

YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA

Form 6-K

March 16, 2012

FORM 6-K
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

Report of Foreign Issuer

Pursuant to Rule 13a-16 or 15d-16 of
the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the month of March, 2012

Commission File Number: 001-12102

YPF Sociedad Anónima
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Macacha Güemes 515
C1106BKK Buenos Aires, Argentina
(Address of principal executive office)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant files or will file
annual reports under cover of Form 20-F or Form 40-F:

Form Form
20-F 40-F

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is submitting the Form 6-K
in paper as permitted by Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(1):

Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is submitting the Form 6-K
in paper as permitted by Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(7):

Yes No

YPF Sociedad Anónima

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Information provided under Item 2 in this Form 6-K is incorporated by reference into the registration statements on Form F-3 filed by YPF Sociedad Anónima with the Securities and Exchange Commission (File Nos. 333-149313, 333-170848 and 333-172317)

Item

- 1 English translation of Report of Independent Public Accountants issued in connection with the audit performed under auditing standards generally accepted in Argentina on the financial statements as of December 31, 2011 (not audited under PCAOB standards).
 - 2 English translation of Consolidated Financial Statements as of December 31, 2011 and Comparative Information, prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting standards in Argentina.
-

[Back to Contents](#)

Item 1

SOCIEDAD ANONIMA

Financial Statements as of December 31, 2011
and Comparative Information

Report of Independent Public Accountants

Statutory Audit Committee's Report

[Back to Contents](#)

English translation of the report originally issued in Spanish, except for the omission of certain disclosures related to formal legal requirements for reporting in Argentina and the addition of section 4 See Note 13 to the primary financial statements

Independent Auditors Report

To the Board of Directors of
YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA
Macacha Güemes 515
Buenos Aires City

1. Identification of the financial statements subject to audit

We have audited:

- a) the financial statements of YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA (an Argentine corporation, hereinafter mentioned YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA or the Company), which comprise the balance sheet as of December 31, 2011, and the related statements of income, changes in shareholders equity and cash flows for the year then ended and the supplemental information included in their notes 1 to 13 and Exhibits A, C, E, F, G and H; and
- b) the consolidated financial statements of the Company and its controlled and jointly controlled companies included in Schedule I, which comprise the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2011 and the related consolidated statements of income and cash flows for the year then ended and the supplemental information included in their notes 1 to 4 and Exhibits A and H.

The financial statements and the supplemental information referred to in paragraphs a) and b) mentioned above are presented for comparative purposes with the primary and consolidated financial statements and the supplemental information as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, after giving retrospective effect to the change in the accounting policy in relation to the recognition of the deferred income tax liability originated in the application of the method for restatement in constant Argentine pesos, as described in Note 1.b to the primary financial statements referred to in paragraph a) of this section.

The Company s Board of Directors and Management are responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in Argentina (Technical Resolutions issued by Argentine Federation of Professional Councils in Economic Sciences, that do not include those concerning the adoption of the International Financial Reporting Standards) and the regulations of the Argentine Securities Commission. This responsibility includes (i) designing, implementing and maintaining internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to errors or omissions or to irregularities, (ii) selecting and applying appropriate accounting policies, and (iii) making accounting estimates that are reasonable in the circumstances. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit carried out pursuant to the scope of work outlined in section 2 of this report.

[Back to Contents](#)

2. Scope of our work

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in Argentina. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures, substantially on a test basis, to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to errors or omissions or to irregularities. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the Company's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements, in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control.

An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates made by the Company's Board of Directors and Management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

3. Emphasis of matter

As indicated in Note 11 to the accompanying financial statements, items and amounts contained in the reconciliations included in that note are subject to the changes that might occur as a result of modifications introduced to the International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) that will be finally applied, and will be considered definitive only when preparing the financial statements for the year ending on December 31, 2012, which is the year when IFRS will be applied for first time.

4. Opinion

In our opinion,

- a) the financial statements referred to in paragraph a) of section 1 present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA as of December 31, 2011, and the results of its operations, changes in its shareholders' equity and its cash flows for the year then ended, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in Argentina, and
 - b) the consolidated financial statements referred to in paragraph b) of section 1 present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA and its controlled and jointly controlled companies as of December 31, 2011, and the consolidated results of its operations and its consolidated cash flows for the year then ended, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in Argentina.
-

[Back to Contents](#)

Our unqualified audit reports on the financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, whose figures are presented for comparative purposes and relate to those included in the financial statements corresponding to such fiscal years, in the case of the figures for the year ended December 31, 2009, after giving retrospective effect to the change in the accounting policy in relation to the recognition of the deferred income tax liability due to the application of the method for restatement in constant Argentine pesos, as described in Note 1.b to the primary financial statements referred to in paragraph a) of section 1, change with which we concur, were issued on March 2, 2011 and March 4, 2010, respectively.

5. English translation of statutory financial statements

This report and the financial statements referred to in section 1 have been translated into English for the convenience of English-speaking readers. As further explained in note 13 to the accompanying financial statements, the financial statements are the English translation of those originally prepared by the Company in Spanish and presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in Argentina. The effects of the differences between accounting principles generally accepted in Argentina and the accounting principles generally accepted in the countries in which the financial statements are to be used have not been quantified. Accordingly, the accompanying financial statements are not intended to present the financial position, results of operations, shareholders' equity or cash flows in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the countries of users of the financial statements, other than Argentina.

Buenos Aires City, Argentina

March 8, 2012

Deloitte & Co. S.R.L.

Diego O. De Vivo
Partner

[Back to Contents](#)

Item 2

YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA**FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2011
AND COMPARATIVE INFORMATION****INDEX**

	Page
Cover	
Consolidated Financial Statements	1
Consolidated balance sheets	2
Consolidated statements of income	3
Consolidated statements of cash flows	4
Notes to consolidated financial statements	5
Exhibits to consolidated financial statements	19
Primary Financial Statements	
Balance sheets	21
Statements of income	22
Statements of changes in shareholders' equity	23
Statements of cash flows	24
Notes to financial statements	25
Exhibits to financial statements	64
Ratification of lithographed signatures	70

Edgar Filing: YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA - Form 6-K

[Back to Contents](#)

English translation of the financial statements originally filed in Spanish with the Argentine Securities Commission ("CNV"), except for the inclusion of Note 13 to the primary financial statements in the English translation.

In case of discrepancy, the financial statements filed with the CNV prevail over this translation.

YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA

Macacha Güemes 515 Ciudad Autónoma de Buenos Aires, Argentina

FISCAL YEAR NUMBER 35

BEGINNING ON JANUARY 1, 2011

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2011 AND COMPARATIVE INFORMATION

Principal business of the Company: exploration, development and production of oil and natural gas and other minerals and refining, transportation, marketing and distribution of oil and petroleum products and petroleum derivatives, including petrochemicals, chemicals and non-fossil fuels, biofuels and their components, generation of electric power from hydrocarbons, rendering telecommunications services, as well as the production, industrialization, processing, marketing, preparation services, transportation and storage of grains and its derivatives.

Date of registration with the Public Commerce Register: June 2, 1977.

Duration of the Company: through June 15, 2093.

Last amendment to the bylaws: April 14, 2010.

Optional Statutory Regime related to Compulsory Tender Offer provided by Decree No. 677/2001 art. 24: not incorporated.

Capital structure as of December 31, 2011

(expressed in Argentine pesos)

**Subscribed, paid-in and
authorized for stock
exchange listing**
(Note 4 to primary
financial statements)

Shares of Common Stock, Argentine pesos 10 par value, 1 vote per share

3,933,127,930

ANTONIO GOMIS SÁEZ
Director

[Back to Contents](#)

Schedule I
1 of 3

English translation of the financial statements originally filed in Spanish with the Argentine Securities Commission (CNV), except for the inclusion of Note 13 to the primary financial statements in the English translation.

In case of discrepancy, the financial statements filed with the CNV prevail over this translation.

YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA AND CONTROLLED AND JOINTLY CONTROLLED COMPANIES

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2011 AND COMPARATIVE INFORMATION

(amounts expressed in million of Argentine pesos Note 1.a to the primary financial statements)

	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
Current Assets			
Cash	899	570	669
Investments (Note 2.a)	562	1,957	1,476
Trade receivables (Note 2.b)	3,473	3,322	2,831
Other receivables (Note 2.c)	3,090	3,089	2,490
Inventories (Note 2.d)	6,074	3,865	3,066
	<u>14,098</u>	<u>12,803</u>	<u>10,532</u>
Noncurrent Assets			
Trade receivables (Note 2.b)	22	28	22
Other receivables (Note 2.c)	989	1,587	527
Investments (Note 2.a)	633	594	661
Fixed assets (Note 2.e)	39,650	31,567	27,993
Intangible assets	7	10	12
	<u>41,301</u>	<u>33,786</u>	<u>29,215</u>
Total assets	<u>55,399</u>	<u>46,589</u>	<u>39,747</u>
Current Liabilities			
Accounts payable (Note 2.f)	11,915	7,639	5,863
Loans (Note 2.g)	8,113	6,176	4,679
Salaries and social security	569	421	298
Taxes payable	812	2,571	1,437
Contingencies	396	295	341
	<u>21,805</u>	<u>17,102</u>	<u>12,618</u>
Noncurrent Liabilities			
Accounts payable (Note 2.f)	6,880	5,616	4,391
Loans (Note 2.g)	4,654	1,613	2,140
Salaries and social security	181	168	110
Taxes payable	623	523	828
Contingencies	2,521	2,527	1,959
	<u>14,859</u>	<u>10,447</u>	<u>9,428</u>
Total liabilities	<u>36,664</u>	<u>27,549</u>	<u>22,046</u>
Shareholders Equity	<u>18,735</u>	<u>19,040</u>	<u>17,701</u>

Edgar Filing: YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA - Form 6-K

Total liabilities and shareholders equity	55,399	46,589	39,747
---	--------	--------	--------

Notes 1 to 4 and the accompanying exhibits A and H to Schedule I and the primary financial statements of YPF, are an integral part of and should be read in conjunction with these statements.

ANTONIO GOMIS SÁEZ
Director

2

[Back to Contents](#)Schedule I
2 of 3

English translation of the financial statements originally filed in Spanish with the Argentine Securities Commission (CNV), except for the inclusion of Note 13 to the primary financial statements in the English translation.

In case of discrepancy, the financial statements filed with the CNV prevail over this translation.

YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA AND CONTROLLED AND JOINTLY CONTROLLED COMPANIES**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF INCOME
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011 AND COMPARATIVE INFORMATION**

(amounts expressed in million of Argentine pesos, except for per share amounts in Argentine pesos - Note 1.a to the primary financial statements)

	2011	2010	2009
Net sales	56,697	44,162	34,320
Cost of sales	(41,932)	(29,899)	(23,177)
Gross profit	14,765	14,263	11,143
Selling expenses (Exhibit H)	(3,723)	(3,015)	(2,490)
Administrative expenses (Exhibit H)	(1,905)	(1,429)	(1,102)
Exploration expenses (Exhibit H)	(574)	(344)	(552)
Operating income	8,563	9,475	6,999
Income (loss) on long-term investments	92	79	(9)
Other (expense) income, net (Note 2.i)	(62)	(155)	159
Financial income (expense), net and holding gains (losses):			
Gains (losses) on assets			
Interests	184	118	109
Exchange differences	524	202	182
Holding gains (losses) on inventories	1,089	676	(11)
Losses on liabilities			
Interests	(1,095)	(931)	(958)
Exchange differences	(1,049)	(444)	(564)
Net income before income tax	8,246	9,020	5,907
Income tax	(2,950)	(3,230)	(2,218)
Net income	5,296	5,790	3,689
Earnings per share	13.47	14.72	9.38

Notes 1 to 4 and the accompanying exhibits A and H to Schedule I and the primary financial statements of YPF, are an integral part of and should be read in conjunction with these statements.

ANTONIO GOMIS SÁEZ
Director

[Back to Contents](#)Schedule I
3 of 3

English translation of the financial statements originally filed in Spanish with the Argentine Securities Commission (CNV), except for the inclusion of Note 13 to the primary financial statements in the English translation.

In case of discrepancy, the financial statements filed with the CNV prevail over this translation.

YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA AND CONTROLLED AND JOINTLY CONTROLLED COMPANIES

**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011 AND COMPARATIVE INFORMATION**

(amounts expressed in million of Argentine pesos - Note 1.a to the primary financial statements)

	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
Cash Flows from Operating Activities			
Net income	5,296	5,790	3,689
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash flows provided by operating activities:			
(Loss) income on long-term investments	(92)	(79)	9
Depreciation of fixed assets	5,466	5,273	4,832
Consumption of materials and fixed assets retired, net of allowances	941	572	645
Increase in allowances for fixed assets	18	72	1
Income tax	2,950	3,230	2,218
Increase in accruals	804	1,310	1,062
Changes in assets and liabilities:			
Trade receivables	38	(407)	(21)
Other receivables	785	(1,575)	(725)
Inventories	(2,209)	(799)	383
Accounts payable	2,608	1,809	(461)
Salaries and social security	146	181	43
Taxes payable	(183)	(259)	(762)
Decrease in reserves	(709)	(788)	(1,207)
Interests, exchange differences and others	1,300	498	746
Dividends from long-term investments	37	40	38
Income tax payments	(4,426)	(2,142)	(1,076)
	<u>12,770₍₁₎</u>	<u>12,726₍₁₎</u>	<u>9,414₍₁₎</u>
Cash Flows used in Investing Activities			
Acquisitions of fixed assets	(12,289) ₍₂₎	(8,729) ₍₂₎	(5,636) ₍₂₎
Stock redemption in long-term investments			3
Investments (non cash and equivalents)	11	105	30
	<u>(12,278)</u>	<u>(8,624)</u>	<u>(5,603)</u>
Cash flows used in Financing Activities			
Payments of loans	(17,748)	(13,454)	(13,870)
Proceeds from loans	21,742	14,178	15,886
Dividends paid	(5,565)	(4,444)	(4,897)
	<u>(1,571)</u>	<u>(3,720)</u>	<u>(2,881)</u>
(Decrease) increase in Cash and Equivalents	(1,079)	382	930

Edgar Filing: YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA - Form 6-K

Cash and equivalents at the beginning of year	2,527	2,145	1,215
Cash and equivalents at the end of year	1,448	2,527	2,145
(Decrease) increase in Cash and Equivalents	(1,079)	382	930

For supplemental information on statements of cash flows see Note 1.a to the primary financial statements and for the composition of cash and equivalents see Note 2.a.

- (1) Includes (469), (344) and (372) corresponding to interest payments for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.
- (2) Includes (276), (146) and (529) corresponding to payments related with the extension of certain exploitation concessions in the Provinces of Mendoza and Neuquén (Note 9.c to the primary financial statements) for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Notes 1 to 4 and the accompanying exhibits A and H to Schedule I and the primary financial statements of YPF, are an integral part of and should be read in conjunction with these statements.

ANTONIO GOMIS SÁEZ

Director

4

[Back to Contents](#)

Schedule I

English translation of the financial statements originally filed in Spanish with the Argentine Securities Commission (CNV), except for the inclusion of Note 13 to the primary financial statements in the English translation.

In case of discrepancy, the financial statements filed with the CNV prevail over this translation.

YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA AND CONTROLLED AND JOINTLY CONTROLLED COMPANIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

FOR YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011 AND COMPARATIVE INFORMATION

(amounts expressed in million of Argentine pesos - Note 1.a to the primary financial statements, except where otherwise indicated)

1. CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Pursuant to General Resolution No. 368 from the Argentine Securities Commission (CNV), YPF Sociedad Anónima (the Company or YPF) discloses its consolidated financial statements, included in Schedule I, preceding its primary financial statements. Consolidated financial statements are supplemental and should be read in conjunction with the primary financial statements.

a) Consolidation policies:

Following the methodology established by Technical Resolution No. 21 of the Argentine Federation of Professional Councils in Economic Sciences (FACPCE), YPF has consolidated its balance sheets and the related statements of income and cash flows as follows:

Investments and income (loss) related to controlled companies in which YPF has the number of votes necessary to control corporate decisions are substituted for such companies' assets, liabilities, net revenues, costs and expenses, which are aggregated to the Company's balances after the elimination of intercompany profits, transactions, balances and other consolidation adjustments and minority interest, if applicable.

Investments and income (loss) related to companies in which YPF holds joint control are consolidated line by line on the basis of YPF's proportionate share in their assets, liabilities, net revenues, costs and expenses, considering the elimination of intercompany profits, transactions, balances and other consolidation adjustments.

Investments in companies under control and joint control are detailed in Exhibit C to the primary financial statements.

b) Financial statements used for consolidation:

The consolidated financial statements are based upon the latest available financial statements of those companies in which YPF holds control or joint control, taking into consideration, if applicable, significant subsequent events and transactions, available management information and transactions between YPF and the related companies, which could have produced changes to their shareholders' equity.

[Back to Contents](#)

c) Valuation criteria:

In addition to the valuation criteria disclosed in the notes to YPF's primary financial statements, the following additional valuation criteria have been applied in the preparation of the consolidated financial statements:

Salaries and Social Security Benefit Plans

YPF Holdings Inc., which has operations in the United States of America, has certain defined-benefit plans and postretirement and postemployment benefits.

The funding policy related to the defined-benefit plans as of December 31, 2011, is to contribute amounts to the plan sufficient to meet the minimum funding requirements under governmental regulations, plus such additional amounts as Management may determine to be appropriate.

In addition, YPF Holdings Inc. provides certain health care and life insurance benefits for eligible retired employees, and also certain insurance, and other postemployment benefits for eligible individuals in case employment is terminated by YPF Holdings Inc. before their normal retirement. Employees become eligible for these benefits if they meet minimum age and years of service requirements. YPF Holdings Inc. accounts for benefits provided when payment of the benefit is probable and the amount of the benefit can be reasonably estimated. No assets were specifically reserved for the postretirement and postemployment benefits, and consequently, payments related to them are funded as claims are notified.

During the year 2008, YPF Holdings Inc. curtailed postretirement health care benefits to certain retiree, some of which were reincorporated to the plan during 2010. The effect on net income of the curtailment and the mentioned reincorporation, has not been material.

The plans mentioned above are valued at net present value, are accrued on the years of active service of employees and are disclosed as non-current liabilities in the Salaries and social security account. Actuarial losses and gains related to the changes in actuarial assumptions are disclosed in the Other (expense) income, net account of the statement of income.

The additional disclosures related to the pension plans and other postretirement and postemployment benefits, are included in Note 2.h.

Recognition of revenues and costs of construction activities

Revenues and costs related to construction activities performed by controlled companies, are accounted by the percentage of completion method. When adjustments in contract values or estimated costs are determined, any change from prior estimates is reflected in earnings in the current year. Anticipated losses on contracts in progress are expensed as soon as they become evident.

d) Adoption of International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS)

Notes 1.a) and 11 to the primary financial statements disclose the information related to the adoption of IFRS and the reconciliations of shareholders' equity and net income as required by Technical Resolution N°29. These reconciliations are not significantly different from the reconciliations that would be presented at the consolidated level, so their presentation was omitted.

[Back to Contents](#)**2. ANALYSIS OF THE MAIN ACCOUNTS OF THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

Details regarding the significant accounts included in the accompanying consolidated financial statements are as follows:

Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2011 and Comparative Information

a) Investments:	2011		2010		2009	
	Current	Noncurrent	Current	Noncurrent	Current	Noncurrent
Short-term investments	562 ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	21 ⁽³⁾	1,957 ⁽¹⁾	45 ⁽³⁾	1,476 ⁽¹⁾	150 ⁽³⁾
Long-term investments		668 ⁽²⁾		628 ⁽²⁾		636 ⁽²⁾
Allowance for reduction in value of holdings in long-term investments		(56) ⁽²⁾		(79) ⁽²⁾		(125) ⁽²⁾
	562	633	1,957	594	1,476	661

(1) Includes 549, 1,957 and 1,476 as of December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively, with an original maturity of less than three months.

(2) In addition to those companies under significant influence and other companies detailed in Exhibit C to the primary financial statements, includes the interest in Gas Argentino S.A. (GASA). On May 19, 2009, GASA filed a voluntary reorganization petition (concurso preventivo), which was opened on June 8, 2009. Book value in this investment has been fully reserved.

(3) Includes 15, 45 and 150 as of December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively, that corresponds to restricted cash which represents bank deposits used as guarantees given to government agencies.

b) Trade receivables:	2011		2010		2009	
	Current	Noncurrent	Current	Noncurrent	Current	Noncurrent
Accounts receivable	3,432	22	3,450	28	2,963	22
Related parties	498		339		281	
	3,930	22	3,789	28	3,244	22
Allowance for doubtful trade receivables	(457)		(467)		(413)	
	3,473	22	3,322	28	2,831	22

c) Other receivables:	2011		2010		2009	
	Current	Noncurrent	Current	Noncurrent	Current	Noncurrent
Tax credits, export rebates and production incentives	1,313	15	1,882	814	1,403	16
Trade	227		178		105	
Prepaid expenses	301	129	174	78	208	82
Concessions charges	9	26	17	27	17	38
Related parties	173	291 ⁽¹⁾	151 ⁽¹⁾	256 ⁽¹⁾	192 ⁽¹⁾	74 ⁽¹⁾
Loans to clients	33	56	26	70	30	69
Trust contributions - Obra Sur	21	98	13	115		119
Advances to suppliers	261		250		125	

Edgar Filing: YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA - Form 6-K

Collateral deposits	161	38	165	56	177	4
Advances and loans to employees	104		51		42	
Receivables with partners in joint ventures	56	278		94		69
Miscellaneous	524	67	275	93	285	73
	<u>3,183</u>	<u>998</u>	<u>3,182</u>	<u>1,603</u>	<u>2,584</u>	<u>544</u>
Allowance for other doubtful accounts	(93)		(93)		(94)	
Allowance for valuation of other receivables to their estimated realizable value		(9)		(16)		(17)
	<u>3,090</u>	<u>989</u>	<u>3,089</u>	<u>1,587</u>	<u>2,490</u>	<u>527</u>

- (1) In addition to the balances with non-consolidated related parties detailed in Note 7 to the primary financial statements, mainly includes 291, 257 and 234 with Central Dock Sud S.A., as of December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively, for loans granted that accrue an annual fixed interest rate of 4.82% in average.

[Back to Contents](#)**d) Inventories:**

	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
Finished products	3,728	2,377	1,715
Crude oil and natural gas	1,650	1,061	989
Products in process	64	67	59
Construction for others in process	256	32	
Raw materials, packaging materials and others	376	328	303
	<u>6,074</u>	<u>3,865</u>	<u>3,066</u>

e) Fixed assets:

	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
Net book value of fixed assets (Exhibit A)	39,757	31,669	28,033
Allowance for obsolescence of material and equipment	(107)	(99)	(37)
Allowance for unproductive exploratory drilling		(3)	(3)
	<u>39,650</u>	<u>31,567</u>	<u>27,993</u>

f) Accounts payable:

	<u>2011</u>		<u>2010</u>		<u>2009</u>	
	<u>Current</u>	<u>Noncurrent</u>	<u>Current</u>	<u>Noncurrent</u>	<u>Current</u>	<u>Noncurrent</u>
Trade	9,295	38	6,170	34	4,576	40
Hydrocarbon wells abandonment obligations	252	6,329	243	5,228	238	4,016
Related parties	353		309		249	
Investments in companies with negative shareholders equity			5		6	
Extension of the Concessions - Provinces of Mendoza and Neuquén	451				142	
From joint ventures and other agreements	714		409		358	
Environmental liabilities	303	221	302	205	179	285
Miscellaneous	547	292	201	149	115	50
	<u>11,915</u>	<u>6,880</u>	<u>7,639</u>	<u>5,616</u>	<u>5,863</u>	<u>4,391</u>

g) Loans:

	Interest rate ⁽¹⁾	Principal maturity	<u>2011</u>		<u>2010</u>		<u>2009</u>	
			<u>Current</u>	<u>Noncurrent</u>	<u>Current</u>	<u>Noncurrent</u>	<u>Current</u>	<u>Noncurrent</u>
Negotiable Obligations ⁽²⁾	4.00	19.99% 2012-2028	313	678	361	626	6	547
Related parties	3.93%	2013		538	458	97	912	380
Other financial debts	1.00	29.00% 2012-2017	7,800 ⁽³⁾	3,438 ⁽³⁾	5,357	890	3,761	1,213

Edgar Filing: YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA - Form 6-K

8,113 ⁽⁴⁾	4,654 ⁽⁴⁾	6,176	1,613	4,679	2,140
----------------------	----------------------	-------	-------	-------	-------

- (1) Annual interest rate as of December 31, 2011.
- (2) Disclosed net of 75, 52 and 38, corresponding to YPF outstanding Negotiable Obligations, repurchased through open market transactions as of December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.
- (3) Includes approximately 9,425 corresponding to loans agreed in U.S. dollars, of which 9,276 accrue fixed interest at rates between 1.00% and 7.74%, and 149 accrue variable interest of LIBO plus a spread between 4.37% and 5.25%.
- (4) As of December 31, 2011, 8,831 accrue fixed interest, 301 accrue variable interest of BADLAR plus 2.60%, and 3,635 accrue variable interest of LIBO plus a spread between 3.35% and 5.25%.

