EQUIFAX INC Form DEF 14A March 18, 2014 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the

Securities Exchange Act of 1934

(Amendment No. __)

Filed by the Registrant x Filed by a Party other than the Registrant "

Check the appropriate box:

- " Preliminary Proxy Statement
- " Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- x Definitive Proxy Statement
- " Definitive Additional Materials
- " Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

EQUIFAX INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- x No fee required.
- " Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which the transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which the transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of the transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of the transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

- " Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
- " Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
 - (1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

1550 Peachtree Street, N.W.

Atlanta, Georgia 30309

www.equifax.com

March 18, 2014

Dear Shareholder:

You are cordially invited to attend the 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders of Equifax Inc., which will be held on Friday, May 2, 2014, at 9:30 a.m., Eastern Daylight Time, at our headquarters at 1550 Peachtree St., N.W., Atlanta, Georgia. Details of the business to be presented at the meeting can be found in the accompanying Proxy Statement. We hope you are planning to attend the meeting. Your vote is important. Whether or not you are able to attend, I encourage you to submit your proxy as soon as possible so that your shares will be represented at the meeting.

I am pleased to report that our company delivered the best financial results since I joined the Company in 2005. Reported earnings per share and operating revenue rose by 25% and 11%, respectively. In February 2013, our Board of Directors raised the quarterly dividend by 22% to \$0.22 per share and, in February 2014, by 14% to \$0.25 per share, the fourth consecutive annual increase in the dividend, for a 16% compound annual growth rate over the last three years. Our cumulative total shareholder return, including reinvested dividends, for the one-, three- and five-year periods ended December 31, 2013, was 30%, 104% and 178%, respectively, compared to 32%, 57% and 128% for the S&P 500 stock index.

Our compensation program is aligned with performance that matters to shareholders. Our executive officers are compensated in a way that rewards them based on performance, both absolute and relative to our peers, that creates value for our shareholders. In 2013, we surpassed our target adjusted earnings per share performance goal and finished just under our target operating revenue goal. The proxy statement summary that follows on pages 1-4 provides highlights of our performance and 2013 compensation actions and refers you to the appropriate sections of this Proxy Statement for additional information.

On behalf of the Board of Directors and management of Equifax, I extend our appreciation for your continued support.

Sincerely,

Richard F. Smith Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

1550 Peachtree Street, N.W.

Atlanta, Georgia 30309

NOTICE OF 2014 ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

To the Shareholders of Equifax Inc.:

Notice is hereby given that the 2014 Annual Meeting of shareholders (the *Annual Meeting*) of Equifax Inc. (the *Company* or *Equifax*) will be held on Friday, May 2, 2014 at 9:30 a.m., Eastern Daylight Time, at the Company s principal executive offices located at the address shown above to consider and vote on the following items of business described in the accompanying Proxy Statement:

- 1. Election of the ten nominees to the Board of Directors (the *Board*) named in the Proxy Statement as Directors, each for a term of one year;
- 2. Ratification of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company s independent registered public accounting firm for 2014;
- 3. Consideration of an advisory vote to approve named executive officer compensation; and

4. Transaction of such other business as may properly come before the meeting.

You may vote if you owned shares of the Company's common stock at the close of business on March 4, 2014. Shares represented by properly executed proxies will be voted in accordance with the instructions specified therein.

We are mailing to most of our shareholders a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (the *Notice*) instead of a paper copy of this Proxy Statement and our 2013 Annual Report. We believe that this process allows us to provide our shareholders with the information they need in a timelier manner, while reducing the costs of delivery and environmental impact of the Annual Meeting. The Notice contains instructions on how to access those documents on the Internet. The Notice also contains instructions on how to request a paper copy of our proxy materials, including the Proxy Statement, our 2013 Annual Report and a form of proxy card or voting instruction card. All shareholders who have previously requested paper copies of our proxy materials will continue to receive a paper copy of the proxy materials by mail.

Your vote is important. Whether or not you plan to attend the meeting in person, please complete, sign, date and return the proxy card or voting instruction card as instructed or vote by telephone or using the Internet as instructed on the proxy card, voting instruction card or the Notice.

By order of the Board of Directors,

Sincerely,

Dean C. Arvidson *Corporate Secretary* Atlanta, Georgia

March 18, 2014

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the

Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be Held on May 2, 2014:

The Company s Notice of 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, Proxy Statement, proxy card and 2013 Annual Report to Shareholders are available on our website at <u>http://investor.equifax.com</u> as well as at <u>www.proxyvote.com</u>.

CONTENTS

2014 Proxy Statement Summary	1
General Information	5
Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	8
Proposals to be Voted On	18
Proposal 1 Election of Directors	18
Proposal 2 Ratification of Appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company s Independent Registered Public Accounting	
<u>Firm for 2014</u>	24
Proposal 3 Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation	26
Encenting Commencetion	20
Executive Compensation	28
Compensation Committee Report Compensation Discussion and Analysis	28 28
Executive Compensation Tables	20 52
Executive Compensation Tables	52
Audit Committee Report	69
Ownership of Equifax Common Stock	69
Securities Owned by Certain Beneficial Owners	69
Securities Owned by Directors and Management	70
Equity Compensation Plan Information	71
Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance	71
Review, Approval or Ratification of Transactions with Related Persons	71
Shareholder Proposals and Director Nominations for 2015 Annual Meeting	72
Appendix A Reconciliation of Non-GAAP Financial Measures	A-1
Appendix B Guidelines for Determining the Independence of Directors	B-1

2014 PROXY STATEMENT SUMMARY

This summary highlights information contained elsewhere in our Proxy Statement but does not contain all of the information that you should consider. You should read the entire Proxy Statement carefully before voting.

Annual Meeting of Shareholders

Time and date:	9:30 a.m., Eastern Daylight Time, on Friday, May 2, 2014
Place:	Equifax Inc., 1550 Peachtree St., N.W., Atlanta, Georgia 30309
Record date:	March 4, 2014
How to vote:	In general, you may vote either in person at the Annual Meeting or by telephone, the Internet, or mail. See <i>How to Vote</i> on page 6 for more details.
Admission:	Satisfactory proof of share ownership as of the record date is required to enter the Annual Meeting. See <i>Shareholders Entitled to Vote; Attendance at the Meeting</i> on page 5.
Voting Matters	

	Board Voting	Page Reference
	Recommendation	(for more detail)
Election of Directors	FOR EACH NOMINEE	18
Ratification of Appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company s		
Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for 2014	FOR	24
Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation	FOR	26
Director Nominees		

Name & Occupation	Age	Director Since	Independent	Audit	Com Compensation, Human Resources & Management Succession	mittee Mem Executive	berships Governance	Technology
James E. Copeland, Jr. Retired CEO, Deloitte & Touche and Deloitte Touche								
Tohmatsu	69	2003	Ö	Chair		х		
Robert D. Daleo Retired Vice Chairman	64	2006	Ö	x	x			
Thomson Reuters								
Walter W. Driver, Jr. Chairman Southeast	68	2007	Ö		X		x	
Goldman, Sachs & Co.								
Mark L. Feidler Founding Partner, MSouth Equity Partners	57	2007	Ö	X				x
L. Phillip Humann	68	1992	Ö Independent Presiding		Chair	Chair	X	

		Director					
48	2013	Ö				х	
65	2006	Ö		X	X	Chair	
56	2008	Ö	х		х		Chair
54	2005						
61	2008	Ö		x			x
	65 56 54	65 2006 56 2008 54 2005	48 2013 Ö 65 2006 Ö 56 2008 Ö 54 2005 Image: Compare the second	 48 2013 Ö 65 2006 Ö 56 2008 Ö x 54 2005 	48 2013 Ö 65 2006 Ö x 56 2008 Ö x 54 2005 X X	48 2013 Ö x x 65 2006 Ö x x 56 2008 Ö x x 54 2005 X X X	48 2013 Ö x 65 2006 Ö x x 56 2008 Ö x x 54 2005 Y Y Y

2013 Company Financial Performance and 1-, 3- and 5-Year Total Shareholder Return

Operating revenue rose 11% to a record \$2.3 billion for 2013.

Net income from continuing operations attributable to Equifax increased 25%, to \$333.4 million.

Adjusted EPS⁽¹⁾ was \$3.60, up 24% compared to Adjusted EPS of \$2.91 in 2012, on a non-GAAP basis; non-GAAP Adjusted EPS for 2013 was \$3.61 at our budgeted foreign exchange rates.

We returned \$118.6 million to shareholders, through \$106.7 million in dividends and \$11.9 million in share repurchases.

The quarterly dividend was increased by 22% in February 2013 to \$0.22 per share and, in February 2014, by 14% to \$0.25, the fourth consecutive dividend increase. Over the past three years, our dividend has grown at a 16% compound annual growth rate.

Our cumulative total shareholder return (stock price appreciation plus dividends, or TSR) was slightly below the S&P 500 index for the one-year period ended December 31, 2013, and substantially above the S&P 500 for the three- and five-year periods. Our TSR was 30%, 104% and 178%, respectively, compared to 32%, 57% and 128% for the S&P 500 index.

2013 Executive Compensation Alignment

The 2013 compensation of our named executive officers (as set forth in the Summary Compensation Table on page 52) reflects the close alignment of Company performance and shareholder value creation. Although Company financial performance in 2013 was strong on an absolute basis as noted above, it was not as exceptional as the results achieved in 2012 and therefore total direct compensation (salary, bonus and equity award value) declined as compared to 2012.

Most (84%) of the targeted total direct compensation for our CEO was variable, at-risk incentive and stock-based compensation tied to the achievement of internal performance targets or our stock price performance, with 68% in the form of long-term equity compensation (an average of 74% and 58%, respectively, for the other named executive officers).

We made no 2013 base salary increases. Mr. Smith s base salary has not changed since 2008; all 2013 compensation increases were applied to his performance-based, long-term incentive opportunity. Mr. Kelley joined the Company as Chief Legal Officer in January 2013 and was not eligible for a merit salary increase in 2013. Our other named executive officers received lump sum merit payments in lieu of base salary increases ranging from 3.5% to 4.0% of base salary to reward individual performance while maintaining alignment of base salary levels to prior year levels.

Target long-term incentive award values for our CEO were granted 57% in performance-based stock units (PSUs) and 43% in three-year time-based restricted stock units (RSUs). Other named executive officers were granted 50% in PSUs and 50% in RSUs. PSUs will vest, if at all, after three years based on the Company s TSR relative to that of the companies that comprised the S&P 500 index on the grant date. No dividend equivalents accrue on unvested PSUs or RSUs.

Based on 2013 corporate financial performance above the target level for Adjusted EPS and below the target level for operating revenue, as well as individual performance, 2013 annual cash incentives were paid at an average of 135.9% of target for the named executive officers with Company-wide responsibilities (Messrs. Smith, Adrean and Kelley), and for the named executive officers with business unit responsibilities, at 170.5% of target for Mr. Adams and 135.2% of target for Mr. Barros.

Adjusted EPS is non-GAAP diluted earnings per share from continuing operations attributable to Equifax, excluding certain items to show the performance of our core operations. On a GAAP basis, diluted EPS from continuing operations attributable to Equifax was \$2.69 in 2013, \$2.18 in 2012 and \$1.86 in 2011. We provide a reconciliation of the differences between non-GAAP Adjusted EPS and GAAP EPS in Appendix A to this Proxy Statement.

Executive Compensation Governance and Practices

In addition to our executive compensation program s strong pay-for-performance focus, we believe our other policies and pay practices contribute to ensuring an alignment of executives and shareholders interests and discouraging inappropriate risk taking by our executives.

	What We Do	What We Don t Do
ü	Meaningful share ownership requirements for senior officers	ü No dividend equivalents paid on unearned share units
		ü No repricing of underwater stock options
ü	Strong executive compensation recoupment (clawback) policy	ü No single-trigger change-in-control cash severance benefits
		ü No tax gross-ups for perquisites or new change-in-control agreements
ü	Anti-hedging and pledging stock policies for officers and directors	ü No additional years of supplemental pension service credit since 2011
ü	Capped annual and long-term incentive awards	

Independent Compensation Committee advised by independent compensation consultant
 Additional Shareholder Engagement Actions

Senior management has engaged with and received specific feedback from 19 of our top 25 shareholders, representing approximately 51% of our outstanding shares, on various topics relating to our Company including executive compensation and corporate governance practices and policies. There were no significant areas of concern noted in these discussions. We also regularly review our investors comments with the Governance Committee of our Board.

Although last year s annual meeting of shareholders and most discussions with shareholders took place after we established the 2013 executive compensation program, the Compensation Committee of our Board weighed this shareholder feedback, the increased weighting on performance-based long-term incentives for the CEO, and advice from its independent compensation consultant and concluded that our 2013 and ongoing executive compensation program is appropriately designed with challenging performance metrics and incentives and a mix of fixed and at-risk variable pay.

Corporate Governance Highlights

Director independence. The Board believes that a substantial majority of the directors should be independent. Currently, nine of ten members of the Board are independent in accordance with the applicable rules and the Board s guidelines for determining director independence.

Independent Presiding Director. The Board believes in the value of an active, independent Presiding Director. The duties of the Presiding Director include chairing executive sessions of the Board, calling meetings of the non-employee directors, reviewing and approving agenda, schedule and materials for board meetings, facilitating communication between the non-employee directors and the Chairman and CEO, meeting directly with management and non-management employees of the Company, and being available for consultation and direct communication with shareholders as appropriate.

Majority voting for directors. Under our Bylaws, if in an uncontested election for directors a nominee does not receive a majority of the votes cast for the nominee, the nominee is required to offer his or her resignation and the independent members of the Board will determine and promptly publicly announce the action to be taken with respect to the resignation offer.

Annual director terms. Each director is elected on an annual basis.

Director stock ownership. To align director interests with those of our shareholders, each director is required to own Equifax common stock with a market value of at least five times his or her annual cash retainer, within five years of becoming a director.

Enterprise risk management. Equifax has a rigorous enterprise risk management program targeting controls over operational, financial, environmental, legal/regulatory compliance, reputational, technology, security, strategic and other risks that could adversely affect the Company s business. Risks are identified, assessed, managed and monitored. The program also includes crisis management and business continuity planning. See *The Board s Role in Risk Oversight* on page 9.

No over-boarding. Directors are limited to service on five other public company boards, and none of our directors currently serves on the board of directors of more than two other publicly-held corporations.

Stock hedging and pledging policies. Our insider trading policy bars our directors, officers and employees from owning financial instruments or participating in investment strategies that hedge the economic risk of owning Equifax stock. We also prohibit officers and directors from pledging Equifax securities as collateral for loans (including margin loans).

Shareholder Proposals and Director Nominations for 2015 Annual Meeting

Notice of any proposal or director nomination that a shareholder wishes to propose for consideration at the 2015 Annual Meeting, including any proposal that a shareholder wishes to submit for inclusion in the Company s proxy materials for such meeting, must be delivered to us no later than November 18, 2014. See *Shareholder Proposals and Director Nominations for 2015 Annual Meeting* on page 72.

1550 Peachtree Street, N.W.

Atlanta, Georgia 30309

PROXY STATEMENT

ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS MAY 2, 2014

GENERAL INFORMATION

Proxy Solicitation

Proxies in the form furnished are being solicited by the Board for the 2014 Annual Meeting. The accompanying notice of meeting, this Proxy Statement and the form of proxy card and Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials are first being made available to shareholders on or about March 18, 2014.

Proposals to be Voted On

The following proposals will be voted on at the Annual Meeting:

Proposal 1	Election of Directors
Proposal 2	Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
Proposal 3	Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation

All shares represented by proxies received will be voted in accordance with instructions contained in the proxies. In the absence of voting instructions to the contrary, shares represented by validly executed and dated proxies or voting instruction cards will be voted in accordance with the foregoing recommendations.

On or about March 18, 2014, we mailed a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials to our shareholders who have not previously requested electronic access to our proxy materials or the receipt of paper proxy materials advising them that they can access this Proxy Statement, the 2013 Annual Report and voting instructions over the Internet at <u>www.proxyvote.com</u>. You may then access these materials and vote your shares over the Internet or by telephone. The notice contains a 12-digit control number that you will need to vote your shares over the Internet or by telephone. Please keep the notice for your reference through the meeting date.

Alternatively, you may request that a printed copy of the proxy materials be mailed to you. If you want to receive a printed copy of the proxy materials, you may request one via the Internet at <u>www.proxyvote.com</u>, by calling toll-free 1-800-579-1639 or by sending an email to <u>sendmaterial@proxyvote.com</u>. There is no charge to you for requesting a copy. Please make your request for a copy on or before April 18, 2014 to facilitate timely delivery. If you previously elected to receive our proxy materials electronically, we will continue to send these materials to you via email unless you change your election.

The Company has retained AST Phoenix Advisors to assist in soliciting proxies for an annual fee not to exceed \$7,500 plus expenses, and will bear the cost of soliciting proxies. Directors, officers and other Company associates also may solicit proxies by telephone or otherwise. Brokers and other nominees will be requested to solicit proxies or authorizations from beneficial owners and will be reimbursed for their reasonable expenses.

Shareholders Entitled to Vote; Attendance at the Meeting

Company shareholders of record at the close of business on March 4, 2014 are entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the meeting. As of such date, there were 121,863,151 shares of Company common stock outstanding, each entitled to one vote.

If your shares are held in the name of a bank, broker or other holder of record (also known as *street name*) and you wish to attend the meeting, you must present proof of ownership as of the record date, such as the Notice of Internet Availability of proxy materials or the voting instruction card that is sent to you or a current bank or brokerage account statement, to be admitted. The Company also may request appropriate identification such as a valid government-issued photo identification as a condition of admission.

Quorum; Required Vote

Quorum. The holders of a majority of the shares entitled to vote at the meeting must be present in person or represented by proxy to constitute a quorum. Abstentions and shares that brokers do not have the authority to vote in the absence of timely instructions from the beneficial owners (*broker non-votes*) will be treated as present for the purposes of determining a quorum. If a quorum is not present, the meeting may be adjourned from time to time until a quorum is present.

Election of Ten Directors. Each director nominee for whom more shares are voted for than against his or her election will be elected as a director at the meeting. Under our Bylaws, if more votes are cast against than are cast for a nominee, the nominee shall offer his or her resignation. The independent members of the Board will determine and promptly publicly announce the action to be taken with respect to acceptance or rejection of the resignation offer.

All Other Proposals. For all of the other proposals described in this Proxy Statement, the proposal will be approved if more votes are cast for than are cast against the proposal. Although the vote on Proposal 3 is advisory in nature and non-binding even if approved by our shareholders, our Board and Compensation Committee will carefully review the results of the vote and, consistent with our record of shareholder engagement, will take the results into account in formulating future executive compensation policy.

