

SYSTEMAX INC
Form DEF 14A
April 20, 2018
UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20549
SCHEDULE 14A
(RULE 14a-101)

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement pursuant to Section 14(a) of the
Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant ☒

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant ☐

Check the appropriate box:

☐ Preliminary Proxy Statement

☐ Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

☒ Definitive Proxy Statement

☐ Definitive Additional Materials

☐ Soliciting Material under Rule 14a-12

Systemax Inc.

(Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

☒ No fee required

☐ Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

☐ Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

11 Harbor Park Drive, Port Washington, NY 11050 • 516.608.7000 • investinfo@systemax.com

Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders

Date and time: Monday, June 4, 2018, at 12:00 p.m., local time

Location: Systemax Inc., 11 Harbor Park Drive, Port Washington, NY 11050

Purpose: (1) To elect the 7 director nominees named in the proxy statement;
(2) To ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent auditor for fiscal year 2018; and
(3) To transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment or postponement.

Who may vote: Stockholders of record at the close of business on April 16, 2018 are entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the meeting or any adjournment or postponement.

By order of the Board of Directors,

Eric Lerner
Senior Vice President and General Counsel
April 20, 2018

Important notice regarding the availability of proxy materials for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on June 4, 2018:

This Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders, the accompanying proxy statement and our 2017 Annual Report to Stockholders all are available at www.proxyvote.com.

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Table of Contents | |
| General Information | <u>1</u> |
| Frequently Asked Questions | <u>4</u> |
| Proposal No. 1 – Election Of Directors | <u>5</u> |
| Corporate Governance | <u>7</u> |
| Board of Directors | <u>7</u> |
| Board Leadership Structure | <u>7</u> |
| Director Independence | <u>8</u> |
| Lead Independent Director | <u>9</u> |
| Meetings of Non-Management Directors | <u>9</u> |
| Communicating with the Board | <u>10</u> |
| Committees of the Board | <u>11</u> |
| Risk Oversight | <u>14</u> |
| Proposal No. 2 – Ratification of Ernst & Young LLP as our Independent Auditor | <u>15</u> |
| Report of the Audit Committee | <u>16</u> |
| Security Ownership Information | <u>17</u> |
| Security Ownership of Management | <u>17</u> |
| Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners | <u>18</u> |
| Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance | <u>18</u> |
| Equity Compensation Plans | <u>19</u> |
| Certain Relationships and Related Transactions | <u>20</u> |
| Related Person Transaction Policy | <u>20</u> |
| Transactions With Related Persons | <u>20</u> |
| Executive Officers | <u>21</u> |
| Compensation Discussion and Analysis | <u>22</u> |
| Executive Summary | <u>22</u> |
| Central Objectives and Philosophy of Our Executive Compensation Programs | <u>22</u> |
| Risk Management | <u>23</u> |
| Elements of Our Executive Compensation Programs | <u>24</u> |
| Role of the Compensation Committee and CEO in Compensation Decisions | <u>26</u> |
| Compensation Committee Report | <u>35</u> |
| Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation | <u>35</u> |
| Executive Compensation | <u>36</u> |
| Summary Compensation Table | <u>36</u> |
| Grants of Plan-Based Awards | <u>38</u> |
| Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End for Fiscal 2017 | <u>39</u> |
| Option Exercises and Stock Vested For Fiscal 2017 | <u>40</u> |
| Employment Arrangements of the Named Executive Officers | <u>41</u> |
| Potential Payments Upon Termination of Employment or Change in Control | <u>42</u> |
| Director Compensation | <u>46</u> |
| General Policy | <u>46</u> |
| Non-Management Director Compensation in Fiscal 2017 | <u>46</u> |
| CEO Pay Ratio Disclosure | <u>47</u> |
| Additional Matters | <u>48</u> |

PROXY STATEMENT

General Information

These proxy materials are being furnished to solicit proxies on behalf of the Board of Directors of Systemax Inc. for use at our Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on Monday, June 4, 2018, and at any adjournment or postponement. Our Annual Meeting will take place at our headquarters located at 11 Harbor Park Drive, Port Washington, NY, at 12:00 p.m., local time.

These proxy materials include our Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement and our 2017 Annual Report to Stockholders, which includes our Fiscal 2017 Form 10-K. In addition, these proxy materials may include a proxy card for our Annual Meeting. These proxy materials are first being sent or made available to our stockholders commencing on April 20, 2018.

Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials

We have implemented the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, “Notice Only” rule that allows us to furnish our proxy materials over the Internet to our stockholders instead of mailing paper copies. As a result, beginning on or about April 20, 2018, we mailed to most of our stockholders of record on April 16, 2018 a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials containing instructions on how to access our proxy materials over the Internet and vote online.

This notice is not a proxy card and cannot be used to vote your shares. If you received a notice this year, you will not receive paper copies of the proxy materials unless you request the materials by following the instructions on the notice or on the website referred to in the notice.

If you own shares of common stock in more than one account—for example, in a joint account with your spouse and in your individual brokerage account—you may have received more than one notice. To vote all of your shares by proxy, please follow each of the separate proxy voting instructions that you received for your shares of common stock held in each of your different accounts.

Record Date

We have fixed the close of business on April 16, 2018 as the record date for determining our stockholders entitled to notice of and to vote at our Annual Meeting.

On that date, we had 37,174,265 shares of common stock outstanding. Stockholders as of the record date will have one vote per share on each voting matter.

Quorum

The presence of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of common stock entitled to vote at our Annual Meeting, present in person or represented by proxy, is necessary to constitute a quorum. Abstentions and “broker non-votes” (discussed below) will be counted as present for purposes of establishing a quorum.

How to Vote

Stockholders of record. If you are a “stockholder of record” (meaning your shares are registered in your name with our transfer agent, American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, LLC) you may vote either in person at our Annual Meeting or by proxy.

If you decide to vote by proxy, you may do so in any one of the following three ways:

You may vote your shares 24 hours a day by logging on to a secure website, www.proxyvote.com, and following the instructions provided. You will need to enter identifying information that appears on your proxy card or the Notice. The internet voting system allows you to confirm that your votes were properly recorded.

You may vote your shares 24 hours a day by calling the toll free number (800) 690-6903, and following instructions provided by the recorded message. You will need to enter identifying information that appears on your proxy card or the Notice. As with the internet voting system, you will be able to confirm that your votes were properly recorded.

If you received a proxy card, you may mark, sign and date your proxy card and return it by mail in the enclosed postage-paid envelope.

Internet and telephone voting is available through 11:59 PM Eastern Time on Sunday, June 3, 2018.

If you vote by mail, your proxy card must be received before our Annual Meeting to assure that your vote is counted. We encourage you to vote promptly.

Beneficial owners. If, like most stockholders, you are a beneficial owner of shares held in “street name” (meaning a broker, trustee, bank or other nominee holds shares on your behalf), you may vote in person at our Annual Meeting only if you obtain a legal proxy from the nominee that holds your shares. Alternatively, you may vote by completing, signing and returning the voting instruction form that the nominee provides to you or by following any telephone or Internet voting instructions described on the voting instruction form, the Notice or other materials that the nominee provides to you.

No matter in what form you own your shares – We encourage you to vote promptly.

Votes Required to Adopt the Proposals

Proposal 1 – The affirmative vote of a plurality of the outstanding shares of common stock entitled to vote and Øpresent, in person or by proxy, at a meeting at which a quorum is present will be required to elect the nominated directors to the Board.

Proposal 2 – The affirmative vote of a majority of the outstanding shares of common stock entitled to vote and Øpresent, in person or by proxy, at a meeting at which a quorum is present will be required to ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent auditors.

How Shares Will Be Voted

Proxies will be voted as specified by the stockholders. Where specific choices are not indicated, proxies will be voted, per the Board's recommendations, FOR Proposals 1 and 2. If any other matters properly come before our Annual Meeting, the persons named in the proxy will vote at their discretion.

List of Stockholders

A list of our stockholders satisfying the requirements of Section 219 of the Delaware General Corporation Law will be available for inspection for any purpose germane to our Annual Meeting during normal business hours at our headquarters at least ten days prior to our Annual Meeting.

Changing or Revoking Your Proxy

Your attendance at our Annual Meeting will not automatically revoke your proxy.

Stockholders of record. If you are a stockholder of record, you may change or revoke your proxy at any time before a vote is taken at our Annual Meeting by giving notice to us in writing or at our Annual Meeting, by executing and forwarding to us a later-dated proxy or by voting a later proxy over the telephone or the Internet.

Beneficial owners. If you are a beneficial owner of shares, you should check with the broker, trustee, bank or other nominee that holds your shares to determine how to change or revoke your vote.

Abstentions

Ø Proposal 1 – Abstentions will have no effect on the election of directors.

Ø Proposal 2 – Abstentions will have the same effect as a negative vote regarding the ratification of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent auditors.

Broker Non-Votes

A "broker non-vote" occurs when a broker or other nominee holding shares for a beneficial owner does not vote on a particular proposal because they do not have discretionary voting power for that proposal and have not received instructions from the beneficial owner.

If you are a beneficial owner whose shares are held by a broker, as stated above you must instruct the broker how to vote your shares. If you do not provide voting instructions, your broker is not permitted to vote your shares on the election of directors.

In the absence of voting instructions, the broker can only register your shares as being present at our Annual Meeting for purposes of determining a quorum and may vote your shares on ratification of the appointment of our auditor.

Frequently Asked Questions

How can I access the proxy materials over the Internet?

Your Notice of the Internet Availability of the proxy materials, proxy card or voting instruction card will contain instructions on how to view our proxy materials for our Annual Meeting on the Internet. Our proxy materials and Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal 2017, as well as the means to vote by Internet, are available at www.proxyvote.com.

How may I obtain a paper copy of the proxy materials?

The Notice of the Internet Availability of the proxy materials, provides instructions about how to obtain a paper copy of the proxy materials. If you did not receive the notice, you will receive a paper copy of the proxy materials by mail.

What is “householding”?

SEC rules allow us to send a single copy of the proxy materials or the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials to multiple stockholders sharing the same address and last name, or who we reasonably believe are members of the same family in a manner provided by such rules. This practice is referred to as “householding” and we use this process to achieve savings of paper and mailing costs.

How can I find voting results of our Annual Meeting?

We will announce preliminary voting results at our Annual Meeting and we will publicly disclose the results on a Form 8-K within four business days of our Annual Meeting, as required by SEC rules.

Proposal No. 1 – Election Of Directors

At our Annual Meeting, seven directors are to be elected to hold office until the 2019 annual meeting and until their successors have been elected and qualified. All nominees are current Systemax Board members who were elected by stockholders at the 2017 annual meeting, except for Messrs. Litwin and Lindbloom, who were appointed to the Board in July and December 2017 to fill vacancies resulting from the departure of two previous directors.

There are no family relationships among any of our directors or executive officers or nominees for director or executive officer, except that Messrs. Richard, Bruce and Robert Leeds are brothers. Except as disclosed herein, regarding Messrs. Richard, Bruce and Robert Leeds, there were no arrangements or understandings between any director or nominee for director and any other person pursuant to which such person was selected as a director or nominee for director.

The accompanying proxy will be voted FOR the election of the Board's nominees unless contrary instructions are given. If any Board nominee is unable to serve, which is not anticipated, the persons named as proxies intend to vote, unless the Board reduces the number of nominees, for such other person or persons as the Board may designate.

When voting by proxy with respect to the election of directors, stockholders may vote in favor of all nominees, withhold their votes as to all nominees or withhold their votes for specific nominees.

Richard Leeds

Executive Chairman

Director Since: 1995 Age: 58

Richard Leeds joined Systemax in 1982 and served as our Chairman and CEO from April 1995 until becoming our Executive Chairman in March 2016. He also served as President of our Industrial Products Group until 2011. Mr. Leeds was selected to serve as Executive Chairman of our Board due to his experience and depth of knowledge of Systemax and the direct marketing, computer and industrial products industries, his role in developing and managing our business strategies and operations, as well as his exceptional business judgment and leadership qualities.

Bruce Leeds

Vice Chairman

Director Since: 1995 Age: 62

Bruce Leeds joined Systemax in 1977 and has served as our Vice Chairman since April 1995. He also served as President of our International Operations until 2005. Mr. Leeds was selected to serve as a director on our Board due to his experience and depth of knowledge of Systemax and the direct marketing, computer and industrial products industries, his role in developing and managing our business strategies and operations, his experience in international business as well as his exceptional business judgment.

Robert Leeds

Vice Chairman

Director Since: 1995 Age: 62

Robert Leeds joined Systemax in 1977 and has served as our Vice Chairman since April 1995. He also served as President of our Domestic Operations until 2005 and as Chief Executive of the North American Technology Products Group from 2013 to 2015. Mr. Leeds has served as a director since April 1995. Mr. Leeds was selected to serve as a director on our Board because of his experience and depth of knowledge of Systemax and the direct marketing, computer and industrial products industries, his role in developing and managing our business strategies and operations, his significant computer and technology industry experience as well as his exceptional business judgment.

Lawrence Reinhold
President and Chief Executive Officer
Director Since: 2009 Age: 58

Lawrence Reinhold joined Systemax in January 2007 and served as Executive Vice President and CFO from that date until becoming our President and CEO in March 2016. In this expanded role, he assumed overall responsibility for our operations, including all lines of business and functional groups. Additionally, prior to joining Systemax, Mr. Reinhold was the Chief Financial Officer of a publicly traded developer and manufacturer of medical devices; the Chief Financial Officer of a publicly traded communications software company; and a regional Managing Partner of a Big 4 International Public Accounting Firm. Mr. Reinhold is a Certified Public Accountant. From 2011 through 2013, he also served on the board of directors and audit committee of Pulse Electronics, a publicly traded electronics manufacturer. Mr. Reinhold was selected to serve as a director on our Board due to his contributions since joining Systemax and his extensive experience and expertise in business, strategy, finance, accounting, SEC reporting, public company management, mergers and acquisitions and financial systems as well as his serving as a CFO of other public technology companies and a partner with an international accounting firm.

Robert D. Rosenthal
Independent Director
Director Since: 1995 Age: 69

Robert D. Rosenthal has been the lead independent director since October 2006. Mr. Rosenthal is Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of First Long Island Investors LLC, which he co-founded in 1983. Mr. Rosenthal is the Chairman and CEO of a wealth management company that invests in numerous public companies and is also an attorney and member of the bar of the State of New York. Mr. Rosenthal was selected to serve as a director on our Board due to his financial, investment and legal experience and acumen.

