BALCHEM CORP Form 10-K March 15, 2007

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM	10-K

(Mark One)

|X| ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR SECTION 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006 $^{
m OR}$

|_| TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to ____ .

Commission file number: 1-13648

Balchem Corporation (Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

13-2578432 (I.R.S. Employer Identification

P.O. Box 600, New Hampton, NY 10958
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)
Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (845) 326-5600

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class
Common Stock, par value \$.06-2/3 per share

Name of each exchange on which registered Nasdaq Global Market

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes $|_|$ No |X|

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes |-| No |X|

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes |X| No $|_|$

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this

Form 10-K. |X|

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

(Check one): Large accelerated filer $|_|$ Accelerated filer |X| Non-accelerated filer $|_|$

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes $|_|$ No |X|

The aggregate market value of the common stock issued and outstanding and held by non-affiliates of the Registrant, based upon the closing price for the common stock on the American Stock Exchange on June 30, 2006 was approximately \$257,428,000. For purposes of this calculation, shares of the Registrant held by directors and officers of the Registrant and under the Registrant's 401(k)/profit sharing plan have been excluded.

The number of shares outstanding of the Registrant's common stock was 17,795,446 as of March 1, 2007.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Selected portions of the Registrant's proxy statement for its 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the "2007 Proxy Statement") to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after Registrant's fiscal year-end of December 31, 2006 are incorporated by reference in Part III of this Report.

Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Forward-looking statements are not statements of historical facts, but rather reflect our current expectations or beliefs concerning future events and results. We generally use the words "believes," "expects," "intends," "plans," "anticipates," "likely," "will" and similar expressions to identify forward-looking statements. Such forward-looking statements, including those concerning our expectations, involve risks, uncertainties and other factors, some of which are beyond our control, which may cause our actual results, performance or achievements, or industry results, to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. The risks, uncertainties and factors that could cause our results to differ materially from our expectations and beliefs include, but are not limited to, those factors set forth in this Annual Report on Form 10-K under "Item 1A. - Risk Factors" below, as well as the following:

- o changes in laws or regulations affecting our operations;
- o changes in our business tactics or strategies;
- o acquisitions of new or complementary operations;
- o sales of any of our existing operations;
- o changing market forces or contingencies that necessitate, in

our judgment, changes in our plans, strategy or tactics; and

o fluctuations in the investment markets or interest rates, which might materially affect our operations or financial condition.

We cannot assure you that the expectations or beliefs reflected in these forward-looking statements will prove correct. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. You are cautioned not to unduly rely on such forward-looking statements when evaluating the information presented in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Part I

Item 1. Business

General:

Balchem Corporation ("Balchem," the "Company," "we" or "us"), incorporated in the State of Maryland in 1967, is engaged in the development, manufacture and marketing of specialty performance ingredients and products for the food, nutritional, feed, pharmaceutical and medical sterilization industries. The Company has three segments: specialty products, encapsulated / nutritional products and the unencapsulated feed supplements segment (also referred to in this report as "BCP Ingredients" or "BCP"). Products relating to choline animal feed for non-ruminant animals are primarily reported in the unencapsulated feed supplements segment. Human choline nutrient products and encapsulated products are reported in the encapsulated / nutritional products segment. Chelated products, nutritional products for the animal health industry, as well as calcium carbonate products for the pharmaceutical industry are also reported in the encapsulated / nutritional products segment.

The Company sells its products through its own sales force, independent distributors and sales agents. Financial information concerning the Company's business, business segments and geographic information appears in the Notes to our Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 8 below, which information is incorporated herein by reference.

1

The Company operates four subsidiaries, all of which are wholly-owned: BCP Ingredients, Inc. ("BCP"), Balchem Minerals Corporation ("BMC"), BCP St. Gabriel, Inc. ("BCP St. Gabriel"), each a Delaware corporation, and Chelated Minerals Corporation ("CMC"), a Utah corporation. Unless otherwise stated to the contrary, or unless the context otherwise requires, references to the Company in this report includes Balchem Corporation and its subsidiaries.

Encapsulated / Nutritional Products

The encapsulated / nutritional products segment provides microencapsulation, chelation and agglomeration solutions to a variety of applications in food, pharmaceutical and nutritional ingredients to enhance therapeutic performance, taste, processing, packaging and shelf-life. Major product applications are baked goods, refrigerated and frozen dough systems, processed meats, seasoning blends, confections, nutritional supplements, pharmaceuticals and animal nutrition. We also market human grade choline nutrient products through this industry segment for wellness applications. Choline is recognized to play a key role in the structural integrity of cell

membranes, processing dietary fat, reproductive development and neural functions, such as memory and muscle function. Balchem's portfolio of granulated calcium carbonate products are primarily used in, or in conjunction with, novel over-the-counter and prescription pharmaceuticals for the treatment of osteoporosis, gastric disorders and calcium deficiencies.

In animal health industries, Balchem markets REASHURE(R) Choline, an encapsulated choline product that improves health and production in transition and early lactation dairy cows. REASHURE(R) delivers choline that survives the rumen and is biologically available, providing required nutritional levels to dairy cows during certain weeks preceding and following calving, commonly referred to as the "transition period" of the animal. Also in animal health we market NITROSHURETM, an encapsulated urea supplement for lactating dairy cows that is designed to create a slow-release nitrogen source for the rumen, allowing for greater flexibility in feed rations for dairy nutritionists and producers, and NIASHURETM, our microencapsulated niacin product for dairy cows. In addition, CMC manufactures, sells and distributes chelated mineral supplements for use in animal feed industries throughout the world. CMC's proprietary chelation technology provides for enhanced nutrient absorption for various species of production and companion animals.

Specialty Products

Our specialty products segment operates as ARC Specialty Products. The specialty products segment repackages and distributes the following specialty gases: ethylene oxide, blends of ethylene oxide, propylene oxide and methyl chloride.

Balchem's sale of ethylene oxide, at the 100% level, is sold as a sterilant gas, primarily for use in the health care industry. It is used to sterilize a wide range of medical devices because of its versatility and effectiveness in treating hard or soft surfaces, composites, metals, tubing and different types of plastics without negatively impacting the performance or appearance of the device being sterilized. The Company distributes its 100% ethylene oxide product in uniquely designed, recyclable double-walled stainless steel drums to assure compliance with safety, quality and environmental standards as outlined by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (the "EPA") and the U.S. Department of Transportation. The Company's inventory of these specially built drums, along with the Company's three filling facilities, represent a significant capital investment. Contract sterilizers, medical device manufacturers, and medical gas distributors are the Company's principal customers for this product. As a fumigant, ethylene oxide blends are highly effective in killing bacteria, fungi, and insects in spices and other seasoning materials. In addition, the Company also sells small, uniquely designed single use canisters of 100% ethylene oxide for use in medical device sterilization.

We sell two other products, propylene oxide and methyl chloride, principally to customers seeking smaller (as opposed to bulk) quantities and whose requirements include timely delivery and safe handling. Propylene oxide is used for fumigation in spice treatment and in various chemical synthesis applications. It is also utilized in industrial applications to make paints more durable, and for manufacturing specialty

2

starches and textile coatings. Methyl chloride is used as a raw material in specialty herbicides, fertilizers and pharmaceuticals, as well as in malt and wine preservers.

BCP Ingredients

This segment manufactures and supplies choline chloride, an essential nutrient for animal health, predominantly to the poultry and swine industries. Choline plays a vital role in the metabolism of fat and the building and maintaining of cell structures. A choline deficiency can result in, among other symptoms, reduced growth and perosis in poultry; and fat deposits in the liver, kidney necrosis and general poor health conditions in swine. In addition, certain derivatives of choline chloride are also manufactured and sold into industrial applications. Choline chloride is manufactured and sold in both an aqueous and dry form and is sold through the Company's own sales force, independent distributors and sales agents.

Raw Materials:

The raw materials utilized by the Company in the manufacture of its products are generally available from a number of commercial sources. The Company is not experiencing any current difficulties in procuring such materials and does not anticipate any such problems; however, the Company cannot assure that will always be the case.

Intellectual Property:

The Company currently holds 15 patents in the United States and overseas and uses certain trade-names and trademarks. It also uses know-how, trade secrets, formulae, and manufacturing techniques that assist in maintaining competitive positions of certain of its products. Formulae and know-how are of particular importance in the manufacture of a number of the Company's products. The Company believes that certain of its patents, in the aggregate, are advantageous to its business. However, it is believed that no single patent or related group of patents is currently so material to the Company that the expiration or termination of any single patent or group of patents would materially affect its business. The Company believes that its sales and competitive position are dependent primarily upon the quality of its products, its technical sales efforts and market conditions, rather than on any patent protection.

Licensing:

The Company entered into a license agreement with Project Management and Development Co., Ltd., a British corporation ("PMD") in November 2005 under which the Company granted PMD the right to utilize the Company's proprietary continuous manufacturing technology for the production of aqueous choline chloride in connection with PMD's construction and operation of an aqueous choline chloride production facility at PMD's Al-Jubail, Saudi Arabia petrochemical facility, currently scheduled for completion in late 2009. In addition, PMD has the exclusive right to use such technology in certain countries, as well as the non-exclusive right to market, sell and use the products derived from such technology on a world-wide basis except that the Company is to be PMD's exclusive North American distributor for such products.

The License Agreement terminates either 10 years from the start-up of PMD's production facility or December 31, 2020, whichever is earlier. As of August 2006, PMD assigned the license agreement in its entirety to its successor in interest, Al Kayan Petrochemical Company.

Seasonality:

In general, the business of the Company's segments is not seasonal to any material extent.

3

Backlog:

At December 31, 2006, the Company had a total backlog of \$2,853,000 (including \$1,769,000 for the encapsulated / nutritional products segment, \$655,000 for the specialty products segment and \$429,000 for BCP Ingredients), as compared to a total backlog of \$2,688,000 at December 31, 2005 (including \$1,794,000 for the encapsulated / nutritional products segment, \$548,000 for the specialty products segment and \$346,000 for the BCP Ingredients segment). It has generally been the Company's policy and practice to maintain an inventory of finished products and / or component materials for its segments to enable it to ship products within a short time after receipt of a product order.

Competition:

The Company's competitors include many large and small companies, some of which have greater financial, research and development, production and other resources than the Company. Competition in the encapsulation markets served by the Company is based primarily on performance, customer support, quality, service and price. The development of new and improved products is important to the Company's success. This competitive environment requires substantial investments in product and manufacturing process research and development. In addition, the winning and retention of customer acceptance of the Company's encapsulated products involve substantial expenditures for application testing and sales efforts. The Company also engages various universities to assist in research and provide independent third-party analysis. In the specialty products business, the Company faces competition from alternative sterilizing technologies and products. Competition in the animal feed markets served by the Company is based primarily on service and price.

Research & Development:

During the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, the Company incurred research and development expense of approximately \$2.0 million, \$2.1 million and \$1.8 million, respectively, on Company-sponsored research and development for new products and improvements to existing products and manufacturing processes, principally in the encapsulated / nutritional products segment. During the year ended December 31, 2006, an average of 13 employees were devoted full time to research and development activities. The Company has historically funded its research and development programs with funds available from current operations with the intent of recovering those costs from profits derived from future sales of products resulting from, or enhanced by, the research and development effort.

The Company prioritizes its product development activities in an effort to allocate its resources to those product candidates that the Company believes have the greatest commercial potential. Factors considered by the Company in determining the products to pursue include projected markets and needs, status of its proprietary rights, technical feasibility, expected and known product attributes, and estimated costs to bring the product to market.

Acquisitions, Dispositions, and Capital Projects:

In 2006, the Company made two significant acquisitions.

In August 2006, we acquired from BioAdditives, LLC, CMB Additives, LLC and CMB Realty of Louisiana, an animal feed grade aqueous choline chloride manufacturing facility and related assets located in St. Gabriel, Louisiana. In connection, we also acquired from such sellers the remaining interest in a land lease (approximately 19 years) relating to the realty upon which the acquired facility and related assets are located. In this Annual Report on Form 10-K, we refer to this acquisition as the "St. Gabriel Acquisition."

In February 2006, we acquired all of the outstanding capital stock of CMC, which was then privately held. In this Annual Report on Form 10-K, we refer to this acquisition as the "CMC Acquisition."

4

In addition, in June 2005, we acquired Loders Croklaan USA, LLC's encapsulation, agglomeration and granulation business. In this Annual Report on Form 10-K, we refer to this acquisition as the "Loders Crooklaan Acquisition."

Excluding our 2006 acquisitions, capital expenditures were approximately \$2.3 million for 2006, as compared to \$1.8 million in 2005. Capital expenditures are projected to be approximately \$4.8 million for 2007.

Environmental / Regulatory Matters:

The Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act, as amended ("FIFRA"), a health and safety statute, requires that certain products within the Company's specialty products segment must be registered with the EPA because they are considered pesticides. In order to obtain a registration, an applicant typically must demonstrate through extensive test data that its product will not cause unreasonable adverse effects on the environment. The Company holds an EPA registration permitting it to sell ethylene oxide as a medical device sterilant and spice fumigant. The Company is in the process of re-registering this product's use in compliance with FIFRA re-registration requirements for all pesticide products. In December 2004, the EPA informed the Company and the other technical registrant under the current registration that the Agency was beginning the 6-phase process to develop a Re-registration Eligibility Decision (RED) for this product. This multi-phase process entered Phase 5 last year. The EPA's Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP) had originally stated its intent to finalize the RED by August 2006, but bifurcated the process, and dealt only with the reassessment of spice residue tolerances mandated by the Food Quality Protection Act of 1996. On August 9, 2006, OPP issued a Tolerance Reassessment Progress and Risk Management Decision (TRED) relating to the use of ethylene oxide to treat spices. This TRED prohibits the use of ethylene oxide to treat basil, effective August 1, 2007, but allows the continuing use of ethylene oxide to treat all other spices, provided users follow a mandated treatment method. In the Federal Register notice announcing the TRED, the EPA stated its intent to complete the RED process for ethylene oxide in 2007. Upon completion of the EPA's Office of Research and Development (ORD) assessment of the carcinogenicity of ethylene oxide, OPP will complete preparation of the RED. ORD issued a draft "Evaluation of the Carcinogenicity of Ethylene Oxide" in a Federal Register notice, dated September 22, 2006. This assessment is currently undergoing review by a special panel of the Science Advisory Board. ORD indicates the assessment will be finalized by the summer of 2007. The Company has actively participated in the public access portions of both the ORD assessment process and the OPP's RED process and will continue to do so until their conclusions. With regard to

the RED process, as of this date, the OPP expressed concerns about occupational exposures to ethylene oxide. The EPA requested additional information from the industry, which the Company is actively involved in providing. The EPA has also indicated additional testing may be required in order to maintain the current uses. The Company believes that the use will continue to be permitted, although the Agency may require some additional restrictions on current uses. Additionally, the product, when used as a medical device sterilant, has no known equally effective substitute. Management believes absence of availability of this product could not be easily tolerated by various medical device manufacturers and the health care industry due to the resultant infection potential, if the product were unavailable.

The State of California lists 100% ethylene oxide, when used as a sterilant or fumigant, as a carcinogen and reproductive toxin under California's Proposition 65 (Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986). As a result, the Company is required to provide a prescribed warning to any person in California who may be exposed to this product. Failure to provide such warning would result in liability of up to \$2,500 per day per person exposed.

The Company's facility in Verona, Missouri, while held by a prior owner, was designated by the EPA as a Superfund site and placed on the National Priorities List in 1983, because of dioxin contamination on portions of the site. Remediation conducted by the prior owner under the oversight of the EPA and the Missouri Department of Natural Resources ("MDNR") included removal of dioxin contaminated soil and equipment, capping of areas of residual contamination in four relatively small areas of the site separate from the manufacturing facilities, and the installation of wells to monitor groundwater and surface water for

5

contamination for certain organic chemicals. No ground water or surface water treatment has been required. In 1998, the EPA certified the work on the contaminated soils to be complete. In February 2000, after the conclusion of two years of monitoring groundwater and surface water, the former owner submitted a draft third party risk assessment report to the EPA and MDNR recommending no further action. The prior owner is awaiting the response of the EPA and MDNR to the draft risk assessment.

While the Company must maintain the integrity of the capped areas in the remediation areas on the site, the prior owner is responsible for completion of any further Superfund remedy. The Company is indemnified by the sellers under its May 2001 asset purchase agreement covering its acquisition of the Verona facility for potential liabilities associated with the Superfund site and one of the sellers, in turn, has the benefit of certain contractual indemnification by the prior owner that executed the above-described Superfund remedy.

