

CareTrust REIT, Inc.
Form 10-K
February 07, 2017
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K
(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 001-36181

CareTrust REIT, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland

46-3999490

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

905 Calle Amanecer, Suite 300, San Clemente, CA 92673

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code (949) 542-3130

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class

Name of each exchange on which registered

Common Stock (par value \$0.01 per share)

The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC
(NASDAQ Global Select Market)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§ 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Edgar Filing: CareTrust REIT, Inc. - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of “large accelerated filer,” “accelerated filer” and “smaller reporting company” in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Act.) Yes No

State the aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price at which the common equity was last sold, or the average bid and asked price of such common equity, as of the last business day of the registrant’s most recently completed second fiscal quarter: \$784.7 million.

As of February 3, 2017 there were 66,216,905 shares of the registrant’s common stock outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the definitive Proxy Statement for the registrant’s 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after the end of fiscal year 2016, are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Report.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I

Item 1. Business	<u>5</u>
Item 1A. Risk Factors	<u>15</u>
Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments	<u>33</u>
Item 2. Properties	<u>33</u>
Item 3. Legal Proceedings	<u>33</u>
Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures	<u>34</u>

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	<u>34</u>
Item 6. Selected Financial Data	<u>37</u>
Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	<u>38</u>
Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	<u>49</u>
Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	<u>49</u>
Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosures	<u>49</u>
Item 9A. Controls and Procedures	<u>50</u>
Item 9B. Other Information	<u>52</u>

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	<u>52</u>
Item 11. Executive Compensation	<u>52</u>
Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	<u>52</u>
Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	<u>52</u>
Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services	<u>52</u>

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statements and Financial Statement Schedules	<u>52</u>
Item 16. Form 10-K Summary	<u>55</u>

and future prospects or which could cause actual results to differ materially from our expectations include, but are not limited to: (i) the ability to achieve some or all of the benefits that we expect to achieve from the completed Spin-Off; (ii) the ability and willingness of our tenants to meet and/or perform their obligations under the triple-net leases we have entered into with them and the ability and willingness of Ensign to meet and/or perform its other contractual arrangements that it entered into with us in connection with the Spin-Off and any of its obligations to indemnify, defend and hold us harmless from and against various claims, litigation and liabilities; (iii) the ability of our tenants to comply with laws, rules and regulations in the operation of the properties we lease to them; (iv) the ability and willingness of our tenants, including Ensign, to renew their leases with us upon their

Table of Contents

expiration, and the ability to reposition our properties on the same or better terms in the event of nonrenewal or in the event we replace an existing tenant, and obligations, including indemnification obligations, we may incur in connection with the replacement of an existing tenant; (v) the availability of and the ability to identify suitable acquisition opportunities and the ability to acquire and lease the respective properties on favorable terms; (vi) the ability to generate sufficient cash flows to service our outstanding indebtedness; (vii) access to debt and equity capital markets; (viii) fluctuating interest rates; (ix) the ability to retain our key management personnel; (x) the ability to maintain our status as a REIT; (xi) changes in the U.S. tax law and other state, federal or local laws, whether or not specific to REITs; (xii) other risks inherent in the real estate business, including potential liability relating to environmental matters and illiquidity of real estate investments; and (xiii) any additional factors included in this report, including in the section entitled “Risk Factors” in Item 1A of this report, as such risk factors may be amended, supplemented or superseded from time to time by other reports we file with the SEC, including subsequent Annual Reports on Form 10-K and Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q.

Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date of this report. Except in the normal course of our public disclosure obligations, we expressly disclaim any obligation to release publicly any updates or revisions to any forward-looking statements to reflect any change in our expectations or any change in events, conditions or circumstances on which any statement is based.

TENANT INFORMATION

This Annual Report on Form 10-K includes information regarding certain of our tenants that lease properties from us, some of which are not subject to SEC reporting requirements. Ensign is subject to the reporting requirements of the SEC and is required to file with the SEC annual reports containing audited financial information and quarterly reports containing unaudited financial information. You are encouraged to review Ensign’s publicly available filings, which can be found at the SEC’s website at www.sec.gov.

The information related to our tenants contained or referred to in this Annual Report on Form 10-K was provided to us by such tenants or, in the case of Ensign, derived from SEC filings made by Ensign or other publicly available information. We have not verified this information through an independent investigation or otherwise. We have no reason to believe that this information is inaccurate in any material respect, but we cannot provide any assurance of its accuracy. We are providing this data for informational purposes only.

Table of Contents

PART I

All references in this report to “CareTrust REIT,” the “Company,” “we,” “us” or “our” mean CareTrust REIT, Inc. together with its consolidated subsidiaries. Unless the context suggests otherwise, references to “CareTrust REIT, Inc.” mean the parent company without its subsidiaries.

ITEM 1. Business

Our Company

CareTrust REIT is a self-administered, publicly-traded REIT engaged in the ownership, acquisition, development and leasing of seniors housing and healthcare-related properties. CareTrust REIT was formed on October 29, 2013, as a wholly owned subsidiary of Ensign with the intent to hold substantially all of Ensign's real estate business. On June 1, 2014, Ensign completed the separation of its real estate business into a separate and independent publicly traded company by distributing all of the outstanding shares of common stock of the Company to Ensign stockholders on a pro rata basis. The Spin-Off was effective from and after June 1, 2014, with shares of our common stock distributed to Ensign stockholders on June 2, 2014. As of December 31, 2016, CareTrust REIT's real estate portfolio consisted of 154 skilled nursing facilities (“SNFs”), SNF Campuses, assisted living facilities (“ALFs”) and independent living facilities (“ILFs”). Of these properties, 93 are leased to Ensign on a triple-net basis under multiple long-term leases (each, an “Ensign Master Lease” and, collectively, the “Ensign Master Leases”) that have cross default provisions and are all guaranteed by Ensign, 16 are leased to affiliates of Pristine Senior Living (“Pristine”) under a long-term, triple-net master lease (the “Pristine Master Lease”) that is guaranteed by Pristine and its sole principal, and 42 properties are leased to 14 other tenants on a triple-net basis. We also own and operate three ILFs. As of December 31, 2016, the 93 facilities leased to Ensign had a total of 9,916 beds and units and are located in Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, Iowa, Nebraska, Nevada, Texas, Utah and Washington; the 16 facilities leased to affiliates of Pristine had a total of 1,488 beds and units and are located in Ohio; and the 42 remaining leased properties had a total of 3,515 beds and units and are located in California, Colorado, Florida, Georgia, Idaho, Indiana, Iowa, Maryland, Michigan, Minnesota, North Carolina, Texas, Virginia, Washington and Wisconsin. The three ILFs that we own and operate had a total of 264 units and are located in Texas and Utah. As of December 31, 2016, the Company also had three other real estate investments consisting of \$13.9 million of preferred equity investments.

From January 1, 2016 through February 3, 2017, we acquired 35 properties, comprising 15 ALFs, 17 SNFs and 3 SNF Campuses, for approximately \$309.5 million inclusive of estimated transaction costs. During this period, we also completed two preferred equity investments totaling \$4.7 million.

We generate revenues primarily by leasing healthcare-related properties to healthcare operators in triple-net lease arrangements, under which the tenant is solely responsible for the costs related to the property (including property taxes, insurance, and maintenance and repair costs). We conduct and manage our business as one operating segment for internal reporting and internal decision making purposes. We expect to grow our portfolio by pursuing opportunities to acquire additional properties that will be leased to a diverse group of local, regional and national healthcare providers, which may include Ensign, as well as senior housing operators and related businesses. We also anticipate diversifying our portfolio over time, including by acquiring properties in different geographic markets, and in different asset classes.

We elected to be taxed as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes beginning with our taxable year ended December 31, 2014. We believe that we have been organized and have operated, and we intend to continue to operate, in a manner to qualify for taxation as a REIT. We operate through an umbrella partnership, commonly referred to as an UPREIT structure, in which substantially all of our properties and assets are held through CTR Partnership, L.P. (the “Operating Partnership”). The Operating Partnership is managed by CareTrust REIT's wholly owned subsidiary, CareTrust GP, LLC, which is the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership. To maintain REIT status, we must meet a number of organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement that we annually distribute to our stockholders at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, determined without regard to the dividends paid deduction and excluding any net capital gains.

Our Industry

We operate as a REIT that invests in income-producing healthcare-related properties. We expect to grow our portfolio by pursuing opportunities to acquire additional properties that will be leased to a diverse group of local, regional and national healthcare providers, which may include Ensign, as well as senior housing operators and related businesses. We also anticipate diversifying our portfolio over time, including by acquiring properties in different geographic markets and in different asset classes. Our portfolio primarily consists of SNFs, SNF Campuses, ALFs and ILFs.

Table of Contents

The skilled nursing industry has evolved to meet the growing demand for post-acute and custodial healthcare services generated by an aging population, increasing life expectancies and the trend toward shifting of patient care to lower cost settings. The skilled nursing industry has evolved in recent years, which we believe has led to a number of favorable improvements in the industry, as described below:

Shift of Patient Care to Lower Cost Alternatives. The growth of the senior population in the United States continues to increase healthcare costs. In response, federal and state governments have adopted cost-containment measures that encourage the treatment of patients in more cost-effective settings such as SNFs, for which the staffing requirements and associated costs are often significantly lower than acute care hospitals, inpatient rehabilitation facilities and other post-acute care settings. As a result, SNFs are generally serving a larger population of higher-acuity patients than in the past.

Significant Acquisition and Consolidation Opportunities. The skilled nursing industry is large and highly fragmented, characterized predominantly by numerous local and regional providers. We believe this fragmentation provides significant acquisition and consolidation opportunities for us.

Widening Supply and Demand Imbalance. The number of SNFs has declined modestly over the past several years. According to the American Health Care Association, the nursing home industry was comprised of approximately 15,700 facilities as of December 2015, as compared with over 16,700 facilities as of December 2000. We expect that the supply and demand balance in the skilled nursing industry will continue to improve due to the shift of patient care to lower cost settings, an aging population and increasing life expectancies.

Increased Demand Driven by Aging Populations and Increased Life Expectancy. As life expectancy continues to increase in the United States and seniors account for a higher percentage of the total U.S. population, we believe the overall demand for skilled nursing services will increase. At present, the primary market demographic for skilled nursing services is individuals age 75 and older. According to the 2012 U.S. Census, there were over 41.5 million people in the United States in 2012 that were over 65 years old. The 2012 U.S. Census estimates this group is one of the fastest growing segments of the United States population and is expected to more than double between 2000 and 2030. According to the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, nursing home expenditures are projected to grow from approximately \$156 billion in 2014 to approximately \$274 billion in 2024, representing a compounded annual growth rate of 5.3%. We believe that these trends will support an increasing demand for skilled nursing services, which in turn will likely support an increasing demand for our properties.

Portfolio Summary

We have a geographically diverse portfolio of properties, consisting of the following types:

Skilled Nursing Facilities. SNFs are licensed healthcare facilities that provide restorative, rehabilitative and nursing care for people not requiring the more extensive and sophisticated treatment available at acute care hospitals.

Treatment programs include physical, occupational, speech, respiratory and other therapies, including sub-acute clinical protocols such as wound care and intravenous drug treatment. Charges for these services are generally paid from a combination of government reimbursement and private sources. As of December 31, 2016, our portfolio included 119 SNFs, 16 of which include assisted or independent living operations which we refer to as SNF Campuses.

Assisted Living Facilities. ALFs are licensed healthcare facilities that provide personal care services, support and housing for those who need help with activities of daily living, such as bathing, eating and dressing, yet require limited medical care. The programs and services may include transportation, social activities, exercise and fitness programs, beauty or barber shop access, hobby and craft activities, community excursions, meals in a dining room setting and other activities sought by residents. These facilities are often in apartment-like buildings with private residences ranging from single rooms to large apartments. Certain ALFs may offer higher levels of personal assistance for residents requiring memory care as a result of Alzheimer's disease or other forms of dementia. Levels of personal assistance are based in part on local regulations. As of December 31, 2016, our portfolio included 31 ALFs, some of which also contain independent living units.

Independent Living Facilities. ILFs, also known as retirement communities or senior apartments, are not healthcare facilities. The facilities typically consist of entirely self-contained apartments, complete with their own kitchens, baths

and individual living spaces, as well as parking for tenant vehicles. They are most often rented unfurnished, and generally can be personalized by the tenants, typically an individual or a couple over the age of 55. These facilities offer various services and amenities such as laundry, housekeeping, dining options/meal plans, exercise and wellness programs, transportation, social, cultural and recreational activities, on-site security and emergency response programs. As of December 31, 2016, our portfolio of four ILFs includes one that is operated by Ensign and three that are operated by us.

Table of Contents

Our portfolio of SNFs, ALFs and ILFs is broadly diversified by geographic location throughout the United States, with concentrations in Texas, California, and Ohio. Our properties are grouped into four categories: (1) SNFs - these are properties that are comprised exclusively of SNFs; (2) Skilled Nursing Campuses - these are properties that include a combination of SNFs and ALFs or ILFs or both; (3) ALFs and ILFs - these are properties that include ALFs or ILFs, or a combination of the two; and (4) ILFs operated by CareTrust REIT - these are ILFs operated by subsidiaries of CareTrust REIT, unlike the other properties, which are leased to third-party operators.

Significant Master Leases

We have leased 93 of our properties to subsidiaries of Ensign pursuant to the Ensign Master Leases, which consist of eight triple-net leases, each with its own pool of properties, that have varying maturities and diversity in both property type and geography. The Ensign Master Leases provide for initial terms in excess of ten years with staggered expiration dates and no purchase options. At the option of Ensign, each Ensign Master Lease may be extended for up to either two or three five year renewal terms beyond the initial term and, if elected, the renewal will be effective for all of the leased property then subject to the Ensign Master Lease. The rent is a fixed component that was initially set near the time of the Spin-Off. The annual revenues from the Ensign Master Leases were \$56.0 million during each of the first two years of the Ensign Master Leases. As of December 31, 2016, the annualized revenues from the Ensign Master Leases were \$56.5 million. The Ensign Master Leases are guaranteed by Ensign.

We have leased 16 of our properties to subsidiaries of Pristine pursuant to the Pristine Master Lease which consists of a triple-net master lease originally entered into effective as of October 1, 2015, and which has an initial term of 15 years, two five year renewal options and no purchase options. As of December 31, 2016, the annualized revenues from the Pristine Master Lease were \$18.6 million and are escalated annually by an amount equal to the product of (1) the lesser of the percentage change in the Consumer Price Index (but not less than zero) or 3.0%, and (2) the prior year's rent. The Pristine Master Lease is guaranteed by Pristine and its sole principal.

Because we lease most of our properties to Ensign and Pristine, these two tenants are the primary source of our revenues, and their financial condition and ability and willingness to (i) satisfy their obligations under their master leases and (ii) renew those leases upon expiration of the initial base terms thereof significantly impacts our revenues and our ability to service our indebtedness and to make distributions to our stockholders. There can be no assurance that these tenants have sufficient assets, income and access to financing to enable them to satisfy their obligations under the master leases, and any inability or unwillingness on their part to do so would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity, on our ability to service our indebtedness and other obligations and on our ability to pay dividends to our stockholders, as required for us to qualify, and maintain our status, as a REIT. We also cannot assure you that these tenants will elect to renew their lease arrangements with us upon expiration of the initial base terms or any renewal terms thereof or, if such leases are not renewed, that we can reposition the affected properties on the same or better terms. See "Risk Factors - Risks Related to Our Business - We are dependent on Ensign, Pristine and other healthcare operators to make payments to us under leases, and an event that materially and adversely affects their business, financial position or results of operations could materially and adversely affect our business, financial position or results of operations."

We monitor the creditworthiness of our tenants by evaluating the ability of the tenants to meet their lease obligations to us based on the tenants' financial performance, including the evaluation of any guarantees of tenant lease obligations. The primary basis for our evaluation of the credit quality of our tenants (and more specifically the tenants' ability to pay their rent obligations to us) is the tenants' lease coverage ratios. These coverage ratios include (i) earnings before interest, income taxes, depreciation, amortization and rent ("EBITDAR") to rent coverage, and (ii) earnings before interest, income taxes, depreciation, amortization, rent and management fees ("EBITDARM") to rent coverage. We utilize a standardized 5% management fee when we calculate lease coverage ratios. We obtain various financial and operational information from our tenants each month and review this information in conjunction with the above-described coverage metrics to determine trends and the operational and financial impact of the environment in the industry (including the impact of government reimbursement) and the management of the tenant's operations. These metrics help us identify potential areas of concern relative to our tenants' credit quality and ultimately the tenants' ability to generate sufficient liquidity to meet its obligations, including its obligation to continue to pay the rent due to us.

Properties by Type:

The following table displays the geographic distribution of our facilities by property type and the related number of beds and units available for occupancy by asset class, as of December 31, 2016. The number of beds or units that are operational may be less than the official licensed capacity.

7

Table of Contents

State	Total(1)		SNFs		SNF Campuses		ALFs and ILFs(1)	
	Properties	Beds/Units	Facilities	Beds/Units	Campuses	Beds/Units	Facilities	Beds/Units
TX	31	3,709	24	2,950	2	311	5	448
CA	22	2,443	16	1,673	3	495	3	275
OH	16	1,488	12	945	4	543	—	—
IA	15	945	13	774	2	171	—	—
UT	12	1,259	9	911	1	221	2	127
AZ	10	1,327	7	799	1	262	2	266
ID	10	646	6	475	1	69	3	102
WA	8	738	7	636	—	—	1	102
CO	6	633	4	380	—	—	2	253
NE	5	366	3	220	2	146	—	—
MI	4	189	—	—	—	—	4	189
FL	3	291	—	—	—	—	3	291
NV	3	304	1	92	—	—	2	212
VA	2	218	—	—	—	—	2	218
NC	2	100	—	—	—	—	2	100
GA	1	105	1	105	—	—	—	—
MD	1	120	—	—	—	—	1	120
MN	1	30	—	—	—	—	1	30
IN	1	162	—	—	—	—	1	162
WI	1	110	—	—	—	—	1	110
Total	154	15,183	103	9,960	16	2,218	35	3,005

(1) ALFs and ILFs include ALFs or ILFs, or a combination of the two, operated by our tenants and three ILFs operated by us.

Occupancy by Property Type:

The following table displays occupancy by property type for each of the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014. Percentage occupancy in the below table is computed by dividing the average daily number of beds occupied by the total number of beds available for use during the periods indicated (beds of acquired facilities are included in the computation following the date of acquisition only).

Property Type	Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Facilities Leased to Tenants: (1)			
SNFs	78%	77%	75%
SNF Campuses	77%	76%	75%
ALFs and ILFs	85%	85%	85%
Facilities Operated by CareTrust REIT:			
ILFs	76%	76%	82%

(1) Financial data were derived solely from information provided by our tenants without independent verification by us. The leased facility financial performance data is presented one quarter in arrears.

Property Type - Rental Income:

The following tables display the annual rental income and total beds/units for each property type leased to third-party tenants for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015.

Table of Contents

Property Type	For the Year Ended December 31, 2016		
	Rental Income (in thousands)	Percent of Total	Total Beds/ Units
SNFs	\$64,963	70 %	9,960
SNF Campuses	14,584	16 %	2,218
ALFs and ILFs	13,579	14 %	2,741
Total	\$93,126	100 %	14,919

Property Type	For the Year Ended December 31, 2015		
	Rental Income (in thousands)	Percent of Total	Total Beds/ Units
SNFs	\$48,998	74 %	8,782
SNF Campuses	8,090	12 %	1,831
ALFs and ILFs	8,891	14 %	1,531
Total	\$65,979	100 %	12,144

Geographic Concentration - Rental Income:

The following table displays the geographic distribution of annual rental income for properties leased to third-party tenants for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015.

State	For the Year Ended December 31, 2016			For the Year Ended December 31, 2015		
	Rental Income (in thousands)	Percent of Total		Rental Income (in thousands)	Percent of Total	
OH	\$ 18,135	20 %		\$ 4,256	6 %	
CA	17,037	18 %		15,384	23 %	
TX	15,183	16 %		14,057	21 %	
AZ	8,679	9 %		8,633	13 %	
UT	5,770	6 %		5,738	9 %	
IA	4,909	5 %		1,605	2 %	
WA	4,803	5 %		4,282	6 %	
ID	4,414	5 %		3,827	6 %	
CO	3,971	4 %		3,819	6 %	
FL	1,638	2 %		511	1 %	
MI	1,593	2 %		—	—	
NE	1,334	1 %		1,328	2 %	
VA	1,129	1 %		562	1 %	
NV	988	1 %		983	2 %	
GA	799	1 %		400	1 %	
NC	685	1 %		—	—	
IN	649	1 %		—	—	
MN	595	1 %		594	1 %	
WI	444	1 %		—	—	
MD	371	— %		—	—	
Total	\$ 93,126	100 %		\$ 65,979	100 %	

ILFs Operated by CareTrust REIT:

The following table displays the geographic distribution of ILFs operated by CareTrust REIT and the related number of operational units available for occupancy as of December 31, 2016. The following table also displays the average monthly revenue per occupied unit for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015.

9

Table of Contents

		For the Year Ended December 31, 2016	For the Year Ended December 31, 2015
State Facilities	Units	Average Monthly Revenue Per Occupied Unit(1)	Average Monthly Revenue Per Occupied Unit(1)
TX	2	207 \$ 1,196	\$ 1,176
UT	1	57 1,341	1,309
Total	3	264 1,236	1,213

(1) Average monthly revenue per occupied unit is equivalent to average effective rent per unit, as we do not offer tenants free rent or other concessions.

We view our ownership and operation of the three ILFs as complementary to our real estate business. Our goal is to provide enhanced focus on their operations to improve their financial and operating performance. The three ILFs that we own and operate as of December 31, 2016 are:

- Lakeland Hills Independent Living, located in Dallas, Texas, with 168 units;
- The Cottages at Golden Acres, located in Dallas, Texas, with 39 units; and
- The Apartments at St. Joseph Villa, located in Salt Lake City, Utah, with 57 units.

Investment and Financing Policies

Our investment objectives are to increase cash flow, provide quarterly cash dividends, maximize the value of our properties and acquire properties with cash flow growth potential. We intend to invest primarily in SNFs and seniors housing, including ALFs and ILFs, as well as medical office buildings, long-term acute care hospitals and inpatient rehabilitation facilities. Our properties are located in 20 states and we intend to continue to acquire properties in other states throughout the United States. Although our portfolio currently consists primarily of owned real property, future investments may include first mortgages, mezzanine debt and other securities issued by, or joint ventures with, REITs or other entities that own real estate consistent with our investment objectives.

Our Competitive Strengths

We believe that our ability to acquire, integrate and improve facilities is a direct result of the following key competitive strengths:

Geographically Diverse Property Portfolio. Our properties are located in 20 different states, with concentrations in Texas, California and Ohio. The properties in any one state do not account for more than 24% of our total beds and units as of December 31, 2016. We believe this geographic diversification will limit the effect of changes in any one market on our overall performance.

Long-Term, Triple-Net Lease Structure. All of our properties (except for the three ILFs that we own and operate) are leased to our tenants under long-term, triple-net leases, pursuant to which the operators are responsible for all facility maintenance and repair, insurance required in connection with the leased properties and the business conducted on the leased properties, taxes levied on or with respect to the leased properties and all utilities and other services necessary or appropriate for the leased properties and the business conducted on the leased properties.

Financially Secure Primary Tenant. Ensign is an established provider of healthcare services with strong financial performance and accounted for 58% of our 2016 revenues, exclusive of tenant reimbursements. Ensign is subject to the reporting requirements of the SEC and is required to file with the SEC annual reports containing audited financial information and quarterly reports containing unaudited financial information. Ensign's publicly available filings can be found at the SEC's website at www.sec.gov.

Ability to Identify Talented Operators. As a result of our management team's operating experience and network of relationships and insight, we believe that we are able to identify and pursue working relationships with qualified local, regional and national healthcare providers and seniors housing operators. We expect to continue our disciplined focus on pursuing investment opportunities, primarily with respect to stabilized assets but also some strategic investment in new and/or improving properties, while seeking dedicated and engaged operators who possess local market knowledge, have solid operating records and emphasize quality services and outcomes. We intend to support these

operators by providing strategic capital for facility acquisition, upkeep and modernization. Our management team's experience gives us a key competitive advantage in

10

Table of Contents

objectively evaluating an operator's financial position, care and service programs, operating efficiencies and likely business prospects.

Experienced Management Team. Gregory K. Stapley, our President and Chief Executive Officer, has extensive experience in the real estate and healthcare industries. Mr. Stapley has more than 30 years of experience in the acquisition, development and disposition of real estate including healthcare facilities and office, retail and industrial properties, including nearly 15 years at Ensign where he was instrumental in assembling the portfolio that we now lease back to Ensign. Our Chief Financial Officer, William M. Wagner, has more than 25 years of accounting and finance experience, primarily in real estate, including 12 years of experience working extensively for REITs. Most notably he worked for both Nationwide Health Properties, Inc., a healthcare REIT, and Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc., a lodging REIT, serving as Senior Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer of each company. David M. Sedgwick, our Vice President of Operations, is a licensed nursing home administrator with more than 12 years of experience in skilled nursing operations, including turnaround operations, and trained over 100 Ensign nursing home administrators while he was Ensign's Chief Human Capital Officer. Our executives have years of public company experience, including experience accessing both debt and equity capital markets to fund growth and maintain a flexible capital structure.

Flexible UPREIT Structure. We operate through an umbrella partnership, commonly referred to as an UPREIT structure, in which substantially all of our properties and assets are held through the Operating Partnership. Conducting business through the Operating Partnership will allow us flexibility in the manner in which we structure the acquisition of properties. In particular, an UPREIT structure enables us to acquire additional properties from sellers in exchange for limited partnership units, which provides property owners the opportunity to defer the tax consequences that would otherwise arise from a sale of their real properties and other assets to us. As a result, this structure allows us to acquire assets in a more efficient manner and may allow us to acquire assets that the owner would otherwise be unwilling to sell because of tax considerations.

Business Strategies

Our primary goal is to create long-term stockholder value through the payment of consistent cash dividends and the growth of our asset base. To achieve this goal, we intend to pursue a business strategy focused on opportunistic acquisitions and property diversification. We also intend to further develop our relationships with tenants and healthcare providers with a goal to progressively expand the mixture of tenants managing and operating our properties.

The key components of our business strategies include:

Diversify Asset Portfolio. We diversify through the acquisition of new and existing facilities from third parties and the expansion and upgrade of current facilities and strategically investing in new developments with options to acquire the developments at stabilization. We employ what we believe to be a disciplined, opportunistic acquisition strategy with a focus on the acquisition of skilled nursing, assisted living and independent living facilities, as well as medical office buildings, long-term acute care hospitals and inpatient rehabilitation facilities. As we acquire additional properties, we expect to further diversify by geography, asset class and tenant within the healthcare and healthcare-related sectors.

Maintain Balance Sheet Strength and Liquidity. We maintain a capital structure that provides the resources and flexibility to support the growth of our business. We intend to maintain a mix of credit facility debt, mortgage debt and unsecured debt which, together with our anticipated ability to complete future equity financings, we expect will fund the growth of our property portfolio.

Develop New Tenant Relationships. We cultivate new relationships with tenants and healthcare providers in order to expand the mix of tenants operating our properties and, in doing so, to reduce our dependence on Ensign. We expect that this objective will be achieved over time as part of our overall strategy to acquire new properties and further diversify our portfolio of healthcare properties.

Provide Capital to Underserved Operators. We believe there is a significant opportunity to be a capital source to healthcare operators, through the acquisition and leasing of healthcare properties to them that are consistent with our investment and financing strategy at appropriate risk-adjusted rates of return, which, due to size and other considerations, are not a focus for larger healthcare REITs. We pursue acquisitions and strategic opportunities that

meet our investing and financing strategy and that are attractively priced, including funding development of properties through preferred equity or construction loans and thereafter entering into sale and leaseback arrangements with such developers as well as other secured term financing and mezzanine lending. We utilize our management team's operating experience, network of relationships and industry insight to identify both large and small quality operators in need of capital funding for future growth. In appropriate

11

Table of Contents

circumstances, we may negotiate with operators to acquire individual healthcare properties from those operators and then lease those properties back to the operators pursuant to long-term triple-net leases.

Fund Strategic Capital Improvements. We support operators by providing capital to them for a variety of purposes, including capital expenditures and facility modernization. We expect to structure these investments as either lease amendments that produce additional rents or as loans that are repaid by operators during the applicable lease term.

Pursue Strategic Development Opportunities. We work with operators and developers to identify strategic development opportunities. These opportunities may involve replacing or renovating facilities that may have become less competitive. We also identify new development opportunities that present attractive risk-adjusted returns. We may provide funding to the developer of a property in conjunction with entering into a sale leaseback transaction or an option to enter into a sale leaseback transaction for the property.

Competition

We compete for real property investments with other REITs, investment companies, private equity and hedge fund investors, sovereign funds, pension funds, healthcare operators, lenders and other institutional investors. Some of these competitors are significantly larger and have greater financial resources and lower costs of capital than us. Increased competition will make it more challenging to identify and successfully capitalize on acquisition opportunities that meet our investment objectives. Our ability to compete is also impacted by national and local economic trends, availability of investment alternatives, availability and cost of capital, construction and renovation costs, existing laws and regulations, new legislation and population trends.

In addition, revenues from our properties are dependent on the ability of our tenants and operators to compete with other healthcare operators. Healthcare operators compete on a local and regional basis for residents and patients and their ability to successfully attract and retain residents and patients depends on key factors such as the number of facilities in the local market, the types of services available, the quality of care, reputation, age and appearance of each facility and the cost of care in each locality. Private, federal and state payment programs and the effect of other laws and regulations may also have a significant impact on the ability of our tenants and operators to compete successfully for residents and patients at the properties.

Employees

We employ approximately 50 employees (including our executive officers), none of whom is subject to a collective bargaining agreement.

Government Regulation, Licensing and Enforcement

Overview

As operators of healthcare facilities, Ensign, Pristine and other tenants of our healthcare properties are typically subject to extensive and complex federal, state and local healthcare laws and regulations relating to fraud and abuse practices, government reimbursement, licensure and certificate of need and similar laws governing the operation of healthcare facilities, and we expect that the healthcare industry, in general, will continue to face increased regulation and pressure in the areas of fraud, waste and abuse, cost control, healthcare management and provision of services, among others. These regulations are wide-ranging and can subject our tenants to civil, criminal and administrative sanctions. Affected tenants may find it increasingly difficult to comply with this complex and evolving regulatory environment because of a relative lack of guidance in many areas as certain of our healthcare properties are subject to oversight from several government agencies and the laws may vary from one jurisdiction to another. Changes in laws and regulations and reimbursement enforcement activity and regulatory non-compliance by our tenants could have a significant effect on their operations and financial condition, which in turn may adversely affect us, as detailed below and set forth under “Risk Factors - Risks Related to Our Business.”

The following is a discussion of certain laws and regulations generally applicable to operators of our healthcare facilities and, in certain cases, to us.

Fraud and Abuse Enforcement

There are various extremely complex federal and state laws and regulations governing healthcare providers’ relationships and arrangements and prohibiting fraudulent and abusive practices by such providers. These laws include, but are not limited to, (i) federal and state false claims acts, which, among other things, prohibit providers

from filing false claims or making false

12

Table of Contents

statements to receive payment from Medicare, Medicaid or other federal or state healthcare programs, (ii) federal and state anti-kickback and fee-splitting statutes, including the Medicare and Medicaid anti-kickback statute, which prohibit the payment or receipt of remuneration to induce referrals or recommendations of healthcare items or services, (iii) federal and state physician self-referral laws (commonly referred to as the “Stark Law”), which generally prohibit referrals by physicians to entities with which the physician or an immediate family member has a financial relationship, (iv) the federal Civil Monetary Penalties Law, which prohibits, among other things, the knowing presentation of a false or fraudulent claim for certain healthcare services and (v) federal and state privacy laws, including the privacy and security rules contained in the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, which provide for the privacy and security of personal health information. Violations of healthcare fraud and abuse laws carry civil, criminal and administrative sanctions, including punitive sanctions, monetary penalties, imprisonment, denial of Medicare and Medicaid reimbursement and potential exclusion from Medicare, Medicaid or other federal or state healthcare programs. These laws are enforced by a variety of federal, state and local agencies and can also be enforced by private litigants through, among other things, federal and state false claims acts, which allow private litigants to bring qui tam or “whistleblower” actions. Ensign and our other tenants are (and many of our future tenants are expected to be) subject to these laws, and some of them may in the future become the subject of governmental enforcement actions if they fail to comply with applicable laws.

Reimbursement

Sources of revenue for Ensign, Pristine and our other tenants include (and for our future tenants is expected to include), among other sources, governmental healthcare programs, such as the federal Medicare program and state Medicaid programs, and non-governmental payors, such as insurance carriers and health maintenance organizations. As federal and state governments focus on healthcare reform initiatives, and as the federal government and many states face significant budget deficits, efforts to reduce costs by these payors will likely continue, which may result in reduced or slower growth in reimbursement for certain services provided by Ensign and some of our other tenants.

Healthcare Licensure and Certificate of Need

Our healthcare facilities are subject to extensive federal, state and local licensure, certification and inspection laws and regulations. In addition, various licenses and permits are required to dispense narcotics, operate pharmacies, handle radioactive materials and operate equipment. Many states require certain healthcare providers to obtain a certificate of need, which requires prior approval for the construction, expansion and closure of certain healthcare facilities. The approval process related to state certificate of need laws may impact some of our tenants’ abilities to expand or change their businesses.

Americans with Disabilities Act (the “ADA”)

Although most of our properties are not required to comply with the ADA because of certain “grandfather” provisions in the law, some of our properties must comply with the ADA and similar state or local laws to the extent that such properties are “public accommodations,” as defined in those statutes. These laws may require removal of barriers to access by persons with disabilities in certain public areas of our properties where such removal is readily achievable. Under our triple-net lease structure, our tenants would generally be responsible for additional costs that may be required to make our facilities ADA-compliant. Noncompliance with the ADA could result in the imposition of fines or an award of damages to private litigants.

Environmental Matters

A wide variety of federal, state and local environmental and occupational health and safety laws and regulations affect healthcare facility operations. These complex federal and state statutes, and their enforcement, involve a myriad of regulations, many of which involve strict liability on the part of the potential offender. Some of these federal and state statutes may directly impact us. Under various federal, state and local environmental laws, ordinances and regulations, an owner of real property, such as us, may be liable for the costs of removal or remediation of hazardous or toxic substances at, under or disposed of in connection with such property, as well as other potential costs relating to hazardous or toxic substances (including government fines and damages for injuries to persons and adjacent property). The cost of any required remediation, removal, fines or personal or property damages and the owner’s liability therefore could exceed or impair the value of the property and/or the assets of the owner. In addition, the presence of

such substances, or the failure to properly dispose of or remediate such substances, may adversely affect the owner's ability to sell or rent such property or to borrow using such property as collateral which, in turn, could reduce our revenues. See "Risk Factors - Risks Related to Our Business - Environmental compliance costs and liabilities associated with real estate properties owned by us may materially impair the value of those investments."

