

AZTAR CORP
Form 10-K
March 01, 2004

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended January 1, 2004

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 1-5440

AZTAR CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

86-0636534

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

2390 East Camelback Road, Suite 400, Phoenix, Arizona 85016

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code

(602) 381-4100

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

<u>Title of each class</u>	<u>Name of each exchange on which registered</u>
Common stock, \$.01 par value	New York
Preferred share purchase rights	New York

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Facing Page (Continued)

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 12b-2). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant was \$551,900,918 at July 3, 2003 and is based on a closing price of \$15.96 and 34,580,258 common shares outstanding.

At February 17, 2004, the registrant had outstanding 34,270,803 shares of its common stock, \$.01 par value.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Certain information contained in the registrant's 2004 definitive Proxy Statement, to be filed with the Commission, is incorporated by reference into this Form 10-K. The following cross-referenced index details the location of such information. All other sections of the 2004 Proxy Statement are not required in Form 10-K and should not be considered a part thereof.

Part and Item of the Form 10-K

2004 Proxy Statement

PART III

<u>ITEM 10.</u>	Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant	Under the captions "ELECTION OF DIRECTORS OF THE COMPANY," "THE BOARD AND ITS COMMITTEES" and "AUDIT COMMITTEE"
<u>ITEM 11.</u>	Executive Compensation	Under the caption "EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION"
<u>ITEM 12.</u>	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	Under the caption "SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL HOLDERS AND DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS"
<u>ITEM 14.</u>	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	Under the caption "INDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS"

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

Aztar Corporation was incorporated in Delaware in June 1989 to operate the gaming business of Ramada Inc. after the restructuring of Ramada Inc. The terms "Aztar", "we", "our", and "us", as used in this Form 10-K, refer to Aztar Corporation and its subsidiaries as a combined entity, except where it is clear that these terms mean only Aztar Corporation.

The restructuring of Ramada Inc. involved the disposition of Ramada Inc.'s hotel and restaurant businesses with Ramada Inc.'s shareholders retaining their interest in the gaming business. As part of the restructuring of Ramada Inc., the gaming business and certain other assets and liabilities of Ramada Inc. were transferred to Aztar, and a wholly-owned subsidiary of New World Hotels (U.S.A.), Inc. was merged with Ramada Inc. In this merger, each

share of Ramada Inc. common stock was converted into the right to receive \$1.00 and one share of Aztar common stock.

Aztar operates in major domestic gaming markets with casino hotel facilities in Atlantic City, New Jersey, and Las Vegas and Laughlin, Nevada. Aztar operates riverboat casinos in Caruthersville, Missouri, and Evansville, Indiana. Aztar is an experienced developer and operator of casinos that provide an excellent gaming environment. Each of our casinos is designed and operated to serve the unique demographics of its particular market.

AVAILABLE INFORMATION

You may obtain access, free of charge, to our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports through our internet website, www.aztar.com, as soon as reasonably practicable after those reports are electronically filed with, or furnished to, the Securities and Exchange Commission.

In addition, our code of ethics, our corporate governance guidelines, our charters for the audit committee, compensation and stock option committee and nominating/corporate governance committee, our code of business conduct and ethics and our audit committee complaint(s) procedures are anticipated to be available on our internet website, www.aztar.com, by the end of March 2004. When available, a copy of this information may be obtained upon request, without charge, by contacting our Corporate Communications Department at (602)381-4100, or by writing to us at Aztar Corporation, Corporate Communications, 2390 E. Camelback Road, Suite 400, Phoenix, AZ 85016-3452.

TROPICANA ATLANTIC CITY

Tropicana Casino and Resort encompasses approximately 14 acres of land, including the adjoining site where we are constructing an expansion, with 220 yards of ocean frontage along the boardwalk in Atlantic City. Tropicana Atlantic City features 1,625 hotel rooms and a 137,000-square-foot casino with 4,433 slot machines and 172 table games including poker. This facility has parking facilities to accommodate 3,400 vehicles. Tropicana Atlantic City also features:

- a 2,003-seat theatrical showroom which regularly presents headliner entertainment;
- approximately 50,000 square feet of meeting, convention and banquet space;
- three gourmet restaurants and several medium-priced restaurants; and

3

- other amenities including indoor and outdoor swimming pools, tennis courts, a health and fitness club and a jogging track.

In April 2002, we commenced construction on an expansion of our Tropicana Atlantic City. The expansion includes 502 additional hotel rooms, 20,000 square feet of meeting space, 2,400 parking spaces, and "The Quarter," the project's centerpiece, a 200,000-square-foot dining, entertainment and retail center. The cost of the expansion was targeted to be \$225 million; we also anticipated providing \$20 million of tenant allowances. Due to revised estimates for tenant allowances and incremental project costs resulting from construction delays related to the October 30, 2003 accident discussed below that may not be recoverable under our insurance, the expansion project may cost \$15 million

to \$20 million more than previously estimated. Portions of the incremental delay-related costs may be recoverable from third parties and their insurers, but the amount and timing of any such recoveries are unknown at this time. Funds for the expansion will come in part from public sector subsidies, tax rebates and other credits, the present value of which could be up to \$60 million. During 2003, our purchases of property and equipment on an accrual basis, including capitalized interest of \$8.3 million, were \$104.8 million for this expansion. The expansion had been expected to open near the end of the first quarter 2004. On October 30, 2003, there was a serious accident on the expansion site which brought construction to a halt. Construction has recommenced on portions of the project, but debris removal from the accident area will need to be completed and the adjacent structure inspected before a full understanding of the reconstruction tasks and completion timeframe can be reached. Our preliminary schedule calls for the opening of the expansion by the end of September 2004.

The Atlantic City gaming market has historically demonstrated continued growth despite the emergence of new gaming venues across the country. The 12 hotel casinos in Atlantic City generated approximately \$4.5 billion in gaming revenues in 2003, a 2% increase over 2002. The primary target market for Tropicana Atlantic City is the area consisting of New Jersey, New York and Pennsylvania. Based on the most recent census data, accumulated in 2000, there are approximately 27 million persons within a 120-mile radius of Atlantic City and 62 million persons within a 300-mile radius. The Borgata Hotel, Casino and Spa, a major casino resort located in the Marina District of Atlantic City, opened in July 2003. Several major casino operators have announced plans to develop projects or expand existing facilities in the marina or the boardwalk areas. The Borgata has and other possible projects, when complete, will create increased competition in Atlantic City. In 2003, the Borgata attracted new patrons to the Atlantic City gaming market, but not enough to offset their increase to the supply. However, our view is that the Borgata will continue to attract new patrons and these new projects, if well-designed and executed, may also attract new patrons to the Atlantic City gaming market. If so, this will mitigate concern about the ultimate impact on our existing Atlantic City operations.

TROPICANA LAS VEGAS

Tropicana Resort and Casino is located on approximately 34 acres on the "Strip" in Las Vegas, Nevada. The Tropicana has 1,875 hotel rooms and suites and a 62,000-square-foot casino containing 1,337 slot machines and 35 table games. The facility also has parking to accommodate approximately 2,300 vehicles. Tropicana Las Vegas also features:

- one of the world's largest indoor/outdoor swimming pools;
- a five-acre water park and tropical garden;
- approximately 100,000 square feet of convention and exhibit space;

4

- seven restaurants; and
- the Folies Bergere, the longest-running production show in Las Vegas.

Together with the MGM Grand, Excalibur, Luxor, Monte Carlo and New York-New York mega-resorts, the Tropicana Las Vegas is located at the intersection known as the "New Four Corners" at Las Vegas Boulevard and

Tropicana Avenue. The Las Vegas gaming market consisted of approximately 130,000 hotel rooms at the end of 2003. Gaming revenues in Las Vegas were \$6.1 billion and \$6.0 billion in 2003 and 2002, respectively.

Aztar leased the Tropicana Las Vegas, through a wholly-owned subsidiary, from an unconsolidated partnership, Tropicana Enterprises, a Nevada general partnership in which we had a noncontrolling 50% interest. On February 28, 2002, we purchased the 50% partnership interest that we did not own. After credits, we paid \$117.5 million. In addition, we assumed \$48.9 million of partnership debt that we were servicing through our rent payments.

Our master plan for a potential development of our Las Vegas Tropicana site envisions the creation of two separate but essentially equal and inter-connected sites. We would develop the north site and we would hold the south site for our future development, joint venture development, or sale for development by another party. For development of a potential project on the north site, a detailed design has almost been completed. The design concept that we are finalizing calls for 2,500 hotel rooms and suites, 200,000 square feet of dining, entertainment and retail facilities, a 120,000-square-foot casino, a 3,800-car parking garage, and a four-acre rooftop pool recreation deck overlooking the Strip. We plan to complete construction documents and estimated construction costs by the end of the second quarter of 2004. We will decide by the end of the first quarter of 2005 whether to proceed, whether to delay, or whether not to proceed at all with development of a project on the north site. The amount and timing of any future expenditure, and the extent of any impact on existing operations, will depend on the nature and timing of the development we ultimately undertake, if any.

RAMADA EXPRESS

Ramada Express Hotel and Casino is located on approximately 31 acres in Laughlin, Nevada. Laughlin is situated on the Colorado River at Nevada's southern tip. The Ramada Express features a Victorian-era railroad theme, which includes a train that carries guests between the parking areas and the casino hotel. The property has 1,500 hotel rooms and a 52,000-square-foot casino containing 1,548 slot machines and 28 table games. The facility also has parking to accommodate 2,900 vehicles. Ramada Express also features:

- five restaurants;
- a lounge; and
- special events and retail space.

The Laughlin gaming market consists of approximately 11,000 rooms and its gaming revenue for 2003 and 2002 was \$0.6 billion.

CASINO AZTAR EVANSVILLE

Casino Aztar Evansville was the first gaming facility to open in Indiana. It operates on the Ohio River in Evansville. The facility encompasses approximately 15 acres and contains approximately 38,360 square feet of casino space with 1,347

slot machines and 47 table games. Casino Aztar Evansville has a 250-room hotel and has parking for 1,700 vehicles.

The casino riverboat is certified to carry 2,700 passengers and a crew of 300. The 44,000-square-foot pavilion which accompanies the riverboat contains passenger ticketing and pre-boarding facilities, including:

- four restaurants;
- an entertainment lounge;
- a gift shop; and
- a full-service Starbucks

Casino Aztar Evansville is located in the heart of metropolitan Evansville and has developed strong brand recognition in Southwest Indiana. The closest casino property is approximately 100 miles and over a two-hour drive away. Gaming revenue in the Southern Indiana market (five riverboats) grew by 8% in 2003 to \$1.1 billion. Although we believe that Casino Aztar Evansville has a strong base of loyal customers, the property operates in a more competitive environment due to the opening in November 1998 of a riverboat near Louisville, Kentucky and the opening in late October 2000 of a riverboat in our outer market between Louisville, Kentucky and Cincinnati, Ohio. Future competition is expected since the Indiana Gaming Commission is processing applications to award the eleventh and final license in West Baden, Indiana. This facility is not expected to open until late 2005 or possibly early 2006. The Indiana General Assembly passed legislation allowing flexible boarding that went into effect August 1, 2002. Dockside gaming increased accessibility to our casino riverboat by eliminating cruise schedules. This change incorporates a progressive wagering tax schedule and a change in admissions tax to \$3.00 per entry from \$3.00 per person per cruise. The new wagering tax schedule starts at 15% of casino revenue and rises to 20%, 25%, 30% and 35% based on incremental casino revenue and based on the state's fiscal year (July 1 of one year through June 30 of the following year).

CASINO AZTAR CARUTHERSVILLE

Casino Aztar Caruthersville operates on a 37-acre site on the Mississippi River in Caruthersville, Missouri. The property is located in the "boot heel" of Missouri in close proximity to I-55, the major north-south interstate running along the Mississippi River. It serves the southeast Missouri market including the neighboring states of Illinois, Kentucky, Tennessee and Arkansas. The casino riverboat has a capacity of 1,200 passengers plus crew and contains approximately 20,000 square feet of casino space with 700 slot machines and 14 table games. The facility has parking for 1,000 vehicles including recreational vehicles. The property's passenger pavilion provides ticketing and pre-boarding facilities, including:

- a restaurant;
- a sports lounge; and
- a snack bar and other amenities.

In addition, a climate-controlled pavilion and an outdoor arena are used for exhibitions, entertainment, rodeo competitions and other events. We have some unused land at this site and we are encouraging third-party developers to develop facilities on this land that would complement our operations.

COMPETITION

We face intense competition in each of the markets in which our land-based gaming facilities are located. All of our casinos primarily compete with other casinos in their geographic markets and, to a lesser extent, with casinos in other locations, including on Native American lands and on cruise ships, and with other forms of legalized gaming in the United States, including state-sponsored lotteries, racetracks, off-track wagering and card parlors. We expect this competition to intensify as new gaming operators enter our markets and existing competitors expand their operations. Some of our competitors have significantly greater financial resources than we and as a result we may not be able to successfully compete against them in the future. Several states have considered legalizing casino gaming and others may in the future. Legalization of large-scale, unlimited casino gaming in or near any major metropolitan area or increased gaming in other areas could have an adverse economic impact on the business of any or all of our gaming facilities by diverting our customers to competitors in those areas. In particular, the expansion of casino gaming in or near any geographic area from which we attract or expect to attract a significant number of our customers could have a material adverse effect on us.

Tropicana Atlantic City. Tropicana Atlantic City competes with 11 other casinos in Atlantic City. It also competes with two large Native American casinos in Connecticut. The Borgata Hotel, Casino and Spa, a joint venture between Boyd Gaming Corporation and MGM Mirage, opened in July 2003, in Atlantic City's Marina District. The Borgata was the first casino to open in Atlantic City since April 1990, although many of the existing casinos have increased their gaming capacities and a few casino hotels have had major expansions. Other companies have announced a desire to open new casino hotels or expand existing properties in Atlantic City in the future. The Atlantic City market also faces additional future competition from the growing Native American casinos in Connecticut and the possibility of competition from the potential legalization of casino gaming in Delaware, Maryland, New York and Pennsylvania. In addition, slot machines have been added to race tracks in Delaware and West Virginia.

Tropicana Las Vegas. Tropicana Las Vegas operates in the intensely competitive Las Vegas market. Several major new casino hotels have opened on the Las Vegas Strip during the last several years, including Aladdin, Mandalay Bay, Venetian and Paris. Announcements have been made for other new developments. In addition, several casino hotels have opened or have been expanded in other parts of Las Vegas or near Las Vegas. We cannot assure you that we will be able to compete successfully with this additional capacity in this active market of mega-casinos.

Ramada Express. Ramada Express competes with several other casinos in Laughlin. Ramada Express also competes with casinos outside of Laughlin, including the Mojave tribe's casino hotel located approximately 8 miles south of Laughlin. The Laughlin market is also affected by the Native American casinos in Arizona and California and additional capacity in Las Vegas and the surrounding area.

Casino Aztar Evansville. Casino Aztar Evansville competes primarily with an Indiana riverboat in the Louisville, Kentucky market area that opened in November 1998 and which added a hotel in 2001 and a recently expanded riverboat casino in Metropolis, Illinois. Casino Aztar Evansville also indirectly competes with the Belterra Casino Resort, a hotel and riverboat casino in Switzerland County, Indiana. In addition, Casino Aztar Evansville competes with other Indiana riverboat casinos on the Ohio River in the Cincinnati, Ohio market area and to a lesser extent with riverboat casinos in other Indiana locations, none of which are in its primary 50-mile radius market area. Casino Aztar Evansville will face additional future competition from an eleventh Indiana riverboat license, which

will be awarded in the West Baden area. This license was originally awarded to Lake Patoka but the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers will not allow a riverboat on the lake. There is also the potential for the legalization of casino gaming in Kentucky.

Casino Aztar Caruthersville. Casino Aztar Caruthersville competes primarily with other riverboat casinos in nearby states, including a recently expanded riverboat in Metropolis, Illinois and riverboat casinos in Mississippi that attract residents of Casino Aztar Caruthersville's secondary Memphis, Tennessee market. Casino Aztar Caruthersville also competes to a lesser extent with riverboat casinos in other cities in Missouri, none of which are in its primary 60-mile radius market area. Casino Aztar Caruthersville may also face additional future competition from the potential legalization of casino gaming in Arkansas.

General. Competition involves not only the quality of casino, room, restaurant, entertainment and convention facilities, but also room, food and beverage prices. The level of gaming activity also varies significantly from time to time depending on factors including:

- general economic conditions;
- offering of special events and promotions;
- hotel occupancies;
- the extent and quality of complimentary services to attract high-stakes players;
- in Atlantic City, casino customers arriving under bus programs;
- personal attention offered to guests and casino customers;
- advertising;
- entertainment;
- slot machine pay-out rates; and
- credit policies with respect to high-stakes players.

As a result, our operating results can be adversely affected by significant cash outlays for advertising and promotion and complimentary services to patrons, the amount and timing of which are partially dictated by the policies of competitors. If our operating revenues are insufficient to allow management the flexibility to match the promotions of competitors, the number of our casino patrons may decline, which may have an adverse effect on our financial performance.

SEASONALITY

We experience significant fluctuations in our operating results due to seasonality. Tropicana Atlantic City experiences seasonal fluctuation in casino play that is higher during the months of May through October. As a result, Aztar's

revenues during the first and fourth quarters have generally been lower than for the second and third quarters and from time to time Aztar has experienced losses in the first and fourth quarters. Because Atlantic City Tropicana's operating results especially depend upon operations in the summer months, any event that adversely affects the operating results of the Atlantic City Tropicana during that period

could have a material adverse effect on our operations and financial condition. Given Atlantic City's location, it is also subject to occasional adverse weather conditions including storms and hurricanes that would impede access to Atlantic City and adversely impact our operations.

The gaming markets in Las Vegas and Laughlin experience a slight decrease in gaming activity in the hot summer months and during the holiday period between Thanksgiving and Christmas.

PLAYER CREDIT

We conduct our gaming activities on a credit as well as a cash basis, except in Missouri, which prohibits gaming on a credit basis. Table games players are typically extended more credit than slot players, and high-stakes players are typically extended more credit than patrons who tend to wager lower amounts. Our credit policy varies from facility to facility based upon the various types of customers at each facility and regulatory requirements in each jurisdiction. In general, credit is extended to new credit customers after verification of certain banking information and evaluation of the customer's credit history from other casinos, the customer's income and net worth, and traditional consumer credit reports. Additional credit may be extended to existing credit customers after evaluating the above factors plus the player's prior gaming and credit history with our casino. Gaming debts are legally enforceable under the current laws of Indiana, New Jersey and Nevada; however, it is not clear that all other states or that foreign countries will honor these policies. At January 1, 2004, receivables due from non-United States customers were 11% of our accounts receivable before the allowance for doubtful accounts. We have made provisions for estimated uncollectible gaming receivables in order to reduce gaming receivables to amounts deemed to be collectible. However, our inability to collect gaming receivables could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

WORKING CAPITAL DEFICIT

Consistent with gaming industry practice, we conduct our operations with a net working capital deficit. Unlike traditional industrial companies, a gaming company's balance sheet has limited accounts receivable and inventories. In addition, casinos generate significant cash on a daily basis. We generally apply our daily cash flows to pay down indebtedness under our revolving credit facility and pay our current liabilities pursuant to their normal cycles. Given the significant daily cash flows generated by our operations and the financial flexibility provided by our credit facility, the existence of a working capital deficit has no impact on our ability to operate our business or meet our obligations as they become due.

SECURITY AND SURVEILLANCE

Gaming operations at our casinos are also subject to risk of substantial loss as a result of employee or patron dishonesty, credit fraud or illegal slot machine manipulation. We have in place stringent control procedures to

minimize these risks including supervision of employees, monitoring by electronic surveillance equipment and use of two-way mirrors. However, we cannot assure you that losses will not occur. In New Jersey, our activities are observed and monitored on an ongoing basis by agents of both the New Jersey Casino Control Commission and the New Jersey Division of Gaming Enforcement, each of which maintains a staff on the premises of Tropicana Atlantic City. Similarly, in Nevada our gaming subsidiaries must comply with certain regulatory requirements concerning casino and game

security and surveillance. The gaming operations of Tropicana Las Vegas and Ramada Express are subject to routine audit and supervision by agents of the Nevada State Gaming Control Board. In Missouri and Indiana, our casino riverboat operations are subject to the control procedures of the Missouri Gaming Commission and the Indiana Gaming Commission, respectively. The Missouri Gaming Commission maintains a staff at Casino Aztar Caruthersville and the Indiana Gaming Commission maintains a staff at Casino Aztar Evansville.

REGULATION AND LICENSING

General

Regulatory aspects of the gaming business are pervasive in nature and the following description should not be construed as a complete summary of all the regulatory requirements faced by Aztar. Gaming authorizations, once obtained, can be suspended or revoked for a variety of reasons. If Aztar is ever precluded from operating one of its gaming facilities, it would, to the extent permitted by law, seek to recover its investment by sale of the property affected, but Aztar cannot guarantee that it would recover its full investment.

From time to time, legislative and regulatory changes are proposed, and court decisions rendered, that could be adverse to Aztar. On June 18, 1999, the National Gambling Impact Study Commission released a report that could result in federal legislation regulating the gaming industry. In addition, from time to time, investigations are conducted relating to the gaming industry. We are required to report particular cash transactions to the U.S. Department of the Treasury pursuant to the Bank Secrecy Act. Violation of the reporting requirements of the Bank Secrecy Act could result in civil as well as criminal penalties, including fines, imprisonment or both, which in turn could result in the revocation, suspension, imposition of conditions upon or failure to renew the casino license of the affected facility. The States of Nevada and Indiana have adopted regulations similar to the Bank Secrecy Act that require the Nevada and Indiana facilities to document and report specific currency transactions to the Nevada State Gaming Control Board and the Indiana Gaming Commission, respectively in addition to reporting to the U.S. Department of the Treasury. Violation of these regulations could result in action by Nevada or Indiana authorities to fine or revoke, suspend, impose conditions upon or fail to renew the Nevada or Indiana facilities' licenses and Aztar's licensing approval. Except to the extent of a violation as noted above, these reporting requirements are not expected to have any adverse effects on Aztar's casino operations.

Regulation and Licensing - Nevada

The ownership and operation of casino gaming facilities in Nevada are subject to (a) the Nevada Gaming Control Act and the regulations promulgated under that Act, referred to collectively as the "Nevada Act" and (b) various local regulations. The gaming operations of the Tropicana Las Vegas and Ramada Express are subject to the licensing and regulatory control of the Nevada Gaming Commission, the Nevada State Gaming Control Board and the Clark County Liquor and Gaming Licensing Board. Each of the Nevada Commission, Nevada Board and Clark County Board are collectively referred to as the "Nevada Gaming Authorities".

The laws, regulations and supervisory procedures of the Nevada Gaming Authorities are based upon declarations of public policy which are concerned with, among other things:

- the prevention of unsavory or unsuitable persons from having a direct or indirect involvement with gaming at any time or in any capacity;
- the establishment and maintenance of responsible accounting practices and procedures;
- the maintenance of effective controls over the financial practices of licensees, including the establishment of minimum procedures for internal fiscal affairs and the safeguarding of assets and revenues, providing reliable record keeping and requiring the filing of periodic reports with the Nevada Gaming Authorities;
- the prevention of cheating and fraudulent practices; and
- the provision of a source of state and local revenues through taxation and licensing fees.

Change in these or other laws, regulations and procedures that apply to Aztar could have an adverse effect on Aztar.

Hotel Ramada of Nevada is Aztar's wholly-owned subsidiary which operates the casino at Tropicana Las Vegas. Ramada Express, Inc. is Aztar's wholly-owned subsidiary which operates the Ramada Express casino in Laughlin. Hotel Ramada of Nevada and Ramada Express are both required to be licensed by the Nevada Gaming Authorities. The gaming licenses require the periodic payment of fees and taxes and are not transferable. Aztar is registered by the Nevada Commission as a publicly traded corporation ("Registered Corporation") and is therefore required periodically to submit detailed financial and operating reports to the Nevada Commission and furnish any other information which the Nevada Commission may require. No person may become a stockholder of, or receive any percentage of profits from, Hotel Ramada of Nevada or Ramada Express without first obtaining licenses and approvals from the Nevada Gaming Authorities. Aztar, Hotel Ramada of Nevada, and Ramada Express have obtained from the Nevada Gaming Authorities the various registrations, approvals, permits and licenses required in order to engage in gaming activities

in Nevada.

The Nevada Gaming Authorities may investigate any individual who has a material relationship to, or material involvement with, Aztar, Hotel Ramada of Nevada or Ramada Express in order to determine whether the individual is suitable or should be licensed as a business associate of a gaming licensee. Officers, directors and some key employees of Hotel Ramada of Nevada and Ramada Express must file applications with the Nevada Gaming Authorities and may be required to be licensed or found suitable by the Nevada Gaming Authorities. Some officers, directors and key employees of Aztar who are actively and directly involved in gaming activities of Hotel Ramada of Nevada and Ramada Express may be required to be licensed or found suitable by the Nevada Gaming Authorities. The Nevada Gaming Authorities may deny an application for licensing or a finding of suitability for any cause which they deem reasonable. A finding of suitability is comparable to licensing, and both require submission of detailed personal and financial information followed by a thorough investigation. The applicant for licensing or a finding of suitability, or the gaming licensee by whom the applicant is employed or for whom the applicant serves, must pay all the costs of the investigation. Changes in licensed positions

must be reported to the Nevada Gaming Authorities and in addition to their authority to deny an application for a finding of suitability or licensure, the Nevada Gaming Authorities have jurisdiction to disapprove a change in a corporate position.

If the Nevada Gaming Authorities were to find an officer, director or key employee unsuitable for licensing or unsuitable to continue having a relationship with Aztar, Hotel Ramada of Nevada or Ramada Express, the companies involved would have to sever all relationships with this person. In addition, the Nevada Commission may require Aztar, Hotel Ramada of Nevada or Ramada Express to terminate the employment of any person who refuses to file appropriate applications. Determinations of suitability or of questions pertaining to licensing are not subject to judicial review in Nevada.

Aztar, Hotel Ramada of Nevada and Ramada Express are required to submit detailed financial and operating reports to the Nevada Commission. Substantially all material loans, leases, sales of securities and similar financing transactions by Hotel Ramada of Nevada and Ramada Express must be reported to, or approved by, the Nevada Commission.

If it were determined that the Nevada Act was violated by Hotel Ramada of Nevada or Ramada Express, the gaming licenses held by Hotel Ramada of Nevada or Ramada Express could be limited, conditioned, suspended or revoked, subject to compliance with particular statutory and regulatory procedures. In addition, Hotel Ramada of Nevada, Ramada Express, Aztar and the persons involved could be subject to substantial fines for each separate violation of the Nevada Act at the discretion of the Nevada Commission. Further, a supervisor could be appointed by the Nevada Commission to operate Aztar's Nevada gaming properties and, under some circumstances, earnings generated during the supervisor's appointment (except for the reasonable rental value of Aztar's Nevada gaming properties) could be forfeited to the State of Nevada. Limitation, conditioning or suspension of any gaming license or the appointment of a supervisor could (and revocation of any gaming license would) materially adversely affect Aztar.

Any beneficial holder of Aztar's voting securities, regardless of the number of shares owned, may be required to file an application, be investigated, and have his suitability as a beneficial holder of Aztar's voting securities determined if the Nevada Commission has reason to believe that this ownership would otherwise be inconsistent with the declared

policies of the State of Nevada. The applicant must pay all costs of investigation incurred by the Nevada Gaming Authorities in conducting this investigation.

The Nevada Act requires any person who acquires more than 5% of any class of Aztar's voting securities to report the acquisition to the Nevada Commission. The Nevada Act requires that beneficial owners of more than 10% of any class of Aztar's voting securities apply to the Nevada Commission for a finding of suitability within thirty days after the chairman of the Nevada Board mails the written notice requiring this filing. Under some circumstances, an "institutional investor," as defined in the Nevada Act, which acquires more than 10%, but not more than 15%, of Aztar's voting securities may apply to the Nevada Commission for a waiver of the finding of suitability if this institutional investor holds the voting securities for investment purposes only. An institutional investor will not be deemed to hold voting securities for investment purposes unless the voting securities were acquired and are held in the ordinary course of business as an institutional investor and not for the purpose of causing, directly or indirectly,

12

the election of a majority of the members of the board of directors of Aztar, any change in Aztar's corporate charter, bylaws, management, policies or operations of Aztar, or any of its gaming affiliates, or any other action which the Nevada Commission finds to be inconsistent with holding Aztar's voting securities for investment purposes only. Activities which are not deemed to be inconsistent with holding voting securities for investment purposes only include:

- voting on all matters voted on by stockholders;
- making financial and other inquiries of management of the type normally made by securities analysts for informational purposes and not to cause a change in its management, policies or operations; and
- other activities as the Nevada Commission may determine to be consistent with this investment intent.

