Accretive Health, Inc. Form S-1/A March 21, 2011

As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 21, 2011 Registration No. 333-172707

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

Amendment No. 1
to
Form S-1
REGISTRATION STATEMENT
UNDER
THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

ACCRETIVE HEALTH, INC.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Delaware(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)

7389 (Primary Standard Industrial Classification Code No.) **02-0698101** (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

401 North Michigan Avenue Suite 2700 Chicago, Illinois 60611 (312) 324-7820

(Address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of registrant s principal executive offices)

Mary A. Tolan
Founder, President and Chief Executive Officer
401 North Michigan Avenue
Suite 2700
Chicago, Illinois 60611
(312) 324-7820

(Name, address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of agent for service)

Copies to:

David A. Westenberg, Esq.
Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP
60 State Street
Boston, Massachusetts 02109
(617) 526-6000

Gregory A. Fernicola, Esq.
Jennifer A. Bensch, Esq.
Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Approximate date of commencement of proposed sale to the public: As soon as practicable after this Registration Statement is declared effective.

If any of the securities being registered on this form are offered on a delayed or continuous basis pursuant to Rule 415 under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act) please check the following box. o

If this Form is filed to register additional securities for an offering pursuant to Rule 462(b) under the Securities Act, please check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering. o

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(c) under the Securities Act, please check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering. o

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(d) under the Securities Act, please check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer , accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer o

Accelerated filer o

Non-accelerated filer b (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company o

The Registrant hereby amends this Registration Statement on such date or dates as may be necessary to delay its effective date until Registrant shall file a further amendment which specifically states that this Registration Statement shall thereafter become effective in accordance with Section 8(a) of the Securities Act or until the Registration Statement shall become effective on such date as the Commission, acting pursuant to Section 8(a), may determine.

The information in this prospectus is not complete and may be changed. The selling stockholders may not sell these securities until the registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission is effective. This prospectus is not an offer to sell these securities and it is not soliciting offers to buy these securities in any state where the offer or sale is not permitted.

Subject to Completion, dated March 21, 2011

6,500,000 Shares

Common Stock

All of the shares in this offering are being sold by the selling stockholders identified in this prospectus. Accretive Health will not receive any of the proceeds from the sale of the shares being sold by the selling stockholders.

Our common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol AH . The last reported sale price of our common stock on the New York Stock Exchange on March 18, 2011 was \$25.40 per share.

See Risk Factors beginning on page 10 to read about factors you should consider before buying shares of our common stock.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any other regulatory body has approved or disapproved of these securities or passed upon the accuracy or adequacy of this prospectus. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

	Per Share	Total
Price to the public	\$	\$
Underwriting discount	\$	\$
Proceeds to the selling stockholders	\$	\$

To the extent that the underwriters sell more than 6,500,000 shares of common stock, the underwriters have the option to purchase up to an additional 975,000 shares from the selling stockholders on the same terms set forth above. See Underwriting .

The underwriters expect to deliver the shares against payment in New York, New York on , 2011.

Goldman, Sachs & Co. Credit Suisse J.P.Morgan

Baird

Prospectus dated , 2011.

ACCRETIVE HEALTH results providers trust Delivering Results Through: People A talented team with revenue cycle management skills an a focus on outstanding customer service. Process Standardized implementation process and continuing analysis using sophisticated analytics and proprietary algorithms. Technology Integrated proprietary technology suite delivered as a web interface. Helping Our Customers Achieve: Improved Net Revenue Yield Increased Charge Capture More Efficient Revenue Cycle Operations

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Prospectus

	Page
Prospectus Summary	1
Summary Consolidated Financial Data	7
Risk Factors	10
Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements	24
Industry and Market Data	24
<u>Use of Proceeds</u>	25
Dividend Policy	26
Price Range of Common Stock	27
Capitalization	28
Selected Consolidated Financial Data	29
Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	32
<u>Business</u>	59
<u>Management</u>	86
Related Person Transactions	109
Principal and Selling Stockholders	116
<u>Description of Capital Stock</u>	120
Shares Eligible for Future Sale	125
Certain U.S. Federal Tax Consequences to Non-U.S. Holders	127
<u>Underwriting</u>	130
<u>Legal Matters</u>	133
<u>Experts</u>	133
Where You Can Find More Information	134
Index to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-1
<u>EX-1.1</u>	
EX-5.1 EX-10.26	
EX-10.20 EX-23.1	

No dealer, salesperson or other person is authorized to give any information or to represent anything not contained in this prospectus. You must not rely on any unauthorized information or representations. This prospectus is an offer to sell only the shares offered hereby, but only under circumstances and in jurisdictions where it is lawful to do so. The information contained in this prospectus is current only as of its date.

PROSPECTUS SUMMARY

This summary highlights information contained elsewhere in this prospectus. You should read the following summary together with the more detailed information appearing in this prospectus, including our consolidated financial statements and related notes, and the risk factors beginning on page 10, before deciding whether to purchase shares of our common stock. Unless the context otherwise requires, we use the terms—Accretive Health, our company, we, us and our in this prospectus to refer to Accretive Health, Inc. and its subsidiaries.

Accretive Health

Overview

Accretive Health is a leading provider of services that help healthcare providers generate sustainable improvements in their operating margins and healthcare quality while also improving patient, physician and staff satisfaction. Our core service offering helps U.S. healthcare providers to more efficiently manage their revenue cycles, which encompass patient registration, insurance and benefit verification, medical treatment documentation and coding, bill preparation and collections. Our quality and total cost of care service offering, introduced in 2010, can enable healthcare providers to effectively manage the health of a defined patient population, which we believe is a future direction of the manner in which healthcare services will be delivered in the United States.

Our customers typically are multi-hospital systems, including faith-based or community healthcare systems, academic medical centers and independent ambulatory clinics, and their affiliated physician practice groups. Our integrated technology and services offerings, which we refer to as our solutions, are adaptable to the evolution of the healthcare regulatory environment, technology standards and market trends, and require no up-front cash investment by our customers. As of December 31, 2010, we provided our integrated revenue cycle service offering to 26 customers representing 66 hospitals, as well as physicians billing organizations associated with several of these customers, and our quality and total cost of care service offering to one of these customers, representing seven hospitals and 42 clinics.

The revenue cycle operations of a typical healthcare provider often fail to capture and collect the total amounts contractually owed to it from third-party payors and patients for medical services rendered. Our revenue cycle management solution spans our customers entire revenue cycle, unlike competing services that we believe address only a portion of the revenue cycle or focus solely on cost reductions. Through the implementation of our distinctive operating model that includes people, processes and technology, customers for our revenue cycle management services have historically achieved significant improvements in cash collections measured against the contractual amount due for medical services, which we refer to as net revenue yield, within 18 to 24 months of implementing our solution. Revenue cycle management customers operating under mature managed service contracts typically realize 400 to 600 basis points in yield improvements in the third or fourth contract year. All of a customer s yield improvements during the period we are providing services are attributed to our solution because we assume full responsibility for the management of the customer s revenue cycle. Our methodology for measuring yield improvements excludes the impact of external factors such as changes in reimbursement rates from payors, the expansion of existing services or addition of new services, volume increases and acquisitions of hospitals or physician practices.

Introduced in 2010, our quality and total cost of care solution consists of a combination of people, processes and technology that enable our customers to effectively manage the health of a defined patient population. Our technology and service infrastructure can help our customers identify the individuals who are most likely to experience an adverse

health event and, as a result, incur high healthcare costs in the coming year. This data allows providers to focus greater efforts on managing these patients within and across the delivery system, as well as at home.

1

In assuming responsibility for the management and cost of a customer s revenue cycle or quality and total cost of care operations, we supplement the existing staff involved in the customer s operations with seasoned Accretive Health personnel. We also seek to embed our technology, personnel, know-how and culture within the customer activities we manage with the expectation that we will serve as the customer s on-site operational manager beyond the managed service contract s initial term. To date, we have experienced a contract renewal rate of 100% (excluding exploratory new service offerings, a consensual termination following a change of control and a customer reorganization). Coupled with the long-term nature of our managed service contracts and the fixed nature of the base fees under each contract, our historical renewal experience provides a core source of recurring revenue.

Our net services revenue consists primarily of base fees and incentive fees. We receive base fees for managing our customers—revenue cycle or quality and total cost of care operations, net of any cost savings we share with those customers. Incentive fees represent our portion of the increase in our customers—revenue resulting from our services. When providing quality and total cost of care services, we will also receive a share of the provider community cost savings for our role in providing the technology infrastructure and for managing the care coordination process. We and our customers share financial gains resulting from our solutions, which directly aligns our objectives and interests with those of our customers. We believe that over time, this alignment of interest fosters greater innovation and incentivizes us to improve our customer—s operations.

A customer s revenue improvements and cost savings generally increase over time as we deploy additional programs and as the programs we implement become more effective, which in turn provides visibility into our future revenue and profitability. In 2010, for example, approximately 87% of our net services revenue, and nearly all of our net income, was derived from customer contracts that were in place as of January 1, 2010. In 2010, we had net services revenue of \$606.3 million, representing growth of 19% over 2009 and a compound annual growth rate of 39% since January 1, 2006. We recognized no revenue from our quality and total cost of care offering in 2010. In addition, we were profitable for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010, and our profitability increased in each of those years.

Market Opportunity

We believe that current macroeconomic conditions will continue to impose financial pressure on healthcare providers and will increase the importance of managing their revenue cycles and quality and total cost of care activities effectively and efficiently. We estimate that the domestic market opportunity for our revenue cycle services exceeds \$50 billion, calculated as 5% (the approximate percentage of a representative hospital system—s total annual revenue paid to us for our revenue cycle management services at contract maturity, which percentage is generally reached in three and one-half to four years) of approximately \$1,020 billion in total annual revenue for services and goods that our revenue cycle management solution addresses. We expect this market opportunity will continue to grow. In addition, the continued operating pressures facing U.S. hospitals coupled with some of the themes underlying the federal healthcare reform legislation enacted in March 2010 make the efficient management of the revenue cycle and collection of the full amount of payments due for patient services among the most critical challenges facing healthcare providers today.

We believe that the inability of healthcare providers to capture and collect the total amounts owed to them for patient services is caused by the following trends:

Complexity of Revenue Cycle Management. At most hospitals, there is a lack of standardization across operating practices, payor and patient payment methodologies, data management processes and billing systems.

Lack of Integrated Systems and Processes. Although interrelated, the individual steps in the revenue cycle are not operationally integrated across revenue cycle departments at many hospitals.

2

Increasing Patient Financial Responsibility for Healthcare Services. Hospitals are being forced to adapt to the need for direct-to-patient billing and collections capabilities as patients bear payment responsibility for an increasing portion of healthcare costs; however, we believe most hospitals are not very well prepared to address consumer needs regarding the patient s payment obligation.

Outdated Systems and Insufficient Resources to Upgrade Them. Many hospitals suffer from operating inefficiencies caused by outdated technology, increasingly complex billing requirements, a general lack of standardization of process and information flow, costly in-house services that could be more economically outsourced, and an increasingly stringent regulatory environment.

In addition, we believe there is a significant market for our quality and total cost of care service offering. Many studies have found that U.S. healthcare costs are the highest in the developed world without a corresponding increase in overall population healthcare quality. We believe that improperly aligned incentives, non-coordination of care across healthcare settings and lack of integrated technology systems and information sharing processes are among the trends that have contributed to increases in healthcare costs in excess of increases in the consumer price index.

The Accretive Health Solutions

Our revenue cycle management solution is intended to address the full spectrum of revenue cycle operational issues faced by healthcare providers. We believe that our proprietary and integrated technology, management experience and well-developed processes are enhanced by the knowledge and experience we gain working with a wide range of customers and improve with each payor reimbursement or patient pay transaction. We deliver improved operating margins to our customers by helping them to improve their net revenue yield; increase their charge capture, which involves ensuring that all charges for medical treatment are included in the associated bill; and make their revenue cycle operations more efficient by implementing advanced technologies, streamlining operations and avoiding unnecessary re-work. While improvements in net revenue yield generally represent the majority of a customer s operating margin improvement, we are able to deliver additional margin improvement through improvements in charge capture and through revenue cycle cost reductions. We typically achieve revenue cycle cost reductions by implementing our proprietary technology and procedures, which reduce manual processes and duplicative work; migrating selected tasks to our shared operating facilities; and transferring certain third-party services, such as Medicaid eligibility review, to our own operations center, which allows us to leverage centralized processing capabilities to perform these tasks more efficiently. Improvements in charge capture are typically attributable to reduced payment denials by payors and identification of additional items that can be billed to payors based on the actual procedures performed. Because our managed service contracts align our interests with those of our customers, we have been able, over time, to improve our margins along with those of our customers.

We employ a variety of techniques intended to improve the operating performance of our customers:

Gathering Complete Patient and Payor Information. We focus on gathering complete patient information and educating the patient as to his or her potential financial responsibilities before receiving care so the services can be recorded and billed to the appropriate parties. Our systems automatically measure the completeness and accuracy of up-front patient profile information and other data, as well as billing and collections throughout the lifecycle of each patient account. Our analyses of these data show that hospitals employing our services have increased the percentage of non-emergency in-patient admissions with complete information profiles to more than 90%, enabling fewer billing delays and reduced billing cycles.

Improving Claims Filing and Third-Party Payor Collections. We implement sophisticated analytics designed to improve claims filing and collection of claims from third-party insurance payors. By employing proprietary

algorithms and modeling to determine how hospital staff involved in the revenue cycle should allocate time and resources across a pool of outstanding claims prioritized by level of risk, we can increase the likelihood that patient services will be reimbursed.

3

Identifying Alternative Payment Sources. We use various methods to find payment sources for uninsured patients and reimbursement for services not covered by third-party insurance. After a typical implementation period, we have been able to help our customers find a third-party payment source for approximately 85% of all admitted patients who identified themselves as uninsured.

Employing Proprietary Technology and Algorithms. Our service offerings employ a variety of proprietary data analytics and predictive modeling algorithms. Our systems are designed to streamline work processes through the use of proprietary algorithms that focus effort on those accounts deemed to have the greatest potential for improving net revenue yield or charge capture.

Using Analytical Capabilities and Operational Excellence. We draw on the experience that we have gained from working with many of the best healthcare provider systems in the United States to train hospital staffs about new and innovative revenue cycle management practices.

Our quality and total cost of care service offering, which we introduced in 2010, consists of a combination of people, processes and technology that can help our customers identify the individuals who are most likely to experience an adverse health event and, as a result, incur high healthcare costs in the coming year. This data allows providers to focus greater efforts on managing these patients within and across the delivery system, as well as at home. By allowing hospitals and physicians to deliver healthcare services to specific patient populations, and focusing on prevention, medical best practices and the use of electronic health records to achieve better outcomes, we believe that our solution can enable third-party payors to give providers an incentive to achieve reductions in the total cost of care for the defined patient population while maintaining or improving overall medical quality. When a customer adopts both our revenue cycle and quality and total cost of care management solutions, we can leverage the information available in our revenue cycle technology and data platform to enable real-time care management.

Our Strategy

Our goal is to become the preferred provider-of-choice for revenue cycle and quality and total cost of care services in the U.S. healthcare industry. Since our inception, we have worked with some of the largest and most prestigious healthcare systems in the United States, such as Ascension Health, the Henry Ford Health System and the Dartmouth-Hitchcock Medical Center. Going forward, our goal is to continue to expand the scope of our services to hospitals within our existing customers—systems as well as to leverage our strong relationships with reference customers to continue to attract business from new customers. Key elements of our strategy include the following:

delivering tangible, long-term results for our customers by providing services that span the entire revenue cycle;

continuing to develop innovative approaches to increase the collection rate on patient-owed obligations for medical services received;

enhancing and developing proprietary algorithms to identify potential errors and to make process corrections in the collection of reimbursements from third-party payors;

expanding our shared services program;

hiring, training and retaining our personnel;

continuing to diversify our customer base; and

developing enhanced service offerings, such as our quality and total cost of care services, which we introduced in 2010, that offer us long-term opportunities.

4

Risks Associated with Our Business

Our business is subject to a number of risks which you should be aware of before making an investment decision. Those risks are discussed more fully in Risk Factors beginning on page 10. For example:

we may not be able to maintain or increase our profitability, and our recent growth rates may not be indicative of our future growth rates;

hospitals affiliated with Ascension Health account for a majority of our net services revenue;

we face competition from the internal revenue cycle management staff of hospitals as well as from a variety of external participants in the revenue cycle market;

if we are unable to retain our existing customers, or if our customers fail to renew their managed service contracts with us upon expiration, our financial condition will suffer; and

existing and prospective government regulation of the healthcare industry creates risks and challenges for our business.

Corporate Information

We were incorporated in Delaware under the name Healthcare Services, Inc. in July 2003 and changed our name to Accretive Health, Inc. in August 2009. Our principal executive offices are located at 401 North Michigan Avenue, Suite 2700, Chicago, Illinois 60611, and our telephone number is (312) 324-7820. Our website address is www.accretivehealth.com. Information contained on our website is not incorporated by reference into this prospectus, and you should not consider information contained on our website to be part of this prospectus or in deciding whether to purchase shares of our common stock.

Accretive Health®, the Accretive Health logo, Accretive PAStm, AccretiveQ, AHtoAccess®, AHtoCharge®, AHtoContract®, AHtoLinktm, AHtoPosttm, AHtoRemit, AHtoScribetm, AHtoScribe Administrator®, AHtoTrac®, A2A®, Charge Integrity Services, Medicaid Eligibility Hub, YBFU®, Yield-Based Follow Up® and other trademarks or service marks of Accretive Health appearing in this prospectus are the property of Accretive Health.

5

The Offering

Common stock offered by the selling

stockholders 6,500,000 shares

Common stock to be outstanding after this

offering 95,126,464 shares

Use of proceeds

The selling stockholders will receive all of the net proceeds from the sale

of the shares in this offering. We will not receive any of the proceeds from

the sale of shares in this offering.

Risk Factors You should read the Risk Factors section and other information included

in this prospectus for a discussion of factors to consider carefully before

deciding to invest in shares of our common stock.

New York Stock Exchange symbol AH

The number of shares of our common stock to be outstanding after this offering is based on 95,126,464 shares of common stock outstanding as of February 28, 2011 and excludes:

15,189,144 shares of common stock issuable upon the exercise of stock options outstanding and exercisable as of February 28, 2011 at a weighted-average exercise price of \$9.56 per share, of which 7,643,688 shares with a weighted average exercise price of \$6.06 per share would be vested if purchased upon exercise of these options as of February 28, 2011; and

7,952,122 shares of common stock available for future issuance under our equity compensation plans as of February 28, 2011.

Except as otherwise noted, all information in this prospectus assumes no exercise by the underwriters of their option to purchase up to an additional 975,000 shares from the selling stockholders.

6

SUMMARY CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA

The following tables summarize our consolidated financial data for the periods presented. The summary statements of operations for the three years ended December 31, 2010 and the summary balance sheet as of December 31, 2010 are derived from our audited financial statements for the three years ended December 31, 2010 included elsewhere in this prospectus. You should read this data together with our consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this prospectus and the information under Selected Consolidated Financial Data and Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations .

	Year Ended December 31,						
		2008	2009	2010			
	(]	In thousands,	exce	ept share and	per	share data)	
Statement of Operations Data:							
Net services revenue	\$	398,469	\$	510,192	\$	606,294	
Costs of services		335,211		410,711		478,276	
Operating margin		63,258		99,481		128,018	
Operating expenses:							
Infused management and technology		39,234		51,763		64,029	
Selling, general and administrative		21,227		30,153		41,671	
Total operating expenses		60,461		81,916		105,700	
Income from operations		2,797		17,565		22,318	
Net interest income (expense)(1)		710		(9)		29	
Income before provision for income taxes		3,507		17,556		22,347	
Provision for income taxes		2,264		2,966		9,729	
Net income	\$	1,243	\$	14,590	\$	12,618	
Dividends on preferred shares		(8,048)		(8,044)			
Net income (loss) applicable to common shareholders	\$	(6,805)	\$	6,546	\$	12,618	
Net income (loss) per common share:							
Basic:	\$	(0.19)	\$	0.17	\$	0.18	
Diluted:	\$	(0.19)	\$	0.15	\$	0.13	
Weighted-average shares used in computing net income (loss) per common share:							
Basic:		36,122,470		36,725,194		70,732,791	
Diluted:		36,122,470		43,955,167		94,206,677	
		., ,		, ,		,,	

Other Operating Data (unaudited):

Adjusted EBITDA(2)	\$	12,220	\$	32,912	\$	45,024		
		As of December 31,						
		2008 2009			2010			
		(In millions)						
Projected contracted annual revenue run rate(3)	\$	421 to \$430	\$	509 to \$519	\$	698 to \$713		
Balance Sheet Data:			As of December 31, 2010 (In thousands)					
Cash and cash equivalents				\$		155,573		
Working capital				Ψ		109,757		
Total assets						262,619		
Total stockholders equity						142,719		
	7							

- (1) Interest income results from earnings associated with our cash and cash equivalents. No debt or other interest-bearing obligations were outstanding during any of the periods presented. Interest expense for 2009 is a result of a \$150 origination fee paid in connection with establishing our new revolving line of credit and has been shown net of interest income earned during the year.
- (2) We define adjusted EBITDA as net income (loss) before net interest income (expense), income tax expense (benefit), depreciation and amortization expense and share-based compensation expense. Adjusted EBITDA is a non-GAAP financial measure and should not be considered as an alternative to net income, operating income and any other measure of financial performance calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP.

We believe adjusted EBITDA is useful to investors in evaluating our operating performance for the following reasons:

adjusted EBITDA and similar non-GAAP measures are widely used by investors to measure a company s operating performance without regard to items that can vary substantially from company to company depending upon financing and accounting methods, book values of assets, capital structures and the methods by which assets were acquired;

securities analysts often use adjusted EBITDA and similar non-GAAP measures as supplemental measures to evaluate the overall operating performance of companies; and

by comparing our adjusted EBITDA in different historical periods, our investors can evaluate our operating results without the additional variations of interest income (expense), income tax expense (benefit), depreciation and amortization expense and share-based compensation expense.

Our management uses adjusted EBITDA:

as a measure of operating performance, because it does not include the impact of items that we do not consider indicative of our core operating performance;

for planning purposes, including the preparation of our annual operating budget;

to allocate resources to enhance the financial performance of our business;

to evaluate the effectiveness of our business strategies; and

in communications with our board of directors and investors concerning our financial performance.

We understand that, although measures similar to adjusted EBITDA are frequently used by investors and securities analysts in their evaluation of companies, adjusted EBITDA has limitations as an analytical tool, and you should not consider it in isolation or as a substitute for analysis of our results of operations as reported under GAAP. Some of these limitations are:

adjusted EBITDA does not reflect our cash expenditures or future requirements for capital expenditures or other contractual commitments;

adjusted EBITDA does not reflect changes in, or cash requirements for, our working capital needs;

adjusted EBITDA does not reflect share-based compensation expense;

adjusted EBITDA does not reflect cash requirements for income taxes;

adjusted EBITDA does not reflect net interest income (expense); and

other companies in our industry may calculate adjusted EBITDA differently than we do, limiting its usefulness as a comparative measure.

To properly and prudently evaluate our business, we encourage you to review the GAAP financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus, and not to rely on any single financial measure to evaluate our business.

8

The following table presents a reconciliation of adjusted EBITDA to net income, the most comparable GAAP measure:

	Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2008 2009 2010 (In thousands)							
Net income	\$	1,243	\$	14,590	\$	12,618		
Net interest (income) expense (a)		(710)		9		(29)		
Provision for income taxes		2,264		2,966		9,729		
Depreciation and amortization expense		2,540		3,921		6,157		
EBITDA	\$	5,337	\$	21,486	\$	28,475		
Stock compensation expense (b)		3,551		6,917		16,549		
Stock warrant expense (b)		3,332		4,509				
Adjusted EBITDA	\$	12,220	\$	32,912	\$	45,024		

- (a) See footnote 1 above.
- (b) Stock compensation expense and stock warrant expense collectively represent the share-based compensation expense reflected in our financial statements. Of the amounts presented above, \$921 and \$1,736 was classified as a reduction in net services revenue for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2009, respectively. No such reduction was recorded for the year ended December 31, 2010 as all warrants had been earned and therefore there was no stock warrant expense.
- (3) We define our projected contracted annual revenue run rate as the expected total net services revenue for the subsequent 12 months for all healthcare providers for which we are providing services under contract. We believe that our projected contracted annual revenue run rate is a useful method to measure our overall business volume at a point in time and changes in the volume of our business over time because it eliminates the timing impact associated with the signing of new contracts during a specific quarterly or annual period. Actual revenues may differ from the projected amounts used for purposes of calculating projected contracted annual revenue run rate because, among other factors, the scope of services provided to existing customers may change and the incentive fees we earn may be more or less than expected depending on our ability to achieve projected increases in our customers net revenue yield and projected reductions in the total medical cost of the customer s patient populations. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Overview Our Background for more information.

9

RISK FACTORS

An investment in our common stock involves a high degree of risk. In deciding whether to invest, you should carefully consider the following risk factors. Any of the following risks could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or prospects and cause the value of our common stock to decline, which could cause you to lose all or part of your investment. When deciding whether to invest in our common stock, you should also refer to the other information in this prospectus, including our consolidated financial statements and related notes and the Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations section of this prospectus.

Risks Related to Our Business and Industry

We may not be able to maintain or increase our profitability, and our recent growth rates may not be indicative of our future growth rates.

We have been profitable on an annual basis only since the year ended December 31, 2007, and we incurred net losses in the quarters ended March 31, 2007, December 31, 2007, March 31, 2008, December 31, 2008 and March 31, 2009. We may not succeed in maintaining our profitability on an annual basis and could incur quarterly or annual losses in future periods. We expect to incur additional operating expenses associated with being a public company and we intend to continue to increase our operating expenses as we grow our business. We also expect to continue to make investments in our proprietary technology applications, sales and marketing, infrastructure, facilities and other resources as we expand our operations, thus incurring additional costs. If our revenue does not increase to offset these increases in costs, our operating results would be negatively affected. You should not consider our historic revenue and net income growth rates as indicative of future growth rates. Accordingly, we cannot assure you that we will be able to maintain or increase our profitability in the future. Each of the risks described in this Risk Factors section, as well as other factors, may affect our future operating results and profitability.

Hospitals affiliated with Ascension Health currently account for a majority of our net services revenue, and we have several customers that have each accounted for 10% or more of our net services revenue in past fiscal periods. The termination of our master services agreement with Ascension Health, or any significant loss of business from our large customers, would have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We are party to a master services agreement with Ascension Health pursuant to which we provide services to its affiliated hospitals that execute separate managed service contracts with us. Hospitals affiliated with Ascension Health have accounted for a majority of our net services revenue each year since our formation. In the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010, aggregate revenue from hospitals affiliated with Ascension Health represented 70.7%, 60.3% and 50.7% of our net services revenue in such periods. In some fiscal periods, individual hospitals affiliated with Ascension Health have each accounted for 10% or more of our total net services revenue. For example, in the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2010, revenue from St. John Health (an affiliate of Ascension Health) was \$66.5 million and \$67.5 million, respectively, equal to 13.0% and 11.1%, respectively, of our total net services revenue. In addition, another customer, which is not affiliated with Ascension Health, accounted for 10.6% of our total net services revenue in the year ended December 31, 2008 but less than 10% of our total net services revenue in the year ended December 31, 2010. Health System, which is not affiliated with Ascension Health and with which we entered into a managed service contract in 2009, accounted for 11.3% of our total net services revenue in the year ended December 31, 2010. Furthermore, Fairview Health Services, which is not affiliated with Ascension Health and with which we entered into a managed service contract in 2010, accounted for

10.7% of our total net services revenue in the year ended December 31, 2010.

10

All of our managed service contracts with hospitals affiliated with Ascension Health will expire on December 31, 2012 unless renewed. Pursuant to our master services agreement with Ascension Health and our managed service contracts with hospitals affiliated with Ascension Health, our fees are subject to adjustment in the event quarterly cash collections at these hospitals deteriorate materially after we take over revenue cycle management operations. While these adjustments have never been triggered, if they were, our future fees from hospitals affiliated with Ascension Health would be reduced. In addition, any of our other customers, including hospitals affiliated with Ascension Health, can elect not to renew their managed service contracts with us upon expiration. We intend to seek renewal of all managed service contracts with our customers, but cannot assure you that all of them will be renewed or that the terms upon which they may be renewed will be as favorable to us as the terms of the initial managed service contracts.

Our inability to renew the managed service contracts with hospitals affiliated with Ascension Health, the termination of our master services agreement with Ascension Health, the loss of any of our other large customers or their failure to renew their managed service contracts with us upon expiration, or a reduction in the fees for our services for these customers would have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Our master services agreement with Ascension Health requires us to offer to Ascension Health s affiliated hospitals service fees that are at least as low as the fees we charge any other similarly situated customer receiving comparable services at comparable volumes.

Our master services agreement with Ascension Health requires us to offer to Ascension Health s affiliated hospitals fees for our services that are at least as low as the fees we charge any other similarly-situated customer receiving comparable services at comparable volumes. If we were to offer another similarly-situated customer receiving a comparable volume of comparable services fees that are lower than the fees paid by hospitals affiliated with Ascension Health, we would be obligated to offer such lower fees to hospitals affiliated with Ascension Health, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

Our agreements with hospitals affiliated with Ascension Health and with some other customers include provisions that could impede or delay our ability to enter into managed service contracts with new customers.

Under the terms of our master services agreement with Ascension Health, we are required to consult with Ascension Health s affiliated hospitals before undertaking services for competitors specified by them in the managed service contracts they execute with us. As a result, before we can begin to provide services to a specified competitor, we are required to inform and discuss the situation with the Ascension Health affiliated hospital that specified the competitor but are not required to obtain the consent of such hospital. In addition, we are required to obtain the consent of one customer not affiliated with Ascension Health before providing services to competitors specified by such customer. In another instance, our managed service contract with one other customer not affiliated with Ascension Health requires us to consult with such customer before providing services to competitors specified by such customer. The obligations described above could impede or delay our ability to enter into managed service contracts with new customers.

The markets for our revenue cycle management and quality and total cost of care services may develop more slowly than we expect, which could adversely affect our revenue and our ability to maintain or increase our profitability.

Our success depends, in part, on the willingness of hospitals, physicians and other healthcare providers to implement integrated solutions that span the entire revenue cycle, which encompasses patient registration, insurance and benefit verification, medical treatment documentation and coding, bill preparation and collections. Our success also depends on healthcare providers willingness to move away from traditional fee-for-service payment systems and toward accountable care organizations and similar initiatives. Some hospitals may be reluctant or unwilling to implement our

solutions for a number of reasons, including failure to perceive the need for improved revenue cycle operations and quality and total cost of care services, and lack of knowledge about the potential benefits our solutions provide.

Even if potential customers recognize the need to improve revenue cycle operations and more effectively manage the health of defined patient populations, they may not select solutions such as ours because they previously have made investments in internally developed solutions and choose to continue to rely on their own internal resources. As a result, the markets for integrated, end-to-end revenue cycle and quality and total cost of care solutions may develop more slowly than we expect, which could adversely affect our revenue and our ability to maintain or increase our profitability.

We operate in a highly competitive industry, and our current or future competitors may be able to compete more effectively than we do, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, revenue, growth rates and market share.

The market for revenue cycle management solutions is highly competitive and we expect competition to intensify in the future. We face competition from a steady stream of new entrants, including the internal revenue cycle management staff of hospitals, as described above, and external participants. External participants that are our competitors in the revenue cycle market include software vendors and other technology-supported revenue cycle management business process outsourcing companies; traditional consultants; and information technology outsourcers. These types of external participants also compete with us in the field of quality and total cost of care. In addition, the commercial payor community has historically attempted to provide information or services that are intended to assist providers in reducing the total cost of medical care. They could attempt to develop similar programs again. Our competitors may be able to respond more quickly and effectively than we can to new or changing opportunities, technologies, standards, regulations or customer requirements. We may not be able to compete successfully with these companies, and these or other competitors may introduce technologies or services that render our technologies or services obsolete or less marketable. Even if our technologies and services are more effective than the offerings of our competitors, current or potential customers might prefer competitive technologies or services to our technologies and services. Increased competition is likely to result in pricing pressures, which could negatively impact our margins, growth rate or market share.

If we are unable to retain our existing customers, our financial condition will suffer.

Our success depends in part upon the retention of our customers, particularly Ascension Health and its affiliated hospitals. We derive our net services revenue primarily from managed service contracts pursuant to which we receive base fees and incentive payments. Customers can elect not to renew their managed service contracts with us upon expiration. If a managed service contract is not renewed or is terminated for any reason, including for example, if we are found to be in violation of any federal or state fraud and abuse laws or excluded from participating in federal and state healthcare programs such as Medicare and Medicaid, we will not receive the payments we would have otherwise received over the life of contract. In addition, financial issues or other changes in customer circumstances, such as a customer change in control, may cause us or the customer to seek to modify or terminate a managed service contract, and either we or the customer may generally terminate a contract for material uncured breach by the other. If we breach a managed service contract or fail to perform in accordance with contractual service levels, we may also be liable to the customer for damages. Any of these events could adversely affect our business, financial condition, operating results and cash flows.

We face a variable selling cycle to secure new revenue cycle and quality and total cost of care managed service contracts, making it difficult to predict the timing of specific new customer relationships.

We face a variable selling cycle, typically spanning six to twelve months, to secure a new managed service contract. Even if we succeed in developing a relationship with a potential new customer, we may not be successful in entering into a managed service contract with that customer.

12

In addition, we cannot accurately predict the timing of entering into managed service contracts with new customers due to the complex procurement decision processes of most healthcare providers, which often involves high-level or committee approvals. Consequently, we have only a limited ability to predict the timing of specific new customer relationships.

Delayed or unsuccessful implementation of our technologies or services with our customers or implementation costs that exceed our expectations may harm our financial results.

To implement our solutions, we utilize the customer—s existing management and staff and layer our proprietary technology applications on top of the customer—s existing patient accounting and clinical systems. Each customer—s situation is different, and unanticipated difficulties and delays may arise. If the implementation process is not executed successfully or is delayed, our relationship with the customer may be adversely affected and our results of operations could suffer. Implementation of our solutions also requires us to integrate our own employees into the customer—s operations. The customer—s circumstances may require us to devote a larger number of our employees than anticipated, which could increase our costs and harm our financial results.

Our quarterly results of operations may fluctuate as a result of factors that may impact our incentive and base fees, some of which may be outside of our control.

We recognize base fee revenue on a straight-line basis over the life of the managed service contract. Base fees for contracts which are received in advance of services delivered are classified as deferred revenue until services have been provided. Our managed service contracts generally allow for adjustments to the base fee. Adjustments typically occur at 90, 180 or 360 days after the contract commences, but can also occur at subsequent dates as a result of factors including changes to the scope of operations and internal and external audits. In addition, our fees from hospitals affiliated with Ascension Health are subject to adjustment in the event quarterly cash collections at these hospitals deteriorate materially after we take over revenue cycle management operations. While these adjustments have never been triggered, if they were, our future fees from hospitals affiliated with Ascension Health would be reduced. Further, estimates of the incentive payments we have earned from providing services to customers in prior periods could change because the laws, regulations, instructions, payor contracts and rule interpretations governing how our customers receive payments from payors are complex and change frequently. Any such change in estimates could be material. The timing of such adjustments is often dependent on factors outside of our control and may result in material increases or decreases in our revenue and operating margin. Any such changes or adjustments may cause our quarter-to-quarter results of operations to fluctuate.

In addition, the timing of customer additions is not uniform throughout the year, which causes fluctuations in our quarterly results as new customers are added. Operating margins are typically slightly lower in quarters in which we add new customers because we incur expenses to implement our operating model at those customers while our incentive payments from revenue improvements and operating cost reductions for those customers are only at a preliminary stage. We also experience fluctuations in incentive payments as a result of patients—ability to accelerate or defer elective procedures, particularly around holidays such as Thanksgiving and Christmas. Generally, incentive payments are lower in the first quarter of each year and higher in the fourth quarter of each year. Incentive payments have a significant impact on operating margins and adjusted EBITDA, and changes in the amount of incentive payments can cause fluctuations in our quarter-to-quarter operating results.

If we lose key personnel or if we are unable to attract, hire, integrate and retain key personnel and other necessary employees, our business would be harmed.

Our future success depends in part on our ability to attract, hire, integrate and retain key personnel. Our future success also depends on the continued contributions of our executive officers and other key personnel, each of whom may be

difficult to replace. In particular, Mary A. Tolan, our president and chief executive officer, is critical to the management of our business and operations and the development of our strategic direction. The loss of services of Ms. Tolan or any of our other

13

executive officers or key personnel or the inability to continue to attract qualified personnel could have a material adverse effect on our business. The replacement of any of these key personnel would involve significant time and expense and may significantly delay or prevent the achievement of our business objectives. Competition for the caliber and number of employees we require is intense. We may face difficulty identifying and hiring qualified personnel at compensation levels consistent with our existing compensation and salary structure. In addition, we invest significant time and expense in training each of our employees, which increases their value to competitors who may seek to recruit them. If we fail to retain our employees, we could incur significant expenses in hiring, integrating and training their replacements and the quality of our services and our ability to serve our customers could diminish, resulting in a material adverse effect on our business.

The imposition of legal responsibility for obligations related to our employees or our customers employees could adversely affect our business or subject us to liability.

Under our contracts with customers, we directly manage our customers—employees engaged in the activities we have contracted to manage for our customers. Our managed service contracts establish the division of responsibilities between us and our customers for various personnel management matters, including compliance with and liability under various employment laws and regulations. We could, nevertheless, be found to have liability with our customers for actions against or by employees of our customers, including under various employment laws and regulations, such as those relating to discrimination, retaliation, wage and hour matters, occupational safety and health, family and medical leave, notice of facility closings and layoffs and labor relations, as well as similar liability with respect to our own employees, and any such liability could result in a material adverse effect on our business.

If we fail to manage future growth effectively, our business would be harmed.