Table of Contents

Compliance Process

As an operator of healthcare facilities, Ensign has a program to help it comply with various requirements of federal and private healthcare programs. In October 2013, Ensign entered into a corporate integrity agreement (the “CIA”) with the Office of the Inspector General of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. The CIA requires, among other things, that Ensign and its subsidiaries maintain a corporate compliance program to help comply with various requirements of federal and private healthcare programs. Although we are no longer a subsidiary of Ensign, we are subject to certain continuing obligations under Ensign’s compliance program, including certain training in Medicare and Medicaid laws for our employees, as required by the CIA.

REIT Qualification

We elected to be taxed as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes beginning with our taxable year ended December 31, 2014. Our qualification as a REIT will depend upon our ability to meet, on a continuing basis, various complex requirements under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the “Code”), relating to, among other things, the sources of our gross income, the composition and values of our assets, our distribution levels to our stockholders and the concentration of ownership of our capital stock. We believe that we are organized in conformity with the requirements for qualification and taxation as a REIT under the Code and that our manner of operation has and will enable us to meet the requirements for qualification and taxation as a REIT.

The Operating Partnership

We own substantially all of our assets and properties and conduct our operations through the Operating Partnership. We believe that conducting business through the Operating Partnership provides flexibility with respect to the manner in which we structure the acquisition of properties. In particular, an UPREIT structure enables us to acquire additional properties from sellers in tax deferred transactions. In these transactions, the seller would typically contribute its assets to the Operating Partnership in exchange for units of limited partnership interest in the Operating Partnership (“OP Units”). Holders of OP Units will have the right, after a 12-month holding period, to require the Operating Partnership to redeem any or all of such OP Units for cash based upon the fair market value of an equivalent number of shares of CareTrust REIT’s common stock at the time of the redemption. Alternatively, we may elect to acquire those OP Units in exchange for shares of our common stock on a one-for-one basis. The number of shares of common stock used to determine the redemption value of OP Units, and the number of shares issuable in exchange for OP Units, is subject to adjustment in the event of stock splits, stock dividends, distributions of warrants or stock rights, specified extraordinary distributions and similar events. The Operating Partnership is managed by our wholly owned subsidiary, CareTrust GP, LLC, which is the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership and owns one percent of its outstanding partnership interests. As of December 31, 2016, CareTrust REIT is the only limited partner of the Operating Partnership, owning 99% of its outstanding partnership interests, and we have not issued OP Units to any other party.

The benefits of our UPREIT structure include the following:

Access to capital. We believe the UPREIT structure provides us with access to capital for refinancing and growth.

Flexibility. Because an UPREIT structure includes a partnership as well as a corporation, we can access the markets through the Operating Partnership issuing equity or debt as well as the corporation issuing capital stock or debt securities. Sources of capital include possible future issuances of debt or equity through public offerings or private placements.

Growth. The UPREIT structure allows stockholders, through their ownership of common stock, and the limited partners, through their ownership of OP Units, an opportunity to participate in future investments we may make in additional properties.

Tax deferral. The UPREIT structure provides property owners who transfer their real properties to the Operating Partnership in exchange for OP Units the opportunity to defer the tax consequences that otherwise would arise from a sale of their real properties and other assets to us or to a third party. As a result, this structure allows us to acquire assets in a more efficient manner and may allow us to acquire assets that the owner would otherwise be unwilling to sell because of tax considerations.

Insurance

We maintain, or require in our leases, including the Ensign Master Leases and the Pristine Master Lease, that our tenants maintain all applicable lines of insurance on our properties and their operations. The amount and scope of insurance coverage provided by our policies and the policies maintained by our tenants is customary for similarly situated companies in our industry. However, we cannot assure you that our tenants will maintain the required insurance coverages, and the failure by any

Table of Contents

of them to do so could have a material adverse effect on us. We also cannot assure you that we will continue to require the same levels of insurance coverage under our leases, including the Ensign Master Leases and the Pristine Master Lease, that such insurance will be available at a reasonable cost in the future or that the insurance coverage provided will fully cover all losses on our properties upon the occurrence of a catastrophic event, nor can we assure you of the future financial viability of the insurers.

ITEM 1A. Risk Factors

Risks Related to Our Business

We are dependent on Ensign, Pristine and other healthcare operators to make payments to us under leases, and an event that materially and adversely affects their business, financial position or results of operations could materially and adversely affect our business, financial position or results of operations.

Following the acquisitions on February 1, 2017, Ensign represents \$56.5 million or 50%, and Pristine represents \$18.6 million or 17%, of our revenues, exclusive of tenant reimbursements, on an annualized run-rate basis. Additionally, because each master lease is a triple-net lease, we depend on our tenants to pay all insurance, taxes, utilities and maintenance and repair expenses in connection with these leased properties and to indemnify, defend and hold us harmless from and against various claims, litigation and liabilities arising in connection with their business. There can be no assurance that Ensign, Pristine or our other tenants will have sufficient assets, income and access to financing to enable them to satisfy their payment or indemnification obligations under their leases with us. In addition, any failure by a tenant to effectively conduct its operations or to maintain and improve our properties could adversely affect its business reputation and its ability to attract and retain residents in our properties. The inability or unwillingness of Ensign or Pristine to meet their rent obligations under their leases could materially adversely affect our business, financial position or results of operations, including our ability to pay dividends to our stockholders as required to maintain our status as a REIT. The inability of Ensign or Pristine to satisfy their other obligations under their leases, such as the payment of insurance, taxes and utilities, could materially and adversely affect the condition of the leased properties as well as their business, financial position and results of operations. For these reasons, if Ensign or Pristine were to experience a material and adverse effect on their businesses, financial position or results of operations, our business, financial position or results of operations could also be materially and adversely affected.

Due to our dependence on rental payments from Ensign and Pristine as our primary source of revenues, we may be limited in our ability to enforce our rights under, or to terminate, their leases. Failure by Ensign or Pristine to comply with the terms of their leases or to comply with federal and state healthcare laws and regulations to which the leased properties are subject could require us to find another lessee for such leased property and there could be a decrease in or cessation of rental payments. In such event, we may be unable to locate a suitable lessee at similar rental rates or at all, which would have the effect of reducing our rental revenues.

The impact of healthcare reform legislation on us and our tenants cannot accurately be predicted.

Ensign, Pristine and other healthcare operators to which we lease properties are dependent on the healthcare industry and may be susceptible to the risks associated with healthcare reform. Because all of our properties are used as healthcare properties, we are impacted by the risks associated with healthcare reform. Legislative proposals are introduced or proposed in Congress and in some state legislatures each year that would effect major changes in the healthcare system, either nationally or at the state level. We cannot accurately predict whether any future legislative proposals will be adopted or, if adopted, what effect, if any, these proposals would have on our tenants and, thus, our business.

In March 2010, President Obama signed the Affordable Care Act into law. The passage of the Affordable Care Act has resulted in comprehensive reform legislation that has expanded healthcare coverage to millions of uninsured people and provided for significant changes to the U.S. healthcare system over several years. The Affordable Care Act includes a large number of health-related provisions, including expanding Medicaid eligibility, requiring most individuals to have health insurance, establishing new regulations on health plans, establishing health insurance exchanges, and modifying certain payment systems to encourage more cost-effective care and a reduction of inefficiencies and waste (e.g., the implementation of a voluntary bundled payment program and the creation of accountable care organizations), including through new tools to address fraud and abuse. To help fund this expansion,

the Affordable Care Act outlines certain reductions in Medicare reimbursements for various healthcare providers, including long-term acute care hospitals and SNFs, as well as certain other changes to Medicare payment methodologies. This comprehensive healthcare legislation provides for extensive future rulemaking by regulatory authorities, and also may be altered or amended. While we can anticipate that some of the rulemaking that will be promulgated by regulatory authorities will affect our tenants and the manner in which they are reimbursed by the federal healthcare programs, we cannot accurately predict today the impact of those regulations on our tenants and, thus, on our business.

Table of Contents

The Supreme Court's 2014 decision to uphold the constitutionality of the individual mandate while striking down the provisions linking federal funding of state Medicaid programs with a federally mandated expansion of those programs, which effectively made Medicaid expansion voluntary, leaving each state free to opt in or out, has not reduced the uncertain impact that the Affordable Care Act will have on healthcare delivery systems. However, given the results of the November 2016 presidential election, the future of the Affordable Care Act is uncertain and at this juncture there will be a period of uncertainty regarding the Affordable Care Act's repeal, modification or replacement, any of which would have long term financial impact on the delivery of and payment for healthcare.

Other legislative changes have been proposed and adopted since the Affordable Care Act was enacted, which also may impact our business. For instance, on April 1, 2014, the President signed the Protecting Access to Medicare Act of 2014, which, among other things, requires the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services ("CMS") to measure, track, and publish readmission rates of SNFs by 2017 and implement a value-based purchasing program for SNFs (the "SNF VBP Program") by October 1, 2018. The SNF VBP Program will increase Medicare reimbursement rates for SNFs that achieve certain levels of quality performance measures to be developed by CMS, relative to other facilities. The value-based payments authorized by the SNF VBP Program will be funded by reducing Medicare payment for all SNFs by 2% and redistributing up to 70% of those funds to high-performing SNFs. However, there is no assurance that payments made by CMS as a result of the SNF VBP Program will be sufficient to cover a facility's costs. If Medicare reimbursement provided to our healthcare tenants is reduced under the SNF VBP Program, that reduction may have an adverse impact on the ability of our tenants to meet their obligations to us.

Additionally, on November 16, 2015, CMS issued the final rule for a new mandatory Comprehensive Care for Joint Replacement ("CJR") model focusing on coordinated, patient-centered care. Under this model, the hospital in which the hip or knee replacement takes place is accountable for the costs and quality of care from the time of the surgery through 90 days after, or an "episode" of care. This model initially covered 67 geographic areas throughout the country and most hospitals in those regions are required to participate. Following the implementation of the CJR program, the Medicare revenues of our SNF-operating tenants related to lower extremity joint replacement hospital discharges could be increased or decreased in those geographic areas identified by CMS for mandatory participation in the bundled payment program. If Medicare reimbursement provided to our healthcare tenants is reduced under the CJR model, that reduction may have an adverse impact on the ability of our tenants to meet their obligations to us. However, the fate of the SNF VBP Program and CJR model are uncertain since the Affordable Care Act may be repealed, modified or replaced.

Tenants that fail to comply with the requirements of, or changes to, governmental reimbursement programs, such as Medicare or Medicaid, may cease to operate or be unable to meet their financial and other contractual obligations to us.

Ensign, Pristine and other healthcare operators to which we lease properties are subject to complex federal, state and local laws and regulations relating to governmental healthcare reimbursement programs. See "Business - Government Regulation, Licensing and Enforcement - Overview." As a result, Ensign, Pristine and other tenants are subject to the following risks, among others:

- statutory and regulatory changes;
- retroactive rate adjustments;
- recovery of program overpayments or set-offs;
- administrative rulings;
- policy interpretations;
- payment or other delays by fiscal intermediaries or carriers;
- government funding restrictions (at a program level or with respect to specific facilities); and
- interruption or delays in payments due to any ongoing governmental investigations and audits.

Healthcare reimbursement will likely continue to be a significant focus for federal and state authorities in their efforts to control costs. We cannot make any assessment as to the ultimate timing or the effect that any future legislative reforms may have on our tenants' costs of doing business and on the amount of reimbursement by government and other third-party payors. More generally, and because of the dynamic nature of the legislative and regulatory

environment for health care products and services, and in light of existing federal budgetary concerns, we cannot predict the impact that broad-based, far-reaching legislative or regulatory changes could have on the U.S. economy, our business or that of our operators and tenants. The failure of Ensign, Pristine or any of our operators and other tenants to comply with these laws, requirements and regulations could materially and adversely affect their ability to meet their financial and contractual obligations to us.

Table of Contents

Finally, government investigations and enforcement actions brought against the health care industry have increased dramatically over the past several years and are expected to continue. Some of these enforcement actions represent novel legal theories and expansions in the application of the False Claims Act.

The False Claims Act provides that any person who “knowingly presents, or causes to be presented” a “false or fraudulent claim for payment or approval” to the U.S. government, or its agents and contractors, is liable for a civil penalty ranging from \$5,500 to \$11,000 per claim, plus three times the amount of damages sustained by the government. Under the False Claims Act’s so-called “reverse false claims,” liability also could arise for “using” a false record or statement to “conceal,” “avoid” or “decrease” an “obligation” (which can include the retention of an overpayment) “to pay or transmit money or property to the Government.” The False Claims Act also empowers and provides incentives to private citizens (commonly referred to as qui tam relator or whistleblower) to file suit on the government’s behalf. The qui tam relator’s share of the recovery can be between 15% and 25% in cases in which the government intervenes, and 25% to 30% in cases in which the government does not intervene. Notably, the Affordable Care Act and the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 (collectively, the “Affordable Care Act”) amended certain jurisdictional bars to the False Claims Act, effectively narrowing the “public disclosure bar” (which generally requires that a whistleblower suit not be based on publicly disclosed information) and expanding the “original source” exception (which generally permits a whistleblower suit based on publicly disclosed information if the whistleblower is the original source of that publicly disclosed information), thus potentially broadening the field of potential whistleblowers.

Medicare requires that extensive financial information be reported on a periodic basis and in a specific format or content. These requirements are numerous, technical and complex and may not be fully understood or implemented by billing or reporting personnel. With respect to certain types of required information, the False Claims Act may be violated by mere negligence or recklessness in the submission of information to the government even without any intent to defraud. New billing systems, new medical procedures and procedures for which there is not clear guidance may all result in liability.

The costs for an operator of a health care property associated with both defending such enforcement actions and the undertakings in settling these actions can be substantial and could have a material adverse effect on the ability of an operator to meet its obligations to us.

Tenants that fail to structure their facility contractual relationships in light of anti-kickback statutes and self-referral laws expose themselves to significant risk that could result in their inability to meet their financial and other contractual obligations to us.

In addition to reimbursement, operators of healthcare facilities must exercise extreme care in structuring their contractual relationships with vendors, physicians and other healthcare providers who provide goods and services to healthcare facilities, in particular, the anti-kickback statutes and self-referral laws, noted below.

Federal “Fraud and Abuse” Laws and Regulations. The Medicare and Medicaid anti-fraud and abuse amendments to the Social Security Act (the “Anti-Kickback Law”) make it a felony, subject to certain exceptions, to engage in illegal remuneration arrangements with vendors, physicians and other health care providers for the referral of Medicare beneficiaries or Medicaid recipients. When a violation occurs, the government may proceed criminally or civilly. If the government proceeds criminally, a violation is a felony and may result in imprisonment for up to five years, fines of up to \$25,000 and mandatory exclusion from participation in all federal health care programs. If the government proceeds civilly, it may impose a civil monetary penalty of \$50,000 per violation and an assessment of not more than three times the total amount of remuneration involved, and it may exclude the parties from participation in all federal health care programs. Many states have enacted similar laws to, and in some cases broader than the Anti-Kickback Law. Exclusion from these programs would have a material adverse effect on the operations and financial condition of Ensign, Pristine or any of our other healthcare operators.

The scope of prohibited payments in the Anti-Kickback Law is broad. The U. S. Department of Health and Human Services has published regulations which describe certain “safe harbor” arrangements that will not be deemed to constitute violations of the Anti-Kickback Law. An arrangement that fits squarely into a safe harbor is immune from prosecution under the Anti-Kickback Statute. The safe harbors described in the regulations are narrow and do not cover a wide range of economic relationships which many SNFs, physicians and other health care providers consider

to be legitimate business arrangements not prohibited by the statute. Because the regulations describe safe harbors and do not purport to describe comprehensively all lawful or unlawful economic arrangements or other relationships between health care providers and referral sources, health care providers having these arrangements or relationships may be required to alter them in order to ensure compliance with the Anti-Kickback Law.

Table of Contents

Restrictions on Referrals. The federal physician self-referral law and its implementing regulations (commonly referred to as “Stark Law”) prohibits providers of “designated health services” from billing Medicare or Medicaid if the patient is referred by a physician (or his/her immediate family member) with a financial relationship with the entity, unless an exception applies. “Designated health services” include clinical laboratory services; physical therapy services; occupational therapy services; radiology services, including magnetic resonance imaging, computerized axial tomography scans, and ultrasound services; radiation therapy services and supplies; durable medical equipment and services; parenteral and enteral nutrients, equipment and supplies; prosthetics, orthotics, and prosthetic devices and supplies; home health services; outpatient prescription drugs; and inpatient and outpatient hospital services. The Stark Law also prohibits the furnishing entity from submitting a claim for reimbursement or otherwise billing Medicare or any other person or entity for improperly referred designated health services.

An entity that submits a claim for reimbursement in violation of the Stark Law must refund any amounts collected and may be: (1) subject to a civil penalty of up to \$15,000 for each self-referred service; and (2) excluded from participation in federal health care programs. In addition, a physician or entity that has participated in a “scheme” to circumvent the operation of the Stark Law is subject to a civil penalty of up to \$100,000 and possible exclusion from participation in federal health care programs.

CMS has established a voluntary self-disclosure program under which health care facilities and other entities may report Stark violations and seek a reduction in potential refund obligations. However, the program is relatively new and therefore it is difficult to determine at this time whether it will provide significant monetary relief to health care facilities that discover inadvertent Stark Law violations.

The costs of an operator of a health care property for any non-compliance with the Anti-Kickback Law and Stark Laws can be substantial and could have a material adverse effect on the ability of an operator to meet its obligations to us.

Tenants that fail to adhere to HIPAA and the HITECH Act’s privacy and security requirements expose themselves to significant risk that could result in their inability to meet their financial and other contractual obligations to us. Potentially significant legal exposure exists for healthcare operators under state and federal laws which govern the use and disclosure of confidential patient health information and patients’ rights to access and amend their own health information. The Administrative Simplification Requirements of the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (“HIPAA”) established national standards to facilitate the electronic exchange of Protected Health Information (“PHI”) and to maintain the privacy and security of the PHI. These standards have a major effect on healthcare providers which transmit PHI in electronic form in connection with HIPAA standard transactions (e.g., health care claims). In particular, HIPAA established standards governing: (1) electronic transactions and code sets; (2) privacy; (3) security; and (4) national identifiers. Failure of our operators to comply could result in criminal and civil penalties, which could have a material adverse effect on the ability of our tenants to meet their obligations to us. Title XIII of the Affordable Care Act, otherwise known as the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act (the “HITECH Act”), provides for an investment of almost \$20 billion in public monies for the development of a nationwide health information technology (“HIT”) infrastructure. The HIT infrastructure is intended to improve health care quality, reduce costs and facilitate access to certain information. The HITECH Act also expands the scope and application of the administrative simplification provisions of HIPAA, and its implementing regulations, (i) imposing a written notice obligation upon covered entities for security breaches involving “unsecured” PHI, (ii) expanding the scope of a provider’s electronic health record disclosure tracking obligations, (iii) substantially limiting the ability of health care providers to sell PHI without patient authorization, (iv) increasing penalties for violations, and (v) providing for enforcement of violations by state attorneys general. While the effects of the HITECH Act cannot be predicted at this time, the obligations imposed thereunder could have a material adverse effect on the financial condition of our operators, which could have a material adverse effect on the ability of our tenants to meet their obligations to us.

Tenants that fail to comply with federal, state and local licensure, certification and inspection laws and regulations may cease to operate our healthcare facilities or be unable to meet their financial and other contractual obligations to us.

The healthcare operators to which we lease properties are subject to extensive federal, state, local and industry-related licensure, certification and inspection laws, regulations and standards. Our tenants' failure to comply with any of these laws, regulations or standards could result in loss or restriction of license, loss of accreditation, denial of reimbursement, imposition of fines, suspension or decertification from federal and state healthcare programs, or closure of the facility. For example, operations at our properties may require a license, registration, certificate of need, provider agreement or certification. Failure of any tenant to obtain, or the loss or restrictions on any required license, registration, certificate of need, provider agreement or

Table of Contents

certification would prevent a facility from operating in the manner intended by such tenant. Additionally, failure of our tenants to generally comply with applicable laws and regulations could adversely affect facilities owned by us, result in adverse publicity and loss of reputation, and therefore could materially and adversely affect us. See “Business - Government Regulation, Licensing and Enforcement - Healthcare Licensure and Certificate of Need.” Our tenants depend on reimbursement from government and other third-party payors; reimbursement rates from such payors may be reduced, which could cause our tenants’ revenues to decline and could affect their ability to meet their obligations to us.

The federal government and a number of states are currently managing budget deficits, which may put pressure on Congress and the states to decrease reimbursement rates for our tenants, with the goal of decreasing state expenditures under Medicaid programs. The need to control Medicaid expenditures may be exacerbated by the potential for increased enrollment in Medicaid due to unemployment and declines in family incomes. These potential reductions could be compounded by the potential for federal cost-cutting efforts that could lead to reductions in reimbursement to our tenants under both the Medicaid and Medicare programs. Potential reductions in Medicaid and Medicare reimbursement to our tenants could reduce the revenues of our tenants and their ability to meet their obligations to us. The bankruptcy, insolvency or financial deterioration of our tenants could delay or prevent our ability to collect unpaid rents or require us to find new tenants.

We receive substantially all of our income as rent payments under leases of our properties. We have no control over the success or failure of our tenants’ businesses and, at any time, any of our tenants may experience a downturn in its business that may weaken its financial condition. As a result, our tenants may fail to make rent payments when due or declare bankruptcy.

Any tenant failures to make rent payments when due or tenant bankruptcies could result in the termination of the tenant’s lease and could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders (which could adversely affect our ability to raise capital or service our indebtedness). This risk is magnified in situations where we lease multiple properties to a single tenant, such as Ensign and Pristine, as a multiple property tenant failure could reduce or eliminate rental revenue from multiple properties.

If tenants are unable to comply with the terms of the leases, we may be forced to modify the leases in ways that are unfavorable to us. Alternatively, the failure of a tenant to perform under a lease could require us to declare a default, repossess the property, find a suitable replacement tenant, hire third-party managers to operate the property or sell the property. There is no assurance that we would be able to lease a property on substantially equivalent or better terms than the prior lease, or at all, find another qualified tenant, successfully reposition the property for other uses or sell the property on terms that are favorable to us. It may be more difficult to find a replacement tenant for a healthcare property than it would be to find a replacement tenant for a general commercial property due to the specialized nature of the business. Even if we are able to find a suitable replacement tenant for a property, transfers of operations of healthcare facilities are subject to regulatory approvals not required for transfers of other types of commercial operations, resulting in delays in receiving reimbursement, or a potential loss of a facility’s reimbursement for a period of time, which may affect our ability to successfully transition a property.

If any lease expires or is terminated, we could be responsible for all of the operating expenses for that property until it is re-leased or sold. If we experience a significant number of un-leased properties, our operating expenses could increase significantly. Any significant increase in our operating costs may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations, and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

If one or more of our tenants files for bankruptcy relief, the U.S. Bankruptcy Code provides that a debtor has the option to assume or reject the unexpired lease within a certain period of time. Any bankruptcy filing by or relating to one of our tenants could bar all efforts by us to collect pre-bankruptcy debts from that tenant or seize its property. A tenant bankruptcy could also delay our efforts to collect past due balances under the leases and could ultimately preclude collection of all or a portion of these sums. It is possible that we may recover substantially less than the full value of any unsecured claims we hold, if any, which may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations, and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders. Furthermore, dealing with a tenant’s bankruptcy or other default may divert management’s attention and cause us to incur substantial legal

and other costs.

19

Table of Contents

The geographic concentration of some of our facilities could leave us vulnerable to an economic downturn, regulatory changes or acts of nature in those areas.

Our properties are located in 20 different states, with concentrations in Texas, California and Ohio. The properties in these three states accounted for approximately 24%, 16% and 10%, respectively, of the total beds and units in our portfolio, as of December 31, 2016 and approximately 16%, 18% and 20%, respectively, of our rental income for the year ended December 31, 2016. As a result of this concentration, the conditions of local economies and real estate markets, changes in governmental rules, regulations and reimbursement rates or criteria, changes in demographics, state funding, acts of nature and other factors that may result in a decrease in demand and/or reimbursement for skilled nursing services in these states could have a disproportionately adverse effect on our tenants' revenue, costs and results of operations, which may affect their ability to meet their obligations to us.

Our facilities located in Texas are especially susceptible to natural disasters such as hurricanes, tornadoes and flooding, and our facilities located in California are particularly susceptible to natural disasters such as fires, earthquakes and mudslides. These acts of nature may cause disruption to our tenants, their employees and our facilities, which could have an adverse impact on our tenants' patients and businesses. In order to provide care for their patients, our tenants are dependent on consistent and reliable delivery of food, pharmaceuticals, utilities and other goods to our facilities, and the availability of employees to provide services at the facilities. If the delivery of goods or the ability of employees to reach our facilities were interrupted in any material respect due to a natural disaster or other reasons, it would have a significant impact on our facilities and our tenants' businesses at those facilities. Furthermore, the impact, or impending threat, of a natural disaster may require that our tenants evacuate one or more facilities, which would be costly and would involve risks, including potentially fatal risks, for their patients. The impact of disasters and similar events is inherently uncertain. Such events could harm our tenants' patients and employees, severely damage or destroy one or more of our facilities, harm our tenants' business, reputation and financial performance, or otherwise cause our tenants' businesses to suffer in ways that we currently cannot predict. We pursue acquisitions of additional properties and seek other strategic opportunities in the ordinary course of our business, which may result in the use of a significant amount of management resources or significant costs, and we may not fully realize the potential benefits of such transactions.

We pursue acquisitions of additional properties and seek acquisitions and other strategic opportunities in the ordinary course of our business. Accordingly, we are often engaged in evaluating potential transactions and other strategic alternatives. In addition, from time to time, we engage in discussions that may result in one or more transactions. Although there is uncertainty that any of these discussions will result in definitive agreements or the completion of any transaction, we may devote a significant amount of our management resources to such a transaction, which could negatively impact our operations. We may incur significant costs in connection with seeking acquisitions or other strategic opportunities regardless of whether the transaction is completed and in combining our operations if such a transaction is completed. In the event that we consummate an acquisition or strategic alternative in the future, there is no assurance that we would fully realize the potential benefits of such a transaction.

Additionally, we have preferred equity interests in a limited number of joint ventures. Our use of joint ventures may be subject to risks that may not be present with other ownership methods. Our joint ventures may involve property development, which presents additional risks that could render a development project less profitable or not profitable at all and, under certain circumstances, may prevent completion of development activities once undertaken.

We operate in a highly competitive industry and face competition from other REITs, investment companies, private equity and hedge fund investors, sovereign funds, healthcare operators, lenders and other investors, some of whom are significantly larger and have greater resources and lower costs of capital. Increased competition will make it more challenging to identify and successfully capitalize on acquisition opportunities that meet our investment objectives. If we cannot identify and purchase a sufficient quantity of suitable properties at favorable prices or if we are unable to finance acquisitions on commercially favorable terms, our business, financial position or results of operations could be materially and adversely affected. Additionally, the fact that we must distribute 90% of our REIT taxable income in order to maintain our qualification as a REIT may limit our ability to rely upon rental payments from our leased properties or subsequently acquired properties in order to finance acquisitions. As a result, if debt or equity financing is not available on acceptable terms, further acquisitions might be limited or curtailed. Transactions involving

properties we might seek to acquire entail risks associated with real estate investments generally, including that the investment's performance will fail to meet expectations or that the tenant, operator or manager will underperform.

20

Table of Contents

Required regulatory approvals can delay or prohibit transfers of our healthcare properties, which could result in periods in which we are unable to receive rent for such properties.

Our tenants which operate SNFs and other healthcare facilities must be licensed under applicable state law and, depending upon the type of facility, certified or approved as providers under the Medicare and/or Medicaid programs. Prior to the transfer of the operations of such healthcare properties to successor operators, the new operator generally must become licensed under state law and, in certain states, receive change of ownership approvals under certificate of need laws (which provide for a certification that the state has made a determination that a need exists for the beds located on the property) and, if applicable, file for a Medicare and Medicaid change of ownership (commonly referred to as a CHOW). If an existing lease is terminated or expires and a new tenant is found, then any delays in the new tenant receiving regulatory approvals from the applicable federal, state or local government agencies, or the inability to receive such approvals, may prolong the period during which we are unable to collect the applicable rent.

We may be required to incur substantial renovation costs to make certain that our healthcare properties are suitable for other operators and tenants.

Healthcare facilities are typically highly customized and may not be easily adapted to non-healthcare-related uses. The improvements generally required to conform a property to healthcare use, such as upgrading electrical, gas and plumbing infrastructure and security, are costly and at times tenant-specific. A new or replacement tenant to operate one or more of our healthcare facilities may require different features in a property, depending on that tenant's particular operations. If a current tenant is unable to pay rent and vacates a property, we may incur substantial expenditures to modify a property before we are able to secure another tenant. Also, if the property needs to be renovated to accommodate multiple tenants, we may incur substantial expenditures before we are able to release the space. In addition, approvals of local authorities for such modifications and/or renovations may be necessary, resulting in delays in transitioning a facility to a new tenant. These expenditures or renovations could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

We may not be able to sell properties when we desire because real estate investments are relatively illiquid, which could materially and adversely affect our business, financial position or results of operations.

Real estate investments generally cannot be sold quickly. We may not be able to vary our portfolio promptly in response to changes in the real estate market. A downturn in the real estate market could materially and adversely affect the value of our properties and our ability to sell such properties for acceptable prices or on other acceptable terms. We also cannot predict the length of time needed to find a willing purchaser and to close the sale of a property or portfolio of properties. These factors and any others that would impede our ability to respond to adverse changes in the performance of our properties could materially and adversely affect our business, financial position or results of operations.

An increase in market interest rates could increase our interest costs on existing and future debt and could adversely affect our stock price.

If interest rates increase, so could our interest costs for any new debt and our variable rate debt obligations under our unsecured revolving credit facility and unsecured term loan (the "Credit Facility"). This increased cost could make the financing of any acquisition more costly, as well as lower our current period earnings. Rising interest rates could limit our ability to refinance existing debt when it matures or cause us to pay higher interest rates upon refinancing. In addition, an increase in interest rates could decrease the access third parties have to credit, thereby decreasing the amount they are willing to pay for our assets and consequently limiting our ability to reposition our portfolio promptly in response to changes in economic or other conditions. Further, the dividend yield on our common stock, as a percentage of the price of such common stock, will influence the price of such common stock. Thus, an increase in market interest rates may lead prospective purchasers of our common stock to expect a higher dividend yield, which could adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

If we lose our key management personnel, we may not be able to successfully manage our business and achieve our objectives.

Our success depends in large part upon the leadership and performance of our executive management team, particularly Gregory K. Stapley and other key employees. If we lose the services of Mr. Stapley or any of our other key employees, we may not be able to successfully manage our business or achieve our business objectives.

Table of Contents

We or our tenants may experience uninsured or underinsured losses, which could result in a significant loss of the capital we have invested in a property, decrease anticipated future revenues or cause us to incur unanticipated expense.

Our lease agreements with operators (including the Ensign Master Leases and the Pristine Master Lease) require that the tenant maintain comprehensive liability and hazard insurance, and we maintain customary insurance for the ILFs that we own and operate. However, there are certain types of losses (including, but not limited to, losses arising from environmental conditions or of a catastrophic nature, such as earthquakes, hurricanes and floods) that may be uninsurable or not economically insurable. Insurance coverage may not be sufficient to pay the full current market value or current replacement cost of a loss. Inflation, changes in building codes and ordinances, environmental considerations, and other factors also might make it infeasible to use insurance proceeds to replace the property after such property has been damaged or destroyed. Under such circumstances, the insurance proceeds received might not be adequate to restore the economic position with respect to such property.

If one of our properties experiences a loss that is uninsured or that exceeds policy coverage limits, we could lose the capital invested in the damaged property as well as the anticipated future cash flows from the property. If the damaged property is subject to recourse indebtedness, we could continue to be liable for the indebtedness even if the property is irreparably damaged.

In addition, even if damage to our properties is covered by insurance, a disruption of business caused by a casualty event may result in loss of revenue for our tenants or us. Any business interruption insurance may not fully compensate them or us for such loss of revenue. If one of our tenants experiences such a loss, it may be unable to satisfy its payment obligations to us under its lease with us.

Environmental compliance costs and liabilities associated with real estate properties owned by us may materially impair the value of those investments.

Under various federal, state and local laws, ordinances and regulations, as a current or previous owner of real estate, we may be required to investigate and clean up certain hazardous or toxic substances or petroleum released at a property, and may be held liable to a governmental entity or to third parties for property damage and for investigation and cleanup costs incurred by the third parties in connection with the contamination. In addition, some environmental laws create a lien on the contaminated site in favor of the government for damages and the costs it incurs in connection with the contamination. Neither we nor our tenants carry environmental insurance on our properties.

Although we generally require our tenants, as operators of our healthcare properties, to indemnify us for environmental liabilities they cause, such liabilities could exceed the financial ability of the tenant to indemnify us or the value of the contaminated property. The presence of contamination or the failure to remediate contamination may materially adversely affect our ability to sell or lease the real estate or to borrow using the real estate as collateral. As the owner of a site, we may also be held liable to third parties for damages and injuries resulting from environmental contamination emanating from the site. Although we will be generally indemnified by our tenants for contamination caused by them, these indemnities may not adequately cover all environmental costs. We may also experience environmental liabilities arising from conditions not known to us.

If the Spin-Off were to fail to qualify as a tax-free transaction for U.S. federal income tax purposes, Ensign and CareTrust could be subject to significant tax liabilities and, in certain circumstances, we could be required to indemnify Ensign for material taxes pursuant to indemnification obligations under the Tax Matters Agreement that we entered into with Ensign.

Ensign has received from the Internal Revenue Service (the "IRS") a private letter ruling (the "IRS Ruling"), which provides substantially to the effect that, on the basis of certain facts presented and representations and assumptions set forth in the request submitted to the IRS, the Spin-Off will qualify as tax-free under Sections 368(a)(1)(D) and 355 of the Code. The IRS Ruling does not address certain requirements for tax-free treatment of the Spin-Off under Section 355 of the Code, and Ensign received a tax opinion from its tax advisors, substantially to the effect that, with respect to such requirements on which the IRS will not rule, such requirements have been satisfied. The IRS Ruling, and the tax opinion that Ensign received from its tax advisors, rely on, among other things, certain facts, representations, assumptions and undertakings, including those relating to the past and future conduct of our and Ensign's businesses, and the IRS Ruling and the tax opinion would not be valid if such facts, representations,

assumptions and undertakings were incorrect in any material respect. Notwithstanding the IRS Ruling and the tax opinion, the IRS could determine the Spin-Off should be treated as a taxable transaction for U.S. federal income tax purposes if it determines any of the facts, representations, assumptions or undertakings that were included in the request for the IRS Ruling are false or have been violated or if it disagrees with the conclusions in the opinions that are not covered by the IRS Ruling.

Table of Contents

If the Spin-Off ultimately is determined to be taxable, Ensign would recognize taxable gain in an amount equal to the excess, if any, of the fair market value of the shares of our common stock held by Ensign on the distribution date over Ensign's tax basis in such shares. Such taxable gain and resulting tax liability would be substantial.