In connection with normal operations at its plant facilities, the Company is required to maintain environmental and other permits including those relating to the ethylene oxide operations.

The Company believes it is in compliance in all material respects with federal, state, and local provisions that have been enacted or adopted regulating the discharge of materials into the environment or otherwise relating to the protection of the environment. Such compliance includes the maintenance of required permits under air pollution regulations and compliance with requirements of the Occupational Safety and Health Administration. The cost of such compliance has not had a material effect upon the results of operations or financial condition of the Company. In 1982, the Company discovered and thereafter removed a number of buried drums containing unidentified waste

material from the Company's site in Slate Hill, New York. The Company thereafter entered into a Consent Decree to evaluate the drum site with the New York Department of Environmental Conservation ("NYDEC") and performed a Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study that was approved by NYDEC in February 1994. Based on NYDEC requirements, the Company remediated the area and removed soil from the drum burial site. This proceeding has been substantially completed (see Item 3).

Our Channahon, Illinois manufacturing facility manufactures a calcium carbonate line of pharmaceutical ingredients. This facility is registered with the United States Food and Drug Administration ("FDA") as a drug manufacturing facility. These products must be manufactured in conformity with current Good Manufacturing Practice (cGMP) regulations as interpreted and enforced by the FDA. Modifications, enhancements or changes in manufacturing facilities or procedures of our pharmaceutical products are, in many circumstances, subject to FDA approval, which may be subject to a lengthy application process or which we may be unable to obtain. Our Channahon, Illinois facility, as well as those of any third-party cGMP manufacturers that we may use, are periodically subject to inspection by the FDA and other governmental agencies, and operations at these facilities could be interrupted or halted if the results of these inspections are unsatisfactory.

Employees:

As of March 1, 2007, the Company employed approximately 230 persons. Approximately 50 employees at the Company's Verona, Missouri facility are covered by a collective bargaining agreement which expires in 2007.

Available Information:

The Company's headquarters is located at 52 Sunrise Park Road, P.O. Box 600, New Hampton, NY 10958. The Company's telephone number is (845) 326-5600 and its Internet website address is www.balchem.com. The Company makes available through its website, free of charge, its Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and Current Reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to such reports, as soon as reasonably practicable after they have been electronically filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Such reports are available via a link from the Investor Information page on the

6

Company's website to a list of the Company's reports on the Securities and Exchange Commission's EDGAR website.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Our business involves a high degree of risk and uncertainty, including the following risks and uncertainties:

Increased competition could hurt our business and financial results.

We face competition in our markets from a number of large and small companies, some of which have greater financial, research and development, production and other resources than we do. Our competitive position is based principally on performance, quality, customer support, service, breadth of product line, manufacturing or packaging technology and the selling prices of our products. Our competitors might be expected to improve the design and performance of their products and to introduce new products with competitive

price and performance characteristics. We expect to do the same to maintain our current competitive position and market share.

One of our customers accounts for about 8% of our business; the loss of that customer could adversely impact our business and financial results.

Due to consolidation of customer businesses in the contract sterilization industry, we have one specialty products customer, which accounted for approximately 8% and 9% of our net sales in 2006 and 2005, respectively. This customer accounted for 10% and 8% of our accounts receivable net balance at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The loss of this customer could have a material adverse effect on our business and financial results.

The loss of governmental $\,$ permits and approvals would materially harm some of our businesses.

Pursuant to applicable environmental and safety laws and regulations, we are required to obtain and maintain certain governmental permits and approvals, including an EPA registration for our ethylene oxide sterilant product. We maintain an EPA registration of ethylene oxide as a medical device sterilant and fumicide. We are in the process of re-registering this product in accordance with FIFRA. The EPA may not allow re-registration of ethylene oxide for the uses mentioned above. The failure of the EPA to allow re-registration of ethylene oxide would have a material adverse effect on our business and financial results.

Our Channahon, Illinois manufacturing facility manufactures a calcium carbonate line of pharmaceutical ingredients. This facility is registered with the FDA as a drug manufacturing facility. These products must be manufactured in conformity with current Good Manufacturing Practice (cGMP) regulations as interpreted and enforced by the FDA. Modifications, enhancements or changes in manufacturing facilities or procedures of our pharmaceutical products are, in many circumstances, subject to FDA approval, which may be subject to a lengthy application process or which we may be unable to obtain. Our Channahon, Illinois facility, as well as those of any third-party cGMP manufacturers that we may use, are periodically subject to inspection by the FDA and other governmental agencies, and operations at these facilities could be interrupted or halted if the results of these inspections are unsatisfactory. Failure to comply with the FDA or other governmental regulations can result in fines, unanticipated compliance expenditures, recall or seizure of products, total or partial suspension of production, enforcement actions, injunctions and criminal prosecution, which could have a material adverse effect on our business and financial results.

Permits and approvals may be subject to revocation, modification or denial under certain circumstances. Our operations or activities (including the status of compliance by the prior owner of the Verona, Missouri facility under Superfund remediation) could result in administrative or private actions, revocation of required permits or licenses, or fines, penalties or damages, which could have an adverse

7

effect on us. In addition, we can not predict the extent to which any legislation or regulation may affect the market for our products or our cost of doing business.

Raw material shortages or price increases could adversely affect our business and financial results.

The principal raw materials that we use in the manufacture of our products can be subject to price fluctuations. While the selling prices of our products tend to increase or decrease over time with the cost of raw materials, these changes may not occur simultaneously or to the same degree. At times, we may be unable to pass increases in raw material costs through to our customers. Such increases in the price of raw materials, if not offset by product price increases, or substitute raw materials, would have an adverse impact on our profitability.

Our financial success depends in part on the reliability and sufficiency of our manufacturing facilities.

Our revenues depend on the effective operation of our manufacturing, packaging, and processing facilities. The operation of our facilities involves risks, including the breakdown, failure, or substandard performance of equipment, power outages, the improper installation, or operation of equipment, explosions, fires, natural disasters and the need to comply with environmental and other directives of governmental agencies. The occurrence of material operational problems, including but not limited to the above events, could adversely affect our profitability during the period of such operational difficulties.

Our failure or inability to protect our intellectual property could harm our business and financial results.

We hold 15 patents in the United States and overseas. Third parties could seek to challenge, invalidate or circumvent our patents. Moreover, there could be successful claims against us alleging that we infringe the intellectual property rights of others. If we are unable to protect all of our intellectual property rights, or if we are found to be infringing the intellectual property rights of others, there could be an adverse effect on our business and financial results. Our competitive position also depends on our use of unpatented trade secrets. Competitors could independently develop substantially equivalent proprietary information, which could hurt our business and financial results.

We face risks associated with our sales to customers $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right$

For the year ended December 31, 2006, approximately 10% of our net sales consisted of sales outside the United States, predominately to Europe, Japan and China. Such sales are generally denominated in U.S. Dollars at a specific price per unit. Changes in the relative values of currencies take place from time to time and could in the future adversely affect prices foreign customers are willing to pay for our products. In addition, international sales are subject to other inherent risks, including possible labor unrest, political instability, export duties and quotas. These factors could have a material adverse impact on our ability to increase or maintain our international sales.

Our success depends in large part on our key personnel.

Our operations significantly depend on the continued efforts of our senior executives. The loss of the services of certain executives for an extended period of time could have a material adverse effect on our business and financial results.

Litigation could be costly and can adversely affect our business and financial results.

We, like all companies involved in the food and pharmaceutical industries, are subject to potential claims for product liability relating to our products. Such claims, irrespective of their outcomes or merits, could be time-consuming and expensive to defend, and could result in the diversion of management time

8

and attention. Any of these situations could have a material adverse effect on our business and financial results. It is possible that an adverse result in Casey Liesse, et al. v. AGA AB, et al. (see Item 3 of the Report) or other legal proceedings commenced against us could have a negative impact on our financial condition or liquidity.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

In February 2002, the Company entered into a ten (10) year lease for approximately 20,000 square feet of office space in New Hampton, New York. The office space is serving as the Company's general offices and as laboratory facilities for the Company's encapsulated / nutritional products business.

Manufacturing facilities owned by the Company for its encapsulated products segment and a blending, drumming and terminal facility for the Company's ethylene oxide business, are presently housed in three buildings located in Slate Hill, New York comprising a total of approximately 51,000 square feet. The Company owns a total of approximately 16 acres of land on two parcels in this community.

The Company owns a facility located on an approximately 24 acre parcel of land in Green Pond, South Carolina. The site consists of a drumming facility, a canister filling facility, a maintenance building and an office building comprising a total of approximately 34,000 square feet. The Company uses this site for processing products in its specialty products segment.

The Company's Verona, Missouri site, which is located on approximately 100 acres, consists of manufacturing facilities relating to animal feed grade choline, human choline nutrients, a drumming facility for the Company's ethylene oxide business, together with buildings utilized for warehousing such products. The Verona operation buildings comprise a total of approximately 151,000 square feet. The facility, while under prior ownership, was designated by the EPA as a Superfund site (see Item 1 - "Business - Environmental / Regulatory Matters").

The Company leases production and warehouse space in Channahon, Illinois as a result of the Loders Croklaan Acquisition. The Company uses this facility for production related to the Company's pharmaceutical line of business. The initial term of the lease is effective through September 30, 2010, subject to earlier termination by Balchem upon sixty days notice, or by the landlord upon sixty days notice. The Company's leased space in Channahon, Illinois totals approximately 26,000 square feet.

The Company, through CMC, owns a manufacturing facility and warehouse, comprising approximately 16,500 square feet, located on approximately 5 acres of land in Salt Lake City, Utah. The Company manufactures and distributes its chelated mineral nutrients for animal feed products at this location.

The Company, through BCP, acquired in the St. Gabriel Acquisition a manufacturing facility located upon approximately 11 acres of realty leased from Taminco Higher Amines, Inc. in St. Gabriel, Louisiana. The Company manufactures and distributes animal feed grade choline chloride at this location.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

In 1982 the Company discovered and thereafter removed a number of buried drums containing unidentified waste material from the Company's site in Slate Hill, New York. The Company thereafter entered into a Consent Decree to evaluate the drum site with the New York Department of Environmental Conservation ("NYDEC") and performed a Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study that was approved by NYDEC in February 1994. Based on NYDEC requirements, the Company remediated the area and removed soil from the drum burial site. Clean-up was completed in 1996, and NYDEC required the Company to

9

monitor the site through 1999. The Company continues to be involved in discussions with NYDEC to evaluate monitoring results and determine what, if any, additional actions will be required on the part of the Company to close out the remediation of this site. Additional actions, if any, would likely require the Company to continue monitoring the site. The cost of such monitoring has recently been less than \$5,000 per year.

Casey Liesse, et al. v. AGA AB, et al., Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois, Case No. 02 L 000498, was commenced in 2002 against over 80 defendants, among which is the Company. The action alleges that nineteen individual plaintiffs were exposed to ethylene oxide and other chemicals used for sterilizing or cleaning medical instruments during their employment at a hospital in Harvey, Illinois. As a result of the alleged exposure, the plaintiffs claim they have suffered various physical and psychological injuries. During the time period plaintiffs suffered their alleged injuries, the Company was in the business of repackaging and distributing ethylene oxide, among other products. The Company never sold any product to the hospital but has been injoined due to the fact that it distributed ethylene oxide for medical device sterilization to other companies that blend ethylene oxide for sales to the hospital noted. On February 9, 2006, the trial court ruled in favor of Balchem's Motion for Summary, dismissing all of the plaintiffs' claims of negligence, products liability and/or conspiracy against the Company. The plaintiffs filed a Notice of Appeal to the trial court's summary judgment order, and on October 19, 2006 the Illinois Court of Appeals dismissed the plaintiffs' appeal for failure to file the record on appeal within the prescribed time and for want of prosecution. The plaintiffs did not seek to appeal this ruling to the Illinois Supreme Court, thereby precluding the right of any further appeals by the plaintiffs of the rulings in favor of the Company. Plaintiffs continue to pursue claims and file appeals against other defendants in the action but these have no direct impact on the Company. Balchem may still be subject to ancillary claims of indemnification and contribution from other defendants in the litigation, but the Company believes that these claims are either without merit or time barred or both.

The Company is also involved in other legal proceedings through the normal course of business. Management believes that any unfavorable outcome related to these proceedings will not have a material effect on the Company's financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

None.

PART II

(a) Market Information.

On December 8, 2006, the Board of Directors of the Company approved a three-for-two split of the Company's common stock to be effected in the form of a stock dividend to shareholders of record on December 29, 2006. Such stock dividend was made on January 19, 2007. The stock split was recognized by reclassifying the par value of the additional shares resulting from the split, from additional paid-in capital to common stock.

On December 15, 2005, the Board of Directors of the Company approved a three-for-two split of the Company's common stock to be effected in the form of a stock dividend to shareholders of record on December 30, 2005. Such stock dividend was made on January 20, 2006. The stock split was recognized by reclassifying the par value of the additional shares resulting from the split, from additional paid-in capital to common stock.

On December 16, 2004, the Board of Directors of the Company approved a three-for-two split of the Company's common stock to be effected in the form of a stock dividend to shareholders of record on

10

December 30, 2004. Such stock dividend was made on January 20, 2005. The stock split was recognized by reclassifying the par value of the additional shares resulting from the split, from additional paid-in capital to common stock.

All references to number of common shares and per share amounts except shares authorized in the accompanying consolidated financial statements were retroactively adjusted to reflect the effect of the December 2006 stock split.

Since December 22, 2006, the Company's common stock has traded on the Nasdaq Global Market under the trading symbol BCPC. Prior to that, our common stock traded on the American Stock Exchange under the trading symbol BCP. The high and low closing prices for the common stock as recorded for each quarterly period during the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, adjusted for the December 2006 and 2005 three-for-two stock splits (effected by means of stock dividends) were as follows:

Quarterly Period	High			Low
Ended March 31, 2006 Ended June 30, 2006 Ended September 30, 2006 Ended December 31, 2006	\$	15.99 15.85 15.93 19.25	\$	13.57 13.41 13.07 12.80

Quarterly Period	High			Low
Ended March 31, 2005 Ended June 30, 2005 Ended September 30, 2005 Ended December 31, 2005	\$	11.11 13.37 14.42 13.25	\$	9.64 9.71 11.69 11.56

On March 1, 2007 the closing price for the common stock on the Nasdaq Global Market was \$14.53.

(b) Record Holders.

As of March 1, 2007, the approximate number of holders of record of the Company's common stock was 198. Such number does not include stockholders who hold their stock in street name. The total number of beneficial owners of the Company's common stock is estimated to be approximately 7,271.

(c) Dividends.

The Company declared cash dividends of \$0.09 and \$0.06 per share on its common stock during its fiscal years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively (after giving effect to the December 2006 and 2005 three-for-two stock splits).

For information concerning prior stockholder approval of and other matters relating to our equity incentive plans, see Item 12 in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

(d) Performance Graph.

The graph below sets forth the cumulative total stockholder return on the Company's Common Stock (referred to in the table as "BCPC") for the five years ended December 31, 2006, the overall stock market return during such period for shares comprising the Russell 2000(R) Index (which the Company believes includes companies with market capitalization similar to that of the Company), and the overall stock market return during such period for shares comprising the Standard & Poor's 500 Food Group Index, in each case assuming a comparable initial investment of \$100 on December 31, 2001 and the subsequent reinvestment of dividends. The Russell 2000(R) Index measures the performance of the shares of the 2000 smallest companies included in the Russell 3000(R) Index. In light of the Company's industry segments, the

11

Company does not believe that published industry-specific indices are necessarily representative of stocks comparable to the Company. Nevertheless, the Company considers the Standard & Poor's 500 Food Group Index to be potentially useful as a peer group index with respect to the Company in light of the Company's encapsulated / nutritional products segment. The performance of the Company's Common Stock shown on the graph below is historical only and not indicative of future performance.

