OCI Partners LP Form 10-K March 13, 2017 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

(Mark One)

 \circ ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016

OR

..TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission file number 001-36098

OCI Partners LP

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware 90-0936556 (State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer incorporation or organization) Identification No.) Mailing Address: Physical Address:

P.O. Box 1647 5470 N. Twin City Highway Nederland, Texas 77627 Nederland, Texas 77627 (Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(409) 723-1900

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code) Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class

Name of each exchange on which registered

Common Units Representing Limited Partner Interests

New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None.

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securit

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes "No \circ

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes "No ý

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ý No "Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ý No "

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K ($\S229.405$ of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. \circ

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer " Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer " (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company " Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange

Act). Yes "No ý

The aggregate market value of common units held by non-affiliates as of June 30, 2016 was approximately \$132.1 million.

As of March 13, 2017, the registrant had 86,997,590 common units outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE: None

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
PART I		
ITEM 1.	<u>Business</u>	<u>4</u>
ITEM 1A	Risk Factors	<u>19</u>
ITEM 1B	. <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	<u>43</u>
ITEM 2.	<u>Properties</u>	<u>43</u>
ITEM 3.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>43</u>
ITEM 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	<u>43</u>
PART II		
ITEM 5.	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Unitholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	<u>44</u>
ITEM 6.	Selected Financial Data	<u>47</u>
	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	50
	. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	<u>69</u>
	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	70
	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	<u>96</u>
	Controls and Procedures	<u>96</u>
	. Other Information	<u>97</u>
D A D THI		
PART III		00
	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance Executive Compensation	98 103
	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Unitholder Matters	103 106
	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	108 108
	Principal Accounting Fees and Services	112
11121/1114.	Timelpar Accounting Pees and Services	112
PART IV		
ITEM 15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	<u>113</u>
:		
i		

EXPLANATORY NOTE

OCI Partners LP, a Delaware limited partnership ("OCIP"), closed its initial public offering ("IPO") of common units representing limited partner interests ("common units") on October 9, 2013 (the "IPO Closing Date"). As used in this report, the terms "the partnership," "we," "our," "us" and similar terms, when used in a historical context for periods prior to the IPO Closing Date, refer to the business and operations of OCI Beaumont LLC, a Texas limited liability company ("OCIB"), that OCI USA Inc. contributed to OCIP in connection with the IPO. When used in the historical context for periods after the IPO Closing Date or when used in the present tense or future tense, those terms refer to OCIP and its subsidiary, OCIB. References to "our general partner" refer to OCI GP LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, and a direct, wholly-owned subsidiary of OCI USA Inc. References to "OCI" refer to OCI N.V., a Dutch public limited liability company, and its consolidated subsidiaries other than us, our subsidiaries and our general partner. References to "OCI USA" refer to OCI USA Inc., a Delaware corporation, which is an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of OCI. References to "OCI Fertilizer" refer to OCI Fertilizer International B.V., a Dutch private limited liability company, which is an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of OCI.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report contains forward-looking statements and may address certain plans, activities or events which will or may occur in the future and relate to, among other things, the proposal pursuant to which OCI would acquire the publicly held common units of OCIP not already directly or indirectly owned by OCI in a stock for unit exchange with OCI (the "Proposed Transaction") and the overall impact of the Proposed Transaction on the partnership. Statements that are predictive in nature, that depend upon or refer to future events or conditions or that include the words "will," "believe," "expect," "anticipate," "intend," "estimate" and other expressions that are predictions of or indicate future events and trends and that do not relate to historical matters identify forward-looking statements. Our forward-looking statements include statements about our business strategy, our industry, our expected revenues, our future profitability, our expected capital expenditures (including for maintenance or expansion projects and environmental expenditures) and the impact of such expenditures on our performance, and the costs of operating as a publicly traded partnership. These statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors, including the factors described under Item 1A—"Risk Factors" in this Annual Report that may cause our actual results and performance to be materially different from any future results or performance expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. Such risks and uncertainties include, among other things:

our ability to make cash distributions on our common units;

the volatile nature of our business, our ability to remain profitable and the variable nature of our cash distributions; planned and unplanned downtime (including in connection with maintenance turnarounds), shutdowns (either temporary or permanent) or restarts of existing methanol and ammonia facilities, including, without limitation, the timing and length of planned maintenance outages;

the ability of our general partner to modify or revoke our distribution policy at any time;

our ability to forecast our future financial condition or results of operations and our future revenues and expenses; our reliance on a single facility for conducting our operations;

intense competition from other methanol and ammonia producers, including recent announcements by other producers, including other OCI affiliates, of their intentions to relocate, restart or construct methanol or ammonia plants in the Texas Gulf Coast region or elsewhere in the United States;

risks relating to our relationships with OCI or its affiliates, including competition from Natgasoline LLC ("Natgasoline"), which is currently in the construction phase on its 1.8 million metric ton methanol plant in Beaumont, Texas;

potential operating hazards from accidents, fire, severe weather, floods or other natural disasters;

- our lack of contracts that provide for minimum commitments from our
- customers:

the cyclical nature of our business;

expected demand for methanol, ammonia and their derivatives;

Table of Contents

expected methanol, ammonia and energy prices;

anticipated methanol and ammonia production rates at our plant;

our reliance on insurance policies that may not fully cover an accident or event that causes significant damage to our facility or causes extended business interruption;

our reliance on natural gas delivered to us by our suppliers, including a subsidiary of Kinder Morgan Energy Partners, L.P. ("Kinder Morgan") and Houston Pipe Line Company, LP ("Houston Pipe Line Company"), a subsidiary of Energy Transfer Partners, L.P.;

expected levels, timing and availability of economically priced natural gas and other feedstock supplies to our plant; expected operating costs, including natural gas and other feedstock costs and logistics costs;

expected new methanol or ammonia supply or restart of idled plant capacity and timing for start-up of new or idled production facilities;

our expected capital expenditures;

the impact of regulatory developments on the demand for our products;

global and regional economic activity (including industrial production levels);

the dependence of our operations on a few third-party suppliers, including providers of transportation services and equipment;

the risk associated with changes, or potential changes, in governmental policies affecting the agricultural industry; the hazardous nature of our products, potential liability for accidents involving our products that cause interruption to our business, severe damage to property or injury to the environment and human health and potential increased costs relating to the transport of our products;

our potential inability to obtain or renew permits;

• existing and proposed environmental laws and regulations, including those relating to climate change, alternative energy or fuel sources, and the end-use and application of our products;

new regulations concerning the transportation of hazardous chemicals, risks of terrorism and the security of chemical manufacturing facilities;

our lack of asset and geographic diversification;

our dependence on a limited number of significant customers;

our ability to comply with employee safety laws and regulations;

our potential inability to successfully implement our business strategies, including the completion of significant capital programs;

additional risks, compliance costs and liabilities from expansions or acquisitions;

our reliance on our senior management team;

the potential shortage of skilled labor or loss of key personnel;

our ability to obtain debt or equity financing on satisfactory terms to fund additional acquisitions, expansion projects, working capital requirements and the repayment or refinancing of indebtedness;

restrictions in our debt agreements, including those on our ability to distribute cash or conduct our business;

Table of Contents

potential increases in costs and distraction of management resulting from the requirements of being a publicly traded partnership;

exemptions we rely on in connection with New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") corporate governance requirements; control of our general partner by OCI;

the conflicts of interest faced by our senior management team, which manages both our business and the businesses of various affiliates of our general partner;

limitations on the fiduciary duties owed by our general partner to us and our limited partners under our partnership agreement;

the impact of regulations recently issued by the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") and the U.S. Department of the Treasury on our status as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes; and

changes in our treatment as a partnership for U.S. federal income or state tax purposes.

In addition, the risks and uncertainties related to the Proposed Transaction and the impact of those transaction on OCIP include, but are not limited to:

the inability to enter into a definitive agreement with OCI or, if such a definitive agreement is reached, to consummate the transaction provided for in such definitive agreement;

the effects of future litigation, including litigation relating to the Proposed Transaction;

risks related to disruption of management's attention from our ongoing business operations due to the Proposed Transaction; and

•risks relating to anticipated and unanticipated costs in connection with the Proposed Transaction.

You should not place undue reliance on our forward-looking statements. Although forward-looking statements reflect our good faith beliefs, forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors, which may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to differ materially from anticipated future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future events, changed circumstances or otherwise, unless required by law.

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS OVERVIEW

We are a Delaware limited partnership formed in February 2013 whose focus is on the production, marketing and distribution of methanol and anhydrous ammonia. Our production facility is strategically located on the U.S. Gulf Coast near Beaumont, Texas and commenced full operations during August 2012. Our facility has pipeline connections to adjacent customers, port access with dedicated methanol and ammonia import/export jetties, allowing us to ship both products along the Gulf Coast, and truck loading facilities for both methanol and ammonia. We are currently one of the larger merchant methanol producers in the United States with an annual methanol production design capacity of approximately 912,500 metric tons and an annual ammonia production design capacity of approximately 331,000 metric tons. We executed a debottlenecking project on our production facility that included a maintenance turnaround and environmental upgrades, which we collectively refer to as our "debottlenecking project." This project increased our annual methanol and ammonia production design capacity by 25%. Beginning in January 2015, we shut down our methanol production unit for 82 days and our ammonia production unit for 71 days in order to complete the debottlenecking project. We began start-up of the ammonia production facility on April 9, 2015 and reached daily ammonia production design capacity on May 5, 2015. We began start-up of the methanol production facility on April 22, 2015, and we reached daily methanol production design capacity on May 23, 2015. Both methanol and ammonia are global commodities that are essential building blocks for numerous end-use products. Methanol is a liquid petrochemical that is used in a variety of industrial and energy-related applications. The primary use of methanol is to make other chemicals, with approximately 55% of global methanol demand being used to produce formaldehyde, acetic acid and a variety of other chemicals that form the foundation of a large number of chemical derivatives. These derivatives are used to produce a wide range of products, including adhesives for the lumber industry, plywood, particle board and laminates, resins to treat paper and plastic products, and also paint and varnish removers, solvents for the textile industry and polyester fibers for clothing and carpeting. Energy related applications consume the remaining 45% of methanol demand. In recent years, there has been a strong demand for methanol in energy applications such as gasoline blending, biodiesel and as a feedstock in the production of dimethyl ether ("DME"), methyl tertiary-butyl ether ("MTBE") and methanol-to-olefins ("MTO"), particularly in China. Methanol blending in gasoline is currently not permitted in the United States. Ammonia, produced in anhydrous form (containing no water) from the reaction of nitrogen and hydrogen, constitutes the base feedstock for nearly all of the world's nitrogen chemical production. In the United States, ammonia is primarily used as a feedstock to produce nitrogen fertilizers, such as urea and ammonium sulfate, and is also directly applied to soil as a fertilizer. In addition, ammonia is widely used in industrial applications, particularly in the Texas Gulf Coast market, including in the production of plastics, synthetic fibers, resins and numerous other chemical derivatives. **Proposed Transaction**

On December 6, 2016, OCIP received a proposal from OCI pursuant to which OCI would acquire the publicly held common units not already directly or indirectly owned by OCI in a stock for unit exchange. Subject to negotiation and execution of a definitive agreement, OCI is proposing consideration of 0.5200 OCI shares for each issued and outstanding publicly-held common unit of OCIP as part of a transaction that would be structured as a merger of OCIP with an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of OCI. There can be no assurance that any discussions that may occur between us and OCI in connection with the proposal will result in the entry into a definitive agreement concerning a transaction or, if such a definitive agreement is reached, will result in the consummation of a transaction provided for in such definitive agreement.

Table of Contents

Organizational Structure

The following diagram depicts our organizational structure as of March 13, 2017:

Our Facility

We purchase natural gas from third parties and process the natural gas into synthesis gas, which we then further process in the production of methanol and ammonia. We store and sell the processed methanol and ammonia to industrial and commercial customers for further processing or distribution.

Our integrated methanol and ammonia production facility is located on a 62-acre site south of Beaumont, Texas on the Neches River. We acquired our facility (which had been idled by the previous owners since 2004) in May 2011, commenced an upgrade that was completed in July 2012 and began operating our facility at full capacity in the fourth quarter of 2012. Our facility began ammonia production in December 2011 and began methanol production in July 2012, with revenues first generated from ammonia sales in the first quarter of 2012 and from methanol sales in the third quarter of 2012.

The following table sets forth our facility's production capacity and storage capacity:

	Design Capacit	Production y as of per 31, 2016	Production during the Year Ended December 31, 2016	Product Storage Capacity as of December 31, 2016
Product		Metric ayons/Year (1)	Metric Tons	Metric Tons
Methanol Ammonia	-	912,500 331,000	822,974 331,501	42,000 (2 tanks) 33,000 (2 tanks)

⁽¹⁾ Assumes facility operates 365 days per year.

Our facility is located on the Texas Gulf Coast, which provides us access and connectivity to our existing and prospective customers and to natural gas feedstock supplies. Our facility is connected to established infrastructure and transportation facilities, including pipeline connections to adjacent customers, port access with dedicated methanol and ammonia export barge docks and state-of-the-art methanol and ammonia truck loading facilities, which have improved delivery options for our customers. We own a 15-acre tract of land adjacent to our facility that provides us access to an ammonia pipeline and the flexibility to install a methanol and ammonia railcar loading facility. In addition, we also own a 19-acre tract of land adjacent to our facility that may serve as the future location of our administrative offices.

We have connections to one major interstate and three major intrastate natural gas pipelines that provide us access to significantly more natural gas supply than our facility requires and flexibility in sourcing our natural gas feedstock. We are currently receiving our natural gas from the Kinder Morgan and Houston Pipe Line Company. In addition, our facility is connected to natural gas pipelines owned by Florida Gas Transmission and DCP Midstream Partners, LP. Our facility is located in close proximity to many of our major customers, which allows us to deliver our products to those customers at competitive prices compared to overseas suppliers that are subject to significant transportation costs associated with transporting product to our markets.

Table of Contents

The following table indicates ownership of the pipelines connected to our facility. Although we transport methanol and ammonia to various customers, we do not have ownership of all the pipelines that we use.

Manufactured Product:

Pipeline Product Ownership
ExxonMobil/Arkema Pipeline Methanol OCI Partners LP
Enterprise (Huntsman) Methanol OCI Partners LP
Lucite/DuPont Ammonia OCI Partners LP

Feedstocks:

Pipeline Product Ownership
Kinder Morgan Pipeline Natural Gas Kinder Morgan
DCP Midstream Pipeline Natural Gas DCP Midstream

Florida Gas Transmission Natural Gas Pipeline Natural Gas Florida Gas Transmission Company Houston Pipeline Natural Gas Houston Pipe Line Company LP

Air Liquide Nitrogen Pipeline Nitrogen Air Liquide
Air Products Hydrogen Pipeline Hydrogen Air Products

Table of Contents

The following diagram illustrates key elements of our methanol and ammonia value chain:

c

Table of Contents

Our Methanol Production Unit

Our methanol production unit is a 912,500 metric ton per year unit that is comprised of Foster-Wheeler-designed twin steam methane reformers for synthesis gas production, two Lurgi-designed parallel low-pressure, water-cooled reactors and four distillation columns. Our methanol production unit contains two methanol storage tanks with a combined storage capacity of 42,000 metric tons. In addition, our methanol production unit has a crude methanol surge tank, refined receiver tank, storage tank scrubber and crude tank scrubber. During the year ended December 31, 2016, our methanol production unit produced approximately 822,974 metric tons of methanol. We expect our methanol production unit to undergo an approximate four-week turnaround once approximately every four years. Please see below for a simplified process flow diagram.

Table of Contents

Our Ammonia Production Unit

Our ammonia production unit is a 331,000 metric ton per year unit. The Haldor-Topsøe-designed ammonia synthesis loop at our facility processes hydrogen produced by our methanol production process as the feedstock to produce ammonia. Our ammonia production unit also uses hydrogen we purchase from third parties to supplement the hydrogen produced by our methanol production process. Our ammonia production unit contains two refrigerated ammonia storage tanks with a combined storage capacity of 33,000 metric tons. During the year ended December 31, 2016, our ammonia production unit produced approximately 331,501 metric tons of ammonia. We expect our ammonia production unit to undergo an approximate four-week turnaround once approximately every four years coinciding with the turnaround of our methanol production unit. Please see below for a simplified process flow diagram.

Our Initial Upgrade of the Original Facility

We commenced an upgrade of our facility in May 2011 that was completed in July 2012. In connection with our upgrade, we installed an advanced distributed control system at our facility to efficiently control our integrated production process. We opened, inspected and hydrostatically tested all of the static equipment at our facility. We also hydrostatically tested the piping at our facility and performed other integrity tests, including thickness measurements, and we replaced connecting gaskets and bolts and any out-of-code piping. We completely refurbished our rotating equipment, including pumps, compressors and fans, and we cleaned and, if necessary, re-tubed our heat exchangers. In addition, our storage tanks were emptied, cleaned and inspected. Moreover, our furnaces were inspected and the related burners and refractory were overhauled. After completing our upgrades, our methanol and ammonia production units underwent commissioning and testing of the safety interlocks.

Our Debottlenecking Project

As a means of maximizing our production efficiencies and reducing our energy consumption, we executed a debottlenecking project on our production facility that included a maintenance turnaround and environmental upgrades. This project increased our maximum annual methanol production capacity by 25% to approximately 912,500 metric tons and our maximum annual ammonia production capacity by 25% to approximately 331,000 metric tons. Beginning in January 2015, we shut down our methanol and ammonia production units for 82 and 71 days, respectively, in order to complete the debottlenecking project. We began start-up of the ammonia production facility on April 9, 2015 and reached daily ammonia production design capacity of 907 metric tons on May 5, 2015. We began start-up of the methanol production facility on April 22, 2015 and reached daily methanol production design capacity of 2,500 metric tons on May 23, 2015. The total cost of the debottlenecking project (including costs associated with a turnaround and environmental upgrades) was approximately \$384.0 million (excluding capitalized interest).

As part of our debottlenecking project, we completed the following:

installed a selective catalytic reduction unit;

replaced reformer tubes, which resulted in increased synthesis gas production;

installed a pre-reformer;

installed a saturator;

installed an additional flare;

modified the synthesis gas compressor and steam turbine to handle the increased volume of synthesis gas;

modified the convection section and the heat exchangers;

replaced refractories;

increased the capacity of the synthesis gas compressor and the refrigeration compressor on our ammonia production unit and replaced several heat exchangers and vessels to handle the higher volume; and

replaced and/or refurbished equipment that caused unplanned downtime.

Our depreciation expense has increased from the additional assets placed into service from our debottlenecking project. In addition, due to the increase in our production capacity, our production volumes and cost of goods sold are greater in subsequent periods following the completion of the debottlenecking project than in prior periods. Thus, our results of operations for periods prior to and after the completion of our debottlenecking project may not be comparable.

Feedstock Supply

The primary feedstock that we use to produce methanol and ammonia is natural gas. Operating at full capacity, our methanol and ammonia production units together require approximately 110,000 to 120,000 MMBtu per day of natural gas, as of December 31, 2016. For the year ended December 31, 2016, natural gas feedstock costs represented approximately 55% of our cost of goods sold (exclusive of depreciation). Accordingly, our profitability depends in large part on the price of our natural gas feedstock. Please read Item 7A—"Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk" included in this report for additional information.

We have connections to one major interstate and three major intrastate natural gas pipelines that provide us access to significantly more natural gas supply than our facility requires and flexibility in sourcing our natural gas feedstock. We are currently receiving our natural gas from the Kinder Morgan and Houston Pipe Line Company. In addition, our facility is connected to natural gas pipelines owned by Florida Gas Transmission and DCP Midstream Partners, LP. We believe that we have ready access to an abundant supply of natural gas for the foreseeable future due to our location and connectivity to major natural gas pipelines.

We procure our hydrogen and nitrogen supply needs from Air Products LLC ("Air Products") and Air Liquide Large Industries U.S. LP ("Air Liquide"), respectively. Our supply contract with Air Products provides for 31.0 MMscf per day of dedicated hydrogen and expires in 2021. The price we pay under the Air Products contract is linked to natural gas prices. Our supply contract with Air Liquide provides for up to 24.8 MMscf of dedicated nitrogen per day, expiring in 2024. The price we pay under our contract with Air Liquide is based on a combination of the cost of electric power, average gross hourly earnings and the latest value of the U.S. Bureau of Statistics Producer Price Index for Industrial Commodities.

Customers and Contracts

We generate our revenues from the sale of methanol and ammonia manufactured at our facility. We sell our products, primarily under contract, to industrial users and commercial traders for further processing or distribution. For the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, we derived approximately 62% and 53%, respectively, of our revenues from the sale of our products to commercial traders for further processing or distribution and derived approximately 38% and 47%, respectively, of our revenues from the sale of our products to industrial users. In addition, we derive a portion of our revenues from uncontracted and contracted sales with our customers that have pricing terms based upon published spot prices. For the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, we derived approximately 11% and 7%, respectively, of our revenues from spot price-based sales of ammonia. For the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, we derived approximately 18% and 27%, respectively, of our revenues from spot price-based sales of methanol. We currently are party to methanol sales contracts with several customers, including but not limited to Methanex and Southern Chemical Distribution, L.L.C. One of our customers is obligated to use best efforts to purchase a certain quantity of methanol from us each year, but generally our customers may determine not to purchase any more methanol from us at any time and may purchase methanol from other suppliers. Consistent with industry practice, our methanol sales contracts set our pricing terms to reflect a specified discount to a published monthly benchmark methanol price (Argus or Southern Chemical), and our methanol is sold on an Free on Board ("FOB") shipping point basis when transported by barge, pipeline, and our methanol truck loading facility. The payment terms under our methanol sales contacts are net 25-30 days. For the year ended December 31, 2016, methanol sales contracts with Methanex and Koch Methanol LLC accounted for approximately 35% and 17%, respectively, of our total revenues. For the year ended December 31, 2015, methanol sales contracts with Methanex and Koch Methanol LLC accounted for approximately 26% and 24%, respectively, of our total revenues.

We are party to ammonia sales contracts with several customers, including but not limited to Interoceanic Corporation (the new owner of Rentech Nitrogen Partners, L.P.'s Pasadena, Texas facility) and Lucite. Our customers have no minimum volume purchase obligations under these contracts, may determine not to purchase any more ammonia from us at any time and may purchase ammonia from other suppliers. Consistent with industry practice, these contracts set our pricing terms to reflect a specified discount to a published monthly benchmark ammonia price (CFR Tampa), and our ammonia is sold on an FOB shipping point basis when transported by barge, pipeline and our ammonia truck loading facility. The payment terms under our ammonia sales contacts are net 30 days. For the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, ammonia sales contracts with Interoceanic Corporation ("IOC") accounted for approximately 14% and 15%, respectively, of our total revenues.

During the year ended December 31, 2016, we delivered approximately 53% of our total sales by barge, 40% of our total sales by pipeline, and approximately 7% of our total sales through our truck loading facilities. Ouality Assurance

On December 20, 2016 we became ISO 9001 certified. ISO 9000 (which includes ISO 9001) is an international standard on quality assurance developed by the International Organization for Standardization. ISO 9001 certification indicates that a company has established and follows a rigorous set of requirements aimed at achieving customer satisfaction by preventing nonconformity in design, development, production, installation and servicing of products.

Competition

The industries in which we operate are highly competitive. Methanol and ammonia are global commodities, and we compete with a number of domestic and foreign producers of methanol and ammonia. In addition, a long period of low natural gas prices in the United States has made it economical for companies to upgrade existing plants and initiate construction of new methanol and nitrogen projects. Methanex and the Celanese-Mitsui joint venture have brought their new methanol facilities online in the last two years and OCI is currently in the construction phase on its 1.8 million metric ton Natgasoline methanol facility in Beaumont, Texas, which is expected to commence operations later in 2017. In addition, Big Lake Fuels, S. Louisiana Methanol, Yuhuang Chemical and Lake Charles Methanol have each announced plans to construct methanol plants in the U.S. Gulf Coast region over the next few years, which, if constructed, would increase overall U.S. production capacity and the availability of methanol supply to our customers from competing sources. On the ammonia side, there have been significant capacity additions in the U.S. Gulf Coast region including CF Industries' Donaldsonville plant and Dyno Nobel's ammonia plant in Louisiana. In addition, BASF/Yara are projecting to commission an ammonia plant later in 2017 in Freeport, Texas and other companies and developers have announced plans to construct new ammonia and nitrogen facilities in the U.S. However, over the past few years, several methanol and ammonia projects have been canceled or not proceeded as a result of higher capital expenditure estimates than originally anticipated, among other reasons.

While the methanol and ammonia industries are global in nature, we believe that our strategic location on the Texas Gulf Coast positions us as a key local supplier. Our proximity to customers and access to major infrastructure and transportation facilities, including pipeline connections to adjacent customers, port access with dedicated methanol and ammonia barge docks and state-of-the-art methanol and ammonia truck loading facilities provide us with a competitive advantage over other suppliers. Furthermore, because the majority of our competitors are based outside of the United States or are commodity traders, we believe that we are well positioned to offer our products at attractive prices to our customers while maintaining strong margins in the near term.

The majority of methanol consumed in the U.S. Gulf Coast is either sourced from Trinidad or produced in-house by U.S.-based chemical companies as part of a vertically integrated industrial process. During 2016, methanol sourced from Trinidad accounted for approximately 50% of total imported methanol in the United States. Producers in Trinidad have been facing significant natural gas feedstock shortages, thereby reducing the supply of all natural gas-based products from Trinidad to the United States. Furthermore, we believe that transportation and port-handling costs for methanol imported from Trinidad and other countries provide us with a cost advantage over foreign producers.

Similarly, the majority of ammonia consumed in our market is sourced overseas, particularly from Trinidad, and is transported through the U.S. Gulf Coast. Our close proximity to our customers allows us to maintain a significant cost advantage over foreign producers that import ammonia into the U.S. Gulf Coast. During 2016, ammonia sourced from Trinidad accounted for approximately 67% of total imported ammonia in the United States. Although ammonia sourced from Trinidad historically enjoyed a competitive cost advantage, natural gas supply shortages and higher production costs in recent years have eroded this competitive advantage. Furthermore, we believe that transportation and port-handling costs for all imported ammonia provide us with a cost advantage over foreign producers. Our major competitors in the methanol industry include Methanex, Koch Methanol, Mitsui, Mitsibushi and Southern Chemical Corporation and our major competitors in the ammonia industry include Agrium, Koch Fertilizer, Potash Corporation and CF Industries. Based on 2016 data regarding total United States use of methanol and ammonia, we estimate that our production in 2016 represented approximately 14% and 2%, respectively, of total United States methanol and ammonia use.

Seasonality and Volatility

While most United States methanol is sold pursuant to long-term contracts based on market index pricing and fixed volumes, the market price of methanol can be volatile. Methanol is an internationally traded commodity chemical, and the methanol industry has historically been characterized by cycles of oversupply caused by either excess supply or reduced demand, resulting in lower prices and idling of capacity, followed by periods of shortage and rising prices as demand exceeds supply until increased prices lead to new plant investment or the restart of idled capacity. Methanol prices have historically been cyclical and sensitive to overall production capacity relative to demand, the price of

feedstock (primarily natural gas or coal), energy prices and general economic conditions.

The seasonality of the United States ammonia business largely tracks the seasonality of the fertilizer business in the United States because the substantial majority of all domestic ammonia consumption in the United States is for fertilizer use. The fertilizer business is seasonal, based upon the planting, growing and harvesting cycles. Inventories must be accumulated to allow for customer shipments during the spring and fall fertilizer application seasons, which require significant storage capacity. The accumulation of inventory to be available for seasonal sales requires fertilizer producers to maintain significant working capital. This seasonality generally results in higher fertilizer prices during peak periods, with prices normally reaching their highest point in the spring, decreasing in the summer, and increasing again in the fall. Fertilizer products are sold both on the spot market for immediate delivery and under product prepayment contracts for future delivery at fixed prices. The terms of the product prepayment contracts, including the percentage of the purchase price paid as a down payment, can vary from season to season. Variations in the proportion of product sold through forward sales and variations in the terms of the product prepayment contracts can increase the seasonal volatility of fertilizer producers' cash flows and cause changes in the patterns of seasonal volatility from year to year. Nitrogen fertilizer prices can also be volatile as a result of a number of other factors, including weather patterns, field conditions, quantities of fertilizers imported to the United States, current and projected grain inventories and prices and fluctuations in natural gas prices. In addition, governmental policies may directly or indirectly influence the number of acres planted, the level of grain inventories, the mix of crops planted and crop prices, which would also affect nitrogen fertilizer prices.

Environmental Matters

Our business is subject to extensive and frequently changing federal, state and local, environmental, health and safety regulations governing the emission and release of hazardous substances into the environment, the treatment and discharge of waste water and the storage, handling, use and transportation of our methanol and ammonia. These laws include the federal Clean Air Act ("CAA"), the federal Water Pollution Control Act (also known as the Clean Water Act, or the "CWA"), the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), the Toxic Substances Control Act and various other federal, state and local laws and regulations. These laws, their underlying regulatory requirements and the enforcement thereof impact us by imposing:

restrictions on operations or the need to install enhanced or additional controls;

the need to obtain and comply with permits and authorizations;

liability for the investigation and remediation of contaminated soil and groundwater at current and former facilities and off-site waste disposal locations (if any); and

specifications for the products we market.

Our operations require numerous permits and authorizations. Failure to comply with these permits or environmental laws generally could result in substantial fines, penalties or other sanctions, court orders to install pollution-control equipment, permit revocations and facility shutdowns. In addition, environmental, health and safety laws may impose joint and several liability, without regard to fault, for cleanup costs on potentially responsible parties who have released or disposed of hazardous substances into the environment. We may experience delays in obtaining or be unable to obtain required permits, which may delay or interrupt our operations and limit our growth and revenue. Private parties, including the owners of properties adjacent to other facilities where our wastes are taken for disposal, also may have the right to pursue legal actions to enforce compliance as well as to seek damages for non-compliance with environmental laws and regulations or for personal injury or property or natural resource damage. In addition, the risk of accidental spills or releases could expose us to significant liabilities that could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

The laws and regulations to which we are subject are complex, change frequently and have tended to become more stringent over time. The ultimate impact on our business of complying with existing laws and regulations is not always clearly known or determinable due in part to the fact that our operations may change over time and certain implementing regulations for laws, such as the CAA, have not yet been finalized, are under governmental or judicial review or are being revised. These laws and regulations could increase our capital, operating and compliance costs. Our facility has experienced some level of regulatory scrutiny in the past, and we may be subject to further regulatory inspections, future requests for investigation or assertions of liability relating to environmental issues. In the future,

we could incur material liabilities or costs related to environmental matters, and these environmental liabilities or costs (including fines or other sanctions) could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and ability to make cash distributions.

The principal environmental regulations and risks associated with our business are outlined below.

The Federal Clean Air Act. The CAA and its implementing regulations, as well as the corresponding state laws and regulations that regulate emissions of pollutants into the air, affect us through the CAA's permitting requirements and emission control requirements relating to specific air pollutants, as well as the requirement to maintain a risk management program to help prevent accidental releases of certain substances. Some or all of the standards promulgated pursuant to the CAA, or any future promulgations of standards, may require the installation of controls or changes to our facility in order to comply. If new controls or changes to operations are needed, the costs could be significant. In addition, failure to comply with the requirements of the CAA and its implementing regulations could result in fines, penalties or other sanctions.

The regulation of air emissions under the CAA requires that we obtain various construction and operating permits, including Title V and Prevention of Significant Deterioration ("PSD") air permits issued by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (the "TCEQ"). Requirements under these permits will cause us to incur capital expenditures for the installation of certain air pollution control devices at our operations. Various regulations specific to our operations have been implemented, such as National Emission Standard for Hazardous Air Pollutants, New Source Performance Standards and New Source Review. We have incurred, and expect to continue to incur, substantial capital expenditures to maintain compliance with these and other air emission regulations that have been promulgated or may be promulgated or revised in the future, including in connection with the projects discussed below under "—Material Estimated Capital Expenditures for Environmental Matters" that are designed to comply with our emission limits and requirements of our Title V CAA permit.

Release Reporting. The release of hazardous substances or extremely hazardous substances into the environment is subject to release reporting requirements under federal and state environmental laws, including the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act. We occasionally experience minor releases of hazardous or extremely hazardous substances from our equipment. We report such releases to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (the "EPA"), TCEQ and other relevant state and local agencies as required by applicable laws and regulations. If we fail to properly report a release, or if the release violates the law or our permits, it could cause us to become the subject of a governmental enforcement action or third-party claims. Government enforcement or third-party claims relating to releases of hazardous or extremely hazardous substances could result in significant expenditures and liability. Clean Water Act. The CWA and analogous state laws impose restrictions and strict controls with respect to the discharge of pollutants, including spills and leaks of oil and other substances, into regulated waters. The discharge of pollutants into regulated waters is prohibited, except in accordance with the terms of a permit issued by the EPA or an analogous state agency. The CWA and regulations implemented thereunder also prohibit the discharge of dredge and fill material into regulated waters, including wetlands, unless authorized by an appropriately issued permit. In addition, the CWA and analogous state laws require individual permits or coverage under general permits for discharges of storm water runoff from certain types of facilities. Spill prevention, control and countermeasure requirements of federal laws require appropriate containment berms and similar structures to help prevent the contamination of navigable waters by a petroleum hydrocarbon tank spill, rupture or leak. Federal and state regulatory agencies can impose administrative, civil and criminal penalties for non-compliance with discharge permits or other requirements of the CWA and analogous state laws and regulations.

Greenhouse Gas Emissions. Currently, legislative and regulatory measures to address greenhouse gas ("GHG") emissions (including CO2, methane and nitrous oxides) are in various phases of discussion or implementation. At the federal legislative level, Congress has previously considered legislation requiring a mandatory reduction of GHG emissions. Although Congressional passage of such legislation does not appear likely at this time, it could be adopted at a future date. It is also possible that Congress may pass alternative climate change bills that do not mandate a nationwide cap-and-trade program and instead focus on promoting renewable energy and energy efficiency.

In the absence of congressional legislation curbing GHG emissions, the EPA is moving ahead administratively under its CAA authority. In October 2009, the EPA finalized a rule requiring certain large emitters of GHGs to inventory and report their GHG emissions to the EPA. In accordance with the rule, we monitor our GHG emissions from our facility and have reported the emissions to the EPA annually beginning in September 2011. On December 7, 2009, the EPA finalized its "endangerment finding" that GHG emissions, including CO2, pose a threat to human health and welfare. The finding allows the EPA to regulate GHG emissions as air pollutants under the CAA. In May 2010, the EPA finalized the "Greenhouse Gas Tailoring Rule," which establishes new GHG emissions thresholds that determine when stationary sources, such as our facility, must obtain permits under the PSD and Title V programs of the CAA. The permitting requirements of the PSD program apply only to newly constructed or modified major sources. Obtaining a PSD or Title V permit requires a source to install best available control technology ("BACT") for those regulated pollutants that are emitted in certain quantities. In June 2014, the U.S. Supreme Court recently invalidated that portion of the rule that would require facilities that only emitted GHG emissions (and not other regulated pollutants) in excess of specified thresholds to obtain PSD and Title V permits. Sources that emit other regulated pollutants in excess of specified thresholds that also trigger greenhouse gas emissions thresholds still must obtain a PSD permit for greenhouse gas emissions. Our debottlenecking project was a major modification for other pollutants, which required us to obtain a PSD permit for greenhouse gas emissions. We received our PSD permit from the EPA in August 2014. The TCEQ has since been authorized by the EPA to implement a greenhouse gas permitting program. The implementation of additional EPA regulations and/or the passage of federal or state climate change legislation will likely result in increased costs to (i) operate and maintain our facilities, (ii) install new emission controls on our facilities and (iii) administer and manage any GHG emissions program. Increased costs associated with compliance with any future legislation or regulation of GHG emissions, if it occurs, may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and ability to make cash distributions. In addition, climate change legislation and regulations may result in increased costs not only for our business but also for our customers that utilize our products, thereby potentially decreasing demand for our products. Decreased demand for our products may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and ability to make cash distributions. Further, in December 2015, over 190 countries, including the United States, reached an agreement to reduce global greenhouse gas emissions ("Paris Accord"). The Paris Accord entered into force in November 2016. The United States is one of over 70 countries that has ratified or otherwise indicated its intent to be bound by the agreement. To the extent the United States implements the Paris Accord in addition to the GHG regulations, it could have an adverse impact on our operations.

Environmental Remediation. Under CERCLA and related state laws, certain persons may be liable for the release or threatened release of hazardous substances. These persons can include the current owner or operator of property where a release or threatened release occurred, any persons who owned or operated the property when the release occurred and any persons who disposed of, or arranged for the transportation or disposal of, hazardous substances at a contaminated property. Liability under CERCLA is strict, retroactive and, under certain circumstances, joint and several, so that any responsible party may be held liable for the entire cost of investigating and remediating the release of hazardous substances. As is the case with all companies engaged in similar industries, depending on the underlying facts and circumstances, we face potential exposure from future claims and lawsuits involving environmental matters, including soil and water contamination, personal injury or property damage allegedly caused by hazardous substances that we manufactured, handled, used, stored, transported, spilled, disposed of or released. We cannot assure you that we will not become involved in future proceedings related to our release of hazardous or extremely hazardous substances or that, if we were held responsible for damages in any existing or future proceedings, such costs would be covered by insurance or would not be material.

Government Assessments of Methanol. In September 2013, EPA issued a final Toxicological Review of Methanol under its Integrated Risk Information System ("IRIS"). This Review concluded that daily exposures to the human population (including sensitive subgroups) are "likely to be without an appreciable risk of deleterious effectives during a lifetime". This Review did not address carcinogenicity, however, and EPA has no plans at this time to conduct a Health Hazard Assessment under IRIS for cancer effects. The European Chemicals Agency ("ECHA") has recently adopted the report prepared by Poland in support of a proposal to restrict the sale of methanol to the general public

and to limit its presence as an additive in consumer products. A decision on this proposal is currently pending before the European Commission, and action by the European Commission to restrict methanol sales and uses for certain markets and products could have a material adverse effect on our business. ECHA's Committee for Risk Assessment declined to endorse a separate proposal from Italy and Holland to reclassify methanol as a Category 1B or Category 2 Reproductive Toxin on grounds of insufficient evidence, but it is possible that this proposal may be revisited in the future if new evidence becomes available.

Derivatives of Methanol-Formaldehyde. Methanol has many commercial uses, including as a building block to manufacture formaldehyde, among other chemicals. Formaldehyde is a component of resins used as wood adhesives and as a raw material for engineering plastics and a variety of other products, including elastomers, paints, building products, foams, polyurethane and automotive products. As discussed below, changes in environmental, health and safety laws, regulations or requirements relating to formaldehyde are being considered, and if adopted, could restrict formaldehyde uses and exposures, and as a result, could lead to a material adverse impact on our business by reducing the demand for methanol to manufacture formaldehyde.

Formaldehyde has been classified as a known human carcinogen by the International Agency for Research on Cancer and as a probable human carcinogen by EPA. On July 7, 2010, President Obama signed the Formaldehyde Standards for Composite Wood Products Act into law. This legislation, which adds a Title VI to the Toxic Substances Control Act, establishes limits for formaldehyde emissions from composite wood products and requires EPA to evaluate and establish limits for other types of wood products. In December 2016, EPA finalized a regulation to implement this Act with two components: (1) formaldehyde emissions standards for hardwood plywood, medium-density fiberboard, particleboard, and finished goods containing these products that are sold, supplied, offered for sale, or manufactured (including imported) in the United States and (2) a third-party certification program to assure compliance by composite wood panel producers with the formaldehyde emissions limits established directly in the Act. This regulation will become effective in December 2017.

A risk assessment process for formaldehyde has been underway in the European Union for the past several years and has resulted in classification of formaldehyde as a category 1B carcinogen ("known to have carcinogenic potential for humans") and a category 2 mutagen ("suspected of being toxic for human reproduction"). No decision has yet been made whether this classification will result in restrictions under REACH, or in new classification, labeling and packing obligations; however, to support its decision-making, ECHA is requiring an update by October 2017 of all Registrations for aqueous formaldehyde solutions containing methanol above 10% with information pertaining to various formaldehyde exposure scenarios, including from composite wood products.

