Edgar Filing: EDISON INTERNATIONAL - Form DEFA14A

EDISON INTERNATIONAL Form DEFA14A April 16, 2007

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D. C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A (Rule 14a-101)

INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT SCHEDULED 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities

Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No)
Filed by the Registrant X Filed by a Party other than the Registrant []
Check the appropriate box:
<pre>[] Preliminary Proxy Statement [] Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2)) [] Definitive Proxy Statement [X] Definitive Additional Materials [] Soliciting Material Pursuant to sec.240.14a-12</pre>
Edison International
(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)
Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):
<pre>[X] No fee required. [] Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.</pre>
(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Action the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined)
(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
(5) Total fee paid:
[] Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
[] Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registr number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount previously paid:

Edgar Filing: EDISON INTERNATIONAL - Form DEFA14A

(2) Form, S	Schedule or Registration Statement No.
(3) Filing	Party:
(4) Date Fi	iled:

[The following letter was sent by Edison International to certain institutional holders of its coand delivered on April 16, 2007.]

[Edison International Letterhead]

April 16, 2007

Dear Shareholder:

I am writing to explain why Edison International ("Edison") disagrees with the recent voting recommade by Institutional Shareholder Services ("ISS") with respect to the shareholder proposal from Chevedden to be voted on at Edison's Annual Meeting of Shareholders on April 26, 2007. The proportion of "performance-based" awards.

In our view, all of our senior officers' equity compensation is already performance-based. As in years, in 2006, 25% of the value was in performance shares, which vest based on total shareholder 75% was in stock options.

ISS has recommended a vote for the shareholder proposal because ISS does not consider stock optice "performance-based" compensation. However, stock options provide a strong alignment between return shareholders and the compensation of executives. Recent history underscores this. During the Calenergy crisis, stock options held by Edison executives were under water. As Edison's total share increased after the crisis, the stock options provided compensation to Edison executives commensus high shareholder returns in the period.

Furthermore, none of the circumstances that might logically justify such a proposal exist at Edis

- o Edison's shareholder returns have been strong. The increase in Edison's cumulative total shareturn over the last five years has exceeded the increase in the Dow Jones US Electricity Inc. and the S & P 500 Index by 195%.
- o Edison has not paid excessive executive compensation. The target value of the senior officer compensation package is the median for our peer group companies.
- The strong correlation between executive compensation and shareholder value is illustrated by results of an annual compensation study published in The New York Times, most recently on Apr The study for 2005 pay showed that the correlation between the rise in Edison's total shareholder and the rise in Edison CEO John Bryson's pay ranked among the best of the companies in the st study for 2006 showed that shareholder gains were more modest and Mr. Bryson's compensation of The 2005 study can be found at www.nytimes.com/imagepages/2006/04/09/business/09value.graphic the 2006 study can be found at
 - www.nytimes.com/2007/04/06/business/businessspecial/20070408_EXECPAY_GRAPHIC.html
- When combined with the annual bonus, which is based on Edison meeting a number of pre-determi goals, a total of 80% of Mr. Bryson's salary is at-risk.

We appreciate your time and attention to this matter. If you would like to discuss the proposal, other issue regarding our Proxy Statement, please call me at (626) 302-1130.

Sincerely,

Edgar Filing: EDISON INTERNATIONAL - Form DEFA14A

/s/ Barbara E. Mathews Barbara E. Mathews