

Intrepid Potash, Inc.
Form 10-Q
October 30, 2017
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the Quarterly Period Ended September 30, 2017

or
 Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 001-34025

INTREPID POTASH, INC.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Delaware 26-1501877
(State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer
incorporation or organization) Identification No.)
707 17th Street, Suite 4200, Denver, Colorado 80202
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)
(303) 296-3006
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files.) Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, smaller reporting company, or an emerging growth company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," "smaller reporting company," and "emerging growth company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company Emerging growth company

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Edgar Filing: Intrepid Potash, Inc. - Form 10-Q

As of October 26, 2017, the registrant had outstanding 130,771,109 shares of common stock, par value \$0.001 per share.

Table of Contents

INTREPID POTASH, INC.
TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>ITEM 1. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>17</u>
<u>ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	<u>32</u>
<u>ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>32</u>
<u>PART II - OTHER INFORMATION</u>	<u>34</u>
<u>ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>34</u>
<u>ITEM 1A. Risk Factors</u>	<u>34</u>
<u>ITEM 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	<u>34</u>
<u>ITEM 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities</u>	<u>34</u>
<u>ITEM 4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	<u>34</u>
<u>ITEM 5. Other Information</u>	<u>35</u>
<u>ITEM 6. Exhibits</u>	<u>35</u>
<u>Signatures</u>	<u>36</u>

Table of Contents

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

INTREPID POTASH, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)

	September 30, 2017	December 31, 2016
ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,694	\$ 4,464
Accounts receivable:		
Trade, net	17,866	10,343
Other receivables, net	853	492
Refundable income taxes	—	1,379
Inventory, net	83,802	94,355
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	7,323	12,710
Total current assets	111,538	123,743
Property, plant, equipment, and mineral properties, net	363,287	388,490
Long-term parts inventory, net	28,890	21,037
Other assets, net	4,110	7,631
Total Assets	\$ 507,825	\$ 540,901
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Accounts payable:		
Trade	\$ 8,352	\$ 10,210
Related parties	31	31
Accrued liabilities	12,070	8,690
Accrued employee compensation and benefits	3,140	4,225
Other current liabilities	83	964
Total current liabilities	23,676	24,120
Long-term debt, net	59,404	133,434
Asset retirement obligation	21,144	19,976
Other non-current liabilities	100	—
Total Liabilities	104,324	177,530
Commitments and Contingencies		
Common stock, \$0.001 par value; 400,000,000 shares authorized; and 127,075,201 and 75,839,998 shares outstanding at September 30, 2017, and December 31, 2016, respectively	127	76
Additional paid-in capital	645,372	583,653
Retained deficit	(241,998) (220,358)
Total Stockholders' Equity	403,501	363,371
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity	\$ 507,825	\$ 540,901

See accompanying notes to these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

INTREPID POTASH, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2017	2016	2017	2016
Sales	\$32,060	\$43,643	\$124,302	\$168,760
Less:				
Freight costs	6,160	8,187	22,867	27,450
Warehousing and handling costs	2,046	2,616	7,013	7,818
Cost of goods sold	19,395	35,272	84,981	136,899
Lower-of-cost-or-market inventory adjustments	667	5,192	4,808	17,129
Costs associated with abnormal production	—	—	—	1,707
Gross Margin (Deficit)	3,792	(7,624)	4,633	(22,243)
Selling and administrative	4,782	4,731	13,951	15,837
Accretion of asset retirement obligation	390	442	1,168	1,326
Restructuring expense	—	—	266	2,314
Care and maintenance expense	293	1,719	1,404	1,719
Other operating (income) expense	(1,501)	94	(631)	(1,811)
Operating Loss	(172)	(14,610)	(11,525)	(41,628)
Other Income (Expense)				
Interest expense, net	(1,994)	(3,905)	(10,631)	(9,134)
Interest income	—	57	5	281
Other income	128	218	514	419
Loss Before Income Taxes	(2,038)	(18,240)	(21,637)	(50,062)
Income Tax Benefit (Expense)	130	(1)	117	(4)
Net Loss	\$(1,908)	\$(18,241)	\$(21,520)	\$(50,066)
Weighted Average Shares Outstanding:				
Basic and diluted	126,601,587	115,871,774	111,768,336	115,882,544
Loss Per Share:				
Basic and diluted	\$(0.02)	\$(0.24)	\$(0.19)	\$(0.66)

See accompanying notes to these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

INTREPID POTASH, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE LOSS

(In thousands)

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2017	2016	2017	2016
Net Loss	\$(1,908)	\$(18,241)	\$(21,520)	\$(50,066)
Other Comprehensive Income:				
Net change in unrealized gain on investments available for sale, net of tax	—	3	—	52
Other Comprehensive Income	—	3	—	52
Comprehensive Loss	\$(1,908)	\$(18,238)	\$(21,520)	\$(50,014)
See accompanying notes to these condensed consolidated financial statements.				

Table of Contents

INTREPID POTASH, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

(In thousands, except share amounts)

	Common Stock		Additional	Retained	Total
	Shares	Amount	Paid-in Capital	Deficit	Stockholders' Equity
Balance, December 31, 2016	75,839,998	\$ 76	\$583,653	\$(220,358)	\$ 363,371
Adjustment to opening balance			120	(120)	—
Issuance of common stock	50,612,027	51	59,079		59,130
Net loss	—	—	—	(21,520)	(21,520)
Stock-based compensation	—	—	2,678	—	2,678
Vesting of restricted common stock, net of restricted common stock used to fund employee income tax withholding due upon vesting	623,176	—	(158)	—	(158)
Balance, September 30, 2017	127,075,201	\$ 127	\$645,372	\$(241,998)	\$ 403,501

See accompanying notes to these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

INTREPID POTASH, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(In thousands)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2017	2016
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:		
Net loss	\$(21,520)	\$(50,066)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:		
Depreciation, depletion, and accretion	25,890	32,965
Amortization of deferred financing costs	1,596	2,228
Stock-based compensation	2,678	2,552
Lower-of-cost-or-market inventory adjustments	4,808	17,129
Loss on disposal of assets	1,749	94
Allowance for doubtful accounts	420	—
Allowance for parts inventory obsolescence	(20) 514
Other	—	376
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Trade accounts receivable, net	(7,944) (7,386)
Other receivables, net	(360) (1,195)
Refundable income taxes	1,379	92
Inventory, net	(2,086) (16,200)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	8,392	11,974
Accounts payable, accrued liabilities, and accrued employee compensation and benefits	(143) (5,157)
Other liabilities	(781) (1,474)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	14,058	(13,554)
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:		
Additions to property, plant, equipment, and mineral properties	(6,226) (14,256)
Proceeds from sale of property, plant, equipment, and mineral properties	5,553	—
Purchases of investments	—	(10,325)
Proceeds from sale of investments	1	55,822
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(672) 31,241
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:		
Issuance of common stock, net of transaction costs	59,130	—
Repayments of long-term debt	(75,000) —
Proceeds from short-term borrowings on credit facility	9,000	—
Repayments of short-term borrowings on credit facility	(9,000) —
Debt issuance costs	(128) (3,843)
Employee tax withholding paid for restricted stock upon vesting	(158) (172)
Net cash used in financing activities	(16,156) (4,015)
Net Change in Cash and Cash Equivalents	(2,770) 13,672
Cash and Cash Equivalents, beginning of period	4,464	9,307
Cash and Cash Equivalents, end of period	\$ 1,694	\$ 22,979

Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information

Edgar Filing: Intrepid Potash, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Net cash paid (refunded) during the period for:

Interest	\$9,088	\$3,247
Income taxes	\$(1,496)	\$(88)
Accrued purchases for property, plant, equipment, and mineral properties	\$1,373	\$801

See accompanying notes to these condensed consolidated financial statements.

5

Table of Contents

INTREPID POTASH, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

"Intrepid," "our," "we," or "us," means Intrepid Potash, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries.

Note 1 — COMPANY BACKGROUND

We are the only producer of muriate of potash ("potassium chloride" or "potash") in the United States and are one of two producers of langbeinite ("sulfate of potash magnesia"), which we market and sell as Trio[®]. We sell potash and Trio[®] primarily into the agricultural market as a fertilizer. We also sell these products into the animal feed market as a nutritional supplement and sell potash into the industrial market as a component in drilling and fracturing fluids for oil and gas wells and other industrial inputs. We also sell water, which is recorded in other operating income. In addition, we sell by-products including salt, magnesium chloride, and brine, which are recorded as a credit to cost of goods sold. These by-product credits represented approximately 8% of total cost of goods sold for the nine months ended September 30, 2017.

We produce potash from three solution mining facilities: our HB solar solution mine in Carlsbad, New Mexico, our solution mine in Moab, Utah, and our solar brine recovery mine in Wendover, Utah. We also operate the North compaction facility in Carlsbad, New Mexico, which compacts and granulates product from the HB mine. We produce Trio[®] from our conventional underground East mine in Carlsbad, New Mexico.

Note 2 — SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Financial Statement Presentation—Our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"). Certain information and note disclosures normally included in annual financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") have been condensed or omitted pursuant to those rules and regulations. In the opinion of management, all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring accruals considered necessary for a fair presentation of interim financial information, have been included. These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016, as filed with the SEC.

Reclassifications of Prior Period Presentation—Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified in order to conform to the current period presentation. These reclassifications had no effect on the reported results of operations.

Table of Contents

Note 3 — LOSS PER SHARE

Basic loss per share is computed by dividing net loss by the weighted-average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period. For purposes of determining diluted loss per share, basic weighted-average common shares outstanding are adjusted to include potentially dilutive securities, including restricted stock, stock options, and performance units. The treasury-stock method is used to measure the dilutive impact of potentially dilutive shares. Potentially dilutive shares are excluded from the diluted weighted-average shares outstanding computation in periods in which they have an anti-dilutive effect, such as when there is a net loss. Because we have net losses for all periods in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017, and 2016, all potentially dilutive shares are excluded from the diluted weighted-average common shares outstanding for each of those periods. The following table shows the calculation of basic and diluted loss per share (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2017	2016	2017	2016
Net loss	\$(1,908)	\$(18,241)	\$(21,520)	\$(50,066)
Basic and diluted weighted average common shares outstanding	126,602	75,872	111,768	75,883
Basic and diluted loss per share	\$(0.02)	\$(0.24)	\$(0.19)	\$(0.66)

The following table shows the shares that have an anti-dilutive effect and are excluded from the diluted weighted-average shares outstanding computations:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2017	2016	2017	2016
Anti-dilutive shares of restricted common stock	3,696,891	758,060	3,269,520	524,998
Anti-dilutive shares of stock options outstanding	2,326,290	218,857	2,032,259	224,512
Anti-dilutive shares of performance units	63,025	126,050	63,025	127,113

Note 4 — INVENTORY AND LONG-TERM PARTS INVENTORY

The following summarizes our inventory, recorded at the lower of weighted average cost or estimated net realizable value, as of September 30, 2017, and December 31, 2016 (in thousands):

	September 30, 2017	December 31, 2016
Finished goods product inventory	\$ 47,088	\$ 52,571
In-process mineral inventory	24,700	22,126
Total product inventory	71,788	74,697
Current parts inventory, net	12,014	19,658
Total current inventory, net	83,802	94,355
Long-term parts inventory, net	28,890	21,037
Total inventory, net	\$ 112,692	\$ 115,392

Parts inventories are shown net of any required allowances. At September 30, 2017, and December 31, 2016, allowances for parts inventory obsolescence were \$3.1 million.

