

LINCOLN NATIONAL CORP
Form DEF 14A
April 13, 2018
UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, DC 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

PROXY STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 14(A) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 (AMENDMENT NO.)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement
Confidential, For Use of the Commission Only
(as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

Definitive Proxy Statement
Definitive Additional Materials
Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12
Lincoln National Corporation

(Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

1. Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

2. Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

3. Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (Set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

4. Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

5. Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

1. Amount Previously Paid:

2. Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

3. Filing Party:

4. Date Filed:



Radnor, Pennsylvania / April 13, 2018

DEAR FELLOW SHAREHOLDER:

You are invited to attend our 2018 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, to be held Friday, May 25 at The Ritz-Carlton Hotel in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. Our Board of Directors and management team look forward to greeting you.

This document describes the matters to be voted on at the Annual Meeting, so please review it carefully.

Many shareholders received a notice of internet availability instead of paper copies of our proxy statement and our 2017 Annual Report to Shareholders. The notice of internet availability provides instructions on how to access these documents over the internet and how to receive a paper or email copy of our proxy materials, including our proxy statement, our 2017 Annual Report to Shareholders, and a proxy card. Electronic delivery enables us to more cost-effectively provide you with the information you need while reducing the environmental impact of printing and mailing paper copies.

Please vote your shares of our stock as promptly as possible. You may vote by mailing in a proxy card, by telephone or internet, or by attending the Annual Meeting and voting in person.

On behalf of the entire Board of Directors, thank you for your continued support.

Sincerely,

William H. Cunningham

Chairman of the Board

LINCOLN NATIONAL CORPORATION 2018 PROXY STATEMENT



NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

May 25, 2018	9:00 a.m. local time	The Ritz-Carlton Hotel 10 Avenue of the Arts Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102
--------------	-------------------------	---

Mailing date: April 13, 2018

The purpose of the meeting is to:

1. elect eleven directors for a one-year term expiring at the 2019 Annual Meeting of Shareholders;
2. ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2018;
3. approve an advisory resolution on the compensation of our named executive officers;
4. consider and vote upon a shareholder proposal regarding the right to call special meetings of shareholders if properly presented at the meeting; and
5. consider and vote upon any other matters that might come up at the meeting.

You may vote at the Annual Meeting if you were a shareholder of record at the close of business on March 19, 2018. Please cast your votes by one of the following methods:

SIGNING AND RETURNING TOLL-FREE THE INTERNET IN PERSON AT THE
A PROXY CARD TELEPHONE ANNUAL MEETING

If, going forward, you would like to receive electronic delivery of future proxy materials, please see page 83 for more information.

For the Board of Directors,

Andrea D. Goodrich

Senior Vice President & Secretary

Lincoln National Corporation

Radnor, Pennsylvania

LINCOLN NATIONAL CORPORATION 2018 PROXY STATEMENT



TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>PROXY SUMMARY</u>	1
<u>GOVERNANCE OF THE COMPANY</u>	5
<u>AGENDA ITEM 1 - ELECTION OF DIRECTORS</u>	15
<u>Nominees for Director</u>	15
<u>COMPENSATION OF OUTSIDE DIRECTORS</u>	21
<u>AGENDA ITEM 2 - RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM</u>	25
<u>Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Fees and Services</u>	25
<u>Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policy</u>	26
<u>Other Information</u>	26
<u>Audit Committee Report</u>	27
<u>AGENDA ITEM 3 - ADVISORY PROPOSAL ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	28
<u>COMPENSATION DISCUSSION & ANALYSIS</u>	30
<u>Executive Summary</u>	31
<u>Compensation Committee Report</u>	57
<u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION TABLES</u>	58
<u>Summary Compensation Table</u>	58
<u>Grants of Plan-Based Awards</u>	61
<u>Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End</u>	63
<u>Option Exercises and Stock Vested</u>	65
<u>Pension Benefits</u>	66
<u>Nonqualified Deferred Compensation</u>	67
<u>Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control</u>	69

<u>AGENDA ITEM 4 - SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL REGARDING SPECIAL SHAREHOLDER MEETINGS</u>	76
<u>COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION</u>	80
<u>RELATED-PARTY TRANSACTIONS</u>	80
<u>SECURITY OWNERSHIP</u>	81
<u>ANNUAL MEETING INFORMATION</u>	83
<u>GENERAL INFORMATION</u>	87
<u>Shareholder Proposals</u>	87
<u>Incorporation by Reference</u>	87
<u>Compliance with Beneficial Ownership Reporting</u>	88
<u>Annual Report</u>	88
<u>Additional Voting Matters</u>	88
<u>EXHIBIT 1 - RECONCILIATION OF NON-GAAP MEASURES</u>	E-1
<u>EXHIBIT 2 - DEFINITIONS FOR INCENTIVE COMPENSATION PROGRAMS</u>	E-5

IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING THE AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS FOR THE ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS TO BE HELD ON MAY 25, 2018: This proxy statement and the accompanying annual report are available at: www.proxydocs.com/Inc.

LINCOLN NATIONAL CORPORATION 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

PROXY SUMMARY

PROXY SUMMARY

This summary highlights certain information for your convenience. Since it does not contain all of the information you should consider, we encourage you to read the entire proxy statement carefully before voting.

ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

DATE / TIME	PLACE	VOTING
Friday, May 25, 2018 9:00 a.m. local time	The Ritz-Carlton Hotel 10 Avenue of the Arts Philadelphia, PA 19102	Shareholders as of the record date are entitled to vote. Each share of common stock is entitled to one vote for each director nominee and one vote for each of the other proposals.

RECORD DATE
March 19, 2018

VOTING MATTERS

AGENDA ITEM	OUR BOARD'S VOTING WHERE TO FIND	
	RECOMMENDATION	MORE INFORMATION
1. Election of eleven directors for a one-year term expiring at the 2019 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.	FOR each director nominee	Page 15
2. Ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm for 2018.	FOR the ratification	Page 25
3. Approval of an advisory resolution on the compensation of our named executive officers.	FOR the resolution	Page 28
4.	AGAINST the proposal	Page 76

Respond to an advisory shareholder proposal regarding the right to call special meetings of shareholders.

LINCOLN NATIONAL CORPORATION 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

1

PROXY SUMMARY

BOARD OF DIRECTOR NOMINEES

NAME	DIRECTOR		SKILLS/QUALIFICATIONS	INDEPENDENT	COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIPS
	AGE	SINCE			
Deirdre P. Connelly	57	2016	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • business operations and strategic planning • finance and capital management • corporate governance 	Yes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Audit • Corporate Governance
Retired President, North American Pharmaceuticals of GlaxoSmithKline					
William H. Cunningham	74	2006	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • finance and capital management • marketing/public relations • talent management • corporate governance 	Yes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Compensation • Corporate Governance • Executive (Chair) • Finance
Professor, University of Texas at Austin and James J. Bayless Chair for Free Enterprise at the University's McCombs School of Business					
Dennis R. Glass	68	2006	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • business operations and strategic planning • finance and capital management • talent management 	No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Executive
President and Chief Executive Officer, Lincoln National Corporation					
George W. Henderson, III	69	2006	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • accounting • finance and capital management 	Yes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Audit • Finance
Retired Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Burlington Industries, Inc.					
Eric G. Johnson	67	1998	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • business operations and strategic planning • finance and capital management • marketing/public relations 	Yes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Compensation • Executive • Finance (Chair)
President and Chief Executive Officer, Baldwin Richardson					

Foods Company						
Gary C. Kelly	63	2009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • business operations and strategic planning • finance and capital management 	Yes		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Audit
Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, Southwest Airlines Co.			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • public accounting 			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Finance
M. Leanne Lachman	75	1985	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • business operations and strategic planning • finance and capital management • marketing/public relations • corporate governance • risk management 	Yes		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Audit (Chair)
President, Lachman Associates LLC and Executive in Residence, Columbia Graduate School of Business						
Michael F. Mee	75	2001	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • finance and capital management • public accounting • business operations and strategic planning 	Yes		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Compensation • Executive • Finance
Retired Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, Bristol-Myers Squibb Company						
Patrick S. Pittard	72	2006	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • finance and capital management • public accounting • talent management • corporate governance 	Yes		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Compensation (Chair)
Chairman and CEO, Southern Fiber Company						

LINCOLN NATIONAL CORPORATION 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

2

PROXY SUMMARY

BOARD OF DIRECTOR NOMINEES (cont'd.)

NAME	DIRECTOR			INDEPENDENT	COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIPS
	OCCUPATION	AGE	SINCE		
Isaiah Tidwell Retired Executive Vice President and Georgia Wealth Management Director, Wachovia Bank, N.A.	73	2006	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • accounting • risk management • corporate governance 	Yes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Audit • Corporate Governance (Chair)
Lynn M. Utter Chief Executive Officer, First Source, LLC	55	2017	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • business operations and strategic planning • risk management • corporate governance 	Yes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Corporate Governance • Finance

GOVERNANCE HIGHLIGHTS

Sound governance is important to our Board, which regularly evaluates and implements policies that reflect corporate governance best practices. Some of these practices are:

Our Chairman of the Board is an independent director;

All of our directors, except for the chief executive officer, are independent and each of the Audit, Compensation, Corporate Governance, and Finance Committees are entirely composed of independent directors;

Our shareholders have the opportunity to cast a vote with respect to all of our directors at least once per year;

Our Board is de-classified and directors serve one-year terms;

We have a majority voting standard for the election of our directors and maintain a director resignation policy for directors in uncontested elections;

Our shareholders have the right to call a special meeting to transact Company business;

We provide for “proxy access” in our Bylaws pursuant to which shareholders can place a director candidate to stand for election in the Company’s proxy materials;

We have established robust stock ownership guidelines for directors and executive officers;

We maintain a policy prohibiting pledging and hedging ownership of our stock;

Independent directors meet regularly in executive session; and

Our Board and committees conduct annual self-assessments, and our directors conduct annual individual self-assessments.

PROXY SUMMARY

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION HIGHLIGHTS

The key objectives of our executive compensation program are to:

MOTIVATE OUR EXECUTIVES TO INCREASE PROFITABILITY AND SHAREHOLDER RETURN	PAY COMPENSATION THAT VARIES BASED ON PERFORMANCE	RETAIN KEY EXECUTIVE TALENT, AS THIS IS CRITICAL TO OUR SUCCESS
--	---	---

We are asking you to cast an advisory, nonbinding vote to approve compensation awarded to our named executive officers (“NEOs”) — our chief executive officer (“CEO”), chief financial officer (“CFO”), and three additional most highly paid executive officers as listed on page 30. At our last Annual Meeting, shareholders expressed strong support for our executive compensation programs, with approximately 91% of votes cast in favor of the advisory resolution on executive compensation.

PAY FOR PERFORMANCE

We seek to align pay and performance by making a significant portion of our NEOs’ compensation dependent on:

achieving specific annual and long-term strategic and financial goals; and
increasing shareholder value.

2017 Pay Mix. NEO compensation is weighted toward variable compensation (annual and long-term incentives), which is at risk because the actual amounts earned could differ from targeted amounts based on corporate and individual performance. As the following charts show, the vast majority of our CEO’s and other NEOs’ target direct compensation for 2017 could vary significantly based on company performance, including stock-price performance.

Note, the amounts in these graphs are shown at target and therefore will not match the values reflected in the Summary Compensation Table on page 58. For additional details about our executive compensation programs and our NEOs’ fiscal year 2017 compensation, please see “Compensation Discussion & Analysis” beginning on page 30 and “Executive Compensation Tables” beginning on page 58.

LINCOLN NATIONAL CORPORATION 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

4

Proxy Statement

ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS | MAY 25, 2018

The Board of Directors (the “Board”) of Lincoln National Corporation (the “Company,” “we,” “us,” “LNC” or “Lincoln”) is soliciting proxies in connection with the proposals to be voted on at the 2018 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which will be held beginning at 9:00 a.m. local time on Friday, May 25 at The Ritz-Carlton Hotel, 10 Avenue of the Arts, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102. This proxy statement and a proxy card or a notice of internet availability were sent to our shareholders on or about April 13. When we refer to our 2018 Annual Meeting of Shareholders (the “Meeting” or the “Annual Meeting,” we are also referring to any meeting that results from an adjournment of the Annual Meeting).