If the beneficial holder of voting securities who must be found suitable is a corporation, partnership or trust, it must submit detailed business and financial information including a list of beneficial owners. The applicant is required to pay all costs of investigation.

Under the Nevada Act and in certain circumstances, an "institutional investor" as defined in the Nevada Act, which intends to acquire not more than 15% of any class of nonvoting securities of a privately-held corporation, limited partnership or limited liability company that is also a registered holding or intermediary company or the holder of a gaming license, may apply to the Nevada Commission for a waiver of the usual prior licensing or finding of suitability requirement if such institutional investor holds such nonvoting securities for investment purposes only. An institutional investor shall not be deemed to hold nonvoting securities for investment purposes unless the nonvoting securities were acquired and are held in the ordinary course of business as an institutional investor, do not give the institutional investor management authority, and do not, directly or indirectly, allow the institutional investor to vote for the election or appointment of members of the board of directors, a general partner or manager, cause any change in the articles of organization, operating agreement, other organic document, management, policies or operations, or cause any other action that the Nevada Commission finds to be inconsistent with holding nonvoting securities for investment purposes only. Activities that are not deemed to be inconsistent with holding nonvoting securities for investment purposes only include: (i) nominating any candidate for election or appointment to the entity's board of

directors or equivalent in connection with a debt restructuring; (ii) making financial and other inquiries of management of the type normally made by securities analysts for informational purposes and not to cause a change in the entity's management, policies or operations; and (iii) such other activities as the Nevada Commission may determine to be consistent with such investment intent. If the beneficial holder of nonvoting securities who must be found suitable is a corporation, partnership or trust, it must submit detailed business and financial information including a list of beneficial owners. The applicant is required to pay all costs of investigation.

Any person who fails or refuses to apply for a finding of suitability or a license within thirty days after being ordered to do so by the Nevada Commission or the chairman of the Nevada Board, may be found unsuitable. The same restrictions apply to a record owner if the record owner, after request, fails to identify the

beneficial owner. Any stockholder found unsuitable and who holds, directly or indirectly, any beneficial ownership of the common stock of a Registered Corporation beyond the period of time as may be prescribed by the Nevada Commission may be guilty of a criminal offense. Aztar is subject to disciplinary action if, after it receives notice that a person is unsuitable to be a stockholder or to have any other relationship with Aztar, Hotel Ramada of Nevada or Ramada Express, Aztar:

- pays that person any dividend or interest upon its voting securities;
- allows that person to exercise, directly or indirectly, any voting right conferred through securities held by that person;
- pays remuneration in any form to that person for services rendered or otherwise; or
- fails to pursue all lawful efforts to require the unsuitable person to relinquish his voting securities for cash at fair market value.

Additionally, the Clark County Board has taken the position that it has the authority to approve all persons owning or controlling the stock of any corporation controlling a gaming license.

The Nevada Commission may, in its discretion, require the holder of any debt security of a Registered Corporation, including Aztar, to file applications, be investigated and be found suitable to own the debt security of a Registered Corporation. If the Nevada Commission determines that a person is unsuitable to own the debt security, then pursuant to the Nevada Act, the Registered Corporation can be sanctioned, including the loss of its approvals, if without the prior approval of the Nevada Commission, it:

- pays to the unsuitable person any dividend, interest, or any distribution whatsoever;
- recognizes any voting right by such unsuitable person in connection with the securities;

- pays the unsuitable person remuneration in any form; or
- makes any payment to the unsuitable person by way of principal, redemption, conversion, exchange, liquidation, or similar transaction.

Aztar is required to maintain a current stock ledger in Nevada which may be examined by the Nevada Gaming Authorities at any time. If any securities are held in trust by an agent or by a nominee, the record holder may be required to disclose the identity of the beneficial owner to the Nevada Gaming Authorities. A failure to make such disclosure may be grounds for finding the record holder unsuitable. Aztar is also required to render maximum assistance in determining the identity of the beneficial owner. The Nevada Commission has the power to require Aztar's stock certificates to bear a legend indicating that the securities are subject to the Nevada Act. However, to date, the Nevada Commission has not imposed this requirement on Aztar.

Aztar may not make a public offering of any securities without the prior approval of the Nevada Commission if the securities or the proceeds therefrom are intended to be used to construct, acquire or finance gaming facilities in Nevada, or to retire or extend obligations incurred for these purposes. This approval, if given, does not constitute a finding, recommendation or approval by the Nevada Commission or the Nevada Board as to the accuracy or adequacy of the prospectus or the investment merits of the securities offered. Any representation to the contrary is unlawful.

On May 22, 2003, the Nevada Commission granted Aztar prior approval to make public offerings for a period of two years subject to some conditions (the "Shelf Approval"). However, the Shelf Approval may be rescinded for good cause without prior notice upon the issuance of an interlocutory stop order by the chairman of the Nevada Board. The Shelf Approval does not constitute a finding, recommendation or approval by the Nevada Commission or the Nevada Board as to the accuracy or adequacy of the prospectus or the investment merits of the securities offered. Any representation to the contrary is unlawful.

Changes in control of Aztar through merger, consolidation, stock or asset acquisitions, management or consulting agreements, or any act or conduct by a person whereby he obtains control, may not occur without the prior approval of the Nevada Commission. Entities seeking to acquire control of a Registered Corporation must satisfy the Nevada Board and Nevada Commission in a variety of stringent standards prior to assuming control of the Registered Corporation. The Nevada Commission may also require controlling stockholders, officers, directors and other persons having a material relationship or involvement with the entity proposing to acquire control, to be investigated and licensed as part of the approval process relating to the transaction.

The Nevada legislature has declared that some corporate acquisitions opposed by management, repurchases of voting securities and corporate defense tactics affecting Nevada gaming licensees and Registered Corporations that are affiliated with those operations, may be injurious to stable and productive corporate gaming. The Nevada Commission has established a regulatory scheme to ameliorate the potentially adverse effects of these business practices upon

Nevada's gaming industry and to further Nevada's policy to:

- assure the financial stability of corporate gaming operators and their affiliates;
- preserve the beneficial aspects of conducting business in the corporate form; and
- promote a neutral environment for the orderly governance of corporate affairs.

Approvals are, in some circumstances, required from the Nevada Commission before Aztar can make exceptional repurchases of voting securities above the current market price thereof and before a corporate acquisition opposed by management can be consummated. The Nevada Act also requires prior approval of a plan of recapitalization proposed by Aztar's board of directors in response to a tender offer made directly to the Registered Corporation's stockholders for the purposes of acquiring control of the Registered Corporation.

License fees and taxes, computed in various ways depending on the type of gaming or activity involved, are payable to the State of Nevada and to the counties and cities in which the Nevada licensee's respective operations are conducted. Depending upon the particular fee or tax involved, these fees and taxes are payable either monthly, quarterly or annually and are based upon:

- a percentage of the gross revenues received;
- the number of gaming devices operated; or
- the number of table games operated.

An excise tax is also paid by Hotel Ramada of Nevada and Ramada Express on charges for admission to any facility where certain forms of live entertainment are provided.

Any person who is licensed, required to be licensed, registered, required to be registered or is under common control with these persons (a "Licensee", or collectively, "Licensees"), and who proposes to become involved in a gaming venture outside of Nevada is required to deposit with the Nevada Board, and thereafter maintain, a revolving fund in the amount of \$10,000 to pay the expenses of investigation of the Nevada Board of their participation in this foreign gaming. The revolving fund is subject to increase or decrease in the discretion of the Nevada Commission. Thereafter, Licensees are required to comply with specific reporting requirements imposed by the Nevada Act. Licensees are also subject to disciplinary action by the Nevada Commission if they knowingly violate any laws of the foreign jurisdiction pertaining to the foreign gaming operation, fail to conduct the foreign gaming operation in accordance with the standards of honesty and integrity required of Nevada gaming operations, engage in any activity or enter into any association that is unsuitable because it poses an unreasonable threat to the control of gaming in Nevada, reflects or tends to reflect discredit or disrepute upon the State of Nevada or gaming in Nevada, or is contrary to the gaming policies of Nevada, engage in any activity or enter into any association that interferes with the ability of the State of Nevada to collect gaming taxes and fees, or employ, contract with or associate with any person in the foreign gaming

operation who has been denied a license or a finding of suitability in Nevada on the ground of personal unsuitability, or who has been found guilty of cheating at gambling.

The sale of alcoholic beverages by Hotel Ramada of Nevada and Ramada Express is subject to licensing, control and regulation by the Clark County Board. All licenses are revocable and are not transferable. The Clark County Board has full power to limit, condition, suspend or revoke any license, and any disciplinary action could (and revocation would) have a material adverse effect upon the operations of Aztar, Hotel Ramada of Nevada or Ramada Express.

Regulation and Licensing - New Jersey

The ownership and operation of casino hotel facilities and gaming activities in Atlantic City, New Jersey, are subject to extensive state regulation under the New Jersey Casino Control Act, referred to as the "New Jersey Act," and the regulations of the New Jersey Casino Control Commission, referred to as the "New Jersey Commission."

The New Jersey Act and regulations concern primarily the financial stability and character of casino licensees, their intermediary and holding companies, their employees, their security holders and others financially interested in casino operations, the nature of hotel and casino facilities and a wide range of gaming and non-gaming related operations. The New Jersey Act and regulations include detailed provisions concerning, among other things:

- financial and accounting practices used in connection with casino operations;
- residence and equal employment opportunities for employees of casino operators, contractors and other vendors for casino facilities and others;
- rules of games, levels of supervision of games and methods of selling and redeeming chips;
- manner of granting credit, duration of credit and enforceability of gaming debts;
- manufacture, distribution and sale of gaming equipment;
- security standards;
- management control procedures;
- accounting and cash control methods;
- reports to gaming authorities;
- advertising of casinos; and

- standards for entertainment and distribution of alcoholic beverages in casinos.

The New Jersey Act also established the New Jersey Division of Gaming Enforcement, referred to as the "New Jersey Division," to investigate all license applications, enforce the provisions of the New Jersey Act and attendant regulations and prosecute all proceedings for violations of the New Jersey Act and regulations before the New Jersey Commission. The New Jersey Division also conducts audits and continuing reviews of all casino operations.

Adamar of New Jersey, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Aztar, has been licensed (subject to quadrennial renewal) by the New Jersey Commission to operate Tropicana Atlantic City. In November 1982, the New Jersey Commission granted a plenary license to Adamar of New Jersey, Inc. In November 2003, the license was renewed for a period of four years, subject to the condition that Adamar of New Jersey, Inc. and Aztar provide the New Jersey Commission and the New Jersey Division with revised financial forecasts for the first two years of the license term by February 10, 2004. The revised financial forecasts were required to supplement previously filed financial forecasts to reflect a revised projected opening date for the expansion of the Tropicana Atlantic City which was delayed as a result of an accident which occurred on October 30, 2003 at the site of the construction of the parking-garage component of the expansion. The revised financial forecasts have been submitted in accordance with the license condition and the New Jersey Commission will determine whether there is any impact on the financial stability of Adamar of New Jersey, Inc. and Aztar in view of those revised forecasts. In making that determination, the New Jersey Commission may schedule a hearing to assess continuing financial stability.

Aztar and Ramada New Jersey Holdings Corporation, another of Aztar's New Jersey gaming subsidiaries, have been approved as qualified holding companies for Adamar of New Jersey, Inc.'s casino license. Officers and directors of Aztar, Ramada New Jersey Holdings Corporation and Adamar of New Jersey, Inc. and employees who work at casino hotel facilities operated by Adamar of New Jersey, Inc. also have been or must be qualified, licensed or registered. In addition, all contracts affecting the facilities are subject to approval, and all enterprises that conduct business with Adamar of New Jersey, Inc. must register with the New Jersey Commission and those enterprises that conduct gaming related businesses or that conduct business on a regular and continuing basis, as defined by the regulations under the New Jersey Act, must be licensed by the New Jersey Commission.

The New Jersey Commission has broad discretion regarding the issuance, renewal, revocation and suspension of casino licenses. Casino licenses are not transferable. A casino hotel facility must also continually satisfy specific requirements concerning, among other things, the number of qualifying sleeping units and the relationship between the number of qualifying sleeping units and the square footage of casino space. Aztar believes that Tropicana Atlantic City continues to meet these requirements.

The New Jersey Act further provides that each person who directly or indirectly holds any beneficial interest or ownership of the securities issued by a casino licensee or any of its intermediary or holding companies, those persons who, in the opinion of the New Jersey Commission, have the ability to control the casino licensee or its intermediary or holding companies or elect a majority of the board of directors of said companies, other than a banking or other licensed lending institution which makes a loan or holds a mortgage or other lien acquired in the ordinary course of business, and lenders and underwriters of said companies may be required to seek qualification from the New Jersey Commission. However, because Aztar is a publicly traded holding company, in accordance with the provisions of the New Jersey Act, a waiver of qualification may be granted by the New Jersey Commission, with the concurrence of the director of the New Jersey Division, if it is determined that said persons or entities are not significantly involved in the

activities of Adamar of New Jersey, Inc. and, in the case of security holders, do not have the ability to control Aztar or elect one or more of its directors. There exists a rebuttable presumption that any person holding 5% or more of the equity securities of a casino licensee's intermediary or holding company or a person having the ability to elect one or more of the directors of such a company has the ability to control the company and thus must obtain qualification from the New Jersey Commission.

Notwithstanding this presumption of control, the New Jersey Act provides for a waiver of qualification for passive "institutional investors," as defined by the New Jersey Act, if the institutional investor purchased the securities for investment purposes only and where the securities constitute:

- less than 10% of the equity securities of a casino licensee's holding or intermediary company; or
- debt securities of a casino licensee's holding or intermediary company representing a percentage of the outstanding debt of the company not exceeding 20% or a percentage of any issue of the outstanding debt of the company not exceeding 50%.

The waiver of qualification is subject to some conditions including, upon request of the New Jersey Commission, filing a certified statement that the institutional investor has no intention of influencing or affecting the affairs of the issuer. A waiver of qualification may also be granted to institutional investors holding a

higher percentage of securities of a casino licensee's holding or intermediary company upon a showing of good cause.

If the institutional investor is granted a waiver and subsequently determines to influence or affect the affairs of the issuer, it must provide not less than 30 days notice of this intent and file with the New Jersey Commission an application for qualification before taking any action which may influence or affect the affairs of the issuer, except that an institutional investor holding voting securities will be permitted to vote on matters put to the vote of the holders of outstanding voting securities. If an institutional investor that has been granted a waiver subsequently changes its investment intent, or if the New Jersey Commission finds reasonable cause to believe that the institutional investor may be found unqualified, no action other than divestiture will be taken by the investor with respect to the security holdings until the investor complies with the provisions of the New Jersey Act concerning Interim Casino Authorization. The provisions of the New Jersey Act concerning Interim Casino Authorization provide that whenever a security holder of either equity or debt is required to qualify pursuant to the New Jersey Act, the security holder will, within 30 days after the New Jersey Commission determines that qualification is required or declines to waive qualification,

- file a completed application for qualification, along with an executed and approved Trust Agreement, wherein all securities of the holding or intermediary company held by that security holder are placed in trust pending qualification, or
- file a notice of intent to divest itself of the securities as the New Jersey Commission may require so as to remove the need for qualification, which securities must be divested within 120 days from the date the determination was made.

The New Jersey Act further requires that corporate licensees and their subsidiaries, intermediaries and holding companies adopt specific provisions in their certificates of incorporation that require some remedial action in the

event that an individual owner of any security of such company is found disqualified under the New Jersey Act. The required certificate of incorporation provisions vary depending on whether the stock of the company subject to the requirements of the New Jersey Act is publicly or privately traded. Pursuant to the New Jersey Act, the certificate of incorporation of a publicly held company must provide that any securities of the corporation are held subject to the condition that if a holder is found to be disqualified by the New Jersey Commission pursuant to the New Jersey Act the holder will dispose of his interest in the company. The certificate of incorporation of a privately held company must create the absolute right of the company to repurchase at the market price or purchase price, whichever is the lesser, any security, share or other interest in the company in the event the New Jersey Commission disapproves a transfer in accordance with the provisions of the New Jersey Act.

Aztar is a publicly held company and, accordingly, a provision has been placed in its restated certificate of incorporation which provides that a holder of its securities must dispose of the securities if the holder is found disqualified under the New Jersey Act. In addition, Aztar's restated certificate of incorporation provides that it may redeem the stock of any holder found to be disqualified.

If, at any time, it is determined that Adamar of New Jersey, Inc. has violated the New Jersey Act or regulations, or if any security holder of Aztar, Adamar of New Jersey, Inc. or Ramada New Jersey Holdings Corporation who is required to be qualified under the New Jersey Act is found disqualified but does not dispose of the securities, Adamar of New Jersey, Inc. could be subject to fines or its license could be suspended or revoked. If Adamar of New Jersey, Inc.'s license is revoked, the New Jersey Commission could appoint a conservator to operate and to dispose of any casino hotel facilities of Adamar of New Jersey, Inc. Net proceeds of a sale by a conservator and net profits of operations by a conservator (at least up to an amount equal to a fair return on Adamar of New Jersey, Inc.'s investment which is reasonable for casinos or hotels) would be paid to Adamar of New Jersey, Inc.

In addition to compliance with the New Jersey Act and regulations relating to gaming, any facility built in Atlantic City by Adamar of New Jersey, Inc. or any other subsidiary of Aztar must comply with the New Jersey and Atlantic City laws and regulations relating to, among other things, the Coastal Area Facilities Review Act, construction of buildings, environmental considerations, operation of hotels and the sale of alcoholic beverages.

The New Jersey Commission is authorized to establish fees for the issuance or renewal of casino licenses. Yearly casino hotel alcoholic beverage license fees are payable for each facility in any of five specified categories in any licensed casino hotel. There is also an annual license fee on each slot machine. The New Jersey Commission is also authorized by regulation to establish annual fees for the issuance and renewal of licenses other than casino licenses.

The New Jersey Act imposes an annual tax of eight percent on gross revenues (as defined in the New Jersey Act). In addition, casino licensees are required to invest one and one-quarter percent of gross casino revenues for the purchase of bonds to be issued by the Casino Reinvestment Development Authority or make other approved investments equal to that amount; in the event the investment requirement is not met, the casino licensee is subject to a tax in the amount of two and one-half percent on gross revenues.

Regulation and Licensing - Missouri

On November 3, 1992, a statewide referendum authorized gaming in the State of Missouri on the Missouri and the Mississippi Rivers. Local approval from the home dock municipality, as required by the legislation, was also obtained from the City of Caruthersville in the November 3, 1992 election. On April 29, 1993, Missouri enacted revised legislation (as amended, the "Missouri Gaming Law") which amended the existing legislation. The Missouri Gaming Law established the Missouri Gaming Commission, which is responsible for the licensing and regulation, and enforcement with respect to some aspects, of riverboat gaming in Missouri and has the discretion to approve license applications for riverboat gaming facilities as well as employees and key persons associated with the facilities. In July 1993, Aztar was chosen by the City of Caruthersville as the preferred applicant to develop a gaming facility, and on September 20, 1993, Aztar's subsidiary, Aztar Missouri Gaming Corporation, predecessor in interest to the current licensee, Aztar Missouri Riverboat Gaming Company, L.L.C. ("Aztar Missouri"), filed its initial application with the Missouri Gaming Commission. The Missouri Gaming Commission conducted a formal investigation of Aztar Missouri's application and granted an owner/operator gaming license to Aztar Missouri on April 26, 1995.

20

In a decision handed down on January 25, 1994, the Missouri Supreme Court held that games of chance were prohibited under the Missouri constitution. On April 5, 1994, Missouri voters narrowly defeated the adoption of a constitutional amendment that would have excepted excursion boats and floating facilities from the constitutional prohibition on lotteries. Local voters did re-approve gaming in the City of Caruthersville in the April 5, 1994 election. Following the April 5, 1994 election, the Missouri legislature amended the existing Missouri Gaming Law to clarify some definitions and to resolve some constitutional questions raised in the Missouri Supreme Court decision. Pursuant to the Missouri Gaming Law, there are eleven operating riverboat gaming facility sites in Missouri: one in Caruthersville; one in Boonville; three in the St. Louis area; four in the Kansas City area; one in La Grange; and one in St. Joseph.

In a statewide election held on November 8, 1994, Missouri voters approved the adoption of an amendment to the Missouri Constitution which permits the legislature to allow games of chance to be conducted on excursion boats and floating facilities on the Mississippi River and the Missouri River. As a result of the amendment, full-scale gaming, subject to Missouri Gaming Law, is now available in Missouri.

Opponents of gaming in Missouri have brought several legal challenges to gaming in the past and may possibly bring similar challenges in the future. On November 25, 1997, the Missouri Supreme Court overturned a state lower court and held that a portion of the Missouri Gaming Law that authorized excursion gaming facilities in "artificial basins" up to 1,000 feet from the Mississippi or Missouri rivers was unconstitutional. This ruling created uncertainty as to the legal status of several excursion gaming riverboat facilities in the state; however, as Aztar Missouri facilities were fully on the Mississippi River, they did not appear to be affected. On November 3, 1998, a statewide referendum was held, whereby the voters amended the constitution to allow "artificial basins" for existing facilities, effectively overturning the above Missouri Supreme Court decision. There can be no assurances that any future challenges, if brought, would not further interfere with full-scale gaming operations in Missouri, including the operations of Aztar

Missouri.

Under the Missouri Gaming Law, the ownership and operation of riverboat gaming facilities in Missouri are subject to extensive state and local regulation. Aztar, Aztar Missouri, any subsidiaries, and some of their officers and employees are and will be subject to specific regulations, including licensing requirements. As part of the application and licensing process for a gaming license, the applicant must submit detailed financial, operating and other reports to the Missouri Gaming Commission. Each applicant has an ongoing duty to update the information provided to the Missouri Gaming Commission in the application. Aztar Missouri has frequently updated its application materials since it was initially licensed. In addition to the information required of the applicant, directors, officers and other defined "key persons" (which include individuals designated by the Missouri Gaming Commission) must submit Personal Disclosure Forms, which include detailed personal financial information, and are subject to thorough investigations. In addition, some officers and directors of Aztar, as well as Aztar itself, have submitted Personal Disclosure Forms and applications to the Missouri Gaming Commission. All gaming employees must obtain an occupational license issued by the Missouri Gaming Commission.

21

The operators' licenses (or "Class A" gaming licenses) are issued through application to the Missouri Gaming Commission, which requires, among other things:

- investigations into an applicant's character, financial responsibility and experience qualifications and
- that applicants furnish:
 - financial information, referenced above;
 - detailed information about the applicant's history, business, affiliations, officers, directors and owners;
 - an affirmative action plan for the hiring and training of minorities and women; and
 - an economic development or impact report.

License fees are a minimum of \$50,000 for the initial application and \$25,000 annually thereafter. Aztar Missouri has undergone a full relicensing investigation and hearing in connection with its licensing in 2003. Aztar Missouri's gaming license was renewed on April 29, 2003 for the period April 26, 2003 to April 25, 2004.

The Missouri Gaming Law regulations impose restrictions on the use and transfer of the gaming licenses as well as limitations on transactions engaged in by licensees. The licenses issued by the Missouri Gaming Commission may not be transferred nor pledged as collateral. The Missouri Gaming Law regulations bar a licensee from taking any of the

following actions without prior notice to, and approval by, the Missouri Gaming Commission:

- any transfer or issuance of an ownership interest of five percent or more of the issued and outstanding ownership interest;
- any private incurrence of debt by the licensee or any holding company of \$1,000,000 or more;
- any public issuance of debt by a licensee or its holding company; and
- defined "significant related party transactions."

In addition, the licensee must notify the Missouri Gaming Commission of other transactions, including the transfer of five percent or more of an ownership interest in the licensee or holding company if publicly held and any transaction of at least \$1,000,000. The restrictions on transfer of ownership apply to Aztar as well as the direct licensee, Aztar Missouri. Gaming equipment and corporate stock of some licensees may not be pledged except in narrow circumstances and subject to some regulatory conditions.

Missouri statutes and administrative rules contain detailed requirements concerning the operation of a licensed excursion gaming boat facility, including:

22

- a charge of two dollars per gaming customer per excursion, as discussed below, that licensees must pay to the Missouri Gaming Commission;
- requirements regarding minimum payouts;
- a 20% tax on adjusted gross receipts;
- prohibitions against providing credit to gaming customers, except for the use of credit cards and cashing checks; and
- a requirement that each licensee reimburse the Missouri Gaming Commission for all costs of any Missouri Gaming Commission staff, including Missouri Highway Patrol Officers, necessary to protect the public on the licensee's riverboat.

Licensees also must submit monthly, quarterly and annual reports of financial and statistical data and quarterly and annual audited financial information and compliance reports to the Missouri Gaming Commission and pay the associated auditing fees. Other areas of operation which are subject to regulation under the Missouri rules are the color, denomination and handling of chips and tokens; the surveillance methods and computer monitoring of electronic games; accounting and audit methods and procedures; and approval of an extensive internal control system.

The Missouri Gaming Commission requires comprehensive safety inspections and compliance with local and federal safety requirements. Liquor licenses are issued and regulated by the Missouri Gaming Commission. The Missouri rules also require that all of an operator's purchases must be from suppliers licensed by the Missouri Gaming Commission or another entity approved by the Commission.

The Missouri Gaming Commission has the power, as well as broad discretion in exercising this power, to revoke or suspend gaming or occupational licenses and impose other penalties for violations of the Missouri Gaming Law and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder, including without limitation, forfeiture of all gaming equipment used for improper gaming and fines of up to three times a licensee's highest daily gross receipts during the preceding twelve months.

Although the Missouri Gaming Law provides no limit on the amount of riverboat space that may be used for gaming, the Missouri Gaming Commission is empowered to impose space limitations through the adoption of rules and regulations. In addition, the Missouri Gaming Law imposes a \$500 loss limit per two-hour period established by each licensee with the approval of the Missouri Gaming Commission. In order to establish an excursion schedule, which allows patrons to enter and exit the gaming floor at any time during the excursion the licensee must prove to the Missouri Gaming Commission that it can enforce the \$500 loss limit.

In addition, the Missouri Gaming Commission is empowered to determine on a city and county-specific basis where "dockside" or permanently-docked gaming is appropriate and may be permitted. The Missouri Gaming Commission has authorized all eleven licensed sites to operate all or a portion of their facilities on a continuously docked basis. On February 15, 1996, the Commission granted Aztar Missouri the authority to operate gambling games on part of its floating facility previously used for non-gaming activities, including ticketing, under the continuous docking provision of the Missouri Gaming Law. On February 15, 1997, the Commission granted Aztar Missouri the authority to permanently dock the excursion gambling riverboat facility known as the "City of Caruthersville."

Regulation and Licensing - Indiana

The ownership and operation of riverboat casinos in specific designated waters are subject to extensive state regulation under the Indiana Riverboat Gambling Act (the "Indiana Act") and regulations which the Indiana Gaming Commission ("Indiana Commission") is authorized to adopt under the Indiana Act. The Indiana Act extends broad and pervasive regulatory powers and authority to the Indiana Commission. The Indiana Act and the regulations of the Indiana Commission are significant to Aztar's prospects for successfully operating its riverboat casino and associated developments based in Evansville, Indiana.

The Indiana Commission has issued

- five riverboat owner's licenses on Lake Michigan, and
- five riverboat owner's licenses on the Ohio River, including Aztar's facility.

The Indiana Commission has not considered applicants for a license on Patoka Lake in Southwestern Indiana, since that site has been determined by the United States Army Corps of Engineers to be unsuitable for a riverboat casino project. Pursuant to legislation effective July 1, 2003, the site for a gaming license on Patoka Lake was eliminated and the Indiana Commission is now authorized to enter into a contract with an Operating Agent on behalf of the Indiana Commission for one riverboat in a historic hotel district in Orange County in southern Indiana. In November 2003, Orange County voters passed the requisite referendum permitting riverboat gambling in Orange County. The Indiana Commission is in the initial stages of selecting an Operating Agent, from reportedly five applicants, and the Operating Agent Contract will be valid, when issued, for twenty years. The Operating Agent must pay a non-refundable initial fee of \$1.0 million to the Indiana Commission. Effective July 1, 2003, an entity may own up to a one hundred percent interest in two riverboat owner licenses. Previously, an entity could not own more than a 10% interest in any other owner's license. There is a \$2 million transfer fee if a riverboat owner purchases a second riverboat owner license. The Indiana Commission has adopted regulations under the Indiana Act which covers numerous operational matters concerning riverboat casinos licensed by the Commission, including rules for

- authorized games,
- internal control procedures,
- accounting records,
- security,
- gaming equipment, and
- extensions of credit.

Aztar, through an Indiana subsidiary, Aztar Indiana Gaming Corporation, has received from the Indiana Commission a riverboat owner's license for the Evansville, Indiana market. Aztar Indiana Gaming Corporation completed requirements for formal licensing and commenced operations in Evansville on December 7, 1995. On August 20, 1999, the Indiana Commission authorized a transfer of the assets of Aztar Indiana Gaming Corporation, including the riverboat owner's

license, to Aztar Indiana Gaming Company, L.L.C. ("Aztar Indiana"), a recently-formed limited liability company, in which Aztar Riverboat Holding Company, L.L.C. owns all of the membership interests. Aztar Riverboat Holding Company, L.L.C. is 100% owned by Aztar through wholly-owned subsidiaries. On December 31, 1999, Aztar Indiana Gaming Corporation transferred its assets and riverboat owner's license to Aztar Indiana.

A riverboat owner's license has an initial effective period of five years but is subject to an annual renewal thereafter. The Indiana Commission has broad discretion with respect to the initial issuance of licenses and also with respect to

the renewal, revocation, suspension and control of riverboat owner's licenses. The Indiana Act requires a reinvestigation after three years to ensure the owner continues to be suitable for licensure. On December 7, 2000, the Indiana Commission made a preliminary determination to renew Aztar Indiana's riverboat owner's license until such time as Aztar Indiana has an opportunity to make a presentation to the Indiana Commission at a regular business meeting. On March 2, 2001, the Indiana Commission renewed Aztar Indiana's riverboat owner's license for a period of one year with an annual review. On December 6, 2001, the Indiana Commission renewed Aztar Indiana's riverboat owner's license for a period of one year, from December 8, 2001 to December 7, 2002. On November 15, 2002, the Indiana Commission renewed Aztar Indiana's riverboat owner's license for a period of one year, from December 5, 2002, to December 4, 2003. On November 14, 2003, the Indiana Commission renewed Aztar Indiana's riverboat owner's license for a period of one year, from December 5, 2003, through December 4, 2004. The Indiana Commission has adopted a rule which requires, in the event a riverboat owner's license is terminated, the riverboat licensee to secure all the assets of the riverboat gambling operation, and the licensee may not dispose of any of these assets without the written approval of the Indiana Commission. Officers, directors and principal owners of the actual license holder and employees who are to work on the riverboat are subject to substantial disclosure requirements as a part of securing and maintaining necessary licenses. Significant contracts are subject to disclosure.

A riverboat owner licensee may not enter into or perform any contract or transaction in which it transfers or receives consideration which is not commercially reasonable or which does not reflect the fair market value of the goods or services rendered or received. All contracts are subject to disapproval by the Indiana Commission. Suppliers of gaming equipment and materials must also be licensed under the Indiana Act.

The Indiana Act requires licensees to disclose to the Indiana Commission the identity of all directors, officers and persons holding direct or indirect beneficial interests of 1% or greater. The Indiana Commission also requires a broad and comprehensive disclosure of financial and operating information on licensees and their principal officers. Aztar has provided full information and documentation to the Indiana Commission and it must continue to do so during the term of the license. The Indiana Act prohibits, among other things:

- a key person or a person holding an ownership interest in a riverboat licensee, or an employee of a riverboat licensee, from participating in a game conducted on a riverboat which is the subject of a license; and
- contributions to a candidate for a state, legislative, or local office, or to a candidate's committee or to a regular party committee by the holder of a riverboat owner's license or a supplier's license, by an officer of a licensee, by an officer of a person that holds at least a 1% interest in the licensee, or by a person holding at least a 1% interest in the licensee.

The Indiana Commission has adopted a rule requiring quarterly reporting by the holder of a riverboat owner's license, including Aztar Indiana, or a holder of a supplier's license, of the officers of the licensee, officers of persons that hold at least a 1% interest in the licensee, including Aztar, and of persons who directly or indirectly own a 1% interest in the licensee, including beneficial owners of Aztar.

The Indiana Commission has adopted rules which (a) prohibit the distribution by a riverboat licensee, including Aztar Indiana, to its partners, shareholders, itself, or any affiliated entity, if the distribution would impair the financial viability of the riverboat gambling operation, (b) require riverboat licensees, including Aztar Indiana, to maintain on a quarterly basis a cash reserve in the amount of the actual payout for three days, and the cash reserve would include

cash in the casino cage, cash in a bank account in Indiana, or cash equivalents not committed or obligated, and (c) require independent financial audits annually by firms licensed in Indiana and approved by the Executive Director of the Indiana Commission of riverboat licensees, including Aztar Indiana, and disclosure to the Indiana Commission of material errors and irregularities, or illegal acts, or significant deficiencies discovered during the course of the audit.

In addition to receiving a license to conduct riverboat casino operations from the Indiana Commission, Aztar Indiana has secured permits and approvals from the United States Army Corps of Engineers to develop the facilities it is using to conduct operations. Aztar Indiana has received three alcoholic beverage permits which are subject to annual renewal: one for the riverboat and two for land support facilities. All building permits and other approvals for the permanent facilities have been received, and the project is complete.

The Indiana General Assembly amended the Indiana Riverboat Gaming Act in 2002 to allow riverboats to choose between continuing to conduct excursions or operate dockside. The Indiana Commission authorized riverboats to commence dockside operations on August 1, 2002. Aztar opted to operate dockside and commenced dockside operations on August 1, 2002. Pursuant to the legislation, the tax rate was increased from 20% to 22.5%, retroactive to July 1, 2002, during any time an Indiana riverboat does not operate dockside. For those riverboats that operate dockside and for the Operating Agent, the following graduated tax rate is applicable: (i) 15% of the first \$25 million of adjusted gross receipts ("AGR"), (ii) 20% of AGR in excess of \$25 million, but not exceeding \$50 million; (iii) 25% of AGR in excess of \$50 million, but not exceeding \$75 million; (iv) 30% of AGR in excess of \$75 million, but not exceeding \$150 million; and (v) 35% of AGR in excess of \$150 million. AGR is based on the State's fiscal year (July 1 of one year through June 30 of the following year). The Indiana Act requires that riverboat licensees pay a \$3.00 admission tax for each person, and that the Operating Agent pay a \$4.00 admission tax for each person. A riverboat that opts to continue excursions pays the admission tax on a per excursion basis while a riverboat that operates dockside pays the admission tax on a per entry basis. The Indiana Act provides for the suspension or revocation of a license whose owner does not timely submit the wagering or admission tax.

Effective July 1, 2002, riverboats must withhold adjusted gross state income tax from slot winnings of \$1,200 or more and Keno winnings of \$1,500 or more. Riverboats are assessed for property tax purposes as real property at rates to be determined by local taxing authorities. Sales on a riverboat are subject to applicable use, excise and retail taxes. Effective July 1, 2003, furnishing complimentary rooms for less than thirty days is a retail transaction subject to

the state gross retail tax and may also be subject to the state innkeeper's tax. The Indiana Act requires a riverboat owner licensee to directly reimburse the Indiana Commission for the costs of inspectors and agents required to be present during the conduct of gaming operations. Effective July 1, 2002, each riverboat must contribute \$25,000 annually to the Indiana Department of Gaming Research.

The Indiana Act places special emphasis upon minority and women's business enterprise participation in the riverboat industry. Any person issued a riverboat owner's license must establish goals of at least 10% of the total dollar value of the licensee's contracts for goods and services with minority business enterprises and 5% of the total dollar value of the licensee's contracts for goods and services with women's business enterprises. The Indiana Commission may suspend, limit or revoke the owner's license or impose a fine for failure to comply with the statutory requirements.

Minimum and maximum wagers on games on the riverboat are left to the discretion of the licensee. Wagering may not be conducted with money or other negotiable currency. Effective July 1, 2003, the Indiana Commission may approve a riverboat owner licensee's plan to conduct twenty-four hour gambling, and Aztar Indiana's plan was approved. No person under the age of 21 is permitted to wager on a riverboat. It is a Class A misdemeanor for a person to aid, induce or cause a person under the age of 21 to enter or attempt to enter a riverboat. In accordance with an amendment to the Indiana Act, the Indiana Commission has adopted voluntary exclusion rules for persons to be included on a list of persons excluded from all Indiana riverboats, which also require riverboat owners to make reasonable efforts to cease direct marketing efforts to such persons and to prohibit riverboat owners from cashing checks or extending credit to persons participating in the voluntary exclusion program.

An institutional investor which acquires 5% or more of any class of voting securities of a holding company of a licensee is required to notify the Indiana Commission and to provide additional information, and may be subject to a finding of suitability. A person who acquires 5% or more of any class of voting securities of a holding company of a licensee is required to apply to the Indiana Commission for a finding of suitability. A riverboat licensee or an affiliate may not enter into a debt transaction of \$1 million or more without approval of the Indiana Commission. The Indiana Commission has delegated authority to its Executive Director to waive preapproval of financing transactions, provided prior discussions have been held with the Chair and the CPA member of the Indiana Commission. The Executive Director must report the waiver at the next Indiana Commission meeting and the Indiana Commission may direct the Executive Director to take different action with respect to the waiver.

A riverboat owner's license is a revocable privilege and is not a property right under the Indiana Act. A riverboat owner licensee or any other person may not lease, hypothecate, borrow money against or loan money against a riverboat owner's license.

Environmental Matters

Aztar is subject to federal, state and local environmental laws, regulations and ordinances that (a) govern activities or operations that may have adverse environmental effects, such as discharges to air and water as well as handling and disposal practices for solid and hazardous wastes, and (b) impose liability for the costs of cleaning up, and some damages resulting from, past spills, disposals or other releases of hazardous substances. Aztar uses some substances and generates some wastes that are regulated or may be deemed hazardous under applicable environmental laws. From time to time, our operations have resulted, or may result, in some noncompliance with applicable requirements under environmental

laws. Aztar has also incurred, and in the future may incur, costs related to cleaning up contamination relating to historical uses of some of our current or former properties. Specifically, the riverboat properties have been used for various industrial purposes in the past. Any noncompliance with applicable requirements or liability under environmental laws has not had, and is not expected to have, a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Other Regulations

Aztar's businesses are subject to various federal, state and local laws and regulations in addition to those discussed above. These laws and regulations include but are not limited to restrictions and conditions concerning employees, taxation, zoning and building codes, and marketing and advertising. Such laws and regulations could change or could be interpreted differently in the future, or new laws and regulations could be enacted. Material changes, new laws or regulations, or material differences in interpretations by courts or governmental authorities could adversely affect Aztar.

EMPLOYEES

Aztar employs approximately 9,400 people. Approximately 3,200 Aztar employees are represented by unions. Of the approximately 4,500 employees at Tropicana Atlantic City, approximately 1,900 are covered by collective bargaining contracts. Substantially all of these employees are covered by a contract that expires on September 14, 2004 and a small number are covered by contracts that expire in 2005 or 2006. At Tropicana Las Vegas, approximately 1,300 of the 2,000 employees are covered by collective bargaining contracts. Substantially all of these employees are covered by contracts that expire in 2007. The remainder of employees are covered by contracts that expire in 2004, 2005 or 2008. At Ramada Express there are approximately 1,400 employees, none of which are covered by collective bargaining agreements. Aztar has approximately 1,200 employees and 300 employees, respectively, at Casino Aztar Evansville and Casino Aztar Caruthersville, none of which are covered by collective bargaining agreements.

TRADEMARKS

We use a variety of trade names, service marks and trademarks and believe that we have all the licenses necessary to conduct our business. We have registered several service marks and trademarks with the United States Patent and Trademark Office or otherwise acquired the licenses to use those which are material to the conduct of our business.

Substantially all of our trademarks and service names have been assigned to the lenders under Aztar's bank credit facility.

Ramada Inc. has licensed Aztar to use the name "Ramada" in conjunction with the operation of Ramada Express, and will not use or permit the use of the name "Ramada" in Laughlin, Nevada by any other person or entity.

We have registered the following important trademarks or service marks: Aztar, Casino Aztar, Trop, Tropicana, Trop Park, and The Island of Las Vegas. Aztar believes there are no other trademarks or service marks the use of which is material to the conduct of our business as a whole.

CATASTROPHIC EVENTS

Certain catastrophic events such as major fires, floods, storms, earthquakes, hurricanes, tornadoes, tidal waves, civil

disorders, riots, biological attacks, war, acts of sabotage or terrorism or other similar events could result in a significant negative impact on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

TROPICANA ATLANTIC CITY

Tropicana Atlantic City is located on an approximately 10-acre site in Atlantic City, New Jersey. In addition, we have approximately 3 1/2 acres next to our operating site that we are using for expansion of our Tropicana Atlantic City. In July 1993, Tropicana Atlantic City became wholly-owned by Aztar.

TROPICANA LAS VEGAS

Tropicana Las Vegas is located on a 34-acre site in Las Vegas, Nevada. Tropicana Enterprises owned the Tropicana Las Vegas and leased it to Hotel Ramada of Nevada, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Aztar. Hotel Ramada of Nevada operated the casino and hotel under the lease. Adamar of Nevada, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Aztar, owned a noncontrolling 50% general partnership interest in Tropicana Enterprises. The remaining 50% general partnership interest in Tropicana Enterprises was held by various trusts associated with the Jaffe family. On February 28, 2002, we purchased the 50% partnership interest that we did not own and Tropicana Las Vegas became wholly-owned by Aztar. We have a master-plan for a potential development of the Las Vegas Tropicana site. The amount and timing of any future expenditure, and the extent of any impact on existing operations, will depend on the nature and timing of the development that we ultimately undertake, if any.

RAMADA EXPRESS

Ramada Express is located on an approximate 31-acre site in Laughlin, Nevada. Ramada Express is wholly-owned by Aztar.

CASINO AZTAR EVANSVILLE

Casino Aztar Evansville operates on and from a base 8 1/2-acre site next to the Ohio River in downtown Evansville, Indiana. Approximately 4 1/2 acres are leased. The lease was entered into in 1995 for 10 years. The lease has 3 options to renew for 5 years each. We own the remaining 4 acres, a portion of which we acquired during 2003. Approximately three-fourths of one of these acres is being used to accommodate an 11,000 square-foot executive conference center that is under construction and scheduled for completion in the fall of 2004. The conference center is being constructed on two levels adjoining the existing hotel and parking garage and will feature an exterior appearance that blends architecturally and aesthetically with the hotel, pavilion and parking garage. In addition, we have an approximately seven-acre site located near our base site that we are using for parking. This site could be used for additional development. On December 27, 2002, we amended our riverboat landing lease agreement with the City of Evansville. We agreed to change a portion of our contingent rent into a fixed stated amount and

to make it available to the City at their request. The City agreed to provide us with \$1 of credit against our rent for each \$2.50 of development capital expenditures that we make. We plan to have additional development in Evansville but the timing is tentative.

CASINO AZTAR CARUTHERSVILLE

Casino Aztar Caruthersville operates on and from a 37-acre site next to the Mississippi River in downtown Caruthersville, Missouri. The site and facilities are wholly-owned by us. We have some unused land at this site and we are encouraging third-party developers to develop facilities on this land that would complement our operations.

GENERAL

We lease our corporate headquarters located in Phoenix, Arizona and own or lease some other facilities which are not material to our operations.

Substantially all land, casino hotel buildings, casino riverboats, pavilions, furnishings and equipment owned by us are pledged as collateral under our revolving credit facility.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Aztar is a defendant in an action originally filed in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida, Orlando Division entitled William H. Poulos, On Behalf of Himself and All Others Similarly Situated vs. Caesars World, Inc., et al., filed on April 26, 1994. This action was consolidated with another subsequently filed action in that court entitled William Ahearn, On Behalf of Himself and All Others Similarly Situated vs. Caesars World, Inc., et al., currently consolidated Case No. CV-S-94-1126-DAE(RJJ)-BASE FILE (the "Actions" or collectively, the "Poulos/Ahearn Case"). Both Actions were brought under RICO and state common law and seek compensatory and punitive damages in excess of \$1 billion from the defendants. The complaints allege that the defendants took part in a scheme intended to induce people to play video poker and electronic slot machines based on false beliefs concerning how those machines actually operate as well as the extent to which there is actually an opportunity to win on any given play. The precise nature of Aztar's role in the alleged fraud and conspiracy to defraud is not discernible from the complaint.

On September 26, 1995, an action entitled Larry Schreier, On Behalf of Himself and All Others Similarly Situated vs. Caesars World, Inc., et al., Case No. CV-S-95-00923-DWH(RJJ)(the "Schreier Case") was commenced in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada. The Schreier Case is identical to the Poulos/Ahearn Case in all material respects, except that the named plaintiff in the Schreier Case purports to represent a smaller and more precisely defined class of persons than the plaintiffs in the Poulos/Ahearn Case. The defendants (including Aztar) moved to dismiss the Schreier complaint on the same grounds as in the previously described Poulos/Ahearn Case, as well as on the ground that this case was filed for an improper purpose, an attempt to circumvent prior rulings of the Court in the Poulos/Ahearn Case. On August 15, 1996, District Judge Lloyd D. George granted the motion to dismiss, without prejudice. An amended complaint containing the same principal allegations was filed on September 30, 1996. The defendants (including Aztar) filed motions to dismiss the amended complaint for failure to state a claim and on

other grounds. The Plaintiff opposed these motions.

The Poulos/Ahearn Case and the Schreier Case were consolidated, as was the action entitled William H. Poulos, On Behalf of Himself and All Others Similarly Situated vs. Ambassador Cruise Lines, Inc., et al., Case No. CV-S-95-936 LDG(RLH)(the "Cruise Ship Case"). (The allegations in the Cruise Ship Case are nearly identical to those made in the Poulos/Ahearn and Schreier cases, and are made against a group of defendants consisting of several manufacturers and distributors of gaming devices, as well as numerous cruise ship operators and companies which operate cruise ship casinos.) The Poulos/Ahearn Case, the Schreier Case and the Cruise Ship Case are collectively referred to as the "Consolidated Cases."

On February 14, 1997, the Plaintiffs filed a consolidated amended complaint in the Consolidated Cases. On March 21, 1997, the Defendants moved to dismiss the consolidated amended complaint for failure to state a claim and on other grounds. The Plaintiffs opposed these motions. The defendants filed reply memoranda in support of the motions. The motions were argued on November 3, 1997.

On December 19, 1997, the court entered orders deciding the motions in the Consolidated Cases. The substance of those orders is as follows:

1. The motion to dismiss was granted as to the "wire fraud" allegation in the RICO claim; the balance of the motion to dismiss the RICO claims was denied.
2. The motion to strike some parts of the consolidated amended complaint was granted in part.
3. The remaining motions (to dismiss and to stay or abstain) were denied.
4. The plaintiffs were permitted to delete Mr. Ahearn, and add Ms. McElmore as a class representative.

The plaintiffs in the Consolidated Cases filed a second consolidated amended complaint on January 9, 1998. The Second Consolidated Amended Complaint contains claims which are nearly identical to those in the previously dismissed complaints. The defendants answered, denying the substantive allegations of the Second Consolidated Amended Complaint. On March 18, 1998, the plaintiffs filed a motion for class certification. On March 19, 1998, the Magistrate Judge granted defendants' motion seeking to bifurcate discovery into "class" and "merits" phases, and to stay "merits" discovery pending a decision on plaintiffs' motion for class certification. On August 7, 1998, the defendants filed their opposition to the motion for class certification. The plaintiffs' reply memorandum was filed on August 25, 1998, and the matter was submitted to the judge for decision. On January 26, 2001, the plaintiffs filed a supplement to their motion for class certification. The defendants have filed a supplement to their memorandum in opposition to class certification and the plaintiffs filed their reply. The hearing on the motion for class certification was held on November 15, 2001. A status conference was held on March 27, 2002. At the status conference, Judge Ezra advised the parties of the following:

- He was recusing himself from the case and sending it to the Chief Judge for reassignment.

- He would not decide the motion for class certification, but would provide his research, etc. to the newly assigned judge.
- He would lift the stay of merits discovery as to the named plaintiffs and the particular claims made by them.

On April 9, 2002, the actions were re-assigned to Judge Roger Hunt. The new case number is CV-S-94-1126-RLH(RJJ). By order entered June 26, 2002, the District Court denied the plaintiffs' motion for class certification and on July 11, 2002, the plaintiffs filed a motion with the Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit

31

seeking permission to appeal the order denying class certification. By order dated August 15, 2002, the Ninth Circuit granted permission for the plaintiffs to appeal the order denying class certification. The matter was referred to the Ninth Circuit's mediation and settlement program.

On December 10, 2002, the plaintiffs made a settlement offer. That offer, which would have entailed the payment (in various forms) of hundreds of millions of dollars by the defendants, was rejected by letter dated January 3, 2003. No counter offer was made. Briefings were completed and filed with the court. The appeal was argued to the Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit on January 15, 2004, in San Francisco, California.

We believe that plaintiffs' allegations are without merit, and we intend to defend the actions vigorously.

We are a party to various claims, legal actions and complaints arising in the ordinary course of business or asserted by way of defense or counterclaim in actions filed by us. Management believes that its defenses are substantial in each of these matters and that our legal posture can be successfully defended or satisfactorily settled without material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

None.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

The registrant has elected not to include information concerning its executive officers in its 2004 Proxy Statement, as allowed by the Proxy Statement instructions. The registrant relies on General Instruction G(3) of this report on Form 10-K in presenting the following information on its executive officers.

<u>Name</u>	<u>Office</u>	<u>Age</u>	<u>Tenure</u>	
			<u>With Company</u>	<u>Present Position</u>

Edgar Filing: AZTAR CORP - Form 10-K

Paul E. Rubeli	Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer	60	25 years	2 years
Robert M. Haddock	President and Chief Financial Officer	59	23 years	2 years
Nelson W. Armstrong, Jr.	Vice President, Administration, and Secretary	62	31 years	14 years
Joe C. Cole	Vice President, Corporate Communications	65	16 years	16 years
Meridith P. Sipek	Controller	57	26 years	14 years
Neil A. Ciarfalia	Treasurer	56	9 years	9 years

Paul E. Rubeli. Mr. Rubeli joined Ramada Inc. in 1979 as group vice president, industrial operations. He served as executive vice president, gaming, of Ramada Inc. from 1982 to December 1989, when he was appointed president and chief operating officer of Aztar. He was appointed president and chief executive officer in February 1990 and was appointed chairman of the board in addition to his other positions in February 1992. In May 2002, Mr. Rubeli relinquished his duties as president of Aztar and continued his positions as chief executive officer and chairman of the board.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT (continued)

)

Robert M. Haddock. Mr. Haddock joined Ramada Inc. in 1980 and held various positions before becoming executive vice president and chief financial officer in March 1987, serving in that capacity until 1989, when he assumed the same position with Aztar. Mr. Haddock was appointed president of Aztar in May 2002 and continued his position as chief financial officer.

Nelson W. Armstrong, Jr. Mr. Armstrong joined Ramada Inc. in 1973 as an accounting supervisor and held various positions on the corporate accounting staff, serving as vice president and controller, of Ramada Inc. In 1989, Mr. Armstrong became vice president and controller of Aztar until he was appointed vice president, administration, and secretary of Aztar in March 1990.

Joe C. Cole. Mr. Cole joined Ramada Inc. in March 1988 as vice president, corporate communications, after having been affiliated with Phoenix Newspapers Inc. for 26 years as a reporter, columnist and editor. He became vice president, corporate communications of Aztar in 1989.

Meridith P. Sipek. Mr. Sipek joined Ramada Inc.'s corporate accounting staff in 1977 as a manager and held various positions in corporate and hotel accounting, serving as hotel group controller, before being named assistant corporate controller. Mr. Sipek became Aztar's assistant corporate controller in 1989 and he was appointed controller in March 1990.

Neil A. Ciarfalia. Mr. Ciarfalia joined Aztar in 1995 as treasurer. Prior to joining Aztar, Mr. Ciarfalia spent 11 years with the commercial aircraft division of Saab-Scania AB. During that time, he served Saab as president of the various divisional finance companies which arranged or provided financing for the acquisition of Saab aircraft and related products.

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Aztar had 5,497 shareholders of record as of February 17, 2004.

The registrant did not purchase any common stock during the fourth quarter ended January 1, 2004.

The additional information required by this Item 5 is included in this report on pages F-20, F-33, F-37 and F-53.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The information required by Item 6 is included in this report on pages F-53 through F-55.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The information required by Item 7 is included in this report on pages F-35 through F-52.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The information required by Item 7A is included in this report on pages F-48 and F-49 under the caption "Market Risk".

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Reference is made to the Index to Financial Statements and Schedules on page F-1.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

EVALUATION OF DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

We carried out an evaluation as of January 1, 2004, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based on the foregoing, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective to provide reasonable assurance that the desired control objectives were achieved.

CHANGES IN INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

There have not been any changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended January 1, 2004, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

The registrant has adopted a code of ethics that applies to the registrant's principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions. The registrant's code of ethics is filed as Exhibit 14 to this annual report and is posted on the registrant's internet website at www.aztar.com. In order to access this portion of our website, click on the "Investor Information" caption, then on the "Corporate Governance" caption. In addition, the registrant intends to post on its internet website, any amendments to, or waivers from, a provision of its code of ethics that applies to the registrant's principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions. The registrant will provide to any person without charge, upon request, a copy of the registrant's code of ethics by contacting the registrant's Corporate Communications Department at 602-381-4100 or by writing to the registrant at Aztar Corporation, Corporate Communications, 2390 E. Camelback Road, Suite 400, Phoenix, Arizona 85016-3452.

The additional information required by Item 10 is incorporated by reference to the registrant's definitive Proxy Statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. A cross-referenced index is located on the facing page of this report.

Information concerning the registrant's executive officers is presented above under a separate caption in Part I of this report.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by Item 11 is incorporated by reference to the registrant's definitive Proxy Statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. A cross-referenced index is located on the facing page of this report.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The following table provides information at January 1, 2004 with respect to compensation plans under which equity securities of the registrant are authorized for issuance.

	(a)	(b)	(c)
Plan category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a))
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	4,694,660	\$12.00	85,664
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders			
Total	4,694,660	\$12.00	85,664

The additional information required by Item 12 is incorporated by reference to the registrant's definitive Proxy Statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. A cross-referenced index is located on the facing page of this report.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

None.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by Item 14 is incorporated by reference to the registrant's definitive Proxy Statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. A cross-referenced index is located on the facing page of this report.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES, AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

- (a) 1. Financial Statements:

See the Index to Financial Statements and Schedules on page F-1.

2. Financial Statement Schedules:

See the Index to Financial Statements and Schedules on page F-1.

3. Exhibits:

See the exhibit index on page E-1 for a listing of exhibits filed with this report and those incorporated by reference.

All other exhibits have been omitted because the information is not required or is not applicable.

(b) Reports on Form 8-K:

On February 11, 2004, the Company filed a report on Form 8-K under Items 7. and 12. to furnish a press release as Exhibit 99 announcing the Company's financial results for its fourth quarter and year ended January 1, 2004.