	I	ВСРС	Rus 2000 (R)	ssell Index		P Food p Index
12/31/01	\$ 2	100.00	\$	100.00	\$	100.00
12/31/02	\$ 2	113.82	\$	79.52	\$	102.85
12/31/03	\$ 2	106.79	\$	117.09	\$	89.49
12/31/04	\$ 2	162.48	\$	138.55	\$	103.97
12/31/05	\$ 2	209.44	\$	144.86	\$	93.10
12/31/06	\$ 2	270.63	\$	171.47	\$	105.69
	Stock Price		V	/alue 		Value
12/31/01	\$	6.33	\$1,	941.39	23	39.1297
12/31/02	\$	7.20	\$1,	543.73	24	15.9495
12/31/03	\$	6.76	\$2,	273.20	21	3.9892
12/31/04	\$	10.28	\$2,	689.86	24	18.6118
12/31/05	\$	13.25	\$2,	812.35	22	22.6220
12/31/06	\$	17.12	\$3,	328.90	25	52.7360

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The selected statements of operations data set forth below for the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006 and the selected balance sheet data as of December 31, 2006 and 2005 have been derived from our Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere herein. The selected financial data as of December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002 and for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002 have been derived from audited Consolidated Financial Statements not included herein, but which were previously filed with the SEC. The following information should be read in conjunction with Item 7 -- "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and the Consolidated Financial Statements and notes thereto included elsewhere herein.

All dollar amounts are in thousands (other than per share amounts). Earnings per share and dividend amounts have been adjusted for the December 2006, 2005 and 2004 three-for-two stock splits (effected by means of stock dividends).

((Tn	thousands,	except.	per	share	data))

Year ended December 31,	2(1)(2	006 2) (3)	2	005	2	004	2	003	2002
Statement of Operations Data									
Net sales Earnings before income	\$ 100	905	\$ 8	3,095	\$ 6	7,406	\$ 6	1,875	\$ 60,197
tax expense	19	9,101	1	7,191	1	2,715		8,763	11,845
Income tax expense	(5,823		6 , 237		4 , 689		3 , 125	4,429
Net earnings	12	2,278	1	0,954		8,026		5,638	7,416
Basic net earnings per									
common share	\$.70	\$.63	\$.48	\$.35	\$.46
Diluted net earnings per									
common share	\$.67	\$.61	\$.46	\$.33	\$.44

12

At December 31,	 2006	 2005	 2004	 2003	 2002
Balance Sheet Data					
Total assets	\$ 92,333	\$ 75,141	\$ 60,405	\$ 56 , 906	\$ 53,298
Long-term debt Other long-term				7,839	9,581
Obligations Total stockholders' equity	784 75 , 362	1,043 60,933	1,003 50,234	985 39 , 781	964 33 , 269
Dividends per common share	\$.09	\$.06	\$.04	\$.023	\$.023

(1) Includes the operating results, cash flows, and assets relating to

the Loders Croklaan $\,$ Acquisition from the date of acquisition $\,$ (July 1, 2005) forward.

- (2) Includes the operating results, cash flows, and assets relating to the CMC Acquisition from the date of acquisition (February 8, 2006) forward.
- (3) Includes the operating results, cash flows, and assets relating to the St. Gabriel Acquisition from the date of acquisition (August 24, 2006) forward.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Overview

The Company develops, manufactures, distributes and markets specialty performance ingredients and products for the food, nutritional, pharmaceutical, feed and medical sterilization industries. The Company's reportable segments are strategic businesses that offer products and services to different markets. The Company presently has three reportable segments: specialty products; encapsulated / nutritional products; and BCP Ingredients.

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with Item 6 -- "Selected Financial Data" and our Consolidated Financial Statements and the related notes included in this report. Those statements in the following discussion that are not historical in nature should be considered to be forward-looking statements that are inherently uncertain. See "Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements".

Specialty Products

The specialty products segment repackages and distributes the following specialty gases: ethylene oxide, blends of ethylene oxide, propylene oxide and methyl chloride.

Ethylene oxide, at the 100% level, is sold as a chemical sterilant gas, primarily for use in the health care industry and used to sterilize medical devices. Contract sterilizers, medical device manufacturers and medical gas distributors are the Company's principal customers for this product. Blends of ethylene oxide are sold as fumigants and are highly effective in killing bacteria, fungi, and insects in spices and other seasoning type materials. In addition, the Company also sells single use canisters with 100% ethylene oxide for use in medical device sterilization. Propylene oxide and methyl chloride are sold principally to customers seeking smaller (as opposed to bulk) quantities.

Management believes that future success in this segment is highly dependent on the Company's ability to maintain its strong reputation for excellent quality, safety and customer service.

Encapsulated / Nutritional Products

The encapsulated / nutritional products segment provides microencapsulation, chelation and agglomeration solutions to a variety of applications in food, pharmaceutical and nutritional ingredients to enhance therapeutic performance, taste, processing, packaging and shelf-life. Major end product

13

applications are baked goods, refrigerated and frozen dough systems, processed meats, seasoning blends, confections, nutritional supplementations, pharmaceuticals and animal nutrition. We also market human grade choline nutrient products through this industry segment for wellness applications. Choline is recognized to play a key role in the structural integrity of cell membranes, processing dietary fat, reproductive development and neural functions, such as memory and muscle function. Balchem's portfolio of granulated calcium carbonate products are primarily used in novel over-the-counter and prescription pharmaceuticals for the treatment of osteoporosis, gastric disorders and calcium deficiencies in the United States.

Management believes this segment's key strengths are its proprietary technology and end-product application capabilities. The success of the Company's efforts to increase revenue in this segment is highly dependent on the timing of marketing launches of new products in the U.S. and international food and nutrition markets by the Company's customers and prospects. The Company, through its innovative proprietary technology and applications expertise, continues to develop new products designed to solve and respond to customer problems and innovative needs. Sales of specialty products for the animal nutrition and health industry are highly dependent on dairy industry economics as well as the ability of the Company to leverage the results of existing successful university research on the animal health benefits of the Company's products.

BCP Ingredients

BCP Ingredients manufactures and supplies choline chloride, an essential nutrient for animal health, to the poultry and swine industries. In addition, certain derivatives of choline chloride are also marketed into industrial applications.

Management believes that success in this commodity-oriented marketplace is highly dependent on the Company's ability to maintain its strong reputation for excellent product quality and customer service. In addition, the Company must continue to increase production efficiencies in order to maintain its low-cost position to effectively compete for market share in a highly competitive global marketplace.

The Company sells $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +$

The following tables summarize consolidated net sales by segment and business segment earnings before income taxes for the three years ended December $31,\ 2006,\ 2005$ and 2004 (in thousands):

Business Segment Net Sales:

	 2006	 2005	====	2004
Specialty Products Encapsulated/Nutritional Products BCP Ingredients	\$ 32,026 41,565 27,314	\$ 29,433 32,499 21,163	\$	28,767 24,759 13,880
Total	\$ 100,905	\$ 83,095	\$	67,406

Business Segment Earnings Before Income Taxes:

	 2006	 2005	====	2004
Specialty Products Encapsulated/Nutritional Products BCP Ingredients Interest and other income (expense)	\$ 11,315 4,200 3,647 (61)	\$ 11,007 3,217 2,679 288	\$	10,693 992 1,112 (82)
Total	\$ 19,101	\$ 17,191	\$	12,715

14

Fiscal Year 2006 compared to Fiscal Year 2005 (All amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)

Net Sales

Net sales for 2006 were \$100,905 compared with \$83,095 for 2005, an increase of \$17,810 or 21.4%. Net sales for the specialty products segment were \$32,026 for 2006, as compared with \$29,433 for 2005, an increase of \$2,593 or 8.8%. This increase was principally due to an increase in sales volume along with modest price increases for our ethylene oxide products for medical device sterilization. Net sales for the encapsulated / nutritional products segment were \$41,565 for 2006 compared with \$32,499 for 2005, an increase of \$9,066 or 27.9%. This increase was due principally to increased volumes sold in the human choline market, favorable changes in product mix in the domestic and international food markets and approximately \$6,362 of incremental sales associated with the Company's newly acquired pharmaceutical, food, and chelated minerals business lines resulting from the Loders Croklaan Acquisition and the CMC Acquisition (see Notes 7 and 6 to our Consolidated Financial Statements, respectively). The Company also experienced volume improvements in sales of REASHURE(R), our animal nutrition and health product targeted for dairy cows. Net sales for the BCP Ingredients segment of \$27,314 were realized for 2006 compared with \$21,163 for 2005, an increase of \$6,151 or 29.1%. This increase was due to increased volumes sold in dry and aqueous choline and choline derivatives, along with modest price increases in all product lines and revenue recognized of \$842 relating to the PMD license $% \left(1,0\right) =0$ agreement as compared to \$158 in 2005 (see Note 14 to our Consolidated Financial Statements).

Gross Margin

Gross margin for 2006 increased to \$34,006 compared to \$28,680 for 2005, an increase of 18.6%, due largely to the above-noted increase in sales. Gross margin percentage for 2006 was 33.7%, as compared to 34.5% for 2005, as our margin percentage was unfavorably affected by product mix and higher raw material and energy costs. Gross margin percentage for the specialty products segment decreased slightly primarily due to rising raw material costs. Gross margin percentage in the encapsulated / nutritional products segment increased 0.6% as margins were favorably affected by increased production, a result of greater sales volume as described above. The favorable impact of the increased production was partially offset by higher raw material costs and an unfavorable product mix in the pharmaceutical calcium product line. Gross margin percentage in BCP Ingredients increased 0.6% and was favorably affected by increased production volumes of choline chloride and specialty derivative products. This favorable impact was partially offset by higher raw material and energy costs.

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses for 2006 increased to \$14,844 from \$11,777 for 2005, an increase of \$3,067 or 26.0%. Total operating expenses as a percentage of sales were 14.7% for 2006, as compared to 14.2% for 2005. The increase in operating expenses for 2006 was principally a result of stock-based compensation expense of \$982 relating to the adoption of the provisions of SFAS 123R, increased payroll costs and benefits of \$874 primarily due to new hires, increased expenditures of \$802 in support of the Company's continuing efforts in the pharmaceutical industry, and higher amortization expense of \$356 resulting from the CMC Acquisition. During 2006 and 2005, the Company spent \$2,019 and \$2,053, respectively, on Company-sponsored research and development programs, substantially all of which pertained to the Company's encapsulated / nutritional products segment for both food and animal feed applications.

Earnings From Operations

As a result of the foregoing, earnings from operations for 2006 were \$19,162 as compared to \$16,903 for 2005, reflecting a 13.4% increase from year to year.

15

Other Expenses (Income)

Interest income for 2006 was \$128 as compared to \$214 for 2005. This decrease is attributable to a decrease in the Company's average cash balance during 2006. Interest expense was \$189 for 2006 compared to \$8 for 2005. This increase is attributable to the average outstanding current and long-term debt in 2006, resulting from the CMC Acquisition in February 2006. Other income for 2006 was \$-0- as compared to \$82 for 2005. This decrease is attributable to the inclusion of a gain on the sale of equipment in 2005.

Income Tax Expense

The Company's effective tax rate for 2006 was 35.7% compared to a 36.3% rate for 2005. This decrease in the effective tax rate is primarily attributable to a change in allocation relating to state income taxes.

Net Earnings

As a result of the foregoing, net earnings were \$12,278 for 2006 as compared with \$10,954 for 2005, reflecting a 12.1% increase from 2005 to 2006.

Fiscal Year 2005 compared to Fiscal Year 2004 (All amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)

Net Sales

Net sales for 2005 were \$83,095 compared with \$67,406 for 2004, an increase of \$15,689 or 23.3%. Net sales for the specialty products segment were \$29,433 for 2005 compared with \$28,767 for 2004, an increase of \$666 or 2.3%. This increase was due principally to greater sales volumes of ethylene oxide for medical device sterilization and propylene oxide for starch modification as well as a modest price increase adopted early in 2005 to help offset rising raw

material costs. This increase was partially offset by a decline in volumes sold in the ethylene oxide blends product line and single use ethylene oxide canisters for use in sterilization equipment. Net sales for the encapsulated / nutritional products segment were \$32,499 for 2005 compared with \$24,759 for 2004, an increase of \$7,740 or 31.3%. This increase was due principally to increased volumes sold in the domestic food and human choline markets and approximately \$3,300 associated with the Company's new pharmaceutical and food business lines resulting from the June 30, 2005 Loders Croklaan Acquisition, as described in Note 7 to our Consolidated Financial Statements. The Company also experienced volume improvements in the animal health industry relating to REASHURE(R), NITROSHURETM and NIASHURETM, our microencapsulated products for dairy cows. These increases were partially offset by a decline in volumes sold in the international food product lines and the nutritional supplement product line. Net sales of \$21,163 were realized for 2005 in the BCP Ingredients segment compared with \$13,880 for 2004, an increase of \$7,283 or 52.5%. This increase was due to increased volumes sold in the dry choline, aqueous choline, and specialty industrial product lines, along with modest price increases in all three product lines.

Gross Margin

Gross margin for 2005 increased to \$28,680 compared to \$23,806 for 2004, an increase of 20.5%, due largely to the above-noted increase in sales. Gross margin percentage for 2005 was 34.5% as compared to 35.3% for 2004 as our margin percentage was unfavorably affected by product mix and higher raw material and energy costs. Gross margin percentage for the specialty products segment decreased slightly primarily due to rising raw material costs. Gross margin percentage in the encapsulated / nutritional products segment increased 1.8% as margins were favorably affected by increased production, a result of greater sales volume as described above. Gross margin percentage in BCP Ingredients increased 3.8% and was favorably affected by increased production volumes of choline chloride and specialty derivative products.

16

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses for 2005 increased to \$11,777 from \$11,009 for 2004, an increase of \$768 or 7.0%. Total operating expenses as a percentage of sales were 14.2% for 2005 compared to 16.3% for 2004. The increase in operating expenses for 2005 was principally a result of new hires, increased charges for search fees associated with new hires and associated relocation expenses. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in selling expenses. During 2005 and 2004, the Company spent \$2,053 and \$1,752, respectively, on Company-sponsored research and development programs, substantially all of which pertained to the Company's encapsulated / nutritional products segment for both food and animal feed applications.

Earnings From Operations

As a result of the foregoing, earnings from operations for 2005 were \$16,903 as compared to \$12,797 for 2004, reflecting a 32.1% increase from year to year.

Other Expenses (Income)

Interest income for 2005 totaled \$214 as compared to \$125 for 2004. This increase is attributable to an increase in the Company's average cash balance during 2005. Interest expense was \$8 for 2005 compared to \$219 for 2004. This decrease is the result of the prepayment of the Company's outstanding loan balance in December 2004. Other income of \$82 in 2005 represents the net gain on the sale of equipment.

Income Tax Expense

The Company's $\,$ effective $\,$ tax rate for 2005 was 36.3% $\,$ compared to a 36.9% rate for 2004.

Net Earnings

As a result of the foregoing, net earnings were \$10,954 for 2005 as compared with \$8,026 for 2004, reflecting a 36.5% increase from 2004 to 2005.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Contractual Obligations

The Company's contractual obligations and commitments principally include obligations associated with future minimum non-cancelable operating lease obligations (including the headquarters office space entered into in 2002). These aggregate commitments are as follows:

Year		
2007	\$	672
2008		619
2009		652
2010		209
2011		79
Thereafter		59
Total minimum lease		
payments	\$ 2	2,290

As part of the June 30, 2005 Loders Croklaan Acquisition, we agreed to make contingent payments of additional consideration based upon the volume of sales associated with one particular product acquired

17

by the Company during the three year period following the acquisition. Such contingent consideration, if and when paid, is recorded as an additional cost of the acquired product lines. As of December 31, 2006, such contingent consideration of \$23 has been earned and paid.

The Company knows of no current or pending demands on, or commitments for, its liquid assets that will materially affect its liquidity.

The Company expects its operations to continue generating sufficient cash flow to fund working capital requirements and necessary capital investments.

Acquisitions and Dispositions

Effective August 24, 2006, pursuant to an asset purchase agreement of same date, the Company, through its wholly owned subsidiaries BCP and BCP St. Gabriel, acquired an animal feed grade aqueous choline chloride manufacturing facility and related assets located in St. Gabriel, Louisiana from BioAdditives, LLC, CMB Additives, LLC and CMB Realty of Louisiana. On February 8, 2006, the Company, through its wholly owned subsidiary Balchem Minerals Corporation, acquired all of the outstanding capital stock of CMC, for a purchase price of \$17,350 before working capital and other adjustments. CMC is a manufacturer and global marketer of chelated mineral nutritional supplements for livestock, pet and swine feeds.

The Company is actively pursuing acquisition candidates.

Cash

Cash and cash equivalents decreased to \$5,189 at December 31, 2006 from \$12,996 at December 31, 2005. The \$7,807 decrease resulted from net cash used in investing activities of \$25,232 partially offset by net cash provided by operating activities of \$16,370 and net cash provided by financing activities of \$1,055. Working capital amounted to \$19,295 at December 31, 2006 as compared to \$26,116 at December 31, 2005, a decrease of \$6,821, primarily due to the aforementioned decrease in cash.