[Back to Contents](#)**h) Benefit plans:**

Defined benefit obligations	2011	2010	2009
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Net present value of obligations	143	130	93
Fair value of assets			
Deferred actuarial losses			
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Recognized net liabilities	143	130	93
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Changes in the fair value of the defined-benefit obligations

	2011	2010	2009
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Liabilities at the beginning of the year	130	93	117
Translation differences	13	4	14
Service cost			
Interest cost	6	7	8
Actuarial losses (gains)	12	21	(33)
Benefits paid, settlements and amendments	(18)	5	(13)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Liabilities at the end of the year	143	130	93
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Changes in the fair value of the plan assets

	2011	2010	2009
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Fair value of assets at the beginning of the year			
Employer and employees contributions	18	13	13
Benefits paid and settlements	(18)	(13)	(13)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Fair value of assets at the end of the year			
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Amounts recognized in the Statement of Income

	(Expense) Income		
	2011	2010	2009
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Service cost			
Interest cost	(6)	(7)	(8)
Actuarial (losses) gains recognized in the year	(12)	(21)	33
(Losses) settlements and amendments		(17)	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total recognized as other (expense) income, net (Note 2.i)	(18)	(45)	25
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Actuarial assumptions

	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
--	-------------	-------------	-------------

Discount rate			
---------------	--	--	--

	3.4%	-3.7%	4.7%	5.5%	Expected return on assets	N/AN/AN/A	Expected increase on salaries	N/AN/AN/A
--	------	-------	------	------	---------------------------	-----------	-------------------------------	-----------

Health care cost trend

For measurement purposes, a 8.1% annual rate of increase in the per capita cost of covered health care benefits was assumed for the year ended December 31, 2011. The rate is assumed to decrease by 0.3% each year until reaching 4.5% in 2024 and remain in that level thereafter.

[Back to Contents](#)**Consolidated Statement of Income as of December 31, 2011 and Comparative Information**

i) Other (expense) income, net:	(Expense) Income		
	2011	2010	2009
(Accrual) recovery for pending lawsuits and other claims	(80)	(138)	106
Environmental remediation YPF Holdings Inc.	(220)	(124)	(134)
Insurance recovery	142	55	98
Defined benefit pension plans and other postretirement benefits (Note 2.h)	(18)	(45)	25
Miscellaneous	114	97	64
	(62)	(155)	159

3. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES IN RELATED COMPANIES

Laws and regulations relating to health and environmental quality in the United States of America affect nearly all the operations of YPF Holdings Inc. These laws and regulations set various standards regulating certain aspects of health and environmental quality, provide for penalties and other liabilities for the violation of such standards and establish in certain circumstances remedial obligations.

YPF Holdings Inc. believes that its policies and procedures in the area of pollution control, product safety and occupational health are adequate to prevent reasonable risk of environmental and other damage, and of resulting financial liability, in connection with its business. Some risk of environmental and other damage is, however, inherent in particular operations of YPF Holdings Inc. and, as discussed below, Maxus Energy Corporation (Maxus) and Tierra Solutions Inc. (Tierra), both controlled by YPF Holdings Inc., could have certain potential liabilities associated with operations of Maxus former chemical subsidiary.

YPF Holdings Inc. cannot predict what environmental legislation or regulations will be enacted in the future or how existing or future laws or regulations will be administered or enforced. Compliance with more stringent law regulations, as well as more vigorous enforcement policies of the regulatory agencies, could in the future require material expenditures by YPF Holdings Inc. for the installation and operation of systems and equipment for remedial measures, possible dredging requirements, among other things. Also, certain laws allow for recovery of natural resource damages from responsible parties and ordering the implementation of interim remedies to abate an imminent and substantial endangerment to the environment. Potential expenditures for any such actions cannot be reasonably estimated.

In the following discussion, references to YPF Holdings Inc. include, as appropriate and solely for the purpose of this information, references to Maxus and Tierra.

In connection with the sale of Maxus former chemical subsidiary, Diamond Shamrock Chemicals Company (Chemicals) to Occidental Petroleum Corporation (Occidental) in 1986, Maxus agreed to indemnify Chemicals and Occidental from and against certain liabilities relating to the business or activities of Chemicals prior to the selling date, September 4, 1986 (the selling date), including environmental liabilities relating to chemical plants and waste disposal sites used by Chemicals prior to the selling date.

As of December 31, 2011, accruals for the environmental contingencies and other claims totaled approximately 665. YPF Holdings Inc. s Management believes it has adequately accrued for all environmental contingencies, which are probable and can be reasonably estimated; however, changes in circumstances, including new information or new requirements of governmental entities, could result in changes, including additions, to such accruals in the future. The most significant contingencies are described in the following paragraphs:

Newark, New Jersey. A consent decree, previously agreed upon by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and Energy (DEP) and Occidental, as successor to Chemicals, was entered in 1990 by the United States District Court of New Jersey and requires implementation of a remedial action plan at Chemical s former Newark, New Jersey

[Back to Contents](#)

agricultural chemicals plant. The interim remedy has been completed and paid for by Tierra. This project is in the operation and maintenance phase. YPF Holdings Inc. has accrued approximately 59 as of December 31, 2011, in connection with such activities.

Passaic River, New Jersey. Maxus, complying with its contractual obligation to act on behalf of Occidental, negotiated an agreement with the EPA (the 1994 AOC) under which Tierra has conducted testing and studies near the Newark plant site, adjacent to the Passaic River. While some work remains, the work under the 1994 AOC was substantially subsumed by the remedial investigation and feasibility study (RIFS) being performed and funded by Tierra and a number of other entities of the lower portion of the Passaic River pursuant to a 2007 administrative settlement agreement (the 2007 AOC). The parties to the 2007 AOC are discussing the possibility of further work with the EPA. The entities that have agreed to fund the RIFS have negotiated an interim allocation of RIFS costs among themselves based on a number of considerations. The 2007 AOC is being coordinated with a joint federal, state, local and private sector cooperative effort designated as the Lower Passaic River Restoration Project (PRRP). As of December 31, 2011, approximately 70 entities, including Tierra, have agreed to participate in the RIFS in connection with the PRRP.

The EPA s findings of fact in the 2007 AOC (which amended the 1994 AOC) indicate that combined sewer overflow/storm water outfall discharges are an ongoing source of hazardous substances to the Lower Passaic River Study Area. For this reason, during the first semester of 2011, Maxus and Tierra negotiated with the EPA, on behalf of Occidental, an Administrative Settlement Agreement and Order on Consent for Combined Sewer Overflow/Storm Water Outfall Investigation (CSO AOC), which became effective in September 2011. Besides providing for a study of combined sewer overflows in the Passaic River, the CSO AOC confirms that there will be no further obligations to be performed under the 1994 AOC. Tierra estimates that the total cost to implement the CSO AOC is approximately US\$ 5 million and will take approximately 2 years to complete. Pursuant to an agreement with the cooperating parties group for the 2007 AOC, Tierra will be responsible for 50% of the cost of the CSO AOC.

In 2003, the DEP issued Directive No. 1 to Occidental and Maxus and certain of their respective related entities as well as other third parties. Directive No. 1 seeks to address natural resource damages allegedly resulting from almost 200 years of historic industrial and commercial development along a portion of the Passaic River and a part of its watershed. Directive No. 1 asserts that the named entities are jointly and severally liable for the alleged natural resource damages without regard to fault. The DEP has asserted jurisdiction in this matter even though all or part of the lower Passaic River is subject to the PRRP. Directive No. 1 calls for the following actions: interim compensatory restoration, injury identification, injury quantification and value determination. Maxus and Tierra responded to Directive No. 1 setting forth good faith defenses. Settlement discussions between the DEP and the named entities have been held, however, no agreement has been reached or is assured.

In 2004, the EPA and Occidental entered into an administrative order on consent (the 2004 AOC) pursuant to which Tierra (on behalf of Occidental) has agreed to conduct testing and studies to characterize contaminated sediment and biota and evaluate remedial alternatives in the Newark Bay and a portion of the Hackensack, the Arthur Kill and Kill van Kull rivers. The initial field work on this study, which includes testing in the Newark Bay, has been substantially completed. Discussions with the EPA regarding additional work that might be required are underway. EPA has issued General Notice Letters to a series of additional parties concerning the contamination of Newark Bay and the work being performed by Tierra under the 2004 AOC. Tierra proposed to the other parties that, for the third stage of the RIFS undertaken in Newark Bay, the costs be allocated on a per capita basis. As of the date of issuance of these financial statements, the parties have not agreed to Tierra s proposal. However, YPF Holdings lacks sufficient information to determine additional costs, if any, it might have with respect to this matter once the final scope of the third stage is approved, as well as the proposed distribution mentioned above.

In December 2005, the DEP issued a directive to Tierra, Maxus and Occidental directing said parties to pay the State of New Jersey s cost of developing a Source Control Dredge Plan focused on allegedly dioxin-contaminated sediment in the lower six-mile portion of the Passaic River. The development of this

[Back to Contents](#)

plan was estimated by the DEP to cost approximately US\$ 2 million. The DEP has advised the recipients that (a) it is engaged in discussions with the EPA regarding the subject matter of the directive, and (b) they are not required to respond to the directive until otherwise notified.

In June 2007, EPA released a draft Focused Feasibility Study (the FFS) that outlines several alternatives for remedial action in the lower eight miles of the Passaic River. These alternatives range from no action, which would result in comparatively little cost, to extensive dredging and capping, which according to the draft FFS, EPA estimated could cost from US\$ 0.9 billion to US\$ 2.3 billion and are all described by EPA as involving proven technologies that could be carried out in the near term, without extensive research. Tierra, in conjunction with the other parties working under the 2007 AOC, submitted comments on the legal and technical defects of the draft FFS to EPA. In light of these comments, EPA decided to initiate its review and informed that a revised remedy proposal will be forthcoming during the second semester of 2012. Tierra will respond to any further EPA proposal as may be appropriate at that time.

In August 2007, the National Oceanic Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) sent a letter to a number of entities it alleged have a liability for natural resources damages, including Tierra and Occidental, requesting that the group enters into an agreement to conduct a cooperative assessment of natural resources damages in the Passaic River and Newark Bay. In November 2008, Tierra and Occidental entered into an agreement with the NOAA to fund a portion of the costs it has incurred and to conduct certain assessment activities during 2009. Approximately 20 other PRRP members have also entered into similar agreements. In November 2009, Tierra declined to extend this agreement.

In June 2008, the EPA, Occidental, and Tierra entered into an AOC (Removal AOC), pursuant to which Tierra (on behalf of Occidental) will undertake a removal action of sediment from the Passaic River in the vicinity of the former Diamond Alkali facility. This action will result in the removal of approximately 200,000 cubic yards (153,000 cubic meters) of sediment, which will be carried out in two different phases. The first phase, which commenced in July 2011, encompasses the removal of 40,000 cubic yards (30,600 cubic meters) of sediments and is expected to be completed by the end of 2012. The second phase involves the removal of approximately 160,000 cubic yards (122,400 cubic meters) of sediment. This second phase will start after according with EPA certain development s aspects related to it. Pursuant to the Removal AOC, the EPA has required the provision of financial assurance in the amount of US\$ 80 million for the performance of the removal work. The Removal AOC provides that the initial form of financial assurance is to be provided through a trust fund. YPF Holdings Inc. originally accrued US\$ 80 million with respect to this matter. As of December 31, 2011, US\$ 42 million has been funded and additional US\$ 10 million must be contributed every six months, until the completion of the US\$ 80 million. The total amount of required financial assurance may be increased or decreased over time if the anticipated cost of completing the removal work contemplated by the Removal AOC changes. Tierra may request modification of the form and timing of financial assurance for the Removal AOC. During 2010, with EPA approval, letters of credit to provide assurance, rather than paying additional funds into the trust. During the fourth quarter 2011, construction of the facilities required for the agreed works started, which are expected to be completed in the first quarter of 2012. During the removal action, contaminants which may have come from sources other than the former Diamond Alkali plant will necessarily be removed. YPF Holdings Inc. and its subsidiaries may seek cost recovery from the parties responsible for such contamination, provided contaminants origins were not from the Diamond Alkali plant. However, as of the date of issuance of these financial statements, it is not possible to make any prediction regarding the likelihood of success or the funds potentially recoverable in a cost-recovery action.

As of December 31, 2011, there is a total of approximately 409 million accrued comprising the estimated costs for studies, the Company s best estimate of the cash flows it could incur in connection with remediation activities considering the studies performed by Tierra, the estimated costs related to the Removal AOC agreement, and in addition certain other matters related to Passaic River and the Newark Bay. However, it is possible that other works, including interim remedial measures, may be ordered. In addition, the development of new information on the imposition of natural resource damages, or remedial actions differing from the scenarios that the Company has evaluated, could result in additional costs to the amount currently accrued.

[Back to Contents](#)

New Jersey - Litigation with DEP. In December 2005, the DEP sued YPF Holdings Inc., Tierra, Maxus and other several companies, besides to Occidental, alleging a contamination supposedly related to dioxin and other hazardous substances discharged from Chemicals former Newark plant and the contamination of the lower portion of the Passaic River, Newark Bay, other nearby waterways and surrounding areas. The DEP seeks remediation of natural resources damaged and punitive damages and other matters. The defendants have made responsive pleadings and filings. In March 2008, the Court denied motions to dismiss by Occidental Chemical Corporation, Tierra and Maxus. The DEP filed its Second Amended Complaint in April 2008. YPF filed a motion to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction. The motion mentioned previously was denied in August 2008, and the denial was confirmed by the Court of Appeal. Notwithstanding, the Court denied to plaintiffs motion to bar third party practice and allowed defendants to file third-party complaints. Third-party claims against approximately 300 companies and governmental entities (including certain municipalities) which could have responsibility in connection with the claim were filed in February 2009. DEP filed its Third Amended Complaint in August 2010, adding Maxus International Energy Company and YPF International S.A. as additional named defendants. Anticipating this considerable expansion of the number of parties in the litigation, the Court appointed a Special Master to assist the court in the administration of discovery. In September 2010, Governmental entities of the State of New Jersey and a number of third-party defendants filed their dismissal motions and Maxus and Tierra filed their responses. In October 2010, a number of public third-party defendants filed a motion to sever and stay and the DEP joined their motion, which would allow the DEP to proceed against the direct defendants. However, the judge has ruled against this motion in November 2010. Third-party defendants have also brought motions to dismiss, which have been rejected by the assistant judge in January 2011. Some of the mentioned third-parties appealed the decision, but the judge denied such appeal in March 2011. In May 2011, the judge issued Case Management Order No. XVII (CMO XVII), which contains the Trial Plan for the case. This Trial Plan divides the case into two phases, each with its own mini-trials. Phase One will determine liability and Phase Two will determine damages. Following the issuance of CMO XVII, the State of New Jersey and Occidental filed motions for partial summary judgment. The State filed two motions: the first one against Occidental and Maxus on liability under the Spill Act, and against Tierra on liability under the Spill Act. In addition, Occidental filed a motion for partial summary judgment that Maxus owes a duty of contractual indemnity to Occidental for liabilities under the Spill Act. In July and August 2011, the judge ruled that, although the discharge of hazardous substances by Chemicals has been proved, liability allegation cannot be made if the nexus between any discharge and the alleged damage is not established. Additionally, the Court ruled that Tierra has Spill Act liability to the State based merely on its current ownership of the Lister Avenue site; and that Maxus has an obligation under the 1986 Stock Purchase Agreement to indemnify Occidental for any Spill Act liability arising from contaminants discharged on the Lister Avenue site. Finally the Special Master called for and held a settlement conference in late November 2011 between the State of New Jersey, on the one hand, and Repsol YPF S.A., YPF and Maxus, on the other hand to discuss the parties respective positions, but no agreement was reached. However, the parties have agreed to continue the settlement process, and they have committed to further communication during 2012.

As of December 31, 2011, DEP has not placed dollar amounts on all its claims, but it has (a) contended that a US\$ 50 million cap on damages under one of the New Jersey statutes should not be applicable, (b) alleged that it has incurred approximately US\$ 118 million in past cleanup and removal costs, (c) is seeking an additional award between US\$ 10 and US\$ 20 million to fund a study to assess natural resource damages, (d) notified Maxus and Tierra's legal defense team that DEP is preparing financial models of costs and of other economic impacts and, (e) is seeking reimbursement for external legal fees paid.

Based on the information available to the Company, including the amount of time remaining before trial, the involvement of more than 300 parties, the results of discovery and the opinion of internal and external counsel, it is not possible to estimate a reasonable loss or range of losses on these outstanding matters. Therefore, no amounts have been accrued for this litigation by YPF Holdings Inc. as of December 31, 2011.

Hudson County, New Jersey. Until 1972, Chemicals operated a chromite ore processing plant at Kearny, New Jersey (Kearny Plant). According to the DEP, wastes from these ore processing operations were used as fill material at a number of sites in and near Hudson County. DEP has identified over 200 sites in Hudson

[Back to Contents](#)

and Essex Counties alleged to contain chromite ore processing residue either from the Kearny Plant or from plants operated by two other chromium manufacturers.

The DEP, Tierra and Occidental, as successor to Chemicals, signed an administrative consent order with the DEP in 1990 for investigation and remediation work at 40 chromite ore sites in Hudson and Essex Counties alleged to be impacted by the Kearny Plant operations.

Tierra, on behalf of Occidental, is presently performing the work and funding Occidental's share of the cost of investigation and remediation of these sites. In addition, financial assurance has been provided in the amount of US\$ 20 million for performance of the work. The ultimate cost of remediation is uncertain. Tierra submitted its remedial investigation reports to the DEP in 2001, and the DEP continues to review the report.

Additionally, in May 2005, the DEP took two actions in connection with the chrome sites in Hudson and Essex Counties. First, the DEP issued a directive to Maxus, Occidental and two other chromium manufacturers directing them to arrange for the cleanup of chromite ore residue at three sites in New Jersey City and the conduct of a study by paying the DEP a total of US\$ 20 million. While YPF Holdings Inc. believes that Maxus is improperly named and there is little or no evidence that Chemicals' chromite ore residue was sent to any of these sites, the DEP claims these companies are jointly and severally liable without regard to fault. Second, the State of New Jersey filed a lawsuit against Occidental and two other entities seeking, among other things, cleanup of various sites where chromite ore processing residue is allegedly located, recovery of past costs incurred by the state at such sites (including in excess of US\$ 2 million allegedly spent for investigations and studies) and, with respect to certain costs at 18 sites, treble damages. The DEP claims that the defendants are jointly and severally liable, without regard to fault, for much of the damages alleged. In February 2008, the parties reached an agreement in principle, for which Tierra, on behalf of Occidental, agreed to pay US\$ 5 million and perform remediation works in three sites, with a total cost of approximately US\$ 2 million. The US\$ 5 million payment was made in October 2011 and a schedule for site remediation is due in the first quarter of 2012. This agreement in principle has been memorialized in a draft Consent Judgment between and among DEP, Occidental and two parties, which was published in the New Jersey Register in June 2011 and became effective in September 2011.

In November 2005, several environmental groups sent a notice of intent to sue the owners of the properties adjacent to the former Kearny Plant (the adjacent property), including among others Tierra, under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act. The stated purpose of the lawsuit, if filed, would be to require the noticed parties to carry out measures to abate alleged endangerments to health and the environment emanating from the Adjacent Property. The parties have entered into an agreement that addresses the concerns of the environmental groups, and these groups have agreed, at least for now, not to file suit.

Pursuant to a request of the DEP, in the second half of 2006, Tierra and other parties tested the sediments in a portion of the Hackensack River near the former Kearny Plant. Tierra has submitted work plans for additional sampling requested by the DEP and is presently awaiting DEP comments.

In March 2008, the DEP approved an interim response action plan for work to be performed at the Kearny Plant by Tierra and the adjacent property by Tierra in conjunction with other parties. As of the date of issuance of these financial statements, work on the interim response action has begun. This adjacent property was listed by EPA on the National Priority List in 2007. In July 2010, EPA notified Tierra, along with three other parties, which are considered potentially responsible for this adjacent property and requested to conduct a RIFS for the site. The parties have agreed to coordinate remedial efforts, forming the Peninsula Restoration Group or PRG. In the fourth quarter 2011, the PRG reached an agreement in principle with a new party, whereby would join the PRG at an allocated share of 16.6%. The PRG is in active negotiations with the EPA for an RIFS AOC for the Standard Chlorine Chemical Company site.

As of December 31, 2011, there are approximately 43 accrued in connection with the foregoing chrome-related matters. The study of the levels of chromium has not been finalized, and the DEP is still reviewing the proposed actions. The cost of addressing these chrome-related matters could increase depending upon the final soil actions, the DEP's response to Tierra's reports and other developments.

Painesville, Ohio. In connection with the Chemical's operation until 1976 of one chromite ore processing plant (Chrome Plant), the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (OEPA) ordered to conduct a RIFS at

[Back to Contents](#)

the former Painesville s Plant area. OEPA has divided the Painesville Work Site into 20 operable units, including operable units related to groundwater. Tierra has agreed to participate in the RIFS as required by the OEPA. Tierra submitted the remedial investigation report to the OEPA, which report was finalized in 2003. Tierra will submit required feasibility reports separately. In addition, the OEPA has approved certain work, including the remediation of specific operable units within the former Painesville Works area and work associated with the development plans discussed below (the Remediation Work). The Remediation Work has begun. As the OEPA approves additional projects related to investigation, remediation, or operation and maintenance activities for each operable unit within the Site, additional amounts will need to be accrued.

Over fifteen years ago, the former Painesville Works site was proposed for listing on the national Priority List under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, as amended (CERCLA); however, the EPA has stated that the site will not be listed so long as it is satisfactorily addressed pursuant to the Director s Order and OEPA s programs. As of the date of issuance of these financial statements, the site has not been listed. YPF Holdings Inc. has accrued a total of 58 as of December 31, 2011 for its estimated share of the cost to perform the RIFS, the remediation work and other operation and maintenance activities at this site. The scope and nature of any further investigation or remediation that may be required cannot be determined at this time; however, as the RIFS progresses, YPF Holdings Inc. will continuously assess the condition of the Painesville s plants works site and make any required changes, including additions, to its accrual as may be necessary.

Other Sites. Pursuant to settlement agreements with the Port of Houston Authority and other parties, Tierra and Maxus are participating (on behalf of Chemicals) in the remediation of property required Chemicals former Greens Bayou facility where DDT and certain other chemicals were manufactured. Additionally, in 2007 the parties have reached an agreement with the Federal and State Natural Resources Trustees concerning natural resources damages. In 2008, the Final Damage Assessment and Restoration Plan/Environmental Assessment was approved, specifying the restoration projects to be implemented. During the first semester of 2011, Tierra negotiated, on behalf of Occidental, a draft Consent Decree with governmental agencies of the United States and Texas addressing natural resource damages at the Greens Bayou Site. This Consent Decree, when signed, will incorporate by reference the Final Damage Assessment and Restoration Plan/Environmental Assessment which specifies the restoration projects to be implemented. Although the primary work was largely finished in 2009, some follow-up activities and operation and maintenance remain pending. As of December 31, 2011, YPF Holdings Inc. has accrued 17 for its estimated share of remediation activities associated with Greens Bayou facility.

In June 2005, the EPA designated Maxus as a PRP at the Milwaukee Solvay Coke & Gas site in Milwaukee, Wisconsin. The basis for this designation is Maxus alleged status as the successor to Pickands Mather & Co. and Milwaukee Solvay Coke Co., companies that the EPA has asserted are former owners or operators of such site.

In 2007, Maxus signed with four other parties potentially involved, an AOC to conduct RIFS about contamination in the soil, groundwater, as well as in the Kinnickinnic River sediments. Exposure of Maxus at the site appears linked to the period 1966-1973, although there is some controversy about it.

Preliminary works in connection with the RIFS of this site commenced in the second half of 2006. YPF Holdings Inc. has accrued 10 as of December 31, 2011 for its estimated share of the costs of the RIFS. The main outstanding issue lies in determining the extent of the studies of sediments in the river that may be required. YPF Holdings Inc. lacks sufficient information to determine additional costs, if any; it might have in respect of this site.

Maxus has agreed to defend Occidental, as successor to Chemicals, in respect of the Malone Services Company Superfund site in Galveston County, Texas. This site is a former waste disposal site where Chemicals is alleged to have sent waste products prior to September 1986. The potentially responsible

[Back to Contents](#)

parties, including Maxus on behalf of Occidental, formed a PRP Group to finance and perform an AOC RIFS. The RIFS has been completed and the EPA has selected a Final Remedy, the EPA Superfund Division Director signed the Record of Decision on September 30, 2009. The PRP Group expects to sign a Consent Decree in the first quarter of 2012 and commence the required remediation work in mid-2012. As of December 31, 2011 YPF Holdings has accrued approximately 8 in connection with its obligations for this matter.

