

ABERDEEN AUSTRALIA EQUITY FUND INC
 Form 3
 July 30, 2015

FORM 3 UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

OMB APPROVAL
 OMB Number: 3235-0104
 Expires: January 31, 2005
 Estimated average burden hours per response... 0.5

INITIAL STATEMENT OF BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF SECURITIES

Filed pursuant to Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934,
 Section 17(a) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 or Section
 30(h) of the Investment Company Act of 1940

(Print or Type Responses)

1. Name and Address of Reporting Person *		2. Date of Event Requiring Statement	3. Issuer Name and Ticker or Trading Symbol	
Â James Stuart		(Month/Day/Year)	ABERDEEN AUSTRALIA EQUITY FUND INC [IAF]	
(Last)	(First)	(Middle)	07/01/2013	
1735 MARKET STREET, Â 32ND FLOOR			4. Relationship of Reporting Person(s) to Issuer	5. If Amendment, Date Original Filed(Month/Day/Year)
(Street)			(Check all applicable)	
PHILADELPHIA, Â PA Â 19103			<input type="checkbox"/> Director	<input type="checkbox"/> 10% Owner
(City)	(State)	(Zip)	<input type="checkbox"/> Officer	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other
			(give title below)	(specify below)
			Director of Investment Adviser	6. Individual or Joint/Group Filing(Check Applicable Line)
				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Form filed by One Reporting Person
				<input type="checkbox"/> Form filed by More than One Reporting Person

Table I - Non-Derivative Securities Beneficially Owned

1. Title of Security (Instr. 4)	2. Amount of Securities Beneficially Owned (Instr. 4)	3. Ownership Form: Direct (D) or Indirect (I) (Instr. 5)	4. Nature of Indirect Beneficial Ownership (Instr. 5)
------------------------------------	--	---	--

Reminder: Report on a separate line for each class of securities beneficially owned directly or indirectly.

SEC 1473 (7-02)

Persons who respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Table II - Derivative Securities Beneficially Owned (e.g., puts, calls, warrants, options, convertible securities)

1. Title of Derivative Security (Instr. 4)	2. Date Exercisable and Expiration Date (Month/Day/Year)	3. Title and Amount of Securities Underlying Derivative Security (Instr. 4)	4. Conversion or Exercise Price of Derivative Security	5. Ownership Form of Derivative Security: Direct (D) or Indirect (I)	6. Nature of Indirect Beneficial Ownership (Instr. 5)
	Date Exercisable	Expiration Date	Title	Amount or Number of Shares	

Reporting Owners

Reporting Owner Name / Address	Relationships			
	Director	10% Owner	Officer	Other
James Stuart 1735 MARKET STREET 32ND FLOOR PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103	^	^	^	Director of Investment Adviser

Signatures

Lucia Sitar as attorney in fact for Stuart
James 07/30/2015

__Signature of Reporting Person

Date

Explanation of Responses:

No securities are beneficially owned

* If the form is filed by more than one reporting person, *see* Instruction 5(b)(v).

** Intentional misstatements or omissions of facts constitute Federal Criminal Violations. *See* 18 U.S.C. 1001 and 15 U.S.C. 78ff(a).

Note: File three copies of this Form, one of which must be manually signed. If space is insufficient, *See* Instruction 6 for procedure.

Potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB number. ZE: 10pt">-

Estimated losses, ending balance

\$412 \$256

SOUND FINANCIAL BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
Selected Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

As of September 30, 2012, our securities portfolio consisted of fourteen U.S. agency and five non-U.S. agency mortgage backed securities with a fair value of \$20.9 million, of which all five non-U.S. agency securities and nine U.S. agency securities were in an unrealized loss position. The unrealized losses were primarily caused by changes in interest rates and a lack of market liquidity causing a decline in the fair value subsequent to the purchase. The contractual terms of these investments do not permit the issuer to settle the securities at a price less than par. While management does not intend to sell the securities, and it is unlikely that the Company will be required to sell these securities before recovery of its amortized cost basis, management's impairment evaluation indicates that certain securities possess qualitative and quantitative factors that suggest an other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI"). These factors include, but are not limited to: the length of time and extent of the fair value declines, ratings agency down grades, the potential for an increased level of actual defaults, and the extension in duration of the securities. In addition to the qualitative factors, management's evaluation includes an assessment of quantitative evidence that involves the use of cash flow modeling and present value calculations as determined by considering the applicable OTTI accounting guidance. The Company compares the present value of the current estimated cash flows to the present value of the previously estimated cash flows. Accordingly, if the present value of the current estimated cash flows is less than the present value of the previous period's present value, an adverse change is considered to exist and the security is considered OTTI. The associated "credit loss" is the amount by which the security's amortized cost exceeds the present value of the current estimated cash flows. Based upon the results of the cash flow modeling as of September 30, 2012, four securities reflected OTTI during the nine month period ended September 30, 2012. Estimating the expected cash flows and determining the present values of the cash flows involves the use of a variety of assumptions and complex modeling. In developing its assumptions, the Company considers all available information relevant to the collectability of the applicable security, including information about past events, current conditions, and reasonable and supportable forecasts. Furthermore, the Company asserts that the cash flows used in the determination of OTTI are its "best estimate" of cash flows.

The Company engages a third party to assist management with modeling cash flows. The model includes each individual non-agency mortgage backed securities' structural features. The modeled cash flows are discounted and they incorporate additional projected defaults based upon risk analysis of the financial condition and performance. Utilizing the quantitative change in the net present value of the cash flows compared to the amortized cost of the security, the Company recognized additional credit losses of \$156,000 in non-cash pre-tax impairment charges for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. Cumulative at September 30, 2012, the Company has recognized a total of \$412,000 of OTTI on four of the five non-agency mortgage backed securities.

SOUND FINANCIAL BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
Selected Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

Note 5 – Loans

The composition of the loan portfolio, including loans held for sale, at the dates indicated is as follows:

	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
	(in thousands)	
Real Estate Loans:		
One-to four- family	\$94,341	\$96,305
Home equity	35,883	39,656
Commercial and multifamily	119,938	106,016
Construction and land	20,694	17,805
Total real estate loans	270,856	259,782
Consumer loans:		
Manufactured homes	17,010	18,444
Other consumer	9,085	10,920
Total consumer loans	26,095	29,364
Commercial business loans	14,761	13,163
Total loans	311,712	302,309
Deferred fees	(625)	(406)
Loans held for sale	(2,089)	(1,807)
Total loans, gross	308,998	300,096
Allowance for loan losses	(4,333)	(4,455)
Total loans, net	\$304,665	\$295,641

SOUND FINANCIAL BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
Selected Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

The following table presents the balance in the allowance for loan losses and the recorded investment in loans by portfolio segment and based on impairment method as of September 30, 2012:

	One- to four- family	Home equity	Commercial and multifamily	Construction and land	Manufactured homes	Other consumer	Commercial business	Unallocated	Total
(In thousands)									
Allowance for loan losses:									
Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 837	\$ 280	\$ 74	\$ 25	\$ 120	\$ 41	\$ 153	\$ -	\$ 1,530
Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	938	786	566	135	152	133	82	11	2,803
Ending balance	\$ 1,775	\$ 1,066	\$ 640	\$ 160	\$ 272	\$ 174	\$ 235	\$ 11	\$ 4,333
Loans receivable:									
Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 6,766	\$ 1,794	\$ 2,148	\$ 653	\$ 663	\$ 97	\$ 1,236	\$ -	\$ 13,357
Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	87,575	34,089	117,790	20,041	16,347	8,988	13,525	-	298,355
Ending balance	\$ 94,341	\$ 35,883	\$ 119,938	\$ 20,694	\$ 17,010	\$ 9,085	\$ 14,761	\$ -	\$ 311,712

SOUND FINANCIAL BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
Selected Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

The following table presents the balance in the allowance for loan losses and the recorded investment in loans by portfolio segment and based on impairment method as of December 31, 2011:

	One- to four- family	Home equity	Commercial and multifamily	Commercial Construction and land	Manufactured homes	Other consumer	Commercial business	Unallocated	Total
	(In thousands)								
Allowance for loan losses:									
Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment	\$541	\$447	\$ 38	\$ 37	\$ 11	\$48	\$ 132	\$ -	\$1,254
Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	576	979	931	68	279	165	122	81	3,201
Ending balance	\$1,117	\$1,426	\$ 969	\$ 105	\$ 290	\$ 213	\$ 254	\$ 81	\$4,455
Loans receivable:									
Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment	\$8,260	\$1,784	\$ 2,003	\$ 902	\$ 122	\$ 101	\$ 447	\$ -	\$13,619
Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	88,045	37,872	104,013	16,903	18,322	10,819	12,716	-	288,690

Explanation of Responses:

Edgar Filing: ABERDEEN AUSTRALIA EQUITY FUND INC - Form 3

Ending balance	\$96,305	\$39,656	\$ 106,016	\$ 17,805	\$ 18,444	\$ 10,920	\$ 13,163	\$ -	\$302,309
-------------------	----------	----------	------------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	------	-----------

SOUND FINANCIAL BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
Selected Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

The following table summarizes the activity in loan losses for the three months ended September 30, 2012:

	Beginning Allowance	Charge-offs	Recoveries (in thousands)	Provision	Ending Allowance
One-to four- family	\$1,676	\$(609)	\$-	\$708	\$1,775
Home equity	1,212	(216)	-	70	1,066
Commercial and multifamily	647	-	-	(7)	640
Construction and land	181	(162)	-	141	160
Manufactured homes	336	(46)	-	(18)	272
Other consumer	173	(126)	6	121	174
Commercial business	215	(38)	-	58	235
Unallocated	9	-	-	2	11
	\$4,449	\$(1,197)	\$6	\$1,075	\$4,333

The following table summarizes the activity in loan losses for the nine months ended September 30, 2012:

	Beginning Allowance	Charge-offs	Recoveries (in thousands)	Provision	Ending Allowance
One-to four- family	\$1,117	\$(2,008)	\$4	\$2,662	\$1,775
Home equity	1,426	(951)	132	459	1,066
Commercial and multifamily	969	(503)	83	91	640
Construction and land	105	(203)	-	258	160
Manufactured homes	290	(106)	-	88	272
Other consumer	213	(232)	22	171	174
Commercial business	254	(45)	10	16	235
Unallocated	81	-	-	(70)	11
	\$4,455	\$(4,048)	\$251	\$3,675	\$4,333

The following table summarizes the activity in loan losses for the three months ended September 30, 2011:

	Beginning Allowance	Charge-offs	Recoveries (in thousands)	Provision	Ending Allowance
One-to four- family	\$827	\$(261)	\$-	\$407	\$973
Home equity	1,605	(352)	1	(211)	1,043
Commercial and multifamily	1,213	(807)	16	667	1,089
Manufactured	273	(82)	-	148	339
Other consumer	208	(37)	8	25	204
Commercial business	172	(180)	38	191	221
Unallocated	65	-	-	73	138
	\$4,363	\$(1,719)	\$63	\$1,300	\$4,007

Explanation of Responses:

SOUND FINANCIAL BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
Selected Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

The following table summarizes the activity in loan losses for the nine months ended September 30, 2011:

	Beginning Allowance	Charge-offs	Recoveries (in thousands)	Provision	Ending Allowance
One-to four- family	\$909	\$(794)	\$12	\$846	\$973
Home equity	1,480	(1,144)	7	700	1,043
Commercial and multifamily	664	(1,311)	34	1,702	1,089
Manufactured	294	(283)	-	328	339
Other consumer	309	(199)	48	46	204
Commercial business	163	(188)	39	207	221
Unallocated	617	-	-	(479)	138
	\$4,436	\$(3,919)	\$140	\$3,350	\$4,007

Credit Quality Indicators. Federal regulations provide for the classification of lower quality loans and other assets, such as debt and equity securities, as substandard, doubtful or loss. An asset is considered substandard if it is inadequately protected by the current net worth and payment capacity of the borrower or of any collateral pledged. Substandard assets include those characterized by the distinct possibility that we will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. Assets classified as doubtful have all the weaknesses of currently existing facts, conditions and values. Assets classified as loss are those considered uncollectible and of such little value that their continuance as assets without establishment of a specific loss reserve is not warranted.