Barry Litwin
Independent Director
Director Since: 2017 Age: 51

Mr. Litwin is the Chief Executive Officer of Adorama, Inc., a leading multi-channel retailer of professional camera, audio, and video equipment, a position he has held since 2015. Previous executive roles included overseeing e-commerce and marketing for Sears Holdings, Inc, Office Depot, and Newark Electronics, Inc, in addition to serving as an advisor to several early stage digital and technology companies. Mr. Litwin graduated from Indiana University with a BS degree, and an MBA in Operations from Loyola University, Quinlan School of Business in 1992. Mr. Litwin was selected to serve as a director on our Board due to his e-commerce and direct marketing expertise.

Chad Lindbloom
Independent Director
Director Since: 2017 Age: 53

Mr. Lindbloom was employed by C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc. – one of the world’s largest third-party logistics providers – from June 1990 through March 2018 in various roles, including Chief Information Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Controller. Mr. Lindbloom holds BS and MBA degrees from the Carlson School of Management at the University of Minnesota. Mr. Lindbloom was selected to serve as a director on our Board due to his supply chain and logistics expertise

The Board Recommends That You Vote for the Election
of All the Director Nominees (Proposal No. 1)

Corporate Governance

Board of Directors

Our Board currently consists of seven members, three of whom are independent under SEC and NYSE rules. Our Board is led by Executive Chairman Mr. Richard Leeds and Vice Chairmen, Messrs. Bruce Leeds and Robert Leeds. Our independent directors have designated Mr. Rosenthal to be the Lead Independent Director.

Mr. Stacy Dick resigned from the Board in July 2017 and Ms. Marie Adler-Kravecás resigned from the Board in December 2017. Neither Mr. Stacy Dick nor Ms. Marie Adler-Kravecás has advised Systemax of any disagreement on any matter relating to the operations, policies, or practices of Systemax. Mr. Barry Litwin was appointed to the Board in July 2017 and Mr. Chad Lindbloom was appointed to the Board in December 2017. Concurrently with tendering their respective resignations, upon resigning, each of Mr. Dick and Ms. Adler-Kravecás agreed to consult with Systemax on a limited basis for a period of twelve months in order to ensure a smooth transition of duties.

Our Board held ten meetings in fiscal 2017. During fiscal 2017, Mr. Litwin did not attend 75% or more of the meetings of the Board during his tenure as a member of the Board, in that there were only two meetings in that period of his tenure, one of which he was unable to attend. Each of the current (and former) directors attended at least 75% of the meetings of the Board committees on which he (or she) served.

At last year's annual meeting of stockholders held on June 5, 2017, two directors attended the meeting. We do not have a policy with regards to directors' attendance at our annual meeting of stockholders.

Board Leadership Structure

We believe that the current mix of employee directors and non-employee independent directors that make up our Board, along with the independent oversight of our Lead Independent Director, benefits Systemax and our stockholders.

Although the Board does not have an express policy on whether or not the roles of CEO and Executive Chairman of the Board should be separate and if they are to be separate, whether the Executive Chairman of the Board should be selected from the non-management directors or be an employee, the Board believes that it should have the flexibility to make a determination from time to time in a manner that is in the best interests of Systemax and our stockholders at the time of such determination.

Our Board conducts an annual evaluation in order to determine whether it and its committees are functioning effectively. As part of this annual self-evaluation, the Board evaluates whether the current leadership structure continues to be optimal for Systemax and our stockholders.

Our Board believes that the most effective Board leadership structure for Systemax at the present time, is for the roles of CEO and Executive Chairman of the Board to be separate. Further, the Board believes that our Executive Chairman and two Vice Chairmen should also have management roles, so that our Executive Chairman and Vice Chairmen remain in closer touch with the operations of our business and so that, together with our CEO, they can focus their attention on different aspects of the strategic and operating challenges and opportunities ahead for the Industrial Products Group and the France Technology Value Added Reseller businesses.

The Board believes that the independent directors provide effective oversight of management. Moreover, in addition to feedback provided during the course of Board meetings, the independent directors have regular executive sessions. Following an executive session of independent directors, the Lead Independent Director acts as a liaison between the independent directors and the Executive Chairman regarding any specific feedback or issues, provides the Executive Chairman with input regarding agenda items for Board and Committee meetings, and coordinates with the Executive Chairman regarding information to be provided to the independent directors in performing their duties.

Our Corporate Governance Guidelines provide the flexibility for our Board to modify or continue our leadership structure in the future, as it deems appropriate.

Director Independence

In connection with its annual review of director independence, the Board has determined that each of Robert D. Rosenthal, Barry Litwin and Chad Lindbloom has no material relationship with Systemax (directly or as a partner, stockholder, or officer of an organization that has a relationship with Systemax) and meets the standards for independence required by the New York Stock Exchange and Securities and Exchange Commission rules. The Board has not adopted any other categorical standards of materiality for independence purposes.

The Board made this determination based on

- ü the absence of any of the express disqualifying criteria relating to director independence set forth in Section 303A of the Corporate Governance Rules of the New York Stock Exchange, and

- ü the criteria for independence required of audit committee directors by Section 10A(m)(3) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, which we refer to as the Exchange Act, and

- ü information provided by the directors to Systemax, which did not indicate any relationships (e.g., commercial, industrial, banking, consulting, legal, accounting, charitable, or familial) which would impair the independence of any of the non-management directors.

The Board has determined that there is no material relationship between Systemax and each of Messrs. Rosenthal, Litwin and Lindbloom (directly or as a partner, stockholder, or officer of an organization that has a relationship with Systemax) and that each of them is independent pursuant to the NYSE listing standards.

In making its determination, the Board took into consideration that certain Systemax directors and executive officers have each invested funds with or through a private investment firm, of which Mr. Rosenthal is Chairman and CEO (and which firm receives fees in respect of such investments), and may continue to do so in the future. The Board (in each case with Mr. Rosenthal and the investing directors being recused) determined that such relationship was not material to Mr. Rosenthal and does not affect his independence.

As a “controlled company,” Systemax is exempt from the New York Stock Exchange requirement that listed companies have a majority of independent directors. A “controlled company” is defined by the New York Stock Exchange as a company of which more than 50% of the voting power for the election of directors is held by an individual, group or other company. Systemax is a “controlled company” in that more than 50% of the voting stock for the election of directors of Systemax, in the aggregate, is owned by certain members of the Leeds family (including Messrs. Richard, Bruce and Robert Leeds, each of whom is an officer and director of Systemax) and certain Leeds’ family trusts and other entities controlled by them (collectively, the “Leeds Group”). The members of the Leeds Group have entered into a Stockholders Agreement with respect to certain shares they each own. See Transactions with Related Persons / page 20 of this proxy statement.

Lead Independent Director

The independent directors have designated Mr. Rosenthal to serve as our Lead Independent Director.

In addition to presiding at executive sessions of non-management directors, the Lead Independent Director has the responsibility to coordinate the activities of the independent directors, and to perform the following functions:

- Ø advise the Executive Chairman of the Board as to an appropriate schedule of Board meetings, seeking to ensure that the independent directors can perform their duties responsibly while not interfering with the flow of Systemax's operations;
- Ø provide the Executive Chairman with input as to the preparation of agendas for the Board and committee meetings; advise the Executive Chairman as to the quality, quantity, and timeliness of the flow of information from our management that is necessary for the independent directors to effectively and responsibly perform their duties, and although our management is responsible for the preparation of materials for the Board, the Lead Independent Director may specifically request the inclusion of certain material;
- Ø recommend to the Executive Chairman the retention of consultants who report directly to the Board;
- Ø assist the Board and our officers in assuring compliance with and implementation of the corporate governance policies; and be principally responsible for recommending revisions to the corporate governance policies;
- Ø coordinate and develop the agenda for, and moderate executive sessions of, the independent directors of the Board, and act as principal liaison between the independent directors and the Executive Chairman on sensitive issues; and
- Ø recommend to the Executive Chairman the membership of the various Board committees.

Meetings of Non-Management Directors

The New York Stock Exchange requires the "non-management directors" or independent directors of a NYSE-listed company meet at regularly scheduled executive sessions without management and to disclose in their annual proxy statements:

- Ø the name of the non-management director who is chosen to preside at all regularly-scheduled executive sessions of the non-management members of the board of directors, and
- Ø a method for all interested parties to communicate directly with the presiding director or with the non-management directors as a group (this method is described below under "Communications with the Board").

The Board's non-management or independent directors meet separately in executive sessions, chaired by the Lead Independent Director (currently Mr. Rosenthal), at least quarterly.

Communicating with the Board

Stockholders and other interested parties may communicate with the Board, any committee of the Board, any individual director (including the Lead Independent Director) or the independent directors as a group, by directing communication to:

investinfo@systemax.com

Office of the Corporate Secretary

Systemax Inc.

11 Harbor Park Drive

Port Washington, NY 11050

Communications from stockholders will be distributed to the entire Board unless addressed to a particular committee, director or group of directors. The Corporate Secretary will not distribute communications that are unrelated to the duties of the Board, such as spam, junk mail, mass mailings, business solicitations and advertisements.

Committees of the Board

The Board has a standing Audit Committee, Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee, and Compensation Committee. In addition, the Board has an Executive Committee empowered to act for the Board in certain circumstances, but the Executive Committee did not exercise its power in 2017. See Executive Committee / page 13 of this proxy statement.

Committee Composition

| | Audit Committee | Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee | Compensation Committee |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|---|------------------------|
| Robert D. Rosenthal | I | | |
| Barry Litwin | I | | |
| Chad Lindbloom | I | | |
| | I = Independent Director | = Chairperson | = Member |

Mr. Dick was a member of the Audit, Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee and Compensation Committee until his resignation from the Board in July 2017. Ms. Adler-Kravec was a member of the Audit, Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee and Compensation Committee until her resignation from the Board in December 2017.

Audit Committee

Number of Meetings Held in Fiscal 2017: Seven

The Audit Committee is appointed by the Board to assist the Board with oversight of:

- the integrity of our financial statements;
- our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements;
- the independence and qualifications of our external auditors; and
- the performance of our internal audit function and external auditors.

It is the Audit Committee's responsibility to retain or terminate our independent registered public accountants, who audit our financial statements, and to prepare the Audit Committee report that the SEC requires to be included in our annual proxy statement. See Report of the Audit Committee / page 16 of this proxy statement.

As part of its activities, the Audit Committee meets with our auditors at least annually to review the scope and results of the annual audit and quarterly to discuss the review of the quarterly financial results.

In addition, the Audit Committee receives and considers the independent registered public accountants' comments and recommendations as to internal controls, accounting staff, management performance and auditing procedures.

The Audit Committee is also responsible for establishing procedures for:

- Ø the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints received by Systemax regarding accounting, internal accounting controls and auditing matters, and
- Ø the confidential, anonymous submission by employees of Systemax of concerns regarding questionable accounting or auditing matters.

In addition, the Audit Committee is responsible for reviewing, and discussing with management and reporting to the Board regularly, our risk assessment and risk management processes, although it is senior management's responsibility to assess and manage our exposure to risk under the oversight of the Board.

In addition, the Audit Committee works together with the Compensation Committee to ensure that our compensation policies address and promote our risk management goals and objectives. The Audit Committee also discusses with management our major financial risk exposures and the steps management has taken to monitor and control such exposures.

The Board has determined that Messrs. Rosenthal, Litwin and Lindbloom are "audit committee financial experts" as defined under SEC regulations.

Systemax does not have a standing policy on the maximum number of audit committees of other publicly owned companies on which the members of the Audit Committee may serve. However, if a member of the Audit Committee simultaneously serves on the audit committee of more than two other publicly-owned companies, the Board must determine whether such simultaneous service would impair the ability of such member to effectively serve on the Audit Committee. Any such determination will be disclosed in our annual proxy statement.

Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee

Number of Meetings Held in Fiscal 2017: Five

The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee's responsibilities include, among other things:

- Ø identifying individuals qualified to become Board members and recommending to the Board nominees to stand for election at any meeting of stockholders,
- Ø identifying and recommending nominees to fill any vacancy, however created, in the Board, and
- Ø developing and recommending to the Board a code of business conduct and ethics and a set of corporate governance principles (including director qualification standards, responsibilities and compensation) and periodically reviewing the code and principles.

In nominating candidates to become Board members, the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee takes into consideration such factors as it deems appropriate, including the experience, skill, integrity and background of the candidates. The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee may consider candidates proposed by management or stockholders but is not required to do so. The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee does not have any formal policy with regard to the consideration of any director candidates recommended by stockholders or any minimum qualifications or specific procedure for identifying and evaluating nominees for director as the Board does not believe that such a formalistic approach is necessary or appropriate at this time. In addition, the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee and the Board may engage an independent search firm to assist in identifying qualified board candidates, and in 2017 we engaged an independent search firm to assist in finding candidates for board vacancies. The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee, in seeking qualified Board members, does not have a policy regarding utilizing diversity, however defined, in its selection process. The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee looks for individuals who have very high integrity, significant business experience and a deep genuine interest in Systemax. We believe that each of the director nominees bring these qualifications to our Board. Moreover, they provide our Board with a diverse complement of specific business skills, experience and perspectives.

Compensation Committee

Number of Meetings Held in Fiscal 2017: Five

The Compensation Committee's responsibility is to review and approve corporate goals relevant to the compensation of the CEO and, after an evaluation of the CEO's performance in light of such goals, to set the compensation of the CEO.

The Compensation Committee also approves:

- Ø the annual compensation of the other executive officers of Systemax,
- Ø the annual compensation of certain subsidiary managers, and
- Ø all individual stock-based incentive grants.

The Compensation Committee is also responsible for reviewing and making periodic recommendations to the Board with respect to the general compensation, benefits and perquisite policies and practices of Systemax including our incentive-based and equity-based compensation plans. The Compensation Committee also prepares an annual report on executive compensation for inclusion in our annual proxy statement. See Compensation Committee Report / page 35 of this proxy statement. The Compensation Committee also reviews and approves the performance and compensation of our Executive Chairman and Vice Chairmen.

In addition, it is the Compensation Committee's responsibility to consider, and work together with the Audit Committee to ensure our compensation policies address and promote our risk management goals and objectives.

