

Performant Financial Corp
Form 10-Q
November 13, 2017
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2017

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 001-35628

PERFORMANT FINANCIAL CORPORATION
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware 20-0484934
(State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer
incorporation or organization) Identification No.)

Performant Financial Corporation

333 North Canyons Parkway

Livermore, CA 94551

(925) 960-4800

(Address, including zip code and telephone number, including area code of registrant’s principal executive offices)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of “large accelerated filer”, “accelerated filer” and “smaller reporting company” in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Edgar Filing: Performant Financial Corp - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an emerging growth company as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act of 1933 (§ 230.405 of this chapter) or Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (§ 240.12b-2 of this chapter).

Emerging growth company

Table of Contents

o If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The number of shares of Common Stock outstanding as of November 13, 2017 was 50,961,377.

Table of Contents

PERFORMANT FINANCIAL CORPORATION
 QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q
 FOR THE QUARTER ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2017
 INDEX

| | Page |
|--|------|
| <u>PART I—Financial Information</u> | |
| <u>Item 1. Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | |
| Consolidated Balance Sheets September 30, 2017 (unaudited) and December 31, 2016 | 1 |
| Consolidated Statements of Operations Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2017 and 2016 (unaudited) | 2 |
| Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2017 and 2016 (unaudited) | 3 |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Nine Months Ended September 30, 2017 and 2016 (unaudited) | 4 |
| Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2017 and 2016 (unaudited) | 6 |
| <u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 14 |
| <u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> | 29 |
| <u>Item 4. Disclosure Controls and Procedures</u> | 29 |
| <u>PART II—Other Information</u> | 30 |
| <u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u> | 30 |
| <u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u> | 30 |
| <u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 40 |
| <u>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> | 40 |
| <u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | 40 |
| <u>Item 5. Other Information</u> | 40 |
| <u>Item 6. Exhibits</u> | 41 |
| <u>Signatures</u> | 42 |

Table of Contents

PERFORMANT FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Balance Sheets

(In thousands)

| | September 30, 2017 | December 31, 2016 |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|
| | (Unaudited) | |
| Assets | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 23,179 | \$ 32,982 |
| Restricted cash | — | 7,502 |
| Trade accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$0 and \$224, respectively | 12,490 | 11,484 |
| Deferred income taxes | — | 5,331 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 14,222 | 12,686 |
| Income tax receivable | 1,454 | 2,027 |
| Total current assets | 51,345 | 72,012 |
| Property, equipment, and leasehold improvements, net | 21,393 | 23,735 |
| Identifiable intangible assets, net | 5,066 | 5,895 |
| Goodwill | 81,572 | 82,522 |
| Deferred income taxes | 3,534 | — |
| Other assets | 897 | 914 |
| Total assets | \$ 163,807 | \$ 185,078 |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Current maturities of notes payable, net of unamortized debt issuance costs of \$138 and \$1,294, respectively | \$ 1,512 | \$ 9,738 |
| Accrued salaries and benefits | 5,640 | 4,315 |
| Accounts payable | 1,052 | 628 |
| Other current liabilities | 3,860 | 4,409 |
| Estimated liability for appeals | 19,145 | 19,305 |
| Net payable to client | 12,669 | 13,074 |
| Total current liabilities | 43,878 | 51,469 |
| Notes payable, net of current portion and unamortized debt issuance costs of \$3,549 and \$272, respectively | 38,801 | 43,878 |
| Deferred income taxes | — | 1,130 |
| Other liabilities | 2,099 | 2,356 |
| Total liabilities | 84,778 | 98,833 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | |
| Stockholders' equity: | | |
| Common stock, \$0.0001 par value. Authorized, 500,000 shares at September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016; issued and outstanding 50,949 and 50,234 shares at September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively | 5 | 5 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 71,684 | 65,650 |
| Retained earnings | 7,340 | 20,590 |
| Total stockholders' equity | 79,029 | 86,245 |

Edgar Filing: Performant Financial Corp - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|------------|------------|
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 163,807 | \$ 185,078 |
|--|------------|------------|

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

1

Table of Contents

PERFORMANT FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Operations

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

(Unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|--|-----------|------------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2017 | 2016 |
| Revenues | \$29,744 | \$31,195 | \$98,760 | \$107,548 |
| Operating expenses: | | | | |
| Salaries and benefits | 20,494 | 18,710 | 61,640 | 60,107 |
| Other operating expenses | 13,496 | 12,311 | 43,019 | 40,401 |
| Total operating expenses | 33,990 | 31,021 | 104,659 | 100,508 |
| Income (loss) from operations | (4,246) | 174 | (5,899) | 7,040 |
| Interest expense | (2,459) | (1,863) | (5,683) | (6,136) |
| Income (loss) before provision for (benefit from) income taxes | (6,705) | (1,689) | (11,582) | 904 |
| Provision for (benefit from) income taxes | 1,146 | (974) | 1,668 | 62 |
| Net income (loss) | \$(7,851) | \$(715) | \$(13,250) | \$842 |
| Net income (loss) per share | | | | |
| Basic | \$(0.15) | \$(0.01) | \$(0.26) | \$0.02 |
| Diluted | \$(0.15) | \$(0.01) | \$(0.26) | \$0.02 |
| Weighted average shares | | | | |
| Basic | 50,852 | 50,200 | 50,581 | 49,974 |
| Diluted | 50,852 | 50,200 | 50,581 | 50,401 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

PERFORMANT FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss)

(In thousands)

(Unaudited)

| | Three Months | | Nine Months | |
|---|---------------|----------|---------------|--------|
| | Ended | | Ended | |
| | September 30, | | September 30, | |
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2017 | 2016 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (7,851) | \$ (715) | \$ (13,250) | \$ 842 |
| Other comprehensive income: | | | | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | 1 | (1) | (4) | 24 |
| Comprehensive income (loss) | \$ (7,850) | \$ (716) | \$ (13,254) | \$ 866 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

PERFORMANT FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(In thousands)

(Unaudited)

| | Nine Months Ended | |
|--|-------------------|-----------|
| | September 30, | |
| | 2017 | 2016 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$(13,250) | \$842 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Loss on disposal of assets | 67 | 12 |
| Impairment of goodwill and intangible assets | 1,081 | — |
| Depreciation and amortization | 8,381 | 10,098 |
| Deferred income taxes | 667 | (2,455) |
| Stock-based compensation | 3,027 | 3,546 |
| Interest expense from debt issuance costs | 989 | 874 |
| Write-off unamortized debt issuance costs | 1,049 | 468 |
| Interest expense paid in kind | 331 | — |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Trade accounts receivable | (1,006) | 7,656 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | (1,536) | 55 |
| Income tax receivable | 573 | (658) |
| Other assets | 17 | 22 |
| Accrued salaries and benefits | 1,325 | 3,757 |
| Accounts payable | 424 | 152 |
| Other current liabilities | (547) | (2,210) |
| Income taxes payable | — | (895) |
| Estimated liability for appeals | (160) | 438 |
| Net payable to client | (405) | (981) |
| Other liabilities | (257) | (230) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 770 | 20,491 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Purchase of property, equipment, and leasehold improvements | (5,408) | (5,529) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (5,408) | (5,529) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Repayment of notes payable | (55,513) | (29,307) |
| Restricted cash for repayment of notes payable | 7,502 | (7,507) |
| Debt issuance costs paid | (858) | (800) |
| Taxes paid related to net share settlement of stock awards | (382) | (261) |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 90 | 333 |
| Borrowings from notes payable | 44,000 | — |
| Income tax benefit from employee stock options | — | 103 |
| Payment of purchase obligation | — | (427) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (5,161) | (37,866) |
| Effect of foreign currency exchange rate changes on cash | (4) | 24 |
| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents | (9,803) | (22,880) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 32,982 | 71,182 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$23,179 | \$48,302 |

Edgar Filing: Performant Financial Corp - Form 10-Q

Non-cash financing activities:

Recognition of warrant issued in debt financing

\$3,302 \$—

Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:

4

Table of Contents

PERFORMANT FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(In thousands)

(Unaudited)

Cash paid for income taxes \$540 \$3,976

Cash paid for interest \$2,835 \$4,797

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

5

Table of Contents

PERFORMANT FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements

For the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2017 and 2016

(Unaudited)

1. Organization and Description of Business

(a) Basis of Presentation and Organization

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, or U.S. GAAP, for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and notes required by U.S. GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, the unaudited interim financial statements furnished herein include all adjustments necessary (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) for a fair presentation of our and our subsidiaries' financial position at September 30, 2017, the results of our operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016 and cash flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016. Interim financial statements are prepared on a basis consistent with our annual consolidated financial statements. The interim financial statements included herein should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes included in our annual report on Form 10-K for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015, and 2014.

The Company is a leading provider of technology-enabled audit, recovery, and analytics services in the United States. The Company's services help identify improper payments, and in some markets, restructure and recover delinquent or defaulted assets and improper payments for both government and private clients across different markets. The Company's clients typically operate in complex and regulated environments and outsource their recovery needs in order to reduce losses on billions of dollars of defaulted student loans, improper healthcare payments and delinquent state tax and federal treasury receivables. The Company generally provides services on an outsourced basis, where we handle many or all aspects of the clients' various processes.

The Company's consolidated financial statements include the operations of Performant Financial Corporation (PFC), its wholly owned subsidiary Performant Business Services, Inc., and its wholly owned subsidiaries Performant Recovery, Inc. (Recovery), Performant Technologies, Inc., and Performant Europe Ltd. PFC is a Delaware corporation headquartered in California and was formed in 2003. Performant Business Services, Inc. is a Nevada corporation founded in 1997. Recovery is a California corporation founded in 1976. Performant Technologies, Inc. is a California corporation that was formed in 2004. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The Company is managed and operated as one business, with a single management team that reports to the Chief Executive Officer.

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP, requires management to make certain estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, primarily accounts receivable, intangible assets, goodwill, estimated liability for appeals, accrued expenses, and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Our actual results could differ from those estimates.

(b) Revenues, Accounts Receivable, and Estimated Liability for Appeals

Revenue is recognized upon the collection of defaulted loan and debt payments. Loan rehabilitation revenue is recognized when the rehabilitated loans are sold (funded) by clients. Incentive revenue is recognized upon receipt of official notification of incentive award from customers. Under the Company's Medicare Recovery Audit Contractor, or RAC, contract with Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, or CMS, the Company recognizes revenues when the healthcare provider has paid CMS for a given claim or has agreed to an offset against other claims by the provider. Providers have the right to appeal a claim and may pursue additional appeals if the initial appeal is found in favor of CMS. The Company accrues an estimated liability for appeals at the time revenue is recognized based on the Company's estimate of the amount of revenue probable of being refunded to CMS following successful appeal. In

addition, if the Company's estimate of the liability for appeals with respect to revenues recognized during a prior period changes, the Company increases or decreases current period accruals based on such change in estimated liability. At September 30, 2017, a total of \$18.8 million was presented as an allowance against revenue, representing the Company's estimate of claims audited under the CMS contract that may be overturned. Of this, none was related to accounts receivable and \$18.8 million was related to commissions which had already been received. In addition to the \$18.8 million related to the RAC contract with CMS, the Company has accrued \$0.3 million of additional estimated liability for appeals related to other healthcare contracts. The total accrued liability for appeals of \$19.1 million has been presented in the

Table of Contents

caption estimated liability for appeals at September 30, 2017. At December 31, 2016, the total appeals-related liability was \$19.3 million. The \$19.1 million balance at September 30, 2017 and \$19.3 million at December 31, 2016, represent the Company's best estimate of the probable amount of losses related to appeals of claims for which commissions were previously collected. In addition to the \$19.1 million amount accrued at September 30, 2017, the Company estimates that it is reasonably possible that it could be required to pay an additional amount up to approximately \$5.4 million as a result of potentially successful appeals. To the extent that required payments by the Company exceed the amount accrued, revenues in the applicable period would be reduced by the amount of the excess. The zero allowance against accounts receivable at September 30, 2017 resulted from no customer receivables existing in an aged position which required a specific reserve; while the allowance at December 31, 2016 was \$0.2 million.

(c) Net Payable to Client

The Company nets outstanding accounts receivable invoices from an audit and recovery contract against payables for overturned audits. The overturned audits are netted against current fees due on the invoice to the client when they are processed by the client's system. The "Net payable to client" balance of \$12.7 million and \$13.1 million at September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively, represent the excess of payables for overturned audits. The Company expects that the net payable to client balance will be paid to the client within the next twelve months.

(d) Prepaid Expenses and Other Current Assets

At September 30, 2017, prepaid expenses and other current assets includes \$5.6 million of amounts estimated to become due from subcontractors. The Company employs subcontractors to audit claims as part of an audit & recovery contract, and to the extent that audits by these subcontractors are overturned on appeal, the fees associated with such claims are contractually refundable to the Company. At September 30, 2017, the receivable associated with estimated future overturns of subcontractor audits was \$5.6 million. In addition, at September 30, 2017, prepaid expenses and other current assets includes a net receivable of \$3.7 million for subcontractor fees for already overturned audits refundable to the Company once the Company refunds its fees to the client as prime contractor. By comparison, at December 31, 2016, prepaid expenses and other current assets included \$5.7 million of estimated future overturns of subcontractor audits, as well as a net receivable of \$3.7 million for subcontractor fees for already overturned audits refundable to the Company once the Company refunds its fees to the client as prime contractor.

(e) Impairment of Goodwill and Long-Lived Assets

Goodwill and long-lived assets are evaluated for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of such assets or intangibles may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of the assets to future undiscounted net cash flows expected to be generated by the assets. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds the fair value of the assets. For the nine months ended September 30, 2017, an impairment expense of \$1.1 million was recognized to account for the write-off of goodwill and intangible assets in one of our subsidiaries, Performant Europe Ltd., due to the Company's decision to wind down activity in this business. The expense has been included in other operating expenses in the consolidated statements of operations. There was no impairment expense for goodwill and long-lived assets for the nine months ended September 30, 2016.

(f) Restricted Cash

On August 3, 2017, \$6.0 million of restricted cash was paid to the administrative agent for the benefit of the lenders under our Prior Credit Agreement. At September 30, 2017, and at December 31, 2016, restricted cash included in current assets on our consolidated balance sheet was \$0.0 million and \$7.5 million, respectively.

(g) New Accounting Pronouncements

Recently Adopted Accounting Standards

In November 2015, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2015-17, "Income Taxes (Topic 740): Balance Sheet Classification of Deferred Taxes" ("ASU 2015-17"), which simplifies the reporting requirements of deferred taxes by requiring all organizations to classify all deferred tax assets and liabilities, along with any related valuation allowance, as noncurrent. The guidance is effective for public companies with annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim periods within that reporting period. We adopted ASU-2015-17

during our first quarter of 2017 on a prospective basis.