In addition, under the terms of the Tax Matters Agreement that we entered into with Ensign (the "Tax Matters Agreement"), we generally are responsible for any taxes imposed on Ensign that arise from the failure of the Spin-Off to qualify as tax-free for U.S. federal income tax purposes, within the meaning of Sections 368(a)(1)(D) and 355 of the Code, to the extent such failure to qualify is attributable to certain actions, events or transactions relating to our stock, assets or business, or a breach of the relevant representations or any covenants made by us in the Tax Matters Agreement, the materials submitted to the IRS in connection with the request for the IRS Ruling or the representation letter provided in connection with the tax opinion relating to the Spin-Off. Our indemnification obligations to Ensign and its subsidiaries, officers and directors are not limited by any maximum amount. If we are required to indemnify Ensign under the circumstance set forth in the Tax Matters Agreement, we may be subject to substantial tax liabilities. We may not be able to engage in desirable strategic transactions and equity issuances because of certain restrictions relating to requirements for tax-free distributions for U.S. federal income tax purposes. In addition, we could be liable for adverse tax consequences resulting from engaging in significant strategic or capital-raising transactions.

Our ability to engage in significant strategic transactions and equity issuances may be limited or restricted in order to preserve, for U.S. federal income tax purposes, the tax-free nature of the Spin-Off.

Even if the Spin-Off otherwise qualifies for tax-free treatment under Sections 368(a)(1)(D) and 355 of the Code, it may result in corporate level taxable gain to Ensign under Section 355(e) of the Code if 50% or more, by vote or value, of shares of our stock or Ensign's stock are acquired or issued as part of a plan or series of related transactions that includes the Spin-Off. The process for determining whether an acquisition or issuance triggering these provisions has occurred is complex, inherently factual and subject to interpretation of the facts and circumstances of a particular case. Any acquisitions or issuances of our stock or Ensign stock within a two-year period after the Spin-Off generally are presumed to be part of such a plan, although we or Ensign, as applicable, may be able to rebut that presumption. Under the Tax Matters Agreement that we entered into with Ensign, we also are generally responsible for any taxes imposed on Ensign that arise from the failure of the Spin-Off to qualify as tax-free for U.S. federal income tax purposes, within the meaning of Sections 368(a)(1)(D) and 355 of the Code, to the extent such failure to qualify is attributable to actions, events or transactions relating to our stock, assets or business, or a breach of the relevant representations or any covenants made by us in the Tax Matters Agreement, the materials submitted to the IRS in connection with the request for the IRS Ruling or the representation letter provided to counsel in connection with the tax opinion.

Our agreements with Ensign may not reflect terms that would have resulted from arm's-length negotiations with unaffiliated third parties.

The agreements related to the Spin-Off, including the Separation and Distribution Agreement, the Ensign Master Leases, the Opportunities Agreement, the Tax Matters Agreement, the Transition Services Agreement and the Employee Matters Agreement we entered into with Ensign, were negotiated in the context of the Spin-Off while we were still a wholly owned subsidiary of Ensign. As a result, although those agreements are intended to reflect arm's-length terms, they may not reflect terms that would have resulted from arm's-length negotiations between unaffiliated third parties. Conversely, certain agreements related to the Spin-Off may include terms that are more favorable than those that would have resulted from arm's-length negotiations among unaffiliated third parties. Following expiration of those agreements, we may have to enter into new agreements with unaffiliated third parties, and such agreements may include terms that are less favorable to us. The terms of the agreements negotiated in the context of the Spin-Off concern, among other things, divisions and allocations of assets and liabilities and rights and obligations, between Ensign and us.

The ownership by our chief executive officer, Gregory K. Stapley, of shares of Ensign common stock may create, or may create the appearance of, conflicts of interest.

Because of his former position with Ensign, our chief executive officer, Gregory K. Stapley, owns shares of Ensign common stock. Mr. Stapley also owns shares of our common stock. His individual holdings of shares of our common stock and Ensign common stock may be significant compared to his respective total assets. These equity interests may

create, or appear to create, conflicts of interest when he is faced with decisions that may not benefit or affect CareTrust REIT and Ensign in the same manner.

23

Table of Contents

Our potential indemnification liabilities pursuant to the Separation and Distribution Agreement could materially and adversely affect us.

The Separation and Distribution Agreement between us and Ensign includes, among other things, provisions governing the relationship between us and Ensign after the Spin-Off. Among other things, the Separation and Distribution Agreement provides for indemnification obligations designed to make us financially responsible for substantially all liabilities that may exist relating to or arising out of our business. If we are required to indemnify Ensign under the circumstances set forth in the Separation and Distribution Agreement, we may be subject to substantial liabilities.

In connection with the Spin-Off, Ensign agreed to indemnify us for certain liabilities. However, there can be no assurance that these indemnities will be sufficient to insure us against the full amount of such liabilities, or that Ensign's ability to satisfy its indemnification obligation will not be impaired in the future.

Pursuant to the Separation and Distribution Agreement, the Tax Matters Agreement and other agreements we entered into in connection with the Spin-Off, Ensign agreed to indemnify us for certain liabilities. However, third parties could seek to hold us responsible for any of the liabilities that Ensign agreed to retain pursuant to these agreements, and there can be no assurance that Ensign will be able to fully satisfy its indemnification obligations under these agreements. Moreover, even if we ultimately succeed in recovering from Ensign any amounts for which we are held liable, we may be temporarily required to bear these losses while seeking recovery from Ensign.

The Spin-Off may expose us to potential liabilities arising out of state and federal fraudulent conveyance laws. The Spin-Off and related transactions, including the special dividend paid on December 10, 2014 (the "Special Dividend"), are subject to review under various state and federal fraudulent conveyance laws. Under U.S. federal bankruptcy law and comparable provisions of state fraudulent transfer or conveyance laws, which vary from state to state, the Spin-Off or any of the related transactions could be voided as a fraudulent transfer or conveyance if Ensign (a) distributed property with the intent of hindering, delaying or defrauding creditors or (b) received less than reasonably equivalent value or fair consideration in return for such distribution, and one of the following is also true at the time thereof: (1) Ensign was insolvent or rendered insolvent by reason of the Spin-Off or any related transaction, (2) the Spin-Off or any related transaction left Ensign with an unreasonably small amount of capital or assets to carry on the business, or (3) Ensign intended to, or believed that, it would incur debts beyond its ability to pay as they mature.

As a general matter, value is given under U.S. law for a transfer or an obligation if, in exchange for the transfer or obligation, property is transferred or a valid antecedent debt is secured or satisfied. A debtor will generally not be considered to have received value under U.S. law in connection with a distribution to its stockholders.

We cannot be certain as to the standards a U.S. court would use to determine whether or not Ensign was insolvent at the relevant time. In general, however, a U.S. court would deem an entity insolvent if: (1) the sum of its debts, including contingent and unliquidated liabilities, was greater than the value of its assets, at a fair valuation; (2) the present fair saleable value of its assets was less than the amount that would be required to pay its probable liability on its existing debts, including contingent liabilities, as they become absolute and mature; or (3) it could not pay its debts as they became due.

If a U.S. court were to find that the Spin-Off was a fraudulent transfer or conveyance, a court could void the Spin-Off, require stockholders to return to Ensign some or all of the shares of common stock distributed in the Spin-Off or require stockholders to pay as money damages an equivalent of the value of the shares of common stock at the time of the Spin-Off. If a U.S. court were to find that the Special Dividend was a fraudulent transfer or conveyance, a court could void the Special Dividend, require stockholders to return to us some or all of the Special Dividend or require stockholders to pay as money damages an equivalent of the value of the Special Dividend. Moreover, stockholders could be required to return any dividends previously paid by us. With respect to any transfers from Ensign to us, if any such transfer was found to be a fraudulent transfer, a court could void the transaction or Ensign could be awarded monetary damages for the difference between the consideration received by Ensign and the fair market value of the transferred property at the time of the Spin-Off.

We are subject to certain continuing operational obligations pursuant to Ensign's 2013 Corporate Integrity Agreement.

As part of compliance with various requirements of federal and private healthcare programs, Ensign and its subsidiaries are required to maintain a corporate compliance program pursuant to a corporate integrity agreement (“CIA”) that Ensign entered into in October 2013 with the Office of the Inspector General of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Although we are no longer a subsidiary of Ensign, we are subject to certain continuing operational obligations as part of Ensign’s compliance program pursuant to the CIA, including certain training in Medicare and Medicaid laws for our

Table of Contents

employees. Failure to timely comply with the applicable terms of the CIA could result in substantial civil or criminal penalties, which could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

We rely on information technology in our operations, and any material failure, inadequacy, interruption or security failure of that technology could harm our business.

We rely on information technology networks and systems, including the internet, to process, transmit and store electronic information, and to manage or support a variety of business processes, including financial transactions and records, and maintaining personal identifying information and tenant and lease data. We purchase some of our information technology from vendors, on whom our systems depend. We rely on commercially available systems, software, tools and monitoring to provide security for the processing, transmission and storage of confidential tenant and customer data, including individually identifiable information relating to financial accounts. Although we have taken steps to protect the security of our information systems and the data maintained in those systems, it is possible that our safety and security measures will not prevent the systems' improper functioning or damage, or the improper access or disclosure of personally identifiable information such as in the event of cyber-attacks. Security breaches, including physical or electronic break-ins, computer viruses, attacks by hackers and similar breaches, can create system disruptions, shutdowns or unauthorized disclosure of confidential information. The risk of security breaches has generally increased as the number, intensity and sophistication of attacks have increased. In some cases, it may be difficult to anticipate or immediately detect such incidents and the damage they cause. Any failure to maintain proper function, security and availability of our information systems could interrupt our operations, damage our reputation, subject us to liability claims or regulatory penalties and could have a materially adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our assets may be subject to impairment charges.

At each reporting period, we evaluate our real estate investments and other assets for impairment indicators. The judgment regarding the existence of impairment indicators is based on factors such as market conditions, operator performance and legal structure. If we determine that a significant impairment has occurred, we are required to make an adjustment to the net carrying value of the asset, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations in the period in which the write-off occurs.

We have now, and may have in the future, exposure to contingent rent escalators

We receive revenue primarily by leasing our assets under leases that are long-term triple-net leases in which the rental rate is generally fixed with annual rent escalations, subject to certain limitations. Almost all of our leases contain escalators contingent on changes in the Consumer Price Index, subject to maximum fixed percentages. If the Consumer Price Index does not increase, our revenues may not increase.

Risks Related to Our Status as a REIT

If we do not qualify to be taxed as a REIT, or fail to remain qualified as a REIT, we will be subject to U.S. federal income tax as a regular corporation and could face a substantial tax liability, which could adversely affect our ability to raise capital or service our indebtedness.

We currently operate, and intend to continue to operate, in a manner that will allow us to continue to qualify to be taxed as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes. We elected to be taxed as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes beginning with our taxable year ended December 31, 2014. We received an opinion of our counsel with respect to our qualification as a REIT in connection with the Spin-Off. Investors should be aware, however, that opinions of advisors are not binding on the IRS or any court. The opinion of our counsel represents only the view of our counsel based on its review and analysis of existing law and on certain representations as to factual matters and covenants made by us, including representations relating to the values of our assets and the sources of our income. The opinion is expressed as of the date issued. Our counsel has no obligation to advise us or the holders of any of our securities of any subsequent change in the matters stated, represented or assumed or of any subsequent change in applicable law. Furthermore, both the validity of the opinion of our counsel and our qualification as a REIT will depend on our satisfaction of certain asset, income, organizational, distribution, stockholder ownership and other requirements on a continuing basis, the results of which will not be monitored by our counsel. Our ability to satisfy the asset tests depends upon our analysis of the characterization and fair market values of our assets, some of which are not susceptible to a precise determination, and for which we will not obtain independent appraisals.

If we were to fail to qualify to be taxed as a REIT in any taxable year, we would be subject to U.S. federal income tax, including any applicable alternative minimum tax, on our taxable income at regular corporate rates, and dividends paid to our

25

Table of Contents

stockholders would not be deductible by us in computing our taxable income. Any resulting corporate liability could be substantial and would reduce the amount of cash available for distribution to our stockholders, which in turn could have an adverse impact on the value of our common stock. Unless we were entitled to relief under certain Code provisions, we also would be disqualified from re-electing to be taxed as a REIT for the four taxable years following the year in which we failed to qualify to be taxed as a REIT, which could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Qualifying as a REIT involves highly technical and complex provisions of the Code.

Qualification as a REIT involves the application of highly technical and complex Code provisions for which only limited judicial and administrative authorities exist. Even a technical or inadvertent violation could jeopardize our REIT qualification. Our qualification as a REIT will depend on our satisfaction of certain asset, income, organizational, distribution, stockholder ownership and other requirements on a continuing basis. In addition, our ability to satisfy the requirements to qualify to be taxed as a REIT may depend in part on the actions of third parties over which we have no control or only limited influence.

Legislative or other actions affecting REITs could have a negative effect on us.

The rules dealing with U.S. federal income taxation are constantly under review by persons involved in the legislative process and by the IRS and the U.S. Department of the Treasury (the "Treasury"). Changes to the tax laws or interpretations thereof, with or without retroactive application, could materially and adversely affect our investors or us. We cannot predict how changes in the tax laws, including any tax reform called for by the new presidential administration, might affect our investors or us. New legislation, Treasury regulations, administrative interpretations or court decisions could significantly and negatively affect our ability to qualify to be taxed as a REIT or the U.S. federal income tax consequences to our investors and us of such qualification.

We could fail to qualify to be taxed as a REIT if income we receive from our tenants is not treated as qualifying income.

Under applicable provisions of the Code, we will not be treated as a REIT unless we satisfy various requirements, including requirements relating to the sources of our gross income. Rents received or accrued by us from our tenants will not be treated as qualifying rent for purposes of these requirements if the leases are not respected as true leases for U.S. federal income tax purposes and are instead treated as service contracts, joint ventures or some other type of arrangement. If the leases are not respected as true leases for U.S. federal income tax purposes, we will likely fail to qualify to be taxed as a REIT.

In addition, subject to certain exceptions, rents received or accrued by us from our tenants will not be treated as qualifying rent for purposes of these requirements if we or a beneficial or constructive owner of 10% or more of our stock beneficially or constructively owns 10% or more of the total combined voting power of all classes of stock entitled to vote or 10% or more of the total value of all classes of stock. CareTrust REIT's charter provides for restrictions on ownership and transfer of CareTrust REIT's shares of stock, including restrictions on such ownership or transfer that would cause the rents received or accrued by us from our tenants to be treated as non-qualifying rent for purposes of the REIT gross income requirements. Nevertheless, there can be no assurance that such restrictions will be effective in ensuring that rents received or accrued by us from our tenants will not be treated as qualifying rent for purposes of REIT qualification requirements.

Dividends payable by REITs do not qualify for the reduced tax rates available for some dividends.

The maximum U.S. federal income tax rate applicable to income from "qualified dividends" payable by U.S. corporations to U.S. stockholders that are individuals, trusts and estates is currently 20%. Dividends payable by REITs, however, generally are not eligible for the reduced rates. Although these rules do not adversely affect the taxation of REITs, the more favorable rates applicable to regular corporate qualified dividends could cause investors who are individuals, trusts and estates to perceive investments in REITs to be relatively less attractive than investments in the stocks of non-REIT corporations that pay dividends, which could adversely affect the value of the stock of REITs, including our stock.

REIT distribution requirements could adversely affect our ability to execute our business plan.

We generally must distribute annually at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, determined without regard to the dividends paid deduction and excluding any net capital gains, in order for us to qualify to be taxed as a REIT

(assuming that certain other requirements are also satisfied) so that U.S. federal corporate income tax does not apply to earnings that we distribute. To the extent that we satisfy this distribution requirement and qualify for taxation as a REIT but distribute less than 100% of our REIT taxable income, determined without regard to the dividends paid deduction and including any net capital gains, we will be subject to U.S. federal corporate income tax on our undistributed net taxable income. In addition, we will be subject to a 4% nondeductible excise tax if the actual amount that we distribute to our stockholders in a calendar year is less

Table of Contents

than a minimum amount specified under U.S. federal income tax laws. We intend to make distributions to our stockholders to comply with the REIT requirements of the Code.

Our funds from operations are generated primarily by rents paid under the Ensign Master Leases and the Pristine Master Lease. From time to time, we may generate taxable income greater than our cash flow as a result of differences in timing between the recognition of taxable income and the actual receipt of cash or the effect of nondeductible capital expenditures, the creation of reserves or required debt or amortization payments. If we do not have other funds available in these situations, we could be required to borrow funds on unfavorable terms, sell assets at disadvantageous prices or distribute amounts that would otherwise be invested in future acquisitions in order to make distributions sufficient to enable us to pay out enough of our taxable income to satisfy the REIT distribution requirement and to avoid being subject to corporate income tax and the 4% excise tax in a particular year. These alternatives could increase our costs or reduce our equity.

Even if we remain qualified as a REIT, we may face other tax liabilities that reduce our cash flow.

Even if we remain qualified for taxation as a REIT, we may be subject to certain U.S. federal, state, and local taxes on our income and assets, including taxes on any undistributed income and state or local income, property and transfer taxes. For example, we may hold some of our assets or conduct certain of our activities through one or more taxable REIT subsidiaries (each, a "TRS") or other subsidiary corporations that will be subject to U.S. federal, state, and local corporate-level income taxes as regular C corporations. In addition, we may incur a 100% excise tax on transactions with a TRS if they are not conducted on an arm's-length basis. Any of these taxes would decrease cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

Complying with REIT requirements may cause us to forgo otherwise attractive acquisition opportunities or liquidate otherwise attractive investments.

To qualify to be taxed as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes, we must ensure that, at the end of each calendar quarter, at least 75% of the value of our assets consists of cash, cash items, government securities and "real estate assets" (as defined in the Code). The remainder of our investments (other than government securities, qualified real estate assets and securities issued by a TRS) generally cannot include more than 10% of the outstanding voting securities of any one issuer or more than 10% of the total value of the outstanding securities of any one issuer. In addition, in general, no more than 5% of the value of our total assets (other than government securities, qualified real estate assets and securities issued by a TRS) can consist of the securities of any one issuer, and no more than 25% (20% for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017) of the value of our total assets can be represented by securities of one or more TRSs. Further, for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2015, no more than 25% of the value of our total assets may be represented by "nonqualified publicly offered REIT debt instruments" (as defined in the Code). If we fail to comply with these requirements at the end of any calendar quarter, we must correct the failure within 30 days after the end of the calendar quarter or qualify for certain statutory relief provisions to avoid losing our REIT qualification and suffering adverse tax consequences. As a result, we may be required to liquidate or forgo otherwise attractive investments. These actions could have the effect of reducing our income and amounts available for distribution to our stockholders.

In addition to the asset tests set forth above, to qualify to be taxed as a REIT we must continually satisfy tests concerning, among other things, the sources of our income, the amounts we distribute to our stockholders and the ownership of our stock. We may be unable to pursue investments that would be otherwise advantageous to us in order to satisfy the source-of-income or asset-diversification requirements for qualifying as a REIT. Thus, compliance with the REIT requirements may hinder our ability to make certain attractive investments.

Complying with REIT requirements may limit our ability to hedge effectively and may cause us to incur tax liabilities. The REIT provisions of the Code substantially limit our ability to hedge our assets and liabilities. Income from certain hedging transactions that we may enter into to manage risk of interest rate changes with respect to borrowings made or to be made to acquire or carry real estate assets does not constitute "gross income" for purposes of the 75% or 95% gross income tests that apply to REITs, provided that certain identification requirements are met. For taxable years beginning after December 31, 2015, income from new transactions entered into to hedge the income or loss from prior hedging transactions, where the indebtedness or property which was the subject of the prior hedging transaction was extinguished or disposed of, will not constitute gross income for purposes of the 75% or 95% gross income tests. To

the extent that we enter into other types of hedging transactions or fail to properly identify such transaction as a hedge, the income is likely to be treated as non-qualifying income for purposes of both of the gross income tests. As a result of these rules, we may be required to limit our use of advantageous hedging techniques or implement those hedges through a TRS. This could increase the cost of our hedging activities because the TRS may be subject to tax on gains or expose us to greater risks associated with changes in interest rates

Table of Contents

than we would otherwise want to bear. In addition, losses in the TRS will generally not provide any tax benefit, except that such losses could theoretically be carried back or forward against past or future taxable income in the TRS. Even if we qualify to be taxed as a REIT, we could be subject to tax on any unrealized net built-in gains in our assets held before electing to be treated as a REIT.

We own appreciated assets that were held by a C corporation and were acquired by us in a transaction in which the adjusted tax basis of the assets in our hands was determined by reference to the adjusted basis of the assets in the hands of the C corporation. If we dispose of any such appreciated assets during the five-year period following our qualification as a REIT, we will be subject to tax at the highest corporate tax rates on any gain from such assets to the extent of the excess of the fair market value of the assets on the date that we became a REIT over the adjusted tax basis of such assets on such date, which are referred to as built-in gains. We would be subject to this tax liability even if we qualify and maintain our status as a REIT. Any recognized built-in gain will retain its character as ordinary income or capital gain and will be taken into account in determining REIT taxable income and our distribution requirement. Any tax on the recognized built-in gain will reduce REIT taxable income. We may choose not to sell in a taxable transaction appreciated assets we might otherwise sell during the five-year period in which the built-in gain tax applies in order to avoid the built-in gain tax. However, there can be no assurances that such a taxable transaction will not occur. If we sell such assets in a taxable transaction, the amount of corporate tax that we will pay will vary depending on the actual amount of net built-in gain or loss present in those assets as of the time we became a REIT. The amount of tax could be significant.

Uncertainties relating to CareTrust REIT's estimate of its "earnings and profits" attributable to C-corporation taxable years may have an adverse effect on our distributable cash flow.

In order to qualify as a REIT, a REIT cannot have at the end of any REIT taxable year any undistributed earnings and profits ("E&P") that are attributable to a C-corporation taxable year. A REIT that has non-REIT accumulated earnings and profits has until the close of its first full tax year as a REIT to distribute such earnings and profits. Failure to meet this requirement would result in CareTrust REIT's disqualification as a REIT. In connection with the Company's intention to qualify as a real estate investment trust, on October 17, 2014, the Company's board of directors declared the Special Dividend to distribute the amount of accumulated E&P allocated to the Company as a result of the Spin-Off. The amount of the Special Dividend was \$132.0 million, or approximately \$5.88 per common share. It was paid on December 10, 2014, to stockholders of record as of October 31, 2014, in a combination of both cash and stock. The cash portion totaled \$33.0 million and the stock portion totaled \$99.0 million. The Company issued 8,974,249 shares of common stock in connection with the stock portion of the Special Dividend.

The determination of non-REIT earnings and profits is complicated and depends upon facts with respect to which CareTrust REIT may have had less than complete information or the application of the law governing earnings and profits, which is subject to differing interpretations, or both. Consequently, there are substantial uncertainties relating to the estimate of CareTrust REIT's non-REIT earnings and profits, and we cannot be assured that the earnings and profits distribution requirement has been met. These uncertainties include the possibility that the IRS could upon audit, as discussed above, increase the taxable income of CareTrust REIT, which would increase the non-REIT earnings and profits of CareTrust REIT. There can be no assurances that we have satisfied the requirement.

Risks Related to Our Capital Structure

We have substantial indebtedness and we have the ability to incur significant additional indebtedness.

We have approximately \$455.0 million of indebtedness, consisting of \$260.0 million representing our 5.875% Senior Notes due 2021 (the "Notes"), a \$100.0 million unsecured term loan and a \$95.0 million unsecured revolving loan outstanding on our Credit Facility (as defined below), all as of December 31, 2016. We also had \$205.0 million available capacity to borrow under the Credit Facility. Our high level of indebtedness may have the following important consequences to us. For example, it could:

- require us to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to make principal and interest payments on our indebtedness, thereby reducing our cash flow available to fund working capital, dividends, capital expenditures and other general corporate purposes;
- require us to maintain certain debt coverage and other financial ratios at specified levels, thereby reducing our financial flexibility;

Table of Contents

- make it more difficult for us to satisfy our financial obligations, including the Notes and borrowings under the Credit Facility;
- increase our vulnerability to general adverse economic and industry conditions or a downturn in our business;
- expose us to increases in interest rates for our variable rate debt;
- limit, along with the financial and other restrictive covenants in our indebtedness, our ability to borrow additional funds on favorable terms or at all to expand our business or ease liquidity constraints;
- limit our ability to refinance all or a portion of our indebtedness on or before maturity on the same or more favorable terms or at all;
- limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and our industry;
- place us at a competitive disadvantage relative to competitors that have less indebtedness;
- require us to dispose of one or more of our properties at disadvantageous prices in order to service our indebtedness or to raise funds to pay such indebtedness at maturity; and
 - result in an event of default if we fail to satisfy our obligations under the Notes or our other debt or fail to comply with the financial and other restrictive covenants contained in the indenture governing the Notes or the Credit Facility, which event of default could result in all of our debt becoming immediately due and payable and could permit certain of our lenders to foreclose on our assets securing such debt.

In addition, the Credit Facility and the indenture governing the Notes permit us to incur substantial additional debt, including secured debt. If we incur additional debt, the related risks described above could intensify.

We may be unable to service our indebtedness.

Our ability to make scheduled payments on and to refinance our indebtedness depends on and is subject to our future financial and operating performance, which in turn is affected by general and regional economic, financial, competitive, business and other factors beyond our control, including the availability of financing in the international banking and capital markets. Our business may fail to generate sufficient cash flow from operations or future borrowings may be unavailable to us under the Credit Facility or from other sources in an amount sufficient to enable us to service our debt, to refinance our debt or to fund our other liquidity needs. If we are unable to meet our debt obligations or to fund our other liquidity needs, we will need to restructure or refinance all or a portion of our debt. We may be unable to refinance any of our debt on commercially reasonable terms or at all. If we were unable to make payments or refinance our debt or obtain new financing under these circumstances, we would have to consider other options, such as asset sales, equity issuances and/or negotiations with our lenders to restructure the applicable debt. The Credit Facility and the indenture governing the Notes restrict, and market or business conditions may limit, our ability to take some or all of these actions. Any restructuring or refinancing of our indebtedness could be at higher interest rates and may require us to comply with more onerous covenants that could further restrict our business operations. In addition, the Credit Facility and the indenture governing the Notes permit us to incur additional debt, including secured debt, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions.

We rely on our subsidiaries for our operating funds.

We conduct our operations through subsidiaries and depend on our subsidiaries for the funds necessary to operate and repay our debt obligations. Each of our subsidiaries is a distinct legal entity and has no obligation, contingent or otherwise, to transfer funds to us. In addition, the ability of our subsidiaries to transfer funds to us could be restricted by the terms of subsequent financings.

Covenants in our debt agreements restrict our activities and could adversely affect our business.

Our debt agreements contain various covenants that limit our ability and the ability of our subsidiaries to engage in various transactions including, as applicable:

- incurring or guaranteeing additional secured and unsecured debt;

Table of Contents

- creating liens on our assets;
- paying dividends or making other distributions on, redeeming or repurchasing capital stock;
- making investments or other restricted payments;
- entering into transactions with affiliates;
- issuing stock of or interests in subsidiaries;
- engaging in non-healthcare related business activities;
- creating restrictions on the ability of our subsidiaries to pay dividends or other amounts to us;
- selling assets;
- effecting a consolidation or merger or selling all or substantially all of our assets;
- making acquisitions; and
- amending certain material agreements, including material leases and debt agreements.

These covenants limit our operational flexibility and could prevent us from taking advantage of business opportunities as they arise, growing our business or competing effectively. The Credit Agreement requires the Company to comply with financial maintenance covenants to be tested quarterly, consisting of a maximum debt to asset value ratio, a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio, a minimum tangible net worth, a maximum cash distributions to operating income ratio, a maximum secured debt to asset value ratio and a maximum secured recourse debt to asset value ratio. We are also required to maintain total unencumbered assets of at least 150% of our unsecured indebtedness under the indenture. Our ability to meet these requirements may be affected by events beyond our control, and we may not meet these requirements. We may be unable to maintain compliance with these covenants and, if we fail to do so, we may be unable to obtain waivers from the lenders or amend the covenants.

Risks Related To Our Common Stock

Our charter restricts the ownership and transfer of our outstanding stock, which may have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a transaction or change of control of our company.

In order for us to qualify to be taxed as a REIT, not more than 50% in value of our outstanding shares of stock may be owned, beneficially or constructively, by five or fewer individuals at any time during the last half of each taxable year after our first taxable year as a REIT. Additionally, at least 100 persons must beneficially own our stock during at least 335 days of a taxable year (other than our first taxable year as a REIT). Our charter, with certain exceptions, authorizes our board of directors to take such actions as are necessary and desirable to preserve our qualification as a REIT. Our charter also provides that, unless exempted by the board of directors, no person may own more than 9.8% in value or in number of shares, whichever is more restrictive, of the outstanding shares of our common stock, or more than 9.8% in value of the outstanding shares of all classes or series of our stock. The constructive ownership rules are complex and may cause shares of stock owned directly or constructively by a group of related individuals or entities to be constructively owned by one individual or entity. These ownership limits could delay or prevent a transaction or a change in control of us that might involve a premium price for shares of our stock or otherwise be in the best interests of our stockholders. The acquisition of less than 9.8% of our outstanding stock by an individual or entity could cause that individual or entity to own constructively in excess of 9.8% in value of our outstanding stock, and thus violate our charter's ownership limit. Our charter also prohibits any person from owning shares of our stock that would result in our being "closely held" under Section 856(h) of the Code or otherwise cause us to fail to qualify to be taxed as a REIT. In addition, our charter provides that (i) no person shall beneficially or constructively own shares of stock to the extent such beneficial or constructive ownership of stock would result in us failing to qualify as a "domestically controlled qualified investment entity" within the meaning of Section 897(h) of the Code, and (ii) no person shall beneficially or constructively own shares of stock to the extent such beneficial or constructive ownership would cause us to own, beneficially or constructively, more than a 9.9% interest (as set forth in Section 856(d)(2)(B) of the Code) in a tenant of our real property. Any attempt to own or transfer shares of our stock in violation of these restrictions may result in the transfer being automatically void.

Table of Contents

Maryland law and provisions in our charter and bylaws may delay or prevent takeover attempts by third parties and therefore inhibit our stockholders from realizing a premium on their stock.

Our charter and bylaws and Maryland law contain provisions that are intended to deter coercive takeover practices and inadequate takeover bids and to encourage prospective acquirors to negotiate with our board of directors rather than to attempt a hostile takeover. Our charter and bylaws, among other things, (1) contain transfer and ownership restrictions on the percentage by number and value of outstanding shares of our stock that may be owned or acquired by any stockholder; (2) provide that stockholders are not allowed to act by non-unanimous written consent; (3) permit the board of directors, without further action of the stockholders, to amend the charter to increase or decrease the aggregate number of authorized shares or the number of shares of any class or series that we have the authority to issue; (4) permit the board of directors to classify or reclassify any unissued shares of common or preferred stock and set the preferences, rights and other terms of the classified or reclassified shares; (5) permit only the board of directors to amend the bylaws; (6) establish certain advance notice procedures for stockholder proposals, and provide procedures for the nomination of candidates for our board of directors; (7) provide that special meetings of stockholders may only be called by the Company or upon written request of stockholders entitled to be at the meeting; (8) provide that a director may only be removed by stockholders for cause and upon the vote of two-thirds of the outstanding shares of common stock; (9) provide for supermajority approval requirements for amending or repealing certain provisions in our charter; and (10) provide for a classified board of directors of three separate classes with staggered terms. In addition, specific anti-takeover provisions of the Maryland General Corporation Law (“MGCL”) could make it more difficult for a third party to attempt a hostile takeover. These provisions include:

“business combination” provisions that, subject to limitations, prohibit certain business combinations between us and an “interested stockholder” (defined generally as any person who beneficially owns 10% or more of the voting power of our shares or an affiliate thereof) for five years after the most recent date on which the stockholder becomes an interested stockholder, and thereafter impose special appraisal rights and special stockholder voting requirements on these combinations; and

“control share” provisions that provide that “control shares” of our company (defined as shares which, when aggregated with other shares controlled by the stockholder, entitle the stockholder to exercise one of three increasing ranges of voting power in electing directors) acquired in a “control share acquisition” (defined as the direct or indirect acquisition of ownership or control of “control shares”) have no voting rights except to the extent approved by our stockholders by the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of all the votes entitled to be cast on the matter, excluding all interested shares.

We believe these provisions protect our stockholders from coercive or otherwise unfair takeover tactics by requiring potential acquirors to negotiate with our board of directors and by providing our board of directors with more time to assess any acquisition proposal. These provisions are not intended to make us immune from takeovers. However, these provisions will apply even if the offer may be considered beneficial by some stockholders and could delay or prevent an acquisition that our board of directors determines is not in our best interests. These provisions may also prevent or discourage attempts to remove and replace incumbent directors.

The market price and trading volume of our common stock may fluctuate.

The market price of our common stock may fluctuate, depending upon many factors, some of which may be beyond our control, including, but not limited to:

- a shift in our investor base;
- our quarterly or annual earnings, or those of other comparable companies;
- actual or anticipated fluctuations in our operating results;
- our ability to obtain financing as needed;
- changes in laws and regulations affecting our business;
- changes in accounting standards, policies, guidance, interpretations or principles;
- announcements by us or our competitors of significant investments, acquisitions or dispositions;

Table of Contents

- the failure of securities analysts to cover our common stock;
- changes in earnings estimates by securities analysts or our ability to meet those estimates;
- the operating performance and stock price of other comparable companies;
- overall market fluctuations; and
- general economic conditions and other external factors.

Stock markets in general have experienced volatility that has often been unrelated to the operating performance of a particular company. These broad market fluctuations may adversely affect the trading price of our common stock, which may impair our ability to raise additional capital.

Failure to maintain effective internal control over financial reporting in accordance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act could materially and adversely affect our business and the market price of our common stock. Under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, we must maintain effective disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting, which require significant resources and management oversight. Internal control over financial reporting is complex and may be revised over time to adapt to changes in our business, or changes in applicable accounting rules. We cannot assure you that our internal control over financial reporting will be effective in the future or that a material weakness will not be discovered with respect to a prior period for which we had previously believed that internal controls were effective. Matters impacting our internal controls may cause us to be unable to report our financial data on a timely basis, or may cause us to restate previously issued financial data, and thereby subject us to adverse regulatory consequences, including sanctions or investigations by the SEC, or violations of applicable stock exchange listing rules. There could also be a negative reaction in the financial markets due to a loss of investor confidence in us and the reliability of our financial statements. Confidence in the reliability of our financial statements is also likely to suffer if we or our independent registered public accounting firm reports a material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting. This could materially adversely affect us by, for example, leading to a decline in the market price for our common stock and impairing our ability to raise capital.

Additionally, as we are no longer an emerging growth company, as defined by the JOBS Act, our independent registered public accounting firm is required pursuant to Section 404(b) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act to attest to the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting on an annual basis. If we cannot maintain effective disclosure controls and procedures or internal control over financial reporting, or our independent registered public accounting firm cannot provide an unqualified attestation report on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting, investor confidence and, in turn, the market price of our common stock could decline.