Operating Activities

Cash flows from operating activities provided \$16,370\$ for 2006 as compared with \$13,698 for 2005. The increase in cash flows from operating activities was due primarily to increases in net income and non-cash expenses including depreciation expense and stock compensation expense of \$1,097.

Investing Activities

Capital expenditures were approximately \$2,300 for 2006. Capital expenditures are projected to be approximately \$4,800 for calendar year 2007. Cash paid for acquisitions in 2006, including acquisition costs, net of acquisition accounts receivable collected, was \$22,872.

The overall effect of the foregoing was that cash flows used in investing activities were \$25,232 in 2006, as compared to \$12,943 in 2005.

Financing Activities

In June 1999, the board of directors authorized the repurchase of shares of the Company's outstanding common stock over a two-year period commencing July 2, 1999. Under this program, which was subsequently extended, the Company had, as of December 31, 2004, repurchased a total 772,461 shares at an average cost of \$4.11 per share, none of which remained in treasury at December 31, 2004. In June 2005, the board of directors authorized another extension of the stock repurchase program for up to an additional 900,000 shares, over and above those 772,461 shares previously repurchased under the program. During 2005, a total of 99,450 shares were purchased at an average cost of \$12.05 per share, 96,024 of

which remained in treasury at December 31, 2005. During 2006, there were no shares purchased, and no shares remained in treasury at December 31, 2006. The Company intends to acquire shares from time to time at prevailing market prices if and to the extent it deems it advisable to do so based on its assessment of corporate cash flow, market conditions and other factors.

There was no debt outstanding at December 31, 2006 or December 31, 2005.

On February 6, 2006, the Company and its principal bank entered into a Loan Agreement (the "Loan Agreement") providing for a term loan of \$10,000 (the "Term Loan"), the proceeds of which were used to fund the CMC Acquisition, in part. As of December 31, 2006, the Company made \$10,000 in principal payments against the Term Loan, which paid the Term Loan in full. The Loan Agreement also provided for a short-term revolving credit facility of \$3,000 (the "Revolving Facility"). Borrowings under the Revolving Facility bear interest at LIBOR plus 1.00%. As of December 31, 2006, no amounts were drawn on the Revolving Facility. The Revolving Facility expires in May, 2007. Management believes that such facility will be renewed in the normal course of business.

Financing activities also included proceeds from stock options exercised totaling \$1,239\$ and \$1,409\$ for 2006 and 2005, respectively, and \$878\$ of excess tax benefits associated with equity-based compensation for 2006. Dividend payments were \$1,045\$ and \$685\$ for 2006 and 2005, respectively.

The overall effect of the foregoing was that cash flows provided by financing activities were \$1,055 in 2006, as compared to cash flows used in financing activities of \$493 in 2005.

Other Matters Impacting Liquidity

The Company currently provides postretirement benefits in the form of a retirement medical plan under a collective bargaining agreement covering eligible retired employees of the Verona, Missouri facility. The amount recorded on the Company's balance sheet as of December 31, 2006 for this obligation is \$729. The postretirement plan is not funded. Historical cash payments made under such plan have been less than \$50 per year.

Critical Accounting Policies

Management of the Company is required to make certain estimates and assumptions during the preparation of consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These estimates and assumptions impact the reported amount of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the consolidated financial statements. Estimates and assumptions are reviewed periodically and the effects of revisions are reflected in the consolidated financial statements in the period they are determined to be necessary. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

The Company's "critical accounting policies" are those that require application of management's most difficult, subjective or complex judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain and that may change in subsequent periods. Management considers the following accounting policies to be critical.

Revenue Recognition

Revenue is recognized upon product shipment, passage of title and risk of loss, and when collection is reasonably assured. The Company reports amounts billed to customers related to shipping and handling as revenue and includes costs incurred for shipping and handling in cost of sales. Amounts received for unshipped merchandise are not recognized as revenue but rather they are recorded as customer deposits and are included in current liabilities. In addition, the Company follows the provisions of the Securities and Exchange Commission's (SEC) Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 104, "Revenue

19

Recognition," which sets forth guidelines on the timing of revenue recognition based upon factors such as passage of title, installation, payments and customer acceptance.

Revenue related to a process and product license agreement is recognized using the percentage of completion method and the progress to completion is measured using the efforts-expended method. The Company follows the provisions of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' (AICPA) Statement of Position (SOP) 81-1, "Accounting for Performance of Construction Type and Certain Production Type Contracts." Revenue is recognized as work is performed and costs are incurred.

Inventories

Inventories are valued at the lower of cost (first in, first out or average) or market value and have been reduced by an allowance for excess or obsolete inventories. Inventory reserves are generally recorded when the inventory for a product exceeds twelve months of demand for that product and/or when individual products have been in inventory for greater than six months. In November 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 151, "Inventory Costs." The new statement amends Accounting Research Bulletin No. 43, Chapter 4, "Inventory Pricing", to clarify the accounting for abnormal amounts of idle facility expense, freight, handling costs, and wasted material. This statement requires that those items be recognized as current period charges and requires that allocation of fixed production overheads to the cost of conversion be based on the normal capacity of the production facilities. The provisions of this statement were applied prospectively for inventory costs incurred beginning in our fiscal year 2006. The adoption of this statement did not have a material impact on our results of operations, financial position or cash flow.

Long-Lived Assets

Long-lived assets, such as property, plant, and equipment and intangible assets with finite lives, are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to estimated undiscounted future cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If the carrying amount of an asset exceeds its estimated future cash flows, an impairment charge is recognized by the amount by which the carrying amount of the asset exceeds the fair value of the asset, which is generally based on discounted cash flows.

Goodwill, which is not subject to amortization, is tested annually for impairment, and more frequently if events and circumstances indicate that the asset might be impaired. If an indicator of impairment exists, the Company determines the amount of impairment based on a comparison of the implied fair

value of its goodwill to its carrying value.

Accounts Receivable

We market our products to a diverse customer base, principally throughout the United States, Europe, China and Japan. We grant credit terms in the normal course of business to our customers. We perform on-going credit evaluations of our customers and adjust credit limits based upon payment history and the customer's current credit worthiness, as determined through review of their current credit information. We continuously monitor collections and payments from customers and maintain allowances for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of our customers to make required payments. Estimated losses are based on historical experience and any specific customer collection issues identified. If the financial condition of our customers were to deteriorate resulting in an impairment of their ability to make payments, additional allowances and related bad debt expense may be required.

Post-employment Benefits

The Company provides life insurance and health care benefits for eligible retirees and health care benefits for retirees' eligible survivors. The costs and obligations related to these benefits reflect the

20

Company's assumptions as to general economic conditions and health care cost trends. The cost of providing plan benefits also depends on demographic assumptions including retirements, mortality, turnover, and plan participation. If actual experience differs from these assumptions, the cost of providing these benefits could increase or decrease.

In September 2006, the FASB issued FASB Statement No. 158, "Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans." This Statement requires an employer to recognize the over funded or under funded status of a defined benefit post retirement plan (other than a multiemployer plan) as an asset or liability in its statement of financial position, and to recognize changes in that funded status in the year in which the changes occur through comprehensive income. As a result of adopting SFAS No. 158 on December 31, 2006, we recorded \$0.3 million as a reduction to the benefit obligation and \$0.2 million, net of tax, as a one-time adjustment to accumulated other comprehensive income in stockholders' equity.

Intangible Assets with Finite Lives

The useful life of an intangible asset is based on the Company's assumptions regarding expected use of the asset; the relationship of the intangible asset to another asset or group of assets; any legal, regulatory or contractual provisions that may limit the useful life of the asset or that enable renewal or extension of the asset's legal or contractual life without substantial cost; the effects of obsolescence, demand, competition and other economic factors; and the level of maintenance expenditures required to obtain the expected future cash flows from the asset and their related impact on the asset's useful life. If events or circumstances indicate that the life of an intangible asset has changed, it could result in higher future amortization charges or recognition of an impairment loss.

Income Taxes

Income taxes are accounted for under the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in earnings in the period that includes the enactment date. The Company regularly reviews its deferred tax assets for recoverability and would establish a valuation allowance if it believed that such assets may not be recovered, taking into consideration historical operating results, expectations of future earnings, changes in its operations and the expected timing of the reversals of existing temporary differences.

Stock-based Compensation

Beginning in fiscal 2006, we account for stock-based compensation in accordance with SFAS No. 123R (revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment" ("SFAS 123R") as interpreted by SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin ("SAB") No. 107. Under the fair value recognition provisions of this statement, share-based compensation cost is measured at the grant date based on the value of the award and is recognized as expense over the vesting period. Determining the fair value of share-based awards at the grant date requires judgment, including estimating our stock price volatility, employee stock option exercise behaviors and employee option forfeiture rates. Expected volatilities are based on historical volatility of the Company's stock. The expected term of the options is based on the Company's historical experience of employees' exercise behavior. As stock-based compensation expense recognized in the Consolidated Statement of Earnings is based on awards ultimately expected to vest, the amount of expense has been reduced for estimated forfeitures. SFAS 123R requires forfeitures to be estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. Forfeitures were estimated based on historical experience. As a result of adopting SFAS 123R, we recorded \$0.9 million of compensation expense, net of tax, in 2006. If factors change and we employ different assumptions in the

21

application of SFAS 123R, the compensation expense that we record in future periods may differ significantly from what we have recorded in the current period. Under the accounting method we followed prior to January 1, 2006, we did not record any stock-based compensation expense related to stock options granted to employees and directors for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004. If we had included the cost of employee stock option compensation in the financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, our net earnings would have decreased by approximately \$0.9 million and \$0.8 million, respectively, based on the fair value of the stock options granted to employees. See Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

New Accounting Pronouncements:

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS 157, "Fair Value Measurements," which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value under generally accepted accounting principles, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS 157 does not require any new fair value measurements, but provides guidance on how to measure fair value by providing a fair value

hierarchy used to classify the source of the information. This statement is effective beginning in January 2008. The Company is evaluating whether adoption of this statement will result in a change to its fair value measurements.

In September 2006, the SEC issued SAB 108, "Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements" ("SAB 108"). SAB 108 requires analysis of misstatements using both an income statement (rollover approach) and a balance sheet (iron curtain) approach in assessing materiality and provides for a one-time cumulative effect transition adjustment. SAB 108 is effective for the Company's fiscal year 2006 annual financial statements. The adoption of this statement did not have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

In July 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes" ("FIN 48"). This interpretation, among other things, creates a two-step approach for evaluating uncertain tax positions. Recognition (step one) occurs when an enterprise concludes that a tax position, based solely on its technical merits, is more-likely-than-not to be sustained upon examination. Measurement (step two) determines the amount of benefit that more-likely-than-not will be realized upon settlement. Derecognition of a tax position that was previously recognized would occur when a company subsequently determines that a tax position no longer meets the more-likely-than-not threshold of being sustained. FIN 48 specifically prohibits the use of a valuation allowance as a substitute for derecognition of tax positions, and it has expanded disclosure requirements. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006, in which the impact of adoption should be accounted for as a cumulative-effect adjustment to the beginning balance of retained earnings. The Company is evaluating FIN 48 and has not yet determined the impact the adoption will have on the consolidated financial statements.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Cash and cash equivalents are invested primarily in money market accounts. Accordingly, we believe we have limited exposure to market risk for changes in interest rates. However, interest payable under the Company's Revolving Facility is based on LIBOR plus 1.00%, and thus could expose the Company to some interest rate risk in connection with its bank financing. No amounts were drawn on the Revolving Facility as of December 31, 2006. The Company has no derivative financial instruments or derivative commodity instruments, nor does the Company have any financial instruments entered into for trading or hedging purposes. Foreign sales are generally billed in U.S. dollars. The Company believes that its business operations are not exposed in any material respect to market risk relating to foreign currency exchange risk or commodity price risk.

22

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Index to Financial Statements and Supplementary Financial Data:	Page
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	24
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2006 and 2005	26
Consolidated Statements of Earnings for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004	27
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity	

for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004	28
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004	29
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	30
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	53
Schedule II - Valuation and Qualifying Accounts for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004	54

23

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders Balchem Corporation New Hampton, New York

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Balchem Corporation and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the related consolidated statements of earnings, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2006. We also have audited management's assessment, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting, that Balchem Corporation and Subsidiaries maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on criteria established in "Internal Control--Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO)." Balchem Corporation's management is responsible for these financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements, an opinion on management's assessment, and an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit of financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management's assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2)

provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

As discussed in Note 2 to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements, on January 1, 2006, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment", which requires all share-based payments, including grants of stock options, to be recognized in the income statement as an operating expense, based on their fair values.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Balchem Corporation and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2006, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also in our opinion, management's assessment that Balchem Corporation and Subsidiaries

24

maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on criteria established in "Internal Control--Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO)." Furthermore, in our opinion, Balchem Corporation and Subsidiaries maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on criteria established in "Internal Control--Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO)."

/s/McGladrey & Pullen, LLP New York, New York March 15, 2007

25

BALCHEM CORPORATION
Consolidated Balance Sheets
December 31, 2006 and 2005
(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)

2006

Current assets: Cash and cash equivalents Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$50 and \$50 at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively Inventories Prepaid income taxes Prepaid expenses Deferred income taxes Total current assets	\$ 5,189 11,578 9,918 1,754 416 28,855
Property, plant and equipment, net	31,313
Goodwill Intangible assets with finite lives, net	25,253 6,912
Total assets	\$ 92,333 ======
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity	
Current liabilities:	
Trade accounts payable	\$ 3,010
Accrued expenses	1,827
Accrued compensation and other benefits	1,869
Customer deposits and other deferred revenue	1,072
Dividends payable	1,596
Income tax payable	186
income can paramete	
Total current liabilities	9,560
Deferred income taxes	6 , 627
Other long-term obligations	784
Other Tong-term Obligations	704
Total liabilities	16,971
TOTAL TRADITIONS	
Commitments and contingencies (note 13)	
Stockholders' equity:	
Preferred stock, \$25 par value. Authorized 2,000,000	
shares; none issued and outstanding	
Common stock, \$.0667 par value. Authorized 25,000,000 shares; 17,733,849	
shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2006 and 17,461,447 shares	
issued and 17,365,423 outstanding at December 31, 2005	788
Additional paid-in capital	10,393
Retained earnings	63 , 988
Accumulated other comprehensive income	193
Treasury stock, at cost: 0 and 96,024 shares at December 31, 2006	
and 2005, respectively	
Total stockholders' equity	75 , 362
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 92,333
	======

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

26

BALCHEM CORPORATION Consolidated Statements of Earnings Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 (In thousands, except per share data)

	2006	2005	2004
Net sales	\$ 100,905	\$ 83,095	\$ 67,406
Cost of sales	66 , 899	54,415	43,600
Gross margin	34,006	28,680	23,806
Operating expenses: Selling expenses Research and development expenses General and administrative expenses		4,739 2,053 4,985 	1,752 4,442
Earnings from operations	19,162	16,903	12,797
Other expenses (income):			
Interest income Interest expense Other, net	(128) 189 		
Earnings before income tax expense	19,101	17 , 191	
Income tax expense	6,823	6,237	
Net earnings	\$ 12,278 =======	\$ 10,954 ======	\$ 8,026 ======
Basic net earnings per common share	\$ 0.70 =====	\$ 0.63	
Diluted net earnings per common share	\$ 0.67	\$ 0.61 =====	\$ 0.46

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

27

BALCHEM CORPORATION

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity
Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004
(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)