Broker Non-Votes and Abstentions. Under certain circumstances, including the election of directors and matters involving executive compensation (*non-routine* matters), banks and brokers are prohibited from exercising discretionary authority for street name owners who have not provided voting instructions to the broker. In these cases, and in cases where the shareholder abstains from voting on a matter, those shares will be counted for the purpose of determining if a quorum is present but will not be included as votes cast with respect to those matters. Whether a bank or broker has authority to vote its shares on uninstructed matters is determined by stock exchange rules. We expect that brokers will be allowed to exercise discretionary authority for beneficial owners who have not provided voting instructions only with respect to Proposal 2, but not with respect to any of the other proposals to be voted on at the Annual Meeting. Broker non-votes and abstentions will have no effect on any of the proposals to be considered at the Annual Meeting.

Other Voting Matters

The Company is not aware, as of the date of this Proxy Statement, of any other matters to be voted on at the Annual Meeting. If any other matters are properly brought before the meeting for a vote, the persons named as proxies on the proxy card will vote all shares represented at the meeting (other than shares that are voted by the holder in person at the meeting) on such matters in accordance with the Board s recommendation.

How to Vote

Shareholders of record. Shareholders of record may attend and cast their votes at the meeting. In addition, shareholders of record may cast their vote by proxy and participants in the Company s benefit plans described below may submit their voting instructions by:

using the Internet and voting at the website listed on the enclosed proxy/voting instruction card (the proxy card);

using the toll-free telephone number listed on the enclosed proxy card; or

signing, completing and returning the enclosed proxy card in the enclosed postage-paid envelope. Votes cast through the Internet and telephone voting procedures are authenticated by use of a personal identification number. This procedure allows shareholders to appoint a proxy (or Company benefit plan participants to provide voting instructions) and to confirm that their actions have been properly recorded. Specific instructions to be followed are set forth on the enclosed proxy card. If you vote through the Internet or by telephone, you do not need to return your proxy card.

Beneficial owners. If you are the beneficial owner of shares held in street name, you have the right to direct your bank, broker or other nominee on how to vote your shares by using the voting instruction form provided to you by them, or by following their instructions for voting through the Internet or by telephone. In the alternative, you may vote in person at the meeting if you obtain a valid proxy from your bank, broker or other nominee and present it at the meeting. In order for your shares to be voted on all matters presented at the meeting, we urge all shareholders whose shares are held in street name by a bank, brokerage firm or other nominee to provide voting instructions to such record holder.

Participants in the Equifax Inc. 401(k) Plan and the Equifax Canada Retirement Savings Program for Salaried Employees (collectively, the Company Plans). Participants in the Company Plans may instruct the applicable plan trustee how to vote all shares of Company common stock allocated to their accounts. To allow sufficient time for the plan trustees to vote, the trustees must receive your voting instructions no later than 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on April 30, 2014. The 401(k) Plan trustee will vote shares for which it has not received instructions in the same proportion as the shares for which it has received instructions. The Canada Retirement Savings Program trustee will only vote those plan shares for which voting instructions are received prior to this deadline. Participants in the Company Plans may not vote the shares owned through such plans after this deadline, including at the Annual Meeting.

Revocation of Proxies or Change of Instructions

A proxy given by a shareholder of record may be revoked at any time before it is voted by sending written notice of revocation to the Corporate Secretary of the Company at the address set forth below, by delivering a proxy (by one of the methods described above) bearing a later date or by voting in person at the meeting. Participants in the plans described above may change their voting instructions by delivering new voting instructions by one of the methods described above.

If you are the beneficial owner of shares held in street name, you may submit new voting instructions in the manner provided by your bank, broker or other nominee, or you may vote in person at the Annual Meeting in the manner described above under *How to Vote*.

Multiple Company shareholders who share an address may receive only one copy of this Proxy Statement and the 2013 Annual Report from their bank, broker or other nominee, unless contrary instructions are received. We will deliver promptly a separate copy of this Proxy Statement and the 2013 Annual Report to any shareholder who resides at a shared address and to which a single copy of the documents was delivered, if the shareholder makes a request by contacting the Office of Corporate Secretary, Equifax Inc., P.O. Box 4081, Atlanta, Georgia 30302, telephone (404) 885-8000. Beneficial owners sharing an address who are receiving multiple copies of this proxy statement and the 2013 Annual Report and who wish to receive a single copy in the future will need to contact their bank, broker or other nominee.

Voting Results

You can find the official results of voting at the meeting in our Current Report on Form 8-K that we will file with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) within four business days after the

Annual Meeting. If the official results are not available at that time, we will provide preliminary voting results in the Form 8-K and will provide the final results in an amendment to the Form 8-K as soon as they become available.

DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

General

The Board oversees, counsels and directs management in the long-term interests of the Company and our shareholders. The Board s responsibilities include:

selecting and evaluating the performance of the Chief Executive Officer (the CEO) and other senior executives;

planning for succession with respect to the position of Chairman and CEO and monitoring management s succession planning for other senior executives;

reviewing and approving our major financial objectives, strategic and operating plans, and other significant actions;

overseeing the conduct of our business and the assessment of our business risks to evaluate whether the business is being properly managed; and

overseeing the processes for maintaining the integrity of our financial statements and other public disclosures, and compliance with law and ethics.

Our Board is comprised of ten members each of whom is serving an annual term expiring at the Annual Meeting. The Board has determined that nine to 12 directors is currently the appropriate size for our Board. The Board believes this range is sufficient to ensure the presence of directors with diverse experience and skills, without hindering effective decision-making or diminishing individual responsibility. The Board also believes this range is flexible enough to permit the recruitment, if circumstances so warrant, of any outstanding director candidate in whom the Board may become interested. The Governance Committee periodically reviews the size of the Board and recommends changes as appropriate.

Leadership Structure of the Board

In accordance with our Bylaws, the Board elects our CEO and our Chairman of the Board, and these positions may be held by the same person. Under the Board s Mission Statement and Guidelines on Significant Corporate Governance Issues (the *Governance Guidelines*), the Board does not have a policy, one way or another, on whether the roles of the Chairman and the CEO should be separate and, if it is to be separate, whether the Chairman should be selected from among the non-employee directors or be an employee. If the Chairman is not an independent director, however, the Governance Guidelines require that a Presiding Director shall be recommended by the Governance Committee and elected by a majority of independent directors. The Governance Committee charter provides that it shall recommend to the Board the corporate governance structure of the Company.

The Chairman of the Board is responsible for chairing Board and shareholder meetings, setting the agenda for Board meetings and providing information to the Board members in advance of meetings and between meetings. The duties of the Presiding Director as provided in the Governance Guidelines include the following:

advising the Chairman and CEO of decisions reached, and suggestions made, at the executive sessions of the non-employee directors;

calling meetings of the non-employee directors;

presiding at each Board meeting at which the Chairman is not present;

reviewing and approving the agenda, schedule and materials for Board meetings;

facilitating communication between the non-employee directors and the Chairman and CEO;

meeting directly with management and non-management employees of the Company; and

being available for consultation and direct communication with shareholders as appropriate. All directors may interact directly with the Chairman and CEO and provide input on presentations by management at Board and Committee meetings, and each has complete access to our management and employees.

The Governance Committee and the Board have determined that our current Board structure, combining the Chairman and CEO positions and utilizing a Presiding Director, is the most appropriate leadership structure for the Company and its shareholders at the present time. Combining the Chairman and CEO roles fosters clear accountability, effective decision-making, alignment with corporate strategy, direct oversight of management, full engagement of the independent directors and continuity of leadership. As the officer ultimately responsible for the day-to-day operation of the Company and for execution of its strategy, the Board believes that the CEO is the director best qualified to act as Chairman of the Board and to lead Board discussions regarding the performance of the Company.

The Company s governance practices provide for strong independent leadership and oversight, independent discussion among directors, and independent evaluation of, and communication with, members of our senior leadership team. These governance practices are reflected in the Governance Guidelines and the various charters of the Board Committees which are described below. Some of the relevant practices include:

The annual election by the independent directors of a Presiding Director with clearly defined leadership authority and responsibilities.

At each regularly scheduled Board meeting, the non-management directors meet in executive session and deliberate on matters such as CEO succession planning and performance.

A substantial majority of our Board should be independent. Nine of our ten current directors are independent, which is substantially above the NYSE requirement that a majority of directors be independent. Each director is an equal participant in decisions made by the full Board. All of our Board Committees are comprised of independent directors.

In 2011, the Company completed the phase-in of the annual election of all directors by our shareholders to enhance accountability to our shareholders.

The Board s Role in Risk Oversight

Our Board oversees an enterprise-wide risk management program which is designed to support the achievement of our organizational and strategic objectives, to identify and manage risks, to improve long-term organizational performance and to enhance shareholder value. On an annual basis, the Board performs an enterprise risk assessment with management to review the principal risks facing the Company and monitors the steps management is taking to map and mitigate these risks. The Board then sets the general level of risk appropriate for the Company through business strategy reviews. Risks are assessed throughout the business, focusing on two primary areas: (1) financial, operational and strategic risk, and (2) ethical, legal, regulatory and other compliance risks. Each business unit and corporate support unit has primary responsibility for assessing risks within their respective areas of responsibility and mitigating those risks. The CEO and our senior leadership team receive comprehensive periodic reports on the most significant risks from these units and from the head of our internal audit department.

In addition, each of our Board committees considers the risks within its areas of responsibility. For example, the Audit Committee reviews risks related to financial reporting; discusses material violations,

if any, of Company ethics, legal, regulatory and other compliance policies; considers the Company s annual audit risk assessment which identifies internal control risks and drives the internal and external audit plan for the ensuing year; and considers the impact of risk on our financial position and the adequacy of our risk-related internal controls. The Compensation, Human Resources & Management Succession Committee (the *Compensation Committee*) reviews compensation, human resource and management succession risks, as summarized under *Management of Compensation-Related Risk* on page 49. The Governance Committee focuses on corporate governance risks, including evaluation of our leadership and risk oversight structure to ensure that it remains the optimal structure for our Company and shareholders. The Technology Committee enhances the Board s focus on technology-related risks and opportunities.

The Board believes that the administration of the Board s risk oversight function has not affected its leadership structure.

The Board s Role in CEO and Executive Succession Planning

Our Board is accountable for the development, implementation and continual review of a succession plan for the CEO and other executive officers. Board members are expected to have a thorough understanding of the characteristics necessary for a CEO to execute a long-term strategy that optimizes operating performance, profitability and shareholder value creation. As part of its responsibilities under its charter, the Compensation Committee oversees the succession planning process for the CEO and the senior leadership team. The process ensures that critical business capabilities are safeguarded, executive development is accelerated and strategic talent is leveraged to focus on current and new business imperatives. The ongoing succession process is designed to reduce vacancy, readiness and transition risks and develop strong leadership quality and executive bench strength. The specific criteria for the CEO position are aligned with our long-term growth strategy we refer to as our Growth Playbook, and succession and development plans are monitored for each of the CEO s direct reports including high potential internal CEO succession candidates, all of whom have ongoing exposure to the Board and are reviewed annually with the Board by the CEO and the Chief Human Resources Officer. The Committee and the Board also review the foregoing in executive session on a regular basis.

Meetings of the Board and its Committees

During 2013, the Board held six meetings; the Audit Committee met five times; the Compensation, Human Resources & Management Succession Committee met five times; the Governance Committee met four times; and the Technology Committee met four times. The Executive Committee did not meet; regular executive sessions of the Board were held as described under *Executive Sessions* on page 15. All director nominees attended 75% or more of the aggregate of the meetings of the Board and of the committees of the Board on which such directors served.

Attendance of Directors at 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders

All directors are expected to attend the Company s annual meeting of shareholders. All of the Company s directors then serving attended the 2013 annual meeting of shareholders.

Board Committees

The Board appoints committees to help carry out its duties and work on key issues in greater detail than is generally possible at Board meetings. Committees regularly review the results of their meetings with the Board. The Board has five standing committees, all of which are composed of independent directors as defined in the NYSE rules. The current members of each committee are shown on page 1 of this Proxy Statement.

Each committee operates pursuant to a written charter which is available as described under *Corporate Governance Guidelines* on page 13. The charters for each of our Board committees are available on our website at: *www.eauifax.com/about eauifax/corporate governance/committee charters/en us.*

The *Audit Committee* has sole authority to appoint, review and discharge the Company s independent registered public accounting firm. The committee reviews and approves in advance the services provided by our independent registered public accounting firm, reviews and discusses the independence of that firm, oversees the internal audit function, reviews our internal accounting controls and financial reporting process, oversees our regulatory compliance program, and administers our Code of Ethics and Business Conduct. The committee reviews the Company s guidelines and policies related to enterprise risk assessment and risk management.

The committee meets separately with the internal and external auditors to ensure full and frank communications with the committee. The Board has determined that Messrs. Copeland, Daleo, Feidler and McKinley are each financially literate under NYSE rules and that Messrs. Copeland, Daleo and Feidler are each an audit committee financial expert under the rules of the SEC.

The Audit Committee has appointed Ernst & Young LLP as the Company s independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2014, and is recommending that our shareholders ratify this appointment at the Annual Meeting. See Proposal 2 on page 24 and the Audit Committee Report on page 69.

The *Compensation, Human Resources & Management Succession Committee* (Compensation Committee) determines the compensation for our executive officers, establishes our compensation policies and practices, and reviews annual performance under our employee incentive plans. The Compensation Committee also provides assistance to the Governance Committee from time to time in connection with its review of director compensation. The Compensation Committee also advises management and the Board on succession planning and other significant human resources matters.

Role of Compensation Committee and Management in Determining Executive Compensation. The Compensation Committee reviews and makes decisions about executive policies and plans, including the amount of base salary, cash bonus and long-term incentive awarded to our named executive officers. Our Chairman and CEO and other executives may assist the Committee from time to time in its evaluation of compensation elements or program design or by providing mathematical calculations, historical information, year-over-year comparisons and clarification regarding job duties and performance. The Compensation Committee also considers the recommendations and competitive data provided by its compensation consultant and makes decisions, as it deems appropriate, on executive compensation based on its assessment of individual performance and achievement of goals both by the individual and the Company.

The CEO s performance is reviewed by the Compensation Committee with input from the other non-employee members of the Board. The CEO annually reviews the performance of each other executive officer who reports to him, including the named executive officers listed in the Summary Compensation Table on page 52. The conclusions reached and recommendations made based on these reviews, including with respect to salary adjustments and annual award amounts, are presented to the Compensation Committee for approval. Members of management play various additional roles in this process:

The CEO makes recommendations to the Compensation Committee regarding executive salary merit increases and compensation packages for the executive officers (other than himself) based on his evaluation of the performance of the executives who report to him against their goals established in the first quarter of each year.

The Chief Human Resources Officer and her staff provide the Compensation Committee with details of the operation of our various compensation plans, including the design of performance measures for our annual incentive plan and the design of our equity incentive program.

The Chief Financial Officer provides information and analysis relevant to the process of establishing performance targets for our annual cash incentive plan as well as any other performance-based awards and presents information regarding the attainment of corporate financial goals for the preceding year.

The Corporate Secretary attends meetings of the Compensation Committee to provide input on legal issues, respond to questions about corporate governance and assist in the preparation of minutes.

The Compensation Committee considers these recommendations and exercises discretion in modifying any recommended adjustments or awards to executives based on considerations it deems appropriate. Although members of our management team participate in the executive compensation process, the Compensation Committee also meets regularly in executive session without any members of the management team present. The Compensation Committee makes the final determination of the executive compensation package provided to each of our named executive officers, with input from the non-employee members of the Board in executive session with respect to CEO compensation.

Compensation Consultant Services and Independence. The Compensation Committee has the authority to engage independent advisors to assist it in fulfilling its responsibilities. The Committee has retained Meridian Compensation Partners LLC (*Meridian*), a national executive compensation consulting firm, to provide advice with respect to compensation for our named executive officers and other officers. Meridian performs services solely on behalf of the Committee and does not provide any other services to us. Management of the Company had no role in selecting the Committee s compensation consultant and had no relationship with Meridian. The Committee has assessed the independence of Meridian pursuant to SEC rules and concluded that no conflict of interest exists that would prevent Meridian from independently representing the Committee.

In 2013, Meridian performed the following services for the Committee:

provided market benchmark information;

advised the Committee on incentive risk assessment and proxy disclosure;

provided regulatory and governance guidance;

assisted the Committee in determining appropriate levels of compensation for the CEO and other executive officers; and

attended all Committee meetings upon invitation and participated in executive sessions thereof without management present. The *Executive Committee* is authorized to exercise the powers of the Board in managing our business and property during the intervals between Board meetings, subject to Board discretion and applicable law.

The *Governance Committee* reviews and makes recommendations to the Board regarding nominees for director; recommends to the Board, and monitors compliance with, our Governance Guidelines and other corporate governance matters; conducts an annual review of the effectiveness of our Board; makes recommendations to the Board with respect to Board and committee organization, membership and function; and exercises oversight of Board compensation. Our process for receiving and evaluating Board member nominations from our shareholders is summarized under the captions *Director Qualifications and Nomination Process* on page 14 and *Shareholder Proposals and Director Nominations for 2015 Annual Meeting* on page 72.

The *Technology Committee* assesses our technology development strategies and makes recommendations to the Board as to scope, direction, quality, investment levels and execution of technology strategies; oversees the execution of technology strategies formulated by management and technology risk and opportunities; provides guidance on technology as it may pertain to, among other things, investments, mergers, acquisitions and divestitures, research and development investments, and key competitor and partnership strategies.

Corporate Governance Guidelines

The Governance Committee is responsible for overseeing the Governance Guidelines adopted by the Board and annually reviews them and makes recommendations to the Board concerning corporate governance matters. The Board may amend, waive, suspend, or repeal any of these guidelines at any time, with or without public notice, as it deems necessary or appropriate in the exercise of the Board s judgment or fiduciary duties. Among other matters, the Governance Guidelines include the following items concerning the Board:

Non-management directors shall retire at the next Board meeting following the director s 72 birthday, unless requested by the Board to stay. Directors who are employees of the Company in the normal course resign from the Board when their employment ceases or they reach age 65, absent a Board determination that it is in the best interests of the Company for the employee to continue as a director.

Directors are limited to service on five public company boards other than our Board.

The CEO reports at least annually to the Board on succession planning and management development.

The Presiding Director and the Chair of the Governance Committee manage a process whereby the Board and its Committee members are subject to an annual evaluation and self-assessment.