Derivatives of Methanol—methyl tertiary butyl ether ("MTBE"). Changes in environmental, health and safety laws, regulations or requirements could also impact methanol demand for the production of MTBE. Several years ago, environmental concerns and legislative action related to gasoline leaking into water supplies from underground gasoline storage tanks in the United States resulted in the phase-out of MTBE as a gasoline additive in the United States. However, methanol is used in the United States to produce MTBE for export markets, where demand for MTBE has continued at strong levels. While we currently expect demand for methanol for use in MTBE production in the United States to remain steady or to decline slightly, it could decline materially if export demand is impacted by governmental legislation or policy changes. The EPA is currently reviewing the human health effects of MTBE, including its potential carcinogenicity. The European Union issued a final risk assessment report on MTBE in 2002 that permitted the continued use of MTBE, although several risk reduction measures relating to the storage and handling of fuels were recommended. Governmental efforts in recent years in some countries, primarily in the European Union and Latin America, to promote biofuels and alternative fuels through legislation or tax policy are also putting competitive pressures on the use of MTBE in gasoline in these countries. Declines in demand for methanol for use in MTBE production could have an adverse impact on our results of operations, financial condition and ability to make cash distributions.

Material Capital Expenditures for Environmental Matters. We incurred approximately \$84.4 million in capital expenditures for the year ended December 31, 2015, relating to the installation of a selective catalytic reduction ("SCR") unit for nitrogen oxide control; the installation of a saturator column system to improve plant efficiency, decrease nitrogen oxide emissions and decrease wastewater treatment from distillation; and the installation of a new flare to decrease carbon monoxide emissions during start-ups and shutdowns of our facility. These capital expenditures assist us in complying with federal, state and local environmental, health and safety regulations. None of the capital expenditures incurred during the year ended December 31, 2016, were related to environmental matters.

On December 20, 2016 we became ISO 14001 certified. ISO 14000 (which includes ISO 14001) is an international standard on environmental management developed by the International Organization for Standardization that was developed to help organizations manage the environmental impacts of their processes, products and services. ISO

14001 defines an approach to setting and achieving environmental objectives and targets, within a structured management framework.

Safety, Health and Security Matters

We are subject to a number of federal and state laws and regulations related to safety, including the Occupational Safety and Health Act ("OSHA"), and comparable state statutes, the purpose of which are to protect the health and safety of workers. We also are subject to OSHA Process Safety Management regulations, which are designed to prevent or minimize the consequences of catastrophic releases of toxic, reactive, flammable or explosive chemicals. These regulations apply to any process that involves a chemical at or above the specified thresholds or any process that involves flammable liquid or gas, pressurized tanks, caverns and wells in excess of 10,000 pounds at various locations. We also are subject to EPA Chemical Accident Prevention Provisions, known as the Risk Management Plan requirements, which are designed to prevent the accidental release of toxic, reactive, flammable or explosive materials, and the U.S. Coast Guard's Maritime Security Standards for Facilities, which are designed to regulate the security of high-risk maritime facilities.

On March 1, 2016 we achieved Star status in OSHA's Voluntary Protection Program ("VPP"). OSHA's VPP is a program in which companies voluntarily participate that recognizes facilities for their exemplary safety and health programs.

Employees

We are managed and operated by the board of directors and executive officers of OCI GP LLC, our general partner. Neither we nor our subsidiary have any employees. Our general partner has the sole responsibility for providing the employees and other personnel necessary to conduct our operations. All of the employees that conduct our business are employed by our general partner or its affiliates. Our general partner and its affiliates have approximately 121 employees performing services for our operations. We believe that our general partner and its affiliates have a satisfactory relationship with those employees.

Insurance

Our assets may experience physical damage as a result of an accident or natural disaster. These hazards can also cause personal injury and loss of life, severe damage to and destruction of property and equipment, pollution or environmental damage, and suspension of operations. We are currently insured under casualty, environmental, property and business interruption insurance policies. The following conversions from Euros to U.S. dollars with respect to our insurance policies are based on a conversion rate of $\{0.00\}$ to $\{0.00\}$ as of February 24, 2017, reported by the Financial Times, London Edition. The property and business interruption insurance policies have a combined loss limit of $\{0.00\}$ million (or approximately $\{0.00\}$ million) which is placed in three layers. The primary layer has a loss limit of $\{0.00\}$ million (or approximately $\{0.00\}$ million), with a deductible of $\{0.00\}$ million (or approximately $\{0.00\}$ million) for physical damage. The first excess layer of the property and business interruption insurance policy has a loss limit of $\{0.00\}$ million (or approximately $\{0.00\}$ million) for all risks coverage and the second excess layer has a loss limit of $\{0.00\}$ million (or approximately $\{0.00\}$ million) for damage caused by fire, lightning, explosion or aircraft. Business interruption losses under the primary layer are subject to a time element $\{0.00\}$ element $\{0.00\}$ million (or approximately $\{0.00\}$ million) for damage caused by fire, lightning, explosion or aircraft.

Our primary property policy provides coverage on an all risk basis and contains a number of sub-limits, such as a full primary limit of \$228.1 million for losses due to business interruptions caused by machinery breakdown and a sub-limit of \$79.8 million for damage caused by a named windstorm, with a \$16.5 million deductible per occurrence as a first excess layer and a stand-alone deductible buy-down policy limit of \$34.7 million per occurrence provides coverage as the primary layer. In addition, our current named windstorm policy contains an additional limit second excess layer of \$131.1 million for damage caused by named windstorms to be triggered once the \$79.8 million first excess layer and the stand-alone primary deductible buy down policy limit of \$34.7 million is consumed, which increases our coverage up to \$195.2 million for damage caused by a named windstorm. We are fully exposed to all losses in excess of the applicable limits and sub-limits of our policies. We are also exposed to losses due to business interruptions caused by machinery breakdown of fewer than 45 days per occurrence and losses due to property damage that are less than \$4.6 million per occurrence.

With regard to environmental claims due to pollution, we currently have a policy limit of \$25.0 million, and this policy has a deductible of \$250,000. Our current construction floater policy contains a specific limit of \$20.0 million for losses incurred during the construction of any equipment or facilities at our site. We continue to evaluate our

policy limits and risk retentions as they relate to the overall cost and scope of our insurance program. Financial Information about Geographical Areas

We have no international activities. For all periods included in this report, all of our revenue was derived from operations conducted in, and all of our assets were located in, the U.S.

Available Information

Our website address is www.ocipartnerslp.com. Our Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports are available free of charge through our website under "Investor and Media Relations," as soon as reasonably practical after they are filed with or furnished to the SEC. In addition, our Corporate Governance Guidelines, Code of Business Conduct and Ethics and the Charter of the Audit Committee and the Conflicts Committee of the Board of Directors of our general partner are available on our website. These guidelines, policies and charters are also available in print without charge to any unitholder requesting them. Materials we file with the SEC may be read and copied at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20549. Information on the operation of the Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC also maintains an Internet website at www.sec.gov that contains reports, proxy and information statements and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC. The information contained on our website does not constitute part of this report.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Set forth below are certain risk factors related to the Proposed Transaction, our business, our partnership structure and tax matters. Actual results could differ materially from those anticipated as a result of these and various other factors, including those set forth in our other periodic and current reports filed with the SEC from time to time. If any risks or uncertainties develop into an actual event, our business, financial condition, cash flow or results of operations could be materially adversely affected. In that case, the trading price of our common units could decline and you could lose all or part of your investment. The risks described in this report are not the only risks we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial may materially adversely affect our business, cash flow and ability to make cash distributions to our unitholders.

Risks Relating to the Proposed Transaction

OCIP and the other parties will incur substantial transaction-related costs in connection with the Proposed Transaction.

OCIP and the other parties to the Proposed Transaction expect to incur a number of non-recurring transaction-related costs associated with the Proposed Transaction. Non-recurring transaction costs include, but are not limited to, fees paid to legal, financial and accounting advisors, filing fees and printing costs. A significant portion of these transaction costs will be incurred even if the Proposed Transaction is not completed.

Failure to reach a definitive agreement to accomplish the Proposed Transaction could negatively affect the trading price of OCIP common units and the future business and financial results of OCIP.

If we fail to enter into a definitive agreement with OCI to accomplish the Proposed Transaction, we may face negative reactions from the financial markets, including declines in the price of OCIP common units. Additionally, the future business and financial results of OCIP could be negatively affected if the attention of OCIP's management is diverted to the Proposed Transaction rather than its own operations and the pursuit of other opportunities that could have been beneficial to OCIP.

Risks Related to Our Business

We may not have sufficient cash available for distribution to pay any quarterly distribution on our common units. We may not have sufficient cash available for distribution each quarter to enable us to pay any distributions to our common unitholders. The amount of cash we will be able to distribute on our common units principally depends on the amount of cash we generate from our operations, which is directly dependent upon the operating margins we generate. Our profit margins are significantly affected by the level of our cost of goods sold (exclusive of depreciation), including the cost of natural gas, our primary feedstock, as well as the costs of hydrogen and nitrogen and other costs, the market-driven prices for methanol and ammonia we are able to charge our customers, seasonality, weather conditions, governmental regulation and global and domestic economic conditions and demand for methanol and ammonia, among other factors. In addition, our results of operations and our ability to pay distributions are affected by:

planned and unplanned maintenance at our facility, which may result in downtime and thus negatively impact our cash flows in the quarter in which such maintenance occurs;

the level of our capital expenditures;

our debt service requirements;

the level of our expenses that are incurred by our general partner and its affiliates on our behalf and reimbursed by us;

fluctuations in our working capital needs;

our ability to access capital markets;

fluctuations in interest rates;

the level of competition in our market and industry;

restrictions on distributions and on our ability to make working capital borrowings; and

the amount of cash reserves established by our general partner, including for turnarounds and related expenses. Our partnership agreement does not require us to pay a minimum quarterly distribution. The amount of distributions that we pay, if any, and the decision to pay any distribution at all, will be determined by the board of directors of our general partner. Our quarterly distributions, if any, will be subject to significant fluctuations based on the above-listed factors.

The amount of our quarterly cash distributions, if any, will vary significantly both quarterly and annually and will be directly dependent on the performance of our business. Unlike most publicly traded partnerships, we do not have a minimum quarterly distribution or employ structures intended to maintain or increase quarterly cash distributions over time.

Investors who are looking for an investment that will pay regular and predictable quarterly distributions should not invest in our common units. We expect our business performance will be more volatile, and our cash flows will be less stable, than the business performance and cash flows of most publicly traded partnerships. As a result, the amount of our quarterly cash distributions, if any, will be volatile and are expected to vary quarterly and annually. For example, we did not pay any quarterly cash distributions with respect to the quarters ended March 31, 2015, June 30, 2015, June 30, 2016, September 30, 2016, or December 31, 2016. Unlike most publicly traded partnerships, we do not have a minimum quarterly distribution or employ structures intended to maintain or increase quarterly cash distributions over time. The amount of our quarterly cash distributions will be directly dependent on the performance of our business, which is subject to volatility. Methanol prices have historically been, and are expected to continue to be, characterized by significant cyclicality. Additionally, ammonia and natural gas prices are volatile, and seasonal and global fluctuations in demand for nitrogen fertilizer products and other ammonia-based products could affect our revenues. Because our quarterly cash distributions will be subject to significant fluctuations directly related to the cash we generate after payment of our fixed and variable expenses and other cash reserves established by our general partner, future quarterly cash distributions paid to our unitholders will vary significantly from quarter to quarter and may be zero. Given the volatile nature of our business, we expect that our unitholders will have direct exposure to fluctuations in the price of methanol and ammonia and the cost of natural gas.

Table of Contents

The amount of cash we have available for distribution to unitholders depends primarily on our cash flow and not solely on profitability.

You should be aware that the amount of cash we have available for distribution depends primarily on our cash flow and not solely on our profitability, which may be affected by non-cash items. As a result, we may make cash distributions during periods when we record losses for financial accounting purposes and may not make cash distributions during periods when we record net earnings for financial accounting purposes. Please read "Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Unitholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities—Cash Distribution Policy."

The board of directors of our general partner may modify or revoke our cash distribution policy at any time at its discretion. Our partnership agreement does not require us to pay any distributions at all.

Under our current cash distribution policy, we intend to distribute 100% of the cash available for distribution that we generate each quarter to unitholders of record on a pro rata basis. However, the board of directors may change such policy at any time at its discretion and could elect not to pay distributions for one or more quarters. For example, we did not pay any quarterly cash distributions with respect to the quarters ended March 31, 2015, June 30, 2015, June 30, 2016, September 30, 2016, or December 31, 2016.

Our partnership agreement does not require us to pay any distributions at all. Accordingly, investors are cautioned not to place undue reliance on the permanence of such a policy in making a decision to invest in our common units. Any modification or revocation of our cash distribution policy could substantially reduce or eliminate the amounts of distributions to our unitholders. The amount of distributions we make, if any, and the decision to make any distribution at all will be determined by the board of directors of our general partner, whose interests may differ from those of our common unitholders. Our general partner has limited fiduciary and contractual duties, which may permit it to favor its own interests or the interests of OCI to the detriment of our common unitholders.

Our facility faces operating hazards and interruptions, including unscheduled maintenance or downtime. We could face significant reductions in revenues and increases in expenses to the extent these hazards or interruptions cause a material decline in production and are not fully covered by our existing insurance coverage. Insurance companies that currently insure companies in our industry may cease to do so, may change the coverage provided or may substantially increase premiums in the future.

Our operations, located at a single location, are subject to significant operating hazards and interruptions. Any significant curtailing of production at our facility or individual units within our facility could result in materially lower levels of revenues and cash flow and materially increased expenses for the duration of any downtime and materially adversely impact our results of operations, financial condition and ability to make cash distributions. Operations at our facility could be curtailed or partially or completely shut down, temporarily or permanently, as the result of a number of circumstances, most of which are not within our control, such as:

unscheduled maintenance or catastrophic events such as a major accident, fire, damage by severe weather, flooding or other natural disaster;

• abor difficulties that result in a work stoppage or slowdown;

environmental proceedings or other litigation that compel the cessation of all or a portion of the operations at our facility;

increasingly stringent environmental regulations;

a disruption in the supply of natural gas to our facility; and

governmental limitations on the use of our products, either generally or specifically those manufactured at our plant.

losses.

For example, during the year ended December 31, 2016, our methanol and ammonia production units were shut down for 8 days and 26 days, respectively, due to an underground cooling water line leakage, repairs to our methanol reformer, an electrical power outage caused by our electrical power provider and repairs to our steam turbine of the methanol syngas compressor. During the year ended December 31, 2015, our methanol and ammonia production units were shut down for a total of 93 days and 96 days, respectively, partially due to taking our ammonia and methanol production units offline for 71 days and 82 days, respectively, in order to complete the debottlenecking project. In addition, we also experienced unplanned downtime after the completion of the debottlenecking project of approximately 25 days in the ammonia production unit and approximately 11 days in the methanol production unit due to an internal failure of the steam turbine and an electrical power outage caused by our electrical power provider. The steam turbine repairs in 2015 were covered under warranty. Please read "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Key Operational Factors—Facility Reliability." The magnitude of the effect on us of any downtime will depend on the length of the downtime and the extent our operations are affected by the downtime. We expect to perform maintenance turnarounds approximately every four years, which will typically last approximately four weeks and cost approximately \$24 million per turnaround. Such turnarounds may have a material impact on our cash flows and ability to make cash distributions in the quarter or quarters in which they occur. We executed a turnaround as part of our debottlenecking project which was completed in April 2015. We expect that the next turnaround will occur in 2019. Scheduled and unscheduled maintenance or downtime could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and ability to make cash distributions during the period of time that either of our units is not operating. During downtime, we will be required to fulfill certain of our customer contracts with product purchased from third parties at spot prices, and we may incur losses in connection with those sales. In addition, a major accident, fire, flood or other event could damage our facility or the environment and the surrounding community or result in injuries or loss of life. For example, in the quarter(s) preceding our planned downtime for major turnarounds, the board of directors of our general partner may elect to reserve amounts to fund (i) the capital costs associated with our major turnarounds, (ii) all or a portion of the revenues projected to be forgone as a result of the loss of production during the downtime associated with a turnaround or (iii) both. Based upon the decision(s) made by the board of directors of our general partner, the cash available for distribution in the quarter(s) preceding such a planned maintenance event in which the reserves are withheld may be adversely impacted. Conversely, additional amounts may be required to be reserved from cash available for distribution generated in a quarter subsequent to such a planned maintenance event should the scope or cost of the actual work performed during such period be materially different than that planned. If we experience significant property damage, business interruption, environmental claims or other liabilities, our business could be materially adversely affected to the extent the damages or claims exceed the amount of valid and collectible insurance available to us. We are currently insured under casualty, environmental, property and business interruption insurance policies. These policies contain exclusions and conditions that could have a materially adverse impact on our ability to receive indemnification thereunder, as well as customary sub-limits for particular types of

We are not fully insured against all risks related to our business and, if an accident or event occurs that is not fully insured, it could materially adversely affect our business.

A major accident, fire, flood or other event could damage our facility or the environment and the surrounding community or result in injuries or loss of life. If we experience significant property damage, business interruption, environmental claims or other liabilities, our business could be materially adversely affected to the extent the damages or claims exceed the amount of valid and collectible insurance available to us. We are currently insured under casualty, environmental, property and business interruption insurance policies. The following conversions from Euros to U.S. dollars with respect to our insurance policies are based on a conversion rate of $\{0.00\}$ to $\{0.00\}$ as of February 24, 2017, reported by the Financial Times, London Edition. The property and business interruption insurance policies have a combined loss limit of $\{0.00\}$ million (or approximately $\{0.00\}$ million) which is placed in three layers. The primary layer has a loss limit of $\{0.00\}$ million (or approximately $\{0.00\}$ million), with a deductible of $\{0.00\}$ million (or approximately $\{0.00\}$ million) for physical damage. The first excess layer of the property and business interruption insurance policy has a loss limit of $\{0.00\}$ million (or approximately $\{0.00\}$ million) for all risks coverage and the

second excess layer has a loss limit of €300 million (or approximately \$317.1 million) for damage caused by fire, lightning, explosion or aircraft. Business interruption losses under the primary layer are subject to a time element 45-day-equivalent-deductible per occurrence.

Our primary property policy provides coverage on an all risk basis and contains a number of sub-limits, such as a full primary limit of \$228.1 million for losses due to business interruptions caused by machinery breakdown and a sub-limit of \$79.8 million for damage caused by a named windstorm, with a \$16.5 million deductible per occurrence as a first excess layer and a stand-alone deductible buy-down policy limit of \$34.7 million per occurrence provides coverage as the primary layer. In addition, our current named windstorm policy contains an additional limit second excess layer of \$131.1 million for damage caused by named windstorms to be triggered once the \$79.8 million first excess layer and the stand-alone primary deductible buy down policy limit of \$34.7 million is consumed, which increases our coverage up to \$195.2 million for damage caused by a named windstorm. We are fully exposed to all losses in excess of the applicable limits and sub-limits of our policies. We are also exposed to losses due to business interruptions caused by machinery breakdown of fewer than 45 days per occurrence and losses due to property damage that are less than \$4.6 million per occurrence.

With regard to environmental claims due to pollution, we currently have a policy limit of \$25.0 million, and this policy has a deductible of \$250,000. Our current construction floater policy contains a specific limit of \$20.0 million for losses incurred during the construction of any equipment or facilities at our site. We continue to evaluate our policy limits and risk retentions as they relate to the overall cost and scope of our insurance program. None of our contracts provide for a minimum commitment from our customers. The prices we receive for our products are determined by reference to pricing indices and thus could be subject to significant variations. None of our contracts provide for a minimum commitment from our customers. Although our contracts set pricing terms, they generally do not obligate the counterparty to purchase a specified minimum volume of methanol or ammonia from us. As such, many of our customers could source their methanol or ammonia supply elsewhere and cease buying our products at any time and for any reason, and we will have no recourse in the event such customer decides not to purchase our products. If customers representing a significant amount of our revenues elect not to purchase the methanol and ammonia we produce, it could materially adversely affect our results of operations, financial condition and ability to make cash distributions.

Methanol and ammonia are global commodities, with little or no product differentiation, and customers make their purchasing decisions principally on the basis of delivered price and availability of the product. As a result, the prevailing market sales prices for methanol and ammonia are subject to volatile, cyclical and seasonal changes in respect to relatively small changes in demand. Since none of our contracts provide for a minimum commitment from our customers and the prices at which we sell our products are determined by reference to specific pricing indices that change in response to changes in prevailing market conditions, the revenue we receive for the sales of our products will be subject to significant variations from period to period in response to changes in prevailing market prices for methanol and ammonia, which variations will result in changes in our cash available for distribution and distributions per common unit.

The methanol industry is subject to commodity price volatility and supply and demand uncertainty, which could potentially affect our operating and financial results, and expose our unitholders to substantial volatility in our quarterly cash distributions and material reductions in the trading price of our common units.

The methanol industry has historically been characterized by cycles of oversupply caused by either excess supply or reduced demand, resulting in lower prices and idling of capacity, followed by periods of shortage and rising prices as demand exceeds supply until increased prices lead to new plant investment or the restart of idled capacity. The methanol industry has historically operated significantly below stated capacity on a consistent basis, even in periods of high methanol prices, due primarily to shutdowns for planned and unplanned repairs and maintenance, temporary closures of marginal production facilities, as well as shortages of feedstock and other production inputs. The methanol business is a highly competitive commodity industry, and prices are affected by supply and demand fundamentals and global energy prices. Methanol prices have historically been, and are expected to continue to be, characterized by significant cyclicality. New methanol plants are expected to be built in the United States, and this

characterized by significant cyclicality. New methanol plants are expected to be built in the United States, and this will increase overall production capacity. Methanex and the Celanese-Mitsui joint venture have brought their new methanol facilities online in the last two years and OCI is currently in the construction phase on its 1.8 million metric ton Natgasoline methanol facility in Beaumont, Texas, which is expected to commence operations later in 2017. In addition, Big Lake Fuels, S. Louisiana Methanol, Yuhuang Chemical and Lake Charles Methanol have each

announced plans to construct methanol plants in the U.S. Gulf Coast region over the next few years, which, if constructed, would increase overall U.S. production capacity and the availability of methanol supply to our customers from competing sources. Additional methanol supply can also become available in the future by restarting idle methanol plants, carrying out major expansions of existing plants or debottlenecking existing plants to increase their production capacity. Historically, higher-cost plants have been shut down or idled when methanol prices are low, but there can be no assurance that this practice will occur in the future or that such plants will remain idle. Relatively low prices for natural gas have led to reduced idling at the current time.

Table of Contents

Demand for methanol largely depends upon levels of global industrial production, changes in general economic conditions and energy prices. We are not able to predict future methanol supply and demand balances, market conditions, global economic activity, methanol prices or energy prices, all of which are affected by numerous factors beyond our control. Since methanol constitutes a significant portion of the products we produce and market, a decline in the price of methanol would have an adverse impact on our financial condition, cash flows and results of operations, which could result in significant volatility or material reductions in the price of our common units or an inability to make quarterly cash distributions on our common units.

The ammonia business is, and ammonia prices are, cyclical and highly volatile and have experienced substantial downturns. Cycles in demand and seasonal fluctuations in pricing could potentially affect our operating and financial results, and expose our unitholders to substantial volatility in our quarterly cash distributions and material reductions in the trading price of our common units.

Ammonia is a commodity, and demand for and prices of ammonia can be highly volatile. In particular, our ammonia business is exposed to fluctuations in the demand for nitrogen fertilizer from the agricultural industry. These fluctuations historically have had and could in the future have significant effects on prices across all ammonia-based products and, in turn, our financial condition, cash flows and results of operations, which could result in significant volatility or material reductions in the price of our common units or an inability to make quarterly cash distributions on our common units.

The ammonia industry is generally seasonal. Farmers tend to apply nitrogen fertilizer during two short application periods, one in the spring and the other in the fall. The strongest demand for nitrogen fertilizers typically occurs during the planting season. In contrast, we and other ammonia producers generally produce our products throughout the year. As a result, ammonia producers generally build inventories during the low demand periods of the year in order to ensure timely product availability during the peak sales seasons. The seasonality of nitrogen fertilizer demand results in ammonia producers' sales volumes being highest during the North American spring season and their working capital requirements typically being highest just prior to the start of the spring season. The degree of seasonality of the ammonia industry can change significantly from year to year due to conditions in the agricultural industry and other factors. As a consequence of this seasonality, we expect that our distributions will be volatile and will vary quarterly and annually.

If seasonal demand exceeds the projections on which we base our production, we will not have enough product and our customers may acquire ammonia from our competitors, which will negatively impact our profitability. If seasonal demand is less than we expect, we will be left with excess inventory and higher working capital and liquidity requirements associated with the liquidation or storage of such inventory. Additionally, because our inventory storage capacity is not significant, during periods of peak demand we may be required to acquire ammonia at spot prices in order to fulfill our supply obligations to customers. The prices at which we purchase ammonia for sale to our customers may negatively impact our profitability.

The pricing and demand for nitrogen fertilizer products is also dependent on demand for crop nutrients by the global agricultural industry. The agricultural products business can be affected by a number of factors. The most important of these factors, for U.S. markets, are:

weather patterns and field conditions (particularly during periods of traditionally high nitrogen fertilizer consumption);

quantities of nitrogen fertilizers imported to and exported from North America;

current and projected grain inventories and prices, which are heavily influenced by U.S. exports and world-wide grain markets; and

U.S. governmental policies, including farm and biofuel policies, which may directly or indirectly influence the number of acres planted, the level of grain inventories, the mix of crops planted or crop prices.

International market conditions may also significantly influence our operating results. The international market for nitrogen fertilizers is influenced by such factors as the relative value of the U.S. dollar and its impact upon the cost of importing nitrogen fertilizers, foreign agricultural policies, the existence of, or changes in, import or foreign currency exchange barriers in certain foreign markets, changes in the hard currency demands of certain countries and other regulatory policies of foreign governments, as well as the laws and policies of the United States affecting foreign trade

and investment.

Since ammonia constitutes a significant portion of the products we produce and market, a decline in the price of or demand for nitrogen fertilizers would have a material adverse effect on our business, cash flow and ability to make distributions.

Methanol and ammonia are global commodities, and we face intense competition from other producers. Our business is subject to intense price competition from both U.S. and foreign sources, including competitors operating in Trinidad with respect to methanol and in the Persian Gulf, the Asia-Pacific region, the Caribbean, Russia and the Ukraine with respect to ammonia. Both methanol and ammonia are global commodities, with little product differentiation, and customers make their purchasing decisions principally on the basis of delivered price and availability of the product. We compete with a number of domestic and foreign producers, including state-owned and government-subsidized entities. Most significantly, producers in Trinidad have historically been the largest suppliers of methanol to the United States. These companies have significant experience and expertise in production, transportation, marketing and sales of methanol in the United States. Some competitors have greater total resources and are less dependent on earnings from methanol or ammonia sales, which makes them less vulnerable to industry downturns and better positioned to pursue new expansion and development opportunities. Methanex and the Celanese-Mitsui joint venture have brought their new methanol facilities online in the last two years and OCI is currently in the construction phase on its 1.8 million metric ton Natgasoline methanol facility in Beaumont, Texas, which is expected to commence operations later in 2017. In addition, Big Lake Fuels, S. Louisiana Methanol, Yuhuang Chemical and Lake Charles Methanol have each announced plans to construct methanol plants in the U.S. Gulf Coast region over the next few years, which, if constructed, would increase overall U.S. production capacity and the availability of methanol supply to our customers from competing sources. On the ammonia side, there have been significant capacity additions in the U.S. Gulf Coast region including CF Industries' Donaldsonville plant and Dyno Nobel's ammonia plant in Louisiana. In addition, BASF/Yara are projecting to commission an ammonia plant later in 2017 in Freeport, Texas, which would compete directly with our facility, and other companies and developers have announced plans to construct new ammonia and nitrogen facilities in the U.S. If we are unable to provide customers with a reliable supply of methanol or ammonia at competitive prices, we may lose market share to our competitors, which could have an adverse impact on our results of operations, financial condition and ability to make cash distributions.

Our profitability is vulnerable to fluctuations in the cost of natural gas, our primary feedstock. Our profitability is significantly dependent on the cost of our natural gas feedstock, and a significant increase in the price of natural gas would adversely affect our ability to operate our facility on a profitable basis. In recent history, the price of natural gas has been very volatile, with prices at the New York Mercantile Exchange ("NYMEX") pricing point, Henry Hub, spiking to near-record high prices in 2008 and approaching seventeen-year lows at the beginning of 2016. This is due to various supply and demand factors, including the increasing overall demand for natural gas from industrial users, which is affected, in part, by the general conditions of the U.S. and global economies, and other factors. We currently procure our natural gas through two main suppliers, Kinder Morgan and Houston Pipe Line Company, through supply agreements that are based on spot pricing, making us susceptible to fluctuations in the price of natural gas. In addition, our facility is connected to natural gas pipelines owned by Florida Gas Transmission and DCP Midstream Partners, LP. Operating at full capacity, our methanol and ammonia production units together require approximately 110,000 to 120,000 MMBtu per day of natural gas, as of December 31, 2016. A hypothetical increase or decrease of \$1.00 per MMBtu of natural gas would increase or decrease our annual cost of goods sold (exclusive of depreciation) by approximately \$40.2 million to \$43.8 million. A material increase in natural gas prices could materially and adversely affect our results of operations, financial condition and ability to make cash distributions. Our facility operates under a number of federal and state permits, licenses and approvals, and failure to comply with or obtain necessary permits, licenses and approvals may result in unanticipated costs or liabilities, which could reduce our profitability.

Our facility operates under a number of federal and state permits, licenses and approvals with terms and conditions containing a significant number of prescriptive limits and performance standards in order to operate. All of these permits, licenses, approvals and standards require a significant amount of monitoring, record keeping and reporting in order to demonstrate compliance with the underlying permit, license, approval or standard. Incomplete documentation of compliance status may result in the imposition of fines, penalties and injunctive relief. Additionally, due to the nature of our manufacturing processes, there may be times when we are unable to meet the standards and terms and conditions of these permits and licenses due to operational upsets or malfunctions, which may lead to violations or

enforcement from regulatory agencies that could potentially result in operating restrictions. This could have a direct material adverse effect on our ability to operate our facilities and, accordingly, our results of operations, financial condition and ability to make cash distributions.

During 2015, we executed a debottlenecking project that increased the output from our methanol and ammonia production units. Any other expansion of our operations is also predicated upon securing the necessary environmental or other permits or approvals, including necessary amendments to current permits to account for increased output. However, a decision

Table of Contents

by a government agency to deny or delay issuing a new or renewed material permit or approval, or to revoke or substantially modify an existing permit or approval, could have a material adverse effect on our ability to continue operations.

Our expansion of existing assets and construction of new assets may not result in revenue increases and will be subject to regulatory, environmental, political, legal and economic risks, which could adversely affect our results of operations, financial condition and ability to make cash distributions.

In order to optimize our existing asset base, we intend to evaluate and capitalize on organic opportunities for expansion projects in order to increase revenue. The expansion of production capacity (such as our debottlenecking project), or the construction of new assets, involves numerous regulatory, environmental, political and legal uncertainties, most of which are beyond our control. These risks include:

changes to plans and specifications;

engineering problems, including defective plans and specifications;

shortages of, and price increases in, raw materials and skilled and unskilled labor;

inflation in key supply markets;

changes in laws and regulations, or in the interpretations and enforcement of laws and regulations, applicable to construction projects;

poor workmanship, labor disputes or work stoppages;

failure by subcontractors to comply with applicable laws and regulations;

injuries sustained by workers or patrons on the job site;

disputes with and defaults by contractors and subcontractors;

elaims asserted against us for construction defects, personal injury or property damage;

environmental issues;

health and safety incidents and site accidents;

weather interferences or delays;

fires and other natural disasters; and

other unanticipated circumstances or cost increases.

If we undertake any expansion projects, they may not be completed on schedule or at all or at the budgeted cost. If the actual cost to complete budgeted capital projects is greater than the budgeted cost, we would be required to use our cash flow from operations or seek additional sources of financing to complete those projects. We may not have sufficient cash flow from operations, or additional sources of financing may not be available on commercially reasonable terms or at all. Using cash flow from operations or incurring debt to fund our expansion projects (and paying the interest related to such incremental debt) could adversely impact our ability to make cash distributions. If our expansion projects take longer than their contemplated schedules, then our facility could experience prolonged downtime, which could adversely affect our results of operations, financial condition and ability to make cash distributions.

Future demand for methanol for MTBE production may be adversely affected by regulatory developments. Changes in environmental, health and safety laws, regulations or requirements could impact methanol demand for the production of MTBE. Several years ago, environmental concerns and legislative action related to gasoline leaking into water supplies from underground gasoline storage tanks in the United States resulted in the phase-out of MTBE as a gasoline additive in the United States. However, methanol is used in the United States to produce MTBE for export markets, where demand for MTBE has continued at strong levels. Demand for methanol for use in MTBE production in the United States could decline materially if export demand is impacted by governmental legislation or policy changes. The EPA is currently reviewing the human health effects of MTBE, including its potential carcinogenicity. The European Union issued a final risk assessment report on MTBE in 2002 that permitted the continued use of MTBE, although several risk reduction measures relating to the storage and handling of fuels were recommended. Governmental efforts in recent years in some countries, primarily in the European Union and Latin America, to promote biofuels and alternative fuels through legislation or tax policy are also putting competitive pressures on the use of MTBE in gasoline in these countries. Declines in demand for methanol for use in MTBE production could have an adverse impact on our results of operations, financial condition and ability to make cash distributions. Future demand for methanol may be adversely affected by regulatory developments.

Some of our customers use methanol that we supply to manufacture formaldehyde, among other chemicals. Formaldehyde currently represents the largest single demand use for methanol in the United States. Formaldehyde, a component of resins used as wood adhesives and as a raw material for engineered plastics and a variety of other products, including elastomers, paints, building products, foams, polyurethane and automotive products, has been classified as a known human carcinogen by the International Agency for Research on Cancer and as a probable human carcinogen by the EPA. On July 7, 2010, President Obama signed the Formaldehyde Standards for Composite Wood Products Act into law, which establishes limits for formaldehyde emissions from composite wood products and requires EPA to evaluate and establish limits for other types of wood products, In December 2016, EPA finalized a regulation to implement this Act with two components: (1) formaldehyde emissions standards for hardwood plywood, medium-density fiberboard, particleboard, and finished goods containing these products that are sold, supplied, offered for sale, or manufactured (including imported) in the United States and (2) a third-party certification program to assure compliance by composite wood panel producers with the formaldehyde emissions limits established directly in the Act. EPA continues to state publicly that it plans to finalize these regulations, but has not yet done so. As of April 1, 2015, formaldehyde was reclassified in the European Union as a category 1B carcinogen and category 2 mutagen. No decision has yet been made, however, whether this reclassification will result in restrictions under the Regulation on Registration, Evaluation, Authorization and Restrictions of Chemicals in the European Union, including in particular whether formaldehyde should be designated as a Substance of Very High Concern Candidate. Changes in environmental, health and safety laws, regulations or requirements relating to formaldehyde could impact methanol demand, which could indirectly have a material adverse effect on our business.

Any limitations on the use of nitrogen fertilizer for agricultural purposes could have a material adverse effect on the market for ammonia and on our results of operations, financial condition and ability to make cash distributions. Conditions in the U.S. agricultural industry may significantly impact our operating results. State and federal governmental regulations and policies, including farm and biofuel subsidies and commodity support programs, as well as the prices of fertilizer products, may also directly or indirectly influence the number of acres planted, the mix of crops planted and the use of ammonia for particular agricultural applications. Developments in crop technology, such as nitrogen fixation, which is the conversion of atmospheric nitrogen into compounds that plants can assimilate, could also reduce the use of chemical fertilizers and adversely affect the demand for nitrogen fertilizer and thus affect general demand for and pricing of ammonia. Unfavorable industry conditions and new technological developments could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and ability to make cash distributions.

In addition, future federal or state environmental laws and regulations, or new interpretations of existing laws or regulations, could limit our ability to market and sell our products to end users. From time to time, various state legislatures have considered limitations on the use and application of chemical fertilizers due to concerns about the impact of these products on the environment. In addition, a number of states have adopted or proposed numeric

nutrient water quality criteria that could result in decreased demand for fertilizer products in those states, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and ability to make cash distributions.

A major factor underlying the level of demand for nitrogen-based fertilizer products is the expanding production of ethanol. A decrease in ethanol production, an increase in ethanol imports or a shift away from corn as a principal raw material used to produce ethanol could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and ability to make cash distributions.

A major factor underlying the level of demand for nitrogen-based fertilizer products is the expanding production of ethanol in the United States and the expanded use of corn in ethanol production. Ethanol production in the United States is highly dependent upon numerous federal and state laws and regulations, and is made significantly more competitive by various federal and state incentives, mandated production of ethanol pursuant to federal renewable fuel standards, and permitted increases in ethanol percentages in gasoline blends, such as E15, a gasoline blend containing 15% ethanol. However, a number of factors, including a continuing "food versus fuel" debate and studies showing that expanded ethanol production may increase the level of GHGs in the environment, have resulted in calls to reduce subsidies for ethanol, allow increased ethanol imports and adopt temporary waivers of the current renewable fuel standard levels, any of which could have an adverse effect on corn-based ethanol production, planted corn acreage and fertilizer demand. Therefore, ethanol incentive programs may not be renewed, or if renewed, they may be renewed on terms significantly less favorable to ethanol producers than current incentive programs. For example, on December 31, 2011, Congress allowed both the 45 cents per gallon ethanol tax credit and the 54 cents per gallon ethanol import tariff to expire. In addition, in December 2013, bipartisan legislation was introduced in the U.S. Senate to eliminate the corn-ethanol blending requirement for refiners. Similarly, the EPA's waivers partially approving the use of E15 could be revised, rescinded or delayed. These actions could have a material adverse effect on ethanol production in the United States, which could reduce the demand for ammonia for use as a nitrogen fertilizer. If such reduced demand for nitrogen fertilizer in the United States were significant and prolonged, it could adversely affect the prices we receive on sales of our ammonia products to industrial customers, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and ability to make cash distributions. Furthermore, most ethanol is currently produced from corn and other raw grains, such as mile or sorghum, especially

in the Midwest. The current trend in ethanol production research is to develop an efficient method of producing ethanol from cellulose-based biomass, such as agricultural waste, forest residue, municipal solid waste and energy crops (plants grown for use to make biofuels or directly exploited for their energy content). If an efficient method of producing ethanol from cellulose-based biomass is developed, the demand for corn may decrease significantly, which could reduce demand for nitrogen fertilizer products and have a material adverse effect on the prices we receive on sales of our ammonia products and our results of operations, financial condition and ability to make cash distributions. Evolving environmental laws and regulations on hydraulic fracturing could have an indirect effect on our financial performance.

Hydraulic fracturing is an important and increasingly common practice that is used to stimulate production of crude oil and/or natural gas from dense subsurface rock formations, and is primarily presently regulated by state agencies. However, Congress has in the past and may in the future consider legislation to regulate hydraulic fracturing by federal agencies. Many states have already adopted laws and/or regulations that require disclosure of the chemicals used in hydraulic fracturing, and are considering legal requirements that could impose more stringent permitting, disclosure and well construction requirements on oil and/or natural gas drilling activities. The EPA is also moving forward with various related regulatory actions, including approving various new regulations that, among other things, may require green completions of certain hydraulically fractured wells and reduce emissions of methane and volatile organic compounds from certain sources in the oil and gas sector. We do not believe these new regulations will have a direct effect on our operations, but because oil and/or natural gas production using hydraulic fracturing is growing rapidly in the United States, if new or more stringent federal, state or local legal restrictions relating to such drilling activities or to the hydraulic fracturing process are adopted, this could result in a reduction in the supply of natural gas and an increase in the price of natural gas. An increase in the price of natural gas could adversely affect our gross margins. In addition, a significant and sustained increase in domestic natural gas prices could make it more attractive for international producers of methanol and ammonia to import their products into the United States, which competition could adversely affect our results of operations, financial condition and ability to make cash distributions.

Our operations are dependent on third parties and their pipelines to provide us with our natural gas, hydrogen and nitrogen feedstock. A deterioration in the financial condition of a third-party supplier, the inability of a third-party supplier to perform in accordance with its contractual obligations or the unavailability of a supplier's pipeline could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and our ability to make cash distributions.