7

Table of Contents

During the first quarter 2017, the Company adopted ASU 2016-09, "Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting" (ASU 2016-09) on a prospective basis. As a result of the adoption, the Company recognized \$84 thousand of income tax expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2017. These tax benefits, or shortfalls, were historically recorded in equity. In addition, cash flows related to excess tax benefits, or shortfalls, are now classified as an operating activity. Cash paid on employees' behalf related to shares withheld for tax purposes is classified as a financing activity, consistent with prior year's presentation.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In May 2014, the FASB issued an ASU that amends the FASB ASC by creating a new Topic 606, "Revenue from Contracts with Customers". The new guidance will supersede the revenue recognition requirements in Topic 605, "Revenue Recognition", and most industry-specific guidance on revenue recognition throughout the Industry Topics of the Codification. The core principle of the guidance is that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. To achieve that core principle, an entity should apply a five step model for recognizing and measuring revenue from contracts with customers. In addition, an entity should disclose sufficient qualitative and quantitative information to enable users of financial statements to understand the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from contracts with customers. The new revenue recognition guidance, including subsequent amendments, is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within that reporting period, with the option to early adopt the standard for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2016. We have not adopted this guidance early and are currently evaluating the effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-02, "Leases", which, for operating leases, requires a lessee to recognize a right-of-use asset and a lease liability, initially measured at the present value of the lease payments, in its balance sheet. The standard also requires a lessee to recognize a single lease cost, calculated so that the cost of the lease is allocated over the lease term, on a generally straight-line basis. This new guidance is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2018 with early adoption permitted. We have not adopted this guidance early and are currently evaluating the effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In August 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-15, "Statement of Cash Flows: Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payments" which provides guidance on the presentation of certain cash receipts and cash payments in the statement of cash flows in order to reduce diversity in existing practice. This new guidance is effective for annual reporting periods, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2017, and early adoption is permitted. This new standard requires retrospective adoption, with a provision for impracticability. We have not adopted this guidance early and are currently evaluating the effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In January 2017, the FASB issued ASU 2017-04, "Simplifying the Test for Goodwill Impairment" to simplify the goodwill impairment testing process. The new standard eliminates Step 2 of the goodwill impairment test. If a company determines in Step 1 of the goodwill impairment test that the carrying value of goodwill is less than the fair value, an impairment in that amount should be recorded to the income statement, rather than proceeding to Step 2. This new guidance is effective for annual reporting periods, and interim periods with goodwill impairment tests within those years, beginning after December 15, 2019, and early adoption is permitted for testing periods after January 1, 2017. We have not adopted this guidance early and are currently evaluating the effect on our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

2. Property, Equipment, and Leasehold Improvements

Property, equipment, and leasehold improvements consist of the following at September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016 (in thousands):

| | September 30, December 31, | |
|---|----------------------------|-----------|
| | 2017 | 2016 |
| Land | \$ 1,122 | \$ 1,122 |
| Building and leasehold improvements | 6,223 | 6,203 |
| Furniture and equipment | 5,706 | 5,656 |
| Computer hardware and software | 70,615 | 67,861 |
| | 83,666 | 80,842 |
| Less accumulated depreciation and amortization | (62,273) | (57,107) |
| Property, equipment and leasehold improvements, net | \$ 21,393 | \$ 23,735 |

Depreciation expense of property, equipment and leasehold improvements was \$2.5 million and \$2.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively, \$7.7 million and \$7.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

3. Credit Agreement

On March 19, 2012, we, through our wholly owned subsidiary, entered into a \$147.5 million credit agreement, as amended and restated, with Madison Capital Funding LLC as administrative agent, ING Capital LLC as syndication agent, and other lenders party thereto (as amended, the "Prior Credit Agreement"). The senior credit facility consists of (i) a \$57.0 million Term A loan that matured and was fully paid in March 2017, (ii) a \$79.5 million Term B loan that matures in June 2018, and (iii) a \$11.0 million revolving credit facility that expired and was fully paid in March 2017. On June 28, 2012, we amended the Credit Agreement to increase the amount of our borrowings under our Term B loan by \$19.5 million.

On November 4, 2014, February 19, 2016, July 26, 2016, October 27, 2016, and March 22, 2017, the Prior Credit Agreement was further amended to, among other things, modify a number of existing covenants and add new covenants requiring the Company to maintain a minimum cash balance, comply with an interest coverage ratio and achieve minimum EBITDA levels. On May 3, 2017, we further amended the credit agreement (the "Eighth Amendment") to extend the maturity date of the Term B loan to June 19, 2018. As a result of this extension, regularly scheduled quarterly amortization payments of \$247,500 were also extended through March 31, 2018, with the remaining outstanding principal amount due on the June 19, 2018 maturity date. Interest on the Term B loan charged under the credit agreement was also increased by 3.00% per annum, however the amount of such increased interest was payable in kind. Pursuant to the Eighth Amendment, the quarterly and annual financial reporting covenants were also modified to require that the Company's financial statements not contain a qualification, if required by GAAP, with respect to our ability to continue as a going concern.

On August 7, 2017, we, through our wholly-owned subsidiary Performant Business Services, Inc. (the "Borrower"), entered into a new credit agreement with ECMC Group, Inc. (the "New Credit Agreement"). The New Credit Agreement provides for a term loan facility in the initial amount of \$44 million (the "Initial Term Loan") and for up to \$15 million of additional term loans ("Additional Term Loans"; and together with the Initial Term Loan, the "Loans") which Additional Term Loans may be drawn until the second anniversary of the funding of the Initial Term Loans, subject to the satisfaction of customary conditions. On August 11, 2017, the Initial Term Loan was advanced (the "Closing Date") and the proceeds were applied to repay all outstanding amounts under the Prior Credit Agreement. On September 29, 2017, we entered into Amendment No. 1 to the New Credit Agreement to extend the initial interest payment due date to December 31, 2017. Approximately \$2 million of contingent reimbursement obligations with respect to outstanding but undrawn letters of credit remain outstanding under the Prior Credit Agreement, however, those contingent reimbursement obligations will remain cash collateralized with the administrative agent.

The Loans will mature on the third anniversary of the Closing Date, however we will have the option to extend the maturity of the Loans for two additional one year periods, subject to the satisfaction of customary conditions. The Loans will bear interest at the one-month LIBOR rate (subject to a 1% per annum floor) plus a margin which may vary from 5.5% per annum to 10.0% per annum based on our total debt to EBITDA ratio. The Initial Term Loans will

initially bear interest at LIBOR plus 7.0% per annum. We will be required to pay 5% of the original principal balance of the Loans annually in quarterly installments beginning March 31, 2018, and to offer to make mandatory prepayments of the Loans with a percentage of our excess cash flow which may vary between 75% and 0% depending on our total debt to EBITDA ratio. In addition to mandatory

9

Table of Contents

prepayments for excess cash flow, we will also be required to offer to prepay the Loans with the net cash proceeds of certain asset dispositions and with the issuance of debt not otherwise permitted under the New Credit Agreement.

Except in connection with a change of control and the payment of a 1% premium, we will not be permitted to voluntarily prepay the Loans until after the first anniversary of the Closing Date. We will be permitted to prepay the Loans during the second year after the Closing Date if accompanied by a prepayment premium of 1%. Thereafter, we will be permitted to prepay the Loans without any prepayment premium.

The New Credit Agreement contains certain restrictive financial covenants which became effective on the Closing Date. Such covenants require, among other things, that we meet a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio of 0.5 to 1.0 through December 31, 2019, 1.0 to 1.0 through June 30, 2020 (or until December 31, 2020 if the maturity date of the Loans is extended until the fourth anniversary of the Closing Date), 1.25 to 1.0 through June 30, 2021 if the maturity date of the Loans is extended until the fourth anniversary of the Closing Date and 1.25 to 1.0 through June 30, 2022 if the maturity date of the Loans is extended until the fifth anniversary of the Closing Date. In addition, we will be required to maintain, a maximum total debt to EBITDA ratio of 6.00 to 1.00. The New Credit Agreement also contains covenants that will restrict the Company and its subsidiaries' ability to incur certain types or amounts of indebtedness, incur liens on certain assets, make material changes in corporate structure or the nature of its business, dispose of material assets, engage in a change in control transaction, make certain foreign investments, enter into certain restrictive agreements, or engage in certain transactions with affiliates.

The obligations under the New Credit Agreement are secured by substantially all of our United States domestic subsidiaries' assets and are guaranteed by the Company and its United States domestic subsidiaries, other than the Borrower.

As a result of our entry into our New Credit Agreement, and the repayment of all amounts owed under the Prior Credit Agreement, we wrote off debt issuance costs related to the Prior Credit Agreement of approximately \$1.0 million in August 2017.

Scheduled payments under the Agreement for the next five years and thereafter are as follows (in thousands):

| Year Ending December 31, | Amount |
|--------------------------|----------|
| Remainder of 2017 | \$— |
| 2018 | 2,200 |
| 2019 | 2,200 |
| 2020 | 39,600 |
| 2021 | — |
| Thereafter | — |
| Total | \$44,000 |

In consideration for, and concurrently with, the extension of the Initial Term Loan in accordance with the terms of the New Credit Agreement, we issued a warrant to the lender to purchase up to an aggregate of 3,863,326 shares of the Company's common stock (representing approximately up to 7.5% of our diluted common stock as calculated using the "treasury stock" method as defined under GAAP for the most recent fiscal quarter) with an exercise price of \$1.92 per share. Upon our election to borrow any of the Additional Term Loans, we will be required to issue additional warrants at the same exercise price to purchase up to an aggregate of 77,267 additional shares of common stock (which represents approximately 0.15% of our diluted common stock calculated using the "treasury stock" method as defined under GAAP for the most recent fiscal quarter) for each \$1,000,000 of such Additional Term Loans.

The Company has accounted for this warrant as an equity instrument since the Warrant is indexed to the Company's common shares and meets the criteria for classification in shareholders' equity. The relative fair value of the Warrant on the date of issuance was approximately \$3.3 million and is treated as a discount to the debt. This amount will be amortized to interest expense under the effective interest method over the life of the Term Loan, which is a period of 36 months. The Company estimated the value of the Warrant using the Black-Scholes model. The key assumptions used to value the Warrant are as follows:

Table of Contents

| | |
|---------------------------------|--------|
| Exercise price | \$1.92 |
| Share price on date of issuance | \$1.85 |
| Volatility | 50.0 % |
| Risk-free interest rate | 1.83 % |
| Expected dividend yield | — % |
| Contractual term (in years) | 5 |

In addition, at the closing of the Term Loan, the Company paid transaction costs of \$0.6 million, which were recorded as a discount on the debt and will be amortized to interest expense using the effective interest method over the life of the initial Term Loan, which is a period of 36 months.

Outstanding debt obligations are as follows (in thousands):

| | |
|--|-----------------------|
| | September 30, 2017 |
| Principal amount | \$ 44,000 |
| Less: unamortized discount and debt issuance costs | (3,687) |
| Loan payable less unamortized discount and debt issuance costs | 40,313 |
| Less: current maturities | (1,512) |
| Long-term loan payable, net of current maturities | \$ 38,801 |

4. Commitments and Contingencies

We have entered into various non-cancelable operating lease agreements for certain of our office facilities and equipment with original lease periods expiring between 2017 and 2022. Certain of these arrangements have free rent periods and /or escalating rent payment provisions, and we recognize rent expense under such arrangements on a straight-line basis. In October 2017, we renewed our lease agreements for office space for approximately 50,000 square feet in Livermore, California.

Future minimum rental commitments under non-cancelable leases as of September 30, 2017 are as follows (in thousands):

| | |
|---------------------------------|----------|
| Year Ending December 31, Amount | |
| Remainder of 2017 | \$ 393 |
| 2018 | 2,223 |
| 2019 | 2,158 |
| 2020 | 2,109 |
| 2021 | 1,377 |
| Thereafter | 933 |
| Total | \$ 9,193 |

Operating lease expense was \$0.6 million and \$0.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively, and was \$2.0 million and \$2.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

5. Stock-based Compensation

(a) Stock Options

Total stock-based compensation expense charged as salaries and benefits expense in the consolidated statements of operations was \$0.7 million and \$1.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively, and \$3.0 million and \$3.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

Table of Contents

The following table shows stock option activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2017:

| | Outstanding Options | Weighted average exercise price per share | Weighted average remaining contractual life (Years) | Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands) |
|--|------------------------|--|---|--|
| Outstanding at December 31, 2016 | 3,506,529 | \$ 7.32 | 5.04 | \$ 1,367 |
| Granted | — | — | | |
| Forfeited | (159,310) | 5.38 | | |
| Exercised | (188,959) | 0.50 | | |
| Outstanding at September 30, 2017 | 3,158,260 | \$ 7.83 | 4.41 | \$ 613 |
| Vested, exercisable, expected to vest ⁽¹⁾ at September 30, 2017 | 3,149,795 | \$ 7.83 | 4.41 | \$ 613 |
| Exercisable at September 30, 2017 | 2,980,079 | \$ 7.98 | 4.24 | \$ 610 |

(1) Options expected to vest reflect an estimated forfeiture rate.

The Company recognizes share-based compensation costs as expense on a straight-line basis over the option vesting period, which generally is four to five years.

(b) Restricted Stock Units and Performance Stock Units

The following table summarizes restricted stock unit and performance stock unit activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2017:

| | Number of Awards | Weighted average grant date fair value per share |
|---|---------------------|--|
| Outstanding at December 31, 2016 | 2,060,240 | \$ 2.70 |
| Granted | 1,481,252 | 2.41 |
| Forfeited | (371,800) | 2.98 |
| Expired | (40,500) | 2.57 |
| Vested and converted to shares, net of units withheld for taxes | (533,872) | 2.73 |
| Units withheld for taxes | (209,743) | 2.73 |
| Outstanding at September 30, 2017 | 2,385,577 | \$ 2.46 |
| Expected to vest at September 30, 2017 | 2,266,348 | \$ 2.46 |

Restricted stock units and performance stock units granted under the Performant Financial Corporation 2012 Stock Incentive Plan generally vest over periods ranging from one to four years.

6. Income Taxes

Our effective income tax rate changed to a negative rate of (14.4)% for the nine months ended September 30, 2017 from 6.9% for the nine months ended September 30, 2016. The decrease in the effective tax rate is primarily due to more significant losses from operations generated in the nine months ended September 30, 2017 for which no tax benefit is recognized compared to the income tax expense recorded on income from operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2016.

We file income tax returns with the U.S. federal government and various state jurisdictions. We operate in a number of state and local jurisdictions, most of which have never audited our records. Accordingly, we are subject to state and local income tax examinations based upon the various statutes of limitations in each jurisdiction. For tax years before 2014, the Company is no longer subject to Federal and certain other state tax examinations. We are currently being examined by the Franchise Tax Board of California for tax years 2011 through 2014.