Our Governance Guidelines are posted at www.equifax.com/about equifax/governance principals/en us.

Code of Ethics

We have adopted codes of ethics and business conduct applicable to our directors, officers and employees, available at <u>www.equifax.com/about_equifax/corporate_governance/en_us</u>, or in print upon request to the Office of Corporate Secretary, Equifax, P.O. Box 4081, Atlanta, Georgia 30302, telephone (404) 885-8000. Any amendment or waiver of a provision of these codes of ethics or business conduct that applies to any Equifax director or executive officer will also be disclosed on our website.

Director Independence

The Board has determined that all directors, excluding Mr. Smith, are independent under the applicable NYSE and SEC rules. In making these determinations, the Board considered the types and amounts of the commercial dealings between the Company and the companies and organizations with which the directors are affiliated. Each of these transactions was significantly below the thresholds set forth in the categories of immaterial relationships described in our Director Independence Standards which are attached as Appendix B to this Proxy Statement. See *Review, Approval or Ratification of Transactions with Related Persons* on page 71.

Communicating with Directors

Shareholders and other interested parties who wish to communicate with our directors, a committee of the Board of Directors, the Presiding Director, the non-management directors as a group, or the Board generally should address their correspondence accordingly and send by mail to Equifax Inc., c/o Corporate Secretary, P.O. Box 4081, Atlanta, Georgia 30302. All concerns related to audit or accounting matters will be referred to the Audit Committee.

Director Qualifications and Nomination Process

The Governance Committee of our Board is the standing committee responsible for selecting the slate of director nominees for election by our shareholders. The Committee recommends those nominees to the full Board for approval and often utilizes the services of a third party search firm to assist in the identification and evaluation of potential director nominees. The Committee Chair and Presiding Director are furnished with copies of the resumes provided by the search firm and review them as appropriate with the Governance Committee, the CEO and the full Board.

Our Governance Committee determines the selection criteria and qualifications for director nominees. As set forth in our Governance Guidelines, a candidate must have demonstrated accomplishment in his or her chosen field, character and personal integrity, the capacity and desire to represent the balanced, best interests of the Company and the shareholders as a whole and not primarily a special interest group, and the ability to devote sufficient time to carry out the duties of an Equifax director. The Committee and the Board consider whether the candidate is independent under the standards described above under *Director Independence*. In addition, the Committee and the Board consider all information relevant in their judgment to the decision of whether to nominate a particular candidate, taking into account the then-current composition of the Board and an assessment of the Board s collective requirements. These factors may include a candidate s educational and professional experience; reputation; industry knowledge and business experience and relevance to the Company and the Board (including the candidate s understanding of markets, technologies, financial matters and international operations); whether the candidate will complement or contribute to the mix of talents, skills and other characteristics that are needed to maintain Board effectiveness; and the candidate s ability to fulfill his or her responsibilities as a director and as a member of one or more of our standing Board committees.

Although the Committee does not have a formal diversity policy for Board membership, it considers whether a director nominee contributes or will contribute to the Board in a way that can enhance the perspective and experience of the Board as a whole through diversity in gender, ethnicity, geography and professional experience. When current Board members are considered for nomination for re-election, the Committee also takes into consideration their prior Board contributions, performance and meeting attendance records. The effectiveness of the Board s skills, expertise and background, including its diversity, is also considered as part of the Board s annual self-assessment.

The Board believes that nomination of a candidate should not be based solely on these factors noted above. The Governance Committee and the Board do not assign specific weights to particular criteria, and no particular criterion is a prerequisite for Board membership. We believe that the backgrounds and qualifications of our directors, considered as a group, should provide a complete mix of experience, knowledge and abilities that will allow the Board to fulfill its responsibilities. Our current Board is geographically diverse; there is a balance of different ages; gender diversity includes one female director; and directors have diverse industry backgrounds which include banking, investment banking, venture capital, consumer products manufacturing, accounting and consulting, information and technology, telecommunications, and legal. The backgrounds and qualifications of our current directors and nominees are further described under *Proposal 1 Election of Directors* beginning on page 18.

The Governance Committee will consider for possible nomination qualified Board candidates that are submitted by our shareholders. Shareholders wishing to make such a submission may do so by sending the following information to the Governance Committee by November 18, 2014, c/o Corporate Secretary, P.O. Box 4081, Atlanta, Georgia 30302: (1) a nomination notice in accordance with the procedures set forth in Section 1.12 of the Bylaws; (2) a request that the Governance Committee consider the shareholder s candidate for inclusion in the Board s slate of nominees for the applicable meeting; and (3) along with the shareholder s candidate, an undertaking to provide all other information the Committee or the Board may request in connection with their evaluation of the candidate. See *Shareholder Proposals and Director Nominations for 2015 Annual Meeting* on page 72. A copy of our Bylaws is available on our website at <u>www.equifax.com/about equifax/corporate governance/en us</u> or by writing to the Corporate Secretary.

Any shareholder s nominee must satisfy the minimum qualifications for any director described above in the judgment of the Governance Committee and the Board. In evaluating shareholder nominees, the Committee and the Board may consider all relevant information, including the factors described above, and additionally may consider the size and duration of the nominating shareholder s holdings in the Company; whether the nominee is independent of the nominating shareholder and able to represent the interests of the Company and its shareholders as a whole; and the interests and/or intentions of the nominating shareholder.

No candidate for director nomination was submitted to the Governance Committee by any shareholder in respect of the Annual Meeting.

Executive Sessions

The non-management directors generally meet in executive session without management at every regularly scheduled in-person Board meeting. The Presiding Director or his designee presides at Board executive sessions.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

Ms. Marshall and Messrs. Daleo, Driver, Humann and Templeton were the members of the Compensation Committee during 2013. None of these directors is or has been an executive officer of the Company, or had any relationship requiring disclosure by the Company under the SEC s rules requiring disclosure of certain relationships and related party transactions. None of the Company s executive officers served as a director or a member of a compensation committee (or other committee serving an equivalent function) of any other entity, the executive officers of which served as a director of the Company or a member of the Compensation Committee during 2013.

Director Compensation

The table below sets forth the compensation received by our non-management directors during 2013:

Director Compensation Table

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ (\$)	Option Awards ⁽³⁾	All Other Compensation ⁽⁴⁾ (\$)	Total (\$)
James E. Copeland, Jr.	95,000	133,089	0	100	228,189
Robert D. Daleo	92,500	133,089	0	100	225,689
Walter W. Driver, Jr.	86,250	133,089	0	320	219,659
Mark L. Feidler	88,750	133,089	0	100	221,939
L. Phillip Humann	93,750	133,089	0	100	226,939
Robert D. Marcus	12,432	167,974	0	300	180,706
Siri S. Marshall	90,000	133,089	0	130	223,219
John A. McKinley	92,500	133,089	0	320	225,909
Mark B. Templeton	86,250	133,089	0	0	219,339

(1) Represents the grant date fair value for restricted stock unit (RSU) awards made on May 2, 2013 (2,198 RSUs for each director then serving), other than Mr. Marcus whose initial RSU grant was made on November 1, 2013 (2,685 RSUs), computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718.

(2) As of December 31, 2013, each current non-employee director held 2,198 shares of unvested RSUs other than Mr. Marcus, who held 2,685 shares.

⁽³⁾ Prior to 2005, each non-employee director received an annual grant of a nonqualified option to purchase 7,000 shares of Company common stock with an exercise price equal to the fair market value closing price on the NYSE of the common stock on the grant date. These options became fully vested one year after the date granted and expire ten years from the date granted. As of December 31, 2013 directors with options outstanding included Mr. Copeland, 7,000, and Mr. Humann, 7,000. All director stock options were fully vested prior to 2011.

(4) Reflects the market price of annual membership to certain of our credit monitoring products.

Director Fees. For 2013, director cash compensation consisted of an annual cash retainer of \$75,000, and an annual cash retainer of \$20,000 for the Audit Committee chair, \$15,000 for the Compensation Committee chair, and \$7,500 each for the chairs of the Governance and Technology Committees. An annual cash retainer is also paid equal to \$10,000 for Audit Committee members, \$7,500 for Compensation Committee members and \$3,750 for all other Committee members.

By paying directors an annual retainer and eliminating meeting fees, the Company compensates each non-employee director for his or her role and judgment as an advisor to the Company, rather than for his or her attendance or effort at individual meetings. Directors with added responsibility are recognized with higher cash compensation as noted above. The Governance Committee believes that this additional compensation is appropriate.

Equity Awards. Each non-employee director receives an initial and an annual long-term incentive grant of restricted stock units under our shareholder-approved 2008 Omnibus Incentive Plan on the date of the annual meeting of shareholders to further align their interests with those of our shareholders and to attract and retain highly qualified directors through equity ownership. For 2013, directors received a fixed value in shares computed as of the grant date (\$175,000 initial one-time grant to new directors and \$135,000 annual grant). The annual grants and initial grants vest one year and three years, respectively, after the grant date with accelerated vesting in the event of the director s death, disability, retirement or a change in control of the Company. No dividend equivalents are paid on outstanding unvested restricted stock units.

Director Deferred Compensation Plan. Each non-employee director may defer receipt of up to 100% of his or her stock-based or cash retainer fees. The director is credited with a number of share units having an equivalent value at the end of each quarter based on his or her advance deferral election. Share units are equivalent to shares of the Company s common stock, except that share units have no voting rights and do not receive dividend credit. In general, amounts deferred are not paid until the director retires from the Board. However, directors may also establish sub-accounts from which amounts are to be paid on specific pre-retirement timetables established by the director. At the end of the applicable deferral period, the director receives a share of common stock for each share unit awarded. Such shares are received either in a lump sum or over a period not to exceed 15 years for retirement distributions, or up to five years for a scheduled withdrawal, as elected in advance by each director.

Director and Executive Stock Deferral Plan. Each director may defer taxes otherwise due upon the vesting of restricted stock units. Due to changes in federal tax laws, no deferral elections for stock options are currently permitted under the plan. The director is credited with a number of share units as of the vesting date based on his or her advance deferral election. In general, amounts deferred under the plan are not paid until the director retires from the Board. However, directors may also establish sub-accounts from which amounts are to be paid on specific pre-retirement timetables established by the director. Amounts deferred are paid in shares of our common stock, at the director s option, either in a lump sum or in annual installments over a period of up to 15 years for retirement distributions, or up to five years for a scheduled withdrawal. We make no contributions to this plan, but we pay all costs and expenses incurred in its administration.

Director Stock Ownership Guidelines. Our Bylaws require all directors to own our stock while serving as a director. Our stock ownership guidelines require that each non-employee director own shares of our stock having a value of at least five times the annual cash retainer, no later than the fifth anniversary of the annual meeting of shareholders at which the director was first elected to the Board.

Indemnification. Under our Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws, the directors and officers are entitled to indemnification from the Company to the fullest extent permitted by Georgia law. We have entered into indemnification agreements with each of our directors and executive officers. Those agreements do not increase the extent or scope of the indemnification provided, but do establish processes and procedures for indemnification claims.

Other. Non-employee directors are reimbursed for customary and usual expenses incurred in attending Board, committee and shareholder meetings. Directors are also reimbursed for customary and usual expenses associated with other business activities related to their Board service, including participation in director education programs and memberships in director organizations. We pay premiums on directors and officers liability insurance policies that we maintain that cover our directors. We do not provide retirement benefits to non-employee directors.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The executive officers of the Company and their ages and titles are set forth below. Business experience for the past five years and other information is provided in accordance with SEC rules.

Richard F. Smith (54) has been Chairman and CEO since December 2005.

Lee Adrean (62) has been Corporate Vice President and CFO since October 2006.

John J. Kelley III (53) was appointed Corporate Vice President and Chief Legal Officer effective January 1, 2013. His responsibilities include legal services, global sourcing, security and compliance, government and legislative relations, corporate governance and privacy functions. Mr. Kelley was a senior partner in the Corporate Practice Group of the law firm of King & Spalding LLP from January 1993 to December 2012, specializing in a broad range of corporate finance transactions and securities matters, advising public clients regarding SEC reporting and disclosure requirements, and other corporate governance and compliance matters.

Coretha M. Rushing (57) has been Corporate Vice President and Chief Human Resources Officer since 2006.

Paul J. Springman (68) has served as Corporate Vice President and Chief Marketing Officer since February 2004.

David C. Webb (58) became Chief Information Officer in January 2010. Prior to joining the Company, he served as Chief Operations Officer for SVB Financial Corp. since 2008.

J. Dann Adams (56) has been President, Workforce Solutions since April 2010. Previously, he was President, U.S. Consumer Information Solutions since 2007.

Rodolfo O. Ploder (53) has been President, U.S. Consumer Information Solutions since April 2010 and before that was President, International from January 2007.

Paulino Do Rego Barros, Jr. (57) has been President, International since April 2010. Prior to joining the Company, he was founder of PB&C Global Investments LLC, an international business consulting firm, and served as its President from October 2008.

Joseph M. Loughran, III (46) has been President, North America Personal Solutions since January 2010. He was Senior Vice President Corporate Development from April 2006 to December 2009.

Alejandro Gonzalez (44) has been President, North America Commercial Solutions since January 2010. He was Senior Vice President of Strategic Marketing from December 2005 to December 2009.

Nuala M. King (60) has been Senior Vice President and Controller since May 2006.

PROPOSALS TO BE VOTED ON

PROPOSAL 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

All members of our Board are elected to serve until the next annual meeting of shareholders and until their successors have been elected and qualified. The ten nominees for election listed below each have consented to being named in this Proxy Statement and to serve if elected. Presented below is biographical information for each of the nominees. Each nominee was elected at the 2013 Annual Meeting with the exception of Mr. Marcus, who was appointed a director by the Board effective November 1, 2013 and became a member of the Governance Committee on January 1, 2014.

Our directors have a variety of backgrounds, which reflects the Board s continuing objective to achieve a diversity of perspective, experience, knowledge, ethnicity and gender. As more fully discussed below, director nominees are considered on the basis of a range of criteria, including their business knowledge and background, reputation and global business perspective. They must also have demonstrated experience and ability that is relevant to the Board s oversight role with respect to Company business and affairs. Each director s biography includes the particular experience and qualifications that led the Board to conclude that the director should serve on the Board.

THE BOARD RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR THE ELECTION OF EACH NOMINEE LISTED BELOW.

Nominees for Directors

James E. Copeland, Jr., 69 Retired Chief Executive Officer of Deloitte & Touche LLP and Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu, public accounting firms. Mr. Copeland served in such capacity from 1999 until his retirement in 2003. He also is a director of ConocoPhillips and Time Warner Cable, Inc., and a former director of Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc. Director since 2003 Independent Significant Experience/Competencies **Overview of Board Qualifications** Chair of Audit Committee Former Large Company CEO General Management & Business Operations Mr. Copeland has invaluable expertise in the areas of Mergers & Acquisitions audit, accounting and finance, including operating experience as the CEO of a major international accounting firm. His knowledge of the Company s International structure, operations, compliance programs and risk oversight as Chairman of the Audit Committee is of Strategy Development particular importance to our Board. The Board also values Mr. Copeland s insight and judgment gained Accounting through years of public company board experience with companies operating in industries as diverse as oil and **Risk Management** gas, beverages and entertainment, including experience on audit, executive, compensation and finance Finance committees of other publicly traded companies.

Director since 2006 Independent Audit Committee	President and Chief Financial Officer of T 2011, Vice Chairman from 2011 until his r Thomson Corporation board of directors fr provider of integrated information solution 1998, Mr. Daleo served in senior operation	man of Thomson Reuters. Mr. Daleo was Executive Vice homson Reuters or its predecessors from 1997 through tetirement in December 2012, and a member of The rom 2001 to April 2008. Thomson Reuters is a global as to business and professional customers. From 1994 to as, planning, finance and business development positions y serves on the Board of Directors of Citrix Systems, Inc. Overview of Board Qualifications
Compensation Committee		
	Former Public Company CFO General Management & Business Operations Mergers & Acquisitions International Strategy Development Auditing Risk Management Finance	Mr. Daleo has developed extensive financial accounting and corporate finance expertise through his experience as chief financial officer of a large multinational company. The Board values his leadership and risk assessment skills which are important to our efforts to expand our global information solutions business, data acquisitions and marketing to banks and other financial institutions. Mr. Daleo also has public company board experience.
Director since 2007	banking, securities and investment manage Goldman Sachs Board of International Ad	outheast of Goldman, Sachs & Co., a global investment ement firm, since January 2006. He also serves on the visors. Prior to joining Goldman Sachs, Mr. Driver served as Spalding LLP, an international law firm, from 1999 through Directors of Total System Services, Inc.
Independent		
Governance Committee	Significant Experience/Competencies	Overview of Board Qualifications
Compensation Committee	International Investment Banking	
	Former Head of International Law Firm	
	General Management & Business Operations	
	Mergers & Acquisitions	
	International	Mr. Driver has extensive investment banking expertise in evaluating corporate acquisitions, strategies, operations and ricks. The Board values his judgment, skills and
	Strategy Development	and risks. The Board values his judgment, skills and experience in legal and regulatory matters gained through
	Finance	leadership of a major international law firm. Mr. Driver also has corporate governance experience and insight gained through his legal practice and public company
	Legal, Corporate Governance and Compliance	directorships, including service on compensation and governance committees.

