

KELLOGG CO
Form 10-Q
August 08, 2016
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q
QUARTERLY REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended July 2, 2016
OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____
Commission file number 1-4171
KELLOGG COMPANY

State of Incorporation—Delaware IRS Employer Identification No.38-0710690
One Kellogg Square, P.O. Box 3599, Battle Creek, MI 49016-3599
Registrant's telephone number: 269-961-2000

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

Common Stock outstanding as of July 30, 2016 — 350,259,033 shares

Table of Contents

KELLOGG COMPANY
INDEX

	Page
<u>PART I — Financial Information</u>	
<u>Item 1:</u>	
Financial Statements	
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheet — July 2, 2016 and January 2, 2016</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Consolidated Statement of Income — quarter and year-to-date periods ended July 2, 2016 and July 4, 2015</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income – quarter and year-to-date periods ended July 2, 2016 and July 4, 2015</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>Consolidated Statement of Equity — year ended January 2, 2016 and year-to-date period ended July 2, 2016</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows — year-to-date periods ended July 2, 2016 and July 4, 2015</u>	<u>7</u>
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>8</u>
<u>Item 2:</u>	
Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	<u>33</u>
<u>Item 3:</u>	
Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	<u>59</u>
<u>Item 4:</u>	
Controls and Procedures	<u>60</u>
<u>PART II — Other Information</u>	
<u>Item 1A:</u>	
Risk Factors	<u>61</u>
<u>Item 2:</u>	
Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	<u>61</u>
<u>Item 6:</u>	
Exhibits	<u>61</u>
<u>Signatures</u>	<u>62</u>
<u>Exhibit Index</u>	<u>63</u>

Table of Contents

Part I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements.

Kellogg Company and Subsidiaries

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

(millions, except per share data)

	July 2, 2016 (unaudited)	January 2, 2016 *
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 531	\$ 251
Accounts receivable, net	1,473	1,344
Inventories:		
Raw materials and supplies	322	315
Finished goods and materials in process	894	935
Deferred income taxes	—	227
Other prepaid assets	201	164
Total current assets	3,421	3,236
Property, net of accumulated depreciation of \$5,310 and \$5,236	3,543	3,621
Investments in unconsolidated entities	435	456
Goodwill	4,963	4,968
Other intangibles, net of accumulated amortization of \$51 and \$47	2,282	2,268
Pension	246	231
Other assets	497	471
Total assets	\$ 15,387	\$ 15,251
Current liabilities		
Current maturities of long-term debt	\$ 1,144	\$ 1,266
Notes payable	780	1,204
Accounts payable	1,988	1,907
Accrued advertising and promotion	464	447
Accrued income taxes	83	42
Accrued salaries and wages	238	325
Other current liabilities	574	548
Total current liabilities	5,271	5,739
Long-term debt	6,277	5,275
Deferred income taxes	477	685
Pension liability	922	946
Nonpension postretirement benefits	58	77
Other liabilities	382	391
Commitments and contingencies		
Equity		
Common stock, \$.25 par value	105	105
Capital in excess of par value	770	745
Retained earnings	6,701	6,597
Treasury stock, at cost	(4,092)	(3,943)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(1,494)	(1,376)
Total Kellogg Company equity	1,990	2,128
Noncontrolling interests	10	10
Total equity	2,000	2,138
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 15,387	\$ 15,251

* Condensed from audited financial statements.

Refer to Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

3

Table of Contents

Kellogg Company and Subsidiaries
 CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF INCOME
 (millions, except per share data)

	Quarter ended		Year-to-date period ended	
	July 2, 2016	July 4, 2015	July 2, 2016	July 4, 2015
(Results are unaudited)				
Net sales	\$3,268	\$3,498	\$6,663	\$7,054
Cost of goods sold	1,998	2,257	4,148	4,568
Selling, general and administrative expense	821	829	1,628	1,690
Operating profit	449	412	887	796
Interest expense	68	58	285	112
Other income (expense), net	4	(46)	4	(72)
Income before income taxes	385	308	606	612
Income taxes	106	85	153	161
Earnings (loss) from unconsolidated entities	1	(1)	2	(2)
Net income	\$280	\$222	\$455	\$449
Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	—	(1)	—	(1)
Net income attributable to Kellogg Company	\$280	\$223	\$455	\$450
Per share amounts:				
Basic	\$0.80	\$0.63	\$1.30	\$1.27
Diluted	\$0.79	\$0.63	\$1.29	\$1.26
Dividends per share	\$0.50	\$0.49	\$1.00	\$0.98
Average shares outstanding:				
Basic	350	353	350	354
Diluted	354	355	354	356
Actual shares outstanding at period end			349	353
Refer to Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.				

Table of Contents

Kellogg Company and Subsidiaries

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(millions)

(Results are unaudited)	Quarter ended July 2, 2016			Year-to-date period ended July 2, 2016		
	Pre-tax amount	Tax (expense) benefit	After-tax amount	Pre-tax amount	Tax (expense) benefit	After-tax amount
Net income			\$ 280			\$ 455
Other comprehensive income (loss):						
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(48)	(16)	(64)	(103)	13	(90)
Cash flow hedges:						
Unrealized gain (loss) on cash flow hedges	(3)	1	(2)	(60)	24	(36)
Reclassification to net income	6	(2)	4	8	(3)	5
Postretirement and postemployment benefits:						
Amount arising during the period:						
Prior service cost	(1)	—	(1)	(1)	—	(1)
Reclassification to net income:						
Net experience loss	1	—	1	2	—	2
Prior service cost	2		2	2		2
Other comprehensive income (loss)	\$(43)	\$ (17)	\$(60)	\$(152)	\$ 34	\$(118)
Comprehensive income			\$ 220			\$ 337
Comprehensive income attributable to Kellogg Company			\$ 220			\$ 337

(Results are unaudited)	Quarter ended July 4, 2015			Year-to-date period ended July 4, 2015		
	Pre-tax amount	Tax (expense) benefit	After-tax amount	Pre-tax amount	Tax (expense) benefit	After-tax amount
Net income			\$ 222			\$ 449
Other comprehensive income (loss):						
Foreign currency translation adjustments	9	5	14	(54)	(16)	(70)
Cash flow hedges:						
Unrealized gain (loss) on cash flow hedges	(4)	—	(4)	4	(1)	3
Reclassification to net income	(3)	—	(3)	(7)	—	(7)
Postretirement and postemployment benefits:						
Amount arising during the period:						
Prior service credit (cost)	1	—	1	—	—	—
Reclassification to net income:						
Net experience loss	1	—	1	2	—	2
Prior service cost	2	(1)	1	5	(2)	3
Other comprehensive income (loss)	\$6	\$ 4	\$ 10	\$(50)	\$(19)	\$(69)
Comprehensive income			\$ 232			\$ 380
Net Income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interest			(1)			(1)
Other comprehensive income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests			—			(1)
Comprehensive income attributable to Kellogg Company			\$ 233			\$ 382

Refer to Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Kellogg Company and Subsidiaries
 CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF EQUITY
 (millions)

(unaudited)	Common stock shares amount	Capital in excess of par value	Retained earnings	Treasury stock shares amount	Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	Total Kellogg Company equity	Non-control- ling interests	Total equity
Balance, January 3, 2015	420 \$ 105	\$ 678	\$ 6,689	64 \$(3,470)	\$ (1,213)	\$ 2,789	\$ 62	\$ 2,851
Common stock repurchases				11 (731)		(731)		(731)
Net income			614			614		614
Acquisition of noncontrolling interest, net							7	7
VIE deconsolidation							(58)	(58)
Dividends			(700)			(700)		(700)
Other comprehensive loss					(163)	(163)	(1)	(164)
Stock compensation		51				51		51
Stock options exercised and other		16	(6)	(5)		268		268
Balance, January 2, 2016	420 \$ 105	\$ 745	\$ 6,597	70 \$(3,943)	\$ (1,376)	\$ 2,128	\$ 10	\$ 2,138
Common stock repurchases				5 (386)		(386)		(386)
Net income			455			455		455
Dividends			(351)			(351)		(351)
Other comprehensive loss					(118)	(118)		(118)
Stock compensation		30				30		30
Stock options exercised and other		(5)		(4)		232		232
Balance, July 2, 2016	420 \$ 105	\$ 770	\$ 6,701	71 \$(4,092)	\$ (1,494)	\$ 1,990	\$ 10	\$ 2,000

Refer to notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Kellogg Company and Subsidiaries
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS
(millions)

(unaudited)	Year-to-date period ended	
	July 2, 2016	July 4, 2015
Operating activities		
Net income	\$455	\$449
Adjustments to reconcile net income to operating cash flows:		
Depreciation and amortization	251	269
Postretirement benefit plan expense (benefit)	(56)	(41)
Deferred income taxes	7	(11)
Stock compensation	30	21
Venezuela remeasurement	11	152
Variable-interest entity impairment	—	(49)
Other	—	35
Postretirement benefit plan contributions	(23)	(17)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of acquisitions:		
Trade receivables	(159)	(207)
Inventories	17	5
Accounts payable	157	154
Accrued income taxes	54	(34)
Accrued interest expense	(2)	(2)
Accrued and prepaid advertising and promotion	10	9
Accrued salaries and wages	(87)	(61)
All other current assets and liabilities	(17)	(91)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	648	581
Investing activities		
Additions to properties	(249)	(258)
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(15)	(117)
Investments in unconsolidated entities, net proceeds	29	—
Other	(15)	42
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(250)	(333)
Financing activities		
Net issuances (reductions) of notes payable	(424)	114
Issuances of long-term debt	2,061	672
Reductions of long-term debt	(1,227)	(606)
Net issuances of common stock	233	90
Common stock repurchases	(386)	(285)
Cash dividends	(351)	(347)
Other	—	5
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(94)	(357)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(24)	(40)
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	280	(149)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	251	443
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$531	\$294

Supplemental cash flow disclosures

Interest paid	\$284	\$114
Income taxes paid	\$85	\$240

Supplemental cash flow disclosures of non-cash investing activities:

Additions to properties included in accounts payable*	\$89	\$96
---	------	------

*The Q2 2015 Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows has been revised to correctly eliminate the non-cash effect of accrued capital expenditures of \$40 million from changes in Accounts payable, resulting in an increase in net cash provided by operations and from Additions to properties, resulting in an increase in net cash provided by investing activities. These revisions were not considered material to the previously issued Q2 2015 financial statements. Refer to Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
for the quarter ended July 2, 2016 (unaudited)

Note 1 Accounting policies

Basis of presentation

The unaudited interim financial information of Kellogg Company (the Company) included in this report reflects all adjustments, all of which are of a normal and recurring nature, that management believes are necessary for a fair statement of the results of operations, comprehensive income, financial position, equity and cash flows for the periods presented. This interim information should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and accompanying footnotes within the Company's 2015 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The condensed balance sheet information at January 2, 2016 was derived from audited financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The results of operations for the quarterly period ended July 2, 2016 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for other interim periods or the full year.

Accounts payable

The Company has an agreement with a third party to provide an accounts payable tracking system which facilitates participating suppliers' ability to monitor and, if elected, sell payment obligations from the Company to designated third-party financial institutions. Participating suppliers may, at their sole discretion, make offers to sell one or more payment obligations of the Company prior to their scheduled due dates at a discounted price to participating financial institutions. The Company's goal in entering into this agreement is to capture overall supplier savings, in the form of payment terms or vendor funding, created by facilitating suppliers' ability to sell payment obligations, while providing them with greater working capital flexibility. We have no economic interest in the sale of these suppliers' receivables and no direct financial relationship with the financial institutions concerning these services. The Company's obligations to its suppliers, including amounts due and scheduled payment dates, are not impacted by suppliers' decisions to sell amounts under this arrangement. However, the Company's right to offset balances due from suppliers against payment obligations is restricted by this agreement for those payment obligations that have been sold by suppliers. As of July 2, 2016, \$622 million of the Company's outstanding payment obligations had been placed in the accounts payable tracking system, and participating suppliers had sold \$475 million of those payment obligations to participating financial institutions. As of January 2, 2016, \$501 million of the Company's outstanding payment obligations had been placed in the accounts payable tracking system, and participating suppliers had sold \$407 million of those payment obligations to participating financial institutions.

New accounting standards

Improvements to employee share-based payment accounting. In March 2016, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued an Accounting Standards Update (ASU) as part of its simplification initiative. The ASU is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2016. Early adoption is permitted. The Company early adopted the accounting standard update in the first quarter of 2016. The ASU includes multiple provisions intended to simplify various aspects of the accounting for share-based payments. The main provisions of the ASU are as follows:

Excess tax benefits and deficiencies for share-based payments are recorded as an adjustment of income taxes and reflected in operating cash flows after adoption of this ASU. Excess tax benefits and deficiencies were previously recorded in equity and as financing cash flows prior to adoption of this ASU.

The guidance allows the employer to withhold up to the maximum statutory tax rates in the applicable jurisdictions without triggering liability accounting. The Company's accounting treatment of outstanding equity awards was not impacted by its adoption of this provision of the ASU.

The guidance allows for a policy election to account for forfeitures as they occur rather than on an estimated basis. The Company is not making this election, and will continue to account for forfeitures on an estimated basis.

Balance sheet classification of deferred taxes. In November 2015, the FASB issued an ASU to simplify the presentation of deferred income taxes. The ASU requires that deferred tax liabilities and assets be classified as noncurrent in a classified statement of financial position. The ASU is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2016. Entities should apply the new guidance either prospectively to

all deferred tax liabilities and assets or retrospectively to all periods presented. Early adoption is

8

Table of Contents

permitted. The Company early adopted the updated standard in the first quarter of 2016, on a prospective basis. The year-end 2015 balances for current deferred tax assets and current deferred liabilities was \$227 million and \$9 million, respectively. Prior period balances have not been adjusted.

Simplifying the presentation of debt issuance costs. In April 2015, the FASB issued an ASU to simplify the presentation of debt issuance costs. The ASU requires that debt issuance costs related to a recognized debt liability be presented in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the carrying amount of that debt liability, consistent with debt discounts. The recognition and measurement guidance for debt issuance costs are not affected by the amendments in this ASU. The ASU is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2015. Early adoption was permitted. Entities should apply the new guidance on a retrospective basis. The Company adopted the updated standard in the first quarter of 2016 with no significant impact on its financial statements.

Simplifying the accounting for measurement-period adjustments. In September 2015, the FASB issued an ASU to simplify the accounting for measurement-period adjustments for items in a business combination. The ASU requires that an acquirer recognize adjustments to provisional amounts that are identified during the measurement period in the reporting period in which the adjustment amounts are determined. The ASU is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2015. Entities should apply the new guidance prospectively to adjustments to provisional amounts that occur after the effective date of the ASU with earlier application permitted for financial statements that have not been issued. The Company adopted the updated standard in the first quarter of 2016 with no significant impact on its financial statements.

Customer's accounting for fees paid in a cloud computing arrangement. In April 2015, the FASB issued an ASU to help entities evaluate the accounting for fees paid by a customer in a cloud computing arrangement. The ASU is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2015. Early adoption is permitted. Entities should apply the new guidance either; 1) prospectively to all arrangements entered into or materially modified after the effective date or 2) retrospectively. The Company adopted the updated standard prospectively in the first quarter of 2016 with no significant impact on its financial statements.

Accounting standards to be adopted in future periods

Leases. In February 2016, the FASB issued an ASU which will require the recognition of lease assets and lease liabilities by lessees for all leases with terms greater than 12 months. The distinction between finance leases and operating leases will remain, with similar classification criteria as current GAAP to distinguish between capital and operating leases. The principal difference from current guidance is that the lease assets and lease liabilities arising from operating leases will be recognized on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Lessor accounting remains substantially similar to current GAAP. The ASU is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2018. Early adoption is permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact that implementing this ASU will have on its financial statements and disclosures, as well as timing of implementation.

Recognition and measurement of financial assets and liabilities. In January 2016, the FASB issued an ASU which primarily affects the accounting for equity investments, financial liabilities under the fair value option, and the presentation and disclosure requirements for financial instruments. The ASU is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2017. Early adoption can be elected for all financial statements of fiscal years and interim periods that have not yet been issued or that have not yet been made available for issuance. Entities should apply the update by means of a cumulative-effect adjustment to the balance sheet as of the beginning of the fiscal year of adoption. The Company will adopt the updated standard in the first quarter of 2018. The Company does not expect the adoption of this guidance to have a significant impact on its financial statements.

Revenue from contracts with customers. In May 2014, the FASB issued an ASU which provides guidance for accounting for revenue from contracts with customers. The core principle of this ASU is that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration the entity expects to be entitled to in exchange for those goods or services. To achieve that core principle, an entity would be required to apply the following five steps: 1) identify the contract(s) with a customer; 2) identify the performance obligations in the contract; 3) determine the transaction price; 4) allocate the transaction price to the performance obligations in the contract and 5) recognize revenue when (or as) the entity satisfies a

performance obligation. When the ASU was originally issued it was effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2016, and early adoption was not permitted. On July 9, 2015, the FASB decided to delay the effective date of the new revenue standard by one year. The updated standard will be effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2017. Entities will be

Table of Contents

permitted to adopt the new revenue standard early, but not before the original effective date. Entities will have the option to apply the final standard retrospectively or use a modified retrospective method, recognizing the cumulative effect of the ASU in retained earnings at the date of initial application. An entity will not restate prior periods if it uses the modified retrospective method, but will be required to disclose the amount by which each financial statement line item is affected in the current reporting period by the application of the ASU as compared to the guidance in effect prior to the change, as well as reasons for significant changes. The Company will adopt the updated standard in the first quarter of 2018. The Company is currently evaluating the impact that implementing this ASU will have on its financial statements and disclosures, as well as whether it will use the retrospective or modified retrospective method of adoption.

Note 2 Sale of accounts receivable

In March 2016, the Company entered into an agreement (the "Receivable Sales Agreement"), to sell, on a revolving basis, certain trade accounts receivable balances to a third party financial institution. Transfers under this agreement are accounted for as sales of receivables resulting in the receivables being de-recognized from the Consolidated Balance Sheet. The Receivable Sales Agreement provides for the continuing sale of certain receivables on a revolving basis until terminated by either party; however the maximum receivables that may be sold at any time is \$550 million (increased from \$350 million as of April 2, 2016). During the year-to-date period ended July 2, 2016, \$529 million of accounts receivable have been sold via this arrangement. Accounts receivable sold of \$517 million remained outstanding under this arrangement as of July 2, 2016. The proceeds from these sales of receivables are included in cash from operating activities in the consolidated statement of cash flows. The recorded net loss on sale of receivables is included in other income and expense and is not material.

The Company has no retained interests in the receivables sold, however the Company does have collection and administrative responsibilities for the sold receivables. The Company has not recorded any servicing assets or liabilities as of July 2, 2016 for this agreement as the fair value of these servicing arrangements as well as the fees earned were not material to the financial statements.

Note 3 Goodwill and other intangible assets

Acquisition

In March 2016, the Company completed the acquisition of an organic and natural snack company for \$18 million, which was accounted for under the purchase method and financed with cash on hand. The assets, which primarily consist of indefinite lived brands, and liabilities are included in the Consolidated Balance Sheet as of July 2, 2016 within the North America Other segment.

Joint Venture

In January 2016, the Company formed a Joint Venture with Tolaram Africa to develop snacks and breakfast foods for the West Africa market. In connection with the formation, the Company contributed the rights to indefinitely use the Company's brands in these categories, including the Pringles brand. Accordingly, the Company recorded a contribution of \$5 million of intangible assets not subject to amortization with a corresponding increase in Investments in unconsolidated entities during the year-to-date period ended July 2, 2016, which represents the value attributed to the Pringles brand for this market.