Table of Contents

During the nine months ended September 30, 2017, and 2016, we recorded charges of approximately \$4.8 million and \$17.1 million, respectively, as a result of routine assessments of the lower of weighted average cost or estimated net realizable value of our finished goods product inventory.

During the conversion of the East facility to Trio[®]-only in 2016, we suspended East production for seven days. As a result, approximately \$1.7 million of production costs at our East facility that would have been allocated to additional tons produced were excluded from our inventory values and instead expensed as period production costs during the nine-month period ended September 30, 2016.

Note 5 — PROPERTY, PLANT, EQUIPMENT, AND MINERAL PROPERTIES

Property, plant, equipment, and mineral properties were comprised of the following (in thousands):

	September 30, December 31,	
	2017	2016
Buildings and plant	\$ 79,658	\$ 82,457
Machinery and equipment	227,654	227,987
Vehicles	4,752	4,750
Office equipment and improvements	12,690	12,505
Ponds and land improvements	55,096	57,474
Total depreciable assets	\$ 379,850	\$ 385,173
Accumulated depreciation	(135,491)	(116,194)
Total depreciable assets, net	\$ 244,359	\$ 268,979
Mineral properties and development costs	\$ 138,882	\$ 138,578
Accumulated depletion	(25,410)	(21,974)
Total depletable assets, net	\$ 113,472	\$ 116,604
Land	\$ 519	\$ 719
Construction in progress	\$ 4,937	\$ 2,188
Total property, plant, equipment, and mineral properties, net	\$ 363,287	\$ 388,490

We incurred the following expenses for depreciation, depletion, and accretion, including expenses capitalized into inventory, for the following periods (in thousands):

	Three Months		Nine Months	
	Ended		Ended September	
	September 30,		30,	
	2017	2016	2017	2016
Depreciation	\$7,030	\$7,587	\$21,270	\$28,931
Depletion	850	727	3,452	2,708
Accretion	390	442	1,168	1,326
Total incurred	\$8,270	\$8,756	\$25,890	\$32,965

Note 6 — DEBT

Senior Notes—As of September 30, 2017, we had outstanding \$60 million of senior notes (the "Notes") consisting of the following series:

- \$24 million of Senior Notes, Series A, due April 16, 2020
- \$18 million of Senior Notes, Series B, due April 14, 2023
- \$18 million of Senior Notes, Series C, due April 16, 2025

Table of Contents

We originally issued \$150 million of the Notes in 2013. Since the beginning of the fourth quarter of 2016, we have repaid an aggregate principal amount of \$90 million of the Notes, including \$6 million paid in the three months ended September 30, 2017, and a total of \$75 million paid in the nine months ended September 30, 2017.

In June 2017, we entered into an amendment with the holders of the Notes that requires us to prepay an additional \$10 million of the Notes, together with accrued interest and a make-whole amount, on or before December 31, 2018, of which none had been paid as of September 30, 2017. The June 2017 amendment also altered the methodology for determining the variable interest rate for the Notes, though the interest rates will continue to be based on our financial performance as measured by certain financial covenant levels, and modified certain terms regarding the mandatory redemptions or offers of prepayment to the holders of the Notes.

The agreement governing the Notes provides for the following:

- We granted to the collateral agent for the noteholders a first lien on substantially all of our non-current assets and second lien on substantially all of our current assets.

The agreement requires us to maintain a minimum trailing twelve-month adjusted EBITDA, which adjusts over time and is measured quarterly through March 2018, ranging from negative \$10 million in the period ended September 30, 2017, to negative \$7.5 million in the period ending March 31, 2018. Adjusted EBITDA is a non-GAAP measure that is calculated as adjusted earnings before interest, income taxes, depreciation, amortization, and certain other expenses for the prior four quarters, as defined under the agreement. Our adjusted EBITDA as calculated under the agreement was \$19.4 million for the four quarters ended September 30, 2017, satisfying the adjusted EBITDA covenant.

The agreement requires us to maintain minimum liquidity of \$15 million as of the last day of any month ending on or prior to March 31, 2018, and monthly thereafter if we do not meet certain financial covenants. Liquidity is calculated as unencumbered cash and unused availability under our credit facility. Our liquidity as calculated under the agreement was \$24.2 million as of September 30, 2017, satisfying the liquidity covenant.

The agreement requires us to maintain a minimum fixed charge coverage amount of negative \$15 million and negative \$10 million for the quarters ending June 30, 2018, and September 30, 2018, respectively. The agreement also includes requirements relating to a leverage ratio and a fixed charge coverage ratio to be tested on a quarterly basis commencing with the quarter ending June 30, 2018, with respect to the leverage ratio, and December 31, 2018, with respect to the fixed charge coverage ratio. The maximum leverage ratio will be 11.5 to 1.0 for the quarter ending June 30, 2018, and decreases to 3.5 to 1.0 for the quarter ending September 30, 2019, and each quarter thereafter. The minimum fixed charge coverage ratio will be 0.25 to 1.0 for the quarter ending December 31, 2018, and increases to 1.3 to 1.0 for the quarter ending September 30, 2019, and each quarter thereafter. In general, our fixed charge coverage amount is calculated as adjusted EBITDA for the prior four quarters, minus capital expenditures, cash paid for income taxes, and interest expense plus scheduled principal amortization of long-term funded indebtedness; our leverage ratio is calculated as the ratio of funded indebtedness to adjusted EBITDA for the prior four quarters; and our fixed charge coverage ratio is calculated as the ratio of adjusted EBITDA for the prior four quarters, minus capital expenditures and cash paid for income taxes, to interest expense plus scheduled principal amortization of long-term funded indebtedness.

The interest rates on the Notes may adjust quarterly based on our leverage ratio and fixed charge coverage ratio. As our financial position improves as measured by these ratios, we pay lower interest rates under the Notes. If our leverage ratio is less than 3.5 to 1.0 and our fixed charge coverage ratio is greater than 1.3 to 1.0, we pay the lowest interest rates available under the Notes. Conversely, if our leverage ratio is greater than or equal to 7.5 to 1.0 or our fixed charge coverage ratio is less than or equal to 1.3 to 1.0, we pay the highest interest rates required under the Notes. During the quarter ended September 30, 2017, the interest rates on the Notes were 7.73% for the Series A Notes, 8.63% for the Series B Notes and 8.78% for the Series C Notes. Beginning November 1, 2017, the interest rates on the Notes will be reduced to 3.73% for the Series A Notes, 4.63% for the Series B Notes and 4.78% for the Series C Notes. These rates represent the lowest interest rates available under the Notes.

• We are required to offer to prepay the Notes with the proceeds of dispositions of certain specified property and with the proceeds of certain equity issuances, as set forth in the agreement.

• The obligations under the Notes are unconditionally guaranteed by several of our subsidiaries.

We were in compliance with the applicable covenants under the agreement governing the Notes as of September 30, 2017.

9

Table of Contents

Our outstanding long-term debt, net, as of September 30, 2017, and December 31, 2016, is as follows (in thousands):

	September 30, December 31,	
	2017	2016
Senior Notes	\$ 60,000	\$ 135,000
Less deferred financing costs (596)	(1,566)	(1,566)
Long-term debt, net	\$ 59,404	\$ 133,434

Credit Facility—In October 2016, we entered into a credit agreement with Bank of Montreal that provides an asset-based revolving credit facility of up to \$35 million in aggregate principal amount.

In June 2017, we amended the agreement to extend the maturity date to October 31, 2019, and to permit up to \$10 million of borrowings under the agreement to be used to make payments on the Notes.

The amount of the facility remains at \$35 million and is available subject to monthly borrowing base limits based on our inventory and receivables. If our total remaining availability under the credit facility was to fall below \$6 million, we would be subject to a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio of 1 to 1, which we would not currently meet. Any borrowings on the credit facility bear interest at 1.75% to 2.25% above LIBOR (London Interbank Offered Rate), based on average availability under the credit facility. We have granted to Bank of Montreal a first lien on substantially all of our current assets and a second lien on substantially all of our non-current assets. We occasionally borrow and repay amounts under the facility for near-term working capital needs and may do so in the future. For the nine months ended September 30, 2017, we borrowed and repaid \$9.0 million under the facility. As of September 30, 2017, there were no amounts outstanding under the facility, other than \$3.5 million in letters of credit. Considering the outstanding letters of credit and the fixed charge coverage ratio requirement described above, we have \$22.5 million available under the facility as of September 30, 2017. We were in compliance with the applicable covenants under the facility as of September 30, 2017.

Interest expense is recorded net of any capitalized interest associated with investments in capital projects. We incurred gross interest expense of \$2.1 million and \$4.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2017, and 2016, respectively, and \$10.8 million and \$9.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2017, and 2016, respectively.

Amounts included in interest expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017, and 2016, are as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months		Nine Months	
	Ended		Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2017	2016	2017	2016
Interest on Notes and credit facility commitment fees	\$ 1,382	\$ 2,688	\$ 6,180	\$ 6,429
Make-whole payments	448	806	3,001	806
Amortization of deferred financing costs	246	564	1,596	2,228
Gross interest expense	2,076	4,058	10,777	9,463
Less capitalized interest	82	153	146	329
Interest expense, net	\$ 1,994	\$ 3,905	\$ 10,631	\$ 9,134

Note 7 — FINANCIAL INFORMATION FOR SUBSIDIARY GUARANTORS OF POSSIBLE FUTURE PUBLIC DEBT

Intrepid Potash, Inc., as the parent company, has no independent assets or operations, and operations are conducted solely through its subsidiaries. Cash generated from operations is held at the parent-company level as cash on hand which totaled \$1.7 million and \$4.5 million at September 30, 2017, and December 31, 2016, respectively. If one or more of our wholly-owned operating subsidiaries guarantee public debt securities in the future, those guarantees will be full and unconditional and will constitute the joint and several obligations of the subsidiary guarantors. Our other subsidiaries are minor. There are no restrictions on our ability to obtain cash dividends or other distributions of funds

from the subsidiary guarantors, except those imposed by applicable law.