We have expanded our operations significantly since inception and anticipate expanding further. For example, our net services revenue increased from \$160.7 million in 2006 to \$606.3 million in 2010, and the number of our employees increased from 33, all of whom were full-time, as of January 1, 2005 to 1,991 full-time employees and 231 part-time employees as of December 31, 2010. In addition, the number of customer employees whom we manage has increased from approximately 1,600 as of January 1, 2005 to approximately 8,200 as of December 31, 2010. This growth has placed significant demands on our management, infrastructure and other resources. To manage future growth, we will need to hire, integrate and retain highly skilled and motivated employees, and will need to effectively manage a growing number of customer employees engaged in revenue cycle operations. We will also need to continue to improve our financial and management controls, reporting systems and procedures. If we do not effectively manage our growth, we may not be able to execute on our business plan, respond to competitive pressures, take advantage of market opportunities, satisfy customer requirements or maintain high-quality service offerings.

Disruptions in service or damage to our data centers and shared services centers could adversely affect our business.

Our data centers and shared services centers are essential to our business. Our operations depend on our ability to operate our shared service centers, and to maintain and protect our applications, which are located in data centers that are operated for us by third parties. We cannot control or assure the continued or uninterrupted availability of these third-party data centers. In addition, our information technologies and systems, as well as our data centers and shared services centers, are vulnerable to damage or interruption from various causes, including (i) acts of God and other natural disasters, war and acts of terrorism and (ii) power losses, computer systems failures, Internet and telecommunications or data network failures, operator error, losses of and corruption of data and similar events. We conduct business continuity planning and maintain insurance against fires, floods, other natural disasters and general business interruptions to mitigate the adverse effects of a disruption, relocation or change in operating environment at one of our data centers or shared services centers, but the situations we plan for and the amount of insurance coverage

14

may not be adequate in any particular case. In addition, the occurrence of any of these events could result in interruptions, delays or cessations in service to our customers, or in interruptions, delays or cessations in the direct connections we establish between our customers and third-party payors. Any of these events could impair or prohibit our ability to provide our services, reduce the attractiveness of our services to current or potential customers and adversely impact our financial condition and results of operations.

In addition, despite the implementation of security measures, our infrastructure, data centers, shared services centers or systems that we interface with, including the Internet and related systems, may be vulnerable to physical break-ins, hackers, improper employee or contractor access, computer viruses, programming errors, denial-of-service attacks or other attacks by third parties seeking to disrupt operations or misappropriate information or similar physical or electronic breaches of security. Any of these can cause system failure, including network, software or hardware failure, which can result in service disruptions. As a result, we may be required to expend significant capital and other resources to protect against security breaches and hackers or to alleviate problems caused by such breaches.

If our security measures are breached or fail and unauthorized access is obtained to a customer s data, our service may be perceived as not being secure, the attractiveness of our services to current or potential customers may be reduced, and we may incur significant liabilities.

Our services involve the storage and transmission of customers proprietary information and protected health, financial, payment and other personal information of patients. We rely on proprietary and commercially available systems, software, tools and monitoring, as well as other processes, to provide security for processing, transmission and storage of such information, and because of the sensitivity of this information, the effectiveness of such security efforts is very important. The systems currently used for transmission and approval of credit card transactions, and the technology utilized in credit cards themselves, all of which can put credit card data at risk, are determined and controlled by the payment card industry, not by us. If our security measures are breached or fail as a result of third-party action, employee error, malfeasance or otherwise, someone may be able to obtain unauthorized access to customer or patient data. Improper activities by third parties, advances in computer and software capabilities and encryption technology, new tools and discoveries and other events or developments may facilitate or result in a compromise or breach of our computer systems. Techniques used to obtain unauthorized access or to sabotage systems change frequently and generally are not recognized until launched against a target, and we may be unable to anticipate these techniques or to implement adequate preventive measures. Our security measures may not be effective in preventing these types of activities, and the security measures of our third-party data centers and service providers may not be adequate. If a breach of our security occurs, we could face damages for contract breach, penalties for violation of applicable laws or regulations, possible lawsuits by individuals affected by the breach and significant remediation costs and efforts to prevent future occurrences. In addition, whether there is an actual or a perceived breach of our security, the market perception of the effectiveness of our security measures could be harmed and we could lose current or potential customers.

We may be liable to our customers or third parties if we make errors in providing our services, and our anticipated net services revenue may be lower if we provide poor service.

The services we offer are complex, and we make errors from time to time. Errors can result from the interface of our proprietary technology applications and a customer s existing patient accounting system, or we may make human errors in any aspect of our service offerings. The costs incurred in correcting any material errors may be substantial and could adversely affect our operating results. Our customers, or third parties such as our customers patients, may assert claims against us alleging that they suffered damages due to our errors, and such claims could subject us to significant legal defense costs and adverse publicity regardless of the merits or eventual outcome of such claims. In addition, if

we provide poor service to a customer and the customer therefore realizes less improvement in revenue yield, the incentive fee payments to us from that customer will be lower than anticipated.

We offer our services in many jurisdictions and, therefore, may be subject to state and local taxes that could harm our business or that we may have inadvertently failed to pay.

We may lose sales or incur significant costs should various tax jurisdictions be successful in imposing taxes on a broader range of services. Imposition of such taxes on our services could result in substantial unplanned costs, would effectively increase the cost of such services to our customers and may adversely affect our ability to retain existing customers or to gain new customers in the areas in which such taxes are imposed. For example, in 2008 Michigan began to impose a tax based on gross receipts in addition to tax based on net income.

We may seek to expand into international markets in the future. We have no experience in providing services to customers outside of the United States. Expansion into international markets, if pursued, could expose us to additional risks that we do not face in the United States, which could have an adverse effect on our operating results.

To date, all customers for all of our service offerings have been located in the United States. We believe that increasing healthcare costs are a concern for other developed nations and that management of the health of a defined patient population is a cost effective means to control overall healthcare expenditures. We have received inquiries from government-related healthcare providers in other countries about our quality and total cost of care service offering. As a result, we are beginning to evaluate the level of potential interest in this service offering and the methods of delivering this solution to international customers. This process is in a very early stage and there is no assurance that our quality and total cost of care service offering can be successfully tailored to meet the specific requirements of healthcare providers outside the United States, that a market for this service will develop outside of the United States or that we will be able to serve this market efficiently.

We have no experience in providing services to customers outside of the United States. If we seek to expand into international markets in the future, such operations will be subject to a variety of legal, financial, operational, regulatory, economic and political risks that we do not face in the United States. We may not be successful in developing and implementing policies and strategies that would be effective in managing these risks in each country where we may seek do business. Our failure to manage these risks successfully could harm our operations and increase our costs, and put pressure on our business, financial condition and operating results.

Our growing operations in India expose us to risks that could have an adverse effect on our costs of operations.

We employ a significant number of persons in India and expect to continue to add personnel in India. While there are cost advantages to operating in India, significant growth in the technology sector in India has increased competition to attract and retain skilled employees and has led to a commensurate increase in compensation expense. In the future, we may not be able to hire and retain such personnel at compensation levels consistent with our existing compensation and salary structure in India. In addition, our reliance on a workforce in India exposes us to disruptions in the business, political and economic environment in that region. Maintenance of a stable political environment is important to our operations, and terrorist attacks and acts of violence or war may directly affect our physical facilities and workforce or contribute to general instability. Our operations in India require us to comply with local laws and regulatory requirements, which are complex and of which we may not always be aware, and expose us to foreign currency exchange rate risk. Our Indian operations may also subject us to trade restrictions, reduced or inadequate protection for intellectual property rights, security breaches and other factors that may adversely affect our business. Negative developments in any of these areas could increase our costs of operations or otherwise harm our business.

Negative public perception in the United States regarding offshore outsourcing and proposed legislation may increase the cost of delivering our services.

Offshore outsourcing is a politically sensitive topic in the United States. For example, various organizations and public figures in the United States have expressed concern about a perceived association between offshore outsourcing providers and the loss of jobs in the United States. In addition, there has been recent publicity about the negative experience of certain companies that use offshore outsourcing, particularly in India. Current or prospective customers may elect to perform such services themselves or may be discouraged from transferring these services from onshore to offshore providers to avoid negative perceptions that may be associated with using an offshore provider. Any slowdown or reversal of existing industry trends towards offshore outsourcing would increase the cost of delivering our services if we had to relocate aspects of our services from India to the United States where operating costs are higher.

Legislation in the United States may be enacted that is intended to discourage or restrict offshore outsourcing. In the United States, federal and state legislation has been proposed, and enacted in several states, that could restrict or discourage U.S. companies from outsourcing their services to companies outside the United States. For example, legislation has been proposed that would require offshore providers to identify where they are located. In addition, legislation has been enacted in at least one state that requires that state contracts for services be performed within the United States, while several other states provide a preference to state contracts that are performed within the state. It is possible that legislation could be adopted that would restrict U.S. private sector companies that have federal or state government contracts, or that receive government funding or reimbursement, such as Medicare or Medicaid payments, from outsourcing their services to offshore service providers. Any changes to existing laws or the enactment of new legislation restricting offshore outsourcing in the United States may adversely affect our ability to do business, particularly if these changes are widespread, and could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

Regulatory Risks

The healthcare industry is heavily regulated. Our failure to comply with regulatory requirements could create liability for us, result in adverse publicity and negatively affect our business.

The healthcare industry is heavily regulated and is subject to changing political, legislative, regulatory and other influences. Many healthcare laws are complex, and their application to specific services and relationships may not be clear. In particular, many existing healthcare laws and regulations, when enacted, did not anticipate the services that we provide. There can be no assurance that our operations will not be challenged or adversely affected by enforcement initiatives. Our failure to accurately anticipate the application of these laws and regulations to our business, or any other failure to comply with regulatory requirements, could create liability for us, result in adverse publicity and negatively affect our business. Federal and state legislatures and agencies periodically consider proposals to revise aspects of the healthcare industry or to revise or create additional statutory and regulatory requirements. Such proposals, if implemented, could impact our operations, the use of our services and our ability to market new services, or could create unexpected liabilities for us. We are unable to predict what changes to laws or regulations might be made in the future or how those changes could affect our business or our operating costs.

Developments in the healthcare industry, including national healthcare reform, could adversely affect our business.

The healthcare industry has changed significantly in recent years and we expect that significant changes will continue to occur. The timing and impact of developments in the healthcare industry are difficult to predict. We cannot be sure that the markets for our services will continue to exist at current levels or that we will have adequate technical,

17

those markets. The federal healthcare reform legislation (known as the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act and the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010) that was enacted in March 2010 could, for example, encourage more companies to enter our market, provide advantages to our competitors and result in the development of solutions that compete with ours. Moreover, healthcare reform remains a major policy issue at the federal level, and constitutional challenges to or the repeal of the existing legislation and additional healthcare legislation in the future could have adverse consequences for us or the customers we serve.

If a breach of our measures protecting personal data covered by the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act or Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act occurs, we may incur significant liabilities.

The Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, as amended, and the regulations that have been issued under it, which we refer to collectively as HIPAA, contain substantial restrictions and requirements with respect to the use and disclosure of individuals protected health information. Under HIPAA, covered entities must establish administrative, physical and technical safeguards to protect the confidentiality, integrity and availability of electronic protected health information maintained or transmitted by them or by others on their behalf. In February 2009 HIPAA was amended by the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health, or HITECH, Act to impose certain of the HIPAA privacy and security requirements directly upon business associates of covered entities. New regulations that took effect in late 2009 also require business associates to notify covered entities, who in turn must notify affected individuals and government authorities of data security breaches involving unsecured protected health information. Most of our customers are covered entities and we are a business associate to many of those customers under HIPAA and the HITECH Act as a result of our contractual obligations to perform certain functions on behalf of and provide certain services to those customers. We have implemented and maintain physical, technical and administrative safeguards intended to protect all personal data and have processes in place to assist us in complying with applicable laws and regulations regarding the protection of this data and properly responding to any security incidents. A knowing breach of the HITECH Act s requirements could expose us to criminal liability. A breach of our safeguards and processes that is not due to reasonable cause or involves willful neglect could expose us to civil penalties and the possibility of civil litigation.

If we fail to comply with federal and state laws governing submission of false or fraudulent claims to government healthcare programs and financial relationships among healthcare providers, we may be subject to civil and criminal penalties or loss of eligibility to participate in government healthcare programs.

A number of federal and state laws, including anti-kickback restrictions and laws prohibiting the submission of false or fraudulent claims, apply to healthcare providers, physicians and others that make, offer, seek or receive referrals or payments for products or services that may be paid for through any federal or state healthcare program and, in some instances, any private program. These laws are complex and their application to our specific services and relationships may not be clear and may be applied to our business in ways that we do not anticipate. Federal and state regulatory and law enforcement authorities have recently increased enforcement activities with respect to Medicare and Medicaid fraud and abuse regulations and other healthcare reimbursement laws and rules. From time to time, participants in the healthcare industry receive inquiries or subpoenas to produce documents in connection with government investigations. We could be required to expend significant time and resources to comply with these requests, and the attention of our management team could be diverted by these efforts. Furthermore, if we are found to be in violation of any federal or state fraud and abuse laws, we could be subject to civil and criminal penalties, and we could be excluded from participating in federal and state healthcare programs such as Medicare and Medicaid. The occurrence of any of these events could give our customers the right to terminate our managed service contracts with them and result in significant harm to our business and financial condition.

The federal healthcare anti-kickback law prohibits any person or entity from offering, paying, soliciting or receiving anything of value, directly or indirectly, for the referral of patients covered by Medicare, Medicaid and other federal healthcare programs or the leasing, purchasing, ordering or arranging for or recommending the lease, purchase or order of any item, good, facility or service covered by these programs. Many states have adopted similar prohibitions against kickbacks and other practices that are intended to induce referrals, and some of these state laws are applicable to all patients regardless of whether the patient is covered under a governmental health program or private health plan. We seek to structure our business relationships and activities to avoid any activity that could be construed to implicate the federal healthcare anti-kickback law and similar laws. We cannot assure you, however, that our arrangements and activities will be deemed outside the scope of these laws or that increased enforcement activities will not directly or indirectly have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations. Any determination by a federal or state agency or court that we have violated any of these laws could subject us to civil or criminal penalties, could require us to change or terminate some portions of our operations or business, could disqualify us from providing services to healthcare providers doing business with government programs, could give our customers the right to terminate our managed service contracts with them and, thus, could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations. Moreover, any violations by and resulting penalties or exclusions imposed upon our customers could adversely affect their financial condition and, in turn, have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

There are also numerous federal and state laws that forbid submission of false information or the failure to disclose information in connection with the submission and payment of healthcare provider claims for reimbursement. In particular, the federal False Claims Act, or the FCA, prohibits a person from knowingly presenting or causing to be presented a false or fraudulent claim for payment or approval by an officer, employee or agent of the United States. In addition, the FCA prohibits a person from knowingly making, using, or causing to be made or used a false record or statement material to such a claim. Violations of the FCA may result in treble damages, significant monetary penalties, and other collateral consequences including, potentially, exclusion from participation in federally funded healthcare programs. The scope and implications of the recent amendments to the FCA pursuant to the Fraud Enforcement and Recovery Act of 2009, or FERA, have yet to be fully determined or adjudicated and as a result it is difficult to predict how future enforcement initiatives may impact our business. Pursuant to the healthcare reform legislation enacted in March 2010, a claim that includes items or services resulting from a violation of the federal anti-kickback law constitutes a false or fraudulent claim for purposes of the FCA.

These laws and regulations may change rapidly, and it is frequently unclear how they apply to our business. Errors created by our proprietary applications or services that relate to entry, formatting, preparation or transmission of claim or cost report information may be determined or alleged to be in violation of these laws and regulations. Any failure of our proprietary applications or services to comply with these laws and regulations could result in substantial civil or criminal liability and could, among other things, adversely affect demand for our services, invalidate all or portions of some of our managed service contracts with our customers, require us to change or terminate some portions of our business, require us to refund portions of our base fee revenues and incentive payment revenues, cause us to be disqualified from serving customers doing business with government payers, and give our customers the right to terminate our managed service contracts with them, any one of which could have an adverse effect on our business.

Our failure to comply with debt collection and consumer credit reporting regulations could subject us to fines and other liabilities, which could harm our reputation and business.

The U.S. Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, or FDCPA, regulates persons who regularly collect or attempt to collect, directly or indirectly, consumer debts owed or asserted to be owed to another person. Certain of our accounts receivable activities may be subject to the FDCPA. Many states

impose additional requirements on debt collection communications, and some of those requirements may be more stringent than the comparable federal requirements. Moreover, regulations governing debt collection are subject to changing interpretations that may be inconsistent among different jurisdictions. We are also subject to the Fair Credit Reporting Act, or FCRA, which regulates consumer credit reporting and which may impose liability on us to the extent that the adverse credit information reported on a consumer to a credit bureau is false or inaccurate. We could incur costs or could be subject to fines or other penalties under the FCRA if the Federal Trade Commission determines that we have mishandled protected information. We or our customers could be required to report such breaches to affected consumers or regulatory authorities, leading to disclosures that could damage our reputation or harm our business, financial position and operating results.

Potential additional regulation of the disclosure of health information outside the United States may increase our costs.

Federal or state governmental authorities may impose additional data security standards or additional privacy or other restrictions on the collection, use, transmission and other disclosures of health information. Legislation has been proposed at various times at both the federal and the state levels that would limit, forbid or regulate the use or transmission of medical information pertaining to U.S. patients outside of the United States. Such legislation, if adopted, may render our operations in India impracticable or substantially more expensive. Moving such operations to the United States may involve substantial delay in implementation and increased costs.

Risks Related to Intellectual Property

We may be unable to adequately protect our intellectual property.

Our success depends, in part, upon our ability to establish, protect and enforce our intellectual property and other proprietary rights. If we fail to establish or protect our intellectual property rights, we may lose an important advantage in the market in which we compete. We rely upon a combination of patent, trademark, copyright and trade secret law and contractual terms and conditions to protect our intellectual property rights, all of which provide only limited protection. We cannot assure you that our intellectual property rights are sufficient to protect our competitive advantages. Although we have filed six U.S. patent applications, we cannot assure you that any patents that will be issued from these applications will provide us with the protection that we seek or that any future patents issued to us will not be challenged, invalidated or circumvented. We have also been issued one U.S. patent, but we cannot assure you that it will provide us with the protection that we seek or that it will not be challenged, invalidated or circumvented. Legal standards relating to the validity, enforceability and scope of protection of patents are uncertain. Any patents that may be issued in the future from pending or future patent applications or our one issued patent may not provide sufficiently broad protection or they may not prove to be enforceable in actions against alleged infringers. Also, we cannot assure you that any trademark registrations will be issued for pending or future applications or that any of our trademarks will be enforceable or provide adequate protection of our proprietary rights.

We also rely in some circumstances on trade secrets to protect our technology. Trade secrets may lose their value if not properly protected. We endeavor to enter into non-disclosure agreements with our employees, customers, contractors and business partners to limit access to and disclosure of our proprietary information. The steps we have taken, however, may not prevent unauthorized use of our technology, and adequate remedies may not be available in the event of unauthorized use or disclosure of our trade secrets and proprietary technology. Moreover, others may reverse engineer or independently develop technologies that are competitive to ours or infringe our intellectual property.

Accordingly, despite our efforts, we may be unable to prevent third parties from infringing or misappropriating our intellectual property and using our technology for their competitive advantage. Any such infringement or

misappropriation could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. Monitoring infringement of our intellectual property rights

20

can be difficult and costly, and enforcement of our intellectual property rights may require us to bring legal actions against infringers. Infringement actions are inherently uncertain and therefore may not be successful, even when our rights have been infringed, and even if successful may require a substantial amount of resources and divert our management s attention.