Carrying amount of goodwill

(millions)	U.S. Morning Foods	U.S. Snacks	U.S. Specialty	North America Other	Europe	Latin America	Asia Pacific	Consoli- dated
January 2, 2016	\$ 131	\$3,568	\$ 82	\$ 456	\$ 431	\$ 76	\$ 224	\$4,968

Edgar Filing: KELLOGG CO - Form 10-Q

Currency translation adjustment	—	—	—	3	(8)	(1)	1	(5)
July 2, 2016	\$ 131	\$3,568	\$ 82	\$ 459	\$ 423	\$ 75	\$ 225	\$4,963			

Table of ContentsIntangible assets subject to amortization
(millions)

	U.S. Morning Foods	U.S. Snacks	U.S. Specialty	North America Other	Europe	Latin America	Asia Pacific	Consoli- dated
Gross carrying amount								
January 2, 2016	\$ 8	\$ 42	\$	-\$ 5	\$ 45	\$ 6	\$ 10	\$ 116
Currency translation adjustment	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
July 2, 2016	\$ 8	\$ 42	\$	-\$ 5	\$ 45	\$ 6	\$ 10	\$ 116
Accumulated Amortization								
January 2, 2016	\$ 8	\$ 16	\$	-\$ 4	\$ 11	\$ 6	\$ 2	\$ 47
Amortization	—	2	—	—	2	—	—	4
July 2, 2016	\$ 8	\$ 18	\$	-\$ 4	\$ 13	\$ 6	\$ 2	\$ 51

Intangible assets subject to amortization, net

January 2, 2016	\$ —	\$ 26	\$	-\$ 1	\$ 34	\$ —	\$ 8	\$ 69
Currency translation adjustment	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Amortization	—	(2)	—	—	(2)	—	—	(4)
July 2, 2016	\$ —	\$ 24	\$	-\$ 1	\$ 32	\$ —	\$ 8	\$ 65

For intangible assets in the preceding table, amortization was \$4 million for the year-to-date periods ended July 2, 2016 and July 4, 2015. The currently estimated aggregate annual amortization expense for full-year 2016 is approximately \$7 million.

Intangible assets not subject to amortization

(millions)	U.S. Morning Foods	U.S. Snacks	U.S. Specialty	North America Other	Europe	Latin America	Asia Pacific	Consoli- dated
January 2, 2016	\$	-\$1,625	\$	-\$ 158	\$ 416	\$	-\$	-\$2,199
Additions	—	—	—	18	—	—	—	18
Contribution to joint venture	—	—	—	—	(5)	—	—	(5)
Currency translation adjustment	—	—	—	1	4	—	—	5
July 2, 2016	\$	-\$1,625	\$	-\$ 177	\$ 415	\$	-\$	-\$2,217

Note 4 Investments in unconsolidated entities

In September 2015, the Company acquired, for \$445 million, a 50% interest in Multipro Singapore Pte. Ltd. (Multipro), a leading distributor of a variety of food products in Nigeria and Ghana and also obtained an option to acquire 24.5% of an affiliated food manufacturing entity under common ownership based on a fixed multiple of future earnings as defined in the agreement (Purchase Option). The purchase price was subject to final adjustments based on Multipro's 2015 earnings, as defined in the agreement, which was finalized during the quarter ended July 2, 2016. The final purchase price adjustment resulted in a \$28 million reduction in the purchase price, which reduced the carrying amount of the investment. The acquisition of the 50% interest is accounted for under the equity method of accounting. The Purchase Option, which was recorded at cost and will be monitored for impairment through the exercise period, which is upon the earlier of the entity achieving a minimum level of earnings as defined in the agreement, in which case the Company has a one year exercise period, or 2020.

The difference between the amount paid for Multipro and the underlying equity in net assets is primarily attributable to intangible assets, a portion of which will be amortized in future periods, and goodwill.

Table of Contents

Note 5 Restructuring and cost reduction activities

The Company views its continued spending on restructuring and cost reduction activities as part of its ongoing operating principles to provide greater visibility in achieving its long-term profit growth targets. Initiatives undertaken are currently expected to recover cash implementation costs within a five-year period of completion. Upon completion (or as each major stage is completed in the case of multi-year programs), the project begins to deliver cash savings and/or reduced depreciation.

Project K

Project K, a four-year efficiency and effectiveness program, was announced in November 2013, and is expected to continue generating a significant amount of savings that may be invested in key strategic areas of focus for the business. Additionally, the Company expects that these savings may be used to drive future growth in the business.

The focus of the program is to strengthen existing businesses in core markets, increase growth in developing and emerging markets, and drive an increased level of value-added innovation. The program is expected to continue to provide a number of benefits, including an optimized supply chain infrastructure, the implementation of global business services, and a new global focus on categories.

The Company currently anticipates that Project K will result in total pre-tax charges, once all phases are approved and implemented, of \$1.2 to \$1.4 billion, with after-tax cash costs, including incremental capital investments, estimated to be \$900 million to \$1.1 billion. Based on current estimates and actual charges to date, the Company expects the total project charges will consist of asset-related costs totaling \$400 to \$450 million which will consist primarily of asset impairments, accelerated depreciation and other exit-related costs; employee-related costs totaling \$400 to \$450 million which will include severance, pension and other termination benefits; and other costs totaling \$400 to \$500 million which will consist primarily of charges related to the design and implementation of global business capabilities. A significant portion of other costs are the result of the implementation of global business service centers which are intended to simplify and standardize business support processes.

The Company currently expects that total pre-tax charges will impact reportable segments as follows: U.S. Morning Foods (approximately 18%), U.S. Snacks (approximately 17%), U.S. Specialty (approximately 1%), North America Other (approximately 10%), Europe (approximately 17%), Latin America (approximately 2%), Asia-Pacific (approximately 6%), and Corporate (approximately 29%). Certain costs impacting Corporate relate to additional initiatives to be approved and executed in the future. When these initiatives are fully defined and approved, the Company will update its estimated costs by reportable segment as needed.

Since the inception of Project K, the Company has recognized charges of \$924 million that have been attributed to the program. The charges consist of \$6 million recorded as a reduction of revenue, \$571 million recorded in COGS and \$347 million recorded in SGA.

Other Projects

In 2015 the Company initiated the implementation of a zero-based budgeting (ZBB) program in its North America business that is expected to deliver visibility to ongoing annual savings. During 2016 ZBB was expanded to include the international segments of the business. In support of the ZBB initiative, the Company incurred pre-tax charges of approximately \$12 million and \$17 million during the quarter and year-to-date period ended July 2, 2016, respectively. Total charges of \$29 million have been recognized since the inception of the ZBB program.

Total Projects

During the quarter ended July 2, 2016, the Company recorded total charges of \$72 million across all restructuring and cost reduction activities. The charges were comprised of \$36 million recorded in cost of goods sold (COGS) and \$36 million recorded in selling, general and administrative (SGA) expense. During the year-to-date period ended July 2, 2016, the Company recorded total charges of \$124 million across all restructuring and cost reduction activities. The charges consist of \$54 million recorded in COGS and \$70 million recorded in SGA expense.

During the quarter ended July 4, 2015, the Company recorded total charges of \$90 million across all restructuring and cost reduction activities. The charges consist of \$65 million recorded in COGS and \$25 million recorded in SGA expense. During the year-to-date period ended July 4, 2015, the Company recorded total charges of \$158 million across all restructuring and cost reduction activities. The charges consist of \$2 million recorded as a reduction of revenue, \$97 million recorded in COGS and \$59 million recorded in SGA expense.

Table of Contents

The tables below provide the details for charges across all restructuring and cost reduction activities incurred during the quarter and year-to-date periods ended July 2, 2016 and July 4, 2015 and program costs to date for programs currently active as of July 2, 2016.

(millions)	Quarter ended		Year-to-date period ended		Program costs to date July 2, 2016
	July 2, 2016	July 4, 2015	July 2, 2016	July 4, 2015	
Employee related costs	\$6	\$ 16	\$ 20	\$ 33	\$ 279
Asset related costs	17	24	27	47	173
Asset impairment	16	18	16	18	121
Other costs	33	32	61	60	380
Total	\$72	\$ 90	\$ 124	\$ 158	\$ 953

(millions)	Quarter ended		Year-to-date period ended		Program costs to date July 2, 2016
	July 2, 2016	July 4, 2015	July 2, 2016	July 4, 2015	
U.S. Morning Foods	\$4	\$ 13	\$ 9	\$ 21	\$ 227
U.S. Snacks	34	10	54	19	180
U.S. Specialty	1	1	3	2	14
North America Other	4	23	13	29	103
Europe	14	25	28	44	201
Latin America	4	1	4	1	20
Asia Pacific	4	3	4	8	78
Corporate	7	14	9	34	130
Total	\$72	\$ 90	\$ 124	\$ 158	\$ 953

For the quarters ended July 2, 2016 and July 4, 2015 employee related costs consist primarily of severance benefits, asset related costs consist primarily of accelerated depreciation, and other costs consist primarily of third-party incremental costs related to the development and implementation of global business capabilities.

At July 2, 2016 total exit cost reserves were \$70 million, related to severance payments and other costs of which a substantial portion will be paid out in 2016 and 2017. The following table provides details for exit cost reserves.

	Employee Related Costs	Asset Impairment	Asset Related Costs	Other Costs	Total
Liability as of January 2, 2016	\$ 55	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 33	\$ 88
2016 restructuring charges	20	16	27	61	124
Cash payments	(35)	—	(11)	(64)	(110)
Non-cash charges and other	—	(16)	(16)	—	(32)
Liability as of July 2, 2016	\$ 40	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 30	\$ 70

Table of Contents

Note 6 Equity

Earnings per share

Basic earnings per share is determined by dividing net income attributable to Kellogg Company by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share is similarly determined, except that the denominator is increased to include the number of additional common shares that would have been outstanding if all dilutive potential common shares had been issued. Dilutive potential common shares consist principally of employee stock options issued by the Company, and to a lesser extent, certain contingently issuable performance shares. Basic earnings per share is reconciled to diluted earnings per share in the following table. There were 3 million and 2 million anti-dilutive potential common shares excluded from the reconciliation for the quarter and year-to-date periods ended July 2, 2016, respectively. There were 3 million and 2 million anti dilutive potential common shares excluded from the reconciliation for the quarter and year-to-date periods ended July 4, 2015, respectively.

Quarters ended July 2, 2016 and July 4, 2015:

(millions, except per share data)	Net income attributable to Kellogg Company	Average shares outstanding	Earnings per share
2016			
Basic	\$ 280	350	\$ 0.80
Dilutive potential common shares		4	(0.01)
Diluted	\$ 280	354	\$ 0.79
2015			
Basic	\$ 223	353	\$ 0.63
Dilutive potential common shares		2	—
Diluted	\$ 223	355	\$ 0.63

Year-to-date periods ended July 2, 2016 and July 4, 2015:

(millions, except per share data)	Net income attributable to Kellogg Company	Average shares outstanding	Earnings per share
2016			
Basic	\$ 455	350	\$ 1.30
Dilutive potential common shares		4	(0.01)
Diluted	\$ 455	354	\$ 1.29
2015			
Basic	\$ 450	354	\$ 1.27
Dilutive potential common shares		2	(0.01)
Diluted	\$ 450	356	\$ 1.26

In February 2014, the Company's board of directors approved a share repurchase program authorizing the repurchase of up to \$1.5 billion of our common stock through December 2015. In December 2015, the board of directors approved a new authorization to repurchase of up to \$1.5 billion of our common stock beginning in 2016 through December 2017.

During the year-to-date period ended July 2, 2016, the Company repurchased approximately 5 million shares of common stock for a total of \$386 million. During the year-to-date period ended July 4, 2015, the Company repurchased 4 million shares of common stock for a total of \$285 million.

Comprehensive income

Comprehensive income includes net income and all other changes in equity during a period except those resulting from investments by or distributions to shareholders. Other comprehensive income consists of foreign currency translation adjustments, fair value adjustments associated with cash flow hedges and adjustments for net experience

losses and prior service cost related to employee benefit plans.

14

Table of Contents

Reclassifications out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (AOCI) for the quarter and year-to-date periods ended July 2, 2016 consisted of the following:

(millions)

Details about AOCI components	Amount reclassified from AOCI		Line item impacted within Income Statement
	Quarter ended July 2, 2016	Year-to-date period ended July 2, 2016	
(Gains) losses on cash flow hedges:			
Foreign currency exchange contracts	\$ —	\$ (7)	COGS
Foreign currency exchange contracts	—	—	SGA
Interest rate contracts	2	8	Interest expense
Commodity contracts	4	7	COGS
	\$ 6	\$ 8	Total before tax
	(2)	(3)	Tax expense (benefit)
	\$ 4	\$ 5	Net of tax
Amortization of postretirement and postemployment benefits:			
Net experience loss	\$ 1	\$ 2	See Note 9 for further details
Prior service cost	2	2	See Note 9 for further details
	\$ 3	\$ 4	Total before tax
	—	—	Tax expense (benefit)
	\$ 3	\$ 4	Net of tax
Total reclassifications	\$ 7	\$ 9	Net of tax

Table of Contents

Reclassifications out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (AOCI) for the quarter and year-to-date periods ended July 4, 2015 consisted of the following:

(millions)

Details about AOCI components	Amount reclassified from AOCI		Line item impacted within Income Statement
	Quarter ended July 4, 2015	Year-to-date period ended July 4, 2015	
(Gains) losses on cash flow hedges:			
Foreign currency exchange contracts	\$ (9)	\$ (16)) COGS
Foreign currency exchange contracts	2	2) SGA
Interest rate contracts	1	1) Interest expense
Commodity contracts	3	6) COGS
	\$ (3)	\$ (7)) Total before tax
	—	—) Tax expense (benefit)
	\$ (3)	\$ (7)) Net of tax
Amortization of postretirement and postemployment benefits:			
Net experience loss	\$ 1	\$ 2) See Note 9 for further details
Prior service cost	2	5) See Note 9 for further details
	\$ 3	\$ 7) Total before tax
	(1)	(2)) Tax expense (benefit)
	\$ 2	\$ 5) Net of tax
Total reclassifications	\$ (1)	\$ (2)) Net of tax

Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) as of July 2, 2016 and January 2, 2016 consisted of the following:

(millions)	July 2, 2016	January 2, 2016
Foreign currency translation adjustments	\$(1,404)	\$(1,314)
Cash flow hedges — unrealized net gain (loss)	(70)	(39)
Postretirement and postemployment benefits:		
Net experience loss	(14)	(16)
Prior service cost	(6)	(7)
Total accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	\$(1,494)	\$(1,376)

Noncontrolling interests

In December 2012, the Company entered into a series of agreements with a third party including a subordinated loan (VIE Loan) of \$44 million which is convertible into approximately 85% of the equity of the entity (VIE). Due to this convertible subordinated loan and other agreements, the Company determined that the entity was a variable interest entity, the Company is the primary beneficiary and the Company has consolidated the financial statements of the VIE. The results of the VIE's operations are included in the Consolidated Statements of Income for the six months period ended July 4, 2015. During the quarter ended April 4, 2015, the Company determined that certain assets related to the VIE may not be fully recoverable and recorded a non-cash charge of \$25 million, which was recorded as other income (expense), net. During the quarter ended July 4, 2015, the 2012 Agreements were terminated and the VIE loan, including related accrued interest and other receivables, were settled, resulting in a partial reversal of the prior quarter charge of \$6 million for the quarter ended July 4, 2015. The net charge in the year-to-date period ended July 4, 2015 of \$19 million was recorded in Other income (expense), net. Upon termination of the 2013 Agreements, the Company

is no longer considered the primary beneficiary of the VIE and accordingly, the VIE was deconsolidated as of July 4, 2015. In connection with the deconsolidation, the Company derecognized all assets and liabilities of the VIE, including an allocation of a portion of goodwill from the U.S. Snacks operating segment, resulting in a \$67 million non-cash gain, which was recorded within SGA expense for the quarter ended July 4, 2015.

Table of Contents

Note 7 Debt

The following table presents the components of notes payable at July 2, 2016 and January 2, 2016:

(millions)	July 2, 2016		January 2, 2016	
	Principal amount	Effective interest rate (a)	Principal amount	Effective interest rate
U.S. commercial paper	\$425	0.70 %	\$899	0.45 %
Europe commercial paper	328	(0.09)%	261	0.01 %
Bank borrowings	27		44	
Total	\$780		\$1,204	

(a) Negative effective interest rates on certain borrowings in Europe are the result of efforts by the European Central Bank to stimulate the economy in the eurozone.

In May 2016, the Company issued €600 million (approximately \$664 million USD at July 2, 2016, which reflects the discount and translation adjustments) of eight-year 1.00% Euro Notes due 2024, resulting in aggregate net proceeds after debt discount of \$679 million. The proceeds from these Notes were used for general corporate purposes, including, together with cash on hand and additional commercial paper borrowings, repayment of the Company's \$750 million, five-year 4.45% U.S. Dollar Notes due 2016 at maturity. The Notes contain customary covenants that limit the ability of the Company and its restricted subsidiaries (as defined) to incur certain liens or enter into certain sale and lease-back transactions, as well as a change of control provision. The Notes were designated as a net investment hedge of the Company's investment in its Europe subsidiary when issued.

In the second quarter of 2016 the Company entered into interest rate swaps with notional amounts totaling approximately \$958 million and €600 million which effectively converted \$600 million of its 4.0% ten-year U.S. Dollar Notes due 2020, \$358 million of its 3.125% U.S. Dollar Notes due 2022 and €600 million of its 1.00% Euro Notes due 2024 from fixed to floating rate obligations. The U.S. Dollar interest rate swaps were settled during the quarter for an unrealized gain of \$12 million which will be amortized to interest expense over the remaining term of the related Notes.

In March 2016, the Company redeemed \$475 million of its 7.45% U.S. Dollar Debentures due 2031. In connection with the debt redemption, the Company incurred \$153 million of interest expense, consisting primarily of a premium on the tender offer and also including accelerated losses on pre-issuance interest rate hedges, acceleration of fees and debt discount on the redeemed debt and fees related to the tender offer.

In March 2016, the Company issued \$750 million of ten-year 3.25% U.S. Dollar Notes and \$650 million of thirty-year 4.5% U.S. Dollar Notes, resulting in aggregate net proceeds after debt discount of \$1.382 billion. The proceeds from these Notes were used for general corporate purposes, which included repayment of a portion of the Company's 7.45% U.S. Dollar Debentures due 2031 and a portion of its commercial paper borrowings. The Notes contain customary covenants that limit the ability of the Company and its restricted subsidiaries (as defined) to incur certain liens or enter into certain sale and lease-back transactions, as well as a change of control provision.

The effective interest rates on debt obligations resulting from the Company's interest rate swaps as of July 2, 2016 were as follows: (a) five-year 1.875% U.S. Dollar Notes due 2016 – 1.91%; (b) five-year 1.75% U.S. Dollar Notes due 2017 – 1.83%; (c) seven-year 3.25% U.S. Dollar Notes due 2018 – 2.58%; (d) ten-year 4.15% U.S. Dollar Notes due 2019 – 3.55%; (e) ten-year 4.00% U.S. Dollar Notes due 2020 – 2.99%; (f) ten-year 3.125% U.S. Dollar Notes due 2022 – 2.51%; (g) eight-year 1.00% Euro Notes due 2024 - 0.82%.

Note 8 Stock compensation

The Company uses various equity-based compensation programs to provide long-term performance incentives for its global workforce. Currently, these incentives consist principally of stock options, restricted stock units, and to a lesser extent, executive performance shares and restricted stock grants. The Company also sponsors a discounted stock purchase plan in the United States and matching-grant programs in several international locations. Additionally, the Company awards restricted stock to its outside directors. The interim information below should be read in conjunction with the disclosures included within the stock compensation footnote of the Company's 2015 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

The Company classifies pre-tax stock compensation expense in COGS and SGA expense principally within its corporate operations. For the periods presented, compensation expense for all types of equity-based programs and the related income tax benefit recognized was as follows:

(millions)	Quarter	Year-to-date	
	ended	period ended	
	July 2,	July 2,	July 4,
	2016	2015	2015
Pre-tax compensation expense	\$ 17	\$ 13	\$ 25
Related income tax benefit	\$ 6	\$ 5	\$ 9

As of July 2, 2016, total stock-based compensation cost related to non-vested awards not yet recognized was \$115 million and the weighted-average period over which this amount is expected to be recognized was 2 years.