Table of Contents

7. Earnings per Share

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016, basic income per share is calculated by dividing net income by the sum of the weighted average number of shares of Common Stock outstanding during the period. Diluted income per share is calculated by dividing net income by the weighted average number of shares of Common Stock and dilutive common share equivalents outstanding during the period. Common share equivalents consist of stock options, restricted stock units, and performance stock units. When there is a loss in the period, dilutive common share equivalents are excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share, as their effect would be anti-dilutive. For example, for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2017, and the three months ended September 30, 2016, dilutive common share equivalents have been excluded, and diluted weighted average shares outstanding are the same as basic average shares outstanding. When there is net income in the period, the Company excludes stock options, restricted stock units, and performance stock units from the calculation of diluted earnings per share when the combined exercise price, unamortized fair value and excess tax benefits of the options exceed the average market price of the Company's common stock because their effect would be anti-dilutive. For the nine months ended September 30, 2016, the Company excluded 4,559,511 options from the calculation of diluted earnings per share because their effect would be anti-dilutive.

The following table reconciles the basic to diluted weighted average shares outstanding using the treasury stock method (shares in thousands):

| | Three Months | | Nine Months | |
|---|--------------|--------|-------------|--------|
| | Ended | | Ended | |
| | September | | September | |
| | 30, | | 30, | |
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2017 | 2016 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding – basic | 50,852 | 50,200 | 50,581 | 49,974 |
| Dilutive effect of stock options | — | — | — | 427 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding – diluted | 50,852 | 50,200 | 50,581 | 50,401 |

8. Subsequent Events

We have evaluated subsequent events through the date these consolidated financial statements were issued and there are no other events that have occurred that would require adjustments or disclosures to our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

You should read the following discussion in conjunction with our condensed consolidated financial statements (unaudited) and related notes included elsewhere in this report. This report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. The words "believe," "may," "will," "estimate," "continue," "anticipate," "design," "intend," "expect" and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. We have based these forward-looking statements largely on our current expectations and projections about future events and trends that we believe may affect our financial condition, results of operations, strategy, short-term and long-term business operations and objectives, and financial needs. These forward-looking statements are subject to a number of risks, uncertainties and assumptions, including those described in "Risk Factors" under Item 1A of Part II of this report. In light of these risks, uncertainties and assumptions, the forward-looking events and trends discussed in this report may not occur, and actual results could differ materially and adversely from those anticipated or implied in the forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements about our: opportunities and expectations for growth in the student lending, healthcare and other markets; anticipated trends and challenges in our business and competition in the markets in which we operate; our client relationships and our ability to maintain such client relationships; our ability to maintain compliance with the covenants in our debt agreements; the adaptability of our technology platform to new markets and processes; our ability to invest in and utilize our data and analytics capabilities to expand our capabilities; the sufficiency of our appeals reserve; our growth strategy of expanding in our existing markets and considering strategic alliances or acquisitions; our ability to meet our liquidity and working capital needs; maintaining, protecting and enhancing our intellectual property; our expectations regarding future expenses; expected future financial performance; and our ability to comply with and adapt to industry regulations and compliance demands. The forward-looking statements in this report speak only as of the date hereof. We expressly disclaim any obligation or undertaking to release publicly any updates or revisions to any forward-looking statements contained herein to reflect any change in our expectations with regard thereto or any change in events, conditions or circumstances on which any such statement is based.

Overview

We provide technology-enabled audit, recovery and related analytics services in the United States. Our services help identify improper payments, and in some markets, restructure and recover delinquent or defaulted assets and improper payments for both government and private clients across different markets. Our clients typically operate in complex and regulated environments and outsource their recovery needs in order to reduce losses on billions of dollars of defaulted student loans, improper healthcare payments and delinquent state tax and federal treasury and other receivables. We generally provide our services on an outsourced basis, where we handle many or all aspects of our clients' various processes.

Our revenue model is generally success-based as we earn fees on the aggregate amount of improper payments that we identify on behalf of our clients that we either recover directly or enable our clients to recover. Our services do not require any significant upfront investments by our clients and offer our clients the opportunity to recover significant funds otherwise lost. Because our model is based upon the success of our efforts and the dollars we enable our clients to recover, our business objectives are aligned with those of our clients and we are generally not reliant on their spending budgets. Furthermore, our business model does not require significant capital expenditures and we do not purchase loans or obligations.

Sources of Revenues

We derive our revenues from services for clients in a variety of different markets. These markets include student lending and healthcare, as well as our other markets which include, but are not limited to, delinquent state taxes and federal Treasury and other receivables.

Table of Contents

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2017 2016 (in thousands) | | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2017 2016 (in thousands) | |
|-----------------------------|---|----------|--|-----------|
| Student Lending: | | | | |
| Department of Education | \$635 | \$3,906 | \$3,579 | \$18,243 |
| Guaranty Agencies and Other | 19,178 | 19,891 | 68,242 | 63,964 |
| Total of Student Lending | 19,813 | 23,797 | 71,821 | 82,207 |
| Healthcare: | | | | |
| CMS RAC | 821 | 1,717 | 969 | 5,180 |
| Commercial | 1,806 | 1,262 | 5,393 | 3,878 |
| Total of Healthcare | 2,627 | 2,979 | 6,362 | 9,058 |
| Other: | 7,304 | 4,419 | 20,577 | 16,283 |
| Total Revenues | \$29,744 | \$31,195 | \$98,760 | \$107,548 |

Student Lending

We derive the majority of our revenues from the recovery of student loans. These revenues are contract-based and consist primarily of contingency fees based on a specified percentage of the amount we enable our clients to recover. Our contingency fee percentage for a particular recovery depends on the type of recovery facilitated. Our clients in the student loan recovery market mainly consist of several of the largest guaranty agencies, or GAs. In addition, we have a long history of also providing recovery services to the Department of Education. However, in December 2016, the Department of Education awarded contracts for student loan recovery services to seven contractors and we were not a recipient of one of these contract awards. We, along with 19 other contractors who did not receive contract awards from the Department of Education, filed protests with the GAO regarding the Department of Education's award of these contracts. In March 2017, the GAO upheld our protest. The Department of Education requested resubmittal of new contract proposals and is currently undergoing a re-evaluation process with respect to such proposals. The Department of Education has recently announced that it has completed its review and assessment for the award of the new contracts. However, we do not know when the awards of the new contracts will be made. Further, there may be appeals and challenges to the contract awards when granted, which could delay the actual start date for the new contracts. We have been one of the Department of Education's unrestricted student loan recovery contractors for more than 20 years until our prior contract expired in April 2015.

We believe the size and the composition of our student loan inventory at any point provides us with a degree of revenue visibility for our student loan revenues. Based on data compiled from over two decades of experience with the recovery of defaulted student loans, at the time we receive a placement of student loans, we are able to make a reasonably accurate estimate of the recovery outcomes likely to be derived from such placement and the revenues we are likely able to generate based on the anticipated recovery outcomes.

Our key metric in evaluating our student lending business is Placement Volume. Our Placement Volume represents the dollar volume of defaulted student loans first placed with us during the specified period by public and private clients for recovery. Placement Volume allows us to measure and track trends in the amount of inventory our clients in the student lending market are placing with us during any period. The revenues associated with the recovery of a portion of these loans may be recognized in subsequent accounting periods, which assists management in estimating future revenues and in allocating resources necessary to address current Placement Volumes.

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2017 2016 (in thousands) | | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2017 2016 (in thousands) | |
|-----------------------------------|---|-----|---|---------|
| Student Lending Placement Volume: | | | | |
| Department of Education | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$5,082 |

Edgar Filing: Performant Financial Corp - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-------------|-------------|
| Guaranty Agencies and Other | 647,088 | 678,910 | 2,221,748 | 2,539,998 |
| Total Student Lending Placement Volume | \$647,088 | \$678,910 | \$2,221,748 | \$2,545,080 |

15

Table of Contents

There are five potential outcomes to the student loan recovery process from which we generate revenues. These outcomes include: full repayment, recurring payments, rehabilitation, loan restructuring and wage garnishment. Of these five potential outcomes, our ability to rehabilitate defaulted student loans is the most significant component of our revenues in this market. Generally, a loan is considered successfully rehabilitated after the student loan borrower has made nine consecutive qualifying monthly payments and our client has notified us that it is recalling the loan. Once we have structured and implemented a repayment program for a defaulted borrower, we (i) earn a percentage of each periodic payment collected up to and including the final periodic payment prior to the loan being considered “rehabilitated” by our clients, and (ii) if the loan is “rehabilitated,” then we are paid a one-time percentage of the total amount of the remaining unpaid balance, except that beginning in July 2015, our contract with the Department of Education has provided for a fixed fee of \$1,710 for each rehabilitated loan. The fees we are paid vary by recovery outcome as well as by contract. In addition, under our contracts with our GA clients, we generally recognize revenue when our GA clients rehabilitate and recall the loans which has been placed with us. At times, our GA clients may be delayed in recalling loans or may wait to rehabilitate loans based on events that are not in our control. For non-government-supported student loans we are generally only paid contingency fees on two outcomes: full repayment or recurring repayments. The table below describes our typical fee structure for each of these five outcomes.

Student Loan Recovery Outcomes

| Full Repayment | Recurring Payments | Rehabilitation | Loan Restructuring | Wage Garnishment |
|---|---|--|---|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Repayment in full of the loan | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Regular structured payments, typically according to a renegotiated payment plan | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • After a defaulted borrower has made nine consecutive recurring payments, the loan is eligible for rehabilitation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Restructure and consolidate a number of outstanding loans into a single loan, typically with one monthly payment and an extended maturity | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If we are unable to obtain voluntary repayment, payments may be obtained through wage garnishment after certain administrative requirements are met |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We are paid a percentage of the full payment that is made | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We are paid a percentage of each payment | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We are paid based on a percentage of the overall value of the rehabilitated loan or for the Department of Education, a fixed fee | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We are paid based on a percentage of overall value of the restructured loan | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We are paid a percentage of each payment |

For certain guaranty agency, or GA, clients, we have entered into Master Service Agreements, or MSAs. Under these agreements, clients provided their entire inventory of outsourced loans or receivables to us for recovery on an exclusive basis, in contrast with traditional contracts that are split among various service providers. In certain circumstances, we engage subcontractors to assist in the recovery of a portion of the client’s portfolio. We also receive success fees for the recovery of loans under MSAs and our revenues under MSA arrangements include fees earned by the activities of our subcontractors. On June 15, 2017, we received a termination notice from one of our significant GA clients, Great Lakes Higher Education Guaranty Corporation. The termination of this contract was based on Great Lake’s decision to bundle its student loan servicing work, a service that we currently do not provide, along with its student loan recovery work to a single third party vendor. Since we received the initial termination notice from Great Lakes, we received additional notices from Great Lakes to allow us to continue to provide certain student loan services for three additional 30-day periods. In September 2017, we entered into a contract with Navient, who is now servicing the Great Lakes portfolio, to act as a recovery subcontractor for Navient. Under this arrangement, we expect to start recovery services for approximately 25% of the Great Lakes portfolio, and we believe we will have the opportunity to increase this percentage based on our performance. This contract also provides us with the right to service a small portion of an additional portfolio managed by Navient. This contract has no set term, and Navient has the right to terminate the contract at will.

In October 2014, the Department of Education announced a change in the structure for the payment of fees to recovery contractors upon rehabilitation of student loans under the existing recovery contract. The new fee structure provides for a fixed fee of \$1,710 for each loan that is rehabilitated. Previously, the fee had been based on a percentage of the principal amount of the rehabilitated loan. The change to the fee structure became effective for student loans rehabilitated on or following July 1, 2015.

Further, the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2013 reduced the compensation paid to GAs for the rehabilitation of student loans, effective July 1, 2014. This “revenue enhancement” measure reduced the amount that GAs can charge borrowers from 18.5% to 16.0% of the outstanding loan balance, when a rehabilitated loan is sold by the GA and eliminated entirely the GAs retention of 18.5% of the outstanding loan balance as a fee for rehabilitation services. The reduction in compensation the GAs

Table of Contents

receive resulted in a decrease in the contingency fee percentage that we receive from the GAs for assisting in the rehabilitation of defaulted student loans.

Healthcare

We derive revenues from the healthcare market from our commercial healthcare contracts and our RAC contracts. For clients in both commercial and government healthcare markets, we are responsible for identifying incorrectly paid claims through both complex and automated forms of audit review. For our RAC contracts, we audit Medicare payments to detect improperly paid Part A and Part B Medicare claims. Revenues earned under the healthcare contracts are driven by the identification of improperly paid claims through both automated and manual review of such claims. We are paid contingency fees by our clients based on a percentage of the dollar amount of improper claims we identify that are recovered by our clients. We currently recognize revenue when the provider pays our client or incurs an offset against future claims. The revenues we recognize are net of our estimate of claims that we believe may be overturned by appeal following payment by the provider.

On October 5, 2017, we announced that we were awarded the Medicare Secondary Payer Commercial Repayment Center (CRC) contract by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid. Under this agreement, we are responsible for identifying and recovering payments in situations where Medicare should not be the primary payer of healthcare claims because a beneficiary has other forms of insurance coverage, such as through an employer group health plan or certain other payers.

Our first RAC contract was wound down and then terminated in 2016 in connection with CMS's plan to award new contracts. On October 26, 2016, CMS awarded new RAC contracts and we received RAC contracts for audit Regions 1 and 5. The RAC contract award for Region 1 allows us to continue our audit of payments under Medicare's Part A and Part B for all provider types other than DMEPOS and home health and hospice within an 11 state region in the Northeast and Midwest. The Region 5 RAC contract provides for the post-payment review of DMEPOS and home health and hospice claims nationally. While audit and recovery activity under the new contracts commenced in April 2017, there is uncertainty regarding the scope of audit that will be permitted by CMS under the new RAC contracts. In connection with the wind down of our first RAC contract, CMS adopted a series of contract transition procedures and other restrictions, beginning in 2013, that limited the types of claims we are permitted to audit and our ability to request medical records for audit and CMS suspended our ability to perform any audit services for certain periods of time, thus materially adversely affecting our revenues under that contract. In May 2016, CMS announced that the recovery audit contractors would not be able to request documents from providers for audit after May 16, 2016 and would not be able to submit claims for improper payments after July 29, 2016, effectively terminating additional revenue generating activity under our first RAC contract. Revenues for the year ended December 31, 2016 from our first RAC contract were \$5.7 million, compared with \$12.5 million for 2015 and \$29.2 million in 2014. To date we have not recognized significant revenues from the newly awarded RAC contracts meaning that these new contracts will not have a significant impact on 2017 revenues, although we have incurred start-up related expenses during 2017. In connection with our first RAC contract, CMS announced a settlement offer to pay hospitals 68% of what they have billed Medicare to settle a backlog of pending appeals challenging Medicare's denials of reimbursement for certain types of short-term care. The implication of this settlement offer related to claims for which fees have already been paid to recovery auditors under existing RAC contracts is unclear at this time, but we may be obligated to repay certain amounts that we previously received from CMS depending on the final terms of any such settlement. We accrue an estimated liability for appeals based on the amount of commissions received which are subject to appeal and which we estimate are probable of being returned to providers following successful appeal. The \$18.8 million balance as of September 30, 2017, represents our best estimate of the probable amount we may be required to refund related to appeals of claims for which commissions were previously collected. We estimate that it is reasonably possible that we could be required to pay an additional amount up to approximately \$5.4 million as a result of potentially successful appeals in excess of the amount we accrued as of September 30, 2017.