GOVERNANCE OF THE COMPANY

Integrity, respect and responsibility are not just guiding principles for us. They unify and inspire us to help people to take charge of their lives. Our Board is responsible for directing and overseeing the management of the Company’s business in the best interests of our shareholders and consistent with good corporate citizenship. In carrying out its responsibilities, the Board provides oversight for the process of selecting and monitoring the performance of senior management, provides oversight for financial reporting and legal and regulatory compliance, determines the Company’s governance guidelines, and implements its governance policies. The Board, together with management, is responsible for establishing our values and code of conduct and for setting strategic direction and priorities.

BOARD STRUCTURE AND LEADERSHIP

Our Board has eleven members, ten of whom are non-employees, or outside directors. The Board has determined that all ten outside directors are independent, as discussed below. The Board may fill a director vacancy or reduce the size of the Board at any time without shareholder approval.

The Board has no set policy requiring separation of the offices of CEO and Chairman of the Board (“Chairman”). It believes that the decision on whether or not to separate these roles should be part of the regular succession planning process and be made based on the best interests of the Company.

Currently, we separate the roles of CEO and Chairman in recognition of the differences between these roles. The CEO is responsible for setting the Company’s performance and strategic direction and for day-to-day leadership, while the Chairman provides guidance to the CEO and management, consults on the agenda for Board meetings, acts as the key liaison between the Board and management, and presides over meetings of the full Board and of the independent directors. He also has the authority to call special meetings of the Board.

The Board elects the Chairman annually. William H. Cunningham, an independent director, has served as our Chairman since 2009.

BOARD'S ROLE IN RISK OVERSIGHT

Enterprise risk management is an integral part of our business processes. Senior management is primarily responsible for establishing policies and procedures designed to assess and manage the Company's significant risks. We also have a Corporate Enterprise Risk and Capital Committee, made up of members of senior management and the Chief Risk Officer, which provides oversight of our enterprise-wide risk structure and of our processes to identify, measure, monitor and manage significant risks, including credit, market and operating risk. The Board's role is regular oversight of the overall

LINCOLN NATIONAL CORPORATION 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

5

GOVERNANCE Our Corporate Governance Guidelines

risk management process, including reviews of operational, financial, legal and regulatory, cybersecurity, compensation, strategic and competitive risks. The Board reviews the most significant risks the Company faces and the manner in which our executives manage these risks. The Board has also delegated certain of its risk oversight efforts to its committees, as shown below. This structure enables the Board and its committees to coordinate the risk oversight role, particularly with respect to risk interrelationships. We believe that the separation of the Chairman and CEO roles supports the Board’s oversight role.

BOARD AND COMMITTEES: AREAS OF RISK OVERSIGHT			
FULL BOARD	AUDIT	COMPENSATION	FINANCE
Strategy	Company’s enterprise risk management efforts	Compensation policies and practices	Investment policies, strategies and guidelines
Operations	Financial statements	Executive incentive compensation and stock ownership	Capital management and structure
Competition	Financial reporting process	Executive retention and succession planning	Financial plan
Financial strategies and transactions	Accounting and audit matters		
	Legal, compliance and regulatory matters		
	Cybersecurity		

OUR CORPORATE GOVERNANCE GUIDELINES

The Board's Corporate Governance Guidelines (the "Guidelines") provide a framework for effective corporate governance and set expectations for how the Board should perform its functions. The Guidelines include the following key principles:

A majority of our Board must at all times be "independent" as defined by Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") rules and New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") listing standards.

Our independent directors must meet in executive session at least once a year, with no members of management present. Our outside directors, all of whom are independent, meet in connection with each regularly scheduled Board meeting and at any other times they may choose.

Only independent directors may serve on the Audit, Compensation and Corporate Governance Committees.

Our Board conducts an annual review of the performance of the Board and the Audit, Compensation, Corporate Governance, and Finance Committees each year. Our directors also conduct an annual review of their individual performance.

The written charters of the Audit, Compensation, and Corporate Governance Committees comply with the NYSE's listing standards and are reviewed at least once each year.

We have a Code of Conduct, available on our website at www.lfg.com, which includes our "code of ethics" for purposes of SEC rules and our "code of business conduct and ethics" for purposes of the NYSE listing standards. We will disclose amendments to or waivers from a required provision of the code by including such information on our website.

The full texts of our Guidelines and committee charters are available on our website at www.lfg.com.

DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

Under the Corporate Governance Guidelines, a majority of our directors must at all times be "independent" and meet the NYSE listing standards regarding independence as incorporated in our Guidelines. Among other things, these standards require the Board to determine that our independent directors have no material relationship with Lincoln other than as directors.

LINCOLN NATIONAL CORPORATION 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

Applying these standards, the Corporate Governance Committee and the Board have reviewed the independence of each director and director nominee and the Board has determined that:

Directors Connelly, Cunningham, Henderson, Johnson, Kelly, Lachman, Mee, Pittard, Tidwell and Utter are independent.

All members of the Audit, Compensation, Corporate Governance and Finance Committees are independent of our management and of the Company.

In conducting its independence review, the Board will consider, among other things, transactions and relationships between each outside director (or any member of his or her immediate family) and us or our subsidiaries and affiliates. The Board takes into account that in the ordinary course of business, we conduct transactions with companies at which some of our directors are or have been directors, employees or officers. Transactions that are in the ordinary course of business on terms substantially equivalent to those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions, and that fall below the threshold levels set forth in our independence standards do not impact a director's independence under our standards.

DIRECTOR NOMINATION PROCESS

Under our Guidelines, the Board is responsible for selecting its own members. The Corporate Governance Committee is charged with:

Identifying the competencies appropriate for the Board.

Identifying which, if any, of those competencies may be missing or under-represented on the current Board.

Identifying individuals with appropriate qualifications and attributes.

Recommending to the Board the director nominees for the next annual meeting of shareholders.

Although there are no specific minimum qualifications for director nominees, the Corporate Governance Committee's charter allows the committee to consider any factors it deems appropriate. The Corporate Governance Committee reviews with the Board the appropriate skills and characteristics required of directors in the context of the Board's current make-up. In addition to considering a candidate's background, experience and professional accomplishments, the Board looks for individuals with, among other attributes, integrity, business acumen, specific skills (such as an understanding of marketing, finance, accounting, regulation and public policy), and a commitment to our shared values.

Our Board reflects a diverse, highly engaged group of directors with appropriate skills and varying backgrounds. We have a rigorous Board evaluation process that includes an annual self-assessment, individual director assessments, and peer review to foster the right mix of subject matter expertise, capabilities and perspectives. Our Board also takes a thoughtful approach to board refreshment with the intent to align directors' skills with our Company strategy. The Board regularly reviews the composition and qualifications of our directors.

After a deliberate and inclusive process, Lynn M. Utter was elected as a new director during 2017, to fill the vacancy left by a retired director. Ms. Utter's experience and expertise is well-suited to our Board and complements that of our other directors. This is the second new director elected to our Board in the last two years following the retirement of a director. The Board will continue to review its composition and structure, balancing the need for continuity and experience with fresh ideas and perspectives.

As set forth in our Guidelines, Board refreshment over time is critical to ensuring that the Board as a whole maintains the appropriate balance of tenure, diversity, skills and experience needed to provide effective oversight in light of the Company's current and long-term strategic needs. The Board does not believe that arbitrary term limits for directors based on age or years of service are appropriate, as they can result in the Company losing the valuable contribution of directors who have over time developed increased insight into the Company and its operations. The Company benefits from a mix of experienced

LINCOLN NATIONAL CORPORATION 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

7

GOVERNANCE Director Nomination Process

directors with a deep understanding of the Company and newer directors who bring fresh perspectives. However, a director's service should not outlast his or her ability to contribute and consequently the Board does not believe that directors should expect to be re-nominated continually. Each director's continued tenure is re-considered annually, taking into account the results of the Board's annual self-evaluation, annual individual director peer evaluations, results of voting by shareholders in annual director elections and the Company's needs. It is the Board's intent to maintain a balance of directors who have longer terms of service with those who have joined more recently.

Although the Board does not have a formal diversity policy, our Guidelines specify that the Corporate Governance Committee should consider diversity in the director identification and nomination process. As a result, the Corporate Governance Committee seeks nominees with a broad diversity of backgrounds, experiences, professions, education and differences in viewpoints and skills. Its goal is to ensure that the directors, as a group, provide a substantive blend of experience, knowledge and ability that enables the Board to fulfill its responsibilities in a constructive and collegial environment. In the annual evaluation of the Board and committees, the Board considers whether the members of the Board reflect such diversity and whether such diversity contributes to a constructive and collegial environment.

The Corporate Governance Committee begins the nomination process each year by deciding whether to renominate current directors, as all directors are up annually for nomination and election by our shareholders. This includes an individual assessment of each director who will be up for reelection the following year. The Corporate Governance Committee then reviews the results of the individual director assessments. It considers for renomination those Board members whose skills and experience continue to be relevant to our business and whose performance for the most recent term has also been favorably assessed.

When identifying potential director candidates — whether to replace a director who has retired or resigned or to expand the Board to gain additional capabilities — the Corporate Governance Committee determines the skills, experience and other characteristics that a potential nominee should possess (in light of the composition and needs of the Board and its committees, including whether or not the nominee would be considered “independent” under SEC rules and NYSE listing standards) and seeks candidates with those qualifications.

Although not required to do so, the Corporate Governance Committee may consider candidates proposed by our directors or our management, and may also retain an outside firm to help identify and evaluate potential nominees. The Corporate Governance Committee will also consider nominations from shareholders. Such nominations must be submitted in writing to our Corporate Secretary at our principal executive office, and must include the same information that would be required for a candidate to be nominated by a shareholder at a meeting of shareholders as described under “General Information – Shareholder Proposals for the 2019 Annual Meeting” on page 87 and in our Amended and Restated Bylaws (“bylaws”), which can be found on our website at www.lfg.com. Any such recommendation must be received by the Corporate Secretary no earlier than January 25, 2019, nor later than February 24, 2019.

Our proxy access bylaws permit a shareholder, (or a group of up to 20 shareholders) owning shares of our outstanding common stock representing at least 3% of the votes entitled to be cast on the election of directors, to nominate and include in our proxy materials director candidates constituting up to 20% of the Board. The nominating shareholder or group of shareholders must have owned their shares continuously for at least three years, and the nominating shareholder(s) and nominee(s) must satisfy other requirements specified in our bylaws.

LINCOLN NATIONAL CORPORATION 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

8

If the Corporate Governance Committee determines that it should conduct a full evaluation of a prospective candidate, including an interview, one or more members of the Corporate Governance Committee will do so, and other directors may be asked to interview the candidate as well. Upon completing the evaluation and the interview, the Corporate Governance Committee recommends to the Board whether to nominate the candidate.

The nominee evaluation process is the same whether the nomination comes from a Board member, management or a shareholder. If the Corporate Governance Committee recommends a shareholder nominee to the Board, the Board may — as with any nominee — either accept or reject the recommendation.

ANNUAL BOARD EVALUATION

Annually, the Board conducts a self-evaluation to determine whether it and its committees are functioning effectively. The Corporate Governance Committee oversees the Board evaluation process, which is designed to elicit feedback and recommendations from the directors that will improve the effectiveness of the Board. Each year, the Corporate Governance Committee reviews the overall process for the assessment as well as the substantive matters to be addressed during the evaluation. In general, the evaluation covers a variety of topics including the Company's strategy, financial performance, risk management and succession planning. The results of the assessment are discussed with each committee and the full Board following the compilation of the results.

COMMUNICATIONS WITH DIRECTORS

Shareholders and others who wish to communicate with the full Board or its outside (nonexecutive) directors may do so by sending a letter to either "The Board of Directors" or "The Outside Directors," as appropriate, at our principal executive offices:

Lincoln National Corporation

150 N. Radnor Chester Road

Radnor, PA 19087

Attention: Office of the Corporate Secretary

Our Corporate Secretary receives and processes all communications and will refer relevant and appropriate communications to the Chairman. If a communication relates to possible violations of our Code of Conduct or contains concerns or complaints regarding our accounting, internal auditing controls, or auditing matters or other related concerns, it will be referred to the Audit Committee, which has a policy for reporting such information. The policy can be found on our website at www.lfg.com.

You may communicate with the Board anonymously and/or confidentially. However, if you submit your communication anonymously, we will not be able to contact you in the event we require further information. Also, while we will attempt to preserve your confidentiality whenever possible, we cannot guarantee absolute confidentiality.

LINCOLN NATIONAL CORPORATION 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

9

GOVERNANCE	Board and Committee Meetings
------------	------------------------------

BOARD AND COMMITTEE MEETINGS

The Board met six times during 2017, and each director attended 75% or more of the aggregate of: (1) the total number of Board meetings and (2) the total number of meetings held by committees on which he or she served. Ms. Utter joined the Board in November 2017 and attended all meetings held after she joined the Board. Although the Board does not have a formal policy that requires directors to attend our Annual Meeting of Shareholders, directors are encouraged to attend. All of the Company's directors then serving on the Board attended the 2017 Annual Meeting.

BOARD COMMITTEES

The Board has six standing committees: the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee, the Corporate Governance Committee, the Executive Committee, the Finance Committee, and the Committee on Corporate Action. The table below lists the directors who currently serve on these committees and the number of meetings each committee held during 2017. The Audit, Compensation, Corporate Governance, and Finance committees conduct self-evaluations of their committee's performance each year.

CURRENT COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP AND MEETINGS HELD DURING 2017 (C=CHAIR M=MEMBER)

	CORPORATE				CORPORATE	
	AUDIT	COMPENSATION	GOVERNANCE	EXECUTIVE	FINANCE	ACTION ¹
Deirdre P. Connelly	M		M			
William H. Cunningham		M	M	C	M	
Dennis R. Glass				M		C
George W. Henderson, III	M				M	
Eric G. Johnson		M		M	C	
Gary C. Kelly	M				M	
M. Leanne Lachman	C					
Michael F. Mee		M		M	M	
Patrick S. Pittard		C				
Isaiah Tidwell	M		C			
Lynn M. Utter ²			M		M	
Number of Meetings in 2017	8	4	4	—	5	—

Shaded cells denote committee chair.

1. The Committee on Corporate Action takes all action by the unanimous written consent of the sole member of that Committee, and there were fourteen (14) such consents in 2017.
2. Ms. Utter joined the Board in November 2017 and was not assigned any committee memberships until February 2018.

The functions and responsibilities of our Board's standing committees are described below. Charters for the Audit, Compensation, Corporate Governance, Executive, and Finance committees are available on the Governance section of our website at www.lfg.com.

LINCOLN NATIONAL CORPORATION 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

10

AUDIT COMMITTEE

The primary function of the Audit Committee is oversight, including risk oversight. This includes:

assisting the Board in oversight of: (1) the integrity of our financial statements; (2) our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements; (3) the independent auditor’s qualifications and independence; (4) the performance of our general auditor and independent auditor; and (5) our risk assessment and risk management policies and processes

hiring, firing, and evaluating the performance of the independent auditors and approving their compensation and all of their engagements

reviewing and discussing the risk policies and procedures adopted by management, and the implementation of these policies

discussing the timing and process for implementing the rotation of the lead audit partner

discussing our annual and quarterly consolidated financial statements and “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” included in our SEC filings and annual report to shareholders

reviewing the qualifications and backgrounds of senior risk officers

inquiring about significant risks and exposures, if any, and reviewing and assessing the steps taken to monitor and manage them

preparing the report required for inclusion in our annual proxy statement

establishing procedures for handling complaints regarding accounting, internal auditing

controls or auditing matters and for the confidential, anonymous submission of employee concerns regarding questionable accounting or auditing matters

consulting with management before the appointment or replacement of the internal auditor

reporting the Audit Committee's activities to the Board on a regular basis and making any recommendations to the Board that the Audit Committee deems appropriate.

The Board has determined that at least one of its members meets the definition of “audit committee financial expert” under SEC rules. The Board has named Gary C. Kelly as our “audit committee financial expert” for this proxy statement. The Audit Committee may obtain advice and assistance from internal or external legal, accounting or other advisers.

More information regarding the Audit Committee, including the Audit Committee Report, can be found under “Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm” beginning on page 25.

LINCOLN NATIONAL CORPORATION 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

11

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

The principal functions of the Compensation Committee include:

establishing reviewing and
our approving the
general strategies, policies
compensation and programs
philosophy related to the
in compensation of
consultation our executive
with officers and other
the key personnel
compensation
consultant
and
senior making
management recommendations
to the Board
regarding incentive
compensation and
ensuring equity-based plans,
that and approving all
succession grants and awards
plans to executive
are in officers under such
place plans
for
the
CEO
and approving
other employment and
executive severance
officers agreements for
executive officers

reviewing
and

approving certain
corporate employee benefit
goals and executive
and compensation plans
objectives and programs, and
for changes to such
the plans and programs
CEO
and
executive
officers' reporting the
compensation Compensation
Committee's
activities to the
Board on a regular
evaluating basis and making
the any
CEO's recommendations
performance the Compensation
and Committee deems
setting appropriate.
the
CEO's
compensation
level
based
on
this
evaluation

evaluating
annually
whether
the
Company's
compensation
programs
create
unnecessary
risks
that
could
harm
the
Company

reviewing
with
management
the
Compensation
Discussion &
Analysis
to be
included
in the
proxy
statement

The Compensation Committee may retain or obtain advice on executive compensation-related matters from a compensation consultant, outside legal counsel or other adviser. The committee is directly responsible for appointing, compensating and overseeing the work of any such advisers and must consider certain independence factors before hiring them. More information concerning the Compensation Committee, including the role of its compensation consultant and our executive officers in determining or recommending the amount or form of executive compensation, can be found in the “Compensation Discussion & Analysis” section beginning on page 30.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE

The principal functions of the Corporate Governance Committee include:

identifying individuals qualified to become Board members

taking a leadership role in shaping our corporate governance and recommending to the Board the corporate governance principles applicable to us

making recommendations to the Board regarding the compensation program for directors

reporting the Corporate Governance Committee's activities to the Board on a regular basis and making any recommendations the Corporate Governance Committee deems appropriate

recommending to the Board nominees for director (including those recommended by shareholders in accordance with our Bylaws)

developing and recommending to the Board standards

for determining the independence of directors

making recommendations to the Board regarding the size of the Board and the membership, size, structure and function of its committees

helping evaluate the Board and individual directors.

The Corporate Governance Committee may hire and terminate search firms; approve any search firm's fees and terms of retention; and seek advice and assistance from internal or external legal, accounting or other advisers.

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

The principal function of the Executive Committee is to act for the Board, when necessary, between Board meetings. In such instances, the Executive Committee may act for the Board in managing and directing the Company's business and affairs, except for matters expressly delegated to another committee or the full Board. The Executive Committee reports any actions it takes to the Board as soon as practicable.

FINANCE COMMITTEE

The principal functions of the Finance Committee include:

reviewing and providing guidance to senior management with respect to:	reviewing the general account and our investment policies, strategies and guidelines
– our annual three-year financial plan	reviewing our hedging program and the policies and procedures governing the use of financial instruments, including derivatives
– our capital structure, including issuance of securities by us or any of our affiliates, significant “off balance sheet”	reviewing the funding adequacy of our qualified pension plans, including significant actuarial assumptions, investment policies and performance

transactions,
and our
dividend and
share
repurchase
strategies

reporting the
Finance
Committee's
activities to the
Board on a
regular basis and
making any
recommendations
the Finance
Committee deems
appropriate.

– our
reinsurance
strategies

– proposed
mergers,
acquisitions,
divestitures,
joint
ventures and
other
strategic
investments

- reviewing
our overall
credit quality
and credit
ratings
strategy

The Finance
Committee may
seek advice and
assistance from
internal or
external legal,
accounting or
other advisers.

COMMITTEE ON
CORPORATE ACTION

The Committee on Corporate Action was formed to delegate to the sole member, the CEO, the authority to take certain actions on behalf of the Board in accordance with limits set by the Board. The principal functions that have been delegated to the Committee on Corporate Action include:

determining the pricing of the securities offered from our shelf registration statement, including all rates, payments, ratios, discounts and other financial measures related to the pricing of such securities

approving, as necessary, the underwriting agreement, form of security, and other transaction documents relating to the offering and sale of securities under our shelf registration statement.

appointing and removing certain classes of our officers as the Board may determine by resolution

LINCOLN NATIONAL CORPORATION 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

14

**ITEM 1
ELECTION OF DIRECTORS**

ITEM 1 | ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

NOMINEES FOR DIRECTOR

Eleven directors will be up for election at the 2018 Annual Meeting to hold office until the next annual meeting and until their respective successors are elected and qualified. Of the directors standing for election, only Mr. Glass is an officer of the Company. In addition to annual elections, our bylaws require our directors to be elected by a majority of votes cast in an uncontested election.

Each director brings a strong background and set of skills to the Board, giving the Board as a whole expertise, diversity and experience in a wide variety of areas. The Board believes that all of our directors have integrity and honesty, and adhere to high ethical standards. They have also demonstrated business acumen and an ability to exercise sound judgment, as well as a commitment to serve the Company.

Unless you direct otherwise or specifically indicate that you wish to abstain from voting for one or more of the nominees on the proxy, your proxy will be voted for each of the nominees below. Each nominee is a current director of the Company and has agreed to continue serving on the Board if elected. If any nominee is unable to serve as a director, proxies may be voted for another person designated by the Board.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR each of the nominees.

DEIRDRE P. CONNELLY

AGE: 57 DIRECTOR SINCE: 2016

RETIRED PRESIDENT

**Member, Audit and Corporate Governance
Committees**

**NORTH AMERICAN
PHARMACUETICALS**

OF GLAXOSMITHKLINE

CAREER

Ms. Connelly was the President, North American Pharmaceuticals of GlaxoSmithKline, a global pharmaceutical company, from 2009 until her retirement in 2015. Before that she served as President, U.S. Operations for Eli Lilly and Company from 2005 to 2009.

QUALIFICATIONS

Substantial leadership experience and expertise as a senior executive of large publicly traded companies with global operations. She has extensive knowledge and expertise in strategy, operations, finance and capital management, brand marketing and product development.

OTHER PUBLIC COMPANY BOARDS
Macy's, Inc., 2008–present.
Genmab A/S, 2017–present.

LINCOLN NATIONAL CORPORATION 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

15

ITEM 1
ELECTION OF DIRECTORS Nominees for Director

WILLIAM H. CUNNINGHAM AGE: 74 DIRECTOR SINCE: 2006

Non-Executive Chairman of the Board since: 2009

PROFESSOR AT THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN AND JAMES J. BAYLESS Member, Compensation, Corporate Governance, Executive and Finance Committees

CHAIR FOR FREE ENTERPRISE AT THE UNIVERSITY'S McCOMBS SCHOOL OF BUSINESS

CAREER Mr. Cunningham has been a professor with The University of Texas since 2000. Before that he served as Chancellor and CEO of The University of Texas System, as President of The University of Texas at Austin and as Dean of the McCombs School of Business.

QUALIFICATIONS Substantial experience in accounting, marketing, finance and corporate governance, as well as experience leading a large public institution. Mr. Cunningham also has significant experience serving on public company boards, including over 20 years in our industry as a Director of Jefferson-Pilot Corporation, a public insurance company with whom we merged in 2006.

OTHER PUBLIC COMPANY BOARDS John Hancock Mutual Funds, 1986–present.
Southwest Airlines Co., 2000–present.