Stock options

During the year-to-date periods ended July 2, 2016 and July 4, 2015, the Company granted non-qualified stock options to eligible employees as presented in the following activity tables. Terms of these grants and the Company's methods for determining grant-date fair value of the awards were consistent with that described within the stock compensation footnote in the Company's 2015 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Year-to-date period ended July 2, 2016:

Employee and director stock options	Shares (millions)	Weighted-	Weighted-	Aggregate intrinsic value (millions)
		average exercise price	average remaining contractual term (yrs.)	
Outstanding, beginning of period	19	\$ 58		
Granted	3	76		
Exercised	(4)	56		
Forfeitures and expirations	—	—		
Outstanding, end of period	18	\$ 61	7.2	\$ 335
Exercisable, end of period	10	\$ 57	6.2	\$ 247

Year-to-date period ended July 4, 2015:

Employee and director stock options	Shares (millions)	Weighted-	Weighted-	Aggregate intrinsic value (millions)
		average exercise price	average remaining contractual term (yrs.)	
Outstanding, beginning of period	21	\$ 56		
Granted	3	64		
Exercised	(2)	53		
Forfeitures and expirations	—	—		
Outstanding, end of period	22	\$ 57	7.1	\$ 131
Exercisable, end of period	13	\$ 55	6.1	\$ 114

Table of Contents

The weighted-average fair value of options granted was \$9.44 per share and \$7.20 per share for the year-to-date periods ended July 2, 2016 and July 4, 2015, respectively. The fair value was estimated using the following assumptions:

	Weighted- average expected volatility	Weighted- average expected term (years)	Weighted- average risk-free interest rate	Dividend yield
Grants within the quarter ended July 2, 2016:	17 %	6.9	1.60 %	2.60 %
Grants within the quarter ended July 4, 2015:	16 %	6.9	1.98 %	3.00 %

The total intrinsic value of options exercised was \$79 million and \$23 million for the year-to-date periods ended July 2, 2016 and July 4, 2015, respectively.

Performance shares

In the first quarter of 2016, the Company granted performance shares to a limited number of senior executive-level employees, which entitle these employees to receive a specified number of shares of the Company's common stock upon vesting. The number of shares earned could range between 0 and 200% of the target amount depending upon performance achieved over the three year vesting period. The performance conditions of the award include three-year currency-neutral comparable operating profit growth and total shareholder return (TSR) of the Company's common stock relative to a select group of peer companies.

A Monte Carlo valuation model was used to determine the fair value of the awards. The TSR performance metric is a market condition. Therefore, compensation cost of the TSR condition is fixed at the measurement date and is not revised based on actual performance. The TSR metric was valued as a multiplier of possible levels of comparable operating profit growth achievement. Compensation cost related to comparable operating profit growth performance is revised for changes in the expected outcome. The 2016 target grant currently corresponds to approximately 188,000 shares, with a grant-date fair value of \$76 per share.

Based on the market price of the Company's common stock at July 2, 2016, the maximum future value that could be awarded to employees on the vesting date for all outstanding performance share awards was as follows:

	July
(millions)	2,
	2016
2014 Award	\$ 32
2015 Award	\$ 27
2016 Award	\$ 31

The 2013 performance share award, payable in stock, was settled at 35% of target in February 2016 for a total dollar equivalent of \$3 million.

Other stock-based awards

During the year-to-date period ended July 2, 2016, the Company granted restricted stock units and a nominal number of restricted stock awards to eligible employees as presented in the following table. Terms of these grants and the Company's method of determining grant-date fair value were consistent with that described within the stock compensation footnote in the Company's 2015 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

Year-to-date period ended July 2, 2016:

Employee restricted stock and restricted stock units	Shares (thousands)	Weighted-average grant-date fair value
Non-vested, beginning of year	806	\$ 58
Granted	574	70
Vested	(51)	55
Forfeited	(55)	62
Non-vested, end of period	1,274	\$ 63

Year-to-date period ended July 4, 2015:

Employee restricted stock and restricted stock units	Shares (thousands)	Weighted-average grant-date fair value
Non-vested, beginning of year	346	\$ 54
Granted	563	58
Vested	(79)	51
Forfeited	(17)	56
Non-vested, end of period	813	\$ 57

Note 9 Employee benefits

The Company sponsors a number of U.S. and foreign pension plans as well as other nonpension postretirement and postemployment plans to provide various benefits for its employees. These plans are described within the footnotes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in the Company's 2015 Annual Report on Form 10-K. Components of Company plan benefit expense for the periods presented are included in the tables below.

Pension

(millions)	Quarter ended		Year-to-date period ended	
	July 2, 2016	July 4, 2015	July 2, 2016	July 4, 2015
Service cost	\$25	\$28	\$49	\$56
Interest cost	44	53	88	106
Expected return on plan assets	(90)	(100)	(179)	(200)
Amortization of unrecognized prior service cost	4	3	7	6
Total pension (income) expense	\$(17)	\$(16)	\$(35)	\$(32)
Other nonpension postretirement				

(millions)	Quarter ended		Year-to-date period ended	
	July 2, 2016	July 4, 2015	July 2, 2016	July 4, 2015
Service cost	\$5	\$9	\$10	\$17
Interest cost	9	13	19	25
Expected return on plan assets	(23)	(25)	(45)	(50)
Amortization of unrecognized prior service cost (credit)	(2)	(1)	(5)	(1)
Total postretirement benefit (income) expense	\$(11)	\$(4)	\$(21)	\$(9)

Table of Contents

Postemployment

(millions)	Quarter ended		Year-to-date period ended	
	July 2, 2016	July 4, 2015	July 2, 2016	July 4, 2015
Service cost	\$ 2	\$ 1	\$ 4	\$ 3
Interest cost	1	1	2	2
Recognized net loss	1	1	2	2
Total postemployment benefit expense	\$ 4	\$ 3	\$ 8	\$ 7

Company contributions to employee benefit plans are summarized as follows:

(millions)	Pension	Nonpension postretirement	Total
Quarter ended:			
July 2, 2016	\$ 2	\$ 4	\$ 6
July 4, 2015	\$ 1	\$ 4	\$ 5
Year-to-date period ended:			
July 2, 2016	\$ 15	\$ 8	\$ 23
July 4, 2015	\$ 10	\$ 7	\$ 17
Full year:			
Fiscal year 2016 (projected)	\$ 28	\$ 15	\$ 43
Fiscal year 2015 (actual)	\$ 19	\$ 14	\$ 33

Plan funding strategies may be modified in response to management's evaluation of tax deductibility, market conditions, and competing investment alternatives.

Note 10 Income taxes

The consolidated effective tax rate for the quarter ended July 2, 2016 was 27% as compared to the prior year's rate of 28%. The consolidated effective tax rates for the year-to-date periods ended July 2, 2016 and July 4, 2015 were 25% and 26%, respectively. The effective rate for the first half of 2016 benefited from excess tax benefits from share-based compensation as well as a benefit related to an audit closure. See Note 1 for further discussion regarding the ASU adoption. The effective tax rate for 2015 benefited from a reduction in tax related to current year remitted and unremitted earnings and the completion of certain tax examinations.

As of July 2, 2016, the Company classified \$14 million of unrecognized tax benefits as a net current liability. Management's estimate of reasonably possible changes in unrecognized tax benefits during the next twelve months consists of the current liability balance expected to be settled within one year, offset by approximately \$8 million of projected additions related primarily to ongoing intercompany transfer pricing activity. Management is currently unaware of any issues under review that could result in significant additional payments, accruals or other material deviation in this estimate.

Table of Contents

Following is a reconciliation of the Company's total gross unrecognized tax benefits for the year-to-date period ended July 2, 2016; \$50 million of this total represents the amount that, if recognized, would affect the Company's effective income tax rate in future periods.

(millions)	
January 2, 2016	\$73
Tax positions related to current year:	
Additions	3
Reductions	—
Tax positions related to prior years:	
Additions	1
Reductions	—
Settlements	—
July 2, 2016	\$77

For the quarter and year-to-date periods ended July 2, 2016, the Company recognized an increase of \$1 million and \$2 million, respectively, for tax-related interest. During the year-to-date period ended July 4, 2015, the Company recognized tax-related interest and penalties netting to zero. The accrual balance was \$19 million at July 2, 2016.

Note 11 Derivative instruments and fair value measurements

The Company is exposed to certain market risks such as changes in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates, and commodity prices, which exist as a part of its ongoing business operations. Management uses derivative financial and commodity instruments, including futures, options, and swaps, where appropriate, to manage these risks. Instruments used as hedges must be effective at reducing the risk associated with the exposure being hedged.

The Company designates derivatives as cash flow hedges, fair value hedges, net investment hedges, and uses other contracts to reduce volatility in interest rates, foreign currency and commodities. As a matter of policy, the Company does not engage in trading or speculative hedging transactions.

Total notional amounts of the Company's derivative instruments as of July 2, 2016 and January 2, 2016 were as follows:

(millions)	July 2, 2016	January 2, 2016
Foreign currency exchange contracts	\$1,288	\$1,210
Interest rate contracts	668	—
Commodity contracts	470	470
Total	\$2,426	\$1,680

Following is a description of each category in the fair value hierarchy and the financial assets and liabilities of the Company that were included in each category at July 2, 2016 and January 2, 2016, measured on a recurring basis.

Level 1 – Financial assets and liabilities whose values are based on unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in an active market. For the Company, level 1 financial assets and liabilities consist primarily of commodity derivative contracts.

Level 2 – Financial assets and liabilities whose values are based on quoted prices in markets that are not active or model inputs that are observable either directly or indirectly for substantially the full term of the asset or liability. For the Company, level 2 financial assets and liabilities consist of interest rate swaps and over-the-counter commodity and currency contracts.

The Company's calculation of the fair value of interest rate swaps is derived from a discounted cash flow analysis based on the terms of the contract and the interest rate curve. Over-the-counter commodity derivatives are valued using an income approach based on the commodity index prices less the contract rate multiplied by the notional amount. Foreign currency contracts are valued using an income approach based on forward rates less the contract rate multiplied by the notional amount. The Company's calculation of the fair value of level 2 financial assets and liabilities takes into consideration the risk of nonperformance, including counterparty credit risk.

Table of Contents

Level 3 – Financial assets and liabilities whose values are based on prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both unobservable and significant to the overall fair value measurement. These inputs reflect management’s own assumptions about the assumptions a market participant would use in pricing the asset or liability. The Company did not have any level 3 financial assets or liabilities as of July 2, 2016 or January 2, 2016.

The following table presents assets and liabilities that were measured at fair value in the Consolidated Balance Sheet on a recurring basis as of July 2, 2016 and January 2, 2016:

Derivatives designated as hedging instruments

(millions)	July 2, 2016		January 2, 2016	
	Level 1	Level 2 Total	Level 1	Level 2 Total
Assets:				
Foreign currency exchange contracts:				
Other prepaid assets	\$20	\$20	\$11	\$11
Interest rate contracts:				
Other assets (a)	—	10	—	—
Total assets	\$30	\$30	\$11	\$11
Liabilities:				
Foreign currency exchange contracts:				
Other current liabilities	—	\$(12)	—	\$(10)
Commodity contracts:				
Other current liabilities	—	\$(6)	—	\$(14)
Total liabilities	—	\$(18)	—	\$(24)

(a) The fair value of the related hedged portion of the Company's long-term debt, a level 2 liability, was \$668 million as of July 2, 2016.

Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments

(millions)	July 2, 2016			January 2, 2016		
	Level 1	Level 2	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Total
Assets:						
Foreign currency exchange contracts:						
Other prepaid assets	\$—	\$9	\$9	\$—	\$18	\$18
Commodity contracts:						
Other prepaid assets	7	—	7	4	—	4
Total assets	\$7	\$9	\$16	\$4	\$18	\$22
Liabilities:						
Foreign currency exchange contracts:						
Other current liabilities	—	\$(5)	\$(5)	—	\$(6)	\$(6)
Commodity contracts:						
Other current liabilities	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total liabilities	—	\$(5)	\$(5)	—	—	—

The Company has designated a portion of its outstanding foreign currency denominated long-term debt as a net investment hedge of a portion of the Company’s investment in its subsidiaries’ foreign currency denominated net assets. The carrying value of this debt was approximately \$1.9 billion and \$1.2 billion as of July 2, 2016 and January 2, 2016, respectively.

Table of Contents

The Company has elected not to offset the fair values of derivative assets and liabilities executed with the same counterparty that are generally subject to enforceable netting agreements. However, if the Company were to offset and record the asset and liability balances of derivatives on a net basis, the amounts presented in the Consolidated Balance Sheet as of July 2, 2016 and January 2, 2016 would be adjusted as detailed in the following table:

As of July 2, 2016:

	Gross Amounts Not Offset in the Consolidated Balance Sheet			
	Amounts Presented in the Consolidated Balance Sheet	Financial Instruments	Cash Collateral Received/Posted	Net Amount
Total asset derivatives	\$ 46	\$ (12)	\$ —	\$ 34
Total liability derivatives	\$ (39)	\$ 12	\$ 27	\$ —

As of January 2, 2016:

	Gross Amounts Not Offset in the Consolidated Balance Sheet			
	Amounts Presented in the Consolidated Balance Sheet	Financial Instruments	Cash Collateral Received/Posted	Net Amount
Total asset derivatives	\$ 33	\$ (12)	\$ —	\$ 21
Total liability derivatives	\$ (63)	\$ 12	\$ 51	\$ —

Table of Contents

The effect of derivative instruments on the Consolidated Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income for the quarters ended July 2, 2016 and July 4, 2015 was as follows:

Derivatives in fair value hedging relationships

(millions)	Location of gain (loss) recognized in income	Gain (loss) recognized in income (a) July 2, 2016	July 4, 2015
Foreign currency exchange contracts	Other income (expense), net	\$ —	\$ —
Interest rate contracts	Interest expense	3	(2)
Total		\$ 3	\$ (2)

(a) Includes the ineffective portion and amount excluded from effectiveness testing.

Derivatives in cash flow hedging relationships

(millions)	Gain (loss) recognized in AOCI	Location of gain (loss) reclassified from AOCI	Gain (loss) reclassified from AOCI into income	Location of gain (loss) recognized in income (a)	Gain (loss) recognized in income (a) July 2, 2016	July 4, 2015
Foreign currency exchange contracts	\$ (1)	COGS	\$ —	Other income (expense), net	\$ (1)	\$ (2)
Foreign currency exchange contracts	(6)	SGA expense	(2)	Other income (expense), net	—	—
Interest rate contracts	(3)	Interest expense	(2)	N/A	—	—
Commodity contracts	1	COGS	(4)	Other income (expense), net	—	—
Total	\$ (3)		\$ (6)		\$ (1)	\$ (2)

(a) Includes the ineffective portion and amount excluded from effectiveness testing.

Derivatives and non-derivatives in net investment hedging relationships

(millions)	Gain (loss) recognized in AOCI July 2, 2016	July 4, 2015
Foreign currency denominated long-term debt	\$ 46	\$ (14)
Foreign currency exchange contracts	(1)	—
Total	\$ 45	\$ (14)

Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments

(millions)	Location of gain (loss) recognized in income	Gain (loss) recognized in income July 2, 2016	July 4, 2015
Foreign currency exchange contracts	COGS	\$ (1)	\$ 1
Foreign currency exchange contracts	Other income (expense), net	(1)	5
Commodity contracts	COGS	6	13
Commodity contracts	SGA	2	1
Total		\$ 6	\$ 20

Table of Contents

The effect of derivative instruments on the Consolidated Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income for the year-to-date periods ended July 2, 2016 and July 4, 2015 was as follows:

Derivatives in fair value hedging relationships

(millions)	Location of gain (loss) recognized in income	Gain (loss) recognized in income (a)	
		July 2, 2016	July 4, 2015
Foreign currency exchange contracts	Other income (expense), net	\$ —	\$ (4)
Interest rate contracts	Interest expense	9	7
Total		\$ 9	\$ 3

(a) Includes the ineffective portion and amount excluded from effectiveness testing.

Derivatives in cash flow hedging relationships

(millions)	Gain (loss) recognized in AOCI		Location of gain (loss) reclassified from AOCI	Gain (loss) reclassified from AOCI into income		Location of gain (loss) recognized in income (a)	Gain (loss) recognized in income (a)	
	July 2, 2016	July 4, 2015		July 2, 2016	July 4, 2015		July 2, 2016	July 4, 2015
Foreign currency exchange contracts	\$ 9	\$ 19	COGS	\$ 7	\$ 16	Other income (expense), net	\$(1)	\$(2)
Foreign currency exchange contracts	—	(6)	SGA expense	—	(2)	Other income (expense), net	—	—
Interest rate contracts	(69)	(9)	Interest expense	(8)	(1)	N/A	—	—
Commodity contracts	—	—	COGS	(7)	(6)	Other income (expense), net	—	—
Total	\$ (60)	\$ 4		\$ (8)	\$ 7		\$(1)	\$(2)

(a) Includes the ineffective portion and amount excluded from effectiveness testing.

Derivatives and non-derivatives in net investment hedging relationships

(millions)	Gain (loss) recognized in AOCI	
	July 2, 2016	July 4, 2015
Foreign currency denominated long-term debt	\$ (12)	\$ 43
Foreign currency exchange contracts	(23)	—
Total	\$ (35)	\$ 43

Table of Contents

(millions)	Location of gain (loss) recognized in income	Gain (loss) recognized in income	
		July 2, 2016	July 4, 2015
Foreign currency exchange contracts	COGS	\$ (10)	\$ 1
Foreign currency exchange contracts	Other income (expense), net	10	7
Interest rate contracts	Interest expense	—	—
Commodity contracts	COGS	10	2
Commodity contracts	SGA	2	1
Total		\$ 12	\$ 11

During the next 12 months, the Company expects \$9 million of net deferred losses reported in AOCI at July 2, 2016 to be reclassified to income, assuming market rates remain constant through contract maturities.

Certain of the Company's derivative instruments contain provisions requiring the Company to post collateral on those derivative instruments that are in a liability position if the Company's credit rating is at or below BB+ (S&P), or Baa1 (Moody's). The fair value of all derivative instruments with credit-risk-related contingent features in a liability position on July 2, 2016 was \$5 million. If the credit-risk-related contingent features were triggered as of July 2, 2016, the Company would be required to post collateral of \$5 million. In addition, certain derivative instruments contain provisions that would be triggered in the event the Company defaults on its debt agreements. There were no collateral posting requirements as of July 2, 2016 triggered by credit-risk-related contingent features.

2016 fair value measurements on a nonrecurring basis

As part of Project K, the Company will be consolidating the usage of and disposing certain long-lived assets, including manufacturing facilities and Corporate owned assets over the term of the program. See Note 5 for more information regarding Project K.

During the quarter ended July 2, 2016, long-lived assets of \$26 million related to a manufacturing facility in the Company's US Snacks reportable segment, were written down to an estimated fair value of \$10 million due to Project K activities. The Company's calculation of the fair value of these long-lived assets is based on level 3 inputs, including market comparables, market trends and the condition of the assets.

The following table presents level 3 assets that were measured at fair value on the consolidated Balance Sheet on a nonrecurring basis as of July 2, 2016:

(millions)	Fair Value	Total Loss
Description:		
Long-lived assets	\$ 10	\$(16)
Total	\$ 10	\$(16)

2015 fair value measurements on a nonrecurring basis

During the quarter ended July 4, 2015, as part of Project K, long-lived assets of \$31 million related to a manufacturing facility in the Company's North America Other reportable segment, were written down to an estimated fair value of \$13 million due to Project K activities. The Company's calculation of the fair value of these long-lived assets is based on level 3 inputs, including market comparables, market trends and the condition of the assets.

During the quarter ended July 4, 2015, the Company moved from the CENCOEX foreign currency official exchange rate to the SIMADI foreign currency exchange rate for purposes of remeasuring the financial statements of its Venezuelan subsidiary. In connection with this change in foreign currency exchange rates, the Company also evaluated the carrying value of the long lived assets related to its Venezuelan subsidiary. See Note 13 for more

Table of Contents

information regarding Venezuela. During the quarter-ended July 4, 2015 long-lived assets with a carrying value of \$51 million were written down to an estimated fair value of \$2 million. The Company's calculation of the fair value of these long-lived assets is based on level 3 inputs, including market comparables, market trends and the condition of the assets.

The following table presents level 3 assets that were measured at fair value on the consolidated Balance Sheet on a nonrecurring basis as of July 4, 2015:

(millions)	Fair Value	Total Loss
Description:		
Long-lived assets	\$ 15	\$(67)
Total	\$ 15	\$(67)

Financial instruments

The carrying values of the Company's short-term items, including cash, cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable and notes payable approximate fair value. The fair value of the Company's long-term debt, which are level 2 liabilities, is calculated based on broker quotes and was as follows at July 2, 2016:

(millions)	Fair Value	Carrying Value
Current maturities of long-term debt	\$ 1,144	\$ 1,144
Long-term debt	6,815	6,277
Total	\$ 7,959	\$ 7,421

Counterparty credit risk concentration and collateral requirements

The Company is exposed to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by counterparties on derivative financial and commodity contracts. Management believes a concentration of credit risk with respect to derivative counterparties is limited due to the credit ratings and use of master netting and reciprocal collateralization agreements with the counterparties and the use of exchange-traded commodity contracts.

Master netting agreements apply in situations where the Company executes multiple contracts with the same counterparty. Certain counterparties represent a concentration of credit risk to the Company. If those counterparties fail to perform according to the terms of derivative contracts, this would result in a loss to the Company. As of July 2, 2016, the Company was not in a significant net asset position with any counterparties with which a master netting agreement would apply.

For certain derivative contracts, reciprocal collateralization agreements with counterparties call for the posting of collateral in the form of cash, treasury securities or letters of credit if a fair value loss position to the Company or its counterparties exceeds a certain amount. In addition, the Company is required to maintain cash margin accounts in connection with its open positions for exchange-traded commodity derivative instruments executed with the counterparty that are subject to enforceable netting agreements. As of July 2, 2016, the Company had no collateral posting requirements related to reciprocal collateralization agreements. As of July 2, 2016 the Company posted \$27 million in margin deposits for exchange-traded commodity derivative instruments, which was reflected as an increase in accounts receivable, net on the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Management believes concentrations of credit risk with respect to accounts receivable is limited due to the generally high credit quality of the Company's major customers, as well as the large number and geographic dispersion of smaller customers. However, the Company conducts a disproportionate amount of business with a small number of large multinational grocery retailers, with the five largest accounts encompassing approximately 24% of consolidated trade receivables at July 2, 2016.

Table of Contents

Note 12 Contingencies

In connection with the Company's previous labor negotiations with the union representing the work-force at its Memphis, TN cereal production facility, the National Labor Relations Board (NLRB) filed a complaint alleging unfair labor practices under the National Labor Relations Act in March 2014. In July 2014, a U.S. District Court judge ruled that the Memphis employees were entitled to return to work while the underlying litigation continues and employees subsequently returned to work. In August 2014, an NLRB Administrative Law Judge dismissed the complaint that initiated the underlying litigation. In May 2015, the NLRB reversed the decision of the Administrative Law Judge in favor of the union. The Company is appealing this decision and the case continues. This litigation is not expected to have a material effect on the production or distribution of products from the Memphis, TN facility or a material financial impact on the Company. As of July 2, 2016, the Company has not recorded a liability related to this matter as an adverse outcome is not considered probable. The Company will continue to evaluate the likelihood of potential outcomes for this case as the litigation continues.

Note 13 Venezuela

Venezuela is considered a highly inflationary economy. As such, the functional currency for the Company's operations in Venezuela is the U.S. dollar, which in turn, requires bolivar denominated monetary assets and liabilities to be remeasured into U.S. dollars using an exchange rate at which such balances could be settled as of the balance sheet date. In addition, revenues and expenses are recorded in U.S. dollars at an appropriate rate on the date of the transaction. Gains and losses resulting from the remeasurement of the bolivar denominated monetary assets and liabilities are recorded in earnings.

From February 2013 through July 4, 2015, the Company used the CENCOEX, official rate, which was 6.3 bolivars to the U.S. dollar, to remeasure its Venezuelan subsidiary's financial statements to U.S. dollars. The CENCOEX official rate was restricted toward goods and services for industry sectors considered essential, which are primarily food, medicines and a few others. In February 2015, the Venezuelan government announced the addition of a new foreign currency exchange system referred to as the Marginal Currency System, or SIMADI.

During 2015, the Company experienced an increase in the amount of time it takes to exchange bolivars for U.S. dollars through the CENCOEX exchange. Due to this reduced availability of U.S. dollars and upon review of U.S. dollar cash needs in the Company's Venezuela operations as of the quarter ended July 4, 2015, the Company concluded that it was no longer able to obtain sufficient U.S. dollars on a timely basis through the CENCOEX exchange resulting in a decision to remeasure our Venezuela subsidiary's financial statements using the SIMADI rate. In connection with the change in rates, the Company evaluated the carrying value of its non-monetary assets for impairment and lower of cost or market adjustments. As a result of moving from the CENCOEX official rate to the SIMADI rate, the Company recorded pre-tax charges totaling \$152 million in the quarter ended July 4, 2015. Of the total charges, \$100 million was recorded in COGS, \$3 million was recorded in SGA, and \$49 million was recorded in Other income (expense), net. These charges consist of \$47 million related to the remeasurement of net monetary assets denominated in Venezuelan bolivar at the SIMADI exchange rate (recorded in Other income (expense), net), \$56 million related to reducing inventory to the lower of cost or market (recorded in COGS) and \$49 million related to the impairment of long-lived assets in Venezuela (recorded primarily in COGS).

In February 2016, the Venezuelan government announced changes to its foreign currency exchange mechanisms, including a 59% devaluation of the CENCOEX (now named DIPRO) official rate from 6.3 bolivars to 10.0 bolivars to the U.S. dollar. Additionally the SIMADI exchange rate was replaced by the DICOM exchange rate, a new floating exchange rate for non-essential imports. The DICOM exchange rate was introduced at 206 bolivars to the U.S. dollar and the Venezuelan government has reported that the DICOM exchange rate will be allowed to float to meet market needs.

The Company has evaluated all of the facts and circumstances surrounding its Venezuelan business and determined that as of July 2, 2016, the DICOM (formerly SIMADI) rate continues to be the appropriate rate to use for remeasuring its Venezuelan subsidiary's financial statements.

As of July 2, 2016, the published DIPRO and DICOM rates offered were 10.0 and 632.9 bolivars to the U.S. dollar, respectively.

For the year-to-date periods ended July 2, 2016 and July 4, 2015, Venezuela represented less than 1% and approximately 3% of total net sales, respectively. The Company's net monetary assets denominated in the Venezuelan bolivar were immaterial after applying the DICOM and SIMADI exchange rates as of July 2, 2016 and January 2, 2016, respectively.

Table of Contents

The Company continues to monitor and actively manage its investment and exposure in Venezuela. The Company's Venezuelan business does not rely heavily on imports and when items are imported, they are largely exchanged at the DIPRO official rate; however, the Company considers it reasonably possible to utilize alternate exchange mechanisms in the future. The Company is continuing to take actions to further reduce its reliance on imports in order to run its operations without the need for U.S. dollars, including the elimination of imported ingredients where possible and developing a local supply for parts and materials. Less than 2% of the total raw material needs of the Company's Venezuela operations are imported. The Company will continue to monitor local conditions and its ability to obtain U.S. dollars through the various exchange mechanisms available to determine the appropriate rate for remeasurement.

Note 14 Reportable segments

Kellogg Company is the world's leading producer of cereal, second largest producer of cookies and crackers, and a leading producer of savory snacks and frozen foods. Additional product offerings include toaster pastries, cereal bars, fruit-flavored snacks and veggie foods. Kellogg products are manufactured and marketed globally. Principal markets for these products include the United States and United Kingdom.

The Company manages its operations through nine operating segments that are based on product category or geographic location. These operating segments are evaluated for similarity with regards to economic characteristics, products, production processes, types or classes of customers, distribution methods and regulatory environments to determine if they can be aggregated into reportable segments. The reportable segments are discussed in greater detail below.

The U.S. Morning Foods operating segment includes cereal, toaster pastries, and health and wellness beverages and bars.

U.S. Snacks includes cookies, crackers, cereal bars, savory snacks and fruit-flavored snacks.

U.S. Specialty primarily represents food away from home channels, including food service, convenience, vending, Girl Scouts and food manufacturing. The food service business is mostly non-commercial, serving institutions such as schools and hospitals. The convenience business includes traditional convenience stores as well as alternate retail outlets.

North America Other includes the U.S. Frozen, Kashi and Canada operating segments. As these operating segments are not considered economically similar enough to aggregate with other operating segments and are immaterial for separate disclosure, they have been grouped together as a single reportable segment.

The three remaining reportable segments are based on geographic location – Europe which consists principally of European countries; Latin America which consists of Central and South America and includes Mexico; and Asia Pacific which consists of Sub-Saharan Africa, Australia and other Asian and Pacific markets.

The measurement of reportable segment results is based on segment operating profit which is generally consistent with the presentation of operating profit in the Consolidated Statement of Income. Intercompany transactions between operating segments were insignificant in all periods presented.

Table of Contents

(millions)	Quarter ended		Year-to-date period ended	
	July 2, 2016	July 4, 2015	July 2, 2016	July 4, 2015
Net sales				
U.S. Morning Foods	\$727	\$742	\$1,494	\$1,518
U.S. Snacks	803	835	1,635	1,689
U.S. Specialty	271	270	647	631
North America Other	406	439	820	872
Europe	629	650	1,227	1,257
Latin America	204	328	396	623
Asia Pacific	228	234	444	464
Consolidated	\$3,268	\$3,498	\$6,663	\$7,054
Operating profit				
U.S. Morning Foods	\$165	\$131	\$313	\$258
U.S. Snacks (a)	69	160	152	240
U.S. Specialty	60	59	146	137
North America Other	47	37	92	96
Europe	68	57	138	118
Latin America (b)	20	(56)	43	(5)
Asia Pacific	12	10	29	22
Total Reportable Segments	441	398	913	866
Corporate (c)	8	14	(26)	(70)
Consolidated	\$449	\$412	\$887	\$796

(a) Includes a non-cash gain of \$67 million associated with the deconsolidation of a VIE during the quarter and year-to-date period ended July 4, 2015.

Includes non-cash losses totaling \$7 million and \$103 million associated with the remeasurement of the financial statements of the Company's Venezuela subsidiary during the quarters ended July 2, 2016 and July 4, 2015, (b) respectively. Includes a non-cash loss of \$13 million and \$103 million associated with the remeasurement of the financial statements of the Company's Venezuela subsidiary during the year-to-date periods ended July 2, 2016 and July 4, 2015, respectively.

Includes mark-to-market adjustments for pension plans, commodity and foreign currency contracts totaling \$20 million and \$35 million for the quarters ended July 2, 2016 and July 4, 2015, respectively. Includes mark-to-market (c) adjustments for pension plans, commodity and foreign currency contracts totaling (\$4) million and (\$32) million for the year-to-date periods ended July 2, 2016 and July 4, 2015, respectively.

Table of Contents

Note 15 Subsequent events

On July 13, 2016, we entered into a \$200 million U.S. accounts receivable securitization program with a third party financial institution. Under this program, we will receive cash consideration of up to \$200 million and an other receivable for the remainder of the purchase price. We will account for transfers of receivables pursuant to this program as a sale and remove them from our consolidated balance sheet. This securitization program utilizes Kellogg Funding Company (Kellogg Funding), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company. Kellogg Funding's sole business consists of the purchase of receivables and related assets, from its parent or other subsidiary and subsequent transfer of such receivables and related assets to the financial institution. Although Kellogg Funding is included in our consolidated financial statements, it is a separate legal entity with separate creditors who will be entitled, upon its liquidation, to be satisfied out of Kellogg Funding assets prior to any assets or value in Kellogg Funding becoming available to the Company or its subsidiaries. The assets of Kellogg Funding are not available to pay creditors of the Company or its subsidiaries. This program expires in July 2017.

Table of Contents

KELLOGG COMPANY

PART I—FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Business overview

The following Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A) is intended to help the reader understand Kellogg Company, our operations and our present business environment. MD&A is provided as a supplement to, and should be read in conjunction with, our Consolidated Financial Statements and the accompanying notes thereto contained in Item 1 of this report.

For more than 100 years, consumers have counted on Kellogg for great-tasting, high-quality and nutritious foods. Kellogg is the world's leading producer of cereal, second largest producer of cookies and crackers, and a leading producer of savory snacks and frozen foods. Additional product offerings include toaster pastries, cereal bars, fruit-flavored snacks and veggie foods. Kellogg products are manufactured and marketed globally.

Segments and growth targets

We manage our operations through nine operating segments that are based on product category or geographic location. These operating segments are evaluated for similarity with regards to economic characteristics, products, production processes, types or classes of customers, distribution methods and regulatory environments to determine if they can be aggregated into reportable segments. We report results of operations in the following reportable segments: U.S. Morning Foods; U.S. Snacks; U.S. Specialty; North America Other; Europe; Latin America; and Asia Pacific. The reportable segments are discussed in greater detail in Note 14 within Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

We manage our Company for sustainable performance as defined by our long-range annual growth targets. Our targeted long-range annual growth is low-single-digit (1 to 3%) for currency-neutral comparable net sales, mid-single-digit (4 to 6%) for currency-neutral comparable operating profit, and high-single-digit (7 to 9%) for currency-neutral comparable diluted net earnings per share (EPS).

Operating Margin Expansion by 2018

We have recently announced a plan to expand our currency-neutral comparable operating margin by 350 basis points during 2016 through 2018, reaching approximately 18%. This is an increase and acceleration from our previous guidance, which targeted a 17-18% currency-neutral comparable operating margin by 2020.

There are four elements to this accelerated margin expansion plan:

Productivity and savings - In addition to annual productivity savings to offset inflation, we will expand our zero-based budgeting initiative in the U.S., and launch it in our international regions. We also are working on additional Project K initiatives. The result of these initiatives should be higher annual savings.

Price Realization - We will establish a more formal Revenue Growth Management discipline around the world, to help us realize price in a more effective way.

Investing for Impact - We are updating our investment model to align with today's consumer and technology in order to optimize the return on investment in our brands.

On-Trend Foods - We are adopting a more impactful approach to renovation and innovation of our foods.

During the execution of this initiative, we are moderating our currency-neutral comparable net sales growth outlook to approximately flat. This reflects both the current industry dynamics as well as the result of some of the operating margin expansion efforts which could impact price elasticity and portfolio optimization. Nevertheless, these margin-expansion actions are expected to drive accelerated growth in currency-neutral comparable operating profit and earnings growth in 2017 and 2018.

Guidance on operating profit margin expansion and net sales growth outlook is provided on a non-GAAP, currency-neutral comparable basis only because certain information necessary to calculate such measures on a GAAP basis is unavailable, dependent on future events outside of our control and cannot be predicted without unreasonable efforts by the Company. Please refer to the "Non-GAAP Financial Measures" section for a further discussion of our use of non-GAAP measures, including quantification of known expected adjustment items.

Table of Contents

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

This filing includes non-GAAP financial measures that we provide to management and investors that exclude certain items that we do not consider part of on-going operations. Items excluded from our non-GAAP financial measures are discussed in the "Significant items impacting comparability" section of this filing. Our management team consistently utilizes a combination of GAAP and non-GAAP financial measures to evaluate business results, to make decisions regarding the future direction of our business, and for resource allocation decisions, including incentive compensation. As a result, we believe the presentation of both GAAP and non-GAAP financial measures provides investors with increased transparency into financial measures used by our management team and improves investors' understanding of our underlying operating performance and in their analysis of ongoing operating trends. All historic non-GAAP financial measures have been reconciled with the most directly comparable GAAP financial measures.

Non-GAAP financial measures used include comparable net sales, comparable gross margin, comparable SGA, comparable operating profit, comparable operating profit margin, comparable effective tax rate, comparable net income attributable to Kellogg Company, comparable diluted EPS, and cash flow. These non-GAAP financial measures are also evaluated for year-over-year growth and on a currency-neutral basis to evaluate the underlying growth of the business and to exclude the effect of foreign currency. We determine currency-neutral operating results by dividing or multiplying, as appropriate, the current-period local currency operating results by the currency exchange rates used to translate our financial statements in the comparable prior-year period to determine what the current period U.S. dollar operating results would have been if the currency exchange rate had not changed from the comparable prior-year period. These non-GAAP financial measures may not be comparable to similar measures used by other companies.

Comparable net sales: We adjust the GAAP financial measures to exclude the pre-tax effect of acquisitions, divestitures, and shipping day differences. We excluded the items which we believe may obscure trends in our underlying net sales performance. By providing this non-GAAP net sales measure, management intends to provide investors with a meaningful, consistent comparison of net sales performance for the Company and each of our reportable segments for the periods presented. Management uses this non-GAAP measure to evaluate the effectiveness of initiatives behind net sales growth, pricing realization, and the impact of mix on our business results. This non-GAAP measure is also used to make decisions regarding the future direction of our business, and for resource allocation decisions. Currency-neutral comparable net sales represents comparable net sales excluding the impact of foreign currency.

Comparable gross profit, comparable gross margin, comparable SGA, comparable SGA%, comparable operating profit, comparable operating profit margin, comparable net income attributable to Kellogg Company, and comparable diluted EPS: We adjust the GAAP financial measures to exclude the effect of Project K and cost reduction activities, acquisitions, divestitures, integration costs, mark-to-market adjustments for pension plans, commodities and certain foreign currency contracts, costs associated with the VIE deconsolidation and costs associated with the Venezuela remeasurement. We excluded the items which we believe may obscure trends in our underlying profitability. By providing these non-GAAP profitability measures, management intends to provide investors with a meaningful, consistent comparison of the Company's profitability measures for the periods presented. Management uses these non-GAAP financial measures to evaluate the effectiveness of initiatives intended to improve profitability, such as Project K, ZBB and Revenue Growth Management, as well as to evaluate the impacts of inflationary pressures and decisions to invest in new initiatives within each of our segments. Currency-neutral comparable represents comparable excluding foreign currency impact.

Comparable effective tax rate: We adjust the GAAP financial measure to exclude tax effect of Project K and cost reduction activities, acquisitions, divestitures, integration costs, mark-to-market adjustments for pension plans, commodities and certain foreign currency contracts, costs associated with the Venezuela remeasurement, costs associated with the VIE deconsolidation, and costs associated with the early redemption of debt outstanding. We

excluded the items which we believe may obscure trends in our underlying tax rate. By providing this non-GAAP measure, management intends to provide investors with a meaningful, consistent comparison of the Company's effective tax rate for the periods presented. Management uses this non-GAAP measure to monitor the effectiveness of initiatives in place to optimize our global tax rate.

Table of Contents

Cash flow: Defined as net cash provided by operating activities reduced by expenditures for property additions. Cash flow does not represent the residual cash flow available for discretionary expenditures. We use this non-GAAP financial measure of cash flow to focus management and investors on the amount of cash available for debt repayment, dividend distributions, acquisition opportunities, and share repurchases once all of the Company's business needs and obligations are met. Additionally, certain performance-based compensation includes a component of this non-GAAP measure.

These measures have not been calculated in accordance with GAAP and should not be viewed as a substitute for GAAP reporting measures.

Significant items impacting comparability

Project K and cost reduction activities

During 2013, we announced Project K, a four-year efficiency and effectiveness program. The program is expected to generate a significant amount of savings that may be invested in key strategic areas of focus for the business. We expect that this investment will drive future growth in revenues, gross margin, operating profit, and cash flow. We recorded pre-tax charges related to this program of \$60 million and \$107 million for the quarter and year-to-date periods ended July 2, 2016, respectively. We also recorded charges of \$90 million and \$158 million for the quarter and year-to-date periods ended July 4, 2015, respectively.

