

EL PASO ELECTRIC CO /TX/
Form DEF 14A
April 18, 2014

SCHEDULE 14A

(Rule 14a-101)

INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the
Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant ☒ [X]

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant ☐ []

Check the appropriate box:

- | | | | |
|---|---|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| ☐ [] | Preliminary Proxy Statement | ☐ [] | Soliciting Material Under Rule 14a-12 |
| ☐ [] | Confidential, For Use of the
Commission Only (as permitted
by Rule 14a-6(e)(2)) | | |
| ☒ [X] | Definitive Proxy Statement | | |
| ☐ [] | Definitive Additional Materials | | |

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if Other Than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

☒ [X] No fee required.

☐ [] Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(4) and 0-11.

1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

5) Total fee paid:

☐ [] Fee paid previously with preliminary materials:

☐ [] Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the form or schedule and the date of its filing.

1) Amount previously paid:

2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

3) Filing Party:

4) Date Filed:

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY

100 N. Stanton Street
El Paso, Texas 79901
(915) 543-5711

April 18, 2014

Dear Shareholder:

The Annual Meeting of Shareholders of El Paso Electric Company will be held at the Stanton Tower Building, located at 100 N. Stanton Street, El Paso, Texas 79901, on May 29, 2014 at 10:00 a.m., Mountain Daylight Time.

The purpose of the Annual Meeting is to give shareholders an opportunity (i) to vote on the election of Class II Directors; (ii) to consider and act upon the recommendation of the Board of Directors (the "Board") to approve El Paso Electric Company's Amended and Restated 2007 Long-Term Incentive Plan; (iii) to consider and act upon the recommendation of the Board to ratify the selection of KPMG LLP as El Paso Electric Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2014; and (iv) to approve, by a non-binding advisory vote, the Company's executive compensation, commonly referred to as a "say on pay" vote.

Information concerning these matters is set forth in the accompanying Notice of the Meeting and Proxy Statement. Your Board recommends that you vote "FOR" proposals one, two, three and four, as explained in the enclosed Proxy Statement.

Your vote is important. To ensure your representation, even if you cannot attend the Annual Meeting, please mark, sign, date and return the enclosed proxy card promptly.

Sincerely,
Thomas V. Shockley, III
Chief Executive Officer

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY

100 N. Stanton Street
El Paso, Texas 79901

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

To the Shareholders of El Paso Electric Company:

The Annual Meeting of Shareholders of El Paso Electric Company will be held at the Stanton Tower Building, located at 100 N. Stanton Street, El Paso, Texas 79901, on May 29, 2014, at 10:00 a.m., Mountain Daylight Time, for the following purposes:

- (1) To give shareholders an opportunity to vote on the election of Class II Directors;
- (2) To consider and act upon the recommendation of the Board to approve El Paso Electric Company's Amended and Restated 2007 Long-Term Incentive Plan;
- (3) To consider and act upon the recommendation of the Board to ratify the selection of KPMG LLP as El Paso Electric Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2014;
- (4) To approve, by a non-binding advisory vote, the Company's executive compensation, commonly referred to as a "say on pay" vote; and
- (5) To transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting and any adjournment thereof.

The Board knows of no matter, other than those set forth in the paragraphs above (which are discussed at greater length in the accompanying Proxy Statement), that will be presented for consideration at the Annual Meeting.

The Board has fixed the close of business on March 31, 2014, as the record date for the determination of shareholders entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting.

The Board has made these materials available to you on the Internet or, at your direction, has delivered printed versions of these materials to you by mail, in connection with the Board's solicitation of proxies for use at the Annual Meeting. Shareholders are invited to attend the Annual Meeting and requested to vote on the proposals described in this Proxy Statement.

As owners of El Paso Electric Company, your vote is important. Whether or not you are able to attend the Annual Meeting in person, it is important that your shares be represented. Please vote as soon as possible. If you attend the meeting and decide to vote in person, you may revoke your proxy. Shareholders attending the meeting whose shares are registered in the name of a broker and who intend to vote in person should bring an affidavit of ownership from such broker so that beneficial ownership can be verified without delay on the meeting date.

On behalf of the Board, thank you for your participation in this important annual process.

By Order of the Board of Directors,
Jessica Goldman
Corporate Secretary

April 18, 2014

**YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT
PLEASE MARK, SIGN, DATE AND
RETURN YOUR PROXY CARD PROMPTLY OR VOTE BY INTERNET OR TELEPHONE.
THANK YOU.**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PROXY STATEMENT SUMMARY	Page
PROXY STATEMENT	i
SHARES OUTSTANDING, VOTING RIGHTS AND REVOCABILITY OF PROXIES	1
PROPOSAL 1 ELECTION OF CLASS II DIRECTORS	1
	3
	3
NOMINEES AND DIRECTORS OF THE COMPANY	4
	4
	4
	5
	6
CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	7
	7
	7
	7
	8
	9
	9
DIRECTORS MEETINGS, COMPENSATION, AND COMMITTEES	10
	10
	10
	12
	13
PROPOSAL 2 APPROVAL OF EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY S AMENDED AND RESTATED 2007 LONG-TERM INCENTIVE PLAN	14
	14
	14
	15
	16
	17
	17
	17
PROPOSAL 3 INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM	18
	18
	18
	18
	18
	18
PROPOSAL 4 ADVISORY VOTE TO APPROVE THE COMPANY S EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	19

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS	20
Executive Compensation Philosophy and Overview	20
2013 Executive Compensation Highlights	20
Executive Compensation Process	20
Compensation Benchmarking	21
Primary Components of Compensation	22
Other Executive Benefits	26
Stock Ownership Guidelines	27
Impact of Accounting and Tax Treatment of Compensation	27
COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT	27
Summary Compensation Table	28
All Other Compensation Table	29
Grants of Plan-Based Awards	29
Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End	30
Option Exercises and Stock Vested	31
Pension Plan	31
Excess Benefit Plan	32
Pension Benefits Table	33
Change of Control Agreements and Other Termination Benefits	34
CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS	36
Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation	36
SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT	37
AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT	40
SECTION 16(A) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE	41
SHAREHOLDERS PROPOSALS AND NOMINATIONS	41
OTHER BUSINESS	41
ANNUAL REPORT	41
SARBANES-OXLEY SECTION 302 CERTIFICATION	42
DELIVERY OF PROXY STATEMENT	42
EXHIBIT A EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AMENDED AND RESTATED 2007 LONG-TERM INCENTIVE PLAN	A-1

PROXY STATEMENT SUMMARY

This summary highlights information contained elsewhere in this proxy statement. It does not contain all of the information that you should consider, and you should read the entire proxy statement carefully before voting.

ANNUAL MEETING INFORMATION

Thursday, May 29, 2014
10:00 a.m. (Mountain Daylight Time)

Stanton Tower Building
100 N. Stanton Street
El Paso, TX 79901

Record Date: March 31, 2014

**Attending the
Annual Meeting:**

Voting:

- Shareholders as of the record date are entitled to vote.
- Please vote your shares as soon as possible. Your broker will NOT be able to vote your shares with respect to any of the matters presented at the meeting other than the ratification of the selection of our independent registered public accounting firm, unless you give your broker specific voting instructions.
- Registered holders may vote via the Internet, by telephone, in person at the annual meeting, or, if they received a printed copy of these proxy materials, by mail, and beneficial shareholders may vote in accordance with the instructions they receive from the bank, broker or other person who is the holder of record of their shares.
- The meeting starts at 10:00 a.m. (Mountain Daylight Time). You will be required to present either a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials or the admission ticket enclosed with the paper copy of the proxy materials.
- You do not need to attend the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to vote if you submitted your proxy in advance of the meeting.

Even if you plan to attend our annual meeting in person, please cast your vote as soon as possible by:

using the Internet at
www.proxyvote.com

scanning this QR code to vote with
your mobile device

calling toll-free from the
United States, U.S. territories and
Canada to 1-800-690-6903

mailing your signed proxy card

ANNUAL MEETING AGENDA AND VOTING RECOMMENDATIONS

Proposal	Board Voting Recommendation	Page Reference (for more detail)
Management proposals		
Election of four Class II directors	FOR EACH DIRECTOR NOMINEE	3
Approval of El Paso Electric Company's Amended and Restated 2007		
Long-Term Incentive Plan	FOR	14
Ratification of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal 2014	FOR	18
Advisory vote on executive compensation	FOR	19

BOARD NOMINEES

The following table provides summary information about each director nominee and continuing director.

The following table provides summary information about each director nominee and continuing director.											
Director			Principal Occupation	Independent	AC	CC	EC	EREC	NCGC	PPCRC	Qualifications & Skills
Name	Age	Since									
NOMINEES FOR CLASS II DIRECTORS (TERM EXPIRING IN 2017)											
Catherine A. Allen	67	2009	Founder, Chairman and CEO, The Santa Fe Group	ü							knowledge of New Mexico communities non-profit strategic planning technology
Edward Escudero	43	2012	President and CEO, High Desert Capital LLC	ü							consumer retail and marketing corporate finance and accounting knowledge of Texas communities regulated utility utility operations
Michael K. Parks	54	1996	Chairman of the Board of El Paso Electric Company, Retired Managing Director, Crescent Capital Group, LP and Business Consultant	ü							corporate finance and accounting regulated financial strategic planning
Eric B. Siegel	56	1996	Retired Limited Partner, Apollo Advisors, LP and Consultant and Special Advisor to the Chairman of the Milwaukee Brewers Baseball Club	ü							corporate finance and accounting legal regulated financial strategic planning
CLASS III DIRECTORS (TERM EXPIRING IN 2015)											
James W. Harris	67	1996	Managing Partner of OP Food Products, LLC and Managing Partner of Harris Financial Advisors, LLC	ü							corporate finance and accounting corporate governance regulated financial
Woodley L. Hunt	68	2012	Chairman and CEO, Hunt Companies, Inc.	ü							corporate finance and accounting governmental affairs knowledge of Texas communities non-profit real estate
Stephen N. Wertheimer	63	1996	Managing Director and Founding Partner, W Capital Partners	ü							corporate finance and accounting corporate governance regulated financial
Charles A. Yamarone	55	1996	Managing Director, Houlihan Lokey	ü							corporate finance and accounting legal regulated financial
CLASS I DIRECTORS (TERM EXPIRING IN 2016)											
John Robert Brown	69	2003	Owner and President, Brownco Capital, LLC	ü							consumer retail and marketing corporate finance and accounting governmental affairs knowledge of Texas communities
James W. Cicconi	61	1997	Senior Executive Vice President of External and Legislative Affairs, AT&T Services, Inc.	ü							governmental affairs legal telecommunications
Patricia Z. Holland-Branch	70	1997	Owner, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of The Facilities Connection, Inc.	ü							consumer retail and marketing governmental affairs knowledge of Texas communities regulated utility utility operations
Thomas V. Shockley, III	69	2010	Chief Executive Officer, El Paso Electric Company and Ex-Officio member of the Committees of the								

Board and Retired Vice Chairman and Chief Operating Officer, American Electric Power Company, Inc.						
MEETINGS IN 2013	13	10	3	5	7	5

	Chairperson
	Member
	Financial Expert
AC	Audit Committee
CC	Compensation Committee
EC	Executive Committee
EREC	Energy Resources and Environmental Committee
NCGC	Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee
PPCRC	Public Policy and Corporate Reputation Committee

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY

100 N. Stanton Street
El Paso, Texas 79901

PROXY STATEMENT
for
ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS
To Be Held on May 29, 2014

GENERAL

The accompanying proxy is solicited on behalf of the Board for use at the 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders (the Annual Meeting) to be held on May 29, 2014, at 10:00 a.m., Mountain Daylight Time, at El Paso Electric Company's (the Company) principal offices, and at any adjournment thereof. The Company's principal offices are located at the Stanton Tower Building, 100 N. Stanton Street, El Paso, Texas 79901.

The cost of soliciting proxies will be borne by the Company. In addition to the use of the mail, proxies may be solicited by personal interview, telephone, fax or other electronic

means by the Board, officers, employees and agents of the Company. To assist in the solicitation, the Company has engaged Georgeson Inc. for a fee of \$6,500 plus out-of-pocket expenses. The Company will also reimburse brokers, banks and other persons for reasonable expenses in forwarding the Notice of the Meeting to beneficial owners and forwarding printed proxy materials by mail to beneficial owners who specifically request them.

This Proxy Statement and the accompanying form of proxy are first being made available on the Internet to shareholders of the Company on or about April 18, 2014.

SHARES OUTSTANDING, VOTING RIGHTS AND REVOCABILITY OF PROXIES

At the close of business on March 31, 2014, the record date for determination of the shareholders entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting, the Company had outstanding 40,303,763 shares of its common stock (the Common Stock).

Each outstanding share of Common Stock is entitled to one vote. The holders of at least a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of Common Stock must be represented in person or by proxy at the Annual Meeting for a quorum to be present and business to be conducted. The affirmative vote of a plurality of the votes cast at the meeting is required for the election of each Class II Director. The affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares of Common Stock entitled to vote and represented in person or by proxy at the meeting is required to approve El Paso Electric Company's Amended and Restated 2007 Long-Term Incentive Plan. The affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares of Common Stock entitled to vote on the proposal and represented in person or by proxy at the meeting is required to approve the selection of KPMG LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2014.

The outcome of the advisory vote on the Company's executive compensation will not be binding on the Board. Therefore, there is no required vote on this resolution. The Board, in the exercise of its fiduciary duties, will consider

the outcome of the advisory vote in determining how to proceed following such vote. The affirmative vote of the majority of the shares of Common Stock present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote on the proposal will be considered as the approval, by an advisory vote, of the compensation of our Named Executive Officers.

Edgar Filing: EL PASO ELECTRIC CO /TX/ - Form DEF 14A

A shareholder of record having the right to vote may vote either in person or by proxy. A shareholder of record may vote by proxy over the Internet by following the instructions provided in the Notice of the Meeting, or if the shareholder requests printed copies of the proxy materials by mail, the shareholder may also vote by mail or by telephone.

A shareholder who signs and returns a proxy may revoke that proxy at any time before the Annual Meeting by filing with the Corporate Secretary of the Company an instrument in writing revoking the proxy, delivering a duly executed proxy bearing a later date, or attending the meeting and voting in person. The shares represented by a proxy given and not so revoked will be voted and, where the shareholder specifies a choice with respect to any matter to be acted upon and for which a ballot is provided in the proxy form, the shares will be voted in accordance with the specification so made. If a proxy is properly executed and returned, but no choice is specified, the shares will be voted **FOR** each director nominee and **FOR** each of proposals two, three and four. With respect to any other matters that will come

before the Annual Meeting, the proxy will be voted in the discretion of the proxy holder. If no proxy is returned by a shareholder of record, the shares represented by such proxy will not be voted.

The Board is not aware of any matter that will be presented at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders other than as set forth in the accompanying Notice. If, however, any other matters are properly presented at the Annual Meeting, the proxy holder will have discretionary authority to vote the shares represented by properly executed proxies in accordance with the proxy holder's discretion and judgment as to the best interests of the Company and its shareholders.

Abstentions are included in the determination of the number of shares represented at the Annual Meeting for purposes of determining whether a quorum is present and are counted as a vote AGAINST when determining whether a proposal has been approved. Broker non-votes are included in the determination of the number of shares represented at the Annual Meeting for purposes of determining whether a quorum is present and are not counted for purposes of determining whether a proposal has been approved. Broker non-votes occur when a beneficial owner who holds company stock through a broker does not provide the broker with voting instructions as to any matter on which the broker is not permitted to exercise its discretion and vote without specific instruction.

The rules of the New York Stock Exchange (the NYSE) determine whether proposals presented at shareholder meetings are routine or non-routine. If a proposal is routine, a broker or other entity holding shares for an owner in street name may vote for the proposal without receiving voting instructions from the owner under certain circumstances. If a proposal is non-routine, the broker or other entity may vote on the proposal only if the owner has provided voting instructions. A broker non-vote occurs when the broker or other entity is unable to vote on a proposal because the proposal is non-routine and the owner does not provide any voting instructions.

The NYSE rules provide that the election of directors in an uncontested election, the approval of the Amended and Restated 2007 Long-Term Incentive Plan, and the advisory vote on executive compensation are non-routine items. This means that brokers who do not receive voting instructions from their clients as to how to vote their shares for these proposals cannot exercise their discretionary authority to vote your shares for these proposals. Therefore, it is important that you instruct your broker as to how you wish to have your shares voted on these proposals, even if you wish to vote as recommended by the Board. The ratification of the appointment of KPMG LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2014 is a routine item under the NYSE rules.

PROPOSAL 1

ELECTION OF CLASS II DIRECTORS

Article III, Section 2, of the Company's Bylaws (Bylaws) divides the Board into three classes, as equally as numerically possible, each of which is elected for a three-year term. The Board currently has twelve members, four in each class.

The shares represented by the accompanying proxy will be voted to elect the four nominees for Class II Directors as recommended by the Board, unless authority to do so is

withheld. Each nominee has agreed to the nomination and has agreed to serve if elected. Should any nominee become unavailable for election, the proxies will be voted for the election of such other person as the Board may recommend in place of such nominee.

QUALIFICATIONS OF DIRECTORS

The Board, acting through the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, is responsible for recommending to the shareholders a group of nominees that, taken together, have the experience, qualifications, attributes and skills to function effectively as a board. Our Corporate Governance Guidelines require the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee to periodically review the composition of the Board in light of the current challenges and needs of the Company and determine whether to add or remove individuals after considering issues of judgment, diversity, skills, background and experience.

Five of the twelve current directors were originally appointed to the Board at the time of the Company's emergence from bankruptcy in 1996. The various creditor and public constituencies at the time sought individuals with financial, regulatory and managerial skills that both represented a break with the past and an ability to direct a fragile entity back to viability. This core group of directors instituted policies that returned the Company's debt to investment grade status within a short period and improved its posture with customers and regulators, which led to a substantial increase in the price of the Common Stock in succeeding years. During that period, as directors retired or as the needs of the Company evolved, the Board added other individuals with skills (such as knowledge of the local service areas and of legislative and regulatory affairs) that became more important as the Company stabilized and grew.

In addition to the characteristics common to all of our directors, which include integrity, a strong professional reputation and record of achievement in senior executive capacities, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee has included on our Board persons with diverse backgrounds and skills reflecting the needs of the Company. In presenting this year's nominees, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee also considered the invaluable experience they have gained in dealing with

the Company's unique challenges over many years, their ability to work as a collegial group during intense and stressful periods in the past and their willingness to spend the time necessary to perform their roles despite other professional commitments.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee considers the following additional factors as important qualifications and skills of each member of the current Board:

Experience in a broad range of occupations and industries, which provides differing viewpoints and expertise relating to execution of the Company's business plan. These include consumer retail and marketing (Messrs. Brown, Escudero and Ms. Holland-Branch), telecommunications (Mr. Cicconi), regulated financial (Messrs. Harris, Parks, Siegel, Wertheimer and Yamarone), regulated utility (Messrs. Escudero and Shockley), non-profit (Ms. Allen and Mr. Hunt), technology (Ms. Allen), real estate (Mr. Hunt) legal (Messrs. Cicconi, Siegel and Yamarone) and corporate finance and accounting (Messrs. Brown, Escudero, Harris, Hunt, Parks, Siegel, Wertheimer and Yamarone); and

Geographic presence in and knowledge of the communities and constituencies served by the Company in Texas (Messrs. Brown, Escudero, Hunt and Ms. Holland-Branch) and New Mexico (Ms. Allen); and

Experience in areas applicable to service on the Board & Committees, including corporate financial management and accounting (Messrs. Escudero, Hunt, Parks and Yamarone), governmental affairs (Messrs. Brown, Cicconi and Hunt and Ms. Holland-Branch), utility operations (Messrs. Escudero and Shockley), corporate governance (Messrs. Harris and Wertheimer) and strategic planning (Ms. Allen and Messrs. Parks and Siegel).

**THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR THE ELECTION OF
MS. ALLEN AND MESSRS. ESCUDERO, PARKS AND SIEGEL,
AS CLASS II DIRECTORS.**

NOMINEES AND DIRECTORS OF THE COMPANY

Included below is information about the nominees for election as directors and the existing directors who will continue in office after the Annual Meeting. The Board has concluded that

the skills, qualifications and experience of each of the director nominees and continuing directors supports such nominee or director's continued membership on the Company's Board.