Claims by others that we infringe their intellectual property could force us to incur significant costs or revise the way we conduct our business.

Our competitors protect their intellectual property rights by means such as patents, trade secrets, copyrights and trademarks. We have not conducted an independent review of patents issued to third parties. Additionally, because patent applications in the United States and many other jurisdictions are kept confidential for 18 months before they are published, we may be unaware of pending patent applications that relate to our proprietary technology. Although we have not been involved in any litigation related to intellectual property rights of others, from time to time we receive letters from other parties alleging, or inquiring about, possible breaches of their intellectual property rights. Any party asserting that we infringe its proprietary rights would force us to defend ourselves, and possibly our customers, against the alleged infringement. These claims and any resulting lawsuit, if successful, could subject us to significant liability for damages and invalidation of our proprietary rights or interruption or cessation of our operations. The software and technology industries are characterized by the existence of a large number of patents, copyrights, trademarks and trade secrets and by frequent litigation based on allegations of infringement or other violations of intellectual property rights. Moreover, the risk of such a lawsuit will likely increase as our size and scope of our services and technology platforms increase, as our geographic presence and market share expand and as the number of competitors in our market increases. Any such claims or litigation could:

be time-consuming and expensive to defend, whether meritorious or not;

require us to stop providing the services that use the technology that infringes the other party s intellectual property;

divert the attention of our technical and managerial resources;

require us to enter into royalty or licensing agreements with third parties, which may not be available on terms that we deem acceptable, if at all;

prevent us from operating all or a portion of our business or force us to redesign our services and technology platforms, which could be difficult and expensive and may make the performance or value of our service offerings less attractive;

subject us to significant liability for damages or result in significant settlement payments; or

require us to indemnify our customers as we are required by contract to indemnify some of our customers for certain claims based upon the infringement or alleged infringement of any third party s intellectual property rights resulting from our customers—use of our intellectual property.

Intellectual property litigation can be costly. Even if we prevail, the cost of such litigation could deplete our financial resources. Litigation is also time-consuming and could divert management s attention and resources away from our business. Furthermore, during the course of litigation, confidential information may be disclosed in the form of documents or testimony in connection with discovery requests, depositions or trial testimony. Disclosure of our confidential information and our involvement in intellectual property litigation could materially adversely affect our business. Some of our competitors may be able to sustain the costs of complex intellectual property litigation more

effectively than we can because they have substantially greater resources. In addition, any uncertainties resulting from the initiation and continuation of any litigation could significantly limit our ability to continue our operations and could harm our relationships with current and prospective customers. Any of the foregoing could disrupt our business and have a material adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition.

21

Risks Related to this Offering and Ownership of Shares of Our Common Stock

The trading price of our common stock may be volatile, and you may not be able to sell your shares at or above the public offering price.

We sold shares of our common stock in our initial public offering in May 2010 at a price of \$12.00 per share, and our common stock has subsequently traded at a price per share as high as \$26.34 and as low as \$8.30. An active public market for our shares may not be sustained after this offering. The trading price of our common stock is likely to continue to be highly volatile and could be subject to wide fluctuations in response to various factors. In addition to the risks described in this section, factors that may cause the market price of our common stock to fluctuate include:

fluctuations in our quarterly financial results or the quarterly financial results of companies perceived to be similar to us;

changes in estimates of our financial results or recommendations by securities analysts;

investors general perception of us; and

changes in general economic, industry and market conditions.

In addition, if the stock market in general experiences a loss of investor confidence, the trading price of our common stock could decline for reasons unrelated to our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Some companies that have had volatile market prices for their securities have had securities class actions filed against them. If a suit were filed against us, regardless of its merits or outcome, it would likely result in substantial costs and divert management s attention and resources. This could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

Future sales of shares by existing stockholders could cause our stock price to decline.

If our existing stockholders sell, or indicate an intention to sell, substantial amounts of our common stock in the public market following this offering, the trading price of our common stock could decrease significantly. Based on shares outstanding as of February 28, 2011, upon the closing of this offering, we will have outstanding 95,126,464 shares of common stock. Of these shares, 26,985,181 shares of common stock are eligible for sale in the public market and 68,141,283 shares of common stock will be subject to a 90-day contractual lock-up with the underwriters. Goldman, Sachs & Co., Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC and J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, acting as representatives of the underwriters, may permit our officers, directors and selling stockholders who are subject to the contractual lock-up to sell shares prior to the expiration of the lock-up agreements. Upon expiration of the contractual lock-up agreements with the underwriters, and based on shares outstanding as of February 28, 2011, an additional 68,141,283 shares will be eligible for sale in the public market.

Some of our existing stockholders have demand and incidental registration rights to require us to register with the SEC up to approximately 64.5 million shares of our common stock, following the closing of this offering and expiration of the lock-up agreements, assuming no exercise of the underwriters—option to purchase additional shares. If we register these shares of common stock, the stockholders would be able to sell those shares freely in the public market.

See Shares Eligible for Future Sale for further details regarding the number of shares eligible for sale in the public market after this offering.

Insiders will continue to have substantial control over us after this offering and will be able to determine substantially all matters requiring stockholder approval.

Upon the closing of this offering, our directors and executive officers and their affiliates will beneficially own, in the aggregate, approximately 46.7% of our outstanding common stock, assuming

22

no exercise of the underwriters—option to purchase additional shares. As a result, these stockholders will be able to determine substantially all matters requiring stockholder approval, including the election of directors and approval of significant corporate transactions, such as a merger or other sale of our company or its assets. This concentration of ownership could limit your ability to influence corporate matters and may have the effect of delaying or preventing a third party from acquiring control over us. For information regarding the ownership of our outstanding stock by our executive officers and directors and their affiliates, see—Principal and Selling Stockholders—.

Anti-takeover provisions in our charter documents and Delaware law could discourage, delay or prevent a change in control of our company and may affect the trading price of our common stock.

We are a Delaware corporation and the anti-takeover provisions of the Delaware General Corporation Law may discourage, delay or prevent a change in control by prohibiting us from engaging in a business combination with an interested stockholder for a period of three years after the person becomes an interested stockholder, even if a change in control would be beneficial to our existing stockholders. In addition, our restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws may discourage, delay or prevent a change in our management or control over us that stockholders may consider favorable. Our restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws:

authorize the issuance of blank check preferred stock that could be issued by our board of directors to thwart a takeover attempt;

provide for a classified board of directors, as a result of which the successors to the directors whose terms have expired will be elected to serve from the time of election and qualification until the third annual meeting following their election;

require that directors only be removed from office for cause and only upon a supermajority stockholder vote;

provide that vacancies on the board of directors, including newly created directorships, may be filled only by a majority vote of directors then in office;

limit who may call special meetings of stockholders;

prohibit stockholder action by written consent, requiring all actions to be taken at a meeting of the stockholders; and

require supermajority stockholder voting to effect certain amendments to our restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws.

For additional information regarding these and other anti-takeover provisions, see Description of Capital Stock Anti-Takeover Effects of Delaware Law and Our Charter and Bylaws .

We do not anticipate paying any cash dividends on our capital stock in the foreseeable future.

Although we paid cash dividends on our capital stock in July 2008 and September 2009, we do not expect to pay cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. Any future dividend payments will be within the discretion of our board of directors and will depend on, among other things, our financial condition, results of operations, capital requirements, capital expenditure requirements, contractual restrictions, provisions of applicable law and other factors that our board of directors may deem relevant. In addition, our revolving credit facility does not permit us to pay dividends without the lender s prior consent. We may not generate sufficient cash from operations in the future to pay dividends on our common stock. See Dividend Policy .

SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This prospectus contains forward-looking statements, within the meaning of the federal securities laws, that involve substantial risks and uncertainties. All statements, other than statements of historical facts, included in this prospectus regarding our strategy, future operations, future financial position, future revenue, projected costs, prospects, plans, objectives of management and expected market growth are forward-looking statements. The words anticipate, believe, estimate, expect, intend, may, plan, predict, project, will, would and similar expressions are intended forward-looking statements, although not all forward-looking statements contain these identifying words. These forward-looking statements include, among other things, statements about:

our ability to attract and retain customers;

our financial performance;

the advantages of our solutions as compared to those of others;

our new quality/cost service initiative;

our ability to establish and maintain intellectual property rights;

our ability to retain and hire necessary employees and appropriately staff our operations;

our estimates regarding capital requirements and needs for additional financing; and

our projected contracted annual revenue run rate.

We may not actually achieve the plans, intentions or expectations disclosed in our forward-looking statements, and you should not place undue reliance on our forward-looking statements. Actual results or events could differ materially from the plans, intentions and expectations disclosed in the forward-looking statements we make. We have included important factors in the cautionary statements included in this prospectus, particularly in the Risk Factors section, that could cause actual results or events to differ materially from the forward-looking statements that we make. Our forward-looking statements do not reflect the potential impact of any future acquisitions, mergers, dispositions, joint ventures or investments we may make.

You should read this prospectus and the documents that we have filed as exhibits to the registration statement, of which this prospectus is a part, completely and with the understanding that our actual future results may be materially different from what we expect. We do not assume any obligation to update any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as required by law.

INDUSTRY AND MARKET DATA

We obtained the industry and market data in this prospectus from our own research as well as from industry and general publications, surveys and studies conducted by third parties. Industry and general publications, studies and surveys generally state that they have been obtained from sources believed to be reliable, although they do not guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such information. While we believe that these publications, studies and surveys are reliable, we have not independently verified the data contained in them. In addition, while we believe that the results and estimates from our internal research are reliable, such results and estimates have not been verified by

24

USE OF PROCEEDS

The selling stockholders will receive all of the net proceeds from the sale of shares in this offering. We will not receive any of the proceeds from the sale of shares in this offering, including any sales pursuant to the underwriters option to purchase additional shares. We will pay the expenses of this offering, other than underwriting discounts and commissions.

25

DIVIDEND POLICY

We declared a cash dividend in the aggregate amount of \$15.0 million, or \$0.18 per common-equivalent share, to holders of record as of July 11, 2008 of our common stock and preferred stock. We declared an additional cash dividend in the aggregate amount of \$14.9 million, or \$0.18 per common equivalent share, to holders of record as of September 1, 2009 of our common stock and preferred stock. We declared no cash dividends in 2010.

We currently intend to retain earnings, if any, to finance the growth and development of our business, and we do not expect to pay any cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. Payment of future dividends, if any, will be at the discretion of our board of directors and will depend on our financial condition, results of operations, capital requirements, restrictions contained in current or future financing instruments, provisions of applicable law, and other factors the board deems relevant. Our \$15 million revolving line of credit agreement does not permit us to pay any future dividends without the lender s prior consent.

26

PRICE RANGE OF COMMON STOCK

Our common stock has traded on the New York Stock Exchange, or NYSE, under the symbol AH since May 20, 2010. Prior to that time, there was no public market for our common stock. The following table sets forth the high and low intraday sales prices per share of our common stock, as reported by the NYSE, for the periods indicated.

		Price Range			ge
		High		Low	
2010					
	Quarter ended June 30, 2010(1)	\$	15.21	\$	12.53
	Quarter ended September 30, 2010	\$	13.34	\$	9.05
	Quarter ended December 31, 2010	\$	16.25	\$	8.30
2011					
	Quarter ending March 31, 2011 (through March 18, 2011)	\$	26.34	\$	16.00

(1) Our common stock began trading on May 20, 2010.

The closing sale price per share of our common stock, as reported by the NYSE, on March 18, 2011 was \$25.40. As of February 28, 2011, there were 79 holders of record of our common stock.

27

CAPITALIZATION

The following table sets forth our cash and cash equivalents and capitalization as of December 31, 2010. You should read this table together with our financial statements and the related notes appearing at the end of this prospectus and the Use of Proceeds and Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations sections of this prospectus.

	As of December 31, 2010 (In thousands)			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	155,573		
Stockholders equity:				
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value; 5,000,000 shares authorized and no shares issued or outstanding				
Common stock, \$0.01 par value; 500,000,000 shares authorized, 94,826,509 shares issued				
and outstanding		948		
Additional paid-in capital		159,780		
Non-executive employee loans for stock option exercises		(41)		
Accumulated deficit		(17,834)		
Cumulative translation adjustment		(134)		
Total stockholders equity		142,719		
Total capitalization	\$	142,719		

The table above is based on the number of shares of common stock outstanding as of December 31, 2010, and excludes:

15,500,584 shares of common stock issuable upon the exercise of stock options outstanding and exercisable as of December 31, 2010 at a weighted-average exercise price of \$9.42 per share, of which 6,440,139 shares with a weighted-average exercise price of \$4.08 per share were vested; and

8,054,762 shares of common stock available for future issuance under our equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2010.

28

SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA

The following tables summarize our consolidated financial data for the periods presented. You should read the following selected consolidated financial data in conjunction with our financial statements and the related notes appearing at the end of this prospectus and the Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations section of this prospectus.

We derived the statement of operations data for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010 and the balance sheet data as of December 31, 2009 and 2010 from our audited consolidated financial statements, which are included in this prospectus. We derived the statement of operations data for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2007 and the balance sheet data as of December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008 from our audited consolidated financial statements, which are not included in this prospectus.

	Year Ended December 31,											
		2006		2007		2008		2009		2010		
		(In thousands, except share and per share data)										
Statement of Operations Data:												
Net services revenue	\$	160,741	\$	240,725	\$	398,469	\$	510,192	\$	606,294		
Costs of services		141,767		197,676		335,211		410,711		478,276		
Operating margin		18,974		43,049		63,258		99,481		128,018		
Operating expenses: Infused management and												
technology Selling, general and		18,875		27,872		39,234		51,763		64,029		
administrative		8,777		15,657		21,227		30,153		41,671		
Total operating expenses		27,652		43,529		60,461		81,916		105,700		
Income (loss) from operations		(8,678)		(480)		2,797		17,565		22,318		
Net interest income (expense)(1)		1,359		1,710		710		(9)		29		
Income (loss) before provision for income												
taxes Provision for income		(7,319)		1,230		3,507		17,556		22,347		
taxes				456		2,264		2,966		9,729		
Net income (loss)	\$	(7,319)	\$	774	\$	1,243	\$	14,590	\$	12,618		

Net income (loss) per common share: Basic: Diluted: Weighted-average shares used in computing net income (loss) per common share:	\$	(0.28) (0.28)	\$	0.01 0.01	\$	(0.19) (0.19)	\$	0.17 0.15	\$ 0.18 0.13
Basic:		25,918,942		32,968,085		36,122,470		36,725,194	70,732,791
Diluted: Other Operating Data (unaudited):		25,918,942		40,360,362		36,122,470		43,955,167	94,206,677
Adjusted EBITDA(2)	\$	(7,125)	\$	6,842	\$	12,220	\$	32,912	\$ 45,024
	As of December 31, 2006 2007 2008 (In millions)				2009	2010			
Projected contracted annual revenue run rate(3)	\$	200 to \$204	\$	309 to \$315	\$	421 to \$430	\$	509 to \$519	\$ 698 to \$713
		2006	As of December 31, 2007 2008 (In thousands)			•,	2009	2010	
Balance Sheet Data:									
Cash and cash equivalents Working capital Total assets	\$	20,782 (2,445) 27,333	\$	34,745 8,010 60,858		51,656 (3,453) 86,904	\$	43,659 (4,122) 103,472	\$ 155,573 109,757 262,619
Total stockholders equity	\$	3,166	\$	15,910	\$	7,923	\$	21,279	142,719
				29					

- (1) Interest income results from earnings associated with our cash and cash equivalents. Interest income declined subsequent to 2007 due to reductions in market interest rates. No debt or other interest-bearing obligations were outstanding during any of the periods presented. Interest expense for 2009 is a result of a \$150 origination fee paid in connection with establishing our new revolving line of credit and has been shown net of interest income earned during the year.
- (2) We define adjusted EBITDA as net income (loss) before net interest income (expense), income tax expense (benefit), depreciation and amortization expense and share-based compensation expense. Adjusted EBITDA is a non-GAAP financial measure and should not be considered as an alternative to net income, operating income and any other measure of financial performance calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP.

We believe adjusted EBITDA is useful to investors in evaluating our operating performance for the following reasons:

adjusted EBITDA and similar non-GAAP measures are widely used by investors to measure a company s operating performance without regard to items that can vary substantially from company to company depending upon financing and accounting methods, book values of assets, capital structures and the methods by which assets were acquired;

securities analysts often use adjusted EBITDA and similar non-GAAP measures as supplemental measures to evaluate the overall operating performance of companies; and

by comparing our adjusted EBITDA in different historical periods, our investors can evaluate our operating results without the additional variations of interest income (expense), income tax expense (benefit), depreciation and amortization expense and share-based compensation expense.

Our management uses adjusted EBITDA:

as a measure of operating performance, because it does not include the impact of items that we do not consider indicative of our core operating performance;

for planning purposes, including the preparation of our annual operating budget;

to allocate resources to enhance the financial performance of our business;

to evaluate the effectiveness of our business strategies; and

in communications with our board of directors and investors concerning our financial performance.

We understand that, although measures similar to adjusted EBITDA are frequently used by investors and securities analysts in their evaluation of companies, adjusted EBITDA has limitations as an analytical tool, and you should not consider it in isolation or as a substitute for analysis of our results of operations as reported under GAAP. Some of these limitations are:

adjusted EBITDA does not reflect our cash expenditures or future requirements for capital expenditures or other contractual commitments;

adjusted EBITDA does not reflect changes in, or cash requirements for, our working capital needs;

adjusted EBITDA does not reflect share-based compensation expense;

adjusted EBITDA does not reflect cash requirements for income taxes;

adjusted EBITDA does not reflect net interest income (expense); and

other companies in our industry may calculate adjusted EBITDA differently than we do, limiting its usefulness as a comparative measure.

To properly and prudently evaluate our business, we encourage you to review the GAAP financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus, and not to rely on any single financial measure to evaluate our business.

30

The following table presents a reconciliation of adjusted EBITDA to net income, the most comparable GAAP measure:

	2006	aber 31, 2009 s)	2010			
Net income (loss)	\$ (7,319)	\$	774	\$ 1,243	\$ 14,590	\$ 12,618
Net interest (income) expense(a)	(1,359)	(1,710)	(710)	9	(29)
Provision for income taxes			456	2,264	2,966	9,729
Depreciation and amortization expense	626		1,307	2,540	3,921	6,157
EBITDA	\$ (8,052)	\$	827	\$ 5,337	\$ 21,486	\$ 28,475
Stock compensation expense(b)	844		934	3,551	6,917	16,549
Stock warrant expense(b)	83	:	5,081	3,332	4,509	
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ (7,125)	\$	6,842	\$ 12,220	\$ 32,912	\$ 45,024

- (a) See footnote 1 above.
- (b) Stock compensation expense and stock warrant expense collectively represent the share-based compensation expense reflected in our financial statements. Of the amounts presented above, \$928, \$921 and \$1,736 was classified as a reduction in net services revenue for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009, respectively. No such reduction was recorded for the year ended December 31, 2010 as all warrants had been earned and therefore there was no stock warrant expense.
- (3) We define our projected contracted annual revenue run rate as the expected total net services revenue for the subsequent 12 months for all healthcare providers for which we are providing services under contract. We believe that our projected contracted annual revenue run rate is a useful method to measure our overall business volume at a point in time and changes in the volume of our business over time because it eliminates the timing impact associated with the signing of new contracts during a specific quarterly or annual period. Actual revenues may differ from the projected amounts used for purposes of calculating projected contracted annual revenue run rate because, among other factors, the scope of services provided to existing customers may change and the incentive fees we earn may be more or less than expected depending on our ability to achieve projected increases in our customers net revenue yield and projected reductions in the total medical cost of the customer s patient populations. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Overview Our Background for more information.