In 2015 we initiated the implementation of a zero-based budgeting (ZBB) program in our North America business. During 2016 ZBB is being expanded to include the international segments of the business. In support of the ZBB initiative, we incurred pre-tax charges of \$12 million and \$17 million for the quarter and year-to-date periods ended July 2, 2016.

See the Restructuring and cost reduction activities section for more information.

Acquisitions

In September 2015, we completed the acquisition of Mass Foods, Egypt's leading cereal company for \$46 million, or \$44 million net of cash and cash equivalents acquired. In our European reportable segment, for the quarter ended July 2, 2016 the acquisition added \$5 million in net sales and less than \$1 million of operating profit (before integration costs) that impacted the comparability of our reported results. For the year-to-date period ended July 2, 2016 the acquisition added \$10 million in net sales and approximately \$1 million in operating profit (before integration costs) that impacted comparability of our reported results.

In January 2015, we completed the acquisition of a majority interest in Bisco Misr, the number one packaged biscuits company in Egypt for \$125 million, or \$117 million net of cash and cash equivalents acquired. The quarter ended April 2, 2016 represented the final reporting period in which year-over-year comparability was impacted for this acquisition. In our European reportable segment, the acquisition added \$9 million in net sales and less than \$1 million of operating profit (before integration costs) that impacted the comparability of our reported results for the year-to-date period ended July 2, 2016.

Integration costs

We have incurred integration costs related to the integration of the 2015 acquisitions of Bisco Misr and Mass Foods, the 2015 entry into a joint venture with Tolaram Africa, and the 2012 acquisition of Pringles (integration completed in 2015) as we move these businesses into the Kellogg business model. We recorded pre-tax integration costs that were less than \$1 million and approximately \$1 million for the quarter and year-to-date periods ended July 2, 2016, respectively. We also recorded integration costs of \$6 million and \$14 million for the quarter and year-to-date periods ended July 4, 2015, respectively.

Mark-to-market accounting for pension plans, commodities and certain foreign currency contracts

We recognize mark-to-market adjustments for pension plans, commodity contracts, and certain foreign currency contracts as incurred. Actuarial gains/losses for pension plans are recognized in the year they occur. Changes between contract and market prices for commodities contracts and certain foreign currency contracts result in gains/losses that are recognized in the quarter they occur. We recorded a pre-tax mark-to-market benefit of \$20 million and a pre-tax mark-to-market charge of \$4 million for the quarter and year-to-date periods ended July 2, 2016, respectively. We also recorded a pre-tax mark-to-market benefit of \$35 million and a pre-tax mark-to-market charge of \$32 million for the quarter and year-to-date periods ended July 4, 2015, respectively.

Table of Contents

Other costs impacting comparability

During the quarter ended April 2, 2016, we redeemed \$475 million of our 7.45% U.S. Dollar Debentures due 2031. During that same quarter, in connection with the debt redemption, we incurred \$153 million of interest expense, consisting primarily of a premium on the tender offer and also including accelerated losses on pre-issuance interest rate hedges, acceleration of fees and debt discount on the redeemed debt and fees related to the tender offer. Refer to Note 7 within the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further information.

During the quarter ended July 4, 2015, a series of previously executed agreements between Kellogg's and a third party variable interest entity (VIE) were terminated resulting in our determination that we were no longer the primary beneficiary of the VIE. Accordingly, we deconsolidated the financial statements of the VIE as of the end of the quarter. As a result of the agreement terminations and related settlements, we recognized a gain of \$6 million in Other income (expense), net during the quarter. This gain, in combination with a related \$25 million charge that was recorded during the quarter ended April 4, 2015, resulted in a net loss of \$19 million in Other income (expense), net for the year-to-date period ended July 4, 2015.

In connection with the deconsolidation that occurred during the quarter, we derecognized all assets and liabilities of the VIE, including an allocation of a portion of goodwill from the U.S. Snacks operating segment, resulting in a \$67 million non-cash gain, which was recorded within operating profit. Refer to Note 6 within the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further information.

Venezuela remeasurement

During 2015 we experienced an increase in the amount of time it takes to exchange bolivars for U.S. dollars through the DIPRO (formerly CENCOEX) exchange. Due to this reduced availability of U.S. dollars and upon review of U.S. dollar cash needs in our Venezuela operations as of the quarter ended July 4, 2015, we concluded that we were no longer able to obtain sufficient U.S. dollars on a timely basis through the DIPRO exchange resulting in a decision to remeasure our Venezuela subsidiary's financial statements using the DICOM (formerly SIMADI) rate. In connection with the change in rates, we evaluated the carrying value of our non-monetary assets for impairment and lower of cost or market adjustments. As a result of moving from the CENCOEX official rate to the SIMADI rate, we recorded pre-tax charges totaling \$152 million in the quarter ended July 4, 2015, including \$112 million in the Latin America operating segment and \$40 million in the Corporate operating segment. Of the total charges, \$100 million was recorded in COGS, \$3 million was recorded in SGA, and \$49 million was recorded in Other income (expense), net. These charges consisted of \$47 million related to the remeasurement of net monetary assets denominated in Venezuelan bolivar at the SIMADI exchange rate (recorded in Other income (expense), net), \$56 million related to reducing inventory to the lower of cost or market (recorded in COGS) and \$49 million related to the impairment of long-lived assets in Venezuela (recorded primarily in COGS).

We have evaluated all of the facts and circumstances surrounding our Venezuelan business and determined that as of July 2, 2016 the DICOM rate continues to be the appropriate rate to use for remeasuring our Venezuelan subsidiary's financial statements.

Following the change to the SIMADI rate as of July 4, 2015, certain non-monetary assets related to our Venezuelan subsidiary continued to be remeasured at historical exchange rates. As these assets were utilized by our Venezuelan subsidiary during the second half of 2015 and first quarter of 2016 they were recognized in the income statement at historical exchange rates resulting in an unfavorable impact. We experienced an unfavorable pre-tax impact of approximately \$4 million in the year-to-date period ended July 2, 2016 related to the utilization of these remaining non-monetary assets.

Additionally, with the introduction of the new DICOM floating rate in February 2016 we experienced an unfavorable pre-tax impact of approximately \$5 million and \$7 million in the quarter and year-to-date periods ended July 2, 2016

related to the utilization of non-monetary assets. These non-monetary assets were recognized in the income statement at historical exchange rates and primarily impacted COGS.

Foreign currency translation and the impact of Venezuela

We evaluate the operating results of our business on a currency-neutral basis. We determine currency-neutral operating results by dividing or multiplying, as appropriate, the current-period local currency operating results by the currency exchange rates used to translate our financial statements in the comparable prior-year period to determine what the current period U.S. dollar operating results would have been if the currency exchange rate had not changed from the comparable prior-year period.

Table of Contents

As a result of our decision to change the exchange rate that we use to remeasure our Venezuela subsidiary from DIPRO (formerly CENCOEX) to the DICOM (formerly SIMADI) exchange rate beginning mid-2015, the methodology we use to calculate the impact of foreign currency translation, as described above, results in certain key performance metrics that are difficult to interpret when Venezuela is included in the financial results. The impact of this change in Venezuela exchange rates on year-over-year performance metrics is anticipated to be most significant for the four quarters ended July 2, 2016. During this four-quarter transitional period, to provide additional visibility to our business performance, we have also included key performance metrics excluding our Venezuela business. We believe the use of our standard currency-neutral methodology in combination with the additional visibility provided by excluding Venezuela from our key performance metrics provides important information to more fully understand currency-neutral operating results during this four-quarter transition.

Financial results

For the quarter ended July 2, 2016, our reported net sales declined by 6.6% due to the effect of currency devaluation, which was partially offset by the benefit of pricing action in Venezuela to offset cost inflation. Currency-neutral comparable net sales improved by 8.6%. Excluding Venezuela, currency-neutral comparable net sales declined by 2.0%. This decline was primarily due to declines in U.S. Snacks, North America Other, U.S. Morning Foods, and European cereal which was partially offset by high-single-digit sales growth in European Snacks and low-single-digit sales growth in Asia.

Reported operating profit increased by 9.1%, primarily the result of favorable year-over-year net changes in Venezuela remeasurement and VIE deconsolidation, reduced restructuring charges, reduced integration costs, improved profitability in several of our U.S. businesses resulting from ZBB savings and operating profit growth in Europe, Latin America, and Asia-Pacific. Currency-neutral comparable operating profit increased by 10.6% due to the benefit of the timing of pricing actions in Venezuela to offset cost inflation. Excluding Venezuela, currency-neutral comparable operating profit increased by 5.3%, exceeding our expectations as broad-based operating profit growth contributed to a 30 basis point operating margin expansion, or 110 basis points after excluding the inflationary effects of Venezuela.

Reported diluted EPS of \$.79 for the quarter was up 25.4% compared to the prior year of \$.63. Reported diluted EPS for the quarter was impacted favorably by the benefit of pricing actions in Venezuela and broad-based profit growth, year-over-year changes in the Venezuela remeasurement (\$.42), and reductions in Project K costs (\$.05), while being unfavorably impacted by year-over-year changes in VIE deconsolidation (\$.21), mark-to-market (\$.05), and foreign currency translation (\$.09). Currency-neutral comparable diluted EPS of \$1.00 increased by 8.7% compared to prior year of \$.92 due to the benefit of pricing actions in Venezuela and broad-based profit growth, exceeding our expectations. Excluding Venezuela, currency-neutral comparable diluted EPS of \$.94 increased by 5.6% compared to prior year of \$.89.

Table of Contents

Reconciliation of certain non-GAAP Financial Measures

	Quarter ended		Year-to-date period ended	
	July 2, 2016	July 4, 2015	July 2, 2016	July 4, 2015
Consolidated results (dollars in millions, except per share data)				
Reported net income attributable to Kellogg Company	\$280	\$223	\$455	\$450
Mark-to-market (pre-tax)	20	35	(4)	(32)
Project K and cost reduction activities (pre-tax)	(72)	(90)	(124)	(158)
Other costs impacting comparability (pre-tax)	—	73	(153)	48
Acquisitions/divestitures and integration costs (pre-tax)	—	(6)	—	(14)
Venezuela remeasurement (pre-tax)	(5)	(152)	(11)	(152)
Income tax benefit applicable to adjustments, net*	16	36	85	80
Comparable net income attributable to Kellogg Company	\$321	\$327	\$662	\$678
Foreign currency impact	(31)		(163)	
Currency-neutral comparable net income attributable to Kellogg Company	\$352		\$825	
Reported diluted EPS	\$0.79	\$0.63	\$1.29	\$1.26
Mark-to-market (pre-tax)	0.05	0.10	(0.01)	(0.09)
Project K and cost reduction activities (pre-tax)	(0.20)	(0.25)	(0.35)	(0.44)
Other costs impacting comparability (pre-tax)	—	0.21	(0.43)	0.13
Acquisitions/divestitures and integration costs (pre-tax)	—	(0.02)	—	(0.04)
Venezuela remeasurement (pre-tax)	(0.01)	(0.43)	(0.03)	(0.43)
Income tax benefit applicable to adjustments, net*	0.04	0.10	0.24	0.23
Comparable diluted EPS	\$0.91	\$0.92	\$1.87	\$1.90
Foreign currency impact	(0.09)		(0.46)	
Currency-neutral comparable diluted EPS	\$1.00		\$2.33	
Currency-neutral comparable diluted EPS growth	8.7	%(4.9)%	22.6	%(1.0)%

* Represents the estimated income tax effect on the reconciling items, using weighted-average statutory tax rates, depending upon the applicable jurisdiction.

For more information on the reconciling items in the table above, please refer to the Significant items impacting comparability section.

Table of Contents

Net sales and operating profit

The following tables provide an analysis of net sales and operating profit performance for the second quarter of 2016 versus 2015:

Quarter ended July 2, 2016

(millions)	U.S. Morning Foods	U.S. Snacks	U.S. Specialty	North America Other	Europe	Latin America	Asia Pacific	Corporate	Kellogg Consolidated
Reported net sales	\$ 727	\$ 803	\$ 271	\$ 406	\$ 629	\$ 204	\$ 228	\$ —	\$ 3,268
Project K and cost reduction activities	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Acquisitions/divestitures and integration costs	—	—	—	—	5	—	—	—	5
Differences in shipping days	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Comparable net sales	\$ 727	\$ 803	\$ 271	\$ 406	\$ 624	\$ 204	\$ 228	\$ —	\$ 3,263
Foreign currency impact	—	—	—	(4)	(26)	(491)	(7)	—	(528)
Currency-neutral comparable net sales	\$ 727	\$ 803	\$ 271	\$ 410	\$ 650	\$ 695	\$ 235	\$ —	\$ 3,791

Quarter ended July 4, 2015

(millions)	U.S. Morning Foods	U.S. Snacks	U.S. Specialty	North America Other	Europe	Latin America	Asia Pacific	Corporate	Kellogg Consolidated
Reported net sales	\$ 742	\$ 835	\$ 270	\$ 439	\$ 650	\$ 328	\$ 234	\$ —	\$ 3,498
Project K and cost reduction activities	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Acquisitions/divestitures and integration costs	—	—	—	—	—	—	8	—	8
Differences in shipping days	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Comparable net sales	\$ 742	\$ 835	\$ 270	\$ 439	\$ 650	\$ 328	\$ 226	\$ —	\$ 3,490
% change - 2016 vs. 2015:									
Reported growth	(2.0)%	(3.9)%	0.5 %	(7.4)%	(3.2)%	(37.9)%	(2.9)%	— %	(6.6)%
Project K and cost reduction activities	— %	— %	— %	— %	— %	— %	— %	— %	— %
Acquisitions/divestitures and integration costs	— %	— %	— %	0.1 %	0.7 %	— %	(3.1)%	— %	(0.1)%
Differences in shipping days	— %	— %	— %	— %	— %	— %	— %	— %	— %
Comparable growth	(2.0)%	(3.9)%	0.5 %	(7.5)%	(3.9)%	(37.9)%	0.2 %	— %	(6.5)%
Foreign currency impact	— %	— %	— %	(1.1)%	(3.9)%	(149.8)%	(3.2)%	— %	(15.1)%
Currency-neutral comparable growth	(2.0)%	(3.9)%	0.5 %	(6.4)%	— %	111.9 %	3.4 %	— %	8.6 %

For more information on the reconciling items in the table above, please refer to the Significant items impacting comparability section.

Table of Contents

Quarter ended July 2, 2016

(millions)	U.S. Morning Foods	U.S. Snacks	U.S. Specialty	North America Other	Europe	Latin America	Asia Pacific	Corporate	Kellogg Consolidated
Reported operating profit	\$ 165	\$ 69	\$ 60	\$ 47	\$ 68	\$ 20	\$ 12	\$ 8	\$ 449
Mark-to-market	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	20	20
Project K and cost reduction activities	(4)	(34)	(1)	(4)	(14)	(4)	(4)	(7)	(72)
Other costs impacting comparability	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Acquisitions/divestitures and integration costs	—	—	—	—	1	—	—	—	1
Differences in shipping days	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Venezuela remeasurement	—	—	—	—	—	(7)	—	—	(7)
Comparable operating profit	\$ 169	\$ 103	\$ 61	\$ 51	\$ 81	\$ 31	\$ 16	\$ (5)	\$ 507
Foreign currency impact	—	—	—	(2)	(7)	(47)	1	3	(52)
Currency-neutral comparable operating profit	\$ 169	\$ 103	\$ 61	\$ 53	\$ 88	\$ 78	\$ 15	\$ (8)	\$ 559

Quarter ended July 4, 2015

(millions)	U.S. Morning Foods	U.S. Snacks	U.S. Specialty	North America Other	Europe	Latin America	Asia Pacific	Corporate	Kellogg Consolidated
Reported operating profit	\$ 131	\$ 160	\$ 59	\$ 37	\$ 57	\$ (56)	\$ 10	\$ 14	\$ 412
Mark-to-market	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	35	35
Project K and cost reduction activities	(13)	(10)	(1)	(23)	(25)	(1)	(3)	(14)	(90)
Other costs impacting comparability	—	67	—	—	—	—	—	—	67
Acquisitions/divestitures and integration costs	—	—	—	—	(3)	—	1	(1)	(3)
Differences in shipping days	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Venezuela remeasurement	—	—	—	—	—	(102)	—	(1)	(103)
Comparable operating profit	\$ 144	\$ 103	\$ 60	\$ 60	\$ 85	\$ 47	\$ 12	\$ (5)	\$ 506
% change - 2016 vs. 2015:									
Reported growth	25.1 %	(57.2)%	2.5 %	31.8 %	19.5 %	135.2%	18.1 %	(35.3)%	9.1 %
Mark-to-market	— %	— %	— %	— %	— %	— %	— %	(83.7)%	(5.0)%
Project K and cost reduction activities	8.0 %	(17.3)%	(1.5)%	43.5 %	19.5 %	(7.5)%	5.5 %	1.6 %	6.5 %
Other costs impacting comparability	— %	(38.4)%	— %	— %	— %	— %	— %	— %	(17.9)%
Acquisitions/divestitures and integration costs	— %	— %	— %	(0.1)%	3.5 %	(0.3)%	(5.8)%	14.6 %	1.3 %
Differences in shipping days	— %	— %	— %	— %	— %	— %	— %	— %	— %
Venezuela remeasurement	— %	— %	— %	— %	— %	179.9%	— %	13.2 %	23.9 %
Comparable growth	17.1 %	(1.5)%	4.0 %	(11.6)%	(3.5)%	(36.9)%	18.4 %	19.0 %	0.3 %
Foreign currency impact	— %	— %	— %	(1.1)%	(7.2)%	(103.5)%	0.9 %	68.5 %	(10.3)%
Currency-neutral comparable growth	17.1 %	(1.5)%	4.0 %	(10.5)%	3.7 %	66.6 %	17.5 %	(49.5)%	10.6 %

For more information on the reconciling items in the table above, please refer to the Significant items impacting comparability section.

U.S. Morning Foods

As Reported and Currency-neutral comparable net sales declined 2.0% as a result of unfavorable pricing/mix which was partially offset by increased volume. This segment consists of cereal, toaster pastries, and health and wellness bars.

The cereal category was flat in the quarter and several of our brands were down resulting in a net sales decline. Our share was off slightly in the quarter, mainly due to timing of promotional activity, but our six core brands held share. Toaster pastries reported high-single-digit increase in net sales and share gains for the quarter, with good contribution from the new Orange Crush[®] and A&W[®] root beer flavors.

During the second half of the year, we continue to expect improvement in net sales performance, particularly with the timing of the Olympics promotion Frosted Flakes[®] ad campaign.

Table of Contents

As Reported operating profit increased 25.1% due to Project K savings, ZBB savings, net deflation of input costs and reduced restructuring charges. This was partially offset by the unfavorable sales performance. Currency-neutral comparable operating profit increased 17.1%, slightly less than As Reported after eliminating the benefit of reduced restructuring charges.

U.S. Snacks

As Reported and Currency-neutral comparable net sales declined 3.9% as a result of decreased volume and unfavorable pricing/mix. This segment consists of crackers, cereal bars, cookies, savory snacks, and fruit-flavored snacks.

During the quarter, we completed the reorganization of the U.S. Snacks DSD sales force that was started in the first quarter. We did see some disruption in our sales and display activity as we transitioned employees to new roles, zones, and customer responsibilities. The impact is behind us now and we've already started to see signs of improved effectiveness.

Crackers posted a slight increase in sales for the quarter. Our big-three brands (Cheez-it[®], Club[®], and Townhouse[®]) in combination continued to post good consumption growth and collectively gaining share.

The bars business posted a decline in net sales primarily due to certain lines of Special K[®] bars reporting consumption double-digit declines. However, Rice Krispies Treats[®] and Nutri-Grain[®] posted mid-single-digit consumption growth in the quarter.

The cookies business posted a sales decline for the quarter. The Keebler[®] brand grew, as we turned on advertising for the Keebler elves for the first time in years resulting in consumption growth during the quarter.

The savory snacks business posted a slight sales decline. However, core products and our single-serve offerings reported consumption growth. During the second half of the year, we expect a reduced impact from the elimination of certain non-core products.

As Reported operating profit declined 57.2% due to the prior-year benefit of the VIE deconsolidation, increased restructuring charges in the current year, and the unfavorable sales performance. This was partially offset by Project K savings and ZBB savings. Currency-neutral comparable operating profit decreased 1.5% after excluding the impact of the prior-year VIE deconsolidation and the impact of restructuring charges.

U.S. Specialty

As Reported and Currency-neutral comparable net sales improved 0.5% as a result of improved pricing/mix which was partially offset by reduced volume.

Our sales growth was balanced among our three core channels: Foodservice, Convenience, and Vending. In Foodservice, we sustained strong growth in cereal, crackers, veggie, and wholesome snacks – all categories in which we have leading shares. In Convenience, we gained share in cereal, savory snacks and crackers. Additionally, innovation continued to perform well.

As Reported operating profit increased 2.5% due to the favorable sales performance and ZBB savings. Currency-neutral comparable operating profit increased 4.0% after excluding the impact of restructuring charges.

North America Other

As Reported net sales declined 7.4% due to decreased volume and the unfavorable impact of foreign currency which was partially offset by favorable pricing/mix as each of the businesses in this segment progressed through transitions. Currency-neutral comparable net sales declined 6.4% after excluding the impact of foreign currency.

The U.S. Frozen business saw a decline in sales in the quarter as we expected. We have reshaped the portfolio for Eggo®, and transitioned to new packaging for our entire Morningstar Farms® line. Even as we made these significant changes, the business posted improvement in profit margins, driven by Project K and ZBB.

In Canada, net sales was down as a result of volume declines and unfavorable foreign currency impact, partially offset by improved pricing/mix. We utilized price realization to cover the adverse transactional foreign currency impact on the cost of goods. This caused some elasticity impact on consumption in the quarter, but will help us

Table of Contents

better offset the adverse cost going forward. We continue to see share gains in our renovated and repositioned Special K®.

Kashi posted lower sales in the quarter, as the business also continues to go through the transition of its portfolio. We are investing heavily in our food. During the quarter, we completed an overhaul of our portfolio, making every product non-GMO verified. We also launched several new products and we believe this was the most innovation launched in a single quarter in Kashi's history. Finally, we have redesigned our packaging across our Kashi portfolio.

As Reported operating profit increased 31.8% due to a reduction in restructuring charges in addition to Project K and ZBB savings realized in the U.S. Frozen and Canada businesses. This was partially offset by the unfavorable sales performance, unfavorable foreign currency impact (transactional and translational), and investments in food in the Kashi business. Currency-neutral comparable operating profit declined 10.5% after excluding the impact of restructuring charges and translational foreign currency.

Europe

As Reported net sales declined 3.2% due to unfavorable foreign currency which was partially offset by a slight increase in volume resulting from an acquisition and favorable pricing mix. Currency-neutral comparable net sales was flat after excluding the impact of foreign currency and the acquisition.

The Pringles® business posted double-digit net sales growth in the quarter. The wholesome snacks business posted mid-single-digit net sales growth in the quarter due to consumption growth in the UK and broad-based improvements in most markets.

Cereal sales remained soft, particularly in the U.K. where the category remains very soft in a difficult economic and retailer environment. We lost share as we work to reposition and renovate Special K®. While our efforts to renovate Special K® food and new inner-strength positioning are on-going, and new Special K® Nourish is doing well, this recovery may take some time.

As Reported operating profit increased 19.5% due to a reduction in restructuring charges and integration costs, as well as incremental Project K savings and reduced brand-building investment based on timing of activities. This was partially offset by unfavorable foreign currency impact and net inflation of input costs. Currency-neutral comparable operating profit improved 3.7% after excluding the impact of restructuring charges, integration costs and foreign currency.

Latin America

As Reported net sales declined 37.9% due to unfavorable foreign currency and a decline in volume. This was partially offset by the favorable impact of pricing/mix, the result of pricing actions in Venezuela. Excluding Venezuela, As Reported net sales declined 11.0% due to unfavorable foreign currency. Currency-neutral comparable net sales increased 111.9%, due to the impact of pricing actions in Venezuela. Excluding Venezuela, currency-neutral comparable net sales declined 1.0%.

We continue to manage well through a difficult business environment. The economic environment throughout the region, including Venezuela, is challenging. We are working through these challenges, and in the quarter we realized sequential improvement in sales and profit. Our consumption performance, particularly in cereal, remained solid resulting in good growth in Mexico and share gains in difficult markets like Brazil and Colombia. Our Copa America soccer promotion did well, and innovations like Special K Protein cereal are helping to stabilize that key brand. We are realizing price across the region, particularly as we cope with adverse transactional foreign currency impact. While we experienced initial price elasticity impact on volumes in the quarter, this should help sales and profit in the second half. We also have strong commercial plans slated for the second half, including Special K® Nourish snack bars and

the launch of Kashi® cereals.

As Reported operating profit increased 135.2% due to the year-over-year change in Venezuela remeasurement impact, the favorable impact of pricing actions in Venezuela, and the favorable impact of reduced brand-building investments based on timing of activities. This was partially offset by unfavorable foreign currency impact. Excluding Venezuela, As Reported operating profit declined 14.3% due to foreign currency. Currency-neutral comparable operating profit improved 66.6% after excluding the impact of the Venezuela remeasurement and foreign currency. Excluding Venezuela, currency-neutral comparable operating profit improved 4.3%.

Table of Contents

Asia Pacific

As Reported net sales declined 2.9% due to unfavorable foreign currency, disposition of a small business, and unfavorable pricing/mix. This was partially offset by improved volume resulting in a double-digit increase in sales in Sub-Saharan Africa and a high-single-digit net sales increase in Pringles®. Currency-neutral comparable net sales increased 3.4%, after excluding the impact of foreign currency and the disposition of a small business.

Our Australia business continued to moderate its declines, as we continue to execute a cereal recovery plan similar to what we've done for cereal in the U.S. and Canada. The business is focusing media behind our priority brands, executing big "tent-pole" promotions during key shopper weeks, and launching consumer-driven innovation and renovation. Our largest cereal brand in Australia, Nutri-Grain®, has returned to growth as a result of these efforts. In fact, across the entire cereal portfolio, we improved penetration and gained share in the quarter.

In Asia, modest growth was led by distribution gains in Southeast Asia and strong in-store promotion in Korea. The Sub-Saharan Africa business continued to out-pace the cereal category. Pringles in Asia-Pacific grew at a high single-digit rate in the quarter on the strength of effective promotions as well as renovations like the re-stage of sweet flavors in Korea and the roll-out of Tortilla in Australia and South Africa.

As Reported operating profit increased 18.1% due to net deflation of input costs and reduced brand-building investment based on timing of activities. Currency-neutral comparable operating profit improved 17.5% after excluding the minor impact of restructuring charges, integration costs and foreign currency.

Corporate

As Reported operating profit declined 35.3% due to increased pension costs and unfavorable year-over-year changes in mark-to-market impacts for certain commodity and foreign exchange contracts. This was partially offset by reductions in restructuring charges, integration costs, the impact of the Venezuela remeasurement, and a favorable foreign currency impact. Currency-neutral comparable operating profit declined 49.5% after excluding the impact of mark-to-market, restructuring charges, integration costs, Venezuela remeasurement, and foreign currency.

Table of Contents

The following tables provide an analysis of net sales and operating profit performance for the year-to-date periods of 2016 as compared to 2015:

Year-to-date period ended July 2, 2016

(millions)	U.S. Morning Foods	U.S. Snacks	U.S. Specialty	North America Other	Europe	Latin America	Asia Pacific	Corporate	Kellogg Consolidated
Reported net sales	\$1,494	\$1,635	\$647	\$820	\$1,227	\$396	\$444	\$ —	\$6,663
Project K and cost reduction activities	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Acquisitions/divestitures and integration costs	—	—	—	1	19	—	—	—	20
Differences in shipping days	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Comparable net sales	\$1,494	\$1,635	\$647	\$819	\$1,208	\$396	\$444	\$ —	\$6,643
Foreign currency impact	—	—	—	(15)	(47)	(860)	(22)	—	(944)
Currency-neutral comparable net sales	\$1,494	\$1,635	\$647	\$834	\$1,255	\$1,256	\$466	\$ —	\$7,587

Year-to-date period ended July 4, 2015

(millions)	U.S. Morning Foods	U.S. Snacks	U.S. Specialty	North America Other	Europe	Latin America	Asia Pacific	Corporate	Kellogg Consolidated
Reported net sales	\$1,518	\$1,689	\$631	\$872	\$1,257	\$623	\$464	\$ —	\$7,054
Project K and cost reduction activities	—	—	—	(2)	—	—	—	—	(2)
Acquisitions/divestitures and integration costs	—	—	—	—	—	—	8	—	8
Differences in shipping days	—	—	—	—	(3)	—	—	—	(3)
Comparable net sales	\$1,518	\$1,689	\$631	\$874	\$1,260	\$623	\$456	\$ —	\$7,051
% change - 2016 vs. 2015:									
Reported growth	(1.6)%	(3.2)%	2.6 %	(5.9)%	(2.4)%	(36.5)%	(4.3)%	—%	(5.5)%
Project K and cost reduction activities	— %	— %	— %	0.2 %	— %	— %	— %	—%	— %
Acquisitions/divestitures and integration costs	— %	— %	— %	0.2 %	1.5 %	— %	(1.6)%	—%	0.2 %
Differences in shipping days	— %	— %	— %	— %	0.2 %	— %	— %	—%	0.1 %
Comparable growth	(1.6)%	(3.2)%	2.6 %	(6.3)%	(4.1)%	(36.5)%	(2.7)%	—%	(5.8)%
Foreign currency impact	— %	— %	— %	(1.8)%	(3.7)%	(138.2)%	(4.9)%	—%	(13.4)%
Currency-neutral comparable growth	(1.6)%	(3.2)%	2.6 %	(4.5)%	(0.4)%	101.7 %	2.2 %	—%	7.6 %

For more information on the reconciling items in the table above, please refer to the Significant items impacting comparability section.

Table of Contents

Year-to-date period ended July 2, 2016

(millions)	U.S. Morning Foods	U.S. Snacks	U.S. Specialty	North America Other	Europe	Latin America	Asia Pacific	Corporate	Kellogg Consolidated
Reported operating profit	\$ 313	\$ 152	\$ 146	\$ 92	\$ 138	\$ 43	\$ 29	\$ (26)	\$ 887
Mark-to-market	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(4)	(4)
Project K and cost reduction activities	(9)	(54)	(3)	(13)	(28)	(4)	(4)	(9)	(124)
Other costs impacting comparability	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Acquisitions/divestitures and integration costs	—	—	—	—	1	—	—	—	1
Differences in shipping days	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Venezuela remeasurement	—	—	—	—	—	(13)	—	—	(13)
Comparable operating profit	\$ 322	\$ 206	\$ 149	\$ 105	\$ 165	\$ 60	\$ 33	\$ (13)	\$ 1,027
Foreign currency impact	—	—	—	(3)	(9)	(237)	—	6	(243)
Currency-neutral comparable operating profit	\$ 322	\$ 206	\$ 149	\$ 108	\$ 174	\$ 297	\$ 33	\$ (19)	\$ 1,270

Year-to-date period ended July 4, 2015

(millions)	U.S. Morning Foods	U.S. Snacks	U.S. Specialty	North America Other	Europe	Latin America	Asia Pacific	Corporate	Kellogg Consolidated
Reported operating profit	\$ 258	\$ 240	\$ 137	\$ 96	\$ 118	\$ (5)	\$ 22	\$ (70)	\$ 796
Mark-to-market	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(32)	(32)
Project K and cost reduction activities	(21)	(19)	(2)	(29)	(44)	(1)	(8)	(34)	(158)
Other costs impacting comparability	—	67	—	—	—	—	—	—	67
Acquisitions/divestitures and integration costs	—	—	—	—	(8)	—	(2)	(1)	(11)
Differences in shipping days	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Venezuela remeasurement	—	—	—	—	—	(102)	—	(1)	(103)
Comparable operating profit	\$ 279	\$ 192	\$ 139	\$ 125	\$ 170	\$ 98	\$ 32	\$ (2)	\$ 1,033
% change - 2016 vs. 2015:									
Reported growth	21.1 %	(36.7)%	6.5 %	(3.5)%	16.5 %	929.1 %	28.5 %	64.3 %	11.5 %
Mark-to-market	— %	— %	— %	— %	— %	— %	— %	18.3 %	3.8 %
Project K and cost reduction activities	5.7 %	(16.1)%	(1.1)%	11.8 %	14.2 %	(372.8)%	21.1 %	234.9 %	4.6 %
Other costs impacting comparability	— %	(27.4)%	— %	— %	— %	— %	— %	— %	(7.4)%
Acquisitions/divestitures and integration costs	— %	— %	— %	(0.2)%	5.5 %	(138.3)%	5.0 %	172.7 %	1.4 %
Differences in shipping days	— %	— %	— %	— %	0.3 %	— %	— %	— %	0.1 %
Venezuela remeasurement	— %	— %	— %	— %	— %	1,479.1%	— %	276.1 %	9.5 %
Comparable growth	15.4 %	6.8 %	7.6 %	(15.1)%	(3.5)%	(38.9)%	2.4 %	(637.7)%	(0.5)%
Foreign currency impact	0.1 %	— %	— %	(2.0)%	(5.6)%	(242.2)%	(0.9)%	435.0 %	(23.5)%
Currency-neutral comparable growth	15.3 %	6.8 %	7.6 %	(13.1)%	2.1 %	203.3 %	3.3 %	(1,072)%	23.0 %

U.S. Morning Foods

As Reported and Currency-neutral comparable net sales declined 1.6% as a result of unfavorable pricing/mix and a slight reduction in volume. This segment consists of cereal, toaster pastries, health and wellness bars, and beverages.

The cereal category is down slightly as were several of our non-core brands resulting in overall net sales decline for our cereal business. However, our six core cereals in combination gained share.

Toaster pastries reported mid-single-digit increase in net sales and share gains, with good contribution from the new Orange Crush® and A&W® root beer flavors.

Table of Contents

As Reported operating profit increased 21.1% due Project K savings, ZBB savings, net deflation of input costs and reduced restructuring charges. This was partially offset by the unfavorable sales performance. Currency-neutral comparable operating profit increased 15.3%, after eliminating the benefit of reduced restructuring charges.

U.S. Snacks

As Reported and Currency-neutral comparable net sales declined 3.2% as a result of decreased volume and unfavorable pricing/mix. This segment consists of crackers, cereal bars, cookies, savory snacks, and fruit-flavored snacks.

The net sales decline was primarily due to the reorganization of our sales force and the continued decline of the bars business.

We reorganized the U.S. Snacks DSD sales force to create more clearly define roles for selling, merchandising, and support. We did see some disruption in our sales and display activity as we transitioned employees to new roles, zones, and customer responsibilities. The impact was most visible in Cookies and Crackers and it continued early into the second quarter. The impact is behind us now and we've already started to see signs of improved effectiveness.

Crackers posted a slight increase in sales. Our big-three brands (Cheez-it®, Club®, and Townhouse®) in combination posted good consumption growth and share gains, led by accelerated base consumption. We have continued to invest in these brands, both in brand-building and innovations, and it continues to drive results.

The bars business posted a decline in net sales as Special K® bars continued to decline. However, Rice Krispies Treats® posted mid-single-digit year-to-date consumption growth. We also have several on-trend innovations and renovations launching in the second half of the year. We anticipate that these new products will help to stabilize the business as the year progresses.

The cookies business posted a sales decline. This category was the most affected by our DSD sales force reorganization and is impacted by a tail of smaller and regional brands. Advertising behind the Keebler® brand has returned after several years without advertising support. With these investments, we anticipate improved performance in future periods.

The savory snacks business posted a slight sales growth as a result of increased consumption. Core products posted high-single-digit gains and single-serve offerings posted double-digit consumption gains in the first half of the year. During the second half of the year, we expect a reduced impact from the elimination of certain non-core products.

As Reported operating profit declined 36.7% due to the prior-year benefit of the VIE deconsolidation, increased restructuring charges in the current year, and the unfavorable sales performance. This was partially offset by net deflation of input costs, Project K savings, ZBB savings and reduced brand-building investment due to timing of activities. Currency-neutral comparable operating profit increased 6.8% after excluding the impact of the prior-year VIE deconsolidation and the impact of restructuring charges.

U.S. Specialty

As Reported and Currency-neutral comparable net sales improved 2.6% as a result of improved pricing/mix which was partially offset by reduced volume.

Our sales growth was balanced among our three core channels: Foodservice, Convenience, and Vending. In Foodservice, we sustained growth in the categories in which we have leading shares. In Convenience, we gained share in cereal, salty snacks and crackers. Additionally, innovation continued to perform well.

As Reported operating profit increased 6.5% due to the favorable sales performance and ZBB savings. Currency-neutral comparable operating profit increased 7.6% after excluding the minor impact of restructuring charges.

North America Other

As Reported net sales declined 5.9% due to decreased volume and the unfavorable impact of foreign currency which was partially offset by favorable pricing/mix. Currency-neutral comparable net sales declined 4.5% after excluding the impact of foreign currency.

Table of Contents

The U.S. Frozen business saw a decline in sales. During the first half of the year we reshaped the portfolio for Eggo® and transitioned packaging for Morningstar Farms®. Despite the impact of these significant changes, the business posted improvement in profit margins, driven by Project K and ZBB.

In Canada, net sales was down as a result of volume declines and unfavorable foreign currency impact, partially offset by improved pricing/mix. We utilized price realization to cover the adverse transactional foreign currency impact on the cost of goods. This caused some elasticity impact on consumption, but will help us better offset the adverse cost going forward. We continue to see share gains in our renovated and repositioned Special K®.

Kashi posted lower sales during the period, as the business continues to go through the transition of its portfolio. We have exited several non-core product lines, including frozen pizza, hot cereal, and trail mix. While these exits negatively impacted sales, they provide tighter focus and better economics going forward. We are investing heavily in our food. During the period, we completed an overhaul of our portfolio, making every product non-GMO verified. We also launched several new products. Finally, we have redesigned our packaging across our Kashi portfolio.

As Reported operating profit decreased 3.5% due to the sales decline, unfavorable foreign currency impact, and investments in food in the Kashi business. This was partially offset by a reduction in restructuring charges in addition to Project K and ZBB savings realized in the U.S. Frozen and Canada businesses. Currency-neutral comparable operating profit declined 13.1% after excluding the impact of restructuring charges and foreign currency.

Europe

As Reported net sales declined 2.4% due to unfavorable foreign currency and unfavorable pricing/mix which was partially offset by a slight increase in volume resulting from an acquisition. Currency-neutral comparable net sales declined 0.4% after excluding the impact of foreign currency and the acquisition.

The Pringles® business posted high-single-digit net sales growth due to sustained momentum in key markets and expansion of Pringles® Tortilla into new markets. The business also benefited from an effective soccer-themed promotion. The wholesome snacks business posted mid-single-digit net sales growth due to continued good performance in the U.K. and most other markets in the region.

Cereal sales remained soft in most markets in the region. Over the balance of the year we plan to invest in our food. Specifically, we have new innovation launches including Ancient Legends® and Special K® Nourish, which is similar to the product that recently launched in the United States. In the U.K., the category remains very soft in a difficult economic and retailer environment. We lost share as we work to reposition and renovate Special K®. While our efforts to renovate Special K® food and new inner-strength positioning are on-going, and new Special K® Nourish is doing well, this recovery may take some time.

As Reported operating profit increased 16.5% due to a reduction in restructuring charges and integration costs, as well as incremental Project K savings and reduced brand-building investment based on timing of activities. This was partially offset by unfavorable foreign currency impact and net inflation of input costs. Currency-neutral comparable operating profit improved 2.1% after excluding the impact of restructuring charges, integration costs and foreign currency.

Latin America

As Reported net sales declined 36.5% due to unfavorable foreign currency and a decline in volume. This was partially offset by the favorable impact of pricing/mix, the result of pricing actions in Venezuela. Excluding Venezuela, As Reported net sales declined 13.0% due to unfavorable foreign currency. Currency-neutral comparable net sales increased 101.7%, due to the impact of pricing actions in Venezuela. Excluding Venezuela, currency-neutral comparable net sales declined 1.5%.

Table of Contents

The reduction in sales is primarily due to the impact of the recessionary environment in the region. However, we continue to see good results in Mexico, our largest business in the region, due to strong innovation and commercial activities. Innovations like Special K Protein cereal are helping to stabilize that key brand. We are realizing price across the region, particularly as we cope with adverse transactional foreign currency impact. We also have strong commercial plans slated for the second half, including Special K[®] Nourish snack bars and the launch of Kashi[®] cereals.

As Reported operating profit increased 929.1% due to the year-over-year change in Venezuela remeasurement impact, the favorable impact of pricing actions in Venezuela, and the favorable impact of reduced brand-building investments based on timing of activities. This was partially offset by unfavorable foreign currency impact. Currency-neutral comparable operating profit improved 203.3% after excluding the impact of the Venezuela remeasurement and foreign currency. Excluding Venezuela, currency-neutral comparable operating profit declined 12.6%.

Asia Pacific

As Reported net sales declined 4.3% due to unfavorable foreign currency, disposition of a small business, and unfavorable pricing/mix. This was partially offset by improved volume resulting in a strong increase in sales in Asia, Sub-Saharan Africa and Pringles[®]. Currency-neutral comparable net sales increased 2.2%, after excluding the impact of foreign currency and the disposition of a small business.

Our Australia business continued to moderate its declines, as we continue to execute a cereal recovery plan similar to what we've done for cereal in the U.S. and Canada. The business is focusing media behind our priority brands, executing big "tent-pole" promotions during key shopper weeks, and launching consumer-driven innovation and renovation. Our largest cereal brand in Australia, Nutri-Grain[®], has recently returned to growth as a result of these efforts.

In Asia, modest growth was led by Southeast Asia and Korea. The Sub-Saharan Africa business continued to perform well. Pringles grew at a mid-single-digit rate on the strength of effective promotions as well as renovations like the re-stage of sweet flavors in Korea and the roll-out of Tortilla in Australia and South Africa.

As Reported operating profit increased 28.5% due to improved sales performance, Project K savings, reduced restructuring charges, reduced integration costs, and reduced brand-building investment based on timing of activities. Currency-neutral comparable operating profit improved 3.3% after excluding the impact of restructuring charges, integration costs and foreign currency.

Corporate

As Reported operating profit improved 64.3% due to favorable year-over-year changes in mark-to-market impacts, reductions in restructuring charges and integration costs, the favorable year-over-year impact of the Venezuela remeasurement, and a favorable foreign currency impact. This was partially offset by increased pension and insurance costs. Currency-neutral comparable operating profit declined 1072.7% after excluding the impact of mark-to-market, restructuring charges, integration costs, Venezuela remeasurement, and foreign currency.

Table of Contents

Margin performance

Margin performance for the quarter and year-to-date periods of 2016 versus 2015 is as follows:

Quarter	2016	2015	Change vs. prior year (pts.)
Reported gross margin (a)	38.9	% 35.5	% 3.4
Mark-to-market (COGS)	0.5	1.0	(0.5)
Project K and cost reduction activities (COGS)	(1.0)	(1.9)	0.9
Other costs impacting comparability (COGS)	—	—	—
Acquisitions/divestitures and integration costs (COGS)	—	—	—
Venezuela remeasurement (COGS)	(0.2)	(2.9)	2.7
Comparable gross margin	39.6	% 39.3	% 0.3
Foreign currency impact	3.0		3.0
Currency-neutral comparable gross margin	36.6	%	(2.7)
Reported SGA%	(25.1)%	(23.7)%	(1.4)
Mark-to-market (SGA)	0.1	—	0.1
Project K and cost reduction activities (SGA)	(1.2)	(0.7)	(0.5)
Other costs impacting comparability (SGA)	—	1.9	(1.9)
Acquisitions/divestitures and integration costs (SGA)	—	(0.1)	0.1
Venezuela remeasurement (SGA)	—	—	—
Comparable SGA%	(24.0)%	(24.8)%	0.8
Foreign currency impact	(2.2)		(2.2)
Currency-neutral comparable SGA%	(21.8)%		3.0
Reported operating margin	13.8	% 11.8	% 2.0
Mark-to-market	0.6	1.0	(0.4)
Project K and cost reduction activities	(2.2)	(2.6)	0.4
Other costs impacting comparability	—	1.9	(1.9)
Acquisitions/divestitures and integration costs	—	(0.1)	0.1
Venezuela remeasurement	(0.2)	(2.9)	2.7
Comparable operating margin	15.6	% 14.5	% 1.1
Foreign currency impact	0.8		0.8
Currency-neutral comparable operating margin	14.8	%	0.3

Reported gross margin for the quarter was favorable 340 basis points due to productivity, Project K, and ZBB savings initiatives all delivering as expected, the year-over-year benefit of foreign currency from the Venezuela business, the Venezuela remeasurement, and restructuring costs. This was partially offset by the impact of investments we are making in our food and packaging, unfavorable transactional foreign currency impact, unfavorable year-over-year impact of Venezuela inflation and mark-to-market. Currency-neutral comparable gross margin was down 270 basis points. Excluding Venezuela, currency-neutral comparable gross margin was flat.

Reported SGA% for the quarter was unfavorable 140 basis points due to the continued reinvestment of Project K savings into sales capabilities including adding sales representatives and re-establishing the Kashi business, the unfavorable year-over-year impact of foreign currency, VIE deconsolidation, and restructuring costs. This was partially offset by the favorable impact to brand-building investment from ZBB efficiencies and overhead savings realized from Project K and ZBB, and the favorable year-over-year impact of mark-to-market, integration costs, and Venezuela inflation. Currency-neutral comparable SGA% was favorable 300 basis points.

Reported operating margin for the quarter was favorable 200 basis points due to the favorable impact to brand-building investment from ZBB efficiencies and savings realized from Project K and ZBB, the continued reinvestment of Project K savings into sales capabilities including adding sales representatives and re-establishing the

Kashi business, as well as the favorable year-over-year impact of Venezuela remeasurement, foreign currency, restructuring costs, and integration costs. This was partially offset by the impact of investments we are making in our food and packaging, unfavorable transactional foreign currency impact, the unfavorable year-over-year impact of VIE deconsolidation, mark-to-market, and Venezuela inflation. Currency-neutral comparable operating margin was favorable 30 basis points.

Table of Contents

Year-to-date	2016	2015	Change vs. prior year (pts.)
Reported gross margin (a)	37.7	% 35.3	% 2.4
Mark-to-market (COGS)	(0.2)	(0.4)	0.2
Project K and cost reduction activities (COGS)	(0.8)	(1.4)	0.6
Other costs impacting comparability (COGS)	—	—	—
Acquisitions/divestitures and integration costs (COGS)	—	(0.2)	0.2
Differences in shipping days (COGS)	—	—	—
Venezuela remeasurement (COGS)	(0.2)	(1.4)	1.2
Comparable gross margin	38.9	% 38.7	% 0.2
Foreign currency impact	0.6		0.6
Currency-neutral comparable gross margin	38.3	%	(0.4)
Reported SGA%	(24.4)%	(24.0)%	(0.4)
Mark-to-market (SGA)	0.1	(0.1)	0.2
Project K and cost reduction activities (SGA)	(1.1)	(0.8)	(0.3)
Other costs impacting comparability (SGA)	—	0.9	(0.9)
Acquisitions/divestitures and integration costs (SGA)	—	—	—
Differences in shipping days (SGA)	—	—	—
Venezuela remeasurement (SGA)	—	—	—
Comparable SGA%	(23.4)%	(24.0)%	0.6
Foreign currency impact	(1.8)		(1.8)
Currency-neutral comparable SGA%	(21.6)%		2.4
Reported operating margin	13.3	% 11.3	% 2.0
Mark-to-market	(0.1)	(0.5)	0.4
Project K and cost reduction activities	(1.9)	(2.2)	0.3
Other costs impacting comparability	—	0.9	(0.9)
Acquisitions/divestitures and integration costs	—	(0.2)	0.2
Differences in shipping days	—	—	—
Venezuela remeasurement	(0.2)	(1.4)	1.2
Comparable operating margin	15.5	% 14.7	% 0.8
Foreign currency impact	(1.2)		(1.2)
Currency-neutral comparable operating margin	16.7	%	2.0

For more information on the reconciling items in the table above, please refer to the Significant items impacting comparability section.

(a) Reported gross margin as a percentage of net sales. Gross margin is equal to net sales less cost of goods sold.

Reported gross margin for the year-to-date period was favorable 240 basis points due to savings realized from Project K and ZBB, the year-over-year benefit of foreign currency from the Venezuela business, the Venezuela remeasurement, restructuring costs, mark-to-market and integration costs. This was partially offset by the impact of investments we are making in our food and packaging, unfavorable transactional foreign currency impact, unfavorable mix, and the unfavorable year-over-year impact of Venezuela inflation. Currency-neutral comparable gross margin declined 40 basis points.

Reported SGA% for the year-to-date period was unfavorable 40 basis points primarily due to the continued reinvestment of Project K savings into sales capabilities including adding sales representatives and re-establishing the Kashi business, the unfavorable year-over-year impact of foreign currency, VIE deconsolidation, and restructuring costs. This was partially offset by the favorable year-over-year impact to brand-building investment from ZBB efficiencies and overhead savings realized from Project K and ZBB, mark-to-market, and Venezuela inflation.

Currency-neutral comparable SGA% was favorable 240 basis points.

Reported operating margin for the year-to-date period was favorable 200 basis points due to the favorable year-over-year impact to brand-building investment from ZBB efficiencies and overhead savings realized from Project K and ZBB, favorable year-over-year impact of Venezuela inflation, the favorable impact to brand-building investment from ZBB efficiencies and overhead savings realized from Project K and ZBB, Venezuela remeasurement, mark-to-

50

Table of Contents

market, restructuring costs, and integration costs. This was partially offset by the impact of investments we are making in our food and packaging, unfavorable transactional foreign currency impact, the continued reinvestment of Project K savings into sales capabilities including adding sales representatives and re-establishing the Kashi business, the unfavorable year-over-year impact of foreign currency, and VIE deconsolidation. Currency-neutral comparable operating margin was favorable 200 basis points.

Our currency-neutral comparable gross profit, currency-neutral comparable SGA, and currency-neutral comparable operating profit measures are reconciled to the directly comparable GAAP measures as follows:

(dollars in millions)	Quarter ended		Year-to-date period ended	
	July 2, 2016	July 4, 2015	July 2, 2016	July 4, 2015
Reported gross profit (a)	\$1,270	\$1,241	\$2,515	\$2,486
Mark-to-market (COGS)	16	34	(9)	(34)
Project K and cost reduction activities (COGS)	(36)	(65)	(54)	(99)
Other costs impacting comparability (COGS)	—	—	—	—
Acquisitions/divestitures and integration costs (COGS)	2	—	5	(6)
Venezuela remeasurement (COGS)	(7)	(100)	(12)	(100)
Comparable gross profit	\$1,295	\$1,372	\$2,585	\$2,725
Foreign currency impact	(91)		(322)	
Currency-neutral comparable gross profit	\$1,386		\$2,907	
Reported SGA	\$821	\$829	\$1,628	\$1,690
Mark-to-market (SGA)	(4)	(1)	(5)	(2)
Project K and cost reduction activities (SGA)	36	25	70	59
Other costs impacting comparability (SGA)	—	(67)	—	(67)
Acquisitions/divestitures and integration costs (SGA)	1	3	4	5
Venezuela remeasurement (SGA)	—	3	1	3
Comparable SGA	\$788	\$866	\$1,558	\$1,692
Foreign currency impact	(39)		(79)	
Currency-neutral comparable SGA	\$827		\$1,637	
Reported operating profit	\$449	\$412	\$887	\$796
Mark-to-market	20	35	(4)	(32)
Project K and cost reduction activities	(72)	(90)	(124)	(158)
Other costs impacting comparability	—	67	—	67
Acquisitions/divestitures and integration costs	1	(3)	1	(11)
Venezuela remeasurement	(7)	(103)	(13)	(103)
Comparable operating profit	\$507	\$506	\$1,027	\$1,033
Foreign currency impact	(52)		(243)	
Currency-neutral comparable operating profit	\$559		\$1,270	

For more information on the reconciling items in the table above, please refer to the Significant items impacting comparability section.

(a) Gross profit is equal to net sales less cost of goods sold.

Table of Contents

Restructuring and cost reduction activities

We view our continued spending on restructuring and cost reduction activities as part of our ongoing operating principles to provide greater visibility in achieving our long-term profit growth targets. Initiatives undertaken are currently expected to recover cash implementation costs within a five-year period of completion. Upon completion (or as each major stage is completed in the case of multi-year programs), the project begins to deliver cash savings and/or reduced depreciation.

We continue to deliver our original expectations for Project K pre-tax charges, after-tax cash costs, and savings to be realized. In addition we are now working on additional Project K initiatives that will help us achieve our 350-basis-point currency-neutral comparable profit margin improvement plan, with the potential for further savings beyond 2018.

Project K

Project K, a four-year efficiency and effectiveness program, was announced in November 2013, and is expected to continue generating a significant amount of savings that may be invested in key strategic areas of focus for the business. We expect that these savings will drive future growth in the business.

The focus of the program is to strengthen existing businesses in core markets, increase growth in developing and emerging markets, and drive an increased level of value-added innovation. The program is expected to continue to provide a number of benefits, including an optimized supply chain infrastructure, the implementation of global business services, and a new global focus on categories.

We currently anticipate that Project K will result in total pre-tax charges, once all phases are approved and implemented, of \$1.2 to \$1.4 billion, with after-tax cash costs, including incremental capital investments, estimated to be \$900 million to \$1.1 billion. Cash expenditures of approximately \$625 million have been incurred through the end of fiscal year 2015. Total cash expenditures, as defined, are expected to be approximately \$150 million for 2016 and the balance of \$125 to \$325 million thereafter. Total charges for Project K in 2016 are expected to be approximately \$175 to \$200 million.

We expect annual cost savings generated from Project K will be approximately \$425 to \$475 million by 2018, with approximately two-thirds of the cost savings to be realized in cost of goods sold. We have realized approximately \$180 million of annual savings through the end of fiscal 2015. We expect approximately \$100 million of incremental savings in 2016, approximately 75 to 80 percent of which will come from cost of goods sold. Cost savings will continue to be utilized to increase margins and be strategically invested in areas such as in-store execution, sales capabilities, including adding sales representatives, re-establishing the Kashi business unit, and in the design and quality of our products. We have also invested in production capacity in developing and emerging markets, and in global category teams.

As a result of Project K, capital spending levels were increased to 4% of net sales during both 2014 and 2015. Our long-term targets assume capital spending to be approximately 3-4% of net sales annually.

Thus far, we have funded much of the cash requirements for Project K through the cash benefits of our supplier financing initiative. Due to the difference in timing between expected cash costs for the project and expected future cash savings, we anticipate funding the project through a combination of cash on hand and short-term debt.

We also expect that the project will have an impact on our consolidated effective income tax rate during the execution of the project due to the timing of charges being taken in different tax jurisdictions. The impact of this project on our consolidated effective income tax rate will be excluded from the comparable income tax rate that will be disclosed on a quarterly basis.

Refer to Note 5 within Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further information related to Project K and other restructuring activities.

Other Projects

In 2015 we initiated the implementation of a zero-based budgeting (ZBB) program in our North America business and during the first half of 2016 the program was expanded into our international businesses. This ZBB program is now expected to deliver visibility to \$150 to \$180 million in annual savings in 2016. Our North America business is finding more savings than anticipated at inception of the program and our international businesses now have savings commencing in the second half of 2016.

Table of Contents

In support of the ZBB initiative, we incurred pre-tax charges of approximately \$12 million and \$17 million in the quarter and year-to-date period ended July 2, 2016, respectively. We anticipate that ZBB will result in total cumulative pre-tax charges up to approximately \$50 million through 2016 which will consist primarily of the design and implementation of business capabilities.

Foreign currency translation

The reporting currency for our financial statements is the U.S. dollar. Certain of our assets, liabilities, expenses and revenues are denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar, including the euro, British pound, Australian dollar, Canadian dollar, Mexican peso, Venezuelan bolivar fuerte and Russian ruble. To prepare our consolidated financial statements, we must translate those assets, liabilities, expenses and revenues into U.S. dollars at the applicable exchange rates. As a result, increases and decreases in the value of the U.S. dollar against these other currencies will affect the amount of these items in our consolidated financial statements, even if their value has not changed in their original currency. This could have a significant impact on our results if such increase or decrease in the value of the U.S. dollar is substantial.

Interest expense

For the year-to-date periods ended July 2, 2016 and July 4, 2015, interest expense was \$285 million and \$112 million, respectively. Current year interest expense includes \$153 million charge to redeem \$475 million of 7.45% U.S. Dollar Debentures due 2031. The charge consisted primarily of a premium on the tender offer and also including accelerated losses on pre-issuance interest rate hedges, acceleration of fees and debt discount on the redeemed debt and fees.

Additionally, increased weighting of fixed rate debt versus the prior year as well as higher average debt levels resulted in higher interest expense.

For the full year 2016, we expect gross interest expense to be approximately \$400 million, including \$153 million related to the first quarter tender offer. Full year interest expense for 2015 was \$231 million.

Income taxes

Our reported effective tax rate for the quarters ended July 2, 2016 and July 4, 2015 was 27% and 28%, respectively. The reported effective tax rate for the year-to-date periods ended July 2, 2016 and July 4, 2015 was 25% and 26%, respectively. The effective rate for 2016 benefited from excess compensation expense from share-based compensation as well as a benefit related to an audit closure. The effective tax rate for 2015 benefited from a reduction in tax related to current year remitted and unremitted earnings and the completion of certain tax examinations.

The comparable effective tax rate for both year-to-date periods ended July 2, 2016 and July 4, 2015 was 26%. Refer to Note 10 within Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further information.

For the full year 2016, we currently expect the as reported effective income tax rate to be approximately 26% and the comparable effective tax rate to be approximately 27%. Fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates could impact the expected effective income tax rate as it is dependent upon U.S. dollar earnings of foreign subsidiaries doing business in various countries with differing statutory rates. Additionally, the rate could be impacted by tax legislation and if pending uncertain tax matters, including tax positions that could be affected by planning initiatives, are resolved more or less favorably than we currently expect.

Table of Contents

The following table provides a reconciliation of as reported to currency-neutral comparable income taxes and effective tax rate for the quarter and year-to-date periods ended July 2, 2016 and July 4, 2015.

	Quarter ended		Year-to-date period ended	
	July 2, 2016	July 4, 2015	July 2, 2016	July 4, 2015
Consolidated results (dollars in millions, except per share data)				
Reported income taxes	\$106	\$85	\$153	\$161
Mark-to-market	7	13	2	(8)
Project K and cost reduction activities	(23)	(26)	(32)	(47)
Other costs impacting comparability	—	(2)	(54)	(2)
Acquisitions/divestitures and integration costs	—	(1)	(1)	(3)
Venezuela remeasurement	—	(20)	—	(20)
Comparable income taxes	\$122	\$121	\$238	\$241
Reported effective income tax rate	27.4 %	27.6 %	25.2 %	26.4 %
Mark-to-market	0.5 %	1.1 %	0.5 %	0.1 %
Project K and cost reduction activities	(1.0) %	(0.5) %	(0.2) %	(0.7) %
Other costs impacting comparability	— %	(7.4) %	(1.7) %	(1.9) %
Acquisitions/divestitures and integration costs	— %	0.3 %	(0.1) %	0.2 %
Venezuela remeasurement	0.3 %	7.2 %	0.3 %	2.6 %
Comparable effective income tax rate	27.6 %	26.9 %	26.4 %	26.1 %

2016 full
year
guidance

Reported effective income tax rate	*
Mark-to-market	*
Project K and cost reduction activities	— %
Other costs impacting comparability	(1) %
Acquisitions/divestitures and integration costs	*
Venezuela remeasurement	*
Comparable effective income tax rate	Approx. 27 %

* Full year guidance for this measure cannot be reasonably estimated as certain information necessary to calculate such measure on a GAAP basis is unavailable, dependent on future events outside of our control and cannot be predicted without unreasonable efforts by the Company.

