

FIRST FINANCIAL CORP /IN/

Form 10-K

March 15, 2011

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-K**

**ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010

OR

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**
For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 0-16759

FIRST FINANCIAL CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

INDIANA

(State of Incorporation)

35-1546989

(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

One First Financial Plaza

Terre Haute, Indiana

(Address of Registrant's Principal Executive Offices)

47807

(Zip Code)

(812) 238-6000

(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class

Name of Exchange on Which Registered

Common Stock, no par value

**The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC
(NASDAQ Global Select Market)**

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known-seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.
Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "accelerated filer", "large accelerated filer", and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act of 1934.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

As of June 30, 2010 the aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant based on the average bid and ask prices of such stock was \$336,487,226. (For purposes of this calculation, the Corporation excluded the stock owned by certain beneficial owners and management and the Corporation's Employee Stock Ownership Plan.)

Shares of Common Stock outstanding as of March 12, 2011 13,151,630 shares.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the 2010 Annual Report to Shareholders are incorporated by reference into Parts I and II. Portions of the Definitive Proxy Statement for the First Financial Corporation Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held April 20, 2011 are incorporated by reference into Part III.

Form 10-K Cross-Reference Index

	page
<u>PART I</u>	
<u>Item 1 Business</u>	3
<u>Item 1A Risk Factors</u>	12
<u>Item 1B Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	18
<u>Item 2 Properties</u>	18
<u>Item 3 Legal Proceedings</u>	19
<u>Item 4 (Removed and Reserved)</u>	19
<u>PART II</u>	
<u>Item 5 Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	19
<u>Item 6 Selected Financial Data</u>	19
<u>Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	19
<u>Item 7A Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	19
<u>Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	19
<u>Item 9 Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosures</u>	20
<u>Item 9A Controls and Procedures</u>	20
<u>Item 9B Other Information</u>	20
<u>PART III</u>	
<u>Item 10 Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	22
<u>Item 11 Executive Compensation</u>	22
<u>Item 12 Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Shareholder Matters</u>	22
<u>Item 13 Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence</u>	22
<u>Item 14 Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	22

PART IV

Item 15 Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

23

Signatures

24

Exhibit 10.1

Exhibit 10.3

Exhibit 10.4

Exhibit 10.8

Exhibit 10.9

Exhibit 10.10

Exhibit 13

Exhibit 21

Exhibit 31.1

Exhibit 31.2

Exhibit 32.1

Exhibit 32.2

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

First Financial Corporation (the Corporation) is a financial holding company. The Corporation was originally organized as an Indiana corporation in 1984 to operate as a bank holding company. For more information on the Corporation's business, please refer to the following sections of the 2010 Annual Report to Shareholders, which are incorporated by reference into this Form 10-K:

1. The first 4 paragraphs of Management's Discussion and Analysis on page 45.
2. The first 5 paragraphs of Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements on page 14.

Regulation and supervision

The Corporation and the Bank operate in highly regulated environments and are subject to supervision and regulation by several governmental regulatory agencies, including the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the Federal Reserve), the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (the OCC), and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the FDIC). The laws and regulations established by these agencies are generally intended to protect depositors, not shareholders. Changes in applicable laws, regulations, governmental policies, income tax laws and accounting principles may have a material effect on the Corporation's business and prospects. The following summary is qualified by reference to the statutory and regulatory provisions discussed.

Recent Developments

The Dodd-Frank Act. On July 21, 2010, financial regulatory reform legislation entitled the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Dodd-Frank Act) was signed into law. The Dodd-Frank Act will likely result in dramatic changes across the financial regulatory system, some of which became effective immediately and some of which will not become effective until various future dates. Implementation of the Dodd-Frank Act will require many new rules to be issued by various federal regulatory agencies over the next several years. There will be a significant amount of uncertainty regarding the overall impact of this new law on the financial services industry until final rulemaking is complete. The ultimate impact of this law could have a material adverse impact on the financial services industry as a whole and on our business, results of operations, and financial condition. Provisions in the legislation that affect deposit insurance assessments, payment of interest on demand deposits, and interchange fees could increase the costs associated with deposits and place limitations on certain revenues those deposits may generate. The Dodd-Frank Act also includes provisions that, among other things, will:

Centralize responsibility for consumer financial protection by creating a new agency, the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection, responsible for implementing, examining, and enforcing compliance with federal consumer financial laws.

Create the Financial Stability Oversight Council that will recommend to the Federal Reserve increasingly strict rules for capital, leverage, liquidity, risk management, and other requirements as companies grow in size and complexity.

Require the OCC to seek to make its capital requirements for national banks, such as the Bank, countercyclical so that capital requirements increase in times of economic expansion and decrease in times of economic contraction.

Restrict the preemption of state law by federal law and disallow subsidiaries and affiliates of national banks, such as the Bank, from availing themselves of such preemption.

Provide mortgage reform provisions regarding a customer's ability to repay, restricting variable-rate lending by requiring that the ability to repay variable-rate loans be determined by using the maximum rate that will apply during the first five years of a variable-rate loan term, and making more loans subject to provisions for higher cost loans and new disclosures. In addition, certain compensation for mortgage brokers based on certain loan terms will be restricted.

Table of Contents

Require financial institutions to make a reasonable and good faith determination that borrowers have the ability to repay loans for which they apply. If a financial institution fails to make such a determination, a borrower can assert this failure as a defense to foreclosure.

Require financial institutions to retain a specified percentage (5% or more) of certain non-traditional mortgage loans and other assets in the event that they seek to securitize such assets.

Change the assessment base for federal deposit insurance from the amount of insured deposits to consolidated assets less tangible capital, eliminate the ceiling on the size of the Deposit Insurance Fund (DIF), and increase the floor on the size of the DIF, which generally will require an increase in the level of assessments for institutions with assets in excess of \$10 billion.

Make permanent the \$250,000 limit for federal deposit insurance and provide unlimited federal deposit insurance until January 1, 2013 for noninterest-bearing demand transaction accounts at all insured depository institutions.

Implement corporate governance revisions, including with regard to executive compensation, say on pay votes, proxy access by shareholders, and clawback policies which apply to all public companies, not just financial institutions.

Repeal the federal prohibitions on the payment of interest on demand deposits, thereby permitting depository institutions to pay interest on business transactions and other accounts.

Amend the Electronic Fund Transfer Act (EFTA) to, among other things, give the Federal Reserve the authority to establish rules regarding interchange fees charged for electronic debit transactions by payment card issuers having assets over \$10 billion and to enforce a new statutory requirement that such fees be reasonable and proportional to the actual cost of a transaction to the issuer.

Limit the hedging activities and private equity investments that may be made by various financial institutions.

As noted above, the Dodd-Frank Act requires that the federal regulatory agencies draft many new regulations which will implement the foregoing provisions as well as other provisions contained in the Dodd-Frank Act, the ultimate impact of which will not be known for some time.

S.A.F.E. Act Requirements. On July 28, 2010, the OCC jointly issued final rules with the Federal Reserve, the Office of Thrift Supervision, FDIC, Farm Credit Administration, and National Credit Union Administration which require residential mortgage loan originators who are employees of institutions regulated by the foregoing agencies, including national banks, to meet the registration requirements of the Secure and Fair Enforcement for Mortgage Licensing Act of 2008 (the S.A.F.E. Act). The S.A.F.E. Act requires residential mortgage loan originators who are employees of regulated financial institutions to be registered with the Nationwide Mortgage Licensing System and Registry, a database created by the Conference of State Bank Supervisors and the American Association of Residential Mortgage Regulators to support the licensing of mortgage loan originators by the states. Employees of regulated financial institutions are generally prohibited from originating residential mortgage loans unless they are registered. According to the final rule, due to various system modifications and enhancements required to make the existing system capable to accept Federal Registrants, the system was not able to accept Federal Registrants until January 31, 2011. The Bank must register its employees before July 31, 2011 to be in compliance with the final rule.

Table of Contents**THE CORPORATION**

The Bank Holding Company Act. As a financial holding company, the Corporation is subject to regulation under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended (the BHC Act), is subject to periodic examination by the Federal Reserve and is required to file periodic reports of its operations and any additional information that the Federal Reserve may require.

Investments, Control, and Activities. With some limited exceptions, the BHC Act requires every bank holding company to obtain the prior approval of the Federal Reserve before acquiring another bank holding company or acquiring more than five percent of the voting shares of a bank (unless it already owns or controls the majority of such shares).

The BHC Act restricts the Corporation's non-banking activities to those which are determined by the Federal Reserve Board to be financial in nature, incidental to such financial activity, or complementary to a financial activity. The BHC Act does not place territorial restrictions on the activities of non-bank subsidiaries of financial holding companies. The Corporation's banking subsidiaries are subject to limitations with respect to transactions with affiliates.