PRIOR PUBLIC COMPANY BOARD SERVICE IN PAST 5 YEARS LIN Media LLC (formerly LIN Television Corporation), 2002–2007 and 2009–2014.
Resolute Energy Corporation, 2009–2015.

DENNIS R. GLASS AGE: 68 DIRECTOR SINCE: 2006

PRESIDENT AND CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER OF LINCOLN NATIONAL CORPORATION Member, Executive Committee

CAREER	Mr. Glass has served as our President since 2006 and our CEO since 2007. He is also President of, and serves on the boards of, our principal insurance subsidiaries. Before our merger with Jefferson-Pilot Corporation, Mr. Glass was President, CEO and a Director of that company.
QUALIFICATIONS	A seasoned executive who has served in executive-level positions in the insurance industry for over 30 years, Mr. Glass brings to his role as a Director a deep knowledge of our industry, our regulators, our competitors and our products.
OTHER PUBLIC COMPANY BOARDS	None in past 5 years.

LINCOLN NATIONAL CORPORATION 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

16

Nominees for Director **ITEM 1**
ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

GEORGE W. HENDERSON, III AGE: 69 DIRECTOR SINCE: 2006

Member, Audit and Finance Committees
 RETIRED CHAIRMAN
 AND CHIEF EXECUTIVE
 OFFICER OF
 BURLINGTON
 INDUSTRIES, INC.

Mr. Henderson also serves as a Director of
 Lincoln Life & Annuity Company of New York, one of our
 insurance subsidiaries.

CAREER Mr. Henderson was Chairman and CEO of Burlington Industries, a global manufacturer of textile products, from 1998 to his retirement in 2003. Before that he served as that company's President and its COO. He was also a member of Burlington's Board of Directors for 13 years.

QUALIFICATIONS Executive leadership and management experience at the highest levels of a global public company; significant experience with international operations, accounting and financial reporting.

OTHER PUBLIC COMPANY BOARDS Bassett Furniture Industries, Inc., 2004–present.

ERIC G. JOHNSON AGE: 67 DIRECTOR SINCE: 1998

PRESIDENT AND CEO OF Chair, Finance Committee

BALDWIN RICHARDSON

FOODS COMPANY Member, Compensation and Executive Committees

CAREER Since 1997, Mr. Johnson has served as President and CEO of Baldwin Richardson Foods Company, a privately held manufacturer of products for the food service industry.

QUALIFICATIONS Extensive executive management skills; expertise in marketing, finance and the development and execution of corporate strategy; experience in mergers and acquisitions. Through his years of service on our Board, Mr. Johnson has also developed a deep base of knowledge regarding our business and our industry.

OTHER PUBLIC COMPANY BOARDS SUPERVALU, INC., 2013–present.

LINCOLN NATIONAL CORPORATION 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

17

ITEM 1
ELECTION OF DIRECTORS Nominees for Director

GARY C. KELLY

AGE: 63 DIRECTOR SINCE: 2009

CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD
AND CHIEF EXECUTIVE
OFFICER OF
SOUTHWEST AIRLINES CO.

Member, Audit and Finance Committees

CAREER

Mr. Kelly has been CEO of Southwest Airlines since 2004, and Chairman since 2008. He also served as President of Southwest from 2008 to 2017. Prior to that Mr. Kelly held a number of senior-level positions within the Southwest organization, including CFO. Before joining Southwest, Mr. Kelly served as a CPA for a public auditing firm.

QUALIFICATIONS

Executive leadership and management experience at the highest levels of a public company; ability to provide insights into operational, regulatory and governance matters; substantial expertise in finance, accounting and financial reporting.

OTHER PUBLIC
COMPANY
BOARDS

Southwest Airlines Co., 2004–present.

M. LEANNE LACHMAN

AGE: 75 DIRECTOR SINCE: 1985

PRESIDENT OF LACHMAN
ASSOCIATES LLC AND

Chair, Audit Committee

EXECUTIVE-IN-RESIDENCE,
COLUMBIA GRADUATE
SCHOOL OF BUSINESS

Ms. Lachman also serves as a Director of Lincoln Life & Annuity Company of New York, one of our insurance subsidiaries.

CAREER

Ms. Lachman has served since 2003 as President of Lachman Associates LLC, an independent real estate consultancy, and since 2000 as an Executive-in-Residence at Columbia Business School. Before that she was Managing Director of Lend Lease Real Estate Investments, an institutional investment manager.

QUALIFICATIONS

Extensive background in real estate analysis, investment, management, and development, and international operations. Through her years of service on our Board, she has acquired a deep understanding of our business, our organization and our industry.

OTHER PUBLIC
COMPANY
BOARDS

Liberty Property Trust, 1994–present. Ms. Lachman will step down as a director of Liberty Property Trust at their 2018 annual meeting.

LINCOLN NATIONAL CORPORATION 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

18

Nominees for Director **ITEM 1**
ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

MICHAEL F. MEE **AGE: 75 DIRECTOR SINCE: 2001**

RETIRED EXECUTIVE **Member, Compensation, Executive and Finance Committees**
VICE

PRESIDENT AND CHIEF

FINANCIAL OFFICER OF

BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB

COMPANY

CAREER From 1994 to 2001, Mr. Mee was the Executive Vice President and CFO of Bristol-Myers Squibb Co., a pharmaceutical and health care products company, where he was also a member of the Office of the Chairman. Before joining Bristol-Myers Squibb, Mr. Mee served in senior financial executive positions with several Fortune 500 companies.

QUALIFICATIONS Significant public accounting and financial reporting skills; extensive management experience and leadership skills; expertise in corporate strategy, development and investments, international operations and risk assessment.

OTHER PUBLIC None in the past 5 years.
COMPANY BOARDS

PATRICK S. PITTARD **AGE: 72 DIRECTOR SINCE: 2006**

CHAIRMAN AND CEO OF **Chair, Compensation Committee**
SOUTHERN FIBER
COMPANY

Mr. Pittard also serves as a Director of Lincoln Life & Annuity Company of New York, one of our insurance subsidiaries.

CAREER

Mr. Pittard is Chairman and CEO of Southern Fiber Company, a company that provides fiber optic installation services, since 2017. Previously, Mr. Pittard served as CEO of Patrick Pittard Advisors LLC, a firm providing “C-level” services such as executive search and talent assessment. He also serves as a leadership instructor at the Terry School of Business at the University of Georgia and was the Chairman and CEO of ACT Bridge from 2011 to 2013. Before that Mr. Pittard was Chairman, President and CEO of Heidrick & Struggles International, Inc., a worldwide provider of executive-level search and leadership services and one of the largest publicly traded global recruiting firms, from which he retired in 2002.

QUALIFICATIONS Executive leadership and management experience at the highest levels of a global public company; experience driving strategic organizational growth; expertise in executive compensation, insurance and investments.

OTHER PUBLIC COMPANY BOARDS Artisan Funds, 2001–present.

LINCOLN NATIONAL CORPORATION 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

19

CAREER	Ms. Utter has served as the chief executive officer and a director of First Source, LLC, a privately held packager and distributor of national branded, unbranded and private label confectionery products, nuts, snacks, specialty foods and natural products sold to retailers throughout the United States, since April 2016. She previously served as the President and Chief Operating Officer of Knoll Office, a designer and manufacturer of office furniture products, from February 2012 to April 2015. She served as President and Chief Operating Officer of Knoll North America from 2008 to February 2012.
QUALIFICATIONS	Executive leadership experience in key operating roles, including her current role as chief executive officer. She has had wide-ranging experience as a senior executive in multiple industries and disciplines, including sales, manufacturing and distribution. Ms. Utter has also developed a strong knowledge of strategic planning as a Chief Strategy Officer and strategy consultant.
OTHER PUBLIC	WESCO International, Inc., 2006–present.
COMPANY BOARDS	

COMPENSATION OF OUTSIDE DIRECTORS

COMPENSATION OF OUTSIDE DIRECTORS

The Board adheres to the following guidelines in establishing outside director compensation:

We provide competitive compensation to attract and retain high-quality outside directors; and A significant portion of each outside director's compensation is paid in equity to help align our directors' interests with those of our shareholders.

In accordance with our Corporate Governance Guidelines, the Board's compensation program is reviewed and assessed annually by the Corporate Governance Committee. As part of this review, the committee may solicit the input of outside compensation consultants. During 2017, the Corporate Governance Committee asked Pay Governance LLC, an independent compensation consultant, to provide a competitive analysis of the compensation we provide to our outside directors. As a result of that review and the committee's discussion, the Corporate Governance Committee recommended making no changes to Board compensation for 2018.

The following table shows the fees in effect beginning January 1, 2017:

FEES	2017
BOARD	
Annual Retainer (Cash)	\$100,000
Deferred LNC Stock Units	\$161,000
Total Board Fees	\$261,000
NON-EXECUTIVE CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD	
Annual Retainer (Cash)	\$120,000
Deferred LNC Stock Units	\$376,000
Total Non-Executive Chairman of the Board Fees	\$496,000
COMMITTEES (CASH)	
Audit Committee Chair	\$30,000
Audit Committee Member	\$10,000
Other Committee Chair	\$20,000

SHARE OWNERSHIP REQUIREMENTS

Lincoln's share ownership guidelines require outside directors to hold, within five years of joining the Board, interests in the Company's common stock equal to five (5) times the annual Board or Chair cash retainer (for each Board member, this is \$500,000 and for the Chairman, this is \$600,000). Interests in our stock that count toward the share ownership guidelines include Deferred LNC Stock Units, LNC stock owned outright, and 33% of vested stock options. As of December 31, 2017, all of our directors are in compliance with this requirement, with the exceptions of Ms. Connelly, who joined the Board in May 2016 and has until May 2021 to meet the full share ownership requirement, and Ms. Utter, who joined the Board in November 2017 and has until November 2022 to meet the full share ownership requirement.

LINCOLN NATIONAL CORPORATION 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

21

COMPENSATION OF OUTSIDE DIRECTORS

Optional Deferral of Annual Retainer

OPTIONAL DEFERRAL OF ANNUAL RETAINER

In addition to receiving Board fees in the form of Deferred LNC Stock Units, directors may defer the cash component of their annual and committee retainers into various investment options under the Lincoln National Corporation Deferred Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors (the “Directors’ DCP”).

The investment options of the Directors’ DCP track those offered to employees under the LNC Deferred Compensation and Supplemental/Excess Retirement Plan (the “DC SERP”) and include a Lincoln National Corporation Stock Fund investment option (the “LNC Stock Fund”). However, the Directors’ DCP uses “phantom” versions of the DC SERP investment options, meaning that accounts are credited with earnings or losses as if the amounts had been invested in the chosen investment options.

All deferred amounts, including the annual retainer paid in Deferred LNC Stock Units, are payable only when the director retires or resigns from the Board. In addition, amounts invested in the LNC Stock Fund upon cessation of a director’s service on the Board are only payable in shares of Lincoln common stock.

MEETING FEES

No additional fees are paid for attending regularly scheduled Board or committee meetings, although the Corporate Governance Committee has discretion to recommend additional compensation (\$1,100 per meeting) for additional meetings. Outside directors who are also directors of Lincoln Life & Annuity Company of New York (“LNY”), our indirect, wholly owned subsidiary, receive an annual cash retainer of \$15,000 and a fee of \$1,100 for each LNY Board and committee meeting they attend. During 2017, three of our outside directors — Messrs. Henderson and Pittard, and Ms. Lachman — also served as directors of LNY.

OTHER BENEFITS

In addition to the compensation listed above, we offer our outside directors the following benefits:

Financial planning services—reimbursement of up to \$20,000 for an initial financial plan and \$10,000 for annual updates. The services must be provided by a Lincoln Financial Network financial planner for the director to be reimbursed.

Participation—at their own expense—in certain health and welfare benefits, including our self-insured medical and dental plans as well as life insurance and accidental death and dismemberment coverages.

Participation in a matching charitable gift program through which the Lincoln Financial Foundation, Inc. matches donations from a director to one or more eligible organizations, up to an annual total of \$15,000 for all gifts.

Directors' Compensation Table	COMPENSATION OF OUTSIDE DIRECTORS
-------------------------------	-----------------------------------

COMPENSATION OF NON-EMPLOYEE DIRECTORS* DURING 2017				
NAME	FEES EARNED			TOTAL
	OR PAID IN CASH ¹	STOCK AWARDS ²	ALL OTHER COMPENSATION	
	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
Deirdre P. Connelly	110,000	161,000	-	271,000
William H. Cunningham	120,000	376,000	15,000	⁶ 511,000
George W. Henderson, III	129,400	161,000	10,000	⁶ 300,400
Eric G. Johnson	120,000	161,000	10,000	⁵ 291,000
Gary C. Kelly	110,000	161,000	5,000	⁶ 276,000
M. Leanne Lachman	149,400	161,000	25,000	^{5,6} 335,400
Michael F. Mee	100,000	161,000	5,000	⁶ 266,000
William Porter Payne ³	40,385	65,019	-	105,404
Patrick S. Pittard	138,300	161,000	10,000	⁵ 309,300
Isaiah Tidwell	130,000	161,000	12,750	⁶ 303,750
Lynn M. Utter ⁴	14,130	22,750	-	36,880

* As an employee of the Company, Mr. Glass receives no director compensation.

- As described above, \$100,000 (or \$120,000 in case of the non-executive chair) of the annual retainer was paid in cash. The fees shown in this column also include any fees that an outside director was paid as the chair of a committee, as a member of the Audit Committee, or for service on the Board of LNY.
- The fair value of the stock awards was determined in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic 718, Stock Compensation. The assumptions made in calculating the grant date fair value of stock and option awards are set forth in Note 18 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, included in Item 8 of our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017. Mr. Cunningham received an additional \$215,000 in Deferred LNC Stock Units for serving as non-executive Chairman during 2017.
- Mr. Payne retired from our Board effective May 26, 2017.
- Ms. Utter was elected to our Board effective November 10, 2017.
- Includes the provision of financial planning services with an aggregate incremental cost to us of \$10,000 for each of Messrs. Johnson and Pittard, and Ms. Lachman.
- Reflects contributions made on the director's behalf under the matching charitable gift program.

LINCOLN NATIONAL CORPORATION 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

COMPENSATION OF OUTSIDE DIRECTORS

Deferred LNC Stock Units and Stock Options

The following table shows the number of Deferred LNC Stock Units and vested unexercised LNC stock options held by each director as of December 31, 2017:

NAME	DEFERRED LNC STOCK	
	STOCK UNITS	OPTIONS
Deirdre P. Connelly	4,211	-
William H. Cunningham	100,378	-
George W. Henderson, III	62,910	-
Eric G. Johnson	54,443	-
Gary C. Kelly	24,322	17,040
M. Leanne Lachman	65,059	-
Michael F. Mee	69,145	-
Patrick S. Pittard	21,083	-
Isaiah Tidwell	32,237	-
Lynn M. Utter	374	-

Deferred LNC Stock Units include amounts reported in the Stock Awards column above and phantom units awarded under the LNC Outside Directors' Value Sharing Plan, which was terminated on July 1, 2004, plus any accrued dividend equivalents, which are automatically reinvested in additional phantom units of our common stock.

ITEM 2

RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT

ITEM 2 | RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Audit Committee evaluates the performance of the Company's independent auditors each year and determines whether to reengage them or consider other firms. In doing so, the committee considers the auditor's service quality and efficiency, capability, technical expertise, and knowledge of our operations and industry. On February 21, 2018, the Audit Committee appointed Ernst & Young LLP ("Ernst & Young") as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal year 2018. We have engaged this firm and its predecessors in this capacity continuously since 1968 for LNC and since 1966 for subsidiaries of LNC. In addition, the Audit Committee is involved in the selection of Ernst & Young's lead engagement partner and ensures that the mandated rotation of the lead partner occurs routinely.

As a matter of good corporate governance, we request that our shareholders ratify (approve) this appointment, even though this is not required. If shareholders do not ratify this appointment, the Audit Committee will take note of that and may reconsider its decision. If shareholders do ratify this appointment, the committee will still have discretion to terminate Ernst & Young and retain another accounting firm at any time during the year.

Representatives of Ernst & Young will be present at the Annual Meeting, where they will be given the opportunity to make a statement if they wish to do so. They will also be available to respond to questions about their audit of our consolidated financial statements and internal controls over financial reporting for fiscal year 2017.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR the ratification of Ernst & Young as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2018.

INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FEES AND SERVICES

The table below shows the total fees that Ernst & Young received for professional services rendered for fiscal years 2017 and 2016, with a breakdown of fees paid for different categories of work.

	FISCAL YEAR		FISCAL YEAR	
	ENDED -	% OF	ENDED -	% OF
	DECEMBER 31, 2017	TOTAL FEES	DECEMBER 31, 2016	TOTAL FEES
Audit Fees ¹	\$9,823,196	85.6%	\$10,188,388	88.1%
Audit-Related Fees ²	1,649,620	14.4%	1,379,048	11.9%
Tax Fees ³	—	—	—	—
All Other Fees	—	—	—	—
TOTAL FEES	\$11,472,816	100.0%	\$11,567,436	100.0%

LINCOLN NATIONAL CORPORATION 2018 PROXY STATEMENT
25

ITEM 2

RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT Pre-Approval Policy

1. **Audit Fees.** Fees for audit services include fees and expenses associated with the annual audit, the reviews of our interim financial statements included in quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, accounting consultations directly associated with the audit, and services normally provided in connection with statutory and regulatory filings.
2. **Audit-Related Fees.** Audit-related services principally include employee benefit plan audits, service auditor reports on internal controls, due diligence procedures in connection with acquisitions and dispositions, reviews of registration statements and prospectuses, and accounting consultations not directly associated with the audit or quarterly reviews.
3. **Tax Fees.** Fees for tax services include tax-filing and advisory services.

AUDIT COMMITTEE PRE-APPROVAL POLICY

The Audit Committee has policies and procedures to preapprove all audit and permissible non-audit services that our independent auditors provide. Management submits to the Audit Committee for approval a schedule of all audit, tax and other related services it expects the firm to provide during the year. The schedule includes examples of typical or known services expected to be performed, listed by category, to illustrate the types of services to be provided under each category. The Audit Committee preapproves the services by category, with specific dollar limits for each category. If management wants to engage the accounting firm for additional services, management must receive approval from the Audit Committee for those services. The Audit Committee chair also has the authority to preapprove services between meetings, subject to certain dollar limitations, and must notify the full Audit Committee of any such preapprovals at its next scheduled meeting.

OTHER INFORMATION

Ernst & Young has advised us that neither it nor any member of the firm has any financial interest, direct or indirect, in any capacity in us or our subsidiaries. The Company has made similar inquiries of our directors and executive officers, and we have identified no such direct or indirect financial interest in Ernst & Young.

Audit Committee Report	ITEM 2 RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT
------------------------	---------------------------------------

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

Management has primary responsibility for:

preparing our financial statements;
establishing financial reporting systems and internal controls; and
reporting on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting.

The Company's independent registered public accounting firm is responsible for:

performing an independent audit of our consolidated financial statements;
issuing a report on those financial statements; and
issuing an attestation report on our internal control over financial reporting.

In this context, the Audit Committee has:

reviewed and discussed with management the audited financial statements for fiscal year 2017;
discussed with our accounting firm the matters that the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board ("PCAOB") requires them to discuss as per Auditing Standard No. 1301, Communications with Audit Committee;
received the written disclosures and letter from our accounting firm that the PCAOB requires regarding the firm's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence; and
discussed with our accounting firm that firm's independence.

Based upon the review and discussions referred to in this report, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board that the audited consolidated financial statements for fiscal year 2017 be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal year ending December 31, 2017, for filing with the SEC.

The Audit Committee

Deidre P. Connelly

George W. Henderson, III

Gary C. Kelly

M. Leanne Lachman, Chair

Isaiah Tidwell

ITEM 3
ADVISORY PROPOSAL ON
EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

ITEM 3 | ADVISORY PROPOSAL ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The Board recognizes that providing shareholders with an advisory vote on executive compensation can produce useful information on investor sentiment regarding the Company's executive compensation programs. As a result, this proposal provides shareholders with the opportunity to cast an advisory vote on the compensation of our executive management team, as described in the section of this proxy statement entitled "Compensation Discussion & Analysis," ("CD&A") and endorse or not endorse our fiscal 2017 executive compensation philosophy, programs and policies, and the compensation paid to the Named Executive Officers. As discussed in detail in the CD&A that begins on page 30, our executive compensation principles and underlying programs are designed to:

align the interests of our executive officers with those of our shareholders;
link executive pay directly to the attainment of short- and long-term financial/business goals, which we refer to as "pay for performance;" and
attract, motivate and retain key executives who are crucial to our long-term success.
Key features of our compensation programs include:

Pay for Performance. We link our executives' targeted direct compensation to the performance of the Company as a whole, with the largest portion delivered as variable pay in the form of long-term equity awards and an annual incentive award. For instance, in 2017, 90% of our CEO's compensation was at risk and variable.

Compensation Tied to Enterprise Performance and Shareholder Return. Our annual and long-term incentive compensation programs have multiple balanced performance measures and goals that tie executive compensation to key enterprise performance metrics and shareholder return.

Governance/Compensation Best Practices. Among the best practices we follow: We have an independent Compensation Committee and compensation consultant; we do not provide tax gross-up benefits upon our change of control; and we have a double-trigger equity vesting requirement upon a change of control of the Company.

Share Ownership Requirements. Our executives are subject to rigorous share ownership guidelines to further align their interests with the long-term interests of our shareholders. For instance, our CEO is required to hold an amount of our shares equal to seven times his base salary, and our other executive officers must hold shares equal to four times their base salary.

In addition, we recognize that strong governance/compensation principles are essential to an effective executive compensation program. These governance/compensation principles and our executive compensation philosophy are established by the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee regularly reviews the compensation programs applicable to our executive officers to ensure that the programs support our objectives of aligning our executive compensation structure with our shareholders' interests and current market practices.

Our compensation policies and procedures are described in detail on pages 30 to 57.

Although the advisory vote on this proposal is non-binding — meaning that our Board is not required to adjust our executives' compensation or our compensation programs or policies as a result of the vote — the Board and the Compensation Committee will consider the voting results when determining compensation policies and decisions, including future executive compensation decisions. Notwithstanding the advisory nature of the vote, the resolution will be approved if more votes are cast for the proposal than against it. Abstentions and broker non-votes will not count as votes cast either for or against the proposal. We intend to hold a non-binding advisory vote on executive compensation each year, with the next such vote at our 2019 Annual Shareholders Meeting.

LINCOLN NATIONAL CORPORATION 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

28

ITEM 3
ADVISORY PROPOSAL ON
EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

We urge you to read the CD&A and other information in the Executive Compensation Tables, beginning on page 58, which we believe demonstrate that our executive compensation programs align our executives' compensation with our short- and long-term performance; provide the incentives needed to attract, motivate and retain key executives crucial to our long-term success; and align the interests of our executive officers with those of our shareholders.

The Board of Directors unanimously recommends a vote FOR this proposal and FOR the following resolution:

“Resolved, that the shareholders approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the named executive officers of the Company, as disclosed pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the Compensation Discussion & Analysis, the 2017 compensation tables regarding named executive officer compensation, and the accompanying narrative disclosure in this proxy statement.”

