APARTMENT INVESTMENT & MANAGEMENT CO
Form 10-Q
August 01, 2008

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

(Mark One)

þ **QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2008

OR

o **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from __________ to __________

Commission File Number 1-13232

Apartment Investment and Management Company
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland	**84-1259577**
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

4582 South Ulster Street Parkway, Suite 1100 Denver, Colorado	**80237**
(Address of principal executive offices)	(Zip Code)

(303) 757-8101
(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Not Applicable
(Former name, former address, and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes þ No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer þ	Accelerated filer o	Non-accelerated filer o (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)	Smaller reporting company o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No þ

The number of shares of Class A Common Stock outstanding as of July 28, 2008: 85,619,144

APARTMENT INVESTMENT AND MANAGEMENT COMPANY
TABLE OF CONTENTS
FORM 10-Q

	Page
PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
ITEM 1. ***Financial Statements***	
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007 (Unaudited)	2
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 (Unaudited)	3
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 (Unaudited)	4
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)	5
ITEM 2. ***Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations***	20
ITEM 3. ***Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk***	36
ITEM 4. ***Controls and Procedures***	36
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	
ITEM 1A. ***Risk Factors***	37
ITEM 2. ***Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds***	37
ITEM 4. ***Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders***	38
ITEM 6. ***Exhibits***	38
Signatures	39

Exhibit 31.1
Exhibit 31.2
Exhibit 32.1
Exhibit 32.2
Exhibit 99.1

Table of Contents

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. Financial Statements

APARTMENT INVESTMENT AND MANAGEMENT COMPANY
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(In thousands, except share data)
(Unaudited)

	June 30, 2008	December 31, 2007
ASSETS		
Real estate:		
Buildings and improvements	$ 9,275,563	$ 8,944,353
Land	2,551,108	2,542,322
Total real estate	11,826,671	11,486,675
Less accumulated depreciation	(2,962,147)	(2,747,403)
Net real estate	8,864,524	8,739,272
Cash and cash equivalents	330,163	210,461
Restricted cash	316,892	316,233
Accounts receivable, net	78,439	71,463
Accounts receivable from affiliates, net	32,420	34,958
Deferred financing costs, net	68,768	74,166
Notes receivable from unconsolidated real estate partnerships, net	31,869	35,186
Notes receivable from non-affiliates, net	147,635	143,054
Investment in unconsolidated real estate partnerships	106,388	117,217
Other assets	192,851	207,857
Deferred income tax assets, net	11,059	14,426
Assets held for sale	145,670	642,239
Total assets	$ 10,326,678	$ 10,606,532
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Property tax-exempt bond financing	$ 910,300	$ 901,985
Property loans payable	5,809,951	5,563,703
Term loans	475,000	475,000
Credit facility	145,000	
Other borrowings	87,839	75,057
Total indebtedness	7,428,090	7,015,745
Accounts payable	30,931	56,792
Accrued liabilities and other	366,197	449,485
Deferred income	205,494	201,498
Security deposits	48,450	45,622
Liabilities related to assets held for sale	113,723	532,645
Total liabilities	8,192,885	8,301,787

Minority interest in consolidated real estate partnerships	415,835	441,778
Minority interest in Aimco Operating Partnership	124,337	113,263
Commitments and contingencies (Note 5)		
Stockholders equity:		
Preferred Stock, perpetual	723,500	723,500
Class A Common Stock, $0.01 par value, 426,157,736 shares authorized, 87,431,180 and 96,130,586 shares issued and outstanding, at June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, respectively	874	961
Additional paid-in capital	2,740,890	3,049,417
Notes due on common stock purchases	(4,125)	(5,441)
Distributions in excess of earnings	(1,867,518)	(2,018,733)
Total stockholders equity	1,593,621	1,749,704
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	$ 10,326,678	$ 10,606,532

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

APARTMENT INVESTMENT AND MANAGEMENT COMPANY
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME
(In thousands, except per share data)
(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2008	**2007**	**2008**	**2007**
REVENUES:				
Rental and other property revenues	$ 384,191	$ 372,289	$ 768,354	$ 734,645
Property management revenues, primarily from affiliates	1,415	1,271	3,519	3,367
Asset management and tax credit revenues	38,175	15,178	51,027	26,808
Total revenues	423,781	388,738	822,900	764,820
OPERATING EXPENSES:				
Property operating expenses	174,158	168,992	361,441	336,618
Property management expenses	1,187	2,452	2,457	3,935
Investment management expenses	5,728	5,521	10,017	9,987
Depreciation and amortization	120,692	110,743	239,086	221,923
General and administrative expenses	27,064	24,024	48,488	46,100
Other expenses (income), net	5,459	(3,128)	10,297	(379)
Total operating expenses	334,288	308,604	671,786	618,184
Operating income	89,493	80,134	151,114	146,636
Interest income	718	10,107	9,114	20,154
Provision for losses on notes receivable, net	(534)	(735)	(1,693)	(2,278)
Interest expense	(102,365)	(95,578)	(203,677)	(190,586)
Deficit distributions to minority partners, net	(1,265)	(1,554)	(5,276)	(2,482)
Equity in (losses) earnings of unconsolidated real estate partnerships	(843)	930	(1,872)	(2,055)
Provision for real estate impairment losses	(2,518)		(2,518)	
Gain on dispositions of unconsolidated real estate and other	139	602	129	21,068
Loss before minority interests and discontinued operations	(17,175)	(6,094)	(54,679)	(9,543)
Minority interests:				
Minority interest in consolidated real estate partnerships	(2,352)	(85)	4,590	(3,793)
Minority interest in Aimco Operating Partnership, preferred	(1,925)	(1,782)	(3,707)	(3,564)
Minority interest in Aimco Operating Partnership, common	3,437	2,240	7,908	4,661
Total minority interests	(840)	373	8,791	(2,696)
Loss from continuing operations	(18,015)	(5,721)	(45,888)	(12,239)

Income from discontinued operations, net		274,054		25,050		277,381		56,776
Net income		256,039		19,329		231,493		44,537
Net income attributable to preferred stockholders		13,670		16,346		27,878		32,694
Net income attributable to common stockholders	$	242,369	$	2,983	$	203,615	$	11,843
Earnings (loss) per common share basic:								
Loss from continuing operations (net of preferred dividends)	$	(0.36)	$	(0.22)	$	(0.83)	$	(0.45)
Income from discontinued operations		3.12		0.25		3.11		0.57
Net income attributable to common stockholders	$	2.76	$	0.03	$	2.28	$	0.12
Earnings (loss) per common share diluted:								
Loss from continuing operations (net of preferred dividends)	$	(0.36)	$	(0.22)	$	(0.83)	$	(0.45)
Income from discontinued operations		3.12		0.25		3.11		0.57
Net income attributable to common stockholders	$	2.76	$	0.03	$	2.28	$	0.12
Weighted average common shares outstanding		87,790		100,494		89,381		100,494
Weighted average common shares and equivalents outstanding		87,790		100,494		89,381		100,494
Dividends declared per common share	$	0.60	$	0.57	$	0.60	$	0.57

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

APARTMENT INVESTMENT AND MANAGEMENT COMPANY
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(In thousands)
(Unaudited)

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2008	**2007**
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net income	$ 231,493	$ 44,537
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	239,086	221,923
Discontinued operations	(272,850)	(26,785)
Other adjustments	30,173	(23,866)
Net changes in operating assets and operating liabilities	12,731	(23,171)
Net cash provided by operating activities	240,633	192,638
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Purchases of real estate	(56,534)	(174,991)
Capital expenditures	(307,378)	(268,608)
Proceeds from dispositions of real estate	856,932	259,631
Change in funds held in escrow from tax-free exchanges	345	9,975
Purchases of partnership interests and other assets	(20,131)	(25,451)
Originations of notes receivable from unconsolidated real estate partnerships	(4,864)	(8,640)
Proceeds from repayment of notes receivable	5,044	14,152
Distributions from investments in unconsolidated real estate partnerships		1,814
Other investing activities	310	5,154
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	473,724	(186,964)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Proceeds from property loans	455,523	791,330
Principal repayments on property loans	(600,683)	(528,459)
Proceeds from tax exempt bond financing	21,200	82,350
Principal repayments on tax-exempt bond financing	(32,495)	(58,659)
Net borrowings on revolving credit facility	145,000	14,000
Repurchases of Class A Common Stock	(352,306)	(136,603)
Proceeds from Class A Common Stock option exercises	440	53,232
Payment of Class A Common Stock dividends	(107,808)	(116,363)
Payment of preferred stock dividends	(27,903)	(32,720)
Payment of distributions to minority interest	(109,654)	(42,178)
Other financing activities	14,031	(3,401)

Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(594,655)	22,529
NET INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	119,702	28,203
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD	210,461	229,824
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF PERIOD	$ 330,163	$ 258,027

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

APARTMENT INVESTMENT AND MANAGEMENT COMPANY
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
June 30, 2008
(Unaudited)

Note 1 Organization

Apartment Investment and Management Company, or Aimco, is a Maryland corporation incorporated on January 10, 1994. We are a self-administered and self-managed real estate investment trust, or REIT, engaged in the acquisition, ownership, management and redevelopment of apartment properties. As of June 30, 2008, we owned or managed a real estate portfolio of 1,114 apartment properties containing 188,672 apartment units located in 46 states, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico. Based on apartment unit data compiled by the National Multi Housing Council, as of January 1, 2008, we were the largest owner and operator of apartment properties in the United States.

As of June 30, 2008, we:

- owned an equity interest in and consolidated 140,750 units in 614 properties (which we refer to as consolidated), of which 139,259 units were also managed by us;

- owned an equity interest in and did not consolidate 10,662 units in 91 properties (which we refer to as unconsolidated), of which 5,009 units were also managed by us; and

- provided services for or managed 37,260 units in 409 properties, primarily pursuant to long-term agreements (including 34,038 units in 374 properties for which we provide asset management services only, and not also property management services). In certain cases we may indirectly own generally less than one percent of the operations of such properties through a partnership syndication or other fund.

Through our wholly-owned subsidiaries, AIMCO-GP, Inc. and AIMCO-LP Trust, we own a majority of the ownership interests in AIMCO Properties, L.P., which we refer to as the Aimco Operating Partnership. As of June 30, 2008, we held an interest of approximately 90% in the common partnership units and equivalents of the Aimco Operating Partnership. We conduct substantially all of our business and own substantially all of our assets through the Aimco Operating Partnership. Interests in the Aimco Operating Partnership that are held by limited partners other than Aimco are referred to as OP Units. OP Units include common OP Units, partnership preferred units, or preferred OP Units, and high performance partnership units, or High Performance Units. The Aimco Operating Partnership s income is allocated to holders of common OP Units based on the weighted average number of common OP Units outstanding during the period. The Aimco Operating Partnership records the issuance of common OP Units and the assets acquired in purchase transactions based on the market price of Aimco Class A Common Stock (which we refer to as Common Stock) at the date of closing of the transaction. The holders of the common OP Units and Class I High Performance Units receive distributions, prorated from the date of issuance, in an amount equivalent to the dividends paid to holders of Common Stock. Holders of common OP Units may redeem such units for cash or, at the Aimco Operating Partnership s option, Common Stock. Preferred OP Units entitle the holders thereof to a preference with respect to distributions or upon liquidation. At June 30, 2008, after elimination of certain shares of Common Stock held by consolidated subsidiaries, 87,431,180 shares of our Common Stock were outstanding and the Aimco Operating Partnership had 9,544,902 common OP Units and equivalents outstanding for a combined total of 96,976,082 shares of Common Stock and OP Units outstanding (excluding preferred OP Units).

Except as the context otherwise requires, we, our, us and the Company refer to Aimco, the Aimco Operat Partnership and their consolidated entities, collectively.

In December 2007, the Aimco Operating Partnership declared a special distribution of $2.51 per unit payable on January 30, 2008, to holders of record of common OP Units and High Performance Units on December 31, 2007. The special distribution totaled $257.2 million and was paid on 102,478,510 common OP Units and High Performance Units, including 92,795,891 common OP Units held by us. The Aimco Operating Partnership distributed to us common OP Units equal to the number of shares we issued pursuant to our corresponding special dividend (discussed below), in addition to $55.0 million in cash. Holders of common OP Units other than us and holders of High Performance Units received the distribution entirely in cash, which totaled $24.3 million.

Also in December 2007, our Board of Directors declared a corresponding special dividend of $2.51 per share payable on January 30, 2008, to holders of record of our Common Stock on December 31, 2007. Stockholders had the option to elect to receive payment of the special dividend in cash, shares or a combination of cash and shares, except that the aggregate amount of cash payable to all stockholders in the special dividend was limited to $55.0 million plus cash paid in lieu of fractional shares. The special dividend, totaling $232.9 million, was paid on 92,795,891 shares issued and outstanding on the record date, which included 416,140 shares held by certain of our consolidated subsidiaries. Approximately $177.9 million of the special dividend was paid through the issuance of 4,594,074 shares of Common Stock (including 20,339 shares issued to consolidated subsidiaries holding our shares), which was determined based on the average closing price of our Common Stock on January 23 and 24, 2008, or $38.71 per share.