The Corporation is qualified as a financial holding company and as such is authorized to engage in, or acquire companies engaged in, a broader range of activities than are permitted for a bank holding company that is not qualified as a financial holding company. These activities include those that are determined to be financial in nature, including insurance underwriting, securities underwriting and dealing, and making merchant banking investments in commercial and financial companies. If any of our banking subsidiaries ceases to be well capitalized or well managed under applicable regulatory standards, the Federal Reserve may, among other things, place limitations on our ability to conduct these broader financial activities or, if the deficiencies persist, require us to divest the banking subsidiary. In addition, if any of our banking subsidiaries receives a rating of less than satisfactory under the Community Reinvestment Act of 1977 (CRA), we would be prohibited from engaging in any additional activities other than those permissible for bank holding companies that are not financial holding companies. Our banking subsidiaries currently meet these capital, management and CRA requirements.

Capital Adequacy Guidelines for Bank Holding Companies. The Federal Reserve, as the regulatory authority for bank holding companies, has adopted capital adequacy guidelines for bank holding companies. Bank holding companies with assets in excess of \$500 million must comply with the Federal Reserve's risk-based capital guidelines which require a minimum ratio of total capital to risk-weighted assets (including certain off-balance sheet activities such as standby letters of credit) of 8%. At least half of the total required capital must be Tier 1 capital, consisting principally of common stockholders' equity, non-cumulative perpetual preferred stock, a limited amount of cumulative perpetual preferred stock and minority interest in the equity accounts of consolidated subsidiaries, less certain goodwill items. The remainder (Tier 2 capital) may consist of a limited amount of subordinated debt and intermediate-term preferred stock, certain hybrid capital instruments and other debt securities, cumulative perpetual preferred stock, and a limited amount of the general loan loss allowance. In addition to the risk-based capital guidelines, the Federal Reserve has adopted a Tier 1 (leverage) capital ratio under which the bank holding company must maintain a minimum level of Tier 1 capital to average total consolidated assets of 3% in the case of bank holding companies which have the highest regulatory examination ratings and are not contemplating significant growth or expansion. All other bank holding companies are expected to maintain a ratio of at least 1% to 2% above the stated minimum.

Certain regulatory capital ratios for the Corporation as of December 31, 2010, are shown below:

Tier 1 Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets	17.82%
Total Risk Based Capital to Risk-Weighted Asset	16.66%
Tier 1 Leverage Ratio	12.68%

Dividends. The Federal Reserve's policy is that a bank holding company experiencing earnings weakness should not pay cash dividends exceeding its net income or which could only be funded in ways that weaken the bank holding company's financial health, such as by borrowing. Additionally, the Federal Reserve possesses enforcement powers over bank holding companies and their non-bank subsidiaries to prevent or remedy actions that represent unsafe or unsound practices or violations of applicable statutes and regulations. Among these powers is the ability to proscribe

the payment of dividends by banks and bank holding companies.

Table of Contents

Source of Strength. In accordance with Federal Reserve policy, the Corporation is expected to act as a source of financial strength to the Bank and to commit resources to support the Bank in circumstances in which the Corporation might not otherwise do so.

THE BANK

General Regulatory Supervision. The Bank is a national bank organized under the laws of the United States of America and is subject to the supervision of the OCC, whose examiners conduct periodic examinations of national banks. The Bank must undergo regular on-site examinations by the OCC and must submit quarterly and annual reports to the OCC concerning its activities and financial condition.

The deposits of the Bank are insured by the DIF administered by the FDIC and are subject to the FDIC's rules and regulations respecting the insurance of deposits. See Deposit Insurance .

Lending Limits. Under federal law, the total loans and extensions of credit by a national bank to a borrower outstanding at one time and not fully secured may not exceed 15 percent of the bank's capital and unimpaired surplus. In addition, the total amount of outstanding loans and extensions of credit to any borrower outstanding at one time and fully secured by readily marketable collateral may not exceed 10 percent of the unimpaired capital and unimpaired surplus of the bank (this limitation is separate from and in addition to the above limitation). If a loan is secured by United States obligations, such as treasury bills, it is not subject to the bank's legal lending limit.

Deposit Insurance. Due to the recent difficult economic conditions in the United States, deposit insurance per account owner was increased from \$100,000 to \$250,000 through December 31, 2013. The Dodd-Frank Act has now made this change in deposit insurance permanent and, as a result, each account owner's deposits will be insured up to \$250,000 by the FDIC.

In addition, the FDIC adopted an optional Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program (TLGP) in October of 2008 by which, for a fee, non-interest bearing transaction accounts received unlimited FDIC insurance coverage through December 31, 2010 and certain senior unsecured debt issued by institutions and their holding companies would be guaranteed by the FDIC through December 31, 2012.

Under the Transaction Account Guarantee Program (TAGP), the FDIC provided unlimited deposit insurance coverage initially through December 31, 2009 for non-interest bearing transaction accounts (typically business checking accounts) and certain funds swept into non-interest bearing savings accounts. Institutions that participated in the TAGP paid a 10 basis points fee (annualized) on the balance of each covered account in excess of \$250,000, while the additional deposit insurance was in place. The FDIC authorized an extension of the TAGP through December 31, 2010 for institutions participating in the original TAGP, unless an institution opted out of the extension period. During the extension period, fees increased to 15 to 25 basis points depending on an institution's risk category for deposit insurance purposes. Importantly, the Dodd-Frank Act now provides for unlimited deposit insurance coverage on non-interest bearing transaction accounts, including Interest On Lawyer Trust Accounts but excluding interest-bearing NOW accounts, without an additional fee at insured institutions such as the Bank through December 31, 2012.

The TLGP also included the Debt Guarantee Program (DGP), under which the FDIC guarantees certain senior unsecured debt issued by FDIC-insured institutions and their holding companies. Under the DGP, upon a default by an issuer of FDIC-guaranteed debt, the FDIC will continue to make scheduled principal and interest payments on the debt. The unsecured debt must have been issued on or after October 14, 2008 and not later than October 31, 2009, and the guarantee is effective through the earlier of the maturity date (or mandatory conversion date) or December 31, 2012, although the debt may have a maturity date beyond December 31, 2012. Depending on the maturity of the debt, the nonrefundable DGP guarantee fee ranges from 50 to 100 basis points (annualized) for covered debt outstanding until the earlier of maturity or December 31, 2012. The FDIC also established an emergency debt guarantee facility through April 30, 2010 through which institutions that are unable to issue non-guaranteed debt to replace maturing senior unsecured debt because of market disruptions or other circumstances beyond their control may apply on a case-by-case basis to issue FDIC-guaranteed senior unsecured debt. The FDIC guarantee of any debt issued under this emergency facility would be subject to an annualized assessment rate equal to a minimum of 300 basis points. The Dodd-Frank Act also authorizes the FDIC to guarantee debt of solvent institutions and their holding companies in a manner similar to the DGP; however, the FDIC and the Federal Reserve must make a determination that there is a liquidity event that threatens the financial stability of the United States and the United States Department of the

Treasury (Treasury Department) must approve the terms of the guarantee program.

Table of Contents

The Bank's deposits are insured up to the applicable limits under the DIF. The FDIC maintains the DIF by assessing depository institutions an insurance premium. Pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Act, the FDIC is required to set a DIF reserve ratio of 1.35% of estimated insured deposits and is required to achieve this ratio by September 30, 2020. Also, the Dodd-Frank Act has eliminated the 1.50% ceiling on the reserve ratio and provides that the FDIC is no longer required to refund amounts in the DIF that exceed 1.50% of insured deposits.

Under the FDIC's risk-based assessment system, insured institutions are required to pay deposit insurance premiums based on the risk that each institution poses to the DIF. An institution's risk to the DIF is measured by its regulatory capital levels, supervisory evaluations, and certain other factors. An institution's assessment rate depends upon the risk category to which it is assigned. As noted above, pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Act, the FDIC will calculate an institution's assessment level based on its total average consolidated assets during the assessment period less average tangible equity (i.e., Tier 1 capital) as opposed to an institution's deposit level which was the previous basis for calculating insurance assessments. Pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Act, institutions will be placed into one of four risk categories for purposes of determining the institution's actual assessment rate. The FDIC will determine the risk category based on the institution's capital position (well capitalized, adequately capitalized, or undercapitalized) and supervisory condition (based on exam reports and related information provided by the institution's primary federal regulator).

