EATON VANCE NEW YORK MUNICIPAL BOND FUND Form N-CSR November 25, 2014

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED

MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act File Number: 811-21148

Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Charter)

Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

Maureen A. Gemma

Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110

(Name and Address of Agent for Services)

(617) 482-8260

(Registrant s Telephone Number)

September 30

Date of Fiscal Year End

September 30, 2014

Date of Reporting Period

Item 1. Reports to Stockholders

Municipal Bond Funds

Annual Report

September 30, 2014

Municipal (EIM) California (EVM) New York (ENX)

Commodity Futures Trading Commission Registration. Effective December 31, 2012, the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) adopted certain regulatory changes that subject registered investment companies and advisers to regulation by the CFTC if a fund invests more than a prescribed level of its assets in certain CFTC-regulated instruments (including futures, certain options and swap agreements) or markets itself as providing investment exposure to such instruments. Each Fund has claimed an exclusion from the definition of the term—commodity pool operator—under the Commodity Exchange Act. Accordingly, neither the Funds nor the adviser with respect to the operation of the Funds is subject to CFTC regulation. Because of its management of other strategies, each Fund—s adviser is registered with the CFTC as a commodity pool operator and a commodity trading advisor.

Fund shares are not insured by the FDIC and are not deposits or other obligations of, or guaranteed by, any depository institution. Shares are subject to investment risks, including possible loss of principal invested.

Annual Report September 30, 2014

Eaton Vance

Municipal Bond Funds

Table of Contents

Management s Discussion of Fund Performance	2
Performance and Fund Profile	
Municipal Bond Fund California Municipal Bond Fund New York Municipal Bond Fund	3 4 5
Endnotes and Additional Disclosures	6
Financial Statements	7
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	36
Federal Tax Information	37
Annual Meeting of Shareholders	38
Dividend Reinvestment Plan	39
Board of Trustees Contract Approval	41
Management and Organization	44
Important Notices	46

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2014

Management s Discussion of Fund Performance

Economic and Market Conditions

As the fiscal year began on October 1, 2013, the municipal market was at the tail end of a significant selloff that started in May 2013, after then-U.S. Federal Reserve Board (the Fed) Chairman Ben Bernanke surprised the markets by indicating that the Fed s \$85 billion in monthly asset purchases could be tapered sooner than most investors had expected. Investors rushed to sell fixed-income assets in anticipation of rising rates, causing nearly every fixed-income asset class to decline in value.

Even after the Fed tried to temper its comments and calm the markets, heavy selling in municipals continued through the summer of 2013. Although selling of municipals abated somewhat in September, the municipal market continued to experience outflows from the beginning of the period on October 1 through December 2013.

But as 2014 began, municipals turned a corner. From January 1 through September 30, 2014, municipals rallied back from 2013 lows. Contrary to what many investors had expected, Treasury rates declined and municipal rates followed. A principal driver was a global flight to quality, as investors sought the relative safety of Treasurys in the face of increased geopolitical and economic risks overseas. In addition, strong demand for municipals, coupled with tight supply, created a favorable supply-demand imbalance that helped drive prices up and yields down. As investors searched for yield in a low-interest-rate environment, longer dated and lower credit quality bonds were the best performers. For the one-year period as a whole, long-term municipal rates declined while short-term rates were essentially flat.

Fund Performance

For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014, Municipal Bond Fund, California Municipal Bond Fund and New York Municipal Bond Fund shares at net asset value (NAV) all outperformed the 12.88% return of the Funds benchmark, the Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index² (the Index).

The Funds overall strategy is to invest primarily in higher quality bonds (rated A7 or higher) with maturities of 10 years or more, in order to capture their typically higher yields and a greater income stream than shorter-maturity issues. In managing the Funds, management employs leverage through Residual Interest Bond (RIB) financing⁶ to seek to enhance the Funds tax-exempt income. The use of leverage has the effect of achieving additional exposure to the municipal market, and thus magnifying a fund s exposure to its underlying investments in both up and down market environments. During this period of falling rates and strong performance by municipal bonds, the use of leverage was the largest single contributor to performance versus the Index which does not employ leverage for all three Funds.

Management hedges to various degrees against the greater potential risk of volatility caused by the use of leverage and investing in bonds at the long end of the yield curve, by using Treasury futures and/or interest-rate swaps. As a risk management tactic within the Funds overall strategy, interest rate hedging is intended to moderate performance on both the upside and the downside of the market. During this period of strong performance by municipal bonds, the Funds Treasury futures hedge mitigated some of the upside and thus detracted modestly from the Funds performance relative to the unhedged Index.

Fund-specific Results

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund shares at NAV returned 21.00%, outperforming the 12.88% return of the Index. The main contributors to performance versus the Index included leverage, as mentioned earlier, and an overweight in zero coupon bonds, which were the best-performing coupon structure during the period. In addition, relative performance was aided by a positive credit development regarding the Fund s position in

Ambac-insured bonds issued by the Las Vegas Monorail Company, an issuer that filed for bankruptcy protection in 2010. The chief detractors from performance relative to the Index were the Fund s hedging strategy, an underweight and security selection in BBB-rated bonds, and an underweight and security selection in bonds with maturities of 30 years or more.

Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund shares at NAV returned 18.96%, surpassing the 12.88% return of the Index. In addition to leverage, contributors to results versus the Index included security selection in Puerto Rico bonds and an overweight in zero coupon bonds. Detractors from performance relative to the Index included the Fund shedging strategy, as well as security selection and an underweight in the health care and transportation sectors.

Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund shares at NAV returned 16.72%, outperforming the 12.88% return of the Index. Significant contributors to performance versus the Index included leverage and an overweight and security selection in the education sector. Key detractors from performance versus the Index included the Fund shedging strategy, security selection in bonds rated BBB and below, and security selection in zero coupon bonds.

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to eatonvance.com.

Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2014

Performance^{2,3}

Portfolio Manager Cynthia J. Clemson

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Ten Years
Fund at NAV	08/30/2002	21.00%	8.15%	6.38%
Fund at Market Price		15.44	5.87	5.84
Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		12.88%	5.97%	5.42%
% Premium/Discount to NAV ⁴				
				10.19%

Distributions ⁵	
Total Distributions per share for the period	\$ 0.766
Distribution Rate at NAV	5.49%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV	9.70%
Distribution Rate at Market Price	6.12%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price	10.81%

% Total Leverage ⁶	
Residual Interest Bond (RIB) Financing	38.82%

Fund Profile

Credit Quality (% of total investments)^{7, 8}

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to eatonvance.com.

California Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2014

Performance^{2,3}

Portfolio Manager Craig R. Brandon, CFA

% Average Annual Total Deturns

% Average Annual Total Returns	inception Date	One Year	rive years	1 en	Years
Fund at NAV	08/30/2002	18.96%	6.58%		5.44%
Fund at Market Price		16.62	3.84		4.49
Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		12.88%	5.97%		5.42%
% Premium/Discount to NAV4					
					12.02%
Distributions ⁵					
Total Distributions per share for the period				\$	0.657
Distribution Rate at NAV					5.30%

Incontion Data

One Veer

Five Voore

Ton Voore

10.80%

6.03%

12.29%

% Total Leverage⁶

RIB Financing 39.93%

Fund Profile

Credit Quality (% of total investments)^{7,8}

Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV

Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price

Distribution Rate at Market Price

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund

performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to eatonvance.com.

New York Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2014

Performance^{2,3}

Portfolio Manager Craig R. Brandon, CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns Fund at NAV Fund at Market Price Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index	Inception Date 08/30/2002	One Year 16.72% 13.16 12.88%	Five Years 6.62% 3.17 5.97%	Ten Years 5.60% 4.63 5.42%
% Premium/Discount to NAV ⁴				12.18%
Distributions ⁵ Total Distributions per share for the period Distribution Rate at NAV Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV Distribution Rate at Market Price Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price				\$ 0.690 5.11% 9.90% 5.82% 11.28%
% Total Leverage ⁶ RIB Financing Fund Profile				39.32%

Credit Quality (% of total investments)^{7, 8}

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to eatonvance.com.