Chemicals has also been designated as a PRP with respect to a number of third party sites where hazardous substances from Chemicals plant operations allegedly were disposed or have come to be located. At several of these, Chemicals has no known relationship. Although PRPs are typically jointly and severally liable for the cost of investigations, cleanups and other response costs, each has the right of contribution from other PRPs and, as a practical matter, cost sharing by PRPs is usually effected by agreement among them. As of December 31, 2011, YPF Holdings Inc. has accrued approximately 1 in connection with its estimated share of costs related to certain sites and the ultimate cost of other sites cannot be estimated at the present time.

Black Lung Benefits Act Liabilities. The Black Lung Benefits Act provides monetary and medical benefits to miners disabled with a lung disease, and also provides benefits to the dependents of deceased miners if black lung disease caused or contributed to the miner's death. As a result of the operations of its coal-mining subsidiaries, YPF Holdings Inc. is required to provide insurance of this benefit to former employees and their dependents. As of December 31, 2011, YPF Holdings Inc. has accrued 12 in connection with its estimate of these obligations.

Legal Proceedings. In 2001, the Texas State Controller assessed Maxus approximately US\$ 1 million in Texas state sales taxes for the period of September 1, 1995 through December 31, 1998, plus penalty and interest. In August 2004, the administrative law judge issued a decision affirming approximately US\$ 1 million of such assessment, plus penalty and interest. YPF Holdings Inc. believes the decision is erroneous, but has paid the revised tax assessment, penalty and interest (a total of approximately US\$ 2 million) under protest. Maxus filed a suit in Texas state court in December 2004 challenging the administrative decision. The matter will be reviewed by a trial de novo in the court action.

In 2002, Occidental sued Maxus and Tierra in state court in Dallas, Texas seeking a declaration that Maxus and Tierra have the obligation under the agreement pursuant to which Maxus sold Chemicals to Occidental to defend and indemnify Occidental from and against certain historical obligations of Chemicals, notwithstanding the fact that said agreement contains a twelve-year cut-off for defense and indemnity obligations with respect to most litigation. Tierra was dismissed as a party, and the matter was tried in May 2006. The trial court decided that the twelve-year cut-off period did not apply and entered judgment against Maxus. This decision was affirmed by the Court of Appeals in February 2008. Maxus has petitioned the Supreme Court of Texas for review. This lawsuit was denied. This decision will require Maxus to accept responsibility of various matters which it has refused indemnification since 1998 which could result in the incurrence of costs in addition to YPF Holdings Inc.'s current accruals for this matter. Maxus has paid approximately US\$ 17 million to Occidental, and remains in discussions with Occidental regarding additional costs for US\$ 0.3 million. Most of the claims that had been rejected by Maxus based on the twelve-year cut-off period, were related to Agent Orange. All pending Agent Orange litigation was dismissed in December 2009, and although it is possible that further claims may be filed by unknown parties in the future, no further significant liability is anticipated. Additionally, the remaining claims received and refused consist primarily of claims of potential personal injury from exposure to vinyl chloride monomer (VCM), and other chemicals, although they are not expected to result in significant liability. However, the declaratory judgment includes liability for claims arising in the future, if any, related to this matters, which are currently unknown as of the date of issuance of these financial statements, and if such claims arise, they could result in additional liabilities for Maxus. As of December 31, 2011, YPF Holdings Inc. has accrued approximately 1 in respect to these matters.

In March 2005, Maxus agreed to defend Occidental, as successor to Chemicals, in respect of an action seeking the contribution of costs incurred in connection with the remediation of the Turtle Bayou waste disposal site in Liberty County, Texas. The plaintiffs alleged that certain wastes attributable to Chemicals found their way to the Turtle Bayou site. Trial for this matter was bifurcated, and in the liability phase

[Back to Contents](#)

Occidental and other parties were found severally, and not jointly, liable for waste products disposed of at this site. Trial in the allocation phase of this matter was completed in the second quarter of 2007, and following post judgment motions, the court entered a decision setting Occidental's liability at 15.96% of the past and future costs to be incurred by one of the plaintiffs. Maxus appealed this matter. In June 2010, the Court of Appeals ruled that the District Court had committed errors in the admission of certain documents, and remanded the case to the District Court for further proceedings. Maxus took the position that the exclusion of the evidence should reduce Occidental's allocation by as much as 50%. The District Court issued its Amended Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law in January 2011, requiring Maxus to pay, on behalf of Occidental, 15.86% of the past and future costs to be incurred by one of the plaintiffs. On behalf of Occidental, Maxus presented an appeal in the first half of 2011, and the Court of Appeals will hear oral arguments in March 2012. As of December 31, 2011, YPF Holdings Inc. has accrued 16 in respect of this matter.

In May 2008, Ruby Mhire and others (Mhire) brought suit against Maxus and other third parties, alleging that various parties including a predecessor of Maxus had contaminated certain property in Cameron Parish, Louisiana, during oil and gas activities on the property. Maxus predecessor operated on the property from 1969 to 1989. The Mhire plaintiffs have demanded remediation and other compensation in the amount of US\$159 million. YPF Holdings presently believes that relatively little remediation activity is merited and intends to vigorously defend the case. Maxus has made appropriate responsive pleadings in the matter. The case is currently in the discovery phase and is expected to go to trial in the fourth quarter of 2012. Based on the information currently available, YPF Holdings is unable to reasonably estimate a possible loss or range of loss on this matter.

YPF Holdings Inc., including its subsidiaries, is a party to various other lawsuits and environmental situations, the outcomes of which are not expected to have a material adverse effect on YPF's financial condition or its future results of operations. YPF Holdings Inc. accruals legal contingences and environmental situations that are probable and can be reasonably estimated.

4. CONSOLIDATED BUSINESS SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company organizes its reporting structure into four segments which comprise: the exploration and production, including contractual purchases of natural gas and crude oil purchases arising from service contracts and concession obligations, as well as crude oil intersegment sales, natural gas and its derivatives sales and electric power generation (Exploration and Production); the refining, transport, purchase and marketing of crude oil and refined products (Refining and Marketing); the petrochemical operations (Chemical); and other activities, not falling into these categories, are classified under Corporate and Other , which principally includes corporate administrative costs and assets, and construction activities.

Operating income (loss) and assets for each segment have been determined after intersegment adjustments.

[Back to Contents](#)

	<u>Exploration and Production</u>	<u>Refining and Marketing</u>	<u>Chemical</u>	<u>Corporate and Other</u>	<u>Consolidation Adjustments</u>	<u>Total</u>
Year ended December 31, 2011						
Net sales to unrelated parties	3,814	46,774	2,632	1,307		54,527
Net sales to related parties	1,166	1,004				2,170
Net intersegment sales	20,129	1,766	2,188	651	(24,734)	
Net sales	25,109	49,544	4,820	1,958	(24,734)	56,697
Operating income (loss)	4,977	3,649	1,451	(1,383)	(131)	8,563
Income on long-term investments	92					92
Depreciation	4,607	614	115	130		5,466
Acquisitions of fixed assets	9,974	3,186	1,098	230		14,488
Assets	30,357	20,119	3,974	2,452	(1,503)	55,399
Year ended December 31, 2010						
Net sales to unrelated parties	4,611	34,209	2,445	999		42,264
Net sales to related parties	981	917				1,898
Net intersegment sales	17,428	1,668	1,871	358	(21,325)	
Net sales	23,020	36,794	4,316	1,357	(21,325)	44,162
Operating income (loss)	6,210	3,313	874	(952)	30	9,475
Income on long-term investments	69	10				79
Depreciation	4,497	551	105	120		5,273
Acquisitions of fixed assets	6,790	1,826	712	149		9,477
Assets	26,245	14,043	2,779	4,624	(1,102)	46,589
Year ended December 31, 2009						
Net sales to unrelated parties	4,757	25,733	1,932	520		32,942
Net sales to related parties	751	627				1,378
Net intersegment sales	14,473	1,202	1,105	350	(17,130)	
Net sales	19,981	27,562	3,037	870	(17,130)	34,320
Operating income (loss)	5,379	1,896	559	(820)	(15)	6,999
(Loss) income on long-term investments	(33)	24				(9)
Depreciation	4,073	527	121	111		4,832
Acquisitions of fixed assets	3,879	1,177	155	178		5,389
Assets	23,753	11,255	2,066	3,421	(748)	39,747

Export sales, net of withholdings taxes, for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 were 6,770, 5,678 and 4,904 respectively. Export sales were mainly to Chile and Brazil.

ANTONIO GOMIS SÁEZ

Director

[Back to Contents](#)Schedule I
Exhibit A

English translation of the financial statements originally filed in Spanish with the Argentine Securities Commission (CNV), except for the inclusion of Note 13 to the primary financial statements in the English translation. In case of discrepancy, the financial statements filed with the CNV prevail over this translation.

YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA AND CONTROLLED AND JOINTLY CONTROLLED COMPANIES

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2011 AND COMPARATIVE INFORMATION

FIXED ASSETS EVOLUTION

(amounts expressed in million of Argentine pesos Note 1.a to the primary financial statements)

Main account	2011				
	Cost				
	Amounts at beginning of year	Net translation effect ⁽⁵⁾	Increases	Net decreases, reclassifications and transfers	Amounts at the end of year
Land and buildings	3,385		33	(5)	3,413
Mineral property, wells and related equipment	66,530	19	1,437	6,288	74,274
Refinery equipment and petrochemical plants	11,442		27	525	11,994
Transportation equipment	1,997		16	97	2,110
Materials and equipment in warehouse	1,317		2,158	(1,457)	2,018
Drilling and work in progress	5,574	1	9,605	(5,709)	9,471
Exploratory drilling in progress	248		1,157	(989)	416
Furniture, fixtures and installations	941		9	71	1,021
Selling equipment	1,532		1	179	1,712

Edgar Filing: YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA - Form 6-K

Other property	1,022		45	35	1,102
Total 2011	93,988	20	14,488 ⁽²⁾⁽⁶⁾	(965) ⁽¹⁾	107,531
Total 2010	85,121	14	9,477 ⁽²⁾	(624) ⁽¹⁾	93,988
Total 2009	80,364	54	5,389 ⁽²⁾⁽⁶⁾	(686) ⁽¹⁾	85,121

	2011				2010	2009		
	Depreciation							
Main account	Accumulated at beginning of year	Net decreases, reclassifications and transfers	Depreciation rate	Increases	Accumulated at the end of year	Net book value	Net book value	Net book value
Land and buildings	1,282	(8)	2%	75	1,349	2,064	2,103	1,987
Mineral property, wells and related equipment	49,599		(4)	4,542	54,141	20,133 ⁽³⁾	16,931 ⁽³⁾	16,339 ⁽³⁾
Refinery equipment and petrochemical plants	7,614		4 10%	581	8,195	3,799	3,828	3,745
Transportation equipment	1,488	(4)	4 5%	75	1,559	551	509	540
Materials and equipment in warehouse						2,018	1,317	814
Drilling and work in progress						9,471	5,574	3,640
Exploratory drilling in progress						416	248	119
Furniture, fixtures and installations	761		10%	93	854	167	180	210
Selling equipment	1,236	6	10%	66	1,308	404	296	309
Other property	339	(5)	10%	34	368	734	683	330
Total 2011	62,319	(11) ⁽¹⁾		5,466	67,774	39,757		
Total 2010	57,088	(42) ⁽¹⁾		5,273	62,319		31,669	
Total 2009	52,291	(35) ⁽¹⁾		4,832	57,088			28,033

(1) Includes 13, 10 and 6 of net book value charged to fixed assets allowances for years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

(2) Includes 695, 894 and 176 corresponding to hydrocarbon wells abandonment costs for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

(3) Includes 1,660, 1,072 and 1,196 of mineral property as of December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Edgar Filing: YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA - Form 6-K

- (4) Depreciation has been calculated according to the unit of production method.
- (5) Includes the net effect of the exchange differences arising from the translation of foreign companies' fixed assets net book values at beginning of the year.
- (6) Includes 654 and 106 for the extension of certain exploitation concessions in the Provinces of Mendoza and Neuquén (Note 9.c to the primary financial statements) and other not significant acquisitions for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2009, respectively.

ANTONIO GOMIS SÁEZ
Director

[Back to Contents](#)Schedule I
Exhibit H

English translation of the financial statements originally filed in Spanish with the Argentine Securities Commission (CNV), except for the inclusion of Note 13 to the primary financial statements in the English translation. In case of discrepancy, the financial statements filed with the CNV prevail over this translation.

YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA AND CONTROLLED AND JOINTLY CONTROLLED COMPANIES

**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF INCOME
FOR YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011 AND COMPARATIVE INFORMATION**

EXPENSES INCURRED

(amounts expressed in million of Argentine pesos Note 1.a to the primary financial statements)

	2011				Total	2010	2009
	Production costs	Administrative expenses	Selling expenses	Exploration expenses		Total	Total
Salaries and social security taxes	2,470	693	361	63	3,587	2,512	1,827
Fees and compensation for services	248	518	74	8	848	718	587
Other personnel expenses	687	129	36	12	864	623	494
Taxes, charges and contributions	428	48	636		1,112	952	755
Royalties and easements	3,518		11	18	3,547	2,989	2,545
Insurance	175	8	17		200	177	200
Rental of real estate and equipment	951	10	104		1,065	571	531
Survey expenses				52	52	98	54
Depreciation of fixed assets	5,211	117	138		5,466	5,273	4,832
Industrial inputs, consumable materials and supplies	1,000	12	84	4	1,100	895	696
Operation services and other service contracts	3,027	104	217		3,348	2,470	1,981

Edgar Filing: YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA - Form 6-K

Preservation, repair and maintenance	4,038	54	105	16	4,213	3,084	2,315
Contractual commitments	88				88	411	139
Unproductive exploratory drillings				350	350	112	356
Transportation, products and charges	1,215		1,604		2,819	2,387	2,045
(Credit) charge for allowance for doubtful trade receivables			(10)		(10)	24	(11)
Publicity and advertising expenses		113	164		277	192	165
Fuel, gas, energy and miscellaneous	1,785	99	182	51	2,117	1,691	1,565
Total 2011	24,841	1,905	3,723	574	31,043		
Total 2010	20,391	1,429	3,015	344		25,179	
Total 2009	16,932	1,102	2,490	552			21,076

ANTONIO
GOMIS
SÁEZ
Director

[Back to Contents](#)

English translation of the financial statements originally filed in Spanish with the Argentine Securities Commission (CNV), except for the inclusion of Note 13 to the primary financial statements in the English translation. In case of discrepancy, the financial statements filed with the CNV prevail over this translation.

YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA**BALANCE SHEET AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2011 AND COMPARATIVE INFORMATION**

(amounts expressed in million of Argentine pesos Note 1.a)

	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
Current Assets			
Cash	524	269	380
Investments (Note 3.a)	241	1,659	1,103
Trade receivables (Note 3.b)	3,049	2,880	2,639
Other receivables (Note 3.c)	2,567	2,624	1,906
Inventories (Note 3.d)	5,326	3,462	2,818
	<u>11,707</u>	<u>10,894</u>	<u>8,846</u>
Noncurrent Assets			
Trade receivables (Note 3.b)	14	18	22
Other receivables (Note 3.c)	682	1,319	426
Investments (Note 3.a)	2,701	2,378	2,267
Fixed assets (Note 3.e)	37,991	30,021	26,315
	<u>41,388</u>	<u>33,736</u>	<u>29,030</u>
Total assets	<u>53,095</u>	<u>44,630</u>	<u>37,876</u>
Current Liabilities			

Edgar Filing: YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA - Form 6-K

Accounts payable (Note 3.f)	12,063	7,724	5,590
Loans (Note 3.g)	7,428	5,622	4,383
Salaries and social security	427	312	221
Taxes payable	399	2,227	1,135
Contingencies (Note 9.a and Exhibit E)	101	81	128
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total current liabilities	20,418	15,966	11,457
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Noncurrent Liabilities			
Accounts payable (Note 3.f)	6,833	5,573	4,371
Loans (Note 3.g)	4,470	1,537	2,043
Salaries and social security	29	28	12
Taxes payable	502	372	684
Contingencies (Note 9.a and Exhibit E)	2,108	2,114	1,608
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total noncurrent liabilities	13,942	9,624	8,718
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total liabilities	34,360	25,590	20,175
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Shareholders Equity (per corresponding statements)	18,735	19,040	17,701
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	53,095	44,630	37,876
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Notes 1 to 13 and the accompanying exhibits A, C, E, F, G and H and Schedule I are an integral part of these statements.

ANTONIO
GOMIS
SÁEZ
Director

[Back to Contents](#)

English translation of the financial statements originally filed in Spanish with the Argentine Securities Commission (CNV), except for the inclusion of Note 13 to the primary financial statements in the English translation. In case of discrepancy, the financial statements filed with the CNV prevail over this translation.

YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA**STATEMENT OF INCOME****FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011 AND COMPARATIVE INFORMATION**

(amounts expressed in million of Argentine pesos, except for per share amounts in Argentine pesos Note 1.a)

	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
Net sales (Note 3.h)	51,307	40,500	31,346
Cost of sales (Exhibit F)	(38,403)	(27,379)	(21,205)
Gross profit	12,904	13,121	10,141
Selling expenses (Exhibit H)	(3,403)	(2,799)	(2,338)
Administrative expenses (Exhibit H)	(1,619)	(1,259)	(966)
Exploration expenses (Exhibit H)	(463)	(282)	(514)
Operating income	7,419	8,781	6,323
Income on long-term investments	535	286	322
Other income, net (Note 3.i)	248	32	203
Financial income (expense), net and holding gains (losses):			
Gains (losses) on assets			
Interests	135	84	97
Exchange differences	436	174	146
Holding gains (losses) on inventories	1,016	635	(42)
Losses on liabilities			

Edgar Filing: YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA - Form 6-K

Interests	(1,012)	(896)	(919)
Exchange differences	(977)	(418)	(522)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Net income before income tax	7,800	8,678	5,608
Income tax (Note 3.j)	(2,504)	(2,888)	(1,919)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Net income	5,296	5,790	3,689
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Earnings per share (Note 1.a)	13.47	14.72	9.38
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Notes 1 to 13 and the accompanying exhibits A, C, E, F, G and H and Schedule I are an integral part of these statements.

ANTONIO
GOMIS
SÁEZ
Director

[Back to Contents](#)

English translation of the financial statements originally filed in Spanish with the Argentine Securities Commission (CNV), except for the inclusion of Note 13 to the primary financial statements in the English translation. In case of discrepancy, the financial statements filed with the CNV prevail over this translation.

YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA**STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY****FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011 AND COMPARATIVE INFORMATION**

(amounts expressed in million of Argentine pesos, except for per share amounts in Argentine pesos Note 1.a)

	Shareholders Contributions			Total	Legal reserve	Deferred earnings	Reserve for future dividends	Unappropriated retained earnings	Total shareholders equity
	Subscribed capital	Adjustment to contributions	Issuance premiums						
Balance as of December 31, 2008	3,933	7,281	640	11,854	2,224	(192)	1,505	3,582	18,973
As decided by the Board of Directors meeting of April 28, 2009:									
Reversal of Reserve for future dividends							(1,505)	1,505	
Appropriation to Legal reserve					19			(19)	
Appropriation to Reserve for							5,901	(5,901)	

Edgar Filing: YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA - Form 6-K

future dividends									
As decided by the Board of Directors meeting of May 5, 2009:									
Cash dividends (6.30 per share)						(2,478)		(2,478)	
As decided by the Board of Directors meeting of November 4, 2009:									
Cash dividends (6.15 per share)						(2,419)		(2,419)	
Net decrease in deferred earnings (Note 2.i)						(64)		(64)	
Net income							3,689	3,689	
Balance as of December 31, 2009	3,933	7,281	640	11,854	2,243	(256)	1,004	2,856	17,701
As decided by the Ordinary and Extraordinary Shareholders meeting of April 14, 2010:									
Reversal of Reserve for future dividends							(1,004)	1,004	
Appropriation to Reserve for future dividends							5,040	(5,040)	
As decided by the Board of Directors meeting of April 14, 2010:									
Cash dividends (5.50 per share)						(2,163)		(2,163)	
As decided by the Board of Directors meeting of November 5, 2010:									

Edgar Filing: YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA - Form 6-K

Cash dividends (5.80 per share)							(2,281)		(2,281)
Net decrease in deferred earnings (Note 2.i)						(7)			(7)
Net income								5,790	5,790
Balance as of December 31, 2010	3,933	7,281	640	11,854	2,243	(263)	596	4,610	19,040
As decided by the Ordinary Shareholders meeting of April 26, 2011:									
Absorption of the effect of the modification of previous years information (Note 1.b)		(1,180)		(1,180)				1,180	
Reversal of Legal Reserve (Note 1.b)					(236)			236	
Reversal of Reserve for future dividends							(596)	596	
Appropriation to Reserve for future dividends							6,622	(6,622)	
As decided by the Board of Directors meeting of April 26, 2011:									
Cash dividends (7 per share)							(2,753)		(2,753)
As decided by the Board of Directors meeting of November 2, 2011:									
Cash dividends (7.15 per share)							(2,812)		(2,812)
Net decrease in deferred earnings (Note 2.i)						(36)			(36)

Edgar Filing: YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA - Form 6-K

Net income								5,296	5,296
Balance as of December 31, 2011	3,933	6,101	640	10,674	2,007	(299)	1,057	5,296	18,735

Notes 1 to 13 and the accompanying exhibits A, C, E, F, G and H and Schedule I are an integral part of these statements.

ANTONIO
GOMIS
SÁEZ
Director

[Back to Contents](#)

English translation of the financial statements originally filed in Spanish with the Argentine Securities Commission (CNV), except for the inclusion of Note 13 to the primary financial statements in the English translation. In case of discrepancy, the financial statements filed with the CNV prevail over this translation.

YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA**STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS****FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011 AND COMPARATIVE INFORMATION**

(amounts expressed in million of Argentine pesos - Note 1.a)

	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
Cash Flows from Operating Activities			
Net income	5,296	5,790	3,689
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash flows provided by operating activities:			
Income on long-term investments	(535)	(286)	(322)
Depreciation of fixed assets	5,237	4,943	4,393
Consumption of materials and fixed assets retired, net of allowances	854	556	625
Increase in allowances for fixed assets	18	72	1
Income tax	2,504	2,888	1,919
Increase in accruals	577	1,157	910
Changes in assets and liabilities:			
Trade receivables	18	(147)	69
Other receivables	906	(1,507)	(749)
Inventories	(1,864)	(644)	277
Accounts payable	2,507	1,852	(267)
Salaries and social security	116	107	37
Taxes payable	(50)	(222)	(730)
Decrease in reserves	(563)	(698)	(969)
Interests, exchange differences and others	962	522	882
Dividends from long-term investments	723	591	562

Edgar Filing: YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA - Form 6-K

Income tax payments	(4,152)	(1,886)	(945)
Net cash flows provided by operating activities	12,554₍₁₎	13,088₍₁₎	9,382₍₁₎
Cash Flows used in Investing Activities			
Acquisitions of fixed assets	(11,880) ⁽²⁾	(8,549) ⁽²⁾	(5,458) ⁽²⁾
Capital contributions in long-term investments	(349)	(127)	(131)
Investments (non cash and equivalents)			1
Net cash flows used in investing activities	(12,229)	(8,676)	(5,588)
Cash Flows used in Financing Activities			
Payment of loans	(16,702)	(12,931)	(12,990)
Proceeds from loans	20,779	13,408	14,959
Dividends paid	(5,565)	(4,444)	(4,897)
Net cash flows used in financing activities	(1,488)	(3,967)	(2,928)
(Decrease) increase in Cash and Equivalents	(1,163)	445	866
Cash and equivalents at the beginning of year	1,928	1,483	617
Cash and equivalents at the end of year	765	1,928	1,483
(Decrease) increase in Cash and Equivalents	(1,163)	445	866

For supplemental information on cash flows statements see Note 1.a and for the composition of cash and equivalents see Note 3.a.

- (1) Includes (439), (324) and (339) corresponding to interest payments for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.
(2) Includes (276), (146) and (529) corresponding to payments related with the extension of certain exploitation concessions in the Provinces of Mendoza y Neuquén (Note 9.c), for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Notes 1 to 13 and the accompanying exhibits A, C, E, F, G and H and Schedule I are an integral part of these statements.

ANTONIO
GOMIS
SÁEZ
Director

[Back to Contents](#)

English translation of the financial statements originally filed in Spanish with the Argentine Securities Commission (CNV), except for the inclusion of Note 13 to the primary financial statements in the English translation. In case of discrepancy, the financial statements filed with the CNV prevail over this translation.

YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011 AND COMPARATIVE INFORMATION

(amounts expressed in million of Argentine pesos, except where otherwise indicated Note 1.a)

1. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND RESTATEMENT OF COMPARATIVE INFORMATION

a) Significant accounting policies

The financial statements of YPF have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in Argentina and the regulations of the CNV.

On March 20, 2009, the FACPCE approved the Technical Resolution No. 26 Adoption of the International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) of the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB), subsequently modified by Technical Resolution No. 29 dated December 3, 2010. Such resolution was approved by the CNV through General Resolution No. 562/09 dated December 29, 2009 (modified by General Resolution No. 576/10 dated July 1, 2010), for certain publicly-traded entities under Law No. 17,811. The application of such rules will be mandatory for the Company for the fiscal year beginning on January 1, 2012. Consequently, the first financial statements under IFRS will be as of March 31, 2012. On April 14, 2010, the Board of Directors has approved a specific IFRS implementation plan. Note 11 to these financial statements describes the criteria adopted in the transition to IFRS and the reconciliations of shareholders' equity and net income, as required by Technical Resolution N° 29.

Presentation of financial statements in constant Argentine pesos

The financial statements reflect the effect of changes in the purchasing power of money by the application of the method for restatement in constant Argentine pesos set forth in Technical Resolution No. 6 of the FACPCE and taking into consideration General Resolution No. 441 of the CNV, which established the discontinuation of the restatement of financial statements in constant Argentine pesos as from March 1, 2003.

Statements of cash flows

In the statements of cash flows, the Company considers cash and all highly liquid investments with an original maturity of less than three months to be cash and equivalents.

Edgar Filing: YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA - Form 6-K

The main investing activities that have not affected cash and equivalents, correspond to increases in hydrocarbon wells abandonment obligations costs, outstanding payables related to the extension of certain concessions in the provinces of Mendoza and Neuquén (Note 9.c), unpaid acquisitions of fixed assets at the end of the year and capital contributions to controlled companies made through loan capitalizations.

Revenue recognition criteria

Revenue is recognized on sales of crude oil, refined products and natural gas, in each case, when title and risks are transferred to the customer.

Subsidies and incentives are recognized as sales in the income statement in the year in which the conditions for obtaining them are accomplished.

[Back to Contents](#)

Joint ventures and other agreements

The Company's interests in joint ventures and other agreements, have been consolidated line by line on the basis of the Company's proportional share in their assets, liabilities, revenues, costs and expenses (Note 6).

Production concessions and exploration permits

According to Argentine Law No. 24,145 issued in November 1992, YPF's areas were converted into production concessions and exploration permits under Law No. 17,319, which has been amended by Law No. 26,197. Pursuant to these laws, the hydrocarbon reservoirs located in Argentine onshore territories and offshore continental shelf, belong to the provinces or the Nation, depending on the location. Exploration permits may have a term of up to 14 years (17 years for offshore exploration) and production concessions have a term of 25 years, which may be extended for an additional ten-year term (Note 9.c).

Fair value of financial instruments and concentration of credit risk

The carrying value of cash, current investments, trade receivables, other receivables, and liabilities approximates its fair value due to the short maturity of these instruments. As of December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 the fair value of loans payable estimated based on market prices or current interest rates at the end of each year amounted to 11,964, 7,232 and 6,434, respectively.

Financial instruments that potentially expose the Company to concentration of credit risk consist primarily of cash, current investments, trade receivables and other receivables. The Company invests cash excess primarily in high liquid investments in financial institutions both in Argentina and abroad with strong credit rating. In the normal course of business, the Company provides credit based on ongoing credit evaluations to its customers and certain related parties. Additionally, the Company accounts for credit losses based on specific information of its clients. Apart from the Tax Credit certificates pending of compensation that YPF obtained while the Petroleum and Refining Plus Programs, established by Decree No. 2014/2008 and its regulations and included in Note 3.c Tax credits, export rebates and production incentives, were in force the Company's customer base is dispersed.

As of December 31, 2011, YPF does not hold derivative financial instruments.

Use of estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires Management to make estimates and assumptions that affect reported assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses and disclosure of contingencies. Future results could differ from the estimates made by Management.

Earnings per share

Earnings per share have been calculated based on the 393,312,793 shares outstanding during the years ended as of December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009.

b) Modification of comparative information

In relation to the implementation of IFRS above mentioned, General Resolution No. 576/2010 establishes that companies which, in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in Argentina, had opted to disclose in a note to the financial statements the deferred income tax liability originated in the difference between the book value of fixed assets remeasured into constant Argentine pesos and their corresponding historical cost used for tax purposes had to recognize such liability with a debit to unappropriated retained earnings. The resolution also establishes that such recognition could be recorded in any interim or

[Back to Contents](#)

annual period until the transition date to IFRS is met, inclusive. Additionally, the resolution above mentioned establishes that, as an exception, the Ordinary Shareholders' meeting that considers the financial statements for the fiscal year in which the deferred income tax liability is accounted for, can record such debit in unappropriated retained earnings into capital accounts not represented by shares (capital stock) or into retained earnings accounts, not providing a predetermined order for such accounting.

As of December 31, 2010, the Company recorded the deferred income tax liability originated in the difference between the book value of fixed assets remeasured into constant Argentine pesos and their corresponding historical cost used for tax purposes including the effects of the change in accounting policy retroactively.

According to the above mentioned, the financial statements as of December 31, 2009, which are presented for comparative purposes, were modified in respect of the originally issued on March 4, 2010 to give retrospective effect to the recognition of the deferred tax liability resulting in a decrease in retained earnings and an increase in net income for the year ended December 31, 2009 of 1,180 and 203, respectively. The modification of comparative information does not imply any change to statutory decisions already taken.

The Ordinary Shareholders' meeting held on April 26, 2011, decided the absorption of the effect corresponding to the deferred income tax liability above mentioned in the Adjustment to contributions' account, which had been recorded as mentioned previously in this note, for an amount of 1,180. Additionally, as a consequence of the mentioned absorption, the Shareholders' meeting decided the reversal of the Legal Reserve for 236, to adequate its balance to legal requirements.

2. VALUATION CRITERIA

The principal valuation criteria used in the preparation of the financial statements are as follows:

a) Cash:

Amounts in Argentine pesos have been stated at face value.

Amounts in foreign currencies have been valued at its nominal value translated at exchange rates as of the end of each year, as applicable. Exchange differences have been credited (charged) to current income. Additional information on assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currency is disclosed in Exhibit G.

b) Current investments, trade and other receivables and payables:

Amounts in Argentine pesos have been stated at face value, which includes accrued interest through the end of each year, if applicable. Investments with price quotation have been valued at fair value as of the end of each year.

Amounts in foreign currency have been valued at face value at the relevant exchange rates in effect as of the end of each year, including accrued interest, if applicable. Investments with price quotation have been valued at fair value at the relevant exchange rate in effect as of the end of each year. Exchange differences have been credited (charged) to current income. Additional information on assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currency is disclosed in Exhibit G.

When generally accepted accounting principles require the valuation of receivables or payables at their discounted value, that value does not differ significantly from their face value.

If applicable, allowances have been made to reduce receivables to their estimated realizable value.

[Back to Contents](#)

c) Inventories:

Refined products, products in process, crude oil and natural gas have been valued at current production cost or replacement cost, as applicable, as of the end of each year.

Raw materials and packaging materials have been valued at cost, which does not differ significantly from its replacement cost as of the end of each year.

Valuation of inventories does not exceed their estimated realizable value.

d) Noncurrent investments:

These include the Company's investments in companies under control, joint control or significant influence and holdings in other companies. These investments are detailed in Exhibit C and have been valued using the equity method, except for holdings in other companies, which have been valued at cost remeasured as detailed in Note 1.a.

Investments in Gasoducto del Pacífico (Argentina) S.A., Gasoducto del Pacífico (Cayman) Ltd. and Oleoducto Trasandino (Chile) S.A., where less than 20% direct or indirect interest is held, are accounted by the equity method since YPF exercises significant influence over these companies in making operation and financial decisions based on its representation on the Boards of Directors.

If applicable, allowances have been made to reduce the valuation of direct and indirect investments to their estimated recoverable value. The main factors for the recognized impairment were the devaluation of the Argentine peso, lower activity expectations, events of default on certain debts and the de-dollarization and freezing of certain utility rates.

Foreign subsidiaries are defined as integrated companies when they carry out their operations as an extension of the parent company's operations or as non-integrated companies when they collect cash and other monetary items, incur expenses, generate income and are financed principally through their own resources. Assets and liabilities of non-integrated foreign subsidiaries are translated into Argentine pesos at the exchange rate prevailing as of the end of each year. Income statements are translated using the relevant exchange rate at the date of each transaction. Exchange differences arising from the translation process are included as a component of shareholders' equity in the account *Deferred Earnings*, which are maintained until the sale or complete or partial reimbursement of capital of the related investment occurs. Assets, liabilities and income statements of integrated foreign subsidiaries are translated at the relevant exchange rate at the date of each transaction. Exchange differences arising from the translation process are credited (charged) to the income statement in the account *Gains (losses) on assets - Exchange differences*.

Holdings in preferred shares have been valued at equity method considering the provisions defined in the respective bylaws.

Investments in companies with negative shareholders' equity are disclosed in the *Accounts payable* account in the balance sheet, provided that the Company has the intention to provide the corresponding financial support.

If necessary, adjustments to the accounting information have been made to conform the accounting principles used by controlled, jointly controlled or under significant influence companies to those of YPF. Main adjustments are related to the application of the general accepted accounting principles in Argentina to foreign related companies' financial statements and the recognition of the deferred income tax liability corresponding to the controlled, jointly controlled and under significant influence companies related to the difference between the book value of fixed assets remeasured into constant Argentine pesos and their corresponding historical cost used for tax purposes (Note 1.b).

[Back to Contents](#)

The investments in companies under control, joint control or significant influence, have been valued based upon the latest available financial statements of these companies as of the end of each year, taking into consideration, if applicable, significant subsequent events and transactions, available management information and transactions between YPF and the related company which have produced changes on the latter's shareholders' equity.

The Company includes supplemental consolidated financial statements as part of the primary financial statements (Schedule I).

As from the effective date of Law No. 25,063, dividends, either in cash or in kind, that the Company receives from investments in other companies and which are in excess of the accumulated income that these companies carry upon distribution shall be subject to a 35% income tax withholding as a sole and final payment. The Company has not recorded any charge for this tax since it has estimated that dividends from earnings recorded by the equity method will not be subject to such tax.

e) Fixed assets:

Fixed assets have been valued at acquisition cost remeasured as detailed in Note 1.a, less related accumulated depreciation. Depreciation rates, representative of the useful life assigned, applicable to each class of asset, are disclosed in Exhibit A. For those assets whose construction requires an extended period of time, financial costs corresponding to third parties' financing have been capitalized during the assets' construction period.

Oil and gas producing activities

The Company follows the successful effort method of accounting for its oil and gas exploration and production operations. Accordingly, exploratory costs, excluding the costs of exploratory wells, have been charged to expense as incurred. Costs of drilling exploratory wells, including stratigraphic test wells, have been capitalized pending determination as to whether the wells have found proved reserves that justify commercial development. If such reserves were not found, the mentioned costs are charged to expense. Occasionally, an exploratory well may be determined to have found oil and gas reserves, but classification of those reserves as proved cannot be made when drilling is completed. In those cases, the cost of drilling the exploratory well shall continue to be capitalized if the well has found a sufficient quantity of reserves to justify its completion as a producing well and the enterprise is making sufficient progress assessing the reserves and the economic and operating viability of the project. If any of the mentioned conditions is not met, cost of drilling exploratory wells is charged to expense. As of December 31, 2011, there are no exploratory wells capitalized for more than one year after the completion of the drilling.

Intangible drilling costs applicable to productive wells and to developmental dry holes, as well as tangible equipment costs related to the development of oil and gas reserves, have been capitalized.

The capitalized costs related to producing activities have been depreciated by field on the unit-of-production basis by applying the ratio of produced oil and gas to estimate recoverable proved and developed oil and gas reserves.

The capitalized costs related to acquisitions of properties and extension of concessions with proved reserves have been depreciated by field on the unit-of-production basis by applying the ratio of produced oil and gas to proved oil and gas reserves.

The capitalized costs related to areas with unproved reserves, are periodically reviewed by Management to ensure that the carrying value does not exceed their estimated recoverable value.

[Back to Contents](#)

Revisions of crude oil and natural gas proved reserves are considered prospectively in the calculation of depreciation. Revisions in estimates of reserves are performed at least once a year. Additionally, estimates of reserves are audited by independent petroleum and gas engineers on a three-year rotation plan.

Costs related to hydrocarbon wells abandonment obligations are capitalized at their discounted value along with the related assets, and are depreciated using the unit-of-production method. As compensation, a liability is recognized for this concept at the estimated value of the discounted payable amounts. Revisions of the payable amounts are performed upon consideration of the current costs incurred in abandonment obligations on a field-by-field basis or other external available information if abandonment obligations were not performed. Due to the number of wells in operation and/or not abandoned and likewise the complexity with respect to different geographic areas where the wells are located, the current costs incurred in plugging are used for estimating the plugging cost of the wells pending abandonment. Current costs incurred are the best source of information in order to make the best estimate of asset retirement obligations.

Other fixed assets

The Company's other fixed assets are depreciated using the straight-line method, with depreciation rates based on the estimated useful life of each class of property.

Fixed assets maintenance and repairs have been charged to expense as incurred.

Major inspections, necessary to continue to operate the related assets, are capitalized and depreciated using the straight-line method over the period of operation to next major inspection.

Renewals and betterments that extend the useful life and/or increase the productive capacity of properties are capitalized. As fixed assets are retired, the related cost and accumulated depreciation are eliminated from the balance sheet.

The Company capitalizes the costs incurred in limiting, neutralizing or preventing environmental pollution only in those cases in which at least one of the following conditions is met: (a) the expenditure improves the safety or efficiency of an operating plant (or other productive asset); (b) the expenditure prevents or limits environmental pollution; or (c) the expenditures are incurred to prepare assets for sale and do not raise the assets' carrying value above their estimated recoverable value.

The carrying value of the fixed asset of each business segment, as defined in Note 4 to the consolidated financial statements, does not exceed their estimated recoverable value.

f) Taxes, withholdings and royalties:

Income tax and tax on minimum presumed income

The Company recognizes the income tax applying the liability method, which considers the effect of the temporary differences between the financial and tax basis of assets and liabilities and the tax loss carryforwards and other tax credits, which may be used to offset future taxable income, at the current statutory rate of 35%.

[Back to Contents](#)

Additionally, the Company calculates tax on minimum presumed income applying the current 1% tax rate to taxable assets as of the end of each year. This tax complements income tax. The Company's tax liability will coincide with the higher between the determination of tax on minimum presumed income and the Company's tax liability related to income tax, calculated applying the current 35% income tax rate to taxable income for the year. However, if the tax on minimum presumed income exceeds income tax during one tax year, such excess may be computed as prepayment of any income tax excess over the tax on minimum presumed income that may be generated in the next ten years.

For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, the amounts determined as current income tax were higher than tax on minimum presumed income and they were included in the Income tax account of the statement of income of each year.

Royalties and withholding systems for hydrocarbon exports

A 12% royalty is payable on the estimated value at the wellhead of crude oil production and the commercialized natural gas volumes (see additionally Note 9.c). The estimated value is calculated based upon the approximate sale price of the crude oil and gas produced, less the costs of transportation and storage. To calculate royalties, the Company has considered price agreements according to crude oil buying and selling operations, obtained in the market for certain qualities of such product, and has applied these prices, net of the discounts mentioned above, according to regulations of Law No. 17,319 and its amendments. In addition, and pursuant to the extension of the original terms of exploitation concessions, the Company has agreed to pay an Extraordinary Production Royalty (see Note 9.c).

Royalty expense and the extraordinary production royalties are accounted for as a production cost.

Law No. 25,561 on Public Emergency and Exchange System Reform, issued in January 2002, established duties for hydrocarbon exports for a five-year period. In January 2007, Law No. 26,217 extended this export withholding system for an additional five-year period and also established specifically that this regime is also applicable to exports from Tierra del Fuego province, which were previously exempted. In addition, Law No. 26,732 published in the Official Gazette in December 2011 extended for an additional 5 years the mentioned regime. On November 16, 2007, the Ministry of Economy and Production (MEP) published Resolution No. 394/2007, modifying the withholding regime on exports of crude oil and other refined products. The regime provides that when the international price exceeds the reference price of US\$ 60.9 per barrel, the producer will collect a floor price of US\$ 42 per barrel, depending on the quality of the crude oil sold, with the remainder being withheld by the Argentine Government. When the international price is under the reference price but over US\$ 45 per barrel, a 45% withholding rate should be applied. If such price is under US\$ 45 per barrel, the Government will have to determine the export rate within a term of 90 business days.

The withholding rate determined as indicated above also currently applies to diesel, gasoline and other crude derivative products. In addition, the procedure for the calculation mentioned above applies to other crude derivatives and lubricants, based upon different withholding rates, reference prices and prices allowed to producers. Furthermore, in March 2008, Resolution No. 127/2008 of the MEP increased the natural gas export withholding rate to 100% of the highest price from any natural gas import contract. This resolution has also established a variable withholding system applicable to liquefied petroleum gas, similar to the one established by the Resolution No. 394/2007.

During December 2011, Law 26,732 extended the mentioned regime for 5 years, after its expiration.

Hydrocarbon export withholdings are charged to the Net sales account of the statement of income.

[Back to Contents](#)

g) Allowances and accruals for contingencies:

Allowances: amounts have been provided in order to reduce the valuation of trade receivables, other receivables, noncurrent investments and fixed assets based on the analysis of doubtful accounts and on the estimated recoverable value of these assets.

Accruals for contingencies: amounts have been provided for various contingencies which are probable and can be reasonably estimated, based on Management's expectations and in consultation with legal counsels. In accordance to Argentine GAAP accruals for contingencies are required to be accounted at the discounted value as of the end of each year; however, as their face value does not differ significantly from discounted values, they are recorded at face value.

The activity in the allowances and accruals for contingencies accounts is set forth in Exhibit E.

h) Environmental liabilities:

Environmental liabilities are recorded when environmental assessments and/or remediation are probable and can be reasonably estimated. Such estimates are based on either detailed feasibility studies of remediation approach and cost for individual sites or on the Company's estimate of costs to be incurred based on historical experience and available information based on the stage of assessment and/or remediation of each site. As additional information becomes available regarding each site or as environmental standards change, the Company revises its estimate of costs to be incurred in environmental assessment and/or remediation matters.

i) Shareholders' equity accounts:

These accounts have been remeasured in Argentine pesos as detailed in Note 1.a, except for Subscribed Capital account, which is stated at its historical value. The adjustment required to state this account in constant Argentine pesos is disclosed in the Adjustment to Contributions account, which additionally includes the absorption of the effect corresponding to the income tax liability originated in the difference between the book value of fixed assets remeasured into constant Argentine pesos and their corresponding historical cost used for tax purposes. Such effect has been originally recorded in the Unappropriated retained earnings account during the year ended December 31, 2010, as established by General Resolution No. 576/2010 (additionally see Note 1.b).

The account Deferred Earnings includes the exchange differences generated by the translation into pesos of investments in non-integrated foreign companies.

j) Statements of income accounts:

The amounts included in the income statement accounts have been recorded by applying the following criteria:

Accounts which accumulate monetary transactions at their face value.

Cost of sales has been calculated by computing units sold in each month at the replacement cost of that month.

Depreciation of nonmonetary assets, valued at acquisition cost, has been recorded based on the remeasured cost of such assets as detailed in Note 1.a.

Holding gains (losses) on inventories valued at replacement cost have been included in the Holding gains (losses) on inventories account.

[Back to Contents](#)

Income (loss) on long-term investments in which control, joint control or significant influence is held, has been calculated on the basis of the income (loss) of those companies and was included in the Income on long-term investments account, except for the exchange differences arising from the translation process of the foreign subsidiaries defined as integrated companies which are included in the account Gains (losses) on assets Exchange differences .

3. ANALYSIS OF THE MAIN ACCOUNTS OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Details regarding significant accounts included in the accompanying financial statements are as follows:

Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2011 and Comparative Information

a) Investments:

	2011		2010		2009	
	Current	Noncurrent	Current	Noncurrent	Current	Noncurrent
Short-term investments	241 ⁽¹⁾		1,659 ⁽¹⁾		1,103 ⁽¹⁾	
Long-term investments (Exhibit C)		2,716		2,391		2,293
Allowance for reduction in value of holdings in long-term investments (Exhibit E)		(15)		(13)		(26)
	241	2,701	1,659	2,378	1,103	2,267

(1) Corresponds to investments with an original maturity of less than three months.

b) Trade receivables:

	2011		2010		2009	
	Current	Noncurrent	Current	Noncurrent	Current	Noncurrent
Accounts receivable	2,569	14	2,743	18	2,585	22
Related parties (Note 7)	894		558		461	
	3,463 ⁽¹⁾	14	3,301	18	3,046	22
Allowance for doubtful trade receivables (Exhibit E)	(414)		(421)		(407)	
	3,049	14	2,880	18	2,639	22

(1) Includes 330 in litigation, 23 of less than three months past due, 49 in excess of three months past due, 3,008 due within three months and 53 due after three months.

[Back to Contents](#)**c) Other receivables:**

	2011		2010		2009	
	Current	Noncurrent	Current	Noncurrent	Current	Noncurrent
Tax credit, export rebates and production incentives	918	8	1,530	811	1,090	14
Trade	200		130		102	
Prepaid expenses	195	128	127	76	134	67
Concessions charges	9	26	17	27	17	38
Related parties (Note 7)	305		165		28	
Loans to clients	32	56	25	70	30	69
Trust contributions - Obra Sur	21	98	13	115		119
Advances to suppliers	233		242		120	
Collateral deposits	160	38	164	56	176	1
Advances and loans to employees	100		50		41	
Receivables with partners in joint ventures	56	278		94		69
Miscellaneous	426	58	249	85	256	65
	<u>2,655⁽¹⁾</u>	<u>690⁽²⁾</u>	<u>2,712</u>	<u>1,334</u>	<u>1,994</u>	<u>442</u>
Allowances for other doubtful accounts (Exhibit E)	(88)		(88)		(88)	
Allowance for valuation of other receivables to their estimated realizable value (Exhibit E)		(8)		(15)		(16)
	<u>2,567</u>	<u>682</u>	<u>2,624</u>	<u>1,319</u>	<u>1,906</u>	<u>426</u>

(1) Includes 87 of less than three months past due, 236 in excess of three months past due and 2,332 due as follow: 1,239 from one to three months, 314 from three to six months, 292 from six to nine months and 487 from nine to twelve months.

(2) Includes 287 due from one to two years, 67 due from two to three years and 336 due after three years.

d) Inventories:

	2011	2010	2009
Refined products	3,389	2,117	1,581
Crude oil and natural gas	1,630	1,043	971
Products in process	64	67	59
Raw materials and packaging materials	243	235	207
	<u>5,326</u>	<u>3,462</u>	<u>2,818</u>

e) Fixed assets:

	2011	2010	2009
--	------	------	------

Edgar Filing: YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA - Form 6-K

Net book value of fixed assets (Exhibit A)	38,098	30,123	26,355
Allowance for obsolescence of materials and equipment (Exhibit E)	(107)	(99)	(37)
Allowance for unproductive exploratory drilling (Exhibit E)		(3)	(3)
	<u>37,991</u>	<u>30,021</u>	<u>26,315</u>

[Back to Contents](#)**f) Accounts payable:**

	2011		2010		2009	
	Current	Noncurrent	Current	Noncurrent	Current	Noncurrent
Trade	8,802	33	5,818	28	4,194	34
Hydrocarbon wells abandonment obligations	252	6,288	243	5,193	238	4,003
Related parties (Note 7)	860		510		423	
Investments in companies with negative shareholders' equity ⁽³⁾	482		320		6	
Extension of Concessions - Provinces of Mendoza and Neuquén (Note 9.c)	451				142	
From joint ventures and other agreements	714		409		358	
Environmental liabilities (Note 9.b)	303	221	302	205	179	285
Miscellaneous	199	291	122	147	50	49
	<u>12,063⁽¹⁾</u>	<u>6,833⁽²⁾</u>	<u>7,724</u>	<u>5,573</u>	<u>5,590</u>	<u>4,371</u>

(1) Includes 11,110 due within three months, 317 due from three to six months and 636 due after six months.

(2) Includes 930 due from one to two years and 5,903 due after two years.

(3) As of December 31, 2011, corresponds to holding in negative shareholders' equity for the investments in YPF Holdings Inc., controlled company, and as of December 2010 and 2009 includes additionally the holdings in negative shareholders' equity value of Central Dock Sud S.A., company under significant influence, after considering adjustments to conform accounting principles with those used by YPF, as mentioned in Note 2.d.

g) Loans:

	Interest Rate ⁽¹⁾	Principal maturity	2011		2010		2009	
			Current	Noncurrent	Current	Noncurrent	Current	Noncurrent
Negotiable Obligations ⁽²⁾	4.00	19.99%2012-2028	313	719	361	642	6	547
Related parties (Note 7)	3.93	24.80%2012-2013	71	538	458	97	912	380
Other financial debts	1.40	29.00%2012-2017	7,044 ⁽³⁾	3,213 ⁽³⁾	4,803	798	3,465	1,116
			<u>7,428⁽⁴⁾</u>	<u>4,470⁽⁴⁾</u>	<u>5,622</u>	<u>1,537</u>	<u>4,383</u>	<u>2,043</u>

(1) Annual interest rate as of December 31, 2011.

(2) Disclosed net of 34, 36 and 38, corresponding to YPF outstanding Negotiable Obligations repurchased through open market transactions as of December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

(3) Includes approximately 8,797 corresponding to loans agreed in U.S. dollars and accrue interest at rates between 1.40% and 7.74%.

(4) As of December 31, 2011, 8,040 accrue fixed interest, 372 accrue variable interest of BADLAR plus a spread between 2.60% and 6.00% and 3,486 accrue variable interest of LIBO plus a spread between 3.35% and 4.50%.

The maturities of the Company's current and noncurrent loans, as of December 31, 2011, are as follows:

Total

Edgar Filing: YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA - Form 6-K

	From 1 to 3 months	From 3 to 6 months	From 6 to 9 months	From 9 to 12 months	
Current loans	3,613	1,759	978	1,078	7,428

	From 1 to 2 years	From 2 to 3 years	From 4 to 5 years	Over 5 years	Total
Noncurrent loans	1,989	718	1,130	633	4,470

[Back to Contents](#)

Details regarding the Negotiable Obligations of the Company are as follows:

(in million)						Book Value					
M.T.N. Program		Issuance				2011		2010		2009	
Year	Amount	Year	Principal Value	Interest Rate ⁽¹⁾	Principal Maturity	Current	Noncurrent	Current	Noncurrent	Current	Noncurrent
1997	US\$ 1,000	1998	US\$ 100	10.00%	2028	7	418	7	364	6	342
2008	US\$ 1,000	2009	\$ 205					205			205
2008	US\$ 1,000	2010	\$ 143					144			
2008	US\$ 1,000	2010	US\$ 70	4.00%	2013	5	301	5	278		
2008	US\$ 1,000	2011	\$ 300	19.99% ⁽²⁾	2012	301					
						313	719	361	642	6	547

(1) Annual interest rate as of December 31, 2011.

(2) Accrues interest at a variable interest rate of BADLAR plus 2.60%.

In connection with the issued Negotiable Obligations, the Company has agreed for itself and its controlled companies to certain covenants, including among others, to pay all liabilities at their maturity and not to create other encumbrances that exceed 15% of total consolidated assets. If the Company does not comply with any covenant, the trustee or the holders representing a percentage that varies between 10% and 25% of the total principal amount of the outstanding Negotiable Obligations may declare the principal and accrued interest immediately due and payable.

The Company's financial debt, which totaled 11,898, including accrued interest (for long-term and short-term debt) as of December 31, 2011 contains customary conditions for contracts of this nature. With respect to a significant portion of it, the Company has agreed, among other things and subject to certain exceptions, not to establish liens or charges on assets. Additionally, approximately 22.6% of the financial debt as of December 31, 2011 is subject to financial covenants related to the leverage ratio and debt service coverage of the Company.

Financial debt is also subject to usual events of default clauses, including in some cases cross-default clauses that could result in early enforcement, and in some cases, prepayment provisions.

The Shareholder's meeting held on January 8, 2008, approved a Notes Program for an amount up to US\$ 1,000 million. Proceeds from this offering shall be used exclusively to invest in fixed assets and working capital in Argentina. Under the mentioned program, on September 24, 2009, the Company issued the Negotiable Obligations Class I at variable interest, with final maturity in 2011, for an amount of 205 million of Argentine pesos. On March 4, 2010, the Company issued under the mentioned program the Negotiable Obligations Class II at variable interest, with final maturity in 2011, for an amount of 143 million of Argentine pesos and the Negotiable Obligations Class III at fixed interest, with final maturity in 2013, for an amount of US\$ 70 million. As of December 31, 2011, the Company has fully complied with the use of proceeds disclosed in the pricing supplement relating to the classes of Negotiable Obligations previously mentioned, and Negotiable Obligations Class I and II have been fully paid. Additionally, within the previously mentioned program on June 21, 2011, the Company issued Negotiable Obligations Class V at variable rate, with final maturity in 2012, for an amount of 300 million of Argentine pesos. All the mentioned securities are authorized to be traded on the Buenos Aires Stock Exchange (Bolsa de Comercio de Buenos Aires) and the Electronic Open Market (Mercado Abierto Electrónico) in Argentina.

[Back to Contents](#)**Statement of Income as of December 31, 2011 and Comparative Information****h) Net sales:**

	Income (Expense)		
	2011	2010	2009
Sales	54,809	43,318	33,772
Turnover tax	(1,676)	(1,150)	(798)
Hydrocarbon export withholdings	(1,826)	(1,668)	(1,628)
	<u>51,307</u>	<u>40,500</u>	<u>31,346</u>

i) Other income, net:

(Accrual) recovery for pending lawsuits and other claims	(82)	(124)	112
Insurance recovery	142		61
Miscellaneous	188	156	30
	<u>248</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>203</u>

j) Income tax:

Current income tax	(2,351)	(3,161)	(1,983)
Deferred income tax	(153)	273	64
	<u>(2,504)</u>	<u>(2,888)</u>	<u>(1,919)</u>

The reconciliation of pre-tax income at the statutory tax rate, to the income tax as disclosed in the income statements for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, is as follows:

	2011	2010	2009
Net income before income tax	7,800	8,678	5,608
Statutory tax rate	35%	35%	35%
Statutory tax rate applied to net income before income tax	(2,730)	(3,037)	(1,963)
Income on long-term investments	187	100	113
Tax free income Law No. 19,640 (Tierra del Fuego)	58	55	29
Tax amnesty Law No. 26,476			(97)
Miscellaneous	(19)	(6)	(1)
Income Tax	<u>(2,504)</u>	<u>(2,888)</u>	<u>(1,919)</u>

[Back to Contents](#)

The breakdown of the net deferred tax liability as of December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, is as follows:

	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
Deferred tax assets			
Non deductible allowances and reserves	858	877	730
Tax loss and other tax credits	45	47	42
Miscellaneous	16	12	
	<u>919</u>	<u>936</u>	<u>772</u>
Deferred tax liabilities			
Fixed assets	(1,209)	(1,157)	(1,271)
Miscellaneous	(89)	(5)	
	<u>(1,298)</u>	<u>(1,162)</u>	<u>(1,271)</u>
Net deferred tax liabilities	<u>(379)</u>	<u>(226)</u>	<u>(499)</u>

4. CAPITAL STOCK

The Company's subscribed capital as of December 31, 2011, is 3,933 and is represented by 393,312,793 shares of common stock and divided into four classes of shares (A, B, C and D), with a par value of Argentine pesos 10 and one vote per share. These shares are fully subscribed, paid-in and authorized for stock exchange listing.

As of December 31, 2011, Repsol YPF, S.A. (Repsol YPF) controls the Company, directly and indirectly, through an approximately 57.43% shareholding, while Petersen Energía S.A. (PESA) and its affiliates exercise significant influence through a 25.46% shareholding. Additionally, Repsol YPF had granted an option to purchase from Repsol YPF up to an additional 1.6% of YPF's outstanding capital stock, which has expired without being exercised as of the date of issuance of these financial statements.

Additionally, Repsol YPF and PESA have signed a shareholders' agreement establishing among other things, the adoption of a dividend policy to distribute 90% of the annual net income.

Repsol YPF's legal address is Paseo de la Castellana 278, 28046 Madrid, Spain. Repsol YPF's principal business is the exploration, development and production of crude oil and natural gas, transportation of petroleum products, liquefied petroleum gas and natural gas, petroleum refining, production of a wide range of petrochemicals and marketing of petroleum products, petroleum derivatives, petrochemicals, liquefied petroleum gas and natural gas.

As of December 31, 2011, there are 3,764 Class A shares outstanding. As long as any Class A share remains outstanding, the affirmative vote of Argentine Government is required for: 1) mergers, 2) acquisitions of more than 50% of the Company's shares in an agreed or hostile bid, 3) transfers of all the Company's production and exploration rights, 4) the voluntary dissolution of YPF or 5) change of corporate and/or tax address outside the Argentine Republic. Items 3) and 4) will also require prior approval by the Argentine Congress.

5. GUARANTEES GIVEN

As of December 31, 2011, YPF has signed guarantees in relation to the financing activities of Central Dock Sud S.A. in an amount of approximately US\$ 9 million. The corresponding loan has final maturity in 2013.

Additionally, as of December 31, 2011, the Company has issued letters of credit in an amount of US\$ 50 million to guarantee environmental obligations and guarantees in an amount of approximately US\$ 29 million to guarantee the enforcement of contracts from certain controlled companies.

[Back to Contents](#)**6. PARTICIPATION IN JOINT VENTURES AND OTHER AGREEMENTS**

As of December 31, 2011, the main exploration and production joint ventures and other agreements in which the Company participates are the following:

Name and Location	Ownership Interest	Operator
Acambuco <i>Salta</i>	22.50%	Pan American Energy LLC
Aguada Pichana <i>Neuquén</i>	27.27%	Total Austral S.A.
Aguaragüe <i>Salta</i>	30.00%	Tecpetrol S.A.
CAM-2/A SUR <i>Tierra del Fuego</i>	50.00%	Enap Sipetrol Argentina S.A.
Campamento Central / Cañadón Perdido <i>Chubut</i>	50.00%	YPF S.A.
Consortio CNQ7/A <i>La Pampa and Mendoza</i>	50.00%	Petro Andina Resources Argentina
El Tordillo <i>Chubut</i>	12.20%	Tecpetrol S.A.
La Tapera y Puesto Quiroga <i>Chubut</i>	12.20%	Tecpetrol S.A.
Llancanelo <i>Mendoza</i>	51.00%	YPF S.A.
Magallanes <i>Santa Cruz, Tierra del Fuego and National Continental Shelf</i>	50.00%	Enap Sipetrol Argentina S.A.
Palmar Largo <i>Formosa and Salta</i>	30.00%	Pluspetrol S.A.
Puesto Hernández <i>Neuquén and Mendoza</i>	61.55%	Petrobras Argentina S.A.
Ramos <i>Salta</i>	15.00% ⁽¹⁾	Pluspetrol Energy S.A.
San Roque <i>Neuquén</i>	34.11%	Total Austral S.A.
Tierra del Fuego <i>Tierra del Fuego</i>	30.00%	Petrolera L.F. Company S.R.L.
Yacimiento La Ventana Río Tunuyán <i>Mendoza</i>	60.00%	YPF S.A.
Zampal Oeste <i>Mendoza</i>	70.00%	YPF S.A.

(1) Additionally, YPF has a 27% indirect ownership interest through Pluspetrol Energy S.A.

[Back to Contents](#)

Additionally, Energía Argentina S.A. (ENARSA) and YPF have formed the joint venture Proyecto GNL Escobar Unión Transitoria de Empresas , which aims to develop a project for storage, regasification and distribution of liquefied natural gas (LNG) in the surroundings of the distribution area in Buenos Aires, the main center of gas consumption, in order to optimize and increase the regasification capacity. During the second quarter of 2011, the construction has been completed and the operation has begun.

Furthermore, as of December 31, 2011, the Company tendered and resulted awarded, in whole or associated with third parties, of exploration licenses in several areas.

The assets and liabilities as of December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 and production costs of the joint ventures and other agreements for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 included in the financial statements are as follows:

	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
Current assets	477	311	260
Noncurrent assets	4,668	3,712	3,350
Total assets	5,145	4,023	3,610
Current liabilities	1,006	572	480
Noncurrent liabilities	1,208	819	628
Total liabilities	2,214	1,391	1,108
Production costs	2,864	2,421	1,994

Participation in joint ventures and other agreements have been calculated based upon the latest available financial statements as of the end of each year, taking into account significant subsequent events and transactions as well as available management information.

Edgar Filing: YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA - Form 6-K

[Back to Contents](#)

7. BALANCES AND TRANSACTIONS WITH RELATED PARTIES

The principal outstanding balances as of December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 from transactions with related companies are as follows:

	2011				2010				2009			
	Trade receivables	Other receivables	Accounts payable	Loans	Trade receivables	Other receivables	Accounts payable	Loans	Trade receivables	Other receivables	Accounts payable	Loans
	Current	Current	Current	Current/Noncurrent	Current	Current	Current	Current/Noncurrent	Current	Current	Current	Current/Noncurrent
Controlled companies:												
Operadora de Estaciones de Servicios S.A.	69	7	15	71	57	2	7		38	1	10	
A - Evangelista S.A.		114	361				139			2	141	
YPF Brasil Comercio de Derivados de Petróleo Ltda.	98				6							
YPF Chile S.A.(2)	6											
Maxus(1)											1	
YPF Servicios Petroleros S.A.			64		2	9	1					
	173	121	440	71	65	11	147		38	3	152	
Jointly controlled companies:												
Profertil S.A. Compañía Mega S.A. (Mega)	27	1	122		29	1	79		10	1	11	
Refinería del Norte S.A. (Refinor)	458		18		296		10		245		8	
	75	23	18		57	20	12		86		32	
	560	24	158		382	21	101		341	1	51	
Companies under significant influence:												
	104		48		50	1	46		22	1	25	
Main shareholders and other related parties under their control:												
Repsol YPF Gas S.A.		43	123			38	122			8	112	
	32	13	37		34	1	4		35	3	2	
		6				5			7			

Edgar Filing: YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA - Form 6-K

Repsol Sinopec Brasil S.A.															
Repsol International Finance B.V.						1						1			
Repsol Netherlands Finance B.V.								400					766	380	
Repsol YPF Venezuela S.A.	6					6	6						6		
Repsol YPF Ecuador S.A.	7	2				6	5						4		
Repsol Comercial S.A.C.	8						7								
Repsol Exploración S.A.	14	2				12	8						9		
Repsol YPF Bolivia S.A.	19					18	23					5	22		
Repsol YPF Tesorería y Gestión Financiera S.A.				538											
Repsol Butano S.A.	20						19								
Nuevo Banco de Entre Ríos S.A.								27	28					50	
Nuevo Banco de Santa Fe S.A.								9	69					75	
Others	25	24	50		27	19	48	22		18	6	40	21		
	57	160	214	538	61	132	216	458	97	60	23	195	912	380	
	894	305	860	71	538	558	165	510	458	97	461	28	423	912	380

Edgar Filing: YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA - Form 6-K

[Back to Contents](#)

The Company maintains purchase, sale and financing transactions with related parties. The principal purchase, sale and financing transactions with these companies for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 include the following:

	2011			2010			2009				
	Purchases and services	Loans obtained (paid), net	Interests and commissions earned (lost), net	Sales	Purchases and services	Loans obtained (paid), net	Interests and commissions earned (lost), net	Sales	Purchases and services	Loans obtained (paid), net	Interests earned (lost), net
Jointly controlled companies:											
Operadora de Estaciones de Servicios S.A.	58	613	71	58	465			39	348		
A Evangelista S.A.	14	651		5	358			6	350		
YPF Brasil Comercio de Derivados de Petróleo Ltda.	129			35							
YPF Chile S.A. ⁽²⁾	11										
Maxus (U.S.) Exploration Company ⁽¹⁾											28
Maxus ⁽¹⁾										1	
YPF Servicios Petroleros S.A.	1	332			65						
	213	1,596	71	98	888			45	699		28
Jointly controlled companies:											
Profertil S.A.	81	460		91	234			58	141		
Mega	1,720	95		1,269	58			1,004	32		
Refinor	447	160		426	150			402	167		
	2,248	715		1,786	442			1,464	340		
Companies under significant influence:											
	244	224		187	208			116	194		
Main shareholders and other related parties under											

Edgar Filing: YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA - Form 6-K

their control:											
Repsol YPF	7	(4)		(19)	31	14		(24)	35		(25)
Repsol YPF Transporte y Trading S.A.		5			2				4		
Repsol Sinopec Brasil S.A.					53				96		
Repsol YPF Gas S.A.	320	12			304	8			162	5	
Repsol Netherlands Finance B.V.			(403)	(3)			(793)	(20)			(51)
Repsol YPF Venezuela S.A.		(7)			6						
Repsol YPF Ecuador S.A.		(3)			6						
Repsol Comercial S.A.C.					7						
Repsol Exploración S.A.		(7)			12						
Repsol YPF Bolivia S.A.		(24)			18						
Repsol YPF Tesorería y Gestión Financiera S.A.			538	(8)							
Repsol Butano S.A.					19						
Nuevo Banco de Entre Ríos S.A.		(29)		(1)			(23)			27	
Nuevo Banco de Santa Fe S.A.		(78)		(7)	1		3	(2)		30	(4)
Others	224	138	(23)	(1)	182	47	2	(5)	152	33	8 (1)
	551	110	5	(39)	641	69	(811)	(51)	410	77	65 (81)
	3,256	2,645	76	(39)	2,712	1,607	(811)	(51)	2,035	1,310	65 (53)

(1) Controlled by YPF Holdings Inc., with a 100% shareholding over its capital stock.

(2) Controlled by Eleran Inversiones 2011 S.A.U., with a 100% shareholding over its capital stock.

8. SOCIAL AND OTHER EMPLOYEE BENEFITS

a) Performance Bonus Programs:

These programs cover certain YPF and its controlled companies personnel. These bonuses are based on compliance with business unit objectives and performance. They are calculated considering the annual compensation of each employee, certain key factors related to the fulfillment of these objectives and the performance of each employee and will be paid in cash.

The amount charged to expense related to the Performance Bonus Programs was 152, 114 and 74 for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

[Back to Contents](#)

b) Retirement Plan:

Effective March 1, 1995, the Company established a defined contribution retirement plan that provides benefits for each employee who elects to join the plan. Each plan member will pay an amount between 2% and 9% of his monthly compensation and the Company will pay an amount equal to the contributed by each member.

The plan members will receive the Company's contributed funds before retirement only in the case of voluntary termination under certain circumstances or dismissal without cause and additionally in the case of death or incapacity. YPF has the right to discontinue this plan at any time, without incurring termination costs.

The total charges recognized under the Retirement Plan amounted to approximately 21, 25 and 15 for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

9. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

a) Pending lawsuits and contingencies:

YPF is party to a number of labor, commercial, civil, tax, criminal, environmental and administrative proceedings that, either alone or in combination with other proceedings, could, if resolved in whole or in part adversely against it, result in the imposition of material costs, fines, judgments or other losses. While the Company believes that such risks have been accrued appropriately based on the opinions and advice of our external legal advisors and in accordance with applicable accounting standards, certain loss contingencies, are subject to change as new information develops and results of the presented evidence is obtain, among others. It is possible that losses resulting from such risks, if proceedings are decided in whole or in part adversely to YPF, could significantly exceed any provided accruals.

As of December 31, 2011, the Company has accrued 2,209 in connection with the pending lawsuits, claims and contingencies which are probable and can be reasonably estimated. The most significant pending lawsuits and contingencies accrued are described in the following paragraphs.

Pending lawsuits: In the normal course of its business, the Company has been sued in numerous labor, civil and commercial actions and lawsuits. Management, in consultation with the external counsels, has accrued an allowance considering its best estimation, based on the information available as of the date of the issuance of these financial statements, including counsel fees and judicial expenses.

Liabilities and contingencies assumed by the Argentine Government: The YPF Privatization Law provided for the assumption by the Argentine Government of certain liabilities of the predecessor as of December 31, 1990. In certain lawsuits related to events or acts that took place before December 31, 1990, YPF has been required to advance the payment established in certain judicial decisions. YPF has the right to be reimbursed for these payments by the Argentine Government pursuant to the above-mentioned indemnity.

Natural gas market: Pursuant to Resolution No. 265/2004 of the Secretariat of Energy, the Argentine Government created a program of useful curtailment of natural gas exports and their associated transportation service. Such program was initially implemented by means of Regulation No. 27/2004 of the Under-Secretariat of Fuels, which was subsequently substituted by the Program of Rationalization of Gas Exports and Use of Transportation Capacity (the Program) approved by Resolution No. 659/2004 of the Secretariat of Energy. Additionally, Resolution No. 752/2005 of the Secretariat of Energy provided that industrial users and thermal generators (which according to this resolution will have to request volumes of gas directly from the producers) could also acquire the natural gas from the cutbacks on natural gas exports through the Permanent Additional Injections mechanism created by this Resolution. By means of the Program and/or the Permanent Additional Injection, the Argentine

[Back to Contents](#)

Government requires natural gas exporting producers to deliver additional volumes to the domestic market in order to satisfy natural gas demand of certain consumers of the Argentine market (Additional Injection Requirements). Such additional volumes are not contractually committed by YPF, who is thus forced to affect natural gas exports, which execution has been conditioned. The mechanisms established by the Resolutions No. 659/2004 and 752/2005 have been adapted by the Secretariat of Energy Resolution No. 599/2007, modifying the conditions for the imposition of the requirements, depending on whether the producers have signed or not the proposed agreement, ratified by such resolution, between the Secretariat of Energy and the Producers. Also, through Resolution No. 1410/2010 of the National Gas Regulatory Authority (ENARGAS) approved the procedure which sets new rules for natural gas dispatch applicable to all participants in the natural gas industry, imposing new and more severe restrictions to the producers availability of natural gas (Procedimiento para Solicitudes, Confirmaciones y Control de Gas). Additionally, the Argentine Government, through instructions made using different procedures, has ordered limitations over natural gas exports (in conjunction with the Program and the Permanent Additional Injection, named the Restrictions). On January 5, 2012, the Official Gazette published Resolution of the Secretariat of Energy No. 172 which temporarily extends the rules and criteria established by Resolution No. 599/07 until new legislation replaces the Resolution previously mentioned. This Resolution was appealed on February 17, 2012 by filing a motion for reconsideration with the Secretariat of Energy.

As a result of the Restrictions, in several occasions since 2004, YPF has been forced to suspend, either totally or partially, its natural gas deliveries to some of its export clients, with whom YPF has undertaken firm commitments to deliver natural gas.

The Company has challenged the Program, the Permanent Additional Injection and the Additional Injection Requirements as arbitrary and illegitimate, and has invoked vis-à-vis the relevant clients that such measures of the Argentine Government constitute a fortuitous case or force majeure event (act of authority) that releases the Company from any liability and/or penalty for the failure to deliver the contractual volumes. These clients have rejected the force majeure argument invoked by the Company, and some of them have demanded the payment of indemnifications and/or penalties for the failure to comply with firm supply commitments, and/or reserved their rights to future claims in such respect (the Claims).

Among them, on June 25, 2008, AES Uruguaiana Emprendimientos S.A. (AESU) claimed damages in a total amount of US\$ 28.1 million for natural gas deliver or pay penalties for cutbacks accumulated from September 16, 2007 through June 25, 2008, and also claimed an additional amount of US\$ 2.7 million for natural gas deliver or pay penalties for cutbacks accumulated from January 18, 2006 until December 1, 2006. YPF has rejected both claims. On September 15, 2008, AESU notified YPF the interruption of the fulfillment of its commitments alleging delay and breach of YPF obligations. The Company has rejected this notification. On December 4, 2008, YPF notified that having ceased the force majeure conditions, pursuant to the contract in force, it would suspend its delivery commitments, due to the repeated breaches of AESU obligations. AESU has rejected this notification. On December 30, 2008, AESU rejected YPF s right to suspend its natural gas deliveries and on March 20, 2009, notified YPF the termination of the contract. Subsequently, AESU initiated an arbitration process in which it claims, among other matters that the Company considers inappropriate, the payment of the deliver or pay penalties mentioned above. YPF has also started an arbitration process against AESU claiming, among other matters, the declaration that the termination of the contract by AESU was unilateral and illegal under its responsibility. Both arbitral complaints had been answered by the parties by requesting their rejection. On April 6, 2011, the Arbitration Tribunal appointed in YPF vs. AESU arbitration decided to sustain YPF s motion, and determined the consolidation of all the related arbitrations (AESU vs. YPF , TGM vs. YPF and YPF vs. AESU) in YPF vs. AESU arbitration. Consequently, AESU and Transportadora de Gas del Mercosur S.A.

[Back to Contents](#)

(TGM) desisted from and abandoned their respective arbitrations, and all the matters claimed in the three proceedings are to be solved in YPF vs. AESU arbitration. During December 2011, evidence production hearings took place. On January 13, 2012 the parties submitted the corresponding arguments closing the evidence production period previously mentioned.

Furthermore, there are certain claims in relation with payments of natural gas transportation contracts associated with exports of such hydrocarbon. Consequently, one of the parties, Transportadora de Gas del Norte S.A. (TGN), commenced mediation proceedings in order to determine the merits of such claims. The mediation proceedings did not result in an agreement and YPF was notified of the lawsuit filed against it, in which TGN is claiming the fulfillment of contractual obligations and the payment of unpaid invoices, according to their arguments, while reserving the right to claim for damages, which were claimed in a note addressed to the Company during November 2011. The total amount claimed by TGN amounts to approximately US\$ 207 millions as of the date of these financial statements. YPF has answered the mentioned claims, rejecting them based in the legal impossibility for TGN to render the transportation service and in the termination of the transportation contract determined by YPF and notified with a complaint initiated before ENARGAS. Additionally, the plaintiff notified YPF that it was terminating the contract invoking YPF's fault, basing its decision on the alleged lack of payment of transportation fees, reserving the right to claim for damages. During September 2011, YPF was notified of the resolution of the Court of Appeals rejecting YPF's claims and declaring that ENARGAS is not the appropriate forum to decide on the matter and giving jurisdiction to the Civil and Commercial Federal courts to decide on the claim for the payment of unpaid invoices mentioned above. In addition, Nación Fideicomisos S.A. had initiated a claim against YPF in relation to payments for natural gas transportation services. A mediation hearing finished without arriving to an agreement, concluding the pre-trial stage. Additionally, on January 12, 2012 and following a mediation process which ended without any agreement, Nación Fideicomisos S.A. filed a complaint against YPF, under art. 66 of Law 24,076, before ENARGAS, claiming the payment of certain transportation charges in an approximate amount of 339. YPF answered the claim raising ENARGAS' lack of jurisdiction (as the Company did in the proceeding against TGN) and rejecting the claim based on the theory of legal impossibility. In the opinion of YPF's Management, the matters referred to above, will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations.

Regarding this issue, on April 8, 2009, YPF had filed a complaint against TGN with ENARGAS, seeking the termination of the natural gas transportation contract with TGN in connection with the natural gas export contract entered with AESU and other parties. The termination of the contract with that company is based on: (a) the impossibility for YPF to receive the service and for TGN to render the transportation service, due to (i) the termination of the natural gas contract with Companhia de Gás do Estado do Rio Grande do Sul (Sulgas)/AESU and (ii) the legal impossibility of assigning the transportation contract to other shippers because of the regulations in effect, (b) the legal impossibility for TGN to render the transportation service on a firm basis because of certain changes in law in effect since 2004, and (c) the Teoría de la Imprevisión available under Argentine law, when extraordinary events render a party's obligations excessively burdensome.

As of December 31, 2011, the Company has accrued costs for penalties associated with the failure to deliver the contractual volumes of natural gas in the export and domestic markets which are probable and can be reasonably estimated.

La Plata and Quilmes environmental claims:

La Plata: In relation with the operation of the refinery that the Company has in La Plata, there are certain claims for compensation of individual damages purportedly caused by the operation of the La Plata refinery and the environmental remediation of the channels adjacent to the mentioned refinery. During 2006, the Company submitted a presentation before the Environmental Secretariat of the Province of Buenos Aires which put forward for consideration the performance of a study for the

[Back to Contents](#)

characterization of environmental associated risks. As previously mentioned, YPF has the right of indemnity for events and claims prior to January 1, 1991, according to Law No. 24,145 and Decree No. 546/1993. Besides, there are certain claims that could result in the requirement to make additional investments connected with the operations of La Plata Refinery.

On January 25, 2011, YPF entered into an agreement with the environmental agency of the Government of the Province of Buenos Aires (Organismo Provincial para el Desarrollo Sostenible (OPDS)), within the scope of the Remediation, Liability and Environmental Risk Control Program, created by Resolution 88/10 of the OPDS. Pursuant to the agreement, the parties agreed to jointly perform an eight-year work program in the channels adjacent to the La Plata refinery, including characterization and risk assessment studies of the sediments. The agreement provides that, in the case that a required remediation action is identified as a result of the risk assessment studies, the different alternatives and available techniques will be considered, as well as the steps needed for the implementation. Dating studies will also be performed pursuant to the agreement, in order to determine responsibilities of the Argentine Government in accordance with its obligation to hold YPF harmless in accordance with the article 9 of the Privatization Law N° 24,145. YPF has accrued the estimated cost of the characterization and risk assessment studies mentioned above. The cost of the remediation actions, if required, is recorded in those situations where the loss is probable and can be reasonably estimated.

Quilmes: Citizens which allege to be residents of Quilmes, Province of Buenos Aires, have filed a lawsuit in which they have requested remediation of environmental damages and also the payment of 47 plus interests as a compensation for supposedly personal damages. They base their claim mainly on a fuel leak in the poliduct running from La Plata to Dock Sud, currently operated by YPF, which occurred in 1988 as a result of an illicit detected at that time, being at that moment YPF a state-owned company. Fuel would have emerged and became perceptible on November 2002, which resulted in remediation works that are being performed by the Company in the affected area, supervised by the environmental authority of the Province of Buenos Aires. The Argentine Government has denied any responsibility to indemnify YPF for this matter, and the Company has sued the Argentine Government to obtain a declaration of invalidity of such decision. The award is still pending. On November 25, 2009, the proceedings were transferred to the Federal Court on Civil and Commercial Matters No. 3, Secretariat No. 6 in Buenos Aires City and on March 4, 2010, YPF answered the complaint and requested the citation of the Argentine Government. In addition, other 35 judicial claims related to similar matters have been brought against YPF amounting to approximately 19. Additionally, the Company is aware of the existence of other out of court claims which are based on similar allegations.

Tax claims:

The Company has received several claims from the Administración Federal de Ingresos Públicos (AFIP) and from provincial and municipal fiscal authorities, which are not individually significant, and which have been accrued based on the best information available as of the date of the issuance of these financial statements.

Additionally, YPF s Management, in consultation with its external counsels, believes that the following contingencies and claims, individually significant, have possible outcome. Based on the information available to the Company, including the amount of time remaining before trial among others, the results of discovery and the judgment of internal and external counsel, the Company is unable to estimate the reasonably possible loss or range of loss on certain matters referred to below:

[Back to Contents](#)

Asociación Superficialarios de la Patagonia (ASSUPA): In August 2003, ASSUPA sued 18 companies operating exploitation concessions and exploration permits in the Neuquén Basin, YPF being one of them, claiming the remediation of the general environmental damage purportedly caused in the execution of such activities, and subsidiary constitution of an environmental restoration fund and the implementation of measures to prevent environmental damages in the future. The plaintiff requested that the Argentine Government, the Federal Environmental Council (Consejo Federal de Medio Ambiente), the provinces of Buenos Aires, La Pampa, Neuquén, Río Negro and Mendoza and the Ombudsman of the Nation be summoned. It requested, as a preliminary injunction, that the defendants refrain from carrying out activities affecting the environment. Both the Ombudsman's summons as well as the requested preliminary injunction were rejected by the CSJN. YPF has answered the demand requesting its rejection, opposing failure of the plaintiff and requiring the summons of the Argentine Government, due to its obligation to indemnify YPF for events and claims previous to January 1, 1991, according to Law No. 24,145 and Decree No. 546/1993. The CSJN gave the plaintiffs a term to correct the defects of the complaint. On August 26, 2008, the CSJN decided that such defects had already been corrected and on February 23, 2009, ordered that certain provinces, the Argentine Government and the Federal Environmental Council be summoned. Therefore, pending issues were deferred until all third parties impleaded appear before the court. As of the date of issuance of these financial statements, the provinces of Río Negro, Buenos Aires, Neuquén, Mendoza, and the Argentine government have made their presentations, which are not available to the Company yet. The provinces of Neuquén and La Pampa have claimed lack of jurisdiction, which has been answered by the plaintiff, and the claim is pending resolution. On December 13, 2011, the Supreme Court suspended the proceeding for 60 days and ordered YPF and the plaintiff to present a schedule of the meetings that would take place during such suspension, authorizing the participation of the remaining parties and third parties.

Dock Sud environmental claims: A group of neighbors of Dock Sud, Province of Buenos Aires, have sued 44 companies, among which YPF is included, the Argentine Government, the Province of Buenos Aires, the City of Buenos Aires and 14 municipalities, before the CSJN, seeking the remediation and the indemnification of the environmental collective damage produced in the basin of the Matanza and Riachuelo rivers. Additionally, another group of neighbors of the Dock Sud area, have filed two other environmental lawsuits, one of them desisted in relation to YPF, claiming several companies located in that area, among which YPF is included, the Province of Buenos Aires and several municipalities, for the remediation and the indemnification of the environmental collective damage of the Dock Sud area and for the individual damage they claim to have suffered. At the moment, it is not possible to reasonably estimate the outcome of these claims, as long as, if applicable, the corresponding legal fees and expenses that might result. YPF has the right of indemnity by the Argentine Government for events and claims previous to January 1, 1991, according to Law No. 24,145 and Decree No. 546/1993.

By means of sentence dated July 8, 2008, the CSJN:

- (i) Determined that the Basin Authority (Law No. 26,168) (ACUMAR) should be in charge of the execution of the program of environmental remediation of the basin, being the Argentine Government, the Province of Buenos Aires and the City of Buenos Aires responsible of its development; delegated in the Federal Court of First Instance of Quilmes the knowledge of all the matters concerning the execution of the remediation and reparation; declared that all the litigations related to the execution of the remediation plan will accumulate and will proceed before this court and established that this process produces that other collective actions that have for object the environmental remediation of the basin be dismissed (*litispendentia*). The Company has been notified of certain resolutions issued by ACUMAR, by virtue of which YPF has been requested to present an Industrial Reconversion Program, in connection with certain installations of YPF. As of the date of issuance of these financial statements, the Program has been presented although the Resolutions had been appealed by the Company;

[Back to Contents](#)

- (ii) Decided that the proceedings related to the determination of the responsibilities derived from past behaviors for the reparation of the environmental damage will continue before the CSJN.

Other environmental claims in La Plata: On June 6, 2007, YPF was served with a new complaint in which 9 residents of the vicinity of La Plata refinery requested: i) the cease of contamination and other harms they claim are attributable to the refinery; and ii) the clean-up of the adjacent channels, Río Santiago and Río de la Plata (soil, water and acquiferous, including those of the refinery) or, if clean-up is impossible, indemnification for environmental and personal damages. The plaintiff has quantified damages in 52 or an amount to be determined from evidence produced during the proceeding. YPF believes that most damages that are alleged by the plaintiff, might be attributable to events that occurred prior to YPF's privatization and would, therefore, be covered to that extent by the indemnity granted by the Argentine Government in accordance with the Privatization Law of YPF. The Court has accepted the summon of the Argentine Government in this matter. Notwithstanding the foresaid, the possibility of YPF being asked to afford these liabilities is not discarded, in which case the Argentine Government must be asked to reimburse the remediation expenses for liabilities existing as of December 31, 1990. In addition, the claim partially overlaps with the request made by a group of neighbors of La Plata Refinery on June 29, 1999, described in the first paragraph of *La Plata and Quilmes environmental claims*. Accordingly, YPF considers that the cases should be partially consolidated to the extent that the claims overlap. Regarding claims not consolidated, information and documents in order to answer the claim are being collected, and for the time being, it is not possible to reasonably estimate the outcome, as long as, if applicable, estimate the corresponding legal fees and expenses that might result. The contamination that may exist could derive from countless sources, including from disposal of waste over many years by other industrial facilities and ships.

Additionally, YPF is aware of an action that has not been served yet, in which the plaintiff requests the clean-up of the channel adjacent to the La Plata refinery, the Río Santiago, and other sectors near the coast line, and, if such remediation is not possible, an indemnification of 500 or an amount to be determined from the evidence produced in discovery. The claim partially overlaps with the requests made by a group of neighbors of La Plata refinery on June 29, 1999, described in the first paragraph of *La Plata and Quilmes environmental claims*, and with the complaint served on June 6, 2007, mentioned in the previous paragraph. Accordingly, YPF considers that if it is served in this proceeding or any other proceeding related to the same subject matters, the cases should be consolidated to the extent that the claims overlap.

With respect to claims not consolidated, for the time being, it is not possible to reasonably estimate the monetary outcome, as long as, if applicable, estimate the corresponding legal fees and expenses that might result. Additionally, YPF believes that most damages alleged by the plaintiff, if proved, might be attributable to events that occurred prior to YPF's privatization and would therefore be the responsibility of the Argentine Government in accordance with the Privatization Law concerning YPF.

In addition to the information mentioned above, YPF has entered into an agreement with the OPDS in connection with the claims of the channels adjacent to the La Plata refinery, which is described in *La Plata and Quilmes environmental claims*.

Other environmental claims in Quilmes: the Company has been recently notified of an environmental complaint filed by neighbors of Quilmes city, province of Buenos Aires, claiming approximately 209 for compensation for environmental and personal damages. Considering the phase of the trial, the evidence available to the date, and the preliminary judgment of internal and external legal advisors, the Company is unable to reasonably estimate the possible loss or range of loss related to this complaint.

[Back to Contents](#)

Hydrocarbon s concessions - Provincial claims: YPF has been notified of the Resolution No. 433/2008 issued by the Direction of Hydrocarbons, Ministry of Production of the Province of Río Negro, concerning compliance with certain obligations assumed as production concessionaire of the areas Barranca de los Loros, Bajo del Piche, El Medanita and Los Caldenes, all of them located in the Province of Río Negro. The resolution provides that YPF, among others, has not complied with certain obligations as production concessionaire and claims for damages to the environment.

Considering the previous paragraph and the dispositions of the Law No. 17,319 (Law of Hydrocarbons), YPF was requested to submit its discharge at risk of termination of the mentioned concessions. However, the mentioned Law grants the concessionaire and/or licensee the right, prior to termination of the concession, to cure a contractual breach within a certain period of time after receiving notice thereof. In this order, on May 29, 2008, YPF filed a request for nullification of the Resolution No. 433/2008, since this resolution fail to grant YPF the mentioned right. Additionally, on June 13, 2008, YPF submitted a response, denying the mentioned charges and, on November 12, 2008, the Ministry of Production ordered the initiation of the evidence production period. On November 28, 2008, YPF requested the production of certain evidence and the appointment of a technical expert. YPF has argued certain aspects related with the production of evidence. On May 12, 2009, the Company was notified of the issuance of Resolution No. 31/09, ordering a time extension in the evidence production period. On December 1, 2009, YPF filed with the requested documentary evidence and stated that certain aspects related to the evidence production period are still pending. On September 16, 2010, YPF submitted a presentation and requested the termination of this claim based on: (a) the amounts invested in the four areas between 2007 and 2010 and (b) the actions taken as regards the environmental matters. More recently, YPF submitted a new presentation providing an updated information on the amounts invested in 2010, expected investments in exploratory activity for 2011 and for the period 2011-2016, requesting the resolution of a claim against the intervention of the Hydrocarbon s Secretariat in all the issues under investigation by the provincial environmental authority (CODEMA), and making a new request for the termination of this claim. The net value of the assets and the volume of proved reserves related to these concessions are not material to the Company.

Claims related to the gas market:

In addition to the information described under the title Natural gas market in this note, and in relation to the existence of clients with whom YPF has commitments to deliver natural gas which, as a result of the Restrictions, the Company has been forced to suspend totally or partially the corresponding deliveries, invoking the existence of force majeure or fortuitous event, and which, according to Management estimates, constitute in some cases contingencies with possible outcome, the Company is also involved in the following litigations related to the natural gas market:

Arbitration process initiated by TGM: YPF was notified of an arbitration process brought by TGM against YPF before the International Chamber of Commerce (ICC), claiming unpaid and outstanding invoices in an approximate amount of US\$ 10 million plus interest until the date of payment, in connection with the payments of the invoices established in the natural gas transportation contract entered into in September 1998 between YPF and TGM, associated with the natural gas export contract entered into by YPF and AESU previously mentioned. On April 8, 2009, YPF requested the rejection of this claim and counterclaimed asking for the termination of the natural gas transportation contract, based on the termination promoted by AESU and Sulgás of the natural gas export contract. Additionally, YPF registered a request for arbitration at the ICC against TGM, amongst others. TGM answered the arbitral complaint by requesting the rejection of all YPF claims and filed a counterclaim against YPF asking the arbitral tribunal: that YPF indemnifies TGM for all of the present and future damages derived from the termination of the

[Back to Contents](#)

natural gas transportation contract and the agreement entered into between the parties on October 2, 1998, by which YPF had agreed to pay TGM non-capitalizable irrevocable contributions as a compensation for the extension of the natural gas pipeline Proyecto Uruguayana; and that AESU/Sulgás be severally obliged to indemnify TGM for all the damages caused to TGM derived from the termination of the natural gas supply contract, in case AESU or Sulgas are declared responsible for that termination. Additionally, on July 10, 2009, TGM increased the amounts of its claim to US\$ 17 million and claimed an additional amount of US\$ 366 million as lost profit, a claim for which YPF believes it would not be responsible. YPF rejected TGM's arguments. The Arbitration Tribunal has been constituted and the parties agreed on the Terms of Reference in coordination with the Arbitration Tribunal. On June 10, 2010, YPF submitted its arguments on procedural grounds before the Arbitration Tribunal and requested the Arbitration Tribunal to determine that it was not competent to hear the claim. On February 14, 2011, YPF was notified of the Arbitration Tribunal's decision to sustain the Company's motion, therefore suspending the proceeding until the arbitration brought by YPF is solved. On April 6, 2011, the Arbitration Tribunal appointed in YPF vs. AESU arbitration decided to sustain YPF's motion, and determined the consolidation of all the related arbitrations (AESU vs. YPF , TGM vs. YPF and YPF vs. AESU) in YPF vs. AESU arbitration. Consequently, AESU and TGM desisted from and abandoned their respective arbitrations, and all the matters claimed in the three proceedings are to be solved in YPF vs. AESU arbitration. During December 2011, evidence production hearings took place. On January 13, 2012 the parties presented their post-hearing briefs, closing the evidence production period.

National Antitrust Protection Board: On November 17, 2003, Antitrust Board requested explanations, within the framework of an official investigation pursuant to Art. 29 of the Antitrust Law, from a group of almost thirty natural gas production companies, YPF among them, with respect to the following items: (i) the inclusion of clauses purportedly restraining trade in natural gas purchase/sale contracts; and (ii) observations on gas imports from Bolivia, in particular (a) old expired contract signed by YPF, when it was state-owned, and YPFB (the Bolivian state-owned oil company), under which YPF allegedly sold Bolivian gas in Argentina at prices below the purchase price; and (b) the unsuccessful attempts in 2001 by Duke and Distribuidora de Gas del Centro to import gas into Argentina from Bolivia. On January 12, 2004, YPF submitted explanations in accordance with Art. 29 of the Antitrust Law, contending that no antitrust violations had been committed and that there had been no price discrimination between natural gas sales in the Argentine market and the export market. On January 20, 2006, YPF received a notification of resolution dated December 2, 2005, whereby the Antitrust Board (i) rejected the non bis in idem petition filed by YPF, on the grounds that ENARGAS was not empowered to resolve the issue when ENARGAS Resolution No. 1,289 was enacted; and (ii) ordered that the opening of the proceedings be undertaken pursuant to the provisions of Section 30 of the Antitrust Law. On January 15, 2007, the Antitrust Board charged YPF and eight other producers with violations of the Antitrust Law. YPF has contested the complaint on the basis that no violation of the law took place and that the charges are barred by the applicable statute of limitations, and has presented evidence in support of its position. On June 22, 2007, YPF presented to the Antitrust Board, without acknowledging any conduct in violation of the Antitrust Law, a commitment consistent with Art. 36 of the Antitrust Law, requiring to the Antitrust Board to approve the commitment, to suspend the investigation and to file the proceedings. On December 14, 2007, the Antitrust Board decided to transfer the motion to the Court of Appeals as a consequence of the appeal presented by YPF against the rejection of the application of the statute of limitations.

[Back to Contents](#)

In addition, on January 11, 2012, the Argentine Secretariat of Transportation filed with the CNDC a complaint against five oil companies (including YPF), for alleged abuse of a dominant position regarding bulk sales of diesel fuel to public bus transportation companies. The alleged conduct consists of selling bulk diesel fuel to public bus transportation companies at prices higher than the price charged in service stations. According to the provisions of Article 29 of Law 25,156 of Antitrust Protection, YPF has submitted appropriate explanations to the CNDC, questioning certain formal aspects of the complaint, and arguing that YPF has adjusted its behavior at all times with current regulations and that it did not set any discrimination or abuse in determining prices.

In addition, YPF is subject to other claims before the Antitrust Board which are related to alleged price discrimination in sale of fuels. Upon the opinion of Management and its legal advisors, such claims have been considered as possible contingencies.

Users and Consumers Association claim: The Users and Consumers Association (Unión de Usuarios y Consumidores) claimed originally against Repsol YPF (then extending its claim to YPF) the reimbursement of the overprice allegedly charged to bottled LPG consumers between 1993 and 2001. The claim is for an unspecified sum, amounting to 91 in the period 1993 to 1997 (this sum, brought up-to-date would be approximately 365, together with an undetermined amount for the period 1997 to 2001. The Company claimed the application of the statute of limitations (as well as other defenses) since, at the date of the extension of the claim, the two-year limit had already elapsed. Notwithstanding, on August 6, 2009, the evidence production period commenced and the evidence is now being produced.

Mega claim: Mega, a company in which YPF holds an interest of 38%, has claimed YPF for cutbacks in natural gas supply pursuant to their respective sales contract. YPF affirmed that the deliveries of natural gas to Mega were affected by the interference of the Argentine Government. Besides, YPF would not have any responsibility based on the event of force majeure. This notwithstanding, Mega recently served on YPF a written claim for the total sum of US\$ 94 million corresponding to undelivered volumes for the years 2009, 2010 and 2011. Despite the fact that the Company has material arguments of defense, as previously mentioned, they have been considered as possible contingencies.

Additionally, the Company has received other labor, civil and commercial claims and several claims from the AFIP and from provincial and municipal fiscal authorities, not individually significant, which have not been accrued since Management, based on the evidence available as of the date of issuance of these financial statements, has considered them to be possible contingencies.

b) Environmental liabilities:

YPF is subject to various provincial and national laws and regulations relating to the protection of the environment. These laws and regulations may, among other things, impose liability on companies for the cost of pollution clean-up and environmental damages resulting from operations. Management believes that the Company's operations are in substantial compliance with Argentine laws and regulations currently in force relating to the protection of the environment as such laws have historically been interpreted and enforced.

However, the Company is periodically conducting new studies to increase its knowledge concerning the environmental situation in certain geographic areas where the Company operates in order to establish their status, causes and necessary remediation and, based on the aging of the environmental issue, to analyze the possible responsibility of Argentine Government, in accordance with the contingencies assumed by the Argentine Government for liabilities existing as of December 31, 1990. Until these studies are completed and evaluated, the Company cannot estimate what additional costs, if any, will be required. However, it is possible that other works, including provisional remedial measures, may be required.

[Back to Contents](#)

In addition to the hydrocarbon wells abandonment legal obligations for 6,540 as of December 31, 2011, the Company has accrued 524 corresponding to environmental remediation, which evaluations and/or remediation works are probable and can also be reasonably estimated, based on the Company's existing remediation program. Legislative changes, on individual costs and/or technologies may cause a re-evaluation of the estimates. The Company cannot predict what environmental legislation or regulation will be enacted in the future or how future laws or regulations will be administered. In the long-term, this potential changes and ongoing studies could materially affect future results of operations.

Additionally, certain environmental contingencies in the United States of America were assumed by Tierra and Maxus, indirect controlled companies through YPF Holdings Inc. (Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements).

c) Contractual commitments and regulatory requirements:

Contractual commitments: The Company has signed contracts by means of which it has committed to buy certain products and services, and to sell natural gas, liquefied petroleum gas and other products. Some of the mentioned contracts include penalty clauses that stipulate compensations for a breach of the obligation to receive, deliver or transport the product object of the contract.

In particular, the Company has renegotiated certain natural gas export contracts, and has agreed certain limited compensations in case of any delivery interruption and/or suspension, for any reason, except for physical force majeure event. The estimated losses for contracts in progress, if any, considering the compensations mentioned above, are charged to the income of the year in which are identified.

Natural gas regulatory requirements: In addition to the regulations that affect the natural gas market mentioned in Natural gas market (Note 9.a), on June 14, 2007, Resolution No. 599/2007 of the Secretariat of Energy was published in the Official Gazette (the Resolution). This Resolution approved an agreement with natural gas producers regarding the natural gas supply to the domestic market during the period 2007 through 2011 (the Agreement 2007-2011). The purpose of this Agreement 2007-2011 is to guarantee the normal supply of the natural gas domestic market during the period 2007 through 2011, considering the domestic market demand registered during 2006 plus the growth of residential and small commercial customer's consumption (the Priority Demand). According to the Resolution, the producers that have signed the Agreement 2007-2011 commit to supply a part of the Priority Demand according to certain percentage determined for each producer based upon its share of production for the 36 months period prior to April 2004. In case of shortage to supply Priority Demand, natural gas exports of producers that did not sign the Agreement 2007-2011 will be the first to be called upon in order to satisfy such mentioned shortage. The Agreement 2007-2011 also establishes terms of effectiveness and pricing provisions for the Priority Demand consumption. Considering that the Resolution anticipates the continuity of the regulatory mechanisms that affect the exports, YPF has appealed the Resolution and has expressly stated that the execution of the Agreement 2007-2011 does not mean any recognition by YPF of the validity of that Resolution. On June 22, 2007, the National Direction of Hydrocarbons notified that the Agreement 2007-2011 reached the sufficient level of subscription. On January 5, 2012, the Official Gazette published Resolution of the Secretariat of Energy No. 172 which temporarily extends the rules and criteria established by Resolution No. 599/07 until new legislation replaces the Resolution previously mentioned. This Resolution was appealed on February 17, 2012 by filing a motion for reconsideration with the Secretariat of Energy.

