PAYMENT DATA SYSTEMS INC Form 10KSB

March 31, 2006

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-KSB

(Mark One)

- [X] ANNUAL REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 or 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2005.
- [] TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 or 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM ______ TO _____

COMMISSION FILE NO. 000-30152

PAYMENT DATA SYSTEMS, INC. (Name of small business issuer in its charter)

NEVADA 98-0190072

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

12500 SAN PEDRO, STE. 120, SAN ANTONIO, TX 78216 (Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Issuer's telephone number, including area code: (210) 249-4100

Securities registered under Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act: NONE.

Securities registered under Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act: COMMON STOCK,

PAR VALUE \$0.001 PER SHARE. (Title of class)

Check whether the issuer is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or $15\,(d)$ of the Exchange Act. []

Check whether the issuer (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or $15\,\text{(d)}$ of the Exchange Act during the past twelve months (or such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes [X] No []

Check if there is no disclosure of delinquent filers in response to Item 405 of Regulation S-B contained in this form, and no disclosure will be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-KSB or any amendment to this Form 10-KSB. []

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes [] No [X]

State issuer's revenues for its most recent fiscal year: \$1,180,753.

State the aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price at which the common equity was last sold, or the average bid and asked price of such common equity, as of March 10, 2006: \$5,124,221.

State the number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant's classes of

common stock as of March 10, 2006: 39,417,088 common shares.

Documents incorporated by reference: Portions of the Definitive Proxy Statement for the 2006 Annual Meeting of Stockholders

Transitional Small Business Disclosure Format (Check One): [] Yes [X] No

1

PAYMENT DATA SYSTEMS, INC.

FORM 10-KSB FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2005

INDEX

PART I

- Item 1. Description of Business.
 Item 2. Description of Property.
- Item 3. Legal Proceedings.
- Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

PART II

- Item 5. Market for Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters.
- Item 6. Management's Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operation.
- Item 7. Financial Statements.
- Item 8. Changes In and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.
- Item 8A. Controls and Procedures.
- Item 8B. Other Information.

PART III

- Item 10. Executive Compensation.
- Item 11. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholde
- Item 12. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions.
- Item 13. Exhibits.
- Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services.

FACTORS THAT MAY AFFECT FUTURE RESULTS

This Annual Report on Form 10-KSB and the documents incorporated herein by reference contain certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Federal Securities Laws. Specifically, all statements other than statements of historical facts included in this Annual Report on Form 10-KSB regarding our financial performance, business strategy and plans and objectives of management for future operations and any other future events are forward-looking statements and based on our beliefs and assumptions. If used in this report, the words "anticipate," "believe," "estimate", "expect," "intend," and words or phrases of similar import are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Such

statements reflect our current view with respect to future events and are subject to certain risks, uncertainties, and assumptions, including, but without limitation, those risks and uncertainties contained in the Risk Factors section of Item 6, Management's Discussion and Analysis, of this Annual Report on Form 10-KSB. Although we believe that our expectations are reasonable, we can give no assurance that such expectations will prove to be correct. Based upon changing conditions, any one or more of these events described herein as anticipated, believed, estimated, expected or intended may not occur. All prior and subsequent written and oral forward-looking statements attributable to the Company or persons acting on its behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by this cautionary statement.

2

PART I

ITEM 1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS.

General

Payment Data Systems, Inc. was founded in July 1998 and is incorporated in the State of Nevada. Our primary operations consist of functioning as a processor of electronic payments for other companies. We provide integrated electronic payment processing services to merchants and businesses, including all types of Automated Clearinghouse processing and credit and debit card-based processing services. The Automated Clearinghouse Network is a nationwide electronic funds transfer system that is regulated by the Federal Reserve and provides for the clearing of electronic payments between participating financial institutions. Our Automated Clearinghouse processing services enable merchants or businesses to both disburse or collect funds electronically using e-checks to transfer funds instead of traditional paper checks. An e-check is an electronic debit to a bank checking account that is initiated at the point-of-sale, on the Internet, over the telephone or via a bill payment sent through the mail and is processed using the Automated Clearinghouse network. Our card-based processing services enable merchants to process both traditional card-present, or "swipe," transactions, as well as card-not-present transactions. A traditional card-present transaction occurs whenever a cardholder physically presents a credit or debit card to a merchant at the point-of-sale. A card-not-present transaction occurs whenever the customer does not physically present a payment card at the point-of-sale and may occur over the Internet, mail, fax or telephone. Our electronic payment processing may take place in a variety of forms and situations. For example, our capabilities allow merchants to convert a paper check to an e-check or receive card authorization at the point-of-sale, have their customer service representatives take e-check or card payments from their consumers by telephone, and enable their consumers to make e-check or card payments directly through the use of a web site or by calling an Interactive Voice Response telephone system. We also operate an online payment processing service for consumers under the domain name www.billx.com, formerly www.bills.com, through which consumers can pay anyone.

We generate revenues by charging fees for the electronic processing of payment transactions and related services. We charge certain merchants for these processing services at a bundled rate based on a percentage of the dollar amount of each transaction and, in some instances, additional fees are charged for each transaction. We charge other merchant customers a flat fee per transaction, and may also charge miscellaneous fees to our customers, including fees for returns, monthly minimums, and other miscellaneous services. We charge consumers that use our billx.com online payment service a flat monthly fee that allows them to make a certain number of payments in a month. We also charge these consumers an additional fee for each payment that exceeds the allowed number of payments in a

given month. We operate solely in the United States as a single operating segment.

Industry Background

The use of non-paper based forms of payment by consumers in the United States, such as credit and debit cards, has steadily increased over the past several years. According to the 2004 Federal Reserve Payments Study, the number of electronic payment transactions totaled 44.5 billion in 2003 while the number of checks paid totaled 36.7 billion. This marked the first time that electronic payment transactions in the U.S. exceeded check payments. If current growth rates are sustained, payments made by credit cards and debit cards will each exceed the number of paid checks by 2010. The growth of electronic commerce has made the acceptance of card-based and other electronic forms of payment a necessity for businesses, both large and small, in order to remain competitive.

We believe that the electronic payment processing industry will continue to benefit from the following trends:

Favorable Demographics

As consumers age, we expect that they will continue to use the payment technology to which they have grown accustomed. More consumers are beginning to use card-based and other electronic payment methods for purchases at an earlier age. According to the Federal Reserve Survey of Consumer Finances, the percentage of households with consumers under the age of 30 years using debit cards increased from 24.5% in 1995 to 60.6% in 2001. As consumers who have witnessed the wide adoption of card products, technology, and the Internet comprise a greater percentage of the population and increasingly enter the work force, we expect that purchases using electronic payment methods will comprise an increasing percentage of total consumer spending. Because of the Internet's increasing adoption rate, businesses have a growing opportunity to conduct commerce with their consumers and business partners over the Internet.