Director since 2007 Independent	Atlanta, since February 2007. Mr. Feidler BellSouth Corporation, a telecommunicati appointed Chief Operating Officer of Bell Staff Officer during 2004. From 2001 thro Cingular Wireless and served on the Board	of MSouth Equity Partners, a private equity firm based in was President and Chief Operating Officer and a director of tons company, from 2005 until January 2007. He was South Corporation in January 2005 and served as its Chief ough 2003, Mr. Feidler was Chief Operating Officer of d of Directors of Cingular from 2005 until January 2007. He of Directors of the New York Life Insurance Company.
Audit Committee		
Technology Committee	Significant Experience/Competencies	Overview of Board Qualifications
	Former Public Company President & COO	Mr. Feidler has extensive operating, financial, legal and regulatory experience through his prior position with a major regional telecommunications company, as well as
	General Management & Business Operations	expertise in private equity investments and acquisitions. This background is relevant to us as we market our products to companies in telecommunications and other
	Mergers & Acquisitions	vertical markets, while his private equity experience is relevant to our new product development, marketing and
	International	acquisition strategies. His public company operating experience and background in financial, accounting and risk management are an important resource for our Audit
	Strategy Development	Committee and Board.
	Finance	
Director since 1992	multi-bank holding company. Mr. Human Inc. from 2007 to April 2008; Chairman a Chairman, President and Chief Executive	ve Chairman of the Board of SunTrust Banks, Inc., a n was Executive Chairman of the Board of SunTrust Banks, nd Chief Executive Officer from 2004 through 2006; Officer from 1998 to 2004; and President from 1991 to Enterprises Inc. and is the Lead Director of Haverty
Independent	Furniture Companies, Inc., where he was 2012.	Non-Executive Chairman from May 2010 to December
Presiding Director		
Chair of Compensation, Human Resources & Management	Significant Experience/Competencies	Overview of Board Qualifications
Succession Committee	Former Public Company CEO	Mr. Humann has over 41 years of experience in the banking, mortgage and financial services industry. The
Governance Committee	Industry Experience	Board highly values his experience and insights regarding how our customers use our services and products to
	General Management & Business Operations	manage their risk and retention objectives. The Board also values his leadership skills and deep knowledge of our business and perspective gained from 21 years of
	Mergers & Acquisitions	service on the Board and at other public companies.
	International Strategy Development	
	Finance	
	Retail	
	Corporate Governance & Compliance	

Corporate Governance & Compliance

Risk Management

Banking

Director since 2013

Governance Committee

Independent

Robert D. Marcus, 48 Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Time Warner Cable Inc., a provider of video, high-speed data and voice services, since January 1, 2014. He served as its President and Chief Operating Officer from December 14, 2010 through 2013. Prior thereto, he was Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer from January 2008 and Senior Executive Vice President from August 2005. Mr. Marcus joined Time Warner Cable Inc. from Time Warner Inc. where he had served as Senior Vice President, Mergers and Acquisitions from 2002. He was named a director of Time Warner Cable Inc. in July 2013. From 1990 to 1997, he practiced law at Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison.

Significant Experience/Competencies

Overview of Board Qualifications

Current Public Company CEO	Mr. Marcus has extensive operating, financial, legal and regulatory experience through his position with a major
Former Public Company CFO	cable company, as well as expertise in mergers and acquisitions. This background is relevant to us as we
General Management & Business Operations	market our products to data and telecommunications companies and other vertical markets. His public company operating and finance experience and
Mergers & Acquisitions	background in legal and regulatory matters are an important resource for our Board.
Finance	

Consumer Marketing

Legal & Regulatory

Siri S. Marshall, 65 Retired Senior Vice President, General Counsel, Secretary and Chief Governance and Compliance Officer of General Mills, Inc., a global diversified foods maker and distributor, where she served in that position from 1994 until her retirement in January 2008. Ms. Marshall is currently a director of Ameriprise Financial, Inc., a diversified financial services company, and Alphatec Holdings, Inc., a medical device company specializing in spine disorders. She is also on the Board of Directors of the Yale Law School Center for the Study of Corporate Law and on the Board of Advisers of Manchester Capital Management, Inc. During the past five years, Ms. Marshall also served as a director of BioHorizons, Inc., a dental implant and biologics company, a Distinguished Advisor to the Straus Institute for Dispute Resolution, and a trustee of the Minneapolis Institute of Arts. In February 2011. Ms. Marshall received the Sandra Day O Connor Board Excellence

Compensation, Human Resources & Management Succession Committee	Award from DirectWomen.			
	Significant Experience/Competencies	Overview of Board Qualifications		
	Former Public Company General Counsel, Corporate Secretary & Chief Compliance Officer	Ms. Marshall s over 13 years of executive exp General Mills provides a valuable perspective organizational management, legal, compliance regulatory and government affairs, consumer p		
	General Management & Business Operations	business and corporate governance. The Board particularly values her broad experience with o		

Mergers & Acquisitions

International

ver 13 years of executive experience at vides a valuable perspective on our nagement, legal, compliance, vernment affairs, consumer products orate governance. The Board particularly values her broad experience with other public company boards, including as a Presiding Director of a large financial institution, as well as her perspective and insight gained through her service on the executive, compensation and governance committees of other public companies and her leading role in corporate law and dispute resolution matters.

Director since 2006

Chair of Governance Committee

Independent

Management

Edgar Filing: EQUIFAX INC - Form DEF 14A

Strategy Development

Retail

Legal, Corporate Governance & Compliance

Director since 2008 Independent Chair of Technology Committee	John A. McKinley , 56 Chief Executive Officer of SaferAging, Inc., a senior care service provider based in Washington, D.C., and Co-founder of LaunchBox Digital, a venture capital firm in Washington, D.C. Mr. McKinley was Chief Technology Officer of News Corporation from July 2010 to September 2012. He was President, AOL Technologies and Chief Technology Officer from 2003 to 2005 and President, AOL Digital Services from 2004 to 2006. Prior thereto, he served as Executive President, Head of Global Technology and Services and Chief Technology Officer for Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc., from 1998 to 2003; Chief Information and Technology Officer for GE Capital Corporation from 1995 to 1998; and Partner, Financial Services Technology Practice, for Ernst & Young International from 1982 to 1995.					
Audit Committee	Significant Experience/Competencies	Overview of Board Qualifications				
	Former Public Company CTO	The Board highly values Mr. McKinley s extensive background in managing complex global technology				
	General Management & Business Operations	operations as Chief Technology Officer at a number of leading global companies. These skills are highly relevant to the Board s oversight of risks and opportunities in our				
	Mergers & Acquisitions	technology operations, risk management and capital investments. The Board also values his entrepreneurial insights.				
	International Strategy Development					
	Finance					
	Venture Capital					
	Technology Development, Operations & Marketing					
Director since 2005 Chairman and Chief Executive Officer	Smith was Chief Operating Officer of GE I and Chief Executive Officer of GE Propert and Chief Executive Officer of GE Propert	tef Executive Officer of Equifax since September 2005. Mr. Insurance Solutions from 2004 to August 2005; President y and Casualty Reinsurance from 2003 to 2004; President y and Casualty Reinsurance Americas of GE Global D3; and President and Chief Executive Officer of GE				
	Significant Experience/Competencies	Overview of Board Qualifications				
	Current Public Company CEO	As Chairman and CEO, Mr. Smith leads our senior management team and brings to the Board extensive				
	Industry Experience	knowledge of the Company and its strategy gained through his demonstrated leadership and performance in				
	General Management & Business Operations	all aspects of our business. The Board also values his management experience over a 22-year career at General Electric Co. in global leadership positions in insurance,				
	Mergers & Acquisitions	asset management and financing.				
	International Strategy Development					
	Finance					
	Risk Management					

Mark B. Templeton, 61 Chief Executive Officer, President and a director of Citrix Systems, Inc., a global software development firm. He has served as Chief Executive Officer of Citrix Systems, Inc. since 1999.

Director since 2008		
Independent	Significant Experience/Competencies	Overview of Board Qualifications
Compensation, Human Resources & Management Succession Committee	Current Public Company CEO & President	The Board highly values Mr. Templeton s operating experience, leadership and perspective in business
Technology Committee	General Management & Business Operations	strategy, operations, and business growth. His counsel and insight in technology opportunities, particularly in the development and global marketing of advanced technology products, has direct application to our
	Mergers & Acquisitions	strategic emphasis on investment in new technology products and global expansion.
	International	
	Strategy Development	
	Finance	
	Technology Development, Operations & Marketing	

PROPOSAL 2 RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF ERNST & YOUNG LLP AS THE COMPANY S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR 2014

The Audit Committee has selected Ernst & Young LLP (Ernst & Young) as the Company s independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal year 2014, and the Board is asking shareholders to ratify that selection. Although current laws, rules, and regulations, as well as the charter of the Audit Committee, require the Audit Committee to engage, retain, and supervise the Company s independent registered public accounting firm, the Board considers the selection of the independent registered public accounting firm to be an important matter of shareholder concern and is submitting the selection of Ernst & Young for ratification by shareholders as a matter of good corporate practice. If the shareholders do not ratify the selection of Ernst & Young, the Audit Committee will review the Company s relationship with Ernst & Young and take such action as it deems appropriate, which may include continuing to retain Ernst & Young as the Company s independent registered public accounting firm.

Ernst & Young has served as our independent registered public accounting firm since 2002. A representative of Ernst & Young will be available during the meeting to make a statement if such representative desires to do so and to respond to appropriate questions.

Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Fees

The following table sets forth the fees of Ernst & Young for services rendered to the Company for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012:

Audit and Non-Audit Fees

Fee Category	2013	2012
Audit Fees ⁽¹⁾	\$ 3,989,311	\$ 3,367,672
Audit-Related Fees ⁽²⁾	461,541	425,070
Tax Fees ⁽³⁾	998,604	1,405,336
All Other Fees ⁽⁴⁾	20,001	1,995
Total	\$ 5,469,457	\$ 5,200,073

(1) Consists of fees and expenses for professional services rendered for the integrated audit of our annual consolidated financial statements and internal control over financial reporting and review of the interim consolidated financial statements included in our quarterly reports to the SEC, and services normally provided by the Company s independent registered public accounting firm in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements, accounting consultations on matters addressed during the audit or interim reviews, and SEC filings, including comfort letters, consents and comment letters.

- (2) Consists of fees and expenses for services that reasonably are related to the performance of the audit or review of our consolidated financial statements and are not reported under *Audit Fees*. These services include employee benefit plan audits, financial due diligence related to mergers and acquisitions, and information technology security reviews.
- (3) Consists of fees and expenses for professional services related to tax planning and tax advice.

(4) Consists of fees for products and services provided by Ernst & Young which are not included in the first three categories above. Policy on Audit Committee Pre-Approval of Audit and Non-Audit Services Performed by the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Company maintains an auditor independence policy that mandates that the Audit Committee approve the audit and non-audit services in advance. The Audit Committee has authorized its Chair to pre-approve certain permissible audit and non-audit services that arise between Audit

Table of Contents

Edgar Filing: EQUIFAX INC - Form DEF 14A

Committee meetings, provided the Audit Committee is informed of the decision to pre-approve the services at its next scheduled meeting. In its pre-approval of non-audit services and fees, the Audit Committee considers, among other factors, the possible effect of the performance of such services on the auditor s independence. The Audit Committee has determined that performance of services other than audit services is compatible with maintaining the independence of the Company s independent registered public accounting firm. See *Audit Committee Report* on page 69.

To avoid potential conflicts of interest in maintaining auditor independence, the law prohibits a publicly traded company from obtaining certain non-audit services from its independent registered public accounting firm. In 2013 and 2012, we did not obtain any of these prohibited services from Ernst & Young. The Company uses other accounting firms for these types of non-audit services.

THE BOARD RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR PROPOSAL 2.

PROPOSAL 3 ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Summary

As we do each year, and as required by Section 14A of the Securities Exchange Act, we are seeking advisory shareholder approval of the compensation of our named executive officers (*NEOs*) as disclosed in the section of this Proxy Statement titled *Executive Compensation* beginning on page 28. Shareholders are being asked to vote on the following advisory resolution:

RESOLVED, that the shareholders advise that they approve the compensation of Equifax s named executive officers, as disclosed pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission (which disclosure shall include the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the compensation tables, and any related material).

The following is a summary of some of the key points of our 2013 executive compensation program.

Strong 2013 Company financial performance and total shareholder return. Our excellent financial and operating results continued in 2013:

Operating revenue increased 11% to \$2.3 billion;

Adjusted EPS (non-GAAP) as reported in our earnings release rose 24% to \$3.60 (\$3.61 non-GAAP Adjusted EPS at our budgeted foreign exchange rates); and

Our stock returned 30% to shareholders in 2013 compared to 32% for the S&P 500 Index and, over the three years ended December 31, 2013, has returned 104% on a cumulative basis, almost double the S&P 500 index return of 57%.

We emphasize pay-for-performance and tie a significant amount of our NEOs target pay to our performance. Approximately 84% of the target total direct compensation of our CEO and an average of 74% of the total targeted direct compensation of our other NEOs was variable, at-risk incentive-based and stock-based compensation tied to the achievement of internal performance targets or Company stock price performance.

Our compensation programs are strongly aligned with the long-term interests of our shareholders. Equity awards and our stock ownership guidelines serve to align the interests of our executives with those of our long-term shareholders by encouraging long-term performance. As such, equity awards are a key component of our executive compensation program. In 2013, long-term equity incentive awards represented 68% of our CEO s target total direct compensation (an average of 58% for our other NEOs). Performance-based share units (PSUs) and restricted stock units (RSUs) are designed to reward for long-term stock performance both on an absolute basis and relative to peers.

We provide competitive pay opportunities that reflect best practices and strong governance standards. The Compensation Committee reviews our executive compensation program to ensure that it provides competitive pay opportunities and demonstrates a commitment to strong corporate governance and best practices. The Committee establishes appropriate performance targets based on our strategic and operating plans. The Committee has retained an external, independent compensation consultant to ensure proper alignment of our program with our shareholders interests and current market practices, and to ensure that these programs do not create inappropriate or excessive risk that is likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company. In addition, the Committee has implemented (i) clawback provisions in our incentive plans, (ii) capped incentives, (iii) stock ownership guidelines, (iv) prohibitions on re-pricing stock options, hedging, pledging, short-selling and trading derivative Company securities, (v) double-trigger change-in-control severance, (vi) no income tax gross-ups, (vii) no excise tax gross-ups for new participants, (viii) equity compensation grant procedures, and (ix) an annual process to assess the risks related to our Company-wide compensation programs.

2013 Say-on-Pay Vote and Actions Taken

In 2013, our shareholders approved the compensation of our NEOs with a 92.9% approval rating. The Compensation Committee viewed the results of this vote as significant support for our executive compensation program. No changes to our program were made specifically as a result of this vote. The Committee and the Board continued to emphasize the importance of linking pay to performance and requested additional management engagement with our largest shareholders to receive their feedback on our compensation program and corporate governance practices. There was no consistent call for any program design changes other than to continue to align pay with performance. The Compensation Committee weighed this feedback, the 2012 and 2013 changes to our long-term incentive program, the increased weighting on performance-based long-term incentives for the CEO and advice from its independent compensation consultant and concluded that our 2013 and ongoing executive compensation program is appropriately designed with challenging performance metrics and incentives and an appropriate mix of fixed and at-risk variable pay.

Board Recommendation

Our Board believes that the information provided above and within the *Executive Compensation* section of this Proxy Statement demonstrates that our executive compensation program is designed appropriately and is working to ensure that management s interests are aligned with our shareholders interests and support long-term value creation.

Although the vote is non-binding, the Board of Directors and the Compensation Committee will review the voting results in connection with their ongoing evaluation of Equifax s compensation program.

Unless the Board modifies its policy on the frequency of future say-on-pay advisory votes, the next say-on-pay vote will be held at the 2015 annual meeting of shareholders. The next advisory vote on the frequency of say-on-pay proposals is scheduled to occur at the 2017 annual meeting of shareholders.

THE BOARD RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR ADVISORY APPROVAL OF THE RESOLUTION SET FORTH ABOVE.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The Compensation, Human Resources & Management Succession Committee (the *Compensation Committee*) has reviewed and discussed with management the Compensation Discussion and Analysis contained in this Proxy Statement. Based upon this review and discussion, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Proxy Statement and incorporated by reference in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013.

Compensation, Human Resources & Management Succession Committee

L. Phillip Humann, Chair

Robert D. Daleo

Walter W. Driver, Jr.

Siri S. Marshall

Mark B. Templeton

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis (CD&A) relates to each named executive officer, or NEO, identified in the Summary Compensation Table on page 52 of this Proxy Statement.

Executive Summary

The Compensation, Human Resources and Management Succession Committee (the Compensation Committee) has adopted an executive compensation program that aligns our executives interests with those of our shareholders and promotes the creation of shareholder value without encouraging excessive risk-taking. The Compensation Committee ties a majority of our executives compensation to key performance measures that contribute to or reflect shareholder value. In addition to base salary, our NEOs compensation package includes an annual cash incentive opportunity based on the Company s attainment of objective, pre-established performance metrics, and long-term equity awards consisting of performance share units (PSUs) whose value is tied to relative total shareholder return performance, and time-based restricted stock units (RSUs). Our executive compensation programs play a significant role in our ability to attract and retain the experienced, successful executive team that drives our financial results over time.

Company Performance and Executive Compensation in 2013

The Company delivered excellent financial and operating results in 2013.

Operating revenue increased 11% to \$2.3 billion.

Net income from continuing operations increased 25%, to \$333.4 million.

Non-GAAP Adjusted EPS as reported in our earnings release was \$3.60, up 24% from 2012 Adjusted EPS of \$2.91; non-GAAP Adjusted EPS at our budgeted foreign exchange rates for 2013 was \$3.61 (see the reconciliation of such non-GAAP financial measures to the corresponding GAAP financial measures provided in Appendix A to this Proxy Statement).

Cumulative total shareholder return (stock price appreciation plus dividends, or TSR) was slightly below the S&P 500 index for the one-year period ended December 31, 2013, and substantially above the S&P 500 for the three- and five-year periods. Our TSR was

Edgar Filing: EQUIFAX INC - Form DEF 14A

30%, 104% and 178%, respectively, compared to 32%, 57% and 128% for the S&P 500 stock index.

The Company increased its dividend by 22% in February 2013 and a further 14% in February 2014, the fourth consecutive annual increase, and a 16% compound annual growth rate over the last three years. Our positive financial results for 2013 directly affected our NEOs compensation.

Annual cash incentives were paid at an average of 135.9% of target for the achievement of challenging corporate financial goals (operating revenue and Adjusted EPS).

Long-term stock-based incentives increased in value as our share price increased.

Say-on-Pay Results and Other Key Executive Compensation Program Actions for 2013

In making its decisions regarding NEO compensation, the Compensation Committee considers the results of the management say-on-pay proposals which are presented to shareholders for approval annually. In 2013, 92.9% of the votes cast approved the executive compensation program. The Committee concluded that no changes to the elements of compensation for our NEOs were necessary specifically as a result of this advisory vote or discussions with our shareholders. However, the Committee did take a number of significant actions, described below, consistent with our executive compensation philosophy and discussions with shareholders. As a result, our compensation policies and decisions, explained in detail in this CD&A, continue to be focused on long-term financial performance to drive shareholder value.