31

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

You should read the following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations together with our financial statements and the related notes and other financial information included elsewhere in this prospectus. Some of the information contained in this discussion and analysis or set forth elsewhere in this prospectus, including information with respect to our plans and strategy for our business and related financing, includes forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. You should review the Risk Factors section of this prospectus for a discussion of important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the results described in or implied by the forward-looking statements contained in the following discussion and analysis.

Overview

Our Background

Accretive Health is a leading provider of services that help healthcare providers generate sustainable improvements in their operating margins and healthcare quality while also improving patient, physician and staff satisfaction. Our core service offering helps U.S. healthcare providers to more efficiently manage their revenue cycles, which encompass patient registration, insurance and benefit verification, medical treatment documentation and coding, bill preparation and collections. Our quality and total cost of care service offering, introduced in 2010, can enable healthcare providers to effectively manage the health of a defined patient population, which we believe is a future direction of the manner in which healthcare services will be delivered in the United States.

At December 31, 2010 we provided our integrated revenue cycle service offering to 26 customers representing 66 hospitals as well as physician billing organizations associated with several of these customers, and our quality and total cost of care service offering to one of these customers, representing seven hospitals and 42 clinics.

Our integrated revenue cycle technology and services offering spans the entire revenue cycle. We help our revenue cycle customers increase the portion of the maximum potential patient revenue they receive, while reducing total revenue cycle costs. Our quality and total cost of care solution can help our customers identify the individuals who are most likely to experience an adverse health event and, as a result, incur high healthcare costs in the coming year. This data allows providers to focus greater efforts on managing these patients within and across the delivery system, as well as at home.

Our customers typically are multi-hospital systems, including faith-based or community healthcare systems, academic medical centers and independent ambulatory clinics, and their affiliated physician practice groups. To implement our solutions, we assume full responsibility for the management and cost of the operations we have contracted to manage and supplement the customer—s existing staff involved in such operations with seasoned Accretive Health personnel. A customer—s revenue improvements and cost savings generally increase over time as we deploy additional programs and as the programs we implement become more effective, which in turn provides visibility into our future revenue and profitability. In 2010, for example, approximately 87% of our net services revenue, and nearly all of our net income, was derived from customer contracts that were in place as of January 1, 2010.

Our revenue cycle management services customers have historically achieved significant net revenue yield improvements within 18 to 24 months of implementing our solution, with such customers operating under mature managed service contracts typically realizing 400 to 600 basis points in yield improvements in the third or fourth contract year. All of a customer s yield improvements during the period we are providing services are attributed to our

solution because we assume full responsibility for the management of the customer s revenue cycle. Our methodology for measuring yield improvements excludes the impact of external factors such as changes in reimbursement rates from payors, the expansion of existing services or addition of new services, volume increases and acquisitions of hospitals or physician practices, which may impact net revenue but are not considered changes to net revenue yield.

32

We and our customers share financial gains resulting from our solutions, which directly aligns our objectives and interests with those of our customers. Both we and our customers benefit on a contractually agreed-upon basis from net revenue increases realized by the customers as a result of our services. To date, we have experienced a contract renewal rate of 100% (excluding exploratory new service offerings, a consensual termination following a change of control and a customer reorganization). Coupled with the long-term nature of our managed service contracts and the fixed nature of the base fees under each contract, our historical renewal experience provides a core source of recurring revenue.

We believe that current macroeconomic conditions will continue to impose financial pressure on healthcare providers and will increase the importance of managing their operations effectively and efficiently. Additionally, the continued operating pressures facing U.S. hospitals coupled with some of the underlying themes of healthcare reform legislation enacted in March 2010 make the efficient management of the revenue cycle, including collection of the full amount of payments due for patient services, and quality and total cost of care initiatives, among the most critical challenges facing healthcare providers today.

Our corporate headquarters are located in Chicago, Illinois, and we operate shared services centers and offices in Michigan, Illinois, Missouri, Florida and India. As of December 31, 2010, we had 1,991 full-time employees and 231 part-time employees, and managed approximately 8,164 of our customers employees who are involved in patient registration, health management information, procedure coding, billing and collections. We refer to these functions collectively as the revenue cycle, and to the personnel involved in a customer s revenue cycle as revenue cycle staff.

In evaluating our business performance, our management monitors various financial and non-financial metrics. On a monthly basis, our chief executive officer, chief financial officer and other senior leaders monitor our projected contracted annual revenue run rate (as described below), net patient revenue under management, aggregate net services revenue, revenue cycle operating costs, corporate-level operating expenses, cash flow and adjusted EBITDA. When appropriate, decisions are made regarding action steps to improve these overall operational measures. Our senior operational leaders also monitor the performance of each customer s revenue cycle or quality and total cost of care operations through ten to twelve hospital-specific operating reviews each year. Such reviews typically focus on planned and actual operating results being achieved on behalf of our customers, progress against our operating metrics and planned and actual operating costs for that site. During these regular reviews, our senior operational leaders communicate to the operating teams suggestions to improve contract and operations performance and monitor the results of previous efforts. In addition, our senior management also monitors our ability to attract, hire and retain a sufficient number of talented employees to staff our growing business, and the development and performance of our proprietary technology.

We define our projected contracted annual revenue run rate, or PCARRR, as the total net services revenue we expect to receive during the subsequent twelve months from all customers under contract. We report PCARRR as a range as it includes estimates concerning our relative success in achieving incentive based payments over the next 12 months. We believe that PCARRR is a useful method to measure our overall business volume at a point in time and changes in the volume of our business over time because it eliminates the timing impact of contract signings within a specific quarterly or annual period.

By way of example, we generally expect that the annual revenues for our revenue cycle management services at contract maturity (which is generally reached in three and one-half to four years) for a representative hospital customer with \$1 billion in net patient revenues will be approximately 5% of the provider s net patient revenue, or approximately \$50 million, consisting of \$40 million of base fees, net of cost savings shared with the customer, and \$10 million of incentive fees. For the same representative hospital customer for our quality and total cost of care service offering, which we introduced in 2010, we currently expect that the annual revenues will be approximately

\$60 million, consisting of up to \$10 million in base fees and up to \$50 million in incentive fees. We generally expect our incentive fees to improve over time as we introduce additional

33

aspects of our operating model and as our predictive analytics improve with additional customer-specific details. Therefore, we generally expect our PCARRR for a specific customer engagement to increase annually until the contract is operating at maturity levels.

Net Services Revenue

We derive our net services revenue primarily from service contracts under which we manage our customers—revenue cycle or quality and total cost of care operations. Revenues from managed service contracts consist of base fees and incentive payments:

Base fee revenues represent our contractually-agreed annual fees for managing and overseeing our customers revenue cycle or quality and total cost of care operations. Following a comprehensive review of a customer s operations, the customer s base fees are tailored to its specific circumstances and the extent of the customer s operations for which we are assuming operational responsibility; we do not have standardized fee arrangements.

Incentive payment revenues for revenue cycle management services represent the amounts we receive by increasing our customers—net patient revenue and identifying potential payment sources for patients who are uninsured and underinsured. These payments are governed by specific formulas contained in the managed service contract with each of our customers. In general, we earn incentive payments by increasing a customer—s actual cash yield as a percentage of the contractual amount owed to such customer for the healthcare services provided.

Incentive payment revenues for quality and total cost of care services will represent our share of the provider community cost savings for our role in providing the technology infrastructure and for managing the care coordination process.

In addition, we earn revenue from other services, which primarily include our share of revenues associated with the collection of dormant patient accounts (more than 365 days old) under some of our service contracts. We also receive revenue from other services provided to customers that are not part of our integrated service offerings, such as procedure-by-procedure fee schedule reviews, physician advisory services or consulting on the billing for individuals receiving emergency room treatment.

Some of our service contracts entitle customers to receive a share of the cost savings we achieve from operating their revenue cycle. This share is returned to customers as a reduction in subsequent base fees. Our services revenue is reported net of cost sharing, and we refer to this as our net services revenue.

The following table summarizes the composition of our net services revenue for the year ended December 31, 2010 on a percentage basis:

Year Ended December 31, 2010

86%

12%

2%

Net base fees for managed service contracts
Incentive payments for managed service contracts
Other services

Total 100%

Net base fee and incentive payments were exclusively related to our revenue cycle management services in 2010. We were unable to record revenues for the benefits that may have been achieved in our quality and total cost of care services contract as the amounts were not yet sufficiently fixed and determinable to qualify for revenue recognition under our accounting policy. See Results of Operations for more information.

34

Costs of Services

Under our managed service contracts, we assume responsibility for all costs necessary to conduct the customers revenue cycle or quality and total cost of care operations that we have contracted to manage. Costs of services consist primarily of the salaries and benefits of the customers—employees engaged in activities which are included in our contract and who are managed on-site by us, the salaries and benefits of our employees who are engaged in similar activities, the costs associated with vendors that provide services integral to the services we are contracted to manage and the costs associated with operating our shared services centers.

Under our managed service contracts, we assume responsibility for the costs necessary to conduct the customers revenue cycle or quality and total cost of care operations that we have contracted to manage. Costs of services consist primarily of:

Salaries and benefits of the customers employees engaged in activities which are included in our contract and who are assigned to work on-site with us. Under our contracts with our customers, we are responsible for the cost of the salaries and benefits for these employees of our customers. Salaries are paid and benefits are provided to such individuals directly by the customer, instead of adding these individuals to our payroll, because these individuals remain employees of our customers.

Salaries and benefits of our employees in our shared services centers (these individuals are distinct from on-site infused management discussed below) and the non-payroll costs associated with operating our shared service centers.

Costs associated with vendors that provide services integral to the customer s services we are contracted to manage.

Costs of services were exclusively related to our revenue cycle management services in 2010.

Operating Margin

Operating margin is equal to net services revenue less costs of services. Our operating model is designed to improve margin under each managed service contract as the contract matures, for several reasons:

We typically enhance the productivity of a customer s revenue cycle operations over time as we fully implement our technology and procedures and because any overlap between costs of our shared services centers and costs of hospital operations targeted for transition is generally concentrated in the first year of the contract.

Incentive payments under each managed service contract generally increase over time as we deploy additional programs and the programs we implement become more effective and produce improved results for our customers.

Infused Management and Technology Expenses

We refer to our management and staff employees that we devote on-site to customer operations as infused management. Infused management and technology expenses consist primarily of the wages, bonuses, benefits, share based compensation, travel and other costs associated with deploying our employees on customer sites to guide and manage our customers—revenue cycle or population health management operations. The employees we deploy on customer sites typically have significant experience in revenue cycle operations, core coordination, technology,

quality control or other management disciplines. The other significant portion of these expenses is an allocation of the costs associated with maintaining, improving and deploying our integrated proprietary technology suite and an allocation of the costs previously capitalized for developing our integrated proprietary technology suite.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses consist primarily of expenses for executive, sales, corporate information technology, legal, regulatory compliance, finance and human resources

35

personnel, including wages, bonuses, benefits and share-based compensation; fees for professional services; share-based expense for stock warrants; insurance premiums; facility charges; and other corporate expenses. Professional services consist primarily of external legal, tax and audit services. The costs of developing the processes and technology for our emerging quality and total cost of care service offering prior to November 2010 when we signed our inaugural client are also included in selling, general and administrative expenses. We expect selling, general and administrative expenses to increase in absolute dollars as we continue to add information technology, human resources, finance, accounting and other administrative personnel as we expand our business.

We also expect to incur additional professional fees and other expenses resulting from future expansion and the compliance requirements of operating as a public company, including increased audit and legal expenses, investor relations expenses, increased insurance expenses, particularly for directors—and officers—liability insurance, and the costs of complying with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. While these costs may initially increase as a percentage of our net services revenue, we expect that in the future these expenses will increase at a slower rate than our overall business volume, and that they will eventually represent a smaller percentage of our net services revenue.

Although we cannot predict future changes to the laws and regulations affecting us or the healthcare industry generally, we do not expect that any associated changes to our compliance programs will have a material effect on our selling, general and administrative expenses.

Interest Income (Expense)

Interest income is derived from the return achieved from our cash balances. We invest primarily in highly liquid, short-term investments, primarily those insured by the U.S. government. Interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2009 resulted from origination fees associated with our revolving line of credit, which we entered into on September 30, 2009.

Income Taxes

Income tax expense consists of federal and state income taxes in the United States and India. Although we had net operating loss carryforwards in 2008, our effective tax rate in 2008 was approximately 65%. This was due principally to the fact that a large portion of our operations is conducted in Michigan, which in 2008 began to impose a tax based on gross receipts in addition to tax based on net income. Although we continued to pay the Michigan gross receipts tax in 2009, our effective tax rate declined to approximately 17% in 2009, principally due to the release of \$3.5 million of valuation allowances for deferred tax assets. Our effective tax rate in 2010 was 44% due principally to the gross receipts taxes paid to the State of Michigan and, to a lesser extent, other states. In the summer of 2010, a change in legislation substantially reduced the requirement for us to pay taxes on any future gross receipts in Michigan. We expect our overall effective tax rate to be approximately 40% in future years because we have minimal net operating loss carryforwards and the impact of the various remaining state gross receipts taxes will become less significant in relation to other income-based taxes. We also expect our income tax expense to increase in absolute dollars as our income increases.

Application of Critical Accounting Policies and Use of Estimates

Our consolidated financial statements reflect the assets, liabilities and results of operations of Accretive Health, Inc. and our wholly-owned subsidiaries. All intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation. Our consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with GAAP.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the amounts reported in our consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes. We regularly

evaluate the accounting policies and estimates we use. In general, we base estimates on historical experience and on assumptions that we believe to be reasonable given our operating environment. Estimates are based on our best knowledge of current events and the actions we may undertake in the future. Although we believe all adjustments considered

36

necessary for fair presentation have been included, our actual results may differ materially from our estimates.

We believe that the accounting policies described below involve our more significant judgments, assumptions and estimates and, therefore, could have the greatest potential impact on our consolidated financial statements. In addition, we believe that a discussion of these policies is necessary to understand and evaluate the consolidated financial statements contained in this prospectus. For further information on our critical and other significant accounting policies, see note 2 to our consolidated financial statements contained elsewhere in this prospectus.

Revenue Recognition

Our managed service contracts generally have an initial term of four to five years and various start and end dates. After the initial terms, these contracts renew annually unless canceled by either party. Revenue from managed service contracts consists of base fees and incentive payments.

We record revenue in accordance with the provisions of Staff Accounting Bulletin 104. As a result, we only record revenue once there is persuasive evidence of an arrangement, services have been rendered, the amount of revenue has become fixed or determinable and collectibility is reasonably assured. We recognize base fee revenues on a straight-line basis over the life of the managed service contract. Base fees for contracts which are received in advance of services delivered are classified as deferred revenue in the consolidated balance sheets until services have been provided.

Some of our service contracts entitle customers to receive a share of the cost savings achieved from operating their revenue cycle. This share is credited to the customers as a reduction in subsequent base fees. Services revenue is reported net of cost sharing and is referred to as net services revenue.

Our managed service contracts generally allow for adjustments to the base fee. Adjustments typically occur at 90, 180 or 360 days after the contract commences, but can also occur at subsequent dates as a result of factors including changes to the scope of operations and internal and external audits. All adjustments, the timing of which is often dependent on factors outside our control and which can increase or decrease revenue and operating margin, are recorded in the period the changes are known and collectibility of any additional fees is reasonably assured. Any such adjustments may cause our quarter-to-quarter results of operations to fluctuate. Adjustments may vary in direction, frequency and magnitude and generally have not materially affected our annual revenue trends, margin trends, and visibility.

We record revenue for incentive payments once the calculation of the incentive payment earned is finalized and collectibility is reasonably assured. We use a proprietary technology and methodology to calculate the amount of benefit each customer receives as a result of our services. Our calculations are based in part on the amount of revenue each customer is entitled to receive from commercial and private insurance carriers, Medicare, Medicaid and patients. Because the laws, regulations, instructions, payor contracts and rule interpretations governing how our customers receive payments from these parties are complex and change frequently, estimates of a customer s prior period benefits could change. All changes in estimates are recorded when new information is available and calculations are completed.

Incentive payments are based on the benefits a customer has received throughout the life of the managed service contract with us. Each quarter, we record the increase in the total benefits received to date. If a quarterly calculation indicates that the cumulative benefits to date have decreased, we record a reduction in revenue. If the decrease in revenue exceeds the amount previously paid by the customer, the excess is recorded as deferred revenue.

Our services also include collection of dormant patient accounts receivable that have aged 365 days or more directly from individual patients. We share all cash generated from these collections with our customers in accordance with specified arrangements. We record as revenue our portion of the cash received from these collections when each customer s cash application is complete.

37

Accounts Receivable and Allowance for Uncollectible Accounts

Base fees and incentive payments are billed to customers quarterly. Base fees received prior to when services are delivered are classified as deferred revenue.

We assess our customers—creditworthiness as a part of our customer acceptance process. We maintain an estimated allowance for doubtful accounts to reduce our gross accounts receivable to the amount that we believe will be collected. This allowance is based on our historical experience, our continuing assessment of each customer—s ability to pay and the status of any ongoing operations with each applicable customer.

We perform quarterly reviews and analyses of each customer—s outstanding balance and assess, on an account-by-account basis, whether the allowance for doubtful accounts needs to be adjusted based on currently available evidence such as historical collection experience, current economic trends and changes in customer payment terms. In accordance with our policy, if collection efforts have been pursued and all avenues for collections exhausted, accounts receivable would be written off as uncollectible.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

We record our financial assets and liabilities at fair value. The accounting standard for fair value (i) defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date, (ii) establishes a framework for measuring fair value, (iii) establishes a hierarchy of fair value measurements based upon the observability of inputs used to value assets and liabilities, (iv) requires consideration of nonperformance risk, and (v) expands disclosures about the methods used to measure fair value.

The accounting standard establishes a three-level hierarchy of measurements based upon the reliability of observable and unobservable inputs used to arrive at fair value. Observable inputs are independent market data, while unobservable inputs reflect our assumptions about valuation. The three levels of the hierarchy are defined as follows:

- Level 1: Observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities;
- Level 2: Inputs other than quoted prices but are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. These include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets and quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active; and model-derived valuations in which all significant inputs and significant value drivers are observable in active markets; and
- Level 3: Valuations derived from valuation techniques in which one or more significant inputs or significant value drivers are unobservable.

Our financial assets which are required to be measured at fair value on a recurring basis consist of cash and cash equivalents, which are invested in highly liquid money market funds and treasury securities and accordingly classified as level 1 assets in the fair value hierarchy. We do not have any financial liabilities which are required to be measured at fair value on a recurring basis.

Software Development

We apply the provisions of Accounting Standards Codification, or ASC, 350-40, *Intangibles Goodwill and Other Internal-Use Software*, which requires the capitalization of costs incurred in connection with developing or obtaining internal use software. In accordance with ASC 350-40, we capitalize the costs of internally-developed, internal use

software when an application is in the development stage. This generally occurs after the overall design and functionality of the application has been approved and our management has committed to the application s development. Capitalized software development costs consist of payroll and payroll-related costs for employee time spent developing a specific internal use software application or related enhancements, and external costs incurred that are related directly to the development of a specific software application.

38

Goodwill

Goodwill represents the excess purchase price over the net assets acquired for a business that we acquired in May 2006. In accordance with ASC 350, *Intangibles Goodwill and Other*, goodwill is not subject to amortization but is subject to impairment testing at least annually. Our annual impairment assessment date is the first day of our fourth quarter. We conduct our impairment testing on a company-wide basis because we have only one operating and reporting segment. Our impairment tests are based on our current business strategy in light of present industry and economic conditions and future expectations. As we apply our judgment to estimate future cash flows and an appropriate discount rate, the analysis reflects assumptions and uncertainties. Our estimates of future cash flows could differ from actual results. Our most recent impairment assessment did not result in goodwill impairment.

Impairments of Long-Lived Assets

We evaluate all of our long-lived assets, such as furniture, equipment, software and other intangibles, for impairment in accordance with ASC 360, *Property, Plant and Equipment*, when events or changes in circumstances warrant such a review. If an evaluation is required, the estimated future undiscounted cash flows associated with the asset are compared to the asset s carrying amount to determine if an adjustment to fair value is required.

Income Taxes

We record deferred tax assets and liabilities for future income tax consequences that are attributable to differences between the carrying amount of assets and liabilities for financial statement purposes and the income tax bases of such assets and liabilities. We base the measurement of deferred tax assets and liabilities on enacted tax rates that we expect will apply to taxable income in the year we expect to settle or recover those temporary differences. We recognize the effect on deferred income tax assets and liabilities of any change in income tax rates in the period that includes the enactment date. We provide a valuation allowance for deferred tax assets if, based upon the available evidence, it is more likely than not that some or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized.

As of December 31, 2008 and in all prior periods, a valuation allowance was provided for all of our net deferred tax assets. As a result of our improved operations, in 2009 we determined that it was no longer necessary to maintain a valuation allowance for all of our deferred tax assets.

At December 31, 2009 and 2010, the primary sources of our deferred taxes were:

differences in timing of depreciation on fixed assets;

the timing of revenue recognition arising from incentive payments;

employee compensation expense arising from stock options; and

costs associated with the issuance of warrants to purchase shares of our common stock.

Beginning January 1, 2008, with the adoption of ASC 740-10, *Income Taxes Overall*, we recognize the financial statement effects of a tax position only when it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained upon examination. Tax positions taken or expected to be taken that are not recognized under the pronouncement are recorded as liabilities. Interest and penalties relating to income taxes are recognized in our income tax provision in the statements of consolidated operations.

Share-Based Compensation Expense

Our share-based compensation expense results from issuances of shares of restricted common stock and grants of stock options and warrants to employees, directors, outside consultants, customers, vendors and others. We recognize the costs associated with option and warrant grants using the fair value recognition provisions of ASC 718, *Compensation Stock Compensation*. Generally, ASC 718 requires the value of share-based payments to be recognized in the statement of operations based on their estimated fair value at date of grant amortized over the grant s vesting period.

39

Restricted Stock Plan. Our restricted stock plan was adopted by our board of directors in March 2004, amended in June 2004, August 2004 and February 2005. As of February 28, 2011, all shares of common stock outstanding under our restricted stock plan were vested. We made the following grants to employees, directors and consultants under the restricted stock plan:

In June 2004, we issued shares of common stock to certain employees and directors. In January 2005, we issued additional shares of common stock to a member of our board of directors. These shares vested on various schedules ranging from immediate vesting to vesting over a period of 48 months. As a result, we recorded share-based compensation expense of \$2,328 in 2008. We did not record any share-based compensation expense in 2009 or 2010 relating to these issuances.

Ascension Health Stock and Warrants. In October 2004, Ascension Health became our founding customer. Since then, in exchange for its initial start-up assistance and subsequent sales and marketing assistance, we have issued common stock and granted warrants to Ascension Health, as described below:

Initial Stock Issuance and Protection Warrant Agreement. In October and November 2004, we issued 3,537,306 shares of common stock to Ascension Health, then representing a 5% ownership interest in our company on a fully-diluted basis, and entered into a protection warrant agreement under which Ascension Health was granted the right to purchase additional shares of common stock from time to time for \$0.003 per share when Ascension Health s ownership interest in our company declined below 5% due to our issuance of additional stock or rights to purchase stock. The protection warrant agreement expired on the closing of our initial public offering in May 2010. We made the initial stock grant and entered into the protection warrant agreement because Ascension Health agreed to provide us with an operational laboratory and related start-up consulting services in connection with our development of our initial revenue cycle management service offering.

In 2008 and 2009, we granted Ascension Health the right to purchase 91,183 and 136,372 shares of common stock for \$0.003 per share, respectively, pursuant to the protection warrant agreement. We accounted for the costs associated with these purchase rights as a reduction in base fee revenues due to us from Ascension Health because we could not reasonably estimate the fair value of the services provided by Ascension Health. Accordingly, we reduced the amount of our base fee revenues from Ascension Health by \$0.9 million and \$1.7 million in 2008 and 2009, respectively. There were no grants associated with this agreement during 2010 and no costs were recorded. As of December 31, 2010, there were no protection warrants outstanding and no additional warrant rights may be earned under this agreement. For additional information regarding our relationship with Ascension Health, see Related Person Transactions Transactions With Ascension Health .

Supplemental Warrant. Pursuant to a supplemental warrant agreement that became effective in November 2004, Ascension Health had the right to purchase up to 3,537,306 shares of our common stock based upon the achievement of specified milestones relating to its sales and marketing assistance. The supplemental warrant agreement expired on the closing of our initial public offering in May 2010.

During March 2008, Ascension Health earned the right to purchase 437,268 shares of common stock for \$10.25 per share, and we recorded \$2.4 million in marketing expense.

During March 2009, Ascension Health earned the right to purchase 437,264 shares of common stock for \$13.02 per share, and we recorded \$2.8 million in marketing expense. No warrants were earned during year ended December 31, 2010. Ascension Health was issued 615,649 shares of common stock as a result of cashless exercise of outstanding supplemental warrants during the year ended December 31, 2010. The supplemental warrant with respect to 437,264 shares of common stock expired in connection with our initial public offering.

As of December 31, 2010, there were no supplemental warrants outstanding; no additional warrant rights may be earned under the Supplemental Warrant Agreement.

Licensing and Consulting Warrant. In conjunction with the start of our business, in February 2004, we executed a term sheet with a consulting firm and its principal, Zimmerman LLC (formerly known as Zimmerman and Associates) and Michael Zimmerman, respectively, contemplating that we would grant to Mr. Zimmerman a warrant, with an exercise price equal to the fair market value of our common stock upon grant, to purchase shares of our common stock then representing 2.5% of our equity in exchange for exclusive rights to certain revenue cycle methodologies, tools, technology, benchmarking information and other intellectual property, plus up to another 2.5% of our equity at the time of grant if the firm s introduction of us to senior executives at prospective customers resulted in the execution of managed service contracts between us and such customers. In January 2005, we formalized the license and warrant grant contemplated by the term sheet and granted to Mr. Zimmerman a warrant to purchase 3,266,668 shares of our common stock for \$0.29 per share, representing 5% of our equity at that time. In 2005, we recorded \$0.5 million in selling, general and administrative expense in conjunction with this warrant grant. Mr. Zimmerman subsequently assigned certain of the warrant rights to trusts, the beneficiaries of which are members of Mr. Zimmerman s immediate family. In December 2010, Mr. Zimmerman and the trusts exercised the warrant rights in full to purchase 3,266,668 shares of our common stock for \$0.29 per share. As of December 31, 2010, the warrant was no longer outstanding and no additional warrant rights may be earned under this agreement.

We used the Black-Scholes option pricing model to determine the estimated fair value of the above purchase rights at the date earned. The following table sets forth the significant assumptions used in the model during 2008 and 2009:

		Ended ber 31,
	2008	2009
Future dividends		
Risk-free interest rate	3.45%	2.91%
Expected volatility	50%	50%
Expected life(1)	6.6 years	5.6 years

(1) Expected life applies to Ascension Health s supplemental warrant only, since the other warrants were fully vested upon grant.

Stock Option Plans. In December 2005, our board of directors approved a stock option plan, which provided for the grant of stock options to employees, directors and consultants. The plan was amended and restated in February 2006 and further amended in May 2007, October 2008, January 2009 and November 2009. In April 2010, we adopted a new 2010 stock incentive plan, or the 2010 plan, which became effective immediately prior to the closing of our initial public offering and, accordingly, no further stock option grants will be made under the 2006 plan. The 2010 plan provides for the grant of incentive stock options, non-statutory stock options, restricted stock awards and other stock-based awards.

As of December 31, 2010, an aggregate of 15,749,404 shares were subject to outstanding options under both plans, and 8,054,762 shares were available for grant. To the extent that previously granted awards under the 2006 plan or 2010 plan expire, terminate or are otherwise surrendered, cancelled, forfeited, or repurchased by us, the number of shares available for future awards under the 2010 plan will increase, up to a maximum of 24,374,756 shares. Under the terms of both plans, all options will expire if they are not exercised within ten years after the grant date. Substantially all of the options vest over four years at a rate of 25% per year on each grant anniversary date. Options

granted under the 2006 plan can be exercised immediately upon grant, but upon exercise the shares issued are subject to the same vesting and repurchase provisions that applied before the exercise. Options granted under the 2010 plan cannot be exercised prior to vesting.

41

We use the Black-Scholes option pricing model to determine the estimated fair value of each option as of its grant date. These inputs are subjective and generally require significant analysis and judgment to develop. The following table sets forth the significant assumptions used in the Black-Scholes model to calculate stock-based compensation expense for grants made during 2008, 2009 and 2010.

	Yea	Year Ended December 31,					
	2008	2009	2010				
Future dividends							
Risk-free interest rate	2.8 to 4.0%	1.6% to 3.2%	1.6% to 2.6%				
Expected volatility	50%	50%	50%				
Expected life	6.25 years	6.25 years	6.25 years				
Forfeitures	3.75% annually	4.25% annually	4.25% annually				

As a newly public company, it is not practical for us to estimate the expected volatility of our share prices based on our limited public trading history. Therefore, we estimated the expected volatility by reviewing the historical volatility of the common stock of public companies that operate in similar industries or were similar in terms of stage of development or size and then projecting this information toward our future expected results. We used judgment in selecting these companies, as well as in evaluating the available historical and implied volatility for these companies.

We aggregated all employees into one pool for valuation purposes. The risk-free rate is based on the U.S. treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant.

The plan was not in existence a sufficient period for us to have used our historical experience to estimate expected life. Furthermore, data from other companies was not readily available. Therefore, we estimated our stock options expected life using a simplified method based on the average of each option s vesting term and original contractual term.

An estimated forfeiture rate derived from our historical data and our estimates of the likely future actions of option holders was applied when recognizing the share-based compensation expense of the options.

We continue to use judgment in evaluating the expected term, volatility and forfeiture rate related to our share-based compensation on a prospective basis, and in incorporating these factors into the Black-Scholes pricing model. Higher volatility and longer expected lives result in an increase to total share-based compensation expense determined at the date of grant. In addition, any changes in the estimated forfeiture rate can have a significant effect on reported share-based compensation expense, as the cumulative effect of adjusting the rate for all expense amortization is recognized in the period that the forfeiture estimate is changed. If a revised forfeiture rate is higher than the previously estimated forfeiture rate, an adjustment is made that will result in a decrease to the share-based compensation expense recognized in our consolidated financial statements. If a revised forfeiture rate is lower than the previously estimated forfeiture rate, an adjustment is made that will result in an increase to the share based compensation expense recognized in our consolidated financial statements. These adjustments will affect our infused management and technology expenses and selling, general and administrative expenses.

For service-based equity awards, which represent all outstanding option grants as of December 31, 2010, compensation expense is recognized, net of forfeitures, using a straight-line method over the applicable vesting period. At each period-end, the stock based compensation expense is adjusted to reflect 100% of expense for options that vested during the period. The allocation of this cost between selling, general and administrative expenses and

infused management and technology expenses will depend on the salaries and work assignments of the personnel holding these stock options.

Prior to our initial public offering, stock options represented the right to purchase shares of our non-voting common stock. All outstanding non-voting common stock converted into voting common stock on a share-for-share basis effective May 19, 2010, and accordingly, stock options to purchase

42

non-voting common stock represent stock options to purchase voting common stock, with no other changes in their terms.

As of December 31, 2010, we had \$52.0 million of total unrecognized share-based compensation expense related to employee stock options. We expect to recognize this cost over a weighted-average period of 2.8 years after January 1, 2011. The allocation of this cost between cost of services, selling, general and administrative expenses and infused management and technology expenses will depend on the salaries and work assignments of the personnel holding these stock options.

Determination of Fair Value. Valuing the share price of a privately-held company is complex. We believe that prior to our initial public offering we used reasonable methodologies, approaches and assumptions in assessing and determining the fair value of our common stock for financial reporting purposes.

Prior to our initial public offering, we determined the fair value of our common stock through periodic internal valuations that were approved by our board of directors. The fair value approved by our board was used for all option grants until such time as a new determination of fair value was made. To date, and as permitted by our stock option plan, our chief executive officer has selected option recipients and determined the number of shares covered by, and the timing of, option grants.

Our board considered the following factors when determining the fair value of our common stock:

our financial condition, sales levels and results of operations during the relevant period;

developments in our business;

hiring of key personnel;

forecasts of our financial results and market conditions affecting our industry;

market values, sales levels and results of operations for public companies that we consider comparable in terms of size, service offerings and maturity;

the superior rights and preferences of outstanding securities that were senior to our common stock; and

the illiquid nature of our common stock.

From June 2005 to January 2008, we used the market approach to estimate our enterprise value. The market approach estimates the fair market value of a company by applying market multiples of publicly-traded firms in the same or similar lines of business to the results and projected results of the company being valued. When choosing companies for use in the market approach, we focused on businesses that provide outsourcing, consulting or technology services or that have high rates of growth. To obtain our preliminary enterprise value, we calculated the multiple of the market valuations of the comparable companies to their annual revenues and applied this multiple to our revenue run rate, defined as our total projected revenues for the next 12 months from existing customers. We then discounted the preliminary enterprise value by a percentage determined by our board to reflect our company s relative immaturity in relation to the comparable companies. This discount changed over time as we matured. The resulting value was then divided by the number of shares of common stock outstanding on a fully-diluted basis to obtain the fair value per share of common stock. We performed a new valuation in this manner each time we signed a managed service contract with a new customer.

For all valuations from January 1, 2008 through the date of our initial public offering, we used both the market approach and the income approach to estimate our aggregate enterprise value at each valuation date. The change in valuation method was in recognition that in 2007 we had achieved some significant milestones, particularly positive net income and positive adjusted EBITDA for the year, and that an initial public offering or other type of liquidity event would eventually be considered. When choosing companies to be used for the market approach after January 2008, we focused on businesses with high rates of growth and relatively low profitability that provide services to hospitals or other medical providers, or that provide business outsourcing solutions. The comparable companies

43

Table of Contents

remained largely unchanged from January 2008 until our initial public offering. The income approach involves applying an appropriate risk-adjusted discount rate to projected debt-free cash flows, based on forecasted revenue and costs. The financial forecasts were based on assumed revenue growth rates that took into account our past experience and future expectations. We assessed the risks associated with achieving these forecasts and applied an appropriate cost of capital rate based on our board s view of our company s stage of development and risks, the experience of our directors in managing companies backed by private equity investors, and our management s review of academic research on this topic.

We averaged the two values derived under the market approach and the income approach and then added our current cash position and cash and tax benefits, assuming that all outstanding options and warrants were exercised, to create an enterprise value. Next, we allocated the enterprise value to our securities with rights and preferences that are superior to our common stock, using the option-pricing method set forth in the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants Practice Aid, *Valuation of Privately-Held-Company Equity Securities Issued as Compensation*. We then discounted the remaining value by 10% to reflect the fact that our stockholders could not freely trade our common stock in the public markets. We based the 10% discount for lack of marketability primarily on the results of a study of this topic by Bajaj, Denis, Ferris and Sarin entitled Firm Value and Marketability Discounts (February 26, 2001). The resulting value was then divided by the number of shares of common stock outstanding on a fully-diluted basis to obtain the fair value per share of common stock.

Prior to our initial public offering, stock options and certain warrants represented the right to purchase shares of our non-voting common stock. All outstanding non-voting common stock converted into voting common stock on a share-for-share basis effective May 19, 2010, and accordingly, stock options and warrants to purchase non-voting common stock represent stock options and warrants to purchase voting common stock, with no other changes in their terms. For all valuations prior to May 18, 2009, we determined the fair value of the voting common stock and applied it to the non-voting common stock without a discount.

Beginning on May 18, 2009, we refined our valuation methodology because of the increased potential for an initial public offering or company sale. We continued to use both the market approach and the income approach, but applied a discount to the fair value of the non-voting common stock and modified other variables as described below.

Because of the increased potential for an initial public offering, in late December 2009 we stopped granting stock options with exercise prices that were fixed at the time of grant. All stock options granted between January 1, 2010 and April 21, 2010 had an exercise price equal to the greater of \$14.71 per share (the fair value of our common stock as of such date, as determined by the board of directors) and the price per share at which shares would initially be offered to the public in our initial public offering if that offering had occurred prior to May 15, 2010 or within 90 days after the applicable grant date. Between April 22, 2010 and the closing of our initial public offering, all stock options were granted with an exercise price equal to the price per share at which shares were to be initially offered to the public in our initial public offering, provided that, if that offering had not occurred within 90 days after the applicable grant date, our board of directors would have made a new determination of the fair value of our common stock and the exercise price of these options would have equaled such fair value.

There is inherent uncertainty in the forecasts and projections that were used in our common stock valuations prior to our initial public offering. If we had made different assumptions and estimates than those described above, the amount of our share-based compensation expense, net income or loss and related per-share amounts could have been materially different.

44

Information regarding the number of shares of common stock subject to option grants from January 1, 2008 through March 17, 2011 is summarized in the table below:

Grant Period	Number of Shares of Common Stock Subject to Option Grants
January 1, 2008 to January 31, 2008	194,040
February 1, 2008 to June 9, 2008	997,640
June 10, 2008 to September 2, 2008	301,840
September 3, 2008 to October 2, 2008	154,840
October 3, 2008 to January 16, 2009	339,080
January 17, 2009 to May 17, 2009	1,132,880
May 18, 2009 to July 17, 2009	346,920
July 18, 2009 to November 16, 2009	756,560
November 17, 2009 to February 2, 2010	270,480
February 3, 2010 to April 21, 2010	5,197,257
April 22, 2010 to May 19, 2010	1,275,960
May 20, 2010 to March 17, 2011	404,714

The analyses undertaken in determining the fair value of our common stock for all grants between January 1, 2008 and May 19, 2010 are summarized below. The methodology for the fair value determination made on September 4, 2007 is summarized above. All analyses from January 1, 2008 until our initial public offering in May 2010 used the market approach and the income approach summarized above, with the additional assumptions described below. Since our initial public offering, the exercise price per share of all option grants has been set at the closing price of our common stock on the NYSE on the applicable date of grant, which we believe represents the fair value of our common stock.

