

CIT GROUP INC  
Form 10-Q  
November 09, 2012

**Table of Contents**

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

**FORM 10-Q**

Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d)  
of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934  
For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2012

Transition Report Pursuant to  
Section 13 or 15(d)  
of the Securities Exchange Act  
of 1934

---

Commission File Number: 001-31369

**CIT GROUP INC.**

*(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)*

---

**Delaware**  
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

**65-1051192**  
(IRS Employer Identification Number)

**11 West 42nd Street New York, New York**  
(Address of Registrant's principal executive offices)

**10036**  
(Zip Code)

**(212) 461-5200**  
(Registrant's telephone number)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company .

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has filed all documents and reports required to be filed by Sections 12, 13, or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 subsequent to the distribution of securities under a plan confirmed by a court. Yes  No

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

As of October 31, 2012 there were 200,851,859 shares of the registrant's common stock outstanding.

### Table of Contents

#### CONTENTS

##### Part One Financial Information:

<u>ITEM 1.</u>	<u>Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	2
	<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited)</u>	2
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited)</u>	3
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) (Unaudited)</u>	4
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity (Unaudited)</u>	5
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)</u>	6
	<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	7
<u>ITEM 2.</u>	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> and	36
<u>ITEM 3.</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	36
<u>ITEM 4.</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	91

##### Part Two Other Information:

<u>ITEM 1.</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	92
<u>ITEM 1A</u>	<u>Risk Factors</u>	92
<u>ITEM 2.</u>	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	92
<u>ITEM 4.</u>	<u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	92
<u>ITEM 6.</u>	<u>Exhibits</u>	93
<u>Signatures</u>		99

Table of Contents 1

### Table of Contents

#### Part One Financial Information

## ITEM 1. Consolidated Financial Statements

### CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### **CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Unaudited)** (dollars in millions except share data)

September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
-----------------------	----------------------

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
<b>Assets</b>		
Cash and due from banks	\$ 367.4	\$ 433.2
Interest bearing deposits, including restricted balances of \$1,083.8 and \$869.9 at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 <sup>(1)</sup>	6,088.1	7,002.4
Investment securities	1,004.6	1,250.6
Trading assets at fair value – derivatives	29.3	42.8
Assets held for sale <sup>(1)</sup>	1,421.1	2,332.3
Loans (see Note 5 for amounts pledged)	20,383.4	19,885.5
Allowance for loan losses	(397.9)	(407.8)
Total loans, net of allowance for loan losses <sup>(1)</sup>	19,985.5	19,477.7
Operating lease equipment, net (see Note 5 for amounts pledged) <sup>(1)</sup>	12,072.0	11,991.6
Unsecured counterparty receivable	592.9	733.5
Goodwill	330.8	330.8
Intangible assets, net	37.3	63.6
Other assets	1,651.9	1,568.2
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>\$43,580.9</b>	<b>\$45,226.7</b>
<b>Liabilities</b>		
Deposits	\$ 8,709.3	\$ 6,193.7
Trading liabilities at fair value – derivatives	81.9	66.2
Credit balances of factoring clients	1,224.9	1,225.5
Other liabilities	2,567.4	2,562.2
Long-term borrowings, including \$1,438.0 and \$3,203.8 contractually due within twelve months at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively	22,906.5	26,288.1
<b>Total Liabilities</b>	<b>35,490.0</b>	<b>36,335.7</b>
<b>Stockholders' Equity</b>		
Common stock: \$0.01 par value, 600,000,000 authorized		
Issued: 201,263,311 and 200,980,752 at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011	2.0	2.0
Outstanding: 200,849,536 and 200,660,314 at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011		
Paid-in capital	8,491.0	8,459.3
(Accumulated deficit) / Retained earnings	(290.0)	532.1
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(100.3)	(92.1)
Treasury stock: 413,775 and 320,438 shares at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 at cost	(16.7)	(12.8)
<b>Total Common Stockholders' Equity</b>	<b>8,086.0</b>	<b>8,888.5</b>
Noncontrolling minority interests	4.9	2.5
<b>Total Equity</b>	<b>8,090.9</b>	<b>8,891.0</b>
<b>Total Liabilities and Equity</b>	<b>\$43,580.9</b>	<b>\$45,226.7</b>

<sup>(1)</sup> The following table presents information on assets and liabilities related to Variable Interest Entities (VIEs) that are consolidated by the Company. The difference between VIE total assets and total liabilities represents the Company's interests in those entities, which were eliminated in consolidation. The assets of the consolidated VIEs will be used to settle the liabilities of those entities and, except for the Company's interest in the VIEs, are not available to the creditors of CIT or any affiliates of CIT. In the following table, certain prior period balances have been conformed to current period presentation.

<b>Assets</b>		
Interest bearing deposits, restricted	\$ 650.9	\$ 574.2
Assets held for sale	570.5	317.2
Total loans, net of allowance for loan losses	7,610.5	8,523.7
Operating lease equipment, net	4,427.1	4,285.4

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>\$ 13,259.0</b>	<b>\$ 13,700.5</b>
Liabilities		
Beneficial interests issued by consolidated VIEs (classified as long-term borrowings)	\$ 9,760.1	\$ 9,875.5
<b>Total Liabilities</b>	<b>\$ 9,760.1</b>	<b>\$ 9,875.5</b>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

2 CIT GROUP INC

**Table of Contents**

CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (Unaudited)** (dollars in millions except per share data)

	Quarters Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
<b>Interest income</b>				
Interest and fees on loans	\$ 366.1	\$ 494.2	\$ 1,171.2	\$ 1,715.6
Interest and dividends on investments	8.0	8.6	23.8	25.6
Interest income	374.1	502.8	1,195.0	1,741.2
<b>Interest expense</b>				
Interest on long-term borrowings	(773.7)	(574.7)	(2,421.0)	(2,030.2)
Interest on deposits	(38.4)	(28.4)	(110.0)	(77.9)
Interest expense	(812.1)	(603.1)	(2,531.0)	(2,108.1)
Net interest revenue	(438.0)	(100.3)	(1,336.0)	(366.9)
Provision for credit losses		(47.4)	(51.5)	(253.9)
Net interest revenue, after credit provision	(438.0)	(147.7)	(1,387.5)	(620.8)
<b>Non-interest income</b>				
Rental income on operating leases	444.4	409.0	1,329.2	1,238.1
Other income	81.2	242.8	474.6	746.6
Total non-interest income	525.6	651.8	1,803.8	1,984.7
Total revenue, net of interest expense and credit provision	87.6	504.1	416.3	1,363.9
<b>Other expenses</b>				
Depreciation on operating lease equipment	(134.5)	(124.3)	(402.7)	(437.7)
Operating expenses	(237.5)	(226.4)	(701.0)	(669.8)
Loss on debt extinguishments	(16.8)	(146.6)	(61.2)	(146.6)
Total other expenses	(388.8)	(497.3)	(1,164.9)	(1,254.1)
Income (loss) before provision for income taxes	(301.2)	6.8	(748.6)	109.8
Provision for income taxes	(2.9)	(40.2)	(70.6)	(123.8)
Income (loss) before noncontrolling interests	(304.1)	(33.4)	(819.2)	(14.0)
Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests, after tax	(0.8)	0.6	(2.9)	(2.9)
Net loss	\$ (304.9)	\$ (32.8)	\$ (822.1)	\$ (16.9)

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

	Quarters Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
<b>Basic loss per common share</b>	\$ (1.52)	\$ (0.16)	\$ (4.09)	\$ (0.08)
<b>Diluted loss per common share</b>	\$ (1.52)	\$ (0.16)	\$ (4.09)	\$ (0.08)
Average number of common shares basic (thousands)	200,917	200,714	200,877	200,659
Average number of common shares diluted (thousands)	200,917	200,714	200,877	200,659

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Item 1: Consolidated Financial Statements 3

**Table of Contents**

CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) (Unaudited) (dollars in millions)

	Quarters Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
<b>Loss before noncontrolling interests</b>	\$ (304.1)	\$ (33.4)	\$ (819.2)	\$ (14.0)
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:				
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(0.2)	(20.2)	(11.1)	(29.2)
Changes in fair values of derivatives qualifying as cash flow hedges		0.5	0.7	1.0
Net unrealized gains (losses) on available for sale securities	0.5	(7.4)	1.0	(1.5)
Changes in benefit plans net gain/(loss) and prior service (cost)/credit	0.4		1.2	(0.1)
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	0.7	(27.1)	(8.2)	(29.8)
<b>Comprehensive loss before noncontrolling interests</b>	(303.4)	(60.5)	(827.4)	(43.8)
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	(0.8)	0.6	(2.9)	(2.9)
<b>Comprehensive loss</b>	\$ (304.2)	\$ (59.9)	\$ (830.3)	\$ (46.7)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

4 CIT GROUP INC

**Table of Contents**

CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (Unaudited) (dollars in millions)

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

	Common Stock	Paid-in Capital	(Accumulated Deficit) Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Treasury Stock	Noncontrolling Minority Interests	Total Equity
<b>December 31, 2011</b>	<b>\$2.0</b>	<b>\$8,459.3</b>	<b>\$ 532.1</b>	<b>\$ (92.1)</b>	<b>\$(12.8)</b>	<b>\$ 2.5</b>	<b>\$8,891.0</b>
Net income (loss)			(822.1)			2.9	(819.2)
Other comprehensive loss, net of tax				(8.2)			(8.2)
Amortization of restricted stock, stock option and performance shares expenses		30.8			(3.9)		26.9
Employee stock purchase plan		0.9					0.9
Distribution of earnings and capital						(0.5)	(0.5)
<b>September 30, 2012</b>	<b>\$2.0</b>	<b>\$8,491.0</b>	<b>\$(290.0)</b>	<b>\$(100.3)</b>	<b>\$(16.7)</b>	<b>\$ 4.9</b>	<b>\$8,090.9</b>
<b>December 31, 2010</b>	<b>\$2.0</b>	<b>\$8,434.1</b>	<b>\$ 505.4</b>	<b>\$ (9.6)</b>	<b>\$( 8.8)</b>	<b>\$(2.3)</b>	<b>\$8,920.8</b>
Net income (loss)			(16.9)			2.9	(14.0)
Other comprehensive loss, net of tax				(29.8)			(29.8)
Amortization of restricted stock and stock option expenses		19.4			(3.7)		15.7
Employee stock purchase plan		0.3					0.3
Distribution of earnings and capital						0.1	0.1
<b>September 30, 2011</b>	<b>\$2.0</b>	<b>\$8,453.8</b>	<b>\$ 488.5</b>	<b>\$( 39.4)</b>	<b>\$(12.5)</b>	<b>\$ 0.7</b>	<b>\$8,893.1</b>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Item 1: Consolidated Financial Statements 5

Table of Contents

CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited) (dollars in millions)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011
<b>Cash Flows From Operations</b>		
Net loss	\$ (822.1)	\$ (16.9)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash flows from operations:		
Provision for credit losses	51.5	253.9
Net depreciation, amortization and (accretion)	1,712.8	415.7
Net gains on equipment, receivable and investment sales	(271.0)	(384.8)
Loss on debt extinguishments	21.1	121.6
Provision for deferred income taxes	5.9	31.4
(Increase) decrease in finance receivables held for sale	(45.5)	12.9

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

	<b>Nine Months Ended September 30,</b>	
(Increase) decrease in other assets	(157.1)	272.1
Decrease in accrued liabilities and payables	(117.2)	(305.4)
Net cash flows provided by operations	378.4	400.5
<b>Cash Flows From Investing Activities</b>		
Loans originated and purchased	(13,312.5)	(15,225.4)
Principal collections of loans	11,538.2	16,719.8
Purchases of investment securities	(13,961.2)	(13,928.4)
Proceeds from maturities of investment securities	14,255.2	13,512.2
Proceeds from asset and receivable sales	3,404.6	2,524.0
Purchases of assets to be leased and other equipment	(1,228.0)	(1,080.5)
Net increase in short-term factoring receivables	5.8	(39.2)
Change in restricted cash	(213.9)	528.0
Net cash flows provided by investing activities	488.2	3,010.5
<b>Cash Flows From Financing Activities</b>		
Proceeds from the issuance of term debt	12,786.6	4,876.1
Repayments of term debt	(17,509.3)	(12,581.6)
Net increase in deposits	2,522.9	441.6
Collection of security deposits and maintenance funds	408.9	418.3
Use of security deposits and maintenance funds	(269.7)	(352.1)
Net cash flows used in financing activities	(2,060.6)	(7,197.7)
Decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(1,194.0)	(3,786.7)
Unrestricted cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	6,565.7	8,650.4
<b>Unrestricted cash and cash equivalents, end of period</b>	<b>\$ 5,371.7</b>	<b>\$ 4,863.7</b>
<b>Supplementary Cash Flow Disclosure</b>		
Interest paid	\$ 972.3	\$ 1,546.7
Federal, foreign, state and local income taxes (paid), net	\$ 7.1	\$ (55.0)
<b>Supplementary Non Cash Flow Disclosure</b>		
Transfer of assets from held for investment to held for sale	\$ 1,342.9	\$ 1,778.3
Transfer of assets from held for sale to held for investment	\$ 0.5	\$ 133.3

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

6 CIT GROUP INC

**Table of Contents**

CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

**NOTE 1 BUSINESS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

CIT Group Inc. has provided financial solutions to its clients since its formation in 1908. We provide financing and leasing capital principally for small businesses and middle market companies in a wide variety of industries and offer vendor, equipment, commercial and structured financing products, as well as factoring and management advisory services. CIT became a bank holding company ( BHC ) in 2008 and is the parent of CIT Bank, a state-chartered bank in Utah. We operate primarily in North America, with locations in Europe, South America and Asia.

**BASIS OF PRESENTATION**

**Principles of Consolidation**

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include financial information related to CIT Group Inc., a Delaware Corporation, and its majority owned subsidiaries, including CIT Bank (collectively, CIT or the Company), and those variable interest entities (VIEs) where the Company is the primary beneficiary. Assets held in an agency or fiduciary capacity are not included in the consolidated financial statements.

In preparing the consolidated financial statements, all significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated. These consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q for interim financial information and accordingly, do not include all information and note disclosures required by generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America (GAAP) for complete financial statements. The financial statements in this Form 10-Q have not been audited by an independent registered public accounting firm in accordance with standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (U.S.), but in the opinion of management include all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of CIT's financial position, results of operations and cash flows in accordance with GAAP. These consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with our current Form 10-K on file.

The consolidated financial statements include the effects of adopting Fresh Start Accounting (FSA) upon emergence from bankruptcy on December 10, 2009, based on a convenience date of December 31, 2009 (the Convenience Date), as required by GAAP. Accretion and amortization of certain FSA adjustments are included in the Statements of Operations and Cash Flows. See the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 (Form 10-K), Note 1 Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies and Note 26 Fresh Start Accounting, for additional FSA and reorganization information.

The accounting and financial reporting policies of CIT Group Inc. conform to GAAP and the preparation of the consolidated financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect reported amounts and disclosures. Actual results could differ from those estimates and assumptions. Some of the more significant estimates include: fresh start accounting, allowance for loan losses, loan impairment, fair value determinations, lease residual values, goodwill and intangible assets, and deferred tax assets and liabilities. Additionally, where applicable, the policies conform to accounting and reporting guidelines prescribed by bank regulatory authorities.

### NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

#### Fair Value Measurement

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-04, *Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRS*. The new guidance results in a consistent definition of fair value and common requirements for measurement of and disclosure about fair value between U.S. GAAP and IFRS. The disclosure requirements also have been enhanced. The most significant change requires entities, for their recurring Level 3 fair value measurements, to disclose quantitative information about unobservable inputs used, a description of the valuation processes used by the entity, and a qualitative discussion about the sensitivity of the measurements. New disclosures are required about the use of a nonfinancial asset measured or disclosed at fair value if its use differs from its highest and best use. In addition, entities must report the level in the fair value hierarchy of assets and liabilities not recorded at fair value but where fair value is disclosed. The amendment became effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011, with early adoption prohibited. The adoption of the guidance during the quarter ended March 31, 2012, did not affect the Company's financial condition and resulted in additional fair value measurement disclosures.

#### Comprehensive Income

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-05 to amend the guidance on the presentation of comprehensive income in FASB ASC Topic 220, *Comprehensive Income* that requires companies to present a single statement of comprehensive income or two consecutive statements. The guidance makes the financial statement presentation of other comprehensive income more prominent by eliminating the alternative to present comprehensive income within the statement of equity. The ASU became effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of the guidance during the quarter ended March 31, 2012 did not affect the Company's financial condition but added the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss).

On December 23, 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-12, *Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05*. The ASU defers the requirement to present components of reclassifications of other comprehensive income on the face of the income statement, while still requiring companies to adopt the other requirements contained in ASU No. 2011-05, as noted above.

Item 1: Consolidated Financial Statements 7

---

#### Table of Contents



## CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

**Balance Sheet Offsetting Disclosure Requirements**

In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-11, *Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities* which creates new disclosure requirements about the nature of an entity's rights of setoff and related arrangements associated with its financial instruments and derivative instruments. The new disclosures will enable financial statement users to compare balance sheets prepared under U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS), which are subject to different offsetting models. The disclosures will be limited to financial instruments and derivatives subject to enforceable master netting arrangements or similar agreements and excludes loans unless they are netted in the statement of financial condition. The amendments will affect all entities that have financial instruments and derivatives that are either offset in the balance sheet or subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement regardless of whether they are offset in the balance sheet. The ASU will require entities to disclose, separately for financial assets and liabilities, including derivatives, the gross amounts of recognized financial assets and liabilities; the amounts offset under current U.S. GAAP; the net amounts presented in the balance sheet; the amounts subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement that were not included in the offset amount above, and the reconciling amount.

The disclosure requirements are effective for annual and interim reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013, with retrospective application required. The Company is evaluating the impact of this amendment.

**Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets Impairment Test**

In July 2012, the FASB issued ASU No. 2012-02, *Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment* which amends the guidance in ASC Topic 350 on testing indefinite-lived intangible assets other than goodwill for impairment. Under ASC 350-30, entities must test indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment at least annually by calculating and comparing an asset's fair value with its carrying amount. An impairment loss would be recorded for an amount equal to the excess of the asset's carrying amount over its fair value. ASU No. 2012-02 provides the option of performing a qualitative assessment before calculating the fair value of the asset, when testing an indefinite-lived intangible asset for impairment. If an entity determines, on the basis of qualitative factors, that the fair value of an indefinite-lived intangible asset is not more likely than not impaired, they would not need to calculate the fair value of the asset. The ASU does not revise the requirement to test indefinite-lived intangible assets annually for impairment. In addition, the ASU does not amend the requirement to test these assets for impairment between annual tests if there is a change in events or circumstances; however, it does revise the examples of events and circumstances that an entity should consider in interim periods.

The guidance will be effective for annual and interim impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2012. As the Company has no indefinite-lived intangible assets, adoption of this guidance will have no impact on the consolidated financial statements.

**NOTE 2 LOANS**

Finance receivables consist of the following:

**Finance Receivables by Product** (dollars in millions)

	<u>September 30, 2012</u>	<u>December 31, 2011</u>
Loans	\$ 15,622.7	\$ 15,663.6
Direct financing leases and leveraged leases	4,760.7	4,221.9
Finance receivables	20,383.4	19,885.5
Finance receivables held for sale	881.7	2,088.0
Finance receivables and held for sale receivables <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 21,265.1	\$ 21,973.5

<sup>(1)</sup> *Assets held for sale in the balance sheet includes finance receivables and operating lease equipment. As discussed in subsequent tables, since the Company manages the credit risk and collections of finance receivables held for sale consistently with its finance receivables held for investment, the applicable amount is presented.*

The following table presents finance receivables by segment, based on obligor location:

**Finance Receivables** (dollars in millions)

	September 30, 2012			December 31, 2011		
	Domestic	Foreign	Total	Domestic	Foreign	Total
Corporate Finance	\$ 6,748.5	\$ 1,051.9	\$ 7,800.4	\$ 5,870.0	\$ 992.7	\$ 6,862.7
Transportation Finance	1,247.4	543.5	1,790.9	1,063.2	423.8	1,487.0
Trade Finance	2,284.5	123.8	2,408.3	2,299.1	132.3	2,431.4
Vendor Finance	2,379.1	2,248.9	4,628.0	2,365.5	2,056.2	4,421.7
Consumer	3,745.8	10.0	3,755.8	4,670.9	11.8	4,682.7
Total	\$ 16,405.3	\$ 3,978.1	\$ 20,383.4	\$ 16,268.7	\$ 3,616.8	\$ 19,885.5

8 CIT GROUP INC

**Table of Contents**

CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

The following table presents selected components of the net investment in finance receivables.

**Components of Net Investment in Finance Receivables** (dollars in millions)

	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Unearned income	\$(986.2)	\$(1,057.5)
Unamortized (discounts)	(42.3)	(42.3)
Net unamortized deferred costs and (fees)	57.4	39.8

Certain of the following tables present credit-related information at the class level in accordance with ASC 310-10-50, *Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Finance Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses*. A class is generally a disaggregation of a portfolio segment. In determining the classes, CIT considered the finance receivable characteristics and methods it applies in monitoring and assessing credit risk and performance.

**Credit Quality Information**

The following table summarizes finance receivables by the risk ratings that bank regulatory agencies utilize to classify credit exposure and which are consistent with indicators the Company monitors. Risk ratings are reviewed on a regular basis by Credit Risk Management and are adjusted as necessary for updated information affecting the borrowers' ability to fulfill their obligations.

The definitions of these ratings are as follows:

- n Pass finance receivables in this category do not meet the criteria for classification in one of the categories below.
- n Special mention a special mention asset exhibits potential weaknesses that deserve management's close attention. If left uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may, at some future date, result in the deterioration of the repayment prospects.

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

n Classified a classified asset ranges from: 1) assets that exhibit a well defined weakness and are inadequately protected by the current sound worth and paying capacity of the borrower, and are characterized by the distinct possibility that some loss will be sustained if the deficiencies are not corrected to 2) assets with weaknesses that make collection or liquidation in full unlikely on the basis of current facts, conditions, and values. Assets in this classification can be accruing or on non-accrual depending on the evaluation of these factors.

**Finance Receivables<sup>(1)</sup> by Risk Rating** (dollars in millions)

	Corporate Finance Other	Corporate Finance SBL	Transportation Finance	Trade Finance	Vendor Finance U.S.	Vendor Finance International	Commercial	Consumer
<b>Grade:</b>								
<b>September 30, 2012</b>								
Pass	\$5,645.3	\$167.9	\$1,411.7	\$2,001.8	\$1,994.4	\$2,194.1	\$13,415.2	\$3,819.0
Special mention	914.6	373.6	180.2	293.6	189.2	184.3	2,135.5	232.1
Classified accruing	448.9	105.2	143.7	85.7	146.1	76.5	1,006.1	245.2
Classified non-accrual	181.4	74.2	55.2	27.2	47.0	26.7	411.7	0.3
Total	\$7,190.2	\$720.9	\$1,790.8	\$2,408.3	\$2,376.7	\$2,481.6	\$16,968.5	\$4,296.6
<b>December 31, 2011</b>								
Pass	\$4,255.6	\$279.9	\$1,089.3	\$2,019.1	\$2,017.8	\$2,058.8	\$11,720.5	\$5,580.1
Special mention	930.9	236.9	136.7	263.8	156.1	123.0	1,847.4	367.5
Classified accruing	735.6	135.0	216.0	73.2	131.9	67.3	1,359.0	397.0
Classified non-accrual	356.4	141.5	45.0	75.3	55.3	27.6	701.1	0.9
Total	\$6,278.5	\$793.3	\$1,487.0	\$2,431.4	\$2,361.1	\$2,276.7	\$15,628.0	\$6,345.5

<sup>(1)</sup> Balances include \$881.7 million and \$2,088.0 million of loans in Assets Held for Sale at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, which are measured at the lower of cost or fair value. ASC 310-10-50 does not require inclusion of these finance receivables in the disclosures above. However, until they are disposed of, the Company manages the credit risk and collections of finance receivables held for sale consistently with its finance receivables held for investment, and Company data is tracked and used for management purposes on an aggregated basis, as presented above. In addition to finance receivables, the total for Assets Held for Sale on the balance sheet also include operating lease equipment held for sale, which are not included in the above table.

Item 1: Consolidated Financial Statements 9

**Table of Contents**

CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

**Past Due and Non-accrual Loans**

The table that follows presents portfolio delinquency status, regardless of accrual/non-accrual classification:

**Finance Receivables<sup>(1)</sup> Delinquency Status** (dollars in millions)

	30 - 59 Days Past Due	60 - 89 Days Past Due	90 Days or Greater	Total Past Due	Current	Total Finance Receivables <sup>(1)</sup>
<b>September 30, 2012</b>						

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

		30 59 Days Past Due	60 89 Days Past Due	90 Days or Greater	Total Past Due	Current	Total Finance Receivables <sup>(1)</sup>
Commercial							
Corporate Finance	Other	\$ 1.0	\$ 0.2	\$ 32.2	\$ 33.4	\$ 7,156.8	\$ 7,190.2
Corporate Finance	SBL	2.8	6.3	16.2	25.3	695.6	720.9
Transportation Finance		0.5	1.1	2.3	3.9	1,786.9	1,790.8
Trade Finance		29.8	13.1	7.8	50.7	2,357.6	2,408.3
Vendor Finance	U.S.	42.7	13.0	11.7	67.4	2,309.3	2,376.7
Vendor Finance	International	13.9	8.4	9.4	31.7	2,449.9	2,481.6
Total Commercial		90.7	42.1	79.6	212.4	16,756.1	16,968.5
Consumer		147.5	86.5	248.2	482.2	3,814.4	4,296.6
Total		\$238.2	\$128.6	\$327.8	\$ 694.6	\$20,570.5	\$21,265.1

**December 31, 2011**

Commercial							
Corporate Finance	Other	\$ 5.9	\$ 2.5	\$ 35.6	\$ 44.0	\$ 6,234.5	\$ 6,278.5
Corporate Finance	SBL	7.7	7.2	27.7	42.6	750.7	793.3
Transportation Finance		1.8	3.4	0.7	5.9	1,481.1	1,487.0
Trade Finance		60.8	2.3	1.2	64.3	2,367.1	2,431.4
Vendor Finance	U.S.	47.7	18.9	15.7	82.3	2,278.8	2,361.1
Vendor Finance	International	15.7	6.0	5.6	27.3	2,249.4	2,276.7
Total Commercial		139.6	40.3	86.5	266.4	15,361.6	15,628.0
Consumer		246.0	123.0	395.1	764.1	5,581.4	6,345.5
Total		\$385.6	\$163.3	\$481.6	\$1,030.5	\$20,943.0	\$21,973.5

<sup>(1)</sup> Balances include \$881.7 million and \$2,088.0 million of loans in Assets Held for Sale at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. In addition to finance receivables, Assets Held for Sale on the balance sheet also include operating lease equipment held for sale, which are not included in the above table.

10 CIT GROUP INC

**Table of Contents**

CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

The following table sets forth non-accrual loans and assets received in satisfaction of loans (repossessed assets). Non-accrual loans include loans greater than \$500,000 that are individually evaluated and determined to be impaired, as well as loans less than \$500,000 that are delinquent (generally for 90 days or more).

**Finance Receivables on Non-accrual Status** (dollars in millions)

		September 30, 2012			December 31, 2011		
		Held for Investment	Held for Sale	Total	Held for Investment	Held for Sale	Total
Commercial							
Corporate Finance	Other	\$181.1	\$0.3	\$181.4	\$225.7	\$130.7	\$356.4
Corporate Finance	SBL	69.1	5.1	74.2	132.0	9.5	141.5

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

	September 30, 2012			December 31, 2011		
Transportation Finance	55.2		55.2	45.0		45.0
Trade Finance	27.2		27.2	75.3		75.3
Vendor Finance U.S.	47.0		47.0	55.3		55.3
Vendor Finance International	24.9	1.8	26.7	25.6	2.0	27.6
Consumer		0.3	0.3	0.2	0.7	0.9
Total non-accrual loans	\$404.5	\$7.5	\$412.0	\$559.1	\$142.9	\$702.0
Repossessed assets			15.5			9.7
Total non-performing assets			\$427.5			\$711.7
Accruing loans past due 90 days or more						
Government guaranteed Consumer			\$248.1			\$390.3
Other			10.7			2.2
Total			\$258.8			\$392.5

Payments received on non-accrual financing receivables are generally applied first against outstanding principal.

**Impaired Loans**

The Company's policy is to review for impairment finance receivables greater than \$500,000 that are on non-accrual status. Consumer loans and small-ticket loan and lease receivables that have not been modified in a troubled debt restructuring, as well as short-term factoring receivables, are included (if appropriate) in the reported non-accrual balances above, but are excluded from the impaired finance receivables disclosure below as charge-offs are typically determined and recorded for such loans when they are more than 120-150 days past due.

The following table contains information about impaired finance receivables and the related allowance for loan losses, exclusive of finance receivables that were identified as impaired at the Convenience Date for which the Company is applying the income recognition and disclosure guidance in ASC 310-30 (*Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality*), which are disclosed further below in this note.

Item 1: Consolidated Financial Statements 11

**Table of Contents**

CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

**Impaired Loans** (dollars in millions)

	September 30, 2012			Nine Months Ended September 30,	
				2012	2011
	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance	Average Recorded Investment	Average Recorded Investment
<b>With no related allowance recorded:</b>					
Commercial					
Corporate Finance Other	\$207.6	\$231.5	\$	\$204.8	\$150.1

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

			Nine Months Ended September 30,		
Corporate Finance	SBL	38.3	50.7	41.1	42.0
Transportation Finance		12.6	30.4	6.9	8.3
Trade Finance		8.8	8.8	34.6	77.1
Vendor Finance	U.S.	5.9	15.2	8.5	18.4
Vendor Finance	International	12.7	29.1	10.0	13.9
<b>With an allowance recorded:</b>					
Commercial					
Corporate Finance	Other	122.4	142.6	40.1	113.1
Corporate Finance	SBL	1.4	1.6	0.5	12.4
Transportation Finance		42.2	43.0	9.4	29.0
Trade Finance		18.4	20.5	4.0	13.8
Total Commercial Impaired Loans <sup>(1)</sup>		470.3	573.4	54.0	474.2
Total Loans Impaired at Convenience Date <sup>(2)</sup>		114.2	287.6	1.8	157.6
Total		\$584.5	\$861.0	\$55.8	\$631.8

				Year ended			
				December 31, 2011			
				Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance	Average Recorded Investment
<b>With no related allowance recorded:</b>							
Commercial							
Corporate Finance	Other		\$197.0	\$298.7	\$		\$160.6
Corporate Finance	SBL		38.3	70.7			41.3
Transportation Finance							6.6
Trade Finance			60.1	72.2			73.7
Vendor Finance	U.S.		10.5	24.6			16.9
Vendor Finance	International		8.0	20.7			11.6
<b>With an allowance recorded:</b>							
Commercial							
Corporate Finance	Other		101.0	112.0	31.7		109.5
Corporate Finance	SBL		31.9	34.7	7.4		43.9
Transportation Finance			45.6	58.1	9.0		50.7
Trade Finance			15.1	18.0	5.3		25.9
Total Commercial Impaired Loans			507.5	709.7	53.4		540.7
Total Loans Impaired at Convenience date <sup>(2)</sup>			186.7	605.4	5.4		418.3
Total			\$694.2	\$1,315.1	\$58.8		\$959.0

<sup>(1)</sup> Interest income recorded while the loans were impaired was not material for the quarters and year-to-date periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011.

<sup>(2)</sup> Details of finance receivables that were identified as impaired at the Convenience Date are presented under Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality.

**Table of Contents**

---

CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

Impairment occurs when, based on current information and events, it is probable that CIT will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the agreement. The Company has established review and monitoring procedures designed to identify, as early as possible, customers that are experiencing financial difficulty. Credit risk is captured and analyzed based on the Company's internal probability of obligor default (PD) and loss given default (LGD) ratings. A PD rating is determined by evaluating borrower credit-worthiness, including analyzing credit history, financial condition, cash flow adequacy, financial performance and management quality. An LGD rating is predicated on transaction structure, collateral valuation and related guarantees or recourse. Further, related considerations in determining probability of collection include the following:

- n Instances where the primary source of payment is no longer sufficient to repay the loan in accordance with terms of the loan document;
- n Lack of current financial data related to the borrower or guarantor;
- n Delinquency status of the loan;
- n Loans that have been restructured pursuant to a Trouble Debt Restructuring;
- n Borrowers experiencing problems, such as operating losses, marginal working capital, inadequate cash flow or business interruptions;
- n Loans secured by collateral that is not readily marketable or that is susceptible to deterioration in realizable value; and
- n Loans to borrowers in industries or countries experiencing economic instability.

Impairment is measured as the shortfall between estimated value and recorded investment in the finance receivable. A specific allowance or charge-off is recorded for the shortfall. In instances where the estimated value exceeds the recorded investment, no specific allowance is recorded. The estimated value is determined using fair value of collateral and other cash flows if the finance receivable is collateralized, or the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the contract's effective interest rate. In instances when the Company measures impairment based on the present value of expected future cash flows, the change in present value is reported in the provision for credit losses.

The following summarizes key elements of the Company's policy regarding the determination of collateral fair value in the measurement of impairment:

- n Orderly liquidation value is the basis for collateral valuation;
- n Appraisals are updated annually or more often as market conditions warrant;
- n Appraisal values are discounted in the determination of impairment if the:
  - n appraisal does not reflect current market conditions; or
  - n collateral consists of inventory, accounts receivable, or other forms of collateral, which may become difficult to locate, collect or subject to pilferage in a liquidation.

**Loans Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality**

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

For purposes of this presentation, finance receivables that were identified as impaired at the Convenience Date are presented separately below. The Company is applying the income recognition and disclosure guidance in ASC 310-30 (*Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality*) to loans considered impaired under FSA at the Convenience Date.

### Loans Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality (dollars in millions)

	September 30, 2012 <sup>(1)</sup>			December 31, 2011 <sup>(1)</sup>		
	Carrying Amount	Outstanding Balance <sup>(2)</sup>	Allowance for Loan Losses	Carrying Amount	Outstanding Balance <sup>(2)</sup>	Allowance for Loan Losses
Commercial	\$ 112.7	\$ 282.9	\$ 1.8	\$ 185.6	\$ 599.0	\$ 5.4
Consumer	1.5	4.7		1.1	6.4	
Total loans	\$ 114.2	\$ 287.6	\$ 1.8	\$ 186.7	\$ 605.4	\$ 5.4

<sup>(1)</sup> The table excludes amounts in Assets Held for Sale with carrying amounts of \$2 million and \$117 million at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, and outstanding balances of \$14 million and \$286 million at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

<sup>(2)</sup> Represents the sum of contractual principal and interest at the reporting date, calculated as pre-FSA net investment plus inception to date charge-offs.

	Quarter Ended September 30, 2012		Quarter Ended September 30, 2011	
	Provision for Credit Losses	Net Charge-offs	Provision for Credit Losses	Net Charge-offs (Recoveries)
Commercial	\$(0.4)	\$(0.1)	\$(3.2)	\$ 4.6
Consumer			(0.2)	(0.2)
Total	\$(0.4)	\$(0.1)	\$(3.4)	\$ 4.4

Item 1: Consolidated Financial Statements 13

### Table of Contents

#### CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011	
	Provision for Credit Losses	Net Charge-offs	Provision for Credit Losses	Net Charge-offs (Recoveries)
Commercial	\$(3.0)	\$0.6	\$51.6	\$101.0
Consumer	0.3	0.3	(0.5)	(0.5)
Total	\$(2.7)	\$0.9	\$51.1	\$100.5



## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

The following table presents the changes to the accretable discount related to all loans accounted for under ASC 310-30 (*Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality*). Amounts include discount relating to non-accrual loans, for which accretion has been suspended.

### Accretable Discount Activity for Loans Accounted for Under ASC 310-30 at Emergence Date (dollars in millions):

	Quarters Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Accretable discount, beginning of period	\$ 24.1	\$ 135.4	\$ 80.0	\$ 207.2
Accretion	(1.9)	(4.9)	(6.7)	(30.4)
Disposals/transfers <sup>(1)</sup>	(2.2)	(12.2)	(53.3)	(58.5)
Accretable discount, end of period	\$ 20.0	\$ 118.3	\$ 20.0	\$ 118.3

<sup>(1)</sup> Amounts include transfers of non-accretable to accretable discounts, which were not material for the quarters and year-to-date periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011.

### Troubled Debt Restructurings

The Company periodically modifies the terms of finance receivables in response to borrowers' difficulties. Modifications that include a financial concession to the borrower are accounted for as troubled debt restructurings (TDRs).

CIT uses a consistent methodology across all loans to determine if a modification is with a borrower that has been determined to be in financial difficulty and was granted a concession. Specifically, the Company's policies on TDR identification include the following examples of indicators used to determine whether the borrower is in financial difficulty:

- n Borrower is in default
- n Borrower has declared bankruptcy
- n Growing doubt about the borrower's ability to continue as a going concern
- n Borrower has insufficient cash flow to service debt
- n Borrower is de-listing securities
- n Borrower's inability to obtain funds from other sources
- n Breach of financial covenants by the borrower

If CIT determines the borrower is in financial difficulty, then CIT utilizes the following criteria to determine whether a concession has been granted to the borrower:

- n Assets used to satisfy debt are less than CIT's recorded investment in the receivable
- n Modification of terms - interest rate changed to below market rate
- n Maturity date extension at an interest rate less than market rate

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

- n The borrower does not otherwise have access to funding for debt with similar risk characteristics in the market at the restructured rate and terms
- n Capitalization of interest
- n Increase in interest reserves
- n Conversion of credit to Payment-In-Kind (PIK)
- n Delaying principal and/or interest for a period of three months or more
- n Partial forgiveness of the balance

Modified loans that are classified as TDRs are individually evaluated and measured for impairment. Modified loans that meet the definition of a TDR are subject to the Company's standard impaired loan policy, namely that non-accrual loans in excess of \$500,000 are individually reviewed for impairment, while non-accrual loans less than \$500,000 are considered as part of homogenous pools and are included in the determination of the non-specific allowance.

The recorded investment of TDRs at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 was \$321.5 million and \$445.2 million, of which 35% and 63%, respectively, were on non-accrual. Corporate Finance receivables accounted for 91% of the total TDRs at September 30, 2012 and 88% at December 31, 2011. At September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, there were \$6.1 million and \$27.8 million, respectively, of commitments to lend additional funds to borrowers whose loan terms have been modified in TDRs.

14 CIT GROUP INC

### Table of Contents

#### CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

The tables that follow present additional information related to modifications qualifying as TDRs that occurred during the quarters and nine month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011.

#### **Recorded investment of TDRs that occurred during the periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011** (dollars in millions)

	Quarters Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Commercial				
Corporate Finance Other	\$22.7	\$34.4	\$31.3	\$ 70.2
Corporate Finance SBL	4.6	1.4	11.6	11.9
Transportation Finance				25.3
Trade Finance		5.6		19.2
Vendor Finance U.S.	0.2	0.8	2.4	2.8
Vendor Finance International	0.4		1.4	2.8
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$27.9</b>	<b>\$42.2</b>	<b>\$46.7</b>	<b>\$132.2</b>

**Recorded investment of TDRs at the time of default that experienced a payment default<sup>(1)</sup> in the periods presented, and for which the payment default occurred within one year of the modification** (dollars in millions)

	Quarters Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Commercial				
Corporate Finance Other	\$	\$0.1	\$12.0	\$ 0.1
Corporate Finance SBL	1.0	4.2	3.7	5.6
Transportation Finance				25.3
Vendor Finance U.S.	0.1		0.5	
Vendor Finance International	0.1		0.1	0.7
Total	\$1.2	\$4.3	\$16.3	\$31.7

<sup>(1)</sup> Payment default in the table above is one missed payment.

The financial impact of the various modification strategies that the Company employs in response to borrower difficulties is described below. While the discussion focuses on current quarter amounts, the overall nature and impact of modification programs were comparable in the prior year.

- n The nature of modifications qualifying as TDR s, based upon recorded investment at September 30, 2012, was payment deferral of 87%, covenant relief and/or other of 7%, interest rate reductions and debt forgiveness of 6%;
- n Payment deferrals, the Company s most common type of modification program, result in lower net present value of cash flows and increased provision for credit losses to the extent applicable. The financial impact of these modifications is not significant given the reduction to recorded investment balances from FSA discount and the moderate length of deferral periods;
- n Interest rate reductions result in lower amounts of interest being charged to the customer, but are a relatively small part of the Company s restructuring programs. Additionally, in some instances, modifications improve the Company s economic return through increased interest rates and fees, but are reported as TDRs due to assessments regarding the borrowers ability to independently obtain similar funding in the market and assessments of the relationship between modified rates and terms and comparable market rates and terms. The weighted average change in interest rates for all TDRs occurring during the 2012 third quarter was immaterial;
- n Debt forgiveness, or the reduction in amount owed by borrower, results in incremental provision for credit losses, in the form of higher charge-offs. While these types of modifications have the greatest individual impact on the allowance, the combined financial impact for TDR s occurring during the quarter and the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and outstanding as of September 30, 2012 approximated \$0.9 million and \$1.4 million, respectively, as debt forgiveness is a relatively small component of the Company s modification programs; and
- n The other elements of the Company s modification programs do not have a significant impact on financial results given their relative size, or do not have a direct financial impact as in the case of covenant changes.

**Item 1: Consolidated Financial Statements 15**

## Table of Contents

CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

### **NOTE 3 ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES**

The following table presents changes in the allowance for loan losses.