	Common Shares		Additional Paid-in Capital
Balance - December 31, 2003	16,548,429	\$ 736	\$ 3 , 493
Net earnings Dividends (\$.04 per share)		 	
Shares issued under employee benefit plans and other Shares issued under stock option plans and	31,635	2	254
an income tax benefit of \$293	567 , 543	24	2,328
Balance - December 31, 2004	17,147,607	762	6 , 075
Net earnings Dividends (\$.06 per share)	 		
Treasury shares purchased Shares issued under employee benefit plans and other	 52 , 133	 1	 210
Shares issued under stock option plans and an income tax benefit of \$327	261,707	13	1,723
Balance - December 31, 2005	17,461,447		
Net earnings			
Dividends (\$.09 per share) Shares issued under employee benefit plans and other	 1,079		 70
Shares and options issued under stock option plans and an income tax benefit of \$878	271,323	12	2,315
Adjustment to initially apply FASB Statement No. 158, net of tax			
Balance - December 31, 2006	17,733,849 ======		\$10,393 =====
	Treasury Shares	Stock Amount	Total Stockholders Equity
Balance - December 31, 2003	(145,665)	\$ (504)	\$ 39,781
Net earnings			8,026 (685)
Dividends (\$.04 per share) Shares issued under employee benefit plans and other Shares issued under stock option plans and an income tax benefit of \$293			256
	145,665	504	2,856
Balance - December 31, 2004			50,234
Net earnings Dividends (\$.06 per share) Treasury shares purchased Shares issued under employee benefit plans and other Shares issued under stock option plans and	 (99,450) 3,426	 (1,198) 41	10,954 (1,045) (1,198) 252

an income tax benefit of \$327			1,736
Balance - December 31, 2005	(96,024)	(1,157)	60,933
Net earnings			12,278
Dividends (\$.09 per share)			(1,596)
Shares issued under employee benefit plans and other	21,933	264	334
Shares and options issued under stock option plans and			
an income tax benefit of \$878	74,091	893	3,220
Adjustment to initially apply FASB Statement No. 158,			
net of tax			193
Balance - December 31, 2006		\$	\$ 75,362

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Acquisitions

28

BALCHEM CORPORATION

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 (In thousands, except per share data)

	2006	2005
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net earnings	\$ 12 , 278	\$ 10 , 95
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to		
net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	3,445	2,80
Stock compensation expense	1,097	_
Shares issued under employee benefit plans	343	25
Deferred income tax expense	104	59
(Recovery of) provision for doubtful accounts		(3
Income tax benefit from stock options exercised		32
Disposition of intangible assets		J
Gain on sale of assets		(8
Changes in assets and liabilities		
Accounts receivable	(57)	(2,68
Inventories	(827)	(1,49
Prepaid expenses	36	(26
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(212)	2,74
Income taxes	218	17
Customer deposits and other deferred revenue	(101)	33
Other long-term obligations	= *	5
Net cash provided by operating activities	16,370	13,69
Cash flows from investing activities:		ļ
Capital expenditures	(2,279)	(1,76
Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment		38
Cash paid for intangible assets acquired	(81)	(14
Gain on sale of assets Changes in assets and liabilities Accounts receivable Inventories Prepaid expenses Accounts payable and accrued expenses Income taxes Customer deposits and other deferred revenue Other long-term obligations Net cash provided by operating activities Cash flows from investing activities: Capital expenditures Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment	(827) 36 (212) 218 (101) 46 16,370 	(1 2 13

(11, 41)

(22,872)

Net cash used in investing activities	(25, 232)	(12,94
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Proceeds from long-term debt	10,000	
Principal payments on long-term debt	(10,000)	-
Proceeds from stock options exercised	1,239	1,40
Excess tax benefits from stock compensation	878	_
Dividends paid	(1,045)	(68
Purchase of treasury stock		(1,19
Other financing activities	(17)	(1
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	1,055	(49
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(7,807)	26
Cash and cash equivalents beginning of year	12,996	12,73
Cash and cash equivalents end of year	\$ 5,189	\$ 12 , 99
	=======	

Supplemental Cash Flow information - see Note 16

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

29

BALCHEM CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (All amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)

NOTE 1 - BUSINESS DESCRIPTION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Business Description

Balchem Corporation (including, unless the context otherwise requires, its wholly-owned subsidiaries, BCP Ingredients, Inc., Balchem Minerals Corporation, BCP St. Gabriel, Inc. and Chelated Minerals Corporation, "Balchem" or the "Company"), incorporated in the State of Maryland in 1967, is engaged in the development, manufacture and marketing of specialty performance ingredients for the food, pharmaceutical, feed and medical sterilization industries.

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the financial statements of the Company and its subsidiaries. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Revenue Recognition

Revenue is recognized upon product shipment, passage of title and risk of loss, and when collection is reasonably assured. The Company reports amounts billed to customers related to shipping and handling as revenue and includes costs incurred for shipping and handling in cost of sales. Amounts received for unshipped merchandise are not recognized as revenue but rather they are recorded

as customer deposits and are included in current liabilities. In addition, the Company follows the provisions of the Securities and Exchange Commission's ("SEC") Staff Accounting Bulletin ("SAB") No. 104, "Revenue Recognition," which sets forth guidelines on the timing of revenue recognition based upon factors such as passage of title, installation, payments and customer acceptance.

Revenue related to the process and product license agreement described in Note 14 below is recognized using the percentage of completion method and the progress to completion is measured using the efforts-expended method. The Company follows the provisions of the Financial American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' (AICPA) Statement of Position ("SOP") 81-1, "Accounting for Performance of Construction Type and Certain Production Type Contracts." Revenue is recognized as work is performed and costs are incurred.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid debt instruments with a maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

30

Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market, with cost generally determined on a first-in, first-out basis, and have been reduced by an allowance for excess or obsolete inventories. Cost elements include material, labor and manufacturing overhead. In November 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 151, "Inventory Costs." The new statement amends Accounting Research Bulletin No. 43, Chapter 4, "Inventory Pricing," to clarify the accounting for abnormal amounts of idle facility expense, freight, handling costs, and wasted material. This statement requires that those items be recognized as current period charges and requires that allocation of fixed production overheads to the cost of conversion be based on the normal capacity of the production facilities. The provisions of this statement were applied prospectively for inventory costs incurred beginning in fiscal year 2006. The adoption of this statement did not have a material impact on the Company's results of operations, financial position or cash flow.

Property, Plant and Equipment and Depreciation

Property, plant and equipment are stated at cost. Depreciation of plant and equipment is calculated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets as follows:

Buildings 15-25 years Equipment 3-12 years

Expenditures for repairs and maintenance are charged to expense. Alterations and major overhauls that extend the lives or increase the capacity of plant assets are capitalized. When assets are retired or otherwise disposed of, the cost of the assets and the related accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and any resultant gain or loss is included in earnings.

Business Concentrations

A specialty products customer accounted for 8%, 9% and 11% of the Company's

consolidated net sales for 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. This customer accounted for 10% and 8% of the Company's accounts receivable balance at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Approximately 10%, 7% and 8% of the Company's net sales for 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, consisted of sales outside the United States, predominately to Europe, Japan, and China.

Trade receivables potentially subject the Company to credit risk. The Company extends credit to its customers based upon an evaluation of the customers' financial condition and credit histories. The majority of the Company's customers are major national or international corporations.

Goodwill and Acquired Intangible Assets

Goodwill represents the excess of costs over fair value of assets of businesses acquired. The Company adopted the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standard ("SFAS") No. 141, "Business Combinations" ("SFAS 141") and SFAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" ("SFAS 142"), as of January 1, 2002. These standards require the use of the purchase method of accounting for a business combination and define an intangible asset. Goodwill and intangible assets acquired in a purchase business combination and determined to have an indefinite useful life are not amortized, but are instead tested for impairment at least annually in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 142. SFAS No. 142 also requires that intangible assets with estimable useful lives be amortized over their respective estimated useful lives to their estimated residual values, and reviewed for impairment in accordance with SFAS No. 144, Accounting for Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets.

As required by SFAS No. 142, the Company performed an assessment of whether there was an indication that goodwill was impaired at the date of adoption. In connection therewith, the Company determined that its operations consisted of three reporting units and determined each reporting units' fair value and

31

compared it to the reporting unit's net book value. Since the fair value of each reporting unit exceeded its carrying amount, there was no indication of impairment and no further transitional impairment testing was required. As of December 31, 2006 and 2005, the Company also performed an impairment test of its goodwill balance. As of such dates the Company's reporting units' fair value exceeded their carrying amounts, and therefore there was no indication that goodwill was impaired. Accordingly, the Company was not required to perform any further impairment tests. The Company plans to perform its impairment test each December 31.

The Company had unamortized goodwill in the amount of \$25,253 at December 31, 2006 and \$13,327 at December 31, 2005, subject to the provisions of SFAS Nos. 141 and 142. Unamortized goodwill is allocated to the Company's reportable segments as follows:

	2006	2005
Specialty Products Encapsulated/Nutritional Products BCP Ingredients		\$ 5,089 8,238
Total	\$25,253	\$ 13,327

The following intangible assets with finite lives are stated at cost and are amortized on a straight-line basis over the following estimated useful lives:

Amortization
period
(in years)

Customer lists
10

Regulatory re-registration costs
10

Patents & trade secrets
15 - 17

Trademarks & trade names
17

Income Taxes

Income taxes are accounted for under the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and operating loss and tax credit carry-forwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date.

Use of Estimates

Management of the Company is required to make certain estimates and assumptions during the preparation of consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These estimates and assumptions impact the reported amount of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the consolidated financial statements. Estimates and assumptions are reviewed periodically and the effects of revisions are reflected in the consolidated financial statements in the period they are determined to be necessary. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company has a number of financial instruments, none of which are held for trading purposes. The Company estimates that the fair value of all financial instruments at December 31, 2006 and 2005 does not differ materially from the aggregate carrying values of its financial instruments recorded in the

32

accompanying consolidated balance sheets. The estimated fair value amounts have been determined by the Company using available market information and appropriate valuation methodologies. Considerable judgment is necessarily required in interpreting market data to develop the estimates of fair value, and, accordingly, the estimates are not necessarily indicative of the amounts that the Company could realize in a current market exchange. The Company's financial instruments, principally cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued liabilities, are carried at cost which approximates fair value due to the short-term maturity of these instruments.

Research and Development

Research and development costs are expensed as incurred.

Stock-based Compensation

The Company has stock-based employee compensation plans, which are described more fully in Note 2. On January 1, 2006, the Company was required to adopt SFAS No. 123R (revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment" ("SFAS 123R"), which requires all share-based payments, including grants of stock options, to be recognized in the income statement as an operating expense, based on their fair values. The Company estimates the fair value of each option award on the date of grant using a Black-Scholes based option-pricing model.

Prior to adopting SFAS 123R, the Company accounted for stock-based compensation under Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees", as permitted by Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation". The modified prospective method was applied in adopting SFAS 123R and, accordingly, periods prior to adoption have not been restated.

The implementation of SFAS 123R has had no adverse effect on the Company's balance sheet or total cash flows, but it does impact cash flows from operations, cash flows from financing activities, cost of sales, gross profit, operating expenses, net income and earnings per share. Because periods prior to adoption have not been restated, comparability between periods has been affected. Additionally, estimates of and assumptions about forfeiture rates, terms, volatility, interest rates and dividend yields are used to calculate stock-based compensation. A significant change to these estimates could materially affect the Company's operating results.

Impairment of Long-lived Assets

Long-lived assets, such as property, plant, and equipment, and purchased intangibles subject to amortization, are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to estimated undiscounted future cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If the carrying amount of an asset exceeds its estimated future cash flows, an impairment charge is recognized by the amount by which the carrying amount of the asset exceeds the fair value of the asset, which is generally based on discounted cash flows.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 158, "Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans" ("SFAS 158"). SFAS 158 requires an employer to recognize the over funded or under funded status of a defined benefit post retirement plan (other than a multiemployer plan) as an asset or liability in its statement of financial position, and to recognize changes in that funded status in the year in which the changes occur through comprehensive income. As a result of adopting SFAS 158 on December 31, 2006, the Company recorded \$0.3 million as a reduction to the benefit obligation and \$0.2

33

million, net of tax, as a one-time adjustment to accumulated other comprehensive

income in stockholder's equity.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS 157, "Fair Value Measurements" ("SFAS 157"), which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS 157 does not require any new fair value measurements, but provides guidance on how to measure fair value by providing a fair value hierarchy used to classify the source of the information. This statement is effective beginning in January 2008. The Company is evaluating whether adoption of this statement will result in a change to its fair value measurements.

In September 2006, the SEC issued SAB 108, "Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements" ("SAB 108"). SAB 108 requires analysis of misstatements using both an income statement (rollover approach) and a balance sheet (iron curtain) approach in assessing materiality and provides for a one-time cumulative effect transition adjustment. SAB 108 is effective for the Company's fiscal year 2006 annual financial statements. The adoption of this statement did not have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

In July 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes" ("FIN 48"). This interpretation, among other things, creates a two-step approach for evaluating uncertain tax positions. Recognition (step one) occurs when an enterprise concludes that a tax position, based solely on its technical merits, is more-likely-than-not to be sustained upon examination. Measurement (step two) determines the amount of benefit more-likely-than-not will be realized upon settlement. Derecognition of a tax position that was previously recognized would occur when a company subsequently determines that a tax position no longer meets the more-likely-than-not threshold of being sustained. FIN 48 specifically prohibits the use of a valuation allowance as a substitute for derecognition of tax positions, and it has expanded disclosure requirements. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006, in which the impact of adoption should be accounted for as a cumulative-effect adjustment to the beginning balance of retained earnings. The Company is evaluating FIN 48 and has not yet determined the impact the adoption will have on the consolidated financial statements.

Beginning in fiscal 2006, the Company began accounting for stock-based compensation in accordance with SFAS 123R as interpreted by SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107. Under the fair value recognition provisions of this statement, share-based compensation cost is measured at the grant date based on the value of the award and is recognized as expense over the vesting period. Determining the fair value of share-based awards at the grant date requires judgment, including estimating the Company's stock price volatility, employee stock option exercise behaviors and employee option forfeiture rates. Expected volatilities are based on historical volatility of the Company's stock. The expected term of the options is based on the Company's historical experience of employees' exercise behavior. As stock-based compensation expense recognized in the Consolidated Statement of Earnings is based on awards ultimately expected to vest, the amount of expense has been reduced for estimated forfeitures. SFAS 123R requires forfeitures to be estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. Forfeitures were estimated based on historical experience. If factors change and the Company employs different assumptions in the application of SFAS 123R, the compensation expense that is recorded in future periods may differ significantly from what has been recorded in the current period.

In November 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 151, "Inventory Costs." The new statement amends Accounting Research Bulletin No. 43, Chapter 4, "Inventory Pricing", to clarify the accounting for abnormal amounts of idle facility expense, freight, handling costs, and wasted material. This statement requires that those items be recognized as current period charges and requires that allocation of fixed production overheads to the cost of conversion be based on the normal capacity of the production facilities. The provisions of this statement were applied prospectively for inventory costs incurred beginning in our fiscal year 2006. The adoption of this statement did not have a material impact on our results of operations, financial position or cash flow.

Net Earnings Per Common Share

Basic net earnings per common share is calculated by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net earnings per common share is calculated in a manner consistent with basic net earnings per common share except that the weighted average number of common shares outstanding also includes the dilutive effect of stock options outstanding and unvested restricted stock (using the treasury stock method).

NOTE 2 - STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

On January 1, 2006, the Company adopted SFAS 123R, which requires all share-based payments, including grants of stock options, to be recognized in the income statement as an operating expense, based on their fair values.

Prior to adopting SFAS 123R, the Company accounted for stock-based compensation under Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees" ("Opinion 25"), as permitted by Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," ("SFAS 123"). No stock-based compensation cost was recognized in the Statement of Earnings for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, as all options granted had an exercise price equal to the market value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant. The Company has applied the modified prospective method in adopting SFAS 123R. Accordingly, periods prior to adoption have not been restated. Under the modified prospective method, compensation cost recognized in the year ended December 31, 2006 includes (a) compensation cost for all share-based payments granted prior to, but not yet vested as of January 1, 2006, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS 123, and (b) compensation cost for all share-based payments granted subsequent to January 1, 2006, based on the grant-date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS 123R.

As a result of adopting SFAS 123R on January 1, 2006, the Company's income before income taxes and net income for the year ended December 31, 2006, are \$1.1 million and \$0.9 million lower, respectively, than if it had continued to account for share-based compensation under Opinion 25. As required by SFAS 123R, the Company has made an estimate of expected forfeitures and is recognizing compensation cost only for those stock-based compensation awards expected to vest. Basic and diluted earnings per share are each \$0.05 lower for the twelve months ended December 31, 2006, than if the Company had not adopted SFAS 123R.

Prior to the adoption of SFAS 123R, the Company presented all tax benefits of deductions resulting from the exercise of stock options as operating cash flows in the Statement of Cash Flows. SFAS 123R requires the cash flows resulting from the tax benefits resulting from tax deductions in excess of the compensation

cost recognized for those options (excess tax benefits) to be classified as financing cash flows. The \$0.9 million tax benefit from the exercise of stock options classified as a financing cash inflow would have been classified as an operating cash inflow if the Company had not adopted SFAS 123R.