In connection with the award of our first RAC contract, we outsourced certain aspects of our healthcare recovery process to three different subcontractors. Two of these subcontractors provided a specific service to us in connection with our claims recovery process, with the third subcontractor, whose services were terminated in December 2016, formerly providing all of the audit and recovery services for claims within a portion of our region. We recognize all of

the revenues generated by the claims recovered through our subcontractor relationships, and we recognize the fees that we pay to these subcontractors in our expenses.

For our commercial healthcare business, our business strategy is focused on utilizing our technology-enabled services platform to provide audit, analytical, and in some cases, recovery services for private healthcare payors. We have entered into contracts with several private payors, although these contracts are in the early stage of implementation. Revenues from our

Table of Contents

commercial healthcare clients were \$1.8 million for the quarter ended September 30, 2017, compared to revenues of \$1.3 million that we earned from our commercial healthcare clients in the quarter ended September 30, 2016.

Other

We also derive revenues from the recovery of delinquent state taxes, and federal Treasury and other receivables, specialty administrative customer care functions, default aversion services for certain clients including financial institutions and the licensing of hosted technology solutions to certain clients. For our hosted technology services, we license our system and integrate our technology into our clients' operations, for which we are paid a licensing fee. Our revenues for these services include contingency fees, fees based on dedicated headcount to our clients and hosted technology licensing fees.

Costs and Expenses

We generally report two categories of operating expenses: salaries and benefits and other operating expense. Salaries and benefits expenses consist primarily of salaries and performance incentives paid and benefits provided to our employees. Other operating expense includes expenses related to our use of subcontractors, other production related expenses, including costs associated with data processing, retrieval of medical records, printing and mailing services, amortization and other outside services, as well as general corporate and administrative expenses. We expect a significant portion of our expenses to increase as we grow our business. However, we expect certain expenses, including our corporate and general administrative expenses, to grow at a slower rate than our revenues over the long term. As a result, we expect our overall expenses to modestly decline as a percentage of revenues.

Factors Affecting Our Operating Results

Our results of operations are influenced by a number of factors, including allocation of placement volume, claim recovery volume, contingency fees, regulatory matters, client retention and macroeconomic factors.

Allocation of Placement Volume

Our clients have the right to unilaterally set and increase or reduce the volume of defaulted student loans or other receivables that we service at any given time. In addition, many of our recovery contracts for student loans and other receivables are not exclusive, with our clients retaining multiple service providers to service portions of their portfolios. Accordingly, the number of delinquent student loans or other receivables that are placed with us may vary from time to time, which may have a significant effect on the amount and timing of our revenues. We believe the major factors that influence the number of placements we receive from our clients in the student loan market include our performance under our existing contracts and our ability to perform well against competitors for a particular client. To the extent that we perform well under our existing contracts and differentiate our services from those of our competitors, we may receive a relatively greater number of placements under these existing contracts and may improve our ability to obtain future contracts from these clients and other potential clients. Further, delays in placement volume, as well as acceleration of placement volume, from any of our large clients may cause our revenues and operating results to vary from quarter to quarter.

Typically, we are able to anticipate with reasonable accuracy the timing and volume of placements of defaulted student loans and other receivables based on historical patterns and regular communication with our clients.

Occasionally, however, placements are delayed due to factors outside of our control.

Contingency Fees

Our revenues consist primarily of contract-based contingency fees. The contingency fee percentages that we earn are set by our clients or agreed upon during the bid process, and may change from time to time either under the terms of existing contracts or pursuant to the terms of contract renewals. For example, the fees that we earned under our contractual arrangement with the Department of Education were subject to unilateral change by the Department of Education as a result of the Department of Education's decision to have its recovery vendors promote IBR to defaulted student loans. In connection with the implementation of the IBR program, the Department of Education reduced the contingency fee rate that we receive for rehabilitating student loans by approximately 13% effective March 1, 2013.

Table of Contents

Further, the Department of Education changed its fee structure to a fixed recovery fee of \$1,710 for each rehabilitated loan, effective as of July 1, 2015. The fixed recovery fee is payable for each loan that is rehabilitated and replaced a recovery fee structure that historically had been based on a percentage of the balance of the rehabilitated loan.

Regulatory Matters

Each of the markets which we serve is highly regulated. Accordingly, changes in regulations that affect the types of loans, receivables and claims that we are able to service or the manner in which any such delinquent loans, receivables and claims can be recovered will affect our revenues and results of operations. For example, the passage of the Student Aid and Fiscal Responsibility Act, or SAFRA, in 2010 had the effect of transferring the origination of all government-supported student loans to the Department of Education, thereby ending all student loan originations guaranteed by the GAs. Loans guaranteed by the GAs represented approximately 70% of government-supported student loans originated in 2009. While the GAs will continue to service existing outstanding student loans for years to come, this legislation will over time shift the portfolio of defaulted student loans toward the Department of Education for which we are no longer a contractor (subject to resolution of our recently upheld protest). In addition, our entry into the healthcare market was facilitated by passage of the Tax Relief and Health Care Act of 2006, which mandated CMS to contract with private firms to audit Medicare claims in an effort to increase the recovery of improper Medicare payments. Any changes to the regulations that affect the student loan industry or the recovery of defaulted student loans or the Medicare program generally or the audit and recovery of Medicare claims could have a significant impact on our revenues and results of operations.

Client Retention

Our revenues from the student loan market depend on our ability to maintain our contracts with some of the largest providers of student loans. In 2016 and 2015, three providers of student loans each accounted for more than 10% of our revenues and they collectively accounted for 55% of our total revenues in each year. Our contract with the Department of Education, which generated 16% of our revenues in 2016, expired in April 2015 and we were not selected as a vendor on the new contract announced in December 2016. We, along with 19 other contractors who did not receive contract awards from the Department of Education, filed protests regarding the Department of Education's award of these contracts. In March 2017, our protest was upheld. The Department of Education requested resubmittal of new contract proposals and is currently undergoing a re-evaluation process with respect to such proposals. The Department of Education recently announced that it has completed its review and assessment for the award of the new contracts. However, we do not know when the award of the new contracts will be made. Further, there may be further appeals and challenges to the contract awards when granted, which could delay the actual start date for the new contracts. If we are not successful in obtaining a new contract as a result of a conclusive award process, the absence of a contract with the Department of Education will have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations in 2018 and beyond. Our contracts with our other large clients entitle them to unilaterally terminate their contractual relationship with us at any time without penalty. In June 2017, one of our principal customers, Great Lakes Higher Education Guaranty Corporation, notified us that it is terminating our student loan recovery contract. If we lose one of our other significant clients, including if one of our significant clients is consolidated by an entity that does not use our services, if the terms of compensation for our services change or if there is a reduction in the level of placements provided by any of these clients, our revenues could decline.

The award of our two new RAC contracts in October 2016 has removed the uncertainty related to the retention of our relationship with CMS. However, while audit and recovery activity under the new contracts has commenced, the scope of our permitted audit activity remains uncertain. To date, we have not recognized significant revenues from the newly awarded RAC contracts.

Macroeconomic Factors

Certain macroeconomic factors influence our business and results of operations. These include the increasing volume of student loan originations in the U.S. as a result of increased tuition costs and student enrollment, the default rate of student loan borrowers, the growth in Medicare expenditures resulting from increasing healthcare costs, as well as the fiscal budget tightening of federal, state and local governments as a result of general economic weakness and lower tax revenues.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States, or GAAP. The preparation of these consolidated financial statements requires us to make estimates and

19

Table of Contents

assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, costs and expenses and related disclosures. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. In many instances, we could have reasonably used different accounting estimates, and in other instances changes in the accounting estimates are reasonably likely to occur from period-to-period. Accordingly, actual results could differ significantly from the estimates made by our management. To the extent that there are material differences between these estimates and actual results, our future financial statement presentation, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows will be affected. We believe that the accounting policies discussed below are critical to understanding our historical and future performance, as these policies relate to the more significant areas involving management's judgments and estimates.

Revenue Recognition

The majority of our contracts are contingency fee based. We recognize revenues on these contingency fee based contracts when third-party payors remit payments to our clients or remit payments to us on behalf of our clients, and, consequently, the contingency is deemed to have been satisfied. Under our RAC contracts with CMS, we recognize revenues when the healthcare provider has paid CMS for a claim or has agreed to an offset against other claims by the provider. Healthcare providers have the right to appeal a claim and may pursue additional level of appeals if the initial appeal is found in favor of CMS. We accrue an estimated liability for appeals at the time revenue is recognized based on our estimate of the amount of revenue probable of being returned to CMS following successful appeal based on historical data and other trends relating to such appeals. In addition, if our estimate of liability for appeals with respect to revenues recognized during a prior period changes, we increase or decrease the estimated liability for appeals in the current period.

This estimated liability for appeals is an offset to revenues on our income statement. Resolution of appeals can take a very long time to resolve and there is a significant backlog in the system for resolving appeals, as over the course of our existing RAC contract, healthcare providers have increased their pursuit of appeals beyond the first and second levels of appeal to the third level of appeal, where cases are heard by administrative law judges, or ALJs. In our experience, decisions at the third level of appeal are the least favorable as ALJs exercise greater discretion and there is less predictability in the ALJ decisions as compared to appeals at the first or second levels. This increase of ALJ appeals and backlog of claims at the third level of appeal is the primary reason our total estimated liability for appeals (consisting of the estimated liability for appeals plus the contra-accounts-receivable estimated allowance for appeals) has remained at a consistent level despite decreasing revenue from CMS. The balance of the estimated liability for appeals remained at \$18.8 million as of September 30, 2017 primarily due to the relatively slow pace of the decisions at the ALJ level. In addition to the \$18.8 million related to the RAC contract with CMS, the Company has accrued \$0.3 million of additional estimated liability for appeals related to other healthcare contracts. The total accrued liability for appeals is therefore \$19.1 million at September 30, 2017.

The \$19.1 million balance as of September 30, 2017, represents our best estimate of the probable amount of losses related to appeals of claims for which commissions were previously collected. We estimate that it is reasonably possible that we could be required to pay up to an additional approximately \$5.4 million as a result of potentially successful appeals. To the extent that required payments by us related to successful appeals exceed the amount accrued, revenues in the applicable period would be reduced by the amount of the excess.

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued an ASU that amends the FASB ASC by creating a new Topic 606, Revenue from Contracts with Customers. The new guidance will supersede the revenue recognition requirements in Topic 605, Revenue Recognition, and most industry-specific guidance on revenue recognition throughout the Industry Topics of the Codification. The core principle of the guidance is that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. To achieve that core principle, an entity should apply a five step model for recognizing and measuring revenue from contracts with customers. In addition, an entity should disclose sufficient qualitative and quantitative information to enable users of financial statements to understand the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from contracts with customers. The new revenue recognition guidance, including subsequent amendments, is effective for annual reporting periods beginning on or after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within that reporting

period, with the option to early adopt the standard for annual periods beginning on or after December 15, 2016. We are currently in the process of finalizing our assessment of the impact from the adoption of this guidance on our consolidated financial statements. As part of this process, we are considering our major revenue streams and evaluating our significant contracts therein for potential changes in the amounts and timing of revenue recognition under the new guidance. Based on the work performed to date, we have determined that the following areas are of primary focus: consideration of termination rights and resulting impact on the duration of a contract, applicability of treatment as variable consideration for refund rights and certain incentive payments, including the impact of constraints, applicability of the variable

Table of Contents

consideration allocation exception to allocate purchase consideration to performance obligations considered to be a series, and ability to use the 'right to invoice' practical expedient for measuring satisfaction of performance obligations within certain contracts. We are also in the process of finalizing our evaluation of our various commission and bonus programs to identify costs that may be subject to potential deferral and amortization as costs to obtain a contract. In addition, we are evaluating the disclosure requirements of the new guidance, subject to the above determinations on areas most likely to be impacted by our adoption. We expect to have our evaluation, including the selection of an adoption method, completed by the end of 2017. We will adopt the new revenue recognition guidance in the first quarter of 2018.

Goodwill

We periodically review the carrying value of intangible assets not subject to amortization, including goodwill, to determine whether an impairment may exist. GAAP requires that goodwill and certain intangible assets not subject to amortization be assessed annually for impairment using fair value measurement techniques.

We assess goodwill for impairment on an annual basis as of November 30 of each year or more frequently if an event occurs or changes in circumstances would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying amount. We have the option to perform a qualitative assessment to determine if an impairment is more likely than not to have occurred. If we can support the conclusion that it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is greater than its carrying amount, then we would not need to perform the two-step impairment test. If we cannot support such a conclusion, or we do not elect to perform the qualitative assessment, then the first step of the goodwill impairment test is used to identify potential impairment by comparing the fair value of a reporting unit with its carrying amount, including goodwill. We performed a qualitative assessment of whether it is more likely than not that the reporting unit fair value is less than its carrying amount as of June 30, 2017, and concluded that based on our decision to wind down the activity of Performant Europe Ltd., the fair value is more likely than not less than its carrying amount. Accordingly, the goodwill balance for the healthcare audit acquisition was \$0.9 million, and we recognized a goodwill impairment loss of this amount as of June 30, 2017. Based on our qualitative analysis, there was no need to perform an additional impairment test. We performed a qualitative assessment of whether it is more likely than not that the reporting unit fair value is less than its carrying amount as of September 30, 2017, and concluded that there was no need to perform an impairment test.

Impairments of Depreciable Intangible Assets

The balance of depreciable intangible assets was \$5.1 million as of September 30, 2017. We evaluate depreciable intangible assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of such assets may not be recoverable. Depreciable intangible assets consist of client contracts and related relationships, and are being amortized over their estimated useful life, which is generally 20 years. We evaluate the client contracts intangible at the individual contract level. The recoverability of such assets is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of the assets to future undiscounted net cash flows expected to be generated by the assets. If the assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds the fair value of the assets. For the nine months ended September 30, 2017, an impairment expense of \$0.1 million was recognized to account for the impairment charge in Performant Europe Ltd. due to the Company's decision to wind down this subsidiary, and has been included in other operating expenses in the consolidated statements of operations. For the year ended December 31, 2016, an impairment expense of \$15.4 million was recognized relating to the Department of Education customer relationship and was presented as a separate caption in the consolidated statements of operations.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

See "Recent Accounting Pronouncements" in Note 1(g) of the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part I - Item 1 of this report.