We cannot assure you of our ability to pay dividends in the future.

We expect to make quarterly dividend payments in cash with the annual dividend amount no less than 90% of our REIT taxable income on an annual basis, determined without regard to the dividends paid deduction and excluding any net capital gains. Our ability to pay dividends may be adversely affected by a number of factors, including the risk factors described in this annual report. Dividends are authorized by our board of directors and declared by us based upon a number of factors, including actual results of operations, restrictions under Maryland law or applicable debt covenants, our financial condition, our taxable income, the annual distribution requirements under the REIT provisions of the Code, our operating expenses and other factors our directors deem relevant. We cannot assure you that we will achieve investment results that will allow us to make a specified level of cash dividends or year-to-year increases in cash dividends in the future.

Furthermore, while we are required to pay dividends in order to maintain our REIT status (as described above under “Risks Related to Our Status as a REIT - REIT distribution requirements could adversely affect our ability to execute our business plan”), we may elect not to maintain our REIT status, in which case we would no longer be required to pay such dividends. Moreover, even if we do elect to maintain our REIT status, after completing various procedural steps, we may elect to comply with the applicable distribution requirements by distributing, under certain circumstances, a portion of the required amount in the form of shares of our common stock in lieu of cash. If we elect not to maintain our REIT status or to satisfy any required distributions in shares of common stock in lieu of cash, such action could negatively affect our business and financial condition as well as the market price of our common stock. No assurance can be given that we will pay any dividends on shares of our common stock in the future.

Table of Contents

We may issue preferred stock with terms that could dilute the voting power or reduce the value of our common stock. While we have no specific plan to issue preferred stock, our charter authorizes us to issue, without the approval of our stockholders, one or more classes or series of preferred stock having such designations, powers, privileges, preferences, including preferences over our common stock respecting dividends and distributions, terms of redemption and relative participation, optional or other rights, if any, of the shares of each such series of preferred stock and any qualifications, limitations or restrictions thereof, as our board of directors may determine. The terms of one or more classes or series of preferred stock could dilute the voting power or reduce the value of our common stock. For example, the repurchase or redemption rights or liquidation preferences we could assign to holders of preferred stock could affect the residual value of the common stock.

ERISA may restrict investments by plans in our common stock.

A plan fiduciary considering an investment in our common stock should consider, among other things, whether such an investment is consistent with the fiduciary obligations under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended (“ERISA”), including whether such investment might constitute or give rise to a prohibited transaction under ERISA, the Code or any substantially similar federal, state or local law and, if so, whether an exemption from such prohibited transaction rules is available.

ITEM 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

ITEM 2. Properties

Our headquarters are located in San Clemente, California. We lease our corporate office from an unaffiliated third party.

Except for the three ILFs that we own and operate, all of our properties are leased under long-term, triple-net leases. The following table displays the expiration of the annualized rental revenues under our lease agreements as of December 31, 2016 by year and total investment (dollars in thousands) and, in each case, without giving effect to any renewal options:

Lease Maturity Year	Investment	Percent of Total Investment	Rent	Percent of Total Rent
2019	\$34,350	3.3	% \$3,082	2.9 %
2026	70,272	6.7	% 7,344	6.9 %
2027	55,929	5.4	% 5,598	5.3 %
2028	79,914	7.6	% 7,614	7.2 %
2029	89,548	8.6	% 7,373	6.9 %
2030	307,593	29.4	% 29,332	27.5 %
2031	281,820	27.0	% 26,374	24.8 %
2032	61,105	5.8	% 8,736	8.2 %
2033	64,491	6.2	% 10,990	10.3 %
Total	\$1,045,022	100.0	% \$106,443	100.0 %

The information set forth under “Portfolio Summary” in Item 1 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K is incorporated by reference herein.

ITEM 3. Legal Proceedings

The Company and its subsidiaries are and may become from time to time a party to various claims and lawsuits arising in the ordinary course of business, which are not individually or in the aggregate anticipated to be material. Claims and lawsuits may include matters involving general or professional liability asserted against our tenants, which are the responsibility of our tenants and for which we are entitled to be indemnified by our tenants under the insurance

and indemnification provisions in the applicable leases.

Table of Contents

Pursuant to the Separation and Distribution Agreement we entered into in connection with the Spin-Off (the “Separation and Distribution Agreement”), we assumed any liability arising from or relating to legal proceedings involving the assets owned by us and agreed to indemnify Ensign (and its subsidiaries, directors, officers, employees and agents and certain other related parties) against any losses arising from or relating to such legal proceedings. In addition, pursuant to the Separation and Distribution Agreement, Ensign has agreed to indemnify us (including our subsidiaries, directors, officers, employees and agents and certain other related parties) for any liability arising from or relating to legal proceedings involving Ensign’s healthcare business prior to the Spin-Off, and, pursuant to the Ensign Master Leases, Ensign or its subsidiaries have agreed to indemnify us for any liability arising from operations at the real property leased from us. Ensign is currently a party to various legal actions and administrative proceedings, including various claims arising in the ordinary course of its healthcare business, which are subject to the indemnities provided by Ensign to us. While these actions and proceedings are not believed by Ensign to be material, individually or in the aggregate, the ultimate outcome of these matters cannot be predicted. The resolution of any such legal proceedings, either individually or in the aggregate, could have a material adverse effect on Ensign’s business, financial position or results of operations, which, in turn, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position or results of operations if Ensign or its subsidiaries are unable to meet their indemnification obligations.

ITEM 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

None.

PART II

ITEM 5. Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Common Equity

Our common stock is listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market. Set forth below for the fiscal quarters indicated are the reported high and low sales prices per share of our common stock on the NASDAQ Global Select Market.

	High	Low	Dividends Declared
2015			
First Quarter	\$ 14.93	\$ 11.12	\$ 0.16
Second Quarter	\$ 14.35	\$ 11.87	\$ 0.16
Third Quarter	\$ 13.93	\$ 10.40	\$ 0.16
Fourth Quarter*	\$ 11.98	\$ 10.21	\$ 0.16
2016			
First Quarter	\$ 12.75	\$ 9.12	\$ 0.17
Second Quarter	\$ 13.98	\$ 12.44	\$ 0.17
Third Quarter	\$ 15.88	\$ 13.73	\$ 0.17
Fourth Quarter**	\$ 15.67	\$ 12.70	\$ 0.17

* We paid this dividend on January 15, 2016 to stockholders of record on December 31, 2015.

** We paid this dividend on January 13, 2017 to stockholders of record on December 31, 2016.

At February 3, 2017, we had approximately 154 stockholders of record.

To maintain REIT status, we are required each year to distribute to stockholders at least 90% of our annual REIT taxable income after certain adjustments. All distributions will be made by us at the discretion of our board of directors and will depend on our financial position, results of operations, cash flows, capital requirements, debt covenants (which include limits on distributions by us), applicable law, and other factors as our board of directors deems relevant. For example, while the Notes and our Credit Facility permit us to declare and pay any dividend or make any distribution that is necessary to maintain our REIT status, those distributions are subject to certain financial tests under the indenture governing the Notes, and therefore, the amount of cash distributions we can make to our stockholders may be limited.

Distributions with respect to our common stock can be characterized for federal income tax purposes as taxable ordinary dividends, nondividend distributions or a combination thereof. Following is the characterization of our annual cash dividends on common stock:

34

Table of Contents

	Year Ended	
	December 31,	
Common Stock	2016	2015
Ordinary dividend	\$0.5767	\$0.4573
Non-dividend distributions	0.1033	0.1827
	\$0.6800	\$0.6400
Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities		

We did not repurchase any shares of our common stock during the three months ended December 31, 2016.

Table of Contents

Stock Price Performance Graph

The graph below compares the cumulative total return of our common stock, the S&P 500 Index, the S&P 500 REIT Index, the RMS (MSCI U.S. REIT Total Return Index) and the SNL U.S. REIT Healthcare Index for the period from June 1, 2014 to December 31, 2016. Total cumulative return is based on a \$100 investment in CareTrust REIT common stock and in each of the indices on June 1, 2014 and assumes quarterly reinvestment of dividends before consideration of income taxes. Stockholder returns over the indicated periods should not be considered indicative of future stock prices or stockholder returns.

COMPARISON OF CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURN

AMONG S&P 500, S&P 500 REIT INDEX, RMS, SNL US REIT HEALTHCARE AND CARETRUST REIT, INC.

RATE OF RETURN TREND COMPARISON

JUNE 1, 2014 - DECEMBER 31, 2016

(JUNE 1, 2014 = 100)

Stock Price Performance Graph Total Return

The stock performance graph shall not be deemed soliciting material or to be filed with the SEC or subject to Regulation 14A or 14C under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act") or to the liabilities of Section 18 of the Exchange Act, nor shall it be incorporated by reference into any past or future filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Exchange Act, except to the extent we specifically request that it be treated as soliciting material or specifically incorporate it by reference into a filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Exchange Act.

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. Selected Financial Data

The following table sets forth selected financial data and other data for our company on a historical basis. The following data should be read in conjunction with our audited consolidated and combined financial statements and notes thereto and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included elsewhere herein. Our historical operating results may not be comparable to our future operating results. The comparability of the selected financial data presented below is significantly affected by our acquisitions and new investments in 2016, 2015, 2014, 2013 and 2012. See Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations."

The selected historical financial data set forth below reflects, for the relevant periods presented, as applicable, the historical financial position, results of operations and cash flows of (i) the skilled nursing, assisted living and independent living facilities that Ensign contributed to CareTrust REIT immediately prior to June 1, 2014, the effective date of the Spin-Off, (ii) the operations of the three independent living facilities that CareTrust REIT operated immediately following the Spin-Off, and (iii) the new investments and financings that the Company has made after the Spin-Off. "Ensign Properties" is the predecessor of the Company, and its historical financial statements have been prepared on a "carve-out" basis from Ensign's consolidated financial statements using the historical results of operations, cash flows, assets and liabilities attributable to such skilled nursing, assisted living and independent living facilities, and include allocations of income, expenses, assets and liabilities from Ensign. These allocations reflect significant assumptions. Although CareTrust REIT's management believes such assumptions are reasonable, the historical financial statements do not fully reflect what CareTrust REIT's financial position, results of operations and cash flows would have been had it been a stand-alone company during the periods presented prior to the Spin-Off.

	As of or For the Year Ended December 31,				
	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012
	(dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)				
Income statement data:					
Total revenues	\$104,679	\$74,951	\$58,897	\$48,796	\$42,063
Income (loss) before provision for income taxes	29,353	10,034	(8,143)	(272)	232
Net income (loss)	29,353	10,034	(8,143)	(395)	110
Income (loss) before provision for income taxes per share	0.52	0.26	(0.36)	(0.01)	0.01
Net income (loss) per share	0.52	0.26	(0.36)	(0.02)	—
Balance sheet data:					
Total assets	\$925,358	\$673,166	\$475,140	\$428,515	\$397,049
Senior unsecured notes payable, net	255,294	254,229	253,165	—	—
Senior unsecured term loan, net	99,422	—	—	—	—
Unsecured revolving credit facility	95,000	45,000	—	—	—
Secured mortgage indebtedness, net	—	94,676	97,608	113,740	117,089
Senior secured term loan, net	—	—	—	64,915	68,674
Senior secured revolving credit facility	—	—	—	78,701	20,000
Total equity	452,430	262,288	113,462	162,689	184,548
Other financial data:					
Dividends declared per common share	\$0.68	\$0.64	\$6.01	\$—	\$—
FFO(1)	61,483	34,109	14,853	23,023	21,213
FAD(1)	65,118	37,831	16,559	23,740	21,933

(1) We believe that net income, as defined by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP"), is the most appropriate earnings measure. We also believe that Funds From Operations ("FFO"), as defined by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts ("NAREIT"), and Funds Available for Distribution ("FAD") are important non-GAAP supplemental measures of operating performance for a REIT. FFO is defined as net income (loss) computed in accordance with GAAP, excluding gains or losses from real estate dispositions, plus real estate

related depreciation and amortization and impairment charges. FAD is defined as FFO excluding noncash income and expenses such as amortization of stock-based compensation, amortization of deferred financing costs and the effect of straight-line rent. We believe that the use of FFO and FAD, combined with the required GAAP presentations, improves the understanding

Table of Contents

of operating results of REITs among investors and makes comparisons of operating results among such companies more meaningful. We consider FFO and FAD to be useful measures for reviewing comparative operating and financial performance because, by excluding gains or losses from real estate dispositions, impairment charges and real estate depreciation and amortization, and, for FAD, by excluding noncash income and expenses such as amortization of stock-based compensation, amortization of deferred financing costs, and the effect of straight line rent, FFO and FAD can help investors compare our operating performance between periods and to other REITs. However, our computation of FFO and FAD may not be comparable to FFO and FAD reported by other REITs that do not define FFO in accordance with the current NAREIT definition or that interpret the current NAREIT definition or define FAD differently than we do. Further, FFO and FAD do not represent cash flows from operations or net income as defined by GAAP and should not be considered an alternative to those measures in evaluating our liquidity or operating performance.

The following table reconciles our calculations of FFO and FAD for the five years ended December 31, 2016, 2015, 2014, 2013 and 2012 to net income, the most directly comparable financial measure according to GAAP, for the same periods:

	For the Year Ended December 31,				
	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012
	(dollars in thousands)				
Net income (loss)	\$29,353	\$10,034	\$(8,143)	\$(395)	\$110
Real estate related depreciation and amortization	31,865	24,075	22,996	23,418	21,103
Loss on sale of real estate	265	—	—	—	—
FFO	61,483	34,109	14,853	23,023	21,213
Amortization of deferred financing costs	2,239	2,200	1,552	699	705
Amortization of stock-based compensation	1,546	1,522	154	18	15
Straight-line rental income	(150)	—	—	—	—
FAD	\$65,118	\$37,831	\$16,559	\$23,740	\$21,933

ITEM 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The discussion below contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of various factors, including those which are discussed in the section titled "Risk Factors." Also see "Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements" preceding Part I.

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the "Selected Financial Data" above and our accompanying consolidated and combined financial statements and the notes thereto.

Our Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations is organized as follows:

Overview

Recent Transactions

Results of Operations

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Obligations and Commitments

Capital Expenditures

Critical Accounting Policies

Impact of Inflation

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Overview

CareTrust REIT is a self-administered, publicly-traded REIT engaged in the ownership, acquisition and leasing of seniors housing and healthcare-related properties. CareTrust REIT was formed on October 29, 2013, as a wholly owned subsidiary of Ensign with the intent to hold substantially all of Ensign's real estate business. On June 1, 2014, Ensign completed the separation of its real estate business into a separate and independent publicly traded company by

distributing all the outstanding shares of common stock of the Company to Ensign stockholders on a pro rata basis. The Spin-Off was effective from and after

38

Table of Contents

June 1, 2014, with shares of our common stock distributed to Ensign stockholders on June 2, 2014. As of December 31, 2016, CareTrust REIT's real estate portfolio consisted of 154 SNFs, SNF Campuses, ALFs and ILFs of which 93 properties are leased to Ensign on a triple-net basis under the Ensign Master Leases, 16 properties are leased to affiliates of Pristine under the Pristine Master Lease that is guaranteed by Pristine and its sole principal, and the remaining 42 properties are leased to 14 other tenants on a triple-net basis. We also own and operate three ILFs. As of December 31, 2016, the 93 facilities leased to Ensign had a total of 9,916 beds and units and are located in Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, Iowa, Nebraska, Nevada, Texas, Utah and Washington; the 16 facilities leased to affiliates of Pristine had a total of 1,488 beds and units and are located in Ohio; and the 42 remaining leased properties had a total of 3,515 beds and units and are located in California, Colorado, Florida, Georgia, Idaho, Indiana, Iowa, Maryland, Michigan, Minnesota, North Carolina, Texas, Virginia, Washington and Wisconsin. The three ILFs that we own and operate had a total of 264 units and are located in Texas and Utah. As of December 31, 2016, the Company also had three other real estate investments, consisting of \$13.9 million of preferred equity investments.

We are a separate and independent publicly traded, self-administered, self-managed REIT primarily engaged in the ownership, acquisition and leasing of healthcare-related properties. We generate revenues primarily by leasing healthcare-related properties to healthcare operators in triple-net lease arrangements, under which the tenant is solely responsible for the costs related to the property (including property taxes, insurance, and maintenance and repair costs). We conduct and manage our business as one operating segment for internal reporting and internal decision making purposes. We expect to grow our portfolio by pursuing opportunities to acquire additional properties that will be leased to a diverse group of local, regional and national healthcare providers, which may include Ensign, as well as senior housing operators and related businesses. We also anticipate diversifying our portfolio over time, including by acquiring properties in different geographic markets, and in different asset classes.

We elected to be taxed as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes beginning with our taxable year ended December 31, 2014. We believe that we have been organized and have operated, and we intend to continue to operate, in a manner to qualify for taxation as a REIT. We operate through an umbrella partnership, commonly referred to as an UPREIT structure, in which substantially all of our properties and assets are held through our Operating Partnership, CTR Partnership, L.P. The Operating Partnership is managed by CareTrust REIT's wholly owned subsidiary, CareTrust GP, LLC, which is the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership. To maintain REIT status, we must meet a number of organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement that we annually distribute to our stockholders at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, determined without regard to the dividends paid deduction and excluding any net capital gains.

Recent Transactions

Offerings of Common Stock

On March 28, 2016, we completed an underwritten public offering of 9.78 million newly issued shares of our common stock pursuant to an effective registration statement. We received net proceeds of \$105.8 million from the offering, after giving effect to the issuance and sale of all 9.78 million shares of common stock (which included 1.28 million shares sold to the underwriters upon exercise of their option to purchase additional shares), at a price to the public of \$11.35 per share.

On November 18, 2016, we completed an underwritten public offering of 6.33 million newly issued shares of our common stock pursuant to an effective registration statement. We received net proceeds of \$80.9 million from the offering, after giving effect to the issuance and sale of all 6.33 million shares of common stock (which included 0.83 million shares sold to the underwriters upon exercise of their option to purchase additional shares), at a price to the public of \$13.35 per share.

At-The-Market Offering of Common Stock

During 2016, we entered into an equity distribution agreement to issue and sell, from time to time, up to \$125.0 million in aggregate offering price of our common stock through an "at-the-market" equity offering program (the "ATM Program"). From January 1, 2016 through February 3, 2017, the Company sold approximately 2.0 million shares of common stock at an average price of \$15.39 per share for \$30.7 million in gross proceeds. At February 3, 2017, we had \$94.7 million available for future issuances under the ATM Program.

Unsecured Revolving Credit Facility and Term Loan

See “- Liquidity and Capital Resources” below for a description of the Company’s unsecured credit facility, which the Company entered into in August 2015 and amended in February 2016. We used approximately \$95.0 million of proceeds from the \$100.0 million non-amortizing unsecured term loan funded in February 2016 to pay off and terminate our secured mortgage indebtedness with General Electric Capital Corporation (the "GECC Loan").

Table of Contents

Recent Acquisitions

From January 1, 2016 through February 3, 2017, we acquired 35 properties, comprising 15 ALFs, 17 SNFs and 3 SNF Campuses, for approximately \$309.5 million inclusive of estimated transaction costs. During this period, we also completed two preferred equity investments totaling \$4.7 million. See Note 3, Real Estate Investments, Net, and Note 4, Other Real Estate Investments in the Notes to Consolidated and Combined Financial Statements for additional information.

Recent Disposition

In December 2016, we sold one non-operating skilled nursing facility in Texas for \$2.9 million, resulting in net sales proceeds of \$2.9 million and a loss on sale of real estate of \$0.3 million. The sold facility was previously subject to one of the Ensign Master Leases, and the master rent thereunder remained unchanged after the sale.

Results of Operations

Basis of Presentation

Prior to the Spin-Off, the combined financial statements were prepared on a stand-alone basis and were derived from the accounting records of Ensign (which are not included in this report). These statements reflect the combined historical financial condition and results of operations of the carve-out business of the entities that own the SNFs, ALFs and ILFs that we own, and the operations of the three ILFs that we operate, in accordance with GAAP.

Subsequent to the Spin-Off, the financial statements were prepared on a consolidated basis as the entities that own the properties are now wholly owned subsidiaries of the Company. All intercompany transactions and accounts have been eliminated.

Operating Results

Our primary business consists of acquiring, financing and owning real property to be leased to third party tenants in the healthcare sector.

Year Ended December 31, 2016 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2015

	Year Ended		Increase	Percentage	
	December 31,	December 31,	(Decrease)	Difference	
	2016	2015			
	(dollars in thousands)				
Revenues:					
Rental income	\$93,126	\$65,979	\$27,147	41	%
Tenant reimbursements	7,846	5,497	2,349	43	%
Independent living facilities	2,970	2,510	460	18	%
Interest and other income	737	965	(228)	(24)	%
Expenses:					
Depreciation and amortization	31,965	24,133	7,832	32	%
Interest expense	23,199	25,256	(2,057)	(8)	%
Property taxes	7,846	5,497	2,349	43	%
Acquisition costs	205	—	205	*	
Independent living facilities	2,549	2,376	173	7	%
General and administrative	9,297	7,655	1,642	21	%

* Not meaningful

Rental income. Rental income was \$93.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 compared to \$66.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2015. The \$27.1 million increase in rental income is due primarily to \$26.9 million from new investments made after January 1, 2015, and \$0.3 million from increases in rental rates on existing tenants.

Independent living facilities. Revenues from our three ILFs that we own and operate were \$3.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 compared to \$2.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2015. The \$0.5 million increase was due primarily to more units being available for lease and rented in 2016. Expenses were \$2.5 million for the year ended December

Table of Contents

31, 2016 compared to \$2.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2015. The \$0.1 million increase was due to higher costs associated with the incremental newly leased units.

Interest and other income. Interest and other income decreased \$0.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 to \$0.7 million compared to \$1.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2015. The net decrease was due to the cessation of accruing interest on one preferred equity investment slightly offset by two new preferred equity investments that closed during the three months ended September 30, 2016.

Depreciation and amortization. Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$7.8 million, or 32%, for the year ended December 31, 2016 to \$32.0 million compared to \$24.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2015. The \$7.8 million increase was primarily due to new investments made after January 1, 2015.

Interest expense. Interest expense decreased \$2.1 million, or 8%, for the year ended December 31, 2016 to \$23.2 million compared to \$25.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2015. The decrease was due primarily to lower interest expense of \$4.9 million resulting from the pay off of the GECC Loan with the unsecured term loan, a \$1.2 million write-off of deferred financing fees associated with the payoff and termination of our senior secured revolving credit facility and \$0.7 million related to our former secured revolving credit facility, partially offset by an increase in interest expense of \$2.3 million from our unsecured term loan, \$1.4 million from greater borrowings under our unsecured revolving credit facility, \$0.8 million related to amortization of deferred financing fees and a \$0.3 million write-off of deferred financing fees associated with the payoff and termination of the GECC Loan.

General and administrative expense. General and administrative expense increased \$1.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 to \$9.3 million compared to \$7.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2015. The \$1.6 million increase is primarily related to higher cash wages including increased staffing of \$0.9 million, higher professional fees of \$0.4 million and higher state and local taxes of \$0.4 million.

Year Ended December 31, 2015 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2014

	Year Ended		Increase	Percentage	
	December 31,	December 31,	(Decrease)	Difference	
	2015	2014			
	(dollars in thousands)				
Revenues:					
Rental income	\$65,979	\$51,367	\$14,612	28	%
Tenant reimbursements	5,497	4,956	541	11	%
Independent living facilities	2,510	2,519	(9)	—	%
Interest and other income	965	55	910	1,655	%
Expenses:					
Depreciation and amortization	24,133	23,000	1,133	5	%
Interest expense	25,256	21,622	3,634	17	%
Loss on extinguishment of debt	—	4,067	(4,067)	(100)	%
Property taxes	5,497	4,956	541	11	%
Acquisition costs	—	47	(47)	(100)	%
Independent living facilities	2,376	2,243	133	6	%
General and administrative	7,655	11,105	(3,450)	(31)	%

Rental income. Rental income was \$66.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to \$51.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. The \$14.6 million increase in rental income is due primarily to \$4.8 million of new incremental rent in place after the Spin-Off and \$9.8 million from new investments made after October 1, 2014.

Independent living facilities. Revenues from our three ILFs that we own and operate were \$2.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to \$2.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. Occupancy and average monthly rates stayed constant. Expenses were \$2.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to \$2.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. The \$0.1 million increase was due to higher costs associated with operating the facilities.

Table of Contents

Interest and other income. Interest and other income increased \$0.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 to \$1.0 million compared to \$0.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. The increase was due to the preferred equity investment made in December 2014.

Depreciation and amortization. Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$1.1 million or 5% for the year ended December 31, 2015 to \$24.1 million compared to \$23.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. The \$1.1 million increase was primarily due to new investments made after October 1, 2014 offset by certain assets which were not transferred to the Company in connection with the Spin-Off.

Interest expense. Interest expense increased \$3.6 million or 17% for the year ended December 31, 2015 to \$25.3 million compared to \$21.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. The increase was due to higher net borrowings after the Spin-Off and a \$1.2 million write-off of deferred financing fees associated with the payoff and termination of our senior secured revolving credit facility, offset by a \$1.7 million loss on the settlement of an interest rate swap in 2014.

General and administrative expense. General and administrative expense decreased \$3.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 to \$7.7 million compared to \$11.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. The \$3.5 million decrease is primarily related to decreases in legal and other costs related to the Spin-Off, offset by higher wages and amortization of stock-based compensation.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

To qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, we are required to distribute at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, determined without regard to the dividends paid deduction and excluding any net capital gains, to our stockholders on an annual basis. Accordingly, we intend to make, but are not contractually bound to make, regular quarterly dividends to common stockholders from cash flow from operating activities. All such dividends are at the discretion of our board of directors.

During the year ended December 31, 2016, we issued 16.11 million shares of our common stock for net proceeds of \$186.7 million and refinanced our Credit Agreement (as defined below), including entering into a new \$100.0 million term loan and using approximately \$95.0 million of the proceeds to pay off and terminate our then-existing mortgage notes payable. As of December 31, 2016, there was \$95.0 million outstanding under the Credit Facility. See Note 7, Debt, and Note 8, Equity, in the Notes to Consolidated and Combined Financial Statements for additional information. We believe that our available cash, expected operating cash flows and the availability under our Credit Facility will provide sufficient funds for our operations, anticipated scheduled debt service payments and dividend requirements for at least the next twelve months.

On May 13, 2016, we commenced the ATM Program. Pursuant to the ATM Program, sales of shares of our common stock, if any, will be made through the sales agents acting as agent and, subject to certain conditions, may be made through the sales agents acting as principal, and will be made by means of ordinary brokers' transactions on the NASDAQ Global Select Market or otherwise at market prices prevailing at the time of sale, at prices related to prevailing market prices or at negotiated prices. Prior to July 1, 2016, we had not sold any common stock under the ATM Program. During the year ended December 31, 2016, we sold 0.9 million shares of our common stock under the ATM Program at an average price of \$15.31 per share resulting in gross proceeds of \$14.1 million, before \$0.2 million of commissions paid to the sales agents. As of December 31, 2016, we had \$111.1 million available for future issuances under the ATM Program.

We intend to invest in additional healthcare properties as suitable opportunities arise and adequate sources of financing are available. We expect that future investments in properties, including any improvements or renovations of current or newly-acquired properties, will depend on and will be financed by, in whole or in part, our existing cash, borrowings available to us under the Credit Facility, future borrowings or the proceeds from sales of shares of our common stock pursuant to our ATM Program or additional issuances of common stock or other securities. In addition, we may seek financing from U.S. government agencies, including through Fannie Mae and the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, in appropriate circumstances in connection with acquisitions and refinancings of existing mortgage loans.

We have filed a shelf registration statement with the SEC that expires in January 2019, which will allow us to offer and sell shares of common stock, preferred stock, and warrants through underwriters, dealers or agents or directly to

purchasers, on a continuous or delayed basis, in amounts, at prices and on terms we determine at the time of the offering.

Although we are subject to restrictions on our ability to incur indebtedness, we expect that we will be able to refinance existing indebtedness or incur additional indebtedness for acquisitions or other purposes, if needed. However, there can be no assurance that we will be able to refinance our indebtedness, incur additional indebtedness or access additional sources of capital, such as by issuing common stock or other debt or equity securities, on terms that are acceptable to us or at all.

Table of Contents

Cash Flows

The following table presents selected data from our consolidated and combined statements of cash flows for the years presented:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
	(dollars in thousands)		
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$64,431	\$40,254	\$21,906
Net cash used in investing activities	(284,642)	(234,649)	(53,596)
Net cash provided by financing activities	216,244	180,542	56,115
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(3,967)	(13,853)	24,425
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	11,467	25,320	895
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$7,500	\$11,467	\$25,320

Year Ended December 31, 2016 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2015

Net cash provided by operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2016 was \$64.4 million compared to \$40.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, an increase of \$24.2 million. The increase was primarily due to an increase in net income of \$19.3 million and noncash income and expenses of \$7.4 million partially offset by a \$2.5 million change in operating assets and liabilities.

Net cash used in investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2016 was \$284.6 million compared to \$234.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, an increase of \$50.0 million. The increase was primarily due to greater investments in real estate, preferred equity investments and improvements to our real estate partially offset by greater net proceeds from the disposition of real estate, lower purchases of furniture, fixtures and equipment and lower escrow deposits in connection with acquisitions.

Net cash provided by financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2016 was \$216.2 million compared to \$180.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, an increase of \$35.7 million. This increase was primarily due to greater net proceeds of \$37.4 million from our offerings of common stock in 2016, \$13.2 million in greater net debt issuances, and \$1.0 million in lower deferred financing fees partially offset by \$15.5 million in higher dividends paid and \$0.4 million in higher net settlements of restricted stock.

Year Ended December 31, 2015 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2014

Net cash provided by operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2015 was \$40.3 million compared to \$21.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, an increase of \$18.3 million. The increase was primarily due to net income in 2015 compared to a net loss in 2014 totaling \$17.9 million, including noncash charges, and a net increase in operating assets and liabilities of \$0.4 million.

Net cash used in investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2015 was \$234.6 million compared to \$53.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, an increase of \$181.1 million. The increase was primarily due to greater investments in real estate in 2015 compared to 2014 offset by lesser purchases of furniture, fixtures and equipment in 2015 compared to 2014 and no preferred equity investments made in 2015.

Net cash provided by financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2015 was \$180.5 million compared to \$56.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, an increase of \$124.4 million. This increase was primarily due to net proceeds of \$163.0 million from our offering of common stock, \$184.3 million in lower payments on debt, \$11.2 million in lower dividends paid, and \$11.1 million in lower deferred financing fees, offset by lower net borrowings in 2015 of \$240.7 million and no net contributions from Ensign in 2015.

Indebtedness

Senior Unsecured Notes

On May 30, 2014, the Operating Partnership, and its wholly owned subsidiary, CareTrust Capital Corp. (together with the Operating Partnership, the "Issuers"), completed a private offering of \$260.0 million aggregate principal amount of

Table of Contents

5.875% Senior Notes due 2021 (the “Notes”). The Notes were issued at par, resulting in gross proceeds of \$260.0 million and net proceeds of approximately \$253.0 million after deducting underwriting fees and other offering expenses. We transferred approximately \$220.8 million of the net proceeds of the offering of the Notes to Ensign, and used the remaining net proceeds of the offering to pay the cash portion of the Special Dividend. The Notes mature on June 1, 2021 and bear interest at a rate of 5.875% per year. Interest on the Notes is payable on June 1 and December 1 of each year, beginning on December 1, 2014. The Issuers subsequently exchanged the Notes for substantially identical notes registered under the Securities Act of 1933.

The Issuers may redeem the Notes any time before June 1, 2017 at a redemption price of 100% of the principal amount of the Notes redeemed plus accrued and unpaid interest on the Notes, if any, to, but not including, the redemption date, plus a “make whole” premium described in the indenture governing the Notes and, at any time on or after June 1, 2017, at the redemption prices set forth in the indenture. At any time on or before June 1, 2017, up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the Notes may be redeemed with the net proceeds of certain equity offerings if at least 65% of the originally issued aggregate principal amount of the Notes remains outstanding. If certain changes of control of CareTrust REIT occur, holders of the Notes will have the right to require the Issuers to repurchase their Notes at 101% of the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to, but not including, the repurchase date.

The obligations under the Notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed, jointly and severally, on an unsecured basis, by CareTrust REIT and certain of CareTrust REIT’s wholly owned existing and, subject to certain exceptions, future material subsidiaries (other than the Issuers); provided, however, that such guarantees are subject to automatic release under certain customary circumstances, including if the subsidiary guarantor is sold or sells all or substantially all of its assets, the subsidiary guarantor is designated “unrestricted” for covenant purposes under the indenture, the subsidiary guarantor’s guarantee of other indebtedness which resulted in the creation of the guarantee of the Notes is terminated or released, or the requirements for legal defeasance or covenant defeasance or to discharge the indenture have been satisfied. See Note 13, Summarized Condensed Consolidating Information.

The indenture contains covenants limiting the ability of CareTrust REIT and its restricted subsidiaries to: incur or guarantee additional indebtedness; incur or guarantee secured indebtedness; pay dividends or distributions on, or redeem or repurchase, capital stock; make certain investments or other restricted payments; sell assets; enter into transactions with affiliates; merge or consolidate or sell all or substantially all of their assets; and create restrictions on the ability of the Issuers and their restricted subsidiaries to pay dividends or other amounts to the Issuers. The indenture also requires CareTrust REIT and its restricted subsidiaries to maintain a specified ratio of unencumbered assets to unsecured indebtedness. These covenants are subject to a number of important and significant limitations, qualifications and exceptions. The indenture also contains customary events of default.

As of December 31, 2016, we were in compliance with all applicable financial covenants under the indenture.

Unsecured Revolving Credit Facility and Term Loan

On August 5, 2015, the Company, CareTrust GP, LLC, the Operating Partnership, as the borrower, and certain of its wholly owned subsidiaries entered into a credit and guaranty agreement with KeyBank National Association, as administrative agent, an issuing bank and swingline lender, and the lenders party thereto (the “Credit Agreement”). The Credit Agreement initially provided for an unsecured asset-based revolving credit facility (the “Revolving Facility”) with commitments in an aggregate principal amount of \$300.0 million from a syndicate of banks and other financial institutions, and an accordion feature that allows the Operating Partnership to increase the borrowing availability by up to an additional \$200.0 million. A portion of the proceeds of the Revolving Facility were used to pay off and terminate the Company’s existing secured asset-based revolving credit facility under a credit agreement dated May 30, 2014, with SunTrust Bank, as administrative agent, and the lenders party thereto.

On February 1, 2016, the Company, CareTrust GP, LLC, the Operating Partnership, as the borrower, and certain of its wholly owned subsidiaries entered into the First Amendment (the “Amendment”) to the Credit Agreement. Pursuant to the Amendment, (i) commitments in respect of the Credit Facility (as defined below) were increased by \$100.0 million to \$400.0 million total, (ii) a new \$100.0 million non-amortizing unsecured term loan (the “Term Loan” and, together with the Revolving Facility, the “Credit Facility”) was funded and (iii) the uncommitted incremental facility was increased by \$50.0 million to \$250.0 million. Approximately \$95.0 million of the proceeds of the Term Loan

were used to pay off and terminate the GECC Loan (the "GECC Refinancing"). See "General Electric Capital Corporation Loan" below.