Our operations depend in large part on the performance of third-party suppliers, including Kinder Morgan, Florida Gas Transmission, Houston Pipeline Company, Air Products and Air Liquide for the supply of natural gas, hydrogen and nitrogen. Our ability to obtain natural gas and other inputs necessary for the production of methanol and ammonia is dependent upon the availability of these third parties' pipeline systems interconnected to our facility. Because we do not own these pipelines, their continuing operation is not within our control. These pipelines may become unavailable for a number of reasons, including testing, maintenance, capacity constraints, accidents, government regulation, weather-related events or other third-party actions. If third-party pipelines become partially or completely unavailable, our ability to operate could be restricted and the transportation costs of our feedstock supply could increase, thereby reducing our profitability. In addition, should any of our third-party suppliers fail to perform in accordance with existing contractual arrangements, our operations could be forced to halt. Alternative sources of supply could be difficult to obtain. Any downtime associated with our operations, even for a limited period, could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and ability to make cash distributions. Delays, interruptions or other limitations in the transportation of the products we produce could affect our operations. Transportation logistics play an important role in allowing us to supply products to our customers. Any significant delays, interruptions or other limitations on the ability to transport our products could negatively affect our operations. Currently, approximately 41% of our methanol and approximately 79% of our ammonia is transported by barge along the Gulf Coast, approximately 53% of our methanol and approximately 12% of our ammonia is transported directly to certain customers through their pipelines and approximately 6% of our methanol and approximately 9% of our ammonia is transported to certain customers through our truck loading facilities. We may experience risks associated with distribution of our products by barge, pipelines or truck. Delays and interruptions may be caused by weather-related events, including hurricanes that would prevent the operation of barges for transport of our methanol and ammonia. Transport by pipeline may be interrupted because of accidents, earthquakes, hurricanes, governmental regulation, terrorism or other third-party actions. A significant increase in fuel prices could increase the costs incurred by our customers who transport our products by truck, which could decrease the volume of methanol and ammonia transported through our truck loading facilities. Prolonged interruptions in the transport of our products by barge or pipeline, or a reduction in the volume of methanol and ammonia transported through our truck loading facilities, could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and ability to make cash distributions. Our customers purchase our methanol and ammonia on an FOB shipping point basis at our facility and then arrange and pay to transport it to their final destinations by barge according to customary practice in our market. Methanol and ammonia are also distributed to certain customers through pipelines connected directly to their facilities. However, in the future, our customers' transportation needs and preferences may change and our customers may no longer be willing or able to transport purchased product from our facility or accept our product through their pipelines. In the event that our competitors are able to transport their products more efficiently or cost effectively than we do or work with our customers to develop direct pipelines to those customers, those customers may reduce or cease purchases of our products. If this were to occur, we could be forced to make a substantial investment in transportation capabilities to meet our customers' delivery needs, and this would be expensive and time consuming. We may not be able to obtain transportation capabilities on a timely basis or at all, and our inability to provide transportation for products could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and ability to make cash distributions.

Table of Contents

We currently derive substantially all of our revenues from a limited number of customers, and the loss of any of these customers without replacement on comparable terms would affect our results of operations, financial condition and ability to make cash distributions.

We derive, and believe that we will continue to derive, substantially all of our revenues from a limited number of customers. For the year ended December 31, 2016, Methanex, Koch and IOC accounted for approximately 35%, 24%, and 14%, respectively, of our total revenues. Our customers, at any time, may decide to purchase fewer metric tons of methanol or ammonia from us. If our customers decide to purchase fewer metric tons of methanol or ammonia or at lower prices, and we are unable to find replacement counterparties on terms as favorable as our current arrangements, our results of operations, financial condition and ability to make cash distributions may be materially adversely affected.

We compete with certain of our customers which may result in conflicts of interest between us and those customers. In 2016, we competed, and have historically competed with certain of our customers, including Methanex and Koch. As competitors, our customers may take actions that would not be in our best interest. These customers may determine that it is strategically advantageous for them to reduce purchases of our product. In addition, they may sell our product to our other customers in an effort to reduce our market share. Any of these actions by our customers could have an adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and ability to make cash distributions.

All of our operations are located at a single facility in Texas, which makes us vulnerable to risks associated with operating in one geographic area.

The geographic concentration of our production facility in the Texas Gulf Coast means that we may be disproportionately exposed to disruptions in our operations if the region experiences severe weather, transportation capacity constraints, constraints on the availability of required equipment, facilities, personnel or services, significant governmental regulation or natural disasters. Although we maintain insurance coverage to cover a portion of these types of risks, there are potential risks associated with our operations not covered by insurance. There also may be certain risks covered by insurance where the policy does not reimburse us for all of the costs related to a loss. Downtime or other delays or interruptions to our operations from any of such factors could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and ability to make cash distributions.

Anhydrous ammonia is extremely hazardous. Any liability for accidents involving anhydrous ammonia that cause severe damage to property or injury to the environment and human health could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and ability to make cash distributions. In addition, the costs of transporting anhydrous ammonia could increase significantly in the future.

We manufacture, process, store, handle, distribute and transport anhydrous ammonia, which is extremely hazardous. Major accidents or releases involving anhydrous ammonia could cause severe damage or injury to property, the environment and human health, as well as a possible disruption of supplies and markets. Such an event could result in civil lawsuits, fines, penalties and regulatory enforcement proceedings, all of which could lead to significant liabilities. Any damage to persons, equipment or property or other disruption of our ability to produce or distribute our products could result in a significant decrease in operating revenues and significant additional cost to replace or repair and insure our assets, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and ability to make cash distributions.

In addition, we may incur significant losses or costs relating to the operation of barges used for the purpose of transporting our anhydrous ammonia. Due to the dangerous and potentially toxic nature of the cargo, a barge accident may result in fires, explosions and pollution. These circumstances may result in sudden, severe damage or injury to property, the environment and human health. In the event of pollution, we may be held responsible even if we are not at fault and complied with the laws and regulations in effect at the time of the accident. Litigation arising from accidents involving anhydrous ammonia may result in our being named as a defendant in lawsuits asserting claims for large amounts of damages, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and ability to make cash distributions.

Table of Contents

Environmental laws and regulations could require us to make substantial capital expenditures to remain in compliance or to remediate contamination that could give rise to material liabilities.

Our operations are subject to a variety of federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations relating to the protection of the environment, including those governing the emission or discharge of pollutants into the environment, product specifications and the generation, treatment, storage, transportation, disposal and remediation of solid and hazardous waste and materials. Violations of these laws and regulations or permit conditions can result in substantial penalties, injunctive orders compelling installation of additional controls, civil and criminal sanctions, permit revocations or facility shutdowns.

In addition, new environmental laws and regulations, new interpretations of existing laws and regulations, increased governmental enforcement of laws and regulations or other developments could require us to make additional unforeseen expenditures. Many of these laws and regulations are becoming increasingly stringent, and the cost of compliance with these requirements can be expected to increase over time. The requirements to be met, as well as the technology and length of time available to meet those requirements, continue to develop and change. These expenditures or costs for environmental compliance could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and ability to make cash distributions.

Our business is subject to accidental spills, discharges or other releases of hazardous substances into the environment. Past or future spills related to our facility or transportation of products or hazardous substances from our facility may give rise to liability (including strict liability, or liability without fault, and potential cleanup responsibility) to governmental entities or private parties under federal, state or local environmental laws, as well as under common law. For example, we could be held strictly liable under CERCLA for past or future spills without regard to fault or whether our actions were in compliance with the law at the time of the spills. Pursuant to CERCLA and similar state statutes, we could be held liable for contamination associated with the facility we currently own and operate, facilities we formerly owned or operated (if any) and facilities to which we transported or arranged for the transportation of wastes or by-products containing hazardous substances for treatment, storage or disposal. The potential penalties and cleanup costs for past or future releases or spills, liability to third parties for damage to their property or exposure to hazardous substances, or the need to address newly discovered information or conditions that may require response actions could be significant and could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and ability to make cash distributions.

In addition, we may incur liability for alleged personal injury or property damage due to exposure to chemicals or other hazardous substances located at or released from our facility. We may also face liability for personal injury, property damage, natural resource damage or for cleanup costs for the alleged migration of contamination or other hazardous substances from our facility to adjacent and other nearby properties.

We may incur future costs relating to the off-site disposal of hazardous wastes. Companies that dispose of, or arrange for the transportation or disposal of, hazardous substances at off-site locations may be held jointly and severally liable for the costs of investigation and remediation of contamination at those off-site locations, regardless of fault. We could become involved in litigation or other proceedings involving off-site waste disposal and the damages or costs in any such proceedings could be material.

Table of Contents

Climate change laws and regulations could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and ability to make cash distributions.

Currently, various legislative and regulatory measures to address GHG emissions (including carbon dioxide, methane and nitrous oxides) are in various phases of discussion or implementation. At the federal legislative level, Congress could adopt some form of federal mandatory GHG emission reduction laws, although the specific requirements and timing of any such laws are uncertain at this time. It is also possible that Congress may pass alternative climate change bills that do not mandate a nationwide cap-and-trade program and instead focus on promoting renewable energy and energy efficiency.

In the absence of congressional legislation curbing GHG emissions, the EPA is moving ahead administratively under its CAA authority. In October 2009, the EPA finalized a rule requiring certain large emitters of GHGs to inventory and report their GHG emissions to the EPA. In accordance with the rule, we have begun monitoring our GHG emissions from our facility and have reported the emissions to the EPA beginning in 2011. On December 7, 2009, the EPA finalized its "endangerment finding" that GHG emissions, including CO2, pose a threat to human health and welfare. The finding allows the EPA to regulate GHG emissions as air pollutants under the CAA. In May 2010, the EPA finalized the "Greenhouse Gas Tailoring Rule," which establishes new GHG emissions thresholds that determine when certain large stationary sources, such as our facility, must obtain permits under the PSD and Title V programs of the CAA. The significance of the permitting requirement is that, in cases where a new source is constructed or an existing source undergoes a major modification, such as our debottlenecking project, the facility would need to evaluate and install BACT for its GHG emissions. The TCEQ has since been authorized by the EPA to implement a greenhouse gas permitting program.

The implementation of EPA regulations and/or the passage of federal or state climate change legislation will likely result in increased costs to (i) operate and maintain our facility, (ii) install new emission controls on our facility and (iii) administer and manage any GHG emissions program. Increased costs associated with compliance with any future legislation or regulation of GHG emissions, if it occurs, may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and ability to make cash distributions.

Further, in December 2015, over 190 countries, including the United States, reached an agreement to reduce global greenhouse gas emissions ("Paris Accord"). The Paris Accord entered into force in November 2016. The United States is one of over 70 countries that has ratified or otherwise indicated its intent to be bound by the agreement. To the extent the United States implements the Paris Accord in addition to the GHG regulations, it could have an adverse impact on our operations.

In addition, climate change legislation and regulations may result in increased costs not only for our business but also for our customers that utilize our products, thereby potentially decreasing demand for our products. Decreased demand for our products may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and ability to make cash distributions.

New regulations concerning the transportation of hazardous chemicals, risks of terrorism and the security of chemical manufacturing facilities could result in higher operating costs.

The costs of complying with regulations relating to the transportation of hazardous chemicals and security associated with our facility may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and ability to make cash distributions. Targets such as chemical manufacturing facilities may be at greater risk of future terrorist attacks than other targets in the United States. The chemical industry has responded to the issues that arose in response to the terrorist attacks on September 11, 2001 by starting new initiatives relating to the security of chemical industry facilities and the transportation of hazardous chemicals in the United States. Future terrorist attacks could lead to even stronger, more costly initiatives. Simultaneously, local, state and federal governments have begun a regulatory process that could lead to new regulations impacting the security of chemical plant locations and the transportation of hazardous chemicals. Our business could be materially adversely affected by the cost of complying with new regulations.

We are subject to strict laws and regulations regarding employee and process safety, and failure to comply with these laws and regulations could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and ability to make cash distributions.

Our facility is subject to the requirements of OSHA and comparable state statutes that regulate the protection of the health and safety of workers. In addition, OSHA requires that we maintain information about hazardous materials used or produced in our operations and that we provide this information to employees, state and local governmental authorities, and local residents. Failure to comply with OSHA requirements, including general industry standards, record keeping requirements and monitoring and control of occupational exposure to regulated substances, could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and ability to make cash distributions if we are subjected to significant fines or compliance costs.

Our indebtedness could adversely affect our financial condition or make us more vulnerable to adverse economic conditions.

Our level of indebtedness could have significant effects on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows and, therefore, important consequences to your investment in our securities, such as:

we may be limited in our ability to obtain additional financing to fund our working capital needs, capital expenditures and debt service requirements or our other operational needs;

we may be limited in our ability to use operating cash flow in other areas of our business because we must dedicate a substantial portion of these funds to make principal and interest payments on our debt;

we may be at a competitive disadvantage compared to competitors with less leverage since we may be less capable of responding to adverse economic and industry conditions;

we may not have sufficient flexibility to react to adverse changes in the economy, our business or the industries in which we operate;

to the extent that we are unable to refinance our debt at maturity on favorable terms, or at all, our ability to fund our operations and our ability to make cash distributions could be adversely affected; and

an event of default under our credit agreements (such as failure to maintain financial covenants) could cause our debt to be accelerated which could impair our ability to fund our operations and our ability to make cash distributions. Our ability to service our indebtedness will depend on our ability to generate cash in the future.

Our ability to make payments on our indebtedness will depend on our ability to generate cash in the future. As of March 13, 2017, our current debt service requirements on an annualized basis are approximately \$23.5 million per year of interest and principal payments on our Term Loan B Credit Facility, approximately \$1.4 million per year of interest and commitment fees on our Revolving Credit Facility, approximately \$1.0 million per year of interest and commitment fees on our Revolving Credit Facility—Related Party, and approximately \$16.8 million per year of interest on our Term Loan Facility—Related Party. Our ability to generate cash is subject to general economic and market conditions and financial, competitive, legislative, regulatory and other factors that are beyond our control. We cannot assure you that our business will generate sufficient cash to fund our working capital requirements, capital expenditures, debt service requirements and other liquidity needs, which could result in our inability to comply with financial and other covenants contained in our debt agreements, our being unable to repay the principal of or pay interest on our indebtedness, and our inability to fund our other liquidity needs. If we are unable to service our debt obligations, fund our other liquidity needs and maintain compliance with our financial and other covenants, we could be forced to curtail our operations, our creditors could accelerate our indebtedness and exercise other remedies and we could be required to pursue one or more alternative strategies, such as selling assets or refinancing or restructuring our indebtedness. However, we cannot assure you that any such alternatives would be feasible or prove adequate.

Restrictions in the agreements governing our current and future indebtedness contain or likely will contain significant limitations on our business operations, including our ability to pay distributions and other payments.

As of December 31, 2016, we had \$471.3 million of debt outstanding, excluding an unamortized debt discount of approximately \$6.2 million. We and OCIB may incur significant additional indebtedness in the future. Our ability to pay distributions to our unitholders will be subject to covenant restrictions under the agreements governing our indebtedness. We expect that our ability to make distributions to our unitholders will depend, in part, on our ability to satisfy applicable covenants as well as the absence of a default or event of default under the agreements governing our indebtedness. If we were unable to comply with any such covenant restrictions in any quarter, our ability to pay distributions to unitholders would be curtailed. Please read "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources—Credit Facilities."

In addition, we are subject to covenants contained in our debt agreements and any agreement governing other future indebtedness that will, subject to certain exceptions, limit our ability and the ability of OCIB or any of our future subsidiaries to, among other things, incur additional indebtedness, create liens on assets, engage in mergers or consolidations, sell assets, pay dividends and distributions or repurchase our common units, make investments, loans or advances, prepay certain subordinated indebtedness, make certain acquisitions or enter into agreements with respect to our equity interests, and engage in certain transactions with affiliates. Please read "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources—Credit Facilities." Any failure to comply with these covenants could result in a default under our debt agreements. Upon a default, unless waived, our lenders would have all remedies available to a secured lender and could elect to terminate their commitments, cease making further loans, cause their loans to become due and payable in full, institute foreclosure proceedings against us or our assets and force us and our subsidiaries into bankruptcy or liquidation.

To the extent our ability to borrow under our existing credit facilities is limited or restricted, our liquidity may be insufficient to meet the operational and financial needs of our business.

Our ability to finance our business and operations, and ultimately to pay distributions to our unitholders, is dependent on our access to adequate sources of liquidity. Our ability to borrow under our existing third-party credit facilities is subject to covenant restrictions under the agreements governing those facilities. Our ability to borrow under our intercompany credit facilities with OCI is dependent on OCI's ability and willingness to loan money to us under those facilities. To the extent that OCI faces liquidity, capital, credit or other constraints at the time we initiate borrowings under our intercompany credit facilities, we may be unable to draw the full amount otherwise available to us under those facilities. If for any of these or other reasons our ability to borrow additional funds under our third-party or intercompany credit facilities is limited or restricted, our ability to finance our business and operations and to pay distributions to unitholders could be adversely affected. For a further discussion of our liquidity and capital resources, please read "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations-Liquidity and Capital Resources- Credit Facilities."

We are a holding company and depend upon our operating subsidiary, OCIB, for our cash flows.

We are a holding company. All of our operations are conducted and all of our assets are owned by OCIB, our wholly-owned subsidiary and our sole direct or indirect subsidiary. Consequently, our cash flow and our ability to meet our obligations or to make cash distributions in the future will depend upon the cash flow of OCIB and the payment of funds by OCIB to us in the form of distributions or otherwise. The ability of OCIB to make any payments to us will depend on its earnings, the terms of its indebtedness, including the terms of any debt agreements, and legal restrictions. In particular, future debt agreements entered into by OCIB may impose significant limitations on the ability of OCIB to make distributions to us and consequently our ability to make distributions to our unitholders.

Security breaches and other disruptions could compromise our information and expose us to liability, which would cause our business and reputation to suffer.

In the ordinary course of our business, we collect and store sensitive data, including intellectual property, our proprietary business information and that of our customers and suppliers, and personally identifiable information of our employees, in our facilities and on our networks. The secure processing, maintenance and transmission of this information is critical to our operations. Despite our security measures, our information technology and infrastructure may be vulnerable to attacks by hackers or breached due to employee error, malfeasance or other disruptions. Any such breach could compromise our networks and the information stored there could be accessed, publicly disclosed, lost or stolen. Any such access, disclosure or other loss of information could result in legal claims or proceedings, disrupt our operations, damage our reputation, and cause a loss of confidence, which could adversely affect our business.

We incur increased costs as a result of being a publicly traded partnership, including costs related to compliance with Section 404 of Sarbanes-Oxley.

As a publicly traded partnership, we incur significant legal, accounting and other expenses that we did not incur as a private company, including costs associated with our public company reporting requirements. We also anticipate that we will incur costs associated with corporate governance requirements, including requirements under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (the "Sarbanes-Oxley Act"), as well as rules implemented by the SEC and the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority ("FINRA"). We expect these rules and regulations to increase our legal and financial compliance costs and to make some activities more time-consuming and costly, particularly after we are no longer an emerging growth company under the Jumpstart Our Business Startups Act of 2012 (the "JOBS Act"). We also expect these rules and regulations may make it more difficult and more expensive for us to obtain director and officer liability insurance and we may be required to accept reduced policy limits and coverage or incur substantially higher costs to obtain the same or similar coverage. As a result, it may be more difficult for us to attract and retain qualified individuals to serve on the board of directors of our general partner or as executive officers.

We will remain an emerging growth company under the JOBS Act for up to five years after becoming a publicly traded partnership. We are already incurring significantly higher costs due to being a publicly listed company in comparison to a privately owned company. After we are no longer an emerging growth company, we expect to incur additional expenses and devote substantial management effort toward ensuring compliance with those requirements applicable to companies that are not emerging growth companies, including Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. If we are unable to timely comply with Section 404 or if the costs related to compliance are significant, our results of operations and financial condition may be materially adversely affected. In order to comply with the requirements of Section 404 of Sarbanes-Oxley, we will need to implement new financial systems and procedures. We cannot assure you that we will be able to implement appropriate procedures on a timely basis. Failure to implement such procedures could have an adverse effect on our ability to satisfy applicable obligations under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), and Sarbanes-Oxley.

Risks Inherent in an Investment in Us

Under our current cash distribution policy, we intend to distribute 100% of the cash available for distribution that we generate each quarter, which could limit our ability to grow and make acquisitions.

Under our current cash distribution policy, we intend to distribute 100% of the cash available for distribution that we generate each quarter to our unitholders. Please read Item 5—"Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Unitholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities" included in this report for additional information. As a result, our general partner will rely primarily upon external financing sources, including commercial bank or intercompany borrowings or issuances of debt or equity securities, to fund our acquisitions and expansion capital expenditures. To the extent we are unable to finance growth externally, our cash distribution policy will significantly impair our ability to grow.

In addition, because we intend to distribute 100% of the cash available for distribution that we generate each quarter, our growth may not be as fast as that of businesses that reinvest their available cash to expand ongoing operations. To the extent we issue additional partnership interests in connection with any acquisitions or expansion capital expenditures, the payment of distributions on those additional partnership interests will decrease the amount we

distribute on each outstanding common unit. There are no limitations in our partnership agreement on our ability to issue additional partnership interests, including partnership interests ranking senior to the common units. The incurrence of additional commercial borrowings or other debt to finance our growth strategy would result in increased interest expense, which, in turn, would reduce the cash available for distribution that we have to distribute to our unitholders.

Our general partner and its affiliates, including OCI, have conflicts of interest with us and limited duties to us and our unitholders, and they may favor their own interests to our detriment and that of our unitholders. Additionally, we have no control over the business decisions and operations of OCI, and OCI is under no obligation to adopt a business strategy that favors us.

OCI indirectly owns a non-economic general partner interest and a 79.88% limited partner interest in us and indirectly owns and controls our general partner. Additionally, OCI USA, an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of OCI, is the lender under the Term Loan Facility—Related Party and Revolving Credit Facility—Related Party, under which we had \$200.0 million and \$35.0 million of borrowings, respectively, as of December 31, 2016. Although our general partner has a duty to manage us in a manner that is in the best interests of our partnership and our unitholders, the directors and officers of our general partner also have a duty to manage our general partner in a manner that is in the best interests of its owner, OCI. Conflicts of interest may arise between OCI and its affiliates, including our general partner, on the one hand, and us and our unitholders, on the other hand. In resolving these conflicts, the general partner may favor its own interests and the interests of its affiliates, including OCI, over the interests of our common unitholders. These conflicts include, among others, the following situations:

neither our partnership agreement nor any other agreement requires OCI to pursue a business strategy that favors us or utilizes our assets, which could involve decisions by OCI to increase or decrease production, shut down or reconfigure our plant, pursue certain sales decisions, pursue and grow particular markets, or undertake acquisition opportunities for itself. OCI's directors and officers have a fiduciary duty to make these decisions in the best interests of the stockholders of OCI;

OCI may be constrained by the terms of its debt instruments from taking actions, or refraining from taking actions, that may be in our best interests;

as a lender under the Term Loan Facility—Related Party and Revolving Credit Facility—Related Party, OCI USA, may have interests that differ from holders of our common units;

our partnership agreement replaces the fiduciary duties that would otherwise be owed by our general partner with contractual standards governing its duties, limiting our general partner's liabilities and restricting the remedies available to our unitholders for actions that, without the limitations, might constitute breaches of fiduciary duty; except in limited circumstances, our general partner has the power and authority to conduct our business without unitholder approval;

our general partner will determine the amount and timing of asset purchases and sales, capital expenditures, borrowings, repayment of indebtedness, issuances of additional partnership interests and the creation, reduction or increase of cash reserves, each of which can affect the amount of cash that is available for distribution to our common unitholders;

our general partner will determine which costs incurred by it are reimbursable by us;

our general partner may cause us to borrow funds in order to permit the payment of cash distributions; our ability to pay distributions may be limited in order to repay the Term Loan Facility—Related Party and the Revolving Credit Facility—Related Party;

our partnership agreement does not restrict our general partner from causing us to pay it or its affiliates for any services rendered to us or entering into additional contractual arrangements with any of these entities on our behalf; our general partner intends to limit its liability regarding our contractual and other obligations;

- our general partner may exercise its right to call and purchase all of the common units not owned by it and its affiliates if it and its affiliates own more than a specified percentage of our common units;
- our general partner controls the enforcement of obligations owed to us by our general partner and its affiliates, including our commercial agreements with OCI; and

our general partner decides whether to retain separate counsel, accountants or others to perform services for us.

Under the terms of our partnership agreement, the doctrine of corporate opportunity, or any analogous doctrine, does not apply to our general partner or any of its affiliates, including its executive officers, directors and owners. Any such person or entity that becomes aware of a potential transaction, agreement, arrangement or other matter that may be an opportunity for us will not have any duty to communicate or offer such opportunity to us. Any such person or entity will not be liable to us or to any limited partner for breach of any fiduciary duty or other duty by reason of the fact that such person or entity pursues or acquires such opportunity for itself, directs such opportunity to another person or entity or does not communicate such opportunity or information to us. This may create actual and potential conflicts of interest between us and affiliates of our general partner and result in less than favorable treatment of us and our unitholders. For example, Natgasoline LLC, a subsidiary of OCI, plans to construct a methanol plant adjacent to our facility in Beaumont, Texas. This facility will compete directly or indirectly with our facility to one degree or another, and OCI has no obligation to offer, and we have no right to acquire, any interest in either of these facilities. OCI may also acquire or construct additional facilities in the future that may compete with us.

Our partnership agreement restricts the remedies available to holders of our common units for actions taken by our general partner that might otherwise constitute breaches of fiduciary duty.

Our partnership agreement contains provisions that restrict the remedies available to unitholders for actions taken by our general partner that might otherwise constitute breaches of fiduciary duty under state fiduciary duty law. For example, our partnership agreement:

provides that whenever our general partner makes a determination or takes, or declines to take, any other action in its capacity as our general partner as opposed to in its individual capacity, our general partner is required to make such determination, or take or decline to take such other action, in good faith and will not be subject to any other or different standard imposed by our partnership agreement, Delaware law, or any other law, rule or regulation, or at equity;

provides that our general partner will not have any liability to us or our unitholders for decisions made in its capacity as a general partner so long as it acted in good faith reliance on the provisions of our partnership agreement; provides that our general partner and its officers and directors will not be liable for monetary damages to us or our limited partners resulting from any act or omission unless there has been a final and non-appealable judgment entered by a court of competent jurisdiction determining that our general partner or its officers and directors, as the case may be, acted in bad faith or engaged in intentional fraud or willful misconduct or, in the case of a criminal matter, acted with knowledge that the conduct was unlawful; and

provides that our general partner will not be in breach of its obligations under our partnership agreement or its fiduciary duties to us or our limited partners if a transaction with an affiliate or the resolution of a conflict of interest is approved in accordance with, or otherwise meets the standards set forth in, our partnership agreement. In connection with a situation involving a transaction with an affiliate or a conflict of interest, our partnership agreement provides that any determination by our general partner must be made in good faith, and that our conflicts committee and the board of directors of our general partner are entitled to a presumption that they acted in good faith. In any proceeding brought by or on behalf of any limited partner or the partnership, the person bringing or prosecuting such proceeding will have the burden of overcoming such presumption. By purchasing a common unit, a unitholder will become bound by the provisions of our partnership agreement, including the provisions described above.

Common units are subject to our general partner's limited call right.

If at any time our general partner and its affiliates own more than 90% of the common units, our general partner will have the right, which it may assign to any of its affiliates or to us, but not the obligation, to acquire all, but not less than all, of the common units held by public unitholders at a price not less than their then-current market price, as calculated pursuant to the terms of our partnership agreement. If our general partner and its affiliates reduce their ownership percentage to below 70% of the outstanding common units, then concurrently with such reduction in percentage ownership, the ownership threshold to exercise the limited call right will be permanently reduced to 80%. As a result, you may be required to sell your common units at an undesirable time or at a price that is less than the market price on the date of purchase and may not receive any return on your investment. You may also incur a tax liability upon a sale of your common units. Our general partner is not obligated to obtain a fairness opinion regarding the value of the common units to be repurchased by it upon exercise of the limited call right. There is no restriction in our partnership agreement that prevents our general partner from issuing additional common units and then exercising its limited call right. Our general partner may use its own discretion, free of fiduciary duty restrictions, in determining whether to exercise this right.

Unitholders have very limited voting rights and, even if they are dissatisfied, they cannot remove our general partner without its consent.

Unlike the holders of common stock in a corporation, unitholders have only limited voting rights on matters affecting our business and, therefore, limited ability to influence management's decisions regarding our business. For example, unlike holders of stock in a public corporation, unitholders will not have "say-on-pay" advisory voting rights. Unitholders did not elect our general partner or the board of directors of our general partner and will have no right to elect our general partner or the board of directors of our general partner on an annual or other continuing basis. The board of directors of our general partner is chosen by the member of our general partner, which is an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of OCI. Furthermore, if the unitholders are dissatisfied with the performance of our general partner, they will have little ability to remove our general partner. As a result of these limitations, the price at which our common units will trade could be diminished because of the absence or reduction of a takeover premium in the trading price.

Our unitholders are currently unable to remove our general partner without its consent because our general partner and its affiliates own sufficient units to prevent its removal. The vote of the holders of at least 66 2/3% of all outstanding units voting together as a single class is required to remove our general partner. As of March 13, 2017, our general partner and its affiliates own 79.88% of the common units issued and outstanding.

Furthermore, unitholders' voting rights are further restricted by the partnership agreement provision providing that any units held by a person that owns 20% or more of any class of units then outstanding, other than our general partner, its affiliates, their transferees and persons who acquired such units with the prior approval of the board of directors of our general partner, cannot vote on any matter.

Our partnership agreement also contains provisions limiting the ability of unitholders to call meetings or to acquire information about our operations, as well as other provisions limiting the unitholders' ability to influence the manner or direction of management.

Unitholders may have liability to repay distributions.

In the event that: (1) we make distributions to our unitholders when our nonrecourse liabilities exceed the sum of (a) the fair market value of our assets not subject to recourse liability and (b) the excess of the fair market value of our assets subject to recourse liability over such liability, or a distribution causes such a result, and (2) a unitholder knows at the time of the distribution of such circumstances, such unitholder will be liable for a period of three years from the time of the impermissible distribution to repay the distribution under Section 17-607 of the Delaware Revised Uniform Limited Partnership Act (the "Delaware Act").

Likewise, upon the winding up of the partnership, in the event that (1) we do not distribute assets in the following order: (a) to creditors in satisfaction of their liabilities; (b) to partners and former partners in satisfaction of liabilities for distributions owed under our partnership agreement; (c) to partners for the return of their contribution; and finally (d) to the partners in the proportions in which the partners share in distributions and (2) a unitholder knows at the time of such circumstances, then such unitholder will be liable for a period of three years from the impermissible

distribution to repay the distribution under Section 17-807 of the Delaware Act.

Table of Contents

A purchaser of common units who becomes a limited partner is liable for the obligations of the transferring limited partner to make contributions to us that are known by the purchaser at the time it became a limited partner and for unknown obligations if the liabilities could be determined from our partnership agreement.

Our general partner interest or the control of our general partner may be transferred to a third party without unitholder consent.

Our general partner may transfer its general partner interest to a third party in a merger or in a sale of all or substantially all of its assets without the consent of the unitholders. Furthermore, there is no restriction in our partnership agreement on the ability of OCI to transfer its membership interest in our general partner to a third party. The new owner of our general partner would then be in a position to replace the board of directors and officers of our general partner with its own choices.

Our unitholders who fail to furnish certain information requested by our general partner or who our general partner, upon receipt of such information, determines are not eligible citizens may not be entitled to receive distributions in kind upon our liquidation and their common units will be subject to redemption.

Our general partner may require each limited partner to furnish information about such limited partner's nationality, citizenship or related status. If a limited partner fails to furnish information about such limited partner's nationality, citizenship or other related status within a reasonable period after a request for the information or our general partner determines after receipt of the information that the limited partner is not an eligible citizen, the limited partner may be treated as an ineligible holder. An ineligible holder does not have the right to direct the voting of such holder's common units and may not receive distributions in kind upon our liquidation. Furthermore, we have the right to redeem all of the common units of any holder that is an ineligible holder. The redemption price will be paid in cash or by delivery of a promissory note, as determined by our general partner.

We may issue additional partnership interests without unitholder approval, which would dilute common unitholder interests.

At any time, we may issue an unlimited number of limited partner interests of any type without the approval of our unitholders, and our unitholders will have no preemptive or other rights (solely as a result of their status as unitholders) to purchase any such limited partner interests. Further, there are no limitations in our partnership agreement on our ability to issue equity securities that rank equal or senior to our common units as to distributions or in liquidation or that have special voting rights and other rights. The issuance by us of additional common units or other partnership interests of equal or senior rank will have the following effects:

our common unitholders' proportionate ownership interest in us will decrease;

the amount of cash distributions on each common unit may decrease;

the ratio of taxable income to distributions may increase;

the relative voting strength of each previously outstanding common unit may be diminished; and

the market price of our common units may decline.

OCIP Holding may sell common units in the public or private markets, and such sales could have an adverse impact on the trading price of the common units.

OCIP Holding, an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of OCI, owns 69,497,590 common units, representing approximately 79.88% of our outstanding common units. We have agreed to provide OCIP Holding with certain registration rights under applicable securities laws. The sale of these common units in the public or private markets could have an adverse impact on the market for our common units and the price at which they trade.

Table of Contents

As a publicly traded partnership we qualify for, and are relying on, certain exemptions from the NYSE's corporate governance requirements. Accordingly, holders of our common units will not have the same protections afforded to equity holders of companies subject to such corporate governance requirements.

As a publicly traded partnership, we qualify for, and are relying on, certain exemptions from the NYSE's corporate governance requirements, including:

the requirement that a majority of the board of directors of our general partner consist of independent directors; the requirement that the board of directors of our general partner have a nominating/corporate governance committee that is composed entirely of independent directors; and

the requirement that the board of directors of our general partner have a compensation committee that is composed entirely of independent directors.

As a result of these exemptions, our general partner's board of directors will not be comprised of a majority of independent directors. Our general partner's board of directors does not currently intend to establish a nominating/corporate governance committee or a compensation committee. Accordingly, unitholders will not have the same protections afforded to equity holders of companies that are subject to all of the corporate governance requirements of the NYSE.

Tax Risks

Our tax treatment depends on our status as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes. If the IRS were to treat us as a corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes, which would subject us to entity-level taxation, or if we were otherwise subjected to a material amount of entity-level taxation, then our cash available for distribution to our unitholders would be substantially reduced.

The anticipated after-tax economic benefit of an investment in our common units depends largely on our being treated as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

Despite the fact that we are a limited partnership under Delaware law, it is possible in certain circumstances for a partnership such as ours to be treated as a corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes. A change in our business or a change in current law could cause us to be treated as a corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes or otherwise subject us to taxation as an entity.

If we were treated as a corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes, we would pay U.S. federal income tax on our taxable income at the corporate tax rate, which is currently a maximum of 35%, and would likely pay state and local income tax at varying rates. Distributions would generally be taxed again as corporate dividends (to the extent of our current and accumulated earnings and profits), and no income, gain, loss, deduction or credits would flow through to you. Because a tax would be imposed upon us as a corporation, our cash available for distribution to you would be substantially reduced. In addition, changes in current state law may subject us to additional entity-level taxation by individual states. Because of state budget deficits and other reasons, several states are evaluating ways to subject partnerships to entity-level taxation through the imposition of state income, franchise and other forms of taxation. Therefore, if we were treated as a corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes, or otherwise subjected to a material amount of entity-level taxation, there would be a material reduction in the anticipated cash flow and after-tax return to our unitholders, likely causing a substantial reduction in the value of our common units.

The tax treatment of publicly traded partnerships or an investment in our common units could be subject to potential legislative, judicial or administrative changes and differing interpretations, possibly on a retroactive basis.

The present U.S. federal income tax treatment of publicly traded partnerships, including us, or an investment in our common units may be modified by administrative, legislative or judicial interpretation at any time. For example, members of Congress and the President have periodically considered substantive changes to the existing U.S. federal income tax laws that would affect the tax treatment of certain publicly traded partnerships, including the elimination of the partnership tax for publicly traded partnerships. Any modification to the U.S. federal income tax laws and interpretations thereof may or may not be retroactively applied and could make it more difficult or impossible to satisfy the requirements of the exception pursuant to which we are treated as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes. We are unable to predict whether any such changes will ultimately be enacted. However, it is possible that a change in law could affect us, and any such changes could negatively impact the value of an investment in our common units.

Pursuant to final Treasury Regulations recently issued by the IRS and the U.S. Department of the Treasury, income derived from the production and marketing of methanol does not constitute "qualifying income" following the expiration of a ten-year transition period.

On January 24, 2017, the IRS and the U.S. Department of the Treasury published final Treasury Regulations promulgated under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code") that provide guidance regarding whether income earned from certain activities will constitute qualifying income. Pursuant to these final Treasury Regulations, income earned from the production and marketing of methanol and synthesis gas does not constitute qualifying income. These Treasury Regulations apply to taxable years beginning on or after January 19, 2017. We previously received a private letter ruling from the IRS concluding that the income we earn from the production and marketing of methanol and synthesis gas does constitute qualifying income, and we may continue to rely on this private letter ruling and treat such income as qualifying income during a ten-year transition period ending on the last day of our taxable year ending on or after January 19, 2027. After the conclusion of this ten-year transition period, all or part of our businesses may become subject to federal income tax at the maximum corporate rate, which would have a material adverse effect on our distributable cash flow and our ability to make cash distributions to our unitholders. In addition, the market price of our common units may decline significantly following, or in anticipation of, the expiration of this transition period. Please read "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations-Potential Impact of Final IRS Regulations Regarding Qualifying Income" for a more detailed discussion of the final Treasury Regulations and their potential impact on us and our unitholders. If the IRS contests the U.S. federal income tax positions we take, the market for our common units may be adversely impacted and the cost of any IRS contest will reduce our cash available for distribution to our unitholders. We intend to furnish to each unitholder, within 90 days after the close of each calendar year, specific tax information, including a Schedule K-1, which describes his or her share of our income, gain, loss and deduction for our preceding taxable year. In preparing this information we will take various accounting and reporting positions. The IRS may adopt positions that differ from the positions we take, and the IRS's positions may ultimately be sustained in an audit of our federal income tax information returns. It may be necessary to resort to administrative or court proceedings to sustain some or all of the positions we take and such positions may not ultimately be sustained. A court may not agree with some or all of the positions we take. Any contest with the IRS, and the outcome of any IRS contest, may have a materially adverse impact on the market for our common units and the price at which they trade. In addition, our costs of any contest with the IRS will be borne indirectly by our unitholders because the costs will reduce our cash available

If the IRS makes audit adjustments to our income tax returns for tax years beginning after December 31, 2017, it may assess and collect any taxes (including any applicable penalties and interest) resulting from such audit adjustment directly from us, in which case our cash available for distribution to our unitholders might be substantially reduced. Pursuant to the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2015, for tax years beginning after December 31, 2017, if the IRS makes audit adjustments to our income tax returns, it may assess and collect any taxes (including any applicable penalties and interest) resulting from such audit adjustment directly from us. Generally, we expect to elect to have our unitholders take such audit adjustment into account in accordance with their interests in us during the tax year under audit, but there can be no assurance that such election will be effective in all circumstances. If we are unable to have our unitholders take such audit adjustment into account in accordance with their interests in us during the tax year under audit, our current unitholders may bear some or all of the tax liability resulting from such audit adjustment, even if such unitholders did not own units in us during the tax year under audit. If, as a result of any such audit adjustment, we are required to make payments of taxes, penalties and interest, our cash available for distribution to our unitholders might be substantially reduced. These rules are not applicable to us for tax years beginning on or prior to December 31, 2017.

for distribution. Adjustments resulting from an IRS audit may require each unitholder to adjust a prior year's tax liability, and possibly may result in an audit of his or her return. Any audit of a unitholder's return could result in

adjustments not related to our returns, as well as those related to our returns.

Our unitholders' share of our income will be taxable to them for U.S. federal income tax purposes even if they do not receive any cash distributions from us.

Because a unitholder will be treated as a partner to whom we will allocate taxable income that could be different in amount than the cash we distribute, a unitholder's allocable share of our taxable income will be taxable to him, which may require the payment of U.S. federal income taxes and, in some cases, state and local income taxes on his share of our taxable income, even if he receives no cash distributions from us. Our unitholders may not receive cash distributions from us equal to their share of our taxable income or even equal to the actual tax liability that results from that income.

Tax gain or loss on the disposition of our common units could be more or less than expected.

If our unitholders sell common units, they will recognize a gain or loss for U.S. federal income tax purposes equal to the difference between the amount realized and their tax basis in those common units. Because distributions in excess of their allocable share of our net taxable income decrease their tax basis in their common units, the amount, if any, of such prior excess distributions with respect to the common units a unitholder sells will, in effect, become taxable income to the unitholder if it sells such common units at a price greater than its tax basis in those common units, even if the price received is less than its original cost. Furthermore, a substantial portion of the amount realized on any sale of your common units, whether or not representing gain, may be taxed as ordinary income due to potential recapture items, including depreciation recapture. In addition, because the amount realized includes a unitholder's share of our nonrecourse liabilities, a unitholder that sells common units may incur a tax liability in excess of the amount of cash received from the sale.

Tax-exempt entities and non-U.S. persons face unique tax issues from owning our common units that may result in adverse tax consequences to them.