Additionally, on October 4, 2010, the Official Gazette published ENARGAS Resolution No. 1410/2010 that approves the procedure which sets new rules for natural gas dispatch applicable to all participants in the natural gas industry, imposing new and more severe restrictions to the producers' availability of natural gas (Procedimiento para Solicitudes, Confirmaciones y Control de Gas), as follows. By virtue of these procedures, distributors remain able to request all the natural

[Back to Contents](#)

gas necessary to cover the Priority Demand even in the case of natural gas volumes that exceed those that the Secretariat of Energy would have allocated by virtue of the Agreement ratified by the Resolution No. 599/07. Producers are obligated to confirm all the natural gas requested by distributors to supply the Priority Demand. The producers' shares in such volumes follow the allocation criterion established by the Agreement 2007-2011. It is not possible to predict the estimated demand of the Argentine market that must be satisfied by the producers, whether or not the producer signed the Agreement 2007-2011. Once the Priority Demand has been supplied, the volumes requested by the rest of the segments must be confirmed, leaving the exports last in order of priority. In case the programmings do not yield sustainable results, with respect to the objective of maintaining the equilibrium and preserving the operation of the transportation and distribution systems, the necessary reprogrammings and redirections will take place. In case the producer's confirmations are of a lower volume than requested, the transporters will be in charge of making confirmations adequate by redirecting natural gas until the volume required by distributors according to Priority Demand is completed. This greater volume will have to be withdrawn from the confirmations made by that producer to other clients. If the producer would not have confirmed natural gas to other clients from the same basin, the lacking volume will be requested to the rest of the natural gas producers. Therefore, this procedure imposes a supply obligation that is jointly liable for all producers in case any producer supplies natural gas in a deficient way. YPF has challenged the validity of Resolution No. 1410/2010.

On November 8, 2011, ENARGAS published Resolution No. 1982, which supplements Decree No. 2067 of November 27, 2008, which had created a fiduciary fund to finance natural gas and other imports necessary to complement the natural gas injection required to satisfy the internal demand. This Resolution adjusts the tariff charges established by Executive Decree No. 2067/08 and extends the type of users reached by the tariff adjustment, including users in the residential segment and gas processing and electric generation companies, among others, which has impacted on the operations of the Company and, very significantly in some companies under joint control, all of which have appealed against such resolution. In particular, the impact that the application of the tariff charge mentioned has on the operations of Mega is so significant that, if the situation is not judicially solved in favor of Mega, it could have serious difficulties in the future to continue its activity. These Financial Statements do not include any adjustments related to the recoverability of the assets of Mega which could be accrued on the assumption that it would cease its activity. This measure applies to consumptions that were made since December 1, 2011. On November 24, 2011, ENARGAS issued Resolution No. 1991/2011, which extends the type of users that will be required to pay tariff charges.

Liquid hydrocarbons regulatory requirements: Resolution No. 1,679/04 of the Secretariat of Energy reinstated the registry of diesel and crude oil export transactions created by Executive Decree No. 645/02, and mandated that producers, sellers, refining companies and any other market agent that wishes to export diesel or crude oil to register such transaction and to demonstrate that domestic demand has been satisfied and that they have offered the product to be exported to the domestic market. In addition, Resolution No. 1,338/06 of the Secretariat of Energy added other petroleum products to the registration regime created by Executive Decree No. 645/02, including gasoline, fuel oil and its derivatives, diesel, aviation fuel, asphalts, certain petrochemicals, certain lubricants, coke and petrochemical derivatives. Resolution No. 715/07 of the Secretariat of Energy empowered the National Refining and Marketing Director to determine the amounts of diesel to be imported by each company, in specific periods of the year, to compensate exports of products included under the regime of Resolution No. 1,679/04; the fulfillment of this obligation to import diesel is necessary to obtain authorization to export the products included under Decree No. 645/02. In addition, certain regulations establish that exports are subordinated to the supply of the domestic market. In this way, Resolution No. 25/06 of the Secretariat of Domestic Commerce, issued on October 11, 2006, imposes on each Argentine refining and/or retail company the obligation to supply all reasonable

[Back to Contents](#)

diesel fuel demand, by supplying certain minimum volumes (which at least should be volumes supplied the year before plus the positive correlation between diesel demand and GDP accumulated from the month reference). The mentioned commercialization should be done without altering or affecting the normal operation of the diesel market.

Additionally, Rule 168/04 requires companies intending to export LPG to first obtain an authorization from the Secretariat of Energy, by demonstrating that local demand was satisfied or that an offer to sell LPG to local demand has been made and rejected.

In January 2008, the Secretariat of Domestic Commerce issued Resolution No.14/2008, whereby the refining companies were instructed to optimize their production in order to obtain maximum volumes according to their capacity.

On January 26, 2012, the Secretariat of Domestic Commerce issued Resolution No. 6/2012 whereby (i) YPF and other four oil companies were required to sell diesel oil to public bus transportation companies at a price not higher than the retail price charged on its service station located, in general terms, nearest to the place of delivery of diesel fuel to each such transportation company, while maintaining both historic volumes and delivery conditions; and (ii) it created a price monitoring scheme of both the retail and the bulk markets to be implemented by the CNDC. YPF has appealed that resolution. On February 16, 2012, YPF filed with the CNDC an appeal against Resolution No. 6/2012, for submission to the Civil and Commercial Federal Court of Appeals of Buenos Aires city. Meanwhile, on March 2, 2012, YPF has challenged this Resolution and requested a preliminary injunction against its validity.

Other regulatory requirements: During 2005, the Secretariat of Energy by means of Resolution No. 785/2005 modified by Resolution No. 266/2008 of the Ministry of Federal Planning, Public Investment and Services, created the National Program of Hydrocarbons and its derivatives Warehousing Aerial Tank Loss Control, measure aimed at reducing and correcting environmental pollution caused by hydrocarbons and its derivatives warehousing-aerial tanks. The Company has begun to develop and implement a technical and environmental audit plan as required by the resolution.

On March 1, 2012, the province of Chubut, through Decree No. 271, requested YPF to submit, at the end of seven working days, a statement of discharge in relation to the Company's alleged breach of its obligation to make investments in certain concessions (El Trébol-Escalante and Campamento Central- Bella Vista Este- Cañadón Perdido) and a work plan aimed at remedying such alleged breach. In addition, on March 2, 2012, the province of Santa Cruz, through a certified letter, requested YPF to inform the province's Energy Institute of the technical, economic and financial reasons for which YPF had allegedly failed to make the required investments in the following concessions: Barranca Yankowsky, Barranca Baya, Cañadón de la Escondida, Cerro Grande; Cañadón León, Cañadón Seco, Meseta Espinosa, Cañadón Vasco, Cañadón Yatel, Estancia Cholita, Estancia Cholita Norte, Cerro Guadal, Cerro Guadal Norte, Cerro Piedras, Los Sauces, El Guadal, Lomas del Cuy, Aguada Bandera, Los Monos, Cerro Bayo, La Cueva, Las Mesetas and Koluel Kaike. YPF will respond to the requests made by the provinces of Chubut and Santa Cruz in due course.

Refining and Petroleum Plus Programs. Decree No. 2014/2008 of the Department of Federal Planning, Public Investment and Services of November 25, 2008, created the Refining Plus and the Petroleum Plus programs to encourage (a) the production of diesel fuel and gasoline and (b) the production of crude oil and the increase of reserves through new investments in exploration and production. The programs entitle refining companies that undertake the construction of a new refinery or the expansion of their refining and/or conversion capacity and production companies that increase their production and reserves within the scope of the program to receive export duty credits to be applied to exports withholdings. In order to be eligible for the benefits of both programs, companies' plans must be approved by the Argentine Secretariat of Energy.

[Back to Contents](#)

During February 2012, by Note No. 707/2012, supplemented by Note No. 800/2012, both issued by the Secretariat of Energy, YPF was notified that the benefits granted under the Refining and Petroleum Plus programs are temporarily suspended. The effects of the suspension also apply to benefits accrued and not yet redeemed by YPF at the time of the issuance of the Notes.

The reasons alleged for such suspension are that the Refining and Petroleum Plus programs were created in a context where domestic prices were lower than currently prevailing prices and that the objectives of those programs have already been achieved. Under this notification the Company has written-off the balances corresponding to these programs outstanding as of December 31, 2011, which had a net impact (loss) in Net income for the current year of approximately 273, after the corresponding tax effect. YPF is evaluating to challenge this suspension.

Repatriation of foreign exchange: During October, 2011, Decree No. 1722/2011 was published and became effective as from such date. The mentioned decree provides that total export collections from operations by producers of crude oil or its derivatives, natural gas and liquefied gas, and companies which aim to develop mining projects, must be liquidated in the single and free-exchange market in accordance with the provisions of Article 1 of Decree No. 2581 of April 10, 1964. Consequently, and taking into account the business of the Company, the free-availability of 70% of hydrocarbon export collections, as established by Decree No. 1589/89, has no more effect.

Operating leases: As of December 31, 2011, the main lease contracts correspond to the rental of oil and gas production and drilling equipment, ships, natural gas compression equipment and real estate for service stations. Charge recognized under these contracts for the year ended December 31, 2011, amounted to 1,710 and has been recognized in Rental of real estate and equipment and Operation services and other service contracts .

As of December 31, 2011, estimated future payments related to these contracts are as follows:

	<u>Within 1 year</u>	<u>From 1 to 2 years</u>	<u>From 2 to 3 years</u>	<u>From 3 to 4 years</u>	<u>From 4 to 5 years</u>	<u>More than 5 years</u>
Estimated future payments	1,148	420	181	91	53	104

Agreements of extension of concessions in the Province of Neuquen: On December 28, 2000, through Decree No. 1,252/2000, the Argentine Federal Executive Branch (the Federal Executive) extended for an additional term of 10 years (until November 2027) the concession for the exploitation of Loma La Lata Sierra Barrosa area granted to YPF. The extension was granted under the terms and conditions of the Extension Agreement executed between the Argentine Government, the Province of Neuquén and YPF on December 5, 2000. Under this agreement, YPF paid US\$ 300 million to the Argentine Government for the extension of the concession mentioned above, which were recorded in Fixed Assets on the balance sheet and committed, among other things, to define a disbursement and investment program of US\$ 8,000 million in the Province of Neuquén from 2000 to 2017 and to pay to the Province of Neuquén 5% of the net cash flows arising out of the concession during each year of the extension term. The previously mentioned commitments have been affected by the changes in economic rules established by Public Emergency and Exchange System Reform Law No. 25,561.

[Back to Contents](#)

Additionally, in 2008 and 2009, the Company entered into a series of agreements with the Province of Neuquén, to extend for ten additional years the term of the production concessions on several areas located in that province, which, as result of the above mentioned agreement, will expire between 2026 and 2027. As a condition for the extension of these concessions the Company undertook the following commitments upon the execution of the agreements: i) to make to the Province total initial payments of US\$ 204 million; ii) to pay in cash to the Province an Extraordinary Production Royalty of 3% of the production of the areas involved. In addition, the parties agreed to make adjustments of up to an additional 3% in the event of an extraordinary income according to the mechanisms and reference values established in each signed agreement; iii) to carry out exploration activities in the remaining exploration areas and make certain investments and expenditures in the production concessions that are the purpose of the agreements in a total amount of US\$ 3,512 million until the expiring date of the concessions; and iv) to make Corporate Social Responsibility contributions to the Province of Neuquén in a total amount of US\$ 23 million.

Extension of exploitation concessions in the province of Mendoza: In April 2011, YPF entered into a Memorandum of Agreement with the province of Mendoza to extend the term of the exploitation concessions identified below, and the transportation concessions located within the province, which would become effective upon its approval, through the issuance of the corresponding executive decree, in a maximum term of 90 days. Such decree was published in July 2011.

The Memorandum of Agreement between YPF and the province of Mendoza provides the following:

Concessions involved: el Portón, Barrancas, Cerro Fortunoso, el Manzano, La Brea, Llancanelo, Llancanelo R, Puntilla de Huincán, Río Tunuyan, Valle del Río Grande, Vizcacheras, Cañadón Amarillo, Altiplanicie del Payún, Chihuido de la Sierra Negra, Puesto Hernández and La Ventana;

Exploitation and transportation concessions terms are extended for a 10-year term; and

YPF has undertaken: (i) to make initial payments to the province of Mendoza in an aggregate amount of approximately US\$ 135 million, on the date specified in the Memorandum of Agreement; (ii) to pay the province of Mendoza an Extraordinary Production Royalty of 3% of the production of the areas affected by the Memorandum of Agreement. In addition, the parties agreed to make additional adjustments in the event of extraordinary income due to lower export duties or a higher monthly average price of crude oil and/or natural gas according to a mechanism and reference values established in the Memorandum of Agreement; (iii) to carry out exploration activities and make certain investments and expenditures in a total amount of US\$ 4,113 million until the expiration of the extended term, as stipulated in the Memorandum of Agreement; (iv) to donate US\$ 16 million to a Social Infrastructure Investment Fund, payable on the same dates, terms and conditions as the initial payments. Such donations are aimed at satisfying education, health, sports, culture, equipment and other community needs in the province of Mendoza, and; (v) to make payments equal to 0.3% of the annual amount paid as Extraordinary Production Royalty in order to fund the purchase of equipment and finance training activities, logistics and operational expenses in certain government agencies of the province of Mendoza specified in the Memorandum of Agreement.

[Back to Contents](#)

10. RESTRICTIONS ON UNAPPROPRIATED RETAINED EARNINGS

In accordance with the provisions of Law No. 19,550, 5% of net income for each fiscal year has to be appropriated to the legal reserve until such reserve reaches 20% of the Company's capital (subscribed capital plus adjustment to contributions). According to the modifications mentioned in Note 1.b, as of December 31, 2011, the legal reserve is fully integrated amounting 2,007.

Additionally, pursuant to the regulations on the CNV, when the net balance of the Deferred earnings, at the end of a year were negative, there would be a restriction to the distribution of the unappropriated retained earnings for the amount of such negative balance.

Under Law No. 25,063, dividends distributed, either in cash or in kind, in excess of accumulated taxable income as of the end of the year immediately preceding the dividend payment or distribution date, shall be subject to a 35% income tax withholding as a sole and final payment, except for those distributed to shareholders resident in countries benefited from treaties for the avoidance of double taxation, which will be subject to a minor tax rate.

11. ADOPTION OF INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL REPORTING STANDARDS (IFRS)

As mentioned in Note 1.a, in accordance with IFRS 1, *First-Time Adoption of International Financial Reporting Standards*, adopted by General Resolution No. 562/09 of the CNV, the information provided below has been prepared based on the IFRS that are expected to be applicable at December 31, 2012, which is the end of year in which IFRS will be applied for first time. It should be noted that the Shareholders' equity as of January 1, 2011 and December 31, 2011, and the Net income for the year ended December 31, 2011, prepared according to IFRS, resulting from the reconciliations included below, could be modified to the extent that, at December 31, 2012, the applicable standards were different from those that were considered for the preparation of such reconciliations. In addition, and in accordance to IFRS, the date of transition to IFRS is January 1, 2011 (the transition date).

The criteria adopted by YPF in its transition to IFRS in relation to the permitted exceptions established by IFRS 1 are as follows:

- I. Property, plant and equipment and intangible assets according to IFRS have been measured at the transition date in the functional currency defined by the Company according to the following:
 - a) Assets as of the transition date which were acquired or incorporated before March 1, 2003, date on which General Resolution No. 441 of the CNV established the discontinuation of the remeasurement of financial statements in constant pesos (see Note 1.a): the value of these assets valued according to the applicable accounting standards have been adopted as deemed cost as of March 1, 2003 and remeasured into U.S. dollars using the exchange rate in effect on that date;
 - b) Assets as of the transition date which were acquired or incorporated subsequently to March 1, 2003: the value of these assets was remeasured into U.S. dollars using the exchange rate in effect as of the date of acquisition or incorporation of each such asset.
- II. IFRS 9, *Financial Instruments*, has been applied as from the transition date.
- III. The cumulative translation differences generated by investments in foreign company as of the transition date were reclassified to retained earnings. Under the accounting standards outstanding in Argentina before the adoption of IFRS (*Previous Argentine GAAP*), they were recorded under shareholders' equity as deferred earnings.
Set forth below are the reconciliations of Shareholders' equity at the beginning and end of year 2011 and the Net income thereof, prepared in accordance to Technical Resolution N° 29.

[Back to Contents](#)**Reconciliations of Shareholders' equity as of January 1, 2011 and December 31, 2011:**

	As of January 1, 2011	As of December 31, 2011
Shareholders' equity according to previous Argentine GAAP⁽¹⁾	19,040	18,735
a) Effect of application of the functional and reporting currency:		
a.1) Adjustment to fixed assets and Intangible assets	5,040	6,438
a.2) Adjustment to Inventories	137	266
a.3) Other	283	327
b) Income tax effect	(1,812)	(2,346)
Shareholders' equity according to IFRS	22,688	23,420

(1) Corresponds to the outstanding accounting standards applicable to the Company, before IFRS's adoption.

a) Effect of application of the functional and reporting currency:

Under previous Argentine GAAP, considering CNV regulations, the financial statements are measured and presented in pesos (reporting currency) recognizing the effects of variations in the purchasing power of money by applying the method of restatement in constant currency established by Resolution No. 6 and considering the provisions of General Resolution No. 441 of the CNV, which established the suspension of the restatement of financial statements in constant currency as from March 1, 2003. Foreign currency transactions were recorded in pesos at the exchange rate prevailing at the date of each transaction. Exchange differences arising on monetary items in foreign currencies are recognized as financial income (expense) in the year in which they arise.

Under IFRS, companies should determine their functional currency according to the criteria established by International Audit Standard (IAS) 21, 'The Effects of Changes in Foreign Exchange Rates', which may differ from their reporting currency. According to the provisions of that standard, YPF's management has defined the U.S. dollar as the functional currency of YPF. Accordingly, the shareholders' equity as of January 1 and December 31, 2011, prepared under previous Argentine GAAP have been remeasured into U.S. dollars according to the procedure set out in IAS 21 and IFRS 1, with the objective of generating the same accounting information that would have been reported if the accounting records were kept in the functional currency. According to the established procedures, monetary assets and liabilities are remeasured at the relevant closing exchange rates. Non-monetary items, that are measured in terms of historical cost, as well as income and expenses, are remeasured using the exchange rate at the date of the relevant transaction. The results of the remeasurement into U.S. dollars of monetary assets and liabilities in currencies different from U.S. dollar are recognized as income (expense) in the year in which they arise. With respect to investments in companies under control, joint control or significant influence and holdings in other companies in which YPF's management has defined a currency different from the U.S. dollar as its functional currency, the adjustment for the remeasurement of their shareholders' equity in to U.S. dollars is not included in the determination of Net income and is reported in Other comprehensive income for the year.

[Back to Contents](#)

Additionally, according to General Resolution No. 562 of the CNV, the Company must file its financial statements in pesos. Accordingly, the amounts obtained from the process above mentioned, need to be converted into pesos, following the criteria set forth in IAS 21. As a result, assets and liabilities will be translated to the reporting currency, at the closing exchange rate, income and expenses will be translated at the exchange rate at the date of each transaction (or, for practical reasons and when exchange rates do not fluctuate significantly, the average exchange rate for each month) and the exchange differences resulting from this process will be reported in Other Comprehensive Income for the year.

- a.1) According to the methodology mentioned above, the Company has valued its fixed assets and intangible assets, in its functional currency, taking into consideration the exception mentioned in the paragraphs I.a) and I.b) above and has subsequently converted them into pesos. Based on that valuation, the fixed assets and intangible assets of the Company have been increased in the amounts of 5,040 and 6,438 as of January 1 and December 31, 2011, respectively.
- a.2) In addition, the adjustment referred to above in fixed assets has affected the valuation of the inventories. According to the methodology established by the Company for the valuation of inventories, the depreciation of fixed assets is part of their cost. Since such depreciation has been affected by the adjustment referred to above in fixed assets, the Company proceeded to increase the value of its inventories in the amounts of 137 and 266 as of January 1 and December 31, 2011, respectively.
- a.3) Mainly includes the adjustments resulting from the application of the concept of functional currency, as defined by IFRS, to investments valued using the equity method.

b) Income Tax Effect:

Corresponds to the income tax effect of the valuation differences referred to in paragraphs a.1 and a.2.

Under previous Argentine GAAP, when there were timing differences between the accounting value of the assets and liabilities and their tax basis, deferred income tax assets or liabilities will be recognized.

Under IFRS, according to the provisions of IAS 12, *Income Taxes*, a deferred tax asset or liability exists when there are tax deferred earnings to be recovered or settled in future periods related to deductible or taxable temporary differences, which are generated when there is a difference between the carrying amount of an asset or liability in the balance sheet and its tax base. Taxable temporary differences are temporary differences that give rise to taxable amounts in determining taxable profit (tax loss) of future periods when the asset's carrying amount is recovered or the liability is settled, and deductible temporary differences are temporary differences that give rise to amounts that are deductible in determining taxable profit (tax loss) of future periods when the asset's carrying amount is recovered or the liability is settled.

The effect of applying the current tax rate on the difference generated between the tax basis of fixed assets and intangible assets and their book value under IFRS, measured in its functional currency and converted into pesos as described in paragraph a), with respect to the book value under the previous Argentine GAAP, resulted in a decrease in Shareholders' equity of 1,764 and 2,253 as of January 1 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

Similarly, as result of the adjustment in the valuation of inventories, the difference between the book value under IFRS of the related assets and their tax basis generates a decrease in Shareholders' equity of 48 and 93 as of January 1 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

[Back to Contents](#)**Reconciliation of Net income for the year ended December 31, 2011**

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2011
Net income according to previous Argentine GAAP	5,296
a) Exchange differences	1,113
b) Depreciation of fixed assets and amortization of intangible assets	(1,120)
c) Income tax effect	(534)
d) Other	(322)
Net income according to IFRS	4,433
e) Conversion differences	1,864
Comprehensive income according to IFRS	6,297

a) Exchange differences:

Corresponds to the elimination of exchange differences recorded under previous Argentine GAAP originated by monetary assets and liabilities denominated in currencies other than the peso, and the recognition of the exchange differences corresponding to the measurement of monetary assets and liabilities denominated in currencies other than U.S. dollar, as a result of the application of the functional currency concept previously mentioned.

b) Depreciation of fixed assets and amortization of intangible assets:

Corresponds to the difference in depreciations and amortizations charged to expenses in the year, derived from the valuation of fixed assets and intangible assets, respectively, as a result of the application of the concept of functional currency described above.

c) Income tax effect:

Corresponds to the effect of income tax in accordance with the requirements of IAS 12.

d) Other:

Mainly includes the effect, in net income, of the valuation of inventories under IFRS and the adjustments resulting from the application of functional currency, as defined by IFRS, to long term investments valued using the equity method that defined the U.S. dollar as its functional currency.

e) Conversion differences:

Includes the adjustment effect of the conversion process from the Company's functional currency (U.S. dollar) into the Company's reporting currency (peso), and the effect of converting the financial statements of long term investments in companies whose functional currency differs from U.S. dollar, according to the methodology provided by IAS 21. Accordingly, the main effects are generated by:

Translation into U.S. dollars of financial information corresponding to investments in companies where the functional currency differs from the Company's functional currency;

Conversion from U.S. dollars into pesos of the statement of income and Shareholders' equity at the prevailing exchange rate when operations were generated (or, for practical reasons and when exchange rates do not fluctuate significantly, the average exchange rate for each month);

Edgar Filing: YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA - Form 6-K

Conversion from U.S. dollars into pesos of U.S. dollar-denominated assets and liabilities at the exchange rate prevailing at the end of the year.

[Back to Contents](#)

The main items that accounted for the conversion differences referred to above are:

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2011
Fixed assets and intangible assets	2,553
Inventories	367
Monetary Assets	436
Monetary Liabilities	(977)
Conversion of monetary liabilities net in pesos	(572)
Other	57
Total conversion effect	1,864

The transition from previous Argentine GAAP to IFRS has not significantly affected the Company's statements of cash flow.