LINCOLN NATIONAL CORPORATION 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

29

COMPENSATION
DISCUSSION & ANALYSIS

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION & ANALYSIS

This Compensation Discussion & Analysis (“CD&A”) contains information about:

our fundamental pay for performance compensation philosophy

the structure of our compensation programs and the reasoning behind this structure

how compensation decisions are made and how our compensation programs are administered

the compensation we paid under our performance-based incentive programs for performance periods ending in 2017, and how it related to our short- and long-term performance results

The CD&A also details the compensation of our NEOs (also referred to as “executives” or “executive officers”) included in the compensation tables beginning on page 58. These NEOs are:

DENNIS R. GLASS – President and CEO

RANDAL J. FREITAG – Executive Vice President, CFO and Head of Individual Life

RAJ B. CHAKRABORTY – Executive Vice President and Chief Digital Officer

ELLEN G. COOPER – Executive Vice President and Chief Investment Officer

WILFORD H. FULLER – President, Annuity Solutions, LFD and LFN

We encourage you to read the CD&A in conjunction with the compensation tables on pages 58 to 75.

To ensure the continued effectiveness of our pay-for-performance culture, the Compensation Committee each year reviews and approves the elements, measures, targets and payouts of our executive compensation programs. In setting the programs' performance measures and goals, the Compensation Committee chooses metrics that drive our overall corporate strategy and are linked to our long-term financial plan. Our executives' compensation is tied closely to the achievement of short- and long-term goals that (a) support our long-term business strategy and (b) measure the creation of sustainable long-term shareholder value.

At our 2017 Annual Meeting, shareholders expressed strong support for our executive compensation programs, with 91% of votes cast in favor of the advisory resolution on executive compensation.

LINCOLN NATIONAL CORPORATION 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

30

COMPENSATION
DISCUSSION & ANALYSIS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

OUR PAY FOR PERFORMANCE PHILOSOPHY

We believe that those executives with significant responsibility and a greater ability to influence the Company's results should have a significant portion of their total compensation tied directly to business results. Therefore, the vast majority of our NEO compensation is tied to Company or individual performance (and, for business-unit executives, to the performance of individual business units). This also means that the vast majority of our NEO compensation is "at risk"—an executive will not reach his or her targeted pay amounts if the Company's performance does not meet expectations.

In keeping with this philosophy, annual and long-term incentive awards are the largest components of total NEO compensation, and the fixed pay element — base salary — is the smallest. The variable components are:

The Annual Incentive Program ("AIP"), which ties compensation to key Company performance metrics that, while measured annually, also support our long-term strategic goals

The Long-Term Incentive Program ("LTI"), which consists of a mix of long-term equity grants—including performance shares tied to metrics that reward increased shareholder value over a three-year period

As the following charts show, the vast majority of our CEO's and NEOs' target direct compensation is variable (i.e., based on performance, including that of our stock price). The following charts show, the vast majority of our CEO's and NEOs' target direct compensation is variable (i.e., based on performance, including that of our stock price.)

Note, the amounts in these graphs are shown at target and therefore will not match the values reflected in the Summary Compensation Table at page 58 of this proxy statement.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION BEST PRACTICES

When evaluating our compensation practices and policies, the Compensation Committee takes into account competitive market trends and best practices, as well as the views of our shareholders. Examples of our governance

and compensation practices include:

- Robust stock ownership guidelines and stock holding requirements;
- No executive contracts;
- The use of an independent compensation consultant for significant compensation decisions regarding our executives;
- “Double trigger” vesting provisions for our equity awards following our change of control;
- Annual assessment of compensation risks;
- Clawback provisions on our equity awards;
- No tax-gross-up benefits upon our change of control;
- No repricing or exchange of underwater stock options without shareholder approval;
- Prohibitions on pledging, hedging and speculation in our securities; and
- Limited perquisites for executive officers.

For more information, see “Change-of-Control Severance Arrangements” on page 56, “Alignment with Shareholders” on page 37, and “Role of the Compensation Consultant” on page 54.

LINCOLN NATIONAL CORPORATION 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

31

COMPENSATION

DISCUSSION & ANALYSIS Executive Summary

2017 PERFORMANCE OVERVIEW

We had strong financial results in 2017 as Lincoln's franchise positioned us well for growth. We continued to focus on increasing sales and profitability in all of our businesses through our powerful retail franchise that brings together a broad product portfolio and distribution breadth. We also continue to actively manage our capital through initiatives that we believe position us well for long-term, sustainable financial results.

Our full year results included the following highlights:

Over the longer term, our performance was also strong. For the three-year period from December 31, 2014, to December 31, 2017:

LINCOLN NATIONAL CORPORATION 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

32

	COMPENSATION
Executive Summary	DISCUSSION & ANALYSIS

These charts illustrate some of the measures of our full-year results over the past three years, cumulatively and sales broken out by business unit. These are also among the key metrics used for our short- and long-term incentive compensation programs.

2015 - 2017 GAAP AND OPERATING EARNINGS PER SHARE

2015 - 2017 GAAP AND OPERATING TOTAL REVENUES

LINCOLN NATIONAL CORPORATION 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

33

COMPENSATION

DISCUSSION & ANALYSIS

Executive Summary

2015 - 2017 SALES BY BUSINESS UNIT

More information on our business performance during 2017 is available in our Form 10-K for fiscal year ended December 31, 2017 (the “2017 Form 10-K”), which is included in the 2017 Annual Report to Shareholders that accompanies this proxy statement. A reconciliation of the measures not shown in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”) used in this proxy statement to their corresponding GAAP measures can be found in Exhibit 1 on page E-1.

LINCOLN NATIONAL CORPORATION 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

34

Elements of Our Compensation Program	COMPENSATION DISCUSSION & ANALYSIS
--------------------------------------	---------------------------------------

ELEMENTS OF OUR COMPENSATION PROGRAM

The following table outlines the elements of targeted direct compensation and how each element aligns with our objectives and guiding principles.

COMPENSATION ELEMENT	WHAT IT REWARDS	HOW IT ALIGNS WITH OUR OBJECTIVES	PERFORMANCE MEASURED	FIXED OR AT RISK	CASH OR EQUITY
BASE SALARY	Sustained high level of performance Demonstrated success in meeting or exceeding key objectives Highly developed skills and abilities critical to success of the business Experience and time in position	Competitive base salaries enable us to attract and retain top talent Merit-based salary increases align with our pay-for-performance philosophy	INDIVIDUAL	FIXED	CASH
ANNUAL INCENTIVE AWARDS	Company performance during the year against key financial goals Specific business-segment performance during the year, measured against strategic business-segment goals	Competitive targets enable us to attract and retain top talent Payouts depend on the achievement of established performance measures and goals that align pay with performance	CORPORATE AND BUSINESS SEGMENT	AT RISK	CASH

LONG-TERM INCENTIVE AWARDS

NONQUALIFIED STOCK OPTIONS	<p>Increase in stock price Continued service</p>	<p>Value is dependent on our stock price; options have no value unless the stock price increases</p> <p>Three-year ratable vesting supports retention</p>	CORPORATE	AT RISK	EQUITY
RESTRICTED STOCK UNITS	<p>Increase in stock price and dividends</p>	<p>Value rises or falls as our stock price and dividend increase or decrease</p> <p>Continued service</p> <p>Three-year cliff vesting supports retention</p>	CORPORATE	AT RISK	EQUITY
PERFORMANCE SHARES	<p>Meeting or exceeding our return on equity goal</p> <p>Total shareholder return performance relative to that of other companies</p>	<p>Payout is based on metrics important to our shareholders and critical to value creation</p> <p>Three-year performance period supports retention and aligns pay with performance over an extended period of time</p> <p>Relative performance metric creates incentive to outperform peers, with absolute metric rewarding performance versus plan</p>	CORPORATE	AT RISK	EQUITY

COMPENSATION

DISCUSSION & ANALYSIS Our Executive Compensation Program Philosophy

OUR EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION PROGRAM PHILOSOPHY

Our executive compensation program has three key objectives:

PAY FOR PERFORMANCE

To link executive pay directly to the attainment of short-term and long-term financial/business goals, using short-term metrics that correlate with our strategic goals and long-term metrics that correlate to long-term shareholder value

ALIGNMENT WITH SHAREHOLDERS

To provide compensation arrangements that link the interests of our executive officers to those of our shareholders

COMPETITIVE COMPENSATION

To attract and retain key executive talent

These objectives, discussed below, guide us in setting and paying compensation to our NEOs.

PAY FOR PERFORMANCE

Our executive compensation program is based on a “pay for performance” philosophy: The vast majority of our executives’ target compensation is made up of variable (“at risk”) compensation—in the form of annual cash incentive awards and long-term equity awards—that is linked to consolidated short- and long-term business performance and each individual’s contribution to that performance. In measuring an executive’s contribution, we put a strong emphasis on the individual’s role in implementing strategies and driving performance specific to their function or the operating units they direct.

The key objectives of our pay for performance philosophy are to:

- reward the achievement of superior financial results — in both the short-term and long-term — through balanced incentive programs;
- offer the opportunity to earn above-market compensation when overall and individual performance exceed expectations; and
- emphasize compensation that is at risk based on performance rather than compensation that is fixed—for instance, only 10% of our CEO’s target annual pay is fixed.

Balanced Performance Measure and Goals

It is important to us and to our executives that performance goals be objectively measurable and that compensation be paid based on easily understood criteria that drive shareholder value.

To implement our pay for performance philosophy, the Compensation Committee chooses performance measures for our NEO incentive programs that focus on our overall corporate business strategies and that, if achieved, create sustained growth for our shareholders:

Our AIP is based on the same key financial measures indicative of Lincoln's current and future profitability; and Our LTI uses measures that correlate directly to the creation of long-term value for Lincoln shareholders. The goals for each performance measure are linked directly to the Company's financial plan. In setting the goals, management and the Compensation Committee intend for the maximum performance levels to present a substantial challenge for our NEOs, thereby creating a strong incentive to produce superior results. For 2017, the Compensation Committee chose the following performance measures, which it has used since 2011:

LINCOLN NATIONAL CORPORATION 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

36

Our Executive Compensation Program Philosophy	COMPENSATION DISCUSSION & ANALYSIS
---	---------------------------------------

2017 ANNUAL INCENTIVE PROGRAM

PERFORMANCE MEASURE	WHY CHOSEN
---------------------	------------

Income from Operations per Diluted Share	This is a key measure of profitability that management uses to evaluate our business and that investors commonly use to value companies in the financial services industry.
--	---

Business Unit Sales	In our business, sales create value because, over time and at a compounded growth rate, they are an indicator of future profitability. In addition, we believe that distribution strength (depth and breadth) is an important driver of our valuation and that sales are an effective way to measure the value of the distribution franchise and overall product competitiveness.
---------------------	---

Controllable Costs	Management establishes annual budgets for the Company and for each business unit that are key to the success of our financial plan. The Compensation Committee sets a budget-related performance goal to reinforce the importance of containing costs and expenses across the entire organization.
--------------------	--

2017 LONG-TERM INCENTIVE PROGRAM

WHY CHOSEN

PERFORMANCE
MEASURE

Operating Return on Equity This is an important measure that stock analysts use to value companies — especially those in the financial services industry — because it is a critical indicator of capital efficiency and is closely aligned with long-term shareholder value.

Relative Total Shareholder Return This measure reflects the Company’s delivery of shareholder value over time relative to that of our peers. Many investors look at a company’s total shareholder return when making an investment decision.

ALIGNMENT WITH SHAREHOLDERS

Through our annual and long-term incentive compensation programs, our share ownership requirements and share retention policy, and the design and governance features of our long-term equity programs, we tie the financial interests of our NEOs to those of our shareholders. For both the annual and long-term programs, the Compensation Committee chooses performance goals that align with our strategies for sustained growth and profitability.

LINCOLN NATIONAL CORPORATION 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

37

COMPENSATION	
DISCUSSION & ANALYSIS	Our Executive Compensation Program Philosophy

Long-Term Incentives

The equity-based awards that are the basis of our long-term incentive compensation make up the largest part of our NEOs’ targeted direct compensation. To provide a balanced incentive program and to lessen the risk inherent in the greater focus on long-term incentives, executives receive a mix of equity-based compensation awards, which include:

- Performance share awards (“PSAs”) – The number of shares actually received depends on our performance over a three-year period relative to key inputs and outputs of shareholder value;
- Restricted stock units (“RSUs”) – These awards cliff-vest three years from the date of grant (cliff-vesting acts as a retention tool for our executives) and the value ultimately realized depends on how our stock performs over that three-year period; and
- Nonqualified stock options to purchase our common stock (“Options”) – These awards vest ratably over a three-year period and only have value if the stock price rises after the option grants are made.