**Allowance for Loan Losses and Recorded Investment in Finance Receivables** (dollars in millions)**Quarter Ended September 30, 2012**

	<b>Corporate Finance</b>	<b>Transportation Finance</b>	<b>Trade Finance</b>	<b>Vendor Finance</b>	<b>Total Commercial</b>	<b>Consumer</b>	<b>Total</b>
Beginning balance	\$271.3	\$28.5	\$29.8	\$84.6	\$414.2	\$	\$414.2
Provision for credit losses	(22.0)	8.9	4.3	8.8			
Other <sup>(1)</sup>	3.4	0.5	(3.2)	1.0	1.7		1.7
Gross charge-offs <sup>(2)</sup>	(10.9)	(2.9)	(3.2)	(18.5)	(35.5)		(35.5)
Recoveries	5.9		3.2	8.4	17.5		17.5
Allowance balance end of period	\$247.7	\$35.0	\$30.9	\$84.3	\$397.9	\$	\$397.9

**Quarter Ended September 30, 2011**

Beginning balance	\$268.6	\$29.1	\$35.3	\$91.0	\$424.0	\$	\$424.0
Provision for credit losses	37.7	2.2	4.4	2.5	46.8	0.6	47.4
Other <sup>(1)</sup>	(3.8)	(0.1)	(3.7)	(3.1)	(10.7)		(10.7)
Gross charge-offs <sup>(2)</sup>	(45.6)		(4.3)	(20.3)	(70.2)	(0.9)	(71.1)
Recoveries	4.7		2.5	17.4	24.6	0.3	24.9
Allowance balance end of period	\$261.6	\$31.2	\$34.2	\$87.5	\$414.5	\$	\$414.5

**Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012**

	<b>Corporate Finance</b>	<b>Transportation Finance</b>	<b>Trade Finance</b>	<b>Vendor Finance</b>	<b>Total Commercial</b>	<b>Consumer</b>	<b>Total</b>
Beginning balance	\$262.2	\$29.3	\$29.0	\$87.3	\$407.8	\$	\$407.8
Provision for credit losses	8.4	16.6	5.9	20.1	51.0	0.5	51.5
Other <sup>(1)</sup>	(4.7)	0.8	(1.4)	0.7	(4.6)		(4.6)
Gross charge-offs <sup>(2)</sup>	(36.5)	(11.7)	(6.6)	(51.9)	(106.7)	(1.0)	(107.7)
Recoveries	18.3		4.0	28.1	50.4	0.5	50.9
Allowance balance end of period	\$247.7	\$35.0	\$30.9	\$84.3	\$397.9	\$	\$397.9

**Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011**

Beginning balance	\$304.0	\$23.7	\$29.9	\$58.6	\$416.2	\$	\$416.2
Provision for credit losses	163.0	8.7	11.7	68.1	251.5	2.4	253.9
Other <sup>(1)</sup>	(9.1)	(0.6)	(3.3)	(1.7)	(14.7)		(14.7)
Gross charge-offs <sup>(2)</sup>	(220.6)	(0.7)	(14.6)	(79.6)	(315.5)	(3.3)	(318.8)
Recoveries	24.3	0.1	10.5	42.1	77.0	0.9	77.9
Allowance balance end of period	\$261.6	\$31.2	\$34.2	\$87.5	\$414.5	\$	\$414.5

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

	<u>Corporate Finance</u>	<u>Transportation Finance</u>	<u>Trade Finance</u>	<u>Vendor Finance</u>	<u>Total Commercial</u>	<u>Consumer</u>	<u>Total</u>
<b>At September 30, 2012</b>							
<b>Allowance balance</b>							
Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 40.6	\$ 9.4	\$ 4.0	\$	\$ 54.0	\$	\$ 54.0
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	205.8	25.6	26.9	83.8	342.1		342.1
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality <sup>(3)</sup>	1.3			0.5	1.8		1.8
Allowance balance end of period	\$ 247.7	\$ 35.0	\$ 30.9	\$ 84.3	\$ 397.9	\$	\$ 397.9
Other reserves <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 14.4	\$ 0.5	\$ 7.4	\$	\$ 22.3	\$	\$ 22.3
<b>Finance receivables:</b>							
Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 369.7	\$ 54.8	\$ 27.2	\$ 18.6	\$ 470.3	\$	\$ 470.3
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	7,328.7	1,736.1	2,381.1	4,598.7	16,044.6	3,754.3	19,798.9
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality <sup>(3)</sup>	102.0			10.7	112.7	1.5	114.2
Ending balance	\$7,800.4	\$1,790.9	\$2,408.3	\$4,628.0	\$16,627.6	\$3,755.8	\$20,383.4
Percent of loans to total loans	38.3%	8.8%	11.8%	22.7%	81.6%	18.4%	100.0%
<b>At September 30, 2011</b>							
<b>Allowance balance</b>							
Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 34.2	\$ 12.2	\$ 10.1	\$	\$ 56.5	\$	\$ 56.5
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	223.7	19.0	24.1	85.7	352.5		352.5
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality <sup>(3)</sup>	3.7			1.8	5.5		5.5
Allowance balance end of period	\$ 261.6	\$ 31.2	\$ 34.2	\$ 87.5	\$ 414.5	\$	\$ 414.5
Other reserves <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 13.8	\$ 1.2	\$ 7.6	\$	\$ 22.6	\$	\$ 22.6
<b>Finance receivables:</b>							
Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 210.3	\$ 54.8	\$ 87.6	\$ 22.2	\$ 374.9	\$	\$ 374.9
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	6,313.9	1,292.9	2,464.1	4,251.2	14,322.1	6,881.9	21,204.0
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality <sup>(3)</sup>	210.4			26.7	237.1	1.4	238.5
Ending balance	\$6,734.6	\$1,347.7	\$2,551.7	\$4,300.1	\$14,934.1	\$6,883.3	\$21,817.4
Percent of loans to total loans	30.9%	6.2%	11.7%	19.7%	68.5%	31.5%	100.0%

<sup>(1)</sup> Other reserves represents additional credit loss reserves for unfunded lending commitments, letters of credit and for deferred purchase agreements, all of which is recorded in Other Liabilities. Other also includes changes relating to sales and foreign currency translations.

<sup>(2)</sup> Gross charge-offs include \$11 million that were charged directly to the specific allowance for loan losses for the quarter ended September 30, 2012, of which \$8 million related to Corporate Finance and \$3 million to Transportation Finance. Amounts charged directly to the specific allowance for loan losses for the nine month period were \$25 million of which \$16 million related to Corporate Finance, \$8 million to Transportation Finance and the remainder to Trade Finance. Gross charge-offs include \$40 million that were charged directly to the specific allowance for loan losses for the September 30, 2011 quarter, of which \$36 million related to Corporate Finance with the remainder primarily related to Trade Finance. Amounts charged directly to the specific allowance for loan losses for the nine month period were \$154 million, of which \$142 million related to Corporate Finance and the remainder to Trade Finance.

<sup>(3)</sup> Represents loans considered impaired in FSA and are accounted for under the guidance in ASC 310-30 (Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality).

#### NOTE 4 INVESTMENT SECURITIES

Investments include debt and equity securities. The Company primarily invests in U.S. Treasury securities and U.S. Government Agency securities that typically mature in 91 days or less, and the carrying value approximates fair value. Debt securities also include Corporate-Foreign, Foreign Government, and State and municipal securities. Equity securities include common stock and warrants.

##### Investment Securities (dollars in millions)

	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Debt securities available-for-sale	\$ 768.0	\$ 937.2
Equity securities available-for-sale	14.4	16.9
Debt securities held-to-maturity <sup>(1)</sup>	137.5	211.3
Non-marketable equity securities carried at cost <sup>(2)</sup>	84.7	85.2
Total investment securities	\$1,004.6	\$1,250.6

<sup>(1)</sup> Recorded at amortized cost less impairment on securities that have credit-related impairment.

<sup>(2)</sup> Non-marketable equity securities are carried at cost less impairment and primarily consist of shares issued by customers during loan work out situations or as part of an original loan investment.

Item 1: Consolidated Financial Statements 17

#### Table of Contents

##### CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

Debt and equity securities are classified based on management's intention on the date of purchase and assessed at each reporting date. Debt securities classified as held-to-maturity represent securities that the Company has both the ability and intent to hold until maturity, and are carried at amortized cost.

Debt securities and equity securities classified as available-for-sale are carried at fair value with changes in fair value reported in other comprehensive income (OCI), net of applicable income taxes.

Non-marketable equity securities are carried at cost and periodically assessed for other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI).

The Company conducts and documents periodic reviews of all securities with unrealized losses to evaluate whether the impairment is OTTI. For debt securities classified as held-to-maturity that are considered to have OTTI that the Company does not intend to sell and it is more likely than not that the Company will not be required to sell before recovery, the OTTI is separated into an amount representing the credit loss, which is recognized in the Consolidated Statement of Operations and the amount related to all other factors, which is recognized in OCI. OTTI on debt securities and equity securities classified as available-for-sale and non-marketable securities are recognized in the Consolidated Statement of Operations in the period determined.

The following table presents interest and dividends on investments:

##### Interest and Dividend Income (dollars in millions)

	Quarters Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Interest	\$7.4	\$8.4	\$21.8	\$24.4
Dividends	0.6	0.2	2.0	1.2
Total interest and dividends	\$8.0	\$8.6	\$23.8	\$25.6

Realized investment gains totaled \$5.0 million and \$8.7 million for the quarters ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and exclude losses from OTTI. Similarly, year to date gross realized investment gains were \$28.7 million in 2012 and \$43.3 million in the prior year period. OTTI credit-related impairments on equity securities recognized in earnings were not material for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and totaled \$0.3 million and \$7.7 million for the prior-year quarter and year to date, respectively. Impairment amounts in accumulated other comprehensive income ( AOCI ) were not material at September 30, 2012 or December 31, 2011.

#### Securities Available-for-Sale

The following table presents amortized cost and fair value of securities available-for-sale ( AFS ) at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

#### Securities Available For Sale Amortized Cost and Fair Value (dollars in millions)

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
<b>September 30, 2012</b>				
<b>Debt securities AFS</b>				
U.S. Treasury Securities	\$ 350.0	\$	\$	\$ 350.0
U.S. Government Agency Obligations	400.0			400.0
Brazilian Government Treasuries	18.0			18.0
Total debt securities AFS	768.0			768.0
<b>Equity securities AFS</b>	12.9	1.5		14.4
<b>Total securities AFS</b>	<b>\$ 780.9</b>	<b>\$ 1.5</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 782.4</b>
<b>December 31, 2011</b>				
<b>Debt securities AFS</b>				
U.S. Treasury Securities	\$ 166.7	\$	\$	\$ 166.7
U.S. Government Agency Obligations	672.7			672.7
Canadian Government Treasuries	97.8			97.8
Total debt securities AFS	937.2			937.2
<b>Equity securities AFS</b>	15.5	1.4		16.9
<b>Total securities AFS</b>	<b>\$ 952.7</b>	<b>\$ 1.4</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 954.1</b>

#### Table of Contents

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

**Debt Securities Held-to-Maturity**

The carrying value and fair value of securities held-to-maturity ( HTM ) at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 were as follows:

**Securities Held To Maturity Carrying Value and Fair Value** (dollars in millions)

	<u>Carrying Value</u>	<u>Gross Unrecognized Gains</u>	<u>Gross Unrecognized Losses</u>	<u>Fair Value</u>
<b>September 30, 2012</b>				
Mortgage-backed securities				
U.S. government-sponsored agency guaranteed	\$ 57.0	\$ 3.6	\$	\$ 60.6
State and municipal	3.7			3.7
Foreign government	26.0			26.0
Corporate Foreign	50.8			50.8
Total debt securities held-to-maturity	\$ 137.5	\$ 3.6	\$	\$ 141.1
<b>December 31, 2011</b>				
U.S. Treasury and federal agency securities				
U.S. Government Agency Obligations	\$ 92.5	\$	\$(1.1)	\$ 91.4
Mortgage-backed securities				
U.S. government-sponsored agency guaranteed	49.8	3.2		53.0
State and municipal	0.4			0.4
Foreign government	19.6			19.6
Corporate Foreign	49.0			49.0
Total debt securities held-to-maturity	\$ 211.3	\$ 3.2	\$ (1.1)	\$ 213.4

The following table presents the amortized cost and fair value of debt securities HTM by contractual maturity dates:

**Securities Held To Maturity Amortized Cost and Fair Value Maturities** (dollars in millions)

	<u>September 30, 2012</u>		<u>December 31, 2011</u>	
	<u>Carrying Cost</u>	<u>Fair Value</u>	<u>Carrying Cost</u>	<u>Fair Value</u>
Mortgage-backed securities <sup>(1)</sup>				
Total Due after 10 years <sup>(2)</sup>	\$ 57.0	\$ 60.6	\$ 49.8	\$ 53.0
U.S. Treasury and federal agency securities				
Total Due within 1 year			92.5	91.4
State and municipal				
Due after 1 but within 5 years	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
Due after 5 but within 10 years			0.1	0.1
Due after 10 years <sup>(2)</sup>	3.4	3.4		
Total	3.7	3.7	0.4	0.4
Foreign government				
Due within 1 year	23.0	23.0	16.8	16.8
Due after 1 but within 5 years	3.0	3.0	2.8	2.8
Total	26.0	26.0	19.6	19.6
Corporate Foreign				



Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

	September 30, 2012		December 31, 2011	
Total Due after 5 but within 10 years	50.8	50.8	49.0	49.0
Total debt securities held-to-maturity	\$ 137.5	\$ 141.1	\$ 211.3	\$ 213.4

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes mortgage-backed securities of U.S. federal agencies.

<sup>(2)</sup> Investments with no stated maturities are included as contractual maturities of greater than 10 years. Actual maturities may differ due to call or prepayment rights.

Item 1: Consolidated Financial Statements 19

**Table of Contents**

CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

**NOTE 5 LONG-TERM BORROWINGS**

The following table presents outstanding long-term borrowings, net of FSA. The FSA fair value adjustment is amortized as a cost adjustment over the remaining term of the respective debt and is reflected in Interest Expense.

**Long-term Borrowings** (dollars in millions)

	September 30, 2012			December 31, 2011
	CIT Group Inc.	Subsidiaries	Total	Total
<b>Unsecured<sup>(1)</sup></b>				
Revolving credit facility	\$ 500.0	\$	\$ 500.0	\$
Series C Notes (other)	5,250.0		5,250.0	
Senior unsecured	6,500.0		6,500.0	
Other debt	83.0	1.9	84.9	
Total Unsecured Debt	12,333.0	1.9	12,334.9	
<b>Secured</b>				
Secured borrowings		10,571.6	10,571.6	10,408.0
Series A Notes 7%				5,834.8
Series C Notes 7% (exchanged)				7,959.2
Series C Notes (other)				2,000.0
Other debt				86.1
Total Secured Debt		10,571.6	10,571.6	26,288.1
<b>Total Long-term Borrowings</b>	<b>\$ 12,333.0</b>	<b>\$ 10,573.5</b>	<b>\$ 22,906.5</b>	<b>\$ 26,288.1</b>

<sup>(1)</sup> The previously secured Revolving Credit Facility, Series C Notes and Other Debt became unsecured upon full redemption of Series A Notes on March 9, 2012.

**Unsecured**

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

As a result of redeeming the remaining Series A Notes during the 2012 first quarter, the Revolving Credit Facility and all of our Series C Notes became unsecured.

### Revolving Credit Facility

On August 25, 2011, CIT and certain of its subsidiaries entered into a Revolving Credit and Guaranty Agreement, among CIT Group Inc., certain subsidiaries of CIT Group Inc., as guarantors, the lenders party thereto from time to time and Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent, collateral agent and letter of credit issuer (the Revolving Credit Facility). The total commitment amount under the Revolving Credit Facility is \$2 billion, consisting of a \$1.65 billion revolving loan tranche and a \$350 million revolving loan tranche that can also be utilized for issuance of letters of credit. The Revolving Credit Facility matures on August 14, 2015 and will accrue interest at a per annum rate of LIBOR plus a margin of 2.00% to 2.75% (with no floor) or Base Rate plus a margin of 1.00% to 1.75% (with no floor). The applicable margin will be determined by reference to the long-term senior unsecured, non-credit enhanced debt rating of the Company by S&P and Moody's effective at relevant times during the life of the Revolving Credit Facility. Due to the Company's credit rating upgrades in early 2012, the applicable margin for LIBOR loans is 2.50% and the applicable margin for Base Rate loans is 1.50% at September 30, 2012.

The Revolving Credit Facility may be prepaid and re-borrowed from time to time at the option of CIT. The amount available to draw upon at September 30, 2012 was approximately \$1.4 billion. The unutilized portion of any commitment under the Revolving Credit Facility may be reduced permanently or terminated by CIT at any time without penalty.

Once the Company redeemed all the remaining Series A Second-Priority Secured Notes (Series A Notes) during the 2012 first quarter, all the collateral and subsidiary guarantees under the Revolving Credit Facility were released, except for subsidiary guarantees from eight of the Company's domestic operating subsidiaries (Continuing Guarantors). Once the Revolving Credit Facility became unsecured, the collateral coverage covenant was replaced by an asset coverage covenant (based on the book value of eligible assets of the Continuing Guarantors) of 2.0x the sum of: (i) the committed facility size and (ii) all outstanding indebtedness (including, without duplication, guarantees of such indebtedness) for borrowed money (excluding subordinated intercompany indebtedness) of the Continuing Guarantors, tested monthly and upon certain dispositions or encumbrances of eligible assets of the Continuing Guarantors.

The Revolving Credit Facility is also subject to a \$6 billion minimum consolidated net worth covenant of the Company, tested quarterly, and limits the Company's ability to create liens, merge or consolidate, sell, transfer, lease or dispose of all or substantially all of its assets, grant a negative pledge or make

20 CIT GROUP INC

---

### Table of Contents

---

CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

certain restricted payments during the occurrence and continuance of an event of default.

### Series C Notes

In March 2011, the Company issued \$2 billion of new Series C Notes, consisting of \$1.3 billion of three year 5.25% fixed rate notes and \$700 million of seven year 6.625% fixed rate notes.

In June 2011, the Company successfully completed an Exchange Offer and Consent Solicitation for outstanding 7% Series A Notes maturing in 2015, 2016, and 2017. As a result, \$8.76 billion principal amount of Series C Notes (pre-FSA) with the same interest rate were issued in exchange for the Series A Notes tendered and accepted.

In February 2012, the Company issued at par \$3.25 billion aggregate principal amount of Series C Notes, consisting of \$1.5 billion principal amount of three-year 4.75% fixed rate notes due 2015 (the 2015 Notes) and \$1.75 billion principal amount of seven-year 5.50% fixed rate notes due 2019 (the 2019 Notes, together with the 2015 Notes, the Notes). The proceeds of the transaction were used, in conjunction with available cash, to redeem the remaining Series A Notes in March 2012.

Once the Company's remaining Series A Notes were redeemed during the 2012 first quarter, all the collateral and subsidiary guarantees under the Series C Notes were released and the notes became senior unsecured obligations.

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

The Series C Notes Indentures limit the Company's ability to create liens, merge or consolidate, or sell, transfer, lease or dispose of all or substantially all of its assets. Upon a Change of Control Triggering Event as defined in the Series C Indentures, holders of the Series C Notes will have the right to require the Company, as applicable, to repurchase all or a portion of the Series C Notes at a purchase price equal to 101% of the principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the date of such repurchase.

During 2012, CIT redeemed or repurchased the \$8.76 billion of 7% Series C Notes (\$4.6 billion in the third quarter of 2012), which resulted in the acceleration of over \$1.3 billion of FSA discount accretion (\$0.5 billion in the third quarter of 2012) that was recorded as additional interest expense and also resulted in a loss on debt extinguishment of \$61 million (\$17 million in the third quarter of 2012).

### Senior Unsecured Notes

In March 2012, CIT filed a shelf registration statement and issued at par \$1.5 billion of senior unsecured notes that mature in 2018 and bear interest at a rate of 5.25%.

In May 2012, CIT issued at par \$1.25 billion of senior unsecured notes that mature in 2017 and bear interest at a rate of 5.00% and \$750 million of senior unsecured notes that mature in 2020 and bear interest at a rate of 5.375%. In August 2012, CIT issued at par \$1.75 billion of senior unsecured notes that mature in 2017 and bear interest at a rate of 4.25% and \$1.25 billion of senior unsecured notes that mature in 2022 and bear interest at a rate of 5.00%. The proceeds of these transactions were used in conjunction with available cash, to redeem the 7% Series C Notes in 2012.

These senior unsecured notes rank equal in right of payment with the Series C Notes and the Revolving Credit Facility. The Revolving Credit Facility also benefits from certain subsidiary guarantees as described above.

### Secured

#### Series A Notes

On December 10, 2009, pursuant to the Plan of Reorganization the Company issued \$21.04 billion principal amount of its 7.0% Series A Second-Priority Secured Notes with maturities each year from 2013 to 2017 (the Series A Notes).

During the first quarter 2012, CIT redeemed the remaining \$6.5 billion of Series A Notes, which resulted in the acceleration of \$597 million of FSA discount accretion that was recorded as additional interest expense and also resulted in a loss on debt extinguishments of \$23 million reflecting a portion of the underwriting fees on the issuance of \$3.25 billion of Series C Notes in February 2012. The elimination of our remaining Series A Notes resulted in all of CIT's Series C Notes and the Revolving Credit Facility becoming unsecured.

### Secured Borrowings

Set forth below are borrowings and pledged assets primarily owned by consolidated variable interest entities. Creditors of these entities received ownership and/or security interests in the assets. These entities are intended to be bankruptcy remote so that such assets are not available to creditors of CIT or any affiliates of CIT until and unless the related secured borrowings have been fully discharged. These transactions do not meet accounting requirements for sales treatment and are recorded as secured borrowings. Except as otherwise noted, pledged assets listed in the following table as of December 31, 2011 were not included in the collateral available to lenders under the Revolving Credit Facility or the Series A or C Notes described above. At September 30, 2012, the Revolving Credit Facility and Series C Notes were unsecured and there were no Series A Notes outstanding.

Item 1: Consolidated Financial Statements 21

---

### Table of Contents

---

CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

---

### **Secured Borrowings and Pledged Assets Summary** (dollars in millions)

---

September 30, 2012

December 31, 2011<sup>(4)</sup>

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

	Secured Borrowing	Pledged Assets	Secured Borrowing	Pledged Assets
Education trusts and conduits (student loans)	\$ 3,162.0	\$ 3,264.4	\$ 3,445.9	\$ 3,772.4
GSI Facilities borrowings <sup>(1)</sup>	1,056.2	1,589.4	1,257.7	2,174.8
Trade Finance	411.2	1,615.5	483.1	1,405.6
Corporate Finance (SBL)	251.0	297.6	250.4	300.2
Other equipment secured facilities <sup>(2)</sup>	2,409.7	2,704.3	1,772.2	2,204.6
Subtotal Loans	7,290.1	9,471.2	7,209.3	9,857.6
Transportation Finance Aircraft <sup>(3)</sup>	1,887.0	2,599.1	1,728.9	2,264.8
Transportation Finance Rail	140.7	146.0	144.5	148.4
GSI Facilities borrowings (Aircraft and Rail) <sup>(4)</sup>	1,132.8	2,095.6	1,151.4	2,084.0
Other structures	69.2	100.2	74.2	102.1
Subtotal Equipment under operating leases	3,229.7	4,940.9	3,099.0	4,599.3
FHLB borrowings	1.0	4.7	50.7	92.5
CIT Group Holdings	50.8	50.8	49.0	49.0
Total	\$10,571.6	\$14,467.6	\$10,408.0	\$14,598.4

<sup>(1)</sup> At September 30, 2012 GSI Facilities borrowings were secured by \$1.1 billion of student loans, \$154.2 million of corporate loans, \$112.8 million of small business lending loans, of which \$526.7 million were classified as Assets Held for Sale, and \$1.2 billion and \$912.0 million of aircraft and railcar assets, respectively, on operating leases. The GSI Facilities are described in Note 6 Derivative Financial Instruments.

<sup>(2)</sup> Includes facilities secured by equipment primarily in Vendor Finance and Corporate Finance and the associated secured debt.

<sup>(3)</sup> Secured financing facilities for the purchase of aircraft.

<sup>(4)</sup> Pledged Assets as of December 31, 2011 has been conformed to current presentation, which includes restricted cash and investments.

#### Variable Interest Entities ( VIEs )

The Company utilizes VIEs in the ordinary course of business to support its own and its customers' financing needs.

The most significant types of VIEs that CIT utilizes are 'on balance sheet' secured financings of pools of leases and loans originated by the Company. The Company originates pools of assets and sells these to special purpose entities ( SPE s ), which, in turn, issue debt instruments backed by the asset pools or sell individual interests in the assets to investors. CIT retains the servicing rights and participates in certain cash flows. These VIEs are typically organized as trusts or limited liability companies, and are intended to be bankruptcy remote, from a legal standpoint.

The main risks inherent in these secured borrowing structures are deterioration in the credit performance of the vehicle s underlying asset portfolio and risk associated with the servicing of the underlying assets.

Investors typically have recourse to the assets in the VIEs and may benefit from other credit enhancements, such as: (1) a reserve or cash collateral account that requires the Company to deposit cash in an account, which will first be used to cover any defaulted obligor payments, (2) over-collateralization in the form of excess assets in the VIE, or (3) subordination, whereby the Company retains a subordinate position in the secured borrowing which would absorb losses due to defaulted obligor payments before the senior certificate holders. The VIE may also enter into derivative contracts in order to convert the debt issued by the VIEs to match the underlying assets or to limit or change the risk of the VIE.

With respect to events or circumstances that could expose CIT to a loss, as these are accounted for as on balance sheet secured financings, the Company records an allowance for loan losses for the credit risks associated with the underlying leases and loans. As these are secured borrowings, CIT has an obligation to pay the debt in accordance with the terms of the underlying agreements.

Generally, third-party investors in the obligations of the consolidated VIE s have legal recourse only to the assets of the VIEs and do not have recourse to the Company beyond certain specific provisions that are customary for secured financing transactions, such as asset repurchase

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

obligations for breaches of representations and warranties. In addition, the assets are generally restricted only to pay such liabilities.

### NOTE 6 DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

As part of managing economic risk and exposure to interest rate, foreign currency and, in limited instances, credit risk, CIT enters into derivative transactions in over-the-counter markets with other financial institutions. CIT does not enter into derivative financial instruments for speculative purposes. Derivative instruments are generally collateralized with cash or highly liquid securities, such as U.S. treasury and government agency securities.

22 CIT GROUP INC

### Table of Contents

#### CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

The Company continuously assesses its hedge requirements and establishes counterparty relationships to facilitate hedging. The Company's current hedging strategies relate primarily to currency risk management of foreign operations. The Company utilizes cross-currency swaps and foreign currency forward contracts to effectively convert U.S. dollar denominated debt to a foreign currency. These transactions are classified as either foreign currency net investment hedges, or foreign currency cash flow hedges, with resulting gains and losses reflected in AOCI, a separate component of equity. For hedges of foreign currency net investment positions, the forward method is applied whereby effectiveness is assessed and measured based on the amounts and currencies of the individual hedged net investments versus the notional amounts and underlying currencies of the derivative contract. For those hedging relationships where the critical terms of the entire debt instrument and the derivative are identical and the credit-worthiness of the counterparty to the hedging instrument remains sound, there is an expectation of no hedge ineffectiveness so long as those conditions continue to be met. The net interest differential is recognized on an accrual basis as an adjustment to other income or as interest expense to correspond with the hedged position.

See Note 1 *Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies* in our December 31, 2011 Form 10-K for further description of the Company's derivative transaction policies.

The following table presents fair values and notional values of derivative financial instruments:

#### **Fair and Notional Values of Derivative Financial Instruments** (dollars in millions)

	September 30, 2012			December 31, 2011		
	Notional Amount	Asset Fair Value	Liability Fair Value	Notional Amount	Asset Fair Value	Liability Fair Value
<b>Qualifying Hedges</b>						
Cross currency swaps - net investment hedges	\$ 254.2	\$	\$(12.6)	\$ 406.2	\$ 1.0	\$ (3.3)
Foreign currency forward exchange - cash flow hedges	21.4		(1.3)	146.7	6.9	(0.2)
Foreign currency forward exchange - net investment hedges	1,408.7	7.4	(37.6)	1,387.0	31.0	(11.4)
<b>Total Qualifying Hedges</b>	<b>\$1,684.3</b>	<b>\$ 7.4</b>	<b>\$(51.5)</b>	<b>\$1,939.9</b>	<b>\$38.9</b>	<b>\$(14.9)</b>
<b>Non-Qualifying Hedges</b>						
Cross currency swaps	\$ 602.9	\$ 3.4	\$(11.7)	\$ 668.5	\$ 6.1	\$ (4.5)
Interest rate swaps	788.6	0.4	(44.3)	848.4	0.9	(42.0)
Written options	207.6		(0.1)	114.1		(0.1)
Purchased options	451.2	0.3		913.3	1.0	
Foreign currency forward exchange contracts	2,096.6	24.6	(25.8)	2,662.9	34.4	(19.6)
TRS	181.4			70.1		
Equity Warrants	1.0	0.6		1.0	0.4	

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

	September 30, 2012			December 31, 2011		
Total Non-qualifying Hedges	\$4,329.3	\$29.3	\$(81.9)	\$5,278.3	\$42.8	\$(66.2)

**Total Return Swap (TRS)**

Two financing facilities with Goldman Sachs International (GSI) are structured as total return swaps (TRS), under which amounts available for advances are accounted for as derivatives. Pursuant to applicable accounting guidance, only the unutilized portion of the TRS is accounted for as a derivative and recorded at its estimated fair value.

On October 26, 2011, CIT Group Inc. ( CIT ) amended its existing \$2.125 billion total return swap facility between CIT Financial Ltd. ( CFL ) and Goldman Sachs International ( GSI ) in order to provide greater flexibility for certain assets to be funded under the facility. The size of the existing CFL facility was reduced to \$1.5 billion, and the \$625 million formerly available under the existing CFL facility was transferred to a new total return swap facility between GSI and CIT TRS Funding B.V. ( BV ), a wholly-owned subsidiary of CIT.

The aggregate notional amounts of the total return swaps of \$181.4 million at September 30, 2012 and \$70.1 million at December 31, 2011 represent the aggregate unused portions under the CFL and BV facilities and constitute derivative financial instruments. These notional amounts are calculated as the maximum aggregate facility commitment amounts, currently \$2,125.0 million, less the aggregate actual adjusted qualifying borrowing base outstanding of \$1,943.6 million at September 30, 2012 and \$2,054.9 million at December 31, 2011 under the CFL and BV facilities. The notional amounts of the derivatives will increase as the adjusted qualifying borrowing base decreases due to repayment of the underlying asset-backed securities (ABS) to investors. If CIT funds additional ABS under the CFL or BV facilities, the aggregate adjusted qualifying borrowing base of the total return swaps will increase and the notional amount of the derivatives will decrease accordingly.

Valuation of the derivatives related to the GSI facilities is based on several factors using a discounted cash flow (DCF) methodology, including:

- n CIT's funding costs for similar financings based on current market conditions;

Item 1: Consolidated Financial Statements 23

**Table of Contents**

CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

- n Forecasted usage of the long-dated CFL and BV facilities through the final maturity date in 2028; and
- n Forecasted amortization, including prepayment assumptions, due to principal payments on the underlying ABS, which impacts the amount of the unutilized portion.

The following table presents the impact of derivatives on the statements of operations:

**Derivative Instrument Gains and Losses (dollars in millions)**

	Gain / (Loss) Recognized	Quarters Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
		2012	2011	2012	2011
Derivative Instruments					
Non Qualifying Hedges					

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

		Quarters Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
Cross currency swaps	Other income	\$ (16.5)	\$ 92.1	\$ (12.0)	\$ 47.1
Interest rate swaps	Other income	(2.1)	(9.9)	(3.4)	(16.8)
Foreign currency forward exchange contracts	Other income	(11.2)	112.5	(16.7)	43.7
Equity warrants	Other income	0.1	(0.8)	0.2	(1.0)
<b>Total derivatives</b>	<b>income statement impact</b>	<b>\$ (29.7)</b>	<b>\$ 193.9</b>	<b>\$ (31.9)</b>	<b>\$ 73.0</b>

The following table presents the changes in AOCI relating to derivatives:

**Changes in AOCI Relating to Derivatives** (dollars in millions)

Contract Type	Derivatives effective portion recorded in OCI	Total change in OCI for period
<b>Quarter ended September 30, 2012</b>		
Cross currency swaps net investment hedges	\$ 0.1	\$ 0.1
Foreign Currency forward exchange cash flow hedges		
Foreign Currency forward exchange net investment hedges	(7.5)	(7.5)
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ (7.4)</b>	<b>\$ (7.4)</b>
<b>Quarter ended September 30, 2011</b>		
Cross currency swaps net investment hedges	\$ 2.2	\$ 2.2
Foreign Currency forward exchange cash flow hedges	0.2	0.2
Foreign Currency forward exchange net investment hedges	(5.5)	(5.5)
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ (3.1)</b>	<b>\$ (3.1)</b>
<b>Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012</b>		
Cross currency swaps net investment hedges	\$ (0.4)	\$ (0.4)
Foreign Currency forward exchange cash flow hedges	0.6	0.6
Foreign Currency forward exchange net investment hedges	(18.2)	(18.2)
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ (18.0)</b>	<b>\$ (18.0)</b>
<b>Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011</b>		
Cross currency swaps net investment hedges	\$ 1.1	\$ 1.1
Foreign Currency forward exchange cash flow hedges	0.9	0.9
Foreign Currency forward exchange net investment hedges	(17.3)	(17.3)
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ (15.3)</b>	<b>\$ (15.3)</b>

There was no effective portion of derivatives reclassified from AOCI to income or any hedge ineffectiveness recorded directly in income for the quarters and year-to-date periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011.

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

**NOTE 7 FAIR VALUE**

**Fair Value Hierarchy**

The Company is required to report fair value measurements for specified classes of assets and liabilities. See *Note 1 Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies* in our December 31, 2011 Form 10-K for further description of the Company's fair value measurement policy.

The Company characterizes inputs in the determination of fair value according to the fair value hierarchy as set forth in the tables below:

**Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis** (dollars in millions)

	<u>Total</u>	<u>Level 1</u>	<u>Level 2</u>	<u>Level 3</u>
<b>September 30, 2012</b>				
<b>Assets</b>				
Debt Securities AFS	\$ 768.0	\$ 18.0	\$ 750.0	\$
Equity Securities AFS	14.4	14.4		
Trading assets at fair value - derivatives	29.3		29.3	
Derivative counterparty assets at fair value	7.4		7.4	
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>\$ 819.1</b>	<b>\$ 32.4</b>	<b>\$ 786.7</b>	<b>\$</b>
<b>Liabilities</b>				
Trading liabilities at fair value - derivatives	\$ (81.9)	\$	\$ (81.9)	\$
Derivative counterparty liabilities at fair value	(51.5)		(51.5)	
<b>Total Liabilities</b>	<b>\$ (133.4)</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ (133.4)</b>	<b>\$</b>
<b>December 31, 2011</b>				
<b>Assets</b>				
Debt Securities AFS	\$ 937.2	\$	\$ 937.2	\$
Equity Securities AFS	16.9	14.0	2.9	
Trading assets at fair value - derivatives	42.8		42.8	
Derivative counterparty assets at fair value	38.9		38.9	
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 1,035.8</b>	<b>\$ 14.0</b>	<b>\$ 1,021.8</b>	<b>\$</b>
<b>Liabilities</b>				
Trading liabilities at fair value - derivatives	\$ (66.2)	\$	\$ (66.2)	\$
Derivative counterparty liabilities at fair value	(14.9)		(14.9)	
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ (81.1)</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ (81.1)</b>	<b>\$</b>

At September 30, 2012 there were no transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 for financial assets or liabilities measured on a recurring basis.

The following table presents assets and liabilities for which a non-recurring change in fair value has been recorded:

**Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Non-recurring Basis** (dollars in millions)

	<u>Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using:</u>				<u>Total Gains and (Losses)</u>
	<u>Total</u>	<u>Level 1</u>	<u>Level 2</u>	<u>Level 3</u>	
<b>Assets</b>					
<b>September 30, 2012</b>					



Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using:

Assets Held for Sale	\$ 207.3	\$	\$	\$ 207.3	\$(27.7)
Impaired loans	31.4			31.4	(21.7)
Total	\$ 238.7	\$	\$	\$ 238.7	\$(49.4)
<b>December 31, 2011</b>					
Assets Held for Sale	\$1,830.8	\$	\$	\$1,830.8	\$(60.7)
Impaired loans	101.5			101.5	(33.7)
Total	\$1,932.3	\$	\$	\$1,932.3	\$(94.4)

Item 1: Consolidated Financial Statements 25

**Table of Contents**

CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

Loans are transferred from held for investment to held for sale ( HFS ) at the lower of cost or fair value. At the time of transfer, a write-down of the loan is recorded as a charge-off, if applicable and if related to credit deterioration. Once classified as HFS, the amount by which the carrying amount exceeds fair value is recorded as a valuation allowance.

Impaired finance receivables (including loans or capital leases) of \$500 thousand or greater that are placed on non-accrual status are subject to periodic individual review in conjunction with the Company's ongoing problem loan management (PLM) function. Impairment occurs when, based on current information and events, it is probable that CIT will be unable to collect all amounts due according to contractual terms of the agreement. Impairment is measured as the shortfall between estimated value and recorded investment in the finance receivable.

**Level 3 Gains and Losses**

The tables below set forth a summary of changes in the estimated fair value of the Company's Level 3 financial assets and liabilities measured on a recurring basis:

**Changes in Fair Value of Level 3 Financial Assets and Liabilities Measured on a Recurring Basis** (dollars in millions)

	Total	Derivatives	Equity Securities Available for Sale
<b>December 31, 2011</b>	\$	\$	\$
Gains or losses realized/unrealized			
Included in Other Income			
Other, net			
<b>September 30, 2012</b>	\$	\$	\$
<b>December 31, 2010</b>	\$ 17.6	\$(0.3)	\$ 17.9
Gains or losses realized/unrealized			
Included in Other Income	5.7	0.3	5.4
Other, net (primarily sales proceeds)	(23.3)		(23.3)
<b>September 30, 2011</b>	\$	\$	\$

At September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 there were no Level 3 financial assets or liabilities measured on a recurring basis.

**Table of Contents**

CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

**FAIR VALUES OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS**

The carrying and estimated fair values of financial instruments presented below exclude leases and certain other assets and liabilities, for which disclosure is not required.

**Estimated Fair Value of Assets and Liabilities** (dollars in millions)

	September 30, 2012		December 31, 2011	
	Carrying Value	Estimated Fair Value	Carrying Value	Estimated Fair Value
<b>Assets</b>				
Trading assets at fair value – derivatives	\$ 29.3	\$ 29.3	\$ 42.8	\$ 42.8
Derivative counterparty assets at fair value	7.4	7.4	38.9	38.9
Assets held for sale (excluding leases)	651.6	672.5	1,871.8	2,024.3
Loans (excluding leases)	15,213.9	15,610.9	14,927.4	15,153.9
Investment Securities	1,004.6	1,008.2	1,250.6	1,252.7
Other assets subject to fair value disclosure and unsecured counterparty receivables <sup>(1)</sup>	1,135.1	1,135.1	1,405.7	1,405.7
<b>Liabilities</b>				
Deposits <sup>(2)</sup>	(8,754.3)	(8,977.6)	(6,227.5)	(6,283.8)
Trading liabilities at fair value – derivatives	(81.9)	(81.9)	(66.2)	(66.2)
Derivative counterparty liabilities at fair value	(51.5)	(51.5)	(14.9)	(14.9)
Long-term borrowings <sup>(2)</sup>	(23,070.5)	(24,120.0)	(26,444.2)	(27,840.1)
Other liabilities subject to fair value disclosure <sup>(3)</sup>	(1,973.5)	(1,973.5)	(2,049.2)	(2,049.2)

<sup>(1)</sup> Other assets subject to fair value disclosure primarily include accrued interest receivable and miscellaneous receivables. These assets have carrying values that approximate fair value generally due to the short-term nature and are classified as level 3. The unsecured counterparty receivables primarily consist of amounts owed to CIT from GSI for debt discount, return of collateral posted to GSI and settlements resulting from market value changes to asset-backed securities underlying the GSI Facilities.

<sup>(2)</sup> Deposits and long-term borrowings include accrued interest, which is included in Other liabilities in the Balance Sheet.

<sup>(3)</sup> Other liabilities subject to fair value disclosure include accounts payable, accrued liabilities, customer security and maintenance deposits and miscellaneous liabilities. The fair value of these approximates carrying value and are classified as level 3.

**Assumptions used to value financial instruments are set forth below:**

**Derivatives** – The estimated fair values of derivatives were calculated internally using observable market data and represent the net amount receivable or payable to terminate, taking into account current market rates, which represent Level 2 inputs. See Note 6 – Derivative Financial Instruments for notional principal amounts and fair values.

**Investment Securities** – Debt and equity securities classified as AFS are carried at fair value, as determined either by Level 1 or Level 2 inputs. Debt securities classified as AFS included investments in U.S. Treasury and federal government agency securities and were valued using Level 2.

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

inputs, primarily quoted prices for similar securities. Certain equity securities classified as AFS were valued using Level 1 inputs, primarily quoted prices in active markets, while other equity securities used Level 2 inputs, due to being less frequently traded or having limited quoted market prices. Debt securities classified as HTM are securities that the Company has both the ability and the intent to hold until maturity and are carried at amortized cost and periodically assessed for OTTI, with the cost basis reduced when impairment is deemed to be other-than-temporary. Equity investments without readily determinable fair values are carried at cost and are periodically assessed for OTTI, with the cost basis reduced when impairment is deemed to be other-than-temporary.