For the purposes of complying with the amendments to the rules governing Form S-8 (effective July 13, 1990) under the Securities Act of 1933, the undersigned registrant hereby undertakes as follows, which undertaking shall be incorporated by reference into registrant's Registration Statements on Form S-8 No. 33-32399, No. 33-44794, No. 333-79297 and No. 333-64952 (filed January 5, 1990, December 24, 1991, May 26, 1999 and July 12, 2001, respectively):

Insofar as indemnification for liability arising under the Securities Act of 1933 may be permitted to directors, officers and controlling persons of the registrant pursuant to the foregoing provisions, or otherwise, the registrant has been advised that in the opinion of the Securities and Exchange Commission such indemnification is against public policy as expressed in the Act and is, therefore, unenforceable. In the event that a claim for indemnification against such liabilities (other than the payment by the registrant of expenses incurred or paid by a director, officer or controlling person of the registrant in the successful defense of any action, suit or proceeding) is asserted by such director, officer or controlling person in connection with the securities being registered, the registrant will, unless in the opinion of its counsel the matter has been settled by controlling precedent, submit to a court of appropriate jurisdiction the question whether such indemnification by it is against public policy as expressed in the Act and will be governed by the final adjudication of such issue.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

<u>AZTAR CORPORATION</u>	By <u>ROBERT M. HADDOCK</u>	<u>February 27, 2004</u>
Registrant	Robert M. Haddock President and Chief Financial Officer	Date

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

<u>PAUL E. RUBELI</u>	Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, and Director	<u>February 27, 2004</u>
Paul E. Rubeli		

<u>ROBERT M. HADDOCK</u>	President and Chief Financial Officer, and Director	<u>February 27, 2004</u>
Robert M. Haddock		

<u>MERIDITH P. SIPEK</u>	Controller	<u>February 27, 2004</u>
Meridith P. Sipek		

<u>JOHN B. BOHLE</u>	Director	<u>February 27, 2004</u>
John B. Bohle		

<u>FRANK J. BRADY</u>	Director	<u>February 27, 2004</u>
Frank J. Brady		

<u>GORDON M. BURNS</u>	Director	<u>February 27, 2004</u>
------------------------	----------	--------------------------

Gordon M. Burns

LINDA C. FAISS Director February 27, 2004

Linda C. Faiss

JOHN A. SPENCER Director February 27, 2004

John A. Spencer

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SCHEDULES

	<u>Page</u>
I. Financial Statements	
1. Aztar Corporation and Subsidiaries	
Report of Independent Auditors	F-2
Consolidated Balance Sheets at January 1, 2004 and January 2, 2003	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended January 1, 2004, January 2, 2003 and January 3, 2002	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended January 1, 2004, January 2, 2003 and January 3, 2002	F-6
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the years ended January 1, 2004, January 2, 2003 and January 3, 2002	F-9
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-11

II. Financial Statement Schedules - Aztar Corporation and Subsidiaries

Report of Independent Auditors on Financial Statement Schedule S-1

Schedule II - Valuation and Qualifying Accounts S-2

All other schedules are omitted because the required information is either presented in the financial statements or notes thereto, or is not present in amounts sufficient to require submission of the schedule.

F-1

To the Shareholders and Board of Directors of
Aztar Corporation:

In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and the related consolidated statements of operations, cash flows and shareholders' equity present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Aztar Corporation and Subsidiaries (the "Company") at January 1, 2004 and January 2, 2003, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended January 1, 2004 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management; our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these statements in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As discussed in Note 14 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company changed the method of accounting for intangible assets effective January 4, 2002.

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LLP

Phoenix, Arizona
February 11, 2004

AZTAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(in thousands, except share data)

	<u>January 1,</u> <u>2004</u>	<u>January 2,</u> <u>2003</u>
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 70,586	\$ 52,896
Accounts receivable, net	20,388	18,812
Refundable income taxes	5,587	4,593
Inventories	7,576	7,532
Prepaid expenses	10,049	8,708
Deferred income taxes	<u>14,945</u>	<u>16,731</u>
Total current assets	129,131	109,272
Investments	19,586	17,420
Property and equipment:		
Buildings, riverboats and equipment, net	738,978	740,352
Land	216,103	214,794
Construction in progress	166,544	69,809
Leased under capital leases, net	<u>44</u>	<u>104</u>
	1,121,669	1,025,059
Intangible assets	65,066	53,625
Other assets	<u>12,321</u>	<u>5,306</u>
	<u>\$1,347,773</u>	<u>\$1,210,682</u>
	=====	=====

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

F-3

AZTAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (continued)
(in thousands, except share data)

	January 1, <u>2004</u>	January 2, <u>2003</u>
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable and accruals	\$ 65,746	\$ 64,780
Accrued payroll and employee benefits	28,133	29,741
Accrued interest payable	9,478	9,134
Accrued rent	10,755	10,081
Current portion of long-term debt	16,963	5,015
Current portion of other long-term liabilities	<u>981</u>	<u>870</u>
Total current liabilities	132,056	119,621
Long-term debt	628,603	524,066
Other long-term liabilities	19,825	17,480
Deferred income taxes	27,462	28,560
Contingencies and commitments		
Series B convertible preferred stock (redemption value \$12,187 and \$10,025)	5,253	5,601

Shareholders' equity:

Common stock, \$.01 par value (34,270,803 and 37,026,379 shares outstanding)	526	524
Paid-in capital	441,498	439,275
Retained earnings	291,573	231,420
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(1,526)	(612)
Less: Treasury stock	<u>(197,497)</u>	<u>(155,253)</u>
 Total shareholders' equity	 <u>534,574</u>	 <u>515,354</u>
	 \$1,347,773	 \$1,210,682
	=====	=====

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

F-4

AZTAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
For the Years Ended January 1, 2004, January 2, 2003 and January 3, 2002
(in thousands, except per share data)

2003 2002 2001

Edgar Filing: AZTAR CORP - Form 10-K

Revenues			
Casino	\$641,096	\$664,957	\$678,157
Rooms	76,218	73,702	75,804
Food and beverage	55,979	56,232	56,916
Other	<u>39,853</u>	<u>39,383</u>	<u>38,586</u>
	813,146	834,274	849,463
Costs and expenses			
Casino	277,969	281,211	286,523
Rooms	39,349	38,336	39,348
Food and beverage	53,645	53,448	55,270
Other	30,106	31,888	32,885
Marketing	76,774	82,057	84,559
General and administrative	77,739	75,831	78,669
Utilities	17,761	16,723	17,311
Repairs and maintenance	24,123	24,852	24,632
Provision for doubtful accounts	1,530	2,582	4,113
Property taxes and insurance	29,442	27,597	24,297
Rent	8,779	12,770	18,635
Depreciation and amortization	<u>50,906</u>	<u>50,499</u>	<u>51,813</u>
	<u>688,123</u>	<u>697,794</u>	<u>718,055</u>
Operating income	125,023	136,480	131,408
Interest income	736	1,035	1,559
Interest expense	(36,375)	(41,224)	(39,182)
Equity in unconsolidated partnership's loss	<u>--</u>	<u>(458)</u>	<u>(3,702)</u>
Income before income taxes	89,384	95,833	90,083
Income taxes	<u>(28,454)</u>	<u>(36,974)</u>	<u>(32,074)</u>
Net income	<u>\$ 60,930</u>	<u>\$ 58,859</u>	<u>\$ 58,009</u>
Net income per common share	\$ 1.72	\$ 1.56	\$ 1.53
Net income per common share assuming dilution	\$ 1.66	\$ 1.51	\$ 1.48
Weighted-average common shares applicable to:			
Net income per common share	34,999	37,191	37,385
Net income per common share assuming dilution	36,563	38,841	38,963

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

AZTAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
For the Years Ended January 1, 2004, January 2, 2003 and January 3, 2002
(in thousands)

	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>
Cash Flows from Operating Activities			
Net income	\$ 60,930	\$ 58,859	\$ 58,009
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	52,432	51,958	53,121
Provision for losses on accounts receivable	1,530	2,582	4,113
Loss (gain) on reinvestment obligation	250	(2,662)	1,301
Rent expense	459	(171)	(968)
Distribution (less than) in excess of equity in income of partnership	--	(414)	593
Deferred income taxes	688	12,917	12,697
Change in assets and liabilities:			
(Increase) decrease in accounts receivable	(47)	764	(4,502)
(Increase) decrease in refundable income taxes	(994)	(4,593)	--
(Increase) decrease in inventories and prepaid expenses	(1,167)	2,007	323
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable, accrued expenses and income taxes payable	(4,399)	2,619	11,861
Other items, net	<u>1,683</u>	<u>4,682</u>	<u>519</u>
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	<u>111,365</u>	<u>128,548</u>	<u>137,067</u>
Cash Flows from Investing Activities			
Reduction in investments	2,252	13,927	1,857
Purchases of property and equipment	(147,379)	(74,673)	(50,604)

Acquisition of Tropicana Enterprises partnership interests	--	(117,500)	--
Additions to other long-term assets	<u>(23,846)</u>	<u>(9,023)</u>	<u>(7,273)</u>
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	<u>\$(168,973)</u>	<u>\$(187,269)</u>	<u>\$ (56,020)</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

F-6

AZTAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (continued)
For the Years Ended January 1, 2004, January 2, 2003 and January 3, 2002
(in thousands)

	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>
Cash Flows from Financing Activities			
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	\$ 405,257	\$ 176,400	\$ 305,100
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	1,466	4,499	2,242
Principal payments on long-term debt	(288,015)	(156,102)	(309,764)
Principal payments on other long-term liabilities	(33)	(27)	(26)
Debt issuance costs	--	--	(4,677)
Repurchase of common stock	(42,244)	(4,061)	(28,634)
Preferred stock dividend	(435)	(461)	(499)
Redemption of preferred stock	<u>(698)</u>	<u>(753)</u>	<u>(747)</u>
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	<u>75,298</u>	<u>19,495</u>	<u>(37,005)</u>

Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	17,690	(39,226)	44,042
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	<u>52,896</u>	<u>92,122</u>	<u>48,080</u>
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	<u>\$ 70,586</u>	<u>\$ 52,896</u>	<u>\$ 92,122</u>
Supplemental Cash Flow Disclosures			
Acquisition of Tropicana Enterprises partnership interests:			
Investments in and advances to unconsolidated partnership	\$ --	\$ 6,828	\$ --
Buildings, net	--	(41,411)	--
Land	--	(109,979)	--
Intangible assets	--	(15,331)	--
Other assets	--	1,000	--
Current portion of long-term debt	--	4,148	--
Current portion of other long-term liabilities	--	(847)	--
Long-term debt	--	44,773	--
Other long-term liabilities	<u>--</u>	<u>(6,681)</u>	<u>--</u>
Net cash used in acquisition	\$ --	\$(117,500)	\$ --

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

F-7

AZTAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (continued)
For the Years Ended January 1, 2004, January 2, 2003 and January 3, 2002
(in thousands)

Edgar Filing: AZTAR CORP - Form 10-K

	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>
Summary of non-cash investing and financing activities:			
Capital lease obligations incurred for property and equipment	\$ --	\$ --	\$ 79
Exchange of common stock in lieu of cash payments in connection with the exercise of stock options	--	5	13
Other long-term liabilities reduced for intangible assets	--	--	50
Current liabilities incurred for intangible assets	1,919	6,163	--
Cash flow during the year for the following:			
Interest paid, net of amount capitalized	\$ 34,506	\$ 40,137	\$ 33,838
Income taxes paid	27,765	27,318	21,155

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

F-8

AZTAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
 CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY
For the Years Ended January 1, 2004, January 2, 2003 and January 3, 2002
 (in thousands)

	<u>Common Stock</u>	<u>Paid-in Capital</u>	<u>Retained Earnings</u>	<u>Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss - Minimum Pension Liability Adjustment</u>	<u>Treasury Stock</u>	<u>Total</u>
Balance, December 28, 2000	\$ 515	\$428,537	\$116,194	\$ --	\$(122,540)	\$422,706
Net income			58,009			58,009
Minimum pension liability adjustment, net of income tax benefit				(353)		<u>(353)</u>
Total comprehensive income						57,656
Stock options exercised	2	2,253			(13)	2,242
Tax benefit from stock options exercised		665				665
Preferred stock dividend and losses on redemption			(794)			(794)
Repurchase of common stock	—	—	—	—	(28,634)	<u>(28,634)</u>
Balance, January 3, 2002	517	431,455	173,409	(353)	(151,187)	453,841

Net income			58,859			58,859
Minimum pension liability adjustment, net of income tax benefit				(259)		<u>(259)</u>
Total comprehensive income						58,600
Stock options exercised	7	4,497			(5)	4,499
Tax benefit from stock options exercised		3,323				3,323
Preferred stock dividend and losses on redemption			(848)			(848)
Repurchase of common stock					<u>(4,061)</u>	<u>(4,061)</u>
Balance, January 2, 2003	\$ 524	\$439,275	\$231,420	\$ (612)	\$(155,253)	\$515,354

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

F-9

AZTAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY (continued)
For the Years Ended January 1, 2004, January 2, 2003 and January 3, 2002
(in thousands)

	<u>Common Stock</u>	<u>Paid-in Capital</u>	<u>Retained Earnings</u>	<u>Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss - Minimum Pension Liability Adjustment</u>	<u>Treasury Stock</u>	<u>Total</u>
Balance, January 2, 2003	\$ 524	\$439,275	\$231,420	\$ (612)	\$(155,253)	\$515,354

Net income			60,930			60,930
Minimum pension liability adjustment, net of income tax benefit				(914)		<u>(914)</u>
Total comprehensive income						60,016
Stock options exercised	2	1,464				1,466
Tax benefit from stock options exercised		759				759
Preferred stock dividend and losses on redemption			(777)			(777)
Repurchase of common stock	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>(42,244)</u>	<u>(42,244)</u>
Balance, January 1, 2004	<u>\$ 526</u>	<u>\$441,498</u>	<u>\$291,573</u>	<u>\$ (1,526)</u>	<u>\$(197,497)</u>	<u>\$534,574</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Consolidated Statements

Aztar Corporation ("Aztar" or "Company") was incorporated in Delaware in June 1989 to operate the gaming business of Ramada Inc. ("Ramada") after the restructuring of Ramada ("Restructuring"). The Restructuring involved the disposition of Ramada's hotel and restaurant businesses with Ramada's shareholders retaining their interest in the gaming business. As part of the Restructuring, the gaming business and certain other assets and liabilities of Ramada were transferred to Aztar, and a wholly-owned subsidiary of New World Hotels (U.S.A.), Inc. was merged with Ramada ("Merger"). In the Merger, each share of Ramada common stock was converted into the right to receive \$1.00 and one share of Aztar common stock.

The Company operates casino hotels in Atlantic City, New Jersey and Las Vegas, Nevada, under the Tropicana name and in Laughlin, Nevada, as Ramada Express. The Company operates casino riverboats in Caruthersville, Missouri and Evansville, Indiana under the Casino Aztar name. A substantial portion of the Company's consolidated revenues and assets is concentrated at the Atlantic City Tropicana.

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Aztar and all of its controlled subsidiaries and partnerships. All subsidiary companies are wholly owned. In consolidating, all material intercompany transactions are eliminated. The Company uses a 52/53 week fiscal year ending on the Thursday nearest December 31, which included 52 weeks in 2003 and 2002 and 53 weeks in 2001.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In January 2003, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Interpretation No. 46, "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, an interpretation of ARB No. 51" and revised it in December 2003. The objective of FIN 46 is to improve financial reporting by enterprises involved with variable interest entities. FIN 46 establishes requirements for the consolidation of variable interest entities. An entity subject to the consolidation requirements of FIN 46 is referred to as a variable interest entity. Consolidation is required under FIN 46 when either of the following conditions is present: (1) the equity investment at risk is not sufficient to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support from other parties or (2) the equity investors (as a group) do not hold a controlling financial interest in the entity. The effective date of FIN 46 depends on when the variable interest entity was formed and with the provisions of the revised FIN 46, it depends on the type of variable interest entity. FIN 46 is effective for public companies in 2003. The provisions of the revised FIN 46 are effective for public companies by the first reporting period ending after March 15, 2004. FIN 46 did not have and the Company expects the revised FIN 46 will not have any impact on its consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Highly liquid investments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less are classified as cash equivalents. These instruments are stated at cost, which approximates fair value because of their short maturity.

Short-term Investments

Short-term investments purchased with an original maturity of over three months but less than one year are stated at cost, which approximates fair value because of their short maturity. There were no short-term investments at January 1, 2004 or January 2, 2003.

Inventories

Inventories, which consist primarily of food, beverage and operating supplies, are stated at the lower of cost or market value. Costs are determined using the first-in, first-out and the average cost methods.

Advertising Costs

Costs for advertising are expensed as incurred, except costs for direct-response advertising, which are capitalized and amortized over the period of the related program. Direct-response advertising costs consist primarily of mailing costs associated with direct-mail programs. Capitalized advertising costs, included in prepaid expenses, were immaterial at January 1, 2004 and January 2, 2003. Advertising costs that were expensed during the year were \$15,433,000 in 2003, \$15,704,000 in 2002 and \$17,445,000 in 2001.

Other Investments

The Casino Reinvestment Development Authority ("CRDA") bonds are classified as held-to-maturity securities and are carried at amortized cost less a valuation allowance.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost. During construction, the Company capitalizes interest and other direct and indirect development costs. Interest is capitalized monthly by applying the effective interest rate on certain borrowings to the average balance of expenditures. The interest that was capitalized during the year was \$8,322,000 in 2003, \$3,004,000 in 2002 and \$1,326,000 in 2001.

Depreciation and amortization are computed by the straight-line method based upon the following useful lives: buildings and improvements, 3-40 years; riverboats, barge, docking facilities and improvements, 3-25 years; furniture and equipment, 3- 15 years; and leasehold improvements, shorter of lease term or asset useful life. Accumulated depreciation and amortization on buildings, riverboats and equipment was \$540,113,000 at January 1, 2004 and \$502,656,000 at January 2, 2003.

Improvements, renewals and extraordinary repairs that extend the life of the asset are capitalized; other repairs and maintenance are expensed. The cost and accumulated depreciation applicable to assets retired are removed from the accounts and the gain or loss, if any, on disposition is recognized in income as realized.

Intangible Assets

Debt issuance costs are capitalized as incurred and amortized using the interest method.

F-12

Development costs associated with pursuing opportunities in gaming jurisdictions, as well as in jurisdictions in which gaming has not been approved, are expensed as incurred until a particular opportunity is determined to be viable, generally when the Company has been selected as the operator of a new gaming facility, has applied for a gaming license or has obtained rights to a specific site. Development costs incurred subsequent to these criteria being met are capitalized. Development costs consist of licensing costs and site acquisition costs. In jurisdictions in which gaming has not been approved, only site acquisition costs are capitalized. In the event a project is later determined not to be viable or the Company is not licensed to operate a facility at a site, the capitalized costs related to this project or site would be expensed. It is reasonably possible that management's estimate of viability with regard to a development project may change in the near term.

Costs incurred to obtain initial gaming licenses to operate a casino are capitalized as incurred and prior to January 4, 2002, were amortized evenly over ten years beginning with the commencement of operations; subsequent renewal costs are amortized evenly over the renewal period. With the adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142, effective January 4, 2002, the Company ceased amortization of its initial gaming licenses. The Company has determined, under the criteria established in SFAS 142, that the useful life of the initial gaming licenses is indefinite. Licensing costs consist primarily of payments or obligations to civic and community organizations, legal and consulting fees, application and selection fees with associated investigative costs and direct internal salaries and related costs of development personnel.

Valuation of Long-Lived Assets

Long-lived assets and certain identifiable intangibles held and used by the Company are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances warrant such a review. The carrying value of a long-lived or amortizable intangible asset is considered impaired when the anticipated undiscounted cash flow from such asset is separately identifiable and is less than its carrying value. In that event, a loss is recognized based on the amount by which the carrying value exceeds the fair value of the asset. Losses on long-lived assets to be disposed of are determined in a similar manner, except that fair values are reduced for the cost of disposition. Effective January 4, 2002, SFAS 142 requires an annual impairment review based on fair value for all intangible assets with indefinite lives. The Company performed an impairment test of its intangible assets with indefinite lives during the year 2003 and concluded that there was no impairment.

Equity Instruments

The fair-value-based method of accounting is used for equity instruments issued to nonemployees for goods or services. The intrinsic-value-based method of accounting is used for stock-based employee compensation plans. The Company has elected to follow Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25 entitled "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees" and related Interpretations in accounting for its stock-based employee compensation arrangements because the alternative fair-value-based method of accounting provided for under Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 entitled "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" requires use of option valuation models that were not developed for use in valuing employee stock options.

Under APB 25, because the exercise price of the Company's stock options equals the market price of the underlying stock on the date of grant, no compensation expense is recognized. The Company's stock-based employee compensation plans are more fully discussed in Note 12. Stock Options.

F-13

Pro forma information regarding net income and earnings per share is required by SFAS 123, and has been determined as if the Company had accounted for its stock option plans under the fair-value-based method of that Statement. The fair value for these options was estimated at the date of grant or modification using a Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions: risk-free interest rate of 2.6% in 2003 and 4.8% in 2002 and 2001, no dividend in 2003, 2002 or 2001, volatility factor of the expected market price of the Company's common stock of .50 in 2003 and 2002 and .47 in 2001, and an expected life of the option of 5.2 years in 2003, 5.6 years in 2002 and 5.1 years in 2001.

The Black-Scholes option valuation model was developed for use in estimating the fair value of traded options which have no vesting or trading restrictions and are fully transferable. In addition, option valuation models require the input of highly subjective assumptions including the expected stock price volatility. Because the Company's employee stock options have characteristics significantly different from those of traded options, and because changes in the subjective input assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate, in management's opinion, the existing models do not necessarily provide a reliable single measure of the fair value of its stock options.

For purposes of pro forma disclosures, the estimated fair value of the options is amortized to expense over the options' vesting period. The pro forma information is as follows (in thousands, except per share data):

	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>
Net income, as reported	\$ 60,930	\$ 58,859	\$ 58,009
Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under the fair- value-based method of accounting, net of income tax benefit	<u>(3,197)</u>	<u>(3,542)</u>	<u>(3,007)</u>
Pro forma net income	<u>\$ 57,733</u>	<u>\$ 55,317</u>	<u>\$ 55,002</u>
Net income per common share:			
As reported	\$ 1.72	\$ 1.56	\$ 1.53
Pro forma	\$ 1.63	\$ 1.46	\$ 1.45
Net income per common share assuming dilution:			
As reported	\$ 1.66	\$ 1.51	\$ 1.48
Pro forma	\$ 1.58	\$ 1.42	\$ 1.41

Revenue Recognition

Casino revenue consists of gaming win net of losses. Other revenue consists of revenue from many various sources such as entertainment, retail outlets including gift shops, telephone, commissions and surcharges, hotel services and admissions to our riverboats. These revenues are recognized as earned. Revenues exclude the retail value of complimentary food and beverage, accommodations and other goods and services provided to customers. The estimated costs of providing such complimentary services have been classified as casino expenses through interdepartmental allocations as follows (in thousands):

F-14

	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>
Rooms	\$ 18,000	\$ 18,421	\$ 19,452
Food and beverage	48,417	47,698	47,816
Other	<u>3,280</u>	<u>3,066</u>	<u>3,428</u>
	\$ 69,697	\$ 69,185	\$ 70,696
	=====	=====	=====

Income Taxes

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been included in the financial statements or income tax returns. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the difference between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities using enacted rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date.

Earnings per Share

Earnings per common share excludes dilution and is computed by dividing income applicable to common shareholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding. Earnings per common share, assuming dilution, is computed based on the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding after consideration of the dilutive effect of stock options and the assumed conversion of the preferred stock at the stated rate.

NOTE 2. CONCENTRATIONS OF CREDIT RISK

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk consist principally of cash and cash equivalents, long-term investments and trade accounts receivable. The Company places its cash and temporary cash investments with high-credit-quality financial institutions. At times, such investments may be in

excess of the FDIC and SIPC insurance limits.

The Atlantic City Tropicana has a concentration of credit risk in the northeast region of the U.S. The receivables at the Nevada operations are concentrated in California and the southwest region of the U.S. As a general policy, the Company does not require collateral for these receivables. At January 1, 2004 and January 2, 2003, the net receivables at Tropicana Atlantic City were \$14,385,000 and \$13,716,000, respectively, and the net receivables at Tropicana Las Vegas and Ramada Express combined were \$5,106,000 and \$4,116,000, respectively.

An allowance for doubtful accounts is maintained at a level considered adequate to provide for possible future losses. At January 1, 2004 and January 2, 2003, the allowance for doubtful accounts was \$14,360,000 and \$17,376,000, respectively.

NOTE 3. ACQUISITION AND PRIOR INVESTMENTS IN AND ADVANCES TO UNCONSOLIDATED PARTNERSHIP

The Company's prior investment in unconsolidated partnership was a noncontrolling partnership interest of 50% in Tropicana Enterprises, a Nevada general partnership that owned the real property that the Company leased in the operation of the Las Vegas Tropicana. The Company used the equity method of accounting for this investment. On February 28, 2002, the Company purchased the 50% partnership interest in Tropicana Enterprises that it did not own. After credits, the Company paid \$117,500,000. The source of funds for this purchase was cash on hand of \$47,500,000 and \$70,000,000 in borrowings under its revolving credit facility ("Revolver"). In addition, the Company assumed \$48,921,000 of partnership debt

F-15

("Tropicana Enterprises Loan") that the Company was servicing through its rent payments. This purchase eliminates, after February 28, 2002, the Company's real estate rent expense at the Las Vegas Tropicana and its equity in unconsolidated partnership's loss. In connection with the lease, the Company expensed rents of \$2,722,000 in 2002 and \$17,240,000 in 2001, of which 50% was eliminated in consolidation. The Company's equity in unconsolidated partnership's loss during the year was \$458,000 in 2002 and \$3,702,000 in 2001. Distributions received from Tropicana Enterprises during the year were \$489,000 in 2002 and \$5,511,000 in 2001. The purchase, however, increases depreciation and interest expenses and decreases interest income after February 28, 2002. As part of the acquisition, the Company acquired the 50% interest in the Tropicana trademark, an intangible asset with an indefinite life, that it did not already own as part of its interest in the partnership, at an allocated cost of \$22,172,000 based upon an appraisal report.

Summarized operating results, prior to the acquisition, for the unconsolidated partnership are as follows (in thousands):

	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>
Revenues	\$ 2,722	\$ 17,240
Operating expenses	<u>(473)</u>	<u>(2,795)</u>
Operating income	2,249	14,445
Interest expense	<u>(253)</u>	<u>(3,512)</u>

Net income	\$ 1,996 =====	\$ 10,933 =====
------------	-------------------	--------------------

The Company's share of the above operating results, after intercompany eliminations, is as follows (in thousands):

	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>
Equity in unconsolidated partnership's loss	\$ (458)	\$ (3,702)

NOTE 4. INVESTMENTS

Investments consist of the following (in thousands):

	<u>January 1, 2004</u>	<u>January 2, 2003</u>
CRDA deposits, net of a valuation allowance of \$4,321 and \$3,986	\$ 12,463	\$ 10,242
CRDA bonds, net of a valuation allowance of \$1,470 and \$1,461 and an unamortized discount of \$2,756 and \$2,774	5,050	5,022
CRDA other investments, net of a valuation allowance of \$1,171 and \$1,306	<u>2,073</u>	<u>2,156</u>
	\$ 19,586 =====	\$ 17,420 =====

The Company has a New Jersey investment obligation based upon its New Jersey casino revenue. The Company may satisfy this investment obligation by investing in qualified eligible direct investments, by making qualified

contributions or by depositing funds with the CRDA. Deposits with the CRDA bear interest at two-thirds of market rates, resulting in a fair value lower than cost. These deposits, under certain circumstances, may be donated to the CRDA in exchange for credits against future investment obligations. If not used for other purposes, the CRDA deposits are used to invest in bonds issued by the CRDA as they become available that also bear interest at two-thirds of market rates. The CRDA bonds have various contractual maturities that range from 11 to 41 years. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because of prepayment rights.

In April 2002, the Company commenced construction on a major expansion project at the Atlantic City Tropicana. The Company has an agreement with the CRDA for approximately \$20,100,000 in funding in connection with this expansion project. As of January 1, 2004, the Company has received approximately \$16,300,000 in funding from the CRDA under this agreement. At January 1, 2004 and January 2, 2003, the Company had approximately \$800,000 and \$1,000,000, respectively, in available deposits with the CRDA that qualified for this funding and accordingly reclassified these amounts to accounts receivable.

NOTE 5. LAS VEGAS TROPICANA DEVELOPMENT

The Company's master plan for a potential development of its Las Vegas Tropicana site envisions the creation of two separate but essentially equal and inter-connected sites. The north site would be developed by the Company. The south site would be held for future Company development, joint venture development, or sale for development by another party.