Investment in our common units by tax-exempt entities, such as employee benefit plans and individual retirement accounts (known as IRAs), and non-U.S. persons raises issues unique to them. For example, virtually all of our income allocated to organizations that are exempt from U.S. federal income tax, including IRAs and other retirement plans, will be unrelated business taxable income and will be taxable to them. Distributions to non-U.S. persons will be reduced by withholding taxes at the highest applicable effective tax rate, and non-U.S. persons will be required to file U.S. federal income tax returns and pay tax on their share of our taxable income. If you are a tax-exempt entity or a non-U.S. person, you should consult a tax advisor before investing in our common units.

We treat each purchaser of common units as having the same tax benefits without regard to the actual common units purchased. The IRS may challenge this treatment, which could adversely affect the value of our common units. Because we cannot match transferors and transferees of common units and because of other reasons, we have adopted depreciation and amortization positions that may not conform to all aspects of existing Treasury Regulations promulgated under the Code, referred to as "Treasury Regulations." A successful IRS challenge to those positions could adversely affect the amount of tax benefits available to you. It also could affect the timing of these tax benefits or the amount of gain from your sale of common units and could have a negative impact on the value of our common units or result in audit adjustments to your tax returns.

We prorate our items of income, gain, loss and deduction between transferors and transferees of our units each month based upon the ownership of our units on the first business day of each month, instead of on the basis of the date a particular unit is transferred. The IRS may challenge aspects of our proration method, and, if successful, we would be required to change the allocation of items of income, gain, loss and deduction among our unitholders.

We prorate our items of income, gain, loss and deduction between transferors and transferees of our units each month based upon the ownership of our units on the first business day of each month, instead of on the basis of the date a particular unit is transferred. Treasury Regulations permit publicly traded partnerships to use a monthly simplifying convention that is similar to ours, but they do not specifically authorize all aspects of the proration method we have adopted. If the IRS were to successfully challenge this method, we could be required to change the allocation of items of income, gain, loss and deduction among our unitholders.

A unitholder whose common units are loaned to a "short seller" to effect a short sale of common units may be considered as having disposed of those common units. If so, he would no longer be treated for U.S. federal income tax purposes as a partner with respect to those common units during the period of the loan and may recognize gain or loss from the disposition.

Because a unitholder whose common units are loaned to a "short seller" to effect a short sale of common units may be considered as having disposed of the loaned common units, he may no longer be treated for U.S. federal income tax purposes as a partner with respect to those common units during the period of the loan to the short seller and the unitholder may recognize gain or loss from such disposition. Moreover, during the period of the loan to the short seller, any of our income, gain, loss or deduction with respect to those common units may not be reportable by the unitholder and any cash distributions received by the common unitholder as to those common units could be fully taxable as ordinary income. Unitholders desiring to assure their status as partners and avoid the risk of gain

recognition from a loan to a short seller are urged to consult a tax advisor to discuss whether it is advisable to modify any applicable brokerage account agreements to prohibit their brokers from loaning their common units.

The sale or exchange of 50% or more of our capital and profits interests during any twelve-month period will result in the termination of our partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

We will be considered to have technically terminated as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes if there is a sale or exchange of 50% or more of the total interests in our capital and profits within a twelve-month period. For purposes of determining whether the 50% threshold has been met, multiple sales of the same common unit will be counted only once. Our technical termination would, among other things, result in the closing of our taxable year for all unitholders, which would result in us filing two tax returns (and our unitholders could receive two Schedules K-1 if relief was not available, as described below) for one fiscal year and could result in a deferral of depreciation deductions allowable in computing our taxable income. In the case of a unitholder reporting on a taxable year other than a fiscal year ending December 31, the closing of our taxable year may also result in more than twelve months of our taxable income or loss being includable in his taxable income for the year of termination. Our termination currently would not affect our classification as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes, but instead we would be treated as a new partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes. If treated as a new partnership, we must make new tax elections, including a new election under Section 754 of the Code, and could be subject to penalties if we are unable to determine that a termination occurred. The IRS has announced a publicly traded partnership technical termination relief program whereby, if a publicly traded partnership that technically terminated requests publicly traded partnership technical termination relief and such relief is granted by the IRS, among other things, the partnership will only have to provide one Schedule K-1 to unitholders for the year notwithstanding two partnership tax

As a result of investing in our common units, you may be subject to state and local taxes and return filing requirements in jurisdictions where we operate or own or acquire properties.

In addition to U.S. federal income taxes, unitholders are likely subject to other taxes, including state and local taxes, unincorporated business taxes and estate, inheritance or intangible taxes that are imposed by the various jurisdictions in which we conduct business or control property now or in the future, even if they do not live in any of those jurisdictions. Our unitholders are likely required to file state and local income tax returns and pay state and local income taxes in some or all of these various jurisdictions. Further, our unitholders may be subject to penalties for failure to comply with those requirements. We currently conduct business in Texas. As we make acquisitions or expand our business, we may control assets or conduct business in additional states that impose a personal income tax. It is your responsibility to file all federal, state and local tax returns.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

The location and general character of our methanol and ammonia production facility has been described under Item 1—"Business" and are incorporated herein by reference. Our facility is located on a 62-acre site that is part of a large chemical refining and industrial complex located six miles south of Beaumont, Texas, on the Neches River. We own the land, plant and processing equipment at our facility. We believe that the land, plant and processing equipment at our facility are adequate for our current operations.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are, and will continue to be, subject to litigation from time to time in the ordinary course of our business. We also incorporate by reference into this Part I, Item 3 of this Report, the information regarding the lawsuits and proceedings described and referenced in note 10 — "Commitments, Contingencies and Legal Proceedings" to our Consolidated Financial Statements as set forth in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report. In accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"), we record a liability when it is both probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. These provisions are reviewed at least quarterly and adjusted to reflect the impacts of negotiations, settlements, rulings, advice of legal counsel, and other information and events pertaining to a particular case. Although we cannot predict with certainty the ultimate resolution of lawsuits, investigations or claims asserted against us, we do not believe that any currently pending legal proceeding or proceedings to which we are a party will have a material adverse effect, individually or in the aggregate, on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES Not Applicable.

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED UNITHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Our common units began trading on the NYSE under the symbol "OCIP" on October 4, 2013. On March 3, 2017, the closing price for our common units was \$10.00 per unit. The number of unitholders of record as of March 3, 2017 was 3. Based upon the securities position listings maintained for our common units by registered clearing agencies, we estimate the number of beneficial owners is 62. As of March 13, 2017, there were 86,997,590 common units outstanding.

The following table sets forth the range of high and low closing prices for our common units as reported by the NYSE:

Year Ended December 31, 2016 High Low Fourth Ouarter, ended December 31, 2016 \$8.40 \$4.95 Third Quarter, ended September 30, 2016 \$8.03 \$5.71 Second Ouarter, ended June 30, 2016 \$8.10 \$6.25 First Quarter, ended March 31, 2016 \$7.76 \$6.13 Year Ended December 31, 2015 High Low Fourth Ouarter, ended December 31, 2015 \$10.25 \$5.94 Third Quarter, ended September 30, 2015 \$18.73 \$9.80 Second Ouarter, ended June 30, 2015 \$18.71 \$16.57 First Quarter, ended March 31, 2015 \$18.20 \$15.02 **Cash Distribution Policy**

fraction of a cent per unit on our declared cash distributions.

Under our current cash distribution policy, we intend to distribute 100% of the cash available for distribution that we generate each quarter. Cash available for distribution for each quarter will be determined by the board of directors of our general partner following the end of such quarter. Cash available for distribution is generally equal to EBITDA reduced for cash needed for (i) debt service requirements, (ii) maintenance and expansion capital expenditures which is composed of (a) capital expenditures and (b) reserves for scheduled turnaround expenses, (iii) reserves for future operating or capital needs that the board of directors of our general partner deems necessary or appropriate, if any, (iv) taxes and (v) rounding for distributions which reflects the positive or negative adjustment necessary to eliminate any

We do not intend to maintain excess distribution coverage for the purpose of maintaining stability or growth in our quarterly distributions or otherwise to reserve cash for distributions, nor do we intend to incur debt to pay quarterly distributions. As such, cash available for distribution for each quarter will be determined by the board of directors of our general partner following the end of such quarter. Cash available for distribution is not a recognized term under GAAP. Cash available for distribution should not be considered in isolation or as an alternative to net income (loss) or operating income (loss), as a measure of operating performance. In addition, cash available for distribution is not presented as, and should not be considered an alternative to, cash flows from operations or as a measure of liquidity. Cash available for distribution as reported by the Partnership may not be comparable to similarly titled measures of other entities, thereby limiting its usefulness as a comparative measure.

The following table provides a reconciliation of EBITDA and cash available for distribution to net loss, the most directly comparable GAAP financial measure, for the period indicated:

	Three	
	Months	
	Ended	
	December 31, 2016 (in	
	millions,	
	except	
	per unit	
	data)	
Reconciliation of Net loss to EBITDA	,	
Net loss	(17.4)	
Adjustments:		
Add:		
Interest expense	16.3	
Interest expense – related party	1.5	
Income tax expense	0.2	
Depreciation expense	15.3	
EBITDA	15.9	
Reconciliation of EBITDA to Cash available for distribution		
EBITDA	15.9	
Adjustments:		
Less:		
Debt service (1)	11.3	
Maintenance and expansion capital expenditures		
Capital expenditures	2.2	
Reserves for future turnarounds	1.5	
Reserves for future operating or capital needs	0.7	
Taxes	0.2	
Rounding for distributions		
Cash available for distribution		
Actual cash distributions paid		

Debt service is defined as (i) cash interest paid on long-term debt and revolving credit facilities, plus (ii) mandatory quarterly repayments on the Term Loan B Credit Facility, plus (iii) a 0.5% commitment fee on the unused portion (1) of the \$40.0 million Revolving Credit Facility—Related Party, plus (iv) a 1.4% commitment fee on the unused portion of the \$40.0 million Revolving Credit Facility, plus (v) any up-front fees, transactions costs, etc. related to indebtedness. Debt service excludes amortization of deferred financing costs.

Because our policy is to distribute 100% of cash available for distribution each quarter, without reserving cash for future distributions or borrowing to pay distributions during periods of low cash flow from operations, our unitholders will have direct exposure to fluctuations in the amount of cash generated by our business. We expect that the amount of our quarterly distributions, if any, will vary based on our operating cash flow during each quarter. Our quarterly cash distributions, if any, will not be stable and will vary from quarter to quarter as a direct result of, among other things, variations in our operating performance and variations in our cash flow caused by fluctuations in the price of natural gas, methanol and ammonia as well as our working capital requirements, planned and unplanned downtime and capital expenditures and our margins from selling our products. These variations may be significant. The board of directors of our general partner may change our cash distribution policy at any time and from time to time. Our

partnership agreement does not require us to pay cash distributions to our unitholders on a quarterly or other basis.

A summary of cash distributions paid to unitholders during the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014 and has been included in note 13 — "Distributions" to our Consolidated Financial Statements as set forth in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report.

Table of Contents

The terms of our Term B Credit Facility provide that distributions from us and OCIB are permitted so long as (1) no event of default shall have occurred and be continuing and (2) OCIB has been in compliance with the terms of the Term B Credit Facility, including its financial covenants on a pro forma basis for the most recently completed four fiscal quarters as of the date of such distribution. As of December 31, 2016, we are in compliance with all of these covenants. Please read "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources—Credit Facilities."

Long-Term Incentive Plan Information

See Item 12—"Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Unitholder Matters" for information regarding securities authorized for issuance under our long-term incentive plan ("LTIP").

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

We did not repurchase any of our common units during the year ended December 31, 2016, and we do not have any announced or existing plans to repurchase any of our common units.

47

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following table includes selected summary financial data for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015, 2014, 2013 and 2012. The selected financial information presented below under the caption "Statements of Operations Data" for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2016, 2015, 2014, 2013 and 2012 and the selected financial information presented below under the caption "Balance Sheet Data" as of December 31, 2016 and 2015 have been derived from our audited financial statements included elsewhere in this report. The data below should be read in conjunction with Item 7—"Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and Item 8—"Financial Statements and Supplementary Data." The data below is in thousands, except for per unit data and product pricing.

	Years Ended December 31,						
	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012		
STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS DATA							
Revenues	\$247,234	\$ 298,690	\$402,780	\$ 427,964	\$224,629		
Revenues—related party	10,995	10,753					
Total revenue	258,229	309,443	402,780	427,964	224,629		
Cost of goods sold (exclusive of depreciation)	162,810	149,463	205,529	188,630	133,430		
Cost of goods sold (exclusive of depreciation)—related par	:t ∮ 6,259	16,353	13,266	2,324			
Total cost of goods sold (exclusive of depreciation)	179,069	165,816	218,795	190,954	133,430		
	,	,	•	,	•		
Selling, general and administrative	15,856	16,906	17,928	18,088	9,910		
Selling, general and administrative—related party	4,160	4,326	4,428	8,686	5,070		
Total selling, general and administrative expenses	20,016	21,232	22,356	26,774	14,980		
<i>5, 5</i>	,	,	,	,	,		
Depreciation expense	61,441	49,663	23,105	22,229	11,355		
Income (loss) from operations before interest expense,	(2,297)	72,732	138,524	188,007	64,864		
other income and income tax expense	(2,291)	12,132	136,324	100,007	04,004		
Interest expense	45,096	20,018	18,250	16,684	5,718		
Interest expense—related party	1,777	203	203	14,038	6,469		
Loss on extinguishment of debt	_			6,689			
Other income	(577)	123	941	5,154	202		
Income (loss) from operations before tax expense	(49,747)	52,634	121,012	155,750	52,879		
Income tax expense	806	613	1,564	1,399	1,048		
Net income (loss)	\$(50,553)	\$ 52,021	\$ 119,448	\$ 154,351	\$51,831		
Net income subsequent to initial public offering (October 9),			¢ 47 200			
2013 through December 31, 2013)				\$47,380			
Net income (loss) per common unit—Basic and Diluted(1)	\$(0.58)	\$ 0.61	\$ 1.48	\$ 0.59			
Weighted-average units used to compute net income (loss)							
per common unit:							
Basic and Diluted	86,997,590	85,970,912	80,918,531	79,656,250)		

Table of Contents

	Years Ended December 31,					
	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	
BALANCE SHEET DATA						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$8,080	\$13,238	\$71,810	\$182,977	\$41,708	
Total assets	663,742	733,610	664,317	604,380	405,345	
Total liabilities	510,491	496,747	476,253	453,009	349,227	
Total partners' capital/member's equity	153,251	236,863	188,064	151,371	56,118	
OTHER FINANCIAL DATA						
EBITDA(2)	\$58,567	\$122,518	\$162,570	\$215,390	\$76,421	
Capital expenditures for property, plant and equipment	6,785	233,540	152,160	52,634	193,965	
Total debt (excluding accrued interest)	465,228	450,193	381,557	394,876	295,482	
KEY OPERATING DATA						
Products sold (thousand tons):						
Ammonia	325.1	234.2	252.2	259.2	221.8	
Methanol—procured		_	_	3.6	51.2	
Methanol—produced	818.7	644.8	614.2	652.0	201.0	
Products pricing (average dollars per ton):						
Ammonia	\$258	\$425	\$503	\$525	\$581	
Methanol—procured	_		_	\$447	\$398	
Methanol—produced	\$213	\$325	\$449	\$444	\$375	
Production (thousand tons):						
Ammonia	331.5	234.7	259.2	259.8	215.3	
Methanol	823.0	652.3	617.0	642.8	217.8	
Days in Operations:						
Ammonia	358	269	338	344	333	
Methanol	340	272	320	336	130	
Capacity Utilization Rate(3):						
Ammonia					6 81 %	
Methanol					6 59 %	
Price of Natural Gas(4):	2.57	2.73	4.52	3.78	3.30	

The 2013 amounts represent basic and diluted earnings per unit for the period from October 9, 2013 (the closing of

⁽¹⁾ our IPO) through December 31, 2013. Please see note 1— "Description of Business" in the notes to consolidated financial statements included in this report for additional information.

EBITDA is defined as net income (loss) plus (i) interest expense and other financing costs, (ii) depreciation expense, (iii) income tax expense and (iv) net loss on extinguishment of debt. We present EBITDA because it is a

⁽²⁾ material component in our calculation of cash available for distribution. EBITDA is used as a supplemental financial measure by management and by external users of our financial statements, such as investors and commercial banks, to assess:

⁽¹⁾ the financial performance of our assets without regard to financing methods, capital structure or historical cost basis; and

our operating performance and return on invested capital compared to those of other publicly traded limited partnerships and other public companies, without regard to financing methods and capital structure.

Calculated by total production volumes for a production unit for a given period, divided by the production capacity of that production unit. The 2015 amounts exclude planned downtime associated with the debottlenecking project.

Table of Contents

Average purchase price of natural gas (\$ per MMBtu) which is the Houston Ship Channel price plus a delivery fee, for a given period.

EBITDA is a non-GAAP measure and should not be considered an alternative to net income (loss), operating income (loss), net cash provided by operating activities or any other measure of financial performance or liquidity presented in accordance with GAAP. EBITDA may have material limitations as a performance measure because it excludes items that are necessary elements of our costs and operations. In addition, EBITDA presented by other companies may not be comparable to our presentation, since each company may define this term differently.

The table below reconciles EBITDA to net income (loss) for the periods ended December 31, 2016, 2015, 2014, 2013 and 2012 (dollars in thousands).

	Years Ended December 31,					October 9, 201 through	3
	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	December 31, 2013	
Net income (loss)	\$(50,553)	\$52,021	\$119,448	\$154,351	\$51,831	\$ 47,380	
Add:							
Interest expense	45,096	20,018	18,250	16,684	5,718	4,574	
Interest expense—related party	1,777	203	203	14,038	6,469	1,353	
Depreciation expense	61,441	49,663	23,105	22,229	11,355	5,124	
Income tax expense (benefit)	806	613	1,564	1,399	1,048	(44)
Loss on extinguishment of debt	_			6,689		3,035	
EBITDA	\$58,567	\$122,518	\$162,570	\$215,390	\$76,421	\$ 61,422	

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF **OPERATIONS**

You should read the following discussion and analysis of our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and the related notes presented in this report. This discussion contains forward-looking statements that are based on the views and beliefs of our management, as well as assumptions and estimates made by our management. Actual results could differ materially from such forward-looking statements as a result of various risk factors, including those that may not be in control of management. Factors that could cause or contribute to these differences include those discussed elsewhere in this report, particularly, but not limited to, those set forth in Item 1A—"Risk Factors" and under "Forward-Looking Statements." **OVERVIEW**

We are a Delaware limited partnership formed in February 2013 to own and operate a recently upgraded, integrated methanol and ammonia production facility that is strategically located on the Texas Gulf Coast near Beaumont. We are currently one of the larger merchant methanol producers in the United States with an annual methanol production design capacity of approximately 912,500 metric tons and an annual ammonia production design capacity of approximately 331,000 metric tons. We executed a debottlenecking project on our production facility that included a maintenance turnaround and environmental upgrades, which we collectively refer to as our "debottlenecking project." This project increased our annual methanol and ammonia production design capacity by 25%. Beginning in January 2015, we shut down our methanol production unit for 82 days and our ammonia production unit for 71 days in order to complete the debottlenecking project. We began start-up of the ammonia production facility on April 9, 2015 and reached daily ammonia production design capacity on May 5, 2015. We began start-up of the methanol production facility on April 22, 2015 and reached daily methanol production design capacity on May 23, 2015. Both methanol and ammonia are global commodities that are essential building blocks for numerous end-use products. Methanol is a liquid petrochemical that is used in a variety of industrial and energy-related applications. The primary use of methanol is to make other chemicals, with approximately 55% of global methanol demand being used to produce formaldehyde, acetic acid and a variety of other chemicals that form the foundation of a large number of chemical derivatives. These derivatives are used to produce a wide range of products, including adhesives for the lumber industry, plywood, particle board and laminates, resins to treat paper and plastic products, and also paint and varnish removers, solvents for the textile industry and polyester fibers for clothing and carpeting. Energy-related applications consume the remaining 45% of methanol demand. In recent years, there has been a strong demand for methanol in energy applications such as gasoline blending, biodiesel and as a feedstock in the production of dimethyl ether ("DME"), methyl tertiary-butyl ether ("MTBE") and methanol-to-olefins ("MTO"), particularly in China. Methanol blending in gasoline is currently not permitted in the United States. Ammonia, produced in anhydrous form (containing no water) from the reaction of nitrogen and hydrogen, constitutes the base feedstock for nearly all of the world's nitrogen chemical production. In the United States, ammonia is primarily used as a feedstock to produce nitrogen fertilizers, such as urea and ammonium sulfate, and is also directly applied to soil as a fertilizer. In addition, ammonia is widely used in industrial applications, particularly in the Texas Gulf Coast market, including in the production of plastics, synthetic fibers, resins and numerous other chemical derivatives.

Proposed Transaction

On December 6, 2016, OCIP received a proposal from OCI pursuant to which OCI would acquire the publicly held common units not already directly or indirectly owned by OCI in a stock for unit exchange. Subject to negotiation and execution of a definitive agreement, OCI is proposing consideration of 0.5200 OCI shares for each issued and outstanding publicly-held common unit of OCIP as part of a transaction that would be structured as a merger of OCIP with an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of OCI. There can be no assurance that any discussions that may occur between us and OCI in connection with the proposal will result in the entry into a definitive agreement concerning a transaction or, if such a definitive agreement is reached, will result in the consummation of a transaction provided for in such definitive agreement.

FACTORS AFFECTING COMPARABILITY OF FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Our historical results of operations for periods prior to the completion of our debottlenecking project are not comparable with our results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2016 or in the future for the reason discussed below.

Our Debottlenecking Project

As a means of maximizing our production efficiencies and reducing our energy consumption, we executed a debottlenecking project on our production facility that included a maintenance turnaround and environmental upgrades. This project increased our maximum annual methanol production capacity by 25% to approximately 912,500 metric tons and our maximum annual ammonia production capacity by 25% to approximately 331,000 metric tons. Beginning in January 2015, we shut down our methanol and ammonia production units for 82 and 71 days, respectively, in order to complete the debottlenecking project. We began start-up of the ammonia production facility on April 9, 2015 and reached daily ammonia production design capacity of 907 metric tons on May 5, 2015. We began start-up of the methanol production facility on April 22, 2015 and reached daily methanol production design capacity of 2,500 metric tons on May 23, 2015. The total cost of the debottlenecking project (including costs associated with a turnaround and environmental upgrades) was approximately \$384.0 million (excluding capitalized interest).

As part of our debottlenecking project, we completed the following:

installed a selective catalytic reduction unit;

replaced reformer tubes, which resulted in increased synthesis gas production;

installed a pre-reformer;

installed a saturator:

installed an additional flare:

modified the synthesis gas compressor and steam turbine to handle the increased volume of synthesis gas;

modified the convection section and the heat exchangers;

replaced refractories;

increased the capacity of the synthesis gas compressor and the refrigeration compressor on our ammonia production unit and replaced several heat exchangers and vessels to handle the higher volume; and

replaced and/or refurbished equipment that caused unplanned downtime.

Our depreciation expense has increased from the additional assets placed into service from our debottlenecking project. In addition, due to the increase in our production capacity, our production volumes and cost of goods sold are greater in subsequent periods following the completion of the debottlenecking project than in prior periods. Thus, our results of operations for periods prior to and after the completion of our debottlenecking project may not be comparable.

Key Industry and Operational Factors

Supply and Demand

Revenues and cash flow from operations are significantly affected by methanol and ammonia prices. The price at which we ultimately sell our methanol and ammonia depends on numerous factors, including the global supply and demand for methanol and ammonia.

Methanol. The primary use of methanol is to make other chemicals, with approximately 55% of global methanol demand being used to produce formaldehyde, acetic acid and a variety of other chemicals that form the foundation of a large number of chemical derivatives. These derivatives are used to produce a wide range of products, including adhesives for the lumber industry, plywood, particle board and laminates, resins to treat paper and plastic products, paint and varnish removers, solvents for the textile industry and polyester fibers for clothing and carpeting.

Energy-related applications consume the remaining 45% of global methanol demand. In recent years, there has been a strong demand for methanol in energy applications such as gasoline blending, biodiesel and as a feedstock in the production of DME, MTBE and MTO, particularly in China. The development of the MTO segment in China has grown by approximately 48% from 2015 to 2016, causing China to become increasingly reliant on imported methanol. China's methanol imports totaled 7.9 million tons in 2016 compared to 5.5 million tons in 2015, which is a 44% increase in imported methanol. Methanol blending in gasoline is currently not permitted in the United States, but outside of the United States, methanol is used as a direct fuel for automobile engines, as a fuel blended with gasoline and as an octane booster in reformulated gasoline.

Historically, demand for methanol in chemical derivatives has been closely correlated to levels of global economic activity, energy prices and industrial production. Because methanol derivatives are used extensively in the building industry, demand for these derivatives rises and falls with building and construction cycles, as well as the level of production of wood products, housing starts, refurbishments and related customer spending. Demand for methanol is also affected by automobile production, durable goods production, industrial investment and environmental and health trends. Since methanol is used as the feedstock in the production of olefins, the polyolefin markets and its drivers, in particular packaging for food, are becoming more important. Methanol is predominately produced from natural gas, but is also produced from coal, particularly in China. Lower natural gas prices have resulted in an increase in methanol supply in the United States.

The methanol industry experienced a wave of global plant closures between 1998-2007 due to high natural gas prices as well as generally weaker demand for chemicals. During this period, numerous U.S. methanol facilities were shut down or relocated to other countries, resulting in the inability of current U.S. production capacity to meet current U.S. methanol demand. However, a long period of low natural gas prices in the United States has made it economical for companies to upgrade existing plants and initiate construction of new methanol and nitrogen projects. Methanex and the Celanese-Mitsui joint venture have brought their new methanol facilities online in the last two years and OCI N.V. ("OCI") is currently in the construction phase on its 1.8 million metric ton Natgasoline methanol facility in Beaumont, Texas which is expected to commence operations later in 2017. In addition, Big Lake Fuels, S. Louisiana Methanol, Yuhuang Chemical and Lake Charles Methanol have each announced plans to construct methanol plants in the U.S. Gulf Coast region over the next few years, which, if constructed, would increase overall U.S. production capacity and the availability of methanol supply to our customers from competing sources. However, over the past few years, several methanol projects have been canceled or not proceeded as a result of higher capital expenditure estimates than originally anticipated, among other reasons. Nevertheless, it is expected that the U.S. will become self-sufficient in the next few years, eventually becoming a net exporter.

Ammonia. The fertilizer industry is the major end-user of ammonia, with approximately 80% used for the production of various fertilizers or, to a much lesser extent, for direct application into the ground. Ammonia is also used to produce various industrial products including blasting/mining compounds (ammonium nitrate); fibers and plastics (acrylonitrile, caprolactam and other nylon intermediates, isocyanates and other urethane intermediates, amino resins); and NOx emission reducing agents (ammonia, urea) among others. While these non-fertilizer applications only account for approximately 20% of global ammonia demand, this sector plays a much more significant role in demand for imported ammonia, accounting for more than one-third of global trade in ammonia.

In the United States, there is a meaningful correlation between demand for nitrogen fertilizer products and crop prices. Demand for fertilizers is affected by the aggregate crop planting decisions and fertilizer application rate decisions of individual farmers. Individual farmers make planting decisions based largely on the prospective profitability of a harvest, while the specific varieties and amounts of fertilizer they apply depend on many factors, including crop prices, their current liquidity, soil conditions, weather patterns and the types of crops planted. High crop prices incentivize farmers to increase fertilizer application in order to maximize crop yields. Thus, high crop prices tend to buoy fertilizer demand, resulting in higher demand for ammonia.

The ammonia industry experienced a wave of global plant closures during 1998-2007 due to high natural gas prices. During this period, numerous U.S. ammonia facilities were shut down or relocated to other countries, resulting in the inability of current U.S. production capacity to meet current U.S. ammonia demand, which ultimately led to higher imports into the United States of ammonia and nitrogen fertilizers. More recently, the development of low-cost shale

natural gas reserves in the United States has reduced the price of natural gas and has made it economical for companies to upgrade existing ammonia and nitrogen fertilizer plants and initiate construction of new ammonia and nitrogen projects. There have been significant capacity additions in the U.S. Gulf Coast region including CF Industries' Donaldsonville plant and Dyno Nobel's ammonia plant in Louisiana. In addition, BASF/Yara are projecting to commission an ammonia plant later in 2017 in Freeport, Texas and other companies and developers have announced plans to construct new ammonia and nitrogen facilities in the U.S. However, over the past few years, several ammonia projects have been canceled or not proceeded as a result of higher capital expenditure estimates than originally anticipated, among other reasons; therefore, we expect the U.S. will remain a net importer of ammonia.

Natural Gas Prices

Natural gas is the primary feedstock for our production of methanol and ammonia. Operating at full capacity, our methanol and ammonia production units together require approximately 110,000 to 120,000 MMBtu per day of natural gas, as of December 31, 2016. Accordingly, our profitability depends in large part on the cost of our natural gas feedstock, which approached seventeen-year lows at the beginning of 2016. In recent years, increased natural gas production from shale formations in the United States has increased domestic supplies of natural gas, resulting in a relatively low natural gas price environment. As a result, the competitive position of U.S. methanol and ammonia producers has been positively impacted relative to the competitive position of methanol and ammonia producers outside of the United States where the natural gas price environment is generally higher.

For the year ended December 31, 2016, natural gas feedstock costs represented approximately 54.5% of our total cost of goods sold (exclusive of depreciation), as compared to 49.3% during the year ended December 31, 2015. Set forth below is a table showing amount paid for natural gas, the quantity of MMBtus purchased and the average cost per MMBtu, for the periods presented.

	Amount spent on Natural Gas	Quantity Purchased Average Cost per MI		
	(in millions)	(in MMBtu)	\$/MMBtu	
Year-ended December 31,				
2016	\$97.6	37,921,992	\$2.57	
2015	\$81.7	29,959,223	\$2.73	
2014	\$130.6	28,897,731	\$4.52	

We have connections to one major interstate and three major intrastate natural gas pipelines that provide us access to significantly more natural gas supply than our facility requires and flexibility in sourcing our natural gas feedstock. We currently source natural gas from Kinder Morgan and Houston Pipe Line Company. In addition, our facility is connected to natural gas pipelines owned by Florida Gas Transmission and DCP Midstream Partners, LP. We believe that we have ready access to an abundant supply of natural gas for the foreseeable future due to our location and connectivity to major natural gas pipelines.

According to the Short-Term Energy Outlook published by the Energy Information Administration (the "EIA") in February 2017, the Henry Hub natural gas spot price is expected to average \$3.43 MMBtu during 2017 and \$3.70 MMBtu in 2018. The EIA projects that U.S. total natural gas consumption will decrease by approximately 1% in 2017 and increase by approximately 3% in 2018. In 2018, the electric power and industrial sectors are the main drivers of consumption growth. During the same time period, U.S. natural gas production is expected to increase by approximately 2% in 2017 and 6% in 2018. As natural gas is the feedstock for the majority of global methanol and ammonia production, having a low cost natural gas feedstock is a significant competitive advantage for U.S. producers.

Product Sales Contracts

We currently are party to methanol sales contracts with several customers, including but not limited to Methanex and Southern Chemical Distribution, L.L.C. One of our customers is obligated to use best efforts to purchase a certain quantity of methanol from us each year, but generally our customers may determine not to purchase any more methanol from us at any time and may purchase methanol from other suppliers. Consistent with industry practice, our methanol sales contracts set our pricing terms to reflect a specified discount to a published monthly benchmark methanol price (Argus or Southern Chemical), and our methanol is sold on an FOB shipping point basis when transported by barge, pipeline, and our methanol truck loading facility. The payment terms under our methanol sales contacts are net 25-30 days. For the year ended December 31, 2016, methanol sales contracts with Methanex and Koch Methanol LLC accounted for approximately 35% and 17%, respectively, of our total revenues.

We are party to ammonia sales contracts with several customers, including but not limited to Interoceanic Corporation ("IOC") and Lucite. Our customers have no minimum volume purchase obligations under these contracts, may determine not to purchase any more ammonia from us at any time and may purchase ammonia from other suppliers. Consistent with industry practice, these contracts set our pricing terms to reflect a specified discount to a published monthly benchmark ammonia price (CFR Tampa), and our ammonia is sold on an FOB shipping point basis when delivered by barge, pipeline, and our ammonia truck loading facility. The payment terms under our ammonia sales contacts are net 30 days. For the year ended December 31, 2016, ammonia sales contracts with IOC accounted for approximately 14% of our total revenues.

During the year ended December 31, 2016, we delivered approximately 53% of our total sales by barge, 40% of our total sales by pipeline, and approximately 7% of our total sales through our truck loading facilities. Facility Reliability

The amount of revenue we generate primarily depends on the sales and production volumes of methanol and ammonia. These volumes are primarily affected by the utilization rates of our production units, which is the total production volume for a production unit for a given period divided by the production capacity of that production unit. Production capacity is 907 metric tons per day for our ammonia production unit and 2,500 metric tons per day for our methanol production unit. Maintaining consistent, safe and reliable operations at our facility are critical to our financial performance and results of operations. Efficient production of methanol and ammonia requires reliable and stable operations at our facility due to the high costs associated with planned and unplanned downtime, which may result in lost margin opportunity, increased maintenance expense and a temporary decrease in working capital investment and related inventory position. As of December 31, 2016, we estimate for each day of unplanned downtime our lost opportunity cost to be approximately \$500,000 to \$600,000, per day. This estimate does not include the additional repair and maintenance costs associated with unplanned downtime.

We expect to perform maintenance turnarounds approximately every four years, which will typically last approximately four weeks and cost approximately \$24.0 million per turnaround. We will perform significant maintenance capital projects at our facility during a turnaround to minimize disruption to our operations and to maintain or improve reliability. We executed a turnaround as part of our debottlenecking project which was completed in April 2015. We expect that the next turnaround will occur in 2019.

Potential Impact of Final IRS Regulations Regarding Qualifying Income

In order to maintain our status as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes, 90% or more of our gross income in each tax year must be "qualifying income" under Section 7704 of the Code. If less than 90% of our gross income is qualifying income in any tax year, then we would be treated as a corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes for such tax year and all subsequent tax years.

Prior to our initial public offering, we requested and received a favorable private letter ruling from the IRS to the effect that the income derived from processing and marketing gasoline, liquefied petroleum gas, methanol and synthesis gas produced through the processing of natural gas would constitute qualifying income.

On January 24, 2017, the IRS and the U.S. Department of the Treasury published final Treasury Regulations promulgated under the Code that provide guidance regarding whether income earned from certain activities will constitute qualifying income. Pursuant to these final Treasury Regulations, income earned from the production and marketing of methanol and synthesis gas does not constitute qualifying income. These Treasury Regulations apply to taxable years beginning on or after January 19, 2017. We previously received a private letter ruling from the IRS concluding that the income we earn from the production and marketing of methanol and synthesis gas does constitute qualifying income, and we may continue to rely on this private letter ruling and treat such income as qualifying income during a ten-year transition period ending on the last day of our taxable year ending on or after January 19, 2027. This should allow us to maintain our treatment as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes and to continue to execute our business strategy during the ten-year transition period.

How We Evaluate Our Operations

Our management uses a variety of financial and operating metrics to analyze our performance. These metrics are significant factors in assessing our results of operations and profitability and include capacity utilization and EBITDA (as defined below). We view these metrics as important factors in evaluating our profitability and frequently review these measurements to analyze trends and make decisions.

Capacity Utilization

During the year ended December 31, 2016, our ammonia and methanol production units were in operation for 358 days and 340 days, respectively, as compared to 269 days and 272 days, respectively, during the year ended December 31, 2015. During the year ended December 31, 2016, our ammonia and methanol production units were shut down for 8 days and 26 days, respectively, due to an underground cooling water line leakage, repairs to our methanol reformer, an electrical power outage caused by our electrical power provider and repairs to our steam turbine of the syngas compressor. During the year ended December 31, 2015, our ammonia and methanol production units were shut down for 71 days and 82 days, respectively, in order to complete the debottlenecking project. We also experienced unplanned downtime after the completion of the debottlenecking project of approximately 25 days in the ammonia production unit and approximately 11 days in the methanol production unit due to an internal failure of the steam turbine and an electrical power outage caused by our electrical power provider. The steam turbine repairs in 2015 were covered under warranty.

We produced approximately 331,500 metric tons of ammonia and approximately 823,000 metric tons of methanol during the year ended December 31, 2016, representing capacity utilization rates of 100% and 90% for the ammonia and methanol production units, respectively, as compared to production of approximately 234,700 metric tons of ammonia and 652,300 metric tons of methanol during the year ended December 31, 2015, representing capacity utilization rates of 89% and 94% for the ammonia and methanol production units (excluding planned downtime associated with the debottlenecking project), respectively. During 2015, ammonia capacity utilization rates were lower than the comparable period due to the four-week ramp up of production once the ammonia production unit was restarted after the completion of the debottlenecking project. We began startup of the ammonia production facility on April 9, 2015 and reached daily ammonia production design capacity of 907 metric tons on May 5, 2015. During 2015, methanol capacity utilization rates were higher than the comparable period due to reduction in unplanned downtime from the reliability upgrades executed during the debottlenecking project.

EBITDA

EBITDA is defined as net income (loss) plus (i) interest expense and other financing costs, (ii) depreciation expense, (iii) income tax expense and (iv) net loss on extinguishment of debt. EBITDA is used as a supplemental financial measure by management and by external users of our financial statements, such as investors and commercial banks, to assess:

the financial performance of our assets without regard to financing methods, capital structure or historical cost basis;

our operating performance and return on invested capital compared to those of other publicly traded partnerships, without regard to financing methods and capital structure.

EBITDA should not be considered an alternative to net income (loss), operating income (loss), net cash provided by operating activities or any other measure of financial performance or liquidity presented in accordance with GAAP. EBITDA may have material limitations as a performance measure because it excludes items that are necessary elements of our costs and operations. In addition, EBITDA presented by other companies may not be comparable to our presentation because each company may define EBITDA differently.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Comparison of the Results of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2016 and 2015:

Revenues

For the Years

Ended

December 31,

2016 2015

(in thousands)

Total revenues \$258,229 \$309,443

For the Year For the Year Ended Ended

December 31, December 31,

2016 2015

Metric TRavenue Metric Travenue (in thousands) (in thousands)

Revenues:

Ammonia 325.1 \$83,978 234.2 \$99,443 Methanol 818.7 174,236 644.8 209,654 Other — 15 — 346 Total 1,143.8 \$258,229 879.0 \$309,443

Our total revenues were approximately \$258 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 compared to approximately \$309 million for the year ended December 31, 2015. Our methanol revenues were approximately \$174 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 compared to approximately \$210 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, which is a 17% decrease. The decrease in methanol revenues is due to a decrease in the average sales price per metric ton of methanol, partially offset by higher methanol sales volumes. Our ammonia revenues were approximately \$84 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 compared to approximately \$99 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, representing a 15% decrease. The decrease in ammonia revenues is due to a decrease in the average sales price per metric ton of ammonia, partially offset by higher ammonia sales volumes for the year ended December 31, 2016 compared to the year ended December 31, 2015.

We sold approximately 818,745 metric tons of methanol during the year ended December 31, 2016 compared to approximately 644,819 metric tons of methanol during the year ended December 31, 2015, which represents an increase of 27%. The increase in methanol sales volumes was due to the corresponding increase in methanol production volumes, due to the production capacity additions from the debottlenecking project. In addition, during the year ended December 31, 2015, our methanol production unit was shut down for 82 days in order to complete the debottlenecking project. The average sales prices per metric ton of methanol sold during the year ended December 31, 2016 was \$213 per metric ton compared to \$325 per metric ton for the year ended December 31, 2015, representing a decrease of 34%. During 2016, increases in global supply, from the commissioning of new methanol capacity additions in North America and increases in global production utilization rates, outpaced demand growth leading to a decline in our average methanol sales price. Sales of methanol comprised approximately 67.5% of our total revenues for the year ended December 31, 2016 compared to 67.8% of our total revenues for the year ended December 31, 2015.

Table of Contents

Set forth below is a table showing average methanol sales prices per metric ton, per quarter for the previous twelve fiscal quarters.

Average Methanol Sales

Prices

2016 2015 2014

For the Three-Months Ended:

March 31 \$189 \$366 \$529 June 30 \$192 \$362 \$470 September 30 \$214 \$330 \$394 December 31 \$257 \$282 \$405

We sold approximately 325,155 metric tons of ammonia during the year ended December 31, 2016 compared to approximately 234,186 metric tons of ammonia during the year ended December 31, 2015, which represents an increase of 39%. The increase in ammonia sales volumes was due to the corresponding increase in ammonia production volumes, due to the production capacity additions from the debottlenecking project. In addition, during the year ended December 31, 2015, our ammonia production unit was shut down for 71 days in order to complete the debottlenecking project. The average sales prices per metric ton of ammonia sold during the year ended December 31, 2016 was \$258 per metric ton compared to \$425 per metric ton for the year ended December 31, 2015, which represents a decrease of 39%. The price decrease is attributed to global supply and demand variations. Prices have also been affected by additional nitrogen fertilizer production brought on line in North America during 2015 and 2016. Sales of ammonia comprised approximately 32.5% of our total revenues for the year ended December 31, 2016 compared to 32.1% of our total revenues for the year ended December 31, 2015.