Increased Electronic Payment Acceptance by Small Businesses

Small businesses are a vital component of the U.S. economy and are expected to contribute to the increased use of electronic payment methods. According to the U.S. Small Business Administration, small businesses generate more than 50% of the nonfarm private gross domestic product in the United States. The lower costs associated with electronic payment methods are making these services more affordable to a larger segment of the small business market. In addition, we believe these businesses are experiencing increased pressure to accept electronic payment methods in order to remain competitive and to meet consumer expectations. As a result, many of these small businesses are seeking to provide customers with the ability to pay for merchandise and services using electronic payment methods, including those in industries that have historically accepted cash and checks as the only forms of payment for their merchandise and services.

3

Growth in Online Transactions

Market researchers expect dramatic growth in card-not-present transactions due to the rapid growth of the Internet and electronic commerce. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, retail e-commerce sales for 2005 were \$86.3 billion, an increase of 25% from \$69.2 billion in 2004. The prevalence of the Internet makes having an online presence a basic consideration for those operating a business today. To remain competitive, many companies are seeking to leverage the Internet to provide operational efficiencies, create new revenue opportunities and maximize the longevity and profitability of their customer relationships.

Products and Services

Our service offerings are supported by our systems infrastructure that integrates certain proprietary components with processing systems outsourced to third party providers to offer our customers a flexible and secure payment process. We utilize a secure sockets layer so that connections and information are secure from outside inspection. We also use 128-bit encryption for all electronic transactions that we process to make information unreadable as it passes over the Internet. Our systems infrastructure allows us to work with our customers to build a customized electronic payment service offering tailored to their specific needs. We have designed and implemented our integrated payment systems to function as gateways between our customers and our third party processing providers. Our systems provide for interfaces with our customers through which payment data is captured electronically and transferred through the connections we have with our processing providers. Our systems also provide a data warehousing capability so that all of a customer's payment data can be stored in one place to facilitate efficient data retrieval and analysis. We outsource our Automated Clearinghouse transaction processing and card-based transaction processing to third party providers. Our card-based processing system is capable of connecting with all of the major card-based processors in the United States.

The components of our service offering include all forms of Automated Clearinghouse transaction processing, such as Re-presented Check, which is a consumer non-sufficient funds check that is re-presented for payment electronically rather than through the paper check collection system, and Accounts Receivable Check Conversion, which is a consumer paper check payment that is converted into an e-check. Our customers can initiate Automated Clearinghouse transactions directly using an online terminal accessible through a web site or we can initiate Automated Clearinghouse transactions on their behalf. Our service offering also includes merchant account services for the processing of card-based transactions through the VISA and MasterCard networks, including online terminal services accessed through a web site or retail services accessed via a physical terminal. We offer a proprietary web-based customer service application that combines both Automated Clearinghouse and card processing capabilities and allows companies to process one-time and recurring payments via e-checks or credit cards at the request of their consumers. In addition, we offer an Interactive Voice Response telephone system to companies that accept payments directly from consumers over the telephone using e-checks or credit cards.

In addition to these acquiring services, we are also aggressively developing and marketing prepaid gift cards and personal spending debit cards. In December 2005, we signed an agreement with MetaPayments Systems, a division of MetaBank, a federally chartered bank, to be our issuing bank for select prepaid debit card programs running on the various card associations and debit networks. We also entered into an agreement in February 2006 with Symmetrex, Inc. to provide us with backend card processing services for our debit card processing platform. We are working with MetaPayments to develop a series of competitive celebrity, gift, and personal spending card programs. We have already announced our first celebrity card program, which will involve Carmen Electra, and we also have a private labeled ATM card program currently in development.

We also operate a consumer web site focused on providing bill payment services under the domain name www.billx.com and manage all of the related back-end processing through our own proprietary processing engine. Consumers subscribe to the payment service and are allowed to make a certain number of payments each month for a flat monthly fee and are assessed a separate fee for any additional payments made over the limit. Our online payment processing service seeks to provide consumers with an efficient and secure interface for paying and managing bills via the Internet. We also sell this payment portal service as a private

label solution to online financial services providers looking to provide online bill payment capabilities as part of their service offering to consumers. We also offer this service to other debit card issuers, as we are able to utilize the bill payment component of this service for payments made via debit cards, a process for which we have applied for a patent.

4

Relationships with Sponsors and Processors

We have agreements with several processors to provide to us, on a non-exclusive basis, transaction processing and transmittal, transaction authorization and data capture, and access to various reporting tools. In order to provide payment processing services for Automated Clearinghouse transactions, we must maintain a relationship with an Originating Depository Financial Institution in the Automated Clearinghouse Network because we are not a bank and therefore not eligible to be an Originating Depository Financial Institution. The third party provider that handles our Automated Clearinghouse processing maintains a relationship with several Originating Depository Financial Institutions on our behalf. Similarly, in order to provide payment processing services for Visa and MasterCard transactions, we must be sponsored by a financial institution that is a principal member of the Visa and MasterCard card associations. We have an agreement with TriSource Solutions, LLC through which their member bank sponsors us for membership in the Visa and MasterCard card associations and settles card transactions for our merchants. This agreement may be terminated by the processor if we materially breach the agreement and we do not cure the breach within 30 days, or if we enter bankruptcy or file for bankruptcy.

Under our processing agreement with TriSource Solutions, we are financially liable for all fees, chargebacks and losses related to our card processing merchant customers. If, due to insolvency or bankruptcy of our merchant customers, or for another reason, we are unable to collect from them amounts that have been refunded to the cardholders because the cardholders properly initiated a chargeback transaction to reverse the credit card charges, we must bear the credit risk for the full amount of the cardholder transaction. We utilize a number of systems and procedures to evaluate and manage merchant risk, such as obtaining approval of prospective merchants from our processor and sponsor bank, setting transaction limits and monitoring account activity. We may also require cash deposits and other types of collateral from certain merchants to mitigate any such risk. We maintain a reserve for losses resulting from card processing and related chargebacks. We estimate our potential loss for chargebacks by performing a historical analysis of our chargeback loss experience with similar merchants and considering other factors that could affect that experience in the future, such as the types of card transactions processed and nature of the merchant relationship with their consumers.

We also maintain a separate allowance for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability or failure of our merchant customers to make required payments for fees charged by us. Amounts due from customers may be deemed uncollectible because of merchant disputes, fraud, insolvency or bankruptcy. We determine the allowance for doubtful accounts based on an account-by-account review, taking into consideration such factors as the age of the outstanding receivable, historical pattern of collections and financial condition of the customer. We closely monitor extensions of credit and if the financial condition of our customers were to deteriorate, resulting in an impairment of their ability to make contractual payments, additional allowances may be required.