After elimination of the effect of shares held by consolidated subsidiaries, the special dividend totaled $231.9 million. Approximately $177.1 million of the special dividend was paid through the issuance of 4,573,735 shares of Common Stock (excluding 20,339 shares issued to our consolidated subsidiaries) to holders of 92,379,751 shares of our Common Stock on the record date (excluding 416,140 shares held by certain of our consolidated subsidiaries), representing an increase of approximately 4.95% to the then outstanding shares. The effect of the issuance of additional shares of Common Stock pursuant to the special dividend has been retroactively reflected in each of the historical periods presented as if those shares were issued and outstanding at the beginning of the earliest period presented; accordingly, all activity prior to the ex-dividend date of the special dividend, including share issuances, repurchases and forfeitures, have been adjusted to reflect the 4.95% increase in the number of shares, except in limited instances where noted otherwise.

Note 2 Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America, or GAAP, have been condensed or omitted in accordance with such rules and regulations, although management believes the disclosures are adequate to prevent the information presented from being misleading. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring items) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2008.

The balance sheet at December 31, 2007, has been derived from the audited financial statements at that date but does not include all of the information and disclosures required by GAAP for complete financial statements. For further information, refer to the financial statements and notes thereto included in Aimco s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007. Certain 2007 financial statement amounts have been reclassified to conform to the 2008 presentation.

Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Aimco, the Aimco Operating Partnership, and their consolidated entities. We consolidate all variable interest entities for which we are the primary beneficiary. Generally, we consolidate real estate partnerships and other entities that are not variable interest entities when we own, directly or indirectly, a majority voting interest in the entity or are otherwise able to control the entity. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Interests in the Aimco Operating Partnership that are held by limited partners other than Aimco are reflected in the accompanying balance sheets as minority interest in Aimco Operating Partnership. Interests in partnerships consolidated into the Aimco Operating Partnership that are held by third parties are reflected in the accompanying balance sheets as minority interest in consolidated real estate partnerships. The assets of consolidated real estate partnerships owned or controlled by us generally are not available to pay creditors of Aimco or the Aimco Operating Partnership.

As used herein, and except where the context otherwise requires, partnership refers to a limited partnership or a limited liability company and partner refers to a partner in a limited partnership or a member in a limited liability company.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of our consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts included in the financial statements and accompanying notes thereto. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

We test for the recoverability of real estate assets that do not currently meet all conditions to be classified as held for sale, but are expected to be disposed of prior to the end of their estimated useful lives. If events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of a property may not be recoverable, we make an assessment of its recoverability by comparing the carrying amount to our estimate of the undiscounted future cash flows of the property, excluding interest charges. If the carrying amount exceeds the estimated aggregate undiscounted future cash flows, we recognize an impairment loss to the extent the carrying amount exceeds the estimated fair value of the property. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, based on the shortened anticipated holding period for certain properties classified as held for use, we recognized impairment losses of $2.5 million. We recognized no such impairment losses during the three and six months ended June 30, 2007.

If an impairment loss is not required to be recorded under the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets,* or SFAS 144, the recognition of depreciation is adjusted prospectively, as necessary, to reduce the carrying amount of the real estate to its estimated disposition value over the remaining period that the real estate is expected to be held and used. We also may adjust depreciation prospectively to reduce to zero the carrying amount of buildings that we plan to demolish in connection with a redevelopment project. These depreciation adjustments, after adjustments for minority interest in the Aimco Operating Partnership, decreased net income by $2.5 million and $9.9 million, and resulted in a decrease in basic and diluted earnings per share of $0.03 and $0.10, for the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, these depreciation adjustments decreased net income by $5.8 million and $20.9 million, and resulted in a decrease in basic and diluted earnings per share of $0.07 and $0.21, respectively.

During the six months ended June 30, 2007, we evaluated the recoverability of our $6.3 million equity investment in a group purchasing organization and a related $3.4 million note receivable. We initiated our evaluation as a result of information concerning its relationships with significant vendors. Based on our evaluation, we recorded impairments of $2.5 million in equity in losses of real estate partnerships and $1.4 million in provision for losses on notes receivable to adjust the carrying amounts of our equity investment and note receivable, respectively, to their estimated fair values. We did not recognize any such impairments during the six months ended June 30, 2008.

During the six months ended June 30, 2008, we reassessed our approach to communication technology needs at our properties, which resulted in the discontinuation of an infrastructure project and a $4.8 million write-off of related hardware and capitalized internal and consulting costs included in other assets. The write-off, which is net of estimated sales proceeds totaling $2.1 million, is included in other expense (income), net. During the six months ended June 30, 2008, we additionally recorded a $1.0 million write off of certain software and hardware assets that are no longer consistent with our information technology strategy. This write-off is included in depreciation and amortization. During the six months ended June 30, 2007, we abandoned certain internal-use software development projects and recorded a $1.8 million write-off of the capitalized costs of such projects in depreciation and amortization.

Income Taxes

In March 2008, we were notified by the Internal Revenue Service that it intended to examine the 2006 Federal tax return for the Aimco Operating Partnership. During June 2008, the IRS issued AIMCO-GP, Inc., the general and tax matters partner of the Aimco Operating Partnership, a summary report including the government s proposed adjustments to the Aimco Operating Partnership s 2006 Federal tax return. We do not expect the proposed adjustments to have any material effect on our unrecognized tax benefits, financial condition or results of operations.

Adoption of SFAS 157

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*, or SFAS 157. SFAS 157 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. SFAS 157 applies whenever other standards require assets or liabilities to be measured at fair value and does not expand the use of fair value in any new circumstances. SFAS 157 establishes a hierarchy that prioritizes the information used in developing fair value estimates and requires disclosure of fair value measurements by level within the fair value hierarchy. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable data (Level 3 measurements), such as the reporting entity s own

data. In February 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. FAS 157-2, *Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157*, which deferred the effective date of SFAS 157 for all nonrecurring fair value measurements of non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities until fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008, including interim periods within those fiscal years. The provisions of SFAS 157 are applicable to recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements of financial assets and liabilities for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, including interim periods within those fiscal years. We adopted the provisions of SFAS 157 effective January 1, 2008, and at that time determined no transition adjustment was required.

Basis of Fair Value Measurement (Valuation Hierarchy)

SFAS 157 establishes a three-level valuation hierarchy for disclosure of fair value measurements. The valuation hierarchy is based upon the transparency of inputs to the valuation of an asset or liability as of the measurement date. The three levels are defined as follows:

Level 1 -	Unadjusted quoted prices for identical and unrestricted assets or liabilities in active markets
Level 2 -	Quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, and inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument
Level 3 -	Unobservable inputs that are significant to the fair value measurement

A financial instrument s categorization within the valuation hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

Following is a description of the valuation methodologies used for our significant financial instruments measured at fair value on a recurring or nonrecurring basis. Although some of the valuation methodologies use observable market inputs in limited instances, the majority of inputs we use are unobservable and are therefore classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy.

Fair Value Measurement	Valuation Methodologies
Notes receivable	We assess the collectibility of notes receivable on a periodic basis, which assessment consists primarily of an evaluation of cash flow projections of the borrower to determine whether estimated cash flows are sufficient to repay principal and interest in accordance with the contractual terms of the note. We recognize impairments on notes receivable when it is probable that principal and interest will not be received in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan. The amount of the impairment to be recognized generally is based on the fair value of the real estate, the collateral for the loan, which represents the primary source of loan repayment. The fair value of the collateral, such as real estate or interests in real estate partnerships, is estimated through income and market valuation approaches using information such as broker estimates, purchase prices for recent transactions on comparable assets and net operating income capitalization analyses using observable and unobservable inputs such as capitalization rates, asset quality grading, geographic location analysis, and local supply and demand observations.
Total rate of return swaps	Our total rate of return swaps have contractually-defined termination values generally equal to the difference between the fair value and the counterparty s purchased value of the underlying borrowings. Upon termination, we are required to pay the counterparty the difference if the fair value is less than the purchased value, and the counterparty is required to pay us the difference if the fair value is greater than the purchased value. The underlying borrowings are generally callable, at our option, at face value prior to maturity and with no prepayment penalty. Due to our control of the call features in the underlying borrowings, we believe the inherent value of any differential between the fixed and variable cash payments due under the swaps would be significantly discounted by a market participant willing to purchase or assume any rights and obligations under these contracts.

Fair Value Measurement	Valuation Methodologies
Total rate of return swaps (continued)	The swaps are generally cross-collateralized with other swap contracts with the same counterparty and do not allow transfer or assignment, thus there is no alternate or secondary market for these instruments. Accordingly, our assumptions of the fair value that a willing market participant would assign in valuing these instruments are based on a hypothetical market in which the highest and best use of these contracts is in-use in combination with the related borrowings, similar to how we utilize the contracts. Based on these assumptions, we believe the termination value, or exit value, of the swaps approximates the fair value that would be assigned by a willing market participant. We calculate the termination value using a market approach by reference to estimates of the fair value of the underlying borrowings, which are discussed below, and an evaluation of potential changes in the credit quality of the counterparties to these arrangements. We compare our estimates of fair value of the swaps and related borrowings to valuations provided by the counterparties on a quarterly basis. Our method for calculating fair value of the swaps generally results in changes in fair value equal to the changes in fair value of the related borrowings. We believe these instruments are highly effective in offsetting the changes in fair value of the borrowings during the hedging period.
Changes in fair value of borrowings subject to total rate of return swaps	We recognize changes in the fair value of certain borrowings subject to total rate of return swaps, which we have designated as fair value hedges in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities,* or SFAS 133. We estimate the fair value of debt instruments using an income and market approach, including comparison of the contractual terms to observable and unobservable inputs such as market interest rate risk spreads, collateral quality and loan-to-value ratios on similarly encumbered assets within our portfolio. These borrowings are collateralized and non-recourse to us; therefore, we believe changes in our credit rating will not materially affect a market participant s estimate of the borrowings fair value.

The methods described above may produce a fair value calculation that may not be indicative of net realizable value or reflective of future fair values. Furthermore, although we believe our valuation methods are appropriate and consistent with other market participants, the use of different methodologies or assumptions to determine the fair value of certain financial instruments could result in a different estimate of fair value at the reporting date.

Amounts reported at fair value in our condensed consolidated balance sheet at June 30, 2008, all of which are based on significant unobservable inputs classified within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy, are summarized below (in thousands):

	Assets (Liabilities)
Total rate of return swaps	$ (15,929)
Cumulative reduction of carrying amount of debt instruments subject to total rate of return swaps	$ 15,929

9

Table of Contents

Changes in Level 3 Fair Value Measurements

The table below presents the balance sheet amounts at December 31, 2007, and June 30, 2008 (and the changes in fair value between such dates) for fair value measurements classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy (in thousands). When a determination is made to classify a fair value measurement within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy, the determination is based upon the significance of the unobservable factors to the overall fair value measurement. However, Level 3 fair value measurements typically include, in addition to the unobservable or Level 3 components, observable components that can be validated to observable external sources; accordingly, the changes in fair value in the table below are due in part to observable factors that are part of the valuation methodology.

	Fair value at December 31, 2007	Unrealized Gains (Losses) included in earnings (1)	Realized gains (losses) included in earnings (2)	Fair value at June 30, 2008
Total rate of return swaps	$ (9,420)	$ (6,509)(3)	$	$ (15,929)
Changes in fair value of debt instruments subject to total rate of return swaps	9,420	6,509 (3)		15,929
Total	$	$	$	$

(1) Unrealized gains (losses) relate to periodic revaluations of fair value and have not resulted from the settlement of a swap position.

(2) For total rate of return swaps, realized gains (losses) occur upon the settlement, resulting from the repayment of the underlying borrowings or the early termination of the swap, and

include any net amounts paid or received upon such settlement.

(3) Included in interest expense in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of income.

Adoption of SFAS 159

In February 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Asset and Financial Liabilities*, or SFAS 159. SFAS 159 permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value that are not currently required to be measured at fair value. The objective is to improve financial reporting by providing entities with the opportunity to mitigate volatility in reported earnings caused by measuring related assets and liabilities differently without having to apply complex hedge accounting provisions. SFAS 159 also establishes presentation and disclosure requirements designed to facilitate comparisons between entities that choose different measurement attributes for similar types of assets and liabilities. SFAS 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. We implemented SFAS 159 on January 1, 2008, and at that time did not elect the fair value option for any of our financial instruments or other items within the scope of SFAS 159.

Note 3 Real Estate Acquisitions and Dispositions

Real Estate Acquisitions

During the six months ended June 30, 2008, we acquired one conventional property, located in San Jose, California, with 224 units. The aggregate purchase price of $56.0 million, excluding transaction costs, was funded using $35.0 million in proceeds from a mortgage loan, $20.8 million in tax-free exchange proceeds (provided by 2008 real estate dispositions) and the remainder with cash. During the six months ended June 30, 2007, we acquired 14 conventional properties with 1,187 units for an aggregate purchase price of $191.0 million, including transaction costs. Of the 14 properties acquired, nine are located in New York City; two in Daytona Beach, Florida; one in Park Forest, Illinois; one in Poughkeepsie, New York; and one in Redwood City, California. The purchase included the assumption of $16.0 million mortgage debt, and the remainder of the purchase price was funded using cash of $149.3 million and tax free exchange proceeds of $25.7 million.