For development of a potential project on the north site, a detailed design has almost been completed. The Company plans to complete construction documents and estimated construction costs by the end of the second quarter of 2004. The Company will decide by the end of the first quarter of 2005 whether to proceed, whether to delay, or whether not to proceed at all with the development of a project on the north site. The amount and timing of any future expenditure, and the extent of any impact on existing operations, will depend on the nature and timing of the development we ultimately undertake, if any. If we decide to abandon any facilities in the development process, we would have to conduct a review for impairment with a possible write-down and review their useful lives with a possible adjustment to depreciation and amortization expense. These reviews could result in adjustments that have a material adverse effect on our consolidated results of operations.

The net book value of the property and equipment used in the operation of the Las Vegas Tropicana, excluding land at a cost of \$109,979,000, was \$59,337,000 at January 1, 2004. The net book value of accounts receivable, inventories and prepaid expenses at the Las Vegas Tropicana was \$8,138,000 at January 1, 2004. It is reasonably possible that the carrying value of some or all of these assets may change.

NOTE 6: INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Acquired intangible assets consist of the following (in thousands):

	<u>January 1, 2004</u>		<u>January 2, 2003</u>	
	<u>Gross Carrying Amount</u>	<u>Accumulated Amortization</u>	<u>Gross Carrying Amount</u>	<u>Accumulated Amortization</u>
Subject to amortization:				
Debt issuance costs	\$ 13,549	\$ 6,528	\$ 13,549	\$ 5,003
Prepaid rent	9,482	459	7,327	--
Development costs	11,612	--	2,159	--
Leasing costs	2,794	--	1,112	--
Gaming license renewal costs	2,049	342	1,852	220
Other	<u>445</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>395</u>	<u>70</u>
	<u>\$ 39,931</u>	<u>\$ 7,389</u>	<u>\$ 26,394</u>	<u>\$ 5,293</u>
	=====	=====	=====	=====
Not subject to amortization:				
Tropicana trademark	\$ 22,172		\$ 22,172	
Initial gaming licenses	<u>10,352</u>		<u>10,352</u>	
	<u>\$ 32,524</u>		<u>\$ 32,524</u>	
	=====		=====	

Amortization of acquired intangible assets (excluding prior year amortization of the Company's initial gaming licenses (see "Note 14. Amortization")) was \$2,377,000 in 2003, \$2,357,000 in 2002 and \$2,374,000 in 2001.

Estimated future amortization expense for the acquired intangible assets subject to amortization at January 1, 2004 is as follows for each of the five years subsequent to January 1, 2004 (in thousands):

2004	\$2,962
2005	2,869
2006	2,638
2007	1,911
2008	1,243

NOTE 7. LONG-TERM DEBT

Long-term debt consists of the following (in thousands):

	<u>January 1, 2004</u>	<u>January 2, 2003</u>
8 7/8% Senior Subordinated Notes Due 2007; redeemable at 104.438%	\$ 235,000	\$ 235,000
9% Senior Subordinated Notes Due 2011; redeemable at a defined premium	175,000	175,000
Revolver; floating rate; 3.2% at		

January 1, 2004; matures June 30, 2005	146,500	25,000
Term loan; floating rate, 3.7% at		
January 1, 2004; matures June 30, 2005	47,750	48,250
Tropicana Enterprises Loan; floating rate, 3.1% at		
January 1, 2004; matures June 30, 2005	41,116	45,504
Obligations under capital leases	<u>200</u>	<u>327</u>
	645,566	529,081
Less current portion	<u>(16,963)</u>	<u>(5,015)</u>
	\$ 628,603	\$ 524,066
	=====	=====

F-18

Maturities of long-term debt for the five years subsequent to January 1, 2004 are as follows (in thousands):

2004	\$ 16,963
2005	218,587
2006	16
2007	235,000
2008	--

Interest on the 8 7/8% Senior Subordinated Notes due May 15, 2007 ("8 7/8% Notes") is payable semiannually on May 15 and November 15. The 8 7/8% Notes are redeemable at the option of the Company, in whole or in part, at prices from 104.438% of the principal amount plus interest declining to 100% of the principal amount plus interest beginning May 15, 2006.

Interest on the 9% Senior Subordinated Notes due August 15, 2011 ("9% Notes") is payable on February 15 and August 15. At any time prior to August 15, 2006, the 9% Notes are redeemable at the option of the Company, in whole or in part, at a price of 100% of the principal amount plus a redemption premium plus accrued and unpaid interest. The redemption premium will be equal to the greater of (1) 1% of the principal amount or (2) the excess of (A) the sum of the present values of (i) 104.5% of the principal amount and (ii) all required interest payments through August 15, 2006, excluding accrued but unpaid interest, computed in each case using a discount rate equal to the treasury rate at the time of redemption plus 50 basis points over (B) the principal amount. On or after August 15, 2006, the 9% Notes are redeemable at the option of the Company, in whole or in part, at prices from 104.5% of the principal amount plus interest declining to 100% of the principal amount plus interest beginning August 15, 2009.

The 8 7/8% Notes and 9% Notes, ranked pari passu, are general unsecured obligations of the Company and are subordinated in right of payment to all present and future senior indebtedness (as defined) of the Company. Upon change of control of the Company, the holders of the 8 7/8% Notes and 9% Notes would have the right to require repurchase of the respective notes at 101% of the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest. Certain covenants in the 8 7/8% Notes and 9% Notes limit the ability of the Company to incur indebtedness, make certain payments or engage in mergers, consolidations or sales of assets.

At January 1, 2004, the maximum amount available under the Revolver was \$264,000,000, which left \$114,028,000 available for future borrowing after consideration of outstanding letters of credit. On December 23, 2003, the

Company amended its Revolver to revise the reduction rate so that the maximum amount available under the Revolver decreases by \$12,000,000 on December 31, 2004, and quarterly thereafter. Interest is computed on the outstanding principal balance of the Revolver based upon, at the Company's option, a one-, two-, three- or six-month Eurodollar rate plus a margin ranging from 1.25% to 2.50%, or the prime rate plus a margin ranging from zero to 1.25%. The applicable margin is dependent upon the Company's outstanding indebtedness and operating cash flow. As of January 1, 2004, the margin was at 0.625% less than the highest level. Interest computed based upon the Eurodollar rate is payable quarterly or on the last day of the applicable Eurodollar interest period, if earlier. Interest computed based upon the prime rate is payable quarterly. The Company incurs a commitment fee ranging from 0.225% to 0.4375% per annum on the unused portion of the Revolver.

The Term loan ("Term Loan") calls for quarterly principal payments of \$125,000 on a calendar basis through June 30, 2004, and \$11,875,000 beginning on September 30, 2004 through maturity. Interest is computed on the Term Loan based upon, at the Company's option, a one-, two-, three- or six-month Eurodollar rate plus a margin of 2.5%. Interest is payable quarterly or on the last day of the applicable Eurodollar interest period, if earlier.

F-19

The collateral securing the Revolver and the Term Loan, shared on a pari passu basis, consists of all the property of Tropicana Atlantic City, Ramada Express and the casino riverboat operations and, with certain exceptions, the stock of the Company's subsidiaries. The Revolver imposes various restrictions on the Company, including limitations on its ability to incur additional debt, commit funds to capital expenditures and investments, merge or sell assets. The Revolver also prohibits dividends on the Company's common stock (other than those payable in common stock) and repurchases of the Company's common stock in excess of \$250,000,000 with limited exceptions. In addition, the Revolver contains quarterly financial tests, including a minimum requirement for operating cash flow, a minimum ratio of fixed charge coverage and maximum ratios of total debt and senior debt to operating cash flow. The restrictions imposed on the Company by the Term Loan are similar to those imposed by the Company's senior subordinated debt.

The Tropicana Enterprises Loan calls for monthly principal payments of \$391,000 to \$419,000, with a final payment of approximately \$34,000,000 due at maturity. The Tropicana Enterprises Loan is collateralized by the Las Vegas Tropicana property. Interest is computed based upon, at the borrower's option, a one-, two-, three- or six-month Eurodollar rate plus a margin ranging from 1.25% to 2.25%, or the prime rate plus a margin ranging from zero to 1.00%. The applicable margin is dependent upon the Company's outstanding indebtedness and operating cash flow. As of January 1, 2004, the margin was at 0.375% less than the highest level. Interest computed based upon the Eurodollar rate is payable quarterly or on the last day of the applicable Eurodollar interest period, if earlier. Interest computed based upon the prime rate is payable quarterly.

NOTE 8. LEASE OBLIGATIONS

The Company is a lessee under a number of noncancelable lease agreements involving land, buildings, leasehold improvements and equipment, some of which provide for contingent rentals based on revenues. The leases extend for various periods up to 10 years and generally provide for the payment of executory costs (taxes, insurance and maintenance) by the Company. Certain of these leases have provisions for renewal options ranging from 1 to 20 years, primarily under similar terms, and/or options to purchase at various dates.

Properties leased under capital leases are as follows (in thousands):

	January 1, <u>2004</u>	January 2, <u>2003</u>
Furniture and equipment	\$ 2,111	\$ 2,254
Less accumulated amortization	<u>(2,067)</u>	<u>(2,150)</u>
	\$ 44	\$ 104
	=====	=====

Amortization of furniture and equipment leased under capital leases, computed on a straight-line basis, was \$55,000 in 2003, \$324,000 in 2002 and \$807,000 in 2001.

F-20

Minimum future lease obligations on long-term, noncancelable leases in effect at January 1, 2004 are as follows (in thousands):

<u>Year</u>	<u>Capital</u>	<u>Operating</u>
2004	\$ 158	\$ 4,453
2005	44	3,021
2006	16	1,360
2007	--	643
2008	--	156
Thereafter	<u>--</u>	<u>298</u>
	218	\$ 9,931
		=====
Amount representing interest	<u>(18)</u>	
Net present value	200	
Less current portion	<u>(143)</u>	
Long-term portion	\$ 57	
	=====	

The above net present value is computed based on specific interest rates determined at the inception of the leases.

Rent expense is detailed as follows (in thousands):

	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>
Minimum rentals	\$ 6,072	\$ 7,327	\$ 13,005
Contingent rentals	<u>2,707</u>	<u>5,443</u>	<u>5,630</u>
	\$ 8,779	\$ 12,770	\$ 18,635
	=====	=====	=====

NOTE 9. OTHER LONG-TERM LIABILITIES

Other long-term liabilities consist of the following (in thousands):

	<u>January 1, 2004</u>	<u>January 2, 2003</u>
Deferred compensation and retirement plans	\$ 17,960	\$ 15,219
Deferred income	2,531	2,783
Las Vegas Boulevard beautification assessment	<u>315</u>	<u>348</u>
	20,806	18,350
Less current portion	<u>(981)</u>	<u>(870)</u>
	\$ 19,825	\$ 17,480
	=====	=====

NOTE 10. REDEEMABLE PREFERRED STOCK

A series of preferred stock consisting of 100,000 shares has been designated Series B ESOP Convertible Preferred Stock ("Series B Stock") and those shares were issued on December 20, 1989, to the Company's Employee Stock Ownership Plan ("ESOP"). In 2001, the ESOP was merged into the Aztar Corporation 401(k) Plan ("401(k) Plan") and the assets of the ESOP were subsequently transferred to the 401(k) Plan.

Beginning January 1, 2001, the Series B Stock was held by the Aztar Corporation 401(k) Plan Stock and Insurance Trust. During 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively, 3,478 shares, 3,584 shares and 4,411 shares were redeemed primarily in connection with employee terminations. At January 1, 2004, cumulative redemptions totaled 47,470

shares. The Series B Stock has an annual dividend rate of \$8.00 per share per annum payable semiannually in arrears. These shares have no voting rights except under certain limited, specified conditions. Shares may be converted into common stock at \$9.46 per share of common stock and have a liquidation preference of \$100 per share plus accrued and unpaid dividends.

The shares that have vested are redeemable at the higher of \$100 per share plus accrued and unpaid dividends, appraised value or conversion value, at the election of the participant upon becoming eligible to redeem Series B Stock or at the election of the Company. The participant or beneficiary may elect to receive cash or common stock of the Company for the redemption value. The Company may elect to fund the redemption with either cash or its common stock. The excess of the redemption value of the Series B Stock over the carrying value is charged to retained earnings upon redemption. In order for a Series B Stock redemption to occur, a request for distribution is made by the participant or beneficiary. Those participants or beneficiaries who are eligible to redeem their Series B Stock are permitted to leave their Series B Stock in their account until an election for redemption is made or until federal statutes require a form of distribution.

In the event of default in the payment of dividends on the Series B Stock for six consecutive semiannual periods, each outstanding share would have one vote per share of common stock into which the preferred stock is convertible.

NOTE 11. CAPITAL STOCK

The Company is authorized to issue 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock, par value \$.01 per share, issuable in series as the Board of Directors may designate. Approximately 100,000 shares of preferred stock have been designated Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock but none have been issued.

The Company is authorized to issue 100,000,000 shares of common stock with a par value of \$.01 per share. Shares issued were 52,580,498 at January 1, 2004 and 52,413,498 at January 2, 2003. Common stock outstanding was net of 18,309,695 and 15,387,119 treasury shares at January 1, 2004 and January 2, 2003, respectively. One preferred stock purchase right ("Right") is attached to each share of the Company's common stock. Each Right will entitle the holder, subject to the occurrence of certain events, to purchase one one-thousandth of a share of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock at a price of \$50.00 per one one-thousandth of a share, subject to adjustment. The Rights will expire in December 2009 if not earlier extended or redeemed by the Company at \$.01 per Right.

In accordance with the Merger agreement, 666,572 shares of common stock that had not been claimed by the shareholders of Ramada were returned to the Company in December 1990 to be held as treasury shares until claimed. During 2001, 206 shares were claimed. No unclaimed shares were held by the Company as of January 1, 2004 and January 2, 2003.

In 1999, the Board of Directors authorized the Company to make discretionary repurchases of up to 8,000,000 shares of its common stock. In 2000, the Board of Directors authorized the Company to make discretionary repurchases of up to 3,000,000 additional shares of its common stock. There were 2,334,700,

4,973,000 and 3,692,300 shares repurchased under this program in 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively. This share repurchase program was completed in October 2001. In December 2002, the Board of Directors authorized the Company to make discretionary repurchases of up to 4,000,000 shares of its common stock. There were 2,922,576 and 283,200 shares repurchased under this program in 2003 and 2002, respectively. At January 1, 2004, there remained authority to repurchase 794,224 shares of common stock under this program. All purchases under the Company's stock repurchase program were made or may be made in the future from time to time in the open market or privately negotiated transactions, depending upon market prices and other business factors. Repurchased shares are stated at cost and held as treasury shares to be used for general corporate purposes.

The Company accepted 222 and 1,081 shares of its common stock in 2002 and 2001, respectively, from nonemployee Directors in lieu of cash due to the Company in connection with the exercise of stock options. Such shares of common stock are stated at cost and held as treasury shares to be used for general corporate purposes.

At January 1, 2004, January 2, 2003 and January 3, 2002, common shares reserved for future grants of stock options under the Company's stock option plans were 85,664, 694,664 and 1,427,331, respectively. At January 1, 2004, common shares reserved for the conversion of the Series B Stock were 556,000 and shares of preferred stock reserved for exercise of the Rights were 50,000.

NOTE 12. STOCK OPTIONS

The Company's 1989 Stock Option and Incentive Plan ("1989 Plan") expired in June 1999. The 1989 Plan had authorized the grant of up to 6,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock pursuant to options, restricted shares and performance shares to officers and key employees of the Company. Options granted under the 1989 Plan have 10-year terms and vest and become exercisable at the rate of 1/3 per year on each of the first three anniversary dates of the grant, subject to continued employment on those dates. During 1999, the Company adopted the 1999 Employee Stock Option and Incentive Plan ("1999 Plan"). The 1999 Plan has authorized the grant of up to 4,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock pursuant to options, stock appreciation rights, restricted shares, deferred shares and performance shares to officers and key employees of the Company. Options granted under the 1999 Plan have 10-year terms and vest and become exercisable at the rate of 1/3 per year on each of the first three anniversary dates of the grant, subject to continued employment on those dates. Options granted on May 8, 2002, or later, under the 1999 Plan include an additional provision that provides for accelerated vesting under certain circumstances related to retirement, disability or death. The Company's 1990 Nonemployee Directors Stock Option Plan ("1990 Plan") expired in July 2000. The 1990 Plan had authorized the grant of up to 250,000 shares of the Company's common stock pursuant to options granted to nonemployee Directors of the Company. Options granted under the 1990 Plan have 10-year terms and vested and became exercisable on the date of grant. During 2001, the Company's shareholders approved the 2000 Nonemployee Directors Stock Option Plan ("2000 Plan"). The 2000 Plan has authorized the grant of up to 250,000 shares of the Company's common stock pursuant to options granted to nonemployee Directors of the Company. Options granted under the 2000 Plan have 10-year terms. The 2000 Plan provides for the granting of options that vest and become exercisable on the date of grant. The 2000 Plan has been modified to also provide for the granting of options whereby a portion vests and becomes exercisable on the date of grant and the remainder vests and becomes exercisable evenly over varying terms depending on the date of the grant, subject to being a Company Director on those dates.

Edgar Filing: AZTAR CORP - Form 10-K

A summary of the Company's stock option activity and related information is as follows (in thousands of shares):

	<u>2003</u>		<u>2002</u>		<u>2001</u>	
	<u>Shares</u> <u>Under</u> <u>Option</u>	<u>Weighted-</u> <u>Average</u> <u>Exercise</u> <u>Price</u>	<u>Shares</u> <u>Under</u> <u>Option</u>	<u>Weighted-</u> <u>Average</u> <u>Exercise</u> <u>Price</u>	<u>Shares</u> <u>Under</u> <u>Option</u>	<u>Weighted-</u> <u>Average</u> <u>Exercise</u> <u>Price</u>
Beginning balance						
outstanding	4,253	\$11.37	4,185	\$ 8.84	3,955	\$ 8.18
Granted	633	\$15.69	770	\$21.38	542	\$13.21
Exercised	(167)	\$ 8.78	(665)	\$ 6.77	(284)	\$ 7.95
Forfeited	(24)	\$20.46	(37)	\$16.66	(28)	\$ 9.34
Expired	<u>--</u>	\$ --	<u>--</u>	\$ --	<u>--</u>	\$ --
Ending balance						
outstanding	4,695	\$12.00	4,253	\$11.37	4,185	\$ 8.84
	=====		=====		=====	
Exercisable at						
end of year	3,438	\$ 9.98	3,002	\$ 8.74	2,719	\$ 7.70
	=====		=====		=====	
Weighted-average						
fair value of						
options granted						
during the year	\$ 7.37		\$11.00		\$ 6.28	

The following table summarizes additional information about the Company's stock options (in thousands of shares):

	<u>Options Outstanding</u>			<u>Options Exercisable</u>	
<u>Range of</u> <u>Exercise Prices</u>	<u>Shares</u> <u>Under</u> <u>Option</u>	<u>Weighted-</u> <u>Average</u> <u>Remaining</u> <u>Contractual</u> <u>Life</u>	<u>Weighted-</u> <u>Average</u> <u>Exercise</u> <u>Price</u>	<u>Shares</u> <u>Under</u> <u>Option</u>	<u>Weighted-</u> <u>Average</u> <u>Exercise</u> <u>Price</u>
<u>January 1, 2004</u>					
\$ 4.06 to \$ 5.06	238	3.0 years	\$ 4.99	238	\$ 4.99
\$ 6.75 to \$ 7.75	1,384	4.5 years	\$ 7.30	1,384	\$ 7.30
\$ 9.50 to \$ 9.81	707	5.7 years	\$ 9.80	707	\$ 9.80
\$11.00 to \$14.75	1,046	6.9 years	\$12.58	854	\$12.43
\$15.10 to \$22.15	<u>1,320</u>	8.8 years	\$18.90	<u>255</u>	\$21.45
	4,695	6.4 years	\$12.00	3,438	\$ 9.98
	=====			=====	

<u>January 2, 2003</u>					
\$ 4.06 to \$ 5.06	238	4.0 years	\$ 4.99	238	\$ 4.99
\$ 6.75 to \$ 7.75	1,491	5.4 years	\$ 7.29	1,491	\$ 7.29
\$ 9.50 to \$ 9.81	728	6.7 years	\$ 9.80	701	\$ 9.81
\$11.00 to \$14.75	1,085	7.9 years	\$12.59	542	\$12.37
\$18.52 to \$22.15	<u>711</u>	9.4 years	\$21.80	<u>30</u>	\$20.46
	4,253	6.9 years	\$11.37	3,002	\$ 8.74
	=====			=====	
<u>January 3, 2002</u>					
\$ 4.06 to \$ 5.50	443	5.0 years	\$ 4.99	441	\$ 4.99
\$ 6.50 to \$ 7.75	1,874	6.1 years	\$ 7.25	1,577	\$ 7.25
\$ 9.13 to \$ 9.81	803	7.6 years	\$ 9.76	497	\$ 9.77
\$11.00 to \$14.75	<u>1,065</u>	8.9 years	\$12.56	<u>204</u>	\$12.02
	4,185	7.0 years	\$ 8.84	2,719	\$ 7.70
	=====			=====	

F-24

NOTE 13. BENEFIT PLANS

The Company has nonqualified defined benefit pension plans and a deferred compensation plan. These plans are unfunded. Effective January 3, 2003, the Company established the Aztar Corporation Nonqualified Retirement Trust for the benefit of employees covered by one of the Company's nonqualified defined benefit pension plans. The Company contributed \$6,217,000 to this irrevocable trust on January 9, 2003. To support the benefit liability of the deferred compensation plan, the Company has purchased life insurance contracts. The market value of the trust and the cash value of the life insurance was \$8,123,000 and \$1,792,000 at January 1, 2004 and January 2, 2003, respectively. The funds in the trust and life insurance contracts are assets of the Company and are included in other assets. The Company uses a December 31 measurement date for all of its plans. The following table shows a reconciliation of the changes in the plans' benefit obligation for the years 2003 and 2002 and a reconciliation of the funded status with amounts recognized in the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of January 1, 2004 and January 2, 2003 (in thousands):

<u>Defined Benefit Plans</u>		<u>Deferred Compensation Plan</u>	
<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>

Projected benefit obligation at beginning of year	\$ 12,310	\$ 10,133	\$ 6,329	\$ 5,866
Service cost	91	--	12	13
Interest cost	812	725	401	413
Plan amendment (a)	318	--	--	--
Actuarial loss	2,928	1,723	261	361
Benefits paid	<u>(271)</u>	<u>(271)</u>	<u>(393)</u>	<u>(324)</u>
Projected benefit obligation at end of year	<u>16,188</u>	<u>12,310</u>	<u>6,610</u>	<u>6,329</u>
Plan assets	<u>--</u>	<u>--</u>	<u>--</u>	<u>--</u>
Funded status	(16,188)	(12,310)	(6,610)	(6,329)
Unrecognized actuarial loss	6,397	3,902	531	270
Unrecognized prior service cost	<u>283</u>	<u>190</u>	<u>--</u>	<u>--</u>
Net amount recognized	<u>\$ (9,508)</u>	<u>\$ (8,218)</u>	<u>\$ (6,079)</u>	<u>\$ (6,059)</u>
Amounts recognized in the Consolidated Balance Sheets consist of:				
Accrued benefit liability	\$ (11,350)	\$ (8,890)	\$ (6,610)	\$ (6,329)
Intangible asset	281	--	--	--
Accumulated other comprehensive loss (b)	<u>1,561</u>	<u>672</u>	<u>531</u>	<u>270</u>
Net amount recognized	<u>\$ (9,508)</u>	<u>\$ (8,218)</u>	<u>\$ (6,079)</u>	<u>\$ (6,059)</u>

F-25

- (a) Effective January 3, 2003, the Company's Board of Directors authorized the establishment of the Aztar Corporation Nonqualified Retirement Plan for Selected Senior Executives.
- (b) In the Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity, accumulated other comprehensive loss relating

to a minimum pension liability adjustment during the year is reported net of an income tax benefit of \$236, \$140 and \$190 in 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

The accumulated benefit obligation for the defined benefit plans was \$11,350,000 and \$8,795,000 at January 1, 2004 and January 2, 2003, respectively. The accumulated benefit obligation for the deferred compensation plan was \$6,610,000 and \$6,329,000 at January 1, 2004 and January 2, 2003, respectively.

The weighted average assumptions used to determine the Company's benefit obligation are as follows:

	<u>Defined Benefit Plans</u>		<u>Deferred Compensation Plan</u>	
	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>
Discount rate	6.00%	6.50%	6.00%	6.50%
Rate of compensation increase	5.00%	5.00%	N/A	N/A

The components of benefit plan expense are as follows (in thousands):

	<u>Defined Benefit Plans</u>			<u>Deferred Compensation Plan</u>		
	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>
Service cost	\$ 91	\$ --	\$ --	\$ 12	\$ 13	\$ 14
Interest cost	812	725	680	401	413	412
Amortization of prior service cost	224	150	150	--	--	--
Recognized net actuarial (gain)loss	433	195	(55)	--	--	--
Cash surrender value increase net of premium expense	<u>--</u>	<u>--</u>	<u>--</u>	<u>(322)</u>	<u>(318)</u>	<u>(287)</u>
	<u>\$1,560</u>	<u>\$1,070</u>	<u>\$ 775</u>	<u>\$ 91</u>	<u>\$ 108</u>	<u>\$ 139</u>

The weighted average assumptions used to determine the Company's benefit plan expense are as follows:

	<u>Defined Benefit Plans</u>			<u>Deferred Compensation Plan</u>		
	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>
Discount rate	6.50%	7.25%	7.50%	6.50%	7.25%	7.50%

Rate of compensation increase	5.00%	5.00%	5.00%	N/A	N/A	N/A
-------------------------------	-------	-------	-------	-----	-----	-----

The Company has a defined contribution plan that covers substantially all employees who are not covered by a collective bargaining unit. The plan allows employees, at their discretion, to make contributions of their before-tax earnings to the plan up to an annual maximum amount. The Company matches 50% of the employee contributions that are based on up to 6% in 2003, 2002 and 2001 of an employee's before-tax earnings. Compensation expense with regard to Company matching contributions was \$2,269,000, \$2,431,000 and \$2,342,000 in 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively. The Company contributed \$4,695,000, \$4,390,000 and \$4,039,000 in 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively, to trustee pension plans under various collective bargaining agreements.

F-26

NOTE 14. AMORTIZATION

In July 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statement No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets." SFAS 142 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001 and applies to all goodwill and other intangible assets recognized in an entity's statement of financial position at that date, regardless of when those assets were initially recognized. Effective January 4, 2002, the Company ceased amortization of the cost of its initial gaming licenses because it was determined, under the criteria established in SFAS 142, that these assets have an indefinite life. Amortization expense related to the cost of the Company's initial gaming licenses was \$2,673,000 in 2001.

A reconciliation of the Company's reported net income to pro forma net income to give effect to SFAS 142 is as follows (in thousands, except per share data):

	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>
Reported net income	\$ 60,930	\$ 58,859	\$ 58,009
Addback: Initial gaming licenses amortization, net of income tax of \$1,069 in 2001	<u> --</u>	<u> --</u>	<u> 1,604</u>
Pro forma net income	\$ 60,930	\$ 58,859	\$ 59,613
	=====	=====	=====
Net income per common share:			
As reported	\$ 1.72	\$ 1.56	\$ 1.53
Initial gaming licenses amortization	<u> --</u>	<u> --</u>	<u> .04</u>
Pro forma	\$ 1.72	\$ 1.56	\$ 1.57
	=====	=====	=====
Net income per common share assuming dilution:			
As reported	\$ 1.66	\$ 1.51	\$ 1.48
Initial gaming licenses amortization	<u> --</u>	<u> --</u>	<u> .04</u>
Pro forma	\$ 1.66	\$ 1.51	\$ 1.52
	=====	=====	=====

NOTE 15. ACCOUNTING FOR THE IMPACT OF THE OCTOBER 30, 2003 CONSTRUCTION ACCIDENT

An accident occurred on the site of the construction of the parking-garage component of the expansion of the Atlantic City Tropicana on October 30, 2003. The accident resulted in a loss of life and serious injuries, as well as extensive damage to the facilities under construction. Access to the property was limited during the subsequent days because some of the streets surrounding the property were closed. In addition, the Tropicana's operations were disrupted by the temporary evacuation of 600 hotel rooms and the temporary closure of the parking garages that are part of the existing property. Two streets adjacent to the property remained closed through January 1, 2004, preventing use of the bus terminal and limiting access to the existing parking garages and the porte cochere. Construction has recommenced in the portion of the expansion project unaffected by the accident.

For the year ended January 1, 2004, the Company included a charge of \$512,000 in general and administrative expenses. The charge related primarily to a deductible on liability insurance and professional fees incurred. The Company reduced construction in progress for the estimated asset loss and recorded a receivable of approximately \$3,000,000. The full extent of the asset loss will not be known until debris removal is completed and a full inspection of potential damages is

F-27

performed. The Company will continue to assess other potential losses and costs it might incur in relation to the construction accident; however, these costs are not yet accruable.

The Company has business interruption insurance policies but no profit recovery was recorded at January 1, 2004. Profit recovery from business interruption insurance will be recorded when the amount of recovery is agreed to by the insurers.

NOTE 16. INCOME TAXES

The (provision) benefit for income taxes is comprised of (in thousands):

	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>
Current:			
Federal	\$(22,506)	\$(18,933)	\$(19,457)
State	<u>(5,260)</u>	<u>(5,124)</u>	<u>80</u>
	<u>(27,766)</u>	<u>(24,057)</u>	<u>(19,377)</u>
Deferred:			
Federal	(1,131)	(12,284)	(12,854)
State	<u>443</u>	<u>(633)</u>	<u>157</u>
	<u>(688)</u>	<u>(12,917)</u>	<u>(12,697)</u>
	\$(28,454)	\$(36,974)	\$(32,074)

=====

The Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") is examining the Company's income tax returns for the years 2000 through 2002. During the fourth quarter of 2003, the IRS completed its examination for the years 1994 through 1999 and settled one of the two remaining issues entirely and a portion of the other remaining issue, resulting in a tax benefit of \$6,724,000. The issue that was settled entirely involved the deductibility of certain complimentary services provided to customers. The other issue involved the deductibility of a portion of payments on certain liabilities related to the Restructuring. The Company has reserved the right to pursue the unagreed portion in court and would receive a refund, if successful. In 2002, the Company settled these same two issues with the IRS for the years 1992 and 1993, resulting in a tax benefit of \$1,041,000. The New Jersey Division of Taxation is examining the New Jersey income tax returns for the years 1995 through 1998. Management believes that adequate provision for income taxes and interest has been made in the financial statements.

The Company has received proposed assessments from the Indiana Department of Revenue ("IDR") in connection with the examination of the Company's Indiana income tax returns for the years 1996 through 2000. Those assessments are based on the IDR's position that the Company's gaming taxes that are based on gaming revenue are not deductible for Indiana income tax purposes. The Company filed a petition in Indiana Tax Court for the 1996 and 1997 tax years and oral arguments were heard in April 2001. The Company has filed a formal protest for the years 1998 through 2000. Although the Company cannot predict the outcome of this matter with any certainty, the Company believes that it has a meritorious legal defense to those assessments and has not recorded an accrual for payment. It is reasonably possible that the Company's estimate may change in the near term, as necessary to reflect future developments. The amount involved, including the Company's estimate of interest, net of a federal income tax benefit assuming continuation through January 1, 2004, was approximately \$11,300,000 at January 1, 2004.

F-28

General business credits are taken as a reduction of the provision for income taxes during the year such credits become available. The (provision) benefit for income taxes differs from the amount computed by applying the U.S. federal income tax rate (35%) because of the effect of the following items (in thousands):

	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>
Tax (provision) benefit at U.S. federal income tax rate	\$ (31,284)	\$ (33,542)	\$ (31,529)
State income taxes, net	(3,137)	(3,693)	(230)
Nondeductible business expenses	105	(628)	(399)
IRS examination	5,708	567	(368)
General business credits	432	412	525
Other, net	<u>(278)</u>	<u>(90)</u>	<u>(73)</u>
	\$ (28,454)	\$ (36,974)	\$ (32,074)

=====

The income tax effects of loss carryforwards, tax credit carryforwards and temporary differences between financial and income tax reporting that give rise to the deferred income tax assets and liabilities are as follows (in thousands):

	January 1, <u>2004</u>	January 2, <u>2003</u>
Net operating loss carryforward	\$ 749	\$ 2,026
Accrued bad debt expense	8,845	10,525
Accrued compensation	7,491	8,318
Accrued liabilities	<u>9,734</u>	<u>9,578</u>
Gross deferred tax assets	<u>26,819</u>	<u>30,447</u>
Deferred tax asset valuation allowance	<u>(337)</u>	<u>(1,814)</u>
Other	(325)	(7,681)
Depreciation and amortization	<u>(38,674)</u>	<u>(32,781)</u>
Gross deferred tax (liabilities)	<u>(38,999)</u>	<u>(40,462)</u>
Net deferred tax assets (liabilities)	<u>\$(12,517)</u>	<u>\$(11,829)</u>

Gross deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance. The beginning-of-year valuation allowance was reduced during 2003, 2002 and 2001, which caused a decrease in income tax expense of \$21,000, \$154,000 and \$305,000, respectively.

At January 1, 2004, the Company has net operating loss carryforwards for state income tax purposes that will expire in the following years if not used (in thousands):

2004	\$ 479
2005	3,938
2006	1,312
2007 to 2021	42,800

NOTE 17. EARNINGS PER SHARE

The computations of net income per common share and net income per common share, assuming dilution, are as follows (in thousands, except per share data):

	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>
Net income	\$ 60,930	\$ 58,859	\$ 58,009
Less: preferred stock dividend and losses on redemption	<u>(777)</u>	<u>(848)</u>	<u>(794)</u>
Income available to common shareholders	60,153	58,011	57,215
Plus: income impact of assumed conversion of dilutive preferred stock	<u>420</u>	<u>448</u>	<u>476</u>
Income available to common shareholders plus dilutive potential common shares	\$ 60,573 =====	\$ 58,459 =====	\$ 57,691 =====
Weighted-average common shares applicable to net income per common share	34,999	37,191	37,385
Effect of dilutive securities:			
Stock option incremental shares	1,008	1,058	948
Assumed conversion of preferred stock	<u>556</u>	<u>592</u>	<u>630</u>
Dilutive potential common shares	<u>1,564</u>	<u>1,650</u>	<u>1,578</u>
Weighted-average common shares applicable to net income per common share assuming dilution	36,563 =====	38,841 =====	38,963 =====
Net income per common share	\$ 1.72 =====	\$ 1.56 =====	\$ 1.53 =====
Net income per common share assuming dilution	\$ 1.66 =====	\$ 1.51 =====	\$ 1.48 =====

Stock options that were excluded from the earnings per share computations because their effect would have been antidilutive were 677,000 and 1,258,000 at January 1, 2004 and January 2, 2003, respectively. No stock options were excluded at January 3, 2002.

NOTE 18. CONTINGENCIES AND COMMITMENTS

The Company agreed to indemnify Ramada against all monetary judgments in lawsuits pending against Ramada and its subsidiaries as of the conclusion of the Restructuring on December 20, 1989, as well as all related attorneys' fees and expenses not paid at that time, except for any judgments, fees or expenses accrued on the hotel business balance sheet and except for any unaccrued and unreserved aggregate amount up to \$5,000,000 of judgments, fees or expenses related exclusively to the hotel business. Aztar is entitled to the benefit of any crossclaims or counterclaims related to such lawsuits and of any insurance proceeds

F-30

received. There is no limit to the term or the maximum potential future payment under this indemnification. In addition, the Company agreed to indemnify Ramada for certain lease guarantees made by Ramada. The lease terms potentially extend through 2015 and Ramada guaranteed all obligations under these leases. The Company has recourse against a subsequent purchaser of the operations covered by these leases. The estimated maximum potential amount of future payments the Company could be required to make under these indemnifications is \$8,000,000 at January 1, 2004. In connection with these matters, the Company's accrued liability was \$3,833,000 at both January 1, 2004 and January 2, 2003.

The CRDA has issued bonds that are being serviced by its parking fee revenue. A series of these bonds are collateralized by a portion, \$1,182,000 and \$448,000 at January 1, 2004 and January 2, 2003, respectively, of the Company's CRDA deposits. The portion that serves as collateral is a varying percentage of a portion of CRDA deposits that satisfy the Company's investment obligation based upon its New Jersey casino revenue. In the event that the CRDA's parking fees are insufficient to service its bonds, these deposits can be used for that purpose. To the extent the Company's CRDA deposits are used to service these bonds, the Company would receive credit against future investment obligations. The Company's CRDA deposits serve as collateral for a one-year period, after which they become available for eligible investments. This arrangement continues through 2013. The Company received a fee for this arrangement that is being amortized on a straight-line basis through 2013. The Company's estimate of the maximum potential deposits that could be used to service CRDA bonds is \$19,000,000 at January 1, 2004.

The Company is a party to various other claims, legal actions and complaints arising in the ordinary course of business or asserted by way of defense or counterclaim in actions filed by the Company. Management believes that its defenses are substantial in each of these matters and that the Company's legal posture can be successfully defended without material adverse effect on its consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

The Company has severance agreements with certain of its senior executives. Severance benefits range from a lump-sum cash payment equal to three times the sum of the executive's annual base salary and the average of the executive's annual bonuses awarded in the preceding three years plus payment of the value in the executive's outstanding stock options and vesting and distribution of any restricted stock to a lump-sum cash payment equal to one half of the executive's annual base salary. In certain agreements, the termination must be as a result of a change in control of the Company. Based upon salary levels and stock options at January 1, 2004, the aggregate commitment under the severance agreements should all these executives be terminated was approximately \$58,000,000 at January 1, 2004.

At January 1, 2004, the Company had commitments of approximately \$67,000,000 for the Atlantic City Tropicana expansion project and approximately \$4,000,000 for an executive conference center project at Casino Aztar

Evansville.

F-31

NOTE 19. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The following table presents (in thousands) the carrying amounts and estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments. The fair value of a financial instrument is the amount at which the instrument could be exchanged in a current transaction between willing parties, other than in a forced or liquidation sale.

	<u>January 1, 2004</u>		<u>January 2, 2003</u>	
	<u>Carrying Amount</u>	<u>Fair Value</u>	<u>Carrying Amount</u>	<u>Fair Value</u>
Assets				
Investments	\$ 19,586	\$ 19,586	\$ 17,420	\$ 17,420
Other assets	6,266	6,266	--	--
Liabilities				
Accounts payable and accruals	3,833	650	3,833	700
Current portion of long-term debt	16,963	16,963	5,015	5,015
Current portion of other long-term liabilities	252	252	252	252
Long-term debt	628,603	655,653	524,066	533,141
Other long-term liabilities	2,279	2,279	2,531	2,531
Series B convertible preferred stock	5,253	12,187	5,601	10,025
Off-Balance-Sheet				
Letters of credit	--	4,748	--	3,433

The carrying amounts shown in the table are included, if applicable, in the Consolidated Balance Sheets under the indicated captions. All of the Company's financial instruments are held or issued for purposes other than trading.

The following notes summarize the major methods and assumptions used in estimating the fair values of financial instruments.

Investments consisted of deposits with the CRDA, CRDA bonds that bear interest at two-thirds of market rates resulting in a fair value lower than cost and other CRDA investments (primarily loans). The carrying amounts of these deposits, bonds and other investments are presented net of a valuation allowance and in the case of the bonds an unamortized discount that result in an approximation of fair values.

Included in other assets is a trust established for the benefit of employees covered by one of the Company's nonqualified defined benefit pension plans. The funds in the trust are invested in money market securities. The fair value of the money market securities approximates cost.

Included in accounts payable and accruals is the Company's accrued liability in connection with its indemnification of Ramada. The fair values were estimated using an expected present value method.

The fair values of the Company's publicly traded debt were estimated based on the bid prices in the public bond markets. The carrying amounts of the Revolver, the Term Loan and the Tropicana Enterprises Loan are reasonable estimates of fair values because this debt is carried with a floating interest rate.

The fair value of the Company's CRDA bond guarantee is estimated to be the same as the unamortized carrying amount of the guarantee premium.

F-32

The fair values reported for the Series B convertible preferred stock represents the appraised fair values as determined by an independent appraisal.

The fair values of the letters of credit were estimated to be the same as the contract values based on the nature of the fee arrangement with the issuing financial institution.

NOTE 20. UNAUDITED QUARTERLY RESULTS/COMMON STOCK PRICES

The following unaudited information shows selected items in thousands, except per share data, for each quarter. The Company's common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange.

	<u>First</u>	<u>Second</u>	<u>Third</u>	<u>Fourth</u>
<u>2003</u>	\$ 203,016	\$ 214,519	\$ 210,267	\$ 185,344

Edgar Filing: AZTAR CORP - Form 10-K

Revenues	31,671	39,972	35,611	17,769
Operating income	22,310	30,963	26,990	9,121
Income before income taxes	(8,765)	(12,172)	(10,088)	2,571
Income taxes (a)	13,545	18,791	16,902	11,692
Net income				
Earnings per share:	..37	..53	..48	..34
Net income per common share	..36	..51	..46	..32
Net income per common share assuming dilution	\$ 205,994	\$ 211,101	\$ 218,106	\$ 199,073
	32,097	39,608	36,932	27,843
<u>2002</u>	21,672	29,126	26,802	18,233
Revenues	(7,913)	(12,648)	(10,233)	(6,180)
Operating income (b)	13,759	16,478	16,569	12,053
Income before income taxes (b)	..37	..44	..44	..32
Income taxes (c)(d)				
Net income	..35	..42	..43	..31
Earnings per share:				
Net income per common share				
Net income per common share	\$ 15.00	\$ 17.17	\$ 19.90	\$ 23.94
Net income per common share assuming dilution	11.43	13.41	15.76	16.15
	23.20	25.90	20.05	15.13
	17.25	17.90	12.40	11.00

Common Stock Prices

2003 - High
 - Low
 2002 - High
 - Low

- (a) In the fourth quarter of 2003, the Company settled one of the two remaining issues entirely and a portion of the other remaining issue with the Internal Revenue Service related to the examination of the Company's income tax returns for the years 1994 through 1999. The issue that was settled entirely involved the deductibility of certain complimentary services provided to customers. The other issue involved the deductibility of a portion of payments on certain liabilities related to the Restructuring. The settlement resulted in a tax benefit of \$6,724.
- (b) On February 28, 2002, the Company purchased the 50% partnership interest in Tropicana Enterprises that it did not own. This purchase eliminates, after February 28, 2002, the Company's real estate rent expense at the Las Vegas Tropicana and its equity in unconsolidated partnership's loss. The purchase, however, increases depreciation and interest expenses and decreases interest income after February 28, 2002.

- (c) During the second quarter of 2002, the State of New Jersey enacted the Business Tax Reform Act, which was retroactive to the beginning of 2002. As a result, the Company's second-quarter income tax provision included an additional \$1,800 to provide for the effect of this new tax legislation on the Company's year-to-date results through the second quarter 2002.

- (d) In the fourth quarter of 2002, the Company settled the remaining two issues with the Internal Revenue Service related to the examination of the Company's income tax returns for the years 1992 and 1993. The two issues involved the deductibility of certain complimentaries provided to customers and the deductibility of a portion of payments on certain liabilities related to the Restructuring. The settlement resulted in a tax benefit of \$1,041.

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Overview

Introduction

We own and operate casino hotels in Atlantic City, New Jersey and Las Vegas, Nevada, under the Tropicana name and in Laughlin, Nevada, as Ramada Express. We own and operate casino riverboats in Caruthersville, Missouri and Evansville, Indiana under the Casino Aztar name. Our product concept is the creation of fun, fantasy, excitement and entertainment in a casino gaming environment. Each of our casinos is designed and operated to serve the unique demographics of its particular market.

Approximately 79% of our consolidated revenues were generated from gaming activities and nearly 9% from rooms revenue. Gaming activity is generated from two primary sources: (1) slot machines and (2) table games. Casino revenue is the net win from gaming activities, which is the difference between gaming wins and losses before deducting costs and expenses. This is also referred to as the hold. The hold percentage is the relationship of hold to the total amount wagered. Rooms revenue is driven primarily by two factors: (1) average daily rate and (2) occupancy percentage. The average daily rate is a measure of the average rooms revenue generated per occupied room per night. The occupancy percentage is a measure of what portion of our total rooms available are occupied by guests. Both measurements are impacted primarily by customer demand.

General Themes

Our company and our industry rely in great part on consumer discretionary spending. As a result, we will generally experience higher revenues and operating income in periods of economic growth and when consumer confidence is rising. However, industry revenue tends to be less cyclical than in some other discretionary sectors.

As discussed below, several states are considering legalizing various forms of casino gaming, from slots at horse racing tracks to full-service casinos. To the extent such competitive products are introduced in markets which overlap with our established casino markets, there may be dilution of our market penetration. Further, the expansion in the number of Native American casinos may slow or reverse growth in certain of our markets.

Over the past two years, several jurisdictions in which casino gaming is conducted have increased taxes on gaming and hotel operations, including gaming taxes, hotel taxes and admissions taxes. In an environment in which many state governments are dealing with budget shortfalls, the potential for further tax increases on the industry exists.

In order to facilitate growth, large amounts of capital are required for the construction, expansion and acquisition of

casino facilities. During most of 2003 and in early 2004, the industry has had access to abundant and relatively inexpensive sources of capital as interest rates have continued at historic record lows. The continued availability of this inexpensive capital will be critical as we look for growth and expansion opportunities in the future.

The casino and hospitality industries can be adversely affected by terrorist activity or the threat, perceived or otherwise, of terrorist activity. Such activity or threat may limit levels of business and personal travel and cause concerns about the safety of high-profile recreational areas, such as Las Vegas.

F-35

Property Specific Opportunities and Challenges

Tropicana Atlantic City

The most critical issue facing the Tropicana Atlantic City property in 2004 will be the establishment of a new timeline for the full resumption and completion of the construction of our hotel, garage and dining/entertainment/retail expansion. The expansion had been expected to open near the end of the first quarter 2004. On October 30, 2003, there was a serious accident on the expansion site that brought construction to a halt. Construction has recommenced on portions of the project, but debris removal from the accident area will need to be completed and the adjacent structure inspected before a full understanding of the reconstruction tasks and completion timeframe can be reached. Our preliminary schedule calls for the opening of the expansion by the end of September 2004. We are depending on the general contractor to perform under the terms of the contract and to deliver a completed project. We are also depending on the tenants in our dining/entertainment/retail complex to timely perform under the terms of their leases. Once the project is completed, our goal is for it to become an important engine of growth for the company.

In mid-2003, a new casino hotel (The Borgata Hotel, Casino and Spa) opened in Atlantic City. This represents the first new casino hotel addition to the Atlantic City market since 1990. The Borgata is an attractive, state-of-the-art property that has been successful in its first 6 months in the market, having garnered an 11% market share. While the entire Atlantic City market has grown with the addition of The Borgata, each casino hotel, including the Tropicana, will be challenged to sustain its revenue base until the market as a whole grows to absorb fully the new capacity generated by The Borgata.

Several nearby states are conducting or considering expansion or implementation of various forms of gaming. Pennsylvania is considering slots at horse racing tracks and a limited number of slot parlors in Philadelphia and/or Pittsburgh. Maryland is considering slots at horse racing tracks or larger full-scale casinos. The State of New York is witnessing the installation of video lottery terminals at certain of its horse racing tracks and the preparation for Native American casinos in the Catskills. While the proliferation of gaming in these jurisdictions is not positive for Atlantic City, we believe that a) the Eastern seaboard is still not approaching the penetration levels that other markets enjoy and b) the variety and breadth of the Atlantic City market sets it apart as unique from other venues in the region.

Tropicana Las Vegas

The Tropicana Las Vegas sits on an approximately 34 acre parcel at one of the premier locations in Las Vegas. After the ongoing expansion in Atlantic City, this property represents the next

significant growth opportunity for us. The current operations at the site are successful, but the age of the improvements make us less attractive and competitive than many of the newer properties in our vicinity. We are evaluating whether to build a new casino hotel resort on the northernmost half (17 acres) of the property. A detailed design has almost been completed. The design concept that we are finalizing calls for 2,500 hotel rooms and suites, 200,000 square feet of dining, entertainment and retail facilities, a 120,000-square-foot casino, a 3,800-car parking garage, and a four-acre rooftop pool recreation deck overlooking the Strip. We plan to complete construction documents and estimated construction costs by the end of the second quarter of 2004. We will decide by the end of the first quarter of 2005 whether to proceed, whether to delay, or whether not to proceed at all with development. The decision to proceed may depend, among other things, upon the health of the Las Vegas and national economies, the competitive environment on the Las Vegas Strip, and the successful

F-36

opening of the Atlantic City expansion. We expect the construction cost (not including the land component) to be approximately \$700 million. We will have to raise a significant portion of the cost in the capital markets. The continued access to attractively priced capital will affect the economic cost of the project and the ultimate return to shareholders. We view this opportunity as an important next step in our growth. The southern portion of the site would be held for our future development, joint venture development, or sale for development by another party.

Financial Condition - Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash

At January 1, 2004, our cash balance was higher than normal due to our fiscal year end being on a banking holiday following a very busy New Year's Eve.

Revolving Credit Facility

At January 1, 2004, the outstanding balance of our revolving credit facility was \$146.5 million, leaving \$114 million available for future borrowing after consideration of outstanding letters of credit. The maximum amount available decreases by \$12 million on December 31, 2004, and quarterly thereafter until maturity on June 30, 2005. Interest on the revolving credit facility is computed based upon, at our option, a one-, two-, three- or six-month Eurodollar rate plus a margin ranging from 1.25% to 2.5%, or the prime rate plus a margin ranging from zero to 1.25%. The applicable margin is dependent upon our outstanding indebtedness and operating cash flow.

The revolving credit facility imposes various restrictions on us, including limitations on our ability to incur additional debt, commit funds to capital expenditures and investments, merge or sell assets. The revolving credit facility prohibits dividends on our common stock (other than dividends payable in common stock) and repurchases of our common stock in excess of \$250 million with limited exceptions. In addition, the revolving credit facility contains quarterly financial tests, including a minimum requirement for operating cash flow, a minimum ratio of fixed charge coverage and maximum ratios of total debt and senior debt to operating cash flow. At January 1, 2004, we are in compliance with these financial tests.

Our day-to-day operations are financed through a net working capital deficit, a practice that is common among companies operating in the gaming industry. Cash needs are evaluated daily, and if necessary, are satisfied by drawing on our revolving credit facility. Because our casinos generate significant cash flows on a daily basis, we generally

apply any cash excesses to our revolving credit facility after satisfying current liabilities that are due within the course of their normal business cycle. We believe that the existence of a working capital deficit has no impact on our ability to operate our business or meet our obligations as they become due.

Other Long-term Debt

Our other variable-rate debt consists of a term loan and the Tropicana Enterprises loan. At January 1, 2004, the balance of the term loan was \$47.75 million with quarterly principal payments of \$0.125 million due on a calendar basis through June 30, 2004, and \$11.875 million due quarterly thereafter until maturity on June 30, 2005. Interest on the term loan is computed based upon, at our option, a one-, two-, three- or six-month Eurodollar rate plus a margin of 2.5%. At January 1, 2004, the balance of the Tropicana Enterprises loan was \$41.116 million with

F-37

monthly principal payments of approximately \$0.4 million, with a final payment of approximately \$34 million due at maturity on June 30, 2005. The Tropicana Enterprises loan is collateralized by the Las Vegas Tropicana property. Interest is computed based upon, at our option, a one-, two-, three- or six-month Eurodollar rate plus a margin ranging from 1.25% to 2.25%, or the prime rate plus a margin ranging from zero to 1.00%. The applicable margin is dependent upon our outstanding indebtedness and operating cash flow.

Our fixed-rate debt consists of 8 7/8% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2007 and 9% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2011. Interest on the 8 7/8% Notes is payable semiannually on May 15 and November 15. Interest on the 9% Notes is payable semiannually on February 15 and August 15.

The 8 7/8% Notes and 9% Notes, ranked pari passu, are general unsecured obligations and are subordinated in right of payment to all of our present and future senior indebtedness. Upon change of control of the company, the holders of the 8 7/8% Notes and 9% Notes would have the right to require repurchase of the respective notes at 101% of the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest. Certain covenants in the senior subordinated notes limit our ability to incur indebtedness, make certain payments or engage in mergers, consolidations or sales of assets.

The 8 7/8% Notes are redeemable at the option of the company, in whole or in part, at prices from 104.438% of the principal amount plus interest declining to 100% of the principal amount plus interest beginning May 15, 2006. At any time prior to August 15, 2006, the 9% Notes are redeemable at the option of the company, in whole or in part, at a price of 100% of the principal amount plus a redemption premium plus accrued and unpaid interest. The redemption premium will be equal to the greater of (1) 1% of the principal amount or (2) the excess of (A) the sum of the present values of (i) 104.5% of the principal amount and (ii) all required interest payments through August 15, 2006, excluding accrued but unpaid interest, computed in each case using a discount rate equal to the treasury rate at the time of redemption plus 50 basis points over (B) the principal amount. On or after August 15, 2006, the 9% Notes are redeemable at the option of the company, in whole or in part, at prices from 104.5% of the principal amount plus interest declining to 100% of the principal amount plus interest beginning August 15, 2009.

During 2004, we expect to evaluate our need and ability to refinance our 8 7/8% Notes. The purpose of refinancing our 8 7/8% Notes would be to extend the 2007 maturity date and if market conditions permit, procure a more favorable interest rate. We also anticipate refinancing our variable rate debt during 2004. The purpose of this refinancing would be to extend the June 30, 2005 maturity date. If the company were successful in refinancing this debt, the unamortized debt issuance costs associated with the 8 7/8% Notes and the variable rate debt would be written off as a loss on the early retirement of debt. At January 1, 2004, these unamortized costs totaled \$3.8 million. Any

premium paid to retire this debt would also be a loss on the early retirement of debt.

Additional Source and Use of Cash

During 2003, we received \$1.5 million in cash in connection with stock option exercises. Our purchases of property and equipment, other than expenditures for the Tropicana Atlantic City development and the development in Evansville, Indiana discussed below, were primarily of a routine nature.

Tropicana Atlantic City Development

On April 22, 2002, we commenced construction on an expansion of our Tropicana Atlantic City. The expansion includes 502 additional hotel rooms, 20,000 square feet of meeting space, 2,400 parking spaces, and "The Quarter," the project's

F-38

centerpiece, a 200,000-square-foot dining, entertainment and retail center. The cost of the expansion was targeted to be \$225 million; we also anticipated providing \$20 million of tenant allowances. Due to revised estimates for tenant allowances and incremental project costs resulting from construction delays related to the October 30, 2003 accident previously discussed that may not be recoverable under our insurance, the expansion project may cost \$15 million to \$20 million more than previously estimated. Portions of the incremental delay-related costs may be recoverable from third parties and their insurers, but the amount and timing of any such recoveries are unknown at this time. Funds for the expansion will come in part from public sector subsidies, tax rebates and other credits, the present value of which could be up to \$60 million. We are planning that the costs to be borne by us would be funded largely from our operating cash flow, with additional needs met by our revolving credit facility. During 2003, our purchases of property and equipment on an accrual basis, including capitalized interest of \$8.3 million, were \$104.8 million for this project, and our expenditures for tenant allowances were \$1.5 million. During 2004, we expect to spend approximately \$95 million to \$100 million on this project including capitalized interest and tenant allowances.

Tropicana Las Vegas Development

Our master plan for a potential development of our Las Vegas Tropicana site envisions the creation of two separate but essentially equal and inter-connected 17-acre sites. The north site would be developed by us. The south site would be held for our future development, joint venture development, or sale for development by another party.

For development of a potential project on the north site, a detailed design has almost been completed. The design concept that we are finalizing calls for 2,500 hotel rooms and suites, 200,000 square feet of dining, entertainment and retail facilities, a 120,000-square-foot casino, a 3,800-car parking garage, and a four-acre rooftop pool recreation deck overlooking the Strip. We plan to complete construction documents and estimated construction costs by the end of the second quarter of 2004. During 2003, we capitalized \$9.5 million for design development costs included in intangible assets. We will decide by the end of the first quarter of 2005 whether to proceed, whether to delay, or whether not to proceed at all with development of a project on the north site. The amount and timing of any future expenditure, and the extent of any impact on existing operations, will depend on the nature and timing of the development we ultimately undertake, if any. If we decide to abandon any facilities in the development process, we would have to conduct a review for impairment with a possible write-down and review their useful lives with a possible adjustment to depreciation and amortization expense. These reviews could result in adjustments that have a material adverse effect on our consolidated results of operations.