35

The following table illustrates the effect on net income and earnings per share if the fair value based method had been applied to the prior periods (in thousands, except per share data):

	====	Year l	===== Ended	
	Dec	ember 31, 2005	Dec	ember 31, 2004
Net earnings Stock-based employee compensation expense included in net	\$	10,954	\$	8 , 026
earnings, net of related tax effects Stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based method, net of related tax effects		904		808
Pro forma net earnings	\$	10,050	\$	7,218
Basic earnings per common share:				
As reported Pro forma	\$	0.63 0.58		0.48
Diluted earnings per common share:	Y	0.30	Y	0.43
As reported	\$	0.61	\$	0.46
Pro forma	\$ =====	0.55 ======	\$ =====	0.42

On December 31, 2006, the Company had one share-based compensation plan, which is described below (the "1999 Stock Plan").

In June 1999, the Company adopted the Balchem Corporation 1999 Stock Plan for officers, directors, directors emeritus and employees of and consultants to the Company and its subsidiaries. The 1999 Stock Plan is administered by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors of the Company. Under the plan, options and rights to purchase shares of the Company's common stock are granted at prices established at the time of grant. Option grants generally become exercisable 20% after 1 year, 60% after 2 years and 100% after 3 years from the date of grant for employees and are fully exercisable on the date of grant for directors. Other option grants are either fully exercisable on the date of grant or become exercisable thereafter in such installments as the Committee may specify. The 1999 Stock Plan initially reserved an aggregate of 900,000 shares (unadjusted for the stock split) of common stock for issuance under the Plan. In April 2003, the Board of Directors of the Company adopted and stockholders subsequently approved, the Amended and Restated 1999 Stock Plan which amended the 1999 Stock Plan by: (i) increasing the number of shares of common stock reserved for issuance under the 1999 Stock Plan by 900,000 shares (unadjusted for the stock split), to a total of 1,800,000 shares (unadjusted for the stock split) of common stock; and (ii) confirming the right of the Company to grant awards of common stock ("Awards") in addition to the other Stock Rights available under the 1999 Stock Plan, and providing certain language changes

relating thereto. The 1999 Stock Plan replaced the Company's incentive stock option plan (the "ISO Plan") and its non-qualified stock option plan (the "Non-Qualified Plan"), both of which expired on June 24, 1999. Unexercised options granted under the ISO Plan and the Non-Qualified Plan prior to such termination remain exercisable in accordance with their terms. Options granted under the ISO Plan generally become exercisable 20% after 1 year, 60% after 2 years and 100% after 3 years from the date of grant, and expire ten years from the date of grant. Options granted under the Non-Qualified Plan, generally vested on the date of grant, and expire ten years from the date of grant.

The shares to be issued upon exercise of the outstanding options have been approved, reserved and are adequate to cover all exercises. As of December 31, 2006, the plans had 721,953 shares available for future awards.

On December 8, 2006, the Board of Directors of the Company authorized the Company to enter into Restricted Stock Purchase Agreements (the "2006 Agreements") to purchase the Company's common stock with the five non-employee directors and certain employees of the Company pursuant to the Company's

36

1999 Stock Plan. Under the 2006 Agreements, each grantee purchased certain shares, ranging from 1,500 shares to 13,500 shares, of the Company's common stock at the purchase price of approximately \$.04 per share. The purchased stock is subject to a repurchase option in favor of the Company and to restrictions on transfer until it vests in accordance with the provisions of the Agreements.

On December 29, 2005, the Board of Directors of the Company authorized the Company to enter into Restricted Stock Purchase Agreements (the "2005 Agreements") to purchase the Company's common stock with the five non-employee directors of the Company pursuant to the Company's 1999 Stock Plan. This 2005 Agreement replaces the Stock Option Plan that non-employee directors participated in in prior years. Under the 2005 Agreements, each non-employee director purchased 6,750 shares of the Company's common stock at the purchase price of approximately \$.03 per share. The purchased stock is subject to a repurchase option in favor of the Company and to restrictions on transfer until it vests in accordance with the provisions of the Agreements.

The fair value of each option award issued under the 1999 Stock Plan is estimated on the date of grant using a Black-Scholes based option-pricing model that uses the assumptions noted in the following table. Expected volatilities are based on historical volatility of the Company's stock. The expected term of the options is based on the Company's historical experience of employees' exercise behavior. Dividend yields are based on the Company's historical dividend yields. Risk-free interest rates are based on the implied yields currently available on U.S. Treasury zero coupon issues with a remaining term equal to the expected life.

______ Year Ended ______ December 31, December 31, December 31, Weighted Average Assumptions: 2006 2005 ______ 26.4% 28.9% 27.1% Expected Volatility 4.8 4.5 Expected Term (in years) 3.8% 3.6% Risk-Free Interest Rate 0.4%

Dividend Yield

The value of the restricted $\,$ shares is based on the intrinsic value of the award at the date of grant.

Compensation expense for stock options and restricted stock awards is recognized on a straight-line basis over the vesting period, generally three years for stock options, four years for employee restricted stock awards, and seven years for non-employee director restricted stock awards. Certain awards provide for accelerated vesting if there is a change in control (as defined in the plans) or other qualifying events.

A summary of stock option plan activity for 2006, $\,$ 2005, $\,$ and 2004 for all plans is as follows:

2006	# of Shares (000s)	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at beginning of year Granted Exercised Cancelled	2,153 305 (267) (21)	\$ 8.38 17.67 4.64 9.64
Outstanding at end of year	2,170	\$ 10.13
Exercisable at end of year	1,277	\$ 7.40

37

2005	# of Shares (000s)	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at beginning of year Granted Exercised Cancelled	1,777 657 (262) (19)	\$ 6.21 13.04 5.38 7.41
Outstanding at end of year	2,153	\$ 8.38
Exercisable at end of year	1,167	\$ 6.09
2004	# of Shares (000s)	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at beginning of year Granted Exercised Cancelled	2,027 506 (713) (43)	\$ 4.75 8.40 3.59 6.81
Outstanding at end of year	1,777	\$ 6.21
Exercisable at end of year	1,023	\$ 5.01

The aggregate intrinsic value for outstanding stock options was \$15,357 and \$10,479 at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively, with a weighted average remaining contractual term of 7.3 years at December 31, 2006. Exercisable stock options at December 31, 2006 had an aggregate intrinsic value of \$12,413 with a weighted average remaining contractual term of 6.2 years.

Other information pertaining to option activity during the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 was as follows:

Year Ended
December 31,
2006 2005

Weighted-average fair value of options granted \$ 4.91 \$ 2.84
Total intrinsic value of stock options exercised (\$000s) \$ 2,929 \$ 1,466

Additional information related to stock options outstanding under all plans at December 31, 2006 is as follows:

		Options Out	tstanding	Options Exe	rcisable
Range of Exercise Prices	Shares Outstanding (000s)	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number Exercisable (000s)	Weighted Average Exercise Price
\$ 1.85 - \$6.83 7.13 - 13.19 14.13 - 17.81	784 629 757	5.0 years 7.8 years 9.2 years	\$ 5.71 9.35 15.37	784 402 91	\$ 5.71 9.23 13.81
	2,170	7.3 years	\$ 10.13	1,277	\$ 7.40

38

Non-vested restricted stock activity for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 is summarized below:

______ Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Shares (000s) Value ______ 34 \$ 13.22 Non-vested balance as of December 31, 2005 79 Granted 17.76 Vested Forfeited Non-vested balance as of December 31, 2006 ______

As of December 31, 2006 there was \$4,036 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested share-based compensation arrangements granted under the plans; that cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.2 years.

STOCK SPLITS AND REPURCHASE OF COMMON STOCK

On December 8, 2006, the Board of Directors of the Company approved a three-for-two split of the Company's common stock to be effected in the form of a stock dividend to shareholders of record on December 29, 2006. Such stock dividend was made on January 19, 2007. The stock split was recognized by reclassifying the par value of the additional shares resulting from the split, from additional paid-in capital to common stock.

On December 15, 2005, the Board of Directors of the Company approved a three-for-two split of the Company's common stock to be effected in the form of a stock dividend to shareholders of record on December 30, 2005. Such stock dividend was made on January 20, 2006. The stock split was recognized by reclassifying the par value of the additional shares resulting from the split, from additional paid-in capital to common stock.

All references to number of common shares and per share amounts except shares authorized in the accompanying consolidated financial statements were retroactively adjusted to reflect the effect of the December 2006 stock split.

In June 1999, the board of directors authorized the repurchase of shares of the Company's outstanding common stock over a two-year period commencing July 2, 1999. Under this program, which was subsequently extended, the Company had, as of December 31, 2004, repurchased a total 772,461 shares at an average cost of \$4.11 per share, none of which remained in treasury at December 31, 2004. In June 2005, the board of directors authorized another extension of the stock repurchase program for up to an additional 900,000 shares, over and above those 772,461 shares previously repurchased under the program. During 2005, a total of 99,450 shares were purchased at an average cost of \$12.05 per share, 96,024 of which remained in treasury at December 31, 2005. During 2006, there were no shares purchased, and no

39

shares remained in treasury at December 31, 2006. The Company intends to acquire shares from time to time at prevailing market prices if and to the extent it deems it advisable to do so based on its assessment of corporate cash flow, market conditions and other factors.

NOTE 3 - INVENTORIES

Inventories at December 31, 2006 and 2005 consisted of the following:

	2006			2005
Raw materials Finished goods	\$	4,264 5,654	\$	4,809 3,731
Total inventories	\$	9,918	\$ =====	8 , 540

On a regular basis, the Company evaluates its inventory balances for excess quantities and obsolescence by analyzing demand, inventory on hand, sales levels and other information. Based on these evaluations, inventory balances are reduced, if necessary. The reserve for obsolete or slow moving inventory was \$147 and \$56 at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

NOTE 4 - PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

Property, plant and equipment at December 31, 2006 and 2005 are summarized as follows:

	2006	2005
Land Building Equipment Construction in progress	\$ 650 11,640 38,545 1,247	\$ 290 10,509 31,196 332
Less: Accumulated depreciation	 52,082 20,769	 42,327 17,927
Property, plant and equipment, net	\$ 31,313	\$ 24,400

Depreciation expense was \$2,842, \$2,686 and \$2,583 for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

NOTE 5 - ACQUISITION OF ASSETS

Effective August 24, 2006, pursuant to an asset purchase agreement of the same date, the Company, through its wholly owned subsidiaries BCP Ingredients and BCP St. Gabriel, acquired from BioAdditives, LLC, CMB Additives, LLC and CMB Realty of Louisiana (the "St. Gabriel Sellers") an animal feed grade aqueous choline chloride manufacturing facility and related assets located in St. Gabriel, Louisiana (the "St. Gabriel Acquisition"). The Company also acquired the St. Gabriel Sellers' remaining interest in a land lease (approximately 21 years) relating to the realty upon which the acquired facility and related assets are located. The acquisition was funded through the Company's cash reserves. At December 31, 2006, the facility was not in service. In February 2007, the facility was placed in to service.

NOTE 6 - ACQUISITION OF STOCK

On February 8, 2006, the Company, through its wholly owned subsidiary Balchem Minerals Corporation ("BMC"), completed an acquisition (the "CMC Acquisition") of all of the outstanding capital stock of Chelated Minerals Corporation

("CMC"), a privately held Utah corporation, for a purchase price of \$17,350, subject to adjustment based upon CMC's actual working capital and other adjustments. On

40

February 6, 2006, the Company and its principal bank entered into a Loan Agreement (the "Loan Agreement") providing for an unsecured term loan of \$10,000 (the "Term Loan"), the proceeds of which were used to fund the CMC Acquisition, in part. The remaining balance of the purchase price of the CMC Acquisition was funded through the Company's cash reserves. At December 31, 2006, the Term Loan had been repaid in full.

The CMC Acquisition has been accounted for using the purchase method of accounting and the purchase price of the acquisition has been assigned to the net assets acquired based on the fair value of such assets and liabilities at the date of acquisition. The preliminary allocation of the total purchase price, including acquisition costs, of CMC's net tangible and intangible assets was based on the estimated fair values as of February 8, 2006. Adjustments to these estimates will be included in the allocation of the purchase price of CMC upon settlement of any working capital or other adjustments. The excess of the purchase price over the identifiable intangible and net tangible assets was allocated to goodwill. The preliminary purchase price has been allocated as follows (in thousands):

	Fair Value Recorded in Purchase Accounting
Accounts receivable	\$ 884
Inventory	552
Property, plant and equipment	1,980
Current liabilities	(388)
Other long-term liabilities	(2,368)
Goodwill	11,903
Financing costs	49
Other intangible assets	5,285
Total	\$ 17,897

The consolidated financial statements include the results of operations of CMC from the date of purchase.

Pro Forma Summary of Operations

The following unaudited pro forma information has been prepared as if the CMC Acquisition had occurred on January 1, 2005 and does not include cost savings expected from the transaction. In addition to including the results of operations, the pro forma information gives effect primarily to changes in depreciation and amortization of tangible and intangible assets resulting from the acquisition.

The pro formal information presented does not purport to be indicative of the results that actually would have been attained if the CMC acquisition had occurred at the beginning of the periods presented and is not intended to be a projection of future results.

Pro Forma

Year Ended December 31,

		2006		2005
Net sales	 \$	101,639	s	89,117
	Ş	•	Ą	•
Net earnings		12,284		11,438
Basic EPS		.70		.66
Diluted EPS	\$.67	\$.63
			=====	

41

NOTE 7 - PRIOR YEAR ACQUISITION OF ASSETS

Effective June 30, 2005, pursuant to an asset purchase agreement of same date (the "Loders Asset Purchase Agreement"), the Company acquired certain assets of Loders Croklaan USA, LLC ("Loders Croklaan") relating to the encapsulation, agglomeration and granulation business for a purchase price including acquisition costs of \$9,885 plus \$725 for certain product inventories and \$809 for certain accounts receivable (the "Loders Crooklaan Acquisition"). With the exception of \$985, which was paid during the quarter ended June 30, 2005, all of such payment was made on July 1, 2005 from the Company's cash reserves.

The Loders Asset Purchase Agreement also provides for the contingent payment to Loders Croklaan by the Company of additional consideration based upon the volume of sales during the three year period following the acquisition associated with one particular product acquired by the Company. Such contingent consideration will be recorded as an additional cost of the acquired product lines. As of December 31, 2006, such contingent consideration of \$23 has been earned and paid.

The allocation of the purchase price of the Loders Crooklaan Acquisition, subject to contingencies, has been assigned to the long-term net assets acquired as follows:

	Fair Value Recorded in Purchase Accounting
Equipment Customer List Patent Goodwill	\$ 1,436 1,350 140 6,982
Total	\$ 9,908

The purchase price allocations have been made on the basis of estimates made by the Company. The financial statement items and amounts are subject to subsequent revision to give effect to reclassifications related to the allocation between identifiable assets, intangible assets and goodwill and for other pre-acquisition contingencies that may become resolved during subsequent periods.

The above acquisition has been accounted for using the purchase method of accounting and the purchase price of the acquisition has been assigned to the net assets acquired based on the fair value of such assets and liabilities at the date of acquisition. The consolidated financial statements include the results of operations of the acquired product lines from the date of purchase.

Pro Forma Summary of Operations

The following unaudited pro forma information has been prepared as if the aforementioned acquisition had occurred on January 1, 2004 and does not include cost savings expected from the transaction. In addition to including the results of operations, the pro forma information gives effect primarily to changes in depreciation and amortization of tangible and intangible assets resulting from the acquisition.

The pro formal information presented does not purport to be indicative of the results that actually would have been attained if the aforementioned acquisition had occurred at the beginning of the periods presented and is not intended to be a projection of future results.

42

		Pro Forma			
		Year	Ende	d	
	December 31,			1,	
	2005 20			2004	
Net sales	\$	86,382	\$	71,747	
Net earnings		11,422		9,042	
Basic EPS		.66		.53	
Diluted EPS	\$.63	\$.52	

NOTE 8 - INTANGIBLE ASSETS WITH FINITE LIVES

As of December 31, 2006 and 2005, the Company had identifiable intangible assets as follows:

	Amortization Period (In years)	2006 Gross Carrying Amount	2006 Accumulated Amortization	2005 Gross Carrying Amount	2005 Accumulated Amortization
Customer lists Regulatory re-registration	10	\$ 4,888	\$ 497	\$ 1,350	\$ 67
costs	10	28	0	18	0
Patents & trade secrets	15-17	1,550	221	753	141
Trademarks & trade names	17	876	94	210	49
Other	5	457	75	101	27
		\$ 7 , 799	\$ 887	\$ 2,432	\$ 284

Amortization of identifiable intangible assets was approximately \$603, \$123 and \$688 for 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Assuming no change in the gross carrying value of identifiable intangible assets, the estimated amortization expense is approximately \$637 per annum for 2007 through 2008, approximately \$635 per annum for 2009 and approximately \$613 per annum for 2010 through 2011.