Real Estate Dispositions (Discontinued Operations)

We are currently marketing for sale certain real estate properties that are inconsistent with our long-term investment strategy. At the end of each reporting period, we evaluate whether such properties meet the criteria to be classified as held for sale, including whether such properties are expected to be sold within twelve months. Additionally, certain properties that do not meet all of the criteria to be classified as held for sale at the balance sheet date may nevertheless be sold and included in discontinued operations in the subsequent twelve months; thus the number of properties that may be sold during the subsequent twelve months could exceed the number classified as held for sale. At June 30, 2008, we had 16 properties, with an aggregate of 3,829 units, classified as held for sale. Amounts classified as held for sale in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets were as follows (in thousands):

	June 30, 2008	December 31, 2007
Real estate, net	$ 142,674	$ 633,212
Other assets	2,996	9,027
Assets held for sale	$ 145,670	$ 642,239
Property debt	$ 112,588	$ 527,653
Other liabilities	1,135	4,992
Liabilities related to assets held for sale	$ 113,723	$ 532,645

During the six months ended June 30, 2008, we sold 45 properties with an aggregate of 13,533 units. During the year ended December 31, 2007, we sold 73 properties with an aggregate of 11,588 units. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, discontinued operations includes the results of operations for the periods prior to the date of sale for all of the above properties sold or classified as held for sale as of June 30, 2008.

The following is a summary of the components of income from discontinued operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
Rental and other property revenues	$ 36,207	$ 54,288	$ 76,661	$ 113,452
Property operating expenses	(19,606)	(25,774)	(40,214)	(56,990)
Depreciation and amortization	(5,884)	(12,662)	(15,939)	(26,985)
Other expenses, net	(2,271)	(651)	(2,498)	(1,885)
Operating income	8,446	15,201	18,010	27,592
Interest income	39	367	340	782
Interest expense	(5,383)	(9,842)	(12,695)	(22,607)
Gain on extinguishment of debt				22,852
Minority interest in consolidated real estate partnerships	257	35	174	(2,064)
Income before gain on dispositions of real estate, impairment losses, deficit distributions to minority partners, income tax and minority interest in	3,359	5,761	5,829	26,555

Aimco Operating Partnership				
Gain on dispositions of real estate, net of minority partners interest	314,025	24,311	315,350	39,901
Real estate impairment (losses) recoveries, net	(4,018)	60	(4,018)	(783)
Recovery of deficit distributions (deficit distributions) to minority partners	7,701	81	7,510	(321)
Income tax arising from dispositions	(17,149)	(2,597)	(17,063)	(2,761)
Minority interest in Aimco Operating Partnership	(29,864)	(2,566)	(30,227)	(5,815)
Income from discontinued operations, net	$ 274,054	$ 25,050	$ 277,381	$ 56,776

Gain on dispositions of real estate is reported net of incremental direct costs incurred in connection with the transaction, including any prepayment penalties incurred upon repayment of mortgage loans collateralized by the property being sold. Such prepayment penalties totaled $23.8 million and $25.1 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, respectively, and $4.2 million and $5.3 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, respectively. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, we recorded impairment losses totaling $4.0 million on assets held for sale to reduce the carrying amounts for those properties to their estimated fair value, less estimated costs to sell. We classify interest expense related to property level debt within discontinued operations when the related real estate asset is sold or classified as held for sale.

Note 4 Other Significant Transactions

Common Stock Repurchases

Our Board of Directors has, from time to time, authorized us to repurchase shares of our outstanding capital stock. During the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, we repurchased 8,997,746 and 2,354,658 shares of Common Stock for cash totaling $323.5 million and $126.3 million, respectively. We also paid cash totaling $28.7 million and $10.3 million in January 2008 and 2007, respectively, to settle repurchases of Common Stock in December 2007 and 2006. As of June 30, 2008, we were authorized to repurchase approximately 24.2 million additional shares.

Transactions Involving VMS National Properties Joint Venture

In January 2007, VMS National Properties Joint Venture, or VMS, a consolidated real estate partnership in which we held a 22% equity interest, refinanced mortgage loans secured by its 15 apartment properties. The existing loans had an aggregate carrying amount of $110.0 million and an aggregate face amount of $152.2 million. The $42.2 million difference between the face amount and carrying amount resulted from a 1997 bankruptcy settlement in which the lender agreed to reduce the principal amount of the loans subject to VMS s compliance with the terms of the restructured loans. Because the reduction in the loan amount was contingent on future compliance, recognition of the inherent debt extinguishment gain was deferred. Upon refinancing of the loans in January 2007, the existing lender accepted the reduced principal amount in full satisfaction of the loans, and VMS recognized the $42.2 million debt extinguishment gain in earnings.

During 2007, VMS sold eight properties to third parties and we acquired its seven remaining properties. Approximately $22.8 million of the $42.2 million debt extinguishment gain relates to the mortgage loans that were secured by the eight properties sold to third parties and is reported in discontinued operations for the six months ended June 30, 2007. The remaining $19.4 million portion of the debt extinguishment gain relates to the mortgage loans that were secured by the seven VMS properties we purchased and is reported in our continuing operations as gain on dispositions of unconsolidated real estate and other. The eight properties sold to third parties were sold during the six months ended June 30, 2007, at an aggregate gain of $22.7 million. Although 78% of the equity interests in VMS were held by unrelated minority partners, no minority interest share of the gains on debt extinguishment and sale of the properties was recognized in our earnings. As required by GAAP, we had in prior years recognized the minority partners share of VMS losses in excess of the minority partners capital contributions. The amounts of those previously recognized losses exceeded the minority partners share of the gains on debt extinguishment and sale of the properties; accordingly, the minority interest in such gains recognized in our earnings was limited to the minority interest in the Aimco Operating Partnership. For the three months ended June 30, 2007, the aggregate effect of the gains on extinguishment of VMS debt and sale of VMS properties had no impact on loss from continuing operations and increased net income by $6.5 million ($0.06 per diluted share). For the six months ended June 30, 2007, the aggregate effect of the gains on extinguishment of VMS debt and sale of VMS properties was to decrease loss from continuing operations by $17.6 million ($0.18 per diluted share) and increase net income by $59.0 million ($0.59 per diluted share).

Table of Contents

Note 5 Commitments and Contingencies

Commitments

In connection with our redevelopment and capital improvement activities, we have commitments of approximately $151.9 million related to construction projects, most of which we expect to incur within one year. Additionally, we enter into certain commitments for future purchases of goods and services in connection with the operations of our properties. Those commitments generally have terms of one year or less and reflect expenditure levels comparable to our historical expenditures.

We have committed to fund an additional $6.0 million in second mortgage loans on certain properties in West Harlem, in New York City. In certain circumstances, we also could be required to acquire the properties for cash and/or assumption of first mortgage debt totaling approximately $149.0 million to $216.0 million, in addition to amounts funded and committed under the related loan agreement.

Tax Credit Arrangements

We are required to manage certain consolidated real estate partnerships in compliance with various laws, regulations and contractual provisions that apply to our historic and low-income housing tax credit syndication arrangements. In some instances, noncompliance with applicable requirements could result in projected tax benefits not being realized and require a refund or reduction of investor capital contributions, which are reported as deferred income in our consolidated balance sheet, until such time as our obligation to deliver tax benefits is relieved. The remaining compliance periods for our tax credit syndication arrangements range from less than one year to 15 years. At June 30, 2008, we do not anticipate that any material refunds or reductions of investor capital contributions will be required in connection with these arrangements.

Legal Matters

In addition to the matters described below, we are a party to various legal actions and administrative proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business, some of which are covered by our general liability insurance program, and none of which we expect to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Limited Partnerships

In connection with our acquisitions of interests in real estate partnerships, we are sometimes subject to legal actions, including allegations that such activities may involve breaches of fiduciary duties to the partners of such real estate partnerships or violations of the relevant partnership agreements. We may incur costs in connection with the defense or settlement of such litigation. We believe that we comply with our fiduciary obligations and relevant partnership agreements. Although the outcome of any litigation is uncertain, we do not expect any such legal actions to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Environmental

Various Federal, state and local laws subject property owners or operators to liability for management, and the costs of removal or remediation, of certain hazardous substances present on a property. Such laws often impose liability without regard to whether the owner or operator knew of, or was responsible for, the release or presence of the hazardous substances. The presence of, or the failure to manage or remedy properly, hazardous substances may adversely affect occupancy at affected apartment communities and the ability to sell or finance affected properties. In addition to the costs associated with investigation and remediation actions brought by government agencies, and potential fines or penalties imposed by such agencies in connection therewith, the presence of hazardous substances on a property could result in claims by private plaintiffs for personal injury, disease, disability or other infirmities. Various laws also impose liability for the cost of removal, remediation or disposal of hazardous substances through a licensed disposal or treatment facility. Anyone who arranges for the disposal or treatment of hazardous substances is potentially liable under such laws. These laws often impose liability whether or not the person arranging for the disposal ever owned or operated the disposal facility. In connection with the ownership, operation and management of properties, we could potentially be liable for environmental liabilities or costs associated with our properties or properties we acquire or manage in the future.

Table of Contents

We have determined that our legal obligations to remove or remediate hazardous substances may be conditional asset retirement obligations, as defined in FASB Interpretation No. 47, *Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations*. Except in limited circumstances where the asset retirement activities are expected to be performed in connection with a planned construction project or property casualty, we believe that the fair value of our asset retirement obligations cannot be reasonably estimated due to significant uncertainties in the timing and manner of settlement of those obligations. Asset retirement obligations that are reasonably estimable as of June 30, 2008, are immaterial to our consolidated financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Mold

We have been named as a defendant in lawsuits that have alleged personal injury and property damage as a result of the presence of mold. In addition, we are aware of lawsuits against owners and managers of multifamily properties asserting claims of personal injury and property damage caused by the presence of mold, some of which have resulted in substantial monetary judgments or settlements. We have only limited insurance coverage for property damage loss claims arising from the presence of mold and for personal injury claims related to mold exposure. We have implemented policies, procedures, third-party audits and training, and include a detailed moisture intrusion and mold assessment during acquisition due diligence. We believe these measures will prevent or eliminate mold exposure from our properties and will minimize the effects that mold may have on our residents. To date, we have not incurred any material costs or liabilities relating to claims of mold exposure or to abate mold conditions. Because the law regarding mold is unsettled and subject to change, we can make no assurance that liabilities resulting from the presence of or exposure to mold will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

FLSA Litigation

As previously disclosed, the Aimco Operating Partnership and NHP Management Company (NHPMN), our subsidiary, were defendants in a lawsuit, filed as a collective action in August 2003 in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, alleging that they willfully violated the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA) by failing to pay maintenance workers overtime for time worked in excess of 40 hours per week (overtime claims). The plaintiffs also contended that the Aimco Operating Partnership and NHPMN failed to compensate maintenance workers for time that they were required to be on-call (on-call claims). In March 2007, the court in the District of Columbia decertified the collective action. In July 2007, plaintiffs counsel filed individual cases in Federal court in 22 different jurisdictions. In the second quarter 2008, we settled the overtime cases involving 652 plaintiffs and established a framework for resolving the 88 remaining on-call claims and the attorneys fees claimed by plaintiffs counsel. As a result, the lawsuits asserted in the 22 Federal courts will be dismissed.

Table of Contents

Note 6 Earnings per Share

We calculate earnings per share based on the weighted average number of shares of Common Stock, common stock equivalents and dilutive convertible securities outstanding during the period. The following table illustrates the calculation of basic and diluted earnings per share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 (in thousands, except per share data):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2008	**2007**	**2008**	**2007**
Numerator:				
Loss from continuing operations	$ (18,015)	$ (5,721)	$ (45,888)	$ (12,239)
Less net income attributable to preferred stockholders	(13,670)	(16,346)	(27,878)	(32,694)
Numerator for basic and diluted earnings per share Loss from continuing operations (net of income attributable to preferred stockholders)	$ (31,685)	$ (22,067)	$ (73,766)	$ (44,933)
Income from discontinued operations	$ 274,054	$ 25,050	$ 277,381	$ 56,776
Net income	$ 256,039	$ 19,329	$ 231,493	$ 44,537
Less net income attributable to preferred stockholders	(13,670)	(16,346)	(27,878)	(32,694)
Numerator for basic and diluted earnings per share Net income attributable to common stockholders	$ 242,369	$ 2,983	$ 203,615	$ 11,843
Denominator:				
Denominator for basic earnings per share weighted average number of shares of Common Stock outstanding	87,790	100,494	89,381	100,494
Effect of dilutive securities:				
Dilutive potential common shares				
Denominator for diluted earnings per share	87,790	100,494	89,381	100,494
Earnings (loss) per common share:				
Basic earnings (loss) per common share:				
Loss from continuing operations (net of income attributable to preferred stockholders)	$ (0.36)	$ (0.22)	$ (0.83)	$ (0.45)
Income from discontinued operations	3.12	0.25	3.11	0.57
Net income attributable to common stockholders	$ 2.76	$ 0.03	$ 2.28	$ 0.12
Diluted earnings (loss) per common share:				
Loss from continuing operations (net of income attributable to preferred stockholders)	$ (0.36)	$ (0.22)	$ (0.83)	$ (0.45)

Income from discontinued operations		3.12		0.25		3.11		0.57
Net income attributable to common stockholders	$	2.76	$	0.03	$	2.28	$	0.12

Weighted average shares of Common Stock outstanding, dilutive potential common shares and earnings (loss) per common share for each of the periods presented have been retroactively adjusted for the effect of the special dividend discussed in Note 1.

Prior to its redemption on September 30, 2007, our Class W Preferred Stock that was convertible into Common Stock was anti-dilutive on an if converted basis. Therefore, we deducted all of the dividends payable on the convertible preferred stock to arrive at the numerator and no additional shares were included in the denominator when calculating basic and diluted earnings per common share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007. As of June 30, 2008 and 2007, the common share equivalents that could potentially dilute basic earnings per share in future periods totaled 9.1 million and 9.1 million, respectively. These securities, including stock options, restricted stock awards and non-recourse shares, have been excluded from the earnings per share computations for the periods presented above because their effect would have been anti-dilutive.

