

ASHLAND INC.
Form S-8 POS
September 20, 2016

As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 20, 2016

Registration No. 333-203840

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

POST-EFFECTIVE AMENDMENT NO. 1

TO

FORM S-8

REGISTRATION STATEMENT

Under

The Securities Act of 1933

ASHLAND GLOBAL HOLDINGS INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

81-2587835
(IRS Employer
Identification No.)

Edgar Filing: ASHLAND INC. - Form S-8 POS

50 E. RiverCenter Boulevard

Covington, Kentucky 41011

(Address of principal registered offices) (Zip Code)

ASHLAND INC. EMPLOYEE SAVINGS PLAN

INTERNATIONAL SPECIALTY PRODUCTS INC. 401(K) PLAN

ASHLAND INC. UNION EMPLOYEE SAVINGS PLAN

(Full title of the Plans)

Peter J. Ganz, Esq.

Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary

50 E. RiverCenter Boulevard

Covington, Kentucky 41011

(Name and address of agent for service)

(859) 815-3333

(Telephone number, including area code, of agent for service)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

EXPLANATORY NOTE

This Post-Effective Amendment No. 1 (the *Amendment*) to the registration statement on Form S-8, Registration No. 333-203840 of Ashland Inc., a Kentucky corporation (*Predecessor Registrant*) relating to 5,000,200 shares of the Predecessor Registrant's common stock and an indeterminate amount of interests in the Plans (as defined below) (the *Registration Statement*), is being filed pursuant to Rule 414 under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the *Securities Act*) by Ashland Global Holdings Inc., a Delaware corporation, as the successor registrant (the *Successor Registrant*) to the Predecessor Registrant. Such succession has occurred as part of the planned internal reorganization of the Predecessor Registrant by which a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Successor Registrant was merged into the Predecessor Registrant. The merger (the *Merger*) was effected on September 20, 2016 in accordance with the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated May 31, 2016 by and between the Predecessor Registrant, the Successor Registrant and Ashland Merger Sub Corp. (the *Merger Agreement*). As a result of the Merger, the Successor Registrant has become the parent holding company of the Predecessor Registrant.

The Merger was approved by the shareholders of the Predecessor Registrant at a special meeting of the Predecessor Registrant's shareholders held on September 7, 2016. Pursuant to the Merger, the outstanding shares of the Predecessor Registrant's common stock were exchanged on a one-for-one basis for shares of the Successor Registrant's common stock. As a result, the shares of common stock of the Successor Registrant were owned, immediately after the Merger, by the Predecessor Registrant's shareholders in the same proportion as their ownership of the Predecessor Registrant's shares of common stock immediately prior to the Merger. Each person that held rights to purchase or otherwise acquire shares of common stock of the Predecessor Registrant under any stock appreciation right, performance share award, restricted share award, restricted stock unit, common stock unit, deferred stock unit, option or other incentive award or deferral covering shares of the common stock of the Predecessor Registrant, whether vested or not vested, that are outstanding under each equity incentive or deferred compensation plan of the Predecessor Registrant immediately prior to the Merger holds rights to purchase or otherwise acquire a corresponding number of shares of common stock of the Successor Registrant.

The Successor Registrant is a publicly traded company with reporting obligations under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the *Exchange Act*) and is the successor issuer to the Predecessor Registrant pursuant to Rule 12g-3(a) thereunder. The Successor Registrant's common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the same ticker symbol formerly used by the Predecessor Registrant, *ASH* . The Merger did not result in any material changes in the business, offices, assets, liabilities, obligations, net worth, directors, officers or employees of the Successor Registrant as compared to the Predecessor Registrant. The Successor Registrant continues to maintain its principal executive offices at 50 E. RiverCenter Boulevard, Covington, Kentucky 41011. In connection with the Merger, the Successor Registrant assumed the Predecessor Registrant's obligations under the Ashland Inc. Employee Savings Plan (the *Employee Savings Plan*), the International Specialty Products Inc. 401(k) Plan (the *ISP 401(k) Plan*) and the Ashland Inc. Union Employee Savings Plan (the *Union Employee Savings Plan*). The Employee Savings Plan, the ISP 401(k) Plan and the Union Employee Savings Plan are collectively referred to as the *Plans* .

In accordance with paragraph (d) of Rule 414 under the Securities Act, the Successor Registrant hereby expressly adopts the Registration Statement as its own registration statement except to the extent amended by this Amendment, for all purposes of the Securities Act and the Exchange Act.

This Post-Effective Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement shall hereafter become effective in accordance with the provisions of Section 8(c) of the Securities Act.

PART II

Information Required in the Registration Statement

Item 3. Incorporation of Documents by Reference

The following documents, which have been filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the *SEC*) by the Successor Registrant, the Predecessor Registrant, the Employee Savings Plan, the ISP 401(k) Plan or the Union Employee Savings Plan are incorporated by reference in this registration statement:

- (a) The Predecessor Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on November 20, 2015, which contains audited financial statements for the Predecessor Registrant's fiscal year ended September 30, 2015, the Employee Savings Plan's Annual Report on Form 11-K filed on June 17, 2016 which contains audited financials for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015, the ISP 401(k) Plan's Annual Report on Form 11-K filed on June 17, 2016 which contains audited financials for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015 and the Union Employee Savings Plan's Annual Report on Form 11-K filed on June 17, 2016 which contains audited financials for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015;
- (b) All other reports filed by the Predecessor Registrant or the Successor Registrant pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act since the end of the fiscal year covered by the Predecessor Registrant's Annual Report referred to in (a) above; and
- (c) The Successor Registrant's Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation filed on August 3, 2016 as Annex II to the Successor Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-4 (the *Certificate*), in which are described the terms, rights and provisions applicable to the Successor Registrant's outstanding Common Stock.

All reports and definitive proxy or information statements filed by Successor Registrant pursuant to Section 13(a), 13(c), 14 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act subsequent to the date hereof and prior to the filing of a post-effective amendment which indicates that all securities offered hereby have been sold or which de-registers all securities then remaining unsold shall be deemed to be incorporated by reference herein and to be a part hereof from the date of filing of such documents. Notwithstanding the foregoing, a report furnished on Form 8-K shall not be incorporated by reference herein unless expressly done so. Any statement contained in a document incorporated or deemed to be incorporated by reference herein shall be deemed to be modified or superseded for purposes hereof to the extent that a statement contained herein or in any subsequently filed document which also is deemed to be incorporated by reference herein modifies or supersedes such statement. Any such statement so modified or superseded shall not be deemed, except as so modified or superseded, to constitute a part hereof.

Item 4. Description of Securities

Not Applicable.

Item 5. Interests of Named Experts and Counsel

Not Applicable.

Item 6. Indemnification of Directors and Officers

A. Indemnification

The General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware (the ***DGCL***) provides that a corporation may indemnify any individual made, or threatened to be made, a party to any type of proceeding because he or she is or was an officer, director, employee or agent of the corporation, or was serving at the request of the corporation as an officer, director, employee or agent of another corporation or entity, against expenses, judgments, fines and amounts paid in settlement actually and reasonably incurred in connection with such proceeding if he or she acted in good faith and in a manner he or she reasonably believed to be in or not opposed to the best interests of the corporation or, in the case of a criminal proceeding, he or she had no reasonable cause to believe that his or her conduct was unlawful. In the case of an action brought by or in the right of the corporation, known as a derivative action, indemnification will be denied if the individual is liable to the corporation, unless otherwise determined by a court.

A corporation must indemnify a present or former director or officer who successfully defends himself or herself in a proceeding to which he or she was a party because he or she was a director or officer of the corporation against expenses actually and reasonably incurred by him or her. Expenses incurred by an officer or director, or any employees or agents as deemed appropriate by the board of directors, in defending civil or criminal proceedings may be paid by the corporation in advance of the final disposition of such proceedings upon receipt of an undertaking to repay such amount if it shall ultimately be determined that he or she is not entitled to be indemnified.

In general, the Successor Registrant's Certificate permits, and Successor Registrant's Amended and Restated By-laws (the

By-laws) require, such indemnification with respect to directors and officers, to the fullest extent permitted under Delaware or other applicable law. The Successor Registrant is required by its By-laws to advance expenses that will be incurred by a director or officer of the Successor Registrant.

B. Limitations on Directors' Liability

The DGCL permits a corporation to adopt a provision in its certificate of incorporation eliminating or limiting the personal liability of a director to the corporation or its shareholders for monetary damages for breach of fiduciary duty as a director, except that such provision may not limit the liability of a director for (i) any breach of the director's duty of loyalty to the corporation or its shareholders, (ii) acts or omissions not in good faith or that involve intentional misconduct or a knowing violation of law, (iii) unlawful payment of dividends or stock purchases or redemptions or (iv) any transaction from which the director derived an improper personal benefit.

The Successor Registrant's Certificate provides that, to the fullest extent that the DGCL or any other law of the State of Delaware permits the limitation or elimination of the liability of directors, no director of the Successor Registrant shall be liable to the Successor Registrant or its shareholders for monetary damages for breach of fiduciary duty as a director.

C. Contracts

The Successor Registrant expects to enter into indemnification agreements with each of its directors that require indemnification to the fullest extent permitted by law (as described above), subject to certain exceptions and limitations.

D. Insurance

Section 145 of the DGCL permits a corporation to purchase and maintain insurance on behalf of directors, officers, employees or agents of the corporation, who are or were serving in that capacity, against liability asserted against or incurred in that capacity or arising from that status, whether or not the corporation would have power to indemnify against the same liability.

The Successor Registrant expects to purchase insurance substantially concurrently with or shortly after the Merger which insures (subject to certain terms and conditions, exclusions and deductibles) the Successor Registrant against certain costs that it might be required to pay by way of indemnification to directors or officers under the Successor Registrant's organizational documents, indemnification agreements or otherwise, and protects individual directors and officers from certain losses for which they might not be indemnified by the Successor Registrant. In addition, the Successor Registrant has purchased insurance that provides liability coverage (subject to certain terms and conditions, exclusion and deductibles) for amounts that the Successor Registrant or the fiduciaries under their employee benefit plans, which may include its respective directors, officers and employees, might be required to pay as a result of a breach of fiduciary duty.

Item 7. Exemption from Registration Claimed

Not Applicable.

Item 8. Exhibits

**Exhibit
Number**

Exhibit

- | | |
|-----|--|
| 2.1 | Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated May 31, 2016, by and between Ashland Global Holdings Inc., Ashland Inc. and Ashland Merger Sub Corp. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Predecessor Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 31, 2016 (SEC File No. 001-32532)). |
| 3.1 | Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of Ashland Global Holdings Inc. (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Successor Registrant's Form 8-K filed on September 20, 2016 (SEC File No. 001-32532), and incorporated by reference herein). |

- 3.2 Amended and Restated By-laws of Ashland Global Holdings Inc. (filed as Exhibit 3.2 to the Successor Registrant's Form 8-K filed on September 20, 2016 (SEC File No. 001-32532), and incorporated by reference herein).
- 4.1 Ashland Inc. Employee Savings Plan as amended and restated effective January 1, 2011 (as assumed by Ashland Global Holdings Inc.).
- 4.2 Amendment Number One to the Ashland Inc. Employee Savings Plan dated February 28, 2012 (as assumed by Ashland Global Holdings Inc.).
- 4.3 Amendment to the Ashland Inc. Employee Savings Plan effective as of January 1, 2013 (as assumed by Ashland Global Holdings Inc.).
- 4.4 Amendment to the Ashland Inc. Employee Savings Plan dated September 26, 2014 (as assumed by Ashland Global Holdings Inc.).
- 4.5 International Specialty Products Inc. 401(k)/Plan, as amended and restated effective as of January 1, 2009, as amended by the First through Seventh Amendments thereto (as assumed by Ashland Global Holdings Inc.).
- 4.6 Amendment No. 8 to the International Specialty Products Inc. 401(k) Plan effective as of January 1, 2013 (as assumed by Ashland Global Holdings Inc.).
- 4.7 Amendment No. 9 to the International Specialty Products Inc. 401(k) Plan effective as of January 1, 2013 (as assumed by Ashland Global Holdings Inc.).
- 4.8 Amendment No. 10 to the International Specialty Products Inc. 401(k) Plan dated November 22, 2013 (as assumed by Ashland Global Holdings Inc.).
- 4.9 Eleventh Amendment to the International Specialty Products Inc. 401(k) Plan dated September 26, 2014 (as assumed by Ashland Global Holdings Inc.).
- 4.10 Ashland Inc. Union Employee Savings Plan as amended and restated effective January 1, 2011 (as assumed by Ashland Global Holdings Inc.).
- 4.11 Amendment to the Ashland Inc. Union Employee Savings Plan dated September 26, 2014 (as assumed by Ashland Global Holdings Inc.).
- 5.1 Opinion and consent of Cravath, Swaine & Moore LLP.
- 10.1 Assumption Agreement dated September 20, 2016 by and between Ashland Global Holdings Inc. and Ashland Inc.
- 23.1 Consent of Ernst & Young LLP.
- 23.2 Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP.
- 23.3 Consent of Hamilton, Rabinovitz & Associates, Inc.
- 23.4 Consent of Cravath, Swaine & Moore LLP is contained in Exhibit 5.1.
- 23.5 Consent of Blue & Co., LLC.
- 24.1 Power of Attorney.

Item 9. Undertakings

A. The Successor Registrant hereby undertakes: (1) to file, during any period in which offers or sales are being made, a post-effective amendment to this registration statement: (i) to include any prospectus required by Section 10(a)(3) of the Securities Act, (ii) to reflect in the prospectus any facts or events arising after the effective date of this registration statement (or the most recent post-effective amendment thereof) which, individually or in the aggregate, represent a fundamental change in the information set forth in this registration statement; notwithstanding the foregoing, any increase or decrease in volume of securities offered (if the total dollar value of securities offered would not exceed that which was registered) and any deviation from the low or high end of the estimated maximum offered range may be reflected in the form of prospectus filed with the Commission pursuant to Rule 424(b) if, in the aggregate, the changes in volume and price represent no more than a 20% change in the maximum aggregate offering price set forth in the Calculation of Registration Fee table in the effective registration statement, and (iii) to include any material information with respect to the plan of distribution not previously disclosed in this registration statement or any material change to such information in this registration statement; *provided, however*, that clauses (1)(i) and (1)(ii) shall not apply if the information required to be included in a post-effective amendment by those clauses is contained in periodic reports filed with or furnished to the Commission by the Successor Registrant pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act that are incorporated by reference into this registration statement; (2) that, for the purpose of determining any liability under the Securities Act, each such post-effective amendment shall be deemed to be a new registration statement relating to the securities offered therein, and the offering of such securities at that time shall be deemed to be the initial *bona fide* offering thereof; and (3) to remove from registration by means of a post-effective amendment any of the securities being registered which remain unsold at the termination of the plan.

B. The Successor Registrant hereby undertakes that, for purposes of determining any liability under the Securities Act, each filing of the Successor Registrant's annual report pursuant to Section 13(a) or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act that is incorporated by reference in this registration statement shall be deemed to be a new registration statement relating to the securities offered therein, and the offering of such securities at that time shall be deemed to be the initial *bona fide* offering thereof.

C. Insofar as indemnification for liabilities arising under the Securities Act may be permitted to directors, officers and controlling persons of the Successor Registrant pursuant to the indemnification provisions summarized in Item 6 above, or otherwise, the Successor Registrant has been advised that, in the opinion of the Commission, such indemnification is

against public policy as expressed in the Securities Act and is, therefore, unenforceable. In the event that a claim for indemnification against such liabilities (other than the payment by the Successor Registrant of expenses incurred or paid by a director, officer or controlling person of the Successor Registrant in the successful defense of any action, suit or proceeding) is asserted by such director, officer or controlling person in connection with the securities being registered, the Successor Registrant will, unless in the opinion of its counsel the matter has been settled by controlling precedent, submit to a court of appropriate jurisdiction the question whether such indemnification by it is against public policy as expressed in the Securities Act and will be governed by the final adjudication of such issue.

SIGNATURES

The Successor Registrant has duly caused this Post-Effective Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement No. 333-203840 to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, in the City of Covington, Commonwealth of Kentucky, on September 20, 2016.

ASHLAND GLOBAL HOLDINGS INC.

By: /s/ Peter J. Ganz
Peter J. Ganz
Senior Vice President, General Counsel
and Secretary

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, this Post-Effective Amendment No. 1 has been signed by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated:

Signature	Title	Date
* William A. Wulfsohn	Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer)	September 20, 2016
* J. Kevin Willis	Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)	September 20, 2016
* J. William Heitman	Vice President and Controller (Principal Accounting Officer)	September 20, 2016
* Brendan M. Cummins	Director	September 20, 2016
* William G. Dempsey	Director	September 20, 2016
* Stephen F. Kirk	Director	September 20, 2016
* Vada O. Manager	Director	September 20, 2016
* Barry W. Perry	Director	September 20, 2016

Mark C. Rohr

September 20,
2016

*

Director

September 20,
2016

George A. Schaefer, Jr.

*	Director	September 20, 2016
Janice J. Teal		
*	Director	September 20, 2016
Michael J. Ward		

* The undersigned, by signing his name hereto, executes this Post-Effective Amendment No. 1 pursuant to a power of attorney executed by the above-named persons and filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission as an Exhibit to this Post-Effective Amendment No. 1.

*By: /s/ Peter J. Ganz
Peter J. Ganz
Attorney-in-Fact
September 20, 2016

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number	Exhibit
2.1	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated May 31, 2016, by and between Ashland Global Holdings Inc., Ashland Inc. and Ashland Merger Sub Corp. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Predecessor Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 31, 2016 (SEC File No. 001-32532)).
3.1	Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of Ashland Global Holdings Inc. (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Successor Registrant's Form 8-K filed on September 20, 2016 (SEC File No. 001-32532), and incorporated by reference herein).
3.2	Amended and Restated By-laws of Ashland Global Holdings Inc. (filed as Exhibit 3.2 to the Successor Registrant's Form 8-K filed on September 20, 2016 (SEC File No. 001-32532), and incorporated by reference herein).
*4.1	Ashland Inc. Employee Savings Plan as amended and restated effective January 1, 2011 (as assumed by Ashland Global Holdings Inc.).
*4.2	Amendment Number One to the Ashland Inc. Employee Savings Plan dated February 28, 2012 (as assumed by Ashland Global Holdings Inc.).
*4.3	Amendment to the Ashland Inc. Employee Savings Plan effective as of January 1, 2013 (as assumed by Ashland Global Holdings Inc.).
*4.4	Amendment to the Ashland Inc. Employee Savings Plan dated September 26, 2014 (as assumed by Ashland Global Holdings Inc.).
*4.5	International Specialty Products Inc. 401(k)/Plan, as amended and restated effective as of January 1, 2009, as amended by the First through Seventh Amendments thereto (as assumed by Ashland Global Holdings Inc.).
*4.6	Amendment No. 8 to the International Specialty Products Inc. 401(k) Plan effective as of January 1, 2013 (as assumed by Ashland Global Holdings Inc.).
*4.7	Amendment No. 9 to the International Specialty Products Inc. 401(k) Plan effective as of January 1, 2013 (as assumed by Ashland Global Holdings Inc.).
*4.8	Amendment No. 10 to the International Specialty Products Inc. 401(k) Plan dated November 22, 2013 (as assumed by Ashland Global Holdings Inc.).
*4.9	Eleventh Amendment to the International Specialty Products Inc. 401(k) Plan dated September 26, 2014 (as assumed by Ashland Global Holdings Inc.).
*4.10	Ashland Inc. Union Employee Savings Plan as amended and restated effective January 1, 2011 (as assumed by Ashland Global Holdings Inc.).
*4.11	Amendment to the Ashland Inc. Union Employee Savings Plan dated September 26, 2014 (as assumed by Ashland Global Holdings Inc.).
*5.1	Opinion and consent of Cravath, Swaine & Moore LLP.
*10.1	Assumption Agreement dated September 20, 2016 by and between Ashland Global Holdings Inc. and Ashland Inc.

Edgar Filing: ASHLAND INC. - Form S-8 POS

- *23.1 Consent of Ernst & Young LLP.
- *23.2 Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP.
- *23.3 Consent of Hamilton, Rabinovitz & Associates, Inc.
- *23.4 Consent of Cravath, Swaine & Moore LLP is contained in Exhibit 5.1.
- *23.5 Consent of Blue & Co., LLC.
- *24.1 Power of Attorney.

* Filed Herewith.

> Sales and marketing efforts encompass all of the services necessary to prepare merchandise for a successful auction and include the following:

Marketing and promotion we use a variety of both online and traditional marketing methods to promote our sellers' merchandise and generate interest in each auction.

Asset lotting and merchandising we leverage our industry experience to organize merchandise in lot sizes and product combinations that meet buyer preferences.

Product information enhancement we photograph and upload digital images of the merchandise to be sold and combine the images in a relevant format. In order to increase the realized sales value, we also research, collect and use supplemental product information to enhance product descriptions.

Logistics. We provide standard and optional logistics services designed to support the receipt, handling, transportation and tracking of merchandise offered through our marketplaces, including the following:

Distribution centers we provide sellers with the flexibility of either having us manage the sales process at their location or delivering merchandise to one of our distribution centers.

Inventory management sellers benefit from our management and inventory tracking system designed so that merchandise is received, processed and delivered in a timely manner.

Cataloguing merchandise we catalogue all merchandise, which enables us to provide useful product information to buyers. We provide a detailed manifest for lots containing multiple goods. In certain circumstances, we will inspect the merchandise and provide condition descriptions.

Delabeling we can remove labels and product markings from merchandise prior to sale to protect sellers' brand equity and distribution relationships.

Outbound fulfillment we can arrange for domestic or international shipping for all merchandise, whether located in one of our distribution centers or at a seller's facility.

Edgar Filing: ASHLAND INC. - Form S-8 POS

Settlement and customer support. Settlement and customer support services are designed for successful completion of transactions and include:

Buyer qualification we qualify buyers to ensure their compliance with applicable government or seller mandated terms of sale, as well as to confirm their ability to complete a transaction.

Collection and settlement we collect all payments on behalf of sellers prior to delivery of any merchandise and only disburse the profits to the seller after the satisfaction of all conditions of a sale.

Transaction tracking and reporting we enable sellers to track and monitor the status of their transactions throughout the sales process. We provide a range of comprehensive

Edgar Filing: ASHLAND INC. - Form S-8 POS

reporting services to sellers upon the completion of a transaction. Our invoicing and reporting tools can be integrated with the seller's information system, providing a more efficient flow of data.

Customer support and dispute resolution we provide full customer support throughout the transaction process and dispute resolution for our customers if needed.

Buyer services. Many of the services we provide to sellers also benefit buyers by providing them with the information necessary to make a more informed bid and to receive the goods they purchased. Our buyer focused services include the following:

Intelligent alerts we automatically notify buyers of upcoming auctions based on their registered preferences and prior transaction history. Registered preferences can be as broad as a product category or as specific as a part number or key word. We use this information to generate automated notifications whenever we identify a product that fits a buyer's registered preference, when auctions are nearing conclusion and based on various other parameters.

Search tools buyers can search our marketplaces for products based on a variety of criteria including product category, keyword, lot size, product condition, product geographic location and auction ending date.

Dynamic pricing tools we offer multiple dynamic pricing tools including outbid notification, automated bid agent and automatic auction extension. For example, our automatic extension feature allows auctions to continue in set increments until the last bid is received, thus enhancing the pricing of goods.

Shipping quote we provide buyers with the information necessary to estimate the shipping costs associated with their purchase, such as shipping weights, packaging type and product dimensions.

Delivery and shipping we can provide packaging and shipping services for sales transactions.

Secure settlement in addition to qualifying sellers, providing several electronic payment options and serving as a trusted market intermediary, we verify transaction completion, which in turn enhances buyer confidence.

Customer support and dispute resolution we provide full customer support throughout the transaction process and dispute resolution for customers if needed.

Our Wholesale Industry Portal

In June 2004, we launched www.goWholesale.com, a wholesale industry portal supported by advertising and search services. goWholesale.com provides buyers of wholesale, surplus or salvage goods with tools to search for goods on the Internet and provides an avenue for manufacturers, drop shippers, distributors, importers and wholesalers to reach professional buyers. goWholesale.com also provides a single online destination for buyers to find specific products for resale and related business services. We developed this portal to provide advertisers with the ability to reach our growing network of professional buyers. Additionally, we believe that users of this site may have an interest in products offered in our marketplaces.

Our goWholesale.com portal is designed to allow advertisers to reach highly targeted wholesale buyer audiences in a more effective and efficient manner than other major search engine alternatives. Our wholesale industry portal focuses on three broad areas: generating leads for advertisers; providing access to a broad range of industry specific content for professional buyers; and creating an online community for the exchange of information by participants in the wholesale industry.

Edgar Filing: ASHLAND INC. - Form S-8 POS

Each component of our portal delivers a variety of services, including:

Lead generation	Content	Community
Key word advertising	Wholesale auctions	Community forum
Banner advertising	Industry news	Seller ratings
Seller directory	Classified ads	Web logs (blogs)
Sponsorship	Trade show directory	Web seminars
Newsletter advertising		

Sales and Marketing

We utilize a direct sales and marketing force to acquire and manage our seller accounts. As of September 30, 2008, we had 87 sales and 27 marketing personnel. Our sales activities are focused primarily on acquiring new sellers, and our marketing activities are focused primarily on acquiring new buyers and increasing existing buyer participation.

Sales

Our sales personnel develop seller relationships, establish agreements to provide our services and manage the business accounts on an on-going basis. Our sales representatives focus on building long-term relationships with sellers that we believe will generate recurring transactions. They also leverage our years of experience and database of completed transactions to identify which of our various services would be beneficial to each new or existing seller.

Our sales group is organized to serve three distinct groups of sellers: large corporate accounts, medium to small corporate accounts and government accounts. This approach is based on our experience in understanding and serving the unique needs of each type of seller:

Large corporate sellers. These sellers require a customized approach, using a combination of our industry-focused sales team and our value-added services to create a comprehensive solution.