At December 31, 2006 and 2005, there were no identifiable intangible assets with indefinite useful lives as defined by SFAS No. 142. Identifiable intangible assets are reflected in the Company's consolidated balance sheets under Intangible assets, net. There were no changes to the useful lives of intangible assets subject to amortization in 2006 and 2005.

At December 31, 2006, the gross carrying amount included a customer list, trade name and trade secrets acquired as part of the CMC Acquisition, as well as a customer list and patent acquired as part of the Loders Croklaan Acquisition.

The Company is in the process of re-registering one of its product's use in compliance with the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act, as amended ("FIFRA"), re-registration requirements for all pesticide products. In December 2004, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") informed the Company and the other technical registrant under the current registration that the Agency was beginning the 6-phase process to develop a Re-registration Eligibility Decision (RED) for this product. This multi-phase process entered Phase 5 last year. The EPA's Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP) had originally stated its intent to finalize the RED by August 2006, but bifurcated the process, and dealt only with the reassessment of spice residue tolerances mandated by the Food Quality Protection Act of 1996. On August 9, 2006, OPP issued a Tolerance Reassessment Progress and Risk Management Decision (TRED) relating to the use of ethylene oxide to treat spices. This TRED prohibits the use of ethylene oxide to treat basil, effective August 1, 2007, but allows the continuing use of ethylene oxide to treat all other spices, provided users follow a mandated treatment method. In the Federal Register notice announcing the TRED, the EPA stated its intent to complete the RED process for ethylene oxide in 2007. Upon completion of the EPA's Office of Research

43

and Development (ORD) assessment of the carcinogenicity of ethylene oxide, OPP will complete preparation of the RED. ORD issued a draft "Evaluation of the Carcinogenicity of Ethylene Oxide" in a Federal Register notice, dated September 22, 2006. This assessment is currently undergoing review by a special panel of the Science Advisory Board. ORD indicates the assessment will be finalized by the summer of 2007. The Company has actively participated in the public access portions of both the ORD assessment process and the OPP's RED process and will continue to do so until their conclusions. With regard to the RED process, as of this date, the OPP expressed concerns about occupational exposures to ethylene oxide. The EPA requested additional information from the industry, which the Company is actively involved in providing. The EPA has also indicated additional testing may be required in order to maintain the current uses. The Company believes that the use will continue to be permitted, although the Agency may require some additional restrictions on current uses. Additionally, the product, when used as a medical device sterilant, has no known equally effective substitute. Management of the Company believes absence of availability of this product could not be easily tolerated by various medical device manufacturers and the health care industry due to the resultant infection potential, if the product were unavailable.

NOTE 9 - LONG-TERM DEBT & CREDIT AGREEMENTS

There was no debt outstanding at December 31, 2006 or December 31, 2005. On February 6, 2006, the Company and its principal bank entered into a loan agreement (the "Loan Agreement") providing for an unsecured term loan of \$10,000 (the "Term Loan"), the proceeds of which were used to fund the CMC Acquisition, in part. The Term Loan was payable in equal monthly installments of principal, together with accrued interest, had a maturity date of March 1, 2009, and was

subject to an interest rate equal to LIBOR plus 1.00%. As of December 31, 2006, the Company made \$10,000 in principal payments against the Term Loan, which paid the Term Loan in full. The Loan Agreement also provides for an unsecured short-term revolving credit facility of \$3,000 (the "Revolving Facility"). Borrowings under the Revolving Facility bear interest at LIBOR plus 1.00%. Certain provisions of the Term Loan require maintenance of certain financial ratios, limit future borrowings and impose certain other requirements as contained in the Loan Agreement. As of December 31, 2006, no amounts were drawn on the Revolving Facility. The Revolving Facility expires in May, 2007. Management believes that such facility will be renewed in the normal course of business.

NOTE 10 - INCOME TAXES

Income tax expense consists of the following:

	2006	2005	2004
Current:			
Federal	•	\$ 4,875	
State Deferred:	534	763	453
Federal State	(1) (5)	541 58	1,244 143
Total income tax provision	\$ 6,823 	\$ 6 , 237 =======	\$ 4 , 689

The provision for income taxes differs from the amount computed by applying the Federal statutory rate of 35% to earnings before income tax expense due to the following:

	2006	2005	2004
Income tax at Federal statutory rate	\$ 6,685	\$ 6,017	\$ 4,450
State income taxes, net of Federal income tax benefit	344	534	379
Other	(206)	(314)	(140)
Total income tax provision	\$ 6,823	\$ 6 , 237	\$ 4,689

44

The tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to significant portions of the deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities at December 31, 2006 and 2005 were as follows:

	20	006	2	2005
Deferred tax assets:				
Customer list amortization	\$		\$	119
Inventories		371		213
Deferred compensation				6
Restricted stock and stock options		200		99

Other	145	231
Total deferred tax assets	716	668
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Customer list amortization	\$ 1,684	\$
Depreciation	3,873	3,712
Prepaid expense	583	695
Trade names and trademarks	239	
Technology and trade secrets	269	
Other	279	
Total deferred tax liabilities	 6 , 927	 4,407
Net deferred tax liability	\$ 6 , 211	\$ 3 , 739

There is no valuation allowance for deferred tax assets at December 31, 2006 and 2005. In assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. Management considers the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income and tax planning strategies in making this assessment. Based upon the level of historical taxable income and projections for future taxable income over the periods in which the deferred tax assets are deductible, management believes it is more likely than not the Company will realize the benefits of these deductible differences. The amount of deferred tax asset realizable, however, could change if management's estimate of future taxable income should change.

NOTE 11 - NET EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE

The following presents a reconciliation of the numerator and denominator used in calculating basic and diluted net earnings per common share:

2006	Earnings (Numerator)	Number of Shares (Denominator)	Per Sha Amoun
Basic EPS - Net earnings and weighted average common shares outstanding	\$ 12,278	17,427,857	\$.7
Effect of dilutive securities - stock options and restricted stock		819 , 384	
Diluted EPS - Net earnings and weighted average common shares outstanding and effect of stock options	\$ 12 , 278	18,247,241	\$.6

2005	Earnings (Numerator)	Number of Shares (Denominator)	Per Sh Amou
Basic EPS - Net earnings and weighted average common shares outstanding	\$ 10,954	17,341,133	\$.6
Effect of dilutive securities - stock options		743 , 739	
Diluted EPS - Net earnings and weighted average common shares outstanding nd effect of stock options	\$ 10 , 954	18,084,872	\$.6

2004	Earnings (Numerator)	Number of Shares (Denominator)	Per Sh Amou
Basic EPS - Net earnings and weighted average common shares outstanding Effect of dilutive securities - stock options	\$ 8,026	16,896,646 571,374	\$
Diluted EPS - Net earnings and weighted average common shares outstanding and effect of stock options	\$ 8,026	17,468,020	\$

The Company had 307,875, 481,500 and 2,700 stock options outstanding at December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively that could potentially dilute basic earnings per share in future periods that were not included in diluted earnings per share because their effect on the period presented was anti-dilutive.

NOTE 12- EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

The Company sponsors a 401(k) savings plan for eligible employees. The plan allows participants to make pretax contributions and the Company matches certain percentages of those pretax contributions with shares of the Company's common stock. The profit sharing portion of the plan is discretionary and non-contributory. All amounts contributed to the plan are deposited into a trust fund administered by independent trustees. The Company provided for profit sharing contributions and matching 401(k) savings plan contributions of \$395 and \$343 in 2006, \$326 and \$276 in 2005 and \$301 and \$257 in 2004, respectively.

The Company also currently provides postretirement benefits in the form of an unfunded retirement medical plan under a collective bargaining agreement covering eligible retired employees of the Verona facility. The Company uses a December 31 measurement date for its postretirement medical plan. In accordance with SFAS 158, the Company is required to recognize the over funded or under funded status of a defined benefit post retirement plan (other than a multiemployer plan) as an asset or liability in its statement of financial position, and to recognize changes in that funded status in the year in which the changes occur through comprehensive income. On December 31, 2006, the

Company recorded \$0.3 million as a reduction to the benefit obligation and \$0.2 million, net of tax, as a one-time adjustment to its stockholders' equity, recorded under accumulated other comprehensive income.

46

The actuarial recorded liabilities for such unfunded postretirement benefit is as follows:

Change	in	benefit	oblic	ration:

	 2006	 2005
Benefit obligation at beginning of year Service cost with interest to end of year Interest cost Participant contributions Plan amendments Benefits paid	\$ 942 28 39 11 0 (20)	\$ 867 32 50 11 0 (25)
Actuarial (gain) or loss	 (271)	 7
Benefit obligation at end of year	\$ 729	\$ 942

Change in plan assets:

		2006		2005
Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year	\$		\$	
Employer contributions		9		15
Participant contributions		11		11
Benefits paid		(20)		(26)
Fair value of plan assets at end of year	\$ =====	 	\$ =====	

Amounts recognized in consolidated balance sheet:

imounts recognized in consorrance sheer.			
		2006 	 2005
Accumulated postretirement benefit obligation Fair value of plan assets Funded status Unrecognized prior service cost Unrecognized net (gain)/loss	\$	(729) (729) N/A N/A	\$ (942) (942) (191) 146
Net amount recognized in consolidated balance sheet (after FAS 158) (included in other long-term obligations)	\$	729	\$ N/A
Accrued postretirement benefit cost (included in other long-term obligations)	\$ ======	N/A ======	\$ 987

Components of net periodic benefit cost:

2006	2005	2004

Service cost with interest to end of year	\$ 28	\$ 32	\$ 31
Interest cost	39	50	49
Amortization of prior service cost	(18)	(18)	(10)
Amortization of (gain) or loss	(3)	3	
Total net periodic benefit cost	\$ 46	\$ 67	\$ 70

47

Estimated future employer contributions and benefit payments are as follows:

Year	
2007	\$ 35
2008	41
2009	48
2010	43
2011	54
Years 2012-2016	187

Assumed health care cost trend rates have been used in the valuation of postretirement health insurance benefits. The trend rate is 10 percent in 2007 declining to 5 percent in 2012 and thereafter. A one percentage point increase in health care cost trend rates in each year would increase the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation as of December 31, 2006 by \$91 and the net periodic postretirement benefit cost for 2006 by \$10. A one percentage point decrease in health care cost trend rates in each year would decrease the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation as of December 31, 2006 by \$78 and the net periodic postretirement benefit cost for 2006 by \$8. The weighted average discount rate used in determining the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation was 5.75% in 2006 and 5.75% in 2005.

NOTE 13 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

In connection with the Loders Croklaan Acquisition, the Company entered into a lease agreement with Loders under which the Company leases a portion of Loders' Channahon, Illinois facility where it principally conducted the manufacturing portion of the acquired business and utilized certain warehouse space. The initial term of the lease commenced in February, 2006 and runs through September 30, 2010, subject to earlier termination. In addition, the Company entered into certain short-term services and tolling agreements with Loders.

In February 2002, the Company entered into a ten (10) year lease which is cancelable in 2009 for approximately 20,000 square feet of office space. The office space is now serving as the Company's general offices and as a laboratory facility. The Company leases most of its vehicles and office equipment under non-cancelable operating leases, which expire at various times through 2011. Rent expense charged to operations under such lease agreements for 2006, 2005 and 2004 aggregated approximately \$595, \$576 and \$566, respectively. Aggregate future minimum rental payments required under non-cancelable operating leases at December 31, 2006 are as follows:

Year		
2007	\$	672
2008	,	619
2009		652
2010		209
2011		79
Thereafter		59
Total minimum lease payments	\$ 2,	290
	=====	

In 1982, the Company discovered and thereafter removed a number of buried drums containing unidentified waste material from the Company's site in Slate Hill, New York. The Company thereafter entered into a Consent Decree to evaluate the drum site with the New York Department of Environmental Conservation ("NYDEC") and performed a Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study that was approved by NYDEC in February 1994. Based on NYDEC requirements, the Company cleaned the area and removed additional soil from the drum burial site, which was completed in 1996. The Company continues to be involved in discussions with NYDEC to evaluate test results and determine what, if any, additional actions will be required on the part of the Company to close out the remediation of this site. Additional actions, if any,

48

would likely require the Company to continue monitoring the site. The cost of such monitoring has been less than \$5 per year for the period 2003 - 2006.

The Company's Verona, Missouri facility, while held by a prior owner, was designated by the EPA as a Superfund site and placed on the National Priorities List in 1983, because of dioxin contamination on portions of the site. Remediation conducted by the prior owner under the oversight of the EPA and the Missouri Department of Natural Resources ("MDNR") included removal of dioxin contaminated soil and equipment, capping of areas of residual contamination in four relatively small areas of the site separate from the manufacturing facilities, and the installation of wells to monitor groundwater and surface water contamination by organic chemicals. No ground water or surface water treatment was required. The Company believes that remediation of the site is complete. In 1998, the EPA certified the work on the contaminated soils to be complete. In February 2000, after the conclusion of two years of monitoring groundwater and surface water, the former owner submitted a draft third party risk assessment report to the EPA and MDNR recommending no further action. The prior owner is awaiting the response of the EPA and MDNR to the draft risk assessment.

While the Company must maintain the integrity of the capped areas in the remediation areas on the site, the prior owner is responsible for completion of any further Superfund remedy. The Company is indemnified by the sellers under its May 2001 asset purchase agreement covering its acquisition of the Verona, Missouri facility for potential liabilities associated with the Superfund site and one of the sellers, in turn, has the benefit of certain contractual indemnification by the prior owner that is implementing the above-described Superfund remedy.

From time to time, the Company is a party to various litigation, claims and assessments. Management believes that the alternate outcome of such matters will not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations, or liquidity.

NOTE 14 - LICENSE AGREEMENT

On November 7, 2005, the Company entered into a license agreement (the "License Agreement") with Project Management and Development Co., Ltd. ("PMD"), a corporation organized under the laws of Great Britain. As of August 2006, PMD assigned the license agreement in its entirety to its successor in interest, Al Kayan Petrochemical Company.

The License Agreement gives PMD the right to utilize the Company's proprietary continuous manufacturing technology for the production of aqueous choline chloride (the "Licensed Technology") in connection with PMD's construction and operation of an aqueous choline chloride production facility at PMD's Al-Jubail, Saudi Arabia petrochemical facility, currently scheduled for completion in late 2009. In addition, the License Agreement provides PMD with the exclusive right to use the Licensed Technology in certain countries, as well as the non-exclusive right to market, sell and use the products derived from the Licensed Technology on a world-wide basis. The License Agreement further provides that the Company will be PMD's exclusive North American distributor for said products during the term of the agreement. The License Agreement terminates either 10 years from the start-up of the PMD's production facility or December 31, 2020, whichever is earlier.

Pursuant to the License Agreement, PMD will pay the Company a license fee of \$1,400 and fees of \$840 for the delivery by the Company of certain preliminary drawings, specifications, process design documents containing the Licensed Technology, and additional training. These fees are to be paid in installments upon achievement of certain performance milestones set forth in the License Agreement.

Under the License Agreement, the Company provides certain performance guarantees associated with the Licensed Technology. In the event that the PMD manufacturing facility, if properly designed and constructed, fails to attain said performance guarantees, liquidated damages may be assessed, but not exceeding 70% of the license fee.

49

The Company is using the percentage of completion method to recognize revenue and expenses related to the License Agreement and the efforts-expended method for measuring the progress to completion. The Company has recognized \$842 of revenue and \$427 in expenses for 2006 and \$158 of revenue and \$138 in expenses for 2005. These amounts are included in the net sales and cost of sales totals in the BCP Ingredients segment.