*Assets held for sale* Assets held for sale are recorded at lower of cost or fair value on the balance sheet. Most of the assets are subject to a binding contract, current letter of intent or other third-party valuation, which are Level 3 inputs. For the remaining assets, the fair value is generally determined using internally generated valuations or discounted cash flow analysis, which are considered Level 3 inputs. Commercial loans are generally valued individually, while small-ticket commercial and consumer type loans are valued on an aggregate portfolio basis.

*Loans* Since there is no liquid secondary market for most loans in the Company's portfolio, the fair value is estimated based on discounted cash flow analyses, which are considered Level 3 inputs. In addition to the characteristics of the underlying contracts, key inputs to the analysis include interest rates, prepayment rates, and credit spreads. For the commercial loan portfolio, the market based credit spread inputs are derived from instruments with comparable credit risk characteristics obtained from independent third party vendors. For the consumer loan portfolio, the

Item 1: Consolidated Financial Statements 27

---

### Table of Contents

---

#### CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

discount spread is derived based on the company's estimate of a market participant's required return on equity that incorporates credit loss estimates based on expected and current default rates. As these Level 3 unobservable inputs are specific to individual loans / collateral types, management does not believe that sensitivity analysis of individual inputs is meaningful, but rather that sensitivity is more meaningfully assessed through the evaluation of aggregate carrying values of the loans. The fair value of loans at September 30, 2012 was \$15.6 billion, which is 102.6% of carrying value. The fair value of the commercial loans portfolio was \$11.5 billion, 100.7% of carrying value, and the fair value of the consumer portfolio was \$4.1 billion, 108.3% of carrying value.

*Impaired Loans* The value of impaired loans is estimated using the fair value of collateral (on an orderly liquidation basis) if the loan is collateralized, or the present value of expected cash flows utilizing the current market rate for such loan. As these Level 3 unobservable inputs are specific to individual loans / collateral types, management does not believe that sensitivity analysis of individual inputs is meaningful, but rather that sensitivity is more meaningfully assessed through the evaluation of aggregate carrying values of impaired loans relative to contractual amounts owed (unpaid principal balance or UPB) from customers. As of September 30, 2012, the UPB related to impaired loans, including loans for which the Company is applying the income recognition and disclosure guidance in ASC 310-30 (Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality), totaled \$861 million. Including related allowances, these loans are carried at \$529 million, or 61% of UPB. Of these amounts, \$366 million and \$286 million of UPB and carrying value relate to loans with no specific allowance. The difference between UPB and carrying value reflects cumulative charge-offs on accounts remaining in process of collection, FSA discounts and allowances. See *Note 2 - Loans* for more information.

*Deposits* The fair value of deposits was estimated based upon a present value discounted cash flow analysis. Discount rates used in the present value calculation are based on the Company's average current deposit rates for similar terms, which are Level 3 inputs.

*Long-term borrowings* Unsecured borrowings of approximately \$11.9 billion par value at September 30, 2012, were valued based on quoted market prices, which are Level 1 inputs. Approximately \$7.9 billion of the secured borrowings at September 30, 2012, and the outstanding amount under the Revolving Credit Facility utilized market inputs to estimate fair value, which are Level 2 inputs. Where market estimates were not available for approximately \$3.6 billion at September 30, 2012, values were computed using a discounted cash flow analysis with a discount rate approximating current market rates for issuances by CIT of similar term debt, which are Level 3 inputs.

#### NOTE 8 REGULATORY CAPITAL

The Company and CIT Bank are each subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the Federal Reserve Bank (FRB) and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC).

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require that the Company and CIT Bank each maintain minimum amounts and ratios of Total and Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets, and of Tier 1 capital to average assets, subject to any agreement with regulators to maintain higher capital levels. In connection with becoming a bank holding company in December 2008, the Company committed to maintaining a minimum Total Risk Based Capital Ratio of 13%.

The calculation of the Company's regulatory capital ratios are subject to review and consultation with the Federal Reserve Bank, which may result in refinements to amounts reported at September 30, 2012.

28 CIT GROUP INC

### Table of Contents

CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

#### **Tier 1 Capital and Total Capital Components** (dollars in millions)

	CIT		CIT Bank	
	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
<b>Tier 1 Capital</b>				
Total stockholders' equity	\$ 8,086.0	\$ 8,888.5	\$2,369.3	\$2,116.6
Effect of certain items in accumulated other comprehensive loss excluded from Tier 1 Capital	51.5	54.3	(0.5)	(0.3)
Adjusted total equity	8,137.5	8,942.8	2,368.8	2,116.3
Less: Goodwill <sup>(1)</sup>	(338.0)	(338.0)		
Disallowed intangible assets <sup>(1)</sup>	(38.6)	(63.6)		
Investment in certain subsidiaries	(34.7)	(36.6)		
Other Tier 1 components <sup>(2)</sup>	(63.4)	(58.1)	(60.1)	(91.5)
<b>Tier 1 Capital</b>	<b>7,662.8</b>	<b>8,446.5</b>	<b>2,308.7</b>	<b>2,024.8</b>
<b>Tier 2 Capital</b>				
Qualifying allowance for credit losses and other reserves <sup>(3)</sup>	420.2	429.9	91.7	52.7
Less: Investment in certain subsidiaries	(34.7)	(36.6)		
Other Tier 2 components <sup>(4)</sup>	0.7		0.3	0.2
<b>Total qualifying capital</b>	<b>\$ 8,049.0</b>	<b>\$ 8,839.8</b>	<b>\$2,400.7</b>	<b>\$2,077.7</b>
Risk-weighted assets	\$45,929.9	\$44,816.5	\$8,775.5	\$5,545.9
<b>Total Capital (to risk-weighted assets):</b>				
Actual	17.5%	19.7%	27.4%	37.5%
Required Ratio for Capital Adequacy Purposes	13.0% <sup>(5)</sup>	13.0% <sup>(5)</sup>	8.0%	8.0%
<b>Tier 1 Capital (to risk-weighted assets):</b>				
Actual	16.7%	18.8%	26.3%	36.5%
Required Ratio for Capital Adequacy Purposes	4.0%	4.0%	4.0%	4.0%
<b>Tier 1 Leverage Ratio:</b>				
Actual	17.4%	18.9%	21.4%	24.7%
Required Ratio for Capital Adequacy Purposes	4.0%	4.0%	15.0% <sup>(5)</sup>	15.0% <sup>(5)</sup>

- (1) *Goodwill and disallowed intangible assets adjustments also reflect the portion included within assets held for sale.*
- (2) *Includes the portion of net deferred tax assets that does not qualify for inclusion in Tier 1 capital based on the capital guidelines, the Tier 1 capital charge for nonfinancial equity investments and the Tier 1 capital deduction for net unrealized losses on available-for-sale marketable securities (net of tax).*
- (3) *Other reserves represents additional credit loss reserves for unfunded lending commitments, letters of credit, and deferred purchase agreements, all of which are recorded in Other Liabilities.*
- (4) *Banking organizations are permitted to include in Tier 2 Capital up to 45% of net unrealized pretax gains on available-for-sale equity securities with readily determinable fair values.*
- (5) *The Company and CIT Bank each committed to maintaining certain capital ratios above regulatory minimum levels.*

## NOTE 9 INCOME TAXES

The Company's third quarter tax provision of \$2.9 million decreased from \$40.2 million in the prior-year quarter. The decrease was primarily driven by a reduction in foreign tax expense on international income and was mainly related to the change in geographic mix of earnings and a benefit related to discrete items.

The Company's tax provision of \$70.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 decreased from \$123.8 million in the prior year period. This decrease was primarily a result of the reduction in foreign tax expense on international income due to the change in the geographic mix of earnings. The year to date provision reflects income tax expense on the earnings of certain international operations and no income tax benefit recorded on the domestic losses. The Company has not recognized any tax benefit on its domestic losses due to uncertainties related to future utilization of net operating loss carry-forwards. The actual year-end 2012 effective tax rate may vary from the currently projected tax rate due to changes in the mix of domestic and international earnings.

The tax provision for the third quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2012 included \$(2.1) million and \$15.6 million, respectively, of discrete tax expense (benefit) items. Included in the discrete items for the year to date period is \$31.6 million of incremental taxes mainly associated with international audit settlements and an

Item 1: Consolidated Financial Statements 29

---

## Table of Contents

---

### CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

increase in a U.S. deferred tax liability on certain indefinite life assets that cannot be used as a source of future taxable income in the assessment of the domestic valuation allowance. The year to date tax provision also includes a \$16 million reduction to the provision for income taxes associated with the correction of certain foreign tax accruals relating to prior periods. The net discrete tax expense also included a tax benefit of \$146.5 million caused by a release of tax reserves established on an uncertain tax position taken on certain tax losses following a favorable ruling from the tax authorities and a \$98.4 million tax benefit associated with a tax position taken on a prior-year restructuring transaction which was addressed during the second quarter. Both of the aforementioned benefits were fully offset by corresponding increases to the domestic valuation allowance.

As of December 31, 2011, CIT had cumulative U.S. federal net operating loss carry-forwards (NOLs) of \$4.0 billion. The release of the above mentioned uncertain tax positions will allow for the recognition of approximately \$605 million of additional U.S. federal NOLs. Excluding FSA adjustments, which are not included in the calculation of U.S. federal taxable income, the Company generated a domestic pretax loss in the third quarter and year-to-date of \$6 million and \$210 million, respectively, which will also increase the NOLs. Pursuant to Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code, the Company is generally subject to a \$230 million annual limitation on the use of its \$1.9 billion of pre-emergence NOLs. NOLs arising in post-emergence years are not subject to this limitation absent another ownership change for U.S. tax purposes.

**Liabilities for Uncertain Tax Position**

The Company's liability for uncertain tax positions totaled \$313 million at September 30, 2012 and \$549 million at December 31, 2011. Management estimates that this liability may be reduced by up to \$10 million within the next twelve months. The change in the balance since December 31, 2011 occurred primarily in the second quarter and was comprised of two items: 1.) a reduction of \$146.5 million caused by a release of tax reserves established on an uncertain tax position taken on certain tax losses following a favorable ruling from the tax authorities, and 2.) a reduction of \$98.4 million associated with a tax position taken on a prior-year restructuring transaction which was addressed during the quarter. Both of the aforementioned benefits were fully offset by corresponding increases to the domestic valuation allowance.

**NOTE 10 OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME/(LOSS)**

The amounts included in the Statement of Comprehensive Income (Loss) are net of income taxes. The income taxes associated with changes in benefit plans net gain/(loss) and prior service (cost)/credit and changes in fair values of derivatives qualifying as cash flow hedges were not significant for the quarter and year-to-date periods ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011. The change in income taxes associated with net unrealized gains on available for sale securities totaled approximately \$0.3 million for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 and \$(1.3) million for the September 30, 2011 quarter and totaled \$0.7 million and \$1.0 million for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The changes in benefit plans net gain/(loss) and prior service (cost)/credit reclassification adjustments impacting net income was \$0.4 million and \$1.2 million for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2012. These amounts were insignificant in the same prior year periods. There were no reclassifications through income for the quarters and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 for interest expense on interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges. The reclassification adjustments for unrealized gains (losses) on investments recognized through income were not significant for the quarters and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011.

The Company has operations in Canada, Europe and other countries. The functional currency for foreign operations is generally the local currency. The value of assets and liabilities of these operations is translated into U.S. dollars at the rate of exchange in effect at the balance sheet date. Revenue and expense items are translated at the average exchange rates during the year. The resulting foreign currency translation gains and losses, as well as offsetting gains and losses on hedges of net investments in foreign operations, are reflected in AOCI. Transaction gains and losses resulting from exchange rate changes on transactions denominated in currencies other than the functional currency are included in earnings.

During the quarter approximately \$4.0 million of cumulative translation adjustments were recognized as a reduction to Other Income related to the liquidation of an Australian Aerospace legal entity.

30 CIT GROUP INC

**Table of Contents**

CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

**STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY****Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income/(Loss)**

The following table details the components of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss:

**Components of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) (dollars in millions)**

September 30, 2012			December 31, 2011		
Gross Unrealized	Income Taxes	Net Unrealized	Gross Unrealized	Income Taxes	Net Unrealized
\$ (53.7)	\$ 0.1	\$ (53.6)	\$ (54.9)	\$ 0.1	\$ (54.8)

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

	September 30, 2012			December 31, 2011		
Changes in benefit plan net gain/(loss) and prior service (cost)/credit						
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(48.8)		(48.8)	(37.7)		(37.7)
Changes in fair values of derivatives qualifying as cash flow hedges	(0.1)		(0.1)	(0.8)		(0.8)
Unrealized net gains (losses) on available for sale securities	3.7	(1.5)	2.2	2.0	(0.8)	1.2
Total accumulated other comprehensive loss	\$(98.9)	\$(1.4)	\$(100.3)	\$(91.4)	\$(0.7)	\$(92.1)

**NOTE 11 COMMITMENTS**

The accompanying table summarizes credit-related commitments, as well as purchase and funding commitments:

**Commitments** (dollars in millions)

	September 30, 2012			December 31, 2011
	Due to Expire		Total Outstanding	Total Outstanding
	Within One Year	After One Year		
<b>Financing Commitments</b>				
Financing and leasing assets	\$ 375.5	\$ 2,426.7	\$ 2,802.2	\$ 2,746.2
<b>Letters of credit</b>				
Standby letters of credit	53.4	220.8	274.2	209.5
Other letters of credit	50.8	0.3	51.1	89.5
<b>Guarantees</b>				
Deferred purchase credit protection agreements	1,910.6		1,910.6	1,816.9
Guarantees, acceptances and other recourse obligations	17.9	4.6	22.5	25.6
<b>Purchase and Funding Commitments</b>				
Aerospace manufacturer purchase commitments	637.0	7,408.1	8,045.1	8,033.1
Rail and other manufacturer purchase commitments	583.3	528.5	1,111.8	738.3

**Financing Commitments**

Financing commitments, referred to as loan commitments, or lines of credit, reflect CIT's agreements to lend to its customers, subject to the customers' compliance with contractual obligations. The table above includes approximately \$0.5 billion of commitments at September 30, 2012 and \$0.4 billion at December 31, 2011 for instances where the customer is not in compliance with contractual obligations, and therefore CIT does not have the contractual obligation to lend. As financing commitments may not be fully drawn, expire unused, be reduced or cancelled at the customer's request, and require the customer to be in compliance with certain conditions, total commitment amounts do not necessarily reflect actual future cash flow requirements.

At September 30, 2012, substantially all financing commitments were senior facilities. Most of the Company's undrawn and available financing commitments are in Corporate Finance.

The table above excludes uncommitted revolving credit facilities extended by Trade Finance to its clients for working capital purposes. In connection with these facilities, Trade Finance has the sole discretion throughout the duration of these facilities to determine the amount of credit that may be made available to its clients at any time and whether to honor any specific advance requests made by its clients under these

credit facilities.

The table above also excludes unused cancelable lines of credit to customers in connection with select third-party vendor programs, which may be used solely to finance additional product purchases, the total of which was not

---

## **Table of Contents**

---

### CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

material for either period presented. These uncommitted lines of credit can be reduced, canceled or denied funding by CIT at any time without notice. Management's experience indicates that customers related to vendor programs typically exercise their line of credit only when they need to purchase new products from a vendor and do not seek to exercise their entire available line of credit at any point in time.

#### **Letters of Credit**

In the normal course of meeting the needs of clients, CIT sometimes enters into agreements to provide financing and letters of credit. Standby letters of credit obligate the issuer of the letter of credit to pay the beneficiary if a client on whose behalf the letter of credit was issued does not meet its obligation. These financial instruments generate fees and involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit risk in excess of amounts recognized in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. To minimize potential credit risk, CIT generally requires collateral and in some cases additional forms of credit support from the client.

#### **Deferred Purchase Agreements**

A Deferred Purchase Agreement ( DPA ) is provided in conjunction with factoring, whereby CIT provides a client with credit protection for trade receivables without purchasing the receivables. The trade terms are generally sixty days or less. If the client's customer is unable to pay an undisputed receivable solely as the result of credit risk, then CIT purchases the receivable from the client. The outstanding amount of DPAs is the maximum potential exposure that CIT would be required to pay under all DPAs. This maximum amount would only occur if all receivables subject to DPAs default in the manner described above, thereby requiring CIT to purchase all such receivables from the DPA clients.

The methodology used to determine the DPA liability is similar to the methodology used to determine the allowance for loan losses associated with the finance receivables, which reflects embedded losses based on various factors, including expected losses reflecting the Company's internal customer and facility credit ratings. The liability recorded in Other Liabilities related to the DPAs totaled \$6.1 million and \$5.4 million at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

#### **Purchase and Funding Commitments**

CIT's purchase commitments relate primarily to purchases of commercial aircraft and rail equipment. Commitments to purchase new commercial aircraft are predominantly with Airbus Industries ( Airbus ) and The Boeing Company ( Boeing ). In November 2011, an order was placed for purchase of aircraft from Embraer S.A. ( Embraer ) with deliveries scheduled through 2015. CIT may also commit to purchase an aircraft directly with an airline. Aerospace equipment purchases are contracted for specific models, using baseline aircraft specifications at fixed prices, which reflect discounts from fair market purchase prices prevailing at the time of commitment. The delivery price of an aircraft may change depending on final specifications. Equipment purchases are recorded at the delivery date. The estimated commitment amounts in the preceding table are based on contracted purchase prices reduced for pre-delivery payments to date and exclude buyer furnished equipment selected by the lessee. Pursuant to existing contractual commitments, 157 aircraft remain to be purchased from Airbus, Boeing and Embraer at September 30, 2012. Aircraft deliveries are scheduled periodically through 2019. Commitments exclude unexercised options to order additional aircraft.

The Company's rail business entered into commitments to purchase railcars from multiple manufacturers in 2011 and in the second and third quarters of 2012. The 2012 orders were for 7,000 railcars with deliveries scheduled through 2014. Pursuant to these and prior contractual commitments, at September 30, 2012, approximately 8,500 railcars remain to be purchased. Rail equipment purchase commitments are at fixed prices subject to price increases for certain materials.

#### **NOTE 12 CONTINGENCIES**



**Litigation**

CIT is currently involved, and from time to time in the future may be involved, in a number of judicial, regulatory, and arbitration proceedings relating to matters that arise in connection with the conduct of its business (collectively, Litigation). In view of the inherent difficulty of predicting the outcome of Litigation matters, particularly when such matters are in their early stages or where the claimants seek indeterminate damages, CIT cannot state with confidence what the eventual outcome of the pending Litigation will be, what the timing of the ultimate resolution of these matters will be, or what the eventual loss, fines, or penalties related to each pending matter will be, if any. In accordance with applicable accounting guidance, CIT establishes reserves for Litigation when those matters present loss contingencies as to which it is both probable that a loss will occur and the amount of such loss can be reasonably estimated. Based on currently available information, CIT believes that the results of Litigation that is currently pending, taken together, will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, but may be material to the Company's operating results or cash flows for any particular period, depending in part on its operating results for that period. The actual results of resolving such matters may be substantially higher than the amounts reserved.

For certain Litigation matters in which the Company is involved, the Company is able to estimate a range of reasonably possible losses in excess of established reserves and insurance. For other matters for which a loss is probable or reasonably possible, such an estimate cannot be determined. For Litigation where losses are reasonably possible, management currently estimates the aggregate range of reasonably possible losses as up to \$320 million in

32 CIT GROUP INC

**Table of Contents**

CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

excess of established reserves and insurance related to those matters, if any. This estimate represents reasonably possible losses (in excess of established reserves and insurance) over the life of such Litigation, which may span a currently indeterminable number of years, and is based on information currently available as of September 30, 2012. The matters underlying the estimated range will change from time to time, and actual results may vary significantly from this estimate.

Those Litigation matters for which an estimate is not reasonably possible or as to which a loss does not appear to be reasonably possible, based on current information, are not included within this estimated range and, therefore, this estimated range does not represent the Company's maximum loss exposure.

The foregoing statements about CIT's Litigation are based on the Company's judgments, assumptions, and estimates and are necessarily subjective and uncertain. Some of the Company's pending Litigation matters are described below.

**Tyco Tax Agreement**

In connection with the Company's separation from Tyco International Ltd (Tyco) in 2002, CIT and Tyco entered into a Tax Agreement pursuant to which, among other things, CIT agreed to pay Tyco for tax savings actually realized by CIT, if any, as a result of the use of certain net operating losses arising during the period that Tyco owned CIT (the Tyco Tax Attribute), which savings would not have been realized absent the existence of the Tyco Tax Attribute. During CIT's bankruptcy, CIT rejected the Tax Agreement, and Tyco and CIT entered into a Standstill Agreement pursuant to which (a) CIT agreed that it would defer bringing its subordination claim against Tyco and (b) Tyco agreed that it would defer bringing its damage claim against CIT while the parties exchanged information about CIT's tax position, including past usage and retention of the various attributes on its consolidated tax return. Notwithstanding the Standstill Agreement, Tyco filed a Notice of Arbitration during the second quarter of 2011, demanding arbitration of its alleged contractual damages resulting from rejection of the Tax Agreement. CIT filed an adversary proceeding in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the Bankruptcy Court), seeking to subordinate Tyco's interests under section 510(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, which would result in Tyco being treated like equity holders under CIT's confirmed Plan of Reorganization and receiving no recovery in connection with the termination of the Tax Agreement. In December, 2011, the Bankruptcy Court denied the request to subordinate Tyco's interests (the Decision). In September, 2012, the Second Circuit Court of Appeals affirmed the Bankruptcy Court's decision, thus the arbitration will continue to proceed.

The amount of the federal Tyco Tax Attribute is approximately \$794 million and the state Tyco Tax Attribute is approximately \$180 million as of the separation date. CIT's approximate current federal and state tax rates are currently 35% and 6.5%, respectively. CIT has recorded a valuation allowance against its federal net deferred tax assets and substantially all of its state net deferred tax assets, which include the deferred tax assets associated with the Tyco Tax Attribute, as the Company does not currently meet the criteria to recognize these assets. It is CIT's

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

position that it has not received federal tax benefits from the Tyco Tax Attribute within the meaning of the Tax Agreement and that it is speculative as to when, if ever, any such benefits may be realized in the future.

### **Le Nature s Inc.**

CIT was the lead lessor under a syndicated lease of equipment (the Lease ) to Le Nature s Inc., a beverage bottler, for a newly-constructed bottling facility in Phoenix, Arizona. In 2005, CIT and co-lessors funded \$144.8 million, of which approximately \$45 million was funded by CIT. In 2006, CIT sold \$5 million of its interest in the Lease.

In November 2006, amid allegations that Le Nature s had perpetrated a fraudulent scheme, creditors filed an involuntary bankruptcy against Le Nature s in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania. Upon the commencement of the bankruptcy, Le Nature s immediately ceased operations and a Chapter 11 trustee was appointed.

Subsequent to the commencement of the Le Nature s bankruptcy, certain co-lessors and certain parties that participated in CIT s and other co-lessors interests in the Lease filed lawsuits against CIT and others to recover the balance of their respective investments, asserting various claims including fraud, civil conspiracy, and civil Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO). Plaintiffs seek damages in excess of \$84 million as well as claims for treble damages under RICO. All but one of these actions has been consolidated for discovery purposes in the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania (the Multidistrict Litigation ).

In October 2008, the Liquidating Trustee of Le Nature s commenced an action against, among others, Le Nature s lenders and lessors, including CIT, asserting a variety of claims on behalf of the liquidation trust.

In October 2008, CIT commenced a lawsuit in the Superior Court for the State of Arizona, Maricopa County, against the manufacturer of the equipment that was the subject of the Lease, certain of its principals, and the former CEO of Le Nature s, alleging, among other things, fraud, conspiracy, civil RICO and negligent misrepresentation, seeking compensatory and punitive damages.

In February 2009, CIT commenced a lawsuit in the Superior Court for the State of Arizona, Maricopa County, against the former independent auditing firm for Le Nature s, asserting professional negligence.

In May 2009, one of Le Nature s other equipment lessors commenced an action against CIT, as well as the equipment manufacturer, and certain principals of the equipment

**Item 1: Consolidated Financial Statements 33**

---

### **Table of Contents**

---

CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

manufacturer, in the Circuit Court of Wisconsin, Milwaukee County, asserting claims for fraud and misrepresentation.

In November, 2012, CIT entered into settlement agreements with the equipment manufacturer, the co-lessor plaintiffs and the Liquidating Trustee resolving the most significant claims asserted against the Company in the Multidistrict Litigation. The settlements did not have a material adverse effect on the Company s financial statements.

### **NOTE 13 BUSINESS SEGMENT INFORMATION**

#### **Management s Policy in Identifying Reportable Segments**

CIT s reportable segments are comprised of strategic business units that are aggregated into segments primarily based upon industry categories and to a lesser extent, the core competencies relating to product origination, distribution methods, operations and servicing and the nature of their regulatory environment. This segment reporting is consistent with the presentation of financial information to management.

#### **Types of Products and Services**

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

CIT has five reportable segments: Corporate Finance, Transportation Finance, Trade Finance, Vendor Finance and Consumer. Corporate Finance and Trade Finance offer secured lending as well as other financial products and services predominately to small and midsize companies. These include secured revolving lines of credit and term loans, accounts receivable credit protection, accounts receivable collection, import and export financing, factoring, debtor-in-possession and turnaround financing and receivable advisory services. Transportation Finance offers secured lending and leasing products to midsize and larger companies across the aerospace, rail and defense industries. Vendor Finance partners with manufacturers and distributors to offer secured lending and leasing products predominantly to small and mid-size companies primarily in information technology, telecommunication and office equipment markets. Consumer includes a liquidating portfolio of predominately government-guaranteed student loans.

### Segment Profit and Assets

In the table that follows, Corporate and Other includes cash liquidity in excess of the amount required by the business units that management determines is prudent for the overall company, loss on debt extinguishment and the prepayment penalties associated with debt repayments.

The third quarter 2012 Interest Expense included approximately \$454 million of debt redemption charges (\$1,316 million year to date) related to the FSA accretion associated with the prepayment of \$4.6 billion in debt during the quarter (\$15.2 billion year to date). The third quarter of 2011 Interest Expense included \$22 million of similar debt redemption charges (\$232 million year to date).

Corporate and Other year to date 2012 operating expenses includes pre-tax corrections of approximately \$14 million that were recorded in the quarter ended June 30, 2012 for the establishment of an indemnification reserve related to pre-emergence asset sales and should have been recorded in prior periods.

Vendor Finance year to date 2012 pre-tax earnings reflect \$18 million of corrections recorded in the quarter ended March 31, 2012, \$15 million of which reduced Interest Income while the remaining amount reduced Other Income. In addition, the year to date income tax provision reflects \$16 million of corrections recorded in the second quarter that reduced tax expense. These corrections primarily related to our Mexican portfolio and pertain to prior periods.

Management had concluded that these adjustments were not individually or in the aggregate material to the consolidated financial statements as of or for the period ended March 31, 2012 or June 30, 2012 or to any preceding period as reported.

34 CIT GROUP INC

### Table of Contents

CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

### **Business Segments** (dollars in millions)

	<u>Corporate Finance</u>	<u>Transportation Finance</u>	<u>Trade Finance</u>	<u>Vendor Finance</u>	<u>Commercial Segments</u>	<u>Consumer</u>	<u>Total Segments</u>	<u>Corporate and Other</u>	<u>Total CIT</u>
<b>Quarter Ended September 30, 2012</b>									
Interest income	\$ 140.1	\$ 34.1	\$ 15.0	\$ 135.1	\$ 324.3	\$ 44.9	\$ 369.2	\$ 4.9	\$ 374.1
Interest expense	(146.9)	(374.7)	(24.1)	(122.7)	(668.4)	(43.0)	(711.4)	(100.7)	(812.1)
Provision for credit losses	22.0	(8.9)	(4.3)	(8.8)					
Rental income on operating leases	1.7	386.2		56.5	444.4		444.4		444.4
Other income	24.6	18.4	39.0	(2.9)	79.1	1.2	80.3	0.9	81.2
Depreciation on operating lease equipment	(1.0)	(106.3)		(27.2)	(134.5)		(134.5)		(134.5)
	(65.8)	(43.5)	(28.8)	(87.0)	(225.1)	(10.0)	(235.1)	(2.4)	(237.5)

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

	<u>Corporate Finance</u>	<u>Transportation Finance</u>	<u>Trade Finance</u>	<u>Vendor Finance</u>	<u>Commercial Segments</u>	<u>Consumer</u>	<u>Total Segments</u>	<u>Corporate and Other</u>	<u>Total CIT</u>
Operating expenses									
Loss on debt extinguishments								(16.8)	(16.8)
Income (loss) before (provision) benefit for income taxes	\$ (25.3)	\$ (94.7)	\$ (3.2)	\$ (57.0)	\$ (180.2)	\$ (6.9)	\$ (187.1)	\$ (114.1)	\$ (301.2)
<b>Quarter Ended September 30, 2011</b>									
Interest income	\$ 189.0	\$ 37.3	\$ 21.8	\$ 185.2	\$ 433.3	\$ 64.5	\$ 497.8	\$ 5.0	\$ 502.8
Interest expense	(165.7)	(202.3)	(19.1)	(109.9)	(497.0)	(42.3)	(539.3)	(63.8)	(603.1)
Provision for credit losses	(37.7)	(2.2)	(4.4)	(2.5)	(46.8)	(0.6)	(47.4)		(47.4)
Rental income on operating leases	4.1	342.2		62.7	409.0		409.0		409.0
Other income	93.1	57.0	40.9	60.1	251.1	4.9	256.0	(13.2)	242.8
Depreciation on operating lease equipment	(1.7)	(90.7)		(31.9)	(124.3)		(124.3)		(124.3)
Operating expenses	(51.5)	(43.3)	(28.6)	(78.3)	(201.7)	(16.8)	(218.5)	(7.9)	(226.4)
Loss on debt extinguishments								(146.6)	(146.6)
Income (loss) before (provision) benefit for income taxes	\$ 29.6	\$ 98.0	\$ 10.6	\$ 85.4	\$ 223.6	\$ 9.7	\$ 233.3	\$ (226.5)	\$ 6.8
<b>Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012</b>									
Interest income	\$ 487.0	\$ 103.6	\$ 43.6	\$ 403.2	\$ 1,037.4	\$ 143.6	\$ 1,181.0	\$ 14.0	\$ 1,195.0
Interest expense	(496.0)	(1,122.0)	(74.2)	(419.4)	(2,111.6)	(134.9)	(2,246.5)	(284.5)	(2,531.0)
Provision for credit losses	(8.4)	(16.6)	(5.9)	(20.1)	(51.0)	(0.5)	(51.5)		(51.5)
Rental income on operating leases	6.8	1,143.8		178.6	1,329.2		1,329.2		1,329.2
Other income	302.3	46.2	108.6	0.3	457.4	21.4	478.8	(4.2)	474.6
Depreciation on operating lease equipment	(3.3)	(316.1)		(83.3)	(402.7)		(402.7)		(402.7)
Operating expenses	(193.9)	(132.0)	(89.2)	(241.5)	(656.6)	(30.4)	(687.0)	(14.0)	(701.0)
Loss on debt extinguishments								(61.2)	(61.2)
Income (loss) before (provision) benefit for income taxes	\$ 94.5	\$ (293.1)	\$ (17.1)	\$ (182.2)	\$ (397.9)	\$ (0.8)	\$ (398.7)	\$ (349.9)	\$ (748.6)
<b>Select Period End Balances</b>									
Loans	\$ 7,800.4	\$ 1,790.9	\$ 2,408.3	\$ 4,628.0	\$ 16,627.6	\$ 3,755.8	\$ 20,383.4	\$	\$ 20,383.4
Credit balances of factoring clients			(1,224.9)		(1,224.9)		(1,224.9)		(1,224.9)
Assets held for sale	110.8	371.4		398.1	880.3	540.8	1,421.1		1,421.1

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

	<u>Corporate Finance</u>	<u>Transportation Finance</u>	<u>Trade Finance</u>	<u>Vendor Finance</u>	<u>Commercial Segments</u>	<u>Consumer</u>	<u>Total Segments</u>	<u>Corporate and Other</u>	<u>Total CIT</u>
Operating lease equipment, net	14.6	11,847.4		210.0	12,072.0		12,072.0		12,072.0
<b>Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011</b>									
Interest income	\$ 717.7	\$ 123.4	\$ 56.8	\$ 623.5	\$ 1,521.4	\$ 204.2	\$ 1,725.6	\$ 15.6	\$ 1,741.2
Interest expense	(554.9)	(663.6)	(74.3)	(408.4)	(1,701.2)	(144.0)	(1,845.2)	(262.9)	(2,108.1)
Provision for credit losses	(163.0)	(8.7)	(11.7)	(68.1)	(251.5)	(2.4)	(253.9)		(253.9)
Rental income on operating leases	14.1	1,007.2		216.8	1,238.1		1,238.1		1,238.1
Other income	362.6	110.1	120.3	145.8	738.8	10.7	749.5	(2.9)	746.6
Depreciation on operating lease equipment	(6.3)	(280.2)		(151.2)	(437.7)		(437.7)		(437.7)
Operating expenses	(169.4)	(120.4)	(82.8)	(234.3)	(606.9)	(49.7)	(656.6)	(13.2)	(669.8)
Loss on debt extinguishments								(146.6)	(146.6)
Income (loss) before (provision) benefit for income taxes	\$ 200.8	\$ 167.8	\$ 8.3	\$ 124.1	\$ 501.0	\$ 18.8	\$ 519.8	\$ (410.0)	\$ 109.8
<b>Select Period End Balances</b>									
Loans	\$ 6,734.6	\$ 1,347.7	\$ 2,551.7	\$ 4,300.1	\$ 14,934.1	\$ 6,883.3	\$ 21,817.4	\$	\$ 21,817.4
Credit balances of factoring clients			1,093.5		1,093.5		1,093.5		1,093.5
Assets held for sale	399.6	60.1		367.8	827.5	686.3	1,513.8		1,513.8
Operating lease equipment, net	40.1	10,923.3		225.4	11,188.8		11,188.8		11,188.8

Item 1: Consolidated Financial Statements 35

**Table of Contents**

**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

and

**Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk**

**OVERVIEW**

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Founded in 1908, CIT Group Inc. ( we , CIT or the Company ), a Delaware Corporation, provides commercial financing and leasing products and other financial services to small and middle market businesses across a wide variety of industries. CIT became a bank holding company ( BHC ) in December 2008 and CIT Bank, a Utah state-chartered bank, is the Company s principal bank subsidiary.

CIT operates primarily in North America, with additional locations in Europe, South America and Asia and has four commercial business segments Corporate Finance, Trade Finance, Transportation Finance and Vendor Finance. We also own and manage a pool of liquidating consumer loans, predominantly government guaranteed student loans, that are reported in the Consumer segment.

As of September 30, 2012 the Company had approximately 3,630 employees and over \$43 billion in assets.

*Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations* and *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk* contain financial terms that are relevant to our business. You can find a glossary of key terms used in Part I *Item 1. Business Section* in our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 (the 2011 Form 10-K ).

Management uses certain non-GAAP financial measures in its analysis of the financial condition and results of operations of the Company. See *Non-GAAP Financial Measurements* for a reconciliation of these to comparable accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ( GAAP ) measures.

### 2012 PRIORITIES AND PROGRESS

Our 2012 priorities were developed to further advance our broader strategic initiatives centered on improving our financial condition, enhancing our business model, and further improving our approach to risk management and control functions. During the third quarter, we reached an important strategic milestone as we completed the refinancing or repayment of all of the nearly \$31 billion of debt that was originally issued in the 2009 restructuring. The following highlights some of our other accomplishments by priority:

#### 1. Accelerate Growth and Business Development Initiatives

- n Increased new business activity. Both committed and funded volume increased from the prior-year period in all commercial segments. For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, committed volume rose 26% to \$7.7 billion. Third quarter 2012 committed new business volume was \$2.5 billion, up 7% from the prior-year quarter. For the nine months ended September 30, 2012 funded volume rose 33% to \$6.5 billion. Funded new business volume was \$2.2 billion in the third quarter, a 16% increase from the prior-year quarter.
- n Increased commercial assets. Commercial financing and leasing assets increased \$606 million from June 30, 2012, to \$29.6 billion, reflecting increases across all of the commercial segments. Commercial financing and leasing assets increased 6% since December 31, 2011 and 10% from a year ago.

#### 2. Improve Profitability While Maintaining Financial Strength

- n While we reported a pre-tax loss of \$749 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared to pre-tax income of \$110 million for the prior-year period, pre-tax income excluding debt redemption charges<sup>(1)</sup> was \$628 million, improved from \$488 million in 2011.
- n We reported a pre-tax loss for the 2012 third quarter of \$301 million driven by debt redemption charges<sup>(2)</sup>, compared to pre-tax income of \$7 million for the year ago quarter. We had pre-tax income excluding debt redemption charges of \$170 million, down from \$176 million in the prior-year quarter, driven by lower net FSA accretion and other income.

---

<sup>(1)</sup> *Pre-tax income excluding debt redemption charges is a non-GAAP measure. See Non-GAAP Financial Measurements for reconciliation of non-GAAP to GAAP financial information.*

<sup>(2)</sup> *Debt redemption charges include accelerated fresh start accounting debt discount amortization, loss on debt extinguishments and prepayment costs. See Non-GAAP Financial Measurements for components.*

**Table of Contents**

- n The weighted average coupon rates of outstanding deposits and long-term borrowings declined to 3.28% at September 30, 2012 from 4.84% at September 30, 2011 and 3.83% at June 30, 2012.
- n Deposits increased, both in dollars and as a percentage of total CIT funding. As of September 30, 2012, total CIT deposits were \$8.7 billion and comprised 28% of total CIT funding, compared to 15% and 23% at September 30, 2011 and June 30, 2012, respectively.
- n We continue to maintain our excess capital position. While Tier 1 and Total Capital ratios at September 30, 2012 of 16.7% and 17.5%, respectively, were down from June 30, 2012, and December 31, 2011, they remain well above regulatory requirements.

3. Expand Bank Assets and Funding

- n Total assets at CIT Bank increased to \$11.6 billion from \$7.5 billion at September 30, 2011 and \$10.0 billion at June 30, 2012. Commercial loans and leases of \$7.3 billion increased from \$2.9 billion at September 30, 2011 and \$6.3 billion at June 30, 2012.
- n Third quarter committed loan volume of \$1.7 billion at CIT Bank rose 38% from the year-ago period, of which nearly \$1.4 billion was funded.
- n CIT Bank deposits totaled \$8.6 billion at September 30, 2012, up from \$4.9 billion at September 30, 2011 and \$7.1 billion at June 30, 2012. The average interest rate on deposits at CIT Bank was 1.8% at September 30, 2012, down from 2.7% at September 30, 2011 and 2.0% at June 30, 2012. The primary driver of the higher balances resulted from raising internet deposits. Internet deposits originated in 2012 also included savings accounts. CIT Bank began offering on-line savings accounts in March 2012 to supplement the current range of CD offerings to consumers.

During the remainder of 2012 and into 2013, we will focus on growing earning assets, managing expenses and growing CIT Bank assets and deposits, as well as enhancing internal control functions and our relationships with our regulators. We are focused on meeting our profitability target of 1.5 – 2% of AEA. While we have made progress on some of the elements, we recognize it may take longer to achieve our target asset level given the current macro challenges and have therefore initiated a plan to reduce operating expenses in order to reach our operating expense target of 2 – 2.25% of AEA. We plan to reduce the quarterly run rate by \$15 million to \$20 million, which will be phased in over 2013 through improved operating efficiencies and expense reductions.

**2012 FINANCIAL OVERVIEW**

Our 2012 operating results reflected increased commercial business activity and debt redemption and refinancing activities. We achieved our goal of refinancing or redeeming all the approximately \$31 billion of debt incurred in the 2009 restructuring, including the remaining \$4.6 billion in the third quarter.

**Net loss** for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2012 totaled \$305 million and \$822 million, \$1.52 and \$4.09 per diluted share, largely influenced by accelerated FSA net discount/(premium) on debt extinguishments and repurchases, loss on debt extinguishments and prepayment costs (collectively debt redemption charges). These net losses compare to net losses of \$33 million for the 2011 third quarter, \$0.16 per diluted share, and \$17 million, \$0.08 per diluted share for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The 2012 amounts included debt redemption charges<sup>(2)</sup> of \$471 million in the third quarter and \$1.4 billion year to date related to the redemption of high cost debt, while the year-ago periods included debt redemption charges of \$169 million for the quarter and \$379 million for the year to date.

**Pre-tax loss** totaled \$301 million for the 2012 third quarter and \$749 million year to date, compared to pre-tax income of \$7 million for the 2011 third quarter and \$110 million for the nine months. Although down on a GAAP basis, pre-tax income excluding debt redemption charges was \$170 million for the quarter and \$628 million for the nine months, respectively, compared to \$176 million in the 2011 third quarter and \$488 million for the prior-year nine months. Pre-tax income excluding debt redemption charges and net FSA accretion/amortization<sup>(3)</sup> for the 2012 third quarter was \$103 million and \$377 million year to date, up from \$91 million in the 2011 third quarter and \$162 million for the prior-year nine months, driven by lower funding costs and lower credit costs. The 2012 quarter and year to date included net FSA costs of \$387 million and \$1.1 billion, primarily due to the acceleration of interest expense related to the redemption of high cost debt, while the 2011 third quarter and year to date included net FSA benefits of \$83 million and \$200 million.

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

<sup>(2)</sup> Debt redemption charges include accelerated fresh start accounting debt discount amortization, loss on debt extinguishments and prepayment costs. See *Non-GAAP Financial Measurements* for components.