10

Table of Contents

Note 8 — ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATION

We recognize an estimated liability for future costs associated with the abandonment and reclamation of our mining properties. A liability for the fair value of an asset retirement obligation and a corresponding increase to the carrying value of the related long-lived asset are recorded as the mining operations occur or the assets are acquired.

Our asset retirement obligation is based on the estimated cost to abandon and reclaim the mining operations, the economic life of the properties, and federal and state regulatory requirements. The liability is discounted using credit adjusted risk-free rate estimates at the time the liability is incurred or when there are revisions to estimated costs. The credit adjusted risk-free rates used to discount our abandonment liabilities range from 6.9% to 9.7%. Revisions to the liability occur due to construction of new or expanded facilities, changes in estimated abandonment costs or economic lives, or if federal or state regulators enact new requirements regarding the abandonment or reclamation of mines.

Following is a table of the changes to our asset retirement obligation for the following periods (in thousands):

	Three Months		Nine Months	
	Ended September		Ended September	
	30,	30,	30,	30,
	2017	2016	2017	2016
Asset retirement obligation, at beginning of period	\$20,754	\$23,832	\$19,976	\$22,951
Liabilities settled	—	—	—	(3)
Accretion of discount	390	442	1,168	1,326
Total asset retirement obligation, at end of period	\$21,144	\$24,274	\$21,144	\$24,274

The undiscounted amount of asset retirement obligation was \$59.3 million as of September 30, 2017.

Note 9 — COMMON STOCK

In March 2017, we completed an underwritten public offering of our common stock and issued 50.1 million shares of common stock for net cash proceeds of \$57.2 million. The net proceeds from the offering were used to partially repay indebtedness.

In May 2017, we commenced an at-the-market offering program, which gives us the capacity to issue up to \$40 million of our common stock. In September 2017, we issued 0.5 million shares of common stock for net cash proceeds of \$1.9 million. The net proceeds from the at-the-market offering were used for general corporate purposes. We may offer additional shares under the at-the-market offering program and we intend to use the net proceeds from any additional offerings under this program for general corporate purposes, which may include, among other things, the repayment of indebtedness under our senior notes or revolving credit facility, acquisitions, and funding capital expenditures.

Note 10 — COMPENSATION PLANS

Equity Incentive Compensation Plan—Our Board of Directors and stockholders adopted a long-term incentive compensation plan called the Intrepid Potash, Inc. Amended and Restated Equity Incentive Plan (the "Plan"). We have issued common stock, restricted stock, performance units, and non-qualified stock option awards under the Plan. Share-based awards vest over one, three or four years. At September 30, 2017, there were approximately 3.6 million shares available for issuance under the Plan.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2017, we granted a total of 1.4 million shares of restricted stock and 0.6 million non-qualified stock options to members of our Board of Directors, executive officers, and other key employees. These awards vest over one or three years. As of September 30, 2017, the following awards were outstanding under the Plan:

Table of Contents

	Outstanding as of September 30, 2017
Restricted Stock	3,909,661
Non-qualified Stock Options	2,326,290
Performance Units	126,050

Total share-based compensation expense was \$2.7 million and \$1.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2017, and 2016, respectively. As of September 30, 2017, we had \$6.2 million of total remaining unrecognized compensation expense related to awards, that will be expensed through 2020.

Note 11 — OTHER OPERATING (INCOME) EXPENSE

Other operating (income) expense consisted of the following amounts:

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2017		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2016	
Water sales	\$(1,969)	\$—	\$(3,389)	\$—
Accrual for land issues	—	—	600	—
Loss on sale of assets	185	109	1,749	94
Other income	(278)	(15)	(152)	(104)
Insurance reimbursement	—	—	—	(1,211)
Compensating tax adjustment	—	—	—	(1,086)
Reserve for inventory obsolescence	561	—	561	496
Other operating (income) expense	\$(1,501)	\$94	\$(631)	\$(1,811)

Note 12 — INCOME TAXES

Our anticipated annual tax rate is impacted primarily by the amount of taxable income associated with each jurisdiction in which our income is subject to income tax, permanent differences between the financial statement carrying amounts and tax bases of assets and liabilities.

During both the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2017, we recorded an immaterial amount of income tax benefit. Our effective tax rate was 6% and 1%, for the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2017, respectively, which differed from the statutory rate primarily due to the impact of recording a valuation allowance to offset the amount of additional deferred tax assets generated during the periods. For the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2016, we recorded an immaterial amount of income tax expense.

As of September 30, 2017, we do not believe it is more likely than not that we will fully realize the benefit of our deferred tax assets. As such, we increased the valuation allowance related to our deferred tax assets by \$10.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2017. We recognized a full valuation allowance against our net deferred tax assets as of September 30, 2017, and December 31, 2016.

Note 13 — COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Reclamation Deposits and Surety Bonds—As of September 30, 2017, and December 31, 2016, we had \$18.7 million and \$21.4 million, respectively, of security placed principally with the State of Utah and the Bureau of Land Management for eventual reclamation of our various facilities. Of this total requirement, as of September 30, 2017, and

December 31, 2016, \$0.5 million and \$3.5 million, respectively, consisted of long-term restricted cash deposits reflected in "Other assets, net" on the condensed consolidated balance sheets and \$18.2 million and \$17.9 million, respectively, was secured by surety bonds issued by an insurer. The surety bonds are held in place by an annual fee paid to the issuer.

Table of Contents

We may be required to post additional security to fund future reclamation obligations as reclamation plans are updated or as governmental entities change requirements.

Legal—In February 2015, Mosaic Potash Carlsbad Inc. ("Mosaic") filed a complaint and application for preliminary injunction and permanent injunction against Steve Gamble and us in the Fifth Judicial District Court for the County of Eddy in the State of New Mexico. Mr. Gamble is a former Intrepid employee and former Mosaic employee. The complaint alleges against us violations of the Uniform Trade Secrets Act and tortious interference with contract relating to alleged misappropriation of Mosaic's trade secrets. Mosaic seeks monetary relief of an unspecified amount, including damages for actual loss and unjust enrichment, exemplary damages, attorneys' fees, and injunctive relief and has alleged that it has spent hundreds of millions of dollars to research and develop its alleged trade secrets. In August 2015, the court denied Mosaic's application for preliminary injunction. The lawsuit is currently progressing through discovery. We are vigorously defending against the lawsuit. Because this matter is at an early stage, we are unable to reasonably estimate the potential amount of loss, if any.

In July 2016, Mosaic filed a complaint against Steve Gamble and us in US District Court for the District of New Mexico. The complaint alleges violations of the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act, conversion, and civil conspiracy relating to alleged misappropriation of Mosaic's confidential information. Mosaic seeks injunctive relief and compensatory and punitive damages of an unspecified amount. We are vigorously defending against the lawsuit. Because this matter is at an early stage, we are unable to reasonably estimate the potential amount of loss, if any. We are subject to other claims and legal actions in the ordinary course of business. While there are uncertainties in predicting the outcome of any claim or legal action, we believe that the ultimate resolution of these other claims or actions is not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

Future Operating Lease Commitments—We have operating leases for land, mining and other operating equipment, offices, and railcars, with original terms ranging up to 20 years. In June 2017, we amended our existing corporate office lease agreement to extend the term to March 31, 2019, and to reduce the base rent.

Rental and lease expenses for the three months ended September 30, 2017, and 2016, were \$1.4 million and \$1.5 million, respectively. Rental and lease expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2017, and 2016, were \$4.4 million and \$4.6 million, respectively.

Note 14 — FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

We measure our financial assets and liabilities in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification™ ("ASC") Topic 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures.

For cash and cash equivalents, certificates of deposit and time deposit investments, accounts receivable, refundable income taxes, and accounts payable, the carrying amount approximates fair value because of the short-term maturity of these instruments.

The estimated fair value of the long term debt is estimated using a discounted cash flow analysis based on current borrowing rates for debt with similar remaining maturities and ratings (a Level 2 input) and is designed to approximate the amount at which the instruments could be exchanged in an arm's-length transaction between knowledgeable willing parties. The carrying value and estimated fair value of our long-term debt as of September 30, 2017, and December 31, 2016, were as follows (in thousands):

	September 30, 2017		December 31, 2016	
	Carrying Value	Fair Value	Carrying Value	Fair Value
Senior notes	\$60,000	\$60,000	\$135,000	\$131,000

Table of Contents

Note 15 — RESTRUCTURING CHARGE

During 2016, in response to declining potash prices, we undertook several cost saving actions that were intended to better align our cost structure with the business environment. These initiatives included workforce reductions, bonus plan curtailments, and temporary salary decreases for most employees. For the nine months ended September 30, 2016, we recorded restructuring expense of \$2.3 million related to these initiatives. During the nine months ended September 30, 2017, we recorded an additional \$0.3 million of restructuring expenses as a result of our decision to reduce Trio® production based on expected demand and product inventory levels.

Note 16 — BUSINESS SEGMENTS

We have two business segments: potash and Trio®. Our reportable segments are determined by management based on a number of factors including the types of potassium-based fertilizer produced, production processes, markets served and the financial information available to our chief operating decision maker. We evaluate performance based on the gross margins of the respective business segments and do not allocate corporate selling and administrative expenses, among others, to the respective segments. Total assets are not presented for each reportable segment as they are not reviewed by, nor otherwise regularly provided to, the chief operating decision maker. All sales of both segments are to external customers.