Executive Committee

Number of Meetings Held in Fiscal 2017: None

Among other duties as may be assigned by the Board from time to time, the Executive Committee is:

- Ø authorized to oversee our operations,
- Ø supervise our executive officers,
- Ø review and make recommendations to the Board regarding our strategic direction, and
- Ø review and make recommendations to the Board regarding all possible acquisitions or other significant business transactions.

The Executive Committee is also authorized to manage the affairs of Systemax between meetings of the Board; the Executive Committee has all of the powers of the Board not inconsistent with any provisions of the Delaware General Corporation Law, our Certificate of Incorporation or By-Laws or other resolutions adopted by the Board, but the Executive Committee did not exercise its power in 2017.

Risk Oversight

Board's Role in Risk Oversight

Our Board as a whole is responsible for overseeing our risk management process. The Board focuses on our general risk management strategy, the most significant risks facing Systemax, and seeks to ensure that appropriate risk mitigation strategies are implemented by management.

Risk management is a recurring Board quarterly agenda item, and is considered part of business and operations planning.

The Board is also apprised of particular risk management matters in connection with its general oversight and approval of corporate matters and at least quarterly receives information relating to material risk from management and from our Legal & Risk Management and Internal Audit Departments.

Delegation to Board Committees

The Board has delegated to each of its Committees oversight of certain aspects of our risk management process. Among its duties, the Audit Committee reviews with management (a) processes with respect to risk assessment and management of risks that may be material to Systemax, (b) our system of disclosure controls and system of internal controls over financial reporting, and (c) our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements.

The Compensation Committee is responsible for considering and working together with the Audit Committee regarding the compensation policies for all our employees in the context of how such policies affect and promote our risk management goals and objectives.

The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee is responsible for developing and recommending to the Board a set of risk management policies and procedures, including our compensation policies for all our employees as they relate to risk management, and to review these policies and procedures annually. All committees report to the full Board as appropriate, including when a matter rises to the level of a material or enterprise level risk.

Day-to-Day Risk Management

Our senior management is responsible for day-to-day risk management.

Our Internal Audit Department serves as the primary monitoring and testing function for company-wide policies and procedures, and manages the day-to-day oversight of the risk management strategy for the ongoing business of Systemax. This oversight includes identifying, evaluating, and addressing potential risks that may exist at the enterprise, strategic, financial, operational, compliance and reporting levels. The Internal Auditor reports directly to our Audit Committee quarterly, and works closely with our CEO on matters that may impact our exposure to risk.

We believe the division of risk management responsibilities described above is an effective approach for addressing the risks facing Systemax and that our Board leadership structure supports this approach.

Proposal No. 2 – Ratification of Ernst & Young LLP as our Independent Auditor

The Audit Committee of the Board is directly responsible for the appointment, compensation, retention and oversight of our independent auditor and approves the audit engagement letter with Ernst & Young LLP and its audit fees. The Audit Committee has appointed Ernst & Young LLP as our independent auditor for fiscal 2018 and believes that the continued retention of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent auditor is in the best interest of Systemax and our stockholders.

While not required by law, we are asking our stockholders to ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent auditor for fiscal 2018 at the Annual Meeting as a matter of good corporate governance. If stockholders do not ratify this appointment, the Audit Committee will consider whether it is appropriate to appoint another audit firm. Even if the appointment is ratified, the Audit Committee in its discretion may appoint a different audit firm at any time during the fiscal year if it determines that such a change would be in the best interest of Systemax and our stockholders.

We expect representatives of Ernst & Young LLP to be present at the Annual Meeting. They will have an opportunity to make a statement if they desire to do so and to respond to appropriate questions from stockholders.

Fees Paid to our Independent Auditor

The following table sets forth the fees billed to us by Ernst & Young LLP for services in fiscal 2017 and 2016, all of which were pre-approved by the Audit Committee:

| Fee Category | 2017 (\$) | 2016 (\$) |
|------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Audit fees (1) | 1,490,000 | 1,577,700 |
| Audit-related fees (2) | 44,800 | 181,500 |
| Tax fees (3) | 0 | 0 |
| All other fees (3) | 1,400 | 2,200 |
| Total | 1,536,200 | 1,761,400 |

In accordance with the SEC’s definitions and rules, “audit fees” are fees that were billed to Systemax by Ernst & Young LLP for the audit of our annual financial statements, to be included in the Form 10-K, and review of financial statements included in the Form 10-Qs; for the audit of our internal control over financial reporting with (1) the objective of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects; for the attestation of management’s report on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting; and for services that are normally provided by the auditor in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements.

“Audit-related fees” are fees for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of our financial statements and internal control over financial reporting, including services in (2) connection with assisting Systemax in our compliance with our obligations under Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and related regulations.

Ernst & Young LLP did not provide any professional services for tax compliance, planning or advice in 2017 or (3) 2016.

(4) Consists of fees billed for other professional services rendered to Systemax.

Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policy

The Audit Committee is responsible for approving every engagement of Systemax’s independent auditor to perform audit or non-audit services on behalf of Systemax or any of its subsidiaries before such auditors can be engaged to provide those services. The Audit Committee does not delegate its pre-approval authority. The Audit Committee is not permitted to engage the independent auditor to perform any non-audit services proscribed by law or regulation. The

Audit Committee has reviewed the services provided to Systemax by Ernst & Young LLP and believes that the non-audit/review services it has provided are compatible with maintaining the auditor's independence. The Board recommends that you vote for the proposal to ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent auditor for fiscal year 2018 (Proposal No. 2)

Report of the Audit Committee

The Audit Committee of the Board operates under its Charter, which was originally adopted by the Board in 2000 and was most recently revised in March 2017. As set forth in its Charter, the Audit Committee's job is one of oversight. Management is responsible for Systemax's financial statements, internal accounting and financial controls, the financial reporting process, the internal audit function and compliance with our policies and legal requirements. Our independent auditors are responsible for performing an independent audit of our consolidated financial statements in accordance with standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) and for issuance of a report thereon, and for monitoring the effectiveness of our internal controls; they also perform limited reviews of our unaudited quarterly financial statements.

The Audit Committee's responsibility is to engage the independent registered public accountants, monitor and oversee these accounting, financial and audit processes and report its findings to the full Board. It also investigates matters related to our financial statements and controls as it deems appropriate. In the performance of these oversight functions, the members of the Audit Committee rely upon the information, opinions, reports and statements presented to them by Systemax management and by the independent registered public accountants, as well as by other experts that the Audit Committee hires.

The Audit Committee met with our independent auditors to review and discuss the overall scope and plans for the audit of our consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2017. The Audit Committee has considered and discussed with management and the independent auditors (both alone and with management present) the audited financial statements as well as the independent auditors' evaluation of our internal controls and the overall quality of our financial reporting.

Management represented to the Audit Committee that our consolidated financial statements for fiscal 2017 were prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. In connection with these responsibilities, the Audit Committee met with management and Ernst & Young LLP to review and discuss the December 31, 2017 audited consolidated financial statements. The Audit Committee also discussed with Ernst & Young LLP the matters required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61 Communication with Audit Committees, as amended and as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board in Rule 3200T. The Audit Committee also received written disclosures and the letter from Ernst & Young LLP required by Rule 3526 of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (Communications with Audit Committees Concerning Independence), and the Audit Committee discussed with Ernst & Young LLP the firm's independence.

Based on the review of the representations of management, the discussions with management and the independent registered public accountants and the review of the Report of Ernst & Young LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, to the Committee, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board that the financial statements of Systemax for fiscal 2017 as audited by Ernst & Young LLP be included in Systemax's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Submitted by the Audit Committee of the Board,

Robert D. Rosenthal (Chairman)

Barry Litwin

Chad Lindbloom

Security Ownership Information

The following tables provides certain information regarding the beneficial ownership of Systemax common stock as of April 16, 2018 by:

- our directors;
- our executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table / page 36 of this proxy statement;
- all executive officers and directors as a group; and
- each person known by us to own beneficially more than 5% of our outstanding common stock

A person has beneficial ownership of shares if the person has voting or investment power over the shares

or the right to acquire such power in 60 days. Investment power means the power to direct the sale or other disposition of the shares. Except as otherwise described in the notes below, information on the number of shares beneficially owned is as of April 16, 2018, and the listed beneficial owners have sole voting and investment power. A total of 37,174,265 shares of our common stock were outstanding as of April 16, 2018.

The address for each beneficial owner, unless otherwise noted is c/o Systemax Inc., 11 Harbor Park Drive, Port Washington, NY 11050.

Security Ownership of Management

| Name of Beneficial Owner | Shares of Common Stock (a) | Restricted Stock Units vesting within 60 days (1) | Stock Options currently exercisable or becoming exercisable within 60 days (1) | Percent of Common Stock |
|--|----------------------------|---|--|-------------------------|
| Richard Leeds (2) | 13,373,120 | - | - | 36% |
| Bruce Leeds (3) | 12,171,998 | - | - | 33% |
| Robert Leeds (4) | 11,940,124 | - | - | 32% |
| Lawrence Reinhold | 73,566 | 17,500 | 200,000 | * |
| Thomas Clark | 10,201 | - | 27,500 | * |
| Robert Dooley | 66,428 | - | 87,500 | * |
| Robert D. Rosenthal | 62,531 | 4,400 | 3,334 | * |
| Barry Litwin | - | - | - | * |
| Chad Lindbloom | - | - | - | * |
| All of our current directors and executive officers (13 persons) | 25,061,921 | 21,900 | 488,384 | 69% |

(a) Amounts listed in this column may include shares held in partnerships or trusts that are counted in more than one individual's total.

*less than 1%

In computing the percentage of shares owned by each person and by the group, these restricted stock units and (1) stock options, as applicable, were added to the total number of outstanding shares of common stock for the percentage calculation.

Includes 2,069,932 shares owned by Mr. Richard Leeds directly, 2,000,000 shares owned by the Richard Leeds 2017 GRAT, 898,137 shares owned by the Richard Leeds 2016 GRAT and 159,048 shares owned by the Richard Leeds 2015 GRAT. Also, includes 1,838,583 shares owned by a limited partnership of which Mr. Richard Leeds is (2) a general partner, 235,850 shares owned by a limited partnership of which a limited liability company controlled by Mr. Richard Leeds is the general partner, 5,651,770 shares owned by trusts for the benefit of his brothers' children for which Mr. Richard Leeds acts as co-trustee and 519,800 shares owned by a limited partnership in which Mr. Richard Leeds has an indirect pecuniary interest.

(3) Includes 2,549,500 shares owned by Mr. Bruce Leeds directly, 847,654 shares owned by the Bruce Leeds 2017 GRAT, 1,173,354 shares owned by the Bruce Leeds 2016 GRAT, and 74,223 shares owned by the Bruce Leeds

2015 GRAT. Also, includes 1,838,583 shares owned by a limited partnership of which Mr. Bruce Leeds is a general partner, 5,168,884 shares owned by trusts for the benefit of his brothers' children for which Mr. Bruce Leeds acts as co-trustee and 519,800 shares owned by a limited partnership in which Mr. Bruce Leeds has an indirect pecuniary interest.

(4) Includes 118,370 shares owned by Mr. Robert Leeds directly, 3,100,000 shares owned by the Robert Leeds 2017 GRAT, 1,087,757 shares owned by the Robert Leeds 2016 GRAT, and 222,668 shares owned by the Robert Leeds 2015 GRAT. Also, includes 1,838,583 shares owned by a limited partnership of which Mr. Robert Leeds is a general partner, 5,052,946 shares owned by trusts for the benefit of his brothers' children for which Mr. Robert Leeds acts as co-trustee and 519,800 shares owned by a limited partnership in which Mr. Robert Leeds has an indirect pecuniary interest.

Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners

| Name and Address of Beneficial Owner | Shares of Common Stock | Percent of Common Stock |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|

| | | |
|---|-----------|----|
| Prescott General Partners LLC (1) 2200 Butts Road, Suite 320 Boca Raton, FL 33431 | 2,228,192 | 6% |
|---|-----------|----|

Based on information supplied by Prescott General Partners LLC (“PGP”), Prescott Associates L.P. (“Prescott Associates”), Prescott Investors Profit Sharing Trust (“PIPS”) and Thomas W. Smith in a Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on February 14, 2017. The Schedule 13G/A modifies the Schedule 13G to reflect, among other things, (i) the addition of PIPS as a Reporting Person and (ii) the removal of Scott J. Vassalluzzo as a Reporting Person.

PGP, as the general partner of three private investment limited partnerships (including Prescott Associates) (collectively, the “Partnerships”) may be deemed to share the power to vote or to direct the vote and to dispose or to direct the disposition of 2,118,192 shares held by the Partnerships. Prescott Associates has the shared power to vote or to direct the vote and to dispose or to direct the disposition of 2,044,691 shares. PIPS has the sole power to vote or to direct the vote of and to dispose or to direct the disposition of 92,018 shares. Mr. Smith has the sole power to vote or to direct the vote of and to dispose or to direct the disposition of 600,000 shares held by Ridgeview Smith Investments LLC, a limited liability company established by Mr. Smith and of which he is the sole member. In his capacity as investment manager for certain managed accounts, Mr. Smith may be deemed to have the shared power to vote or to direct the vote of 76,500 shares and to dispose or to direct the disposition of 76,500 shares. Voting and investment authority over investment accounts established for the benefit of certain family members and friends of Mr. Smith is subject to each beneficiary’s right, if so provided, to terminate or otherwise direct the disposition of the investment account.

The 13G/A is Amendment No. 7 to the joint filing on Schedule 13G by Thomas W. Smith, Scott J. Vassalluzzo and Steven M. Fischer originally filed with the SEC on July 13, 2009, as amended by Amendment No. 1 filed with the SEC on February 16, 2010, Amendment No. 2 filed with the SEC on February 14, 2011, Amendment No. 3 filed by PGP, Thomas W. Smith and Scott J. Vassalluzzo with the SEC on January 5, 2012, Amendment No. 4 filed by PGP, Thomas W. Smith and Scott J. Vassalluzzo with the SEC on February 14, 2013, Amendment No. 5 filed by PGP, Prescott Associates, Thomas W. Smith and Scott J. Vassalluzzo with the SEC on February 14, 2014, and Amendment No. 6 filed by PGP, Prescott Associates, Thomas W. Smith and Scott J. Vassalluzzo with the SEC on February 13, 2015.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Based solely upon a review of Forms 3, 4 and 5 furnished to us and written representations from our officers and directors, we believe that all of our officers and directors and all beneficial owners of 10% or more of any class of our registered equity securities timely filed all reports required under Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act during fiscal 2017.