<sup>(3)</sup> Pre-tax income excluding debt redemption charges and net FSA accretion/amortization is a non-GAAP measure. See *Non-GAAP Financial Measurements* for reconciliation of non-GAAP to GAAP financial information.

**Item 2:** Management's Discussion and Analysis and **Item 3:** Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk 37

### Table of Contents

The following table presents pre-tax results adjusted for debt related transaction costs and FSA accretion. This is a non-GAAP measurement.

#### **Impacts of FSA Accretion and Debt Refinancing Costs on Pre-tax Income (Loss)** (dollars in millions)

	Quarters Ended			Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,	June 30,	September 30,	September 30,	
	2012	2012	2011	2012	2011
Pre-tax income/(loss) reported	\$(301.2)	\$ (41.7)	\$ 6.8	\$ (748.6)	\$ 109.8
Accelerated FSA net discount/(premium) on debt extinguishments and repurchases	453.9	264.9	2.4	1,315.7	126.9
Debt related loss on debt extinguishments	16.8	21.5	146.6	61.2	146.6
Debt related prepayment costs			20.0		105.0
Pre-tax income excluding debt refinancing costs	169.5	244.7	175.8	628.3	488.3
Net FSA accretion (excluding debt related acceleration)	(66.5)	(125.6)	(84.9)	(251.7)	(326.8)
Pre-tax income excluding debt refinancing costs and FSA net accretion	\$ 103.0	\$ 119.1	\$ 90.9	\$ 376.6	\$ 161.5

*Net finance revenue*<sup>(4)</sup> ( NFR ) continues to be impacted by accelerated interest expense related to the redemption of high cost debt. The negative NFR for the 2012 third quarter and year to date was driven by the FSA discount accretion resulting from repayments of over \$15 billion of high cost debt for the nine months, including the remaining \$4.6 billion in the third quarter. NFR was \$184 million for the 2011 third quarter, \$85 million in the 2012 second quarter and \$434 million for the 2011 nine months. Average earning assets<sup>(4)</sup> ( AEA ) were \$32.3 billion in the 2012 third quarter, down \$1.4 billion from the year-ago quarter primarily due to asset sales and essentially unchanged from the 2012 second quarter as commercial asset growth was slightly outpaced by a sale of student loans that occurred later in the second quarter. Average commercial earning assets were \$27.9 billion and rose from both comparative periods. NFR as a percentage of AEA ( net finance margin or NFM ) was (1.59%) reflecting debt redemption costs, compared to 2.19% in the prior-year quarter and 1.05% in the second quarter of 2012. Excluding net FSA accretion and debt prepayment costs, net finance margin was 2.97% for the 2012 third quarter, improved from 1.58% in the year-ago quarter and essentially unchanged from the second quarter. The increase from the year-ago quarter was driven primarily by lower funding costs and the reduction of low yielding assets. When compared to the prior quarter, the benefits of lower funding costs were essentially offset by lower interest recoveries and other yield-related fees. Net operating lease revenue increased compared to the 2011 periods on higher assets in Transportation Finance but declined modestly from the 2012 second quarter. For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, net finance margin excluding net FSA accretion and debt prepayment costs was 2.65%, up from 1.46% last year. While other institutions may use net interest margin ( NIM ), defined as interest income less interest expense, we discuss NFR, which includes operating lease results, due to their significant impact on revenue and expense, including rental revenue and depreciation expense.

*Provision for credit losses* for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 was negligible, compared to \$47 million in the year-ago quarter and \$9 million last quarter. For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, the provision for credit losses was \$52 million, down from \$254 million last



## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

year. These favorable trends reflect the overall improvements in credit metrics, most notably the decline in net charge-offs.

**Other income** (excluding operating lease rentals) of \$81 million decreased \$162 million from the prior-year quarter and \$63 million sequentially, largely due to reduced gains on assets sold, lower counterparty receivable accretion, and fewer recoveries of loans charged off pre-emergence. Factoring commissions of \$33 million were down slightly from the prior-year quarter, reflecting lower factoring volume, and up sequentially, due to seasonality. Year to date, other income totaled \$475 million, down from \$747 million last year, reflecting a decline in gains on asset sales, recoveries of loans charged off pre-emergence and an increase in impairment charges on operating lease equipment held for sale.

**Operating expenses** were \$238 million and included \$5 million of restructuring-related costs. Operating expenses declined from the prior quarter but were up modestly from the year-ago quarter, as a decline in professional fees was offset by increases in costs related to raising deposits and technology costs. Headcount at September 30, 2012 was approximately 3,630, compared to approximately 3,480 a year ago and approximately 3,570 at June 30, 2012. Year to date, operating expenses totaled \$701 million, compared to \$670 million last year.

---

<sup>(4)</sup> *Net finance revenue and average earning assets are non-GAAP measures; see Non-GAAP Financial Measurements for a reconciliation of non-GAAP to GAAP financial information.*

38 CIT GROUP INC

---

### **Table of Contents**

**Provision for income taxes** in the third quarter was \$3 million, significantly lower than recent quarters. The decline from prior periods is the result of a greater proportion of earnings in countries with lower tax rates. For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, the provision for income taxes was \$71 million, down from \$124 million a year ago.

**Total assets** at September 30, 2012 were \$43.6 billion, up \$0.8 billion from June 30, 2012, but down from \$44.6 billion at September 30, 2011 as growth in the commercial portfolio was offset by sales and runoff of over \$3 billion of government-guaranteed student loans over the past four quarters. Commercial financing and leasing assets increased to \$29.6 billion, up \$2.6 billion from a year-ago and \$0.6 billion from June 30, 2012. Total loans rose approximately \$300 million during the quarter to \$20.4 billion, but declined \$1.4 billion from a year ago due to the decline in consumer assets. Operating lease equipment increased to \$12.1 billion, up nearly \$1 billion from September 30, 2011 and approximately \$0.2 billion since June 30, 2012, reflecting aircraft and rail car deliveries. Cash and short-term investments increased \$0.2 billion from June 30, 2012 to \$7.2 billion, as higher cash balances at CIT Bank, reflecting the success of raising deposits, more than offset a decline in cash in operating subsidiaries.

**Funded new business volume** of \$2.2 billion increased 16% from the prior-year quarter, while committed new business volume of \$2.5 billion increased 7%, reflecting strong increases in Corporate Finance and Vendor Finance. Compared to the second quarter, volume decreased in Corporate Finance and Vendor Finance, as well as in Transportation Finance, which had fewer scheduled deliveries. Funded new business volume was up 33% to \$6.5 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to last year, while committed new business volume of \$7.7 billion was up 26% for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. Trade Finance factoring volume of \$6.4 billion increased 8% sequentially, reflecting seasonal trends, but declined by approximately 6% from the year-ago quarter. Factoring volume of \$18.3 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, was down 4% from the 2011 period. The declines reflect slowing sales in the apparel sector.

**Credit metrics** reflected the continuation of favorable trends in the third quarter, as non-accrual loans declined both sequentially and from the prior-year quarter, and net charge-offs remained at low levels. Net charge-offs were \$18 million, or 0.36% as a percentage of average finance receivables, versus \$46 million (0.83%) in the year-ago quarter and \$17 million (0.33%) in the prior quarter. Net charge-offs in our commercial segments were 0.44% of average finance receivables, compared to 1.21% in the year-ago quarter and 0.42% in the prior quarter. These continued favorable levels were driven largely by Corporate Finance, as net charge-offs in this segment were below both comparison periods. The modest sequential increase in Vendor Finance charge-offs was related to the international portfolio, while the increase in Transportation Finance resulted from a loan secured by aviation equipment. Non-accrual loans further improved and were \$412 million, or 2.02% of finance receivables at September 30, 2012. This amount compares to \$914 million (4.19%) at September 30, 2011 and \$455 million (2.26%) at June 30, 2012. Non-accrual loans as a percentage of finance receivables in the commercial segments were 2.48% at September 30, 2012, significantly improved from 6.11% at September 30, 2011 and 2.80% at June 30, 2012. While there were sequential declines in both the Corporate Finance and Trade Finance segments, there was an increase in Transportation Finance due to the addition of one loan secured by commercial aircraft.

**Item 2:** Management's Discussion and Analysis and **Item 3:** Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk 39

**Table of Contents****NET FINANCE REVENUE**

The following tables present management's view of consolidated margin and include the net interest spread we make on loans and leased equipment, in dollars and as a percent of average earning assets.

**Net Finance Revenue** (dollars in millions)

	Quarters Ended			Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	September 30, 2012	June 30, 2012	September 30, 2011	2012	2011
Interest income	\$ 374.1	\$ 409.3	\$ 502.8	\$ 1,195.0	\$ 1,741.2
Rental income on operating leases	444.4	445.5	409.0	1,329.2	1,238.1
Finance revenue	818.5	854.8	911.8	2,524.2	2,979.3
Interest expense	(812.1)	(639.2)	(603.1)	(2,531.0)	(2,108.1)
Depreciation on operating lease equipment	(134.5)	(130.7)	(124.3)	(402.7)	(437.7)
Net finance revenue	\$ (128.1)	\$ 84.9	\$ 184.4	\$ (409.5)	\$ 433.5
Average Earning Assets ( AEA )	\$32,251.2	\$32,307.7	\$33,668.6	\$32,565.6	\$34,517.1
<b>As a % of AEA:</b>					
Interest income	4.64%	5.07%	5.97%	4.89%	6.73%
Rental income on operating leases	5.51%	5.51%	4.86%	5.44%	4.78%
Finance revenue	10.15%	10.58%	10.83%	10.33%	11.51%
Interest expense	(10.07)%	(7.91)%	(7.16)%	(10.36)%	(8.15)%
Depreciation on operating lease equipment	(1.67)%	(1.62)%	(1.48)%	(1.65)%	(1.69)%
Net finance revenue	(1.59)%	1.05%	2.19%	(1.68)%	1.67%
<b>As a % of AEA by Segment:</b>					
Corporate Finance	(0.31)%	2.21%	1.41%	(0.10)%	2.98%
Transportation Finance	(1.75)%	0.82%	2.82%	(1.86)%	2.05%
Trade Finance	(3.43)%	(1.31)%	0.77%	(3.65)%	(1.67)%
Vendor Finance	3.24%	4.56%	8.36%	2.08%	6.81%
Commercial Segments	(0.49)%	1.81%	3.40%	(1.08)%	4.65%
Consumer	0.17%	1.76%	1.16%	0.22%	1.02%

Average earning assets are less than comparable balances displayed later in this document in 'Select Quarterly Financial Data (Quarterly and year to date Average Balances) due to the exclusion of deposits with banks and other investments and the inclusion of credit balances of factoring clients.

Net finance revenue ( NFR ) and NFR as a percentage of AEA (Net Finance Margin or NFM ) are key metrics used by management to measure the profitability of our lending and leasing assets. NFR includes interest and fee income on our loans and capital leases, interest and dividend income on cash and investments, rental revenue and depreciation from our leased equipment as well as funding costs. Given our asset composition includes a high level of operating lease equipment (37% of average earning assets), NFM is a more appropriate metric than net interest margin ( NIM ) (a common metric used by other bank holding companies), as NIM does not fully reflect the earnings of our portfolio because it includes the impact of debt costs of all our assets but excludes the net revenue (rental revenue less depreciation) from operating leases.

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

NFR continues to be significantly impacted by FSA accretion. Net FSA accretion decreased NFR by \$391 million during the current quarter, which included higher debt FSA discount accretion resulting from repayments of high cost debt ( accelerated debt FSA discount accretion ), compared to an increase of \$56 million (including \$20 million of prepayment costs) in the prior-year quarter and a decrease of \$184 million in the prior quarter. FSA accretion related to loans and operating leases has declined significantly from the prior year. See *Fresh Start Accounting* section for FSA accretion details.

Interest income was down from the prior-year quarter and prior quarter primarily reflecting lower FSA accretion, a decline from the prior year in average earning assets and lower interest recoveries. FSA accretion was \$59 million in the current quarter, down from \$150 million in the prior-year quarter and \$77 million in the prior quarter. See *Fresh Start Accounting*. The 2012 year-to date benefit of \$227 million was well below the prior year benefit of \$618 million. The remaining accretable FSA discount on loans was \$401 million at September 30, 2012, down from \$831 million at September 30, 2011 and \$453 million at June 30, 2012. Compared to the prior-year quarter and year

40 CIT GROUP INC

### Table of Contents

to date, average earning asset balances were down from 2011 largely due to asset sales and repayments.

Interest expense for 2012 included accelerated debt FSA discount accretion resulting from repayments of over \$15 billion in high cost debt, including \$4.6 billion in the third quarter. Interest expense in the third quarter of 2012 included \$454 million of accelerated FSA debt accretion, while the prior-year quarter had \$22 million (including \$20 million of prepayment costs) and the prior quarter included \$265 million. Year to date, accelerated FSA debt accretion totaled \$1.3 billion compared to \$232 million (including \$105 million of prepayment penalties) last year.

As a result of our 2012 debt redemption activities and the increased proportion of deposits to our total funding, we reduced weighted average coupon rates of outstanding deposits and long-term borrowings to 3.28% at September 30, 2012, from 4.84% at September 30, 2011 and 3.83% at June 30, 2012.

The weighted average coupon rate of long-term borrowings at September 30, 2012 was 3.78%, compared to 5.14% at September 30, 2011 and 4.25% at June 30, 2012. Series C Notes and other senior unsecured notes, as well as secured financing transactions, are discussed in *Funding, Liquidity and Capital*. See *Select Financial Data* section for more information on debt rates.

Deposits have increased, both in dollars and proportion of total CIT funding (28% at September 30, 2012 compared to 23% and 15% at June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, respectively). The weighted average rate of total CIT deposits at September 30, 2012 was 1.93%, compared to 3.05% at September 30, 2011 and 2.15% at June 30, 2012.

As detailed in the following table, NFR as a percentage of AEA included significant impact from net FSA accretion and debt prepayment penalties.

### **Adjusted Net Finance Revenue as a % of AEA** (dollars in millions)

	Quarters Ended					
	September 30, 2012		June 30, 2012		September 30, 2011	
Net finance revenue	\$(128.1)	(1.59)%	\$ 84.9	1.05%	\$ 184.4	2.19%
FSA impact on net finance revenue	390.7	4.56%	184.1	1.97%	(56.2)	(0.82)%
Secured debt prepayment penalties					20.0	0.21%
Adjusted net finance revenue	\$ 262.6	2.97%	\$ 269.0	3.02%	\$ 148.2	1.58%

**Nine Months Ended September 30,**

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Nine Months Ended September 30,

	2012		2011	
Net finance revenue	\$ (409.5)	(1.68)%	\$ 433.5	1.67%
FSA impact on net finance revenue	1,121.1	4.33%	(113.5)	(0.57)%
Secured debt prepayment penalties			105.0	0.36%
Adjusted net finance revenue	\$ 711.6	2.65%	\$ 425.0	1.46%

Net finance revenue and Adjusted net finance revenue are non-GAAP measures, see *Non-GAAP Financial Measurements* for a reconciliation of non-GAAP to GAAP financial information.

NFM excluding FSA and prepayment penalties improved over the prior-year periods due to continued reduction in funding costs, a continued shift in asset mix to higher-yielding commercial assets, as well as other yield related items. The sequential change is more relevant as it reflects more consistent comparisons than with the prior year and is discussed in detail.

NFM excluding FSA and prepayment penalties was relatively flat to the prior quarter as the benefits from lower funding costs and higher suspended depreciation were offset by a reduction in interest recoveries and other yield-related fees. Lower funding costs resulted from a full benefit of our Q2 liability actions, increase in deposits, offset by negative carry on excess cash. Suspended depreciation on operating lease equipment held for sale, described below, benefits NFM until the asset is sold. The benefits totaled approximately 30 basis points (bps) in the current quarter, up from approximately 25 bps in the prior quarter. Interest recoveries, which resulted from non-accrual asset prepayments, sales and assets returning to accrual status, and certain other yield-related fees were lower this quarter.

Third quarter 2012 new business yields in Corporate Finance remained relatively stable within product types. Utilization rates in air and railcar assets in Transportation Finance remained strong as discussed below. Asset yields, which vary by vendor program, geography and types of credit in Vendor Finance, were generally stable in the third quarter of 2012 but there was some pricing pressure.

NFM also continues to be impacted by our changing business mix, in which cash and short-term investments and student loans continue to represent a significant but declining portion of the overall balance sheet. Continued growth in the relative proportion of commercial loans and leases and further declines in non-accrual loan balances, have contributed to the improved margin.

**Item 2:** Management's Discussion and Analysis and **Item 3:** Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk 41

**Table of Contents**

The following table sets forth the details on net operating lease revenue, before and after the impact of FSA:

**Net Operating Lease Revenue as a % of Average Operating Leases (AOL) (dollars in millions)**

	Quarters Ended			Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	September 30, 2012	June 30, 2012	September 30, 2011	2012	2011
	Rental income on operating leases	14.81%	14.85%	14.84%	14.76%
Depreciation on operating lease equipment	(4.48)%	(4.36)%	(4.51)%	(4.47)%	(5.27)%
Net operating lease revenue %	10.33%	10.49%	10.33%	10.29%	9.63%
Net operating lease revenue %, excluding FSA	7.24%	7.26%	6.84%	7.11%	6.34%
Net operating lease revenue	\$ 309.9	\$ 314.8	\$ 284.7	\$ 926.5	\$ 800.4

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

	Quarters Ended			Nine Months Ended	
Average Operating Lease Equipment ( AOL )	\$12,002.6	\$11,999.6	\$11,023.6	\$12,004.3	\$11,076.9

Net operating lease revenue<sup>(5)</sup> increased in amount compared to the 2011 periods on higher assets in Transportation Finance and benefited from lower depreciation expense in Vendor Finance (discussed further below). Sequentially, net operating lease revenue was down modestly. These factors also drive the increases in net operating lease revenue as a percent of AOL. Net operating lease revenue also reflects a benefit from net FSA accretion of \$45 million, \$47 million and \$48 million for the quarters ended September 30, 2012, September 30, 2011 and June 30, 2012, respectively and \$142 million and \$135 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Net operating lease revenue is primarily generated from the aircraft and rail transportation portfolios. Net operating lease revenue from these portfolios improved from the prior-year quarter, reflecting higher asset balances and strong asset utilization. Commercial aircraft utilization rates remain strong at 99% leased at September 30, 2012. In the rail portfolio, fleet utilization, including commitments, held steady at 98%, as rental rates remain attractive.

In addition, the 2012 results compared to last year benefited from lower depreciation expense, primarily in the Vendor Finance business, as a result of certain operating lease equipment being recorded as held for sale. Once a long-lived asset is classified as held for sale, depreciation expense is no longer recognized, but the asset is evaluated for impairment with any such charge recorded in other income. As a result, net operating lease revenue includes rental income on operating lease equipment classified as held for sale, but there is no related depreciation expense. The amount of depreciation not recognized on operating lease equipment in assets held for sale totaled \$26 million for the 2012 third quarter, \$23 million for the 2011 third quarter and \$21 million last quarter. Year to date, suspended depreciation totaled \$68 million for 2012 and \$48 million for 2011. The amount of impairment on operating lease assets held for sale totaled \$27 million for the 2012 third quarter, \$20 million for the 2011 third quarter and \$29 million last quarter. Year to date, impairment on assets held for sale totaled \$76 million for 2012 and \$40 million for 2011. Operating lease equipment in assets held for sale totaled \$532 million at September 30, 2012, \$208 million at September 30, 2011 and \$546 million at June 30, 2012, reflecting assets relating to aerospace equipment and the previously announced Dell Europe platform sale in Vendor Finance.

See *Non-interest Income*, *Impairment on assets held for sale*, *Expenses*, *Depreciation on operating lease equipment* and *Concentrations Operating Leases* for additional information.

<sup>(5)</sup> Net operating lease revenue and average operating lease equipment are non-GAAP measures; see reconciliation of non-GAAP to GAAP financial information.

42 CIT GROUP INC

---

### Table of Contents

### CREDIT METRICS

Portfolio credit quality trends remained stable at favorable levels in the third quarter, as nonaccrual loans declined from the prior-year quarter and sequentially, and charge-offs remained at low levels. The improvement was broad based across the segments. Management continues to believe that credit metrics are at, or near, cycle lows, and does not expect sustained improving trends from these levels. Given current levels, sequential quarterly movements in non-accrual loans and charge-offs in Corporate Finance, Trade Finance and Transportation Finance are subject to volatility around longer term trends if larger accounts migrate in and out of non-accrual status or get resolved. We do not expect as significant quarter-over-quarter movement in these metrics for Vendor Finance given the smaller ticket, flow nature of this business.

Non-accrual loans in our commercial segments declined 55% and 9% from the prior-year quarter and the prior quarter, respectively, to \$412 million, or 2.48% as a percentage of finance receivables. On a consolidated basis, including U.S. government-guaranteed student loans, the non-accrual percentage was 2.02% at September 30, 2012, versus 4.19% in the prior-year quarter and 2.26% last quarter. As a percentage of average finance receivables, net charge-offs in the Commercial segments were 0.44% (\$18 million), versus 1.21% (\$46 million) in the third quarter of 2011 and 0.42% (\$17 million) last quarter. For the nine months, net charge-offs in the Commercial segments were \$56 million (0.47%), versus \$239 million (2.03%) in 2011, with the improvement largely in Corporate Finance. For the year, recoveries were approximately

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

35% below 2011, with the majority of the reduction in Vendor Finance. See the tables that follow for additional details by segment.

There was no provision for credit losses in the third quarter, as a decline in required reserves essentially offset net charge-offs. The provision for credit losses for the 2012 quarter and year to date continued to compare favorably to the 2011 comparable periods, with variances largely reflecting lower net charge-offs. The sequential quarter decline reflects a reduction in non-specific reserves. The decline in required reserves in the past two quarters reflects improved portfolio credit quality, including the continued replacement of lower credit quality legacy assets with new origination volume.

As a result of adopting FSA, the allowance for loan losses at December 31, 2009 was eliminated and effectively recorded as discounts on loans as part of the fair value of finance receivables. A portion of the discount attributable to embedded credit losses was recorded as non-accretable discount and is utilized as such losses occur, primarily on impaired, nonaccrual loans. Any incremental deterioration of loans in this group above the remaining discount results in incremental provisions or charge-offs. Improvements or increases in forecasted cash flows in excess of the non-accretable discount will reduce any allowance on the loan established after emergence from bankruptcy. Once such allowance (if any) has been reduced and the account is returned to accruing status, the non-accretable discount is reclassified to accretable discount and is recorded as finance income over the remaining life of the account. For performing pre-emergence loans, an allowance for loan losses is established to the extent our estimate of inherent loss exceeds the remaining FSA discount. Given the reduction in discount due to accretion and asset liquidations since emergence, the FSA impact on the allowance for loan losses and reported credit metrics has reduced significantly and will continue to diminish.

Recoveries of loans charged off pre-emergence (2009 and prior) and loans charged off prior to transfer to held for sale are reflected in other income. For the quarters ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 and June 30, 2012, the balances totaled \$9 million, \$36 million and \$19 million, respectively. Comparable amounts for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 totaled \$38 million and \$94 million, respectively.

The allowance for loan losses is intended to provide for losses inherent in the portfolio based on estimates of the ultimate outcome of collection efforts, realization of collateral values, and other pertinent factors, such as estimation risk related to performance in prospective periods. We may make adjustments to the allowance depending on general economic conditions and specific industry weakness or trends in our portfolio credit metrics, including non-accrual loans and charge-off levels and realization rates on collateral. Our policy is to recognize losses through charge-offs when there is high likelihood of loss after considering the borrower's financial condition, underlying collateral and guarantees, and the finalization of collection activities.

Our allowance for loan losses includes: (1) specific reserves for impaired loans, (2) non-specific reserves for estimated losses inherent in non-impaired loans utilizing the Company's internal probability of default / loss given default ratings system to determine projected loss levels and (3) if necessary, a qualitative adjustment to the reserve for economic risks, industry and geographic concentrations, estimation risk, model imprecision and other factors not adequately captured in our methodology. Qualitative adjustments largely relate to instances where management believes that the Company's current risk ratings in selected portfolios do not fully reflect the corresponding inherent risk. The qualitative adjustments did not exceed 10% of the total allowance at any of the presented periods and are recorded by class and included in the allowance for loan losses.

### Item 2: Management's Discussion and Analysis and Item 3: Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk 43

---

#### Table of Contents

Management updated and enhanced its credit grading models in the quarter ended June 30, 2012 as part of our model development life cycle. These updates and enhancements did not have a significant impact on the allowance in the period. See *Risk Management* for additional discussion on the new model development and the allowance for loan losses.

The following table presents a roll forward of the allowance for loan losses and provides summarized components of the provision and allowance:

---

#### Allowance for Loan Losses and Provision for Credit Losses (dollars in millions)

---

Quarters Ended			Nine Months Ended September 30,
September 30,	June 30,	September 30,	

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

	Quarters Ended			Nine Months Ended	
	2012	2012	2011	2012	2011
<b>Allowance beginning of period</b>	\$ 414.2	\$ 420.0	\$ 424.0	\$ 407.8	\$ 416.2
Provision for credit losses <sup>(1)</sup>		8.9	47.4	51.5	253.9
Other <sup>(1)</sup>	1.7	2.1	(10.7)	(4.6)	(14.7)
<b>Net additions</b>	1.7	11.0	36.7	46.9	239.2
Gross charge-offs	(35.5)	(28.0)	(71.1)	(107.7)	(318.8)
Recoveries <sup>(2)</sup>	17.5	11.2	24.9	50.9	77.9
<b>Net Charge-offs</b>	(18.0)	(16.8)	(46.2)	(56.8)	(240.9)
<b>Allowance end of period</b>	\$ 397.9	\$ 414.2	\$ 414.5	\$ 397.9	\$ 414.5
<b>Loans</b>					
Commercial Segments	\$ 16,627.6	\$ 16,202.3	\$ 14,934.1		
Consumer	3,755.8	3,898.2	6,883.3		
Total loans	\$ 20,383.4	\$ 20,100.5	\$ 21,817.4		
<b>Allowance</b>					
Commercial Segments	\$ 397.9	\$ 414.2	\$ 414.5		
<b>Provision for Credit Losses</b>					
Specific reserves on commercial impaired loans	\$ 1.6	\$ 9.3	\$ (14.8)	\$ 0.9	\$ (63.2)
Non-specific reserves commercial	(19.6)	(17.2)	16.0	(6.2)	76.2
Net charge-offs commercial	18.0	16.6	45.6	56.3	238.5
Net charge-offs consumer		0.2	0.6	0.5	2.4
Total	\$	\$ 8.9	\$ 47.4	\$ 51.5	\$ 253.9
		<b>September 30, 2012</b>	<b>June 30, 2012</b>	<b>December 31, 2011</b>	
<b>Allowance for Loan Losses</b>					
Specific reserves on commercial impaired loans		\$ 55.5	\$ 53.9	\$ 54.6	
Non-specific reserves commercial		342.4	360.3	353.2	
Total		\$ 397.9	\$ 414.2	\$ 407.8	

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes amounts related to reserves on unfunded loan commitments, letters of credit and for deferred purchase agreements, which are reflected in other liabilities, as well as foreign currency translation adjustments.

<sup>(2)</sup> Recoveries for the quarters ended September 30, 2012, June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011 do not include \$8.6 million, \$18.6 million and \$36.3 million, respectively, and for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, do not include \$37.6 million and \$93.9 million, respectively, of recoveries of loans charged off pre-emergence and loans charged off prior to transfer to held for sale, which are included in Other Income.

**Table of Contents**

The allowance for loan losses as a percentage of finance receivables for the Commercial Segments (excluding U.S. government-guaranteed student loans) were 2.39%, 2.56% and 2.78% as of September 30, 2012, June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, respectively. This trend reflects the previously-mentioned replacement of lower credit quality legacy assets that had higher expected losses with new origination volume. Including the U.S. government guaranteed student loans, which have no related reserves, the comparable consolidated allowance for loan loss percentages were 1.95%, 2.06% and 1.90%, as of September 30, 2012, June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, respectively. The rate increase on

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

a consolidated basis from prior year reflects a decreasing proportion of student loans, as we have sold these assets over the past year.

Specific reserves were largely unchanged, as both charge-offs and incremental reserve adjustments were relatively modest over the periods shown and essentially offset.

FSA discount and allowance balances by segment are presented in the following table:

### Segment FSA Loans Discount and Allowance for Loan Losses (dollars in millions)

	Finance Receivables pre-FSA	FSA Accretable Discount	FSA Non- accretable Discount <sup>(1)</sup>	Finance Receivables post-FSA	Allowance for Loan Losses	Net Carrying Value
<b>September 30, 2012</b>						
Corporate Finance	\$ 7,909.3	\$ (90.0)	\$ (18.9)	\$ 7,800.4	\$(247.7)	\$ 7,552.7
Transportation Finance	1,840.8	(49.9)		1,790.9	(35.0)	1,755.9
Trade Finance	2,408.3			2,408.3	(30.9)	2,377.4
Vendor Finance	4,659.0	(26.1)	(4.9)	4,628.0	(84.3)	4,543.7
Commercial Segments	16,817.4	(166.0)	(23.8)	16,627.6	(397.9)	16,229.7
Consumer	3,994.0	(235.0)	(3.2)	3,755.8		3,755.8
Total	\$20,811.4	\$(401.0)	\$(27.0)	\$20,383.4	\$(397.9)	\$19,985.5
<b>June 30, 2012</b>						
Corporate Finance	\$ 7,684.3	\$(109.5)	\$(25.1)	\$ 7,549.7	\$(271.3)	\$ 7,278.4
Transportation Finance	1,813.1	(56.3)		1,756.8	(28.5)	1,728.3
Trade Finance	2,371.3			2,371.3	(29.8)	2,341.5
Vendor Finance	4,566.2	(35.2)	(6.5)	4,524.5	(84.6)	4,439.9
Commercial Segments	16,434.9	(201.0)	(31.6)	16,202.3	(414.2)	15,788.1
Consumer	4,153.0	(251.5)	(3.3)	3,898.2		3,898.2
Total	\$20,587.9	\$(452.5)	\$(34.9)	\$20,100.5	\$(414.2)	\$19,686.3
<b>December 31, 2011</b>						
Corporate Finance	\$ 7,089.2	\$(178.7)	\$(47.8)	\$ 6,862.7	\$(262.2)	\$ 6,600.5
Transportation Finance	1,564.0	(77.0)		1,487.0	(29.3)	1,457.7
Trade Finance	2,431.4			2,431.4	(29.0)	2,402.4
Vendor Finance	4,495.9	(62.8)	(11.4)	4,421.7	(87.3)	4,334.4
Commercial Segments	15,580.5	(318.5)	(59.2)	15,202.8	(407.8)	14,795.0
Consumer	4,989.3	(303.3)	(3.3)	4,682.7		4,682.7
Total	\$20,569.8	\$(621.8)	\$(62.5)	\$19,885.5	\$(407.8)	\$19,477.7

<sup>(1)</sup> Non-accretable discount includes certain accretable discount amounts relating to non-accrual loans for which accretion has been suspended.

**Item 2:** Management's Discussion and Analysis and **Item 3:** Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk 45

### Table of Contents

The following table presents charge-offs, by business segment. See *Results by Business Segment* for additional information.

### **Charge-offs as a Percentage of Average Finance Receivables (dollars in millions)**



Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

	Quarters Ended						Nine Months Ended			
	September 30, 2012		June 30, 2012		September 30, 2011		September 30, 2012		September 30, 2011	
<b>Gross Charge-offs</b>										
Corporate Finance	\$ 10.9	0.57%	\$ 7.6	0.41%	\$45.6	2.66%	\$ 36.5	0.66%	\$220.6	4.01%
Transportation Finance	2.9	0.67%	0.9	0.22%			11.7	0.93%	0.7	0.07%
Trade Finance	3.2	0.53%	1.9	0.33%	4.3	0.66%	6.6	0.37%	14.6	0.78%
Vendor Finance	18.5	1.62%	17.2	1.54%	20.3	1.86%	51.9	1.54%	79.6	2.36%
Commercial Segments	35.5	0.87%	27.6	0.69%	70.2	1.85%	106.7	0.90%	315.5	2.69%
Consumer			0.4	0.03%	0.9	0.05%	1.0	0.03%	3.3	0.06%
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$35.5</b>	<b>0.71%</b>	<b>\$28.0</b>	<b>0.55%</b>	<b>\$71.1</b>	<b>1.28%</b>	<b>\$107.7</b>	<b>0.71%</b>	<b>\$318.8</b>	<b>1.83%</b>
<b>Recoveries<sup>(1)</sup></b>										
Corporate Finance	\$ 5.9	0.31%	\$ 1.1	0.06%	\$ 4.7	0.28%	\$ 18.3	0.33%	\$ 24.3	0.44%
Transportation Finance									0.1	
Trade Finance	3.2	0.53%	0.4	0.07%	2.5	0.38%	4.0	0.22%	10.5	0.56%
Vendor Finance	8.4	0.74%	9.5	0.85%	17.4	1.60%	28.1	0.83%	42.1	1.25%
Commercial Segments	17.5	0.43%	11.0	0.27%	24.6	0.64%	50.4	0.43%	77.0	0.66%
Consumer			0.2	0.01%	0.3	0.02%	0.5	0.01%	0.9	0.02%
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$17.5</b>	<b>0.35%</b>	<b>\$11.2</b>	<b>0.22%</b>	<b>\$24.9</b>	<b>0.45%</b>	<b>\$ 50.9</b>	<b>0.34%</b>	<b>\$ 77.9</b>	<b>0.45%</b>
<b>Net Charge-offs<sup>(1)</sup></b>										
Corporate Finance	\$ 5.0	0.26%	\$ 6.5	0.35%	\$40.9	2.38%	\$ 18.2	0.33%	\$196.3	3.57%
Transportation Finance	2.9	0.67%	0.9	0.22%			11.7	0.93%	0.6	0.07%
Trade Finance			1.5	0.26%	1.8	0.28%	2.6	0.15%	4.1	0.22%
Vendor Finance	10.1	0.88%	7.7	0.69%	2.9	0.26%	23.8	0.71%	37.5	1.11%
Commercial Segments	18.0	0.44%	16.6	0.42%	45.6	1.21%	56.3	0.47%	238.5	2.03%
Consumer			0.2	0.02%	0.6	0.03%	0.5	0.02%	2.4	0.04%
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$18.0</b>	<b>0.36%</b>	<b>\$16.8</b>	<b>0.33%</b>	<b>\$46.2</b>	<b>0.83%</b>	<b>\$ 56.8</b>	<b>0.37%</b>	<b>\$240.9</b>	<b>1.38%</b>

<sup>(1)</sup> Net charge-offs do not include recoveries of loans charged off pre-emergence and loans charged off prior to transfer to held for sale, which are recorded in Other Income.

Gross and net charge-offs were well below the prior-year periods, reflective of our improved portfolio credit quality. Reported recoveries (recoveries on post emergence charge-offs), while down from the prior year, remained relatively strong at \$18 million and \$51 million for the current quarter and nine months, respectively.

The majority of the improvement was in Corporate Finance, as the prior year included high charge-offs from loans in the energy sector. Trade Finance continued to experience low levels of customer and client charge-offs during the current period. The charge-offs in Transportation Finance were related to an aerospace account secured by aviation equipment. The Vendor Finance net charge-off trends reflected a decline in recoveries and a modest increase in international charge-offs in the third quarter.

**Table of Contents**

The tables below present information on non-performing loans, which includes non-performing loans in assets held for sale for each period:

**Non-accrual and Accruing Past Due Loans** (dollars in millions)

	September 30, 2012	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
<b>Non-accrual loans</b>			
U.S.	\$ 323.1	\$ 409.4	\$ 623.3
Foreign	88.6	45.1	77.8
Commercial Segments	411.7	454.5	701.1
Consumer	0.3	0.4	0.9
Non-accrual loans	\$ 412.0	\$ 454.9	\$ 702.0
<b>Troubled Debt Restructurings</b>			
U.S.	\$ 295.3	\$ 307.5	\$ 427.5
Foreign	26.2	32.8	17.7
Restructured loans	\$ 321.5	\$ 340.3	\$ 445.2
<b>Accruing loans past due 90 days or more</b>			
Government guaranteed accruing student loans past due 90 days or more	\$ 248.1	\$ 251.1	\$ 390.3
Other accruing loans past due 90 days or more	10.7	2.0	2.2
Accruing loans past due 90 days or more	\$ 258.8	\$ 253.1	\$ 392.5

**Segment Non-accrual Loans as a Percentage of Finance Receivables** (dollars in millions)

	September 30, 2012		June 30, 2012		December 31, 2011	
Corporate Finance	\$ 255.6	3.28%	\$ 315.9	4.18%	\$ 497.9	7.26%
Transportation Finance	55.2	3.08%	17.3	0.99%	45.0	3.03%
Trade Finance	27.2	1.13%	47.8	2.01%	75.3	3.10%
Vendor Finance	73.7	1.59%	73.5	1.62%	82.9	1.88%
Commercial Segments	411.7	2.48%	454.5	2.80%	701.1	4.61%
Consumer	0.3	0.01%	0.4	0.01%	0.9	0.02%
Total	\$ 412.0	2.02%	\$ 454.9	2.26%	\$ 702.0	3.53%

The decline in non-accrual loans continued in the third quarter, as non-accrual loans as a percentage of finance receivables in the commercial segments were 2.48% at September 30, 2012, improved from 2.80% last quarter and 4.61% at December 31, 2011. The improvement was driven largely by Corporate Finance and Trade Finance, as declines in Vendor Finance were more modest and Transportation Finance reflected the addition of one loan secured by commercial aircraft during the quarter.

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

The decline in Corporate Finance from the prior year included the benefit of a multi-phased structured sale that was completed in the 2012 first quarter. The further improvement in Corporate Finance, and the declines in Trade Finance over the past two quarters, reflected liquidations, successful work-out efforts, accounts returned to accrual status following a period of improved performance and a relatively low level of migration of new accounts into non-accrual status.

Approximately 77% of our non-accrual accounts were current in payments at September 30, 2012, and our impaired loan carrying value (including FSA discount, specific reserves and charge-offs) to estimated outstanding contractual balances approximated 68%. For this purpose, impaired loans are comprised principally of non-accrual loans over \$500,000 and troubled debt restructurings ( TDRs ).

**Item 2:** Management's Discussion and Analysis and **Item 3:** Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk 47

### Table of Contents

#### **Foregone Interest on Non-accrual Loans and Troubled Debt Restructurings** (dollars in millions)

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012			Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011		
	U.S.	Foreign	Total	U.S.	Foreign	Total
Interest revenue that would have been earned at original terms	\$ 70.0	\$ 9.4	\$ 79.4	\$ 153.8	\$ 19.1	\$ 172.9
Less: Interest recorded	17.4	2.6	20.0	18.0	4.3	22.3
Foregone interest revenue	\$ 52.6	\$ 6.8	\$ 59.4	\$ 135.8	\$ 14.8	\$ 150.6

The Company periodically modifies the terms of loans / finance receivables in response to borrowers' difficulties. Modifications that include a financial concession to the borrower, which otherwise would not have been considered, are accounted for as troubled debt restructurings. For accounts that were modified but not considered to be TDRs, it was determined that no concessions had been granted by CIT to the borrower. Borrower compliance with the modified terms is the primary measurement that we use to determine the success of these programs.

The tables that follow reflect loan carrying values as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 of accounts that have been modified.

#### **Troubled Debt Restructurings and Modifications** (dollars in millions)

	September 30, 2012			December 31, 2011		
	Excluding FSA	Including FSA	% Compliant <sup>(1)</sup>	Excluding FSA	Including FSA	% Compliant <sup>(1)</sup>
<b>Troubled Debt Restructurings</b>						
Deferral of principal and/or interest	\$ 296.9	\$ 280.5	94%	\$ 461.8	\$ 394.8	94%
Debt forgiveness	5.2	4.9	98%	17.9	12.5	96%
Interest rate reductions	15.1	15.0	100%	24.6	19.0	100%
Covenant relief and other	23.2	21.1	79%	27.0	18.9	77%
Total TDRs	\$ 340.4	\$ 321.5	93%	\$ 531.3	\$ 445.2	94%
Percent non accrual	37%	35%		66%	63%	
<b>Modifications<sup>(2)</sup></b>						
Extended maturity	\$ 148.0		97%	\$ 183.6		100%
Covenant relief	148.8		100%	157.4		100%

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

	September 30, 2012		December 31, 2011	
Interest rate increase/additional collateral	78.1	100%	14.9	100%
Deferment of principal			0.3	100%
Other	49.2	70%	120.4	100%
Total Modifications	\$ 424.1	96%	\$ 476.6	100%
Percent non-accrual	30%		10%	

(1) % Compliant is calculated using carrying values including FSA for Troubled Debt Restructurings and carrying values excluding FSA for Modifications.

(2) Table depicts the predominant element of each modification, which may contain several of the characteristics listed.

See Note 2 Loans for additional information regarding TDRs.