We consider the Aimco Operating Partnership s High Performance Units for which the applicable measurement period has not ended to be potential Common Stock equivalents. As of June 30, 2008, the related performance benchmarks for the Class IX High Performance Units would not have been achieved if the related measurement period had ended on that date. As of June 30, 2007, if the applicable measurement period had ended on that date, the performance benchmarks for the Class VIII and Class IX High Performance Units would have been achieved, which would have resulted in the issuance of the equivalent of approximately 0.8 million common OP Units. However, these potential Common Stock equivalents have been excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, because their effect was anti-dilutive.

Table of Contents

Note 7 Recent Accounting Developments

In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141(R), *Business Combinations a replacement of FASB Statement No. 141*, or SFAS 141(R). SFAS 141(R) applies to all transactions or events in which an entity obtains control of one or more businesses, including those effected without the transfer of consideration, for example, by contract or through a lapse of minority veto rights. SFAS 141(R) requires the acquiring entity in a business combination to recognize the full fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the transaction (whether a full or partial acquisition); establishes the acquisition-date fair value as the measurement objective for all assets acquired and liabilities assumed; requires expensing of most transaction and restructuring costs; and requires the acquirer to disclose to investors and other users all of the information needed to evaluate and understand the nature and financial effect of the business combination. SFAS 141(R) is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and early adoption is not permitted. We have not yet determined the effect that SFAS 141(R) will have on our financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements an amendment of ARB No. 51*, or SFAS 160. SFAS 160 clarifies that a noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary is an ownership interest in a consolidated entity which should be reported as equity in the parent s consolidated financial statements. SFAS 160 requires a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances of equity attributable to noncontrolling interests and disclosure, on the face of the consolidated income statements, of those amounts of consolidated net income attributable to the noncontrolling interests, eliminating the past practice of reporting these amounts as an adjustment in arriving at consolidated net income. SFAS 160 requires a parent to recognize a gain or loss in net income when a subsidiary is deconsolidated and requires the parent to attribute to noncontrolling interests their share of losses even if such attribution results in a deficit noncontrolling interests balance within the parent s equity accounts. SFAS 160 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 and requires retroactive application of the presentation and disclosure requirements for all periods presented. Early adoption is not permitted. We have not yet determined the effect that SFAS 160 will have on our financial statements.

In March 2008, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 161, *Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133*, or SFAS 161. SFAS 161 expands the disclosure requirements of SFAS 133 to require qualitative disclosures about the objectives and strategies for using derivatives, quantitative disclosures about the fair value of gains and losses on derivative instruments and disclosures on credit-risk-related contingent features in derivative contracts. SFAS 161 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008, with early adoption encouraged. At initial adoption, SFAS 161 also encourages, but does not require, comparative disclosures for earlier periods. We have not yet determined the effect that SFAS 161 will have on our financial statements.

In June 2008, the FASB issued FSP EITF 03-6-1, *Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions are Participating Securities*, or the FSP. The FSP clarifies that unvested share-based payment awards that participate in dividends similar to shares of common stock or common partnership units should be treated as participating securities. The FSP may affect the computation of basic EPS for unvested restricted stock awards and the recourse portion of shares purchased pursuant to officer stock loans, both of which entitle the holders to dividends. The FSP is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and quarters within those years. We have not yet determined the effect the FSP will have on our financial statements.

Note 8 Business Segments

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 131, *Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information*, or SFAS 131, requires that segment disclosures present the measure(s) used by the chief operating decision maker for purposes of assessing such segments performance. Our chief operating decision maker is comprised of several members of our executive management team who use several generally accepted industry financial measures to assess the performance of the business, including net asset value, which is the estimated fair value of our assets, net of debt, or NAV; funds from operations, or FFO; adjusted funds from operations, which is FFO less spending for capital replacements; same store property operating results; net operating income; free cash flow, which is net operating income less spending for capital replacements; economic income, which represents

changes in NAV plus cash dividends; financial coverage ratios; and leverage as shown on our balance sheet. The chief operating decision maker emphasizes net operating income as a key measurement of segment profit or loss. Net operating income is generally defined as segment revenues less direct segment operating expenses.

We have two reportable segments: real estate and investment management.

Table of Contents

Real Estate Segment

Our real estate segment owns and operates properties that generate rental and other property-related income through the leasing of apartment units to a diverse base of residents. Our real estate segment s net operating income also includes income from property management services performed for unconsolidated partnerships and unrelated parties.

Investment Management Segment

Our investment management segment includes portfolio strategy, capital allocation, joint ventures, tax credit syndication, acquisitions, dispositions and other transaction activities. Within our owned portfolio, we refer to these activities as Portfolio Management, and their benefit is seen in property operating results and in investment gains. For affiliated partnerships, we refer to these activities as Asset Management, for which we are separately compensated through fees paid by third party investors. The expenses of this segment consist primarily of the costs of departments that perform these activities. These activities are conducted in part by our taxable subsidiaries, and the related net operating income may be subject to income taxes. Our investment management segment s operating results also include gains on dispositions of non-depreciable assets, accretion of loan discounts resulting from transactional activities and certain other income in arriving at income (loss) from continuing operations for the segment.

The following tables present the revenues, net operating income (loss) and income (loss) from continuing operations of our real estate and investment management segments for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 (in thousands):

	Real Estate Segment	Investment Management Segment	Corporate (Not Allocated to Segments)	Total
Three Months Ended June 30, 2008:				
Rental and other property revenues	$ 384,191	$	$	$ 384,191
Property management revenues, primarily from affiliates	1,415			1,415
Asset management and tax credit revenues		38,175		38,175
Total revenues	385,606	38,175		423,781
Property operating expenses	174,158			174,158
Property management expenses	1,187			1,187
Investment management expenses		5,728		5,728
Depreciation and amortization (1)			120,692	120,692
General and administrative expenses			27,064	27,064
Other expenses, net			5,459	5,459
Total operating expenses	175,345	5,728	153,215	334,288
Net operating income (loss)	210,261	32,447	(153,215)	89,493
Other items included in continuing operations (2)		(1,185)	(106,323)	(107,508)
Income (loss) from continuing operations	$ 210,261	$ 31,262	$ (259,538)	$ (18,015)

	Real Estate Segment	Investment Management Segment	Corporate (Not Allocated to Segments)	Total
Three Months Ended June 30, 2007:				
Rental and other property revenues	$ 372,289	$	$	$ 372,289
Property management revenues, primarily from affiliates	1,271			1,271
Asset management and tax credit revenues		15,178		15,178
Total revenues	373,560	15,178		388,738
Property operating expenses	168,992			168,992
Property management expenses	2,452			2,452
Investment management expenses		5,521		5,521
Depreciation and amortization (1)			110,743	110,743
General and administrative expenses			24,024	24,024
Other income, net			(3,128)	(3,128)
Total operating expenses	171,444	5,521	131,639	308,604
Net operating income (loss)	202,116	9,657	(131,639)	80,134
Other items included in continuing operations (2)		2,512	(88,367)	(85,855)
Income (loss) from continuing operations	$ 202,116	$ 12,169	$ (220,006)	$ (5,721)

	Real Estate Segment	Investment Management Segment	Corporate (Not Allocated to Segments)	Total
Six Months Ended June 30, 2008:				
Rental and other property revenues	$ 768,354	$	$	$ 768,354
Property management revenues, primarily from affiliates	3,519			3,519
Asset management and tax credit revenues		51,027		51,027
Total revenues	771,873	51,027		822,900
Property operating expenses	361,441			361,441
Property management expenses	2,457			2,457
Investment management expenses		10,017		10,017
Depreciation and amortization (1)			239,086	239,086
General and administrative expenses			48,488	48,488
Other expenses, net			10,297	10,297

Total operating expenses	363,898	10,017	297,871	671,786
Net operating income (loss)	407,975	41,010	(297,871)	151,114
Other items included in continuing operations (2)		1,814	(198,816)	(197,002)
Income (loss) from continuing operations	$ 407,975	$ 42,824	$ (496,687)	$ (45,888)

Table of Contents

	Real Estate Segment	Investment Management Segment	Corporate (Not Allocated to Segments)	Total
Six Months Ended June 30, 2007:				
Rental and other property revenues	$ 734,645	$	$	$ 734,645
Property management revenues, primarily from affiliates	3,367			3,367
Asset management and tax credit revenues		26,808		26,808
Total revenues	738,012	26,808		764,820
Property operating expenses	336,618			336,618
Property management expenses	3,935			3,935
Investment management expenses		9,987		9,987
Depreciation and amortization (1)			221,923	221,923
General and administrative expenses			46,100	46,100
Other income, net			(379)	(379)
Total operating expenses	340,553	9,987	267,644	618,184
Net operating income (loss)	397,459	16,821	(267,644)	146,636
Other items included in continuing operations (2)		4,800	(163,675)	(158,875)
Income (loss) from continuing operations	$ 397,459	$ 21,621	$ (431,319)	$ (12,239)

(1) Our chief operating decision maker assesses the performance of real estate using, among other measures, net operating income, excluding depreciation and amortization. Accordingly, we do not allocate depreciation and amortization to the real estate segment.

(2) Other items in continuing operations for the investment management segment include accretion income recognized on discounted notes receivable and other income items associated with transactional activities. Other items in continuing operations not allocated to segments include: (i) interest income and expense; (ii) recoveries of, or provisions for, losses on notes receivable and impairment of real estate, net; (iii) deficit distributions to minority partners; (iv) equity in losses of unconsolidated real estate partnerships; (v) gains on dispositions of unconsolidated real estate and other; and (vi) minority interests.

Note 9 Subsequent Events

Between July 1, 2008 and July 31, 2008, we repurchased 2,902,900 shares of Common Stock for cash totaling $100.0 million, or an average price of $34.45 per share (including commissions).

On July 18, 2008, the Aimco Operating Partnership declared a special cash distribution of $3.00 per unit payable on August 29, 2008, to holders of record of common OP Units and High Performance Units on July 28, 2008. The special distribution, totaling approximately $285.5 million will be paid on 95,151,333 common OP Units and High Performance Units, including 85,619,144 common OP Units held by us. The Aimco Operating Partnership plans to distribute to us common OP Units equal to the number of shares we issue pursuant to our corresponding special dividend (discussed below), in addition to approximately $51.3 million in cash. Holders of common OP Units other than us and holders of High Performance Units will receive the distribution entirely in cash, which totals $28.6 million.

Also on July 18, 2008, our Board of Directors declared a corresponding special dividend of $3.00 per share payable on August 29, 2008, to holders of record of our Common Stock on July 28, 2008. A portion of the special dividend in the amount of $0.60 per share represents payment of the regular dividend for the quarter ended June 30, 2008, and a portion in the amount of $2.40 per share represents an additional dividend associated with actual and projected taxable gains from property dispositions in 2008. Stockholders have the option to elect to receive payment of the special dividend in cash or shares, except that the aggregate amount of cash payable to all stockholders in the special dividend is limited to approximately $51.3 million plus cash paid in lieu of fractional shares. The special dividend, totaling approximately $256.8 million, will be paid on 85,619,144 shares issued and outstanding on the record date, which included 436,479 shares held by certain of our consolidated subsidiaries. We expect to pay approximately $205.5 million of the special dividend through the issuance of shares of Common Stock, which will be determined based on the average closing price of our Common Stock on August 21 and 22, 2008. Share and per share amounts disclosed in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements and notes thereto have not been retroactively adjusted for the effect of shares to be issued pursuant to this special dividend as the number of shares is not presently determinable. Such retroactive adjustments will be reflected in consolidated financial statements prepared subsequent to the payment date.

ITEM 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Forward Looking Statements

The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 provides a safe harbor for forward-looking statements in certain circumstances. Certain information included in this Report contains or may contain information that is forward-looking, including, without limitation, statements regarding the effect of acquisitions and redevelopments, our future financial performance, including our ability to maintain current or meet projected occupancy, rent levels and same store results, and the effect of government regulations. Actual results may differ materially from those described in the forward-looking statements and, in addition, will be affected by a variety of risks and factors that are beyond our control including, without limitation: natural disasters and severe weather such as hurricanes; national and local economic conditions; the general level of interest rates; energy costs; the terms of governmental regulations that affect us and interpretations of those regulations; the competitive environment in which we operate; financing risks, including the risk that our cash flows from operations may be insufficient to meet required payments of principal and interest; real estate risks, including fluctuations in real estate values and the general economic climate in local markets and competition for residents in such markets; insurance risks; acquisition and development risks, including failure of such acquisitions to perform in accordance with projections; the timing of acquisitions and dispositions; litigation, including costs associated with prosecuting or defending claims and any adverse outcomes; and possible environmental liabilities, including costs, fines or penalties that may be incurred due to necessary remediation of contamination of properties presently owned or previously owned by us. In addition, our current and continuing qualification as a real estate investment trust involves the application of highly technical and complex provisions of the Internal Revenue Code and depends on our ability to meet the various requirements imposed by the Internal Revenue Code, through actual operating results, distribution levels and diversity of stock ownership. Readers should carefully review our financial statements and the notes thereto, as well as the section entitled Risk Factors described in Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007, and the other documents we file from time to time with the Securities and Exchange Commission. As used herein and except as the context otherwise requires, we, our, us and the Company refer to Aimco, AIMCO Properties, L.P. (which we refer to as the Aimco Operating Partnership) and Aimco s consolidated corporate subsidiaries and consolidated real estate partnerships, collectively.