Action Taken	Reason for Action
Capped the payout of future PSU awards at the target level in the event the Company s total shareholder return performance is negative over the applicable three-year performance period, even if the Company s total	Continue to align executives interests with those of shareholders
shareholder return, on a comparative basis, exceeds the median of the S&P 500	
Increased the percentage of performance-based stock units for the CEO from 54% to 57%	Further enhance our practices of paying for performance
Performed an extensive design, best practices and historical practices review of the total compensation program	Ensure the executive compensation program continues to be effective and aligned with shareholder value creation
Changed the price of our shares used to determine the target value of long-term incentive awards from a prior average stock price to the grant date stock price	Averaging was used in the past to mitigate the impact of short-term share price changes on the number of shares granted, particularly when stock options were a component of the program. For 2014, the Committee changed to grant date value, noting that all equity
Compensation of Richard F. Smith, Chairman and CEO	grants to the NEOs are now full value shares (PSUs and RSUs)

Mr. Smith was hired on September 19, 2005, from a senior and highly compensated position as Chief Operating Officer of GE Insurance Solutions, a much larger enterprise than the Company, with the expectation that he would drive the Company at an accelerated pace to a significantly larger scale over time commensurate with his compensation incentives. Therefore, in order to recruit Mr. Smith, the Company constructed a starting compensation package that was high relative to Company peers but that was required to attract him from his 22-year career at GE.

Although from a total compensation perspective Mr. Smith began in the upper quartile of our compensation peer group, a market position he has maintained, pay increases since he was hired have been data-derived and moderate despite the Company s significant growth. Market annualized increases over this period were 2.8% for base salary, 0.7% for target annual bonus percentage, and 5.9% for long-term equity incentives, resulting in a 4.8% average annual increase in total compensation opportunities for the market and for Mr. Smith.

During Mr. Smith s tenure, the Compensation Committee has also made his pay opportunities increasingly longer-term and performance-based:

Since 2012, the Committee has applied all pay modifications to the performance-based portion of long-term incentives; Base salary has not increased since 2008;

The target annual incentive has increased only five percentage points during Mr. Smith s eight-year tenure;

In 2012, long-term incentive plan (LTI) design was changed to replace the stock option component with performance-based PSUs that reward total shareholder return (TSR) relative to the S&P 500 over a three year period, with significant penalties for underperforming; and

The combination of these changes moved Mr. Smith s 2013 LTI mix to 57% based on PSUs and 43% in RSUs vesting over three years (60%-40% for 2014).

In addition, Mr. Smith s actual compensation has varied considerably from year to year depending on Company performance, including a more than 30% decrease in incentive payout in 2013, when corporate financial performance was around or moderately above the target level, compared to 2012 when it exceeded maximum on the two key corporate financial goals.

The Company has consistently outperformed under Mr. Smith s leadership and is well-positioned for sustainable, strong growth in the future. Since he joined the Company:

Through the end of 2013, TSR was 122% compared to 79% for the S&P 500, generating an additional \$4 billion in shareholder value, or \$1.6 billion over an S&P 500-equivalent return;

Our share price has risen 105%, from \$33.64 to \$69.09 at year end 2013;

The quarterly dividend has increased 525%, from \$0.04 in 2005 to \$0.25 in the first quarter of 2014; and

Critical, but less externally visible improvements in the Company s scale and operational performance occurred in the areas of talent management, process improvements, platforms for growth, product diversification, global security, regulatory compliance, dividend growth and capital structure.

Note: Proxy-reported amounts from 2005 through 2013 for Mr. Smith s LTI did not track the survey increases exactly due to 1) application of the increases less to base salary and more to performance-based LTI; 2) one-time awards made in connection with his hiring; 3) the Committee s use of an average stock price for grant purposes in years prior to 2014; 4) differences in Black-Scholes values used for decision-making and proxy reporting purposes; and 5) required use of a Monte Carlo valuation method for proxy reporting of PSUs tied to relative TSR.

2013 Target Pay Mix

As illustrated in the chart below, the Company emphasizes long-term equity awards and annual performance-based cash incentives so that a substantial portion of each executive s total compensation opportunity is linked directly to the Company s stock price or otherwise driven by performance (84% of total direct compensation for our CEO and an average of 74% for the other NEOs):

*Percentages calculated using actual base salary, actual annual incentive and the grant date value of annual long-term incentive awards.

Principal Components of the Executive Compensation Program

The Company s current executive compensation program is simple in design and consists of three basic components in addition to limited perquisites and provision of change in control protection:

Information on Each Compensation Element

Element	Background	Key Features
Base salary	The objective of base salary is to provide sufficient competitive pay to attract and retain experienced and successful executives and to attract executives from companies larger than ourselves with the requisite experience to create scalable processes necessary to drive significant growth. This philosophy positions us for business	The CEO s base salary has not changed since 2008.
	expansion without undue cost to the Company. The base salary program is designed to reward the required day to day activities and responsibilities of each position as well as individual performance.	For other NEOs, base salary is targeted at the average of the size-adjusted median and 65 th percentile of general industry survey data (and for the CFO, peer group proxy data), with adjustments as needed to reflect individual performance and responsibilities.
	We choose to pay base salary because it is an expected aspect of executive compensation in the marketplace.	
Annual cash incentive	The objective of the annual cash incentive program is to encourage and reward valuable contributions to our annual financial and operational performance objectives.	The CEO s annual cash incentive opportunity has not changed since 2011.
	The plan is designed to reward high performance and achievement of corporate and individual goals by key employees, including our NEOs.	For other NEOs, annual cash incentive is targeted at the median of the general industry survey data.
	We choose to pay annual incentive compensation to encourage actions that will result in the growth of shareholder value and to ensure a competitive compensation program given the marketplace prevalence of short-term incentive compensation.	For executives with Company-wide responsibilities, payments are based on Company revenue from continuing operations (used to measure top line business growth) and adjusted EPS (used to measure the profitability of that growth), and individual performance.
		For business unit heads, payments are based on Company Adjusted EPS, their business unit revenue and operating income, and individual performance.

Element	Background	Key Features
Long-term incentive	The objective of the long-term incentive program is to retain our executives and to drive stock performance for shareholders.	All 2013 increases in the CEO s pay opportunity were applied to the PSU component of the long-term incentive program.
	The program is designed to reward for stock performance on both an absolute basis and relative to peers. We choose to provide long-term incentive opportunities in order to motivate executives to achieve the above objective.	For other NEOs, long-term incentive award sizes are targeted to median peer group levels, adjusted to reflect individual performance.
		PSUs will vest, if at all, after three years with the number of shares earned based on the achievement of the Company s relative TSR over that period versus other S&P 500 companies.
		RSUs represent the value of a share of our common stock, and are earned and vested after three years subject to continued employment and minimum operating income thresholds.
Retirement benefits	The objective of retirement benefits is to provide post-retirement security. Such benefits are designed to directly reward continued service and indirectly reward individual performance. We choose to provide these benefits in order to attract and retain highly qualified executives.	Participation in pension and savings plans, deferral plans and a supplemental retirement plan.
Perquisites	For a discussion of the business objectives for providing perquisites, see page 47.	and the details of perquisites provided,
Provision of	For a discussion of the business objectives for providing change-in-c	ontrol protection, and the details of

How We Determine the Total Amount of Compensation

change-in-control protection change-in-control protection provided, see pages 48, 61-68.

Role of the Compensation Committee, Management and Compensation Consultants in Determining Executive Compensation. For information on how the Compensation Committee works with management and independent compensation consultants in making executive pay decisions, see *Role of the Compensation Committee and Management in Determining Executive Compensation* on page 11 and *Compensation Consultant Services and Independence* on page 12.

Edgar Filing: EQUIFAX INC - Form DEF 14A

Benchmarking Process. We consider market pay practices when setting executive compensation. The Compensation Committee uses benchmarking to guide decision-making with respect to executive pay levels.

Chief Executive Officer

Mr. Smith joined the Company as CEO in September 2005. Since then, through the end of 2013, our revenue has grown by 60% and our cumulative TSR has outpaced the S&P 500 index by over 94%. The Compensation Committee has adjusted Mr. Smith s pay opportunities based on annual changes corresponding to the constant sample general industry market movement for chief executive officers in the Aon Hewitt Total Compensation Measurement database. For 2013, the Committee reflected the pay increase indicated by such market movement in the performance-based component of Mr. Smith s target long-term incentive opportunity. See *Compensation of Richard F. Smith, Chairman and CEO* on page 29 for additional background information on Mr. Smith s compensation.

The Committee calculated Mr. Smith s target long-term incentive opportunity for 2013 based on the prior average stock price in February 2013 when the Committee met to approve his compensation. The result at grant date stock price was a decrease for Mr. Smith of 3.3% (\$6,231,221 in 2013 vs. \$6,445,656 in 2012). His 2013 target long-term incentive award opportunity was granted 57% in the form of PSUs and 43% in time-vested RSUs (compared to 53% PSUs and 47% RSUs in 2012). The equity values for 2013 and 2012 for Mr. Smith as shown in the Summary Compensation Table on page 52 under the column *Stock Awards* reflect grant date fair values computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718.

The table below shows the correlation between CEO total direct compensation (as reported in the Summary Compensation Table, excluding change in pension value and nonqualified deferred compensation earnings and the effect of estimated forfeitures) and the indexed cumulative total shareholder return (*TSR*) of our stock over the period 2009-2013:

Comparison of CEO Total Direct Compensation to Equifax Cumulative Five-Year TSR

	Initial	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
CEO Total Pay (\$000s)*	\$7,456	\$7,699	\$8,059	\$8,781	\$11,663	\$9,711
Indexed TSR (cumulative)	\$100.00	\$117.18	\$136.22	\$151.03	\$214.32	\$277.58
* Excludes annual change in pension value and uses the grant date fair value for equity awards. The annual change in pension value for Mr. Smith was \$1.41						
	6 2012	1 0 (00 1	1.6 2012 0	1 0 '	D C	012 5. 1

million for 2009, \$1.66 million for 2010, \$2.32 million for 2011, \$1.78 million for 2012 and \$699 thousand for 2013. See also *Pension Benefits at 2013 Fiscal Year-End* on page 58.

Other NEOs

For our other NEOs, in 2013 we conducted a detailed market review of executive pay to evaluate each element of pay competitiveness, reviewed pay practices and compared performance against market data



as described below. This analysis was discussed and reviewed by the Compensation Committee with its compensation consultant. Two primary types of market data were used to compile this analysis:

General industry data, focusing on data from those companies which are similar in size to the Company, drawn from all companies in the Aon Hewitt Total Compensation by Industry database; and, for base salaries and annual incentives, also data from the Towers Watson U.S. General Industry Executive Database.

Peer group proxy data, for long-term incentives (and, for the CFO only, also for base salary) was drawn from available proxy statements and public reports for the following 14 publicly-held companies:

Alliance Data Systems Corp.	Fidelity National Financial, Inc.	Lender Processing Services, Inc.
Automatic Data Processing Inc.	Fidelity National Information Services, Inc.	Moody s Corp.
CGI Group Inc.	Fiserv Inc.	Paychex Inc.
DST Systems Inc.	FTI Consulting Inc.	Western Union Co.
Dun & Bradstreet Corp.	Intuit Inc.	

The median 2012 revenue, net income and market capitalization of these companies was \$3.9 billion, \$515 million and \$7.5 billion, compared to the Company s 2012 operating revenue, net income and market capitalization of \$2.16 billion, \$272.1 million and \$6.5 billion, respectively. The selected peer group companies are on average larger than Equifax in all three categories because we aim to provide sufficient competitive pay to attract and retain experienced and successful executives and to attract executives from companies larger than ourselves with the requisite experience to create scalable processes necessary to drive significant growth. This philosophy positions us for business expansion without undue cost to the Company.

This 2013 compensation peer group was unchanged from the group used in 2012. It includes companies against which we compete directly or indirectly for capital, executive talent and, in some cases, business. Because there were no publicly-held, stand-alone direct U.S. competitors across all of our businesses, we focus on similarly complex companies that compete with us in a significant aspect of our business, have similar customers, or are in the business of data and analytics-based business solutions delivered through technology. Industries represented by these companies included information and delivery systems, publishing, specialized finance, information technology consulting services, data processing and outsourced services, and application software.

TSR Peer Group for performance share units. The above-named peer group companies were deemed appropriate for compensation purposes, but their stock performance does not necessarily vary based on the same economic factors as ours. For that reason, the Committee believes a broader peer group is more appropriate for evaluating TSR performance of PSUs issued under our long-term incentive program, as an expanded peer group provides a broader index for comparison and better alignment with shareholder investment choices. Therefore, the Committee uses the companies in the S&P 500 index for determining TSR for that purpose and we are a member of that index.

Use of Tally Sheets and Wealth Accumulation Analysis. In 2013, Meridian prepared for review by the Compensation Committee tally sheets relating to compensation of the NEOs. The tally sheets quantified the total compensation package, the impact of stock price change on the value of existing long-term incentives, the wealth created from prior equity grants, and amounts payable upon hypothetical employment change events. The summaries allowed the Committee to assess the cumulative impact of its past compensation decisions. As a result of viewing the tally sheets, the Committee did not deem any changes to be necessary to the structure of the total compensation package or specific NEO compensation.

Other Factors Considered in Setting Pay Opportunities for NEOs other than the CEO. The CEO and the Compensation Committee consider a number of factors in addition to the market data in determining individual pay amounts (base salaries, payout of the individual portion of short-term

incentive, and yearly equity grants). Such factors include an individual s general level of performance, demonstrated success in meeting or exceeding business objectives and creating shareholder value, job market conditions, importance to our business, succession planning considerations, salary budget guidelines, and the individual s pay in the context of others at the Company. The application of discretion based on such factors may result in pay opportunities that are different from market as determined above. The Committee has not adopted a policy with regard to the relationship of compensation between or among the CEO and the other NEOs or other employees and exercises its discretion in determining actual and relative compensation levels. Overall compensation opportunities reflect our executives positions, responsibilities and tenure and are generally similar for executives who have comparable levels of responsibility (although actual payouts may differ depending on relative performance). Our CEO has ultimate responsibility for the strategic direction of the Company, and therefore is the most highly paid. CEO compensation also reflects the importance of his leadership to the successful design and execution of our business strategy.

Analysis of 2013 Compensation Opportunities

2013 Base Salary

We made no base salary increases in 2013. Mr. Smith s base salary has not changed since 2008. Mr. Kelley joined the Company as Chief Legal Officer in January 2013 and was not eligible for a merit salary increase in 2013. Our other NEOs received lump sum merit payments in lieu of base salary increases, ranging from 3.5% to 4.0% of base salary, to recognize individual performance while maintaining alignment of base salary levels with prior year levels and the baseline level for future compensation actions by the Compensation Committee.

Our executives receive a relatively small percentage of their overall targeted compensation in the form of base pay, ranging from 17% for the CEO to an average of 22% for the other NEOs in 2013. Mr. Kelley was hired by the Company in January 2013 and his base salary was approved by the Compensation Committee based on negotiation and the competitive market for highly qualified and experienced chief legal officers. In February 2013, the Compensation Committee assessed whether each NEO s base pay was sufficient to retain competent executive talent and maintain a stable management team, considering factors such as the benchmarking data described above, an internal review of the executive s position and current compensation, both individually and relative to other executive officers, experience, scope, breadth and complexity of responsibilities, retention considerations, and the individual performance as discussed below.

The Committee reviewed merit salary increases for senior executives in February 2013 based on the Compensation Committee s review of market data, adjustments for position, retention considerations, and individual performance assessments conducted by the CEO. Although no merit salary increases were approved, Messrs. Adrean, Adams and Barros received increases in the form of lump sum payments of \$21,008 (4%), \$14,528 (3.5%) and \$15,141 (3.5%), respectively, in March 2013.

We provide Mr. Adams with a monthly relocation living allowance adjustment of \$11,903, in addition to his base salary, in connection with his relocation from Atlanta to St. Louis to serve as President of our Workforce Solutions business unit as further described under *Retirement and Other Benefits* on page 46.

2013 Annual Cash Incentive Opportunities. The target annual cash incentive opportunities for 2013, expressed as a percentage of base salary earned (including 2013 lump sum merit payments for Messrs. Adrean, Adams and Barros), were unchanged from 2012 for the continuing NEOs including Mr. Smith. Mr. Kelley was newly hired in January 2013, and his target cash incentive opportunity was set the same as others at his executive level.

2013 Long-term Incentive Opportunities. For a discussion of the CEO s long-term incentive opportunity, please refer to the discussion on page 35. For other NEOs, as in prior years, 2013 long-term incentive opportunities were set in a range of plus or minus 25% around the market data. The CEO took 2012 individual performance and the market data into account in recommending for Compensation Committee approval of the 2013 award sizes.

2013 Annual Cash Incentive Goals

Annual cash incentive awards are designed to reward short-term performance, achievement of strategic goals and individual performance objectives.

The 2013 corporate financial performance objectives for the NEOs with Company-wide responsibilities (Messrs. Smith, Adrean and Kelley) were based on the Company s revenue from continuing operations (used to measure top line business growth) and Adjusted EPS (used to measure the profitability of that growth). The financial objectives for Messrs. Adams and Barros, as business unit leaders, were focused primarily on their respective business unit revenue and operating income performance (used to measure unit growth and profitability), as well as Company Adjusted EPS (to emphasize profitability of the Company as a whole).

Establishment of Corporate-Level Financial Goals

In early 2013, the Compensation Committee established corporate financial goals required to earn a cash incentive award for 2013, as follows:

Threshold payout (25% of target payout) was initially set equal to our 2012 actual operating results (\$2.965 Adjusted EPS and \$2.160 billion revenue from continuing operations). These results were reset by the Committee to \$3.24 Adjusted EPS and \$2.175 billion revenue to reflect the impact of a significant acquisition in late 2012, two divestitures completed in early 2013, and expected changes in foreign exchange rates on our international operations. The earnings per share measure used is adjusted EPS as disclosed in the Company's public earnings releases and which excludes the effect of certain material restructuring charges, other material unusual or infrequent items, the results of discontinued operations, and adjusts for certain acquisition-related expenses and benefits.

Target payout was set based on our publicly disclosed strategic goals, long-term financial objectives and performance expectations for 2013 (\$3.56 Adjusted EPS and \$2.31 billion revenue from continuing operations, or 10% and 6%, respectively, above the Threshold payout level).

Maximum payout (200% of target payout) was set based on our challenging stretch goals for 2013 (\$3.73 Adjusted EPS and \$2.38 billion revenue from continuing operations, or 15% and 9%, respectively, above the Threshold payout level). Pursuant to the terms of the annual incentive plan, awards are subject to certain parameters including the Committee s authority to reduce awards through the exercise of its negative discretion; an individual award limit of \$5 million; and a formula or cap designed so that such awards may be deductible by the Company under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, as described under *Consideration of Certain Tax Effects* on page 48.