When we classify problem assets as either substandard or doubtful, we may establish a specific allowance in an amount we deem prudent to address the risk specifically or we may allow the loss to be addressed in the general allowance. General allowances represent loss reserves which have been established to recognize the inherent risk associated with lending activities, but which, unlike specific allowances, have not been specifically allocated to particular problem assets. When an insured institution classifies problem assets as a loss, it is required to charge off such assets in the period in which they are deemed uncollectible. Assets that do not currently expose us to sufficient risk to warrant classification as substandard or doubtful but possess identified weaknesses are required to be classified as either watch or special mention assets. Our determination as to the classification of our assets and the amount of our valuation allowances and related provision for loan losses is subject to review by the OCC, which can order the establishment of additional loss allowances.

Early indicator loan grades are used to identify and track potential problem loans which do not rise to the levels described for substandard, doubtful or loss. The grades for watch and special mention are assigned to loans which have been criticized based upon known characteristics such as periodic payment delinquency or stale financial information from the borrower and/or guarantors. Loans identified as criticized (watch and special mention) or classified (substandard, doubtful or loss) are subject to monthly problem loan reporting.

SOUND FINANCIAL BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
Selected Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

The following table represents the internally assigned grades as of September 30, 2012 by type of loan:

Grade:	One-to four- family	Home equity	Commercial and multifamily	Construction and land	Manufactured homes	Other consumer	Commercial Business	Total
	(in thousands)							
Pass	\$76,595	\$28,687	\$ 113,700	\$ 19,366	\$ 14,656	\$ 8,186	\$ 11,342	\$272,532
Watch	14,536	5,791	4,271	778	2,331	849	2,819	31,375
Special Mention	493	502	598	-	-	-	-	1,593
Substandard	2,717	903	1,369	550	23	50	600	6,212
Doubtful	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Loss	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	\$94,341	\$35,883	\$ 119,938	\$ 20,694	\$ 17,010	\$ 9,085	\$ 14,761	\$311,712

The following table represents the internally assigned grades as of December 31, 2011 by type of loan:

Grade:	One-to four- family	Home equity	Commercial and multifamily	Construction and land	Manufactured homes	Other consumer	Commercial Business	Total
	(in thousands)							
Pass	\$70,392	\$31,943	\$ 100,002	\$ 16,087	\$ 16,062	\$ 9,507	\$ 10,331	\$254,324
Watch	18,088	6,138	4,048	778	2,260	1,312	2,385	35,009
Special Mention	1,505	183	-	-	-	4	11	1,703
Substandard	6,320	1,392	1,966	940	122	97	436	11,273
Doubtful	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Loss	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	\$96,305	\$39,656	\$ 106,016	\$ 17,805	\$ 18,444	\$ 10,920	\$ 13,163	\$302,309

Nonaccrual and Past Due Loans. Loans are considered past due if the required principal and interest payments have not been received as of the date such payments were due. Loans are automatically placed on nonaccrual once the loan is 90 days past due or if, in management's opinion, the borrower may be unable to meet payment of obligations as they become due, as well as when required by regulatory provisions.

The following table presents the recorded investment in nonaccrual loans as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 by type of loan:

	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
	(in thousands)	
One- to four- family	\$1,358	\$3,124

Explanation of Responses:

Edgar Filing: ABERDEEN AUSTRALIA EQUITY FUND INC - Form 3

Home equity	404	731
Commercial and multifamily	1,124	1,299
Construction and land	550	-
Other consumer	3	64
Commercial business	91	-
Total	\$3,530	\$5,218

SOUND FINANCIAL BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
Selected Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

The following table represents the aging of the recorded investment in past due loans as of September 30, 2012 by type of loan:

	30-59 Days Past Due	60-89 Days Past Due	90 Days or Greater Past Due	Recorded Investment 90 Days or Greater and Accruing (in thousands)	Total Past Due	Current	Total Loans
One- to four- family	\$-	\$411	\$1,592	\$-	\$2,003	\$92,338	\$94,341
Home equity	324	108	358	-	790	35,093	35,883
Commercial and multifamily	-	-	-	-	-	119,938	119,938
Construction and land	-	-	551	-	551	20,143	20,694
Manufactured homes	76	-	-	-	76	16,934	17,010
Other consumer	113	2	3	-	118	8,967	9,085
Commercial business	30	-	90	-	120	14,641	14,761
Total	\$543	\$521	\$2,594	\$-	\$3,658	\$308,054	\$311,712

The following table represents the aging of the recorded investment in past due loans as of December 31, 2011 by type of loan:

	30-59 Days Past Due	60-89 Days Past Due	90 Days or Greater Past Due	Recorded Investment 90 Days or Greater and Accruing (in thousands)	Total Past Due	Current	Total Loans
One- to four- family	\$4,321	\$935	\$2,683	\$-	\$7,939	\$88,366	\$96,305
Home equity	583	176	683	-	1,442	38,214	39,656
Commercial and multifamily	-	-	-	-	-	106,016	106,016
	-	123	80	-	203	17,602	17,805

Explanation of Responses:

Construction and land								
Manufactured homes	327	7	-	-	334	18,110	18,444	
Other consumer	172	3	-	-	175	10,745	10,920	
Commercial business	669	-	-	-	669	12,494	13,163	
Total	\$6,072	\$1,244	\$3,446	\$-	\$10,762	\$291,547	\$302,309	

Nonperforming Loans. Loans are considered nonperforming when they are 90 days past due, placed on nonaccrual, or when they are past due troubled debt restructurings (“TDRs”). The following table represents the credit risk profile based on payment activity as of September 30, 2012 by type of loan:

	One- to four- family	Home equity	Commercial and multifamily	Construction and land	Manufactured homes	Other consumer	Commercial business	Total
(in thousands)								
Performing	\$92,934	\$35,093	\$ 118,572	\$ 20,144	\$ 16,991	\$ 9,082	\$ 14,552	\$307,368
Nonperforming	1,407	790	1,366	550	19	3	209	4,344
Total	\$94,341	\$35,883	\$ 119,938	\$ 20,694	\$ 17,010	\$ 9,085	\$ 14,761	\$311,712

The following table represents the credit risk profile based on payment activity as of December 31, 2011 by type of loan:

	One-to four- family	Home equity	Commercial and multifamily	Construction and land	Manufactured homes	Other consumer	Commercial business	Total
(in thousands)								
Performing	\$91,904	\$38,783	\$ 104,797	\$ 17,725	\$ 18,444	\$ 10,856	\$ 13,163	\$295,672
Nonperforming	4,401	873	1,219	80	-	64	-	6,637
Total	\$96,305	\$39,656	\$ 106,016	\$ 17,805	\$ 18,444	\$ 10,920	\$ 13,163	\$302,309

SOUND FINANCIAL BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
Selected Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

Impaired Loans. A loan is considered impaired when we have determined that we may be unable to collect payments of principal or interest when due under the terms of the loan. In the process of identifying loans as impaired, we take into consideration factors which include payment history and status, collateral value, financial condition of the borrower, and the probability of collecting scheduled payments in the future. Minor payment delays and insignificant payment shortfalls typically do not result in a loan being classified as impaired. The significance of payment delays and shortfalls is considered on a case by case basis, after taking into consideration the totality of circumstances surrounding the loans and the borrowers, including payment history and amounts of any payment shortfall, length and reason for delay, and likelihood of return to stable performance. Impairment is measured on a loan by loan basis for all loans in the portfolio.

The following table presents loans individually evaluated for impairment as of September 30, 2012 by type of loan:

	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance
(in thousands)			
With no related allowance recorded:			
One-to four-family	\$2,172	\$2,329	\$-
Home equity	935	1,252	-
Commercial and multifamily	1,904	1,904	-
Construction and land	552	730	-
Manufactured homes	68	68	-
Other consumer	13	54	-
Commercial business	846	846	-
Total	\$6,490	\$7,183	\$-
With an allowance recorded:			
One-to four-family	\$4,594	\$4,611	\$837
Home equity	859	859	280
Commercial and multifamily	244	244	74
Construction and land	101	102	25
Manufactured homes	595	595	120
Other consumer	84	84	41
Commercial business	390	430	153
Total	\$6,867	\$6,925	\$1,530
Totals:			
One-to four- family	\$6,766	\$6,940	\$837
Home equity	1,794	2,111	280
Commercial and multifamily	2,148	2,148	74
Construction and land	653	832	25
Manufactured homes	663	663	120
Other consumer	97	138	41
Commercial business	1,236	1,276	153

Explanation of Responses:

Total	\$13,357	\$14,108	\$1,530
-------	----------	----------	---------

SOUND FINANCIAL BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
Selected Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

The following table presents loans individually evaluated for impairment as of December 31, 2011 by type of loan:

	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance
	(in thousands)		
With no related allowance recorded:			
One-to four-family	\$3,104	\$3,104	\$-
Home equity	773	773	-
Commercial and multifamily	1,784	1,784	-
Construction and land	779	785	-
Manufactured homes	-	-	-
Other consumer	14	55	-
Commercial business	233	233	-
Total	\$6,687	\$6,734	\$-
With an allowance recorded:			
One-to four-family	\$5,156	\$5,280	\$541
Home equity	1,011	1,038	447
Commercial and multifamily	219	219	38
Construction and land	123	178	37
Manufactured homes	122	122	11
Other consumer	87	87	48
Commercial business	214	214	132
Total	\$6,932	\$7,138	\$1,254
Totals:			
One-to four-family	\$8,260	\$8,384	\$541
Home equity	1,784	1,811	447
Commercial and multifamily	2,003	2,003	38
Construction and land	902	963	37
Manufactured homes	122	122	11
Other consumer	101	142	48
Commercial Business	447	447	132
Total	\$13,619	\$13,872	\$1,254

SOUND FINANCIAL BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
Selected Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

The following table presents loans individually evaluated for impairment as of September 30, 2012 and 2011 by type of loan:

	Three Months Ended			
	September 30, 2012		September 30, 2011	
	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized
With no related allowance recorded:				
One-to four-family	\$1,908	\$ 28	\$2,437	\$ 44
Home equity	783	9	623	5
Commercial and multifamily	1,938	26	1,915	20
Construction and land	574	-	-	-
Manufactured homes	70	1	13	1
Other consumer	8	1	39	1
Commercial business	847	3	82	-
Total	\$6,126	\$ 68	\$5,109	\$ 71
With an allowance recorded:				
One-to four-family	\$5,132	\$ 37	\$3,658	\$ 5
Home equity	1,141	9	865	3
Commercial and multifamily	245	4	1,227	2
Construction and land	161	1	-	-
Manufactured homes	648	10	145	2
Other consumer	127	2	46	2
Commercial business	255	4	163	1
Total	\$7,708	\$ 67	\$6,104	\$ 15
Totals:				
One-to four-family	\$7,040	\$ 65	\$6,095	\$ 49
Home equity	1,923	18	1,488	8
Commercial and multifamily	2,183	30	3,142	22
Construction and land	735	1	-	-
Manufactured homes	718	11	158	3
Other consumer	134	3	85	3
Commercial Business	1,101	7	245	1
Total	\$13,833	\$ 135	\$11,212	\$ 86

SOUND FINANCIAL BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
Selected Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended			
	September 30, 2012		September 30, 2011	
	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized
With no related allowance recorded:				
One-to four-family	\$2,694	\$ 84	\$2,307	\$ 111
Home equity	749	32	625	20
Commercial and multifamily	1,874	60	1,409	68
Construction and land	663	21	-	-
Manufactured homes	62	4	39	2
Other consumer	14	2	55	2
Commercial business	688	13	106	1
Total	\$6,743	\$ 216	\$4,541	\$ 204
With an allowance recorded:				
One-to four-family	\$5,268	\$ 129	\$3,441	\$ 35
Home equity	1,146	28	808	11
Commercial and multifamily	284	7	2,109	4
Construction and land	131	4	-	-
Manufactured homes	554	32	105	8
Other consumer	101	5	49	5
Commercial business	217	16	168	9
Total	\$7,700	\$ 221	\$6,680	\$ 72
Totals:				
One-to four-family	\$7,962	\$ 213	\$5,748	\$ 146
Home equity	1,895	60	1,433	31
Commercial and multifamily	2,157	67	3,518	72
Construction and land	794	25	-	-
Manufactured homes	615	36	144	10
Other consumer	115	7	104	7
Commercial Business	905	29	274	10
Total	\$14,443	\$ 437	\$11,222	\$ 276

Forgone interest on nonaccrual loans was \$191,000 and \$276,000 at September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. There were no commitments to lend additional funds to borrowers whose loans were classified as nonaccrual, TDR or impaired at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

SOUND FINANCIAL BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
Selected Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

Troubled debt restructurings. Loans classified as TDRs totaled \$7.3 million and \$6.9 million at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively and are included in impaired loans. A TDR is a loan to a borrower that is experiencing financial difficulty that has been modified from its original terms and conditions in such a way that the Company is granting the borrower a concession of some kind. The Company has granted a variety of concessions to borrowers in the form of loan modifications. The modifications granted can generally be described in the following categories:

Rate Modification: A modification in which the interest rate is changed.

Term Modification: A modification in which the maturity date, timing of payments, or frequency of payments is changed.

Payment Modification: A modification in which the dollar amount of the payment is changed. Interest only modifications in which a loan is converted to interest only payments for a period of time are included in this category.

Combination Modification: Any other type of modification, including the use of multiple categories above.

The following tables presents newly restructured loans by type of modification for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2012:

Three Months Ended September 30, 2012

	Number of Contracts	Rate Modifications	Term Modifications	Payment Modifications	Combination Modifications	Total Modifications
(in thousands, except for number of contracts)						
One- to four- family	1	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 197	\$ 197
Home equity	1	-	-	-	117	117
Commercial and multifamily	-	-	-	-	-	-
Construction and land	-	-	-	-	-	-
Manufactured homes	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other consumer	-	-	-	-	-	-
Commercial business	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	2	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 314	\$ 314

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012

	Number of Contracts	Rate Modifications	Term Modifications	Payment Modifications	Combination Modifications	Total Modifications
(in thousands, except for number of contracts)						
One- to four- family	5	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 561	\$ 561
Home equity	2	-	-	-	166	166
Commercial and multifamily	2	-	-	-	426	426
Construction and land	2	-	-	-	26	26

Explanation of Responses:

Edgar Filing: ABERDEEN AUSTRALIA EQUITY FUND INC - Form 3

Manufactured homes	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other consumer	2	-	-	-	14	14
Commercial business	3	121	-	-	186	307
Total	16	\$ 121	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,379	\$ 1,500

SOUND FINANCIAL BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
Selected Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

The following tables presents newly restructured loans by type of modification for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2011:

Three Months Ended September 30, 2011						
	Number of Contracts	Rate Modifications	Term Modifications	Payment Modifications	Combination Modifications	Total Modifications
(in thousands, except for number of contracts)						
One- to four- family	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Home equity	-	-	-	-	-	-
Commercial and multifamily	1	-	-	-	997	997
Construction and land	-	-	-	-	-	-
Manufactured homes	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other consumer	-	-	-	-	-	-
Commercial business	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	1	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 997	\$ 997

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011						
	Number of Contracts	Rate Modifications	Term Modifications	Payment Modifications	Combination Modifications	Total Modifications
(in thousands, except for number of contracts)						
One- to four- family	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Home equity	-	-	-	-	-	-
Commercial and multifamily	1	-	-	-	997	997
Construction and land	-	-	-	-	-	-
Manufactured homes	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other consumer	1	-	-	-	44	44
Commercial business	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	2	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,041	\$ 1,041

There were no post-modification changes that were recorded as a result of the TDRs for the years ended September 30, 2012 and 2011.

SOUND FINANCIAL BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
Selected Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

The following is a summary of all loans modified as TDRs within the previous twelve months and for which there was a payment default during the periods shown below:

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2012	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012
	(in thousands)	
One-to four-family	\$1,246	\$1,246
Home equity	215	215
Commercial and multifamily	1,366	1,366
Manufactured homes	390	471
Other consumer	27	27
Commercial business	540	540
Total	\$3,784	\$3,865

For the preceding table, a loan is considered in default when a payment is 30 days or more past due. At September 30, 2012, there was one commercial and multifamily real estate TDR loan on nonaccrual.

The Company had no commitments to extend additional credit to borrowers owing receivables whose terms have been modified in troubled debt restructurings. All TDRs are also classified as impaired loans and are included in the loans individually evaluated for impairment in the calculation of the allowance for loan losses.

SOUND FINANCIAL BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
Selected Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

Note 6 – Fair Value Measurements

The following table presents estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, whether or not recognized or recorded at fair value is summarized as follows:

Description	September 30, 2012		December 31, 2011	
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
(in thousands)				
Financial assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$15,655	\$15,655	\$17,031	\$17,031
Available for sale securities	20,891	20,891	2,992	2,992
FHLB Stock	2,422	2,422	2,444	2,444
Loans held for sale	2,089	2,089	1,807	1,807
Loans, net	304,665	309,636	295,641	297,358
Accrued interest receivable	1,249	1,249	1,234	1,234
Bank owned life insurance, net	7,160	7,160	6,981	6,981
Mortgage servicing rights	2,314	2,314	2,437	2,437
Financial liabilities:				
Non-maturity deposits	176,569	176,569	170,029	170,029
Time deposits	136,475	137,918	129,968	130,672
Borrowings	8,024	7,827	8,506	8,451
Accrued interest payable	78	78	84	84
Advance payments from borrowers for taxes and insurance	542	542	291	291

The following table presents information about the level in the fair value hierarchy for the Company's financial assets and liabilities that are not measured at fair value as of September 30, 2012:

Description	Total	Fair Value at September 30, 2012		
		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
(in thousands)				
Financial assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$15,655	\$15,655	\$-	\$-
FHLB Stock	2,422	-	-	2,422
Loans held for sale	2,089	-	2,089	-
Loans, net	309,636	-	-	309,636
Accrued interest receivable	1,249	1,249	-	-
Bank owned life insurance, net	7,160	7,160	-	-
Financial liabilities:				
Non-maturity deposits	176,569	\$-	\$-	\$176,569
Time deposits	137,918	-	137,918	-
Accrued interest payable	78	78	-	-
Advance payments from borrowers for taxes and insurance	542	-	-	542

SOUND FINANCIAL BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
Selected Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

The following table presents information about the Company's assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

Description	Total	Fair Value at September 30, 2012		
		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
		(in thousands)		
Agency Mortgage-backed Securities	\$18,063	\$-	\$18,063	\$-
Non-agency Mortgage-backed Securities	2,828	-	2,828	-
Mortgage Servicing Rights	2,314	-	-	2,314

Description	Total	Fair Value at December 31, 2011		
		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
		(in thousands)		
Agency Mortgage-backed Securities	\$59	\$-	\$59	\$-
Non-agency Mortgage-backed Securities	2,933	-	2,933	-
Mortgage Servicing Rights	2,437	-	-	2,437

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, there were no transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 or between Level 2 and Level 3.

The following table provides a description of the valuation technique, unobservable input, and qualitative information about the unobservable inputs for the Company's assets and liabilities classified as Level 3 and measured at fair value on a recurring basis at September 30, 2012:

Financial Instrument	Valuation Technique	Unobservable Input(s)	Weighted Average	
Mortgage Servicing Rights	Discounted cash flow	Prepayment Speed Assumption	372.0	%
		Discount rate	10.0	%

Generally, any significant increases in the constant prepayment rate and discount rate utilized in the fair value measurement of the mortgage servicing rights will result in a negative fair value adjustment (and decrease in the fair value measurement). Conversely, a decrease in the constant prepayment rate and discount rate will result in a positive fair value adjustment (and increase in the fair value measurement). An increase in the weighted average life assumptions will result in a decrease in the constant prepayment rate and conversely, a decrease in the weighted average life will result in an increase of the constant prepayment rate.