As of December 31, 2016, there was \$95.0 million outstanding under the Revolving Facility.

Table of Contents

The Credit Agreement has a maturity date of August 5, 2019, and includes two six-month extension options. The Term Loan, which matures on February 1, 2023, may be prepaid at any time subject to a 2% premium in the first year after issuance and a 1% premium in the second year after issuance.

The Credit Agreement initially provided that, subject to customary conditions, including obtaining lender commitments and pro forma compliance with financial maintenance covenants under the Credit Agreement, the Operating Partnership may seek to increase the aggregate principal amount of the revolving commitments and/or establish one or more new tranches of incremental revolving or term loans under the Credit Facility in an aggregate amount not to exceed \$200.0 million. Pursuant to the Amendment, the uncommitted incremental facility was increased by \$50.0 million to \$250.0 million effective February 1, 2016. The Company does not currently have any commitments for such increased loans.

The interest rates applicable to loans under the Revolving Facility are, at the Company's option, equal to either a base rate plus a margin ranging from 0.75% to 1.40% per annum or applicable LIBOR plus a margin ranging from 1.75% to 2.40% per annum based on the debt to asset value ratio of the Company and its subsidiaries (subject to decrease at the Company's election if the Company obtains certain specified investment grade ratings on its senior long term unsecured debt). Pursuant to the Amendment, the interest rates applicable to the Term Loan are, at the Company's option, equal to a base rate plus a margin ranging from 0.95% to 1.60% per annum or applicable LIBOR plus a margin ranging from 1.95% to 2.60% per annum based on the debt to asset value ratio of the Company and its subsidiaries (subject to decrease at the Company's election if the Company obtains certain specified investment grade ratings on its senior long term unsecured debt).

In addition, the Company pays a commitment fee on the unused portion of the commitments under the Revolving Facility of 0.15% or 0.25% per annum, based upon usage of the Revolving Facility (unless the Company obtains certain specified investment grade ratings on its senior long term unsecured debt and elects to decrease the applicable margin as described above, in which case the Company will pay a facility fee on the revolving commitments ranging from 0.125% to 0.30% per annum based upon the credit ratings of its senior long term unsecured debt).

The Credit Facility is guaranteed, jointly and severally, by the Company and its wholly owned subsidiaries that are party to the Credit Agreement (other than the Operating Partnership). The Credit Agreement contains customary covenants that, among other things, restrict, subject to certain exceptions, the ability of the Company and its subsidiaries to grant liens on their assets, incur indebtedness, sell assets, make investments, engage in acquisitions, mergers or consolidations, amend certain material agreements and pay certain dividends and other restricted payments. The Credit Agreement requires the Company to comply with financial maintenance covenants to be tested quarterly, consisting of a maximum debt to asset value ratio, a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio, a minimum tangible net worth, a maximum cash distributions to operating income ratio, a maximum secured debt to asset value ratio and a maximum secured recourse debt to asset value ratio. The Credit Agreement also contains certain customary events of default, including that the Company is required to operate in conformity with the requirements for qualification and taxation as a REIT.

As of December 31, 2016, the Company was in compliance with all applicable financial covenants under the Credit Agreement.

General Electric Capital Corporation Loan

Ten of our properties were subject to secured mortgage indebtedness under the GECC Loan, which we assumed in connection with the Spin-Off. As of February 1, 2016, in connection with the Amendment, the GECC Loan was paid off and terminated as part of the GECC Refinancing.

Table of Contents

Obligations and Commitments

The following table summarizes our contractual obligations and commitments at December 31, 2016 (in thousands):

	Payments Due by Period				
	Total	Less than 1 Year	1 Year to Less than 3 Years	3 Years to Less than 5 Years	More than 5 years
Senior unsecured notes payable (1)	\$ 328,738	\$ 15,275	\$ 30,550	\$ 282,913	\$—
Senior unsecured term loan (2)	117,406	2,859	5,718	5,726	103,103
Unsecured revolving credit facility (3)	103,544	3,297	100,247	—	—
Operating lease	431	133	279	19	—
Total	\$ 550,119	\$ 21,564	\$ 136,794	\$ 288,658	\$ 103,103

(1) Amounts include interest payments of \$68.7 million.

(2) Amounts include interest payments of \$17.4 million.

(3) The unsecured revolving credit facility includes payments related to the unused credit facility fee due on the amount of unused borrowings and assumes principal outstanding and interest rates in effect as of December 31, 2016.

Capital Expenditures

We anticipate incurring average annual capital expenditures of \$400 to \$500 per unit in connection with the operations of our three ILFs. Capital expenditures for each property leased under triple-net leases are generally the responsibility of the tenant, except that, for the Ensign Master Leases, the tenant will have an option to require us to finance certain capital expenditures up to an aggregate of 20% of our initial investment in such property.

Critical Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation. The accompanying consolidated and combined financial statements of the Company reflect, for all periods presented, the historical financial position, results of operations and cash flows of (i) the SNFs, SNF Campuses, ALFs and ILFs that Ensign contributed to us immediately prior to the Spin-Off, (ii) the operations of the three ILFs that we operated immediately following the Spin-Off, and (iii) the new investments that we have made after the Spin-Off. Our financial statements, prior to the Spin-Off, have been prepared on a “carve-out” basis from Ensign’s consolidated financial statements using the historical results of operations, cash flows, assets and liabilities attributable to such SNFs, SNF Campuses, ALFs and ILFs.

The combined statements of operations, prior to the Spin-Off, reflect allocations of general corporate expenses from Ensign including, but not limited to, executive management, finance, legal, information technology, human resources, employee benefits administration, treasury, risk management, procurement, and other shared services. See Note 6, Related Party Transactions.

However, the consolidated and combined financial statements herein do not necessarily reflect what our financial position, results of operations or cash flows would have been if the Company had been a stand-alone company during the pre-Spin-Off periods presented. As a result, historical financial information is not necessarily indicative of our future results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

Estimates and Assumptions. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting periods. Management believes that the assumptions and estimates used in preparation of the underlying consolidated and combined financial statements are reasonable. Actual results, however, could differ from those estimates and assumptions.

Real Estate Depreciation and Amortization. Real estate costs related to the acquisition and improvement of properties are capitalized and amortized over the expected useful life of the asset on a straight-line basis. Repair and maintenance costs are charged to expense as incurred and significant replacements and betterments are capitalized. Repair and

maintenance costs include all costs that do not extend the useful life of the real estate asset. We consider the period of future benefit of an asset to determine its appropriate useful life. Expenditures for tenant improvements are capitalized and amortized over the shorter of

Table of Contents

the tenant's lease term or expected useful life. We anticipate the estimated useful lives of our assets by class to be generally as follows:

Buildings	25-40 years
Building improvements	10-25 years
Tenant improvements	Shorter of lease term or expected useful life
Integral equipment, furniture and fixtures	5 years
Identified intangible assets	Shorter of lease term or expected useful life

Real Estate Acquisition Valuation. In accordance with Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 805, Business Combinations, we record the acquisition of income-producing real estate as a business combination. If the acquisition does not meet the definition of a business, we record the acquisition as an asset acquisition. Under both methods, all assets acquired and liabilities assumed are measured at their acquisition date fair values. For transactions that are business combinations, acquisition costs are expensed as incurred and restructuring costs that do not meet the definition of a liability at the acquisition date are expensed in periods subsequent to the acquisition date. For transactions that are an asset acquisition, acquisition costs are capitalized as incurred.

We assess the acquisition date fair values of all tangible assets, identifiable intangibles and assumed liabilities using methods similar to those used by independent appraisers, generally utilizing a discounted cash flow analysis that applies appropriate discount and/or capitalization rates and available market information. Estimates of future cash flows are based on a number of factors, including historical operating results, known and anticipated trends, and market and economic conditions. The fair value of tangible assets of an acquired property considers the value of the property as if it were vacant.

Estimates of the fair values of the tangible assets, identifiable intangibles and assumed liabilities require us to make significant assumptions to estimate market lease rates, property-operating expenses, carrying costs during lease-up periods, discount rates, market absorption periods, and the number of years the property will be held for investment. The use of inappropriate assumptions would result in an incorrect valuation of our acquired tangible assets, identifiable intangibles and assumed liabilities, which would impact the amount of our net income.

As part of our asset acquisitions, we may commit to provide contingent payments to a seller or lessee (e.g., an earn-out payable upon the applicable property achieving certain financial metrics). Typically, when the contingent payments are funded, cash rent is increased by the amount funded multiplied by a rate stipulated in the agreement. Generally, if the contingent payment is an earn-out provided to the seller, the payment is capitalized to the property's basis. If the contingent payment is an earn-out provided to the lessee, the payment is recorded as a lease incentive and is amortized as a yield adjustment over the life of the lease.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets. At each reporting period, management evaluates our real estate investments for impairment indicators, including the evaluation of our assets' useful lives. Management also assesses the carrying value of our real estate investments whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be recoverable. The judgment regarding the existence of impairment indicators is based on factors such as, but not limited to, market conditions, operator performance and legal structure. If indicators of impairment are present, management evaluates the carrying value of the related real estate investments in relation to the future undiscounted cash flows of the underlying facilities. Provisions for impairment losses related to long-lived assets are recognized when expected future undiscounted cash flows are determined to be less than the carrying values of the assets. An adjustment is made to the net carrying value of the real estate investments for the excess of carrying value over fair value. All impairments are taken as a period cost at that time and depreciation is adjusted going forward to reflect the new value assigned to the asset.

If we decide to sell real estate properties, we evaluate the recoverability of the carrying amounts of the assets. If the evaluation indicates that the carrying value is not recoverable from estimated net sales proceeds, the property is written down to estimated fair value less costs to sell.

In the event of impairment, the fair value of the real estate investment is determined by market research, which includes valuing the property in its current use as well as other alternative uses, and involves significant judgment.

Our estimates of cash flows and fair values of the properties are based on current market conditions and reflect matters such as rental rates and occupancies for comparable properties, recent sales data for comparable properties, and, where applicable, contracts or the results of negotiations with purchasers or prospective purchasers. Our ability to accurately estimate future cash flows and

Table of Contents

estimate and allocate fair values impacts the timing and recognition of impairments. While we believe our assumptions are reasonable, changes in these assumptions may have a material impact on financial results. Other Real Estate Investments. Preferred equity investments are accounted for at unpaid principal balance, plus accrued return, net of reserves. We recognize return income on a quarterly basis based on the outstanding investment including any accrued and unpaid return, to the extent there is outside contributed equity or cumulative earnings from operations. As the preferred member of the joint venture, we are not entitled to share in the joint venture's earnings or losses. Rather, we are entitled to receive a preferred return, which is deferred if the cash flow of the joint venture is insufficient to pay all of the accrued preferred return. The unpaid accrued preferred return is added to the balance of the preferred equity investment up to the estimated economic outcome assuming a hypothetical liquidation of the book value of the joint venture. Any unpaid accrued preferred return, whether recorded or unrecorded by us, will be repaid upon redemption or as available cash flow is distributed from the joint venture.

At each reporting period, we evaluate each of our investments for indicators of impairment. An investment is impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the existing contractual terms. A reserve is established for the excess of the carrying value of the investment over its fair value.

Deferred Financing Costs. External costs incurred from placement of our debt are capitalized and amortized on a straight-line basis over the terms of the related borrowings, which approximates the effective interest method. For our senior unsecured notes payable, senior unsecured term loan and our mortgage notes payable, deferred financing costs are netted against the outstanding debt amounts on the balance sheet. For our Credit Facility, deferred financing costs are included in assets on our balance sheet.

Revenue Recognition. We recognize rental revenue, including rental abatements, lease incentives and contractual fixed increases attributable to operating leases, if any, from tenants under lease arrangements with minimum fixed and determinable increases on a straight-line basis over the non-cancellable term of the related leases when collectability is reasonably assured. Tenant recoveries related to the reimbursement of real estate taxes, insurance, repairs and maintenance, and other operating expenses are recognized as revenue in the period the expenses are incurred and presented gross if we are the primary obligor and, with respect to purchasing goods and services from third-party suppliers, have discretion in selecting the supplier and bear the associated credit risk. For the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, such tenant reimbursement revenues consist of real estate taxes. Contingent revenue, if any, is not recognized until all possible contingencies have been eliminated.

We evaluate the collectability of rents and other receivables on a regular basis based on factors including, among others, payment history, the operations, the asset type and current economic conditions. If our evaluation of these factors indicates we may not recover the full value of the receivable, we provide a reserve against the portion of the receivable that we estimate may not be recovered. This analysis requires us to determine whether there are factors indicating a receivable may not be fully collectible and to estimate the amount of the receivable that may not be collected. We did not reserve any receivables as of December 31, 2016 and 2015.

Income Taxes. Our operations have historically been included in Ensign's U.S. federal and state income tax returns and all income taxes have been paid by Ensign. Income tax expense and other income tax related information contained in these consolidated and combined financial statements are presented on a separate tax return basis as if we filed our own tax returns. Management believes that the assumptions and estimates used to determine these tax amounts are reasonable. However, the consolidated and combined financial statements herein may not necessarily reflect our income tax expense or tax payments in the future, or what our tax amounts would have been if we had been a stand-alone company during the periods presented.

We elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Code, and have operated as such beginning with our taxable year ended December 31, 2014. To qualify as a REIT, we must meet certain organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement to distribute at least 90% of our annual REIT taxable income to our stockholders (which is computed without regard to the dividends paid deduction or net capital gain and which does not necessarily equal net income as calculated in accordance with GAAP). As a REIT, we generally will not be subject to federal income tax to the extent we distribute qualifying dividends to our stockholders. If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, we will be subject to federal income tax on our taxable income at regular corporate income tax rates and generally will

not be permitted to qualify for treatment as a REIT for federal income tax purposes for the four taxable years following the year during which qualification is lost unless the Internal Revenue Service grants us relief under certain statutory provisions.

In connection with our intention to qualify as a REIT in 2014, on October 17, 2014, our board of directors declared a special dividend of \$132.0 million, or approximately \$5.88 per common share, which represented the amount of accumulated earnings and profits, or "E&P," allocated to us as a result of the Spin-Off. The Special Dividend was intended to purge us of

Table of Contents

accumulated E&P attributable to the period prior to our first taxable year as a REIT. The Special Dividend was paid on December 10, 2014, to stockholders of record as of October 31, 2014, in a combination of both cash and stock. The cash portion totaled \$33.0 million and the stock portion totaled \$99.0 million. We issued 8,974,249 shares of common stock in connection with the stock portion of the Special Dividend.

Stock-Based Compensation. We account for share-based awards in accordance with ASC Topic 718, Compensation - Stock Compensation (“ASC 718”). ASC 718 requires that the cost resulting from all share-based payment transactions be recognized in the financial statements. ASC 718 requires all entities to apply a fair value-based measurement method in accounting for share-based payment transactions with employees except for equity instruments held by employee share ownership plans.

See Note 2, “Summary of Significant Accounting Policies” in the Notes to the Consolidated and Combined Financial Statements for information concerning recently issued accounting standards.

Impact of Inflation

Our rental income in future years will be impacted by changes in inflation. Almost all of our triple-net lease agreements, including the Ensign Master Leases, provide for an annual rent escalator based on the percentage change in the Consumer Price Index (but not less than zero), subject to maximum fixed percentages.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

None.

ITEM 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Our primary market risk exposure is interest rate risk with respect to our variable rate indebtedness.

Our Credit Agreement provides for revolving commitments in an aggregate principal amount of \$400.0 million from a syndicate of banks and other financial institutions. As of December 31, 2016, we had \$95.0 million of outstanding borrowings under the Revolving Facility. The interest rates per annum applicable to loans under the Revolving Facility are, at the Company’s option, equal to either a base rate plus a margin ranging from 0.75% to 1.40% per annum or applicable LIBOR plus a margin ranging from 1.75% to 2.40% per annum, based on the debt to asset value ratio of the Operating Partnership and its subsidiaries (subject to decrease at the Company's election if the Company obtains certain specified investment grade ratings on its senior long term unsecured debt). Pursuant to the Amendment, the interest rates applicable to the Term Loan are, at the Company’s option, equal to a base rate plus a margin ranging from 0.95% to 1.60% per annum or applicable LIBOR plus a margin ranging from 1.95% to 2.60% per annum based on the debt to asset value ratio of the Company and its subsidiaries (subject to decrease at the Company's election if the Company obtains certain specified investment grade ratings on its senior long term unsecured debt). As of December 31, 2016, we had a \$100.0 million Term Loan outstanding.

An increase in interest rates could make the financing of any acquisition by us more costly as well as increase the costs of our variable rate debt obligations. Rising interest rates could also limit our ability to refinance our debt when it matures or cause us to pay higher interest rates upon refinancing and increase interest expense on refinanced indebtedness. Assuming a 100 basis point increase in the interest rates related to our variable rate debt, and assuming no change in our outstanding debt balance as described above, interest expense would have increased \$2.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2016.

We may, in the future, manage, or hedge, interest rate risks related to our borrowings by means of interest rate swap agreements. However, the REIT provisions of the Code substantially limit our ability to hedge our assets and liabilities. See “Risk Factors - Risks Related to Our Status as a REIT - Complying with REIT requirements may limit our ability to hedge effectively and may cause us to incur tax liabilities.” As of December 31, 2016, we had no swap agreements to hedge our interest rate risks. We also expect to manage our exposure to interest rate risk by maintaining a mix of fixed and variable rates for our indebtedness.

ITEM 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

See the Index to Consolidated and Combined Financial Statements on page F-1 of this report.

ITEM 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosures

None.

Table of Contents

ITEM 9A. Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports under the Exchange Act is processed, recorded, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and regulations and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management is required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

As of December 31, 2016, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, regarding the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based on the foregoing, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2016.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) of the Exchange Act) to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of our financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of our assets; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that the transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and our directors; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Our management evaluated the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting using the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013). Based on this evaluation, our management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2016.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that occurred during the quarter ended December 31, 2016, that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Attestation Report of the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2016 has been audited by Ernst & Young LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which is included herein.

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

We have audited CareTrust REIT Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2016, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework) (the COSO criteria). CareTrust REIT Inc.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, CareTrust REIT Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2016, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of CareTrust REIT, Inc., as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, and the related consolidated and combined statements of operations, comprehensive income (loss), equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2016 of CareTrust REIT Inc. and our report dated February 7, 2017 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP
Irvine, California
February 7, 2017

Table of Contents

ITEM 9B. Other Information

None.

PART III

ITEM 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

The information required under Item 10 is incorporated herein by reference to our definitive proxy statement to be filed with the SEC within 120 days after the end of our fiscal year ended December 31, 2016 in connection with our 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Code of Conduct and Ethics

We have adopted a code of business conduct and ethics that applies to all employees, including employees of our subsidiaries, as well as each member of our Board of Directors. The code of business conduct and ethics is available at our website at www.caretrustreit.com under the Investors-Corporate Governance section. We intend to satisfy any disclosure requirement under applicable rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission or NASDAQ Stock Market regarding an amendment to, or waiver from, a provision of this code of business conduct and ethics by posting such information on our website, at the address specified above.

ITEM 11. Executive Compensation

The information required under Item 11 is incorporated herein by reference to our definitive proxy statement to be filed with the SEC within 120 days after the end of our fiscal year ended December 31, 2016 in connection with our 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

ITEM 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The information required under Item 12 is incorporated herein by reference to our definitive proxy statement to be filed with the SEC within 120 days after the end of our fiscal year ended December 31, 2016 in connection with our 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

ITEM 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

The information required under Item 13 is incorporated herein by reference to our definitive proxy statement to be filed with the SEC within 120 days after the end of our fiscal year ended December 31, 2016 in connection with our 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

ITEM 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

The information required under Item 14 is incorporated herein by reference to our definitive proxy statement to be filed with the SEC within 120 days after the end of our fiscal year ended December 31, 2016 in connection with our 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

PART IV

ITEM 15. Exhibits, Financial Statements and Financial Statement Schedules

(a)(1) Financial Statements

See Index to Consolidated and Combined Financial Statements on page F-1 of this report.

(a)(2) Financial Statement Schedules

Schedule III: Real Estate Assets and Accumulated Depreciation

Note: All other schedules have been omitted because the required information is presented in the financial statements and the related notes or because the schedules are not applicable.

(a)(3) Exhibits

Table of Contents

- 2.1 Separation and Distribution Agreement, dated as of May 23, 2014, by and between The Ensign Group, Inc. and CareTrust REIT, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to CareTrust REIT, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on June 5, 2014).
- 2.2 Purchase and Sale Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions, dated May 13, 2015, by and among CTR Partnership, L.P. and the entities party thereto as sellers (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to CareTrust REIT, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on August 10, 2015).
- 2.3 First Amendment to Purchase and Sale Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions, dated as of July 30, 2015, by and among CTR Partnership, L.P. and the entities party thereto as sellers (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to CareTrust REIT, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on August 10, 2015).
- 2.4 Purchase and Sale Agreement entered into as of September 29, 2016 by and among Senior Care Resources, Inc., SCC Partners, Inc., Royse City NH Realty, Ltd., BP NH Realty, Ltd., Decatur NH Realty, Ltd., Lakeside NH Realty, Ltd., and CTR Partnership, L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to CareTrust REIT, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on December 2, 2016).
- 2.5 First Amendment to Purchase and Sale Agreement entered into as of November 15, 2016 by and among Senior Care Resources, Inc., SCC Partners, Inc., Royse City NH Realty, Ltd., BP NH Realty, Ltd., Decatur NH Realty, Ltd., Lakeside NH Realty, Ltd., and CTR Partnership, L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.2 to CareTrust REIT, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on December 2, 2016).
- 3.1 Articles of Amendment and Restatement of CareTrust REIT, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to CareTrust REIT, Inc.'s Registration Statement on Form 10, filed on May 13, 2014).
- 3.2 Amended and Restated Bylaws of CareTrust REIT, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to CareTrust REIT, Inc.'s Registration Statement on Form 10, filed on May 13, 2014).
- 4.1 Indenture, dated as of May 30, 2014, among CTR Partnership, L.P. and CareTrust Capital Corp., as Issuers, the guarantors named therein, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to CareTrust REIT, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on June 5, 2014).
- 4.2 Form of 2021 Note (included in Exhibit 4.1 above).
- 4.3 Specimen Stock Certificate of CareTrust REIT, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to CareTrust REIT, Inc.'s Registration Statement on Form 10, filed on April 15, 2014).
- 10.1 Form of Master Lease by and among certain subsidiaries of The Ensign Group, Inc. and certain subsidiaries of CareTrust REIT, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to CareTrust REIT, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on June 5, 2014).
- 10.2 Form of Guaranty of Master Lease by The Ensign Group, Inc. in favor of certain subsidiaries of CareTrust REIT, Inc., as landlords under the Ensign Master Leases (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to CareTrust REIT, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on June 5, 2014).
- 10.3 Master Lease, dated as of July 30, 2015, by and among CTR Partnership, L.P. and the entities party thereto as tenants (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to CareTrust REIT, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on August 10, 2015).

*10.4 First Amendment to Master Lease, dated as of September 30, 2015, by and among CTR Partnership, L.P. and the entities party thereto as tenants.

*10.5 Second Amendment to Master Lease, dated as of March 7, 2016, by and among CTR Partnership, L.P. and the entities party thereto as tenants.

10.6 Guaranty of Master Lease, dated as of July 30, 2015, by Pristine Senior Living, LLC, Christopher T. Cook, and Stephen Ryan in favor of CTR Partnership, L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to CareTrust REIT, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on August 10, 2015).

*10.7 First Amendment to Guaranty of Master Lease, dated November 15, 2016, by and among Pristine Senior Living, LLC and Christopher T. Cook in favor of CTR Partnership, L.P.

10.8 Nomination Agreement, dated as of July 30, 2015, by and among CTR Partnership, L.P., Pristine Senior Living of Mansfield, LLC and Pristine Senior Living of Fremont, LLC. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to CareTrust REIT, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on August 10, 2015).

Table of Contents

10.9	Opportunities Agreement, dated as of May 30, 2014, by and between The Ensign Group, Inc. and CareTrust REIT, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to CareTrust REIT, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on June 5, 2014).
10.10	Transition Services Agreement, dated as of May 30, 2014, by and between The Ensign Group, Inc. and CareTrust REIT, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to CareTrust REIT, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on June 5, 2014).
10.11	Tax Matters Agreement, dated as of May 30, 2014, by and between The Ensign Group, Inc. and CareTrust REIT, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to CareTrust REIT, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on June 5, 2014).
10.12	Employee Matters Agreement, dated as of May 30, 2014, by and between The Ensign Group, Inc. and CareTrust REIT, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to CareTrust REIT, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on June 5, 2014).
10.13	Contribution Agreement, dated as of May 30, 2014, by and among CTR Partnership L.P., CareTrust GP, LLC, CareTrust REIT, Inc. and The Ensign Group, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to CareTrust REIT, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on June 5, 2014).
10.14	Credit and Guaranty Agreement, dated August 5, 2015, by and among CareTrust REIT, Inc., CareTrust GP, LLC, CTR Partnership, L.P., certain of its wholly owned subsidiaries, KeyBank National Association and the lenders party thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to CareTrust REIT, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on August 6, 2015).
10.15	First Amendment to Credit and Guaranty Agreement, dated February 1, 2016, by and among CareTrust REIT, Inc., CTR Partnership, L.P., the other guarantors therein and KeyBank National Association, as administrative agent, and the other lenders party thereto (which includes as Annex A thereto an amended and restatement of the Credit and Guaranty Agreement) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to CareTrust REIT, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on February 4, 2016).
10.16	Amended and Restated Partnership Agreement of CTR Partnership, L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.4 to CareTrust REIT, Inc.'s Registration Statement on Form S-4, filed on August 28, 2014).
10.17	Form of Indemnification Agreement between CareTrust REIT, Inc. and its directors and officers (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to CareTrust REIT, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on June 5, 2014).
+10.18	Incentive Award Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to CareTrust REIT, Inc.'s Registration Statement on Form 10, filed on May 13, 2014).
+10.19	Form of Restricted Stock Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to CareTrust REIT, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed on February 11, 2015).
+10.20	Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 to CareTrust REIT, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed on February 11, 2015).
*21.1	List of Subsidiaries of CareTrust REIT, Inc.

Edgar Filing: CareTrust REIT, Inc. - Form 10-K

- *23.1 Consent of Ernst & Young LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
- *31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- *31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- **32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- *101.INS XBRL Instance Document
- *101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
- *101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
- *101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
- *101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
- *101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

Table of Contents

* Filed herewith.

** Furnished herewith.

+ Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

ITEM 16. Form 10-K Summary.

None.

55

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

CARETRUST REIT, INC.

By: /S/ GREGORY K. STAPLEY

Gregory K. Stapley
President and Chief Executive Officer

Dated: February 7, 2017

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Name	Title	Date
/s/ GREGORY K. STAPLEY Gregory K. Stapley	Director, President and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)	February 7, 2017
/s/ WILLIAM M. WAGNER William M. Wagner	Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer (Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)	February 7, 2017
/s/ ALLEN C. BARBIERI Allen C. Barbieri	Director	February 7, 2017
/s/ JON D. KLINE Jon D. Kline	Director	February 7, 2017
/s/ DAVID G. LINDAHL David G. Lindahl	Director	February 7, 2017

Table of Contents

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Page
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm with respect to CareTrust REIT, Inc.	<u>F-2</u>
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2016 and 2015	<u>F-3</u>
Consolidated and Combined Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014	<u>F-4</u>
Consolidated and Combined Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014	<u>F-5</u>
Consolidated and Combined Statements of Equity for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014	<u>F-6</u>
Consolidated and Combined Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014	<u>F-7</u>
Notes to Consolidated and Combined Financial Statements	<u>F-8</u>
Schedule III: Real Estate Assets and Accumulated Depreciation	<u>F-35</u>

F-1

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of
CareTrust REIT, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of CareTrust REIT, Inc. (the “Company”), as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, and the related consolidated and combined statements of operations, comprehensive income (loss), equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2016. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15(a)(2), Schedule III - Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation. These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of CareTrust REIT, Inc. as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2016, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), CareTrust REIT Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2016, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework), and our report dated February 7, 2017 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP

Irvine, California
February 7, 2017

Table of Contents

CARETRUST REIT, INC.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

	December 31,	
	2016	2015
Assets:		
Real estate investments, net	\$893,918	\$645,614
Other real estate investments	13,872	8,477
Cash and cash equivalents	7,500	11,467
Accounts receivable	5,896	2,342
Prepaid expenses and other assets	1,369	2,083
Deferred financing costs, net	2,803	3,183
Total assets	\$925,358	\$673,166
Liabilities and Equity:		
Senior unsecured notes payable, net	\$255,294	\$254,229
Senior unsecured term loan, net	99,422	—
Unsecured revolving credit facility	95,000	45,000
Mortgage notes payable, net	—	94,676
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	12,137	9,269
Dividends payable	11,075	7,704
Total liabilities	472,928	410,878
Commitments and contingencies (Note 11)		
Equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value; 100,000,000 shares authorized, no shares issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2016 and 2015	—	—
Common stock, \$0.01 par value; 500,000,000 shares authorized, 64,816,350 and 47,664,742 shares issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively	648	477
Additional paid-in capital	611,475	410,217
Cumulative distributions in excess of earnings	(159,693)	(148,406)
Total equity	452,430	262,288
Total liabilities and equity	\$925,358	\$673,166
See accompanying notes to consolidated and combined financial statements.		

Table of Contents

CARETRUST REIT, INC.
CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(in thousands, except per share amounts)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Revenues:			
Rental income (related party rental income of \$0, \$16,308 and \$32,667 for the year ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively – Note 6)	\$93,126	\$65,979	\$51,367
Tenant reimbursements (related party tenant reimbursements of \$0, \$1,406 and \$2,842 for the year ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively – Note 6)	7,846	5,497	4,956
Independent living facilities	2,970	2,510	2,519
Interest and other income	737	965	55
Total revenues	104,679	74,951	58,897
Expenses:			
Depreciation and amortization	31,965	24,133	23,000
Interest expense	23,199	25,256	21,622
Loss on extinguishment of debt	—	—	4,067
Property taxes	7,846	5,497	4,956
Acquisition costs	205	—	47
Independent living facilities	2,549	2,376	2,243
General and administrative	9,297	7,655	11,105
Total expenses	75,061	64,917	67,040
Other income (expense):			
Loss on sale of real estate	(265)	—	—
Net income (loss)	\$29,353	\$10,034	\$(8,143)
Earnings (loss) per common share:			
Basic	\$0.52	\$0.26	\$(0.36)
Diluted	\$0.52	\$0.26	\$(0.36)
Weighted-average number of common shares:			
Basic	56,030	37,380	22,788
Diluted	56,030	37,380	22,788
See accompanying notes to consolidated and combined financial statements.			

Table of Contents

CARETRUST REIT, INC.

CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

(in thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Net income (loss)	\$29,353	\$10,034	\$(8,143)
Other comprehensive income:			
Unrealized gain on interest rate swap	—	—	167
Reclassification adjustment on interest rate swap	—	—	1,661
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$29,353	\$10,034	\$(6,315)

See accompanying notes to consolidated and combined financial statements.

F-5

Table of Contents

CARETRUST REIT, INC.
CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY
(in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

	Common Stock			Cumulative Distributions in Excess of Earnings	Invested Equity	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Total Equity
	Shares	Amount	Additional Paid-in Capital				
Balance at December 31, 2013	1,000	\$ —	\$—	\$—	\$164,517	\$ (1,828)	\$162,689
Net capital contribution from Ensign	—	—	—	—	4,356	—	4,356
Unrealized gain on interest rate swap	—	—	—	—	—	167	167
Reclassification adjustment on interest rate swap	—	—	—	—	—	1,661	1,661
Net capital distribution to Ensign	—	—	—	—	(10,475)	—	(10,475)
Reclassification of invested equity to common stock and additional paid-in capital in conjunction with the Spin-Off (Note 1)	22,227,358	222	146,980	—	(147,202)	—	—
Vesting of restricted common stock	48,550	1	(1)	—	—	—	—
Amortization of stock-based compensation	—	—	154	—	—	—	154
Special dividend at \$5.88 per share	8,974,249	90	98,908	(131,999)	—	—	(33,001)
Common dividend at \$0.125 per share	—	—	—	(3,946)	—	—	(3,946)
Net income (loss)	—	—	—	3,053	(11,196)	—	(8,143)
Balance at December 31, 2014	31,251,157	313	246,041	(132,892)	—	—	113,462
Issuance of common stock, net	16,330,000	163	162,800	—	—	—	162,963
Vesting of restricted common stock, net of shares withheld for employee taxes	83,585	1	(146)	—	—	—	(145)
Amortization of stock-based compensation	—	—	1,522	—	—	—	1,522
Common dividends (\$0.64 per share)	—	—	—	(25,548)	—	—	(25,548)
Net income	—	—	—	10,034	—	—	10,034
Balance at December 31, 2015	47,664,742	477	410,217	(148,406)	—	—	262,288
Issuance of common stock, net	17,023,824	170	200,228	—	—	—	200,398
Vesting of restricted common stock, net of shares withheld for employee taxes	127,784	1	(516)	—	—	—	(515)
Amortization of stock-based compensation	—	—	1,546	—	—	—	1,546
Common dividends (\$0.68 per share)	—	—	—	(40,640)	—	—	(40,640)
Net income	—	—	—	29,353	—	—	29,353

Edgar Filing: CareTrust REIT, Inc. - Form 10-K

Balance at December 31, 2016 64,816,350 \$ 648 \$611,475 \$(159,693) \$— \$ — \$452,430

See accompanying notes to consolidated and combined financial statements.

