FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION FANNIE MAE Form 10-Q August 08, 2012

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549 Form 10-Q QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF  $^{\mathrm{b}}_{1934}$ For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2012 OR "TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the transition period from to Commission File No.: 0-50231 Federal National Mortgage Association (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter) Fannie Mae Federally chartered corporation 52-0883107 (State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer incorporation or organization) Identification No.) 3900 Wisconsin Avenue, NW 20016 Washington, DC (Zip Code) (Address of principal executive offices) Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (202) 752-7000 Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes b No" Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes b No" Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. Large accelerated filer " Accelerated filer b Non-accelerated filer "(Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company " Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes " No b As of June 30, 2012, there were 1,158,069,699 shares of common stock of the registrant outstanding.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
PART I-	-Financial Information	1
Item 1.	Financial Statements	
	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets	<u>85</u>
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income (Loss)	<u>86</u>
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	<u>87</u>
	Note 1—Summary of Significant Accounting Policies	<u>88</u>
	Note 2—Consolidations and Transfers of Financial Assets	<u>92</u>
	Note 3—Mortgage Loans	<u>95</u>
	Note 4—Allowance for Loan Losses	<u>103</u>
	Note 5—Investments in Securities	<u>106</u>
	Note 6—Financial Guarantees	<u>113</u>
	Note 7—Acquired Property, Net	<u>115</u>
	Note 8—Short-Term Borrowings and Long-Term Debt	<u>116</u>
	Note 9—Derivative Instruments	<u>118</u>
	Note 10—Segment Reporting	<u>121</u>
	Note 11—Concentration of Credit Risk	<u>126</u>
	Note 12—Fair Value	<u>127</u>
	Note 13—Commitments and Contingencies	<u>152</u>
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	<u>1</u>
	Introduction	1 1 1
	Executive Summary	<u>1</u>
	Legislative and Regulatory Developments	<u>13</u>
	Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates	<u>16</u>
	Consolidated Results of Operations	<u>18</u>
	Business Segment Results	<u>31</u>
	Consolidated Balance Sheet Analysis	<u>39</u>
	Supplemental Non-GAAP Information—Fair Value Balance Sheets	<u>43</u>
	Liquidity and Capital Management	<u>46</u> <u>54</u> <u>55</u>
	Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements	<u>54</u>
	Risk Management	<u>55</u>
	Forward-Looking Statements	<u>81</u>
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	<u>156</u>
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	<u>156</u>
PART II-	–Other Information	<u>158</u>
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	<u>158</u>
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	<u>159</u>
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	<u>163</u>
Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	<u>164</u>
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	<u>164</u>
Item 5.	Other Information	<u>164</u>
Item 6.	Exhibits	<u>164</u>

i

## MD&A TABLE REFERENCE

Table	Description	Page
1	Treasury Draws and Senior Preferred Stock Dividend Payments	4
2	Selected Credit Characteristics of Single-Family Conventional Loans Held, by Acquisition Period	6
3	Credit Statistics, Single-Family Guaranty Book of Business	8
4	Proposed Multifamily Housing Goals for 2012 to 2014	16
5	Level 3 Recurring Financial Assets at Fair Value	18
6	Summary of Condensed Consolidated Results of Operations	19
7	Analysis of Net Interest Income and Yield	20
8	Rate/Volume Analysis of Changes in Net Interest Income	22
9	Impact of Nonaccrual Loans on Net Interest Income	23
10	Fair Value Losses, Net	24
11	Total Loss Reserves	25
12	Allowance for Loan Losses and Reserve for Guaranty Losses (Combined Loss Reserves)	26
13	Nonperforming Single-Family and Multifamily Loans	29
14	Credit Loss Performance Metrics	30
15	Single-Family Credit Loss Sensitivity	31
16	Single-Family Business Results	32
17	Multifamily Business Results	34
18	Capital Markets Group Results	36
19	Capital Markets Group's Mortgage Portfolio Activity	37
20	Capital Markets Group's Mortgage Portfolio Composition	38
21	Summary of Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets	39
22	Summary of Mortgage-Related Securities at Fair Value	40
23	Analysis of Losses on Alt-A and Subprime Private-Label Mortgage-Related Securities	41
24	Credit Statistics of Loans Underlying Alt-A and Subprime Private-Label Mortgage-Related Securit (Including Wraps)	ies 42
25	Comparative Measures—GAAP Change in Stockholders' Equity (Deficit) and Non-GAAP Change	in
25	Fair Value of Net Assets (Net of Tax Effect)	43
26	Supplemental Non-GAAP Consolidated Fair Value Balance Sheets	45
27	Activity in Debt of Fannie Mae	48
28	Outstanding Short-Term Borrowings and Long-Term Debt	50
29	Maturity Profile of Outstanding Debt of Fannie Mae Maturing Within One Year	51
30	Maturity Profile of Outstanding Debt of Fannie Mae Maturing in More Than One Year	52
31	Cash and Other Investments Portfolio	52
32	Fannie Mae Credit Ratings	53
33	Composition of Mortgage Credit Book of Business	56
34	Risk Characteristics of Single-Family Conventional Business Volume and Guaranty Book of Business	58
35	Selected Credit Characteristics of Single-Family Conventional Loans Acquired under HARP and R Plus	efi 61
36	Delinquency Status of Single-Family Conventional Loans	63
30 37	Single-Family Serious Delinquency Rates	63
38	Single-Family Conventional Serious Delinquency Rate Concentration Analysis	64
39	Statistics on Single-Family Loan Workouts	65
.,	Sumbles on Single I unity Dour Honouto	00

ii

Table	Description	Page
40	Percentage of Loan Modifications That Were Current or Paid Off at One and Two Years Post-Modification	66
41	Single-Family Foreclosed Properties	66
42	Single-Family Foreclosed Property Status	67
43	Multifamily Lender Risk-Sharing	68
44	Multifamily Guaranty Book of Business Key Risk Characteristics	69
45	Multifamily Concentration Analysis	69
46	Multifamily Foreclosed Properties	70
47	Repurchase Request Activity	72
48	Outstanding Repurchase Requests	73
49	Mortgage Insurance Coverage	74
50	Rescission Rates of Mortgage Insurance	76
51	Estimated Mortgage Insurance Benefit	76
52	Unpaid Principal Balance of Financial Guarantees	77
53	Interest Rate Sensitivity of Net Portfolio to Changes in Interest Rate Level and Slope of Yield Curve	80
54	Derivative Impact on Interest Rate Risk (50 Basis Points)	81

### PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

We have been under conservatorship, with the Federal Housing Finance Agency ("FHFA") acting as conservator, since September 6, 2008. As conservator, FHFA succeeded to all rights, titles, powers and privileges of the company, and of any shareholder, officer or director of the company with respect to the company and its assets. The conservator has since delegated specified authorities to our Board of Directors and has delegated to management the authority to conduct our day-to-day operations. Our directors do not have any duties to any person or entity except to the conservator and, accordingly, are not obligated to consider the interests of the company, the holders of our equity or debt securities or the holders of Fannie Mae MBS unless specifically directed to do so by the conservator. We describe the rights and powers of the conservator, key provisions of our agreements with the U.S. Department of the Treasury ("Treasury"), and their impact on shareholders in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 ("2011 Form 10-K") in "Business—Conservatorship and Treasury Agreements." You should read this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

("MD&A") in conjunction with our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes and the more detailed information in our 2011 Form 10-K.

This report contains forward-looking statements that are based on management's current expectations and are subject to significant uncertainties and changes in circumstances. Please review "Forward-Looking Statements" for more information on the forward-looking statements in this report. Our actual results may differ materially from those reflected in these forward-looking statements due to a variety of factors including, but not limited to, those described in "Risk Factors" and elsewhere in this report and in "Risk Factors" in our 2011 Form 10-K.

You can find a "Glossary of Terms Used in This Report" in the "MD&A" of our 2011 Form 10-K. INTRODUCTION

Fannie Mae is a government-sponsored enterprise ("GSE") that was chartered by Congress in 1938. Our public mission is to support liquidity and stability in the secondary mortgage market, where existing mortgage-related assets are purchased and sold, and increase the supply of affordable housing. Our charter does not permit us to originate loans and lend money directly to consumers in the primary mortgage market. Our most significant activity is securitizing mortgage loans originated by lenders into Fannie Mae mortgage-backed securities that we guarantee, which we refer to as Fannie Mae MBS. We also purchase mortgage loans and mortgage-related securities for our mortgage portfolio. We use the term "acquire" in this report to refer to both our guarantees and our purchases of mortgage loans. We obtain funds to support our business activities by issuing a variety of debt securities in the domestic and international capital markets.

We are a corporation chartered by the U.S. Congress. Our conservator, FHFA, is a U.S. government agency. Treasury owns our senior preferred stock and a warrant to purchase 79.9% of our common stock. Moreover, Treasury has made a commitment under a senior preferred stock purchase agreement to provide us with funds under specified conditions and, after 2012, up to a maximum amount, to maintain a positive net worth. The U.S. government does not guarantee our securities or other obligations.

Our common stock is traded in the over-the-counter market and quoted on the OTC Bulletin Board under the symbol "FNMA." Our debt securities are actively traded in the over-the-counter market.

### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The actions we have been taking since 2009 to provide liquidity and support to the market, grow a strong new book of business and minimize losses on loans we acquired prior to 2009 are having a positive impact on our business and our performance:

Financial Results. We experienced significant improvement in our financial results for the second quarter and first half of 2012, as compared with the second quarter and first half of 2011, despite elevated levels of mortgage delinquencies and foreclosures compared with pre-housing crisis levels, as well as home prices that are significantly below their peak in 2006. As described under "Summary of Our Financial Performance for the Second Quarter and

First Half of 2012," we generated positive net worth for the second quarter, paid Treasury its quarterly dividend and were not required to draw funds from Treasury for the quarter under the senior preferred stock purchase agreement. We expect our financial results for 2012 to be significantly better than our 2011 results.

Strong New Book of Business. Single-family loans we have acquired since the beginning of 2009 constituted 59% of our single-family guaranty book of business as of June 30, 2012, while the single-family loans we acquired prior to 2009 constituted 41% of our single-family book of business. We refer to the single-family loans we have acquired since the beginning of 2009 as our "new single-family book of business" and the single-family loans we acquired prior to 2009 as our "legacy book of business." Our new single-family book of business includes loans that are refinancings of loans that were in our legacy book of business. We provide information regarding the higher loan-to-value ("LTV") ratios of these loans in "Credit Risk Characteristics of Loans Acquired under Refi Plus and HARP." As described below in "Our Strong New Book of Business," we expect that our new single-family book of business will be profitable over its lifetime.

Credit Performance. Our single-family serious delinquency rate has steadily declined each quarter since the first quarter of 2010, and was 3.53% as of June 30, 2012, compared with 4.08% as of June 30, 2011. See "Credit Performance" below for additional information about the credit performance of the mortgage loans in our single-family guaranty book of business.

Reducing Credit Losses and Helping Homeowners. We continued to execute on our strategies for reducing credit losses on our legacy book of business, which are described below under "Reducing Credit Losses on Our Legacy Book of Business." As part of our strategy to reduce defaults, we provided approximately 65,200 workouts to help homeowners stay in their homes or otherwise avoid foreclosure in the second quarter of 2012.

Providing Liquidity and Support to the Mortgage Market. We continued to be a leading provider of liquidity to the mortgage market in the second quarter of 2012. As described below under "Providing Liquidity and Support to the Mortgage Market," we remained the largest single issuer of mortgage-related securities in the secondary mortgage market in the second quarter of 2012 and remained a constant source of liquidity in the multifamily market. Helping to Build a New Housing Finance System. We also continued our work during the second quarter of 2012 to help build a new housing finance system, including pursuing the strategic goals identified by our conservator: build a new infrastructure for the secondary mortgage market; gradually contract our dominant presence in the marketplace while simplifying and shrinking our operations; and maintain foreclosure prevention activities and credit availability for new and refinanced mortgages. For more information on our strategic goals, see "Business-Executive Summary-Our Business Objectives and Strategy" in our 2011 Form 10-K and "Executive Compensation—Compensation Discussion and Analysis-2012 Executive Compensation Program-2012 Corporate Performance Objectives" in Amendment No. 1 on Form 10-K/A to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 (the "2011 Form 10-K/A"). Helping Offset the Cost of a Nationwide Payroll Tax Cut. In addition, we are helping offset the cost of the nationwide payroll tax cut. At the direction of FHFA, effective April 1, 2012, we increased the guaranty fee on all single-family residential mortgages delivered to us by 10 basis points. This fee increase was required by the Temporary Payroll Tax Cut Continuation Act of 2011 (the "TCCA") for new loans delivered to us until 2021 and requires that we remit this increase directly to Treasury to help offset the cost of a two-month extension of the payroll tax cut from January 1, 2012 through February 29, 2012. As of June 30, 2012, our liability to Treasury for the remittance of this guaranty fee was \$26 million.

Summary of Our Financial Performance for the Second Quarter and First Half of 2012

We experienced a significant improvement in our financial results for the second quarter and first half of 2012 compared with the second quarter and first half of 2011. Although our financial condition continues to be impacted by elevated mortgage delinquencies and foreclosures as well as home prices that are significantly below their peak in 2006, we saw improvement in the housing market in the first half of 2012. In addition, we have seen further improvement in the performance of our book of business, including lower delinquency rates and higher re-performance rates for our modified loans. These factors have resulted in a reduction in our loan loss reserves and a corresponding recognition of a benefit (rather than a provision) for credit losses for the second quarter and first half of 2012.

Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Quarterly Results

We recognized comprehensive income of \$5.4 billion in the second quarter of 2012, consisting of net income of \$5.1 billion and other comprehensive income of \$328 million. In comparison, our comprehensive loss and net loss for the second quarter of 2011 were \$2.9 billion.

The significant improvement in our second quarter results was primarily due to recognition of a benefit for credit losses of \$3.0 billion in the second quarter of 2012 compared with a provision for credit losses of \$6.5 billion in the second quarter of 2011. This benefit for credit losses was due to a decrease in our total loss reserves driven primarily by an improvement in the profile of our single-family book of business resulting from an increase in actual home prices, including the sales prices of our REO properties. In addition, our single-family serious delinquency rate continued to decline, driven in large part by the quality and growth of our new single-family book of business, our modification efforts and current period foreclosures. Key factors impacting our credit-related results include: Home prices increased by 3.2% in the second quarter of 2012 compared with 1.2% in the second quarter of 2011. We historically see seasonal improvement in home prices in the second quarter; however, the home price increase in the second quarter of 2012 was larger than expected and the largest quarterly increase we have seen in the last few years. Higher home prices decrease the likelihood that loans will default and reduce the amount of credit loss on loans that do default.

Sales prices on dispositions of our REO properties improved in the second quarter of 2012 as a result of strong demand. We received net proceeds from our REO sales equal to 59% of the loans' unpaid principal balance in the second quarter of 2012, compared with 56% in the first quarter of 2012 and 54% in the second quarter of 2011. Our single-family serious delinquency rate declined to 3.53% as of June 30, 2012 from 3.67% as of March 31, 2012 and 4.08% as of June 30, 2011.

In addition to the reasons described above, the cash flow projections on our individually impaired loans improved due to accelerated expected prepayment speeds as a result of lower mortgage interest rates: the average 30-year fixed-rate mortgage interest rate was 3.68% in June 2012, compared with 3.95% in March 2012 and 4.51% in June 2011, according to Freddie Mac's Primary Mortgage Market Survey®. The accelerated expected prepayment speeds reduced the expected lives of modified loans and thus reduced the expected expense related to the concessions we have granted to borrowers.

As discussed below in "Our Expectations Regarding Future Loss Reserves and Credit-Related (Income) Expenses," due to the large size of our guaranty book of business, even small changes in home prices, economic conditions and other variables can result in significant volatility in the amount of credit-related expenses or income we recognize from period to period.

The improvement in our credit results in the second quarter of 2012 was partially offset by fair value losses of \$2.4 billion, compared with fair value losses of \$1.6 billion in the second quarter of 2011. Our fair value losses in the second quarter of 2012 were primarily due to risk management derivative losses on pay-fixed swaps, primarily driven by a decrease in swap rates in the quarter. Derivative instruments are an integral part of how we manage interest rate risk and an inherent part of the cost of funding and hedging our mortgage investments. We expect high levels of period-to-period volatility in our results because our derivatives are recorded at fair value in our financial statements while some of the instruments they hedge are not recorded at fair value in our financial statements. Year-to-Date Results

Our comprehensive income for the first half of 2012 was \$8.5 billion, consisting of net income of \$7.8 billion and other comprehensive income of \$690 million. In comparison, we recognized a comprehensive loss of \$9.2 billion in the first half of 2011, consisting of a net loss of \$9.4 billion and other comprehensive income of \$183 million. The significant improvement in our financial results was primarily due to recognizing a benefit for credit losses of \$1.0 billion in the first half of 2012 compared with a provision of \$17.1 billion in the first half of 2011. The improvement was a result of the same factors that impacted the second quarter of 2012, which are described above. The improvement in our credit results was partially offset by higher fair value losses on risk management derivatives. See "Consolidated Results of Operations" for more information on our results. Net Worth

Our net worth of \$2.8 billion as of June 30, 2012 reflects our comprehensive income of \$8.5 billion offset by our payment to Treasury of \$5.8 billion in senior preferred stock dividends during the first half of 2012.

As a result of our positive net worth as of June 30, 2012, we are not requesting a draw from Treasury under the senior preferred stock purchase agreement. The aggregate liquidation preference on the senior preferred stock remains at \$117.1 billion, which requires an annualized dividend payment of \$11.7 billion. The amount of this dividend payment exceeds our reported annual net income for every year since our inception. As of June 30, 2012, we have paid an aggregate of \$25.6 billion to Treasury in dividends on the senior preferred stock.

Table 1 below displays our Treasury draws and senior preferred stock dividend payments to Treasury since entering conservatorship on September 6, 2008.

-----

Table 1: Treasury Draws and Senior Preferred Stock Dividend Payments

	2008		2009		2010		2011		2012 (fi half)	rst	Cumulati Total	ive
	(Dollar	rs in	billior	ns)								
Treasury draws <sup>(1)(2)</sup>	\$15.2		\$60.0	)	\$15.0	)	\$25.9	)	\$—		\$116.1	l
Senior preferred stock dividends <sup>(3)</sup>			2.5		7.7		9.6		5.8		25.6	
Treasury draws less senior preferred stock dividends	\$15.2		\$57.5	5	\$7.3		\$16.3		\$(5.8	)	\$90.5	
Cumulative percentage of senior preferred stock dividends to Treasury	0.2	%	3.3	%	11.3	%	17.1	%	22.0	%	22.0	%
draws												

(1) Represents the total draws received from Treasury based on our quarterly net worth deficits for the periods presented. Draw requests are funded in the quarter following each quarterly net worth deficit.

(2) Treasury draws do not include the initial \$1.0 billion liquidation preference of the senior preferred stock, for which we did not receive any cash proceeds.

(3) Represents total quarterly cash dividends paid to Treasury during the periods presented based on an annual rate of 10% per year on the aggregate liquidation preference of the senior preferred stock.

### Total Loss Reserves

Our total loss reserves consist of (1) our allowance for loan losses, (2) our allowance for accrued interest receivable, (3) our allowance for preforeclosure property taxes and insurance receivables, and (4) our reserve for guaranty losses. Our total loss reserves, which reflect our estimate of the probable losses we have incurred in our guaranty book of business, including concessions we granted borrowers upon modification of their loans, decreased to \$68.0 billion as of June 30, 2012 from \$74.6 billion as of March 31, 2012 and \$76.9 billion as of December 31, 2011. Our total loss reserve coverage to total nonperforming loans was 28% as of June 30, 2012, compared with 30% as of March 31, 2012 and 31% as of December 31, 2011.

Our Expectations Regarding Future Loss Reserves and Credit-Related (Income) Expenses

We expect the trends of stabilizing home prices and declining single-family serious delinquency rates to continue, although we expect serious delinquency rates to decline at a slower pace than in recent periods. As a result of these trends, we believe that our total loss reserves peaked as of December 31, 2011 and will not increase above \$76.9 billion in the foreseeable future. We also believe that our credit-related expenses will be lower in 2012 than in 2011. Although we expect these positive trends to continue, the amount of credit-related income or expenses we recognize in future periods could vary significantly from period to period and may be affected by many different factors, such as those described below. Moreover, although we believe that our total loss reserves peaked as of December 31, 2011, we expect our loss reserves will remain significantly elevated relative to historical levels for an extended period because (1) we expect future defaults on loans that we acquired prior to 2009 and the resulting charge-offs will occur over a period of years and (2) a significant portion of our reserves represents concessions granted to borrowers upon modification of their loans and will remain in our reserves until the loans are fully repaid or default. Our expectations regarding our future credit-related expenses or income and loss reserves are based on our current expectations and assumptions about many factors that are subject to change. Factors that could result in higher

expectations and assumptions about many factors that are subject to change. Factors that could result in higher credit-related expenses and loss reserves than we currently expect include: a drop in actual or expected home prices; an increase in our serious delinquency rate; an increase in interest rates; an increase in unemployment rates; future legislative or regulatory requirements that have a significant impact on our business, such as a requirement that we implement a principal forgiveness program; future updates to our models relating to our loss reserves, including the assumptions used by these models; future

changes to accounting policies relating to our loss reserves; significant changes in modification and foreclosure activity; changes in borrower behavior, such as an increasing number of underwater borrowers who strategically default on their mortgage loan; failures by our mortgage seller/servicers to fulfill their repurchase obligations in full; failures by our mortgage insurers to fulfill their obligations in full; and many other factors, including those discussed in "Outlook—Factors that Could Cause Actual Results to be Materially Different from Our Estimates and Expectations" in this report and in "Risk Factors" in both this report and in our 2011 Form 10-K. Due to the large size of our guaranty book of business, even small changes in these factors could have a significant impact on our financial results for a particular period.

In addition, in April 2012, FHFA issued an Advisory Bulletin that could have an impact on the amount of our future credit-related expenses or income and loss reserves; however, we are still assessing the impact of the Advisory Bulletin. See "Legislative and Regulatory Developments—FHFA Advisory Bulletin Regarding Framework for Adversely Classifying Loans" for additional information.

Our Strong New Book of Business

Credit Risk Profile of Loans in our New Book of Business Compared with our Legacy Book of Business Since 2009, we have seen the effect of actions we took, beginning in 2008, to significantly strengthen our underwriting and eligibility standards and change our pricing to promote sustainable homeownership and stability in the housing market. While it is too early to know how the single-family loans we have acquired since January 1, 2009 will ultimately perform, given their strong credit risk profile and based on their performance so far, we expect that these loans, in the aggregate, will be profitable over their lifetime, by which we mean that we expect our fee income on these loans to exceed our credit losses and administrative costs for them. In contrast, we expect that the single-family loans we acquired from 2005 through 2008, in the aggregate, will not be profitable over their lifetime. Loans we have acquired since the beginning of 2009 constituted 59% of our single-family guaranty book of business as of June 30, 2012. Our 2005 through 2008 acquisitions, which are becoming a smaller percentage of our single-family guaranty book of business, constituted only 27% of our single-family guaranty book of business as of June 30, 2012.

The 59% of our single-family guaranty book of business that represents our new single-family book of business includes loans that are refinancings of existing Fannie Mae loans under our Refi Plus<sup>TM</sup> initiative. Refi Plus loans constituted 14% of our single-family guaranty book of business as of June 30, 2012. Refi Plus loans include loans that are refinancings under the Administration's Home Affordable Refinance Program ("HARP"). Because HARP and Refi Plus are designed to expand refinancing opportunities for borrowers who may otherwise be unable to refinance their mortgage loans due to a decline in home values, many of the loans we acquire under HARP and some of the loans we acquire under Refi Plus have higher LTV ratios than we would otherwise permit, greater than 100% in some cases. The volume of loans with high LTV ratios that we acquired under Refi Plus and HARP increased in the second guarter of 2012, as we discuss below in "Credit Risk Characteristics of Loans Acquired under Refi Plus and HARP." As a result, loans with LTV ratios greater than 100% constituted 10% of our acquisitions in the second quarter of 2012, compared with 3% in the second quarter of 2011, and the weighted average LTV ratio at origination of loans we acquired in the second quarter of 2012 increased to 76% from 71% in the second quarter of 2011. Our expectations regarding the ultimate performance of our loans are based on numerous expectations and assumptions, including those relating to expected changes in regional and national home prices, borrower behavior, public policy and other macroeconomic factors. If future conditions are more unfavorable than our expectations, the loans we acquired since the beginning of 2009 could become unprofitable. For example, home prices are a key factor affecting the profitability we expect. When home prices decline, the LTV ratios on our loans increase, and both the probability of default and the estimated severity of loss increase. If home prices decline significantly from June 2012 levels, the loans we acquired since the beginning of 2009 could become unprofitable. See "Outlook—Home Prices" for our current expectations regarding changes in home prices. Also see "Outlook-Factors that Could Cause Actual Results to be Materially Different from Our Estimates and Expectations" in this report and "Risk Factors" in both this report and our 2011 Form 10-K for a discussion of factors that could cause our expectations regarding the performance of the loans in our new single-family book of business to change.

Table 2 below displays information regarding the credit characteristics of the loans in our single-family conventional guaranty book of business as of June 30, 2012 by acquisition period, which illustrates the improvement in the credit

risk profile of loans we acquired beginning in 2009 compared with loans we acquired in 2005 through 2008.

	As of June 30,	2012	,	5 1			
	% of						
	Single-Family	Current		Current			
	Conventional	Estimated		Mark-to-Ma	Serious Delinquency Rate <sup>(3)</sup>		
	Guaranty Book	Mark-to-Mar	ket	LTV Ratio >100% <sup>(1)(2)</sup>			
	of Business <sup>(1)</sup>	LTV Ratio <sup>(1)</sup>					
Year of Acquisition:							
New Single-Family Book of Business:							
2012	13 %	71	%	6	%	0.01	%
2011	18	69		3		0.14	
2010	15	70		5		0.42	
2009	13	72		6		0.76	
Total New Single-Family Book of Business	59	70		5		0.33	
Legacy Book of Business:							
2005-2008	27	101		44		9.38	
2004 and prior	14	59		8		3.37	
Total Single-Family Book of Business	100 %	77	%	16	%	3.53	%

Table 2: Selected Credit Characteristics of Single-Family Conventional Loans Held, by Acquisition Period

Calculated based on the aggregate unpaid principal balance of single-family loans for each category divided by the <sup>(1)</sup> aggregate unpaid principal balance of loans in our single-family conventional guaranty book of business as of June

30, 2012.

The majority of loans in our new single-family book of business as of June 30, 2012 with mark-to-market LTV (2) ratios over 100% were loans acquired under our Refi Plus initiative. See "Credit Risk Characteristics of Loans

(2) Acquired under Refi Plus and HARP" for more information on our recent acquisitions of loans with high LTV ratios.

A substantial portion of the loans acquired in 2012 were originated so recently that they could not yet have become (3) seriously delinquent. The serious delinquency rates for loans acquired in more recent years will be higher after the

loans have aged, but we do not expect them to approach the levels of the June 30, 2012 serious delinquency rates of loans in our legacy book of business.

The single-family loans that we acquired in the first half of 2012 had a weighted average FICO credit score at origination of 762 and an average original LTV ratio of 73%. Of the single-family loans we acquired in the first half of 2012, approximately 14% had an original LTV ratio greater than 90%, and approximately 1% had a FICO credit score at origination of less than 620. The average original LTV ratio of single-family loans we acquired in the first six months of 2012, excluding HARP loans, was 68%, compared with 105% for HARP loans. See Table 2 in our 2011 Form 10-K for information regarding the credit risk profile of the single-family conventional loans we acquired during specified previous periods.

Credit Risk Characteristics of Loans Acquired under Refi Plus and HARP

Since 2009, our acquisitions have included a significant number of loans refinanced under HARP. We acquire HARP loans under Refi Plus, which provides expanded refinance opportunities for eligible Fannie Mae borrowers. HARP loans, which have LTV ratios at origination in excess of 80%, must be secured by the borrower's primary residence. In addition, a HARP loan cannot (1) be an adjustable-rate mortgage loan, or ARM, if the initial fixed period is less than five years; (2) have an interest-only feature, which permits the payment of interest without a payment of principal; (3) be a balloon mortgage loan; or (4) have the potential for negative amortization. Under Refi Plus, we also acquire loans with LTV ratios at origination greater than 80% that do not meet the criteria for HARP because they are not secured by the borrower's primary residence, as well as loans that have LTV ratios at origination of less than 80%. Many of the loans we acquire under HARP and some of the loans we acquire under Refi Plus have higher LTV ratios than we would otherwise permit. Some borrowers for these loans also have lower FICO credit scores than we would otherwise require.

Loans we acquire under Refi Plus and HARP represent refinancings of loans that are already in our guaranty book of business. The credit risk associated with loans we acquire under Refi Plus and HARP essentially replaces the credit risk that we already held prior to the refinancing. Loans we acquire under Refi Plus and HARP have higher serious delinquency rates and may not perform as well as the other loans we have acquired since the beginning of 2009. However, we expect these loans will perform better than the loans they replace because Refi Plus and HARP loans should reduce the borrowers' monthly payments or provide more stable terms than the borrowers' old loans (for example, by refinancing into a mortgage with a fixed interest rate instead of an adjustable rate).

Loans we acquired under Refi Plus represented 23% of our new single-family book of business as of June 30, 2012 and had a serious delinquency rate of 0.60%, compared with a serious delinquency rate for our new single-family book of business overall of 0.33%. These Refi Plus loans include the loans we acquired under HARP, which represented 10% of our new single-family book of business as of June 30, 2012 and had a serious delinquency rate of 1.06%. See "Table 35: Selected Credit Characteristics of Single-Family Conventional Loans Acquired under HARP and Refi Plus" for more information on the serious delinquency rates and mark-to-market LTV ratios as of June 30, 2012 of loans in our new single-family book of business overall and of loans we acquired under Refi Plus and HARP. In the second quarter of 2012, the volume of loans we acquired under HARP and Refi Plus increased significantly from the first quarter as changes designed to make the benefits of HARP available to more borrowers were implemented. The approximately 128,000 loans we acquired under HARP in the second quarter of 2012 constituted 15% of our single-family acquisitions for the period, measured by unpaid principal balance, compared with 10% of single-family acquisitions in the first quarter of 2012. These loans were included in the approximately 247,000 loans we acquired under Refi Plus in the second quarter of 2012, which constituted 27% of our single-family acquisitions for the period, measured by unpaid principal balance, descend acquisitions in the first quarter of 2012.

As a result of recently implemented changes to HARP, we expect that if interest rates remain low we will continue to acquire a high volume of refinancings under HARP. In particular, we expect to acquire many refinancings with LTV ratios greater than 125%, because borrowers were unable to refinance loans with LTV ratios greater than 125% in large numbers until changes to HARP were fully implemented in the second quarter of 2012. We expect the elevated volume of HARP refinancings will decrease when interest rates rise sufficiently or when there is no longer a large population of borrowers with loans that have high LTV ratios who would benefit from refinancing. HARP is scheduled to end in December 2013.

Factors that May Affect the Credit Risk Profile and Performance of Loans in our New Book of Business in the Future Whether the loans we acquire in the future will exhibit an overall credit profile similar to our more recent acquisitions will depend on a number of factors, including our future pricing and eligibility standards and those of mortgage insurers and the Federal Housing Administration ("FHA"), the percentage of loan originations representing refinancings, our future objectives, government policy, market and competitive conditions, and the volume and characteristics of loans we acquire under Refi Plus and HARP.

See "Business—Executive Summary—Our Strong New Book of Business and Expected Losses on our Legacy Book of Business—Building a Strong New Single-Family Book of Business" in our 2011 Form 10-K for a more detailed discussion of the changes in the credit profile of our single-family acquisitions. In addition, see "MD&A—Risk Management—Credit Risk Management—Single-Family Mortgage Credit Risk Management" for more detail regarding the credit risk characteristics of our single-family guaranty book of business.

## Credit Performance

Table 3 presents information for each of the last six quarters about the credit performance of mortgage loans in our single-family guaranty book of business and our workouts. The term "workouts" refers to home retention solutions and foreclosure alternatives. The workout information in Table 3 does not reflect repayment plans and forbearances that have been initiated but not completed, nor does it reflect trial modifications that have not become permanent.

Table 3: Credit Statistics, Single-Family Guaranty Book of Business <sup>(1)</sup> 20122011																
	Q2 YTD (Dollars in	n m	Q2		Q1		Full Year		Q4		Q3		Q2		Q1	
As of the end																
of each period: Serious																
delinquency rate <sup>(2)</sup>	3.53	%	3.53	%	3.67	%	3.91	%	3.91	%	4.00	%	4.08	%	4.27	%
Seriously	(22.052		(22.052		<b>(5</b> 0.019		600.011		600.011		700 047		720 772		767 161	
delinquent loan	.622,052		622,052		650,918		690,911		690,911		708,847		729,772		767,161	
Nonperforming	,		t t 10 170		+ = + = 0.01		te (0.000		t t ( ) 0 0 0		* * * * * * * * *		t - 1 - 0 10		+ - 10 111	
loans <sup>(3)</sup>	\$240,472		\$240,472		\$243,981		\$248,379		\$248,379		\$248,134		\$245,848		\$248,444	
Foreclosed																I
property																
inventory:																
Number of	109,266		109,266		114,157		118,528		118,528		122,616		135,719		153,224	
properties <sup>(4)</sup> Carrying value	\$9.421		\$9,421		\$9,721		\$9,692		\$9,692		\$11,039		\$12,480		\$14,086	
Combined loss	φ,,,,															
reserves <sup>(5)</sup>	\$63,365		\$63,365		\$69,633		\$71,512		\$71,512		\$70,741		\$68,887		\$66,240	
Total loss reserves <sup>(6)</sup>	\$66,694		\$66,694		\$73,119		\$75,264		\$75,264		\$73,973		\$73,116		\$70,466	
During the																
period:																
Foreclosed																
property																
(number of properties):																
Acquisitions <sup>(4)</sup>	91.483		43,783		47,700		199,696		47,256		45,194		53,697		53,549	
	(100,745		(48,674)		(52,071		(243,657)	)	(51,344	)		)	(71,202)	)		)
Credit-related	()	/	( - , - ,		(- ,- ,	,	(		( )- )	/	(	,	(, , ,	/	(	Í
(income) expenses <sup>(7)</sup>	\$(630	)	\$(3,015)	)	\$2,385		\$27,218		\$5,397		\$4,782		\$5,933		\$11,106	
Credit losses <sup>(8)</sup>	\$8 733		\$3,778		\$4,955		\$18,346		\$4,548		\$4,384		\$3,810		\$5,604	
REO net sales	$\psi 0, i \ge 2$		$\psi_{J,IIU}$		Ψ <b>π,200</b>		ψ10,510		Ψ-1,5-10		ψτ,501		$\psi_{\mathcal{I}}, \psi_{\mathcal{I}}$		$\psi_{2}, \psi_{3}$	
prices to UPB <sup>(9)</sup>	58	%	59	%	56	%	54	%	55	%	54	%	54	%	53	%
Loan workout																
activity																
(number of																
loans):																
Home																
retention loan	96,761		41,226		55,535		248,658		60,453		68,227		59,019		60,959	ļ
workouts <sup>(10)</sup>																
Short sales and			24.012		22 212		70.022		22 221		10.206		01 176		17 120	
deeds-in-lieu of foreclosure	46,226		24,013		22,213		79,833		22,231		19,306		21,176		17,120	

Total loan workouts	142,987	65,239	77,748	328,491	82,684	87,533	80,195	78,079
Loan workou	its							
as a percenta	ge							
of delinquent	26.45	% 24.14	% 28.85	% 27.05	% 27.24	% 28.39	% 25.71	% 25.01
loans in our	20.43	/0 24.14	70 20.05	10 21.05	10 21.24	10 20.57	10 23.11	70 25.01
guaranty boo	k							
of business <sup>(1)</sup>	1)							

Our single-family guaranty book of business consists of (a) single-family mortgage loans held in our mortgage (1) portfolio, (b) single-family mortgage loans underlying Fannie Mae MBS, and (c) other credit enhancements that we provide on single-family mortgage assets, such as long-term standby commitments. It excludes non-Fannie Mae mortgage-related securities held in our mortgage portfolio for which we do not provide a guaranty. Calculated based on the number of single-family conventional loans that are 90 days or more past due and loans

- (2) that have been referred to foreclosure but not yet foreclosed upon, divided by the number of loans in our single-family conventional guaranty book of business. We include all of the single-family conventional loans that we own and those that back Fannie Mae MBS in the calculation of the single-family serious delinquency rate. Represents the total amount of nonperforming loans including troubled debt restructurings. A troubled debt
- (3) restructuring is a restructuring of a mortgage loan in which a concession is granted to a borrower experiencing financial difficulty. We generally classify loans as nonperforming when the payment of principal or interest on the loan is 60 days or more past due.
- (4) Includes held for use properties, which are reported in our condensed consolidated balance sheets as a component of "Other assets" and acquisitions through deeds-in-lieu of foreclosure.

Consists of the allowance for loan losses for loans recognized in our condensed consolidated balance sheets and the reserve for guaranty losses related to both single-family loans backing Fannie Mae MBS that we do not consolidate

- <sup>(5)</sup> in our condensed consolidated balance sheets and single-family loans that we have guaranteed under long-term standby commitments. For additional information on the change in our loss reserves see "Consolidated Results of Operations—Credit-Related (Income) Expenses—(Benefit) Provision for Credit Losses."
- (6) Consists of (a) the combined loss reserves, (b) allowance for accrued interest receivable, and (c) allowance for preforeclosure property taxes and insurance receivables.
- <sup>(7)</sup> Consists of (a) the (benefit) provision for credit losses and (b) foreclosed property (income) expense.
- (8) Consists of (a) charge-offs, net of recoveries and (b) foreclosed property expense, adjusted to exclude the impact of fair value losses resulting from credit-impaired loans acquired from MBS trusts.

8

%

Calculated as the amount of sale proceeds received on disposition of REO properties during the respective (9) quarterly period, excluding those subject to repurchase requests made to our seller/servicers, divided by the

(9) qualitary period, excluding mose subject to repurchase requests made to our sener/servicers, divided by the aggregate UPB of the related loans at the time of foreclosure. Net sales price represents the contract sale price less selling costs for the property and other charges paid by the seller at closing.

Consists of (a) modifications, which do not include trial modifications or repayment plans or forbearances that have been initiated but not completed and (b) repayment plans and forbearances completed. See "Table 39:

- (10) Statistics on Single-Family Loan Workouts" in "Risk Management—Credit Risk Management—Single-Family Mortgage Credit Risk Management—Problem Loan Management—Loan Workout Metrics" for additional information on our various types of loan workouts.
- (11) Calculated based on annualized problem loan workouts during the period as a percentage of delinquent loans in our single-family guaranty book of business as of the end of the period.

Our single-family serious delinquency rate has decreased each quarter since the first quarter of 2010. The decrease in our serious delinquency rate is primarily the result of home retention solutions, foreclosure alternatives and completed foreclosures, as well as our acquisition of loans with stronger credit profiles since the beginning of 2009, as these loans are now 59% of our single-family guaranty book of business, resulting in a smaller percentage of our loans becoming seriously delinquent.

Although our single-family serious delinquency rate has decreased significantly since the first quarter of 2010, our serious delinquency rate and the period of time that loans remain seriously delinquent has been negatively affected in recent periods by the increase in the average number of days it is taking to complete a foreclosure. As described in "Business—Executive Summary—Reducing Credit Losses on Our Legacy Book of Business—Managing Timelines for Workouts and Foreclosures" in our 2011 Form 10-K, high levels of foreclosures, continuing issues in the servicer foreclosure process and changing legislative, regulatory and judicial requirements have lengthened the time it takes to foreclose on a mortgage loan in many states. We expect serious delinquency rates will continue to be affected in the future by home price changes, changes in other macroeconomic conditions, the length of the foreclosure process and the volume of loan modifications. We expect the number of our single-family loans that are seriously delinquent to remain well above pre-2008 levels for years. In addition, we anticipate that it will take a significant amount of time before our REO inventory is reduced to pre-2008 levels.

We provide additional information on our credit-related expenses or income in "Consolidated Results of Operations—Credit-Related (Income) Expenses" and on the credit performance of mortgage loans in our single-family book of business and our loan workouts in "Risk Management—Credit Risk Management—Single-Family Mortgage Credit Risk Management."

Reducing Credit Losses on Our Legacy Book of Business

To reduce the credit losses we ultimately incur on our legacy book of business, we have been focusing our efforts on the following strategies:

Helping underwater and other eligible Fannie Mae borrowers refinance to more sustainable loans, including loans that significantly reduce their monthly payments, through HARP and our Refi Plus initiative;

Reducing defaults by offering borrowers solutions that significantly reduce their monthly payments and enable them to stay in their homes ("home retention solutions");

Pursuing "foreclosure alternatives," which help borrowers avoid foreclosure and reduce the severity of the losses we incur overall;

Efficiently managing timelines for home retention solutions, foreclosure alternatives and foreclosures;

Improving servicing standards and servicers' execution and consistency;

Managing our REO inventory to minimize costs and maximize sales proceeds; and

Pursuing contractual remedies from lenders, servicers and providers of credit enhancement.

See "Business—Executive Summary—Reducing Credit Losses on our Legacy Book of Business" in our 2011 Form 10-K, as well as "Risk Management—Credit Risk Management—Single-Family Mortgage Credit Risk Management" in both this report and our 2011 Form 10-K, for more information on the strategies and actions we are taking to minimize our credit losses.

Providing Liquidity and Support to the Mortgage Market

Our Liquidity and Support Activities

We provide liquidity and support to the U.S. mortgage market in a number of important ways:

We serve as a stable source of liquidity for purchases of homes and financing of multifamily rental housing, as well

as for refinancing existing mortgages. We provided approximately \$2.7 trillion in liquidity to the mortgage market from January 1, 2009 through June 30, 2012 through our purchases and guarantees of loans, which enabled borrowers to complete 8.1 million mortgage refinancings and 2.2 million home purchases, and provided financing for 1.3 million units of multifamily housing.

We have strengthened our underwriting and eligibility standards to support sustainable homeownership. As a result, our new single-family book of business has a strong credit risk profile. Our support enables borrowers to have access to a variety of conforming mortgage products, including long-term, fixed-rate mortgages, such as the prepayable 30-year fixed-rate mortgage that protects homeowners from interest rate swings.