SOUND FINANCIAL BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
Selected Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

A description of the valuation methodologies used for impaired loans and OREO is as follows:

Impaired Loans - The fair value of collateral dependent loans is based on the current appraised value of the collateral or internally developed models utilizing a calculation of expected discounted cash flows which contain management's assumptions. These assets are classified as level 3 and are measured on a nonrecurring basis.

Other Real Estate Owned ("OREO") and Repossessed Assets - OREO and repossessed assets consist principally of properties acquired through foreclosure and are carried at the lower of cost or estimated market value less selling costs. The fair value is based on current appraised value or other sources of value.

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate fair value of each class of financial instruments listed above:

Cash and cash equivalents, accrued interest receivable and payable, and advance payments from borrowers for taxes and insurance - The estimated fair value is equal to the carrying amount.

AFS Securities - AFS securities are recorded at fair value based on quoted market prices, if available. If quoted market prices are not available, management utilizes third-party pricing services or broker quotations from dealers in the specific instruments. Level 1 securities include those traded on an active exchange, as well as U.S. government and its agencies securities. Level 2 securities include private label mortgage-backed securities.

Loans Held for Sale - Residential mortgage loans held for sale are recorded at the lower of cost or fair value. The fair value of fixed-rate residential loans is based on whole loan forward prices obtained from government sponsored enterprises. At September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, loans held for sale were carried at cost.

Loans - The estimated fair value for all fixed rate loans is determined by discounting the estimated cash flows using the current rate at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and maturities. The estimated fair value for variable rate loans is the carrying amount. The fair value for all loans also takes into account projected loan losses as a part of the estimate.

Mortgage Servicing Rights - Mortgage servicing rights represent the value associated with servicing residential mortgage loans, when the mortgage loans have been sold into the secondary market and the related servicing has been retained by us. The value is determined through a discounted cash flow analysis, which uses interest rates, prepayment speeds and delinquency rate assumptions as inputs. All of these assumptions require a significant degree of management judgment. Servicing rights and the related mortgage loans are segregated into categories of homogeneous pools based upon common characteristics. Mortgage servicing rights are classified as Level 3.

FHLB stock - The estimated fair value is equal to the par value of the stock, which approximates fair value.

Bank-owned Life Insurance - The estimated fair value is equal to the cash surrender value of policies, net of surrender charges.

Deposits - The estimated fair value of deposit accounts (savings, demand deposit, and money market accounts) is the carrying amount. The fair value of fixed-maturity time certificates of deposit are estimated by discounting the

Explanation of Responses:

estimated cash flows using the current rate at which similar certificates would be issued.

SOUND FINANCIAL BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
Selected Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

Borrowings - The fair value of borrowings are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses, based on the Company's current incremental borrowing rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements.

Off-balance-sheet financial instruments - The fair value for the Company's off-balance-sheet loan commitments are estimated based on fees charged to others to enter into similar agreements taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and credit standing of the Company's customers. The estimated fair value of these commitments is not significant.

We assume interest rate risk (the risk that general interest rate levels will change) as a result of our normal operations. As a result, the fair values of our financial instruments will change when interest rate levels change, which may be favorable or unfavorable to us. Management attempts to match maturities of assets and liabilities to the extent necessary or possible to minimize interest rate risk. However, borrowers with fixed-rate obligations are less likely to prepay in a rising rate environment and more likely to prepay in a falling rate environment. Conversely, depositors who are receiving fixed rates are more likely to withdraw funds before maturity in a rising rate environment and less likely to do so in a falling rate environment. Management monitors rates and maturities of assets and liabilities and attempts to minimize interest rate risk by establishing early withdrawal penalties for certificates of deposit, creating interest rate floors for certain variable rate loans, adjusting terms of new loans and deposits, by borrowing at fixed rates for fixed terms and investing in securities with terms that mitigate our overall interest rate risk.

The following table presents information about the Company's assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis:

Description	Fair Value at September 30, 2012			
	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
	(in thousands)			
OREO and repossessed assets	\$2,548	\$-	\$-	\$2,548
Impaired loans	\$13,043	-	-	\$13,043

Description	Fair Value at December 31, 2011			
	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
	(in thousands)			
OREO and repossessed assets	\$2,821	\$-	\$-	\$2,821
Impaired loans	13,619	-	-	13,619

The following table presents the total losses resulting from fair value adjustments:

Description	Total Losses Three Months Ended September 30,		Total Losses Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
OREO and repossessed assets	\$ 145	\$ 274	\$ 314	\$ 958
Impaired loans	1,197	393	4,048	1,719

There were no liabilities carried at fair value, measured on a recurring or nonrecurring basis, at September 30, 2012 or December 31, 2011.

SOUND FINANCIAL BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
Selected Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

The following table provides a reconciliation of the assets and liabilities measured at fair value using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) on a recurring basis during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011
Beginning balance, at fair value	\$2,558	\$3,273
Servicing rights that result from transfers of financial assets	226	113
Changes in Fair Value(1)	(259)	(491)
Other(2)	(211)	(212)
Ending balance, at fair value	\$2,314	\$2,683
	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011
Beginning balance, at fair value	\$2,437	\$3,200
Servicing rights that result from transfers of financial assets	554	329
Changes in Fair Value(1)	97	(235)
Other(2)	(774)	(611)
Ending balance, at fair value	\$2,314	\$2,683

(1) Represents changes due to principal collections over time

(2) Primarily relates to changes in prepayment speeds, duration and discount rate

Note 7 – Commitments and Contingencies

In the normal course of operations, the Company engages in a variety of financial transactions that are not recorded in our financial statements. These transactions involve varying degrees of off-balance sheet credit, interest rate and liquidity risks. These transactions are used primarily to manage customers' requests for funding and take the form of loan commitments and lines of credit.

SOUND FINANCIAL BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
Selected Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

Note 8 – Borrowings

The Company utilizes a loan agreement with the FHLB of Seattle. The terms of the agreement call for a blanket pledge of a portion of the Company's mortgage and commercial and multifamily portfolio based on the outstanding balance. At September 30, 2012, the amount available to borrow under this agreement is approximately 35% of total assets, or up to \$128.3 million subject to the availability of eligible collateral. Based on eligible collateral, the total amount available under this agreement as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 was \$89.0 million and \$83.5 million, respectively. The Company had outstanding borrowings under this arrangement of \$8.0 million and \$8.5 million at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. Additionally, the Company had outstanding letters of credit from the FHLB with a notional amount of \$30.0 million and \$24.0 million at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, to secure public deposits. The net remaining amounts available as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, was \$51.0 million and \$51.0 million, respectively.

The Company participates in the Federal Reserve Bank's Borrower-in-Custody program, which gives the Company access to overnight borrowings from the discount window. The terms of the program call for a pledge of specific assets. The Company had unused borrowing capacity under this program of \$9.8 million and \$21.9 million at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. There were no outstanding borrowings at September 30, 2012 or December 31, 2011.

The Company has access to an unsecured line of credit from the Pacific Coast Banker's Bank. The line has a maturity date of June 30, 2014 and is renewable. As of September 30, 2012, the amount available under this line of credit is \$2.0 million. There was no outstanding balance on this line of credit as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

Note 9 – Earnings Per Share

Earnings per share are summarized in the following table (figures in thousands except earnings per share):

	Three Months		Nine Months	
	Ended September 30, 2012	2011	Ended September 30, 2012	2011
Net income	\$612	\$181	\$1,752	\$1,131
Less net income attributable to participating securities(1)	7	2	19	12
Net income available to common shareholders	\$605	\$179	\$1,733	1,119
Weighted average number of shares outstanding, basic	2,588	2,583	2,586	2,583
Weighted average number of shares outstanding, diluted	2,628	2,609	2,616	2,610
Earnings per share, basic	\$0.24	\$0.07	\$0.68	\$0.44
Earnings per share, diluted	\$0.23	\$0.07	\$0.67	\$0.43

(1) Represents dividends paid and undistributed earnings allocated to nonvested restricted stock awards.

All share and per share information in this report for periods prior to the Conversion has been revised to reflect the 0.87423 Conversion exchange ratio. (Please see Note 2 – Conversion and Stock Issuance for more information.) Treasury shares for 2011 and unallocated common shares held by the ESOP for 2012 and 2011 are not included in the weighted-average number of common share outstanding for either basic or diluted earnings per share calculations. Unvested restricted shares are included in the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for basic earnings per share calculations. The Company's common stock equivalents relate solely to stock options granted and outstanding.

SOUND FINANCIAL BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
Selected Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

Note 10 – Stock-based Compensation

Stock Options and Restricted Stock

In 2008, the Board of Directors adopted and stockholders approved an Equity Incentive Plan (the “Plan”) which was assumed by the Company in connection with the Conversion. The Plan permits the grant of restricted stock, restricted stock units, stock options, and stock appreciation rights. Under the Plan, 126,287 shares of common stock were approved for awards for stock options and stock appreciation rights and 50,514 shares of common stock were approved for awards for restricted stock and restricted stock units, in each case, as adjusted for the Conversion exchange ratio.

As of September 30, 2012, on an adjusted basis, awards for stock options totaling 125,051 shares and awards for restricted stock totaling 24,747 shares of Company common stock have been granted, net of any forfeitures, to participants in the Plan. During the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2012, share-based compensation expense totaled \$59,000 and \$125,000, respectively. During the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2011, share-based compensation expense totaled \$33,000 and \$99,000, respectively for both stock options and restricted stock. All of the awards vest in 20 percent annual increments commencing one year from the grant date. The options are exercisable for a period of 10 years from the date of grant, subject to vesting.

The following is a summary of the Company’s stock option plan awards during the period ended September 30, 2012:

	Shares(1)	Weighted-Average Exercise Price(1)	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Term In Years	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at the beginning of the year	97,492	\$ 9.07	7.33	\$104,317
Granted	26,417	8.49	9.42	
Exercised	-	-		
Forfeited	(3)	9.07	7.33	
Expired	-	-		
Outstanding at September 30, 2012	123,906	\$ 8.94	7.77	\$148,688
Exercisable	61,682	\$ 9.07	7.33	\$66,000
Expected to vest, assuming a 0% forfeiture rate over the vesting term	123,906	\$ 8.94	7.77	\$148,688

(1)As stated in Note 2, all share and per share information in this report for periods prior to the Conversion has been revised to reflect the 0.87423 Conversion exchange ratio.