Table of Contents

Results of Operations

Three Months Ended September 30, 2017 compared to the Three Months Ended September 30, 2016

The following table represents our historical operating results for the periods presented:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|----------|--------------|----------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | \$ Change | % Change |
| | (in thousands) | | | |
| Consolidated Statement of Operations Data: | | | | |
| Revenues | \$29,744 | \$31,195 | \$(1,451) | (5)% |
| Operating expenses: | | | | |
| Salaries and benefits | 20,494 | 18,710 | 1,784 | 10% |
| Other operating expenses | 13,496 | 12,311 | 1,185 | 10% |
| Total operating expenses | 33,990 | 31,021 | 2,969 | 10% |
| Income (loss) from operations | (4,246) | 174 | (4,420) | (2,540)% |
| Interest expense | (2,459) | (1,863) | 596 | 32% |
| Loss before provision for (benefit from) income taxes | (6,705) | (1,689) | 5,016 | 297% |
| Provision for (benefit from) income taxes | 1,146 | (974) | 2,120 | 218% |
| Net loss | \$(7,851) | \$(715) | \$7,136 | 998% |

Revenues

Revenues were \$29.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2017, a decrease of approximately 5%, compared to revenues of \$31.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2016.

Student lending revenues were \$19.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2017, representing a decrease of \$4.0 million, or 17%, compared to the three months ended September 30, 2016. The decrease was primarily a result of the reduction of revenues from the Department of Education as we have not received new placements of student loans from the Department of Education since our contract expired in April 2015.

Healthcare revenues were \$2.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2017, representing a decrease of \$0.4 million, or 13%, compared to the three months ended September 30, 2016. This decrease was due primarily to the wind down of our first RAC contract and that we have not yet recognized significant revenues under our new RAC contracts.

Salaries and Benefits

Salaries and benefits expense was \$20.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2017, an increase of \$1.8 million, or 10%, compared to salaries and benefits expense of \$18.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2016. The increase in salaries and benefits expense was primarily due to increased headcount.

Other Operating Expenses

Other operating expenses were \$13.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2017, an increase of \$1.2 million, or 10%, compared to other operating expenses of \$12.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2016. The increase in other operating expenses was primarily due to higher third party collection fees.

Income (loss) from Operations

Loss from operations was \$4.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2017, compared to income from operations of \$0.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2016, representing a decrease of \$4.4 million or 2,540%. The decrease was primarily the result of lower revenues and increased salaries and benefits and other operating expenses.

Table of Contents

Interest Expense

Interest expense was \$2.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2017, compared to \$1.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2016. Interest expense increased by approximately \$0.6 million or 32% due to a \$1.0 million write-off of our unamortized debt issuance costs under our Prior Credit Agreement.

Income Taxes

We recognized an income tax expense of \$1.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2017, compared to an income tax benefit of \$1.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2016. Our effective income tax rate decreased to a negative rate of (17.1)% for the three months ended September 30, 2017, from 57.7% for the three months ended September 30, 2016. The decrease in the effective tax rate is primarily due to more significant losses from operations generated in the three months ended September 30, 2017 for which no tax benefit is recognized compared to the income tax benefit recorded on the loss from operations for the three months ended September 30, 2016.

Net Loss

As a result of the factors described above, net loss was \$7.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2017, which represented an increase of \$7.1 million, or 998% compared to net loss of \$0.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2016.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2017 compared to the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2016

The following table represents our historical operating results for the periods presented:

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|-----------|------------|----------|
| | 2017 | 2016 | \$ Change | % Change |
| | (in thousands) | | | |
| Consolidated Statement of Operations Data: | | | | |
| Revenues | \$98,760 | \$107,548 | \$(8,788) | (8)% |
| Operating expenses: | | | | |
| Salaries and benefits | 61,640 | 60,107 | 1,533 | 3% |
| Other operating expenses | 43,019 | 40,401 | 2,618 | 6% |
| Total operating expenses | 104,659 | 100,508 | 4,151 | 4% |
| Income (loss) from operations | (5,899) | 7,040 | (12,939) | (184)% |
| Interest expense | (5,683) | (6,136) | (453) | (7)% |
| Income (loss) before provision for (benefit from) income taxes | (11,582) | 904 | (12,486) | (1,381)% |
| Provision for income taxes | 1,668 | 62 | 1,606 | 2,590% |
| Net income (loss) | \$(13,250) | \$842 | \$(14,092) | (1,674)% |

Revenues

Revenues were \$98.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2017, a decrease of approximately 8%, compared to revenues of \$107.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2016.

Student lending revenues were \$71.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2017, representing a decrease of \$10.4 million, or 13%, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2016. The decrease was primarily a result of the reduction of revenues from the Department of Education due to the lack of placements of new student loans from the Department of Education since our contract expired in April 2015, which was partially offset by an increase in the number of borrowers that are participating in the rehabilitation programs with our Guaranty Agency clients.

Healthcare revenues were \$6.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2017, representing a decrease of \$2.7 million, or 30%, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2016. This decrease was due primarily to the CMS RAC

Table of Contents

contract transition, partially offset by an approximately \$1.5 million increase in revenues from commercial healthcare customers.

Salaries and Benefits

Salaries and benefits expense was \$61.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2017, an increase of \$1.5 million, or 3%, compared to salaries and benefits expense of \$60.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2016. This increase in salaries and benefits expense was primarily due to increased headcount.

Other Operating Expenses

Other operating expenses were \$43.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2017, an increase of \$2.6 million, or 6%, compared to other operating expenses of \$40.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2016. The increase in other operating expenses was primarily due to higher outside services consulting expenses and third party collection fees, which was offset by lower amortization related to a \$15.4 million Department of Education customer relationship intangible impairment charge in 2016.

Income (Loss) from Operations

Loss from operations was \$5.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2017, compared to income from operations of \$7.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2016, representing a decrease of \$12.9 million which was primarily due to the reduction in revenues as discussed above.

Interest Expense

Interest expense was \$5.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2017, compared to \$6.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2016. Interest expense decreased \$0.5 million due to repayments of principal under our previous credit agreement, resulting in a lower outstanding balance.

Income Taxes

We recognized an income tax expense of \$1.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2017, compared to an income tax expense of \$0.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2016. Our effective income tax decreased to a negative rate of (14.4)% for the nine months ended September 30, 2017, from 6.9% for the nine months ended September 30, 2016. The decrease in the effective tax rate is primarily due to more significant losses from operations generated in the nine months ended September 30, 2017 for which no tax benefit is recognized compared to the income tax expense recorded on income from operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2016.

Net Income (Loss)

As a result of the factors described above, net loss was \$13.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2017, which represented a decrease of \$14.1 million, or 1,674% compared to net income of \$0.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2016.

Adjusted EBITDA and Adjusted Net Income

To provide investors with additional information regarding our financial results, we have disclosed in the table below adjusted EBITDA and adjusted net income, both of which are non-GAAP financial measures. We have provided a reconciliation below of adjusted EBITDA to net income and adjusted net income to net income, the most directly comparable GAAP financial measure to these non-GAAP financial measures.

We have included adjusted EBITDA and adjusted net income in this report because they are key measures used by our management and board of directors to understand and evaluate our core operating performance and trends and to prepare and approve our annual budget. Accordingly, we believe that adjusted EBITDA and adjusted net income provide useful information to investors and analysts in understanding and evaluating our operating results in the same manner as our management and board of directors.

Table of Contents

Our use of adjusted EBITDA and adjusted net income has limitations as an analytical tool, and you should not consider it in isolation or as a substitute for analysis of our results as reported under GAAP. Some of these limitations are:

although depreciation and amortization are non-cash charges, the assets being depreciated and amortized may have to be replaced in the future, and adjusted EBITDA does not reflect cash capital expenditure requirements for such replacements or for new capital expenditure requirements;

adjusted EBITDA does not reflect interest expense on our indebtedness;

adjusted EBITDA does not reflect changes in, or cash requirements for, our working capital needs;

adjusted EBITDA does not reflect tax payments;

adjusted EBITDA and adjusted net income do not reflect the potentially dilutive impact of equity-based compensation;

adjusted EBITDA and adjusted net income do not reflect the impact of certain non-operating expenses resulting from matters we do not consider to be indicative of our core operating performance; and

other companies may calculate adjusted EBITDA and adjusted net income differently than we do, which reduces its usefulness as a comparative measure.

Because of these limitations, you should consider adjusted EBITDA and adjusted net income alongside other financial performance measures, including net income and our other GAAP results. The following tables present a reconciliation of adjusted EBITDA and adjusted net income for each of the periods indicated:

Table of Contents

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|----------|-------------------|----------|
| | September 30, | | September 30, | |
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2017 | 2016 |
| | (in thousands) | | (in thousands) | |
| Adjusted EBITDA: | | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$(7,851) | \$(715) | \$(13,250) | \$842 |
| Provision for (benefit from) income taxes | 1,146 | (974) | 1,668 | 62 |
| Interest expense | 2,459 | 1,863 | 5,683 | 6,136 |
| Transaction expenses ⁽¹⁾ | 132 | — | 576 | — |
| Restructuring and other expenses ⁽⁵⁾ | — | 26 | — | 309 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 2,713 | 3,292 | 8,381 | 10,098 |
| Impairment of goodwill and customer relationship ⁽³⁾ | — | — | 1,081 | — |
| Stock-based compensation | 737 | 1,206 | 3,027 | 3,546 |
| Adjusted EBITDA | \$(664) | \$4,698 | \$7,166 | \$20,993 |

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|----------|-------------------|----------|
| | September 30, | | September 30, | |
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2017 | 2016 |
| | (in thousands) | | (in thousands) | |
| Adjusted Net Income (Loss): | | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$(7,851) | \$(715) | \$(13,250) | \$842 |
| Transaction expenses ⁽¹⁾ | 132 | — | 576 | — |
| Stock-based compensation | 737 | 1,206 | 3,027 | 3,546 |
| Amortization of intangibles ⁽²⁾ | 203 | 931 | 691 | 2,800 |
| Impairment of goodwill and customer relationship ⁽³⁾ | — | — | 1,081 | — |
| Deferred financing amortization costs ⁽⁴⁾ | 1,343 | 324 | 2,039 | 1,342 |
| Restructuring and other expenses ⁽⁵⁾ | — | 26 | — | 309 |
| Tax adjustments ⁽⁶⁾ | (966) | (995) | (2,966) | (3,199) |
| Adjusted Net Income (Loss) | \$(6,402) | \$777 | \$(8,802) | \$5,640 |

(1) Represents costs and expenses related to the refinancing of our existing indebtedness.

Represents amortization of capitalized expenses related to the acquisition of Performant by an affiliate of

(2) Parthenon Capital Partners in 2004, and also an acquisition in the first quarter of 2012 to enhance our analytics capabilities.

(3) Represents goodwill and impairment charges related to our Performant Europe Ltd. subsidiary.

(4) Represents amortization of capitalized financing costs related to our New Credit Agreement, and the write-off of deferred financing costs related to our Prior Credit Agreement in August 2017.

(5) Represents restructuring costs and severance and termination expenses incurred in connection with termination of employees and consultants.

(6) Represents tax adjustments assuming a marginal tax rate of 40%.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our primary source of liquidity is cash on hand and cash flows from operations. Cash and cash equivalents totaled \$23.2 million as of September 30, 2017, and consists primarily of cash on deposit with banks. Due to our operating cash flows and our existing cash and cash equivalents and our ability to restructure both our variable and fixed expenses, we believe that we have the ability to meet our working capital and capital expenditure needs for the foreseeable future.

Table of Contents

The \$9.8 million decrease in the balance of our cash and cash equivalents from December 31, 2016, was primarily due to principal repayments of \$55.5 million on our long-term debt offset by new debt of \$44.0 million.

Cash flows from operating activities

Cash provided by operating activities was \$0.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2017, and included an increase in accrued salaries and benefits of \$1.3 million. Cash provided by operating activities in the nine months ended September 30, 2016 was \$20.5 million.

Cash flows from investing activities

Cash used in investing activities of \$5.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2017 was mainly for capital expenditures related to information technology, data storage, hardware, telecommunication systems and security enhancements to our information technology systems. Cash used in investing activities in the nine months ended September 30, 2016 was \$5.5 million.

Cash flows from financing activities

Cash used in financing activities of \$5.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2017 was primarily attributable to repayments of principal of \$55.5 million on long-term debt under our Prior Credit Agreement, which was offset by a \$44.0 million increase in borrowings from notes payable under our New Credit Agreement and \$7.5 million in repayments of principal from restricted cash. Cash used in financing activities in the nine months ended September 30, 2016 was \$37.9 million.

Restricted Cash

On August 3, 2017, \$6.0 million of restricted cash was paid to the administrative agent for the benefit of the lenders under our Prior Credit Agreement. As of September 30, 2017, we had \$0.0 million in restricted cash.

Estimated liability for appeals and net payable to client

The September 30, 2017 balances of \$19.1 million and \$12.7 million for the estimated liability for appeals and the net payable to client, respectively, represent obligations that we expect to pay in the near term, although it is difficult to predict the precise timing of the associated cash outflows as they are dependent on the processing and resolution of audit appeals.

Long-term Debt

On March 19, 2012, we, through our wholly owned subsidiary, entered into a \$147.5 million credit agreement, as amended and restated, with Madison Capital Funding LLC as administrative agent, ING Capital LLC as syndication agent, and other lenders party thereto (as amended, the "Prior Credit Agreement"). The senior credit facility consists of (i) a \$57.0 million Term A loan that matured and was fully paid in March 2017, (ii) a \$79.5 million Term B loan that matures in June 2018, and (iii) a \$11.0 million revolving credit facility that expired and was fully paid in March 2017. On June 28, 2012, we amended the Credit Agreement to increase the amount of our borrowings under our Term B loan by \$19.5 million.

On November 4, 2014, February 19, 2016, July 26, 2016, October 27, 2016, and March 22, 2017, the Prior Credit Agreement was further amended to, among other things, modify a number of existing covenants and add new covenants requiring the Company to maintain a minimum cash balance, comply with an interest coverage ratio and achieve minimum EBITDA levels. On May 3, 2017, we further amended the credit agreement (the "Eighth Amendment") to extend the maturity date of the Term B loan to June 19, 2018. As a result of this extension, regularly scheduled quarterly amortization payments of \$247,500 were also extended through March 31, 2018, with the remaining outstanding principal amount being due on the June 19, 2018 maturity date. Interest on the Term B loan charged under the credit agreement was also increased by 3.00% per annum, however the amount of such increased interest will be payable in kind. Pursuant to the Eighth Amendment, the quarterly and annual financial reporting covenants were also modified to require that the Company's financial statements not contain a qualification, if required by GAAP, with respect to our ability to continue as a going concern.