Set forth below is a table showing average ammonia sales prices per metric ton, per quarter for the previous twelve fiscal quarters.

Average

Ammonia Sales

Prices

2016 2015 2014

For the Three-Months Ended:

 March 31
 \$295
 \$509
 \$408

 June 30
 \$301
 \$447
 \$511

 September 30
 \$235
 \$418
 \$508

 December 31
 \$199
 \$378
 \$568

Cost of Sales (exclusive of depreciation)

For the Year Ended For the Year Ended December 31, 2016 December 31, 2015 \$ in thousandsof Total \$ in thousandsof Total Natural Gas \$97,616 % \$81,710 49.3 54.5 Hydrogen 12.3 % 18,637 22,061 11.2 % % 7,743 Nitrogen 10,194 5.7 4.7 % Maintenance 17,520 9.8 % 22,952 13.8 % Labor 16,064 % 18,795 11.3 9.0 % Other 15.614 % 15,979 9.7 8.7 % Total \$179,069 100.0 % \$165,816 100.0 %

Cost of goods sold (exclusive of depreciation) was approximately \$179 million and 69% of revenue for the year ended December 31, 2016 compared to cost of goods sold (exclusive of depreciation) of approximately \$166 million and 54% of revenues for the year ended December 31, 2015. This increase in cost of goods sold (exclusive of depreciation) for the year ended December 31, 2016 compared to the year ended December 31, 2015 was primarily due to an increase in our raw material consumption due to the increased production capacity from the execution of our

debottlenecking project. Our purchase price for natural gas decreased from an average of \$2.73 per MMBtu for the year ended December 31, 2015 to an average of \$2.57 per MMBtu for the year ended December 31, 2016, a decrease of 6%.

Set forth below is a table showing our purchase price for natural gas per MMBtu, per quarter for the previous twelve fiscal quarters.

_	Natural Gas Purchase Price			
	2016	2015	2014	
For the Three-Months Ende	d:			
March 31	\$ 2.13	\$ 3.15	\$ 5.07	
June 30	\$ 2.13	\$ 2.87	\$ 4.66	
September 30	\$ 2.88	\$ 2.88	\$ 4.28	
December 31	\$ 3.10	\$ 2.32	\$ 4.07	
Depreciation Expense				

Depreciation expense was approximately \$61.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 compared to approximately \$49.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, which represents an increase of 24%. This increase was due to the assets placed in service during mid 2015 from to the completion of the debottlenecking project.

Selling, General and Administrative Expense

Selling, general and administrative expenses were approximately \$15.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 compared to approximately \$16.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, which represents a decrease of 6%. The decrease in selling, general and administrative expenses was primarily due to a decrease in our insurance expense and property taxes.

Our selling, general and administrative expenses—related party were approximately \$4.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 compared to approximately \$4.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2015.

Interest Expense

Interest expense was approximately \$45.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 compared to \$20.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2015. During the year ended December 31, 2016, interest expense was higher than the prior year as the result of amendments to our Term Loan B Credit Facility agreement and Revolving Credit Facility Agreement that resulted in higher interest rates. We capitalized \$8.6 million of interest expense during the year ended December 31, 2015. No interest was capitalized during the year ended December 31, 2016. Capitalized interest costs are determined by applying a weighted average interest rate paid on borrowings to the average amount of accumulated capital expenditures in the period. This decrease in capitalized interest is due to the completion of the debottlenecking project.

Interest expense—related party was approximately \$1.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 compared to \$203,000 for the year ended December 31, 2015. During the year ended December 31, 2016, interest expense—related party was higher than the prior year as the result of \$200.0 million in borrowings on November 30, 2016 under the Term Loan Facility—Related Party to prepay a portion of the Term Loan B Credit Facility.

Comparison of the Results of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2015 and 2014:

Revenues

For the Years Ended

December 31, 2015 2014

(in thousands)

Total revenues \$309,443 \$402,780

For the Year For the Year Ended Ended December 31, December 31,

2015 2014

Metric Revenue (in thousands) (in thousands)

Revenues:

Ammonia 234.2 \$99,443 252.2 \$126,808

Methanol—Procured — — —

Methanol—Produc**64**4.8 209,654 614.3 275,553 Other — 346 — 419 Total 879.0 \$309,443 866.5 \$402,780

Our total revenues were approximately \$309 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to approximately \$403 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. Our methanol revenues were approximately \$210 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to approximately \$276 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, which is a 24% decrease. The decrease in methanol revenues is attributed to the 28% decrease in the average sales price per metric ton of methanol. Our ammonia revenues were approximately \$99 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to approximately \$127 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, representing a 22% decrease. The decrease in ammonia revenues is attributed to the 16% decrease in the average sales price per metric ton of ammonia and to the 7% decrease in ammonia sales volumes for the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to the year ended December 31, 2014.

We sold approximately 644,819 metric tons of methanol during the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to approximately 614,213 metric tons of methanol during the year ended December 31, 2014. The increase in methanol sales volumes was due to the corresponding increase in methanol production volumes. The average sales prices per metric ton of methanol sold during the year ended December 31, 2015 was \$325 per metric ton compared to \$449 per metric ton for the year ended December 31, 2014, representing a decrease of 28%. During early 2014, our average methanol sales price was elevated due to global supply disruptions caused by production issues, natural gas supply restrictions or, in some cases, higher natural gas prices. During 2015, increases in global supply, from the commissioning of new methanol capacity additions in North America and increases in global production utilization rates, outpaced demand growth leading to a decline in our average methanol sales price. Sales of methanol comprised approximately 67.8% of our total revenues for the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to 68.4% of our total revenues for the year ended December 31, 2014.

Set forth below is a table showing average methanol sales prices per metric ton, per quarter for the previous twelve fiscal quarters.

Average Methanol Sales

Prices

2015 2014 2013

For the Three-Months Ended:

March 31 \$366 \$529 \$411 June 30 \$362 \$470 \$443 September 30 December 31

\$330 \$394 \$440 \$282 \$405 \$485

We sold approximately 234,186 metric tons of ammonia during the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to approximately 252,208 metric tons of ammonia during the year ended December 31, 2014, which represents a decrease of 7%. The average sales prices per metric ton of ammonia sold during the year ended December 31, 2015 was \$425 per metric ton compared to \$503 per metric ton for the year ended December 31, 2014, which represents a decrease of 16%. The price decrease is attributed to global supply and demand variations. Prices have also been affected by additional nitrogen fertilizer production brought on line in North America during 2015. Sales of ammonia comprised approximately 32.1% of our total revenues for the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to 31.5% of our total revenues for the year ended December 31, 2014.

Set forth below is a table showing average ammonia sales prices per metric ton, per quarter for the previous twelve fiscal quarters.

Average Ammonia Sales Prices 2015 2014 2013

For the Three-Months Ended:

March 31\$509\$408\$641June 30\$447\$511\$570September 30\$418\$508\$471December 31\$378\$568\$453

Cost of Sales (exclusive of depreciation)

	,					
	For the Year Ended		For the Year Ended			
	December 31, 2015			December 31, 2014		
	\$ in thousa	uWdsof T	otal	\$ in thousa	uWdsof '	Total
Natural Gas	\$81,710	49.3	%	\$130,613	59.6	%
Hydrogen	18,637	11.2	%	24,514	11.2	%
Nitrogen	7,743	4.7	%	6,354	2.9	%
Maintenance	22,952	13.8	%	27,287	12.5	%
Labor	18,795	11.3	%	15,890	7.3	%
Other	15,979	9.7	%	14,137	6.5	%
Total	\$165,816	100.0	%	\$218,795	100.0	%

Cost of goods sold (exclusive of depreciation) was approximately \$166 million and 54% of revenue for the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to cost of goods sold (exclusive of depreciation) of approximately \$219 million and 54% of revenues for the year ended December 31, 2014. This decrease in cost of goods sold (exclusive of depreciation) for the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to the year ended December 31, 2014 was primarily due to a decrease in natural gas prices. Our purchase price for natural gas decreased from an average of \$4.52 per MMBtu for the year ended December 31, 2014 to an average of \$2.73 per MMBtu for the year ended December 31, 2015, a decrease of 40%. The decrease in natural gas prices was primarily driven by record inventory levels and production growth during 2015.

Set forth below is a table showing our purchase price for natural gas per MMBtu, per quarter for the previous twelve fiscal quarters.

_	Natural Gas Purchase Price			
	2015	2014	2013	
For the Three-Months Ended:				
March 31	\$ 3.15	\$ 5.07	\$ 3.46	
June 30	\$ 2.87	\$ 4.66	\$ 4.20	
September 30	\$ 2.88	\$ 4.28	\$ 3.74	
December 31	\$ 2.32	\$ 4.07	\$ 3.72	

Table of Contents

During the year ended December 31, 2015, labor costs increased by 18%, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2014, due to the execution of additional repair and maintenance tasks to take advantage of our facility being offline during the planned downtime associated with the debottlenecking project.

Depreciation Expense

Depreciation expense was approximately \$49.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to approximately \$23.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, which represents an increase of 115%. This increase was due to the assets placed in service during mid 2015 due to the completion of the debottlenecking project. Selling, General and Administrative Expense

Selling, general and administrative expenses were approximately \$16.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to approximately \$17.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2014.

Our selling, general and administrative expenses—related party were approximately \$4.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to approximately \$4.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. Interest Expense

Interest expense was approximately \$20.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to \$18.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. During the year ended December 31, 2015, interest expense was higher than the prior year as the result of increased borrowings and amendments to our Term Loan B Credit Facility agreement that resulted in higher interest rates. We capitalized \$8.6 million of interest expense during the year ended December 31, 2015, as compared to \$6.4 million of interest capitalized during the year ended December 31, 2014. Capitalized interest costs are determined by applying a weighted average interest rate paid on borrowings to the average amount of accumulated capital expenditures in the period. This increase in capitalized interest is due to the commencement of the debottlenecking project.

Interest expense—related party was approximately \$0.2 million for both the year ended December 31, 2015 and the year ended December 31, 2014. During 2014 and 2015, interest expense—related party was the commitment fee on the unused portion of the Original Revolving Credit Facility—Related Party.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Our principal liquidity requirements are to finance current operations, pay distributions to our partners, fund capital expenditures and service our debt. We believe that our current and expected sources of liquidity will be adequate to fund these operating needs and capital expenditures for the next 12 months. Our sources of liquidity include cash flow from operations, cash on hand, the Revolving Credit Facility and the Revolving Credit Facility—Related Party described below. However, our future capital expenditures and other cash requirements could be higher than we currently anticipate as a result of various factors. Additionally, our ability to generate sufficient cash from our operating activities depends on our future performance, which is subject to general economic, political, financial, competitive and other factors outside our control.

During 2016, OCIB borrowed \$200.0 million under the Term Loan Facility—Related Party to prepay a portion of the Term Loan B Credit Facility; therefore, the Term Loan Facility—Related Party is fully utilized.

Under our current cash distribution policy, we intend to distribute 100% of the cash available for distribution that we generate each quarter. Please read Item 5—"Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Unitholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities" included in this report for additional information.

Depending on the needs of our business, we may for time to time seek to issue additional common units, incur additional debt, modify the terms of our existing debt, or otherwise refinance our existing debt. There can be no assurance that we will be able to do any of the foregoing on terms acceptable to us or at all.

Credit Facilities

Described below are the credit facilities under which OCIB had available borrowing capacity as of December 31, 2016. Please read "Risk Factors—Risks Related to Our Business—To the extent our ability to borrow under our existing credit facilities is limited or restricted, our liquidity may be insufficient to meet the operational and financial needs of our business", Item 8—"Financial Statements and Supplementary Data", note 6 and note 14 to the consolidated financial statements included in this report for additional information relating to OCIB's credit facilities.

Term Loan B Credit Facility

On August 20, 2013, OCIB, as borrower, and OCI USA, as guarantor, entered into a senior secured term loan credit facility (as supplemented by a credit agreement joinder, dated as of October 18, 2013, under which the Partnership became a party to such credit facility as a guarantor, and as subsequently amended through and in effect as of December 31, 2016, the "Term Loan B Credit Facility") with a syndicate of institutional lenders and investors and Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent. As of December 31, 2016, the principal outstanding under the Term Loan B Credit Facility was \$236.3 million. Interest on the Term Loan B Credit Facility accrues, at OCIB's option, at adjusted LIBOR plus 6.75% per annum or the alternate base rate (as each such term is defined in the Term Loan B Credit Facility), plus 5.75%.

Although we do not have any additional committed capacity from identified lenders or investors, as of December 31, 2016, we had capacity to incur another \$50.0 million under the Term Loan B Credit Facility in the form of an additional incremental facility, subject to receiving commitments from lenders to provide such an additional amount. Furthermore, the Term Loan B Credit Facility contains customary covenants and conditions based on the maintenance of certain senior secured net leverage ratios and interest coverage ratios (see note 6 to the consolidated financial statements for a complete description). As a result of such covenants, we will be limited in the manner in which we conduct our business, and we may be unable to engage in favorable business activities or finance future operations or capital needs. In addition, to the extent that we are unable to refinance our debt at maturity on favorable terms, or at all, our ability to fund our operations and our ability to make cash distributions could be adversely affected. Upon the occurrence of certain events of default under the Term Loan B Credit Facility, OCIB's obligations under the Term Loan B Credit Facility may be accelerated which could impair our ability to fund our operations and our ability to make cash distributions.

Revolving Credit Facility

On April 4, 2014, OCIB as borrower, the Partnership as a guarantor, Bank of America, N.A. as administrative agent and a syndicate of lenders entered into a revolving credit agreement (as subsequently amended through and in effect as of December 31, 2016, the "Revolving Credit Facility"), with an initial aggregate borrowing capacity of up to \$40.0 million (less any amounts borrowed under the Revolving Credit Facility—Related Party (as defined below)), including a \$20.0 million sublimit for letters of credit. The Revolving Credit Facility has a one-year term that may be extended for additional one-year periods subject to the consent of the lenders. As of December 31, 2016, outstanding principal amounts under the Revolving Credit Facility bear interest at OCIB's option at either LIBOR plus a margin of 3.50% or a base rate plus a margin of 2.50%. OCIB pays a commitment fee of 1.40% per annum on the unused portion of the Revolving Credit Facility. OCIB is required to repay in full all outstanding revolving loans under the Revolving Credit Facility on the last business day of each fiscal quarter. After giving effect to Amendment No. 6 on January 4, 2017, outstanding principal amounts under the Revolving Credit Facility bear interest at OCIB's option at either LIBOR plus a margin of 4.75% or a base rate plus a margin of 3.75% (see note 14 to the consolidated financial statements for a complete description).

Due to the \$35.0 million of borrowings under the Revolving Credit Facility—Related Party, as of December 31, 2016, we had \$5.0 million in additional committed capacity under the Revolving Credit Facility. The Revolving Credit Facility contains customary covenants and conditions based on the maintenance of certain senior secured net leverage ratios and interest coverage ratios (see note 6 to the consolidated financial statements for a more detailed description). As a result of such covenants, we will be limited in the manner in which we conduct our business and our ability to finance future operations or capital needs. In addition, to the extent that we are unable to refinance our debt at maturity on favorable terms, or at all, our ability to fund our operations and our ability to make cash distributions could be adversely affected. Upon the occurrence of certain events of default under the Revolving Credit Facility, OCIB's obligations under the Revolving Credit Facility may be accelerated which could impair our ability to fund our operations and our ability to make cash distributions. As of December 31, 2016, OCIB had no amounts outstanding under the Revolving Credit Facility.

Revolving Credit Facility—Related Party

On September 15, 2016, the previously existing \$40.0 million intercompany revolving facility agreement between OCIB and OCI Fertilizer (the "Original Revolving Credit Facility—Related Party") was terminated and replaced with a revolving credit facility—related party agreement with OCI USA (the "Revolving Credit Facility—Related Party"), which became effective on November 30, 2016. The Revolving Credit Facility—Related Party has a borrowing capacity of \$40.0 million and a maturity date of January 20, 2020. The amount that can be drawn under the Revolving Credit Facility—Related Party is limited by the Revolving Credit Facility to \$40.0 million minus the amount of indebtedness outstanding under the Revolving Credit Facility. Borrowings under the Revolving Credit Facility—Related Party bear interest at a rate equal to the sum of (i) the rate per annum applicable to the Revolving Credit Facility (as such rate may fluctuate from time to time in accordance with the terms of the agreement governing the Revolving Credit Facility—Related Party on the undrawn available portion at a rate of 0.5% per annum, which is included as a component of interest expense—related party on the consolidated statements of operations. The Revolving Credit Facility—Related Party is subordinated to indebtedness under the Term Loan B Credit Facility and the Revolving Credit Facility—Related Party. Term Loan Facility—Related Party

On September 15, 2016, the previously existing intercompany term facility between OCIB and OCI Fertilizer (the "Original Term Loan Facility—Related Party") was terminated and replaced with a term loan facility—related party agreement with OCI USA. On November 30, 2016, the term loan facility—related party agreement was amended and restated (the "Term Loan Facility—Related Party") to provide for the option by OCIB to pay PIK Interest (as described below). The Term Loan Facility—Related Party became effective on November 30, 2016 and has a borrowing capacity of \$200.0 million and a maturity date of January 20, 2020. Borrowings under the Term Loan Facility—Related Party are subordinated to the Term B Loans under the Term Loan B Credit Facility and the Revolving Credit Facility.

Borrowings under the Term Loan Facility—Related Party bear interest at a rate equal to the sum of (i) the rate per

annum applicable to the Term B Loans (as such rate may fluctuate from time to time in accordance with the terms of the agreement governing the Term Loan B Credit Facility) plus (ii) 0.25%. Such interest is payable on or before the date that is two business days after each payment of interest under the Term Loan B Credit Facility either, at the election of OCIB, (i) in cash or (ii) in-kind ("PIK Interest") on which date (in the case of PIK Interest) such accrued interest shall be added to the principal amount of the loan outstanding and accrue interest as set forth in the Term Loan Facility—Related Party. On November 30, 2016, OCIB borrowed \$200.0 million under the Term Loan Facility—Related Party to prepay a portion of the Term Loan B Credit Facility. Due to the \$200.0 million of borrowings outstanding under the Term Loan Facility—Related Party as of December 31, 2016, we have no amounts available to us under the Term Loan Facility—Related Party.

Intercompany Equity Contributions

On November 27, 2013, we and OCI USA entered into a letter agreement providing for OCI USA's obligation to make equity contributions to us under certain circumstances (the "Intercompany Equity Commitment"). On November 10, 2014, OCIP Holding made a \$60.0 million capital contribution pursuant to the Intercompany Equity Commitment in exchange for 2,995,372 common units. On April 17, 2015, OCIP Holding, made an additional \$60.0 million capital contribution, which consisted of \$40.0 million drawn under the Intercompany Equity Commitment and an additional \$20.0 million cash contribution, in exchange for 3,502,218 common units. Due to the capital contributions by OCIP Holding on November 10, 2014 and April 17, 2015, and the completion of the debottlenecking project, OCI USA has no further obligation to make equity contributions to us under the Intercompany Equity Commitment. Debt Ratings

On August 4, 2016, Moody's Investor Service downgraded our corporate family credit rating and our Term Loan B Credit Facility rating to "B2" from "B1" and revised its outlook to negative. On September 9, 2016, Standard & Poor's Global Ratings lowered its corporate credit rating to "CCC+" from "B", lowered its rating on the Term Loan B Credit Facility to "B-" from "B+" and affirmed its stable outlook.

On December 13, 2016, Moody's Investor Service affirmed our corporate family credit rating at "B2", revised its outlook to stable and raised the rating on our Term Loan B Credit Facility to a "Ba3" from "B2". On December 22, 2016, Standard & Poor's Global Ratings affirmed its corporate credit rating at "CCC+", revised its outlook to positive from stable and raised its rating on the Term Loan B Credit Facility to "B".

Our ability to obtain additional external financing and the related cost of borrowing may be affected by our debt ratings, which are periodically reviewed by the major credit rating agencies. The ratings are subject to change or withdrawal at any time by the respective credit rating agencies.

Capital Expenditures

We divide our capital expenditures into two categories: maintenance capital expenditures and expansion capital expenditures. Maintenance capital expenditures are capital expenditures (including expenditures for the addition or improvement to, or the replacement of, our capital assets or for the acquisition of existing or the construction or development of new capital assets) made to maintain, including over the long term, our production capacity, operating income or asset base (including capital expenditures relating to turnarounds), or to comply with environmental, health, safety or other regulations. Maintenance capital expenditures that are required to comply with regulations may also improve the output, efficiency or reliability of our facility. Major maintenance capital expenditures that extend the life or improve the safety or efficiency of the asset are capitalized and amortized over the period of expected benefits. Routine maintenance costs are expensed as incurred. A turnaround is capitalized and amortized over a four year period, which is the time lapse between turnarounds. Expansion capital expenditures are capital expenditures incurred for acquisitions or capital improvements that we expect will increase our production capacity, operating income or asset base over the long term. Expansion capital expenditures are capitalized and amortized over the period of expected benefits.

For the year ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, we recorded approximately \$7.5 million and \$56.3 million, respectively, in maintenance capital expenditures related to the turnaround, our capital spares project and other budgeted maintenance capital projects. We expect to perform maintenance turnarounds approximately every four years, which will typically last approximately four weeks and cost approximately \$24.0 million per turnaround. We will perform significant maintenance capital projects at our facility during a turnaround to minimize disruption to our operations. We will capitalize the costs related to these projects as property, plant and equipment and will classify the amounts as maintenance capital expenditures. We executed a turnaround as part of our debottlenecking project which was completed in April 2015. The next turnaround is now expected to occur in 2019.

Our expansion capital expenditures totaled approximately \$125.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 for expenditures related to our debottlenecking project and other budgeted capital projects. Please read Item 1—"Overview—Our Debottlenecking Project" for total expenditures already incurred. We did not have any expansion capital expenditures during the year ended December 31, 2016.

Working Capital

Working capital is the amount by which total current assets exceed total current liabilities. Our working capital requirements have been, and we expect will continue to be, primarily driven by changes in accounts receivable and accounts payable. Factors impacting changes in accounts receivable and accounts payable could include the timing of collections from customers, payments to suppliers, as well as the level of spending for capital expenditures and changes in the market prices of raw materials that we purchase in the normal course of business.

Working capital at December 31, 2016 was a deficit of \$39.8 million, consisting of \$42.4 million in total current assets and \$82.2 million in total current liabilities. Working capital at December 31, 2015 was a deficit of \$16.5 million, consisting of \$57.7 million in total current assets and \$74.2 million in total current liabilities. The decrease in working capital as of December 31, 2016 was primarily due to the April 2016 cash distribution payment to common unitholders for the period October 1, 2015 through December 31, 2015.

CASH FLOWS

Our profits, operating cash flows and cash available for distribution are subject to changes in the prices of our products and natural gas, which is our primary feedstock. Our products and feedstocks are commodities and, as such, their prices can be volatile in response to numerous factors outside of our control.

The following table summarizes our consolidated statements of cash flows:

For the Year Ended December 31, 2016 2015 (in thousands)

Net cash provided by (used in):

Operating activities 31,612 100,949
Investing activities (6,761) (221,037)
Financing activities (30,009) 61,516
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents \$(5,158) \$(58,572)

Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2016 was \$31.6 million. We had a net loss of \$50.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2016. During this period, we recorded depreciation expense of \$61.4 million and amortization of debt issuance costs of \$11.6 million. Accounts receivable, which is approximately equal to one month of revenue, decreased by \$6.4 million during the year ended December 31, 2016. The decrease in accounts receivable is due to a decrease in the realized methanol and ammonia sales prices in December 2016 as compared to December 2015. Third party sales decreased to \$22.0 million in December 2016 as compared to \$29.1 million in December 2015. Accounts receivable—related party decreased by \$3.9 million due to the expiration of our agreement with OCI Fertilizer Trade & Supply B.V. which expired in February 2016. Inventories increased by \$1.6 million due to an increase in raw materials costs and an increase in end of year ammonia inventory volumes. Other non-current assets, other current assets and prepaid expenses decreased by \$2.0 million due to a decrease in insurance policy premiums and the timing of the receipt and payment of our industrial property tax invoice. Other payables, accruals and current liabilities (excluding non-cash accruals of property, plant and equipment) decreased by \$4.7 million due to the reduction of financed insurance policies premiums and the payment of a long-term incentive bonus paid to all non-executive employees in January 2016. Please read note 9 – "Retention Bonus Plan" to the consolidated financial statements included in this report for additional information. Accrued interest—related party increased by \$1.8 million due to the \$200.0 million of borrowing under the Term Loan Facility—Related Party that occurred on November 30, 2016. Please read note 6—"Debt" to the consolidated financial statements included in this report for additional information.

Net cash provided by operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2015 was \$100.9 million. We had net income of \$52.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2015. During this period, we recorded depreciation expense of \$49.7 million and amortization of debt issuance costs of \$3.7 million. Accounts receivable, which is approximately equal to one month of revenue, decreased by \$7.3 million during the year ended December 31, 2015. The decrease in accounts receivable is due to a decrease in the realized methanol and ammonia sales prices in December 2015 as compared to December 2014. Third party sales decreased to \$29.1 million in December 2015 as compared to \$37.8 million in December 2014. Accounts receivable—related party increased by \$5.2 million as there were no related party sales during the year-ended December 31, 2014. Accounts payable (excluding non-cash accruals of property, plant and equipment) decreased by \$2.1 million due to settlements of obligations to our suppliers. Accounts payable—related party (excluding non-cash accruals of property, plant and equipment) increased by \$1.5 million. Other payables, accruals and current liabilities increased by \$1.7 million due to long-term incentive bonus that was recorded during 2015 and paid out in January 2016. Accrued interest (excluding capitalized interest) decreased by \$7.5 million due to the capitalization of \$8.6 million in interest expense in connection with the debottlenecking project.

Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities was approximately \$6.8 million and \$221.0 million, respectively, for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015. Net additions of property, plant, equipment and construction in progress were higher during the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2016 due to the expenditures associated with the debottlenecking project.

Financing Activities

Net cash used in financing activities was approximately \$30.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2016. During the year ended December 31, 2016, we received \$69.5 million in proceeds from the Revolving Credit Facility and subsequently repaid \$94.5 million, leaving no amounts outstanding under the Revolving Credit Facility—Related Party and subsequently repaid \$34.2 million, leaving \$35.0 million outstanding under the Revolving Credit Facility—Related Party as of December 31, 2016. We received \$200.0 million in proceeds from the Term Loan Facility—Related Party and repaid borrowings of \$204.5 million on the Term Loan B Credit Facility. We paid cash distributions to unitholders of \$33.1 million and paid \$2.0 million in deferred financing costs associated with Amendment No. 6 and No. 7 to the Term Loan B Credit Facility and Amendment No. 4 and 5 to the Revolving Credit Facility. Please read note 6—"Debt" to the consolidated financial statements included in this report for additional information.

Net cash provided by financing activities was approximately \$61.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2015. During the year ended December 31, 2015, we received \$40.0 million in proceeds from the Revolving Credit Facility, we received \$50.0 million from the incremental term loan under the Term Loan B Credit Facility, we repaid borrowings of \$4.2 million on the Term B Loan Credit Facility and \$15.0 million on the Revolving Credit Facility, remitted \$0.3 million of the transferred trade receivables to OCI USA and paid cash distributions to unitholders of \$63.2 million. We paid \$5.7 million in deferred financing costs associated with Amendment No 4 and Amendment No. 5 to the Term Loan B Credit Facility, Amendment No. 2 to the Revolving Credit Facility and the Incremental Term Loan Commitment Agreement. Please read note 6—"Debt" to the consolidated financial statements included in this report for additional information. We also received a capital contribution of \$60.0 million from OCIP Holding, \$40.0 million of which was pursuant to the Intercompany Equity Commitment. Please read note 7—"Related-Party Transactions—Other Transactions with Related Parties—Equity Commitment Agreement" to the consolidated financial statements included in this report for additional information.

CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

The following table lists our significant contractual obligations and their future payments at December 31, 2016:

Contractual Obligations	Total	Less than	1-3	3-5	More than
Contractual Congations	Total	1 Year	Years	Years	5 Years
	(in thous	ands)			
Term Loan B Credit Facility—Principal Payments	236,305	4,480	231,825		_
Term Loan Facility—Related Party—Principal Payments	200,000	_	_	200,000	_
Revolving Credit Facility—Related Party—Principal Payr	n ∂5t, 000			35,000	_
Interest Payments on third party debt(1)	50,251	19,675	30,576	_	_
Interest Payments on related party debt	56,782	18,603	37,161	1,018	_
Hydrogen supply contract(2)	6,250	6,250	_	_	_
Natural gas supply contract(2)	11,000	11,000	_	_	
Nitrogen supply contract(2)	55,215	7,362	22,086	22,086	3,681
Purchase commitments	14,514	14,514	_	_	
Total	665,317	81,884	321,648	258,104	3,681

⁽¹⁾ Interest rate on floating rate debt is based on the rate of 6.75% plus adjusted LIBOR for the Term Loan B Credit Facility and 3.50% plus LIBOR for the Revolving Credit Facility.

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

We have no material off-balance sheet arrangements.

RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

Refer to note 3 to the consolidated financial statements, "New Accounting Pronouncements," to our Consolidated Financial Statements as set forth in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the dates of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Accuracy of estimates is based on the accuracy of information used. Described below are the most significant policies we apply in preparing our financial statements, some of which are subject to alternative treatments under GAAP. We also describe the most significant estimates and assumptions we make in applying these policies. Our accounting policies are described in the notes to our financial statements included in Item 8 of this report. Trade Accounts Receivable. Trade accounts receivable are recorded at the invoiced amount and do not bear interest. We maintain a customer specific allowance for doubtful accounts for estimated losses inherent in our accounts receivable portfolio. In establishing the required allowance, management considers customers' financial condition, the amount of receivables in dispute, the current receivables aging and current payment patterns. We review our allowance for doubtful accounts monthly. Past due balances over 90 days and over a specified amount are reviewed individually for collectability. Account balances are charged off against the allowance after all means of collection have been exhausted and the potential for recovery is considered remote. There was no allowance for doubtful accounts and no bad debt write-offs during the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015. We do not have any off-balance-sheet credit exposure related to our customers.

Quantities of feedstock to be purchased are subject to change based on our current and expected production, market dynamics and market reports

Property, Plant and Equipment. Property, plant and equipment are stated at cost. Depreciation on plant and equipment is calculated on the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. The estimated useful lives used in computing depreciation and amortization expense are based on estimates of the period over which the assets will be of economic benefit to us. Estimated lives are based on historical experience, manufacturers' or engineering estimates, valuation or appraisal estimates and future business plans. Factors affecting the fair value of our assets may also affect the estimated useful lives of our assets and these factors can change. Therefore, we review the depreciable lives assigned to our property, plant and equipment on a periodic basis, and change our estimates to reflect the results of those reviews.

The estimated useful lives of our assets are as follows:

Asset

Useful Lives, in Years

Buildings

Machinery and equipment 4 - 15

Automotive equipment

5

Furniture and fixtures

Maintenance Activities. We incur maintenance costs on our facilities and equipment. Routine repair and maintenance costs are expensed as incurred. For the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, we expensed approximately \$17.5 million and \$23.0 million, respectively, of routine repair and maintenance costs. Major maintenance capital expenditures that extend the life, increase the capacity or improve the safety or efficiency of the asset are capitalized and amortized over the period of expected benefits. Plant turnarounds are performed to help ensure the long-term reliability and safety of integrated plant machinery at our continuous process production facility.

Preceding a turnaround, facilities experience decreased efficiency in resource conversion to finished products. Replacement or overhaul of equipment and items such as compressors, turbines, pumps, motors, valves, piping and other parts that have an estimated useful life of at least four years, the internal assessment of production equipment, replacement of aged catalysts, and new installation/recalibration of measurement and control devices result in increased production output and/or improved plant efficiency after the turnaround. Turnaround activities are betterments that either extend equipment useful life, or increase the output and/or efficiency. As a result, we follow the deferral method of accounting for major maintenance costs; and thus, expenditures associated with the turnaround are capitalized as property, plant and equipment and amortized over a four year period, which is the time lapse between turnarounds. Should the estimated period between turnarounds change, we may be required to amortize the remaining cost of the turnaround over a shorter period, which would lead to higher depreciation and amortization costs. For the year ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, we capitalized approximately \$7.5 million and \$56.3 million, respectively, in maintenance capital expenditures related to the turnaround, our capital spares project and other budgeted maintenance capital projects.

We classify deferred maintenance cost as an investing activity under the caption "Purchase of property, plant, and equipment" in the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows, since this cash outflow relates to expenditures related to long-lived productive assets. Repair, maintenance and related labor costs are expensed as incurred and are included in operating cash flows.

Commitments and Contingencies. Liabilities for loss contingencies, including environmental remediation costs not within the scope of Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 410, Asset Retirement and Environmental Obligations, arising from claims, assessments, litigation, fines and penalties and other sources, are recorded when it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the assessment and/or remediation can be reasonably estimated. Legal costs incurred in connection with loss contingencies are expensed as incurred. Accruals for estimated losses from environmental remediation obligations generally are recognized no later than completion of the remedial feasibility study. Such accruals are adjusted as further information develops or circumstances change. Costs of expected future expenditures for environment remediation obligations are not discounted to their present value. We regularly assess the likelihood of material adverse judgments or outcomes as well as potential ranges or probability of losses. We determine the amount of accruals required, if any, for contingencies after carefully analyzing each individual matter. Actual costs incurred in future periods may vary from

the estimates, given the inherent uncertainties in evaluating environmental exposures. As of December 31, 2016 and 2015, we had no environmental remediation obligations.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets. Long-lived assets, such as property, plant and equipment, are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. The carrying amount of a long-lived asset group is not recoverable if it exceeds the sum of the undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the use and eventual disposition of the asset group. If circumstances require a long-lived asset or asset group be tested for possible impairment, we first compare undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by that asset or asset group to its carrying value. If the carrying value of the long-lived asset or asset group is not recoverable on an undiscounted cash flow basis, an impairment is recognized to the extent that the carrying value exceeds its fair value. Fair value is determined through various valuation techniques including discounted cash flow models, quoted market values and third-party independent appraisals, as considered necessary. Assessing the potential impairment of long-lived assets involves estimates that require significant management judgment, and include inherent uncertainties that are often interdependent and do not change in isolation. Factors that management must estimate include, among others, industry and market conditions, the economic life of the asset, sales volume and prices, inflation, raw materials costs, cost of capital, and capital spending. No events or changes in circumstances occurred during the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 that indicated the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Interest Rate Risk. We are exposed to interest rate risk related to our borrowings. As of December 31, 2016, interest on borrowings under the Term Loan B Credit Facility accrued, at OCIB's option, at adjusted LIBOR plus 6.75% per annum or the alternate base rate plus 5.75%. Interest on borrowings under the Revolving Credit Facility accrued, at OCIB's option, at LIBOR plus 3.50% per annum or the alternative base rate plus 2.50%. Interest on borrowings under the Term Loan Facility—Related Party, will accrue at the rate equal to the sum of (a) the rate per annum applicable to the loans under the Term Loan B Credit Facility (as such rate may fluctuate from time to time in accordance with the terms of the agreement governing the Term Loan B Credit Facility), plus (b) 25 basis points. Interest on borrowings under the Revolving Credit Facility—Related Party, will accrue at the rate equal to the sum of (a) the rate per annum applicable to the loans under the Revolving Credit Facility (as such rate may fluctuate from time to time in accordance with the terms of the agreement governing the Revolving Credit Facility), plus (b) 25 basis points. Based upon the outstanding balances of our variable-interest rate debt at December 31, 2016, and assuming interest rates are above the applicable minimum, a hypothetical increase or decrease of 100 basis points would result in an increase or decrease to our annual interest expense of approximately \$4.8 million.

Commodity Price Risk. We are exposed to significant market risk due to potential changes in prices for methanol, ammonia and natural gas. Natural gas is the primary raw material used in the production of the methanol and ammonia manufactured at our facility. Operating at full capacity, our methanol and ammonia production units together require approximately 110,000 to 120,000 MMBtu per day of natural gas, as of December 31, 2016. We have supply agreements with Kinder Morgan, Florida Gas Transmission Natural Gas Pipeline and Houston Pipeline to supply natural gas required for our production of methanol and ammonia. As of December 31, 2016, a hypothetical increase or decrease of \$1.00 per MMBtu of natural gas would result in an increase or decrease to our annual cost of goods sold (exclusive of depreciation) of approximately \$40.2 million to \$43.8 million.

In the normal course of business, we produce methanol and ammonia throughout the year to supply the needs of our customers. Our inventory is subject to market risk due to fluctuations in the price of methanol and ammonia, changes in demand, natural gas feedstock costs and other factors. Methanol prices have historically been, and are expected to continue to be, characterized by significant cyclicality. As of December 31, 2016, a hypothetical increase or decrease of \$50 per ton in the price of methanol would result in an increase or decrease to our annual revenue of approximately \$45.6 million, based on an annual methanol volume of 912,500 metric tons. As of December 31, 2016, a hypothetical increase or decrease of \$50 per ton in the price of ammonia would result in an increase or decrease to our annual revenue of approximately \$16.6 million, based on an annual ammonia volume of 331,000 metric tons.

Table of Contents

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA OCI PARTNERS LP INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Page
Consolidated Financial Statements:	
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	<u>71</u>
Consolidated Balance Sheets	<u>72</u>
Consolidated Statements of Operations	<u>73</u>
Consolidated Statements of Partners' Capital	<u>74</u>
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	<u>75</u>
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	<u>76</u>

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm The Board of Directors and Unitholders OCI Partners LP:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of OCI Partners LP and subsidiary (the "Partnership") as of December 31, 2016 and 2015 and the related consolidated statements of operations, partners' capital, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2016. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Partnership's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of OCI Partners LP and subsidiary as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2016, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

/s/ KPMG LLP Houston, Texas March 13, 2017

Table of Contents

OCI PARTNERS LP

Consolidated Balance Sheets

December 31, 2016 and 2015

(Dollars in thousands, except per unit data)

	2016	2015
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$8,080	\$13,238
Accounts receivable	22,170	28,554
Accounts receivable—related party	1,322	5,180
Inventories	7,543	5,974
Advances due from related parties	525	56
Other current assets and prepaid expenses	2,712	4,721
Total current assets	42,352	57,723
Property, plant, and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$166,948 and \$105,769		
respectively	620,214	674,699
Other non-current assets	1,176	1,188
Total assets	\$663,742	\$733,610
Liabilities and Partners' Capital		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$20,557	\$19,363
Accounts payable—related party	13,357	12,624
Other payables and accruals	2,620	4,239
Revolving credit facility, net		24,928
Revolving credit facility—related party	35,000	_
Current maturities of the term loan facility	4,480	4,480
Accrued interest	2,523	3,416
Accrued interest—related party	1,675	203
Other current liabilities	1,942	4,975
Total current liabilities	82,154	74,228
Term loan facility, net	225,748	420,785
Term loan facility—related party	200,000	
Other non-current liabilities	2,589	1,734
Total liabilities	510,491	496,747
Partners' capital:		
Common unitholders—86,997,590 units issued and outstanding at December 31, 2016 and 2015	153,251	236,863
General partner's interest	_	_
Total partners' capital	153,251	236,863
Total liabilities and partners' capital	\$663,742	\$733,610
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.		