Prior to the passage of the Dodd-Frank Act, assessments for FDIC deposit insurance ranged from 7 to 77 basis points per \$100 of assessable deposits. On May 22, 2009, the FDIC imposed a special assessment of five basis points on each institution's assets minus Tier 1 capital as of June 30, 2009, which was payable to the FDIC on September 30, 2009. The Bank expensed a total of \$2.8 million in deposit insurance assessments in 2010. No institution may pay a dividend if it is in default on its federal deposit insurance assessment. Also during 2009, the FDIC adopted a rule requiring each insured institution to prepay on December 30, 2009 the estimated amount of its quarterly assessments for the fourth quarter of 2009 and all quarters through the end of 2012 (in addition to the regular quarterly assessment for the third quarter which was due on December 30, 2009). The prepaid amount is recorded as an asset with a zero risk weight and the institution will continue to record quarterly expenses for FDIC deposit insurance. Collection of the prepayment amount does not preclude the FDIC from changing assessment rates or revising the risk-based assessment system in the future. If events cause actual assessments during the prepayment period to vary from the prepaid amount, institutions will pay excess assessments or receive a rebate of prepaid amounts not fully utilized after the collection of assessments due in June 2013. The amount of the Bank's prepayment was \$9.2 million.

In connection with the Dodd-Frank Act's requirement that insurance assessments be based on assets, the FDIC has recently issued the final rule that provides that assessments be based on an institution's average consolidated assets (less average tangible equity) as opposed to its deposit level. The FDIC has stated that the new assessment schedule, which will be effective as of April 1, 2011, should result in the collection of assessment revenue that is approximately revenue neutral compared to the current method of calculating assessments. Pursuant to this new rule, the assessment base will be larger than the current assessment base, but the new rates are lower than current rates, ranging from approximately 2.5 basis points to 45 basis points (depending on applicable adjustments for unsecured debt and brokered deposits) until such time as the FDIC's reserve ratio equals 1.15%. Once the FDIC's reserve ratio equals or exceeds 1.15%, the applicable assessment rates may range from 1.5 basis points to 40 basis points.

In addition to the FDIC insurance premiums, the Bank is required to make quarterly payments on bonds issued by the Financing Corporation (FICO), an agency of the Federal government established to recapitalize a predecessor deposit insurance fund.

Table of Contents

On January 12, 2010, the FDIC announced that it would seek public comment on whether financial institutions with compensation plans that encourage risky behavior should be charged higher deposit assessment rates than such financial institutions would otherwise be charged.

Transactions with Affiliates and Insiders. Pursuant to Sections 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act and Regulation W, the Bank is subject to limitations on the amount of loans or extensions of credit to, or investments in, or certain other transactions with, affiliates (including the Corporation) and insiders and on the amount of advances to third parties collateralized by the securities or obligations of affiliates. Furthermore, within the foregoing limitations as to amount, each covered transaction must meet specified collateral requirements. Compliance is also required with certain provisions designed to avoid the taking of low quality assets. The Bank is also prohibited from engaging in certain transactions with certain affiliates and insiders unless the transactions are on terms substantially the same, or at least as favorable to such institution or its subsidiaries, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with nonaffiliated companies.

Extensions of credit by the Bank to its executive officers, directors, certain principal shareholders, and their related interests must:

- be made on substantially the same terms, including interest rates and collateral, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with third parties; and
- not involve more than the normal risk of repayment or present other unfavorable features.

The Dodd-Frank Act also included specific changes to the law related to the definition of a covered transaction in Sections 23A and 23B and limitations on asset purchases from insiders. With respect to the definition of a covered transaction, the Dodd-Frank Act now defines that term to include the acceptance of debt obligations issued by an affiliate as collateral for an institution's loan or extension of credit to another person or company. In addition, a derivative transaction with an affiliate is now deemed to be a covered transaction to the extent that such a transaction causes an institution or its subsidiary to have a credit exposure to the affiliate. A separate provision of the Dodd-Frank Act states that an insured depository institution may not purchase an asset from, or sell an asset to a bank insider (or their related interests) unless (1) the transaction is conducted on market terms between the parties and (2) if the proposed transaction represents more than 10 percent of the capital stock and surplus of the insured institution, it has been approved in advance by a majority of the institution's non-interested directors.

Dividends. Under federal law, the Bank may pay dividends from its undivided profits in an amount declared by its Board of Directors, subject to prior approval of the OCC if the proposed dividend, when added to all prior dividends declared during the current calendar year, would be greater than the current year's net income and retained earnings for the previous two calendar years.

Federal law generally prohibits the Bank from paying a dividend to the Corporation if the Bank would thereafter be undercapitalized. The FDIC may prevent the Bank from paying dividends if the Bank is in default of payment of any assessment due to the FDIC. In addition, payment of dividends by a bank may be prevented by the applicable federal regulatory authority if such payment is determined, by reason of the financial condition of such bank, to be an unsafe and unsound banking practice. In addition, the Bank is subject to certain restrictions imposed by the Federal Reserve on extensions of credit to the Corporation, on investments in the stock or other securities of the Corporation, and in taking such stock or securities as collateral for loans.

Community Reinvestment Act. The CRA requires that the OCC evaluate the records of the Bank in meeting the credit needs of its local community, including low and moderate income neighborhoods. These factors are also considered in evaluating mergers, acquisitions, and applications to open a branch or facility. Failure to adequately meet these criteria could result in the imposition of additional requirements and limitations on the Bank.

Capital Regulations. The OCC has adopted risk-based capital ratio guidelines to which the Bank is subject. The guidelines establish a systematic analytical framework that makes regulatory capital requirements more sensitive to differences in risk profiles among banking organizations. Risk-based capital ratios are determined by allocating assets and specified off-balance sheet commitments to four risk weighted categories, with higher levels of capital being required for the categories perceived as representing greater risk.

Table of Contents

These guidelines divide a bank's capital into two tiers. The first tier (Tier 1) includes common equity, certain non-cumulative perpetual preferred stock (excluding auction rate issues) and minority interests in equity accounts of consolidated subsidiaries, less goodwill and certain other intangible assets (except mortgage servicing rights and purchased credit card relationships, subject to certain limitations). Supplementary (Tier 2) capital includes, among other items, cumulative perpetual and long-term limited-life preferred stock, mandatory convertible securities, certain hybrid capital instruments, term subordinated debt and the allowance for loan and lease losses, subject to certain limitations, less required deductions. Banks are required to maintain a total risk-based capital ratio of 8%, of which 4% must be Tier 1 capital. The OCC may, however, set higher capital requirements when a bank's particular circumstances warrant. Banks experiencing or anticipating significant growth are expected to maintain capital ratios, including tangible capital positions, well above the minimum levels.

In addition, the OCC established guidelines prescribing a minimum Tier 1 leverage ratio (Tier 1 capital to adjusted total assets as specified in the guidelines). These guidelines provide for a minimum Tier 1 leverage ratio of 3% for banks that meet certain specified criteria, including that they have the highest regulatory rating and are not experiencing or anticipating significant growth. All other banks are required to maintain a Tier 1 leverage ratio of 3% plus an additional cushion of at least 1% to 2% basis points.

Certain actual regulatory capital ratios under the OCC's risk-based capital guidelines for the Bank at December 31, 2010, are shown below:

Tier 1 Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets	17.82%
Total Risk-Based Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets	16.66%
Tier 1 Leverage Ratio	12.68%

The federal bank regulators, including the OCC, also have issued a joint policy statement to provide guidance on sound practices for managing interest rate risk. The statement sets forth the factors the federal regulatory examiners will use to determine the adequacy of a bank's capital for interest rate risk. These qualitative factors include the adequacy and effectiveness of the bank's internal interest rate risk management process and the level of interest rate exposure. Other qualitative factors that will be considered include the size of the bank, the nature and complexity of its activities, the adequacy of its capital and earnings in relation to the bank's overall risk profile, and its earning exposure to interest rate movements. The interagency supervisory policy statement describes the responsibilities of a bank's board of directors in implementing a risk management process and the requirements of the bank's senior management in ensuring the effective management of interest rate risk. Further, the statement specifies the elements that a risk management process must contain.

The OCC has also issued final regulations further revising its risk-based capital standards to include a supervisory framework for measuring market risk. The effect of these regulations is that any bank holding company or bank which has significant exposure to market risk must measure such risk using its own internal model, subject to the requirements contained in the regulations, and must maintain adequate capital to support that exposure. These regulations apply to any bank holding company or bank whose trading activity equals 10% or more of its total assets, or whose trading activity equals \$1 billion or more. Examiners may require a bank holding company or bank that does not meet the applicability criteria to comply with the capital requirements if necessary for safety and soundness purposes. These regulations contain supplemental rules to determine qualifying and excess capital, calculate risk-weighted assets, calculate market risk-equivalent assets and calculate risk-based capital ratios adjusted for market risk.

The Bank is also subject to the prompt corrective action regulations, promulgated under the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991, which implement a capital-based regulatory scheme designed to promote early intervention for troubled banks. This framework contains five categories of compliance with regulatory capital requirements, including well capitalized, adequately capitalized, undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized, and critically undercapitalized. As of December 31, 2010, the Bank was qualified as well capitalized. It should be noted that a bank's capital category is determined solely for the purpose of applying the prompt corrective action regulations and that the capital category may not constitute an accurate representation of the bank's overall financial condition or prospects. The degree of regulatory scrutiny of a financial institution increases, and the permissible activities of the

institution decrease, as it moves downward through the capital categories. Bank holding companies controlling financial institutions can be required to boost the institutions capital and to partially guarantee the institutions performance.