As of September 30, 2012, there was \$195,000 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested stock options granted under the Plan. The cost is expected to be recognized over the remaining weighted-average vesting period of 2.64 years.

The fair value of each option award is estimated on the date of grant using a Black-Scholes model that uses the assumptions noted in the table below. The dividend yield is based on the current quarterly dividend in effect at the time of the grant.

Explanation of Responses:

SOUND FINANCIAL BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
Selected Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

The Company (including the predecessor entity) became a publicly held company in January 2008, so the amount of historical stock price information available is limited. As a result, the Company elected to use a weighted-average of its peers' historical stock prices, as well as the Company's own historical stock prices to estimate volatility. The Company bases the risk-free interest rate on the U.S. Treasury Constant Maturity Indices in effect on the date of the grant. The Company elected to use the Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 110, "Share-Based Payments" permitted by the Securities and Exchange Commission to calculate the expected term. This simplified method uses the vesting term of an option along with the contractual term, setting the expected life at a midpoint in between.

The fair value of options granted during 2012 were determined using the following weighted-average assumptions as of the grant date.

Annual dividend yield	0.00	%
Expected volatility	28.97	%
Risk-free interest rate	1.46	%
Expected term	7.5	years
Weighted-average grant date fair value per option granted	\$8.49	

The fair value of the restricted stock awards is equal to the fair value of the Company's stock at the date of grant. Compensation expense is recognized over the vesting period that the awards are based. Shares awarded as restricted stock vest ratably over a five-year period beginning at the grant date with 20% vesting on the anniversary date of each grant date.

The following is a summary of the Company's unvested restricted stock awards for the nine month period ended September 30, 2012:

Non-vested Shares	Shares(1)	Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value Per Share(1)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value Per Share
Non-vested at January 1, 2012	22,706	\$ 6.43	
Granted	9,617	6.49	
Vested	(7,566)	6.43	
Forfeited	(10)	-	
Expired	-	-	
Non-vested at September 30, 2012	24,747	\$ 6.45	\$10.14
Expected to vest assuming a 0% forfeiture rate over the vesting term	24,747	\$ 6.45	\$10.14

(1)As stated in Note 2, all share and per share information in this report for periods prior to the Conversion has been revised to reflect the 0.87423 Conversion exchange ratio.

The aggregate intrinsic value of the unvested restricted stock awards as of September 30, 2012 was \$251,000.

Edgar Filing: ABERDEEN AUSTRALIA EQUITY FUND INC - Form 3

As of September 30, 2012, there was \$193,000 of unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested restricted stock awards granted under the Plan remaining. The cost is expected to be recognized over the weighted-average vesting period of 2.45 years.

SOUND FINANCIAL BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
Selected Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

Employee Stock Ownership Plan

In January 2008, the ESOP borrowed \$1.2 million from the Company to purchase common stock of the Company. In August 2012, in conjunction with the Conversion, the ESOP borrowed \$1.1 million from the Company to purchase common stock of the Company. Both loans are being repaid principally by the Bank through contributions to the ESOP over a period of ten years. The interest rate on the loans are fixed at 4.0% and 2.5% per annum, respectively. As of September 30, 2012, the remaining balance of the ESOP loans were \$751,000 and \$1.1 million.

Neither the loan balances nor the related interest expense are reflected on the condensed consolidated financial statements.

At September 30, 2012, the ESOP was committed to release 19,553 shares of the Company's common stock to participants and held 194,221 unallocated shares remaining to be released in future years. The fair value of the 194,221 restricted shares held by the ESOP trust was \$2.0 million at September 30, 2012. ESOP compensation expense included in salaries and benefits was \$61,000 and \$114,000 for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2012.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF
FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

General

On August 22, 2012, Sound Financial Bancorp, Inc. (the "Company") became the holding company for Sound Community Bank (the "Bank") and owner of all of the issued and outstanding shares of the Bank's common stock. The Company is a Maryland chartered stock holding company and is subject to regulation by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System ("Federal Reserve"). See Note 2 – Conversion and Stock Issuance for additional information.

Substantially all of the Company's business is conducted through the Bank, which is a federal savings bank subject to extensive regulation by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency ("OCC"). The Bank's deposits are insured up to applicable limits by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC"). At September 30, 2012, the Company had total consolidated assets of \$366.5 million, net loans of \$304.7 million, deposits of \$313.0 million and stockholders' equity of \$42.3 million. Shares of the Company's common stock are traded on the Nasdaq Capital Market under the symbol "SFBC." Our executive offices are located at 2005 5th Avenue – Suite 200, Seattle, Washington, 98121.

During October 2012, the Bank filed an application to convert from a federally chartered savings bank to a Washington state-chartered commercial bank. As a Washington commercial bank, the Bank's regulators will be the Washington State Department of Financial Institutions ("WDFI") and the FDIC. The Federal Reserve will remain as the primary federal regulator for the Company. While the proposed charter conversion requires regulatory approval, the Bank expects the new charter to become effective in December 2012. The change is intended to reduce regulatory examination costs and to move oversight of the Bank to the WDFI, which is focused on local community banks and financial institutions. The charter conversion will not affect our customers in any way, and they will continue to receive the same protection on deposits through the FDIC.

Our principal business consists of attracting retail deposits from the general public and investing those funds, along with borrowed funds, in loans secured by first and second mortgages on one- to four-family residences (including home equity loans and lines of credit), commercial and multifamily, consumer and commercial business loans and, to a lesser extent, construction and land loans. We offer a wide variety of secured and unsecured consumer loan products, including manufactured home loans, automobile loans, boat loans and recreational vehicle loans. As part of our business, we focus on residential mortgage loan originations, many of which we sell to Fannie Mae. We sell these loans with servicing retained to maintain the direct customer relationship and promote our emphasis on strong customer service.

Our operating revenues are derived principally from earnings on interest earning assets, service charges and fees, and gains on the sale of loans. Our primary sources of funds are retail deposits, Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") advances and other borrowings, and payments received on loans and securities. We offer a variety of deposit accounts that provide a range of interest rates and terms based on market conditions, generally including savings, money market, term certificate and demand accounts.

Our noninterest expenses consist primarily of salaries and employee benefits, expenses for occupancy, marketing and computer services and FDIC deposit insurance premiums. Salaries and benefits consist primarily of the salaries and wages paid to our employees, payroll taxes, expenses for retirement and other employee benefits. Occupancy

expenses, which are the fixed and variable costs of buildings and equipment, consist primarily of lease payments, property taxes, depreciation charges, maintenance and costs of utilities.

Forward-Looking Statements

When used in this Form 10-Q the words or phrases “will likely result,” “are expected to,” “will continue,” “is anticipated,” “estimate,” “project,” “intends” or similar expressions are intended to identify “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements include statements with respect to our beliefs, plans, objectives, goals, expectations, assumptions and statements about future performance. These forward-looking statements are subject to known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the results anticipated, including, among other things,

- changes in economic conditions, either nationally or in our market area;
 - fluctuations in interest rates;
- the risks of lending and investing activities, including changes in the level and direction of loan delinquencies and charge-offs and changes in estimates of the adequacy of our allowance for loan losses;
 - the possibility of other-than-temporary impairments of securities held in our securities portfolio;
 - our ability to access cost-effective funding;
- fluctuations in the demand for loans, the volume of unsold homes, land and other properties, and fluctuations in real estate values and both residential and commercial and multifamily real estate market conditions in our market area;
 - secondary market conditions for loans and our ability to sell loans in the secondary market;
 - our ability to attract and retain deposits;
- our ability to successfully integrate any assets, liabilities, customers, systems, and management personnel we may acquire into our operations and our ability to realize related revenue synergies and expected cost savings and other benefits within the anticipated time frames or at all;
- legislative or regulatory changes such as the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act and its implementing regulations that adversely affect our business, as well as changes in regulatory policies and principles, or the interpretation of regulatory capital or other rules, including changes related to Basel III;
- monetary and fiscal policies of the Federal Reserve and the U.S. Government and other governmental initiatives affecting the financial services industry;
- results of examinations of Sound Financial and Sound Community Bank by their regulators, including the possibility that the regulators may, among other things, require us to increase our allowance for loan losses or to write-down assets, change Sound Community Bank's regulatory capital position or affect our ability to borrow funds or maintain or increase deposits, which could adversely affect our liquidity and earnings;
 - increases in premiums for deposit insurance;
 - our ability to control operating costs and expenses;
- the use of estimates in determining fair value of certain of our assets, which estimates may prove to be incorrect and result in significant declines in valuation;
 - difficulties in reducing risks associated with the loans on our balance sheet;
- staffing fluctuations in response to product demand or the implementation of corporate strategies that affect our workforce and potential associated charges;
 - computer systems on which we depend could fail or experience a security breach;
 - our ability to retain key members of our senior management team;

- costs and effects of litigation, including settlements and judgments;
 - our ability to implement our business strategies;
- increased competitive pressures among financial services companies;
 - changes in consumer spending, borrowing and savings habits;
- the availability of resources to address changes in laws, rules, or regulations or to respond to regulatory actions;
 - our ability to pay dividends on our common stock;
 - adverse changes in the securities markets;
- the inability of key third-party providers to perform their obligations to us;
- statements made with respect to our intentions regarding disclosure and other changes resulting from the Jumpstart Our Business Startups Act of 2012;
- changes in accounting policies and practices, as may be adopted by the financial institution regulatory agencies or the Financial Accounting Standards Board, including additional guidance and interpretation on accounting issues and details of the implementation of new accounting methods; and
- other economic, competitive, governmental, regulatory, and technological factors affecting our operations, pricing, products and services and the other risks described from time to time in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”).

We wish to advise readers not to place undue reliance on any forward-looking statements and that the factors listed above could materially affect our financial performance and could cause our actual results for future periods to differ materially from any such forward-looking statements expressed with respect to future periods and could negatively affect our stock price performance.

We do not undertake and specifically decline any obligation to publicly release the result of any revisions which may be made to any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of such statements or to reflect the occurrence of anticipated or unanticipated events.

References in this document to “we,” “us,” and “our” means Sound Financial Bancorp, Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Sound Community Bank, unless the context otherwise requires.