Share Ownership Guidelines and Holding Requirements

Our share ownership requirements formalize the Compensation Committee’s belief that our officers should maintain a material personal financial stake in the Company. The requirements also promote a long-term perspective in managing our business by linking the long-term interests of our executives with those of our shareholders and reducing the incentive for short-term risk-taking.

Our share ownership requirements are based on multiples of base salary and vary by job level. In addition to the minimum share ownership levels, each NEO must also retain an amount equal to 25% of the net profit shares resulting from equity-based LTI grants, such as vested RSUs or earned PSAs. This additional number of shares must be held for five years from the date of exercise for Options or the date of vesting for other awards. If at any point an NEO does not meet the share ownership requirements, the executive must hold 50% of the net profit shares resulting from equity-based LTI awards that are exercised or vest, as applicable, until the required ownership level is met. Each of our NEOs except for Mr. Chakraborty, who joined the Company in March 2017, is exceeding their share ownership requirements.

The table below shows our share ownership guidelines and share retention requirements by officer tier:

SHARE OWNERSHIP AND RETENTION REQUIREMENTS		
OFFICER POSITION	OFFICER MUST HOLD	ADDITIONAL RETENTION REQUIREMENTS

CEO	7 times base salary	25% of net profit shares* for 5 years
Executive Officers (other than our CEO)	4 times base salary	25% of net profit shares* for 5 years

*Net profit shares reflect the value of the number of shares remaining after payment of the option exercise price and taxes owed at the time of exercise plus the after-tax value of any vested RSUs or earned PSAs.

Equity interests counted in determining whether share ownership guidelines have been met include:

shares owned outright;

amounts invested in Company stock funds offered under our employee benefits plans;

restricted stock and RSUs subject to service-based restrictions; and

in-the-money Options.

LINCOLN NATIONAL CORPORATION 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

38

Our Executive Compensation Program Philosophy

COMPENSATION

DISCUSSION & ANALYSIS

Prohibition on Pledging and Hedging

Our Insider Trading and Confidentiality Policy includes provisions that prohibit: (i) the pledging of our securities, and (ii) the use of derivative instruments to hedge the value of any of our securities.

Multiyear Performance and Vesting Periods

The multiyear performance criteria and vesting elements of our long-term incentive programs promote the retention of our executives by putting their focus on our long-term performance, thereby aligning our executives' interests with those of shareholders.

Prohibition on Repricing

Our equity incentive compensation plans prohibit us from reducing the exercise price of outstanding Options without shareholder approval.

Clawback Features

The equity awards for our NEOs are subject to "clawback" and forfeiture provisions, which allow us to rescind an executive's award(s) under certain conditions, such as:

the executive's employment is terminated for cause; or
the executive violates any non-compete, non-disclosure, non-solicitation, non-disparagement or other restrictive covenants.

For example, if an executive violates any such agreement prior to or within six months after the vesting of any portion of an equity award, such as Options or PSAs, we may rescind the exercise or award, and require the executive to return any gain realized or value received.

COMPETITIVE COMPENSATION

In general, we target our executives' total direct compensation — i.e., base salary, targeted annual incentive compensation, and targeted long-term incentive compensation — at the median of the compensation paid to executives in similar positions at the insurance-based financial services and investment management companies with which we compete for talent.

Because the roles and responsibilities of our executives are unlikely to be identical to those of executives with similar titles/roles in our peer companies, we often consider multiple sources of market data for this purpose. However, market data is only one of many factors considered when setting executive compensation targets. For more information on how we set target compensation and our benchmarking processes, please see "Setting Target Compensation" below.

CONSIDERATION OF OUR 2017 SHAREHOLDER VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The Compensation Committee and the Board appreciate and value the views of our shareholders. At our 2017 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, approximately 91% of shareholder votes were cast in favor of the “say on pay” advisory resolution on executive compensation. While we do review the program design on an annual basis, there have not been any significant changes to our compensation program in the last several years. In light of the continued strong shareholder support for our overall pay practices and NEO compensation, the Compensation Committee decided to maintain our general principles and philosophy in structuring executive compensation for 2018.

LINCOLN NATIONAL CORPORATION 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

39

COMPENSATION	Setting Target Compensation
DISCUSSION & ANALYSIS	

SETTING TARGET COMPENSATION

The Compensation Committee made compensation decisions for the 2017 calendar year for the NEOs based on a detailed analysis of Company-specific and external data.

BENCHMARKING

To help the Compensation Committee set 2017 target direct compensation levels for our NEOs, Pay Governance LLC performed a comprehensive competitive compensation analysis in November 2016. They analyzed base pay, annual incentive opportunities, long-term incentive values, and total direct compensation (the sum of the elements listed here) to establish market rates for each executive officer position. They then compared our current executive compensation levels to the market median of our peers. For each of our NEOs, Pay Governance used market data drawn from the stock companies included in the Towers Watson 2016 Diversified Insurance Study of Executive Compensation (the “Towers DI Study”), which at the time were:

Compensation Peer Group for Benchmarking						
Participant	Competitor for Our Core Business Units	Lists LNC as a Peer	Top 15 Competitors in our Core Business Units ¹			Competitor for Competitor Distribution for Talent
			Group Life Protection	Retirement Plan Annuities Services		
Aegon/Transamerica						
Aflac						
Allstate						
AXA Group						
Cigna						
CNO Financial						
Genworth						
Hartford Financial Services						
John Hancock/Manulife						
MetLife						
Phoenix Companies						
Principal Financial						
Prudential Financial						
Sun Life Financial						
Unum Group						
Voya Financial Inc.						
Total Market Share of Top 15 Competitors ¹			62%	80%	71%	84%

LINCOLN NATIONAL CORPORATION 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

40

Setting Target Compensation	COMPENSATION DISCUSSION & ANALYSIS
-----------------------------	---------------------------------------

1. Source for Top 15 competitor data: (a) Life Insurance: ACLI Fact Book, based on individual Life Insurance Inforce; (b) Group Protection: LIMRA, based on 2016 Year End Sales Results; (c) Annuities: LIMRA 2016 Yearbook, based on Annuity Companies Assets Under Management; and (d) Plan Sponsor, based on total Defined Contribution Assets Under Management. A number of the top 15 companies in these core businesses are mutual companies, which are excluded from the benchmarking peer group (as described above).

We have used the Towers DI Study for 10 years, and if the companies included in the study change, we reflect those changes in our benchmarking peer group. This also reflects the continued changes to traditional life and annuity companies resulting from mergers, acquisitions, divestitures, spin-off and privatization across the insurance industry. In 2016, AIG was removed from the Towers DI Study, resulting in a corresponding change to our peer group. Neither the Compensation Committee nor management has any input into the companies included in this insurance industry survey.

The Compensation Committee believes that these companies are appropriate for compensation benchmarking because, even though none has our exact business mix, each is a competitor in one or more of our core business units and competes directly with us for talent and distribution of our products. Most of these companies compete with us in 2 or more lines of business.

The Compensation Committee has determined not to exercise discretion to remove or add peers to the benchmarking group derived from the Towers DI Study to keep a consistent peer group year-over-year. However, because some of these companies have either higher or lower market capitalization, assets or revenue than we do, the data is size-adjusted, where possible, to develop comparable market rates for a hypothetical organization of similar size and type to our own.

The market data was used as a primary reference for most roles. The Compensation Committee seeks to target total direct compensation within a competitive range of plus or minus 15% of the 50th percentile of market data being used. In some cases, the Compensation Committee may target compensation above or below this range. Reasons for doing this include:

organizational considerations; for example, because an executive's role is considered especially critical to our overall business strategy and to our succession planning;
internal pay equity considerations;
to gain the specific expertise needed to build a new business or improve an existing one; or

to retain highly qualified executives whom we have recruited from outside the insurance industry or whom we believe have skills or experience that will further our corporate strategy.

LINCOLN NATIONAL CORPORATION 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

41

COMPENSATION

DISCUSSION & ANALYSIS Setting Target Compensation

TALLY SHEETS

When making compensation decisions, the Compensation Committee considers:

the recommendations of our Chief Human Resources Officer (“CHRO”), the recommendations of our CEO, and the opinion of the Compensation Committee’s independent compensation consultant (although our CEO and CHRO do not make recommendations with respect to their own compensation);

the available market data; and

reports called “tally sheets” illustrating all elements of targeted total direct compensation, including:

- base salary;
- annual and long-term incentive awards;
- deferred compensation and changes in pension benefits;
- perquisites; and
- potential payments for various termination scenarios.

The tally sheets enable the Compensation Committee to analyze the value of total target compensation, as well as the value of compensation actually delivered compared with the value of compensation opportunities the Compensation Committee originally established.

The Compensation Committee also uses the tally sheets to assess whether our executive compensation program is consistent with our compensation philosophy and desired positioning relative to the market data. However, tally sheets are just one point of information the Compensation Committee uses to determine NEO compensation. The Compensation Committee performed a similar analysis to establish the total targeted direct compensation for our CEO.

The table below shows the total targeted direct compensation set by the Compensation Committee for our NEOs:

2017 TARGET TOTAL DIRECT COMPENSATION FOR OUR NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS				
	BASE	ANNUAL	LONG-TERM	TOTAL
		AWARD AT	AWARD AT	
NAME	SALARY	TARGET	TARGET	ANNUAL COMPENSATION
Dennis R. Glass	\$1,248,000	\$2,496,000	\$8,320,000	\$12,064,000
Randal J. Freitag	\$738,189	\$922,736	\$2,089,075	\$3,750,000
Raj B. Chakraborty	\$630,000	\$630,000	\$840,000	\$2,100,000
Ellen G. Cooper	\$585,483	\$731,854	\$1,402,413	\$2,719,750
Wilford H. Fuller	\$669,500	\$1,071,200	\$1,814,300	\$3,555,000

We may also award discretionary cash or equity-based awards to our executives for a variety of reasons, including as a sign-on bonus, as a retention tool, or in recognition of significant challenges or difficult projects for which we have asked them to be responsible. In order to induce Mr. Chakraborty to join the Company as our Chief Digital Officer, the Compensation Committee approved a sign-on cash bonus for him in the amount of \$145,000 that was paid in 2017. Additionally the Compensation Committee approved a sign-on equity grant of RSUs to Mr. Chakraborty with a grant date fair value of approximately \$1,465,000 that will cliff vest in three years.

In recognition of Ms. Cooper's successful management of the Company's investment portfolio which resulted in significant expense savings, the Compensation Committee approved a leadership award in the form of an equity grant of RSUs to Ms. Cooper with a grant date fair value of approximately \$200,000 that will vest ratably over three years.

LINCOLN NATIONAL CORPORATION 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

42

Annual Compensation for 2017	COMPENSATION DISCUSSION & ANALYSIS
------------------------------	---------------------------------------

ANNUAL COMPENSATION FOR 2017

During 2017, annual compensation was made up of base salary and a short-term incentive award under the AIP.

BASE SALARY

Base salaries are reviewed annually, upon promotion or following a change in job responsibilities, based on market data, considerations of pay equity and performance. In setting base salary levels for 2017, the Compensation Committee started with the 2016 base salaries and then approved merit increases based on the benchmarking data and compensation analysis discussed above and the individual performance of each NEO, using our enterprise-wide merit increase budget as a guide.

In general, base salaries are targeted to the 50th percentile of the market data developed during the benchmarking process described above. For 2017, the increases for our NEOs were around 3%, with the exception of Mr. Freitag. The Compensation Committee increased his base salary 10% to \$738,189 to bring it more in line with the current competitive levels within our marketplace for talent. The Committee set Mr. Chakraborty's base salary upon his joining the Company.