48 CIT GROUP INC

**Table of Contents**

**NON-INTEREST INCOME**

**Non-interest Income** (dollars in millions)

	Quarters Ended			Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	September 30, 2012	June 30, 2012	September 30, 2011	2012	2011
Rental income on operating leases	\$444.4	\$445.5	\$409.0	\$1,329.2	\$1,238.1
Other Income:					
Gains on loan and portfolio sales	5.5	26.5	53.7	177.4	221.6
Factoring commissions	33.1	28.9	35.5	94.3	100.2
Gains on sales of leasing equipment	33.3	23.2	72.8	76.0	129.8
Counterparty receivable accretion	3.3	44.8	26.3	57.1	86.4
Fee revenues	16.1	18.3	18.3	51.4	60.2
Recoveries of loans charged off pre-emergence and loans charged off prior to transfer to held for sale	8.6	18.6	36.3	37.6	93.9
Other revenues	3.0	12.8	29.2	33.4	65.8
Gain on investment sales	5.0	4.4	8.5	28.5	35.7
(Losses) gains on derivatives and foreign currency exchange	1.0	(4.6)	(17.5)	(2.9)	(3.7)
Impairment on assets held for sale	(27.7)	(28.9)	(20.3)	(78.2)	(43.3)
Total other income	81.2	144.0	242.8	474.6	746.6
Total non-interest income	\$525.6	\$589.5	\$651.8	\$1,803.8	\$1,984.7

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Total Non-interest Income includes Rental Income on Operating Leases and Other income. The change from the prior quarter in *Rental income on operating leases* is discussed in *Net Finance Revenues and Financing and Leasing Assets Results by Business Segment*. See also *Concentrations Operating Leases* for additional information on operating leases. The declines in Other Income for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2012 reflect the following:

*Gains on loan and portfolio sales* fluctuate based on the volume and types of loans sold. Sales activity for the 2012 third quarter totaled nearly \$85 million and was primarily in Corporate Finance (\$5 million gain). Sales activity for the 2012 second quarter totaled over \$1.1 billion and consisted of \$1.1 billion in Consumer (primarily student loans; \$14 million gain) and \$0.1 billion in Corporate Finance (\$11 million gain). Third quarter 2011 sales totaled approximately \$260 million and included \$136 million in Vendor Finance, most of which were finance receivables in Europe, and \$121 million in Corporate Finance.

*Factoring commissions* increased sequentially reflecting seasonal trends but declined from prior year comparable periods on lower factoring volume.

*Gains on sales of leasing equipment* resulted from sales of \$277 million of equipment in the current quarter as part of our normal fleet and residual management activities, versus \$341 million last quarter and \$466 million in the 2011 third quarter. Equipment sales in the current quarter consisted of \$134 million in Transportation Finance assets (mostly aerospace related), \$81 million in Corporate Finance assets and \$62 million in Vendor Finance assets. Gains as a percentage of equipment sold increased from last quarter, largely related to Transportation Finance sales, and decreased slightly from prior year quarter. Gains vary based on the type and age of equipment sold, among other factors.

*Counterparty receivable accretion* primarily relates to the FSA accretion of a fair value discount on the receivable from Goldman Sachs International (GSI) related to the GSI Facilities (as defined in *Funding, Liquidity and Capital*). The discount is accreted into income over the expected term of the payout of the associated receivables. FSA accretion on the counterparty receivable was accelerated during the 2012 second quarter to reflect a return of cash to CIT due to higher valuation of pledged assets in the GSI Facilities. See *Fresh Start Accounting, Funding, Liquidity and Capital* and *Note 5 Long-term Borrowings* and *Note 6 Derivative Financial Instruments* in *Item 1 Consolidated Financial Statements* for information on GSI Facilities.

*Fee revenues* are primarily comprised of servicing fees, letters of credit fees, documentation and wire transfer fees and advisory fees, mainly in Corporate Finance.

*Recoveries of loans charged off pre-emergence and loans charged off prior to transfer to held for sale* reflects repayments or other workout resolutions on loans charged off prior to emergence from bankruptcy and loans charged off prior to classification as held for sale. Unlike recoveries on loans charged off after our restructuring, these recoveries are recorded as other income, not as a reduction to the provision for loan losses. The decrease from the 2012 second quarter is due to lower recoveries in Corporate Finance, while the decline from the prior year reflects a general downward trend as the Company moves further away from its emergence date.

**Item 2:** Management's Discussion and Analysis and **Item 3:** Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk 49

---

### Table of Contents

*Other revenues* include items that are more periodic in nature, such as proceeds received in excess of carrying value on non-accrual accounts held for sale, which were repaid or had another workout resolution, and insurance proceeds in excess of carrying value on damaged leased equipment, and also includes insurance premiums earned as well as income from joint ventures. The current quarter amount is down from the comparable 2011 quarter, which included proceeds received in excess of carrying value on non-accrual accounts held for sale of \$15 million as compared to \$1 million in the current quarter. Other revenues for the first nine-months of 2011 also includes \$11 million in the second quarter 2011 related to a change in the aircraft order book and corresponding acceleration of FSA.

*Gains on investment sales* reflect sales of equity investments, primarily in Corporate Finance. 2012 year-to-date gains include an \$11 million gain in the first quarter related to securities in the Corporate Finance portfolio sale.

*(Losses) gains on derivatives and foreign currency exchange* are largely driven by transactional exposures and economic hedges that do not qualify for hedge accounting, which resulted in a net \$1 million gain for the current quarter. The net loss in the second quarter of 2012 and third quarter of 2011 reflected unfavorable currency movements offsetting net gains on derivative instruments. See *Note 6 Derivative Financial Instruments* for additional information on derivatives.

*Impairment on assets held for sale* in the third quarter 2012 primarily included \$20 million of impairment charges related to Vendor Finance operating lease equipment that was transferred to held for sale in 2011 and \$6 million related to aerospace operating lease equipment. The prior

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

quarter primarily included \$20 million related to Vendor Finance operating lease equipment and \$9 million of Transportation Finance equipment, mostly aerospace assets. Third quarter 2011 impairment of \$20 million related primarily to Vendor Finance operating lease equipment. The year-to-date impairment is also primarily related to Vendor Finance with \$60 million for 2012 and \$40 million for 2011, and also includes Transportation equipment impairment of \$16 million in 2012 and none in 2011. When a long-lived asset is classified as held for sale, depreciation expense is suspended and the asset is evaluated for impairment with any such charge recorded in other income. (See *Expenses* for related discussion on *depreciation on operating lease equipment*.)

### EXPENSES

#### Other Expenses (dollars in millions)

	Quarters Ended			Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2012	June 30, 2012	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2012	September 30, 2011
Depreciation on operating lease equipment	\$ 134.5	\$ 130.7	\$ 124.3	\$ 402.7	\$ 437.7
Salaries and general operating expenses:					
Compensation and benefits	138.5	136.7	136.1	408.8	377.4
Technology	19.4	17.8	16.4	56.0	53.2
Professional fees	18.1	13.3	29.6	51.4	95.6
Net occupancy expense	9.2	9.8	9.9	28.1	29.7
Provision for severance and facilities exiting activities	5.0	1.4	0.5	10.9	8.0
Other expenses	47.3	61.2	33.9	145.8	105.9
Operating expenses	237.5	240.2	226.4	701.0	669.8
Loss on debt extinguishments	16.8	21.5	146.6	61.2	146.6
Total other expenses	\$ 388.8	\$ 392.4	\$ 497.3	\$ 1,164.9	\$ 1,254.1
Headcount	3,630	3,570	3,480		

*Depreciation on operating lease equipment* is recognized on owned equipment over the lease term or estimated useful life of the asset. Impairments recorded on equipment held in portfolio are reported as depreciation expense. Depreciation expense is suspended on operating lease equipment once it is transferred to held for sale. While in held for sale, the Company tests for impairment, and charges are recorded in Other Income. The amount of depreciation not recognized on operating lease equipment in assets held for sale, which mostly relates to Vendor Finance, totaled \$26 million for the third quarter 2012 (\$20 million for Vendor Finance and \$6 million for Transportation Finance assets), \$21 million last quarter and \$23 million for the third quarter 2011. Year to date, suspended depreciation totaled \$68 million for 2012 (\$60 million for Vendor Finance and \$8 million for Transportation Finance assets) and \$48 million for 2011. Depreciation expense is also driven by asset mix. The Transportation Finance operating lease equipment portfolio has been growing, while the shorter-term portfolios in Vendor Finance and Corporate Finance have declined. Depreciation expense includes a component of FSA adjustments. FSA adjustments reduced

50 CIT GROUP INC

#### Table of Contents

depreciation expense by \$50 million in the current quarter, \$54 million last quarter, and \$59 million in the 2011 third quarter. Year to date, depreciation FSA adjustments totaled \$161 million for 2012 and \$181 million for 2011. See *Net Finance Revenues*. See also *Financing and Leasing Assets Results by Business Segment* and *Concentrations Operating Leases* for additional information.

*Operating expenses* reflect the following:

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

- n *Compensation and benefits* increased slightly from last quarter and the prior year quarter. Headcount at September 30, 2012 was up 4% from the prior-year quarter and less than 2% sequentially.
- n *Professional fees* included legal and other professional fees such as tax, audit, and consulting services. The sequential quarter increase is the result of a reduction in second quarter expenses due to amounts received on favorable legal and tax resolutions, while the decline from the 2011 periods reflects lower consulting costs for risk management and other projects.
- n *Provision for severance and facilities exiting activities* reflects various organization efficiency and cost reduction initiatives. Severance costs include employee termination benefits incurred in conjunction with these initiatives. The facility exiting activities primarily relate to location closings and facility consolidation charges. The current quarter provision primarily relates to severance.
- n *Other expenses* in the prior quarter included \$14 million for the establishment of an indemnification reserve related to pre-emergence consumer asset sales that occurred prior to 2005. Bank deposit related expenses were \$10 million, down slightly from the prior quarter, and year-to-date these costs are up approximately \$20 million over 2011.

*Losses on debt extinguishments* in the current and prior quarter reflect accelerated fees and underwriting costs related to liability management actions taken during the quarters. The 2011 third quarter loss is primarily due to the write-off of original issue discount and fees associated with the repayment of the first lien term loan.

---

### FRESH START ACCOUNTING

Upon emergence from bankruptcy in 2009, CIT applied Fresh Start Accounting (FSA) in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America (GAAP), which is detailed in Note 1 Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies and Note 26 Fresh Start Accounting of our 2011 Form 10-K. Accretion and amortization of certain FSA adjustments are reflected in operating results as briefly described below.

FSA remains a considerable factor on our Net Finance Revenue, while the impact on Credit Metrics trends has lessened. Net finance revenue reflects the accretion of the FSA adjustments to the loans and leases, as well as to our debt. As the FSA related to debt redemptions diminishes, the remaining amortization of long-term borrowings FSA discount (most of which is on secured borrowings) will more closely match the accretion of FSA discount on loans, therefore, reducing volatility of net finance revenue.

Given the impact of FSA on CIT's financial statements and to a lesser extent, credit metrics, the results are generally not comparable with those of other financial institutions.

The following table presents FSA adjustments by balance sheet caption:

---

#### Accretable Fresh Start Accounting (Discount) / Premium (dollars in millions)

	September 30, 2012	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011	September 30, 2011
Loans	\$ (401.0)	\$ (452.5)	\$ (621.8)	\$ (830.7)
Operating lease equipment, net	(2,610.6)	(2,670.9)	(2,803.1)	(2,837.5)
Intangible assets, net	37.3	42.3	63.6	73.5
Other assets	(56.0)	(59.3)	(113.1)	(139.1)
Total assets	\$(3,030.3)	\$(3,140.4)	\$(3,474.4)	\$(3,733.8)
Deposits	\$ 5.2	\$ 7.1	\$ 14.5	\$ 19.3
Long-term borrowings	(519.8)	(1,016.3)	(2,018.9)	(2,288.6)
Other liabilities	4.4	6.5	25.7	37.3
Total liabilities	\$ (510.2)	\$(1,002.7)	\$(1,978.7)	\$(2,232.0)

Interest income is increased by the FSA accretion on loans, which as of September 30, 2012 primarily relates to Consumer (\$0.2 billion). Due to the contractual maturity of the underlying loans, the majority of the accretion on consumer loans will be over a longer time period, generally 10

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

years, while most commercial loan accretion income will be realized within the next 2 years. In addition to the yield related accretion on loans, the decline in accretable balance has been accelerated, primarily as a result of asset sales. The non-accretable discount was \$27 million at September 30, 2012.

**Item 2:** Management's Discussion and Analysis and **Item 3:** Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk 51

### Table of Contents

Interest expense is increased by the accretion of the FSA balance on long-term borrowings, which is recognized over the time to contractual maturity of the underlying debt. We have repaid debt prior to its contractual maturity, and the repayments were accounted for as a debt extinguishment, therefore accretion of the FSA discount on the underlying debt was accelerated. If the repayments had been accounted for as a debt modification, the FSA discount would have been amortized over the term of the new financing on an effective yield method. The maturity dates for the secured borrowings range from 2012 to 2040. Approximately 80% of the discount is expected to be recognized by the end of 2021.

The following table summarizes the estimated scheduled FSA accretion on the secured borrowings, and other debt and deposits, as of September 30, 2012. The table assumes repayment of long-term borrowings and deposits on their scheduled due date, but could be different as described above. Differences will occur if contractual cash flows related to assets underlying the secured borrowings are received faster than obligated. The differences from the estimates could vary materially and are inherently subject to significant uncertainties that may be beyond the Company's control.

### **FSA Long-term Borrowings and Deposit (Discount) / Premium Accretion** (dollars in millions)

	<b>Outstanding FSA Balance</b>	<b>Remaining 2012</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2014</b>	<b>2015</b>	<b>2016 and Thereafter</b>
Secured Borrowings	\$(471.8)	\$(12.5)	\$(70.1)	\$(62.3)	\$(53.4)	\$(273.5)
Other Debt	(48.0)	(0.6)	(2.1)	(2.4)	(2.8)	(40.1)
Deposits	5.2	1.7	4.3	0.6	(0.4)	(1.0)
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$(514.6)</b>	<b>\$(11.4)</b>	<b>\$(67.9)</b>	<b>\$(64.1)</b>	<b>\$(56.6)</b>	<b>\$(314.6)</b>

Depreciation expense is reduced by the accretion of the operating lease equipment discount, essentially all of which is related to Transportation Finance aircraft and rail operating lease assets. We estimated an economic average life before disposal of these assets of approximately 15 years for aerospace assets and 30 years for rail assets.

In conjunction with FSA, operating lease rentals were adjusted as of the Convenience Date. As a result, an intangible asset was recorded to adjust these contracts that were, in aggregate, above then current market rental rates. These adjustments (net) will be amortized, thereby lowering rental income (a component of Other Income) over the remaining term of the lease agreements on a straight line basis. The majority of the remaining accretion has a contractual maturity of less than two years.

Other assets relates primarily to a discount on receivables from GSI in conjunction with the GSI Facilities. The discount is accreted into income over the expected payout of the associated receivables. See *Funding, Liquidity and Capital*, Note 5 *Long-term Borrowings*, and Note 6 *Derivative Financial Instruments* in *Item 1 Consolidated Financial Statements* for information on GSI Facilities.

52 CIT GROUP INC

### Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the impact of accretion and amortization of FSA adjustments on the Consolidated Statement of Operations for the quarters and nine months ended September 30:



**Accretion/(Amortization) of Fresh Start Accounting Adjustments** (dollars in millions)**Quarter Ended September 30, 2012**

	<b>Corporate Finance</b>	<b>Transportation Finance</b>	<b>Trade Finance</b>	<b>Vendor Finance</b>	<b>Consumer</b>	<b>Corporate and Other</b>	<b>Total CIT</b>
Interest income	\$ 26.9	\$ 5.9	\$	\$ 10.6	\$ 15.7	\$	\$ 59.1
Interest expense	(76.7)	(246.1)	(16.7)	(61.4)	(22.9)	(70.9)	(494.7)
Rental income on operating leases		(4.9)					(4.9)
Depreciation expense	0.6	48.8		0.4			49.8
FSA-net finance revenue	(49.2)	(196.3)	(16.7)	(50.4)	(7.2)	(70.9)	(390.7)
Other income	2.5	0.5			0.3		3.3
Total	\$(46.7)	\$(195.8)	\$(16.7)	\$(50.4)	\$(6.9)	\$(70.9)	\$(387.4)

**Item 2:** Management's Discussion and Analysis and **Item 3:** Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk 53

**Table of Contents****INCOME TAXES****Income Tax Data** (dollars in millions)

	<b>Quarters Ended</b>			<b>Nine Months Ended September 30,</b>	
	<b>September 30, 2012</b>	<b>June 30, 2012</b>	<b>September 30, 2011</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>
Provision for income taxes, before discrete items	\$ 5.0	\$ 14.1	\$ 38.4	\$55.0	\$ 111.2
Discrete items (Tax liability releases/NOL valuation adjustments/Changes in uncertain tax liabilities)	(2.1)	13.7	1.8	15.6	12.6
Provision for income taxes	\$ 2.9	\$ 27.8	\$ 40.2	\$70.6	\$ 123.8
Effective tax rate Total	(1.0)%	(66.7)%	591.2%	(9.4)%	112.8%
Effective tax rate Total excluding discrete items	(1.7)%	(33.8)%	564.7%	(7.3)%	101.3%

The Company's third quarter tax provision of \$2.9 million decreased from \$27.8 million in the prior quarter and \$40.2 million in the prior-year quarter. The decrease was primarily driven by a reduction in foreign tax expense on the international income and was mainly related to the change in geographic mix of earnings and a benefit related to discrete items.

The Company's tax provision of \$70.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 decreased from \$123.8 million in the prior year period. This decrease was primarily a result of the reduction in foreign tax expense on international income due to the change in the geographic mix of earnings. The year-to-date provision reflects income tax expense on the earnings of certain international operations and no income tax benefit recorded on the domestic losses. The Company has not recognized any tax benefit on its domestic losses due to uncertainties related to future utilization of net operating loss carry-forwards. The actual year-end 2012 effective tax rate may vary from the currently projected tax rate

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

due to changes in the mix of domestic and international earnings.

The tax provision for the third quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2012 included \$(2.1) million and \$15.6 million, respectively, of discrete tax expense (benefit) items. Included in the discrete items for the year to date period is \$31.6 million of incremental taxes mainly associated with international audit settlements and an increase in a U.S. deferred tax liability on certain indefinite life assets that cannot be used as a source of future taxable income in the assessment of the domestic valuation allowance. The year-to-date tax provision also includes a \$16 million reduction to the provision for income taxes associated with the correction of certain foreign tax accruals relating to prior periods. The net discrete tax expense also included a tax benefit of \$146.5 million caused by a release of tax reserves established on an uncertain tax position taken on certain tax losses following a favorable ruling from the tax authorities and a \$98.4 million tax benefit associated with a tax position taken on a prior-year restructuring transaction which was addressed during the second quarter. Both of the aforementioned benefits were fully offset by corresponding increases to the domestic valuation allowance.

As of December 31, 2011, CIT had cumulative U.S. federal net operating loss carry-forwards (NOLs) of \$4.0 billion. The release of the above mentioned uncertain tax positions will allow for the recognition of approximately \$605 million of additional U.S. federal NOLs. Excluding FSA adjustments, which are not included in the calculation of U.S. federal taxable income, the Company generated a domestic pretax loss in the third quarter and year-to-date of \$6 million and \$210 million, respectively, which will also increase the NOLs. Pursuant to Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code, the Company is generally subject to a \$230 million annual limitation on the use of its \$1.9 billion of pre-emergence NOLs. NOLs arising in post-emergence years are not subject to this limitation absent another ownership change for U.S. tax purposes.

### Liabilities for Uncertain Tax Position

The Company's liability for uncertain tax positions totaled \$313 million at September 30, 2012 and \$549 million at December 31, 2011. Management estimates that this liability may be reduced by up to \$10 million within the next twelve months. The change in the balance since December 31, 2011 occurred primarily in the second quarter and was comprised of two items: 1.) a reduction of \$146.5 million caused by a release of tax reserves established on an uncertain tax position taken on certain tax losses following a favorable ruling from the tax authorities, and 2.) a reduction of \$98.4 million associated with a tax position taken on a prior-year restructuring transaction which was addressed during the quarter. Both of the aforementioned benefits were fully offset by corresponding increases to the domestic valuation allowance.

54 CIT GROUP INC

---

### Table of Contents

---

## RESULTS BY BUSINESS SEGMENT

Information about our segments is also in Item 1, *Note 13 Business Segment Information*. Risks associated with the services provided by our segments are discussed in *Business Segments* section of Item 1 Business Overview in the 2011 Form 10-K.

Though three of our four commercial segments reported pretax losses for the first nine months of 2012, these results were primarily driven by FSA accretion associated with accelerated debt repayments. As discussed below, excluding these amounts, all operating segments other than Vendor Finance were profitable for the first nine months.

### Corporate Finance

Corporate Finance's middle-market lending business in the U.S. and Canada provides lending, leasing and other financial and advisory services to the middle market sector, with a focus on specific industries, including Communications, Energy, Entertainment, Healthcare, Industrials, Information Services & Technology, Restaurants, Retail, and Sports & Gaming. We also have specialized business units focusing on small business lending in the U.S., and on financial sponsors in Europe. In 2011, Corporate Finance began select commercial real estate lending and equipment financing. Revenue is generated primarily from interest earned on loans, supplemented by fees collected on services provided.

### Pre-tax income (dollars in millions)

---

Quarters Ended			Nine Months Ended September 30,
September 30,	June 30,	September 30,	

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

	Quarters Ended			Nine Months Ended	
	2012	2012	2011	2012	2011
<b>Earnings Summary</b>					
Interest income	\$ 140.1	\$ 171.1	\$ 189.0	\$ 487.0	\$ 717.7
Interest expense	(146.9)	(130.9)	(165.7)	(496.0)	(554.9)
Provision for credit losses	22.0	(7.7)	(37.7)	(8.4)	(163.0)
Rental income on operating leases	1.7	2.3	4.1	6.8	14.1
Other income	24.6	76.7	93.1	302.3	362.6
Depreciation on operating lease equipment	(1.0)	(1.2)	(1.7)	(3.3)	(6.3)
Other expenses, excluding depreciation	(65.8)	(60.8)	(51.5)	(193.9)	(169.4)
Income (loss) before provision for income taxes	\$ (25.3)	\$ 49.5	\$ 29.6	\$ 94.5	\$ 200.8
Pre-tax Income Excluding Accelerated FSA Net Discount/(Premium) on Debt Extinguishments and Repurchases <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 44.5	\$ 93.5	\$ 29.9	\$ 315.4	\$ 230.3
<b>Select Average Balances</b>					
Average finance receivables (AFR)	\$7,682.9	\$7,374.2	\$6,857.9	\$7,368.4	\$7,321.7
Average earning assets (AEA)	7,792.3	7,459.5	7,287.0	7,481.6	7,625.0
<b>Statistical Data</b>					
Net finance revenue (interest and rental income, net of interest and depreciation expense) as a % of AEA	(0.31)%	2.21%	1.41%	(0.10)%	2.98%
Funded new business volume	\$ 903.4	\$ 969.4	\$ 659.7	\$2,910.9	\$1,781.5

<sup>(1)</sup> Non-GAAP measurement, see *Non-GAAP Measurements* for a reconciliation on non-GAAP to GAAP financial information.

Pre-tax earnings were impacted by accelerated debt FSA discount accretion of \$70 million in the current quarter, which resulted from debt prepayment activities, compared to less than \$1 million in the 2011 third quarter and \$44 million last quarter. Excluding accelerated debt FSA discount accretion, pre-tax income rose from the prior-year quarter, reflecting lower funding costs and lower credit costs, and was down from last quarter, on lower other income (primarily due to lower FSA accretion on a counterparty receivable). Year to date results, excluding accelerated FSA interest expense related to debt extinguishments, were up from last year on lower funding costs and credit costs, and higher gains on asset sales, partially offset by lower net FSA accretion.

Committed new business volume increased 9% from the prior-year quarter to \$1.2 billion and was up 39% year to date to \$4.0 billion. CIT Bank originated the vast majority of the 2012 U.S. funded volume, 95% for the 2012 third quarter, up from 90% in the prior-year quarter. Over \$4.5 billion or 57% of Corporate Finance financing and leasing assets were in CIT Bank at September 30, 2012. Third quarter 2012 new business yields in Corporate Finance remained relatively stable within product types.

Other highlights included:

- n Excluding accelerated debt FSA discount accretion, net finance revenue (interest income and rental income on operating leases, net of interest expense and

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

depreciation expense) was \$64 million, up from \$26 million in the prior-year quarter reflecting lower funding costs (including the benefit from the increasing amount of assets in CIT Bank) and higher assets. On this basis, NFR was down from \$85 million last quarter on lower net FSA accretion, lower interest recoveries and lower prepayment income. Year to date 2012 totaled \$215 million, up from \$201 million in the prior-year. FSA accretion, absent the accelerated debt FSA discount accretion, increased net finance revenue by \$21 million for the current quarter, compared to increases of \$19 million in the prior-year quarter and \$29 million last quarter. FSA discount accretion, absent the accelerated debt FSA discount accretion, increased 2012 NFR year to date by \$80 million, down from \$102 million in 2011.

- n Other income was down from the prior year and prior quarter on lower FSA counterparty receivable accretion and lower recoveries on pre-emergence charge-offs. For the quarter, FSA accretion on a counterparty receivable was \$3 million, down from \$20 million in the prior-year quarter and \$34 million last quarter. FSA accretion was accelerated during the 2012 second quarter as a result of the return of cash to CIT from a reduction in the TRS counterparty receivable due to higher revaluation of pledged assets in the GSI Facilities. Other income also included \$2 million from recoveries of loans charged off pre-emergence and loans charged off prior to transfer to held for sale, down from \$28 million in the prior-year quarter and \$12 million last quarter. Other income included \$12 million of gains on asset sales, including investments, as compared to \$20 million of gains last year and \$17 million of gains last quarter.
- n Credit trends remained positive. Non-accrual loans declined to \$256 million from \$674 million in the prior-year quarter, primarily on sales and collections, and \$316 million in the prior quarter. Net charge-offs were \$5 million, down from \$41 million in the prior-year quarter and \$7 million in the prior quarter. Year to date 2012 net charge-offs were \$18 million, down significantly from \$196 million in 2011. The year to date 2012 provision for credit losses reflect reserves established on loan originations. The allowance for loan losses release in the third quarter reflected improved portfolio credit quality.
- n Financing and leasing assets totaled \$7.9 billion, a 3% increase from the prior quarter and 11% year to date, as new business volume offset sales and portfolio collections. Approximately 65% of U.S. financing and leasing assets are in CIT Bank.

### Transportation Finance

Transportation Finance primarily leases commercial aircraft to airlines globally and rail equipment to North American operators, and provides other financing to these and other customers in the transportation and defense sectors. Revenue is generated from rents collected on leased assets, and to a lesser extent from interest on loans, fees, and gains from assets sold.

### Pre-tax income (dollars in millions)

	Quarters Ended			Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	September 30, 2012	June 30, 2012	September 30, 2011	2012	2011
<b>Earnings Summary</b>					
Interest income	\$ 34.1	\$ 35.5	\$ 37.3	\$ 103.6	\$ 123.4
Interest expense	(374.7)	(288.4)	(202.3)	(1,122.0)	(663.6)
Provision for credit losses	(8.9)	(0.1)	(2.2)	(16.6)	(8.7)
Rental income on operating leases	386.2	382.9	342.2	1,143.8	1,007.2
Other income	18.4	14.5	57.0	46.2	110.1
Depreciation on operating lease equipment	(106.3)	(101.9)	(90.7)	(316.1)	(280.2)
Other expenses, excluding depreciation	(43.5)	(42.7)	(43.3)	(132.0)	(120.4)
Income (loss) before (provision) benefit for income taxes	\$ (94.7)	\$ (0.2)	\$ 98.0	\$ (293.1)	\$ 167.8
Pre-tax Income Excluding Accelerated FSA Net Discount/(Premium) on Debt Extinguishments and Repurchases <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 134.4	\$ 129.3	\$ 99.4	\$ 344.3	\$ 218.0
<b>Select Average Balances</b>					
Average finance receivables (AFR)	\$ 1,742.9	\$ 1,722.8	\$ 1,358.5	\$ 1,678.9	\$ 1,359.8

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

	Quarters Ended			Nine Months Ended	
Average operating leases (AOL)	11,781.3	11,773.1	10,738.8	11,774.1	10,662.2
Average earning assets (AEA)	13,906.2	13,688.3	12,253.6	13,664.9	12,159.8
<b>Statistical Data</b>					
Net finance revenue (interest and rental income, net of interest and depreciation expense) as a % of AEA	(1.75)%	0.82%	2.82%	(1.86)%	2.05%
Operating lease margin as a % of AOL	9.50%	9.55%	9.37%	9.37%	9.09%
Funded new business volume	\$ 562.8	\$ 640.0	\$ 557.8	\$ 1,492.5	\$ 1,274.5

<sup>(1)</sup> *Non-GAAP measurement, see Non-GAAP Measurements for a reconciliation on non-GAAP to GAAP financial information.*

56 CIT GROUP INC

### Table of Contents

Pre-tax earnings were impacted by accelerated debt FSA discount accretion of \$229 million in the current quarter, which resulted from debt prepayment activities, compared to \$1 million in the 2011 third quarter and \$130 million last quarter. Excluding accelerated debt FSA discount accretion, pre-tax income rose 35% over the 2011 third quarter and 4% sequentially to \$134 million reflecting improved finance revenue on higher portfolio assets and lower funding costs. Financing and leasing assets grew approximately \$1.7 billion from September 30, 2011 and \$0.7 billion from December 31, 2011.

- n Excluding accelerated debt FSA discount accretion, net finance revenue (interest income and rental income on operating leases, net of interest expense and depreciation expense) was \$168 million, up from \$88 million in the prior-year quarter and \$158 million last quarter. Year-to-date on this basis, net finance revenue was \$447 million this year and \$237 million for 2011. The increases generally reflect lower funding costs, the benefit from higher asset balances, and increased railcar utilization and lease rates. Excluding accelerated FSA interest expense, net FSA accretion added \$33 million to net finance revenue in the current quarter, \$19 million in the 2011 third quarter and \$30 million last quarter. FSA accretion impacts included a reduction in depreciation expense and reduction to rental income from amortization of lease contract intangible assets.
- n Equipment utilization remained strong with 99% of commercial air and 98% of rail equipment on lease or under a commitment at September 30, 2012.
- n Other income primarily consisted of gains on asset sales partially offset by impairment charges on assets held for sale. Asset sales gains were significantly higher in the prior-year quarter.
- n Net charge-offs for the quarter reflected a single aerospace account secured by aviation equipment for which a specific reserve was previously established. Non-accrual loans rose, mainly due to the addition of one aerospace account secured by commercial aircraft. We recorded a specific reserve for this account, which increased the third quarter provision for credit losses.
- n Financing and leasing assets totaled \$14.0 billion at September 30, 2012, and consisted of \$9.0 billion of commercial aerospace assets, \$4.0 billion of rail equipment assets and \$1.0 billion of business aircraft and other transportation lending assets. At September 30, 2012, assets held for sale was \$0.4 billion, primarily related to 13 aircraft.
- n New business volume of \$563 million reflects the addition of 6 operating lease aircraft and over 1,700 railcars, and also included approximately \$190 million of finance receivables. At September 30, 2012, we had 157 aircraft on order from manufacturers, with deliveries scheduled through 2019. All but two of the 18 scheduled aircraft deliveries for the next twelve months have lease commitments. We also have future purchase commitments for approximately 8,500 railcars at September 30, 2012 with scheduled deliveries through 2014, of which over 95% of the approximately 5,800 railcars scheduled for delivery through December 2013 have lease commitments. See *Note 11 Commitments*.
- n Transportation Finance financing and leasing assets at CIT Bank increased to nearly \$1.5 billion from \$650 million at December 31, 2011. In the current quarter approximately \$170 million of railcar operating lease equipment volume (\$390 million year-to-date).

**Table of Contents****Trade Finance**

Trade Finance provides factoring, receivable management products, and secured financing to businesses (our clients) that operate in several industries, including apparel, textile, furniture, home furnishings and consumer electronics. Factoring entails the factor's assumption of credit risk with respect to trade accounts receivable arising from the sale of goods by our clients to their customers (generally retailers), which have been factored or sold to the factor. Although primarily U.S.-based, Trade Finance also conducts business with clients and their customers internationally. Revenue is principally generated from commissions earned on factoring and related activities, interest on loans and other fees for services rendered.

**Pre-tax income** (dollars in millions)

	Quarters Ended			Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	September 30, 2012	June 30, 2012	September 30, 2011	2012	2011
<b>Earnings Summary</b>					
Interest income	\$ 15.0	\$ 14.1	\$ 21.8	\$ 43.6	\$ 56.8
Interest expense	(24.1)	(17.7)	(19.1)	(74.2)	(74.3)
Provision for credit losses	(4.3)	2.2	(4.4)	(5.9)	(11.7)
Other income, commissions	33.1	28.9	35.5	94.3	100.2
Other income, excluding commissions	5.9	4.4	5.4	14.3	20.1
Other expenses	(28.8)	(28.8)	(28.6)	(89.2)	(82.8)
Income (loss) before (provision) benefit for income taxes	\$ (3.2)	\$ 3.1	\$ 10.6	\$ (17.1)	\$ 8.3
Pre-tax Income Excluding Accelerated FSA Net Discount/(Premium) on Debt Extinguishments and Repurchases <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 12.9	\$ 11.9	\$ 10.7	\$ 29.0	\$ 13.8
<b>Select Average Balances</b>					
Average finance receivables (AFR)	\$2,368.4	\$2,346.7	\$2,593.9	\$ 2,354.3	\$ 2,492.3
Average earning assets (AEA) <sup>(2)</sup>	1,059.9	1,100.6	1,408.6	1,118.8	1,399.6
<b>Statistical Data</b>					
Net finance revenue (interest and rental income, net of interest and depreciation expense) as a % of AEA	(3.43)%	(1.31)%	0.77%	(3.65)%	(1.67)%
Factoring volume	\$6,366.2	\$5,894.4	\$6,775.2	\$18,264.4	\$19,048.1

<sup>(1)</sup> Non-GAAP measurement, see *Non-GAAP Measurements* for a reconciliation on non-GAAP to GAAP financial information.

<sup>(2)</sup> AEA is lower than AFR as it is reduced by the average credit balances for factoring clients.

Pre-tax income was impacted by accelerated debt FSA discount accretion, as a result of debt prepayment activities, of \$16 million in the current quarter, an insignificant amount in the third quarter of 2011 and \$9 million last quarter. Excluding accelerated FSA interest expense, pre-tax earnings were up for the 2012 third quarter reflecting improved funding costs and continued low credit costs, and seasonally higher commissions

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

on a sequential basis.

- n Excluding accelerated debt FSA discount accretion, net finance revenue was \$7 million in the current quarter, improved from \$3 million in the prior-year quarter and \$5 million last quarter. For the nine months ended, net finance revenue excluding accelerated debt FSA discount accretion was \$16 million, improved from \$(12) million during 2011. The improvements from the prior-year reflected lower funding costs, lower letter of credit related charges and a reduction in non-accrual loans. While there is debt FSA discount accretion, there was no FSA accretion on interest income in 2012 or 2011.
- n Factoring commissions of \$33 million were down \$2 million from the prior-year quarter and up \$4 million from last quarter on seasonally higher factoring volume. Factoring volume was \$6.4 billion, down 6% from the prior-year quarter and up 8% sequentially due to seasonality. Year to date, factoring volume was down 4%. The declines from prior year reflect a slowdown in retail apparel sector sales.
- n Non-accrual loans were \$27 million, down from \$48 million last quarter and \$75 million at December 31, 2011, primarily due to accounts returning to accrual status and reductions in exposures. There were no net charge-offs during the current quarter, and year to date net charge-offs were down from 2011. The provision for credit losses was up \$6.5 million versus last quarter, which included higher recoveries, and essentially flat with prior-year quarter.
- n Finance receivables were \$2.4 billion, down 6% from the prior-year quarter and up 2% from last quarter, primarily due to seasonality. Off-balance sheet receivables, resulting from clients with deferred purchase factoring agreements, were \$1.9 billion, down from \$2.0 billion in the prior-year quarter and up from \$1.3 billion in the prior quarter due to seasonality.

58 CIT GROUP INC

### Table of Contents

#### Vendor Finance

Vendor Finance develops business solutions for small businesses and middle market companies for the procurement of equipment and value-added services. We create tailored equipment financing and leasing programs for manufacturers, distributors and product resellers across industries, which are designed to help them increase sales. Through these programs, we provide equipment financing and value-added services, from invoicing to asset disposition, to meet their customers' needs. Vendor Finance earns revenues from interest on loans, rents on leases, and fees and other revenue from leasing activities.

#### Pre-tax income (dollars in millions)

	Quarters Ended			Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	September 30, 2012	June 30, 2012	September 30, 2011	2012	2011
<b>Earnings Summary</b>					
Interest income	\$ 135.1	\$ 135.6	\$ 185.2	\$ 403.2	\$ 623.5
Interest expense	(122.7)	(110.7)	(109.9)	(419.4)	(408.4)
Provision for credit losses	(8.8)	(3.1)	(2.5)	(20.1)	(68.1)
Rental income on operating leases	56.5	60.3	62.7	178.6	216.8
Other income	(2.9)	7.6	60.1	0.3	145.8
Depreciation on operating lease equipment	(27.2)	(27.6)	(31.9)	(83.3)	(151.2)
Other expenses, excluding depreciation	(87.0)	(74.2)	(78.3)	(241.5)	(234.3)
Income (loss) before (provision) benefit for income taxes	\$ (57.0)	\$ (12.1)	\$ 85.4	\$ (182.2)	\$ 124.1
	\$ 2.1	\$ 26.8	\$ 84.4	\$ 14.9	\$ 149.9

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

	Quarters Ended			Nine Months Ended	
Pre-tax Income (Loss) Excluding Accelerated FSA Net Discount/(Premium) on Debt Extinguishments and Repurchases <sup>(1)</sup>					
<b>Select Average Balances</b>					
Average finance receivables (AFR)	\$4,557.2	\$4,464.2	\$4,373.6	\$4,481.8	\$4,503.0
Average operating leases (AOL)	205.6	206.2	241.1	209.1	357.3
Average earning assets (AEA)	5,148.4	5,050.2	5,073.8	5,072.0	5,493.5
<b>Statistical Data</b>					
Net finance revenue (interest and rental income, net of interest and depreciation expense) as a % of AEA	3.24%	4.56%	8.36%	2.08%	6.81%
Funded new business volume	\$ 705.0	\$ 761.8	\$ 647.8	\$2,139.4	\$1,861.0

<sup>(1)</sup> Non-GAAP measurement, see *Non-GAAP Measurements* for a reconciliation on non-GAAP to GAAP financial information.

Pre-tax earnings were impacted by accelerated debt FSA discount accretion of \$59 million in the current quarter, compared to \$(1) million in the third quarter of 2011 and \$39 million last quarter. Excluding accelerated debt FSA discount accretion, pre-tax earnings were down from the prior-year quarter primarily due to lower gains on asset sales and lower net FSA accretion. The sequential quarter decrease was attributable to higher expenses, lower other income and higher credit costs.

During 2012, Vendor Finance continued to increase business with existing relationships and added new vendor partners. New business volumes were \$705 million, up 9% from the prior-year quarter. Sequentially new business volume declined 7%. Essentially all of the 2012 U.S. volume was originated in CIT Bank.

Financing and leasing assets of \$5.2 billion were up 7% from the prior-year quarter and 3% versus last quarter. Approximately \$400 million of assets remain in held for sale, primarily related to the Dell Europe portfolio that CIT, as previously disclosed, has an agreement to sell to Dell.

We continue to make progress on various funding initiatives. During the quarter we completed a C\$515 million (\$511 million based on exchange rate at the time of the transaction) equipment receivables securitization, our first in the Canadian market since 2009 and closed a new RMB2.2 billion (approximately \$345 million based on the exchange rate at the time of the transaction) committed facility to fund originations in China, which was in addition to an existing facility. Earlier this year, we completed a \$1 billion committed U.S. Vendor Finance conduit facility that provides an additional source of funding for CIT Bank's U.S. Vendor Finance assets and renewed a £100 million (approximately \$160 million based on June 30, 2012 exchange rate) U.K. conduit facility, both with improved terms. We also closed a \$753 million term securitization backed by Vendor Finance equipment leases in the U.S during the second quarter. We also have deposits in Brazil of slightly less than \$100 million as of September 30, 2012.

Other highlights included:

n Excluding accelerated debt FSA discount accretion, net finance revenue (interest income and rental income on

**Item 2: Management's Discussion and Analysis and Item 3: Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk** 59

### Table of Contents

operating leases, net of interest expense and depreciation expense) was \$101 million compared to \$105 million for the prior-year quarter and \$97 million for the prior quarter. FSA accretion, absent the accelerated debt FSA discount accretion, increased net finance revenue by \$9 million for the current quarter, down from \$20 million in the prior-year quarter and flat to the \$9 million from last quarter. Excluding accelerated FSA interest expense, net finance revenue for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 was \$276 million, down from \$307 million in 2011 primarily due to lower FSA accretion, partially offset by reduced funding costs.



## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

- n Operating lease margin increased as compared to the prior-year quarter due to lower depreciation expense and decreased \$3 million sequentially on lower rental income. Depreciation is suspended on operating lease equipment classified as held for sale. The amount suspended totaled approximately \$20 million for the current quarter, compared to \$21 million in the prior-year quarter and \$20 million last quarter. The year-to-date amounts totaled \$60 million for 2012 and \$43 million for 2011. These amounts are essentially offset by an impairment charge in other income.
- n Net finance revenue as a percentage of AEA declined during 2012 primarily due to FSA acceleration from debt extinguishment costs and the previously disclosed impact of the corrections on interest income during the first quarter. Excluding the impact of the accelerated debt FSA discount accretion the ratio increased about 20 basis-points to 7.8% from the prior quarter, primarily due to improved funding costs.
- n Other income declined during the quarter, primarily reflecting lower gains from asset sales as compared to the prior year periods. On a sequential basis the decrease in other income was due to several items, none of which were material, but in the aggregate caused the decrease. Other income was also negatively impacted by impairment charges on operating leases recorded in held for sale, (\$20 million, \$20 million and \$20 million in the current quarter, the prior-year quarter and prior quarter, respectively), which had a nearly offsetting amount in net finance revenue related to suspended depreciation on assets held for sale. Year-to-date, the decline was driven by lower gains on asset sales and impairment charges on operating leases recorded in held for sale. (See *Non-interest Income* and *Expenses* for discussion on impairment charges and suspended depreciation on operating lease equipment held for sale.
- n Expenses were higher for the quarter, reflecting non-recurring items that were individually immaterial but positively affected the second quarter and had a negative effect in the third quarter, such that in aggregate they negatively affected the sequential trend.
- n Portfolio credit quality remained strong with non-accrual loans and delinquencies essentially unchanged from the prior quarter and with non-accruals significantly lower versus prior-year quarter. Net charge-offs for the quarter was down from prior year, but increased sequentially in part to lower recoveries.
- n New business yields increased modestly during the quarter, reflecting mix and risk-adjusted margins also increased across all geographies mainly resulting from lower funding costs.