Critical Accounting Policies

We consider accounting policies involving significant judgments and assumptions by management that have, or could have, a material impact on the carrying value of certain assets or on income to be critical accounting policies. Estimates associated with these policies are susceptible to material changes as a result of changes in facts and circumstances. Facts and circumstances that could affect these judgments include, but are not limited to, changes in interest rates, changes in the performance of the economy and changes in the financial condition of borrowers. Management believes that its critical accounting policies include determining the allowance for loan losses, accounting for other-than-temporary impairment of securities, accounting for mortgage servicing rights, accounting for other real estate owned, and accounting for deferred income taxes. For additional information on our accounting policies see our Form 10-K Annual Report for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Comparison of Financial Condition at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011

General. Total assets increased by \$26.8 million, or 7.9%, to \$366.5 million at September 30, 2012 from \$339.7 million at December 31, 2011. This increase was primarily the result of a \$9.0 million, or 3.1% increase in our net loan portfolio, and a \$17.9 million, or 598.2% increase in available-for-sale securities. Our total liabilities increased by \$13.2 million or 4.2% to \$324.2 million at September 30, 2012 from \$311.0 million at December 31, 2011 primarily as a result of a \$13.0 million, or 4.3% increase in deposits.

Cash and Securities. Cash, cash equivalents and our available-for-sale securities increased \$16.5 million, or 82.5%, to \$36.5 million at September 30, 2012. Cash and cash equivalents decreased by \$1.4 million, or 8.1%, to \$15.7 million at September 30, 2012, as excess cash balances were deployed to purchase agency mortgage-backed securities. Available-for-sale securities increased \$17.9 million, or 598.2%, to \$20.9 million at September 30, 2012. This increase reflects purchases of agency mortgage-backed securities made in the third quarter with the proceeds received from our stock offering completed in August 2012, slightly offset by investment pay-downs and other-than-temporary impairment charges on our non-agency mortgage-backed security portfolio.

At September 30, 2012, included in our available-for-sale securities portfolio, were \$2.8 million of non-agency mortgage-backed securities. These securities present a higher credit risk than U.S. agency mortgage-backed securities, of which we had \$18.1 million at September 30, 2012. In order to monitor the increased risk, management receives and reviews a quarterly credit surveillance report from a third party, which evaluates non-agency securities based on a number of factors, including credit scores, loan-to-value ratios, geographic locations, delinquencies and loss histories of the underlying mortgage loans. This analysis is prepared in order to project potential future losses based on various home price depreciation scenarios over a three-year horizon. Based on these reports, management ascertains the appropriate value for these securities and, in the nine months ended September 30, 2012, recorded an impairment charge of \$156,000 on four of these non-agency securities. The current market environment significantly limits our ability to mitigate our exposure to value changes in these more risky securities by selling them, and we do not anticipate these conditions to change significantly in the next 12 months. Accordingly, if the market and economic environment impacting the loans supporting these securities continues to deteriorate, we could determine that additional impairment must be recorded on these securities, as well as on any other securities in our portfolio. As a result, our future earnings, equity, regulatory capital and ongoing operations could be materially adversely affected.

Loans. Our total loan portfolio (including loans held for sale and excluding deferred fees) increased \$9.2 million, or 3.0%, to \$311.1 million at September 30, 2012. Loans held for sale increased from \$1.8 million at December 31, 2011, to \$2.1 million at September 30, 2012. The increase was primarily due to the timing difference between loan fundings and loan sale settlements.

The most significant changes in our loan portfolio during the year to date included an increase of \$13.9 million or 13.1% in our commercial and multifamily real estate, a \$2.9 million or 16.2% increase in our construction and land loans and a \$1.6 million or 12.1% increase in commercial business loans. Manufactured home loans decreased by \$1.4 million or 7.8% while other consumer loans decreased \$1.8 million or 16.8% between December 31, 2011 and September 30, 2012 primarily as a result of charge-offs, prepayments and lower demand from creditworthy borrowers in the current economic environment.

The following table reflects the changes in the types of loans in our loan portfolio at September 30, 2012 as compared to the end of 2011:

	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011	Amount Change	Percent Change
(Dollar amounts in thousands)				
One-to-four family loans	\$94,341	\$96,305	\$(1,964)	(2.0)%
Home equity	35,883	39,656	(3,773)	(9.5)
Commercial and multifamily	119,938	106,016	13,922	13.1
Construction and land	20,694	17,805	2,889	16.2
Manufactured homes	17,010	18,444	(1,434)	(7.8)
Other consumer	9,085	10,920	(1,835)	(16.8)
Commercial business	14,761	13,163	1,598	12.1
Total	\$311,712	\$302,309	\$9,403	3.1 %

Mortgage Servicing Rights. At September 30, 2012, we had \$2.3 million in mortgage servicing rights recorded at fair value compared to \$2.4 million at December 31, 2011. The decrease during the period was the result of a lower market valuation for our mortgage servicing rights which was offset somewhat by an increase in our originated servicing portfolio as of September 30, 2012 compared to December 31, 2011.

Nonperforming Assets. At September 30, 2012, our nonperforming assets totaled \$6.9 million, or 1.88% of total assets, compared to \$9.5 million, or 2.78% of total assets at December 31, 2011.

Nonperforming loans to total loans decreased to 1.41% of total loans at September 30, 2012 from 2.20% at December 31, 2011. The decrease reflects a \$2.3 million decrease in nonperforming loans in the nine month period although nonperforming loans remain elevated compared to historical levels due to the continuing weak economy in our market area. Our largest nonperforming loans at September 30, 2012 consisted of a \$1.1 million commercial real estate loan, a \$471,000 commercial land development loan and a \$338,000 home equity loan.

OREO and repossessed assets decreased by \$273,000 during the first nine months of 2012. We repossessed 17 one- to four- family residences, nine manufactured homes, two boats and one recreational vehicle in the nine months ended September 30, 2012. During the same period, we sold seven one- to four- family residences, two commercial properties, nine manufactured homes and a recreational vehicle at an aggregate loss of \$314,000. Our largest OREO at September 30, 2012, consisted of a mobile home park with a recorded value of \$1.1 million located in Spanaway, Washington. Our next two largest OREO properties were comprised of a \$490,000 one- to four- family property located in Carnation, Washington and a \$198,000 one- to four- family property located in Dayton, Washington.

The table below sets forth the amounts and categories of nonperforming assets in our loan portfolio at the dates indicated:

	Nonperforming Assets			
	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011	Amount Change	Percent Change
(Dollars in thousands)				
Nonperforming loans(1):				
One-to four- family	\$1,407	\$4,401	\$(2,994)	(68.0)%
Home equity	790	873	(83)	(9.5)
Commercial and multifamily	1,366	1,219	147	12.1
Construction and land	550	80	470	587.5
Manufactured homes	19	-	19	NM
Other consumer	3	64	(61)	(95.3)
Commercial business	209	-	209	NM
Total	\$4,344	\$6,637	\$(2,293)	(34.5)%
OREO and repossessed assets:				
One-to four- family	\$1,360	\$478	\$882	184.5 %
Commercial and multifamily	1,073	2,225	(1,152)	(51.8)
Manufactured homes	46	118	(72)	(61.0)
Other consumer	69	-	69	NM
Total	\$2,548	\$2,821	\$(273)	(9.7)%
Total nonperforming assets	\$6,892	\$9,458	\$(2,566)	(27.1)%
Nonperforming assets as a percentage of total assets	1.88 %	2.78 %		
Performing restructured loans:				
One-to four- family	\$3,017	\$2,508	\$509	20.3 %
Home equity	239	812	(573)	(70.6)
Commercial and multifamily	780	785	(5)	(0.6)
Construction and land	102	-	102	NM
Manufactured homes	622	-	622	NM
Other consumer	47	4	43	1075.0
Commercial business	565	26	539	2073.1
Total	\$5,372	\$4,135	\$1,237	29.9 %

(1) Nonperforming loans include \$814,000 and \$2.8 million in nonperforming TDRs as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

In addition to the non-performing assets set forth in the table above, as of September 30, 2012, there were \$3.3 million in loans with respect to which known information about possible credit problems of the borrowers have caused management to have doubts as to the abilities of the borrowers to comply with present loan repayment terms. This may result in the future inclusion of such loans in the nonperforming asset categories.

Allowance for Loan Losses. The allowance for loan losses is maintained to cover losses that are probable and can be estimated on the date of the evaluation in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States. It is our best estimate of probable incurred credit losses in our loan portfolio.

Our allowance for loan losses at September 30, 2012 was \$4.3 million, or 1.40% of total loans receivable, compared to \$4.5 million, or 1.47% of total loans receivable at December 31, 2011. The \$122,000, or 2.7% decrease in the allowance for loan losses reflects the \$3.7 million provision for loan losses established during the first nine months of 2012 as a result of an increase in our loan portfolio and net charge-offs of \$3.8 million during the period as well as the decline in nonperforming loans.

Specific loan loss reserves increased \$274,000, and general loan loss reserves decreased by \$396,000 at September 30, 2012 compared to December 31, 2011. Net charge-offs for the nine months ending September 30, 2012 were \$3.8 million, or 1.67% of average loans on an annualized basis, compared to \$3.8 million, or 1.69% of average loans for the same period in 2011. As of September 30, 2012, the allowance for loan losses as a percentage of loans receivable and nonperforming loans was 1.40% and 99.75%, respectively, compared to 1.47% and 67.12%, respectively, at December 31, 2011. The allowance for loan losses as a percentage of loans receivable decreased slightly due to an increase in loans receivable during the nine month period ended September 30, 2012. The allowance for loan losses as a percentage of nonperforming loans increased during this same period due to a decrease in nonperforming loans.

Edgar Filing: ABERDEEN AUSTRALIA EQUITY FUND INC - Form 3

The following table shows the adjustments in our allowance during the first nine months of 2012 as compared to the same period in 2011:

	At and for the Nine Month Period Ended September 30,			
	2012		2011	
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Balance at beginning of period	\$4,455		\$4,436	
Charge-offs	(4,048)	(3,919)
Recoveries	251		140	
Net charge-offs	(3,797)	(3,779)
Provisions charged to operations	3,675		3,350	
Balance at end of period	\$4,333		\$4,007	
Ratio of net charge-offs during the period to average loans outstanding during the period	1.67	%	1.69	%
Allowance as a percentage of non-performing loans	99.75	%	62.1	%
Allowance as a percentage of total loans (end of period)	1.40	%	1.32	%

Deposits. Total deposits increased by \$13.0 million, or 4.3%, to \$313.0 million at September 30, 2012 from \$300.0 million at December 31, 2011. A summary of deposit accounts with the corresponding weighted average cost of funds is presented below:

	As of September 30, 2012		As of December 31, 2011	
	Amount	Wtd. Avg. Rate	Amount	Wtd. Avg. Rate
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Checking (noninterest)	\$29,895	0.00 %	\$26,907	0.00 %
NOW (interest)	25,331	0.08	22,332	0.09
Savings	25,046	0.06	22,092	0.10
Money Market	92,885	0.33	95,029	0.58
Certificates	136,475	1.33	129,968	1.53
Escrow	3,412	0.00	3,669	0.00
Total	\$313,044	0.69 %	\$299,997	0.87 %

During the first nine months of 2012, checking and NOW accounts increased \$6.0 million, or 12.2% to \$55.2 million. These increases were a result of our emphasis on attracting these and other low-cost deposits such as savings accounts, which increased \$3.0 million, or 13.4% in the first nine months of 2012. Although certificate balances increased \$6.5 million in the first nine months of 2012, this was a result of increases in our public fund certificates. Public fund certificates increased \$9.4 million while business and consumer certificates decreased \$2.9 million, or 2.7%. This is a result of the low interest rate environment and strategic decision to compete less aggressively on certificate interest rates

Edgar Filing: ABERDEEN AUSTRALIA EQUITY FUND INC - Form 3

Borrowings. FHLB advances decreased \$482,000, or 5.7%, to \$8.0 million at September 30, 2012, with a weighted-average cost of 2.76%, from \$8.5 million at December 31, 2011, with a weighted-average cost of 2.17%. We continue to utilize FHLB advances to fund interest-earning asset growth and/or enhance our interest rate risk management despite our strong deposit growth.