Liquidity and capital resources

Our principal source of liquidity is operating cash flows supplemented by borrowings for major acquisitions and other significant transactions. Our cash-generating capability is one of our fundamental strengths and provides us with substantial financial flexibility in meeting operating and investing needs.

We have historically reported negative working capital primarily as the result of our focus to improve core working capital by reducing our levels of trade receivables and inventory while extending the timing of payment of our trade payables. In addition, we have a substantial amount of indebtedness which results in current maturities of long-term debt and notes payable which can have a significant impact on working capital as a result of the timing of these required payments. These factors, coupled with the use of our ongoing cash flows from operations to service our debt obligations, pay dividends, fund acquisition opportunities, and repurchase our common stock, reduce our working capital amounts. We had negative working capital of \$1.9 billion and \$2.5 billion as of July 2, 2016 and July 4, 2015, respectively.

We believe that our operating cash flows, together with our credit facilities and other available debt financing, will be adequate to meet our operating, investing and financing needs in the foreseeable future. However, there can be no assurance that volatility and/or disruption in the global capital and credit markets will not impair our ability to access these markets on terms acceptable to us, or at all.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth a summary of our cash flows:

(millions)	Year-to-date period ended	
	July 2,	July 4,
	2016	2015
Net cash provided by (used in):		
Operating activities	\$648	\$581
Investing activities	(250)	(333)
Financing activities	(94)	(357)
Effect of exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents	(24)	(40)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	\$280	\$(149)

Operating activities

The principal source of our operating cash flow is net earnings, meaning cash receipts from the sale of our products, net of costs to manufacture and market our products.

Net cash provided by our operating activities for the year-to-date ended July 2, 2016, totaled \$648 million, an increase of \$67 million over the same period in 2015. The increase compared to the prior year is primarily due to changes in core working capital and other working capital mitigated somewhat by \$97 million of after-tax cash costs related to the \$475 million redemption of our 7.45% U.S. Dollar Debentures due 2031 in the first quarter of 2016.

After-tax Project K cash payments were \$82 million and \$97 million for the year-to-date periods ended July 2, 2016 and July 4, 2015, respectively. Core working capital includes the positive impact of a supplier financing initiative of approximately \$145 million and \$135 million for the quarters ended July 2, 2016 and July 4, 2015, respectively.

Our cash conversion cycle (defined as days of inventory and trade receivables outstanding less days of trade payables outstanding, based on a trailing 12 month average) is relatively short, equating to approximately 7 days and 22 days for the 12 month periods ended July 2, 2016 and July 4, 2015, respectively. Compared with the 12 month period ended July 4, 2015, the 2016 cash conversion cycle was positively impacted by an increase in the days of trade payables outstanding attributable to a supplier financing initiative.

Our pension and other postretirement benefit plan contributions amounted to \$23 million and \$17 million for the year-to-date periods ended July 2, 2016 and July 4, 2015, respectively. For the full year 2016, we currently expect that our contributions to pension and other postretirement plans will total approximately \$43 million. Plan funding strategies may be modified in response to our evaluation of tax deductibility, market conditions and competing investment alternatives.

Table of Contents

We measure cash flow as net cash provided by operating activities reduced by expenditures for property additions. We use this non-GAAP financial measure of cash flow to focus management and investors on the amount of cash available for debt repayment, dividend distributions, acquisition opportunities, and share repurchases. Our cash flow metric is reconciled to the most comparable GAAP measure, as follows:

	Year-to-date period ended		
(millions)	July 2, 2016	July 4, 2015	2016 full year guidance
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$648	\$581	Approx \$1,675
Additions to properties	(249)	(258)	\$525-\$625
Cash flow	\$399	\$323	Approx \$1,100

Investing activities

Our net cash used in investing activities totaled \$250 million for the year-to-date period ended July 2, 2016 compared to \$333 million in the same period of 2015. The decrease was primarily due to the \$117 million acquisition of Bisco Misr during the first quarter of 2015 mitigated somewhat by a purchase price adjustment on our investment in Multipro resulting in a refund of \$28 million during the second quarter of 2016.

Financing activities

Our net cash used in financing activities for the year-to-date period ended July 2, 2016 totaled \$94 million compared to \$357 million in the same period of 2015.

In May 2016, we issued €600 million of eight-year 1.00% Euro Notes due 2024 and repaid our 4.45% fixed rate \$750 million U.S. Dollar Notes due 2016 at maturity.

In March 2016, we issued \$750 million of ten-year 3.25% U.S. Dollar Notes and \$650 million of thirty-year 4.50% U.S. Dollar Notes. Also in March 2016, we redeemed \$475 million of our 7.45% U.S. Dollar Debentures due 2031.

In February 2015, we repaid our floating-rate \$250 million U.S. Dollar Notes due 2015 at maturity and in March 2015, we issued €600 million of ten-year 1.25% Euro Notes due 2025.

In February 2014, the board of directors approved a share repurchase program authorizing us to repurchase up to \$1.5 billion in shares through December 2015. In December 2015, the board of directors approved a new authorization to repurchase up to \$1.5 billion in shares beginning in 2016 through December 2017. Total purchases for the year-to-date period ended July 2, 2016, were 5 million shares for \$386 million. Total purchases for the year-to-date period ended July 4, 2015, were 4 million shares for \$285 million.

We paid cash dividends of \$175 million in the quarter ended July 2, 2016, compared to \$174 million during the same period in 2015. The increase in dividends paid reflects our third quarter 2015 increase in the quarterly dividend to \$.50 per common share from the previous \$.49 per common share. In July 2016, the board of directors declared a dividend of \$.52 per common share, payable on September 15, 2016 to shareholders of record at the close of business on September 1, 2016. The dividend is broadly in line with our current plan to maintain our long-term dividend pay-out of approximately 50% of comparable net income.

In February 2014, we entered into an unsecured five year credit agreement expiring in 2019, which allows us to borrow, in a revolving credit basis, up to \$2.0 billion.

We are in compliance with all debt covenants. We continue to believe that we will be able to meet our interest and principal repayment obligations and maintain our debt covenants for the foreseeable future. We expect our access to public debt and commercial paper markets, along with operating cash flows, will be adequate to meet future operating, investing and financing needs, including the pursuit of selected acquisitions.

During the first half of 2016, we executed a discrete customer program to extend customer payment terms. In order to mitigate the net working capital impact of the extended terms, we entered into an agreement to sell, on a revolving basis, certain trade accounts receivable balances to a third party financial institution. Transfers under this agreement are accounted for as sales of receivables resulting in the receivables being de-recognized from our Consolidated Balance Sheet. The agreement provides for the continuing sale of certain receivables on a revolving basis until

terminated by either party; however the maximum funding from receivables that may be sold at any time is currently \$550 million, but may be increased as additional financial institutions are added to the agreement. We currently estimate that the amount of these receivables held at any time by the financial institution(s) will be

Table of Contents

approximately \$550 to \$650 million. During the year-to-date period ended July 2, 2016, \$529 million of accounts receivable have been sold via this arrangement.

In addition to the discrete customer program above, we established a \$200 million accounts receivable securitization program in July 2016 for certain customers which will allow for extended customer payment terms. In order to mitigate the net working capital impact of the extended terms, we entered into an agreement with a financial institution to sell these receivables resulting in the receivables being de-recognized from our consolidated balance sheet. We currently estimate that the amount of these receivables held at any time by the financial institution(s) will be up to approximately \$1 billion.

Accounting standards to be adopted in future periods

In February 2016, the FASB issued an ASU which will require the recognition of lease assets and lease liabilities by lessees for all leases with terms greater than 12 months. The distinction between finance leases and operating leases will remain, with similar classification criteria as current GAAP to distinguish between capital and operating leases. The principal difference from current guidance is that the lease assets and lease liabilities arising from operating leases will be recognized on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Lessor accounting remains substantially similar to current GAAP. The ASU is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2018. Early adoption is permitted. We are currently evaluating the impact that implementing this ASU will have on our financial statements and disclosures, as well as timing of implementation.

In January 2016, the FASB issued an ASU which primarily affects the accounting for equity investments, financial liabilities under the fair value option, and the presentation and disclosure requirements for financial instruments. The ASU is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2017. Early adoption can be elected for all financial statements of fiscal years and interim periods that have not yet been issued or that have not yet been made available for issuance. Entities should apply the update by means of a cumulative-effect adjustment to the balance sheet as of the beginning of the fiscal year of adoption. We will adopt the updated standard in the first quarter of 2018. We do not expect the adoption of this guidance to have a significant impact on our financial statements.

In May 2014, the FASB issued an ASU which provides guidance for accounting for revenue from contracts with customers. The core principle of this ASU is that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. To achieve that core principle, an entity would be required to apply the following five steps: 1) identify the contract(s) with a customer; 2) identify the performance obligations in the contract; 3) determine the transaction price; 4) allocate the transaction price to the performance obligations in the contract and 5) recognize revenue when (or as) the entity satisfies a performance obligation. When the ASU was originally issued it was effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2016. Early adoption was not permitted. On July 9, 2015, the FASB decided to delay the effective date of the new revenue standard by one year. The updated standard will be effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2017. Entities will be permitted to adopt the new revenue standard early, but not before the original effective date. Entities will have the option to apply the final standard retrospectively or use a modified retrospective method, recognizing the cumulative effect of the ASU in retained earnings at the date of initial application. An entity will not restate prior periods if it uses the modified retrospective method, but will be required to disclose the amount by which each financial statement line item is affected in the current reporting period by the application of the ASU as compared to the guidance in effect prior to the change, as well as reasons for significant changes. We will adopt the updated standard in the first quarter of 2018. We are currently evaluating the impact that implementing this ASU will have on our financial statements and disclosures, as well as whether we will use the retrospective or modified retrospective method of adoption.

Table of Contents

Forward-looking statements

This Report contains “forward-looking statements” with projections concerning, among other things, the Company’s global growth and efficiency program (Project K), the integration of acquired businesses, our strategy, zero-based budgeting, financial principles, and plans; initiatives, improvements and growth; sales, gross margins, advertising, promotion, merchandising, brand building, operating profit, and earnings per share; innovation; investments; capital expenditures; asset write-offs and expenditures and costs related to productivity or efficiency initiatives; the impact of accounting changes and significant accounting estimates; our ability to meet interest and debt principal repayment obligations; minimum contractual obligations; future common stock repurchases or debt reduction; effective income tax rate; cash flow and core working capital improvements; interest expense; commodity, and energy prices; and employee benefit plan costs and funding. Forward-looking statements include predictions of future results or activities and may contain the words “expect,” “believe,” “will,” “can,” “anticipate,” “project,” “should,” “estimate,” or words or phrases of similar meaning. For example, forward-looking statements are found in Item 1 and in several sections of Management’s Discussion and Analysis. Our actual results or activities may differ materially from these predictions. Our future results could be affected by a variety of factors, including:

- the ability to implement Project K as planned, whether the expected amount of costs associated with Project K will exceed forecasts, whether the Company will be able to realize the anticipated benefits from Project K in the amounts and times expected;
- the ability to realize the benefits we expect from the adoption of zero-based budgeting in the amounts and at the times expected;
- the ability to realize the anticipated benefits and synergies from acquired businesses in the amounts and at the times expected;
- the impact of competitive conditions;
- the effectiveness of pricing, advertising, and promotional programs;
- the success of innovation, renovation and new product introductions;
- the recoverability of the carrying value of goodwill and other intangibles;
- the success of productivity improvements and business transitions;
- commodity and energy prices;
- labor costs;
- disruptions or inefficiencies in supply chain;
- the availability of and interest rates on short-term and long-term financing;
- actual market performance of benefit plan trust investments;
- the levels of spending on systems initiatives, properties, business opportunities, integration of acquired businesses, and other general and administrative costs;
- changes in consumer behavior and preferences;
- the effect of U.S. and foreign economic conditions on items such as interest rates, statutory tax rates, currency conversion and availability;
- legal and regulatory factors including changes in food safety, advertising and labeling laws and regulations;
- the ultimate impact of product recalls;
- adverse changes in global climate or extreme weather conditions;
- business disruption or other losses from natural disasters, war, terrorist acts, or political unrest; and,
- the risks and uncertainties described herein under Part II, Item 1A.

Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they were made, and we undertake no obligation to publicly update them.

Table of Contents

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Our Company is exposed to certain market risks, which exist as a part of our ongoing business operations. We use derivative financial and commodity instruments, where appropriate, to manage these risks. Refer to Note 11 within Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further information on our derivative financial and commodity instruments.

Refer to disclosures contained within Item 7A of our 2015 Annual Report on Form 10-K. Other than changes noted here, there have been no material changes in the Company's market risk as of July 2, 2016.

During 2016, we entered into forward starting interest swaps with notional amounts totaling \$1.4 billion and €600 million, as hedges against interest rate volatility associated with a forecasted issuance of fixed rate U.S. Dollar and Euro debt, respectively, to be used for general corporate purposes. These swaps were designated as cash flow hedges. The U.S. Dollar forward starting interest rate swaps were settled during the quarter ended April 2, 2016, upon the issuance of fixed rate U.S. Dollar debt. A resulting aggregate loss of \$59 million was recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) and will be amortized as interest expense over the life of the related fixed rate debt. The Euro forward starting interest rate swaps were settled during the quarter ended July 2, 2016 upon issuance of fixed rate Euro debt. A resulting aggregate loss of \$10 million was recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) and will be amortized as interest expense over the life of the related fixed rate debt. Refer to Note 7 within Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further information related to the fixed rate debt issuance.

During the quarter ended July 2, 2016 we entered into interest rate swaps with notional amounts totaling approximately \$958 million and €600 million that are designated as fair value hedges of certain U.S. Dollar and Euro debt, respectively. The U.S. Dollar interest rate swaps were settled during the quarter ended July 2, 2016 for an aggregate gain of \$12 million that will be amortized as interest expense over the life of the related fixed rate debt. Refer to Note 7 within Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

The total notional amount of interest rate swaps outstanding at July 2, 2016 was €600 million, with a related asset with a fair value of \$10 million. We had no interest rate swaps outstanding at January 2, 2016. Assuming average variable rate debt levels during the year, a one percentage point increase in interest rates would have increased annual interest expense by approximately \$8 million at July 2, 2016. As there were no interest rate swaps or variable rate debt outstanding at January 2, 2016 changes in interest rates would have no impact to annual interest expense.

Venezuela is considered a highly inflationary economy. As such, the functional currency for our operations in Venezuela is the U.S. dollar, which in turn, requires bolivar denominated monetary assets and liabilities to be remeasured into U.S. dollars using an exchange rate at which such balances could be settled as of the balance sheet date. In addition, revenues and expenses are recorded in U.S. dollars at an appropriate rate on the date of the transaction. Gains and losses resulting from the remeasurement of the bolivar denominated monetary assets and liabilities are recorded in earnings.

During 2015 we experienced an increase in the amount of time it takes to exchange bolivars for U.S. dollars through the CENCOEX exchange. Due to this reduced availability of U.S. dollars and upon review of current U.S. dollar cash needs in our Venezuela operations as of the quarter ended July 4, 2015, we concluded that we are no longer able to obtain sufficient U.S. dollars on a timely basis through the CENCOEX exchange, resulting in a decision to remeasure our Venezuela subsidiary's financial statements using the SIMADI rate.

In February 2016, the Venezuelan government announced changes to its foreign currency exchange mechanisms, including a 59% devaluation of the CENCOEX (now named DIPRO) official rate from 6.3 bolivars to 10.0 bolivars to the U.S. dollar. Additionally the SIMADI exchange rate was replaced by the DICOM exchange rate, a new floating exchange rate for non-essential imports. The DICOM exchange rate was introduced at 206 bolivars to the U.S. dollar and the Venezuelan government has reported that the DICOM exchange rate will be allowed to float to meet market needs.

Please refer to Note 13 for more information regarding our operations in Venezuela and our change in foreign exchange rates.

Following the change to the SIMADI rate as of July 4, 2015, certain non-monetary assets related to our Venezuelan subsidiary continued to be remeasured at historical exchange rates. As these assets were utilized by our Venezuelan subsidiary during the second half of 2015 and first quarter of 2016 they were recognized in the income statement at

historical exchange rates resulting in an unfavorable impact. During 2015, we recognized expense

59

Table of Contents

related to the utilization of a portion of these non-monetary assets, resulting in an unfavorable impact of approximately \$17 million. We experienced an additional unfavorable impact of approximately \$4 million in the year-to-date ended July 2, 2016 related to the utilization of these remaining non-monetary assets.

Additionally, with the introduction of the new DICOM floating rate we experienced an unfavorable impact, primarily in COGS, of approximately \$8 million in the year-to-date period ended July 2, 2016 related to the utilization of non-monetary assets that were originally acquired after our conversion to the SIMADI rate at July 4, 2015. These non-monetary assets were recognized in the income statement at historical exchange rates during the quarter.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure under Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e). Disclosure controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, rather than absolute, assurance of achieving the desired control objectives.

As of July 2, 2016, we carried out an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of our chief executive officer and our chief financial officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based on the foregoing, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

During the third quarter of 2014, we went live with the first phase of our Global Business Services (GBS) initiative, in conjunction with Project K, which includes the reorganization and relocation of certain financial service processes, internal to the organization. This initiative is expected to continue through 2016 and will impact the design of our control framework. During the transition to GBS, we have put additional controls in place to monitor and maintain appropriate internal controls impacting financial reporting.

There have been no other changes in our internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

KELLOGG COMPANY

PART II — OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes in our risk factors from those disclosed in Part I, Item 1A to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 2, 2016. The risk factors disclosed under those Reports in addition to the other information set forth in this Report, could materially affect our business, financial condition, or results.

Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we deem to be immaterial could also materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, or results.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

(c) Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

(millions, except per share data)

Period	(a) Total Number of Shares Purchased	(b) Average Price Paid Per Share	(c) Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	(d) Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
Month #4: 4/03/16-4/30/16	0.5	\$ 76.50	0.5	\$ 1,254
Month #5: 5/01/16-5/28/16	1.9	\$ 75.62	1.9	\$ 1,114
Month #6: 5/29/16-7/02/16	—	\$ —	—	\$ 1,114
Total	2.4	\$ 75.80	2.4	

In December 2015, our board of directors approved a share repurchase program authorizing us to repurchase shares of our common stock amounting to \$1.5 billion beginning in January 2016 through December 2017. This authorization is intended to allow us to repurchase shares for general corporate purposes and to offset issuances for employee benefit programs.

Item 6. Exhibits

(a) Exhibits:

- 31.1 Rule 13a-14(e)/15d-14(a) Certification from John A. Bryant
- 31.2 Rule 13a-14(e)/15d-14(a) Certification from Ronald L. Dissinger
- 32.1 Section 1350 Certification from John A. Bryant
- 32.2 Section 1350 Certification from Ronald L. Dissinger
- 101.INS XBRL Instance Document
- 101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
- 101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
- 101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
- 101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
- 101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

Table of Contents

KELLOGG COMPANY
SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

KELLOGG COMPANY

/s/ Ronald L. Dissinger
Ronald L. Dissinger
Principal Financial Officer;
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

/s/ Donald O. Mondano
Donald O. Mondano
Principal Accounting Officer;
Vice President and Corporate Controller

Date: August 8, 2016

Table of ContentsKELLOGG COMPANY
EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit No.	Description	Electronic (E) Paper (P) Incorp. By Ref. (IBRF)
31.1	Rule 13a-14(e)/15d-14(a) Certification from John A. Bryant	E
31.2	Rule 13a-14(e)/15d-14(a) Certification from Ronald L. Dissinger	E
32.1	Section 1350 Certification from John A. Bryant	E
32.2	Section 1350 Certification from Ronald L. Dissinger	E
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document	E
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document	E
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document	E
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document	E
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document	E
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document	E