Sales and Marketing

We market and sell our products and services through direct contact by our sales

personnel, as well as through non-exclusive resellers that act as an external sales force, with minimal direct investment in sales infrastructure and management. Our direct sales effort is coordinated by a sales executive and supported by other employees who function in sales capacities. Our primary market focus is on companies generating high volumes of electronic payment transactions. We tailor our sales efforts to reach this market by pre-qualifying prospective sales leads through direct contact or market research. Our sales personnel typically initiate contact with prospective customers that we identify as meeting our target profile. We also plan to market and sell our celebrity card programs, such as the Carmen Electra card, directly to consumers, primarily through the Internet. We will continue to analyze our sales and marketing efforts in order to control costs, increase the effectiveness of our sales force, and broaden our reach through reseller initiatives and advantageous alliances.

Customers

Nearly all of our customers are consumers geographically dispersed throughout the United States utilizing our billx.com Internet bill payment service on a recurring monthly basis to pay household bills. The service relationship between our billx.com customers and us is not contractual and the fee we charge for the service is not negotiable. We seek to retain customers by providing high service levels. Customers are also more likely than not to continue using the service once activated due to their investment of time in setting up the service with their personal banking and payment information. The monthly average number of billx.com customers using our online payment service increased to 2,419 in 2005 from 2,190 in 2004.

Our other customers are merchants and businesses that use our Automated Clearinghouse and/or card-based processing services in order to provide their consumers with the ability to pay for goods and services without having to use cash or a paper check. These merchant customers operate in a variety of retail industries and are under contract with us to exclusively use the services that we provide to them. Most of our merchant customers have signed long-term contracts, with generally three-year terms, that provide for volume-based transaction fees. We provided services to 119 and 51 merchant customers at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Services provided to Lexicon Marketing and NII Communications accounted for approximately 33% and 7%, respectively, of our total consolidated revenues for the year ended December 31, 2005. Services provided to Credit Payment Services, Inc. accounted for approximately 11% and 13% of our total consolidated revenues for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Revenue generated by our merchant customers represented approximately 86% of our total revenues in 2005 and we believe that this percentage will increase as we anticipate adding new merchant customers and experiencing growth in transaction volumes as a result. We believe our merchant business provides us with the best opportunity for revenue growth and will continue to comprise the majority of our business in the future.

5

Competition

The payment processing industry is highly competitive. Many small and large companies compete with us in providing payment processing services and related services to a wide range of merchants. There are a number of large transaction processors, including First Data Merchant Services Corporation, Concord EFS, Inc., National Processing, Inc., and Global Payments, Inc., that serve a broad market spectrum from large to small merchants and provide banking, automatic teller machine, and other payment-related services and systems in addition to card-based payment processing. There are also a large number of smaller transaction processors that provide various services to small and medium-sized

merchants. Many of our competitors have substantially greater capital resources than us and operate as subsidiaries of financial or bank holding companies, which may allow them on a consolidated basis to own and conduct depository and other banking activities that we do not have the regulatory authority to own or conduct. We believe that the principal competitive factors in our market include:

- o quality of service;
- o reliability of service;
- o ability to evaluate, undertake and manage risk;
- o speed in implementing payment processes;
- o price and other financial terms; and
- o multi-channel payment capability.

We believe that our specific focus on providing integrated payment processing solutions to merchants, in addition to our understanding of the needs and risks associated with providing payment processing services electronically, gives us a competitive advantage over other competitors, which have a narrower market perspective, and over competitors of a similar or smaller size that may lack our experience in the electronic payments industry. Furthermore, we believe we present a competitive distinction through the use of our internal technology to provide a single integrated payment storage or warehouse that consolidates, processes, tracks and reports all payments regardless of payment source or channel.

Trademarks

We own federally registered trademarks on the marks Payment Data Systems, Inc. and Payment Data Systems, Inc. and design. We have also secured domain name registrations for billx.com, billxpress.com, billhelp.com, paymentdatasystems.com, paymentdata.org and paymentdata.com. We rely on a combination of copyright, trademark and trade secret laws, employee and third party nondisclosure agreements, and other intellectual property protection methods to protect our services and related products.

Patent

We have filed the final application for patent protection from the U.S. Patent Office for the technology that will enable the industry's first bill payment capability using a debit card. The debit card technology for which we have filed for patent protection allows a cardholder to use their stored-value debit or ATM card to pay local, national, or international bills with the card from their electronic balance. Because it does not require linkage to a traditional checking or savings account, this new debit technology is unique in that it allows for use by "unbanked" consumers.

EMPLOYEES

As of December 31, 2005, we had 8 employees. We are not a party to any collective bargaining agreements. We believe that our relations with our employees are good.

ITEM 2. DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.

As of December 31, 2005, our headquarters and operations were housed in approximately 4,500 square feet of leased office space in San Antonio, Texas. We recently extended the term of our office lease, which calls for annual rent of \$81,126 through October 2009. We believe our existing facilities will be adequate to meet our anticipated needs for the foreseeable future.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

Beginning in December 2000, we pledged as loan guarantees certain funds held as money market funds and certificates of deposit to collateralize margin loans for the following executive officers: (1) Michael R. Long, then Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer; (2) Louis A. Hoch, then President and Chief Operating Officer; (3) Marshall N. Millard, then Secretary, Senior Vice President, and General Counsel; and (4) David S. Jones, then Executive Vice President. Mr. Millard and Mr. Jones are no longer our employees. The margin loans were obtained in March 1999 from institutional lenders and were secured by shares of our common stock owned by these officers. The pledged funds were held in our name in accounts with the lenders that held the margin loans of the officers. Our purpose in collateralizing the margin loans was to prevent the sale of our common stock owned by these officers while we were pursuing efforts to raise additional capital through private equity placements. The sale of that common stock could have hindered our ability to raise capital in such a manner and compromised our continuing efforts to secure additional financing. The highest total amount of funds pledged for the margin loans guaranteed by us was approximately \$2.0 million. The total balance of the margin loans guaranteed by us was approximately \$1.3 million at December 31, 2002. At the time the funds were pledged, we believed we would have access to them because (a) our stock price was substantial and the stock pledged by the officers, if liquidated, would produce funds in excess of the loans payable, and (b) with respect to one of the institutional lenders (who was also assisting us as a financial advisor at the time), even if the stock price fell, we had received assurances from that institutional lender that the pledged funds would be made available as needed. During the fourth quarter of 2002, we requested partial release of the funds for operating purposes, which request was denied by an institutional lender. At that time, our stock price had fallen as well, and it became clear that both institutional lenders would not release the pledged funds. In light of these circumstances, we recognized a loss on the guarantees of \$1,278,138 in the fourth quarter of 2002 and recorded a corresponding payable under related party guarantees on our balance sheet at December 31, 2002 because it became probable at that point that we would be unable to recover our pledged funds. During the quarter ended March 31, 2003, the lenders applied the pledged funds to satisfy the outstanding balances of the loans. The total balance of the margin loans quaranteed by us was zero at December 31, 2005. We may institute litigation or arbitration in collection of the outstanding repayment obligations of Mr. Long, Mr. Hoch, Mr. Millard, and Mr. Jones, which currently total \$1,278,138. Presently, we have refrained from initiating action to recover these funds from Mr. Long, Mr. Hoch, and Mr. Millard because they may have offsetting claims that total \$1,445,500 collectively by virtue of the change of control clause in their respective employment agreements based on our preliminary analysis. We understand that these individuals may assert such claims based on our sale of substantially all of our assets to Harbor Payments, Inc. on July 25, 2003. While Mr. Long and Mr. Hoch agreed, in connection with such sale, to forego any affirmative recovery of their compensation by virtue of a change of control, they have maintained the right to claim an offset of that amount in the event we seek recovery of the loan balances. We have not initiated any formal settlement negotiations with these individuals because they have been under an extended employment contract with us or have not been amenable to such an action. We have not pursued the outstanding repayment obligation of Mr. Jones because we do not consider a recovery attempt to be cost beneficial. In order to attempt a recovery from Mr. Jones, we estimate that we would incur a minimum of \$20,000 in estimated legal costs with no reasonable assurance of success in recovering his outstanding obligation of approximately \$38,000. Because of the limited amount of the obligation, we also anticipate difficulty in retaining counsel on a contingency basis to pursue collection of this obligation. The ultimate outcome of this matter cannot presently be determined.

On July 25, 2003, certain of our stockholders (those stockholders being Mike

Procacci, Jr., Mark and Stefanie McMahon, Anthony and Lois Tedeschi, Donna and James Knoll, John E. Hamilton, III, William T. Hagan, Samuel A. Fruscione, Dana Fruscione-Penzone, Gia Fruscione, Alicia Fruscione, Joseph Fruscione, Robert Evans, John Arangio, Gary and JoAnne Gardner, Lee and Margaret Getson, G. Harry Bonham, Jr., Gary Brewer, Bob Lastowski, Robert Filipe, Mitchell D. Hovendick, Dr. John Diephold, Joseph Maressa, Jr., and Charles Brennan) commenced legal action against us, Ernst & Young, LLP, and certain of our current and former directors (including the executive officers named above) in the District Court of the 45th Judicial District, Bexar County, Texas. With respect to us and the current and former directors named in the suit, the plaintiffs alleged that we, acting through such directors, misstated in our 2000 and 2001 Form 10-Ks our ability to use for operational purposes the funds pledged as security for margin loans of certain of our executive officers, as discussed above. The plaintiffs alleged and sought resulting economic and exemplary damages, rescission, interest, attorneys' fees and court costs.

On November 9, 2005, we entered into a settlement agreement with all the plaintiffs named in the suit. Under the terms of the settlement, the plaintiffs dismissed the pending litigation, with prejudice, and released all claims against us, our current and former officers and directors, and our former auditors, Ernst & Young, LLP. Additionally, we reset the exercise price of 1,912,400 warrants to purchase our common stock held by the plaintiffs from \$1.80 per share to a new exercise price of \$0.20 per share. We also extended the expiration date of the warrants from November 27, 2006, to November 27, 2007. We recorded a non-cash expense of \$151,309 in the third quarter of 2005 as a result of the modification of the warrant terms. We are not paying any other economic consideration to fund this settlement. On balance, we believe the terms of this settlement to be fair and equitable to our shareholders and us. We will no longer incur significant expenses associated with defense of the litigation and the uncertainly inherent in any litigation is now resolved at a reasonable cost.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS.

There were no matters submitted to a vote of our stockholders during the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2005.

-

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS.

MARKET INFORMATION

Our common stock was traded on the National Association of Securities Dealers Over the Counter Bulletin Board through March 13, 2000 at which time our common stock was approved for trading on the NASDAQ Small Cap Market. Subsequently, our stock was approved for trading on the NASDAQ National Market on July 31, 2000 under the symbol BLLS. On February 4, 2003, the NASDAQ National Market delisted our common stock because we did not meet the requirements for continued listing on the NASDAQ National Market. Our common shares were immediately eligible for quotation on the Over the Counter Bulletin Board effective at opening of business on February 4, 2003. Our common stock began trading under a new ticker symbol, PYDS, on the Over the Counter Bulletin Board on August 20, 2003.

The following table sets forth for the quarterly periods indicated the range of high and low closing prices of our common stock as reported on the Over the Counter Bulletin Board:

	High	Low
2005		
First Quarter	\$0.34	\$0.20
Second Quarter	\$0.25	\$0.19
Third Quarter	\$0.22	\$0.15
Fourth Quarter	\$0.15	\$0.08
2004		
First Quarter	\$0.46	\$0.15
Second Quarter	\$0.43	\$0.21
Third Quarter	\$0.26	\$0.16
Fourth Quarter	\$0.34	\$0.21

HOLDERS

As of March 10, 2006, 39,417,088 shares of our common stock are issued and outstanding. As of March 10, 2006, there were approximately 4,473 stockholders of record of our common stock.

DIVIDENDS

We have never declared or paid cash or stock dividends and have no plans to pay any such dividends in the foreseeable future, instead, we intend to reinvest our earnings, if any.

SECURITIES AUTHORIZED FOR ISSUANCE UNDER EQUITY COMPENSATION PLANS

The following table provides information as of December 31, 2005 with respect to compensation plans (including individual compensation arrangements) under which equity securities of the registrant are authorized for issuance:

	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options	Number of securitie remaining available future issuance und compensation plan
Employee Comprehensive Stock Plan approved by stockholders	4,658,167	\$ 0.50	1,353,959
Non-Employee Director Plan approved by stockholders	858,003	\$ 1.20	1,160,000

UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

During the quarter ended December 31, 2005, we sold 160,000 shares of our common stock to Dutchess Private Equities Fund, LP pursuant to an equity line of credit and received total proceeds, net of issuance costs, of \$21,367.

ITEM 6. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OR PLAN OF OPERATION.

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto, and other financial information included elsewhere in this Form 10-KSB. This report contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Actual results in future periods may differ materially from those expressed or implied in such forward-looking statements as a result of a number of factors, including, but not limited to, the risks discussed under the heading "Risk Factors" and elsewhere in this Form 10-KSB.

OVERVIEW

We provide integrated electronic payment services, including credit and debit card-based processing services and transaction processing via the Automated Clearinghouse Network, and continue to operate an Internet electronic payment processing service for consumers under the domain name www.billx.com. Since inception, we have incurred operating losses each quarter, and as of December 31, 2005, we have an accumulated deficit of \$49.4 million. OUR PROSPECTS TO CONTINUE AS A GOING CONCERN MUST BE CONSIDERED IN LIGHT OF THE RISKS, EXPENSES AND DIFFICULTIES FREQUENTLY ENCOUNTERED BY COMPANIES IN THEIR EARLY STAGES OF GROWTH, PARTICULARLY COMPANIES IN NEW AND RAPIDLY EVOLVING MARKETS SUCH AS ELECTRONIC COMMERCE. Such risks include, but are not limited to, an evolving and unpredictable business model and our ability to continue as a going concern. To address these risks, we must, among other things, grow and maintain our customer base, implement a successful marketing strategy, continue to maintain and upgrade our technology and transaction-processing systems, provide superior customer service, respond to competitive developments, attract, retain and motivate qualified personnel, and respond to unforeseen industry developments and other factors. We cannot assure you that we will be successful in addressing such risks, and the failure to do so could have a material adverse effect on our business, prospects, financial condition and results of operations.