60 CIT GROUP INC

### Table of Contents

#### **Consumer**

Consumer predominately consists of our liquidating government-guaranteed student loans.

#### **Pre-tax income** (dollars in millions)

	Quarters Ended			Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	September 30, 2012	June 30, 2012	September 30, 2011	2012	2011
<b>Earnings Summary</b>					
Interest income	\$ 44.9	\$ 48.5	\$ 64.5	\$ 143.6	\$ 204.2
Interest expense	(43.0)	(26.4)	(42.3)	(134.9)	(144.0)
Provision for credit losses		(0.2)	(0.6)	(0.5)	(2.4)
Other income	1.2	17.9	4.9	21.4	10.7
Other expenses	(10.0)	(9.5)	(16.8)	(30.4)	(49.7)
	\$ (6.9)	\$ 30.3	\$ 9.7	\$ (0.8)	\$ 18.8

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

	Quarters Ended			Nine Months Ended	
Income (loss) before (provision) benefit for income taxes					
Pre-tax Income (Loss) Excluding Accelerated FSA Net Discount/(Premium) on Debt Extinguishments and Repurchases <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 5.2	\$ 36.8	\$ 10.6	\$ 33.7	\$ 22.2
<b>Select Average Balances</b>					
Average finance receivables (AFR)	\$3,821.8	\$4,509.7	\$6,953.1	\$4,339.8	\$7,535.3
Average earning assets (AEA)	4,344.4	5,009.1	7,645.6	5,228.3	7,839.2
<b>Statistical Data</b>					
Net finance revenue (interest and rental income, net of interest and depreciation expense) as a % of AEA	0.17%	1.76%	1.16%	0.22%	1.02%

<sup>(1)</sup> Non-GAAP measurement, see *Non-GAAP Measurements* for a reconciliation on non-GAAP to GAAP financial information.

Pre-tax income was impacted by accelerated debt FSA discount accretion of \$12 million in the current quarter, compared to \$1 million in the third quarter of 2011 and \$7 million last quarter. Pre-tax income was down from the prior-year quarter, and down from last quarter, primarily due to reduced net FSA accretion and a second quarter gain on a student loan asset sale. The 2012 second quarter pre-tax income was \$2 million, excluding net FSA accretion of \$15 million and gains on asset sales of \$14 million. During the nine-months ended September 30, 2012, we sold approximately \$1.5 billion of government-guaranteed student loans. The student loan portfolio at September 30, 2012, totaled \$4.3 billion, which was funded through securitizations, and included \$0.5 billion of held for sale student loans in CIT Bank.

Other highlights included:

- n Excluding accelerated FSA interest expense, net finance revenue was \$14 million in the current quarter, \$23 million in the 2011 third quarter and \$29 million last quarter. FSA accretion, absent the accelerated debt FSA discount accretion, increased net finance revenue by \$5 million for the current quarter, compared to increases of \$10 million in the prior-year quarter and \$18 million last quarter. Excluding accelerated FSA interest expense, net finance revenue was \$43 million in 2012 and \$64 million last year. FSA discount accretion increased 2012 net finance revenue year to date by \$17 million, down from \$29 million in 2011.
- n There were no net charge-offs in the current quarter, compared to \$0.6 million in the year-ago quarter and \$0.2 million last quarter. Non-accruing loans were \$0.3 million, down from \$0.9 million at December 31, 2011.
- n Other income for the 2012 second quarter reflects a gain of \$14 million, primarily on the sale of student loans.

**Item 2:** Management's Discussion and Analysis and **Item 3:** Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk 61

**Table of Contents**

**Corporate and Other**

Certain items are not allocated to operating segments and are included in Corporate and Other. Corporate and Other includes the loss on debt extinguishments, liquidity in excess of the amount required by the business units that management determines is prudent and in the prior periods, the prepayment penalties associated with debt repayments.

**Pre-tax income** (dollars in millions)

Quarters Ended

Nine Months Ended

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

	September 30, 2012	June 30, 2012	September 30, 2011	September 30,	
				2012	2011
<b>Earnings Summary</b>					
Interest income	\$ 4.9	\$ 4.5	\$ 5.0	\$ 14.0	\$ 15.6
Interest expense	(100.7)	(65.1)	(63.8)	(284.5)	(262.9)
Other income	0.9	(6.0)	(13.2)	(4.2)	(2.9)
Loss on debt extinguishments	(16.8)	(21.5)	(146.6)	(61.2)	(146.6)
Other expenses	(2.4)	(24.2)	(7.9)	(14.0)	(13.2)
Loss before provision for income taxes	\$(114.1)	\$(112.3)	\$(226.5)	\$(349.9)	\$(410.0)
Pre-tax Loss Excluding Accelerated FSA Net Discount/(Premium) on Debt Extinguishments and Repurchases <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ (29.6)	\$ (53.6)	\$ (59.2)	\$(109.0)	\$(145.9)

<sup>(1)</sup> Non-GAAP measurement, see Non-GAAP Measurements for a reconciliation on non-GAAP to GAAP financial information.

- n Interest income consists of interest and dividend income primarily from deposits held at other depository institutions and short-term investments.
- n Interest expense reflects amounts not allocated to the business segments. Accelerated debt FSA discount accretion totaled \$68 million for the 2012 third quarter, compared to \$1 million in the 2011 third quarter and \$37 million in the 2012 second quarter. The 2011 third quarter and nine months also included prepayment penalties of \$20 million and \$105 million, respectively.
- n Other income primarily reflects gains and (losses) on derivatives and foreign currency exchange.
- n The losses on debt extinguishments reflect repayments of Series A and Series C Notes.
- n Other expenses includes: salary and general and administrative expenses not allocated to the business segments, litigation-related costs, certain professional fees and provision for severance and facilities exiting activities. The 2012 second quarter included a \$14 million charge for the establishment of a reserve for potential exposure related to a pre-emergence consumer asset sale that should have been recorded in prior periods.

62 CIT GROUP INC

**Table of Contents**

**FINANCING AND LEASING ASSETS**

The following table presents our financing and leasing assets by segment.

**Financing and Leasing Asset Composition** (dollars in millions)

	September 30, 2012	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011	Quarter Change	Year-to Date Change
<b>Corporate Finance</b>					
Loans	\$ 7,800.4	\$ 7,549.7	\$ 6,862.7	3.3%	13.7%

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

	September 30, 2012	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011	Quarter Change	Year-to Date Change
Operating lease equipment, net	14.6	19.0	35.0	(23.2)%	(58.3)%
Assets held for sale	110.8	103.8	214.0	6.7%	(48.2)%
Financing and leasing assets	7,925.8	7,672.5	7,111.7	3.3%	11.4%
<b>Transportation Finance</b>					
Loans	1,790.9	1,756.8	1,487.0	1.9%	20.4%
Operating lease equipment, net	11,847.4	11,672.4	11,739.4	1.5%	0.9%
Assets held for sale	371.4	394.5	84.0	(5.9)%	342.1%
Financing and leasing assets	14,009.7	13,823.7	13,310.4	1.3%	5.3%
<b>Trade Finance</b>					
Loans factoring receivables	2,408.3	2,371.3	2,431.4	1.6%	(1.0)%
<b>Vendor Finance</b>					
Loans	4,628.0	4,524.5	4,421.7	2.3%	4.7%
Operating lease equipment, net	210.0	205.0	217.2	2.4%	(3.3)%
Assets held for sale	398.1	376.5	371.6	5.7%	7.1%
Financing and leasing assets	5,236.1	5,106.0	5,010.5	2.5%	4.5%
<b>Total commercial financing and leasing assets</b>	<b>29,579.9</b>	<b>28,973.5</b>	<b>27,864.0</b>	<b>2.1%</b>	<b>6.2%</b>
<b>Consumer</b>					
Loans student lending	3,752.9	3,895.5	4,680.0	(3.7)%	(19.8)%
Loans other	2.9	2.7	2.7	7.4%	7.4%
Assets held for sale	540.8	559.2	1,662.7	(3.3)%	(67.5)%
Financing and leasing assets	4,296.6	4,457.4	6,345.4	(3.6)%	(32.3)%
<b>Total financing and leasing assets</b>	<b>\$33,876.5</b>	<b>\$33,430.9</b>	<b>\$34,209.4</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>(1.0)%</b>

Commercial loans totaled \$16.6 billion, up 3% for the quarter, reflecting sequential growth in all of the commercial segments. Commercial loans were up 9% year to date, led by Corporate Finance, which is reflected in its strong new business volume. Operating lease equipment increased slightly, as purchases of aircraft and railcars offset sales in Transportation Finance. Assets held for sale were down slightly from June and decreased from December 31, 2011, primarily reflecting the sale of approximately \$1.5 billion of student loans in the first half of the year plus other lower-yielding commercial loans and equipment. Assets held for sale at September 30, 2012 included \$0.5 billion of student loans in Consumer, \$0.4 billion of Vendor Finance assets related to the pending sale of Dell Europe and \$0.4 billion of aircraft equipment.

**Item 2:** Management's Discussion and Analysis and **Item 3:** Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk 63

**Table of Contents**

**Financing and Leasing Assets Roll forward** (dollars in millions)

	Corporate Finance	Transportation Finance	Trade Finance	Vendor Finance	Commercial Segments	Consumer	Total
<b>Balance at June 30, 2012</b>	\$ 7,672.5	\$ 13,823.7	\$ 2,371.3	\$ 5,106.0	\$ 28,973.5	\$ 4,457.4	\$ 33,430.9
New business volume	903.4	562.8		705.0	2,171.2		2,171.2
Loan sales (pre-FSA)	(70.4)	(15.9)			(86.3)		(86.3)
Equipment sales (pre-FSA)	(81.8)	(143.0)		(64.1)	(288.9)		(288.9)

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

	Corporate Finance	Transportation Finance	Trade Finance	Vendor Finance	Commercial Segments	Consumer	Total
Depreciation (pre-FSA)	(1.6)	(147.2)		(27.6)	(176.4)		(176.4)
Gross charge-offs (pre-FSA)	(11.7)	(2.9)	(3.2)	(18.6)	(36.4)	(1.3)	(37.7)
Collections and other	(511.5)	(129.7)	40.2	(475.5)	(1,076.5)	(176.1)	(1,252.6)
Change in finance receivable FSA discounts	25.7	6.4		10.7	42.8	16.6	59.4
Change in operating lease FSA discounts	1.2	55.5		0.2	56.9		56.9
<b>Balance at September 30, 2012</b>	\$ 7,925.8	\$ 14,009.7	\$ 2,408.3	\$ 5,236.1	\$ 29,579.9	\$ 4,296.6	\$ 33,876.5
<b>Balance at December 31, 2011</b>	\$ 7,111.7	\$ 13,310.4	\$ 2,431.4	\$ 5,010.5	\$ 27,864.0	\$ 6,345.4	\$ 34,209.4
New business volume	2,910.9	1,492.5		2,139.4	6,542.8		6,542.8
Portfolio purchases		198.0			198.0		198.0
Loan sales (pre-FSA)	(513.9)	(17.2)			(531.1)	(1,547.3)	(2,078.4)
Equipment sales (pre-FSA)	(203.5)	(477.7)		(231.7)	(912.9)		(912.9)
Depreciation (pre-FSA)	(5.3)	(453.8)		(85.8)	(544.9)		(544.9)
Gross charge-offs (pre-FSA)	(40.0)	(16.2)	(6.6)	(52.4)	(115.2)	(6.0)	(121.2)
Collections and other	(1,458.6)	(238.7)	(16.5)	(1,589.6)	(3,303.4)	(563.9)	(3,867.3)
Change in finance receivable FSA discounts	117.6	27.1		43.2	187.9	68.4	256.3
Change in operating lease FSA discounts	6.9	185.3		2.5	194.7		194.7
<b>Balance at September 30, 2012</b>	\$ 7,925.8	\$ 14,009.7	\$ 2,408.3	\$ 5,236.1	\$ 29,579.9	\$ 4,296.6	\$ 33,876.5

**Total Business Volumes** (dollars in millions)

	Quarters Ended			Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	September 30, 2012	June 30, 2012	September 30, 2011	2012	2011
<b>Funded Volume</b>					
Corporate Finance	\$ 903.4	\$ 969.4	\$ 659.7	\$ 2,910.9	\$ 1,781.5
Transportation Finance	562.8	640.0	557.8	1,492.5	1,274.5
Vendor Finance	705.0	761.8	647.8	2,139.4	1,861.0
Commercial Segments	\$2,171.2	\$2,371.2	\$1,865.3	\$ 6,542.8	\$ 4,917.0
Factored Volume	\$6,366.2	\$5,894.4	\$ 6,775.2	\$ 18,264.4	\$ 19,048.1

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Committed Volume	Quarters Ended			Nine Months Ended	
Corporate Finance	\$1,210.1	\$1,300.8	\$1,111.3	\$4,014.4	\$2,898.3
Transportation Finance	564.9	647.0	564.4	1,520.1	1,336.9
Vendor Finance	705.0	761.8	647.8	2,139.4	1,861.0
Commercial Segments	\$2,480.0	\$2,709.6	\$2,323.5	\$7,673.9	\$6,096.2

Funded new business volume increased 16% from the prior-year quarter, while committed new business volume increased 7% with the most meaningful improvements in Corporate Finance. Funded volume decreased sequentially in Transportation Finance, as lending volume was offset by fewer scheduled equipment deliveries. Likewise, funded volume was sequentially down in Corporate Finance and Vendor Finance. Both funded and committed volumes were up year to date in each of the segments, with the increased amounts in Corporate Finance reflecting strong performance in its core markets, plus the addition of newer products such as commercial real estate loans and equipment financing.

Trade Finance factoring volume was up from the second quarter of 2012 on seasonal growth, but declined 6% from the prior-year quarter. Year to date, factoring volume was down 4%. The declines from prior year reflect a slowdown in retail apparel sector sales.

64 CIT GROUP INC

**Table of Contents**

**Loan Sales (Pre-FSA, dollars in millions)**

	Quarters Ended			Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	September 30, 2012	June 30, 2012	September 30, 2011	2012	2011
Corporate Finance	\$70.4	\$94.0	\$147.6	\$513.9	\$655.5
Transportation Finance	15.9	1.3	1.9	17.2	42.8
Vendor Finance			158.2		444.4
Commercial Segments	86.3	95.3	307.7	531.1	1,142.7
Consumer		1,030.1		1,547.3	251.8
Total	\$86.3	\$1,125.4	\$307.7	\$2,078.4	\$1,394.5

During 2012, we sold student loans (in Consumer) and the first quarter 2012 Corporate Finance sales included a multi-phase loan portfolio sale.

**Equipment Sales (Pre-FSA, dollars in millions)**

	Quarters Ended			Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	September 30, 2012	June 30, 2012	September 30, 2011	2012	2011
Corporate Finance	\$81.8	\$52.2	\$72.0	\$203.5	\$153.0
Transportation Finance	143.0	211.3	327.8	477.7	479.8

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

	Quarters Ended			Nine Months Ended	
Vendor Finance	64.1	92.1	96.2	231.7	382.0
Total	\$288.9	\$355.6	\$496.0	\$912.9	\$1,014.8

Sales reflect aerospace and rail equipment in Transportation Finance and include technology assets in Vendor Finance.

## CONCENTRATIONS

### Ten Largest Accounts

Our ten largest financing and leasing asset accounts in the aggregate represented 8.4% of our total financing and leasing assets at September 30, 2012 (the largest account was less than 2.3%). Excluding student loans, the top ten accounts in aggregate represented 9.6% of total owned assets (the largest account totaled 2.6%). The largest accounts represent Transportation Finance (airlines and rail) assets.

The top ten accounts were 8.5% and 10.5% (excluding student loans) at December 31, 2011.

### Geographic Concentrations

The following table represents the financing and leasing assets by obligor geography:

#### Financing and Leasing Assets by Obligor Geographic Region (dollars in millions)

	September 30, 2012		December 31, 2011	
Northeast	\$ 5,386.6	15.9%	\$ 5,150.2	15.1%
Midwest	4,943.5	14.6%	5,402.6	15.8%
West	3,956.9	11.7%	4,594.6	13.4%
Southeast	3,496.6	10.3%	3,827.4	11.2%
Southwest	3,305.8	9.8%	2,836.1	8.3%
Total U.S.	21,089.4	62.3%	21,810.9	63.8%
Asia / Pacific	3,494.1	10.3%	3,341.2	9.8%
Europe	3,274.8	9.7%	2,996.0	8.8%
Canada	2,355.4	7.0%	2,599.6	7.6%
Latin America	1,977.9	5.8%	1,764.5	5.1%
Other International	1,684.9	4.9%	1,697.2	4.9%
Total	\$33,876.5	100.0%	\$34,209.4	100.0%

**Item 2:** Management's Discussion and Analysis and **Item 3:** Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk 65

### Table of Contents

The following table summarizes both state concentrations greater than 5.0% and international country concentrations in excess of 1.0% of our financing and leasing assets:

#### Financing and Leasing Assets by Obligor State and Country (dollars in millions)

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

	September 30, 2012		December 31, 2011	
<b>State</b>				
Texas	\$ 2,617.1	7.7%	\$ 2,107.2	6.2%
New York	1,953.6	5.8%	1,921.8	5.6%
California	1,948.4	5.8%	2,263.8	6.6%
All other states	14,570.3	43.0%	15,518.1	45.4%
Total U.S.	\$21,089.4	62.3%	\$21,810.9	63.8%
<b>Country</b>				
Canada	\$ 2,355.4	7.0%	\$ 2,599.6	7.6%
China	1,063.8	3.1%	959.2	2.8%
Australia	1,059.7	3.1%	1,014.6	3.0%
Mexico	906.6	2.7%	856.9	2.5%
England	858.0	2.5%	757.6	2.2%
Brazil	677.1	2.0%	574.6	1.7%
Spain	455.9	1.3%	446.1	1.3%
Italy	351.3	1.0%	215.8	0.6%
United Arab Emirates	339.8	1.0%	372.1	1.1%
All other countries	4,719.5	14.0%	4,602.0	13.4%
Total International	\$ 12,787.1	37.7%	\$ 12,398.5	36.2%

In its normal course of business, CIT extends credit or leases equipment to obligors located in Spain, Italy, Ireland, Greece and Portugal. The total balance of financing and leasing assets to obligors located in these countries was \$923 million and \$762 million at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, of which approximately 73% and 80% at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, represented operating lease equipment, primarily in Transportation Finance. CIT does not have sovereign debt exposure to these countries.

**Industry Concentrations**

The following table represents financing and leasing assets by industry of obligor:

**Financing and Leasing Assets by Obligor Industry** (dollars in millions)

	September 30, 2012		December 31, 2011	
Commercial airlines (including regional airlines) <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 9,046.2	26.7%	\$ 8,844.2	25.9%
Manufacturing <sup>(2)</sup>	4,994.0	14.7%	4,417.2	12.9%
Student lending <sup>(3)</sup>	4,293.7	12.7%	6,331.7	18.5%
Retail <sup>(4)</sup>	3,216.6	9.5%	3,246.9	9.5%
Service industries	2,998.9	8.8%	2,803.8	8.4%
Transportation <sup>(5)</sup>	2,278.6	6.7%	2,102.1	5.9%
Healthcare	1,397.6	4.1%	1,697.4	5.0%
Finance and insurance	1,141.9	3.4%	725.8	2.1%
Energy and utilities	870.7	2.6%	779.1	2.3%
Oil and gas extraction / services	658.1	1.9%	444.4	1.3%
Communications	592.9	1.8%	660.2	1.9%
Wholesaling	536.8	1.6%	441.9	1.3%
Other (no industry greater than 2%) <sup>(6)</sup>	1,850.5	5.5%	1,714.7	5.0%
Total	\$33,876.5	100.0%	\$34,209.4	100.0%

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes the Commercial Aerospace Portfolio and additional financing and leasing assets that are not commercial aircraft.



- (2) At September 30, 2012, includes manufacturers of chemicals, including Pharmaceuticals (2.5%), food (1.8%), petroleum and coal, including refining (1.6%) and apparel (1.1%).
- (3) See Student Lending section for further information.
- (4) At September 30, 2012, includes retailers of apparel (4.1%) and general merchandise (1.9%).
- (5) Includes rail, bus, over-the-road trucking industries, business aircraft and shipping.
- (6) Includes commercial real estate of \$361 million and \$23 million at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

66 CIT GROUP INC

## Table of Contents

### **Operating Lease Equipment**

#### **Operating Lease Equipment by Segment** (dollars in millions)

	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Transportation Finance Aerospace <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 7,952.9	\$ 8,242.8
Transportation Finance Rail and Other	3,894.5	3,496.6
Vendor Finance	210.0	217.2
Corporate Finance	14.6	35.0
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 12,072.0</b>	<b>\$ 11,991.6</b>

(1) Aerospace includes commercial, regional and corporate aircraft and equipment.

At September 30, 2012, Transportation Finance had 270 commercial aircraft, and approximately 102,000 railcars and 400 locomotives on operating lease.

### **Commercial Aerospace**

The following tables present detail on our commercial and regional aerospace portfolio concentrations, which we call our Commercial Aerospace portfolio. This presentation has been expanded from our December 31, 2011 Form 10-K disclosures to include financing and leasing assets related to regional aircraft. The net investment in regional aerospace financing and leasing assets was \$82.0 million and \$85.0 million at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively; and was substantially comprised of loans and capital leases.

The information presented below by region, manufacturer, and body type, is based on our operating lease aircraft portfolio which comprises 93% of our total commercial aerospace portfolio and substantially all of our owned fleet of leased aircraft at September 30, 2012.

#### **Commercial Aerospace Portfolio** (dollars in millions)

September 30, 2012		December 31, 2011	
Net Investment	Number	Net Investment	Number

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

	September 30, 2012		December 31, 2011	
<b>By Product:</b>				
Operating lease <sup>(1)</sup>	\$8,275.0	270	\$8,243.0	265
Loan <sup>(2)</sup>	572.7	64	394.3	52
Capital lease	41.7	10	61.8	11
Total	\$8,889.4	344	\$8,699.1	328

**Commercial Aerospace Operating Lease Portfolio** (dollars in millions)<sup>(1)</sup>

	September 30, 2012		December 31, 2011	
	Net Investment	Number	Net Investment	Number
<b>By Region:</b>				
Asia / Pacific	\$2,962.6	80	\$2,986.0	82
Europe	2,294.4	84	2,270.6	79
U.S. and Canada	1,077.4	40	1,041.9	37
Latin America	1,057.7	43	1,007.1	43
Africa / Middle East	882.9	23	937.4	24
Total	\$8,275.0	270	\$8,243.0	265
<b>By Manufacturer:</b>				
Airbus	\$5,531.5	160	\$5,566.4	158
Boeing	2,409.8	98	2,515.2	102
Embraer	322.7	12	147.4	5
Other	11.0		14.0	
Total	\$8,275.0	270	\$8,243.0	265

**Item 2:** Management's Discussion and Analysis and **Item 3:** Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk 67

**Table of Contents**

**Commercial Aerospace Operating Lease Portfolio** (dollars in millions)<sup>(1)</sup> continued

	September 30, 2012		December 31, 2011	
	Net Investment	Number	Net Investment	Number
<b>By Body Type<sup>(3)</sup>:</b>				
Narrow body	\$5,962.9	228	\$5,868.3	225
Intermediate	2,245.7	39	2,312.5	39
Wide body	53.7	2	48.4	1
Regional and other	12.7	1	13.8	
Total	\$8,275.0	270	\$8,243.0	265
Number of customers		98		97

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Weighted average age of fleet (years)	5	5

(1) Includes operating lease equipment held for sale.

(2) Plane count excludes aircraft in which our net investment consists of syndicated financings against multiple aircraft. The net investment associated with such financings was \$50.3 million at September 30, 2012 and none at December 31, 2011.

(3) Narrow body are single aisle design and consist primarily of Boeing 737 and 757 series, Airbus A320 series, and Embraer E170 and E190 aircraft. Intermediate body are smaller twin aisle design and consist primarily of Boeing 767 series and Airbus A330 series aircraft. Wide body are large twin aisle design and consist primarily of Boeing 747 and 777 series aircraft. Regional and Other includes aircraft and related equipment such as engines.

Our top five commercial aerospace outstanding exposures totaled \$1,819.3 million and \$1,839.4 million at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively; all of which were to carriers outside the U.S. The largest individual outstanding exposure totaled \$777.0 million and \$763.4 million at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. The largest individual outstanding exposure to a U.S. carrier totaled \$166.7 million and \$143.9 million at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

See Note 11 Commitments for information regarding commitments to purchase additional aircraft.

**Student Lending (Student Loan Xpress or SLX )**

Consumer includes our liquidating student loan portfolio. During 2012, we sold \$1.5 billion of student loans. At September 30, 2012, approximately \$0.5 billion in student loans were classified as available for sale.

**Student Lending Receivables, including held for sale, by Product Type** (dollars in millions)

	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Consolidation loans	\$ 4,266.7	\$ 5,315.7
Other U.S. Government guaranteed loans	25.4	1,014.2
Private (non-guaranteed) loans and other	1.6	1.8
Total	\$ 4,293.7	\$ 6,331.7
Delinquencies (sixty days or more)	\$ 334.4	\$ 513.5
Top state concentrations (%)	35%	36%
Top state concentrations	California, New York, Texas, Florida Pennsylvania	California, New York, Texas, Ohio, Pennsylvania

See Note 5 Long-Term Borrowings for amount of related financings.

68 CIT GROUP INC

**Table of Contents**

**OTHER ASSETS / OTHER LIABILITIES**

The following table presents components of other assets.

**Other Assets** (dollars in millions)

	September 30, 2012	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Deposits on commercial aerospace equipment	\$ 558.3	\$ 495.8	\$ 463.7
Deferred debt costs	172.1	148.4	127.2
Other counterparty receivables <sup>(1)</sup>	138.4	32.2	94.1
Tax receivables, other than income taxes	128.8	68.0	57.5
Executive retirement plan and deferred compensation	109.4	110.1	110.2
Accrued interest and dividends	106.4	102.1	143.8
Prepaid expenses	80.5	87.3	86.3
Furniture and fixtures	79.0	77.0	79.5
Other	279.0	333.4	405.9
Total other assets	\$1,651.9	\$1,454.3	\$1,568.2

<sup>(1)</sup> The sequential increase in other counterparty receivables reflects the weakening of the U.S. dollar against foreign currencies, which negatively impacts the value of our hedges and increased the amount of required collateral.

The following table presents components of other liabilities:

**Other Liabilities** (dollars in millions)

	September 30, 2012	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Equipment maintenance reserves	\$ 804.5	\$ 756.0	\$ 690.6
Accrued expenses	418.9	403.0	490.7
Security and other deposits	226.1	208.7	199.6
Accrued interest payable	209.1	223.4	189.9
Estimated valuation adjustment relating to aerospace commitments <sup>(1)</sup>	207.6	210.9	252.8
Current taxes payable and deferred taxes	125.7	121.2	55.5
Accounts payable	119.8	179.7	145.9
Other <sup>(2)</sup>	455.7	391.3	537.2
Total other liabilities	\$2,567.4	\$2,494.2	\$2,562.2

<sup>(1)</sup> In conjunction with FSA, a non-accretable liability was recorded to reflect the current fair value of aircraft purchase commitments outstanding at the time. As the aircraft are purchased, through 2018, the cost basis of the assets will be reduced by the associated liability.

<sup>(2)</sup> Other liabilities consist of other taxes, property tax reserves, and other miscellaneous liabilities.

**RISK MANAGEMENT**

We are subject to a variety of risks that can manifest themselves in the course of the business that we operate in. We consider the following to be the principal forms of risk:

n Credit and asset risk (including lending, leasing, counterparty, equipment valuation and residual risk)

- n Market risk (including interest rate and foreign currency)
- n Liquidity risk
- n Legal, regulatory and compliance risks (including compliance with laws and regulations)
- n Operational risks (risk of financial loss or potential damage to a firm's reputation, or other adverse impacts resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes and systems, people or external events)

Managing risk is essential to conducting our businesses and to our profitability. This starts with defining our risk appetite, setting risk acceptance criteria, and establishing credit authorities, limits and target performance metrics. Ensuring appropriate risk governance and oversight includes establishing and enforcing policies, procedures and processes to manage risk. Adequately identifying, monitoring and reporting on risk is essential to ensure that actions are taken to proactively manage risk. This requires appropriate data, tools, models, analytics and management information systems. Finally, ensuring the appropriate expertise through staffing and training is key to effective risk management.

During the second quarter, we updated and enhanced our credit grading models for individually graded exposures. These updated models, which were developed using CIT's

**Item 2: Management's Discussion and Analysis and Item 3: Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk** 69

**Table of Contents**

historic data, are part of our ongoing model development life cycle. The impact of using these models was not significant to the allowance for loan losses as of September 30, 2012. Absent any changes in the current credit environment, we do not expect any adverse impact to our allowance for loan losses on existing loans as the remaining portfolio is re-graded. See *Credit Metrics* for information on the allowance for loan losses.

Our policies and procedures relating to Risk Management are detailed in our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

**Interest Rate Risk**

At September 30, 2012, over 60% of the Company's loan, lease, and investment portfolio was fixed rate, with the balance floating rate, while just over 70% of our interest-bearing liabilities were fixed rate. As a result, our portfolio is in an asset sensitive position, as our assets will reprice faster than our liabilities. Therefore, our net interest margin may increase if interest rates rise, or decrease should interest rates decline. The following table summarizes the composition of interest rate sensitive assets and liabilities. The increase in fixed rate assets reflects the change in portfolio mix during 2012 including a higher proportion of operating lease assets and a lower proportion of student loans in the first half of 2012.

	September 30, 2012		June 30, 2012		December 31, 2011	
	Fixed Rate	Floating Rate	Fixed Rate	Floating Rate	Fixed Rate	Floating Rate
Assets	62%	38%	61%	39%	56%	44%
Liabilities	72%	28%	76%	24%	77%	23%

We evaluate and monitor interest rate risk through two primary metrics.

- n Net Interest Income (NII), which measures the impact of hypothetical changes in interest rates on net interest income.
- n Economic Value of Equity (EVE), which measures the net economic value of equity by assessing the market value of assets, liabilities and derivatives.

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

A wide variety of potential interest rate scenarios are simulated within our asset/liability management system. Rates are shocked up and down via a set of scenarios that include both parallel and non-parallel interest rate movements. Scenarios are also run to capture our sensitivity to changes in the shape of the yield curve. In addition, we evaluate the sensitivity of these results to a number of key assumptions, such as credit quality, spreads, prepayments and operating lease behavior. NII and EVE limits have been set and are monitored for certain of the key scenarios.

The table below summarizes the results of simulation modeling produced by our asset/liability management system. The results reflect the percentage change in net interest income and economic value of equity over the next twelve months assuming an immediate 100 basis point parallel increase and decrease in interest rates.

	September 30, 2012		June 30, 2012		December 31, 2011	
	+100 bps	100 bps	+100 bps	100 bps	+100 bps	100 bps
Net Interest Income	8.9%	(2.7)%	8.9%	(4.6)%	11.4%	(6.0)%
Economic Value of Equity	(3.4)%	5.0%	(5.9)%	7.2%	(6.1)%	9.5%

The change to the Economic Value of Equity period over period was driven by the refinancing of high cost unsecured debt. The simulation modeling assumes we take no action in response to the assumed changes in interest rates. Net Interest Income remains asset sensitive to parallel shifts in rates at September 30, 2012.

Although we believe that these measurements provide an estimate of our interest rate sensitivity, they do not account for potential changes in credit quality, size, and prepayment characteristics of our balance sheet. They also do not account for other business developments that could affect net income, or for management actions that could affect net income or that could be taken to change our risk profile. Accordingly, we can give no assurance that actual results would not differ materially from the estimated outcomes of our simulations. Further, such simulations do not represent our current view of expected future interest rate movements.

### FUNDING, LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL

Portfolio collections, capital markets, securitizations, various credit facilities, secured borrowings and deposits provide our sources of funding and liquidity. The Company also maintains a portfolio of cash and investment securities and a committed \$2 billion Revolving Credit Facility to satisfy funding and other operating obligations, while also providing protection against unforeseen stress events, for instance unanticipated funding obligations, such as customer line draws, or disruptions to capital markets or other funding sources.

Cash and short-term investment securities totaled \$7,205.6 million at September 30, 2012 (\$6,455.5 million

70 CIT GROUP INC

#### Table of Contents

of cash and \$750.1 million of short-term investments), up from \$7,043.1 million at June 30, 2012 and down from \$8,372.8 million at December 31, 2011. Cash and short-term investment securities at September 30, 2012 consisted of \$1.9 billion related to the bank holding company, \$3.6 billion at CIT Bank, \$0.6 billion at operating subsidiaries and \$1.1 billion in restricted balances.

Our short-term investments include U.S. Treasury bills and Government Agency bonds. All these investments are classified as available for sale and have maturities of 65 days or less as of the investment date. We anticipate continued investment of our cash in various types of liquid, high-grade investments.

In addition to the cash and short-term investment securities, CIT had approximately \$1.4 billion of unused and committed liquidity under the Revolving Credit Facility at September 30, 2012. Including the Revolving Credit Facility, secured committed facilities at September 30, 2012, totaled \$6.4 billion, of which \$3.0 billion was undrawn.

## 2012 Financings and Liability Management

During 2012, CIT eliminated or refinanced approximately \$15 billion of high cost debt as we completed the redemption of approximately \$31 billion of high cost debt incurred during our restructuring in 2009. In the third quarter, CIT redeemed the remaining \$4.6 billion of 7% Series C Notes at par. This is in addition to the redemption of \$4.1 billion at par and repurchase of \$140 million at a slight discount of 7% Series C Notes last quarter. During the first quarter of 2012, CIT redeemed approximately \$6.5 billion of the Series A Notes, which represented the entire remaining balance of the Series A Notes. In aggregate, these transactions reduced 2012 pre-tax income by over \$1.3 billion (\$471 million in the 2012 third quarter) due to accelerated FSA accretion and loss on debt extinguishment. The elimination of our remaining Series A Notes in the 2012 first quarter resulted in all of our Series C Notes becoming unsecured. In addition, the Revolving Credit Facility also became unsecured upon our completion of certain administrative requirements as set forth under the Revolving Credit Facility.

In 2012, CIT has raised nearly \$10 billion of term unsecured debt with an average maturity of approximately 6 years and a weighted average coupon of approximately 5%, including:

- n In February 2012, CIT closed a private placement of \$3.25 billion aggregate principal amount of Series C Notes (discussed further below in Series C Notes ), consisting of \$1.5 billion principal amount of 4.75% Series C Notes due 2015 and \$1.75 billion principal amount of 5.50% Series C Notes due 2019.
- n In March 2012, CIT filed a shelf registration statement and issued \$1.5 billion of 5.25% senior unsecured notes that mature in 2018.
- n In May 2012, CIT issued at par \$1.25 billion of 5.00% senior unsecured notes that mature in 2017 and \$750 million of 5.375% senior unsecured notes that mature in 2020.
- n In August 2012, CIT issued at par \$1.75 billion of 4.25% senior unsecured notes that mature in 2017 and \$1.25 billion of 5.00% senior unsecured notes that mature in 2022.

CIT has also entered into numerous secured financing transactions during 2012 as described under the *Secured Borrowings* section below.

Since January 2010, CIT has eliminated or refinanced approximately \$31 billion of high cost debt and entered into over \$20 billion of new financings and credit facilities.

During the third quarter, CIT Bank placed over \$1.9 billion of deposits at an average rate of approximately 1.3% and with an average CD term of over three years. CIT Bank deposits totaled \$8.6 billion at September 30, 2012, up from \$4.9 billion at September 30, 2011 and \$7.1 billion at June 30, 2012. The average interest rate was 1.8% at September 30, 2012, down from 2.7% at September 30, 2011 and 2.0% at June 30, 2012. See *CIT Bank* section.

As a result of our 2012 funding and liability management initiatives, we reduced the weighted average coupon rates on outstanding deposits and long-term borrowings to 3.28% at September 30, 2012 from 3.83% and 4.84% at June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, respectively. We also continued to make progress towards our long term targeted funding mix of 35% to 45% deposits, 25% to 35% secured borrowings and 25% to 35% unsecured borrowings, respectively. At September 30, 2012, deposits represented 28% of our funding, with secured and unsecured borrowings comprising 33% and 39% of the funding mix, respectively.

### Unsecured Borrowings

As a result of redeeming the remaining Series A Notes during the 2012 first quarter, the Revolving Credit Facility and all of our Series C Notes became unsecured.

### Revolving Credit Facility

On August 25, 2011, CIT and certain of its subsidiaries entered into a Revolving Credit and Guaranty Agreement, among CIT Group Inc., certain subsidiaries of CIT Group Inc., as guarantors, the lenders party thereto from time to time and Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent, collateral agent and letter of credit issuer (the Revolving Credit Facility ). The total commitment amount under the Revolving Credit Facility is \$2 billion consisting of a \$1.65 billion revolving loan tranche and a \$350 million revolving loan tranche that can also be utilized for issuance of letters of credit. The Revolving Credit Facility matures on August 14, 2015 and will accrue interest at a per annum rate of LIBOR plus a margin of 2.00% to 2.75% (with no floor) or Base Rate plus a margin of 1.00% to 1.75% (with no floor). The applicable margin will be determined by reference to the long-term senior unsecured, non-credit enhanced debt rating of the Company by S&P and Moody's effective at relevant times during the life of the Revolving Credit Facility. Due to the Company's credit rating upgrades in early 2012, the applicable margin for LIBOR loans is 2.50% and the applicable margin for Base Rate loans is 1.50% at September 30, 2012.

## **Table of Contents**

The Revolving Credit Facility may be prepaid and re-borrowed from time to time at the option of CIT. The amount available to draw upon at September 30, 2012 was approximately \$1.4 billion. The unutilized portion of any commitment under the Revolving Credit Facility may be reduced permanently or terminated by CIT at any time without penalty.

Once the Company redeemed all the remaining Series A Second-Priority Secured Notes ( Series A Notes ) during the 2012 first quarter, all the collateral and subsidiary guarantees under the Revolving Credit Facility were released, except for subsidiary guarantees from eight of the Company's domestic operating subsidiaries ( Continuing Guarantors ). Once the Revolving Credit Facility became unsecured, the collateral coverage covenant was replaced by an asset coverage covenant (based on the book value of eligible assets of the Continuing Guarantors) of 2.0x the sum of: (i) the committed facility size and (ii) all outstanding indebtedness (including, without duplication, guarantees of such indebtedness) for borrowed money (excluding subordinated intercompany indebtedness) of the Continuing Guarantors, tested monthly and upon certain dispositions or encumbrances of eligible assets of the Continuing Guarantors. At September 30, 2012, the asset coverage ratio was 2.4x.

The Revolving Credit Facility is also subject to a \$6 billion minimum consolidated net worth covenant of the Company, tested quarterly, and limits the Company's ability to create liens, merge or consolidate, sell, transfer, lease or dispose of all or substantially all of its assets, grant a negative pledge or make certain restricted payments during the occurrence and continuance of an event of default.

## **Senior Unsecured Debt**

In March 2012, CIT filed a shelf registration statement and issued at par \$1.5 billion of senior unsecured notes that mature in 2018 and bear interest at a rate of 5.25%. In May 2012, CIT issued at par \$1.25 billion of senior unsecured notes that mature in 2017 and bear interest at a rate of 5.00% and \$750 million of senior unsecured notes that mature in 2020 and bear interest at a rate of 5.375%. In August 2012, CIT issued at par \$1.75 billion of senior unsecured notes that mature in 2017 and bear interest at a rate of 4.25% and \$1.25 billion of senior unsecured notes that mature in 2022 and bear interest at a rate of 5.00%. The proceeds of these transactions were used, in conjunction with available cash, to redeem 7% Series C Notes in 2012.

These senior unsecured notes rank equal in right of payment with the Series C Notes and the Revolving Credit Facility. The Revolving Credit Facility also benefits from certain subsidiary guarantees as described above.

## **Series C Notes**

In March 2011, the Company issued \$2 billion of new Series C Notes, consisting of \$1.3 billion of three-year 5.25% fixed rate notes and \$700 million of seven-year 6.625% fixed rate notes.

In June 2011, the Company successfully completed an Exchange Offer and Consent Solicitation for outstanding 7% Series A Notes maturing in 2015, 2016 and 2017. As a result, \$8.76 billion principal amount of Series C Notes (pre-FSA) with the same interest rate were issued in exchange for the Series A Notes tendered and accepted.

In February 2012, the Company issued at par \$3.25 billion aggregate principal amount of Series C Notes, consisting of \$1.5 billion principal amount of three-year 4.75% fixed rate notes due 2015 (the 2015 Notes ) and \$1.75 billion principal amount of seven-year 5.50% fixed rate notes due 2019 (the 2019 Notes, together with the 2015 Notes, the Notes ). The proceeds of the transaction were used, in conjunction with available cash, to redeem the remaining Series A Notes in March 2012.