Equity Compensation Plans

Information for our equity compensation plans in effect as of the end of fiscal 2017 is as follows:

| Plan Category | Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a) | Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (1) (b) | Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) (c) |
|--|---|---|---|
| Equity compensation plans approved by stockholders | 1,001,300 | 11.58 | 6,070,549 |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by stockholders | - | - | - |
| Total | 1,001,300 | 11.58 | 6,070,549 |

(1) The weighted-average exercise price does not take into account the shares issuable upon outstanding restricted stock units vesting, which have no exercise price.

Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

Related Person Transaction Policy

Our written corporate approval policy requires transactions with related persons, to be reviewed and approved or ratified by the following persons on an escalating basis:

- ü our General Counsel,
- ü our CFO,
- ü our CEO, and
- ü our Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee.

In this regard, all such transactions are first discussed with the CFO and are submitted to the General Counsel's office, including for an initial determination of whether such further related person transaction review is required.

We utilize the definition of related persons under applicable SEC rules, defined as any executive officer, director or nominee for director of Systemax, any beneficial owner of more than 5% of the outstanding shares of our common stock, or any immediate family member of any such person.

In reviewing these transactions, we strive to assure that the terms of any agreement between Systemax and a related party is at arm's length, fair and at least as beneficial to Systemax as could be obtained from third parties.

The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee, in its discretion, may consult with third party appraisers, valuation advisors or brokers to make such determination.

Transactions With Related Persons

Lease. On December 14, 2016, Global Equipment Company Inc., a wholly owned indirect subsidiary of Systemax entered into an amended and restated lease (the "Lease") for its Port Washington, NY headquarters (the "Headquarters"). Systemax has leased the Headquarters since 1988 from an entity owned by Messrs. Richard, Bruce and Robert Leeds, directors and officers of, and together with their respective affiliated entities majority stockholders of, Systemax (the "Landlord"). The Lease provides that it is intended to be a "triple net" lease with Global Equipment Company Inc. to pay, or reimburse Landlord for paying, all costs and operating expenses, including taxes, insurance and maintenance expenses, associated with the Lease and the Headquarters. The Lease was reviewed and approved in accordance with the corporate approval policy noted above for related party transactions. Lease payments totaled \$936,457 for fiscal 2017.

Stockholders Agreement. Certain members of the Leeds family (including Messrs. Richard, Bruce and Robert Leeds) and family trusts of Messrs. Richard, Bruce and Robert Leeds entered into a stockholders agreement pursuant to which the parties agreed to vote in favor of the nominees for the Board designated by the holders of a majority of the shares held by such stockholders at the time of our initial public offering of the shares. In addition, the agreement prohibits the sale of the shares without the consent of the holders of a majority of the shares held by all parties to the agreement, subject to certain exceptions, including sales pursuant to an effective registration statement and sales made in accordance with Rule 144. The agreement also grants certain drag-along rights in the event of the sale of all or a portion of the shares held by holders of a majority of the shares. As of the end of fiscal 2017, the parties bound to the stockholders agreement beneficially owned 25,236,700 shares subject to such agreement (constituting approximately 68% of the shares outstanding).

Pursuant to the stockholders agreement, Systemax granted to the parties demand and incidental, or "piggy-back," registration rights with respect to the shares. The demand registration rights generally provide that the holders of a majority of the shares may require, subject to certain restrictions regarding timing and number of shares that Systemax register under the Securities Act all or part of the shares held by such stockholders. Pursuant to the incidental registration rights, Systemax is required to notify such stockholders of any proposed registration of any shares under the Securities Act and if requested by any such stockholder to include in such registration any number of shares of shares held by it subject to certain restrictions. Systemax has agreed to pay all expenses and indemnify any selling stockholders against certain liabilities, including under the Securities Act, in connection with the registration of shares pursuant to such agreement.

Executive Officers

There are no arrangements or understandings between any officer and any other person pursuant to which such person was selected as an officer.

Messrs. Richard Leeds, Bruce Leeds, Robert Leeds and Lawrence Reinhold biographical information is on pages 5-6 of this proxy statement.

Thomas Clark

Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Age: 36

Thomas Clark was appointed Vice President and CFO of Systemax in October 2016. Mr. Clark originally joined Systemax in 2007. During the past ten years Mr. Clark, has served in a number of senior financial positions at Systemax, most recently as Controller of the Industrial Products Group. Previously he held the positions of Director of Finance, and Manager of Financial Planning & Analysis at Systemax.

Robert Dooley

President, Industrial Products Group

Age: 64

Robert Dooley was appointed President of our Industrial Products Group in January 2012. Mr. Dooley originally joined Systemax in 1982 and served in numerous roles until March 2004, including Senior Vice President, Worldwide Computer Sales and Marketing. He also was a director of Systemax from June 1995 through March 2004.

Dave Kipe

Senior Vice President and Chief Operations Officer

Age: 45

Dave Kipe was appointed Senior Vice President and Chief Operations Officer in October 2017. Prior to joining Systemax, Dave worked in various senior leadership roles from private equity start-ups to Fortune 500 organizations, including Scholastic, MSC Industrial, Gap Inc., & IKON Office Solutions. He brings with him a strong background and years of experience in global supply chain management and operations.

Eric Lerner

Senior Vice President and General Counsel

Age: 60

Eric Lerner was appointed Senior Vice President and General Counsel in May 2012. He was previously a senior corporate partner at Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel, a corporate partner, Co-Chair of the National Corporate Department and member of the Board of Directors of Katten Muchin Zavis Rosenman, and a corporate partner and Chair of the Corporate Department of Rosenman & Colin.

Manoj Shetty

Senior Vice President and Chief Information Officer

Age: 57

Manoj Shetty was appointed Senior Vice President and Chief Information Officer of Systemax in August 2014. Mr. Shetty originally joined Systemax in 2000 and has served in several Information Technology roles since that time. Prior to joining Systemax, Mr. Shetty was employed at Mercator (ultimately acquired by IBM) and in the manufacturing sector.

Thomas Axmacher

Vice President and Controller

Age: 59

Thomas Axmacher was appointed Vice President and Controller of Systemax in October 2006. He was previously Chief Financial Officer of Curative Health Services, Inc., a publicly traded health care company, and Vice President and Controller of Tempo Instrument Group, an electronics manufacturer.

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Executive Summary

In this section, we discuss the objectives of our compensation programs and policies, and the reasons why we pay each material element of our executives' compensation. Following this discussion, you will find a series of tables containing more specific details about the compensation of our Named Executive Officers, (referred to as "NEOs"), listed below. The following discussion relates to the NEOs and their titles as of the end of 2017.

Our NEOs* in 2017 were as follows:

| Name | Title |
|-------------------|--|
| Richard Leeds | Executive Chairman |
| Bruce Leeds | Vice Chairman |
| Robert Leeds | Vice Chairman |
| Lawrence Reinhold | President & Chief Executive Officer |
| Thomas Clark | Vice President & Chief Financial Officer |
| Robert Dooley | President, Industrial Products Group |

*We define our NEOs for 2017 as each person who served as chief executive officer or chief financial officer at any time during 2017, and the three other most highly compensated persons serving as executive officers at year end, and one additional executive officer.

Central Objectives and Philosophy of Our

Executive Compensation Programs

The Compensation Committee designs competitive compensation packages having the proper amount and mix of short term, annual and long-term incentive programs to serve several important objectives:

- attracting and retaining individuals of superior ability and managerial talent;
- rewarding outstanding individual and team contributions to the achievement of our short and long-term financial and business objectives;
- promoting integrity and good corporate governance;
- motivating our executive officers to manage for sustained growth and financial performance, and enhanced stockholder value, for the long-term benefit of our stockholders, customers and employees; and
- mitigating risk and reducing risk taking behavior that might affect financial results, without diminishing the incentive nature of the compensation (as described below).

Risk Management

We believe our programs encourage and reward prudent business judgment and appropriate risk-taking over the long-term. We believe the following factors are effective in mitigating risk relating to our compensation programs including the risk that an executive will take action that is detrimental to our long-term interests in order to increase the executive's short-term performance-based compensation:

Management Processes. Our Board is responsible for overseeing, and together with our Audit Committee, monitors the risk management processes associated with our operations, and together with our Audit Committee focuses on the most significant risks facing Systemax, and seeking to ensure that appropriate general and specific risk mitigation considerations are implemented by management and considered in our business and operations planning. Our Compensation Committee is responsible for considering risk mitigation issues and for including strategies to mitigate risk in our compensation programs.

Regular Oversight. Risk management is regularly overseen by the Board and Audit Committee on a quarterly basis, covering particular risk management matters in connection with general oversight and approval of corporate matters, and through discussions relating to material risks affecting Systemax presented by management and by our Legal, Risk Management/Insurance and Internal Audit departments. The Compensation Committee members also receive these presentations and take risk mitigation into account in designing our compensation programs.

Multiple Performance Factors. We use multiple performance factors that encourage executives to focus on the overall health of the business rather than a single financial measure.

Award Cap. Our NEO Non-Equity Incentive Plans ("NEO Plans") cap the maximum award payable to any individual.

Clawback Provision. Our NEO Plans provide Systemax the ability to recapture cash awards from our executive officers:

to the extent a NEO Plan payment resulted from reported financial results that upon restatement of such results (other than as a result of changes in accounting principles) would not have generated the payment or would have generated a lower payment; or

if misconduct by the executive officer contributed to Systemax having to restate all or a portion of our financial statements; or

if the Board determines that the executive engaged in serious ethical misconduct.

Long-Term Equity Compensation. From time to time a limited number of key managers are eligible to receive stock options and/or restricted stock units in varying amounts, in the discretion of the Compensation Committee. However, all awards are subject to years long vesting periods. We believe the long-term vesting period for stock options and restricted stock unit grants causes our executives to focus on long-term achievements and on building stockholder value.

Elements of Our Executive Compensation Programs

To promote the objectives described above, our executive compensation programs consist of the following principal elements:

- Base salary;
- Non-Equity Incentive Compensation;
- Special Bonus;
- Equity-Based Incentives; and
- Benefits, Perquisites and Other Compensation.

The Compensation Committee does not maintain formal policies or any specific allocation percentage or formula for allocating compensation among current and long-term compensation, or among cash and non-cash compensation elements, in relation to each other. The Compensation Committee from time to time adjusts different elements of compensation based upon its evaluation of our key business objectives and related compensation goals set forth above. We do not have a formal policy regarding internal pay equity. In addition, we provide our stockholders, pursuant to SEC regulation, with a non-binding “say on pay” advisory vote on our executive compensation every three years. While the Compensation Committee considers the results of the stockholder “say on pay” vote, the voting results are only one among many factors considered by the Compensation Committee in evaluating our compensation principles, design and practices.

Base Salary. Salary levels are subjectively determined based on individual and Systemax performance as well as an objective assessment of the average prevailing salary levels for comparable companies in our geographic regions (based on industry, revenues, number of employees, and similar factors), derived from widely available published reports. Such reports do not identify the component companies.

Non-Equity Incentive Compensation. Incentive cash compensation of our NEOs under the 2015, 2016 and 2017 NEO Plans (which operate under our stockholder approved 2010 Long-Term Incentive Plan (“2010 LTIP”), described below) is based primarily upon an evaluation of Systemax performance as it relates to three general business areas:

Operational and Financial Performance, such as net sales, operating income, consolidated net income, earnings before interest and taxes (“EBIT”), gross margin, operating margin, earnings per share, working capital, return on invested capital, stockholder equity and peer group comparisons);

Strategic Accomplishments, such as growth in the business (top line sales and margins), implementation of systems enhancements, process and technology improvements, cost management, turnaround or divestment of unprofitable business units, and growth in the value of our assets, including through strategic acquisition transactions; and Corporate Governance and Oversight, encompassing legal and regulatory compliance and adherence to Systemax policies including the timely filing of periodic reports with the SEC, compliance with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, maintaining robust internal controls, OSHA compliance, environmental, employment and safety laws and regulations compliance and enforcement of our corporate ethics policy.

The non-financial Strategic Accomplishments and Corporate Governance and Oversight goals are subjectively determined by the Compensation Committee annually, based on Systemax’s changing needs from time to time, and are intended to encourage cross functional efforts by our management team to support projects that benefit Systemax. Detailed discussion of these goals can be found below in the discussion of the 2017 NEO Plan.

Our performance goals may be expressed i) with respect to Systemax as a whole or with respect to one or more divisions or business units, ii) on a pre-tax or after-tax basis, and iii) on an absolute and/or relative basis. The performance goals may i) employ comparisons with past performance of Systemax (including one or more divisions) and/or ii) employ comparisons with the current or past performance of other companies, and in the case of earnings-based measures, may employ comparisons to capital, stockholders’ equity and shares outstanding.

To the extent applicable, the measures used in performance goals set under the 2010 LTIP are determined in a manner consistent with the methods used in our Forms 10-K and 10-Q, except that adjustments will be made for certain items, including special, unusual or non-recurring items, acquisitions and dispositions and changes in accounting principles.

Pursuant to SEC rules, and except for disclosure of our actual performance relative to any actually achieved 2017 and future financial targets, Systemax is not disclosing the specific performance targets and actual performance measures for the financial goals used in our NEO Plans because they represent confidential financial information that Systemax does not disclose to the public, and Systemax believes that disclosure of this information would cause us competitive harm. In addition, we do not disclose the specific subjective non-financial goals, since they may directly relate to strategic initiatives, plans and tactics being undertaken by our business and may indicate where we intend to devote our resources. We believe that our competitors having detailed knowledge of where we are devoting our strategic resources and management emphasis could give our competitors an advantage and be harmful to our competitive position. Financial targets are set such that only exceptional performance will result in payouts above the target incentive and poor performance will result in diminished or no incentive payment. We set the financial target performance goals at a level for which there is a reasonably challenged chance of achievement based upon the range of assumptions used to build our annual budget and forecasted performance. We did not perform specific analysis on the probability of the achievement of the financial target performance goals, given that the market is difficult to predict. Rather, we relied upon our experience in setting the goals guided by our objective of setting a reasonably attainable and motivationally meaningful goal. We set the non-financial goals (which are subjectively established by the Compensation Committee (and subjectively measured by the Compensation Committee in four incremental levels of achievement, as discussed below) to reflect a reasonable degree of difficulty to achieve substantial performance.