F-6

Table of Contents

CARETRUST REIT, INC.
CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(in thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income (loss)	\$29,353	\$10,034	(8,143)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization (including a below-market ground lease)	31,980	24,133	23,000
Amortization of deferred financing costs and debt discount	2,239	2,200	1,603
Write-off of deferred financing costs	326	1,208	—
Amortization of stock-based compensation	1,546	1,522	154
Straight-line rental income	(150)	—	—
Noncash interest income	(737)	(945)	(32)
Loss on extinguishment of debt	—	—	1,998
Loss on settlement of interest rate swap	—	—	1,661
Loss on sale of real estate	265	—	—
Change in operating assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable	(3,404)	(2,326)	4
Accounts receivable due from related party	—	2,275	(2,275)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	84	(86)	445
Interest rate swap	—	—	(1,661)
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	2,929	2,239	5,152
Net cash provided by operating activities	64,431	40,254	21,906
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Acquisitions of real estate	(281,228)	(232,466)	(25,742)
Improvements to real estate	(762)	(187)	(579)
Purchases of equipment, furniture and fixtures	(151)	(276)	(19,275)
Preferred equity investments	(4,656)	—	(7,500)
Escrow deposits for acquisition of real estate	(700)	(1,750)	(500)
Net proceeds from the sale of real estate	2,855	30	—
Net cash used in investing activities	(284,642)	(234,649)	(53,596)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Proceeds from the issuance of common stock, net	200,402	162,963	—
Proceeds from the issuance of senior unsecured notes payable	—	—	260,000
Proceeds from the issuance of senior unsecured term loan	100,000	—	—
Borrowings under unsecured revolving credit facility	255,000	45,000	—
Payments on unsecured revolving credit facility	(205,000)	—	—
Borrowings under senior secured revolving credit facility	—	35,000	10,000
Repayments of borrowings under senior secured revolving credit facility	—	(35,000)	(88,701)
Proceeds from the issuance of mortgage notes payable	—	—	50,676
Payments on the mortgage notes payable	(95,022)	(3,183)	(68,155)
Payments on senior secured term loan	—	—	(65,624)
Payments of deferred financing costs	(1,352)	(2,303)	(13,436)
Net-settle adjustment on restricted stock	(515)	(145)	—
Dividends paid on common stock	(37,269)	(21,790)	(33,001)
Net contribution from Ensign (Note 6)	—	—	4,356
Net cash provided by financing activities	216,244	180,542	56,115
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(3,967)	(13,853)	24,425

Edgar Filing: CareTrust REIT, Inc. - Form 10-K

Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	11,467	25,320	895
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$7,500	\$11,467	25,320
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:			
Interest paid	\$21,238	\$21,687	\$17,243
Income taxes paid	\$—	\$—	\$104
Supplemental schedule of noncash operating, investing and financing activities:			
Increase in dividends payable	\$3,371	\$3,758	\$3,946
Application of escrow deposit to acquisition of real estate	\$1,250	\$500	\$—
Distributions paid to common stockholders through common stock issuances	\$—	\$—	\$98,998
Holdback of purchase price to acquire real estate	\$—	\$—	\$300
Operating assets and liabilities that were not transferred to CareTrust	\$—	\$—	\$1,042
Equipment, furniture and fixtures that were not transferred to CareTrust	\$—	\$—	\$(11,684)
Net capital distribution to Ensign	\$—	\$—	\$10,475
See accompanying notes to consolidated and combined financial statements.			

F-7

Table of Contents

CARETRUST REIT, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. ORGANIZATION

Separation from Ensign—Prior to June 1, 2014, CareTrust REIT, Inc. (“CareTrust REIT” or the “Company”) was a wholly owned subsidiary of The Ensign Group, Inc. (“Ensign”). On June 1, 2014, Ensign completed the separation of its healthcare business and its real estate business into two separate and independent publicly traded companies through the distribution of all of the outstanding shares of common stock of CareTrust REIT to Ensign stockholders on a pro rata basis (the “Spin-Off”). Ensign stockholders received one share of CareTrust REIT common stock for each share of Ensign common stock held at the close of business on May 22, 2014, the record date for the Spin-Off. The Spin-Off was effective from and after June 1, 2014, with shares of CareTrust REIT common stock distributed by Ensign on June 2, 2014. The Company was formed on October 29, 2013 and had minimal activity prior to the Spin-Off. Prior to the Spin-Off, the Company and Ensign entered into a Separation and Distribution Agreement, setting forth the mechanics of the Spin-Off, certain organizational matters and other ongoing obligations of the Company and Ensign. The Company and Ensign or their respective subsidiaries, as applicable, also entered into a number of other agreements to govern the relationship between Ensign and the Company after the Spin-Off, including eight long-term leases (the “Ensign Master Leases”), under which Ensign leases 93 healthcare facilities on a triple-net basis. In accordance with the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) 505-60, Equity—Spinoffs and Reverse Spinoffs, the accounting for the separation of the Company follows its legal form, with Ensign as the legal and accounting spinor and the Company as the legal and accounting spinnee, due to the relative significance of Ensign’s healthcare business, the relative fair values of the respective companies, the retention of all senior management (except Mr. Gregory K. Stapley) by Ensign, and other relevant indicators. The assets and liabilities contributed to the Company from Ensign, or incurred in connection with the Spin-Off in the case of certain debt, were as follows (dollars in thousands):

Real estate investments, net	\$421,846
Cash	78,731
Accounts receivable and prepaid assets and other current assets	1,900
Deferred financing costs, net	11,088
Debt	(359,512)
Other liabilities	(6,838)
Net contribution	\$147,215

Description of Business—The Company’s primary business consists of acquiring, financing and owning real property to be leased to third-party tenants in the healthcare sector. As of December 31, 2016, the Company leased to independent operators, 151 skilled nursing, assisted living and independent living facilities which had a total of 14,919 beds and units located in Arizona, California, Colorado, Florida, Georgia, Idaho, Indiana, Iowa, Maryland, Michigan, Minnesota, Nebraska, Nevada, North Carolina, Ohio, Texas, Utah, Virginia, Washington and Wisconsin. The Company also owns and operates three independent living facilities which have a total of 264 units located in Texas and Utah. As of December 31, 2016, the Company also had three other real estate investments consisting of \$13.9 million of preferred equity investments.

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Presentation—The accompanying consolidated and combined financial statements of the Company reflect, for all periods presented, the historical financial position, results of operations and cash flows of (i) the net-leased skilled nursing, assisted living and independent living facilities; (ii) the operations of the three independent living facilities that the Company owns and operates; and (iii) the preferred equity investments. For the periods prior to the Spin-Off, the Company’s financial statements have been prepared on a “carve-out” basis from Ensign’s consolidated financial statements using the historical results of operations, cash flows, assets and liabilities attributable to such skilled

nursing, assisted living and independent living facilities (the “Ensign Properties”).

For the periods prior to the Spin-Off, the combined statements of operations reflect allocations of general corporate expenses from Ensign including, but not limited to, executive management, finance, legal, information technology, human

F-8

Table of Contents

CARETRUST REIT, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

resources, employee benefits administration, treasury, risk management, procurement, and other shared services. See further discussion in Note 6, Related Party Transactions.

The consolidated and combined financial statements for the periods prior to June 1, 2014, do not necessarily reflect what the Company’s financial position, results of operations or cash flows would have been if the Company had been a stand-alone company during those periods presented. The historical financial information prior to June 1, 2014, is not necessarily indicative of the Company’s future results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

The accompanying consolidated and combined financial statements of the Company were prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (“GAAP”) and reflect the financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the Company. All intercompany transactions and account balances within the Company have been eliminated.

Estimates and Assumptions—The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting periods. Management believes that the assumptions and estimates used in preparation of the underlying consolidated and combined financial statements are reasonable. Actual results, however, could differ from those estimates and assumptions.

Reclassifications—Certain amounts in the Company’s consolidated and combined financial statements for prior periods have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation. These reclassifications have not changed the results of operations of prior periods.

Real Estate Depreciation and Amortization—Real estate costs related to the acquisition and improvement of properties are capitalized and amortized over the expected useful life of the asset on a straight-line basis. Repair and maintenance costs are charged to expense as incurred and significant replacements and betterments are capitalized. Repair and maintenance costs include all costs that do not extend the useful life of the real estate asset. The Company considers the period of future benefit of an asset to determine its appropriate useful life. Expenditures for tenant improvements are capitalized and amortized over the shorter of the tenant’s lease term or expected useful life. The Company anticipates the estimated useful lives of its assets by class to be generally as follows:

Building	25-40 years
Building improvements	10-25 years
Tenant improvements	Shorter of lease term or expected useful life
Integral equipment, furniture and fixtures	5 years
Identified intangible assets	Shorter of lease term or expected useful life

Real Estate Acquisition Valuation— In accordance with ASC 805, Business Combinations, the Company records the acquisition of income-producing real estate as a business combination. If the acquisition does not meet the definition of a business, the Company records the acquisition as an asset acquisition. Under both methods, all assets acquired and liabilities assumed are measured at their acquisition date fair values. For transactions that are business combinations, acquisition costs are expensed as incurred and restructuring costs that do not meet the definition of a liability at the acquisition date are expensed in periods subsequent to the acquisition date. For transactions that are asset acquisitions, acquisition costs are capitalized as incurred.

The Company assesses the acquisition date fair values of all tangible assets, identifiable intangibles and assumed liabilities using methods similar to those used by independent appraisers, generally utilizing a discounted cash flow analysis that applies appropriate discount and/or capitalization rates and available market information. Estimates of future cash flows are based on a number of factors, including historical operating results, known and anticipated trends, and market and economic conditions. The fair value of tangible assets of an acquired property considers the value of the property as if it were vacant.

Estimates of the fair values of the tangible assets, identifiable intangibles and assumed liabilities require the Company to make significant assumptions to estimate market lease rates, property-operating expenses, carrying costs during

F-9

Table of Contents

CARETRUST REIT, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

lease-up periods, discount rates, market absorption periods, and the number of years the property will be held for investment. The use of inappropriate assumptions would result in an incorrect valuation of the Company's acquired tangible assets, identifiable intangibles and assumed liabilities, which would impact the amount of the Company's net income.

As part of the Company's asset acquisitions, the Company may commit to provide contingent payments to a seller or lessee (e.g., an earn-out payable upon the applicable property achieving certain financial metrics). Typically, when the contingent payments are funded, cash rent is increased by the amount funded multiplied by a rate stipulated in the agreement. Generally, if the contingent payment is an earn-out provided to the seller, the payment is capitalized to the property's basis. If the contingent payment is an earn-out provided to the lessee, the payment is recorded as a lease incentive and is amortized as a yield adjustment over the life of the lease.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets—At each reporting period, management evaluates the Company's real estate investments for impairment indicators, including the evaluation of the useful lives of the Company's assets.

Management also assesses the carrying value of the Company's real estate investments whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be recoverable. The judgment regarding the existence of impairment indicators is based on factors such as, but not limited to, market conditions, operator performance and legal structure. If indicators of impairment are present, management evaluates the carrying value of the related real estate investments in relation to the future undiscounted cash flows of the underlying facilities.

Provisions for impairment losses related to long-lived assets are recognized when expected future undiscounted cash flows are determined to be less than the carrying values of the assets. An adjustment is made to the net carrying value of the real estate investments for the excess of carrying value over fair value. All impairments are taken as a period cost at that time, and depreciation is adjusted going forward to reflect the new value assigned to the asset.

If the Company decides to sell real estate properties, it evaluates the recoverability of the carrying amounts of the assets. If the evaluation indicates that the carrying value is not recoverable from estimated net sales proceeds, the property is written down to estimated fair value less costs to sell.

In the event of impairment, the fair value of the real estate investment is determined by market research, which includes valuing the property in its current use as well as other alternative uses, and involves significant judgment. The Company's estimates of cash flows and fair values of the properties are based on current market conditions and consider matters such as rental rates and occupancies for comparable properties, recent sales data for comparable properties, and, where applicable, contracts or the results of negotiations with purchasers or prospective purchasers. The Company's ability to accurately estimate future cash flows and estimate and allocate fair values impacts the timing and recognition of impairments. While the Company believes its assumptions are reasonable, changes in these assumptions may have a material impact on financial results.

Other Real Estate Investments — Preferred equity investments are accounted for at unpaid principal balance, plus accrued return, net of reserves. The Company recognizes return income on a quarterly basis based on the outstanding investment including any accrued and unpaid return, to the extent there is outside contributed equity or cumulative earnings from operations. As the preferred member of the joint venture, the Company is not entitled to share in the joint venture's earnings or losses. Rather, the Company is entitled to receive a preferred return, which is deferred if the cash flow of the joint venture is insufficient to pay all of the accrued preferred return. The unpaid accrued preferred return is added to the balance of the preferred equity investment up to the estimated economic outcome assuming a hypothetical liquidation of the book value of the joint venture. Any unpaid accrued preferred return, whether recorded or unrecorded by us, will be repaid upon redemption or as available cash flow is distributed from the joint venture. The Company evaluates at each reporting period each of its other real estate investments for indicators of impairment. An investment is impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the existing contractual terms. A reserve is established for the excess of the carrying value of the investment over its fair value.

Cash and Cash Equivalents—Cash and cash equivalents consist of bank term deposits and money market funds with original maturities of 3 months or less at time of purchase and therefore approximate fair value. The fair value of these investments is determined based on “Level 1” inputs, which consist of unadjusted quoted prices in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for identical, unrestricted assets. The Company places its cash and short-term investments with high credit quality financial institutions.

F-10

Table of Contents

CARETRUST REIT, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Company's cash and cash equivalents balance periodically exceeds federally insurable limits. The Company monitors the cash balances in its operating accounts and adjusts the cash balances as appropriate; however, these cash balances could be impacted if the underlying financial institutions fail or are subject to other adverse conditions in the financial markets. To date, the Company has experienced no loss or lack of access to cash in its operating accounts.

Deferred Financing Costs—External costs incurred from placement of our debt are capitalized and amortized on a straight-line basis over the terms of the related borrowings, which approximates the effective interest method. For senior unsecured notes payable, senior unsecured term loan and mortgage notes payable, deferred financing costs are netted against the outstanding debt amounts on the balance sheet. For the unsecured revolving credit facility, deferred financing costs are included in assets on the Company's balance sheet. Amortization of deferred financing costs is classified as interest expense in the consolidated and combined statements of operations. Accumulated amortization of deferred financing costs was \$4.2 million and \$3.3 million at December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively.

When financings are terminated, unamortized deferred financing costs, as well as charges incurred for the termination, are expensed at the time the termination is made. Gains and losses from the extinguishment of debt are presented within income from continuing operations in the consolidated and combined statements of operations.

Revenue Recognition —The Company recognizes rental revenue, including rental abatements, lease incentives and contractual fixed increases attributable to operating leases, if any, from tenants under lease arrangements with minimum fixed and determinable increases on a straight-line basis over the non-cancellable term of the related leases when collectability is reasonably assured. Tenant recoveries related to the reimbursement of real estate taxes, insurance, repairs and maintenance, and other operating expenses are recognized as revenue in the period the expenses are incurred and presented gross if the Company is the primary obligor and, with respect to purchasing goods and services from third-party suppliers, has discretion in selecting the supplier and bears the associated credit risk. For the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, such tenant reimbursement revenues consist of real estate taxes.

Contingent revenue, if any, is not recognized until all possible contingencies have been eliminated.

The Company evaluates the collectability of rents and other receivables on a regular basis based on factors including, among others, payment history, the operations, the asset type and current economic conditions. If the Company's evaluation of these factors indicates it may not recover the full value of the receivable, the Company provides a reserve against the portion of the receivable that it estimates may not be recovered. This analysis requires the Company to determine whether there are factors indicating a receivable may not be fully collectible and to estimate the amount of the receivable that may not be collected. The Company did not reserve any receivables as of December 31, 2016 or December 31, 2015.

Income Taxes—The Company's operations prior to the Spin-Off were historically included in Ensign's U.S. federal and state income tax returns and all income taxes for periods prior to the Spin-Off were paid by Ensign. Income tax expense and other income tax related information contained in these consolidated and combined financial statements are presented on a separate tax return basis as if the Company filed its own tax returns for all periods. Management believes that the assumptions and estimates used to determine these tax amounts are reasonable. However, the consolidated and combined financial statements herein may not necessarily reflect the Company's income tax expense or tax payments in the future, or what its tax amounts would have been if the Company had been a stand-alone company prior to the Spin-Off.

The Company has elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), beginning with its taxable year ended December 31, 2014. The Company believes it has been organized and has operated, and the Company intends to continue to operate, in a manner to qualify for taxation as a REIT under the Code. To qualify as a REIT, the Company must meet certain organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement to distribute to its stockholders at least 90% of the Company's annual REIT taxable income (which is computed without regard to the dividends paid deduction or net capital gain and which does not necessarily equal net income as calculated in accordance with GAAP). As a REIT, the Company generally will not be subject to federal income tax to the extent it distributes qualifying dividends to its stockholders. If the Company fails to qualify as a

REIT in any taxable year, it will be subject to federal income tax on its taxable income at regular corporate income tax rates and generally will not be permitted to qualify for treatment as a REIT for federal income tax purposes for the four taxable years following the year during which qualification is lost unless the Internal Revenue Service grants the Company relief under certain statutory provisions.

In connection with the Company's intention to qualify as a real estate investment trust in 2014, on October 17, 2014, the Company's board of directors declared a special dividend (the "Special Dividend") of \$132.0 million, or

F-11

Table of Contents

CARETRUST REIT, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

approximately \$5.88 per common share, which represents the amount of accumulated earnings and profits, or “E&P,” allocated to the Company as a result of the Spin-Off. The Special Dividend was intended to purge the Company of accumulated E&P attributable to the period prior to the Company’s first taxable year as a REIT. The Special Dividend was paid on December 10, 2014, to stockholders of record on October 31, 2014, in a combination of both cash and stock. The cash portion totaled \$33.0 million and the stock portion totaled \$99.0 million. The Company issued 8,974,249 shares of common stock in connection with the stock portion of the Special Dividend.

Derivatives and Hedging Activities—The Company evaluates variable and fixed interest rate risk exposure on a routine basis and to the extent the Company believes that it is appropriate, it will offset most of its variable rate risk exposure by entering into interest rate swap agreements. It is the Company’s policy to only utilize derivative instruments for hedging purposes (i.e., not for speculation). The Company formally designates its interest rate swap agreements as hedges and documents all relationships between hedging instruments and hedged items. The Company formally assesses effectiveness of its hedging relationships, both at the hedge inception and on an ongoing basis, then measures and records ineffectiveness. The Company would discontinue hedge accounting prospectively (i) if it is determined that the derivative is no longer effective in offsetting changes in the cash flows of a hedged item, (ii) when the derivative expires or is sold, terminated or exercised, (iii) if it is no longer probable that the forecasted transaction will occur, or (iv) if management determines that designation of the derivative as a hedge instrument is no longer appropriate.

Effective May 30, 2014, the Company de-designated its interest rate swap contract that historically qualified for cash flow hedge accounting. This was due to the termination of the interest rate swap agreement related to the early retirement of the senior secured credit facility in place prior to the Spin-Off. As a result, the loss previously recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss related to the interest rate swap was recognized in interest expense in the consolidated and combined statements of operations during the year ended December 31, 2014. There was no outstanding interest rate swap contract as of December 31, 2016.

Stock-Based Compensation—The Company accounts for share-based payment awards in accordance with ASC Topic 718, Compensation – Stock Compensation (“ASC 718”). ASC 718 requires that the cost resulting from all share-based payment transactions be recognized in the financial statements. ASC 718 requires all entities to apply a fair value-based measurement method in accounting for share-based payment transactions with directors, officers and employees except for equity instruments held by employee share ownership plans. Net income (loss) reflects stock-based compensation expense of \$1.5 million, \$1.5 million and \$0.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Concentration of Credit Risk—The Company is subject to concentrations of credit risk consisting primarily of operating leases on its owned properties. See Note 12, Concentration of Risk, for a discussion of major operator concentration.

Segment Disclosures —The FASB accounting guidance regarding disclosures about segments of an enterprise and related information establishes standards for the manner in which public business enterprises report information about operating segments. The Company has one reportable segment consisting of investments in healthcare-related real estate assets.

Earnings (Loss) Per Share—The Company calculates earnings (loss) per share (“EPS”) in accordance with ASC 260, Earnings Per Share. Basic EPS is computed by dividing net income applicable to common stock by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted EPS reflects the additional dilution for all potentially-dilutive securities. Basic and diluted EPS for the year ended December 31, 2014 was retroactively restated for the number of basic and diluted shares outstanding immediately following the Spin-Off.

Beds, Units, Occupancy and Other Measures—Beds, units, occupancy and other non-financial measures used to describe real estate investments included in these Notes to the Consolidated and Combined Financial Statements are presented on an unaudited basis.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards—In May 2014, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606) (“ASU No. 2014-09”). ASU No. 2014-09 requires an entity to recognize the revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that

reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods and services. ASU No. 2014-09 supersedes the revenue requirements in Revenue Recognition (Topic 605) and most industry-specific guidance throughout the Industry Topics of the Codification. ASU No. 2014-09 does not apply to lease contracts within the scope of Leases (Topic 840). In August 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-14, which deferred the effective date of its new revenue recognition standard by

F-12

Table of Contents

CARETRUST REIT, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

one year. The standard will be effective for annual reporting periods, and interim periods therein, beginning after December 15, 2017. The Company is currently assessing the impact of adopting ASU No. 2014-09 but does not believe it will have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements when adopted.

In January 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-01, Financial Instruments-Overall (Subtopic 825-10) ("ASU No. 2016-01"). ASU No. 2016-01 updates guidance related to recognition and measurement of financial assets and financial liabilities. ASU No. 2016-01 requires all equity investments to be measured at fair value with changes in the fair value recognized through net income (other than those accounted for under equity method of accounting or those that result in consolidation of the investee). The amendments in ASU No. 2016-01 also require an entity to present separately in other comprehensive income the portion of the total change in the fair value of a liability resulting from a change in the instrument-specific credit risk when the entity has elected to measure the liability at fair value in accordance with the fair value option for financial instruments. In addition, the amendments in ASU No. 2016-01 eliminate the requirement to disclose the methods and significant assumptions used to estimate the fair value that is required to be disclosed for financial instruments measured at amortized cost on the balance sheet for public business entities. ASU No. 2016-01 is effective for fiscal years and interim periods within those years beginning after December 15, 2017, with early adoption permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact this guidance will have on its consolidated financial statements when adopted.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-02, Leases (Topic 842) ("ASU 2016-02") that sets out the principles for the recognition, measurement, presentation, and disclosure of leases for both parties to a contract (i.e., lessees and lessors). ASU 2016-02 requires lessees to apply a dual approach, classifying leases as either finance or operating leases based on the principle of whether or not the lease is effectively a financed purchase of the leased asset by the lessee. This classification will determine whether the lease expense is recognized based on an effective interest method or on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease. A lessee is also required to record a right-of-use asset and a lease liability for all leases with a term of greater than 12 months regardless of their classification. Leases with a term of 12 months or less will be accounted for similar to existing guidance for operating leases today. ASU 2016-02 is expected to result in the recognition of a right-to-use asset and related liability to account for the Company's future obligations for which the it is lessee. As of December 31, 2016, the remaining contractual payments under the Company's lease agreements aggregated \$0.4 million. Additionally, ASU 2016-02 will require that lessees and lessors capitalize, as initial direct costs, only those costs that are incurred due to the execution of a lease. Under ASU 2016-02, allocated payroll costs and other costs that are incurred regardless of whether the lease is obtained will no longer be capitalized as initial direct costs and instead will be expensed as incurred. Lessors will continue to account for leases using an approach that is substantially equivalent to existing guidance for sales-type leases, direct financing leases, and operating leases. ASU 2016-02 is effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2018, with early adoption permitted. The standard permits the use of either the retrospective or modified retrospective transition method. The Company continues to assess the potential effect that the adoption of ASU 2016-02 will have on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-09, Compensation - Stock Compensation: Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting ("ASU No. 2016-09"), that simplifies several aspects of employee share-based payment accounting, including the accounting for forfeitures. ASU 2016-09 allows an entity to make an accounting policy election either to continue to estimate the total number of awards that are expected to vest (current method) or to account for forfeitures when they occur. This entity-wide accounting policy election only applies to service conditions; for performance conditions, the entity continues to assess the probability that such conditions will be achieved. If an entity elects to account for forfeitures when they occur, all nonforfeitable dividends paid on share-based payment awards are initially charged to retained earnings and reclassified to compensation cost only when forfeitures of the underlying awards occur. Under current guidance, nonforfeitable dividends paid on share-based

payment awards that are not expected to vest are recognized as additional compensation cost. An entity must also disclose its policy election for forfeitures. The ASU is effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, with early adoption permitted. If adopted, the ASU should be applied on a modified retrospective basis as a cumulative-effect adjustment to retained earnings as of the date of adoption. The Company does not expect the adoption of ASU No. 2016-09 will have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In June 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-13, Financial Instruments - Credit Losses (Topic 326) ("ASU 2016-13") that changes the impairment model for most financial instruments by requiring companies to recognize an allowance for expected losses, rather than incurred losses as required currently by the other-than-temporary impairment model. ASU 2016-13 will apply to most financial assets measured at amortized cost and certain other instruments, including trade and other receivables, loans, held-to-maturity debt securities, net investments in leases, and off-balance-sheet credit exposures (e.g., loan commitments). ASU 2016-13 is effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2019, with early adoption

F-13

Table of Contents

CARETRUST REIT, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

permitted, and will be applied as a cumulative adjustment to retained earnings as of the effective date. The Company is currently assessing the potential effect the adoption of ASU 2016-13 will have on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In August 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-15, Statement of Cash Flows - Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payments ("ASU 2016-15"), which provided guidance on certain specific cash flow issues, including, but not limited to, debt prepayment or extinguishment costs, contingent consideration payments made after a business combination and distributions received from equity method investees. ASU 2016-15 is effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2017, with early adoption permitted and shall be applied retrospectively where practicable. The Company is in the process of evaluating the impact the adoption will have on its consolidated financial statements.

In November 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-18, Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230) ("ASU 2016-18") that will require companies to include restricted cash and restricted cash equivalents with cash and cash equivalents when reconciling the beginning-of-period and end-of-period total amounts shown on the statement of cash flows. ASU 2016-18 will require a disclosure of a reconciliation between the statement of financial position and the statement of cash flows when the statement of financial position includes more than one line item for cash, cash equivalents, restricted cash, and restricted cash equivalents. Entities with material restricted cash and restricted cash equivalents balances will be required to disclose the nature of the restrictions. ASU 2016-18 is effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017, with early adoption permitted, and will be applied retrospectively to all periods presented. As of December 31, 2016 and 2015, the Company did not have any restricted cash. The Company is in the process of evaluating the impact the adoption will have on its consolidated financial statements.

In January 2017, the FASB issued ASU 2017-01, Business Combinations (Topic 805) ("ASU 2017-01") that clarifies the framework for determining whether an integrated set of assets and activities meets the definition of a business. The revised framework establishes a screen for determining whether an integrated set of assets and activities is a business and narrows the definition of a business, which is expected to result in fewer transactions being accounted for as business combinations. Acquisitions of integrated sets of assets and activities that do not meet the definition of a business are accounted for as asset acquisitions. This update is effective for fiscal years, and for interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2017, with early adoption permitted for transactions that have not been reported in previously issued (or available to be issued) financial statements and shall be applied on a prospective basis. The Company expects that acquisitions of real estate or in-substance real estate will not meet the revised definition of a business because substantially all of the fair value is concentrated in a single identifiable asset or group of similar identifiable assets (i.e. land, buildings, and related intangible assets) or because the acquisition does not include a substantive process in the form of an acquired workforce or an acquired contract that cannot be replaced without significant cost, effort or delay.

3. REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS, NET

The following tables summarize the Company's investment in owned properties at December 31, 2016, and December 31, 2015 (dollars in thousands):

	December 31, 2016	December 31, 2015
Land	\$110,648	\$91,311
Buildings and improvements	875,567	627,453
Integral equipment, furniture and fixtures	64,120	54,388

Edgar Filing: CareTrust REIT, Inc. - Form 10-K

Identified intangible assets	1,914	—
Real estate investments	1,052,249	773,152
Accumulated depreciation	(158,331)	(127,538)
Real estate investments, net	\$893,918	\$ 645,614

As of December 31, 2016, 93 of the Company's 154 facilities were leased to subsidiaries of Ensign under the Ensign Master Leases which commenced on June 1, 2014. The obligations under the Ensign Master Leases are guaranteed by Ensign. A default by any subsidiary of Ensign with regard to any facility leased pursuant to an Ensign Master Lease will result in a default under all of the Ensign Master Leases. As of December 31, 2016, annualized revenues from the Ensign Master Leases were \$56.5 million and are escalated annually by an amount equal to the product of (1) the lesser of the percentage

F-14

Table of Contents

CARETRUST REIT, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

change in the Consumer Price Index (“CPI”) (but not less than zero) or 2.5%, and (2) the prior year’s rent. In addition to rent, the subsidiaries of Ensign that are tenants under the Ensign Master Leases are solely responsible for the costs related to the leased properties (including property taxes, insurance, and maintenance and repair costs).

As of December 31, 2016, 16 of the Company’s 154 facilities were leased to affiliates of Pristine Senior Living, LLC (“Pristine”) under a long-term, triple-net master lease (the “Pristine Master Lease”), which commenced on October 1, 2015. As of December 31, 2016, the annualized revenues from the Pristine Master Lease were \$18.6 million and are escalated annually by an amount equal to the product of (1) the lesser of the percentage change in the CPI (but not less than zero) or 3.0%, and (2) the prior year’s rent. The Pristine Master Lease is guaranteed by Pristine and its sole principal.

As of December 31, 2016, 42 of the Company's 154 facilities were leased to various other operators under triple-net leases. All of these leases contain annual escalators based on CPI some of which are subject to a cap, or fixed rent escalators.

The Company's three remaining properties as of December 31, 2016 are the independent living facilities that the Company owns and operates.

The Company has only two identified intangible assets which relate to a below-market ground lease and three acquired operating leases. The ground lease has a remaining term of 81 years.

As of December 31, 2016, total future minimum rental revenues for the Company's tenants were (dollars in thousands):

Year	Amount
2017	\$106,628
2018	106,808
2019	104,903
2020	103,577
2021	103,577
Thereafter	889,367
	\$1,414,860

Recent Real Estate Acquisitions

The following recent real estate acquisitions were accounted for as asset acquisitions:

New Haven Assisted Living of San Angelo, LLC

In February 2016, the Company acquired New Haven of San Angelo, a 30-unit assisted living and memory care facility located in San Angelo, Texas, for \$4.9 million, which includes capitalized acquisition costs. In connection with the acquisition, the Company entered into a triple-net master lease with New Haven Assisted Living of San Angelo, LLC. The lease carries an initial term of 12.5 years with two five-year renewal options and CPI-based rent escalators. Annual cash rent is \$0.4 million under the lease.

Trillium Healthcare Group, LLC

In February 2016, the Company acquired a nine facility 518-bed skilled nursing portfolio in Iowa for \$32.7 million, which includes capitalized acquisition costs. In connection with the acquisition, the Company amended its existing triple-net master lease with certain wholly owned subsidiaries of Trillium Healthcare Group, LLC. The amended lease carries a remaining term of 15 years with two five-year renewal options and CPI-based rent escalators. The base rent under the amended master lease increased by \$3.2 million per year following this acquisition. Additionally, in March 2016, the Company acquired Cedar Falls Health Care Center, an 82-bed skilled nursing facility located in Cedar Falls, Iowa, for \$5.0 million, which includes capitalized acquisition costs. In connection with the acquisition, the Company further amended the triple-net master lease. Annual cash rent increases by \$0.5 million under the amended lease.

Table of Contents

CARETRUST REIT, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Priority Life Care, LLC

In March 2016, the Company acquired three assisted living facilities consisting of 336 units located in Baltimore, Maryland, Fort Wayne, Indiana and West Allis, Wisconsin, for \$21.2 million, which includes capitalized acquisition costs. In connection with the acquisition, the Company entered into a triple-net master lease with certain wholly owned subsidiaries of Priority Life Care, LLC. The lease carries an initial term of 15 years with two five-year renewal options and CPI-based rent escalators. Annual cash rent is \$1.8 million under the lease.

Better Senior Living Consulting, LLC

In March 2016, the Company acquired Lamplight Inn of Fort Myers, a 74-unit assisted living facility located in Fort Myers, Florida, for \$5.7 million, which includes capitalized acquisition costs. In connection with the acquisition, the Company amended its triple-net master lease with certain wholly owned subsidiaries of Better Senior Living Consulting, LLC. The amended lease carries a remaining term of 14 years with two five-year renewal options and CPI-based rent escalators. Annual cash rent increases by \$0.5 million under the amended lease.

Pristine Senior Living, LLC

In April 2016, the Company acquired Victory Park Nursing Home, a 55-bed skilled nursing facility, and Victoria Retirement Community, a skilled nursing and assisted living campus with 90 skilled nursing beds and 69 assisted living beds, both located in Cincinnati, Ohio, for \$14.7 million, which includes capitalized acquisition costs. In connection with the acquisition, the Company amended the Pristine Master Lease. Annual cash rent increases by \$1.4 million under the amended lease.

Cascadia Healthcare, LLC

In May 2016, the Company acquired Shaw Mountain at Cascadia, a 98-bed skilled nursing facility located in Boise, Idaho, for \$8.9 million, which includes capitalized acquisition costs. In connection with the acquisition, the Company entered into a triple-net master lease with a wholly owned subsidiary of Cascadia Healthcare, LLC. The lease carries an initial term of 15 years with three five-year renewal options and CPI-based rent escalators. Annual cash rent is \$0.9 million under the lease.

Twenty/20 Management, Inc.

In May 2016, the Company acquired English Meadows Elks' Home, a 175-unit independent and assisted living campus located in Bedford, Virginia, for \$10.1 million which includes capitalized acquisition costs. In connection with the acquisition, the Company amended its triple-net master lease with an affiliate of Twenty/20 Management, Inc. Annual cash rent increases by \$0.9 million under the amended lease.

Premier Senior Living, LLC

In May 2016, the Company acquired Croatan Village, a 46-unit assisted living and memory care facility located in New Bern, North Carolina, and Countryside Village, a 21-unit memory care facility located in Pikeville, North Carolina, for \$11.8 million, which includes capitalized acquisition costs. In connection with the acquisition, the Company entered into a triple-net master lease with certain wholly owned subsidiaries of Premier Senior Living, LLC. The lease carries an initial term of 15 years with two five-year renewal options and CPI-based rent escalators. The Company anticipates initial annual lease revenues of \$1.0 million following this acquisition. Additionally, in June 2016, the Company acquired a four facility 188-bed assisted living and memory care portfolio located in Michigan for \$30.7 million, which includes capitalized acquisition costs. In connection with the acquisition, the Company amended the master lease. Annual cash rent increases by \$2.5 million under the amended lease.

West Harbor Healthcare, LLC

Table of Contents

CARETRUST REIT, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

In August 2016, the Company acquired The Oaks at Petaluma, a 59-bed skilled nursing facility located in Petaluma, California, for \$6.8 million, which includes capitalized acquisition costs. In connection with the acquisition, the Company entered into a triple-net master lease with an affiliate of West Harbor Healthcare, LLC. The lease carries an initial term of 15 years with two five-year renewal options and CPI-based rent escalators. Annual cash rent is \$0.7 million under the lease.

Priority Management Group, LLC

In December 2016, the Company acquired three skilled nursing facilities and one skilled nursing campus in the greater Dallas-Fort Worth area for \$95.9 million, which includes capitalized acquisition costs. In connection with the acquisition, the Company entered into a triple-net master lease with affiliates of Priority Management Group, LLC. The lease carries an initial term of 15 years with two five-year renewal options and CPI-based rent escalators. Annual cash rent is \$8.6 million under the lease.

The following recent real estate acquisitions were accounted for as business combinations:

Covenant Care, LLC

In August 2016, the Company acquired one skilled nursing, one skilled nursing campus and one assisted living property located in California, for \$34.3 million. For the three separate transactions, approximately \$0.2 million of transaction costs were expensed. The three properties are triple-net leased to affiliates of Covenant Care, LLC under three leases. Contractual annual cash revenues are \$3.1 million following these acquisitions. The leases expire in 2019 and have two five-year renewal options, and have CPI-based rent escalators (with a 3% floor).

4. OTHER REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS

In December 2014, the Company completed a \$7.5 million preferred equity investment with Signature Senior Living, LLC and Milestone Retirement Communities. The preferred equity investment yields 12.0% calculated on a quarterly basis on the outstanding carrying value of the investment. The investment is being used to develop Signature Senior Living at Arvada, a planned 134-unit upscale assisted living and memory care community in Arvada, Colorado constructed on a five-acre site. In connection with its investment, CareTrust REIT obtained an option to purchase the Arvada development at a fixed-formula price upon stabilization, with an initial lease yield of at least 8.0%. The project was completed in the second quarter of 2016 and began lease-up in the third quarter of 2016.

In July 2016, the Company completed a \$2.2 million preferred equity investment with an affiliate of Cascadia Development, LLC. The preferred equity investment yields a return equal to at least 12% calculated on a quarterly basis on the outstanding carrying value of the investment. The investment will be used to develop a 99-bed skilled nursing facility in Nampa, Idaho. In connection with its investment, CareTrust REIT obtained an option to purchase the development at a fixed-formula price upon stabilization, with an initial lease yield of at least 9.0%. The project is expected to be completed by October 2017.

In September 2016, the Company completed a \$2.3 million preferred equity investment with an affiliate of Cascadia Development, LLC. The preferred equity investment yields a return equal to at least 12% calculated on a quarterly basis on the outstanding carrying value of the investment. The investment will be used to develop a 99-bed skilled nursing facility in Boise, Idaho. In connection with its investment, CareTrust REIT obtained an option to purchase the development at a fixed-formula price upon stabilization, with an initial lease yield of at least 9.0%. The project is expected to be completed by early 2018.

During the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, the Company recognized \$0.7 million, \$0.9 million and \$32,000 of interest income and these unpaid amounts were added to the outstanding carrying values of these preferred equity investments.

5. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Under GAAP, the Company is required to measure certain financial instruments at fair value on a recurring basis. In addition, the Company is required to measure other financial instruments and balances at fair value on a non-recurring basis

F-17

Table of Contents

CARETRUST REIT, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(e.g., impairment of long-lived assets). Fair value is defined as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. The GAAP fair value framework uses a 3-tiered approach. Fair value measurements are classified and disclosed in one of the following three categories:

Level 1: unadjusted quoted prices in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for identical assets or liabilities;

Level 2: quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active, and model-derived valuations in which significant inputs and significant value drivers are observable in active markets; and

Level 3: prices or valuation techniques where little or no market data is available that requires inputs that are both significant to the fair value measurement and unobservable.

Financial Instruments: Considerable judgment is necessary to estimate the fair value of financial instruments. The estimates of fair value presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the amounts that could be realized upon disposition of the financial instruments. A summary of the face values, carrying amounts and fair values of the Company's financial instruments as of December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015 using Level 2 inputs, for the senior unsecured notes payable, and Level 3 inputs, for all other financial instruments, is as follows (dollars in thousands):

	December 31, 2016			December 31, 2015		
	Face Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Face Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
Financial assets:						
Preferred equity investments	\$12,031	\$13,872	\$14,289	\$7,500	\$8,477	\$8,477
Financial liabilities:						
Senior unsecured notes payable	\$260,000	\$255,294	\$265,850	\$260,000	\$254,229	\$263,575
Mortgage notes payable	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$95,022	\$94,676	\$97,067

Cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, and accounts payable and accrued liabilities: These balances approximate their fair values due to the short-term nature of these instruments.

Preferred equity investments: The carrying amounts were accounted for at the unpaid principal balance, plus accrued return, net of reserves, assuming a hypothetical liquidation of the book values of the joint ventures. The fair values of the preferred equity investments were estimated using an internal valuation model that considered the expected future cash flows of the investment, the underlying collateral value and other credit enhancements.

Senior unsecured notes payable: The fair value of the senior unsecured notes payable was determined using third-party quotes derived from orderly trades.

Unsecured revolving credit facility and senior unsecured term loan: The fair values approximate their carrying values as the interest rates are variable and approximate prevailing market interest rates for similar debt arrangements.

Mortgage notes payable: The fair value of the Company's notes payable was estimated using a discounted cash flow analysis based on management's estimates of current market interest rates for instruments with similar characteristics, including remaining loan term, loan-to-value ratio, type of collateral and other credit enhancements. Additionally, when determining the fair value of liabilities in circumstances in which a quoted price in an active market for an identical liability is not available, the Company measures fair value using (i) a valuation technique that uses the quoted price of the identical liability when traded as an asset or quoted prices for similar liabilities when traded as assets or (ii) another valuation technique that is consistent with the principles of fair value measurement, such as the income approach or the market approach. The Company classifies these inputs as Level 3 inputs.

6. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Allocation of corporate expenses—For the year ended December 31, 2014, the consolidated and combined statements of operations of the Company include Ensign revenues and expenses that are specifically identifiable or otherwise

attributable to the Company. The specific identification methodology was utilized for all of the items on the statements of

F-18

Table of Contents

CARETRUST REIT, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

operations excluding general corporate expenses. For the periods prior to the Spin-Off, Ensign Properties' operations were fully integrated with Ensign, including executive management, finance, treasury, corporate income tax, human resources, legal services and other shared services. These costs were allocated to the Company on a systematic basis utilizing a direct usage basis when identifiable, with the remainder allocated on time study, or percentage of the total revenues. The primary allocation method was a time study based on time devoted to Ensign Properties' activities. Allocated expenses for these general and administrative services of \$7.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 are reflected in general and administrative expense, in addition to direct expenses which are included in total expenses. There was no allocation for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015. The Company's financial statements may not be indicative of future performance and do not necessarily reflect what the results of operations, financial position and cash flows would have been had the Company operated as an independent, publicly-traded company during the year ended December 31, 2014.

Rental income from Ensign—The Company derives a majority of its rental income through operating lease agreements with Ensign. Ensign is a holding company with no direct operating assets, employees or revenue. All of Ensign's operations are conducted by separate independent subsidiaries, each of which has its own management, employees and assets. See Note 12, Concentration of Risk, for a discussion of major operator concentration.

Christopher R. Christensen, one of the Company's directors from June 1, 2014 through April 15, 2015, serves as the chief executive officer of Ensign as well as a member of Ensign's board of directors. As such, all rental income and tenant reimbursements earned related to the Ensign Master Leases during Mr. Christensen's tenure on the Board of Directors of the Company were considered related party in nature. For the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, the Company recognized \$16.3 million and \$32.7 million in rental income, respectively, from Ensign while Mr. Christensen sat on the Board of Directors of the Company as well as \$1.4 million and \$2.8 million of tenant reimbursements, respectively. After April 15, 2015, the effective date of Mr. Christensen's resignation from the Company's board of directors, rental income and tenant reimbursements related to the Ensign Master Leases, and any related accounts receivable, are not considered earned or due from a related party.

Centralized cash management system—Prior to the Spin-Off, the Company participated in Ensign's centralized cash management system. In conjunction therewith, the intercompany transactions between the Company and Ensign had been considered to be effectively settled in cash in these financial statements. The net effect of the settlement of these intercompany transactions, in addition to cash transfers to and from Ensign, are reflected in "Net contribution from Ensign" on the consolidated and combined statements of cash flows. The "Net contribution from Ensign" was \$4.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2014.

7. DEBT

The following table summarizes the balance of the Company's indebtedness as of December 31, 2016 and 2015 (in thousands):

	December 31, 2016			December 31, 2015		
	Principal Amount	Deferred Loan Fees	Carrying Value	Principal Amount	Deferred Loan Fees	Carrying Value
Senior unsecured notes payable	\$260,000	\$(4,706)	\$255,294	\$260,000	\$(5,771)	\$254,229
Senior unsecured term loan	100,000	(578)	99,422	—	—	—
Unsecured revolving credit facility	95,000	—	95,000	45,000	—	45,000
Mortgage notes payable	—	—	—	95,022	(346)	94,676
	\$455,000	\$(5,284)	\$449,716	\$400,022	\$(6,117)	\$393,905

Senior Unsecured Notes Payable

On May 30, 2014, the Company's wholly owned subsidiary, CTR Partnership, L.P. (the "Operating Partnership"), and its wholly owned subsidiary, CareTrust Capital Corp. (together with the Operating Partnership, the "Issuers"), completed a private offering of \$260.0 million aggregate principal amount of 5.875% Senior Notes due 2021 (the "Notes"). The

Notes were issued at par, resulting in gross proceeds of \$260.0 million and net proceeds of approximately \$253.0 million after deducting underwriting fees and other offering expenses. The Company's transferred approximately \$220.8 million of the net proceeds of

F-19

Table of Contents

CARETRUST REIT, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

the offering of the Notes to Ensign, and used the remaining portion of the net proceeds of the offering to pay the cash portion of the Special Dividend. The Notes mature on June 1, 2021 and bear interest at a rate of 5.875% per year. Interest on the Notes is payable on June 1 and December 1 of each year, beginning on December 1, 2014. The Issuers subsequently exchanged the Notes for substantially identical notes registered under the Securities Act of 1933. The Issuers may redeem the Notes any time prior to June 1, 2017 at a redemption price of 100% of the principal amount of the Notes redeemed plus accrued and unpaid interest on the Notes, if any, to, but not including, the redemption date, plus a “make whole” premium described in the indenture governing the Notes (the “Indenture”) and, at any time on or after June 1, 2017, at the redemption prices set forth in the Indenture. In addition, at any time on or prior to June 1, 2017, up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the Notes may be redeemed with the net proceeds of certain equity offerings if at least 65% of the originally issued aggregate principal amount of the Notes remains outstanding. If certain changes of control of the Company occur, holders of the Notes will have the right to require the Issuers to repurchase their Notes at 101% of the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to, but not including, the repurchase date.

The obligations under the Notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed, jointly and severally, on an unsecured basis, by the Company and certain of the Company’s wholly owned existing and, subject to certain exceptions, future material subsidiaries (other than the Issuers); provided, however, that such guarantees are subject to automatic release under certain customary circumstances, including if the subsidiary guarantor is sold or sells all or substantially all of its assets, the subsidiary guarantor is designated “unrestricted” for covenant purposes under the Indenture, the subsidiary guarantor’s guarantee of other indebtedness which resulted in the creation of the guarantee of the Notes is terminated or released, or the requirements for legal defeasance or covenant defeasance or to discharge the Indenture have been satisfied. See Note 13, Summarized Condensed Consolidating and Combining Information.

The Indenture contains covenants limiting the ability of the Company and its restricted subsidiaries to: incur or guarantee additional indebtedness; incur or guarantee secured indebtedness; pay dividends or distributions on, or redeem or repurchase, capital stock; make certain investments or other restricted payments; sell assets; enter into transactions with affiliates; merge or consolidate or sell all or substantially all of their assets; and create restrictions on the ability of the Issuers and their restricted subsidiaries to pay dividends or other amounts to the Issuers. The Indenture also requires the Company and its restricted subsidiaries to maintain a specified ratio of unencumbered assets to unsecured indebtedness. These covenants are subject to a number of important and significant limitations, qualifications and exceptions. The indenture also contains customary events of default.

As of December 31, 2016, the Company was in compliance with all applicable financial covenants under the indenture.

Unsecured Revolving Credit Facility and Term Loan

On August 5, 2015, the Company, CareTrust GP, LLC, the Operating Partnership, as the borrower, and certain of its wholly owned subsidiaries entered into a credit and guaranty agreement with KeyBank National Association, as administrative agent, an issuing bank and swingline lender, and the lenders party thereto (the “Credit Agreement”). The Credit Agreement initially provided for an unsecured asset-based revolving credit facility (the “Revolving Facility”) with commitments in an aggregate principal amount of \$300.0 million from a syndicate of banks and other financial institutions. A portion of the proceeds of the Credit Agreement were used to pay off and terminate the Company’s existing secured asset-based revolving credit facility under a credit agreement dated May 30, 2014, with SunTrust Bank, as administrative agent, and the lenders party thereto (the “SunTrust Refinancing”). On February 1, 2016, the Company entered into the First Amendment (the “Amendment”) to the Credit Agreement. Pursuant to the Amendment, (i) commitments in respect of the Credit Agreement were increased by \$100.0 million to \$400.0 million, (ii) a new \$100.0 million non-amortizing unsecured term loan (the “Term Loan” and together with the Revolving Facility, the “Credit Facility”) was funded, and (iii) the uncommitted incremental facility was increased by \$50.0 million to \$250.0 million. The Revolving Facility continues to mature on August 5, 2019, subject to two, six-month extension options. The Term Loan, which matures on February 1, 2023, may be prepaid at any time subject to a 2% premium in the first

year after issuance and a 1% premium in the second year after issuance. Approximately \$95.0 million of the proceeds of the Term Loan were used to pay off and terminate the Company's existing secured mortgage indebtedness under the Fifth Amended and Restated Loan Agreement, dated May 30, 2014 (the "GECC Loan"), with General Electric Capital Corporation, as agent and lender, and the other lenders party thereto (the "Refinancing"). The Company expects to use borrowings under the Revolving Facility for working capital purposes, to fund acquisitions and for general corporate purposes.

As of December 31, 2016, there was \$95.0 million outstanding under the Revolving Facility.

F-20

Table of Contents

CARETRUST REIT, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The interest rates applicable to loans under the Revolving Facility are, at the Company's option, equal to either a base rate plus a margin ranging from 0.75% to 1.40% per annum or applicable LIBOR plus a margin ranging from 1.75% to 2.40% per annum based on the debt to asset value ratio of the Company and its subsidiaries (subject to decrease at the Company's election if the Company obtains certain specified investment grade ratings on its senior unsecured notes payable). In addition, the Company pays a commitment fee on the unused portion of the commitments under the Revolving Facility of 0.15% or 0.25% per annum, based upon usage of the Revolving Facility (unless the Company obtains certain specified investment grade ratings on its senior unsecured notes payable and elects to decrease the applicable margin as described above, in which case the Company will pay a facility fee on the revolving commitments ranging from 0.125% to 0.30% per annum based upon the credit ratings of its senior unsecured notes payable).

The interest rates applicable to the Term Loan are, at the Company's option, equal to either a base rate plus a margin ranging from 0.95% to 1.60% per annum or applicable LIBOR plus a margin ranging from 1.95% to 2.60% per annum based on the debt to asset value ratio of the Company and its subsidiaries (subject to decrease at the Company's election if the Company obtains certain specified investment grade ratings on its senior long term unsecured debt).

The Revolving Facility and Term Loan are guaranteed, jointly and severally, by the Company and its wholly owned subsidiaries that are party to the Credit Agreement (other than the Operating Partnership). The Credit Agreement contains customary covenants that, among other things, restrict, subject to certain exceptions, the ability of the Company and its subsidiaries to grant liens on their assets, incur indebtedness, sell assets, make investments, engage in acquisitions, mergers or consolidations, amend certain material agreements and pay certain dividends and other restricted payments. The Credit Agreement requires the Company to comply with financial maintenance covenants to be tested quarterly, consisting of a maximum debt to asset value ratio, a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio, a minimum tangible net worth, a maximum cash distributions to operating income ratio, a maximum secured debt to asset value ratio and a maximum secured recourse debt to asset value ratio. The Credit Agreement also contains certain customary events of default, including that the Company is required to operate in conformity with the requirements for qualification and taxation as a REIT.

As of December 31, 2016, the Company was in compliance with all applicable financial covenants under the Credit Agreement.

Senior Secured Revolving Credit Facility

On May 30, 2014, the Operating Partnership entered into a credit and guaranty agreement (the "Secured Credit Agreement"), which governed the Company's senior secured revolving credit facility (the "Secured Credit Facility") with several banks and other financial institutions and lenders (the "Lenders") and SunTrust Bank, in its capacity as administrative agent for the Lenders, as an issuing bank and swingline lender. The Secured Credit Agreement provided for a borrowing capacity of \$150.0 million and included an accordion feature that allowed the Operating Partnership to increase the borrowing availability by up to an additional \$75.0 million, subject to terms and conditions. The Secured Credit Facility was secured by mortgages on certain of the real properties owned by the Company's subsidiaries and the amount available to be borrowed under the Secured Credit Agreement was based on a borrowing base calculation relating to the mortgaged properties, determined according to, among other factors, the mortgageability cash flow as such term is defined in the Secured Credit Agreement. The Secured Credit Facility was also secured by certain personal property of the Company's subsidiaries that have provided mortgages, the Company's interests in the Operating Partnership and the Company's and its subsidiaries' equity interests in the Company's subsidiaries that have guaranteed the Operating Partnership's obligations under the Secured Credit Agreement. The Secured Credit Agreement was paid off and terminated as a part of the SunTrust Refinancing.

GECC Loan

Ten of the Company's properties were subject to secured mortgage indebtedness which it assumed in connection with the Spin-Off. On February 1, 2016, the GECC Loan was paid off in conjunction with the Refinancing.

Interest Expense

During the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, the Company incurred \$23.2 million, \$25.3 million and \$21.6 million of interest expense, respectively. Included in interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2016 was \$2.2 million of amortization of deferred financing fees and a \$0.3 million write-off of deferred financing fees associated with the Refinancing. Included in interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2015 was \$2.2 million of amortization of deferred financing fees and a \$1.2 million write-off of deferred financing fees associated with the SunTrust Refinancing. Included in

F-21

Table of Contents

CARETRUST REIT, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2014 was \$1.6 million of amortization of deferred financing fees, \$0.1 million of amortization of debt discount and a \$1.7 million loss on settlement of interest rate swap. As of December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the Company's interest payable was \$1.3 million and \$1.9 million, respectively.

Schedule of Debt Maturities

As of December 31, 2016, the Company's debt maturities were (dollars in thousands):

Year	Amount
2017	\$—
2018	—
2019	95,000
2020	—
2021	260,000
Thereafter	100,000
	\$455,000

8. EQUITY

Common Stock

Offerings of Common Stock - On August 18, 2015, the Company completed an underwritten public offering of 16.33 million newly issued shares of its common stock pursuant to an effective registration statement. The Company received net proceeds of \$163.0 million from the offering, after giving effect to the issuance and sale of all 16.33 million shares of common stock (which included 2.13 million shares sold to the underwriters upon exercise of their option to purchase additional shares), at a price to the public of \$10.50 per share.

On March 28, 2016, the Company completed an underwritten public offering of 9.78 million newly issued shares of its common stock pursuant to an effective registration statement. The Company received net proceeds of \$105.8 million from the offering, after giving effect to the issuance and sale of all 9.78 million shares of common stock (which included 1.28 million shares sold to the underwriters upon exercise of their option to purchase additional shares), at a price to the public of \$11.35 per share.

On November 18, 2016, the Company completed an underwritten public offering of 6.33 million newly issued shares of its common stock pursuant to an effective registration statement. The Company received net proceeds of \$80.9 million from the offering, after giving effect to the issuance and sale of all 6.33 million shares of common stock (which included 0.83 million shares sold to the underwriters upon exercise of their option to purchase additional shares), at a price to the public of \$13.35 per share.

At-The-Market Offering of Common Stock - During 2016, the Company entered into an equity distribution agreement to issue and sell, from time to time, up to \$125.0 million in aggregate offering price of its common stock through an "at-the-market" equity offering program (the "ATM Program"). For the year ended December 31, 2016, the Company sold 0.9 million shares of common stock at an average price of \$15.31 per share for \$14.1 million in gross proceeds. As of December 31, 2016, the Company had \$111.1 million remaining availability under the ATM program.

Special Dividend - In connection with the Company's intention to qualify as a real estate investment trust in 2014, on October 17, 2014, the Company's board of directors declared the Special Dividend of \$132.0 million, or approximately \$5.88 per common share, which represents the amount of accumulated E&P allocated to the Company as a result of the Spin-Off. The Special Dividend was paid on December 10, 2014, to stockholders of record as of October 31, 2014, in a combination of both cash and stock. The cash portion totaled \$33.0 million and the stock portion totaled \$99.0 million. The Company issued 8,974,249 shares of common stock in connection with the stock portion of the Special

Dividend.

Dividends on Common Stock — During the fourth quarter of 2014, the board of directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.125 per share of common stock, payable on January 15, 2015 to common stockholders of record as of December 31, 2014.

During the first quarter of 2015, the board of directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.16 per share of common stock, payable on April 15, 2015 to common stockholders of record as of March 31, 2015. During the second quarter

F-22

Table of Contents

CARETRUST REIT, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

of 2015, the board of directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.16 per share of common stock, payable on July 15, 2015 to common stockholders of record as of June 30, 2015. During the third quarter of 2015, the board of directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.16 per share of common stock, payable on October 15, 2015 to common stockholders of record as of September 30, 2015. During the fourth quarter of 2015, the board of directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.16 per share of common stock, payable on January 15, 2016 to common stockholders of record as of December 31, 2015.

During the first quarter of 2016, the board of directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.17 per share of common stock, payable on April 15, 2016 to common stockholders of record as of March 31, 2016. During the second quarter of 2016, the board of directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.17 per share of common stock, payable on July 15, 2016 to common stockholders of record as of June 30, 2016. During the third quarter of 2016, the board of directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.17 per share of common stock, payable on October 14, 2016 to common stockholders of record as of September 30, 2016. During the fourth quarter of 2016, the board of directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.17 per share of common stock, payable on January 13, 2017 to common stockholders of record as of December 31, 2016.

9. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

All stock-based awards are subject to the terms of the CareTrust REIT, Inc. and CTR Partnership, L.P. Incentive Award Plan (the "Plan"). The Plan provides for the granting of stock-based compensation, including stock options, restricted stock, performance awards, restricted stock units and other incentive awards to officers, employees and directors in connection with their employment with or services provided to the Company.

The following table summarizes restricted stock award activity for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015:

	Shares	Weighted Average Share Price
Unvested balance at December 31, 2014	155,040	\$ 12.23
Granted	272,300	12.70
Vested	(32,643)	12.23
Unvested balance at December 31, 2015	394,697	12.56
Granted	20,770	13.13
Vested	(121,899)	12.60
Forfeited	(7,500)	10.87
Unvested balance at December 31, 2016	286,068	\$ 12.63

The Company recognized \$1.5 million, \$1.5 million and \$0.2 million of compensation expense associated with all grants for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. As of December 31, 2016, there was \$2.4 million of unamortized stock-based compensation expense related to these unvested awards and the weighted-average remaining vesting period of such awards was 2.3 years.

In connection with the Spin-Off, employees of Ensign who had unvested shares of restricted stock were given one share of CareTrust REIT unvested restricted stock totaling 207,580 shares at the Spin-Off. These restricted shares are subject to a time vesting provision only and the Company does not recognize any stock compensation expense associated with these awards. During the year ended December 31, 2016, 46,650 shares vested or were forfeited. At December 31, 2016, there were 42,180 unvested restricted stock awards outstanding.

In May 2016, the Compensation Committee of the Company's board of directors granted 20,770 shares of restricted stock to members of the board of directors. Each share had a fair market value on the date of grant of \$13.13 per share, based on the market price of the Company's common stock on that date, with the shares vesting over one year.

10. EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE

The following table presents the calculation of basic and diluted EPS for the Company's common stock for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, and reconciles the weighted-average common shares outstanding used in the calculation of basic EPS to the weighted-average common shares outstanding used in the calculation of diluted EPS for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014 (amounts in thousands, except per share amounts):

F-23

Table of Contents

CARETRUST REIT, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Numerator:			
Net income (loss)	\$29,353	\$10,034	\$(8,143)
Less: Net income allocated to participating securities	(260)	(286)	—
Numerator for basic and diluted earnings (loss) available to common stockholders	\$29,093	\$9,748	\$(8,143)
Denominator:			
Weighted-average basic common shares outstanding	56,030	37,380	22,788
Weighted-average diluted common shares outstanding	56,030	37,380	22,788
Earnings (loss) per common share, basic	\$0.52	\$0.26	\$(0.36)
Earnings (loss) per common share, diluted	\$0.52	\$0.26	\$(0.36)

The Company's unvested restricted shares associated with its incentive award plan and unvested restricted shares issued to employees of Ensign at the Spin-Off have been excluded from the above calculation of earnings (loss) per share for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, as their inclusion would have been anti-dilutive.

11. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

U.S. Government Settlement—In October 2013, Ensign completed and executed a settlement agreement (the “Settlement Agreement”) with the U.S. Department of Justice (“DOJ”). This settlement agreement fully and finally resolved a DOJ investigation of Ensign related primarily to claims submitted to the Medicare program for rehabilitation services provided at skilled nursing facilities in California and certain ancillary claims. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, Ensign made a single lump-sum remittance to the government in the amount of \$48.0 million in October 2013. Ensign denied engaging in any illegal conduct and agreed to the settlement amount without any admission of wrongdoing in order to resolve the allegations and avoid the uncertainty and expense of protracted litigation.

In connection with the settlement and effective as of October 1, 2013, Ensign entered into a five-year corporate integrity agreement with the Office of Inspector General-HHS (the “CIA”). The CIA acknowledges the existence of Ensign's current compliance program, and requires that Ensign continue during the term of the CIA to maintain a compliance program designed to promote compliance with the statutes, regulations, and written directives of Medicare, Medicaid, and all other Federal health care programs. Ensign is also required to maintain several elements of its existing program during the term of the CIA, including maintaining a compliance officer, a compliance committee of the board of directors, and a code of conduct. The CIA requires that Ensign conduct certain additional compliance-related activities during the term of the CIA, including various training and monitoring procedures, and maintaining a disciplinary process for compliance obligations.

Participation in federal healthcare programs by Ensign is not affected by the Settlement Agreement or the CIA. In the event of an uncured material breach of the CIA, Ensign could be excluded from participation in federal healthcare programs and/or subject to prosecution. The Company is subject to certain continuing operational obligations as part of Ensign's compliance program pursuant to the CIA, but otherwise has no liability related to the DOJ investigation.

Legal Matters—The Company and its subsidiaries are and may become from time to time a party to various claims and lawsuits arising in the ordinary course of business, which are not individually or in the aggregate anticipated to have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations, financial condition or cash flows. Claims and lawsuits may include matters involving general or professional liability asserted against our tenants, which are the responsibility of our tenants and for which the Company is entitled to be indemnified by its tenants under the insurance and indemnification provisions in the applicable leases.

12. CONCENTRATION OF RISK

Major operator concentration – The Company has one major tenant, Ensign, from which the Company derived the majority of its overall revenue during the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014. As of December 31, 2016, Ensign leased 93 skilled nursing, assisted living and independent living facilities which had a total of 9,916 beds and units and are located in Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, Iowa, Nebraska, Nevada, Texas, Utah and Washington. The four states in which Ensign leases the highest concentration of properties are California, Texas, Utah and Arizona. Additionally, on October 1,

F-24

Table of Contents

CARETRUST REIT, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

2015, the Company acquired the Liberty Healthcare Portfolio, a 14 facility skilled nursing and assisted living portfolio in Ohio, for \$176.5 million inclusive of transaction costs. The Company has now leased 16 facilities to subsidiaries of Pristine pursuant to the Pristine Master Lease entered into effective as of October 1, 2015, which has an initial term of 15 years, two five year renewal options and no purchase options. As of December 31, 2016, the annualized revenues from the Pristine Master Lease are \$18.6 million and are escalated annually by an amount equal to the product of (1) the lesser of the percentage change in the Consumer Price Index (but not less than zero) or 3.0%, and (2) the prior year's rent. The Pristine Master Lease is guaranteed by Pristine and its sole principal. Ensign's financial statements can be found at Ensign's website <http://www.ensigngroup.net>.

13. SUMMARIZED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING AND COMBINING INFORMATION

The 5.875% Senior Notes due 2021 issued by the Issuers on May 30, 2014 are jointly and severally, fully and unconditionally, guaranteed by CareTrust REIT, Inc., as the parent guarantor (the "Parent Guarantor"), and certain 100% owned subsidiaries of the Parent Guarantor other than the Issuers (collectively, the "Subsidiary Guarantors" and, together with the Parent Guarantor, the "Guarantors"), subject to automatic release under certain customary circumstances, including if the Subsidiary Guarantor is sold or sells all or substantially all of its assets, the Subsidiary Guarantor is designated "unrestricted" for covenant purposes under the indenture governing the Notes, the Subsidiary Guarantor's guarantee of other indebtedness which resulted in the creation of the guarantee of the Notes is terminated or released, or the requirements for legal defeasance or covenant defeasance or to discharge the Indenture have been satisfied.

The following provides information regarding the entity structure of the Parent Guarantor, the Issuers and the Subsidiary Guarantors:

CareTrust REIT, Inc. – The Parent Guarantor was formed on October 29, 2013 in anticipation of the Spin-Off and the related transactions and was a wholly owned subsidiary of Ensign prior to the effective date of the Spin-Off on June 1, 2014. The Parent Guarantor did not conduct any operations or have any business prior to the date of issuance of the Notes and the consummation of the Spin-Off related transactions.

CTR Partnership, L.P. and CareTrust Capital Corp. – The Issuers, each of which is a 100% owned subsidiary of the Parent Guarantor, were formed on May 8, 2014 and May 9, 2014, respectively, in anticipation of the Spin-Off and the related transactions. The Issuers did not conduct any operations or have any business prior to the date of issuance of the Notes and the consummation of the Spin-Off related transactions.

Subsidiary Guarantors – Each of the Subsidiary Guarantors is a 100% owned subsidiary of the Parent Guarantor. Prior to the consummation of the Spin-Off, each of the Subsidiary Guarantors was a wholly owned subsidiary of Ensign. The Ensign Properties entities consist of the Subsidiary Guarantors (other than the general partner of the Operating Partnership which was formed on May 8, 2014 in anticipation of the Spin-Off and the related transactions) and the subsidiaries of the Parent Guarantor that are not Subsidiary Guarantors or Issuers (collectively, the "Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries").

Pursuant to Rule 3-10 of Regulation S-X, the following summarized consolidating information is provided for the Parent Guarantor, the Issuers, the Subsidiary Guarantors and the Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries with respect to the Notes. This summarized financial information has been prepared from the financial statements of the Company and Ensign Properties and the books and records maintained by the Company and Ensign Properties. As described above, the Parent Guarantor and the Issuers did not conduct any operations or have any business during the periods prior to June 1, 2014.

The summarized financial information may not necessarily be indicative of the results of operations or financial position had the Parent Guarantor, the Issuers, the Subsidiary Guarantors or the Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries all been in existence or operated as independent entities during the relevant period or had the Ensign Properties entities been operated as subsidiaries of the Parent Guarantor during such period.

Table of Contents

CARETRUST REIT, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEETS

DECEMBER 31, 2016

(in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

	Parent Guarantor	Issuers	Combined Subsidiary Guarantors	Combined Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Elimination	Consolidated
Assets:						
Real estate investments, net	\$—	\$527,639	\$328,137	\$38,142	\$—	\$893,918
Other real estate investments	—	—	13,872	—	—	13,872
Cash and cash equivalents	—	7,500	—	—	—	7,500
Accounts receivable	—	3,743	2,020	133	—	5,896
Prepaid expenses and other assets	—	1,366	3	—	—	1,369
Deferred financing costs, net	—	2,803	—	—	—	2,803
Investment in subsidiaries	463,505	401,328	—	—	(864,833)	—
Intercompany	—	—	102,273	—	(102,273)	—
Total assets	\$463,505	\$944,379	\$446,305	\$38,275	\$(967,106)	\$925,358
Liabilities and Equity:						
Senior unsecured notes payable, net	\$—	\$255,294	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$255,294
Senior unsecured term loan, net	—	99,422	—	—	—	99,422
Unsecured revolving credit facility	—	95,000	—	—	—	95,000
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	—	9,713	2,291	133	—	12,137
Dividends payable	11,075	—	—	—	—	11,075
Intercompany	—	21,445	—	80,828	(102,273)	—
Total liabilities	11,075	480,874	2,291	80,961	(102,273)	472,928
Equity:						
Common stock, \$0.01 par value; 500,000,000 shares authorized, 64,816,350 shares issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2016	648	—	—	—	—	648
Additional paid-in capital	611,475	429,453	374,660	(52,899)	(751,214)	611,475
Cumulative distributions in excess of earnings	(159,693)	34,052	69,354	10,213	(113,619)	(159,693)
Total equity	452,430	463,505	444,014	(42,686)	(864,833)	452,430
Total liabilities and equity	\$463,505	\$944,379	\$446,305	\$38,275	\$(967,106)	\$925,358

Table of Contents

CARETRUST REIT, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEETS

DECEMBER 31, 2015

(in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

	Parent Guarantor	Issuers	Combined Subsidiary Guarantors	Combined Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Elimination	Consolidated
Assets:						
Real estate investments, net	\$—	\$256,209	\$348,454	\$40,951	\$—	\$645,614
Other real estate investments	—	—	8,477	—	—	8,477
Cash and cash equivalents	—	11,467	—	—	—	11,467
Accounts receivable	—	519	1,695	128	—	2,342
Prepaid expenses and other assets	—	2,079	4	—	—	2,083
Deferred financing costs, net	—	3,183	—	—	—	3,183
Investment in subsidiaries	269,992	365,368	—	—	(635,360)	—
Intercompany	—	—	59,160	4,186	(63,346)	—
Total assets	\$269,992	\$638,825	\$417,790	\$45,265	\$(698,706)	\$673,166
Liabilities and Equity:						
Senior unsecured notes payable, net	\$—	\$254,229	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$254,229
Mortgage notes payable, net	—	—	—	94,676	—	94,676
Unsecured revolving credit facility	—	45,000	—	—	—	45,000
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	—	6,258	2,433	578	—	9,269
Dividends payable	7,704	—	—	—	—	7,704
Intercompany	—	63,346	—	—	(63,346)	—
Total liabilities	7,704	368,833	2,433	95,254	(63,346)	410,878
Equity:						
Common stock, \$0.01 par value; 500,000,000 shares authorized, 47,664,742 shares issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2015	477	—	—	—	—	477
Additional paid-in capital	410,217	266,929	374,660	(52,899)	(588,690)	410,217
Cumulative distributions in excess of earnings	(148,406)	3,063	40,697	2,910	(46,670)	(148,406)
Total equity	262,288	269,992	415,357	(49,989)	(635,360)	262,288
Total liabilities and equity	\$269,992	\$638,825	\$417,790	\$45,265	\$(698,706)	\$673,166

Table of Contents

CARETRUST REIT, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016

(in thousands)

	Parent Guarantor	Issuers	Combined Subsidiary Guarantors	Combined Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Elimination	Consolidated
Revenues:						
Rental income	\$ —	\$36,855	\$45,318	\$ 10,953	\$—	\$ 93,126
Tenant reimbursements	—	2,978	4,359	509	—	7,846
Independent living facilities	—	—	2,970	—	—	2,970
Interest and other income	—	—	737	—	—	737
Total revenues	—	39,833	53,384	11,462	—	104,679
Expenses:						
Depreciation and amortization	—	11,651	17,505	2,809	—	31,965
Interest expense	—	22,375	—	824	—	23,199
Property taxes	—	2,978	4,359	509	—	7,846
Acquisition costs	—	205	—	—	—	205
Independent living facilities	—	—	2,549	—	—	2,549
General and administrative	1,637	7,594	49	17	—	9,297
Total expenses	1,637	44,803	24,462	4,159	—	75,061
Loss on sale of real estate	—	—	(265)	—	—	(265)
Income in Subsidiary	30,990	35,960	—	—	(66,950)	—
Net income	\$ 29,353	\$30,990	\$ 28,657	\$ 7,303	\$ (66,950)	\$ 29,353

F-28

Table of Contents

CARETRUST REIT, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2015

(in thousands)

	Parent Guarantor	Issuers	Combined Subsidiary Guarantors	Combined Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Elimination	Consolidated
Revenues:						
Rental income	\$ —	\$9,979	\$ 45,100	\$ 10,900	\$—	\$ 65,979
Tenant reimbursements	—	655	4,375	467	—	5,497
Independent living facilities	—	—	2,510	—	—	2,510
Interest and other income	—	19	946	—	—	965
Total revenues	—	10,653	52,931	11,367	—	74,951
Expenses:						
Depreciation and amortization	—	3,165	18,007	2,961	—	24,133
Interest expense	—	19,616	18	5,622	—	25,256
Property taxes	—	655	4,375	467	—	5,497
Independent living facilities	—	—	2,376	—	—	2,376
General and administrative	1,171	6,360	97	27	—	7,655
Total expenses	1,171	29,796	24,873	9,077	—	64,917
Income in Subsidiary	11,205	30,348	—	—	(41,553)	—
Net income	\$ 10,034	\$11,205	\$ 28,058	\$ 2,290	\$ (41,553)	\$ 10,034

F-29

Table of Contents

CARETRUST REIT, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING AND COMBINING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014

(in thousands)

	Parent Guarantor	Issuers	Combined Subsidiary Guarantors	Combined Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Elimination	Consolidated
Revenues:						
Rental income	\$ —	\$ 139	\$ 42,337	\$ 8,891	\$ —	\$ 51,367
Tenant reimbursements	—	11	4,460	485	—	4,956
Independent living facilities	—	—	2,519	—	—	2,519
Interest and other income	—	23	32	—	—	55
Total revenues	—	173	49,348	9,376	—	58,897
Expenses:						
Depreciation and amortization	—	34	19,577	3,389	—	23,000
Interest expense	—	10,425	6,315	4,882	—	21,622
Loss on extinguishment of debt	—	—	4,067	—	—	4,067
Property taxes	—	11	4,460	485	—	4,956
Acquisition costs	—	—	47	—	—	47
Independent living facilities	—	—	2,243	—	—	2,243
General and administrative	—	11,105	—	—	—	11,105
Total expenses	—	21,575	36,709	8,756	—	67,040
(Loss) income in Subsidiary	(8,143)	13,259	—	—	(5,116)	—
Net (loss) income	(8,143)	(8,143)	12,639	620	(5,116)	(8,143)
Other comprehensive income:						
Unrealized gain on interest rate swap	—	—	167	—	—	167
Reclassification adjustment on interest rate swap	—	—	1,661	—	—	1,661
Comprehensive (loss) income	\$(8,143)	\$(8,143)	\$ 14,467	\$ 620	\$(5,116)	\$(6,315)

Table of Contents

CARETRUST REIT, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016

(in thousands)

	Parent Guarantor	Issuers	Combined Subsidiary Guarantors	Combined Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Elimination	Consolidated
Cash flows from operating activities:						
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	\$ (91)	\$ 9,253	\$ 45,261	\$ 10,008	\$ —	\$ 64,431
Cash flows from investing activities:						
Acquisition of real estate	—	(281,228)	—	—	—	(281,228)
Improvements to real estate	—	(485)	(277)	—	—	(762)
Purchases of equipment, furniture, and fixtures	—	(81)	(70)	—	—	(151)
Preferred equity investments	—	—	(4,656)	—	—	(4,656)
Escrow deposits for acquisition of real estate	—	(700)	—	—	—	(700)
Net proceeds from the sale of real estate	—	—	2,855	—	—	2,855
Distribution from subsidiary	37,269	—	—	—	(37,269)	—
Intercompany financing	(199,796)	(41,901)	—	—	241,697	—
Net cash used in investing activities	(162,527)	(324,395)	(2,148)	—	204,428	(284,642)
Cash flows from financing activities:						
Proceeds from the issuance of common stock, net	200,402	—	—	—	—	200,402
Proceeds from the issuance of senior unsecured term loan	—	100,000	—	—	—	100,000
Borrowings under unsecured revolving credit facility	—	255,000	—	—	—	255,000
Payments on unsecured revolving credit facility	—	(205,000)	—	—	—	(205,000)
Payments on the mortgage notes payable	—	—	—	(95,022)	—	(95,022)
Payments of deferred financing costs	—	(1,352)	—	—	—	(1,352)
Net-settle adjustment on restricted stock	(515)	—	—	—	—	(515)
Dividends paid on common stock	(37,269)	—	—	—	—	(37,269)
Distribution to Parent	—	(37,269)	—	—	37,269	—
Intercompany financing	—	199,796	(43,113)	85,014	(241,697)	—
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	162,618	311,175	(43,113)	(10,008)	(204,428)	216,244
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	—	(3,967)	—	—	—	(3,967)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	—	11,467	—	—	—	11,467
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ —	\$ 7,500	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 7,500

Table of Contents

CARETRUST REIT, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2015

(in thousands)

	Parent Guarantor	Issuers	Combined Subsidiary Guarantors	Combined Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Elimination	Consolidated
Cash flows from operating activities:						
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	\$ (15)	\$ (9,894)	\$ 44,675	\$ 5,488	\$ —	\$ 40,254
Cash flows from investing activities:						
Acquisition of real estate	—	(232,466)	—	—	—	(232,466)
Improvements to real estate	—	(19)	(168)	—	—	(187)
Purchases of equipment, furniture, and fixtures	—	(195)	(81)	—	—	(276)
Escrow deposits for acquisition of real estate	—	(1,750)	—	—	—	(1,750)
Net proceeds from the sale of real estate	—	—	30	—	—	30
Distribution from subsidiary	21,790	—	—	—	(21,790)	—
Intercompany financing	(162,803)	46,761	—	—	116,042	—
Net cash used in investing activities	(141,013)	(187,669)	(219)	—	94,252	(234,649)
Cash flows from financing activities:						
Proceeds from the issuance of common stock, net	162,963	—	—	—	—	162,963
Borrowings under unsecured revolving credit facility	—	45,000	—	—	—	45,000
Borrowings under senior secured revolving credit facility	—	35,000	—	—	—	35,000
Repayments of borrowings under senior secured revolving credit facility	—	(35,000)	—	—	—	(35,000)
Payments on the mortgage notes payable	—	—	(558)	(2,625)	—	(3,183)
Net-settle adjustment on restricted stock	(145)	—	—	—	—	(145)
Payments of deferred financing costs	—	(2,303)	—	—	—	(2,303)
Dividends paid on common stock	(21,790)	—	—	—	—	(21,790)
Distribution to Parent	—	(21,790)	—	—	21,790	—
Intercompany financing	—	162,803	(43,898)	(2,863)	(116,042)	—
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	141,028	183,710	(44,456)	(5,488)	(94,252)	180,542
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	—	(13,853)	—	—	—	(13,853)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	—	25,320	—	—	—	25,320
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ —	\$ 11,467	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 11,467

Table of Contents

CARETRUST REIT, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING AND COMBINING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014

(in thousands)

	Parent Guarantor	Issuers	Combined Subsidiary Guarantors	Combined Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Elimination	Consolidated
Cash flows from operating activities:						
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	\$ —	\$(21,185)	\$38,955	\$ 4,136	\$ —	\$ 21,906
Cash flows from investing activities:						
Acquisition of real estate	—	(25,742)	—	—	—	(25,742)
Improvements to real estate	—	—	(579)	—	—	(579)
Purchases of equipment, furniture and fixtures	—	(95)	(14,819)	(4,361)	—	(19,275)
Preferred equity investment	—	—	(7,500)	—	—	(7,500)
Escrow deposit for acquisition of real estate	—	(500)	—	—	—	(500)
Distribution from subsidiary	33,001	—	—	—	(33,001)	—
Intercompany financing	—	(141,231)	—	—	141,231	—
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	33,001	(167,568)	(22,898)	(4,361)	108,230	(53,596)
Cash flows from financing activities:						
Proceeds from the issuance of senior unsecured notes payable	—	260,000	—	—	—	260,000
Proceeds from the senior secured revolving credit facility	—	—	10,000	—	—	10,000
Proceeds from the issuance of mortgage notes payable	—	—	—	50,676	—	50,676
Payments on the senior secured revolving credit facility	—	—	(88,701)	—	—	(88,701)
Payments on the mortgage notes payable	—	—	(66,905)	(1,250)	—	(68,155)
Payments on the senior secured term loan	—	—	(65,624)	—	—	(65,624)
Payments of deferred financing costs	—	(12,926)	—	(510)	—	(13,436)
Net contribution from Ensign	—	—	52,385	(48,029)	—	4,356
Dividends paid on common stock	(33,001)	—	—	—	—	(33,001)
Distribution to Parent	—	(33,001)	—	—	33,001	—
Intercompany financing	—	—	141,893	(662)	(141,231)	—
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(33,001)	214,073	(16,952)	225	(108,230)	56,115
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	—	25,320	(895)	—	—	24,425
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	—	—	895	—	—	895
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ —	\$25,320	\$—	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 25,320

Table of Contents

CARETRUST REIT, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

14. SELECTED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (UNAUDITED)

The following table presents selected quarterly financial data for the Company. This information has been prepared on a basis consistent with that of the Company's audited consolidated and combined financial statements. The Company's quarterly results of operations for the periods presented are not necessarily indicative of future results of operations. This unaudited quarterly data should be read together with the accompanying consolidated and combined financial statements and related notes thereto (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2016			
	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
Operating data:				
Total revenues	\$23,629	\$25,701	\$27,106	\$28,243
Net income	5,502	7,631	7,832	8,388
Earnings per common share, basic	0.11	0.13	0.13	0.14
Earnings per common share, diluted	0.11	0.13	0.13	0.14
Other data:				
Weighted-average number of common shares outstanding, basic	48,101	57,478	57,595	60,875
Weighted-average number of common shares outstanding, diluted	48,101	57,478	57,595	60,875

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2015			
	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
Operating data:				
Total revenues	\$16,958	\$17,376	\$17,985	\$22,632
Net income	2,038	2,266	727	5,003
Earnings per common share, basic	0.06	0.07	0.02	0.10
Earnings per common share, diluted	0.06	0.07	0.02	0.10
Other data:				
Weighted-average number of common shares outstanding, basic	31,257	31,278	39,125	47,660
Weighted-average number of common shares outstanding, diluted	31,257	31,278	39,125	47,660

15. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The Company evaluates subsequent events in accordance with ASC 855, Subsequent Events. The Company evaluates subsequent events up until the date the consolidated and combined financial statements are issued.

During January 2017, the Company sold 1.1 million shares of common stock at an average price of \$15.46 per share for \$16.6 million in gross proceeds. At February 3, 2017, the Company had \$94.7 million available for future issuances under the ATM Program.

On February 1, 2017, the Company acquired Applewood of Brookfield, a 48 unit assisted living and memory care facility located in Brookfield, Wisconsin, and Applewood of New Berlin, a 40 unit assisted living and memory care facility located in New Berlin, Wisconsin, for \$26.1 million, inclusive of capitalized acquisition costs, and intends to account for this investment as an asset acquisition. In connection with the acquisition, the Company amended its master lease with affiliates of Premier Senior Living, LLC. The Company anticipates additional initial annual lease

revenues of \$2.2 million following this acquisition.

F-34

SCHEDULE III
REAL ESTATE ASSETS AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION
DECEMBER 31, 2016
(dollars in thousands)

Description	Facility	Location	Initial Cost to Company			Gross Carrying Value			Accum. Depr.	Const./Ren. Date	Acq. Date	
			Land	Building Improv.	Cap. Costs Since Acq.	Land	Building Improv.	Total (1)				
Skilled Nursing Properties:												
Ensign Highland LLC	Highland Manor	Phoenix, AZ	\$—	\$257	\$976	\$926	\$257	\$1,902	\$2,159	\$944	2013	2000
Meadowbrook Health Associates LLC	Sabino Canyon	Tucson, AZ	—	425	3,716	1,940	425	5,656	6,081	2,211	2012	2000
Terrace Holdings AZ LLC	Desert Terrace	Phoenix, AZ	—	113	504	971	113	1,475	1,588	534	2004	2002
Rillito Holdings LLC	Catalina	Tucson, AZ	—	471	2,041	3,055	471	5,096	5,567	1,942	2013	2003
Valley Health Holdings LLC	North Mountain	Phoenix, AZ	—	629	5,154	1,519	629	6,673	7,302	2,625	2009	2004
Cedar Avenue Holdings LLC	Upland	Upland, CA	—	2,812	3,919	1,994	2,812	5,913	8,725	2,668	2011	2005
Granada Investments LLC	Camarillo	Camarillo, CA	—	3,526	2,827	1,522	3,526	4,349	7,875	1,844	2010	2005
Plaza Health Holdings LLC	Park Manor	Walla Walla, WA	—	450	5,566	1,055	450	6,621	7,071	2,804	2009	2006
Mountainview Community Care LLC	Park View Gardens	Santa Rosa, CA	—	931	2,612	653	931	3,265	4,196	1,600	1963	2006
CM Health Holdings LLC	Carmel Mountain	San Diego, CA	—	3,028	3,119	2,071	3,028	5,190	8,218	2,038	2012	2006
Polk Health Holdings LLC	Timberwood	Livingston, TX	—	60	4,391	1,167	60	5,558	5,618	2,272	2009	2006
Snohomish Health Holdings LLC	Emerald Hills	Lynnwood, WA	—	741	1,663	1,998	741	3,661	4,402	1,912	2009	2006
Cherry Health Holdings, Inc.	Pacific Care	Hoquiam, WA	—	171	1,828	2,038	171	3,866	4,037	1,667	2010	2006
Golfview Holdings LLC	Cambridge SNF	Richmond, TX	—	1,105	3,110	1,067	1,105	4,177	5,282	1,594	2007	2006
	Arlington Hills	Salt Lake City, UT	—	332	2,426	2,507	332	4,933	5,265	1,913	2013	2006

Edgar Filing: CareTrust REIT, Inc. - Form 10-K

Tenth East Holdings LLC											
Trinity Mill Holdings LLC	Carrollton	Carrollton, TX	—664	2,294	902	664	3,196	3,860	1,622	2007	2006
Cottonwood Health Holdings LLC	Holladay	Salt Lake City, UT	—965	2,070	958	965	3,028	3,993	1,644	2008	2007
Verde Villa Holdings LLC	Lake Village	Lewisville, TX	—600	1,890	470	600	2,360	2,960	1,001	2011	2007
Mesquite Health Holdings LLC	Willow Bend	Mesquite, TX	—470	1,715	8,661	470	10,376	10,846	4,607	2012	2007
Arrow Tree Health Holdings LLC	Arbor Glen	Glendora, CA	—2,165	1,105	324	2,165	1,429	3,594	719	1965	2007
Fort Street Health Holdings LLC	Draper	Draper, UT	—443	2,394	759	443	3,153	3,596	1,106	2008	2007
Trousdale Health Holdings LLC	Brookfield	Downey, CA	—1,415	1,841	1,861	1,415	3,702	5,117	1,332	2013	2007
Ensign Bellflower LLC	Rose Villa	Bellflower, CA	—937	1,168	357	937	1,525	2,462	659	2009	2007
RB Heights Health Holdings LLC	Osborn	Scottsdale, AZ	—2,007	2,793	1,762	2,007	4,555	6,562	1,731	2009	2008
San Corrine Health Holdings LLC	Salado Creek	San Antonio, TX	—310	2,090	719	310	2,809	3,119	1,069	2005	2008

F-35

Edgar Filing: CareTrust REIT, Inc. - Form 10-K

Temple Health Holdings LLC	Wellington	Temple, TX	-5292,207,165	293,370,899,233	2008	2008		
Anson Health Holdings LLC	Northern Oaks	Abilene, TX	-3693,220,725	694,945,314,687	2012	2008		
Willits Health Holdings LLC	Northbrook	Willits, CA	-4901,235	004901,732,225	722	2011		
Lufkin Health Holdings LLC	Southland	Lufkin, TX	-4674,647	824675,426,893,010	1988	2009		
Lowell Health Holdings LLC	Littleton	Littleton, CO	-217856	1,735	172,592,808	302	2012	
Jefferson Ralston Holdings LLC	Arvada	Arvada, CO	-2801,238	342802,062,344	561	2012		
Lafayette Health Holdings LLC	Julia Temple	Englewood, CO	-4,607,228	1,195,607,412,029	962	2012		
Hillendahl Health Holdings LLC	Golden Acres	Dallas, TX	-2,138	1,917,421,138	398,531	118	1984	
Price Health Holdings LLC	Pinnacle	Price, UT	-4932,208	491933,058,256	69	2012		
Silver Lake Health Holdings LLC	Provo	Provo, UT	-2,058,362,012	0510,373,414	962	2011		
Jordan Health Properties LLC	Copper Ridge	West Jordan, UT	-2,674,244,507	675,758,422,052	2013	2009		
Regal Road Health Holdings LLC	Sunview	Youngstown, AZ	-7674,648	297675,376,144,252	2012	2009		
Paredes Health Holdings LLC	Alta Vista	Brownsville, TX	-3731,354	903731,544,912	92	1969		
Expressway Health Holdings LLC	Veranda	Harlingen, TX	-90	675	43090	1,105,192	582	2011
Rio Grande Health Holdings LLC	Grand Terrace	McAllen, TX	-6421,088	706421,952,593	07	2012		
Fifth East Holdings LLC	Paramount	Salt Lake City, UT	-3452,464,065	5453,529,878	41	2011		
Emmett Healthcare Holdings LLC	River's Edge	Emmet, ID	-5912,388	5912,452,043	01	1972		
Burley Healthcare Holdings LLC	Parke View	Burley, ID	-2504,004	242504,428,678,000	2011	2010		
Josey Ranch Healthcare Holdings LLC	Heritage Gardens	Carrollton, TX	-1,382,293	781,382,774,153	44	1996		
Everglades Health Holdings LLC	Victoria Ventura	Ventura, CA	-1,845,376	821,846,059,906,155	990	2011		
Irving Health Holdings LLC	Beatrice Manor	Beatrice, NE	-60	2,932	4560	3,176,236	082	2011
Falls City Health Holdings LLC	Careage Estates of Falls City	Falls City, NE	-4702,148	2	1702,222	3,393	386	1972
Gillette Park Health Holdings LLC	Careage of Cherokee	Cherokee, IA	-4631,491	2	1631,503,666	33	1967	
Gazebo Park Health Holdings LLC	Careage of Clarion	Clarion, IA	-80	2,549	780	2,632,718	08	1978
Oleson Park Health Holdings LLC	Careage of Ft. Dodge	Ft. Dodge, IA	-90	2,347	5990	3,100,198	50	2012

Edgar Filing: CareTrust REIT, Inc. - Form 10-K

Arapahoe Health Holdings LLC	Oceanview	Texas City, TX	4584,810 591285,595,721,130	2012	2011
Dixie Health Holdings LLC	Hurricane	Hurricane, UT	4871,979 8 4872,076,562	961978	2011
Memorial Health Holdings LLC	Pocatello	Pocatello, ID	5372,138 985372,836,375	412007	2011
Bogardus Health Holdings LLC	Whittier East	Whittier, CA	1,425,307,079,425,386,811,392		2011
South Dora Health Holdings LLC	Ukiah	Ukiah, CA	2972,087,622 973,708,005,752		2013 2011
Silverada Health Holdings LLC	Rosewood	Reno, NV	1,012,282 1031,012,384,397	481970	2011
Orem Health Holdings LLC	Orem	Orem, UT	1,689,896,235,689,138,820,792		2011

F-36

Edgar Filing: CareTrust REIT, Inc. - Form 10-K

Renne Avenue Health Holdings LLC	Monte Vista	Pocatello, ID	-1802,489	66	1803,443,627	192013	2012		
Stillhouse Health Holdings LLC	Stillhouse	Paris, TX	-1297,130	0	1297,145,275	762009	2012		
Fig Street Health Holdings LLC	Palomar Vista	Escondido, CA	-3292,653,094	3	293,747,076	2,32007	2012		
Lowell Lake Health Holdings LLC	Owyhee	Owyhee, ID	-49	1,552	49	1,583,632	621990	2012	
Queensway Health Holdings LLC	Atlantic Memorial	Long Beach, CA	-9994,232,339	9	996,568,562	3,53008	2012		
Long Beach Health Associates LLC	Shoreline	Long Beach, CA	-1,285,343,172	1	285,515,800	1,82013	2012		
Kings Court Health Holdings LLC	Richland Hills	Ft. Worth, TX	-1932,313	18	1932,622,823	121965	2012		
51st Avenue Health Holdings LLC	Legacy	Amarillo, TX	-3403,925	2	3403,957,297	371970	2013		
Ives Health Holdings LLC	San Marcos	San Marcos, TX	-3712,952	74	3713,225,596	281972	2013		
Guadalupe Health Holdings LLC	The Courtyard (Victoria East)	Victoria, TX	-80	2,3915	80	2,406,486	042013	2013	
Queens City Health Holdings LLC	La Villa (Victoria West)	Victoria, TX	-212732	8	212740	952	95	1960	2013
49th Street Health Holdings LLC	Omaha	Omaha, NE	-1292,418	4	1292,442,573	001970	2013		
Willows Health Holdings LLC	Cascade Vista	Redmond, WA	-1,388,982	202	1,388,184,574	421966	2013		
Tulalip Bay Holdings	Mountain View	Marysville, WA	-1,722,642	980	7422,643,383	081989	2013		
CTR Partnership, L.P.	Bethany Rehabilitation Center	Lakewood, CO	-1,668,335	5	1,668,437,099	81989	2015		
CTR Partnership, L.P.	Mira Vista Care Center	Mount Vernon, WA	-1,607,425	5	1,607,429,026	251987	2015		
CTR Partnership, L.P.	Shoreline Health and Rehabilitation Center	Shoreline, WA	-1,462,034	4	1,462,036,496	992010	2015		
CTR Partnership, L.P.	Shamrock Nursing and Rehabilitation Center	Dublin GA	-2517,855	5	2517,858,106	952014	2015		
CTR Partnership, L.P.	Pristine Senior Living of Beaver creek	Beavercreek, OH	-89217,159	9	89217,159,053	62012	2015		
CTR Partnership, L.P.	Pristine Senior Living of Cincinnati-Delhi	Cincinnati, OH	-28411,104	4	28411,104,388	71992	2015		
CTR Partnership, L.P.	Pristine Senior Living of Cincinnati-Riverview	Cincinnati, OH	-83318,086	6	83318,138,936	71967	2015		
CTR Partnership, L.P.	Pristine Senior Living of Cincinnati-Three Rivers	Cincinnati, OH	-1,0916,151	4	1,0916,157,250	51962	2015		
CTR Partnership, L.P.	Pristine Senior Living of Englewood	Englewood, OH	-1,0118,547	7	1,0118,599,658	12008	2015		
CTR Partnership, L.P.	Pristine Senior Living of Portsmouth	Portsmouth, OH	-2829,726	3	2829,789,070	62007	2015		
CTR Partnership, L.P.	Pristine Senior Living of Toledo	Toledo, OH	-93	10,365	93	10,366,438	41970	2015	
CTR Partnership, L.P.	Pristine Senior Living of Oxford	Oxford, OH	-2118,772	7	2118,799,010	752003	2015		

Edgar Filing: CareTrust REIT, Inc. - Form 10-K

CTR Partnership, L.P. Pristine Senior Living of Bellbrook	Bellbrook, OH	-2142,573-	2142,573,7880	1981	2015
CTR Partnership, L.P. Pristine Senior Living of Xenia	Xenia, OH	-2053,564-	2053,563,76911	1967	2015
CTR Partnership, L.P. Pristine Senior Living of Jamestown	Jamestown, OH	-2664,7232	2664,743,01348	1974	2015
CTR Partnership, L.P. Casa de Paz	Sioux City, IA	-1197,727-	1197,727,84677	2015	2016

F-37

Edgar Filing: CareTrust REIT, Inc. - Form 10-K

CTR Partnership, L.P.	Denison Care Center	Denison, IA	96 2,784	96	2,784	2,880	64	2013	2016
CTR Partnership, L.P.	Garden View Care Center	Shenandoah, IA	105 3,179	105	3,179	3,284	73	2014	2016
CTR Partnership, L.P.	Grandview Health Care Center	Dayton, IA	39 1,167	39	1,167	1,206	27	2011	2016
CTR Partnership, L.P.	Grundy Care Center	Grundy Center, IA	65 1,935	65	1,935	2,000	44	2014	2016
CTR Partnership, L.P.	Iowa City Rehab and Health Care Center	Iowa City, IA	522 5,690	522	5,690	6,212	30	2012	2016
CTR Partnership, L.P.	Lenox Care Center	Lenox, IA	31 1,915	31	1,915	1,946	44	2014	2016
CTR Partnership, L.P.	Osage	Osage, IA	126 2,255	126	2,255	2,381	52	2014	2016
CTR Partnership, L.P.	Pleasant Acres Care Center	Hull, IA	189 2,544	189	2,544	2,733	58	2015	2016
CTR Partnership, L.P.	Cedar Falls Health Care Center	Cedar Falls, IA	324 4,366	324	4,366	4,690	82	2012	2016
CTR Partnership, L.P.	Victory Park Nursing Home	Norwood, OH	364 2,199	364	2,199	2,563	41	1989	2016
CTR Partnership, L.P.	Shaw Mountain at Cascadia	Boise, ID	1,806 5,572	1,806	5,572	3,373	10	2015	2016
CTR Partnership, L.P.	The Oaks	Petaluma, CA	3,646 8,873	3,646	8,873	3,519	80	1982	2016
CTR Partnership, L.P.	Arbor Nursing Center	Lodi, CA	768 10,712	768	10,712	11,480	12	1984	2016
CTR Partnership, L.P.	Broadmoor Medical Lodge - Rockwall	Rockwall, TX	1,232 2,152	1,232	2,152	2,384	6	2013	2016
CTR Partnership, L.P.	Senior Care Health and Rehabilitation – Decatur	Decatur, TX	990 24,909	990	24,909	25,892	2	2009	2016
CTR Partnership, L.P.	Royse City Health and Rehabilitation Center	Royse City, TX	606 14,660	606	14,660	15,266	1	2009	2016
			77,288 1,600	77,288	1,600	1,476	256	3,639	418
	Skilled Nursing								
	Campus Properties:								
Ensign Southland LLC	Southland Care	Norwalk, CA	966 5,082	966	5,082	7,293	264	4,048	011
Sky Holdings AZ LLC	Bella Vita (Desert Sky)	Glendale, AZ	289 1,428	289	1,428	3,180	3,469	1,482	004
Lemon River Holdings LLC	Plymouth Tower	Riverside, CA	494 1,159	494	1,159	4,853	494	6,013	5,506
Wisteria Health Holdings LLC	Wisteria	Abilene, TX	746 9,903	746	9,903	10,193	9,950	200	8
Mission CCRC LLC	St. Joseph's Villa	Salt Lake City, UT	1,962 1,036	1,962	1,493	4,462	1,083	994	2011
Wayne Health Holdings LLC	Careage of Wayne	Wayne, NE	130 3,061	130	3,061	3,313	570	1978	2011
4th Street Health Holdings LLC	West Bend Care Center	West Bend, IA	180 3,352	180	3,352	3,532	574	2006	2011
Big Sioux River Health Holdings LLC	Hillcrest Health	Hawarden, IA	110 3,522	110	3,522	3,707	772	1974	2011
Prairie Health Holdings LLC	Colonial Manor of Randolph	Randolph, NE	130 1,572	130	1,572	3,723	338	2011	2011
Salmon River Health Holdings LLC	Discovery Care Center	Salmon, ID	168 2,496	168	2,496	2,664	276	2012	2012
CTR Partnership, L.P.	Pristine Senior Living of Dayton-Centerville	Dayton, OH	3,912 2,450	3,912	2,450	2,460	5	2007	2015
CTR Partnership, L.P.	Pristine Senior Living of Willard	Willard, OH	143 11,097	143	11,097	11,290	18	1985	2015
CTR Partnership, L.P.	Pristine Senior Living of Middletown	Middletown, OH	990 7,484	990	7,484	8,542	236	1985	2015

Edgar Filing: CareTrust REIT, Inc. - Form 10-K

CTR Partnership, L.P.	Victoria Retirement Community	Norwood, OH	1,316,071	1,316,071	11,387	89	1991	2016
CTR Partnership, L.P.	Turlock Nursing and Rehabilitation Center	Turlock, CA	1,258,526	1,258,526	7,784	72	1986	2016
CTR Partnership, L.P.	Senior Care Health & The Residences	Bridgeport, TX	980,279	980,279	128,895	58	2014	2016
			13,713	13,713	16,298	7148,160,954		439
Assisted and Independent Living Properties:								
Avenue N Holdings LLC	Cambridge ALF	Rosenburg, TX	24,230	24,230	1392	124	2,693	2,817
Moenium Holdings LLC	Grand Court	Mesa, AZ	1,893,268	1,893,268	2,108	896	4,788	3,712
Lafayette Health Holdings LLC	Chateau Des Mons	Englewood, CO	420,116	420,116	1,894	20	1,349	1,769
Expo Park Health Holdings LLC	Canterbury Gardens	Aurora, CO	570,169	570,169	248	570	1,940	2,510
Wisteria Health Holdings LLC	Wisteria IND	Abilene, TX	244,324	244,324	3,223	5,667	26	2008
Everglades Health Holdings LLC	Lexington	Ventura, CA	1,542,012	1,542,012	131,542	1,255	6,675	43
Flamingo Health Holdings LLC	Desert Springs ALF	Las Vegas, NV	908,476	908,476	281	908	5,048	5,956
18th Place Health Holdings LLC	Rose Court	Phoenix, AZ	1,012,053	1,012,053	490	1,012	5,433	5,545
Boardwalk Health Holdings LLC	Park Place	Reno, NV	367,163	367,163	351	367	1,684	2,051
Willows Health Holdings LLC	Cascade Plaza	Redmond, WA	2,835,784	2,835,784	895	2,835	1,179	7,014
Lockwood Health Holdings LLC	Santa Maria	Santa Maria, CA	1,792,253	1,792,253	851,792	838	4,630	580
Saratoga Health Holdings LLC	Lake Ridge	Orem, UT	444,226	444,226	517	6444	2,441	2,885
CTR Partnership, L.P.	Lily & Syringa ALF	Idaho Falls, ID	70,267	70,267	42	744	139	1995
CTR Partnership, L.P.	Caring Hearts	Pocatello, ID	80,340	80,340	43	484	78	2008
CTR Partnership, L.P.	Turtle & Crain ALF	Idaho Falls, ID	110,542	110,542	75	537	282	2013
CTR Partnership, L.P.	Prelude Cottages of Woodbury	Woodbury, MN	430,671	430,671	47	1,443	36	2011
CTR Partnership, L.P.	English Meadows Senior Living Community	Christiansburg, VA	250,611	250,611	46	3,643	06	2011
CTR Partnership, L.P.	Bristol Court Assisted Living	Saint Petersburg, FL	645,732	645,732	27	9,672	75	2010
CTR Partnership, L.P.	Asbury Place Assisted Living	Pensacola, FL	212,499	212,499	25	2,041	66	1997
CTR Partnership, L.P.	New Haven Assisted Living of San Angelo	San Angelo, TX	284,447	284,447	84	7,621	03	2012
CTR Partnership, L.P.	Priority Life Care of Fort Wayne	Fort Wayne, IN	452,870	452,870	39	1,551	81	2015
CTR Partnership, L.P.	Priority Life Care of West Allis	West Allis, WI	97,610	97,610	26	1,991	27	2013
CTR Partnership, L.P.	Priority Life Care of Baltimore	Baltimore, MD	3,697	3,697	3,697	6,977		2014

Edgar Filing: CareTrust REIT, Inc. - Form 10-K

CTR Partnership, L.P.	Fort Myers Assisted Living	Fort Myers, FL	—1,489,531—	1,489,531	15,020	74	1980	2016
CTR Partnership, L.P.	English Meadows Elks Home Campus	Bedford, VA	—451 9,023—	451 9,023	39,474	150	2014	2016
CTR Partnership, L.P.	Croatan Village	New Bern, NC	—312 6,919—	312 6,919	7,231	115	2010	2016

F-39

Edgar Filing: CareTrust REIT, Inc. - Form 10-K

CTR Partnership, L.P.	Countryside Village	Pikeville, NC	—131	4,157	—	131	4,157	4,288	69	2011	2016
CTR Partnership, L.P.	The Pines of Clarkston	Village of Clarkston, MI	—603	9,326	—	603	9,326	9,929	136	2010	2016
CTR Partnership, L.P.	The Pines of Goodrich	Goodrich, MI	—241	4,112	—	241	4,112	4,353	60	2014	2016
CTR Partnership, L.P.	The Pines of Burton	Burton, MI	—492	9,199	—	492	9,199	9,691	134	2014	2016
CTR Partnership, L.P.	The Pines of Lapeer	Lapeer, MI	—302	5,773	—	302	5,773	6,075	84	2008	2016
CTR Partnership, L.P.	Arbor Place	Lodi, CA	—392	3,605	—	392	3,605	3,997	38	1984	2016
			—19,193	149,701	4,211	19,193	153,912	173,105	12,202		
Independent Living Properties:											
Hillendahl Health Holdings LLC	Cottages at Golden Acres	Dallas, TX	—315	1,769	276	315	2,046	2,361	786	1984	2009
Mission CCRC LLC	St. Joseph's Villa	Salt Lake City, UT	—411	2,312	152	411	2,464	2,875	713	1994	2011
Hillview Health Holdings LLC	Lakeland Hills ALF	Dallas, TX	—680	4,872	940	680	5,812	6,492	1,263	1996	2011
			—1,406	8,953	1,368	1,406	10,322	11,728	2,762		
			\$—111,658	\$778,509	\$96,047	\$110,648	\$875,567	\$986,215	\$121,797		

(1) The aggregate cost of real estate for federal income tax purposes was \$1.0 billion.

SCHEDULE III
REAL ESTATE ASSETS AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION
DECEMBER 31, 2016
(dollars in thousands)

Real estate:	Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Balance at the beginning of the period	\$718,764	\$492,486	\$456,052
Acquisitions	270,601	226,078	25,252
Improvements	726	230	12,162
Assets not transferred to CareTrust	—	—	(980)
Sales of real estate	(3,876)	(30)	—
Balance at the end of the period	\$986,215	\$718,764	\$492,486
Accumulated depreciation:			
Balance at the beginning of the period	\$(97,667)	\$(78,897)	\$(62,572)
Depreciation expense	(25,001)	(18,770)	(16,325)
Sales of real estate	871	—	—
Balance at the end of the period	\$(121,797)	\$(97,667)	\$(78,897)

F-41