NOMINEES FOR CLASS II DIRECTORS (NEW TERM TO EXPIRE IN 2017)

Catherine A. Allen

Founder, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, The Santa Fe Group

Director since 2009

Ms. Allen, age 67, has, since 1996, been the Founder, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of The Santa Fe Group, a strategic consulting company providing services to financial institutions and related infrastructure companies. Previously, Ms. Allen was a Vice President of Business Development and Alliances of Citicorp, where her responsibilities included developing and managing strategic alliances with technology and telecommunications companies for technology-based products and services. She sits on a number of non-profit boards including the University of Missouri Research and Development Committee, LANL Foundation, Lensic Performing Arts Center, Museum of New Mexico Foundation, Women Corporate Directors, and is the board chair of the National Foundation for Credit Counseling. She is co-chair of the University of Missouri Capital Campaign Committee and she received an Honorary Doctorate in 2005. She has received two Lifetime Awards for her service to the financial sector and technology, from U.S. Banker and the Executive Womens' Forum. She was formerly CEO of BITS, a financial services consortium and non-profit industry association from 1997 to 2007. She serves on the board of Stewart Title Guaranty Company, Synovus Financial Corporation and the advisory board for Houlihan Lokey Investment Bank. Ms. Allen joined our Board in May 2009 and serves on our Public Policy and Corporate Reputation, Nominating and Corporate Governance and Energy Resources and Environmental Committees.

Edward Escudero

President and Chief Executive Officer, High Desert Capital LLC

Director since 2012

Mr. Escudero, age 43, is President and Chief Executive Officer of High Desert Capital LLC, a finance company specializing in providing various types of capital alternatives to small-to-mid-size companies a role he has held since 2008. Previously, Mr. Escudero was Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of C&R Distributing, a major distributor of fuel and lubricants and was the owner of various convenience stores in West Texas and Southern New Mexico. He also served as Secretary and Chief Financial Officer of Petro Stopping Centers, LP, where he held vital roles of managing various departments including accounting,

legal, human resources, audit, financial planning and information systems. He serves on a number of community boards, including the Medical Center of the Americas Foundation, El Paso Community Foundation Investment Committee, El Paso Hispanic Chamber of Commerce and the University of Texas at El Paso Centennial Commission. Formerly, Mr. Escudero served on the El Paso Water Utility Public Service Board. Mr. Escudero has been a director of the Company since December 2012 and serves as Chairman of our Audit Committee. He also serves as a member of our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee.

Michael K. Parks

Retired Managing Director, Crescent Capital Group, LP and Business Consultant Chairman of the Board since 2012

Director since 1996

Mr. Parks, age 54, retired as Managing Director of Crescent Capital Group, LP (Crescent) at year-end 2013. Mr. Parks served as Managing Director of Crescent and for limited purposes as a Managing Director of Trust Company of the West (TCW) from 2011 through 2013 and prior to that was a Managing Director of TCW since 2000. Prior to joining Crescent and TCW, Mr. Parks held various executive level positions at Aurora National Life Assurance Company from 1993 to 2000, including Chief Executive Officer, President and Chief Investment Officer from 1996 to October 2000. From 1981 to 1992, he held various positions at Salomon Brothers Inc. He served as a director of E*Trade Financial Corp. (formerly, E* Trade Group Inc.) from April 2003 until May 2012 and served on its audit committee and finance risk oversight committee. He also served as a director of Aurora National Life Assurance Company until 2012. He has been a director of the Company since 1996. He currently serves as Chairman of the Board as of July 2012, and previously served as Vice Chairman of the Board from November 2005 through July 2012. He also serves on our Audit, Compensation, Executive, and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committees.

Eric B. Siegel

Retired Limited Partner of Apollo Advisors, LP Consultant and Special Advisor to the Chairman of the Milwaukee Brewers Baseball Club

Director since 1996

Mr. Siegel, age 56, is a retired Limited Partner of Apollo Advisors, LP (Apollo) and has been an independent business consultant since 1995. Since 2004, Mr. Siegel has

Senior Leadership Experience. Directors who have served in senior leadership positions are important to us, as they bring experience and perspective in analyzing, shaping, and overseeing the execution of important strategic, operational and policy issues at a senior level. These directors' insights and guidance,

Table of Contents

and their ability to assess and respond to situations encountered in serving on our Board, may be enhanced if their leadership experience has been developed at businesses or organizations that operated on a global scale, faced significant competition, and/or involved technology or other rapidly evolving business models.

Public Company Board Experience. Directors who have served on other public company boards can offer advice and insights with regard to the dynamics and operation of a board of directors; the relations of a board to the CEO and other management personnel; the importance of particular agenda and oversight matters; and oversight of a changing mix of strategic, operational, and compliance-related matters.

Business Development, Mergers and Acquisitions (M&A) and Strategic Alliances Experience. Directors who have a background in business development, in M&A transactions and with strategic alliances can provide insight into developing and implementing strategies for growing our business through combination or partnership with other organizations. Useful experience in this area includes consideration of go direct versus acquire and develop organically versus acquire strategies, analysis of the synergies of a proposed acquisition or partnership with a company's strategy, the valuation of transactions, and management's plans for integration with existing operations.

Financial Expertise. Knowledge of financial markets, financing and funding operations, and accounting and financial reporting processes is important because it assists our directors in understanding, advising, and overseeing our capital structure, financing and investing activities, financial reporting and internal control of such activities.

Industry and Technical Expertise. Because we are a technology and software provider, education or experience in relevant technology is useful in understanding our research and development efforts, competing technologies, the various products and processes that we develop, and the market segments in which we compete. In addition, our software products and services are primarily focused on facilitating electronic payments both in domestic and international markets. Knowledge of, and experience in, the global electronic payments industry and the banking and financial services industries provides useful insight into the needs, practices and operations of the Company's principal customer base.

Global Expertise. Because we are a global organization with research and development, channel facilities, sales and other offices in many countries and customers located in over 80 different countries, directors with global expertise can provide a useful business and cultural perspective regarding many significant aspects of our business.

Legal Expertise. Directors who have legal education and experience can assist our Board in fulfilling its responsibilities related to the oversight of the Company's legal and regulatory compliance, and engagement with regulatory authorities.

Table of Contents

Director Nominees

The following provides biographical information regarding our director nominees and describes the key skills, experience and expertise that each of our director nominees brings to our Board of Directors in addition to the general criteria described above satisfied by each of our director nominees. Unless otherwise indicated, each person has been engaged in the principal occupation shown for the past five years.

John D. Curtis

Director Since: 2003

Age: 73

Skills, Experience and Expertise:

Senior Leadership Experience

Biographical Information:

Business Development, M&A and Strategic Alliances Experience

Global Expertise

Legal Expertise

Served as Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary of The Warranty Group, Inc., a provider in over 30 countries of underwriting, administration and marketing of service contracts and related services, from February 2011 to April 2013

Provided legal and business consulting services from August 2002 to February 2011

Served as General Counsel of Combined Specialty Corporation and a director of Combined Specialty Insurance Company, wholly-owned subsidiaries of Aon Corporation (NYSE: AOC) from July 2001 to August 2002

President of First Extended, Inc., a holding company with two principal operating subsidiaries: First Extended Service Corporation, an administrator of vehicle extended service contracts and FFG Insurance Company, a property and casualty insurance company from November 1995 to July 2002

Serves as a director of The Warranty Group, Inc. and various private and non-profit companies

Philip G. Heasley

Director Since: 2005

Age: 64

Skills, Experience and Expertise:

Senior Leadership Experience

Public Company Board Experience

Industry and Technical Expertise

Biographical Information:

Our President and Chief Executive Officer since March 2005

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of PayPower LLC, an acquisition and consulting firm specializing in financial services and payment services from October 2003 to March 2005

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of First USA Bank from October 2000 to November 2003

Served in various capacities for U.S. Bancorp from 1987 until 2000, including Executive Vice President, and President and Chief Operating Officer

Business Development, M&A and Strategic Alliances Experience

12

Table of Contents

Serves on the National Infrastructure Advisory Council for the President

Previously a director of Lender Processing Services, Inc. (NYSE: LPS), a provider of mortgage processing services, settlement services, mortgage performance analytics and default solutions; Official Payments Holdings, Inc. (NASDAQ: OPAY), a provider of electronic payment biller-direct solutions; Fidelity National Title Group, now known as Fidelity National Financial, Inc. (NYSE: FNF), a provider of title insurance, specialty insurance and claims management services; Kintera, Inc. (NASDAQ: KNTA), a provider of software for non-profit organizations, until it was acquired by Blackbaud, Inc. (NASDAQ: BLKB); Ohio Casualty Corporation (NASDAQ: OCAS), the holding company of The Ohio Casualty Insurance Company, which is one of six property-casualty insurance companies that make up Ohio Casualty Group, collectively referred to as Consolidated Corporation; and Fair Isaac Corporation (NYSE: FICO), a provider of analytics and decision management technology

James C. McGroddy

Director Since: 2008

Age: 77

Skills, Experience and Expertise:

Senior Leadership Experience

Biographical Information:

Self-employed consultant

Employed by International Business Machines Corporation from 1965 through 1996 in various capacities, including seven years as Senior Vice President of Research

Chairman of the Board of MIQS, a Colorado-based healthcare information technology company

Public Company Board Experience

Industry and Technical Expertise

Global Expertise

Business Development, M&A and Strategic Alliances Experience

Member of the U.S. National Academy of Engineering

Previously served as a director of Paxar Corporation (NYSE: PXR), a provider of merchandising systems for the retail and apparel industry

Table of Contents

David A. Poe

Director Since: 2014

Age: 66

Skills, Experience and Expertise:

Senior Leadership Experience

Financial Expertise

Business Development, M&A and Strategic Alliances Experience

Industry and Technical Expertise

Public Company Board Experience

Biographical Information:

Senior Director of Edgar, Dunn & Company, an independent global financial services and payments consultancy firm, since April 2008

Served as Chief Executive Officer of Edgar, Dunn & Company from March 1998 to May 2008 and as a consultant and director from March 1980 to March 1998

Previously a certified public accountant with Deloitte, Touche & Company

Member of the Advisory Board for the Bank of San Francisco (Chairman Emeritus)

Chairman of the Board of Geothermal Engineering Ltd., a privately held UK company

Director of the University of Idaho Foundation (currently Chairman of the Investment Committee)

Previously a director of Official Payments Holdings, Inc. (NASDAQ: OPAY), a provider of electronic payment biller-direct solutions; and several private technology companies

Harlan F. Seymour

Director Since: 2002

Age: 64

Skills, Experience and Expertise:

Senior Leadership Experience

Public Company Board Experience

Business Development, M&A and Strategic Alliances Experience

Financial Expertise

Global Expertise

Biographical Information:

Our Chairman of the Board since September 2002

Sole owner of HFS, LLC, a privately-held investment and business advisory firm advising public and private companies particularly in the area of strategic planning services

Served as a director and as Executive Vice President of ENVOY Corporation, which provides electronic processing services, primarily to the health care industry

Director of Pool Corporation (NASDAQ: POOL), a wholesale distributor of swimming pool supplies and related equipment, and serves on its audit, governance and strategic planning committees

Serves as a member of various private, profit and non-profit boards of directors, including Lagniappe Health Inc., a company that provides technology solutions to pharmacies and utilizes pharmacy transactions data to improve patient outcomes and the advisory board of Calvert Street Capital Partners, a private equity firm

Table of Contents

John M. Shay, Jr.

Director Since: 2006

Age: 66

Skills, Experience and Expertise:

Financial Expertise

Business Development, M&A and Strategic Alliances Experience

Global Experience

Biographical Information:

President and owner of Fairway Consulting LLC, a business consulting firm

Employed by Ernst & Young LLP, a Big Four accounting firm offering audit, business advisory and tax services from 1972 through March 2006 serving as an audit partner from October 1984 to March 2006 and managing partner of the firm's New Orleans office from October 1998 through June 2005

Served as an adjunct auditing professor in the graduate business program of the A.B. Freeman School of Business at Tulane University for approximately 10 years

Certified Public Accountant

John E. Stokely

Director Since: 2003

Age: 61

Skills, Experience and Expertise:

Senior Leadership Experience

Public Company Board Experience

Business Development, M&A and Strategic Alliances Experience

Financial Expertise

Global Expertise

Biographical Information:

President of JES, Inc., an investment and consulting firm providing strategic and financial advice to companies in various industries from August 1999 through 2007

Served as President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Richfood Holdings, Inc., a publicly-traded FORTUNE 500 food retailer and wholesale grocery distributor, from 1996 until August 1999 when it merged with Supervalu Inc. (NYSE: SVU)

Director of (i) Pool Corporation (NASDAQ: POOL), a wholesale distributor of swimming pool supplies and related equipment, and (ii) Malibu Boats, Inc., a leading designer, manufacturer and marketer of performance sports boats (NASDAQ: MBUU)

Serves as Lead Independent Director of Pool Corporation (NASDAQ: POOL)

Served as a member of various private, profit and non-profit boards of directors, including AMF Bowling

Previously served as a director of Imperial Sugar Company (NASDAQ: IPSU), a manufacturer that refines, packages and distributes sugar, O Charley's Inc. (NASDAQ: CHUX), a casual dining restaurant company, Performance Food Group (NASDAQ: PFCG), a foodservice distributor, until it was acquired by affiliates of The Blackstone Group (NYSE: BX) and Wellspring Capital Management, and Nash-Finch Company (NASDAQ: NAFC), a leading food distribution company

Table of Contents

Jan H. Suwinski

Director Since: 2007

Age: 72

Skills, Experience and Expertise:

Senior Leadership Experience

Public Company Board Experience

Industry and Technical Expertise

Business Development, M&A and Strategic Alliances Experience

Global Expertise

Biographical Information:

Clinical Professor of Management and Operations at the Samuel Curtis Johnson Graduate School of Management at Cornell University in Ithaca, New York

Served in various management positions in technology based businesses at Corning Incorporated from 1965 to 1996

Served as Executive Vice President of the Opto Electronics Group and a member of the operating committee at Corning Incorporated from 1990 to 1996

Served as Chairman of Siecor Corporation, a Corning joint venture with Siemens AG from 1992 to 1996

Director of Thor Industries, Inc. (NYSE: THO), a manufacturer of recreational vehicles and buses

Previously served as a director of Tellabs, Inc. (NASDAQ: TLAB), a provider of telecommunications networking products; and Ohio Casualty Corporation (NASDAQ: OCAS), the holding company of The Ohio Casualty Insurance Company, which is one of six property-casualty insurance companies that make up Ohio Casualty Group, collectively referred to as Consolidated Corporation

OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS THAT STOCKHOLDERS VOTE FOR THE NOMINEES LISTED ABOVE.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

It is our Board's general policy that compensation for independent directors should be a mix of cash and equity-based compensation. As part of a director's total compensation, and to create a direct linkage with corporate performance and stockholder interests, our Board believes that a meaningful portion of a director's compensation should be provided in, or otherwise based on, the value of appreciation in our common stock. We do not pay our employee directors for service on our Board in addition to their regular employee compensation.

The compensation program for independent directors has not materially changed since 2005. In 2011, our Board engaged Pearl Meyer & Partners, LLC (Pearl Meyer) to evaluate the competitiveness of our independent director compensation program. Each year, Pearl Meyer reviews our compensation program for independent directors using the same peer group companies that are used for executive compensation purposes and identified in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section below. The last changes to our independent director compensation program were made in 2011. In 2013, all retainer fees for our independent directors remained unchanged. The Corporate Governance Committee reviews our independent director compensation program annually.

Table of Contents

Cash Compensation

Our independent director compensation program provides that each independent director receives a \$10,000 quarterly retainer fee. The Chairman of the Board receives an additional \$10,000 quarterly premium. The chairman of the Audit Committee receives an additional \$2,500 quarterly retainer fee and other independent directors who serve on the Audit Committee, receive an additional \$1,000 quarterly retainer fee. The chairman of the Compensation Committee receives an additional \$2,500 quarterly retainer fee and other independent directors who serve on the Compensation Committee, receive an additional \$1,000 quarterly retainer fee. Each Board committee chairman, other than the chairman of the Audit Committee and Compensation Committee, receives an additional \$1,250 quarterly retainer fee and independent directors who serve on Board committees, other than the Audit Committee and Compensation Committee, receive an additional \$750 quarterly retainer fee for service on each committee. Each independent director receives \$2,000 for each Board or Board committee meeting attended, whether in person or telephonically. All directors are reimbursed for expenses incurred in connection with attendance at Board and Board committee meetings and our annual meetings of stockholders.

Equity-Based Compensation

Our independent directors are granted an award of stock options upon commencing service as a director of the Company and an annual equity award grant thereafter. Such grants are made at the discretion of our Board based on the recommendations of its Corporate Governance Committee. Director equity awards are provided pursuant to the terms of our 2005 Equity and Performance Incentive Plan, as amended (the 2005 Incentive Plan). Director equity awards vest on the earlier to occur of (1) the date which is one year following the date of grant, and (2) the day immediately prior to the date of the next annual meeting of our stockholders occurring following the date of grant. The independent directors' equity awards provide for accelerated vesting upon the director's death or disability or upon a change-in-control of the Company. The equity awards are non-qualified stock options with an exercise price equal to the closing price (price for last trade) of our common stock as reported by The NASDAQ Global Select Stock Market on the date of grant.

On June 11, 2013 (the grant date), our independent directors were each granted a non-qualified option to purchase 10,000 shares of our common stock with an exercise price equal to \$42.91.

Deferred Compensation Plan

Each independent director may elect to defer receipt of all or a part of his cash compensation on a calendar year basis under the Company's Amended and Restated Deferred Compensation Plan (the Deferred Compensation Plan). The Deferred Compensation Plan is an unfunded, nonqualified deferred compensation plan designed to allow independent directors and a select group of management or highly compensated employees of the Company designated by our Compensation Committee to save for retirement on a tax-deferred basis. Additional information on the Deferred Compensation Plan can be found under the heading Deferred Compensation Plan in the Executive Compensation section below.

None of our independent directors made any contributions to the Deferred Compensation Plan during 2013. No amounts are included in the Director Summary Compensation Table because the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation plan earnings were not preferential or above market.

Table of Contents**Director Summary Compensation Table**

The table below summarizes the compensation we paid to our independent directors during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013.

Director Summary Compensation Table(1)

Name(2)	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash	Option Awards(3)	Total
(a)	(\$) (b)	(\$) (d)	(\$) (h)
John D. Curtis	95,000	252,637	347,637
James C. McGroddy	73,000	252,637	325,637
Harlan F. Seymour	146,000	252,637	398,637
John M. Shay, Jr.	118,000	252,637	370,637
John E. Stokely	103,000	252,637	355,637
Jan H. Suwinski	95,000	252,637	347,637

- (1) Columns (c), (e), (f) and (g) to this table entitled *Stock Awards*, *Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation*, *Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Compensation Earnings* and *All Other Compensation*, respectively, have been omitted because no compensation is reportable thereunder.
- (2) Philip G. Heasley, our President and CEO, is not included in this table as he is an employee of the Company and thus, receives no compensation for his service as a director. The compensation received by Mr. Heasley as an employee of the Company is shown in the *Summary Compensation Table* in the *Executive Compensation* section below.
- (3) The amounts in column (d) reflect the grant date fair value of each option award granted during 2013, as determined in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718, *Compensation - Stock Compensation* (FASB ASC Topic 718). The amounts shown do not correspond to the actual value that will be realized by the independent director. The assumptions used in the calculation of these amounts are included in footnote 11 to the Company's audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, included in our Annual Report. The grant date fair value of the options granted to our independent directors on June 11, 2013 was \$25.2637 per option. The aggregate grant date fair value for all options granted to our independent directors on June 11, 2013 was \$1,515,822. The following table sets forth each independent director's aggregate number of option awards outstanding as of December 31, 2013:

Name	Vested Stock Option Awards	Unvested Stock Option Awards	Aggregate Stock Option Awards
John D. Curtis	78,000	10,000	88,000
James C. McGroddy	30,000	10,000	40,000
Harlan F. Seymour	78,000	10,000	88,000
John M. Shay, Jr.	70,000	10,000	80,000
John E. Stokely	82,000	10,000	92,000
Jan H. Suwinski	60,000	10,000	70,000

Independent Director Stock Ownership Guidelines

The Board has stock ownership guidelines designed to further link the interests of our Board with that of our stockholders. These guidelines provide that each of our independent directors should have equity positions in the Company with a value equal to four times his annual retainer amount. Direct and indirect stock ownership, including the vested in-the-money portion of any stock options held by the independent director, are included in determining each director's equity position. Each independent director has five years from (i) the adoption of the stock ownership guidelines, which occurred in September 2007, or (ii) election to our Board, whichever is later, to achieve the target ownership level. A director who fails to meet the ownership guidelines within the five-year period will not be eligible for new equity awards until he achieves his prescribed ownership level.