On August 7, 2017, we, through our wholly-owned subsidiary Performant Business Services, Inc. (the "Borrower"), entered into a new credit agreement with ECMC Group, Inc. (the "New Credit Agreement"). The New Credit Agreement provides for a term loan facility in the initial amount of \$44 million (the "Initial Term Loan") and for up to \$15 million of additional term loans ("Additional Term Loans"; and together with the Initial Term Loan, the "Loans") which Additional Term

Table of Contents

Loans may be drawn until the second anniversary of the funding of the Initial Term Loans, subject to the satisfaction of customary conditions. On August 11, 2017, the Initial Term Loan was advanced (the “Closing Date”) and the proceeds were applied to repay all outstanding amounts under the Prior Credit Agreement. On September 29, 2017, we entered into Amendment No. 1 to the New Credit Agreement to extend the initial interest payment due date to December 31, 2017. Approximately \$2 million of contingent reimbursement obligations with respect to outstanding but undrawn letters of credit remain outstanding under the Prior Credit Agreement, however, those contingent reimbursement obligations will remain cash collateralized with the administrative agent.

The Loans will mature on the third anniversary of the Closing Date, however we will have the option to extend the maturity of the Loans for two additional one year periods, subject to the satisfaction of customary conditions. The Loans will bear interest at the one-month LIBOR rate (subject to a 1% per annum floor) plus a margin which may vary from 5.5% per annum to 10.0% per annum based on our total debt to EBITDA ratio. The Initial Term Loans will initially bear interest at LIBOR plus 7.0% per annum. We will be required to pay 5% of the original principal balance of the Loans annually in quarterly installments and to offer to make mandatory prepayments of the Loans with a percentage of our excess cash flow which may vary between 75% and 0% depending on our total debt to EBITDA ratio. In addition to mandatory prepayments for excess cash flow, we will also be required to offer to prepay the Loans with the net cash proceeds of certain asset dispositions and with the issuance of debt not otherwise permitted under the New Credit Agreement. Except in connection with a change of control and the payment of a 1% premium, we will not be permitted to voluntarily prepay the Loans until after the first anniversary of the Closing Date. We will be permitted to prepay the Loans during the second year after the Closing Date if accompanied by a prepayment premium of 1%. Thereafter, we will be permitted to prepay the Loans without any prepayment premium.

The New Credit Agreement contains certain restrictive financial covenants which became effective on the Closing Date. Such covenants, will require, among other things, that we meet a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio of 0.5 to 1.0 through December 31, 2019, 1.0 to 1.0 through June 30, 2020 (or until December 31, 2020 if the maturity date of the Loans is extended until the fourth anniversary of the Closing Date), 1.25 to 1.0 through June 30, 2021 if the maturity date of the Loans is extended until the fourth anniversary of the Closing Date and 1.25 to 1.0 through June 30, 2022 if the maturity date of the Loans is extended until the fifth anniversary of the Closing Date. In addition, we will be required to maintain a maximum total debt to EBITDA ratio of 6.00 to 1.00. The New Credit Agreement also contains covenants that will restrict our and our subsidiaries’ ability to incur certain types or amounts of indebtedness, incur liens on certain assets, make material changes in corporate structure or the nature of its business, dispose of material assets, engage in a change in control transaction, make certain foreign investments, enter into certain restrictive agreements, or engage in certain transactions with affiliates.

The obligations under the New Credit Agreement are secured by substantially all of our United States domestic subsidiaries’ assets and are guaranteed by the Company and its United States domestic subsidiaries, other than the Borrower.

As a result of our entry into our New Credit Agreement, and the repayment of all amounts owed under the Prior Credit Agreement, we wrote off debt issuance costs related to the Prior Credit Agreement of approximately \$1.0 million in August 2017.

In consideration for, and concurrently with, the extension of the Initial Term Loan in accordance with the terms of the New Credit Agreement, we issued a warrant to the lender to purchase up to an aggregate of 3,863,326 shares of the Company’s common stock (representing approximately up to 7.5% of our diluted common stock as calculated using the “treasury stock” method as defined under GAAP for the most recent fiscal quarter) with an exercise price of \$1.92 per share. Upon our election to borrow any of the Additional Term Loans, we will be required to issue additional warrants at the same exercise price to purchase up to an aggregate of 77,267 additional shares of common stock (which represents approximately 0.15% of our diluted common stock calculated using the “treasury stock” method as defined under GAAP for the most recent fiscal quarter) for each \$1,000,000 of such Additional Term Loans.

The New Credit Agreement also requires us to meet certain financial covenants, including maintaining a total debt to EBITDA ratio and a fixed charge coverage ratio, as such terms are defined in our credit agreement. These financial covenants are tested at the end of each year, quarter or month, as applicable. The table below further describes these financial covenants, as well as our current status under these covenants as of September 30, 2017.

Edgar Filing: Performant Financial Corp - Form 10-Q

| Financial Covenant | Covenant Requirement | Actual Ratio at September 30, 2017 |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|
| Total debt to EBITDA ratio (maximum) | 6.00 to 1.00 | 3.39 |
| Fixed charge coverage ratio (minimum) | 0.5 to 1.0 | 0.94 |

28

Table of Contents

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We do not hold or issue financial instruments for trading purposes. We conduct all of our business in U.S. currency and therefore do not have any material direct foreign currency risk. We do have exposure to changes in interest rates with respect to the borrowings under our senior secured credit facility, which bear interest at a variable rate based on LIBOR. For example, if the interest rate on our borrowings increased 100 basis points (1%) from the credit facility floor of 1.0%, our annual interest expense would increase by approximately \$0.4 million.

While we currently hold our excess cash in an operating account, in the future we may invest all or a portion of our excess cash in short-term investments, including money market accounts, where returns may reflect current interest rates. As a result, market interest rate changes impact our interest expense and interest income. This impact will depend on variables such as the magnitude of interest rate changes and the level of our borrowings under our credit facility or excess cash balances.

ITEM 4. DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain a system of disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the Company's reports under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including our Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Accounting Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives.

Management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Accounting Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Exchange Act, as of the fiscal quarter covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Accounting Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were functioning effectively at the reasonable assurance level as of September 30, 2017.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended September 30, 2017, that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are involved in various legal proceedings that arise from our normal business operations. These actions generally derive from our student loan recovery services, and generally assert claims for violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act or similar federal and state consumer credit laws. While litigation is inherently unpredictable, we believe that none of these legal proceedings, individually or collectively, will have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or our results of operations.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Our business, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity are subject to various risks and uncertainties, including those described below, and as a result, the trading price of our common stock could decline.

Risks Related to Our Business

Revenues generated from our three largest clients represented 55% of our revenues in both 2016 and 2015 and our relationships with two of these clients, the Department of Education and Great Lakes Higher Education Guaranty Corporation, have been terminated. Any termination of or deterioration in our relationship with any of our other significant clients would result in a further decline in our revenues.

We have derived a substantial majority of our revenues from a limited number of clients, including the Department of Education, and several Guaranty Agencies. Revenues from our three largest clients represented 55% of our revenues for the year ended December 31, 2016 and 55% of our revenues for the year ended December 31, 2015. The Department of Education was responsible for approximately 16% of our revenues for the year ended December 31, 2016 and the Department of Education announced in December 2016 that we were not selected as one of the contractors under its new student loan recovery contract. While our protest of this contract decision was recently upheld by the GAO, there is no assurance that this decision will result in our ultimately obtaining a contract award. The Department of Education has requested resubmittal of new contract proposals and is currently undergoing a re-evaluation process with respect to such proposals. The Department of Education has recently announced that it has completed its review and assessment for the award of the new contracts. However, we do not know when the awards of the new contracts will be made. During 2016, we had numerous relationships with GAs in the U.S. including Great Lakes Higher Education Guaranty Corporation and Pennsylvania Higher Education Assistance Authority, which were responsible for 24% and 16%, respectively, of our revenues for the year ended December 31, 2016. On June 15, 2017, we received a 30-day termination notice, with respect to our contract with Great Lakes Higher Education Guaranty Corporation. The termination of this contract was based on Great Lakes' decision to bundle its student loan servicing work, a service that we currently do not provide, along with its student loan recovery work to a single third party vendor.

If we are not ultimately successful in obtaining a new contract award from the Department of Education in the bid re-evaluation process referred to above, our business will become even more dependent on our business relationships with our GA clients and there is no assurance that we will be able to maintain these relationships. All of our contracts with our significant clients are subject to periodic renewal and re-bidding processes and if we lose one of these clients or if the terms of our relationships with any of these clients become less favorable to us, our revenues would decline, which would harm our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Many of our contracts with our clients for the recovery of student loans and other receivables are not exclusive and do not commit our clients to provide specified volumes of business. In addition, the terms of these contracts may be changed unilaterally and on short notice by our clients. As a consequence, there is no assurance that we will be able to maintain our revenues and operating results.

Substantially all of our existing contracts for the recovery of student loans and other receivables, which represented approximately 94% of our revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 92% of our revenues in the year ended December 31, 2016, enable our clients to unilaterally terminate their contractual relationship with us at any time without penalty, potentially leading to loss of business or renegotiation of terms. These include our contracts with Great Lakes Higher Education Guaranty Corporation and Pennsylvania Higher Education Assistance Authority, which were responsible for 24% and 16%, respectively, of our revenues for the year ended December 31, 2016. As stated above, in June 2017, Great Lakes Higher Education Guaranty Corporation gave us notice of the termination of our

contract. Further, most of our contracts in these markets allow our clients to unilaterally change the volume of loans and other receivables that are placed with us or the

30

Table of Contents

payment terms at any given time. In addition, most of our contracts are not exclusive, with our clients retaining multiple service providers with whom we must compete for placements of loans or other obligations. Therefore, despite our contractual relationships with our clients, our contracts do not provide assurance that we will generate a minimum amount of revenues or that we will receive a specific volume of placements.

Our revenues and operating results would be negatively affected if our student loan and receivables clients, which include our five largest clients in 2016 and four of our five largest clients in 2015, reduce the volume of student loan placements provided to us, modify the terms of service, including the success fees we are able to earn upon recovery of defaulted student loans, or any of these clients establish more favorable relationships with our competitors. For example, effective July 1, 2015, the Department of Education implemented a fixed fee of \$1,710 payable for each loan that is rehabilitated in place of a recovery fee that historically had been based on a percentage of the balance of the rehabilitated loan. Further, in December 2016, the Department of Education announced the award of seven new contracts and we did not receive one of the new awards. We, along with 19 other contractors who did not receive contract awards from the Department of Education, filed protests with the GAO regarding the Department of Education's award of these contracts. While our protest of this contract decision was recently upheld by the GAO, there is no assurance that this decision will result in our ultimately obtaining a contract award. If we are not successful in obtaining a contract award from the Department of Education through this process, the volume of student loan placements to us will be significantly harmed, which will result in a material negative impact on our results of operations and cash flows and our ability to repay or refinance our indebtedness.

The Department of Education, our longstanding and significant client, recently announced that we would not receive a new contract for the recovery of student loans. While we were successful with the protest we filed in connection with the original contract decision, if we are not successful in obtaining a contract award from the Department of Education through this process, our results of operations and cash flows will be harmed and it will be more difficult for us to repay or refinance our indebtedness.

We have had a more than 25 year relationship with the Department of Education as a key contractor in the recovery of student loans and this relationship has been responsible for a significant portion of our annual revenues. Our revenues from the Department of Education were \$21.9 million in 2016, \$37.9 million in 2015 and \$53.2 million in 2014, representing 15.5%, 23.8% and 27.2% of our revenues, respectively. Further, we expected the Department of Education to become an increasingly important client because all federally-supported student loans have been originated by the Department of Education since 2010, meaning that there will be no further growth in student loans held by the GAs. Our most recent contract with the Department of Education expired in April 2015, and we have not received new placements of student loans from the Department of Education since that time pending the award of new contracts.

In December 2016, the Department of Education announced the award of seven new contracts and we did not receive one of the new awards. We, along with 19 other contractors who did not receive contract awards from the Department of Education, filed protests with the GAO regarding the Department of Education's award of these contracts. In March 2017, the GAO upheld this protest. The Department of Education requested resubmittal of new contract proposals and is currently undergoing a re-evaluation process with respect to such proposals. The Department of Education has recently announced that it has completed its review and assessment for the award of the new contracts. However, we do not know when the award of the new contracts will be made. Further, there may be further appeals and challenges to the contract awards when granted, which could delay the actual start date for the new contracts. If we are not successful in obtaining a new contract as a result of a conclusive award process, the absence of a contract with the Department of Education will have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations in 2018 and beyond.

Over the course of our first RAC contract, there has been an increase in the number of appeals by healthcare providers to the third, or ALJ, level of appeal relating to claims we have audited, and there can be no assurance that our estimated liability for such appeals will be adequate.

Under our RAC contract with CMS, we recognize revenues when the healthcare provider has paid CMS for a claim or has agreed to an offset against other claims by the provider. Healthcare providers have the right to appeal a claim and may pursue additional levels of appeal if the initial appeal is found in favor of CMS. We accrue an estimated liability

for appeals at the time revenue is recognized based on our estimate of the amount of revenue probable of being refunded to CMS following successful appeal based on historical data and other trends relating to such appeals. In addition, if our estimate of liability for appeals with respect to revenues recognized during a prior period changes, we increase or decrease the estimated liability reserve in the current period. Over the course of our first RAC contract, healthcare providers have increased their pursuit of appeals beyond the first and second levels of appeal to the third level of appeal, where cases are heard by administrative law judges, or ALJs. In our experience, decisions at the third level of appeal are the least favorable as ALJs exercise greater discretion and there is less predictability in the ALJ decisions as compared to appeals at the first or second levels. The pursuit

Table of Contents

of third level appeals by healthcare providers has also resulted in a backlog of claims at that level of appeal. This increase of ALJ appeals and backlog of claims at the third level of appeal is the primary reason our total estimated liability for appeals (consisting of the estimated liability for appeals plus the contra-accounts-receivable estimated allowance for appeals) has grown from a balance of \$16.4 million at December 31, 2013 to \$18.6 million as of December 31, 2014 to \$19.0 million as of both December 31, 2015, and December 31, 2016, and decreased to \$18.8 million as of September 30, 2017. Our estimates for our appeal reserve are subject to uncertainties, and accordingly we may underestimate the number of successful appeals or the financial impact of successful appeals in a given year or period. To the extent that the amount of commissions that we are required to return to CMS as a result of successful appeals exceeds our estimated appeals reserve, our revenues in the applicable period will be reduced by the amount of such excess. If we underestimate the amount of commissions that are subject to successful appeal, our revenues in future periods could be adversely affected. In addition, each of the subcontractors we engaged to assist in the recovery services under our RAC contract are similarly obligated to refund fees that they received from claims that are later overturned on appeal. To the extent any of our subcontractors fail to refund amounts that are due upon an appeal relating to claims that they were responsible for, we may be obligated to pay such amounts directly to CMS, which could have a material impact on our financial position.