September 4, 2007 Fair Value Determination. For grants made between January 1, 2008 and January 31, 2008, we used \$4.43 per share as the fair value of our common stock, based on a determination of fair value made by our board of directors on September 4, 2007. The market approach resulted in a value that was 1.5 times our annual revenue run rate as of the valuation date.

February 1, 2008 Fair Value Determination. On February 1, 2008, our board of directors determined that the fair value of our common stock was \$10.25 per share. The market approach resulted in a value that was approximately 3.53 times our net services revenue for the third quarter of 2007. For the income approach, we forecasted our cash flows over a five-year period and assumed that our terminal value would approximate 12.5 times our adjusted EBITDA for the fifth future year. We obtained the present value of each year s cash flow by applying a 25% discount rate. Next, we averaged the values resulting from the income approach and the market approach and added our cash on hand at December 31, 2007 and the estimated cash and tax benefits that would occur assuming that all outstanding options and warrants were exercised. The resulting value represented our estimate of our enterprise value. We allocated 48.9% of the estimated enterprise value to securities with rights and preferences that are superior to our common stock, assuming a future volatility rate of 54.25% and that a liquidity event would occur in 18 months. We then reduced the remaining value attributable to common stock by 10% for non-marketability, and divided the result by the number of shares outstanding on a fully-diluted basis to arrive at the estimated fair value per share.

June 10, 2008 Fair Value Determination. On June 10, 2008, our board of directors determined that the fair value of our common stock was \$12.04 per share. The increase in our value per share was due to increases in our estimated enterprise value under both the market approach and the income approach. We continued to apply a 50% weighting to each value and then to increase the result by the amount of our cash on hand and the anticipated cash and tax benefits from option and warrant exercises. The value determined by the market

45

approach on June 10, 2008, which was approximately 3.52 times our net services revenue for the first quarter of 2008, was higher than the value determined on February 1, 2008 because of the increase in our net services revenue in the first quarter of 2008 as compared to the third quarter of 2007. For the income approach, we used the same discount rate and methodology as in the February 1, 2008 valuation and updated our cash flow projections to reflect our new five-year plan. The percentage allocation of our estimated enterprise value to senior securities and common stock was unchanged from the prior valuation.

September 3, 2008 Fair Value Determination. On September 3, 2008, our board of directors determined that the fair value of our common stock was \$14.96 per share. The increase in our value per share was due to increases in our estimated enterprise value under both the market approach and the income approach. We continued to apply a 50% weighting to each value and then to increase the result by the amount of our cash on hand and the anticipated cash and tax benefits from option and warrant exercises. The value determined by the market approach on September 3, 2008 was higher than the value determined on June 10, 2008 because of the increase in our net services revenue in the second quarter of 2008 as compared to the first quarter of 2008 and because we increased the net services revenue multiple from 3.52 to 3.78 to reflect increases in market prices of the comparable companies. For the income approach, we used the same discount rate and methodology as in the June 10, 2008 valuation, except that we discounted the projected cash flows and terminal value for three fewer months. The percentage allocation of our estimated enterprise value to senior securities and common stock was unchanged from the prior valuation.

October 3, 2008 Fair Value Determination. On October 3, 2008, our board of directors determined that the fair value of our common stock was \$14.23 per share. There were no changes in the estimated enterprise value determined under the income approach. The board believed, however, that the significant decline in the market values of publicly traded securities that occurred during the month of September 2008 warranted a reduction in the net services revenue multiple from 3.78 to 3.40, resulting in a decrease in our estimated enterprise value under the market approach. All other aspects of the valuation methodology remained unchanged from the September 3, 2008 valuation.

January 17, 2009 Fair Value Determination. On January 17, 2009, our board of directors determined that the fair value of our common stock was \$13.02 per share. The decrease in our value per share was primarily due to a decrease in our estimated enterprise value under the market approach. We continued to apply a 50% weighting to the estimated enterprise value determined under both the market approach and the income approach, and then to increase the result by the amount of our cash on hand and the anticipated cash and tax benefits from option and warrant exercises. The value determined by the market approach on January 17, 2009 was lower than the value determined on October 3, 2008, because we decreased the net services revenue multiple from 3.40 to 2.79 to reflect further declines in market prices of the comparable companies and our revenues decreased slightly in the third quarter of 2008 as compared to the second quarter of 2008. For the income approach, we used the same discount rate and methodology as in the October 3, 2008 valuation, except that we discounted the projected cash flows and terminal value for three fewer months. The percentage allocation of our estimated enterprise value to senior securities and common stock was unchanged from the prior valuation.

May 18, 2009 Fair Value Determination. On May 18, 2009, our board of directors determined that the fair value of our non-voting common stock was \$12.98 per share. For the income approach, we developed new forecasts of our cash flows over a twelve-year period rather than a five-year period. We based our projections for the first five years of this period based on our actual operating results for 2008 and our expected operating results for the years 2009 through 2013, and we assumed for the next seven years of this period that we would make an orderly transition from a high-growth company to a mature growth company. To reflect that we were entering into a different stage of development, we decreased the discount rate

applied to future expected cash flows from 25% to 18%. To estimate the terminal value we assumed a 5% long-term growth rate and used the Gordon growth model, which is a mathematical simplification of an earnings stream that is expected to grow at a constant rate. For the market approach, we used a similar group of six companies. In order to reduce the influence of outliers, however, the net services revenue multiple for the companies with the highest and lowest figures were weighted 10% each and the net services revenue multiple for the other four companies were weighted 20% each. In addition, the estimated enterprise value calculated under the income approach was weighted 67% and the estimated enterprise value calculated under the market approach was weighted 33%. This change to place greater emphasis on the income approach also reflected our board of director s conclusion that we were transitioning from a company with little or no profit toward a company with increasing profit and that greater weight should be placed on the income approach using a discounted cash flow calculation, since it is based on profitability, and lesser weight should be placed on the market approach, since it is based on a net services revenue multiple. The result was then increased by the present value of the cash that we expected would be realized if all options and warrants were exercised plus the present value of the associated tax savings we would achieve. We continued to allocated the adjusted enterprise value to our securities with rights and preferences that are superior to our common stock, as in prior valuations, and continued to discount the remaining value by 10% to reflect the fact that our stockholders could not freely trade our common stock in the public markets. We also applied an additional discount of 2% to the fair value of the voting common stock in order to determine the fair value of the non-voting common stock.

July 18, 2009 Fair Value Determination. On July 18, 2009, our board of directors determined that the fair value of our non-voting common stock was \$13.30 per share. For the income approach, we updated the twelve year forecasts of our cash flows. The projection for the first five years of this period was updated for our actual operating results for 2009 and our expected operating results for the remainder of the year 2009 and through the year 2013. We continued to assume for the next seven years of this period that we would make an orderly transition from a high-growth company to a mature growth company. We continued to estimate the terminal value assuming a 5% long-term growth and the Gordon growth model. For the market approach, we continued to use the same group of six comparable companies as in the May 18, 2009 valuation. We also continued to use the same relative weighting methodology to estimate the aggregate enterprise value. The result was then increased by the present value of the cash that we expected would be realized if all options and warrants were exercised plus the present value of the associated tax savings we would achieve. The total adjusted enterprise value increased from \$1,432 million to \$1,492 million. We continued to allocate the adjusted enterprise value to our securities with rights and preferences that are superior to our common stock. This allocation accounted for the fact that we were actively considering an initial public offering. We continued to discount the remaining value by 10% to reflect the fact that our stockholders could not freely trade our common stock in the public markets. We also applied an additional discount of 2% to the fair value of the voting common stock in order to determine the fair value of the non-voting common stock.

November 17, 2009 Fair Value Determination. On November 17, 2009, our board of directors determined that the fair value of our non-voting common stock was \$14.59 per share. For the income approach, we used an updated twelve-year forecasts of our cash flows. We applied an 18% discount rate to future expected cash flows. We also continued to estimate the terminal value by assuming a 5% long-term growth rate and using the Gordon growth model. For the market approach, we added a company that provides healthcare information technology services (and had recently completed an initial public offering) to our group of comparable companies. We also expanded the market approach to consider each comparable company s operating earnings before income taxes, depreciation and amortization, along with each comparable company s net services revenues. The aggregate market multiple for each

factor was determined using the same relative weighting between comparable companies as in the July 18, 2009 valuation. The two aggregate market multiples were then given an equal weighting in deriving an overall market multiple. As in the July 18, 2009 valuation, the aggregate enterprise value was calculated with the income approach receiving a 67% weighting and the market approach receiving a 33% weighting. The aggregate enterprise value was then increased by the present value of the cash that we expected would be realized if all options and warrants were exercised plus the present value of the associated tax savings we would achieve. We continued to allocate the adjusted enterprise value to our securities with rights and preferences that are superior to our common stock with the allocation taking into account the fact that we are actively in the process of preparing for an initial public offering. We continued to discount the remaining value by 10% to reflect the fact that our stockholders could not freely trade our common stock in the public markets. We also applied an additional discount of 2% to the fair value of the voting common stock in order to determine the fair value of the non-voting common stock.

February 3, 2010 and April 21, 2010 Fair Value Determinations. On February 3, 2010, our board of directors determined that the fair value of our non-voting common stock was \$14.71 per share. Our board of directors determined that the fair market value of our non-voting common stock continued to be \$14.71 per share at April 21, 2010. For the income approach, we updated our 12-year forecast of our future expected cash flows but continued to apply an 18% discount rate to these cash flows. We also continued to estimate the terminal value by assuming a 5% long-term growth rate and the Gordon growth model. For the market approach, we used the same group of comparable companies that was used in the November 17, 2009 determination and continued to consider each comparable company s operating earnings before income taxes, depreciation and amortization, along with each comparable company s net services revenues. The aggregate market multiple for each factor was determined using the same relative weighting between comparable companies as in the July 18, 2009 and November 17, 2009 valuations. The two aggregate market multiples were then given an equal weighting in deriving an overall market multiple. As in the July 18, 2009 and November 17, 2009 valuations, the aggregate enterprise value was calculated with the income approach receiving a 67% weighting and the market approach receiving a 33% weighting. The aggregate enterprise value was then increased by the present value of the cash that we expected would be realized if all options and warrants were exercised plus the present value of the associated tax savings we would achieve. We continued to allocate the adjusted enterprise value to our securities with rights and preferences that are superior to our common stock with the allocation taking into account the fact that we were actively in the process of preparing for an initial public offering. We continued to discount the remaining value by 10% to reflect the fact that our stockholders could not freely trade our common stock in the public markets. We also applied an additional discount of 2% to the fair value of the voting common stock in order to determine the fair value of the non-voting common stock.

The aggregate intrinsic value of our vested outstanding stock options as of December 31, 2010 was \$78.4 million and the aggregate intrinsic value of our unvested outstanding stock options as of December 31, 2010 was \$28.8 million.

For grants following our initial public offering, we utilized market-based share prices of our common stock in the Black-Scholes option pricing model to calculate fair value of our stock option awards. The Black-Scholes model involves a number of subjective estimates such as the length of the expected term, or the time employees will retain their vested stock options before exercising them, the estimated forfeitures over the applicable vesting period, and the estimated volatility of our common stock over the expected term.

Legal Proceedings

In the normal course of business, we are involved in legal proceedings or regulatory investigations. We evaluate the need for loss accruals using the requirements of ASC 450,

Contingencies. When conducting this evaluation we consider factors such as the probability of an unfavorable outcome and the ability to make a reasonable estimate of the amount of loss. We record an estimated loss for any claim, lawsuit, investigation or proceeding when it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the loss can reasonably be estimated. If the reasonable estimate of a probable loss is a range, and no amount within the range is a better estimate, then we record the minimum amount in the range as our loss accrual. If a loss is not probable or a probable loss cannot be reasonably estimated, no liability is recorded.

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth consolidated operating results and other operating data for the periods indicated.

	Year Ended December 31,					
		2008	(In	2009 thousands)		2010
Statement of Operations Data:			(·		
Net services revenue	\$	398,469	\$	510,192	\$	606,294
Costs of services		335,211		410,711		478,276
Operating margin		63,258		99,481		128,018
Infused management and technology expense		39,234		51,763		64,029
Selling, general and administrative expense		21,227		30,153		41,671
Total operating expenses		60,461		81,916		105,700
Income from operations		2,797		17,565		22,318
Net interest income (expense)		710		(9)		29
Income before provision for income taxes		3,507		17,556		22,347
Provision for income taxes		2,264		2,966		9,729
Net income	\$	1,243	\$	14,590	\$	12,618
Operating Expense Details: Infused management and technology expense, excluding depreciation						
and amortization expense and share-based compensation expense Selling, general and administrative expense, excluding depreciation and	\$	35,079	\$	45,365	\$	53,230
amortization expense and share-based compensation expense		16,879		22,940		32,280
Depreciation and amortization expense(1)		2,540		3,921		4,866
Share-based compensation expense(1)(2)		5,963		9,690		15,324
Total operating expenses	\$	60,461	\$	81,916	\$	105,700

⁽¹⁾ In 2010, as our shared services centers model adoption by customers increased, we started allocating a portion of our share-based compensation expense and depreciation and amortization expense to cost of services. For the year ended December 31, 2010, \$1,291 of depreciation and amortization expense was allocated to cost of services.

(2) Share-based compensation expense includes share-based compensation expense and warrant-related expense, exclusive of warrant expense of \$921 and \$1,736 which was classified as a reduction in base fee revenue for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2009, respectively. No such reduction was recorded for the year ended December 31, 2010 as all warrants had been earned and therefore there was no stock warrant expense. For the year ended December 31, 2010, \$1,225 of share-based compensation expense was allocated to cost of services.

49

Year Ended December 31, 2009 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2010

Net Services Revenue

The following table summarizes the composition of our net services revenue for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2010:

	2009 (In thous	2010 ands)
Net base fees for managed service contracts	\$ 434,281	\$ 518,243
Incentive payments for managed service contracts	64,033	74,663
Other services	11,878	13,388
Total	\$ 510,192	\$ 606,294

Net services revenue increased by \$96.1 million, or 18.8%, to \$606.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 from \$510.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. The largest component of the increase, net base fee revenue, increased by \$84.0 million, or 19.3%, to \$518.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 from \$434.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, primarily due to an increase in the number of hospitals with whom we had managed service contracts. The number of hospitals increased to 66 as of December 31, 2010 from 54 as of December 31, 2009. Of the \$84.0 million increase in net base fee revenues, \$65.2 million was attributable to new managed service contracts entered into during 2010. In addition, incentive payment revenues increased by \$10.6 million, or 16.6%, to \$74.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 from \$64.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, consistent with the increases that generally occur as our managed service contracts mature. All other revenues increased by \$1.5 million, or 12.7%, to \$13.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 from \$11.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, as we increased the number of customers using our dormant patient accounts receivable collection services and continued to expand our specialized services such as emergency room physician advisory services. We recognized no revenue from our quality and total cost of care offering in 2010. Our projected contracted annual revenue run rate at December 31, 2010 was \$698 million to \$713 million compared to \$509 million to \$519 million at December 31, 2009. Based on the midpoint of the two ranges, our projected contracted annual revenue run rate as of December 31, 2010 increased by \$192 million, or 37.3%.

Costs of Services

Our costs of services increased by \$67.6 million, or 16.5%, to \$478.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 from \$410.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. The increase in costs of services was primarily attributable to the increase in the number of hospitals for which we provide managed services.

Operating Margin

Operating margin increased by \$28.5 million, or 28.7%, to \$128.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 from \$99.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. The increase consisted primarily of:

\$10.6 million in additional incentive payments under managed service contracts;

an increase of \$16.5 million in the operating efficiencies associated with our revenue cycle operations including the impact of shared service center adoptions; and

reduction of \$1.7 million in costs related to the issuance of warrants to Ascension Health as there were no warrants issued to Ascension Health in the year ended December 31, 2010.

The increase in operating margin in absolute dollars was accompanied by an increase in operating margin as a percentage of net services revenue to 21.1% for the year ended December 31, 2010 from 19.5% for the year ended December 31, 2009, primarily due to an increased ratio of mature managed service contracts to new managed service contracts.

50

Operating Expenses

Infused management and technology expenses increased by \$12.3 million, or 23.7%, to \$64.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 from \$51.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. The increase in infused management and technology expenses was primarily due to the increase in the number of our management personnel deployed at customer facilities, reflecting an increase in the number of hospitals with whom we had managed service contracts, and an increase of \$1.0 million in costs to operate our inaugural quality and total cost of care contract, as well as the items noted below.

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased by \$11.5 million, or 38.2%, to \$41.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 from \$30.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. The increase included \$3.4 million to develop our new quality and total cost of care offering, \$2.8 million for increases in sales and marketing personnel costs, \$1.5 million for a provision for bad debt expense, and \$1.3 million in costs related to becoming a public company. The increase also included \$2.2 million of additional depreciation, amortization and share-based compensation expense as discussed below.

We allocate share-based compensation expense and depreciation and amortization expense between cost of services, infused management expenses and selling, general and administrative expenses. During the year ended December 31, 2010, the following changes affected the operating expenses categories:

Depreciation and Amortization expense increased by \$0.9 million, or 24.1%, to \$4.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 from \$3.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, due to the addition of computer equipment, furniture and fixtures, and other property to support our growing operations. Amortization expense increased mainly due to amortization of internally developed software.

Share-based compensation expense, which includes both stock-based compensation expense and stock warrant expense, increased \$5.6 million, or 58.1%, to \$15.3 million in the year ended December 31, 2010 from \$9.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. The increase was due to an additional \$8.4 million of option expense relating to stock option grants during the current year and vesting of previously granted stock options associated with the continued increase in the number of employees, offset by a decrease in stock warrant expense charge of \$2.8 million.

In 2010, approximately \$1.2 million and \$1.3 million of stock-based compensation expense and depreciation and amortization expense, respectively was allocated to cost of services due to the expansion of our shared services centers.

Income Taxes

Tax expense increased by \$6.8 million, to \$9.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 from \$3.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. The increase in 2010 tax expense was primarily due to the increase in taxable income during the period and release of deferred tax asset valuation allowance of \$3.5 million in 2009. Our tax provision for the year ended December 31, 2010 was equal to approximately 44% of our pre-tax income and differed from the federal statutory rate of 35% mainly due to the impact of certain state taxes which are based on gross receipts, as compared to 17% for the year ended December 31, 2009. The 17% tax rate in 2009 was mainly due to the release of the tax valuation allowance in 2009.

Net Income

Net income decreased \$2.0 million, to \$12.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 from net income of \$14.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. Although our income from operations increased by \$4.8 million during the year ended December 31, 2010, it was offset by the increase in our income tax expense of \$6.8 million. The increase in 2010 income tax expense was

51

primarily the result of the increase in taxable income during 2010 and release of deferred tax valuation allowance of \$3.5 million in 2009, which reduced our overall tax liability in that year.