Summarized below are the main reclassifications that will affect the Company's financial statements as a result of the adoption of IFRS.

a) Effect of the application of the interpretation of the International Financial Reporting Interpretation Committee (IFRIC) 12, Service Concession Arrangements :

The Argentine Hydrocarbons Law permits the executive branch of the Argentine government to award 35-year concessions for the transportation of oil, gas and petroleum products following submission of competitive bids. The term of a transportation concession may be extended for an additional ten-year term. Pursuant to Law No. 26,197, provincial governments have the same powers. Holders of production concessions are entitled to receive a transportation concession for the oil, gas and petroleum products that they produce. The holder of a transportation concession has the right to:

transport oil, gas and petroleum products; and

construct and operate oil, gas and products pipelines, storage facilities, pump stations, compressor plants, roads, railways and other facilities and equipment necessary for the efficient operation of a pipeline system.

The holder of a transportation concession is obligated to transport hydrocarbons for third parties on a non-discriminatory basis for a fee. This obligation, however, applies to producers of oil or gas only to the extent that the concession holder has surplus capacity available and is expressly subordinated to the transportation requirements of the holder of the concession. Transportation tariffs are subject to approval by the Argentine Secretariat of Energy for oil and petroleum pipelines and by the National Gas Regulatory Authority (Ente Nacional Regulador del Gas or ENARGAS) for gas pipelines. Upon expiration of a transportation concession, the pipelines and related facilities automatically revert to the Argentine State without payment to the holder.

[Back to Contents](#)

The Privatization Law granted YPF a 35-year transportation concession with respect to the pipelines operated by Yacimientos Petrolíferos Fiscales S.A. at the time. The main pipelines related to such transport concessions are:

La Plata / Dock Sud

Puerto Rosales / La Plata

Monte Cristo / San Lorenzo

Puesto Hernández / Luján de Cuyo

Luján de Cuyo / Villa Mercedes

Management considers that the assets referred to above meet the criteria set forth by IFRIC 12, and should be therefore recognized as intangible assets. However, according to previous Argentine GAAP, these assets are considered as fixed assets.

The net book value of the pipelines and related facilities falling under the scope of IFRIC 12 amounted to 764 and 807 as of January 1 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

b) Effects of the application of IFRS 6

According to the provisions of IFRS 6, exploration assets corresponding to mineral interests must be disclosed in the financial statements as intangible assets, whereas they are considered fixed assets under previous Argentine GAAP.

Net book value of exploration assets corresponding to mineral interests falling under the scope of IFRIC 6 amounted to 78 and 181 as of January 1 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

[Back to Contents](#)

12. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

As of the date of the issuance of these financial statements, there are no significant subsequent events that require adjustments or disclosure in the financial statements of the Company as of December 31, 2011, if applicable, which were not already considered in those financial statements according to the generally accepted accounting principles in Argentina.

13. DIFFERENCES BETWEEN ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES FOLLOWED BY THE COMPANY AND GENERALLY ACCEPTED ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES

These financial statements are presented on the basis of generally accepted accounting principles in Argentina, but do not conform to certain generally accepted accounting principles in other places. The effects of the differences between generally accepted accounting principles in Argentina and generally accepted accounting principles in other places in which these financial statements may be used have not been quantified. Accordingly, these financial statements are not intended to present the information on the Company's financial position, and the related results of its operations and cash flows in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in places other than in Argentina.

ANTONIO GOMIS SÁEZ

Director

[Back to Contents](#)**Exhibit A**

English translation of the financial statements originally filed in Spanish with the Argentine Securities Commission (CNV), except for the inclusion of Note 13 to the primary financial statements in the English translation.

In case of discrepancy, the financial statements filed with the CNV prevail over this translation.

YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA**BALANCE SHEET AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2011 AND COMPARATIVE INFORMATION****FIXED ASSETS EVOLUTION**

(amounts expressed in million of Argentine pesos Note 1.a)

Main account	2011			
	Cost			
	Amounts at beginning of year	Increases	Net decreases, reclassifications and transfers	Amounts at end of year
Land and buildings	2,979	17	(13)	2,983
Mineral property, wells and related equipment	65,526	1,349	6,288	73,163
Refinery equipment and petrochemical plants	9,931	24	483	10,438
Transportation equipment	1,887		106	1,993
Materials and equipment in warehouse	1,305	2,150	(1,450)	2,005
Drilling and work in progress	5,485	9,498	(5,660)	9,323
Exploratory drilling in progress ⁽⁵⁾	238	1,020	(898)	360
Furniture, fixtures and installations	835	4	72	911
Selling equipment	1,532	1	179	1,712
Other property	946	16	21	983
Total 2011	90,664	14,079₍₃₎₍₆₎	(872)₍₁₎	103,871
Total 2010	81,984	9,277₍₃₎	(597)₍₁₎	90,664
Total 2009	77,431	5,211₍₃₎₍₆₎	(658)₍₁₎	81,984

Main account	2011					2010	2009	
	Depreciation							
	Accumulated at beginning of year	Net decreases, reclassifications and transfers	Depreciation rate	Increases	Accumulated at end of year	Net book value	Net book value	
Land and buildings	1,057	(8)	2%	68	1,117	1,866	1,922	1,824
Mineral property, wells and related equipment	48,898	2	(2)	4,420	53,320	19,843 ₍₄₎	16,628 ₍₄₎	15,862 ₍₄₎
Refinery equipment and petrochemical plants	6,938		4 5%	501	7,439	2,999	2,993	2,902
Transportation equipment	1,429		4 5%	65	1,494	499	458	497

Edgar Filing: YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA - Form 6-K

Materials and equipment in warehouse					2,005	1,305	798
Drilling and work in progress					9,323	5,485	3,570
Exploratory drilling in progress(5)					360	238	119
Furniture, fixtures and installations	665		10%	87	752	159	204
Selling equipment	1,237	6	10%	66	1,309	403	308
Other property	317	(5)	10%	30	342	641	271
	<u>60,541</u>	<u>(5)⁽¹⁾</u>		<u>5,237</u>	<u>65,773</u>	<u>38,098</u>	
Total 2011							
	<u>55,629</u>	<u>(31)⁽¹⁾</u>		<u>4,943</u>	<u>60,541</u>		<u>30,123</u>
Total 2010							
	<u>51,263</u>	<u>(27)⁽¹⁾</u>		<u>4,393</u>	<u>55,629</u>		<u>26,355</u>
Total 2009							

- (1) Includes 13, 10 and 6 of net book value charged to fixed assets allowances for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.
- (2) Depreciation has been calculated according to the unit of production method (Note 2.e).
- (3) Includes 695, 874 and 176 corresponding to hydrocarbon wells abandonment costs for the year ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.
- (4) Includes 1,495, 1,000 and 1,117 of mineral property as of December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.
- (5) At the end of the year ended December 31, 2011, there are 28 exploratory wells in progress. During the year, 37 wells were drilled, 8 wells were charged to exploratory expenses and 18 wells were transferred to proved properties which are included in the mineral property, wells and related equipment account.
- (6) Includes 654 and 106 for the extension of certain exploitation concessions in the Provinces of Mendoza and Neuquén (Note 9.c) and other not significant acquisitions for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2009, respectively.

ANTONIO GOMIS SÁEZ

Director

Edgar Filing: YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA - Form 6-K

[Back to Contents](#)

Exhibit C

English translation of the financial statements originally filed in Spanish with the Argentine Securities Commission (CNV), except for the inclusion of Note 13 to the primary financial statements in the English translation.

In case of discrepancy, the financial statements filed with the CNV prevail over this translation.

YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA

BALANCE SHEET AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2011 AND COMPARATIVE INFORMATION

INVESTMENTS IN SHARES AND HOLDINGS IN OTHER COMPANIES

(amounts expressed in million of Argentine pesos, except where otherwise indicated Note 1.a)

														2011	2010	2009		
														Information of the Issuer				
Description of the Securities					Last Financial Statements Available													
Name and Issuer	Class	Face Value	Amount	Book Value	Cost ⁽²⁾	Main Business	Registered Address	Date	Capital Stock	Income (Loss)	Equity	Holding in Capital Stock	Book Value	Book Value				
Controlled companies:																		
YPF International S.A. ⁽⁷⁾	Common	Bs.100	2,512,290	334 ⁽³⁾	1,210	Investment	Calle La Plata 19, Santa Cruz de la Sierra, República de Bolivia	12-31-2011	154		333	99.99% ⁽¹⁰⁾	268 ⁽³⁾	246 ⁽³⁾				
YPF Holdings Inc. ⁽⁸⁾	Common	US\$0.01	810,614	⁽⁹⁾	2,658	Investment and finance	1330 Lake Robbins Drive, Suite 300, The Woodlands, Texas, U.S.A.	12-31-2011	3,456	(361)	(482)	100.00%	⁽⁹⁾	15				
Operadora de Estaciones de Servicios S.A.	Common	\$1	163,701,747	330 ⁽³⁾		Commercial management of YPF's gas stations	Macacha Güemes 515, Buenos Aires, Argentina	12-31-2011	164	148	336	99.99% ⁽¹⁰⁾	288 ⁽³⁾	285 ⁽³⁾				
A-Evangelista S.A.	Common	\$1	8,683,698	243 ⁽³⁾		Engineering and construction services	Macacha Güemes 515, Buenos Aires, Argentina	12-31-2011	9	40	243	99.91% ⁽¹⁰⁾	238 ⁽³⁾	199 ⁽³⁾				
YPF Servicios Petroleros S.A.	Common	\$1	47,500	37		Wells perforation and/or repairation services	Macacha Güemes 515, Buenos Aires, Argentina	12-31-2011		⁽¹¹⁾ 30	39	95.00% ⁽¹⁰⁾	9					
Eleran Inversiones 2011 S.A.U. ⁽⁸⁾	Common	10	6,000	47	44	Investment	Hernosella 3, Madrid, España	12-31-2011	50	1	52	100.00%						
				991	3,912								803	745				

Edgar Filing: YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA - Form 6-K

Jointly controlled companies:														
Compañía Mega S.A. ⁽⁶⁾	Common	\$1	77,292,000	284	Separation, fractionation and transportation of natural gas liquids	San Martín 344, P. 10°, Buenos Aires, Argentina	09-30-2011	203	180	627	38.00%	298	295	
Profertil S.A.	Common	\$1	391,291,320	541 ⁽³⁾	Production and marketing of fertilizers	Alicia Moreau de Justo 740, P. 3°, Buenos Aires, Argentina	12-31-2011	783	807	1,201	50.00%	461 ⁽³⁾	436 ⁽³⁾	
Refinería del Norte S.A.	Common	\$1	45,803,655	260 ⁽³⁾	Refining	Maipú 1, P. 2°, Buenos Aires, Argentina	09-30-2011	92	187	586	50.00%	249 ⁽³⁾	282 ⁽³⁾	
				1,085									1,008	1,013

Companies under significant influence:													
Oleoductos del Valle S.A.	Common	\$10	4,072,749	77 ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	Oil transportation by pipeline	Florida 1, P. 10°, Buenos Aires, Argentina	12-31-2011	110	(28)	254	37.00%	83 ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	84 ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾
Terminales Marítimas Patagónicas S.A.	Common	\$10	476,034	48 ⁽³⁾	Oil storage and shipment	Av. Leandro N. Alem 1180, P.11°, Buenos Aires, Argentina	09-30-2011	14	(1)	148	33.15%	47 ⁽³⁾	45 ⁽³⁾
Oiltanking Ebytem S.A.	Common	\$10	351,167	28	Hydrocarbon transportation and storage	Terminal Marítima Puerto Rosales Provincia de Buenos Aires, Argentina	12-31-2011	12	28	116	30.00%	32	34
Gasoducto del Pacífico (Argentina) S.A.	Preferred	\$1	15,579,578	4 ⁽³⁾	Gas transportation by pipeline	San Martín 323, P. 13°, Buenos Aires, Argentina	12-31-2010	156	(6)	86	10.00%	4 ⁽³⁾	8 ⁽³⁾
Central Dock Sud S.A.	Common	\$0.01	2,822,342,992	4 ⁽³⁾	Electric power generation and bulk marketing	Pasaje Ingeniero Butty 220, P. 16°, Buenos Aires, Argentina	09-30-2011	356	70	226	9.98% ⁽⁵⁾	(9)	(9)
Inversora Dock Sud S.A.	Common	\$1	103,501,823	107 ⁽³⁾	Investment and finance	Pasaje Ingeniero Butty 220, P. 16°, Buenos Aires, Argentina	09-30-2011	241	57	254	42.86%	89 ⁽³⁾	82 ⁽³⁾
Pluspetrol Energy S.A.	Common	\$1	30,006,540	317 ⁽³⁾	Exploration and exploitation of hydrocarbons	Lima 339, Buenos Aires, Argentina	09-30-2011	67	23	568	45.00%	281 ⁽³⁾	240 ⁽³⁾

Edgar Filing: YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA - Form 6-K

						and electric power generation, production and marketing								
							Macacha Güemes 515, P. 3º, Buenos Aires, Argentina	09-30-2011	76	(3)	36	36.00%	14(3)	16(3)
Oleoducto Trasandino (Argentina) S.A.	Preferred	\$1	27,018,720	13(3)		Oil transportation by pipeline								
Other companies:														
Others ⁽⁴⁾				42	106								30	26
				<u>640</u>	<u>347</u>								<u>580</u>	<u>535</u>
				<u>2,716</u>	<u>4,259</u>								<u>2,391</u>	<u>2,293</u>

- (1) Holding in shareholders' equity, net of intercompany profits.
- (2) Cost net of cash dividends and stock redemption from long-term investments restated in accordance with Note 1.a.
- (3) Holding in shareholders' equity plus adjustments to conform to YPF accounting methods.
- (4) Includes YPF Inversora Energética S.A., A-Evangelista Construções e Serviços Ltda., Gasoducto del Pacífico (Cayman) Ltd., A&C Pipeline Holding Company, Poligás Luján S.A.C.I., Oleoducto Trasandino (Chile) S.A., YPF Services USA Corp., Bizoy S.A., Civeny S.A., Bioceres S.A., Energía Andina S.A., Compañía Minera Argentina S.A., YPF Perú S.A.C and YPF Brasil Comercio de Derivados de Petróleo Ltda.
- (5) Additionally, the Company has a 29.93% indirect holding in capital stock through Inversora Dock Sud S.A.
- (6) As stipulated by shareholders' agreement, joint control is held in this company by shareholders.
- (7) Company defined as integrated as indicated in Note 2.d).
- (8) Company defined as non-integrated as indicated in Note 2.d).
- (9) Holding in negative shareholders' equity was disclosed in "Accounts payable" after adjustments in shareholders' equity to conform to YPF accounting methods.
- (10) Direct and indirect holding of YPF in these companies amounts to 100%.
- (11) No value is exposed as the carrying value is less than 1.

ANTONIO GOMIS SÁEZ
Director

[Back to Contents](#)**Exhibit E**

English translation of the financial statements originally filed in Spanish with the Argentine Securities Commission (CNV), except for the inclusion of Note 13 to the primary financial statements in the English translation.

In case of discrepancy, the financial statements filed with the CNV prevail over this translation.

YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA**BALANCE SHEET AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2011 AND COMPARATIVE INFORMATION****ALLOWANCES AND ACCRUALS FOR CONTINGENCIES**

(amounts expressed in million of Argentine pesos - Note 1.a)

Account	2011			2010	2009	
	Amounts at beginning of year	Increases	Decreases	Amounts at end of year	Amounts at end of year	
Deducted from current assets:						
For doubtful trade receivables	421	64	71	414	421	407
For other doubtful accounts	88			88	88	88
	<u>509</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>502</u>	<u>509</u>	<u>495</u>
Deducted from noncurrent assets:						
For valuation of other receivables to their estimated realizable value	15		7	8	15	16
For reduction in value of holdings in long-term investments	13	2		15	13	26
For unproductive exploratory drilling	3		3		3	3
For obsolescence of materials and equipment	99	21	13	107	99	37
	<u>130</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>130</u>	<u>130</u>	<u>82</u>
Total deducted from assets, 2011	<u>639</u>	<u>87</u>	<u>94</u>	<u>632</u>		
Total deducted from assets, 2010	<u>577</u>	<u>176</u>	<u>114</u>		<u>639</u>	
Total deducted from assets, 2009	<u>635</u>	<u>157</u>	<u>215</u>			<u>577</u>
Reserves for losses - current:						
For pending lawsuits and various specific contingencies (Note 9.a)	81	20		101	81	128
	<u>81</u>	<u>20</u>		<u>101</u>	<u>81</u>	<u>128</u>
Reserves for losses - noncurrent:						
For pending lawsuits and various specific contingencies (Note 9.a)	2,114	557	563	2,108	2,114	1,608
	<u>2,114</u>	<u>557</u>	<u>563</u>	<u>2,108</u>	<u>2,114</u>	<u>1,608</u>

Edgar Filing: YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA - Form 6-K

Total included in liabilities, 2011	2,195	577	563	2,209	
Total included in liabilities, 2010	1,736	1,157	698		2,195
Total included in liabilities, 2009	1,795	910	969		1,736

ANTONIO GOMIS SÁEZ
Director

[Back to Contents](#)**Exhibit F**

English translation of the financial statements originally filed in Spanish with the Argentine Securities Commission (CNV), except for the inclusion of Note 13 to the primary financial statements in the English translation.

In case of discrepancy, the financial statements filed with the CNV prevail over this translation.

YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA**STATEMENT OF INCOME FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011 AND COMPARATIVE INFORMATION****COST OF SALES**

(amounts expressed in million of Argentine pesos - Note 1.a)

	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
Inventories at beginning of year	3,462	2,818	3,095
Purchases for the year	16,445	8,660	5,394
Production costs (Exhibit H)	22,806	18,728	15,576
Holding gains (losses) on inventories	1,016	635	(42)
Inventories at end of year	(5,326)	(3,462)	(2,818)
	<u>38,403</u>	<u>27,379</u>	<u>21,205</u>
Cost of sales	38,403	27,379	21,205

ANTONIO GOMIS SÁEZ
Director

[Back to Contents](#)**Exhibit G**

English translation of the financial statements originally filed in Spanish with the Argentine Securities Commission (CNV except for the inclusion of Note 13 to the primary financial statements in the English translation.

In case of discrepancy, the financial statements filed with the CNV prevail over this translation.

YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA**BALANCE SHEET AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2011 AND COMPARATIVE INFORMATION****FOREIGN CURRENCY ASSETS AND LIABILITIES**

(amounts expressed in million)

Account	Foreign currency and amount			Exchange rate in pesos as of 12-31-11	Book value as of 12-31-11
	2009	2010	2011		
Current Assets					
Cash	US\$56	US\$43	US\$72	4.26 ₍₁₎	307
Investments	US\$115	US\$409	US\$51	4.26 ₍₁₎	218
Trade receivables	US\$452	US\$517	US\$470	4.26 ₍₁₎	2,002
	1	1			
Other receivables	US\$405	US\$401	US\$173	4.26 ₍₁₎	737
	3	2	1	5.53 ₍₁₎	6
Total current assets					3,270
Noncurrent Assets					
Other receivables	US\$7	US\$225	US\$15	4.26 ₍₁₎	64
Total noncurrent assets					64
Total assets					3,334
Current Liabilities					
Accounts payable	US\$738	US\$1,004	US\$1,312	4.30 ₍₂₎	5,642
	27	48	48	5.59 ₍₂₎	268
Loans	US\$840	US\$1,155	US\$1,300	4.30 ₍₂₎	5,590
Reserves	US\$11	US\$3	US\$3	4.30 ₍₂₎	13
Total current liabilities					11,513
Noncurrent Liabilities					
Accounts payable	US\$558	US\$705	US\$847	4.30 ₍₂₎	3,642
Loans	US\$484	US\$369	US\$1,038	4.30 ₍₂₎	4,463
Reserves	US\$255	US\$314	US\$256	4.30 ₍₂₎	1,101
Total noncurrent liabilities					9,206
Total liabilities					20,719

-
- (1) Buying exchange rate.
 - (2) Selling exchange rate.

ANTONIO
GOMIS SÁEZ
Director

[Back to Contents](#)**Exhibit H**

English translation of the financial statements originally filed in Spanish with the Argentine Securities Commission (CNV), except for the inclusion of Note 13 to the primary financial statements in the English translation.

In case of discrepancy, the financial statements filed with the CNV prevail over this translation.

YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA**STATEMENT OF INCOME FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011 AND COMPARATIVE INFORMATION****EXPENSES INCURRED**

(amounts expressed in million of Argentine pesos - Note 1.a)

	2011					2010	2009
	Production costs	Administrative expenses	Selling expenses	Exploration expenses	Total	Total	Total
Salaries and social security taxes	1,342	589	333	63	2,327	1,761	1,327
Fees and compensation for services	202	478 ⁽¹⁾	72	5	757	645	514
Other personnel expenses	537	103	33	12	685	514	406
Taxes, charges and contributions	411	22	517		950	819	679
Royalties and easements	3,517		10	18	3,545	2,988	2,544
Insurance	139	6	14		159	137	158
Rental of real estate and equipment	888	2	102		992	552	506
Survey expenses				33	33	57	42
Depreciation of fixed assets	4,995	112	130		5,237	4,943	4,393
Industrial inputs, consumable materials and supplies	984	8	82	4	1,078	875	683
Operation services and other service contracts	3,343	57	181		3,581	2,512	2,085
Preservation, repair and maintenance	3,872	50	97	16	4,035	2,945	2,232
Contractual commitments	88				88	411	139
Unproductive exploratory drillings				263	263	100	338
Transportation, products and charges	1,152		1,558		2,710	2,321	1,980
(Credit) charge for allowance for doubtful trade receivables			(12)		(12)	21	(19)
Publicity and advertising expenses		109	108		217	149	139
Fuel, gas, energy and miscellaneous	1,336	83	178	49	1,646	1,318	1,248
Total 2011	22,806	1,619	3,403	463	28,291		
Total 2010	18,728	1,259	2,799	282		23,068	
Total 2009	15,576	966	2,338	514			19,394

(1) Includes 18 of Directors and Statutory Auditor's fees.

ANTONIO
GOMIS SÁEZ
Director

[Back to Contents](#)

English translation of the report originally issued in Spanish, except for the omission of certain disclosures related to formal legal requirements for reporting in Argentina and the addition of the last paragraph. See Note 13 to the primary financial statements.

Statutory Audit Committee's Report

To the Shareholders of

YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA

Macacha Güemes 515
Buenos Aires City

Dear Sirs,

In accordance with clause 5, article 294 of Law No. 19,550, the requirements of the Buenos Aires Stock Exchange and current professional requirements, we have performed the work mentioned in the following paragraph on the balance sheet of YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA as of December 31, 2011 and the related statements of income, changes in shareholders' equity and cash flows for the year then ended and the consolidated balance sheet of YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA and its controlled and jointly controlled companies as of December 31, 2011 and the related consolidated statements of income and cash flows for the year then ended, disclosed as supplemental information in Schedule I. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's Board of Directors within the scope of its exclusive functions. Our responsibility is to report on these documents based on the work mentioned in the following paragraph.

Our work on the accompanying financial statements consisted in assessing the consistency of significant information contained in those statements with the corporate decisions set forth in minutes, and the conformity of those decisions with the law and the Company's bylaws, insofar as formal and documentary aspects are concerned. In conducting our work, we have principally considered the Independent Auditors Report issued by the firm Deloitte & Co. S.R.L. dated March 8, 2012, in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards in Argentina for the annual audit of financial statements. We have not performed any management control and, accordingly, we have not assessed the criteria and business decisions in matters of administration, financing, sales and production, because these issues are the responsibility of the Company's Board of Directors. We consider that our work and the above mentioned external auditor's report provide a reasonable basis for our report.

As indicated in Note 11 to the accompanying financial statements, items and amounts contained in the reconciliations included in that note are subject to the changes that might occur as a result of modifications introduced to the International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) that will be finally applied, and will be considered definitive only when preparing the financial statements for the year ending on December 31, 2012, which is the year when IFRS will be applied for first time.

In our opinion, based on our work, the financial statements of YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA as of December 31, 2011 referred to in the first paragraph present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA and the consolidated financial position of YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA and its controlled and jointly controlled companies as of December 31, 2011, and related results of operations and cash flows for the year then ended, in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in Argentina.

In compliance with current legal requirements, and in exercise of the control of lawfulness which is our duty, we also report that during the year we have applied the procedures described in article No. 294 of Law No. 19,550 as we considered necessary in the circumstances, and we have no comments to make in this regard.

Certain accounting practices of YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA used in preparing the accompanying financial statements conform to generally accepted accounting principles in Argentina, but do not conform to generally accepted accounting principles in the countries of users of the financial statements, other than Argentina (see Note 13 to the accompanying financial statements).

Buenos Aires City, Argentina

March 8, 2012

For Statutory Audit Committee

Juan A. Gelly y Obes
Statutory Auditor

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

YPF Sociedad Anónima

Date: March 16, 2012

By:	/s/ Guillermo Reda
Name:	Guillermo Reda
Title:	Chief Financial Officer