The Compensation Committee approved the following base salaries for our NEOs effective for 2017:

NAME	2017
Dennis R. Glass	\$1,248,000
Randal J. Freitag	\$738,189
Raj B. Chakraborty	\$630,000
Ellen G. Cooper	\$585,483
Wilford H. Fuller	\$669,500

ANNUAL INCENTIVE PROGRAM

2017 Payout Opportunities

The table below shows the dollar amount of the threshold, target and maximum payout opportunities for the 2017 AIP established by the Compensation Committee for each of our NEOs; the threshold, target and maximum opportunities are calculated as a percentage of each NEO's base salary and capped at that amount. For the CEO, his AIP target as a percentage of base salary has remained flat since 2008. The threshold opportunity would be payable only in the case where the threshold goal is met for the performance measure with the lowest percentage payout amount.

ESTIMATED PAYOUT OPPORTUNITIES UNDER THE 2017 AIP			
NAME	THRESHOLD	TARGET	MAXIMUM

Dennis R. Glass	\$31,200	\$2,496,000	\$4,992,000
Randal J. Freitag	\$11,534	\$922,736	\$1,845,473
Raj B. Chakraborty	\$7,875	\$630,000	\$1,260,000
Ellen G. Cooper	\$7,319	\$731,854	\$1,463,708
Wilford H. Fuller	\$18,746	\$1,071,200	\$2,142,400

LINCOLN NATIONAL CORPORATION 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

43

COMPENSATION

DISCUSSION & ANALYSIS

Annual Compensation for 2017

2017 Performance Measures and Goals

In February 2017, the Compensation Committee established the goals and measures for the 2017 AIP.

Performance measures. The Committee selected three performance measures for 2017, the same measures it has used since 2011. The threshold, target and maximum goals associated with each measure are established annually so that they remain rigorous and in line with our financial plan.

Income from operations per share

Business unit sales

Management of controllable costs

The Compensation Committee chose these measures because they focus on our overall corporate strategy of balancing top-line revenue growth with profitability and prudent cost management. To learn more about why these measures were selected, see “Pay for Performance” on page 4.

For purposes of the 2017 AIP, Income from Operations is defined as net income in accordance with GAAP, but excluding the after-tax effects of the items detailed in Exhibit 1 on page E-1. This is one of the financial measures that management uses to assess our results. (To calculate Income from Operations per Share, the value of Income from Operations (as defined in Exhibit 1) was divided by the average diluted shares.) Management believes that excluding these items from net income better reflects the underlying trends in our businesses because the excluded items are unpredictable and not necessarily indicative of current operating fundamentals or future performance of the business segments. In addition, in most instances, decisions regarding these items do not necessarily relate to the operations of the individual segments.

For our CEO, performance is measured entirely at the corporate level, while our other NEOs are assessed on both corporate and business unit performance. To reflect the different roles and responsibilities of our NEOs, the Compensation Committee also weighs the performance measures differently for each NEO, as shown in the tables on pages 45 to 47.

Performance goals. In setting the goals for each of the performance measures, management and the Compensation Committee intended the maximum levels to present a significant challenge, therefore requiring exceptionally strong performance to achieve these goals. The target goal for corporate Income from Operations per Share was set after consideration of a number of factors, including a review of our internal financial plan. The target goal for business unit sales, at both the corporate and business-unit level, was based on our internal financial plan, emphasizing our corporate strategy to grow and protect the profitability of the business. The target goal for controllable costs was based upon controllable costs as budgeted in our annual financial plan. We believe that our methodology for determining financial performance targets for the AIP supports the following key objectives:

aligning incentives with our annual financial plan;

establishing challenging yet achievable incentive targets for our executives; and

setting targets that are consistent with our assessment of opportunities and risks for the upcoming year.

In establishing the performance goals for the 2017 AIP related to Annuities Sales, the committee took into account the challenging sales environment across the annuities industry when lowering the goal at target as compared to 2016.

The 2017 goal at target for Annuities Sales was nonetheless set nearly 10% above the actual sales for 2016, compared with industry forecasts of a 10 to 15% decline in Annuity Sales in our core markets. The Compensation Committee

believes this was a rigorous goal that took into account both the risks and opportunities in Annuities Sales. The goals at target for our other core businesses were increased year over year.

LINCOLN NATIONAL CORPORATION 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

44

Annual Compensation for 2017	COMPENSATION DISCUSSION & ANALYSIS
------------------------------	---------------------------------------

2017 Performance Results and Actual Payouts

In February 2018, the Compensation Committee certified the performance results for the 2017 AIP. These formulaic results triggered a payout that was above target for all of our NEOs.

The following tables show the goals, weights, performance results and payout percentages for the 2017 AIP measures for each of our NEOs. Based on actual results, a payout percentage—expressed as a percentage of the NEO’s target payout opportunity—is first determined for each goal. These payouts are then weighted to determine the weighted payout for each goal. The sum of these weighted payouts equals the NEO’s payout percentage.

The tables also show the resulting performance-based payouts approved by the Compensation Committee under the 2017 AIP for each of our NEOs and how these payouts compared with each NEO’s target payout opportunity under this program.

The Compensation Committee can, at its discretion, reduce award payouts by including, rather than excluding, certain factors — listed in Items A through H of Exhibit 2 on page E-5 — if it determines that these factors were relevant to individual performance. The Compensation Committee may also make other discretionary adjustments to the calculation of the performance results if the net effect would be to reduce award amounts. In certifying the results for the 2017 AIP awards, the Compensation Committee did exercise negative discretion for each of our NEOs in certifying the performance results, which reduced the final results from the formulaic results.

DENNIS R. GLASS

	CORPORATE MEASURES (100%)								
	BUSINESS UNIT SALES					ENTERPRISE			
	INCOME FROM		GROUP			RETIREMENT		CONTROLLABLE	
	OPERATIONS	PER SHARE	LIFE	PROTECTION	ANNUITIES	PLAN	SERVICES	COSTS	
GOALS									
Threshold	\$6.04	\$666 M	\$429 M	\$7,200 M	\$6,952 M	N/A			
Target	\$6.64	\$757 M	\$488 M	\$9,000 M	\$8,690 M	100%			
Maximum	\$7.44	\$848 M	\$547 M	\$10,800 M	\$10,248 M	89%			
RESULTS									
Certified Performance	\$7.64	\$798 M	\$511 M	\$8,710 M	\$8,563 M	97.3	%		
Payout as Percentage of									
Target	200%	145.1%	139.0%	87.9%	94.5%	123.2%	%		
Weighting	50.0%	10.0%	7.0%	13.0%	5.0%	15.0%	%		

Weighted Payout	100.0%	14.5	%	9.7	%	11.40	%	4.7	%	18.5	%
-----------------	--------	------	---	-----	---	-------	---	-----	---	------	---

	TARGET	OPPORTUNITY	PAYOUT PERCENTAGE (sum of weighted PAYOUT payouts)	AMOUNT
ACTUAL PAYOUT UNDER THE 2017 AIP	\$2,496,000	\$2,496,000	158.9%	\$3,966,144

LINCOLN NATIONAL CORPORATION 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

45

COMPENSATION

DISCUSSION & ANALYSIS

Annual Compensation for 2017

RANDAL J. FREITAG

	CORPORATE MEASURES (92.5%)								BUSINESS UNIT MEASURES
	BUSINESS UNIT SALES								
	INCOME FROM OPERATIONS		GROUP		RETIREMENT PLAN		ENTERPRISE CONTROLLABLE COSTS		FINANCE CONTROLLABLE COSTS
	PER SHARE	LIFE	PROTECTION	ANNUITIES	SERVICES	COSTS	COSTS	COSTS	
GOALS									
Threshold	\$6.04	\$666 M	\$429 M	\$7,200 M	\$6,952 M	N/A			N/A
Target	\$6.64	\$757 M	\$488 M	\$9,000 M	\$8,690 M	100%			100%
Maximum	\$7.44	\$848 M	\$547 M	\$10,800 M	\$10,428 M	89%			90%
RESULTS									
Certified Performance Payout as Percentage of Target	\$7.64	\$798 M	\$511 M	\$8,710 M	\$8,563 M	97.3%			100%
Weighting	50.0%	10.0 %	7.0 %	13.0 %	5.0 %	7.5%			7.5%
Weighted Payout	100.0%	14.5 %	9.7 %	11.4 %	4.7 %	9.2%			7.5%

	TARGET	PAYOUT PERCENTAGE (sum of weighted PAYOUT OPPORTUNITY payouts)	AMOUNT
ACTUAL PAYOUT UNDER THE 2017 AIP	\$922,736	157.1%	\$1,449,619

RAJ B. CHAKRABORTY

	CORPORATE MEASURES (85%)							BUSINESS UNIT MEASURES
	BUSINESS UNIT SALES							
	INCOME FROM OPERATIONS	LIFE	GROUP PROTECTION	ANNUITIES	RETIREMENT PLAN SERVICES	DIGITAL CONTROLLABLE COSTS		
	PER SHARE							
GOALS								
Threshold	\$6.04	\$666 M	\$429 M	\$7,200 M	\$6,952 M	N/A		
Target	\$6.64	\$757 M	\$488 M	\$9,000 M	\$8,690 M	100%		
Maximum	\$7.44	\$848 M	\$547 M	\$10,800 M	\$10,428 M	89%		
RESULTS								
Certified Performance Payout as Percentage of Target	\$7.64	\$798 M	\$511 M	\$8,710 M	\$8,563 M	93.5%		
Weighting	200%	145.1%	139.0%	87.9%	94.5%	158.7%		
Weighted Payout	50.0%	10.0%	7.0%	13.0%	5.0%	15.0%		
	100.0%	14.5%	9.7%	11.4%	4.7%	23.8%		

	TARGET	OPPORTUNITY	PAYOUT PERCENTAGE (sum of weighted PAYOUT payouts)	AMOUNT
ACTUAL PAYOUT UNDER THE 2017 AIP	\$630,000		164.2%	\$1,034,460

LINCOLN NATIONAL CORPORATION 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

Annual Compensation for 2017	COMPENSATION DISCUSSION & ANALYSIS
------------------------------	---------------------------------------

ELLEN G. COOPER

	CORPORATE MEASURES (85%)								BUSINESS UNIT MEASURES	
	BUSINESS UNIT SALES				INCOME FROM OPERATIONS				CORPORATE RETIREMENT INVESTMENTS CONTROLLABLE COSTS	
	PER SHARE	LIFE	PROTECTION	GROUP	PER SHARE	PER SHARE	PER SHARE	PER SHARE	PER SHARE	PER SHARE
GOALS										
Threshold	\$6.04	\$666 M	\$429 M	\$7,200 M	\$6,952 M	\$6,952 M	\$6,952 M	\$6,952 M	\$6,952 M	N/A
Target	\$6.64	\$757 M	\$488 M	\$9,000 M	\$8,690 M	\$8,690 M	\$8,690 M	\$8,690 M	\$8,690 M	100%
Maximum	\$7.44	\$848 M	\$547 M	\$10,800 M	\$10,428 M	\$10,428 M	\$10,428 M	\$10,428 M	\$10,428 M	85%
RESULTS										
Certified Performance	\$7.64	\$798 M	\$511 M	\$8,710 M	\$8,563 M	\$8,563 M	\$8,563 M	\$8,563 M	\$8,563 M	93.4%
Payout as Percentage of Target	200%	145.1%	139.0%	87.9%	94.5%	94.5%	94.5%	94.5%	94.5%	143.8%
Weighting	50.0%	9.0%	6.0%	16.0%	4.0%	4.0%	4.0%	4.0%	4.0%	15.0%
Weighted Payout	100.0%	13.1%	8.3%	14.1%	3.8%	3.8%	3.8%	3.8%	3.8%	21.6%

	TARGET	PAYOUT PERCENTAGE (sum of weighted PAYOUT OPPORTUNITY payouts)	AMOUNT
ACTUAL PAYOUT UNDER THE 2017 AIP	\$731,854	160.8%	\$1,176,821

WILFORD H. FULLER

CORPORATE MEASURES BUSINESS UNIT MEASURES

[REDACTED] (80%)
[REDACTED]