Year Ended December 31, 2008 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2009

Net Services Revenue

The following table summarizes the composition of our net services revenue for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2009:

	2008 200 (In thousands)							
Net base fees for managed service contracts Incentive payments for managed service contracts Other services	\$	350,085 38,971 9,413	\$	434,281 64,033 11,878				
Total	\$	398,469	\$	510,192				

Net services revenue increased \$111.7 million, or 28.0%, to \$510.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 from \$398.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The largest component of the increase, net base fee revenue, increased \$84.2 million, or 24.1%, to \$434.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 from \$350.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, primarily due to an increase in the number of hospitals with whom we had managed service contracts from 46 as of December 31, 2008 to 54 as of December 31, 2009. Of the \$84.2 million increase in net base fee revenues, \$61.1 million was attributable to new managed service contracts entered into during 2009. In addition, incentive payment revenues increased by \$25.1 million, or 64.3%, to \$64.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 from \$39.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, consistent with the increases that generally occur as our managed service contracts mature. All other revenues increased by \$2.5 million, or 26.2%, to \$11.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 from \$9.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, as we increased the number of customers using our dormant patient accounts receivable collection services and continued to expand our specialized services such as emergency room physician advisory services. Net patient revenue under our management increased by \$2.7 billion, or 29.7%, to \$12.0 billion for the year ended December 31, 2009 from \$9.2 billion for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Costs of Services

Our costs of services increased \$75.5 million, or 22.5%, to \$410.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 from \$335.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The increase in costs of services was primarily attributable to the increase in the number of hospitals for which we provide managed services.

Operating Margin

Operating margin increased \$36.2 million, or 57.3%, to \$99.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 from \$63.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The increase consisted primarily of:

\$25.1 million in additional incentive payments under managed service contracts;

an increase of \$0.6 million in the operating margin associated with our other services, as a result of the continued expansion of our dormant patient accounts receivable collection and other ancillary services; and

a reduction of \$11.3 million in revenue cycle operating costs under managed service contracts, net of customer cost sharing.

The above was partially offset by an increase of \$0.8 million in costs related to the issuance of warrants to Ascension Health during the year ended December 31, 2009.

52

The increase in operating margin in absolute dollars was accompanied by an increase in operating margin as a percentage of net services revenue from 15.9% for the year ended December 31, 2008 to 19.5% for the year ended December 31, 2009, primarily due to an increased ratio of mature managed service contracts to new managed service contracts.

Operating Expenses

Infused management and technology expenses increased \$12.6 million, or 31.9%, to \$51.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 from \$39.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The increase in infused management and technology expenses was primarily due to the increase in the number of our management personnel deployed at customer facilities, reflecting an increase in the number of hospitals with whom we had managed service contracts, as well as the items noted below.

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$8.9 million, or 42.1%, to \$30.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 from \$21.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The increase included \$1.4 million of costs, or 15.3% of the increase, for enhancing and maintaining our accounting systems, documenting internal controls, establishing an internal audit function and other costs associated with our preparation to be a public company. The increase also included additional research and development costs of \$1.0 million, or 11.4% of the increase, to develop our new quality/cost service initiative. The increase also included \$2.8 million, or 31.8% of the increase, related to additional depreciation, amortization and share-based compensation expenses, as discussed below. The remaining increase of \$3.7 million, or 41.5% of the increase, was primarily due to increases in our personnel costs to support our expanding customer base.

We allocate our other operating expenses between the infused management expenses and selling, general and administrative expenses. During the year ended December 31, 2009, the following changes affected both categories:

Share-based compensation expense increased \$3.4 million, or 94.8%, to \$6.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 from \$3.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 due to employee option grants and vesting of previously granted stock options associated with the continued expansion of our personnel and the increase in the fair market value of our stock, which increases the cost of option grants calculated using the provisions of ASC 718.

Depreciation expense increased \$0.7 million, or 50.6%, to \$2.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 from \$1.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, due to the addition of computer equipment, furniture and fixtures, and other property to support our growing operations.

Amortization expense increased \$0.7 million, or 58.5%, to \$1.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 from \$1.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The majority of this increase resulted from amortization of internally developed software.

Income Taxes

Tax expense increased \$0.7 million, or 31.0%, to \$3.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 from \$2.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The increase in 2009 tax expense was primarily due to the increase in taxable income during the period, offset by the release of deferred tax asset valuation allowance of \$3.5 million. Our tax provision for the year ended December 31, 2009 was equal to approximately 17% of our pre-tax income as compared to 65% for the year ended December 31, 2008. The decrease was mainly due to the release of the tax valuation allowance.

Net Income

Net income increased \$13.3 million, to \$14.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 from net income of \$1.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The increase in net income was primarily due to the increase in operating income, offset by a decrease of \$0.7 million in net interest income.

53

Selected Quarterly Financial Data

The following table sets forth selected unaudited consolidated quarterly operating data for each of the eight quarters during the period from January 1, 2009 to December 31, 2010. In our management s opinion, the data have been prepared on the same basis as the audited consolidated financial statements included in this prospectus and reflect all necessary adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation of these data. You should read this information together with our consolidated financial statements and the related notes appearing elsewhere in this prospectus. Operating results for any fiscal quarter are not necessarily indicative of results for the full year. Historical results are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected in future periods.

Three Months Ended

							Tillee Moi	iuis i								
	I	Mar. 31, 2009		June 30, 2009	;	Sept. 30, 2009		Dec. 31, 2009	ľ	Mar. 31, 2010	J	June 30, 2010	S	Sept. 30, 2010	I)
		(In thousands, except share and per share data)														
es	\$	112,467	\$	125,682	\$	134,512	\$	137,531	\$	125,937	\$	151,905	\$	158,424	\$	
rvices	Ψ	92,703	Ψ	102,964	Ψ	105,885	Ψ	109,159	Ψ	102,289	Ψ	118,014	Ψ	126,272	Ψ	
margin		19,764		22,718		28,627		28,372		23,648		33,891		32,152		
nt and																
neral istrative		11,175		13,307		13,572		13,709		14,909		16,148		15,760		
		8,817		6,492		8,071		6,774		7,567		10,309		11,911		
ss) itions come		(228)		2,919		6,984		7,889		1,172		7,434		4,481		
		44		39		(95)		3		8		2		14		
e (loss) vision t from)																
tes for om)		(184)		2,958		6,889		7,892		1,180		7,436		4,495		
tes		454		(2,893)		2,619		2,786		866		3,517		1,637		
e (loss) on hares	\$	(638)	\$	5,851	\$	4,270 (8,044)	\$	5,106	\$	314	\$	3,919	\$	2,858	\$	
e to	\$	(638)	\$	2,647	\$	(3,774)	\$	2,318	\$	314	\$	3,919	\$	2,858	\$	

rs(1)											
e per hare											
	\$	(0.02)	\$ 0.07	\$ (0.11)	\$ 0.06	\$	0.00	\$ 0.06	\$	0.03	\$
		(0.02)	0.06	(0.11)	0.05		0.00	0.04		0.03	
average l in ; net											
hare											
	3	6,522,491	36,685,057	36,822,226	36,866,166		36,943,691	61,660,729		91,062,356	
	3	6,522,491	44,141,368	36,822,226	44,210,434		44,371,648	92,734,255		97,464,457	
	(1)	Dui a u 4 a a a u u	 -11-1' CC-	 - i Mar. 2010	 	4 :	1 4	 	1	-41	

⁽¹⁾ Prior to our initial public offering in May 2010, we allocated net income between common stock and other participating securities, primarily preferred stock shares. Therefore, at each reporting period, income related to other participating securities was excluded from net income available for common shareholders.

The timing of customer additions is not uniform throughout the year, however, which causes fluctuations in our quarterly results as new customers are added. Operating margins are typically slightly lower in quarters in which we add new customers because we incur expenses to implement our operating model at those customers while our incentive payments from revenue improvements and operating cost reductions for those customers are only at a preliminary stage. We also experience fluctuations in incentive payments as a result of patients—ability to accelerate or defer elective procedures, particularly around holidays such as Thanksgiving and Christmas. Generally, incentive payments are lower in the first quarter of each year and higher in the fourth quarter of each year. Incentive payments have a significant impact on operating margins and adjusted EBITDA, and changes in the amount of incentive payments can cause fluctuations in our quarter-to-quarter operating results.

For example, incentive payments in the quarter ended March 31, 2010 were \$12.3 million, or only 61% of the \$20.2 million earned in the quarter ended December 31, 2009. As a result of

54

incentive payment fluctuations, our adjusted EBITDA is typically lower in the first quarter of each fiscal year. For example, our adjusted EBITDA of \$4.4 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 represented less than 10% of our \$45.0 million of adjusted EBITDA for the year ended December 31, 2010. We expect this seasonality to continue in our business and we believe that first quarter adjusted EBITDA will average approximately 10% of full fiscal year adjusted EBITDA for the foreseeable future; provided, however, that due to the factors described above, as well as other factors, some of which may be beyond our control, our actual results could differ materially from these estimates.

Our costs of services generally increased each period due to increases in the number of revenue cycle staff persons under our management at customer sites. Our operating expenses have increased as a result of our hiring of additional employees to provide on-site management of our customers—revenue cycle operations and our ongoing efforts to develop and enhance the technology that allows us to improve our customers—net revenue. Operating margins are slightly depressed in quarters in which we add new customers that have not yet fully implemented our operating model and achieved expected cost efficiencies. The tax benefit in the quarter ended June 30, 2009 reflects the release of reserves for deferred tax assets of \$3.5 million.

Selling, general and administrative expenses in the quarter ended March 31, 2009 included \$2.8 million in share-based compensation expense associated with stock warrants granted for assistance in obtaining new hospital customers. Primarily as a result of this expense, we incurred net losses in the quarter ended March 31, 2009.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our primary source of liquidity is our cash flows from operations. Given our current cash and cash equivalents, which consist primarily of demand deposits, highly liquid money market funds and treasury securities, and accounts receivable, we believe that we will have sufficient liquidity to fund our business and meet our contractual obligations for at least the next 12 months. We expect that the combination of our current liquidity and expected additional cash generated from operations will be sufficient for our planned capital expenditures, which are expected to consist primarily of capitalized software, and other investing activities, in the next 12 months.

Cash and cash equivalents increased by \$111.9 million from \$43.7 million at December 31, 2009 to \$155.6 million at December 31, 2010, primarily as a result of proceeds received from our initial public offering in May 2010 and cash flows from operations. Cash and cash equivalents decreased \$8.0 million, from \$51.7 million at December 31, 2008 to \$43.7 million at December 31, 2009, primarily due to the payment of dividends.

Our receivables could be exposed to financial risks, such as credit risk and liquidity risk. Credit risk is the risk of financial loss to us if a counterparty fails to meet its contractual obligations. Liquidity risk is the risk that we will not be able to meet our obligations as they come due. We seek to limit our exposure to credit risk through efforts to reduce our customer concentration and our quarterly assessment of customer creditworthiness, and to liquidity risk by managing our cash flows.

Operating Activities

Cash flows generated by operating activities totaled \$39.5 million, \$15.1 million and \$32.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010, respectively. Our net income plus our non-cash adjustments to net income for depreciation, amortization and share-based compensation increased by \$5.4 million during the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2009, primarily due to the higher net services revenue and operating margin. While our net income increased by \$13.3 million during the year ended December 31, 2009 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2008, cash provided by operations was lower in 2009 than 2008 due to the timing of payments from customers and to vendors. Receivables from customers increased by \$4.3 million,

\$7.3 million and \$26.4 million during the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010, respectively, primarily due to increased net services revenue and the timing of customer payments. Non-cash adjustments for excess tax benefits were \$11.9 million in the year ended

55

December 31, 2010 due to warrant and stock option exercises. Payables increased by \$15.5 million and \$18.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2010, respectively, primarily due to growth in our business and decreased by \$6.1 million during 2009 due to the timing of payments at year end. Accrued service costs increased by \$3.7 million, \$4.2 million and \$10.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010, respectively, as we grew our customer base from 46 sites at the beginning of 2008 to 66 at the end of 2010. While our business continued to grow during the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2010, deferred revenue decreased by \$0.4 million and \$0.8 million, respectively, as a result of the timing of customer payments at year end. Deferred revenue increased by \$10.3 million during the year ended December 31, 2008, primarily due to growth in our business and timing of customer payments.

Investing Activities

Cash used in investing activities was \$6.1 million, \$7.2 million and \$16.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010, respectively. For all three years, use of cash primarily related to our purchases of furniture, fixtures, computer hardware, software and other property to support the growth of our business.

Financing Activities

Cash provided by financing activities was \$97.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, primarily due to the receipt of proceeds from our initial public offering in May 2010.

Cash used in financing activities was \$16.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 as compared to \$16.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. These uses of cash are primarily due to the \$15 million and \$14.9 million of dividends declared by our board of directors in July 2008 and August 2009, respectively. The 2009 dividend was paid on all outstanding shares of common and preferred stock and aggregated \$14.9 million. The reported figures are net of proceeds from stock option exercises and the repayment of non-executive employee loans. The net cash used in 2008 includes \$1.5 million related to the repurchase of common stock from one of our initial employees. There were nominal repurchases in 2009 and none in 2010. Additionally, we incurred \$2.9 million and \$2.7 million of cash costs related to our efforts to prepare for our initial public offering during the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2010, respectively. No such costs were incurred in 2008.

Revolving Credit Facility

On September 30, 2009, we entered into a \$15 million revolving line of credit with the Bank of Montreal, which may be used for working capital and general corporate purposes. Any amounts outstanding under the line of credit will accrue interest at LIBOR plus 4% and are secured by substantially all of our assets. Advances under the line of credit are limited to a borrowing base and a cash deposit account which will be established at the time borrowings occur. The line of credit has an initial term of two years and is renewable annually thereafter. As of December 31, 2010, we had no amounts outstanding under this line of credit; however, letters of credit to various landlords in the aggregate of approximately \$1.9 million reduced our available line of credit to \$13.1 million. The line of credit contains restrictive covenants which limit our ability to, among other things, enter into other borrowing arrangements and pay dividends.

Future Capital Needs

We intend to fund our future growth over the next 12 months with funds generated from operations, our net proceeds from our 2010 initial public offering and our revolving line of credit. Over the longer term, we expect that cash flows from operations, supplemented by short-term and long-term financing, as necessary, will be adequate to fund our day-to-day operations and capital expenditure requirements. Our ability to secure short-term and long-term financing in the future will depend on several factors, including our future profitability, the quality of our accounts receivable,

our relative levels of debt and equity, and the overall condition of the credit markets.

56

Contractual Obligations

The following table presents our obligations and commitments to make future payments under contracts, such as lease agreements, and under contingent commitments as of December 31, 2010:

	Year Ended December 31,										
	2011	2012	2013 (In th	2014 nousands)	2015 and beyond	Total					
Minimum lease payments	\$ 3,196	\$ 2,593	\$ 2,470	\$ 2,466	\$ 12,751	\$ 23,476					
Total	\$ 3,196	\$ 2,593	\$ 2,470	\$ 2,466	\$ 12,751	\$ 23,476					

We rent office space and equipment under a series of operating leases, primarily for our Chicago corporate office, shared service centers and India operations. Lease payments are amortized to expense on a straight-line basis over the lease term. As of December 31, 2010, the Chicago corporate office consisted of approximately 50,000 square feet in a multi-story office building.

Pursuant to the master services agreement between us and Ascension Health and our individual agreements with hospitals affiliated with Ascension Health that contract for our services, our fees are subject to adjustment in the event specified performance milestones are not met, which could result in a reduction in future fees payable to us by such hospitals but would not obligate us to refund any payments. These potential reductions in future fees are not reflected in the above table because the amounts cannot be quantified and because, based on our experience to date, we do not anticipate that there will be any permanent reduction in future fees under these provisions. For additional information regarding these contract provisions, see Related Person Transactions Transactions With Ascension Health .

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have not entered into any off-balance sheet arrangements.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2010, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update, or ASU, No. 2010-09 to amend ASC 855, *Subsequent Events*, which applies with immediate effect. The ASU removes the requirement to disclose the date through which subsequent events were evaluated in both originally issued and reissued financial statements for SEC filers.

In October 2009, the FASB issued ASU No. 09-13, *Revenue Recognition Multiple Deliverable Revenue Arrangements*, or ASU 09-13. ASU 09-13 updates the existing multiple-element revenue arrangements guidance currently included in FASB ASC 605-25. The revised guidance provides for two significant changes to the existing multiple element revenue arrangements guidance. The first change relates to the determination of when the individual deliverables included in a multiple element arrangement may be treated as separate units of accounting. The second change modifies the manner in which the transaction consideration is allocated across the separately identified deliverables. Together, these changes are likely to result in earlier recognition of revenue and related costs for multiple-element arrangements than under the previous guidance. This guidance also significantly expands the disclosures required for multiple-element revenue arrangements. The revised multiple element revenue arrangements

guidance will be effective for the first annual reporting period beginning on or after June 15, 2010, however, early adoption is permitted, provided that the revised guidance is retroactively applied to the beginning of the year of adoption. We expect that the adoption of the ASU will have no material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In January 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-06, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*. ASU 2010-06 provides new and amended disclosure requirements related to fair value measurements. Specifically, this ASU requires new disclosures relating to activity within Level 3 fair

57

value measurements, as well as transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements. ASU 2010-06 also amends the existing disclosure requirements relating to valuation techniques used for fair value measurements and the level of disaggregation a reporting entity should include in fair value disclosures. This update is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009. We adopted this ASU as of January 1, 2010. The adoption did not have a significant impact on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

Qualitative and Quantitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Interest Rate Sensitivity. Our interest income is primarily generated from interest earned on operating cash accounts. Our exposure to market risks related to interest expense is limited to borrowings under our revolving line of credit, which bears interest at LIBOR plus 4%. To date, there have been no borrowings under this facility. We do not enter into interest rate swaps, caps or collars or other hedging instruments.

Foreign Currency Exchange Risk. Our results of operations and cash flows are subject to fluctuations due to changes in the Indian rupee because a portion of our operating expenses are incurred by our subsidiary in India and are denominated in Indian rupees. However, we do not generate any revenues outside of the United States. For the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010, 0.7%, 0.6% and 1.6%, respectively, of our expenses were denominated in Indian rupees. As a result, we believe that the risk of a significant impact on our operating income from foreign currency fluctuations is not substantial.

58

BUSINESS

Overview

Accretive Health is a leading provider of services that help healthcare providers generate sustainable improvements in their operating margins and healthcare quality while also improving patient, physician and staff satisfaction. Our core service offering helps U.S. healthcare providers to more efficiently manage their revenue cycles, which encompass patient registration, insurance and benefit verification, medical treatment documentation and coding, bill preparation and collections. Our quality and total cost of care service offering, introduced in 2010, can enable healthcare providers to effectively manage the health of a defined patient population, which we believe is a future direction of the manner in which healthcare services will be delivered in the United States.

At December 31, 2010 we provided our revenue cycle service offering to 26 customers representing 66 hospitals as well as physician billing organizations associated with several of these customers. At December 31, 2010 we provided our quality and total cost of care solution to one customer representing seven hospitals and 42 clinics.

Our integrated revenue cycle technology and services offering spans the entire revenue cycle. We help our revenue cycle customers increase the portion of the maximum potential patient revenue they receive while reducing total revenue cycle costs. Our quality and total cost of care solution can help our customers identify the individuals who are most likely to experience an adverse health event and, as a result, incur high healthcare costs in the coming year. This data allows providers to focus greater efforts on managing these patients within and across the delivery system, as well as at home.

Our customers typically are multi-hospital systems, including faith-based or community healthcare systems, academic medical centers and independent ambulatory clinics, and their affilia