Once the Company's remaining Series A Notes were redeemed during the 2012 first quarter, all the collateral and subsidiary guarantees under the Series C Notes were released and the notes became senior unsecured obligations.

The Series C Notes Indentures limit the Company's ability to create liens, merge or consolidate, or sell, transfer, lease or dispose of all or substantially all of its assets. Upon a Change of Control Triggering Event as defined in the Series C Indentures, holders of the Series C Notes will have the right to require the Company, as applicable, to repurchase all or a portion of the Series C Notes at a purchase price equal to 101% of the principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the date of such repurchase.

During 2012, CIT redeemed or repurchased the \$8.76 billion of 7% Series C Notes (\$4.6 billion in the third quarter of 2012), which resulted in the acceleration of over \$1.3 billion of FSA discount accretion (\$0.5 billion in the third quarter) that was recorded as additional interest expense



## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

and a loss on debt extinguishment of \$61 million (\$17 million in the third quarter).

### **Secured Series A Notes**

On December 10, 2009, pursuant to the Plan of Reorganization the Company issued \$21.04 billion principal amount of its 7.0% Series A Second-Priority Secured Notes with maturities each year from 2013 to 2017 (the Series A Notes ).

During the 2012 first quarter, CIT redeemed the remaining \$6.5 billion of Series A Notes, which resulted in the acceleration of \$597 million of FSA discount accretion that was recorded as additional interest expense and a loss on debt extinguishment of \$23 million reflecting a portion of the underwriting fees on the \$3.25 billion issuance of Series C Notes in February 2012.

The elimination of our remaining Series A Notes resulted in all of our Series C Notes and Revolving Credit Facility becoming unsecured. In addition, the Cash Sweep requirement was eliminated. See Series C Notes above for discussion on covenants and also Item 1 Consolidated Financial Statements, *Note 5 Long-Term Borrowings*.

72 CIT GROUP INC

---

### **Table of Contents**

#### **Secured Borrowings**

Our secured financing transactions do not meet accounting requirements for sale treatment and are recorded as secured borrowings, with the assets remaining on-balance sheet. The debt associated with these transactions is collateralized by receivables, leases and/or equipment. Certain related cash balances are restricted.

Secured borrowings, which include securitizations, totaled \$10.6 billion at September 30, 2012, up slightly from December 31, 2011.

In April 2012, CIT closed a \$753 million equipment lease securitization, secured by a pool of U.S. equipment leases from CIT's Vendor Finance business segment. The weighted average fixed coupon was 1.45%, which represented a weighted average credit spread of 0.88% over benchmark rates for the six classes of notes. The securitization had a net advance rate of 92.5%.

In June 2012, we closed a \$1 billion committed U.S. Vendor Finance conduit facility that allows the U.S. Vendor Finance business to fund both existing assets and new originations within CIT Bank, renewed a £100 million (approximately \$160 million based on the June 30, 2012 exchange rate) UK Vendor Finance conduit facility with improved terms and closed an aircraft financing under our existing European Export Credit Agencies (ECA) facility.

In July 2012, CIT closed a C\$515 million (\$511 million based on the exchange rate at the time of the transaction) securitization secured by a pool of Canadian equipment receivables from CIT's Vendor Finance business segment. The weighted average fixed coupon was 2.285%, which represents a weighted average credit spread of 1.31% over benchmark Government of Canada treasury rates for the three classes of notes. The securitization had a net advance rate of 96.75%.

In August and September 2012, we funded 6 Boeing aircraft under a secured facility guaranteed by the Export-Import Bank of the United States for total proceeds of approximately \$200 million.

In September 2012, we renewed a \$500 million committed facility secured by receivables at a lower cost and with a final maturity in November 2014 and also closed a new RMB2.2 billion (approximately \$345 million based on the exchange rate at the time of the transaction) committed facility, which is in addition to an existing facility closed in 2011, that will allow Vendor Finance to fund new originations in China. The committed availability period of the Vendor China facility expires in September 2014 with a three year final maturity for each drawdown under the facility.

#### **GSI Facilities**

On October 26, 2011, CIT Group Inc. ( CIT ) amended its existing \$2.125 billion total return swap facility between CIT Financial Ltd. ( CFL ) and Goldman Sachs International ( GSI ) in order to provide greater flexibility for certain assets to be funded under the facility. The size of the existing CFL Facility was reduced to \$1.5 billion, and the \$625 million formerly available under the existing CFL facility was transferred to a new total return swap facility between GSI and CIT TRS Funding B.V. ( BV ), a wholly-owned subsidiary of CIT. The CFL Facility and the BV

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Facility are together referred to below as the GSI Facilities.

At September 30, 2012, a total of \$3,476.8 million, after FSA, of financing and leasing assets, comprised of \$267.0 million in Corporate Finance, \$1,114.2 million in Consumer and \$2,095.6 million in commercial aerospace and rail assets in Transportation Finance, were pledged in conjunction with \$2,189.0 million in secured debt issued to investors under the GSI Facilities. After adjustment to the amount of actual qualifying borrowing base under terms of the GSI Facilities, this \$2,189.0 million of secured debt provided for usage of \$1,943.6 million of the maximum notional amount of the GSI Facilities at September 30, 2012. The remaining \$181.4 million of the maximum notional amount represents the unused portion of the GSI Facilities and constitutes the notional amount of derivative financial instruments. Actual terms of the GSI Facilities, including facility usage and collateral coverage, are measured on a pre-FSA basis. Unsecured counterparty receivable of \$592.9 million, net of FSA, is owed to CIT from GSI for debt discount, return of collateral posted to GSI and settlements resulting from market value changes to asset-backed securities underlying the structures at September 30, 2012.

The CFL Facility was originally executed on June 6, 2008, and under an October 28, 2009 amendment, the maximum notional amount of the CFL Facility was reduced from \$3.0 billion to \$2.125 billion. During the first half of 2008, CIT experienced significant constraints on its ability to raise funding through the debt capital markets and access the Company's historical sources of funding. The CFL Facility provided a swapped rate on qualifying secured funding at a lower cost than available to CIT through other funding sources. The CFL Facility was structured as a TRS to satisfy the specific requirements to obtain this funding commitment from GSI. Pursuant to applicable accounting guidance, only the unutilized portion of the total return swap is accounted for as a derivative and recorded at fair value. Under the terms of the GSI Facilities, CIT raises cash from the issuance of Asset Backed Securities (ABS) to investors designated by GSI under the total return swap, equivalent to the face amount of the ABS less an adjustment for any Original Issue Discount (OID) which equals the market price of the ABS. CIT is also required to deposit a portion of the face amount of the ABS with GSI as additional collateral prior to funding ABS through the GSI Facilities.

Amounts deposited with GSI can increase or decrease over time depending on the market value of the ABS and / or changes in the ratings of the ABS. CIT and GSI engage in periodic settlements based on the timing and amount of coupon, principal and any other payments actually made on the ABS. GSI is obligated to return those same amounts to CIT plus a proportionate amount of the initial deposit.

CIT is obligated to pay GSI (1) principal in an amount equal to the initial market price less the initial deposit, in

**Item 2:** Management's Discussion and Analysis and **Item 3:** Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk 73

---

### Table of Contents

each case, as a percentage of the ABS times the principal amount returned by GSI and (2) interest equal to LIBOR times the adjusted qualifying borrowing base of the ABS. On a quarterly basis, CIT pays the fixed facility fee of 2.85% per annum times the maximum facility commitment amount, currently \$1.5 billion under the CFL Facility and \$625 million under the BV Facility, to GSI.

Valuation of the derivatives related to the GSI Facilities is based on several factors using a discounted cash flow (DCF) methodology, including:

- n CIT's funding costs for similar financings based on the current market environment;
- n Forecasted usage of the long-dated GSI Facilities through the final maturity date in 2028; and
- n Forecasted amortization, including prepayment assumptions, due to principal payments on the underlying ABS, which impacts the amount of the unutilized portion.

Based on the Company's valuation, it was determined that the derivatives had no material value at September 30, 2012.

Interest expense related to the GSI Facilities is affected by the following:

- n A fixed facility fee of 2.85% per annum times the maximum facility commitment amount, currently \$1.5 billion under the CFL Facility and \$625 million under the BV Facility

n

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

A variable amount based on one-month or three-month USD LIBOR times the utilized amount (effectively the adjusted qualifying borrowing base) of the total return swap, and

n A reduction in interest expense due to the recognition of the payment of any OID from GSI on the various ABS.

### Debt Ratings

Our debt ratings at September 30, 2012 are presented in the following table and are unchanged from June 30, 2012. Changes since December 31, 2011 include: 1) On February 13, 2012, DBRS increased our debt ratings one notch to an issuer / counterparty credit rating and Series C/senior unsecured debt rating of BB (Low) and the Revolving Credit Facility rating was increased to BB (High), 2) On February 16, 2012, Moody's Investor Service increased our debt ratings one notch to an issuer / counterparty credit rating and Series C/senior unsecured debt rating of B1 and 3) On March 9, 2012 S&P Ratings Services increased our debt ratings one notch to an issuer / counterparty credit rating and Series C debt rating to BB-, lowered its rating one notch on the Revolving Credit Facility to BB- and changed the outlook to stable.

#### Debt Ratings as of September 30, 2012

	S&P Ratings Services	Moody's Investors Service	DBRS
Issuer / Counterparty Credit Rating	BB	B1	BB (Low)
Revolving Credit Facility Rating	BB	Ba3	BB (High)
Series C Notes / Senior Unsecured Debt Rating	BB	B1	BB (Low)
Outlook	Stable	Stable	Positive

Debt ratings can influence the cost and availability of short-and long-term funding, the terms and conditions on which such funding may be available, the collateral requirements, if any, for borrowings and certain derivative instruments, the acceptability of our letters of credit, and the number of investors and counterparties willing to lend to the Company. A decrease, or potential decrease, in credit ratings could impact access to the capital markets and/or increase the cost of debt, and thereby adversely affect the Company's liquidity and financial condition.

Rating agencies indicate that they base their ratings on many quantitative and qualitative factors, including capital adequacy, liquidity, asset quality, business mix, level and quality of earnings, and the current legislative and regulatory environment, including implied government support. In addition, rating agencies themselves have been subject to scrutiny arising from the financial crisis and could make or be required to make substantial changes to their ratings policies and practices, particularly in response to legislative and regulatory changes, including as a result of provisions in Dodd-Frank. Potential changes in the legislative and regulatory environment and the timing of those changes could impact our ratings, which as noted above, could impact our liquidity and financial condition.

A debt rating is not a recommendation to buy, sell or hold securities, and the ratings are subject to revision or withdrawal at any time by the assigning rating agency. Each rating should be evaluated independently of any other rating.

### Tax Implications on Cash in Foreign Subsidiaries

Cash and short term investments held by foreign subsidiaries, including cash available to the BHC and restricted cash, at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 totaled \$1.5 billion and \$1.6 billion, respectively.

With respect to the Company's investments in foreign subsidiaries, Management has historically asserted the intent to indefinitely reinvest the unremitted earnings of its foreign subsidiaries with very limited exceptions. However, in 2009, Management determined that it would no longer make this

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

assertion because of certain cash flow and funding uncertainties consequent to its recent emergence from bankruptcy and the fact that Management was still in the early stages of developing its long-term strategic and liquidity plans. By 2010, the Company had a new leadership team charged with re-evaluating the Company's long-term business and strategic plans. Their initial post-bankruptcy plan was to aggressively grow the Company's international business. Accordingly, in 2010, with very limited exceptions, Management decided to assert indefinite reinvestment of the unremitted earnings of its foreign subsidiaries.

In the quarter ended December 31, 2011, Management decided to no longer assert its intent to indefinitely reinvest its foreign earnings, except for its Chinese subsidiary. This decision was driven by events over the last year that culminated in Management's conclusion during the quarter that the Company may need to repatriate foreign earnings to address certain long-term investment and funding strategies. Some of the significant events that impacted Management's decision included the re-evaluation of the Company's debt and capital structures of its subsidiaries, and the need to pay-down the Company's high cost debt in the U.S. In addition, certain restrictions on the Company's first and second lien debt were removed during the 2011 fourth quarter upon the repayment of the remaining 2014 Series A debt. The removal of these restrictions allows the Company to transfer and repatriate cash to repay its high cost debt in the U.S. and recapitalize certain foreign subsidiaries. All these events contributed to Management's decision to no longer assert indefinite reinvestment of its foreign earnings, with the exception of its Chinese subsidiary. As of the quarter ended September 30, 2012, there has been no change to this decision.

### Contractual Payments and Commitments

The following tables summarize significant contractual payments and contractual commitment expirations at September 30, 2012. Certain amounts in the payments table are not the same as the respective balance sheet totals, because this table is before FSA, in order to better reflect projected contractual payments. Likewise, actual cash flows will vary materially from those depicted in the payments table as further explained in the table footnotes.

#### Payments and Collections for the Twelve Months Ended September 30<sup>(1)</sup> (dollars in millions)

	Total	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017+
Secured borrowings <sup>(2)</sup>	\$ 11,047.6	\$ 1,436.8	\$ 1,514.8	\$ 890.2	\$ 862.7	\$ 6,343.1
Revolving credit facility	500.0			500.0		
Unsecured Series C Notes	5,250.0		1,300.0	1,500.0		2,450.0
Senior unsecured	6,500.0					6,500.0
Other debt	132.9	1.2	0.7			131.0
<b>Total Long-term borrowings</b>	<b>23,430.5</b>	<b>1,438.0</b>	<b>2,815.5</b>	<b>2,890.2</b>	<b>862.7</b>	<b>15,424.1</b>
Deposits	8,704.1	2,762.2	2,201.2	1,441.3	1,164.1	1,135.3
Credit balances of factoring clients	1,224.9	1,224.9				
Lease rental expense	220.5	62.3	28.0	26.2	23.1	80.9
<b>Total contractual payments</b>	<b>\$ 33,580.0</b>	<b>\$ 5,487.4</b>	<b>\$ 5,044.7</b>	<b>\$ 4,357.7</b>	<b>\$ 2,049.9</b>	<b>\$ 16,640.3</b>

<sup>(1)</sup> Projected payments of debt interest expense and obligations relating to postretirement programs are excluded.

<sup>(2)</sup> Includes non-recourse secured borrowings, which are generally repaid in conjunction with the pledged receivable maturities.

#### Commitment Expiration by Twelve Month Periods Ended September 30 (dollars in millions)

	Total	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017+
Financing commitments <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 2,802.2	\$ 375.5	\$ 146.0	\$ 289.7	\$ 1,037.3	\$ 953.7
Aerospace and other manufacturer purchase commitments <sup>(2)</sup>	9,156.9	1,220.3	1,382.8	1,452.9	1,689.3	3,411.6
Letters of credit	325.3	104.2	8.0	24.4	103.3	85.4
Deferred purchase credit protection agreements	1,910.6	1,910.6				

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

	Total	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017+
Guarantees, acceptances and other recourse obligations	22.5	17.9	3.5	1.1		
Liabilities for unrecognized tax obligations <sup>(3)</sup>	312.7	10.0	302.7			
Total contractual commitments	\$ 14,530.2	\$ 3,638.5	\$ 1,843.0	\$ 1,768.1	\$ 2,829.9	\$ 4,450.7

<sup>(1)</sup> Financing commitments do not include certain unused, cancelable lines of credit to customers in connection with third-party vendor programs, which can be reduced or cancelled by CIT at any time without notice.

<sup>(2)</sup> Aerospace commitments are net of amounts on deposit with manufacturers.

<sup>(3)</sup> The balance cannot be estimated past 2014; therefore the remaining balance is reflected in 2014.

**Item 2:** Management's Discussion and Analysis and **Item 3:** Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk 75

**Table of Contents**

Financing commitments increased 2% from December 31, 2011 to \$2.8 billion at September 30, 2012. At September 30, 2012, substantially all financing commitments were senior facilities, with 70% secured by equipment or other assets and the remainder comprised of cash flow or enterprise value facilities. Most of our undrawn and available financing commitments are in Corporate Finance. The top ten undrawn commitments totaled \$316 million at September 30, 2012.

The table above includes approximately \$0.5 billion of commitments at September 30, 2012 and \$0.4 billion at December 31, 2011 that were not available for draw due to requirements for collateral availability or covenant conditions.

**Risk Weighted Assets**

For a BHC, capital adequacy is based upon risk-weighted asset ratios calculated in accordance with quantitative measures established by the Federal Reserve. Under these guidelines, certain commitments and off-balance sheet transactions are assigned asset equivalent balances, and together with on-balance sheet assets, are divided into risk categories, each of which is assigned a risk weighting ranging from 0% (for example U.S. Treasury Bonds) to 100% (for example commercial loans). The reconciliation of balance sheet assets to risk-weighted assets is presented below:

**Risk-Weighted Assets** (dollars in millions)

	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Balance sheet assets	\$ 43,580.9	\$ 45,226.7
Risk weighting adjustments to balance sheet assets	(10,204.3)	(12,323.6)
Off balance sheet items <sup>(1)</sup>	12,553.3	11,913.4
Risk-weighted assets	\$ 45,929.9	\$ 44,816.5

<sup>(1)</sup> Primarily reflects commitments to purchase aircraft, unused lines of credit, letters of credit and deferred purchase agreements.

**Regulatory Capitalization**

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

The Company is subject to various regulatory capital requirements set by the Federal Reserve Board. CIT committed to its regulators to maintain a 13% Total Capital Ratio at the BHC and a 15% Tier 1 Leverage Ratio at CIT Bank. As a result of higher risk weighted assets and losses during the 2012 reporting periods, our capital ratios declined from December 31, 2011, but remain above the levels required for either a bank holding company or an insured depository institution to be considered well capitalized

76 CIT GROUP INC

### Table of Contents

#### **Tier 1 Capital and Total Capital Components** (dollars in millions)

	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
<b>Tier 1 Capital</b>		
Total stockholders' equity	\$ 8,086.0	\$ 8,888.5
Effect of certain items in accumulated other comprehensive loss excluded from Tier 1 Capital	51.5	54.3
Adjusted total equity	8,137.5	8,942.8
Less: Goodwill <sup>(1)</sup>	(338.0)	(338.0)
Disallowed intangible assets <sup>(1)</sup>	(38.6)	(63.6)
Investment in certain subsidiaries	(34.7)	(36.6)
Other Tier 1 components <sup>(2)</sup>	(63.4)	(58.1)
Tier 1 Capital	7,662.8	8,446.5
<b>Tier 2 Capital</b>		
Qualifying reserve for credit losses and other reserves <sup>(3)</sup>	420.2	429.9
Less: Investment in certain subsidiaries	(34.7)	(36.6)
Other Tier 2 components <sup>(4)</sup>	0.7	
Total qualifying capital	\$ 8,049.0	\$ 8,839.8
Risk-weighted assets	\$45,929.9	\$44,816.5
<b>BHC Ratios</b>		
Tier 1 Capital Ratio	16.7%	18.8%
Total Capital Ratio	17.5%	19.7%
Tier 1 Leverage Ratio	17.4%	18.9%
<b>CIT Bank Ratios</b>		
Tier 1 Capital Ratio	26.3%	36.5%
Total Capital Ratio	27.4%	37.5%
Tier 1 Leverage Ratio	21.4%	24.7%

<sup>(1)</sup> Goodwill and disallowed intangible assets adjustments also reflect the portion included within assets held for sale.

<sup>(2)</sup> Includes the portion of net deferred tax assets that does not qualify for inclusion in Tier 1 capital based on the capital guidelines, the Tier 1 capital charge for nonfinancial equity investments and the Tier 1 capital deduction for net unrealized losses on available-for-sale marketable securities (net of tax).

<sup>(3)</sup> Other reserves represents additional credit loss reserves for unfunded lending commitments, letters of credit, and deferred purchase agreements, all of which are recorded in Other Liabilities.

<sup>(4)</sup> Banking organizations are permitted to include in Tier 2 Capital up to 45% of net unrealized pre-tax gains on available for sale equity securities with readily determinable fair values.

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

On August 12, 2009, CIT entered into a Written Agreement with the Federal Reserve Bank of New York (the FRBNY). Among other requirements, the Written Agreement requires prior written approval by the FRBNY for payment of dividends and distributions and the purchase or redemption of stock.

Regulatory capital guidelines are currently based on the 1988 Capital Accord of the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (Basel I). We compute capital ratios in accordance with Federal Reserve capital guidelines for assessing adequacy of capital. To meet the requirements for being well capitalized, a BHC generally must maintain Tier 1 and Total Capital Ratios of at least 6% and 10%, respectively. The Federal Reserve Board also has established minimum guidelines. The minimum ratios are: Tier 1 Capital Ratio of 4.0%, Total Capital Ratio of 8.0% and Tier 1 Leverage Ratio of 4.0%. In order to be considered a well capitalized depository institution under FDIC guidelines, CIT Bank must maintain a Tier 1 Capital Ratio of at least 6%, a Total Capital Ratio of at least 10%, and a Tier 1 Leverage Ratio of at least 5%.

In 2004, the Basel Committee published a new capital accord (Basel II) to replace Basel I. We do not meet the thresholds to be a core bank and are therefore not required to comply with the advanced approaches of Basel II, as implemented in the U.S.

In December 2010, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision released its final framework for strengthening international capital and liquidity regulation (Basel III). Basel III requirements include higher minimum capital ratios, increased limitations on qualifying capital, minimum liquidity requirements and a more constrained leverage ratio requirement. For more information on the Basel III framework, see *Item 1. Business Overview Regulation Banking Supervision and Regulation Capital Requirements Basel III Requirements* in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

In June 2012, the U.S. bank regulatory agencies issued three joint notices of proposed rulemaking (NPR) that, taken together, would implement the capital reforms of the Basel III framework and changes required by the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection

**Item 2:** Management's Discussion and Analysis and **Item 3:** Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk 77

---

### Table of Contents

Act (Dodd-Frank). The first NPR, the Basel III NPR, generally follows the final Basel III framework and proposes higher minimum regulatory capital requirements and a more restrictive definition of regulatory capital, as well as introduces limits on dividends and other capital distributions and certain discretionary bonuses if capital conservation buffers are not held. The second NPR, the Standardized Approach NPR, proposes changes to the current, Basel I derived generalized risk-based capital requirements for determining risk-weighted assets that expands the risk-weighting categories from the current four Basel I-derived categories (0%, 20%, 50%, and 100%) to a much larger number of categories, depending on the nature of the assets, generally ranging from 0% for U.S. government and agency securities to 600% for certain equity exposures, and resulting in higher risk weights for a variety of asset categories. Lastly, the Advanced Approaches NPR proposes changes to the advanced approaches rules to be consistent with requirements of Basel II in its most current form and with Dodd-Frank. The U.S. bank regulatory agencies have not proposed rules implementing the final liquidity framework of Basel III and have not determined to what extent they will apply to U.S. banks that are not large, internationally active banks.

Although the Basel III NPR does not specify an effective date or implementation date, it contemplates that implementation will coincide with the international Basel III implementation schedule, which commences on January 1, 2013. The Standardized Approach NPR contemplated an effective date of January 1, 2015, subject to early adoption at the option of subject institutions.

CIT expects to be subject to the Basel III and Standardized Approaches NPRs. CIT does not meet the thresholds to be considered an Advanced Approaches bank and would not be subject to those requirements. In particular, CIT would not be subject to the supplementary leverage ratio or the countercyclical buffer to be implemented at the discretion of regulators during times of excessive credit growth.

Basel III revisions governing capital requirements are subject to a phased-in transition period which begins on January 1, 2013, with full implementation on January 1, 2019. If Basel III is fully implemented in the current form, CIT will be required to maintain risk-based capital ratios at January 1, 2019 as follows:

Minimum Capital Requirements January 1, 2019		
Tier 1 Common Equity	Tier 1 Capital	Total Capital

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

### Minimum Capital Requirements    January 1, 2019

	4.5%	6.0%	8.0%
Stated minimum Ratio	4.5%	6.0%	8.0%
Capital conservation buffer	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%
Effective minimum ratio	7.0%	8.5%	10.5%

Management believes that, as of September 30, 2012, CIT and CIT Bank would meet all capital adequacy requirements under the Basel III and Standardized Approach NPRs on a fully phased-in basis if such requirements were currently effective.

There can be no guarantee that the Basel III and the Standardized Approach NPRs will be adopted in their current form, what changes may be made before adoption, or when ultimate adoption will occur.

In October 2012, the Federal Reserve Board issued regulations detailing annual stress test requirements for bank holding companies, savings and loan companies and state member banks with total consolidated assets greater than \$10 billion but less than \$50 billion.<sup>(6)</sup>

With assets at September 30, 2012 of \$43.6 billion, CIT must conduct annual stress tests using scenarios provided by the Federal Reserve Board. A stress test is defined as a process to assess the potential impact of the scenarios provided by the Federal Reserve Board on the consolidated earnings, losses, and capital of a company over a planning horizon of 9 quarters, taking into account the current condition of the company and the company's risks, exposures, strategies, and activities. Beginning in 2013, annual stress test will be conducted in the fall with the Federal Reserve Board scenarios issued prior to November 15<sup>th</sup> of each year. Annual stress test results must be submitted by March 31st of each year. Beginning in June 2015, public disclosure of the prior year stress test results is required annually on a forum easily accessible to the public such as the bank holding company website. Should CIT's total consolidated assets equal or exceed \$50 billion<sup>(7)</sup> we will be subject to stress tests requirements for covered companies (subpart G of the Federal Reserve Board's Regulation YY).

Similarly, the FDIC published regulations requiring annual stress tests for FDIC-insured state nonmember banks and FDIC-insured state-chartered savings organizations with total consolidated assets of more than \$10 billion but less than \$50 billion.<sup>(7)</sup> CIT Bank is an FDIC-insured state nonmember bank with total assets of \$11.6 billion as of September 30, 2012. Currently, CIT Bank meets the noted asset threshold and will be required to conduct its first annual stress test using scenarios provided by the FDIC in the fall of 2014. Annual stress test results must be submitted before March 31st and publicly disclosed between June 15th and June 30th of the following year.

See the *Regulation* section of *Item 1 Business Overview of our 2011 Form 10-K* for further detail regarding regulatory matters.

<sup>(6)</sup> Total consolidated assets are determined as the average reported total assets in the FR Y-9C over the most recent four quarters.

<sup>(7)</sup> Total consolidated assets are determined as the average reported total assets in the Call Report over the most recent four quarters.

78 CIT GROUP INC

### Table of Contents

#### CIT BANK

CIT Bank is a state-chartered bank headquartered in Salt Lake City, Utah and is the Company's principal bank subsidiary. CIT Bank originates and funds lending activity in the U.S. of various business segments. Asset growth reflected increased commercial lending volume from the year-ago period and sequentially in Corporate Finance, Vendor Finance and Transportation Finance. Committed loan volume totaled \$1.7 billion, up 38% from the prior-year quarter and down 6% from the prior quarter. The increase from last year reflected significant increases in Transportation Finance and real estate lending. The sequential quarter decrease reflected lower Corporate Finance and Vendor Finance volumes, partially offset by higher lending volumes in Transportation Finance. Funded volumes reflected similar trends, up significantly over the prior year and down slightly sequentially. Year to date, both committed and funded volumes are up significantly over the 2011 period.



## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Total assets were \$11.6 billion, up from \$7.5 billion at September 30, 2011 and \$10.0 billion at June 30, 2012. Loans (including held-for-sale) totaled \$7.4 billion, up from \$6.3 billion at September 30, 2011 and \$6.6 billion at June 30, 2012 as commercial asset growth has been offset by the sale and run-off of consumer loans. Commercial financing and leasing assets of \$7.3 billion increased from \$2.9 billion at September 30, 2011 and \$6.3 billion at June 30, 2012. Assets held for sale totaled \$0.6 billion at September 30, 2012, which primarily consisted of student loans. Cash was \$3.6 billion at September 30, 2012, up from \$0.8 billion at September 30, 2011 and \$3.0 billion at June 30, 2012. CIT Bank's capital and leverage ratios remain well above required levels.

CIT Bank deposits totaled \$8.6 billion at September 30, 2012, up from \$4.9 billion at September 30, 2011 and \$7.1 billion at June 30, 2012. The average interest rate was 1.8% at September 30, 2012, down from 2.7% at September 30, 2011 and 2.0% at June 30, 2012. CD terms averaged over three years for those placed during the 2012 third quarter. The primary driver of the higher balances resulted from raising internet deposits. CIT Bank began offering on-line savings accounts in March 2012 to supplement the current range of CD offerings to consumers.

The following presents condensed financial information for CIT Bank.

### Condensed Balance Sheets (dollars in millions)

	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
<b>ASSETS:</b>		
Cash and deposits with banks	\$ 3,602.7	\$2,462.1
Investment securities	85.2	166.7
Assets held for sale	602.5	1,627.5
Commercial loans	6,771.1	3,912.4
Consumer loans		565.5
Allowance for loan losses	(86.9)	(49.0)
Operating lease equipment, net	455.5	31.3
Other assets	169.0	252.2
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>\$ 11,599.1</b>	<b>\$8,968.7</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND EQUITY:</b>		
Deposits	\$ 8,640.2	\$6,124.9
Long-term borrowings	487.6	576.7
Other liabilities	102.0	150.5
<b>Total Liabilities</b>	<b>9,229.8</b>	<b>6,852.1</b>
<b>Total Equity</b>	<b>2,369.3</b>	<b>2,116.6</b>
<b>Total Liabilities and Equity</b>	<b>\$ 11,599.1</b>	<b>\$8,968.7</b>
<b>Capital Ratios:</b>		
Tier 1 Capital Ratio	26.3%	36.5%
Total Capital Ratio	27.4%	37.5%
Tier 1 Leverage ratio	21.4%	24.7%

**Item 2:** Management's Discussion and Analysis and **Item 3:** Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk 79

### Table of Contents

#### Condensed Statements of Operations (dollars in millions)

Quarters Ended

Nine Months Ended

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

	Quarters Ended			Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	September 30, 2012	June 30, 2012	September 30, 2011	2012	2011
	Interest income	\$ 98.9	\$ 87.5	\$ 65.5	\$ 270.0
Interest expense	(39.3)	(35.5)	(29.7)	(112.3)	(83.4)
Net interest revenue	59.6	52.0	35.8	157.7	112.4
Provision for credit losses	(13.3)	(20.6)	(13.3)	(46.8)	(28.0)
Net interest revenue, after credit provision	46.3	31.4	22.5	110.9	84.4
Rental income on operating leases	12.0	6.0	1.0	20.9	1.3
Other income	28.0	43.6	25.0	95.9	52.6
Total net revenue, net of interest expense and credit provision	86.3	81.0	48.5	227.7	138.3
Operating expenses	(48.1)	(42.8)	(17.8)	(120.9)	(39.0)
Depreciation on operating lease equipment	(5.8)	(3.8)	(0.9)	(12.0)	(1.1)
Income before provision for income taxes	32.4	34.4	29.8	94.8	98.2
Provision for income taxes	(13.1)	(12.1)	(11.3)	(34.8)	(42.2)
<b>Net income</b>	<b>\$ 19.3</b>	<b>\$ 22.3</b>	<b>\$ 18.5</b>	<b>\$ 60.0</b>	<b>\$ 56.0</b>
<b>New business volume funded</b>	<b>\$ 1,378.6</b>	<b>\$ 1,462.3</b>	<b>\$ 834.9</b>	<b>\$ 4,001.2</b>	<b>\$ 2,055.3</b>
<b>New business volume committed</b>	<b>\$ 1,659.5</b>	<b>\$ 1,767.3</b>	<b>\$ 1,200.8</b>	<b>\$ 5,034.4</b>	<b>\$ 3,069.9</b>

The Bank's provision for credit losses largely reflected commercial loan growth, which was mitigated by a reserve release at the Holding Company corresponding to non-Bank portfolio runoff. The reduced provision from the prior quarter was primarily due to lower charge-offs. For the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, net charge-offs as a percentage of average finance receivables were 0.23% and 0.16%, respectively. Other income was down sequentially due to a gain on student loans sold during the 2012 second quarter. Operating expenses increased mostly due to the transfer of employees in the first half of 2012 from the bank holding company into the bank with the transfer of the Corporate Finance platform. The increase over the prior-year quarter also reflects costs associated with growing internet deposits.

As detailed in the following table, net finance revenue (NFR) increased primarily on commercial asset growth. Average earning assets increased, as an increase in higher yielding commercial assets offset the decline in lower yielding consumer assets (student loans). Net FSA accretion increased NFR by \$4 million during the 2012 third quarter, compared to increases of \$16 million in 2011 third quarter and \$6 million last quarter, due primarily to lower interest income accretion. Year to date benefits totaled \$22 million for 2012 and \$70 million in 2011.

80 CIT GROUP INC

**Table of Contents**

**Net Finance Revenue** (dollars in millions)

	Quarters Ended			Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	September 30, 2012	June 30, 2012	September 30, 2011	2012	2011
	Interest income	\$ 98.9	\$ 87.5	\$ 65.5	\$ 270.0
Rental income on operating leases	12.0	6.0	1.0	20.9	1.3
Finance revenue	110.9	93.5	66.5	290.9	197.1

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

	Quarters Ended			Nine Months Ended	
Interest expense	(39.3)	(35.5)	(29.7)	(112.3)	(83.4)
Depreciation on operating lease equipment	(5.8)	(3.8)	(0.9)	(12.0)	(1.1)
Net finance revenue	\$ 65.8	\$ 54.2	\$ 35.9	\$ 166.6	\$ 112.6
Average Earning Assets ( AEA )	\$7,303.6	\$6,653.6	\$5,959.1	\$6,865.7	\$5,517.8
<b>As a % of AEA:</b>					
Interest income	5.42%	5.26%	4.40%	5.24%	4.73%
Rental income on operating leases	0.65%	0.36%	0.06%	0.41%	0.03%
Finance revenue	6.07%	5.62%	4.46%	5.65%	4.76%
Interest expense	(2.15)%	(2.13)%	(1.99)%	(2.18)%	(2.01)%
Depreciation on operating lease equipment	(0.32)%	(0.23)%	(0.06)%	(0.23)%	(0.03)%
Net finance revenue	3.60%	3.26%	2.41%	3.24%	2.72%

Net finance revenue is a non-GAAP measure.

NFR as a percentage of average earning assets ( Net Finance Margin ) increased from the prior-year quarter, as the revenue earned from higher-yielding commercial assets offset the decrease in FSA accretion. Excluding the FSA impacts, net finance margin increased, reflecting a shift in weighting as commercial loans grew and became a more significant proportion of the earning assets, while the lower yielding consumer assets, principally student loans, run-off. The margin increase is partially offset by the relatively high proportion of low-yielding assets, student loans and cash.

**Adjusted Net Finance Revenue as a % of AEA (dollars in millions)**

	Quarters Ended					
	September 30, 2012		June 30, 2012		September 30, 2011	
Net finance revenue	\$65.8	3.60%	\$54.2	3.26%	\$ 35.9	2.41%
FSA impact on net finance revenue	(4.1)	(0.23)%	(6.4)	(0.41)%	(15.6)	(1.08)%
Adjusted net finance revenue	\$61.7	3.37%	\$47.8	2.85%	\$ 20.3	1.33%
<b>Nine Months Ended September 30,</b>						
	2012		2011			
Net finance revenue	\$ 166.6	3.24%	\$ 112.6	2.72%		
FSA impact on net finance revenue	(21.6)	(0.43)%	(70.0)	(1.72)%		
Adjusted net finance revenue	\$ 145.0	2.81%	\$ 42.6	1.00%		

Net finance revenue is a non-GAAP measure.

The following table presents the Bank's pre-tax net income and adjusted pre-tax net income:

**Impacts of FSA Accretion on Pre-tax Income (Loss) (dollars in millions)**

	Quarters Ended		Nine Months Ended	

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

	Quarters Ended			Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	September 30, 2012	June 30, 2012	September 30, 2011	2012	2011
Pre-tax Income Reported	\$ 32.4	\$ 34.4	\$ 29.8	\$ 94.8	\$ 98.2
Net FSA Accretion	(4.1)	(6.4)	(15.6)	(21.6)	(70.0)
Pre-tax Income Excluding FSA Net Accretion	\$ 28.3	\$ 28.0	\$ 14.2	\$ 73.2	\$ 28.2

Pre-tax Income Excluding FSA Net Accretion is a non-GAAP measure.

Item 2: Management's Discussion and Analysis and Item 3: Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk 81

**Table of Contents**

**CIT Group Inc. Select Data** (dollars in millions)

	At or for the Quarters Ended			Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	September 30, 2012	June 30, 2012	September 30, 2011	2012	2011
<b>Select Statement of Operations Data</b>					
Net interest revenue	\$ (438.0)	\$ (229.9)	\$ (100.3)	\$ (1,336.0)	\$ (366.9)
Provision for credit losses		(8.9)	(47.4)	(51.5)	(253.9)
Total non-interest income	525.6	589.5	651.8	1,803.8	1,984.7
Total other expenses	(388.8)	(392.4)	(497.3)	(1,164.9)	(1,254.1)
Income (loss) before provision for income taxes	(301.2)	(41.7)	6.8	(748.6)	109.8
Net loss	(304.9)	(70.7)	(32.8)	(822.1)	(16.9)
<b>Per Common Share Data</b>					
Diluted loss per common share	\$ (1.52)	\$ (0.35)	\$ (0.16)	\$ (4.09)	\$ (0.08)
Book value per common share	\$ 40.26	\$ 41.73	\$ 44.32		
Tangible book value per common share	\$ 38.43	\$ 39.87	\$ 42.31		
<b>Performance Ratios</b>					
Return on average common stockholders' equity	(14.6)%	(3.4)%	(1.5)%	(12.8)%	(0.3)%
Net finance revenue as a percentage of average earning assets	(1.59)%	1.05%	2.19%	(1.68)%	1.67%
Return on average total assets	(2.76)%	(0.65)%	(0.28)%	(2.47)%	(0.05)%
Total ending equity to total ending assets	18.6%	19.6%	19.9%		
<b>Balance Sheet Data</b>					
Loans including receivables pledged	\$ 20,383.4	\$ 20,100.5	\$ 21,817.4		
Allowance for loan losses	(397.9)	(414.2)	(414.5)		
Operating lease equipment, net	12,072.0	11,896.4	11,188.8		

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

	At or for the Quarters Ended			Nine Months Ended	
Goodwill and intangible assets, net	368.1	373.1	404.3		
Total cash and short-term investments	7,205.6	7,043.1	7,339.5		
Total assets	43,580.9	42,796.0	44,621.5		
Total long-term borrowings and deposits	31,615.8	30,697.9	32,008.6		
Total common stockholders' equity	8,086.0	8,380.9	8,892.4		
<b>Credit Quality</b>					
Non-accrual loans as a percentage of finance receivables	2.02%	2.26%	4.19%		
Net charge-offs as a percentage of average finance receivables	0.36%	0.33%	0.83%	0.37%	1.38%
Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of finance receivables	1.95%	2.06%	1.90%		
<b>Financial Ratios</b>					
Tier 1 Capital Ratio	16.7%	18.0%	18.7%		
Total Capital Ratio	17.5%	18.9%	19.6%		

82 CIT GROUP INC

**Table of Contents**

**Quarterly Average Balances<sup>(1)</sup> and Associated Income (dollars in millions)**

	September 30, 2012			June 30, 2012			Average Balance
	Average Balance	Interest	Average Rate (%)	Average Balance	Interest	Average Rate (%)	
Deposits with banks	\$ 6,911.1	\$ 5.8	0.34%	\$ 6,456.2	\$ 5.0	0.31%	\$ 6,183.7
Investments	1,105.0	2.2	0.80%	1,278.3	3.0	0.94%	2,000.0
Loans and leases (including held for sale) <sup>(2)(3)</sup>							
U.S.	17,069.7	259.5	6.54%	16,983.1	297.9	7.53%	19,000.0
Non-U.S.	3,965.9	106.6	10.75%	4,024.7	103.4	10.28%	4,000.0
Total loans and leases <sup>(2)</sup>	21,035.6	366.1	7.38%	21,007.8	401.3	8.09%	23,000.0
Total interest earning assets / interest income <sup>(2)(3)</sup>	29,051.7	374.1	5.37%	28,742.3	409.3	5.94%	32,000.0
Operating lease equipment, net (including held for sale) <sup>(4)</sup>							
U.S. <sup>(4)</sup>	6,287.9	146.1	9.29%	6,134.4	148.5	9.68%	5,000.0
Non-U.S. <sup>(4)</sup>	6,252.1	163.8	10.48%	6,207.0	166.3	10.72%	6,000.0
Total operating lease equipment, net <sup>(4)</sup>	12,540.0	309.9	9.89%	12,341.4	314.8	10.20%	11,000.0
Total earning assets <sup>(2)</sup>	41,591.7	\$ 684.0	6.77%	41,083.7	\$ 724.1	7.25%	43,000.0
Non interest earning assets							
Cash due from banks	437.4			441.8			300.0
Allowance for loan losses	(406.6)			(415.1)			300.0
All other non-interest earning assets	2,571.1			2,696.5			300.0
<b>Total Average Assets</b>	<b>\$44,193.6</b>			<b>\$43,806.9</b>			<b>\$46,000.0</b>
<b>Average Liabilities</b>							
Borrowings							

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

	September 30, 2012			June 30, 2012			
Deposits	\$ 7,977.5	\$ 38.4	1.93%	\$ 6,922.6	\$ 35.3	2.04%	\$ 4
Long-term borrowings <sup>(5)</sup>	23,998.6	773.7	12.90%	24,695.8	603.9	9.78%	29
Total interest-bearing liabilities	31,976.1	\$ 812.1	10.16%	31,618.4	\$ 639.2	8.09%	33
Credit balances of factoring clients	1,190.7			1,160.4			1
Other non-interest bearing liabilities	2,674.9			2,597.3			2
Noncontrolling interests	5.7			4.5			
Stockholders' equity	8,346.2			8,426.3			8
<b>Total Average Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity</b>	<b>\$44,193.6</b>			<b>\$43,806.9</b>			<b>\$46</b>
Net revenue spread			(3.39)%			(0.84)%	
Impact of non-interest bearing sources			2.12%			1.69%	
<b>Net revenue/yield on earning assets<sup>(2)</sup></b>		(\$128.1)	(1.27)%		\$ 84.9	0.85%	

**Item 2:** Management's Discussion and Analysis and **Item 3:** Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk 83

**Table of Contents**

**Nine Month Average Balances<sup>(1)</sup> and Associated Income** (dollars in millions)

	September 30, 2012			September 30, 2011		
	Average Balance	Interest	Average Rate (%)	Average Balance	Interest	Average Rate (%)
Deposits with banks	\$ 6,701.4	\$ 15.7	0.31%	\$ 7,157.8	\$ 17.6	0.33%
Investments	1,384.6	8.1	0.78%	2,241.7	8.0	0.48%
Loans and leases (including held for sale) <sup>(2)(3)</sup>						
U.S.	17,265.6	864.3	7.16%	19,619.2	1,247.7	8.96%
Non-U.S.	3,995.6	306.9	10.24%	4,732.8	467.9	13.19%
Total loans and leases <sup>(2)</sup>	21,261.2	1,171.2	7.77%	24,352.0	1,715.6	9.82%
Total interest earning assets / interest income <sup>(2)(3)</sup>	29,347.2	1,195.0	5.65%	33,751.5	1,741.2	7.10%
Operating lease equipment, net (including held for sale) <sup>(4)</sup>						
U.S. <sup>(4)</sup>	6,089.2	432.5	9.47%	5,047.1	303.0	8.00%
Non-U.S. <sup>(4)</sup>	6,279.5	494.0	10.49%	6,206.5	497.4	10.69%
Total operating lease equipment, net <sup>(4)</sup>	12,368.7	926.5	9.99%	11,253.6	800.4	9.48%
Total earning assets <sup>(2)</sup>	41,715.9	\$2,121.5	6.98%	45,005.1	\$2,541.6	7.71%
Non interest earning assets						
Cash due from banks	433.1			1,048.8		
Allowance for loan losses	(409.5)			(410.3)		
All other non-interest earning assets	2,716.1			3,203.7		
<b>Total Average Assets</b>	<b>\$44,455.6</b>			<b>\$48,847.3</b>		
<b>Average Liabilities</b>						
Borrowings						
Deposits	\$ 7,183.2	\$ 110.0	2.04%	\$ 4,475.6	\$ 77.9	2.32%
Long-term borrowings <sup>(5)</sup>	24,902.1	2,421.0	12.96%	31,433.0	2,030.2	8.61%
Total interest-bearing liabilities	32,085.3	\$2,531.0	10.52%	35,908.6	\$2,108.1	7.83%
Credit balances of factoring clients	1,170.4			1,064.9		

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

	September 30, 2012	September 30, 2011
Other non-interest bearing liabilities	2,657.2	2,911.2
Noncontrolling interests	4.8	0.8
Stockholders' equity	8,537.9	8,961.8
<b>Total Average Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity</b>	<b>\$44,455.6</b>	<b>\$48,847.3</b>
Net revenue spread	(3.54)%	(0.12)%
Impact of non-interest bearing sources	2.19%	1.44%
<b>Net revenue/yield on earning assets<sup>(2)</sup></b>	<b>\$ (409.5) (1.35)%</b>	<b>\$ 433.5 1.32%</b>

<sup>(1)</sup> The average balances presented are derived based on month end balances during the year. Tax exempt income was not significant in any of the years presented. Average rates are impacted by FSA accretion and amortization.