Table of Contents

OCI PARTNERS LP

Consolidated Statements of Operations Years Ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014 (Dollars in thousands, except per unit data)

Revenues Revenues—related party Total Revenue	2016 \$247,234 10,995 258,229	2015 \$ 298,690 10,753 309,443	2014 \$ 402,780 — 402,780
Cost of goods sold (exclusive of depreciation)	162,810	149,463	205,529
Cost of goods sold (exclusive of depreciation)—related party	16,259	16,353	13,266
Total cost of goods sold (exclusive of depreciation)	179,069	165,816	218,795
Selling, general, and administrative expenses Selling, general, and administrative expenses—related party Total selling, general, and administrative expenses	15,856 4,160 20,016	16,906 4,326 21,232	17,928 4,428 22,356
Depreciation expense	61,441	49,663	23,105
Income (loss) from operations before interest expense, other income (expense) and income tax expense	1	72,732	138,524
Interest expense	45,096	20,018	18,250
Interest expense—related party	1,777	203	203
Other income (expense)	(577)	123	941
Income (loss) from operations before tax expense	(49,747)	52,634	121,012
Income tax expense	806	613	1,564
Net income (loss)	\$(50,553)	\$ 52,021	\$ 119,448
Earnings (loss) per limited partner unit: Common unit (basic and diluted) Weighted average number of limited partner units outstanding:	\$(0.58)	\$ 0.61	\$ 1.48
Common units (basic and diluted)	86,997,590	85,970,912	80,918,531

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

OCI PARTNERS LP

Consolidated Statements of Partners' Capital Years Ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014 (Dollars in thousands, except per unit data)

	Common Units		Total		
	Units	Amount	Partners'		
	Ullits	Amount	Capital		
Balance, January 1, 2014	80,500,000	\$151,371	\$151,371		
Distributions	_	(30,864)	(30,864)		
Distributions—related party		(111,891)	(111,891)		
Capital contribution	2,995,372	60,000	60,000		
Net income		119,448	119,448		
Balance, December 31, 2014	83,495,372	\$188,064	\$188,064		
Distributions		(12,950)	(12,950)		
Distributions—related party		(50,272)	(50,272)		
Capital contribution	3,502,218	60,000	60,000		
Net income		52,021	52,021		
Balance, December 31, 2015	86,997,590	\$236,863	\$236,863		
Distributions		\$(6,650)	\$(6,650)		
Distributions—related party		(26,409)	(26,409)		
Net loss		\$(50,553)	\$(50,553)		
Balance, December 31, 2016	86,997,590	\$153,251	\$153,251		

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

OCI Partners LP

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

Years Ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014

(Dollars in thousands, except per unit data)

	2016	2015	2014
Cash flows from operating activities:	*	***	****
Net income (loss)	\$(50,553)	\$52,021	\$119,448
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating			
activities:	C1 441	10.662	22.105
Depreciation expense	61,441	49,663	23,105
Amortization of debt issuance costs	11,552	3,710	2,815
(Gain) loss on disposition of fixed assets	567		
Deferred income tax expense	855	557	419
Decrease (increase) in:			
Restricted cash	_	_	282
Accounts receivable	6,384	7,253	9,207
Accounts receivable—related party	3,858	,	
Inventories		178	(2,166)
Advances due from related parties	` ,	41	253
Other non-current assets, other current assets and prepaid expenses	2,021	(858)	(596)
Increase (decrease) in:			
Accounts payable	491		2,246
Accounts payable—related party	861	1,511	(671)
Other payables, accruals, and current liabilities		1,672	3,438
Accrued interest	(893)	(7,480)	(6,746)
Accrued interest—related party	1,776		203
Net cash provided by operating activities	31,612	100,949	151,237
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Purchase of property, plant, and equipment			(152,160)
Proceeds from sale of scrap equipment	24	2,503	_
Net cash used in investing activities	(6,761)	(221,037)	(152,160)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Proceeds from revolving credit facility	69,500	40,000	_
Proceeds from term loan B credit facility		50,000	
Proceeds from revolving credit facility—related party	69,170	_	_
Proceeds from term loan facility—related party	200,000		
Repayment of revolving credit facility	(94,500)		
Repayment of term loan B credit facility	(204,480)	(4,230)	(3,985)
Repayment of revolving credit facility—related party	(34,170)	_	
Cash contributions by member		60,000	60,000
Debt issuance costs	(2,038)	(5,701)	(5,982)
Remittance of cash to OCI USA for transferred trade receivables	(432)	(331)	(17,522)
Distribution to Unitholders	(6,650)	(12,950)	(30,864)
Distribution to Unitholders—related party	(26,409)	(50,272)	(111,891)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(30,009)	61,516	(110,244)
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(5,158)	(58,572)	(111,167)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	13,238	71,810	182,977
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$8,080	\$13,238	\$71,810

Supplemental cash disclosures:			
Cash paid for income taxes	\$100	\$1,200	\$1,350
Cash paid for interest, net of amount capitalized	34,376	15,166	15,772
Cash paid for interest, net of amount capitalized—related party		220	_
Supplemental non-cash disclosures:			
Accruals of property, plant and equipment purchases	\$1,359	\$598	\$25,298
Accruals of property, plant and equipment purchases—related party			25,834
Capitalized interest		8,586	6,410
Noncash settlement for accrued interest—related party	304	_	_

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

OCI Partners LP

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Dollars in thousands, except per unit data)

Note 1—Description of Business

Description of Business

OCI Partners LP (the "Partnership," "OCIP," "we," "us," or "our") is a Delaware limited partnership formed on February 7, 20 whose focus is on the production, marketing and distribution of methanol and anhydrous ammonia. Our production facility is strategically located on the U.S. Gulf Coast near Beaumont, Texas and commenced full operations during August 2012. Our facility has pipeline connections to adjacent customers, port access with dedicated methanol and ammonia import/export jetties, allowing us to ship both products along the Gulf Coast, and truck loading facilities for both methanol and ammonia.

We are currently one of the larger merchant methanol producers in the United States with an annual methanol production design capacity of approximately 912,500 metric tons and an annual ammonia production design capacity of approximately 331,000 metric tons. During 2015, we executed a debottlenecking project on our production facility that included a maintenance turnaround and environmental upgrades, which we collectively refer to as our "debottlenecking project." This project increased our annual methanol and ammonia production design capacity by 25%. Beginning in January of 2015, we shut down our methanol production unit for 82 days and our ammonia production unit for 71 days in order to complete the debottlenecking project. We began start-up of the ammonia production facility on April 9, 2015, and reached daily ammonia production design capacity on May 5, 2015. We began start-up of the methanol production facility on April 22, 2015, and we reached daily methanol design capacity on May 23, 2015

As discussed further below, the Partnership completed its initial public offering ("IPO"), and OCI USA, Inc. ("OCI USA") contributed all of its equity interests in OCI Beaumont LLC ("OCIB") to the Partnership on October 9, 2013. Prior to the completion of the IPO, OCIB was a direct, wholly-owned subsidiary of OCI USA, a Delaware corporation, which is an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of OCI Fertilizer International B.V. ("OCI Fertilizer"), a Dutch private limited liability company. OCI Fertilizer is an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of OCI N.V. ("OCI"), a Dutch public limited liability company, which is the ultimate parent for a group of related entities. OCIB is a Texas limited liability company formed on December 10, 2010 as the acquisition vehicle to purchase the manufacturing facility and related assets offered for sale by Eastman Chemical Company on May 5, 2011 for \$26,500. OCI, through its subsidiaries, is a global producer of natural gas based fertilizers and chemicals. OCI is listed on the NYSE Euronext Amsterdam and trades under the symbol "OCI."

Initial Public Offering

On October 4, 2013, the Partnership priced 17,500,000 common units in its IPO to the public at a price of \$18.00 per unit, and the aggregate gross proceeds totaled \$315,000. On October 4, 2013, the Partnership's common units began trading on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") under the symbol "OCIP." On October 9, 2013, the Partnership closed its IPO of 17,500,000 common units. In connection with the closing of the IPO, OCI USA contributed its interests in OCIB to the Partnership, and the Partnership issued an aggregate of 60,375,000 common units to OCI USA on October 9, 2013. On November 4, 2013, in connection with the expiration of the underwriters' over-allotment option period, the Partnership issued an additional 2,625,000 common units to OCI USA pursuant to the terms of the underwriting agreement and contribution agreement entered into in connection with the IPO.

Unless the context otherwise requires, references in this report to the "Predecessor," "Company," "we," "our," "us," or like terms, when used in a historical context (periods prior to October 9, 2013, the closing date of the IPO), refer to OCIB, our Predecessor for accounting purposes. References in this report to "OCI Partners LP," "Partnership," "we," "our," "us," or I terms, when used in the present tense or prospectively (after October 9, 2013, the closing date of the IPO), refer to OCI Partners LP and its subsidiaries.

Prior to the completion of the IPO, certain assets of OCIB were distributed to OCI USA. In October 2013, OCIB distributed \$56,700 of cash and \$35,616 of accounts receivable to OCI USA, which was comprised of \$8,056 of advances due from related party and \$27,560 of trade receivables. All collections of transferred advances due from related parties have been received directly by OCI USA and all collections of transferred trade receivables have been

received by the Partnership and will be remitted to OCI USA. As of December 31, 2016, we have remitted a total of \$18,285 of the collections of the transferred trade receivables to OCI USA, and the remaining balance of \$9,579 is recorded in accounts payable—related party on the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2016.

Table of Contents

Presentation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Partnership and its subsidiary. A subsidiary is an entity over which the Partnership has control. Subsidiaries are fully consolidated from the date on which control is transferred to the Partnership and are deconsolidated from the date that control ceases.

Note 2—Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

(a) Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Accuracy of estimates is based on accuracy of information used. Significant items subject to such estimates and assumptions include the useful lives of property, plant, and equipment, the valuation of property, plant, and equipment, and other contingencies.

(b) Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents consist of balances held in the Partnership's bank accounts less outstanding payments.

(c) Trade Accounts Receivable

Trade accounts receivable are recorded at the invoiced amount and do not bear interest. Amounts collected on trade accounts receivable are included in net cash provided by operating activities in the consolidated statements of cash flows. The Partnership maintains a customer-specific allowance for doubtful accounts for estimated losses inherent in its accounts receivable portfolio. In establishing the required allowance, management considers customers' financial condition, the amount of receivables in dispute, the current receivables aging, and current payment patterns. The Partnership reviews its allowance for doubtful accounts monthly. Past—due balances over 90 days and over a specified amount are reviewed individually for collectability. Account balances are charged off against the allowance after all means of collection have been exhausted and the potential for recovery is considered remote. There was no allowance for doubtful accounts and no bad debt write-offs during the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015. The Partnership does not have any off-balance-sheet credit exposure related to its customers. During the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, the following customers accounted for 10% or more of the Partnership's revenues:

	Percentage of Rever					ues
Customer name	201	6	201	.5	201	4
Methanex	35	%	26	%	33	%
Koch ⁽¹⁾	24	%	26	%	29	%
Interoceanic Corporation (IOC)	14	%	15	%	15	%

Figure presented includes sales to Koch Nitrogen International Sarl, Koch Fertilizier LLC and Koch Methanol LLC.

The loss of any one or more of the Partnership's significant customers noted above may have a material adverse effect on the Partnership's future results of operations.

(d) Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market, using standard cost method for finished goods, work in process, raw materials, and supplies inventory. The standard cost of finished goods is the product of the standard cost of our raw materials and quantities of raw materials consumed, based on normal capacity. We review our standard costs monthly and update them as appropriate to approximate actual costs. We also allocate a portion of fixed production overhead to inventory based on the normal capacity of our production facilities. Normal capacity is defined as "the production expected to be achieved over a number of periods under normal circumstances, taking into account the loss of capacity resulting from planned maintenance." The Partnership records variances, abnormal amounts of idle facility expense, freight, handling costs, and wasted material (spoilage) as current period charges. The Partnership's raw materials are consumed immediately upon delivery.

(e) Revenue Recognition

The Partnership recognizes revenue when products are shipped and the customer takes ownership and assumes risk of loss, collection of the relevant receivable is probable, persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, and the sales price is fixed or determinable. Revenue for barge sales is recognized when risk and title to the product transfer to the customer, which occurs at the time shipment is made (free on board shipping point). Revenue for pipeline sales is recognized when risk and title to the product transfer to the customer, which occurs at the time when meter ticket delivery is received (free on board shipping destination). Revenue for truck sales is recognized when risk and title to the product transfer to the customer, which occurs when the Partnership's product is received by the common carrier (free on board shipping point).

Below is a summary of revenues by product for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014:

2016 2015 2014 Ammonia\$83,978 \$99,443 \$126,808 Methanol 174,236 209,654 275,553 Other 15 346 419 Total \$258,229 \$309,443 \$402,780

(f) Property, Plant, and Equipment

Property, plant, and equipment are stated at cost. Depreciation is computed using principally the straight-line method over the estimated useful life of the various classes of depreciable assets. The lives used in computing depreciation for such assets are as follows:

Asset Range of Useful Lives, in Years

Buildings 30
Machinery and equipment 4 to 15
Automotive equipment 5
Furniture and fixtures 5

In the accompanying consolidated statements of operations, the Partnership's policy is to exclude depreciation expense from cost of sales.

(g) Maintenance and Turnaround Activities

The Partnership incurs maintenance costs on its facilities and equipment. Routine repair and maintenance costs are expensed as incurred. For the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, we expensed approximately \$17,520, \$22,952 and \$27,287, respectively, of routine repair and maintenance costs.

(h) Income Taxes

The Partnership is a Delaware limited partnership and is not a taxable entity; however, the Partnership is subject to Texas Margin Taxes. Each partner of a partnership is required to take into account his share of items of income, gain, loss and deduction of the Partnership in computing his federal income tax liability. As of December 31, 2016, the tax basis of our assets and liabilities were \$379,479 less than the reported amount of our assets and liabilities. OCIB is a Texas limited liability company with disregarded tax status (i.e., nontaxable pass-through entity) for U.S. federal income tax purposes and, therefore, is not subject to U.S. federal income taxes; however, OCIB is subject to Texas Margin Taxes. As of and for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, we recorded Texas Margin Taxes of \$806, \$613 and \$1,564, respectively, in income tax expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

(i) Commitments and Contingencies

Liabilities for loss contingencies, including environmental remediation costs not within the scope of Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic 410, Asset Retirement and Environmental Obligations, arising from claims, assessments, litigation, fines, and penalties and other sources, are recorded when it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the assessment and/or remediation can be reasonably estimated.

Legal costs incurred in connection with loss contingencies are expensed as incurred.

Accruals for estimated losses from environmental remediation obligations generally are recognized no later than completion of the remedial feasibility study. Such accruals are adjusted as further information develops or circumstances change. Costs of expected future expenditures for environment remediation obligations are not discounted to their present value. As of December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, the Partnership had no environmental remediation obligations.

(j) Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

Long-lived assets, such as property, plant, and equipment, are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. If circumstances require a long-lived asset or asset group be tested for possible impairment, the Partnership first compares undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by that asset or asset group to its carrying amount. If the carrying amount of the long-lived asset or asset group is not recoverable on an undiscounted cash flow basis, an impairment is recognized to the extent that the carrying amount exceeds its fair value. Fair value is determined through various valuation techniques including discounted cash flow models, quoted market values, and third-party independent appraisals, as considered necessary. No events or changes in circumstances occurred during the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, that indicated the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable.

(k) Capitalized Interest

The Partnership's policy is to capitalize interest costs incurred on indebtedness during the construction of major projects. A reconciliation of total interest costs to interest expense as reported in the consolidated statements of operations for 2016, 2015 and 2014 is as follows:

	2016	2015	2014
Interest cost capitalized	\$ —	\$8,586	\$6,410
Interest cost charged to income (1)	45,096	20,018	18,250
Interest cost charged to income—related par	t y ,777	203	203
Total interest cost	\$46,873	\$28,807	\$24,863
Interest cost charged to income (1) Interest cost charged to income—related par	45,096 t¥,777	20,018 203	18,250 203

⁽¹⁾ Includes \$11,552, \$3,710 and \$2,815 of amortized debt issuance costs for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014 (note 6(b)).

(1) Fair Value Measurement

The Partnership's receivables and payables are short–term nature and therefore, the carrying amount approximates their respective fair values as of December 31, 2016 and 2015. Debt (including related party debt) accrues interest at a variable rate, and as such, the fair value approximates its carrying amount as of December 31, 2016 and 2015.

Note 3—New Accounting Pronouncements

The Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification is the sole source of authoritative GAAP other than SEC issued rules and regulations that apply only to SEC registrants. The FASB issues Accounting Standards Updates ("ASU") to communicate changes to the codification. The Partnership considers the applicability and impact of all ASU's. The following are those ASU's that are relevant to the Partnership. On August 29, 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-15, Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230): Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payments. ASU 2016-15 provides guidance on the cash flow reporting of certain issues that were either unclear or not addressed under existing U.S. GAAP. The standard requires the retrospective transition method and is effective for annual and interim periods in the fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, although early adoption is permitted. The adoption of ASU 2016-15 on January 1, 2018 is not expected to have a material impact on the Partnership's consolidated financial statements or disclosures.

On November 20, 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-17, Income Taxes (Topic 740): Balance Sheet Classification of Deferred Taxes. The FASB issued this ASU as part of its initiative to reduce complexity in accounting standards and improve comparability between GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS"). Current GAAP requires an entity to separate deferred income tax liabilities and assets into current and noncurrent amounts in a classified statement of financial position. To simply the presentation of deferred income taxes and to align the presentation of deferred income tax assets and liabilities with IFRS, the amendments in this ASU require that deferred tax liabilities and assets be classified as noncurrent in a classified statement of financial position. The amendments in this ASU are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim periods within those fiscal years. A reporting entity can apply the amendments either prospectively or retrospectively, with earlier application permitted as of the beginning of an interim or annual reporting period. The adoption of ASU 2015-17 on January 1, 2017 is not expected to have a material impact on the Partnership's consolidated financial statements or disclosures.

On July 22, 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-11, Inventory (Topic 330): Simplifying the Measurement of Inventory. The FASB issued this ASU as part of its initiative to reduce complexity in accounting standards and improve comparability between GAAP and IFRS. The amendments in ASU 2015-11 change the measurement principle for inventory from the lower of cost or market to the lower of cost and net realizable value. Net realizable value is the estimated selling prices in the ordinary course of business, less reasonably predictable costs of completion, disposal and transportation. The amendments in this ASU are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim periods within fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017. A reporting entity should apply the amendments prospectively with earlier application permitted as of the beginning of an interim or annual reporting period. The adoption of ASU 2015-11 on January 1, 2017 is not expected to have a material impact on the Partnership's consolidated financial statements or disclosures.

On August 27, 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-15, "Presentation of Financial Statements-Going Concern (Subtopic 205-40) - Disclosure of Uncertainties about an Entity's Ability to Continue as a Going Concern." ASU 2014-15 requires a Company's management to evaluate, at each reporting period, whether there are conditions or events that raise substantial doubt about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern within one year after the date the financial statements are issued and provide related disclosures. ASU 2014-15 is effective for the annual period ending after December 15, 2016, and for annual periods and interim periods thereafter. The adoption of ASU 2014-15 as of December 31, 2016 did not impact the Partnership's consolidated financial statements or disclosures. On May 28, 2014 the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers, which requires an entity to recognize the amount of revenue which it expects to be entitled for the transfer of promised goods or services to customers. The ASU will replace most existing revenue recognition guidance in GAAP when it becomes effective. The standard is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2017 and permits the use of either retrospective, modified retrospective, or cumulative effect transition method. Early adoption is permitted for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2016. The Partnership will adopt ASU 2014-09 on January 1, 2018 and is evaluating the effect that ASU 2014-09 will have on its consolidated financial statements and related disclosures. The Partnership has formed a project team that has completed training on the new ASU and has started contract review and documentation. The Partnership has not yet selected a transition method nor has it determined the effect of

the standard on its ongoing financial reporting.

Note 4—Property, Plant and Equipment

	2016	2015
Land	\$3,371	\$3,371
Plant and equipment	766,263	759,209
Buildings	14,685	14,612
Vehicles	55	118
Furniture, Fixtures & Office Equipment	629	423
Construction in progress	2,159	2,735
	787,162	780,468
Less: accumulated depreciation	166,948	105,769
	\$620,214	\$674,699

Note 5—Inventories

As of December 31, 2016 and 2015, the Partnership's inventories consisted of finished goods produced from normal production, and the Partnership had no raw materials and/or work-in-progress inventories. Below is a summary of inventories balances by product as of December 31, 2016 and 2015:

2016 2015 Ammonia\$4,192 \$2,982 Methanol 3,351 2,992 \$7,543 \$5,974 Total Note 6—Debt (a) Debt—Related Party

> Interest Rate December 31, Interest Rate as of Maturity Date 2016 December 31, 2016

Revolving Credit Facility—Related Parts 35,000 3.50% + LIBOR + 0.25% + 4.63

January 20, 2020 Interest Rate

Maturity Date

December 31, Interest Rate

2016 December 31,

2016

Term Loan Facility—Related Part \$\\$200,000 6.75% + Adjusted LIBOR + 0.25% 8.28 % January 20, 2020

Interest December 31. Interest Rate December 31, Maturity Date 2015

2015 5.50% Adjusted LIBOR 0.25% 6.75 Original Revolving Credit Facility—Related January 20, **Party**

2020 **Interest Rate**

December 31 Interest Rate as of

December 31, Maturity Date 2015

2015

as of

Original Term Loan Facility—Related © —5.50% +Adjusted LIBOR +0.25% 6.75 January 20, 2020 % **Party**

On September 15, 2016, the previously existing \$40,000 intercompany revolving facility agreement between OCIB and OCI Fertilizer (the "Original Revolving Credit Facility—Related Party") was terminated and replaced with an revolving credit facility—related party agreement with OCI USA (the "Revolving Credit Facility—Related Party"), which became effective on November 30, 2016. The Revolving Credit Facility—Related Party has a borrowing capacity of \$40,000 and a maturity date of January 20, 2020. The amount that can be drawn under the Revolving Credit Facility—Related Party is limited by the Revolving Credit Facility (as defined below) to \$40,000 minus the amount of indebtedness outstanding under the Revolving Credit Facility. Borrowings under the Revolving Credit Facility—Related Party bear interest at a rate equal to the sum of (i) the rate per annum applicable to the Revolving Credit Facility (including as such per annum rate may fluctuate from time to time in accordance with the terms of the agreement governing the Revolving Credit Facility) discussed in note 6(b), plus (ii) 0.25%. OCIB pays a commitment fee to OCI USA under the Revolving Credit Facility—Related Party on the undrawn available portion at a rate of 0.5% per annum, which is included as a component of interest expense—related party on the consolidated statements of operations. The Revolving Credit Facility—Related Party is subordinated to indebtedness under the Term Loan B Credit Facility (as defined below) and the Revolving Credit Facility. As of December 31, 2016, OCIB had \$35,000 drawn under the Revolving Credit Facility—Related Party.

On September 15, 2016, the previously existing intercompany term facility between OCIB and OCI Fertilizer (the "Original Term Loan Facility—Related Party") was terminated and replaced with a term loan facility—related party agreement with OCI USA. On November 30, 2016, the term loan facility—related party agreement was amended and restated (as so amended and restated, the "Term Loan Facility—Related Party") to provide for the option by OCIB to pay PIK Interest (as described below). The Term Loan Facility—Related Party became effective on November 30, 2016 and has a borrowing capacity of \$200,000 and a maturity date of January 20, 2020. Borrowings under the Term Loan Facility—Related Party are subordinated to the Term B Loans (as defined below) under the Term Loan B Credit Facility and the Revolving Credit Facility. Borrowings under the Term Loan Facility—Related Party bear interest at a rate equal to the sum of (i) the rate per annum applicable to the Term B Loans (including as such per annum rate may fluctuate from time to time in accordance with the terms of the agreement governing the Term Loan B Credit Facility) discussed in note 6(b) plus (ii) 0.25%. Such interest is payable on or before the date that is two business days after each payment of interest under the Term Loan B Credit Facility either, at the election of OCIB, (i) in cash or (ii) in-kind ("PIK Interest") on which date (in the case of PIK Interest) such accrued interest shall be added to the principal amount of the loan outstanding and accrue interest as set forth in the Term Loan Facility—Related Party. On November 30, 2016, OCIB borrowed \$200,000 under the Term Loan Facility—Related Party to prepay a portion of the Term B Loans as discussed in note 6(b). As of December 31, 2016, OCIB had \$200,000 drawn under the Term Loan Facility—Related Party.

(b) Debt—Third Party

(b) Debi—Tilifu Party									
						I	Interest R	Rate	
		Decei 2016	mber 31	'Interest l	Rate	I	as of Decembe 2016	er 31,	Maturity Date
Term Loan B Credit Facility		\$ 236	,305	6.75% +	Adjusted LIB	OR 8	8.03	%	August 20, 2019
Less: Current Portion		4,480							
Less: Unamortized Discount and Deb Costs	it Issue	6,077							
Term Loan Facility, Net		\$ 225	,748						
					Interest Rate				
	Decemb 2015	er 31,	Interest	Rate	as of December 31 2015	l, ^{Ma}	aturity Da	ate	
Revolving Credit Facility	\$ 25,00	0	2.75%	+ LIBOR	3.08 %	Ma	arch 12, 2	2016	
Less: Unamortized Debit Issue Costs	72								
Revolving Credit Facility, Net	\$ 24,92	8							

Interest Rate

as of

December 31, Interest Rate December 31, Maturity Date 2015

2015

Term Loan B Credit Facility 5.50% +Adjusted LIBOR 6.50 August 20, 2019 \$ 440,785

Less: Current Portion 4,480

Less: Unamortized Discount and Debit Issue

15,520 Costs

Term Loan Facility, Net \$ 420,785

Term Loan B Credit Facility and Amendments Thereto

On August 20, 2013, OCIB and OCI USA entered into a senior secured term loan facility agreement (as amended, supplemented or restated from time to time, the "Term Loan B Credit Facility") with a syndicate of lenders. The Partnership subsequently became a party to the Term Loan B Credit Facility through a credit agreement joinder, dated as of October 18, 2013. After the payment in full of one tranche during October 2013 and prior to July 2015, the Term Loan B Credit Facility was comprised of two tranches of term debt in the amounts of \$235,000 (the "Term B-2 Loan") and \$165,000 (the "Term B-3 Loan" and together with the Term B-2 Loan, the "Existing Term B Loans"), respectively. On March 12, 2015, OCIB, the Partnership and OCI USA entered into Amendment No. 4 ("Term Loan Amendment No. 4") to the Term Loan B Credit Facility with Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent, and the other lenders party thereto to (i) increase the maximum consolidated senior secured net leverage ratio from 1.75 to 2.25 for the quarter ending March 31, 2015, (ii) increase the maximum consolidated senior secured net leverage ratio from 1.75 to 2.50 for the quarters ending June 30, 2015 and September 30, 2015, (iii) increase the maximum consolidated senior secured net leverage ratio from 1.75 to 2.25 for the quarter ending December 31, 2015, (iv) increase the interest rate margin on the outstanding term loans under the Term Loan B Facility such that OCIB may select an interest rate of (a) 4.50% above London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") for LIBO Rate Term Loans (as defined in the Term Loan B Credit Facility) or (b) 3.50% above the Base Rate for Base Rate Term Loans (as each such term is defined in the Term Loan B Credit Facility), (v) applied a prepayment premium (A) with respect to any voluntary prepayment of Existing Term B-3 Loans (including in connection with the incurrence of refinancing indebtedness), of 3% of the principal amount of the Existing Term B-3 Loans so prepaid on or prior to the first anniversary of the Term Loan Amendment No. 4 effective date, stepping down to 2% after the first anniversary thereof but on or prior to the second anniversary thereof, and to par thereafter and (B) with respect to any amendment to the Term Loan B Credit Facility resulting in a Repricing Transaction (as defined in the Term Loan B Credit Facility), of 3% of the principal amount of the Existing Term B-3 Loans so repriced on or prior to the first anniversary of the Term Loan Amendment No. 4 effective date, stepping down to 2% after the first anniversary thereof but on or prior to the second anniversary thereof and to 1% after the second anniversary thereof but on or prior to the third anniversary thereof and to par thereafter and (vi) make certain technical changes to certain defined terms.

On July 2, 2015, OCIB, the Partnership and OCI USA entered into an Incremental Term Loan Commitment Agreement (the "Incremental Term Loan Agreement") with Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent and lender thereunder, pursuant to which OCIB incurred an incremental term loan in the principal amount of \$50,000 (the "Term B-4 Loan") under the Term Loan B Credit Facility. The Term B-4 Loan has terms and provisions identical to the Existing Term B-3 Loans, and the Term B-4 Loan and the Existing Term B-3 Loans collectively comprise a single tranche of Term B Loans under the Term Loan B Credit Facility.

On October 16, 2015, OCIB, the Partnership and OCI USA entered into Amendment No. 5 and Waiver ("Term Loan Amendment No. 5") to the Term Loan B Credit Facility with Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent, and the other lenders party thereto. The Term Loan Amendment No. 5 (i) increased the maximum consolidated senior secured net leverage ratio from 2.50 to 3.75 for the quarter ending September 30, 2015, (ii) increased the maximum consolidated senior secured net leverage ratio from 2.25 to 3.75 for the quarter ending December 31, 2015, (iii) increased the maximum consolidated senior secured net leverage ratio from 1.75 to 3.75 for the quarter ending March 31, 2016, (iv) decreased the minimum consolidated interest coverage ratio from 5.00 to 3.50 for the quarters ending September 30, 2015, December 31, 2015 and March 31, 2016, and (v) increased the interest rate margin on the outstanding term loans under the Term Loan B Credit Facility such that OCIB may select an interest rate of (a) 5.50% above LIBOR for the Term B Tranche of LIBO Rate Term Loans (as defined in the Term Loan B Credit Facility) or (b) 4.50% above the Base Rate for the Term B Tranche of Base Rate Term Loans (as each such term is defined in the Term Loan B Credit Facility).

On March 17, 2016, OCIB, the Partnership and OCI USA entered into Amendment No. 6 ("Term Loan Amendment No. 6") to the Term Loan B Credit Facility with Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent, and the other lenders party thereto. The Term Loan Amendment No. 6 (i) increased the maximum consolidated senior secured net leverage ratio from 1.75 to 4.25 for the quarter ending June 30, 2016, (ii) increased the maximum consolidated senior secured net leverage ratio from 1.75 to 4.75 for the quarter ending September 30, 2016, (iii) increased the maximum

consolidated senior secured net leverage ratio from 1.75 to 5.00 for the quarters ending December 31, 2016 and March 31, 2017, (iv) decreased the minimum consolidated interest coverage ratio from 5.00 to 3.00 for the quarter ending June 30, 2016 and to 2.50 for the quarters ending September 30, 2016, December 31, 2016 and March 31, 2017, and (v) increased the interest rate margin on the outstanding term loans under the Term Loan B Credit Facility such that OCIB may select an interest rate of (a) 6.75% above LIBOR for the Term B Tranche of LIBO Rate Term Loans (as defined in the Term Loan B Credit Facility) or (b) 5.75% above the Base Rate for the Term B Tranche of Base Rate Term Loans (as each such term is defined in the Term Loan B Credit Facility.

On November 30, 2016, OCIB utilized the funds borrowed under the Term Loan Facility—Related Party (see note 6(a)) to prepay \$200,000 of Term B Loans under the Term B Loan Credit Facility and entered into Amendment No. 7 to the Term Loan B Credit Facility ("Term Loan Amendment No. 7") with Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent, and the other lenders party thereto. The Term Loan Amendment No. 7, among other things, (i) increased the maximum consolidated senior secured net leverage ratio covenant (a) from 5.00 to 6.25 for the quarter ending March 31, 2017, (b) from 1.75 to 5.50 for the quarters ending June 30, 2017 and September 30, 2017, (c) from 1.75 to 5.25 for the quarter ending December 31, 2017, and (d) from 1.75 to 4.75 for the quarter ending March 31, 2018 and for each fiscal quarter ending thereafter, (ii) decreased the minimum consolidated interest coverage ratio covenant (a) from 2.50 to 1.25 for the quarters ending December 31, 2016 and March 31, 2017, (b) from 5.00 to 1.50 for the quarter ending June 30, 2017, (c) from 5.00 to 1.75 for the quarter ending September 30, 2017 and (d) from 5.00 to 2.25 for the quarter ending December 31, 2017 and for each fiscal quarter ending thereafter, and (iii) amended the calculation of the interest coverage ratio by excluding interest recorded on subordinated debt from the calculation. As a result of the \$200,000 principal prepayment on November 30, 2016, we accelerated amortization in the amount of \$7,600 of unamortized deferred financing fees, which is included as a component of interest expense in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations.

The Term B Loans mature on August 20, 2019 and are subject to certain mandatory prepayment obligations upon the disposition of certain assets and the incurrence of certain indebtedness. The Term B Loans are also subject to mandatory quarterly repayments equal to \$1,120.

Scheduled maturities with respect to the Term Loan B Credit Facility are as follows:

Fiscal Year

2017 \$4,480 2018 4,480 2019 227,345 Total \$236,305

The Term B Loans, as well as related fees and expenses, are unconditionally guaranteed by OCI USA, the Partnership and certain of its future subsidiaries other than OCIB. The Term B Loans, and related fees and expenses, are secured by a first priority lien on substantially all of OCIB's and the Partnership's assets (OCI USA does not provide any security with its guarantee).

The Term Loan B Credit Facility contains customary covenants and conditions, including limitations on our ability to finance future operations or capital needs or to engage in other business activities. These restrictions and covenants will limit our ability, among other things, to:

incur additional indebtedness;

ereate liens on assets:

engage in mergers or consolidations;

sell assets;

pay dividends and distributions or repurchase our common units;

make investments, loans or advances;

prepay certain subordinated indebtedness;

make certain acquisitions or enter into agreements with respect to our equity interests; and

engage in certain transactions with affiliates.

In addition, as of December 31, 2016, OCIB may not permit, on the last day of any fiscal quarter (i) the consolidated senior secured net leverage ratio to exceed (a) in the fiscal quarter ending December 31, 2016, 5.00 to 1.00, (b) in the fiscal quarter ending March 31, 2017, 6.25 to 1.00, (c) in the fiscal quarters ending June 30, 2017 and September 30, 2017, 5.50 to 1.00, (d) in the fiscal quarter ending December 31, 2017, 5.25 to 1.00, and (e) 4.75 to 1.00, each fiscal quarter thereafter and (ii) the consolidated interest coverage ratio on the last day of any fiscal quarter to be less than (a) 1.25 to 1.00, for the fiscal quarters ending December 31, 2016 and March 31, 2017, (b) 1.50 to 1.00, for the fiscal quarter ending June 30, 2017, (c) 1.75 to 1.00, for the fiscal quarter ending September 30, 2017, and (d) 2.25 to 1.00 for the fiscal quarter ending December 31, 2017 and for each fiscal quarter ending thereafter. The consolidated senior secured net leverage ratio is defined as the ratio of (i) (A) consolidated senior secured debt less (B) the aggregate amount of unrestricted cash and cash equivalents included on the consolidated balance sheet to (ii) consolidated EBITDA for the last four quarters. The consolidated interest coverage ratio is defined as the ratio of (i) consolidated EBITDA for the last four quarters to (ii) consolidated interest expense for the last four quarters. For the period ending December 31, 2016, we applied the Consolidated EBITDA Material Project Adjustments (as defined in the Term Loan B Credit Facility) to our calculation of Consolidated EBITDA (as defined in the Term Loan B Credit Facility) in computing the aforementioned ratios. As of December 31, 2016, OCIB's consolidated senior secured net leverage ratio was 3.18 to 1.00, and its consolidated interest coverage ratio was 1.57 to 1.00. Upon the occurrence of certain events of default under the Term Loan B Credit Facility OCIB's obligations under the Term Loan B Credit Facility may be accelerated.

The Term Loan B Credit Facility also contains various nonfinancial covenants, which include, among others, undertakings with respect to reporting requirements, maintenance of specified insurance coverage, and compliance with applicable laws and regulations. As of December 31, 2016, the Partnership was in compliance with all these covenants.

The Term Loan B Credit Facility contains events of default customary for credit facilities of this nature, including, but not limited to, the failure to pay any principal, interest or fees when due, failure to satisfy any covenant, untrue representations or warranties, impairment of liens, events of default under any other loan document, default under any other material debt agreements, insolvency, certain bankruptcy proceedings, change of control and material litigation resulting in a final judgment against any borrower or subsidiary guarantor. Upon the occurrence and during the continuation of an event of default under the Term Loan B Credit Facility, the lenders may, among other things, accelerate and declare the outstanding loans to be immediately due and payable and exercise remedies against OCIB, the Partnership and the collateral as may be available to the lenders under the Term Loan B Credit Facility and other loan documents.

Revolving Credit Facility and Amendments Thereto

On April 4, 2014, OCIB as borrower, the Partnership as a guarantor, Bank of America, N.A. as administrative agent and a syndicate of lenders entered into a revolving credit facility agreement (as amended, supplemented or restated from time to time, the "Revolving Credit Facility"), with an initial aggregate borrowing capacity of up to \$40,000 (less any amounts borrowed under the Revolving Credit Facility—Related Party (as defined in note 6(a)), including a \$20,000 sublimit for letters of credit. The proceeds from this facility are used by OCIB for working capital, capital expenditures and other general corporate purposes.

On March 12, 2015, OCIB and the Partnership entered into Revolving Credit Amendment No. 2 ("Revolving Credit Amendment No. 2") to the Revolving Credit Facility with Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent, and the other lenders party thereto to (i) increase the maximum consolidated senior secured net leverage ratio from 1.75 to 2.25 for the quarter ending March 31, 2015, (ii) increase the maximum consolidated senior secured net leverage ratio from 1.75 to 2.50 for the quarters ending June 30, 2015 and September 30, 2015, (iii) increase the maximum consolidated senior secured net leverage ratio from 1.75 to 2.25 for the quarter ending December 31, 2015, (iv) extend the maturity of the Revolving Credit Facility until March 12, 2016, (v) suspended the requirement to repay in full all outstanding revolving loans under the Revolving Credit Facility on the last business day of each June and December for the calendar year 2015 and (vii) made certain technical changes to certain defined terms.

On October 16, 2015, OCIB, the Partnership and OCI USA entered into Amendment No. 3 and Waiver ("Revolving Credit Amendment No. 3") to the Revolving Credit Facility with Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent, and the other lenders party thereto. The Revolving Credit Amendment No. 3 (i) increased the maximum consolidated senior secured net leverage ratio from 2.50 to 3.75 for the quarter ending September 30, 2015, (ii) increased the maximum consolidated senior secured net leverage ratio from 2.25 to 3.75 for the quarter ending December 31, 2015, (iii) increased the maximum consolidated senior secured net leverage ratio from 1.75 to 3.75 for the quarter ending March 31, 2016, and (iv) decreased the minimum consolidated interest coverage ratio from 5.00 to 3.50 for the quarters ending September 30, 2015, December 31, 2015 and March 31, 2016.

On March 11, 2016, OCIB, the Partnership and OCI USA entered into Amendment No. 4 ("Revolving Credit Amendment No. 4") to the Revolving Credit Facility with Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent, and the other lenders party thereto. The Revolving Credit Amendment No. 4 extended the maturity of the Revolving Credit Facility until March 31, 2016.

On March 17, 2016, OCIB, the Partnership and OCI USA entered into Amendment No. 5 ("Revolving Credit Amendment No. 5") to the Revolving Credit Facility with Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent, and the other lenders party thereto. The Revolving Credit Amendment No. 5, among other things (i) increased the maximum consolidated senior secured net leverage ratio from 1.75 to 4.25 for the quarter ending June 30, 2016, (ii) increased the maximum consolidated senior secured net leverage ratio from 1.75 to 4.75 for the quarter ending September 30, 2016, (iii) increased the maximum consolidated senior secured net leverage ratio from 1.75 to 5.00 for the quarters ending December 31, 2016 and March 31, 2017, (iv) decreased the minimum consolidated interest coverage ratio from 5.00 to 3.00 for the quarter ending June 30, 2016 and to 2.50 for the quarters ending September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2016, (v) extended the maturity of the Revolving Credit Facility until March 31, 2017, (vi) increased the interest rate margin to 3.50%, (vii) introduced specified liquidity targets to meet on a quarterly basis for each of the three quarters ending June 30, 2016, September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2016 (viii) imposed the requirement that OCIB repay in full all outstanding revolving loans under the Revolving Credit Facility on the last business day of each fiscal quarter, commencing September 30, 2016 provided that with respect to the repayment occurring on September 30, 2016, OCIB shall only be required to repay an amount such that no more than \$20,000 in aggregate principal amount of the revolving loans remain outstanding on such date after giving effect to such repayment and (ix) increased the applicable commitment fee to 1.40% per annum.

On January 4, 2017, OCIB, the Partnership and OCI USA entered into Amendment No. 6 ("Revolving Credit Amendment No. 6") to the Revolving Credit Facility with Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent, and the other lenders party thereto. The Revolving Credit Amendment No. 6, among other things (i) added a maximum consolidated senior secured net leverage ratio covenant of (a) 6.25 for the quarter ending March 31, 2017, (b) 5.50 for the quarters ending June 30, 2017 and September 30, 2017 and (c) 5.25 for the quarter ending December 31, 2017, (ii) added a minimum consolidated interest coverage ratio of (a) 1.25 for the quarters ending December 31, 2016 and March 31, 2017, (b) 1.50 for the quarter ending June 30, 2017, (c) 1.75 for the quarter ending September 30, 2017 and (d) 2.25 for the quarter ending December 31, 2017, (iii) extended the maturity of the Revolving Credit Facility until March 31, 2018, (iv) increased the interest rate margin to 4.75%, (v) set the specified liquidity target to be met on a quarterly basis, (vi) added a requirement that proceeds from certain types of debt incurrences be used to clean-up the revolver, (vii) introduced the recurring reduction of the total revolving loan commitment beginning with the quarter ending June 30, 2017 and continuing at the end of each quarter thererafter, (viii) added a requirement that the general liens basket only be used when the consolidated senior secured net leverage ratio does not exceed 2.75 to 1.00 and (ix) updated the computation of certain financial covenants to exclude interest incurred under the Term Loan Facility—Related Party and the Revolving Credit Facility—Related Party. Please read note 14—Subsequent Events for additional information regarding Revolving Credit Facility Amendment No. 6.

As of December 31, 2016, outstanding principal amounts under the Revolving Credit Facility bear interest at OCIB's option at either LIBOR plus a margin of 3.50% or a base rate plus a margin of 2.50%. OCIB also pays a commitment fee of 1.40% per annum on the unused portion of the Revolving Credit Facility. The Revolving Credit Facility has a one-year term that may be extended for additional one-year periods subject to the consent of the lenders. OCIB is required to repay in full all outstanding revolving loans under the Revolving Credit Facility on the last business day of each June and December. As of December 31, 2016, OCIB had no amounts outstanding under the Revolving Credit Facility.

OCIB's obligations under the Revolving Credit Facility are guaranteed by the Partnership and certain of its future subsidiaries other than OCIB. OCIB's obligations under the Revolving Credit Facility are secured by a first priority lien (which is pari passu with the first priority lien securing obligations under the Term Loan B Credit Facility) on substantially all of the tangible and intangible assets of OCIB and the Partnership.

In addition, the Revolving Credit Facility contains covenants and provisions that affect OCIB and the Partnership, including, among others, customary covenants and provisions:

prohibiting OCIB from incurring indebtedness (subject to customary exceptions);

limiting OCIB's ability and that of the Partnership from creating or incurring specified liens on their respective properties (subject to customary exceptions);

limiting OCIB's ability and that of the Partnership to make distributions and equity repurchases (which shall be permitted if no default exists and in the case of distributions and equity repurchases from a subsidiary to its parent); and

prohibiting consolidations, mergers and asset transfers by OCIB and the Partnership (subject to customary exceptions).

Under the Revolving Credit Facility, OCIB is also subject to certain financial covenants that are tested on a quarterly basis. As of December 31, 2016, OCIB may not permit, on the last day of any fiscal quarter (i) the consolidated senior secured net leverage ratio to exceed 5.00 to 1.00, in the fiscal quarter ending December 31, 2016 and (ii) the consolidated interest coverage ratio on the last day of any fiscal quarter to be less than 2.50 to 1.00, for the fiscal quarters ending December 31, 2016 and March 31, 2017. Please read note 14—Subsequent Events for additional information regarding Revolving Credit Facility Amendment No. 6 as the consolidated interest coverage ratio requirement for December 31, 2016 has been retroactively adjusted by this amendment. The consolidated senior secured net leverage ratio is defined as the ratio of (i) (A) consolidated senior secured debt less (B) the aggregate amount of unrestricted cash and cash equivalents included on the consolidated balance sheet to (ii) consolidated EBITDA for the last four quarters. The consolidated interest coverage ratio is defined as the ratio of (i) consolidated EBITDA for the last four quarters to (ii) consolidated interest expense for the last four quarters. For the period ending December 31, 2016, we applied the Consolidated EBITDA Material Project Adjustments (as defined in the Revolving

Credit Facility) to our calculation of Consolidated EBITDA (as defined in the Revolving Credit Facility) in computing the aforementioned ratios. As of December 31, 2016, OCIB's consolidated senior secured net leverage ratio was 3.18 to 1.00, and its consolidated interest coverage ratio was 1.57 to 1.00. Upon the occurrence of certain events of default under the Revolving Credit Facility OCIB's obligations under the Revolving Credit Facility may be accelerated.

The Revolving Credit Facility also contains various nonfinancial covenants, which include, among others, undertaking with respect to reporting requirements, maintenance of specified insurance coverage, and compliance with applicable laws and regulations. As of December 31, 2016, the Partnership was in compliance with all these covenants. The Revolving Credit Facility contains events of default customary for credit facilities of this nature, including, but not limited to, the failure to pay any principal, interest or fees when due, failure to satisfy any covenant, untrue representations or warranties, impairment of liens, events of default under any other loan document under the new credit facility, default under any other material debt agreements, insolvency, certain bankruptcy proceedings, change of control and material litigation resulting in a final judgment against any borrower or subsidiary guarantor. Upon the occurrence and during the continuation of an event of default under the Revolving Credit Facility, the lenders may, among other things, accelerate and declare the outstanding loans to be immediately due and payable and exercise remedies against OCIB, the Partnership and the collateral as may be available to the lenders under the Revolving Credit Facility and other loan documents.

(c) Debt Issuance Costs

Term Loan B Credit Facility and Amendments Thereto

The Term Loan Amendment No. 4 included a 0.75% consent fee of \$2,963, a 0.25% arranger fee of \$988, and \$44 of other fees and expenses. The Incremental Term Loan Agreement included an arranger fee of \$595, legal fees of \$183, and \$128 of other fees and expenses. The Term Loan Amendment No. 5 included an arranger fee of \$500, legal fees of \$64, and \$13 of other fees and expenses. The Term Loan Amendment No. 6 included an amendment fee of \$1,102, legal fees of \$31, and \$12 of other fees and expenses. The Term Loan Amendment No. 7 included a prepayment fee of \$776 paid to nonconsenting lenders and legal fees of \$55. OCIB recorded the debt issuance costs as a reduction of long-term debt in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet. As a result of the \$200,000 principal prepayment on November 30, 2016, we accelerated amortization in the amount of \$7,600 of unamortized deferred financing fees, which is included as a component of interest expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. All debt discount and debt issuance costs are being amortized over the term of the Term Loan B Credit Facility using the effective-interest method. The amortization of the debt issuance costs related to the Term Loan B Credit Facility was \$11,419, \$3,415 and \$2,420 during the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015, and 2014, respectively. The amortization of the debt issuance costs is presented as a component of interest expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

Revolving Credit Facility and Amendments Thereto

The Revolving Credit Agreement Amendment No. 2 included a 0.25% consent fee of \$100 and \$24 of other fees and expenses. The Revolving Credit Agreement Amendment No. 3 included a 0.25% amendment fee of \$100. The Revolving Credit Agreement Amendment No. 4 included \$30 of legal fees and expenses. The Revolving Credit Agreement Amendment No. 5 included \$31 of legal fees and expenses. The Revolving Credit Agreement Amendment No. 6 included a 0.25% consent fee of \$100 and \$36 of legal fees and expenses. OCIB recorded the debt issuance costs as a reduction of short-term debt in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and is amortizing them over the term of the Revolving Credit Facility using the effective-interest method.

OCIB amortized debt issuance costs related to the Revolving Credit Facility of \$103, \$295 and \$395 during the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015, and 2014, respectively. The amortization of the debt issuance costs is presented as a component of interest expense in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations.

Note 7—Related-Party Transactions

The Partnership has maintained and been involved with certain arrangements and transactions with OCI and its affiliates. The material effects of such arrangements and transactions are reported in the accompanying consolidated financial statements as related party transactions. The Partnership's IPO on October 9, 2013 and associated contribution by OCI USA of its interests in OCIB gave rise to certain transfers, contributions, and capital distributions described in note 1. In addition, the Partnership entered into certain contractual arrangements with related parties in connection with the IPO, such as the Omnibus Agreement and other agreements discussed below.

The following table represents the effect of related party transactions of the consolidated results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014:

	Years Ended December 31,				
	2016	2015	2014		
Revenue	\$ 10,995	\$ 10,753	\$ —		
Cost of goods sold (exclusive of depreciation)	16,259	16,353	13,266		
Selling, general and administrative expenses(1)	4,160	4,326	4,428		
Interest expense	1,777	203	203		

(1) Amounts represented in selling, general and administrative expense were incurred to the following related parties:

-		Years Ended December 31			
		2016	2015	2014	
OCI GP LLC		\$ 3,044	\$3,071	\$ 2,563	
OCI Nitrogen B.V.		24	50	183	
OCI Personnel B.V.		308	621	857	
Contrack International Inc.		700	546	805	
OCI Fertilizer BV		40	38	20	
OCI Fertilizers Trade & Supply B.V.		44	_		
70 - 1 - 11 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	1 / 1	4 0 1 1 60	Φ 4 20C	d 4 400	

Total selling, general and administrative expenses—related part \$4,160 \$4,326 \$4,428

Our Agreements with OCI

Omnibus Agreement

On October 9, 2013, in connection with the closing of the IPO, the Partnership entered into an omnibus agreement by and between the Partnership, OCI, OCI USA, OCI GP LLC and OCIB (the "Omnibus Agreement"). The Omnibus Agreement addresses certain aspects of the Partnership's relationship with OCI and OCI USA, including: (i) certain indemnification obligations, (ii) the provision by OCI USA to the Partnership of certain services, including selling, general and administrative services and management and operating services relating to operating the Partnership's business, (iii) the Partnership's use of the name "OCI" and related marks and (iv) the allocation among the Partnership and OCI USA of certain tax attributes.

Under the Omnibus Agreement, OCI USA will provide, or cause one or more of its affiliates to provide, the Partnership with such selling, general and administrative services and management and operating services as may be necessary to manage and operate the business and affairs of the Partnership. Pursuant to the Omnibus Agreement, the Partnership will reimburse OCI USA for all reasonable direct or indirect costs and expenses incurred by OCI USA or its affiliates in connection with the provision of such services, including the compensation and employee benefits of employees of OCI USA or its affiliates.

During the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, costs totaling \$19,303, \$19,424 and \$15,827, respectively, were incurred under this contract and payable to OCI GP LLC in connection with reimbursement of providing selling, general and administrative services and management and operating services to manage and operate the business and affairs of the Partnership. Of these amounts, the wages directly attributable to revenue-producing operations were included in cost of goods sold (exclusive of depreciation)—related party and the remaining amounts incurred were included in selling, general and administrative expense—related party. During the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, \$16,259, \$16,353 and \$13,264, respectively, were recorded in cost of goods sold (exclusive of depreciation)—related party and \$3,044, \$3,071 and \$2,563, respectively, were recorded in selling, general and administrative expense—related party. Accounts payable—related party include amounts incurred but unpaid to OCI GP LLC of \$3,147 and \$1,522 as of December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively.

As shown in the table above, the Partnership recorded amounts due to (i) OCI Nitrogen B.V. ("OCI Nitrogen"), an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of OCI, (ii) OCI Personnel B.V. ("OCI Personnel"), an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of OCI, (iii) Contrack International Inc. ("Contrack"), an affiliate of OCI, (iv) OCI Fertilizer BV, and indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of OCI, and (v) OCI Fertilizer Trade & Supply B.V., in selling, general and administrative expense as shown on the consolidated statement of operations, in relation to officers' salaries, wages and travel expenses, and asset management information-technology-related project expenses in the amount of \$1,116, \$1,255 and \$1,865 during the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Accounts payable—related party include amounts incurred but unpaid to the aforementioned parties of \$631 and \$1,394 as of December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively.

Contribution Agreement

On October 9, 2013, in connection with the closing of the IPO, the Partnership entered into a Contribution, Conveyance and Assumption Agreement (the "Contribution Agreement") with its general partner, OCI USA and OCIB. Immediately prior to the closing of the IPO, OCI USA contributed to the Partnership its right, title and interest in and to all of the limited liability company interests in OCIB in exchange for 60,375,000 common units. These transactions, among others, were made in a series of steps outlined in the Contribution Agreement. On November 4, 2013, after the expiration of the underwriters' over-allotment option period, pursuant to the IPO underwriting agreement and the Contribution Agreement, the Partnership issued 2,625,000 additional common units that were subject to the underwriters' over-allotment option to OCI USA for no additional consideration as part of OCI USA's contribution of its membership interests in OCIB to the Partnership.

Distributions and Payments to OCI USA and Its Affiliates

Prior to the completion of the IPO, certain assets of OCIB were transferred to OCI USA including \$27,560 of trade receivables. All collections of transferred trade receivables have been received by the Partnership and will be remitted to OCI USA. During the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, we remitted \$432 and \$331, respectively, of the collections of the transferred trade receivables to OCI USA.

On June 30, 2016, OCIB entered into a non-cash settlement agreement with OCI USA and OCI Fertilizer to settle \$304 of the accrued interest—related party due to OCI Fertilizer in relation to the commitment fee on the unused portion of the intercompany revolving facility agreement between OCIB and OCI Fertilizer. As a result of this settlement agreement, OCIB incurred \$304 of accounts payable—related party due to OCI USA.

Accounts payable—related party includes amounts incurred but unpaid to OCI USA of \$9,579 and \$9,707 as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Revolving Credit Facility—Related Party and Term Loan Facility—Related Party

As indicated above in note 6(a), OCIB recorded interest expense—related party during the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014 of \$1,777, \$203 and \$203, respectively. Interest expense—related party relates to interest expense and commitment fees on the unused portion of the Revolving Credit Facility—Related Party and interest expense on our Term Loan Facility—Related Party, both payable to OCI USA.

Construction Agreement with Orascom E&C USA Inc.

In June 2013, OCIB entered into a procurement and construction contract with Orascom E&C USA Inc. ("Orascom E&C"), an affiliate of OCI, pursuant to which Orascom E&C undertook the debottlenecking of OCIB's methanol and ammonia production units (the "Construction Contract"). Upon execution of the Construction Contract, a technical service agreement that was previously entered into by OCIB and OCI Construction Limited, an affiliate of OCI, providing for the management and construction services relating to the debottlenecking project was subsumed within the Construction Contract. Under the terms of the Construction Contract, Orascom E&C was paid on a cost-reimbursable basis, plus a fixed fee equal to 9% of the costs of the project, excluding any discounts. The contract allocated customary responsibilities to OCIB and Orascom E&C. The agreement did not provide for the imposition of liquidated or consequential damages. Costs (including the fixed fee) were incurred under the Construction Contract in the amount of \$239 and \$101,454 during the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. No amounts were incurred under this contract during the year ended December 31, 2016. All amounts incurred under this contact were capitalized into construction in progress, which is a component of property plant and equipment shown in the consolidated balance sheet. We had no amounts due to Orascom E&C as of December 31, 2016 and December 31,

2015.

Related Party Sales

On May 12, 2015, OCIB entered into an agreement with OCI Fertilizers USA LLC ("OCI Fertilizers USA"), an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of OCI that is a wholesaler of ammonia, to supply OCI Fertilizers USA with commercial grade anhydrous ammonia. OCI Fertilizers USA purchases the ammonia to resell to third parties. The term of the agreement began on June 1, 2015 and ends on May 31, 2017 and renews automatically unless a party cancels with 90 days' notice. Under the terms of the agreement, OCI Fertilizers USA is paid a 1.5% commission of the sales price to third parties. During the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, we had related party sales of \$7,731, \$6,546 and \$0, respectively, for the sale of ammonia to OCI Fertilizers USA. Accounts Receivable—related party includes amounts due from OCI Fertilizer USA of \$1,322 and \$660 as of December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively.

On December 14, 2015, OCIB entered into an agreement with OCI Fertilizer Trade & Supply B.V., an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of OCI that is an international trader of ammonia, to supply OCI Fertilizers Trade & Supply B.V. with commercial grade anhydrous ammonia. OCI Fertilizers Trade & Supply B.V. purchases the ammonia to resell to OCI Nitrogen at its facilities in the Netherlands. The term of the agreement began in December 2015 and ended in February 2016. During the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, we had related party sales of \$3,265, \$4,208 and \$0, respectively, for the sale of ammonia to OCI Fertilizers Trade & Supply B.V. Accounts Receivable—related party includes amounts due from OCI Fertilizer Trade & Supply B.V. of \$4,208 as of December 31, 2015. We had no amounts due from Fertilizer Trade & Supply B.V. as of December 31, 2016.

Other Transactions with Related Parties

Equity Commitment Agreement

On November 27, 2013, the Partnership entered into an intercompany equity commitment agreement with OCI USA (the "Intercompany Equity Commitment"). Under the terms of the Intercompany Equity Commitment, OCI USA shall make an equity contribution not to exceed \$100,000 to the Partnership if (a) prior to the completion of the debottlenecking project, the Partnership or OCIB have liquidity needs for working capital or other needs and the restrictions under the Term Loan B Credit Facility or any other debt instrument prohibit the Partnership or OCIB from incurring sufficient additional debt to fund such liquidity needs; or (b) OCIB fails to comply with any of the financial covenants as of the last day of any fiscal quarter.

On November 10, 2014, pursuant to the Intercompany Equity Commitment, the Partnership received a capital contribution of \$60,000 from OCIP Holding LLC ("OCIP Holding"), an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of OCI, to help finance the funding required to complete the debottlenecking project, and, in exchange, the Partnership issued 2,995,372 common units to OCIP Holding. The common units were issued pursuant to a contribution agreement, dated November 10, 2014, by and among the Partnership, OCIP Holding and OCI USA, at a price per common unit equal to \$20.0309 (the volume-weighted average trading price of a common unit on the NYSE, calculated over the consecutive 20-trading day period ending on the close of trading on the trading day immediately prior to the issue date). Immediately following the issuance of common units to OCIP Holding on November 10, 2014, OCIP Holding held 65,995,372 common units in the Partnership, representing a 79.04% limited partner interest. On April 17, 2015, the Partnership received a capital contribution of \$60,000 from OCIP Holding to partially fund capital expenditures and other costs and expenses incurred in connection with the debottlenecking project, and, in exchange, the Partnership issued 3,502,218 common units to OCIP Holding. The capital contribution consisted of the remaining available \$40,000 under the Intercompany Equity Commitment and an additional \$20,000 cash contribution. The common units were issued pursuant to a contribution agreement, dated April 17, 2015, by and among the Partnership, OCIP Holding and OCI USA, at a price per common unit equal to \$17.132 (the volume-weighted average trading price of a common unit on the NYSE, calculated over the consecutive 21-trading day period ending on the close of trading on the trading day immediately prior to the issue date). Immediately following the issuance of common units to OCIP Holding on April 17, 2015, OCIP Holding held 69,497,590 common units in the Partnership, representing a 79.88% limited partner interest. Due to the capital contributions by OCIP Holding on November 10, 2014 and April 17, 2015, and the completion of the debottlenecking project, OCI USA has no further obligation to make equity contributions to us under the Intercompany Equity Commitment.

Guarantee of Term Loan B Credit Facility and Revolving Credit Facility

The term loans under the Term Loan B Credit Facility and related fees and expenses are unconditionally guaranteed by OCIP and OCI USA and are each secured by pari passu senior secured liens on substantially all of OCIB's and OCIP's assets, as well as the assets of certain future subsidiaries of OCIP (OCI USA does not provide any security in connection with its guarantee). The revolving loans and letters of credit under the Revolving Credit Facility and related fees and expenses, are unconditionally guaranteed by OCIP and are secured by pari passu senior secured liens on substantially all of OCIB's and OCIP's assets, as well as the assets of certain future subsidiaries of OCIP .

Note 8—Partners' Capital

Summary of Changes in Outstanding Units

The following is a reconciliation of our limited partner units outstanding for the periods indicated:

	Units
Limited partner units outstanding at December 31, 2013	80,500,000
Units issued in connection with the Equity Commitment Agreement	2,995,372
Limited partner units outstanding at December 31, 2014	83,495,372
Limited partner units outstanding at Units issued in connection with the Equity Commitment	3,502,218
Agreement	3,302,216
Limited partner units outstanding at December 31, 2015	86,997,590
Limited partner units outstanding at December 31, 2016	86,997,590

On November 10, 2014, pursuant to the Intercompany Equity Commitment, the Partnership received a capital contribution of \$60,000 from OCIP Holding, to help finance the funding required to complete the debottlenecking project, and, in exchange, the Partnership issued 2,995,372 common units to OCIP Holding. The common units were issued pursuant to a contribution agreement, dated November 10, 2014, by and among the Partnership, OCIP Holding and OCI USA, at a price per common unit equal to \$20.0309 (the volume-weighted average trading price of a common unit on the NYSE, calculated over the consecutive 20-trading day period ending on the close of trading on the trading day immediately prior to the issue date). Immediately following the issuance of common units to OCIP Holding on November 10, 2014, OCIP Holding held 65,995,372 common units in the Partnership, representing a 79.04% limited partner interest.

On April 17, 2015, the Partnership received a capital contribution of \$60,000 from OCIP Holding to partially fund capital expenditures and other costs and expenses incurred in connection with the debottlenecking project, and, in exchange, the Partnership issued 3,502,218 common units to OCIP Holding. The capital contribution consisted of the remaining available \$40,000 under the Intercompany Equity Commitment and an additional \$20,000 cash contribution. The common units were issued pursuant to a contribution agreement, dated April 17, 2015, by and among the Partnership, OCIP Holding and OCI USA, at a price per common unit equal to \$17.132 (the volume-weighted average trading price of a common unit on the NYSE, calculated over the consecutive 21-trading day period ending on the close of trading on the trading day immediately prior to the issue date). Immediately following the issuance of common units to OCIP Holding on April 17, 2015, OCIP Holding held 69,497,590 common units in the Partnership, representing a 79.88% limited partner interest. Due to the capital contributions by OCIP Holding on November 10, 2014 and April 17, 2015, and the completion of the debottlenecking project, OCI USA has no further obligation to make equity contributions to us under the Intercompany Equity Commitment. Note 9—Retention Bonus Plan

continued dedication of the employees of OCI GP LLC, our general partner, and its affiliates who provide services to the Partnership by providing a retention bonus opportunity. Each non-executive employee was eligible to receive up to two retention bonuses, pursuant to this plan. Each retention bonus equaled three times the employee's base monthly salary or wages in effect on the applicable retention bonus payment date. The first retention bonus of \$2,190 was

On November 29, 2013, the Board of Directors approved a retention bonus plan to reinforce and encourage the

accrued during the year-ended December 31, 2014 and paid during January 2015, and the second retention bonus of \$2,738 was accrued during the year-ended December 31 2015 and paid during January 2016. Due to the two retention bonus payments that occurred in January 2015 and January 2016, OCI GP LLC has no further obligation to make

Limited Partner

retention bonus payments to its employees.

Note 10—Commitments, Contingencies and Legal Proceedings

Litigation: In the ordinary course of business, we are, and will continue to be, involved in various claims and legal proceedings, some of which are covered in whole or in part by insurance. We may not be able to predict the timing or outcome of these or future claims and proceedings with certainty, and an unfavorable resolution of one or more of such matters could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. Currently, we are not party to any legal proceedings that, individually or in the aggregate, are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Environmental: The Partnership's facilities could be subject to potential environmental liabilities primarily relating to contamination caused by current and/or former operations at those facilities. Some environmental laws could impose on the Partnership the entire costs of cleanup regardless of fault, legality of the original disposal or ownership of the disposal site. In some cases, the governmental entity with jurisdiction could seek an assessment for damage to the natural resources caused by contamination from those sites. The Partnership had no significant operating expenditures for environmental fines, penalties or government-imposed remedial or corrective actions during the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015.

Contractual Purchase Commitments: We are obligated to make payments under contractual purchase commitments, including unconditional purchase obligations. Our unconditional purchase obligation relates to the supply of nitrogen. The contract requires the purchase of minimum quantities of nitrogen, at current market prices. We have estimated our payment obligations under the existing contract using current market prices and currently expect our purchases to exceed our minimum payment obligations. Our obligations to make future payments under the nitrogen supply contract as of December 31, 2016 are summarized in the following table:

Total 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 Thereafter

Purchase Obligations \$55,215 \$7,362 \$7,362 \$7,362 \$7,362 \$7,362 \$18,405

Total payments relating to our nitrogen supply contract were approximately \$10,194 in 2016, \$7,742 in 2015 and \$6,354 in 2014.

Note 11—Earnings per Limited Partner Unit

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per limited partner unit for the period indicated:

	i ear Eilded	i ear Ended	i ear Eilded
	December 31,	December 31,	December 31,
	2016	2015	2014
Net income (loss)	\$ (50,553)	\$ 52,021	\$ 119,448
Basic and diluted weighted average number of limited partner units outstanding	86,997,590	85,970,912	80,918,531
Basic and diluted net income (loss) per limited partner unit	\$ (0.58)	\$ 0.61	\$ 1.48

Voor Endad Voor Endad Voor Endad

Table of Contents

Note 12—Selected Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)

Selected unaudited condensed financial information for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014 is presented in the tables below.

	First	Second	Third	Fourth
	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter
For the 2016 Fiscal Year				
Revenues	64,012	54,460	64,641	64,121
Revenues—related party	5,929	1,818	1,435	1,813
Income (loss) from operations before interest expense, other income and income tax expense	3,269	(5,435)	(874)	743
Loss from operations before tax expense	(5,974)	(15,494)	(11,141)	(17,138)
Net loss	(6,054)	(15,447)	(11,697)	(17,355)
Basic and diluted net loss per limited partner unit	(0.07)	(0.18)	(0.13)	(0.20)
	First	Second	Third	Fourth
	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter
For the 2015 Fiscal Year				
Revenues	\$37,745	\$78,956	\$100,402	\$81,587
Revenues—related party		612	3,281	6,860
Income from operations before interest expense, other income and income tax expense	1,436	17,494	30,834	22,968
Income from operations before tax expense	958	13,706	23,496	14,474
Net income	893	13,478	23,143	14,507
Basic and diluted net income per limited partner unit	0.01	0.16	0.27	0.17

Note 13—Distributions

The Partnership declared the following cash distributions to its unitholders of record for the periods presented:

Desired of Cook Distribution		stribution Per	Total Cash	Date of	Date of
Period of Cash Distribution	Co	mmon Unit(1)	Distribution	Record	Distribution
First Quarter, ended March 31, 2014	\$	0.41	\$ 33,005	May 22, 2014	May 29, 2014
Second Quarter, ended June 30, 2014	\$	0.48	\$ 38,640	August 22, 2014	August 28, 2014
Third Quarter, ended September 30, 2014	\$	0.26	\$ 21,709	November 21, 2014	December 3, 2014
Fourth Quarter, ended December 31, 2014	\$	0.33	\$ 27,553	March 26, 2015	April 10, 2015
First Quarter, ended March 31, 2015 ⁽²⁾	\$		\$ —	_	_
Second Quarter, ended June 30, 2015 ⁽³⁾	\$		\$ —	_	
Third Quarter, ended September 30, 2015	\$	0.41	\$ 35,669	November 30, 2015	December 17, 2015
Fourth Quarter, ended December 31, 2015	\$	0.32	\$ 27,839	March 30, 2016	April 8, 2016
First Quarter, ended March 31, 2016	\$	0.06	\$ 5,220	June 24, 2016	July 8, 2016
Second Quarter, ended June 30, 2016 ⁽⁴⁾	\$		\$ —	_	_
Third Quarter, ended September 30, 2016 ⁽⁵⁾	\$		\$ —	_	_
Fourth Quarter, ended December 31, 2016 ⁽⁶⁾	\$	_	\$ —	_	_

- (1) Cash distributions for a quarter are declared and paid in the following quarter.
- (2) No distribution was declared for the three-months ended March 31, 2015.
- (3) No distribution was declared for the three-months ended June 30, 2015.
- (4) No distribution was declared for the three-months ended June 30, 2016.
- (5) No distribution was declared for the three-months ended September 30, 2016.
- (6) No distribution was declared for the three-months ended December 31, 2016.

Table of Contents

Note 14—Subsequent Events

Amendment No. 6 to the Revolving Credit Facility

On January 4, 2017, OCIB and the Partnership entered into Revolving Credit Amendment No. 6 to the Revolving Credit Agreement dated as of April 4, 2014 (as previously amended by that certain Amendment No. 1 dated as of June 13, 2014, that certain Amendment No. 2 dated as of March 12, 2015, that certain Amendment No. 3 and Waiver dated as of October 16, 2015, that certain Amendment No. 4 dated as of March 11, 2016, that certain Amendment No. 5 dated as of March 17, 2016) with Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent, and the other lenders party thereto. The Revolving Credit Amendment No. 6, among other things (i) added a maximum consolidated senior secured net leverage ratio covenant of (a) 6.25 for the quarter ending March 31, 2017, (b) 5.50 for the quarters ending June 30, 2017 and September 30, 2017 and (c) 5.25 for the quarter ending December 31, 2017, (ii) added a minimum consolidated interest coverage ratio of (a) 1.25 for the quarters ending December 31, 2016 and March 31, 2017, (b) 1.50 for the quarter ending June 30, 2017, (c) 1.75 for the quarter ending September 30, 2017 and (d) 2.25 for the quarter ending December 31, 2017, (iii) extended the maturity of the Revolving Credit Facility until March 31, 2018, (iv) increased the interest margin to 4.75%, (v) set the specified liquidity target to be met on a quarterly basis, (vi) added a requirement that proceeds from certain types of debt incurrences be used to clean-up the revolver, (vii) introduced the recurring reduction of the total revolving loan commitment beginning with the quarter ending June 30, 2017 and continuing at the end of each quarter thererafter, (viii) added a requirement that the general liens basket only be used when the consolidated senior secured net leverage ratio does not exceed 2.75 to 1.00 and (ix) updated the computation of certain financial covenants to exclude interest incurred under the Term Loan Facility—Related Party and the Revolving Credit Facility—Related Party.

In conjunction with this transaction, we incurred a 0.25% consent fee of \$100 and legal expenses of \$36.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

An evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of our general partner. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of our general partner concluded that the design and operation of these disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2016, the end of the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have not been any changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the fourth fiscal quarter of 2016 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

It is the responsibility of the management of OCI Partners LP to establish and maintain adequate internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a—15(f) and 15d—15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Our internal controls over financial reporting are designed to provide reasonable assurance to our management and board of directors regarding the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Our internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of our assets; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorization of our management and board of directors; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on our financial statements.

All internal control systems, no matter how well designed, have inherent limitations including the possibility of human error and the circumvention or overriding of controls. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation.

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2016, based on the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in 2013 Internal Control—Integrated Framework. Based on our assessment, we concluded that, as of December 31, 2016, our internal control over financial reporting was effective.

As an emerging growth company, management's report on internal control over financial reporting was not subject to attestation by our independent registered public accounting firm in accordance with rules of the SEC that permit us to provide only the management's report in this Form 10-K.

We will remain an emerging growth company under the JOBS Act until December 31, 2017. Beginning January 1, 2018, we will no longer be an emerging growth company, and we expect to incur additional expenses and devote substantial management effort toward ensuring compliance with those requirements applicable to companies that are not emerging growth companies, including Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. If we are unable to timely comply with Section 404 or if the costs related to compliance are significant, our results of operations and financial condition may be materially adversely affected. In order to comply with the requirements of Section 404 of Sarbanes-Oxley, we will need to implement new financial systems and procedures. We cannot assure you that we will be able to implement appropriate procedures on a timely basis. Failure to implement such procedures could have an adverse effect on our ability to satisfy applicable obligations under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), and Sarbanes-Oxley.

Table of Contents

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION Not Applicable.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE Management of OCI Partners LP

We are managed by the directors and executive officers of our general partner, OCI GP LLC. OCI USA, an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of OCI, owns all of the membership interests in our general partner and has the right to appoint the entire board of directors of our general partner, including our independent directors. Our unitholders are not entitled to elect the directors of our general partner's board of directors or to directly or indirectly participate in our management or operations. Our general partner will be liable, as general partner, for all of our debts (to the extent not paid from our assets), except for indebtedness or other obligations that are made specifically nonrecourse to it. Whenever possible, we intend to incur indebtedness that is nonrecourse to our general partner.

Our general partner currently has seven directors, including our three independent directors (Francis G. Meyer, Dod A. Fraser and Nathaniel A. Gregory). OCI USA will appoint all of the members to the board of directors of our general partner.

In evaluating director candidates, OCI USA will assess whether a candidate possesses the integrity, judgment, knowledge, experience, skill and expertise that are likely to enhance the ability of our board of directors to manage and direct our affairs and business, including, when applicable, to enhance the ability of committees of the board of directors of our general partner to fulfill their duties.

As a publicly traded partnership, we qualify for, and are relying on, certain exemptions from the NYSE's corporate governance requirements, including:

the requirement that a majority of the board of directors of our general partner consist of independent directors; the requirement that the board of directors of our general partner have a nominating/corporate governance committee that is composed entirely of independent directors; and

the requirement that the board of directors of our general partner have a compensation committee that is composed entirely of independent directors.

As a result of these exemptions, we do not expect that our general partner's board of directors will be comprised of a majority of independent directors. Our board of directors does not currently intend to establish a nominating/corporate governance committee or a compensation committee. Accordingly, unitholders will not have the same protections afforded to equity holders of companies that are subject to all of the corporate governance requirements of the NYSE. Committees of the Board of Directors

The board of directors of our general partner has an audit committee and a conflicts committee, and may have such other committees as the board of directors shall determine from time to time. Each of the standing committees of the board of directors will have the composition and responsibilities described below.

Audit Committee

We are required to have an audit committee of at least three members, and all of its members are required to meet the independence and experience standards established by the NYSE and the Exchange Act. The audit committee of the board of directors of our general partner assists the board of directors in its oversight of the integrity of our financial statements and our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements and partnership policies and controls. The audit committee has the sole authority to (1) retain and terminate our independent registered public accounting firm, (2) approve all auditing services and related fees and the terms thereof performed by our independent registered public accounting firm and (3) pre-approve any non-audit services and tax services to be rendered by our independent registered public accounting firm. The audit committee is also responsible for confirming the independence and objectivity of our independent registered public accounting firm. Our independent registered public accounting firm will be given unrestricted access to the audit committee and our management, as necessary. Messrs. Meyer, Fraser and Gregory currently serve on the audit committee. Mr. Meyer satisfies the definition of audit committee financial expert for purposes of the SEC's rules.

Conflicts Committee

At least two members of the board of directors of our general partner will serve on our conflicts committee to review specific matters that may involve conflicts of interest in accordance with the terms of our partnership agreement. The board of directors of our general partner will determine whether to refer a matter to the conflicts committee on a case-by-case basis. The members of our conflicts committee may not be officers or employees of our general partner or directors, officers or employees of its affiliates (including OCI), and must meet the independence and experience standards established by the NYSE and the Exchange Act to serve on an audit committee of a board of directors. In addition, the members of our conflicts committee may not own any interest in our general partner or any interest in us or our subsidiaries other than common units or awards under our incentive compensation plan. Any matters approved by our conflicts committee in good faith will be deemed to be approved by all of our partners and not a breach by our general partner of any duties it may owe us or our unitholders. Messrs. Meyer, Fraser and Gregory currently serve on the conflicts committee. Mr. Meyer is the chairman of the conflicts committee.

Meetings and Other Information

During the year ended December 31, 2016 the board of directors of our general partner held 6 meetings, our audit committee held 6 meetings, and our conflicts committee held 5 meeting. With the exception of Mr. Sawiris, who was traveling overseas, none of the directors attended fewer than 75% of the aggregate number of meetings of the board of directors and committees of the board on which such directors served.

Our committee charters and governance guidelines, including our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics and Corporate Governance Guidelines, are available on our website at http://www.ocipartnerslp.com. We intend to disclose any amendment to or waiver of our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics either on our website or by filing a Current Report on Form 8-K. Our website address referenced above is not intended to be an active hyperlink, and the contents of our website shall not be deemed to be incorporated herein.

Communication with Directors

Unitholders and other interested parties wishing to communicate with our Board may send a written communication addressed to:

OCI GP LLC

P.O. Box 1647

Nederland, TX 77627

Mr. Meyer, the chairman of the conflicts committee, is responsible for leading the meetings of the independent directors in executive session. Our Lead Director will forward all appropriate communications directly to our board of directors or any individual director or directors, depending upon the facts and circumstances outlined in the communication. Any unitholder or other interested party who is interested in contacting only the independent directors or non-management director as a group, may also send written communications to the contact above in an envelope marked "Confidential" addressed to the "Independent Members of the Board of Directors".

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires the executive officers and directors of our general partner, and persons who own more than ten percent of a registered class of our equity securities, or, collectively, the Insiders, to file initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership with the SEC. Insiders are required by SEC regulations to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file. To our knowledge, based solely on our review of the copies of such reports furnished to us or written representations from certain Insiders, all such reports concerning beneficial ownership were filed in a timely manner by reporting persons during the year ended December 31, 2016.

Report of the Audit Committee

The audit committee of our general partner oversees our financial reporting process on behalf of the board of directors. Management has the primary responsibility for the financial statements and the reporting process. In fulfilling its oversight responsibilities, the audit committee reviewed and discussed with management the audited financial statements contained in this report.

Our independent registered public accounting firm, KPMG LLP, is responsible for expressing an opinion on the conformity of the audited financial statements with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The audit committee reviewed with KPMG LLP their judgment as to the quality, not just the acceptability, of our accounting principles and such other matters as are required to be discussed with the audit committee under auditing standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) ("PCAOB").

The audit committee discussed with KPMG LLP the matters required to be discussed by PCAOB Auditing Standard No. 16. The committee received written disclosures and the letter from KPMG LLP required by PCAOB Rule 3526, Communication with Audit Committees Concerning Independence, as may be modified or supplemented, and has discussed with KPMG LLP its independence from management and the Partnership.

Based on the reviews and discussions referred to above, the audit committee recommended to the board of directors that the audited financial statements be included in this report for filing with the SEC.

Directors and Executive Officers of OCI GP LLC

Directors are appointed by OCI USA, the sole member of our general partner, and hold office until their successors have been appointed or qualified or until their earlier death, resignation, removal or disqualification. Executive officers are appointed by, and serve at the discretion of, the board of directors of our general partner. The following table shows information about the directors and executive officers of OCI GP LLC as of March 13, 2017.

Name Age Position with Our General Partner
Michael L. Bennett 63 Chairman of the Board of Directors

Frank Bakker 51 President, Chief Executive Officer and Director

Nassef Sawiris 56 Director Francis G. Meyer 65 Director Dod A. Fraser 66 Director Nathaniel A. Gregory 68 Director Ahmed K. El-Hoshy 33 Director

Fady Kiama 49 Vice President, Chief Financial Officer

Michael L. Bennett—Chairman of the Board. Mr. Bennett was appointed chairman of the board of directors of our general partner in June 2013. Mr. Bennett has served as chairman of the board of directors of OCI since January 2013. Mr. Bennett served as chief executive officer and director of Terra Industries Inc., a publicly traded producer of nitrogen fertilizer, from 2001 until its acquisition by CF Industries Holdings, Inc. in 2010. From 2001 until 2010, Mr. Bennett served as chairman of the board and chief executive officer of Terra Nitrogen GP Inc., the general partner of Terra Nitrogen Company, L.P. (NYSE: TNH). Mr. Bennett is a past chairman of both The Fertilizer Institute and the Methanol Institute in the United States. Mr. Bennett currently serves as a director of Alliant Energy Corporation and Sandridge Energy Corporation. We believe that Mr. Bennett's knowledge of our industry, historical understanding of our operations and the significant executive leadership experience he gained through his employment with Terra Industries Inc. and Terra Nitrogen GP Inc. brings valuable experience, skill and leadership to the board of directors of our general partner.

Frank Bakker—President, Chief Executive Officer and Director. Mr. Bakker was appointed President, Chief Executive Officer and director of our general partner in June 2013. Prior to his appointment, Mr. Bakker served as vice president and general manager of OCIB from September 2011 to June 2013. Prior to joining OCIB, Mr. Bakker served as site manager at DSM-Neoresins from September 2010 to September 2011 and manufacturing director at DSM Sarlink from 2007 to 2010. Mr. Bakker holds an M.B.A. from the University of Massachusetts at Amherst and a M.S. in mechanical engineering from Twente University. We believe that Mr. Bakker's extensive manufacturing experience in the chemicals industry and, in particular, his leadership experience in operating our facility brings unique operational and technical experience and skill to the board of directors of our general partner.

Nassef Sawiris—Director. Mr. Sawiris was appointed as a member of the board of directors of our general partner in June 2013. Mr. Sawiris has served as chief executive officer and director of OCI since January 2013. Mr. Sawiris has also served as chief executive officer and director of Orascom Construction Industries S.A.E. ("OCI SAE"), a publicly traded Egyptian company, since its incorporation in 1998. Prior to the incorporation of OCI SAE, Mr. Sawiris oversaw the construction activities of Orascom (Onsi Sawiris & Co.). Since 2008, Mr. Sawiris has served as a director of Lafarge S.A., a publicly traded French building materials company. Mr. Sawiris holds a B.A. in economics from the University of Chicago. We believe that Mr. Sawiris' extensive experience in the fertilizer and construction industries and his position as chief executive officer and director of OCI brings significant strategic and operational experience to the board of directors of our general partner.

Francis G. Meyer—Director. Mr. Meyer was appointed as a member of the board of directors of our general partner in September 2013. Mr. Meyer served as executive vice president of Terra Industries Inc. from 2007 until his retirement in April 2008 and as senior vice president and chief financial officer from 1995 until 2007. Mr. Meyer served as a director of Terra Nitrogen GP Inc., which is the general partner of Terra Nitrogen Company, L.P. from 1995 until 2008. Mr. Meyer served in various management positions for Terra Industries Inc. from 1986 to 1995. Mr. Meyer has a B.B.A. in accounting from the University of Iowa. We believe that Mr. Meyer's extensive industry experience and knowledge of industry accounting and financial practices he gained during his employment with Terra Industries Inc. and Terra Nitrogen GP Inc. brings important financial leadership, experience and skill to the board of directors of our general partner.

Dod A. Fraser—Director. Mr. Fraser was appointed as a member of the board of directors of our general partner in November 2013. Mr. Fraser has served as President of Sackett Partners Inc. since its formation in 2000 upon retiring from a 27-year career in Investment Banking. Mr. Fraser served as Managing Director and Group Executive of the Global Oil and Gas Group of Chase Securities Inc., a subsidiary of The Chase Manhattan Bank (now JP Morgan Chase & Co.) from August 1995 until his retirement in January 2000. Mr. Fraser served as General Partner of Lazard Freres & Co. until 1995. Mr. Fraser served in various positions for Lazard Freres & Co. from 1978 to 1995. Mr. Fraser is a director of two public companies; he has been a Director of Subsea 7 SA since December 2009 and Rayonier Inc. since July 2014. Mr. Fraser served as a Director of Smith International Inc. from December 2004 to August 2010, of Terra Industries Inc. from 2003 to April 2010, and of Forest Oil Corporation from May 2000 to January 2015. Mr. Fraser holds a bachelor of arts degree from Princeton University. We believe that Mr. Fraser's extensive experience and knowledge of accounting and financial practices brings significant financial experience and skill to the board of directors of our general partner.

Nathaniel A. Gregory—Director. Nathaniel A. Gregory was appointed as a member of the board of directors of our general partner in March 2014. He is currently a Senior Lecturer in Finance at the MIT Sloan School of Management, where he teaches courses in Mergers & Acquisitions and Advanced Corporate Finance. Prior to Sloan, he was at the University of Chicago Booth Graduate School of Business, where he taught courses in corporate finance and corporate control & governance. He had been on the faculty at Chicago Booth since 2009 and had taught there on a full-time basis since 2005 and was Clinical Professor of Finance from 2009 to 2013. Between 1993 and 2004, Mr. Gregory was Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of NATCO Group, Inc., a publicly traded oilfield equipment and services company. Prior to his service at NATCO, he held a number of different positions in business and finance, including as Chief Economist and vice president of financial services at Bechtel Group from 1980 to 1983, and as a banker at Lazard Freres & Co. from 1983 to 1986 and general partner of the firm from 1987 through 1989. He was also a member of the private equity firm, Capricorn Partners LLC, from 1995 to 2009. Mr. Gregory has served on several private and public boards of directors, including most recently the board of Rotech Healthcare Inc. from 2012 to 2013, and Plainfield Direct, Inc. from 2007 to 2011. Mr. Gregory holds a Bachelor of Arts degree from the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, and a Doctorate of Economics from the University of Chicago. The Board believes that Mr. Gregory's expertise in finance and extensive knowledge and experience in corporate strategy, management and governance will bring considerable value to the deliberations of the Board.

Table of Contents

Ahmed K. El-Hoshy—Director. Mr. El-Hoshy was appointed as a member of the board of directors of our general partner in July 2016. Mr. El-Hoshy currently serves as Chief Executive Officer of OCI Americas and was previously a Director of Business Development and Investments at OCI since January 2012. Mr. El-Hoshy has led or been actively involved in new investments, developments, financings and M&A transactions of the firm in North America and Europe across multiple sectors including fertilizer, methanol, and infrastructure. From 2009 to 2011, while with OCI, Mr. El-Hoshy structured a joint venture between OCI and Morgan Stanley focusing on infrastructure investments in the Middle East and Africa. Before joining OCI, in 2008-2009, Mr. El-Hoshy was a part of Goldman Sachs' investment banking operations in Dubai, as well as the Special Situations Group focusing on balance sheet investments for the bank in the Middle East. Mr. El-Hoshy began his career in Goldman Sachs' Leveraged Finance group in New York in 2006, where he structured and executed leveraged buyouts and recapitalizations, with a particular focus on industrial and natural resource-related clients. Mr. El-Hoshy holds a Bachelor of Arts degree in economics from Harvard University where he graduated with honors. We believe that Mr. El-Hoshy's extensive and diverse experience in the financial and petrochemical industries brings important skills and perspective to the board of directors of our general partner.

Fady Kiama—Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. Mr. Kiama was appointed vice president and chief financial officer of our general partner in June 2013. Mr. Kiama has more than 27 years of financial management experience and has served as corporate planning director and group controller of OCI SAE from 2001 until May 2013. Mr. Kiama served as chief financial officer of Misr Gulf Oil Processing Co. in Egypt from 1999 to 2001, Planning Director for PepsiCo Foods Egypt from 1997 to 1999, Chief Financial Officer for PepsiCo Foods Saudi Arabia from 1995 to 1997 and as a financial analyst and group finance manager for Procter & Gamble Egypt from 1990 to 1995. Mr. Kiama holds a B.A. and a M. A. in economics from the American University in Cairo.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

OCI GP LLC, our general partner, manages our operations and activities on our behalf through its officers and directors and is solely responsible for providing the employees and other personnel necessary for us to conduct our operations. Although all of the employees that conduct our business are employed by our general partner, its affiliates and certain of our subsidiaries, we sometimes refer to these individuals as our officers and employees for ease of reference. This executive compensation disclosure provides an overview of our executive compensation program offered to our two named executive officers ("NEOs"), who are:

Frank Bakker, our President and Chief Executive Officer; and

Fady Kiama, our Vice President and Chief Financial Officer.

These are the only individuals who served as executive officers of our general partner during 2016. The compensation-related sections of this document are intended to comply with the reduced disclosure requirements provided under the JOBS Act.

Summary Compensation Table for 2016 and 2015

The following table summarizes the total compensation paid to our NEOs for their services to us in 2016 and 2015. For Mr. Bakker this represents compensation paid in his role as President and Chief Executive Officer of our general partner. Mr. Bakker devotes 100% of his working time to our business. Total compensation for Mr. Kiama represents compensation paid in his role as Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of our general partner. Mr. Kiama devotes approximately 75% of his working time to our business. The remainder of Mr. Kiama's time is allocated to certain responsibilities for OCI and its other subsidiaries. Accordingly, the amounts shown below reflect only that portion of Mr. Kiama's compensation that is allocated to us. Certain amounts provided to Mr. Bakker were paid in Euros. Amounts shown below have been converted to U.S. Dollars based on the contractual exchange rate agreed upon with OCI of €1.00 to \$1.0925.

Non-Fauity

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary(1) (\$)	Incentive Plan Compensation(2) (\$)	All Other Compensation(3) (\$)	Total (\$)
Frank Bakker	2016	307,110	240,000	373,845	920,955
President and Chief Executive Officer	2015	289,540	241,935	379,266	910,741
Fady Kiama	2016	333,439	145,639	139,876	618,954
Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2015	280,868	110,690	147,514	539,072

- (1) Amount shown represents base salary amounts actually paid to our NEOs for service to our general partner.
- (2) Amount shown for 2016 represents the annual cash incentive award for 2016 that was paid to our NEOs in 2017.
- (3) Amount shown includes the components set forth in the table below.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Housing Allowar (\$)	Tuition	e hh ternati Assignm nc \ allowan (\$)	neReprese	Automo ntation Provisio (\$)	b Pc ofession Se rvices (\$)	Home on al Leave Expension (\$)	Pension Contributi	All Other of (3) (\$)
Frank Bakker, President and Chief Executive Officer	2016	76,220	_	48,389	1,924	25,300	41,431	9,964	170,617	373,845
Fady Kiama, Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2016	50,366	55,740		_	19,517	7,347	6,906	_	139,876

⁽¹⁾ For Messrs. Bakker and Kiama, the amounts shown represents leased car expenses.

Amount shown represents an employer pension contribution of \$150,883 and Mr. Bakker's personal pension

⁽²⁾ contribution of \$19,734 in 2016. The pension contribution and social security premium are paid to entities within the Netherlands and, therefore, are treated as wages under the current United States tax treaty.

Amount shown represents benefits provided in conjunction with our NEOs' international assignment to the United (3) States, which also includes tax make-whole payments provided to our NEOs. For Messrs. Bakker and Kiama, the estimated tax make-whole payments in 2016 were \$137,952 and \$51,268, respectively.

Narrative Disclosure to Summary Compensation Table

The primary elements of compensation for our NEOs are base salary, an annual cash incentive award, and certain additional benefits as described below. Since our NEOs, who have historically been employed by OCI, provide services to us pursuant to an international assignment to the United States, their compensation packages include components related to their international assignment in accordance with OCI's policies for expatriate employees. In the future, different and/or additional compensation components, benefits and/or perquisites may be provided to our NEOs to ensure a balanced, comprehensive and competitive compensation structure.

Base Salary. Base salaries were initially set by OCI at levels deemed necessary to attract and retain individuals with superior talent and which were consistent with competitive pay practices. Salaries may be adjusted from time to time to reflect changes in responsibility, company performance, cost of living or such other factors as our general partner may consider.

Pursuant to a tax equalization arrangement in connection with Mr. Bakker's international assignment to the United States, Mr. Bakker's base salary was initially determined with reference to a nominal base salary amount of \$300,000. To calculate the actual base salary paid to Mr. Bakker, the nominal amount is reduced by a hypothetical tax amount based on tax rates in the Netherlands, Mr. Bakker's home jurisdiction. Mr. Bakker's actual base salary is then set at an amount that achieves the same net "after-tax" pay he would receive were he employed in the Netherlands.

Annual Cash Incentive Awards. In 2016, Mr. Bakker and Mr. Kiama were eligible for an annual cash incentive award with a net after-tax target value of \$300,000 for Mr. Bakker and a target value of 25% of base salary for Mr. Kiama. For Mr. Bakker, award amounts are determined by comparing our attainment of production volume targets with our initial budget for the year and taking into account such other factors as determined by OCI to be appropriate. For Mr. Kiama, award amounts are generally determined on a discretionary basis with reference to levels of achievement of certain financial performance metrics as well as individual and team based objectives, which include certain strategic imperatives, and financial results. For 2016, these objectives included maintaining compliance with securities law requirements, attaining financial goals generally related to EBITDA and distribution targets and increasing organizational capacity and efficiency.

Retirement, Health, Welfare and Additional Benefits. Employee benefit plans and programs are offered to our employees, subject to the terms and eligibility requirements of those plans, as in effect from time to time. Our NEOs are also eligible to participate in these programs to the same extent as all employees generally. However, due to their international assignment to the United States, our NEOs did not participate in our tax-qualified 401(k) defined contribution plan in 2016. Mr. Bakker is eligible to participate in a pension scheme maintained for OCI executives in the Netherlands.

In connection with their international assignment to the United States, Mr. Bakker and Mr. Kiama also receive certain benefits under OCI's international relocation policy. For 2016, these benefits included housing assistance, dependent tuition assistance, international assignment allowance, representation fee (incidentals), automobile provisions, home leave expenses and healthcare benefits. The amounts of these benefits are included in the Summary Compensation Table above, under the column labeled "All Other Compensation." Mr. Bakker and Mr. Kiama also receive certain tax make-whole payments in relation to these benefits in accordance with OCI's policies.

Outstanding Equity Awards at December 31, 2016

Our NEOs have not previously received any awards or grants of equity or equity-based compensation in us or in relation to their services for us or our general partner, and our NEOs do not hold any outstanding equity or equity-based awards.

Employment, Severance and Change in Control Arrangements

Our NEOs are generally subject to the same employment conditions and policies as other employees of our organization. In addition, our NEOs do not have any agreements with us that provide for severance payments upon termination of employment or in connection with a change in control. However, our NEOs have entered into agreements with OCI pursuant to which they would be entitled to certain payments from OCI in the event their employment is terminated. For Mr. Bakker, in the event his employment is terminated by OCI other than for fraud, misconduct or similar offenses, Mr. Bakker would be entitled to receive a severance payment from OCI equal to two times his gross annual salary. For Mr. Kiama, in the event his employment terminates and certain requirements are met by OCI, Mr. Kiama would be entitled to receive an end of service compensation payment from OCI equal to two months' salary for each year of service with OCI that was completed prior to the termination (currently this amount equals approximately 2.7 years of salary).

Compensation of Our Directors

The officers or employees of our general partner or of OCI or its affiliates who also serve as directors of our general partner do not receive additional compensation for their service as a director of our general partner. Our general partner has approved a director compensation program pursuant to which directors of our general partner who are not officers or employees of our general partner or of OCI or its affiliates receive compensation as "non-employee directors," which consists of an annual cash retainer of \$150,000. We paid retainers of \$150,000 for the year ended December 31, 2016 to our non-employee directors in two installments of \$75,000 each, which were paid in April and October of 2016.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

Name Fees Earned or Paid in Cash

Michael L. Bennett \$ 150,000 Francis G. Meyer \$ 150,000 Dod A. Fraser \$ 150,000 Nathaniel A. Gregory \$ 150,000

None of our non-employee directors held any outstanding equity or equity-based awards in us as of December 31, 2016.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED UNITHOLDER MATTERS

The following table presents information regarding beneficial ownership of our common units as of March 13, 2017 by:

our general partner;

each of our general partner's directors;

each of our general partner's named executive officers;

each unitholder known by us to beneficially hold five percent or more of our outstanding common units; and all of our general partner's executive officers and directors as a group.

Beneficial ownership is determined under the rules of the SEC and generally includes voting or investment power with respect to securities. Unless indicated below, to our knowledge, the persons and entities named in the table have sole voting and sole investment power with respect to all common units beneficially owned by them, subject to community property laws where applicable. Except as otherwise indicated, the business address for each of our beneficial owners is c/o OCI Partners LP, 5470 N. Twin City Highway, Nederland, Texas 77627.

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Amount of CommonPercentage of Tota					
Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Units	Common	Common Units			
	Beneficially Owned Beneficially Owned(1)					
OCI GP LLC(2)	_	_				
OCIP Holding LLC(3)	69,497,590	79.88	%			
Morgan Stanley Investment Management Inc.(4)	9,947,448	11.43	%			
Michael L. Bennett	15,000	*				
Frank Bakker	5,200	*				
Nassef Sawiris	868,014	1.00	%			
Francis G. Meyer		_				
Dod A. Fraser	1,000	*				
Nathaniel A. Gregory		_				
Ahmed K. El-Hoshy	850	*				
Fady Kiama		_				
All directors and executive officers of our general partner as a group (eight persons)	890,064	1.02	%			

^{*} Less than 1%.

⁽¹⁾ Based on 86,997,590 common units outstanding as of March 13, 2017.

OCI GP LLC, is a wholly-owned subsidiary of OCI USA, is our general partner and manages and operates our business and has a non-economic general partner interest in us.

OCIP Holding LLC, is an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of OCI USA, which is an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of OCI.

⁽⁴⁾ This information was obtained from IPREO as of February 24, 2017.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth, as of March 13, 2017, the number of ordinary shares of OCI N.V. owned by each of the named executive officers and directors of our general partner and all executive officers and directors of our general partner as a group. The percentage of total ordinary shares is based on 210,306,101 ordinary shares outstanding as of March 13, 2017.

Beneficial ownership is determined under the rules of the SEC and generally includes voting or investment power with respect to securities. Unless indicated below, to our knowledge, the persons and entities named in the table have sole voting and sole investment power with respect to all shares of common stock beneficially owned by them, subject to community property laws where applicable. Except as otherwise indicated, the business address for each of the following persons is c/o OCI USA Inc., 660 Madison Avenue, 19th Floor, New York, New York 10065.

Number of _

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Ordinary Shares Beneficially Owned	Percen of Tota Ordina Shares	al ıry
Michael L. Bennett	8,500	*	
Frank Bakker	_		
Nassef Sawiris	62,520,716	29.73	%
Francis G. Meyer			
Dod A. Fraser	_		
Nathaniel A. Gregory	_		
Ahmed K. El-Hoshy	11,281	*	
Fady Kiama	_		
All directors and executive officers of our general partner as a group (eight persons)	62,540,497	29.74	%

^{*} Less than 1%.

Securities Authorized for Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans

The following table provides information as of December 31, 2016 with respect to common units that may be issued under our LTIP:

Plan category	Number of securities to upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	to be issued Weighted-average executed of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Number of securities remaining eraise parties for future issuance under equity compensation plans(1)	
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	_	_	8,050,000	
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	_	_	_	
Total	_	_	8,050,000	

⁽¹⁾ Reflects the common units available for issuance pursuant to our LTIP.

⁽¹⁾ Based on 210,306,101 common shares outstanding as of March 13, 2017.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR **INDEPENDENCE**

For a discussion of director independence, see Item 10—"Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance." OCIP Holding, an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of OCI, owns (i) 69,497,590 common units, representing approximately 79.88% of our outstanding common units and (ii) all of the member interests in our general partner, which owns a non-economic general partner interest in us.

Distributions and Payments to OCI and Its Affiliates

The following summarizes the distributions and payments made or to be made by us to OCI and its affiliates (including our general partner) in connection with the ongoing operation and any liquidation of us. These distributions and payments were or will be determined by and among affiliated entities and, consequently, are not the result of arm's-length negotiations.

Operational Stage

 We will generally make cash distributions to our unitholders pro rata, including to OCIP Distributions to OCI Holding as a holder of common units. OCIP Holding owns 69,497,590 common units, and its affiliates representing approximately 79.88% of our outstanding common units and will receive a pro rata percentage of the cash available for distribution that we distribute in respect thereof.

Payments to our

its affiliates

general partner and • We will reimburse our general partner and its affiliates for all expenses incurred on our behalf.

Liquidation Stage

Liquidation

 Upon our liquidation, our unitholders will be entitled to receive liquidating distributions according to their respective capital account balances.

Our Agreements with OCI

In connection with the completion of the IPO, we, our general partner and OCI entered into the following agreements that govern the business relations among us, our general partner and OCI. These agreements were not the result of arm's-length negotiations and the terms of these agreements are not necessarily at least as favorable to each party to these agreements as terms which could have been obtained from unaffiliated third parties.

Omnibus Agreement

On October 9, 2013, in connection with the closing of the IPO, we entered into an omnibus agreement (the "Omnibus Agreement") by and between us, OCI, OCI USA, our general partner, and OCIB. The Omnibus Agreement addresses certain aspects of our relationship with OCI and OCI USA, including: (i) certain indemnification obligations, (ii) the provision by OCI USA to us of certain services, including selling, general and administrative services and management and operating services relating to operating our business, (iii) our use of the name "OCI" and related marks and (iv) the allocation among us and OCI USA of certain tax attributes.

Under the Omnibus Agreement, OCI USA will indemnify us for certain environmental losses suffered or incurred by us, directly or indirectly, by reason of or arising out of (i) any violation of environmental laws, (ii) any environmental event, condition or matter associated with or arising from the ownership or operation of the assets contributed to us and (iii) any environmental event, condition or matter associated with or arising from the assets retained by OCI USA, whether occurring before, on or after the closing of the IPO and whether occurring under environmental laws as in effect prior to, at or after the closing of the IPO. With respect to clause (i) or clause (ii) of the preceding sentence, OCI USA will be obligated to indemnify us only to the extent that such violation or environmental event, condition or matter was caused by the consummation of the transactions contemplated by the Contribution Agreement or commenced, occurred or existed before the closing of the IPO under environmental laws as in effect prior to the closing of the IPO. OCI USA's indemnification obligations for covered environmental losses will be subject to a deductible of \$250,000 per claim before we are entitled to indemnification. There is no limit on the amount for which OCI USA will indemnify us under the Omnibus Agreement once we meets the deductible, if applicable.

Table of Contents

OCI USA will also indemnify us from and against any losses suffered or incurred by us by reason of or arising out of: our transfer of employees to OCI USA or to our general partner prior to the closing of the IPO;

the failure of us to be the owner of valid and indefeasible easement rights or fee ownership or leasehold interests in and to the lands on which the contributed assets are located, (ii) the failure of us to have the consents, licenses and permits necessary to allow any pipeline included in the contributed assets to cross roads, waterways, railroads or other areas or the transfer of any of the contributed assets to us and (iii) the cost of curing the conditions set forth in clause (i) or clause (ii), in each case to the extent asserted prior to the third anniversary of the closing of the IPO; the consummation of the transactions contemplated by the Contribution Agreement or events and conditions associated with the ownership or operation of the contributed assets and occurring before the closing of the IPO (other than covered environmental losses);

events and conditions associated with any assets retained by OCI USA;

federal, state and local tax liabilities attributable to the ownership or operation of the contributed assets on or prior to the closing of the IPO; and

the failure of us to have on the closing date of the IPO any consent, license, permit or approval necessary to allow us to own or operate the contributed assets in substantially the same manner that the contributed assets were owned or operated immediately prior to the closing date of the IPO.

We will indemnify OCI USA for events and conditions associated with the ownership or operation of the contributed assets that occur after the closing of the IPO and for environmental liabilities related to the contributed assets to the extent OCI USA is not required to indemnify us as described above. There is no limit on the amount for which we will indemnify OCI USA under the Omnibus Agreement.

In addition, under the Omnibus Agreement, OCI USA will provide, or cause one or more of its affiliates to provide, us with such selling, general and administrative services and management and operating services as may be necessary to manage and operate our business and affairs. Pursuant to the Omnibus Agreement, we will reimburse OCI USA for all reasonable direct or indirect costs and expenses incurred by OCI USA or its affiliates in connection with the provision of such services, including the compensation and employee benefits of employees of OCI USA or its affiliates. Subject to the terms and conditions of the Omnibus Agreement, OCI granted and conveyed to us a nontransferable, nonexclusive, royalty-free right and license to use the "OCI" logo and trademark and all other trademarks and tradenames owned by OCI.

We will also reimburse OCI USA for our share of state and local income or other taxes borne by OCI USA as a result of our income being included in a combined or consolidated state or local tax return filed by OCI USA with respect to taxable periods including or beginning on the closing date of the IPO.

The Omnibus Agreement, other than the indemnification provisions set forth therein, may be terminated (i) by the written agreement of all of the parties thereto or (ii) by OCI or us immediately upon a "Partnership Change of Control" (as defined in the Omnibus Agreement) by written notice given to the other parties to the Omnibus Agreement.

Distributions and Payments to OCI USA and Its Affiliates

Prior to the completion of the IPO, certain assets of OCIB were transferred to OCI USA including \$27.5 million of trade receivables. All collections of transferred trade receivables have been received by the Partnership and will be remitted to OCI USA. During the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, we remitted \$432,000 and \$331,000, respectively, of the collections of the transferred trade receivables to OCI USA.

On June 30, 2016, OCIB entered into a non-cash settlement agreement with OCI USA and OCI Fertilizer to settle \$304,000 of the accrued interest—related party due to OCI Fertilizer in relation to the commitment fee on the unused portion of the intercompany revolving facility agreement between OCIB and OCI Fertilizer. As a result of this settlement agreement, OCIB incurred \$304,000 of accounts payable—related party due to OCI USA.

Accounts payable—related party includes amounts incurred but unpaid to OCI USA of \$9.6 million and \$9.7 million as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Revolving Credit Facility—Related Party

On September 15, 2016, the previously existing \$40.0 million intercompany revolving facility agreement between OCIB and OCI Fertilizer was terminated and replaced with an revolving credit facility—related party agreement with OCI USA (the "Revolving Credit Facility—Related Party"), which became effective on November 30, 2016. The Revolving Credit Facility—Related Party has a borrowing capacity of \$40.0 million and a maturity date of January 20, 2020. For a description of the revolving credit facility—related party, please read "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources—Credit Facilities—Revolving Credit Facility—Related Party."

Term Loan Facility—Related Party

On September 15, 2016, the previously existing intercompany term facility between OCIB and OCI Fertilizer was terminated and replaced with a term loan facility—related party agreement with OCI USA. On November 30, 2016, the term loan facility—related party became effective and has a borrowing capacity of \$200.0 million and a maturity date of January 20, 2020. For a description of the term loan facility—related party, please read "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources—Credit Facilities—Term Loan Facility—Related Party."

Construction Agreement with Orascom E&C USA Inc.

In June 2013, OCIB entered into a procurement and construction contract with Orascom E&C USA Inc., an affiliate of OCI, pursuant to which Orascom E&C USA Inc. undertook the debottlenecking of OCIB's methanol and ammonia production units (the "Construction Contract"). Upon execution of the Construction Contract, the technical service agreement that was previously entered into by OCIB and OCI Construction Limited, an affiliate of OCI, providing for management and construction services relating to the debottlenecking project was subsumed within the Construction Contract. Under the terms of the Construction Contract, Orascom E&C USA Inc. was paid on a cost-reimbursable basis, plus a fixed fee equal to 9% of the costs of the project, excluding any discounts. The contract allocated customary responsibilities to OCIB and Orascom E&C USA Inc. The agreement did not provide for the imposition of liquidated or consequential damages. Costs (including the fixed fee) incurred under the Construction Contract were \$239,000 during the year ended December 31, 2015. No amounts were incurred under this contract during the year ended December 31, 2016. All amounts incurred under this contract were capitalized into construction in progress, which is a component of property plant and equipment shown in the consolidated balance sheet. We had no amounts due to Orascom E&C USA Inc. as of December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015.

Related Party Sales

On May 12, 2015, OCIB entered into an agreement with OCI Fertilizers USA LLC ("OCI Fertilizers USA"), an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of OCI that is a wholesaler of ammonia, to supply OCI Fertilizers USA with commercial grade anhydrous ammonia. OCI Fertilizers USA purchases the ammonia to resell to third parties. The term of the agreement began on June 1, 2015 and ends on May 31, 2017 and renews automatically unless a party cancels with 90 days' notice. Under the terms of the agreement, OCI Fertilizers USA is paid a 1.5% commission of the sales price to third parties. During the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, we had related party sales of \$7.7 million and \$6.5 million, respectively, for the sale of ammonia to OCI Fertilizers USA. Accounts Receivable—related party includes amounts due from OCI Fertilizer USA of \$1.3 million and \$0.6 million as of December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively.

On December 14, 2015, OCIB entered into an agreement with OCI Fertilizer Trade & Supply B.V. ("OCI Fertilizer Trade & Supply"), an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of OCI that is an international trader of ammonia, to supply OCI Fertilizers Trade & Supply with commercial grade anhydrous ammonia. OCI Fertilizers Trade & Supply purchases the ammonia to resell to OCI Nitrogen at its facilities in the Netherlands. The term of the agreement began in December 2015 and ended in February 2016. During the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, we had related party sales of \$3.3 million and \$4.2 million, respectively, for the sale of ammonia to OCI Fertilizers Trade & Supply. Accounts Receivable—related party includes amounts due from OCI Fertilizer Trade & Supply of \$4.2 million as of December 31, 2015. No amounts were due from OCI Fertilizer Trade & Supply as of December 31, 2016.

Other Transactions with Related Parties

Intercompany Equity Commitment

On November 27, 2013, the Partnership entered into an intercompany equity commitment agreement with OCI USA (the "Intercompany Equity Commitment"). Under the terms of the Intercompany Equity Commitment, OCI USA was obligated to make an equity contribution not to exceed \$100.0 million to the Partnership if (a) prior to the completion of the debottlenecking project, the Partnership or OCIB had liquidity needs for working capital or other needs and the restrictions under the Term Loan B Credit Facility or any other debt instrument prohibit the Partnership or OCIB from incurring sufficient additional debt to fund such liquidity needs; or (b) OCIB failed to comply with any of the financial covenants as of the last day of any fiscal quarter.

On November 10, 2014, pursuant to the Intercompany Equity Commitment, the Partnership received a capital contribution of \$60.0 million from OCIP Holding, to help finance the funding required to complete the debottlenecking project, and, in exchange, the Partnership issued 2,995,372 common units to OCIP Holding. The common units were issued pursuant to a contribution agreement, dated November 10, 2014, by and among the Partnership, OCIP Holding and OCI USA, at a price per common unit equal to \$20.0309 (the volume-weighted average trading price of a common unit on the NYSE, calculated over the consecutive 20-trading day period ending on the close of trading on the trading day immediately prior to the issue date). Immediately following the issuance of common units to OCIP Holding on November 10, 2014, OCIP Holding held 65,995,372 common units in the Partnership, representing a 79.04% limited partner interest.

On April 17, 2015, the Partnership received a capital contribution of \$60.0 million from OCIP Holding to partially fund capital expenditures and other costs and expenses incurred in connection with the debottlenecking project, and, in exchange, the Partnership issued 3,502,218 common units to OCIP Holding. The capital contribution consisted of the remaining available \$40.0 million under the Intercompany Equity Commitment and an additional \$20.0 million cash contribution. The common units were issued pursuant to a contribution agreement, dated April 17, 2015, by and among the Partnership, OCIP Holding and OCI USA, at a price per common unit equal to \$17.132 (the volume-weighted average trading price of a common unit on the NYSE, calculated over the consecutive 21-trading day period ending on the close of trading on the trading day immediately prior to the issue date). Immediately following the issuance of common units to OCIP Holding on April 17, 2015, OCIP Holding held 69,497,590 common units in the Partnership, representing a 79.88% limited partner interest. Due to the capital contributions by OCIP Holding on November 10, 2014 and April 17, 2015, and the completion of the debottlenecking project, OCI USA has no further obligation to make equity contributions to us under the Intercompany Equity Commitment. Guarantee of Term Loan B Credit Facility

The term loans under the Term Loan B Credit Facility, and related fees and expenses, are unconditionally guaranteed by OCIP and OCI USA and are secured by a first priority lien on substantially all of OCIB's assets and a pledge by OCIP of its ownership interest in OCIB (OCI USA does not provide any security in connection with its guarantee). Please read "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources—Credit Facilities—Term Loan B Credit Facility."

Procedures for Review, Approval and Ratification of Related Person Transactions

The board of directors of our general partner adopted a code of business conduct and ethics in connection with the completion of the IPO that provides that the board of directors of our general partner or an authorized committee of the board of directors periodically will review all transactions with a related person that are required to be disclosed under SEC rules and, when appropriate, initially authorize or ratify all such transactions. In the event that the board of directors of our general partner or the authorized committee considers ratification of a related person transaction and determines not to so ratify such transaction, our code of business conduct and ethics requires that the officers of our general partner make all reasonable efforts to cancel or annul the transaction.

The code of business conduct and ethics provides that, in determining whether or not to recommend the initial approval or ratification of a transaction with a related person, the board of directors of our general partner or the authorized committee should consider all of the relevant facts and circumstances available, including (if applicable) but not limited to: (i) whether there is an appropriate business justification for the transaction; (ii) the benefits that accrue to our partnership as a result of the transaction; (iii) the terms available to unrelated third parties entering into similar transactions; (iv) the impact of the transaction on a director's independence (in the event the related person is a director, an immediate family member of a director or an entity in which a director is a partner, shareholder or executive officer); (v) the availability of other sources for comparable products or services; (vi) whether it is a single transaction or a series of ongoing, related transactions; and (vii) whether entering into the transaction would be consistent with our code of business conduct and ethics.

The code of business conduct and ethics described above was adopted in connection with the completion of the IPO, and, therefore, the transactions that occurred before the closing of the IPO on October 9, 2013, were not reviewed under such policy.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

The charter of the audit committee of the board of directors of our general partner, which is available on our website at www.ocipartnerslp.com, requires the audit committee to pre-approve all audit services and non-audit services (other than de minimis non-audit services as defined by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002) to be provided by our independent registered public accounting firm. Our audit committee pre-approved all fees incurred in fiscal years 2016 and 2015. The following table presents fees billed and expected to be billed for professional audit services rendered by KPMG LLP for fiscal years 2016 and 2015 and fees billed and expected to be billed for other services rendered by KPMG LLP for fiscal years 2016 and 2015.

Fiscal Year Fiscal Year 2016 2015

KPMG LLP:

Audit Fees(1) \$1,079,051 \$1,234,579 Tax Fees(2) \$20,000 \$25,000 Total \$1,099,051 \$1,259,579

Represents the aggregate fees billed and expected to be billed for professional services rendered for the audit of our financial statements, quarterly reviews, Securities Act filings and related matters, and consents issued in connection with Securities Act filings arising during the course of the audit for fiscal years 2016 and 2015

(2) Represents fees for professional services rendered in connection with tax compliance.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a)(1) and (2) Financial Statements and Schedules.

The information required by this Item is included in Part II, Item 8 of this report. Financial statement schedules required under SEC rules but not included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K are omitted because they are not applicable or the required information is contained in the consolidated financial statements or notes thereto. (b) Exhibits.

See Exhibit Index.

EXHIBIT INDEX

EAHIDI	TINDEX	Incorporated by Reference					
Exhibit	Exhibit Exhibit Description			Filing Date	SEC File		
Number	•			_	No.		
3.1*	Certificate of Limited Partnership of OCI Partners LP	S-1	3.1	June 14, 2013	333-189350		
3.2*	Certificate of Amendment to Certificate of Limited Partnership of OCI Partners LP	S-1	3.2	June 14, 2013	333-189350		
3.3*	First Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of OCI Partners LP, dated as of October 9, 2013	8-K	3.1	October 15, 2013	001-36098		
3.4*	Amendment No. 1 to First Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of OCI Partners LP, dated as of March 26, 2014	8-K	3.1	March 26, 2014	001-36098		
10.1*	Contribution, Conveyance and Assumption Agreement, dated as of October 9, 2013, by and among OCI Partners LP, OCI GP LLC, OCI USA Inc. and OCI Beaumont LLC	8-K	3.1	October 15, 2013	001-36098		
10.2*	Omnibus Agreement, entered into and effective as of October 9, 2013, by and between OCI N.V., OCI USA Inc., OCI Partners LP, OCI GP LLC and OCI Beaumont LLC	8-K	3.2	October 15, 2013	001-36098		
10.3*#	OCI Partners LP 2013 Long-Term Incentive Plan Term Loan Credit Agreement, dated as of August 20, 2013,	8-K	3.3	October 15, 2013	001-36098		
10.4A*	among OCI Beaumont LLC, as borrower, OCI USA Inc., as guarantor, various lenders, Barclays Bank PLC, as syndication agent, Citibank, N.A., as documentation agent, and Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent	S-1/A	10.7	September 9, 2013	333-189350		
10.4B*	Amendment No. 1, dated as of November 27, 2013, to Term Loan Credit Agreement, dated as of August 20, 2013, among OCI Beaumont LLC, as borrower, OCI USA Inc., OCI Partners LP, various lenders and Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent	8-K	10.2	December 4, 2013	001-36098		
10.4C*	Amendment No. 2, dated as of April 4, 2014, to Term Loan Credit Agreement, dated as of August 20, 2013, among OCI Beaumont LLC, as borrower, OCI USA Inc., OCI Partners LP, various lenders and Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent	8-K	10.2	April 4, 2014	001-36098		
10.4D*	Amendment No. 3, dated as of June 13, 2014, among OCI Beaumont LLC, OCI USA Inc., OCI Partners LP and Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent, to the Term Loan Credit Agreement dated as of August 20, 2013	8-K	10.2	June 19, 2014	001-36098		
10.4E*	Amendment No. 4, dated as of March 12, 2015, among OCI Beaumont LLC, OCI USA Inc., OCI Partners LP and Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent, to the Term Loan Credit Agreement dated as of August 20, 2013	8-K	10.2	March 16, 2015	001-36098		
10.4F*	Amendment No. 5 and Waiver, dated as of October 16, 2015, among OCI Beaumont, LLC, OCI USA Inc., OCI Partners LP and Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent, and the other lenders party thereto, to the Term Loan Agreement dated as of August 20, 2013	8-K	10.2	October 16, 2015	001-36098		

10.4G*	the Term Loan Credit Agreement dated as of August 20, 2013	8-K	10.2	March 22, 2016	001-36098
10.4H*	the Term Loan Credit Agreement dated as of August 20, 2013	8-K	10.1		001-36098
10.5*	Beaumont Fertilizer Plant Contract Agreement for Methanol and Ammonia Debottlenecking and Plant Turnaround, dated June 5, 2013, between OCI Beaumont LLC and Orascom E&C USA Inc.	S-1/A	10.4	July 23, 2013	333-189350
10.6*	Equity Commitment Letter, dated as of November 27, 2013, between OCI USA Inc. and OCI Partners LP	8-K	10.1	December 4, 2013	001-36098
10.7A*	Revolving Credit Agreement, dated as of April 4, 2014, among OCI Beaumont LLC, OCI Partners LP and Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent, lead arranger and bookrunner	8-K	10.1	April 9,2014	001-36098
10.7B*	Amendment No. 1, dated as of June 13, 2014, among OCI Beaumont LLC, OCI Partners LP and Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent and a lender, to the Revolving Credit Agreement dated as of April 4, 2014	8-K	10.1	June 19, 2014	001-36098
10.7C*	Amendment No. 2, dated as of March 12, 2015, among OCI Beaumont LLC, OCI Partners LP and Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent and a lender, to the Revolving Credit Agreement dated as of April 4, 2014	8-K	10.1	March 16, 2015	001-36098
10.7D*	Amendment No. 3 and Waiver, dated as of October 16, 2015, among	8-K	10.1	October 16, 2015	001-36098
10.7E*	Amendment No. 4 and Waiver, dated as of March 11, 2016, among	8-K	10.2	March 17, 2016	001-36098
10.7F*	Amendment No. 5 and Waiver, dated as of March 17, 2016, among OCI Beaumont LLC, OCI Partners LP and Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent, and the other lenders party thereto, to the Revolving Credit Agreement dated as of April 4, 2014	8-K	10.3	March 17, 2016	001-36098
10.7G*	Amendment No. 6 and Waiver, dated as of January 4, 2017, among	8-K	10.1	January 10, 2017	001-36098
10.8*	Contribution Agreement, dated November 10, 2014, by and among OCI Partners LP, OCI USA Inc. and OCIP Holding LLC	8-K	10.1	November 12, 2014	001-36098
10.9*	Contribution Agreement, dated April 17, 2015, by and among OCI Partners LP, OCI USA Inc. and OCIP Holding LLC	8-K	10.1	April 17, 2015	001-36098

Table of Contents

10.10*	Incremental Term Loan Commitment Agreement, dated as of July 2, 2015, among OCI USA Inc., OCI Beaumont LLC, OCI Partners LP and Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent	8-K	10.1	July 2, 2015	001-36098
10.11*	Amended and Restated Intercompany Term Facility Agreement, effective November 30, 2016, among OCI Beaumont LLC and OCI USA Inc.	8-K	10.2	December 1, 2016	001-36098
10.12*	Intercompany Revolving Facility Agreement, dated as of September 15, 2016, among OCI Beaumont LLC and OCI USA, Inc.	8-K	10.3	December 1, 2016	001-36098
21.1†	List of Subsidiaries				
23.1†	Consent of Independent Registered Accounting Firm				
24.1†	Power of Attorney of Directors and Officers of OCI GP LLC				
31.1†	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)				
31.1	under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934				
31.2†	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule				
31.2	13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934				
32.1†	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section				
32.1	1350				
32.2†	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section				
	1350				
	XBRL Instance Document				
	XBRL Schema Document				
	XBRL Calculation Linkbase Document				
	XBRL Labels Linkbase Document				
	XBRL Presentation Linkbase Document				
101.DEF+	XBRL Definition Linkbase Document				

^{*} Incorporated by reference into this Annual Report on Form 10-K as indicated.

[†] Filed or furnished, as applicable, herewith.

[#] Compensatory plan or arrangement.

⁺ Interactive Data File.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

OCI Partners LP

By: OCI GP LLC, ITS GENERAL PARTNER

/s/ Frank Bakker

Frank Bakker.

Chief Executive Officer and President

Date: March 13, 2017

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities indicated on March 13, 2017.

/s/ Frank Bakker

Frank Bakker,

Chief Executive Officer, President and Director

(principal executive officer)

/s/ Fady Kiama

Fady Kiama,

Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

(principal financial officer and principal

accounting officer)

*

Michael L. Bennett

Chairman and Director

*

Nassef Sawiris,

Director

*

Ahmed K. El-Hoshy,

Director

*

Francis G. Meyer,

Director

ጥ

Dod A. Fraser,

Director

7

Nathaniel A. Gregory,

Director

The undersigned, by signing his name hereto, does sign and execute this report pursuant to the Power of Attorney *executed by the above-named directors and officers of the general partner of the registrant, which is being filed herewith on behalf of such directors and officers.

By:/s/ Fady Kiama

Fady Kiama

Attorney-in-Fact