Special Bonuses. From time to time, the Compensation Committee may make special awards to our executives, in order to reward special achievement in the year that was not covered by the NEO Plan for that year. These awards may take the form of cash bonuses or equity awards and are granted pursuant to the 2010 LTIP.

Equity-Based Incentives. Equity based compensation provides an incentive for executives to manage Systemax with a view to achieving results which would increase our stock price over the long-term and, therefore, the return to our stockholders.

Outstanding equity-based incentives consist of:

- non-qualified stock options granted at 100% of the stock's fair market value on the grant date (based on the NYSE closing price of our common stock on that date); and

- restricted stock units granted subject to vesting conditions, constitute the long-term incentive portion of our executive compensation package.

The Compensation Committee is cognizant of the timing of the grant of stock based compensation in relation to the publication of Systemax earnings releases and other public announcements, and accordingly such grants generally will not be made effective until after Systemax has disclosed, and the market has had an opportunity to react to, such material announcements.

Benefits, Perquisites and Other Compensation. Systemax provides various employee benefit programs to our employees, including NEOs such as:

- medical, dental, life and disability insurance benefits;

• our 401(k) plan, which includes Systemax contributions;

• automobile allowances and related reimbursements to certain NEOs and certain other Systemax managers which are not provided to all employees; and

- severance payments, and/or change of control payments pursuant to negotiated employment agreements they have with Systemax (described below).

Systemax does not provide any pension benefits or deferred compensation under any defined contribution or other plan on a basis that is not tax-qualified.

Tax Deductibility Considerations. Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code (the “Code”) limits to \$1,000,000 the U.S. federal income tax deductibility of compensation paid in one year to a company's executive officers. Prior to January 1, 2018, certain types of compensation were deductible if the requirements of Section 162(m) of the Code with respect

to performance-based compensation were satisfied. Our long-term incentive plans (the 1999 Long-Term Stock Incentive Plan, as amended; the, the 2006 Stock Incentive Plan for Non-Employee Directors; and the 2010 Long-Term Incentive Plan, as amended) were structured to permit awards under such plans to qualify as performance-based compensation and to maximize the tax deductibility of such awards. While the Code limits the deductibility of compensation paid to our named executive officers, our Compensation Committee will—consistent with its past practice—continue to retain flexibility to design compensation programs that are in the best long-term interests of Systemax and our stockholders, with deductibility of compensation being one of a variety of considerations taken into account.

Role of the Compensation Committee and CEO in Compensation Decisions

The Compensation Committee's role and responsibility, and that of our CEO, covers several distinct aspects of setting compensation:

- review and approve corporate goals relevant to the compensation of the Executive Chairman, Vice Chairmen and CEO and, after evaluation of their performance, to set their compensation.

approve, upon the recommendation of the CEO (following consultation with the Executive Chairman and Vice Chairmen), (a) the annual compensation of the other executive officers of Systemax, (b) the annual compensation of certain subsidiary managers, and (c) all individual stock incentive grants.

- reviewing and making periodic recommendations to the Board with respect to our general compensation, benefits and perquisite policies and practices, including our stock-incentive based compensation plans.

The Compensation Committee is empowered to retain third party compensation consultants to provide assistance with respect to compensation strategies, market practices, market research data and our compensation goals. The Compensation Committee did not retain any such consultant in 2015, 2016 or 2017.

2010 Long-Term Incentive Plan

Basic Features and Types of Awards

In 2010, the Board of and our stockholders approved the 2010 LTIP in order to promote the interests of Systemax and our stockholders by (i) attracting and retaining exceptional executive personnel and other key employees, including consultants and advisors, to Systemax and our affiliates; (ii) motivating such employees, consultants and advisors by means of performance-related incentives to achieve longer-range performance goals; and (iii) enabling such employees, consultants and advisors to participate in our long-term growth and financial success.

The 2010 LTIP sets the basic parameters of our compensation policies and approach to executive compensation, and the annual NEO Plans adopted by the Compensation Committee under the 2010 LTIP implement that approach by linking compensation to achievement of Systemax's goals as the needs of our business change over time. We believe having consistent compensation policies that permit our compensation programs to adjust to address constantly evolving market conditions allows us to readily address the business challenges we face and motivate our employees to overcome them.

As explained below, certain basic features of the 2015, 2016 and 2017 NEO Plans historically are the same from year to year; however, in 2017 we implemented a compensation program that measured quarterly achievement and provided for quarterly non-equity incentive compensation Awards for certain NEOs. Systemax believes this quarterly program has had a beneficial effect in motivating our employees to achieve our and their goals, and we intend to retain this quarterly feature in our 2018 NEO Plan for certain NEOs.

The 2010 LTIP provides for the granting of various equity or cash based awards ("Award"), subject to certain limits including a maximum of 1,500,000 shares (or \$10,000,000 in the case of cash performance awards) per individual per year. An aggregate of 7,500,000 shares of common stock are authorized for stock based Awards, of which as of April 16, 2018 Awards covering 1,013,531 shares are outstanding and 6,080,549 shares remain available for future issuance.

These awards may be:

- incentive stock options;
- non-qualified stock options;
- stock appreciation rights;
- restricted stock;
- restricted stock units;
- cash performance awards (which may take the form of non-equity incentive compensation under the NEO Plans or may be in the form of special cash "bonuses"); or
- other stock-based awards.

In the Summary Compensation Table, cash awards granted as NEO non-equity incentive compensation under the NEO Plan for that year are reported as such in that column, and special cash bonuses awarded other than pursuant to the parameters of the NEO Plan are reported as such in the "Bonus" column.

Administration

The Compensation Committee has the authority to administer, interpret and construe any provision of the 2010 LTIP Plan (and the annual NEO Plans adopted under it) and to adopt such rules and regulations for administering the 2010 LTIP Plan and the NEO Plans as it deems necessary or appropriate. All decisions and determinations of the Compensation Committee are final, binding and conclusive on all parties.

Further, the Compensation Committee has sole discretion over the terms and conditions of any Award, including:

- the persons who will receive Awards;
- the type of Awards granted;
- the number of shares subject to each Award;
- exercise price of and Award;
- expiration dates;
- vesting schedules;
- forfeiture provisions;
- conditions on the achievement of specified performance goals for the granting or vesting of options, restricted stock, restricted stock units or cash Awards; and
- other material features of Awards.

The Compensation Committee or the Board may delegate to our officers or managers the authority to designate Award recipients, but the Compensation Committee must grant all Awards to those individuals reasonably considered to be subject to the insider trading provisions of federal securities law, including our officers and directors.

Individual Achievement and Systemax Performance

In determining the compensation of a particular executive, the Compensation Committee takes into account the ways in which our executives most directly impact our business, and seeks to correlate their compensation objectives to the ways they can be effectively motivated and their contribution objectively measured. Accordingly, the NEO Plans adopted under the 2010 LTIP give consideration to the executive's specific corporate responsibilities as they relate to our business and goals, and therefore the performance metrics, and the amount and mix of compensation elements, may vary from year to year.

For instance, as discussed below, Mr. Reinhold's non-equity incentive compensation is 100% tied to achievement of the consolidated goals and results of Systemax, while a portion of Mr. Clark's non-equity incentive compensation is tied to specific personal objectives. Also, prior to 2017 Mr. Dooley had a portion of his non-equity incentive compensation tied to the achievement of certain financial and non-financial consolidated results of Systemax, and a larger portion tied to the achievement of certain financial and non-financials goals of the Industrial Products Group, but beginning in fiscal 2017 Mr. Dooley's entire non-equity incentive compensation is tied to such achievements of the Industrial Products Group. As described below, Messrs. Reinhold and Clark also received stock options and restricted stock units in 2016, and Mr. Dooley received stock options, reflecting the Compensation Committee's belief that their annual performance merited special recognition.

Through 2017, the non-equity incentive compensation of Messrs. Richard, Bruce and Robert Leeds under the applicable NEO Plan has been 100% tied to achievement of consolidated goals of Systemax, but each of Richard Leeds, Bruce Leeds and Robert Leeds voluntarily waived a portion (\$1,389,800, \$1,162,900, and \$1,162,900, respectively) of their earned non-equity incentive compensation for 2017. Beginning in 2018 Messrs. Richard, Bruce and Robert Leeds will not be participating in the NEO Plan and will not be eligible for incentive compensation. In

addition, Messrs. Richard, Bruce and Robert Leeds have never received, since our initial public offering, stock options or other stock-based incentives as part of their compensation.

Common Elements of the 2015, 2016 and 2017 NEO Plans

Certain features of the 2015 2016 and 2017 NEO Plans, such as performance categories, annual caps and partial achievement adjustment mechanisms, are the same under each Plan, and are discussed here for ease of reference.

As explained below, in determining non-equity incentive compensation the financial goals are accorded a more significant weighting factor than the non-financial goals, reflecting the Compensation Committee's belief that the financial goals are the most critical to enhancing stockholder value, maintaining long term growth, and remaining competitive, and furthermore provide the funding for implementing the strategic accomplishments and corporate governance goals. Achievement and over-achievement of the financial goals results in incremental increases to the available incentive compensation pool in which the participating executives share.

Systemax Consolidated Financial Goals for 2015, 2016 and 2017.

Adjusted Operating Income Performance. The Compensation Committee believes this is the most important individual component and aligns the interests of our executives with those of our stockholders, in addition to building long-term value. Adjusted Operating Income is defined as operating income adjusted for unusual or nonrecurring items as determined by our Compensation Committee.

Sales Performance. The Compensation Committee believes sales performance is key to Systemax achieving the scale necessary to remain competitive with larger companies. Sales are defined as sales revenue net of returns on a constant currency basis. Sales are further adjusted for the impact of any acquisition or disposition which is completed during the plan year.

Systemax Consolidated Non-Financial Goals for 2015, 2016 and 2017.

Strategic Accomplishments. Strategic goals are established surrounding accomplishments within our Industrial Products Group, European Technology Products Group, and the Corporate and Other function (and in 2015, around accomplishments in our North American Technology Products Group, since discontinued), as explained in the footnotes to the 2017 NEO Plan Compensation Chart below.

Corporate Governance Goals. These goals relate to continuing improvements in our internal control processes, ethics compliance procedures and safety protocols that the Compensation Committee believes will generally benefit stockholders (as explained in the footnotes to the 2017 NEO Plan Compensation Chart below), as evidenced by the absence of material weaknesses in internal controls and financial reporting, prompt investigation and disposition of any ethical or governance issues that may arise, and the absence of any serious OSHA matters.

Business Unit or Individual Financial and Non-Financial Goal for 2015, 2016 and 2017. Business Unit and Individual Goals were set in each period for Mr. Dooley and Mr. Clark and are established tied to the Business Unit Financial Performance of the Industrial Products Group. These objectives are comprised of a variety of measurable strategic, financial and operational targets and initiatives including sales growth and margin improvement, cost management, process improvement, corporate development, and others as deemed appropriate by the CEO in consultation with the Compensation Committee. In each case, the selected objectives are considered relevant to the scope of each executive's functional areas of operation and are designed to incentivize management to accomplish the businesses' strategic plan. In each of 2015 and 2016 these objectives were administered on an annual basis, but in 2017 these goals were administered on both a quarterly and full year basis as described below.

Targets, Caps and Adjustment Mechanisms. Achievement of each of the target financial goals generates a variable non-equity incentive payment target (base case); reduced amounts are payable on a pro rata basis for each financial goal component and on a partial basis on the non-financial goal components. The 2015, 2016 and 2017 NEO Plans

impose a cap on the total non-equity incentive compensation that could be payable to each executive based upon the relative weights of each component.

Systemax Consolidated Sales Target Financial Component.

• Sales target amount is payable starting at achievement of in excess of 80% of the sales target financial goal component amount.

• Sales target amount is capped at 140% of the sales target financial goal component amount.

• Each 1% variance in actual achievement below the 100% level will generate a 5% negative variance in the target non-equity incentive amount.

• Each 1% variance in actual achievement above the 100% level generates a 5% positive variance in the target non-equity incentive amount.

No non-equity incentive compensation is payable in respect of the sales target if achievement is 80% or less of the sales target while increased payments (up to 300% of the target non-equity incentive compensation amount for this financial component) are payable on a pro rata basis for over achievement of the sales target component.

Systemax Consolidated Adjusted Operating Income Financial Component.

• The adjusted operating income goal is payable at a level of 100% if the target is achieved.

• Each \$1,000,000 variance in actual achievement below the 100% level will generate a 5% negative variance in the target non-equity incentive compensation amount.

Each \$1,000,000 variance in actual achievement above the 100% level will generate a 5% positive variance in the target non-equity incentive compensation amount up to 300% of the target non-equity incentive compensation amount for this financial component.

Systemax Consolidated Non-Financial Goals. The non-financial goals are measured based on whether or not the goal is either accomplished or not accomplished during the fiscal year. Accomplishment can be measured at 0%, 25%, 50%, 75%, or 100% levels (as subjectively determined by the Compensation Committee) with target non-equity incentive compensation paid out accordingly.

Business Unit or Individual Goals. Generally, the accomplishment can be measured at 0%, 25%, 50%, 75%, or 100% levels (as subjectively determined by the Compensation Committee) with target non-equity incentive compensation paid out accordingly. Adjusted Operating Income Performance of each business unit above or below plan, would result in either higher potential or lower potential target non-equity incentive levels.

Compensation Committee Discretion. The Compensation Committee has the discretion to adjust financial targets based on such events as acquisitions or other one-time charges or gains, or other unforeseen circumstances that can skew normal operating results; exercises of such discretion are noted below. Targets and non-equity incentive compensation are also subject to adjustment to prevent unreasonable results in the strict application of these formulas. Executives must generally be employed with Systemax at the time the incentive compensation is paid out to receive the payment, though the Compensation Committee has discretion to waive this requirement.

2017 NEO Plan

In 2017, pursuant to the 2010 LTIP, our Compensation Committee, with input from our CEO, established our 2017 NEO Non-Equity Incentive Plan (“2017 Plan”). The 2017 Plan pertains specifically to the payment of non-equity incentive compensation to NEOs for 2017; however, in 2017 in a change from prior years arrangements, the Compensation Committee revised Mr. Dooley’s and Mr. Clark’s plans by implementing, a new quarterly measurement and payment feature to a portion of the Business Unit and Individual Objectives.

These objectives are comprised of a variety of measurable strategic, financial and operational initiatives including, sales growth and margin improvement, cost management, process improvement, corporate development, and others as deemed appropriate by the CEO in consultation with the Compensation Committee.

Measuring Quarterly Performance.

Bonus achievement of these personal objectives (i.e. – those not tied to NEO Plan performance) is measured as follows:

Achievement of each quarterly personal objective and of the shared annual objectives, entitles the employee to receive a portion of the applicable target non-equity incentive compensation that may be earned for that period, and is funded based upon achievement of the relative operating income achievement within that period.

Goals are set in up to five equally weighted discrete tranches, one for each quarter, as well as one on an annual basis.

Within each measurement period, each individual initiative is weighted as a proportion of the total available target non-equity incentive compensation for that period, and is earned based upon an achievement range of 0%, 25%, 50%, 75%, or 100%.

A 5% negative variance to target adjusted operating income equates to a 10% reduction in available non-equity incentive compensation, as applied discretely to each measurement period.

A 5% positive variance to target adjusted operating income equates to a 5% increase to available non-equity incentive compensation, as applied discretely to each measurement period and capped at 150% of target available compensation.

Under the 2017 Plan, the Compensation Committee set the following non-equity incentive target amounts, cap percentages and relative percentages weights for each plan component for each of our NEOs in 2017.

| Name | Target (\$) | Cap (%) | Net Sales (%) | Adjusted Operating Income (%) | Strategic Objectives (%) | Corporate Governance (%) | Business Unit/ Individual Objectives (%) |
|-------------------|-------------|---------|---------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Richard Leeds | 1,050,000 | 260 | 20 | 60 | 16 | 4 | 0 |
| Robert Leeds | 877,500 | 260 | 20 | 60 | 16 | 4 | 0 |
| Bruce Leeds | 877,500 | 260 | 20 | 60 | 16 | 4 | 0 |
| Lawrence Reinhold | 1,410,000 | 260 | 20 | 60 | 16 | 4 | 0 |
| Thomas Clark | 175,000 | 205 | 10 | 30 | 8 | 2 | 50 |
| Robert Dooley | 505,000 | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |

The Compensation Committee believes these non-equity incentive compensation levels are appropriate for each of our named executive officers and are reasonably achievable.

2017 Performance against Objectives.

The following table sets out the achievement level (presented as a percentage of target) for each plan component as well the relative payout ratio earned based on the mechanics of each plan component. The aggregate payouts, expressed in dollars, appear in the Summary Compensation Table.

| Name | Net Sales (%) | | Adjusted Operating Income (%) | | Strategic Objectives (%) | | Corporate Governance (%) | | Business Unit/ Individual Objectives (%) | | Weighted Average Eligible Non-Equity Incentive Compensation (%) |
|-------------------|---------------|--------------|-------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|--------------|--|--------------|---|
| | Actual | Payout Ratio | Actual | Payout Ratio | Actual | Payout Ratio | Actual | Payout Ratio | Actual | Payout Ratio | |
| Richard Leeds | 106 | 125 | 179 | 245 | 84 | 84 | 100 | 100 | N/A | N/A | 190 |
| Robert Leeds | 106 | 125 | 179 | 245 | 84 | 84 | 100 | 100 | N/A | N/A | 190 |
| Bruce Leeds | 106 | 125 | 179 | 245 | 84 | 84 | 100 | 100 | N/A | N/A | 190 |
| Lawrence Reinhold | 106 | 125 | 179 | 245 | 84 | 84 | 100 | 100 | N/A | N/A | 190 |
| Thomas Clark | 106 | 125 | 179 | 245 | 84 | 84 | 100 | 100 | 93 | 134 | 162 |
| Robert Dooley | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 85 | 118 | 118 |

In determining the compensation of our CEO for fiscal 2017 and approving the compensation of our other NEOs, the Compensation Committee considered, among the other factors discussed above, that Systemax and management had performed exceedingly well, and had substantially overachieved the 2017 financial targets, completed a major restructuring initiative in selling our former European Technology Products Group, and had driven significant increases in stockholder value. It was the view of the Compensation Committee that management had executed these initiatives and had positioned Systemax for further growth while managing risk. Based on Systemax and individual performance, the Compensation Committee believes that compensation levels for fiscal 2017 were consistent with the philosophy and objectives of our compensation programs. As part of the evaluation, Messrs. Richard, Robert, and Bruce Leeds recommended to the Compensation Committee that their non-equity incentive compensation be reduced to 57% of target from the 190% of target non-equity incentive compensation they each were eligible for. The Compensation Committee accepted this recommendation.

Systemax Consolidated Net Sales in 2017 was set based upon Systemax's continuing operation within our Industrial Products Group and our France Value Added Reseller business. Consolidated Sales achieved 106% of target. The payout ratio based upon 6% overachievement to plan was 125%.

Systemax Consolidated Adjusted Operating Income target in 2017 was set based upon Systemax's continuing operations within our Industrial Products Group, France Technology Value Added Reseller business, as well as within our Corporate and Other Segment. Each segment surpassed plan in each of its continuing operations segments. As a matter of clarity, any costs incurred associated with the divestiture of certain of our European subsidiaries in March 2017 as well as any costs associated with our GAAP and NON GAAP discontinued operations were neither a component of the target or the actual earnings when evaluating performance of this plan component. Performance

within our Industrial Products Group surpassed our adjusted operating plan primarily due to significant improvements to gross selling margin, better freight results associated with enhanced utilization of our nationwide distribution network, realization of improved return on investment and marketing efficiency, and cost savings from certain headcount reduction actions taken early in 2017. Within our France business, Adjusted Operating Income performance outperformed our adjusted operating plan primarily from savings realized from the internalization of certain functions previously provided by Systemax's European Shared Service Center (divested in March 2017) as well as leverage improvements on spend based upon increased sales volume. Within Systemax's Corporate and Other segment, the Board exercised its discretion to eliminate the income recorded in relation to Messrs. Richard, Robert, and Bruce Leeds waiving a portion of their previously accrued non-equity incentive compensation. The payout ratio based upon 79% over-achievement to plan was 245%.

Systemax Consolidated Strategic Objectives were assigned 37.5% relative weighting related to the Industrial Products Group Segment, and achievement of its Financial, Customer, Operations, and Learning and Development Balanced Score Card objectives. The Compensation Committee subjectively determined that 75% of these strategic objectives were accomplished in 2017. In addition, 37.5% relative weighting was accorded to strategic objectives related to the European Technology Products Group Segment and those related to specific objectives surrounding market share gains and operating leverage efficiency within our France operations as well as completing a project to turn around or exit other unprofitable businesses within Europe. The Compensation Committee subjectively determined that 100% of these strategic objectives were accomplished. Finally, the strategic objectives related to rationalizing internal information management platforms, as well as completion of certain cost reduction efforts within our Corporate and Other Segment received a relative weighting of 30%. The Compensation Committee subjectively determined that 75% of these objectives were accomplished in 2017. Based upon each relative weight, the payout ratio was 84.4%. The weightings of each goal are subjectively determined by the Compensation Committee based on its view of the relative importance to the Company for that year of the strategic goal being accomplished.

Systemax Consolidated Corporate Governance goals relate to continuing improvements in our internal control processes, ethics compliance procedures, and safety protocols that the Compensation Committee believes will generally benefit stockholders as evidenced by the absence of material weaknesses in internal controls and financial reporting, prompt investigation and disposition of any ethical or governance issues that may arise, and the absence of any serious OSHA Matters. The Compensation Committee determined that the Corporate Governance objectives were achieved 100%.

Business Unit and individual objectives for Mr. Dooley and Mr. Clark related to either discrete quarters or the full year. Our CEO subjectively determined and the Compensation Committee agreed that Mr. Clark and Mr. Dooley achieved 93.1% and 84.5% on a weighted average basis of their objectives, respectively. Mr. Clark's objectives primarily were associated with Cost Control, technology and process enhancements, staff development, and the execution of certain disposition activities associated with the business European Technology segment. Mr. Dooley's objectives were typically associated with the financial performance of the Industrial Products Group including Net Sales, Gross Margin, and Operating Income performance. Key sales force and operational productivity enhancements as well as other technology and process enhancement objectives within this segment were assigned. Based upon business unit and individual performance, the Compensation Committee subjectively confirmed that Mr. Clark and Mr. Dooley earned 134% and 118% of these plan components respectively.

The 2017 threshold, target and maximum non-equity incentive amounts for each of our Named Executive Officers are found in the Grants of Plan-Based Awards table / page 38 of this proxy statement.

2018 NEO Plan

In 2018, pursuant to the 2010 LTIP, our Compensation Committee, with input from our CEO, established our 2018 NEO Non-Equity Incentive Plan (“2018 Plan”). The 2018 Plan pertains specifically to the payment of non-equity incentive compensation to NEOs for 2018, and utilizes similar performance metrics, caps and weightings as the NEO Plans discussed above.

Under the 2018 Plan, the Compensation Committee set the following non-equity incentive target amounts, non-equity incentive compensation cap percentages and relative percentages weights for each plan component for each of our NEOs in 2018.

| Name | Target (\$) | Cap (%) | Net Sales (%) | Adjusted Operating Income (%) | Strategic Objectives (%) | Corporate Governance (%) | Business Unit / Individual Objectives (%) |
|----------------------|--|------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| Richard Leeds | | | | | | | |
| Robert Leeds | No Longer Participating in Program in 2018 | | | | | | |
| Bruce Leeds | | | | | | | |
| Lawrence Reinhold | 1,410,000 | 260 | 20 | 60 | 16 | 4 | 0 |
| Thomas Clark | 187,500 | 205 | 10 | 30 | 8 | 2 | 50 |
| Robert Dooley | 600,000 | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |

Compensation Committee Report

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the above Compensation Discussion and Analysis with management. Based on its review and discussions, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in the proxy statement and incorporated by reference into our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017.

Submitted by the Compensation Committee of the Board,

Robert D. Rosenthal (Chairman)

Barry Litwin

Chad Lindbloom

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

At the end of fiscal 2017, the members of Systemax's Compensation Committee were Messrs. Rosenthal, Litwin and Lindbloom.

Mr. Dick resigned from the Committee on July 31, 2017 and Ms. Adler-Kravec as resigned from the Committee on December 5, 2017.

Systemax does not employ any current (or former) member of the Compensation Committee and no current (or former) member of the Compensation Committee has ever served as an officer of Systemax.

In addition, none of our current (or former) directors serving on the Compensation Committee has any relationship that requires disclosure under SEC regulations.

Executive Compensation

Summary Compensation Table

The following table sets forth the compensation earned by the Named Executive Officers for fiscal years 2015, 2016 and 2017:

| Name and Principal Position | Year | Salary (\$) | Bonus (\$) | Stock Awards | Option Awards | Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation | All Other Compensation | Total |
|---|-------|-------------|------------|--------------|---------------|--|------------------------|-----------|
| | | | | (\$)(1) | (\$)(2) | (\$)(3) | (\$)(4) | (\$) |
| Richard Leeds Executive Chairman | 2017 | 725,600 | | | | 600,000 | 30,000 | 1,355,600 |
| | 2016 | 734,400 | | | | 435,000 | 30,000 | 1,199,400 |
| | 2015 | 731,000 | | | | 560,000 | 29,200 | 1,320,200 |
| Bruce Leeds Vice Chairman | 2017 | 600,600 | | | | 500,000 | 30,000 | 1,130,600 |
| | 2016 | 600,000 | | | | 362,000 | 30,000 | 992,000 |
| | 2015 | 599,000 | | | | 351,000 | 29,200 | 979,200 |
| Robert Leeds Vice Chairman | 2017 | 603,000 | | | | 500,000 | 30,000 | 1,133,000 |
| | 2016 | 604,000 | | | | 362,000 | 30,000 | 996,000 |
| | 2015 | 607,000 | | | | 351,000 | 29,200 | 987,200 |
| Lawrence Reinhold President & Chief Executive Officer | 2017 | 714,100 | | | | 2,672,000 | 85,200 | 3,471,300 |
| | 2016 | 717,000 | | 415,500 | 666,500 | 582,000 | 51,700 | 2,432,700 |
| | 2015 | 694,000 | | | | 816,000 | 33,100 | 1,543,100 |
| Thomas Clark(5) Vice President & Chief Financial Officer | 2017 | 361,700 | | | | 285,000 | 24,800 | 671,500 |
| | 2016 | 231,600 | | 207,800 | 218,200 | 75,000 | 16,600 | 749,200 |
| | 2015- | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Robert Dooley President, Industrial Products Group | 2017 | 519,400 | 404,400 | | | 595,600 | 32,800 | 1,552,200 |
| | 2016 | 514,000 | | | 463,000 | 150,000 | 25,000 | 1,152,000 |
| | 2015 | 484,000 | 82,000 | | | 318,000 | 21,900 | 905,900 |

(1) This column represents the fair value of the stock award on the grant date determined in accordance with the provisions of ASC 718. As per SEC rules relating to executive compensation disclosure, the amounts shown exclude the impact of forfeitures related to service based vesting conditions. For additional information regarding assumptions made in calculating the amount reflected in this column, please refer to Note 7 to our audited consolidated financial statements, included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal 2017.

(2) This column represents the fair value of the stock option on the grant date determined in accordance with the provisions of ASC 718. As per SEC rules relating to executive compensation disclosure, the amounts shown exclude the impact of forfeitures related to service based vesting conditions. These amounts were calculated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. For additional information regarding assumptions made in calculating the amount reflected in this column, please refer to Note 7 to our audited consolidated financial statements, included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal 2017.

(3) The 2015 figures in this column represent the amount earned in fiscal 2015 (although paid in fiscal 2016) pursuant to the 2015 NEO Plan; the 2016 figures in this column represent the amount earned in fiscal 2016 (although paid in fiscal 2017) pursuant to the 2016 NEO Plan; and the 2017 figures in this column represent the amount earned in fiscal 2017 (although paid in fiscal 2018) pursuant to the 2017 NEO Plan. For more information, see the Grants of Plan-Based Awards table / page 38 of this proxy statement. Because these payments were based on predetermined performance metrics, these amounts are reported in the Non-Equity Incentive Plan column.

(4)

The elements of compensation included in the “All Other Compensation” column for fiscal 2017 are set forth in the table below.

- (5) Mr. Clark was not a Named Executive Officer prior to October 2016, and therefore no amounts are reported for fiscal 2015 in the Summary Compensation Table.

The amounts shown for “All Other Compensation” for fiscal 2017 include: (a) auto-related expenses, (b) Systemax 401(k) contributions, (c) dividend equivalent payments on unvested restricted stock, in the following amounts and (d) service awards which are given to every employee when they have been at Systemax at certain yearly milestones:

| Name | Auto Related Expenses (\$) | Systemax 401(k) contributions (\$) | Dividend Equivalent Payments on Unvested Restricted Stock (\$) | Service Award (\$) | Total (\$) |
|-------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--------------------|------------|
| Richard Leeds | 30,000 | - | - | | 30,000 |
| Bruce Leeds | 30,000 | - | - | | 30,000 |
| Robert Leeds | 30,000 | - | - | | 30,000 |
| Lawrence Reinhold | 30,000 | 4,100 | 50,700 | 500 | 85,200 |
| Thomas Clark | 14,400 | 4,100 | 5,800 | 500 | 24,800 |
| Robert Dooley | 18,000 | 4,100 | 9,000 | 1,750 | 32,800 |

Grants of Plan-Based Awards

The following table sets forth the estimated possible payouts under the cash incentive awards granted to our Named Executive Officers in respect of 2017 performance under the 2017 NEO Plan.

| Name | Grant Date | Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards (1) | | | All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units (#) | All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options (#) | Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards (\$/Sh) | Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards |
|-------------------|------------|---|-------------|--------------|--|--|---|--|
| | | Minimum (\$) | Target (\$) | Maximum (\$) | | | | |
| Richard Leeds | - | 94,500 | 1,050,000 | 2,730,000 | - | - | - | - |
| Bruce Leeds | - | 78,975 | 877,500 | 2,281,500 | - | - | - | - |
| Robert Leeds | - | 78,975 | 877,500 | 2,281,500 | - | - | - | - |
| Lawrence Reinhold | - | 126,900 | 1,410,000 | 3,666,000 | - | - | - | - |
| Thomas Clark | - | 8,970 | 175,000 | 358,750 | - | - | - | - |
| Robert Dooley | - | 6,310 | 505,000 | 757,500 | - | - | - | - |

(1) Amounts presented assume payment of threshold, target and maximum awards at the applicable level.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End for Fiscal 2017

The following table sets forth information regarding stock option and restricted stock awards previously granted to our Named Executive Officers which were outstanding at the end of fiscal 2017.

The market value of the unvested stock award is based on the closing price of one share of our common stock as of December 29, 2017, the last trading day of the fiscal 2017, which was \$33.27.

| Name | Option Awards | | Stock Awards | | | |
|-------------------|---|---|----------------------------|------------------------|---|--|
| | Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) | Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) | Option Exercise Price (\$) | Option Expiration Date | Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#) | Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$) |
| Lawrence Reinhold | 50,000 | - | 11.51 | 3/13/18 | 52,500(2) | 1,746,700 |
| | 100,000 | - | 13.19 | 5/18/19 | 40,000(3) | 1,330,800 |
| | 50,000 | - | 14.30 | 11/14/21 | 33,333(4) | 1,109,000 |
| | 12,500 | 37,500(1) | 8.31 | 2/1/26 | | |
| | 25,000 | 75,000(1) | 8.95 | 12/14/26 | | |
| | 5,000 | - | 16.63 | 8/9/20 | 16,666(5) | 554,500 |
| Thomas Clark | 5,000 | - | 18.73 | 3/1/22 | | |
| | 2,500 | 7,500(1) | 8.31 | 2/1/26 | | |
| | 12,500 | 37,500(1) | 8.32 | 11/10/26 | | |
| Robert Dooley | 50,000 | - | 18.73 | 3/1/22 | 25,000(6) | 831,800 |
| | 12,500 | 37,500(1) | 8.31 | 2/1/26 | - | |
| | 12,500 | 37,500(1) | 8.95 | 12/14/26 | - | |

(1) Options vest 25% per year over four years from date of grant. The grant date for each option is ten years prior to the option expiration date.

(2) Restricted stock units vest in ten equal annual installments of 17,500 beginning May 15, 2011.

(3) Restricted stock units vest in ten equal annual installments of 10,000 beginning November 14, 2012.

(4) Restricted stock units vest in three installments: 16,667 shares on February 1, 2017; 16,667 shares on February 1, 2018; and 16,666 shares on February 1, 2019.

(5) Restricted stock units vest in three installments: 8,334 shares on February 1, 2017; 8,333 shares on February 1, 2018; and 8,333 shares on February 1, 2019.

(6) Restricted stock units vest in ten equal annual installments of 5,000 beginning March 1, 2013.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested For Fiscal 2017

The table below shows stock options that were exercised, and restricted stock units that vested, during fiscal 2017 for each of our Named Executive Officers:

| Name | Option Awards | | Stock Awards | |
|---------------|---|---------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|
| | Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#) | Value Realized on Exercise (\$) | Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#) | Value Realized on Vesting (\$) (1) |
| Lawrence | - | - | 17,500(2) | 295,400 |
| Reinhold | - | - | 10,000(3) | 275,900 |
| Thomas Clark | - | - | 16,667(4) | 141,200 |
| Robert Dooley | - | - | 8,334(5) | 70,600 |
| | | | 5,000(6) | 44,600 |

(1) The amount in this column reflects the aggregate dollar amount realized upon the vesting of the restricted stock unit, determined by the market value of the underlying shares of common stock on the vesting date.

(2) Pursuant to a grant of restricted stock units on August 25, 2010, the restricted stock units vest in ten equal annual installments of 17,500 units each, beginning on May 15, 2011.

(3) Pursuant to a grant of restricted stock units on November 14, 2011, the restricted stock units vest in ten equal annual installments of 10,000 units each, beginning on November 14, 2012.

(4) Pursuant to a grant of restricted stock units on February 1, 2016, the restricted stock units vest in three installments, 16,667 shares on February 1, 2017; 16,667 shares on February 1, 2018 and 16,666 shares February 1, 2019.

(5) Pursuant to a grant of restricted stock units on February 1, 2016, the restricted stock units vest in three installments, 8,334 on February 1, 2017, 8,333 on February 1, 2018 and 8,333 on February 1, 2019.

(6) Pursuant to a grant of restricted stock units on March 1, 2012, the restricted stock units vest in ten equal annual installments of 5,000 units each, beginning on March 1, 2013.

Employment Arrangements of the Named Executive Officers

The 2018 salary levels discussed below reflect the Compensation Committee's view that such levels are appropriate in light of the current business performance and expected performance in 2018, and takes into account the other compensation elements applicable to each employee.

Richard Leeds – Richard Leeds has no employment agreement and is an “at will” employee. Base salary accounted for 54% of Mr. Leeds total cash compensation for 2017. Mr. Leeds' non-equity incentive compensation for 2017 was determined as described above under the heading 2017 NEO Plan, but Mr. Leeds voluntarily waived payment of a portion (\$1,389,800) of such award. Mr. Leeds' salary for 2018 is set at \$950,000. As noted above, beginning in 2018 Mr. Leeds will not be participating in the NEO Plan and will not be eligible for incentive compensation.

Bruce Leeds – Bruce Leeds has no employment agreement and is an “at will” employee. Base salary accounted for 53% of Mr. Leeds total cash compensation for 2017. Mr. Leeds' non-equity incentive compensation for 2017 was determined as described above under the heading 2017 NEO Plan, but Mr. Leeds voluntarily waived payment of a portion \$1,162,900) of such award. Mr. Leeds' salary for 2018 is set at \$950,000. As noted above, beginning in 2018 Mr. Leeds will not be participating in the NEO Plan and will not be eligible for incentive compensation.

Robert Leeds – Robert Leeds has no employment agreement and is an “at will” employee. Base salary accounted for 53% of Mr. Leeds total cash compensation for 2017. Mr. Leeds' non-equity incentive compensation for 2017 was determined as described above under the heading 2017 NEO Plan, but Mr. Leeds voluntarily waived payment of a portion \$1,162,900) of such award. Mr. Leeds' salary for 2018 is set at \$950,000. As noted above, beginning in 2018 Mr. Leeds will not be participating in the NEO Plan and will not be eligible for incentive compensation.

Lawrence Reinhold – Systemax entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Reinhold on January 17, 2007. The agreement provides for a minimum base salary of \$400,000 (which may be increased at the discretion of Systemax) and a bonus (which the agreement states is expected to be at least equal to 50% of the base salary) assuming Mr. Reinhold meets certain performance objectives (including our financial performance objectives) established for him by Systemax. The terms “Bonus” is broadly defined in Mr. Reinhold's employment agreement and includes all non-equity compensation as discussed herein. Mr. Reinhold is entitled to receive a car allowance. Base salary accounted for 21% of Mr. Reinhold's total cash compensation for 2017. Mr. Reinhold's non-equity incentive compensation for 2017 was determined as described above under the heading 2017 NEO Plan. Mr. Reinhold's base salary for 2018 is set at \$712,000. Compensation that may become payable following the termination of his employment or a change in control of Systemax, and other terms of the employment agreement related to such events, are discussed below under Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control / page 42 of this proxy statement.

Thomas Clark – Mr. Clark has no employment agreement and is an “at will” employee. Base salary accounted for 54% of Mr. Clark's total cash compensation for 2017. Mr. Clark's non-equity incentive compensation for 2017 was determined as described above under the heading 2017 NEO Plan. Mr. Clark's base salary for 2018 is set at \$386,000. Compensation that may become payable following the termination of his employment or a change in control of Systemax, are discussed below under Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control / page 42 of this proxy statement.

Robert Dooley – Mr. Dooley has no employment agreement and is an “at will” employee. Base salary accounted for 33% of Mr. Dooley's total cash compensation for 2017. Mr. Dooley's non-equity incentive compensation for 2017 was determined as described above under the heading 2017 NEO Plan. Mr. Dooley's base salary for 2018 is set at \$615,000. Compensation that may become payable following the termination of his employment or a change in control of Systemax, are discussed below under Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control / page 42

of this proxy statement.

41

Potential Payments Upon Termination of Employment or Change in Control

Lawrence Reinhold. Mr. Reinhold's employment agreement is terminable upon death or total disability, by Systemax for "cause" (as defined) or without "cause", or by Mr. Reinhold voluntarily for any reason or for "good reason" (as defined). In the event of termination for death, total disability, cause or voluntary termination by Mr. Reinhold, Systemax will owe no further payments under his employment agreement other than as applicable under disability or medical plans and any accrued but unused vacation time (up to four weeks) and the pro rata non-equity incentive compensation payment noted below. In the event of termination for total disability or death, Mr. Reinhold would also receive the pro rata portion of any non-equity incentive compensation payment which would otherwise be paid based on the average annual non-equity incentive compensation payment received for the prior two years, such payment shall be made within 75 days following the end of the calendar year in which such termination due to total disability or death occurred. If Mr. Reinhold resigns for "good reason" or if Systemax terminates him without "cause", he shall receive, (i) severance payments equal to 12 months' base salary, payable in accordance with Systemax's normal payroll practices over a period of twelve months (the "Severance Period"); (ii) the pro rata non-equity incentive compensation which would otherwise be paid based on the average annual non-equity incentive compensation received for the prior two years, such payment shall be made at the end of the year in which such termination occurred, and (iii) reimbursement during the Severance Period for COBRA insurance coverage. In the event Mr. Reinhold's employment is terminated without "cause" or if he resigns for "good reason" within 60 days prior to or one year following a "Change in Control" the severance payments shall be increased to equal 24 months' base salary and the Severance Period shall be extended to 24 months following termination. Notwithstanding the foregoing, any payment scheduled to be made to Mr. Reinhold after his termination of employment shall not be made until the date six months after the date of the termination of employment to the extent necessary to comply with Section 409A(a)(B)(i) of the Code and applicable Treasury Regulations. A "Change in Control" means: (i) approval by the stockholders of Systemax of (I) a reorganization, merger, consolidation or other form of corporate transaction or series of transactions, in each case, with respect to which the Majority Stockholders (as defined) cease to own, directly or indirectly, in the aggregate at least 40% of the then outstanding shares of our common stock or the combined voting power entitled to vote generally in the election of directors of the reorganized, merged or consolidated company's then outstanding voting securities, in substantially the same proportions as their ownership immediately prior to such reorganization, merger, consolidation or other transaction, or (II) the sale of all or substantially all of the assets of Systemax; (ii) the acquisition by any person, entity or "group", within the meaning of Section 13(d)(3) or 14(d)(2) of the Securities Exchange Act, of beneficial ownership within the meaning of Rule 13-d promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act which would result in the Majority Stockholders ceasing to own, directly or indirectly, in the aggregate, at least 40% of the then outstanding shares of our common stock; or (iii) the approval by the stockholders of Systemax of the complete liquidation or dissolution of Systemax.

Lawrence Reinhold, Robert Dooley and Thomas Clark. Pursuant to our standard restricted stock unit agreements, if an executive is terminated for cause, any unvested portion of his restricted stock units will terminate and be forfeited. In the event of a change in control, the executive will become immediately vested in all of the restricted stock units held by him as of the date of the change in control. If the executive's employment is terminated without cause or for good reason, he will become immediately vested in all non-vested units and will become immediately entitled to a distribution of that number of shares of common stock of Systemax that are represented by those vested restricted stock units.

If the executive's employment is terminated due to total disability or death, his estate or designated beneficiary(ies), whichever is applicable, will become immediately vested (x) in 50% of the non-vested restricted stock units, with respect to the restricted stock units held by Mr. Dooley and with respect to a portion of the restricted stock units held by Mr. Reinhold, and (y) in all non-vested units and will become immediately entitled to a distribution of that number of shares of common stock of Systemax that are represented by those vested restricted stock units, with respect to the restricted stock units held by Mr. Clark and with respect to a portion of the restricted stock units held by Mr. Reinhold.

Pursuant to our standard option agreements, in the event the employment of an above named executive is terminated for any reason other than death, total disability or cause, the vested portions of his options will be exercisable for up to three months, and the unvested portion will be forfeited. In the event of death or total disability, the vested portion of his option will be exercisable for up to one year, and the unvested portion will be forfeited. In the event of termination for cause, all unexercised options (vested and unvested) will be forfeited.

Pursuant to the stock option agreements with Mr. Reinhold (dated February 1, 2016 and December 14, 2016), Mr. Dooley (dated February 1, 2016 and December 14, 2016) and Mr. Clark (dated November 10, 2016), if the named executive's employment is terminated without cause or for good reason within six months following a "change in control", such named executive will become immediately vested in all outstanding unvested stock options, and all of the named executive's outstanding options shall remain exercisable in accordance with their terms, but in no event for less than 90 days after such termination.

The tables below describes potential payments and benefits upon termination of employment or change in control as of December 30, 2017, the last day of fiscal 2017, and using the closing price of our common stock on December 29, 2017, the last trading day of fiscal 2017. These amounts are estimates and the actual amounts to be paid can only be determined at the time of the termination of employment or the date of the change in control.

Lawrence Reinhold

| Type of Payment | Termination by Systemax without “Cause” or Resignation by Employee for “good reason” (\$) | Termination Due to Death or Total Disability (\$) | Change In Control Only (\$) | Termination by Systemax without “Cause” or Resignation by Employee for “good reason” within a certain period of time prior to or following a Change in Control (\$) |
|--|---|---|-----------------------------------|---|
| Cash Compensation (Salary & Non-Equity Incentive Compensation) | 2,341,100(1) | 1,627,000(2) | - | 3,055,200(3) |
| Value of Accelerated Vesting of Stock Option Awards | - | - | - | 2,760,000(4) |
| Value of Accelerated Vesting of Restricted Stock Unit Awards | 4,186,500(5) | 2,647,700(6) | 4,186,500(5) | - |
| Medical and Other Benefits | 8,200(7) | - | - | 16,400(8) |
| Total | 6,535,800 | 4,274,700 | 4,186,500 | 5,831,600 |

(1) Represents one year’s base salary (\$714,100) and the average annual non-equity incentive compensation paid to Mr. Reinhold for fiscal years 2016 and 2017 (\$1,627,000).

(2) Represents the average annual non-equity incentive compensation paid to Mr. Reinhold for fiscal years 2016 and 2017 (\$1,627,000).

(3) Represents two year’s base salary (\$1,428,200) and the average annual non-equity incentive compensation paid to Mr. Reinhold for fiscal years 2016 and 2017 (\$1,627,000). Payments are made to Mr. Reinhold only if he is terminated without “cause” or resigns for “good reason” within 60 days prior to, or one year following, a Change of Control.

(4) Represents accelerated vesting of 112,500 stock options. Pursuant to Mr. Reinhold’s stock option agreements (dated February 1, 2016 and December 14, 2016), if Mr. Reinhold’s employment is terminated without cause or for good reason within six months following a “change in control”, he will become immediately vested in all outstanding unvested stock options, and all of Mr. Reinhold’s outstanding options shall remain exercisable in accordance with their terms, but in no event for less than 90 days after such termination.

(5) Represents accelerated vesting of 125,833 unvested restricted stock units.

(6) Represents accelerated vesting of 79,583 unvested restricted stock units. Pursuant to Mr. Reinhold’s restricted stock unit agreements (dated August 25, 2010 and November 14, 2011), on the event of Mr. Reinhold’s death or total disability, 46,250 restricted stock units (50% of the unvested restricted stock units granted under such agreements) would vest. Pursuant to Mr. Reinhold’s restricted stock unit agreement (dated February 1, 2016), on the event of Mr. Reinhold’s death or total disability, 33,333 restricted stock units (100% of the unvested restricted stock units granted under such agreement) would vest.

- (7) Represents reimbursement of medical and dental insurance payments under COBRA for twelve months.
- (8) Represents reimbursement of medical and dental insurance payments under COBRA for 24 months.

Thomas Clark

| Type of Payment | Termination by Systemax without “Cause” or Resignation by Employee for “good reason” (\$) | Termination Due to Death or Total Disability (\$) | Change In Control Only (\$) | Termination by Systemax without “Cause” or Resignation by Employee for “good reason” within a certain period of time prior to or following a Change in Control (\$) |
|--|---|---|-----------------------------------|---|
| Cash Compensation (Salary & Non-Equity Incentive Compensation) | - | - | - | - |
| Value of Accelerated Vesting of Stock Option Awards | - | - | - | 935,600(1) |
| Value of Accelerated Vesting of Restricted Stock Unit Awards | 554,500(2) | 554,500(3) | 554,500(2) | - |
| Medical and Other Benefits | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 554,500 | 554,500 | 554,500 | 935,600 |

Represents accelerated vesting of 37,500 stock options. Pursuant to Mr. Clark’s stock option agreement (dated November 10, 2016), if Mr. Clark’s employment is terminated without cause or for good reason within six months (1) following a “change in control”, he will become immediately vested in all outstanding unvested stock options, and all of Mr. Clark’s outstanding options shall remain exercisable in accordance with their terms, but in no event for less than 90 days after such termination.

(2) Represents accelerated vesting of 16,666 unvested restricted stock units.

Represents accelerated vesting of 16,666 unvested restricted stock units. Pursuant to Mr. Clark’s restricted stock unit agreement (dated February 1, 2016), on the event of Mr. Clark’s death or total disability, 16,666 restricted (3) stock units (100% of the unvested restricted stock units granted under such agreement at December 30, 2017) would vest.

Robert Dooley

| Type of Payment | Termination by Systemax without “Cause” or Resignation by Employee for “good reason” (\$) | Termination Due to Death or Total Disability (\$) | Change In Control Only (\$) | Termination by Systemax without “Cause” or Resignation by Employee for “good reason” within a certain period of time prior to or following a Change in Control (\$) |
|--|---|---|-----------------------------------|---|
| Cash Compensation (Salary & Non-Equity Incentive Compensation) | - | - | - | - |
| Value of Accelerated Vesting of Stock Option Awards | - | - | - | 1,848,000(1) |
| Value of Accelerated Vesting of Restricted Stock Unit Awards | 831,800(2) | 415,900(3) | 831,800(2) | - |
| Medical and Other Benefits | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 831,800 | 415,900 | 831,800 | 1,848,000 |

Represents accelerated vesting of 75,000 stock options. Pursuant to Mr. Dooley’s stock option agreements (dated February 1, 2016 and December 14, 2016), if Mr. Dooley’s employment is terminated without cause or for good

(1) reason within six months following a “change in control”, he will become immediately vested in all outstanding unvested stock options, and all of Mr. Dooley’s outstanding options shall remain exercisable in accordance with their terms, but in no event for less than 90 days after such termination.

(2) Represents accelerated vesting of 25,000 unvested restricted stock units.

Represents accelerated vesting of 12,500 unvested restricted stock units. Pursuant to Mr. Dooley’s restricted stock

(3) unit agreement (dated March 1, 2012), on the event of Mr. Dooley’s death or total disability, 12,500 restricted stock units (50% of the unvested restricted stock units granted under such agreements) would vest.

Director Compensation

General Policy

Our policy is not to pay compensation to directors who are also employees of Systemax or any of our subsidiaries. Directors are reimbursed for reasonable travel and out-of-pocket expenses incurred for attending Board and Committee meetings and are covered by our travel accident insurance policy for such travel.

The table below shows the elements and amounts of compensation that we paid our non-management directors for fiscal 2017.

| Compensation Element | Amount (\$) |
|---|----------------|
| Retainers (1) | 65,000 |
| Restricted Stock Units (2) | 40,000 |
| Committee Chair Annual Retainers (1) | |
| Audit Committee | 20,000 |
| Compensation Committee | 10,000 |
| Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee | 10,000 |
| Lead Independent Director Retainer (1) | 20,000 |

(1) Retainer amounts are paid in quarterly installments.

Each non-management director receives an annual grant of restricted stock units each year immediately following the annual stockholders meeting in an amount equal to \$40,000 divided by the closing price per share during the 20 trading days preceding the date of the annual meeting (rounded up to the nearest whole number of shares). Such (2) restricted stock units are generally subject to forfeiture if the holder is not a director of Systemax on the date of the second annual meeting following such grant, and cannot be sold while so restricted; such restrictions lapse if the holder dies or becomes disabled or there is a change of control, as defined in the grant agreement. Cash dividend equivalents are paid on unvested restricted stock.

Non-Management Director Compensation in Fiscal 2017

The non-management directors received the following compensation during fiscal 2017:

| Name | Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$) | Stock Awards (\$)(1) | Option Awards (\$) | All Other Compensation (\$) | Total (\$) |
|---|---|-------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|
| Robert D. Rosenthal | 110,000 | 40,000 | - | 2,700(2) | 152,700 |
| Barry Litwin (appointed in July 2017) | 32,500 | 40,000 | - | 400(2) | 72,900 |
| Chad Lindbloom (appointed in December 2017) | 0 | 20,000 | - | - | 20,000 |
| Stacy Dick (resigned in July 2017) | 64,000 | 40,000(3) | - | 3,800(4) | 67,800 |
| Marie Adler-Kravec (resigned in December 2017) | 65,000 | 40,000(3) | - | 2,700(2) | 67,700 |

This column represents the fair value of the stock award on the grant date determined in accordance with the provisions of ASC 718. As per SEC rules relating to executive compensation disclosure, the amounts shown (1) exclude the impact of forfeitures related to service based vesting conditions. For additional information regarding assumptions made in calculating the amount reflected in this column, please refer to Note 7 to our audited consolidated financial statements, included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal 2017.

(2) Dividend equivalent payments on unvested restricted stock.

(3) In accordance with the terms of the plan, upon resignation, these shares were forfeited. Therefore, they are not included in the total compensation number.

(4) Includes dividend equivalent payments on unvested restricted stock units (\$1,300) and consulting fees (\$2,500).

46

CEO Pay Ratio Disclosure

As permitted under the SEC rules, in order to identify our “median employee” to compare to our CEO, we took into account our entire employee population (other than our CEO) at December 31, 2017, located in the United States, France, Canada, and India, including full, part-time and temporary/seasonal employees (1,600 Employees). We used the compensation components utilized in the Summary Compensation Table / page 36 of this proxy statement (“SCT”) for the period from January 1, 2017 to December 31, 2017 as the compensation measure to identify the median employee, and the median employee’s compensation. We annualized total compensation for those employees who commenced work during 2017, and excluded our cost of providing health and wellness benefits for all employees.

The pay ratio specified below is a reasonable estimate calculated in a manner that is intended to be consistent with Item 402(u) of Regulation S-K under the Exchange Act. In calculating Total Compensation for our median employee and CEO, we included, among other things, base salary, overtime, incentive payments, and stock-based compensation (based on the grant date fair value of awards granted during 2017); therefore, the CEO's Total Compensation for purposes of this calculation matches the Total Compensation described in the SCT / page 36 of this proxy statement.

The median team member's estimated Total Compensation for 2017 was \$47,000. The ratio of CEO pay to median team member pay is estimated to be 73:1.

Additional Matters

Solicitation of Proxies

The cost of soliciting proxies for the Annual Meeting will be borne by Systemax. In addition to solicitation by mail and over the internet, solicitations may also be made by personal interview, fax and telephone. Arrangements will be made with brokerage houses and other custodians, nominees and fiduciaries to send proxies and proxy material to their principals and Systemax will reimburse them for expenses in so doing.

Consistent with our confidential voting procedure, directors, officers and other regular employees of Systemax, as yet undesignated, may also request the return of proxies by telephone or fax, or in person.

Submitting Stockholder Proposals and Director Nominations for the Next Annual Meeting

Stockholder proposals intended to be presented at the 2019 annual meeting, including proposals for the nomination of directors, must be received by December 21, 2018 to be considered for the 2019 annual meeting pursuant to Rule 14a-8 under the Exchange Act.

Stockholders proposals should be mailed to Systemax Inc., Attention: Investor Relations, 11 Harbor Park Drive, Port Washington, NY 11050.

Any proposal for a director nominee shall contain at a minimum:

- the name and address of the stockholder making the recommendation;
- if the stockholder is not a stockholder of record, a representation and satisfactory proof of share ownership;
- a description of all direct and indirect related party transactions, compensation and other material monetary arrangements, agreements or understandings during the past three years, and any other material relationship, if any, between the stockholder and its respective affiliates or associates, or others with whom they are acting in concert, on the one hand, and the nominee and his or her respective affiliates, associates and others with whom they are acting in concert, on the other hand;
- whether the stockholder has been involved in any legal proceeding during the past 10 years;
- the nominee's name, age, address and other contact information;
- any direct or indirect holdings, beneficially and/or of record, of our securities by the nominee;
- any information regarding the nominee required to be disclosed about directors under applicable securities laws and/or stock exchange requirements;
- information regarding related party transactions with Systemax and/or the stockholder submitting the nomination and/or the nominee;
- any actual or potential conflicts of interest; and
- the nominee's biographical data, current public and private company affiliations, employment history (including current principal employment) and qualifications and status as "independent" under applicable securities laws and stock exchange requirements.

Nominees proposed by stockholders will receive the same consideration as other nominees.

Other Matters

The Board does not know of any matter other than those described in this proxy statement that will be presented for action at the Annual Meeting. If other matters properly come before the Annual Meeting, the persons named as proxies intend to vote the shares they represent in accordance with their judgment.

A COPY OF OUR FORM 10-K FOR FISCAL 2017 IS INCLUDED AS PART OF OUR ANNUAL REPORT ALONG WITH THIS PROXY STATEMENT, WHICH ARE AVAILABLE AT www.proxyvote.com.

Available Information

We maintain a website at www.systemax.com. We file reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission and makes available free of charge on or through our website our Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and Current Reports on Form 8-K, including all amendments to those reports. These are available as soon as is reasonably practicable after they are filed with the SEC. All reports mentioned above are also available from the SEC's website (www.sec.gov). The information on our website or any report we file with, or furnish to, the SEC is not part of this proxy statement.

The Board has adopted the following corporate governance documents:

• Charter for the Audit Committee of the Board (last amended March 2017).

• Charter for the Compensation Committee of the Board (last amended May 2013).

• Charter for the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee of the Board (last amended January 2015).

• Corporate Ethics Policy (last amended February 2018).

Applies to all of our directors, officers (including our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Chief Accounting Officer, Controller and any person performing similar functions) and employees.

• Corporate Governance Guidelines and Principles (last amended March 2017).

Establishes our corporate governance principles and practices on a variety of topics, including the responsibilities, composition and functioning of the Board.

In accordance with the corporate governance rules of the New York Stock Exchange, each of these corporate governance documents is available on our web site (www.systemax.com under "Investors—Corporate Governance—Corporate Governance Documents").