<sup>(2)</sup> The rate presented is calculated net of average credit balances for factoring clients.

<sup>(3)</sup> Non-accrual loans and related income are included in the respective categories.

<sup>(4)</sup> Operating lease rental income is a significant source of revenue; therefore, we have presented the rental revenues net of depreciation.

<sup>(5)</sup> Interest and average rates include FSA accretion, including amounts accelerated due to redemptions or extinguishments, as well as prepayment penalties, on the Series C Notes-(Exchanged), Series A Notes, Series B Notes and First Lien Term Facility.

The average long-term borrowings balances presented below are derived based on daily balances and the average rates are based on a 30 days per month day count convention. The average rates include FSA amortization and prepayment fees, which due to the annualizing, can distort the derived rate. The debt coupon rates at September 30, 2012, were as follows: Senior Unsecured Notes 4.90%, Series C Notes (other) 5.37%, Other Debt 6.03% (pre-FSA basis), Secured Borrowings 2.26% (pre-FSA basis), and Revolving Credit Facility 2.71%. The aggregate portfolio weighted average at September 30, 2012 was 3.78%.

84 CIT GROUP INC

**Table of Contents**

**Average Daily Long-term Borrowings Balances and Rates (dollars in millions)**

	Quarters Ended								
	September 30, 2012			June 30, 2012			September 30, 2011		
	Average Balance	Interest	Average Rate	Average Balance	Interest	Average Rate	Average Balance	Interest	Average Rate
<b>Unsecured</b>									
Revolving Credit Facility	\$ 354.6	\$ 2.7	3.00%	\$ 457.5	\$ 3.4	2.95%	\$	\$	
Senior Unsecured	5,435.5	68.9	5.07%	2,766.7	36.9	5.34%			
Series C Notes (Exchanged) <sup>(1)</sup>	2,936.3	532.9	72.59%	5,906.4	410.0	27.77%			
Series C Notes (other)	5,250.0	72.3	5.51%	5,250.0	72.3	5.51%			
Other debt	85.4	2.7	12.67%	86.5	2.6	12.08%			

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Quarters Ended

Total Unsecured Debt	14,061.8	679.5	19.33%	14,467.1	525.2	14.52%			
<b>Secured</b>									
Secured borrowings	10,525.5	94.2	3.58%	10,224.0	78.7	3.08%	9,750.6	111.8	4.59%
First Lien Term Facility <sup>(1)</sup>							1,581.8	(58.2)	(14.72)%
Revolving Credit Facility							614.2	4.7	3.04%
Series A Notes <sup>(1)</sup>							7,801.2	294.5	15.10%
Series C Notes (other)							2,000.0	30.1	6.02%
Series C Notes (Exchanged) <sup>(1)</sup>							7,914.1	188.0	9.50%
Other debt							119.0	3.8	12.84%
Total Secured Debt	10,525.5	94.2	3.58%	10,224.0	78.7	3.08%	29,780.9	574.7	7.72%
<b>Total Long-term Borrowings</b>	<b>\$24,587.3</b>	<b>\$773.7</b>	<b>12.59%</b>	<b>\$24,691.1</b>	<b>\$603.9</b>	<b>9.78%</b>	<b>\$29,780.9</b>	<b>\$574.7</b>	<b>7.72%</b>

Nine Months Ended September 30,

	2012			2011			
<b>Unsecured</b>							
Revolving Credit Facility		\$ 340.9	\$ 7.8	3.02%	\$	\$	
Senior Unsecured		2,822.9	109.3	5.16%			
Series C Notes (Exchanged) <sup>(1)</sup>		5,608.4	1,132.5	26.92%			
Series C Notes (other)		4,814.2	200.0	5.54%			
Other debt		86.1	8.0	12.39%			
Total Unsecured Debt		13,672.5	1,457.6	14.21%			
<b>Secured</b>							
Secured borrowings		10,359.2	279.6	3.60%	10,181.8	359.2	4.70%
First Lien Term Facility <sup>(1)</sup>					2,555.0	42.9	2.24%
Revolving Credit Facility					204.7	4.7	3.06%
Series A Notes <sup>(1)</sup>		1,141.6	683.8	79.86%	13,973.6	1,320.9	12.60%
Series B Notes <sup>(1)</sup>					8.4	2.1	33.47%
Series C Notes (other)					1,340.7	61.0	6.07%
Series C Notes (Exchanged) <sup>(1)</sup>					3,060.5	226.6	9.87%
Other debt					141.7	12.8	12.04%
		11,500.8	963.4	11.17%	31,466.4	2,030.2	8.60%



Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Nine Months Ended September 30,

Total Secured Debt						
<b>Total Long-term Borrowings</b>	\$25,173.3	\$2,421.0	12.82%	\$31,466.4	\$2,030.2	8.60%

<sup>(1)</sup> The interest expense for the Series C Notes (Exchanged), Series A Notes, Series B Notes and First Lien Term Facility include the following accelerated FSA accretion (amortization) and prepayment penalties:

	Quarters Ended			Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	September 30, 2012	June 30, 2012	September 30, 2011	2012	2011
Series C Notes (Exchanged) accelerated FSA	\$453.9	\$264.9	\$	\$ 718.8	\$
Series A Notes accelerated FSA			87.4	596.9	225.4
Series A Notes prepayment penalty			20.0		90.0
Series B Notes accelerated FSA					(13.5)
Series B Notes prepayment penalty					15.0
First Lien Term Facility accelerated FSA			(85.0)		(85.0)
Total accelerated FSA and prepayment penalty	\$453.9	\$264.9	\$ 22.4	\$ 1,315.7	\$231.9

Item 2: Management's Discussion and Analysis and Item 3: Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk 85

**Table of Contents**

**CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES**

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to use judgment in making estimates and assumptions that affect reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the reported amounts of income and expense during the reporting period and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements. We consider accounting estimates relating to the following to be critical in applying our accounting policies:

- n Assumptions and estimates recorded upon adoption of fresh start accounting
- n Allowance for Loan Losses
- n Impaired Loans
- n Fair Value Determinations
- n Lease Residual Values
- n Goodwill and Intangible Assets
- n Liabilities and Tax Reserves

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

There have been no significant changes to the methodologies and processes used in developing estimates relating to these items from those described in our 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

### INTERNAL CONTROLS

The Internal Controls Working Group ( ICWG ), which reports to the Disclosure Committee, is responsible for monitoring and improving internal controls over financial reporting. The ICWG is chaired by the Controller and is comprised of senior executives in Finance and the Chief Auditor. See *Item 4. Controls and Procedures* for more information.

86 CIT GROUP INC

### Table of Contents

### NON-GAAP FINANCIAL MEASUREMENTS

The SEC adopted regulations that apply to any public disclosure or release of material information that includes a non-GAAP financial measure. The accompanying Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk contain certain non-GAAP financial measures. Due to the nature of our financing and leasing assets, which include a higher proportion of operating lease equipment than most bank holding companies, and the impact of fresh start accounting following our 2009 restructuring, certain financial measures commonly used by other bank holding companies are not as meaningful for our Company. Therefore, management uses certain non-GAAP financial measures to evaluate our performance. We intend our non-GAAP financial measures to provide additional information and insight regarding operating results and financial position of the business and in certain cases to provide financial information that is presented to rating agencies and other users of financial information. These measures are not in accordance with, or a substitute for, GAAP and may be different from or inconsistent with non-GAAP financial measures used by other companies. See footnotes below the tables for additional explanation of non-GAAP measurements.

#### Total Net Revenues<sup>(1)</sup> and Net Operating Lease Revenues<sup>(2)</sup> (dollars in millions)

	Quarters Ended			Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	September 30, 2012	June 30, 2012	September 30, 2011	2012	2011
<b>Total Net Revenue<sup>(1)</sup></b>					
Interest income	\$ 374.1	\$ 409.3	\$ 502.8	\$ 1,195.0	\$ 1,741.2
Rental income on operating leases	444.4	445.5	409.0	1,329.2	1,238.1
Finance revenue	818.5	854.8	911.8	2,524.2	2,979.3
Interest expense	(812.1)	(639.2)	(603.1)	(2,531.0)	(2,108.1)
Depreciation on operating lease equipment	(134.5)	(130.7)	(124.3)	(402.7)	(437.7)
<b>Net finance revenue</b>	(128.1)	84.9	184.4	(409.5)	433.5
Other income	81.2	144.0	242.8	474.6	746.6
<b>Total net revenues</b>	\$ (46.9)	\$ 228.9	\$ 427.2	\$ 65.1	\$ 1,180.1
<b>Net Operating Lease Revenue<sup>(2)</sup></b>					
Rental income on operating leases	\$ 444.4	\$ 445.5	\$ 409.0	\$ 1,329.2	\$ 1,238.1
Depreciation on operating lease equipment	(134.5)	(130.7)	(124.3)	(402.7)	(437.7)
<b>Net operating lease revenue</b>	\$ 309.9	\$ 314.8	\$ 284.7	\$ 926.5	\$ 800.4

#### Adjusted Net Finance Revenue as a % of Average Earning Assets<sup>(3)</sup> (dollars in millions)

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Quarters Ended

	September 30, 2012		June 30, 2012		September 30, 2011	
Net finance revenue	\$ (128.1)	(1.59)%	\$ 84.9	1.05%	\$ 184.4	2.19%
FSA impact on net finance revenue	390.7	4.56%	184.1	1.97%	(56.2)	(0.82)%
Secured debt prepayment penalties					20.0	0.21%
Adjusted net finance revenue	\$ 262.6	2.97%	\$ 269.0	3.02%	\$ 148.2	1.58%

Nine Months Ended

	September 30, 2012		September 30, 2011	
Net finance revenue	\$ (409.5)	(1.68)%	\$ 433.5	1.67%
FSA impact on net finance revenue	1,121.1	4.33%	(113.5)	(0.57)%
Secured debt prepayment penalties			105.0	0.36%
Adjusted net finance revenue	\$ 711.6	2.65%	\$ 425.0	1.46%

**Item 2:** Management's Discussion and Analysis and **Item 3:** Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk 87

**Table of Contents**

**Impacts of FSA Accretion and Debt Refinancing Costs on Pre-tax Income (Loss) by Segment** (dollars in millions)

	Quarter Ended September 30, 2012						
	Corporate Finance	Transportation Finance	Trade Finance	Vendor Finance	Consumer	Corporate & Other	Total
Pre-tax Loss Reported	\$ (25.3)	\$ (94.7)	\$ (3.2)	\$ (57.0)	\$ (6.9)	\$ (114.1)	\$ (301.2)
Accelerated FSA Net Discount/(Premium) on Debt Extinguishments and Repurchases	69.8	229.1	16.1	59.1	12.1	67.7	453.9
Debt Related Loss on Debt Extinguishments						16.8	16.8
Pre-tax Income (Loss) Excluding Debt Refinancing Costs	44.5	134.4	12.9	2.1	5.2	(29.6)	169.5
Net FSA Accretion (excluding debt related acceleration)	(23.1)	(33.3)	0.6	(8.7)	(5.2)	3.2	(66.5)
Pre-tax Income (Loss) Excluding Debt Refinancing Costs & FSA Net Accretion	\$ 21.4	\$ 101.1	\$ 13.5	\$ (6.6)	\$	\$ (26.4)	\$ 103.0

**Table of Contents****Impacts of FSA Accretion and Debt Refinancing Costs on Pre-tax Income (Loss) by Segment** (dollars in millions)

		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011						
		Corporate Finance	Transportation Finance	Trade Finance	Vendor Finance	Consumer	Corporate & Other	Total
Pre-tax Income/(Loss)	Reported	\$ 200.8	\$ 167.8	\$ 8.3	\$ 124.1	\$ 18.8	\$ (410.0)	\$ 109.8
Accelerated FSA Net Discount/(Premium) on Debt Extinguishments and Repurchases		29.5	50.2	5.5	25.8	3.4	12.5	126.9
Debt Related Loss on Debt Extinguishments							146.6	146.6
Debt Related Prepayment Costs							105.0	105.0
Pre-tax Income (Loss) Excluding Debt Refinancing Costs		230.3	218.0	13.8	149.9	22.2	(145.9)	488.3
Net FSA Accretion (excluding debt related acceleration)		(168.8)	(72.8)	9.0	(78.0)	(36.0)	19.8	(326.8)
Pre-tax Income (Loss) Excluding Debt Refinancing Costs & FSA Net Accretion		\$ 61.5	\$ 145.2	\$ 22.8	\$ 71.9	\$ (13.8)	\$ (126.1)	\$ 161.5

**Earning Assets<sup>(3)</sup>** (dollars in millions)

	September 30, 2012	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
<b>Earning Assets<sup>(3)</sup></b>			
Loans	\$20,383.4	\$20,100.5	\$19,885.5
Operating lease equipment, net	12,072.0	11,896.4	11,991.6
Assets held for sale	1,421.1	1,434.0	2,332.3
Credit balances of factoring clients	(1,224.9)	(1,164.1)	(1,225.5)
Total earning assets	\$32,651.6	\$32,266.8	\$32,983.9
Commercial segments earning assets	\$28,355.0	\$27,809.4	\$26,638.5

**Tangible Book Value** (dollars in millions)

	September 30, 2012	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
<b>Tangible Book Value</b>			
Total common stockholders' equity	\$8,086.0	\$8,380.9	\$8,888.5
Less: Goodwill	(330.8)	(330.8)	(330.8)
Intangible assets	(37.3)	(42.3)	(63.6)
Tangible book value	\$7,717.9	\$8,007.8	\$8,494.1

(1)

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

*Total net revenues are the combination of net finance revenue and other income and is an aggregation of all sources of revenue for the Company. Total net revenues is used by management to monitor business performance. Given our asset composition includes a high level of operating lease equipment (37% of average earning assets), NFM is a more appropriate metric than net interest margin ( NIM ) (a common metric used by other bank holding companies), as NIM does not fully reflect the earnings of our portfolio because it includes the impact of debt costs of all our assets but excludes the net revenue (rental revenue less depreciation) from operating leases.*

<sup>(2)</sup> *Total net operating lease revenue is the combination of rental income on operating leases less depreciation on operating lease equipment. Total net operating lease revenues is used by management to monitor portfolio performance.*

<sup>(3)</sup> *Earning assets are utilized in certain revenue and earnings ratios. Earning assets are net of credit balances of factoring clients. This net amount represents the amounts we fund.*

**Item 2:** Management's Discussion and Analysis and **Item 3:** Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk 89

---

### Table of Contents

---

#### FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Certain statements contained in this document are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the U.S. Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. All statements contained herein that are not clearly historical in nature are forward-looking and the words anticipate, believe, could, expect, estimate, forecast, intend, plan, potential, project, target and similar expressions are generally intended to be forward-looking statements. Any forward-looking statements contained herein, in press releases, written statements or other documents filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission or in communications and discussions with investors and analysts in the normal course of business through meetings, webcasts, phone calls and conference calls, concerning our operations, economic performance and financial condition are subject to known and unknown risks, uncertainties and contingencies. Forward-looking statements are included, for example, in the discussions about:

- n our liquidity risk and capital management, including our capital, leverage, and credit ratings, our liquidity plan, and our plans and the potential transactions designed to enhance our liquidity and capital,
- n our plans to change our funding mix and to access new sources of funding to broaden our use of deposit taking capabilities,
- n our credit risk management and credit quality,
- n our asset/liability risk management,
- n accretion and amortization of FSA adjustments,
- n our funding, borrowing costs and net finance revenue,
- n our operational risks, including success of systems enhancements and expansion of risk management and control functions,
- n our mix of portfolio asset classes, including growth initiatives, acquisitions and divestitures, new products, new business and customer retention,
- n legal risks,
- n our growth rates,
- n our commitments to extend credit or purchase equipment, and
- n how we may be affected by legal proceedings.

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

All forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond our control, which may cause actual results, performance or achievements to differ materially from anticipated results, performance or achievements. Also, forward-looking statements are based upon management's estimates of fair values and of future costs, using currently available information.

Therefore, actual results may differ materially from those expressed or implied in those statements. Factors, in addition to those disclosed in *Risk Factors*, that could cause such differences include, but are not limited to:

- n capital markets liquidity,
- n risks of and/or actual economic slowdown, downturn or recession,
- n industry cycles and trends,
- n uncertainties associated with risk management, including credit, prepayment, asset/liability, interest rate and currency risks,
- n estimates and assumptions used to fair value the balance sheet in accordance with FSA and actual variation between the estimated fair values and the realized values,
- n adequacy of reserves for credit losses,
- n risks inherent in changes in market interest rates and quality spreads,
- n funding opportunities, deposit taking capabilities and borrowing costs,
- n risks that the restructuring of the Company's capital structure did not result in sufficient additional capital or improved liquidity,
- n risks that the Company will be unable to comply with the terms of the Written Agreement with the Reserve Bank,
- n conditions and/or changes in funding markets and our access to such markets, including commercial paper, secured and unsecured term debt and the asset-backed securitization markets,
- n risks of implementing new processes, procedures, and systems,
- n risks associated with the value and recoverability of leased equipment and lease residual values,
- n application of fair value accounting in volatile markets,
- n application of goodwill accounting in a recessionary economy,
- n changes in laws or regulations governing our business and operations,
- n changes in competitive factors,
- n demographic trends,
- n customer retention rates,
- n future acquisitions and dispositions of businesses or asset portfolios, and
- n regulatory changes and/or developments.

Any or all of our forward-looking statements here or in other publications may turn out to be wrong, and there are no guarantees about our performance. We do not assume the obligation to update any forward-looking statement for any reason.

90 CIT GROUP INC

**Table of Contents**

## Item 4. Controls and Procedures

### (a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision of and with the participation of management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) promulgated under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act) as of September 30, 2012. Based upon that evaluation, the principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of September 30, 2012.

### (b) Changes In Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that occurred during the quarter ended September 30, 2012 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

**Item 4. Controls and Procedures** 91

**Table of Contents**

Part Two Other Information

## ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings

CIT is currently involved, and from time to time in the future may be involved, in a number of judicial, regulatory, and arbitration proceedings relating to matters that arise in connection with the conduct of its business (collectively, "Litigation"), certain of which Litigation matters are described in *Note 12 Contingencies of Item 1. Consolidated Financial Statements*. In view of the inherent difficulty of predicting the outcome of Litigation matters, particularly when such matters are in their early stages or where the claimants seek indeterminate damages, CIT cannot state with confidence what the eventual outcome of the pending Litigation will be, what the timing of the ultimate resolution of these matters will be, or what the eventual loss, fines, or penalties related to each pending matter may be, if any. In accordance with applicable accounting guidance, CIT establishes reserves for Litigation when those matters present loss contingencies as to which it is both probable that a loss will occur and the amount of such loss can be reasonably estimated. Based on currently available information, CIT believes that the results of Litigation that is currently pending, taken together, will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, but may be material to the Company's operating results or cash flows for any particular period, depending in part on its operating results for that period. The actual results of resolving such matters may be substantially higher than the amounts reserved.

For more information about pending legal proceedings, including an estimate of certain reasonably possible losses in excess of reserved amounts, see *Note 12 Contingencies of Item 1. Consolidated Financial Statements*.

## ITEM 1A. Risk Factors

For a discussion of certain risk factors affecting CIT, see *Part I, Item 1A: Risk Factors*, of CIT's 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Forward-Looking Statements of this Form 10-Q and an amended and updated risk factor included in our Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 to provide additional disclosure regarding certain information security breaches.

---

## ITEM 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

No purchases of CIT equity securities were made during the 2012 third quarter and there were no such equity securities that may yet be purchased under any repurchase plans or programs.

---

## ITEM 4. Mine Safety Disclosure

Not applicable.

92 CIT GROUP INC

---

### Table of Contents

---

## ITEM 6. Exhibits

(a) Exhibits

- |     |   |
|-----|---|
| 3.1 | Third Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company, dated December 8, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Form 8-K filed December 9, 2009).   |
| 3.2 | Amended and Restated By-laws of the Company, as amended through December 8, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Form 8-K filed December 9, 2009).   |
| 4.1 | Indenture dated as of December 10, 2009 between CIT Group Inc. and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Form 8-K filed December 16, 2009).   |
| 4.2 | First Supplemental Indenture dated as of December 10, 2009 among CIT Group Inc., certain Guarantors named therein and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas for the issuance of series A second-priority secured notes (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Form 8-K filed December 16, 2009). |
| 4.3 | First Amendment to Series A First Supplemental Indenture among CIT, certain Guarantors named therein, and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, dated as of May 31, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to Form 8-K filed June 20, 2011).  |
| 4.4 | Indenture dated as of January 20, 2006 between CIT Group Inc. and The Bank of New York Mellon (as successor to JPMorgan Chase Bank N.A.) for the issuance of senior debt securities (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to Form S-3 filed January 20, 2006).                                    |
| 4.5 | First Supplemental Indenture dated as of February 13, 2007 between CIT Group Inc. and The Bank of New York Mellon (as successor to JPMorgan Chase Bank N.A.) for the issuance of senior debt securities (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Form 8-K filed on February 13, 2007).            |
| 4.6 | Third Supplemental Indenture dated as of October 1, 2009, between CIT Group Inc. and The Bank of New York Mellon (as successor to JPMorgan Chase Bank N.A.) relating to senior debt securities (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to Form 8-K filed on October 7, 2009).                       |



## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

- 4.7 Fourth Supplemental Indenture dated as of October 16, 2009 between CIT Group Inc. and The Bank of New York Mellon (as successor to JPMorgan Chase Bank N.A.) relating to senior debt securities (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Form 8-K filed October 19, 2009).
- 4.8 Framework Agreement, dated July 11, 2008, among ABN AMRO Bank N.V., as arranger, Madeleine Leasing Limited, as initial borrower, CIT Aerospace International, as initial head lessee, and CIT Group Inc., as guarantor, as amended by the Deed of Amendment, dated July 19, 2010, among The Royal Bank of Scotland N.V. (f/k/a ABN AMRO Bank N.V.), as arranger, Madeleine Leasing Limited, as initial borrower, CIT Aerospace International, as initial head lessee, and CIT Group Inc., as guarantor, as supplemented by Letter Agreement No. 1 of 2010, dated July 19, 2010, among The Royal Bank of Scotland N.V., as arranger, CIT Aerospace International, as head lessee, and CIT Group Inc., as guarantor, as amended and supplemented by the Accession Deed, dated July 21, 2010, among The Royal Bank of Scotland N.V., as arranger, Madeleine Leasing Limited, as original borrower, and Jessica Leasing Limited, as acceding party, as supplemented by Letter Agreement No. 2 of 2010, dated July 29, 2010, among The Royal Bank of Scotland N.V., as arranger, CIT Aerospace International, as head lessee, and CIT Group Inc., as guarantor, relating to certain Export Credit Agency sponsored secured financings of aircraft and related assets (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.11 to Form 10-K filed March 10, 2011).

Item 6: Exhibits 93

### Table of Contents

- 4.9 Form of All Parties Agreement among CIT Aerospace International, as head lessee, Madeleine Leasing Limited, as borrower and lessor, CIT Group Inc., as guarantor, various financial institutions, as original ECA lenders, ABN AMRO Bank N.V., Paris Branch, as French national agent, ABN AMRO Bank N.V., Niederlassung Deutschland, as German national agent, ABN AMRO Bank N.V., London Branch, as British national agent, ABN AMRO Bank N.V., London Branch, as ECA facility agent, ABN AMRO Bank N.V., London Branch, as security trustee, and CIT Aerospace International, as servicing agent, relating to certain Export Credit Agency sponsored secured financings of aircraft and related assets during the 2008 and 2009 fiscal years (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.12 to Form 10-K filed March 10, 2011).
- 4.10 Form of ECA Loan Agreement among Madeleine Leasing Limited, as borrower, various financial institutions, as original ECA lenders, ABN AMRO Bank N.V., Paris Branch, as French national agent, ABN AMRO Bank N.V., Niederlassung Deutschland, as German national agent, ABN AMRO Bank N.V., London Branch, as British national agent, ABN AMRO Bank N.V., London Branch, as ECA facility agent, ABN AMRO Bank N.V., London Branch, as security trustee, and CIT Aerospace International, as servicing agent, relating to certain Export Credit Agency sponsored secured financings of aircraft and related assets during the 2008 and 2009 fiscal years (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.13 to Form 10-K filed March 10, 2011).
- 4.11 Form of Aircraft Head Lease between Madeleine Leasing Limited, as lessor, and CIT Aerospace International, as head lessee, relating to certain Export Credit Agency sponsored secured financings of aircraft and related assets during the 2008 and 2009 fiscal years (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.14 to Form 10-K filed March 10, 2011).
- 4.12 Form of Proceeds and Intercreditor Deed among Madeleine Leasing Limited, as borrower and lessor, various financial institutions, ABN AMRO Bank N.V., Paris Branch, as French national agent, ABN AMRO Bank N.V., Niederlassung Deutschland, as German national agent, ABN AMRO Bank N.V., London Branch, as British national agent, ABN AMRO Bank N.V., London Branch, as ECA facility agent, ABN AMRO Bank N.V., London Branch, as security trustee, relating to certain Export Credit Agency sponsored secured financings of aircraft and related assets during the 2008 and 2009 fiscal years (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.15 to Form 10-K filed March 10, 2011).
- 4.13 Form of All Parties Agreement among CIT Aerospace International, as head lessee, Jessica Leasing Limited, as borrower and lessor, CIT Group Inc., as guarantor, various financial institutions, as original ECA lenders, Citibank International plc, as French national agent, Citibank International plc, as German national agent, Citibank International plc, as British national agent, The Royal Bank of Scotland N.V., London Branch, as ECA facility agent, The Royal Bank of Scotland N.V., London Branch, as security trustee, CIT Aerospace International, as servicing agent, and Citibank, N.A., as administrative agent, relating to certain Export Credit Agency sponsored secured financings of aircraft and related assets during the 2010 fiscal year (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.16 to Form 10-K filed March 10, 2011).
- 4.14 Form of ECA Loan Agreement among Jessica Leasing Limited, as borrower, various financial institutions, as original ECA lenders, Citibank International plc, as French national agent, Citibank International plc, as German national agent, Citibank

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

International plc, as British national agent, The Royal Bank of Scotland N.V., London Branch, as ECA facility agent, The Royal Bank of Scotland N.V., London Branch, as security trustee, and Citibank, N.A., as administrative agent, relating to certain Export Credit Agency sponsored secured financings of aircraft and related assets during the 2010 fiscal year (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.17 to Form 10-K filed March 10, 2011).

- 4.15 Form of Aircraft Head Lease between Jessica Leasing Limited, as lessor, and CIT Aerospace International, as head lessee, relating to certain Export Credit Agency sponsored secured financings of aircraft and related assets during the 2010 fiscal year (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.18 to Form 10-K filed March 10, 2011).
- 4.16 Form of Proceeds and Intercreditor Deed among Jessica Leasing Limited, as borrower and lessor, various financial institutions, as original ECA lenders, Citibank International plc, as French national agent, Citibank International plc, as German national agent, Citibank International plc, as British national agent, The Royal Bank of Scotland N.V., London Branch, as ECA facility agent, The Royal Bank of Scotland N.V., London Branch, as security trustee, and Citibank, N.A., as administrative agent, relating to certain Export Credit Agency sponsored secured financings of aircraft and related assets during the 2010 fiscal year (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.19 to Form 10-K filed March 10, 2011).
- 94 CIT GROUP INC

### **Table of Contents**

- 4.17 Indenture, dated as of March 30, 2011, between CIT Group Inc. and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, as trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Form 8-K filed June 30, 2011).
- 4.18 First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 30, 2011, between CIT Group Inc., the Guarantors named therein, and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, as trustee (including the Form of 5.250% Note due 2014 and the Form of 6.625% Note due 2018) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Form 8-K filed June 30, 2011).
- 4.19 Second Supplemental Indenture among CIT, certain Guarantors named therein and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas (as trustee, Series C parent collateral agent, and Series C subsidiary collateral agent), dated as of June 15, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Form 8-K filed June 20, 2011).
- 4.20 Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of March 30, 2011, among CIT Group Inc., the Guarantors named therein, and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, Barclays Capital Inc., Citigroup Global Markets Inc., Deutsche Bank Securities Inc. and J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, as representatives for the initial purchasers named therein (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K filed June 30, 2011).
- 4.21 Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of June 15, 2011, among CIT Group Inc., the Guarantors named therein, and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, as dealer-manager (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K filed June 20, 2011).
- 4.22 Third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of February 7, 2012, between CIT Group Inc., the Guarantors named therein, and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, as trustee (including the Form of Notes) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 of Form 8-K dated February 13, 2012).
- 4.23 Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of February 7, 2012, among CIT Group Inc., the Guarantors named therein, and JP Morgan Securities LLC, as representative for the initial purchasers named therein (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of Form 8-K dated February 13, 2012).
- 4.24 Revolving Credit and Guaranty Agreement, dated as of August 25, 2011 among CIT Group Inc., certain subsidiaries of CIT Group Inc., the lenders party thereto from time to time and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent, Collateral Agent, and L/C Issuer (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Form 8-K filed August 26, 2011).
- 4.25 Indenture, dated as of March 15, 2012, among CIT Group Inc., Wilmington Trust, National Association, as trustee, and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, as paying agent, security registrar and authenticating agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of Form 8-K filed March 16, 2012).

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

- 4.26 First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 15, 2012, among CIT Group Inc., Wilmington Trust, National Association, as trustee, and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, as paying agent, security registrar and authenticating agent (including the Form of 5.25% Senior Unsecured Note due 2018) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 of Form 8-K filed March 16, 2012).
- 4.27 Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of May 4, 2012, among CIT Group Inc., Wilmington Trust, National Association, as trustee, and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, as paying agent, security registrar and authenticating agent (including the Form of 5.000% Senior Unsecured Note due 2017 and the Form of 5.375% Senior Unsecured Note due 2020) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 of Form 8-K filed May 4, 2012).
- 4.28 Third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of August 3, 2012, among CIT Group Inc., Wilmington Trust, National Association, as trustee, and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, as paying agent, security registrar and authenticating agent (including the Form of 4.25% Senior Unsecured Note due 2017 and the Form of 5.00% Senior Unsecured Note due 2022) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Form 8-K filed August 3, 2012).
- 10.1 Form of Separation Agreement by and between Tyco International Ltd. and CIT (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed June 26, 2002).

**Item 6:** Exhibits 95

### **Table of Contents**

- 10.2 Form of Financial Services Cooperation Agreement by and between Tyco International Ltd. and CIT (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Amendment No. 2 to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed June 12, 2002).
- 10.3\* Amended and Restated CIT Group Inc. Long-Term Incentive Plan (as amended and restated effective December 10, 2009) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Form S-8 filed January 11, 2010).
- 10.4\* CIT Group Inc. Supplemental Retirement Plan (As Amended and Restated Effective as of January 1, 2008) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.27 to Form 10-Q filed May 12, 2008).
- 10.5\* CIT Group Inc. Supplemental Savings Plan (As Amended and Restated Effective as of January 1, 2008) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.28 to Form 10-Q filed May 12, 2008).
- 10.6\* New Executive Retirement Plan of CIT Group Inc. (As Amended and Restated as of January 1, 2008) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.29 to Form 10-Q filed May 12, 2008).
- 10.7\* Letter Agreement, effective February 8, 2010, between CIT Group Inc. and John A. Thain (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K filed February 8, 2010).
- 10.8\* Form of CIT Group Inc. Three Year Stock Salary Award Agreement, dated February 8, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Form 8-K filed February 8, 2010).
- 10.9\* Form of CIT Group Inc. One Year Stock Salary Award Agreement, dated February 8, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Form 8-K filed February 8, 2010).
- 10.10 Written Agreement, dated August 12, 2009, between CIT Group Inc. and the Federal Reserve Bank of New York (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of Form 8-K filed August 13, 2009).
- 10.11 Form of CIT Group Inc. Two Year Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement, dated July 29, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.31 to Form 10-Q filed August 9, 2010).
- 10.12\* Letter Agreement, dated June 2, 2010, between CIT Group Inc. and Scott T. Parker (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.3 to Form 8-K filed July 6, 2010).

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

10.13	Form of CIT Group Inc. Long-term Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Unit Retention Award Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.33 to Form 10-Q filed August 9, 2010).
10.14	Form of CIT Group Inc. Long-Term Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.34 to Form 10-Q filed August 9, 2010).
10.15	Form of CIT Group Inc. Long-term Incentive Plan Stock Option Award Agreement (One Year Vesting) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.35 to Form 10-Q filed August 9, 2010).
10.16	Form of CIT Group Inc. Long-term Incentive Plan Stock Option Award Agreement (Three Year Vesting) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.36 to Form 10-Q filed August 9, 2010).
10.17	Form of CIT Group Inc. Long-term Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Award Agreement (One Year Vesting) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.37 to Form 10-Q filed August 9, 2010).
10.18	Form of CIT Group Inc. Long-term Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Award Agreement (Three Year Vesting) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.38 to Form 10-Q filed August 9, 2010).
10.19	Form of CIT Group Inc. Long-term Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Unit Director Award Agreement (Initial Grant) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.39 to Form 10-Q filed August 9, 2010).
10.20	Form of CIT Group Inc. Long-term Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Unit Director Award Agreement (Annual Grant) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.40 to Form 10-Q filed August 9, 2010).
10.21	Form of Tax Agreement by and between Tyco International Ltd. and CIT (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.27 to Amendment No. 2 to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed June 12, 2002).
10.22*	Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated as of May 7, 2008, between CIT Group Inc. and C. Jeffrey Knittel (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.35 to Form 10-K filed March 2, 2009).

96 CIT GROUP INC

---

### **Table of Contents**

10.23*	Extension of Term of Employment Agreement, dated as of November 24, 2008, between CIT Group Inc. and C. Jeffrey Knittel (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.36 to Form 10-K filed March 2, 2009).
10.24*	Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated December 22, 2008, between CIT Group Inc. and C. Jeffrey Knittel (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.37 to Form 10-K filed March 2, 2009).
10.25*	Extension of Term of Employment Agreement, dated December 21, 2009, between CIT Group Inc. and C. Jeffrey Knittel (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.24 to Form 10-K filed March 16, 2010).
10.26*	Extension of Term of Employment Agreement, dated March 14, 2011, between CIT Group Inc. and C. Jeffrey Knittel (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.30 of Form 10-Q filed August 9, 2011).
10.27*	Letter Agreement, dated April 21, 2010, between CIT Group Inc. and Nelson J. Chai (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.31 of Form 10-Q filed August 9, 2011).
10.28*	Letter Agreement, dated April 8, 2010, between CIT Group Inc. and Lisa K. Polsky (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.32 of Form 10-Q filed August 9, 2011).
10.29	Form of CIT Group Inc. Long-Term Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement (with Good Reason) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.33 of Form 10-Q filed August 9, 2011).

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

10.30	Form of CIT Group Inc. Long-Term Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement (without Good Reason) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.34 of Form 10-Q filed August 9, 2011).
10.31**	Airbus A320 NEO Family Aircraft Purchase Agreement, dated as of July 28, 2011, between Airbus S.A.S. and C.I.T. Leasing Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.35 of Form 10-Q/A filed February 1, 2012).
10.32**	Amended and Restated Confirmation, dated June 28, 2012, between CIT TRS Funding B.V. and Goldman Sachs International, and Credit Support Annex and ISDA Master Agreement and Schedule, each dated October 26, 2011, between CIT TRS Funding B.V. and Goldman Sachs International, evidencing a \$625 billion securities based financing facility.
10.33**	Third Amended and Restated Confirmation, dated June 28, 2012, between CIT Financial Ltd. and Goldman Sachs International, and Amended and Restated ISDA Master Agreement Schedule, dated October 26, 2011 between CIT Financial Ltd. and Goldman Sachs International, evidencing a \$1.5 billion securities based financing facility.
10.34**	ISDA Master Agreement and Credit Support Annex, each dated June 6, 2008, between CIT Financial Ltd. and Goldman Sachs International related to a \$1.5 billion securities based financing facility (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.34 to Form 10-Q filed August 11, 2008).
10.35*	Letter Agreement, dated February 24, 2012, between CIT Group Inc. and Andrew T. Brandman (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 of Form 8-K dated filed April 12, 2012).
10.36	Form of CIT Group Inc. Long-Term Incentive Plan Performance Stock Unit Award Agreement (with Good Reason) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.36 to Form 10-K filed May 10, 2012).
10.37	Form of CIT Group Inc. Long-Term Incentive Plan Performance Stock Unit Award Agreement (without Good Reason) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.37 to Form 10-K filed May 10, 2012).
10.38	Extension of Term of Employment Agreement, dated March 28, 2012, between CIT Group Inc. and C. Jeffrey Knittel (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.38 to Form 10-K filed May 10, 2012).
12.1	CIT Group Inc. and Subsidiaries Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges.
31.1	Certification of John A. Thain pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Commission, as promulgated pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act and Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Scott T. Parker pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Commission, as promulgated pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act and Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1***	Certification of John A. Thain pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Item 6: Exhibits 97

### Table of Contents

32.2***	Certification of Scott T. Parker pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
99.1	Senior Intercreditor and Subordination Agreement, dated as of December 10, 2009, among Bank of America, N.A., as First Lien Credit Facility Representative and First Lien Agent, Deutsche Bank Trust Company of America, as Series A Representative and Series A Collateral Agent and as Series B Representative and Series B Collateral Agent, CIT Group Funding Company of Delaware, LLC, as CIT Leasing Secured Party, and CIT Group Inc. and certain of its subsidiaries, as obligors (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to Form 8-K/A filed May 13, 2010).
101.INS	

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

XBRL Instance Document (Includes the following financial information included in the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2011, formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the Consolidated Statements of Operations, (ii) the Consolidated Balance Sheets, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income, (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (v) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.)

101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document.
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document.
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document.

\* *Indicates a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.*

\*\* *Portions of this exhibit have been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission as part of an application for granting confidential treatment pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.*

\*\*\* *This information is furnished and not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and is not incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933.*

98 CIT GROUP INC

---

### Table of Contents

### SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

November 9, 2012

CIT GROUP INC.

/s/ Scott T. Parker

**Scott T. Parker**

**Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer**

/s/ E. Carol Hayles

**E. Carol Hayles**

**Executive Vice President and Controller**

**Item 6: Exhibits 99**

---