Information for each segment is provided in the following tables (in thousands):

Table of Contents

Three Months Ended September 30, 2017	Potash	Trio®	Corporate	Consolidated
Sales	\$20,711	\$11,349	\$ —	\$ 32,060
Less: Freight costs	2,864	3,296	—	6,160
Warehousing and handling costs	1,173	873	—	2,046
Cost of goods sold	11,534	7,861	—	19,395
Lower-of-cost-or-market inventory adjustments	113	554	—	667
Gross Margin (Deficit)	\$5,027	\$(1,235)	\$ —	\$ 3,792
Depreciation, depletion and amortization incurred ¹	\$6,567	\$1,687	\$ 16	\$ 8,270
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2017	Potash	Trio®	Corporate	Consolidated
Sales	\$75,745	\$48,557	\$ —	\$ 124,302
Less: Freight costs	9,401	13,466	—	22,867
Warehousing and handling costs	4,051	2,962	—	7,013
Cost of goods sold	50,776	34,205	—	84,981
Lower-of-cost-or-market inventory adjustments	146	4,662	—	4,808
Gross Margin (Deficit)	\$11,371	\$(6,738)	\$ —	\$ 4,633
Depreciation, depletion and amortization incurred ¹	\$20,685	\$5,091	\$ 114	\$ 25,890
Three Months Ended September 30, 2016	Potash	Trio®	Corporate	Consolidated
Sales	\$35,357	\$8,286	\$ —	\$ 43,643
Less: Freight costs	6,722	1,465	—	8,187
Warehousing and handling costs	2,072	544	—	2,616
Cost of goods sold	29,027	6,245	—	35,272
Lower-of-cost-or-market inventory adjustments	4,856	336	—	5,192
Gross Deficit	\$(7,320)	\$(304)	\$ —	\$(7,624)
Depreciation, depletion and amortization incurred ¹	\$8,090	\$597	\$ 69	\$ 8,756
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2016	Potash	Trio®	Corporate	Consolidated
Sales	\$128,248	\$40,512	\$ —	\$ 168,760
Less: Freight costs	20,156	7,294	—	27,450
Warehousing and handling costs	6,358	1,460	—	7,818
Cost of goods sold	108,816	28,083	—	136,899
Lower-of-cost-or-market inventory adjustments	16,793	336	—	17,129
Costs associated with abnormal production and other	650	1,057	—	1,707
Gross (Deficit) Margin	\$(24,525)	\$2,282	\$ —	\$(22,243)
Depreciation, depletion and amortization incurred ¹	\$28,970	\$3,150	\$ 845	\$ 32,965

¹ Depreciation, depletion and amortization incurred for potash and Trio® excludes depreciation, depletion and amortization amounts absorbed in or relieved from inventory.

Table of Contents

During the nine months ended September 30, 2017, we recorded restructuring charges of \$0.3 million attributable to our Trio® segment. For the comparable period in 2016, we recorded restructuring charges of \$2.3 million, of which \$2.1 million was attributable to our potash segment and \$0.2 million was attributable to corporate. During the three months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016, we recorded no restructuring charges.

Note 17 — RECENTLY ADOPTED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In July 2015, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2015-11, "Inventory (Topic 330): Simplifying the Measurement of Inventory." An entity using an inventory method other than last-in, first-out or the retail inventory method should measure inventory at the lower of cost and net realizable value. This standard clarifies that net realizable value is the estimated selling prices in the ordinary course of business, less reasonably predictable costs of completion, disposal, and transportation and was effective for us beginning January 1, 2017. The adoption of this standard did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-09, "Compensation - Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting," which became effective for us beginning January 1, 2017. This standard changes several aspects of how we account for share-based payment award transactions, including income tax consequences, classification of awards as either equity or liabilities, classification of excess tax benefits on the statement of cash flows, forfeitures, minimum statutory tax withholding payments, and classification of employee taxes paid on the statement of cash flows when an employer withholds shares for tax-withholding purposes. In accordance with adoption of this standard share-based payment award forfeiture expense will no longer be estimated and will be recorded as forfeitures occur and we have recorded a \$120,000 adjustment to beginning retained earnings for the impact of this cumulative change.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward looking statements within the meaning of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), and the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"). These forward looking statements are made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. All statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q other than statements of historical fact are forward looking statements. Forward-looking statements include statements about our future results of operations and financial position, our business strategy and plans, and our objectives for future operations, among other things. In some cases, you can identify these statements by forward looking words, such as "estimate," "expect," "anticipate," "project," "plan," "intend," "believe," "forecast," "foresee," "likely," "may," "should," "goal," "target," "might," "will," "could," "predict," and "continue." Forward looking statements are only predictions based on our current knowledge, expectations, and projections about future events.

These forward-looking statements are subject to a number of risks, uncertainties, and assumptions, including the following:

- our ability to successfully identify and implement any opportunities to expand sales of water, by-products, and other non-potassium-related products or other revenue diversification activities;
- our ability to successfully identify and consummate profitable growth opportunities;
- our ability to expand Trio[®] sales internationally and manage risks associated with international sales, including pricing pressure;
- our ability to comply with the terms of our senior notes and revolving credit facility, including the underlying covenants, to avoid a default under those agreements;
- changes in the price, demand, or supply of potash or Trio[®];
- the costs of, and our ability to successfully execute, any strategic projects;
- declines or changes in agricultural production or fertilizer application rates;
- further write-downs of the carrying value of our assets, including inventories;
- circumstances that disrupt or limit our production, including operational difficulties or variances, geological or geotechnical variances, equipment failures, environmental hazards, and other unexpected events or problems;
- changes in our reserve estimates;
- currency fluctuations;
- adverse changes in economic conditions or credit markets;
- the impact of governmental regulations, including environmental and mining regulations, the enforcement of those regulations, and governmental policy changes;
- challenges to our water rights;
- adverse weather events, including events affecting precipitation and evaporation rates at our solar solution mines;
- increased labor costs or difficulties in hiring and retaining qualified employees and contractors, including workers with mining, mineral processing, or construction expertise;
- changes in the prices of raw materials, including chemicals, natural gas, and power;
- our ability to obtain and maintain any necessary governmental permits or leases relating to current or future operations;
- declines in the use of potassium-related products or water by oil and gas companies in their drilling operations;
- interruptions in rail or truck transportation services, or fluctuations in the costs of these services;
- our inability to fund necessary capital investments; and
- the other risks, uncertainties, and assumptions described in Item 1A. Risk Factors of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016, as updated by our subsequent Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q.

In addition, new risks emerge from time to time. It is not possible for our management to predict all risks that may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements we may make.

In light of these risks, uncertainties, and assumptions, the future events and trends discussed in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q may not occur and actual results could differ materially and adversely from those anticipated or implied in these forward-looking statements. As a result, you should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking

statements. We

17

Table of Contents

undertake no duty to update or revise publicly any forward-looking statements to conform those statements to actual results or to reflect new information or future events.

Throughout this report, we refer to average net realized sales price per ton, which is a non-GAAP measure that we calculate as sales less freight costs then divided by sales tons. More information about this measure, including a reconciliation of this measure to the most directly comparable GAAP measure, is below under the heading "Non-GAAP Financial Measure."

Table of Contents

Our Company

We are the only producer of potash in the United States and are one of two producers of langbeinite, which we market and sell as Trio[®]. We sell potash and Trio[®] primarily into the agricultural market as a fertilizer. We also sell these products into the animal feed market as a nutritional supplement and sell potash into the industrial market as a component in drilling and fracturing fluids for oil and gas wells and other industrial inputs. We also sell water, which is recorded in other operating income. In addition, we sell by-products including salt, magnesium chloride, and brine, which are recorded as a credit to cost of goods sold. These by-product credits represented approximately 8% of total cost of goods sold for the nine months ended September 30, 2017.

We produce potash from three solution mining facilities: our HB solar solution mine in Carlsbad, New Mexico, a solar solution mine in Moab, Utah, and a solar brine recovery mine in Wendover, Utah. We also operate the North compaction facility in Carlsbad, New Mexico, which compacts and granulates product from the HB mine. We produce Trio[®] from our conventional underground East mine in Carlsbad, New Mexico.

We have additional opportunities to develop mineralized deposits of potash in New Mexico, as well as to continue the optimization of our processing plants. These opportunities include additional solution mining activities, additional recoveries of langbeinite, development of by-product and water markets, and acceleration of production from our reserves.

We routinely post important information about us and our business, including information about upcoming investor presentations, on our website under the Investor Relations tab. We encourage investors and other interested parties to enroll on our website to receive automatic email alerts or Really Simple Syndication (RSS) feeds regarding new postings. Our website is www.intrepidpotash.com.

Significant Business Trends and Activities

Our financial results have been impacted by several significant trends and activities, which are described below. We expect that these trends will continue to impact our results of operations, cash flows, and financial position.

- **Trio[®] pricing and demand.** Our third quarter Trio[®] sales volume increased by 72% over the third quarter of 2016, due to stronger domestic demand and increased international sales. However, our average net realized sales price per ton for Trio[®], declined to \$187 for the third quarter of 2017, compared to \$274 in the same period last year, resulting in gross deficits in our Trio[®] segment for both the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2017.

Domestically, we expect pricing pressure from competitors to continue through the end of the year. Internationally, freight costs incurred to ship product have negatively impacted our average net realized sales price per ton.

We experience seasonality in domestic Trio[®] demand, with more purchases coming in the first and second quarters. In turn, we generally see increased inventory levels in the third and fourth quarters in anticipation of expected demand for the following year, although this trend may change as we increase international sales and adjust production volumes. We continue our efforts to expand our marketing reach for Trio[®]. Given the current pricing environment, we intend to operate our facilities at production levels that approximate expected demand and allow us to manage inventory levels.

- **Potash pricing and demand.** Our average net realized sales price for potash increased in the third quarter of 2017, to \$232 per ton compared to \$178 per ton for the third quarter of 2016. Over these same periods, our potash sales decreased by over 50% as we had fewer tons to sell after the transition of our East facility to Trio[®]-only in April 2016, and the idling of our West facility in July 2016. With lower production, we can be more selective in our sales to maximize our sales prices, which benefited our results for the quarter. During the third quarter of 2017, as compared to the same period in 2016, we increased our percentage of sales into the industrial and feed markets, which generally have higher average net realized sales prices than sales into the agricultural market.

Although production curtailments from us and other producers in 2015 and 2016 have reduced potash supply in North America, global effective capacity continues to exceed demand. Potash prices are a significant driver of profitability for our business. Domestic pricing of our potash is influenced principally by the price established by our competitors. The interaction of global potash supply and demand, ocean, land, and barge freight rates, and currency fluctuations also influence pricing.

The specific timing of when farmers apply potash remains highly weather dependent and varies across the numerous growing regions within the U.S. The timing of potash sales is significantly influenced by the marketing programs of potash producers, as well as storage volumes closer to the farm gate. We also experience seasonality in potash demand, similar to domestic Trio[®]. The combination of these items results in variability in potash sales and shipments, thereby increasing

Table of Contents

volatility of sales volumes from quarter to quarter and season to season. Our sales volumes into the industrial market are correlated to drilling activity in the oil and gas market.

- Water and by-product sales. We continue to diversify our sources of income by expanding sales of water and by-products, particularly to service the oil and gas markets near our operating plants. We have a meaningful amount of water rights under which we sell water primarily for industrial uses such as in the oil and gas services industry. We have put in place a diverse set of arrangements aimed at generating a long-term recurring revenue stream from water sales. We have pre-arranged water commitments of \$15 million per year for each of the next five years. With these and other sales, we expect to generate \$20 million to \$30 million from water sales in 2018. We also expect to continue to incur minimal expenditures associated with this revenue stream.

We also produce salt, magnesium chloride and brines as by-products of our potash and Trio[®] operations. Our salt is used in a variety of markets. Magnesium chloride is typically used as a road-treatment agent. Our brines are used primarily by the oil and gas industry to support well development and completion activities. The sales of these by-products are accounted for as by-product credits to our cost of goods sold. Our by-product credits represented approximately 8% of total cost of goods sold for the nine months ended September 30, 2017.

- Debt repayments and interest rates. During the nine months ended September 30, 2017, we repaid a total of \$75 million of principal on our senior notes, decreasing the outstanding principal balance to \$60 million at September 30, 2017, from \$135 million at December 31, 2016. Of the \$75 million, \$57.2 million came from the net proceeds from an underwritten public offering in the first quarter of 2017, \$5.5 million came from the sale of an asset, and the remainder came from cash generated from operations. The interest rates that we pay under our senior notes may adjust quarterly based on our financial performance. As our financial performance improves, we pay lower interest rates under our senior notes and conversely, if our financial performance declines, we would pay higher interest rates under our senior notes.

- Weather impact. Our solar facilities experienced average to above-average evaporation rates during the 2016 evaporation season. As a result, our potash production from our solar solution facilities for the first nine months of 2017 increased compared to 2016. We are experiencing average evaporation rates during the 2017 evaporation season to-date.

Table of Contents

Results of Operations

(in thousands, except per ton amounts)	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2017	2016	2017	2016
Sales	\$32,060	\$43,643	\$124,302	\$168,760
Less:				
Freight costs	6,160	8,187	22,867	27,450
Warehousing and handling costs	2,046	2,616	7,013	7,818
Cost of goods sold	19,395	35,272	84,981	136,899
Lower-of-cost-or-market inventory adjustments	667	5,192	4,808	17,129
Costs associated with abnormal production	—	—	—	1,707
Gross Margin (Deficit)	\$3,792	\$(7,624)	\$4,633	\$(22,243)
Net Loss	\$(1,908)	\$(18,241)	\$(21,520)	\$(50,066)

Production

volume:

Potash	56	52	237	383
Langbeinite	51	85	192	200

Sales volume:

Potash	77	161	281	547
Trio®	43	25	178	108

Average Net

Realized Sales

Price per Ton¹

Potash	\$232	\$178	\$236	\$198
Trio®	\$187	\$274	\$197	\$308

¹Average net realized sales price per ton is a non-GAAP measure that we calculate as sales less freight costs then divided by sales tons. More information about this measure, including a reconciliation of this measure to the most directly comparable GAAP measure, is below under the heading "Non-GAAP Financial Measure."

Consolidated Results for the Three- and Nine-Month Periods Ended September 30, 2017, and 2016

Total sales in the third quarter and for the nine months ended September 30, 2017, decreased as compared to the same periods in 2016 due to lower sales volumes of potash, partially offset by a higher average net realized sales price for potash. In addition, total sales were impacted by a lower average net realized sales price for Trio®, due to continued price decreases and an increase in international sales, which generally carry a lower average net realized sales price. The Trio® sales decrease was partially offset by increased Trio® sales volumes.

Our total cost of goods sold decreased in the third quarter and for the nine months ended September 30, 2017, as compared to the same periods in 2016, primarily due to selling fewer potash tons after the transition of our East facility to Trio®-only production and the idling of our West facility in 2016. As a result of these changes, all of our potash production now comes from solution-mining facilities, which further positively impacted our total cost of goods sold. Additionally, increased sales of by-products, which are recorded as a credit to cost of goods sold, decreased our total cost of goods sold. We generated gross margin for the third quarter and for the nine months ended September 30, 2017, which was driven by the decrease in our cost of goods sold and higher average net realized sales price for potash, partially offset by the impacts of price declines for Trio®. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016, we incurred a gross deficit and net loss, due to higher cost of goods sold due in part to conventional mining, lower-of-cost-or-market adjustments and abnormal production costs associated with our transition of our East facility to Trio®-only production. Based on our expectations for potash and Trio® pricing for the remainder of the year, we anticipate that we will incur a net loss for the full year ending December 31, 2017.

Selling and Administrative Expense

Selling and administrative expenses for the three months ended September 30, 2017, were flat compared to the three months ended September 30, 2016. Increases in bad debt expense and stock compensation expense were offset by the effects of reduced administrative headcount.

Table of Contents

Selling and administrative expenses decreased \$1.8 million, or 11%, to \$14.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2017, from \$15.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2016. The decrease was primarily due to decreased administrative headcount, partially offset by increases in bad debt expense and stock-based compensation.

Restructuring Expense

During 2016, in response to declining potash prices, we undertook several cost saving actions that were intended to better align our cost structure with the business environment. These initiatives included workforce reductions, bonus plan curtailments, and temporary salary decreases for most employees. For the nine months ended September 30, 2016, we recorded restructuring expense of \$2.3 million related to these initiatives. During the nine months ended September 30, 2017, we recorded an additional \$0.3 million in restructuring expense as a result of our decision to reduce Trio® production based on expected demand and product inventory levels. We did not record any restructuring expense during the three months ended September 30, 2017, or September 30, 2016.

Care and Maintenance Expenses

In the third quarter of 2017, and for the nine months ended September 30, 2017, we incurred care and maintenance expenses of \$0.3 million, and \$1.4 million, respectively, related to the West facility, which was idled in July 2016. These costs included labor and related benefits, maintenance supplies, utilities, property taxes, and insurance. For the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2016, we incurred care and maintenance expenses of \$1.7 million.

Other Operating (Income) Expense

In the third quarter of 2017, we recorded net other operating income of \$1.5 million, which includes \$2.0 million for water sales, partially offset by a \$0.6 million increase in the allowance for stores inventory obsolescence. During the nine months ended September 30, 2017, we recorded net other operating income of \$0.6 million, which was comprised of \$3.4 million for water sales offset by a \$1.7 million loss on the sale of an asset, \$0.6 million for the one-time accrual related to land impact issues on or adjacent to our property in New Mexico, and the \$0.6 million increase in the allowance for stores inventory obsolescence.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2016, we recorded other operating income of \$1.8 million, primarily due to insurance proceeds received related to a December 2015 snowstorm event and an adjustment for compensating taxes.

Interest Expense

For the three months ended September 30, 2017, interest expense decreased \$1.9 million compared to the same period in 2016, as our debt balance decreased to \$66 million at the beginning of third quarter of 2017, compared to a balance of \$150 million at the beginning of the third quarter of 2016. For the nine-month period ending September 30, 2017, interest expense increased \$1.5 million compared to the same period in 2016. The increase resulted from make-whole payments made in conjunction with principal prepayments of \$75 million made during the nine months ended September 30, 2017. The increased interest expense was offset partially by lower interest due to the lower principal balances outstanding throughout the nine months ended September 30, 2017.

Interest expense is recorded net of any capitalized interest associated with investments in capital projects. Amounts included in interest expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017, and 2016, are as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2017		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2016	
Interest on Notes and credit facility commitment fees	\$1,382	\$2,688	\$6,180	\$6,429
Make-whole payments	448	806	3,001	806
Amortization of deferred financing costs	246	564	1,596	2,228
Gross interest expense	2,076	4,058	10,777	9,463
Less capitalized interest	82	153	146	329
Interest expense, net	\$1,994	\$3,905	\$10,631	\$9,134

Table of Contents

Potash Segment

(in thousands, except per ton amounts)	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2017	2016	2017	2016
Sales	\$20,711	\$35,357	\$75,745	\$128,248
Less: Freight costs	2,864	6,722	9,401	20,156
Warehousing and handling costs	1,173	2,072	4,051	6,358
Cost of goods sold	11,534	29,027	50,776	108,816
Lower-of-cost-or-market inventory adjustments	113	4,856	146	16,793
Costs associated with abnormal production	—	—	—	650
Gross Margin (Deficit)	\$5,027	\$(7,320)	\$11,371	\$(24,525)
Depreciation, depletion and amortization incurred ²	\$6,567	\$8,090	\$20,685	\$28,970
Sales volumes (in tons)	77	161	281	547
Production volumes (in tons)	56	52	237	383
Average Net Realized Sales Price per Ton ¹	\$232	\$178	\$236	\$198

¹ Average net realized per ton sales price per ton is a non-GAAP measure that we calculate as sales less freight costs then divided by sales tons. More information about this measure, including a reconciliation of this measure to the most directly comparable GAAP measure, is below under the heading "Non-GAAP Financial Measure."

² Depreciation, depletion and amortization incurred excludes depreciation, depletion and amortization amounts absorbed in or (relieved from) inventory.

Three Months Ended September 30, 2017, and 2016

Our potash sales volume in the third quarter of 2017, was 52% less than the same period of 2016, as a result of less potash available for sale due to the curtailment of potash production from our conventional East and West mines in 2016.

Our decreased sales volume was partially offset by a 30% increase in our average net realized sales price per ton. This increase in price was the result of more selective selling to higher-margin sales locations and customers as well as increases in the prevailing market price for potash. Sales into the industrial and feed markets continue to increase as a percentage of our total sales as our overall potash production decreased and we focused on selling a larger portion of our remaining production into markets with higher average net realized sales prices.

Our freight costs decreased primarily due to lower sales volumes. In addition, we continue to be more strategic about selling into areas where we have a geographic advantage, which positively impacted freight costs. Freight costs are impacted by the proportion of customers paying for their own freight, the geographic distribution of our products and the freight rates of our carriers.

Our potash cost of goods sold decreased due to decreased sales and an overall decrease in our production costs primarily resulting from ceasing to produce potash from our conventional mines and an increase in by-product sales, which are recorded as a credit to cost of goods sold.

As a result of now producing potash only from our solution mine facilities and the increased average net realized sales price per ton, we incurred minimal lower-of-cost-or market charges during the three months ended September 30, 2017. During the three months ended September 30, 2016, we recorded a lower-of-cost-or-market charge of \$4.9 million as our weighted average finished goods product inventory cost exceeded the estimated net realizable value of our finished goods product inventory.

Table of Contents

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2017, and 2016

We sold 49% less potash in the first nine months of 2017, as compared to the first nine months of 2016, due to the conversion of our East facility to Trio[®]-only in April 2016, and the idling of our West facility in July 2016. Our decreased sales volume was partially offset by a 19% increase in our average net realized sales price per ton. Sales into the higher-margin industrial and feed markets continue to increase as a percentage of our total sales as our overall potash production decreased and we focused on selling a larger portion of our remaining production into these markets.

Freight costs related to potash sales decreased in the nine months ended September 30, 2017, compared to the same period in 2016, primarily due to lower sales volumes. In addition, we continue to be more strategic about selling into areas where we have a geographic advantage, which positively impacts freight costs.

Our potash cost of goods sold decreased for the nine months ended September 30, 2017, due to decreased sales and an overall decrease in our production costs related to the curtailment of potash production from our conventional mines. Depreciation and depletion expense for potash decreased in 2017, because depreciation was accelerated on the potash assets in the first quarter of 2016, in anticipation of the transition of our East facility to Trio[®]-only production.

As a result of now producing potash only from our solution mine facilities and the increased average net realized sales price per ton, we incurred minimal lower-of-cost-or-market charges during the nine months ended September 30, 2017. During the nine months ended September 30, 2016, we recorded lower-of-cost-or-market charges of \$16.8 million as our weighted average finished goods product inventory cost exceeded the estimated net realizable value of our finished goods product inventory.

Our evaluation of production levels in the first nine months of 2017, indicated no abnormal production adjustments were necessary. However, during the first nine months of 2016, we temporarily suspended potash production at our East facility for a total of seven days as we performed a langbeinite-only testing run. As a result of the temporary suspension of production, we determined that approximately \$0.7 million of costs that would have been allocated to additional tons produced were excluded from our inventory values and instead expensed in the first quarter of 2016, as period production costs. We compare actual production relative to what we estimated could have been produced if we had not incurred the temporary production suspensions and lower operating rates in order to determine the abnormal cost estimate.

Additional Information Relating to Potash

The table below shows our potash sales mix for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017, and 2016:

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2017		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2016	
Agricultural	75%	88%	78%	90%
Industrial	12%	6%	10%	5%
Feed	13%	6%	12%	5%

Table of Contents

Trio® Segment

	Three Months		Nine Months	
	Ended September		Ended September	
	30,	2016	30,	2016
(in thousands, except per ton amounts)	2017	2016	2017	2016
Sales	\$11,349	\$8,286	\$48,557	\$40,512
Less: Freight costs	3,296	1,465	13,466	7,294
Warehousing and handling costs	873	544	2,962	1,460
Cost of goods sold	7,861	6,245	34,205	28,083
Lower-of-cost-or-market inventory adjustments	554	336	4,662	336
Costs associated with abnormal production	—	—	—	1,057
Gross Deficit	\$(1,235)	\$(304)	\$(6,738)	\$2,282
Depreciation, depletion and amortization incurred ²	\$1,687	\$597	\$5,091	\$3,150
Sales volumes (in tons)	43	25	178	108
Production volumes (in tons)	51	85	192	200
Average Net Realized Sales Price per Ton ¹	\$187	\$274	\$197	\$308

¹ Average net realized per ton sales price per ton is a non-GAAP measure that we calculate as sales less freight costs then divided by sales tons. More information about this measure, including a reconciliation of this measure to the most directly comparable GAAP measure, is below under the heading "Non-GAAP Financial Measure."

² Depreciation, depletion and amortization incurred excludes depreciation, depletion and amortization amounts absorbed in or relieved from inventory.

Three Months Ended September 30, 2017, and 2016

Total sales from Trio® increased by 37% in the three months ended September 30, 2017, as compared to the same period in 2016 primarily due to a 72% increase in sales volume offset in part by a 32% decrease in the average net realized sales price per ton. Both the increase in sales volume and the decrease in average net realized sales price resulted from increased international Trio® sales, which have lower average net realized sales prices. As noted in the table that follows, our international sales tons of Trio® increased from 5% of total tons of Trio® sold for the three months ended September 30, 2016, to approximately 29% of total tons of Trio® sold for the three months ended September 30, 2017. Domestic Trio® pricing was also impacted by price decreases announced in the second and third quarters of 2017.

Our freight costs increased due to increased sales volume compounded by the higher costs of increased international shipping.

Cost of goods sold for Trio® increased in conjunction with increased sales volumes. Our production volume decreased by 40% in the third quarter of 2017, compared to the third quarter of 2016, as a result of our decision to reduce Trio® production based on expected demand and product inventory levels.

In the three months ended September 30, 2017, we recorded a lower-of-cost-or-market charge of approximately \$0.6 million related to Trio® inventory, primarily due to price decreases throughout the past year. Our average net realized sales price per ton for the three months ended September 30, 2017, was \$187 compared to \$274 for the same period in 2016.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2017, and 2016

Total sales from Trio® for the first nine months of 2017, increased by 20% compared to the same period in 2016, primarily due to selling 65% more tons during the nine months ended September 30, 2017, than the same period in 2016. The increase in volume was partially offset by a 36% decrease in the average net realized sales price per ton for Trio®. Both the increase in sales volume and the decrease in average net realized sales price per ton resulted from increased international Trio® tons sold, which have a lower average net realized sales price per ton compared to domestic tons sold. As noted in the table below, our international sales tons of Trio® increased from 5% of total tons of Trio® sold for the nine months ended September 30, 2016, to 31% of total of total tons of Trio® sold for the nine

months ended September 30, 2017. Pricing was also impacted by price decreases announced throughout the past year. We expect Trio[®] prices to remain pressured for the remainder of 2017. Our freight costs for the first nine months of 2017, increased as compared to the same period in 2016, due to increased sales volume, compounded by the higher cost of increased international shipping.

Table of Contents

Cost of goods sold for Trio[®] increased from \$28.1 million in the first nine months of 2016, to \$34.2 million in the first nine months of 2017 attributable to the aforementioned sales volume increase.

Trio[®] production decreased slightly during the nine months ended September 30, 2017, compared to the same period in 2016. Although our productive capacity of Trio[®] increased from period to period, we have decided to reduce production based on expected demand and product inventory levels.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2017, we recorded lower-of-cost-or-market charges of \$4.7 million related to Trio[®] inventory, primarily due to price decreases throughout the past year. Our average net realized sales price per ton for the nine months ended September 30, 2017, was \$197 compared to \$308 for the same period in 2016.

In the nine months ended September 30, 2016, we recorded \$1.1 million of abnormal production costs for the period we transitioned our East facility to Trio[®]-only production, which was completed in April 2016.

Additional Information Relating to Trio[®]

The percentage of Trio[®] tons sold into the export market has increased significantly in 2017, compared to 2016, as we continue our efforts to expand our marketing reach for Trio[®].

	United States	Export
For the three months ended September 30, 2017	71%	29%
For the nine months ended September 30, 2017	69%	31%
For the three months ended September 30, 2016	95%	5%
For the nine months ended September 30, 2016	95%	5%

Specific Factors Affecting Our ResultsSales

Our gross sales are derived from the sales of potash and Trio[®] and are determined by the quantities of product we sell and the sales prices we realize. We quote prices to customers both on a delivered basis and on the basis of pick-up at our plants and warehouses. Freight costs are incurred on only a portion of our sales as many of our customers arrange and pay for their own freight directly. When we arrange and pay for freight, our quotes and billings are based on expected freight costs to the points of delivery. Although our gross sales include the freight that we bill, we do not believe that gross sales provide a representative measure of our performance in the market due to variations caused by ongoing changes in the proportion of customers paying for their own freight, the geographic distribution of our products, and freight rates. Rail freight rates have been steadily increasing, thereby negatively influencing our average net realized sales price. Additionally, international freight costs per ton are significantly higher than domestic freight costs per ton. We manage our sales and marketing operations centrally, and we work to achieve the highest average net realized sales price we can by evaluating the product needs of our customers and associated logistics and then determining which of our production facilities can best satisfy these needs.

The volume of product we sell is determined by demand for our products, our inventory storage capabilities and by our production capabilities. We generally intend to operate our facilities at production levels that approximate expected demand. By having adequate warehouse capacity, we can maintain production levels during periods of fluctuating product demand and have product inventory positioned closer to the fields in order to meet peak periods of fertilizer demand.

Cost of Goods Sold

Our cost of goods sold reflects the costs to produce our potash and Trio[®] products, less credits generated from the sale of our by-products. Many of our production costs are largely fixed, and, consequently, our cost of goods sold per ton on a facility-by-facility basis tend to move inversely with the number of tons we produce, within the context of normal production levels. Our cost of goods sold for our solar solution facilities is less than our cost of goods sold incurred previously for our conventional facilities. Our solar solution production is impacted by weather variability. Our principal production costs include labor and employee benefits, maintenance materials, contract labor, materials for operating or maintenance projects, natural gas, electricity, operating supplies, chemicals, depreciation and depletion, royalties, and leasing costs. A smaller component of our cost base includes variable costs associated with contract labor, consumable operating supplies, reagents, and royalties. Our periodic production costs and costs of goods sold

will not necessarily match one another from period to period based on the fluctuation of inventory, sales, production levels at our facilities, and sales of by-products.

Our production costs are also impacted when our production levels change, due to factors such as changes in the grade of ore mined, levels of Trio[®] mine development, plant operating performance, downtime, and periodic maintenance turnarounds. We expect that our labor and contract labor costs in Carlsbad, New Mexico, will continue to be influenced most

Table of Contents

directly by the demand for labor in the local Carlsbad, New Mexico, region where we compete for labor with the oil and gas, mining, and nuclear waste storage industries. The specific grade, volume, and characterization of the ore that was mined at any particular time was subject to fluctuations due to the nature of the mineral deposits and influenced the tons of potash and langbeinite ultimately produced from the facility, which affected our production costs per ton for both products and affected our quarter-to-quarter results. With the conversion of our East facility to a Trio[®]-only facility in April 2016, we have simplified the operation at East which has lowered the overall cost structure for our East operations.

We pay royalties to federal, state, and private lessors under our mineral leases. These payments typically equal a percentage of sales, after subtracting freight costs, of minerals extracted and sold under the applicable lease. In some cases, federal royalties for potash are paid on a sliding scale that varies with the grade of ore extracted. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017, our average royalty rate was 4.6% and 4.5%, respectively. For both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016, our average royalty rate was 4.0%.

Income Taxes

We are subject to federal and state income taxes on our taxable income. Our effective tax rate for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017, was 6% and 1%, respectively. Our effective tax rate for both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016, was zero. Our effective tax rates were impacted primarily by a valuation allowance placed on the additional deferred tax assets related to our net operating losses recorded during the respective periods.

Our federal and state income tax returns are subject to examination by federal and state tax authorities.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017, we recognized an immaterial income tax benefit.

We evaluate our deferred tax assets and liabilities each reporting period using the enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the periods in which the deferred tax liability or asset is expected to be settled or realized. The estimated statutory income tax rates that are applied to our current and deferred income tax calculations are impacted most significantly by the states in which we conduct business. Changing business conditions for normal business transactions and operations, as well as changes to state tax rate and apportionment laws, potentially alter our apportionment of income among the states for income tax purposes. These changes in apportionment laws result in changes in the calculation of our current and deferred income taxes, including the valuation of our deferred tax assets and liabilities. The effects of any such changes are recorded in the period of the adjustment. These adjustments can increase or decrease the net deferred tax asset on the balance sheet. However, any resulting impact to the deferred tax benefit or deferred tax expense would be offset by a corresponding adjustment to the valuation allowance and would have no income statement effect.

Capital Investments

During the first nine months of 2017, cash paid for property, plant and equipment was \$6.2 million. We expect total capital investment for 2017 to be approximately \$13 million to \$17 million. The majority of our capital investment in 2017 is expected to be sustaining capital. We anticipate our remaining 2017 operating plans and capital programs will be funded out of operating cash flows, existing cash, and/or our credit facility.

We hold permits, governmental approvals, and leases necessary for the continued operations at each of our facilities. A decision by a governmental agency or lessor to deny or delay a new or renewed permit, approval, or lease, or to revoke or substantially modify an existing permit, approval, or lease, could prevent or limit us from continuing our operations at the affected facility. In addition, we could be required to expend significant amounts to obtain these permits, approvals, or leases, or we could be required to make significant capital investments to modify or suspend our operations at one or more of our facilities.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

As of September 30, 2017, we had cash of \$1.7 million, compared with cash of \$4.5 million at December 31, 2016. In March 2017, we issued 50.1 million shares of common stock in an underwritten public offering for net proceeds of \$57.2 million, which was used to partially repay indebtedness. During the three months ended September 30, 2017, we also issued 0.5 million shares of common stock under our at-the-market offering program for net proceeds of \$1.9

million, which was used for general corporate purposes.

Our operations have primarily been funded from cash on hand and cash generated by operations. We continue to monitor our future sources and uses of cash, and anticipate that we will adjust our capital allocation strategies when, and if, determined by our Board of Directors. We expect to continue to look for opportunities to improve our capital structure by reducing current debt and its related interest expense. We may, at any time we deem conditions favorable, also attempt to improve our liquidity position by accessing debt or equity markets, including through our at-the-market offering program, in

Table of Contents

accordance with our existing debt agreements. We cannot provide any assurance that we will pursue any of these transactions or that we will be successful in completing them on acceptable terms or at all. With the availability under our credit facility with the Bank of Montreal and future cash generated from operations, we believe that we have sufficient liquidity for the next twelve months.

The following summarizes our cash flow activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2017, and 2016 (in thousands):

	Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,	
	2017	2016
Cash flows provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ 14,058	\$(13,554)
Cash flows (used in) provided by investing activities	\$(672)	\$31,241
Cash flows used in financing activities	\$ 16,156	\$4,015

Operating Activities

Total cash provided by operating activities through September 30, 2017, was \$14.1 million, an increase of \$27.6 million compared with the first nine months of 2016. The increase was driven by improved production costs as a result of curtailing conventional mining in 2016, improved net realizable sales price for potash and decreased selling and administrative expense in the first nine months of 2017, compared to the same period in 2016.

Investing Activities

Total cash provided by investing activities decreased by \$31.9 million in the first nine months of 2017, compared with the same nine-month period in 2016, as a result of liquidation of investments in 2016, partially offset by lower capital investment activity in the first nine months of 2017.

Financing Activities

Total cash used in financing activities increased by \$12.1 million due to principal payments on our senior notes of \$75 million during the first nine months of 2017, partially offset by the aforementioned equity issuances and a reduction in payments of deferred financing costs.

Senior Notes

As of September 30, 2017, we had outstanding \$60 million of senior notes (the "Notes") consisting of the following series:

- \$24 million of Senior Notes, Series A, due April 16, 2020
- \$18 million of Senior Notes, Series B, due April 14, 2023
- \$18 million of Senior Notes, Series C, due April 16, 2025

We originally issued \$150 million of the Notes in 2013. Since the beginning of the fourth quarter of 2016, we have repaid an aggregate principal amount of \$90 million of the Notes, including \$6 million paid in the three months ended September 30, 2017, and a total of \$75 million paid in the nine months ended September 30, 2017.

In June 2017, we entered into an amendment with the holders of the Notes that requires us to prepay an additional \$10 million of the Notes, together with accrued interest and a make-whole amount, on or before December 31, 2018, of which none had been paid as of September 30, 2017. The June 2017 amendment also altered the methodology for determining the variable interest rate for the Notes, though the interest rates will continue to be based on our financial performance as measured by certain financial covenant levels, and modified certain terms regarding the mandatory redemptions or offers of prepayment to the holders of the Notes.

The agreement governing the Notes provides for the following:

- We granted to the collateral agent for the noteholders a first lien on substantially all of our non-current assets and second lien on substantially all of our current assets.

The agreement requires us to maintain a minimum trailing twelve-month adjusted EBITDA, which adjusts over time and is measured quarterly through March 2018, ranging from negative \$10 million in the period ended September 30, 2017, to negative \$7.5 million in the period ending March 31, 2018. Adjusted EBITDA is a non-GAAP measure that is calculated as adjusted earnings before interest, income taxes, depreciation, amortization, and certain other expenses for the prior four quarters, as defined under the agreement. Our adjusted EBITDA as

Table of Contents

calculated under the agreement was \$19.4 million for the four quarters ended September 30, 2017, satisfying the adjusted EBITDA covenant.

The agreement requires us to maintain minimum liquidity of \$15 million as of the last day of any month ending on or prior to March 31, 2018, and monthly thereafter if we do not meet certain financial covenants. Liquidity is calculated as unencumbered cash and unused availability under our credit facility. Our liquidity as calculated under the agreement was \$24.2 million as of September 30, 2017, satisfying the liquidity covenant.

The agreement requires us to maintain a minimum fixed charge coverage amount of negative \$15 million and negative \$10 million for the quarters ending June 30, 2018, and September 30, 2018, respectively. The agreement also includes requirements relating to a leverage ratio and a fixed charge coverage ratio to be tested on a quarterly basis commencing with the quarter ending June 30, 2018, with respect to the leverage ratio, and December 31, 2018, with respect to the fixed charge coverage ratio. The maximum leverage ratio will be 11.5 to 1.0 for the quarter ending June 30, 2018, and decreases to 3.5 to 1.0 for the quarter ending September 30, 2019, and each quarter thereafter. The minimum fixed charge coverage ratio will be 0.25 to 1.0 for the quarter ending December 31, 2018, and increases to 1.3 to 1.0 for the quarter ending September 30, 2019, and each quarter thereafter. In general, our fixed charge coverage amount is calculated as adjusted EBITDA for the prior four quarters, minus capital expenditures, cash paid for income taxes, and interest expense plus scheduled principal amortization of long-term funded indebtedness; our leverage ratio is calculated as the ratio of funded indebtedness to adjusted EBITDA for the prior four quarters; and our fixed charge coverage ratio is calculated as the ratio of adjusted EBITDA for the prior four quarters, minus capital expenditures and cash paid for income taxes, to interest expense plus scheduled principal amortization of long-term funded indebtedness.

The interest rates on the Notes may adjust quarterly based on our leverage ratio and fixed charge coverage ratio. As our financial position improves as measured by these ratios, we pay lower interest rates under the Notes. If our leverage ratio is less than 3.5 to 1.0 and our fixed charge coverage ratio is greater than 1.3 to 1.0, we pay the lowest interest rates available under the Notes. Conversely, if our leverage ratio is greater than or equal to 7.5 to 1.0 or our fixed charge coverage ratio is less than or equal to 1.3 to 1.0, we pay the highest interest rates required under the Notes. During the quarter ended September 30, 2017, the interest rates on the Notes were 7.73% for the Series A Notes, 8.63% for the Series B Notes and 8.78% for the Series C Notes. Beginning November 1, 2017, the interest rates on the Notes will be reduced to 3.73% for the Series A Notes, 4.63% for the Series B Notes and 4.78% for the Series C Notes. These rates represent the lowest interest rates available under the Notes.

We are required to offer to prepay the Notes with the proceeds of dispositions of certain specified property and with the proceeds of certain equity issuances, as set forth in the agreement.

The obligations under the Notes are unconditionally guaranteed by several of our subsidiaries.

We were in compliance with the applicable covenants under the agreement governing the Notes as of September 30, 2017.

Our outstanding long-term debt, net, as of September 30, 2017, and December 31, 2016, is as follows (in thousands):

	September 30, December 31,	
	2017	2016
Senior Notes	\$ 60,000	\$ 135,000
Less deferred financing costs (596)	(596)	(1,566)
Long-term debt, net	\$ 59,404	\$ 133,434

Credit Facility

In October 2016, we entered into a credit agreement with Bank of Montreal that provides an asset-based revolving credit facility of up to \$35 million in aggregate principal amount.

In June 2017, we amended the agreement to extend the maturity date to October 31, 2019, and to permit up to \$10 million of borrowings under the agreement to be used to make payments on the Notes.

Table of Contents

The amount of the facility remains at \$35 million and is available subject to monthly borrowing base limits based on our inventory and receivables. If our total remaining availability under the credit facility was to fall below \$6 million, we would be subject to a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio of 1 to 1, which we would not currently meet. Any borrowings on the credit facility bear interest at 1.75% to 2.25% above LIBOR (London Interbank Offered Rate), based on average availability under the credit facility. We have granted to Bank of Montreal a first lien on substantially all of our current assets and a second lien on substantially all of our non-current assets. We occasionally borrow and repay amounts under the facility for near-term working capital needs and may do so in the future. For the nine months ended September 30, 2017, we borrowed and repaid \$9.0 million under the facility. As of September 30, 2017, there were no amounts outstanding under the facility, other than \$3.5 million in letters of credit. Considering the outstanding letters of credit and the fixed charge coverage ratio requirement described above, we have \$22.5 million available under the facility as of September 30, 2017. We were in compliance with the applicable covenants under the facility as of September 30, 2017.

At-the-Market Offering Program

In May 2017, we commenced an at-the-market offering program, which gives us the capacity to issue up to \$40 million of our common stock. In September 2017, we issued 539,110 shares of common stock under our at-the-market offering program for gross proceeds of \$2.2 million. We paid sales commissions to our sales agent of \$0.1 million and incurred other offering related expenses of \$0.2 million. Net proceeds of \$1.9 million were used for general corporate purposes. After taking into account the gross proceeds received under the at-the-market offering program in September 2017, we have remaining capacity to issue up to \$37.8 million of additional shares of common stock under the program.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of September 30, 2017, we had no off-balance sheet arrangements aside from the operating leases and bonding obligations described in the accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016, describes the critical accounting policies that affect our more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements. There have been no significant changes to our critical accounting policies since December 31, 2016.

Pronouncements Issued But Not Yet Adopted

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-09, as amended by ASU No. 2016-12, "Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606)," which requires revenue to be recognized based on the amount an entity is expected to be entitled to for promised goods or services provided to customers. The standard also requires expanded disclosures regarding contracts with customers. The guidance in this standard supersedes the revenue recognition requirements in Topic 605, "Revenue Recognition," and most industry-specific guidance. This guidance is effective for us beginning January 1, 2018.

We are continuing to evaluate the effect that ASU No. 2014-09 will have on our Consolidated Financial Statements and related disclosures, as well as the expected method of adoption. Our revenue is primarily generated from the sale of Potash and Trio[®] to customers. These sales predominantly contain a single delivery element and revenue is recognized at a single point in time when ownership, risk and rewards transfer to the buyer. As these sales are largely not impacted by the new standard, we do not expect the new guidance to have a material impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-02, "Leases (Topic 842)," which requires, among other things, lessees to recognize lease assets and liabilities on their balance sheets for those leases classified as operating leases under previous generally accepted accounting principles. These assets and liabilities must be recorded generally at the present value of the contracted lease payments, and the cost of the lease must be allocated over the lease term on a straight-line basis. This guidance is effective for us for annual and interim periods in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, with a modified retrospective transition method mandated. As our mineral leases are exempt from the new standard and our current operating leases are generally less than twelve months in term, we do not believe the adoption of this new standard will have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In August 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-15, "Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230)" which is intended to clarify and align how certain cash receipts and cash payments are presented and classified in the statement of cash flows where there is currently diversity in practice. ASU No. 2016-15 specifically addresses eight classification issues within the statement of cash flows including debt prepayments or debt extinguishment costs; proceeds from the settlement of insurance claims; and separately identifiable cash flows and application of the predominance principle. ASU No. 2016-15 is effective

Table of Contents

for annual and interim periods in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017. We do not expect the adoption of this guidance to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In October 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-16, "Income Taxes (Topic 740)" which requires entities to recognize at the transaction date the income tax consequences of intercompany asset transfers other than inventory. ASU No. 2016-16 is effective for annual and interim periods in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017. We do not expect the adoption of this guidance to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In November 2016, the FASB issued ASU Updated 2016-18, "Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230): Restricted Cash" which will require entities to show the changes in the total of cash, cash equivalents, restricted cash and restricted cash equivalents in the statement of cash flows and will no longer require transfers between cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash and restricted cash equivalents in the statement of cash flows. This standard is effective for us in annual and interim periods in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017. We do not expect the adoption of this guidance to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Non-GAAP Financial Measure

To supplement our consolidated financial statements, which are prepared and presented in accordance with GAAP, from time to time we use average net realized sales price per ton, a non-GAAP financial measure to monitor, and evaluate our performance. This non-GAAP financial measure should not be considered in isolation, as a substitute for, or superior to, the financial information prepared and presented in accordance with GAAP. In addition, because the presentation of this non-GAAP financial measure varies among companies, our non-GAAP financial measure may not be comparable to similarly titled measures used by other companies.

We believe this non-GAAP financial measure provides useful information to investors for analysis of our business.

We use this non-GAAP financial measure as one of our tools in comparing performance period over period on a consistent basis and when planning, forecasting, and analyzing future periods. We believe this non-GAAP financial measure is used by professional research analysts and others in the valuation, comparison, and investment recommendations of companies in the potash mining industry. Many investors use the published research reports of these professional research analysts and others in making investment decisions.

Below is additional information about the non-GAAP financial measure used in this filing, including a reconciliation of this non-GAAP financial measure to the most directly comparable GAAP measure, for the periods presented.

Table of Contents

Average Net Realized Sales Price per Ton

Average net realized sales price per ton is calculated as sales, less freight costs, divided by the number of tons sold in the period. We consider average net realized sales price per ton to be useful because it shows average per-ton pricing without the effect of certain transportation and delivery costs. When we arrange transportation and delivery for a customer, we include in revenue and in freight costs the costs associated with transportation and delivery. However, many of our customers arrange for and pay their own transportation and delivery costs, in which case these costs are not included in our revenue and freight costs. We use average net realized sales price per ton as a key performance indicator to analyze sales and price trends.

(in thousands, except per ton amounts)	Three Months Ended September 30,					
	2017			2016		
	Potash	Trio®	Total	Potash	Trio®	Total
Sales	\$20,711	\$11,349	\$32,060	\$35,357	\$8,286	\$43,643
Freight costs	2,864	3,296	6,160	6,722	1,465	8,187
Subtotal	\$17,847	\$8,053	\$25,900	\$28,635	\$6,821	\$35,456
Divided by:						
Tons sold	77	43		161	25	
Average net realized sales price per ton	\$232	\$187		\$178	\$274	

(in thousands, except per ton amounts)	Nine Months Ended September 30,					
	2017			2016		
	Potash	Trio®	Total	Potash	Trio®	Total
Sales	\$75,745	\$48,557	\$124,302	\$128,248	\$40,512	\$168,760
Freight costs	9,401	13,466	22,867	20,156	7,294	27,450
Subtotal	\$66,344	\$35,091	\$101,435	\$108,092	\$33,218	\$141,310
Divided by:						
Tons sold	281	178		547	108	
Average net realized sales price per ton	\$236	\$197		\$198	\$308	

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Part II, Item 7A., "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk," of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016, describes our exposure to market risk. There have been no significant changes to our market risk exposure since December 31, 2016.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain "disclosure controls and procedures," as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act. Our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms. Our disclosure controls and procedures are also designed to ensure that this information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of September 30, 2017. Based on this evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of September 30, 2017, at the reasonable assurance level.

Table of Contents

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the three months ended September 30, 2017, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Inherent Limitations on Effectiveness of Controls

Our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, do not expect that our disclosure controls or our internal control over financial reporting will prevent all errors and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within Intrepid have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of a simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the controls. The design of any system of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

Table of Contents

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

In February 2015, Mosaic Potash Carlsbad Inc. ("Mosaic") filed a complaint and application for preliminary injunction and permanent injunction against Steve Gamble and us in the Fifth Judicial District Court for the County of Eddy in the State of New Mexico. Mr. Gamble is a former Intrepid employee and former Mosaic employee. The complaint alleges against us violations of the Uniform Trade Secrets Act and tortious interference with contract relating to alleged misappropriation of Mosaic's trade secrets. Mosaic seeks monetary relief of an unspecified amount, including damages for actual loss and unjust enrichment, exemplary damages, attorneys' fees, and injunctive relief and has alleged that it has spent hundreds of millions of dollars to research and develop its alleged trade secrets. In August 2015, the court denied Mosaic's application for preliminary injunction. The lawsuit is currently progressing through discovery. We are vigorously defending against the lawsuit.

In July 2016, Mosaic filed a complaint against Steve Gamble and us in US District Court for the District of New Mexico. The complaint alleges violations of the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act, conversion, and civil conspiracy relating to alleged misappropriation of Mosaic's confidential information. Mosaic seeks injunctive relief and compensatory and punitive damages of an unspecified amount. We are vigorously defending against the lawsuit. We are subject to other claims and legal actions in the ordinary course of business. While there are uncertainties in predicting the outcome of any claim or legal action, we believe that the ultimate resolution of these other claims or actions is not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Our future performance is subject to a variety of risks and uncertainties that could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations, and the trading price of our common stock. These risks and uncertainties are described in Part I, Item 1A. "Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016. There have been no material changes to these risks and uncertainties, except as disclosed in our subsequently filed Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and as disclosed below:

We may not be successful in our efforts to expand water sales due to changes in the demand for water in the areas around our facilities, challenges to our water rights, or other events, which could adversely impact our financial condition and results of operations.

We have water rights in New Mexico and Utah under which we sell water primarily for industrial uses such as in the oil and gas services industry. We continue to work to expand sales of water, especially to support oil and gas development in the Permian Basin near our New Mexico facilities. We may not be successful in these efforts. If oil or gas prices decline or if oil and gas development in the Permian Basin decreases for other reasons, the demand for water under our water rights could be adversely affected. In some cases, sales of water require governmental permits or approvals. A decision to deny, delay, revoke, or modify a permit or approval could prevent us from selling water or increase the cost to provide water. Third parties may also challenge our water rights or sales. In addition, although we currently expect to incur minimal expenditures associated with water sales, we could be required to expend capital to meet customer needs. Any of these events could adversely impact our financial condition and results of operations.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

None

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

We are committed to providing a safe and healthy work environment. The objectives of our safety programs are to eliminate workplace accidents and incidents, to preserve employee health, and to comply with safety- and health-based regulations. We seek to achieve these objectives by training employees in safe work practices; establishing, following, and improving safety standards; involving employees in safety processes; openly

communicating with employees about safety

34

Table of Contents

matters; and recording, reporting, and investigating accidents, incidents, and losses to avoid recurrence. As part of our ongoing safety programs, we collaborate with regulators to identify and implement new accident prevention techniques and practices.

Our East, West and North facilities in New Mexico are subject to regulation by the Mine Safety and Health Administration ("MSHA") under the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977 (the "Mine Act") and the New Mexico Bureau of Mine Safety. MSHA inspects these facilities on a regular basis and issues various citations and orders when it believes a violation has occurred under the Mine Act. Exhibit 95.1 to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q provides the information concerning mine safety violations and other regulatory matters required by Section 1503(a) of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act and Item 104 of Regulation S-K. Our Utah facilities and our HB solar solution mine are subject to regulation by the Occupational Health and Safety Administration and, therefore, are not required to be included in the information provided in Exhibit 95.1.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibit No.	Description
<u>10.1</u>	Consulting Services Agreement, dated August 2, 2017, by and between Intrepid Potash, Inc. and John G. Mansanti.*+
<u>31.1</u>	Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a), as amended.*
<u>31.2</u>	Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a), as amended.*
<u>32.1</u>	Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.**
<u>32.2</u>	Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.**
<u>95.1</u>	Mine Safety Disclosure Exhibit.*
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document.*
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema.*
101.CAL	XBRL Extension Calculation Linkbase.*
101.LAB	XBRL Extension Label Linkbase.*
101.PRE	XBRL Extension Presentation Linkbase.*
101.DEF	XBRL Extension Definition Linkbase.*
	* Filed herewith.
	** Furnished herewith.
	+ Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

INTREPID POTASH, INC.
(Registrant)

Dated: October 30, /s/ Robert P. Jornayvaz III
2017

Robert P. Jornayvaz III - Executive Chairman of the Board, President, and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer and Duly Authorized Officer)

Dated: October 30, /s/ Joseph G. Montoya
2017

Joseph G. Montoya - Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer (Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)