Further, in August 2014 CMS offered to pay hospitals 68% of what they have billed Medicare to settle a backlog of pending appeals challenging Medicare's denials of reimbursement for certain types of short term care. The implication of these settlement offers related to claims for which recovery auditors have already been paid under the first RAC contracts remain uncertain at this time. Any payments we are required to make to CMS under our first RAC contract in connection with such settlement offers may be significant and in excess of the amount we have reserved for appeals, which could have a material negative impact our financial position and liquidity.

Limitations on the scope of recovery services we can provide under our new RAC contract will have a material impact on our revenues and these limitations may continue under the newly awarded RAC contracts.

Our ability to make claims under the first RAC contract was limited during each of the last three years by restrictions imposed on the scope of our audit activities and by contract transition rules announced by CMS that involved periodic suspension of audit activities. These limitations had a material adverse effect on our revenues and operating results.

Our revenues from CMS during the nine months ended September 30, 2017 were \$1.0 million compared to \$5.2 million during the same period in 2016. While we have been awarded two new RAC contracts, we are uncertain about the scope of permitted audit and if the scope of audit is not increased, our revenues and the value of the new RAC contracts will be constrained. In addition, we expect there will be an approximately four to six-month period from the date that we are permitted to start performing recovery services until we start to recognize revenues under our new RAC contracts. Accordingly, the start date of April 2017 for the new RAC contracts means that these new contracts will not have a significant impact on our 2017 revenues, although we will incur related start-up expenses in 2017.

Our ability to derive revenues under our new RAC contracts will depend in part on the number and types of potentially improper claims that we are allowed to pursue by CMS, and our results of operations may be harmed if the scope of claims that we are allowed to pursue and be compensated for is limited.

Under CMS's Medicare recovery audit program, RAC contractors have not been permitted to seek the recovery of an improper claim unless that particular type of claim has been pre-approved by CMS to ensure compliance with applicable Medicare payment policies, as well as national and local coverage determinations. As work under the first RAC contract progressed, CMS placed increasing restrictions on the scope of audits permitted by RAC contractors and has not indicated that those restrictions will be relaxed when work commences under the newly awarded RAC contracts. Accordingly, the long-term growth of the revenues we derive under our two newly awarded RAC contracts will also depend in significant part on the scope of potentially improper claims that we are allowed to pursue.

In particular, in September 2013, CMS implemented rules that prevent RAC contractors from being able to review and audit (i) whether inpatient care delivered to patients with hospital stays lasting less than two midnights was medically necessary and therefore deserving of the higher reimbursement levels under Medicare Part A or (ii) whether inpatient treatment was medically necessary for admissions spanning more than two midnights. In connection with these restrictions, hospitals cannot bill CMS for outpatient services on hospital stays lasting less than two midnights during such period. Fees associated with recoveries initiated by us based upon improper claims for inpatient reimbursement

of these short stays had represented a substantial portion of the revenues we have earned under our RAC contract. The continued suspension of this type of review activity has had and may continue to have a material adverse effect on our future healthcare revenues and operating results, depending on a variety of factors including, among other things, CMS's evaluation of provider compliance with the new rules, the rules ultimately adopted by CMS with respect to medical necessity reviews of Medicare reimbursement claims associated

Table of Contents

with short stay inpatient admissions and, more generally, the scope of improper claims that CMS allows us to pursue and our ability to successfully identify improper claims within the permitted scope.

We face significant competition in connection with obtaining, retaining and performing under our client contracts, and an inability to compete effectively in the future could harm our relationships with our clients, which would impact our ability to maintain our revenues and operating results.

We operate in very competitive markets. In providing our services to the student loan and other receivables markets, we face competition from many other companies. Initially, we compete with these companies to be one of typically several firms engaged to provide recovery services to a particular client and, if we are successful in being engaged, we then face continuing competition from the client's other retained firms based on the client's benchmarking of the recovery rates of its several vendors. In addition, those recovery vendors who produce the highest recovery rates from a client often will be allocated additional placements and in some cases additional success fees. Accordingly, maintaining high levels of recovery performance, and doing so in a cost-effective manner, are important factors in our ability to maintain and grow our revenues and net income and the failure to achieve these objectives could harm our business, financial condition and results of operations. Some of our current and potential competitors in the markets in which we operate may have greater financial, marketing, technological or other resources than we do. The ability of any of our competitors and potential competitors to adopt new and effective technology to better serve our markets may allow them to gain market strength. Increasing levels of competition in the future may result in lower recovery fees, lower volumes of contracted recovery services or higher costs for resources. Any inability to compete effectively in the markets that we serve could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. The U.S. federal government accounts for a significant portion of our revenues, and any loss of business from, or change in our relationship with, the U.S. federal government would result in a significant decrease in our revenues and operating results.

We have historically derived and are likely to continue to derive a significant portion of our revenues from the U.S. federal government. For the year ended December 31, 2016, revenues under contracts with the U.S. federal government accounted for approximately 24% of our total revenues. The continuation and exercise of renewal options on government contracts and any new government contracts are, among other things, contingent upon the availability of adequate funding for the applicable federal government agency. Changes in federal government spending could directly affect our financial performance.

For example, the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2013 reduced the compensation paid to GAs for the rehabilitation of student loans, effective July 1, 2014. This "revenue enhancement" measure reduced from 18.5% to 16.0% of the outstanding loan balance, the amount that GAs can charge borrowers when a rehabilitated loan is sold by the GA and eliminated entirely the GAs retention of 18.5% of the outstanding loan balance as a fee for rehabilitation services. The reduction in compensation the GAs receive resulted in a decrease of approximately 25.0% in the contingency fee percentage that we receive from the GAs for assisting in the rehabilitation of defaulted student loans. The loss of business from the U.S. federal government, or significant policy changes or financial pressures within the agencies of the U.S. federal government that we serve would result in a significant decrease in our revenues, which would adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Future legislative or regulatory changes affecting the markets in which we operate could impair our business and operations.

The two principal markets in which we provide our recovery services, government-supported student loans and the Medicare program, are a subject of significant legislative and regulatory focus and we cannot anticipate how future changes in government policy may affect our business and operations. For example, SAFRA significantly changed the structure of the government-supported student loan market by assigning responsibility for all new government-supported student loan originations to the Department of Education, rather than originations by private institutions and backed by one of 30 government-supported GAs. This legislation, and any future changes in the legislation and regulations that govern these markets, may require us to adapt our business to the new circumstances and we may be unable to do so in a manner that does not adversely affect our business and operations.

Table of Contents

The reduction in the number of government-supported student loans originated by our GA clients may result in a lower amount of student loans that we are able to rehabilitate, and may result in the consolidation among the GAs, either of which would decrease our revenues.

As a result of SAFRA, which terminated the ability of the GAs to originate government-supported student loans, the overall number of defaulted student loans that we are able to service on behalf of our GA clients has begun to decline. Further, we are seeing a larger amount of defaulted student loans within our GA client portfolios that have previously been rehabilitated, which, according to current regulations, prevents us from rehabilitating any such student loan for a second time. This overall reduction in the number of defaulted student loans in our GA client portfolios, and the larger percentage of defaulted student loans that have been previously rehabilitated, may result in a decreased revenues from our GA clients, which could negatively impact our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Further, some have speculated that there may be consolidation among the remaining GAs. This speculation has heightened as a result of the reduction of fees that the GAs will receive for rehabilitating student loans as a result of the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2013. If GAs that are our clients are combined with GAs with whom we do not have a relationship, we could suffer a loss of business. Two of our GA clients were each responsible for more than 10% of our total revenues in the year ended December 31, 2016: Great Lakes Higher Education Guaranty Corporation and Pennsylvania Higher Education Assistance Authority were responsible for 24% and 16%, respectively, of revenues for the year ended December 31, 2016. The consolidation of our GA clients with others and the failure to provide recovery services to the consolidated entity could decrease our revenues, which could negatively impact our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our results of operations may fluctuate on a quarterly or annual basis and cause volatility in the price of our stock. Our revenues and operating results could vary significantly from period-to-period and may fail to match our past performance because of a variety of factors, some of which are outside of our control. Any of these factors could cause the price of our common stock to fluctuate. Factors that could contribute to the variability of our operating results include:

- the amount of defaulted student loans and other receivables that our clients place with us for recovery;
- the timing of placements of student loans and other receivables which are entirely in the discretion of our clients;
- the schedules of government agencies for awarding contracts including the result of our recent successful appeal against the Department of Education's contract award decision;
- our ability to successfully identify improper Medicare claims and the number and type of potentially improper claims that CMS authorizes us to pursue under our RAC contact;
- the loss or gain of significant clients or changes in the contingency fee rates or other significant terms of our business arrangements with our significant clients;
- technological and operational issues that may affect our clients and regulatory changes in the markets we service; and
- general industry and macroeconomic conditions.

Downturns in domestic or global economic conditions and other macroeconomic factors could harm our business and results of operations.

Various macroeconomic factors influence our business and results of operations. These include the volume of student loan originations in the United States, together with tuition costs and student enrollment rates, the default rate of student loan borrowers, which is impacted by domestic and global economic conditions, rates of unemployment and similar factors, and the growth in Medicare expenditures resulting from changes in healthcare costs. For example, during the global financial crisis beginning in 2008, the market for securitized student loan portfolios was disrupted, resulting in delays in the ability of some GA clients to resell rehabilitated student loans and, as a result, delays our ability to recognize revenues from these rehabilitated loans. Changes in the overall economy could lead to a reduction in overall recovery rates by our clients, which in turn could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We may not be able to manage our potential growth effectively and our results of operations could be negatively affected.

Our newly awarded RAC contracts provide the potential opportunity to restore the growth in our business. However, our focus on growth and the expansion of our business may place additional demands on our management, operations

and financial resources and will require us to incur additional expenses. We cannot be sure that we will be able to manage our

34

Table of Contents

performance under any significant new contracts effectively. In order to successfully perform under any significant new contracts, our expenses will increase to recruit, train and manage additional qualified employees and subcontractors and to expand and enhance our administrative infrastructure and continue to improve our management, financial and information systems and controls. If we cannot manage our growth effectively, our expenses may increase and our results of operations could be negatively affected.

Our indebtedness could adversely affect our business and financial condition and reduce the funds available to us for other purposes, and our failure to comply with the covenants contained in our credit agreement could result in an event of default that could adversely affect our results of operations.

Our ability to make scheduled payments and to fund our other liquidity needs depends on our financial and operating performance, which is subject to prevailing economic and competitive conditions and to certain financial, business and other factors beyond our control. We cannot make assurances that we will maintain a level of cash flows from operating activities sufficient to permit us to pay the principal and interest on our indebtedness and to fund our other liquidity needs. If our cash flows and capital resources are insufficient to fund our debt service obligations and allow us to maintain compliance with the covenants under our credit agreement or to fund our other liquidity needs, we may be forced to reduce or delay capital expenditures, sell assets or operations, seek additional capital or restructure or refinance our indebtedness. We cannot ensure that we would be able to take any of these actions, that these actions would be successful and permit us to meet our scheduled debt service obligations or that these actions would be permitted under the terms of our existing or future debt agreements, including our credit agreement. If we cannot make scheduled payments on our debt, we will be in default and, as a result, our debt holders could declare all outstanding principal and interest to be due and payable, the lenders under our credit agreement could terminate their commitments to lend us money and foreclose against the assets securing our borrowings and we could be forced into bankruptcy or liquidation.

Our debt agreements contain, and any agreements to refinance our debt likely will contain, certain financial and restrictive covenants that limit our ability to incur additional debt, including to finance future operations or other capital needs, and to engage in other activities that we may believe are in our long-term best interests, including to dispose of or acquire assets. Our failure to comply with these covenants may result in an event of default, which, if not cured or waived, could accelerate the maturity of our indebtedness or result in modifications to our credit terms. If our indebtedness is accelerated, we may not have sufficient cash resources to satisfy our debt obligations and we may not be able to continue our operations as planned.

A failure of our operating systems or technology infrastructure, or those of our third-party vendors and subcontractors, could disrupt the operation of our business.

A failure of our operating systems or technology infrastructure, or those of our third-party vendors and subcontractors, could disrupt our operations. Our operating systems and technology infrastructure are susceptible to damage or interruption from various causes, including acts of God and other natural disasters, power losses, computer systems failures, Internet and telecommunications or data network failures, operator error, computer viruses, losses of and corruption of data and similar events. The occurrence of any of these events could result in interruptions, delays or cessations in service to our clients, reduce the attractiveness of our recovery services to current or potential clients and adversely impact our financial condition and results of operations. While we have backup systems in many of our operating facilities, an extended outage of utility or network services may harm our ability to operate our business. Further, the situations we plan for and the amount of insurance coverage we maintain for losses as result of failures of our operating systems and infrastructure may not be adequate in any particular case.

If our security measures are breached or fail and unauthorized access is obtained to our clients' confidential data, our services may be perceived as insecure, the attractiveness of our recovery services to current or potential clients may be reduced, and we may incur significant liabilities.

Our recovery services involve the storage and transmission of confidential information relating to our clients and their customers, including health, financial, credit, payment and other personal or confidential information. Although our data security procedures are designed to protect against unauthorized access to confidential information, our computer systems, software and networks may be vulnerable to unauthorized access and disclosure of our clients' confidential information. Further, we may not effectively adapt our security measures to evolving security risks, address the

security and privacy concerns of existing or potential clients as they change over time, or be compliant with federal, state, and local laws and regulations with respect to securing confidential information. Unauthorized access to confidential information relating to our clients and their customers could lead to reputational damage which could deter our clients and potential clients from selecting

Table of Contents

our recovery services, or result in termination of contracts with those clients affected by any such breach, regulatory action, and claims against us.

In the event of any unauthorized access to personal or other confidential information, we may be required to expend significant resources to investigate and remediate vulnerabilities in our security procedures, and we may be subject to fines, penalties, litigation costs, and financial losses that are either not insured against or not fully covered through any insurance maintained by us. If one or more of such failures in our security and privacy measures were to occur, our business, financial condition and results of operations could suffer.

Our business may be harmed if we lose members of our management team or other key employees.

We are highly dependent on members of our management team and other key employees and our future success depends in part on our ability to retain these people. Our inability to continue to attract and retain members of our management team and other key employees could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The growth of our healthcare business will require us to hire and retain employees with specialized skills and failure to do so could harm our ability to grow our business.