Table of Contents

REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

During 2013, the members of the Audit Committee consisted of Messrs. Curtis, Shay and Stokely. At all times during 2013, each of the directors that served on the Audit Committee was independent as defined in the NASDAQ listing standards. Our Board determined that each of the members met the NASDAQ regulatory requirements for financial literacy and that Mr. Stokely and Mr. Shay are audit committee financial experts as defined under SEC rules.

The Audit Committee operates pursuant to a charter (the Audit Committee Charter) approved and adopted by our Board. A copy of the Audit Committee Charter is available on our website at www.aciworldwide.com in the Who We Are Investor Relations Corporate Governance section.

The Audit Committee, on behalf of our Board, oversees the Company's financial reporting process as more fully described in the Audit Committee Charter. Management is responsible for the preparation, presentation and integrity of the Company's consolidated financial statements, accounting and financial reporting principles, internal controls over financial reporting and compliance with laws and regulations and ethical business standards. Management is responsible for objectively reviewing and evaluating the adequacy, effectiveness and quality of the Company's system of internal controls. Audit Committee members are not professional accountants or auditors, and their functions are not intended to duplicate or to certify the activities of management or the independent registered public accounting firm.

The Company's independent registered public accounting firm, Deloitte & Touche LLP (Deloitte), is responsible for performing independent audits of the Company's consolidated financial statements and the effectiveness of the Company's internal controls over financial reporting in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) and to issue reports thereon. In fulfilling its oversight responsibilities, the Audit Committee (i) reviewed and discussed the audited consolidated financial statements and the footnotes thereto in the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for 2013 with management and Deloitte, and (ii) discussed with management and Deloitte the quality, not just the acceptability, of the accounting principles, the reasonableness of significant judgments and the clarity of the disclosures in the financial statements. The Audit Committee discussed with the Company's internal auditors and Deloitte, with and without management present, their evaluations of the Company's internal accounting controls and reviewed with management the basis for management's assessment of the effectiveness of the Company's internal controls over financial reporting.

The Company's independent registered public accounting firm is responsible for expressing opinions on (i) the conformity of the Company's audited consolidated financial statements, in all material respects, to accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S., and (ii) the effectiveness of the Company's internal controls over financial reporting. The independent registered public accounting firm has full and free access to the Audit Committee. The Company's independent registered public accounting firm has expressed the opinion that the Company's audited consolidated financial statements conform, in all material respects, to accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. The Audit Committee reviewed and discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm its judgments as to the quality, not just the acceptability, of the Company's accounting principles and such other matters as are required to be discussed by the Audit Committee with the Company's independent registered public accounting firm under Statement on Auditing Standards No. 114, *The Auditor's Communication With Those Charged With Governance* (formerly Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, as amended (AICPA, *Professional Standards*, Vol. 1, AU section 380), as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board in Rule 3200T).

The Audit Committee discussed with the Company's independent registered public accounting firm its independence from management and the Company, and received from Deloitte the written disclosures and the letter required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the independent registered public accounting firm's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence, and has discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm and management the independent registered public accounting firm's independence.

Table of Contents

In reliance on the reviews and discussions referred to above, the Audit Committee recommended to our Board that the audited consolidated financial statements be included in the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for 2013 for filing with the SEC.

MEMBERS OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

John E. Stokely, Chairman

John D. Curtis

John M. Shay, Jr.

Table of Contents**PROPOSAL 2****RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF THE COMPANY'S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

The Audit Committee has selected and appointed, and our Board has approved the Audit Committee's selection and appointment, of Deloitte & Touche LLP (Deloitte) as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2014. If the stockholders do not ratify the selection, the Audit Committee will consider whether it is appropriate to select another independent registered public accounting firm for the next fiscal year. Even if the selection is ratified by our stockholders, the Audit Committee may in its discretion change the appointment at any time during the year, if it determines that such a change would be in the best interests of the stockholders.

Representatives of Deloitte are expected to be present at the Annual Meeting to make a statement should they so desire and to respond to appropriate questions.

Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Fees

The following table sets forth the aggregate fees paid or payable for the indicated services performed by Deloitte during 2013 and 2012 in its capacity as our independent registered public accounting firm during such years.

Fee Category	2013	2012
		(\$)
Audit Fees	3,902,742	3,451,120
Audit Related Fees	164,275	95,500
Tax Fees	338,462	411,630
Other Fees	0	0
Total Fees	4,405,479	3,958,250

Audit Fees. This category represents the aggregate fees paid or payable to Deloitte for professional services rendered for (i) the audit and quarterly reviews of the Company's annual consolidated financial statements for 2013 and 2012, (ii) the audit of the effectiveness of the Company's internal controls over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, and (iii) statutory audits of certain subsidiaries.

Audit-Related Fees. This category represents the aggregate fees billed by Deloitte for professional services rendered for assurance and related services that were reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of the Company's financial statements that are not reported under Audit Fees for 2013 or 2012. The professional services performed by Deloitte in 2013 consisted of (i) services associated with SEC registration statements and related documents filed with the SEC or other documents issued in connection with debt securities and (ii) accounting research. The professional services performed by Deloitte in 2012 consisted of (i) agreed upon procedures related to the Company's compliance with extensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL) and (ii) out-of-scope work on the acquisitions of Distra and North Data.

Tax Fees. This category represents the aggregate fees billed by Deloitte for tax-related services rendered to the Company for 2013 and 2012. Tax fees billed by Deloitte in 2013 consisted of fees for professional services related primarily to tax compliance projects, including (i) tax compliance services associated with recent acquisitions, (ii) assistance in the preparation of tax credit calculations and (iii) preparation of, and assistance with, expatriate tax returns and payroll calculations. Tax fees billed by Deloitte in 2012 consisted of fees for professional services related primarily to tax compliance projects, including (i) tax compliance services associated with the acquisition of S1 Corporation, (ii) assistance in the preparation of tax credit calculations and (iii) preparation of, and assistance with, expatriate tax returns and payroll calculations.

Table of Contents

All Other Fees. There were no other fees billed by Deloitte for services rendered to the Company during 2013 or 2012, other than the services described above under Audit Fees, Audit-Related Fees and Tax Fees.

The Audit Committee has considered whether the provision of the services by Deloitte, as described above in Tax Fees is compatible with maintaining the independent registered public accounting firm's independence.

Pre-Approval of Audit and Non-Audit Services

We have policies for pre-approval of all audit and non-audit services to be provided to us by our independent registered public accounting firm and its member firms. Under these policies, all audit and non-audit services to be performed by our independent registered public accounting firm must be approved by the Audit Committee in advance. A proposal for audit and non-audit services must include a description and purpose of the services, estimated fees and other terms of the services. To the extent a proposal relates to non-audit services, a determination that such services qualify as permitted non-audit services and an explanation as to why the provision of such services would not impair the independence of our independent registered public accounting firm are also required. Any engagement letter relating to a proposal must be presented to the Audit Committee for review and approval, and the Chairman of the Audit Committee may sign, or authorize an officer to sign, such engagement letter.

All services provided by our independent registered public accounting firm in 2013 and 2012 were pre-approved by the Audit Committee.

Vote Required

The affirmative vote of a majority of the shares represented at the Annual Meeting and actually voting on this proposal is required for the approval of the proposal. Because only a majority of shares actually voting is required to approve Proposal 2, broker non-votes will have no effect on the outcome of the voting on this proposal. Abstentions will have the legal effect of a vote against this proposal.

OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS THAT STOCKHOLDERS VOTE FOR RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP AS OUR INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2014.

PROPOSAL 3

ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Our Board is providing our stockholders with the opportunity to vote, on an advisory basis, on the executive compensation of our Named Executive Officers (as defined in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section below). This advisory vote, commonly known as a say-on-pay vote, is a non-binding vote on the compensation of our Named Executive Officers as disclosed in this Proxy Statement pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the SEC, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the accompanying compensation tables and other related tables and narrative discussion contained in this Proxy Statement.

As described in detail in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, our executive compensation programs are performance-based programs designed to (i) attract, retain, motivate and reward highly qualified and talented executives, including our Named Executive Officers, who provide leadership to the Company necessary to drive superior results; (ii) reward senior executives, including our Named Executive Officers, for achieving measurable goals designed to drive superior Company results; and (iii) strengthen the commonality of interest between our stockholders and senior executives, including our Named Executive Officers.

Edgar Filing: EL PASO ELECTRIC CO /TX/ - Form DEF 14A

This advisory vote is not intended to address any specific item of compensation, but rather, the overall compensation of our Named Executive Officers and the principles, policies and procedures related to executive compensation described in this Proxy Statement. We therefore urge our stockholders to read the Compensation

Table of Contents

Discussion and Analysis, the accompanying compensation tables and other related tables and narrative discussion which describe in more detail how our executive compensation policies and procedures operate and are designed to achieve our compensation objectives. We believe that our executive compensation programs incent overall Company performance, appropriately link pay to performance, are well aligned with the long-term interests of our stockholders, and ensure consistent leadership, decision making and actions without taking inappropriate or unnecessary risks. These practices are highlighted throughout the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section and include the following:

Between 78% and 86% of the total on-target compensation of our Named Executive Officers is performance-based compensation directly tied to Company financial, operational or strategic performance goals.

We use of performance shares which are earned, if at all, after a three-year performance period based on the achievement of performance goals relating to the Company's compound annual growth rate in sales and cumulative operating income over the three-year performance period.

The 2013 long-term incentive program is comprised of a combination of stock options and performance shares in order to reinforce the Compensation Committee's objective of making long-term incentive awards variable so that the level of compensation actually earned depends on the Company's performance against specified financial, operational and strategic goals, and is directly tied to stockholder value.

We have stock ownership guidelines for our directors and executive officers.

Our equity award and our annual variable cash incentive award agreements incorporate forfeiture and recoupment provisions.

Our equity plans expressly prohibit re-pricing awards without stockholder approval.

We believe that our executive compensation philosophy, our core compensation objectives and our compensation programs, practices and policies have resulted in executive compensation decisions that have appropriately incentivized the achievement of financial goals that have benefited our Company and our stockholders and are expected to drive long-term stockholder value over time.

As illustrated in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section, the Compensation Committee has and will continue to take action to structure our executive compensation programs, practices and policies in a manner that is performance-based with a view toward maximizing long-term stockholder value. The Compensation Committee believes that its compensation programs, practices and policies are effective in implementing our compensation philosophy. Accordingly, the following resolution is submitted for an advisory stockholder vote:

RESOLVED, that our stockholders approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of our Named Executive Officers, as disclosed in the Company's Proxy Statement for the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the accompanying compensation tables and the other related tables and narrative discussion.

Advisory Vote

Stockholders are not ultimately voting to approve or disapprove our Board's recommendation. As this is an advisory vote, the outcome of the vote is not binding on us with respect to future executive compensation decisions, including those relating to our Named Executive Officers, or otherwise. The Compensation Committee and our Board expect to take into account the outcome of this stockholder advisory vote when considering future executive compensation decisions.

Vote Required

Edgar Filing: EL PASO ELECTRIC CO /TX/ - Form DEF 14A

The affirmative vote of a majority of the shares represented at the Annual Meeting and actually voting on this proposal is required for the approval of the proposal. Because only a majority of shares actually voting is required to approve Proposal 3, broker non-votes will have no effect on the outcome of the voting on this proposal. Abstentions will have the legal effect of a vote against this proposal.

Table of Contents

OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS THAT STOCKHOLDERS VOTE FOR THE ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION AS DISCLOSED IN THIS PROXY STATEMENT.

PROPOSAL 4

APPROVAL OF AMENDED AND RESTATED CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION

The Board has adopted, declared advisable and is submitting for stockholder approval an amendment and restatement of the 2013 Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of ACI Worldwide, Inc. (the Current Certificate of Incorporation) to increase the number of authorized shares of common stock that the Company is authorized to issue from 140,000,000 to 280,000,000. On March 31, 2014, there were 37,922,391 shares of the common stock outstanding, excluding 8,684,405 shares held as treasury stock by the Company which are issued, but not outstanding. In addition, 6,190,488 shares of common stock were reserved for issuance in connection with the Company's various employee benefit and compensation plans. This leaves 95,887,103 shares of common stock available for future use.

The Current Certificate of Incorporation also authorizes the Company to issue 5,000,000 shares of preferred stock. On March 31, 2014, no shares of preferred stock were outstanding. The proposed amendment does not increase the number of shares of preferred stock that the Company is authorized to issue.

Form of the Amendment

If stockholders approve this proposal, the Current Certificate of Incorporation will be amended and restated to increase the number of shares of common stock the Company is authorized to issue from 140,000,000 to 280,000,000. The par value of the common stock will remain at \$0.005 per share. The amendment and restatement would amend the first sentence of Article IV, Section 1 of the Current Certificate of Incorporation to read in its entirety as follows:

Section 1. The Corporation is authorized to issue two classes of capital stock, designated Common Stock and Preferred Stock. The total number of shares of capital stock that the Corporation is authorized to issue is 285,000,000 shares, consisting of 280,000,000 shares of Common Stock, par value \$0.005 per share, and 5,000,000 shares of Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share.

The remaining text of the Current Certificate of Incorporation will remain unchanged. The Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of ACI Worldwide, Inc. (the Proposed Certificate of Incorporation) effecting the increased number of authorized common shares is attached to this Proxy Statement as Annex A and incorporated herein by this reference.

Purpose of the Amendment

The purpose of the amendment is to increase the number of authorized shares of common stock from 140,000,000 to 280,000,000. Subject to the stockholders approving the amendment, the Board has authorized some of the additional shares of common stock to be used to effect a three-for-one forward stock split, in the form of a stock dividend, payable on each share of outstanding common stock. The stock dividend will be payable July 10, 2014 to stockholders of record on June 30, 2014. The proposed increase in the number of authorized common shares is necessary because the Company does not have enough common shares authorized to effect the three-for-one stock split. Stockholder approval of a stock split effected in the form of a stock dividend is not required under Delaware law and is not being solicited by this Proxy Statement.

The objective of a stock split is to lower the market price of the common stock in inverse proportion to the stock split. Such lower price is expected to increase the liquidity and broaden the marketability of the common stock to a larger group of investors.

Table of Contents

When the three-for-one stock split is effected, each stockholder will receive two additional shares of common stock for each share held. In addition, the Company's outstanding stock options, performance shares and stock purchase rights will be proportionately adjusted such that the number of shares underlying the Company's outstanding stock options, performance shares and stock purchase rights will be increased (for example, tripled in a three-for-one stock split). The exercise price of the Company's outstanding stock options will also be proportionally reduced (for example, adjusted to one-third of the exercise price in a three-for-one stock split).

The following table shows how many shares of common stock would have been outstanding and reserved for issuance had the three-for-one stock split been effective as of March 31, 2014.

	Shares Outstanding	Reserved for Issuance Under Employee Benefit Plans
March 31, 2013	37,922,391	6,190,488
Adjusted for three-for-one stock split	113,767,173	18,571,464

The additional authorized shares may be issued by the Board in its discretion, subject to any further stockholder action required in the case of any particular issuance by applicable law, regulatory agency or under NASDAQ rules. Although there is no present agreement or intent to issue any shares (other than as part of the three-for-one stock split), the additional newly authorized shares of common stock would be issuable for any proper corporate purpose, including future acquisitions, investment opportunities, capital raising transactions of equity or convertible debt securities, stock splits, stock dividends, issuance under current or future equity compensation plans, employee stock plans and savings plans or for other corporate purposes. Other than as part of the the three-for-one stock split, there are no immediate plans, arrangements, commitments or understandings with respect to issuance of any of the additional shares of common stock that would be authorized by the proposed amendment. However, the Board believes that these additional shares will provide the Company with needed ability to issue shares in the future to take advantage of market conditions or favorable opportunities without the potential expense or delay incident to obtaining stockholder approval for a particular issuance.

A stockholder vote against the proposed increase in the number of authorized shares of our common stock would have the effect of limiting our use of common stock for the purposes discussed above.

Rights of Additional Authorized Shares

The additional authorized shares of common stock, if and when issued, would be part of the existing class of common stock and would have the same rights and privileges as the shares of common stock currently outstanding. The Company's stockholders do not have preemptive rights with respect to its common stock. Accordingly, should the Board elect to issue additional shares of common stock, existing stockholders would not have any preferential rights to purchase the shares.

Potential Adverse Effects of the Amendment

Although the Board will authorize future issuances of common stock or securities convertible into common stock only when it considers such issuance to be in the best interests of the Company, stockholders should recognize that such issuances could have a dilutive effect on the earnings per share, book value per share, voting power and percentage interest of holdings of current stockholders. In addition, the availability of additional shares of common stock for issuance could, under certain circumstances, discourage or make more difficult efforts to obtain control of the Company. The Board is not aware of any attempt, or contemplated attempt, to acquire control of the Company. This proposal is not being presented with the intent that it be used to prevent or discourage any acquisition attempt, but nothing would prevent the Board from taking any appropriate actions not inconsistent with its fiduciary duties.

Effectiveness of the Amendment and Vote Required

If adopted by the stockholders, the Proposed Certificate of Incorporation will become effective upon filing with the Delaware Secretary of State. It is currently anticipated that the Proposed Certificate of Incorporation will be filed with the Delaware Secretary of State as soon as practicable following stockholder approval.

Table of Contents

Adoption of the proposed amendment requires the approval of a majority of the outstanding shares of common stock entitled to vote. Accordingly, abstentions and broker non-votes will have the legal effect of a vote against this proposal. No dissenters' rights are available under the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware or under the Current Certificate of Incorporation or the Company's Bylaws to any stockholder who dissents from this proposal.

OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS THAT STOCKHOLDERS VOTE FOR THE APPROVAL OF THE AMENDED AND RESTATED CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION OF ACI WORLDWIDE, INC.

Table of Contents**INFORMATION REGARDING SECURITY OWNERSHIP**

The following tables set forth certain information regarding the beneficial ownership of our common stock as of March 31, 2014 by (i) each of our directors, (ii) each of our Named Executive Officers (as defined in the Summary Compensation Table below), (iii) all of our executive officers and directors as a group, and (iv) each person known by us to beneficially own more than 5% of the outstanding shares of our common stock. The percentages in these tables are based on 37,922,391 outstanding shares of common stock as of March 31, 2014. Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the SEC and generally includes voting or investment power with respect to the securities. In computing the number of shares beneficially owned by a person and the percentage ownership of that person, shares underlying options held by that person that will be exercisable within 60 days of March 31, 2014 are deemed to be outstanding. Such shares, however, are not deemed to be outstanding for the purpose of computing the percentage ownership of any other person.

Security Ownership of Directors and Executive Officers

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the beneficial ownership of our common stock as of March 31, 2014 by (i) each of our directors, (ii) each of our Named Executive Officers, and (iii) all of our executive officers and directors as a group. No family relationships exist among our directors and executive officers.

Beneficial Owner(1)	Number of Shares Subject to Currently Exercisable Options or Which May be Acquired Within 60 Days(2)		Total Shares Beneficially Owned	Percent
	Number of Shares Directly Owned			
Philip G. Heasley	336,430	515,805	852,235	2.22
Craig A. Maki	26,309	246,653	272,962	*
Dennis P. Byrnes	68,744	80,274	149,018	*
David N. Morem	13,349	164,247	177,596	*
Harlan F. Seymour	24,000	78,000	102,000	*
John E. Stokely	6,000	78,000	84,000	*
John D. Curtis	2,000	78,000	80,000	*
Jan H. Suwinski	30,000	60,000	90,000	*
John M. Shay, Jr.	3,000	70,000	73,000	*
Scott W. Behrens	41,695	65,755	107,450	*
James C McGroddy	4,000	30,000	34,000	*
David A. Poe	0	0	0	*
All Directors and Executive Officers as a group (12 persons)	555,527	1,466,734	2,022,261	

* Less than 1% of the outstanding shares of our common stock.

(1) The address for all of our directors and executive officers is the address of the Company's principal executive offices located at 3520 Kraft Rd, Suite 300, Naples, Florida 34105.

(2) Includes shares issuable upon exercise of vested stock options as of 60 days following March 31, 2014 (May 30, 2014).

Table of Contents**Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners**

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the beneficial ownership of our common stock as of March 31, 2014 by each person known by us to beneficially own more than 5% of the outstanding shares of our common stock.

BlackRock, Inc.(1) 40 East 52nd Street, New York, NY 10022	3,365,510	8.87%
Waddell & Reed Investment Management Co.(2) 6300 Lamar Avenue, Overland Park, KS 66202	3,914,488	9.74%
Brown Capital Management, LLC(3) 1201 N. Calvert Street, Baltimore, MD 21202	3,004,392	7.92%
The Vanguard Group(4) 100 Vanguard Blvd., Malvern, PA 19355	2,333,071	6.15%

(1) Based on a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on January 28, 2014.