Table of Contents

USA Patriot Act. The Uniting and Strengthening America by Providing Appropriate Tools Required to Intercept and Obstruct Terrorism Act of 2001 (the USA Patriot Act) is intended to strengthen the ability of U.S. Law Enforcement to combat terrorism on a variety of fronts. The potential impact of the USA Patriot Act on financial institutions is significant and wide-ranging. The USA Patriot Act contains sweeping anti-money laundering and financial transparency laws and requires financial institutions to implement additional policies and procedures with respect to, or additional measures designed to address, any or all of the following matters, among others: money laundering and currency crimes, customer identification verification, cooperation among financial institutions, suspicious activities and currency transaction reporting.

Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (the Sarbanes-Oxley Act) represents a comprehensive revision of laws affecting corporate governance, accounting obligations and corporate reporting. Among other requirements, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act established: (i) new requirements for audit committees of public companies, including independence and expertise standards; (ii) additional responsibilities regarding financial statements for the chief executive officers and chief financial officers of reporting companies; (iii) new standards for auditors and regulation of audits; (iv) increased disclosure and reporting obligations for reporting companies regarding various matters relating to corporate governance, and (v) new and increased civil and criminal penalties for violation of the securities laws.

Troubled Asset Relief Program Initiatives to Address Financial and Economic Crises. The Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 (EESA) was signed into law on October 3, 2008. EESA gave the Treasury Department broad authority to address the then-current deterioration of the United States economy, to implement certain actions to help restore confidence, stability, and liquidity to United States financial markets, and to encourage financial institutions to increase their lending to clients and to each other. EESA authorized the Treasury Department to purchase from financial institutions and their holding companies up to \$700 billion in mortgage loans, mortgage-related securities, and certain other financial instruments, including debt and equity securities issued by financial institutions and their holding companies in a Troubled Asset Relief Program (TARP). The Treasury Department allocated \$250 billion to the voluntary Capital Purchase Program (CPP) under TARP. TARP also included direct purchases or guarantees of troubled assets of certain financial institutions by the U.S. Government. Under the CPP, the Treasury Department was authorized to purchase debt or equity securities from participating financial institutions. In connection therewith, each participating financial institution issued to the Treasury Department a warrant to purchase a certain number of shares of stock of the institution. During such time as the Treasury Department holds securities issued under the CPP, the participating financial institutions are required to comply with the Treasury Department s standards for executive compensation and corporate governance and will have limited ability to increase the amounts of dividends paid on, or to repurchase, their common stock. The Corporation determined not to participate in the CPP.

On February 17, 2009, the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA), more commonly known as the federal economic stimulus or economic recovery package, went into effect. ARRA includes a wide variety of programs intended to stimulate the United States economy and provide for extensive infrastructure, energy, health, and education needs. ARRA also imposes new executive compensation limits and corporate governance requirements on participants in the CPP in addition to those previously announced by the Treasury Department. Because the Corporation elected not to participate in the CPP, these limits and requirements do not apply to the Corporation.

Table of Contents

Other Regulations

Federal law extensively regulates other various aspects of the banking business such as reserve requirements. Current federal law also requires banks, among other things to make deposited funds available within specified time periods.

In addition, with certain exceptions, a bank and a subsidiary may not extend credit, lease or sell property or furnish any services or fix or vary the consideration for the foregoing on the condition that (i) the customer must obtain or provide some additional credit, property or services from, or to, any of them, or (ii) the customer may not obtain some other credit, property or service from a competitor, except to the extent reasonable conditions are imposed to assure the soundness of credit extended.

Interest and other charges collected or contracted by the Bank are subject to state usury laws and federal laws concerning interest rates. The Bank's loan operations are also subject to federal and state laws applicable to credit transactions, such as the:

- Truth-In-Lending Act and state consumer protection laws governing disclosures of credit terms and prohibiting certain practices with regard to consumer borrowers;

- Home Mortgage Disclosure Act of 1975, requiring financial institutions to provide information to enable the public and public officials to determine whether a financial institution is fulfilling its obligation to help meet the housing needs of the community it serves;

- Equal Credit Opportunity Act and other fair lending laws, prohibiting discrimination on the basis of race, creed or other prohibited factors in extending credit;

- Fair Credit Reporting Act of 1978 and Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act of 2003, governing the use and provision of information to credit reporting agencies;

- Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, governing the manner in which consumer debts may be collected by collection agencies; and rules and regulations of the various federal agencies charged with the responsibility of implementing such federal laws.

The deposit operations of the Bank also are subject to the:

- Customer Information Security Guidelines. The federal bank regulatory agencies have adopted final guidelines (the Guidelines) for safeguarding confidential customer information. The Guidelines require each financial institution, under the supervision and ongoing oversight of its Board of Directors, to create a comprehensive written information security program designed to ensure the security and confidentiality of customer information, protect against any anticipated threats or hazards to the security or integrity of such information; protect against unauthorized access to or use of such information that could result in substantial harm or inconvenience to any customer; and implement response programs for security breaches.

- Electronic Funds Transfer Act and Regulation E. The Electronic Funds Transfer Act, which is implemented by Regulation E, governs automatic deposits to and withdrawals from deposit accounts and customers' rights and liabilities arising from the use of automated teller machines and other electronic banking service.

- Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act. The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, the Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act, and the implementing regulations govern consumer financial privacy, provide disclosure requirements and restrict the sharing of certain consumer financial information with other parties.

The federal banking agencies have established guidelines which prescribe standards for depository institutions relating to internal controls, information systems, internal audit systems, loan documentation, credit underwriting, interest rate exposure, asset growth, asset quality, earnings, compensation fees and benefits, and management compensation. The agencies may require an institution which fails to meet the standards set forth in the guidelines to submit a compliance plan. Failure to submit an acceptable plan or adhere to an accepted plan may be grounds for further enforcement action.

As noted above, the new Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection will have authority for amending existing consumer compliance regulations and implementing new such regulations. In addition, the Bureau will have the power to examine the compliance of financial institutions with an excess of \$10 billion in assets with these consumer

protection rules. The Bank's compliance with consumer protection rules will be examined by the OCC since the Bank does not meet this \$10 billion asset level threshold.

Table of Contents

Enforcement Powers. Federal regulatory agencies may assess civil and criminal penalties against depository institutions and certain institution-affiliated parties, including management, employees, and agents of a financial institution, as well as independent contractors and consultants such as attorneys and accountants and others who participate in the conduct of the financial institution's affairs.

In addition, regulators may commence enforcement actions against institutions and institution-affiliated parties. Possible enforcement actions include the termination of deposit insurance. Furthermore, regulators may issue cease-and-desist orders to, among other things, require affirmative action to correct any harm resulting from a violation or practice, including restitution, reimbursement, indemnifications or guarantees against loss. A financial institution may also be ordered to restrict its growth, dispose of certain assets, rescind agreements or contracts, or take other actions as determined by the regulator to be appropriate.

Effect of Governmental Monetary Policies. The Corporation's earnings are affected by domestic economic conditions and the monetary and fiscal policies of the United States government and its agencies. The Federal Reserve Bank's monetary policies have had, and are likely to continue to have, an important impact on the operating results of commercial banks through its power to implement national monetary policy in order, among other things, to curb inflation or combat a recession. The monetary policies of the Federal Reserve have major effects upon the levels of bank loans, investments and deposits through its open market operations in United States government securities and through its regulation of the discount rate on borrowings of member banks and the reserve requirements against member bank deposits. It is not possible to predict the nature or impact of future changes in monetary and fiscal policies.

Available Information

The Corporation files annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, proxy statements and other information with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Such reports, proxy statements and other information can be read and copied at the public reference facilities maintained by the Securities and Exchange Commission at the Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20549. Information regarding the operation of the Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling the Securities and Exchange Commission at 1-800-SEC-0330. The Securities and Exchange Commission maintains a web site (<http://www.sec.gov>) that contains reports, proxy statements, and other information. The Corporation's filings are also accessible at no cost on the Corporation's website at www.first-online.com.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Difficult Conditions In The Capital Markets And The Economy Generally May Materially Adversely Affect Our Business And Results Of Operations.

Our results of operations are materially affected by conditions in the capital markets and the economy generally. The capital and credit markets have been experiencing extreme volatility and disruption for more than two years at unprecedented levels. In many cases, these markets have produced downward pressure on stock prices of, and credit availability to, certain companies without regard to those companies' underlying financial strength.

Recently, concerns over inflation, energy costs, geopolitical issues, the availability and cost of credit, the U.S. mortgage market and a declining U.S. real estate market have contributed to increased volatility and diminished expectations for the economy and the capital and credit markets going forward. These factors, combined with volatile oil prices, declining business and consumer confidence and increased unemployment, have precipitated an economic slowdown and national recession. In addition, the fixed-income markets are experiencing a period of extreme volatility which has negatively impacted market liquidity conditions. Initially, the concerns on the part of market participants were focused on the subprime segment of the mortgage-backed securities market. However, these concerns have since expanded to include a broad range of mortgage-and asset-backed and other fixed income securities, including those rated investment grade, the U.S. and international credit and interbank money markets generally, and a wide range of financial institutions and markets, asset classes and sectors.

Table of Contents

Factors such as consumer spending, business investment, government spending, the volatility and strength of the capital markets, and inflation all affect the business and economic environment and, ultimately, the amount and profitability of our business. In an economic downturn characterized by higher unemployment, lower family income, lower corporate earnings, lower business investment and lower consumer spending, the demand for our financial products could be adversely affected. Adverse changes in the economy could affect earnings negatively and could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

The Soundness Of Other Financial Institutions Could Adversely Affect Us.

The ability of the Corporation to engage in routine funding transactions could be adversely affected by the actions and commercial soundness of other financial institutions. Financial services institutions are interrelated as a result of trading, clearing, counterparty, or other relationships. As a result, defaults by, or even rumors or questions about, one or more financial services institutions, or the financial services industry generally, have led the market-wide liquidity problems and could lead to losses or defaults by the Corporation or by other institutions. Many of these transactions expose the Corporation to credit risk in the event of default of the Corporation's counterparty or client. In addition, the Corporation's credit risk may be adversely impacted when the collateral held by the Corporation cannot be realized upon or its liquidated price is not sufficient to recover the full amount of the financial instrument exposure. There is no assurance that any such losses would not materially and adversely affect the Corporation's results of operations.

There Can Be No Assurance That Legislation Enacted To Help Stabilize The U.S. Financial System Will Be Effective In Doing So.

EESA was signed into law in 2008 in response to the financial crises affecting the banking system and financial markets and going concern threats to investment banks and other financial institutions. Pursuant to EESA, the Treasury Department was granted the authority to, among other things, purchase up to \$700 billion of mortgages, mortgage-backed securities and certain other financial instruments from financial institutions for the purpose of stabilizing and providing liquidity to the U.S. financial markets. The Treasury Department announced the Capital Purchase Program under the EESA pursuant to which it has purchased and will continue to purchase senior preferred stock in participating financial institutions. The Corporation elected not to participate in the Capital Purchase Program.

On February 17, 2009, ARRA was signed into law. The purpose of ARRA is to make supplemental appropriations for job preservation and creation, infrastructure investment, energy efficiency and science, assistance to the unemployed, and state and local fiscal stabilization.

On July 21, 2010, the Dodd-Frank Act was signed into law. The purpose of the Dodd-Frank Act is to promote the financial stability of the United States by improving accountability and transparency in the financial system, to protect consumers from abusive financial services practices, and for other purposes. The provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act that are most likely to affect the Corporation are described elsewhere in this report.

There can be no assurance as to the actual impact that these legislative initiatives will have on the financial markets or on the Corporation. The failure of these programs to help stabilize the financial markets and a continuation or worsening of current financial market conditions could materially and adversely affect the Corporation's business, financial condition, results of operations, access to credit or the trading price of the Corporation's common stock.

We May Be Required To Pay Significantly Higher FDIC Premiums Or Special Assessments That Could Adversely Affect Our Earnings.

Market developments have significantly depleted the insurance fund of the FDIC and reduced the ratio of reserves to insured deposits. As a result, depository institutions participating in the insurance fund, including the Bank, may be required to pay significantly higher premiums or additional special assessments that could adversely affect our earnings. It is possible that the FDIC may impose additional special assessments in the future as part of its restoration plan.

Table of Contents

Future Growth Or Operating Results May Require The Corporation To Raise Additional Capital But That Capital May Not Be Available Or It May Be Dilutive.

The Corporation is required by federal and state regulatory authorities to maintain adequate levels of capital to support its operations. To the extent the Corporation's future operating results erode capital or the Corporation elects to expand through loan growth or acquisition it may be required to raise capital. The Corporation's ability to raise capital will depend on conditions in the capital markets, which are outside of its control, and on the Corporation's financial performance. Accordingly, the Corporation cannot be assured of its ability to raise capital when needed or on favorable terms. If the Corporation cannot raise additional capital when needed, it will be subject to increased regulatory supervision and the imposition of restrictions on its growth and business. These could negatively impact the Corporation's ability to operate or further expand its operations through acquisitions or the establishment of additional branches and may result in increases in operating expenses and reductions in revenues that could have a material adverse effect on its financial condition and results of operations.

We May Not Be Able To Pay Dividends In The Future In Accordance With Past Practice.

The Corporation has traditionally paid a semi-annual dividend to common stockholders. The payment of dividends is subject to legal and regulatory restrictions. Any payment of dividends in the future will depend, in large part, on the Corporation's earnings, capital requirements, financial condition and other factors considered relevant by the Corporation's Board of Directors.

The Price Of The Corporation's Common Stock May Be Volatile, Which May Result In Losses For Investors.

General market price declines or market volatility in the future could adversely affect the price of the Corporation's common stock. In addition, the following factors may cause the market price for shares of the Corporation's common stock to fluctuate:

- announcements of developments related to the Corporation's business;
- fluctuations in the Corporation's results of operations;
- sales or purchases of substantial amounts of the Corporation's securities in the marketplace;
- general conditions in the Corporation's banking niche or the worldwide economy;
- a shortfall or excess in revenues or earnings compared to securities analysts' expectations;
- changes in analysts' recommendations or projections; and
- the Corporation's announcement of new acquisitions or other projects.

The Corporation Is Subject To Interest Rate Risk.

Interest and fees on loans and securities, net of interest paid on deposits and borrowings, are a large part of the Corporation's net income. Interest rates are key drivers of the Corporation's net interest margin and subject to many factors beyond the control of management. As interest rates change, net interest income is affected. Rapid increases in interest rates in the future could result in interest expense increasing faster than interest income because of mismatches in financial instrument maturities. Further, substantially higher interest rates generally reduce loan demand and may result in slower loan growth. Decreases or increases in interest rates could have a negative effect on the spreads between the interest rates earned on assets and the rates of interest paid on liabilities, and therefore decrease net interest income.

Table of Contents

The Corporation Is Subject To Lending Risk.

There are inherent risks associated with the Corporation's lending activities. These risks include, among other things, the impact of changes in interest rates and changes in the economic conditions in the markets where the Corporation operates as well as those across Indiana, Illinois and the United States. Increases in interest rates and/or weakening economic conditions could adversely impact the ability of borrowers to repay outstanding loans or the value of the collateral securing these loans. The Corporation is also subject to various laws and regulations that affect its lending activities. Failure to comply with the applicable laws and regulations could subject the Corporation to regulatory enforcement action that could result in the assessment of significant civil money penalties against the Corporation.

The Corporation's Allowance for Possible Loan Losses May Be Insufficient.

The Corporation maintains an allowance for possible loan losses, which is a reserve established through a provision for possible loan losses charged to expense, that represents management's best estimate of probable losses that have been incurred within the existing portfolio of loans. The level of the allowance reflects management's continuing evaluation of industry concentrations; specific credit risks; loan loss experience; current loan portfolio quality; present economic, political and regulatory conditions and unidentified losses inherent in the current loan portfolio. The determination of the appropriate level of the allowance for possible loan losses inherently involves a high degree of subjectivity and requires the Corporation to make significant estimates of current credit risks and future trends, all of which may undergo material changes. Changes in economic conditions affecting borrowers, new information regarding existing loans, identification of additional problem loans and other factors, both within and outside of the Corporation's control, may require an increase in the allowance for possible loan losses. In addition, bank regulatory agencies periodically review the Corporation's allowance for loan losses and may require an increase in the provision for possible loan losses or the recognition of further loan charge-offs, based on judgments different than those of management. In addition, if charge-offs in future periods exceed the allowance for possible loan losses; the Corporation will need additional provisions to increase the allowance for possible loan losses. Any increase in the allowance for possible loan losses will result in a decrease in net income and, possibly, capital, and may have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's financial condition and results of operations.

If The Corporation Forecloses On Collateral Property, It May Be Subject To The Increased Costs Associated With Ownership Of Real Property, Resulting In Reduced Revenues And Earnings.

The Corporation may have to foreclose on collateral property to protect its investment and may thereafter own and operate such property, in which case it will be exposed to the risks inherent in the ownership of real estate. The amount that the Corporation as a mortgagee, may realize after a default is dependent upon factors outside of its control, including, but not limited to: (i) general or local economic conditions; (ii) neighborhood values; (iii) interest rates; (iv) real estate tax rates; (v) operating expenses of the mortgaged properties; (vi) environmental remediation liabilities; (vii) ability to obtain and maintain adequate occupancy of the properties; (viii) zoning laws; (ix) governmental rules, regulations and fiscal policies; and (x) acts of God. Certain expenditures associated with the ownership of real estate, principally real estate taxes, insurance, and maintenance costs, may adversely affect the income from the real estate. Therefore, the cost of operating real property may exceed the income earned from such property, and the Corporation may have to advance funds in order to protect its investment, or it may be required to dispose of the real property at a loss. These expenditures and costs could adversely affect the Corporation's ability to generate revenues, resulting in reduced levels of profitability.

The Corporation Operates In a Highly Competitive Industry and Market Area.

The Corporation faces substantial competition in all areas of its operations from a variety of different competitors. Such competitors include banks and many other types of financial institutions, including, without limitation, savings and loans, credit unions, finance companies, brokerage firms, insurance companies, factoring companies and other financial intermediaries. The financial services industry could become even more competitive as a result of legislative, regulatory and technological changes and continued consolidation. Banks, securities firms and insurance companies can merge under the umbrella of a financial holding company, which can offer virtually any type of financial service, including banking, securities underwriting, insurance (both agency and underwriting) and merchant banking. Also, technology has lowered barriers to entry and made it possible for non-banks to offer products and services traditionally provided by banks, such as automatic transfer and automatic payment systems. Many of the Corporation's

competitors have fewer regulatory constraints and may have lower cost structures.

Table of Contents

The Corporation's ability to compete successfully depends on a number of factors, including, among other things:

The ability to develop, maintain and build upon long-term customer relationships based on top quality service, and safe, sound assets.

The ability to expand the Corporation's market position.

The scope, relevance and pricing of products and services offered to meet customer needs and demands.

The rate at which the Corporation introduces new products and services relative to its competitors.

Customer satisfaction with the Corporation's level of service.

Industry and general economic trends.

Failure to perform in any of these areas could significantly weaken the Corporation's competitive position, which could adversely affect the Corporation's growth and profitability, which, in turn, could have a material adverse effect on the corporation's financial condition and results of operations.

We Operate In A Highly Regulated Industry And May Be Affected Adversely By Increased Regulatory Supervision And Scrutiny And Changes In Laws, Rules, And Regulations Affecting Financial Institutions.

The Bank, like other national banks, is currently subject to extensive regulation, supervision, and examination by the OCC and by the FDIC, the insurer of its deposits. The Corporation, like other financial holding companies, is currently subject to regulation and supervision by the Federal Reserve. This regulation and supervision governs the activities in which we may engage and are intended primarily for the protection of the deposit insurance fund administered by the FDIC and our clients and depositors rather than our shareholders. Regulatory authorities have extensive discretion in their supervisory and enforcement activities, including the imposition of restrictions on our operations, the classification of our assets, determination of the level of our allowance for loan losses, and maintenance of adequate capital levels. These bank regulators possess broad authority to prevent or remedy unsafe or unsound practices or violations of law and, given the recent financial crisis in the United States, the trend has been toward increased and more active oversight by regulators. Recently, pursuant to an agreement among various federal financial institution regulators, the FDIC's authority to investigate banks was significantly expanded. Under the terms of this new agreement, the FDIC will have unlimited authority to make a special examination of any insured depository institution as necessary to determine the condition of such depository institution for insurance purposes. Accordingly, we expect an active supervisory and regulatory environment to continue.

In addition, as a result of ongoing challenges facing the United States economy, new laws and regulations regarding lending and funding practices and liquidity standards have been and may continue to be promulgated, and bank regulatory agencies are expected to be active in responding to concerns and trends identified in examinations, including the issuance of formal or informal enforcement actions or orders. Accordingly, the regulations applicable to the banking industry continue to change and we cannot predict the effects of these changes on our business and profitability.

On July 21, 2010, the Dodd-Frank Act, a sweeping financial reform bill, was signed into law and will result in a number of new regulations that could significantly impact regulatory compliance costs and the operations of community banks. The Dodd-Frank Act includes, among other things, provisions establishing a Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection, which will have broad authority to develop and implement rules regarding most consumer financial products; provisions affecting corporate governance and executive compensation at all publicly-traded companies; provisions that would broaden the base for FDIC insurance assessments and permanently increase FDIC deposit insurance to \$250,000; and new restrictions on how mortgage brokers and loan originators may be compensated. These provisions, or any other aspects of current proposed regulatory or legislative changes to laws applicable to the financial industry, if enacted or adopted, may impact the profitability of our business activities or change certain of our business practices, including our ability to offer new products, obtain financing, attract deposits, make loans, and achieve satisfactory interest spreads, and could expose us to additional costs, including increased

compliance costs. These changes also may require us to invest significant management attention and resources to make any necessary changes to our operations in order to comply, and could therefore also materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

Table of Contents

In addition, like all U.S. companies who prepare their financial statements in accordance with U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (U.S. GAAP), we are subject to changes in accounting rules and interpretations. We cannot predict what effect any presently contemplated or future changes in financial market regulation or accounting rules and interpretations will have on us. Any such changes may negatively affect our financial performance, our ability to expand our products and services, and our ability to increase the value of our business and, as a result, could be materially adverse to our shareholders. In addition, like other federally insured depository institutions, the Corporation and Bank prepare and publicly report additional financial information under Regulatory Accounting Principles and are similarly subject to changes in these rules and interpretations.

The Corporation's Controls and Procedures May Fail or Be Circumvented.

Management regularly reviews and updates the Corporation's internal controls, disclosure controls and procedures, and corporate governance policies and procedures. Any system of controls, however well designed and operated, is based in part on certain assumptions and can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurances that the objectives of the system are met. Any failure or circumvention of the Corporation's controls and procedures or failure to comply with regulations related to controls and procedures could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's business, results of operations and financial condition.

The Corporation Is Dependent On Certain Key Management and Staff.

The Corporation relies on key personnel to manage and operate its business. The loss of key staff may adversely affect our ability to maintain and manage these portfolios effectively, which could negatively affect our revenues. In addition, loss of key personnel could result in increased recruiting and hiring expenses, which could cause a decrease in the Corporation's net income.

The Corporation's Information Systems May Experience an Interruption or Breach in Security.

The Corporation relies heavily on communications and information systems to conduct its business. Any failure, interruption or breach in security of these systems could result in failures or disruptions in the Corporation's customer relationship management, general ledger, deposit, loan and other systems. While the Corporation has policies and procedures designed to prevent or limit the effect of the failure, interruption or security breach of its information systems, there can be no assurance that any such failures, interruptions or security breaches will not occur or, if they do occur, that they will be adequately addressed. The occurrence of any failures, interruptions or security breaches of the Corporation's information systems could damage the Corporation's reputation, result in a loss of customer business, subject the Corporation to additional regulatory scrutiny, or expose the Corporation to civil litigation and possible financial liability, any of which could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's financial condition and results of operations.

The Corporation Continually Encounters Technological Change.

The financial services industry is continually undergoing rapid technological change with frequent introductions of new technology-driven products and services. The effective use of technology increases efficiency and enables financial institutions to better serve customers and to reduce costs. The Corporation's future success depends, in part, upon its ability to address the needs of its customers by using technology to provide products and services that will satisfy customer demands, as well as to create additional efficiencies in the Corporation's operations. Failure to successfully keep pace with the technological change affecting the financial services industry could have a material adverse impact on the Corporation's business and, in turn, the Corporation's financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

First Financial Corporation is located in a four-story office building in downtown Terre Haute, Indiana that was first occupied in June 1988. It is leased to First Financial Bank N.A., a wholly-owned subsidiary (the Bank). The Bank also owns three other facilities in downtown Terre Haute. One is leased, one is available for lease and the third is a 50,000-square-foot building housing operations and administrative staff and equipment. In addition, the Bank holds in fee six other branch buildings. One of the branch buildings is a single-story 36,000-square-foot building which is located in a Terre Haute suburban area. Six other branch bank buildings are leased by the Bank. The expiration dates on five of the leases are May 31, 2011, February 14, 2011, August 31, 2011, December 31, 2012 and May 31, 2014. The sixth lease is on a month-to-month basis.

Facilities of the Corporation's banking centers in Clay County include three offices in Brazil, Indiana and offices in Clay City and Poland, Indiana. All five buildings are held in fee.

Facilities of the Corporation's banking centers in Vermillion County include two offices in Clinton, Indiana and offices in Cayuga and Newport, Indiana. All four buildings are held in fee.

Facilities of the Corporation's banking centers in Sullivan County include offices in Sullivan, Carlisle, Dugger, Farmersburg and Hymera, Indiana. All five buildings are held in fee.

Facilities of the Corporation's banking center in Greene County include an office in Worthington, Indiana. This building is held in fee.

Facilities of the Corporation's banking centers in Knox County include offices in Monroe City, Sandborn and Vincennes, Indiana. All three buildings are held in fee.

Facilities of the Corporation's banking centers in Parke County include two offices in Rockville, Indiana and offices in Marshall, Montezuma and Rosedale, Indiana. All five buildings are held in fee.

Facilities of the Corporation's banking center in Putnam County include an office in Greencastle, Indiana. This building is held in fee.

Facilities of the Corporation's banking centers in Crawford County include its main office and a drive-up facility in Robinson, Illinois and a branch facility in Oblong, Illinois. All three of the buildings are held in fee.

Facilities of the Corporation's banking centers in Lawrence County include offices in Sumner and Lawrenceville, Illinois. Both of the buildings are held in fee.

Facilities of the Corporation's banking center in Wayne County include an office in Fairfield, Illinois. This building is held in fee.

Facilities of the Corporation's banking center in Jasper County include an office in Newton, Illinois. This building is held in fee.

Facilities of the Corporation's banking center in Coles County include an office in Charleston, Illinois. This building is held in fee.

Facilities of the Corporation's banking center in Clark County include an office in Marshall, Illinois. This building is held in fee.

Facilities of the Corporation's banking center in Vermilion County include four offices in Danville, Illinois, an office in Westville, Illinois, and an office in Ridge Farm, Illinois. One of the buildings in Danville is leased and the lease expires on December 31, 2011 and the other five buildings are held in fee.

Facilities of the Corporation's banking centers in Richland County include two offices in Olney, Illinois. One building is held in fee and the other building is leased. The expiration date on the lease is March 1, 2015.

The facility of the Corporation's subsidiary, The Morris Plan Company, includes an office facility in Terre Haute, Indiana. The building is leased by The Morris Plan Company. The expiration date on the lease is October 31, 2020.

Facilities of the Corporation's subsidiary, Forrest Sherer, Inc., include its main office and one satellite office in Terre Haute, Indiana. The buildings are held in fee by Forrest Sherer, Inc.

Table of Contents**ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

There are no material pending legal proceedings which involve the Corporation or its subsidiaries, other than ordinary routine litigation incidental to its business.

ITEM 4. (REMOVED AND RESERVED)**PART II****ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES.**

See Market and Dividend Information on page 55 of the 2010 Annual Report. That portion of the Annual Report is incorporated by reference into this Form 10-K.

The Corporation periodically acquires shares of its common stock directly from shareholders in individually negotiated transactions. The Corporation has not adopted a formal policy or adopted a formal program for repurchases of shares of its common stock. Following is certain information regarding shares of common stock purchased by the Corporation during the quarter covered by this report.

	(a) Total Number Of Shares Purchased	(b) Average Price Paid Per Share	(c) Total Number Of Shares Purchased As Part Of Publicly Announced Plans Or Programs *	(d) Maximum Number Of Shares That May Yet Be Purchased *
October 1 - 31, 2010			N/A	N/A
November 1 - 30, 2010			N/A	N/A
December 1 - 31, 2010			N/A	N/A
Total			N/A	N/A

* The Corporation has not adopted a formal policy or program regarding repurchases of its shares of stock. The Corporation contributed 45,000 shares of treasury stock to the ESOP in November of 2010.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

See Five Year Comparison of Selected Financial Data on page 9 of the 2010 Annual Report to Shareholders. That portion of the Annual Report is incorporated by reference into this Form 10-K.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATION

See Management's Discussion and Analysis on pages 44 through 55 of the 2010 Annual Report to Shareholders. That portion of the Annual Report is incorporated by reference into this Form 10-K.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

See Interest Rate Sensitivity and Liquidity section of Management's Discussion and Analysis on pages 52 and 53 of the 2010 Annual Report to Shareholders. That portion of the Annual Report is incorporated by reference into this Form 10-K.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

See Consolidated Balance Sheets on page 10, Consolidated Statements of Income on page 11, Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders Equity on page 12, Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows on page 13, and Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements on pages 14-42 of the 2010 Annual Report to Shareholders. Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm can be found on page 43 of the 2010 Annual Report to Shareholders. Those portions of the Annual Report are incorporated by reference into this Form 10-K. Statistical disclosure by the Corporation includes the following information in the 2010 Annual Report to Shareholders, which is incorporated by

reference into this Form 10-K:

1. Volume/Rate Analysis, on page 46
2. Securities, on page 48.
3. Loan Portfolio, on page 49.
4. Allowance for Loan Losses, on pages 50.
5. Nonperforming Loans, on pages 51.
6. Deposits, on page 52.
7. Consolidated Balance Sheet-Average Balances and Interest Rates, on page 54.

Table of Contents

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this report, we carried out an evaluation (the Evaluation), under the supervision and with the participation of our President and Chief Executive Officer (CEO), who serves as our principal executive officer, and Chief Financial Officer (CFO), who serves as our principal financial officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (Disclosure Controls). Based on the Evaluation, our CEO and CFO concluded that our Disclosure Controls are effective and designed to ensure that the information required to be included in our periodic SEC reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms.

Changes in Internal Controls Over Financial Reporting

There was no change in the Corporation s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Corporation s fourth fiscal quarter of 2010 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Corporation s internal control over financial reporting.

Management s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and Attestation Report of the Registered Public Accounting Firm

See Management s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting on page 44 of the 2010 Annual Report to Shareholders and Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on page 43 of the 2010 Annual Report to Shareholders. That portion of the annual report is incorporated by reference in response to this Item 9A of the Form 10-K.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

The Corporation established the compensation to be paid to Directors for the year 2011 on December 21, 2010. These amounts are set forth on Exhibit 10.3 to this Form 10-K.

The Corporation established the compensation to be paid to Named Executive Officers for the year 2011 on December 21, 2010. These amounts are set forth on Exhibit 10.4 to this Form 10-K.

Effective December 1, 2010, the Corporation and the Bank (collectively, the Employers), entered into a new employment agreement (the Agreement) with Norman L. Lowery, Chief Executive Officer of the Corporation and President and Chief Executive Officer of the Bank. The Agreement supersedes the employment agreement dated March 26, 2010 between the Employers and Mr. Lowery.

Under the terms of the Agreement, the Employers have agreed to employ Mr. Lowery for an initial term of thirty-six (36) months in his current position as Chief Executive Officer of the Corporation and President and Chief Executive Officer of the Bank. The term of the Agreement shall automatically extend for an additional one-year term each year unless the disinterested members of the respective boards of directors of the Employers determine not to extend the Agreement.

Under the Agreement, Mr. Lowery is entitled to an annual base salary of \$500,074.10, which may be increased from time to time as determined by the boards of directors of the Employers, and is entitled to participate in other bonus and fringe benefit plans available to other executive officers or employees of the Employers generally.

Table of Contents

If the Employers terminate Mr. Lowery's employment for just cause, death or disability (as such terms are defined in the Agreement), then Mr. Lowery is entitled to receive the base salary, bonuses, vested rights, and other benefits due him through the date of his termination. Any benefits payable under insurance, health, retirement, bonus, incentive, performance or other plans as a result of his participation in such plans through such date of termination will be paid when and as due under those plans.

If the Employers terminate Mr. Lowery's employment without just cause or if he terminates his employment for good reason, and such termination does not occur within 12 months after a change in control (as such terms are defined in the Agreement), then Mr. Lowery is entitled to receive an amount equal to the sum of his base salary and bonuses through the end of the then-current term of the Agreement. He would also receive cash reimbursements in an amount equal to his cost of obtaining all benefits which he would have been eligible to participate in or receive through the term of the Agreement.

If Mr. Lowery's employment is terminated for other than just cause or is constructively discharged and this occurs within 12 months following a change in control, then Mr. Lowery is entitled to receive an amount equal to the greater of the compensation and benefits described in the previous paragraph if the termination did not occur within 12 months following a change in control; or, the product of 2.99 times the sum of (i) his base salary in effect as of the date of the change in control; (ii) an amount equal to the bonuses received by or payable to him in or for the calendar year prior to the year in which the change in control occurs; and (iii) cash reimbursements in an amount equal to his cost of obtaining for a period of three years, beginning on the date of termination, all benefits which he was eligible to participate in or receive. Mr. Lowery would also be entitled to the payment provided for in this paragraph if a change in control occurs that was not approved by a majority of the board of directors of the Corporation.

If Mr. Lowery qualifies as a key employee (as defined in the Agreement) at the time of his separation from service, then the Corporation may not make certain payments earlier than six months following the date of his separation from service (or, if earlier, the date of his death). In this event, payments to which Mr. Lowery would otherwise be entitled during the first six months following the date of his separation from service will be accumulated and paid to Mr. Lowery on the first day of the seventh month following his separation from service. Mr. Lowery is currently considered a key employee for this purpose.

If, as a result of a change in control, Mr. Lowery becomes entitled to any payments which are determined to be payments subject to Internal Revenue Code Section 280G, then his benefit will be equal to the greater of (i) his benefit under the Agreement reduced to the maximum amount payable such that when it is aggregated with payments and benefits under all other plans and arrangements it will not result in an excess parachute payment under Internal Revenue Code Section 280G, or (ii) his benefit under the Agreement after taking into account the amount of the excise tax imposed under Internal Revenue Code Section 280G due to the benefit payment.

The Agreement also includes standard confidentiality and non-solicit provisions and a non-compete provision pursuant to which Mr. Lowery is prohibited, during his employment and for a period of one year following his termination, from directly or indirectly competing against the Employers within a 30-mile radius of Terre Haute, Indiana.

The foregoing description is a summary only and is qualified in its entirety by the full text of the Agreement, which is filed as an exhibit to this Form 10-K and is incorporated herein by reference.

In the fourth quarter, the Corporation adopted the First Financial Corporation 2010 Long-Term Incentive Compensation Plan (the LTIP) and the First Financial Corporation 2010 Short-Term Incentive Compensation Plan (the STIP) which provide for payment of cash awards to certain key employees, including our named executive officers, provided certain performance goals are met for a performance period under each plan. The performance goals for each plan are based upon weighted factors which are determined by the Compensation Committee based upon its assessment of what is important to the Corporation's and the Bank's overall performance and within the scope of control of the participant. Payouts for earned awards equal 80% of the respective target award for performance at threshold, 100% of the respective target award for performance at target, and 150% or 125% (depending on the participant's position) of the respective target award for maximum performance. Under the LTIP, once an award has been earned, it will vest ratably over a three-year period and will be paid as it becomes vested. Under the STIP, once an award has been earned, it will be paid within 75 days of the date it is earned provided the participant is employed

on that date. The foregoing description is a summary only and is qualified in its entirety by the full text of the plans, which are filed as a exhibits to this Form 10-K and are incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

The Corporation approved the 2011 Short Term Incentive Compensation Plan on November 16, 2010. The Plan provides an opportunity for key employees to earn a cash bonus in 2011 based on the achievement of corporate, business unit, and/or individual performance objectives at threshold, target or maximum levels, as established by the Compensation Committee. The foregoing description is a summary only and is qualified in its entirety by the full text of the Plan, which is filed as an exhibit to this Form 10-K and is incorporated herein by reference.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

In accordance with the provisions of General Instruction G to Form 10-K, the information required for disclosure under Item 10 is not set forth herein because the Corporation intends to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission a definitive Proxy Statement pursuant to Regulation 14A not later than 120 days following the end of its 2010 fiscal year, which Proxy Statement will contain such information. The information required by Item 10 is incorporated herein by reference to such Proxy Statement.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

In accordance with the provisions of General Instruction G to Form 10-K, the information required for disclosure under Item 11 is not set forth herein because the Corporation intends to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission a definitive Proxy Statement pursuant to Regulation 14A not later than 120 days following the end of its 2010 fiscal year, which Proxy Statement will contain such information. The information required by Item 11 is incorporated herein by reference to such Proxy Statement.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS

In accordance with the provisions of General Instruction G to Form 10-K, the information required for disclosure under Item 12 is not set forth herein because the Corporation intends to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission a definitive Proxy Statement pursuant to Regulation 14A not later than 120 days following the end of its 2010 fiscal year, which Proxy Statement will contain such information. The information required by Item 12 is incorporated herein by reference to such Proxy Statement.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

In accordance with the provisions of General Instruction G to Form 10-K, the information required for disclosure under Item 13 is not set forth herein because the Corporation intends to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission a definitive Proxy Statement pursuant to Regulation 14A not later than 120 days following the end of its 2010 fiscal year, which Proxy Statement will contain such information. The information required by Item 13 is incorporated herein by reference to such Proxy Statement.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

In accordance with the provisions of General Instruction G to Form 10-K, the information required for disclosure under Item 14 is not set forth herein because the Corporation intends to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission a definitive Proxy Statement pursuant to Regulation 14A not later than 120 days following the end of its 2010 fiscal year, which Proxy Statement will contain such information. The information required by Item 14 is incorporated herein by reference to such Proxy Statement.

Table of Contents

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a) (1) The following consolidated financial statements of the Registrant and its subsidiaries are included in the 2010 Annual Report to Shareholders of First Financial Corporation attached:

Consolidated Balance Sheets December 31, 2010 and 2009

Consolidated Statements of Income Years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders Equity Years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(2) Schedules to the Consolidated Financial Statements required by Article 9 of Regulation S-X are not required, inapplicable, or the required information has been disclosed elsewhere.

(3) Listing of Exhibits:

Exhibit Number	Description
3.1	Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of First Financial Corporation, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(i) of the Corporation's Form 10-Q filed for the quarter ended September 30, 2002
3.2	Code of By-Laws of First Financial Corporation, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(ii) of the Corporation's Form 8-K filed July 27, 2009
10.1*	Employment Agreement for Norman L. Lowery, dated and effective December 1, 2010.
10.2*	2001 Long-Term Incentive Plan of First Financial Corporation, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Corporation's Form 10-Q filed for the quarter ended September 30, 2002
10.3*	2011 Schedule of Director Compensation
10.4*	2011 Schedule of Named Executive Officer Compensation
10.5*	2005 Long-Term Incentive Plan of First Financial Corporation, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 of the Corporation's Form 8-K filed September 4, 2007.
10.6*	2005 Executives Deferred Compensation Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of the Corporation's Form 8-K filed September 4, 2007.
10.7*	2005 Executives Supplemental Retirement Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of the Corporation's Form 8-K filed September 4, 2007.
10.8*	First Financial Corporation 2010 Short-Term Incentive Compensation Plan.
10.9*	First Financial Corporation 2010 Long-Term Incentive Compensation Plan.
10.10*	First Financial Corporation 2011 Short Term Incentive Compensation Plan
13	Annual Report
21	Subsidiaries
31.1	Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) for Annual Report of Form 10-K by Principal Executive Officer
31.2	Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) for Annual Report of Form 10-K by Principal Financial Officer
32.1	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 of Principal Executive Officer
32.2	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 of Principal Financial Officer

* Indicates management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement required to be filed as an exhibit to this report.

(b) Exhibits-Exhibits to (a) (3) listed above are attached to this report.

(c) Financial Statements Schedules-No schedules are required to be submitted. See response to ITEM 15(a) (2).

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

First Financial Corporation

/s/ Rodger A. McHargue
Rodger A. McHargue, Chief Financial
Officer
(Principal Financial Officer and Principal
Accounting Officer)

Date: March 15, 2011

Table of Contents

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

NAME	DATE
/s/ Donald E. Smith Donald E. Smith, President and Director	March 15, 2011
/s/ Rodger a. McHargue Rodger A. McHargue, Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)	March 15, 2011
/s/ W. Curtis Brighton W. Curtis Brighton, Director	March 15, 2011
/s/ B. Guille Cox, Jr. B. Guille Cox, Jr., Director	March 15, 2011
/s/ Thomas T. Dinkel Thomas T. Dinkel, Director	March 15, 2011
/s/ Anton H. George Anton H. George, Director	March 15, 2011
/s/ Gregory L. Gibson Gregory L. Gibson, Director	March 15, 2011
/s/ Norman L. Lowery Norman L. Lowery, Vice Chairman, CEO & Director (Principal Executive Officer)	March 15, 2011
/s/ Ronald K. Rich Ronald K. Rich, Director	March 15, 2011
/s/ Virginia L. Smith Virginia L. Smith, Director	March 15, 2011
/s/ William J. Voges	March 15, 2011

William J. Voges, Director

/s/ William R. Krieble

March 15, 2011

William R. Krieble, Director

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number	Description
10.1	Employment Agreement for Norman L. Lowery, dated and effective December 1, 2010
10.3	2011 Schedule of Director Compensation
10.4	2011 Schedule of Named Executive Officers Compensation
10.8	First Financial Corporation 2010 Short-Term Incentive Compensation Plan.
10.9	First Financial Corporation 2010 Long-Term Incentive Compensation Plan.
10.10	First Financial Corporation 2011 Short Term Incentive Compensation Plan
13	Annual Report
21	Subsidiaries
31.1	Certification Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) for Annual Report of Form 10-K by Principal Executive Officer
31.2	Certification Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) for Annual Report of Form 10-K by Principal Financial Officer
32.1	Certification Pursuant to Rule 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 of Principal Executive Officer
32.2	Certification Pursuant to Rule 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 of Principal Financial Officer