NOTE 15 - SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company's reportable segments are strategic businesses that offer products and services to different markets. The Company presently has three segments: specialty products, encapsulated / nutritional products and the unencapsulated feed supplements segment (also referred to as BCP Ingredients). Products relating to choline animal feed for non-ruminant animals are primarily reported in the unencapsulated feed supplements segment. Human choline nutrient products, pharmaceutical products and encapsulated products are reported in the encapsulated / nutritional products segment. They are managed separately because each business requires different technology and marketing strategies. The specialty products segment consists of three specialty chemicals: ethylene oxide, propylene oxide and methyl chloride. The encapsulated / nutritional products segment provides microencapsulation, granulation and agglomeration

solutions to a variety of applications in food, pharmaceutical and nutritional ingredients to enhance performance of nutritional fortification, processing, mixing, packaging applications and shelf-life. The unencapsulated feed supplements segment is in the business of manufacturing and supplying choline chloride, an essential nutrient for animal health, to the poultry and swine industries. In addition, certain derivatives of choline chloride are also manufactured and sold into industrial applications and are included in the unencapsulated feed supplements segment. The Company sells products for all segments through its own sales force, independent distributors, and sales agents. The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies.

Business Segment Net Sales:

	 2006 	 2005	 2004
Specialty Products Encapsulated/Nutritional Products BCP Ingredients	\$ 32,026 41,565 27,314	\$ 29,433 32,499 21,163	\$ 28,767 24,759 13,880
Total	\$ 100,905	\$ 83,095	\$ 67,406

Business Segment Earnings Before Income Taxes:

	 2006	 2005	 2004
Specialty Products Encapsulated/Nutritional Products BCP Ingredients Interest and other income (expense)	\$ 11,315 4,200 3,647 (61)	\$ 11,007 3,217 2,679 288	\$ 10,693 992 1,112 (82)
Total	\$ 19,101	\$ 17 , 191	\$ 12,715

Depreciation/Amortization:

	 2006 		2005	 2004
Specialty Products Encapsulated/Nutritional Products BCP Ingredients	\$ 941 1,983 521	\$	1,027 1,313 469	\$ 1,668 1,155 448
Total	\$ 3,445	\$ =====	2 , 809	\$ 3,271

Business Segment Assets:

	 2006 	 2005	 2004
Specialty Products Encapsulated/Nutritional Products BCP Ingredients Other Unallocated	\$ 18,446 45,870 20,434 7,583	\$ 19,799 25,139 14,141 16,062	\$ 18,456 15,594 11,424 14,931
Total	\$ 92 , 333	 \$ 75 , 141	\$ 60,405

Other unallocated assets consist of certain cash, prepaid expenses, leasehold improvements, net of accumulated depreciation, and deferred income taxes, which the Company does not allocate to its individual business segments.

Capital Expenditures:

		2006		2005		2004
Specialty Products	\$	195	\$	366	\$	224
Encapsulated/Nutritional Products		595		520		470
BCP Ingredients		1,489		883		521
m.i1		0 070		1 760		1 015
Total	ې 		ې 	1 , 769	ې 	1,215

Geographic Revenue Information:

	 2006 2005				2004		
United States Foreign Countries	\$ 91,042 9,863	\$	77,355 5,740	\$	61,869 5,537		
Total	\$ 100,905	\$	83 , 095	\$ \$	67,406		

The Company has no foreign-based operations. Therefore, all long-lived assets are in the United States and revenue from foreign countries is based on customer ship-to addresses.

NOTE 16 - SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION

Cash paid during the year for:

	2006	2005	2004
Income taxes	\$ 5,621	\$ 5 , 133	\$ 3,421
Interest	\$ 189	\$ 8	\$ 219

Non-cash financing activities:

	 	 	===		
	2006		2004		
Dividends payable	\$ 1,596	\$ 1,045	\$	685	
=======================================	 	 			

Also see Notes 5, 6, and 7 for information regarding acquisitions of assets and stock.

51

NOTE 17 - QUARTERLY FINANCIAL INFORMATION (UNAUDITED):

(In thousands, except per share data)

		2	006		200	05	
	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter
							
Net sales	\$24,597	\$25,100	\$25,122	\$26 , 086	\$19,340	\$19 , 484	\$21,145
Gross profit	8,222	8,800	8,673	8,311	7,182	7,112	7,649
Earnings before							!
income taxes	4,445	4,813	4,978	4,865	4,069	4,346	4,857
Net earnings	2,858	3,055	3,151	3,214	2,568	2,730	3,024
Basic net earnings							
per common share	\$.17	\$.17	\$.18	\$.18	\$.15	\$.15	\$.17
Diluted net earnings							
per common share	\$.16	\$.17	\$.17	\$.18	\$.14	\$.15	\$.17

52

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors Balchem Corporation New Hampton, NY

Our audits of the consolidated financial statements and internal control over financial reporting referred to in our report dated March 15, 2007 (included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K) also included the financial statement schedule of Balchem Corporation and Subsidiaries, listed in Item 15(a) of this Form 10-K for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004. This schedule is the responsibility of Balchem Corporation's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion based on our audits of the consolidated financial statements.

In our opinion, the financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

/s/McGladrey & Pullen, LLP New York, NY March 15, 2007

53

Schedule II

BALCHEM CORPORATION Valuation and Qualifying Accounts Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 (In thousands)

Additions _____ Balance at Charges to Charges to Bal Beginning of Costs and Other Expenses End Description Year Accounts Deductions _____ Year ended December 31, 2006 Allowance for doubtful accounts \$ 50 \$ -- \$ Ś Inventory obsolescence reserve 56 91 Year ended December 31, 2005 Allowance for doubtful accounts \$ 82 \$ -- \$ \$ (32) (a) Inventory obsolescence reserve 31 25 Year ended December 31, 2004 Allowance for doubtful accounts \$ 86 \$ -- \$
Inventory obsolescence reserve 76 ---- \$ (4) (a) (45) (a)

(a) represents write-offs.

54

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company's management, with the participation of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) as of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Based on such evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of the end of such period, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

\$

\$

\$

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management of the Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. The Company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed under the supervision of the Company's principal executive and principal financial officers to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of the Company's financial statements for external reporting purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

As of December 31, 2006, management conducted an assessment of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on the framework established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on this assessment, management has determined that the Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2006.

Our internal control over financial reporting includes policies and procedures that pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect transactions and dispositions of assets; provide reasonable assurances that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and the directors of the Company; and provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on our financial statements.

Attestation Report of Registered Public Accounting Firm

Management's assessment of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006 has been audited by McGladrey & Pullen, LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which appears herein on pages 24 and 25.

55

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting in our most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers of the Registrant, and Corporate Governance.

(a) Directors of the Company.

The required information is to be set forth in the Company's Proxy Statement for the 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the "2007 Proxy Statement") under the caption "Directors and Executive Officers," which information is hereby incorporated herein by reference.

(b) Executive Officers of the Company.

The required information is to be set forth in the 2007 Proxy Statement under the caption "Directors and Executive Officers," which information is hereby incorporated herein by reference.

(c) Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance.

The required information is to be set forth in the 2007 Proxy Statement under the caption "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance," which information is hereby incorporated herein by reference.

(d) Code of Ethics.

The Company has adopted a Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers that applies to its Chief Executive Officer (principal executive officer), Chief Financial Officer (principal financial officer and principal accounting officer) and its Treasurer. The Company's Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers is filed as Exhibit 14 to this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

(e) Corporate Governance.

The required information is to be set forth in the 2007 Proxy Statement under the caption "Corporate Governance," which information is hereby incorporated herein by reference.

Item 11. Executive Compensation.

The information required by this Item is to be set forth in the 2007 Proxy Statement under the caption "Directors and Executive Officers," which information is hereby incorporated herein by reference.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.

The information required by this Item is to be set forth in the 2007 Proxy Statement under the caption "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and of Management" and the caption "Equity Compensation Plan Information," all of which information is hereby incorporated herein by reference.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence.

The information required by this Item is set forth in the 2007 Proxy Statement under the caption "Directors and Executive Officers," which information is hereby incorporated herein by reference.

56

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services.

The information required by this Item is set forth in the 2007 Proxy Statement under the caption "Independent Auditor Fees," which information is hereby incorporated herein by reference.

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.

The following documents are filed as part of this Form 10-K:

1.	Financial Statements	 10-K Number
	Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	24
	Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2006 and 2005	26
	Consolidated Statements of Earnings for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004	27
	Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004	28
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004	29
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	30
	Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	53
2.	Financial Statement Schedules	
	Schedule II - Valuation and Qualifying Accounts for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004	54

3. Exhibits

- 2.1 Asset Purchase Agreement, dated as of May 21, 2001, among BCP Ingredients, Inc. and DuCoa L.P., DCV, Inc. and DCV GPH, Inc. and certain related agreements (forms of which constitute Exhibits to the Asset Purchase Agreement) as executed. (The Disclosure Schedule identified throughout Asset Purchase Agreement, Schedule A to the Obligations Undertaking (list of contracts assumed by BCP Ingredients, Inc.) and the Power of Attorney and Security Agreement (referred to in Section 2.6 of the Asset Purchase Agreement) and Post-Closing Escrow Agreement (referred to in Sections 3.2.2 and 3.3.3 of the Asset Purchase Agreement), have been omitted. The Company agrees to furnish a copy of these documents on a supplemental basis to the Securities and Exchange Commission upon request.) (incorporated by reference to exhibit 2.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated June, 2001(the "2001 8-K".))
- 3.1 Composite Articles of Incorporation of the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K dated March 16, 2006 for the year ended December 31, 2005).
- 3.2 Composite By-laws of the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 23, 2005).
- 10.1 Loan Agreement dated February 6, 2006 by and between Bank of America, N.A. and Balchem Corporation, Promissory Note dated February 6, 2006 from Balchem Corporation to Bank of America, N.A., and Amended and Restated Promissory Note (Revolving Line of Credit) dated February 6, 2006 from Balchem Corporation to Bank of

- America, N.A. (incorporated by reference to Exhibits 10.2, 10.3 and 10.4 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 9, 2006).
- 10.2 Amended and Restated Guaranty dated February 6, 2006 from BCP Ingredients, Inc. to Bank of America, N.A. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 9, 2006).
- 10.3 Guaranty dated February 6, 2006 from Balchem Minerals Corporation to Bank of America, N.A. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 9, 2006).
- 10.4 Incentive Stock Option Plan of the Company, as amended, (incorporated by reference to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8, File No. 333-35910, dated October 25, 1996, and to Proxy Statement, dated April 22, 1998, for the Company's 1998 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the "1998 Proxy Statement")).*
- 10.5 Stock Option Plan for Directors of the Company, as amended (incorporated by reference to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8, File No. 333-35912, dated October 25, 1996, and to the 1998 Proxy Statement).
- 10.6 Balchem Corporation Amended and Restated 1999 Stock Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2003).*
- 10.7 Balchem Corporation 401(k)/Profit Sharing Plan, dated January 1, 1998 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8, File No. 333-118291, dated August 17, 2004).*
- 10.8 Employment Agreement, dated as of January 1, 2001, between the Company and Dino A. Rossi (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001 (the "2001 10-K")). *
- 10.9 Lease dated as of February 8, 2002 between Sunrise Park Realty, Inc. and Balchem Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the 2001 10-K).
- 10.10 Asset Purchase Agreement dated June 30, 2005, between Balchem Corporation and Loders Croklaan USA, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 1, 2005).
- 10.11 Stock Purchase Agreement dated November 2, 2005, between Balchem Minerals Corporation and Chelated Minerals Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 7, 2005).
- 10.12 Process and Product License Agreement dated November 7, 2005, between Balchem Corporation and Project Management and Development Co., Ltd. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 14, 2005).
- 10.13 Form of Restricted Stock Purchase Agreement for Directors (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 30, 2005).
- 10.14 First Amendment to Stock Purchase Agreement dated January 5, 2006,

between Balchem Minerals Corporation and Chelated Minerals Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated January 10, 2006).

14. Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 14 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K dated March 15, 2004 for the year ended December 31, 2003).

58

- 21. Subsidiaries of Registrant.
- 23.1 Consent of McGladrey & Pullen, LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a).
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a).
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code.
- 32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code.
- * Each of the Exhibits noted by an asterisk is a management compensatory plan or arrangement.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: March 15, 2007 BALCHEM CORPORATION

By:/s/ Dino A. Rossi
----Dino A. Rossi, President,
Chief Executive Officer

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

/s/ Dino A. Rossi

Dino A. Rossi, President,

Chief Executive Officer, and Director (Principal Executive Officer) Date: March 15, 2007

/s/ Francis J. Fitzpatrick

Francis J. Fitzpatrick, Chief Financial

Officer and Treasurer (Principal Financial and Principal Accounting Officer) Date: March 15, 2007

/s/ Hoyt Ammidon, Jr.

Hoyt Ammidon, Jr., Director

Date: March 15, 2007

/s/ Edward McMillan

Edward McMillan, Director Date: March 15, 2007

/s/ Kenneth P. Mitchell

Kenneth P. Mitchell, Director

Date: March 15, 2007

/s/ Dr. John Televantos

Dr. John Televantos, Director

Date: March 15, 2007

/s/ Dr. Elaine Wedral

Dr. Elaine Wedral, Director

Date: March 15, 2007

59

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit	
Number	Description

- 2.1 Asset Purchase Agreement, dated as of May 21, 2001, among BCP Ingredients, Inc. and DuCoa L.P., DCV, Inc. and DCV GPH, Inc. and certain related agreements (forms of which constitute Exhibits to the Asset Purchase Agreement) as executed. (The Disclosure Schedule identified throughout Asset Purchase Agreement, Schedule A to the Obligations Undertaking (list of contracts assumed by BCP Ingredients, Inc.) and the Power of Attorney and Security Agreement (referred to in Section 2.6 of the Asset Purchase Agreement) and Post-Closing Escrow Agreement (referred to in Sections 3.2.2 and 3.3.3 of the Asset Purchase Agreement), have been omitted. The Company agrees to furnish a copy of these documents on a supplemental basis to the Securities and Exchange Commission upon request.) (incorporated by reference to exhibit 2.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated June, 2001 (the "2001 8-K".))
- 3.1 Composite Articles of Incorporation of the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K dated March 16, 2006 for the year ended December 31, 2005).
- 3.2 Composite By-laws of the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 23, 2005).
- 10.1 Loan Agreement dated February 6, 2006 by and between Bank of

America, N.A. and Balchem Corporation, Promissory Note dated February 6, 2006 from Balchem Corporation to Bank of America, N.A., and Amended and Restated Promissory Note (Revolving Line of Credit) dated February 6, 2006 from Balchem Corporation to Bank of America, N.A. (incorporated by reference to Exhibits 10.2, 10.3 and 10.4 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 9, 2006).

- Amended and Restated Guaranty dated February 6, 2006 from BCP Ingredients, Inc. to Bank of America, N.A. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 9, 2006).
- Guaranty dated February 6, 2006 from Balchem Minerals Corporation to Bank of America, N.A. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 9, 2006).
- Incentive Stock Option Plan of the Company, as amended, (incorporated by reference to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8, File No. 333-35910, dated October 25, 1996, and to Proxy Statement, dated April 22, 1998, for the Company's 1998 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the "1998 Proxy Statement")).*
- Stock Option Plan for Directors of the Company, as amended (incorporated by reference to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8, File No. 333-35912, dated October 25, 1996, and to the 1998 Proxy Statement).
- 10.6 Balchem Corporation Amended and Restated 1999 Stock Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2003).*
- 10.7 Balchem Corporation 401(k)/Profit Sharing Plan, dated January 1, 1998 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8, File No. 333-118291, dated August 17, 2004).*
- 10.8 Employment Agreement, dated as of January 1, 2001, between the Company and Dino A. Rossi (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Annual Report on

60

Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001 (the "2001 10-K")). *

- 10.9 Lease dated as of February 8, 2002 between Sunrise Park Realty, Inc. and Balchem Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the 2001 10-K).
- 10.10 Asset Purchase Agreement dated June 30, 2005, between Balchem Corporation and Loders Croklaan USA, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 1, 2005).
- 10.11 Stock Purchase Agreement dated November 2, 2005, between Balchem Minerals Corporation and Chelated Minerals Corporation

(incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 7, 2005).

- Process and Product License Agreement dated November 7, 2005, between Balchem Corporation and Project Management and Development Co., Ltd. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 14, 2005).
- 10.13 Form of Restricted Stock Purchase Agreement for Directors (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 30, 2005).
- 10.14 First Amendment to Stock Purchase Agreement dated January 5, 2006, between Balchem Minerals Corporation and Chelated Minerals Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated January 10, 2006).
- 14. Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 14 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K dated March 15, 2004 for the year ended December 31, 2003).
- 21. Subsidiaries of Registrant.
- 23.1 Consent of McGladrey & Pullen, LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a).
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a).
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code.
- 32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code.
- * $\,\,$ Each of the Exhibits $\,$ noted by an asterisk is a management compensatory plan or arrangement.