(2) Based on a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on March 10, 2014.

(3) Based on a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 13, 2014.

(4) Based on a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 10, 2014.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act and the rules of the SEC require our directors, certain officers and beneficial owners of more than 10% of our outstanding common stock to file reports of their ownership and changes in ownership of our common stock with the SEC. Company employees generally prepare these reports on behalf of our executive officers on the basis of information obtained from them and review the forms submitted to us by our non-employee directors and beneficial owners of more than 10% of the common stock. Based on such information, we believe that all reports required by Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act to be filed by our directors, officers and beneficial owners of more than 10% of the common stock during or with respect to 2013 were filed on time, except that Philip G. Heasley, Scott W. Behrens, Dennis P. Byrnes, Craig A. Maki and David N. Morem did not timely file a Form 4 for transactions occurring in December 2013, but such Forms 4 were subsequently filed.

Table of Contents**INFORMATION REGARDING EQUITY COMPENSATION PLANS**

The following table sets forth, as of December 31, 2013, certain information related to our compensation plans under which shares of our common stock are authorized for issuance:

Plan Category	Number of Securities to be Issued upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (a)	Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (b)	Remaining Available for Future Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in Column (a)) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	3,349,296	\$ 33.67	2,768,734(1)
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders		\$	
Total	3,349,296	\$ 33.67	2,768,734

(1) This number reflects shares reserved for issuance in connection with performance share awards under the 2005 Incentive Plan outstanding as of December 31, 2013 based on the targeted award amounts.

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**Introduction**

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis is designed to provide stockholders with an understanding of our compensation philosophy, core principles and decision making process. It discusses the determinations of the Compensation and Leadership Development Committee of our Board of Directors (the Committee for purposes of this discussion and analysis) of how and why, in addition to what, compensation actions were taken for the following Named Executive Officers during 2013.

Philip G. Heasley	President and Chief Executive Officer
Scott W. Behrens	Senior Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Chief Accounting Officer
Dennis P. Byrnes	Executive Vice President, Chief Administrative Officer, General Counsel and Secretary
Craig A. Maki	Executive Vice President, Chief Corporate Development Officer and Treasurer
David N. Morem	Executive Vice President, Chief Risk Officer

Executive Summary**Business Highlights**

In 2013, we achieved several key strategic initiatives which enhance our scale and breadth, and position us for accelerated growth going forward. These achievements include, but are not limited to:

The achievement of record sales, revenue and operating income;

Edgar Filing: EL PASO ELECTRIC CO /TX/ - Form DEF 14A

The acquisition and integration of Online Resources Corporation, providing us with Electronic Bill Presentment and Payment (EBPP) solutions as part of our Universal Payments portfolio;

The acquisition and integration of Official Payments Holdings, Inc., providing us with an enhanced presence in the EBPP space, and expanding our portfolio across key sectors including federal, state and local governments, municipal utilities, higher education institutions and charitable giving organizations; and

Enhancing our Universal Payments portfolio as part of our strategic imperatives.

Table of Contents**Pay-for-Performance Philosophy Leads to Strong 2013 Results**

During 2013, we achieved record revenue, bookings and earnings in a competitive environment. These financial accomplishments largely tied to the pay outcomes for our Named Executive Officers.

	Fiscal Year 2013 (\$ in millions except per share amounts)	Fiscal Year 2012 (\$ in millions except per share amounts)	Percentage Increase
Revenue	\$ 864.9	\$ 666.6	30%
Operating Income	\$ 123.0	\$ 74.4	65%
60-Month Backlog	\$ 3,860.5	\$ 2,416	60%
Stock Price at year end	\$ 65.00	\$ 43.69	49%

2013 Resulting Pay Outcomes

As a result of our performance and business accomplishments, we rewarded our Named Executive Officers with competitive compensation, particularly through our 2013 annual variable cash incentive compensation program and 2011-2013 LTIP awards. These programs are specifically designed to closely tie the compensation paid to our Named Executive Officers with the performance of the Company. A summary of these compensation elements, the applicable performance metrics, the results we achieved are set forth in the table below.

Compensation Element	Performance Metrics	Results Achieved
2013 MIC	Operating income; sales, net of term extensions; and 60-month backlog	Our 2013 results were strong in nearly all these categories, which resulted in an overall 2013 MIC funding levels of 10 to 81.76%. See discussion on page 43.
2011-2013 LTIP	Compound annual growth rate of sales and cumulative operating income	Our 2011-2013 results were strong in these two categories, which resulted in 135.6% target payout in shares of common stock in March 2014. See discussion on page 44.

Stockholder Friendly Pay Practices

Our equity award and annual variable cash incentive award agreements incorporate forfeiture and recoupment provisions.

We have stock ownership guidelines for our directors and executive officers.

We grant annual equity awards so our executive officers have unvested awards that could decrease in value if they do not manage the business with a view to the Company's long-term growth and success.

We have a balance of time horizons for our incentive awards, including an annual cash incentive program, three-year performance periods for our performance shares and stock options that vest over a three-year period commencing on the first anniversary of the grant date.

Edgar Filing: EL PASO ELECTRIC CO /TX/ - Form DEF 14A

With the exception of our Chief Executive Officer, none of our executive officers, including our Named Executive Officers, has an employment agreement with the Company.

Over 93% of the votes cast at our 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders in the advisory vote on the executive compensation of our Named Executive Officers approved the executive compensation paid to our Named Executive Officers.

Our equity plans expressly prohibit re-pricing awards without stockholder approval.

Our compensation programs are egalitarian and we have no supplemental retirement benefits and minimal perquisites.

The Committee is advised by Pearl Meyer, an independent executive compensation consultant.

Table of Contents

Overview

The remainder of the Compensation Discussion and Analysis discussion below is organized as follows:

Our Executive Officer Compensation Philosophy. This section contains our compensation philosophy and objectives with respect to our executive officers.

How We Determine Executive Compensation. This section contains a discussion of the roles of the parties included in the process of determining executive officer compensation.

Elements of Executive Officer Compensation. This section details each element of the compensation we provide to our executive officers, describes the key features and how each element furthers our compensation philosophy and the relevant decisions made for 2013.

Analysis of Named Executive Officer Compensation. This section focuses on the compensation provided to each Named Executive Officer during 2013.

Analysis of 2013 Incentive Compensation Programs. This section contains details of the cash-based and equity-based incentive compensation programs pursuant to which we granted Named Executive Officers awards during 2013.

Equity Policies. This section describes our equity policies, including our stock ownership guidelines and our equity award granting policy.

Tax and Accounting Implications. This section explains our practices with respect to Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, as amended (the Code), and the deductibility of compensation paid to executive officers as well as our accounting practices for share-based compensation awards under Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718, Compensation—Stock Compensation.

Agreements with Named Executive Officers. This section contains a description of the material terms of our agreements with our Named Executive Officers.

2014 Compensation Update. This section contains a brief description of actions taken during 2013 regarding executive compensation for 2014.

Our Executive Compensation Philosophy

The purpose of our executive compensation program is to:

1. attract and retain highly qualified executives who provide leadership to the organization necessary to drive superior results;
2. reward senior executives for achieving measurable goals designed to drive superior company results; and
3. strengthen the commonality of interest between our stockholders and senior executives.

Table of Contents

Underlying the three purposes of executive compensation is our strong belief in a pay-for-performance philosophy. As a result, a significant portion of our executive officer compensation is variable with the level of compensation actually earned by the executive depends on the Company's performance against specified financial, operational and strategic goals and objectives. As the following chart demonstrates, between 78% and 86% of the total on-target compensation provided to our Named Executive Officers is variable compensation linked directly to the performance of the Company.

We design our executive compensation programs to create incentives that promote a balance of short-term profitability, growth and success and long-term value growth for our stockholders. However, to be successful, we must attract talent globally from the information technology, software development and services and financial payments markets. Accordingly, we strive to design executive compensation programs that are competitive in these industries as well as across a broader spectrum of companies of comparable size and complexity in local and global markets.

We compensate our executive officers with a mix of base salary, variable cash incentives and long-term equity incentives. Base salary is designed to provide a market competitive level of pay for each executive based on the executive's level within the organization. Variable cash and long-term equity incentives are designed to reward executives for their contributions to the Company's performance. Executive officer contributions are measured based on achievement of performance targets that correlate to increasing the market success of the business and stockholder value. These performance targets align our executives' incentives with the long-term and short-term interests of our stockholders. In aggregate, our programs support executive recruitment and retention and reward our executives for short-term operational performance while creating an incentive for future performance.

To implement our pay-for-performance philosophy, we target total cash compensation for executives at the median of relevant market levels for the respective position. For purposes of this discussion and analysis, the median of relevant market levels or "market median" typically means the 50th percentile of our current peer group and "competitive market data" or "comparative market data" refer to market data regarding our peer

Table of Contents

group. We generally target base salary levels for our executive officers slightly below market median levels with annual short-term variable cash incentive opportunities tied to specific and measurable performance goals that are important to the Company's success and targeted to pay out slightly above market median levels when performance goals are achieved or exceeded. This strategy results in placing a greater level of total cash compensation at risk than is typical in the market since salaries are conservatively targeted as compared to prevailing market norms.

With respect to equity incentives, we strive to grant our executive officers long-term equity incentive opportunities with a targeted grant-date value equal to the 65th percentile of the competitive market data for each position. The use of the 65th percentile of competitive market data for on-target long-term incentive awards demonstrates our belief that executive compensation should emphasize performance-based metrics linked to long-term value creation and stockholder alignment. By setting the target long-term incentive award above the competitive market median, we believe we provide executives greater incentive to drive company results that increase long-term stockholder value. Actual award value granted may vary based upon the degree of direct responsibility the executive officer has for corporate results, as well as managing overall dilution and plan expenses. As a result of our pay-for-performance philosophy, base salary typically comprises a smaller percentage of the total compensation of our executive officers as compared with our peer group.

How We Determine Executive Compensation

Role of Compensation Committee

The Committee operates pursuant to a charter (the "Compensation Committee Charter") approved and adopted by our Board. A copy of the Compensation Committee Charter is available on our website at www.aciworldwide.com in the Who We Are Investor Relations Corporate Governance section. During 2013, Messrs. Seymour, Shay and Suwinski served as members of the Committee. At all times during 2013, each of the directors that served on the Committee was independent as defined in Rule 5605(a)(2) of the NASDAQ listing standards.

The Committee approves base salary and incentive compensation for, and addresses other compensation matters with respect to, our executive officers, including our Named Executive Officers. The Committee grants all stock options and other equity awards to all employees, including our executive officers, based on management recommendations; provided, however, grants and awards to our CEO are based on recommendations from the Committee's independent compensation consultant and the Board's evaluation of the CEO's performance. The full Board retains the authority to grant equity awards to non-employee directors, taking into consideration the recommendations of the Corporate Governance Committee.

In determining our executive officers' compensation, the Committee primarily considers the following:

Company performance, individual performance and relative stockholder return;

the value of similar incentive awards to officers at comparable companies;

the result of the stockholder advisory vote on executive compensation (the executive compensation paid to our Named Executive Officers was approved, on an advisory basis, by over 93% of the votes cast at our 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders); and

the equity and long-term incentive awards given to the officers in prior years.

In determining our CEO's compensation, the Committee specifically considers the Board's evaluation of the CEO's performance.

The Committee is also responsible for the periodic review and evaluation of (i) the terms and administration of our annual and long-term incentive plans to assure that they are structured and administered in a manner consistent with our goals and objectives; (ii) existing equity-related plans and the adoption of any new equity-related plans, including a review and evaluation of our policies and practices relating to grants of equity-based compensation; and (iii) our employee benefits and, if applicable, perquisite programs and approval of any significant changes therein. The Committee also monitors the results of the annual stockholder advisory vote on executive compensation and factors it into the compensation plan. In accordance with the Compensation Committee Charter, the Committee also reviews and evaluates the performance of, and succession planning for, executive officers other than our CEO, and provides general oversight over leadership development process and strategies for executive officers.

Table of Contents

Role of Our CEO and Executive Management

Executive management, acting primarily through our CEO, recommends to the Committee the compensation packages for all newly-hired executive officers. In addition, our CEO annually evaluates the performance of each executive officer and, based on that review, may recommend changes in the executive officer's compensation to the Committee. This review includes a performance appraisal that takes into consideration various factors, including, without limitation, the following:

the executive's contribution to the Company's mission and objectives;

the ability of the executive to drive results for the Company;

the executive's understanding of the Company's business;

the ability of the executive to make complex decisions and his/her strategic abilities;

the executive's ability to manage work process; and

the executive's ability to manage diversity and ethics.

The CEO's review also includes a determination of each executive's leadership attributes along with an objective review of the executive's profit and loss management and other key accomplishments during the review period. Our Company is an evolving company, and executives' roles and scope of work, and the size and geographical diversity of the groups they manage are subject to change. As an executive's role changes, our CEO may recommend changes to the executive's compensation to the Committee.

The CEO's compensation recommendations may include changes in base salary and the annual on-target variable cash incentive awards under our Management Incentive Compensation (MIC) program, additional equity grants, modifications to standard vesting schedules that are deemed to be in the best interest of the Company, and changes to future MIC plan performance metrics or targets to reflect changes in the scope or focus of an executive's position. In making such recommendations, our CEO is typically provided with competitive market compensation data from our independent compensation consultant and recommendations related to individual executive performance from our human resources department. Our internal human resources department typically provides an analysis of comparative survey data obtained from third party resources when data for the selected position becomes available. All compensation changes for executive officers must be reviewed and approved by the Committee.

Management annually recommends to the Committee performance metrics for our MIC program. The performance targets associated with the selected performance metrics are tied directly to the annual operating plan. The MIC plans and the performance metrics and associated targets for executive officers are then reviewed, discussed with, and approved by the Committee.

Role of External Consultants

Pearl Meyer served as the Committee's independent compensation consultant in connection with establishing the 2013 executive compensation.

The Committee regularly reviews the services provided by its outside consultants and believes that Pearl Meyer is independent in providing executive compensation consulting services. The Committee conducted a specific review of its relationship with Pearl Meyer in 2013, and determined that Pearl Meyer's work for the Committee did not raise any conflicts of interest, consistent with the guidance provided under the Dodd-Frank Act and associated SEC and NASDAQ standards. In making this determination, the Committee reviewed information provided by Pearl Meyer on the following factors:

any other services provided to the Company by Pearl Meyer;

fees received by Pearl Meyer from the Company as a percentage of Pearl Meyer's total revenue;

policies or procedures maintained by Pearl Meyer to prevent a conflict of interest;

any business or personal relationship between the individual Pearl Meyer consultants assigned to the Company and any Committee member;

any business or personal relationship between the individual Pearl Meyer consultants assigned to the Company, or Pearl Meyer itself, and our executive officers; and

Table of Contents

any Company stock owned by the individual Pearl Meyer consultants assigned to the Company. In particular, the Committee noted that Pearl Meyer did not provide any services to the Company or its management other than service to the Committee, and its services were limited to executive compensation consulting. Specifically Pearl Meyer does not provide, directly or indirectly through affiliates, any non-executive compensation services, including, but not limited to, pension consulting or human resources outsourcing. The Committee will continue to monitor the independence of its compensation consultant on a periodic basis.

Pearl Meyer's role in 2013 included:

assisting the Committee with the review of the peer comparison group of companies;

conducting a competitive compensation analysis for key senior management positions within the Company, including each Named Executive Officer's position;

reviewing the annual variable cash incentive program and the long-term equity incentive compensation program;

consulting regarding our independent director compensation program; and

conducting a benchmarking analysis of compensation against the peer group of companies for the Committee's review. In connection with its performance of these activities, Pearl Meyer met with the Committee both with and without management present. From time to time, Pearl Meyer also conducted surveys and analyses to assist the Committee in its analysis and decision-making process related to executive compensation. Pearl Meyer did not provide any services beyond executive and independent director compensation consulting services during 2013.

Effect of 2013 Advisory Vote on Compensation

The Committee will continue to take into account the outcome of the stockholder advisory vote on our executive compensation when considering future executive compensation arrangements. In light of the strong stockholder support of our executive compensation at our 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and the continued alignment of our compensation program with the Company's strategic goals, we have maintained the changes implemented in 2013 for 2014.

Market Referencing – The 2013 Peer Group

Each year we identify a peer group of businesses for the purpose of benchmarking our executive compensation pay and practices. Since 2007, the Committee has engaged in periodic reviews of the Company's peer group in consultation with its independent compensation consultants.

After retaining Pearl Meyer as its independent compensation consultant in July 2010 and in anticipation of the establishment of 2011 executive compensation, which was established in December 2010, during 2010, the Committee requested that Pearl Meyer conduct a comprehensive review and analysis of the peer group used for executive compensation purposes, namely, to provide a market perspective for evaluating executive and non-employee director compensation, reviewing practices and trends as they relate to executive compensation programs and examining pay and performance relationships. The peer group used to compare the levels and elements of compensation provided to our executive officers in 2013 was the same peer group as 2012 and is listed below.

Ariba Inc.
Blackbaud Inc
CSG Systems International Inc.
Euronet Worldwide Inc.

JDA Software Group Inc
Micros Systems Inc.
Progress Software Corp
Quest Software Inc.

Fair Isaac Corp
Henry (Jack) & Associates
Informatica Corp.

Solera Holdings Inc.
TIBCO Software Inc.
Verifone Systems Inc.

Compensation data from the reference peer group was considered by the Committee in making its 2013 compensation decisions in addition to the 2012 peer group, Company performance, and individual performance and contributions.

Table of Contents

The criteria for selecting companies for our 2013 peer group included U.S. publicly-traded companies in the software or information technology services industries of an appropriate similarity of size, based on revenue and market capitalization as well as companies that have a similar focus in terms of products or customer which would likely compete against the Company for financial capital and employees.

Elements of Executive Compensation

Our executive compensation programs are comprised of the following principal elements, each of which is described in more detail below:

Element of Compensation	Purpose	Pay-for-Performance Considerations	Target Positioning
Cash and Short-Term Variable Cash Compensation:			
Base Salary	Provides competitive, fixed compensation to attract and retain exceptional executive talent	Adjustments to base salary consider the individual's overall performance, contribution to the business and internal and external comparisons	We target base salary for our executive officers slightly below market median levels (50 th percentile of peer group companies)
Executive Management Incentive Compensation Program	Encourages and rewards achievement of annual financial, operational and strategic performance goals	The potential amount received by an executive officer varies to the degree we achieve our annual financial, operational or strategic performance goals and the extent to which the executive officer contributes to the achievement	We target annual short-term variable cash incentive compensation to pay out slightly above market median levels when performance goals are achieved so that when combined with base salary each executive officer's total cash compensation is at market median level
Long-Term Incentive Compensation:			
Performance Shares and Stock Options	Encourages executive officers to focus on the long-term performance of the Company, links an executive officer's incentives to our stockholders' interests in increasing our stockholder value, encourages significant ownership of our common stock and promotes long-term retention of our executives officers	<u>Performance Shares:</u> The number of performance shares earned by an executive officer, if any, is based on the Company's performance over a three-year performance period against specified financial, operational or strategic performance goals	We grant long-term incentive awards with targeted grant date value equal to the long-term incentives for the 65 th percentile of comparative market data
		<u>Stock Options:</u> The potential appreciation in our stock price above the exercise price for stock options motivates our executives to build stockholder value as the executive officer only realizes value from the stock option if the stock price	

appreciates

Table of Contents**Other Elements:**

Deferred Compensation Benefits	Allows executive officers and other senior managers of the Company to defer compensation on a more tax-efficient basis by deferring employee contributions of base salary or annual variable cash incentive compensation	Not applicable	We strive to provide market competitive benefits consistent with benefits provided at other companies with whom we compete for talent
Health, Retirement and Other Benefits	Provides broad-based market competitive employee benefits program such as participation in benefit plans generally available to our employees, including, employee stock purchase plan, 401(k) retirement plan, life, health and dental insurance and short-term and long-term disability plans	Not applicable	Our goal is to provide health, retirement and other benefits at a reasonable costs consistent with health, retirement and other benefits provided at other companies with whom we compete for talent
Change-in-Control Benefits	Preserves productivity, avoids disruption and prevents attrition during a period when we may be involved in a change-in-control transaction and motivates executives to pursue transactions that are in our stockholders' best interests notwithstanding the potential negative impact of the transaction on their future employment	Not applicable	We strive to provide market competitive post-termination benefits consistent with the post-termination benefits provided at other companies with whom we compete for talent

Cash and Short-Term Variable Cash Compensation

Our compensation philosophy provides that the total on-target cash compensation of our executives should generally be at the market median (50th percentile of our current peer group). However, based on our pay-for-performance approach, we believe that annual variable cash incentive compensation tied directly to annual financial, operational and strategic performance goals should comprise a greater percentage of each executive's total cash compensation. As a result, we generally set base salaries for our executive officers slightly below the market median with annual on-target variable cash incentive compensation set slightly above the market median.