The net book value of the property and equipment used in the operation of the Las Vegas Tropicana, excluding land at a cost of \$110 million, was \$59.3 million at January 1, 2004. The net book value of accounts receivable, inventories and prepaid expenses at the Las Vegas Tropicana was \$8.1 million at January 1, 2004.

Other Development

During 2003, we purchased two parcels of land in proximity to our operating facility in Evansville, Indiana at a combined cost of \$1.2 million. One parcel is being used to accommodate an executive conference center that is currently under construction and is scheduled for completion during 2004. The other parcel will be held for future development. In December 2002, we amended our riverboat landing lease agreement with the City of Evansville. We agreed to change a portion of our

F-39

contingent rent into a fixed stated amount and to make it available to the City at their request. The City agreed to provide us with \$1 of credit against our rent for each \$2.50 of development capital expenditures that we make. We plan to have additional development in Evansville but the timing is tentative.

Stock Repurchase Program

In December 2002, our board of directors authorized discretionary repurchases of up to 4.0 million shares of our common stock. We do not anticipate the stock repurchase program to impact the timing, scope or financing of our development plans. We repurchased 2,922,576 shares of our common stock in 2003 at an average price of \$14.43 per share and in 2002, we repurchased 283,200 shares at an average price of \$14.31 per share. Purchases under our stock repurchase program are made from time to time in the open market or privately negotiated transactions, depending upon market prices and other business factors.

Contingent Liabilities and Commitments

We have received proposed assessments from the Indiana Department of Revenue in connection with the examination of our Indiana income tax returns for the years 1996 through 2000. Those assessments are based on the IDR's position that our gaming taxes that are based on gaming revenue are not deductible for Indiana income tax purposes. We filed a petition in Indiana Tax Court for the 1996 and 1997 tax years and oral arguments were heard in April 2001. We have filed a formal protest for the years 1998 through 2000. Although we cannot predict the outcome of this matter with any certainty, we believe that we have a meritorious legal defense to those assessments and have not recorded an accrual for payment. It is reasonably possible that our estimate may change in the near term, as necessary to reflect future developments. The amount involved, including our estimate of interest, net of a federal income tax benefit assuming continuation through January 1, 2004, was approximately \$11.3 million at January 1, 2004.

We agreed to indemnify Ramada Inc. against all monetary judgments in lawsuits pending against Ramada and its subsidiaries as of the conclusion of the restructuring of Ramada on December 20, 1989, as well as all related attorneys' fees and expenses not paid at that time, except for any judgments, fees or expenses accrued on the hotel business balance sheet and except for any unaccrued and unreserved aggregate amount up to \$5 million of judgments, fees or expenses related exclusively to the hotel business. Aztar is entitled to the benefit of any crossclaims or counterclaims

related to such lawsuits and of any insurance proceeds received. There is no limit to the term or the maximum potential future payment under this indemnification. In addition, we agreed to indemnify Ramada for certain lease guarantees made by Ramada. The lease terms potentially extend through 2015 and Ramada guaranteed all obligations under these leases. We have recourse against a subsequent purchaser of the operations covered by these leases. The estimated maximum potential amount of future payments we could be required to make under these indemnifications is \$8 million at January 1, 2004. In connection with these matters, our accrued liability was \$3.8 million at both January 1, 2004 and January 2, 2003.

The Casino Reinvestment Development Authority has issued bonds that are being serviced by its parking fee revenue. A series of these bonds are collateralized by a portion, \$1.2 million at January 1, 2004, of our CRDA deposits. The portion that serves as collateral is a varying percentage of a portion of CRDA deposits that satisfy our investment obligation based upon our New Jersey casino revenue. In the event that the CRDA's parking fees are insufficient to service its bonds, these deposits can be used for that purpose. To the extent our CRDA deposits are used to service these bonds, we would receive credit against future investment obligations. Our CRDA deposits serve as collateral for a one-year period, after which they

F-40

become available for eligible investments. This arrangement continues through 2013. We received a fee for this arrangement that is being amortized on a straight-line basis through 2013. Our estimate of the maximum potential deposits that could be used to service CRDA bonds is \$19 million at January 1, 2004.

We have severance agreements with certain of our senior executives. Severance benefits range from a lump-sum cash payment equal to three times the sum of the executive's annual base salary and the average of the executive's annual bonuses awarded in the preceding three years plus payment of the value in the executive's outstanding stock options and vesting and distribution of any restricted stock to a lump-sum cash payment equal to one half of the executive's annual base salary. In certain agreements, the termination must be as a result of a change in control of Aztar. Based upon salary levels and stock options at January 1, 2004, the aggregate commitment under the severance agreements should all these executives be terminated was approximately \$58 million at January 1, 2004.

Effective January 3, 2003, we established the Aztar Corporation Nonqualified Retirement Plan Trust for the benefit of employees covered by one of our nonqualified defined benefit pension plans. We contributed \$6.2 million to this trust on January 9, 2003 and we will contribute \$2 million in early 2004. We will make periodic contributions to the trust so that funds in the trust equal the benefit obligation. The funds in the trust continue to be assets of Aztar.

At January 1, 2004, we had commitments of approximately \$67 million for the Tropicana Atlantic City expansion project and approximately \$4 million for the executive conference center project at Casino Aztar Evansville.

Contractual Obligations

The following table summarizes our future contractual obligations, in millions, at January 1, 2004:

Payments due by period

<u>Contractual Obligations</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Less than 1 Year</u>	<u>1-3 Years</u>	<u>3-5 Years</u>	<u>More than 5 Years</u>
Long-term debt, including current portion	\$ 645.4	\$ 16.8	\$ 218.6	\$ 235.0	\$ 175.0
Capital lease obligations	0.2	0.2	--	--	--
Operating leases	9.9	4.4	4.4	0.8	0.3
Purchase obligations	131.9	121.4	8.7	1.4	0.4
Other long-term liabilities, including current portion but excluding deferred income	<u>18.3</u>	<u>0.7</u>	<u>1.5</u>	<u>1.4</u>	<u>14.7</u>
Total	<u>\$ 805.7</u>	<u>\$ 143.5</u>	<u>\$ 233.2</u>	<u>\$ 238.6</u>	<u>\$ 190.4</u>

Purchase obligations represent agreements to purchase goods or services that are enforceable and legally binding on the company. Of the total purchase obligations at January 1, 2004, approximately \$42 million are cancelable by the company upon providing a 30 - 90 day notice. The commitments of approximately \$67 million for the Tropicana Atlantic City expansion project and approximately \$4 million for the executive conference center project at Casino Aztar Evansville are included in purchase obligations.

F-41

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth, in millions, our revenues and operating income on a consolidated basis and the portions thereof generated by each of our five casino properties. We use a 52/53 week fiscal year ending on the Thursday nearest December 31.

	<u>Year Ended</u>		
	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>
	(52 weeks)	(52 weeks)	(53 weeks)
Revenues:			
Tropicana Atlantic City	\$ 420.6	\$ 453.8	\$ 467.8
Tropicana Las Vegas	153.6	147.2	154.5
Ramada Express	89.8	92.8	96.2
Casino Aztar Evansville	126.0	116.3	106.0

Edgar Filing: AZTAR CORP - Form 10-K

Casino Aztar Caruthersville	<u>23.1</u>	<u>24.2</u>	<u>25.0</u>
Consolidated	813.1	834.3	849.5
EBITDA: (a)			
Tropicana Atlantic City	\$ 105.0	\$ 120.7	\$ 124.0
Tropicana Las Vegas	26.1	23.0	16.7
Ramada Express	20.5	22.7	23.4
Casino Aztar Evansville	35.8	30.3	27.8
Casino Aztar Caruthersville	4.2	4.5	4.5
Corporate	<u>(15.7)</u>	<u>(14.2)</u>	<u>(13.2)</u>
Consolidated	175.9	187.0	183.2
Operating income (loss):			
Tropicana Atlantic City	\$ 75.1	\$ 92.0	\$ 97.5
Tropicana Las Vegas	19.6	16.2	9.0
Ramada Express	14.3	16.7	17.7
Casino Aztar Evansville	30.2	24.2	18.8
Casino Aztar Caruthersville	1.5	1.7	1.6
Corporate	<u>(15.7)</u>	<u>(14.3)</u>	<u>(13.2)</u>
Consolidated	125.0	136.5	131.4

(a) See the SUMMARY OF SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA for an explanation of EBITDA and a reconciliation to net income.

Results of Operations -
2003 versus 2002

The decrease in consolidated revenues in 2003 was due primarily to a \$31.9 million decrease in casino revenue at the Tropicana Atlantic City offset by a \$9.5 million increase in casino revenue at Casino Aztar Evansville. Our 2003 revenues and operating income at the Tropicana Atlantic City were impacted by two events: (1) an accident on the site of the construction of the parking-garage component of the expansion on October 30, 2003 and (2) the July 3, 2003 opening of the Borgata Hotel, Casino and Spa. The accident resulted in a loss of life and serious injuries, as well as extensive damage to the facilities under construction. Access to the property was limited during the subsequent days because some of the streets surrounding the property were closed. In addition, the Tropicana's operations were disrupted by the temporary evacuation of 600 hotel rooms and the temporary closure of the parking garages that are part of the existing property. Two streets adjacent to the property remained closed through January 1, 2004, preventing use of the bus terminal and limiting access to the existing parking garages and the porte cochere. For 2003, we included a charge of \$0.5 million in general and

administrative expenses. The charge related primarily to a deductible on liability insurance and professional fees incurred. We reduced construction in progress for the estimated asset loss and recorded a receivable of approximately

\$3 million. The full extent of the asset loss will not be known until debris removal is completed and a full inspection of potential damages is performed. We will continue to assess other potential losses and costs we might incur in relation to the construction accident; however, these costs are not yet accruable. We have business interruption insurance policies but no profit recovery was recorded at January 1, 2004. Profit recovery from business interruption insurance will be recorded when the amount of recovery is agreed to by the insurers.

The increase in revenues at Casino Aztar Evansville was attributable to a change in the State of Indiana rules of operation permitting open boarding of casino patrons. The new rule increased accessibility to our casino riverboat by eliminating cruising schedules. Consolidated rooms revenue increased primarily due to a \$3.4 million increase in rooms revenue at the Tropicana Las Vegas offset by a \$1.0 million decrease in rooms revenue at Ramada Express. The increase in the Tropicana Las Vegas rooms revenue was due to an increase in the occupancy rate and an increase in the average daily rate due to stronger demand. The decrease in the Ramada Express rooms revenue was due to a decrease in occupied rooms and a decrease in the average daily rate. Our competition lowered room prices and our occupancy decreased as we unsuccessfully tried to hold our rates.

Consolidated casino costs decreased \$3.2 million. This decrease consists primarily of an \$11.8 million decrease in Tropicana Atlantic City casino costs, offset by a \$7.3 million increase in casino costs at Casino Aztar Evansville. The decrease in casino costs at Tropicana Atlantic City was related to the decrease in the property's casino revenue. The increase in casino costs at Casino Aztar Evansville was due to the institution of a graduated gaming tax that became effective in the third quarter of 2002 and higher payroll costs.

Consolidated marketing costs decreased \$5.3 million or 6% during 2003 compared with 2002. This decrease was primarily due to reductions in business promotion expenses and payroll related expenses at Tropicana Atlantic City. The provision for doubtful accounts decreased \$1.1 million in 2003 compared with 2002 primarily as a result of a decrease at Tropicana Atlantic City, where we issued less credit and experienced a lower level of returned items on the credit issued. Credit issued at Tropicana Atlantic City was down 28% in 2003 relative to 2002. When we provide for doubtful accounts receivable, we look at the amount of credit issued, the balance of our net receivable, an aging of that net receivable and consideration of any additional risk factors such as international versus domestic. The analysis we perform in evaluating our net receivable balance consists of separating receivables into those that are routine and small in balance, where we provide an allowance based on aging, and those that are larger in balance or nonroutine in nature, where we provide an allowance that subjectively considers their characteristics in addition to aging, such as credit and payment history of the customer, financial condition of the customer, collection strategies that can be used, collateral that can be obtained and whether it is international or domestic.

Consolidated property taxes and insurance expense was \$29.4 million or 7% higher in 2003 compared with 2002 primarily due to an increase in property insurance. As a result of conditions in the insurance markets, our insurance costs increased substantially beginning in July 2002. Our consolidated rent expense decreased \$4.0 million or 31% in 2003 compared with 2002 primarily as a result of an amendment to our riverboat landing lease agreement with the City of Evansville whereby we receive credit against our rent for development that we perform and our acquisition of the Tropicana Enterprises partnership interests on February 28, 2002. The acquisition eliminated our real estate rent expense at Tropicana Las Vegas. Prior to the acquisition, this expense was \$1.4 million in 2002, net of intercompany eliminations.

Casino revenue was \$368.1 million in 2003 compared with \$400.0 million in 2002. Slot revenue and games revenue decreased in 2003 by \$18.9 million or 7% and \$13.0 million or 11%, respectively, due to increased competition from the July 3, 2003 opening of the Borgata Hotel, Casino and Spa and business interruption resulting from the October 30, 2003 construction accident previously mentioned. During the period of July through October 2003, our slot revenue was down \$7.5 million or 8% compared with the same period a year ago and the amount wagered at our table games was down 18%. During November and December 2003, our slot revenue was down \$7.7 million or 18% compared with the same period a year ago and the amount wagered at our table games was down 27%.

Casino costs decreased \$11.8 million in 2003 compared with 2002 primarily due to a decrease in casino revenue. As discussed above, marketing costs were 10% or \$5.8 million lower in 2003 compared with 2002 primarily due to reductions in business promotion expenses and payroll related expenses. As previously discussed, the provision for doubtful accounts was \$1.1 million lower in 2003 compared with 2002.

Our property taxes and insurance expense increased \$1.3 million in 2003 compared with 2002. This change is primarily due to an increase in property insurance as discussed above.

Tropicana Las Vegas

Rooms revenue increased \$3.4 million in 2003 compared with 2002 primarily as a result of a 4% increase in rooms occupied on a noncomplimentary basis and a 5% increase in the average daily rate. Our occupancy and our average daily rate were higher in 2003 relative to 2002 due to increased tourism to the Las Vegas market during 2003. Las Vegas tourism had declined during 2002 as a consequence of the September 11, 2001 terrorist acts.

Rooms costs increased \$1.1 million in 2003 versus 2002 primarily due to an increase in labor costs resulting from the renewal of a collective bargaining agreement with the Culinary Workers Union and an increase in occupied rooms. Rent expense was \$0.7 million in 2003 compared with \$2.1 million in 2002. Rent expense decreased as a result of our acquisition of the Tropicana Enterprises partnership interests on February 28, 2002. This acquisition eliminated our real estate rent expense at Tropicana Las Vegas. Prior to the acquisition, this expense was \$1.4 million in 2002, net of intercompany eliminations.

Ramada Express

Rooms revenue decreased by \$1.0 million or 11% in 2003 compared with 2002 primarily due to a decrease in average daily rate and a 2% decrease in occupied rooms. Our competition lowered room prices and our occupancy decreased as we unsuccessfully tried to hold our rates. Our rooms costs decreased by \$0.3 million in 2003 compared with 2002 primarily as a result of a decrease in occupied rooms.

Casino Aztar Evansville

Casino revenue was \$9.5 million or 9% higher in 2003 compared with 2002 primarily due to an increase in slot revenue. We have benefited from a change in the State of Indiana rules of operation permitting open boarding of casino patrons that went into effect August 1, 2002. Dockside gaming increased accessibility to our casino riverboat by eliminating cruising schedules.

Casino costs increased \$7.3 million or 20% in 2003 from 2002 due to the institution of a graduated gaming tax structure by the State of Indiana and higher payroll costs. Gaming taxes were \$5.1 million higher in 2003 relative to 2002, which included \$1.3 million recorded in the second quarter of 2003 as a result of an Indiana legislation change requiring casino operators to retroactively apply the dockside graduated tax rates effective July 1, 2002 versus August 1, 2002, the date dockside gaming came into effect. Payroll costs increased \$1.6 million in 2003 versus 2002 due to the hiring of additional staff combined with an increase in employee benefit costs. Other costs were \$1.4 million lower in 2003 than in 2002 due to a decrease in our admission tax. Our admission tax decreased as a result of dockside gaming. With dockside gaming, effective August 1, 2002, our admission tax became \$3 per entry versus \$3 per person per cruise.

Rent expense was \$4.8 million in 2003 compared with \$7.3 million in 2002. Rent expense decreased as a result of an amendment to our riverboat landing lease agreement with the City of Evansville whereby we receive credit against our rent for development projects. During 2003, we received credit in connection with our executive conference center. We plan to have additional development in Evansville but the timing is tentative. Under the terms of the lease agreement, rent expense is calculated by applying defined rent rates against the property's annual casino revenue, on a graduated basis. During 2004, the rent rates increase and rent expense will be higher if we don't have a development project.

Corporate

Corporate expenses increased as a result of costs incurred in connection with business development work and directors and officers insurance.

Interest Expense

The decrease in interest expense in 2003 compared with 2002 was primarily due to increased capitalized interest on the Atlantic City Tropicana expansion. Interest capitalized during 2003 was \$8.3 million compared with \$3.0 million during 2002.

Income Taxes

Our effective income tax rate decreased in 2003 compared with 2002 primarily as a result of a settlement with the Internal Revenue Service for two remaining issues for the years 1994 through 1999. In the fourth quarter of 2003, we settled one of the two issues entirely involving the deductibility of certain complimentary services provided to customers. The other issue, involving the deductibility of a portion of payments on certain liabilities related to the restructuring of Ramada, was partially settled. We have reserved the right to pursue the unagreed portion of this issue in court and we would receive a refund, if successful. The settlement resulted in a tax benefit of \$6.7 million. In 2002, we settled these same two issues with the IRS for the years 1992 and 1993, resulting in a tax benefit of \$1.0 million.

Results of Operations - 2002 versus 2001

Our 2002 fiscal year included 52 weeks versus 53 weeks in the 2001 fiscal year and the extra week in the 2001 fiscal year included a New Year's Eve holiday period, which is very busy for us.

The provision for doubtful accounts decreased in 2002 compared with 2001 primarily as a result of a decrease at Tropicana Atlantic City, where we issued less credit and experienced a lower level of returned items on the credit issued. In addition, we provided less in 2002 than in 2001 as a result of changes in estimate for specific large accounts.

Consolidated property taxes and insurance expense was \$27.6 million or 14% higher in 2002 compared with 2001. Effective June 30, 2002, we renewed our property insurance. Effective November 1, 2002, we renewed our excess general liability and directors and officers insurance. As a result of conditions in the insurance markets, our insurance costs increased substantially. In Atlantic City our property taxes have increased. The increase in consolidated property taxes and insurance was \$1.9 million or 34% in the 2002 fourth quarter compared with the 2001 fourth quarter. Our consolidated rent expense decreased in 2002 compared with 2001 primarily as a result of our acquisition of the Tropicana Enterprises partnership interests on February 28, 2002, partially offset by an increase in rent at Casino Aztar Evansville. The acquisition eliminated our real estate rent expense at Tropicana Las Vegas. Prior to the acquisition, this expense was \$1.4 million in 2002 and \$8.6 million in 2001, net of intercompany eliminations. In addition, the acquisition eliminated our equity in unconsolidated partnership's loss after February 28, 2002.

Tropicana Atlantic City

Casino revenue was \$400.0 million or 4% lower in 2002 compared with \$416.8 million in 2001. Table games revenue decreased by \$9.3 million in 2002 primarily due to a decrease in the table games hold percentage and one less week in 2002. The table games hold percentage was 15.4% in 2002 compared with 16.1% in 2001. Slot revenue also decreased primarily as a result of one less week in 2002. Rooms revenue increased \$1.6 million or 7% in 2002 compared with 2001 primarily as a result of a 4% increase in rooms occupied on a noncomplimentary basis combined with an increase in the average daily rate. We opened our last room expansion, which consisted of 604 rooms (a 60% increase in capacity) in April 1996. Since then, our year-over-year increases in rooms revenue have been as follows: 45% in 1996, 39% in 1997, 21% in 1998, 17% in 1999, 19% in 2000 and 10% in 2001.

Casino costs decreased \$5.2 million in 2002 compared with 2001 primarily as a result of the one less week in 2002. General and administrative costs were 11% lower in 2002 compared with 2001 primarily as a result of a \$2.0 million net gain recorded in the second quarter. A gain resulting from the return of our CRDA deposits was partially offset by a loss on asset disposals caused by the commencement of the expansion project. As discussed above, the provision for doubtful accounts was \$1.0 million lower in 2002 compared with 2001.

As discussed above, our property taxes and insurance expense increased \$2.4 million in 2002 compared with 2001. The increase in the fourth quarter 2002 for this expense was \$1.6 million.

Tropicana Las Vegas

Casino revenue decreased by 5% in 2002 compared with 2001 primarily as a result of a \$3.8 million decrease in slot revenue. Rooms revenue decreased \$2.8 million in 2002 compared with 2001 primarily as a result of a decrease in the average daily rate. Our average daily rate was lower due to market conditions caused by our competitors lowering rates to increase occupied rooms after the decrease in air travel to Las Vegas caused by the September 11, 2001 terrorist acts.

Casino costs decreased \$3.7 million in 2002 compared with 2001 as a result of decreases in complimentary and payroll expenses. Gaming taxes also decreased as a result of the lower casino revenue. Rent expense was \$2.1 million in 2002 compared with \$9.5 million in 2001. Rent expense decreased as a result of our acquisition of the Tropicana Enterprises partnership interests on February 28, 2002. This acquisition eliminated our real estate rent expense at Tropicana Las Vegas. Prior to the acquisition, this expense was \$1.4 million in 2002 and \$8.6 million in 2001, net of intercompany eliminations. Depreciation and amortization was \$6.8 million in 2002 compared with \$7.7 million in 2001. Depreciation and amortization is lower as a result of assets becoming fully depreciated, more than offsetting the increase caused by the acquisition of the Tropicana Enterprises partnership interests.

Ramada Express

Casino revenue decreased by \$2.2 million in 2002 compared with 2001 primarily as a result of a decrease in slot revenue. Casino costs decreased by \$0.9 million in conjunction with the decrease in casino revenue. Rooms revenue decreased by \$0.8 million in 2002 compared with 2001 primarily due to a decrease in revenue associated with an energy surcharge that we discontinued during 2002. An increase in rooms revenue resulting from an increase in average daily rate was offset by a decrease in occupied rooms. Our rooms costs decreased by \$0.9 million in 2002 compared with 2001 primarily as a result of a decrease in payroll costs due to a decrease in occupied rooms.

Casino Aztar Evansville

We have benefited from a change in the State of Indiana rules of operation permitting open boarding of casino patrons that went into effect August 1, 2002. Dockside gaming increased accessibility to our casino riverboat by eliminating cruising schedules. Casino revenue was \$105.2 million or 10% higher in 2002 compared with 2001 primarily due to an increase in slot revenue. Patrons to our casino riverboat increased 23% in 2002 compared with 2001. Our casino revenue for our fiscal months of August through December 2002 was \$6.4 million or 16% higher than the comparable fiscal months of 2001 in spite of an extra week in the 2001 fiscal period; the increase in patrons for this comparison period was 33%.

Casino costs increased \$4.8 million or 15% in 2002 from 2001 as a result of an increase in gaming taxes that are based on casino revenue and increased costs of complimentaries. Our casino costs for our fiscal months of August through December 2002 were \$3.4 million or 25% higher than the comparable fiscal months of 2001.

Rent expense was \$7.3 million in 2002 compared with \$5.8 million in 2001. Rent expense increased as a result of increased rent to the City of Evansville relating to our riverboat landing lease. Casino revenue is a component used in the calculation of rent expense under this lease. Depreciation and amortization was \$6.1 million in 2002 compared with \$9.0 million in 2001. Amortization decreased primarily as a result of ceasing amortization of the cost of our initial gaming license beginning January 4, 2002 because we determined, under the criteria established in Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" that is effective in 2002, that this asset has an indefinite life.

Corporate

Corporate expenses increased as a result of an increase in incentive compensation and costs incurred in connection with business development work.

Interest Expense

The increase in interest expense in 2002 compared with 2001 was primarily due to higher levels of debt outstanding as a result of the purchase of the Tropicana Enterprises partnership interests, partially offset by lower interest rates and increased capitalized interest on the Atlantic City Tropicana expansion. Interest capitalized during 2002 was \$3.0 million compared with \$1.3 million during 2001.

Income Taxes

Our effective income tax rate increased in 2002 compared with 2001 primarily as a result of an increase in our state income taxes. On July 2, 2002, the State of New Jersey enacted the Business Tax Reform Act, which was retroactive to the beginning of 2002. Our income tax provision increased to provide for the effect of this new tax legislation.

In the fourth quarter 2002, we settled the remaining two issues with the Internal Revenue Service related to the examination of the company's income tax returns for the years 1992 and 1993. The two issues involved the deductibility of certain complimentary services provided to customers and the deductibility of a portion of payments on certain liabilities related to the restructuring of Ramada. The settlement resulted in a tax benefit of \$1.0 million.

Market Risk

Market risk is the risk of loss arising from adverse changes in market rates and prices, including interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates, commodity prices and equity prices. Our primary exposure to market risk is interest rate risk associated with our CRDA investments, long-term debt and Series B convertible preferred stock. We do not utilize these financial instruments for trading purposes. We manage our interest rate risk on long-term debt by managing the mix of our fixed-rate and variable-rate debt. There has been no change in how we manage our interest rate risk when compared to the prior fiscal year. At January 2, 2003, the carrying value, including the current portion, of our long-term debt at a fixed rate was \$410.3 million and at a variable rate it was \$118.8 million. During 2003, our primary activity in long-term debt was borrowing under our revolving credit facility.

F-48

The following table provides information at January 1, 2004, about our financial instruments that are sensitive to changes in interest rates. The table presents principal cash flows (in millions) and related weighted average interest rates by expected maturity dates.

	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>There- after</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Fair Value</u>
Assets								
Other investments								
Fixed rate					--	\$19.6		\$19.6
Average interest rate	--	--	--	--	--	4.1%	\$19.6	
	--	--	--	--				
Liabilities								
Long-term debt, including current portion								
Fixed rate					--	\$175.0		\$437.2
Average interest rate	\$0.2	--	--	\$235.0	--	9.0%	\$410.2	
	11.6%	--	--	8.9%				
Variable rate					--	--		\$235.4
Average interest rate*	\$16.8	\$218.6	--	--			\$235.4	
Series B convertible preferred stock								
Fixed rate					--	\$5.3		\$12.2
Average dividend rate	--	--	--	--	--	8.0%	\$5.3	
	--	--	--	--				

* Interest is based upon, at our option, a one-, two-, three-, or six-month Eurodollar rate plus a margin of 2.5% for our

term loan and a one-, two-, three-, or six-month Eurodollar rate plus a margin ranging from 1.25% to 2.5%, or the prime rate plus a margin ranging from zero to 1.25% for our revolving credit facility and Tropicana Enterprises loan. The applicable margin is dependent upon Aztar's outstanding indebtedness and operating cash flow.

Critical Accounting Estimates

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America that require us to make estimates and assumptions about the effects of matters that are inherently uncertain. These estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts and disclosures in our consolidated financial statements. Of our accounting estimates, we believe the following may involve a higher degree of judgment and complexity.

Property and equipment - At January 1, 2004, we have property and equipment of \$1.1 billion, representing 83% of our total assets. We depreciate the property and equipment on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives. The estimated useful lives are based on the nature of the assets as well as our current operating strategy. Future events, such as property expansions, property developments, new competition, new regulations and new taxes, could result in a change in the manner in which we are using certain assets, requiring a change in the estimated useful lives of such assets. In assessing the recoverability of the

F-49

carrying value of property and equipment if events and circumstance warrant such an assessment, we must make assumptions regarding estimated future cash flows and other factors. If these estimates or the related assumptions change, we may be required to record an impairment loss for these assets. Such an impairment loss would be recognized as a non-cash component of operating income. See the earlier discussion under "Tropicana Las Vegas Development".

Income tax liabilities - The Internal Revenue Service is examining the company's income tax returns for 2000 through 2002. We have estimated and provided for income taxes in accordance with settlements reached with the IRS in prior audits. On July 2, 2002, the State of New Jersey enacted the Business Tax Reform Act. We have provided \$3.8 million for New Jersey income taxes in 2003 based on our best estimate of the effect of this recent law. Certain provisions of the Act are subject to future rules and regulations and the discretion of the Director. We have received proposed assessments from the Indiana Department of Revenue in connection with the examination of the company's Indiana income tax returns for the years 1996 through 2000. See the earlier discussion under "Contingent Liabilities and Commitments".

Ramada indemnification - We have agreed to indemnify Ramada with regard to certain lawsuits and lease guarantees. See the earlier discussion under "Contingent Liabilities and Commitments".

Impact of the October 30, 2003 construction accident - An accident occurred on the site of the construction of the parking-garage component of the expansion of the Atlantic City Tropicana. See the earlier discussions under "Tropicana Atlantic City" in the discussion of the results of operations for 2003 versus 2002 and under "Tropicana Atlantic City Development".

Stock Option Accounting

As permitted under generally accepted accounting principles, we have elected to follow Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25 entitled "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees" and related Interpretations in accounting for our stock-based employee compensation arrangements because the alternative fair-value-based method of accounting provided for under Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 entitled "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" requires use of option valuation models that were not developed for use in valuing employee stock options. Under APB 25, because the exercise price of our stock options equals the market price of the underlying stock on the date of grant, no compensation expense is recognized. Under SFAS 123, the estimated fair value of our stock options would be amortized to expense over their vesting period. See "Note 1. Significant Accounting Policies" to our Consolidated Financial Statements for the assumptions used in arriving at fair value and "Note 12. Stock Options" for other information.

F-50

Pro forma information regarding net income and earnings per share as if we had accounted for our stock options under the fair-value-based method of accounting is as follows (in millions except for net income per share information):

	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>
Net income, as reported	\$ 60.9	\$ 58.9	\$ 58.0
Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under the fair-value-based method of accounting, net of income tax benefit	<u>(3.2)</u>	<u>(3.6)</u>	<u>(3.0)</u>
Pro forma net income	\$ 57.7	\$ 55.3	\$ 55.0
	=====	=====	=====
Net income per common share:			
As reported	\$ 1.72	\$ 1.56	\$ 1.53

Pro forma	\$ 1.63	\$ 1.46	\$ 1.45
Net income per common share assuming dilution:			
As reported	\$ 1.66	\$ 1.51	\$ 1.48
Pro forma	\$ 1.58	\$ 1.42	\$ 1.41

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In January 2003, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Interpretation No. 46, "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, an interpretation of ARB No. 51" and revised it in December 2003. The objective of FIN 46 is to improve financial reporting by enterprises involved with variable interest entities. FIN 46 establishes requirements for the consolidation of variable interest entities. An entity subject to the consolidation requirements of FIN 46 is referred to as a variable interest entity. Consolidation is required under FIN 46 when either of the following conditions is present: (1) the equity investment at risk is not sufficient to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support from other parties or (2) the equity investors (as a group) do not hold a controlling financial interest in the entity. The effective date of FIN 46 depends on when the variable interest entity was formed and with the provisions of the revised FIN 46, it depends on the type of variable interest entity. FIN 46 is effective for public companies in 2003. The provisions of the revised FIN 46 are effective for public companies by the first reporting period ending after March 15, 2004. FIN 46 did not have and the company expects the revised FIN 46 will not have any impact on its consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Private Securities Litigation Reform Act

Certain information included in Aztar's Form 10-K for the year ended January 1, 2004 and other materials filed or to be filed with, or furnished or to be furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission (as well as information included in oral statements or other written statements made or to be made by us including those made in Aztar's 2003 annual report) contains statements that are forward-looking. These include forward-looking statements relating to the following activities, among others: operation and expansion of existing properties, in particular the Atlantic City

Tropicana, including future performance; development of the Las Vegas Tropicana and financing and/or concluding an arrangement with a partner for such development; other business development activities; uses of free cash flow; stock repurchases; debt repayments; possible future debt refinancings; and use of derivatives. These forward-looking statements generally can be identified by phrases such as we "believe," "expect," "anticipate," "foresee," "forecast," "estimate," "target," or other words or phrases of similar import. Similarly, statements that describe our business strategy, outlook, objectives, plans, intentions or goals are also forward-looking statements.

Such forward-looking information involves important risks and uncertainties that could significantly affect results in the future and, accordingly, such results may differ materially from those expressed in any forward-looking statements made by us or on our behalf. These risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, the following factors as well as other factors described from time to time in Aztar's reports filed with or furnished to the SEC: those factors relating to terrorism and the uncertainty of war and other factors affecting discretionary consumer spending; uncertainties related to the extent and timing of our recoveries from our insurance carriers for our various losses suffered in connection with the accident on October 30, 2003; the extent to which our existing operations will continue to be adversely affected by the ongoing effects of the accident on October 30, 2003; uncertainties related to the extent and effects of the delay in the construction and completion of the Tropicana Atlantic City expansion, which could be significantly greater and longer than we currently anticipate; uncertainties in connection with the renegotiation of our collective bargaining agreements; our ability to execute our development plans, estimates of development costs and returns on development capital; construction and development factors, including zoning and other regulatory issues, environmental restrictions, soil conditions, weather, fire, flood and other natural hazards, site access matters, shortages of material and skilled labor, labor disputes and work stoppages, and engineering and equipment problems; factors affecting leverage and debt service, including sensitivity to fluctuation in interest rates; access to available and feasible financing; regulatory and licensing matters; third-party consents, approvals and representations, and relations with partners, owners, suppliers and other third parties; reliance on key personnel; business and economic conditions; the ongoing benefit of dockside gaming in Indiana; the cyclical nature of the hotel business and the gaming business; the effects of weather; market prices of our common stock; litigation outcomes, judicial actions, legislative matters and referenda including the potential legalization of gaming in Maryland, New York and Pennsylvania, and taxation including potential tax increases in Indiana, Missouri, Nevada and New Jersey; the impact of new competition on our operations including the Borgata, which opened in Atlantic City in July 2003; and the effects of other competition, including locations of competitors and operating and marketing competition. Any forward-looking statements are made pursuant to the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and speak only as of the date made.

F-52

SUMMARY OF SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA (a)

Aztar Corporation and Subsidiaries

For the Five Years Ended January 1, 2004

	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>	<u>2000</u>	<u>1999(b)</u>
--	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	----------------

Operations
Data (in

Edgar Filing: AZTAR CORP - Form 10-K

thousands)					
Revenues					
EBITDA(c)					
Depreciation and amortization	\$ 813,146	\$ 834,274	\$ 849,463	\$ 848,088	\$ 800,314
Operating income	175,929	186,979	183,221	169,399	143,502
Net interest income and (expense)	(50,906)	(50,499)	(51,813)	(53,924)	(53,908)
Equity in unconsolidated partnership's loss	125,023	136,480	131,408	115,475	89,594
Loss on early retirement of debt	(35,639)	(40,189)	(37,623)	(40,565)	(51,282)
Income taxes	--	(458)	(3,702)	(4,215)	(3,961)
Net income	--	--	--	--	(24,216)
Common Stock	(28,454)	(36,974)	(32,074)	(17,578)	(3,746)
Data (per share)	60,930	58,859	58,009	53,117	6,389
Net income per common share					
Net income per common share assuming dilution	\$ 1.72	\$ 1.56	\$ 1.53	\$ 1.28	\$.13
Cash dividends declared	1.66	1.51	1.48	1.23	..12
Equity	--	--	--	--	--
	15.60	13.92	12.38	10.92	9.96

SUMMARY OF SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA (a) (Continued)

Aztar Corporation and Subsidiaries

For the Five Years Ended January 1, 2004

	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>	<u>2000</u>	<u>1999(b)</u>
Balance Sheet Data (in thousands at year end)	\$1,347,773	\$1,210,682	\$1,060,956	\$1,011,696	\$1,049,007
Total assets	628,603	524,066	458,659	463,011	497,628
Long-term debt					
Series B convertible preferred stock	5,253	5,601	5,959	6,400	7,003
Shareholders' equity	534,574	515,354	453,841	422,706	427,910
Other Financial Data (in thousands)					
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ 111,365	\$ 128,548	\$ 137,067	\$ 123,631	\$ 80,667
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(168,973)	(187,269)	(56,020)	(30,499)	(34,012)
Net cash provided	75,298	19,495	(37,005)	(99,232)	(51,075)

by
(used in)
financing
activities

- (a) See NOTES 1., 3., 5., 14., 15. and 16. of the NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
- (b) Reclassified the loss on early retirement of debt out of extraordinary items as a result of adopting Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 145, "Rescission of FASB Statements No. 4, 44, and 64, Amendment of FASB Statement No. 13, and Technical Corrections."
- (c) EBITDA is net income before income taxes, loss on early retirement of debt, equity in unconsolidated partnership's loss, net interest income and (expense), and depreciation and amortization. EBITDA should not be construed as a substitute for either operating income or net income as they are determined in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). The Company uses EBITDA as a measure to compare operating results among its properties and between accounting periods. The Company manages

F-54

SUMMARY OF SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA (a) (Continued)

Aztar Corporation and Subsidiaries

For the Five Years Ended January 1, 2004

cash and finances its operations at the corporate level. The Company manages the allocation of capital among properties at the corporate level. The Company also files a consolidated income tax return. The Company accordingly believes EBITDA is useful as a measure of operating results at the property level because it reflects the results of operating decisions at that level separated from the effects of tax and financing decisions that are managed at the corporate level. The Company also uses EBITDA as the primary operating performance measure in its bonus programs for executive officers. The Company also believes that EBITDA is a commonly used measure of operating performance in the gaming industry and is an important basis for the valuation of gaming companies. The Company's calculation of EBITDA may not

be comparable to similarly titled measures reported by other companies and, therefore, any such differences must be considered when comparing performance among different companies. While the Company believes EBITDA provides a useful perspective for some purposes, EBITDA has material limitations as an analytical tool. For example, among other things, although depreciation and amortization are non-cash charges, the assets being depreciated and amortized may have to be replaced in the future, and EBITDA does not reflect the requirements for such replacements. Interest expense, net of interest income, and income taxes are also not reflected in EBITDA. Therefore, the Company does not consider EBITDA in isolation, and it should not be considered as a substitute for measures determined in accordance with GAAP. A reconciliation of EBITDA with operating income and net income as determined in accordance with GAAP is reflected in the above summary.

F-55

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS
ON FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULE

To the Shareholders and Board of Directors of
Aztar Corporation

Our audit of the consolidated financial statements referred to in our report dated February 11, 2004 appearing in the 2003 Annual Report on Form 10-K also included an audit of the financial statement schedule listed in Item 15(a)(2) of this Form

10-K. In our opinion, this financial statement schedule presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements.

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LLP

Phoenix, Arizona
February 11, 2004

S-1

SCHEDULE II - VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS
AZTAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
For the Years Ended January 1, 2004, January 2, 2003 and January 3, 2002
(in thousands)

<u>COLUMN A</u>	<u>COLUMN B</u>	<u>COLUMN C</u>	<u>COLUMN D</u>	<u>COLUMN E</u>
<u>Description</u>	<u>Balance at Beginning of Year</u>	<u>Additions</u>	<u>Deductions</u>	<u>Balance at End of Year</u>
Allowance for doubtful accounts receivable:				
2003	\$ 17,376	\$ 1,788(a)	\$ 4,804(b)	\$ 14,360
2002	20,578	2,541(a)	5,743(b)	17,376
2001	22,655	4,075(a)	6,152(b)	20,578
Deferred income tax asset valuation allowance:				
2003	\$ 1,814	\$ --	\$ 1,477(c)	\$ 337
2002	1,968	--	154(c)	1,814
2001	2,668	7(a)	707(c)	1,968
Valuation allowance for CRDA deposits, CRDA bonds and CRDA other investments:				
2003	\$ 6,752	\$ 374(a)	\$ 135(d) 29(e)	\$ 6,962
2002	9,492	262(a)	2,909(d) 93(e)	6,752
2001	8,191	1,301(a)	--	9,492

(a) Charged to costs and expenses.

(b) Related assets charged against the account.

- (c) Reflects reductions of \$21,000, \$154,000 and \$312,000 in 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively, with a corresponding decrease in income tax expense. The remainder of the reductions in 2003 and 2001 represented charges of deferred tax assets against the valuation allowance account.
- (d) Reflects reduction due to receipt of carrying value with corresponding decrease in costs and expenses.
- (e) Reflects transfer to unamortized discount for CRDA bonds.

S-2

EXHIBIT INDEX

- 3.1 Restated Certificate of Incorporation, filed as Exhibit 3.1 to Aztar Corporation's Registration Statement No. 33-32009 and incorporated herein by reference.
- 3.2 Second Amended and Restated By-Laws of Aztar Corporation, as adopted February 25, 1998, filed as Exhibit 3 to Aztar Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended April 2, 1998 and incorporated herein by reference.
- 4.1 Rights Agreement, dated as of December 14, 1999, between Aztar Corporation and ChaseMellon Shareholder Services, L.L.C., as Rights Agent, filed as Exhibit 1 to Aztar Corporation's Registration Statement on Form 8-A, filed on December 15, 1999, and incorporated herein by reference.
- 4.2 Indenture, dated as of May 3, 1999, between Aztar Corporation and U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee, relating to the 8 7/8% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2007 of Aztar Corporation, filed as Exhibit 4.5 to Aztar Corporation's Registration Statement No. 333-79371 and incorporated herein by reference.
- 4.3 Indenture, dated as of July 27, 2001, between Aztar Corporation and U.S. Bank Trust National Association, as Trustee, relating to the 9% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2011 of Aztar Corporation, filed as Exhibit 4.3 to Aztar Corporation's Registration Statement No. 333-69158 and incorporated herein by reference.

- 4.4 For a description of the Series B ESOP Convertible Preferred Stock of Aztar Corporation, refer to the Certificate of Designations, Preferences and Rights of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock of Aztar Corporation filed as Annex XII to the Ramada Inc. Definitive Proxy Statement/Aztar Corporation Prospectus, dated as of November 14, 1989 and incorporated herein by reference.
- * 10.1(a) Severance Agreement, dated July 17, 1995, by and between Aztar Corporation and Paul E. Rubeli, filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Aztar Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 28, 1995 and incorporated herein by reference.
- * 10.1(b) Amendment to Severance Agreement, dated March 23, 1998, by and between Aztar Corporation and Paul E. Rubeli, filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Aztar Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended April 2, 1998 and incorporated herein by reference.
- * 10.1(c) Severance Agreement, dated July 17, 1995, by and between Aztar Corporation and Robert M. Haddock, filed as Exhibit 10.2 to Aztar Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 28, 1995 and incorporated herein by reference.

* Indicates a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

E-1

EXHIBIT INDEX

- * 10.1(d) Amendment to Severance Agreement, dated March 24, 1998, by and between Aztar Corporation and Robert M. Haddock, filed as Exhibit 10.2 to Aztar Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended April 2, 1998 and incorporated herein by reference.
- * 10.1(e) Severance Agreement, dated July 18, 1995, by and between Aztar Corporation and Nelson W. Armstrong, Jr., filed as Exhibit 10.3 to Aztar Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 28, 1995 and incorporated herein by reference.
- * 10.1(f) Amendment to Severance Agreement, dated March 24, 1998, by and between Aztar Corporation and Nelson W. Armstrong, Jr., filed as Exhibit 10.3 to Aztar Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended April 2, 1998 and incorporated herein by reference.
- * 10.1(g) Severance Agreement, dated July 24, 1995, by and between Aztar Corporation and Meridith P. Sipek, filed as Exhibit 10.4 to Aztar Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 28, 1995 and incorporated herein by reference.

Edgar Filing: AZTAR CORP - Form 10-K

- * 10.1(h) Amendment to Severance Agreement, dated March 24, 1998, by and between Aztar Corporation and Meridith P. Sipek, filed as Exhibit 10.4 to Aztar Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended April 2, 1998 and incorporated herein by reference.
- * 10.1(i) Severance Agreement, dated July 25, 1995, by and between Aztar Corporation and Joe Cole, filed as Exhibit 10.5 to Aztar Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 28, 1995 and incorporated herein by reference.
- * 10.1(j) Amendment to Severance Agreement, dated March 24, 1998, by and between Aztar Corporation and Joe Cole, filed as Exhibit 10.5 to Aztar Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended April 2, 1998 and incorporated herein by reference.
- * 10.1(k) Severance Agreement, dated July 17, 1995, by and between Aztar Corporation and Neil A. Ciarfalia, filed as Exhibit 10.6 to Aztar Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 28, 1995 and incorporated herein by reference.
- * 10.1(l) Amendment to Severance Agreement, dated March 24, 1998, by and between Aztar Corporation and Neil A. Ciarfalia, filed as Exhibit 10.6 to Aztar Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended April 2, 1998 and incorporated herein by reference.

* Indicates a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

E-2

EXHIBIT INDEX

10.2(a) Amended and Restated Reducing Revolving Loan Agreement, dated as of May 28, 1998, among Aztar Corporation and the lenders therein named; Bankers Trust Company and Societe Generale, as documentation agents; Bank of Scotland, Credit Lyonnais Los Angeles Branch and PNC Bank, National Association, as co-agents; and Bank of America National Trust and Savings Association, as administrative agent, filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Aztar Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended July 2, 1998 and incorporated herein by reference.

10.2(b)

Amendment No. 1, dated October 8, 1998, to Amended and Restated Reducing Revolving Loan Agreement, dated as of May 28, 1998, among Aztar Corporation and the lenders therein named; Bankers Trust Company and Societe Generale, as documentation agents; Bank of Scotland, Credit Lyonnais Los Angeles Branch and PNC Bank, National Association, as co-agents; and Bank of America National Trust and Savings Association, as administrative agent, filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Aztar Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended October 1, 1998 and incorporated herein by reference.

10.2(c) Amendment No. 2, dated March 5, 1999, to Amended and Restated Reducing Revolving Loan Agreement, dated as of May 28, 1998, among Aztar Corporation and the lenders therein named; Bankers Trust Company and Societe Generale, as documentation agents; Bank of Scotland, Credit Lyonnais Los Angeles Branch and PNC Bank, National Association, as co-agents; and Bank of America National Trust and Savings Association, as administrative agent, filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Aztar Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended April 1, 1999 and incorporated herein by reference.

10.2(d) Amendment No. 3, dated October 26, 1999, to Amended and Restated Reducing Revolving Loan Agreement, dated as of May 28, 1998, among Aztar Corporation and the lenders therein named; Bankers Trust Company and Societe Generale, as documentation agents; Bank of Scotland, Credit Lyonnais Los Angeles Branch and PNC Bank, National Association, as co-agents; and Bank of America National Trust and Savings Association, as administrative agent, filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Aztar Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1999 and incorporated herein by reference.

10.2(e) Amendment No. 4, dated March 17, 2000, to Amended and Restated Reducing Revolving Loan Agreement, dated as of May 28, 1998, among Aztar Corporation and the lenders therein named; Bankers Trust Company and Societe Generale, as documentation agents; Bank of Scotland, Credit Lyonnaise Los Angeles Branch and PNC Bank, National Association, as co-agents; and Bank of America National Trust and Savings Association, as administrative agent, filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Aztar Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 30, 2000 and incorporated herein by reference.

Amendment No. 5, dated October 11, 2000, to Amended and Restated Reducing Revolving Loan Agreement, dated as of May 28, 1998, among Aztar Corporation and the lenders therein named; Bankers Trust Company and Societe Generale, as documentation agents; Bank of Scotland, Credit Lyonnais Los Angeles Branch and PNC Bank, National Association, as co-agents; and Bank of America National Trust and Savings Association, as administrative agent, filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Aztar Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 28, 2000 and incorporated herein by reference.

10.2(g) Amendment No. 6, dated June 29, 2001, to Amended and Restated Reducing Revolving Loan Agreement, dated as of May 28, 1998, among Aztar Corporation and the lenders therein named; Bankers Trust Company and Societe Generale, as documentation agents; Bank of Scotland, Credit Lyonnais Los Angeles Branch and PNC Bank, National Association, as co-agents; and Bank of America National Trust and Savings Association as administrative agent, filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Aztar Corporation's Form 8-K dated July 11, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference.

10.2(h) Amendment No. 7, dated August 30, 2002, to Amended and Restated Reducing Revolving Loan Agreement, dated as of May 28, 1998, among Aztar Corporation, the lenders party thereto and Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent, filed as Exhibit 10 to Aztar Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended October 3, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference.

10.2(i) Amendment No. 8, dated June 13, 2003, to Amended and Restated Reducing Revolving Loan Agreement, dated as of May 28, 1998, among Aztar Corporation, the lenders party thereto and Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent, filed as Exhibit 10 to Aztar Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended July 3, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference.

** 10.2(j) Amendment No. 9, dated December 23, 2003, to Amended and Restated Reducing Revolving Loan Agreement, dated as of May 28, 1998, among Aztar Corporation, the lenders party thereto and Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent.

10.3(a) Term Loan Agreement, dated as of May 28, 1998, among Aztar Corporation and the lenders therein named; and Bank of America National Trust and Savings Association, as administrative agent, filed as Exhibit 10.2 to Aztar Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended July 2, 1998 and incorporated herein by reference.

10.3(b) Restated Amendment No. 1, dated October 27, 1999, to Term Loan Agreement, dated as of May 28, 1998, among Aztar Corporation and the lenders therein named; and Bank of America National Trust and Savings Association, as administrative agent, filed as Exhibit 10.2 to Aztar Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1999 and incorporated herein by reference.

** Filed herewith

EXHIBIT INDEX

- * 10.4 Aztar Corporation 1989 Stock Option and Incentive Plan, filed as Exhibit 4 to Aztar Corporation's Registration Statement No. 33-32399 and incorporated herein by reference.
- 10.5(a) Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of April 17, 1989, among New World Hotels (U.S.A.), Inc., RI Acquiring Corp. and Ramada Inc., as amended and Restated as of October 23, 1989, filed as Exhibit 2.1 to Aztar Corporation's Registration Statement No. 33-32009 and incorporated herein by reference.
- 10.5(b) Letter, dated as of October 23, 1989, from Ramada Inc. to New World Hotels (U.S.A.), Inc. regarding certain franchising matters and hotel projects, filed as Exhibit 2.1(b) to Aztar Corporation's Registration Statement No. 33-32009 and incorporated herein by reference.
- 10.6 Reorganization Agreement, dated as of April 17, 1989, between Ramada Inc. and Aztar Corporation, as amended and restated as of October 23, 1989, filed as Exhibit 2.2 to Aztar Corporation's Registration Statement No. 33-32009 and incorporated herein by reference.
- 10.7 Tax Sharing Agreement, dated as of April 17, 1989, among New World Hotels (U.S.A), Inc., Ramada Inc. and Aztar Corporation, as amended and restated as of October 23, 1989, filed as Exhibit 2.3 to Aztar Corporation's Registration Statement No. 33-32009 and incorporated herein by reference.
- 10.8 Guaranty and Acknowledgement Agreement, dated as of April 17, 1989, among New World Development Company Limited, New World Hotels (Holdings) Limited, New World Hotels (U.S.A.), Inc. and RI Acquiring Corp., filed as Exhibit 2.4 to Aztar Corporation's Registration Statement No. 33-29562 and incorporated herein by reference.
- 10.9 Master Consent Agreement, dated July 18, 1989, by and among Ramada Inc., Adamar of Nevada, Hotel Ramada of Nevada, Adamar of New Jersey, Inc., Aztar Corporation, Tropicana Enterprises, Trop C.C. and the Jaffe Group, with attached exhibits, filed as Exhibit 10.50 to Aztar Corporation's Registration Statement No. 33-29562 and incorporated herein by reference.
- * 10.10 Aztar Corporation 1990 Nonemployee Directors Stock Option Plan, as amended and restated effective March 15, 1991, filed as Exhibit A to Aztar Corporation's 1991 definitive Proxy Statement and incorporated herein by reference.
- * 10.11(a) Aztar Corporation Nonqualified Retirement Plan for Senior Executives, dated September 5, 1990, filed as Exhibit 10.2 to Aztar Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 27, 1990 and incorporated herein by reference.
- * 10.11(b) Amendment No. 1 to the Aztar Corporation Nonqualified Retirement Plan for Senior Executives, dated September 5, 1990, as approved by the Board of Directors of Aztar Corporation on February 26, 2003, filed as Exhibit 10.2 to Aztar Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended April 3, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference.

* Indicates a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

E-5

EXHIBIT INDEX

- * 10.12 Aztar Corporation Nonqualified Retirement Plan Trust between Aztar Corporation and State Street Bank and Trust Company dated January 3, 2003, filed as Exhibit 10.12 to Aztar Corporation's 2002 Form 10-K and incorporated herein by reference.
- 10.13(a) Second Amended and Restated Loan Agreement, dated October 4, 1994, among Tropicana Enterprises, Hotel Ramada of Nevada and the banks therein named; Societe Generale and Midlantic Bank, N.A., as lead managers; Bank One, Arizona, N A and Credit Lyonnais, as co-agents; Bankers Trust Company, as co-managing agent; and, Bank of America National Trust and Savings Association, as managing agent, filed as Exhibit 10.14 to Aztar Corporation's 1994 Form 10-K and incorporated herein by reference.
- 10.13(b) Amendment No. 1, dated as of May 28, 1998, to Second Amended and Restated Loan Agreement dated as of October 4, 1994, among Tropicana Enterprises, Hotel Ramada of Nevada and the banks therein named; Bankers Trust Company and Societe Generale, as documentation agents; Bank of Scotland, Credit Lyonnais Los Angeles Branch and PNC Bank, National Association, as co-agents; and Bank of America National Trust and Savings Association, as administrative agent, filed as Exhibit 10.3 to Aztar Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended July 2, 1998 and incorporated herein by reference.
- 10.13(c) Allonge to Master Note, dated as of June 29, 2001, executed by Tropicana Enterprises in favor of Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent for the Banks referred to in the Note, in connection with that certain Master Note dated May 28, 1998, filed as Exhibit 10.13(b) to Aztar Corporation's 2001 Form 10-K and incorporated herein by reference.
- * 10.14 Summary of deferred compensation program for designated executives of Ramada, dated November 10, 1983, filed as Exhibit 10(r) to Ramada Inc.'s 1983 Form 10-K (Commission File Reference Number 1-5440) and incorporated herein by reference.
- * 10.15 Deferred Compensation Agreements entered into by and between Ramada and designated executives (including each Executive Officer), dated December 1, 1983, 1984 or 1985, filed as Exhibits 10.60(a) through (w) to Aztar Corporation's Registration Statement No. 33-51008 and incorporated herein by reference.
- * 10.16 Deferred Compensation Plan for Directors, dated December 1, 1983, filed as Exhibit 10(t) to Ramada Inc.'s 1983 Form 10-K (Commission File Reference Number 1-5440) and incorporated herein by reference.
- * 10.17 Deferred Compensation Agreements entered into by and between Ramada and certain outside Directors as of December 1, 1983, filed as Exhibits 10.62(a),(b),(c) and (d) to Aztar Corporation's Registration Statement No. 33-51008 and incorporated herein by reference.

* Indicates a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

E-6

EXHIBIT INDEX

- 10.18 Purchase Agreement, dated February 1, 2002, among Adamar of Nevada, parties constituting the Jaffe Group, Aztar Corporation and Hotel Ramada of Nevada, filed as Exhibit 10.18 to Aztar Corporation's 2002 Form 10-K and incorporated herein by reference.
- * 10.19 Aztar Corporation 1999 Employee Stock Option and Incentive Plan, filed as Exhibit A to Aztar Corporation's 1999 definitive Proxy Statement and incorporated herein by reference.
- * 10.20 Aztar Corporation 2000 Nonemployee Directors Stock Option Plan, amended and restated effective December 5, 2001, filed as Exhibit 10.20 to Aztar Corporation's 2001 Form 10-K and incorporated herein by reference.
- * 10.21 Aztar Corporation Nonqualified Retirement Plan for Selected Senior Executives (SERP) effective January 3, 2003, filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Aztar Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended April 3, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference.
- ** 14. Code of Ethics.
- ** 21. Subsidiaries of Aztar Corporation.
- ** 23. Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
- ** 31.1 Certification of CEO.
- ** 31.2 Certification of CFO.
- ** 32. Certification of CEO and CFO pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Selection 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

* Indicates a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

** Filed herewith

E-7