The growth of our healthcare business will depend in part on our ability to recruit, train and manage additional qualified employees. Our healthcare-related operations require us to hire registered nurses and experts in Medicare coding. Finding, attracting and retaining employees with these skills is a critical component of providing our healthcare-related recovery and audit services, and our inability to staff these operations appropriately represents a risk to our healthcare service offering and associated revenues. An inability to hire qualified personnel, particularly to serve our healthcare clients, may restrain the growth of our business.

We rely on subcontractors to provide services to our clients and the failure of subcontractors to perform as expected could harm our business operations and our relationships with our clients.

We engage subcontractors to provide certain services to our clients. These subcontractors participate to varying degrees in our recovery activities with regards to all of the services we provide. While we believe that we perform appropriate due diligence before we hire subcontractors, our subcontractors may not provide adequate service or otherwise comply with the terms set forth in their agreements. In the event a subcontractor provides deficient performance to one or more of our clients, any such client may reduce the volume of services we are providing under an existing contract or may terminate the relevant contract entirely and we may face claims for breach of contract. Any such disruption in our relations with our clients as a result of services provided by any of our subcontractors could adversely affect our revenues and operating results.

If our software vendors or utility and network providers fail to deliver or perform as expected our business operations could be adversely affected.

Our recovery services depend in part on third-party providers, including software vendors and utility and network providers. Our ability to service our clients depends on these third-party providers meeting our expectations and contractual obligations in a timely and effective manner. Our business could be materially and adversely affected, and we might incur significant additional liabilities, if the services provided by these third-party providers do not meet our expectations or if they terminate or refuse to renew their relationships with us on similar contractual terms.

We are subject to extensive regulations regarding the use and disclosure of confidential personal information and failure to comply with these regulations could cause us to incur liabilities and expenses.

We are subject to a wide array of federal and state laws and regulations regarding the use and disclosure of confidential personal information and security. For example, the federal Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, as amended, or HIPAA, and related state laws subject us to substantial restrictions and requirements with respect to the use and disclosure of the personal health information that we obtain in connection with our audit and recovery services under our contract with CMS and we must establish administrative, physical and technical safeguards to protect the confidentiality of this information. Similar protections extend to the type of personal financial and other information we acquire from our student loan, state tax and federal receivables clients.

We are required to notify affected individuals and government agencies of data security breaches involving protected health and certain personally identifiable information. These laws and regulations also require that we develop, implement and maintain written, comprehensive information security programs containing safeguards that are

appropriate to protect personally identifiable information or health information against unauthorized access, misuse, destruction or modification. Federal law generally does not preempt state law in the area of protection of personal information,

Table of Contents

and as a result we must also comply with state laws and regulations. Regulation of privacy, data use and security requires that we incur significant expenses, which could increase in the future as a result of additional regulations, all of which adversely affects our results of operations. Failure to comply with these laws and regulations can result in penalties and in some cases expose us to civil lawsuits.

Our student loan recovery business is subject to extensive regulation and consumer protection laws and our failure to comply with these regulations and laws may subject us to liability and result in significant costs.

Our student loan recovery business is subject to regulation and oversight by various state and federal agencies, particularly in the area of consumer protection. The Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, or FDCPA, and related state laws provide specific guidelines that we must follow in communicating with holders of student loans and regulates the manner in which we can recover defaulted student loans. Some state attorney generals have been active in this area of consumer protection regulation. We are subject, and may be subject in the future, to inquiries and audits from state and federal regulators, as well as frequent litigation from private plaintiffs regarding compliance under the FDCPA and related state regulations. We are also subject to the Fair Credit Reporting Act, or FCRA, which regulates consumer credit reporting and may impose liability on us to the extent adverse credit information reported to a credit bureau is false or inaccurate. Our compliance with the FDCPA, FCRA and other federal and state regulations that affect our student loan recovery business may result in significant costs, including litigation costs. We may also become subject to regulations promulgated by the United States Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, or CFPB, which was established in July 2011 as part of the Dodd-Frank Act to, among other things, establish regulations regarding consumer financial protection laws. In addition, the CFPB has investigatory and enforcement authority with respect to whether persons are engaged in unlawful acts or practices in connection with the collection of consumer debts.

Litigation may result in substantial costs of defense, damages or settlement, any of which could subject us to significant costs and expenses.

We are party to lawsuits in the normal course of business, particularly in connection with our student loan recovery services. For example, we are regularly subject to claims that we have violated the guidelines and procedures that must be followed under federal and state laws in communicating with consumer debtors. We may not ultimately prevail or otherwise be able to satisfactorily resolve any pending or future litigation, which may result in substantial costs of defense, damages or settlement. In the future, we may be required to alter our business practices or pay substantial damages or settlement costs as a result of litigation proceedings, which could adversely affect our business operations and results of operations.

We typically face a long period to implement a new contract which may cause us to incur expenses before we receive revenues from new client relationships.

If we are successful in obtaining an engagement with a new client or a new contract with an existing client, we typically have a subsequent long implementation period in which the services are planned in detail and we integrate our technology, processes and resources with the client's operations. If we enter into a contract with a new client, we typically will not receive revenues until implementation is completed and work under the contract actually begins. Our clients may also experience delays in obtaining approvals or delays associated with technology or system implementations, such as the delays experienced with the implementation of our first RAC contract with CMS due to an appeal by competitors who were unsuccessful in bidding on the contract. Because we generally begin to hire new employees to provide services to a new client once a contract is signed, we may incur significant expenses associated with these additional hires before we receive corresponding revenues under any such new contract. If we are not successful in maintaining contractual commitments after the expenses we incur during our typically long implementation cycle, our results of operations could be adversely affected.

If we are unable to adequately protect our proprietary technology, our competitive position could be harmed or we could be required to incur significant costs to enforce our rights.

The success of our business depends in part upon our proprietary technology platform. We rely on a combination of copyright, patent, trademark, and trade secret laws, as well as on confidentiality procedures and non-compete agreements, to establish and protect our proprietary technology rights. The steps we have taken to deter misappropriation of our proprietary technology may be insufficient to protect our proprietary information. In

particular, we may not be able to protect our trade secrets, know how and other proprietary information adequately. Although we use reasonable efforts to protect this proprietary information and technology, our employees, consultants and other parties may unintentionally or willfully disclose our information or technology to competitors. Enforcing a claim that a third party illegally obtained and is using any of our proprietary information or technology is expensive and time consuming, and the outcome is unpredictable. We rely, in part, on non disclosure, confidentiality and invention assignment agreements with our employees, consultants and other parties to

Table of Contents

protect our trade secrets, know how and other intellectual property and proprietary information. These agreements may not be self executing, or they may be breached and we may not have adequate remedies for such breach. Moreover, third parties may independently develop similar or equivalent proprietary information or otherwise gain access to our trade secrets, know how and other proprietary information. Any infringement, misappropriation or other violation of our patents, trademarks, copyrights, trade secrets, or other intellectual property rights could adversely affect any competitive advantage we currently derive or may derive from our proprietary technology platform and we may incur significant costs associated with litigation that may be necessary to enforce our intellectual property rights. Claims by others that we infringe their intellectual property could force us to incur significant costs or revise the way we conduct our business.

Our competitors protect their proprietary rights by means of patents, trade secrets, copyrights, trademarks and other intellectual property. Any party asserting that we infringe, misappropriate or violate their intellectual property rights may force us to defend ourselves, and potentially our clients, against the alleged claim. These claims and any resulting lawsuit, if successful, could be time-consuming and expensive to defend, subject us to significant liability for damages or invalidation of our proprietary rights, prevent us from operating all or a portion of our business or force us to redesign our services or technology platform or cause an interruption or cessation of our business operations, any of which could adversely affect our business and operating results. In addition, any litigation relating to the infringement of intellectual property rights could harm our relationships with current and prospective clients. The risk of such claims and lawsuits could increase if we increase the size and scope of our services in our existing markets or expand into new markets.

We may make acquisitions that prove unsuccessful, strain or divert our resources and harm our results of operations and stock price.

We may consider acquisitions of other companies in our industry or in new markets. We may not be able to successfully complete any such acquisition and, if completed, any such acquisition may fail to achieve the intended financial results. We may not be able to successfully integrate any acquired businesses with our own and we may be unable to maintain our standards, controls and policies. Further, acquisitions may place additional constraints on our resources by diverting the attention of our management from other business concerns. Moreover, any acquisition may result in a potentially dilutive issuance of equity securities, the incurrence of additional debt and amortization of expenses related to intangible assets, all of which could adversely affect our results of operations and stock price. The price of our common stock could be volatile, and you may not be able to sell your shares at or above the public offering price.

Since our initial public offering in August 2012, the price of our common stock, as reported by NASDAQ Global Select Market, has ranged from a low sales price of \$1.50 on March 16, 2017 to a high sales price of \$14.09 on March 4, 2013. The trading price of our common stock may be significantly affected by various factors, including: quarterly fluctuations in our operating results; the financial projections we may provide to the public, any changes in those projections or our failure to meet those projections; changes in investors' and analysts' perception of the business risks and conditions of our business; our ability to meet the earnings estimates and other performance expectations of financial analysts or investors; unfavorable commentary or downgrades of our stock by equity research analysts; changes in our capital structure, such as future issuances of debt or equity securities; our success or failure to obtain new contract awards; lawsuits threatened or filed against us; strategic actions by us or our competitors, such as acquisitions or restructurings; new legislation or regulatory actions; changes in our relationship with any of our significant clients; fluctuations in the stock prices of our peer companies or in stock markets in general; and general economic conditions.

Our significant stockholders have the ability to influence significant corporate activities and our significant stockholders' interests may not coincide with yours.

Parthenon Capital Partners and Invesco Ltd. beneficially owned approximately 26.5% and 17.4% of our common stock, respectively, as of September 30, 2017. As a result of their ownership, Parthenon Capital Partners and Invesco Ltd. have the ability to influence the outcome of matters submitted to a vote of stockholders and, through our board of directors, the ability to influence decision making with respect to our business direction and policies. Parthenon Capital Partners and Invesco Ltd. may have interests different from our other stockholders' interests, and

may vote in a manner adverse to those interests. Matters over which Parthenon Capital Partners and Invesco Ltd. can, directly or indirectly, exercise influence include:

- mergers and other business combination transactions, including proposed transactions that would result in our stockholders receiving a premium price for their shares;

Table of Contents

• other acquisitions or dispositions of businesses or assets;
• incurrence of indebtedness and the issuance of equity securities;
• repurchase of stock and payment of dividends; and
• the issuance of shares to management under our equity incentive plans.

In addition, Parthenon Capital Partners has a contractual right to designate a number of directors proportionate to its stock ownership. Further, under our amended and restated certificate of incorporation, Parthenon Capital Partners does not have any obligation to present to us, and Parthenon Capital Partners may separately pursue, corporate opportunities of which it becomes aware, even if those opportunities are ones that we would have pursued if granted the opportunity.

Anti-takeover provisions contained in our certificate of incorporation and bylaws could impair a takeover attempt that our stockholders may find beneficial.

Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws contain provisions that could have the effect of rendering more difficult or discouraging an acquisition deemed undesirable by our board of directors. Our corporate governance documents include the following provisions: establishing a classified board of directors so that not all members of our board are elected at one time; providing that directors may be removed by stockholders only for cause; authorizing blank check preferred stock, which could be issued with voting, liquidation, dividend and other rights superior to our common stock; limiting the ability of our stockholders to call and bring business before special meetings and to take action by written consent in lieu of a meeting; limiting our ability to engage in certain business combinations with any “interested stockholder,” other than Parthenon Capital Partners, for a three-year period following the time that the stockholder became an interested stockholder; requiring advance notice of stockholder proposals for business to be conducted at meetings of our stockholders and for nominations of candidates for election to our board of directors; requiring a super majority vote for certain amendments to our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws; and limiting the determination of the number of directors on our board of directors and the filling of vacancies or newly created seats on the board, to our board of directors then in office. These provisions, alone or together, could have the effect of delaying or deterring a change in control, could limit the opportunity for our stockholders to receive a premium for their shares of our common stock, and could also affect the price that some investors are willing to pay for our common stock.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

Sale of Unregistered Securities

On August 7, 2017, in consideration for, and concurrently with, the extension of the loans in accordance with the terms of our new credit agreement with ECMC Group, Inc., we issued a warrant to ECMC to purchase up to an aggregate of 3,863,326 shares of the Company's common stock (representing approximately up to 7.5% of the our diluted common stock as calculated using the "treasury stock" method as defined under GAAP for the most recent fiscal quarter) with an exercise price of \$1.92 per share. The warrant was issued in a private placement exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, pursuant to Section 4(2) thereof. Upon our election to borrow any of the additional term loans under the new credit agreement, we will be required to issue additional warrants at the same exercise price to purchase up to an aggregate of 77,267 additional shares of common stock (which represents approximately 0.15% of the diluted common stock calculated using the "treasury stock" method as defined under GAAP for the most recent fiscal quarter) for each \$1,000,000 of such additional term loans. Subsequent to the closing of our new credit agreement, we executed a registration rights agreement with ECMC Group, Inc. and we filed a registration statement to register the resale of shares of our common stock acquired upon exercise of the warrants described above.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

None

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

(A) Exhibits:

Exhibit No. Description

| | |
|------------------------|---|
| 10.1 | <u>Amendment No. 1 to Credit Agreement, dated as of September 29, 2017, by and among Performant Business Services, Inc.</u> |
| 31.1 | <u>Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a)</u> |
| 31.2 | <u>Certification of the Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a)</u> |
| 32.1 ⁽¹⁾ | <u>Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 USC Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002</u> |
| 32.2 ⁽¹⁾ | <u>Certification of the Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 USC Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002</u> |
| 101.INS ⁽²⁾ | XBRL Instance Document |
| 101.SCH ⁽²⁾ | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Scheme |
| 101.CAL ⁽²⁾ | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase |
| 101.DEF ⁽²⁾ | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document |
| 101.LAB ⁽²⁾ | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase |
| 101.PRE ⁽²⁾ | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase |

The material contained in Exhibit 32.1 and Exhibit 32.2 is not deemed “filed” with the Securities and Exchange Commission and is not to be incorporated by reference into any filing of the Company under the Securities Act of (1) 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, whether made before or after the date hereof and irrespective of any general incorporation language contained in such filing, except to the extent that the registrant specifically incorporates it by reference.

In accordance with Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the information furnished in these exhibits will not be deemed (2) “filed” for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act. Such exhibits will not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act or Exchange Act.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirement of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

PERFORMANT FINANCIAL CORPORATION

Date: November 13, 2017

By: /s/ Lisa Im

Lisa Im

Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)

By: /s/ Ian Johnston

Ian Johnston

Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer