VECTOR GROUP LTD Form 10-Q November 05, 2010

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-O

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For The Quarterly Period Ended September 30, 2010

VECTOR GROUP LTD.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware 1-5759 65-0949535

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

Commission File Number

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

100 S.E. Second Street Miami, Florida 33131 305/579-8000

(Address, including zip code and telephone number, including area code, of the principal executive offices)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. b Yes o No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). b Yes o No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definition of large accelerated filer, a accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

b Large accelerated o Accelerated o Non-accelerated filer o Smaller reporting filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. o Yes b No

At November 4, 2010, Vector Group Ltd. had 74,906,710 shares of common stock outstanding.

VECTOR GROUP LTD. FORM 10-Q TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	Page
Item 1. Vector Group Ltd. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited):	
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009	2
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and September 30, 2009	3
Condensed Consolidated Statement of Stockholders Deficiency for the nine months ended September 30, 2010	4
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and September 30, 2009	5
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	6
Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	39
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	55
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	55
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	56
Item 1A. Risk Factors	56
Item 6. Exhibits	57
<u>SIGNATURE</u>	58
EX-31.1 EX-31.2 EX-32.1 EX-32.2 EX-99.1 EX-101 INSTANCE DOCUMENT EX-101 SCHEMA DOCUMENT EX-101 CALCULATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT EX-101 LABELS LINKBASE DOCUMENT EX-101 PRESENTATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT EX-101 DEFINITION LINKBASE DOCUMENT EX-101 DEFINITION LINKBASE DOCUMENT	

VECTOR GROUP LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) Unaudited

	Se	eptember 30, 2010	D	31, 2009
ASSETS:				
Current assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	258,564	\$	209,454
Investment securities available for sale		72,120		51,743
Accounts receivable trade		5,975		8,098
Inventories		101,724		98,486
Deferred income taxes		24,149		14,154
Restricted assets		4,763		3,138
Other current assets		3,894		4,135
Total current assets		471,189		389,208
Property, plant and equipment, net		50,227		42,986
Investment in Escena, net		13,407		13,244
Long-term investments accounted for at cost		46,033		50,323
Long-term investments accounted for under the equity method		10,481		
Investments in non-consolidated real estate businesses		57,514		49,566
Investments in townhomes		16,275		
Restricted assets		4,451		4,835
Deferred income taxes		39,627		39,838
Intangible asset		107,511		107,511
Prepaid pension costs		9,723		8,994
Other assets		32,566		29,037
Total assets	\$	859,004	\$	735,542
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS DEFICIENCY:				
Current liabilities:				
Current portion of notes payable and long-term debt	\$	17,254	\$	21,889
Fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt		769		
Current portion of employee benefits		1,029		1,029
Accounts payable		11,858		4,355
Accrued promotional expenses		14,311		12,745
Income taxes payable, net		14,352		19,924
Accrued excise and payroll taxes payable, net		4,850		24,093
Settlement accruals		106,177		18,803
Deferred income taxes		32,957		17,254
Accrued interest		8,021		13,840
Other current liabilities		14,305		15,076

Edgar Filing: VECTOR GROUP LTD - Form 10-Q

Total current liabilities	225,883	149,008
Notes payable, long-term debt and other obligations, less current portion	410,601	334,920
Fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt	139,511	153,016
Non-current employee benefits	35,583	34,247
Deferred income taxes	52,664	45,120
Other liabilities	32,458	23,913
Total liabilities	896,700	740,224
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders deficiency:		
Preferred stock, par value \$1.00 per share, 10,000,000 shares authorized		
Common stock, par value \$0.10 per share, 150,000,000 shares authorized,		
78,317,016 and 74,510,595 shares issued and 74,906,710 and 71,262,684		
shares outstanding	7,491	7,126
Additional paid-in capital		15,928
Accumulated deficit	(27,731)	
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(4,599)	(14,879)
Less: 3,410,306 and 3,247,911 shares of common stock in treasury, at cost	(12,857)	(12,857)
Total stockholders deficiency	(37,696)	(4,682)
Total liabilities and stockholders deficiency	\$ 859,004	\$ 735,542

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

2

Table of Contents

VECTOR GROUP LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) Unaudited

		nths Ended aber 30,	Nine Mon Septem	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Revenues*	\$ 295,124	\$ 236,736	\$ 785,671	\$ 564,746
Expenses: Cost of goods sold*	239,160	177,798	620,065	398,088
Operating, selling, administrative and general expenses Litigation judgment expense	26,088	21,966	69,274 14,361	63,679
Gain on brand transaction Restructuring charges				(5,000) 1,000
Operating income	29,876	36,972	81,971	106,979
Other income (expenses): Interest expense Loss on extinguishment of debt	(21,511)	(16,808)	(61,086)	(49,968) (18,444)
Change in fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt Impairment charges on investments Equity income from non-consolidated real estate	1,660	(6,054)	12,735	(25,845) (8,500)
businesses Gain on sale of investment securities available for	7,060	4,712	18,838	5,528
sale Other, net	708 (257)	51	11,819 2,721	277
Income before provision for income taxes Income tax expense (benefit)	17,536 6,629	18,873 2,654	66,998 24,930	10,027 (1,346)
Net income	\$ 10,907	\$ 16,219	\$ 42,068	\$ 11,373
Per basic common share:				
Net income applicable to common shares	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.15

Per diluted common share:

Net income applicable to common shares	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.15
Cash distributions and dividends declared per share	\$ 0.38	\$ 0.36	\$ 1.14	\$ 1.09

* Revenues and

Cost of goods

sold include

excise taxes of

\$150,413,

\$119,643,

\$396,823 and

\$256,813,

respectively.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

3

VECTOR GROUP LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS DEFICIENCY (Dollars in Thousands, Except Share Amounts) Unaudited

			Additional		ated		
	Common Shares	Stock Amount	Paid-In Capital	Accumulated Deficit	Comprehe Loss	nsive Treasury Stock	Total
Balance, December 31, 2009	71,262,684	\$ 7,126	\$ 15,928	\$	\$ (14,3	379) \$(12,857)	\$ (4,682)
Net income Pension-related minimum liability adjustments, net of				42,068			42,068
adjustments, net of income taxes Forward contract adjustments, net of					1,4	458	1,458
income taxes Unrealized loss on long-term investments accounted for under						27	27
the equity method, net of income taxes Change in net unrealized gain on					(120)	(120)
investment securities, net of income taxes Net unrealized gains reclassified into net					15,:	515	15,515
income, net of income taxes					(6,0	600)	(6,600)
Unrealized gain on investment securities, net of income taxes					8,9	915	8,915
Total other comprehensive income							10,280
Total comprehensive income							52,348

Edgar Filing: VECTOR GROUP LTD - Form 10-Q

Tax benefit of options exercised			12	1					121
Distributions and									
dividends on									
common stock			(18,06	5)	(69,442)				(87,507)
Effect of stock									
dividend	3,567,023	357			(357)				
Restricted stock									
grants	50,000	5	(5)					
Exercise of stock									
options	78,944	8	97	3					981
Surrender of shares									
in connection with									
restricted stock									
vesting	(51,941)	(5)	(1,03	5)					(1,040)
Amortization of									
deferred									
compensation			2,08	3					2,083
D 1									
Balance,	74.006.710	¢ 7.401	Φ	ф	(07.721)	Ф	(4.500)	¢ (12.057)	¢ (27 (06)
September 30, 2010	74,906,710	\$ 7,491	\$	\$	(27,731)	\$	(4,599)	\$ (12,857)	\$ (37,696)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

4

VECTOR GROUP LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) Unaudited

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009	
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 101,063	\$ 9,334	
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Sale or maturity of investment securities	16,140		
Purchase of investment securities	(9,394)	(12,300)	
Proceeds from sale or liquidation of long-term investments	1,106	1,407	
Purchase of long-term investments	(5,062)	(51)	
Investments in non-consolidated real estate businesses	(1,533)	(467)	
Purchase of Aberdeen mortgages	(13,462)		
Distributions from non-consolidated real estate businesses	3,539	5,548	
Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance policies	(918)	(839)	
Decrease in non-current restricted assets	384	1,969	
Issuance of notes receivable	(720)		
Investment in joint venture	(2,500)		
Cash acquired in Aberdeen consolidation	473		
Proceeds from sale of fixed assets	187		
Capital expenditures	(15,730)	(3,005)	
Net cash used in investing activities	(27,490)	(7,738)	
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Proceeds from debt issuance	89,373	118,782	
Deferred financing costs	(2,582)	(5,573)	
Repayments of debt	(7,175)	(4,516)	
Borrowings under revolver	732,708	526,949	
Repayments on revolver	(750,091)	(530,766)	
Dividends and distributions on common stock	(87,797)	(87,451)	
Proceeds from exercise of Vector options and warrants	980	398	
Excess tax benefit of options exercised	121	6,944	
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(24,463)	24,767	
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	49,110	26,363	
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	209,454	211,105	
	,	, -	

Cash and cash equivalents, end of period

\$ 258,564

\$ 237,468

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

5

Table of Contents

VECTOR GROUP LTD. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)

Unaudited

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

(a) Basis of Presentation:

The condensed consolidated financial statements of Vector Group Ltd. (the Company or Vector) include the accounts of VGR Holding LLC (VGR Holding), Liggett Group LLC (Liggett), Vector Tobacco Inc. (Vector Tobacco), Liggett Vector Brands Inc. (Liggett Vector Brands), New Valley LLC (New Valley) and other less significant subsidiaries. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

Liggett is engaged in the manufacture and sale of cigarettes in the United States. Vector Tobacco is engaged in research related to reduced risk cigarette products and the sale of cigarettes in the United States. New Valley is engaged in the real estate business and is seeking to acquire additional operating companies and real estate properties.

The interim condensed consolidated financial statements of the Company are unaudited and, in the opinion of management, reflect all adjustments necessary (which are normal and recurring) to state fairly the Company s consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows. These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The consolidated results of operations for interim periods should not be regarded as necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the entire year.

Certain reclassifications have been made to the 2009 financial information to conform to the 2010 presentation.

(b) Distributions and Dividends on Common Stock:

The Company records distributions on its common stock as dividends in its condensed consolidated statement of stockholders—equity to the extent of retained earnings and accumulated paid-in capital. Any amounts exceeding retained earnings are recorded as a reduction to additional paid-in capital. Any amounts then exceeding accumulated paid-in capital are recorded as an increase to accumulated deficit.

(c) Earnings Per Share (EPS):

Information concerning the Company s common stock has been adjusted to give retroactive effect to the 5% stock dividend paid to Company stockholders on September 29, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The dividends were recorded at par value of \$357 and \$333 since the Company did not have retained earnings at September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. All per share amounts have been updated to reflect the retrospective effect of the stock dividends.

Net income for purposes of determining basic EPS was as follows:

	Three Mor Septem		Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2010	2009	2010	2009	
Net income	\$ 10,907	\$ 16,219	\$ 42,068	\$11,373	
Income attributable to participating securities	(228)	(740)	(897)	(519)	
Net income available to common stockholders	\$ 10,679	\$ 15,479	\$41,171	\$ 10,854	

VECTOR GROUP LTD. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) Unaudited

Net income for purposes of determining diluted EPS was as follows:

	Three Mor	nths Ended	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	Septem	ber 30,		
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Net income	\$ 10,907	\$ 16,219	\$42,068	\$11,373
Expense attributable to 6.75% Variable Interest Senior				
Convertible Exchange Notes			3,770	
Expense attributable to 6.75% Variable Interest Senior				
Convertible Note			2,009	
Expense attributable to 5% Convertible Debentures		13		
Income attributable to participating securities	(228)	(741)	(1,020)	(519)
Net income available to common stockholders	\$ 10,679	\$ 15,491	\$46,827	\$ 10,854

Basic and diluted EPS were calculated using the following shares:

	Three Mon Septem		Nine Mon Septem	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Weighted-average shares for basic EPS	74,350,806	72,693,939	74,301,086	72,600,680
Plus incremental shares related to stock options and non-vested restricted stock	463,939	131,577	284,283	63,331
Plus incremental shares related to convertible debt		63,192	10,613,370	
Weighted-average shares for fully diluted EPS	74,814,745	72,888,708	85,198,739	72,664,011

The following stock options, non-vested restricted stock and shares issuable upon the conversion of convertible debt were outstanding during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 but were not included in the computation of diluted EPS.

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months En September 30				
		2010	2009		2010		2009
Number of stock options		260,319	534,914		639,905		573,813
Weighted-average exercise price	\$	21.03	\$ 17.88	\$	17.00	\$	16.99

Weighted-average shares of non-vested restricted stock				186,673		21,346		206,628
Weighted-average expense per share		N/A	\$	15.49	\$	16.02	\$	15.48
Weighted-average number of shares issuable upon conversion of debt	17,	143,180	17	,167,799	6,	529,810	15	,768,989
Weighted-average conversion price	\$	15.61	\$	15.60	\$	16.85	\$	15.51
		7						

Table of Contents

VECTOR GROUP LTD. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) Unaudited

(d) Comprehensive Income:

Other comprehensive income is a component of stockholders—equity and includes such items as the unrealized gains and losses on investment securities available for sale, forward foreign contracts and minimum pension liability adjustments. The Company—s comprehensive income was \$10,885 and \$52,348 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. The Company—s comprehensive income was \$27,199 and \$22,104 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, respectively.

(e) Fair Value of Derivatives Embedded within Convertible Debt:

The range of estimated fair market values of the Company s embedded derivatives was between \$143,129 and \$137,537. The Company recorded the fair market value of its embedded derivatives at the midpoint of the inputs at \$140,280 as of September 30, 2010. The estimated fair market value of the Company s embedded derivatives could change significantly based on future market conditions. (See Note 4.)

(f) New Accounting Pronouncements:

In June 2009, the FASB issued an amendment to the accounting and disclosure requirements for transfers of financial assets. The guidance requires additional disclosures for transfers of financial assets and changes the requirements for derecognizing financial assets. The Company adopted this guidance for interim and annual reporting periods beginning on January 1, 2010. The adoption of this guidance did not impact the Company s condensed consolidated financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued an amendment to the accounting and disclosure requirements for the consolidation of variable interest entities. The amended guidance eliminates exceptions to consolidating qualifying special purpose entities, contains new criteria for determining the primary beneficiary, and increases the frequency of required reassessments to determine whether a company is the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity. This guidance also contains a new requirement that any term, transaction, or arrangement that does not have a substantive effect on an entity s status as a variable interest entity, a company s power over a variable interest entity, or a company s obligation to absorb losses or its right to receive benefits of an entity must be disregarded. The elimination of the qualifying special-purpose entity concept and its consolidation exception means more entities will be subject to consolidation assessments and reassessments. The Company adopted this guidance for interim and annual reporting periods beginning on January 1, 2010. The adoption of this guidance did not impact the Company s condensed consolidated financial statements.

In January 2010, the FASB issued authoritative guidance intended to improve disclosure about fair value measurements. The guidance requires entities to disclose significant transfers in and out of fair value hierarchy levels and the reasons for the transfers and to present information about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements separately in the reconciliation of fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3). Additionally, the guidance clarifies that a reporting entity should provide fair value measurements for each class of assets and liabilities and disclose the inputs and valuation techniques used for fair value measurements using significant other observable inputs (Level 2) and significant unobservable inputs (Level 3). This guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2009 except for the disclosure about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements in the Level 3 reconciliation, which will be effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2010. The Company adopted this authoritative guidance, with the exception of the disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements in the Level 3 reconciliation. The adoption of this guidance did not impact the Company s 2010 condensed consolidated financial statements. The remaining disclosures will be added to the Company s future filings when applicable.

8

VECTOR GROUP LTD. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) Unaudited

2. INVENTORIES

Inventories consist of:

	September	Γ	December	
	30, 2010		31, 2009	
Leaf tobacco	\$ 48,874	\$	48,942	
Other raw materials	3,908		3,497	
Work-in-process	285		2,388	
Finished goods	68,007		59,294	
Inventories at current cost	121,074	i.	114,121	
LIFO adjustments	(19,350)	(15,635)	
	\$ 101,724	\$	98,486	

The Company has a leaf inventory management program whereby, among other things, it is committed to purchase certain quantities of leaf tobacco. The purchase commitments are for quantities not in excess of anticipated requirements and are at prices, including carrying costs, established at the commitment date. At September 30, 2010, Liggett had leaf tobacco purchase commitments of approximately \$36,325.

All of the Company s inventories at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 have been reported under the LIFO method.

3. LONG-TERM INVESTMENTS

Long-term investments accounted at cost consist of the following:

	September 30, 2010		December 31, 2009	
	Carrying	Fair	Carrying	Fair
	Value	Value	Value	Value
Investment partnerships	\$ 45,134	\$ 67,893	\$49,486	\$ 68,679
Real estate partnership	899	1,080	837	1,261
	\$46,033	\$ 68,973	\$ 50,323	\$ 69,940

The fair value determination disclosed above would be classified as Level 3 under the fair value hierarchy disclosed in Note 8 if such assets were recorded on the condensed consolidated balance sheet at fair value. The fair values were determined on unobservable inputs and were based on company assumptions, and information obtained from the partnerships based on the indicated market values of the underlying assets or investment portfolio.

The changes in the fair value of the long-term investments accounted for at cost as of September 30, 2010 and 2009 were as follows:

201	0	2009

Balance as of January 1 \$69,940 \$54,997 Unrealized gain (loss) on long-term investments 1,018 (357)

9

VECTOR GROUP LTD. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) <u>Unaudited</u>

	2010	2009
Balance as of March 31	70,958	54,640
Revision for partnership now accounted for under the equity method	(5,790)	
Distributions	(1,002)	
Unrealized (loss) gain on long-term investments	(2,090)	8,432
Balance as of June 30	62,076	63,072
Contributions	62	52
Unrealized gain on long-term investments	6,835	6,863
Balance as of September 30	\$ 68,973	\$ 69,987

Long-term investments accounted for under the equity method:

The Company recorded an equity loss of \$436 and equity income of \$2,334 related to a limited partnership for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010. Included in this amount was the impact of an error identified by the Company, which resulted in an out-of-period adjustment of approximately \$1,650 (approximately \$980 after taxes) for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The error occurred because the Company s ownership in the limited partnership increased from a nominal percentage to more than 10% during the fourth quarter of 2008 (due to significant withdrawals from other partners); thus, the Company s investment should have been accounted for under the equity method for all previous periods in which the investment was held. The Company assessed the materiality of this error on all previously issued financial statements in accordance with the SEC s Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 99 and concluded that the error was immaterial to all previously issued financial statements. The impact of correcting this error in the current year is not expected to be material to the Company s 2010 consolidated financial statements. This adjustment was recognized within other income in the consolidated statements of operations. The carrying value of this investment was approximately \$10,500 as of September 30, 2010 which approximated its fair value because the underlying securities are classified as available for sale.

In the future, the Company may invest in other investments, including limited partnerships, real estate investments, equity securities, debt securities, derivatives and certificates of deposit, depending on risk factors and potential rates of return.

4. NOTES PAYABLE, LONG-TERM DEBT AND OTHER OBLIGATIONS

Notes payable, long-term debt and other obligations consist of:

	S	eptember 30, 2010	Γ	December 31, 2009
Vector: 11% Senior Secured Notes due 2015, net of unamortized discount of \$3,560				
and \$4,849	\$	321,440	\$	245,151
6.75% Variable Interest Senior Convertible Note due 2014, net of unamortized discount of \$38,786 and \$39,755*		11.214		10.245

6.75% Variable Interest Senior Convertible Exchange Notes due 2014, net of		
unamortized discount of \$66,172 and \$69,749*	41,358	37,781
3.875% Variable Interest Senior Convertible Debentures due 2026, net of		
unamortized discount of \$83,192 and \$83,589*	26,808	26,411
Liggett:		
Revolving credit facility		17,382
Term loan under credit facility	6,355	6,755
10		

VECTOR GROUP LTD. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) Unaudited

	Se	eptember 30, 2010	De	ecember 31, 2009
Equipment loans		13,635		4,852
V.T. Aviation: Note payable		2,810		3,882
VGR Aviation: Note payable		3,406		3,687
Other		829		663
Total notes payable, long-term debt and other obligations		427,855		356,809
Less: Current maturities		(17,254)		(21,889)
Amount due after one year	\$	410,601	\$	334,920

* The fair value of

the derivatives

embedded

within the

6.75% Variable

Interest

Convertible

Note (\$20,704

at

September 30,

2010 and

\$23,890 at

December 31,

2009,

respectively),

the 6.75%

Variable Interest

Senior

Convertible

Exchange Notes

(\$39,242 at

September 30,

2010 and

\$47,552 at December 31, 2009, respectively),

and the 3.875%

Variable Interest

Senior

Convertible

Debentures

(\$80,334 at

September 30,

2010 and

\$81.574 at

December 31,

2009,

respectively) is

separately

classified as a

derivative

liability in the

condensed

consolidated

balance sheets.

Revolving Credit Facility Liggett:

Liggett has a \$50,000 credit facility with Wachovia Bank, N.A. (Wachovia), none of which was outstanding at September 30, 2010. Availability as determined under the facility was approximately \$36,000 based on eligible collateral at September 30, 2010. On November 1, 2010, Liggett and Wachovia entered into the credit facility s Seventh Amendment to permit Liggett to incur Capital Expenditures (as defined in the credit facility) of up to \$33,000 solely for the fiscal year ended 2010. The Seventh Amendment is effective as of August 31, 2010.

11% Senior Secured Notes due 2015 Vector:

In September 2009, the Company sold an additional \$85,000 principal amount of its 11% Senior Secured Notes due 2015 (the Senior Secured Notes) at 94% of face value in a private offering to qualified institutional investors in accordance with Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933.

In April 2010, the Company sold another \$75,000 principal amount of the Senior Secured Notes at 101% of face value in a private offering to qualified institutional investors in accordance with Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933. The Company will amortize the deferred costs and debt premium related to the additional Senior Secured Notes over the estimated life of the debt. The Company received net proceeds from the 2010 offering of approximately \$73,500.

In June 2010, the Company completed an offer to exchange the Senior Secured Notes issued in September 2009 and April 2010 for an equal amount of newly issued 11% Senior Secured Notes due 2015. The new Senior Secured Notes have substantially the same terms as the original notes, except that the new Senior Secured Notes have been registered under the Securities Act.

Equipment Loans Liggett:

Between March and September 2010, in connection with the purchase of equipment, Liggett entered into six financing agreements for a total of \$7,961, payable in 60 installments totaling \$154. The weighted average interest rate is 5.94% per annum and the interest rate on the various notes ranges between 5.84% and 6.13% per annum. In May 2010, Liggett purchased equipment for \$2,467 through an additional financing agreement, payable in 30 installments of \$27 and then a final installment of \$1,880. Interest is calculated at 2.59% per annum. In August 2010, Liggett also refinanced \$3,575 of debt related to previous equipment purchases. The refinanced debt has an interest rate of 5.95% and is payable in 36 installments totaling \$109. Each of these equipment loans is collateralized by the purchased equipment.

Non-cash Interest Expense Vector:

Components of non-cash interest expense is as follows:

		Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
		2010	2009	2010	2009
Amortization of debt discount		\$ 2,033	\$ 1,414	\$ 5,481	\$ 6,991
Amortization of deferred finance costs		1,276	961	3,418	2,913
		\$ 3,309	\$ 2,375	\$ 8,899	\$ 9,904
	11				

Table of Contents

VECTOR GROUP LTD. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) Unaudited

Fair Value of Notes Payable and Long-term Debt:

	Septembe	er 30, 2010	December 31, 2009		
	Carrying	Fair	Carrying	Fair	
	Value	Value	Value	Value	
Notes payable and long-term debt	\$427,855	\$709,944	\$356,809	\$573,439	

5. **CONTINGENCIES**

Tobacco-Related Litigation:

Overview

Since 1954, Liggett and other United States cigarette manufacturers have been named as defendants in numerous direct, third-party and purported class actions predicated on the theory that cigarette manufacturers should be liable for damages alleged to have been caused by cigarette smoking or by exposure to secondary smoke from cigarettes. New cases continue to be commenced against Liggett and other cigarette manufacturers. The cases generally fall into the following categories: (i) smoking and health cases alleging personal injury brought on behalf of individual plaintiffs (Individual Actions); (ii) smoking and health cases primarily alleging personal injury or seeking court-supervised programs for ongoing medical monitoring, as well as cases alleging the use of the terms lights and/or ultra lights constitutes a deceptive and unfair trade practice, common law fraud or violation of federal law, purporting to be brought on behalf of a class of individual plaintiffs (Class Actions); and (iii) health care cost recovery actions brought by various foreign and domestic governmental plaintiffs and non-governmental plaintiffs seeking reimbursement for health care expenditures allegedly caused by cigarette smoking and/or disgorgement of profits (Health Care Cost Recovery Actions). As new cases are commenced, the costs associated with defending these cases and the risks relating to the inherent unpredictability of litigation continue to increase. The future financial impact of the risks and expenses of litigation and the effects of the tobacco litigation settlements discussed below are not quantifiable at this time. For the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, Liggett incurred legal expenses and other litigation costs totaling approximately \$4,792 and \$1,326, respectively. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, Liggett incurred legal expenses and other litigation costs totaling approximately \$22,418 (which includes payment of the \$14,361 Lukacs judgment discussed below) and \$4,286, respectively.

Litigation is subject to uncertainty and it is possible that there could be adverse developments in pending or future cases. An unfavorable outcome or settlement of pending tobacco-related or other litigation could encourage the commencement of additional litigation. Damages claimed in some tobacco-related or other litigation are or can be significant.

Although Liggett has been able to obtain required bonds or relief from bonding requirements in order to prevent plaintiffs from seeking to collect judgments while adverse verdicts are on appeal, there remains a risk that such relief may not be obtainable in all cases. This risk has been reduced given that a majority of states now limit the dollar amount of bonds or require no bond at all. Liggett has secured approximately \$3,826 in bonds as of September 30, 2010.

In June 2009, Florida amended its existing bond cap statute by adding a \$200,000 bond cap that applies to all *Engle* progeny cases (defined below) in the aggregate and establishes individual bond caps for individual *Engle* progeny cases in amounts that vary depending on the number of judgments in effect at a given time. The legislation applies to judgments entered after the effective date and remains in effect until December 31, 2012.

12

Table of Contents

VECTOR GROUP LTD. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) Unaudited

Certain plaintiffs have challenged the constitutionality of the bond cap statute. Although the Company cannot predict the outcome of such challenges, it is possible that the Company s financial position, results of operations, or cash flows could be materially affected by an unfavorable outcome of such challenges.

The Company and its subsidiaries record provisions in their consolidated financial statements for pending litigation when they determine that an unfavorable outcome is probable and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated. At the present time, while it is reasonably possible that an unfavorable outcome in a case may occur: (i) management has concluded that it is not probable that a loss has been incurred in any of the pending tobacco-related cases; or (ii) management is unable to estimate the possible loss or range of loss that could result from an unfavorable outcome of any of the pending tobacco-related cases and, therefore, management has not provided any amounts in the consolidated financial statements for unfavorable outcomes, if any. Liggett believes, and has been so advised by counsel, that it has valid defenses to the litigation pending against it, as well as valid bases for appeal of adverse verdicts. All such cases are, and will continue to be vigorously defended. However, Liggett may enter into settlement discussions in particular cases if it believes it is in its best interest to do so.

Individual Actions

As of September 30, 2010, there were 36 individual cases pending against Liggett and/or the Company, where one or more individual plaintiffs allege injury resulting from cigarette smoking, addiction to cigarette smoking or exposure to secondary smoke and seek compensatory and, in some cases, punitive damages. In addition, there were approximately 7,031 *Engle* progeny cases pending against Liggett and the Company, in state and federal courts in Florida, and approximately 100 individual cases pending in West Virginia state court as part of a consolidated action. The following table lists the number of individual cases by state that are pending against Liggett or its affiliates as of September 30, 2010 (excluding *Engle* progeny cases in Florida and the consolidated cases in West Virginia):

	Number
State	of Cases
Florida	15
New York	9
Louisiana	5
Maryland	3
West Virginia	2
Missouri	1
Ohio	1

Liggett Only Cases. There are currently six cases pending where Liggett is the only tobacco company defendant. Cases where Liggett is the only defendant could increase substantially as a result of the Engle progeny cases. In February 2009, in Ferlanti v. Liggett Group, a Florida state court jury awarded compensatory damages of \$1,200 as well as \$96 in expenses, but found that the plaintiff was 40% at fault. Therefore, plaintiff s award was reduced to \$720 in compensatory damages. Punitive damages were not awarded. Liggett appealed the award. In September 2010, the court awarded plaintiff s attorneys fees of \$996. In Hausrath v. Philip Morris, a case pending in New York state court, where two individuals are suing, plaintiffs dismissed all defendants other than Liggett. There has been no recent activity in the case. In Blitch v. Liggett Group, an Engle progeny case, trial is scheduled for March 2011. The other three individual actions, in which Liggett is the only tobacco company defendant, are dormant. In Davis v. Liggett Group, another Liggett only case, judgment was entered against Liggett in the

amount of \$540 plus attorneys fees. The judgment was paid by Liggett and this matter is concluded.

The plaintiffs allegations of liability in cases in which individuals seek recovery for injuries allegedly caused by cigarette smoking are based on various theories of recovery, including negligence, gross negligence, breach of special duty, strict liability, fraud, concealment, misrepresentation, design defect, failure to warn, breach of express and implied warranties, conspiracy, aiding and abetting, concert of action, unjust enrichment, common law public nuisance, property damage, invasion of privacy, mental anguish, emotional distress, disability, shock, indemnity and violations of deceptive trade practice laws, the federal Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt

13

Table of Contents

VECTOR GROUP LTD. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) Unaudited

Organizations Act (RICO), state RICO statutes and antitrust statutes. In many of these cases, in addition to compensatory damages, plaintiffs also seek other forms of relief including treble/multiple damages, medical monitoring, disgorgement of profits and punitive damages. Although alleged damages often are not determinable from a complaint, and the law governing the pleading and calculation of damages varies from state to state and jurisdiction to jurisdiction, compensatory and punitive damages have been specifically pleaded in a number of cases, sometimes in amounts ranging into the hundreds of millions and even billions of dollars.

Defenses raised in individual cases include lack of proximate cause, assumption of the risk, comparative fault and/or contributory negligence, lack of design defect, statute of limitations, equitable defenses such as unclean hands and lack of benefit, failure to state a claim and federal preemption.

In addition to several adverse verdicts against Liggett, jury awards in individual cases have also been returned against other cigarette manufacturers in recent years. The awards in these individual actions, often in excess of millions of dollars, may be for both compensatory and punitive damages. There are several significant jury awards against other cigarette manufacturers which are currently on appeal and several awards which are final and have been paid.

Engle Progeny Cases. In 2000, a jury in Engle v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co. rendered a \$145,000,000 punitive damages verdict in favor of a Florida Class against certain cigarette manufacturers, including Liggett. Pursuant to the Florida Supreme Court s July 2006 ruling in Engle, which decertified the class on a prospective basis, and affirmed the appellate court s reversal of the punitive damages award, former class members had one year from January 11, 2007 in which to file individual lawsuits. In addition, some individuals who filed suit prior to January 11, 2007, and who claim they meet the conditions in *Engle*, are attempting to avail themselves of the Engle ruling. Lawsuits by individuals requesting the benefit of the Engle ruling, whether filed before or after the January 11, 2007 deadline, are referred to as the Engle progeny cases. Liggett and the Company have been named in approximately 7,031 Engle progeny cases in both federal (3,862 cases) and state (3,169 cases) courts in Florida. Other cigarette manufacturers have also been named as defendants in these cases, although as a case proceeds, one or more defendants may ultimately be dismissed from the action. These cases include approximately 8,172 plaintiffs, approximately 3,862 of whom have claims pending in federal court and 4,970 state court. Duplicate cases were filed in federal and state court on behalf of approximately 660 plaintiffs. The number of state court Engle progeny cases will likely increase as the courts may require multi-plaintiff cases to be severed into individual cases. The total number of plaintiffs may also increase as a result of attempts by existing plaintiffs to add additional parties.

As of October 31, 2010, in addition to the *Lukacs* case described below, the following *Engle* progeny cases have resulted in judgments against Liggett:

Date	Case Name	County	Compensatory Damages Against Liggett	Punitive Damages Against Liggett
August 2009	Campbell v. R.J. Reynolds	Escambia	\$ 156	None
March 2010	Douglas v. R.J. Reynolds	Hillsborough	\$ 1,350	None

April 2010 *Clay v. R.J. Reynolds* Escambia \$ 349 \$ 1,000

April 2010 Putney v. R.J. Reynolds Broward \$ 3,008 None

These judgments are currently on appeal or will be appealed. As of September 30, 2010, there were 38 *Engle* progeny cases scheduled for trial in 2010 and 2011, where Liggett and the Company are named defendants. For further information on the *Engle* case and on *Engle* progeny cases, see *Class Actions Engle Case*, below.

Lukacs Case. In June 2002, the jury in a Florida state court action entitled Lukacs v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co., awarded \$37,500 in compensatory damages, jointly and severally, in a case involving Liggett and two other cigarette manufacturers, which amount was subsequently reduced by the court. The jury found Liggett 50%

14

Table of Contents

VECTOR GROUP LTD. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) Unaudited

responsible for the damages incurred by the plaintiff. The *Lukacs* case was the first case to be tried as an individual *Engle* progeny case, but was tried almost five years prior to the Florida Supreme Court s final decision in *Engle*. In November 2008, the court entered final judgment in the amount of \$24,835 (for which Liggett was 50% responsible), plus interest from June 2002. Plaintiff filed a motion seeking an award of attorneys fees from Liggett based on plaintiff s prior proposal for settlement. In March 2010, the Third District Court of Appeal affirmed the decision, *per curiam*. In June 2010, Liggett paid its share of the judgment and settled claims for attorneys fees and accrued interest for a total payment of approximately \$14,361. *Class Actions*

As of September 30, 2010, there were six actions pending for which either a class had been certified or plaintiffs were seeking class certification, where Liggett is a named defendant, including one alleged price fixing case. Other cigarette manufacturers are also named in these actions.

Plaintiffs allegations of liability in class action cases are based on various theories of recovery, including negligence, gross negligence, strict liability, fraud, misrepresentation, design defect, failure to warn, nuisance, breach of express and implied warranties, breach of special duty, conspiracy, concert of action, violation of deceptive trade practice laws and consumer protection statutes and claims under the federal and state anti-racketeering statutes. Plaintiffs in the class actions seek various forms of relief, including compensatory and punitive damages, treble/multiple damages and other statutory damages and penalties, creation of medical monitoring and smoking cessation funds, disgorgement of profits, and injunctive and equitable relief.

Defenses raised in these cases include, among others, lack of proximate cause, individual issues predominate, assumption of the risk, comparative fault and/or contributory negligence, statute of limitations and federal preemption.

Engle Case. In May 1994, Engle was filed against Liggett and others in Miami-Dade County, Florida. The class consisted of all Florida residents who, by November 21, 1996, have suffered, presently suffer or have died from diseases and medical conditions caused by their addiction to cigarette smoking. In July 1999, after the conclusion of Phase I of the trial, the jury returned a verdict against Liggett and other cigarette manufacturers on certain issues determined by the trial court to be common to the causes of action of the plaintiff class. The jury made several findings adverse to the defendants including that defendants conduct rose to a level that would permit a potential award or entitlement to punitive damages. Phase II of the trial was a causation and damages trial for three of the class plaintiffs and a punitive damages trial on a class-wide basis, before the same jury that returned the verdict in Phase I. In April 2000, the jury awarded compensatory damages of \$12,704 to the three class plaintiffs, to be reduced in proportion to the respective plaintiff s fault. In July 2000, the jury awarded approximately \$145,000,000 in punitive damages, including \$790,000 against Liggett.

15

Table of Contents

VECTOR GROUP LTD. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) Unaudited

In May 2003, Florida s Third District Court of Appeal reversed the trial court and remanded the case with instructions to decertify the class. The judgment in favor of one of the three class plaintiffs, in the amount of \$5,831, was overturned as time barred and the court found that Liggett was not liable to the other two class plaintiffs.

In July 2006, the Florida Supreme Court affirmed the decision vacating the punitive damages award and held that the class should be decertified prospectively, but determined that the following Phase I findings are entitled to res judicata effect in Engle progeny cases: (i) that smoking causes lung cancer, among other diseases; (ii) that nicotine in cigarettes is addictive; (iii) that defendants placed cigarettes on the market that were defective and unreasonably dangerous; (iv) that defendants concealed material information knowing that the information was false or misleading or failed to disclose a material fact concerning the health effects or addictive nature of smoking; (v) that defendants agreed to conceal or omit information regarding the health effects of cigarettes or their addictive nature with the intention that smokers would rely on the information to their detriment; (vi) that defendants sold or supplied cigarettes that were defective; and (vii) that defendants were negligent. The Florida Supreme Court decision also allowed former class members to proceed to trial on individual liability issues (using the above findings) and compensatory and punitive damage issues, provided they filed their individual lawsuits by January 2008. In December 2006, the Florida Supreme Court added the finding that defendants sold or supplied cigarettes that, at the time of sale or supply, did not conform to the representations made by defendants. In October 2007, the United States Supreme Court denied defendants petition for writ of certiorari. As a result of the Engle decision, approximately 8,172 former Engle class members have claims pending against the Company and Liggett and other cigarette manufacturers.

Three federal district courts (in the *Merlob*, *Brown* and *Burr* cases) ruled that the findings in Phase I of the *Engle* proceedings could not be used to satisfy elements of plaintiffs—claims, and two of those rulings (*Brown* and *Burr*) were certified by the trial court for interlocutory review. The certification was granted by the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit and the appeals were consolidated (in February 2009, the appeal in *Burr* was dismissed for lack of prosecution). In July 2010, the Eleventh Circuit ruled that plaintiffs do not have an unlimited right to use the findings from the original *Engle* trial to meet their burden of establishing the elements of their claims at trial. Rather, plaintiffs may only use the findings to establish specific facts that they demonstrate with a reasonable degree of certainty were actually decided by the original *Engle* jury. The Eleventh Circuit remanded the case to the district court to determine what specific factual findings the *Engle* jury actually made.

Other Class Actions. In Smith v. Philip Morris, a Kansas state court case filed in February 2000, plaintiffs allege that cigarette manufacturers conspired to fix cigarette prices in violation of antitrust laws. Plaintiffs seek to recover an unspecified amount in actual and punitive damages. Class certification was granted in November 2001. Discovery is ongoing.

Class action suits have been filed in a number of states against cigarette manufacturers, alleging, among other things, that use of the terms light and ultra light constitutes unfair and deceptive trade practices, among other things. In December 2008, the United States Supreme Court, in *Altria Group v. Good*, ruled that the Federal Cigarette Labeling and Advertising Act did not preempt the state law claims asserted by the plaintiffs and that they could proceed with their claims under the Maine Unfair Trade Practices Act. This ruling has resulted in the filing of additional lights class action cases in other states against other cigarette manufacturers. Although Liggett was not a defendant in the *Good* case, and is not a defendant in a substantial majority of other lights class actions, an adverse ruling or commencement of additional lights related class actions could have a material adverse effect

on the Company.

In November 1997, in *Young v. American Tobacco Co.*, a purported personal injury class action was commenced on behalf of plaintiff and all similarly situated residents in Louisiana who, though not themselves cigarette smokers, are alleged to have been exposed to secondhand smoke from cigarettes which were manufactured by the defendants, and who suffered injury as a result of that exposure. The plaintiffs seek to recover an unspecified amount of compensatory and punitive damages. In October 2004, the trial court stayed this case pending the outcome of the appeal in *Scott v. American Tobacco Co.* (see discussion below).

16

Table of Contents

VECTOR GROUP LTD.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) <u>Unaudited</u>

In June 1998, in *Cleary v. Philip Morris*, a putative class action was brought in Illinois state court on behalf of persons who were allegedly injured by: (i) defendants purported conspiracy to conceal material facts regarding the addictive nature of nicotine; (ii) defendants alleged acts of targeting their advertising and marketing to minors; and (iii) defendants claimed breach of the public s right to defendants compliance with laws prohibiting the distribution of cigarettes to minors. Plaintiffs sought disgorgement of all profits unjustly received through defendants sale of cigarettes to plaintiffs and the class. In March 2009, plaintiffs filed a third amended complaint adding, among other things, allegations regarding defendants sale of lights cigarettes. The case was then removed to federal court on the basis of this new claim. In November 2009, plaintiffs filed a revised motion for class certification as to the three proposed classes, which motion was denied by the court. In February 2010, the court granted summary judgment in favor of defendants as to all claims, other than a lights claim involving another cigarette manufacturer. The court granted leave to the plaintiffs to reinstate the motion as to the addiction claims. Plaintiffs filed a Fourth Amended Complaint in an attempt to resurrect their addiction claims. In June 2010, the court granted defendants motion to dismiss the Fourth Amended Complaint and in July 2010, the court denied plaintiffs motion for reconsideration. Plaintiffs appealed to the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit in August 2010.

In April 2001, in Brown v. Philip Morris USA, a California state court granted in part plaintiffs motion for class certification and certified a class comprised of adult residents of California who smoked at least one of defendants cigarettes during the applicable time period and who were exposed to defendants marketing and advertising activities in California. In March 2005, the court granted defendants motion to decertify the class based on a recent change in California law. In June 2009, the California Supreme Court reversed and remanded the case to the trial court for further proceedings regarding whether the class representatives have, or can, demonstrate standing. In August 2009, the California Supreme Court denied defendants rehearing petition and issued its mandate. In September 2009, plaintiffs sought reconsideration of the court s September 2004 order finding that plaintiffs allegations regarding lights cigarettes are preempted by federal law, in light of the recent United States Supreme Court decision in Altria Group v. Good. In March 2010, the trial court granted reconsideration of its September 2004 order granting partial summary judgment to defendants with respect to lights claims on the basis of judicial decisions issued since its order was issued, including the United plaintiffs States Supreme Court s ruling in *Altria v Good*, thereby reinstating plaintiffs lights claims. Since the trial court s prior ruling decertifying the class was reversed on appeal by the California Supreme Court, the parties and the court are treating all claims currently being asserted by the plaintiffs as certified, subject, however, to defendants challenge to the class representatives standing to assert their claims. In June 2010, plaintiffs filed a motion seeking collateral estoppel effect from the findings in the case brought by the Department of Justice (see DOJ Lawsuit described below). Trial is scheduled to start on May 6, 2011.

Although not technically a class action, in *In Re: Tobacco Litigation (Personal Injury Cases)*, a West Virginia state court consolidated approximately 750 individual smoker actions that were pending prior to 2001 for trial of certain common issues. In January 2002, the court severed Liggett from the trial of the consolidated action, which commenced in June 2010 and ended in a mistrial. If the case were to proceed against Liggett, it is estimated that Liggett could be a defendant in approximately 100 of the individual cases.

In July 2010, an action entitled *Calistro v. Altria Group* was commenced against Liggett and other cigarette manufacturers, in the U.S. Virgin Islands, on behalf of a putative class of smokers who, although have no personal injury, contend they were injured by the defendants alleged fraudulent concealment and other purported misconduct regarding the addictive nature of nicotine and the promotion of light cigarettes. The plaintiffs seek equitable relief, compensatory and punitive damages, including, without limitation, damages for medical

monitoring and nicotine rehabilitation programs. In September 2010, Liggett and its affiliates were voluntarily dismissed from the action.

In addition to the cases described above, numerous class actions remain certified against other cigarette manufacturers, including *Scott*. In that case, in May 2004 a Louisiana jury awarded plaintiffs approximately

17

Table of Contents

VECTOR GROUP LTD.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) Unaudited

\$590,000 against other cigarette manufacturers to fund a statewide 10-year smoking cessation program for members of the class. In April 2010, the Louisiana Fourth Circuit Court of Appeal affirmed in part prior decisions ordering the defendants to fund a statewide 10-year smoking cessation program. The court of appeal reduced the amount of the judgment to approximately \$241,000, plus interest from July 2008.

Health Care Cost Recovery Actions

As of September 30, 2010, there were four Health Care Cost Recovery Actions pending against Liggett. Other cigarette manufacturers are also named in these cases. The claims asserted in health care cost recovery actions vary. Although, typically, no specific damage amounts are pled, it is possible that requested damages might be in the billions of dollars. In these cases, plaintiffs typically assert equitable claims that the tobacco industry was unjustly enriched by their payment of health care costs allegedly attributable to smoking and seek reimbursement of those costs. Relief sought by some, but not all, plaintiffs include punitive damages, multiple damages and other statutory damages and penalties, injunctions prohibiting alleged marketing and sales to minors, disclosure of research, disgorgement of profits, funding of anti-smoking programs, additional disclosure of nicotine yields, and payment of attorney and expert witness fees.

Other claims asserted include the equitable claim of indemnity, common law claims of negligence, strict liability, breach of express and implied warranty, breach of special duty, fraud, negligent misrepresentation, conspiracy, public nuisance, claims under state and federal statutes governing consumer fraud, antitrust, deceptive trade practices and false advertising, and claims under RICO.

DOJ Lawsuit. In September 1999, the United States government commenced litigation against Liggett and other cigarette manufacturers in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia. The action sought to recover an unspecified amount of health care costs paid and to be paid by the federal government for lung cancer, heart disease, emphysema and other smoking-related illnesses allegedly caused by the fraudulent and tortious conduct of defendants, to restrain defendants and co-conspirators from engaging in alleged fraud and other allegedly unlawful conduct in the future, and to compel defendants to disgorge the proceeds of their unlawful conduct. Claims were asserted under RICO.

In August 2006, the trial court entered a Final Judgment against each of the cigarette manufacturing defendants, except Liggett. In May 2009, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia affirmed most of the district court s decision. In February 2010, the government and all defendants, other than Liggett, filed petitions for writ of certiorari to the United States Supreme Court. In June 2010, the United States Supreme Court, without comment, denied review. As a result, the cigarette manufacturing defendants, other than Liggett, are now subject to the trial court s Final Judgment which ordered the following relief: (i) an injunction against committing any act of racketeering relating to the manufacturing, marketing, promotion, health consequences or sale of cigarettes in the United States; (ii) an injunction against participating directly or indirectly in the management or control of the Council for Tobacco Research, the Tobacco Institute, or the Center for Indoor Air Research, or any successor or affiliated entities of each (iii) an injunction against making, or causing to be made in any way, any material false, misleading, or deceptive statement or representation or engaging in any public relations or marketing endeavor that is disseminated to the United States public and that misrepresents or suppresses information concerning cigarettes; (iv) an injunction against conveying any express or implied health message though use of descriptors on cigarette packaging or in cigarette advertising or promotional material, ultra lights, and low tar, which the court found could cause consumers to believe one cigarette brand is less hazardous that another brand; (v) the issuance of corrective statements in various media regarding

the adverse health effects of smoking, the addictiveness of smoking and nicotine, the lack of any significant health benefit from smoking low tar or light cigarettes, defendants manipulation of cigarette design to ensure optimum nicotine delivery and the adverse health effects of exposure to environmental tobacco smoke; (vi) the disclosure of defendants public document websites and in the Minnesota document repository of all documents produced to the government in the lawsuit or produced in any future court or administrative action concerning smoking and health until 2021, with certain additional requirements as to documents withheld from production under a claim or privilege or confidentiality; (vii) the disclosure of disaggregated marketing data to the government in the same form and on

18

Table of Contents

VECTOR GROUP LTD.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) <u>Unaudited</u>

the same schedules as defendants now follow in disclosing such data to the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) for a period of ten years; (viii) certain restrictions on the sale or transfer by defendants of any cigarette brands, brand names, formulas or cigarette business within the United States; and (ix) payment of the government s costs in bringing the action.

It is unclear what impact, if any, the Final Judgment will have on the cigarette industry as a whole. To the extent that the Final Judgment leads to a decline in industry-wide shipments of cigarettes in the United States or otherwise results in restrictions that adversely affect the industry, Liggett s sales volume, operating income and cash flows could be materially adversely affected.

In *City of St. Louis v. American Tobacco Company*, a case pending in Missouri state court since December 1998, the City of St. Louis and approximately 38 hospitals and former hospitals seek recovery of costs expended by the hospitals on behalf of patients who suffer, or have suffered, from illnesses allegedly resulting from the use of cigarettes. In June 2005, the court granted defendants motion for summary judgment as to claims for damages which accrued prior to November 16, 1993. In April 2010, the court further determined that each plaintiff is barred from seeking damages which accrued more than five years prior to the time that plaintiff joined the suit. In that same order, the court granted partial summary judgment for defendants barring plaintiffs claims for future damages. In July 2010, the court dismissed certain other claims brought by plaintiffs, on the grounds they were preempted. Defendants have filed other summary judgment motions that remain pending. Trial is scheduled to start on January 10, 2011.

In June 2005, the Jerusalem District Court in Israel added Liggett as a defendant in an action commenced in 1998 by the largest private insurer in that country, General Health Services, against the major United States cigarette manufacturers. The plaintiff seeks to recover the past and future value of the total expenditures for health care services provided to residents of Israel resulting from tobacco related diseases, court ordered interest for past expenditures from the date of filing the statement of claim, increased and/or punitive and/or exemplary damages and costs. The court ruled that, although Liggett had not sold product in Israel since at least 1978, it might still have liability for cigarettes sold prior to that time. The other defendants—appeal of the district court—s denial of their motion to dismiss was heard by the Israel Supreme Court in March 2005 and the parties are awaiting the court—s decision.

In May 2008, in *National Committee to Preserve Social Security and Medicare v. Philip Morris USA*, a case pending in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York, plaintiffs commenced an action to recover damages equal to twice the amount paid by Medicare for the smoking-related health care services provided from May 21, 2002 to the present, for which treatment defendants—allegedly were required to make payment under the Medicare Secondary Payer provisions of the Social Security Act. In July 2008, defendants filed a motion to dismiss plaintiffs—claims and plaintiffs filed a motion for partial summary judgment. In March 2009, the court granted defendants—motion and dismissed the case. In May 2009, plaintiffs noticed an appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit and in September 2010, the court vacated the District Court—s decision, and remanded the case with instructions for the District Court to dismiss the complaint on other grounds.

Upcoming Trials

In addition to the January 2011 trial in the *City of St. Louis* case and the May 2011 trial in the *Brown* case, both discussed above, as of September 30, 2010, there were 38 *Engle* progeny cases that are scheduled for trial in 2010

and 2011. Additionally, a Palm Beach County Florida individual case is on the February April 2011 trial calender. The Company and/or Liggett and other cigarette manufacturers are currently named as defendants in each of these cases, although as a case proceeds, one or more defendants may ultimately be dismissed from the action. Cases against other cigarette manufacturers are also currently scheduled for trial in 2010 and 2011. Trial dates are subject to change.

MSA and Other State Settlement Agreements

19

Table of Contents

VECTOR GROUP LTD. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) Unaudited

In March 1996, March 1997 and March 1998, Liggett entered into settlements of smoking-related litigation with 45 states and territories. The settlements released Liggett from all smoking-related claims made by those states and territories, including claims for health care cost reimbursement and claims concerning sales of cigarettes to minors.

In November 1998, Philip Morris, Brown & Williamson, R.J. Reynolds and Lorillard (the Original Participating Manufacturers or OPMs) and Liggett (together with any other tobacco product manufacturer that becomes a signatory, the Subsequent Participating Manufacturers or SPMs) (the OPMs and SPMs are hereinafter referred to jointly as the Participating Manufacturers) entered into the Master Settlement Agreement (the MSA) with 46 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, Guam, the United States Virgin Islands, American Samoa and the Northern Mariana Islands (collectively, the Settling States) to settle the asserted and unasserted health care cost recovery and certain other claims of the Settling States. The MSA received final judicial approval in each Settling State.

As a result of the MSA, the Settling States released Liggett from:

all claims of the Settling States and their respective political subdivisions and other recipients of state health care funds, relating to: (i) past conduct arising out of the use, sale, distribution, manufacture, development, advertising and marketing of tobacco products; (ii) the health effects of, the exposure to, or research, statements or warnings about, tobacco products; and

all monetary claims of the Settling States and their respective subdivisions and other recipients of state health care funds relating to future conduct arising out of the use of, or exposure to, tobacco products that have been manufactured in the ordinary course of business.

The MSA restricts tobacco product advertising and marketing within the Settling States and otherwise restricts the activities of Participating Manufacturers. Among other things, the MSA prohibits the targeting of youth in the advertising, promotion or marketing of tobacco products; bans the use of cartoon characters in all tobacco advertising and promotion; limits each Participating Manufacturer to one tobacco brand name sponsorship during any 12-month period; bans all outdoor advertising, with certain limited exceptions; prohibits payments for tobacco product placement in various media; bans gift offers based on the purchase of tobacco products without sufficient proof that the intended recipient is an adult; prohibits Participating Manufacturers from licensing third parties to advertise tobacco brand names in any manner prohibited under the MSA; and prohibits Participating Manufacturers from using as a tobacco product brand name any nationally recognized non-tobacco brand or trade name or the names of sports teams, entertainment groups or individual celebrities.

The MSA also requires Participating Manufacturers to affirm corporate principles to comply with the MSA and to reduce underage use of tobacco products and imposes restrictions on lobbying activities conducted on behalf of Participating Manufacturers. In addition, the MSA provides for the appointment of an independent auditor to calculate and determine the amounts of payments owed pursuant to the MSA.

Under the payment provisions of the MSA, the Participating Manufacturers are required to make annual payments of \$9,000,000 (subject to applicable adjustments, offsets and reductions). These annual payments are allocated based on unit volume of domestic cigarette shipments. The payment obligations under the MSA are the several, and not joint, obligation of each Participating Manufacturer and are not the responsibility of any parent or affiliate of a Participating Manufacturer.

Liggett has no payment obligations under the MSA except to the extent its market share exceeds a market share exemption of approximately 1.65% of total cigarettes sold in the United States. Vector Tobacco has no payment obligations under the MSA except to the extent its market share exceeds a market share exemption of approximately 0.28% of total cigarettes sold in the United States. According to data from Management Science Associates, Inc., domestic shipments by Liggett and Vector Tobacco accounted for approximately 2.7% of the

20

Table of Contents

VECTOR GROUP LTD.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) <u>Unaudited</u>

total cigarettes sold in the United States in 2009. For the nine month period ending September 30, 2010, Liggett and Vector Tobacco s domestic shipments accounted for approximately 3.4% of the total cigarettes sold in the United States. If Liggett s or Vector Tobacco s market share exceeds their respective market share exemption in a given year, which it has each year since 2000, then on April 15 of the following year, Liggett and/or Vector Tobacco, as the case may be, must pay on each excess unit an amount equal (on a per-unit basis) to that due from the OPMs for that year. Liggett and Vector Tobacco paid \$54,435 for their 2009 MSA obligations.

Certain MSA Disputes

NPM Adjustment. In March 2006, an economic consulting firm selected pursuant to the MSA determined that the MSA was a significant factor contributing to the loss of market share of Participating Manufacturers, to non-participating manufacturers, for 2003. This is known as the NPM Adjustment. The economic consulting firm subsequently rendered the same decision with respect to 2004 and 2005. In March 2009, a different economic consulting firm made the same determination for 2006. As a result, the manufacturers are entitled to potential NPM Adjustments to their 2003, 2004, 2005 and 2006 MSA payments. The Participating Manufacturers may also be entitled to potential NPM Adjustments to their 2007, 2008 and 2009 payments pursuant to an agreement entered into in June 2009 between the OPMs and the Settling States under which the OPMs agreed to make certain payments for the benefit of the Settling States, in exchange for which the Settling States stipulated that the MSA was a significant factor contributing to the loss of market share of Participating Manufacturers in 2007, 2008 and 2009. A Settling State that has diligently enforced its qualifying escrow statute in the year in question may be able to avoid application of the NPM Adjustment to the payments made by the manufacturers for the benefit of that Settling State.

For 2003 2009, Liggett and Vector Tobacco disputed that they owed the Settling States the NPM Adjustments as calculated by the Independent Auditor. As permitted by the MSA, Liggett and Vector Tobacco withheld payment associated with these NPM Adjustment amounts. The total amount withheld or paid into a disputed payment account by Liggett and Vector Tobacco for 2003 2009 was \$29,236. In 2003, Liggett and Vector Tobacco paid the NPM adjustment amount of \$9,345 to the Settling States although both companies continue to dispute this amount is owed. At September 30, 2010, included in Other assets on the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheet was a noncurrent receivable of \$6,542 relating to such payment.

The following amounts have not been expensed by the Company as they relate to Liggett and Vector Tobacco s NPM Adjustment claims for 2003 2005: \$6,542 for 2003, \$3,789 for 2004 and \$800 for 2005. Liggett and Vector Tobacco have expensed all disputed amounts related to the NPM Adjustment since 2005.

Since April 2006, notwithstanding provisions in the MSA requiring arbitration, litigation was filed in 49 Settling States over the issue of whether the application of the NPM Adjustment for 2003 is to be determined through litigation or arbitration. These actions relate to the potential NPM Adjustment for 2003, which the independent auditor under the MSA previously determined to be as much as \$1,200,000 for all Participating Manufacturers. All but one of the 48 courts that have decided the issue have ruled that the 2003 NPM Adjustment dispute is arbitrable. All 47 of those decisions are final and non-appealable. One court, the Montana Supreme Court, ruled that Montana s claim of diligent enforcement must be litigated. This decision has been appealed. In response to a proposal from the OPMs and many of the SPMs, 45 of the Settling States, representing approximately 90% of the allocable share of the Settling States, entered into an agreement providing for a nationwide arbitration of the dispute with respect to the NPM Adjustment for 2003. In June 2010, the three person arbitration panel was selected and procedural hearings have commenced. Because states representing more than 80% of the allocable

share signed the agreement, signing states will receive a 20% reduction of any potential 2003 NPM adjustment. There can be no assurance that Liggett or Vector Tobacco will receive any adjustment as a result of these proceedings.

Gross v. Net Calculations. In October 2004, the independent auditor notified Liggett and all other Participating Manufacturers that their payment obligations under the MSA, dating from the agreement s execution in late 1998, had been recalculated using net unit amounts, rather than gross unit amounts (which had been used since 1999).

21

Table of Contents

VECTOR GROUP LTD.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) Unaudited

Liggett objected to this retroactive change and disputed the change in methodology. Liggett contends that the retroactive change from gross to net unit amounts is impermissible for several reasons, including: use of net unit amounts is not required by the MSA (as reflected by, among other things, the use of gross unit amounts through 2005);

such a change is not authorized without the consent of affected parties to the MSA;

the MSA provides for four-year time limitation periods for revisiting calculations and determinations, which precludes recalculating Liggett s 1997 Market Share (and thus, Liggett s market share exemption); and

Liggett and others have relied upon the calculations based on gross unit amounts since 1998. The change in the method of calculation could result in Liggett owing, at a minimum, approximately \$9,500, plus interest, of additional MSA payments for prior years, because the proposed change from gross to net units would serve to lower Liggett s market share exemption under the MSA. The Company estimates that Liggett s future MSA payments would be at least approximately \$2,250 higher if the method of calculation is changed. No amounts have been expensed or accrued in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements for any potential liability relating to the gross versus net dispute. There can be no assurance that Liggett will not be required to make additional payments, which payments could adversely affect the Company s consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Litigation Challenging the MSA. In Freedom Holdings Inc. v. Cuomo, litigation pending in federal court in New York, certain importers of cigarettes allege that the MSA and certain related New York statutes violate federal antitrust and constitutional law. The district court granted New York s motion to dismiss the complaint for failure to state a claim. On appeal, the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit held that if all of the allegations of the complaint were assumed to be true, plaintiffs had stated a claim for relief on antitrust grounds. In January 2009, the district court granted New York s motion for summary judgment, dismissing all claims brought by the plaintiffs, and dissolving the preliminary injunction. Plaintiffs appealed the decision. In October 2010, the Second Circuit affirmed the district court s decision.

In *Grand River Enterprises Six Nations, Ltd. v. King*, another proceeding pending in federal court in New York, plaintiffs seek to enjoin the statutes enacted by New York and other states in connection with the MSA on the grounds that the statutes violate the Commerce Clause of the United States Constitution and federal antitrust laws. In September 2005, the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit held that if all of the allegations of the complaint were assumed to be true, plaintiffs had stated a claim for relief and that the New York federal court had jurisdiction over the other defendant states. On remand, the trial court held that plaintiffs are unlikely to succeed on the merits. After discovery, the parties cross-moved for summary judgment; briefing concluded in December 2009 and oral argument took place in April 2010.

Similar challenges to the MSA and MSA-related state statutes are pending in several other states. Liggett and the other cigarette manufacturers are not defendants in these cases. Litigation challenging the validity of the MSA, including claims that the MSA violates antitrust laws, has not been successful to date.

In October 2008, Vibo Corporation, Inc., d/b/a General Tobacco (Vibo) commenced litigation in the United States District Court for the Western District of Kentucky against each of the Settling States and certain Participating Manufacturers, including Liggett and Vector Tobacco. Vibo alleged, among other things, that the

market share exemptions (i.e., grandfathered shares) provided to certain SPMs under the MSA, including Liggett and Vector Tobacco, violate federal antitrust and constitutional law. In January 2009, the district court dismissed

Table of Contents

VECTOR GROUP LTD.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) <u>Unaudited</u>

the complaint. In January 2010, the court entered final judgment in favor of the defendants. Vibo appealed to the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit. A decision is pending.

Other State Settlements. The MSA replaces Liggett s prior settlements with all states and territories except for Florida, Mississippi, Texas and Minnesota. Each of these four states, prior to the effective date of the MSA, negotiated and executed settlement agreements with each of the other major tobacco companies, separate from those settlements reached previously with Liggett. Liggett s agreements with these states remain in full force and effect, and Liggett made various payments to these states under the agreements. These states settlement agreements with Liggett contained most favored nation provisions which could reduce Liggett s payment obligations based on subsequent settlements or resolutions by those states with certain other tobacco companies. Beginning in 1999, Liggett determined that, based on each of these four states settlements with United States Tobacco Company, Liggett s payment obligations to those states had been eliminated. With respect to all non-economic obligations under the previous settlements, Liggett believes it is entitled to the most favorable provisions as between the MSA and each state s respective settlement with the other major tobacco companies. Therefore, Liggett s non-economic obligations to all states and territories are now defined by the MSA.

In 2003, in order to resolve any potential issues with Minnesota as to Liggett songoing economic settlement obligations, Liggett negotiated a \$100 a year payment to Minnesota, to be paid any year cigarettes manufactured by Liggett are sold in that state. In 2004, the Attorneys General for Florida, Mississippi and Texas advised Liggett that they believed that Liggett had failed to make required payments under the respective settlement agreements with these states from 1998 through 2003 and that additional payments may be due for subsequent years. Liggett believes the states—allegations are without merit, based, among other things, on the language of the most favored nation provisions of the settlement agreements. Liggett entered into a Memorandum of Understanding with Florida providing for a good faith payment by Liggett of \$250 and an agreement to mediate the dispute. In October 2010, the parties mediated the dispute and reached an agreement in principle, subject to definitive documentation. The agreement provides for an additional \$950 payment and payments of \$250 per year over a period of 21 years. The payments in years 12—20 will be subject to an inflation adjustment. The Company has accrued for this potential settlement. There can be no assurance that the settlement with Florida will be finalized, that Liggett will resolve the other matters set forth above or that Liggett will not be required to make additional payments, which payments could adversely affect the Company—s consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Cautionary Statement. Management is not able to predict the outcome of the litigation pending or threatened against Liggett. Litigation is subject to many uncertainties. For example, the jury in the Lukacs case, an Engle progeny case tried in 2002, awarded \$24,835 in compensatory damages plus interest against Liggett and two other defendants and found Liggett 50% responsible for the damages. The verdict was affirmed on appeal and Liggett paid \$14,361 in June 2010. To date, Liggett has been found liable in four other Engle progeny cases, which are currently on appeal or will be appealed. As a result of the Engle decision, approximately 8,172 former Engle class members have claims pending against the Company and Liggett and other cigarette manufacturers. It is possible that other cases could be decided unfavorably against Liggett and that Liggett will be unsuccessful on appeal. Liggett may enter into discussions in an attempt to settle particular cases if it believes it is in its best interest to do so.

Management cannot predict the cash requirements related to any future defense costs, settlements or judgments, including cash required to bond any appeals, and there is a risk that those requirements will not be able to be met. An unfavorable outcome of a pending smoking and health case could encourage the commencement of additional

similar litigation, or could lead to multiple adverse decisions in the *Engle* progeny cases. Management is unable to make a reasonable estimate with respect to the amount or range of loss that could result from an unfavorable outcome of the cases pending against Liggett or the costs of defending such cases and as a result has not provided any amounts in its condensed consolidated financial statements for unfavorable outcomes. The complaints filed in these cases rarely detail alleged damages. Typically, the claims set forth in an individual s complaint against the tobacco industry seek money damages in an amount to be determined by a jury, plus punitive damages, costs and legal fees.

The tobacco industry is subject to a wide range of laws and regulations regarding the marketing, sale, taxation and use of tobacco products imposed by local, state and federal governments. There have been a number of restrictive regulatory actions, adverse legislative and political decisions and other unfavorable developments

23

Table of Contents

VECTOR GROUP LTD.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) <u>Unaudited</u>

concerning cigarette smoking and the tobacco industry. These developments may negatively affect the perception of potential triers of fact with respect to the tobacco industry, possibly to the detriment of certain pending litigation, and may prompt the commencement of additional similar litigation or legislation.

It is possible that the Company s consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows could be materially adversely affected by an unfavorable outcome in any of the smoking-related litigation.

Liggett s and Vector Tobacco s management are unaware of any material environmental conditions affecting their existing facilities. Liggett s and Vector Tobacco s management believe that current operations are conducted in material compliance with all environmental laws and regulations and other laws and regulations governing cigarette manufacturers. Compliance with federal, state and local provisions regulating the discharge of materials into the environment, or otherwise relating to the protection of the environment, has not had a material effect on the capital expenditures, results of operations or competitive position of Liggett or Vector Tobacco.

Other Matters:

In February 2004, Liggett Vector Brands and another cigarette manufacturer entered into a five year agreement with a subsidiary of the American Wholesale Marketers Association to support a program to permit certain tobacco distributors to secure, on reasonable terms, tax stamp bonds required by state and local governments for the distribution of cigarettes. This agreement has been extended through February 2014. Under the agreement, Liggett Vector Brands has agreed to pay a portion of losses, if any, incurred by the surety under the bond program, with a maximum loss exposure of \$500 for Liggett Vector Brands. To secure its potential obligations under the agreement, Liggett Vector Brands has delivered to the subsidiary of the association a \$100 letter of credit and agreed to fund up to an additional \$400. Liggett Vector Brands has incurred no losses to date under this agreement, and the Company believes the fair value of Liggett Vector Brands obligation under the agreement was immaterial at September 30, 2010.

In December 2009, a complaint was filed against Liggett in Alabama state court by the estate of a woman who died, in 2007, in a house fire allegedly caused by the ignition of contents of the house by a Liggett cigarette. Plaintiff is suing under the Alabama Extended Manufacturers Liability Doctrine and for breach of warranty and negligence. The plaintiff seeks both punitive and compensatory damages. In January 2010, Liggett removed the case to federal court. In February 2010, Liggett filed a motion to dismiss the case and plaintiff filed a motion to remand. In September 2010, the court granted plaintiff s motion to remand, but the order has been stayed pending non-binding mediation of the dispute.

There may be several other proceedings, lawsuits and claims pending against the Company and certain of its consolidated subsidiaries unrelated to tobacco or tobacco product liability. Management is of the opinion that the liabilities, if any, ultimately resulting from such other proceedings, lawsuits and claims should not materially affect the Company s financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

6. INCOME TAXES

The Company s provision for income taxes in interim periods is based on an estimated annual effective income tax rate derived, in part, from estimated annual pre-tax results from ordinary operations. The annual effective income tax rate is reviewed and, if necessary, adjusted on a quarterly basis.

The Company s income tax expense consisted of the following:

24

Table of Contents

VECTOR GROUP LTD. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) Unaudited

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Income before provision for income taxes	\$ 17,536	\$ 18,873	\$ 66,998	\$ 10,027
Income tax expense (benefit) using estimated annual				
effective income tax rate	6,973	8,210	26,623	4,362
Changes in effective tax rates	691	610		(77)
Impact of discrete items, net				535
Reduction of valuation allowance		(6,166)	(500)	(6,166)
Reversal of unrecognized tax benefits	(1,035)		(1,193)	
Income tax expense (benefit)	\$ 6,629	\$ 2,654	\$ 24,930	\$ (1,346)

The Company recorded a benefit of \$0 and \$500 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and \$6,166 and \$6,166 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 resulting from the reduction of a previously established valuation allowance of a deferred tax asset. The valuation allowance was reduced for the recognition of state tax net operating losses at Vector Tobacco Inc. after evaluating the impact of the negative and positive evidence that such asset would be realized.

7. NEW VALLEY LLC

The components of Investments in non-consolidated real estate businesses were as follows:

	September 30, 2010			December 31, 2009	
Douglas Elliman Realty, LLC Aberdeen Townhomes LLC	\$	45,781	\$	36,086 1,248	
New Valley Oaktree Chelsea Eleven LLC		11,732		12,232	
Investments in non-consolidated real estate businesses	\$	57,513	\$	49,566	

Residential Brokerage Business. New Valley recorded income of \$6,300 and \$4,712 for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and income of \$18,078 and \$5,328 for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, associated with Douglas Elliman Realty, LLC. New Valley received cash distributions from Douglas Elliman Realty, LLC of \$3,199 and \$1,370 for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and \$8,384 and \$4,846 for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The summarized financial information of Douglas Elliman Realty, LLC is as follows:

	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
Cash	\$ 46,561	\$ 26,920
Other current assets	5,336	6,664
Property, plant and equipment, net	14,086	13,498
Trademarks	21,663	21,663
Goodwill	38,367	38,601
Other intangible assets, net	1,404	742
Other non-current assets	2,986	2,871
25		

VECTOR GROUP LTD. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) Unaudited

		Septemb 201		December 31, 2009
Notes payable current		7	721	776
Current portion of notes payable to member - Prudential Re	eal Estate			
Financial Services of America, Inc.				2,487
Current portion of notes payable to member New Valley				2,487
Other current liabilities		21,6	582	20,724
Notes payable long term		1,0	001	2,136
Other long-term liabilities		10,2	7,747	
Members equity		96,7	74,602	
				ember 30,
Revenues	\$ 92,150	\$ 80,484	\$ 267,329	\$ 189,813
Costs and expenses	79,472	71,271	230,300	177,597
Depreciation expense	918	1,075	2,683	3,408
Amortization expense	87	64	252	192
Other income	794	1,000	1,632	1,000
Interest expense, net	55	732	500	2,025
Income tax expense (benefit)	376	323	1,082	(42)
Net income	\$ 12,036	\$ 8,019	\$ 34,144	\$ 7,633

Aberdeen Townhomes LLC. In January 2010 and August 2010, Aberdeen sold two of its four townhomes and the two respective mortgages of approximately \$14,350 were retired. The Company received a preferred return distribution of approximately \$971 in connection with the sales. In addition, Aberdeen received \$375 in August 2010 from escrow on the January 2010 sale.

In August 2010, the Company acquired the mortgage loans from Wachovia Bank, N.A. on the two remaining townhomes for approximately \$13,500. In accordance with the accounting guidance as to variable interest entities, the Company reassessed the primary beneficiary status of the Aberdeen variable interest entity (VIE) and determined that, in August 2010, the Company became the primary beneficiary of this VIE because the Company obtained the power to direct activities which significantly impact the economic performance of the VIE; and since it now owns the mortgages, the Company will absorb losses and returns of the VIE.

The Company is the primary beneficiary of the VIE, and as a result, the condensed consolidated financial statements of the Company now include the account balances of Aberdeen Townhomes LLC as of September 30, 2010. These balances include:

September 30, 2010

Cash	\$ 473
Restricted cash	435
Investment in townhomes	16,275
Accounts payable	2,469

The \$16,275 investment in townhomes is based on September 2010 third-party appraisals, net of estimated selling expenses. The Company recognized a gain of \$760 primarily resulting from the acquisition of mortgage loans.

New Valley Oaktree Chelsea Eleven, LLC. A subsidiary of New Valley is operating as an investment vehicle for the Chelsea Eleven LLC real estate development project. Chelsea Eleven LLC sold three and 22 condominium units during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively.

26

VECTOR GROUP LTD. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) Unaudited

As of November 4, 2010, 30 of 54 units in the Chelsea Eleven LLC real estate development had closed. As of September 30, 2010, Chelsea Eleven LLC had approximately \$86,255 of total assets and \$51,537 of total liabilities, excluding amounts owed to New Valley Oaktree Chelsea Eleven LLC (approximately \$73,590 at September 30, 2010).

Chelsea Eleven LLC retired its construction loan during the second quarter of 2010 from the proceeds of the sales of units. In addition, on July 1, 2010, Chelsea Eleven LLC borrowed \$47,100, which is due in July 1, 2012, bearing interest at 14% per annum. The proceeds were used to retire Chelsea Eleven LLC s then outstanding mezzanine debt (approximately \$37,200) and for other working capital purposes.

As of September 30, 2010, the Company had received net distributions of \$1,768 from New Valley Oaktree Chelsea Eleven LLC in 2010 (\$3,777 before accounting for loans of \$2,009). The Company s maximum exposure to loss on its investment in New Valley Oaktree Chelsea Eleven LLC is \$11,732 at September 30, 2010.

Investment in Escena:

The components of the Company s investment in Escena are as follows:

	September 30, 2010			December 31, 2009		
Land and land improvements Building and building improvements Other	\$	11,112 1,443 1,147	\$	11,126 1,154 1,038		
Less accumulated depreciation		13,702 (295)		13,318 (74)		
	\$	13,407	\$	13,244		

The Company recorded an operating loss of \$682 and \$564 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively, from Escena.

Other investments:

Fifty Third-Five Building LLC. In September 2010, New Valley, through its NV 955 LLC subsidiary, contributed \$2,500 to a joint venture, Fifty Third-Five Building LLC (JV), of which it owns 50%. The JV was formed for the purposes of acquiring a defaulted real estate loan, collateralized by real estate located in New York City. In October 2010, New Valley contributed an additional \$15,500 to the JV and the JV acquired the defaulted loan for approximately \$35,500.

Sesto Holdings S.r.l. In October 2010, New Valley, through its NV Milan LLC subsidiary, acquired a 7.2% interest in Sesto Holdings S.r.l. for \$5,000. Sesto holds an 80% interest in an entity that has purchased a land plot of approximately 322 acres in Milan, Italy. Sesto intends to develop the land plot as a multi-parcel, multi-building

mixed use urban regeneration project.

27

VECTOR GROUP LTD. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) <u>Unaudited</u>

8. <u>INVESTMENTS AND FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS</u>

The Company s recurring financial assets and liabilities subject to fair value measurements are as follows:

Description Assets:	alue Measurements Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)			
Money market funds Cortificates of deposit	\$ 242,223 2,771	\$ 242,223	\$	\$		
Certificates of deposit Bonds	4,078	4,078	2,771			
Investment securities available for sale	72,120	67,549	4,571			
Total	\$ 321,192	\$ 313,850	\$ 7,342	\$		
Liabilities: Fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt	\$ 140,280	\$	\$	\$ 140,280		
	Fair Value Measurements as of December 31, 2009 Quoted Prices in Active Significant Markets for Other Significant Identical Observable Unobser Assets Inputs Input					
Description	Total	(Level 1)	Inputs (Level 2)	Inputs (Level 3)		
Assets: Money market funds Certificates of deposit Bonds Investment securities available for sale	\$ 199,423 2,785 3,128 51,742	\$ 199,423 3,128 38,706	\$ 2,785 13,036	\$		
Total	\$ 257,078	\$ 241,257	\$ 15,821	\$		
Liabilities: Fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt	\$ 153,016	\$	\$	\$ 153,016		

The fair value of investment securities available for sale included in Level 1 are based on quoted market prices from various stock exchanges. The Level 2 investment securities available for sale were not registered and do not have direct market quotes.

The fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt were derived using a valuation model and have been classified as Level 3. The valuation model assumes future dividend payments by the Company and utilizes interest rates and credit spreads for secured to unsecured debt, unsecured to subordinated debt and subordinated debt to preferred stock to determine the fair value of the derivatives embedded within the convertible debt. The changes in fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt are presented on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

In addition to assets and liabilities that are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis, the Company is required to record assets and liabilities at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. Generally, assets and liabilities are recorded at fair value on a nonrecurring basis as a result of impairment charges.

28

Table of Contents

VECTOR GROUP LTD. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) Unaudited

The Company s nonrecurring nonfinancial assets subject to fair value measurements are as follows:

Fair Value Measurements as of September 30, 2009 Using: Quoted Nine Prices in **Months** Ended Active Significant September 30, Markets Other Significant 2009 Unobservable for Observable Identical **Impairment** Assets Inputs Inputs (Level Description Charge Total (Level 1) 2) (Level 3) Assets: Investment in real estate \$ 5,000 \$ 12,204 \$ \$ 12,204 Investment in non-consolidated real estate businesses 3,500 1,248 1,248 \$ \$ \$ Total 8.500 \$ 13,452 13,452

The Company estimated the fair value of its investment in Escena and non-consolidated real estate using observable inputs such as market pricing based on recent events, however, significant judgment was required to select certain inputs from observed market data. The decrease in Escena and the non-consolidated real estate were attributed to the decline in the New York and California real estate markets due to various factors including downward pressure on housing prices, the impact of the contraction in the subprime and mortgage markets generally and a large inventory of unsold homes at the same time that sales volumes were decreasing.

9. <u>SEGMENT INFORMATION</u>

As a result of the suspension of the marketing of low nicotine and nicotine-free cigarette products by Vector Tobacco and significant reductions in Vector Tobacco s related research activities, the Company reevaluated its operating segments and combined the Liggett and Vector Tobacco businesses into a single Tobacco segment. The Company s significant business segments for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, were Tobacco and Real Estate. The Tobacco segment consists of the manufacture and sale of cigarettes and the research related to reduced risk products. The Real Estate segment includes the Company s investment in Escena and investments in non-consolidated real estate businesses. The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies. Prior period segment information has been recast to conform to the current presentation.

Financial information for the Company s operations before taxes for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, follows:

	Real	Corporate	
Tobacco	Estate	and Other	Total

Three months ended September 30, 2010

Edgar Filing: VECTOR GROUP LTD - Form 10-Q

Revenues	\$295,124			\$295,124
Operating income (loss)	35,531(1)	(682)	(4,973)	29,876
Depreciation and amortization	2,062	76	578	2,716
Equity income from non-consolidated real estate				
businesses		7,060		7,060
Three months ended September 30, 2009				
Revenues	\$236,736			\$236,736
Operating income (loss)	41,642	(194)	(4,476)	36,972
Depreciation and amortization	1,991	25	634	2,650
Equity income from non-consolidated real estate				
businesses		4,712		4,712
	29			

Table of Contents

VECTOR GROUP LTD. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) Unaudited

	Tobacco	Real Estate	Corporate and Other	Total
Nine months ended September 30, 2010				
Revenues	\$785,671			\$785,671
Operating income (loss)	96,490(2)	(564)	(13,955)	81,971
Equity income from non-consolidated real				
estate businesses		18,838		18,838
Depreciation and amortization	6,254	220	1,737	8,211
Capital expenditures	15,319	384	27	15,730
Nine months ended September 30, 2009				
Revenues	\$564,746			\$564,746
Operating income (loss)	119,215(3)	(194)	(12,042)	106,979
Equity income from non-consolidated real				
estate businesses		5,528		5,528
Depreciation and amortization	6,002	25	1,741	7,768
Capital expenditures	2,129	876		3,005

(1) Operating income includes a \$3,000 non-recurring settlement charge.

(2) Operating income includes litigation judgment expense of \$14,361 and a \$3,000 non-recurring settlement charge.

(3) Operating income includes a gain of \$5,000 on the Philip Morris brand transaction completed

February 2009 and restructuring costs of \$1,000.

10. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The accompanying condensed consolidating financial information has been prepared and presented pursuant to Securities and Exchange Commission Regulation S-X, Rule 3-10, Financial Statements of Guarantors and Issuers of Guaranteed Securities Registered or Being Registered . Each of the subsidiary guarantors are 100% owned, directly or indirectly, by the Company, and all guarantees are full and unconditional and joint and several. The Company s investments in its consolidated subsidiaries are presented under the equity method of accounting.

-30

Table of Contents

VECTOR GROUP LTD. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) <u>Unaudited</u> CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEETS

	September 30, 2010 Subsidiary				nsolidated Vector				
	Parent/ Issuer		bsidiary arantors	G	Non- uarantors		nsolidating djustments		Group Ltd.
ASSETS:									
Current assets:	ф 2 40, 220	ф	0.541	Ф	604	Φ		Φ	250.564
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 248,339	\$	9,541	\$	684	\$		\$	258,564
Investment securities available for sale	72,120								72,120
Accounts receivable trade	72,120		5,974		1				5,975
Intercompany receivables			3,914		1				3,913
Inventories			101,724						101,724
Deferred income taxes	21,075		3,074						24,149
Income taxes receivable	31,603		3,074				(31,603)		24,147
Restricted assets	31,003		4,328		435		(21,002)		4,763
Other current assets	797		3,015		82				3,894
0.1111			-,		-				-,
Total current assets	373,934		127,656		1,202		(31,603)		471,189
Property, plant and equipment, net	607		49,620						50,227
Investment in Escena, net			.,,		13,407				13,407
Long-term investments accounted					,				,
for at cost	45,134				899				46,033
Long-term investments accounted	,								,
for under the equity method	10,481								10,481
Investments in non-consolidated real									
estate businesses					57,514				57,514
Investment in townhomes					16,275				16,275
Investments in consolidated									
subsidiaries	220,564						(220,564)		
Restricted assets	2,671		1,780						4,451
Deferred income taxes	24,798		105,135		13,313		(103,619)		39,627
Intangible asset			107,511						107,511
Prepaid pension costs			9,723						9,723
Other assets	15,441		14,625		2,500				32,566
Total assets	\$ 693,630	\$ 4	416,050	\$	105,110	\$	(355,786)	\$	859,004
LIABILITIES AND									
STOCKHOLDERS DEFICIENCY:									
Current liabilities:									
	\$ 10,566	\$	6,567	\$	121	\$		\$	17,254

61

Edgar Filing: VECTOR GROUP LTD - Form 10-Q

Current portion of notes payable and long-term debt					
Fair value of derivatives embedded					
within convertible debt	769				769
Current portion of employee	, 0,				, 0,
benefits		1,029			1,029
Accounts payable	705	8,539	2,614		11,858
Intercompany payables		,	,		•
Accrued promotional expenses		14,311			14,311
Income taxes payable, net		4,243	41,712	(31,603)	14,352
Accrued excise and payroll taxes					
payable, net		4,850			4,850
Settlement accruals		106,177			106,177
Deferred income taxes	30,982	1,975			32,957
Accrued interest	8,021				8,021
Other current liabilities	5,477	8,050	778		14,305
Total current liabilities	56,520	155,741	45,225	(31,603)	225,883
Notes payable, long-term debt and					
other obligations, less current					
portion	390,253	19,959	389		410,601
Fair value of derivatives embedded					
within convertible debt	139,511				139,511
Non-current employee benefits	14,386	21,197			35,583
Deferred income taxes	130,430	25,513	340	(103,619)	52,664
Other liabilities	226	31,763	469		32,458
Total liabilities	731,326	254,173	46,423	(135,222)	896,700
Commitments and contingencies					
Stockholders deficiency	(37,696)	161,877	58,687	(220,564)	(37,696)
Total liabilities and stockholders					
deficiency	\$693,630	\$ 416,050	\$ 105,110	\$ (355,786)	\$ 859,004
		31			

VECTOR GROUP LTD. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) <u>Unaudited</u> CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEETS

	December 31, 2009 Subsidiary					nsolidated Vector			
	Parent/ Issuer		ubsidiary uarantors		Non- arantors		nsolidating ljustments		Group Ltd.
ASSETS:									
Current assets:									
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 204,133	\$	5,004	\$	317	\$		\$	209,454
Investment securities available for									
sale	51,743								51,743
Accounts receivable trade			8,089		9		(40)		8,098
Intercompany receivables			43				(43)		
Inventories			98,485		1				98,486
Deferred income taxes	11,240		2,914						14,154
Income taxes receivable			26,086				(26,086)		
Restricted assets			3,138						3,138
Other current assets	497		3,512		126				4,135
Total current assets	267,613		147,271		453		(26,129)		389,208
Property, plant and equipment, net	623		42,363				(==,===)		42,986
Investment in Escena, net			1-,		13,244				13,244
Long-term investments accounted					- /				- ,
for at cost	49,486				837				50,323
Investments in non-consolidated real	.,,								,
estate businesses					49,566				49,566
Investments in consolidated					.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
subsidiaries	282,010						(282,010)		
Restricted assets	2,685		2,150				(- ,,		4,835
Deferred income taxes	28,729		94,088		9,667		(92,646)		39,838
Intangible asset	20,722		107,511		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		(>=,0.0)		107,511
Prepaid pension costs			8,994						8,994
Other assets	14,942		14,095						29,037
	1 .,> .=		1 .,0>0						_>,00.
Total assets	\$ 646,088	\$	416,472	\$	73,767	\$	(400,785)	\$	735,542
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS DEFICIENCY: Current liabilities: Current portion of notes payable and									
long-term debt	\$	\$	21,773	\$	116	\$		\$	21,889
Current portion of employee benefits	*	Ψ	1,029	Ψ	110	Ψ		4	1,029
Accounts payable	1,490		2,763		102				4,355
Intercompany payables	43		_,,,,,,		-02		(43)		.,555
more parallel	13						(15)		

Edgar Filing: VECTOR GROUP LTD - Form 10-Q

Accrued promotional expenses		12,745			12,745
Income taxes payable, net	14,472	547	30,991	(26,086)	19,924
Accrued excise and payroll taxes					
payable, net		24,088	5		24,093
Settlement accruals		18,803			18,803
Deferred income taxes	14,992	2,262			17,254
Accrued interest	13,840				13,840
Other current liabilities	6,039	8,427	610		15,076
Total current liabilities	50,876	92,437	31,824	(26,129)	149,008
Notes payable, long-term debt and					
other obligations, less current	210 500	1.4.0.7.0	450		224020
portion	319,588	14,853	479		334,920
Fair value of derivatives embedded					
within convertible debt	153,016				153,016
Non-current employee benefits	13,301	20,946			34,247
Deferred income taxes	113,667	24,040	59	(92,646)	45,120
Other liabilities	322	22,763	828		23,913
Total liabilities	650,770	175,039	33,190	(118,775)	740,224
Commitments and contingencies					
Stockholders deficiency	(4,682)	241,433	40,577	(282,010)	(4,682)
Total liabilities and stockholders					
deficiency	\$ 646,088	\$ 416,472	\$ 73,767	\$ (400,785)	\$ 735,542
		32			

VECTOR GROUP LTD. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) <u>Unaudited</u> CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

		Three Mon	nsolidated Vector		
	Parent/ Issuer	Subsidiary Guarantors	Non- Guarantors	Consolidating Adjustments	Group Ltd.
Revenues	\$	\$ 295,124	\$	\$	\$ 295,124
Expenses: Cost of goods sold		239,160			239,160
Operating, selling, administrative		,			,
and general expenses	5,579	19,792	717	(2.120)	26,088
Management fee expense		2,130		(2,130)	
Operating (loss) income	(5,579)	34,042	(717)	2,130	29,876
Other income (expenses):					
Interest expense	(21,297)	(204)	(10)		(21,511)
Changes in fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt	1,660				1,660
Equity income on non-consolidated	1,000				1,000
real estate businesses			7,060		7,060
Gain on investment securities			,		•
available for sale	708				708
Equity income in consolidated	22.242			(00.040)	
subsidiaries	22,243			(22,243)	
Management fee income Other, net	2,130 (268)	11		(2,130)	(257)
Other, net	(200)	11			(231)
Income before provision for income					
taxes	(403)	33,849	6,333	(22,243)	17,536
Income tax (expense) benefit	11,310	(15,368)	(2,571)		(6,629)
Net income	\$ 10,907	\$ 18,481	\$ 3,762	\$ (22,243)	\$ 10,907
		33			

VECTOR GROUP LTD. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) <u>Unaudited</u> CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

		Consolidated Vector				
	Parent/ Issuer	Subsidiary Guarantors	Non- Guarantors	Consolidating Adjustments	Gro Lte	•
Revenues	\$	\$ 236,736	\$	\$	\$ 23	6,736
Expenses:		177.700			1.7	7.700
Cost of goods sold		177,798			1 /	7,798
Operating, selling, administrative and general expenses	4,944	16,756	266		2	21,966
Management fee expense	4,244	2,055	200	(2,055)		1,900
Wanagement ree expense		2,033		(2,033)		
Operating income (loss)	(4,944)	40,127	(266)	2,055	3	6,972
Other income (expenses):	, ,		, ,			
Interest expense	(16,465)	(332)	(11)		(1	6,808)
Changes in fair value of derivatives						
embedded within convertible debt	(6,054)				((6,054)
Equity income on non-consolidated						
real estate businesses			4,712			4,712
Equity income in consolidated	22.200			(22.200)		
subsidiaries	33,308			(33,308)		
Management fee income	2,055	0		(2,055)		<i>-</i> 1
Other, net	43	8				51
Income (loss) before provision for						
income taxes	7,943	39,803	4,435	(33,308)	1	8,873
Income tax benefit (expense)	8,276	(9,238)	(1,692)		((2,654)
Net income (loss)	\$ 16,219	\$ 30,565	\$ 2,743	\$ (33,308)	\$ 1	6,219
		34				

VECTOR GROUP LTD. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) <u>Unaudited</u> CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

		Nine months ended September 30, 2010 Subsidiary					nsolidated Vector
	Parent/ Issuer	Subsidiar Guaranto	•	Non- arantors		solidating ustments	Group Ltd.
Revenues	\$	\$ 785,67	1 \$		\$		\$ 785,671
Expenses: Cost of goods sold		620,06	5				620,065
Operating, selling, administrative		020,00	3				020,003
and general expenses	16,713	51,87	1	690			69,274
Litigation judgment expense	10,710	14,36		0,0			14,361
Management fee expense		6,39				(6,391)	,
Operating (loss) income	(16,713)	92,98	3	(690)		6,391	81,971
Other income (expenses):	(60.410)	(6.4	2)	(22)			(61.006)
Interest expense	(60,412)	(64	2)	(32)			(61,086)
Changes in fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt	12,735						12,735
Equity income on non-consolidated	12,733						12,733
real estate businesses				18,838			18,838
Gain on investment securities				10,000			10,000
available for sale	11,819						11,819
Equity income in consolidated							
subsidiaries	83,164					(83,164)	
Management fee income	6,391					(6,391)	
Other, net	2,694	2	7				2,721
Income before provision for income							
taxes	39,678	92,36	8	18,116		(83,164)	66,998
Income tax (expense) benefit	2,390	(19,96	5)	(7,355)			(24,930)
Net income	\$ 42,068	\$ 72,40	3 \$	10,761	\$	(83,164)	\$ 42,068
		35					

VECTOR GROUP LTD. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) <u>Unaudited</u> CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009 Subsidiary					
	Parent/ Issuer	Subsidiary Guarantors	Non- Guarantors	Consolidating Adjustments		Vector Group Ltd.	
Revenues	\$	\$ 564,746	\$	\$	\$	564,746	
Expenses: Cost of goods sold		398,088				398,088	
Operating, selling, administrative		370,000				370,000	
and general expenses	14,596	48,616	467			63,679	
Gain on brand transaction	·	(5,000)				(5,000)	
Restructuring charges		1,000				1,000	
Management fee expense		6,167		(6,167)			
Operating income (loss) Other income (expenses):	(14,596)	115,875	(467)	6,167		106,979	
Interest expense	(49,097)	(860)	(11)			(49,968)	
Loss on extinguishment of debt	(18,444)	(000)	()			(18,444)	
Changes in fair value of derivatives	, , ,					, , ,	
embedded within convertible debt	(25,845)					(25,845)	
Impairment charges on investments Equity income from non-consolidated real estate			(8,500)			(8,500)	
businesses			5,528			5,528	
Equity income in consolidated			2,2 = 2			-,	
subsidiaries	75,060			(75,060)			
Management fee income	6,167			(6,167)			
Other, net	180	97				277	
Income (loss) before provision for							
income taxes	(26,575)	115,112	(3,450)	(75,060)		10,027	
Income tax benefit (expense)	37,948	(38,013)	1,411			1,346	
Net income (loss)	\$ 11,373	\$ 77,099	\$ (2,039)	\$ (75,060)	\$	11,373	
		36					

Table of Contents

VECTOR GROUP LTD. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) <u>Unaudited</u> CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

		Nine months ended September 30, 2010 Subsidiary					
Net cash provided by (used in)	Parent/ Issuer	Subsidiary Guarantors	Non- Guarantors	Consolidating Adjustments	Vector Group Ltd.		
operating activities	\$ 73,763	\$ 182,382	\$ (6,030)	\$ (149,052)	\$ 101,063		
Cash flows from investing activities:							
Sale or maturity of investment							
securities	16,140				16,140		
Purchase of investment securities Proceeds from sale of or liquidation	(9,394)				(9,394)		
of long-term investments	1,106				1,106		
Purchase of long-term investment Investment in non- consolidated	(5,000)		(62)		(5,062)		
real estate businesses			(1,533)		(1,533)		
Purchase of Aberdeen mortgages	(13,462)				(13,462)		
Distributions from							
non-consolidated real estate							
businesses			3,539		3,539		
Increase in cash surrender value of							
life insurance policies	(513)	(405)			(918)		
Decrease (increase) in non-current					• • •		
restricted assets	449	370	(435)		384		
Issuance of notes receivable	(720)		(2.700)		(720)		
Investment in joint venture			(2,500)		(2,500)		
Cash acquired in Aberdeen			472		472		
consolidation Proceeds from sale of fixed assets		187	473		473		
	(2.922)	167		2 022	187		
Investments in subsidiaries	(3,822) (63)	(15,319)	(348)	3,822	(15,730)		
Capital expenditures	(03)	(13,319)	(346)		(13,730)		
Net cash (used in) provided by							
investing activities	(15,279)	(15,167)	(866)	3,822	(27,490)		
Cash flows from financing							
activities:							
Proceeds from debt issuance	75,000	14,373			89,373		

69

Edgar Filing: VECTOR GROUP LTD - Form 10-Q

Deferred financing costs	(2,582)				(2,582)
Repayments of debt		(7,090)	(85)		(7,175)
Borrowings under revolver		732,708			732,708
Repayments on revolver		(750,091)			(750,091)
Capital contributions received		3,822		(3,822)	
Intercompany dividends paid		(156,400)	7,348	149,052	
Dividends and distributions on					
common stock	(87,797)				(87,797)
Proceeds from exercise of Vector					
options and warrants	980				980
Tax benefits from exercise of					
Vector options and warrants	121				121
Net cash (used in) provided by					
financing activities	(14,278)	(162,678)	7,263	145,230	(24,463)
Net decrease in cash and cash					
equivalents	44,206	4,537	367		49,110
Cash and cash equivalents,					
beginning of period	204,133	5,004	317		209,454
Cash and cash equivalents, end of					
period	\$ 248,339	\$ 9,541	\$ 684	\$	\$ 258,564
		27			
		37			

VECTOR GROUP LTD. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) <u>Unaudited</u> CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

		Consolidated Vector				
Net cash provided by operating	Parent/ Issuer	Subsidiary Guarantors	Non- Guarantors	Consolidating Adjustments	Group Ltd.	
activities	\$ 10,444	\$ 78,046	\$ 1,572	\$ (80,728)	\$ 9,334	
Cash flows from investing activities:						
Purchase of investment securities Proceeds from sale or liquidation of	(12,300)				(12,300)	
long-term investments	1,407		(51)		1,407	
Purchase of long-term investments Distributions from non-consolidated			(51)		(51)	
real estate businesses Investments in non-consolidated			5,548		5,548	
real estate businesses Investments in subsidiaries Increase in cash surrender value of	(3,050)		(467)	3,050	(467)	
life insurance policies (Increase) decrease in non-current	(413)	(426)			(839)	
restricted assets Capital expenditures	1,417	552 (2,129)	(876)		1,969 (3,005)	
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(12,939)	(2,003)	4,154	3,050	(7,738)	
Cash flows from financing activities:						
Proceeds from debt issuance Repayments of debt	118,125	21 (4,516)	636		118,782 (4,516)	
Deferred financing charges Borrowings under revolver Repayments on revolver	(5,567)	(6) 526,949 (530,766)		(2.070)	(5,573) 526,949 (530,766)	
Capital contributions received Intercompany dividends paid Dividends and distributions on		3,050 (74,575)	(6,153)	(3,050) 80,728		
common stock	(87,451) 398				(87,451) 398	

Edgar Filing: VECTOR GROUP LTD - Form 10-Q

Proceeds from exercise of Vector						
options and warrants						
Tax benefit of options exercised	6,944					6,944
Net cash (used in) financing						
activities	32,449		(79,843)	(5,517)	77,678	24,767
Net increase (decrease) in cash and						
cash equivalents	29,954		(3,800)	209		26,363
Cash and cash equivalents,						
beginning of period	200,066		11,039			211,105
Cash and cash equivalents, end of						
period	\$ 230,020	\$	7,239	\$ 209	\$	\$ 237,468
		3	38			

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)

Overview

We are a holding company and are engaged principally in:

the manufacture and sale of cigarettes in the United States through our Liggett Group LLC,

research relating to reduced risk cigarette products and manufacture and sale of cigarettes through our Vector Tobacco Inc. subsidiary, and

the real estate business through our New Valley LLC subsidiary, which is seeking to acquire additional operating companies and real estate properties. New Valley owns 50% of Douglas Elliman Realty, LLC, which operates the largest residential brokerage company in the New York metropolitan area.

All of Liggett s unit sales volume in 2009 and for the first nine months of 2010 was in the discount segment, which Liggett s management believes has been the primary growth segment in the industry for more than a decade. The significant discounting of premium cigarettes in recent years has led to brands, such as EVE, that were traditionally considered premium brands to become more appropriately categorized as discount, following list price reductions.

Liggett s cigarettes are produced in approximately 135 combinations of length, style and packaging. Liggett s current brand portfolio includes:

LIGGETT SELECT a leading brand in the deep discount category,

GRAND PRIX re-launched as a national brand in 2005,

EVE a leading brand of 120 millimeter cigarettes in the branded discount category,

PYRAMID the industry s first deep discount product with a brand identity re-launched in the second quarter of 2009, and

USA and various Partner Brands and private label brands.

In 1999, Liggett introduced LIGGETT SELECT, one of the leading brands in the deep discount category. LIGGETT SELECT s unit volume was 13.8% of Liggett s unit volume for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 21.5% for the year ended December 31, 2009. In September 2005, Liggett repositioned GRAND PRIX to distributors and retailers nationwide. GRAND PRIX s unit volume was 19.9% of Liggett s unit volume for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 27.9% for the year ended December 31, 2009. In April 2009, Liggett repositioned PYRAMID as a box-only brand in specific markets with a new low price to specifically compete with brands which are priced at the lowest level of the deep discount segment. PYRAMID is now the largest seller in Liggett s family of brands with 39.3% of Liggett s unit volume for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 14.6% for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Under the Master Settlement Agreement reached in November 1998 with 46 states and various territories, the three largest cigarette manufacturers must make settlement payments to the states and territories based on how many cigarettes they sell annually. Liggett, however, is not required to make any payments unless its market share exceeds approximately 1.65% of the

39

Table of Contents

U.S. cigarette market. Additionally, Vector Tobacco has no payment obligation unless its market share exceeds approximately 0.28% of the U.S. market. Liggett s and Vector Tobacco s payments under the Master Settlement Agreement are based on each company s incremental market share above the minimum threshold applicable to such company. We believe that Liggett has gained a sustainable cost advantage over its competitors as a result of the settlement.

The discount segment is a challenging marketplace, with consumers having less brand loyalty and placing greater emphasis on price. Liggett s competition is now divided into two segments. The first segment is made up of the three largest manufacturers of cigarettes in the United States, Philip Morris USA Inc., Reynolds America Inc., and Lorillard Tobacco Company as well as the fourth largest, Commonwealth Brands, Inc. (acquired by Imperial Tobacco PLC in 2007). The three largest manufacturers, while primarily premium cigarette based companies, also produce and sell discount cigarettes. The second segment of competition is comprised of a group of smaller manufacturers and importers, most of which sell lower quality, deep discount cigarettes.

Recent Developments

Secured Notes In September 2009, we sold an additional \$85,000 principal amount of our 11% Senior Secured Notes due 2015 (the Senior Secured Notes) at 94% of face value in a private offering to qualified institutional investors in accordance with Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933. In April 2010, we sold another \$75,000 principal amount of the Senior Secured Notes at 101% of face value in a private offering to qualified institutional investors in accordance with Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933. Following the April 2010 offering, a total of \$325,000 principal amount of the Senior Secured Notes were outstanding. In June 2010, we completed an offer to exchange the Senior Secured Notes issued in September 2009 and April 2010 for an equal amount of newly issued 11% Senior Secured Notes due 2015. The new Senior Secured Notes have substantially the same terms as the original notes, except that the new Senior Secured Notes have been registered under the Securities Act.

Enacted and proposed excise tax increases. Effective April 1, 2009, the federal cigarette excise tax was increased from \$3.90 per carton (\$0.39 per pack) to \$10.07 per carton (\$1.01 per pack). In 2009, 14 states and the District of Columbia enacted increases to state excise taxes and further increases in states—excise taxes are expected. As of the financial statement issuance date, seven states had enacted increases to state excise taxes in 2010 and further increases in states—excise taxes are expected.

New Valley Oaktree Chelsea Eleven, LLC. Chelsea Eleven LLC sold three and 22 condominium units during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010. As of November 4, 2010, 30 of the 54 units in the Chelsea Eleven LLC real estate development had been sold. As of September 30, 2010, Chelsea Eleven LLC had approximately \$86,255 of total assets and \$51,537 of total liabilities, excluding amounts owed to New Valley Oaktree Chelsea Eleven LLC (approximately \$73,590 at September 30, 2010). Chelsea Eleven LLC retired its construction loan during the second quarter of 2010 from the proceeds of the sales of units. In addition, on July 1, 2010, Chelsea Eleven LLC borrowed \$47,100, which is due on July 1, 2012, bearing interest at 14% per annum. The proceeds were used to retire Chelsea Eleven LLC s then outstanding mezzanine debt (approximately \$37,200) and other working capital purposes.

Aberdeen Townhomes LLC. In January 2010 and August 2010, Aberdeen sold two of its four townhomes and the two respective mortgages of approximately \$14,350 were retired. We received a preferred return distribution of approximately \$971 in connection with the sales. In addition, Aberdeen received \$375 in August 2010 from escrow on the January 2010 sale.

40

Table of Contents

In August 2010, we acquired the mortgage loans from Wachovia Bank, N.A. on the two remaining townhomes for approximately \$13,500. In accordance with the accounting guidance as to variable interest entities, we reassessed the primary beneficiary status of the Aberdeen variable interest entity (VIE) and determined that, in August 2010, we became the primary beneficiary of this VIE because we obtained the power to direct activities which significantly impact the economic performance of the VIE; and since we now own the mortgages, we will absorb losses and returns of the VIE.

We are the primary beneficiary of the VIE, and as a result, our condensed consolidated financial statements now include the account balances of Aberdeen Townhomes LLC as of September 30, 2010. We recognized a gain of \$760 primarily resulting from the acquisition of mortgage loans in the third quarter of 2010.

Fifty Third-Five Building LLC. In September 2010, New Valley, through its NV 955 LLC subsidiary, contributed \$2,500 to a joint venture, Fifty Third-Five Building LLC, (JV), of which it owns 50%. The JV was formed for the purposes of acquiring a defaulted real estate loan, collateralized by real estate located in New York City. In October 2010, New Valley contributed an additional \$15,500 to the JV and the JV acquired the defaulted loan for approximately \$35,500.

Sesto Holdings S.r.l. In October 2010, New Valley, through its NV Milan LLC subsidiary, acquired a 7.2% interest in Sesto Holdings S.r.l. for \$5,000. Sesto holds an 80% interest in an entity that has purchased a land plot of approximately 322 acres in Milan, Italy. Sesto intends to develop the land plot as a multi-parcel, multi-building mixed use urban regeneration project.

Recent Developments in Tobacco-Related Litigation

The cigarette industry continues to be challenged on numerous fronts. New cases continue to be commenced against Liggett and other cigarette manufacturers. As of September 30, 2010, there were approximately 7,050 individual suits (excluding approximately 100 individual cases pending in West Virginia state court as part of a consolidated action; Liggett has been severed from the trial of the consolidated action), seven purported class actions and four healthcare cost recovery actions pending in which Liggett or us, or both, were named as a defendant.

Liggett Only Cases. There are currently six cases pending where Liggett is the only tobacco company defendant. Cases where Liggett is the only defendant could increase substantially as a result of the Engle progeny cases. In February 2009, in Ferlanti v. Liggett Group, a Florida state court jury awarded compensatory damages of \$1,200 as well as \$96 in expenses, but found that the plaintiff was 40% at fault. Therefore, plaintiff s award was reduced to \$720 in compensatory damages. Punitive damages were not awarded. Liggett appealed the award. In September 2010, the court awarded plaintiff s attorneys fees of \$996. In Hausrath v. Philip Morris, a case pending in New York state court, where two individuals are suing, plaintiffs dismissed all defendants other than Liggett. There has been no recent activity in the case. In Blitch v. Liggett Group, an Engle progeny case, trial is scheduled for March 2011. The other three individual actions, in which Liggett is the only tobacco company defendant, are dormant. In Davis v. Liggett Group, another Liggett only case, judgment was entered against Liggett in the amount of \$540 plus attorneys fees. The judgment was paid by Liggett and this matter is concluded.

Engle Progeny Cases. In 2000, a jury in Engle v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co. rendered a \$145,000,000 punitive damages verdict in favor of a Florida Class against certain cigarette manufacturers, including Liggett. Pursuant to the Florida Supreme Court s July 2006 ruling in Engle, which decertified the class on a prospective basis, and affirmed the appellate court s reversal of the punitive damages award, former class members had one year from January 11, 2007 in which to file individual lawsuits. In addition, some individuals who filed suit prior to January 11, 2007, and who claim they meet the conditions in Engle, are attempting to avail themselves of the Engle ruling. Lawsuits by individuals requesting the benefit of the Engle ruling,

41

Table of Contents

whether filed before or after the January 11, 2007 deadline, are referred to as the *Engle* progeny cases. Liggett and/or the Company have been named in approximately 7,031 Engle progeny cases in both state and federal courts in Florida. Other cigarette manufacturers have also been named as defendants in these cases, although as the cases are activated, the plaintiffs often dismiss the case against one or more defendants. These cases include approximately 8,172 plaintiffs, approximately 3,862 of whom have claims pending in federal court and 4,970 in state court. Duplicate cases were filed in federal and state court on behalf of approximately 660 plaintiffs. The number of progeny cases will likely increase as the courts may require multi-plaintiff cases to be severed into individual cases. The total number of plaintiffs may also increase as a result of attempts by existing plaintiffs to add additional parties. As of September 30, 2010, 38 alleged Engle progeny cases, where Liggett is currently named as a defendant, were scheduled for trial in 2010 and 2011. As of September 30, 2010, four adverse verdicts in Engle progeny cases have been entered against Liggett. These verdicts are on appeal or will be appealed. In June 2002, the jury in Lukacs v. R. J. Reynolds Tobacco Company, an individual case brought under the third phase of the Engle case, awarded \$37,500, (subsequently reduced by the court to \$24,835) of compensatory damages, plus interest, jointly and severally, against Liggett and two other cigarette manufacturers and found Liggett 50% responsible for the damages. In November 2008, the court entered final judgment. On March 17, 2010, the Third District Court of Appeal affirmed the decision, per curiam. In June 2010, Liggett paid its share of the judgment and settled claims for attorneys fees and accrued interest for a total payment of approximately \$14,361. It is possible that additional cases could be decided unfavorably and that there could be further adverse developments in the Engle case. Liggett may enter into discussions in an attempt to settle particular cases if it believes it is appropriate to do so. We cannot predict the cash requirements related to any future settlements and judgments, including cash required to bond any appeals, and there is a risk that those requirements will not be able to be met.

Critical Accounting Policies

There are no material changes from the critical accounting policies set forth in Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, of our Annual Report on Form 10-K, for the year ended December 31, 2009. Please refer to that section and the information below for disclosures regarding the critical accounting policies related to our business.

Results of Operations

The following discussion provides an assessment of our results of operations, capital resources and liquidity and should be read in conjunction with our condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this report. The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of VGR Holding, Liggett, Vector Tobacco, Liggett Vector Brands, New Valley and other less significant subsidiaries.

As a result of the suspension of the marketing of low nicotine and nicotine-free cigarette products by Vector Tobacco and significant reductions in Vector Tobacco s related research activities, we reevaluated our operating segments and combined the Liggett and Vector Tobacco businesses into a single Tobacco segment. For purposes of this discussion and other consolidated financial reporting, our significant business segments for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 were Tobacco and Real Estate. The Tobacco segment consists of the manufacture and sale of cigarettes and the research related to reduced risk products. The Real Estate segment includes our investment in Escena and investments in non-consolidated real estate businesses. Prior period segment information has been recast to conform to the current presentation.

42

Table of Contents

	Three Mo September 30, 2010	September 30, 2009	Nine Mo September 30, 2010	onths Ended September 30, 2009	
Revenues: Tobacco	\$ 295,124	\$ 236,736	\$ 785,671	\$ 564,746	
Operating income: Tobacco	\$ 35,531				