Executive Overview

We are a self-administered and self-managed real estate investment trust, or REIT, engaged in the acquisition, ownership, management and redevelopment of apartment properties. Our property operations are characterized by diversification of product, location and price point. As of June 30, 2008, we owned or managed 1,114 apartment properties containing 188,672 apartment units located in 46 states, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico. Our primary sources of income and cash are rents associated with apartment leases.

The key financial indicators that we use in managing our business and in evaluating our financial condition and operating performance are: Net Asset Value, which is the estimated fair value of our assets, net of debt, or NAV; Funds From Operations, or FFO; FFO less spending for Capital Replacements, or AFFO; same store property operating results; net operating income; net operating income less spending for Capital Replacements, or Free Cash Flow; Economic Income, which represents changes in NAV plus cash dividends, financial coverage ratios; and leverage as shown on our balance sheet. FFO and Capital Replacements are defined and further described in the sections captioned Funds From Operations and Capital Expenditures below. The key macro-economic factors and non-financial indicators that affect our financial condition and operating performance are: rates of job growth; single-family and multifamily housing starts; and interest rates.

Because our operating results depend primarily on income from our properties, the supply and demand for apartments influences our operating results. Additionally, the level of expenses required to operate and maintain our properties, the pace and price at which we redevelop, acquire and dispose of our apartment properties, and the volume and timing of fee transactions affect our operating results. Our cost of capital is affected by the conditions in the capital and credit markets and the terms that we negotiate for our equity and debt financings.

For the remainder of 2008, our focus includes the following: enhance operations to improve and sustain resident satisfaction; obtain rate and occupancy increases to improve profitability; upgrade the quality of our portfolio through

portfolio management, capital replacement, capital improvement and redevelopment; increase efficiency through improved business processes and automation; improve balance sheet flexibility; use tax credit equity to generate fees and finance redevelopment of affordable properties; and minimize our cost of capital.

Table of Contents

Our portfolio management strategy includes property acquisitions and dispositions to concentrate our portfolio in the 20 largest U.S. markets as measured by total market capitalization. Over time and subject to market conditions, we expect to sell properties representing approximately 20% of our current asset value, which properties are primarily located outside the 20 largest U.S. markets.

The following discussion and analysis of the results of our operations and financial condition should be read in conjunction with the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements in Item 1.

Results of Operations

Overview

Three months ended June 30, 2008 compared to three months ended June 30, 2007

We reported net income of $256.0 million and net income attributable to common stockholders of $242.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008, compared to net income of $19.3 million and net income attributable to common stockholders of $3.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007, which were increases of $236.7 million and $239.4 million, respectively. These increases were principally due to the following items, all of which are discussed in further detail below:

- an increase in income from discontinued operations, primarily related to higher net gains on sales of real estate;
- an increase in asset management and tax credit revenues, which is attributed to increases in promote income resulting from asset disposition activities; and
- an increase in net operating income from property operations, which is attributable to improved operating results of same store properties.

The effects of these items on our operating results were partially offset by:

- an increase in interest expense, reflecting higher loan principal balances resulting from refinancings, offset by a reduction in interest rates; and
- a decrease in interest income, primarily related to an adjustment of accretion of discounted notes receivable and lower interest rates.

Six months ended June 30, 2008 compared to six months ended June 30, 2007

We reported net income of $231.5 million and net income attributable to common stockholders of $203.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008, compared to net income of $44.5 million and net income attributable to common stockholders of $11.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007, which were increases of $187.0 million and $191.8 million, respectively. These increases were principally due to the following items, all of which are discussed in further detail below:

- an increase in income from discontinued operations, primarily related to higher net gains on sales of real estate;
- an increase in asset management and tax credit revenues, which is attributed to increases in promote income resulting from asset disposition activities;
- an increase in net operating income from property operations, which is attributable to improved operating results of same store properties; and
- changes in the effects of minority interests in our consolidated real estate partnerships.

The effects of these items on our operating results were partially offset by:

- an increase in interest expense, reflecting higher loan principal balances resulting from refinancings, offset by a reduction in interest rates;
- a decrease in interest income, primarily related to an adjustment of accretion of discounted notes receivable and lower interest rates; and
- the recognition in 2007 of deferred debt extinguishment gains in connection with the refinancing of certain mortgage loans that had been restructured in a 1997 bankruptcy settlement.

The following paragraphs discuss these and other items affecting the results of our operations in more detail.

Business Segment Operating Results

We have two reportable segments: real estate (owning, operating and redeveloping apartments) and investment management (portfolio strategy, capital allocation, joint ventures, tax credit syndication, acquisitions, dispositions and other transaction activities). Our chief operating decision maker is comprised of several members of our executive management team who use several generally accepted industry financial measures to assess the performance of the business, including NAV, Economic Income, Free Cash Flow, net operating income, FFO, and AFFO. The chief operating decision maker emphasizes net operating income as a key measurement of segment profit or loss. Segment net operating income is generally defined as segment revenues less direct segment operating expenses.

Real Estate Segment

Our real estate segment involves the ownership and operation of properties that generate rental and other property-related income through the leasing of apartment units. Our real estate segment s net operating income also includes income from property management services performed for unconsolidated partnerships and unrelated parties. The following table summarizes our real estate segment s net operating income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2008	**2007**	**2008**	**2007**
Real estate segment revenues:				
Rental and other property revenues	$ 384,191	$ 372,289	$ 768,354	$ 734,645
Property management revenues, primarily from affiliates	1,415	1,271	3,519	3,367
	385,606	373,560	771,873	738,012
Real estate segment expenses:				
Property operating expenses	174,158	168,992	361,441	336,618
Property management expenses	1,187	2,452	2,457	3,935
	175,345	171,444	363,898	340,553
Real estate segment net operating income	$ 210,261	$ 202,116	$ 407,975	$ 397,459

Table of Contents

Consolidated Conventional Same Store Property Operating Results

Same store operating results is a key indicator we use to assess the performance of our property operations and to understand the period over period operations of a consistent portfolio of properties. We define consolidated same store properties as our conventional properties (i) that we manage, (ii) in which our ownership interest exceeds 10%, (iii) the operations of which have been stabilized, and (iv) that have not been sold or classified as held for sale, in each case, throughout all periods presented. The following tables summarize the operations of our consolidated conventional rental property operations:

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2008	Three Months Ended June 30, 2007	Change
Consolidated same store revenues	$ 256,529	$ 252,331	1.7%
Consolidated same store expenses	101,863	103,506	-1.6%
Same store net operating income	154,666	148,825	3.9%
Reconciling items (1)	55,595	53,291	4.3%
Real estate segment net operating income	$ 210,261	$ 202,116	4.0%
Same store operating statistics:			
Properties	301	301	
Apartment units	89,868	89,868	
Average physical occupancy	94.8%	94.7%	0.1%
Average rent/unit/month	$ 919	$ 899	2.2%

(1) Reflects property revenues and property operating expenses related to consolidated properties other than same store properties (e.g., affordable, acquisition, redevelopment and newly consolidated properties) and casualty gains and losses.

For the three months ended June 30, 2008, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2007, consolidated same store net operating income increased by $5.8 million, or 3.9%. Revenues increased by $4.2 million, or 1.7%, primarily due to higher average rent (up $20 per unit). Property operating expenses decreased by $1.6 million, or 1.6%, primarily due to decreases in personnel and insurance expenses.

Six Months Ended June 30,

	2008	2007	Change
Consolidated same store revenues	$ 509,463	$ 496,352	2.6%
Consolidated same store expenses	205,668	203,619	1.0%
Same store net operating income	303,795	292,733	3.8%
Reconciling items (1)	104,180	104,726	-0.5%
Real estate segment net operating income	$ 407,975	$ 397,459	2.6%
Same store operating statistics:			
Properties	299	299	
Apartment units	89,375	89,375	
Average physical occupancy	94.8%	94.6%	0.2%
Average rent/unit/month	$ 917	$ 895	2.5%

(1) Reflects property revenues and property operating expenses related to consolidated properties other than same store properties (e.g., affordable, acquisition, redevelopment and newly consolidated properties) and casualty gains and losses.

For the six months ended June 30, 2008, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2007, consolidated same store net operating income increased by $11.1 million, or 3.8%. Revenues increased by $13.1 million, or 2.6%, primarily due to higher average rent (up $22 per unit). Property operating expenses increased by $2.0 million, or 1.0%, primarily due to increases in marketing, administrative and property tax expenses.

Investment Management Segment

Our investment management segment includes portfolio strategy, capital allocation, joint ventures, tax credit syndication, acquisitions, dispositions and other transaction activities. Within our owned portfolio, we refer to these activities as Portfolio Management, and their benefit is seen in property operating results and in investment gains. For affiliated partnerships, we refer to these activities as Asset Management, for which we are separately compensated through fees paid by third party investors. The expenses of this segment consist primarily of the costs of departments that perform these activities. These activities are conducted in part by our taxable subsidiaries, and the related net operating income may be subject to income taxes.

Table of Contents

Transactions occur on varying timetables; thus, the income varies from period to period. We have affiliated real estate partnerships for which we have identified a pipeline of transactional opportunities. As a result, we view asset management fees as a predictable part of our core business strategy. Asset management revenue includes certain fees that were earned in a prior period, but not recognized at that time because collectibility was not reasonably assured. Those fees may be recognized in a subsequent period upon occurrence of a transaction or a high level of the probability of occurrence of a transaction within twelve months, or improvement in operations that generates sufficient cash to pay the fees.

The following table summarizes the net operating income from our investment management segment for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2008	**2007**	**2008**	**2007**
Asset management and tax credit revenues	$ 38,175	$ 15,178	$ 51,027	$ 26,808
Investment management expenses	5,728	5,521	10,017	9,987
Investment segment net operating income (1)	$ 32,447	$ 9,657	$ 41,010	$ 16,821

(1) Excludes certain items of income and expense, which are included in other expenses (income), net, interest expense, interest income and gain (loss) on dispositions of unconsolidated real estate and other in our consolidated statements of income.

For the three months ended June 30, 2008, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2007, net operating income from investment management increased by $22.8 million. This increase is primarily attributable to a $23.6 million increase in promote income, which is related to increases in joint venture asset dispositions, partially offset by a $0.8 million decrease in revenues from tax credit arrangements.

For the six months ended June 30, 2008, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2007, net operating income from investment management increased by $24.2 million. This increase is primarily attributable to a $27.5 million increase in promote income, which is related to increases in joint venture asset dispositions, offset by a $1.7 million decrease in asset management fees and a $1.1 million decrease in other general partner transactional fees.

Other Operating Expenses (Income)

Depreciation and Amortization

For the three months ended June 30, 2008, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2007, depreciation and amortization increased $9.9 million, or 9.0%. This increase reflects depreciation of $15.6 million for newly acquired properties, completed redevelopments, and other capital projects recently placed in service. This increase was partially offset by a decrease of $7.7 million in depreciation adjustments necessary to reduce the carrying amount of buildings and improvements to their estimated disposition value or zero in the case of a planned demolition (see *Use of Estimates* in Note 2 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Item 1).

For the six months ended June 30, 2008, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2007, depreciation and amortization increased $17.2 million, or 7.7%. This increase reflects depreciation of $32.2 million for newly acquired properties, completed redevelopments, and other capital projects recently placed in service. This increase was partially offset by a decrease of $15.9 million in depreciation adjustments necessary to reduce the carrying amount of buildings and improvements to their estimated disposition value or zero in the case of a planned demolition (see *Use of Estimates* in Note 2 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Item 1).

General and Administrative Expenses

For the three months ended June 30, 2008, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2007, general and administrative expenses increased $3.0 million, or 12.7%. This increase is primarily attributable to higher employee compensation and related expenses.

For the six months ended June 30, 2008, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2007, general and administrative expenses increased $2.4 million, or 5.2%. This increase is primarily attributable to higher employee compensation and related expenses.

Other Expenses (Income), Net

Other expenses (income), net includes income tax provision/benefit, franchise taxes, risk management activities, partnership administration expenses and certain non-recurring items.

For the three months ended June 30, 2008, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2007, other expenses (income), net changed unfavorably by $8.6 million. The net unfavorable change includes a $4.8 million write-off of certain communications hardware and capitalized costs during 2008 (see *Use of Estimates* in Note 2 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Item 1) and a net increase of $4.8 million in costs related to certain litigation matters. These unfavorable changes are partially offset by $0.9 million of income recognized in 2008 related to the sale of mineral rights associated with certain of our properties.

For the six months ended June 30, 2008, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2007, other expenses (income), net changed unfavorably by $10.7 million. The net unfavorable change includes a $4.8 million write-off of certain communications hardware and capitalized costs during 2008 (see *Use of Estimates* in Note 2 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Item 1) and a net increase of $4.8 million in costs related to certain litigation matters. The net unfavorable change also reflects income of $1.8 million recognized in 2007 related to the transfer of certain property rights, a $1.2 million write-off of redevelopment costs associated with a change in the planned use of a property during 2008 and a $5.8 million decrease in income tax benefit during 2008 due to improved results of our taxable subsidiaries. These unfavorable changes were partially offset by an $8.0 million reduction in expenses of our self insurance activities, including a $3.8 million settlement of certain litigation matters during 2007, and $0.9 million of income recognized in 2008 related to the sale of mineral rights associated with certain of our properties.

Interest Income

Interest income consists primarily of interest on notes receivable from non-affiliates and unconsolidated real estate partnerships, interest on cash and restricted cash accounts, and accretion of discounts on certain notes receivable from unconsolidated real estate partnerships. Transactions that result in accretion occur infrequently and thus accretion income may vary from period to period.

For the three months ended June 30, 2008, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2007, interest income decreased $9.4 million. The decrease is primarily attributable to a $4.1 million adjustment to accretion on certain discounted notes during the three months ended June 30, 2008, resulting from a change in the estimated timing and amount of collection, and a decrease of $4.4 million due to lower interest rates on notes receivable and cash and restricted cash balances.

For the six months ended June 30, 2008, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2007, interest income decreased $11.0 million. The decrease is primarily attributable to a $2.9 million net adjustment to accretion on certain discounted notes during the six months ended June 30, 2008, resulting from a change in the estimated timing and amount of collection, and $1.5 million of accretion income recognized during the six months ended June 30, 2007, related to the prepayment of principal on certain discounted loans collateralized by properties in West Harlem in New York City, which were funded in November 2006. The remainder of the decrease is primarily due to lower interest rates on notes receivable and cash and restricted cash balances.

Interest Expense

For the three months ended June 30, 2008, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2007, interest expense, which includes the amortization of deferred financing costs, increased $6.8 million, or 7.1%. Interest on property loans payable increased $7.0 million due to higher balances resulting primarily from refinancing activities, offset by lower average interest rates, and a $0.9 million decrease in capitalized interest. This net increase was offset by a $1.2 million decrease in corporate interest expense due to lower average interest rates.

For the six months ended June 30, 2008, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2007, interest expense, which includes the amortization of deferred financing costs, increased $13.1 million, or 6.9%. Interest on property loans payable increased $14.5 million due to higher balances resulting primarily from refinancing activities, offset slightly by lower average interest rates. These increases were partially offset by a $2.7 million decrease in corporate interest expense due to lower average interest rates.

25

Deficit Distributions to Minority Partners

When real estate partnerships that are consolidated in our financial statements disburse cash to partners in excess of the carrying amount of the minority interest, we record a charge equal to the excess amount, even though there is no economic effect or cost.

For the three months ended June 30, 2008, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2007, deficit distributions to minority partners decreased $0.3 million. This decrease reflects lower levels of distributions to minority interests during the three months ended June 30, 2008.

For the six months ended June 30, 2008, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2007, deficit distributions to minority partners increased $2.8 million. This increase reflects higher levels of distributions to minority interests in 2008, including distributions in connection with debt refinancing transactions.

Provision for Real Estate Impairment Losses

At times we may anticipate selling a property within twelve months or less, but for various reasons the property may not currently meet the criteria to be classified as held for sale. If events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of a property may not be recoverable, we make an assessment of its recoverability by comparing the carrying amount to our estimate of the undiscounted future cash flows, excluding interest charges, of the property. If the carrying amount exceeds the estimated aggregate undiscounted future cash flows, we recognize an impairment loss to the extent the carrying amount exceeds the estimated fair value of the property.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, based on the shortened anticipated holding period for certain properties, we recognized impairment losses of $2.5 million. We recognized no such impairment losses during the three and six months ended June 30, 2007.

Gain on Dispositions of Unconsolidated Real Estate and Other

Gain on dispositions of unconsolidated real estate and other includes our share of gains related to dispositions of real estate by unconsolidated real estate partnerships, gains on dispositions of land and other non-depreciable assets and costs related to asset disposal activities. For the six months ended June 30, 2007, gain on dispositions of unconsolidated real estate and other also includes a gain on extinguishment of debt. Changes in the level of gains recognized from period to period reflect the changing level of disposition activity from period to period. Additionally, gains on properties sold are determined on an individual property basis or in the aggregate for a group of properties that are sold in a single transaction, and are not comparable period to period.

For the six months ended June 30, 2008, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2007, gain on dispositions of unconsolidated real estate and other decreased $20.9 million. This decrease is primarily attributable to a $19.4 million gain on debt extinguishment related to seven properties in the VMS partnership during the six months ended June 30, 2007 (see Note 4 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Item 1).

Minority Interest in Consolidated Real Estate Partnerships

Minority interest in consolidated real estate partnerships reflects minority partners share of operating results of consolidated real estate partnerships. This generally includes the minority partners share of property management fees, interest on notes and other amounts eliminated in consolidation that we charge to such partnerships. However, we generally do not recognize a benefit for the minority interest share of partnership losses for partnerships that have deficits in partners equity.

For the three months ended June 30, 2008, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2007, minority interest in consolidated real estate partnerships changed by $2.3 million. The change includes a $1.6 million charge to minority interest during the three months ended June 30, 2008, upon the consolidation of a partnership with a deficit in partners equity, and $4.3 million increase in minority interest attributable to changes in the minority partners share of earnings of our existing consolidated real estate partnerships. These changes were partially offset by a $3.6 million increase in minority interest benefit for the minority partners share of losses for real estate partnerships consolidated during the fourth quarter of 2007.

For the six months ended June 30, 2008, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2007, minority interest in consolidated real estate partnerships changed by $8.4 million. The change includes an increase of $8.0 million related to the minority interest share of losses for real estate partnerships consolidated during the fourth quarter of 2007, and $2.0 million related to increases in the minority partners share of losses of our existing consolidated real estate partnerships. These changes were offset by a $1.6 million charge to minority interest during the three months ended June 30, 2008, upon the consolidation of a partnership with a deficit in partners equity.

Income from Discontinued Operations, Net

The results of operations for properties sold during the period or designated as held for sale at the end of the period are generally required to be classified as discontinued operations for all periods presented. The components of net earnings that are classified as discontinued operations include all property-related revenues and operating expenses, depreciation expense recognized prior to the classification as held for sale, property-specific interest expense and debt extinguishment gains and losses to the extent there is secured debt on the property, and any related minority interest. In addition, any impairment losses on assets held for sale and the net gain on the eventual disposal of properties held for sale are reported in discontinued operations.

For the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, income from discontinued operations, net totaled $274.1 million and $25.1 million, respectively. The increase of $249.0 million was principally due to a $275.2 million increase in gain on dispositions of real estate, net of minority partners interest and income taxes, a $7.6 million increase in recovery of deficit distributions to minority partners and a $4.5 million decrease in interest expense, partially offset by a $6.8 million decrease in operating income, a $4.1 million increase in real estate impairments and a $27.3 million increase in minority interest in Aimco Operating Partnership. For the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, income from discontinued operations, net totaled $277.4 million and $56.8 million, respectively. The increase of $220.6 million was principally due to a $261.1 million increase in gain on dispositions of real estate, net of minority partners interest and income taxes, a $7.8 million increase in recovery of deficit distributions to minority partners and a $9.9 million decrease in interest expense, partially offset by a $9.6 million decrease in operating income, a $3.2 million increase in real estate impairment losses, a $24.4 million increase in minority interest in Aimco Operating Partnership and a decrease of $22.9 million attributable to a 2007 gain on debt extinguishment related to seven properties in the VMS partnership.

During the three months ended June 30, 2008, we sold 41 consolidated properties, resulting in a net gain on sale of approximately $296.9 million (which includes $17.1 million of related income taxes). During the three months ended June 30, 2007, we sold 28 properties, resulting in a net gain on sale of approximately $21.7 million (net of $2.6 million of related income taxes). Additionally, in 2008, we recognized $4.0 million of impairment losses on assets sold or held for sale and $7.7 million of recoveries of deficit distributions to minority partners.

During the six months ended June 30, 2008, we sold 45 properties, resulting in a net gain on sale of approximately $298.3 million (which includes $17.1 million of related income taxes). During the six months ended June 30, 2007, we sold 40 properties resulting in a net gain on sale of approximately $37.1 million (which includes $2.8 million of related income taxes). Additionally, in 2008, we recognized $4.0 million of impairment losses on assets sold or held for sale and $7.5 million of recoveries of deficit distributions to minority partners.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, income from discontinued operations included the operating results of the properties sold or classified as held for sale as of June 30, 2008.

Changes in the level of gains recognized from period to period reflect the changing level of our disposition activity from period to period. Additionally, gains on properties sold are determined on an individual property basis or in the aggregate for a group of properties that are sold in a single transaction, and are not comparable period to period. See Note 3 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Item 1 for more information on discontinued operations.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

We prepare our consolidated financial statements in accordance with GAAP, which requires us to make estimates and assumptions. We believe that the following critical accounting policies involve our more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

Real estate and other long-lived assets to be held and used are stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation and amortization, unless the carrying amount of the asset is not recoverable. If events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of a property may not be recoverable, we make an assessment of its recoverability by comparing the carrying amount to our estimate of the undiscounted future cash flows, excluding interest charges, of the property. If the carrying amount exceeds the estimated aggregate undiscounted future cash flows, we recognize an impairment loss to the extent the carrying amount exceeds the estimated fair value of the property.

From time to time, we have non-revenue producing properties that we hold for future redevelopment. We assess the recoverability of the carrying amount of these redevelopment properties by comparing our estimate of undiscounted future cash flows based on the expected service potential of the redevelopment property upon completion to the carrying amount. In certain instances, we use a probability-weighted approach to determine our estimate of undiscounted future cash flows when alternative courses of action are under consideration.

At June 30, 2008, we evaluated our Lincoln Place property in Venice, California and determined that the carrying amount of $197.1 million was recoverable based on our probability-weighted assessment of undiscounted cash flows. Plans to develop Lincoln Place have been the subject of controversy and litigation, which reduces its market value. In the current market environment and in consideration of ongoing litigation related to Lincoln Place, the current fair value of the property is potentially less than the carrying amount. However, as the impairment analysis for assets classified as held for use requires the use of undiscounted cash flows and assumes a holding period for the asset, an impairment may not be recognized even if the fair value is less than the carrying amount.

Real estate investments are subject to varying degrees of risk. Several factors may adversely affect the economic performance and value of our real estate investments. These factors include:

- the general economic climate;
- competition from other apartment communities and other housing options;
- local conditions, such as loss of jobs or an increase in the supply of apartments, that might adversely affect apartment occupancy or rental rates;
- changes in governmental regulations and the related cost of compliance;
- increases in operating costs (including real estate taxes) due to inflation and other factors, which may not be offset by increased rents;
- changes in tax laws and housing laws, including the enactment of rent control laws or other laws regulating multifamily housing;
- changes in market capitalization rates; and
- the relative illiquidity of such investments.

Any adverse changes in these and other factors could cause an impairment in our long-lived assets, including real estate and investments in unconsolidated real estate partnerships. Based on periodic tests of recoverability of long-lived assets, we recorded a $2.5 million impairment loss during the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, related to certain properties to be held and used. We did not record any impairment losses related to properties to be held and used during the three and six months ended June 30, 2007.

Notes Receivable and Interest Income Recognition

Notes receivable from unconsolidated real estate partnerships consist primarily of notes receivable from partnerships in which we are the general partner. Notes receivable from non-affiliates consist of notes receivable from unrelated third parties. The ultimate repayment of these notes is subject to a number of variables, including the performance and value of the underlying real estate and the claims of unaffiliated mortgage lenders. Our notes receivable include loans extended by us that we carry at the face amount plus accrued interest, which we refer to as par value notes, and loans extended by predecessors, some of whose positions we generally acquired at a discount, which we refer to as discounted notes.

We record interest income on par value notes as earned in accordance with the terms of the related loan agreements. We discontinue the accrual of interest on such notes when the notes are impaired, as discussed below, or when there is otherwise significant uncertainty as to the collection of interest. We record income on such nonaccrual loans using the cost recovery method, under which we apply cash receipts first to the recorded amount of the loan; thereafter, any additional receipts are recognized as income.

We recognize interest income on discounted notes receivable based upon whether the amount and timing of collections are both probable and reasonably estimable. We consider collections to be probable and reasonably estimable when the borrower has entered into certain closed or pending transactions (which include real estate sales, refinancings, foreclosures and rights offerings) that provide a reliable source of repayment. In such instances, we recognize accretion income, on a prospective basis using the effective interest method over the estimated remaining term of the loans, equal to the difference between the carrying amount of the discounted notes and the estimated

collectible value. We record income on all other discounted notes using the cost recovery method. Accretion income recognized in any given period is based on our ability to complete transactions to monetize the notes receivable and the difference between the carrying amount and the estimated collectible amount of the notes; therefore, accretion income varies on a period-by-period basis and could be lower or higher than in prior periods.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, we reduced previously recorded accretion income by $4.1 million and $2.9 million, respectively, due to changes in the timing and nature of pending transactions.

Table of Contents

Allowance for Losses on Notes Receivable

We assess the collectibility of notes receivable on a periodic basis, which assessment consists primarily of an evaluation of cash flow projections of the borrower to determine whether estimated cash flows are sufficient to repay principal and interest in accordance with the contractual terms of the note. We recognize impairments on notes receivable when it is probable that principal and interest will not be received in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan. The amount of the impairment to be recognized generally is based on the fair value of the partnership s real estate that represents the primary source of loan repayment. In certain instances where other sources of cash flow are available to repay the loan, the impairment is measured by discounting the estimated cash flows at the loan s original effective interest rate.

We recorded net provisions for losses on notes receivable of $0.5 million and $1.7 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, respectively, and $0.7 million and $2.3 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, respectively. We will continue to evaluate the collectibility of these notes, and we will adjust related allowances in the future due to changes in market conditions and other factors.

Capitalized Costs

We capitalize costs, including certain indirect costs, incurred in connection with our capital expenditure activities, including redevelopment and construction projects, other tangible property improvements, and replacements of existing property components. Included in these capitalized costs are payroll costs associated with time spent by site employees in connection with the planning, execution and control of all capital expenditure activities at the property level. We characterize as indirect costs an allocation of certain department costs, including payroll, at the regional operating center and corporate levels that clearly relate to capital expenditure activities. We capitalize interest, property taxes and insurance during periods in which redevelopment and construction projects are in progress. We charge to expense as incurred costs that do not relate to capital expenditure activities, including ordinary repairs, maintenance, resident turnover costs and general and administrative expenses.

For the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, for continuing and discontinued operations, we capitalized $6.4 million and $7.4 million of interest costs, respectively, and $18.8 million and $19.2 million of site payroll and indirect costs, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, for continuing and discontinued operations, we capitalized $14.0 million and $13.9 million of interest costs, respectively, and $38.7 million and $39.1 million of site payroll and indirect costs, respectively.

Funds From Operations

FFO is a non-GAAP financial measure that we believe, when considered with the financial statements determined in accordance with GAAP, is helpful to investors in understanding our performance because it captures features particular to real estate performance by recognizing that real estate generally appreciates over time or maintains residual value to a much greater extent than do other depreciable assets such as machinery, computers or other personal property. The Board of Governors of the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, or NAREIT, defines FFO as net income (loss), computed in accordance with GAAP, excluding gains from sales of depreciable property, plus depreciation and amortization, and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. Adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures are calculated to reflect FFO on the same basis. We compute FFO for all periods presented in accordance with the guidance set forth by NAREIT s April 1, 2002 White Paper, which we refer to as the White Paper. We calculate FFO (diluted) by subtracting redemption related preferred stock issuance costs and dividends on preferred stock and adding back dividends/distributions on dilutive preferred securities. FFO should not be considered an alternative to net income or net cash flows from operating activities, as determined in accordance with GAAP, as an indication of our performance or as a measure of liquidity. FFO is not necessarily indicative of cash available to fund future cash needs. In addition, although FFO is a measure used for comparability in assessing the performance of real estate investment trusts, there can be no assurance that our basis for computing FFO is comparable with that of other real estate investment trusts.

Table of Contents

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, our FFO is calculated as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
Net income attributable to common stockholders (1)	**$ 242,369**	**$ 2,983**	**$ 203,615**	**$ 11,843**
Adjustments:				
Depreciation and amortization	120,692	110,743	239,086	221,923
Depreciation and amortization related to non-real estate assets	(5,027)	(4,795)	(8,926)	(11,355)
Depreciation of rental property related to minority partners interest and unconsolidated entities (2) (3)	(3,989)	(1,808)	(14,048)	(13,752)
Gain on dispositions of unconsolidated real estate and other	(139)	(602)	(129)	(21,068)
Gain on dispositions of non-depreciable assets and debt extinguishment gain				19,373
Deficit distributions to minority partners, net (4)	1,265	1,554	5,276	2,482
Discontinued operations:				
Gain on dispositions of real estate, net of minority partners interest (2)	(314,025)	(24,311)	(315,350)	(39,901)
Depreciation of rental property, net of minority partners interest (2) (3)	5,233	9,520	13,936	4,021
Deficit distributions (recovery of deficit distributions) to minority partners (4)	(7,701)	(81)	(7,510)	321
Income tax arising from disposals	17,149	2,597	17,063	2,761
Minority interest in Aimco Operating Partnership s share of above adjustments	17,971	(8,525)	6,857	(15,257)
Preferred stock dividends	13,670	16,346	27,878	32,694
Funds From Operations	**$ 87,468**	**$ 103,621**	**$ 167,748**	**$ 194,085**
Preferred stock dividends	(13,670)	(16,346)	(27,878)	(32,694)
Dividends/distributions on dilutive preferred securities	1,759	58	3,092	58
Funds From Operations attributable to common stockholders diluted	**$ 75,557**	**$ 87,333**	**$ 142,962**	**$ 161,449**
Weighted average number of common shares, common share equivalents and dilutive preferred securities Outstanding (5) (6):				
Common shares and equivalents	88,286	103,770	89,776	104,221
Dilutive preferred securities	2,332	76	2,117	38
Total	90,618	103,846	91,893	104,258

Notes:

(1) Represents the numerator for earnings per common share,

calculated in accordance with GAAP (see Note 6 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Item 1).

(2) Minority partners interest means minority interest in our consolidated real estate partnerships.

(3) Adjustments related to minority partners share of depreciation of rental property for the six months ended June 30, 2007, include the subtraction of $15.1 million and $17.8 million for continuing operations and discontinued operations, respectively, related to the VMS debt extinguishment gains (see Note 4 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Item 1). These subtractions are required because we added back the

minority partners share of depreciation related to rental property in determining FFO in prior periods. Accordingly, the net effect of the VMS debt extinguishment gains on our FFO for the six months ended June 30, 2007, was an increase of $9.3 million ($8.4 million after minority interest in Aimco Operating Partnership).

(4) In accordance with GAAP, deficit distributions to minority partners are charges recognized in our income statement when cash is distributed to a non-controlling partner in a consolidated real estate partnership in excess of the positive balance in such partner s capital account, which is classified as minority interest on our balance sheet. We

record these charges for GAAP purposes even though there is no economic effect or cost. Deficit distributions to minority partners occur when the fair value of the underlying real estate exceeds its depreciated net book value because the underlying real estate has appreciated or maintained its value. As a result, the recognition of expense for deficit distributions to minority partners represents, in substance, either (a) our recognition of depreciation previously allocated to the non-controlling partner or (b) a payment related to the non-controlling partner s share of real estate appreciation. Based on White Paper guidance that requires real estate depreciation and gains to be excluded from

FFO, we add back deficit distributions and subtract related recoveries in our reconciliation of net income to FFO.

(5) Represents the denominator for earnings per common share diluted, calculated in accordance with GAAP, plus additional common share equivalents that are dilutive for FFO.

(6) Weighted average common shares, common share equivalents and dilutive preferred securities amounts for the periods presented have been retroactively adjusted for the effect of 4,573,735 shares of Common Stock issued pursuant to the special dividend discussed in Note 1 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in

Item 1.

Table of Contents

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity is the ability to meet present and future financial obligations either through the sale or maturity of existing assets or by the acquisition of additional funds through working capital management. Both the coordination of asset and liability maturities and effective working capital management are important to the maintenance of liquidity. Our primary source of liquidity is cash flow from our operations. Additional sources are proceeds from property sales and proceeds from refinancings of existing mortgage loans and borrowings under new mortgage loans.

Our principal uses for liquidity include normal operating activities, payments of principal and interest on outstanding debt, capital expenditures, dividends paid to stockholders and distributions paid to partners, repurchases of shares of our Common Stock, and acquisitions of, and investments in, properties. We use our cash and cash equivalents and our cash provided by operating activities to meet short-term liquidity needs. In the event that our cash and cash equivalents and cash provided by operating activities is not sufficient to cover our short-term liquidity demands, we have additional means, such as short-term borrowing availability and proceeds from property sales and refinancings, to help us meet our short-term liquidity demands. We use our revolving credit facility for general corporate purposes and to fund investments on an interim basis. We expect to meet our long-term liquidity requirements, such as debt maturities and property acquisitions, through long-term borrowings, both secured and unsecured, the issuance of debt or equity securities (including OP Units), the sale of properties and cash generated from operations.

At June 30, 2008, we had $330.2 million in cash and cash equivalents, an increase of $119.7 million from December 31, 2007. At June 30, 2008, we had $316.9 million of restricted cash primarily consisting of reserves and escrows held by lenders for bond sinking funds, capital expenditures, property taxes and insurance. In addition, cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash are held by partnerships that are not presented on a consolidated basis. The following discussion relates to changes in cash due to operating, investing and financing activities, which are presented in our condensed consolidated statements of cash flows in Item 1.

Operating Activities

For the six months ended June 30, 2008, our net cash provided by operating activities of $240.6 million was primarily from operating income from our consolidated properties, which is affected primarily by rental rates, occupancy levels and operating expenses related to our portfolio of properties. Cash provided by operating activities increased $48.0 million compared with the six months ended June 30, 2007. The increase in operating cash flow is largely the result of changes in operating assets and liabilities during 2008 relative to 2007.

Investing Activities

For the six months ended June 30, 2008, net cash provided by investing activities of $473.7 million consisted primarily of proceeds from disposition of real estate, partially offset by capital expenditures and purchases of real estate.

Although we hold all of our properties for investment, we sell properties when they do not meet our investment criteria or are located in areas that we believe do not justify our continued investment when compared to alternative uses for our capital. During the six months ended June 30, 2008, we sold 45 consolidated properties. These properties were sold for an aggregate sales price of $957.5 million and generated proceeds totaling $917.0 million, after the payment of transaction costs and debt prepayment penalties. The $917.0 million in proceeds is inclusive of promote income which is generated by the disposition of consolidated joint ventures, debt assumed by buyers and sales proceeds placed into escrows for 1031 tax-free exchanges and other purposes. These items are excluded from proceeds from disposition of real estate in the condensed consolidated statement of cash flows. Sales proceeds were used to repay property level debt, repay borrowings under our revolving credit facility, repurchase shares of our Common Stock and for other corporate purposes.

Table of Contents

Our portfolio management strategy includes property acquisitions and dispositions to concentrate our portfolio in the 20 largest U.S. markets as measured by total market capitalization. We are currently marketing for sale certain properties that are inconsistent with this long-term investment strategy. Additionally, from time to time, we may market certain properties that are consistent with this strategy but offer attractive returns. We plan to use our share of the net proceeds from such dispositions to reduce debt, fund capital expenditures on existing assets, fund acquisitions, repurchase shares of our Common Stock, and for other operating needs and corporate purposes.

Capital Expenditures

We classify all capital spending as Capital Replacements (which we refer to as CR), Capital Improvements (which we refer to as CI), casualties, redevelopment or entitlement. Expenditures other than casualty, redevelopment and entitlement capital expenditures are apportioned between CR and CI based on the useful life of the capital item under consideration and the period we have owned the property.

CR represents the share of capital expenditures that are deemed to replace the portion of acquired capital assets that was consumed during the period we have owned the asset. CI represents the share of expenditures that are made to enhance the value, profitability or useful life of an asset as compared to its original purchase condition. CR and CI exclude capital expenditures for casualties, redevelopment and entitlements. Casualty expenditures represent capitalized costs incurred in connection with casualty losses and are associated with the restoration of the asset. A portion of the restoration costs may be reimbursed by insurance carriers subject to deductibles associated with each loss. Redevelopment expenditures represent expenditures that substantially upgrade the property. Entitlement expenditures represent costs incurred in connection with obtaining local governmental approvals to increase density and add residential units to a site.

The table below details our share of actual spending, on both consolidated and unconsolidated real estate partnerships, for CR, CI, casualties, redevelopment and entitlements for the six months ended June 30, 2008, on a per unit and total dollar basis. Per unit numbers for CR and CI are based on approximately 130,088 average units during the period, including 113,155 conventional and 16,933 affordable units. Average units are weighted for the portion of the period that we owned an interest in the property, represent ownership-adjusted effective units, and exclude non-managed units. Total capital expenditures are reconciled to our condensed consolidated statement of cash flows for the same period (in thousands, except per unit amounts).

Table of Contents

	Aimco s Share of Expenditures	Per Effective Unit
Capital Replacements Detail:		
Building and grounds	$ 14,636	$ 113
Turnover related	23,604	181
Capitalized site payroll and indirect costs	7,076	54
Our share of Capital Replacements	$ 45,316	$ 348
Capital Replacements:		
Conventional	$ 42,413	$ 375
Affordable	2,903	$ 171
Our share of Capital Replacements	45,316	$ 348
Capital Improvements:		
Conventional	49,739	$ 440
Affordable	5,598	$ 331
Our share of Capital Improvements	55,337	$ 425
Casualties:		
Conventional	5,491	
Affordable	1,256	
Our share of casualties	6,747	
Redevelopment:		
Conventional projects	127,110	
Tax credit projects	37,730	
Our share of redevelopment	164,840	
Entitlement	11,393	
Our share of capital expenditures	283,633	
Plus minority partners share of consolidated spending	24,144	
Less our share of unconsolidated spending	(399)	
Total capital expenditures per condensed consolidated statement of cash flows	$ 307,378	

Included in the above spending for CI, casualties, redevelopment and entitlement, was approximately $32.8 million of our share of capitalized site payroll and indirect costs related to these activities for the six months ended June 30, 2008.

We funded all of the above capital expenditures with cash provided by operating activities, working capital, property sales and borrowings under our Credit Facility, as discussed below.

Financing Activities

For the six months ended June 30, 2008, net cash used in financing activities of $594.7 million was primarily attributable to debt principal payments, repurchases of Common Stock, payments of dividends on Common Stock and preferred stock and distributions to minority interests. These cash outflows were partially offset by proceeds from property loans, tax-exempt bond financing and net borrowings under our revolving credit facility.

Mortgage Debt

At June 30, 2008, we had $6.8 billion in consolidated mortgage debt outstanding as compared to $7.0 billion outstanding at December 31, 2007. During the six months ended June 30, 2008, we refinanced or closed mortgage loans on 47 consolidated properties, generating $443.3 million of proceeds from borrowings with a weighted average interest rate of 5.41%. Our share of the net proceeds after repayment of existing debt, payment of transaction costs and distributions to limited partners, was $255.5 million. We used these total net proceeds for capital expenditures and other corporate purposes. We intend to continue to refinance mortgage debt to generate proceeds in amounts exceeding our scheduled amortizations and maturities, generally not to increase loan-to-value, but as a means to monetize asset appreciation.

Fair Value Measurements

From time to time, we enter into total rate of return swaps on various fixed rate secured tax-exempt bonds payable and fixed rate notes payable to convert these borrowings from a fixed rate to a variable rate and provide an efficient financing product to lower our cost of borrowing. The counterparty to these swap arrangements purchases the debt in the open market and contemporaneously enters into the total rate of return swap with us on the purchased debt. In exchange for our receipt of a fixed rate generally equal to the underlying borrowing s interest rate, the total rate of return swaps require that we pay a variable rate, equivalent to the Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association Municipal Swap Index, or SIFMA, rate (previously the Bond Market Association index) for bonds payable and a LIBOR rate for notes payable, plus a risk spread. These swaps generally have a second or third lien on the properties collateralized by the related borrowings, and the obligations under certain of these swaps are cross-collateralized with certain of the other swaps with a particular counterparty. The underlying borrowings are generally callable at our option, with no prepayment penalty, with 30 days advance notice. The swaps generally have a term of less than five years, which may be extended at no additional cost to us. The total rate of return swaps have a contractually defined termination value generally equal to the difference between the fair value and the counterparty s purchased value of the underlying borrowings, which may require payment by us to the counterparty if the fair value is less than the purchased value, or to us from the counterparty if the fair value is greater than the purchased value.

In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, or SFAS 133, we designate total rate of return swaps as hedges of the risk of overall changes in the fair value of the underlying borrowings. At each reporting period, we estimate the fair value of these borrowings and the total rate of return swaps and recognize any changes therein as an adjustment of interest expense.

Effective in the first quarter of 2008, we estimate fair values for these instruments in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*, or SFAS 157. As the swap instruments are nontransferable, there is no alternate or secondary market for these instruments. Accordingly, our assumptions about the fair value that a willing market participant would assign in valuing these instruments are based on a hypothetical market in which the highest and best use of these contracts is in-use in combination with the related borrowings, similar to how we use the contracts. Based on these assumptions, we believe the termination value, or exit value, of the swaps approximates the fair value that would be assigned by a willing market participant. We calculate the termination value using a market approach by reference to estimates of the fair value of the related underlying borrowings, and an evaluation of potential changes in the credit quality of the counterparties to these arrangements. While these fair value measurements include observable components that can be validated to observable external sources, the primary inputs we use in estimating fair value are unobservable inputs. We classify the inputs to these fair value measurements within Level 3 of the SFAS 157 valuation hierarchy based upon the significance of these unobservable factors to the overall fair value measurements. We compare our estimates of fair value of the swaps and related borrowings to valuations provided by the counterparties on a quarterly basis.

Our method used to calculate the fair value of the total rate of return swaps generally results in changes in fair value that are equal to the changes in fair value of the related borrowings, which is consistent with our hedging strategy. We believe that these financial instruments are highly effective in offsetting the changes in fair value of the related borrowings during the hedging period, and accordingly changes in the fair value of these instruments have no material impact on our liquidity, results of operations or capital resources.

During the three months ended June 30, 2008, changes in the fair values of these financial instruments resulted in a $7.0 million increase in the carrying amount of the hedged borrowings and an equal decrease in accrued liabilities and other for total rate of return swaps. During the six months ended June 30, 2008, changes in the fair values of these financial instruments resulted in a $6.5 million reduction in the carrying amount of hedged borrowings and an equal increase in accrued liabilities and other for total rate of return swaps. At June 30, 2008, the cumulative recognized changes in the fair value of these financial instruments resulted in a $15.9 million reduction in the carrying amount of the hedged borrowings offset by an equal increase in accrued liabilities and other for total rate of return swaps. The current and cumulative decreases in the fair values of the hedged borrowings and related swaps reflect the recent uncertainty in the credit markets which has decreased demand and increased pricing for similar debt instruments.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, we received net cash receipts of $4.6 million and $7.8 million, respectively, under the total return swaps, which positively impacted our liquidity. To the extent interest rates increase above the fixed rates on the underlying borrowings, our obligations under the total return swaps will negatively impact our liquidity.

Table of Contents

See Note 2 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Item 1 for more information on our total rate of return swaps and related borrowings.

Credit Facility

We have an Amended and Restated Senior Secured Credit Agreement with a syndicate of financial institutions, which we refer to as the Credit Agreement.

The aggregate amount of commitments and loans under the Credit Agreement is $1.125 billion, comprised of $475.0 million in term loans and $650.0 million of revolving loan commitments. The $75.0 million term loan bears interest at LIBOR plus 1.375%, or a base rate at our option, and matures September 2008. We expect to extend this term loan for one year, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions, including the payment of a 12.5 basis point fee on the amount of the term loan then outstanding. The $400.0 million term loan bears interest at LIBOR plus 1.5% and matures March 2011. Our revolving loan facility matures May 2009, and may be extended for an additional year, subject to a 20.0 basis point fee on the total commitments. Borrowings under the revolver bear interest based on a pricing grid determined by leverage (currently at LIBOR plus 1.375%). We are permitted to increase the aggregate commitments under the credit agreement (which may be revolving or term loan commitments) by an amount not to exceed $175.0 million, subject to receipt of commitments from lenders and other customary conditions.

At June 30, 2008, the term loans had an outstanding principal balance of $475.0 million and a weighted average interest rate of 3.96%. At June 30, 2008, the revolving loans had an outstanding principal balance of $145.0 million and a weighted average interest rate of 4.21% (based on various weighted average LIBOR borrowings outstanding with various maturities). The amount available under the revolving credit facility at June 30, 2008, was $455.2 million (after giving effect to $49.8 million outstanding for undrawn letters of credit issued under the revolving credit facility). The proceeds of revolving loans are generally permitted to be used to fund working capital and for other corporate purposes.

Equity Transactions

In December 2007, the Aimco Operating Partnership declared a special distribution of $2.51 per unit payable on January 30, 2008, to holders of record of common OP Units and High Performance Units on December 31, 2007. The special distribution totaled $257.2 million and was paid on 102,478,510 common OP Units and High Performance Units, including 92,795,891 common OP Units held by us. The Aimco Operating Partnership distributed to us common OP Units equal to the number of shares we issued pursuant to our corresponding special dividend (discussed below), in addition to $55.0 million in cash. Holders of common OP Units other than us and holders of High Performance Units received the distribution entirely in cash, which totaled $24.3 million.

Also in December 2007, our Board of Directors declared a corresponding special dividend of $2.51 per share payable on January 30, 2008, to holders of record of our Common Stock on December 31, 2007. Stockholders had the option to elect to receive payment of the special dividend in cash, shares or a combination of cash and shares, except that the aggregate amount of cash payable to all stockholders in the special dividend was limited to $55.0 million plus cash paid in lieu of fractional shares. The special dividend, totaling $232.9 million, was paid on 92,795,891 shares issued and outstanding on the record date, which included 416,140 shares held by certain of our consolidated subsidiaries. Approximately $177.9 million of the special dividend was paid through the issuance of 4,594,074 shares of Common Stock (including 20,339 shares issued to consolidated subsidiaries holding our shares), which was determined based on the average closing price of our Common Stock on January 23 and 24, 2008, or $38.71 per share.

After elimination of the effect of shares held by consolidated subsidiaries, the special dividend totaled $231.9 million. Approximately $177.1 million of the special dividend was paid through the issuance of 4,573,735 shares of Common Stock (excluding 20,339 shares issued to our consolidated subsidiaries) to holders of 92,379,751 shares of our Common Stock on the record date (excluding 416,140 shares held by certain of our consolidated subsidiaries), representing an increase of approximately 4.95% to the then outstanding shares. The effect of the issuance of additional shares of Common Stock pursuant to the special dividend has been retroactively reflected in each of the historical periods presented as if those shares were issued and outstanding at the beginning of the earliest period presented; accordingly, all activity including share issuances, repurchases and forfeitures have been adjusted to reflect the 4.95% increase in the number of shares, except in limited instances where noted otherwise.

Table of Contents

In April 2008, we and the Aimco Operating Partnership filed a new shelf registration statement to replace the existing shelf (which was due to expire later in the year) that provides for the issuance of debt and equity securities by Aimco and debt securities by the Aimco Operating Partnership.

Our Board of Directors has, from time to time, authorized us to repurchase shares of our outstanding capital stock. During the six months ended June 30, 2008, we repurchased 8,997,746 shares of Common Stock for cash totaling $323.5 million. As of June 30, 2008, we were authorized to repurchase approximately 24.2 million additional shares of our Common Stock under an authorization that has no expiration date. Future repurchases may be made from time to time in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions.

Future Capital Needs

We expect to fund any future acquisitions, additional redevelopment projects and capital improvements principally with proceeds from property sales (including tax-free exchange proceeds), short-term borrowings, debt and equity financing (including tax credit equity) and operating cash flows.

ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Our primary market risk exposure relates to changes in interest rates. We are not subject to any foreign currency exchange rate risk or commodity price risk, or any other material market rate or price risks.

Our capital structure includes the use of fixed-rate and variable-rate indebtedness. As such, we are exposed to changes in interest rates. We use predominantly long-term, fixed-rate non-recourse mortgage debt in order to avoid the refunding and repricing risks of short-term borrowings. We use short-term debt financing and working capital primarily to fund short-term uses and acquisitions and generally expect to refinance such borrowings with cash from operating activities, property sales proceeds, long-term debt or equity financings. We make limited use of derivative financial instruments and we do not use them for trading or other speculative purposes. We utilize total rate-of-return swaps to effectively convert certain of our fixed rate debt to variable rate debt.

See Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007 for a more detailed discussion of interest rate sensitivity. As of June 30, 2008, our market risk had not changed materially from the amounts reported in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.

ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act)) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on such evaluation, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer have concluded that, as of the end of such period, our disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the second quarter of 2008 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1A. Risk Factors

As of the date of this report, there have been no material changes from the risk factors in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.

ITEM 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

(a) *Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities.* From time to time during the three months ended June 30, 2008, we issued shares of Common Stock in exchange for common and preferred OP Units tendered to the Aimco Operating Partnership for redemption in accordance with the terms and provisions of the agreement of limited partnership of the Aimco Operating Partnership. Such shares are issued based on an exchange ratio of one share for each common OP Unit or the applicable conversion ratio for preferred OP Units. During the three months ended June 30, 2008, approximately 17,000 shares of Common Stock were issued in exchange for OP Units in these transactions. All of the foregoing issuances were made in private placement transactions exempt from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

(c) *Repurchases of Equity Securities.* The following table summarizes repurchases of our equity securities for the three months ended June 30, 2008:

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (1)
April 1 - April 30, 2008	1,644,303	$ 38.46	1,644,303	26,531,570
May 1 - May 31, 2008	2,160,900	$ 39.26	2,160,900	24,370,670
June 1 - June 30, 2008	125,000	$ 38.80	125,000	24,245,670
Total	3,930,203	$ 38.91	3,930,203	

(1) Our Board of Directors has, from time to time, authorized us to repurchase shares of our outstanding capital stock. As of June 30, 2008, we were authorized to repurchase approximately 24.2 million additional shares. This authorization

has no expiration date. These repurchases may be made from time to time in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions.

Dividend Payments. Our Credit Agreement includes customary covenants, including a restriction on dividends and other restricted payments, but permits dividends during any 12-month period in an aggregate amount of up to 95% of our Funds From Operations for such period or such amount as may be necessary to maintain our REIT status.

Table of Contents

ITEM 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

We held our annual meeting of stockholders on April 28, 2008. At the meeting, the stockholders elected the following eight directors by the votes indicated below:

	Votes For	Votes Withheld
Terry Considine	79,250,003	1,078,150
James N. Bailey	78,961,473	1,366,680
Richard S. Ellwood	78,863,106	1,465,047
Thomas L. Keltner	78,986,899	1,341,254
J. Landis Martin	78,872,152	1,456,001
Robert A. Miller	78,992,935	1,335,218
Thomas L. Rhodes	78,870,023	1,458,130
Michael A. Stein	78,992,494	1,335,659

There were no abstentions or broker non-votes.

At the meeting, the stockholders approved the proposal to ratify the selection of Ernst & Young LLP, to serve as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2008, by the votes indicated below:

Votes For	Votes Against	Abstentions	Broker Non-Votes
78,779,033	870,755	678,365	

ITEM 6. Exhibits

The following exhibits are filed with this report:

EXHIBIT NO.	
3.1	Charter (Exhibit 3.1 to Aimco s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2006, is incorporated herein by reference)
3.2	Bylaws (Exhibit 3.2 to Aimco s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2007, is incorporated herein by this reference)
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
99.1	Agreement Regarding Disclosure of Long-Term Debt Instruments

38

Table of Contents

APARTMENT INVESTMENT AND MANAGEMENT COMPANY

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

APARTMENT INVESTMENT AND
MANAGEMENT COMPANY

By: /s/ THOMAS M. HERZOG
Thomas M. Herzog
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (duly authorized officer and principal financial officer)

By: /s/ PAUL BELDIN
Paul Beldin
Senior Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer

Date: August 1, 2008

Table of Contents

Exhibit Index

EXHIBIT NO.	EXHIBIT TITLE
3.1	Charter (Exhibit 3.1 to Aimco s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2006, is incorporated herein by reference)
3.2	Bylaws (Exhibit 3.2 to Aimco s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2007 , is incorporated herein by this reference)
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
99.1	Agreement Regarding Disclosure of Long-Term Debt Instruments