We believe that our success will depend in large part on our ability to (a) manage our operating expenses, (b) add quality customers to our client base, (c) meet evolving customer requirements and (d) adapt to technological changes in an emerging market. Accordingly, we intend to focus on customer acquisition activities and outsource some of our processing services to third parties to allow us to maintain an efficient operating infrastructure and expand our operations without significantly increasing our fixed operating expenses.

As a result of our limited operating history and the emerging nature of the markets in which we compete, we are unable to precisely forecast our revenues. Our current and future expense levels are based largely on our investment plans and estimates of future revenues. Revenue and operating results will depend on the volume of payment transactions processed and related services rendered. The timing of such services and transactions and our ability to fulfill a customer's demands are difficult to forecast. Although we systematically budget for planned outlays and maintain tight controls on our expenditures, we may be unable to adjust spending in a timely manner to compensate for any unexpected revenue shortfall. Accordingly, any significant shortfall in revenues in relation to our planned expenditures could have a material adverse effect on our business, prospects, financial condition and results of operations. Further, we may make certain pricing, service, marketing or acquisition decisions that could have a material adverse effect on each or all of these areas.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

General

Management's discussion and analysis of its financial condition and results of operations is based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been

prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to the reported amounts of revenues and expenses, bad debt, investments, intangible assets, income taxes, and contingencies and litigation. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results could differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Reserve for Losses on Card Processing

If, due to insolvency or bankruptcy of the merchant, or for another reason, we are not able to collect amounts from our card processing merchant customers that have been properly "charged back" by the cardholders, we must bear the credit risk for the full amount of the cardholder transaction. We may require cash deposits and other types of collateral from certain merchants to minimize any such risk. In addition, we utilize a number of systems and procedures to manage merchant risk. Card merchant processing loss reserves are primarily determined by performing a historical analysis of our chargeback loss experience and considering other factors that could affect that experience in the future. This reserve amount is subject to risk that actual losses may be greater than our estimates. At December 31, 2005, the balance of our card merchant processing loss reserve was \$33,552.

9

Bad Debts

We maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability or failure of our customers to make required payments. We charged bad debt expense of \$10,000 and recorded bad debt write-offs of \$936 against our allowance for doubtful accounts in 2005. We did not charge any bad debt expense or bad debt write-offs in 2004. At December 31, 2005 and 2004, the balance of the allowance for doubtful accounts was \$12,219 and \$3,155, respectively. If the financial condition of our customers were to deteriorate, resulting in an impairment of their ability to make contractual payments, additional allowances may be required.

Valuation of Long-Lived and Intangible Assets

We assess the impairment of long-lived and intangible assets at least annually, and whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. Factors considered important, which could trigger an impairment review, include the following: significant underperformance relative to historical or projected future cash flows; significant changes in the manner of use of the assets or the strategy of the overall business; and significant negative industry trends. When we determine that the carrying value of long-lived and intangible assets may not be recoverable, we measure impairment as the excess of the assets' carrying value over the estimated fair value. No impairment losses were recorded in 2005 or 2004.

Income Taxes

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recorded based on the difference between the tax bases of assets and liabilities and their carrying amount for financial reporting purposes, as measured by the enacted tax rates and laws that will be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse. Deferred tax assets are

computed with the presumption that they will be realizable in future periods when pre-taxable income is generated. Predicting the ability to realize these assets in future periods requires a great deal of judgment by us. It is our judgment that we cannot predict with reasonable certainty that the deferred tax assets as of December 31, 2005 will be fully realized in future periods. Accordingly, a valuation allowance has been provided to reduce the net deferred tax assets to \$0. At December 31, 2005, we have available net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$37.0 million, which expire beginning in the year 2020

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Our revenues are principally derived from providing integrated electronic payment services to merchants and businesses, including credit and debit card-based processing services and transaction processing via the Automated Clearinghouse Network. We also operate an online payment processing service for consumers under the domain name www.billx.com. Total revenues for 2005 increased 230% to \$1,180,753 from \$357,566 for 2004. The increase from the prior year was primarily attributable to the increase in revenues generated from card-based processing services due to increased transaction volume. Revenues also increased form the prior year due to an increase in the average number of consumers subscribing to the billx.com payment service. The monthly average number of consumers using our online payment service increased to 2,419 in 2005 from 2,190in 2004. The number of transactions generated by billx.com customers is not indicative of revenue growth because the majority of these customers pay a flat monthly fee to process up to a certain number of payments each month and do not exceed the maximum number of payments allowed. We transitioned to processing the online payments ourselves during the third quarter of 2005, and are now able to market the service as a private-label application to prospective resellers.

We expect our revenues to increase as we anticipate continued growth in the volume of card transactions and additional merchant customers. Revenue generated by our merchant customers represented approximately 86% of our total revenues for the year ended December 31, 2005, and we believe this percentage will increase as we anticipate adding new merchant customers and experiencing growth in transaction volumes as a result. We believe our merchant business provides us with the best opportunity for revenue growth and revenues from card-based processing services will continue to grow in future periods.

Cost of services includes the cost of personnel dedicated to the creation and maintenance of connections to third party payment processors and fees paid to such third party providers for electronic payment processing services. Through our contractual relationships with our payment processors, we are able to process Automated Clearinghouse and debit or credit card transactions on behalf of our customers and their consumers. We pay volume-based fees for debit and credit transactions initiated through these processors, and pay fees for other transactions such as returns, notices of change to bank accounts and file transmission. Cost of services was \$1,038,346 and \$327,474 for 2005 and 2004, respectively. The increase from the prior year is due primarily to the increase in fees related to processing the increased card-based transaction volume.

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased to \$1,696,015 in 2005 from \$1,374,779 for 2004. The increase from the prior year was primarily due to non-cash deferred compensation expense of \$140,193 recorded in 2005 and an increase of approximately \$94,000 in legal fees.

10

Depreciation and amortization was \$86,374 and \$95,190 for 2005 and 2004, respectively. The decrease from the prior year was due to lower depreciation expense related to certain assets that became fully depreciated during 2005. We

purchased \$107,000 of computer equipment and software during 2005 and do not anticipate making significant capital expenditures in 2006.

Net other income was \$444,292 in 2005 compared to net other expense of \$74,654 in 2004. The change from 2004 to 2005 is primarily attributable to a gain of \$911,350 on the sale of our bills.com domain name and trademark to Alivio Holdings, LLC in December 2005. We retained our existing customer base that had been using bills.com for online payment processing services and transitioned it to a new domain name, www.billx.com, and continue to offer such services directly to consumers as well as through resellers, including Alivio.

Net loss improved to \$1,195,690 in 2005 from \$1,514,531 in 2004, as a result of the items discussed above.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

At December 31, 2005, we had \$378,098 of cash and cash equivalents, compared to \$153,966 of cash and cash equivalents at December 31, 2004. We have incurred substantial losses since inception and believe our current available cash and cash equivalents along with anticipated revenues may be insufficient to meet our anticipated cash needs for the foreseeable future. CONSEQUENTLY, OUR ABILITY TO CONTINUE AS A GOING CONCERN MAY BE CONTINGENT ON US RECEIVING ADDITIONAL FUNDS IN THE FORM OF EQUITY OR DEBT FINANCING. We are currently aggressively pursuing strategic alternatives.

In February 2004, we executed an agreement for an equity line of credit with Dutchess Private Equities Fund, LP. Under the terms of the agreement, we may elect to receive as much as \$10 million from Dutchess in common stock purchases over three years at our option. We filed a registration statement registering the resale of the shares of our common stock to be issued to Dutchess, which became effective on August 13, 2004. During the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, we sold 3,179,844 and 1,459,435 shares of its common stock, respectively, pursuant to the equity line of credit and received total proceeds, net of issuance costs, of \$597,162 and \$321,485, respectively.

On August 24, 2004, we entered into a promissory note with Dutchess. Pursuant to terms of the promissory note, we received \$260,000 and promised to pay Dutchess \$284,000 with a maturity date of December 24, 2004. We repaid this note in full on the maturity date. We also issued 75,000 shares of restricted common stock to Dutchess as an incentive for the investment and agreed to register the common stock issued pursuant to the promissory note on the next registration statement that we file.

On December 10, 2004, we entered into another promissory note with Dutchess. Pursuant to terms of the promissory note, we received \$260,000 and promised to pay Dutchess \$284,000 with a maturity date of April 10, 2005. We also issued 75,000 shares of restricted common stock to Dutchess as an incentive for the investment and agreed to register the common stock issued pursuant to the promissory note on the next registration statement that we file.

On May 12, 2005, we entered into another promissory with Dutchess. Pursuant to terms of the promissory note, we received \$600,000 and promised to pay Dutchess \$720,000 with a maturity date of December 22, 2005. We also issued 500,000 shares of restricted common stock to Dutchess as an incentive for the investment and agreed to register the common stock issued pursuant to the promissory note on the next registration statement that we file. We used a portion of the proceeds from this note to repay the balance of the note due April 10, 2005 to Dutchess in full, including a penalty of \$5,116 for late payment. We repaid the note due December 22, 2005 to Dutchess in full on the maturity date.

Net cash used in operating activities was \$891,786 and \$940,859 for 2005 and 2004, respectively. Net cash used in operating activities was primarily

attributable to operating net losses generated by growth stage activities and overhead costs. We plan to continue focusing on expending our resources prudently given our current state of liquidity.

Net cash provided by investing activities was \$849,986 for 2005 and primarily reflected proceeds of \$950,000 from the sale of our bills.com domain name in December 2005 partially offset by \$106,910 of capital expenditures for computer hardware and software. Net cash provided by investing activities was \$2,095 in 2004 and reflected the return of \$6,693 of deposits that had been used to secure the lease for our corporate office offset by capital expenditures of \$4,598 for computer equipment. We do not anticipate making significant capital expenditures during 2006.

Net cash provided by financing activities of \$265,932 for 2005 resulted primarily from proceeds, net of issuance costs, of \$689,932 from the issuance of common stock offset by \$404,000 of net repayments of borrowings under short-term promissory notes. Net cash provided by financing activities of \$564,611 for 2004 resulted primarily from proceeds, net of issuance costs, of \$328,611 from the issuance of common stock as well as \$236,000 of net borrowings under short-term notes.

The satisfactory completion of an additional investment or growth of cash flow from operations is essential as we have no other alternative that will provide sufficient cash flows to meet current operating requirements. The sale of additional equity or convertible debt securities would result in additional dilution to our stockholders, and debt financing, if available, may involve restrictive covenants which could restrict operations or finances. There can be no assurance that financing will be available in amounts or on terms acceptable to us, if at all. If we cannot raise funds or achieve positive cash flow, we may not be able to continue to exist, conduct operations, grow market share, take advantage of future opportunities or respond to competitive pressures or unanticipated requirements, any of which would negatively impact our business, operating results and financial condition.

11

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

We currently have no off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future material effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources.

RISK FACTORS

There are many factors that affect our business and the results of its operations, some of which are beyond our control. The following is a description of some of the important factors that may cause the actual results of our operations in future periods to differ materially from those currently expected or desired.

RISKS RELATED TO OUR BUSINESS

Our independent accountants have issued a going concern opinion and if we cannot obtain additional financing, we may have to curtail operations and may ultimately cease to exist.

Our independent accountants have issued a going concern opinion. Due to continuing operating losses, our current available cash and cash equivalents along with anticipated revenues are likely to be insufficient to meet our anticipated cash needs for the near future. Consequently, our ability to

continue as a going concern is likely contingent on us receiving additional funds in the form of equity or debt financing. We currently plan to meet our capital requirements primarily through the issuance of equity securities or new borrowing arrangements. Accordingly, we are aggressively pursuing strategic alternatives. However, financing may not be available in amounts or on terms acceptable to us, if at all. If we cannot raise funds on acceptable terms or achieve positive cash flow, we may be forced to curtail operations or may ultimately cease to exist.

We have generated significant losses and expect to generate operating losses for the foreseeable future, therefore we may not become profitable.

We organized in 1998 and began operations as a public company in 1999 by offering electronic billing services to other companies. After the sale of our primary business in July 2003, we have concentrated on building our electronic payments services operations. We have not been profitable since inception and we may never become profitable. As of December 31, 2005, our accumulated deficit was \$49.4 million.

If our security applications are not sufficient to address changing market conditions and customer concerns, we may not be able to sell our services.

Our use of applications designed for premium data security and integrity to process electronic transactions may not be sufficient to address changing market conditions or the security and privacy concerns of existing and potential customers. Adverse publicity raising concerns about the safety or privacy of electronic transactions, or widely reported breaches of our or another provider's security, have the potential to undermine consumer confidence in the technology and could have a materially adverse effect on our business.

If we do not adapt to rapid technological change, our business may fail.

Our success depends on our ability to develop new and enhanced services, and related products that meet changing customer needs. The market for our services, however, is characterized by rapidly changing technology, evolving industry standards, emerging competition and frequent new and enhanced software, service and related product introductions. In addition, the software market is subject to rapid and substantial technological change. To remain successful, we must respond to new developments in hardware and semiconductor technology, operating systems, programming technology and computer capabilities. In many instances, new and enhanced services, products and technologies are in the emerging stages of development and marketing, and are subject to the risks inherent in the development and marketing of new software, services and products. We may not successfully identify new service opportunities, and develop and bring new and enhanced services and related products to market in a timely manner. Even if we do bring such services, products or technologies to market, they may not become commercially successful. Additionally, services, products or technologies developed by others may render our services and related products noncompetitive or obsolete. If we are unable, for technological or other reasons, to develop and introduce new services and products in a timely manner in response to changing market conditions or customer requirements, our business may fail.

12

We rely on our relationship with the Automated Clearinghouse Network and if the Federal Reserve rules were to change, our business could be adversely affected.

We have a contractual relationship with a third party provider, which maintains a relationship with multiple Originating Depository Financial Institutions in the Automated Clearinghouse Network. The Automated Clearinghouse Network is a nationwide batch-oriented electronic funds transfer system that provides for the

interbank clearing of electronic payments for participating financial institutions. An Originating Depository Financial Institution is a participating financial institution that must abide by the provisions of the Automated Clearinghouse Operating Rules and Guidelines. Through our relationship with this third party provider, we are able to process payment transactions on behalf of our customers and their consumers by submitting payment instructions in a prescribed Automated Clearinghouse format. We pay volume-based fees to the third party provider for debit and credit transactions processed each month, and pay fees for other transactions such as returns and notices of change to bank accounts. These fees are part of our cost structure. If the Federal Reserve rules were to change to introduce restrictions or modify access to the Automated Clearinghouse, our business could be materially adversely affected.

If our third party card processing providers or our bank sponsors fail to comply with the applicable requirements of Visa and MasterCard credit card associations, we may have to find a new third party processing provider, which could increase our costs.

Substantially all of the card-based transactions we process involve Visa or MasterCard. If our third party processing provider, TriSource Solutions, LLC, or our bank sponsor, DuTrac Community Credit Union, fail to comply with the applicable requirements of the Visa and MasterCard credit card associations, Visa or MasterCard could suspend or terminate their registration. Also, our contract with these third parties is subject to cancellation upon limited notice by either party. The cancellation of our contract, termination of their registration or any changes in the Visa or MasterCard rules that would impair their registration could require us to stop providing such payment processing services if we are unable to obtain another provider or sponsor at similar costs. Additionally, changing our bank sponsor could adversely affect our relationship with our merchants if the new sponsor provides inferior service or charges higher costs.

We depend on Michael R. Long and Louis A. Hoch and if these officers ceased to be active in our management, our business may not be successful.

Our success depends to a significant degree upon the continued contributions of our key management, marketing, service and related product development and operational personnel, including our Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, Michael R. Long and our President and Chief Operating Officer, Louis A. Hoch. We had employment agreements with Mr. Long and Mr. Hoch that expired in July 2004 and prohibited them from competing with us for a period of two years upon termination of their employment. We intend to enter into similar new employment agreements with both of these individuals and are currently negotiating the terms of such agreements. Our business may not be successful if, for any reason, either of these officers ceased to be active in our management.

If our software fails, and we need to repair or replace it, or we become subject to warranty claims, our costs could increase.

Our software products could contain errors or "bugs" that could adversely affect the performance of services or damage a user's data. We attempt to limit our potential liability for warranty claims through technical audits and limitation-of-liability provisions in our customer agreements. However, these measures may not be effective in limiting our exposure to warranty claims. We have not experienced a significant increase in software errors or warranty claims. Despite the existence of various security precautions, our computer infrastructure may also be vulnerable to viruses or similar disruptive problems caused by our customers or third parties gaining access to our processing system. If our software fails, and we need to replace or repair it, or we become subject to warranty claims, our costs could increase.

Our business strategy includes identifying new businesses to acquire, and if we cannot integrate acquisitions into our company successfully, we may not become profitable.

Our success partially depends upon our ability to identify and acquire undervalued businesses within our industry. Although we believe that there are companies available for potential acquisition that are undervalued and might offer attractive business opportunities, we may not be able to make any acquisitions, and if we do make acquisitions, they may not be profitable. As a result, our business may not grow and we may not achieve or sustain profitability.

If we do not manage our growth, we may not achieve or sustain profitability.

We may experience a period of rapid growth that could place a significant strain on our resources. In order to manage our growth successfully, we will have to continue to improve our operational, management and financial systems and expand our work force. A significant increase in our customer base may necessitate the hiring of a significant number of additional personnel, qualified candidates for which, at the time needed, may be in short supply. In addition, the expansion and adaptation of our computer and administrative infrastructure will require substantial operational, management and financial resources. Although we believe that our current infrastructure is adequate to meet the needs of our customers in the foreseeable future, we may not be able to expand and adapt our infrastructure to meet additional demand on a timely basis, at a commercially reasonable cost, or at all. If our management is unable to manage growth effectively, hire needed personnel, expand and adapt our computer infrastructure and improve our operational, management, and financial systems and controls, we may not attain or sustain profitability.

13

If we do not manage our credit risks related to our merchant accounts, we may incur significant losses.

We rely on the Federal Reserve's Automated Clearinghouse system for electronic fund transfers and the Visa and MasterCard associations for settlement of payments by credit or debit card on behalf of our merchant customers. In our use of these established payment clearance systems, we generally bear the credit risks arising from returned transactions caused by insufficient funds, stop payment orders, closed accounts, frozen accounts, unauthorized use, disputes, customer charge backs theft or fraud. Consequently, we assume the credit risk of merchant disputes, fraud, insolvency or bankruptcy in the event we attempt to recover funds related to such transactions from our customers. We have not experienced a significant increase in the rate of returned transactions or incurred any losses with respect to such transactions. We utilize a number of systems and procedures to manage and limit credit risks, but if these actions are not successful in managing such risks, we may incur significant losses.

RISKS RELATED TO OUR INDUSTRY

The electronic commerce market is relatively new and if it does not grow, we may not be able to sell sufficient services to make our business viable.

The electronic commerce market is a relatively new and growing service industry. If the electronic commerce market fails to grow or grows slower than anticipated, or if we, despite an investment of significant resources, are unable to adapt to meet changing customer requirements or technological changes in this emerging market, or if our services and related products do not maintain a proportionate degree of acceptance in this growing market, our business may not grow and could even fail. Additionally, the security and privacy concerns of

existing and potential customers may inhibit the growth of the electronic commerce market in general, and our customer base and revenues, in particular. Similar to the emergence of the credit card and automatic teller machine industries, we and other organizations serving the electronic commerce market must educate users that electronic transactions use encryption technology and other electronic security measures that make electronic transactions more secure than paper-based transactions.

Changes in regulation of electronic commerce and related financial services industries could increase our costs and limit our business opportunities.

We believe that we are not required to be licensed by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, the Federal Reserve Board, or other federal or state agencies that regulate or monitor banks or other types of providers of electronic commerce services. It is possible that a federal or state agency will attempt to regulate providers of electronic commerce services, which could impede our ability to do business in the regulator's jurisdiction. We are subject to various laws and regulations relating to commercial transactions, such as the Uniform Commercial Code, and may be subject to the electronic funds transfer rules embodied in Regulation E, promulgated by the Federal Reserve Board. Given the expansion of the electronic commerce market, the Federal Reserve Board might revise Regulation E or adopt new rules for electronic funds transfer affecting users other than consumers. Because of growth in the electronic commerce market, Congress has held hearings on whether to regulate providers of services and transactions in the electronic commerce market. It is possible that Congress or individual states could enact laws regulating the electronic commerce market. If enacted, such laws, rules and regulations could be imposed on our business and industry and could increase our costs or limit our business opportunities.

If we cannot compete successfully in our industry, we could lose market share and our costs could increase.

Portions of the electronic commerce market are becoming increasingly competitive. We expect to face growing competition in all areas of the electronic payment processing market. New companies could emerge and compete for merchants of all sizes. We expect competition to increase from both established and emerging companies and that such increased competition could lower our market share and increase our costs. Moreover, our current and potential competitors, many of whom have greater financial, technical, marketing and other resources than us, may respond more quickly than us to new or emerging technologies or could expand to compete directly against us in any or all of our target markets. Accordingly, it is possible that current or potential competitors could rapidly acquire market share. We may not be able to compete against current or future competitors successfully. Additionally, competitive pressures may increase our costs, which could lower our earnings, if any.

14

RISKS RELATED TO OUR COMMON STOCK

Our stock price is volatile and you may not be able to sell your shares at a price higher than what you paid.

The market for our common stock is highly volatile. In 2005, our closing stock price fluctuated between \$0.08 and \$0.34. The trading price of our common stock could be subject to wide fluctuations in response to, among other things, quarterly variations in operating and financial results, announcements of technological innovations or new products by our competitors or us, changes in prices of our products and services or our competitors' products and services, changes in product mix, or changes in our revenue and revenue growth rates.

Existing stockholders may experience significant dilution from the sale of securities pursuant to our investment agreement with Dutchess Private Equities Fund.

The sale of shares pursuant to our Investment Agreement with Dutchess Private Equities Fund may have a dilutive impact on our stockholders. As a result, our net income per share could decrease in future periods and the market price of our common stock could decline. In addition, the lower our stock price is at the time we exercise our put option, the more shares we will have to issue to Dutchess Private Equities Fund to draw down on the full equity line with Dutchess Private Equities Fund. If our stock price decreases, then our existing stockholders would experience greater dilution. As of December 31, 2005, we had issued 4,639,279 shares to Dutchess under our Investment Agreement. At a stock price of \$0.25 or less, we would have to issue all of the remaining 35,360,721 shares currently registered in order to draw down on the full equity line.

Dutchess Private Equities Fund will pay less than the then-prevailing market price of our common stock, which could cause the price of our common stock to decline.

Our common stock to be issued under our agreement with Dutchess Private Equities Fund will be purchased at a 5% discount to the lowest closing best bid price during the five days immediately following our notice to Dutchess Private Equities Fund of our election to exercise our put right. Dutchess Private Equities Fund has a financial incentive to sell our common stock immediately upon receiving the shares to realize the profit between the discounted price and the market price. If Dutchess Private Equities Fund sells our shares, the price of our stock could decrease. If our stock price decreases, Dutchess Private Equities Fund may have a further incentive to sell the shares of our common stock that it holds. The discounted sales under our agreement with Dutchess Private Equities Fund could cause the price of our common stock to decline.

We must comply with penny stock regulations that could effect the liquidity and price of our stock.

The SEC has adopted rules that regulate broker-dealer practices in connection with transactions in "penny stocks." Penny stocks generally are equity securities with a price of less than \$5.00, other than securities registered on certain national securities exchanges or quoted on NASDAQ, provided that current price and volume information with respect to transactions in such securities is provided by the exchange or system. Prior to a transaction in a penny stock, a broker-dealer is required to:

- deliver a standardized risk disclosure document prepared by the SEC;
- provide the customer with current bid and offer quotations for the penny stock;
- explain the compensation of the broker-dealer and its salesperson in the transaction;
- provide monthly account statements showing the market value of each penny stock held in the customer's account;
- make a special written determination that the penny stock is a suitable investment for the purchaser and receive the purchaser's executed acknowledgement of the same; and
- provide a written agreement to the transaction.

These requirements may have the effect of reducing the level of trading activity in the secondary market for our stock. Because our shares are subject to the penny stock rules, you may find it more difficult to sell your shares.

We have adopted certain measures that may make it more difficult for a third party to acquire control of our company.

Our Board of Directors is classified into three classes of directors serving staggered three-year terms. Such classification of the Board of Directors expands the time required to change the composition of a majority of directors and may tend to discourage a proxy contest or other takeover bid for our company. We have also instituted a shareholders rights plan that serves to help prevent a hostile takeover of our company.

15

ITEM 7. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2005 and 2004

Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004

Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity (Deficit) for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

16

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Stockholders Payment Data Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries San Antonio, Texas

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Payment Data Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries (collectively referred to as "the Company") as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in stockholders' equity and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of the Company at December 31, 2005 and 2004, and the consolidated results of their operations and cash flows for the years then ended, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

The accompanying financial statements of Payment Data Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As more fully described in Note 1, the Company has incurred substantial losses since inception, which has led to a significant decrease in its cash position and a deficit in working capital. These conditions raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. The financial statements of Payment Data Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries do not include any adjustments to reflect the possible future effects on the recoverability and classification of assets or the amounts and classification of liabilities that may result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ Akin, Doherty, Klein & Feuge, P.C. AKIN, DOHERTY, KLEIN & FEUGE, P.C. San Antonio, Texas

February 17, 2006, except for Note 15, to which the date is March 10, 2006

17

PAYMENT DATA SYSTEMS, INC. CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	Dec	ember 31, 2005
Assets: Cash and cash equivalents Accounts receivable, net Prepaid expenses and other	\$	378,098 59,558 51,962
Total current assets		489,618
Property and equipment, net Other assets		162,600 20,833
Total assets		673 , 051 ======
Liabilities and stockholders' equity (deficit): Current liabilities: Accounts payable Accrued expenses	\$	524,520 776,595

\$

Dec

\$

Deferred revenue Short-term borrowings	44,038	
Total current liabilities	1,345,153	1
Stockholders' equity (deficit):		
Common stock, \$.001 par value, 200,000,000 shares authori 32,827,056 and 23,569,180 issued and outstanding	zea; 32,827	
Additional paid-in capital	49,486,143	47
Deferred compensation	(829,687)	
Accumulated deficit	(49,361,385)	(48
Total stockholders' equity (deficit)	(672,102)	
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity (deficit)	\$ 673,051	\$
	=========	====