Establishment of Business Unit-Level Financial Goals

The 2013 corporate and business unit objectives for Messrs. Adams and Barros were as follows:

Mr. Adams

		Threshold	Target	Maximum
Performance Measure	Weight	(25% payout)	(100% payout)	(200% payout)
Corporate Adjusted EPS	30%	\$3.24	\$3.56	\$3.73
Workforce Solutions Adjusted Operating Revenue	30%	\$442.1 million	\$460.4 million	\$472.3 million

Edgar Filing: EQUIFAX INC - Form DEF 14A

			(equal to 2012 actual results)	(a 4.1% increase from 2012	(a 6.8% increase from 2012 actual results)
actual results)				actual results)	
20% \$138.1 million \$146.1 million		20%	\$106.6 million	\$138.1 million	\$146.1 million
Workforce Solutions Adjusted Operating Income(a 29.5% increase from 2012 (a 37.1% increase from 2012	• • •		(equal to 2012 actual	(a 29.5% increase from 2012	(a 37.1% increase from 2012
results) actual results) actual results)			results)	actual results)	actual results)

Mr. Barros

		Threshold	Target	Maximum
Performance Measure	Weight	(25% payout)	(100% payout)	(200% payout)
Corporate Adjusted EPS	30%	\$3.24	\$3.56	\$3.73
International Adjusted Operating Revenue	30%	\$472.5 million	\$509.3 million	\$525.2 million
		(equal to 2012 actual results as restated to 2013 budgeted foreign exchange rates)	(a 7.8% increase from 2012 actual results, measured at budgeted foreign exchange rates)	(a 11.1% increase from 2012 actual results, measured at budgeted foreign exchange rates)
International Adjusted Operating Income	20%	\$136.6 million	\$146.5 million	\$156.5 million
		(equal to 2012 actual results as restated to 2013 budgeted foreign exchange rates)	(a 7.2% increase from 2012 actual results, measured at budgeted foreign exchange rates)	(a 14.6% increase from 2012 actual results, measured at budgeted foreign exchange rates)

Establishment of Individual Performance Objectives

Individual personal objectives are specific to each executive officer position and may relate to:

strategic growth through new product innovation, technology and analytical services, product synergies, acquisitions that provide greater geographic diversity, and expansion of data sources;

development of scalable processes, leveraging applications, and managing expenses to ensure expense growth does not exceed revenue growth; and

non-financial goals that are important to the Company s success, including people-related objectives such as talent management, demonstrating leadership through behavior consistent with our values, and any other business priority.

Personal objectives for the NEOs and other Company senior management are set at the start of each fiscal year and approved by the CEO. At the end of the fiscal year, the CEO uses his judgment to evaluate the performance of the other NEOs against their personal objectives, taking into account performance for the just-completed fiscal year versus predefined commitments for the fiscal year; unforeseen financial, operational and strategic issues of the Company; and any other information deemed relevant by the CEO. The Compensation Committee reviews and approves this performance evaluation and evaluates the performance of the CEO in a similar manner, with input from the full Board. For the 2013 fiscal year, individual performance rating categories and award opportunities for the NEOs were as follows:

			Distinguished =	
	Needs Improvement	Achieves Expectations = 100% of Target Award	150% of Target Award	200% of Target Award
CEO (as a % of annual base salary)	0%	21%	31.5%	42%
Other NEOs (as a % of annual base salary)	0%	12%	18%	24%

annual base salary)0%12%18%24%The 2013 individual performance ratings for the NEOs ranged fromexceeds expectations todistinguished, as reflected below in the 2013 AIPcalculations and as described for each NEO below. All of the NEOs had objectives related to people, including talent management and

Edgar Filing: EQUIFAX INC - Form DEF 14A

succession planning; improving operational efficiencies through LEAN and Workout programs to reduce costs; disaster recovery planning; customer satisfaction; regulatory compliance; and enterprise risk management. Each NEO also had Company-wide or business unit objectives related to long-term strategic objectives.

2013 Annual Cash Incentive Awards

In February 2014, the Committee reviewed executives performance against the pre-established 2013 performance goals as certified by the CFO. Awards could range from 0% of the executive s award goal (for performance below the threshold level) to 200% of the individual s award target (for performance at the maximum level).

The target incentives, maximum performance factors and weightings, along with 2013 awards for the NEOs, are set forth in the table below. A description of how 2013 incentive awards were achieved follows the table.

Named Executive Officer R. Smith	Base Salary (\$) ⁽¹⁾ 1,450,000	Target Incentive (as Percentage of Salary) (%) 105	Target Incentive (\$) 1,522,500	Maximum Incentive (\$) ⁽²⁾ 3,045,000	Performance Factors and Weighting Corporate Results, 80%	Achievement (%) 146.1	FY2013 Incentive as Percentage of Target (%) ⁽³⁾ 139.2	2013 Incentive (\$) 2,118,635
					Individual Results, 20%			
L. Adrean	546,208	60	327,725	655,449	Corporate Results, 80%	83.5	139.2	456,046
J. Kelley	498,231	60	298,939	597,877	Individual Results, 20% Corporate Results, 80%	77.5	129.2	386,095
D. Adams	429,618	60	257,770	515,540	Individual Results, 20% Corporate Results, 30%	102.3	170.5	439,396
					Workforce Solutions Results, 50%			
P. Barros	447,741	60	268,644	537,290	Individual Results, 20% Corporate Results, 30%	81.1	135.2	363,315
					International Results, 50%			
					Individual Results, 20%			

(1) Base salary for Messrs. Adrean, Adams and Barros includes 2013 lump sum merit payments as shown in the Summary Compensation Table on page 52.

(2) The maximum incentive for each is 200% of Target.

(3) Percentages are rounded to the nearest tenth of a percent.

Determination of Each NEO s Performance and Annual Cash Incentive

Richard F. Smith, Chairman and CEO

	Actual as			
	Target	Actual	a % of	2013
Objectives	Level	Results	Target ⁽¹⁾	Incentive
Corporate Adjusted EPS ⁽²⁾	\$3.56	\$3.61	131%	\$1,292,450
Corporate Adjusted Revenue ⁽²⁾	\$2.31B	\$2.30B	95%	\$217,185
Individual Objectives	\$304,500	\$609,000	200%	\$609,000

- (1) Rounded.
- (2) Corporate Adjusted EPS and Corporate Adjusted Revenue (Non-GAAP) exclude certain items affecting comparability. See Appendix A to this Proxy Statement for a reconciliation to the comparable GAAP financial measure. Adjusted EPS and Adjusted Revenue are also stated in constant dollars at budgeted foreign exchange rates for incentive measurement purposes in this table.

Mr. Smith achieved a rating of Distinguished on his individual objectives for 2013. He successfully executed the Company s strategy of broadening and deepening product offerings to improve financial performance in all five business units in a highly challenging global business environment, generating the strong financial results previously noted in this CD&A. He led the Company s efforts to continue strategically building and rebalancing its capabilities with high value acquisitions, including expanding the Company s product line in data, analytics and technology solutions for the debt collections industry through the negotiation of the \$327 million acquisition of TDX Group which was completed in January 2014 (a United Kingdom company that provides businesses with technology, data and advisory solutions to improve debt liquidation and debt management) and the \$22 million acquisition in December 2013 of a majority stake in Inffinix (a Mexico-based global information technology company which helps businesses streamline their collections process and improve their return on debt recovery efforts); seamless integration of the \$1.0 billion acquisition of the credit reporting assets and business of CSC Credit Services, Inc.; and the divestitures of non-strategic assets including Equifax Settlement Services and Performance Assessment Network in the first quarter of 2013. Mr. Smith refined and executed the Company s long-term Growth Playbook strategy by expanding our role in client business decisions and processes through product innovation and delivering unique value to the customer; employing advanced analytics and technology to help drive client growth, security, efficiency and profitability; investing in emerging opportunities and international expansion; diversifying data sources and products; maximizing the use of analytics and decisioning technology to differentiate the Company s product offerings; implementing measures to control expense growth in line with revenue growth; driving operational efficiencies through LEAN, Workout and other continuous business process improvements; accelerating the pace of new product innovation and product transfers across the Company; driving a performance-driven culture to deliver sustained long-term business growth; retaining and developing a strong leadership team; and demonstrating exemplary leadership and values.

Lee Adrean, Corporate Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

			Actual as	
	Target	Actual	a % of	2013
Objectives	Level	Results	Target ⁽¹⁾	Incentive
Corporate Adjusted EPS ⁽²⁾	\$3.56	\$3.61	131%	\$278,206
Corporate Adjusted Revenue ⁽²⁾	\$2.31B	\$2.30B	95%	\$46,750
Individual Objectives	\$65,545	\$131,090	200%	\$131,090

(1) Rounded.

(2) Corporate Adjusted EPS and Corporate Adjusted Revenue (Non-GAAP) exclude items affecting comparability. See Appendix A to this Proxy Statement for a reconciliation to the comparable GAAP financial measure. Adjusted EPS and Adjusted Revenue are also stated in constant dollars at budgeted foreign exchange rates for incentive measurement purposes in this table.

Mr. Adrean achieved a rating of Distinguished on his individual objectives for 2013. As CFO, these objectives included implementing measures to control expense growth in line with revenue growth; improving financial analytical capabilities and operational metrics to help focus management on key revenue, customer and product profitability drivers and opportunities in the business; driving direct profit improvement from product and customer profitability insights; developing the 2014 corporate budget and resource realignment efforts consistent with long-term growth objectives; overseeing and enhancing global enterprise risk management processes, including directing increased investment to data security, disaster recovery and regulatory compliance capabilities; implementing strategies to optimize the corporate tax position; supporting corporate growth initiatives; further developing global finance organization capabilities and planning and initiating the implementation of upgrades to key financial systems; supporting the Company s acquisitions and divestitures described in the previous paragraph with financial diligence and valuation analyses and financial integration; effectively managing the Company s capital structure, ratings, access to capital and allocation of capital among internal growth investments, acquisitions, and return to shareholders; and enhancing the communication of the Company s strategy and growth prospects to the investment community.

John J. Kelley III, Corporate Vice President and Chief Legal Officer

		Actual as		
	Target	Actual	a % of	2013
Objectives	Level	Results	Target ⁽¹⁾	Incentive
Corporate Adjusted EPS ⁽²⁾	\$3.56	\$3.61	131%	\$253,769
Corporate Adjusted Revenue ⁽²⁾	\$2.31B	\$2.30B	95%	\$42,644
Individual Objectives	\$59,788	\$89,682	150%	\$89,682

(1) Rounded.

(2) Corporate Adjusted EPS and Corporate Adjusted Revenue (Non-GAAP) exclude items affecting comparability. See Appendix A to this Proxy Statement for a reconciliation to the comparable GAAP financial measure. Adjusted EPS and Adjusted Revenue are also stated in constant dollars at budgeted foreign exchange rates for incentive measurement purposes in this table.

Mr. Kelley achieved a rating of Exceeds Expectations on his performance as Chief Legal Officer. His general objectives included successfully directing and improving the effectiveness and efficiency of the Company s global sourcing, legal, security, legislative and regulatory compliance operations; enhancing the quality of processes to address increasingly complex global litigation, regulatory compliance and government relations issues; and providing effective support for mergers, acquisitions and other enterprise initiatives.

J. Dann Adams, President, Workforce Solutions

Workforce Solutions Business Unit

Mr. Adams 2013 financial and individual objectives are described in the following table.

		Actual		
	Target	Actual	as a % of	2013
Objectives	Level	Results	Target ⁽¹⁾	Incentive
Corporate Adjusted EPS ⁽²⁾	\$3.56	\$3.61	131%	\$100,995
Workforce Solutions Revenue	\$460.4M	\$474.1M	200%	\$154,662
Workforce Solutions Operating Income	\$138.1M	\$142.6M	156%	\$80,631
Individual Objectives	\$51,554	\$103,108	200%	\$103,108

(1) Rounded.

(2) Corporate Adjusted EPS (Non-GAAP) excludes certain items affecting comparability. See Appendix A to this Proxy Statement for a reconciliation to the comparable GAAP financial measure. Adjusted EPS is also stated in constant dollars at budgeted foreign exchange rates for incentive measurement purposes in this table.

Mr. Adams achieved a rating of Distinguished on his individual objectives as President, Workforce Solutions. His objectives included successfully executing on the Company s long-term growth strategy by expanding The Work Number (TWN) instant employment verification database to 55 million active records and 235 million total records; processing a record number of income and employment verifications in support of our enterprise Decision 360 strategy to combine employment, credit and wealth data to help lenders lend with greater confidence while providing access to credit for consumers; and developing unique capabilities and products across a wide spectrum of vertical markets. New markets developed in 2013 included our largest government contract to date in support of the federal Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services by providing income verification under the Affordable Care Act. Under Mr. Adams leadership, Workforce Solutions developed new compliance tools for employers including a nationally recognized new human resources compliance management product developed through the acquisition of eThority; transforming a legacy unemployment claims business into a high value compliance partner, reducing cost to employers and helping the U.S. Department of Labor and state agencies identify and minimize improper payments; deploying the State Industry Data Exchange (SIDES) to over 33 states, to streamline unemployment claims processing, reducing employer costs and improper payments. The business unit also introduced CaseBuilder, a new software-as-a-service platform, to employers leveraging SIDES which dramatically improved the clients user experience and results and was adopted by over 1,600 clients employing 32,000 users in 2013.

Paulino Do Rego Barros, Jr., President, International

International Business Unit

Mr. Barros 2013 financial and individual objectives are described in the following table.

		Actual as		
Objectives	Target	Adjusted	a % of Target ⁽¹⁾	2013 Banua
Objectives	Level	Results		Bonus
Corporate Adjusted EPS ⁽²⁾	\$3.56	\$3.61	131%	\$ 105,255
Adjusted International Revenue ⁽³⁾	\$ 509.3M	\$ 513.8M	129%	\$ 103,643
Adjusted International Operating Profit ⁽⁴⁾	\$ 146.5M	\$ 150.2M	137%	\$ 73,824
Individual Objectives	\$ 53,729	\$ 80,593	150%	\$ 80,593

- (1) Rounded.
- (2) Corporate Adjusted EPS (Non-GAAP) excludes certain items affecting comparability. See Appendix A to this Proxy Statement for a reconciliation to the comparable GAAP financial measure.
- (3) At 2013 budgeted foreign currency rates.
- (4) At 2013 budgeted foreign currency rates and including a \$0.6 million upward adjustment for litigation settlements in Brazil related to pre-BVS transaction operations.

Mr. Barros achieved a rating of Exceeds Expectations on his individual objectives as President, International. His objectives for International included successfully executing on the Company s long-term growth strategy with respect to International, including acquiring companies in existing and adjacent industries in various countries, and successfully leveraging new product innovation in the U.S. and key countries through the roll out of new products and services in other countries. Mr. Barros continued to effectively implement new technology platforms and solutions which support the transfer of new products and expand Equifax s presence in verticals such as Telecommunications, Financial Industry, Small and Medium Enterprises, and direct-to-consumer Personal Solutions.

Long-Term Equity Incentive Compensation

Summary

In 2013, the Company again used PSUs and RSUs as the components of its long-term incentive program.

The Committee considers individual performance relative to the prior year and the prior year s awards in granting PSUs and RSUs. The value of equity grants increases with the level of position, and for the CEO and other NEOs is the largest element of the total compensation package. In determining the value of PSU and RSU awards to executive officers, the Committee (in the case of the CEO s grant) and the CEO (in the case of recommendations for grants to other NEOs), consider numerous

factors, including the benchmarking data described above, individual performance, and the Company s annual budget for equity awards and intended share run rate (a measure of actual equity-grant activity in relation to the total number of shares outstanding at the Company). Our total equity run rate for 2013 declined to 0.8% from 1.0% in 2012.

PSUs will be earned, if at all, based on the Company s total shareholder return (TSR) performance relative to the companies in the S&P 500 (as constituted on the initial grant date, subject to certain adjustments) over a three-year performance period. This metric aligns with shareholder interests as higher TSR results in higher potential returns for shareholders as well as ensuring a correlation between performance and payouts. PSUs do not accrue dividend equivalent units. The average payout results through each of the final four quarters of the performance period will determine the final result. Payouts for the PSUs awarded on February 8, 2013 will be as follows in February 2016, with straight-line interpolation between the threshold and maximum level:

PSU Performance/Payout Scale

	PSU Payout
Company TSR Percentile	as a % of Target
90 th	200%
70 th	150%
50 th	100%
30 th	50%
Below 30 th	0%

RSUs represent a promise to issue unrestricted shares of our common stock once applicable service vesting and performance requirements (referenced in the next paragraph) are satisfied. The RSUs issued to NEOs cliff vest on the third anniversary of the grant date. The RSUs do not accrue dividend equivalent units. The value of RSUs varies directly with the market price of our common stock, but since some value is likely to be earned, they are used primarily for retention purposes.

For Section 162(m) purposes so that such compensation may be tax-deductible by the Company, the Compensation Committee made the vesting of all PSUs and RSUs awarded in 2013 subject to certain maximum payout limitations based on the Company's operating income levels on a cumulative annual basis over the applicable three-year vesting period, as described under *Consideration of Certain Tax Effects* on page 48.

Determination of 2013 Long-Term Incentive Grant Values

The Compensation Committee determined long-term incentive grant values by establishing a dollar value within the appropriate range for each NEO other than the CEO and then converting this dollar value to a number of PSUs and RSUs based on a prior average stock price of \$50.87 using pre-arranged proportions for each. The CEO s mix was not pre-determined and the final mix of 57% PSUs/43% RSUs resulted from applying the full indicated pay increase to PSUs. The mix was 50% PSUs and 50% RSUs for the other NEOs. By using this approach, the number of RSUs varies from year to year based on a prior average stock price, even if the award value at grant stays consistent from year to year. The approximate 50/50 split of target grant value between PSUs and RSUs in 2013 for executives other than the CEO balanced the relative certainty and retention value offered by RSUs with the higher risk and performance leverage of PSUs.

2013 Long-Term Incentive Awards

The Committee approved equity awards to the NEOs on February 8, 2013, informed by the benchmarking data described above. The following table details the target grant value used by the Compensation Committee to determine the number of PSUs and RSUs. Actual grant date values, computed in accordance with applicable accounting standards, are disclosed in the *Grants of Plan-Based Awards in Fiscal Year 2013* table on page 54. The actual value of equity awards that may be realized by the NEOs will depend on their continued service and our future stock price performance.

February 2013 Target Grant Value for Equity Awards

	Target Grant			
	Value			
Name	\$	Target Number of PSUs Granted	Number of RSUs Granted	2013 vs. 2012 Target Grant Value
R. Smith	\$5,705,225	63,827	48,326	7.8%
L. Adrean	\$1,250,000	12,286	12,286	32%
J. Kelley ⁽¹⁾	\$1,000,000	9,829	9,829	N/A
D. Adams	\$690,000	6,782	6,782	28%
P. Barros	\$750,000	7,372	7,372	26%

(1) Upon joining the Company effective January 1, 2013, Mr. Kelley also received an initial hire equity grant of 22,000 time-based RSUs vesting in three years with a target grant value of \$1,156,980. His employment offer letter included a commitment for a total equity grant value of at least \$1,000,000 in 2013. Equity Award Grant Practices

We have a written policy on equity grants designed to formalize our equity grant practices and ensure that equity awards will be made on specified dates. The Compensation Committee reviews and approves annual equity-based awards to senior executives who are reporting officers under Section 16 of the Exchange Act in the first calendar quarter of each year (around the time of their annual performance reviews) (third quarter for any Section 16 officers who are not part of the senior leadership team). In accordance with our policy and shareholder-approved 2008 Omnibus Incentive Plan, the Committee has delegated specific authority to the CEO to approve grants to non-executive officers and other eligible employees, typically in the third calendar quarter of each year following their annual talent review cycle. We may make equity awards at other times during the year for new hires or other reasons, such as a job promotion or as a result of an acquisition.

The exercise price of any stock option award is the closing price of our common stock on the date of grant, as reported by the NYSE. If a stock option grant date for a Section 16 reporting officer would fall outside an open stock trading window period, such grants are made and priced effective as of the market close on the first business day of the next quarterly trading window period. We do not backdate or grant options or restricted stock retroactively. We generally schedule Board and Committee meetings at least a year in advance and, as noted above, make annual equity awards to our NEOs at around the same time every year. We do not time our equity awards to take advantage of the release of earnings or other major announcements by us or market conditions.

Retirement and Other Benefits

Our NEOs receive retirement and other benefits as part of a competitive package. These benefits are intended to be part of a competitive retirement and benefit package necessary to attract and retain executive talent. Consistent with this objective, the longer an employee remains with the Company, the larger the benefit that is earned under the SERP described below. Service credit was frozen as of December 31, 2008 under the U.S. Retirement Income Plan, or USRIP, for non-retirement eligible employees including Messrs. Smith, Adrean and Adams. Messrs. Barros and Kelley were hired after the date on which the USRIP was closed to new hires and thus have no benefit under the USRIP (see *Defined Benefit Pension Plan* on page 55 and *Other Compensation Retirement Plan* on page 58).

We provide our NEOs with benefits available to other eligible U.S. salaried employees. These benefits include medical, dental, life and disability insurance. In addition, we maintain a defined benefit retirement plan (the USRIP) and a qualified retirement savings plan (the 401(k) Plan) that includes a discretionary Company match of the employee s pre-tax and after-tax contributions.

Mr. Adams receives a monthly relocation living allowance of \$11,903, in addition to his base salary, in connection with his relocation from Atlanta to St. Louis in 2010 to serve as President, Workforce Solutions business unit. The payment of these relocation expenses mitigates personal loss and distraction when we ask our executives to move with their families to a new location for the purpose of

Table of Contents

leading and promoting the continued success of the Company and/or our business units. These benefits appear in the *All Other Compensation* column in the Summary Compensation Table on page 52.

For NEOs and other eligible participants, we also maintain a nonqualified supplemental retirement plan, or SERP, for competitive reasons to provide enhanced retirement benefits above what is allowed under the Code through qualified retirements, as well as to attract and retain key leadership and to enable orderly and timely succession. The SERP provides a maximum annual lifetime retirement benefit of 50% of base salary and bonus, based on years of credited service and reduced by benefits from the defined benefit pension plan. A more complete description of the USRIP in effect as of December 31, 2013 and the SERP is provided under *Additional Discussion of Material Items in Summary Compensation and Grants of Plan-Based Awards Tables* on page 55 and *Pension Benefits at 2013 Fiscal Year-End* on page 58.

The NEOs and certain other executives are eligible to participate in Company tax-deferred compensation plans. These plans allow the participants to defer cash compensation and gains otherwise recognized upon the vesting of RSUs. The purpose of these plans is to give eligible employees the opportunity to defer compensation on a pre-tax basis in addition to what is allowed under our 401(k) Plan, in order to enhance their retirement savings without additional Company contributions. The deferral plans are offered to eligible employees as part of a competitive compensation program, and are described in more detail after the *Nonqualified Deferred Compensation* table on page 60. The plans are intended to promote retention of executives by providing a long-term, tax efficient savings opportunity at low cost to us. Amounts deferred under the plan are allocated to the plan investment options chosen by the executive and are adjusted daily for any gains or losses.

Perquisites

Perquisites do not reward any particular performance, but meet certain business objectives, which is why we choose to provide them. Perquisites for our executives have the following objectives:

maximizing the value of Company-provided compensation through provision of an annual financial planning allowance;

ensuring executives continued health and ability to render services to the Company through an annual physical program and, for the CEO, monitoring of home security;

avoiding the executives having personal liability incidents interfere with work responsibilities by providing personal liability insurance;

providing monthly relocation living allowances under certain circumstances, as approved by the Compensation Committee, where the executive is expected to return after a relocation assignment;

providing life insurance coverage above the level provided to all eligible employees (currently, the CEO) to attract and retain executive-level employees; and

for the CEO, facilitating the Company s business interests and the CEO s role as a Company representative in the community and business entertainment functions through reimbursement of club dues and event tickets.

The NEOs are eligible to receive financial planning and tax services in an annual amount of up to \$50,000 for the CEO, and \$10,000 for other NEOs (\$12,500 in their first year for newly hired executives); comprehensive medical examinations (up to \$2,200 annually for diagnostic health care services not otherwise covered by our medical plan); life insurance coverage of \$10 million for the CEO and \$3 million for the other NEOs (other than Messrs. Adrean and Adams, each of whom elected to terminate their participation in the executive life insurance program in the fourth quarter of 2011, and Messrs. Barros and Kelley, each of whom was hired after participation was closed to new executive

officers), and personal excess liability insurance (\$10 million for the CEO and \$5 million for the other NEOs); two club memberships for the CEO used primarily for business purposes; and home security system monitoring expenses for the CEO. The attributed costs of perquisites are included in the *All Other Compensation* column of the Summary Compensation Table on page 52 and Note 10 thereto. Since 2011, we no longer provide tax reimbursement on the value of the applicable perquisite.

Employment Contract and Change in Control Severance Agreements

We entered into an employment contract with Mr. Smith upon his hiring in 2005 but such agreements are not used with respect to the other executive officers, who are employed on an at will basis. The material provisions of Mr. Smith s agreement are discussed following the *Grants of Plan-Based Awards in Fiscal Year 2013* table on page 54 and the *Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control* tables beginning on page 61.

We have entered into change in control agreements with our other NEOs, which are more fully described under *Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control.* The objective of having such agreements is to allow the participating officers to focus on their duties during the process of an acquisition by ensuring they receive benefits in the event of a change in control of the Company. The agreements are designed to reward executives for remaining employed when their prospects for continued employment following the transaction may be uncertain. We choose to provide such protection to safeguard shareholder value in the event the transaction is not consummated, and maximize the value of the Company by increasing the possibility of retaining an intact management team. The agreements are not intended to replace or affect other compensation elements.

Consideration of Certain Tax Effects

The Compensation Committee considers the impact of federal tax laws on our compensation program, including the deductibility of compensation paid to the NEOs, as regulated by Code Section 162(m). Although our incentive compensation program is designed so that it may be deductible under Section 162(m), a portion of the CEO s base salary was in excess of the \$1 million Section 162(m) limit.

For Section 162(m) purposes, the 2013 short-term incentives for Company executive officers were capped at 1.5% of 2013 operating income for the CEO and 0.5% of 2013 operating income for each other NEO, and the Compensation Committee made the vesting of all PSUs and RSUs awarded in 2013 subject to the same cap based on the Company s operating income levels on a cumulative annual basis over the applicable three-year vesting period. For the one-year period ended December 31, 2013, the AIP cap under the Section 162(m) formula was equal to \$3.1 million for each NEO other than the CEO, and \$9.2 million for the CEO, based on our reported GAAP operating income for 2013 of \$611.2 million. The Committee used negative discretion to reduce the actual annual incentive awards paid to \$2,118,635 for the CEO and to a range of \$363,315 to \$456,046 for the other NEOs.

If the payments to an NEO on account of his or her termination as a result of a change in control exceed certain amounts, we may not be eligible to deduct certain of the payments for federal income tax purposes. In addition, the officer could be subject to a 20% excise tax on such payments. This excise tax is in addition to the executive s normal income and payroll taxes. To offset the effect of the excise tax, we will make gross-up payments to NEOs who became eligible for the program before 2011, as reimbursement for the excise tax. In this way, the executive retains the same amount he or she would have retained had the excise tax not been imposed. As a result, however, the Company is unable to deduct a large portion of the payments. We provide these payments because, by allowing executives to recognize the full intended economic benefits of their change-in-control agreement, it ensures that such payments meet the original goals of the program. Nevertheless, we have eliminated this excise tax gross-up feature for participants entering the program after 2010 (including Messrs. Kelley and Barros).

We generally have designed our compensation programs for NEOs to comply with Code Section 409A on the payment of deferred compensation so as to avoid possible adverse tax consequences that may result from noncompliance with Section 409A.

Management of Compensation-Related Risk

In establishing and reviewing the Company s executive compensation program, the Compensation Committee considers whether the program encourages unnecessary or excessive risk-taking and has concluded that it does not. The Committee reviewed our material compensation programs and noted numerous ways in which risk is effectively managed or mitigated. This evaluation for 2013, which was conducted with the assistance of management and the Committee s outside compensation consultant, covered a wide range of practices and policies. All plans were deemed to have substantial risk mitigators which, in the most material incentive plans, include a balanced mix of fixed and variable pay and short-term and long-term incentives; use of multiple performance measures including corporate, business unit and individual performance weightings in incentive plans; a portfolio of long-term equity incentives including time-based and performance-based measures; caps, discretion in payment, oversight by non-plan participants, significant stock ownership guidelines, pre-approval requirements for executive stock transactions; and the existence of policies prohibiting Company stock hedging and pledging and requiring executive incentive compensation recoupment in specified circumstances.

The Compensation Committee has also reviewed the Company s overall enterprise risks and how compensation programs for employees generally impacted individual behavior that could exacerbate these enterprise risks. Board and management processes are in place to oversee risk associated with global compensation programs and practices, including, but not limited to, regular business reviews; alignment of compensation plan goals with our annual and long-term strategic goals and performance expectations; review of enterprise risk management by the Board as part of the annual strategy and budget reviews; and other appropriate internal controls. The Committee concluded that the Company s compensation plans, programs and policies, considered as a whole, including applicable risk-mitigation features, are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

Executive Stock Ownership Guidelines

Our executive stock ownership guidelines are designed to increase our executives equity stakes in the Company to a meaningful level and reinforce alignment with shareholder interests. The guidelines provide that, within five years of assuming the CEO position, the CEO should attain an investment position in our stock equal to five times his or her base salary (including shares directly owned, 401(k) Plan shares, deferred share units and unvested RSUs). All other NEOs should attain within five years an investment position equal to three times his or her base salary. A reduction in ownership to one-half of the applicable guideline ownership level is permitted for executives age 60 or older who are eligible for retirement. Following its annual review in February 2014, the Compensation Committee determined that each NEO was currently in compliance with the guidelines or on schedule to be in compliance by the required date. The Committee has authority to waive or defer compliance with the ownership guidelines in the case of unusual stock price volatility.

Hedging and Pledging Policies

Under our Insider Trading Policy, we prohibit hedging the economic risk of ownership of our common stock through short sales or the purchase or sale of options, puts, calls, straddles, equity swaps or other derivative securities that are directly linked to Company stock, by our directors, NEOs and other executives. We also prohibit our directors, officers and employees from holding our stock in a margin account or pledging our stock as collateral for a loan.

Policy on Clawback of Incentive Compensation

In February 2010, the Compensation Committee adopted an incentive compensation clawback policy effective for incentive compensation awarded for fiscal years beginning after December 31, 2009. Under this policy, in the event of a material misstatement of the financial results, the Committee

will review the facts and circumstances that led to the requirement for the restatement and will take actions it deems necessary and appropriate. The Committee will consider whether any executive officer received incentive compensation based on the original financial statements that would not have been received based on the restatement.

The Compensation Committee will also consider the accountability of any employee (including the NEOs) whose acts or omissions were responsible in whole or in part for the events that led to the restatement, and whether such acts or omissions constituted intentional misconduct. The actions the Committee could elect to take against a particular employee, depending on all the facts and circumstances as determined during its review, include requiring repayment of the difference between the incentive compensation paid and the amount that would have been paid based on the restated financial results. In the case of any employee (including an NEO) whose acts or omissions constituted intentional misconduct, the Committee may seek recoupment of all or part of any bonus or other incentive compensation paid to the employee that was based upon achievement of financial results that were subsequently restated; enforce disciplinary actions, up to and including termination; and/or pursue other remedies.

Under the terms of award agreements issued under our 2008 Omnibus Incentive Plan, employees, including the NEOs, who violate our non-compete, non-solicitation and non-disclosure policies or who engage in certain other activities detrimental to the Company may be subject to financial consequences, including cancellation of their outstanding equity awards or recovery by the Company of all gains from exercised stock options and vested restricted stock units received during the period beginning six months prior to the date of the violation. In addition, with respect to any award made for fiscal years beginning after December 31, 2009, these recovery means are also applicable to the incentive equity awards of any employee who is terminated for cause, as determined in the sole discretion of the Committee. These clawback policies are in addition to any policies or recovery rights provided under applicable law.

Beginning in 2013, the Compensation Committee required, as a condition to the grant of new equity awards to executive officers and certain other key employees, that the recipient agree to certain restrictive covenants benefiting the Company including confidentiality, non-competition, non-solicitation and assignment of inventions. The CEO s equity award agreements continued to be subject to the non-compete provision set forth in his existing employment agreement as described under *Additional Information Regarding Mr. Smith s Employment Agreement and Post-Termination Payments* beginning on page 68.

Actions Taken with Respect to 2014 Compensation

The Compensation Committee has taken certain actions with respect to 2014 executive compensation. To bring base salaries closer to the market median over time, none of the NEOs received an annual base salary increase for 2014. In February 2014, to reward their strong performance in 2013, the Committee approved lump sum cash merit payments to three NEOs in the following amounts (and percentage of annual base salary) which were paid on March 1, 2014: Mr. Kelley, \$17, 850 (3.5%); Mr. Adams, \$20,755 (5%); and Mr. Barros, \$17,304 (4%). The Committee approved the lump sum payments in lieu of annual base salary increases in order to maintain alignment of base salary levels with prior year levels and not increase or compound baseline salary levels with respect to future compensation determinations by the Committee. For the CEO, the Compensation Committee determined that any pay increase suggested by market movement should instead increase the performance-based portion of the long-term incentive program (i.e., the PSU grants discussed below).

The Committee also established corporate financial performance goals for the annual incentive opportunity for 2014 in a manner similar to the process followed in 2013. Long-term incentive



opportunities granted on February 21, 2014 to the continuing NEOs include PSUs and RSUs in a 50%-50% split (for the CEO, a 60%-40% split as compared to 57%-43% in 2013) of target grant date value, as shown below:

2014 Long-Term Incentive Awards

Name	PSUs	RSUs
R. Smith	53,576	35,686
L. Adrean	9,436	9,436
J. Kelley	7,258	7,258
D. Adams	5,263	5,263
P. Barros	5,444	5,444

The PSUs granted in February 2014 will be earned, if at all, based on the Company s TSR performance relative to the companies in the S&P 500 (as constituted on the initial grant date, subject to certain adjustments) over a three-year performance period. The average of the payout results through each of the final four quarters of the performance period will determine the final result. Subject to final certification of results and approval by the Compensation Committee, our PSU payouts in February 2017 will be calculated as follows (with straight-line interpolation between the threshold and maximum level):

PSU Performance/Payout Scale

DELL Devent

	PSU Payout
Company TSR Percentile	
Relative to S&P 500 ¹	as a % of Target
90th	200%
70 th	150%
50 th	100%
30 th	50%
Below 30 th	0%

¹Note: The PSU design was changed in April 2013 with respect to future PSU awards, to cap the payout of such awards at target in the event Company TSR performance is negative over the applicable three-year performance period, even if the Company s TSR, on a comparative basis, exceeds the median of the S&P 500.

The Compensation Committee will continue to monitor our executive compensation program to ensure that it is consistent with the Company s objectives, provides appropriate incentives to management, and remains competitive with other companies in the industries in which we operate or with which we compete for executive talent.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION TABLES

The following table presents information regarding compensation of the named executive officers (the *NEOs*) for services rendered during 2013, 2012, and 2011. The table includes values for contingent compensation such as unvested or unpaid stock awards and unexercised stock options. The executives may never realize the value of certain items included in the column headed. Total, or the amounts realized may differ materially from those listed in the table.

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary ⁽³⁾ (\$)	Bonus ⁽⁴⁾ (\$)	Stock Awards ⁽⁵⁾ (\$)	Option Awards ⁽⁶⁾ (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation ⁽⁷⁾ (\$)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings ⁽⁸⁾⁽⁹⁾ (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$) ⁽¹⁰⁾	Total (\$)
Richard F. Smith	2013	1,450,000	0	6,142,197	0	2,118,635	699,000	105,726	10,515,558
Chairman and	2012	1,450,000	0	7,062,728	0	3,045,000	1,781,800	105,571	13,445,099
Chief Executive Officer	2011	1,450,000	0	3,031,474	1,990,591	2,310,627	2,323,000	98,019	11,203,711
Lee Adrean	2013	546,208	0	1,340,267	0	456,046	343,700	14,055	2,700,276
Corporate Vice President	2012	522,092	0	1,256,639	0	626,511	457,400	14,453	2,877,095
and Chief Financial Officer	2011	499,388	0	624,127	273,706	454,739	512,300	26,454	2,390,714
John J. Kelley III ⁽¹⁾	2013	498,231	0	2,229,215	0	386,095	119,500	15,363	3,248,404
Corporate Vice President and Chief Legal Officer									
J. Dann Adams ⁽²⁾	2013	429,618	0	739,841	0	439,396	321,800	163,341	2,093,996
President, Workforce Solutions									
Paulino Do Rego Barros, Jr. ⁽²⁾	2013	447,741	0	804,204	0	363,315	265,600	12,320	1,893,180
President, International									

(1) Mr. Kelley joined Equifax on January 1, 2013.

(2) Messrs. Adams and Barros were not NEOs for 2012 or 2011.

- (3) Salary represents base salary paid to each of the NEOs for each year shown. Amounts shown for Messrs. Adrean, Adams and Barros in 2013 include a lump sum cash merit payment of \$21,008, \$14,528, and \$15,141, respectively, received in March 2013 in lieu of an annual base salary increase. Mr. Kelley s annual base salary is \$510,000. Amounts shown are not reduced to reflect the individuals election, if any, to defer receipt of salary under the Executive Deferred Compensation Plan.
- (4) The entire annual incentive bonus is included under the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column because it was subject to performance-based condition (cap) based on the Company s operating income for the applicable year for purposes of Code Section 162(m), as described under Consideration of Certain Tax Effects on page 48. The Compensation Committee exercised negative discretion to reduce the maximum annual incentive award to plan design levels as described under Analysis of 2013 Compensation Opportunities on page 37. Amounts shown are not reduced to reflect the individual s election, if any, to defer receipt of awards under the Executive Deferred Compensation Plan.
- (5) For each executive, the amounts in this column represent the aggregate grant date fair value computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 without regard to estimated forfeitures related to service-based vesting conditions. Stock awards in 2013 and 2012 included time-vested restricted stock units (RSUs) and performance share units (PSUs); stock awards in 2011 were made in time-vested RSUs. For the 2013 PSU awards, the value at the grant date is determined

under the Monte Carlo valuation model consistent with the estimated full cost to be recognized over the three-year performance period based on the probable outcome of the performance conditions. The calculations reflect an accounting value for the 2013 PSU grants of \$56.15 per share, which was 101.06% of our closing stock price of \$55.56 on the grant date. Assumptions used in the calculation of the amounts in this column are described in Note 9 to our audited consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, which is located on pages 83-86 of our 2013 Form 10-K. For the grant date fair value of only those awards granted to the NEOs in 2013, see the *Grants of Plan-Based Awards in Fiscal Year 2013* table on page 54. The value of the 2013 RSU and PSU awards, assuming the highest level of performance under the PSU awards would be achieved (200% of the target), based on the closing price of the Common Stock on the respective grant dates (\$55.56 for all grants except for the January 2, 2013 RSU grant to Mr. Kelley where the closing price was \$54.74) is as follows: Mr. Smith, \$9,777,449; Mr. Adrean, \$2,047,831; Mr. Kelley, \$2,842,578; Mr. Adams, \$1,130,424; and Mr. Barros, \$1,228,765. The NEOs may never realize any value from the PSUs, and to the extent they do, the amounts realized may have no correlation to the amounts reported above.

- (6) The column shows the aggregate grant date fair value of the awards computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. No stock option awards were made to the NEOs in 2013 or 2012. Because the amounts reflect our accounting expense, the amounts do not correspond to the actual value that ultimately will be recognized by the NEOs. For additional information, including valuation assumptions with respect to the grants, refer to Note 9 to our audited consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, which is located on pages 83-86 of our 2013 Form 10-K.
- (7) Represents annual incentive bonuses paid under the Annual Incentive Plan for services performed in 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively. Amounts shown are not reduced to reflect the NEO s election, if any, to defer receipt of awards under the Executive Deferred Compensation Plan.
- (8) The changes in pension value in 2013, 2012 and 2011 are driven largely by changes in the discount rate applied to calculate the present value of future pension payments. The amounts recorded in this column vary with a number of factors, including the discount rate applied to determine the value of future payment streams. The discount rate used pursuant to pension accounting rules to calculate the present value of future payments increased from 4.69% for 2011 to 5.25% for 2013, which offset the increase in present value of future payments driven by increases in pensionable pay and service. The increase or decrease in pension value resulting from the change in the discount rate does not result in any increase or decrease in the benefits payable to participants under the SERP and the USRIP.
- (9) Reflects the actuarially-determined increase at December 31 of the applicable year in the present value of the NEO s accumulated benefits under the Company s Supplemental Retirement Plan, or SERP, and the U.S. Retirement Income Plan, or USRIP, at the earliest unreduced retirement age, determined using interest rate, mortality and other assumptions consistent with those used in the Company s financial statements and includes amounts which the NEO may not currently be entitled to receive because such amounts are not vested. Above-market or preferential earnings are not available under our two nonqualified deferred compensation plans, the Executive Deferred Compensation Plan and the Director and Executive Stock Deferral Plan. See *Pension Benefits at 2013 Fiscal Year-End* on page 58 for more information on pension benefits.
- (10) The All Other Compensation column for 2013 includes the following:

	Perquisites and Personal Benefits ^(a)	Tax Reimbursements ^(b)	Company Contributions to Defined Contribution Plans ^(C)	Insurance Premiums ^(d)	Total
Name	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
R. Smith	60,322		7,650	37,754	105,726
L. Adrean	5,750		7,650	655	14,055
J. Kelley	7,058		7,650	655	15,363
D. Adams	155,036		7,650	655	163,341
P. Barros	4,015		7,650	655	12,320

(a) In accordance with SEC rules, disclosure of perquisites and other personal benefits is omitted if the aggregate amount of such compensation to an NEO is less than \$10,000 for the given year. If the total amount exceeds \$10,000, each perquisite must be identified by type, and if the amount of a perquisite exceeds the greater of \$25,000 or 10% of total perquisites, its value must be disclosed. The amounts in this column are based on the aggregate incremental cost to the Company, if any, with respect to tax and financial planning services, annual medical examinations, monitoring of home security systems, club dues and event tickets, none of which exceeded \$25,000 as a category for any NEO except for Mr. Smith, whose total includes \$25,000 for tax and financial planning services, and Mr. Adams, a living allowance of \$11,903 a month (total of \$142,836) in connection with his relocation to St. Louis, Missouri from Atlanta, Georgia.

(b) Effective January 1, 2011, the Company eliminated tax reimbursements on the value of future perquisites and personal benefits received by the NEOs.

(c) For 2013, the Company matched 50% of the first 6% of compensation (subject to the government limit on compensation of \$255,000 in 2013) contributed on a pre-tax or after-tax basis to the tax-qualified profit sharing and 401(k) Plan. See 401(k) Plan and Defined Benefit Pension Plan on page 55.

(d) Represents insurance premiums paid for NEO personal excess liability insurance and imputed income related to Company-paid life insurance for Mr. Smith. In the fourth quarter of 2011, Messrs. Adrean and Adams elected to terminate their participation in the executive life insurance program; Messrs. Kelley and Barros were hired after the date on which the plan was closed to new hires.

INFORMATION REGARDING PLAN AWARDS IN FISCAL YEAR 2013

Set forth below is information regarding awards provided to the NEOs in 2013. The non-equity incentive awards were made under the Annual Incentive Plan (AIP) which is part of our shareholder-approved amended and restated 2008 Omnibus Incentive Plan (the 2008 Omnibus Incentive Plan). The equity awards were also made under the 2008 Omnibus Incentive Plan.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards in Fiscal Year 2013

		Estimated Potential Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards ⁽¹⁾			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards ⁽²⁾			Stock or	All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying	or Base Price of	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option
Name	Grant Date	Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)	Threshold (#)	Target (#)	Maximum (#)	Units ⁽³⁾ (#)	Options ⁽⁴⁾ (#)		Awards ⁽⁵⁾ (\$)
R. Smith	Dute	(Ψ)	(Ψ)	(Ψ)	(")	(")	(")	(")	(")	(4/011)	(Ψ)
2013 AIP	2/8/13	609,000	1,522,500	3,045,000							
2013 PSUs	2/8/13	,	-,,	-,,	31,913	63,827	127,654				3,583,818
2013 RSUs	2/8/13				,	ĺ.	,	48,326			2,558,378
Options									0	N/A	
L. Adrean											
2013 AIP	2/8/13	131,090	327,725	655,449							
2013 PSUs	2/8/13				6,143	12,286	24,572				689,846
2013 RSUs	2/8/13							12,286			650,421
Options									0	N/A	
J. Kelley											
2013 AIP	2/8/13	119,576	298,939	597,877							
2013 PSUs	2/8/13				4,915	9,829	19,658				551,888
2013 RSUs	2/8/13							9,829			520,347
2013 RSUs	1/2/13							22,000			1,156,980
Options									0	N/A	
D. Adams											
2013 AIP	2/8/13	103,109	257,770	515,540							
2013 PSUs	2/8/13				3,391	6,782	13,564				380,802
2013 RSUs	2/8/13							6,782		/ .	359,039
Options									0	N/A	
P. Barros	0/0/12	107 155	0(0(1))	505 000							
2013 AIP	2/8/13	107,457	268,644	537,290	2 (0)	- 0	14.5.4				412.020
2013 PSUs	2/8/13				3,686	7,372	14,744	7.070			413,930
2013 RSUs	2/8/13							7,372	0	NT/ A	390,274
Options									0	N/A	

(1) The amounts shown represent the range of possible dollar payouts that could have been earned under the Annual Incentive Plan, or AIP, for 2013. Actual AIP payments for 2013 are reflected in the *Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation* column of the Summary Compensation Table. The amount in the *Threshold* column assumes the Company achieved the minimum performance level required for the granting of AIP awards, and that the NEO was rated *Achieves Expectations* for the individual performance portion of the award (100% of threshold), resulting in an award equal to 40% of his award target.

(2) Represents grants to each NEO during 2013 of performance units under our 2008 Omnibus Incentive Plan. PSUs are earned, if at all, based on our TSR performance after a three-year period relative to the TSR after the same period for the companies in the S&P 500 as of the grant date. No dividend equivalents are paid on PSU awards during the performance period. Information regarding performance targets, vesting and additional PSU award details are set forth under the heading *Long-Term Equity Incentive Compensation* on page 44.

(3) Represents the number of shares of RSUs to each NEO during 2013. The RSUs will vest, subject to continued employment, on the third anniversary of the grant date. No dividend equivalents are paid on RSU awards during the vesting period. Additional information regarding RSUs is set forth under the heading Long-Term Equity Incentive Compensation on page 44. Upon joining the Company effective January 1, 2013, Mr. Kelley also received an initial hire equity grant of 22,000 time-based RSUs vesting in three years, with a target grant value of \$1,156,980.

(4) No stock options were granted to the NEOs in 2013.

(5) Represents full grant date fair value of stock awards granted to each NEO in 2013 computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, excluding the estimated effect of forfeitures. The grant date fair value for each of the RSU awards represents the closing stock price on the grant date less a discount for dividends not received. The grant date fair value for each of the PSU awards is estimated using a Monte-Carlo simulation model. For our performance unit awards, a range of 0% to 200% of the original award can be achieved under the program. A discussion of the assumptions used in calculating the award values may be found in Note 9 to the notes to our audited consolidated financial statements on pages 83-86 of our 2013 Form 10-K.

Additional Discussion of Material Items in Summary Compensation and Grants of Plan-Based Awards Tables

Our executive compensation policies and practices, pursuant to which the compensation set forth in the Summary Compensation Table and the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table was paid or awarded, are described above under *Compensation Discussion and Analysis*. A summary of certain material terms of our compensation plans and arrangements is set forth below.

2013 Annual Incentive Plan. Annual incentive opportunities awarded to our NEOs are earned based on Company performance against one-year operating objectives and individual performance metrics. The actual amount of annual incentive earned by each executive officer in 2013 pursuant to the individual performance portion is reported in the *Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation* column in the Summary Compensation Table. Annual incentive plan thresholds, targets and maximums are identified for each NEO in the Estimated Future Payouts under the *Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards* column of the Grants of Plan-Based Awards in Fiscal Year 2013 Table above. Additional information regarding the design of the annual incentive plan is included in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section above.

401(k) Plan. We sponsor a tax-qualified 401(k) Plan in which eligible salaried employees may participate, either a basic plan or an enhanced plan put into place following the 2008 freeze of certain benefits payable to non-grandfathered employees under the USRIP as summarized below. In 2013, depending on eligibility, we matched either 50% of the first 6% of pay, or 100% of 4% of pay an employee contributed on a pre-tax or after-tax basis to the plan (subject to the government limit on compensation, or \$255,000 in 2013) (the basic plan). Participants other than the NEOs also receive a direct Company contribution (the enhanced plan), under which Equifax may make a contribution of between 1.5% and 4% of pay based on years of service, even if employees do not choose to make a 401(k) plan contribution, and also may provide a 100% match on employee 401(k) Plan contributions up to 4% of pay compared to a 50% match on the first 6% of employees contributions in the previous 401(k) Plan.

Defined Benefit Pension Plan. We maintain a retirement program for active U.S. employees, the U.S. Retirement Income Plan, or USRIP. The USRIP has been frozen for U.S. employees who did not meet certain grandfathering criteria (i.e., those employees who were not retirement-eligible on the freeze date, which includes all of the NEOs, and provide these employees and certain other employees not eligible to participate in the USRIP with an enhanced 401(k) Plan described above. The pension plan amendments freeze service credit as of December 31, 2008, and salary increases as of December 31, 2012. The NEOs participate in a Supplemental Retirement Benefit Plan described below and do not participate in the enhanced 401(k) Plan. The changes did not affect our U.S. retirees, former employees with vested benefits or employees who were eligible to retire prior to January 1, 2009.

Executive Life and Supplemental Retirement Benefit Plan. The Executive Life and Supplemental Retirement Plan provides executive life insurance benefits, which may also include capital accumulation benefits. The plan s grantor trust is used to ensure that the insurance premiums due under this plan are paid in case we fail to make scheduled payments following a change in control, as defined in the trust agreement. The plan was amended and restated effective July 2002 to provide that executive officers will receive only life insurance benefits and no retirement benefits under the plan, in order to make permanent our suspension of premium payments after July 30, 2002 in compliance with Sarbanes-Oxley Act prohibitions against company loans to their executive officers. Also, participants

received a federal and state income tax reimbursement for the economic value of the life insurance provided to each participant under the plan. Such reimbursement was eliminated effective January 1, 2011.

For executive officers, the amount that the Company paid for the current life insurance benefit (or imputed loan interest amounts on grandfathered premiums paid prior to the effective date of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act) is included in the Summary Compensation Table on page 52 under the heading *All Other Compensation*.

Employment Contracts with Mr. Smith. We have an employment agreement with a single executive, Mr. Smith, for retention purposes. His amended employment agreement as Chairman and CEO is automatically extended for additional one-year periods unless either party gives the other party notice of nonrenewal at least 12 months prior to the end of the applicable term. Mr. Smith s annual base salary of \$1.45 million is subject to annual performance-based review and upward adjustment, and he is eligible for an annual target incentive bonus of 105% of his annual base salary, depending on the achievement of performance criteria established by the Compensation Committee. Mr. Smith was granted five years of service credit under the SERP when he joined the Company in August 2005, which made him vested in his SERP benefit upon date of hire as described under *Pension Benefits at 2013 Fiscal Year-End* on page 58. The employment agreement also includes general severance protections described under *Additional Information Regarding Mr. Smith s Employment Agreement and Post-Termination Payments* on page 68.

Under Mr. Smith s agreement, in the event of his separation from service as defined under Code Section 409A other than for Cause or voluntary termination without Good Reason, or a Change in Control of the Company followed by Mr. Smith s termination by the Company other than for Cause, Disability or death, or his voluntary termination for Good Reason (as such terms are defined in the agreement), he will receive, among other payments previously disclosed, (i) a cash payment for accrued annual bonus equal to the highest annual bonus earned under the Company s executive bonus plan with respect to the three calendar years immediately preceding the date of termination, prorated for the number of days in the current fiscal year through the date of termination; and (ii) a severance payment equal to his highest annual bonus earned under the executive bonus plan with respect to the three calendar years immediately preceding the year of termination (times a multiple of three in a change in control event). If Mr. Smith is terminated for Cause or he voluntarily terminates employment during the employment period without Good Reason, his employment agreement terminates without further obligations to Mr. Smith, other than for the payment of accrued obligations (including prorated base salary, accrued pay in lieu of unused vacation, and any vested compensation previously deferred by him, but excluding the pro rata bonus), and the payment or provision of other benefits required which he is eligible to receive under any plan, program policy or practice of the Company to the extent available or provided to other senior executives serving on the senior leadership team of the Company.

Mr. Smith s amended employment agreement also (i) provides for a six-month delay in payment of termination compensation in the event that he is a specified employee under Section 409A at the time of his termination, and restricts the timing for payment of any tax gross-up amounts that may become due under the arrangement; (ii) provides for a minimum base annual salary of \$1.45 million; (iii) provides for an annual term subject to automatic renewal for additional one-year periods unless either party gives notice of non-renewal at least 12 months prior to the applicable anniversary date; and (iv) incorporates the terms of the change in control provisions of his employment agreement as modified for the annual incentive-based payment changes described in the previous paragraph.

OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS

The following table sets forth the outstanding equity awards held by the NEOs at the end of 2013.

Outstanding Equity Awards at 2013 Fiscal Year-End

	Option Awards						Sto	Equity	
Name	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Unearned Options (#)	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested ⁽¹⁾ (\$)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units, or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (#)	Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units, or Other Rights That Have Not Vested ⁽¹⁾ (\$)
R. Smith	35,000	0	0	38.10	1/6/2016				
	110,000	0	0	41.22	2/7/2017				
	125,000	0	0	33.88	2/8/2018				
	190,000	0	0	33.60	4/30/2020				
	160,000	80,000 ⁽²⁾	0	37.53	4/29/2021				
						85,000 ⁽³⁾	5,872,650		
						70,239(3)	4,852,813		
						48,326 ⁽³⁾	3,338,843		
								161,922(11)	11,187,190
								63,827 ⁽¹²⁾	4,409,807
L. Adrean	30,000	0	0	41.22	2/7/2017				
	31,000	0	0	33.88	2/8/2018				
	32,000	0	0	33.60	4/30/2020				
	22,000	11,000 ⁽⁴⁾	0	37.53	4/29/2021	17 500(5)	1 200 075		
						$17,500^{(5)}$	1,209,075		
						$13,571^{(5)}$ $12,286^{(5)}$	937,620 848,840		
						12,200(0)	040,040	27,142(11)	1,875,241
								$12.286^{(12)}$	848,840
								12,200	0-0,0-0
J. Kelley	0	0	0	-	-				
						22,000(6)	1,519,980		
						9,829(6)	679,086	0.000(12)	(70.00)
								9,829(12)	679,086
D. Adams	7,000	7,500 ⁽⁷⁾	0	37.53	4/29/2021				
						$11,000^{(8)}$	759,990		
						7,714(8)	532,960		
						6,782 ⁽⁸⁾	468,568	15 (20(11)	1.075.021
								15,428(11)	1,065,921