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2014

Endnotes and Additional Disclosures

- The views expressed in this report are those of the portfolio manager(s) and are current only through the date stated at the top of this page. These views are subject to change at any time based upon market or other conditions, and Eaton Vance and the Fund(s) disclaim any responsibility to update such views. These views may not be relied upon as investment advice and, because investment decisions are based on many factors, may not be relied upon as an indication of trading intent on behalf of any Eaton Vance fund. This commentary may contain statements that are not historical facts, referred to as forward looking statements. The Fund s actual future results may differ significantly from those stated in any forward looking statement, depending on factors such as changes in securities or financial markets or general economic conditions, the volume of sales and purchases of Fund shares, the continuation of investment advisory, administrative and service contracts, and other risks discussed from time to time in the Fund s filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.
- ² Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index is an unmanaged index of municipal bonds traded in the U.S. with maturities of 22 years or more. Unless otherwise stated, index returns do not reflect the effect of any applicable sales charges, commissions, expenses, taxes or leverage, as applicable. It is not possible to invest directly in an index.
- ³ Performance results reflect the effects of leverage. Performance since inception for an index, if presented, is the performance since the Fund s or oldest share class inception, as applicable.
- ⁴ The shares of the Fund often trade at a discount or premium from their net asset value. The discount or premium of the Fund may vary over time and may be higher or lower than what is quoted in this report. For up-to-date premium/discount information, please refer to http://eatonvance.com/closedend.
- ⁵ The Distribution Rate is based on the Fund s last regular distribution per share in the period (annualized) divided by the Fund s NAV or market price at the end of the period. The Fund s distributions may be comprised of amounts characterized for federal income tax purposes as tax-exempt income, qualified and non-qualified ordinary dividends, capital gains and nondividend distributions, also known as return of capital. The Fund will determine the federal income tax character of distributions paid to a shareholder after the end of the calendar year. This is reported on the IRS form 1099-DIV and provided to the shareholder shortly after each year-end. For information about the tax character of distributions made in prior calendar years, please refer to Performance-Tax Character of Distributions on the Fund s webpage available at www.eatonvance.com. The Fund s distributions are determined by the investment adviser based on its current assessment of the Fund s long-term return potential. As portfolio and market conditions change, the rate of distributions paid by the Fund could change. Taxable-equivalent performance is based on the highest combined federal and state income tax rates, where applicable. Lower tax rates would result in lower tax-equivalent performance. Actual tax rates will vary depending on your income, exemptions and deductions. Rates do not include local taxes.
- ⁶ Fund employs RIB financing. The leverage created by RIB investments provides an opportunity for increased income but, at the same time, creates special risks (including the likelihood of greater price volatility). The cost of leverage rises and falls with changes in short-term interest rates. See Floating Rate Notes Issued in Conjunction with Securities Held in the notes to the financial statements for more information about RIB financing. RIB leverage represents the amount of Floating Rate Notes outstanding at period end as a percentage of Fund net assets plus Floating Rate Notes.
- Ratings are based on Moody s, S&P or Fitch, as applicable. If securities are rated differently by the ratings agencies, the higher rating is applied. Ratings, which are subject to change, apply to the creditworthiness of the issuers of the underlying securities and not to the Fund or its shares. Credit ratings measure the quality of a bond based on the issuer s creditworthiness, with ratings ranging from AAA, being the highest, to D, being the lowest based on S&P s measures. Ratings of BBB or higher by S&P or Fitch (Baa or higher by Moody s) are considered to be investment-grade quality. Credit ratings are based largely on the ratings agency s analysis at the time of rating. The rating assigned to any particular security is not necessarily a reflection of the issuer s current financial condition and does not necessarily reflect its assessment of the volatility of a security s market value or of the liquidity of an investment in the security. Holdings designated as Not Rated are not rated by the national ratings agencies stated above.

⁸ The chart includes the municipal bonds held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds, consistent with the Portfolio of Investments.

Fund profile subject to change due to active management.

Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2014

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Municipal Securities 161.8%

Security	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Education 15.5% California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of Southern California), 5.25%, 10/1/38 ⁽¹⁾ Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Wesleyan University), 5.00%, 7/1/39 ⁽¹⁾ Houston Higher Education Finance Corp., TX, (St. John s School), 5.25%, 9/1/33 Houston Higher Education Finance Corp., TX, (William Marsh Rice University), 5.00%, 5/15/35 ⁽¹⁾ Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Boston College), 5.50%, 6/1/27 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Boston College), 5.50%, 6/1/30 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvard University), 5.00%, 10/1/38 ⁽¹⁾ Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvard University), 5.50%, 11/15/36 New York Dormitory Authority, (Rockefeller University), 5.00%, 7/1/40 ⁽¹⁾ North Carolina Capital Facilities Finance Agency, (Duke University), 5.00%, 10/1/38 ⁽¹⁾ University of Colorado, (University Enterprise Revenue), 5.25%, 6/1/36 ⁽¹⁾ University of Massachusetts Building Authority, 5.00%, 11/1/39 ⁽¹⁾ University of North Carolina at Charlotte, 5.00%, 4/1/32	\$ 14,700 3,985 15,000 5,810 8,325 2,000 8,790 15,300 13,500 4,450 10,000 14,175 2,090		11,132,647 16,690,968 4,392,586 17,098,200 7,472,125 10,687,552 2,236,380 10,286,322 17,371,008 15,425,100 5,159,820 11,399,100 15,952,970 2,372,714
Electric Utilities 4.3% Energy Northwest, WA, (Columbia Generating Station), 5.00%, 7/1/40 JEA St. Johns River Power Park System, FL, 4.00%, 10/1/32 ⁽¹⁾ Pima County, AZ, Industrial Development Authority, (Tucson Electric Power Co.), 5.25%, 10/1/40 South Carolina Public Service Authority, (Santee Cooper), 5.50%, 1/1/38 Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, KS, Board of Public Utilities, 5.00%, 9/1/36 Utility Debt Securitization Authority, NY, 5.00%, 12/15/35	\$ 10,000 10,000 7,110 3,425 4,500	\$ \$	2,655,867 10,211,500 10,840,400 8,114,785 3,732,702 5,233,005 40,788,259
Escrowed / Prerefunded 0.6% Tennessee School Bond Authority, Prerefunded to 5/1/18, 5.50%, 5/1/38	\$,	\$ \$	5,832,700 5,832,700
Security	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value

General Obligations 13.7% Bloomfield Hills Schools, MI, 4.00%, 5/1/37 California, 5.00%, 12/1/30 California, 5.00%, 10/1/33 ⁽²⁾ Chicago Park District, IL, (Harbor Facilities), 5.25%, 1/1/37 ⁽¹⁾ Clark County, NV, 5.00%, 7/1/33 Delaware Valley, PA, Regional Finance Authority, 5.75%, 7/1/32 Klein, TX, Independent School District, (PSF Guaranteed), 5.00%, 2/1/36 ⁽¹⁾ Mississippi, 5.00%, 10/1/36 ⁽¹⁾ Mississippi, 5.00%, 10/1/36 ⁽¹⁾ New York, NY, 5.00%, 10/1/32 Northside Independent School District, TX, (PSF Guaranteed), 5.00%, 6/15/35 Northside Independent School District, TX, (PSF Guaranteed), 5.00%, 6/15/35 ⁽¹⁾ Oregon, 5.00%, 8/1/35 ⁽¹⁾ Oregon, 5.00%, 8/1/36 Port of Houston Authority of Harris County, TX, 5.00%, 10/1/35 Washington, 4.00%, 7/1/28 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 655 7,390 18,815 8,320 4,500 3,000 2,000 10,000 12,075 10,000 180 12,250 6,750 2,000 7,500 10,000	\$ 688,772 8,602,256 21,825,588 9,055,322 5,060,610 3,660,390 2,261,820 11,670,300 13,775,039 11,394,500 191,567 13,037,185 7,611,907 2,248,780 8,638,350 10,780,100 \$ 130,502,486
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), 5.25%, 3/1/27 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), 5.25%, 3/1/28 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Cedars-Sinai Medical Center), 5.00%, 8/15/39 Camden County, NJ, Improvement Authority, (Cooper Health System), 5.00%, 2/15/35 Camden County, NJ, Improvement Authority, (Cooper Health System), 5.25%, 2/15/27 Camden County, NJ, Improvement Authority, (Cooper Health System), 5.75%, 2/15/34 Hawaii Department of Budget and Finance, (Hawaii Pacific Health), 5.50%, 7/1/38 Highlands County, FL, Health Facilities Authority, (Adventist Health System), 5.25%, 11/15/36 Knox County, TN, Health, Educational and Housing Facilities Board, (Covenant Health), 0.00%, 1/1/38 Knox County, TN, Health, Educational and Housing Facilities Board, (Covenant Health), 0.00%, 1/1/41 Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (Henry Ford Health System), 5.25%, 11/15/46	\$ 1,000 1,770 11,570 2,520 860 4,535 2,790 7,190 5,040 10,000 5,355	\$ 1,127,980 1,984,471 12,609,102 2,543,487 870,604 4,546,020 3,173,569 7,721,125 1,445,522 2,441,300 5,498,621

7

Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2014

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000)	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Hospital (continued) New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Robert Wood Johnson University Hospital), 5.25%, 7/1/35 Ohio Higher Educational Facility Commission, (Cleveland Clinic Health System), 5.00%, 1/1/32 Tarrant County, TX, Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corp., (Scott & White Healthcare), 5.25%, 8/15/40 West Virginia Hospital Finance Authority, (West Virginia United Health System Obligated Group), 5.375%, 6/1/38 Wisconsin Health & Educational Facilities Authority, (Ascension Health Alliance Senior Credit Group), 5.00%, 11/15/41(1)	\$	4,385 10,950 6,105 7,605 11,500	\$ 4,990,919 12,363,645 6,593,583 8,554,028 12,727,970
			\$ 89,191,946
Industrial Development Revenue 0.5% Maricopa County Pollution Control Corp., AZ, (El Paso Electric Co.), 4.50%, 8/1/42	\$	4,245	\$ 4,350,446
			\$ 4,350,446
Insured Education 3.0% Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (College of the Holy Cross), (AMBAC), 5.25%, 9/1/32 Miami-Dade County, FL, Educational Facilities Authority, (University of Miami), (AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.00%, 4/1/31	\$	15,900 7,865	\$ 19,982,166 8,521,570
			\$ 28,503,736
Insured Electric Utilities 3.9% American Municipal Power-Ohio, Inc., OH, (Prairie State Energy Campus), (AGC), 5.75%, 2/15/39 Louisiana Energy and Power Authority, (AGM), 5.25%, 6/1/38 Mississippi Development Bank, (Municipal Energy), (XLCA), 5.00%, 3/1/41 Paducah, KY, Electric Plant Board, (AGC), 5.25%, 10/1/35 South Carolina Public Service Authority, (Santee Cooper), (BHAC), 5.50%, 1/1/38	\$	5,000 4,905 13,895 2,735 7,840	\$ 5,483,300 5,535,979 14,175,957 3,087,350 8,982,445
			\$ 37,265,031
Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded 2.1% Centre County, PA, Hospital Authority, (Mount Nittany Medical Center), (AGC), Prerefunded to 11/15/14, 6.125%, 11/15/39 Centre County, PA, Hospital Authority, (Mount Nittany Medical Center), (AGC), Prerefunded to 11/15/14, 6.25%, 11/15/44	\$	3,950 1,050 Principal Amount	\$ 3,979,625 1,058,033
Security	(000	s omitted)	Value

Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded (continued) New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (School Facilities Construction), (AGC), Prerefunded to 12/15/18, 5.50%, 12/15/34 Schaumburg, IL, (BHAC), (FGIC), Prerefunded to 12/1/14, 5.00%, 12/1/38 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 1,875 12,750	\$ 2,224,781 12,855,437
		\$ 20,117,876
Insured General Obligations 10.1% Chicago Park District, IL., (Limited Tax Park), (BAM), 5.00%, 1/1/39 Chicago Park District, IL., (Limited Tax Park), (BAM), 5.00%, 1/1/39(1) Cincinnati, OH, City School District, (AGM), (FGIC), 5.25%, 12/1/30 Clark County, NV, (AMBAC), 2.50%, 11/1/36 Frisco, TX, Independent School District, (AGM), (PSF Guaranteed), 2.75%, 8/15/39 Kane, Cook and DuPage Counties, IL, School District No. 46, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 1/1/22 King County, WA, Public Hospital District No. 1, (AGC), 5.00%, 12/1/37(1) Palm Springs, CA, Unified School District, (AGC), 5.00%, 8/1/32 Port Arthur, TX, Independent School District, (AGC), 4.75%, 2/15/38(1) Yuma and La Paz Counties, AZ, Community College District, (Arizona Western College), (NPFG), 3.75%, 7/1/31	\$ 35 13,600 3,750 11,845 9,530 29,750 7,000 8,955 10,950 4,275	\$ 38,492 14,957,008 4,727,963 9,412,155 8,774,938 24,596,705 7,342,300 10,191,417 11,966,270 4,315,698
Insured Hospital 15.3% Arizona Health Facilities Authority, (Banner Health), (BHAC), 5.375%, 1/1/32 California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Sutter Health), (AGM), 5.05%, 8/15/38 ⁽¹⁾ Colorado Health Facilities Authority, (Catholic Health), (AGM), 5.10%, 10/1/41 ⁽¹⁾ Highlands County, FL, Health Facilities Authority, (Adventist Health System), (BHAC), 5.25%, 11/15/36 ⁽¹⁾ Highlands County, FL, Health Facilities Authority, (Adventist Health System), (NPFG), 5.00%, 11/15/35 Illinois Finance Authority, (Children s Memorial Hospital), (AGC), 5.25%, 8/15/47) Indiana Health and Educational Facility Finance Authority, (Sisters of St. Francis Health Services), (AGM), 5.25%, 5/15/41 ⁽¹⁾ Iowa Finance Authority, Health Facilities, (Iowa Health System), (AGC), 5.625%, 8/15/37	\$ 8,250 11,000 11,500 15,500 3,795 15,000 2,500 2,625	\$ 96,322,946 \$ 8,987,550 11,758,450 12,195,405 16,685,595 3,873,329 16,061,400 2,666,575 3,013,579

8

Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2014

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Insured Hospital (continued) Maricopa County, AZ, Industrial Development Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), (BHAC), 5.25%, 7/1/32 Maryland Health and Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (LifeBridge Health), (AGC), 4.75%, 7/1/47(1) New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Meridian Health System), Series V, (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/38(1) New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Virtua Health), (AGC), 5.50%, 7/1/38 Washington Health Care Facilities Authority, (MultiCare Health System), (AGC), 6.00%, 8/15/39 Washington Health Care Facilities Authority, (Providence Health Care), Series C, (AGM), 5.25%, 10/1/33(1) Washington Health Care Facilities Authority, (Providence Health Care), Series D, (AGM), 5.25%, 10/1/33(1)	\$	1,675 19,150 3,100 13,115 5,795 8,700 12,605	\$	1,835,398 19,413,121 3,298,524 14,571,945 6,661,410 9,816,117 14,234,186
Insured Industrial Development Revenue 1.1% Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, (Aqua Pennsylvania, Inc.), (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/39(1)	\$	9,000		10,264,320
Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 4.5% New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (School Facilities Construction), (AGC), 5.50%, 12/15/34 San Diego County, CA, Water Authority, Certificates of Participation, (AGM), 5.00%, 5/1/38 ⁽¹⁾ Tri-Creek Middle School Building Corp., IN, (AGM), 5.25%, 1/15/34 ⁽¹⁾	\$	1,035 24,000 13,000	\$	1,177,312 26,763,360 14,277,640
Insured Other Revenue 1.5%		13,000	\$	42,218,312
Harris County-Houston Sports Authority, TX, (NPFG), 0.00%, 11/15/34 New York, NY, Industrial Development Agency, (Yankee Stadium), (AGC), 7.00%, 3/1/49	\$	16,795 6,750	\$ \$	6,031,756 8,242,290 14,274,046
Insured Solid Waste 0.5% Palm Beach County, FL, Solid Waste Authority, (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/24 Palm Beach County, FL, Solid Waste Authority, (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/26	\$	2,760 1,575	\$	3,191,333 1,808,116
Security		Principal Amount	\$	4,999,449 Value

(000 s omitted)

Insured Special Tax Revenue 6.1% Alabama Public School and College Authority, (AGM), 2.50%, 12/1/27 Houston, TX, Hotel Occupancy Tax, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 9/1/24 Miami-Dade County, FL, Professional Sports Franchise Facilities, (AGC), 7.00%, (0.00% until 10/1/19), 10/1/39 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45 Utah Transportation Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, (AGM), 4.75%, 6/15/32 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 15,975 18,035 15,000 28,945 10,800	\$ 15,260,917 12,378,683 14,442,300 4,050,853 11,925,245 \$ 58,057,998
Insured Student Loan 0.8% Maine Educational Loan Authority, (AGC), 5.625%, 12/1/27	\$ 6,335	\$ 7,087,281
	·	\$ 7,087,281
Insured Transportation 22.2%		
Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 4.75%, 1/1/34)	\$ 21,640	\$ 22,339,187
Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 5.00%, 1/1/28	2,500	2,836,600
Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 5.00%, 1/1/29	1,000	1,126,890
Chicago, IL, (O. Hare International Airport), (AGM), 5.125%, 1/1/30	1,800 1,570	2,037,618 1,768,197
Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 5.125%, 1/1/31 Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 5.25%, 1/1/32	1,015	1,148,696
Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 5.25%, 1/1/32 Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 5.25%, 1/1/33	1,013	1,297,947
Clark County, NV, (Las Vegas-McCarran International Airport), (AGM), 5.25%, 7/1/39	8,080	9,027,865
Director of the State of Nevada Department of Business and Industry, (Las Vegas Monorail), (AMBAC), 0.00%, 1/1/23 ⁽³⁾	10,070	3,684,613
Director of the State of Nevada Department of Business and Industry, (Las Vegas Monorail), (AMBAC), 0.00%, 1/1/28 ⁽³⁾	3,100	841,960
Director of the State of Nevada Department of Business and Industry, (Las Vegas Monorail), (AMBAC), 5.375%, 1/1/40 ⁽⁴⁾	15,000	9,753,000
E-470 Public Highway Authority, CO, (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/21	10,200	8,555,658
E-470 Public Highway Authority, CO, (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/39	25,000	7,296,250
Harris County, TX, Toll Road, Senior Lien, (BHAC), (NPFG), 5.00%, 8/15/33 ⁽¹⁾	7,800	8,573,448
Manchester, NH, (Manchester-Boston Regional Airport), (AGM), 5.125%, 1/1/30	6,710	7,209,761

Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2014

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Insured Transportation (continued) Maryland Transportation Authority, (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/35 ⁽¹⁾ Maryland Transportation Authority, (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/36 ⁽¹⁾ Metropolitan Washington, D.C., Airports Authority, (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/29 New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, (AGC), 5.50%, 12/15/38 North Carolina Turnpike Authority, (Triangle Expressway System), (AGC), 5.50%, 1/1/29 North Carolina Turnpike Authority, (Triangle Expressway System), (AGC), 5.75%, 1/1/39 North Texas Tollway Authority, (BHAC), 5.75%, 1/1/48 ⁽¹⁾ Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, (AGM), 5.00%, 8/15/26 ⁽¹⁾ Port Palm Beach District, FL, (XLCA), 0.00%, 9/1/24 Port Palm Beach District, FL, (XLCA), 0.00%, 9/1/25 Port Palm Beach District, FL, (XLCA), 0.00%, 9/1/26 San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Agency, CA, (Toll Road Bonds), (NPFG), 0.00%, 1/15/25	\$	20,995 14,000 1,785 11,700 1,015 1,160 20,000 10,000 1,605 1,950 1,000 26,215	\$	23,393,662 15,599,500 2,041,558 13,466,817 1,154,004 1,316,925 22,616,600 11,098,500 968,313 1,112,046 538,560 16,301,273
Texas Turnpike Authority, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/15/20		15,845	¢ ,	14,048,018 211,153,466
Insured Water and Sewer 16.1% Austin, TX, Water and Wastewater, (AGM), (BHAC), 5.00%, 11/15/33 ⁽¹⁾ Bossier City, LA, Utilities Revenue, (BHAC), Prerefunded to 10/1/18, 5.25%, 10/1/26 Bossier City, LA, Utilities Revenue, (BHAC), Prerefunded to 10/1/18, 5.25%, 10/1/27 Bossier City, LA, Utilities Revenue, (BHAC), Prerefunded to 10/1/18, 5.50%, 10/1/38 Chicago, IL, Wastewater Transmission Revenue, (BHAC), 5.50%, 1/1/38 Chicago, IL, Wastewater Transmission Revenue, (NPFG), 0.00%, 1/1/23 DeKalb County, GA, Water and Sewer, (AGM), 5.25%, 10/1/32 ⁽¹⁾ Detroit, MI, Water Supply System, (NPFG), 5.00%, 7/1/34 District of Columbia Water and Sewer Authority, (AGC), 5.00%, 10/1/34 ⁽¹⁾ Houston, TX, Utility System, (AGM), (BHAC), 5.00%, 11/15/33 ⁽¹⁾ Massachusetts Water Resources Authority, (AGM), 5.25%, 8/1/38 Massachusetts Water Resources Authority, (AGM), 5.25%, 8/1/38 Massachusetts Water Resources Authority, (AMBAC), (BHAC), 4.00%, 8/1/40 ⁽⁵⁾	\$	2,000 3,185 1,985 3,170 2,060 13,670 10,000 2,505 8,500 27,570 5,540 1,070 9,095 Principal Amount s omitted)	\$	2,154,240 3,725,717 2,321,993 3,739,237 2,284,870 10,648,246 11,969,100 2,504,825 9,441,630 30,571,796 7,061,062 1,367,931 9,204,777
Security	(000	s omittea)		value
Insured Water and Sewer (continued) Michigan Finance Authority, (Detroit Water and Sewerage Department), (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/32 Michigan Finance Authority, (Detroit Water and Sewerage Department), (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/33 Michigan Finance Authority, (Detroit Water and Sewerage Department), (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/35 Michigan Finance Authority, (Detroit Water and Sewerage Department), (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/37 New York, NY, Municipal Water Finance Authority, (BHAC), 5.75%, 6/15/40 ⁽¹⁾	\$	2,615 2,240 2,730 2,240 9,500	\$	2,860,784 2,441,018 2,958,829 2,412,726 10,906,190

San Luis Obispo County, CA, (Nacimiento Water Project), (NPFG), 4.50%, 9/1/40 Seattle, WA, Drain and Wastewater Revenue, (AGM), 5.00%, 6/1/38 ⁽¹⁾	3,535 27,670	3,709,664 30,730,568
		\$ 153,015,203
Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 1.5% Hudson Yards Infrastructure Corp., NY, 5.75%, 2/15/47 North Carolina, Capital Improvement Limited Obligation Bonds, 5.00%, 5/1/30 North Carolina, Capital Improvement Limited Obligation Bonds, 5.00%, 5/1/30 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 1,980 335 10,000	\$ 2,287,831 380,871 11,369,300
		\$ 14,038,002
Other Revenue 2.6% New York, NY, Transitional Finance Authority, Building Aid Revenue, 5.00%, 7/15/36 ⁽¹⁾ Oregon Department of Administrative Services, Lottery Revenue, 5.25%, 4/1/30 Texas Municipal Gas Acquisition and Supply Corp. III, Gas Supply Revenue, 5.00%, 12/15/30	\$ 10,750 9,200 1,700	\$ 12,107,403 10,833,552 1,842,596
		\$ 24,783,551
Senior Living / Life Care 0.1% Maryland Health and Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (Charlestown Community, Inc.), 6.125%, 1/1/30	\$ 1,175	\$ 1,309,773
		\$ 1,309,773
Special Tax Revenue 7.9% Connecticut, Special Tax Obligation, (Transportation Infrastructure), 5.00%, 1/1/31 ⁽¹⁾ New York City Transitional Finance Authority, Future Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 2/1/37 ⁽¹⁾ New York Dormitory Authority, Personal Income Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 6/15/31	\$ 20,000 20,000 10,000	\$ 23,085,800 22,566,600 11,614,900

10

Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2014

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount 3 s omitted)		Value
Special Tax Revenue (continued) New York Dormitory Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 3/15/34 New York Dormitory Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 3/15/35 ⁽⁵⁾	\$	3,285 12,040	\$	3,747,528 13,744,864
			\$	74,759,692
Transportation 10.6% Dallas and Fort Worth, TX, (Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport), 5.25%, 11/1/30 Dallas and Fort Worth, TX, (Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport), 5.25%, 11/1/31 Delaware River Port Authority of Pennsylvania and New Jersey, 5.00%, 1/1/35 Los Angeles, CA, Department of Airports, (Los Angeles International Airport), 5.25%, 5/15/28 Metropolitan Transportation Authority, NY, 5.25%, 11/15/32 Metropolitan Transportation Authority, NY, 5.25%, 11/15/38 Metropolitan Transportation Authority, NY, 5.25%, 11/15/40 Miami-Dade County, FL, (Miami International Airport), 5.00%, 10/1/41 New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, (Transportation System), 5.00%, 12/15/24 Orlando-Orange County Expressway Authority, FL, 5.00%, 7/1/35 Orlando-Orange County Expressway Authority, FL, 5.00%, 7/1/40 Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, 6.00%, (0.00% until 12/1/15), 12/1/34 Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, 5.00%, 7/1/39 Triborough Bridge and Tunnel Authority, NY, 5.00%, 11/15/33	\$	3,205 4,950 8,275 3,285 4,380 4,640 4,735 10,825 10,000 2,915 2,590 5,000 14,360 5,000 5,000	\$	3,740,011 5,750,811 9,110,196 3,861,419 5,144,397 5,239,998 5,258,312 11,718,604 11,582,700 3,216,761 2,838,044 5,319,700 16,628,162 5,736,250 5,645,000
Water and Sewer 7.9% California Department of Water Resources, (Central Valley Project), 5.25%, 12/1/35 ⁽¹⁾ Charleston, SC, Waterworks and Sewer Revenue, 5.00%, 1/1/35 Chicago, IL, Water Revenue, 5.00%, 11/1/42 Detroit, MI, Sewage Disposal System, 5.00%, 7/1/32 Detroit, MI, Sewage Disposal System, 5.25%, 7/1/39 Detroit, MI, Water Supply System, 5.25%, 7/1/41 Honolulu, HI, City and County Wastewater System, 5.25%, 7/1/36 ⁽¹⁾ Security		10,000 2,735 5,000 1,070 1,965 2,910 9,750 Principal Amount s omitted)	\$	11,884,900 3,063,665 5,423,250 1,141,027 2,100,860 3,083,465 11,071,905
Water and Sewer (continued) King County, WA, Sewer Revenue, 5.00%, 1/1/34 ⁽¹⁾ Marco Island, FL, Utility System, 5.00%, 10/1/34	\$	10,000 \$ 1,445	S	11,276,100 1,634,107

Marco Island, FL, Utility System, 5.00%, 10/1/40	6,325	7,108,667
New York, NY, Municipal Water Finance Authority, 5.00%, 6/15/31	10,000	11,429,700
Portland, OR, Water System, 5.00%, 5/1/36	5,385	6,005,460

\$ 75,223,106

Total Tax-Exempt Municipal Securities 161.8% (identified cost \$1,405,966,743)

\$ 1,537,600,066

Corporate Bonds & Notes 0.0%)

Security	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Transportation 0.0%) Las Vegas Monorail Co., Jr. Subordinated Notes, 3.00% to 12/31/15, 5.50%, 7/15/55 ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁷⁾⁽⁸⁾ Las Vegas Monorail Co., Sr. Secured Notes, 5.50%, 7/15/19 ⁽⁷⁾⁽⁸⁾	\$ 125 457	\$	16,704 82,247
Total Corporate Bonds & Notes 0.0% (identified cost \$40,650)		\$	98,951
Total Investments 161.8% (identified cost \$1,406,007,393)		\$ 1	1,537,699,017
Other Assets, Less Liabilities (61.8)%		\$	(587,180,251)
Net Assets 100.0%		\$	950,518,766

11

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets.

AGC Assured Guaranty Corp.

AGM Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.

AMBAC AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

BAM Build America Mutual Assurance Co.

BHAC Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.

FGIC Financial Guaranty Insurance Company

NPFG National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

PSF Permanent School Fund XLCA XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2014

Portfolio of Investments continued

At September 30, 2014, the concentration of the Fund s investments in the various states, determined as a percentage of total investments, is as follows:

New York 12.1% Texas 11.7% 76.2%

Others, representing less than 10% individually

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at September 30, 2014, 53.9% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution or financial guaranty assurance agency ranged from 1.0% to 21.5% of total investments.

- (1) Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).
- (2) When-issued security.
- (3) Defaulted security. Issuer has defaulted on the payment of interest or has filed for bankruptcy.
- (4) Security is in default and making only partial interest payments.
- (5) Security (or a portion thereof) has been segregated to cover payable for when-issued securities.
- (6) Amount is less than 0.05%.
- (7) Represents a payment-in-kind security which may pay interest in additional principal at the issuer s discretion.
- (8) For fair value measurement disclosure purposes, security is categorized as Level 3 (see Note 8).

California Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2014

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 163.9%

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Education 15.2% California Educational Facilities Authority, (California Institute of Technology), 5.00%, 11/1/39 ⁽¹⁾ California Educational Facilities Authority, (Claremont McKenna College), 5.00%, 1/1/27 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvey Mudd College), 5.25%, 12/1/31 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvey Mudd College), 5.25%, 12/1/36 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Loyola Marymount University), 5.00%, 10/1/30 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Santa Clara University), 5.00%, 2/1/29 California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of San Francisco, 6.125%, 10/1/36 California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of Southern California), 5.25%, 10/1/39 California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of the Pacific), 5.00%, 11/1/30 California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.00%, 10/1/35 California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/26 California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/27 California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/28	\$	10,000 2,680 550 940 1,375 3,630 650 6,200 1,790 1,175 800 2,270 2,395 2,520	\$ 11,604,600 2,999,778 630,993 1,063,507 1,534,610 4,037,431 788,457 7,053,368 1,998,195 1,300,149 875,776 2,589,275 2,721,750 2,846,012
			\$ 42,043,901
Electric Utilities 1.8% Southern California Public Power Authority, (Tieton Hydropower), 5.00%, 7/1/35 Vernon, Electric System Revenue, 5.125%, 8/1/21	\$	1,890 2,375	\$ 2,154,467 2,685,199 \$ 4,839,666
General Obligations 31.5% Burbank Unified School District, (Election of 2013), 4.00%, 8/1/31 ⁽¹⁾ California, 5.00%, 10/1/31 ⁽²⁾ California, 5.50%, 11/1/35 Contra Costa Community College District, (Election of 2006), 5.00%, 8/1/38 Contra Costa Community College District, (Election of 2006), 5.00%, 8/1/38 ⁽¹⁾	\$	6,900 7,550 4,600 20 9,750 Principal Amount	\$ 7,324,419 8,850,110 5,367,464 22,710 11,071,027
Security	(000	s omitted)	Value
General Obligations (continued) Foothill-De Anza Community College District, 5.00%, 8/1/36 ⁽¹⁾	\$	10,000	\$ 11,217,600

Palo Alto, (Election of 2008), 5.00%, 8/1/40 ⁽¹⁾	7,020	7,838,743
San Bernardino Community College District, 4.00%, 8/1/27 ⁽¹⁾	5,775	6,261,024
San Diego Community College District, (Election of 2002), 5.00%, 8/1/32	1,375	1,568,394
San Diego Community College District, (Election of 2006), 5.00%, 8/1/31	2,545	2,912,676
San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District, (Election of 2004), 5.00%, 8/1/35	5,000	5,566,500
San Jose-Evergreen Community College District, (Election of 2010), 5.00%, 8/1/33	1,910	2,179,940
San Jose-Evergreen Community College District, (Election of 2010), 5.00%, 8/1/35	2,230	2,525,163
San Jose-Evergreen Community College District, (Election of 2010), 5.00%, 8/1/37 ⁽¹⁾	4,975	5,596,626
Torrance Unified School District, (Election of 2008), 5.00%, 8/1/35 ⁽³⁾	7,500	8,509,950

Hospital 14.5%

1103ptat 14.5 /c		
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), 5.25%, 7/1/23	\$ 2,000	\$ 2,004,580
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), 5.25%, 3/1/27	1,750	1,973,965
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), 5.25%, 3/1/28	550	616,644
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Cedars-Sinai Medical Center), 5.00%, 8/15/39	4,505	4,909,594
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (City of Hope), 5.00%, 11/15/32	1,795	2,017,670
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (City of Hope), 5.00%, 11/15/35	2,565	2,865,695
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (St. Joseph Health System), 5.00%, 7/1/33	4,480	5,096,627
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (St. Joseph Health System), 5.00%, 7/1/37	2,100	2,361,723
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Sutter Health), 5.25%, 8/15/31(1)	5,000	5,712,400
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (John Muir Health), 5.00%, 8/15/34	2,170	2,301,198
Torrance, (Torrance Memorial Medical Center), 5.50%, 6/1/31	3,950	3,959,164
Washington Township Health Care District, 5.00%, 7/1/32	3,165	3,254,854
Washington Township Health Care District, 5.25%, 7/1/29	3,005	3,013,264

13

\$40,087,378

\$ 86,812,346

California Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2014

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Insured Education 7.0% California State University, (AGM), (BHAC), 5.00%, 11/1/39 ⁽¹⁾ University of California, (AGM), 4.50%, 5/15/26 ⁽¹⁾ University of California, (AGM), 4.50%, 5/15/28 ⁽¹⁾	\$	8,250 3,095 6,690	\$ 9,218,220 3,204,470 6,897,457
			\$ 19,320,147
Insured Electric Utilities 15.7% Anaheim Public Financing Authority, (Electric System District), (BHAC), (NPFG), 4.50%, 10/1/32 ⁽¹⁾ Glendale, Electric System Revenue, (AGC), 5.00%, 2/1/31 Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, Electric System Revenue, (AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.00%, 7/1/26 ⁽¹⁾ Northern California Power Agency, (Hydroelectric), (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/24 Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/34 Sacramento Municipal Utility District, (AGM), 5.00%, 8/15/27 Sacramento Municipal Utility District, (AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.25%, 7/1/24	\$	20,000 2,240 6,750 2,000 3,840 1,000 4,000	\$ 21,430,197 2,457,011 7,481,430 2,282,720 3,684,710 1,134,910 4,766,000
			\$ 43,236,978
Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded 2.7% Calleguas Las Virgines Public Financing Authority, (Municipal Water District), (BHAC), (FGIC), Prerefunded to 7/1/16, 4.75%, 7/1/37 ⁽¹⁾	\$	7,000	\$ 7,546,070 \$ 7,546,070
Insured General Obligations 21.8% Antelope Valley Community College District, (Election of 2004), (NPFG), 5.25%, 8/1/39 Burbank Unified School District, (Election of 1997), (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/21 Coast Community College District, (Election of 2002), (AGM), 0.00%, 8/1/34 Palm Springs Unified School District, (Election of 2008), (AGC), 5.00%, 8/1/33 Riverside Community College District, (Election of 2004), (AGM), (NPFG), 5.00%, 8/1/32 San Diego Community College District, (Election of 2006), (AGM), 5.00%, 8/1/32 San Diego Unified School District, (NPFG), 0.00%, 7/1/22 San Diego Unified School District, (NPFG), 0.00%, 7/1/23 San Juan Unified School District, (AGM), 0.00%, 8/1/21 San Mateo County, Community College District, (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/23	\$	4,175 4,135 23,150 4,500 5,705 6,100 2,300 5,000 5,630 4,840 4,365 Principal Amount	\$ 4,637,381 3,552,172 8,250,660 5,121,315 6,288,849 6,731,472 1,887,679 3,931,800 4,794,170 4,051,903 3,494,270
Security	(000	s omitted)	Value

Insured General Obligations (continued) San Mateo County, Community College District, (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/25 San Mateo Union High School District, (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/21	\$ 3,955 5,240	\$ 2,895,337 4,569,018
		\$ 60,206,026
Insured Hospital 6.9% California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Cedars-Sinai Medical Center), (BHAC), 5.00%, 11/15/34 California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Kaiser Permanente), (BHAC), 5.00%, 4/1/31 ⁽¹⁾ California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Kaiser Permanente), (BHAC),	\$ 2,205 10,000	\$ 2,302,858 10,855,300
5.00%, 3/1/41 ⁽¹⁾ California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Sutter Health), (AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.00%, 11/15/38 ⁽¹⁾	3,500 2,000	3,670,170 2,123,340
	_,,,,,	
		\$ 18,951,668
Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 4.0% San Diego County Water Authority, Certificates of Participation, (AGM), 5.00%, 5/1/38(1)	\$ 10,000	\$ 11,151,400
		\$ 11,151,400
Insured Special Tax Revenue 8.8% Hesperia Public Financing Authority, (Redevelopment and Housing Projects), (XLCA), 5.00%, 9/1/31 Hesperia Public Financing Authority, (Redevelopment and Housing Projects), (XLCA), 5.00%, 9/1/37 Pomona Public Financing Authority, (NPFG), 5.00%, 2/1/33 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45	\$ 595 7,240 5,940 15,020	\$ 608,643 7,349,614 5,945,643 2,102,049
Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 4/1/32 ⁽¹⁾	7,500	8,184,150
		\$ 24,190,099
Insured Transportation 2.0% San Joaquin Hills, Transportation Corridor Agency, (NPFG), 0.00%, 1/15/30 San Jose, Airport Revenue, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 3/1/33 San Jose, Airport Revenue, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 3/1/37	\$ 3,445 1,885 2,040	\$ 1,566,648 1,964,019 2,119,234
		\$ 5,649,901
Insured Water and Sewer 7.2% East Bay Municipal Utility District, Water System Revenue, (AGM), (FGIC), 5.00%, 6/1/32 ⁽³⁾	\$ 345	\$ 381,950

14

California Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2014

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Insured Water and Sewer (continued) East Bay Municipal Utility District, Water System Revenue, (NPFG), 5.00%, 6/1/32 ⁽¹⁾ Riverside, Water System Revenue, (AGM), 5.00%, 10/1/38 San Luis Obispo County, (Nacimiento Water Project), (BHAC), (NPFG), 5.00%, 9/1/38 San Luis Obispo County, (Nacimiento Water Project), (NPFG), 4.50%, 9/1/40 Santa Clara Valley Water District, (AGM), 3.75%, 6/1/28	\$	6,500 1,595 5,000 2,750 2,075	\$ 7,196,150 1,799,160 5,499,750 2,885,877 2,111,997
Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 1.0% California Public Works Board, 5.00%, 11/1/38	\$	2,565	\$ 19,874,884 \$ 2,869,594 \$ 2,869,594
Special Tax Revenue 10.1% Jurupa Public Financing Authority, 5.00%, 9/1/30 Jurupa Public Financing Authority, 5.00%, 9/1/32 Riverside County Transportation Commission, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.25%, 6/1/39 ⁽¹⁾ San Bernardino County Transportation Authority, 5.25%, 3/1/40 San Bernardino County Transportation Authority, 5.25%, 3/1/40 ⁽¹⁾ San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transportation District, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 7/1/36 ⁽¹⁾	\$	625 625 6,285 5 10,375 6,250	\$ 708,869 699,369 7,292,168 5,870 12,180,146 7,074,000 \$ 27,960,422
Transportation 8.2% Bay Area Toll Authority, Toll Bridge Revenue, (San Francisco Bay Area), 5.25%, 4/1/29 ⁽¹⁾ Long Beach, Harbor Revenue, 5.00%, 5/15/27 Los Angeles Department of Airports, (Los Angeles International Airport), 5.00%, 5/15/35 ⁽¹⁾ San Francisco City and County Airport Commission, (San Francisco International Airport), 5.00%, 5/1/35 San Jose, Airport Revenue, 5.00%, 3/1/31	\$	6,500 1,960 7,500 2,190 1,750	\$ 7,562,295 2,216,309 8,488,500 2,383,706 1,933,050 \$ 22,583,860
Water and Sewer 5.5% Beverly Hills Public Financing Authority, Water Revenue, 5.00%, 6/1/37 ⁽¹⁾ Security		5,725 rincipal Amount	\$ 6,590,792 Value

(000 s omitted)

Water and Sewer (continued)

Los Angeles, Wastewater System Revenue, 5.00%, 6/1/43⁽¹⁾ \$ 7,500 \$ 8,469,225

\$ 15,060,017

Total Tax-Exempt Investments 163.9%

(identified cost \$414,795,266)

\$ 452,384,357

Other Assets, Less Liabilities (63.9)%

\$ (176,362,839)

Net Assets 100.0% \$ 276,021,518

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets.

AGC Assured Guaranty Corp.

AGM Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.
AMBAC AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.
BHAC Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.
FGIC Financial Guaranty Insurance Company
NPFG National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

XLCA XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by California municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at September 30, 2014, 46.4% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution or financial guaranty assurance agency ranged from 1.8% to 18.9% of total investments.

15

- (1) Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).
- (2) When-issued security.
- (3) Security (or a portion thereof) has been segregated to cover payable for when-issued securities.

New York Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2014

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 165.3%

Security	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Bond Bank 4.7% New York Environmental Facilities Corp., 5.00%, 10/15/39 New York Environmental Facilities Corp., Clean Water and Drinking Water, (Municipal Water Finance), 5.00%, 10/15/35 New York Environmental Facilities Corp., Clean Water and Drinking Water, (Municipal Water Finance), 5.00%, 10/15/35(1)	\$ 3,360 50 6,100	\$ 3,822,571 53,869 6,571,957
		\$ 10,448,397
Education 29.1% Geneva Development Corp., (Hobart and William Smith Colleges), 5.00%, 9/1/30 Geneva Development Corp., (Hobart and William Smith Colleges), 5.00%, 9/1/33 Geneva Development Corp., (Hobart and William Smith Colleges), 5.00%, 9/1/34 Geneva Development Corp., (Hobart and William Smith Colleges), Series 2012, 5.00%, 9/1/32 Geneva Development Corp., (Hobart and William Smith Colleges), Series 2014, 5.00%, 9/1/32 Hempstead Local Development Corp., (Adelphi University), 5.00%, 6/1/20 Hempstead Local Development Corp., (Adelphi University), 5.00%, 6/1/21 Hempstead Local Development Corp., (Adelphi University), 5.00%, 6/1/31 Hempstead Local Development Corp., (Adelphi University), 5.00%, 6/1/32 Monroe County Industrial Development Corp., (St. John Fisher College), 5.00%, 6/1/23 New York City Cultural Resource Trust, (The Juilliard School), 5.00%, 1/1/39 New York City Cultural Resource Trust, (The Juilliard School), 5.00%, 1/1/39(1) New York Dormitory Authority, (Columbia University), 5.00%, 10/1/41(1) New York Dormitory Authority, (Cornell University), 5.00%, 7/1/39(1) New York Dormitory Authority, (Rochester Institute of Technology), 5.00%, 7/1/40 New York Dormitory Authority, (Rockefeller University), 5.00%, 7/1/40 New York Dormitory Authority, (Rockefeller University), 5.00%, 7/1/40 New York Dormitory Authority, (Rockefeller University), 5.00%, 7/1/40	\$ 200 105 200 1,330 200 760 950 800 300 405 240 10,000 5,700 10,000 2,000 500 2,700 Principal	\$ 228,656 118,649 225,010 1,489,853 226,658 894,315 1,119,318 880,032 329,070 460,935 270,302 11,262,600 11,459,600 6,506,550 11,382,800 2,259,880 567,680 3,065,472
Security	Amount s omitted)	Value
Education (continued) New York Dormitory Authority, (Skidmore College), 5.00%, 7/1/26 New York Dormitory Authority, (Skidmore College), 5.25%, 7/1/30 New York Dormitory Authority, (The New School), 5.50%, 7/1/40 Onondaga County Cultural Resources Trust, (Syracuse University), 5.00%, 12/1/38	\$ 1,175 250 5,250 3,305	\$ 1,360,427 288,250 5,898,637 3,742,086

Electric Utilities 1.5% Utility Debt Securitization Authority, NY, 5.00%, 12/15/33	\$ 2,895	\$ 3,392,043 \$ 3,392,043
General Obligations 11.1%		
Long Beach City School District, 4.50%, 5/1/26 New York, 5.00%, 2/15/34 ⁽¹⁾ New York City, 5.00%, 8/1/34 ⁽¹⁾ Peekskill, 5.00%, 6/1/35 Pelkskill, 5.00%, 6/1/35	\$ 4,715 7,250 8,650 465	\$ 5,145,904 8,274,642 9,843,354 516,959
Peekskill, 5.00%, 6/1/36	490	544,753 \$ 24,325,612
Hospital 10.2% New York Dormitory Authority, (Highland Hospital of Rochester), 5.00%, 7/1/26 New York Dormitory Authority, (Highland Hospital of Rochester), 5.20%, 7/1/32 New York Dormitory Authority, (Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center), 4.375%, 7/1/34 ⁽¹⁾ New York Dormitory Authority, (North Shore-Long Island Jewish Obligated Group), 5.00%, 5/1/20 New York Dormitory Authority, (North Shore-Long Island Jewish Obligated Group), 5.00%, 5/1/26 Suffolk County Economic Development Corp., (Catholic Health Services of Long Island Obligated Group), 5.00%, 7/1/28	\$ 620 820 9,325 1,065 2,055 6,900	\$ 694,437 890,774 9,938,585 1,240,012 2,180,108 7,560,744
Housing 1.6% New York Housing Development Corp., 4.95%, 11/1/39 New York Mortgage Agency, 3.55%, 10/1/33	\$ 2,500 1,000	\$ 22,504,660 \$ 2,620,425 1,001,730 \$ 3,622,155
Industrial Development Revenue 1.1% New York Liberty Development Corp., (Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.), 5.25%, 10/1/35	\$ 490	\$ 581,513

16

New York Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2014

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Industrial Development Revenue (continued) New York Liberty Development Corp., (Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.), 5.50%, 10/1/37	\$	1,440	\$ 1,766,563
			\$ 2,348,076
Insured Education 18.0% New York Dormitory Authority, (City University), (AMBAC), 5.50%, 7/1/35 New York Dormitory Authority, (Educational Housing Services CUNY Student Housing), (AMBAC), 5.25%, 7/1/23 New York Dormitory Authority, (Fordham University), (AGC), (BHAC), 5.00%, 7/1/38(1) New York Dormitory Authority, (Pratt Institute), (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/34 New York Dormitory Authority, (Pratt Institute), (AGC), 5.125%, 7/1/39(2) New York Dormitory Authority, (St. John s University), (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/37 New York Dormitory Authority, (State University), (BHAC), 5.00%, 7/1/38(1) Oneida County Industrial Development Agency, (Hamilton College), (NPFG), 0.00%, 7/1/36 Oneida County Industrial Development Agency, (Hamilton College), (NPFG), 0.00%, 7/1/36 Oneida County Industrial Development Agency, (Hamilton College), (NPFG), 0.00%, 7/1/37	\$	925 1,750 10,750 1,555 2,405 3,750 8,500 5,555 8,455 4,000	\$ 1,072,824 2,021,198 11,974,102 1,664,317 2,578,425 3,928,125 9,467,895 2,317,046 3,205,882 1,447,720 \$ 39,677,534
Insured Electric Utilities 6.1% Long Island Power Authority, Electric System Revenue, (BHAC), 5.75%, 4/1/33 New York Power Authority, (BHAC), (NPFG), 4.50%, 11/15/47 ⁽¹⁾	\$	5,000 7,210	\$ 5,860,650 7,470,064 \$ 13,330,714
Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded 2.2% New York City, (AGM), Prerefunded to 4/1/16, 5.00%, 4/1/22 New York Housing Development Corp., (NPFG), Prerefunded to 7/1/15, 5.00%, 7/1/25	\$	2,250 2,350	\$ 2,409,862 2,436,527 \$ 4,846,389
Insured General Obligations 9.2% Brentwood Union Free School District, (AGC), 4.75%, 11/15/23 Brentwood Union Free School District, (AGC), 5.00%, 11/15/24 East Northport Fire District, (AGC), 4.50%, 11/1/20 Security	\$	2,290 2,390 200 Principal Amount	\$ 2,587,517 2,752,993 224,490 Value

(000 s omitted)

Insured General Obligations (continued) East Northport Fire District, (AGC), 4.50%, 11/1/21 East Northport Fire District, (AGC), 4.50%, 11/1/22 East Northport Fire District, (AGC), 4.50%, 11/1/23 Eastchester Union Free School District, (AGM), 4.00%, 6/15/23 Freeport, (AGC), 5.00%, 10/15/20 Freeport, (AGC), 5.00%, 10/15/21 Hoosic Valley Central School District, (AGC), 4.00%, 6/15/23 Longwood Central School District, Suffolk County, (AGC), 4.15%, 6/1/23 Longwood Central School District, Suffolk County, (AGC), 4.25%, 6/1/24 Oyster Bay, (AGM), 4.00%, 8/1/28(2) Wantagh Union Free School District, (AGC), 4.50%, 11/15/19 Wantagh Union Free School District, (AGC), 4.75%, 11/15/22 Wantagh Union Free School District, (AGC), 4.75%, 11/15/23 William Floyd Union Free School District, (AGC), 4.75%, 11/15/23 William Floyd Union Free School District, (AGC), 4.00%, 12/15/24	\$ 200 200 200 175 185 1,110 820 860 4,585 785 825 905 950 1,590	\$ 222,080 221,024 220,084 186,919 212,191 221,645 1,214,418 875,809 917,938 4,809,711 865,557 901,997 982,169 1,026,789 1,716,914 \$ 20,160,245
Insured Hospital 2.2% New York Dormitory Authority, (Hudson Valley Hospital Center), (AGM), (BHAC), 5.00%, 8/15/36	\$ 4,355	\$ 4,771,338 \$ 4,771,338
Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 2.3% Ulster County, Resource Recovery Agency, Solid Waste System, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 3/1/21 Ulster County, Resource Recovery Agency, Solid Waste System, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 3/1/23 Ulster County, Resource Recovery Agency, Solid Waste System, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 3/1/25	\$ 1,490 1,090 3,635	\$ 1,319,738 895,762 2,738,500 \$ 4,954,000
Insured Other Revenue 2.1% New York City Cultural Resources Trust, (American Museum of Natural History), (NPFG), 5.00%, 7/1/44 New York City Transitional Finance Authority, (BHAC), 5.50%, 7/15/38	\$ 5 4,050	\$ 5,017 4,635,387 \$ 4,640,404
Insured Special Tax Revenue 5.8% New York State Housing Finance Agency, (AGM), 5.00%, 3/15/37	\$ 2,415	\$ 2,602,670

17

New York Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2014

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted) Value	е
Insured Special Tax Revenue (continued) New York Thruway Authority, Miscellaneous Tax Revenue, (AMBAC), 5.50%, 4/1/20 Puerto Rico Infrastructure Financing Authority, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 7/1/36 Puerto Rico Infrastructure Financing Authority, (FGIC), 0.00%, 7/1/32 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45 Sales Tax Asset Receivables Corp., (AMBAC), 5.00%, 10/15/29 Sales Tax Asset Receivables Corp., (AMBAC), 5.00%, 10/15/32	\$ 2,175 \$ 2,637,383 3,000 629,970 4,000 872,920 6,705 938,363 850 851,723 4,185 4,193,496	0 5 5 6
Insured Transportation 8.3% Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, (AGM), 5.00%, 8/15/24 ⁽¹⁾ Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, (AGM), 5.00%, 8/15/33 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 5,600 \$ 6,223,556 11,000 12,136,630 \$ 18,360,180	6 0
Insured Water and Sewer 2.8% Nassau County Sewer and Storm Water Finance Authority, (BHAC), 5.125%, 11/1/23 Nassau County Sewer and Storm Water Finance Authority, (BHAC), 5.375%, 11/1/28 Suffolk County Water Authority, (NPFG), 4.50%, 6/1/25	\$ 300 \$ 345,357 3,835 4,414,085 1,475 1,494,381 \$ 6,253,823	5 1
Other Revenue 5.8% Battery Park City Authority, 5.00%, 11/1/34 ⁽²⁾ Brooklyn Arena Local Development Corp., (Barclays Center), 0.00%, 7/15/31 New York Liberty Development Corp., (7 World Trade Center), 5.00%, 9/15/32	\$ 4,925 \$ 5,738,807 4,900 2,253,412 4,110 4,689,510 \$ 12,681,729	2
Special Tax Revenue 24.2% Metropolitan Transportation Authority, Dedicated Tax Fund, 5.00%, 11/15/31 ⁽¹⁾ New York City Transitional Finance Authority, Future Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 2/1/35 ⁽¹⁾ New York City Transitional Finance Authority, Future Tax Revenue, 5.50%, 11/1/35 ⁽¹⁾ (3) New York Dormitory Authority, Personal Income Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 6/15/31 ⁽¹⁾ Security	\$ 10,000 \$11,601,900 10,000 11,307,900 1,000 1,190,420 6,500 7,549,685 Value	0 0 5

	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)	ı	
Special Tax Revenue (continued) New York Dormitory Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 3/15/34 New York Thruway Authority, Miscellaneous Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 4/1/26 Sales Tax Asset Receivables Corp., 5.00%, 10/15/30 ⁽⁴⁾	\$	6,600 2,370 9,400	\$	7,529,280 2,731,425 11,340,912
			\$	53,251,522
Transportation 11.5% Metropolitan Transportation Authority, 5.25%, 11/15/38 Nassau County Bridge Authority, 5.00%, 10/1/35 Nassau County Bridge Authority, 5.00%, 10/1/40 New York Thruway Authority, 5.00%, 1/1/37 Triborough Bridge and Tunnel Authority, 5.00%, 11/15/38(1)	\$	3,430 1,565 300 7,280 10,000	\$ \$	3,873,533 1,681,029 322,911 8,084,513 11,376,500 25,338,486
Water and Sewer 5.5% Albany Municipal Water Finance Authority, 5.00%, 12/1/26 Albany Municipal Water Finance Authority, 5.00%, 12/1/29 New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority, (Water and Sewer System), 5.00%, 6/15/34 New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority, (Water and Sewer System), 5.00%, 6/15/44 ⁽¹⁾	\$	755 500 1,000 8,750	\$ \$	885,049 586,005 1,135,580 9,591,312 12,197,946
Total Tax-Exempt Investments 165.3% (identified cost \$334,709,464)			\$	363,868,568
Other Assets, Less Liabilities (65.3)%			\$ ((143,678,534)
Net Assets 100.0%			\$	220,190,034

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets.

AGC Assured Guaranty Corp.

AGM Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.

AMBAC AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

BHAC Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.

FGIC Financial Guaranty Insurance Company

NPFG National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by New York municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at September 30, 2014, 35.7% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an

New York Municipal Bond Fund

Portfolio of Investments continued

individual financial institution or financial guaranty assurance agency ranged from 0.2% to 13.4% of total investments.

- (1) Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).
- (2) Security (or a portion thereof) has been segregated to cover payable for when-issued securities.
- (3) Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged as collateral for residual interest bond transactions. The aggregate value of such collateral is \$440,420.
- (4) When-issued security.

19

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2014

Statements of Assets and Liabilities

Assets Investments Identified cost Unrealized appreciation Investments, at value Cash Restricted cash* Interest receivable Receivable for investments sold Receivable for variation margin on open financial futures contracts Deferred debt issuance costs Total assets	Municipal Fund \$ 1,406,007,393 131,691,624 \$ 1,537,699,017 \$ 12,813,746 1,033,000 18,902,426 6,312,516 139,750 438,989 \$ 1,577,339,444	•	414,795,266 37,589,091 452,384,357 10,706,887 530,000 5,137,094 60,844 149,533 468,968,715	Ne \$ \$ \$ \$	w York Fund 334,709,464 29,159,104 363,868,568 6,082,969 225,000 4,391,171 3,117,854 30,469 38,710 377,754,741
Liabilities Payable for floating rate notes issued Payable for investments purchased Payable for when-issued securities Payable to affiliates: Investment adviser fee Interest expense and fees payable Accrued expenses Total liabilities Net Assets	\$ 603,030,000 21,637,438 763,800 1,170,975 218,465 \$ 626,820,678 \$ 950,518,766	\$ \$ \$	183,500,000 8,759,510 226,024 342,202 119,461 192,947,197 276,021,518	\$ \$ \$	142,670,000 3,095,277 11,264,888 193,279 222,715 118,548 157,564,707 220,190,034
Sources of Net Assets Common shares, \$0.01 par value, unlimited number of shares authorized Additional paid-in capital Accumulated net realized loss Accumulated undistributed net investment income Net unrealized appreciation Net Assets Common Shares Outstanding	\$ 681,683 958,234,857 (141,124,770) 659,752 132,067,244 \$ 950,518,766	\$ \$	213,987 302,848,391 (67,046,223) 2,223,235 37,782,128 276,021,518 21,398,686	\$ \$	156,816 221,309,941 (32,369,300) 1,851,579 29,240,998 220,190,034 15,681,585
Net Asset Value Net assets ÷ common shares issued and outstanding	\$ 13.94	\$	12.90	\$	14.04

 $^{{\}rm * \ \ Represents \ restricted \ cash \ on \ deposit \ at \ the \ broker \ for \ open \ financial \ futures \ contracts.}$

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2014

Statements of Operations

	Year Ended September 30, 2014 Municipal						
Investment Income	Fund		California Fund		Nev	v York Fund	
Interest	_	67,127,505	\$	19,224,637	\$	15,253,441	
Total investment income		67,127,505	\$	19,224,637	\$	15,253,441	
Total investment income	Ψ	07,127,000	Ψ	19,221,007	Ψ	10,200,111	
Expenses							
Investment adviser fee	\$	9,180,351	\$	2,745,627	\$	2,290,145	
Trustees fees and expenses		64,694		19,783		15,721	
Custodian fee		308,731		102,915		89,435	
Transfer and dividend disbursing agent fees		21,105		18,557		19,313	
Legal and accounting services		259,623		87,920		84,064	
Printing and postage		71,103		22,347		19,919	
Interest expense and fees		3,803,322		1,100,299		843,405	
Miscellaneous		72,746		40,963		33,454	
Total expenses	\$	13,781,675	\$	4,138,411	\$	3,395,456	
Deduct	•	-, - ,	·	, ,	·	-,,	
Reduction of custodian fee	\$	3,858	\$	2,204	\$	767	
Total expense reductions	\$		\$	2,204	\$	767	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	- ,	·	, -	·		
Net expenses	\$	13,777,817	\$	4,136,207	\$	3,394,689	
Net investment income	\$	53,349,688	\$	15,088,430	\$	11,858,752	
Realized and Unrealized Gain (Loss)							
Net realized gain (loss)							
Investment transactions	\$	4,551,705	\$	(583,680)	\$	(621,287)	
Extinguishment of debt	Ψ	(63,808)	Ψ	(303,000)	Ψ	(021,207)	
Financial futures contracts		(4,297,317)		(2,134,133)		(931,567)	
Net realized gain (loss)	\$		\$	(2,717,813)	\$	(1,552,854)	
Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation)	Ψ	150,200	Ψ	(2,717,010)	Ψ	(1,002,001)	
Investments	\$	110,791,811	\$	30,581,979	\$	20,653,387	
Financial futures contracts	Ψ	957,803	Ψ	695,593	Ψ	202,148	
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation)	\$	111,749,614	\$	31,277,572	\$	20,855,535	
Net realized and unrealized gain	\$	111,940,194	\$	28,559,759	\$	19,302,681	
Net increase in net assets from operations	\$	165,289,882	\$	43,648,189	\$	31,161,433	

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2014

Statements of Changes in Net Assets

	Year Ended September 30, 2014				4										
Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets	Municipal Fund California Fund				•		•		Municipal Fund Cal		California Fund		•		w York Fund
From operations Net investment income	\$ 53,349,688	\$	15,088,430	\$	11,858,752										
Net realized gain (loss) from investment transactions, extinguishment of debt and financial	Ψ 55,547,000	Ψ	13,000,430	Ψ	11,030,732										
futures contracts	190,580		(2,717,813)		(1,552,854)										
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) from investments and financial futures	170,000		(2,717,018)		(1,002,001)										
contracts	111,749,614		31,277,572		20,855,535										
Net increase in net assets from operations	\$ 165,289,882	\$	43,648,189	\$	31,161,433										
Distributions to common shareholders															
From net investment income	\$ (52,218,243)	\$	(14,152,654)	\$	(10,885,152)										
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$ (52,218,243)	\$	(14,152,654)	\$	(10,885,152)										
Capital share transactions															
Cost of shares repurchased (See Note 5)	\$	\$	(3,881,323)	\$	(2,538,716)										
Net decrease in net assets from capital share transactions	\$	\$	(3,881,323)	\$	(2,538,716)										
Net increase in net assets	\$ 113,071,639	\$	25,614,212	\$	17,737,565										
Net Assets															
At beginning of year	\$ 837,447,127	\$	250,407,306	\$	202,452,469										
At end of year	\$ 950,518,766	\$	276,021,518	\$	220,190,034										
Accumulated undistributed net investment income included in net assets															
At end of year	\$ 659,752	\$	2,223,235	\$	1,851,579										

22

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2014

Statements of Changes in Net Assets continued

	Year Ended September 30, 2013				3					
Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets	Municipal Fund				California Fund		•		Ne	w York Fund
From operations Net investment income Net a lived by from investment to a still state of data and financial features.	\$	52,345,090	\$	15,192,176	\$	11,688,802				
Net realized loss from investment transactions, extinguishment of debt and financial futures contracts Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) from investments and financial futures		(9,557,654)		(3,286,310)		(807,903)				
contracts	d d	(114,009,675)	¢	(29,622,573)	ø	(27,325,554)				
Net decrease in net assets from operations Distributions to common shareholders	3	(71,222,239)	\$	(17,716,707)	\$	(16,444,655)				
From net investment income Total distributions to common shareholders	\$ \$	(52,214,271) (52,214,271)	\$ \$	(14,228,546) (14,228,546)	\$ \$	(10,936,048) (10,936,048)				
Capital share transactions Reinvestment of distributions to common shareholders	\$	356,129	\$		\$	40,685				
Net increase in net assets from capital share transactions	\$	356,129	\$	(24.045.050)	\$	40,685				
Net decrease in net assets	\$ ((123,080,381)	\$	(31,945,253)	\$	(27,340,018)				
Net Assets	¢	060 527 500	¢	202 252 550	¢	220 702 497				
At beginning of year At end of year		960,527,508 837,447,127	\$ \$	282,352,559 250,407,306	\$ \$	229,792,487 202,452,469				
Accumulated undistributed (distributions in excess of) net investment income										
included in net assets At end of year	\$	(362,515)	\$	1,327,696	\$	969,655				

23

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2014

Statements of Cash Flows

	Year Ended September 30, 2014						
	Municipal						
Cash Flows From Operating Activities	Fund		lifornia Fund		w York Fund		
Net increase in net assets from operations	\$ 165,289,882	\$	43,648,189	\$	31,161,433		
Adjustments to reconcile net increase in net assets from operations to net cash provided by							
operating activities:							
Investments purchased	(118,787,425)		(23,594,957)		(15,527,077)		
Investments sold	137,942,070		36,164,060		20,373,674		
Net amortization/accretion of premium (discount)	(3,913,676)		(838,947)		42,376		
Amortization of deferred debt issuance costs	113,113		18,980		15,246		
Decrease in restricted cash	15,000		45,000				
Decrease (increase) in interest receivable	162,326		218,571		(13,344)		
Increase in receivable for variation margin on open financial futures contracts	(118,562)		(59,266)		(25,781)		
Increase in payable to affiliate for investment adviser fee	35,362		5,328		12,816		
Decrease in interest expense and fees payable	(37,669)		(19,668)		(3,684)		
Increase (decrease) in accrued expenses	11,295		(10,724)		(8,411)		
Net change in unrealized (appreciation) depreciation from investments	(110,791,811)		(30,581,979)		(20,653,387)		
Net realized (gain) loss from investments	(4,551,705)		583,680		621,287		
Net realized loss on extinguishment of debt	63,808				4		
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 65,432,008	\$	25,578,267	\$	15,995,148		
Cash Flows From Financing Activities							
Distributions paid to common shareholders, net of reinvestments	\$ (52,218,243)	\$	(14,152,654)	\$	(10,885,152)		
Repurchase of common shares	Ψ (32,210,243)	Ψ	(3,881,323)	Ψ	(2,538,716)		
Proceeds from secured borrowings	20,970,000		8,300,000		(2,330,710)		
Repayment of secured borrowings	(28,510,000)		(9,600,000)				
Net cash used in financing activities	\$ (59,758,243)	\$	(19,333,977)	\$	(13,423,868)		
· ·							
Net increase in cash	\$ 5,673,765	\$	6,244,290	\$	2,571,280		
Cash at beginning of year	\$ 7,139,981	\$	4,462,597	\$	3,511,689		
Cash at end of year	\$ 12,813,746	\$	10,706,887	\$	6,082,969		
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:	ф 2. 727 .070	ф	1 100 007	d.	021.042		
Cash paid for interest and fees	\$ 3,727,878	\$	1,100,987	\$	831,843		

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2014

Financial Highlights

	Municipal Fund Year Ended September 30, 2014 2013 2012 2011					
Net asset value Beginning of year	\$ 12.290	\$ 14.100	\$ 12.560	\$ 13.080	2010 \$ 13.170	
Income (Loss) From Operations Net investment income ⁽¹⁾ Net realized and unrealized gain (loss)	\$ 0.783 1.633	\$ 0.768 (1.812)	\$ 0.763 1.584	\$ 0.878 (0.482)	\$ 0.878 (0.059)	
Total income (loss) from operations	\$ 2.416	\$ (1.044)	\$ 2.347	\$ 0.396	\$ 0.819	
Less Distributions From net investment income	\$ (0.766)	\$ (0.766)	\$ (0.807)	\$ (0.916)	\$ (0.909)	
Total distributions	\$ (0.766)	\$ (0.766)	\$ (0.807)	\$ (0.916)	\$ (0.909)	
Net asset value End of year	\$ 13.940	\$ 12.290	\$ 14.100	\$ 12.560	\$ 13.080	
Market value End of year	\$ 12.520	\$ 11.560	\$ 14.460	\$ 12.350	\$ 13.900	
Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value ⁽²⁾	21.00%	(7.59)%	19.33%	3.89%	6.77%	
Total Investment Return on Market Value ⁽²⁾	15.44%	(15.17)%	24.45%	(3.87)%	13.55%	
Ratios/Supplemental Data Net assets, end of year (000 s omitted) Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets):	\$ 950,519	\$ 837,447	\$ 960,528	\$ 855,705	\$ 889,539	
Expenses excluding interest and fees ⁽³⁾	1.12%	1.15%	1.30%	1.25%	1.12%	
Interest and fee expense ⁽⁴⁾	0.43%	0.47%	0.48%	0.56%	0.54%	
Total expenses ⁽³⁾	1.55%	1.62%	1.78%	1.81%	1.66%	
Net investment income	6.01%	5.67%	5.75%	7.54%	7.04%	
Portfolio Turnover	9%	18%	17%	18%	18%	

⁽¹⁾ Computed using average shares outstanding.

⁽²⁾ Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested. Distributions are assumed to be reinvested at prices obtained under the Fund s dividend reinvestment plan.

 $^{^{(3)}}$ Excludes the effect of custody fee credits, if any, of less than 0.005%.