Base Salary. We generally target base salary levels for our executive officers slightly below market median levels. Each executive officer's base salary, except our Chief Executive Officer's (CEO), is based on the recommendation of our CEO to the Committee. These recommendations consider competitive market data assessments prepared by our independent compensation consultant. Other business factors used by the CEO in formulating base salary recommendations include the Company's operating budget, a desire to phase in compensation changes over more than one fiscal year, relative levels of cash incentive and long-term equity compensation, the performance of a particular executive officer's business unit in relation to established strategic plans, long-term potential of the executive officer to contribute to our financial position, retention concerns, if

Table of Contents

any, for individual executives, the overall operating performance of the Company, and the assessment of the executive's performance in the executive's annual performance appraisal. Based on data from the peer group, base salaries for our Named Executive Officers are established.

Mr. Heasley's compensation and the terms of his employment are set forth in his employment agreement, as amended and restated, which agreement is discussed in further detail below in the section entitled "CEO Employment Agreement." The Committee reviews Mr. Heasley's compensation, including his base salary and on-target incentive compensation, and the terms of his employment agreement on an annual basis in connection with the review of all other executive officers' compensation. The Committee considers competitive market data provided by our independent compensation consultant, the performance of the Company and progress on operational and strategic goals in this review. Information regarding the results of the 2013 review of Mr. Heasley's compensation along with details regarding the compensation for our Named Executive Officers during 2013 is set forth below under "Analysis of 2013 Named Executive Officer Compensation" as well as in the "Summary Compensation Table" set forth in the "Executive Compensation" section below.

Variable Cash Incentive Compensation. We generally establish annual on-target variable cash incentive compensation for our executive officers to pay out slightly above market median levels when the performance goals are achieved so that when combined with the executive officer's base salary, total on-target cash compensation for each executive officer falls within the market median.

Our variable cash incentive program is known as our Management Incentive Compensation ("MIC") program. Our MIC program is generally available to employees at or above the director level (e.g. one level below a vice president) and provides variable cash awards for business and individual performance during a 12-month performance period. The MIC program is designed to encourage an individual's contribution to, and reward an individual for, Company-wide performance and the attainment of specific operational and financial goals that are controlled by or can be directly impacted by the individual.

In January 2008, the Committee adopted the Executive Management Incentive Compensation Plan (the "Executive MIC Plan"), which was approved by our stockholders on June 10, 2008. The Executive MIC Plan is intended to satisfy the requirements for "performance-based compensation" within the meaning of Section 162(m) of the Code. The Committee believes that it is in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders to ensure that bonuses to be paid to executive officers are deductible by the Company for federal income tax purposes. All MIC awards granted in 2013 to our executive officers, including our Named Executive Officers, were granted pursuant to the Executive MIC Plan.

Our CEO recommends annual on-target MIC awards for each executive officer, excluding himself, to the Committee. The CEO's recommendations take into account competitive market data provided by our independent compensation consultant and general market data and compensation surveys provided by internal compensation resources within our human resources department.

Our MIC program provides for payments ranging from 0% of the applicable bonus opportunity, if the threshold performance levels are not attained, to 200% of the applicable bonus opportunity, if all performance is at or above the levels established to qualify for maximum payouts. Payments for performance between the threshold and maximum levels are interpolated based on the level of performance achieved.

Individualized MIC performance metrics and objectives are established for our executive officers as part of the Board's review of its strategic plan and establishment of its annual operating budget. Performance metrics and related targets for our executive officers may include a mix of core Company-level financial metrics and business unit financial metrics that may be tailored to include important operational factors under the executive officer's control. The performance metrics for our executive officers are all performance metrics set forth in the Executive MIC Plan approved by our stockholders. The Committee approves the performance metrics and objectives for each executive officer and our CEO.

The individual award agreements with each participant in the MIC program, including our Named Executive Officers, grant the Company the right to require a participant to forfeit his or her right to payment or to reimburse the Company for any payments previously paid, along with any other action the Company deems necessary or appropriate, in the event it is determined that the individual participant engaged in misconduct in the course of his or her employment.

Table of Contents

Under the MIC program, in order to be entitled to any payment under the MIC program, the participant, including our Named Executive Officers, must be an employee of the Company on the date of payment, except to the extent otherwise provided by the Company. If the participant's employment with the Company terminates for any reason prior to the payment date, the participant is not eligible for a MIC bonus under the respective MIC program, and he or she forfeits all rights to such payment except to the extent otherwise provided by the Company.

The Committee retains the right at any time to: (i) amend or terminate an individual executive's MIC plan, in whole or in part, (ii) revoke any eligible executive's right to participate in the MIC program, and (iii) make adjustments to targets.

Information about the MIC awards earned by our Named Executive Officers during 2013 is set forth below under "Analysis of 2013 Incentive Compensation Programs" as well as in the "Summary Compensation Table" set forth in the "Executive Compensation" section below.

Long-Term Incentive Compensation

Our long-term incentive program (LTIP) provides for the grant of equity awards and is available to a small group of senior management employees, including executive officers, whose responsibilities and decisions directly impact long-term business results. In each case, an executive officer's LTIP award is consistent with other executives within the Company at a similar level. Including equity awards in the compensation package of our executive officers is beneficial in aligning management and stockholder interests, and consequently increasing stockholder value because the value of equity awards is directly tied to the value of our stock, providing award recipients with incentives to increase the value of our stock. While our CEO may recommend grants of equity awards for executive officers, the Committee must approve all equity-based awards granted to all of our employees, including our executive officers.

The form of equity awards is reviewed by the Committee each year in consideration of data provided by its independent compensation consultant combined with a review of the Company's performance and business goals and consideration of global and domestic economic conditions. The combination of equity award grants to an executive officer is considered in the analysis of the executive officer's overall compensation package based on market competitiveness and a review of the executive officer's ability to contribute to increases in stockholder value. The Committee also takes into consideration the expense to the Company associated with equity awards. Generally, the Committee targets LTIP equity award opportunities at a grant-date value equal to the 65th percentile of competitive market data. The use of the 65th percentile of competitive market data for on-target long-term incentive awards demonstrates our belief that executive compensation should emphasize performance-based metrics linked to long-term value creation and stockholder alignment. By setting the target long-term incentive award above the competitive market median, we believe we provide executives greater incentive to drive company results that increase long-term stockholder value.

During 2013, the only equity incentive plan we had pursuant to which we granted equity awards, including our 2013 LTIP equity awards, was the 2005 Incentive Plan. A copy of the amended 2005 Incentive Plan was attached as Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on June 19, 2012.

The 2005 Incentive Plan provides for the grant of incentive stock options, nonqualified stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock awards, performance awards and other awards to eligible employees or non-employee directors of the Company. The maximum number of shares of our common stock that may be issued or transferred in connection with awards granted under the 2005 Incentive Plan is the sum of (1) 7,750,000 shares and (2) any shares represented by outstanding options that had been granted under designated terminated stock option plans that are subsequently forfeited, expire or are canceled without delivery of our common stock. As of December 31, 2013, we had 3,349,296 shares available for grant under the 2005 Incentive Plan based on the assumptions included in footnote 11 to the Company's audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013 included in our Annual Report.

In addition to annual grants under LTIP, in order to attract executive talent, we may grant stock options or other equity awards to new executives at the time of hire. Market practice and conditions, internal equity and the

Table of Contents

qualifications of the candidate are all factors considered by management and the Committee when determining whether to grant stock options or other equity awards to a new executive. New hire grants are typically granted by the Committee at the next regularly scheduled meeting after hire. On rare occasions, additional or special grants of stock options may be made to executives to recognize an increase in responsibility or when market conditions and competitive market data indicate that an executive's compensation is not competitive. Special option grants are subject to Committee review and approval, which typically occurs during the next scheduled Committee meeting. Stock options that are not granted as part of LTIP generally vest over a four-year period; however, the Committee may adjust the vesting schedule to incorporate specific performance elements or to support continued retention. During 2013, the Committee did not grant any special option awards to any Named Executive Officer.

Information about the LTIP equity awards granted to our Named Executive Officers during 2013 is set forth below under **Analysis of 2013 Incentive Compensation Programs** section below.

Other Elements of Compensation

Employee Stock Purchase Plan. We maintain an employee stock purchase plan that is available to substantially all employees, including our Named Executive Officers. This plan has been approved by our stockholders. Under the plan, participating employees may contribute up to 10% of their base salary (subject to certain IRS limits) to purchase shares of our common stock at the end of each participation period. The participation periods are three-month periods running from February through April, May through July, August through October and November through January each year and the purchase price is equal to 85% of the fair market value of the stock on the last day of the participation period.

Retirement Benefits. We maintain a tax-qualified 401(k) retirement plan that provides for broad-based employee participation. Beginning on the first anniversary of an employee's date of hire, we match the employee's contributions up to 4% of the employee's base salary with an annual match limit of \$4,000 for each employee. All employer and employee contributions are 100% vested immediately. Our Named Executive Officers are eligible to participate in the 401(k) retirement plan.

Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Benefits. In September 2010, the Committee established the Deferred Compensation Plan which is a non-qualified deferred compensation plan in which a select group of management and highly compensated employees, including our Named Executive Officers, may elect to participate. Eligible participants may elect to defer either base salary or incentive compensation received under the MIC program. Deferral amounts are credited to a bookkeeping account maintained by the Company with hypothetical gains or losses attributable to the earnings indices selected by the employee. The Committee designates the earnings indices available to all participants; provided, however, under no circumstances shall the value of the Company's stock be used as an earnings index. The Committee selected the following four earnings indices: S&P 500, the Russell 2000, the Barclay's Bond Index and a fixed rate of return equivalent to the prime rate. The earnings indices are to be used for measurement purposes only and amounts deferred under the Deferred Compensation Plan will not represent any actual investment made on the participant's behalf by the Company. It is intended that this Deferred Compensation Plan will conform with the requirements of Internal Revenue Code Section 409A and regulations pursuant thereto. Additional information on the Deferred Compensation Plan can be found under the heading **Deferred Compensation Plan** in the **Executive Compensation** section below.

Insurance and Disability Benefits. We provide our Named Executive Officers with basic life, health, dental and disability coverage benefits. These benefits are the same as those provided to other employees within the organization.

Perquisites. The Company generally does not provide additional or special executive-only benefits that are not part of our standard compensation practices for a particular geographic location or used to address special circumstances such as relocations.

Severance Benefits. Except for the employment agreement with Mr. Heasley described in detail below in the section entitled **Employment Agreements with Named Executive Officers**, we do not have employment or severance agreements with our Named Executive Officers and their employment may be terminated at any time.

Table of Contents

Change-in-Control Severance Benefits. All of our Named Executive Officers are entitled to certain severance benefits under the terms of a Change-in-Control Employment Agreement ("CIC Agreement"). The change-in-control benefits provided in the CIC Agreements are designed to preserve productivity, avoid disruption and prevent attrition during a period when we are, or are rumored to be, involved in a change-in-control transaction. The change-in-control severance program also motivates executives to pursue transactions that are in our stockholders' best interests notwithstanding the potential negative impact of the transaction on their future employment. A description of the current CIC Agreements can be found below under the heading "Potential Payments upon Termination or Change-in-Control" Change-In-Control Employment Agreements.

Analysis of 2013 Named Executive Officer Compensation

In connection with establishing the 2013 compensation for our CEO and executive officers, the Committee engaged Pearl Meyer to conduct a competitive compensation analysis for key senior management positions within the Company, including each Named Executive Officer's position (the "Competitive Analysis"). In the Competitive Analysis, Pearl Meyer provided compensation data on the CEOs of our peer group companies for the Committee's consideration as well as compensation data on the other executive officer positions and general industry compensation survey data. The Competitive Analysis contains information related to the median levels (50th percentile) of our peer group or market median levels. The Committee reviewed the Competitive Analysis to ensure that the compensation programs for our key senior managers, including our Named Executive Officers, are consistent with our compensation philosophy and remain within broadly competitive norms.

In addition to reviewing competitive market data, the Committee also believes that individual compensation should reflect an executive officer's position and value to our organization considering individual contribution to business results, knowledge and skills, and market value and that individual compensation should also take into consideration long-term potential of the executive officer to contribute to our financial position and retention concerns, if any, for individual executives.

In accordance with our compensation philosophy, we set the base salary for each of our Named Executive Officers for 2013 slightly below the median of our peer group and established annual on-target MIC awards targeted to pay out slightly above market median levels when the performance targets are achieved. Any modifications made to a Named Executive Officer's base salary or annual on-target MIC award during 2013, as described below, were made in order to adjust the respective executive's total cash compensation to fall within the competitive norms contained within the Competitive Analysis or to reflect individual contributions of the executive to the Company or increased responsibilities assumed by the executive. Set forth below is a summary of the decisions related to 2013 executive compensation for each of our Named Executive Officers made during 2013. Additional information regarding decisions made related to the 2014 executive compensation for our Named Executive Officers is set forth in the "2014 Compensation Update" section below.

Philip G. Heasley, President and CEO

The Committee reviews our CEO's compensation and the terms of his employment agreement on an annual basis in connection with the review of all other executive officers' compensation. Based on a review of the Competitive Analysis, Mr. Heasley received an increase to his base salary or his annual on-target MIC award. Mr. Heasley's base salary and on-target MIC award both increased from \$600,000 to \$650,000 for 2013.

On December 3, 2012, as part of the 2013 LTIP, Mr. Heasley received a grant of 44,419 performance shares and 55,524 stock options.

We believe that our application of a pay-for-performance philosophy is consistent with current market practices that tend to award a higher proportion of equity compensation to officers in the CEO position. Moreover, we believe that Mr. Heasley's compensation level should be more strongly tied to increases in stockholder value than our other Named Executive Officers because the Committee believes that the CEO's position and performance has a more significant impact on the Company's performance and stock price. Accordingly, the aggregate grant date fair value of Mr. Heasley's equity awards is currently approximately 3.5 times greater than the average LTIP grant value of our other Named Executive Officers while his base salary is only two times greater than the average base salary of our other Named Executive Officers.

Table of Contents

Scott. W. Behrens, Senior Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Chief Accounting Officer

Scott W. Behrens joined the Company in June 2007 as our Corporate Controller. In October 2007, the Board appointed him to serve as our Chief Accounting Officer. The Board designated Mr. Behrens as principal financial officer for purposes of SEC filings in March 2009 and then appointed him to serve as our Chief Financial Officer in December 2009. Mr. Behrens ceased serving as our Corporate Controller in December 2010. Mr. Behrens was appointed an Executive Vice President in March 2011 and Senior Executive Vice President in December 2013.

Based on a review of the Competitive Analysis, Mr. Behrens' base salary was increased from \$297,143 to \$310,000 and his annual on-target MIC award was increased from \$222,857 to \$310,000 for 2013. Mr. Behrens' on-target MIC award represents 100% of his base salary which was increased from 75% of his base salary to conform to competitive market data related to annual cash incentive compensation as a percentage of his positions' base salary.

On December 3, 2012, as part of the 2013 LTIP, Mr. Behrens received a grant of 14,806 performance shares and 18,508 stock options.

Dennis P. Byrnes, Executive Vice President, Chief Administrative Officer, General Counsel and Secretary

Mr. Byrnes has served as our Executive Vice President, Chief Administrative Officer, General Counsel and Secretary since March 2011. Mr. Byrnes joined the Company in June 2003 as Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary. Beginning in 2008, Mr. Byrnes has been responsible for the Company's global human resources and global corporate services functions. Mr. Byrnes became the Chief Administrative Officer in 2009. Since that time, he has assumed responsibility for the corporate tools and infrastructure, strategic planning and marketing, and information technology groups.

Based on a review of the Competitive Analysis, Mr. Byrnes' base salary was increased from \$275,000 to \$310,000 and his annual on-target MIC award was increased from \$220,000 to \$310,000 for 2013. Mr. Byrnes' on-target MIC award represents 100% of his base salary which was increased from 80% of his base salary to conform to competitive market data related to annual cash incentive compensation as a percentage of his positions' base salary.

On December 3, 2012, as part of the 2013 LTIP, Mr. Byrnes received a grant of 14,806 performance shares and 18,508 stock options.

Craig A. Maki, Executive Vice President, Chief Corporate Development Officer and Treasurer

Mr. Maki has served as our Executive Vice President, Chief Corporate Development Officer and Treasurer since March 2013. Mr. Maki joined us as our Senior Vice President and Chief Corporate Development Officer in June 2006. In January 2008, the Board appointed Mr. Maki to also serve as the Company's Treasurer.

Mr. Maki did not receive an increase to his base salary; therefore his base salary of \$275,000 remained the same in 2013. Based on a review of the Competitive Analysis, Mr. Maki's on-target MIC award was increased from \$220,000 to \$247,500. Mr. Maki's annual on-target MIC award represents 90% of his base salary which conforms to competitive market data related to annual cash incentive compensation as a percentage of his positions' base salary.

On December 3, 2012, as part of the 2013 LTIP, Mr. Maki received a grant of 11,105 performance shares and 13,881 stock options.

David N. Morem, Executive Vice President, Risk Management

Mr. Morem joined the Company in August 2005 and has served as our Executive Vice President, Risk Management since December 2013. From March 2011 to January 2013, Mr. Morem served as Executive Vice President, Global Business Operations. From January 2009 to March 2011, Mr. Morem served as Senior Vice President, Global Business Operations.

Table of Contents

Based on a review of the Competitive Analysis, Mr. Morem's base salary was increased from \$260,000 to \$275,000 and his annual on-target MIC award was increased from \$260,000 to \$275,000. Mr. Morem's annual on-target MIC award represents 100% of his base salary and conforms to competitive market data related to annual cash incentive compensation as a percentage of his position's base salary.

On December 3, 2012, as part of the 2013 LTIP, Mr. Morem received a grant of 11,105 performance shares and 13,881 stock options.

Analysis of 2013 Incentive Compensation Programs**2013 Executive MIC**

The 2013 MIC program ran from January 1, 2013 through December 31, 2013. All MIC awards granted to our executive officers, including our Named Executive Officers, granted pursuant to the Executive MIC Plan (the "2013 Executive MIC"). Under the 2013 Executive MIC, executive officers were eligible to receive annual bonus awards based on combinations of performance metrics which fall into one of two classes: (1) "Core Company Financial Metrics" consisting of operating income, sales and 60-month backlog, and (2) business unit performance metrics. The objective of the 2013 Executive MIC was to encourage executives to contribute toward the attainment of the Company's consolidated financial and performance goals for fiscal year 2013.

The 2013 MIC program incorporated a funding mechanism related to the funding of the incentive pool available for payout of MIC bonuses under the 2013 MIC, including the 2013 Executive MIC (the "Funded Incentive Pool"). Only the Core Company Financial Metrics were used to determine the Funded Incentive Pool. The total Funded Incentive Pool available for payout was calculated by determining the individual Core Company Financial Metric attainment percentage set forth in the table below and multiplying the attainment percentage by the respective weighting percentage set forth in the table below.

Core Company Financial Metric	Metric Weighting	Target Attainment Percentage	MIC Bonus
			Payout Percentage
Operating Income	75%	90% Attainment	40%
		Target Attainment	100%
		118% Attainment	200%
Sales, Net of Term Extensions	15%	90% Attainment	40%
		Target Attainment	100%
		114% Attainment	200%
60-Month Backlog	10%	98% Attainment	40%
		Target Attainment	100%
		103% Attainment	200%

The incorporation of the Funded Incentive Pool in the 2013 MIC program provided a common set of performance metrics to fund the MIC program for all participants. By establishing a Funded Incentive Pool tied to three key financial metrics, all executive officers and members of the senior management team focused on achieving results in operating income, sales, net of term extensions ("SNET") and 60-month backlog.

Bonus payouts under the 2013 MIC program, including, the 2013 Executive MIC, could have been more or less than the target 100% bonus opportunity (up to a maximum of 200%) depending on the level of attainment against each performance metric target. However, the total MIC bonus paid to our Named Executive Officers could not exceed the Funded Incentive Pool percentage.

Table of Contents

The performance metrics, relative metric weight and actual attainment for the annual bonus opportunities under the 2013 Executive MIC based on the Core Company Financial Metrics are set forth in the table below.

2013 Executive MIC

Core Company Financial	Metric	January 1, 2013	December 31, 2013	Attainment Percentage	Payout Percentage	Weighted Payout Percentage
		Performance Target	Actual Attainment			
Metric	Weight	(% in millions)	(\$ in millions)			
Operating Income(1)	75%	\$ 167,783	\$ 160,458	95.63%	95.63%	71.73%
SNET	15%	\$ 670,000	\$ 600,239	86.59%	0%	0%
60-Month Backlog	10%	\$ 3,856,083	\$ 3,859,046	100.08%	100.38%	10.04%
TOTAL PAYOUT						81.76%

(1) Operating Income objectives and actual results excluded foreign exchange expenses and certain one-time expenses.

The table below sets forth the individual payments and payout percentages earned by our Named Executive Officers during 2013.

2013 Executive MIC
Payout Amount Payout Percentage

Name of Executive	(\$)	(%)
Philip G. Heasley	531,427	81.76
Scott W. Behrens	253,450	81.76
Dennis P. Byrnes	155,000	50.00
Craig A. Maki	123,750	50.00
David N. Morem	27,500	10.00

While performance metric targets are established at levels intended to be achievable for the executive, a maximum payout is challenging and requires very high levels of both individual and Company performance. Despite the Company's strong financial performance during 2013, the payout percentage under the 2013 Executive MIC did not reach the maximum payout percentage. In accordance with our compensation philosophy related to annual short-term variable cash incentives, the 2013 Executive MIC incorporated performance metrics important to the Company's success with annual on-target MIC awards targeted to pay out at or above market median levels when performance goals are achieved or exceeded.

2013 LTIP

The 2013 LTIP was comprised of a combination of stock options and performance shares. Under the 2013 LTIP, the Committee granted 1.25 stock options for each performance share granted with each combined award unit having a targeted value equal to the 65th percentile of competitive market data. The Committee believes that the combination of stock option and performance share awards reinforces the objectives of making long-term incentives (i) variable so that the level of variable compensation actually earned by the executive depends on the Company's performance against specified financial, operational and strategic goals, and (ii) directly tied to increasing stockholder value. Stock options provide a critical and direct link between executives and stockholders given that all value earned through stock options is dependent on an increase in the value of the stock price; whereas, performance shares provide an opportunity to reward executives for both stock price growth and achievement of financial performance goals.

LTIP Performance Shares. Performance shares provide a competitive performance-based substitute to traditional equity awards while linking executive management incentives to stockholder interests. We design the performance shares compensation program and the applicable performance metrics to focus executives on a clear set of objectives over a specified performance period which is typically three years but must be at least one year. The performance metrics and the relative weight of each metric are developed for each plan cycle and approved by the Committee. The award agreements provide for no payout if a threshold level of performance is not

Table of Contents

obtained, a below market level payout if a threshold level of performance is achieved, a market level payout if targets are achieved, and above-market payout if targets are clearly exceeded.

Performance shares granted as part of the 2013 LTIP have a three-year performance period which runs from January 1, 2013 through December 31, 2015 (the Performance Period). Performance shares granted to participants, including our Named Executive Officers, as part of the 2013 LTIP are earned, if at all, based upon the achievement, over the Performance Period, of performance goals relating to the following: (a) compound annual growth rate over the Performance Period in the sales for the Company and its subsidiaries as determined by the Company, and (b) the cumulative operating income over the Performance Period for the Company and its subsidiaries as determined by the Company.

Receipt of performance shares granted as part of the 2013 LTIP is not guaranteed, and the grantees, including our Named Executive Officers, will earn the awards only if both performance goals exceed a threshold performance level. If the Company achieves the threshold performance level for both performance goals, then grantees will earn performance shares based on a performance matrix that provides 50% of the awarded performance shares are earned for threshold performance, 100% of the awarded performance shares are earned for target performance and 200% of performance shares are earned for performance at or above the maximum performance. Payments for performance between the threshold and maximum levels are interpolated based on the level of performance achieved.

The Committee elected a three-year performance period in order to focus the efforts of our executive officers on the long-term growth and success of the Company which will ultimately benefit our stockholders by increasing our stockholder value. The Committee set performance goal targets at levels intended to be challenging but achievable and to ensure that a maximum payout of performance shares requires very high levels of both individual and Company performance.

In accordance with applicable accounting standards, expense related to performance share awards is accrued if the attainment of performance indicators is probable as determined by management. The expense is recognized over the applicable Performance Period. Each quarter management evaluates the probability that the target performance goals will be achieved, if at all, and the anticipated level of attainment.

LTIP Stock Options. The Committee included time-vested stock options in the 2013 LTIP to reward long-term Company performance, link an executive's incentives to the stockholders' interests in increasing stockholder value and to provide executives with incentives to stay with the Company. Stock options granted as part of 2013 LTIP vest in equal annual installments over a three-year period and have a 10-year term. In accordance with the Company's equity award granting policy, the exercise price of all stock options equals the closing sale price (price for last trade) of our common stock as reported by The NASDAQ Global Select Stock Market on the date of grant.

Equity Policies

Stock Ownership Guidelines

Our executive officers have stock ownership guidelines designed to link the interests of executive management with that of our stockholders. These guidelines provide that our executive officers, including our Named Executive Officers, should have specific equity positions in the Company which vary by position. Under the guidelines, our CEO is expected to own shares with a value equal to five times his base salary. The remaining executive officers, including our Named Executive Officers, are expected to own shares with a value equal to three times their base salary. Shares used to calculate compliance with the ownership guidelines include direct share purchases, shares acquired through any employee benefit plan, as well as vested shares of restricted stock and the vested in-the-money portion of any stock options held by the executive officer. As of December 31, 2013, Mr. Heasley's stock ownership was valued at approximately sixty-six times his base salary. Current ownership levels for the other Named Executive Officers vary depending on their length of employment with us. Each executive officer has five years from (i) the adoption of the stock ownership guidelines, which occurred in September 2007, or (ii) the date of their appointment to an executive officer position, whichever is later, to achieve the target ownership levels. An executive officer who fails to meet the ownership guidelines within the five-year period will not be eligible for new equity awards until he achieves his prescribed ownership level.

Table of Contents

Equity Award Granting Policy

Our Board recognizes the importance of adhering to specific practices and procedures in the granting of equity awards and therefore, in September 2007, our Board adopted an Equity Award Granting Policy that applies to the granting of all compensatory equity awards provided under our equity compensation plans in the form of common stock or any derivative of common stock, including stock options, stock appreciation rights, dividend equivalents, restricted stock, restricted stock units, performance shares or performance units. This policy provides that all grants of equity awards to executive officers must be approved by the Committee, or the full Board in the case of our non-employee directors, at a Board or Committee meeting. Equity awards are not authorized pursuant to action by written consent in lieu of a meeting.

The grant date of any equity award shall be the date of the Board or Committee meeting at which the award was approved. The exercise price (if applicable) for an equity award shall be the closing sale price (price for last trade) of our common stock as reported on The NASDAQ Global Select Stock Market on the grant date.

The Committee considers regular equity award proposals on an annual basis. Proposed grants to newly hired employees or other proposed ad hoc grants (e.g., grants in connection with an acquisition) are considered on a quarterly basis in connection with the next scheduled meeting following the event giving rise to the grant proposal. Our Board considers equity awards to non-employee directors at the Board meeting immediately following the annual meeting of stockholders at which the non-employee directors are elected, or if appointed by our Board, at the meeting at which the appointment is made or at the next scheduled meeting following the appointment.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Committee or Board may consider and approve equity award grants to employees, including Named Executive Officers, at meetings other than those described above when deemed reasonably appropriate under the circumstances.

Tax and Accounting Implications

Deductibility of Executive Compensation

Section 162(m) of the Code limits the deductibility of compensation in excess of \$1 million paid to our Named Executive Officers, unless the compensation qualifies as performance-based compensation. Among other things, in order to be deemed performance-based compensation, the compensation must be based on the achievement of pre-established, objective performance criteria and must be pursuant to a plan that has been approved by our stockholders. It is intended that all performance-based compensation paid in 2013 to our Named Executive Officers under the plans and programs described above will qualify for deductibility, either because the compensation is below the threshold for non-deductibility provided in Section 162(m) of the Code, or because the payment of amounts in excess of \$1 million qualify as performance-based compensation under the provisions of Section 162(m) of the Code.

We believe that it is important to continue to be able to take all available company tax deductions with respect to the compensation paid to our Named Executive Officers. Therefore, we believe we have taken all actions that may be necessary under Section 162(m) of the Code to continue to qualify for all available tax deductions related to executive compensation. However, we also believe that preserving flexibility in awarding compensation is in our best interest and that of our stockholders, and we may determine, in light of all applicable circumstances, to award compensation in a manner that will not preserve the deductibility of such compensation under Section 162(m) of the Code.

Accounting for Share-Based Compensation

Beginning on October 1, 2006, we began accounting for share-based compensation awards, including our stock options and performance shares, in accordance with the requirements of Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718, Compensation—Stock Compensation (formerly FASB Statement 123R, Share-Based Payment). Before we grant stock-based compensation awards, we consider the accounting impact of the award as structured and under various other scenarios in order to analyze the expected impact of the award.

Table of Contents

Employment Agreements with Named Executive Officers

CEO Employment Agreement

On March 5, 2005, we entered into an Employment Agreement (the "CEO Employment Agreement") with Philip G. Heasley, pursuant to which Mr. Heasley agreed to serve as our President and CEO for an initial term of four years. The CEO Employment Agreement was amended on September 7, 2007 and subsequently amended and restated on January 7, 2009 (the "Restated CEO Employment Agreement"). A copy of the Restated CEO Employment Agreement was attached as Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 7, 2009.

Following the initial six-year employment period, the Restated CEO Employment Agreement is automatically extended for successive one-year periods, unless we give 30 days' written notice to Mr. Heasley that the Restated CEO Employment Agreement will not be renewed for an additional year, or unless the Restated CEO Employment Agreement is otherwise terminated in accordance with its terms. So long as Mr. Heasley continues to serve as our President and CEO, the Board will nominate Mr. Heasley to serve as a member of our Board of Directors. The Restated CEO Employment Agreement provides that during one-year renewal periods, Mr. Heasley's base salary, an annual on-target MIC award as well as other compensation as set forth in the Restated CEO Employment Agreement will be mutually agreed upon by the Board and Mr. Heasley.

Pursuant to the Restated CEO Employment Agreement, if Mr. Heasley's employment is terminated by the Company without cause or by Mr. Heasley for good reason, Mr. Heasley will be entitled to (1) a lump sum payment equal to his bonus for the quarter in which his employment is terminated; (2) a lump sum payment equal to two times the sum of (A) his base salary at the time of termination and (B) his average annual bonus amount received during the two most recent fiscal years of the Company ending prior to the date of termination; and (3) continued participation in the Company's medical and dental plans until the earlier of (a) two years or (b) until he is eligible to be covered under any other medical or dental plans. Mr. Heasley will also be subject to certain non-competition obligations for a period of one year following termination of his employment. The Restated CEO Employment Agreement also provides that if payments by the Company to Mr. Heasley would be subject to the excise tax imposed by Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code, then Mr. Heasley will be entitled to a gross up payment such that he will be in the same after-tax position as if no excise tax had been imposed. If Mr. Heasley is entitled to payments under the Change-in-Control Employment Agreement (as described below), no payments will be made to Mr. Heasley under the Restated CEO Employment Agreement.

2014 Compensation Update

2014 Peer Group

The peer group used to compare the levels and elements of compensation provided to our executive officers in 2014 was the reference peer group that was developed by Pearl Meyer for 2013. In 2013, Pearl Meyer recommended excluding Ariba Inc., JDA Software Group Inc. and Quest Software Inc. from the then current peer group because they were all acquired during the year. Further, Pearl Meyer recommended replacing these companies with Advent Software Inc., Pegasystems Inc. and SS&C Technologies Holdings. The resulting companies in the 2014 peer group are:

Advent Software Inc.
Blackbaud Inc.
CSG Systems International Inc.
Euronet Worldwide Inc.
Fair Isaac Corp.
Henry (Jack) & Associates
Informatica Corp.

Micros Systems Inc.
Pegasystems Inc.
Progress Software Corp.
Solera Holdings Inc.
SS&C Technologies Hlgs
TIBCO Software Inc.
Verifone Systems Inc.

Compensation data from the peer group was considered by the Committee in making its 2014 compensation decisions in addition to Company performance and individual performance and contributions.

Table of Contents

The criteria for selecting companies for our 2014 peer group included U.S. publicly-traded companies in the software or information technology services industries of an appropriate similarity of size, based on revenue or market capitalization as well as companies that have a similar focus in terms of products or customer which would likely compete against the Company for financial capital and employees.

In December 2013, the Committee established 2014 compensation for our executive officers, including our Named Executive Officers. In connection with establishing the 2014 compensation for our CEO and executive officers, the Committee engaged Pearl Meyer to conduct a competitive compensation analysis for key senior management positions within the Company, including each Named Executive Officer's position (the 2014 Competitive Analysis). In the 2014 Competitive Analysis, Pearl Meyer provided compensation data on the CEOs of our peer group companies for the Committee's consideration as well as compensation data on the other executive officer positions and general industry compensation survey data. The 2014 Competitive Analysis contains information related to the median levels (50th percentile) of our peer group or market median levels.

CEO Compensation

The Committee reviewed the 2014 Competitive Analysis and determined to increase Mr. Heasley's base salary to \$700,000 effective January 1, 2014. The Committee also increased Mr. Heasley's annual on-target MIC award for 2014 to \$700,000.

Other Named Executive Officers

The Committee reviewed the 2012 Competitive Analysis and determined to increase Mr. Behrens' base salary to \$340,000 effective January 1, 2014. The Committee determined that the base salary compensation of Messrs. Byrnes, Maki and Morem were consistent with its compensation philosophy and therefore, these Named Executive Officers did not receive any increase in his base salary. Messrs. Behrens and Byrnes' on-target MIC award for 2014 remained 100% of their base salary and Mr. Maki's on-target MIC award for 2014 remained at 90% of his base salary.

Incentive Compensation

2014 Executive MIC. Comparable to the 2013 Executive MIC, all MIC awards granted to our executive officers, including our Named Executive Officers, in 2014 were granted pursuant to the Executive MIC Plan (the 2013 Executive MIC). The structure of the 2014 Executive MIC is consistent with the 2013 Executive MIC. MIC bonus amounts are determined based upon the achievement of two categories of performance metrics: (i) funding metrics which again consist of the following core Company financial metrics: operating income, SNET and backlog, and (ii) business unit performance metrics. A MIC bonus may be more or less than 100% (up to a maximum of 200%) of its target level depending upon the percentage attainment of the performance metrics in the executive officer's plan.

2014 LTIP. On December 12, 2013, the Committee granted the 2014 LTIP awards to the executive officers, including our Named Executive Officers. The form of the 2014 LTIP award is identical to the form of the 2013 LTIP award and therefore, is comprised of a combination of stock options and performance shares. The performance shares granted as part of the 2014 LTIP awards are earned, if at all, based upon the achievement, over a three-year period commencing January 1, 2014 and ending December 31, 2016 of performance goals relating to the following: (i) compound annual growth rate over the performance period in the sales for the Company and its subsidiaries as determined by the Company, and (ii) the cumulative operating income over the Performance Period for the Company and its subsidiaries as determined by the Company. Under the 2014 LTIP, the Committee granted our Named Executive Officers the following 2014 LTIP awards:

Name	Number of Stock Options	Number of On-Target Performance Shares
Philip G. Heasley	46,129	36,903
Scott W. Behrens	13,180	10,544
Dennis P. Byrnes	13,180	10,544
Craig A. Maki	9,885	7,908
David N. Morem	7,429	5,799

Table of Contents

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The Compensation and Leadership Development Committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis contained in this Proxy Statement with management. Based on our review and discussions, we have recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Proxy Statement.

MEMBERS OF THE COMPENSATION AND LEADERSHIP

DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

John M. Shay, Jr., Chairman

Harlan F. Seymour

Jan H. Suwinski

Table of Contents**EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

The following table sets forth the compensation paid to or earned by our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and the three other most highly compensated executive officers (based on total compensation as reflected in the table below) during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013 and preceding fiscal years. The executive officers included in the Summary Compensation Table in the Executive Compensation section below are collectively referred to as our Named Executive Officers.

Summary Compensation Table(1)								
Name and Principal Position	Period	Salary	Bonus	Stock Awards	Option Awards	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation	All Other Compensation	Total
(a)	(b)	(\$) (c)	(\$) (d)	\$(2) (e)	\$(3) (f)	\$(4) (g)	\$(5) (i)	\$(j)
Philip G. Heasley,	2013	650,000	0	2,271,011	1,230,436	531,427	4,516	4,687,390
President and Chief	2012	600,000	0	1,901,577	1,098,731	642,780	4,516	4,247,604
Executive Officer	2011	575,000	0	1,230,775	756,051	812,266	9,442	3,378,608
Scott W. Behrens, Senior Executive	2013	310,000	0	648,878	351,561	253,450	4,516	1,568,405
Vice President and Chief	2012	297,140	0	633,845	366,244	238,747	4,516	1,540,492
Financial Officer	2011	282,350	0	459,386	282,198	279,203	4,516	1,307,653
Dennis P. Byrnes, Executive Vice	2013	310,000	100	648,878	351,561	155,000	4,516	1,470,055
President and Chief Administrative Officer	2012	275,000	0	633,845	366,244	235,700	4,516	1,518,255
	2011	275,000	0	459,386	282,198	310,780	4,516	1,331,880
Craig A. Maki, Executive	2013	275,000	0	486,658	263,671	123,750	516	1,149,595
Vice President, Treasurer and Chief	2012	275,000	0	475,405	274,683	235,686	516	1,261,290
Corporate Development Officer	2011	275,000	0	263,688	161,986	310,780	516	1,011,970
David N. Morem, Executive Vice	2013	275,000	0	356,870	193,358	27,500	4,516	857,244
President, Chief Risk Officer	2012	260,000	0	475,405	274,683	222,830	4,516	1,237,434
	2011	260,000	0	459,386	282,198	145,044	4,516	1,151,144

(1) Column (h) to this table entitled Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings has been omitted because no compensation is reportable thereunder.

(2) The amounts in column (e) reflect the aggregate grant date fair value of the restricted stock awards and the performance share awards granted during the respective fiscal year as computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, excluding the effect of estimated forfeitures. The amounts shown do not correspond to the actual value that will be recognized by the Named Executive Officer. The assumptions used in the calculation of these amounts are included in footnote 11 to the Company's audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013 included in our Annual Report. See the 2013 Grants of Plan-Based Awards table for information on performance shares granted in 2013. The grant date fair values included in column (e) for the performance shares are based upon the probable outcome of the performance conditions. The following table reflects both the grant date fair value of the performance shares included in this column as well as the maximum value of these awards if, due to the Company's performance during the applicable

Edgar Filing: EL PASO ELECTRIC CO /TX/ - Form DEF 14A

performance period, the performance shares vested at their maximum level based on the Company's stock price on the grant date of the awards:

Name of Executive	Grant Date Fair Value of Performance Shares (\$)		Maximum Value of Performance Shares (\$)	
	2012	2013	2012	2013
Philip G. Heasley	1,901,577.39	2,271,011.00	3,803,154.78	4,542,022.00
Scott W. Behrens	633,844.86	648,878.00	1,267,689.72	1,297,756.00
Dennis P. Byrnes	633,844.86	648,878.00	1,267,689.72	1,297,756.00
Craig A. Maki	475,405.05	356,870.00	950,810.10	713,740.00
David N. Morem	475,405.05	486,658.00	950,810.10	973,316.00

- (3) The amounts in column (f) reflect the aggregate grant date fair value of the stock option awards granted during the respective fiscal year as computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, excluding the effect of estimated forfeitures. The amounts shown do not correspond to the actual value that will be

Table of Contents

recognized by the Named Executive Officer. The assumptions used in the calculation of these amounts are included in footnote 11 to the Company's audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013 included in our Annual Report. See the 2013 Grants of Plan-Based Awards table for information on stock options granted in 2013.

- (4) The amounts in column (g) represent amounts earned by the Named Executive Officers during 2012 pursuant to the 2013 Executive MIC. The table below sets forth the amounts earned by the executive during 2013 under the 2013 Executive MIC but paid to the executive in February 2014 and the respective payout percentages:

Name of Executive	2013 Executive MIC	
	Payout Amount	Payout Percentage(a)
	(\$)	(%)
Philip G. Heasley	531,427.00	81.76%
Scott W. Behrens	253,450.00	81.76%
Dennis P. Byrnes	155,000.00	50.00%
Craig A. Maki	123,750.00	50.00%
David N. Morem	27,500.00	10.00%

- (a) The percentages shown reflect the percentage of the target bonus opportunity amounts paid to each Named Executive Officer based on the performance metrics and targets applicable to each Named Executive Officer and the Company's performance against such targets during the plan period.

- (5) All Other Compensation includes the following payments or accruals for each Named Executive Officer:

Name of Executive	Employer Contributions to the 401(k) Plan	Premiums for Long-Term Disability Insurance
	(\$)	(\$)
Philip G. Heasley	4,000	516
Scott W. Behrens	4,000	516
Dennis P. Byrnes	4,000	516
Craig A. Maki	0	516
David N. Morem	4,000	516

2013 Grants of Plan-Based Awards

In 2013, we utilized two plans to provide our Named Executive Officers with opportunities to earn cash or equity incentive compensation: the 2013 Executive MIC and the 2005 Incentive Plan. The 2013 Executive MIC provides cash compensation for annual performance by the Company and the individual executives. The 2005 Incentive Plan provides equity-based compensation. The following table sets forth information concerning annual incentive cash awards, grants of stock options and grants of performance shares to our Named Executive Officers during 2013.

Table of Contents**2013 Grants of Plan-Based Awards(1)**

Name (a)	Grant Date (b)	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards(2)			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards(3)			All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options(4)	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards (\$/Sh) (k)	Grant Date Fair Value(5) (\$) (l)
		Threshold (\$) (c)	Target (\$) (d)	Maximum (\$) (e)	Threshold (#) (f)	Target (#) (g)	Maximum (#) (h)			
Philip G. Heasley										
-2013 Executive MIC	N/A	280,000	700,000	1,400,000						
-2005 Incentive Plan	12/12/13				18,452	36,903	73,806			2,271,011
-2005 Incentive Plan	12/12/13							46,129	61.54	1,230,436
Scott W. Behrens										
-2013 Executive MIC	N/A	136,000	340,000	680,000						
-2005 Incentive Plan	12/12/13				5,272	10,544	21,088			648,878
-2005 Incentive Plan	12/12/13							13,180	61.54	351,561
Dennis P. Byrnes										
-2013 Executive MIC	N/A	124,000	310,000	620,000						
-2005 Incentive Plan	12/12/13				5,272	10,544	21,088			648,878
-2005 Incentive Plan	12/12/13							13,180	61.54	351,561
Craig A. Maki										
-2013 Executive MIC	N/A	99,000	247,500	495,000						
-2005 Incentive Plan	12/12/13				3,954	7,908	15,816			486,658
-2005 Incentive Plan	12/12/13							9,885	61.54	263,671
David N. Morem										
-2013 Executive MIC	N/A	124,000	310,000	620,000						
-2005 Incentive Plan	12/12/13				2,900	5,799	11,598			356,870
-2005 Incentive Plan	12/12/13							7,249	61.54	193,358

(1) Column (i) to this table entitled All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units has been omitted because no stock awards are reportable thereunder.

- (2) The amounts shown as estimated payouts under non-equity incentive plans include estimated payouts under the 2013 Executive MIC. The actual payouts to each Named Executive Officer under the 2013 Executive MIC are set forth in footnote 4 to the Summary Compensation Table above. The amounts shown in column (c) reflect the minimum payment level under the 2013 Executive MIC above zero assuming that only the lowest weighted metric achieves threshold performance. The amounts shown in column (d) reflect the target payment levels of 100% under the 2013 Executive MIC assuming that each performance metric achieves target performance. The amounts shown in column (e) reflect the maximum payment levels under the 2013 Executive MIC assuming each performance metric achieves maximum performance which payment represents 200% of the targeted amount shown in column (d).
- (3) The awards shown in columns (f) through (h) reflect shares of our common stock payable in connection with performance share awards granted to our Named Executive Officers during 2013. All performance shares granted in 2013 were granted pursuant to the terms of the 2005 Incentive Plan. These performance shares will be earned, if at all, based upon the achievement, over a defined performance period of three years commencing January 1, 2014 through December 31, 2016 (the Performance Period), of performance goals related to (1) the compound annual growth rate over the Performance Period in sales as determined by the Company, and (b) the cumulative operating income over the Performance Period as determined by the Company. The amounts shown in column (f) reflect the minimum payout of performance shares above zero assuming achievement of threshold performance for each metric. The amounts shown in column (g) reflect the payout of performance shares at 100% assuming that each metric achieves target performance. The amounts shown in column (h) reflect the payout of performance shares at 200% assuming that each metric achieves maximum performance.
- (4) All stock options granted to our Named Executive Officers during 2013 were granted pursuant to the terms of the 2005 Incentive Plan. All stock options granted to our Named Executive Officers in 2013 vest one third per year beginning with the first anniversary of the date of grant.
- (5) The grant date fair value of each equity award granted during 2013 was computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, excluding the effect of estimated forfeitures. For equity awards that are subject to

Table of Contents

performance conditions, the amounts reflected in column (l) reflect the value at the grant date based upon the probable outcome of such conditions and this amount is consistent with the estimate of the aggregate compensation cost to be recognized over the service period determined as of the grant date under FASB ASC Topic 718, excluding the effect of estimated forfeitures. The probable outcome used for the calculation of the performance shares granted during 2013 is based on the achievement of target performance for each metric.

Outstanding Equity Awards at 2013 Fiscal Year End									
Option Awards(1)						Stock Awards			
Name	Option Grant Date	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options	Option Exercise Price	Option Expiration Date	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have		Stock Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have
		(#)	(#)			Not Vested	Not Vested	Not Vested(3)	Not Vested(4)
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)
Philip G. Heasley	12/12/2013		46,129	61.54	12/12/2023			36,903	2,398,695
	12/3/2012	18,508	37,016	42.81	12/3/2022			44,419	2,887,235
	12/8/2011	35,811	17,906	28.94	12/8/2021			42,974	2,793,310
	12/1/2010	43,890		26.63	12/1/2020			35,112	2,282,280
	12/10/2009	45,708		16.52	12/10/2019				
	7/24/2007	100,000		32.61	7/24/2017				
	3/9/2005	350,000		22.65	3/9/2015				
Scott W. Behrens	12/12/2013		13,180	61.54	12/12/2023			10,544	685,360
	12/3/2012	6,169	12,339	42.81	12/3/2022			14,806	962,390
	12/8/2011	13,366	6,684	28.94	12/8/2021			16,040	1,042,600
	12/1/2010	16,095		26.63	12/1/2020			12,876	836,940
	12/10/2009	15,125		16.52	12/10/2019				
	6/27/2007	15,000		33.46	6/27/2017				
Dennis P. Byrnes	12/12/2013		13,180	61.54	12/12/2023			10,544	685,360
	12/3/2012	6,169	12,339	42.81	12/3/2022			14,806	962,390
	12/8/2011	13,366	6,684	28.94	12/8/2021			16,040	1,042,600
	12/1/2010	16,095		26.63	2/1/2020			12,876	836,940
	12/10/2009	15,125		16.52	12/10/2019				

Edgar Filing: EL PASO ELECTRIC CO /TX/ - Form DEF 14A

David N. Morem	6/5/2007	12,019		34.97	6/5/2017		
	9/14/2005	17,500		28.27	9/14/2015		
	12/12/2013		7,249	61.54	12/12/2023	5,799	376,935
	12/3/2012	4,627	9,254	42.81	12/3/2022	11,105	721,825
	12/8/2011	13,366	6,684	28.94	12/8/2021	16,040	1,042,600
	12/1/2010	9,510		26.63	12/1/2020	7,608	494,520
	12/10/2009	9,725		16.52	12/10/2019		
Craig A. Maki	6/5/2007	12,019		34.97	6/5/2017		
	9/14/2005	15,000		28.27	9/14/2015		
	8/9/2005	60,000		25.38	8/9/2015		
	8/9/2005	40,000		25.38	8/9/2015		
	12/12/2013		9,885	61.54	12/12/2023	7,908	514,020
	12/3/2012	4,627	9,254	42.81	12/3/2022	11,105	721,825
	12/8/2011	7,672	3,837	28.94	12/8/2021	9,207	598,455
	12/1/2010	9,510		26.63	12/1/2020	7,608	494,520
	12/10/2009	15,125		16.52	12/10/2019		
	9/16/2008	36,700		19.76	9/16/2018		
	2/1/2008	61,000		16.17	2/1/2018		
	6/5/2007	12,019		34.97	6/5/2017		
	8/9/2006	100,000		34.74	8/9/2016		

- (1) Column (d) to this table under "Option Awards" entitled "Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Unearned Options" has been omitted because no shares are reportable thereunder.
- (2) Unless otherwise noted all stock options vest in equal installments over a three-year period beginning with the first anniversary of the date of grant.
- (3) This column reflects the payout of the underlying shares of our common stock related to performance shares granted in 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 pursuant to the 2005 Incentive Plan based on the achievement of target performance for each metric. Performance shares granted on December 1, 2010 have a performance period from January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2013, performance shares granted on December 8, 2011 have a

Table of Contents

performance period from January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2014, performance shares granted on December 3, 2012 have a performance period from January 1, 2013 through December 31, 2015, and performance shares granted on December 12, 2013 have a performance period from January 1, 2014 through December 31, 2016.

- (4) The market value of the performance shares that have not vested was calculated by multiplying the number of performance shares set forth in column (i) by the closing price of our common stock at December 31, 2013 which was \$65.00.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested

The following table sets forth option exercises and stock vested for each of our Named Executive Officers for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Name (a)	Option Exercises and Stock Vested		Stock Awards	
	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares		Number of Shares	
	Acquired on Exercise	Value Realized on Exercise	Acquired on Vesting	Value Realized on Vesting(1)
	(#) (b)	(\$) (c)	(#) (d)	(\$) (e)
Philip G. Heasley	665,000	20,871,226.90	73,140	3,531,199.20
Scott W. Behrens	0	0	24,200	1,168,376.00
Dennis P. Byrnes	10,000	453,802.32	24,200	1,168,376.00
Craig A. Maki	0	0	24,200	1,168,376.00
David Morem	0	0	15,560	751,236.80

- (1) In accordance with SEC rules, the amounts in column (c) were calculated by determining the difference between the market price of the underlying securities at exercise and the exercise price of the options, and the amounts in column (e) were calculated by multiplying the number of shares of restricted stock that vested by the market value of the underlying shares on the vesting date.

Deferred Compensation Plan

In September 2010, the Compensation Committee approved the Amended and Restated Deferred Compensation Plan (the "Deferred Compensation Plan"). A copy of our Deferred Compensation Plan was attached as Exhibit 4.3 to our Registration Statement on Form S-8 filed with the SEC on September 9, 2010.

The Deferred Compensation Plan is an unfunded, nonqualified deferred compensation plan designed to allow a select group of management or highly compensated employees designated by our Compensation Committee, including our Named Executive Officers, to save for retirement on a tax-deferred basis. The Deferred Compensation Plan is intended to comply with the requirements of Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. The effective date for the Deferred Compensation Plan was October 1, 2010. The initial plan year commenced October 1, 2010 and ended on December 31, 2010. Each plan year thereafter runs from January 1 to December 31.

Amounts deferred under the Deferred Compensation Plan will be credited to bookkeeping accounts maintained by the Company for each participant and will be credited or debited with the participant's proportionate share of any gains or losses attributable to the earnings indices selected by the participant. The Compensation Committee will designate the earnings indices available to participants; provided, however, under no circumstances shall the value of our common stock be used as an earnings index. The Committee selected the following four earnings indices: S&P 500, the Russell 2000, the Barclay's Bond Index and a fixed rate of return equivalent to the prime rate. The earnings indices are to be used for measurement purposes only and amounts deferred under the Deferred Compensation Plan will not represent any actual investment made on the participant's behalf by the Company. The amount that the Company is required to pay under the Deferred Compensation Plan is equal to the elective deferrals made by the participant, as adjusted for the hypothetical gains or losses based on the earnings indices selected by the participant. The Company may make discretionary contributions to participant accounts in such amounts and at such times as are determined by the Company from time to time in its sole discretion.

Table of Contents

Amounts deferred by a participant are fully vested at all times. The Compensation Committee may impose a vesting schedule of up to five years with respect to discretionary contributions, if any, made by the Company to a participant account.

The amounts payable to participants under the Deferred Compensation Plan will be payable in accordance with the distribution provisions of the Deferred Compensation Plan. Distribution generally cannot be made prior to the distribution dates specified by the participants, other than withdrawals made in the event of a participant's (i) unforeseeable emergency, as defined in the Deferred Compensation Plan, (ii) separation from service, (iii) death or (iv) disability. Distributions will be made to participants in a single lump-sum payment after the earliest of (a) the participant's separation from service, (b) the participant's death or (c) the participant's disability (Standard Distribution). In lieu of the Standard Distribution timing, a participant may elect, at the time of deferral, to receive distribution in a given plan year (a) at a specified date or time (or upon attainment of a specific age), or (b) upon the earlier of such date (or age) or one or more of the Standard Distribution events. A participant may also elect, at the time of deferral, to receive distributions in annual installments for a period of up to 10 years. Deferred amounts retained in a participant's account during the payout period continue to earn hypothetical gains and are subject to hypothetical losses based on the earnings indices selected by the participant.

Amounts deferred under the Deferred Compensation Plan are general unsecured obligations of the Company and are subject to the claims of the Company's general creditors and rank equally with other unsecured indebtedness of the Company from time to time outstanding.

The Deferred Compensation Plan is administered by the Compensation Committee and the Compensation Committee has full power to interpret the plan and determine all questions that arise under it. The Compensation Committee reserves the right to amend or terminate the Deferred Compensation Plan at any time; provided, however, that no such action shall affect a participant's right to receive the full amount of his or her vested account balance.

During 2013, none of our Named Executive Officers made any contributions to the Deferred Compensation Plan and the Company did not make any discretionary contributions to any Named Executive Officer's account.

2013 Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Table

	Executive contributions in 2013 (\$)	Registrant contributions in 2013 (\$)	Aggregate earnings in 2013 (\$)	Aggregate withdrawals/ distributions (\$)	Aggregate balance at last FYE (\$)
Name	(b)	(c)	(d)(1)	(e)	(f)
Philip G. Heasley					
Scott W. Behrens					
Dennis P. Byrnes	245,210		6,957		344,554
Craig A. Maki					
David N. Morem					

(1) These amounts are not included in the Summary Compensation table because the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation plan earnings were not preferential or above market.

POTENTIAL PAYMENTS UPON TERMINATION OR CHANGE-IN-CONTROL

Except for the employment agreement with Mr. Heasley described above, and the change-in-control agreements described below, none of our Named Executive Officers have employment or severance agreements with the Company and their employment may be terminated at any time.

Change-In-Control Employment Agreements

We have entered into a Change-In-Control Employment Agreement (the "CIC Agreement") with each of our Named Executive Officers (each an "Executive" for purposes of this section). A copy of the form of CIC Agreement for all Executives was attached as Exhibit 10.2 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 7, 2009.

Table of Contents

Under the CIC Agreement, we are required to employ the Executive for a two-year period following a change-in-control (the Employment Period). During the Employment Period, we must (1) pay the Executive a base salary equal to the highest annual rate of base salary paid or payable to the Executive during the 12-month period prior to the change-in-control, (2) award the Executive for each fiscal period during the Employment Period total annual and quarterly bonus opportunities in amounts greater than or equal to the Executive's target annual and quarterly bonus opportunities for the year in which the change-in-control occurs, and (3) allow the Executive opportunities to participate in the Company's incentive, savings and retirement plans to an extent no less favorable than opportunities provided for by the Company in the 120-day period prior to the beginning of the Employment Period.

The CIC Agreement also sets forth our obligations in the event the Executive's employment terminates during the Employment Period. The following is a summary of such obligations.

Termination of Employment Other Than for Cause or by Executive for Good Reason. If we terminate the Executive's employment other than for cause or the Executive's death or disability, or the Executive terminates his employment for good reason, the Executive will be entitled to receive from the Company certain payments and benefits. These payments and benefits include (1) the lump sum payment of (a) the Executive's unpaid current year annual base salary through the date of termination, the current year target annual bonus pro-rated through the date of termination, and any accrued and unpaid vacation pay (collectively, the Accrued Obligations), and (b) two or, in the case of Mr. Heasley only, three times, the sum of the Executive's annual base salary and target annual bonus; (2) continued participation at the Company's cost in the welfare benefits plans in which the Executive would have been entitled to participate, for two or, in the case of Mr. Heasley only, three years, from the date of termination or until the Executive receives equivalent benefits from a subsequent employer, in which case, welfare benefits plans provided by the Company will be secondary to the subsequent employer's plans during the applicable period of eligibility; (3) outplacement services not to exceed \$50,000; and (4) any unpaid amounts that are vested benefits or that the Executive is otherwise entitled to receive under any plan, policy, practice or program of, or any other contract or agreement with, the Company or the affiliated companies at or subsequent to the date of termination (the Other Benefits).

Death. If the Executive's employment is terminated by reason of the Executive's death, we must provide the Executive's estate or beneficiaries with the Accrued Obligations and the timely payment or delivery of the Other Benefits, and will have no other severance obligations under the CIC Agreement.

Disability. If the Executive's employment is terminated by reason of the Executive's disability, we must provide the Executive with the Accrued Obligations and the timely payment or delivery of the Other Benefits, and shall have no other severance obligations under the CIC Agreement.

Termination of Employment for Cause or by Executive other than for Good Reason. If the Executive's employment is terminated for cause, we must provide the Executive with the Executive's annual base salary through the date of termination, and the timely payment or delivery of the Other Benefits, and will have no other severance obligations under the CIC Agreement. If the Executive voluntarily terminates employment, excluding a termination for good reason, we must provide to the Executive the Accrued Obligations and the timely payment or delivery of the Other Benefits, and will have no other severance obligations under the CIC Agreement.

Tax-Gross-Up. If any payment under the CIC Agreement would be subject to excise tax, the Executive will be entitled to receive an additional payment (the Gross-Up Payment) in an amount such that, after payment by the Executive of all taxes, including, without limitation, any income taxes (and any interest and penalties imposed with respect thereto) and excise tax imposed upon the Gross-Up Payment, but excluding any income taxes and penalties imposed pursuant to Section 409A of the Code, the Executive retains an amount of the Gross-Up Payment equal to the excise tax imposed upon the payments. There is, however, a provision of the CIC Agreements under which a portion of the Executive's payments under the CIC Agreement will be forfeited if the excise tax can be eliminated (provided the forfeiture cannot exceed 10% of the amount due to the Executive).

Acceleration of Equity Awards. In the event of a change-in-control, all stock-based awards held by the Executive will vest in full, in each case immediately prior to the occurrence of such change-in-control, and any applicable performance-based vesting goals with respect to such stock-based awards granted to the Executive

Table of Contents

shall be deemed satisfied at the target level; *provided, however*, that (a) any performance shares awarded under the Company's 2005 Incentive Plan and (b) any stock options which vest upon the attainment of a certain per-share transaction price in connection with a change-in-control granted under the Company's 2005 Incentive Plan, will, in each case, vest pursuant to the terms of the applicable award agreement, notwithstanding the provision of any award agreement requiring that market conditions exist for a specified duration of time.

Non-solicitation and Non-competition Provisions. During the Employment Period and for a period of one year following termination of employment, each Executive agrees not to (a) enter into or engage in any business that competes with the Company's business within a specified restricted territory; (b) solicit customers with whom the Executive had any contact or for which the Executive had any responsibility (either direct or supervisory) at the date of termination or at any time during the one (1) year prior to such date of termination, whether within or outside of the restricted territory, or solicit business, patronage or orders for, or sell, any products and services in competition with, or for any business that competes with the Company's business within the restricted territory; (c) divert, entice or otherwise take away any customers, business, patronage or orders of the Company within the restricted territory, or attempt to do so; (d) promote or assist, financially or otherwise, any person, firm, association, partnership, corporation or other entity engaged in any business that competes with the Company's business within the restricted territory; or (e) solicit or induce or attempt to solicit or induce any employee(s), sales representative(s), agent(s) or consultant(s) of the Company and/or its affiliated companies to terminate their employment, representation or other association with the Company and/or its affiliated companies, provided that the foregoing shall not apply to general advertising not specifically targeted at employees, sales representatives, agents or consultants of the Company and/or its affiliated companies.

Release. As a condition to receiving any of the severance benefits under the CIC Agreements, the Named Executive Officers are required to release the Company and its employees from all claims that the Named Executive Officer may have against them.

Post-Termination Benefits Under Incentive Plans

Executive MIC Plan

Under the Executive MIC Plan, in order to be entitled to a payment under the plan, the executive, including our Named Executive Officers, must be employed by the Company on the date of payment. If employment with the Company is terminated for any reason prior to the payment date, the executive will not be eligible for a bonus under the Executive MIC Plan and the executive forfeits all rights to such payment except to the extent otherwise provided by the Company.

The individual award agreements with each executive officer, including our Named Executive Officers, related to the Executive MIC Plan, grant the Company the right to require an executive officer to forfeit his or her right to payment or to reimburse the Company for any payments previously paid, along with any other action the Company deems necessary or appropriate, in the event it is determined that the executive officer engaged in misconduct in the course of his or her employment.

2005 Incentive Plan

Stock Options. The award agreements for stock options granted under the 2005 Incentive Plan generally provide that if an optionee, including a Named Executive Officer, voluntarily terminates employment with the Company, all unvested stock options will terminate and the optionee will have 90 days from the date of termination to exercise any vested stock options granted under the 2005 Incentive Plan. However, the award agreements also generally provide that if the optionee's employment terminates due to death or disability, all stock options will immediately vest upon the optionee's death or disability and the optionee (or his or her estate or personal representative) will have one year from the date of death or disability to exercise the stock options. Award agreements to executive officers, including our Named Executive Officers, also generally provide that all stock options will immediately vest upon the occurrence of a change-in-control of the Company. A copy of the form of Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement used to grant stock options to employees, including our Named Executive Officers, under the 2005 Incentive Plan was filed as Exhibit 10.18 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 filed with the SEC on February 26, 2009.

Table of Contents

Performance Shares. The award agreements for performance shares granted under the 2005 Incentive Plan generally provide that if an employee, including a Named Executive Officer, voluntarily terminates employment with the Company prior to payment of the performance shares, all performance shares are forfeited. In the event of death, disability or termination of employment without cause, the award agreements generally provide that the Company must pay the employee a pro-rata portion of the performance shares he would have been entitled based on the performance of the Company during the full fiscal quarters completed during the applicable performance period until the date of termination. Such amounts will be paid made as soon as practicable after the receipt of audited financial statements of the Company relating to the last fiscal year of the performance period. The award agreements for performance shares also provide that in the event of a change-in-control of the Company, the Company will pay the employee, within 60 days of the change-in control, a pro-rata portion of the performance shares the employee would have been entitled to based on the performance of the Company at the target level for the full fiscal quarters completed during the applicable performance period prior to the date of the change-in-control. A copy of the form of LTIP Performance Shares Agreement used to grant performance shares to employees, including our Named Executive Officers, under the 2005 Incentive Plan was filed as Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 16, 2009.

Restricted Shares. The award agreements for restricted shares granted under the 2005 Incentive Plan generally provide that if any employee, including a Named Executive Officer, voluntarily terminates employment with the Company, the employee forfeits all unvested restricted shares. However, the award agreements also generally provide that if the employee's employment terminates due to death or disability, all shares of restricted stock will immediately vest upon the employee's death or disability. Award agreements to executive officers, including our Named Executive Officers, also generally provide that all shares of restricted stock will immediately vest upon the occurrence of a change-in-control of the Company. A copy of the form of Restricted Share Award Agreement used to grant restricted shares to employees, including our Named Executive Officers, under the 2005 Incentive Plan was filed as Exhibit 10.29 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 filed with the SEC on February 26, 2009.

Forfeiture and Recoupment Provisions. Commencing in 2009, our form of award agreements for all awards granted to employees pursuant to the 2005 Incentive Plan, including Named Executive Officers, provide that if the Company is required to restate its consolidated financial statements because of material noncompliance due to irregularities with the federal securities laws, which restatement is due, in whole or in part, to the misconduct of the employee, or it is determined that the employee has otherwise engaged in misconduct (whether or not such misconduct is discovered prior to the termination of the employee's employment), the Company has (a) the right to cause the forfeiture or cancellation of any unvested and/or vested portion of the option, any unvested restricted shares or any unearned performance shares, (b) cause the transfer of ownership back to the Company of any vested shares not subject to transfer restrictions, common shares issued as payment for earned performance shares or cash received as payment for earned performance shares, and (c) the right to recoup any proceeds from (i) the exercise or vesting of the option, (ii) the vesting of the restricted shares, (iii) the sale of shares of our common stock issued pursuant to the exercise of the option or as payment for earned performance shares, and (iv) the sale of any unrestricted shares, along with any other action the Company determines is necessary or appropriate and in the best interest of the Company and its stockholders.

Other Stock Option Plans

The Company has two other stock option plans pursuant to which our Named Executive Officers held outstanding stock options at the end of 2013: (a) the 1996 Stock Option Plan, as amended (the "1996 Option Plan"), and (b) the 1999 Stock Option Plan, as amended (the "1999 Option Plan"). The 1996 Option Plan was terminated in connection with the adoption of the 2005 Incentive Plan in March 2005 and the 1999 Option Plan expired on February 23, 2009. Termination or expiration of these plans does not affect the outstanding awards issued under the plans.

The award agreements for stock options granted under these option plans generally provide that if an optionee, including a Named Executive Officer, voluntarily terminates employment with the Company, all unvested stock options will terminate and the optionee will have one month from the date of termination to exercise any vested stock options. However, the award agreements also generally provide that if the optionee's

Table of Contents

employment terminates due to death or disability, all stock options will immediately vest upon the optionee's death or disability and the optionee (or his or her estate or personal representative) will have one year from the date of death or disability to exercise the stock options. The award agreements granting stock options to executive officers, including our Named Executive Officers, under each of these plans also generally provide that all stock options will immediately vest upon the occurrence of a change-in-control of the Company.

Potential Post-Termination Benefits Table

The table below quantifies certain compensation that would have become payable to our Named Executive Officers in the event such executive officer's employment had terminated on December 31, 2013 under various circumstances. The estimates set forth in the table below are based on our Named Executive Officers' compensation and service levels as of such date and, if applicable, the closing stock price of our common stock on that date which was \$63.00. These benefits are in addition to benefits generally available to salaried employees such as distributions under our 401(k) Plan, disability benefits and accrued vacation pay.

Due to the number of factors that affect the nature and amount of any benefits provided upon the events discussed below, any actual amounts paid or distributed to our Named Executive Officers may be different. Factors that could affect these amounts include the timing of any such event, our stock price and the executive's age.

Compensation Program	Voluntary			Involuntary			Involuntary or for	
	For		Good Reason	Without Cause		Death	Disability	Good Reason after
	Reason	Other than Good Reason		Cause				
	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	Retirement (\$)	Change-in-Control (\$)
Cash Severance:								
Heasley	2,755,046	0	0	2,755,046	0	0	0	3,900,000
Behrens	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,240,000
Byrnes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,240,000
Morem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,100,000
Maki	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,045,000
Bonus Payment:								
Heasley	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	650,000
Behrens	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	310,000
Byrnes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	310,000
Morem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	275,000
Maki	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	247,500
Stock Options(1):								
Heasley	0	0	0	0	1,626,682	1,626,682	0	1,626,682
Behrens	0	0	0	0	560,430	560,430	0	560,430
Byrnes	0	0	0	0	560,430	560,430	0	560,430
Morem	0	0	0	0	471,453	471,453	0	471,453
Maki	0	0	0	0	377,911	377,911	0	377,911
Restricted Shares:								
Heasley	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Behrens	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Byrnes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Morem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Maki	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Performance Shares (2)(3):								
Heasley	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5,106,898
Behrens	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,852,803
Byrnes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,852,803
Morem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,430,195
Maki	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,134,098

Table of Contents

	Voluntary			Involuntary			Involuntary or for	
	For	Good	Other than	For	Without	Death	Disability	Good Reason after
	Reason	Reason	Good Reason	Cause	Cause		Retirement	Change-in-Control
	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
Compensation Program								
<u>Health & Welfare Benefit Continuation:</u>								
Heasley	18,620	0	0	18,620	0	0	0	30,833
Behrens	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	32,750
Byrnes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	34,962
Morem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25,636
Maki	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	32,638
<u>Outplacement Services:</u>								
Heasley	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50,000
Behrens	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50,000
Byrnes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50,000
Morem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50,000
Maki	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50,000
<u>Excise Tax Gross-Up / (Forfeiture)</u>								
<u>Related to a CIC:</u>								
Heasley	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3,242,485
Behrens	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,272,741
Byrnes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Morem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,028,876
Maki	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	844,824
<u>TOTALS:</u>								
Heasley	2,773,666	0	0	2,773,666	1,626,682	1,626,682	0	14,606,898
Behrens	0	0	0	0	560,430	560,430	0	5,318,725
Byrnes	0	0	0	0	560,430	560,430	0	4,048,196
Morem	0	0	0	0	471,453	471,453	0	4,381,160
Maki	0	0	0	0	377,911	377,911	0	3,731,971

- (1) Unvested stock options are accelerated upon termination due to death and disability and termination without cause or for good reason after a CIC. All the accelerated values are calculated based on the December 31, 2013 closing price of ACI (\$65.00). In-the-money values of vested stock options as of December 31, 2013, as disclosed in the following table, are not included in the termination table.

**In-the-money Value of Vested
Stock Options as of December 31,
2013**

Named Executive Officer	(\$)
Heasley	23,663,520
Behrens	2,442,793
Byrnes	2,973,399
Morem	6,294,898
Maki	9,503,353

- (2) The estimated pro rata portion of performance shares set forth in this table and in the footnote below, relate solely to the performance shares granted December 1, 2010, December 8, 2011 and December 3, 2012. The performance period for the performance shares granted December 12, 2013 does not commence until January 1, 2014 and therefore, termination of employment for any reason or upon a change-in-control event on December 31, 2013 would not have triggered any payment of performance shares for this grant.
- (3) Pursuant to the terms of the LTIP Performance Shares Award Agreement, if the employment of a grantee, including our Named Executive Officers, terminates without cause or due to death, disability or retirement

Table of Contents

approved by the Company, the grantee is entitled to receive a pro rata portion of the performance shares based on the performance of the Company during the performance period against the performance goals. For each grantee, including our Named Executive Officers, the payout of the pro rata portion of the performance shares that the individual is entitled to receive in the event of termination without cause or due to death, disability or retirement, will be paid out at the end of the performance period based on the audited financial statements of the Company relating to the last year of the performance period. Using the performance of the Company as of December 31, 2013 as a base and then annualizing such performance through the remaining performance period, the 2010 granted performance shares to vest at 175%, the 2011 granted performance shares to vest at 100% and the 2012 granted performance shares to vest at 100%. However, based on management's evaluation of the probability that the performance goals will be achieved used to determine the compensation expense to be recorded for the performance shares, the following table provides an estimate of the pro rata portion of the performance shares that would be paid to our Named Executive Officers upon completion of the performance period based on termination without cause or due to death, disability or retirement approved by the Company. The amounts shown do not correspond to the actual amount of performance shares, if any, or the actual value that will be realized by the Named Executive Officer.

Named Executive Officer	Estimated Pro Rata Number of Performance Share Earned	Estimated Pro Rata Performance Share Value
	(#)	(\$)
Heasley	104,902	6,818,608
Behrens	38,162	2,480,508
Byrnes	38,162	2,480,508
Morem	27,709	1,801,085
Maki	23,154	1,504,988

Table of Contents

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

Review and Approval of Related Person Transactions

We recognize that related person transactions can present potential or actual conflicts of interest and create the appearance that our decisions are based on considerations which may not be in our best interests or the best interests of our stockholders. Accordingly, as a general matter, we prefer to avoid related person transactions. Nevertheless, we recognize that there are situations where related person transactions may be in, or may not be inconsistent with, our best interests. Pursuant to the Audit Committee Charter, any proposed related person transaction is to be submitted to the Audit Committee for review and approval, and no such transaction may be entered into without the Audit Committee's prior approval. The Audit Committee reviews and considers each transaction in light of the specific facts and circumstances presented. Related persons include our directors or executive officers and their respective immediate family members and 5% beneficial owners of our common stock.

In addition, our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics establishes a policy on potential conflicts of interest. Under the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics our directors and employees, including our executive officers, must promptly report any transaction, relationship or circumstance that creates or may create a conflict of interest. Any conflict of interest for our non-director and non-executive officer employees is prohibited unless a waiver is obtained from our General Counsel. Conflicts of interest involving our directors and executive officers are prohibited unless waived by our Board or a committee of our Board. Any waiver of a conflict of interest involving one of our directors or executive officers will be promptly disclosed in accordance with applicable law and NASDAQ listing requirements. Pursuant to its charter, the Corporate Governance Committee is responsible for reviewing and considering possible conflicts of interest which involve members of our Board or management.

We also have a Code of Ethics for the CEO and Senior Financial Officers which requires that our CEO, CFO, Chief Accounting Officer, Controller and persons performing similar functions avoid actual and apparent conflicts of interest in personal and professional relationships and that they disclose to the Chairman of the Audit Committee any material transaction or relationship that reasonably could be expected to give rise to a conflict.

We did not enter into any related party transactions during 2013 and there are not any currently proposed related party transactions.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION

No member of the Compensation and Leadership Development Committee was at any time during 2012, or at any other time, an officer or employee of the Company. No executive officer of the Company serves as a member of the board of directors or compensation committee of any entity that has one or more executive officers serving as a member of our Board or its Compensation and Leadership Development Committee.

ANNUAL REPORT

Stockholders may obtain a copy of our Annual Report and a list of the exhibits thereto without charge by written request delivered to the Company, Attn: Investor Relations, 3520 Kraft Rd, Suite 300, Naples, Florida 34105. Our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, are available free of charge on our website at www.aciworldwide.com as soon as reasonably practicable after we file such information electronically with the SEC.

STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS

Stockholder Proposals to Be Considered for Inclusion in the Company's 2015 Proxy Statement

Proposals of stockholders intended to be included in the proxy statement and form of proxy relating to our 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders must be received at the Secretary of the Company at the Company's

Table of Contents

principal executive offices located at 3520 Kraft Rd, Suite 300, Naples, Florida 34105, no later than December 31, 2014. In addition, all proposals will need to comply with Rule 14a-8 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, which lists the requirements for inclusion of stockholder proposals in company-sponsored proxy materials. The Corporate Governance Committee will review proposals submitted by stockholders for inclusion at our next annual meeting of stockholders and will make recommendations to our Board on an appropriate response to such proposals.

Requirements for Stockholder Proposals to Be Brought Before the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders

Pursuant to Rule 14a-4(c) under the Exchange Act, if the Company does not receive advance notice of a stockholder proposal to be brought before its next annual meeting of stockholders in accordance with the requirements of its Bylaws, the proxies solicited by the Company may confer discretionary voting authority to vote proxies on the stockholder proposal without any discussion of the matter in the proxy statement. Our Bylaws provide that written notice of a stockholder proposal that a stockholder intends to present at the next annual meeting, but does not intend to have included in the proxy statement and form of proxy related to such meeting, must be delivered to, or mailed and received by, the Secretary of the Company at the principal executive offices of the Company not less than 90 calendar days nor greater than 120 calendar days prior to the first anniversary of the date of the immediately preceding year's annual meeting of stockholders.

As to each matter the stockholder proposes to bring before the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, the stockholder's notice must set forth: (i) a brief description of the business desired to be brought before the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and the reasons for conducting such business at such annual meeting, (ii) the name and address, as they appear on the Company's books, of the stockholder proposing such business and the beneficial owner, if any, on whose behalf the proposal is made, (iii) the class and number of shares of the Company which are owned beneficially and of record by the stockholder and the beneficial owner, if any, on whose behalf the proposal is made, (iv) a description of all arrangements or understandings among such stockholder and any other person or persons (including their names) in connection with the proposal of such business by such stockholder and any material interest of such stockholder in such business, (v) whether either such stockholder or beneficial owner intends to deliver a proxy statement and form of proxy to holders of at least the percentage of shares of the Company entitled to vote required to approve the proposal, and (vi) a representation that such stockholder intends to appear in person or by proxy at the annual meeting to bring such business before the annual meeting. Our Bylaws also provide that the chairman of an annual meeting shall, if the facts warrant, determine and declare to the meeting that business was not properly brought before the annual meeting and, if he should so determine, such business shall not be transacted.

OTHER MATTERS

Our Board does not know of any matters that are to be presented at the Annual Meeting other than those stated in the Notice of Annual Meeting and referred to in this Proxy Statement. If any other matters should properly come before the Annual Meeting, it is intended that the proxies in the accompanying form will be voted as the persons named therein may determine in their discretion.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Dennis P. Byrnes

Secretary

Table of Contents

Annex A

AMENDED AND RESTATED CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION

OF

ACI WORLDWIDE, INC.

ARTICLE I

The name of the corporation (which is hereinafter called the *Corporation*) is:

ACI WORLDWIDE, INC.

ARTICLE II

The address of the registered office in Delaware is 160 Greentree Drive, Ste. 101, Dover, Kent County, Delaware, and the name of the registered agent is National Registered Agents, Inc.

ARTICLE III

The purpose of the Corporation is to engage in any lawful act or activity for which corporations may be organized under the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware, as amended (the *DGCL*).

ARTICLE IV

Section 1. Authorized Capital Stock. The Corporation is authorized to issue two classes of capital stock, designated Common Stock and Preferred Stock. The total number of shares of capital stock that the Corporation is authorized to issue is 285,000,000 shares, consisting of 280,000,000 shares of Common Stock, par value \$0.005 per share, and 5,000,000 shares of Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share.

Preferred Stock. The Preferred Stock may be issued in one or more series. The Board of Directors of the Corporation (the *Board*) is hereby authorized to issue the shares of Preferred Stock in such series and to fix from time to time before issuance the number of shares to be included in any such series and the designation, relative powers, preferences, rights and qualifications, limitations or restrictions of such series. The authority of the Board with respect to each such series will include, without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the determination of any or all of the following:

- (a) the number of shares of any series and the designation to distinguish the shares of such series from the shares of all other series;
- (b) the voting powers, if any, and whether such voting powers are full or limited in such series;
- (c) the redemption provisions, if any, applicable to such series, including the redemption price or prices to be paid;
- (d) whether dividends, if any, will be cumulative or noncumulative, the dividend rate of such series, and the dates and preferences of dividends on such series;

Table of Contents

- (e) the rights of such series upon the voluntary or involuntary dissolution of, or upon any distribution of the assets of, the Corporation;
- (f) the provisions, if any, pursuant to which the shares of such series are convertible into, or exchangeable for, shares of any other class or classes or of any other series of the same or any other class or classes of stock, or any other security, of the Corporation or any other corporation or other entity and the rates or other determinants of conversion or exchange applicable thereto;
- (g) the right, if any, to subscribe for or to purchase any securities of the Corporation or any other corporation or other entity;
- (h) the provisions, if any, of a sinking fund applicable to such series; and
- (i) any other relative, participating, optional or other special powers, preferences or rights and qualifications, limitations or restrictions thereof;

all as may be determined from time to time by the Board and stated or expressed in the resolution or resolutions providing for the issuance of such Preferred Stock (collectively, a Preferred Stock Designation).

Section 2. Common Stock. Subject to the rights of the holders of any series of Preferred Stock, the holders of Common Stock will be entitled to one vote on each matter submitted to a vote at a meeting of stockholders for each share of Common Stock held of record by such holder as of the record date for such meeting.

ARTICLE V

The Corporation is to have perpetual existence.

ARTICLE VI

The Board may make, amend, and repeal the Bylaws of the Corporation. Any Bylaw made by the Board under the powers conferred hereby may be amended or repealed by the Board (except as specified in any such Bylaw so made or amended) or by the stockholders in the manner provided in the Bylaws of the Corporation. The Corporation may in its Bylaws confer powers upon the Board in addition to the foregoing and in addition to the powers and authorities expressly conferred upon the Board by applicable law.

ARTICLE VII

At any annual meeting or special meeting of stockholders of the Corporation, only such business will be conducted or considered as has been brought before such meeting in the manner provided in the Bylaws of the Corporation. Notwithstanding anything contained in this Certificate of Incorporation to the contrary, the affirmative vote of the holders of at least a majority of all classes of Voting Stock issued and outstanding, voting as a single class, will be required to amend or repeal, or adopt any provision inconsistent with, this ARTICLE VII. For the purposes of this Certificate of Incorporation, Voting Stock means stock of the Corporation of all classes or series entitled to vote generally in the election of Directors.

Table of Contents

ARTICLE VIII

Section 1. Election of Directors. Election of Directors of the Corporation need not be by written ballot unless requested by the Chairman or by the holders of a majority of the Voting Stock present in person or represented by proxy at a meeting of the stockholders at which Directors are to be elected. If authorized by the Board, such requirement of written ballot shall be satisfied by a ballot submitted by electronic transmission, provided that any such electronic transmission must either set forth or be submitted with information from which it can be determined that the electronic transmission was authorized by the stockholder or proxy holder.