 2010)
10.42	Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation Mandatory Executive Deferred Base Salary Plan, Effective as of January 1, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.45 to the Registrant s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009, as filed on February 24, 2010)
10.43	Executive Management Compensation Recapture Policy (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed on December 24, 2009)
10.44	Memorandum Agreement, dated July 20, 2009, between Freddie Mac and Charles E. Haldeman, Jr. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed on July 21, 2009)
10.45	Recapture Agreement, dated July 21, 2009, between Freddie Mac and Charles E. Haldeman, Jr. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed on July 21, 2009)
10.46	Restrictive Covenant and Confidentiality Agreement, dated July 21, 2009, between Freddie Mac and Charles E. Haldeman, Jr. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Registrant s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2009, as filed on November 6, 2009)
10.47	Memorandum Agreement, dated September 24, 2009, between Freddie Mac and Ross J. Kari (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed on September 24, 2009)
10.48	Recapture Agreement, dated September 24, 2009, between Freddie Mac and Ross J. Kari (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed on September 24, 2009)

- 10.49 Restrictive Covenant and Confidentiality Agreement, dated September 24, 2009, between Freddie Mac and Ross J. Kari (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to the Registrant s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2009, as filed on November 6, 2009)
- 10.50 Letter Agreement dated January 24, 2006 between Freddie Mac and Robert E. Bostrom (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.71 to the Registrant s Annual Report on Form 10-K/A, as filed on April 30, 2009)
- Form of Restrictive Covenant and Confidentiality Agreement between Freddie Mac and Robert E. Bostrom (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2009, as filed on November 6, 2009)
- 10.52 Restrictive Covenant and Confidentiality Agreement, dated March 1, 2006, between Freddie Mac and Peter J. Federico
- 10.53 Restrictive Covenant and Confidentiality Agreement, dated March 11, 2001, between Freddie Mac and Donald J. Bisenius

E-5 Freddie Mac

Exhibit No.	Description*
10.54	Description of non-employee director compensation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K as filed on December 23, 2008)
10.55	PC Master Trust Agreement dated November 29, 2010
10.56	Form of Indemnification Agreement between the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation and executive officers and outside Directors (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K as filed on December 23, 2008)
10.57	Consent of Defendant Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation with the Securities and Exchange Commission, dated September 18, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.65 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form 10 as filed on July 18, 2008)
10.58	Letters, dated September 1, 2005, setting forth an agreement between Freddie Mac and FHFA (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.67 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form 10 as filed on July 18, 2008)
10.59	Amended and Restated Senior Preferred Stock Purchase Agreement dated as of September 26, 2008, between the United States Department of the Treasury and Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, acting through the Federal Housing Finance Agency as its duly appointed Conservator (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2008, as filed on November 14, 2008)
10.60	Amendment to Amended and Restated Senior Preferred Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of May 6, 2009, between the United States Department of the Treasury and Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, acting through the Federal Housing Finance Agency as its duly appointed Conservator (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Registrant s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2009, as filed on May 12, 2009)
10.61	Second Amendment dated as of December 24, 2009, to the Amended and Restated Senior Preferred Stock Purchase Agreement dated as of September 26, 2008, between the United States Department of the Treasury and Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, acting through the Federal Housing Finance Agency as its duly appointed Conservator (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed on December 29, 2009)
10.62	Warrant to Purchase Common Stock, dated September 7, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K as filed on September 11, 2008)
12.1	Statement re: computation of ratio of earnings to fixed charges and computation of ratio of earnings to combined fixed charges and preferred stock dividends
24	Powers of Attorney
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a)
31.2	Certification of Executive Vice President Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a)
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350
32.2	Certification of Executive Vice President Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350
* The SEC fil	e number for the Registrant 's Registration Statement on Form 10. Annual Reports on Form 10.K

* The SEC file number for the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form 10, Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and Current Reports on Form 8-K is 000-53330. This exhibit is a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

E-6 Freddie Mac