Medium to small corporate sellers. These sellers are offered a turn-key solution enabling them to self-serve in our marketplaces by accessing tools and resources such as uploading product photographs and descriptions.

Government sellers. These sellers require a customized approach. Sales efforts are both pro-active and re-active, including responding to already structured contract proposal requests and assisting government agencies in developing the appropriate scope of work to serve their needs.

Our sales personnel receive a salary and performance-based commissions.

Marketing

We use a variety of online and traditional marketing to attract and activate professional buyers to maximize the number of bidders participating in our online marketplaces as well as to support our sales team:

Buyer acquisition. We utilize online marketing, including paid search advertising, search engine optimization, affiliate programs and cross promotion on all of our marketplaces to acquire new buyers. We supplement this online marketing with special event print media, classified advertisements and selected direct mail campaigns. Public relations campaigns, participation in trade shows and speaking engagements also complement our overall buyer acquisition efforts.

Buyer participation. We use a variety of tools to increase buyer participation, including: targeted opt-in e-mail newsletters that rely on the buyer's stated categories of interest and past bidding or

Edgar Filing: ASHLAND INC. - Form S-8 POS

transaction activity; special e-mail alerts highlighting specific products of interest; convenient search tools that enable a buyer or prospective buyer to find desired items on our online marketplaces; and saved search agents that automatically alert registered buyers when items of interest are added to our marketplaces.

Market research. In order to better target buyers by industry segment, geographic location or other criteria, our marketing department has gathered data and information from each of the buyer segments we serve. In addition, the marketing department conducts regular surveys to better understand buyers' behavior and needs. We have a privacy policy and have implemented security measures to protect this information.

Sales support. Our marketing department creates supporting documentation and research to support our sales team in presenting our company to potential sellers and buyers, including sales brochures, white papers and participation in selected trade shows.

All marketing activities are evaluated based on the level of auction participation in our marketplaces and the cost effectiveness of each action.

Technology and Infrastructure

Our marketplaces are fully web-based and can be accessed from any Internet enabled computer by using a standard web browser. Our technology systems enable us to automate and streamline many of the manual processes associated with finding, evaluating, bidding on, paying for and shipping wholesale surplus and salvage assets. The technology and content behind our marketplaces and integrated value-added services were developed in-house by full-time employees, providing us with control over the marketplaces and the ability to make rapid enhancements to better fit the specific needs of our business and customers. Our marketplaces are supported by a common database architecture and a shared system application. This infrastructure provides:

an efficient channel to sell online through a variety of pricing mechanisms (standard auction, sealed bid, Dutch auction and fixed price);

a scalable back office that enables buyers and sellers to efficiently manage transactions among remote business users by utilizing account management tools, including payment collection, invoicing management, shipping and transaction settlement; and

an input/output agnostic platform, including conduits that enable us to integrate seamlessly with partner enterprise applications of sellers and third party service providers.

We have designed our websites and supporting infrastructure to be highly robust and to support new services and increased traffic. Our servers are fully-managed and hosted in a physically and network-secure environment at data centers in Ashburn, Virginia, which is managed by Equinix, Inc., Phoenix, Arizona, which is managed by Limelight Networks, Inc. and in Atlanta, Georgia, which is managed by SunGard, LP. Every critical piece of our application is fully redundant, and we maintain off-site back-up systems as well as a disaster recovery facility. Our network connectivity offers high performance and scalability to accommodate increases in website traffic. Since January 1, 2003, we have experienced no material service interruptions on our online marketplaces.

Our applications support multiple layers of security, including password-protected log-ins, encryption technology to safeguard information transmitted in web sessions and firewalls to help prevent unauthorized access to our network and servers. We devote significant efforts to protecting our systems from intrusion.

Operations

Supporting large organizations that have a recurring need to sell wholesale surplus and salvage assets requires systematic processes to enhance the financial value and convenience received by our customers. We believe we have integrated all of the required operational processes into our solution to allow our online auctions to run efficiently and to effectively support our buyers and sellers. Our operations group is comprised of three functions: (1) buyer relations, (2) shipping logistics and (3) distribution center operations.

Buyer relations

Our buyer relations group supports the completion of buyer transactions by managing the buyer registration and qualification process, answering questions and requests from buyers, collecting buyer payments and resolving disputes. Our websites contain extensive information about buying through our online marketplaces, including an online tutorial regarding the use of our marketplaces, answers to frequently-asked buyer questions and an indexed help section. Buyers are able to contact a customer service representative by e-mail or phone if they need additional support.

Shipping logistics

Our shipping logistics group manages and coordinates inbound and outbound shipping of merchandise for sellers and buyers. We offer, as part of our value-added services, integrated shipping services and price quotes through multiple shipping carriers. In addition, our shipping coordination group personnel monitor the performance and service level of our network of carriers to help ensure speed and quality of service.

Distribution center operations

Our distribution center operations group performs selected pre-sale and post-sale value-added services at our distribution centers and at seller locations. These activities include unloading, manifesting and reporting discrepancies for all received assets and sales preparation of offered assets, including lotting and organizing offered assets, writing product descriptions, capturing digital images and providing additional optional value-added services such as delabeling, cleaning and repackaging. Our distribution center operations group personnel also arrange the outbound shipping or pick-up of purchased assets with our buyers.

Competition

The online services market for auctioning or liquidating wholesale, surplus and salvage assets is competitive and growing rapidly. We currently compete with:

other e-commerce providers, such as Amazon.com, GSI Commerce and Overstock.com;

auction websites such as eBay and uBid;

government agencies that have created websites to sell wholesale surplus and salvage assets; and

traditional liquidators and fixed-site auctioneers.

We expect our market to become even more competitive as traditional and online liquidators and auctioneers continue to develop online and offline services for disposition, redeployment and remarketing of wholesale surplus and salvage assets. In addition, manufacturers, retailers and additional government agencies may decide to create their own websites to sell their own wholesale surplus and salvage assets and those of third parties. Competitive pressures could harm our business, financial condition and operating results.

Edgar Filing: ASHLAND INC. - Form S-8 POS

Some of our other current and potential competitors have longer operating histories, larger client bases, greater brand recognition and significantly greater financial, marketing and other resources than we do. In addition, some of these competitors may be able to devote greater financial resources to marketing and promotional campaigns, secure merchandise from sellers on more favorable terms, adopt more aggressive pricing or inventory availability policies and devote substantially more resources to website and systems development than we are able to do. Increased competition may result in reduced operating margins and loss of market share. We may not be able to compete successfully against current and future competitors.

Our Contracts with the U.S. Department of Defense

We are the exclusive contractor with the Defense Reutilization and Marketing Service, or DRMS, for the sale of surplus and scrap assets of the U.S. Department of Defense, or DoD, in the United States. This relationship provides a significant supply of goods that we offer to our buyer base through our online marketplace www.govliquidation.com. In support of these contracts, we provide services in over 1 million square feet of military warehouse space at over 150 military bases throughout the United States.

We have two material contracts with DoD under which we acquire, manage and sell government property. The largest contract was originally awarded in June 2001 and relates to usable surplus property of DoD turned in to DRMS and located in the United States, Puerto Rico and Guam, such as computers, electronics, office supplies, equipment, aircraft parts, clothing and textiles (the "Surplus Contract"). The second contract was awarded in June 2005 and relates to substantially all scrap property of DoD turned into the DRMS, such as metals, alloys, and building materials. Property sold under the contracts is "demilitarized" prior to sale and does not include weapons or hazardous materials (the "Scrap Contract").

The Surplus Contract accounted for 56.6%, 33.9% and 31.3% of our revenue and 48.3%, 28.8% and 23.0% of our gross merchandise volume for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2006, 2007 and 2008, respectively. We began operating under the Scrap Contract in August 2005, and it accounted for 26.5%, 27.6% and 31.1% of our revenue and for 22.6%, 23.5% and 22.8% of our gross merchandise volume for fiscal years 2006, 2007 and 2008, respectively. The contracts were awarded in competitive bids conducted by DoD, and we may be required to go through a new competitive bidding process when our existing contracts expire.

Under the Surplus Contract, we are obligated to purchase all DoD surplus property at set prices representing a percentage of the original acquisition cost, which varies depending on the type of surplus property being purchased. Under the Scrap Contract, we acquire scrap property at a per pound price. We were initially entitled to approximately 20% of the profits of sale (defined as gross proceeds of sale less allowable operating expenses) under the Contracts, and the DoD was entitled to approximately 80% of the profits. We refer to these disbursement payments to DoD as profit-sharing distributions. As a result of these arrangements, we recognize as revenue the gross proceeds from these sales. DoD also reimburses us for actual costs incurred for packing, loading and shipping property under the contracts that we are obligated to pick up from non-DoD locations. On September 12, 2006, we entered into a bilateral contract modification under which the DoD agreed to increase our profit-sharing percentage under the Surplus Contract in exchange for our agreement to implement additional inventory assurance processes and procedures with respect to the sale of demilitarized property. Under the terms of the contract modification, from August 1, 2006 until November 30, 2006, we were entitled to receive 27.5% of the profits under the Surplus Contract and the DoD was entitled to receive 72.5%. For property received from November 30, 2006 through June 18, 2008, we were entitled to receive between 25% and 30.5% of the profits, based on the results of an audit of the effectiveness of the inventory controls we implemented under the contract modification, which is referred to as the Surplus Contract incentive. This incentive is measured quarterly. On June 1, 2007, we agreed, as provided in the modification to

the Surplus Contract that became effective as of September 12, 2006, to provide additional value-added services with respect to demilitarized property that is returned to the DoD for reutilization. In exchange for our agreement to provide these services, the DoD exercised its existing option to increase our share of net proceeds under the Surplus Contract by 1%. On May 13, 2008, the DoD agreed to extend the current Surplus Contract through November 1, 2008, as well as increase our share of net proceeds under the Surplus Contract to 39.5% on property received after June 18, 2008. On November 6, 2008, the DoD agreed to extend the current Surplus Contract through December 17, 2008. Under the new Surplus Contract, which will begin on December 18, 2008, we are required to purchase all usable surplus property offered to us by the DoD at a fixed percentage equal to approximately 3.26% of the DoD's original acquisition value. We will retain 100% of the profit from the resale of the property and bear all of its costs for the merchandising and sale of the property. The DoD has broad discretion to determine what property will be made available for sale to us under the new Surplus Contract and may retrieve or restrict property previously sold to us for national security reasons or if the property is otherwise needed to support the mission of the DoD. The new Surplus Contract has a 36 month term with two 12 month renewal options exercisable by the DoD.

Under the Scrap Contract, we also have a small business performance incentive based on the number of scrap buyers that are small businesses that would allow us to receive up to an additional 2% of the profit sharing distribution. On May 21, 2007, we entered into a bilateral contract modification under which the DoD agreed to increase the profit-sharing distribution for the Scrap Contract from 20% to 23% effective June 1, 2007, in exchange for our agreement to implement additional inventory assurance processes and procedures with respect to the mutilation of demilitarized scrap property sold. The Scrap Contract expires in August 2012, subject to the DoD's right to extend for three additional one-year terms.

These contracts require us to satisfy export control and other regulatory requirements in connection with sales. Specifically, for specified categories of property sold under the contracts that are subject to export controls, we are required to (1) obtain an end-use certificate from the prospective buyer describing the nature of the buyer's business, describing the expected disposition and specific end-use of the property, and acknowledging the applicability of pertinent export control and economic sanctions laws and (2) confirm that each buyer has been cleared to purchase export-controlled items. Applicable export controls include the Export Administration Regulations enforced by the Bureau of Industry and Security ("BIS") of the U.S. Department of Commerce, and the International Traffic In Arms Regulations enforced by the Directorate of Defense Trade Controls ("DDTC") of the U.S. Department of State. Our collection, settlement tools and procedures are designed so that transactions for these categories of property cannot be completed until we receive a completed end-use certificate and confirmation of the buyer's trade security controls clearance. In addition, we do not combine export-controlled property into auction lots with property not subject to export controls.

We are also prohibited from selling property to persons or entities that appear on lists of restricted or prohibited parties maintained by the United States or other governments, including the Specially Designated Nationals and Blocked Persons List maintained by the Office of Foreign Assets Control of the U.S. Department of Treasury and the Entity List maintained by BIS, the Denied Persons List maintained by BIS and the Debarred Parties List maintained by DDTC. In addition, we are prohibited from selling to countries, regimes, or nationals that are the target of applicable economic sanctions or other embargoes. As part of each sale, we collect information from potential customers that our systems cross reference against a list of restricted or prohibited parties and countries, regimes, or nationals that are the target of economic sanctions or other embargoes in order to comply with these restrictions. Failure to satisfy any of these export control and other regulatory requirements could subject us to civil and criminal penalties and administrative sanctions, including termination of the DRMS contracts, forfeiture of profits, suspension of payments, fines and suspension or debarment from doing business with U.S. federal government agencies.

The Scrap Contract may be terminated by DoD or by us if the rate of return proceeds performance ratios do not exceed specified benchmark ratios for two consecutive quarterly periods and the preceding twelve months. We have never failed to meet the required benchmark ratios during any of the testing periods. DoD also has the right to audit our performance under the contracts. DoD may terminate the contracts and seek other contract remedies in the event of material breaches, provided that it provides us notice and a 30-day opportunity to cure such breaches. The new Surplus Contract contains a provision providing for a mutual termination of the contract for convenience.

Government Regulation

We are subject to federal and state consumer protection laws, including laws protecting the privacy of customer non-public information and regulations prohibiting unfair and deceptive trade practices. Furthermore, the growth and demand for online commerce has and may continue to result in more stringent consumer protection laws that impose additional compliance burdens on online companies. Many jurisdictions also regulate "auctions" and "auctioneers" and may regulate online auction services. These consumer protection laws and regulations could result in substantial compliance costs and could interfere with the conduct of our business.

In many states, there is currently great uncertainty about whether or how existing laws governing issues such as property ownership, sales and other taxes, auctions and auctioneering, libel and personal privacy apply to the Internet and commercial online services. These issues may take years to resolve. New legislation or regulation, the application of laws and regulations from jurisdictions whose laws do not currently apply to our business or the application of existing laws and regulations to the Internet and commercial online services could result in significant additional taxes or regulatory restrictions on our business. These potential restrictions could have an adverse effect on our cash flows and results of operations. Furthermore, there is a possibility that we may be subject to significant fines or other payments for any past failures to comply with these requirements.

In connection with our contracts with the U.S. federal government, the U.S. federal government has the right to audit and review our performance on our government contracts, as well as our compliance with applicable laws and regulations. In addition, we sell merchandise under our government contracts, such as scientific instruments, information technology equipment and aircraft parts, that is subject to further government regulations, some of which may require us to obtain an export license in certain circumstances or an end-use certificate from the buyer. In the United States, the sales of this type of merchandise is further regulated by, among others, the U.S. Export Administration Regulations, International Traffic in Arms and the economic sanctions and embargo laws enforced by the Office of Foreign Assets Control Regulations. If a government audit uncovers improper or illegal activities, or if we are alleged to have violated any laws or regulations governing the products we sell under our government contracts, we may be subject to civil and criminal penalties and administrative sanctions, including termination of contracts, denial of export privileges, forfeiture of profits, suspension of payments, fines, and suspension or debarment from doing business with U.S. federal government agencies. See "Risk Factors Unfavorable findings resulting from a government investigation or audit could subject us to a variety of penalties and sanctions, could negatively impact our future operating results and could force us to adjust previously reported operating results."

Intellectual Property

We regard our intellectual property, particularly domain names, copyrights and trade secrets, as critical to our success. We rely on a combination of contractual restrictions and common law copyright and trade secret laws to protect our proprietary rights, know-how, information and technology. These contractual restrictions include confidentiality and non-compete provisions. We generally enter into agreements containing these provisions with our employees, contractors and third parties with whom we have strategic relationships. Despite these precautions, it may be possible for a third party to copy or

otherwise obtain and use our intellectual property without our authorization. We currently are the registered owners of several Internet domain names, including *www.liquidation.com*, *www.govliquidation.com*, *www.liquibiz.com*, *www.govdeals.com* and *www.goWholesale.com*. We pursue the registration of our trademarks in the U.S. and internationally. We are pursuing patent protection and registration of several of our trademarks. Effective patent, copyright, trademarks, trade secret and domain name protection is expensive to maintain and may require litigation to enforce our intellectual property rights. We seek to protect our domain names in an increasing number of jurisdictions and may not be successful in certain jurisdictions.

We rely on technologies that we license from third parties. These licenses may not continue to be available to us on commercially reasonable terms in the future. As a result, we may be required to obtain substitute technology of lower quality or at greater cost, which could materially adversely effect our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

We do not believe that our business, sales policies or technologies infringe the proprietary rights of third parties. However, third parties have in the past and may in the future claim that our business, sales policies or technologies infringe their rights. We expect that participants in the e-commerce market will be increasingly subject to infringement claims as the number of services and competitors in the industry grows. Any such claim, with or without merit, could be time consuming, result in costly litigation or an injunction or require us to enter into royalty or licensing agreements. Such royalty or licensing agreements might not be available on terms acceptable to us, or at all or may be prohibited by an injunction. As a result, any such claim of infringement against us could have a material adverse effect upon our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Employees

As of September 30, 2008, we had 621 U.S. employees, including 100 in sales and marketing, 36 in technology, 40 in customer service, 373 in operations and 72 in finance and administration. In addition, as of that date, we had 67 international employees (located primarily in the United Kingdom and Germany), including 14 in sales and marketing, 6 in technology, 5 in customer service, 27 in operations and 15 in finance and administration.

We believe that we have good relationships with our employees. We have never had a work stoppage, and none of our employees is represented under a collective bargaining agreement or by a union.

Available Information

Our annual, quarterly and current reports, proxy statements, amendments to those reports and other information are also made available free of charge on our website www.liquidityservicesinc.com, as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file these materials with, or furnish them to, the SEC. We use our website as a channel of distribution for material company information. Important information, including news releases, analyst presentations and financial information regarding the Company is routinely posted on and accessible at www.liquidityservices.com.

Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This document contains forward-looking statements. These statements are only predictions. The outcome of the events described in these forward-looking statements is subject to known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results, levels of activity, performance or achievements to differ materially from any future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. These risks and other factors include but are not limited to those listed in Part I, Item 1A ("Risk Factors") and in our other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) from time to time. You can identify forward-

looking statements by terminology such as "may," "will," "should," "could," "would," "expects," "intends," "plans," "anticipates," "believes," "estimates," "predicts," "potential," "continues" or the negative of these terms or other comparable terminology. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements. There may be other factors of which we are currently unaware or deem immaterial that may cause our actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements.

All forward-looking statements apply only as of the date of this Annual Report and are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements included in this document. Except as may be required by law, we undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statement to reflect events or circumstances occurring after the date of this Annual Report or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

You should carefully consider the risks described below, together with all of the other information in this Annual Report, including the consolidated financial statements and related notes, before making an investment decision with respect to our common stock. If any of the following risks occur, our business, financial condition or operating results could suffer. As a result, the trading price of our common stock could decline and you may lose all or part of your investment in our common stock. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only significant risks we may face. Other events that we do not currently anticipate or that we currently deem immaterial also may affect our results of operations and financial condition.

We depend on contracts with the United States Department of Defense for a significant portion of our revenue, and if our relationship with this customer is disrupted, we would experience a significant decrease in revenue and income.

We have two material contracts with the Defense Reutilization and Marketing Service, or DRMS, under which we acquire, manage and sell surplus and scrap property of the DoD. If our relationship with the DoD is impaired, we are not awarded new DoD contracts when our current contracts expire, any of our DoD contracts are terminated or the supply of assets under the contracts significantly decreased, we would experience a significant decrease in revenue and have difficulty generating income. The Surplus Contract accounted for 56.6%, 33.9% and 31.3% of our revenue and 48.3%, 28.8% and 23.0% of our GMV for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2006, 2007 and 2008, respectively. The Scrap Contract accounted for 26.5%, 27.6% and 31.1% of our revenue and for 22.6%, 23.5% and 22.8% of our GMV in fiscal year 2006, 2007 and 2008, respectively. We believe that these contracts will continue to be the source of a significant portion of our revenue and GMV during their respective terms. The Surplus Contract has a three-year base term that expires in December 2011, subject to the DoD's right to extend for two additional one-year terms. The Scrap Contract has a seven-year base term that expires in August 2012, subject to the DoD's right to extend for three additional one-year terms. The contracts were awarded by the DoD through a competitive bidding process, and we may be required to go through a new competitive bidding process when our existing contracts expire.

Our new Surplus Contract with the DoD allows either party to terminate the contract for convenience. Although our Scrap Contract does not allow the DoD to terminate for convenience, it requires us to meet specified performance benchmarks. The Scrap Contract may be terminated by the DoD if rate of return performance ratios do not exceed specified benchmark ratios for two consecutive quarterly periods and the preceding twelve months. Although, to date, we have never failed to meet the required benchmark ratios during any of the testing periods, we cannot assure you that we will meet the performance benchmarks in the future. The DoD also has the right, after giving us notice and a 30 day opportunity to cure, to terminate the contracts and seek other contract remedies in the event of material breaches.

We could experience a significant decrease in our revenue and earnings under our new Surplus Contract, which contains certain terms that are different from those of the current Surplus Contract.

We depend on our current Surplus Contract with the DoD for a significant portion of our revenue and earnings and may experience a significant decrease in our revenue and earnings under our new Surplus Contract. Revenue from our Surplus Contract (including buyer premiums) accounted for approximately 56.6%, 33.9% and 31.3% of our total revenue for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2006, 2007 and 2008, respectively. The property sold under our Surplus Contract accounted for approximately 48.3%, 28.8% and 23.0% of our gross merchandise volume (GMV) for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2006, 2007 and 2008, respectively. Our current Surplus Contract with the DRMS will expire on December 17, 2008; we expect to commence operations under our new Surplus Contract, which we were awarded on July 31, 2008, on December 18, 2008. The new Surplus Contract contains

certain terms that are different from the terms of the current Surplus Contract, including, without limitation: (1) a change in the economic structure of the contract, which eliminates the profit-sharing terms of the contract and requires us to bear all of our costs for the merchandising and resale of the property sold to us under the new Surplus Contract; (2) a requirement that we purchase all useable surplus property offered for sale to us by the DRMS under the new Surplus Contract; (3) a provision granting broad discretion to the DRMS to determine what property will be made available for sale to us under the new Surplus Contract; (4) a provision granting authority to the DRMS to retrieve or restrict property previously sold to us under the New Surplus Contract for national security reasons or if the property is otherwise needed to support the mission of the United States Department of Defense; (5) a 36 month term (with two 12 month renewal options exercisable by the DRMS); and (6) a mutual termination of the contract for convenience. The terms of the new Surplus Contract can be found in Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 6, 2008. We cannot predict what effect these terms will have on our business and we cannot assure you that such terms will not have a material adverse affect on our revenue and earnings.

Unfavorable findings resulting from a government investigation or audit could subject us to a variety of penalties and sanctions, could negatively impact our future operating results and could force us to adjust previously reported operating results.

In the past, the Government Accountability Office (GAO) has cited weaknesses in DoD excess inventory control procedures and lax security at selected DoD facilities with respect to surplus property. In July 2006, the GAO asserted that we failed to verify the appropriate DoD regulatory classifications for certain items we sold and, as a result, we sold items we should have instead returned to the DoD. We do not believe that we have a contractual responsibility under our DoD contracts to assign these classifications; we believe that the DoD has the contractual obligation to assign these classifications. In July 2006, the GAO also identified buyers that collectively purchased sensitive military items and stated that the GAO was referring these sales to federal law enforcement agencies for investigation. These buyers may have acquired these sensitive military items from us. It is possible that other government and law enforcement agencies may also investigate these sales, our company and our activities under our DoD contracts. If an investigation alleges that we engaged in improper or illegal activities, we could be subject to civil and criminal penalties and administrative sanctions, including termination of contracts, forfeiture of profits, suspension of payments, fines, and suspension or debarment from doing business with U.S. federal government agencies. We could also suffer serious harm to our reputation if allegations of impropriety are made against us, whether or not these allegations have merit.

The federal government has the right to audit our performance under our government contracts. Any adverse findings from audits or reviews of our performance under our contracts could result in a significant adjustment to our previously reported operating results. For example, some of our DoD contracts provide that we share sales profits with the government. The federal government may disagree with our calculation of the profits realized from the sales of government surplus assets and may require us to increase profit-sharing payments to the government that have been made in the past. If this occurs, our past operating margins may be reduced. The results of an audit by the government could significantly limit the volume and type of merchandise made available to us under our contracts with the DoD, resulting in lower gross merchandise volume, revenue, and profitability for our company. If such a government audit uncovers improper or illegal activities, we could be subject to the civil and criminal penalties, administrative sanctions and reputational harm described above. If, as the result of such an audit, or for any other reason, we are suspended or debarred from contracting with the federal government generally, or any specific agency, if our reputation or relationship with government agencies is impaired, or if the government otherwise ceases doing business with us or significantly decreases the amount of business it does with us, our revenue and profitability would substantially decrease.

The success of our business depends on our ability to successfully obtain a supply of merchandise for our buyers and to attract and retain active professional buyers to create sufficient demand for our sellers.

Our ability to increase our revenue and maintain profitability depends on whether we can successfully expand the supply of merchandise available for sale on our online marketplaces and attract and retain active professional buyers to purchase the merchandise. Our ability to attract sufficient quantities of suitable merchandise and new buyers will depend on various factors, some of which are out of our control. These factors include our ability to:

offer sellers liquid marketplaces for their wholesale surplus and salvage assets;

offer buyers a sufficient supply of merchandise;

develop and implement effective sales and marketing strategies;

comply with regulatory or corporate seller requirements affecting marketing and disposition of certain categories of merchandise;

efficiently catalogue, handle, store, ship and track merchandise; and

achieve high levels of seller and buyer satisfaction with the trading experience.

We may not be able to compete successfully against existing or future competitors.

The online services market for auctioning or liquidating wholesale surplus and salvage assets is competitive and growing rapidly. Competitive pressures could affect our ability to attract and retain customers, which could decrease our revenue and negatively affect our operating results. We currently compete with:

other e-commerce providers, such as Amazon.com, GSI Commerce and Overstock.com;

auction websites such as eBay and uBid;

government agencies that have created websites to sell wholesale surplus and salvage assets; and

traditional liquidators and fixed-site auctioneers.

We expect our market to become even more competitive as traditional and online liquidators and auctioneers continue to develop online and offline services for disposition, redeployment and remarketing of wholesale surplus and salvage assets. In addition, manufacturers, retailers and government agencies may decide to create their own websites to sell their own wholesale surplus and salvage assets and those of third parties.

Some of our other current and potential competitors have longer operating histories, larger client bases, greater brand recognition and significantly greater financial, marketing and other resources than we do. In addition, some of these competitors may be able to devote greater financial resources to marketing and promotional campaigns, secure merchandise from sellers on more favorable terms, adopt more aggressive pricing or inventory availability policies and devote substantially more resources to website and systems development than we are able to do. Increased competition may result in reduced operating margins and loss of market share. We may not be able to compete successfully against current and future competitors.

If we fail to manage our growth effectively, our operating results could be adversely affected.

We have expanded our operations rapidly since our inception in 1999. Although we currently do not have specific plans for any expansion that would require significant capital investment, in the future we plan to expand our operations further by developing new or complementary services, products, or

trading formats and enhancing the breadth and depth of our value-added services. We also plan to continue to expand our sales and marketing, technology and client support organizations. In addition, we will likely need to continue to improve our financial and management controls and our reporting systems and procedures. If we are unable to effectively implement these plans and to otherwise manage our expanding operations, we may not be able to execute our business strategy and our operating results could significantly decrease.

Our business depends on the continued growth of the Internet and e-commerce.

The business of selling merchandise over the Internet, particularly through online trading, is dynamic and relatively new. Growth in the use of the Internet as a medium for consumer commerce may not continue. Concerns about fraud and privacy, increased costs of Internet service, Internet service disruptions and other problems may discourage consumers from engaging in e-commerce. In particular, many traditional buyers and sellers of wholesale surplus and salvage goods still conduct much of their business in traditional live auctions that do not occur on the Internet, and those buyers and sellers may be hesitant to engage in e-commerce. If the e-commerce industry fails to grow or traditional buyers and sellers of wholesale surplus and salvage assets are unwilling to conduct business on the Internet, we may be unable to attract customers, which could cause our revenue and operating results to decline.

Our quarterly operating results have fluctuated in the past and may do so in the future, which could cause volatility in our stock price.

Our prior operating results have fluctuated due to changes in our business and the e-commerce industry. Similarly, our future operating results may vary significantly from quarter to quarter due to a variety of factors, many of which are beyond our control. You should not rely on period-to-period comparisons of our operating results as an indication of our future performance. Factors that may affect our quarterly operating results include the following:

the addition of new buyers and sellers or the loss of existing buyers and sellers;

the volume, size, timing and completion rate of transactions in our marketplaces;

changes in the supply and demand for and the volume, price, mix and quality of our supply of wholesale surplus and salvage assets;

introduction of new or enhanced websites, services or product offerings by us or our competitors;

implementation of significant new contracts;

changes in our pricing policies or the pricing policies of our competitors;

changes in the conditions and economic prospects of the e-commerce industry or the economy generally, which could alter current or prospective buyers' and sellers' priorities;

technical difficulties, including telecommunication system or Internet failures;

changes in government regulation of the Internet and e-commerce industry;

event-driven disruptions such as war, terrorism, disease and natural disasters;

seasonal patterns in selling and purchasing activity; and

costs related to acquisitions of technology or equipment.

Our operating results may fall below the expectations of market analysts and investors in some future periods. If this occurs, even temporarily, it could cause volatility in our stock price.

Our operating results depend on our websites, network infrastructure and transaction processing systems. Service interruptions or system failures could negatively affect the demand for our services and our ability to grow our revenue.

Any system interruptions that affect our websites or our transaction systems could impair the services that we provide to our sellers and buyers. In addition, our systems may be vulnerable to damage from a variety of other sources, including telecommunications failures, power outages, malicious human acts and natural disasters. Improving the reliability and redundancy of our systems may be expensive, reduce our margins and may not be successful in preventing system failures. Our services are also substantially dependent on systems provided by third parties, over whom we have little control. We have occasionally experienced interruptions to our services due to system failures unrelated to our own systems. Any interruptions or failures of our current systems or our ability to communicate with third party systems could negatively affect the demand for our services and our ability to grow our revenue.

If we do not respond to rapid technological changes or upgrade our systems, we could fail to grow our business and our revenue could decrease.

To remain competitive, we must continue to enhance and improve the functionality and features of our e-commerce business. Although we currently do not have specific plans for any upgrades that would require significant capital investment, in the future we will need to improve and upgrade our technology, transaction processing systems and network infrastructure in order to allow our operations to grow in both size and scope. Without such improvements, our operations might suffer from unanticipated system disruptions, slow transaction processing, unreliable service levels, or impaired quality or delays in reporting accurate financial information, any of which could negatively affect our reputation and ability to attract and retain sellers and buyers. We may also face material delays in introducing new services, products and enhancements. The Internet and the e-commerce industry are rapidly changing. If competitors introduce new products and services using new technologies or if new industry standards and practices emerge, our existing websites and our proprietary technology and systems may become obsolete. In addition, the expansion and improvement of our systems and infrastructure may require us to commit substantial financial, operational and technical resources, with no assurance our business will increase. If we fail to respond to technological change or to adequately maintain, expand, upgrade and develop our systems and infrastructure in a timely fashion our ability to grow could be limited and our revenue could decrease.

Shipment of merchandise sold in our marketplaces could be delayed or disrupted by factors beyond our control and we could lose buyers and sellers as a result.

We rely upon third party carriers such as United Parcel Services, or UPS, for timely delivery of our merchandise shipments. As a result, we are subject to carrier disruptions and increased costs due to factors that are beyond our control, including labor difficulties, inclement weather, terrorist activity and increased fuel costs. In addition, we do not have a long-term agreement with UPS or any other third party carriers, and we cannot be sure that our relationship with UPS will continue on terms favorable to us, if at all. If our relationship with UPS is terminated or impaired or if UPS is unable to deliver merchandise for us, we would be required to use alternative carriers for the shipment of products to our buyers. We may be unable to engage alternative carriers on a timely basis or on terms favorable to us, if at all. Potential adverse consequences include:

reduced visibility of order status and package tracking;

delays in merchandise receipt and delivery;

increased cost of shipment; and

reduced shipment quality, which may result in damaged merchandise.

Any failure to receive merchandise at our distribution centers or deliver products to our buyers in a timely and accurate manner could lead to client dissatisfaction and cause us to lose sellers and buyers.

A significant interruption in the operations of our customer service system or our distribution centers could harm our business and operating results.

Our business depends, to a large degree, on effective customer service and distribution center operations. We currently staff DoD warehouse distribution space, for which we do not incur leasing costs, as well as leased commercial warehouse distribution space. These operations could be harmed by several factors, including any material disruption or slowdown at our distribution centers resulting from labor disputes, changes in the terms of our underlying lease agreements or occupancy arrangements in the case of government provided facilities, telecommunications failures, power or service outages, human error, terrorist attacks, natural disasters or other events. In addition, space provided to us by the DoD could be re-configured or reduced as a result of the DoD's Base Realignment and Closure initiative or other infrastructure reduction initiatives.

If we fail to accurately predict our ability to sell merchandise in which we take inventory risk and credit risk, our margins may decline as a result of lower sale prices from such merchandise.

Under our profit-sharing and purchase model, we purchase merchandise and assume the risk that the merchandise may sell for less than we paid for it. We assume general and physical inventory and credit risk. These risks are especially significant because some of the goods we sell on our websites are characterized by rapid technological change, obsolescence and price erosion, and because we sometimes make large purchases of particular types of inventory. In addition, we do not receive warranties on the goods we purchase and, as a result, we have to resell or dispose of any returned goods. Historically, the number of disposed goods (which includes returned goods that we have not resold) has been less than 2% of the goods we have purchased. To manage our inventory successfully, we need to maintain sufficient buyer demand and sell merchandise for a reasonable financial return. We may miscalculate buyer demand and overpay for the acquired merchandise. In the event that merchandise is not attractive to our buyer base, we may be required to take significant losses resulting from lower sale prices, which could reduce our revenue and margins. For example, under the Surplus Contract, we are obligated to purchase all DoD surplus property at set prices representing a percentage of the original acquisition cost, which varies depending on the type of surplus property being purchased. Under the Scrap Contract, we acquire scrap property at a per pound price. Recent declines in commodity prices may also reduce the profit we are able to realize in our scrap business. Historically, the cost of inventory has been approximately 5% of the gross merchandise volume under our profit-sharing model. Occasionally, we are not able to sell our inventory for amounts above its cost and we may incur a loss. As we grow our business, we may choose to increase the amount of merchandise we purchase directly from sellers, thus resulting in increased inventory levels and related risk. Any such increase would require the use of additional working capital and subject us to the additional risk of incurring losses on the sale of that inventory.

A protracted global recession could have a material adverse effect on our operating income, financial condition, cash flows and the trading price of our stock.

A long-term global recession could reduce the demand for our services while decreasing the prices paid in our auctions and increasing our costs, which could adversely affect the results of our operations. For over a year, financial markets have been experiencing volatility and disruption which has, in recent months, reached unprecedented levels. International efforts to address the current global credit and banking crisis may be unsuccessful in preventing a global recession.

The current worldwide financial crisis has reduced the availability of liquidity and credit to borrowers. Many lenders and institutional investors have reduced and, in some cases, ceased to provide funding to borrowers. If our buyers and sellers are unable to obtain financing required to fund their operations, demand for our services may be adversely affected. In addition, this market turmoil and tightening of credit have led to an increased level of commercial and consumer delinquencies or defaults, lack of consumer confidence, increased market volatility and widespread reduction of business activity generally, which may also adversely affect demand for our services.

We may be unable to adequately protect or enforce our intellectual property rights, which could harm our reputation and negatively impact the growth of our business.

We regard our intellectual property, particularly domain names, copyrights and trade secrets, as critical to our success. We rely on a combination of contractual restrictions and copyright and trade secret laws to protect our proprietary rights, know-how, information and technology. Despite these protections, it may be possible for a third party to copy or otherwise obtain and use our intellectual property without authorization or independently develop similar intellectual property.

We currently are the registered owners of several Internet domain names, including *www.liquidation.com*, *www.govliquidation.com*, *www.liquibiz.com*, *www.govdeals.com* and *www.goWholesale.com*. We pursue the registration of our domain names in the U.S. and internationally. We currently do not have any patents or registered copyrights, trademarks or service marks, but we are pursuing patents and registration of such intellectual property. Effective patent, copyright, trademark, service mark, trade secret and domain name protection is expensive to maintain and may require litigation. We seek to protect our domain names in an increasing number of jurisdictions and may not be successful in doing so in certain jurisdictions. Our competitors may adopt trade names or domain names similar to ours, thereby impeding our ability to promote our marketplaces and possibly leading to client confusion. In addition, we could face trade name or trademark or service mark infringement claims brought by owners of other registered or unregistered trademarks or service marks, including trademarks or service marks that may incorporate variations of our marketplace names. Any claims related to our intellectual property or client confusion related to our marketplaces could damage our reputation and negatively impact the growth of our business.

Our inability to use software licensed from third parties or our use of open source software under license terms that interfere with our proprietary rights could disrupt our business.

We use software licensed from third parties, including some software, known as open source software that we use without charge. We currently use the following open source software: Linux (an operating system), MySQL (database software), PERL (an interpreter) and Apache (a web server), and we may in the future use additional open source software. In the future, these licenses to third party software may not be available on terms that are acceptable to us, or at all. Our inability to use third-party software could result in disruptions to our business, or delays in the development of future services or enhancements of existing services, which could impair our business. In addition, the terms of certain open source software licenses may require us to provide modified versions of the open source software, which we develop, if any, or any proprietary software that incorporates all or a portion of the open source software, if any, to others on unfavorable license terms that are consistent with the open source license term. If we are required to license our proprietary software in accordance with the foregoing, our competitors and other third parties could obtain access to our intellectual property, which could harm our business.

Assertions that we infringe on intellectual property rights of others could result in significant costs and substantially harm our business and operating results.

Other parties may assert that we have infringed on their technology or other intellectual property rights. We use internally developed systems and licensed technology to operate our online auction platform and related websites. Third parties could assert intellectual property infringement claims against us based on our internally developed systems or use of licensed third party technology. Third parties also could assert intellectual property infringement claims against parties from whom we license technology. If we are forced to defend against any infringement claims, whether they are with or without merit or are determined in our favor, we may face costly litigation, diversion of technical and management personnel and/or delays in completion of sales. Furthermore, the outcome of a dispute may be that we would need to change technology, develop non-infringing technology or enter into royalty or licensing agreements. A switch to different technology could cause interruptions in our business. Internal development of a non-infringing technology may be expensive and time-consuming, if we are able to successfully develop such technology at all. Royalty or licensing agreements, if required, may be unavailable on terms acceptable to us, or at all. Incurrence of any of these costs could negatively impact our operating results.

If we do not retain our senior management, we may not be able to achieve our business objectives.

Our future success is substantially dependent on the continued service of our senior management, particularly William P. Angrick, III, our chief executive officer and Jaime Mateus-Tique, our chief operating officer. We do not have key-person insurance on any of our officers or employees. The loss of any member of our existing senior management team could damage key seller relationships, result in the loss of key information, expertise or know-how, lead to unanticipated recruitment and training costs and make it more difficult to successfully operate our business and achieve our business goals.

If we are unable to attract and retain skilled employees, we might not be able to sustain our growth.

Our future success depends on our ability to continue to attract, retain and motivate highly skilled employees, particularly employees with sales, marketing, operations and technology expertise. Competition for employees in our industry is intense. We have experienced difficulty from time to time in attracting the personnel necessary to support the growth of our business, and we may experience similar difficulties in the future. If we are unable to attract, assimilate and retain employees with the necessary skills, we may not be able to grow our business and revenue.

Our international operations subject us to additional risks and challenges that could harm our business and our profitability.

We have begun expanding internationally, and in the future we may do so more aggressively. For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008, international operations accounted for approximately 5% of our revenue. International operations subject us to additional risks and challenges, including:

the need to develop new seller and buyer relationships;

difficulties and costs of staffing and managing foreign operations;

changes in and differences between domestic and foreign regulatory requirements;

price controls and foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations;

difficulties in complying with export restrictions and import permits;

reduced protection for intellectual property rights in some countries;

potentially adverse tax consequences;

lower per capita Internet usage and lack of appropriate infrastructure to support widespread Internet usage;

political and economic instability; and

tariffs and other trade barriers.

We cannot assure you that we will be successful in our efforts in foreign countries. Some of these factors may cause our international costs to exceed our domestic costs of doing business. Failure to adequately address these risks could decrease our profitability and operating results.

We may make acquisitions that require significant resources and could be unsuccessful.

In the future, we may acquire other businesses, products and technologies to complement our current business. We may not be able to identify, negotiate, finance, complete or integrate any future acquisition successfully. Acquisitions involve a number of risks, including possible adverse effects on our operating results, diversion of management's attention, inability to retain key employees of the acquired business and risks associated with unanticipated events or liabilities, some or all of which could disrupt our business and reduce the likelihood that we will receive the anticipated benefits of the acquisition in the amount or the time frame that we expect.

Should we be unable successfully to integrate a new business, we could be required either to dispose of the operation or restructure the operation. In either event, our business could be disrupted and we may not achieve the anticipated benefits of the acquisition. In addition, future transactions could result in potentially dilutive issuances of our equity securities, the incurrence of debt, contingent liabilities or amortization of expenses, or write-offs of goodwill, any of which could harm our financial condition and operating results. Future transactions may also require us to obtain additional financing, which may not be available on favorable terms or at all.

We may need additional financing in the future, which may not be available on favorable terms, if at all.

We may need additional funds to finance our operations, as well as to enhance our services, fund our expansion, respond to competitive pressures or acquire complementary businesses or technologies. However, our business may not generate the cash needed to finance such requirements. If we raise additional funds through the issuance of equity or convertible debt securities, the percentage ownership of our existing stockholders would be reduced, and these securities may have rights, preferences or privileges senior to those of our common stock. If adequate funds are not available or are not available on acceptable terms, our ability to enhance our services, fund our expansion, respond to competitive pressures or take advantage of business opportunities would be significantly limited, and we might need to significantly restrict our operations.

We face legal uncertainties relating to the Internet in general and to the e-commerce industry in particular and may become subject to costly government regulation.

The laws and regulations related to the Internet and e-commerce are evolving. These laws and regulations relate to issues such as user privacy, freedom of expression, pricing, fraud, quality of products and services, taxation, advertising, intellectual property rights and information security. Laws governing issues such as property ownership, copyrights and other intellectual property issues, taxation, libel and defamation, obscenity and personal privacy could also affect our business. Laws adopted prior to the advent of the Internet may not contemplate or address the unique issues of the Internet and related technologies and it is not clear how they will apply. Current and future laws and regulations could increase our cost of doing business and/or decrease the demand for our services.

Our auction business may be subject to a variety of additional costly government regulations.

Many states and other jurisdictions have regulations governing the conduct of traditional "auctions" and the liability of traditional "auctioneers" in conducting auctions, which may apply to online auction services. In addition, certain states have laws or regulations that expressly apply to online auction services. We expect to continue to incur costs in complying with these laws and could be subject to fines or other penalties for any failure to comply with these laws. We may be required to make changes in our business to comply with these laws, which could increase our costs, reduce our revenue, cause us to prohibit the listing of certain items, or otherwise adversely affect our financial condition or operating results.

In addition, the law regarding the potential liability of an online auction service for the activities of its users is not clear. We cannot assure you that users of our websites will comply with our terms and conditions or with laws and regulations applicable to them and their transactions. It is possible that we may be subject to allegations of civil or criminal liability for any unlawful activities conducted by sellers or buyers. Any costs we incur as a result of any such allegations, or as a result of actual or alleged unlawful transactions using our marketplaces, or in our efforts to prevent any such transactions, may harm our opportunities for future revenue growth. In addition, any negative publicity we receive regarding any such transactions or allegations may damage our reputation, our ability to attract new sellers and buyers and our business.

Certain categories of merchandise sold on our marketplaces are subject to government restrictions.

We sell merchandise, such as scientific instruments, information technology equipment and aircraft parts, that is subject to export control and economic sanctions laws, among other laws, imposed by the United States and other governments. Such restrictions include the U.S. Export Administration Regulations, the International Traffic in Arms Regulations, and economic sanctions and embargo laws administered by the Office of the Foreign Assets Control Regulations. These restrictions prohibit us from, among other things, selling property to (1) persons or entities that appear on lists of restricted or prohibited parties maintained by the United States or other governments or (2) countries, regimes, or nationals that are the target of applicable economic sanctions or other embargoes. In addition, for specified categories of property sold under our contracts with the DoD, we are required to (1) obtain an end-use certificate from the prospective buyer describing the nature of the buyer's business, describing the expected disposition and specific end-use of the property, and acknowledging the applicability of pertinent export control and economic sanctions laws and (2) confirm that each buyer has been cleared to purchase export-controlled items.

We may incur significant costs or be required to modify our business to comply with these requirements. If we are alleged to have violated any of these laws or regulations we may be subject to civil and criminal penalties and administrative sanctions, including termination of contracts, forfeiture of profits, suspension of payments, fines, and suspension or debarment from doing business with U.S. federal government agencies. In addition, we could suffer serious harm to our reputation if allegations of impropriety are made against us, whether or not true.

Our business may be harmed if third parties misappropriate our clients' confidential information.

We retain highly confidential information on behalf of our clients in our systems and databases. Although we maintain security features in our systems, our operations may be susceptible to hacker interception, break-ins and other disruptions. These disruptions may jeopardize the security of information stored in and transmitted through our systems. We may be required to expend significant capital and other resources to protect against such security breaches or to alleviate problems caused by such breaches. These issues are likely to become more difficult as we expand our operations. If any compromise of our security were to occur, we may lose clients and our reputation, business, financial

condition and operating results could be harmed by the misappropriation of confidential client information. In addition, if there is any perception that we cannot protect our clients' confidential information, we may lose the ability to retain existing clients and attract new clients and our revenue could decline.

If we fail to comply with increasing levels of regulation relating to privacy, our business could suffer harm.

We are subject to increasing regulation at the federal, state and international levels relating to privacy and the use of personal user information. In addition, several states have proposed or enacted legislation to limit uses of personal information gathered online or require online services to establish privacy policies. Data protection regulations and enforcement efforts may restrict our ability to collect demographic and personal information from users, which could be costly or harm our marketing efforts. Such regulations, along with increased government or private enforcement, may increase the cost of growing our business and require us to expend significant capital and other resources. Our failure to comply with these federal, state and international laws and regulations could subject us to lawsuits, fines, criminal penalties, statutory damages, adverse publicity and other costs could decrease our profitability.

If one or more states successfully assert that we should collect sales or other taxes on the sale of our merchandise or the merchandise of third parties that we offer for sale on our websites, our business could be harmed.

We are currently required to pay sales taxes in all states for shipment of goods from our DoD contracts. We also pay sales or other similar taxes in respect of shipments of other goods into states in which we have a substantial presence. In addition, as we grow our business, any new operation in states in which we currently do not pay sales taxes could subject shipments into such states to state sales taxes under current or future laws.

In October 2007, the federal government extended until November 2014 a ban on state and local governments' imposition of new taxes on Internet access or electronic commerce transactions. This ban does not prohibit federal, state or local authorities from collecting taxes on our income or from collecting taxes that are due under existing tax rules. Unless the ban is further extended, state and local governments may begin to levy additional taxes on Internet access and electronic commerce transactions upon the legislation's expiration. An increase in taxes may make electronic commerce transactions less attractive for merchants and businesses, which could result in a decrease in the level of demand for our services.

Currently, decisions of the U.S. Supreme Court restrict the imposition of obligations to collect state and local sales and use taxes with respect to sales made over the Internet. However, a number of states, as well as the U.S. Congress, have been considering various initiatives that could limit or supersede the Supreme Court's position regarding sales and use taxes on Internet sales. If any of these initiatives resulted in a reversal of the Supreme Court's current position, we could be required to collect sales and use taxes in states other than states in which we currently pay such taxes. A successful assertion by one or more local, state or foreign jurisdictions that the sale of merchandise by us is subject to sales or other taxes, could subject us to material liabilities and increase our costs of doing business. To the extent that we pass such costs on to our clients, doing so could harm our business and decrease our revenue.

Fraudulent activities involving our websites and disputes relating to transactions on our websites may cause us to lose clients and affect our ability to grow our business.

We are aware that other companies operating online auction or liquidation services have periodically received complaints of fraudulent activities of buyers or sellers on their websites, including disputes over the quality of goods and services, unauthorized use of credit card and bank account information and identity theft, potential breaches of system security, and infringement of third-party copyrights, trademarks and trade names or other intellectual property rights. We may receive similar complaints if sellers or buyers trading in our marketplaces are alleged to have engaged in fraudulent or unlawful activity. In addition, we may suffer losses as a result of purchases paid for with fraudulent credit card data even though the associated financial institution approved payment. In the case of disputed transactions, we may not be able to require users of our services to fulfill their obligations to make payments or to deliver goods. We also may receive complaints from buyers about the quality of purchased goods, requests for reimbursement, or communications threatening or commencing legal actions against us. Negative publicity generated as a result of fraudulent conduct by third parties or the failure to satisfactorily settle disputes related to transactions on our websites could damage our reputation, cause us to lose clients and affect our ability to grow our business.

False or defamatory statements transmitted through our services could harm our reputation and affect our ability to attract clients.

The law relating to the liability of online services companies for information carried on or disseminated through their services is currently unsettled. Claims could be made against online services companies under both the U.S. and foreign law for defamation, libel, invasion of privacy, negligence, copyright or trademark infringement, or other theories based on the nature and content of the materials disseminated through their services. Our *goWholesale.com* website allows users to make comments regarding the online auction industry in general and other users and their merchandise in particular. Although all such comments are generated by users and not by us, we are aware that claims of defamation or other injury have been made against other companies operating auction services in the past and could be made in the future against us for comments made by users. If we are held liable for information provided by our users and carried on our service, we could be directly harmed and may be forced to implement measures to reduce our liability. This may require us to expend substantial resources or discontinue certain service offerings, which could negatively affect our operating results. In addition, the increased attention focused upon liability issues as a result of these lawsuits and legislative proposals could harm our reputation and affect our ability to attract clients.

Our stock price has been volatile, and your investment in our common stock could suffer a decline in value.

The current worldwide financial crisis has led to a rapid decline in the overall value of the stock market and increased market volatility. This market turmoil and other broad market and industry factors may adversely affect the market price of our common stock, regardless of our actual operating performance. Other factors that could cause fluctuation in our stock price may include:

actual or anticipated variations in quarterly operating results;

changes in financial estimates by us or by a securities analyst who covers our stock;

publication of research reports about our company or industry;

conditions or trends in our industry;

stock market price and volume fluctuations of other publicly traded companies and, in particular, those whose business involves the Internet and e-commerce;

Edgar Filing: ASHLAND INC. - Form S-8 POS

announcements by us or our competitors of significant contracts, acquisitions, commercial relationships, strategic partnerships or divestitures;

announcements by us or our competitors of technological innovations, new services or service enhancements;

announcements of investigations or regulatory scrutiny of our operations or lawsuits filed against us;

the passage of legislation or other regulatory developments that adversely affect us, our clients or our industry;

additions or departures of key personnel;

sales of our common stock, including sales of our common stock by our directors and officers or specific stockholders; and

general economic conditions and slow or negative growth of related markets.

Volatility in the market price of shares may prevent investors from being able to sell their shares of common stock at prices they view as attractive. In the past, securities class action litigation has often been instituted against companies following periods of volatility in their stock price. This type of litigation could result in substantial costs and divert our management's attention and resources.

Some provisions of our charter, bylaws and Delaware law inhibit potential acquisition bids that you may consider favorable.

Our corporate documents and Delaware law contain provisions that may enable our board of directors to resist a change in control of our company even if a change in control were to be considered favorable by you and other stockholders. These provisions include:

a staggered board of directors;

a prohibition on actions by our stockholders by written consent;

limitations on persons authorized to call a special meeting of stockholders;

the authorization of undesignated preferred stock, the terms of which may be established and shares of which may be issued without stockholder approval;

advance notice procedures required for stockholders to nominate candidates for election as directors or to bring matters before an annual meeting of stockholders; and

the requirement that board vacancies be filled by a majority of our directors then in office.

These provisions could discourage, delay or prevent a transaction involving a change in control of our company. These provisions could also discourage proxy contests and make it more difficult for you and other stockholders to elect directors of your choosing and cause us to take other corporate actions you desire.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.

Not Applicable

Item 2. Properties.

We do not own any real property. We lease the following properties:

Purpose	Location	Square Feet	Lease Expiration Date
Corporate Headquarters	Washington, D.C.	13,200	January 31, 2013
Warehouse	Washington, D.C.	9,300	September 30, 2011
Warehouse	Cranbury, New Jersey	75,500	December 31, 2009
Warehouse	North Las Vegas, Nevada	54,000	January 31, 2009
Warehouse	Dallas, Texas	127,200	May 31, 2013
Warehouse	Plainfield, Indiana	217,700	April 30, 2012
Warehouse	Fullerton, California	117,000	Two-thirds of the space will expire on August 31, 2009 and the remaining one-third will expire on July 10, 2011
Warehouse	Sacramento, California	54,600	December 31, 2010
Warehouse	Bentonville, Arkansas	68,000	March 16, 2009
Headquarters DoD	Scottsdale, Arizona	11,000	September 30, 2013.
Warehouse DoD	Columbus, Ohio	340,000	January 31, 2014
Warehouse DoD	Oklahoma City, Oklahoma	325,100	September 30, 2012
Headquarters GD	Montgomery, Alabama	4,400	January 1, 2009
Administrative GD	Nashville, Tennessee	1,400	January 31, 2009
Headquarters UK	Chippenham, England	1,900	November 30, 2010
Warehouse UK	Stafford, England	83,800	Half the space will expire on November 30, 2010, and the remaining half will expire on August 31, 2011
Warehouse UK	Milton Keynes, England	26,000	May 1, 2013
Warehouse Germany	Schwaig, Germany	55,600	Short-term or month to month basis

Our servers are housed in data centers in Ashburn, Virginia, which is managed by Equinix, Inc., in Phoenix, Arizona, which is managed by Limelight Networks, Inc., and in Atlanta, Georgia, which is managed by SunGard, LP.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

From time to time, we may become involved in litigation relating to claims arising in the ordinary course of our business. There are no claims or actions pending or threatened against us that, if adversely determined, would in our judgment have a material adverse effect on us.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

No matters were submitted to a vote of security holders during the quarter ended September 30, 2008.

PART II**Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.****Price Range of Common Stock**

Our common stock has been traded on The NASDAQ Stock Market under the symbol LQDT since February 23, 2006. The following table sets forth the intra-day high and low per share bid price of our common stock as reported by The NASDAQ Stock Market for the periods indicated.

Fiscal year ended September 30, 2007	Low	High
First Quarter	\$ 13.35	\$ 21.50
Second Quarter	\$ 16.61	\$ 24.23
Third Quarter	\$ 16.49	\$ 22.00
Fourth Quarter	\$ 10.84	\$ 19.17
Fiscal year ended September 30, 2008		
First Quarter	\$ 9.99	\$ 15.18
Second Quarter	\$ 7.33	\$ 13.90
Third Quarter	\$ 7.80	\$ 13.00
Fourth Quarter	\$ 7.85	\$ 12.98

As of December 8, 2008, there were approximately 2,500 holders of record of our common stock.

Dividend Policy

Since becoming a public company on February 22, 2006, we have not paid cash dividends on our stock and currently anticipate that we will continue to retain any future earnings to finance the growth of our business.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

We did not repurchase any of our equity securities during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data.

You should read the following selected consolidated financial data together with our consolidated financial statements and the related notes, and with "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The consolidated statement of operations data for the years ended September 30, 2006, 2007 and 2008 are derived from, and are qualified by reference to, our consolidated financial statements that have been audited by Ernst & Young LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, and that are included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The consolidated statement of operations data for the years ended September 30, 2004 and 2005, and the consolidated balance sheet data as of September 30, 2004, 2005 and 2006 are derived from our audited consolidated financial statements that are not included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

	Year ended September 30,				
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
	(dollars in thousands, except per share data)				
Consolidated Statement of Operations					
Data:					
Revenue	\$ 75,869	\$ 89,415	\$ 147,813	\$ 198,620	\$ 263,941
Costs and expenses:					
Cost of goods sold (excluding amortization)	5,743	6,288	12,160	47,043	71,303
Profit-sharing distributions	39,718	48,952	80,253	69,638	91,106
Technology and operations	12,814	14,696	20,081	33,417	41,512
Sales and marketing	4,586	5,503	8,861	13,203	16,997
General and administrative	6,046	7,397	12,073	16,901	21,523
Amortization of contract intangibles		135	813	813	813
Depreciation and amortization	531	586	727	1,302	2,083
Total costs and expenses	69,438	83,557	134,968	182,317	245,337
Income from operations	6,431	5,858	12,845	16,303	18,604
Interest income (expense) and other income, net	(621)	(570)	430	2,176	1,495
Income before provision for income taxes	5,810	5,288	13,275	18,479	20,099
Provision for income taxes	(541)	(1,166)	(5,294)	(7,460)	(8,546)
Net income	\$ 5,269	\$ 4,122	\$ 7,981	\$ 11,019	\$ 11,553
Basic earnings per common share	\$ 0.31	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.33	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.41
Basic weighted average shares outstanding	16,865,313	19,038,464	24,080,780	27,768,679	27,964,748
Diluted earnings per common share	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.18	\$ 0.31	\$ 0.39	\$ 0.41
Diluted weighted average shares outstanding	18,280,366	22,598,519	26,087,809	28,146,923	28,151,878
Non-GAAP Financial Measures:					
EBITDA(1)	\$ 6,962	\$ 6,579	\$ 14,385	\$ 18,418	\$ 21,500
Adjusted EBITDA(1)	6,115	6,666	15,008	20,361	26,174
Adjusted profit-sharing distributions(2)	40,650	48,952	80,253	69,638	91,106
Adjusted net income(2)	\$ 4,337	\$ 4,122	\$ 7,981	\$ 11,019	\$ 11,553
Supplemental Operating Data:					
Gross merchandise volume(3)	\$ 89,104	\$ 102,210	\$ 173,090	\$ 233,579	\$ 359,673
Completed transactions(4)	141,000	173,000	194,000	212,000	372,000
Total registered buyers(5)	264,000	386,000	524,000	685,000	999,000
Total auction participants(6)	671,000	848,000	993,000	1,115,000	1,751,000

Edgar Filing: ASHLAND INC. - Form S-8 POS

	As of September 30,				
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
	(in thousands)				
Consolidated Balance Sheet Data:					
Cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments	\$ 12,178	\$ 10,378	\$ 66,648	\$ 61,609	\$ 63,198
Working capital(7)	7,021	4,154	54,082	61,762	51,044
Total assets	17,711	26,013	88,038	111,142	137,167
Total liabilities	10,333	14,596	22,286	29,108	40,797
Total stockholders' equity	7,054	10,943	65,752	82,034	96,370

(1) EBITDA and adjusted EBITDA are supplemental non-GAAP financial measures. GAAP means generally accepted accounting principles in the United States. EBITDA is equal to net income plus (a) interest expense (income) and other income, net; (b) provision for income taxes; (c) amortization of contract intangibles; and (d) depreciation and amortization. Our definition of adjusted EBITDA is different from EBITDA because we further adjust EBITDA for: (a) stock based compensation expense; and (b) a portion of the SurplusBid.com acquisition payments, as described below under footnote 2. For a description of our use of EBITDA and adjusted EBITDA and a reconciliation of these non-GAAP financial measures to net income, see the discussion and related table below.

(2) In June 2001, we acquired certain assets and assumed certain liabilities of SurplusBid.com, Inc. and its affiliates for \$7.5 million, including SurplusBid.com's surplus contract with the DoD. The SurplusBid.com acquisition price was paid over 33 months in accordance with the terms of the purchase agreement. At the same time, we were awarded our current Surplus Contract with the DoD. Our Surplus Contract required monthly profit-sharing distributions to the DoD under the contract to be reduced by the amount of the monthly SurplusBid.com acquisition payments. This resulted in a temporary non-recurring reduction in our profit-sharing distributions to the DoD and a significant increase in our net income during the 33 month period from June 2001 to March 2004. The total amount of the SurplusBid.com acquisition payment was recorded as a note payable in our consolidated balance sheet in fiscal 2001, discounted to a present value of approximately \$6.5 million. The discount of approximately \$1 million was accreted as interest expense over the term of the acquisition payments.

As a result, we present two supplemental non-GAAP financial measures, adjusted profit-sharing distributions and adjusted net income, to eliminate the impact of the SurplusBid.com acquisition payments on our operating results. These measures are prepared by increasing the profit-sharing distributions line item in our statements of operations by DoD's portion of the principal payments on the SurplusBid.com note payable made during each period (*i.e.*, approximately 80% of the principal payments). We do not add back the accreted interest portion of the SurplusBid.com acquisition payments when adjusting distributions and net income because the accreted interest is already included in interest expense and other income in our consolidated statements of operations. We believe adjusted profit-sharing distributions and adjusted net income are useful to investors because they eliminate an item that we do not consider indicative of our core operating performance due to its temporary, non-recurring nature. We also believe it is important to provide investors with the same metrics used by management to measure core operating performance.

Edgar Filing: ASHLAND INC. - Form S-8 POS

The table below reconciles profit-sharing distributions and net income to such item's adjusted presentation for the periods presented.

	Year ended September 30,				
	2004	2005(a)	2006(a)	2007(a)	2008(a)
	(in thousands)				
Profit-sharing distributions	\$ 39,718	\$ 48,952	\$ 80,253	\$ 69,638	\$ 91,106
Adjustment	932				
Adjusted profit-sharing distributions	\$ 40,650	\$ 48,952	\$ 80,253	\$ 69,638	\$ 91,106
Net income	\$ 5,269	\$ 4,122	\$ 7,981	\$ 11,019	\$ 11,553
Adjustment	(932)				
Adjusted net income	\$ 4,337	\$ 4,122	\$ 7,981	\$ 11,019	\$ 11,553

(a) The final SurplusBid.com acquisition payment was made in March 2004 and therefore no adjustments relating to this payment were made in fiscal years 2005, 2006, 2007 and 2008.

- (3) Gross merchandise volume is the total sales value of all merchandise sold through our marketplaces during a given period.
- (4) Completed transactions represent the number of auctions in a given period from which we have recorded revenue.
- (5) Total registered buyers as of a given date represent the aggregate number of persons or entities who have registered on one of our marketplaces.
- (6) For each auction we manage, the number of auction participants represents the total number of registered buyers who have bid one or more times on that auction, and total auction participants for a given period is the sum of the auction participants in each auction conducted during that period.
- (7) Working capital is defined as current assets minus current liabilities.

We believe EBITDA and adjusted EBITDA are useful to an investor in evaluating our performance for the following reasons:

The amortization of contract intangibles relates to the amortization of the Scrap Contract beginning in June 2005. Depreciation and amortization expense primarily relates to property and equipment. Both of these expenses are non-cash charges that have significantly fluctuated over the past five years. As a result, we believe that adding back these non-cash charges to net income is useful in evaluating the operating performance of our business on a consistent basis from year-to-year.

As a result of substantial federal net operating loss carryforwards, or NOLs, we did not incur a standard income tax expense until fiscal 2006. With the exhaustion of our remaining federal NOLs during fiscal 2005, we recorded federal income tax expense for the first time, thus significantly decreasing our fiscal 2005 net income relative to prior years, however, the income tax recorded resulted in an effective tax rate of 22% for fiscal year 2005, compared to 43% for fiscal year 2008. Consequently, we believe that presenting a financial measure that adjusts net income for provision for income taxes is useful to investors when evaluating the operating performance of our business.

Edgar Filing: ASHLAND INC. - Form S-8 POS

During July 2001, we modified the exercise price of 3,402,794 stock options issued to employees. As a result, we are accounting for the modified stock options from the date of modification to the date the stock options are exercised, forfeited or expire unexercised using variable accounting. Under variable accounting, we revalue compensation costs for the stock options at each reporting period based on changes in the intrinsic value of the stock options. We recorded approximately \$85,000, \$87,000, \$7,000 and \$1,000, respectively, in stock compensation expenses

Edgar Filing: ASHLAND INC. - Form S-8 POS

based on vesting of the fair value of the options for the years ended September 30, 2004, 2005, 2006 and 2007. We will continue to revalue compensation costs for the options based on changes in the fair value of our common stock in future periods. We believe that it is useful to exclude this expense because it results from a one-time event that requires us to record expense that we are not otherwise required to record in connection with new stock options granted during the same time period. In December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment*, or Statement 123(R), which is a revision of SFAS No. 123. Statement 123(R) requires all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, to be recognized in the income statement based on their estimated fair values. Pro forma disclosure is no longer an alternative. We adopted the provisions of Statement 123(R) on October 1, 2005, using the prospective method. Unvested stock based awards issued prior to October 1, 2005, the date that we adopted the provisions of Statement 123(R), were accounted for at the date of adoption using the intrinsic value method originally applied to those awards. We recorded approximately \$616,000, \$1,942,000 and \$4,674,000, respectively, in stock compensation expenses based on the adoption of Statement 123(R) for the years ended September 30, 2006, 2007 and 2008. As a result, we present a financial measure that adjusts net income and EBITDA for the stock compensation expense.

As discussed above, the requirement under our Surplus Contract with the DoD that monthly profit-sharing distributions to the DoD be reduced by the monthly SurplusBid.com acquisition payments resulted in a temporary non-recurring reduction in our profit-sharing distributions and a significant increase in our net income and EBITDA during the 33 month period from July 2001 to March 2004. As a result, we believe that it is useful to exclude a portion of these profit-sharing distributions from adjusted EBITDA because the payments will not recur in future periods and were unrelated to our core operations.

We believe EBITDA and adjusted EBITDA are important indicators of our operational strength and the performance of our business because they provide a link between profitability and operating cash flow.

We also believe that analysts and investors use EBITDA and adjusted EBITDA as supplemental measures to evaluate the overall operating performance of companies in our industry.

Our management uses EBITDA and adjusted EBITDA:

as measurements of operating performance because they assist us in comparing our operating performance on a consistent basis as they remove the impact of items not directly resulting from our core operations;

for planning purposes, including the preparation of our internal annual operating budget;

to allocate resources to enhance the financial performance of our business;

to evaluate the effectiveness of our operational strategies; and

to evaluate our capacity to fund capital expenditures and expand our business.

EBITDA and adjusted EBITDA as calculated by us are not necessarily comparable to similarly titled measures used by other companies. In addition, EBITDA and adjusted EBITDA: (a) do not represent net income or cash flows from operating activities as defined by GAAP; (b) are not necessarily indicative of cash available to fund our cash flow needs; and (c) should not be considered as alternatives to net income, income from operations, cash provided by operating activities or our other financial information as determined under GAAP.

We prepare adjusted EBITDA by adjusting EBITDA to eliminate the impact of items that we do not consider indicative of our core operating performance. You are encouraged to evaluate these

adjustments and the reasons we consider them appropriate for supplemental analysis. As an analytical tool, adjusted EBITDA is subject to all of the limitations applicable to EBITDA. Our presentation of adjusted EBITDA should not be construed as an implication that our future results will be unaffected by unusual or non-recurring items.

The table below reconciles net income to EBITDA and adjusted EBITDA for the periods presented.

	Year ended September 30,				
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
	(in thousands)				
Net income	\$5,269	\$4,122	\$ 7,981	\$11,019	\$11,553
Interest expense (income) and other income, net	621	570	(430)	(2,176)	(1,495)
Provision for income taxes	541	1,166	5,294	7,460	8,546
Amortization of contract intangibles		135	813	813	813
Depreciation and amortization	531	586	727	1,302	2,083
EBITDA	6,962	6,579	14,385	18,418	21,500
Stock compensation expense	85	87	623	1,943	4,674
Adjustment(1)	(932)				
Adjusted EBITDA	\$6,115	\$6,666	\$ 15,008	\$20,361	\$26,174

-
- (1) The adjustment amount for each period equals approximately 80% of the principal payments on the SurplusBid.com note payable made during each period, as described above in footnote 2. No payments were made in fiscal years 2005, 2006, 2007 and 2008.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes and the information contained under the caption "Selected Consolidated Financial Data" contained elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. This discussion contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results could vary materially from those indicated, implied, or suggested by these forward-looking statements as a result of many factors, including those discussed under "Risk Factors" and elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Overview

About us. We are a leading online auction marketplace for wholesale, surplus and salvage assets. We enable buyers and sellers to transact in an efficient, automated online auction environment offering over 500 product categories. Our marketplaces provide professional buyers access to a global, organized supply of wholesale surplus and salvage assets presented with digital images and other relevant product information. Additionally, we enable our corporate and government sellers to enhance their financial return on excess assets by providing a liquid marketplace and value-added services that integrate sales and marketing, logistics and transaction settlement into a single offering. We organize our products into categories across major industry verticals such as consumer electronics, general merchandise, apparel, scientific equipment, aerospace parts and equipment, technology hardware, and specialty equipment. Our online auction marketplaces are www.liquidation.com, www.govliquidation.com, www.govdeals.com and www.liquibiz.com. We also operate a wholesale industry portal, www.goWholesale.com that connects advertisers with buyers seeking products for resale and related business services.

We believe our ability to create liquid marketplaces for wholesale, surplus and salvage assets generates a continuous flow of goods from our corporate and government sellers. This flow of goods in turn attracts an increasing number of professional buyers to our marketplaces. During fiscal year 2008, the number of registered buyers grew from approximately 685,000 to approximately 999,000, or 46.0%. During the past three fiscal years, we have conducted over 777,000 online transactions generating approximately \$766 million in gross merchandise volume. Approximately 81% of our initial listings have resulted in a completed cash sale during the past three fiscal years.

Our history. We were incorporated in Delaware in November 1999 as Liquidation.com, Inc. and commenced operations in early 2000. During 2000, we developed our online auction marketplace platform and began auctioning merchandise primarily for small commercial sellers and government agencies. In 2001, we changed our name to Liquidity Services, Inc. In June 2001, we were awarded our first major DoD contract, the Surplus Contract. Under this agreement, we became the exclusive contractor with the DRMS, for the sale of usable DoD surplus assets in the United States. In June 2005, we were awarded an additional exclusive contract with the DRMS to manage and sell substantially all DoD scrap property. During 2004, we launched our wholesale industry portal, www.goWholesale.com.

GovDeals acquisition. On January 1, 2008, we completed the acquisition of the stock of Information Management Specialists, Inc. and its GovDeals, Inc. subsidiary for approximately \$9,404,000 in cash net of acquired cash of \$742,000. GovDeals is an online auction marketplace for the sale of surplus and confiscated items for local and state government entities including city, county and state agencies as well as school boards and public utilities. The acquisition strengthens our business by adding approximately 1,400 new government agency clients, approximately 80,000 new registered buyers and a critical mass of relationships to support new public sector business initiatives. In addition to a specialized sales force focused on building long term relationships with state and local agencies, GovDeals' business model allows sellers to list their own goods for sale on a consignment fee basis. The acquisition will also allow GovDeals' sellers to utilize our menu of optional value added services to save costs, improve cycle times and increase revenues.

Edgar Filing: ASHLAND INC. - Form S-8 POS

Geneva Group acquisition. On May 1, 2008, we acquired the stock of the companies in the Geneva Group, including Geneva Industries Ltd., Willen Trading Ltd., and Geneva Auctions Ltd., for approximately \$16,229,000 in cash, net of acquired cash of \$934,000 and contingent earn-out payments of up to an aggregate of approximately \$2,900,000 payable over the next three years. The Geneva Group is a United Kingdom (UK) based remarketer of reverse supply chain merchandise, including customer returns and overstock merchandise. Geneva serves leading UK retailers and manufactures with a product focus on consumer electronics, technology equipment and hard goods general merchandise. We expect that the acquisition will strengthen our business by adding Geneva's longstanding seller relationships in the European Union (EU) with significant organic growth opportunities, as well as a complementary buyer network of UK based wholesalers and EU exporters developed over the last 18 years. We believe Geneva's buyer base will augment our marketplace by adding international demand for consumer electronics and general merchandise across all condition categories.

Recent initiatives. On May 13, 2008, the DoD agreed to extend the current Surplus Contract through November 1, 2008, as well as increase our share of net proceeds under the Surplus Contract to 39.5% on property received after June 18, 2008. On November 6, 2008, the DoD extended the current Surplus Contract through December 17, 2008, thus we will receive 39.5% of the net proceeds on property received up until December 17, 2008 through the Contract wind down period. We responded to a RFP from the DRMS regarding a renewal of the Surplus Contract, and have been awarded the contract. Under the new Surplus Contract, under which we will commence operations on December 18, 2008, we will not be required to distribute any portion of the profits realized under the Contract, as the new Contract structure requires a fixed 3.26% price, of the DRMS' acquisition value, to be paid for the property and allows us to retain all of the profits from the sale of property.

Our revenue. We generate substantially all of our revenue by retaining a percentage of the proceeds from the sales we manage for our sellers. We offer our sellers three primary transaction models: a profit-sharing model, a consignment model and a purchase model.

Profit-sharing model. Under our profit-sharing model, we purchase inventory from our suppliers and share with them a portion of the profits received from a completed sale in the form of a distribution. Distributions are calculated based on the value received from the sale after deducting direct costs, such as sales and marketing, technology and operations and other general and administrative costs. Because we are the primary obligor, and take general and physical inventory risks and credit risk under this transaction model, we recognize as revenue the sale price paid by the buyer upon completion of a transaction. Revenue from our profit-sharing model accounted for approximately 83.1%, 61.5% and 62.4% of our total revenue for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2006, 2007 and 2008, respectively. The merchandise sold under our profit-sharing model accounted for approximately 70.9%, 52.3% and 45.8% of our gross merchandise volume, or GMV, for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2006, 2007 and 2008, respectively.

Consignment model. Under our consignment model, we recognize commission revenue from sales of merchandise in our marketplaces that is owned by others. These commissions, which we refer to as seller commissions, represent a percentage of the sale price the buyer pays upon completion of a transaction. We vary the percentage amount of the seller commission depending on the various value-added services we provide to the seller to facilitate the transaction. For example, we generally increase the percentage amount of the commission if we take possession, handle, ship or provide enhanced product information for the merchandise. We collect the seller commission by deducting the appropriate amount from the sales proceeds prior to their distribution to the seller after completion of the transaction. Revenue from our consignment model accounted for approximately 7.2%, 7.3% and 7.6% of our total revenue for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2006, 2007 and 2008, respectively. The merchandise sold under our

Edgar Filing: ASHLAND INC. - Form S-8 POS

consignment model accounted for approximately 22.4%, 22.4% and 33.1% of our GMV for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2006, 2007 and 2008, respectively.

Purchase model. Under our purchase model, we offer our sellers a fixed amount or the option to share a portion of the proceeds received from our completed sales in the form of a distribution. Distributions are calculated based on the value we receive from the sale after deducting a required return to us that we have negotiated with the seller. Because we are the primary obligor, and take general and physical inventory risks and credit risk under this transaction model, we recognize as revenue the sale price paid by the buyer upon completion of a transaction. Revenue from our purchase model accounted for approximately 3.0%, 25.4% and 23.5% of our total revenue for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2006, 2007 and 2008, respectively. The merchandise sold under our purchase model accounted for approximately 2.6%, 21.6% and 17.3% of our GMV, for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2006, 2007 and 2008, respectively.

We collect a buyer premium on substantially all of our transactions under all of our transaction models. Buyer premiums are calculated as a percentage of the sale price of the merchandise sold and are paid to us by the buyer. Buyer premiums are in addition to the price of the merchandise. Under our profit-sharing model, we typically share the proceeds of any buyer premiums with our sellers.

In fiscal years 2006, 2007 and 2008, we generated less than 2% of our revenue from advertisements on our wholesale industry portals.

Industry trends. We believe there are several industry trends impacting the growth of our business including: (1) the increase in the adoption of the Internet by businesses to conduct e-commerce both in the United States and abroad; (2) product innovation in the retail supply chain that has increased the pace of product obsolescence and, therefore, the supply of surplus assets; (3) the increase in the volume of returned merchandise handled by both online and offline retailers; (4) the increase in government regulations necessitating verifiable recycling and remarketing of surplus assets; (5) the increase in outsourcing by corporate and government organizations of disposition activities for surplus and end-of-life assets; and (6) the increase in buyer demand for surplus merchandise as consumers trade down by purchasing less expensive goods and seek greater value from their purchases.

Our Seller Agreements

Our DoD agreements. We have three contracts with the DoD pursuant to which we acquire, manage and sell excess property:

Surplus Contract. In June 2001, we were awarded the Surplus Contract, a competitive-bid exclusive contract under which we acquire, manage and sell all usable DoD surplus personal property turned into the DRMS. Surplus property generally consists of items determined by the DoD to be no longer needed, and not claimed for reuse by, any federal agency, such as computers, electronics, office supplies, scientific and medical equipment, aircraft parts, clothing and textiles. Revenue from our Surplus Contract (including buyer premiums) accounted for approximately 56.6%, 33.9% and 31.3% of our total revenue for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2006, 2007 and 2008, respectively. The property sold under our Surplus Contract accounted for approximately 48.3%, 28.8% and 23.0% of our GMV for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2006, 2007 and 2008, respectively. On May 13, 2008, the DoD agreed to extend the current Surplus Contract through November 1, 2008. On November 6, 2008, the DoD extended the current Surplus Contract through December 17, 2008. We responded to a RFP from the DRMS regarding a renewal of the Surplus Contract, and have been awarded the contract. We will begin operations under the new Contract on December 18, 2008. The new Surplus Contract expires in December 2011, subject to DoD's right to extend it for two additional one-year terms.

Edgar Filing: ASHLAND INC. - Form S-8 POS

Scrap Contract. In June 2005, we were awarded a competitive-bid exclusive contract under which we acquire, manage and sell substantially all scrap property of the DoD turned into the DRMS. Scrap property generally consists of items determined by DoD to have no use beyond their base material content, such as metals, alloys, and building materials. The contract accounted for 26.5%, 27.6% and 31.1% of our revenue and 22.6%, 23.5% and 22.8% of our GMV for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2006, 2007 and 2008, respectively. We were required to pay \$5.7 million to the DoD in fiscal 2005 for the right to manage the operations and remarket scrap material in connection with the Scrap Contract. The Scrap Contract expires in June 2012, subject to DoD's right to extend it for three additional one-year terms.

Under the Surplus Contract, we are obligated to purchase all DoD surplus property at set prices representing a percentage of the original acquisition cost, which varies depending on the type of surplus property being purchased. Under the Scrap Contract, we acquire scrap property at a per pound price. We were initially entitled to approximately 20% of the profits of sale (defined as gross proceeds of sale less allowable operating expenses) under the Contracts, and the DoD was entitled to approximately 80% of the profits. We refer to these disbursement payments to DoD as profit-sharing distributions. As a result of these arrangements, we recognize as revenue the gross proceeds from these sales. DoD also reimburses us for actual costs incurred for packing, loading and shipping property under the contracts that we are obligated to pick up from non-DoD locations. On September 12, 2006, we entered into a bilateral contract modification under which the DoD agreed to increase our profit-sharing percentage under the Surplus Contract in exchange for our agreement to implement additional inventory assurance processes and procedures with respect to the sale of demilitarized property. Under the terms of the contract modification, from August 1, 2006 until November 30, 2006, we were entitled to receive 27.5% of the profits under the Surplus Contract and the DoD was entitled to receive 72.5%. For property received from November 30, 2006 through June 18, 2008, we were entitled to receive between 25% and 30.5% of the profits, based on the results of an audit of the effectiveness of the inventory controls we implemented under the contract modification, which is referred to as the Surplus Contract incentive. This incentive is measured quarterly. On June 1, 2007, we agreed, as provided in the modification to the Surplus Contract that became effective as of September 12, 2006, to provide additional value-added services with respect to demilitarized property that is returned to the DoD for reutilization. In exchange for our agreement to provide these services, the DoD exercised its existing option to increase our share of net proceeds under the Surplus Contract by 1%. On May 13, 2008, the DoD agreed to extend the current Surplus Contract through November 1, 2008, as well as increase our share of net proceeds under the Surplus Contract to 39.5% on property received after June 18, 2008. On November 6, 2008, the DoD extended the current Surplus Contract through December 17, 2008. Under the new Surplus Contract, which will begin on December 18, 2008, we will not be required to distribute any portion of the profits realized under the Contract, as the new Contract structure requires a higher fixed percentage price of 3.26%, of the DRMS' acquisition value, to be paid for the property.

Under the Scrap Contract, we also have a small business performance incentive based on the number of scrap buyers that are small businesses that would allow us to receive up to an additional 2% of the profit sharing distribution. On May 21, 2007, we entered into a bilateral contract modification under which the DoD agreed to increase the profit-sharing distribution for the Scrap Contract from 20% to 23% effective June 1, 2007, in exchange for our agreement to implement additional inventory assurance processes and procedures with respect to the mutilation of demilitarized scrap property sold.

In January 2006, we were awarded a contract to purchase DoD surplus property located in Germany. This contract generated approximately 1% of our revenue in fiscal years 2006, 2007 and 2008. This contract expires in January 2009.

Our UK MoD agreement. In July 2003, we were awarded a contract to manage and sell surplus property from the United Kingdom Ministry of Defence. This contract generated less than 4% of our

Edgar Filing: ASHLAND INC. - Form S-8 POS

revenue in fiscal years 2006, 2007 and 2008. This contract expires in July 2009, subject to the Ministry's right to extend the contract for an additional one-year term.

Our commercial agreements. During fiscal year 2008, we had over 340 corporate clients who each sold in excess of \$10,000 of wholesale, surplus and salvage assets in our marketplaces. Our agreements with these clients are generally terminable at will by either party.

Key Business Metrics

Our management periodically reviews certain key business metrics for operational planning purposes and to evaluate the effectiveness of our operational strategies, allocation of resources and our capacity to fund capital expenditures and expand our business. These key business metrics include:

Gross merchandise volume. Gross merchandise volume, or GMV, is the total sales value of all merchandise sold through our marketplaces during a given period. We review GMV because it provides a measure of the volume of goods being sold in our marketplaces and thus the activity of those marketplaces. GMV also provides a means to evaluate the effectiveness of investments that we have made and continue to make, including in the areas of customer support, value-added services, product development, sales and marketing, and operations. The GMV of goods sold in our marketplace during fiscal year 2008 totaled \$359.9 million.

Completed transactions. Completed transactions represents the number of auctions in a given period from which we have recorded revenue. Similar to GMV, we believe that completed transactions is a key business metric because it provides an additional measurement of the volume of activity flowing through our marketplaces. During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008, we completed approximately 372,000 transactions.

Total registered buyers. We grow our buyer base through a combination of marketing and promotional efforts. A person becomes a registered buyer by completing an online registration process on one of our marketplaces. As part of this process, we collect business and personal information, including name, title, company name, business address and contact information, and information on how the person intends to use our marketplaces. Each prospective buyer must also accept our terms and conditions of use. Following the completion of the online registration process, we verify each prospective buyer's e-mail address and confirm that the person is not listed on any banned persons list maintained internally or by the U.S. federal government. After the verification process, which is completed generally within 24 hours, the registration is approved and activated and the prospective buyer is added to our registered buyer list.

Total registered buyers as of a given date represents the aggregate number of persons or entities who have registered on one of our marketplaces. We use this metric to evaluate how well our marketing and promotional efforts are performing. Total registered buyers excludes duplicate registrations, buyers who are suspended from utilizing our marketplaces and those buyers who have voluntarily removed themselves from our registration database. In addition, if we become aware of registered buyers that are no longer in business, we remove them from our database. As of September 30, 2008, we had approximately 999,000 registered buyers.

Total auction participants. For each auction we manage, the number of auction participants represents the total number of registered buyers who have bid one or more times in that auction. As a result, a registered buyer who bids, or participates, in more than one auction is counted as an auction participant in each auction in which he or she participates. Thus, total auction participants for a given period is the sum of the auction participants in each auction conducted during that period. We use this metric to allow us to compare our online auction marketplaces to our competitors, including other online auction sites and traditional on-site auctioneers. In addition, we measure total auction participants on a periodic basis to evaluate the activity level of our base of registered buyers and to

measure the performance of our marketing and promotional efforts. For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008, approximately 1,751,000 total auction participants participated in auctions on our marketplaces.

Critical Accounting Estimates

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with GAAP. The preparation of these consolidated financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. A "critical accounting estimate" is one which is both important to the portrayal of our financial condition and results and requires management's most difficult, subjective or complex judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain. We continuously evaluate our critical accounting estimates. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Revenue recognition. We recognize revenue in accordance with the provisions of Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104, *Revenue Recognition*. For transactions in our online marketplaces, which generate substantially all of our revenue, we recognize revenue when all of the following criteria are met:

a buyer submits the winning bid in an auction and, as a result, evidence of an arrangement exists and the sale price has been determined;

title has passed to a buyer and the buyer has assumed risks and rewards of ownership;

for arrangements with an inspection period, the buyer has received the merchandise and has not notified us within that period that it is dissatisfied with the merchandise; and

collection is reasonably assured.

Substantially all of our sales are recorded subsequent to payment authorization being received, utilizing credit cards, wire transfers and PayPal, an Internet based payment system, as methods of payments. As a result, we are not subject to significant collection risk, as goods are generally not shipped before payment is received.

Revenue is also evaluated in accordance with EITF 99-19, *Reporting Revenue Gross as a Principal Versus Net as an Agent*, for reporting revenue of gross proceeds as the principal in the arrangement or net of commissions as an agent. In arrangements in which we are deemed to be the primary obligor, bear physical and general inventory risk, and credit risk, we recognize as revenue the gross proceeds from the sale, including buyer's premiums. Arrangements in which we act as an agent or broker on a consignment basis, without taking general or physical inventory risk, revenue is recognized based on the sales commissions that are paid to us by the sellers for utilizing our services; in this situation, sales commissions represent a percentage of the gross proceeds from the sale that the seller pays to us upon completion of the transaction.

We have evaluated our revenue recognition policy related to sales under our profit-sharing model and determined it is appropriate to account for these sales on a gross basis using the criteria outlined in EITF 99-19. The following factors were most heavily relied upon in our determination:

We are the primary obligor in the arrangement.

We are the seller in substance and in appearance to the buyer; the buyer contacts us if there is a problem with the purchase. Only we and the buyer are parties to the sales contract and the

Edgar Filing: ASHLAND INC. - Form S-8 POS

buyer has no recourse to the supplier. If the buyer has a problem, he or she looks to us, not the supplier.

The buyer does not and cannot look to the supplier for fulfillment or for product acceptability concerns.

We have general inventory risk.

We take title to the inventory upon paying the amount set forth in the contract with the supplier. Such amount is generally a percentage of the supplier's original acquisition cost and varies depending on the type of the inventory purchased.

We are at risk of loss for all amounts paid to the supplier in the event the property is damaged or otherwise becomes unsaleable. In addition, as payments made for inventory are excluded from the calculation for the profit-sharing distribution under our DoD contracts, we effectively bear inventory risk for the full amount paid to acquire the property (*i.e.*, there is no sharing of inventory risk).

Valuation of goodwill and other intangible assets. In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 141, *Business Combinations*, we identify and value intangible assets that we acquire in business combinations, such as customer arrangements, customer relationships and non-compete agreements, that arise from contractual or other legal rights or that are capable of being separated or divided from the acquired entity and sold, transferred, licensed, rented or exchanged. The fair value of identified intangible assets is based upon an estimate of the future economic benefits expected to result from ownership, which represents the amount at which the assets could be bought or sold in a current transaction between willing parties, that is, other than in a forced or liquidation sale.

In accordance with SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, we test our goodwill and other intangible assets for impairment annually or more frequently if events or circumstances indicate impairment may exist. Examples of such events or circumstances could include a significant change in business climate or a loss of significant customers. We apply a two-step fair value-based test to assess goodwill for impairment. The first step compares the fair value of a reporting unit to its carrying amount, including goodwill. If the carrying amount of the reporting unit exceeds its fair value, the second step is then performed. The second step compares the carrying amount of the reporting unit's goodwill to the fair value of the goodwill. If the fair value of the goodwill is less than the carrying amount, an impairment loss would be recorded in our statements of operations. Intangible assets with definite lives are amortized over their estimated useful lives and are also reviewed for impairment if events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying amount may not be realizable.

Our management makes certain estimates and assumptions in order to determine the fair value of net assets and liabilities, including, among other things, an assessment of market conditions, projected cash flows, cost of capital and growth rates, which could significantly impact the reported value of goodwill and other intangible assets. Estimating future cash flows requires significant judgment, and our projections may vary from cash flows eventually realized. The valuations employ a combination of present value techniques to measure fair value, corroborated by comparisons to estimated market multiples. These valuations are based on a discount rate determined by our management to be consistent with industry discount rates and the risks inherent in our current business model.

We cannot predict the occurrence of certain future events that might adversely affect the reported value of goodwill and other intangible assets, which totaled \$40.3 million at September 30, 2008. Such events may include strategic decisions made in response to economic and competitive conditions, the impact of the economic environment on our base of buyers and sellers or material negative changes in our relationships with material customers.

Income taxes. We account for income taxes in accordance with SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*. This statement requires an asset and liability approach for measuring deferred taxes based on temporary differences between the financial statement and income tax bases of assets and liabilities existing at each balance sheet date using enacted tax rates for the years in which the taxes are expected to be paid or recovered. A valuation allowance is provided to reduce the deferred tax assets to a level that we believe will more likely than not be realized. The resulting net deferred tax asset reflects management's estimate of the amount that will be realized.

The Company adopted the provisions of FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes* ("FIN 48") on October 1, 2007. The adoption of FIN 48 did not impact the Company's financial position or results of operations. The Company has concluded that there were no uncertain tax positions identified during its analysis.

We provide for income taxes based on our estimate of federal and state tax liabilities. These estimates include, among other items, effective rates for state and local income taxes, estimates related to depreciation and amortization expense allowable for tax purposes, and the tax deductibility of certain other items. Our estimates are based on the information available to us at the time we prepare the income tax provision. We generally file our annual income tax returns several months after our fiscal year-end. Income tax returns are subject to audit by federal, state and local governments, generally years after the returns are filed. These returns could be subject to material adjustments or differing interpretations of the tax laws.

Stock-based compensation. In December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment* (Statement 123(R)), which is a revision of SFAS No. 123. Statement 123(R) requires all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, to be recognized in the income statement based on their estimated fair values. Pro forma disclosure is no longer an alternative. We adopted the provisions of Statement 123(R) on October 1, 2005, using the prospective method. Unvested stock-based awards issued prior to October 1, 2005 and disclosed in our September 30, 2005 consolidated financial statements using the minimum value method (rather than the estimated fair value using the Black-Scholes option pricing model) were accounted for at the date of adoption using the intrinsic value method originally applied to those awards. Therefore, in the future, we will not have any compensation expense related to these awards.

The above list is not intended to be a comprehensive list of all of our accounting estimates. In many cases, the accounting treatment of a particular transaction is specifically dictated by GAAP, with little need for management's judgment in their application. There are also areas in which management's judgment in selecting any available alternative would not produce a materially different result. See our audited financial statements and related notes, which contain accounting policies and other disclosures required by GAAP.

Components of Revenue and Expenses

Revenue. We generate substantially all of our revenue from sales of merchandise held in inventory and by retaining a percentage of the proceeds from the sales. Our revenue recognition practices are discussed in more detail in the section above entitled "*Critical Accounting Estimates*."

Cost of goods sold (excluding amortization). Cost of goods sold includes the costs of purchasing and transporting property for auction, as well as credit card transaction fees.

Profit-sharing distributions. Our two primary contracts with the DoD have been structured as profit-sharing arrangements in which we purchase and take possession of all goods we receive from the DoD at a contractual percentage of the original acquisition cost of those goods. After deducting allowable operating expenses, we disburse to the DoD on a monthly basis a percentage of the profits of the

aggregate monthly sales. We retain the remaining percentage of these profits after the DoD's disbursement. We refer to these disbursement payments to DoD as profit-sharing distributions.

Technology and operations. Technology expenses consist primarily of personnel costs related to our programming staff who develop and deploy new marketplaces, such as *liquibiz.com*, and continuously enhance existing marketplaces. These personnel also develop and upgrade the software systems that support our operations, such as sales processing. Because our marketplaces and support systems require frequent upgrades and enhancements to maintain viability, we have determined that the useful life for substantially all of our internally developed software is less than one year. As a result, we expense these costs as incurred.

Operations expenses consist primarily of operating costs, including buyer relations, shipping logistics and distribution center operating costs.

Sales and marketing. Sales and marketing expenses include the cost of our sales and marketing personnel as well as the cost of marketing and promotional activities. These activities include online marketing campaigns such as paid search advertising.

General and administrative. General and administrative expenses include all corporate and administrative functions that support our operations and provide an infrastructure to facilitate our future growth. Components of these expenses include executive management and staff salaries, bonuses and related taxes and employee benefits; travel; headquarters rent and related occupancy costs; and legal and accounting fees. The salaries, bonus and employee benefits costs included as general and administrative expenses are generally more fixed in nature than our operating expenses and do not vary directly with the volume of merchandise sold through our marketplaces.

Amortization of contract intangibles. Amortization of contract intangibles expense consists of the amortization of our Scrap Contract award during June 2005. This contract required us to purchase the rights to operate the scrap operations of the DoD during the seven year base term of the contract. The intangible asset created from the \$5.7 million purchase is being amortized over 84 months on a straight-line basis. The amortization period is correlated to the base term of the contract, exclusive of renewal periods.

Depreciation and amortization. Depreciation and amortization expenses consist primarily of the depreciation and amortization of amounts recorded in connection with the purchase of furniture, fixtures and equipment.

Interest income and expense and other income, net. Interest income and expense and other income, net consists primarily of interest income on cash and short-term investments and interest expense on borrowings under our long-term debt; interest expense associated with warrants to purchase our common stock that were issued to, among others, the lender of our subordinated debt financing in 2003, realized gains or losses on short-term investments, and losses on the early extinguishment of debt.

Income taxes. During fiscal years 2006, 2007 and 2008, we had an effective income tax rate of approximately 40%, 40% and 43%, respectively, which included federal and state income taxes. We estimate that our future effective income tax rate will be approximately 43%.

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, selected statement of operations data expressed as a percentage of revenue.

	Year ended September 30,		
	2006	2007	2008
Revenue	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Costs and expenses:			
Cost of goods sold (excluding amortization)	8.2	23.7	27.0
Profit-sharing distributions	54.3	35.1	34.5
Technology and operations	13.6	16.8	15.7
Sales and marketing	6.0	6.6	6.5
General and administrative	8.2	8.5	8.2
Amortization of contract intangibles	0.6	0.4	0.3
Depreciation and amortization	0.5	0.7	0.8
Total costs and expenses	91.4	91.8	93.0
Income from operations	8.6	8.2	7.0
Interest expense and other income, net	0.3	1.1	0.6
Income before provision for income taxes	8.9	9.3	7.6
Provision for income taxes	(3.5)	(3.8)	(3.2)
Net income	5.4%	5.5%	4.4%

Year Ended September 30, 2008 Compared to Year Ended September 30, 2007

Revenue. Revenue increased \$65.3 million, or 32.9%, to \$263.9 million for the year ended September 30, 2008 from \$198.6 million for the year ended September 30, 2007. This increase was primarily due to a 75.8% increase in the number of completed transactions through our online auction marketplaces, to approximately 372,000 for the year ended September 30, 2008 from 212,000 for the year ended September 30, 2007. The amount of GMV transacted through our marketplaces increased \$126.1 million, or 54.0%, to \$359.7 million for the year ended September 30, 2008 from \$233.6 million for the year ended September 30, 2007. We believe this increase is attributable to (1) our investment in our sales and marketing organization, as well as increased market acceptance by corporate sellers and professional buyers of our online marketplaces as an efficient channel to auction and purchase wholesale surplus and salvage assets, which resulted in 22.9% growth in our commercial market place over the same period last year; (2) our Scrap Contract, which generated 31.1% of our revenue and 22.8% of our GMV for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008, grew 48.3% from fiscal year ended September 30, 2007; (3) our Surplus Contract, which generated 31.3% of our revenue and 23.0% of our GMV for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008, grew 23.5% from fiscal year ended September 30, 2007; (4) the acquisition of GovDeals, completed on January 1, 2008, which generated 1.5% of our revenue and 15.2% of our GMV for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008; and (5) the acquisition of Geneva, completed on May 1, 2008, which generated 2.7% of our revenue and 2.0% of our GMV for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008. We also benefited from our ability to more effectively market assets to potential buyers as we gained transaction experience and industry knowledge in the vertical product segments auctioned through our marketplaces. Our marketing efforts and acquisitions resulted in an approximate 46.0% increase in registered buyers to approximately 999,000 at September 30, 2008 from approximately 685,000 at September 30, 2007.

Cost of goods sold (excluding amortization). Cost of goods sold (excluding amortization) increased \$24.3 million, or 51.6%, to \$71.3 million for the year ended September 30, 2008 from \$47.0 million for

the year ended September 30, 2007. As a percentage of revenue, cost of goods sold (excluding amortization) increased to 27.0% in fiscal 2008 compared to 23.7% in fiscal 2007. These increases are primarily due to (1) an increase in the volume of goods sold by existing sellers utilizing our purchase model; (2) a mix shift to apparel items, which realized a lower margin during fiscal year 2008; and (3) the acquisition of Geneva, which was completed on May 1, 2008, which utilizes the purchase model.

Profit-sharing distributions. Profit-sharing distributions increased \$21.5 million, or 30.8%, to \$91.1 million for the year ended September 30, 2008 from \$69.6 million for the year ended September 30, 2007, primarily due to 23.5% growth in our surplus business and 48.3% growth in our scrap business. As a percentage of revenue, profit-sharing distributions decreased to 34.5% in fiscal 2008 from 35.1% in fiscal 2007, primarily due to a decrease in the amount of profits we are required to pay the DoD under our Surplus Contract, which was modified on September 12, 2006 and May 13, 2008, as well as the Scrap Contract, which was modified on June 1, 2007. A detailed discussion of the Surplus and Scrap Contract modifications can be found above under "Our Seller Agreements."

Technology and operations expenses. Technology and operations expenses increased \$8.1 million, or 24.2%, to \$41.5 million for the year ended September 30, 2008 from \$33.4 million for the year ended September 30, 2007, primarily due to (1) the addition of 50 technology and operations personnel, the majority of whom were needed to support the increased volume of transactions and merchandise discussed above; (2) the acquisition of GovDeals, which was completed on January 1, 2008; and (3) the acquisition of Geneva, which was completed on May 1, 2008. As a percentage of revenue, these expenses decreased to 15.7% in fiscal 2008 from 16.8% in fiscal 2007, primarily due to our growth in revenue, while leveraging our fixed expenses, such as programming personnel.

Sales and marketing expenses. Sales and marketing expenses increased \$3.8 million, or 28.7%, to \$17.0 million for the year ended September 30, 2008 from \$13.2 million for the year ended September 30, 2007, primarily due to the hiring of 29 additional sales and marketing personnel and \$1.5 million in increased expenditures on marketing and promotional activities across our marketplaces. As a percentage of revenue, these expenses were consistent at 6.5% in fiscal 2008 and 6.6% in fiscal 2007.

General and administrative expenses. General and administrative expenses increased \$4.6 million, or 27.3%, to \$21.5 million for the year ended September 30, 2008 from \$16.9 million for the year ended September 30, 2007, primarily due to (1) costs of \$0.8 million related to additional accounting, legal, insurance, compliance and other expenses needed to support our growth; (2) expenses of \$0.9 million related to Statement 123(R); (3) costs of \$0.2 million for travel related expenses associated with business development efforts; (4) expenses of \$0.7 million associated with GovDeals, which was acquired on January 1, 2008; and (5) expenses of \$0.8 million associated with Geneva, which was acquired on May 1, 2008. As a percentage of revenue, these expenses decreased to 8.2% in fiscal 2008 from 8.5% in fiscal 2007, primarily due to our growth in revenue, while leveraging our fixed expenses, such as corporate staff.

Amortization of contract intangibles. Amortization of contract intangibles was consistent at \$0.8 million for the year ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, as a result of our Scrap Contract award during June 2005. This contract required us to purchase the rights to operate the scrap operations of the DoD during the seven year base term of the contract. The intangible asset created from the \$5.7 million purchase is being amortized over 84 months on a straight line basis, which began in August 2005.

Depreciation and amortization expenses. Depreciation and amortization expenses increased \$0.8 million, or 59.9%, to \$2.1 million for the year ended September 30, 2008 from \$1.3 million for the year ended September 30, 2007, primarily due to additional depreciation expense resulting from the purchase of \$2.7 million of property and equipment during fiscal year ended September 30, 2007.

Edgar Filing: ASHLAND INC. - Form S-8 POS

Interest income and expense and other income, net. Interest income and expense and other income, net decreased \$0.7 million, or 31.3%, to \$1.5 million for the year ended September 30, 2008 from \$2.2 million for the year ended September 30, 2007, primarily due to (1) a reduction in the cash balance during most of fiscal year 2008 due to the recent GovDeals and Geneva acquisitions and (2) a reduction in short term interest rates.

Provision for income tax expense. Income tax expense increased \$1.0 million, or 14.6%, to \$8.5 million for the year ended September 30, 2008 from \$7.5 million for the year ended September 30, 2007, primarily due to the increase in income before provision for income taxes.

Net income. Net income increased \$0.6 million, or 4.8%, to \$11.6 million for the year ended September 30, 2008 from \$11.0 million for the year ended September 30, 2007.

Year Ended September 30, 2007 Compared to Year Ended September 30, 2006

Revenue. Revenue increased \$50.8 million, or 34.4%, to \$198.6 million for the year ended September 30, 2007 from \$147.8 million for the year ended September 30, 2006. This increase was primarily due to a 23.8% increase in the average value of our transactions resulting from product mix, lotting and merchandising strategies, and buyer demand, as well as an increase in the number of completed transactions through our online auction marketplaces. During the same period, the number of completed transactions increased from approximately 194,000 to 212,000, or 9.0%. The amount of gross merchandise volume transacted through our marketplaces increased \$60.5 million, or 34.9%, to \$233.6 million for the year ended September 30, 2007 from \$173.1 million for the year ended September 30, 2006. We believe this increase is attributable to our investment in our sales and marketing organization and the acquisition of STR on October 16, 2006, as well as increased market acceptance by corporate sellers and professional buyers of our online marketplaces as an efficient channel to auction and purchase wholesale, surplus and salvage assets, which resulted in 137.5% growth in our commercial market place over the same period last year. In addition, our Scrap Contract which generated 27.6% of our revenue and 23.5% of our gross merchandise volume for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2007, grew 40.0% from fiscal year ended September 30, 2006. The growth of our commercial and scrap businesses was partially offset by a 19.6% decrease in our surplus business from fiscal year ended September 30, 2007 compared to fiscal year ended September 30, 2006. We also benefited from our ability to more effectively market assets to potential buyers as we gained transaction experience and industry knowledge in the vertical product segments auctioned through our marketplaces. Our marketing efforts resulted in an approximate 30.7% increase in registered buyers to approximately 685,000 at September 30, 2007 from approximately 524,000 at September 30, 2006.

Cost of goods sold (excluding amortization). Cost of goods sold (excluding amortization) increased \$34.9 million, or 286.9%, to \$47.1 million for the year ended September 30, 2007 from \$12.2 million for the year ended September 30, 2006. As a percentage of revenue, cost of goods sold (excluding amortization) increased to 23.7% in fiscal 2007 compared to 8.2% in fiscal 2006. These increases are primarily due to an increase in revenue, as well as, an increase in the number of goods sold in our marketplace from sellers utilizing our purchase model.

Profit-sharing distributions. Profit-sharing distributions decreased \$10.6 million, or 13.2%, to \$69.6 million for the year ended September 30, 2007 from \$80.2 million for the year ended September 30, 2006. As a percentage of revenue, profit-sharing distributions decreased to 35.1% in fiscal 2007 from 54.3% in fiscal 2006. These decreases are a result of faster growth in our commercial business, where most of our sellers do not use the profit-sharing model, as well as a decrease in the amount of profits we are required to pay the DoD under our Surplus and Scrap Contracts, which were modified on September 12, 2006 and May 21, 2007, respectively. A detailed discussion of the Contract modifications can be found above under "Our Seller Agreements."

Edgar Filing: ASHLAND INC. - Form S-8 POS

Technology and operations expenses. Technology and operations expenses increased \$13.3 million, or 66.4%, to \$33.4 million for the year ended September 30, 2007 from \$20.1 million for the year ended September 30, 2006. As a percentage of revenue, these expenses increased to 16.8% in fiscal 2007 from 13.6% in fiscal 2006. These increases were primarily due to the addition of 81 technology and operations personnel the majority of whom were needed to support the increased volume of transactions and merchandise discussed above for our commercial business and an additional 49 operating personnel, who were needed to support our inventory assurance program under the Surplus Contract in conjunction with the contract modification on September 12, 2006. We are also experiencing less than optimal utilization of our distribution center network, where we have invested over the last 12 months to support continued growth in our commercial business.

Sales and marketing expenses. Sales and marketing expenses increased \$4.3 million, or 49.0%, to \$13.2 million for the year ended September 30, 2007 from \$8.9 million for the year ended September 30, 2006. As a percentage of revenue, these expenses increased to 6.6% in fiscal 2007 from 6.0% in fiscal 2006. These increases were primarily due to hiring of 20 additional sales and marketing personnel and \$1.4 million in increased expenditures on marketing and promotional activities across our marketplaces.

General and administrative expenses. General and administrative expenses increased \$4.8 million, or 40.0%, to \$16.9 million for the year ended September 30, 2007 from \$12.1 million for the year ended September 30, 2006. As a percentage of revenue, these expenses increased to 8.5% in fiscal 2007 from 8.2% in fiscal 2006. These increases were primarily due to (1) costs of \$2.6 million related to additional accounting, legal, insurance, compliance and other expenses needed to support our growth and the requirements of being a public company, (2) expenses of \$0.8 million related to the adoption of Statement 123(R) and (3) costs of \$0.5 million for travel-related expenses associated with business development efforts.

Amortization of contract intangibles. Amortization of contract intangibles was consistent at \$0.8 million for the year ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, as a result of our Scrap Contract award during June 2005. This contract required us to purchase the rights to operate the scrap operations of the DoD during the seven year base term of the contract. The intangible asset created from the \$5.7 million purchase is being amortized over 84 months on a straight line basis, which began in August 2005.

Depreciation and amortization expenses. Depreciation and amortization expenses increased \$0.6 million, or 79.1%, to \$1.3 million for the year ended September 30, 2007 from \$0.7 million for the year ended September 30, 2006, primarily due to additional depreciation expense resulting from the purchase of \$2.0 million of property and equipment during fiscal year ended September 30, 2006.

Interest income and expense and other income, net. Interest income and expense and other income, net increased \$1.8 million, or 405.5%, to \$2.2 million for the year ended September 30, 2007 from \$0.4 million for the year ended September 30, 2006, primarily due to the repayment in February 2006 of the \$2.4 million indebtedness associated with our senior credit facility, as well as our \$2.0 million subordinated note payable, following the completion of our initial public offering, and investing the remaining proceeds from our initial public offering.

Provision for income tax expense. Income tax expense increased \$2.2 million, or 40.9%, to \$7.5 million for the year ended September 30, 2007 from \$5.3 million for the year ended September 30, 2006, primarily due to the increase in income before provision for income taxes.

Net income. Net income increased \$3.0 million, or 38.1%, to \$11.0 million for the year ended September 30, 2007 from \$8.0 million for the year ended September 30, 2006. As a percentage of revenue, net income was consistent at 5.5% for the year ended September 30, 2007 and 5.4% for the year ended September 30, 2006.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Historically, our primary cash needs have been working capital (including capital used for inventory purchases), which we have funded primarily through cash generated from operations. As of September 30, 2008, we had approximately \$52.0 million in cash and cash equivalents, \$11.2 million in short-term investments and \$21.4 million available under our \$30.0 million senior credit facility.

Substantially all of our sales are recorded subsequent to receipt of payment authorization, utilizing credit cards, wire transfers and PayPal, an Internet based payment system, as methods of payments. As a result, we are not subject to significant collection risk, as goods are generally not shipped before payment is received.

Changes in Cash Flows: 2008 Compared to 2007

Net cash provided by operating activities increased \$24.5 million to \$29.0 million for the year ended September 30, 2008 from \$4.5 million for the year ended September 30, 2007. For the year ended September 30, 2008, net cash provided by operating activities primarily consisted of net income of \$11.5 million, depreciation and amortization expense of \$2.9 million, stock compensation expense of \$4.7 million, a net decrease in accounts receivable, inventory and prepaid expenses and other assets of \$6.3 million and a net increase in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities of \$5.6 million, offset in part by provision for doubtful accounts of \$0.7 million and deferred tax benefit of \$1.3 million. For the year ended September 30, 2007, net cash provided by operating activities primarily consisted of net income of \$11.0 million, depreciation and amortization expense of \$2.1 million, stock compensation expense of \$1.9 million, other adjustments of \$0.3 million, and a net increase in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities of \$8.4 million, offset in part by an increase in accounts receivable, inventory and prepaid expenses and other assets of \$16.9 million and deferred tax benefit of \$2.3 million.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$17.1 million for the year ended September 30, 2008 and \$22.0 million for the year ended September 30, 2007. Net cash used in investing activities in fiscal 2008 consisted primarily of capital expenditures of \$1.7 million and \$25.6 million for the purchase of GovDeals and the Geneva Group, offset in part by net proceeds from the sale of short-term investments of \$10.2 million. Net cash used in investing activities in fiscal 2007 consisted primarily of net purchases of short-term investments of \$9.2 million, capital expenditures of \$2.7 million, \$9.9 million for the purchase of STR and \$0.2 million for intangible assets.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$0.4 million for the year ended September 30, 2008 and \$2.8 million for the year ended September 30, 2007. Net cash provided by financing activities in fiscal 2008 consisted primarily of \$0.5 million from the sale of common stock issued upon stock option exercises, offset by the net repayment of debt and capital leases of \$0.1 million. Net cash provided by financing activities in fiscal 2007 consisted primarily of net proceeds from the follow-on public stock offering of \$1.1 million and \$1.8 million from the sale of common stock issued upon stock option exercises, offset by the net repayment of debt and capital leases of \$0.1 million.

Changes in Cash Flows: 2007 Compared to 2006

Net cash provided by operating activities decreased \$13.2 million to \$4.5 million for the year ended September 30, 2007 from \$17.7 million for the year ended September 30, 2006. For the year ended September 30, 2007, net cash provided by operating activities primarily consisted of net income of \$11.0 million, depreciation and amortization expense of \$2.1 million, stock compensation expense of \$1.9 million, other adjustments of \$0.3 million, and a net increase in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities of \$8.4 million, offset in part by an increase in accounts receivable, inventory and prepaid expenses and other assets of \$16.9 million and deferred tax benefit of \$2.3 million. For the year ended September 30, 2006, net cash provided by operating activities primarily

consisted of net income of \$8.0 million, depreciation and amortization expense of \$1.5 million, stock compensation expense of \$0.6 million, other adjustments of \$0.8 million, and an increase in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities of \$12.2 million, offset in part by a net increase in accounts receivable, inventory and prepaid expenses and other assets of \$4.7 million and deferred tax benefit of \$0.7 million.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$22.0 million for the year ended September 30, 2007 and \$14.3 million for the year ended September 30, 2006. Net cash used in investing activities in fiscal 2007 consisted primarily of net purchases of short-term investments of \$9.2 million, capital expenditures of \$2.7 million for purchases of equipment and leasehold improvements, \$9.9 million for the purchase of STR, and \$0.2 million for intangible assets. Net cash used in investing activities in fiscal 2006 consisted primarily of net purchases of short-term investments of \$12.2 million, capital expenditures of \$2.0 million for purchases of equipment and leasehold improvements, and \$0.1 million for intangible assets.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$2.8 million for the year ended September 30, 2007 and \$40.5 million for the year ended September 30, 2006. Net cash provided by financing activities in fiscal 2007 consisted primarily of net proceeds from the follow-on public stock offering of \$1.1 million and \$1.8 million from the sale of common stock issued upon stock option exercises, offset by the net repayment of debt and capital leases of \$0.1 million. Net cash provided by financing activities in fiscal 2006 consisted primarily of net proceeds from the initial public offering of \$44.0 million and \$1.0 million from the sale of common stock issued upon stock option exercises, offset by the net repayment of debt and capital leases of \$4.5 million.

Capital Expenditures. Our capital expenditures consist primarily of computers and purchased software, office equipment, furniture and fixtures, and leasehold improvements. The timing and volume of such capital expenditures in the future will be affected by the addition of new customers or expansion of existing customer relationships. We expect capital expenditures to range from \$2.0 million to \$2.5 million in the fiscal year ending September 30, 2009. We intend to fund those expenditures primarily from operating cash flows. Our capital expenditures for the year ended September 30, 2008 were \$1.7 million. As of September 30, 2008, we had no outstanding commitments for capital expenditures.

Senior credit facility. We maintain a \$30.0 million senior credit facility due March 31, 2010. The senior credit facility bears an annual interest rate of LIBOR plus 1.5%. As of September 30, 2008, we had no outstanding indebtedness under our senior credit facility and our borrowing availability was \$21.4 million due to issued Letters of Credit for \$8.6 million; \$1.0 million of our current availability under this facility is set aside as a contractual obligation under our Scrap Contract operations. The obligations under our senior credit facility are unconditionally guaranteed by us and each of our existing and subsequently acquired or organized subsidiaries (other than our subsidiaries organized to service our DoD contracts) and secured on a first priority basis by security interests (subject to permitted liens) in substantially all assets owned by us, and each of our other domestic subsidiaries, subject to limited exceptions. Our credit agreement contains a number of affirmative and restrictive covenants including limitations on mergers, consolidations and dissolutions, sales of assets, investments and acquisitions, indebtedness and liens, and dividends and other restricted payments. As of September 30, 2008, we were in full compliance with the terms and conditions of our credit agreement.

Note payable. In May 2003, we issued a subordinated note to an unaffiliated third party in exchange for \$2 million in cash. The note bore interest at 12% per annum and was secured by a junior lien on substantially all of our assets. The note was due May 2008. We utilized a portion of the proceeds from our initial public offering in February 2006 to retire the note.

Edgar Filing: ASHLAND INC. - Form S-8 POS

We believe that our existing cash and cash equivalents and short term investments, will be sufficient to meet our anticipated cash needs for at least the next 12 months. Our future capital requirements will depend on many factors including our rate of revenue growth, the timing and extent of spending to support development efforts, the expansion of sales and marketing activities, the development and deployment of new marketplaces, the introduction of new value added services and the costs to establish additional distribution centers. Although we are currently not a party to any definitive agreement with respect to potential investments in, or acquisitions of, complementary businesses, products or technologies, we may enter into these types of arrangements in the future, which could also require us to seek additional equity or debt financing. The sale of additional equity securities or convertible debt securities would result in additional dilution to our stockholders. Additional debt would result in increased interest expense and could result in covenants that would restrict our operations. There is no assurance that such financing, if required, will be available in amounts or on terms acceptable to us, if at all.

Contractual and Commercial Commitments

The table below represents our significant commercial commitments as of September 30, 2008. Capital leases are reflected on our September 30, 2008 balance sheet. Operating leases, which represent commitments to rent office and warehouse space in the United States and Europe, are not reflected on our balance sheets.

	Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	3 to 5 years	5+ years
		(in thousands)			
Operating leases	\$ 12,265	\$ 4,105	\$ 6,150	\$ 2,010	
Capital leases	66	22	44		
Total contractual cash obligations	\$ 12,331	\$ 4,127	\$ 6,194	\$ 2,010	

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any transactions, obligations or relationships that could be considered material off-balance sheet arrangements.

Inflation

Inflation generally affects us by increasing our cost of labor and equipment. We do not believe that inflation had any material effect on our results of operations during the fiscal years ended September 30, 2006, 2007 and 2008.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements (SFAS No. 157). SFAS No. 157 establishes a framework for measuring fair value under generally accepted accounting principles, clarifies the definition of fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 does not require any new fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. We do not expect SFAS No. 157 to have a material effect on our financial statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115 (SFAS No. 159). SFAS No. 159 permits entities to choose to measure certain financial instruments and other items at fair value. The fair value option generally may be applied instrument by instrument, is irrevocable, and is

applied only to entire instruments and not to portions of instruments. SFAS No. 159 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. We do not expect SFAS No. 159 to have a material effect on our financial statements.

In June 2006, the Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) reached a consensus on Issue No. 06-3, How Sales Taxes Collected from Customers and Remitted to Governmental Authorities Should Be Presented in the Income Statement (That Is, Gross Versus Net Presentation) (EITF 06-3) by concluding that companies should disclose their accounting policy (*i.e.*, gross or net presentation) regarding presentation of taxes within the scope of this issue. The Task Force also concluded that, as of January 1, 2007, companies should disclose the amount of such taxes for periods in which these taxes included in gross revenues are considered material. We collect and remit sales taxes on merchandise that we purchase and sell, and report such amounts under the net method in our consolidated statements of operations.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(R), Business Combinations. This statement changes the accounting for acquisitions specifically eliminating the step acquisition model, changing the recognition of contingent consideration from being recognized when it is probable to being recognized at the time of acquisition, disallowing the capitalization of transaction costs and delays when restructurings related to acquisitions can be recognized. We will adopt this statement for fiscal year beginning October 1, 2009 and it will only impact the accounting for acquisitions we make after its adoption, except for the amendment related to income taxes, which will be applied prospectively as of the adoption date and will apply to business combinations with acquisition dates before the effective date of SFAS No. 141(R).

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.

Interest rate sensitivity. We did not have any debt as of September 30, 2008 and thus do not have any related interest rate exposure. Our investment policy requires us to invest funds in excess of current operating requirements. The principal objectives of our investment activities are to preserve principal, provide liquidity and maximize income consistent with minimizing risk of material loss.

As of September 30, 2008, our cash and cash equivalents consisted primarily of money market funds and our short term investments consisted primarily of highly rated short term bonds. The recorded carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents approximate fair value due to their short maturities. Our interest income is sensitive to changes in the general level of interest rates in the United States, particularly since the majority of our investments are short-term in nature. Due to the nature of our short-term investments, which have a duration of three to twelve months, we have concluded that we do not have material market risk exposure.

Exchange rate sensitivity. We consider our exposure to foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations to be minimal, as less than four percent of our sales are denominated in foreign currencies. We have not engaged in any hedging or other derivative transactions to date.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplemental Data.

Annual Financial Statements and Selected Financial Data: The consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes listed in Part IV, Item 15(a)(1) of this Annual Report on Form 10-K are included elsewhere in this Annual Report.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreement with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.

Attached as exhibits to this Form 10-K are certifications of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, which are required in accordance with Rule 13a-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. This "Controls and Procedures" section includes information concerning the controls and controls evaluation referred to in the certifications. The report of Ernst & Young LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm, regarding management's assessment of internal control over financial reporting, and its audit of our internal control over financial reporting is set forth below in this section. This section should be read in conjunction with the certifications and the Ernst & Young report for a more complete understanding of the topics presented.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our "disclosure controls and procedures" as of the end of the period covered by this Form 10-K. The controls evaluation was conducted under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer. Disclosure controls are controls and procedures designed to reasonably assure that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, such as this Form 10-K, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls are also designed to reasonably assure that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Our quarterly evaluation of disclosure controls includes an evaluation of some components of our internal control over financial reporting, and internal control over financial reporting is also separately evaluated on an annual basis for purposes of providing the management report which is set forth below.

The evaluation of our disclosure controls included a review of the controls' objectives and design, our implementation of the controls and their effect on the information generated for use in this Form 10-K. In the course of the controls evaluation, we reviewed identified data errors, control problems or indications of potential fraud and, where appropriate, sought to confirm that appropriate corrective actions, including process improvements, were being undertaken. This type of evaluation is performed on a quarterly basis so that the conclusions of management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, concerning the effectiveness of the disclosure controls can be reported in our periodic reports on Form 10-Q and Form 10-K. Many of the components of our disclosure controls are also evaluated on an ongoing basis by our finance organization. The overall goals of these various evaluation activities are to monitor our disclosure controls, and to modify them as necessary. Our intent is to maintain the disclosure controls as dynamic systems that change as conditions warrant.

Based upon the controls evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this Form 10-K, our disclosure controls were effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in our Securities Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified by the SEC, and that material information related to Liquidity Services and our consolidated subsidiaries is made known to management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, particularly during the period when our periodic reports are being prepared. We reviewed the results of management's evaluation with the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors.

Management Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of our financial reporting

and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding authorization to effect the acquisition, use or disposition of company assets, as well as the prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Management assessed our internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2008, the end of our fiscal year. Management based its assessment on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Management's assessment included evaluation of such elements as the design and operating effectiveness of key financial reporting controls, process documentation, accounting policies and our overall control environment. This assessment is supported by testing and monitoring performed by our finance organization.

Based on this assessment, management has concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of the end of the fiscal year to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external reporting purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Our independent registered public accounting firm, Ernst & Young LLP, independently assessed the effectiveness of the company's internal control over financial reporting. Ernst & Young has issued an attestation report, which is included at the end of this section.

Inherent Limitations on Effectiveness of Controls

A control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the control system's objectives will be met. The design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Other inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Controls can also be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the controls. Projections of any evaluation of controls effectiveness to future periods are subject to risks. Over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or deterioration in the degree of compliance with policies or procedures.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

On a quarterly basis we evaluate any changes to our internal control over financial reporting to determine if material changes occurred. There were no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting during the quarterly period ended September 30, 2008 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

**REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM
ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING**

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of
Liquidity Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries

We have audited Liquidity Services, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2008, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). Liquidity Services Inc.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Liquidity Services, Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2008, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Liquidity Services, Inc. and subsidiaries as of September 30, 2008 and 2007, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended September 30, 2008 of Liquidity Services, Inc. and subsidiaries and our report dated December 2, 2008 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

McLean, Virginia
December 2, 2008

Item 9B. Other Information.

None.

PART III

Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant.

Incorporated by reference from the Company's Proxy Statement relating to its 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the SEC within 120 days after September 30, 2008.

Code of Ethics, Governance Guidelines and Committee Charters

We have adopted a *Code of Business Conduct and Ethics* that applies to all Liquidity Services employees. We have also adopted a *Code of Ethics for Senior Officers* that applies to our senior officers, including our principal executive officer, principal financial officer and principal accounting officer. The *Code of Ethics for Senior Officers* is available on our website.

Item 11. Executive Compensation.

Incorporated by reference from the Company's Proxy Statement relating to its 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the SEC within 120 days after September 30, 2008.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholders Matters.

Incorporated by reference from the Company's Proxy Statement relating to its 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the SEC within 120 days after September 30, 2008.

Item 13. Certain Relationship and Related Transactions.

Incorporated by reference from the Company's Proxy Statement relating to its 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the SEC within 120 days after September 30, 2008.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services.

Incorporated by reference from the Company's Proxy Statement relating to its 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the SEC within 120 days after September 30, 2008.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.

	Page
(a)(1) The following financial statements are filed as part of this report:	
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	59
Financial Statements covered by the Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm:	
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2007 and 2008	60
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended September 30, 2006, 2007 and 2008	61
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the years ended September 30, 2006, 2007 and 2008	62
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended September 30, 2006, 2007 and 2008	63
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements	64
(a)(2) The following financial statement schedule is filed as part of this report:	
Schedule for the three years ended September 30, 2006, 2007 and 2008:	
II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts	83
(a)(3) The documents required to be filed as exhibits to this report under Item 601 of Regulation S-K are listed in the Exhibit Index included elsewhere in this report, which list is incorporated herein by reference.	

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of
Liquidity Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Liquidity Services, Inc. and subsidiaries as of September 30, 2008 and 2007, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended September 30, 2008. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15(a). These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Liquidity Services, Inc. and subsidiaries at September 30, 2008 and 2007, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended September 30, 2008, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the effectiveness of Liquidity Services, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2008, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated December 2, 2008 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

McLean, Virginia
December 2, 2008

Liquidity Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Balance Sheets
(Dollars in Thousands)

	September 30,	
	2008	2007
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 51,954	\$ 39,954
Short-term investments	11,244	21,655
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$519 and \$371 in 2008 and 2007, respectively	4,658	5,098
Inventory	13,327	16,467
Prepaid expenses, deferred taxes and other current assets	7,653	5,486
Total current assets	88,836	88,660
Property and equipment, net	4,730	4,202
Intangible assets, net	5,561	4,568
Goodwill	34,696	11,446
Other assets	3,344	2,266
Total assets	\$ 137,167	\$ 111,142
Liabilities and stockholders' equity		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 8,303	\$ 3,333
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	10,314	10,299
Profit-sharing distributions payable	10,312	6,919
Customer payables	8,841	6,329
Current portion of long-term debt and capital lease obligations	22	18
Total current liabilities	37,792	26,898
Long-term debt and capital lease obligations, net of current portion	44	34
Deferred taxes and other long-term liabilities	2,961	2,176
Total liabilities	40,797	29,108
Stockholders' equity:		
Common stock, \$0.001 par value; 120,000,000 shares authorized; 28,023,361 and 27,939,059 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively	28	28
Additional paid-in capital	65,973	60,820
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income	(1,717)	653
Retained earnings	32,086	20,533
Total stockholders' equity	96,370	82,034
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 137,167	\$ 111,142

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Liquidity Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Operations
(Dollars in Thousands Except Share and Per Share Data)

	Year ended September 30,		
	2008	2007	2006
Revenue	\$ 263,941	\$ 198,620	\$ 147,813
Costs and expenses:			
Cost of goods sold (excluding amortization)	71,303	47,043	12,160
Profit-sharing distributions	91,106	69,638	80,253
Technology and operations	41,512	33,417	20,081
Sales and marketing	16,997	13,203	8,861
General and administrative	21,523	16,901	12,073
Amortization of contract intangibles	813	813	813
Depreciation and amortization	2,083	1,302	727
Total costs and expenses	245,337	182,317	134,968
Income from operations	18,604	16,303	12,845
Interest income (expense) and other income, net	1,495	2,176	430
Income before provision for income taxes	20,099	18,479	13,275
Provision for income taxes	(8,546)	(7,460)	(5,294)
Net income	\$ 11,553	\$ 11,019	\$ 7,981
Basic earnings per common share	\$ 0.41	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.33
Diluted earnings per common share	\$ 0.41	\$ 0.39	\$ 0.31
Basic weighted average shares outstanding	27,964,748	27,768,679	24,080,780
Diluted weighted average shares outstanding	28,151,878	28,146,923	26,087,809

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Liquidity Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity
(In Thousands Except Share Data)

	Preferred Stock		Common Stock		Additional Paid-in Capital	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Retained Earnings (Accumulated Deficit)	Total
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount				
Balance at September 30, 2005	3,262,643	3	19,025,971	19	\$ 9,412	\$ (24)	\$ 1,533	\$ 10,943
Initial public offering			5,000,000	5	43,972			43,977
Preferred stock reclassification	(3,262,643)	(3)	3,262,643	3				
Exercise of common stock options and warrants (net of tax)			295,994		995			995
Compensation expense from grant of common stock options					623			623
Write off of put liabilities					962			962
Comprehensive income:								
Net income							7,981	7,981
Foreign currency translation and other						271		271
Balance at September 30, 2006			27,584,608	27	55,964	247	9,514	65,752
Follow-on public offering			100,000		1,070			1,070
Exercise of common stock options and warrants (net of tax)			254,451	1	1,843			1,844
Compensation expense from grant of common stock options					1,943			1,943
Comprehensive income:								
Net income							11,019	11,019
Foreign currency translation and other						406		406
Balance at September 30, 2007			27,939,059	28	60,820	653	20,533	82,034
Exercise of common stock options and warrants (net of tax)			84,302		345			345
Compensation expense from grant of common stock options					4,808			4,808
Comprehensive income:								
Net income							11,553	11,553
Foreign currency translation and other						(2,370)		(2,370)
Balance at September 30, 2008			28,023,361	\$ 28	\$ 65,973	\$ (1,717)	\$ 32,086	\$ 96,370

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Liquidity Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(In Thousands)

	2008	2007	2006
Operating activities			
Net income	\$ 11,553	\$ 11,019	\$ 7,981
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	2,895	2,115	1,540
Amortization of debt discount			14
Interest expense related to put warrant liability and debt issue costs			315
Stock compensation expense	4,674	1,943	623
Provision (benefit) for doubtful accounts	(736)	171	150
Loss on early extinguishment of debt			171
Deferred tax benefit	(1,305)	(2,296)	(691)
Loss on disposal of property and equipment		85	19
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable	2,107	(3,087)	(2,022)
Inventory	4,615	(9,986)	(2,770)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(405)	(3,849)	90
Accounts payable	2,602	1,260	1,149
Accrued expenses and other	(2,030)	4,984	1,947
Profit-sharing distributions payable	3,393	(816)	3,399
Customer payables	2,512	(405)	5,377
Other liabilities	(884)	3,336	371
Net cash provided by operating activities	28,991	4,474	17,663
Investing activities			
Purchases of short-term investments	(36,180)	(36,099)	(20,037)
Proceeds from the sale of short-term investments	46,400	26,809	7,834
Increase in goodwill and intangibles	(31)	(208)	(90)
Cash paid for acquisitions	(25,633)	(9,856)	
Purchases of property and equipment	(1,669)	(2,688)	(2,049)
Net cash used in investing activities	(17,113)	(22,042)	(14,342)
Financing activities			
Proceeds from issuance of debt		10	118
Proceeds from the issuance of common stock		1,070	43,977
Repayments of debt	(42)	(16)	(4,413)
Principal repayments of capital lease obligations	(9)	(65)	(194)
Proceeds from exercise of common stock options & warrants (net of tax)	345	1,037	506
Incremental tax benefit from exercise of common stock options	133	807	489
Net cash provided by financing activities	427	2,843	40,483
Effect of exchange rate differences on cash and cash equivalents	(305)	320	177
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	12,000	(14,405)	43,981
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	39,954	54,359	10,378
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$ 51,954	\$ 39,954	\$ 54,359
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information			
Property and equipment acquired through capital leases	\$ 65	\$ 10	\$ 71
Cash paid for income taxes	11,656	7,901	4,816
Cash paid for interest	23	5	217

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Liquidity Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

1. Organization

Liquidity Services, Inc. and subsidiaries (LSI or the Company) is a leading online auction marketplace for wholesale surplus and salvage assets. LSI enables buyers and sellers to transact in an efficient, automated online auction environment offering over 500 product categories. The Company's marketplaces provide professional buyers access to a global, organized supply of wholesale, surplus and salvage assets presented with digital images and other relevant product information. Additionally, LSI enables its corporate and government sellers to enhance their financial return on excess assets by providing a liquid marketplace and value-added services that integrate sales and marketing, logistics and transaction settlement into a single offering. LSI organizes its products into categories across major industry verticals such as consumer electronics, general merchandise, apparel, scientific equipment, aerospace parts and equipment, technology hardware, and specialty equipment. The Company's online auction marketplaces are www.liquidation.com, www.govliquidation.com, www.govdeals.com and www.liquibiz.com. LSI also operates a wholesale industry portal, www.goWholesale.com that connects advertisers with buyers seeking products for resale and related business services.

The Company has six wholly owned direct and indirect significant operating subsidiaries Surplus Acquisition Venture, LLC (SAV); Government Liquidation.com, LLC (GL); Liquidity Services Limited (LSL) (based in Chippenham, England); DOD Surplus, LLC (DODS); Liquidity Services, GmbH (LSG); and GovDeals, Inc. (GD). SAV was formed on October 13, 2000. On February 27, 2001, SAV formed GL as a limited liability company in the state of Delaware. GL is a single-purpose entity that remarkets surplus government property under the auspices of the Commercial Venture II contract 99-0001-0002 (the Surplus Contract) with the Defense Reutilization and Marketing Service (DRMS) of the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD). Under the terms of the contract, GL is limited to conducting business of the Surplus Contract and no other. The Company formed LSL on July 23, 2003 to enter the European marketplace. LSL conducts business under the trade name "Liquidity Services" and serves commercial entities and the UK Disposal Services Agency (DSA) responsible for the disposal of UK Ministry of Defence (MOD) surplus property, and the Defence Logistics Organisation (DLO) through a six year contract beginning August 4, 2003.

On July 20, 2005, LSI formed DODS as a limited liability company in the state of Delaware. DODS is a single-purpose entity that remarkets scrap government property under the auspices of the DoD Scrap Contract 99-4001-0004 (the Scrap Contract) with the DRMS through June 2012. Under the terms of the contract, DODS is limited to conducting business of the Scrap Contract and no other. See Note 4.

During January 2006, LSI formed LSG as a limited liability company in Germany. LSG was formed to service the contract awarded by the DRMS to purchase DoD surplus property located in Germany on January 24, 2006 (contract 60-6104-0007, the Germany Contract) through January 23, 2009.

During January 2008, LSI acquired GD an online auction marketplace for the sale of surplus and confiscated items for local and state government entities including city, county and state agencies as well as school boards and public utilities. See Note 4.

The Company's operations are subject to certain risks and uncertainties associated with technology-oriented companies including, but not limited to, the Company's dependence on use of the Internet, the effect of general business and economic trends, its susceptibility to rapid technological change, actual and potential competition by entities with greater financial resources, and the potential for the U.S. Government agencies from which the Company has derived a significant portion of its

Liquidity Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

1. Organization (Continued)

inventory to change the way they conduct their surplus disposition or to otherwise not renew their contracts with the Company.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect amounts in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid securities purchased with an initial maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

Short-Term Investments

The Company accounted for investments it held as of September 30, 2008 and 2007 in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 115, *Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities*.

Available-for-sale securities are stated at fair value, with the unrealized gains and losses reported in accumulated other comprehensive income. For the year ended September 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006 the amount of unrealized (losses) or gains reported in accumulated other comprehensive income was (\$1,000), \$178,000 and \$88,000, respectively. Realized gains and losses and declines in fair value that are determined to be other-than-temporary on available-for-sale securities are included in interest expense and other income, net. The cost of securities sold is based on the specific identification method. Interest and dividends on securities classified as available-for-sale are included in interest expense and other income, net.

Inventory

Inventory consists of property obtained for resale, generally through the online auction process, and is stated at the lower of cost or market. Cost is determined using the specific identification method. Periodically, inventories are analyzed for obsolescence. Charges for obsolete inventory are included in cost of goods sold in the period in which they have been determined to occur.

Liquidity Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment is recorded at cost, and depreciated and amortized on a straight-line basis over the following estimated useful lives:

Computers and purchased software	One to five years
Office equipment	Three years
Furniture and fixtures	Five to seven years
Leasehold improvements	Shorter of lease term or useful life

Intangible Assets

Intangible assets primarily consist of contract acquisition costs, covenants not to compete, and other intangible assets associated with acquisitions (see Note 4). Intangible assets are amortized using the straight-line method over their estimated useful lives, ranging from three to seven years.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

Long-lived assets, including intangible assets, are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be fully recoverable. If an impairment indicator is present, the Company evaluates recoverability by comparing the carrying amount of the assets to future undiscounted net cash flows expected to be generated by the assets. If the assets are impaired, the impairment recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount exceeds the estimated fair value of the assets.

Goodwill

In accordance with SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, goodwill determined to have an indefinite useful life is not amortized, but is tested for impairment, at least annually or more frequently if indicators of impairment arise. If impairment of the carrying value based on the calculated fair value exists, the Company measures the impairment through the use of discounted cash flows.

Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes revenue in accordance with the provisions of Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104, *Revenue Recognition*, when all of the following criteria are met:

a buyer submits the winning bid in an auction and, as a result, evidence of an arrangement exists and the sale price has been determined;

title has passed to the buyer and the buyer has assumed the risks and rewards of ownership;

for arrangements with an inspection period, the buyer has received the merchandise and has not notified LSI within that period that it is dissatisfied with the merchandise; and

collection is reasonably assured.

Revenue is also evaluated in accordance with EITF 99-19, *Reporting Revenue Gross as a Principal Versus Net as an Agent*, for reporting revenue of gross proceeds as the principal in the arrangement or net of commissions as an agent. In arrangements in which the Company is deemed to be the primary

Liquidity Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

obligor and bears physical and general inventory risk, and credit risk, LSI recognizes as revenue the gross proceeds from the sale, including buyer's premiums. In arrangements in which the Company acts as an agent or broker on a consignment basis, without taking physical or general inventory risk, revenue is recognized based on the sales commissions that are paid to the Company by the sellers for utilizing LSI's services; in this situation, sales commissions represent a percentage of the gross proceeds from the sale that the seller pays to the Company upon completion of the transaction.

The Company has evaluated its revenue recognition policy related to sales under LSI's profit-sharing model and determined it is appropriate to account for these sales on a gross basis using the criteria outlined in EITF 99-19. In the Company's evaluation, the Company relied most heavily upon its status as primary obligor in the sales relationship and the fact that the Company has general inventory risk.

Cost of Goods Sold

Cost of goods sold includes the costs of purchasing and transporting property for auction as well as credit card transaction fees. The Company purchases the majority of its inventory at a fixed percentage of the property's original acquisition cost. Title for the inventory passes to the Company at that point and the Company bears the risks and rewards of ownership. The Company does not have title to assets sold on behalf of its commercial or government customers when it receives only sales commission revenue and, as such, recognizes no cost of goods sold associated with those sales. Cost of goods sold also includes shipping and handling costs and amounts paid by customers for shipping and handling.

Significant Contracts

DRMS

Based on the sales price of the inventory, after reduction for allowable expenses and other disbursements under the Surplus Contract with DRMS, the Company was required to disburse to DRMS 78.2%, and to Kormendi/Gardener Partners (KGP), 1.8% of the profits from the sale of goods under this contract. In addition, disbursements to DRMS/KGP are only required to the extent the Company has distributable cash surplus, as defined under the contract. Profit-sharing distributions to DRMS/KGP under the Surplus Contract for the years ended September 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006 were \$41,368,000, \$30,609,000, and \$50,686,000, respectively, including accrued amounts, as of September 30, 2008 and 2007, of \$3,510,000 and \$2,445,000, respectively. On September 12, 2006, the DoD agreed to increase the profit-sharing distribution for the Surplus Contract in exchange for the Company's agreement to implement additional inventory assurance processes and procedures with respect to the sale of demilitarized property. From August 1, 2006 until November 30, 2006, the Company was entitled to receive 27.5% of the profits and DRMS was entitled to 72.5% of the profits from the sale of goods under this contract. For property received from November 30, 2006 through June 18, 2008, the Company has been entitled to receive between 25% and 30.5% of the profits, based on the results of an audit of the effectiveness of the inventory controls the Company implemented under the contract modification, which is referred to as the Surplus Contract incentive. On June 1, 2007, the Company agreed, as provided in the modification to the Surplus Contract that became effective as of September 12, 2006, to provide additional value-added services with respect to demilitarized property that is returned to the DoD for reutilization. In exchange for the agreement to provide these services, the DoD exercised its existing option to increase the Company's share of net proceeds under the

Liquidity Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Surplus Contract by 1%. On May 13, 2008, the DoD agreed to extend the current Surplus Contract through November 1, 2008, as well as increase the Company's share of net proceeds under the Surplus Contract to 39.5% on property received after June 18, 2008. On November 6, 2008, the DoD agreed to further extend the Surplus Contract through December 17, 2008.

Under the terms of the Scrap Contract, the Company was required to disburse to DRMS 78.2%, and to KGP 1.8% of the profits realized from the ultimate sale of the inventory, after deduction for allowable expenses, calculated in a similar manner to that of the Surplus Contract. Under the Scrap Contract, the Company also has a performance incentive that allows it to receive up to an additional 2% of the profit sharing distribution. This incentive is measured annually on June 30th, and is applied to the prior 12 months. For the years ended September 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006, profit-sharing distributions to the DRMS under the Scrap Contract amounted to \$47,997,000, \$35,827,000 and \$27,579,000, including accrued amounts, as of September 30, 2008 and 2007, of \$6,744,000 and \$4,408,000, respectively. On May 21, 2007, the DoD agreed to increase the profit-sharing distribution for the Scrap Contract, from 20% to 23% effective June 1, 2007, in exchange for the Company's agreement to implement additional inventory assurance processes and procedures with respect to the mutilation of demilitarized scrap property sold by the Company.

DSA

Under the contract with the DSA, the Company is required to disburse to DSA a percentage that varies based on the total annual sales volume. Distributions to DSA for the years ended September 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006 were \$1,748,000, \$2,287,000 and \$1,988,000, respectively, including accrued amounts, as of September 30, 2008 and 2007, of \$58,000 and \$66,000, respectively.

Risk Associated with Certain Concentrations

The Company does not perform credit evaluations for the majority of its buyers. However, substantially all sales are recorded subsequent to payment authorization being received. As a result, the Company is not subject to significant collection risk, as goods are generally not shipped before payment is received.

For consignment sales transactions, funds are collected from buyers and are held by the Company on the sellers' behalf. The funds are included in cash and cash equivalents in the consolidated financial statements. The Company releases the funds to the seller, less the Company's commission and other fees due, after the buyer has accepted the goods or within 30 days, depending on the state where the buyer and seller conduct business. The amount of cash held on behalf of the sellers is recorded as customer payables in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to significant concentrations of credit risk consist principally of cash and cash equivalents in banks over FDIC limits, short-term investments and accounts receivable. The Company deposits its cash with financial institutions that the Company considers to be of high credit quality.

For the years ended September 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006, no single buyer accounted for 10% or more of revenue.

Liquidity Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes in accordance with SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*. This statement requires an asset and liability approach for measuring deferred taxes based on temporary differences between the financial statement and income tax bases of assets and liabilities existing at each balance sheet date using enacted tax rates for the years in which the taxes are expected to be paid or recovered. A valuation allowance is provided to reduce the deferred tax assets to a level that the Company believes will more likely than not be realized. The resulting net deferred tax asset reflects management's estimate of the amount that will be realized.

The Company adopted the provisions of FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes* ("FIN 48") on October 1, 2007. The adoption of FIN 48 did not impact the Company's financial position or results of operations. The Company has concluded that there were no uncertain tax positions identified during its analysis.

Stock-Based Compensation

At September 30, 2008, the Company had a stock-based employee compensation plan, which is described more fully in Note 11. Prior to October 1, 2005, the Company accounted for options issued under this plan under the recognition and measurement provisions of APB Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*, and related Interpretations, as permitted by SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*. Effective October 1, 2005, the Company adopted the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123(R), *Share-Based Payment* (Statement 123(R)) using the prospective-transition method. Under this transition method, compensation cost recognized in the years ended September 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006 includes compensation cost for all share-based payments granted subsequent to October 1, 2005, based on the grant-date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of Statement 123(R). Results for prior periods have not been restated.

As a result of adopting Statement 123(R) on October 1, 2005, the Company's income before provision for income taxes and net income for the years ended September 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006 were approximately \$4,674,000 and \$2,687,000; \$1,942,000 and \$1,158,000; and \$616,000 and \$370,000 lower, respectively, than if it had continued to account for share-based compensation under APB Opinion No. 25. The total compensation cost related to nonvested awards not yet recognized at September 30, 2008 was approximately \$14,259,000, which will be recognized over the weighted average vesting period of 29 months. The Company utilizes the Black-Scholes option pricing model to determine its Statement 123(R) expense. Inputs into the Black-Scholes model include volatility rates that ranged from 40% to 68%, a dividend rate of 0%, and risk-free interest rates that ranged from 2.53% to 5.05% for the years ended September 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006. The Company anticipates a forfeiture rate of 15.4% based on its historical forfeiture rate. As a result of adopting Statement 123(R) on October 1, 2005, the Company's basic and diluted earnings per share for the years ended September 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006 are approximately \$0.10 and \$0.10; \$0.04 and \$0.04; and \$0.02 and \$0.01, respectively, lower than if it had continued to account for share-based compensation under APB Opinion No. 25.

Prior to the adoption of Statement 123(R), the Company presented all tax benefits of deductions resulting from the exercise of stock options as operating cash flows in the statement of cash flows. Statement 123(R) requires the cash flows resulting from the tax benefits resulting from tax deductions

Liquidity Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

in excess of the compensation cost recognized for those options (excess tax benefits) to be classified as financing cash flows.

Advertising Costs

Advertising expenditures are expensed as incurred. Advertising costs charged to expense were \$3,068,000, \$3,648,000 and \$2,159,000 for the years ended September 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

SFAS No. 107, *Disclosure About Fair Value of Financial Instruments*, defines the fair value of a financial instrument as the amount at which the instrument could be exchanged in a current transaction between willing parties. Cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments, accounts receivable, accounts payable, profit-sharing distributions payable, consignment payables and long-term debt reported in the consolidated balance sheets approximate their fair values.

Foreign Currency Translation

The functional currencies for LSL and LSG, the Company's foreign subsidiaries, are the British pound and Euro, respectively. The translation of the subsidiary's financial statements into U.S. dollars is performed for balance sheet accounts using exchange rates in effect at the balance sheet date and for revenue and expense accounts using an average exchange rate during the period. The resulting translation adjustments are recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income, a separate component of stockholders' equity. Realized foreign currency transaction gains and losses are included in interest expense and other income, net in the consolidated statements of operations.

Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income includes net income adjusted for foreign currency translation and unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities, and is reflected as a separate component of stockholders' equity. For the years ended September 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively, comprehensive income was \$9,183,000, \$11,425,000 and \$8,252,000.

Earnings per Share

Basic net income attributable to common stockholders per share is computed by dividing net income attributable to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted net income attributable to common stockholders per share includes the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock. The Company issued 10,292 restricted shares at a price of \$11.66 during the three months ended June 30, 2008, of which only 622 shares have been included in the calculation of diluted income per share due to the significant difference between the issuance price and the average market price for the period in which they have been outstanding. The Company has also not included the following stock options in the calculation of diluted income per share because the option exercise prices were greater than the average market prices for the applicable periods:

- (a) for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008 2,765,313 options;

Liquidity Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

- (b) for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2007 38,000 options; and
- (c) for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006 183,500 options.

The following summarizes the potential outstanding common stock of the Company as of the dates set forth below:

	September 30,		
	2008	2007	2006
	(dollars in thousands except per share and share data)		
Weighted average shares calculation:			
Basic weighted average shares outstanding	27,964,748	27,768,679	24,080,780
Treasury stock effect of options, warrants, and restricted stock	187,130	378,244	705,638
Shares of common stock into which outstanding preferred stock is convertible			1,301,391
Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding	28,151,878	28,146,923	26,087,809
Net income	\$ 11,553	\$ 11,019	\$ 7,981
Net income per common share:			
Basic income per common share	\$ 0.41	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.33
Diluted income per common share	\$ 0.41	\$ 0.39	\$ 0.31

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements (SFAS No. 157). SFAS No. 157 establishes a framework for measuring fair value under generally accepted accounting principles, clarifies the definition of fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 does not require any new fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company does not expect SFAS No. 157 to have a material effect on its financial statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115 (SFAS No. 159). SFAS No. 159 permits entities to choose to measure certain financial instruments and other items at fair value. The fair value option generally may be applied instrument by instrument, is irrevocable, and is applied only to entire instruments and not to portions of instruments. SFAS No. 159 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The Company does not expect SFAS No. 159 to have a material effect on its financial statements.

In June 2006, the Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) reached a consensus on Issue No. 06-3, How Sales Taxes Collected from Customers and Remitted to Governmental Authorities Should Be Presented in the Income Statement (That Is, Gross Versus Net Presentation) (EITF 06-3) by concluding that companies should disclose their accounting policy (*i.e.*, gross or net presentation) regarding presentation of taxes within the scope of this issue. The Task Force also concluded that, as of January 1, 2007, companies should disclose the amount of such taxes for periods in which these taxes

Liquidity Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

included in gross revenues are considered material. The Company collects and remits sales taxes on merchandise that it purchases and sells, and reports such amounts under the net method in its consolidated statements of operations.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(R), Business Combinations. This statement changes the accounting for acquisitions specifically eliminating the step acquisition model, changing the recognition of contingent consideration from being recognized when it is probable to being recognized at the time of acquisition, disallowing the capitalization of transaction costs and delays when restructurings related to acquisitions can be recognized. The Company will adopt this statement for fiscal year beginning October 1, 2009 and it will only impact the accounting for acquisitions it makes after its adoption, except for the amendment related to income taxes, which will be applied prospectively as of the adoption date and will apply to business combinations with acquisition dates before the effective date of SFAS No. 141(R).

3. DRMS Contracts

The Company's original Surplus Contract with DRMS will expire on December 17, 2008. The Company responded to a RFP from the DRMS regarding a renewal of the Surplus Contract, and has been awarded the new Surplus Contract. Operations will begin under the new Surplus Contract on December 18, 2008. The new Surplus Contract expires in December 2011, subject to DoD's right to extend it for two additional one-year terms. Under the terms of the original contract, the Company distributes to DRMS a fixed percentage of the profits realized from the ultimate sale of the inventory, after deduction for allowable expenses and profit-sharing distributions, as provided for under the terms of the contract. Under the new Surplus Contract, the Company will be required to purchase all usable surplus property offered to us by the DoD at a fixed percentage equal to approximately 3.26% of the DoD's original acquisition value. The Company will retain 100% of the profit from the resale of the property and bear all of its costs for the merchandising and sale of the property.

As a result of the Surplus Contract, the Company is the sole remarketer of all DoD surplus turned into DRMS available for sale within the United States, Puerto Rico, and Guam.

The Company's Scrap Contract with DRMS expires in June 2012. Under the terms of the Scrap Contract, the Company is required to purchase all scrap government property referred to it by DRMS. As a result of this contract, the Company is the sole remarketer of all U.S. Department of Defense scrap turned into DRMS available for sale within the United States, Puerto Rico, and Guam.

The Scrap Contract may be terminated by either the Company or DRMS if the rate of return performance ratio does not exceed specified benchmark ratios for two consecutive quarterly periods and the preceding twelve months. The Company has performed in excess of the benchmark ratios throughout the contract period through September 30, 2008. The Surplus Contract also contains a provision providing for a mutual termination of the contract for convenience.

Liquidity Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

4. Acquisitions

STR

On October 16, 2006, the Company acquired substantially all of the assets of the wholesale business of STR, Inc. (STR), a traditional liquidator, for approximately \$9,856,000 in cash, which has been adjusted as of September 30, 2007 for the final agreed upon working capital adjustment. The operating results of STR are included in the consolidated financial statements from the date of acquisition.

Goodwill was created as part of the acquisition as the Company acquired an experienced and knowledgeable workforce, along with customer lists and non-contractual customer relationships. The purchase consideration was allocated to acquired tangible assets, identifiable intangible assets, liabilities assumed and goodwill as follows:

	Consideration Amount (in thousands)
Goodwill	\$ 7,812
Inventory	1,262
Covenants not to compete	500
Property and equipment	388
Net other liabilities	(106)
 Total consideration	 \$ 9,856

GovDeals

On January 1, 2008, the Company acquired the stock of Information Management Specialists, Inc. and its GovDeals, Inc. subsidiary for approximately \$9,404,000 in cash net of acquired cash of \$742,000. GovDeals is an online auction marketplace for the sale of surplus and confiscated items for local and state government entities including city, county and state agencies as well as school boards and public utilities. The operating results of GovDeals have been included in the consolidated financial statements from the date of acquisition.

Goodwill was created as part of the acquisition as the Company acquired an experienced and knowledgeable workforce, along with customer lists and non-contractual customer relationships. The purchase consideration was allocated to acquired tangible assets, identifiable intangible assets, liabilities assumed and goodwill as follows:

	Consideration Amount (in thousands)
Goodwill	\$ 8,321
Cash, receivables and other assets	1,342
Covenants not to compete, brand and technology intangibles	1,095
Property and equipment	162
Deferred tax liability	(423)
Other liabilities	(351)
 Total consideration	 \$ 10,146

Liquidity Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

4. Acquisitions (Continued)

Geneva

On May 1, 2008, the Company, through its LSL subsidiary, acquired the stock of the companies in the Geneva Group (Geneva), including Geneva Industries Ltd., Willen Trading Ltd., and Geneva Auctions Ltd., for approximately \$16,229,000 in cash, net of acquired cash, of \$934,000. In addition, the Company may be required to pay contingent earn-out payments of up to an aggregate of approximately \$2,900,000 million payable over the next three years, which will be recorded when the Company determines that such payments are probable. The Geneva Group is a leading United Kingdom (UK) based remarketer of reverse supply chain merchandise, including customer returns and overstock merchandise. The operating results of Geneva have been included in the consolidated financial statements from the date of acquisition.

Goodwill was created as part of the acquisition as the Company acquired an experienced and knowledgeable workforce, along with customer lists and non-contractual customer relationships. The purchase consideration was allocated to acquired tangible assets, identifiable intangible assets, liabilities assumed and goodwill as follows:

	Consideration Amount (in thousands)
Goodwill	\$ 16,560
Cash, receivables and other assets	1,695
Inventory	1,475
Covenants not to compete and technology intangibles	1,298
Property and equipment	233
Deferred tax liability, net	(142)
Other liabilities	(3,956)
 Total consideration	 \$ 17,163

Goodwill for Geneva as of September 30, 2008, stated in U.S. dollars, was \$14,929,000 a reduction of \$1,631,000 from the time of acquisition, May 1, 2008, as a result of foreign currency translation adjustments, which are reflected in the equity section of the balance sheet, and thus, do not affect the income statement.

Liquidity Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

5. Property and Equipment

Property and equipment, including equipment under capital lease obligations, consists of the following:

	September 30,	
	2008	2007
	(in thousands)	
Computers and purchased software	\$ 3,606	\$ 2,985
Office/Operational equipment and vehicles	3,436	1,526
Furniture and fixtures	610	577
Leasehold improvements	1,719	1,995
	9,371	7,083
Less: accumulated depreciation and amortization	(4,641)	(2,881)
	\$ 4,730	\$ 4,202

Depreciation and amortization expense related to property and equipment for the years ended September 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006 was \$1,911,000, \$1,157,000, and \$675,000, respectively.

6. Intangible Assets

Intangible assets at September 30, 2008 consisted of the following:

	Useful Life (in years)	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization & Foreign Currency Translation Adjustment	Net Carrying Amount
(dollars in thousands)				
Contract intangible	7	\$ 5,694	\$ (2,576)	\$ 3,118
Brand and technology	3 - 5	688	(111)	577
Covenants not to compete	3 - 5	2,385	(669)	1,716
Patent and trademarks	3 - 10	183	(33)	150
Total intangible assets, net				\$ 5,561

Future expected amortization of intangible assets at September 30, 2008 was as follows:

Years ending September 30,	(in thousands)
2009	\$ 1,587
2010	1,573
2011	1,376
2012	916
2013 and after	109

Liquidity Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

7. Debt

Senior Credit Facility

In December 2002, and as subsequently amended, the Company entered into a senior credit facility (the Agreement) with a bank, which provides for borrowings up to \$30.0 million. This senior credit facility will expire in March 2010.

Borrowings under the Agreement bear interest at an annual rate equal to the LIBOR rate plus 1.5% (5.463% at September 30, 2008) due monthly. As of September 30, 2008 and 2007, the Company had no outstanding borrowings under the Agreement.

Borrowings under the Agreement are secured by substantially all of the assets of the Company. The Agreement contains certain financial and non-financial restrictive covenants including, among others, the requirements to maintain a minimum level of earnings before interest, income taxes, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA). As of September 30, 2008, the Company was in compliance with these covenants.

Note Payable

On May 16, 2003, the Company received \$2,000,000 in cash in exchange for a subordinated debenture (the Note Payable) payable to an unaffiliated third party. The note was due in May 2008. The Company repaid the note in conjunction with the completion of its initial public offering in February 2006.

As additional consideration, the Company issued fully vested warrants to purchase 517,094 shares of common stock of the Company. These warrants were converted into 517,094 shares of redeemable common stock in August 2004, and the common stock was subsequently sold in the Company's initial public offering (see Note 11).

The gross redemption value of the common stock was \$925,000 as of September 30, 2005. The redemption value of the common stock, based on the net present value of the gross redemption value, was determined to be \$474,000 as of September 30, 2005. Changes in the fair value of the redemption feature of the common stock were being amortized to interest expense to the date the shares were sold in the Company's initial public offering and the shares ceased to be redeemable. Changes in the fair value of the redemption feature of the common stock subsequent to August 2004 were not significant for fiscal 2004. For the year ended September 30, 2006, the interest expense recorded resulting from the changes in the fair value of the common stock redemption feature was \$261,000.

On March 2, 2006, the Company repaid its Note Payable and amounts outstanding under its senior credit facility. In conjunction with the repayment of the Note Payable, the Company recorded a loss on the early extinguishment of debt of \$171,000, which is included in interest income and expense and other income, net.

8. Commitments

Leases

The Company leases certain office space and equipment under non-cancelable operating and capital lease agreements, which expire at various dates through 2013. Certain of the leases contain escalation clauses and provide for the pass-through of increases in operating expenses and real estate taxes. Rent related to leases that have escalation clauses is recognized on a straight-line basis. Resulting

Liquidity Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

8. Commitments (Continued)

deferred rent charges are included in other long-term liabilities and were \$274,000 and \$253,000 at September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Future minimum payments under the leases as of September 30, 2008 are as follows:

Years ending September 30,	Operating	Capital
	(in thousands)	
2009	\$ 4,105	\$ 32
2010	3,397	30
2011	2,753	21
2012	1,247	
2013 and after	763	
Total future minimum lease payments	\$ 12,265	83
Less: amount representing interest		17
Present value of net minimum lease payments		66
Less: current portion of capital lease obligations		22
Capital lease obligations, noncurrent		\$ 44

Amortization of fixed assets acquired through capital leases is included in depreciation and amortization expense.

Rent expense for the years ended September 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006 was \$5,660,000, \$4,585,000 and \$1,974,000, respectively. Sublease income recorded for the year ended September 30, 2006 was \$90,000.

9. 401(k) Benefit Plan

The Company has various retirement plans (the Plans), which are intended to be qualified plans under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code. The Plans are defined contribution plans, available to all eligible employees and allow participants to contribute up to the legal maximum of their eligible compensation, not to exceed the maximum tax-deferred amount allowed by the Internal Revenue Service. The Plans also allow the Company to make discretionary matching contributions. For the years ended September 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006, the Company contributed and recorded expense of approximately \$552,000, \$435,000 and \$348,000, respectively, to the Plans.

Liquidity Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

10. Income Taxes

The components of the provision for income taxes are as follows:

	Years ended September 30,		
	2008	2007	2006
	(in thousands)		
Current tax provision:			
U.S. Federal	\$ 8,268	\$ 8,104	\$ 5,155
State	1,878	1,652	830
Foreign	(295)		
	9,851	9,756	5,985
Deferred tax benefit:			
U.S. Federal	(779)	(1,913)	(763)
State	(191)	(383)	72
Foreign	(335)		
	(1,305)	(2,296)	(691)
Total provision	\$ 8,546	\$ 7,460	\$ 5,294

Deferred taxes reflect the net tax effect of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. Significant components of the Company's deferred tax assets and liabilities are as follows:

	September 30,	
	2008	2007
	(in thousands)	
Deferred tax assets:		
Net operating losses Foreign	\$ 666	\$ 502
Accrued vacation and bonus	740	554
Inventory capitalization	1,806	1,837
Allowance for doubtful accounts	193	146
Depreciation	97	77
Other	501	400
Net deferred tax assets before valuation allowance	4,003	3,516
Less: valuation allowance	(208)	(502)
Total deferred tax assets	\$ 3,795	\$ 3,014

Liquidity Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****10. Income Taxes (Continued)**

The reconciliation of the U.S. federal statutory rate to the effective rate is as follows:

	Years ended September 30,		
	2008	2007	2006
U.S. statutory rate	35.0%	35.0%	34.1%
Permanent items	3.9%	0.7%	0.7%
State taxes	5.2%	4.5%	5.1%
Changes in valuation allowance	(1.5%)		(0.2%)
Other	(0.1%)	0.2%	0.2%
Provision for income taxes	42.5%	40.4%	39.9%

At September 30, 2008, the Company had available foreign net operating loss (NOL) carryforwards of approximately \$666,000, which do not expire. The valuation allowance at September 30, 2008 relates to the foreign NOLs. During fiscal 2008, the Company reversed \$393,000 of valuation allowances recorded against UK NOLs as it was determined that it was more likely than not that the deferred tax asset would be realized. Circumstances could change in the future that would allow the Company to reduce the remaining valuation allowance and recognize additional net deferred tax assets.

The Company adopted the provisions of FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes* ("FIN 48") on October 1, 2007. The adoption of FIN 48 did not impact the Company's financial position or results of operations. The Company has concluded that there were no uncertain tax positions identified during its analysis. The Company's policy is to recognize interest and penalties in the period in which they occur in the income tax provision. The Company and its subsidiaries file income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction, various state and local jurisdictions and in foreign jurisdictions, primarily the UK and Germany. Currently, the Company is not subject to income tax examinations in any jurisdictions. The statute of limitations for years prior to fiscal 2005 is now closed. However, certain tax attribute carryforwards that were generated prior to fiscal 2005 may be adjusted upon examination by tax authorities if they are utilized.

11. Stockholders' Equity**Convertible Preferred Stock**

On September 3, 2004, the Company issued 3,262,643 shares of Series C preferred stock (Series C Stock) to an unaffiliated party in exchange for \$20,000,000 in cash. The participation feature of the Series C Stock, which entitled holders of Series C Stock to participate in all distributions to the holders of common stock on an as-converted basis up to a maximum amount, expired when the Company completed its initial public offering, at which time the Series C Stock was converted into 3,262,643 shares of common stock.

Common Stock

On February 23, 2006, the Company issued 5,000,000 shares of common stock for net proceeds of \$43,977,000 in conjunction with its initial public offering. On March 13, 2007, the Company issued 100,000 shares of common stock for net proceeds of \$1,070,000 in conjunction with its follow-on offering.

Liquidity Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

11. Stockholders' Equity (Continued)

2006 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan

In conjunction with the Company's initial public offering, the board of directors and the Company's shareholders approved the 2006 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan, or the 2006 Plan, on December 2, 2005. The 2005 Stock Option and Incentive Plan was terminated when the 2006 Plan became effective, immediately after the closing of the initial public offering.

5,000,000 shares of common stock were initially reserved for issuance under the 2006 Plan. During fiscal year 2006, the Company issued options to purchase 1,208,000 shares to employees and directors with exercise prices between \$12.89 and \$17.63 and options to purchase 97,000 shares were forfeited. At September 30, 2006, there were 3,889,000 shares remaining reserved for issuance in connection with awards under the 2006 Plan. During fiscal year 2007, the Company issued options to purchase 497,585 shares to employees and directors with exercise prices between \$12.49 and \$20.88 and options to purchase 67,814 shares have been forfeited. At September 30, 2007, there were 3,459,229 shares remaining reserved for issuance in connection with awards under the 2006 Plan. During fiscal year 2008, the Company issued options to purchase 2,459,232 shares to employees and directors with exercise prices between \$8.40 and \$13.48; options to purchase 487,834 shares have been forfeited; and 10,292 restricted shares have been issued at a price of \$11.66. At September 30, 2008, there were 1,477,539 shares remaining reserved for issuance in connection with awards under the 2006 Plan. The maximum number of shares subject to options or stock appreciation rights that can be awarded under the 2006 Plan to any person is 1,000,000 per year. The maximum number of shares that can be awarded under the 2006 Plan to any person, other than pursuant to an option or stock appreciation right, is 700,000 per year.

The 2006 Plan permits the granting of options to purchase shares of common stock intended to qualify as incentive stock options under the Internal Revenue Code and stock options that do not qualify as incentive stock options ("non-qualified stock options"). The exercise price of each stock option may not be less than 100% of the fair market value of the common stock on the date of grant. However, if a grant recipient, who holds at least 10% of the common stock of the Company, receives an incentive stock option, the exercise price of such incentive stock option may not be less than 110% of the fair market value of the common stock on the date of grant. The term of each stock option is fixed by the compensation committee and may not exceed 10 years from the date of grant.

The compensation committee may also award under the 2006 Plan:

restricted stock, which are shares of common stock subject to restrictions;

stock units, which are common stock units subject to restrictions;

dividend equivalent rights, which are rights entitling the recipient to receive credits for dividends that would be paid if the recipient had held a specified number of shares of common stock;

stock appreciation rights, which are rights to receive a number of shares or, in the discretion of the compensation committee and subject to applicable law, an amount in cash or a combination of shares and cash, based on the increase in the fair market value of the shares underlying the right during a stated period specified by the compensation committee;

unrestricted stock, which are shares of common stock granted without restrictions as a bonus; and

Liquidity Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

11. Stockholders' Equity (Continued)

performance and annual incentive awards, ultimately payable in common stock or cash, as determined by the compensation committee (the compensation committee may grant multi-year and annual incentive awards subject to achievement of specified goals tied to business criteria set forth in the 2006 Plan).

During July 2001, the Company modified the exercise price of 3,402,794 stock options issued to employees. The stock options were originally granted with an option exercise price of \$0.45. The modified stock options have an exercise price of \$0.05 and all other terms and conditions of the options such as vesting schedules and expiration dates remained unchanged. The Company is accounting for the modified stock options from the date of modification to the date the stock options are exercised, forfeited, or expire unexercised using variable accounting. Under variable accounting, the Company will revalue compensation costs for the stock options at each reporting period based on changes in the intrinsic value of the stock options. The Company recorded \$0, \$1,000 and \$7,000 in stock compensation expense based on vesting of the fair value of the options, using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model for the years ended September 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The Company will continue to revalue compensation costs for the options based on changes in the fair value of the Company's common stock.

During the year ended September 30, 2005, the Company repurchased 240,906 shares of its common stock from former employees pursuant to the provisions of the 2005 Stock Option and Incentive Plan and the Option Agreements entered into between the Company and such former employees as option grant recipients. No additional grants have been made under the 2005 Stock Option and Incentive Plan, since the Company's initial public offering. All of the stock option grants since the Company's initial public offering have been made under the 2006 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan.

Stock Option Activity

A summary of the Company's stock option activity for the years ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 is as follows:

	Options	Weighted-Average Exercise Price
Options outstanding at September 30, 2006	1,990,196	\$ 9.48
Options granted	497,585	16.83
Options exercised	(254,451)	4.07
Options canceled	(82,359)	12.07
Options outstanding at September 30, 2007	2,150,971	11.72
Options granted	2,459,232	12.21
Options exercised	(84,302)	4.09
Options canceled	(496,576)	15.44
Options outstanding at September 30, 2008	4,029,325	11.72
Options exercisable at September 30, 2008	1,150,340	10.35

Liquidity Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

11. Stockholders' Equity (Continued)

The following table summarizes information about options outstanding at September 30, 2008:

Range of Exercise Price	Number Outstanding	Options Outstanding Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Life	Weighted-Average Exercise Price
\$0.05	667	4.79	\$ 0.05
\$2.00 8.00	545,200	6.87	4.71
\$8.40 \$20.88	3,483,458	8.80	12.82
	4,029,325	8.54	11.72

The intrinsic value of outstanding and exercisable options at September 30, 2008 is approximately \$3,464,000 and \$575,000, respectively, based on a stock price of \$10.85 on September 30, 2008.

12. Quarterly Results Unaudited

The following table sets forth for the eight most recent quarters the selected unaudited quarterly consolidated statement of operations data. The unaudited quarterly consolidated statement of operations data has been prepared on the same basis as the Company's audited consolidated financial statements and, in the opinion of management, includes all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, necessary for the fair presentation of this data.

	Three months ended							
	Dec. 31, 2006	Mar. 31, 2007	June 30, 2007	Sept. 30, 2007	Dec. 31, 2007	Mar. 31, 2008	June 30, 2008	Sept. 30, 2008
	(in thousands, except share and per share data)							
Revenue	\$ 45,167	\$ 49,281	\$ 52,505	\$ 51,668	\$ 59,266	\$ 62,839	\$ 71,473	\$ 70,362
Income from operations	\$ 3,257	\$ 3,669	\$ 4,712	\$ 4,666	\$ 3,517	\$ 3,887	\$ 6,227	\$ 4,973
Net income	\$ 2,313	\$ 2,474	\$ 3,053	\$ 3,180	\$ 2,363	\$ 2,646	\$ 3,847	\$ 2,697
Basic earnings per common share	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.10
Diluted earnings per common share	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.10
Basic weighted average shares outstanding	27,597,419	27,708,278	27,857,115	27,911,902	27,944,139	27,951,777	27,964,662	27,998,413
Diluted weighted average shares outstanding	28,449,429	28,526,789	28,321,395	28,013,199	28,107,692	28,261,121	28,237,150	28,001,549

LIQUIDITY SERVICES, INC.
SCHEDULE II VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS
(dollars in thousands)

	Balance at beginning of period	Charged to expense	Reductions	Balance at end of period
Deferred tax valuation allowance (deducted from net deferred tax assets)				
Year ended September 30, 2006	\$ 769		\$ 275	\$ 494
Year ended September 30, 2007	494	\$ 8		502
Year ended September 30, 2008	\$ 502	\$ 99	\$ 393	\$ 208
Allowance for doubtful accounts (deducted from accounts receivable)				
Year ended September 30, 2006	\$ 50	\$ 150		\$ 200
Year ended September 30, 2007	200	171		371
Year ended September 30, 2008	\$ 371	\$ 148		\$ 519
Inventory allowance (deducted from inventory)				
Year ended September 30, 2008		\$ 314		\$ 314

13. Subsequent Event

On December 2, 2008, the Company's Board of Directors approved a stock repurchase program. Under the program, the Company is authorized to repurchase up to \$10 million of the issued and outstanding shares of its common stock. Share repurchases may be made through open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions or otherwise, at times and in such amounts as management deems appropriate. The repurchase program will commence during calendar year 2009. The timing and actual number of shares repurchased will depend on a variety of factors including price, corporate and regulatory requirements and other market conditions. The repurchase program may be discontinued or suspended at any time, and will be funded using the Company's available cash.

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit No.	Description
2.1	Asset Purchase Agreement, dated as of August 29, 2006, among Liquidity Services, Inc., Carl C. Jones, Eddie Fischer, Bradley Fischer and Southern Textile Recycling, Inc., incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on December 21, 2006.
2.2	Share Purchase Agreements, dated as of April 5, 2008 and April 6, 2008, by and between Liquidity Services, Inc., and its wholly owned subsidiary, Liquidity Services, Ltd., on the one hand, and David Mark Jacobs, Simon Jacobs, Darren Lee Innocent and Darren Malcolm Dorrington, on the other, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC on August 8, 2008.
3.1	Fourth Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Amendment No. 2 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-129656), filed with the SEC on January 17, 2006.
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Amendment No. 2 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-129656), filed with the SEC on January 17, 2006.
4.1	Form of Certificate of Common Stock of the Company, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Amendment No. 5 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-129656), filed with the SEC on February 21, 2006.
4.2	Registration Rights Agreement, dated September 3, 2004, by and between the Company and ABS Capital Partners IV, L.P., ABS Capital Partners IV-A, L.P., ABS Capital Partners IV Offshore L.P. and ABS Capital Partners IV Special Offshore L.P., incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Amendment No. 2 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-129656), filed with the SEC on January 17, 2006.
10.1	Defense Logistics Agency, Surplus Commercial Property, Defense Reutilization and Marketing Service, Invitation for Bids, No. 99-0001, December 2000, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-129656), filed with the SEC on November 14, 2005.
10.2	Defense Logistics Agency, Multi-Year Sale of Surplus Scrap Material at Locations Nationwide, Defense Reutilization and Marketing Service, Invitation for Bids, No. 99-4001, December 7, 2004, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-129656), filed with the SEC on November 14, 2005.
10.3.1	Executive Employment Agreement, dated September 2, 2004, between the Company and William P. Angrick, III, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3.1 to Amendment No. 3 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-129656), filed with the SEC on February 1, 2006.#
10.3.2	Amendment to Executive Employment Agreement between the Company and William P. Angrick, III, dated January 26, 2006, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3.2 to Amendment No. 3 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-129656), filed with the SEC on February 1, 2006.#
10.4.1	Executive Employment Agreement, dated September 2, 2004, between the Company and Jaime Mateus-Tique, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4.1 to Amendment No. 3 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-129656), filed with the SEC on February 1, 2006.#

Edgar Filing: ASHLAND INC. - Form S-8 POS

Exhibit No.	Description
10.4.2	Amendment to Executive Employment Agreement between the Company and Jaime Mateus-Tique, dated January 25, 2006, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4.2 to Amendment No. 3 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-129656), filed with the SEC on February 1, 2006.#
10.5.1	Executive Employment Agreement, dated May 15, 2001, between Government Liquidation.com, LLC and Benjamin R. Brown, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.5.1 to Amendment No. 3 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-129656), filed with the SEC on February 1, 2006.#
10.5.2	Amendment to Executive Employment Agreement between Government Liquidation.com, LLC and Benjamin R. Brown, dated January 26, 2006, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.5.2 to Amendment No. 3 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-129656), filed with the SEC on February 1, 2006.#
10.6.1	Executive Employment Agreement, dated January 27, 2005, between the Company and James M. Rallo, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.6.1 to Amendment No. 3 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-129656), filed with the SEC on February 1, 2006.#
10.6.2	Amendment to Executive Employment Agreement between the Company and James M. Rallo, dated January 25, 2006, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.6.2 to Amendment No. 3 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-129656), filed with the SEC on February 1, 2006.#
10.7.1	Executive Employment Agreement, dated June 13, 2001, between Government Liquidation.com, LLC and Thomas Burton, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.7.1 to Amendment No. 3 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-129656), filed with the SEC on February 1, 2006.#
10.7.2	Amendment to Executive Employment Agreement between Government Liquidation.com, LLC and Thomas Burton, dated January 25, 2006, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.7.2 to Amendment No. 3 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-129656), filed with the SEC on February 1, 2006.#
10.8.1	Executive Employment Agreement, dated November 11, 2005, between the Company and James E. Williams, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.8.1 to Amendment No. 3 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-129656), filed with the SEC on February 1, 2006.#
10.8.2	Amendment to Executive Employment Agreement between the Company and James E. Williams, dated January 26, 2006, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.8.2 to Amendment No. 3 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-129656), filed with the SEC on February 1, 2006.#
10.9	Executive Employment Agreement, dated October 15, 2007, between the Company and Eric C. Dean, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on December 7, 2007.
10.10	2005 Stock Option and Incentive Plan, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-129656), filed with the SEC on November 14, 2005.#
10.11	2006 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to Amendment No. 3 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-129656), filed with the SEC on February 1, 2006.#

Edgar Filing: ASHLAND INC. - Form S-8 POS

Exhibit No.	Description
10.12	Form of Indemnification Agreement for directors and officers, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to Amendment No. 3 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-129656), filed with the SEC on February 1, 2006.
10.13.1	Amendment to Commercial Venture II (CV-II) (Sales Contract Number 99-0001-0002), dated as of September 12, 2006, between Surplus Acquisition Venture, LLC (a wholly-owned subsidiary of Liquidity Services, Inc.) and Defense Reutilization and Marketing Service of the U.S. Department of Defense, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on September 13, 2006.
10.13.2	Supplemental Agreement, dated as of May 13, 2008, relating to Commercial Venture II (CV-II) (Sales Contract Number 99-0001-0002) between Surplus Acquisition Venture, LLC (a wholly owned subsidiary of Liquidity Services, Inc.) and the Defense Reutilization and Marketing Service of the U.S. Department of Defense, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC on August 8, 2008.
10.13.3	Notice of Termination of Commercial Venture II (CV-II) (Sales Contract Number 99-0001-0002), incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on September 5, 2008.
10.13.4	Supplemental Agreement 18 (Sales Contract Number 99-0001-0002), incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on November 12, 2008.
10.14	LSI Non-Employee Director Compensation Plan, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on August 9, 2006.
10.15	Form of Notice of Stock Option Grant, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on April 4, 2006.
10.16	Lease Agreement, dated September 1, 2004, between Le Baron Investments and Southern Textile Recycling, Inc., incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on December 21, 2006.
10.17	Lease Agreement, dated June 8, 2006, between Le Baron Investments and Southern Textile Recycling, Inc., incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.16 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on December 21, 2006.
10.18	Lease Agreement, effective October 1, 2005, between the Company and ProLogis Development Services Incorporated, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.17 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on December 21, 2006.
10.19	Industrial Real Estate Lease Agreement, dated January 20, 2006, between the Company and Paulus Enterprises, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.18 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on December 21, 2006.
10.20	Standard Form Industrial Building Lease, effective August 1, 2006, between the Company and First Industrial Development Services, Inc., incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on December 21, 2006.
10.21	Standard Form Industrial Building Lease, effective March 1, 2005, between the Company and First Industrial Texas, L.P., incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.20 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on December 21, 2006.

Edgar Filing: ASHLAND INC. - Form S-8 POS

Exhibit No.	Description
10.22	First Lease Modification Agreement, effective June 1, 2006, to Lease Agreement, dated February 2, 2005, between the Company and First Industrial Texas, L.P., incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.21 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on December 21, 2006.
10.23	Amendment No. 1 to Sales Contract Number 99-4001-0004, dated as of May 21, 2007, between DOD Surplus, LLC (a wholly-owned subsidiary of Liquidity Services, Inc.) and the Defense Reutilization and Marketing Service of the U.S. Department of Defense, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on May 29, 2007.
10.24	Amendment No. 2 to Sales Contract Number 99-4001-0004, dated as of May 21, 2007, between DOD Surplus, LLC (a wholly-owned subsidiary of Liquidity Services, Inc.) and the Defense Reutilization and Marketing Service of the U.S. Department of Defense, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on May 29, 2007.
10.25	Loan Agreement between the Company, Surplus Acquisition Venture, LLC (a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company) and United Bank, including the first modification to the Loan Agreement, dated as of July 28, 2005; the second modification to the Loan Agreement, dated as of June 19, 2006; the third modification to the Loan Agreement, dated November 2, 2006; the fourth modification to the Loan Agreement, dated February 14, 2007 and the Fifth Modification to the Loan Agreement, dated as of October 17, 2007, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.25 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on December 7, 2008.
10.26	Surplus Usable Property Sales Contract (Sales Contract Number 08-0001-0001) between Liquidity Services, Inc. and the Defense Reutilization and Marketing Service of the U.S. Department of Defense, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on August 6, 2008.
10.27	Form of Notice of Restricted Stock Grant.
10.28	Security Agreement, dated June 7, 2005, by and between Liquidity Services, Inc. and United Bank, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K/A filed with the SEC on February 21, 2008.
10.29	Security Agreement, dated June 7, 2005, by and between Surplus Acquisition Venture, LLC and United Bank, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K/A filed with the SEC on February 21, 2008.
21.1	List of Subsidiaries
23.1	Consent of Ernst & Young LLP
24.1	Power of Attorney (included on signature page)
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

#

Designates management or compensation plans.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized on December 8, 2008.

LIQUIDITY SERVICES, INC.

By: /s/ WILLIAM P. ANGRICK, III

William P. Angrick, III

*Chairman of the Board of Directors
and Chief Executive Officer*

We, the undersigned directors and officers of Liquidity Services, Inc., hereby severally constitute William P. Angrick, III and James E. Williams, and each of them singly, our true and lawful attorneys with full power to them and each of them to sign for us, in our names in the capacities indicated below, any and all amendments to this Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities indicated on December 8, 2008.

Signature	Title
<u>/s/ WILLIAM P. ANGRICK, III</u> William P. Angrick, III	Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)
<u>/s/ JAMES M. RALLO</u> James M. Rallo	Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer (Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)
<u>/s/ JAIME MATEUS-TIQUE</u> Jaime Mateus-Tique	President, Chief Operating Officer and Director
<u>/s/ PHILIP A. CLOUGH</u> Philip A. Clough	Director
<u>/s/ PATRICK W. GROSS</u> Patrick W. Gross	Director
<u>/s/ FRANKLIN D. KRAMER</u> Franklin D. Kramer	Director
<u>/s/ F. DAVID FOWLER</u> F. David Fowler	Director

QuickLinks

INDEX TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I

Item 1. Business.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.

Item 2. Properties.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplemental Data.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreement with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Item 9B. Other Information.

PART III

Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant.

Item 11. Executive Compensation.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholders Matters.

Item 13. Certain Relationship and Related Transactions.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Liquidity Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Balance Sheets (Dollars in Thousands)

Liquidity Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Operations (Dollars in Thousands Except Share and Per Share Data)

Liquidity Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity (In Thousands Except Share Data)

Liquidity Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (In Thousands)

Liquidity Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

LIQUIDITY SERVICES, INC. SCHEDULE II VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS (dollars in thousands)

EXHIBIT INDEX

SIGNATURES