We helped 1.1 million homeowners stay in their homes or otherwise avoid foreclosure from January 1, 2009 through June 30, 2012, which helped to support neighborhoods, home prices and the housing market. Moreover, borrowers' ability to pay their modified loans has improved in recent periods as we have enhanced the structure of our modifications. One year after modification, 75% of the modifications we made in the second quarter of 2011 were current or paid off, compared with 70% of the modifications we made in the second quarter of 2010. See "Table 40: Percentage of Loan Modifications That Were Current or Paid Off at One and Two Years Post-Modification" for more information on the performance of our modifications.

We helped borrowers refinance loans through Refi Plus. From April 1, 2009, the date we began accepting delivery of Refi Plus loans, through June 30, 2012, we have acquired approximately 2.2 million loans refinanced under our Refi Plus initiative. Refinancings delivered to us through Refi Plus in the second quarter of 2012 reduced borrowers' monthly mortgage payments by an average of \$208. Some borrowers' monthly payments increased as they took advantage of the ability to refinance through Refi Plus to reduce the term of their loan, to switch from an adjustable-rate mortgage to a fixed-rate mortgage or to switch from an interest-only mortgage to a fully amortizing mortgage.

We support affordability in the multifamily rental market. Over 85% of the multifamily units we financed from 2009 through 2011 were affordable to families earning at or below the median income in their area.

In addition to purchasing and guaranteeing loans, we provide funds to the mortgage market through short-term financing and other activities. These activities are described in more detail in our 2011 Form 10-K in

"Business—Business Segments—Capital Markets."

2012 Acquisitions and Market Share

In the first half of 2012, we purchased or guaranteed approximately \$416 billion in loans, measured by unpaid principal balance, which includes \$25.5 billion in delinquent loans we purchased from our single-family MBS trusts. These activities enabled our lender customers to finance approximately 1.8 million single-family conventional loans and loans for approximately 236,000 units in multifamily properties during the first half of 2012.

We remained the largest single issuer of mortgage-related securities in the secondary market during the second quarter of 2012, with an estimated market share of new single-family mortgage-related securities issuances of 46%. Our estimated market share of new single-family mortgage-related securities issuances was 51% in the first quarter of 2012 and 43% in the second quarter of 2011.

We remained a constant source of liquidity in the multifamily market. We owned or guaranteed approximately 21% of the outstanding debt on multifamily properties as of March 31, 2012 (the latest date for which information was available).

Housing and Mortgage Market and Economic Conditions

Economic growth slowed in the second quarter of 2012 compared with the first quarter of 2012. The inflation-adjusted U.S. gross domestic product, or GDP, rose by 1.5% on an annualized basis in the second quarter of 2012, according to the Bureau of Economic Analysis advance estimate, compared with an increase of 2.0% in the first quarter of 2012. Growth in employment also slowed during the second quarter of 2012, as the overall economy gained an estimated 219,000 jobs, compared with 677,000 jobs in the first quarter, according to the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. Over the past 12 months ending in June 2012, the economy created 1.7 million non-farm jobs. The unemployment rate was 8.2% in June 2012 and in March 2012. In July 2012, non-farm payrolls strengthened, rising by 163,000 during the month, but the unemployment rate rose to 8.3%.

Despite the slowdown in economic growth and continued high unemployment, housing activity improved or remained mostly unchanged during the second quarter of 2012. Total existing home sales averaged 4.5 million units annualized

in the second quarter of 2012, a 0.7% decrease from the first quarter of 2012, according to data available through June 2012 from the National Association of REALTORS<sup>®</sup>. Sales of foreclosed homes and preforeclosure, or "short," sales (together, "distressed sales") accounted for 25% of existing home sales in June 2012, compared with 29% in March 2012 and 30% in June 2011.

New single-family home sales strengthened during the quarter, averaging an annualized rate of 363,000 units, a 3.1% increase from the prior quarter.

The overall mortgage market serious delinquency rate, which has trended down since peaking in the fourth quarter of 2009, remained high at 7.4% as of March 31, 2012, according to the Mortgage Bankers Association National Delinquency Survey. According to the National Association of REALTORS<sup>®</sup> July 2012 Existing Home Sales Report, the months' supply of existing unsold homes was 6.6 months as of June 30, 2012, compared with 6.2 months as of March 31, 2012 and 9.1 months as of June 30, 2011. Properties that are vacant and held off the market, combined with a portion of properties backing seriously delinquent mortgages not currently listed for sale, represent a significant shadow inventory putting downward pressure on home prices.

After declining by an estimated 23.6% from their peak in third quarter of 2006 to the first quarter of 2012, we estimate that home prices on a national basis increased by 3.2% in the second quarter of 2012. Our home price estimates are based on preliminary data and are subject to change as additional data become available. The decline in home prices over the past several years has left many homeowners with "negative equity" in their homes, which means their principal mortgage balance exceeds the current market value of their home. This increases the likelihood that borrowers will walk away from their mortgage obligations and that the loans will become delinquent and proceed to foreclosure. According to CoreLogic, approximately 11 million, or 24%, of all residential properties with mortgages were in a negative equity position at the end of the first quarter of 2012, the most recent date for which information is available. This potential supply also weighs on the supply/demand balance putting downward pressure on home prices. See "Risk Factors" in our 2011 Form 10-K for a description of risks to our business associated with the weak economy and housing market.

During the second quarter of 2012, the multifamily sector continued expanding due to ongoing rental demand and limited new apartment supply. Preliminary third-party data suggest that the national vacancy rate for professionally managed, institutional investment-type apartment properties decreased to an estimated 5.75% as of June 30, 2012, compared with an estimated 6.0% as of March 31, 2012 and an estimated 6.8% as of June 30, 2011. In addition, asking rents increased in the second quarter of 2012 by an estimated 1.0% on a national basis. As indicated by data from Axiometrics, multifamily concession rates, the rental discount rate as a percentage of asking rents, decreased during the second quarter of 2012 to -2.3% as of June 30, 2012, compared with -3.0% as of March 31, 2012 and -3.3% as of December 31, 2011. The increase in rental demand is also reflected in an estimated positive net absorption, or net change in the number of occupied rental units after deducting new supply added during the second quarter of 2012, of more than 25,000 units during the second quarter, according to preliminary data from Reis, Inc. Net absorption during second quarter declined from more than 36,000 units during the first quarter of 2012, even though the spring and summer months typically experience more net absorption than other times of the year. We believe the slowing in net absorption during the second quarter of 2012 is likely due to property owners responding to increased demand by pursuing rent increases rather than increasing occupancy.

Multifamily construction starts were at an annualized rate of over 220,000 units as of June 30, 2012, based on data from the Census Bureau. Although the number of completions expected to occur in 2012 and early 2013 remains below historical norms, based on recent trends, we expect that multifamily starts could return to their historical norm of an annualized rate of approximately 245,000 units started by as early as the end of this year. There is a potential for over-supply occurring over the next 24 months in a limited number of localized areas. Nevertheless, the overall national rental market's pace of supply is expected to remain constrained, based on expected construction completions, annualized obsolescence and anticipated household formation trends. Outlook

Overall Market Conditions. We expect mortgage loan delinquencies and foreclosures to remain at high levels in the second half of 2012. The high level of delinquent mortgage loans has resulted in high levels of foreclosures, which have slowed the return of housing inventory to pre-housing crisis levels. Despite these pressures, we saw improvement in the housing market in the first half of 2012.

Although our results for the second half of 2012 may not be as strong as our results for the first half, we expect our financial results for 2012 overall to be significantly better than our 2011 results. We expect that single-family default and severity rates will remain high in the second half of 2012 compared to pre-housing crisis levels, but will be lower in 2012 overall than in 2011 overall. Despite signs of multifamily sector improvement at the national level, we expect

multifamily foreclosures in 2012 to remain generally commensurate with 2011 levels as certain local markets and properties continue to exhibit weak fundamentals. Conditions may worsen if the unemployment rate increases on either a national or regional basis.

As a result of the recently implemented changes to HARP, we expect that if interest rates remain low we will continue to acquire a high volume of refinancings under HARP. In particular, we expect to acquire many refinancings with LTV ratios greater than 125%, because borrowers were unable to refinance loans with LTV ratios greater than 125% in large numbers

until changes to HARP were fully implemented in the second quarter of 2012. We expect the elevated volume of HARP refinancings will decrease when interest rates rise sufficiently or when there is no longer a large population of borrowers with loans that have high LTV ratios who would benefit from refinancing. Overall, we now expect the volume of refinancings we acquire in 2012 will be similar to or greater than the volume of refinancings we acquired in 2011. For a description of the recently implemented changes to HARP, see "Business—Making Home Affordable Program—Changes to the Home Affordable Refinance Program" in our 2011 Form 10-K. Our loan acquisitions have been lower in 2012 than they otherwise could have been as a result of the decrease in the maximum size of loans we may acquire in specified high-cost areas from \$729,750 to \$625,500, which went into effect in the fourth quarter of 2011. As a result of these factors, we expect the volume of our loan acquisitions in 2012 will be similar to or greater than the volume of our loan acquisitions in 2011.

We estimate that total originations in the U.S. single-family mortgage market in 2012 will increase from 2011 levels by approximately 9% from an estimated \$1.36 trillion to an estimated \$1.49 trillion, and that the amount of originations in the U.S. single-family mortgage market that are refinancings will increase from approximately \$900 billion in 2011 to approximately \$980 billion in 2012. Refinancings comprised approximately 78% of our single-family business volume in the second quarter of 2012, compared with approximately 83% in the first quarter of 2012, and approximately 76% for all of 2011.

Home Prices. After declining by an estimated 23.6% from their peak in the third quarter of 2006 to the first quarter of 2012, we estimate that home prices on a national basis increased by 3.2% in the second quarter of 2012. Although we believe home prices may decline again through early 2013, we expect that, if current market trends continue, home prices will not decline on a national basis below their first quarter 2012 levels. Future home price changes may be very different from our estimates as a result of significant inherent uncertainty in the current market environment, including uncertainty about the effect of actions the federal government has taken and may take with respect to tax policies, spending cuts, mortgage finance programs and policies, and housing finance reform; the management of the Federal Reserve's MBS holdings; the impact of those actions on and changes generally in unemployment and the general economic and interest rate environment; and the impact on the U.S. economy of the European debt crisis. Because of these uncertainties, the actual home price changes we experience may differ significantly from these estimates. We also expect significant regional variation in home price changes and the timing of home price stabilization. Our estimates of home price changes are based on our home price index, which is calculated differently from the S&P/Case-Shiller U.S. National Home Price Index and therefore results in different percentages for comparable changes. Our estimated 23.6% peak-to-trough decline in home prices on a national basis corresponds to a 35.1% decline according to the S&P/Case-Shiller's National Home Price Index.

Credit-Related Income or Expenses and Credit Losses. Our credit-related income or expenses, which include our benefit or provision for credit losses, reflect our recognition of losses on our loans. Through our provision for credit losses, we recognize credit-related expenses on loans in the period in which we determine that we have incurred a probable loss on the loans as of the end of the period, or in which we have granted concessions to the borrowers. Accordingly, our credit-related income or expenses in each period are affected by changes in actual and expected home prices, borrower payment behavior, the types and volumes of loss mitigation activities and foreclosures we complete, and estimated recoveries from our lender and mortgage insurer counterparties. Our credit losses, which include our charge-offs, net of recoveries, reflect our realization of losses on our loans. We realize losses on loans, through our charge-offs, when foreclosure sales are completed or when we accept short sales or deeds-in-lieu of foreclosure.

We expect that our credit-related expenses for all of 2012 will be lower than for 2011. In addition, we expect our credit losses to remain high in 2012 relative to pre-housing crisis levels. To the extent delays in foreclosures continue in 2012, our realization of some credit losses will be delayed. We further describe our outlook for credit-related expenses in "Summary of Our Financial Performance for the Second Quarter and First Half of 2012—Our Expectations Regarding Future Loss Reserves and Credit-Related Expenses (Income)."

Uncertainty Regarding our Future Status and Ability to Pay Dividends to Treasury. There is significant uncertainty in the current market environment, and any changes in the trends in macroeconomic factors that we currently anticipate, such as home prices and unemployment, may cause our future credit-related expenses or income and credit losses to vary significantly from our current expectations. The dividend payments we make on Treasury's senior preferred stock

are substantial, currently \$11.7 billion per year. We have paid over \$25 billion in dividends to Treasury since entering into conservatorship in 2008. Although we may experience period-to-period volatility in earnings and comprehensive income, we do not expect to generate net income or comprehensive income in excess of our annual dividend obligation to Treasury over the long term. However, we expect that in some future quarters we will be able to generate comprehensive income sufficient to cover at least a portion of our quarterly dividend payment to Treasury. We also expect that, over time, our dividend obligation to Treasury will increasingly drive our future draws under the senior preferred stock purchase agreement.

Receiving additional draws under the senior preferred stock purchase agreement would further increase the dividends we owe to Treasury on the senior preferred stock.

In addition, there is significant uncertainty regarding the future of our company, including how long the company will continue to be in its current form, the extent of our role in the market, what form we will have, and what ownership interest, if any, our current common and preferred stockholders will hold in us after the conservatorship is terminated. We expect this uncertainty to continue. In February 2011, Treasury and the Department of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD") released a report to Congress on reforming America's housing finance market. The report states that the Administration will work with FHFA to determine the best way to responsibly wind down both Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. The report emphasizes the importance of providing the necessary financial support to Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac during the transition period. In February 2012, Treasury Secretary Geithner stated that the Administration intended to release new details around approaches to housing finance reform, including winding down Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, and to work with Congressional leaders to explore options for legislation, but that he does not expect housing finance reform legislation to be enacted in 2012.

We cannot predict the prospects for the enactment, timing or content of legislative proposals regarding long-term reform of the GSEs. See "Legislative and Regulatory Developments" in this report and "Business—Legislative and Regulatory Developments" in our 2011 Form 10-K for discussions of recent legislative reform of the financial services industry and proposals for GSE reform that could affect our business. See "Risk Factors" in our 2011 Form 10-K for a discussion of the risks to our business relating to the uncertain future of our company.

Factors that Could Cause Actual Results to be Materially Different from Our Estimates and Expectations. We present a number of estimates and expectations in this executive summary, including estimates and expectations regarding our future financial results, the profitability of single-family loans we have acquired, our single-family credit losses, our loss reserves and credit-related expenses, and our draws from and dividends to be paid to Treasury. These estimates and expectations are forward-looking statements based on our current assumptions regarding numerous factors, including future home prices and the future performance of our loans. Our future estimates of our performance, as well as the actual amounts, may differ materially from our current estimates and expectations as a result of: the timing and level of, as well as regional variation in, home price changes; changes in interest rates, unemployment rates and other macroeconomic variables; government policy; the length of time it takes to complete foreclosures; changes in generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP"); credit availability; borrower behavior; the volume of loans we modify; the effectiveness of our loss mitigation strategies, management of our REO inventory and pursuit of contractual remedies; whether our counterparties meet their obligations in full; changes in the fair value of our assets and liabilities; impairments of our assets; and many other factors, including those discussed in "Risk Factors," "Forward-Looking Statements" and elsewhere in this report, and in "Risk Factors" in our 2011 Form 10-K. For example, if the economy were to enter a deep recession, we would expect actual outcomes to differ substantially from our current expectations.

### LEGISLATIVE AND REGULATORY DEVELOPMENTS

The information in this section updates and supplements information regarding legislative and regulatory developments set forth in "Business—Legislative and Regulatory Developments" and "Business—Our Charter and Regulation of Our Activities" in our 2011 Form 10-K and in "MD&A—Legislative and Regulatory Developments" in our quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 ("First Quarter 2012 Form 10-Q"). Also see "Risk Factors" in this report and our 2011 Form 10-K for a discussion of risks relating to legislative and regulatory matters. GSE Reform

Policymakers and others have focused significant attention in recent years on how to reform the nation's housing finance system, including what role, if any, the GSEs should play. The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the "Dodd-Frank Act"), which was signed into law in July 2010, calls for enactment of meaningful structural reforms of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. The Dodd-Frank Act also required the Treasury Secretary to submit a report to Congress with recommendations for ending the conservatorships of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. In February 2011, Treasury and HUD released their report to Congress on reforming America's housing finance market. The report provides that the Administration will work with FHFA to determine the best way to responsibly reduce Fannie Mae's and Freddie Mac's role in the market and ultimately wind down both institutions.

The report identifies a number of policy steps that could be used to wind down Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, reduce the government's role in housing finance and help bring private capital back to the mortgage market. These steps include

(1) increasing guaranty fees, (2) gradually increasing the level of required down payments so that any mortgages insured by Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac eventually have at least a 10% down payment, (3) reducing conforming loan limits to those established in the Federal Housing Finance Regulatory Reform Act of 2008 (the "2008 Reform Act"), (4) encouraging Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac to pursue additional credit loss protection and (5) reducing Fannie Mae's and Freddie Mac's portfolios, consistent with Treasury's senior preferred stock purchase agreements with the companies.

In addition, the report outlines three potential options for a new long-term structure for the housing finance system following the wind-down of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. The first option would privatize housing finance almost entirely. The second option would add a government guaranty mechanism that could scale up during times of crisis. The third option would involve the government offering catastrophic reinsurance behind private mortgage guarantors. Each of these options assumes the continued presence of programs operated by FHA, the Department of Agriculture and the Veterans Administration to assist targeted groups of borrowers. The report does not state whether or how the existing infrastructure or human capital of Fannie Mae may be used in the establishment of such a reformed system. The report emphasizes the importance of proceeding with a careful transition plan and providing the necessary financial support to Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac during the transition period. A copy of the report can be found on the Housing Finance Reform section of Treasury's Web site, www.Treasury.gov. We are providing Treasury's Web site address solely for your information, and information appearing on Treasury's Web site is not incorporated into this quarterly report on Form 10-Q.

In February 2012, Treasury Secretary Geithner stated that the Administration intended to release new details around approaches to housing finance reform, including winding down Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, and to work with Congressional leaders to explore options for legislation, but that he does not expect housing finance reform legislation to be enacted in 2012.

During 2011, Congress held hearings on the future status of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, and members of Congress offered legislative proposals relating to the future status of the GSEs. We expect additional hearings on GSE reform and additional legislation to be considered and proposals to be discussed, including proposals that would result in a substantial change to our business structure or that involve Fannie Mae's liquidation or dissolution. Several bills have been introduced that would place the GSEs into receivership after a period of time and either grant federal charters to new entities to engage in activities similar to those currently engaged in by the GSEs or leave secondary mortgage market activities to entities in the private sector. For example, legislation has been introduced in both the House of Representatives and the Senate that would require FHFA to make a determination within two years of enactment regarding whether the GSEs were financially viable and, if the GSEs were determined not to be financially viable, to place them into receivership. As drafted, these bills may, upon enactment, impair our ability to issue securities in the capital markets and therefore our ability to conduct our business, absent the federal government providing an explicit guarantee of our existing and future liabilities.

In addition to bills that seek to resolve the status of the GSEs, numerous bills have been introduced and considered that could constrain the current operations of the GSEs or alter the existing authority that FHFA or Treasury has over the enterprises. For example, the Subcommittee on Capital Markets and Government Sponsored Enterprises of the House Financial Services Committee has approved bills that would:

suspend current compensation packages and apply a government pay scale for GSE employees; require the GSEs to increase guaranty fees;

subject GSE loans to the risk retention standards in the Dodd-Frank Act;

require a quicker reduction of GSE portfolios than required under the senior preferred stock purchase agreement; require Treasury to pre-approve all GSE debt issuances;

repeal the GSEs' affordable housing goals;

provide additional authority to FHFA's Inspector General;

prohibit FHFA from approving any new GSE products during conservatorship or receivership, with certain exceptions;

prevent Treasury from amending the senior preferred stock purchase agreement to reduce the current dividend rate on our senior preferred stock;

abolish the Affordable Housing Trust Fund that the GSEs are required to fund except when such contributions have been temporarily suspended by FHFA;

require FHFA to identify mission critical assets of the GSEs and require the GSEs to dispose of non-mission critical assets;

cap the maximum aggregate amount of funds Treasury or any other agency or entity of the federal government can provide to the GSEs subject to certain qualifications;

grant FHFA the authority to revoke the enterprises' charters following receivership under certain circumstances; and subject the GSEs to the Freedom of Information Act.

Of these bills that passed at a subcommittee level, the only one that has passed the full committee is the bill that would put GSE employees on a government pay scale. We expect additional legislation relating to the GSEs to be introduced and considered by Congress. We cannot predict the prospects for the enactment, timing or content of legislative proposals concerning the future status of the GSEs, their regulation or operations.

In sum, there continues to be uncertainty regarding the future of our company, including how long the company will continue to exist in its current form, the extent of our role in the market, what form we will have, and what ownership interest, if any, our current common and preferred stockholders will hold in us after the conservatorship is terminated. See "Risk Factors" in our 2011 Form 10-K for a discussion of the risks to our business relating to the uncertain future of our company. Also see "Risk Factors" in this report for a discussion of how the uncertain future of our company may adversely affect our ability to retain and recruit well-qualified employees, including senior management. Principal Forgiveness

On July 31, 2012, the Acting Director of FHFA announced FHFA's decision not to direct Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac to participate in Treasury's HAMP Principal Reduction Alternative program. Based on its analysis, FHFA concluded that the economic benefit of participating in that program does not outweigh the costs and risks. In a letter sent to Congress regarding FHFA's decision, Acting Director DeMarco previewed for Congress several housing-related initiatives to strengthen the loss mitigation and borrower assistance efforts of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, as well as improve the operation of the housing finance market. These initiatives include new and consistent policies for lender representations and warranties, alignment and simplification of the Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac short sale programs, and further enhancements for borrowers looking to refinance their mortgages.

Prudential Management and Operational Standards

As required by the Federal Housing Enterprises Financial Safety and Soundness Act of 1992, as amended by the Housing Finance Regulatory Reform Act of 2008 (together, the "GSE Act"), in June 2012, FHFA published a final rule establishing prudential standards relating to the management and operations of Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and the Federal Home Loan Banks in the following ten areas: (1) internal controls and information systems; (2) independence and adequacy of internal audit systems; (3) management of market risk exposure; (4) management of market risk—measurement systems, risk limits, stress testing, and monitoring and reporting; (5) adequacy and maintenance of liquidity and reserves; (6) management of asset and investment portfolio growth; (7) investments and acquisitions of assets; (8) overall risk management processes; (9) management of credit and counterparty risk; and (10) maintenance of adequate records. These standards are established as guidelines, which the Director of FHFA may modify, revoke or add to at any time by order or notice. The rule also specifies actions FHFA may take if a regulated entity fails to meet one or more of the standards or fails to comply with the rule, such as requiring the entity to submit a corrective plan or increasing its capital requirements.

#### Proposed Housing Goals for 2012 to 2014

On June 11, 2012, FHFA published a proposed rule establishing the following single-family home purchase and refinance housing goal benchmarks for 2012 to 2014 for Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. A home purchase mortgage may be counted toward more than one home purchase benchmark.

Low-Income Families Home Purchase Benchmark: At least 20% of our acquisitions of single-family owner-occupied purchase money mortgage loans must be affordable to low-income families (defined as income equal to or less than 80% of area median income).

Very Low-Income Families Home Purchase Benchmark: At least 7% of our acquisitions of single-family owner-occupied purchase money mortgage loans must be affordable to very low-income families (defined as income equal to or less than 50% of area median income).

Low-Income Areas Home Purchase Goal Benchmark: The benchmark level for our acquisitions of single-family owner-occupied purchase money mortgage loans for families in low-income areas is set annually by notice from FHFA, based on the benchmark level for the low-income areas home purchase subgoal (below), plus an adjustment factor reflecting the additional incremental share of mortgages for

moderate-income families (defined as income equal to or less than 100% of area median income) in designated disaster areas.

Low-Income Areas Home Purchase Subgoal Benchmark: At least 11% of our acquisitions of single-family

owner-occupied purchase money mortgage loans must be affordable to families in low-income census tracts or to moderate-income families in minority census tracts.

Low-Income Families Refinancing Benchmark: At least 21% of our acquisitions of single-family owner-occupied refinance mortgage loans must be affordable to low-income families.

Under FHFA's rule establishing our housing goals, private-label mortgage-related securities, second liens and single-family government loans do not count towards the housing goals. In addition, only permanent modifications of mortgages under HAMP completed during the year count towards the housing goals; trial modifications will not be counted. Moreover, these modifications count only towards the single-family low-income families refinance goal, not any of the home purchase goals. Refinancings under HARP also count toward the single-family low-income families refinance families refinancing goal.

If we do not meet these benchmarks, we may still meet our goals. Our single-family housing goals performance will be measured against these benchmarks and against goals-qualifying originations in the primary mortgage market. We will be in compliance with the housing goals if we meet either the benchmarks or market share measures.

To meet FHFA's proposed goals, our multifamily mortgage acquisitions must finance a certain number of units affordable to low-income families and a certain number of units affordable to very low-income families. The specific requirements for each year are set forth in Table 4 below. There is no market-based alternative measurement for the multifamily goals.

Table 4: Proposed Multifamily Housing Goals for 2012 to 2014

•

	Goals for		
	2012	2013	2014
	(in units)		
Affordable to low-income families	251,000	245,000	223,000
Affordable to very low-income families	60,000	59,000	53,000

See "Risk Factors" in our 2011 Form 10 K for a description of how we may be unable to meet our housing goals and how actions we may take to meet these goals and other regulatory requirements could adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

FHFA Advisory Bulletin Regarding Framework for Adversely Classifying Loans

On April 9, 2012, FHFA issued an Advisory Bulletin, "Framework for Adversely Classifying Loans, Other Real Estate Owned, and Other Assets and Listing Assets for Special Mention," which was effective upon issuance and is applicable to Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and the Federal Home Loan Banks. The Advisory Bulletin establishes guidelines for adverse classification and identification of specified assets and off-balance sheet credit exposures. The Advisory Bulletin indicates that this guidance considers and is generally consistent with the Uniform Retail Credit Classification and Account Management Policy issued by the federal banking regulators in June 2000.

Among other requirements, the Advisory Bulletin requires that we classify the portion of an outstanding single-family loan balance in excess of the fair value of the underlying property, less costs to sell, as "loss" when the loan is no more than 180 days delinquent, except in certain specified circumstances (such as properly secured loans with an LTV ratio equal to or less than 60%), and charge off the portion of the loan classified as "loss." The Advisory Bulletin also specifies that, if we subsequently receive full or partial payment of a previously charged-off loan, we may report a recovery of the amount, either through our loss reserves or as a reduction in our foreclosed property expenses. The accounting methods outlined in FHFA's Advisory Bulletin are different from our current methods of accounting for single-family loans that are 180 days or more delinquent. As described in "Risk Factors," we believe that implementation of these changes in our accounting methods present significant operational challenges for us. We have not yet determined when we will implement the accounting changes specified in the Advisory Bulletin. We are currently assessing the impact of implementing these accounting changes on our future financial results. CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP requires management to make a number of judgments, estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amount of assets, liabilities, income and expenses in the condensed

consolidated financial statements. Understanding our accounting policies and the extent to which we use management judgment and estimates in applying these policies is integral to understanding our financial statements. We describe our most significant accounting policies in "Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies" in this report and in our 2011 Form 10-K.

We evaluate our critical accounting estimates and judgments required by our policies on an ongoing basis and update them as necessary based on changing conditions. Management has discussed any significant changes in judgments and assumptions in applying our critical accounting policies with the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors. We have identified three of our accounting policies as critical because they involve significant judgments and assumptions about highly complex and inherently uncertain matters, and the use of reasonably different estimates and assumptions could have a material impact on our reported results of operations or financial condition. These critical accounting policies and estimates are as follows:

- Fair Value Measurement
- Total Loss Reserves
- Other-Than-Temporary Impairment of Investment Securities

See "MD&A—Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates" in our 2011 Form 10-K for a detailed discussion of these critical accounting policies and estimates. We provide below information about our Level 3 assets and liabilities as of June 30, 2012 as compared with December 31, 2011. We also describe any significant changes in the judgments and assumptions we made during the first half of 2012 in applying our critical accounting policies and significant changes to critical estimates.

Fair Value Measurement

The use of fair value to measure our assets and liabilities is fundamental to our financial statements and our fair value measurement is a critical accounting estimate because we account for and record a portion of our assets and liabilities at fair value. In determining fair value, we use various valuation techniques. We describe the valuation techniques and inputs used to determine the fair value of our assets and liabilities and disclose their carrying value and fair value in "Note 12, Fair Value."

### Fair Value Hierarchy-Level 3 Assets and Liabilities

The assets and liabilities that we have classified as Level 3 consist primarily of financial instruments for which there is limited market activity and therefore little or no price transparency. As a result, the valuation techniques that we use to estimate the fair value of Level 3 instruments involve significant unobservable inputs, which generally are more subjective and involve a high degree of management judgment and assumptions. Our Level 3 assets and liabilities consist of certain mortgage-backed securities and residual interests, certain mortgage loans, certain acquired property, certain long-term debt arrangements and certain highly structured, complex derivative instruments.

Table 5 presents a comparison of the amount of financial assets carried in our condensed consolidated balance sheets at fair value on a recurring basis ("recurring assets") that were classified as Level 3 as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. The availability of observable market inputs to measure fair value varies based on changes in market conditions, such as liquidity. As a result, we expect the amount of financial instruments carried at fair value on a recurring basis and classified as Level 3 to vary each period.

#### Table 5: Level 3 Recurring Financial Assets at Fair Value

	As of			
	June 30, 2012		December 2011	r 31,
	(Dollars in	millior	ns)	
Trading securities	\$2,305		\$4,238	
Available-for-sale securities	26,328		29,492	
Mortgage loans	2,331		2,319	
Other assets	236		238	
Level 3 recurring assets	\$31,200		\$36,28	7
Total assets	\$3,195,62	20	\$3,211	,484
Total recurring assets measured at fair value	\$134,161		\$156,5	52
Level 3 recurring assets as a percentage of total assets	1	%	1	%
Level 3 recurring assets as a percentage of total recurring assets measured at fair value	23	%	23	%
Total recurring assets measured at fair value as a percentage of total assets	4	%	5	%

Total recurring assets measured at fair value as a percentage of total assets 4 % 5 % Assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis and classified as Level 3, which are not presented in the table above, primarily include mortgage loans and acquired property. The fair value of Level 3 nonrecurring assets totaled \$27.3 billion as of June 30, 2012.

Financial liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis and classified as Level 3 consisted of long-term debt with a fair value of \$1.7 billion as of June 30, 2012 and \$1.2 billion as of December 31, 2011, and other liabilities with a fair value of \$162 million as of June 30, 2012 and \$173 million as of December 31, 2011.

Other-Than-Temporary Impairment of Investment Securities

We evaluate available-for-sale securities in an unrealized loss position as of the end of each quarter for other-than-temporary impairment. Our evaluation requires significant management judgment and consideration of various factors to determine if we will receive the amortized cost basis of our investment securities. We evaluate a debt security for other-than-temporary impairment using an econometric model that estimates the present value of cash flows given multiple factors. These factors include: the severity and duration of the impairment; recent events specific to the issuer and/or industry to which the issuer belongs; the payment structure of the security; external credit ratings and the failure of the issuer to make scheduled interest or principal payments. We rely on expected future cash flow projections to determine if we will recover the amortized cost basis of our available-for-sale securities. In the second quarter of 2012, we updated our assumptions used to project cash flow estimates on our Alt-A and subprime private-label securities to incorporate recent observable market trends, which included extending the time it takes to liquidate loans underlying these securities and increasing severity rates for loans where the servicer stopped advancing payments. These updates resulted in lower net present value of cash flow projections on our Alt-A and subprime securities and increased our other-than-temporary impairment expense by approximately \$500 million. We provide more detailed information on our accounting for other-than-temporary impairment in "Note 5, Investments in Securities."

#### CONSOLIDATED RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

This section provides a discussion of our condensed consolidated results of operations for the periods indicated and should be read together with our condensed consolidated financial statements, including the accompanying notes. Table 6 displays a summary of our condensed consolidated results of operations for the periods indicated.

Table 6: Summary of Condensed Consolidated Results of Operations

-	For the 1	Three Mon	ths Ended	For the Six Months Ended				
	June 30,			June 30,				
	2012	2011	Variance	2012	2011	Variance		
	(Dollars	in millions	s)					
Net interest income	\$5,428	\$4,972	\$456	\$10,625	\$9,932	\$693		
Fee and other income	395	265	130	770	502	268		
Net revenues	\$5,823	\$5,237	\$586	\$11,395	\$10,434	\$961		
Investment gains, net	131	171	(40)	247	246	1		
Net other-than-temporary impairments	(599)	(56)	(543)	(663)	(100)	(563)		
Fair value losses, net	(2,449)	(1,634)	(815)	(2,166)	(1,345)	(821)		
Administrative expenses	(567)	(569)	2	(1,131)	(1,174)	43		
Credit-related income (expenses)								
Benefit (provision) for credit losses	3,041	(6,537)	9,578	1,041	(17,091)	18,132		
Foreclosed property income (expense)	70	478	(408)	(269)	(10)	(259)		
Total credit-related income (expenses)	3,111	(6,059)	9,170	772	(17,101)	17,873		
Other non-interest expenses <sup>(1)</sup>	(331)	(75)	(256)	(617)	(414)	(203)		
Income (loss) before federal income taxes	5,119	(2,985)	8,104	7,837	(9,454)	17,291		
Benefit for federal income taxes		93	(93)		91	(91)		
Net income (loss)	5,119	(2,892)	8,011	7,837	(9,363)	17,200		
Less: Net income attributable to the noncontrolling	(5)	(1)	$(A \rightarrow )$	$(\Lambda)$	(1)	(2)		
interest	(5)	(1)	(4)	(4)	(1)	(3)		
Net income (loss) attributable to Fannie Mae	\$5,114	\$(2,893)	\$8,007	\$7,833	\$(9,364)	\$17,197		
Total comprehensive income (loss) attributable to	\$ 5 110	¢ (2 001)	\$ 0 222	¢ Q 572	\$ (0, 191)	¢ 17 704		
Fannie Mae	\$5,442	\$(2,891)	\$ 8,333	\$8,523	\$(9,181)	φ1/,/U4		

<sup>(1)</sup> Consists of debt extinguishment losses, net and other expenses.

Net Interest Income

Table 7 displays an analysis of our net interest income, average balances, and related yields earned on assets and incurred on liabilities for the periods indicated. For most components of the average balances, we use a daily weighted average of amortized cost. When daily average balance information is not available, such as for mortgage loans, we use monthly averages. Table 8 displays the change in our net interest income between periods and the extent to which that variance is attributable to: (1) changes in the volume of our interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities or (2) changes in the interest rates of these assets and liabilities.

# Table 7: Analysis of Net Interest Income and Yield

Table 7: Analysis of Net Interest Income and	For the Three 2012	e Months Ei	nded June 3	0, 2011		
	Average Balance	Interest Income/ Expense	Average Rates Earned/Pa	Average	Interest Income/ Expense	Average Rates Earned/Paid
	(Dollars in m	nillions)				
Interest-earning assets:						
Mortgage loans of Fannie Mae	\$373,943	\$3,599	3.85 %	\$394,687	\$3,720	3.77 %
Mortgage loans of consolidated trusts	2,614,284	28,424	4.35	2,614,392	31,613	4.84
Total mortgage loans	2,988,227	32,023	4.29	3,009,079	35,333	4.70
Mortgage-related securities	274,585	3,266	4.76	319,395	4,029	5.05
Elimination of Fannie Mae MBS held in portfolio	(177,235)	(2,178)	4.92	(204,465)	(2,643)	5.17
Total mortgage-related securities, net	97,350	1,088	4.47	114,930	1,386	4.82
Non-mortgage securities <sup>(1)</sup>	54,451	20	0.15	76,829	30	0.15
Federal funds sold and securities purchased						
under agreements to resell or similar arrangements	21,916	10	0.18	21,833	6	0.11
Advances to lenders	5,637	30	2.11	3,144	19	2.39
Total interest-earning assets	\$3,167,581	\$33,171	4.19 %	\$3,225,815	\$36,774	4.56 %
Interest-bearing liabilities:						
Short-term debt <sup>(2)</sup>	\$89,820	\$30	0.13 %	\$162,071	\$79	0.19 %
Long-term debt	569,211	2,997	2.11	589,269	3,802	2.58
Total short-term and long-term funding debt	659,031	3,027	1.84	751,340	3,881	2.07
Debt securities of consolidated trusts	2,684,443	26,894	4.01	2,657,571	30,564	4.60
Elimination of Fannie Mae MBS held in portfolio	(177,235)	(2,178)	4.92	(204,465)	(2,643)	5.17
Total debt securities of consolidated trusts held by third parties	2,507,208	24,716	3.94	2,453,106	27,921	4.55
Total interest-bearing liabilities	\$3,166,239	\$27,743	3.50 %	\$3,204,446	\$31,802	3.97 %
Impact of net non-interest bearing funding	\$1,342		%	\$21,369		0.03 %
Net interest income/net interest yield		\$5,428	0.69 %		\$4,972	0.62 %
Net interest income/net interest yield of consolidated trusts <sup>(3)</sup>		\$1,530	0.23 %		\$1,049	0.16 %

	For the Six M 2012	Ionths Ende	ed June 30,	2011			
	Average Balance	Interest Income/ Expense	Average Rates Earned/Pa	Average Balance	Interest Income/ Expense	Averag Rates Earned	-
	(Dollars in m						
Interest-earning assets:							
Mortgage loans of Fannie Mae	\$375,983	\$7,168	3.81 %	\$399,898	\$7,445	3.72	%
Mortgage loans of consolidated trusts	2,605,744	57,425	4.41	2,605,087	63,478	4.87	
Total mortgage loans	2,981,727	64,593	4.33	3,004,985	70,923	4.72	
Mortgage-related securities	281,518	6,724	4.78	326,727	8,274	5.06	
Elimination of Fannie Mae MBS held in	(181,725)	(4,483)	4.93	(209,418)	(5,436)	5.19	
portfolio	(101,725)	(4,405)	4.93	(209,418)	(3,430)	5.19	
Total mortgage-related securities, net	99,793	2,241	4.49	117,309	2,838	4.84	
Non-mortgage securities <sup>(1)</sup>	61,693	43	0.14	78,266	75	0.19	
Federal funds sold and securities purchased							
under agreements to resell or similar	29,701	23	0.15	17,810	13	0.15	
arrangements							
Advances to lenders	5,343	55	2.04	3,614	40	2.20	
Total interest-earning assets	\$3,178,257	\$66,955	4.21 %	\$3,221,984	\$73,889	4.59	%
Interest-bearing liabilities:							
Short-term debt <sup>(2)</sup>	\$111,564	\$71	0.13 %	\$150,523	\$183	0.24	%
Long-term debt	573,683	6,182	2.16	610,594	7,998	2.62	
Total short-term and long-term funding debt	685,247	6,253	1.82	761,117	8,181	2.15	
Debt securities of consolidated trusts	2,673,505	54,560	4.08	2,653,872	61,212	4.61	
Elimination of Fannie Mae MBS held in portfolio	(181,725)	(4,483)	4.93	(209,418)	(5,436)	5.19	
Total debt securities of consolidated trusts held by third parties	2,491,780	50,077	4.02	2,444,454	55,776	4.56	
Total interest-bearing liabilities	\$3,177,027	\$56,330	3.55 %	\$3,205,571	\$63,957	3.99	%
Impact of net non-interest bearing funding	\$1,230	. ,	0.01 %	\$16,413	. ,		%
Net interest income/net interest yield		\$10,625	0.67 %		\$9,932		%
Net interest income/net interest yield of						0.17	C1
consolidated trusts <sup>(3)</sup>		\$2,865	0.22 %		\$2,266	0.17	%
					A a of Iss	20	
					As of Jur 2012	ne 30, 2011	

2012	2011	
0.46%	0.25	%
0.55	0.70	
0.97	2.03	
2.57	4.02	
	0.46% 0.55 0.97	0.46%0.250.550.700.972.03

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes cash equivalents.

<sup>(2)</sup> Includes federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase.

Net interest income of consolidated trusts represents interest income from mortgage loans of consolidated trusts <sup>(3)</sup> less interest expense from debt securities of consolidated trusts. Net interest yield is calculated based on net interest income from consolidated trusts divided by average balance of mortgage loans of consolidated trusts.

(4)

Data from British Bankers' Association, Thomson Reuters Indices and Bloomberg L.P.

Table 8: Rate/Volume Analysis of Changes in Net Interest Income

	For the Three Months Ended	For the S	Six Months Ended				
	June 30, 2012 vs. 201	1 June 30,	June 30, 2012 vs. 2011				
	Total Variance Du to: $^{(1)}$	ue Total	Variance Due to: <sup>(1)</sup>				
	Variance Volume Ra (Dollars in millions)	ate Variance	e Volume Rate				
Interest income:	(						
Mortgage loans of Fannie Mae	\$(121) \$(198) \$7	77 \$(277)	\$(453) \$176				
Mortgage loans of consolidated trusts	(3,189) (1) (3	,188) (6,053)	16 (6,069)				
Total mortgage loans	(3,310) (199) (3	,111) (6,330)	(437 ) (5,893 )				
Mortgage-related securities	(763 ) (542 ) (2	21 ) (1,550 )	(1,099) (451)				
Elimination of Fannie Mae MBS held in portfolio	465 339 12	953	693 260				
Total mortgage-related securities, net	(298) (203) (9	5 ) (597 )	(406 ) (191 )				
Non-mortgage securities <sup>(2)</sup>	(10) (8) (2	) (32 )	(14) (18)				
Federal funds sold and securities purchased under	4 — 4	10	9 1				
agreements to resell or similar arrangements	+ - +	10	9 1				
Advances to lenders	11 14 (3	) 15	18 (3 )				
Total interest income	(3,603) (396) (3	,207) (6,934)	(830 ) (6,104 )				
Interest expense:							
Short-term debt <sup>(3)</sup>	(49) (29) (2	, , , ,					
Long-term debt	. , . , .	79 ) (1,816 )					
Total short-term and long-term funding debt	. , . , .	99 ) (1,928 )	(501) (1,427)				
Debt securities of consolidated trusts		,976) (6,652)					
Elimination of Fannie Mae MBS held in portfolio	465 339 12	953	693 260				
Total debt securities of consolidated trusts held by third parties	(3,205) 645 (3	,850) (5,699)	1,143 (6,842)				
Total interest expense	(4,059) 490 (4	,549) (7,627)	642 (8,269)				
Net interest income	\$456 \$(886) \$1	1,342 \$693	\$(1,472) \$2,165				

<sup>(1)</sup> Combined rate/volume variances are allocated to both rate and volume based on the relative size of each variance.

<sup>(2)</sup> Includes cash equivalents.

<sup>(3)</sup> Includes federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase.

Although our portfolio balance declined, net interest income increased in the second quarter and first half of 2012, as compared with the second quarter and first half of 2011, primarily due to lower interest expense on funding debt, a reduction in the amount of interest income not recognized for nonaccrual mortgage loans and accelerated net amortization income on loans and debt of consolidated trusts. These factors were partially offset by lower interest income on Fannie Mae mortgage loans and securities. The primary drivers of these changes were:

lower interest expense on funding debt due to lower funding needs and lower borrowing rates, which allowed us to continue to replace higher-cost debt with lower-cost debt;

higher coupon interest income recognized on mortgage loans due to a reduction in the amount of interest income not recognized for nonaccrual mortgage loans due to a decline in the balance of nonaccrual loans in our condensed consolidated balance sheet as we continued to complete a high number of loan workouts and foreclosures, and fewer loans became seriously delinquent;

accelerated net amortization income related to mortgage loans and debt of consolidated trusts driven by a high

volume of prepayments due to declining interest rates;

lower interest income on Fannie Mae mortgage loans due to a decrease in average balance and new business acquisitions which continued to replace higher-yielding loans with loans issued at lower mortgage rates; and

lower interest income on mortgage securities due to lower interest rates and a decrease in the balance of our mortgage securities, as we continue to manage our portfolio requirements of the senior preferred stock purchase agreement.

Additionally, our net interest income and net interest yield were higher than they would have otherwise been in the second quarter and first half of 2012 and 2011 because our debt funding needs were lower than would otherwise have been required as a result of funds we have received from Treasury to date under the senior preferred stock purchase agreement and dividends paid to Treasury are not recognized as interest expense.

Table 9 displays the interest income not recognized for loans on nonaccrual status and the resulting reduction in our net interest yield on total interest earning assets for the periods indicated.

Table 9: Impact of Nonaccrual Loans on Net Interest Income

I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	For the Th	ree Months	s Ended June	30	For the Six Months Ended June 30,					
				50,	,			,		
	2012		2011		2012		2011			
	Interest		Interest		Interest		Interest			
	Income not	Reduction	n Income not	Reduction	n Income not	Reduction	n Income not	Reduction		
	Recognized	in Net	Recognized	in Net	Recognized	in Net	Recognized	in Net		
	for	Interest	for	Interest	for	Interest	for	Interest		
	Nonaccrual	Yield <sup>(2)</sup>	Nonaccrual	Yield <sup>(2)</sup>	Nonaccrual	Yield <sup>(2)</sup>	Nonaccrual	Yield <sup>(2)</sup>		
	Loans <sup>(1)</sup>		Loans <sup>(1)</sup>		Loans <sup>(1)</sup>		Loans <sup>(1)</sup>			
	(Dollars in	millions)								
Mortgage loans of Fannie Mae	\$(896)		\$(1,184)		\$(1,878)		\$(2,545)			
Mortgage loans of consolidated trusts	(147)		(219)		(327)		(478)			
Total mortgage loans	(1,043)	(13 ) bp	\$(1,403)	(17) bp	(2,205)	(14 ) bp	\$(3,023)	(18 ) bp		

<sup>(1)</sup> Amount includes cash received for loans on nonaccrual status.

(2) Calculated based on annualized interest income not recognized divided by total interest-earning assets, expressed in basis points.

For a discussion of the interest income from the assets we have purchased and the interest expense from the debt we have issued, see the discussion of our Capital Markets group's net interest income in "Business Segment Results." Other-Than-Temporary Impairment of Investment Securities

Net other-than-temporary impairment for the second quarter and first half of 2012 increased significantly compared with the second quarter and first half of 2011, driven primarily by a decrease in the net present value of projected cash flows on our Alt-A and subprime private-label securities due to higher projected loss severity rates on loans underlying these securities. The net present value of projected cash flows decreased because we updated our assumptions due to recent observable market trends, including: (1) extending the time it takes to liquidate the loans; and (2) increasing loss severity rates for loans where the servicer stopped advancing payments. Although national home prices generally improved in the first half of 2012, the benefit of home price appreciation is not expected to offset the impact of extended liquidation timelines on loans underlying these securities and the increase in loss severity rates for loans where the servicer stopped advancing payments.

Fair Value Losses, Net

Table 10 displays the components of our fair value gains and losses.

Table 10: Fair Value Losses, Net

	For the Three Months			For the Six Months				
	Ended June 30,				Ended June 30,			
	2012		2011		2012		2011	
	(Dollars	in	millions)					
Risk management derivatives fair value losses attributable to:								
Net contractual interest expense accruals on interest rate swaps	\$(391	)	\$(658	)	\$(765	)	\$(1,293	)
Net change in fair value during the period	(1,430	)	(958	)	(877	)	(207	)
Total risk management derivatives fair value losses, net	(1,821	)	(1,616	)	(1,642	)	(1,500	)
Mortgage commitment derivatives fair value losses, net	(562	)	(61	)	(767	)	(38	)
Total derivatives fair value losses, net	(2,383	)	(1,677	)	(2,409	)	(1,538	)
Trading securities (losses) gains, net	(14	)	135		270		360	
Other, net <sup>(1)</sup>	(52	)	(92	)	(27	)	(167	)
Fair value losses, net	\$(2,449	)	\$(1,634	)	\$(2,166	)	\$(1,345	)
					2012		2011	
5-year swap rate:								
As of January 1					1.22	%	2.18	%
As of March 31					1.27		2.47	
As of June 30					0.97		2.03	

(1) Consists of debt fair value gains (losses), net; debt foreign exchange gains (losses), net; and mortgage loans fair value gains (losses), net.

We can expect high levels of period-to-period volatility in our results of operations and financial condition due to changes in market conditions that result in periodic fluctuations in the estimated fair value of financial instruments that we mark to market through our earnings. These instruments include trading securities and derivatives. The estimated fair value of our trading securities and derivatives may fluctuate substantially from period to period because of changes in interest rates, credit spreads and interest rate volatility, as well as activity related to these financial instruments that the derivatives hedge are not recorded at fair value in our condensed consolidated financial statements. Risk Management Derivatives Fair Value Losses, Net

Risk management derivative instruments are an integral part of our interest rate risk management strategy. We supplement our issuance of debt securities with derivative instruments to further reduce duration risk, which includes prepayment risk. We recognized risk management derivative fair value losses in the second quarter and first half of 2012 and 2011 primarily as a result of a decrease in the fair value of our pay-fixed derivatives due to a decline in swap rates during the periods.

We present, by derivative instrument type, the fair value gains and losses on our derivatives for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 in "Note 9, Derivative Instruments."

Mortgage Commitment Derivatives Fair Value Losses, Net

We recognized fair value losses on our mortgage commitments in the second quarter and first half of 2012 and 2011 primarily due to losses on commitments to sell mortgage-related securities as a result of an increase in prices as interest rates decreased during the commitment period.

Trading Securities (Losses) Gains, Net

The losses from our trading securities in the second quarter of 2012 were primarily driven by the widening of credit spreads on commercial mortgage-backed securities ("CMBS"). The gains from our trading securities in the first half of 2012 were primarily due to the narrowing of credit spreads on CMBS in the first quarter of 2012, partially offset by the widening of credit spreads in the second quarter of 2012.

The gains from our trading securities in the second quarter of 2011 were primarily driven by a decrease in interest rates. The gains from our trading securities in the first half of 2011 were primarily driven by the narrowing of credit spreads on CMBS.

Credit-Related (Income) Expenses

We refer to our (benefit) provision for loan losses and our provision for guaranty losses collectively as our "(benefit) provision for credit losses." Credit-related (income) expenses consist of our (benefit) provision for credit losses and foreclosed property (income) expense.

(Benefit) Provision for Credit Losses

Our total loss reserves provide for an estimate of credit losses incurred in our guaranty book of business, including concessions we granted borrowers upon modification of their loans, as of each balance sheet date. We establish our loss reserves through our (benefit) provision for credit losses for losses that we believe have been incurred and will eventually be reflected over time in our charge-offs. When we determine that a loan is uncollectible, typically upon foreclosure, we record a charge-off against our loss reserves. We record recoveries of previously charged-off amounts as a reduction to charge-offs.

Table 11 displays the components of our total loss reserves and our total fair value losses previously recognized on loans purchased out of unconsolidated MBS trusts reflected in our condensed consolidated balance sheets. Because these fair value losses lowered our recorded loan balances, we have fewer inherent losses in our guaranty book of business and consequently require lower total loss reserves. For these reasons, we consider these fair value losses as an "effective reserve," apart from our total loss reserves, to the extent that we expect to realize these amounts as credit losses on the acquired loans in the future. As of June 30, 2012, we estimate that nearly two-thirds of this amount represents credit losses we expect to realize in the future and over one-third will eventually be recovered, either through net interest income for loans that cure or through foreclosed property income for loans where the sale of the collateral exceeds our recorded investment in the loan. We exclude these fair value losses from our credit loss calculation as described in "Credit Loss Performance Metrics."

Table 11: Total Loss Reserves

	As of	
	June 30,	December
	2012	31, 2011
	(Dollars ir	n millions)
Allowance for loan losses	\$63,375	\$72,156
Reserve for guaranty losses <sup>(1)</sup>	1,320	994
Combined loss reserves	64,695	73,150
Allowance for accrued interest receivable	2,068	2,496
Allowance for preforeclosure property taxes and insurance receivable <sup>(2)</sup>	1,278	1,292
Total loss reserves	68,041	76,938
Fair value losses previously recognized on acquired credit-impaired loans <sup>(3)</sup>	14,955	16,273
Total loss reserves and fair value losses previously recognized on acquired credit-impaired loans	\$82,996	\$93,211

<sup>(1)</sup> Amount included in "Other liabilities" in our condensed consolidated balance sheets.

<sup>(2)</sup> Amount included in "Other assets" in our condensed consolidated balance sheets.

(3) Represents the fair value losses on loans purchased out of unconsolidated MBS trusts reflected in our condensed consolidated balance sheets.

The following table displays changes in the total allowance for loan losses, reserve for guaranty losses and the total combined loss reserves for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011.

 Table 12: Allowance for Loan Losses and Reserve for Guaranty Losses (Combined Loss Reserves)

 For the Three Months Ended June 30.

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,						
	2012			2011			
	Of	Of		Of	Of		
	Fannie	Consolidated	Total	Fannie	Consolidated	Total	
	Mae	Trusts		Mae	Trusts		
	(Dollars in	millions)					
Changes in combined loss reserves:							
Allowance for loan losses:							
Beginning balance	\$57,001	\$13,108	\$70,109	\$53,708	\$13,849	\$67,557	
(Benefit) provision for loan losses	(3,329)	(58)	(3,387)	3,040	2,762	5,802	
Charge-offs <sup>(1)(2)</sup>	(3,783)	(208)	(3,991)	(5,460)	(758)	(6,218)	
Recoveries	441	44	485	1,819	550	2,369	
Transfers <sup>(3)</sup>	1,616	(1,616)		2,762	(2,762)		
Other <sup>(4)</sup>	136	23	159	97	(101)	(4)	
Ending balance <sup>(5)</sup>	\$52,082	\$11,293	\$63,375	\$55,966	\$13,540	\$69,506	
Reserve for guaranty losses:							
Beginning balance	\$997	\$—	\$997	\$257	\$—	\$257	
Provision for guaranty losses	346		346	735		735	
Charge-offs	(49)	—	(49)	(33)	—	(33)	
Recoveries	26	—	26	1	—	1	
Ending balance	\$1,320	\$ <i>—</i>	\$1,320	\$960	\$—	\$960	
Combined loss reserves:							
Beginning balance	\$57,998	\$13,108	\$71,106	\$53,965	\$13,849	\$67,814	
Total (benefit) provision for credit losses	(2,983)	(58)	(3,041)	3,775	2,762	6,537	
Charge-offs <sup>(1)(2)</sup>	(3,832)	(208)	(4,040)	(5,493)	(758)	(6,251)	
Recoveries	467	44	511	1,820	550	2,370	
Transfers <sup>(3)</sup>	1,616	(1,616)		2,762	(2,762)		
Other <sup>(4)</sup>	136	23	159	97	(101)	(4)	
Ending balance <sup>(5)</sup>	\$53,402	\$11,293	\$64,695	\$56,926	\$13,540	\$70,466	

		Months End	led June 30,			
	2012			2011		
	-	Of		Of	Of	
		Consolidated	l Total	Fannie	Consolidated	Total
		Trusts		Mae	Trusts	
	(Dollars in	millions)				
Changes in combined loss reserves:						
Allowance for loan losses:						
Beginning balance	\$57,309	\$ 14,847	\$72,156	\$48,530	\$13,026	\$61,556
(Benefit) provision for loan losses	(1,946)	539	(1,407)	10,199	6,190	16,389
Charge-offs <sup>(1)(2)</sup>	(8,316)	(471)	(8,787)	(11,165)		(12,371)
Recoveries	862	109	971	2,349	1,502	3,851
Transfers <sup>(3)</sup>	3,817	(3,817)		5,969	(5,969)	
Other <sup>(4)</sup>	356	86	442	84	(3)	81
Ending balance <sup>(5)</sup>	\$52,082	\$11,293	\$63,375	\$55,966	\$13,540	\$69,506
Reserve for guaranty losses:						
Beginning balance	\$994	\$ <i>—</i>	\$994	\$323	\$—	\$323
Provision for guaranty losses	366		366	702		702
Charge-offs	(100)		(100)	(68)		(68)
Recoveries	60		60	3		3
Ending balance	\$1,320	\$—	\$1,320	\$960	\$—	\$960
Combined loss reserves:						
Beginning balance	\$58,303	\$ 14,847	\$73,150	\$48,853	\$13,026	\$61,879
Total (benefit) provision for credit losses	(1,580)	539	(1,041)	10,901	6,190	17,091
Charge-offs <sup>(1)(2)</sup>	(8,416)	(471)	(8,887)	(11,233)	(1,206)	(12,439)
Recoveries	922	109	1,031	2,352	1,502	3,854
Transfers <sup>(3)</sup>	3,817	(3,817)		5,969	(5,969)	_
Other <sup>(4)</sup>	356	86	442	84	(3)	81
Ending balance <sup>(5)</sup>	\$53,402	\$11,293	\$64,695	\$56,926	\$13,540	\$70,466

	As of June 30, 2012		Decembe 2011	er 31,
Allocation of combined loss reserves:				
Balance at end of each period attributable to:				
Single-family	\$63,36	5	\$71,512	2
Multifamily	1,330		1,638	
Total	\$64,69	5	\$73,150	)
Single-family and multifamily combined loss reserves as a percentage of applicable guaranty book of business:				
Single-family	2.23	%	2.52	%
Multifamily	0.67		0.84	
Combined loss reserves as a percentage of:				
Total guaranty book of business	2.13	%	2.41	%
Recorded investment in nonperforming loans	26.57		29.03	

- Includes accrued interest of \$238 million and \$438 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and \$511 million and \$824 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. While we purchase the substantial majority of loans that are four or more months delinquent from our MBS trusts,
- <sup>(2)</sup> we do not exercise this option to purchase loans during a forbearance period. Accordingly, charge-offs of consolidated trusts generally represent loans that remained in our consolidated trusts at the time of default.
- (3) Includes transfers from trusts for delinquent loan purchases. Amounts represent the net activity recorded in our allowances for accrued interest receivable and preforeclosure property taxes and insurance receivable from borrowers. The provision for credit losses, charge-offs, recoveries
- <sup>(4)</sup> and transfer activity included in this table reflects all changes for both the allowance for loan losses and the valuation allowances for accrued interest and preforeclosure property taxes and insurance receivable that relate to the mortgage loans.
- (5) Includes \$293 million and \$414 million as of June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, for acquired credit-impaired loans.

Our provision, and in some cases benefit, for credit losses continues to be a key driver of our results for each period presented. The amount of our provision for credit losses varies from period to period based on changes in actual and expected home prices, borrower payment behavior, the types and volumes of loss mitigation activities and foreclosures completed, and actual and estimated recoveries from our lender and mortgage insurer counterparties. See "Risk Management—Credit Risk Management—Institutional Counterparty Credit Risk Management" for information on mortgage insurers and outstanding mortgage seller/servicer repurchase obligations. In addition, our provision for credit losses and our loss reserves can be impacted by updates to our allowance for loan loss models that we use to estimate our loss reserves.

The significant improvement in our second quarter results was primarily due to recognition of a benefit for credit losses of \$3.0 billion in the second quarter of 2012 compared with a provision for credit losses of \$6.5 billion in the second quarter of 2011. This benefit for credit losses was due to a decrease in our total loss reserves driven primarily by an improvement in the profile of our single-family book of business resulting from an increase in actual home prices, including the sales prices of our REO properties. In addition, our single-family serious delinquency rate continued to decline, driven in large part by the quality and growth of our new single-family book of business, our modification efforts and current period foreclosures. Key factors impacting our credit-related results include: Home prices increased by 3.2% in the second quarter of 2012 compared with 1.2% in the second quarter of 2011. We historically see seasonal improvement in home prices in the second quarter; however, the home price increase in the second quarter of 2012 was larger than expected and the largest quarterly increase we have seen in the last few years. Higher home prices decrease the likelihood that loans will default and reduce the amount of credit loss on loans that do default.

Sales prices on dispositions of our REO properties improved in the second quarter of 2012 as a result of strong demand. We received net proceeds from our REO sales equal to 59% of the loans' unpaid principal balance in the second quarter of 2012, compared with 56% in the first quarter of 2012 and 54% in the second quarter of 2011. Our single-family serious delinquency rate declined to 3.53% as of June 30, 2012 from 3.67% as of March 31, 2012 and 4.08% as of June 30, 2011.

In addition to the reasons described above, the cash flow projections on our individually impaired loans improved due to accelerated expected prepayment speeds as a result of lower mortgage interest rates: the average 30-year fixed-rate mortgage interest rate was 3.68% in June 2012, compared with 3.95% in March 2012 and 4.51% in June 2011, according to Freddie Mac's Primary Mortgage Market Survey®. The accelerated expected prepayment speeds reduced the expected lives of modified loans and thus reduced the expected expenses related to the concessions we have granted to borrowers.

In the first half of 2012, we identified misstatements in our consideration of the benefit for repurchase requests, as well as in the calculation used to discount the deferred payment obligation for certain mortgage insurers currently in run-off, when estimating the allowance for loan losses as of December 31, 2011. In the second quarter of 2012, we identified a misstatement in the calculation of the default rate used for certain bonds to estimate the reserve for guaranty losses as of December 31, 2011. To correct the above misstatements, we have recorded an out-of-period

# Edgar Filing: FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION FANNIE MAE - Form 10-Q

adjustment of \$1.1 billion to "Benefit (provision) for credit losses" in our condensed consolidated statement of operations and comprehensive income (loss) for the first half of 2012.

We discuss our expectations regarding our future credit-related expenses and loss reserves in "Executive Summary—Summary of Our Financial Performance for the Second Quarter and First Half of 2012—Our Expectations Regarding Future Loss Reserves and Credit-Related (Income) Expenses."

We continue to experience high volumes of loan modifications involving concessions to borrowers, which are considered troubled debt restructurings ("TDRs"). Individual impairment for a TDR is based on the restructured loan's expected cash

flows over the life of the loan, taking into account the effect of any concessions granted to the borrower, discounted at the loan's original effective interest rate. If we expect to recover our recorded investment in an individually impaired loan through probable foreclosure of the underlying collateral, we measure the impairment based on the fair value of the collateral, less selling cost. The allowance calculated for an individually impaired loan has generally been greater than the allowance that would be calculated under the collective reserve.

In April 2012, FHFA issued an Advisory Bulletin that could have an impact on our provision for credit losses in the future; however, we are still assessing the impact of the Advisory Bulletin. See "Legislative and Regulatory Developments—FHFA Advisory Bulletin Regarding Framework for Adversely Classifying Loans" for additional information.

### Nonperforming Loans

Our balance of nonperforming single-family loans remained high as of June 30, 2012 due to both high levels of delinquencies and an increase in TDRs. When a TDR occurs, the loan may return to a current status, but it will continue to be classified as a nonperforming loan as the loan is not performing in accordance with its original terms. Table 13 displays the composition of our nonperforming loans, which includes our single-family and multifamily held-for-investment and held-for-sale mortgage loans. For information on the impact of TDRs and other individually impaired loans on our allowance for loan losses, see "Note 3, Mortgage Loans."

Table 13: Nonperforming Single-Family and Multifamily Loans

As of	
June 30,	December
2012	31, 2011
(Dollars in 1	nillions)
\$126,692	\$142,998
116,680	108,797
243,372	251,795
78	154
243,450	251,949
(43,591)	(47,711)
\$199.859	\$204,238
\$816	\$768
For the Six	Months
Ended	
June 30,	
2012	2011
(Dollars in	millions)
\$4,318	\$4,555
2,981	2,990
	June 30, 2012 (Dollars in r \$126,692 116,680 243,372 78 243,450 (43,591) \$199,859 \$816 For the Six Ended June 30, 2012 (Dollars in \$4,318

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes HomeSaver Advance first-lien loans on accrual status.

Recorded investment in loans that, as of the end of each period, are 90 days or more past due and continuing to <sup>(3)</sup> accrue interest. The majority of this amount consists of loans insured or guaranteed by the U.S. government and loans for which we have recourse against the seller in the event of a default.

Represents the amount of interest income we did not record but would have recorded during the period for <sup>(4)</sup> on-balance sheet nonperforming loans as of the end of each period had the loans performed according to their

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>(2)</sup> Represents loans that would meet our criteria for nonaccrual status if the loans had been on-balance sheet.

Represents interest income recognized during the period for on-balance sheet loans classified as nonperforming as

<sup>(5)</sup> of the end of each period. Includes primarily amounts accrued while the loans were performing and cash payments received on nonaccrual loans.

#### Foreclosed Property (Income) Expense

Foreclosed property income decreased in the second quarter of 2012 compared with the second quarter of 2011 primarily due to increased estimated amounts due to us for repurchase requests recognized in the second quarter of 2011. Additionally, the second quarter of 2012 was impacted by an improvement in REO sales values and a 19% decline in our inventory of single-family REO properties compared with the second quarter of 2011. Foreclosed property expense increased in the first half of 2012 compared with 2011 primarily due to the reasons described above.

#### Credit Loss Performance Metrics

Our credit-related (income) expenses should be considered in conjunction with our credit loss performance metrics. Our credit loss performance metrics, however, are not defined terms within GAAP and may not be calculated in the same manner as similarly titled measures reported by other companies. Because management does not view changes in the fair value of our mortgage loans as credit losses, we adjust our credit loss performance metrics for the impact associated with our acquisition of credit-impaired loans from unconsolidated MBS trusts. We also exclude interest forgone on nonperforming loans in our mortgage portfolio, other-than-temporary impairment losses resulting from deterioration in the credit quality of our mortgage-related securities and accretion of interest income on acquired credit-impaired loans from credit losses. We believe that credit loss performance metrics may be useful to investors as the losses are presented as a percentage of our book of business and have historically been used by analysts, investors and other companies within the financial services industry. Moreover, by presenting credit losses with and without the effect of fair value losses associated with the acquisition of credit-impaired loans, investors are able to evaluate our credit performance on a more consistent basis among periods. Table 14 displays the components of our credit loss performance metrics as well as our average single-family and multifamily default rates and initial charge-off severity rates.

Table 14: Credit Loss Performance Metrics

			nths I	Ended June	30,		For the Si	x Mont	hs Er	nded June 3	0,	
	2012			2011			2012			2011		
	Amount	Ratio <sup>(</sup>	1)	Amount	Ratio <sup>(</sup>	1)	Amount	Ratio <sup>(</sup>	(1)	Amount	Ratio <sup>(</sup>	1)
	(Dollars i	n millic	ons)									
Charge-offs, net of recoveries	\$3,529	46.3	bp	\$3,881	50.4	bp	\$7,856	51.7	bp	\$8,585	55.9	bp
Foreclosed property (income) expense	(70)	(0.9	)	(478)	(6.2	)	269	1.8		10	0.1	
Credit losses including the effect of fair value losses on acquired credit-impaired loans	3,459	45.4		3,403	44.2		8,125	53.5		8,595	56.0	
Plus: Impact of acquired credit-impaired loans on charge-offs and foreclosed property expense <sup>(2)</sup>	369	4.8		529	6.9		794	5.2		1,023	6.7	
Credit losses and credit loss ratio Credit losses attributable	\$3,828	50.2	bp	\$3,932	51.1	bp	\$8,919	58.7	bp	\$9,618	62.7	bp
to:												
Single-family Multifamily Total	\$3,778 50 \$3,828			\$3,810 122 \$3,932			\$8,733 186 \$8,919			\$9,414 204 \$9,618		
Single-family default rate		0.41	%		0.46	%		0.82	%		0.90	%
Single-family initial charge-off severity rate <sup>(3)</sup>		30.59	%		34.47	%		32.07	%		35.29	%
- ·		0.10	%		0.17	%		0.25	%		0.29	%

Average multifamily default rate				
Average multifamily				
initial charge-off severity	30.86 %	35.82 %	38.78 %	36.23 %
rate <sup>(3)</sup>				

<sup>(1)</sup> Basis points are based on the annualized amount for each line item presented divided by the average guaranty book of business during the period.

(2) Includes fair value losses from acquired credit-impaired loans.
 Single-family and multifamily rates exclude fair value losses on credit-impaired loans acquired from MBS trusts

<sup>(3)</sup> and any costs, gains or losses associated with REO after initial acquisition through final disposition; single-family rate excludes charge-offs from short sales.

Credit losses decreased in the second quarter and first half of 2012 compared with the second quarter and first half of 2011 primarily due to: (1) improved actual home prices and sales prices of our REO properties; and (2) lower REO acquisitions primarily due to the slow pace of foreclosures.

Our new single-family book of business accounted for approximately 4% of our single-family credit losses for the second quarter and first half of 2012. Credit losses on mortgage loans typically do not peak until the third through sixth years following origination; however, this range can vary based on many factors, including changes in macroeconomic conditions and foreclosure timelines. We provide more detailed credit performance information, including serious delinquency rates by geographic region and foreclosure activity, in "Risk Management—Credit Risk Management."

Regulatory Hypothetical Stress Test Scenario

Under a September 2005 agreement with FHFA's predecessor, the Office of Federal Housing Enterprise Oversight, we are required to disclose on a quarterly basis the present value of the change in future expected credit losses from our existing single-family guaranty book of business from an immediate 5% decline in single-family home prices for the entire United States followed by a return to the average of the possible growth rate paths used in our internal credit pricing models. The sensitivity results represent the difference between future expected credit losses under our base case scenario, which is derived from our internal home price path forecast, and a scenario that assumes an instantaneous nationwide 5% decline in home prices.

Table 15 displays the credit loss sensitivities as of the dates indicated for first-lien single-family loans that are in our portfolio or underlying Fannie Mae MBS, before and after consideration of projected credit risk sharing proceeds, such as private mortgage insurance claims and other credit enhancements.

Table 15: Single-Family Credit Loss Sensitivity<sup>(1)</sup>

	As of			
	June 30,		December 3	1,
	2012		2011	
	(Dollars in n	nilli	ons)	
Gross single-family credit loss sensitivity	\$22,124		\$21,922	
Less: Projected credit risk sharing proceeds	(1,818	)	(1,690	)
Net single-family credit loss sensitivity	\$20,306		\$20,232	
Single-family loans in our portfolio and loans underlying Fannie Mae MBS	\$2,768,918		\$2,769,454	
Single-family net credit loss sensitivity as a percentage of outstanding single-family	0.73	%	0.73	%
loans in our portfolio and Fannie Mae MBS				

Represents total economic credit losses, which consist of credit losses and forgone interest. Calculations are based on 97% of our total single-family guaranty book of business as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. The mortgage loans and mortgage-related securities that are included in these estimates consist of: (a) single-family

(1) Fannie Mae MBS (whether held in our mortgage portfolio or held by third parties), excluding certain whole loan REMICs and private-label wraps; (b) single-family mortgage loans, excluding mortgages secured only by second liens, subprime mortgages, manufactured housing chattel loans and reverse mortgages; and (c) long-term standby commitments. We expect the inclusion in our estimates of the excluded products may impact the estimated sensitivities set forth in this table.

Because these sensitivities represent hypothetical scenarios, they should be used with caution. Our regulatory stress test scenario is limited in that it assumes an instantaneous uniform 5% nationwide decline in home prices, which is not representative of the historical pattern of changes in home prices. Changes in home prices generally vary on a regional, as well as a local, basis. In addition, these stress test scenarios are calculated independently without considering changes in other interrelated assumptions, such as unemployment rates or other economic factors, which are likely to have a significant impact on our future expected credit losses.

# BUSINESS SEGMENT RESULTS

Results of our three business segments are intended to reflect each segment as if it were a stand-alone business. Under our segment reporting structure, the sum of the results for our three business segments does not equal our condensed consolidated

results of operations as we separate the activity related to our consolidated trusts from the results generated by our three segments. In addition, because we apply accounting methods that differ from our condensed consolidated results for segment reporting purposes, we include an eliminations/adjustments category to reconcile our business segment results and the activity related to our consolidated trusts to our condensed consolidated results of operations. We describe the management reporting and allocation process used to generate our segment results in our 2011 Form 10-K in "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 14, Segment Reporting." We are working on reorganizing our company by function rather than by business in order to improve our operational efficiencies and effectiveness. In future periods, we may change some of our management reporting and how we report our business segment results. In this section, we summarize our segment results for the second quarter and first half of 2012 and 2011 in the tables below and provide a comparative discussion of these results. This section should be read together with our comparative discussion of our condensed consolidated results to our condensed consolidated results. Single-Family Business Results

Table 16 displays the financial results of our Single-Family business for the periods indicated. For a discussion on Single-Family credit risk management, including information on serious delinquency rates and loan workouts, see "Risk Management—Credit Risk Management—Single-Family Mortgage Credit Risk Management." The primary source of revenue for our Single-Family business is guaranty fee income. Expenses and other items that impact income or loss primarily include credit-related income (expenses), net interest loss and administrative expenses. Table 16: Single-Family Business Results

<i>.</i>	For the Three Months Ended June 30,					For the Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2012		2011		Variance	2012	2011	Variance	
	(Dollars in	m	illions)						
Net interest loss <sup>(1)</sup>	\$(215	)	\$(680	)	\$465	\$(594)	\$(1,578)	\$984	
Guaranty fee income <sup>(2)(3)</sup>	1,970		1,880		90	3,881	3,751	130	
Credit-related income (expenses) <sup>(4)</sup>	3,015		(5,933	)	8,948	630	(17,039)	17,669	
Other expenses $^{(3)(5)}$	(416	)	(372	)	(44)	(831)	(958)	127	
Income (loss) before federal income taxes	4,354		(5,105	)	9,459	3,086	(15,824)	18,910	
Benefit for federal income taxes			109		(109)		107	(107)	
Net income (loss) attributable to Fannie Mae	\$4,334		\$(4,996	)	\$9,350	\$3,086	\$(15,717)	\$18,803	
Single-family effective guaranty fee rate (in basis points) $^{(3)(6)}$	27.7		26.1			27.3	26.1		
Single-family average charged guaranty fee on new acquisitions (in basis points) <sup>(3)(7)</sup>	40.3		31.6			34.2	28.0		
Average single-family guaranty book of business <sup>(8)</sup>	\$2,848,947		\$2,886,50	9		\$2,846,754	\$2,879,369		
Single-family Fannie Mae MBS issuances <sup>(9)</sup>	\$175,043		\$102,654			\$371,798	\$269,327		

Primarily includes: (1) the cost to reimburse the Capital Markets group for interest income not recognized for loans

(1) in our mortgage portfolio on nonaccrual status; (2) the cost to reimburse MBS trusts for interest income not recognized for loans in consolidated trusts on nonaccrual status; and (3) income from cash payments received on loans that have been placed on nonaccrual status.

(2) Guaranty fee income is included in fee and other income in our condensed consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss).

(3) Pursuant to the TCCA, effective April 1, 2012, we increased the guaranty fee on all single-family residential mortgages delivered to us on or after that date for securitization by 10 basis points, and the incremental revenue must be remitted to Treasury. The resulting revenue is included in guaranty fee income and the expense is included

## Edgar Filing: FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION FANNIE MAE - Form 10-Q

in other expenses. This increase in guaranty fee is also included in the single-family charged guaranty fee.

- <sup>(4)</sup> Consists of the benefit (provision) for credit losses and foreclosed property income (expense).
- (5) Consists of investment gains (losses), net, fair value losses, net, fee and other income, administrative expenses and other expenses.
- (6) Calculated based on annualized Single-Family segment guaranty fee income divided by the average single-family guaranty book of business, expressed in basis points.

Calculated based on the average contractual fee rate for our single-family guaranty arrangements entered into

# <sup>(7)</sup> during the period plus the recognition of any upfront cash payments ratably over an estimated average life, expressed in basis points.

Consists of single-family mortgage loans held in our mortgage portfolio, single-family mortgage loans held by consolidated trusts, single-family Fannie Mae MBS issued from unconsolidated trusts held by either third parties or

- <sup>(8)</sup> within our retained portfolio, and other credit enhancements that we provide on single-family mortgage assets. Excludes non-Fannie Mae mortgage-related securities held in our investment portfolio for which we do not provide a guaranty.
- (9) Reflects unpaid principal balance of Fannie Mae MBS issued and guaranteed by the Single-Family segment during the period.

Single-Family business results reflected net income in the second quarter and first half of 2012 primarily due to credit-related income, compared with a net loss in the second quarter and first half of 2011 primarily due to credit-related expenses. In addition, net interest loss decreased and guaranty fee income increased in the second quarter and first half of 2012.

Single-family credit-related income represents the substantial majority of our consolidated activity. We provide a discussion of our credit-related income (expense) and credit losses in "Consolidated Results of Operations—Credit-Related (Income) Expenses."

The decrease in net interest loss in the second quarter and first half of 2012 was primarily due to a reduction in the amount of interest income not recognized for nonaccrual mortgage loans in our condensed consolidated balance sheet as we continued to complete a high number of loan workouts and foreclosures. In addition, as loans with stronger credit profiles become a larger portion of our single-family guaranty book of business, a smaller percentage of our loans are becoming seriously delinquent.

Guaranty fee income increased in the second quarter and first half of 2012 compared with the second quarter and first half of 2011 primarily due to an increase in the amortization of risk-based fees, reflecting the impact of higher risk-based pricing associated with our more recent acquisition vintages. Additionally, as described in

"Business—Legislative and Regulatory Developments—Changes to Our Single-Family Guaranty Fee Pricing" in our 2011 Form 10-K, in December 2011, Congress enacted the TCCA which, among other provisions, requires that we increase our single-family guaranty fees by at least 10 basis points and remit this increase to Treasury, rather than retaining the incremental revenue. Effective April 1, 2012, the guaranty fee on all single-family residential mortgages delivered to Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac on or after that date for securitization was increased by 10 basis points; accordingly, the Single-Family average charged guaranty fee increased. The resulting revenue is included in guaranty fee income, and the expense is included in other expenses. Under the terms of the TCCA, the first payment of \$26 million is due to Treasury in the third quarter of 2012.

Growth in our average single-family guaranty book of business was relatively flat in the second quarter and first half of 2012 compared with the second quarter and first half of 2011, despite our continued high market share because of the decline in U.S. residential mortgage debt outstanding. Our estimated market share of new single-family mortgage-related securities issuances, which excludes previously securitized mortgages, remained high at 46% for the second quarter of 2012 and 48% for the first half of 2012.

Multifamily Business Results

Multifamily business results primarily reflect our multifamily guaranty business. Our multifamily business results also include activity relating to our low income housing tax credit ("LIHTC") and equity investments. Although we are no longer making new LIHTC or equity investments, we continue to make contractually required contributions for our legacy investments. Activity from multifamily products is also reflected in the Capital Markets group results, which include net interest income related to multifamily loans and securities, gains and losses from the sale of multifamily Fannie Mae MBS and re-securitizations, and other miscellaneous income. Estimated net interest income earned on multifamily mortgage loans and multifamily Fannie Mae MBS in the Capital Markets group results was \$215 million for the second quarter of 2012 compared with \$222 million for the second quarter of 2011 and \$419 million for the first half of 2012 compared with \$452 million for the first half of 2011.

Table 17 displays the financial results of our Multifamily business for the periods indicated. The primary sources of revenue for our multifamily business are guaranty fee income and fee and other income. Expenses and other items that

# Edgar Filing: FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION FANNIE MAE - Form 10-Q

impact income or loss primarily include credit-related income (expenses) and administrative expenses.

Table 17: Multifamily Business Results

Tuble 17. Multifulinity Dusiness Results	For the Three Months Ended June 30,					For the Six Months Ended June 30,				e		
	2012	2	2011		Varian	ice	2012		2011		Variar	nce
	(Dollars in											
Guaranty fee income <sup>(1)</sup>	\$252	5	\$216		\$36		\$495		\$425		\$70	
Fee and other income	49	4	57		(8	)	96		115		(19	)
Gains from partnership investments <sup>(2)</sup>	18	2	34		(16	)	29		22		7	
Credit-related income (expense) <sup>(3)</sup>	96	(	(126	)	222		142		(62	)	204	
Other expenses <sup>(4)</sup>	(57)	) (	(38	)	(19	)	(125	)	(105	)	(20	)
Income before federal income taxes	358	1	143		215		637		395		242	
Provision for federal income taxes		(	(56	)	56				(61	)	61	
Net income attributable to Fannie Mae	\$358	5	\$87		\$271		\$637		\$334		\$303	
Multifamily effective guaranty fee rate (in basis points) $^{(5)}$	<sup>8</sup> 51.0	2	45.2				50.3		44.6			
Multifamily credit loss performance ratio (in basis points) <sup>(6)</sup>	10.1	2	25.5				18.9		21.4			
Average multifamily guaranty book of business <sup>(7)</sup>	\$197,691	5	\$191,039	)			\$196,855	5	\$190,49	93		
Multifamily new business volumes <sup>(8)</sup>	\$6,738	5	\$5,439				\$13,897		\$10,463	3		
Multifamily units financed from new business volumes	119,000	Ç	96,000				236,000		179,000	)		
Multifamily Fannie Mae MBS issuances <sup>(9)</sup>	\$7,542	5	\$8,129				\$16,393		\$16,710	)		
Multifamily Fannie Mae structured securities issuances (issued by Capital Markets group) <sup>(10)</sup>	\$1,186	S	\$1,622				\$3,424		\$3,022			
Additional net interest income earned on Fannie												
Mae multifamily mortgage loans and MBS	\$215	S	\$222				\$419		\$452			
(included in Capital Markets Group's results) <sup>11)</sup>	)											
Average Fannie Mae multifamily mortgage	<b>* 1 0 0 0 0</b>		<b>.</b>				<b>.</b>		<b>.</b>			
loans and MBS in Capital Markets Group's portfolio <sup>(12)</sup>	\$100,639	5	\$112,208	3			\$102,368	3	\$113,27	/2		
portiono							As of					
							June 30	).	D	ece	mber	
							2012	,			011	
								s iı	n million			
Multifamily serious delinquency rate							0.29		%	0.5	i9	%
Percentage of multifamily guaranty book of bus	siness with o	cre	edit enha	nce	ement		90		%	90		%
Fannie Mae percentage of total multifamily more							21.4		%	21	.2	%
Multifamily Fannie Mae MBS outstanding <sup>(14)</sup>				-			\$112,9	944	4	\$1	01,574	

(1) Guaranty fee income is included in fee and other income in our condensed consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss).

Gains from partnership investments are included in other expenses in our condensed consolidated statements of

(2) operations and comprehensive income (loss). Gains from partnership investments are reported using the equity method of accounting. As a result, net income attributable to noncontrolling interest from partnership investments is not included in income for the Multifamily segment.

<sup>(3)</sup> Consists of the benefit (provision) for credit losses and foreclosed property income (expense).

<sup>(4)</sup> Consists of net interest loss, investment gains, administrative expenses, and other income.

(5) Calculated based on annualized Multifamily segment guaranty fee income divided by the average multifamily guaranty book of business, expressed in basis points.

- (6) Calculated based on the annualized Multifamily credit losses divided by the average multifamily guaranty book of business, expressed in basis points.
- (7) Consists of multifamily mortgage loans held in our mortgage portfolio, multifamily mortgage loans held by consolidated trusts,

multifamily Fannie Mae MBS issued from unconsolidated trusts held by either third parties or within our retained portfolio, and other credit enhancements that we provide on multifamily mortgage assets. Excludes non-Fannie Mae mortgage-related securities held in our investment portfolio for which we do not provide a guaranty.

(8)

Reflects unpaid principal balance of multifamily Fannie Mae MBS issued (excluding portfolio securitizations) and multifamily loans purchased during the period.

Reflects unpaid principal balance of multifamily Fannie Mae MBS issued during the period. Includes: (a) issuances of new MBS, (b) Fannie Mae portfolio securitization transactions of \$817 million and \$2.8 billion for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and \$2.4 billion and \$6.3 billion for the six months ended

- (9) June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and (c) conversions of adjustable-rate loans to fixed-rate loans and discount MBS ("DMBS") to MBS of \$27 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, and \$190 million and \$119 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. There were no conversions of adjustable-rate loans to fixed-rate loans and DMBS securities to MBS securities for the three months ended June 30, 2011.
- (10) Reflects original unpaid principal balance of out-of-portfolio multifamily structured securities issuances by our Capital Markets Group.

Interest expense estimate is based on allocated duration-matched funding costs. Net interest income was reduced <sup>(11)</sup> by guaranty fees allocated to Multifamily from the Capital Markets Group on multifamily loans in Fannie Mae's portfolio.

- <sup>(12)</sup> Based on unpaid principal balance. Includes mortgage loans and Fannie Mae MBS issued and guaranteed by the Multifamily segment. Information labeled as of June 30, 2012 is as of March 31, 2012 and is based on the Federal Reserve's March 2012 mortgage
- <sup>(13)</sup> debt outstanding release, the latest date for which the Federal Reserve has estimated mortgage debt outstanding for multifamily residences. Prior period amounts have been changed to reflect revised historical data from the Federal Reserve.

Includes \$30.2 billion and \$28.3 billion of Fannie Mae multifamily MBS held in the mortgage portfolio, the vast majority of which have been consolidated to loans in our condensed consolidated balance sheets, as of June 30,

(14) 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, and \$1.4 billion of bonds issued by state and local housing finance agencies as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

Multifamily net income increased in the second guarter and first half of 2012 compared with the second guarter and first half of 2011 primarily due to an increase in guaranty fee income and credit-related income in the second quarter and first half of 2012 compared with credit-related expense in the second guarter and first half of 2011. Guaranty fee income increased in the second quarter and first half of 2012 compared with the second quarter and first

half of 2011 as we continue to acquire loans with higher guaranty fees. Our acquisitions of loans with higher guaranty fees have become a larger part of our multifamily guaranty book of business, while loans with lower guaranty fees continue to liquidate.

Multifamily credit-related income in the second quarter and first half of 2012 was primarily due to reductions to our total loss reserves resulting from an improvement in national multifamily market fundamentals. In comparison, multifamily credit-related expenses in the second quarter and first half of 2011 were primarily due to credit losses, combined with a stable allowance in the second quarter of 2011, as national improvement in the multifamily market was offset by weakness in certain local markets. Multifamily credit losses, which consist of net charge-offs and foreclosed property income (expense), were \$50 million for the second quarter of 2012 compared with \$122 million for the second quarter of 2011, and \$186 million for the first half of 2012 compared with \$204 million for the first half of 2011.

# **Capital Markets Group Results**

Table 18 displays the financial results of our Capital Markets group for the periods indicated. Following the table we discuss the Capital Markets group's financial results and describe the Capital Markets group's mortgage portfolio. For a discussion of the debt issued by the Capital Markets group to fund its investment activities, see "Liquidity and Capital Management." For a discussion of the derivative instruments that the Capital Markets group uses to manage interest rate risk, see "Consolidated Balance Sheet Analysis—Derivative Instruments" and "Risk Management—Market Risk Management, Including Interest Rate Risk Management-Derivative Instruments" in our 2011 Form 10-K and "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 9, Derivative Instruments" in both this report and our 2011 Form 10-K. The primary sources of revenue for our Capital Markets group are net interest income and fee and other income. Expenses and other items that impact income or loss primarily include fair value gains and losses, investment gains and losses, other-than-temporary impairments, allocated guaranty fee expense and administrative expenses.

	For the Thr	ee Months l	Ended June 30,	For the Six Months Ended June 30					
	2012	2011	Variance	2012	2011	Variance			
(Dollars in millions)									
Net interest income <sup>(1)</sup>	\$3,443	\$3,867	\$(424)	\$6,984	\$7,577	\$(593)			
Investment gains, net <sup>(2)</sup>	1,458	918	540	2,465	1,788	677			
Net other-than-temporary impairments	(597)	(55)	(542)	(661)	(99)	(562)			
Fair value losses, net <sup>(3)</sup>	(2,461)	(1,507)	(954)	(2,291)	(1,289)	(1,002)			
Fee and other income	186	109	77	366	184	182			
Other expenses <sup>(4)</sup>	(556)	(560)	4	(1,086)	(1,113)	27			
Income before federal income taxes	1,473	2,772	(1,299)	5,777	7,048	(1,271)			
Benefit for federal income taxes		40	(40)		45	(45)			
Net income attributable to Fannie Mae	\$1,473	\$2,812	\$(1,339)	\$5,777	\$7,093	\$(1,316)			

Table 18: Capital Markets Group Results

Includes contractual interest income, excluding recoveries, on nonaccrual loans received from the Single-Family segment of \$1.3 billion and \$1.5 billion for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and \$2.7 (1) billion and \$3.5 billion for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The Capital Markets group's

(1) onnon and \$5.5 onnon for the six months ended such 50, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The Capital Warkets group's net interest income is reported based on the mortgage-related assets held in the segment's portfolio and excludes interest income on mortgage-related assets held by consolidated MBS trusts that are owned by third parties and the interest expense on the corresponding debt of such trusts.

(2) We include the securities that we own regardless of whether the trust has been consolidated in reporting of gains and losses on securitizations and sales of available-for-sale securities.

(3) Includes fair value gains or losses on derivatives and trading securities that we own, regardless of whether the trust has been consolidated.

Includes allocated guaranty fee expense, debt extinguishment losses, net, administrative expenses, and other

(4) expenses. Gains or losses related to the extinguishment of debt issued by consolidated trusts are excluded from the Capital Markets group's results because purchases of securities are recognized as such.

The Capital Markets group's results reflected a decrease in net income in the second quarter and first half of 2012 compared with the second quarter and first half of 2011 primarily due to a decrease in net interest income and an increase in net other-than-temporary impairments and fair value losses, partially offset by an increase in investment gains.

Net interest income decreased in the second quarter and first half of 2012 primarily due to a decrease in the balance of mortgage-related securities and lower interest rates on loans in our mortgage portfolio. This decrease in interest income on our interest-earning assets was partially offset by a decline in interest expense due to lower funding needs and lower borrowing rates, which allowed us to continue to replace higher-cost debt with lower-cost debt. Our net interest income and net interest yield were higher than they would have otherwise been in the second quarter and first half of 2012 and 2011 because our debt funding needs were lower than would otherwise have been required as a result of funds we have received from Treasury to date under the senior preferred stock purchase agreement and dividends paid to Treasury are not recognized as interest expense.

We supplement our issuance of debt securities with derivative instruments to further reduce duration risk, which includes prepayment risk. The effect of these derivatives, in particular the periodic net interest expense accruals on interest rate swaps, is not reflected in the Capital Markets group's net interest income but is included in our results as a component of "Fair value losses, net" and is displayed in "Table 10: Fair Value Losses, Net." If we had included the economic impact of adding the net contractual interest accruals on our interest rate swaps in our Capital Markets group's interest expense, the Capital Markets group's net interest income would have decreased by \$391 million in the second quarter of 2012 compared with a decrease of \$658 million in the second quarter of 2011, and would have decreased by \$765 million for the first half of 2012 compared with a decrease of \$1.3 billion for the first half of 2011. The net other-than-temporary impairments recognized by the Capital Markets group during the second quarter and first half of 2012 are consistent with our condensed consolidated results of operations as described in "Consolidated Results of Operations—Other-Than-Temporary Impairment of Investment Securities." In addition, see "Note 5,

Investments in Securities" for information on our other-than-temporary impairments by major security type and primary drivers for other-than-temporary impairments recorded in the second quarter and first half of 2012.

Fair value losses increased in the second quarter and first half of 2012 primarily due to an increase in derivative fair value losses. The derivatives fair value losses that are reported for the Capital Markets group are consistent with the losses reported in our condensed consolidated results of operations. We discuss our derivatives fair value losses in "Consolidated Results of Operations—Fair Value Losses, Net."

Investment gains increased in the second quarter and first half of 2012 compared with the second quarter and first half of 2011 due to a higher volume of securitizations.

The Capital Markets Group's Mortgage Portfolio

The Capital Markets group's mortgage portfolio consists of mortgage loans and mortgage-related securities that we own. Mortgage-related securities held by the Capital Markets group include Fannie Mae MBS and non-Fannie Mae mortgage-related securities. The Fannie Mae MBS that we own are maintained as securities on the Capital Markets group's balance sheet. Mortgage-related assets held by consolidated MBS trusts are not included in the Capital Markets group's mortgage portfolio.

The amount of mortgage assets that we may own is restricted by our senior preferred stock purchase agreement with Treasury. By December 31 of each year, we are required to reduce our mortgage assets to 90% of the maximum allowable amount that we were permitted to own as of December 31 of the immediately preceding calendar year, until the amount of our mortgage assets reaches \$250 billion. The maximum allowable amount of mortgage assets we may own was reduced to \$729 billion as of December 31, 2011 and will be reduced to \$656.1 billion as of December 31, 2012. As of June 30, 2012, we owned \$672.8 billion in mortgage assets, compared with \$708.4 billion as of December 31, 2011.

Table 19 displays our Capital Markets group's mortgage portfolio activity for the periods indicated.

Table 19: Capital Markets Group's Mortgage Portfolio Activitý<sup>1)</sup>

	For the Th	ree Months	For the Six	Months
	Ended June	e 30,	Ended June	e 30,
	2012	2011	2012	2011
	(Dollars in	millions)		
Mortgage loans:				
Beginning balance	\$394,777	\$421,856	\$398,271	\$427,074
Purchases	55,760	28,290	109,685	66,364
Securitizations <sup>(2)</sup>	(44,521)	(22,559)	(82,893)	(46,542)
Liquidations <sup>(3)</sup>	(19,212)	(22,170)	(38,259)	(41,479)
Mortgage loans, ending balance	386,804	405,417	386,804	405,417
Mortgage securities:				
Beginning balance	296,886	335,762	310,143	361,697
Purchases <sup>(4)</sup>	5,520	4,533	10,491	9,623
Securitizations <sup>(2)</sup>	44,521	22,559	82,893	46,542
Sales	(45,249)	(21,635)	(86,495)	(57,061)
Liquidations <sup>(3)</sup>	(15,696)	(14,835)	(31,050)	(34,417)
Mortgage securities, ending balance	285,982	326,384	285,982	326,384
Total Capital Markets mortgage portfolio	\$672,786	\$731,801	\$672,786	\$731,801

<sup>(1)</sup> Based on unpaid principal balance.

<sup>(2)</sup> Includes portfolio securitization transactions that do not qualify for sale treatment under GAAP.

<sup>(3)</sup> Includes scheduled repayments, prepayments, foreclosures and lender repurchases.

<sup>(4)</sup> Includes purchases of Fannie Mae MBS issued by consolidated trusts.

Table 20 displays the composition of the Capital Markets group's mortgage portfolio as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

Table 20: Capital Markets Group's Mortgage Portfolio Composition<sup>1)</sup>

	As of	
	June 30,	December 31,
	2012	2011
	(Dollars in n	nillions)
Capital Markets group's mortgage loans:		
Single-family loans:		
Government insured or guaranteed	\$41,300	\$41,555
Conventional:		
Long-term, fixed-rate	245,314	245,810
Intermediate-term, fixed-rate	10,037	10,289
Adjustable-rate	20,589	23,490
Total single-family conventional	275,940	279,589
Total single-family loans	317,240	321,144
Multifamily loans:		
Government insured or guaranteed	336	362
Conventional:		
Long-term, fixed-rate	3,459	3,629
Intermediate-term, fixed-rate	52,747	58,885
Adjustable-rate	13,022	14,251
Total multifamily conventional	69,228	76,765
Total multifamily loans	69,564	77,127
Total Capital Markets group's mortgage loans	386,804	398,271
Capital Markets group's mortgage-related securities:		
Fannie Mae	201,911	220,061
Freddie Mac	12,954	14,509
Ginnie Mae	976	1,043
Alt-A private-label securities	18,392	19,670
Subprime private-label securities	15,796	16,538
CMBS	21,927	23,226
Mortgage revenue bonds	10,012	10,899
Other mortgage-related securities	4,014	4,197
Total Capital Markets group's mortgage-related securities <sup>2)</sup>	285,982	310,143
Total Capital Markets group's mortgage portfolio	\$672,786	\$708,414

<sup>(1)</sup> Based on unpaid principal balance.

(2) The fair value of these mortgage-related securities was \$293.1 billion and \$316.5 billion as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

The Capital Markets group's mortgage portfolio decreased as of June 30, 2012 compared with December 31, 2011 primarily due to liquidations, partially offset by purchases of delinquent loans from MBS trusts. The total unpaid principal balance of nonperforming loans in the Capital Markets group's mortgage portfolio was \$233.9 billion as of June 30, 2012 and \$236.2 billion as of December 31, 2011. This population includes loans that have been modified and have been classified as TDRs, as well as unmodified delinquent loans that are on nonaccrual status in our condensed consolidated financial statements.

We expect to continue to purchase loans from MBS trusts as they become four or more consecutive monthly payments delinquent subject to market conditions, economic benefit, servicer capacity, and other factors including the limit on the mortgage assets that we may own pursuant to the senior preferred stock purchase agreement. We purchased approximately 152,600 delinquent loans with an unpaid principal balance of \$25.5 billion from our single-family MBS trusts in the first half

of 2012. As of June 30, 2012, the total unpaid principal balance of all loans in single-family MBS trusts that were delinquent as to four or more consecutive monthly payments was \$4.0 billion.

#### CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET ANALYSIS

This section provides a discussion of our condensed consolidated balance sheets as of the dates indicated and should be read together with our condensed consolidated financial statements, including the accompanying notes.

c

Table 21 displays a summary of our condensed consolidated balance sheets as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

Table 21: Summary of Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

	As of		
	June 30,	December 31,	Variance
	2012	2011	variance
	(Dollars in m	illions)	
Assets			
Cash and cash equivalents and federal funds sold and securities purchased	\$48,728	\$63,539	\$(14,811)
under agreements to resell or similar arrangements	\$40,720	\$05,559	\$(14,011)
Restricted cash	55,985	50,797	5,188
Investments in securities <sup>(1)</sup>	120,629	151,780	(31,151)
Mortgage loans:			
Of Fannie Mae	370,043	380,379	(10,336)
Of consolidated trusts	2,616,574	2,590,398	26,176
Allowance for loan losses	(63,375)	(72,156)	8,781
Mortgage loans, net of allowance for loan losses	2,923,242	2,898,621	24,621
Other assets <sup>(2)</sup>	47,036	46,747	289
Total assets	\$3,195,620	\$3,211,484	\$(15,864)
Liabilities and equity (deficit)			
Debt:			
Of Fannie Mae	\$659,389	\$732,444	\$(73,055)
Of consolidated trusts	2,504,499	2,457,428	47,071
Other liabilities <sup>(3)</sup>	28,962	26,183	2,779
Total liabilities	3,192,850	3,216,055	(23,205)
Senior preferred stock	117,149	112,578	4,571
Other deficit <sup>(4)</sup>	(114,379)	(117,149)	2,770
Total equity (deficit)	2,770	(4,571)	7,341
Total liabilities and equity (deficit)	\$3,195,620	\$3,211,484	\$(15,864)

Includes \$27.6 billion as of June 30, 2012 and \$49.8 billion as of December 31, 2011 of non-mortgage-related

<sup>(1)</sup> securities that are included in our other investments portfolio, which we present in "Table 31: Cash and Other Investments Portfolio."

<sup>(2)</sup> Consists of accrued interest receivable, net; acquired property, net; and other assets.

(3) Consists of accrued interest payable, federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase, and other liabilities.

(4) Consists of preferred stock, common stock, accumulated deficit, accumulated other comprehensive loss, treasury stock, and noncontrolling interest.

Cash and Other Investments Portfolio

Our cash and other investments portfolio consists of cash and cash equivalents, federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell or similar arrangements, and investments in non-mortgage-related securities. See "Liquidity and Capital Management—Liquidity Management—Cash and Other Investments Portfolio" for additional information on our cash and other investments portfolio.

## Restricted Cash

Restricted cash primarily includes unscheduled borrower payments received by the servicer or consolidated trusts due to be remitted to the MBS certificateholders in the subsequent month. Our restricted cash increased as of June 30, 2012 compared with the balance as of December 31, 2011 primarily due to an increase in refinance activity, resulting in an increase in unscheduled payments received.

Investments in Mortgage-Related Securities

Our investments in mortgage-related securities are classified in our condensed consolidated balance sheets as either trading or available-for-sale and are measured at fair value. Unrealized and realized gains and losses on trading securities are included as a component of "Fair value losses, net" and unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities are included in "Other comprehensive income (loss)" in our condensed consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss). Realized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities are recognized when securities are sold in "Investment gains, net" in our condensed consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss). See "Note 5, Investments in Securities" for additional information on our investments in mortgage-related securities, including the composition of our trading and available-for-sale securities at amortized cost and fair value and the gross unrealized gains and losses related to our available-for-sale securities as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

Table 22 displays the fair value of our investments in mortgage-related securities, including trading and available-for-sale securities, as of the dates indicated.

Table 22: Summary of Mortgage-Related Securities at Fair Value

	As of		
	June 30,	December	
	2012	31, 2011	
	(Dollars in millions)		
Mortgage-related securities:			
Fannie Mae	\$20,007	\$24,274	
Freddie Mac	13,957	15,555	
Ginnie Mae	1,111	1,189	
Alt-A private-label securities	12,479	13,032	
Subprime private-label securities	8,456	8,866	
CMBS	23,598	24,437	
Mortgage revenue bonds	10,046	10,978	
Other mortgage-related securities	3,374	3,601	
Total	\$93,028	\$101,932	

Investments in Private-Label Mortgage-Related Securities

We classify private-label securities as Alt-A, subprime, multifamily or manufactured housing if the securities were labeled as such when issued. We have also invested in private-label subprime mortgage-related securities that we have resecuritized to include our guaranty ("wraps").

The continued negative impact of the current economic environment, including sustained weakness in the housing market and high unemployment, has adversely affected the performance of our Alt-A and subprime private-label securities. The unpaid principal balance of our investments in Alt-A and subprime securities was \$34.2 billion as of June 30, 2012, of which \$29.8 billion was rated below investment grade. Table 23 displays the unpaid principal balance and the fair value of our investments in Alt-A and subprime private-label securities along with an analysis of the cumulative losses on these investments as of June 30, 2012. We had realized actual cumulative principal shortfalls of approximately 7% as of June 30, 2012 and 6% as of December 31, 2011 of the total cumulative credit losses reported in this table and reflected in our condensed consolidated financial statements.

As of June 30, 2012								
Unpaid								
Principal Fair C		Noncredit	Credit					
Balance Value 1		Component <sup>(2)</sup>	Component <sup>(3)</sup>					
lars in millions)	)	-	-					
23 \$1,296	\$(1,188)	\$(17)	\$(1,171)					
6 1,226	(1,289)	(381)	(908)					
9 2,522	(2,477)	(398)	(2,079)					
69 11,183	(5,330)	(1,004)	(4,326)					
80 7,230	(6,089)	(1,465)	(4,624)					
49 18,413	(11,419)	(2,469)	(8,950)					
188 20,935	\$(13,896)	\$(2,867)	\$(11,029)					
	aid cipal Fair nce Value lars in millions 23 \$1,296 6 1,226 9 2,522 69 11,183 80 7,230 49 18,413	aid     Total       cipal     Fair     Cumulative       nce     Value     Losses (1)       lars in millions)     (1,188)       23     \$1,296     \$(1,188)       6     1,226     (1,289)       9     2,522     (2,477)       69     11,183     (5,330)       80     7,230     (6,089)       49     18,413     (11,419)	aidTotal CumulativeNoncredit Component $^{(2)}$ cipal FairCumulativeNoncredit Component $^{(2)}$ nceValueLosses $^{(1)}$ Component $^{(2)}$ lars in millions)(1,188)\$ (17)23\$1,296\$ (1,188)\$ (17)61,226(1,289)(381)92,522(2,477)(398)6911,183(5,330)(1,004)807,230(6,089)(1,465)4918,413(11,419)(2,469)					

# Table 23: Analysis of Losses on Alt-A and Subprime Private-Label Mortgage-Related Securities

(1) Amounts reflect the difference between the fair value and unpaid principal balance net of unamortized premiums, discounts and certain other cost basis adjustments.

Represents the estimated portion of the total cumulative losses that is noncredit-related. We have calculated the (2) credit component based on the difference between the amortized cost basis of the securities and the present value

<sup>(2)</sup> of expected future cash flows. The remaining difference between the fair value and the present value of expected future cash flows is classified as noncredit-related.

For securities classified as trading, amounts reflect the estimated portion of the total cumulative losses that is (3) credit-related. For securities classified as available-for-sale, amounts reflect the estimated portion of total

- <sup>(3)</sup> cumulative other-than-temporary credit impairment losses, net of accretion, that are recognized in our condensed consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss).
- (4) Excludes resecuritizations, or wraps, of private-label securities backed by subprime loans that we have guaranteed and hold in our mortgage portfolio as Fannie Mae securities.

Table 24 displays the 60 days or more delinquency rates and average loss severities for the loans underlying our Alt-A and subprime private-label mortgage-related securities for the most recent remittance period of the current reporting quarter. The delinquency rates and average loss severities are based on available data provided by Intex Solutions, Inc. ("Intex") and CoreLogic, LoanPerformance ("CoreLogic"). We also present the average credit enhancement and monoline financial guaranteed amount for these securities as of June 30, 2012. Based on the stressed condition of our non-governmental financial guarantors, we believe that all but one of these counterparties may not be able to fully meet their obligations to us in the future. See "Risk Management—Credit Risk Management—Institutional Counterparty Credit Risk Management—Financial Guarantors" in this report and in our 2011 Form 10-K for additional information on our financial guarantor exposure and the counterparty risk associated with our financial guarantors.

Table 24: Credit Statistics of Loans Underlying Alt-A and Subprime Private-Label Mortgage-Related Securities (Including Wraps)

	As of Jur	ne 30, 2012									
	Unpaid P			Monoline Financial							
		Available-			60 Days Loss			Average Cre	dit	Guaranteed	
Private-label mortgage-re		in millions)	Wraps <sup>(1)</sup>	Delinquen	nt <sup>(2)(3</sup>	<sup>3)</sup> Severity	y(3)(4)	) Enhancemen	t <sup>(3)(:</sup>	<sup>5)</sup> Amount <sup>(6)</sup>	
Alt-A mortgage loans: Option ARM Alt-A mortgage loans:											
2004 and prior	\$—	\$460	\$—	29.8	%	60.0	%	13.6	%	\$—	
2005		1,235		39.1		57.9		36.0		228	
2006		1,084		41.2		66.3		22.5		69	
2007	1,741			44.0		67.4		51.5		551	
Other Alt-A mortgage											
loans:											
2004 and prior		5,667		10.2		50.9		12.3		12	
2005	80	3,787	104	21.1		58.2		5.0			
2006	56	3,528		25.1		62.3		0.3			
2007	646		150	38.1		70.1		32.8		255	
2008		108		27.8		67.0		24.4			
Total Alt-A mortgage loans	2,523	15,869	254							1,115	
Subprime mortgage loans:											
2004 and prior		1,518	922	21.8		75.6		60.5		578	
2005		155	1,166	38.5		77.3		56.8		221	
2006		11,025		44.8		77.4		15.6		52	
2007	2,516	582	5,216	44.9		77.4		19.8		169	
Total subprime mortgage loans	2,310	13,280	7,304							1,020	
Total Alt-A and subprime mortgage loans	<sup>e</sup> \$5,039	\$29,149	\$7,558							\$2,135	

(1) Represents our exposure to private-label Alt-A and subprime mortgage-related securities that have been resecuritized (or wrapped) to include our guarantee.

Delinquency data provided by Intex, where available, for loans backing Alt-A and subprime private-label mortgage-related securities that we own or guarantee. The reported Intex delinquency data reflect information from

<sup>(2)</sup> June 2012 remittances for May 2012 payments. For consistency purposes, we have adjusted the Intex delinquency data, where appropriate, to include all foreclosures, all REO and loans that were in bankruptcy and 60 or more days delinquent.

The average delinquency, severity and credit enhancement metrics are calculated for each loan pool associated
 <sup>(3)</sup> with securities where Fannie Mae has exposure and are weighted based on the unpaid principal balance of those securities.

Severity data obtained from CoreLogic, where available, for loans backing Alt-A and subprime private-label (4) mortgage-related securities that we own or guarantee. The CoreLogic severity data reflect information from June

<sup>(4)</sup> 1001gage-related securities that we own of guarantee. The corelogic severity data reflect information noninfunc-2012 remittances for May 2012 payments. For consistency purposes, we have adjusted the severity data, where appropriate.

Average credit enhancement percentage reflects both subordination and financial guarantees. Reflects the ratio of the current amount of the securities that will incur losses in the securitization structure before any losses are allocated to securities that we own or guarantee. Percentage generally calculated based on the quotient of the total

- (5) unpaid principal balance of all credit enhancements in the form of subordination or financial guarantee of the security divided by the total unpaid principal balance of all of the tranches of collateral pools from which credit support is drawn for the security that we own or guarantee. Beginning in March 2012, in calculating the weighted average credit enhancement percentage for bonds in the population that show negative credit enhancement in Intex due to under-collateralization, the negative credit enhancement amounts have been replaced with zero values.
- <sup>(6)</sup> Reflects amount of unpaid principal balance supported by financial guarantees from monoline financial guarantors.
- <sup>(7)</sup> Vintages are based on series date and not loan origination date.

## Mortgage Loans

The increase in mortgage loans, net of the allowance for loan losses, in the first half of 2012 was primarily driven by securitization activity from our lender swap and portfolio securitization programs. For additional information on our mortgage loans, see "Note 3, Mortgage Loans." For additional information on the mortgage loan purchase and sale activities reported by our Capital Markets group, see "Business Segment Results—Capital Markets Group Results." Debt

Debt of Fannie Mae is the primary means of funding our mortgage investments. We provide a summary of the activity of the debt of Fannie Mae and a comparison of the mix between our outstanding short-term and long-term debt in "Liquidity and Capital Management—Liquidity Management—Debt Funding." Also see "Note 8, Short-Term Borrowings and Long-Term Debt" for additional information on our outstanding debt.

Debt of consolidated trusts represents the amount of Fannie Mae MBS issued from consolidated trusts and held by third-party certificateholders. The increase in debt of consolidated trusts in the first half of 2012 was primarily driven by securitization activity from our lender swap and portfolio securitization programs.

### SUPPLEMENTAL NON-GAAP INFORMATION—FAIR VALUE BALANCE SHEETS

As part of our disclosure requirements with FHFA, we disclose on a quarterly basis supplemental non-GAAP consolidated fair value balance sheets, which reflect our assets and liabilities at estimated fair value.

Table 25 summarizes changes in our stockholders' equity (deficit) reported in our GAAP condensed consolidated balance sheets and in the estimated fair value of our net assets in our non-GAAP consolidated fair value balance sheets for the six months ended June 30, 2012. The estimated fair value of our net assets is calculated based on the difference between the fair value of our assets and the fair value of our liabilities, adjusted for noncontrolling interests. We use various valuation techniques to estimate fair value, some of which incorporate internal assumptions that are subjective and involve a high degree of management judgment. We describe the specific valuation techniques used to determine fair value and disclose the carrying value and fair value of our financial assets and liabilities in "Note 12, Fair Value."

Table 25: Comparative Measures—GAAP Change in Stockholders' Equity (Deficit) and Non-GAAP Change in Fair Value of Net Assets (Net of Tax Effect)

	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012				
	(Dollars in millions)				
GAAP consolidated balance sheets:					
Fannie Mae stockholders' deficit as of December 31, 201 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$(4,624)				
Total comprehensive income	8,527				
Capital transactions: <sup>(2)</sup>					
Funds received from Treasury under the senior preferred stock purchase agreement	4,571				
Senior preferred stock dividends	(5,750)				
Capital transactions, net	(1,179)				
Other	(4)				
Fannie Mae stockholders' equity as of June 30, 2012 <sup>1)</sup>	\$2,720				
Non-GAAP consolidated fair value balance sheets:					
Estimated fair value of net assets as of December 31, 2011	\$(127,848)				
Capital transactions, net	(1,179)				
Change in estimated fair value of net assets, excluding capital transactions	4,950				
Increase in estimated fair value of net assets, net	3,771				
Estimated fair value of net assets as of June 30, 2012	\$(124,077)				

Our net worth, as defined under the senior preferred stock purchase agreement, is equivalent to the "Total equity (1) (deficit)" amount reported in our condensed consolidated balance sheets. Our net worth, or total deficit, consists of

<sup>(1)</sup> "Total Fannie Mae's stockholders' equity (deficit)" and "Noncontrolling interest" reported in our condensed consolidated balance sheets.

<sup>(2)</sup> Represents capital transactions, which are reported in our condensed consolidated financial statements. During the first half of 2012, the estimated fair value of our net assets, excluding capital transactions, increased by \$5.0 billion. This increase was driven by a \$16.5 billion improvement in fair value of our net assets primarily attributable to higher actual home prices experienced in the second quarter of 2012, which lowered the expected losses on our guaranty book of business and improved mark-to-market loan-to-property values, resulting in an increase in the fair value of our mortgage loans.

The increase in fair value from higher actual home prices was partially offset by a decrease in fair value of \$11.5 billion primarily attributable to a change in the definition of principal market for certain of our loans as a result of the adoption of ASU 2011-04, Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurements and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRS, during the first half of 2012.

Cautionary Language Relating to Supplemental Non-GAAP Financial Measures

In reviewing our non-GAAP consolidated fair value balance sheets, there are a number of important factors and limitations to consider. The estimated fair value of our net assets is calculated as of a particular point in time based on our existing assets and liabilities. It does not incorporate other factors that may have a significant impact on our long-term fair value, including revenues generated from future business activities in which we expect to engage, the value from our foreclosure and loss mitigation efforts or the impact that legislation or potential regulatory actions may have on us. As a result, the estimated fair value of our net realizable value, liquidation value or our market value as a whole. Amounts we ultimately realize from the disposition of assets or settlement of liabilities may vary materially from the estimated fair values presented in our non-GAAP consolidated fair value balance sheets.

In addition, the fair value of our net assets attributable to common stockholders presented in our fair value balance sheet does not represent an estimate of the value we expect to realize from operating the company or what we expect to draw from Treasury under the terms of our senior preferred stock purchase agreement, primarily because:

The estimated fair value of our credit exposures significantly exceeds our projected credit losses as fair value takes into account certain assumptions about liquidity and required rates of return that a market participant

• may demand in assuming a credit obligation. Because we do not generally intend to have other parties assume the credit risk inherent in our book of business, and therefore would not be obligated to pay a market premium for its assumption, we do not expect the current market premium portion of our current estimate of fair value to impact future Treasury draws;

The fair value balance sheet does not reflect amounts we expect to draw in the future to pay dividends on the senior preferred stock; and

The fair value of our net assets reflects a point in time estimate of the fair value of our existing assets and liabilities, and does not incorporate the value associated with new business that may be added in the future.

The fair value of our net assets is not a measure defined within GAAP and may not be comparable to similarly titled measures reported by other companies.

Supplemental Non-GAAP Consolidated Fair Value Balance Sheets

We display our non-GAAP fair value balance sheets as of the dates indicated in Table 26.

Table 26: Suppleme	ental Non-GAAP Consolidated Fair Value Balance SheetsAs of June 30, 2012As of December 31, 2011									
	GAAP Carrying Value (Dollars in mil	Fair Value Adjustment <sup>(1)</sup>		Estimated Fair Value		GAAP Carrying Value	Fair Value Adjustment <sup>(1)</sup>		Estimated Fair Value	
Assets: Cash and cash equivalents Federal funds sold and securities	\$80,713	\$—		\$80,713		\$68,336	\$—		\$68,336	
purchased under agreements to resell or similar	<sup>24,000</sup>	_		24,000		46,000			46,000	
arrangements Trading securities	50,935			50,935		74,198			74,198	
Available-for-sale	69,694			69,694		77,582			77,582	
securities Mortgage loans:										
Mortgage loans hele for sale	<sup>d</sup> 455	12		467		311	14		325	
Mortgage loans held for investment, net of allowance for loan losses:	d									
Of Fannie Mae	317,578	(50,817	)	266,761		322,825	(27,829	)	294,996	
Of consolidated trusts	2,605,209	93,600	(2	)2,698,809	(3)	2,575,485	76,540	(2)	)2,652,025	(3)
Total mortgage loans	2,923,242	42,795		2,966,037	(4)	2,898,621	48,725		2,947,346	(4)
Advances to lenders	s 7,343	(90	)	7,253	(5) (6)	5,538	(118	)	5,420	(5)(6)
Derivative assets at fair value	602	—		602	(5) (6)	561	—		561	(5)(6)
Guaranty assets and buy-ups, net	<sup>1</sup> 474	392		866	(5)(6)	503	398		901	(5)(6)
Total financial assets	3,157,003	43,097		3,200,100	(7)	3,171,339	49,005		3,220,344	(7)
Credit enhancements	451	2,094		2,545	(5) (6)	455	2,550		3,005	(5)(6)
Other assets Total assets	38,166 \$3,195,620	(253 \$44,938	)	37,913 \$3,240,558	(5)(6)	39,690 \$3,211,484	(258 \$51,297	)	39,432 \$3,262,781	(5)(6)
Liabilities: Federal funds purchased and securities sold unde agreements to repurchase Short-term debt:		\$—		\$153		\$—	\$—		\$—	
Of Fannie Mae	92,906	11		92,917		146,752	30		146,782	

Of consolidated trusts Long-term debt:	3,908	_	3,908		4,973	_	4,973	
Of Fannie Mae	566,483 (	(8)27,082	593,565		585,692	(8)28,291	613,983	
Of consolidated trusts		(8) 142,607 (2	2)2,643,198		2,452,455	(8)144,202 (	2)2,596,657	
Derivative liabilities at fair value	<sup>s</sup> 919	_	919	(9)(10	))916	_	916	(9)(10)
Guaranty obligations	758	2,785	3,543	(9)(10	))811	3,133	3,944	(9)(10)
Total financial liabilities	3,165,718	172,485	3,338,203	(7)	3,191,599	175,656	3,367,255	(7)
Other liabilities Total liabilities Equity (deficit):	27,132 3,192,850	(750) 171,735	26,382 3,364,585	(9)(10	))24,456 3,216,055	(1,135) 174,521	23,321 3,390,576	(9)(10)
Fannie Mae stockholders' equity (deficit):	7							
Senior preferred <sup>(11)</sup>			117,149		112,578		112,578	
Preferred Common	19,130 (133,559)	(18,062) (108,735)	1,068 (242,294	)	19,130 (136,332)	(18,163) (105,061)	967 (241,393	)
Total Fannie Mae stockholders' equity		( ) )		,	(,,	( , ,	( )	,
(deficit)/non-GAAP fair value of net assets	\$2,720	\$(126,797)	\$(124,077	)	\$(4,624)	\$(123,224)	\$(127,848	)
Noncontrolling interest	50	_	50		53	_	53	
Total equity (deficit		(126,797)	(124,027	)	(4,571)	(123,224)	(127,795	)
Total liabilities and equity (deficit)	\$3,195,620	\$44,938	\$3,240,558		\$3,211,484	\$51,297	\$3,262,781	
45								

Explanation and Reconciliation of Non-GAAP Measures to GAAP Measures

Each of the amounts listed as a "fair value adjustment" represents the difference between the carrying value included <sup>(1)</sup> in our GAAP condensed consolidated balance sheets and our best judgment of the estimated fair value of the listed

- (1) in our GAAP condensed consolidated balance sheets and our best judgment of the estimated fair value of the listed item.
- (2) Fair value of consolidated loans is impacted by credit risk, which has no corresponding impact on the consolidated debt.
- (3) Includes certain mortgage loans that we elected to report at fair value in our GAAP condensed consolidated balance sheets of \$5.2 billion and \$3.6 billion as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. Performing loans had a fair value of \$2.9 trillion and an unpaid principal balance of \$2.8 trillion as of June 30, 2012 compared with a fair value of \$2.8 trillion and an unpaid principal balance of \$2.7 trillion as of December 31, 2011. Nonperforming loans, which for the purposes of our non-GAAP fair value balance sheets consists of loans
- (4) that are delinquent by one or more payments, had a fair value of \$107.3 billion and an unpaid principal balance of \$203.8 billion as of June 30, 2012 compared with a fair value of \$128.9 billion and an unpaid principal balance of \$226.5 billion as of December 31, 2011. See "Note 12, Fair Value" for additional information on valuation techniques for performing and nonperforming loans.

The following line items: (a) Advances to lenders; (b) Derivative assets at fair value; (c) Guaranty assets and

(5) buy-ups, net; (d) Credit enhancements; and (e) Other assets, together consist of the following assets presented in our GAAP condensed consolidated balance sheets: (a) Accrued interest receivable, net; (b) Acquired property, net; and (c) Other assets.

"Other assets" include the following GAAP condensed consolidated balance sheets line items: (a) Accrued interest receivable, net and (b) Acquired property, net. The carrying value of these items in our GAAP condensed consolidated balance sheets totaled \$20.1 billion and \$21.4 billion as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011,

- <sup>(6)</sup> respectively. "Other assets" in our GAAP condensed consolidated balance sheets include the following: (a) Advances to lenders; (b) Derivative assets at fair value; (c) Guaranty assets and buy-ups, net; and (d) Credit enhancements. The carrying value of these items totaled \$8.9 billion and \$7.1 billion as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.
- (7) We estimated the fair value of these financial instruments in accordance with the fair value accounting guidance as described in "Note 12, Fair Value."

Includes certain long-term debt instruments that we elected to report at fair value in our GAAP condensed (8) consolidated balance sheets of \$5.4 billion and \$4.8 billion as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011

(8) consolidated balance sheets of \$5.4 billion and \$4.8 billion as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

The following line items: (a) Derivative liabilities at fair value; (b) Guaranty obligations; and (c) Other liabilities,

<sup>(9)</sup> consist of the following liabilities presented in our GAAP condensed consolidated balance sheets: (a) Accrued interest payable and (b) Other liabilities.

"Other liabilities" include Accrued interest payable in our GAAP condensed consolidated balance sheets. The carrying value of this item in our GAAP condensed consolidated balance sheets totaled \$11.9 billion and \$12.6 billion as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. We assume that certain other liabilities, such as deferred revenues, have no fair value. Although we report the "Reserve for guaranty losses" as part of "Other

- <sup>(10)</sup> liabilities" in our GAAP condensed consolidated balance sheets, it is incorporated into and reported as part of the fair value of our guaranty obligations in our non-GAAP supplemental consolidated fair value balance sheets.
  "Other liabilities" in our GAAP condensed consolidated balance sheets include the following: (a) Derivative liabilities at fair value and (b) Guaranty obligations. The carrying value of these items totaled \$1.7 billion as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.
- (11) The amount included in "estimated fair value" of the senior preferred stock is the liquidation preference, which is the same as the GAAP carrying value, and does not reflect fair value.

# LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT

Liquidity Management

Our business activities require that we maintain adequate liquidity to fund our operations. Our liquidity risk management policy is designed to address our liquidity risk. Liquidity risk is the risk that we will not be able to meet

our funding obligations in a timely manner. Liquidity risk management involves forecasting funding requirements, maintaining sufficient capacity to meet our needs based on our ongoing assessment of financial market liquidity and adhering to our regulatory requirements.

Our treasury function resides within the Capital Markets group and is responsible for implementing our liquidity and contingency planning strategies. We conduct liquidity contingency planning to prepare for an event in which our access to the unsecured debt markets becomes limited. We plan for alternative sources of liquidity that are designed to allow us to meet our cash obligations without relying upon the issuance of unsecured debt. While our liquidity contingency planning attempts to address stressed market conditions and our status under conservatorship and Treasury support arrangements, we believe that our liquidity contingency plan may be difficult or impossible to execute for a company of our size in our circumstances.

46

See "Liquidity and Capital Management—Liquidity Management—Liquidity Risk Management Practices and Contingency Planning" in our 2011 Form 10-K for a discussion of our liquidity contingency plans. Also see "Risk Factors" in our 2011 Form 10-K for a description of the risks associated with our liquidity risk and liquidity contingency planning. One of our liquidity management policies requires that we maintain a minimum threshold of Treasury securities and/or cash deposits with the Federal Reserve Bank of New York. Effective August 2012, this minimum threshold represents 50% of our average projected 30-day cash needs over the previous three months. Prior to this change, this minimum threshold was 50% of the average of the previous three month-end balances of our cash and other investments portfolio.

Our liquidity position could be adversely affected by many factors, both internal and external to our business, including: actions taken by our conservator, the Federal Reserve, U.S. Treasury or other government agencies; legislation relating to us or our business; a U.S. government payment default on its debt obligations; a downgrade in the credit ratings of our senior unsecured debt or the U.S government's debt from the major ratings organizations; a systemic event leading to the withdrawal of liquidity from the market; an extreme market-wide widening of credit spreads; public statements by key policy makers; a significant decline in our net worth; potential investor concerns about the adequacy of funding available to us under the senior preferred stock purchase agreement after 2012; loss of demand for our debt, or certain types of our debt, from a major group of investors; a significant credit event involving one of our major institutional counterparties; a sudden catastrophic operational failure in the financial sector; or elimination of our GSE status.

#### Debt Funding

We fund our business primarily through the issuance of short-term and long-term debt securities in the domestic and international capital markets. Because debt issuance is our primary funding source, we are subject to "roll-over," or refinancing, risk on our outstanding debt.

We have a diversified funding base of domestic and international investors. Purchasers of our debt securities are geographically diversified and include fund managers, commercial banks, pension funds, insurance companies, foreign central banks, corporations, state and local governments, and other municipal authorities.

Although our funding needs may vary from quarter to quarter depending on market conditions, we currently expect our debt funding needs will decline in future periods as we reduce the size of our mortgage portfolio in compliance with the requirement of the senior preferred stock purchase agreement that we reduce our mortgage portfolio 10% per year until it reaches \$250 billion.

Fannie Mae Debt Funding Activity

Table 27 displays the activity in debt of Fannie Mae for the periods indicated. This activity excludes the debt of consolidated trusts and intraday loans. The reported amounts of debt issued and paid off during the period represent the face amount of the debt at issuance and redemption, respectively. Activity for short-term debt of Fannie Mae relates to borrowings with an original contractual maturity of one year or less while activity for long-term debt of Fannie Mae relates to borrowings with an original contractual maturity of greater than one year.

47

Table 27: Activity in Debt of Fannie Mae

For the Three Months Ended June 30.								
2012		2011		2012		2011		
(Dollars in	mil	lions)						
\$54,011		\$140,051		\$99,605		\$228,252		
0.13	%	0.12	%	0.12	%	0.13	%	
\$65,481		\$29,687		\$124,945		\$81,424		
1.24	%	2.38	%	1.34	%	2.22	%	
\$119,492		\$169,738		\$224,550		\$309,676		
0.74	%	0.51	%	0.80	%	0.68	%	
	June 30, 2012 (Dollars in \$54,011 0.13 \$65,481 1.24 \$119,492	June 30, 2012 (Dollars in mill \$54,011 0.13 % \$65,481 1.24 % \$119,492	June 30, 2012 2011 (Dollars in millions) \$54,011 \$140,051 0.13 % 0.12 \$65,481 \$29,687 1.24 % 2.38 \$119,492 \$169,738	June 30, 2012 2011 (Dollars in millions) \$54,011 \$140,051 0.13 % 0.12 % \$65,481 \$29,687 1.24 % 2.38 % \$119,492 \$169,738	June 30,       2012       2011       2012         (Dollars in millions)       \$140,051       \$99,605         \$54,011       \$140,051       \$99,605         0.13       %       0.12       %         \$65,481       \$29,687       \$124,945         1.24       %       2.38       %       1.34         \$119,492       \$169,738       \$224,550	June 30,       June 30,         2012       2011         (Dollars in millions)       2012         \$54,011       \$140,051       \$99,605         0.13       %       0.12       %         \$65,481       \$29,687       \$124,945         1.24       %       2.38       %         \$119,492       \$169,738       \$224,550	June 30, 2012       June 30, 2011       June 30, 2012       2011         (Dollars in millions)       June 30, 2012       2011         \$54,011 0.13       \$140,051 %       \$99,605 0.12       \$228,252 %         \$65,481 1.24       \$29,687 %       \$124,945 1.34       \$81,424 %         \$119,492       \$169,738       \$224,550       \$309,676	