Stockholders' Equity. Total stockholders' equity increased \$13.6 million, or 47.3%, to \$42.3 million at September 30, 2012, from \$28.7 million at December 31, 2011. This primarily reflects an increase in paid-in capital as a result of net proceeds from the Conversion of \$12.7 million as well as \$1.8 million in net income and a \$186,000 decrease in accumulated other comprehensive loss.

Comparison of Results of Operation for the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011

General. Net income increased \$431,000 to \$612,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2012, compared to \$181,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2011. The primary reasons for this improvement were increased gain on sales of loans, and a decrease in the provision for loan losses, partially offset by increased noninterest expenses. Net income increased \$621,000 to \$1.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared to \$1.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The improvement in the nine month results were primarily attributable to decreased interest expense and increased noninterest income as a result of increased gain on sales of loans. These improvements were partially offset by increases in the provision for loan losses and noninterest expenses in the current nine month period compared to the nine month period in 2011.

Interest Income. Interest income decreased by \$71,000, or 1.5%, to \$4.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2011. Interest income decreased by \$260,000, or 1.9%, to \$13.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The decrease in interest income primarily reflected lower interest rates realized on our loan portfolio despite an increase in our average loan balances during the 2012 periods as compared to the same periods last year. Our average balance of loans receivable increased \$9.7 million to \$307.4 million during the quarter ended September 30, 2012 from \$297.7 million during the same period last year. The average balance of loans receivable increased \$5.6 million to \$303.0 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 from \$297.4 million during the same period last year.

The weighted average yield on loans decreased from 6.12% for the three months ended September 30, 2011, to 5.77% for the three months ended September 30, 2012. The weighted average yield on loans decreased from 6.18% for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, to 5.92% for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The decrease was primarily the result of the continued historically low interest rate environment and the competitive market for loans to well-qualified borrowers. The weighted average yield on investments, including OTTI, was 2.24% for the three months ended September 30, 2012 compared to 0.10% for the three months ended September 30, 2011. The weighted average yield on investments, including OTTI, was 1.42% for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to 2.86% for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The decrease reflects increased average balances of agency mortgage-backed securities in the 2012 period which currently carry a lower yield than non-agency mortgage-backed securities. Non-agency mortgage-backed securities made up a significant proportion of the investment portfolio in the 2011 period.

Interest Expense. Interest expense decreased \$85,000, or 12.5%, to \$596,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2012, from \$681,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2011. Interest expense decreased \$332,000, or 15.7%, to \$1.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, from \$2.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. This decrease reflects overall lower interest rates paid on deposits notwithstanding an increase in the average balances of deposits during both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 as compared to the same periods last year. Our average balance of deposits increased \$37.2 million to \$318.7 million during the quarter ended September 30, 2012 from \$281.5 million during the same period last year. The average balance of deposits increased \$30.8 million to \$309.6 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 from \$278.8 million during the same period last year. Our weighted average cost of interest-bearing liabilities was 0.83% for the three months ended September 30, 2012, compared to 1.00% for the three months ended September 30, 2011. Our weighted average cost of interest-bearing liabilities was 0.84% for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared to 0.87% for the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

Interest paid on deposits decreased \$86,000, or 13.7% to \$540,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2012, from \$626,000 for the same period in 2011. Interest paid on deposits decreased \$276,000, or 14.6% to \$1.6 million

for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, from \$1.9 million for the same period in 2011. These reductions were the result of decreases in the weighted average cost of deposits during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2011 as lower rates were paid on renewing certificates of deposit and existing savings, interest-bearing checking and money market accounts.

Interest expense on borrowings increased \$1,000, or 1.8%, to \$56,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2012 from \$55,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2011. Interest expense on borrowings decreased \$56,000, or 25.1% to \$167,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, from \$223,000 for the same period in 2011. The decrease primarily resulted from a \$1.2 million and \$7.9 million decrease in the average balance of borrowings outstanding for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2012, respectively compared to the same period in 2011. The decrease in borrowings was a result of scheduled loan pay offs that were not replaced due to increased balances of deposits.

Net Interest Income. Net interest income was \$3.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012, and 2011, respectively. Net interest income increased \$72,000, or 0.6%, to \$11.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, from \$11.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The increase in net interest income for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 primarily resulted from lower rates paid on deposits and lower outstanding borrowings compared to the same period last year. In addition, lower rates on loans were realized on our loan portfolio due to the historically low rate environment, especially for loans to well-qualified borrowers. Our net interest margin was 4.91% for the three months ended September 30, 2012, compared to 5.22% for the three months ended September 30, 2011. Our net interest margin was 5.12% for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared to 5.25% for the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

Provision for Loan Losses. A provision for loan losses of \$1.1 million was made during the three months ended September 30, 2012, compared to a provision for loan losses of \$1.3 million during the three months ended September 30, 2011. A provision for loan losses of \$3.7 million was made during the nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared to a provision for loan losses of \$3.4 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The decrease in the provision for the three month comparison period was the result of both decreased net charge-offs and lower levels of nonperforming loans. The increase in provision expense for the nine month comparison period was a result of increases in net charge-offs and nonperforming loans during the nine month period ended September 30, 2012. The increase in net charge-offs occurred primarily in the first quarter of 2012. Overall we believe that higher than historical levels of nonperforming assets and charge-offs will continue until the housing market, unemployment, and general economic market conditions further recover in our market area.

For the three months ended September 30, 2012, the annualized percentage of net charge-offs to average loans decreased 17 basis points to 1.55% compared to 1.72% for the three months ended September 30, 2011. For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, the annualized percentage of net charge-offs to average loans decreased four basis points to 1.67% as compared to 1.71% for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The ratio of nonperforming loans to total loans decreased to 1.41% at September 30, 2012 from 2.13% at September 30, 2011.

Noninterest Income. Noninterest income decreased \$941,000, or 353.8%, to \$1.2 million during the three months ended September 30, 2012, compared to \$266,000 during the three months ended September 30, 2011. A summary of the changes in noninterest income for the three month period is presented in the table below:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Amount Change (Dollars in thousands)	Percent Change	
	2012	2011			
Service charges and fee income	\$574	\$516	\$58	11.2	%
Earnings on cash surrender value of bank owned life insurance	60	61	(1)	(1.6))
Mortgage servicing income	148	110	38	34.5	
Fair value adjustment on mortgage servicing rights	(211)	(491)	280	(57.0))
Other-than-temporary impairment losses	(32)	(56)	24	(42.9))
Gain on sale of loans	668	126	542	430.2	
Total	\$1,207	\$266	\$941	353.8	%

Service charges and fee income increased primarily due to higher loan fees from a greater volume of loan originations in the 2012 period versus the 2011 period. Higher mortgage servicing income was a result of increased volumes of loans sold in the secondary market and higher loan sale margins on the sale of loans to Fannie Mae. The fair value adjustment on mortgage servicing rights was impacted by the interest rate environment, as rates decreased during the 2012 period and prepayment speeds increased. The increased gain on sale of loans was a result of an increase in the

volume of loans originated for sale to Fannie Mae during the three months ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same 2011 period. The loan origination volumes were achieved as a result of a continuing high volume of activity resulting from relatively low mortgage interest rates.

Noninterest income increased \$1.5 million, or 81.0%, to \$3.3 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared to \$1.8 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2011. A summary of the changes in noninterest income for the nine month period is presented in the table below:

	Nine Months Ended September 30,		Amount Change	Percent Change	
	2012	2011			
	(Dollars in thousands)				
Service charges and fee income	\$1,638	\$1,514	\$124	8.2	%
Earnings on cash surrender value of bank owned life insurance	179	189	(10)	(5.3)
Mortgage servicing income	346	318	28	8.8	
Fair value adjustment on mortgage servicing rights	97	(235)	332	141.3	
Loss on sale of securities	-	(33)	33	100.0	
Other-than-temporary impairment losses	(156)	(96)	(60)	(62.5)
Loss on sale of fixed assets	-	(80)	80	100.0	
Gain on sale of loans	1,226	263	963	366.2	
Total	\$3,330	\$1,840	\$1,490	81.0	%

Service charges and fee income increased \$124,000, or 8.2%, to \$1.6 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared to \$1.5 million during the three months ended September 30, 2011. This increase was primarily a result of increased volumes of loan originations in the 2012 period compared to the 2011 period. We also introduced new deposit offerings that had a favorable impact on debit card income and other deposit fees.

The fair value adjustment on mortgage servicing rights was primarily a result of an increase in the size of the overall servicing asset as rates have remained relatively steady along with prepayment speeds. The increased gain on sale of loans was a result of an increase in the volume of loans originated for sale and higher loan sale margins on the sale of loans to Fannie Mae during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same 2011 period. The loan origination volumes were achieved as a result of a continuing high volume of activity resulting from relatively low mortgage interest rates.

Noninterest Expense. Noninterest expense increased \$511,000, or 19.1%, to \$3.2 million during the three months ended September 30, 2012, compared to \$2.7 million during the three months ended September 30, 2011. A summary of the changes in noninterest expense for the three month period is presented in the table below:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Amount Change	Percent Change	
	2012	2011			
	(Dollars in thousands)				
Salaries and benefits	\$1,537	\$1,189	\$348	29.3	%
Operations	697	602	95	15.8	
Regulatory assessments	108	103	5	4.9	
Occupancy	314	288	26	9.0	
Data processing	264	218	46	21.1	
Losses and expenses on sale of OREO and repossessed assets	265	274	(9)	(3.3)

Edgar Filing: ABERDEEN AUSTRALIA EQUITY FUND INC - Form 3

Total	\$3,185	\$2,674	\$511	19.1	%
-------	---------	---------	-------	------	---

Salaries and benefits expense increased by \$348,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2012 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2011 due to increased lending staff and higher commission expenses due to increased loan production. Operations expense increased \$95,000 during the three months ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011 as a result of higher marketing costs associated with the rollout of new deposit products and higher loan processing costs due to increased loan production. Data processing expenses increased primarily due to the rollout of the new deposit products and associated programming costs.

A summary of the changes in noninterest expense for the nine month period is presented in the table below:

	Nine Months Ended September 30,		Amount Change	Percent Change	
	2012	2011			
	(Dollar amounts in thousands)				
Salaries and benefits	\$4,242	\$3,942	\$300	7.6	%
Operations	2,007	1,869	138	7.4	
Regulatory assessments	329	454	(125)	(27.5))
Occupancy	918	835	83	9.9	
Data processing	769	685	84	12.3	
Losses and expenses on sale of OREO and repossessed assets	757	958	(201)	(21.0))
Total	\$9,022	\$8,743	\$279	3.2	%

Salaries and benefits expense increased by \$300,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2011 due to increased lending staff and higher commission expenses due to increased loan production. Operations expense increased as a result of higher marketing costs associated with the rollout of new deposit products and higher loan processing costs due to increased loan production. Regulatory assessments decreased during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 as compared to the same period in 2011 due to a decrease in the FDIC's assessment rate. Occupancy expenses increased primarily due to rent increases and other expenditures related to our main office. Losses and expenses on OREO and repossessed assets decreased by \$201,000 during the nine month 2012 period compared to same period in 2011 due to lower net losses and reduced expenses associated with OREO property sales as well as reflecting a decline in the amount of OREO.

Income Tax Expense. For the three months ended September 30, 2012, we had income tax expense of \$281,000 on our pre-tax income as compared to \$43,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2011. The effective tax rates for the quarters ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 were 31.5% and 19.2%, respectively.

Liquidity

The Management Discussion and Analysis in Item 7 of the Company's Form 10-K Annual Report filed with the SEC on March 31, 2012 contains an overview of the Company's and the Bank's liquidity management, sources of liquidity and cash flows. This discussion updates that disclosure for the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

At September 30, 2012, the Bank had \$36.5 million in cash and investment securities available for sale and \$2.1 million in loans held for sale generally available for its cash needs. Also, based on existing collateral pledged, the Bank had the ability to borrow an additional \$51.0 million in Federal Home Loan Bank advances, \$9.8 million through the Federal Reserve's Discount Window and \$2.0 million through Pacific Coast Banker's Bank. The Bank uses these sources of funds primarily to meet ongoing commitments, pay maturing deposits and fund withdrawals, and to fund loan commitments. At September 30, 2012, outstanding loan commitments, including unused lines and letters of credit totaled \$57.4 million. Certificates of deposit scheduled to mature in one year or less at September 30, 2012, totaled \$70.3 million. Based on our competitive pricing, we believe that a majority of maturing deposits will remain with the Bank.

As a separate legal entity from the Bank, the Company must provide for its own liquidity. At September 30, 2012, the Company, on an unconsolidated basis, had \$4.2 million in cash, interest-bearing deposits and liquid investments generally available for its cash needs. The Company's principal source of liquidity is dividends from the Bank.

Except as set forth above, management is not aware of any trends, events, or uncertainties that will have, or that are reasonably likely to have a material impact on liquidity, capital resources or operations.

Off-Balance Sheet Activities

In the normal course of operations, the Company engages in a variety of financial transactions that are not recorded in our financial statements. These transactions involve varying degrees of off-balance sheet credit, interest rate and liquidity risks. These transactions are used primarily to manage customers' requests for funding and take the form of loan commitments and lines of credit. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, we engaged in no off-balance sheet transactions likely to have a material effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

A summary of our off-balance sheet loan commitments at September 30, 2012, is as follows:

Off-balance sheet loan commitments (in thousands)	
Commitments to make loans	\$16,601
Undisbursed portion of loans closed	9,098
Unused lines of credit	31,133
Irrevocable letters of credit	578
Total loan commitments	\$57,410

Capital

Sound Community Bank is subject to minimum capital requirements imposed by regulations of the OCC. Based on its capital levels at September 30, 2012, Sound Community Bank exceeded these requirements as of that date. Consistent with our goals to operate a sound and profitable organization, our policy is for Sound Community Bank to maintain a "well-capitalized" status under the regulatory capital categories of the OCC. Based on capital levels at September 30, 2012, Sound Community Bank was considered to be well-capitalized. Management monitors the capital levels to provide for current and future business opportunities and to maintain Sound Community Bank's "well-capitalized" status.

The following table shows the capital ratios of Sound Community Bank at September 30, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

	Actual		Minimum Capital Requirements				Minimum Required to Be Well-Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provisions		
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	
Tier 1 Capital to total adjusted assets(1)	\$37,568	10.27 %	\$14,636	≥	4.00 %	18,295	≥	5.00 %	
Tier 1 Capital to risk-weighted assets(2)	\$37,568	13.76 %	\$10,921	≥	4.00 % ⁽³⁾	16,382	≥	6.00 %	
Total Capital to risk-weighted assets(2)	\$40,992	15.01 %	\$21,842	≥	8.00 %	27,303	≥	10.00 %	

(1) Based on total adjusted assets of \$365.9 million.

(2) Based on risk-weighted assets of \$273.0 million.

(3) The Tier 1 risk-based capital requirement for a well-capitalized institution is 6% of risk-weighted assets.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

The Company is a smaller reporting company and is not required to provide this disclosure.

The Company provided information about market risk in Item 7A of its Form 10-K Annual Report filed with the SEC on March 31, 2012. There have been no material changes in our market risk since our December 31, 2011 Form 10-K.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures.

An evaluation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a -15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act")), as of September 30, 2012, was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and several other members of the Company's senior management. The Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of September 30, 2012, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective in ensuring that the information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports it files or submits under the Act is: (i) accumulated and communicated to the Company's management (including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer) in a timely manner, and (ii) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms.

We intend to continually review and evaluate the design and effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures and to improve the Company's controls and procedures over time and to correct any deficiencies that we may discover in the future. The goal is to ensure that senior management has timely access to all material financial and non-financial information concerning the Company's business. While we believe the present design of the disclosure controls and procedures is effective to achieve this goal, future events affecting our business may cause the Company to modify its disclosure controls and procedures.

The Company does not expect that its disclosure controls and procedures will prevent all error and all fraud. A control procedure, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control procedure are met. Because of the inherent limitations in all control procedures, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the control. The design of any control procedure is also based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions; over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies and procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control procedure, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

(b) Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting.

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a - 15(f) under the Act) that occurred during the quarter ended September 30, 2012, that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1 Legal Proceedings

In the normal course of business, the Company occasionally becomes involved in various legal proceedings. In the opinion of management, any liability from such proceedings would not have a material adverse effect on the business or financial condition of the Company.

Item 1A Risk Factors

Not required; the Company is a smaller reporting company.

Item 2 Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and use of Proceeds

Nothing to report.

Item 3 Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Nothing to report.

Item 4 Mine Safety Disclosures

Not Applicable

Item 5. Other Information

Nothing to report

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibits:

2.0	Plan of Conversion and Reorganization (incorporated herein by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 30, 2012 (File No. 000-52889))
3.1	Charter of Sound Financial Bancorp, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed with the SEC on March 27, 2012 (File No. 333-180385))
3.2	Bylaws of Sound Financial Bancorp, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed with the SEC on March 27, 2012 (File No. 333-180385))
4.0	Form of Common Stock Certificate of Sound Financial Bancorp, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed with the SEC on March 27, 2012 (File No. 333-180385))
10.1	Employment Agreement by and between Sound Community Bank and Laura Lee Stewart (incorporated herein by reference to the Registration Statement on Form SB-2 filed with the SEC on September 20, 2007 (File No. 333-146196))
10.2	Executive Long Term Compensation Agreement effective August 14, 2007 by and between Sound Community Bank and Laura Lee Stewart (incorporated herein by reference to the Registration Statement on Form SB-2 filed with the SEC on September 20, 2007 (File No. 333-146196))
10.3	Amendment to Freeze Benefit Accruals Under the Executive Long Term Compensation Agreement effective August 14, 2007, by and between Sound Community Bank (incorporated herein by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 5, 2012 (File No. 000-52889))
10.4	Supplemental Executive Long Term Compensation Agreement effective December 31, 2011 by and between Sound Community Bank and Laura Lee Stewart (incorporated herein by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 5, 2012 (File No. 000-52889))
10.5	Confidentiality, Non-Competition and Non-Solicitation Agreement by and between Sound Community Bank and Laura Lee Stewart (incorporated herein by reference to the Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 5, 2012 (File No. 000-52889))
10.6	Employment Agreement by and between Sound Community Bank and Matthew Deines (incorporated herein by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on November 5, 2009 (File No. 000-52889))
10.7	Employment Agreement by and between Sound Community Bank and Matthew Moran (incorporated herein by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on November 5, 2009 (File No. 000-52889))
10.8	Addendums to the Employment Agreements by and between Sound Community Bank and each of Matthew Deines and

Edgar Filing: ABERDEEN AUSTRALIA EQUITY FUND INC - Form 3

	Matthew Moran (incorporated herein by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 3, 2012 (File No. 000-52889))
10.9	Summary of Director Board Fee Arrangements (incorporated herein by reference to the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on March 31, 2011 (File No. 000-52889))
10.10	Sound Financial Bancorp, Inc. 2008 Equity Incentive Plan (incorporated herein by reference to the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on March 31, 2009 (File No. 000-52889))
10.11	Forms of Incentive Stock Option Agreement, Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement and Restricted Stock Agreements under the 2008 Equity Incentive Plan (incorporated herein by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 29, 2009 (File No. 000-52889))
10.12	Summary of Annual Bonus Plan (incorporated herein by reference to the Registration Statement on Form SB-2 filed with the SEC on September 20, 2007 (File No. 333-146196))
11	Statement re computation of per share earnings (See Note 8 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.)
31.1	Rule 13(a)-14(a) Certification (Chief Executive Officer)
31.2	Rule 13(a)-14(a) Certification (Chief Financial Officer)
32	Section 1350 Certification
101	Interactive Data Files*

- In accordance with Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, these interactive data files are deemed not filed or a part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, are not deemed filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise are not subject to liability under those section.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Sound Financial Bancorp, Inc.

Date: November 14, 2012

By: /s/ Laura Lee Stewart
Laura Lee Stewart
President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: November 14, 2012

By: /s/ Matthew P. Deines
Matthew P. Deines
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer