

Territorial Bancorp Inc.
Form 10-K
March 15, 2013
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

x **ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2012

OR

.. **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 001-34403

Territorial Bancorp Inc.

(Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Edgar Filing: Territorial Bancorp Inc. - Form 10-K

| | |
|--|---|
| Maryland (State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization) | 26-4674701 (I.R.S. Employer Identification Number) |
| 1132 Bishop Street, Suite 2200, Honolulu, Hawaii (Address of Principal Executive Office) | 96813 (Zip Code) |
| (808) 946-1400 | |
| (Registrant's Telephone Number including area code) | |

Securities Registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share

(Title of Class)

The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC

(Name of exchange on which registered)

Securities Registered Under Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act:

None

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. YES NO

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act. YES NO

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file reports), and (2) has been subject to such requirements for the past 90 days.

(1) YES NO

(2) YES NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by a check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendments to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check

Edgar Filing: Territorial Bancorp Inc. - Form 10-K

one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act) YES NO

The aggregate value of the voting common equity held by nonaffiliates of the Registrant, computed by reference to the closing price of the Registrant's shares of common stock as of June 30, 2012 (\$22.77) was \$251.1 million.

As of February 28, 2013, there were 10,655,088 shares outstanding of the Registrant's common stock.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the Proxy Statement for the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders are incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

TERRITORIAL BANCORP INC.

FORM 10-K

INDEX

| | | |
|------------------------|--|-----|
| <u>PART I</u> | | 2 |
| ITEM 1. | <u>Business</u> | 2 |
| ITEM 1A. | <u>Risk Factors</u> | 22 |
| ITEM 1B. | <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | 33 |
| ITEM 2. | <u>Properties</u> | 34 |
| ITEM 3. | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 34 |
| ITEM 4. | <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | 35 |
| <u>PART II</u> | | 35 |
| ITEM 5. | <u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | 35 |
| ITEM 6. | <u>Selected Financial Data</u> | 38 |
| ITEM 7. | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 39 |
| ITEM 7A. | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 71 |
| ITEM 8. | <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | 71 |
| ITEM 9. | <u>Changes In and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | 133 |
| ITEM 9A. | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 133 |
| ITEM 9B. | <u>Other Information</u> | 134 |
| <u>PART III</u> | | 134 |
| ITEM 10. | <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u> | 134 |
| ITEM 11. | <u>Executive Compensation</u> | 134 |
| ITEM 12. | <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | 135 |
| ITEM 13. | <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u> | 135 |
| ITEM 14. | <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u> | 135 |
| <u>PART IV</u> | | 136 |
| ITEM 15. | <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u> | 136 |

Table of Contents

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Annual Report contains forward-looking statements, which can be identified by the use of words such as estimate, project, believe, intend, anticipate, plan, seek, expect, will, may and words of similar meaning. These forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to:

statements of our goals, intentions and expectations;

statements regarding our business plans, prospects, growth and operating strategies;

statements regarding the asset quality of our loan and investment portfolios; and

estimates of our risks and future costs and benefits.

These forward-looking statements are based on our current beliefs and expectations and are inherently subject to significant business, economic and competitive uncertainties and contingencies, many of which are beyond our control. In addition, these forward-looking statements are subject to assumptions with respect to future business strategies and decisions that are subject to change. Except as may be required by law, we disclaim any intention or obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

The following factors, among others, could cause actual results to differ materially from the anticipated results or other expectations expressed in the forward-looking statements:

general economic conditions, internationally, nationally or in our market areas, that are worse than expected;

competition among depository and other financial institutions;

inflation and changes in the interest rate environment that reduce our margins or reduce the fair value of financial instruments;

adverse changes in the securities markets;

changes in laws or government regulations or policies affecting financial institutions, including changes in regulatory fees and capital requirements;

our ability to enter new markets successfully and capitalize on growth opportunities;

our ability to successfully integrate acquired entities, if any;

changes in consumer spending, borrowing and savings habits;

Edgar Filing: Territorial Bancorp Inc. - Form 10-K

changes in accounting policies and practices, as may be adopted by the bank regulatory agencies, the Financial Accounting Standards Board, the Securities and Exchange Commission and the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board;

changes in our organization, compensation and benefit plans;

Table of Contents

the timing and amount of revenues that we may recognize;

the value and marketability of collateral underlying our loan portfolios;

the impact of recent legislation to restructure the U.S. financial and regulatory system;

the quality and composition of our investment portfolio;

changes in our financial condition or results of operations that reduce capital available to pay dividends; and

changes in the financial condition or future prospects of issuers of securities that we own.

Because of these and a wide variety of other uncertainties, our actual future results may be materially different from the results indicated by these forward-looking statements. Please also see Item 1A. Risk Factors.

PART I

ITEM 1. Business
Territorial Bancorp Inc.

Territorial Bancorp Inc. is a Maryland corporation and owns 100% of the common stock of Territorial Savings Bank. On July 10, 2009, we completed our initial public offering of common stock in connection with the mutual-to-stock conversion of Territorial Mutual Holding Company, selling 12,233,125 shares of common stock at \$10.00 per share and raising \$122.3 million of gross proceeds. Since the completion of our initial public offering, we have not engaged in any significant business activity other than owning the common stock of and having savings deposits in Territorial Savings Bank. At December 31, 2012, we had consolidated assets of \$1.575 billion, consolidated deposits of \$1.238 billion and consolidated stockholders' equity of \$219.0 million.

Our executive offices are located at 1132 Bishop Street, Suite 2200, Honolulu, Hawaii 96813. Our telephone number at this address is (808) 946-1400.

Territorial Savings Bank

Territorial Savings Bank is a federally chartered savings bank headquartered in Honolulu, Hawaii. Territorial Savings Bank was organized in 1921, and reorganized into the mutual holding company structure in 2002. Territorial Savings Bank is currently the wholly-owned subsidiary of Territorial Bancorp Inc. We provide financial services to individuals, families and businesses through our 27 banking offices located throughout the State of Hawaii.

Territorial Savings Bank's executive offices are located at 1132 Bishop Street, Suite 2200, Honolulu, Hawaii 96813. Our telephone number at this address is (808) 946-1400.

Available Information

Territorial Bancorp Inc. is a public company, and files interim, quarterly and annual reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission. These reports are on file and a matter of public record with the Securities and Exchange Commission and may be read and copied at the Securities and Exchange

Table of Contents

Commission's Public Reference Room at 450 Fifth Street, NW, Washington, DC 20549. The public may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the Securities and Exchange Commission at 1-800-SEC-0330. The Securities and Exchange Commission maintains an Internet site that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC (<http://www.sec.gov>).

Our website address is www.territorialsavings.net. Information on our website should not be considered a part of this annual report.

General

Territorial Savings Bank's business consists primarily of accepting deposits from the general public and investing those deposits, together with funds generated from operations and borrowings, in one- to four-family residential mortgage loans and investment securities. To a much lesser extent, we also originate home equity loans and lines of credit, construction, commercial and other nonresidential real estate loans, consumer loans, multi-family mortgage loans and other loans. Territorial Savings Bank offers a variety of deposit accounts, including passbook and statement savings accounts, certificates of deposit, money market accounts, commercial and regular checking accounts and Super NOW accounts. Through our subsidiary, Territorial Financial Services, Inc., we engage in insurance agency activities. We also offer various non-deposit investments to our customers, including annuities and mutual funds, through a third-party broker-dealer.

Market Area

We conduct business from our corporate offices and from our 27 full-service branch offices located throughout the State of Hawaii.

The largest sector of Hawaii's economy is the visitor industry. The Hawaii Tourism Authority reported that 8.0 million visitors came to the state in 2012, a 9.6% increase compared to 2011. The increase in visitor arrivals is primarily due to growth in the number of visitors from the United States, Canada and Asia. Total visitor expenditures in 2012 totaled \$14.333 billion, an 18.7% increase compared to 2011.

The unemployment rate for the State of Hawaii was 5.2% in December 2012, representing a decrease from a 6.6% rate in December 2011. This rate continued to be lower than the unemployment rate of 7.8% for the United States. The growth in the visitor industry has supported the local economy and kept the state's unemployment rate lower than the national rate. The construction phase of the City and County of Honolulu's mass transit rail project is expected to start sometime in 2013. When the transit project starts, it will give the construction industry a major boost as the project is projected to employ 10,000 people a year over the next eight years.

The number and median sale prices of existing single-family homes and condominium units sold increased in 2012 compared to 2011. Median home prices in Hawaii have not declined as those reported on the mainland.

On the island of Oahu, the primary real estate market in Hawaii, sales of existing single-family homes totaled 3,072 units for the year ended December 31, 2012, an increase of 6.4% compared to sales in 2011. The number of condominium sales, a notable portion of the overall housing market, grew by 7.4% in 2012 compared to 2011. The median price paid on Oahu for a single-family home in 2012 was \$625,000, an increase of 8.1% compared to the median price in 2011. The median price paid on Oahu for condominiums in 2012 was \$315,000, an increase of 5.0% compared to the median price in 2011.

Table of Contents

On the island of Maui, the second largest real estate market in Hawaii, sales of existing single-family homes totaled 933 units in 2012, an increase of 3.5% compared to similar sales during 2011. The number of condominium sales increased by 7.9% in 2012 compared to 2011. The median price paid for a single-family home on Maui in 2012 was \$470,000, an increase of 8.7% compared to the median price in 2011. The median price paid on Maui for condominiums in 2012 was \$359,000, a 15.8% increase compared to the median price in 2011.

Foreclosure and bankruptcy filings fell in 2012 compared to 2011. There were 3,327 mortgage foreclosure cases in Hawaii in 2012, a 44.7% decrease from 2011. The decrease in foreclosure filings is attributed to Hawaii's economy growing at a moderate rate and a Hawaii law intended to protect homeowners' rights by requiring mediation in nonjudicial foreclosure cases. In 2012, there were 2,528 bankruptcy filings, a decrease of 24.0% compared to the number of filings in 2011.

Competition

We face intense competition in our market area both in making loans and attracting deposits. We compete with commercial banks, savings institutions, mortgage brokerage firms, credit unions, finance companies, mutual funds, insurance companies and investment banking firms. Some of our competitors have greater name recognition and market presence that benefit them in attracting business, and offer certain services that we do not or cannot provide.

Our deposit sources are primarily concentrated in the communities surrounding our banking offices, located in all four counties in the State of Hawaii. As of June 30, 2012 (the latest date for which information is publicly available), we ranked fifth in deposit market share (out of 13 banks and thrift institutions with offices in Hawaii) in the State of Hawaii, with a 3.7% market share. As of that date, our largest market share was in the City and County of Honolulu, where we ranked fifth in deposit market share (out of 13 banks and thrift institutions with offices in the City and County) with a 3.9% market share.

Lending Activities

Our primary lending activity is the origination of one- to four-family residential mortgage loans. To a much lesser extent, we also originate home equity loans and lines of credit, construction, commercial and other nonresidential real estate loans, consumer loans, multi-family mortgage loans and commercial business loans.

One- to Four-Family Residential Mortgage Loans. At December 31, 2012, \$741.3 million, or 94.8% of our total loan portfolio, consisted of one- to four-family residential mortgage loans. We offer conforming, fixed-rate and adjustable-rate residential mortgage loans with maturities generally up to 30 years, and to a much more limited extent, of up to 40 years and nonconforming loans with maturities of up to 50 years. There has been little demand for adjustable-rate mortgage loans in our market area.

One- to four-family residential mortgage loans are generally underwritten according to Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac guidelines, and we refer to loans that conform to such guidelines as conforming loans. We generally originate both fixed- and adjustable-rate mortgage loans in amounts up to the maximum conforming loan limits as established by the Federal Housing Finance Agency, which is currently \$625,500 for single-family homes located in the State of Hawaii. We also originate loans above this amount, which are referred to as jumbo loans. These jumbo loan amounts are generally up to \$1.0 million, although we do originate loans above this amount. We generally originate fixed-rate jumbo loans with terms of up to 30 years. We have not originated significant amounts of adjustable-rate jumbo loans in recent years due to customer preference for fixed-rate loans in our market area. We generally underwrite jumbo loans in a manner similar to conforming loans. Jumbo loans are not uncommon in our market area.

Table of Contents

We will originate loans with loan-to-value ratios in excess of 80%, up to and including a loan-to-value ratio of 100%. We generally require private mortgage insurance for loans with loan-to-value ratios in excess of 80%. During the year ended December 31, 2012, we originated \$14.2 million of one- to four-family residential mortgage loans with loan-to-value ratios in excess of 80%. We offer a variety of credit programs for low- to moderate-income and first-time home purchasers. These include our first time home purchaser program, where the borrower will receive up to a 100 basis point reduction in points charged in connection with the loan. We will also originate first mortgage loans to lower-income individuals who reside in rural census tracts where the U.S. Department of Agriculture will issue a second mortgage and complete the underwriting of the loan, subject to our review before origination. We also offer both FHA and VA fixed-rate loans.

Other than our loans for the construction of one- to four-family residential mortgage loans (described under **Nonresidential Real Estate Loans**), we currently do not originate new interest only mortgage loans on one- to four-family residential properties (where the borrower pays interest for an initial period, after which the loan converts to a fully amortizing loan). We also do not offer loans that provide for negative amortization of principal, such as Option ARM loans, where the borrower can pay less than the interest owed on their loan, resulting in an increased principal balance during the life of the loan. We do not offer subprime loans (loans that generally target borrowers with weakened credit histories typically characterized by payment delinquencies, previous charge-offs, judgments, bankruptcies, or borrowers with questionable repayment capacity as evidenced by low credit scores or high debt-burden ratios) or Alt-A loans (traditionally defined as nonconforming loans having less than full documentation).

Home Equity Loans and Lines of Credit. In addition to traditional one- to four-family residential mortgage loans, we offer home equity loans and home equity lines of credit that are secured primarily by one- to four-family residential homes. Home equity lines of credit have a maximum term of 10 years during which time the borrower is required to make payments to principal based on the amortization of 0.125% of principal outstanding per month. The borrower is permitted to draw against the line during the entire term. Our home equity lines of credit are originated with adjustable rates of interest or with fixed rates of interest that convert to adjustable rates of interest after an initial period of up to three years. Our home equity loans are originated with fixed rates of interest and with terms of up to 30 years. Home equity loans and lines of credit are generally underwritten with the same criteria that we use to underwrite one- to four-family residential mortgage loans. Home equity loans may be underwritten with a loan-to-value ratio of 80% when combined with the principal balance of the existing mortgage loan, while lines of credit for owner-occupied properties and investment properties may be underwritten with loan-to-value ratios of 75% and 65%, respectively, when combined with the principal balance of the existing mortgage loan. We require appraisals on home equity loans and lines of credit when the aggregate loan amount exceeds 60% of the property's tax assessed value or when the home equity loan or line of credit is \$250,000 or greater. When the aggregate loan amount is below 60% of the property's tax assessed value, the tax assessed value must be validated by the Bank's Appraisal Department. At the time we close a home equity loan or line of credit, we record a mortgage to perfect our security interest in the underlying collateral. At December 31, 2012, the outstanding balance of home equity loans totaled \$6.2 million, or 0.8% of our total loan portfolio, and the outstanding balance of home equity lines of credit totaled \$9.0 million, or 1.1% of our total loan portfolio.

Nonresidential Real Estate Loans. Our nonresidential real estate loans consist primarily of commercial real estate loans and construction loans for residential real estate projects. These loans totaled \$13.8 million, or 1.8% of our loan portfolio as of December 31, 2012. The commercial real estate

Table of Contents

properties primarily include owner-occupied light industrial properties. We generally seek to originate commercial real estate loans with initial principal balances of \$1.0 million or less. Loans secured by commercial real estate totaled \$7.6 million, or 1.0%, of our total loan portfolio at December 31, 2012, and consisted of 13 loans outstanding with an average loan balance of approximately \$583,000. All of our nonresidential real estate loans are secured by properties located in our primary market area. At December 31, 2012, our largest commercial real estate loan had a principal balance of approximately \$2.0 million and was secured by real property and a building that is being used as an elderly care facility. This loan was performing in accordance with its terms at December 31, 2012.

In the underwriting of commercial real estate loans, we generally lend up to the lesser of 75% of the property's appraised value or purchase price. We base our decision to lend primarily on the economic viability of the property and the creditworthiness of the borrower. In evaluating a proposed commercial real estate loan, we emphasize the ratio of the property's projected net cash flow to the loan's debt service requirement (generally requiring a minimum ratio of 110%), computed after deduction for a vacancy factor and property expenses we deem appropriate. Personal guarantees are usually obtained from commercial real estate borrowers. We require title insurance, fire and extended coverage casualty insurance, and, if appropriate, flood insurance, in order to protect our security interest in the underlying property. Almost all of our commercial real estate loans are generated internally by our loan officers.

Commercial real estate loans generally carry higher interest rates and have shorter terms than one- to four-family residential mortgage loans. Commercial real estate loans, however, entail greater credit risks compared to one- to four-family residential mortgage loans, as they typically involve larger loan balances concentrated with single borrowers or groups of related borrowers. In addition, the payment of loans secured by income-producing properties typically depends, in large part, on sufficient income from the property to cover operating expenses and debt service. Changes in economic conditions that are not in the control of the borrower or lender could affect the value of the collateral for the loan or the future cash flow of the property. Additionally, any decline in real estate values may be more pronounced for commercial real estate than for residential properties.

We also originate a limited amount of construction loans to experienced developers, almost exclusively for the construction of residential real estate projects. Construction loans are also made to individuals for the construction of their personal residences. Construction loans to individuals are generally interest-only loans during the construction period, and convert to permanent, amortizing loans following the completion of construction. At December 31, 2012, construction loans totaled \$5.4 million, or 0.7% of total loans receivable. At December 31, 2012, the additional unadvanced portion of these construction loans totaled \$2.4 million.

Advances on construction loans are made in accordance with a schedule reflecting the cost of construction, but are generally limited to an 80% loan-to-completed-appraised-value ratio. Repayment of construction loans on residential properties is normally expected from the sale of units to individual purchasers. In the case of income-producing property, repayment is usually expected from permanent financing upon completion of construction. We typically provide the permanent mortgage financing on our construction loans on income-producing property.

Before making a commitment to fund a construction loan, we require an appraisal of the property by a licensed appraiser. We inspect properties before disbursement of funds during the term of the construction loan.

Construction financing generally involves greater credit risk than long-term financing on improved, owner-occupied real estate. Risk of loss on a construction loan depends largely upon the accuracy of the initial estimate of the value of the property at completion of construction compared to the

Table of Contents

estimated cost (including interest) of construction and other assumptions. If the estimate of construction cost is inaccurate, we may be required to advance additional funds beyond the amount originally committed in order to protect the value of the property. Moreover, if the estimated value of the completed project is inaccurate, the borrower may hold a property with a value that is insufficient to assure full repayment of the construction loan upon the sale of the property. In the event we make a land acquisition loan on property that is not yet approved for the planned development, there is the risk that approvals will not be granted or will be delayed. We currently do not have any land acquisition development and construction loans. Construction loans also expose us to the risk that improvements will not be completed on time in accordance with specifications and projected costs. In addition, the ultimate sale or rental of the property may not occur as anticipated.

Loan Originations, Purchases, Sales, Participations and Servicing. All loans that we originate are underwritten pursuant to our policies and procedures, which incorporate standard underwriting guidelines, including those of Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae, to the extent applicable. We originate both adjustable-rate and fixed-rate loans. However, in our market area, customer demand is primarily for fixed-rate loans. Our loan origination and sales activity may be adversely affected by a rising interest rate environment that typically results in decreased loan demand. Most of our one- to four-family residential mortgage loan originations are generated by our branch managers and employees located in our banking offices and our additional commissioned loan officers located in our corporate headquarters. We also advertise throughout our market area. We also receive loans from mortgage brokers, mortgage bankers and other financial institutions that work with our staff to process and close these loans. We underwrite and approve all of these loans.

Prior to 2010, we retained the servicing rights on residential mortgage loans sold. In 2010, we began selling loans primarily on a servicing-released basis. We sell loans to assist us in managing interest rate risk. We sold \$107.9 million, \$61.2 million and \$45.1 million of residential mortgage loans (all fixed-rate loans, with terms of 10 years or longer) during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. We had six loans totaling \$2.2 million classified as held for sale at December 31, 2012.

We sell our loans without recourse, except for normal representations and warranties provided in sales transactions. At December 31, 2012, we were servicing loans owned by others with a principal balance of \$84.8 million. Loan servicing includes collecting and remitting loan payments, accounting for principal and interest, contacting delinquent borrowers, supervising foreclosures and property dispositions in the event of unremedied defaults, making certain insurance and tax payments on behalf of the borrowers and generally administering the loans. We retain a portion of the interest paid by the borrower on the loans we service as consideration for our servicing activities. For the year ended December 31, 2012, we received servicing fees of \$275,000. At December 31, 2012, substantially all of the loans serviced for Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae were performing in accordance with their contractual terms and we believe that there are no material repurchase obligations associated with these loans. We have not entered into loan participations in recent years.

Loan Approval Procedures and Authority. Our lending activities follow written, nondiscriminatory underwriting standards and loan origination procedures established by our Board of Directors. The loan approval process is intended to assess the borrower's ability to repay the loan and value of the property that will secure the loan. To assess the borrower's ability to repay, we review the borrower's employment and credit history and information on the historical and projected income and expenses of the borrower.

Our policies and loan approval limits are established by the Board of Directors. Aggregate lending relationships in amounts up to \$2.0 million can be approved by designated individual officers or officers acting together with specific lending approval authority. Relationships in excess of \$2.0 million require the approval of the Loan Committee of the Board of Directors.

Table of Contents

Territorial Savings Bank also uses automated underwriting systems to review one- to four-family residential mortgage loans with balances up to \$625,500. We require appraisals of all real property securing one- to four-family residential real estate loans, and on property securing home equity loans and lines of credit when the aggregate loan amount exceeds 60% of the property's tax assessed value or when the loan or line of credit is \$250,000 or greater. All appraisers are licensed appraisers and all third-party appraisers are approved by the Board of Directors annually.

Investments

Our Board of Directors has primary responsibility for establishing and overseeing our investment policy. The Board of Directors has delegated authority to implement the investment policy to our Investment Committee, consisting of the same members as our Asset/Liability Management Committee. The investment policy is reviewed at least annually by the Investment Committee, and any changes to the policy are subject to approval by the full Board of Directors. The overall objectives of the Investment Policy are to maintain a portfolio of high quality and diversified investments to maximize interest income over the long term and to minimize risk, to provide collateral for borrowings, to provide additional earnings when loan production is low, and to reduce our tax liability. The policy dictates that investment decisions give consideration to the safety of principal, liquidity requirements and potential returns. Our Senior Vice President and Treasurer executes our securities portfolio transactions as directed by the Investment Committee. All purchase and sale transactions are reported to the Board of Directors on a monthly basis.

Our current investment policy permits investments in securities issued by the United States Government as well as mortgage-backed securities and direct obligations of Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and Ginnie Mae. The investment policy also permits, with certain limitations, investments in certificates of deposit, bank-owned life insurance, collateralized mortgage obligations, trust preferred securities, municipal securities and stock in the Federal Home Loan Bank of Seattle. We purchased stock in the Federal Home Loan Bank of Seattle in order to obtain services such as demand deposit accounts, certificates of deposit, security safekeeping services and borrowings in the form of advances.

Our current investment policy does not permit investment in stripped mortgage-backed securities, complex securities and derivatives as defined in federal banking regulations and other high-risk securities. As of December 31, 2012, we held no asset-backed securities other than mortgage-backed securities. As a federal savings bank, Territorial Savings Bank is not permitted to invest in equity securities. This general restriction does not apply to Territorial Bancorp Inc.

Our current policies do not permit hedging activities, such as engaging in futures, options or swap transactions, or investing in high-risk mortgage derivatives, such as collateralized mortgage obligation residual interests, real estate mortgage investment conduit residual interests or stripped mortgage-backed securities.

The Investments Debt and Equity Securities topic of the Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification (FASB ASC) requires that, at the time of purchase, we designate a security as either held-to-maturity, available-for-sale, or trading, based upon our ability and intent to hold the security until maturity. Securities available for sale and trading securities are reported at market value and securities held to maturity are reported at amortized cost. A periodic review and evaluation of the available-for-sale and held-to-maturity securities portfolios is conducted to determine if the fair value of any security has declined below its carrying value and whether such decline is other-than-temporary. If

Table of Contents

we do not have the intent to sell a security and it is not more likely than not that we will be required to sell a security, impairment occurs when the present value of the remaining cash flows is less than the remaining amortized cost basis. The difference between the present value of remaining cash flows and the remaining amortized cost basis is considered a credit loss. If a credit loss has occurred, impairment is recorded by writing down the value of a security to its fair market value. The difference between the write down and the credit loss is considered other comprehensive loss, which is a reduction of net worth.

Our securities held to maturity at December 31, 2012 consisted primarily of securities with the following amortized costs: \$510.0 million of mortgage-backed securities, \$44.3 million of collateralized mortgage obligations and \$421.000 of trust preferred securities which were issued by pools of issuers consisting primarily of financial institution holding companies. At December 31, 2012, all of our mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations were issued by Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac or Ginnie Mae. At December 31, 2012, there were no securities classified as available-for-sale. At December 31, 2012, none of the collateral underlying our securities portfolio was considered subprime or Alt-A, and we did not hold any common or preferred stock issued by Freddie Mac or Fannie Mae as of that date. See Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Balance Sheet Analysis Securities for a discussion of the recent performance of our securities portfolio. The fair values of our securities are based on published or securities dealers' market values.

We purchase mortgage-backed securities insured or guaranteed by Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac or Ginnie Mae. Historically, we invested in mortgage-backed securities to achieve positive interest rate spreads with minimal administrative expense and to lower our credit risk as a result of the guarantees provided by Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac or Ginnie Mae. However, in September 2008, the Federal Housing Finance Agency placed Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae into conservatorship. The U.S. Treasury Department has established financing agreements to ensure that Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae meet their obligations to holders of mortgage-backed securities that they have issued or guaranteed. These actions have not affected the markets for mortgage-backed securities issued by Freddie Mac or Fannie Mae.

Mortgage-backed securities are securities issued in the secondary market that are collateralized by pools of mortgages. Certain types of mortgage-backed securities are commonly referred to as "pass-through" certificates because the principal and interest of the underlying loans is passed through to investors, net of certain costs, including servicing and guarantee fees. Mortgage-backed securities typically are collateralized by pools of one- to four-family or multi-family mortgages. We invest primarily in mortgage-backed securities backed by one- to four-family mortgages. The interest rate of the security is lower than the interest rates of the underlying loans to allow for payment of servicing and guaranty fees. Ginnie Mae, a United States Government agency, and government sponsored enterprises, such as Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, either guarantee the payments or guarantee the timely payment of principal and interest to investors. Mortgage-backed securities are more liquid than individual mortgage loans since there is an active trading market for such securities. In addition, mortgage-backed securities may be used to collateralize our borrowings. Investments in mortgage-backed securities involve a risk that actual payments will be greater or less than the prepayment rate estimated at the time of purchase, which may require adjustments to the amortization of any premium or accretion of any discount relating to such interests, thereby affecting the net yield on our securities.

Sources of Funds

General. Deposits traditionally have been our primary source of funds for our investment and lending activities. We also borrow from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Seattle and from securities dealers through securities sold under agreements to repurchase to supplement cash flow needs, to lengthen the maturities of liabilities for interest rate risk management purposes and to manage our cost of funds. Our additional sources of funds are loan repayments, maturing investments, retained earnings, income on other earning assets and the proceeds of loan and security sales.

Table of Contents

Deposits. We accept deposits primarily from the areas in which our offices are located. We rely on our competitive pricing and products, convenient locations and quality customer service to attract and retain deposits. We offer a variety of deposit accounts with a range of interest rates and terms. Our deposit accounts consist of passbook and statement savings accounts, certificates of deposit, money market accounts, commercial and regular checking accounts and Super NOW accounts. Historically, we have not accepted brokered deposits.

Interest rates paid, maturity terms, service fees and withdrawal penalties are established on a periodic basis. Deposit rates and terms are based primarily on current operating strategies, market interest rates, liquidity requirements and our deposit growth goals.

Borrowings. Our borrowings consist of funds borrowed from securities sold under agreements to repurchase and advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Seattle. At December 31, 2012, our securities sold under agreements to repurchase totaled \$70.0 million, or 5.2% of total liabilities, and Federal Home Loan Bank advances totaled \$20.0 million, or 1.5% of total liabilities. At December 31, 2012, we had access to additional Federal Home Loan Bank advances of up to \$370.6 million. Advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Seattle are secured by our investment in the common stock of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Seattle as well as by a blanket pledge on our assets not otherwise pledged. Securities sold under agreements to repurchase are secured by mortgage-backed securities.

Subsidiary Activities

Through December 30, 2010, Territorial Savings Bank owned 100% of the common stock of Territorial Holdings, Inc., a Hawaii corporation, which in turn owned 100% of the voting common stock of Territorial Realty, Inc. Territorial Realty, Inc. was a Hawaii real estate investment trust that held mortgage loans and mortgage-backed securities. These entities enabled Territorial Savings Bank to segregate certain assets for management purposes, and promoted Territorial Savings Bank's ability to raise regulatory capital in the future through the sale of preferred stock or other capital-enhancing securities by these entities. On December 31, 2010, Territorial Holdings, Inc. and Territorial Realty, Inc. were liquidated and their accounts and results of operations were incorporated into Territorial Savings Bank.

Territorial Savings Bank owns 100% of the common stock of Territorial Financial Services, Inc., a Hawaii corporation that engages primarily in insurance activities. At December 31, 2012, Territorial Savings Bank's investment in Territorial Financial Services, Inc. was \$12,000, and Territorial Financial Services, Inc. had assets of \$77,000 at that date. Territorial Savings Bank also owns 100% of the common stock of Territorial Real Estate Co., Inc., an inactive Hawaii corporation that is authorized to manage and dispose of troubled real estate.

Personnel

As of December 31, 2012, we had 264 full-time employees and 13 part-time employees. Our employees are not represented by any collective bargaining group. Management believes that we have a good working relationship with our employees.

Table of Contents

FEDERAL AND STATE TAXATION

Federal Taxation

General. Territorial Bancorp Inc. and Territorial Savings Bank are subject to federal income taxation in the same general manner as other corporations, with some exceptions discussed below. The following discussion of federal taxation is intended only to summarize material federal income tax matters and is not a comprehensive description of the tax rules applicable to Territorial Bancorp Inc. and Territorial Savings Bank.

Method of Accounting. For federal income tax purposes, Territorial Bancorp Inc. currently reports its income and expenses on the accrual method of accounting and uses a tax year ending December 31st for filing its consolidated federal income tax returns. The Small Business Protection Act of 1996 eliminated the use of the reserve method of accounting for bad debt reserves by savings institutions, effective for taxable years beginning after 1995.

Minimum Tax. The Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, imposes an alternative minimum tax at a rate of 20% on a base of regular taxable income plus certain tax preferences, referred to as alternative minimum taxable income. The alternative minimum tax is payable to the extent alternative minimum taxable income is in excess of an exemption amount. Net operating losses can, in general, offset no more than 90% of alternative minimum taxable income. Certain payments of alternative minimum tax may be used as credits against regular tax liabilities in future years. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, Territorial Bancorp Inc. had no alternative minimum tax credit carryforward.

Net Operating Loss Carryovers. A financial institution may carry back net operating losses to the preceding two taxable years and forward to the succeeding 20 taxable years. However, as a result of recent legislation, subject to certain limitations, the carryback period for net operating losses incurred in 2008 or 2009 (but not both years) has been expanded to five years. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, Territorial Savings Bank had no net operating loss carryforward for federal income tax purposes.

Corporate Dividends. We may exclude from our income 100% of dividends received from Territorial Savings Bank as a member of the same affiliated group of corporations.

Audit of Tax Returns. Territorial Bancorp Inc.'s federal income tax returns have not been audited in the most recent five-year period.

State Taxation

Territorial Bancorp Inc. and Territorial Savings Bank are subject to a franchise tax imposed under Hawaii law at a rate of 7.92% of net income. The net income to which the tax rate is applied is determined in a manner consistent with the taxable income determined for federal purposes with some adjustments. The principal adjustment to federal taxable income is the inclusion of interest received on municipal bonds in gross income for Hawaii franchise tax purposes.

Territorial Bancorp Inc.'s state franchise tax returns have not been audited in the most recent five-year period.

Table of Contents

SUPERVISION AND REGULATION

General

Territorial Savings Bank is examined and supervised by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency and is subject to examination by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. This regulation and supervision establishes a comprehensive framework of activities in which an institution may engage and is intended primarily for the protection of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation's deposit insurance fund and depositors, and not for the protection of security holders. Under this system of federal regulation, financial institutions are periodically examined to ensure that they satisfy applicable standards with respect to their capital adequacy, assets, management, earnings, liquidity and sensitivity to market interest rates. Territorial Savings Bank also is regulated to a lesser extent by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, or Federal Reserve Board, governing reserves to be maintained against deposits and other matters. The Office of the Comptroller of the Currency examines Territorial Savings Bank and prepares reports for the consideration of the Bank's Board of Directors on any operating deficiencies. Territorial Savings Bank's relationship with its depositors and borrowers also is regulated to a great extent by federal law and, to a much lesser extent, state law, especially in matters concerning the ownership of deposit accounts and the form and content of Territorial Savings Bank's loan documents.

Any change in these laws or regulations, whether by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency or Congress, could have a material adverse impact on Territorial Bancorp Inc., Territorial Savings Bank and their operations.

Territorial Bancorp Inc., as a savings and loan holding company, is required to file certain reports with, is subject to examination by, and otherwise must comply with the rules and regulations of the Federal Reserve Board. Territorial Bancorp Inc. is also subject to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission under the federal securities laws.

Certain of the regulatory requirements that are applicable to Territorial Savings Bank and Territorial Bancorp Inc. are described below. This description of statutes and regulations is not intended to be a complete description of such statutes and regulations and their effects on Territorial Savings Bank and Territorial Bancorp Inc. and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the actual statutes and regulations.

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Dodd-Frank Act), signed into law in 2010, eliminated our primary federal regulator, the Office of Thrift Supervision, by merging the Office of Thrift Supervision into the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (the primary federal regulator for national banks). The Office of the Comptroller of the Currency assumed the responsibility for examining, regulating and enforcing laws and regulations against federal savings banks effective July 21, 2011. The legislation also established a Financial Services Oversight Council and granted the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System exclusive authority to regulate all bank and thrift holding companies. As a result, Territorial Bancorp Inc. became subject to supervision by the Federal Reserve Board as opposed to the Office of Thrift Supervision. Compliance with new regulations and being supervised by one or more new regulatory agencies could increase our expenses.

The Dodd-Frank Act also created a new agency, the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, as an independent bureau of the Federal Reserve Board, to take over the implementation of federal consumer financial protection and fair lending laws from the depository institution regulators. However, institutions with \$10 billion or fewer in assets, such as Territorial Savings Bank, will continue to be examined for compliance with such laws and regulations by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, its primary banking regulator, rather than the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau.

Table of Contents

Federal Banking Regulation

Business Activities. A federal savings bank derives its lending and investment powers from the Home Owners Loan Act, as amended, and the regulations of the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency. Under these laws and regulations, Territorial Savings Bank may invest in mortgage loans secured by residential and commercial real estate, commercial business and consumer loans, certain types of investment securities and certain other assets, subject to applicable limits. Territorial Savings Bank also may establish subsidiaries that may engage in activities not otherwise permissible for Territorial Savings Bank, including real estate investment and securities and insurance brokerage. In addition, the Dodd-Frank Act authorized the payment of interest on commercial checking accounts, effective July 21, 2011.

Capital Requirements. Federal regulations require savings banks to meet three minimum capital standards: a 1.5% tangible capital ratio, a 4% leverage ratio (3% for savings banks receiving the highest rating on the CAMELS rating system) and an 8% risk-based capital ratio.

The risk-based capital standard for savings banks requires the maintenance of Tier 1 (core) and total capital (which is defined as core capital and supplementary capital) to risk-weighted assets of at least 4% and 8%, respectively. In determining the amount of risk-weighted assets, all assets, including certain off-balance sheet assets, are multiplied by a risk-weight factor of 0% to 100%, based on the risks believed inherent in the type of asset. Core capital is defined as common stockholders' equity (including retained earnings), certain noncumulative perpetual preferred stock and related surplus and minority interests in equity accounts of consolidated subsidiaries, less intangibles other than certain mortgage servicing rights and credit card relationships. The components of supplementary capital include cumulative preferred stock, long-term perpetual preferred stock, mandatory convertible securities, subordinated debt and intermediate preferred stock, the allowance for loan and lease losses limited to a maximum of 1.25% of risk-weighted assets and up to 45% of net unrealized gains on available-for-sale equity securities with readily determinable fair market values. Overall, the amount of supplementary capital included as part of total capital cannot exceed 100% of core capital. Additionally, a savings bank that retains credit risk in connection with an asset sale may be required to maintain additional regulatory capital because of the recourse back to the savings bank. In assessing an institution's capital adequacy, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency takes into consideration not only these numeric factors but also qualitative factors as well, and has the authority to establish higher capital requirements for individual associations where necessary.

On June 6, 2012, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency and the other federal bank regulatory agencies issued a series of proposed rules to revise their risk-based and leverage capital requirements and their method for calculating risk-weighted assets to make them consistent with the agreements that were reached by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision in Basel III: A Global Regulatory Framework for More Resilient Banks and Banking Systems (Basel III). The proposed rules would apply to all depository institutions, top-tier bank holding companies with total consolidated assets of \$500 million or more, and top-tier savings and loan holding companies (banking organizations). Among other things, the proposed rules establish a new common equity tier 1 minimum capital requirement and a higher minimum tier 1 capital requirement, and assign higher risk weightings (150%) to exposures that are more than 90 days past due or are on nonaccrual status and certain commercial real estate facilities that finance the acquisition, development or construction of real property. The proposed rules also limit a banking organization's capital distributions and certain discretionary bonus payments if the banking organization does not hold a capital conservation buffer consisting of a specified amount of common equity tier 1 capital in addition to the amount necessary to meet its minimum risk-based capital requirements. The proposed rules indicated that the final rules would become effective on January 1, 2013, and the changes set forth in the final rules would be phased in from January 1, 2013 through

Table of Contents

January 1, 2019. However, the federal bank regulatory agencies have recently indicated that, due to the volume of public comments received, the implementation of the final rule would be delayed past January 1, 2013.

At December 31, 2012, Territorial Savings Bank's capital exceeded all applicable requirements.

Loans-to-One Borrower. Generally, a federal savings bank may not make a loan or extend credit to a single or related group of borrowers in excess of 15% of unimpaired capital and surplus. An additional amount may be loaned, equal to 10% of unimpaired capital and surplus, if the loan is secured by readily marketable collateral, which generally does not include real estate. As of December 31, 2012, Territorial Savings Bank's largest lending relationship with a single or related group of borrowers totaled \$3.5 million, which represented 1.7% of unimpaired capital and surplus. Therefore, Territorial Savings Bank was in compliance with the loans-to-one borrower limitations.

Qualified Thrift Lender Test. As a federal savings bank, Territorial Savings Bank must satisfy the qualified thrift lender, or QTL, test. Under the QTL test, Territorial Savings Bank must maintain at least 65% of its portfolio assets in qualified thrift investments (primarily residential mortgages and related investments, including mortgage-backed securities) in at least nine months of the most recent 12-month period. Portfolio assets generally means total assets of a savings institution, less the sum of specified liquid assets up to 20% of total assets, goodwill and other intangible assets, and the value of property used in the conduct of the savings bank's business.

Territorial Savings Bank also may satisfy the QTL test by qualifying as a domestic building and loan association as defined in the Internal Revenue Code. A savings bank that fails the qualified thrift lender test must operate under specified restrictions set forth in the Home Owners Loan Act. Additionally, the Dodd-Frank Act made noncompliance with the QTL test potentially subject to agency enforcement action for violation of law.

At December 31, 2012, Territorial Savings Bank maintained approximately 95.4% of its portfolio assets in qualified thrift investments and, therefore, satisfied the QTL test.

Capital Distributions. Office of the Comptroller of the Currency or Federal Reserve regulations govern capital distributions by a federal savings bank, which include cash dividends, stock repurchases and other transactions charged to the savings bank's capital account. A savings bank must file an application for approval of a capital distribution if:

the total capital distributions for the applicable calendar year exceed the sum of the savings bank's net income for that year to date plus the savings bank's retained net income for the preceding two years;

the savings bank would not be at least adequately capitalized following the distribution;

the distribution would violate any applicable statute, regulation, agreement or Office of the Comptroller of the Currency-imposed condition; or

the savings bank is not eligible for expedited treatment of its filings.

Even if an application is not otherwise required, every savings bank that is a subsidiary of a holding company must still file a notice with the Federal Reserve Board at least 30 days before the Board of Directors declares a dividend or approves a capital distribution.

Table of Contents

The Federal Reserve Board, upon consultation with the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, may disapprove a notice or application if:

the savings bank would be undercapitalized following the distribution;

the proposed capital distribution raises safety and soundness concerns; or

the capital distribution would violate a prohibition contained in any statute, regulation with a federal banking regulatory agency or any formal or informal enforcement action.

In addition, the Federal Deposit Insurance Act provides that an insured depository institution shall not make any capital distribution if, after making such distribution, the institution would be undercapitalized.

Liquidity. A federal savings bank is required to maintain a sufficient amount of liquid assets to ensure its safe and sound operation. Our primary source of liquidity to meet our short- and long-term funding needs are cash balances at the Federal Reserve Bank, savings deposits, loan and security repayments, loan and security sales, securities sold under agreements to repurchase with security dealers and borrowing lines at the Federal Home Loan Bank of Seattle. At December 31, 2012, we had \$182.8 million of cash and cash equivalents. We also had the capacity to borrow up to \$370.6 million from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Seattle at December 31, 2012.

Community Reinvestment Act and Fair Lending Laws. All savings banks have a responsibility under the Community Reinvestment Act and related federal regulations to help meet the credit needs of their communities, including low- and moderate-income borrowers. In connection with its examination of a federal savings bank, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency is required to assess the savings bank's record of compliance with the Community Reinvestment Act. In addition, the Equal Credit Opportunity Act and the Fair Housing Act prohibit lenders from discriminating in their lending practices on the basis of characteristics specified in those statutes. A savings bank's failure to comply with the provisions of the Community Reinvestment Act could, at a minimum, result in denial of certain corporate applications such as branches or mergers, or in restrictions on its activities. The failure to comply with the Equal Credit Opportunity Act and the Fair Housing Act could result in enforcement actions by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, as well as other federal regulatory agencies and the Department of Justice. The Community Reinvestment Act requires all Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation-insured institutions to publicly disclose their rating. Territorial Savings Bank received a satisfactory Community Reinvestment Act rating in its most recent federal examination.

Transactions with Related Parties. A federal savings bank's authority to engage in transactions with its affiliates is limited by Office of the Comptroller of the Currency regulations and by Sections 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act and its implementing Regulation W promulgated by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. An affiliate is generally a company that controls, is controlled by, or is under common control with an insured depository institution such as Territorial Savings Bank. Territorial Bancorp Inc. is an affiliate of Territorial Savings Bank. In general, transactions between an insured depository institution and its affiliates are subject to certain quantitative and collateral requirements. In addition, Office of the Comptroller of the Currency regulations prohibit a savings bank from lending to any of its affiliates that are engaged in activities that are not permissible for bank holding companies and from purchasing the securities of any affiliate, other than a subsidiary. Finally, transactions with affiliates must be consistent with safe and sound banking practices, not involve low-quality assets and be on terms that are as favorable to the institution as comparable transactions with nonaffiliates. The Office of the Comptroller of the Currency requires savings banks to maintain detailed records of all transactions with affiliates.

Table of Contents

Territorial Savings Bank's authority to extend credit to its directors, executive officers and 10% stockholders, as well as to entities controlled by such persons, is currently governed by the requirements of Sections 22(g) and 22(h) of the Federal Reserve Act and Regulation O of the Federal Reserve Board. Among other things, these provisions require that extensions of credit to insiders:

- (i) be made on terms that are substantially the same as, and follow credit underwriting procedures that are not less stringent than, those prevailing for comparable transactions with unaffiliated persons and that do not involve more than the normal risk of repayment or present other unfavorable features, and
- (ii) not exceed certain limitations on the amount of credit extended to such persons, individually and in the aggregate, which limits are based, in part, on the amount of Territorial Savings Bank's capital.

In addition, extensions of credit in excess of certain limits must be approved by Territorial Savings Bank's Board of Directors.

Enforcement. The Office of the Comptroller of the Currency has primary enforcement responsibility over federal savings institutions and has the authority to bring enforcement action against all institution-affiliated parties, including directors, officers, stockholders, attorneys, appraisers and accountants who knowingly or recklessly participate in wrongful action likely to have an adverse effect on an insured institution. Formal enforcement action by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency may range from the issuance of a capital directive or cease and desist order to removal of officers and/or directors of the institution, and the appointment of a receiver or conservator. Civil penalties cover a wide range of violations and actions, and range up to \$25,000 per day, unless a finding of reckless disregard is made, in which case penalties may be as high as \$1 million per day. The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation also has the authority to terminate deposit insurance or to recommend to the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency that enforcement action be taken with respect to a particular savings institution. If action is not taken by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation has authority to take action under specified circumstances.

The Office of the Comptroller of the Currency has assumed the Office of Thrift Supervision's enforcement authority under the Dodd-Frank Act regulatory restructuring.

Standards for Safety and Soundness. Federal law requires each federal banking agency to prescribe certain standards for all insured depository institutions. These standards relate to, among other things, internal controls, information systems and audit systems, loan documentation, credit underwriting, interest rate risk exposure, asset growth, compensation, and other operational and managerial standards as the agency deems appropriate. Interagency guidelines set forth the safety and soundness standards that the federal banking agencies use to identify and address problems at insured depository institutions before capital becomes impaired. If the appropriate federal banking agency determines that an institution fails to meet any standard prescribed by the guidelines, the agency may require the institution to submit to the agency an acceptable plan to achieve compliance with the standard. If an institution fails to meet these standards, the appropriate federal banking agency may require the institution to implement an acceptable compliance plan. Failure to implement such a plan can result in further enforcement action, including the issuance of a cease and desist order or the imposition of civil money penalties.

Prompt Corrective Action Regulations. Under prompt corrective action regulations, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency is authorized and, under certain circumstances, required to take supervisory actions against undercapitalized savings banks. For this purpose, a savings bank is placed in one of the following five categories based on the savings bank's capital:

well-capitalized (at least 5% leverage capital, 6% Tier 1 risk-based capital and 10% total risk-based capital);

Table of Contents

adequately capitalized (at least 4% leverage capital (3% for savings banks with a composite examination rating of 1), 4% Tier 1 risk-based capital and 8% total risk-based capital);

undercapitalized (less than 4% leverage capital (3% for savings banks with a composite examination rating of 1), 4% Tier 1 risk-based capital or 8% total risk-based capital);

significantly undercapitalized (less than 3% leverage capital, 3% Tier 1 risk-based capital or 6% total risk-based capital); and

critically undercapitalized (less than 2% tangible capital).

Generally, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency is required to appoint a receiver or conservator for a savings bank that is critically undercapitalized within specific time frames. The regulations also provide that a capital restoration plan must be filed with the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency within 45 days of the date a savings bank receives notice that it is undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized or critically undercapitalized. Any holding company for the savings bank required to submit a capital restoration plan must guarantee the lesser of an amount equal to 5% of the savings bank's assets at the time it was notified or deemed to be undercapitalized by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, or the amount necessary to restore the savings bank to adequately capitalized status. This guarantee remains in place until the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency notifies the savings bank that it has maintained adequately capitalized status for each of four consecutive calendar quarters, and the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency has the authority to require payment and collect payment under the guarantee. Failure by a holding company to provide the required guarantee will result in certain operating restrictions on the savings bank, such as restrictions on the ability to declare and pay dividends, pay executive compensation and management fees, and increase assets or expand operations. The Office of the Comptroller of the Currency may also take any one of a number of discretionary supervisory actions against undercapitalized associations, including the issuance of a capital directive and the replacement of senior executive officers and directors.

The recently proposed rules that would increase regulatory capital requirements would adjust the prompt corrective action categories accordingly.

At December 31, 2012, Territorial Savings Bank met the criteria for being considered well-capitalized.

Insurance of Deposit Accounts. Territorial Savings Bank's deposits are insured up to applicable limits by the Deposit Insurance Fund of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

Under the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation's risk-based assessment system, insured institutions are assigned to one of four risk categories based on supervisory evaluations, regulatory capital levels and certain other factors, with less risky institutions paying lower assessments. An institution's assessment rate depends upon the category to which it is assigned, and certain adjustments specified by Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation regulations. The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation may adjust the scale uniformly, except that no adjustment can deviate more than two basis points from the base scale without notice and comment. No institution may pay a dividend if in default of the federal deposit insurance assessment.

Table of Contents

Assessment rates previously ranged from seven to 77.5 basis points of assessable deposits. The Dodd-Frank Act required the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation to revise its procedures to base its assessments upon total assets less tangible equity instead of deposits. The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation finalized a rule, effective April 1, 2011, that changed the assessment range to 2.5 to 45 basis points of total assets less tangible equity.

Due to the recent difficult economic conditions, deposit insurance per account owner has been permanently raised to \$250,000.

In addition to the assessment for deposit insurance, institutions are required to make payments on bonds issued in the late 1980s by the Financing Corporation to recapitalize a predecessor deposit insurance fund. That payment is established quarterly and during the quarter ended December 31, 2012, equaled 0.66 basis points of total assets less tangible capital.

The Dodd-Frank Act increased the minimum target Deposit Insurance Fund ratio from 1.15% of estimated insured deposits to 1.35% of estimated insured deposits. The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation must seek to achieve the 1.35% ratio by September 30, 2020. Insured institutions with assets of \$10 billion or more are supposed to fund the increase. The Dodd-Frank Act eliminated the 1.5% maximum fund ratio, instead leaving it to the discretion of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation has recently exercised that discretion by establishing a long-range fund ratio of 2%.

The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation has authority to increase insurance assessments. A significant increase in insurance premiums would likely have an adverse effect on the operating expenses and results of operations of the Bank. Management cannot predict what insurance assessment rates will be in the future.

Prohibitions Against Tying Arrangements. Federal savings banks are prohibited, subject to some exceptions, from extending credit to or offering any other service, or fixing or varying the consideration for such extension of credit or service, on the condition that the customer obtain some additional service from the institution or its affiliates or not obtain services of a competitor of the institution.

Federal Home Loan Bank System. Territorial Savings Bank is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank System, which consists of twelve regional Federal Home Loan Banks. The Federal Home Loan Bank System provides a central credit facility primarily for member institutions as well as other entities involved in home mortgage lending. As a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Seattle, Territorial Savings Bank is required to acquire and hold shares of capital stock in the Federal Home Loan Bank. As of December 31, 2012, Territorial Savings Bank held \$12.1 million of capital stock in the Federal Home Loan Bank of Seattle and was in compliance with this requirement.

Other Regulations

Interest and other charges collected or contracted for by Territorial Savings Bank are subject to state usury laws and federal laws concerning interest rates. Territorial Savings Bank's operations are also subject to federal laws applicable to credit transactions, such as the:

Truth-In-Lending Act, governing disclosures of credit terms to consumer borrowers;

Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act, requiring that borrowers for mortgage loans for one- to four-family residential real estate receive various disclosures, including good faith estimates of settlement costs, lender servicing and escrow account practices, and prohibiting certain practices that increase the cost of settlement services;

Table of Contents

Home Mortgage Disclosure Act, requiring financial institutions to provide information to enable the public and public officials to determine whether a financial institution is fulfilling its obligation to help meet the housing needs of the community it serves;

Equal Credit Opportunity Act, prohibiting discrimination on the basis of race, creed or other prohibited factors in extending credit;

Fair Credit Reporting Act, governing the use and provision of information to credit reporting agencies;

fair lending laws;

Unfair or Deceptive Acts or Practices (UDAP) laws and regulations;

Fair Debt Collection Act, governing the manner in which consumer debts may be collected by collection agencies;

Truth in Savings Act; and

rules and regulations of the various federal agencies charged with the responsibility of implementing such federal laws.

The operations of Territorial Savings Bank also are subject to the:

Right to Financial Privacy Act, which imposes a duty to maintain confidentiality of consumer financial records and prescribes procedures for complying with administrative subpoenas of financial records;

Electronic Funds Transfer Act and Regulation E promulgated thereunder, which govern automatic deposits to and withdrawals from deposit accounts and customers' rights and liabilities arising from the use of automated teller machines and other electronic banking services;

Check Clearing for the 21st Century Act (also known as Check 21), which gives substitute checks, such as digital check images and copies made from that image, the same legal standing as the original paper check;

The USA PATRIOT Act, which requires savings banks to, among other things, establish broadened anti-money laundering compliance programs, due diligence policies and controls to ensure the detection and reporting of money laundering. Such required compliance programs are intended to supplement existing compliance requirements, also applicable to financial institutions, under the Bank Secrecy Act and the Office of Foreign Assets Control regulations; and

The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, which places limitations on the sharing of consumer financial information by financial institutions with unaffiliated third parties. Specifically, the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act requires all financial institutions offering financial products or services to retail customers to provide such customers with the financial institution's privacy policy and provide such customers the opportunity to opt out of the sharing of certain personal financial information with unaffiliated third parties.

Table of Contents

Holding Company Regulation

General. Territorial Bancorp Inc. is a nondiversified savings and loan holding company within the meaning of the Home Owners' Loan Act. As such, Territorial Bancorp Inc. is registered with the Federal Reserve Board and subject to Federal Reserve Board regulations, examinations, supervision and reporting requirements. In addition, the Federal Reserve Board has enforcement authority over Territorial Bancorp Inc. and its subsidiaries. Among other things, this authority permits the Federal Reserve Board to restrict or prohibit activities that are determined to be a serious risk to the subsidiary savings institution. The Dodd-Frank Act regulatory restructuring transferred the responsibility for regulating and supervising savings and loan holding companies from the Office of Thrift Supervision to the Federal Reserve Board, effective July 21, 2011.

Permissible Activities. The business activities of Territorial Bancorp Inc. are generally limited to those activities permissible for financial holding companies under Section 4(k) of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended, or for multiple savings and loan holding companies. A financial holding company may engage in activities that are financial in nature, including underwriting equity securities and insurance as well as activities that are incidental to financial activities or complementary to a financial activity. The Dodd-Frank Act added that any savings and loan holding company that engages in activities permissible for a financial holding company must meet the qualitative requirements for a bank holding company to be a financial holding company and conduct the activities in accordance with the requirements that would apply to a financial holding company's conduct of the activity. A multiple savings and loan holding company is generally limited to activities permissible for bank holding companies under Section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act, subject to the prior approval of the Federal Reserve Board, and certain additional activities authorized by Federal Reserve Board regulations.

Federal law prohibits a savings and loan holding company, including Territorial Bancorp Inc., directly or indirectly, or through one or more subsidiaries, from acquiring more than 5% of another savings institution or holding company thereof, without prior written approval of the Federal Reserve Board. It also prohibits the acquisition or retention of, with certain exceptions, more than 5% of a nonsubsidiary company engaged in activities that are not closely related to banking or financial in nature, or acquiring or retaining control of an institution that is not federally insured. In evaluating applications by holding companies to acquire savings institutions, the Federal Reserve Board must consider the financial and managerial resources, future prospects of the company and institution involved, the effect of the acquisition on the risk to the federal deposit insurance fund, the convenience and needs of the community and competitive factors.

The Federal Reserve Board is prohibited from approving any acquisition that would result in a multiple savings and loan holding company controlling savings institutions in more than one state, subject to two exceptions:

- (i) the approval of interstate supervisory acquisitions by savings and loan holding companies; and
- (ii) the acquisition of a savings institution in another state if the laws of the state of the target savings institution specifically permit such acquisition.

The states vary in the extent to which they permit interstate savings and loan holding company acquisitions.

Table of Contents

Capital. Savings and loan holding companies are not currently subject to specific regulatory capital requirements. The Dodd-Frank Act, however, requires the Federal Reserve Board to promulgate consolidated capital requirements for depository institution holding companies that are no less stringent, both quantitatively and in terms of components of capital, than those applicable to institutions themselves. Instruments such as cumulative preferred stock and trust preferred securities will no longer be includable as Tier 1 capital, as is currently the case with bank holding companies. As noted above, the recently proposed capital rules would implement the consolidated capital requirements for savings and loan holding companies. However, the proposed rules did not provide that certain instruments issued before May 19, 2010 would remain as regulatory capital, and also did not provide for a transition period for savings and loan holding companies. Each of these provisions was included in the Dodd-Frank Act, and it is uncertain whether any final rule will do so.

Source of Strength. The Dodd-Frank Act also extends the source of strength doctrine to savings and loan holding companies. The regulatory agencies must issue regulations requiring that all bank and savings and loan holding companies serve as a source of strength to their subsidiary depository institutions by providing capital, liquidity and other support in times of financial stress.

Dividends and Stock Repurchases. The Federal Reserve Board has issued a policy statement regarding the payment of dividends and the repurchase of shares of common stock by bank holding companies that it has made applicable to savings and loan holding companies as well. In general, the policy provides that dividends should be paid only out of current earnings and only if the prospective rate of earnings retention by the holding company appears consistent with the organization's capital needs, asset quality and overall financial condition. Regulatory guidance provides for prior regulatory review of capital distributions in certain circumstances, such as where the company's net income for the past four quarters, net of dividends previously paid over that period, is insufficient to fully fund the dividend. The guidance also provides for prior regulatory review where the company's overall rate of earnings retention is inconsistent with the company's capital needs and overall financial condition. The ability of a holding company to pay dividends may be restricted if a subsidiary bank becomes undercapitalized. The policy statement also provides for regulatory review prior to a holding company redeeming or repurchasing regulatory capital instruments when the holding company is experiencing financial weaknesses or redeeming or repurchasing common stock or perpetual preferred stock that would result in a net reduction in the amount of such equity instruments outstanding as of the end of a quarter compared with the beginning of the quarter in which the redemption or repurchase occurred. These regulatory policies could affect the ability of Territorial Bancorp Inc. to pay dividends, repurchase shares of common stock or otherwise engage in capital distributions.

Change in Control Regulations

Under the Change in Bank Control Act, no person may acquire control of a savings and loan holding company such as the Company unless the Federal Reserve Board has been given 60 days prior written notice and has not issued a notice disapproving the proposed acquisition, taking into consideration certain factors, including the financial and managerial resources of the acquirer and the competitive effects of the acquisition. Control, as defined under federal law, means ownership, control of or holding irrevocable proxies representing more than 25% of any class of voting stock, control in any manner of the election of a majority of the company's directors, or a determination by the regulator that the acquiror has the power to direct, or directly or indirectly to exercise a controlling influence over, the management or policies of the institution. Acquisition of more than 10% of any class of a savings and loan holding company's voting stock constitutes a rebuttable presumption of control under the regulations under certain circumstances including where, as is the case with Territorial Bancorp Inc., the issuer has registered securities under Section 12 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Table of Contents

Federal Securities Laws

Territorial Bancorp Inc.'s common stock is registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Territorial Bancorp Inc. is subject to the information, proxy solicitation, insider trading restrictions and other requirements under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Territorial Bancorp Inc. common stock held by persons who are affiliates (generally officers, directors and principal shareholders) of Territorial Bancorp Inc. may not be resold without registration unless sold in accordance with certain resale restrictions. If Territorial Bancorp Inc. meets specified current public information requirements, each affiliate of Territorial Bancorp Inc. is able to sell in the public market, without registration, a limited number of shares in any three-month period.

Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 addresses, among other issues, corporate governance, auditing and accounting, executive compensation, and enhanced and timely disclosure of corporate information. We have prepared policies, procedures and systems designed to ensure compliance with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and related regulations.

ITEM 1A. Risk Factors

Historically low interest rates may adversely affect our net interest income and profitability.

During the past four years it has been the policy of the Federal Reserve Board to maintain interest rates at historically low levels through its targeted federal funds rate and the purchase of mortgage-backed securities. As a result, market rates on the loans we have originated and the yields on securities we have purchased have been at lower levels than available prior to 2008. As a general matter, our interest-bearing liabilities reprice or mature more quickly than our interest-earning assets, which has been one factor contributing to the increase in our interest rate spread as interest rates decreased. However, our ability to lower our interest expense will be limited since our cost of funds at December 31, 2012 is relatively low while the average yield on our interest-earning assets may continue to decrease as our higher yielding loans and securities are paid off. The Federal Reserve Board has recently indicated its intention to maintain low interest rates until the unemployment rate is 6.5% or lower. Accordingly, our net interest income may be adversely affected and may even decrease, which may have an adverse effect on our profitability.

Future changes in interest rates could reduce our profits.

Our ability to make a profit largely depends on our net interest income, which could be negatively affected by changes in interest rates. Net interest income is the difference between:

the interest income we earn on our interest-earning assets, such as loans and securities; and

the interest expense we pay on our interest-bearing liabilities, such as deposits and borrowings.

As a result of our focus on one- to four-family residential real estate loans and the low demand for adjustable-rate loans in our market area, the interest rates we earn on our loans are generally fixed for long periods of time. Additionally, many of our securities investments are of long maturities with fixed

Table of Contents

interest rates. Like many savings institutions, our focus on deposit accounts as a source of funds, which have no stated maturity date or shorter contractual maturities than loans, results in our liabilities having a shorter duration than our assets. For example, as of December 31, 2012, 91.5% of our loans had maturities of 15 years or longer, while 71.4% of our certificates of deposits had maturities of one year or less. This imbalance can create significant earnings volatility, because market interest rates change over time. In a period of rising interest rates, the interest income earned on our assets, such as loans and investments, likely will not increase as rapidly as the interest paid on our liabilities, such as deposits. In a period of declining interest rates, the interest income earned on our assets likely will decrease more rapidly than the interest paid on our liabilities, as borrowers prepay mortgage loans and mortgage-backed securities, thereby requiring us to reinvest these cash flows at lower interest rates. See Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Management of Market Risk.

In addition, changes in interest rates can affect the average life of loans and mortgage-backed and related securities. A reduction in interest rates results in increased prepayments of loans and mortgage-backed and related securities, as borrowers refinance their debt in order to reduce their borrowing costs. This creates reinvestment risk, which is the risk that we may not be able to reinvest prepayments at rates that are comparable to the rates we earned on the prepaid loans or securities. Additionally, increases in interest rates may decrease loan demand and/or make it more difficult for borrowers to repay adjustable-rate loans.

Changes in interest rates also affect the current fair value of our interest-earning securities portfolio. Generally, the value of securities moves inversely with changes in interest rates. At December 31, 2012, the fair value of our investment in held-to-maturity securities totaled \$584.1 million. Net unrealized gains on these securities totaled \$29.5 million at December 31, 2012.

At December 31, 2012, our rate shock analysis indicated that our economic value of equity (the difference between the market value of our assets and the market value of our liabilities with adjustments made for off-balance sheet items) would increase by \$7.5 million if there was an instantaneous 200 basis point increase in market interest rates. See Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Management of Market Risk.

Our lending activities provide lower interest rates than financial institutions that originate more commercial loans.

Our principal lending activity consists of originating one- to four-family residential real estate mortgage loans. As of December 31, 2012, these loans totaled \$741.3 million, or 94.8% of total loans as of that date. We originate our loans with a focus on limiting credit risk and not to generate the highest return or create the greatest difference between the yield on our interest earning assets and our cost of funds (interest rate spread).

Residential real estate mortgage loans generally have lower interest rates than commercial business loans, commercial real estate loans and consumer loans. As a result, we may generate lower interest rate spreads and rates of return when compared to our competitors who originate more consumer or commercial loans than we do. We intend to continue our focus on residential real estate lending.

Table of Contents

We could record future losses on our holdings of trust preferred securities that we purchased from issuer pools consisting primarily of financial institution holding companies. In addition, we may not receive full future interest payments on these securities.

We owned shares of trust preferred securities with an adjusted cost basis and fair value of \$421,000 at December 31, 2012. The trust preferred securities are debt obligations issued by two issuer pools (Preferred Term Securities XXIII, Ltd. co-marketed by Keefe, Bruyette & Woods, Inc. and FTN Financial Capital Markets (PreTSL XXIII) and Preferred Term Securities XXIV, Ltd. co-marketed by Keefe, Bruyette & Woods, Inc. and FTN Financial Capital Markets (PreTSL XXIV)), consisting primarily of holding companies for Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation-insured financial institutions. Each of these securities is a Class D security, and was originated with a credit rating of BBB. As of December 31, 2012, both PreTSL XXIII and PreTSL XXIV were rated C by Fitch. PreTSL XXIV has a book value of \$0.

Our investment in PreTSL XXIII was determined to be other-than-temporarily impaired as the present value of cash flows was lower than the amortized cost basis of the security. We recorded an impairment charge of \$2.4 million in the year ended December 31, 2010. When the impairment charge of \$2.4 million on PreTSL XXIII was recorded, the security was written down to its fair value of \$32,000. The book value of our investment in PreTSL XXIII has risen from \$32,000 to \$421,000 based on an increase in fair value which has occurred with an increase in the present value of cash flows from this security. The \$1.1 million difference between the original outstanding principal balance of \$3.5 million and the impairment charge of \$2.4 million was reported as other comprehensive loss and is related to noncredit factors such as an inactive trust preferred securities market.

It is reasonably possible that the fair value of PreTSL XXIII could decline in the near term if the overall economy and the financial condition of some of the issuers continue to deteriorate and the liquidity of these securities remains low. As a result, there is a risk that the Company's remaining amortized cost basis of \$1.1 million in PreTSL XXIII could be credit-related other-than-temporarily impaired in the near term. The impairment could be material to the Company's consolidated statement of income.

A number of factors or combinations of factors could cause us to conclude in one or more future reporting periods that an unrealized loss that exists with respect to PreTSL XXIII constitutes an impairment that is other than temporary, which could result in material losses to us. These factors include, but are not limited to, continued failure to make scheduled interest payments, an increase in the severity of the unrealized loss on a particular security, an increase in the continuous duration of the unrealized loss without an improvement in value or changes in market conditions and/or industry or issuer specific factors that would render us unable to forecast a full recovery in value. In addition, the fair value of PreTSL XXIII could decline if the overall economy and the financial condition of some of the issuers continue to deteriorate and there remains limited liquidity for these securities.

For the year ended December 31, 2012, we received no interest payments on the trust preferred securities. The continued failure of the trust preferred issuers to make interest payments for any quarter will reduce our earnings during that quarter.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth information with respect to these securities as of December 31, 2012:

| Pool Deal Name | Book Value | Fair Value | Unrealized Gain | Credit Rating | Number of Financial Institutions in Pool (Dollars in Thousands) | Deferrals and Defaults as a % of Collateral | Excess Subordination (1) |
|----------------|------------|------------|-----------------|---------------|--|---|-----------------------------|
| PreTSL XXIII | \$ 421 | \$ 421 | \$ 0 | C | 110 | 26.3% | \$ 0 |
| PreTSL XXIV | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | C | 79 | 35.8% | \$ 0 |

(1) Estimated present value of future cash flows in excess of amortized cost basis, assuming that 50% of the security collateral is called in the 10th year following issuance.

We have been negatively affected by current market and economic conditions. A continuation or worsening of these conditions could adversely affect our operations, financial condition and earnings.

Recent economic conditions have resulted in continued uncertainty in the financial markets and continued expectations of weak general economic conditions. The resulting economic pressure on consumers and businesses has adversely affected our business, financial condition and results of operations. The credit quality of loan and investment securities portfolios has deteriorated at many financial institutions and the values of real estate collateral supporting many commercial loans and home mortgages have declined and may continue to decline. Financial companies stock prices have been negatively affected, as has the ability of banks and bank holding companies to raise capital or borrow in the debt markets. A continuation or worsening of these conditions could result in reduced loan demand and further increases in loan delinquencies, loan losses, loan loss provisions, costs associated with monitoring delinquent loans and disposing of foreclosed property, and otherwise negatively affect our operations, financial condition and earnings. Further, a decline in the stock market in general, or for stock of financial institutions and their holding companies, could affect our stock performance.

Changes in laws and regulations and the cost of regulatory compliance with new laws and regulations may adversely affect our operations and our income.

In response to the developments described above, Congress has taken actions that are intended to strengthen confidence and encourage liquidity in financial institutions, and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation has taken actions to increase insurance coverage on deposit accounts. In addition, there have been proposals made by members of Congress and others that would reduce the amount distressed borrowers are otherwise contractually obligated to pay under their mortgage loans and limit an institution's ability to foreclose on mortgage collateral.

The potential exists for additional federal or state laws and regulations, or changes in policy, regarding lending and funding practices and liquidity standards, and bank regulatory agencies are expected to be active in responding to concerns and trends identified in examinations, including the expected issuance of many formal enforcement orders. Bank regulatory agencies, such as the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, govern the activities in which we may engage, primarily for the protection of depositors, and not for the protection or benefit of potential investors. In addition, new laws, regulations and other regulatory changes may increase our

Table of Contents

costs of regulatory compliance and of doing business, and otherwise affect our operations. New laws, regulations, and other regulatory changes may significantly affect the markets in which we do business, the markets for and value of our loans and investments, and our ongoing operations, costs and profitability. Federal and state proposals limiting our rights as a creditor could result in credit losses or increased expense in pursuing our remedies as a creditor.

The Federal Home Loan Bank of Seattle has entered into a consent order with the Federal Housing Finance Agency. If our investment in the Federal Home Loan Bank of Seattle is classified as other-than-temporarily impaired or as permanently impaired, our earnings and stockholders' equity could decrease.

We own common stock of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Seattle (FHLB of Seattle). We hold this stock to qualify for membership in the Federal Home Loan Bank System and to be eligible to borrow funds under the FHLB of Seattle's advance program. The aggregate cost and fair value of our FHLB of Seattle common stock as of December 31, 2012 was \$12.1 million based on its par value. There is no market for our FHLB of Seattle common stock.

On October 25, 2010, the FHLB of Seattle entered into a consent order with the Federal Housing Finance Agency (FHFA), which requires the FHLB of Seattle to take certain specified actions related to its business and operations. In September 2012, FHFA reclassified the FHLB of Seattle as adequately capitalized and the FHLB of Seattle began to repurchase a limited amount of member capital stock at par. The FHLB of Seattle may again be in position to redeem certain capital stock from members in larger amounts and begin paying dividends once the bank:

achieves and maintains certain other financial and operational metrics;

remediates certain concerns regarding its oversight and management, asset improvement program, capital adequacy and retained earnings, risk management, compensation practices, examination findings, and information technology; and

returns to a safe and sound condition as determined by the FHFA.

Any stock repurchases and redemptions and dividend payments will be subject to FHFA approval.

There continues to be a risk that the FHLB of Seattle may not be permitted to redeem certain capital stock from members and begin paying dividends in the future, and that our investment in FHLB of Seattle common stock could be impaired at some time in the future. If this occurs, it would cause our earnings and stockholders' equity to decrease by the after-tax amount of the impairment charge.

Nonresidential real estate loans and commercial business loans increase our exposure to credit risks.

At December 31, 2012, our portfolio of commercial real estate, construction and other nonresidential real estate loans totaled \$13.8 million, or 1.8% of total loans. In addition, at December 31, 2012, our portfolio of commercial business loans totaled \$3.2 million, or 0.4% of total loans. These loans generally expose us to a greater risk of nonpayment and loss than residential real estate loans because repayment of such loans often depends on the successful operations and income stream of the borrowers. Additionally, such loans typically involve larger loan balances to single borrowers or groups of related borrowers compared to residential real estate loans.

Table of Contents

We target our business lending and marketing strategy towards small- to medium-sized businesses. These small- to medium-sized businesses generally have fewer financial resources in terms of capital or borrowing capacity than larger entities. If general economic conditions adversely affect these businesses, our results of operations and financial condition may be negatively impacted. In addition, some of our commercial business loans are collateralized by a security interest in furniture, fixtures and equipment and the liquidation of collateral in the event of default is often an insufficient source of repayment because the collateral may have limited use or value.

Strong competition within our market areas may limit our growth and profitability.

Competition in the banking and financial services industry is intense. In our market areas, we compete with commercial banks, savings institutions, mortgage brokerage firms, credit unions, finance companies, mutual funds, insurance companies, and brokerage and investment banking firms operating locally. Some of our competitors have greater name recognition and market presence that benefit them in attracting business, and offer certain services that we do not or cannot provide. In addition, larger competitors may be able to price loans and deposits more aggressively than we do, which could affect our ability to grow and remain profitable on a long-term basis. Our profitability depends upon our continued ability to successfully compete in our market areas. If we must raise interest rates paid on deposits or lower interest rates charged on our loans, our net interest margin and profitability could be adversely affected. For additional information see Item 1. Business Competition.

If our allowance for loan losses is not sufficient to cover actual loan losses, our earnings will decrease.

We make various assumptions and judgments about the collectability of our loan portfolio, including the creditworthiness of our borrowers and the value of the real estate and other assets serving as collateral for the repayment of many of our loans. In determining the amount of the allowance for loan losses, we review our loans and our loss and delinquency experience, and we evaluate economic conditions. If our assumptions are incorrect, our allowance for loan losses may not be sufficient to cover probable incurred losses in our loan portfolio, resulting in additions to our allowance. While our allowance for loan losses was 0.2% of total loans at December 31, 2012, material additions to our allowance could materially decrease our net income.

In addition, bank regulators periodically review our allowance for loan losses and may require us to increase our provision for loan losses or recognize further loan charge-offs. Any increase in our allowance for loan losses or loan charge-offs as required by these regulatory authorities might have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Our employee stock ownership plan may continue to increase our costs, which would reduce our income.

Our employee stock ownership plan purchased 8% of the total shares of common stock sold in our stock offering using funds borrowed from Territorial Bancorp Inc. We record annual employee stock ownership plan expense in an amount equal to the fair value of the shares of common stock released to employees over the term of the loan. If the value of the shares of common stock continues to appreciate up to the time shares are released, compensation expense relating to the employee stock ownership plan will increase and our net income will decline.

Table of Contents

Our 2010 Equity Incentive Plan will increase our expenses and reduce our income, and may dilute your ownership interests.

In August 2010, our stockholders approved the Territorial Bancorp Inc. 2010 Equity Incentive Plan. Stockholders approved the issuance of 736,434 shares of common stock pursuant to restricted stock and the issuance of 976,203 shares of common stock pursuant to stock options. During 2012, we recognized \$2.7 million in noninterest expense relating to this stock benefit plan and we expect to incur similar expenses in the future.

We may fund the 2010 Equity Incentive Plan either through open market purchases or from the issuance of authorized but unissued shares of common stock. Our ability to repurchase shares of common stock to fund this plan will be subject to many factors, including, but not limited to, applicable regulatory restrictions on stock repurchases, the availability of stock in the market, the trading price of the stock, our capital levels, alternative uses for our capital and our financial performance. Our intention is to fund the plan through open market purchases and we have repurchased 1,684,171 shares as of December 31, 2012. However, stockholders would experience a reduction in ownership interest in the event newly issued shares of our common stock are used to fund stock options and shares of restricted common stock beyond the shares we have already repurchased.

Concentration of loans in our primary market area, which has recently experienced an economic downturn, may increase risk.

Our success depends primarily on the general economic conditions in the State of Hawaii, as nearly all of our loans are to customers in the state. Accordingly, the economic conditions in the State of Hawaii have a significant impact on the ability of borrowers to repay loans as well as our ability to originate new loans. As such, a decline in real estate valuations in this market would lower the value of the collateral securing those loans. In addition, significant weakening in general economic conditions such as inflation, recession, unemployment or other factors beyond our control could negatively affect our financial results.

Table of Contents

Our local economy relies heavily on the tourism industry. Downturns in this industry could affect our operations and results.

Tourism is the largest sector of Hawaii's economy. The Hawaii Tourism Authority reported visitor arrivals and visitor spending grew by 9.6% and 18.7%, respectively, in 2012 compared to 2011. A downturn in the tourism industry, and the related loss of jobs or operating income for businesses, could have a significant impact on our ability to originate loans, and the ability of borrowers to repay loans, either of which could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Proposed reductions in defense spending by the federal government could have a detrimental impact on Hawaii's economy.

Defense spending represents a significant portion of Hawaii's economy. Proposals to cut defense and other general spending could have an adverse impact on Hawaii's economy, which could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

We are subject to extensive regulatory oversight.

We and our subsidiaries are subject to extensive regulation and supervision. Regulators have intensified their focus on bank lending criteria and controls, and on the USA PATRIOT Act's anti-money laundering and Bank Secrecy Act compliance requirements. There also is increased scrutiny of our compliance practices generally and particularly with the rules enforced by the Office of Foreign Assets Control. Our failure to comply with these and other regulatory requirements could lead to, among other remedies, administrative enforcement actions and legal proceedings. In addition, the Dodd-Frank Act and implementing regulations are likely to have a significant effect on the financial services industry, which are likely to increase operating costs and reduce profitability. Regulatory or legislative changes could make regulatory compliance more difficult or expensive for us, and could cause us to change or limit some of our products and services, or the way we operate our business.

Severe weather, natural disasters and other external events could significantly affect our operations and results.

Because all of our office locations are in the State of Hawaii, severe weather or natural disasters, such as tsunamis, hurricanes and earthquakes and other adverse external events, could have a significant effect on our ability to conduct business. Such events could affect the stability of our deposit base, impair the ability of borrowers to repay outstanding loans, impair the value of collateral securing loans, cause significant property damage, result in loss of revenue and/or cause us to incur additional expenses. Natural disasters, like the tsunami that occurred in Japan in 2011, could have an impact on the visitor industry in Hawaii. Accordingly, the occurrence of any such severe weather or natural disaster event could have a material adverse effect on our business, which, in turn, could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Financial reform legislation has, among other things, eliminated the Office of Thrift Supervision, tightened capital standards and created a new Consumer Financial Protection Bureau and will result in new laws and regulations that are expected to increase our costs of operations.

In 2010, Congress enacted the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Dodd-Frank Act). The Dodd-Frank Act requires various federal agencies to adopt a broad range of new implementing rules and regulations, and to prepare numerous studies and reports for Congress. The federal agencies are given significant discretion in drafting the implementing rules and regulations, and consequently, many of the details and much of the impact of the Dodd-Frank Act may not be known for many months or years.

As a result of the Dodd-Frank Act, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency became the primary federal regulator for federal thrifts (replacing the Office of Thrift Supervision), and the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System now supervises and regulates all savings and loan holding companies that were formerly regulated by the Office of Thrift Supervision, including Territorial Bancorp Inc.

Table of Contents

Effective July 21, 2011, a provision of the Dodd-Frank Act eliminated the federal prohibitions on paying interest on demand deposits, thus allowing businesses to have interest-earning checking accounts. Depending on competitive responses, this significant change to existing law could have an adverse effect on our interest expense.

The Dodd-Frank Act also broadened the base for Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insurance assessments. Assessments are now based on the average consolidated total assets less tangible equity capital of a financial institution. The Dodd-Frank Act also permanently increased the maximum amount of deposit insurance for banks, savings institutions and credit unions to \$250,000 per depositor.

The Dodd-Frank Act requires publicly-traded companies to give stockholders a nonbinding vote on executive compensation and so-called golden parachute payments. The legislation also directs the Federal Reserve Board to promulgate rules prohibiting excessive compensation paid to bank holding company executives, regardless of whether the company is publicly traded or not.

The Dodd-Frank Act created a new Consumer Financial Protection Bureau with broad powers to supervise and enforce consumer protection laws. The Consumer Financial Protection Bureau has broad rule-making authority for a wide range of consumer protection laws that apply to all banks and savings institutions, including the authority to prohibit unfair, deceptive or abusive acts and practices. Banks and savings institutions with \$10 billion or less in assets, like Territorial Savings Bank, will be examined by their applicable bank regulators. The Dodd-Frank Act also weakens the federal preemption rules that have been applicable for national banks and federal savings associations, and gives state attorneys general the ability to enforce federal consumer protection laws.

It is difficult to predict at this time what specific impact the Dodd-Frank Act and the implementing rules and regulations will have on community banks. However, it is expected that at a minimum they will increase our operating and compliance costs and could increase our interest expense.

The expiration of unlimited Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insurance on certain non-interest-bearing transaction accounts may increase our costs and reduce our liquidity levels.

On December 31, 2012, unlimited Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insurance on certain non-interest-bearing transaction accounts expired. Unlimited insurance coverage did not apply to money market deposit accounts or negotiable order of withdrawal accounts. The reduction in Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insurance on other types of accounts to the standard \$250,000 maximum amount may cause depositors to place such funds in fully insured interest-bearing accounts, which would increase our costs of funds and negatively affect our results of operations, or may cause depositors to withdraw their deposits and invest uninsured funds in investments perceived as being more secure, such as securities issued by the United States Treasury. This may reduce our liquidity, or require us to pay higher interest rates to maintain our liquidity by retaining deposits.

Our ability to originate mortgage loans may be adversely affected by the restructuring of Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae.

Both Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac are under conservatorship with the Federal Housing Finance Agency, an agency of the U.S. government. On February 11, 2011, the Obama administration presented the U.S. Congress with a report of its proposals for reforming America's housing finance market with the goal of scaling back the role of the U.S. government in, and promoting the return of private capital to, the mortgage markets and ultimately winding down Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. Without mentioning a

Table of Contents

specific time frame, the report calls for the reduction of the role of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac in the mortgage markets by, among other things, reducing conforming loan limits, increasing guarantee fees and requiring larger down payments by borrowers. The report presents three options for the long-term structure of housing finance, all of which call for the unwinding of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac and a reduced role of the government in the mortgage market: (i) a system with U.S. government insurance limited to a narrowly targeted group of lower- and moderate-income borrowers; (ii) a system similar to (i) above except with an expanded guarantee during times of crisis; and (iii) a system where the U.S. government offers reinsurance for the securities of a broad range of mortgages behind significant private capital. We cannot be certain if or when Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac will be wound down, if or when reform of the housing finance market will be implemented or what the future role of the U.S. government will be in the mortgage market, and, accordingly, we will not be able to determine the impact that any such reform may have on us until a definitive reform plan is adopted.

Government responses to economic conditions may adversely affect our operations, financial condition and earnings.

Newly enacted financial reform legislation has changed the bank regulatory framework, creating an independent consumer protection bureau that will assume the consumer protection responsibilities of the various federal banking agencies, and establish more stringent capital standards for banks and bank holding companies. The legislation has resulted in new regulations affecting the lending, funding, trading and investment activities of banks and bank holding companies. Bank regulatory agencies also have been responding aggressively to concerns and adverse trends identified in examinations. Ongoing uncertainty and adverse developments in the financial services industry and the domestic and international credit markets, and the effect of new legislation and regulatory actions in response to these conditions, may adversely affect our operations by restricting our business activities, including our ability to originate or sell loans, modify loan terms, or foreclose on property securing loans. These measures are likely to increase our costs of doing business and may have a significant adverse effect on our lending activities, financial performance and operating flexibility. In addition, these risks could affect the performance and value of our loan and investment securities portfolios, which also would negatively affect our financial performance.

Furthermore, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, in an attempt to help the overall economy, has, among other things, kept interest rates low through its targeted federal funds rate and the purchase of mortgage-backed securities. If the Federal Reserve Board increases the federal funds rate, overall interest rates will likely rise, which may negatively impact the housing markets and the U.S. economic recovery. In addition, deflationary pressures, while possibly lowering our operating costs, could have a significant negative effect on our borrowers, especially our business borrowers, and the values of underlying collateral securing loans, which could negatively affect our financial performance.

System failure or breaches of our network security could subject us to increased operating costs as well as litigation and other liabilities.

The computer systems and network infrastructure we use could be vulnerable to unforeseen problems. Our operations are dependent upon our ability to protect our computer equipment against damage from physical theft, fire, power loss, telecommunications failure or a similar catastrophic event, as well as from security breaches, denial of service attacks, viruses, worms and other disruptive problems caused by hackers. Any damage or failure that causes an interruption in our operations could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. Computer break-ins, phishing and other disruptions could also jeopardize the security of information stored in and transmitted through our computer systems and network infrastructure, which may result in significant liability to us and may cause existing and potential customers to refrain from doing business with us. Although we, with the help

Table of Contents

of third-party service providers, intend to continue to implement security technology and establish operational procedures to prevent such damage, these security measures may not be successful. In addition, advances in computer capabilities, new discoveries in the field of cryptography or other developments could result in a compromise or breach of the algorithms we and our third-party service providers use to encrypt and protect customer transaction data. A failure of such security measures could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

We mitigate this risk through guidance promulgated for all financial institutions by the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council and the regulations issued under the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act. This guidance also requires our core data processor to meet these standards. We regularly self-audit or review exams from auditors as well as federal banking regulators to assure that these standards are being met, internally as well as by our important data processing vendors. We also implemented firewall and other internal controls to protect our systems from compromise.

Nevertheless, our system could be compromised and it is possible that significant amounts of time and money may be spent to rectify the harm caused by a breach or hack. While we have general liability insurance and cyber liability insurance, we know there are limitations on coverage as well as dollar amount. Furthermore, cyber incidents carry a greater risk of injury to our reputation. Finally, depending on the type of incident, banking regulators can impose restrictions on our business and consumer laws may require reimbursement of customer loss.

Our risk management framework may not be effective in mitigating risk and reducing the potential for significant losses.

Our risk management framework is designed to minimize risk and loss to us. We seek to identify, measure, monitor, report and control our exposure to risk, including strategic, market, liquidity, compliance and operational risks. While we use a broad and diversified set of risk monitoring and mitigation techniques, these techniques are inherently limited because they cannot anticipate the existence or future development of currently unanticipated or unknown risks. Recent economic conditions and heightened legislative and regulatory scrutiny of the financial services industry, among other developments, have increased our level of risk. Accordingly, we could suffer losses as a result of our failure to properly anticipate and manage these risks.

The corporate governance provisions in our articles of incorporation and bylaws, and the corporate governance provisions under Maryland law, may prevent or impede the holders of our common stock from obtaining representation on our Board of Directors and may impede takeovers of the company that our board might conclude are not in the best interest of Territorial Bancorp Inc. or its stockholders.

Provisions in our articles of incorporation and bylaws may prevent or impede holders of our common stock from obtaining representation on our Board of Directors and may make takeovers of Territorial Bancorp Inc. more difficult. For example, our Board of Directors is divided into three staggered classes. A classified board makes it more difficult for stockholders to change a majority of the directors because it generally takes at least two annual elections of directors for this to occur. Our articles of incorporation include a provision that no person will be entitled to vote any shares of our common stock in excess of 10% of our outstanding shares of common stock. This limitation does not apply to the purchase of shares by a tax-qualified employee stock benefit plan established by us. In addition, our articles of incorporation and bylaws restrict who may call special meetings of stockholders and how directors may be removed from office. Additionally, in certain instances, the Maryland General Corporation Law requires a supermajority vote of our stockholders to approve a merger or other business combination with a large stockholder, if the proposed transaction is not approved by a majority of our directors.

Table of Contents

Proposed and final regulations could restrict our ability to originate and sell loans.

The Consumer Financial Protection Bureau has issued a rule designed to clarify for lenders how they can avoid legal liability under the Dodd-Frank Act, which would hold lenders accountable for ensuring a borrower's ability to repay a mortgage. Loans that meet this qualified mortgage definition will be presumed to have complied with the new ability-to-repay standard. Under the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau's rule, a qualified mortgage loan must not contain certain specified features, including:

excessive upfront points and fees (those exceeding 3% of the total loan amount, less bona fide discount points for prime loans);

interest-only payments;

negative-amortization;

terms of longer than 30 years.

Also, to qualify as a qualified mortgage, a loan must be made to a borrower whose total monthly debt-to-income ratio does not exceed 43%. Lenders must also verify and document the income and financial resources relied upon to qualify the borrower on the loan and underwrite the loan based on a fully amortizing payment schedule and maximum interest rate during the first five years, taking into account all applicable taxes, insurance and assessments.

In addition, the Dodd-Frank Act requires the regulatory agencies to issue regulations that require securitizers of loans to retain not less than 5% of the credit risk for any asset that is not a qualified residential mortgage. The regulatory agencies have issued a proposed rule to implement this requirement. The Dodd-Frank Act provides that the definition of qualified residential mortgage can be no broader than the definition of qualified mortgage issued by the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau for purposes of its regulations.

Although the final rule with respect to the retention of credit risk has not yet been issued, the final rule could have a significant effect on the secondary market for loans and the types of loans we originate, and restrict our ability to make loans. Similarly, the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau's rule on qualified mortgages could limit our ability or desire to make certain types of loans or loans to certain borrowers, which could limit our growth or profitability.

ITEM 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

Not applicable.

Table of Contents**ITEM 2. Properties**

We operate from our corporate office in Honolulu, Hawaii, and from our 27 full-service branches located in the State of Hawaii. The net book value of our premises, land and equipment was \$5.1 million at December 31, 2012. The following table sets forth information with respect to our full-service banking offices, including the expiration date of leases with respect to leased facilities.

| AINA HAINA | KAILUA | KAUAI | PEARL CITY |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Aina Haina Shopping Center | 19 Oneawa Street | Kukui Grove Shopping Center | Pearl City Shopping Center |
| 820 West Hind Drive | Kailua, Oahu 96734 | 4393 Kukui Grove Street | 850 Kamehameha Highway |
| Honolulu, Oahu 96821 | | Lihue, Kauai 96766 | Pearl City, Oahu 96782 |
| 4/30/2021 | | 2/28/2013 | 9/22/2014 |
| ALA MOANA CENTER | KAIMUKI | KIHEI | PEARLRIDGE |
| 1450 Ala Moana Boulevard | 1108 12 th Avenue | Azeka Shopping Center | 98-084 Kamehameha Highway |
| Honolulu, Oahu 96814 | Honolulu, Oahu 96816 | 1279 South Kihei Road | Aiea, Oahu 96701 |
| 12/31/2017 | 12/31/2018 | Kihei, Maui 96753 | 6/30/2022 |
| | | 1/31/2019 | |
| DOWNTOWN | KALIHI-KAPALAMA | KONA | PIIKOI |
| 1000 Bishop Street | 1199 Dillingham Boulevard | Crossroads Shopping Center | 1159 South Beretania Street |
| Honolulu, Oahu 96813 | Honolulu, Oahu 96817 | 75-1027 Henry Street | Honolulu, Oahu 96814 |
| 12/31/2020 | 8/31/2017 | Kailua-Kona, Hawaii 96740 | 12/31/2020 |
| | | 8/31/2015 | |
| HAWAII KAI | KAMEHAMEHA SHOPPING CENTER | LAHAINA | SALT LAKE |
| Hawaii Kai Shopping Center | 1620 North School Street | Old Lahaina Center | Salt Lake Shopping Center |
| 377 Keahole Street | Honolulu, Oahu 96817 | 170 Papalaua Street | 848 Ala Liliko'i Street |
| Honolulu, Oahu 96825 | 9/30/2015 | Lahaina, Maui 96761 | Honolulu, Oahu 96818 |
| 9/30/2013 | | 3/31/2023 | 1/31/2016 |
| HILO | KANEOHE | McCULLY | WAIPAHO |
| Waiakea Center | 46-005 Kawa Street | 1111 McCully Street | Waipahu Town Center |
| 315 Makaala Street | Kaneohe, Oahu 96744 | Honolulu, Oahu 96826 | 94-050 Farrington Highway |
| Hilo, Hawaii 96720 | 12/31/2014 | 5/31/2013 | Waipahu, Oahu 96797 |

Edgar Filing: Territorial Bancorp Inc. - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| <p>12/31/2018</p> <p>KAHALA</p> <p>4819 Kilauea Avenue</p> <p>Honolulu, Oahu 96816</p> <p>3/16/2015</p> | <p>KAPAHULU</p> <p>Kilohana Square</p> <p>1016 Kapahulu Avenue</p> <p>Honolulu, Oahu 96816</p> <p>11/14/2013</p> <p>KAPOLEI</p> <p>Ace Center at Kapolei</p> <p>480 Kamokila Boulevard</p> <p>Kapolei, Oahu 96707</p> <p>7/31/2014</p> | <p>MILILANI</p> <p>Town Center of Mililani</p> <p>95-1249 Meheula Parkway</p> <p>Mililani, Oahu 96789</p> <p>10/11/2014</p> <p>NUUANU</p> <p>Nuuanu Shopping Center</p> <p>1613 Nuuanu Avenue</p> <p>Honolulu, Oahu 96817</p> <p>7/22/2021</p> | <p>12/31/2014</p> <p>WAIPIO</p> <p>Laniakea Plaza</p> <p>94-1221 Ka Uka Boulevard</p> <p>Waipahu, Oahu 96797</p> <p>9/30/2016</p> |
|--|--|--|--|

ITEM 3. Legal Proceedings

From time to time, we are involved as plaintiff or defendant in various legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business. Except as previously disclosed, at December 31, 2012, we were not involved in any legal proceedings, the outcome of which would be material to our financial condition or results of operations.

Table of Contents**ITEM 4. Mine Safety Disclosures**

Not applicable.

PART II**ITEM 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**

(a) **Market, Holder and Dividend Information.** Our common stock is traded on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol TBNK. The approximate number of holders of record of Territorial Bancorp Inc.'s common stock as of February 28, 2013 was 1,292. Certain shares of Territorial Bancorp Inc. are held in nominee or street name and, accordingly, the number of beneficial owners of such shares is not known or included in the foregoing number. The following table presents quarterly market and dividend information for Territorial Bancorp Inc.'s common stock for the two years ended December 31, 2012. The following information with respect to high and low closing prices was provided by the NASDAQ Global Select Market.

| | High | Low | Dividends Declared Per Share |
|-------------------------------------|----------|----------|------------------------------------|
| Year Ended December 31, 2012 | | | |
| Quarter ended December 31, 2012 | \$ 23.39 | \$ 22.00 | \$ 0.22 |
| Quarter ended September 30, 2012 | \$ 24.32 | \$ 22.54 | \$ 0.11 |
| Quarter ended June 30, 2012 | \$ 23.14 | \$ 20.87 | \$ 0.11 |
| Quarter ended March 31, 2012 | \$ 21.98 | \$ 19.91 | \$ 0.10 |
| Year Ended December 31, 2011 | | | |
| Quarter ended December 31, 2011 | \$ 20.31 | \$ 18.87 | \$ 0.09 |
| Quarter ended September 30, 2011 | \$ 21.29 | \$ 18.96 | \$ 0.09 |
| Quarter ended June 30, 2011 | \$ 20.72 | \$ 19.27 | \$ 0.09 |
| Quarter ended March 31, 2011 | \$ 20.25 | \$ 18.91 | \$ 0.07 |

Dividend payments by Territorial Bancorp Inc. are dependent on dividends it receives from Territorial Savings Bank, because Territorial Bancorp Inc. has no source of income other than dividends from Territorial Savings Bank, earnings from the investment of proceeds from the sale of shares of common stock retained by Territorial Bancorp Inc. and interest payments with respect to Territorial Bancorp Inc.'s loan to the Employee Stock Ownership Plan. See Item 1. Business Supervision and Regulation Federal Banking Regulation Capital Distributions and Holding Company Regulation Dividends and Stock Repurchases.

(b) **Sales of Unregistered Securities.** Not applicable.

(c) **Use of Proceeds.** Not applicable.

(d) **Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans.** See Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.

Table of Contents

(e) **Stock Repurchases.** The following table sets forth information in connection with repurchases of our shares of common stock during the fourth quarter of 2012:

| Period | Total Number of Shares Purchased | Average Price Paid per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs | Maximum Number of Shares That May Yet be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (1) |
|--|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| November 1, 2012 through November 30, 2012 | 61,865 | \$ 22.23 | 61,865 | 216,674 |
| December 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012 | 33,592 | 22.56 | 33,592 | 183,082 |
| Total | 95,457 | \$ 22.34 | 95,457 | 183,082 |

(1) On December 9, 2011, our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to 552,000 shares of our common stock. In accordance with this authorization, we had repurchased 368,918 shares of our common stock as of December 31, 2012. This repurchase authorization originally expired on August 15, 2012, but on August 13, 2012, it was extended to February 15, 2013. We have entered into a 10b5-1 plan with respect to our stock repurchase plan.

(f) **Stock Performance Graph.** Set forth hereunder is a stock performance graph comparing (a) the cumulative total return on our shares of common stock between July 13, 2009 and December 31, 2012, (b) the cumulative total return on stocks included in the Total Return Index for the NASDAQ Stock Market (US) over such period, and (c) the cumulative total return on stocks included in the NASDAQ Bank Index over such period. Cumulative return assumes the reinvestment of dividends, and is expressed in dollars based on an assumed investment of \$100.

There can be no assurance that the Company's stock performance will continue in the future with the same or similar trend depicted in the graph. The Company will not make or endorse any predictions as to future stock performance.

Table of Contents

| <i>Index</i> | <i>Period Ending</i> | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | 07/13/09 | 12/31/09 | 06/30/10 | 12/31/10 | 06/30/11 | 12/31/11 | 06/30/12 | 12/31/12 |
| Territorial Bancorp Inc. | 100.00 | 120.41 | 127.06 | 134.55 | 141.17 | 135.79 | 158.10 | 160.98 |
| SNL Bank and Thrift | 100.00 | 114.18 | 112.89 | 127.47 | 117.96 | 99.12 | 115.90 | 133.10 |
| NASDAQ Composite | 100.00 | 127.12 | 118.71 | 150.19 | 157.72 | 149.00 | 168.84 | 175.45 |

Table of Contents**ITEM 6. Selected Financial Data**

The following selected consolidated financial and other data has been derived, in part, from the consolidated financial statements and notes appearing elsewhere in this annual report.

| | Years Ended December 31, | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| | (In thousands) | | | | |
| Selected Financial Condition Data: | | | | | |
| Total assets | \$ 1,574,627 | \$ 1,537,571 | \$ 1,443,412 | \$ 1,389,612 | \$ 1,224,446 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 182,818 | 131,937 | 194,435 | 135,953 | 11,216 |
| Investment securities held to maturity | 554,673 | 653,871 | 530,555 | 598,394 | 527,767 |
| Loans receivable, net | 774,876 | 688,095 | 641,790 | 597,700 | 633,160 |
| Bank-owned life insurance | 31,177 | 30,234 | 29,266 | 28,249 | 27,107 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank of Seattle stock, at cost | 12,128 | 12,348 | 12,348 | 12,348 | 12,348 |
| Deposits | 1,237,847 | 1,166,116 | 1,076,470 | 1,014,668 | 923,914 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank of Seattle advances | 20,000 | 20,000 | 10,000 | 0 | 35,791 |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 70,000 | 108,300 | 105,200 | 130,200 | 115,200 |
| Subordinated debentures | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24,221 |
| Stockholders' equity | 218,972 | 213,961 | 227,359 | 219,671 | 99,381 |

| | Years Ended December 31, | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| | (In thousands) | | | | |
| Selected Operating Data: | | | | | |
| Interest and dividend income | \$ 60,149 | \$ 62,733 | \$ 61,115 | \$ 61,525 | \$ 61,220 |
| Interest expense | 9,229 | 11,285 | 14,828 | 19,984 | 25,247 |
| Net interest income | 50,920 | 51,448 | 46,287 | 41,541 | 35,973 |
| Provision for loan losses | 415 | 418 | 345 | 1,198 | 149 |
| Net interest and dividend income after provision for loan losses | 50,505 | 51,030 | 45,942 | 40,343 | 35,824 |
| Noninterest income | 7,068 | 5,111 | 2,128 | 2,505 | 2,173 |
| Noninterest expense | 34,438 | 34,654 | 31,530 | 29,545 | 27,003 |
| Income before income taxes | 23,135 | 21,487 | 16,540 | 13,303 | 10,994 |
| Income taxes | 8,297 | 8,698 | 5,512 | 4,639 | 3,794 |
| Net income | \$ 14,838 | \$ 12,789 | \$ 11,028 | \$ 8,664 | \$ 7,200 |

Table of Contents

| | At or For the Years Ended December 31, | | | | |
|---|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Selected Financial Ratios and Other Data: | | | | | |
| Performance Ratios: | | | | | |
| Return on average assets (ratio of net income to average total assets) | 0.95% | 0.85% | 0.77% | 0.66% | 0.60% |
| Return on average equity (ratio of net income to average equity) | 6.78% | 5.72% | 4.91% | 5.50% | 7.37% |
| Interest rate spread (1) | 3.25% | 3.41% | 3.14% | 3.04% | 2.95% |
| Net interest margin (2) | 3.36% | 3.55% | 3.35% | 3.26% | 3.14% |
| Efficiency ratio (3) | 59.39% | 61.27% | 65.12% | 67.08% | 70.79% |
| Noninterest expense to average total assets | 2.20% | 2.31% | 2.20% | 2.24% | 2.25% |
| Average interest-earning assets to average interest-bearing liabilities | 117.38% | 118.21% | 119.19% | 114.30% | 108.71% |
| Average equity to average total assets | 13.97% | 14.91% | 15.69% | 11.93% | 8.15% |
| Basic earnings per share (4) | \$ 1.47 | \$ 1.19 | \$ 0.97 | \$ 0.77 | N/A |
| Diluted earnings per share (4) | \$ 1.45 | \$ 1.17 | \$ 0.97 | \$ 0.77 | N/A |
| Dividend payout ratio | 37.24% | 29.06% | 24.74% | N/A | N/A |
| Asset Quality Ratios: | | | | | |
| Nonperforming assets to total assets | 0.28% | 0.22% | 0.06% | 0.05% | 0.02% |
| Nonperforming loans to total loans | 0.56% | 0.42% | 0.12% | 0.09% | 0.02% |
| Allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loans | 37.95% | 52.65% | 184.16% | 323.27% | 603.36% |
| Allowance for loan losses to total loans | 0.22% | 0.22% | 0.23% | 0.28% | 0.14% |
| Capital Ratios (bank-level only): | | | | | |
| Total capital (to risk-weighted assets) | 36.87% | 38.76% | 43.06% | 37.18% | 24.97% |
| Tier I capital (to risk-weighted assets) | 36.57% | 38.47% | 42.75% | 36.85% | 24.82% |
| Tier I capital (to total assets) | 13.13% | 13.07% | 14.02% | 13.67% | 9.89% |
| Other Data: | | | | | |
| Number of full-service offices | 27 | 27 | 26 | 25 | 24 |
| Full-time equivalent employees | 271 | 258 | 252 | 256 | 250 |

- (1) The average interest rate spread represents the difference between the weighted-average yield on interest-earning assets and the weighted-average cost of interest-bearing liabilities for the year.
- (2) The net interest margin represents net interest income as a percent of average interest-earning assets for the year.
- (3) The efficiency ratio represents noninterest expense divided by the sum of net interest income and noninterest income.
- (4) The number of shares for the year ended 2009 are calculated from the effective date of July 10, 2009 to the period end.

ITEM 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The objective of this section is to help readers understand our views on our results of operations and financial condition. You should read this discussion in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes to the consolidated financial statements that appear elsewhere in the annual report.

Overview

We have historically operated as a traditional thrift institution. The significant majority of our assets consist of long-term, fixed-rate residential mortgage loans and mortgage-backed securities, which we have funded primarily with deposit accounts, securities sold under agreements to repurchase and Federal Home Loan Bank advances. This has resulted in our being particularly vulnerable to increases in interest rates, as our interest-bearing liabilities mature or reprice more quickly than our interest-earning assets.

We have continued our focus on originating one- to four-family residential real estate loans. Our emphasis on conservative loan underwriting has resulted in low levels of nonperforming assets at a time when many financial institutions are experiencing significant asset quality issues. Our nonperforming

Table of Contents

assets totaled \$4.4 million or 0.28% of total assets at December 31, 2012, compared to \$3.3 million, or 0.22% of total assets at December 31, 2011, and \$808,000, or 0.06% of total assets at December 31, 2010. As of December 31, 2012, nonperforming assets included 19 mortgage loans for \$4.4 million. Our nonperforming loans and loss experience has enabled us to maintain a relatively low allowance for loan losses in relation to other peer institutions and correspondingly resulted in low levels of provisions for loan losses. Our provisions for loan losses were \$415,000, \$418,000 and \$345,000 for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Other than our loans for the construction of one- to four-family residential homes, we do not offer interest only mortgage loans on one- to four-family residential properties (where the borrower pays interest for an initial period, after which the loan converts to a fully amortizing loan). We also do not offer loans that provide for negative amortization of principal, such as Option ARM loans, where the borrower can pay less than the interest owed on their loan, resulting in an increased principal balance during the life of the loan. We do not offer subprime loans (loans that generally target borrowers with weakened credit histories typically characterized by payment delinquencies, previous charge-offs, judgments, bankruptcies, or borrowers with questionable repayment capacity as evidenced by low credit scores or high debt-burden ratios) or Alt-A loans (traditionally defined as nonconforming loans having less than full documentation). We also do not own any private label mortgage-backed securities that are collateralized by Alt-A, low or no documentation or subprime mortgage loans.

Our operations in recent years have been affected by our efforts to manage our interest rate risk position. In 2010, we obtained \$10.2 million of shorter-duration mortgage-backed securities, sold \$45.1 million of fixed-rate mortgage loan production and obtained \$10.0 million of long-term, fixed-rate borrowings. In 2011, we sold \$61.2 million of fixed-rate mortgage loan production and obtained \$57.0 million of long-term, fixed-rate borrowings. In 2012, we sold \$107.9 million of fixed-rate mortgage loans and purchased \$8.2 million of shorter-duration mortgage-backed securities. See Management of Market Risk for a discussion of all of the actions we took in 2010, 2011 and 2012 in managing interest rate risk.

All of the Bank's mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations have been issued by Freddie Mac, Fannie Mae or Ginnie Mae, U.S. government-sponsored enterprises. These agencies guarantee the payment of principal and interest on the Bank's mortgage-backed securities. We do not own any preferred stock issued by Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac. As of December 31, 2012, our borrowing capacity at the Federal Home Loan Bank of Seattle was \$370.6 million compared to \$361.0 million at December 31, 2011.

Critical Accounting Policies

We consider accounting policies that require management to exercise significant judgment or discretion or make significant assumptions that have, or could have, a material impact on the carrying value of certain assets or on income, to be critical accounting policies. We consider the following to be our critical accounting policies:

Allowance for Loan Losses. We maintain an allowance for loan losses at an amount estimated to equal all credit losses incurred in our loan portfolio that are both probable and reasonable to estimate at a balance sheet date. To estimate credit losses on impaired loans (in accordance with the Receivables topic of the FASB ASC), we evaluate numerous factors, as described below in Allowance for Loan Losses. Based on our estimate of the level of allowance for loan losses required, we record a provision for loan losses to maintain the allowance for loan losses at an amount that provides for all losses that are both probable and reasonable to estimate.

Table of Contents

Since we cannot predict with certainty the amount of loan charge-offs that will be incurred and because the eventual level of loan charge-offs is affected by numerous conditions beyond our control, a range of loss estimates can reasonably be used to determine the allowance for loan losses and the related provisions for loan losses. In addition, various regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination processes, periodically review our allowance for loan losses. Such agencies may require that we recognize additions to the allowance for loan losses based on their judgments about information available to them at the time of their examination. Accordingly, actual results could differ from those estimates.

Deterioration in the Hawaii real estate market could result in an increase in loan delinquencies, additional increases in our allowance for loan losses and provision for loan losses, as well as an increase in loan charge-offs.

Securities Impairment. We periodically perform analyses to determine whether there has been an other-than-temporary decline in the value of our securities. Our held-to-maturity securities consist primarily of debt securities for which we have a positive intent and ability to hold to maturity, and are carried at amortized cost. Our available-for-sale securities are carried at fair value. We conduct a quarterly review and evaluation of the securities portfolio to determine if the value of any security has declined below its cost or amortized cost, and whether such decline is other-than-temporary. If such decline is deemed other-than-temporary, we would adjust the cost basis of the security by writing down the security for any credit losses through a charge on the income statement. The market values of our securities are affected by changes in interest rates as well as shifts in the market's perception of the issuers. The fair value of investment securities is usually based on quoted market prices or dealer quotes. However, if there are no observable market inputs (for securities such as trust preferred securities), we estimate the fair value using unobservable inputs. We discount projected cash flows using a risk-adjusted discount rate in accordance with the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures topic of the FASB ASC.

On April 9, 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board revised the Investments Debt and Equity Securities and the Fair Value Measurements topics of the FASB ASC. The revisions amend the other-than-temporary impairment guidance for U.S. GAAP for debt securities to improve the presentation and disclosure of other-than-temporary impairments on debt and equity securities in a company's financial statements. Before these revisions, to conclude that an impairment was not other than temporary, an entity was required, among other considerations, to assert that it had the intent and ability to hold the security for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value in accordance with Securities and Exchange Commission Staff Accounting Bulletin Topic 5M, Other Than Temporary Impairment of Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities, and other authoritative literature. As a result of these revisions, an entity should assess whether the entity (a) has the intent to sell the debt security or (b) more likely than not will be required to sell the debt security before its anticipated recovery (for example, if its cash or working capital requirements or contractual or regulatory obligations indicate that the debt security will be required to be sold before the forecasted recovery occurs). The revisions also change the trigger used to assess the collectability of cash flows from probable that the investor will be unable to collect all amounts due to the entity does not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security. If the present value of cash flows expected to be collected is less than the amortized cost basis of the security, an other-than-temporary impairment shall have occurred. We adopted the two revisions to the FASB ASC for the quarter ended March 31, 2009.

We had previously considered our investment in PreTSL XXIV to be other-than-temporarily impaired. PreTSL XXIV has a book value of \$0. Our investment in PreTSL XXIII was determined to be other-than-temporarily impaired and we recorded an impairment charge of \$2.4 million in the year ended December 31, 2010. PreTSL XXIII has a book value of \$421,000. The difference between the book value of \$421,000 and the remaining unamortized cost basis of \$1.1 million is reported as other comprehensive loss and is related to noncredit factors such as an inactive trust preferred securities market.

Table of Contents

See also Item 1A. Risk Factors for a discussion on our investment in trust preferred securities.

We evaluated our \$12.1 million investment in FHLB stock for other-than-temporary impairment as of December 31, 2012. Considering the long-term nature of this investment, the liquidity position of the FHLB of Seattle, the actions taken by the FHLB of Seattle to meet its regulatory capital requirement, and our intent not to sell this investment for a period of time sufficient to recover the par value, our FHLB stock was not considered other-than-temporarily impaired. As of December 31, 2012, the FHLB of Seattle has met all of its regulatory capital requirements. Moody's Investor Services and Standard and Poor's have given the FHLB of Seattle long-term credit ratings of Aaa and AA, respectively. Even though we did not recognize an other-than-temporary impairment loss on our investment in FHLB stock in 2012, continued deterioration in the FHLB of Seattle's financial position may result in future impairment losses.

Deferred Tax Assets. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the estimated future tax effects attributable to temporary differences and carryforwards. A valuation allowance may be required if, based on the weight of available evidence, it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. In determining whether a valuation allowance is necessary, we consider the level of taxable income in prior years, to the extent that carrybacks are permitted under current tax laws, as well as estimates of future taxable income and tax planning strategies that could be implemented to accelerate taxable income if necessary. If our estimates of future taxable income were materially overstated or if our assumptions regarding the tax consequences of tax planning strategies were inaccurate, some or all of our deferred tax assets may not be realized, which would result in a charge to earnings.

Defined Benefit Retirement Plan. Defined benefit plan obligations and related assets of our defined benefit retirement plan are presented in Note 15 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. Effective December 31, 2008, the defined benefit retirement plan was frozen and all plan benefits were fixed as of that date. Plan assets, which consist primarily of marketable equity and debt securities, are typically valued using market quotations. Plan obligations and the annual pension expense are determined by independent actuaries through the use of a number of assumptions. Key assumptions in measuring the plan obligations include the discount rate and the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets. In determining the discount rate, we utilize a yield that reflects the top 50% of the universe of bonds, ranked in the order of the highest yield. These bonds provide cash flows that match the timing of expected benefit payments. Asset returns are based upon the anticipated average rate of earnings expected on the invested funds of the plans.

At December 31, 2012, we used weighted-average discount rates of 4.90% and 4.20% for calculating annual pension expense and projected plan liabilities, respectively, and an expected long-term rate of return on plan assets of 7.75% for calculating annual pension expense. At December 31, 2011, we used a weighted-average discount rate of 5.80% and 4.90% for calculating annual pension expense and projected plan liabilities, respectively, and an expected long-term rate of return on plan assets of 7.75% for calculating annual pension expense. For both the discount rate and the asset return rate, a range of estimates could reasonably have been used, which would affect the amount of pension expense and pension liability recorded.

An increase in the discount rate or asset return rate would reduce pension expense in 2012, while a decrease in the discount rate or asset return rate would have the opposite effect. A 25 basis point decrease in the discount rate assumptions would increase 2012 pension expense by \$515 and year-end 2012 pension liability by \$491,000, while a 25 basis point decrease in the asset return rate would increase 2012 pension expense by \$27,000.

Table of Contents

Balance Sheet Analysis

Assets. At December 31, 2012, our assets were \$1.575 billion, an increase of \$37.1 million, or 2.4%, from \$1.538 billion at December 31, 2011. The increase was caused by an \$86.8 million increase in loans receivable due to an increase in loan production, and a \$50.9 million increase in cash and cash equivalents. This was partially offset by a \$99.2 million decrease in investment securities, which occurred as repayments and sales of securities exceeded purchases.

Cash and Cash Equivalents. At December 31, 2012, we had \$182.8 million of cash and cash equivalents compared to \$131.9 million at December 31, 2011. During 2012, cash and cash equivalents increased by \$50.9 million due to a \$71.7 million increase in deposits, a \$99.2 million decrease in investment securities and net income of \$14.8 million. This was partially offset by an \$86.8 million increase in loans receivable, the payoff of \$38.3 million of securities sold under agreements to repurchase, the repurchase of \$8.0 million of common stock and the payment of \$5.7 million of common stock dividends.

Table of Contents

Loan Portfolio Composition. The following table sets forth the composition of our loan portfolio at the dates indicated.

| | 2012 | | 2011 | | At December 31, 2010 | | 2009 | | 2008 | |
|---------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| | Amount | Percent | Amount | Percent | Amount | Percent | Amount | Percent | Amount | Percent |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Real estate loans: | | | | | | | | | | |
| First mortgage: | | | | | | | | | | |
| One- to four-family residential | \$ 741,334 | 94.84% | \$ 654,412 | 94.13% | \$ 604,456 | 93.16% | \$ 555,473 | 91.87% | \$ 581,251 | 90.94% |
| Multi-family residential | 6,888 | 0.88 | 6,956 | 1.00 | 5,408 | 0.83 | 3,807 | 0.63 | 3,756 | 0.59 |
| Construction, commercial and other | 13,819 | 1.77 | 11,140 | 1.60 | 13,300 | 2.05 | 16,672 | 2.76 | 18,099 | 2.83 |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 15,202 | 1.94 | 17,253 | 2.48 | 20,064 | 3.09 | 21,789 | 3.60 | 29,956 | 4.69 |
| Other loans | 4,481 | 0.57 | 5,488 | 0.79 | 5,635 | 0.87 | 6,895 | 1.14 | 6,097 | 0.95 |
| Total loans | 781,724 | 100.00% | 695,249 | 100.00% | 648,863 | 100.00% | 604,636 | 100.00% | 639,159 | 100.00% |
| Other items: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Unearned fees and discounts, net | (5,176) | | (5,613) | | (5,585) | | (5,255) | | (5,100) | |
| Allowance for loan losses | (1,672) | | (1,541) | | (1,488) | | (1,681) | | (899) | |
| Loans receivable, net | \$ 774,876 | | \$ 688,095 | | \$ 641,790 | | \$ 597,700 | | \$ 633,160 | |

Loan Portfolio Maturities and Yields. The following table summarizes the scheduled maturities of our loan portfolio at December 31, 2012. Demand loans, loans having no stated repayment schedule or maturity, and overdraft loans are reported as being due in one year or less.

| | One- to four-family residential real estate | | Multi-family residential real estate | | Construction, commercial and other real estate | | Home equity loans and lines of credit | | Other loans | | Total | |
|---|---|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|-----------------------|----------|-----------------------|
| | Amount | Weighted Average Rate | Amount | Weighted Average Rate | Amount | Weighted Average Rate | Amount | Weighted Average Rate | Amount | Weighted Average Rate | Amount | Weighted Average Rate |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Due During the Years Ending December 31, | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2013 | \$ 18 | 6.02% | \$ 0 | 0.00% | \$ 0 | 0.00% | \$ 2 | 8.88% | \$ 1,056 | 6.58% | \$ 1,076 | 6.57% |
| 2014 to 2017 | 569 | 5.59 | 768 | 6.34 | 1,087 | 6.69 | 391 | 5.34 | 947 | 6.68 | 3,762 | 6.31 |
| 2018 and beyond | 740,747 | 4.49 | 6,120 | 5.91 | 12,732 | 5.21 | 14,809 | 5.63 | 2,478 | 5.73 | 776,886 | 4.54 |

Edgar Filing: Territorial Bancorp Inc. - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|------------|-------|----------|-------|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|----------|-------|------------|-------|
| Total | \$ 741,334 | 4.49% | \$ 6,888 | 5.96% | \$ 13,819 | 5.33% | \$ 15,202 | 5.62% | \$ 4,481 | 6.13% | \$ 781,724 | 4.55% |
|-------|------------|-------|----------|-------|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|----------|-------|------------|-------|

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the scheduled repayments of fixed- and adjustable-rate loans at December 31, 2012 that are contractually due after December 31, 2013.

| | Due After December 31, 2013 | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|------------|
| | Fixed | Adjustable (In thousands) | Total |
| Real estate loans: | | | |
| First mortgage: | | | |
| One- to four-family residential | \$ 735,583 | \$ 5,733 | \$ 741,316 |
| Multi-family residential | 5,640 | 1,248 | 6,888 |
| Construction, commercial and other | 11,808 | 2,011 | 13,819 |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 6,247 | 8,953 | 15,200 |
| Other loans | 3,134 | 291 | 3,425 |
| | | | |
| Total loans | \$ 762,412 | \$ 18,236 | \$ 780,648 |

Securities. At December 31, 2012, our securities portfolio totaled \$554.7 million, or 35.2% of assets. At that date, our securities held to maturity consisted of securities with the following amortized costs: \$510.0 million of mortgage-backed securities, \$44.3 million of collateralized mortgage obligations and \$421,000 of trust preferred securities. All of the mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations were issued by Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac or Ginnie Mae. At December 31, 2012, none of the underlying collateral consisted of subprime or Alt-A loans (traditionally defined as nonconforming loans having less than full documentation). At December 31, 2012, we held no common or preferred stock of Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, our securities portfolio decreased by \$99.2 million, or 15.2%, primarily due to repayments and sales exceeding purchases.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the amortized cost and estimated fair value of our securities portfolio (excluding Federal Home Loan Bank of Seattle common stock) at the dates indicated.

| | 2012 | | At December 31, 2011 | | 2010 | |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
| Held to Maturity: | | | | | | |
| U.S. government sponsored mortgage-backed securities: | | | | | | |
| Fannie Mae | \$ 124,106 | \$ 127,929 | \$ 69,254 | \$ 73,043 | \$ 62,174 | \$ 65,576 |
| Freddie Mac | 348,569 | 371,141 | 462,546 | 486,895 | 301,450 | 310,082 |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations (1) | 44,302 | 44,698 | 74,548 | 76,408 | 122,209 | 125,427 |
| Ginnie Mae | 37,275 | 39,936 | 47,491 | 50,714 | 44,690 | 45,631 |
| Total U.S. government sponsored mortgage-backed securities | 554,252 | 583,704 | 653,839 | 687,060 | 530,523 | 546,716 |
| Trust preferred securities | 421 | 421 | 32 | 259 | 32 | 128 |
| Total | \$ 554,673 | \$ 584,125 | \$ 653,871 | \$ 687,319 | \$ 530,555 | \$ 546,844 |
| Available for Sale: | | | | | | |
| U.S. government sponsored mortgage-backed securities: | | | | | | |
| Freddie Mac | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 5,148 | \$ 4,965 |
| Ginnie Mae | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10,392 | 10,045 |
| Total U.S. government sponsored mortgage-backed securities | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 15,540 | \$ 15,010 |

(1) All of our collateralized mortgage obligations have been issued by Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac or Ginnie Mae. Any unrealized loss on individual mortgage-backed securities as of December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 was caused by increases in current market interest rates. All of our mortgage-backed securities are guaranteed by U.S. government-sponsored enterprises. Since the decline in market value had been attributable to changes in interest rates and not credit quality, and we have had, and continue to have, the intent not to sell these investments, and it is not more likely than not that we will be required to sell such investments prior to the recovery of the amortized cost basis, we have not considered these investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired as of December 31, 2012, 2011 or 2010.

At December 31, 2012, we owned trust preferred securities with a carrying value of \$421,000. This portfolio consists of two securities, which represent investments in a pool of debt obligations issued by Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation-insured financial institutions, insurance companies and real estate investment trusts.

The trust preferred securities market is considered to be inactive as only three transactions have occurred over the past 12 months in the same tranche of securities owned by the Company. The Company used a discounted cash flow model to determine whether these securities are other-than-temporarily impaired. The assumptions used in preparing the discounted cash flow model include the following: estimated discount rates, estimated deferral and default rates on collateral, and estimated cash flows. We used a discount rate equal to three-month LIBOR plus 20.00% and provided a fair value estimate of \$11.90 per \$100 of par value for PreTSL XXIII.

See also Item 1A. Risk Factors for a discussion on our investment in trust preferred securities.

Table of Contents

At December 31, 2012, we had no investments in a single company (other than U.S. government sponsored enterprises) or entity that had an aggregate book value in excess of 10% of our consolidated equity.

Table of Contents

Portfolio Maturities and Coupons. The composition and maturities of the investment securities portfolio at December 31, 2012 are summarized in the following table. Maturities are based on the final contractual payment dates, and do not reflect the impact of prepayments or early redemptions that may occur. No tax-equivalent adjustments have been made, as we did not hold any tax-free investment securities at December 31, 2012.

| | One Year or Less | | More than One Year through Five Years | | More than Five Years through Ten Years | | More than Ten Years | | Total Securities | | |
|--|------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Weighted Average Coupon | Amortized Cost | Weighted Average Coupon | Amortized Cost | Weighted Average Coupon | Amortized Cost | Weighted Average Coupon | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Weighted Average Coupon |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Held to Maturity: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| U.S. government sponsored mortgage-backed securities: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fannie Mae | \$ 0 | 0.00% | \$ 0 | 0.00% | \$ 103 | 4.54% | \$ 124,003 | 3.60% | \$ 124,106 | \$ 127,929 | 3.60% |
| Freddie Mac | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 33 | 4.81 | 348,536 | 4.08 | 348,569 | 371,141 | 4.08 |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations (1) | 0 | 0.00 | 309 | 3.75 | 2,852 | 4.37 | 41,141 | 4.02 | 44,302 | 44,698 | 4.04 |
| Ginnie Mae | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 37,275 | 4.18 | 37,275 | 39,936 | 4.18 |
| Total U.S. government sponsored mortgage-backed securities | 0 | 0.00 | 309 | 3.75 | 2,988 | 4.38 | 550,955 | 3.97 | 554,252 | 583,704 | 3.98 |
| Trust preferred securities | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 421 | 2.57 | 421 | 421 | 2.57 |
| Total | \$ 0 | 0.00% | \$ 309 | 3.75% | \$ 2,988 | 4.38% | \$ 551,376 | 3.97% | \$ 554,673 | \$ 584,125 | 3.98% |

(1) All of our collateralized mortgage obligations have been issued by Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac or Ginnie Mae.

Bank-Owned Life Insurance. We invest in bank-owned life insurance to provide us with a funding source for our benefit plan obligations. Bank-owned life insurance also generally provides us noninterest income that is nontaxable. Federal regulations generally limit our investment in bank-owned life insurance to 25% of our Tier 1 capital plus our allowance for loan losses. At December 31, 2012, this limit was \$52.2 million, and we had invested \$31.2 million in bank-owned life insurance at that date.

Table of Contents

Deposits. We accept deposits primarily from the areas in which our offices are located. We rely on our competitive pricing, convenient locations and customer service to attract and retain deposits. We offer a variety of deposit accounts with a range of interest rates and terms. Our deposit accounts consist of passbook and statement savings accounts, certificates of deposit, money market accounts, commercial and regular checking accounts and Super NOW accounts. Historically, we have not accepted brokered deposits.

Interest rates paid, maturity terms, service fees and withdrawal penalties are established on a periodic basis. Deposit rates and terms are based primarily on current operating strategies, market interest rates, liquidity requirements and our deposit growth goals.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, our deposits grew by \$71.7 million, or 6.2%. The increase was caused by our strategy of promoting higher-than-market rates for our passbook and statement savings accounts. Savings accounts grew by \$73.2 million, or 9.1%, because of higher interest rates. We also believe that the ability to get immediate access to their funds without incurring an early withdrawal penalty appeals to customers.

At December 31, 2012, we had a total of \$205.4 million in certificates of deposit, of which \$146.7 million had remaining maturities of one year or less. Based on historical experience and our current pricing strategy, we believe we will retain a significant portion of these accounts upon maturity.

The following tables set forth the distribution of our average total deposit accounts (including interest-bearing and noninterest-bearing deposits), by account type, for the periods indicated.

| | For the Years Ended December 31, | | | | | |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|---|---------------------|---------------|-----------------------|
| | 2012 | | | 2011 | | |
| Deposit type: | Average Balance | Percent | Weighted Average Rate (Dollars in thousands) | Average Balance | Percent | Weighted Average Rate |
| Noninterest-bearing | \$ 29,049 | 2.4% | 0.00% | \$ 22,566 | 2.0% | 0.00% |
| Savings accounts | 849,945 | 70.3 | 0.51% | 771,194 | 69.1 | 0.61% |
| Certificates of deposit | 214,722 | 17.8 | 0.75% | 215,609 | 19.3 | 0.93% |
| Money market | 536 | 0.0 | 0.19% | 540 | 0.0 | 0.37% |
| Checking and Super NOW | 114,913 | 9.5 | 0.03% | 106,218 | 9.6 | 0.05% |
| Total deposits | \$ 1,209,165 | 100.0% | 0.49% | \$ 1,116,127 | 100.0% | 0.61% |

| Deposit type: | For the Year Ended December 31, 2010 | | |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|
| | Average Balance | Percent | Weighted Average Rate |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Noninterest-bearing | \$ 18,788 | 1.8% | 0.00% |
| Savings accounts | 715,500 | 67.2 | 1.08% |
| Certificates of deposit | 228,835 | 21.5 | 1.14% |
| Money market | 19,060 | 1.8 | 0.06% |
| Checking and Super NOW | 82,543 | 7.7 | 0.06% |
| Total deposits | \$ 1,064,726 | 100.0% | 0.99% |

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2012, the aggregate amount of outstanding certificates of deposit in amounts greater than or equal to \$100,000 was \$148.5 million. The following table sets forth the maturity of those certificates as of December 31, 2012.

| | At December 31, 2012 (In thousands) |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Three months or less | \$ 98,518 |
| Over three months through six months | 12,608 |
| Over six months through one year | 5,924 |
| Over one year to three years | 28,079 |
| Over three years | 3,358 |
| Total | \$ 148,487 |

Borrowings and Subordinated Debentures. Our borrowings consist of advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Seattle and funds borrowed under securities sold under agreements to repurchase. At December 31, 2012, our securities sold under agreements to repurchase totaled \$70.0 million, or 5.2% of total liabilities and our Federal Home Loan Bank advances totaled \$20.0 million, or 1.5% of total liabilities. At December 31, 2012, we had the capability to borrow up to \$370.6 million in the form of advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, our borrowings decreased by \$38.3 million, or 29.9%. The decrease occurred when we paid off \$38.3 million of securities sold under agreements to repurchase. Included in this total was \$25.0 million of prepayments for which we incurred \$321,000 of prepayment penalties. These borrowings had a weighted average interest rate of 4.07% and were prepaid to reduce interest expense on securities sold under agreements to repurchase in future periods. We have not required any other borrowings to fund our operations. Instead, we have primarily funded our operations with the net proceeds from our stock offering, additional deposits, proceeds from loan and security sales and principal repayments on loans and mortgage-backed securities.

The following table sets forth information concerning balances and interest rates on our Federal Home Loan Bank advances at the dates and for the years indicated.

| | At or For the Years Ended December 31, 2012 2011 2010 (Dollars in thousands) | | |
|---|--|-----------|-----------|
| Balance at end of year | \$ 20,000 | \$ 20,000 | \$ 10,000 |
| Average balance during year | \$ 20,000 | \$ 19,178 | \$ 7,233 |
| Maximum outstanding at any month end | \$ 20,000 | \$ 20,000 | \$ 10,000 |
| Weighted average interest rate at end of year | 2.09% | 2.09% | 2.12% |
| Average interest rate during year | 2.09% | 2.09% | 2.11% |

The following table sets forth information concerning balances and interest rates on our securities sold under agreements to repurchase at the dates and for the years indicated.

| | At or For the Years Ended December 31, 2012 2011 2010 (Dollars in thousands) | | |
|---|--|------------|------------|
| Balance at end of year | \$ 70,000 | \$ 108,300 | \$ 105,200 |
| Average balance during year | \$ 89,849 | \$ 114,022 | \$ 106,422 |
| Maximum outstanding at any month end | \$ 108,300 | \$ 122,200 | \$ 105,200 |
| Weighted average interest rate at end of year | 2.86% | 3.35% | 3.98% |
| Average interest rate during year | 3.20% | 3.61% | 4.02% |

Table of Contents

Stockholders' Equity. At December 31, 2012, our stockholders' equity was \$219.0 million, an increase of \$5.0 million, or 2.3%, from \$214.0 million at December 31, 2011. The increase in stockholders' equity primarily resulted from net income of \$14.8 million, a \$2.7 million increase in paid-in-capital related to share-based compensation awards and a \$1.1 million increase due to the allocation of ESOP shares. This was partially offset by the repurchase of 370,643 shares of our common stock for \$8.0 million and the declaration of \$5.7 million of dividends during the year ended December 31, 2012.

Table of Contents**Average Balances and Yields**

The following tables set forth average balance sheets, average yields and rates, and certain other information for the years indicated. No tax-equivalent yield adjustments were made, as the effect thereof was not material. All average balances are daily average balances. Nonaccrual loans were included in the computation of average balances, but have been reflected in the table as loans carrying a zero yield. The yields set forth below include the effect of net deferred costs, discounts and premiums that are amortized or accreted to interest income.

| | Average Outstanding Balance | For the Year Ended December 31, 2012 | |
|--|-----------------------------------|---|------------|
| | | Interest (Dollars in thousands) | Yield/Rate |
| Interest-earning assets: | | | |
| Loans: | | | |
| Real estate loans: | | | |
| First mortgage: | | | |
| One- to four-family residential (1) | \$ 694,146 | \$ 34,251 | 4.93% |
| Multi-family residential | 6,784 | 416 | 6.13 |
| Construction, commercial and other | 12,072 | 669 | 5.54 |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 15,850 | 922 | 5.82 |
| Other loans | 5,006 | 308 | 6.15 |
| Total loans | 733,858 | 36,566 | 4.98 |
| Investment securities: | | | |
| U.S. government sponsored mortgage-backed securities (1) | 616,627 | 23,224 | 3.77 |
| Trust preferred securities | 107 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Total securities | 616,734 | 23,224 | 3.77 |
| Other | 163,569 | 359 | 0.22 |
| Total interest-earning assets | 1,514,161 | 60,149 | 3.97 |
| Non-interest-earning assets | 52,169 | | |
| Total assets | \$ 1,566,330 | | |
| Interest-bearing liabilities: | | | |
| Savings accounts | \$ 849,945 | 4,295 | 0.51% |
| Certificates of deposit | 214,722 | 1,604 | 0.75 |
| Money market accounts | 536 | 1 | 0.19 |
| Checking and Super NOW accounts | 114,913 | 36 | 0.03 |
| Total interest-bearing deposits | 1,180,116 | 5,936 | 0.50 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances | 20,000 | 418 | 2.09 |
| Other borrowings | 89,876 | 2,875 | 3.20 |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 1,289,992 | 9,229 | 0.72 |
| Non-interest-bearing liabilities | 57,591 | | |
| Total liabilities | 1,347,583 | | |
| Stockholders equity | 218,747 | | |
| Total liabilities and stockholders equity | \$ 1,566,330 | | |

Edgar Filing: Territorial Bancorp Inc. - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|---|------------|-----------|-------|
| Net interest income | | \$ 50,920 | |
| Net interest rate spread (2) | | | 3.25% |
| Net interest-earning assets (3) | \$ 224,169 | | |
| Net interest margin (4) | | | 3.36% |
| Interest-earning assets to interest-bearing liabilities | 117.38% | | |

(footnotes on following page)

Table of Contents

| | For the Years Ended December 31, | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|------------|
| | 2011 | | | 2010 | | |
| | Average Outstanding Balance | Interest | Yield/Rate (Dollars in thousands) | Average Outstanding Balance | Interest | Yield/Rate |
| Interest-earning assets: | | | | | | |
| Loans: | | | | | | |
| Real estate loans: | | | | | | |
| First mortgage: | | | | | | |
| One- to four-family residential (1) | \$ 620,971 | \$ 32,872 | 5.29% | \$ 574,924 | \$ 31,879 | 5.54% |
| Multi-family residential | 6,183 | 394 | 6.37 | 4,733 | 321 | 6.78 |
| Construction, commercial and other | 13,868 | 828 | 5.97 | 16,653 | 1,058 | 6.35 |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 18,367 | 1,117 | 6.08 | 20,815 | 1,303 | 6.26 |
| Other loans | 5,451 | 346 | 6.35 | 6,243 | 398 | 6.38 |
| Total loans | 664,840 | 35,557 | 5.35 | 623,368 | 34,959 | 5.61 |
| Investment securities: | | | | | | |
| U.S. government sponsored mortgage-backed securities (1) | 635,587 | 26,851 | 4.22 | 577,221 | 25,754 | 4.46 |
| Trust preferred securities | 32 | 0 | 0.00 | 888 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Total securities | 635,619 | 26,851 | 4.22 | 578,109 | 25,754 | 4.45 |
| Other | 149,668 | 325 | 0.22 | 180,658 | 402 | 0.22 |
| Total interest-earning assets | 1,450,127 | 62,733 | 4.33 | 1,382,135 | 61,115 | 4.42 |
| Non-interest-earning assets | 50,284 | | | 48,102 | | |
| Total assets | \$ 1,500,411 | | | \$ 1,430,237 | | |
| Interest-bearing liabilities: | | | | | | |
| Savings accounts | \$ 771,194 | 4,707 | 0.61% | \$ 715,500 | 7,722 | 1.08% |
| Certificates of deposit | 215,609 | 2,013 | 0.93 | 228,835 | 2,614 | 1.14 |
| Money market accounts | 540 | 2 | 0.37 | 19,060 | 12 | 0.06 |
| Checking and Super NOW accounts | 106,218 | 48 | 0.05 | 82,543 | 47 | 0.06 |
| Total interest-bearing deposits | 1,093,561 | 6,770 | 0.62 | 1,045,938 | 10,395 | 0.99 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances | 19,178 | 401 | 2.09 | 7,233 | 153 | 2.12 |
| Other borrowings | 114,022 | 4,114 | 3.61 | 106,422 | 4,280 | 4.02 |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 1,226,761 | 11,285 | 0.92 | 1,159,593 | 14,828 | 1.28 |
| Non-interest-bearing liabilities | 49,980 | | | 46,224 | | |
| Total liabilities | 1,276,741 | | | 1,205,817 | | |
| Stockholders' equity | 223,670 | | | 224,420 | | |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 1,500,411 | | | \$ 1,430,237 | | |
| Net interest income | | \$ 51,448 | | | \$ 46,287 | |
| Net interest rate spread (2) | | | 3.41% | | | 3.14% |
| Net interest-earning assets (3) | \$ 223,366 | | | \$ 222,542 | | |
| Net interest margin (4) | | | 3.55% | | | 3.35% |
| Interest-earning assets to interest-bearing liabilities | 118.21% | | | 119.19% | | |

Edgar Filing: Territorial Bancorp Inc. - Form 10-K

- (1) Average balance includes loans or investments available for sale.
- (2) Net interest rate spread represents the difference between the yield on average interest-earning assets and the cost of average interest-bearing liabilities.
- (3) Net interest-earning assets represent total interest-earning assets less total interest-bearing liabilities.
- (4) Net interest margin represents net interest income divided by average total interest-earning assets.

Table of Contents**Rate/Volume Analysis**

The following table presents the effects of changing rates and volumes on our net interest income for the years indicated. The rate column shows the effects attributable to changes in rate (changes in rate multiplied by prior volume). The volume column shows the effects attributable to changes in volume (changes in volume multiplied by prior rate). The total column represents the sum of the prior columns. For purposes of this table, changes attributable to both rate and volume, which cannot be segregated, have been allocated proportionately based on the changes due to rate and the changes due to volume.

| | Years Ended December 31, 2012 vs. 2011 | | | Years Ended December 31, 2011 vs. 2010 | | |
|--|---|------------|---|---|------------|---------------------------------|
| | Increase (Decrease) Due to | | Total Increase (Decrease) (In thousands) | Increase (Decrease) Due to | | Total Increase (Decrease) |
| | Volume | Rate | | Volume | Rate | |
| Interest-earning assets: | | | | | | |
| Loans: | | | | | | |
| Real estate loans: | | | | | | |
| First mortgage: | | | | | | |
| One- to four-family residential | \$ 3,253 | \$ (1,874) | \$ 1,379 | \$ 2,287 | \$ (1,294) | \$ 993 |
| Multi-family residential | 36 | (14) | 22 | 91 | (18) | 73 |
| Construction, commercial and other | (102) | (57) | (159) | (169) | (61) | (230) |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | (148) | (47) | (195) | (150) | (36) | (186) |
| Other loans | (28) | (10) | (38) | (50) | (2) | (52) |
| Total loans | 3,011 | (2,002) | 1,009 | 2,009 | (1,411) | 598 |
| U.S. government sponsored mortgage-backed securities | (782) | (2,845) | (3,627) | 2,312 | (1,215) | 1,097 |
| Other | 30 | 4 | 34 | (67) | (10) | (77) |
| Total interest-earning assets | 2,259 | (4,843) | (2,584) | 4,254 | (2,636) | 1,618 |
| Interest-bearing liabilities: | | | | | | |
| Savings accounts | 601 | (1,013) | (412) | 658 | (3,673) | (3,015) |
| Certificates of deposit | (8) | (401) | (409) | (144) | (457) | (601) |
| Money market accounts | 0 | (1) | (1) | 2 | (12) | (10) |
| Checking and Super NOW accounts | 4 | (16) | (12) | 4 | (3) | 1 |
| Total interest-bearing deposits | 597 | (1,431) | (834) | 520 | (4,145) | (3,625) |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances | 17 | 0 | 17 | 250 | (2) | 248 |
| Other borrowings | (807) | (432) | (1,239) | 377 | (543) | (166) |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | (193) | (1,863) | (2,056) | 1,147 | (4,690) | (3,543) |
| Change in net interest income | \$ 2,452 | \$ (2,980) | \$ (528) | \$ 3,107 | \$ 2,054 | \$ 5,161 |

Table of Contents**Comparison of Operating Results for the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010**

General. Net income increased by \$2.0 million, or 16.0%, to \$14.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 from \$12.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The increase in net income was caused by a \$2.1 million decrease in interest expense due to lower market interest rates, a \$2.0 million increase in noninterest income due to an increase in gains on sale of loans and securities, a \$401,000 decrease in income taxes and a \$216,000 decrease in noninterest expense. This was partially offset by a \$2.6 million decrease in interest and dividend income due to lower market interest rates and a lower average securities balance.

Net income increased by \$1.8 million, or 16.0%, to \$12.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 from \$11.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. The increase in net income was primarily caused by a \$3.5 million decrease in interest expense, a \$3.0 million increase in noninterest income and a \$1.6 million increase in interest and dividend income. These changes were partially offset by a \$3.1 million increase in noninterest expense and a \$3.2 million increase in income taxes.

Net Interest Income. Net interest income decreased by \$528,000, or 1.0%, to \$50.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 from \$51.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. Interest and dividend income decreased by \$2.6 million, or 4.1%, to \$60.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 from \$62.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The decrease in interest and dividend income occurred primarily because of a 36 basis point decrease in the average asset yield that was partially offset by a \$64.0 million increase in the average balance. Interest expense decreased by \$2.1 million, or 18.2%, to \$9.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 from \$11.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The decrease in interest expense is primarily due to a 20 basis point decrease in the average cost of interest-bearing liabilities. The interest rate spread and net interest margin were 3.25% and 3.36%, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to 3.41% and 3.55% for 2011. The 16 basis point decrease in the net interest rate spread is due to a 36 basis point decrease in the average yield on interest-earning assets that was partially offset by a 20 basis point decrease in the cost of interest-bearing liabilities. The decrease in the cost of interest-bearing liabilities resulted from lower market interest rates.

Net interest income increased by \$5.2 million, or 11.1%, to \$51.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 from \$46.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. Interest and dividend income increased by \$1.6 million, or 2.6%, to \$62.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 from \$61.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. The increase in interest income is due primarily to a \$68.0 million increase in the average balance that was partially offset by a nine basis point decrease in the average yield on interest-earning assets. Interest expense decreased by \$3.5 million, or 23.9%, to \$11.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 from \$14.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. The decrease in interest expense is due to a 36 basis point decrease in the average cost of interest-bearing liabilities that was partially offset by a \$67.2 million increase in the average balance of interest-bearing liabilities. The interest rate spread and net interest margin were 3.41% and 3.55%, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2011, compared to 3.14% and 3.35% for 2010. The 27 basis point increase in the net interest rate spread is due to a 36 basis point decrease in the cost of interest-bearing liabilities that was partially offset by a nine basis point decrease in the average yield on interest-earning assets.

Interest and Dividend Income. Interest and dividend income decreased by \$2.6 million, or 4.1%, to \$60.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 from \$62.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. Interest income on investment securities decreased by \$3.6 million, or 13.5%, to \$23.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 from \$26.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The decrease in interest income on securities occurred primarily because of a 45 basis point decrease in the average securities yield and an \$18.9 million decrease in the average securities balance. The decline in

Table of Contents

the average yield and balance occurred because of an increase in repayments on higher yielding mortgage-backed securities. A portion of these repayments were reinvested in securities with lower yields. Interest income on loans increased by \$1.0 million, or 2.8%, to \$36.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 from \$35.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The increase in interest income on loans occurred because the average balance of loans grew by \$69.0 million, or 10.4%, as new loan originations exceeded loan repayments and loan sales. This increase in interest income was partially offset by a 37 basis point decline in the average loan yield to 4.98% for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to 5.35% for the year ended December 31, 2011. The decline in the average yield on loans occurred because of an increase in repayments on higher-yielding loans and additions of new loans with lower yields to the loan portfolio.

Interest and dividend income increased by \$1.6 million, or 2.6%, to \$62.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 from \$61.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. Interest income on securities grew by \$1.1 million, or 4.3%, to \$26.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 from \$25.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. The increase in interest income on securities was primarily due to a \$57.5 million increase in the average securities balance, which was partially offset by a 23 basis point decrease in the average securities yield. Interest income on loans increased by \$598,000, or 1.7%, to \$35.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 from \$35.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. The increase in interest income on loans primarily occurred because the average balance of loans grew by \$41.5 million, or 6.7%, as new loan originations exceeded loan repayments and loan sales. This increase in interest income was partially offset by a 26 basis point decrease in the average loan yield to 5.35% for the year ended December 31, 2011 from 5.61% for the year ended December 31, 2010. The decline in the average yield on loans occurred because of an increase in repayments on higher-yielding loans and additions of new loans with lower yields to the loan portfolio.

Interest Expense. Interest expense decreased by \$2.1 million, or 18.2%, to \$9.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 from \$11.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. Interest expense on securities sold under agreements to repurchase decreased by \$1.2 million, or 30.1%, during the year ended December 31, 2012. This decrease was caused by a \$24.1 million, or 21.2%, decrease in the average outstanding balance and a 41 basis point decrease in the average interest rate to 3.20% for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to 3.61% for the year ended December 31, 2011. The decrease in the average outstanding balance was due to the repayment of \$13.3 million of maturing borrowings and prepayment of \$25.0 million of borrowings during the year ended December 31, 2012. Interest expense on deposits decreased by \$834,000, or 12.3%, to \$5.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 from \$6.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. During the year ended December 31, 2012, interest expense on certificates of deposit and savings accounts declined by \$409,000 and \$412,000, respectively, due to a decrease in the average interest rates of 18 and 10 basis points, respectively. We lowered the rates we pay on certificates of deposit and savings accounts due to declining market interest rates and increased liquidity from principal repayments on loans and mortgage-backed securities. However, the interest rates on our savings accounts are still higher than market interest rates. The decrease in the average interest rate on deposits was partially offset by an \$86.6 million, or 7.9%, increase in the average balance of deposit accounts.

Interest expense decreased by \$3.5 million, or 23.9%, to \$11.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 from \$14.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. Interest expense on deposits decreased by \$3.6 million, or 34.9%, to \$6.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to \$10.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. The decline in interest expense on deposits was primarily caused by a 47 basis point decrease in the average rate paid on savings accounts. The decrease in the rate was partially offset by a \$55.7 million, or 7.8%, increase in the average balance of savings accounts. We lowered the rates we pay on deposits due to declining market interest rates and increased liquidity from principal repayments on loans and mortgage-backed securities. However, the

Table of Contents

interest rates on our savings accounts are still higher than market interest rates. Interest expense on Federal Home Loan Bank advances increased by \$248,000, or 162.1%, during the year ended December 31, 2011 as we obtained a \$10.0 million advance during the three months ended March 31, 2011 to control our interest rate risk. Interest expense on securities sold under agreements to repurchase decreased by \$166,000, or 3.9%, because the average interest rate on these borrowings decreased by 41 basis points, or 10.2%, during the year ended December 31, 2011. This decrease was partially offset by a \$7.6 million increase in the average outstanding balance of these borrowings to \$114.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Provision for Loan Losses. Based on our analysis of the factors described in Allowance for Loan Losses, we recorded provisions for loan losses of \$415,000, \$418,000 and \$345,000 for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The provisions for loan losses reflected net charge-offs of \$284,000, \$365,000 and \$538,000 for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The provisions recorded resulted in ratios of the allowance for loan losses to total loans of 0.22%, 0.22% and 0.23% at December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Nonaccrual loans totaled \$4.4 million, \$2.9 million and \$808,000 at December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. To the best of our knowledge, we have provided for all losses that are both probable and reasonable to estimate at December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

Noninterest Income. The following table summarizes changes in noninterest income for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

| | Years Ended December 31, | | | Change 2012/2011 | | Change 2011/2010 | |
|---|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|---------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | \$ Change | % Change | \$ Change | % Change |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | |
| Service fees on loan and deposit accounts | \$ 2,044 | \$ 2,284 | \$ 2,401 | \$ (240) | (10.5)% | \$ (117) | (4.9)% |
| Income on bank-owned life insurance | 942 | 968 | 1,017 | (26) | (2.7)% | (49) | (4.8)% |
| Gain on sale of investment securities | 1,234 | 451 | 350 | 783 | 173.6% | 101 | 28.9% |
| Gain on sale of loans | 2,411 | 711 | 442 | 1,700 | 239.1% | 269 | 60.9% |
| Other-than-temporary impairment losses | 0 | 0 | (2,404) | 0 | 0.0% | 2,404 | 100.0% |
| Other | 437 | 697 | 322 | (260) | (37.3)% | 375 | 116.5% |
| Total | \$ 7,068 | \$ 5,111 | \$ 2,128 | \$ 1,957 | 38.3% | \$ 2,983 | 140.2% |

Noninterest income increased by \$2.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the year ended December 31, 2011. During the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, we sold \$107.9 million and \$61.2 million, respectively, of mortgage loans held for sale and recognized gains of \$2.4 million and \$711,000, respectively. During the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, we also sold \$16.0 million and \$3.5 million, respectively, of held-to-maturity investment securities and recognized gains of \$1.2 million and \$261,000, respectively. The sale of these securities, for which the Company had already received a substantial portion of the outstanding principal (at least 85%), is in accordance with the Investment topic of the FASB ASC and will not affect the historical cost basis used to account for the remaining securities in the held-to-maturity portfolio.

Noninterest income increased by \$3.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to the year ended December 31, 2010. We recognized a \$2.4 million loss for other-than-temporary impairment on our investments in trust preferred securities for the year ended 2010. During the year ended December 31, 2011, we did not incur any other-than-temporary impairment loss on our investments. Our investment in trust preferred securities is further discussed in Item 1A. Risk Factors. Other noninterest income increased by \$375,000 for the year ended December 31, 2011. This increase

Table of Contents

was primarily due to a legal settlement of an insurance claim in the amount of \$194,000 during the year ended December 31, 2011 and an increase in financial service commissions of \$171,000. During the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, we sold \$61.2 million and \$45.1 million, respectively, of mortgage loans held for sale and recognized gains of \$711,000 and \$442,000, respectively.

Noninterest Expense. The following table summarizes changes in noninterest expense for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

| | Years Ended December 31, | | | Change 2012/2011 | | Change 2011/2010 | |
|---|--------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------|------------------|-------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | \$ Change | % Change | \$ Change | % Change |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | |
| Salaries and employee benefits | \$ 20,609 | \$ 21,621 | \$ 18,793 | \$ (1,012) | (4.7)% | \$ 2,828 | 15.0% |
| Occupancy | 5,246 | 4,988 | 4,719 | 258 | 5.2% | 269 | 5.7% |
| Equipment | 3,269 | 3,191 | 2,963 | 78 | 2.4% | 228 | 7.7% |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | 321 | 0 | 0 | 321 | n/a | 0 | 0.0% |
| Federal deposit insurance premiums | 767 | 865 | 1,195 | (98) | (11.3)% | (330) | (27.6)% |
| Other general and administrative expenses | 4,226 | 3,989 | 3,860 | 237 | 5.9% | 129 | 3.3% |
| Total | \$ 34,438 | \$ 34,654 | \$ 31,530 | \$ (216) | (0.6)% | \$ 3,124 | 9.9% |

Noninterest expense decreased by \$216,000 to \$34.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 from \$34.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. Salaries and employee benefits decreased by \$1.0 million during the year ended December 31, 2012 primarily because the Company recognized \$696,000 of share-based compensation expenses in the year ended December 31, 2011 when a director passed away that resulted in the immediate vesting of restricted stock and stock options. In addition, the Company recognized a \$762,000 increase in the credit to compensation expense for the cost of originating new mortgage loans because of an increase in new loan originations. The Receivables topic of the FASB ASC allows financial institutions to take a credit against compensation expense for the direct cost of originating loans. This was partially offset by a bank-wide budgeted salary increase of approximately 2.0%, which was effective July 1, 2012, and higher cash bonuses. During the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company prepaid \$25.0 million of securities sold under agreements to repurchase, which had a weighted-average interest rate of 4.07%, and incurred \$321,000 of prepayment penalties, which is reported as loss on extinguishment of debt. The prepayment of this borrowing will reduce interest expense in future periods on securities sold under agreements to repurchase.

Noninterest expense rose by \$3.1 million to \$34.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 from \$31.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. Salaries and employee benefits increased by \$2.8 million to \$21.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 from \$18.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. The increase in salaries and employee benefits was primarily due to a \$2.5 million increase in expenses accrued for the equity incentive plan, which was approved by stockholders in August 2010. Included in the \$2.5 million increase is \$696,000 of share-based compensation expenses that the Company recognized when a director passed away, which resulted in the immediate vesting of stock awards. In addition, the Company incurred a bank-wide budgeted salary increase of approximately 2.0%, which was effective July 1, 2011, higher cash bonus accruals, a \$122,000 increase in payroll taxes and a \$70,000 increase in health insurance expenses. These increases for salaries and employee benefits were partially offset by a \$445,000 decrease in supplemental executive retirement plan expenses. Federal deposit insurance premium expense declined by \$330,000 to \$865,000 for the year ended December 31, 2011 from \$1.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. The decrease in federal deposit insurance premium expense is primarily due to a decline in the premium rate.

Table of Contents

Income Tax Expense. Income taxes were \$8.3 million for 2012, reflecting an effective tax rate of 35.9%, \$8.7 million for 2011, reflecting an effective tax rate of 40.5%, and \$5.5 million for 2010, reflecting an effective tax rate of 33.3%. The effective tax rate in 2012 was lower than the tax rate in 2011 primarily due to an increase in permanent tax benefits related to our share-based compensation plans and a one-time reduction in deferred taxes related to prepaid expenses. The effective tax rate in 2011 was higher than the tax rate in 2010 primarily due to a \$1.0 million tax refund from the State of Hawaii, which was recorded in 2010 as settlement of a tax case involving dividend received deductions. The refund was subject to federal and state income taxes. The amount of income from bank-owned life insurance relative to the lower amounts of income before taxes in 2010 also caused the 2010 effective tax rate to be lower than the 2011 effective tax rate.

Nonperforming and Problem Assets

When a residential mortgage loan or home equity line of credit is 15 days past due, we attempt personal, direct contact with the borrower to determine when payment will be made. On the first day of the following month, we mail a letter reminding the borrower of the delinquency, and will send an additional letter when a loan is 60 days or more past due. If necessary, subsequent late charges are issued and the account will be monitored on a regular basis thereafter. By the 75th day of delinquency, unless the borrower has made arrangements to bring the loan current, we will refer the loan to legal counsel to commence foreclosure proceedings. Upon the recommendation of our Vice President of Mortgage Loan Servicing, our Senior Vice President of Special Credits can shorten these time frames.

Commercial business loans, commercial real estate loans and consumer loans are generally handled in the same manner as residential mortgage loans or home equity lines of credit. All commercial business loans that are 15 days past due are immediately referred to our senior lending officer. In addition, we generate past due notices and attempt direct contact with a borrower when a consumer loan is 10 days past due. Because of the nature of the collateral securing consumer loans, we may commence collection procedures earlier for consumer loans than for residential mortgage loans or home equity lines of credit.

Loans are placed on nonaccrual status when payment of principal or interest is more than 90 days delinquent. Loans are also placed on nonaccrual status if collection of principal or interest in full is in doubt. When loans are placed on a nonaccrual status, unpaid accrued interest is fully reversed. The loan may be returned to accrual status if both principal and interest payments are brought current and full payment of principal and interest is expected.

Table of Contents

Nonperforming Assets. The table below sets forth the amounts and categories of our nonperforming assets at the dates indicated.

| | 2012 | 2011 | At December 31, 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Nonaccrual loans: | | | | | |
| Real estate loans: | | | | | |
| First mortgage: | | | | | |
| One- to four-family residential | \$ 4,246 | \$ 2,582 | \$ 801 | \$ 517 | \$ 0 |
| Construction, commercial and other | 0 | 184 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 160 | 158 | 0 | 0 | 149 |
| Other loans | 0 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 0 |
| Total nonaccrual loans | 4,406 | 2,927 | 808 | 520 | 149 |
| Real estate owned: | | | | | |
| Real estate loans: | | | | | |
| First mortgage: | | | | | |
| One- to four-family residential | 0 | 408 | 0 | 159 | 131 |
| Total real estate owned | 0 | 408 | 0 | 159 | 131 |
| Total nonperforming assets | 4,406 | 3,335 | 808 | 679 | 280 |
| Loans delinquent 90 days or greater and still accruing interest | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Restructured loans still accruing interest: | | | | | |
| Real estate loans: | | | | | |
| First mortgage: | | | | | |
| One- to four-family residential | 2,529 | 2,345 | 2,600 | 2,724 | 309 |
| Total restructured loans still accruing interest | 2,529 | 2,345 | 2,600 | 2,724 | 309 |
| Total nonperforming assets, accruing loans delinquent for 90 days or more and restructured loans still accruing interest | \$ 6,935 | \$ 5,680 | \$ 3,408 | \$ 3,403 | \$ 589 |

Ratios:

| | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Nonperforming loans to total loans | 0.56% | 0.42% | 0.12% | 0.09% | 0.02% |
| Nonperforming assets to total assets | 0.28% | 0.22% | 0.06% | 0.05% | 0.02% |

For the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, 2010, 2009 and 2008, gross interest income that would have been recorded had our nonaccruing loans been current in accordance with their original terms was \$219,000, \$127,000, \$36,000, \$27,000 and \$3,000, respectively. For the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, 2010, 2009 and 2008, we recognized \$105,000, \$32,000, \$0, \$5,000 and \$3,000, respectively, of interest income on such nonaccruing loans on a cash basis during the year. For the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, 2010, 2009 and 2008, gross interest income due and collected on our accruing restructured loans was \$111,000, \$119,000, \$42,000, \$73,000 and \$0, respectively.

The Company had 20 troubled debt restructurings totaling \$5.2 million as of December 31, 2012 that were considered to be impaired. This total included 19 one- to four-family residential mortgage loans totaling \$5.1 million and one home equity loan for \$160,000. Eight of the loans, totaling \$2.5 million, are performing in accordance with their restructured terms and accruing interest at December 31, 2012. 11 of the loans, totaling \$2.4 million, are performing in accordance with their restructured terms but not accruing interest as of December 31, 2012. One of the loans, for \$329,000, is 150 days or more delinquent and not accruing interest as of December 31, 2012. There were 11 troubled debt

Edgar Filing: Territorial Bancorp Inc. - Form 10-K

restructurings totaling \$2.9 million as of December 31, 2011 that were considered to be impaired. There were ten one-

Table of Contents

to four-family residential mortgage loans totaling \$2.8 million and one home equity loan for \$159,000. Eight of the loans, totaling \$2.3 million, are performing in accordance with their restructured terms and accruing interest at December 31, 2011. Two of the loans, totaling \$344,000, are performing in accordance with their restructured terms but not accruing interest at December 31, 2011. One of the loans, for \$248,000, is 59 days delinquent and not accruing interest at December 31, 2011. The increase in troubled debt restructurings in 2012 occurred when, in consultation with the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, Territorial Savings Bank's primary regulator, we classified \$3.7 million of mortgage loans, which had been modified to provide for interest only payments, as troubled debt restructurings. Restructurings include deferrals of interest and/or principal payments and temporary or permanent reductions in interest rates due to the financial difficulties of the borrowers.

Delinquent Loans. The following table sets forth our loan delinquencies by type and by amount at the dates indicated.

| | Loans Delinquent For | | | | Total | |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|--------|------------------|----------|--------|----------|
| | 60-89 Days | | 90 Days and Over | | Number | Amount |
| | Number | Amount | Number | Amount | Number | Amount |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | |
| At December 31, 2012 | | | | | | |
| Real estate loans: | | | | | | |
| First mortgage: | | | | | | |
| One- to four-family residential | 1 | \$ 152 | 8 | \$ 2,044 | 9 | \$ 2,196 |
| Other loans | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Total loans | 2 | \$ 154 | 8 | \$ 2,044 | 10 | \$ 2,198 |
| At December 31, 2011 | | | | | | |
| Real estate loans: | | | | | | |
| First mortgage: | | | | | | |
| One- to four-family residential | 0 | \$ 0 | 7 | \$ 2,148 | 7 | \$ 2,148 |
| Construction, commercial and other | 0 | 0 | 1 | 184 | 1 | 184 |
| Other loans | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| Total loans | 0 | \$ 0 | 9 | \$ 2,335 | 9 | \$ 2,335 |
| At December 31, 2010 | | | | | | |
| Real estate loans: | | | | | | |
| First mortgage: | | | | | | |
| One- to four-family residential | 0 | \$ 0 | 5 | \$ 801 | 5 | \$ 801 |
| Construction, commercial and other | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| Other loans | 3 | 8 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 13 |
| Total loans | 3 | \$ 8 | 7 | \$ 808 | 10 | \$ 816 |
| At December 31, 2009 | | | | | | |
| Real estate loans: | | | | | | |
| First mortgage: | | | | | | |
| One- to four-family residential | 0 | \$ 0 | 1 | \$ 274 | 1 | \$ 274 |
| Other loans | 1 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 4 |
| Total loans | 1 | \$ 1 | 5 | \$ 277 | 6 | \$ 278 |
| At December 31, 2008 | | | | | | |
| Real estate loans: | | | | | | |
| First mortgage: | | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: Territorial Bancorp Inc. - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|--------|---|------|---|--------|
| One- to four-family residential | 3 | \$ 871 | 0 | \$ 0 | 3 | \$ 871 |
| Other loans | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| Total loans | 4 | \$ 874 | 0 | \$ 0 | 4 | \$ 874 |

Real Estate Owned. Real estate acquired by us as a result of foreclosure or by deed in lieu of foreclosure is classified as real estate owned. When property is acquired it is recorded at estimated fair value at the date of foreclosure less the cost to sell, establishing a new cost basis. Estimated fair value generally represents the sale price a buyer would be willing to pay on the basis of current market conditions, including normal terms from other financial institutions. Holding costs and declines in estimated fair value result in charges to expense after acquisition. At December 31, 2012, 2011, 2010, 2009 and 2008 we had real estate owned of \$0, \$408,000, \$0, \$159,000 and \$131,000, respectively.

Table of Contents

Classification of Assets. Our policies, consistent with regulatory guidelines, provide for the classification of loans and other assets that are considered to be of lesser quality as substandard, doubtful, or loss assets. An asset is considered substandard if it is inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Substandard assets include those assets characterized by the distinct possibility that we will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. Assets classified as doubtful have all of the weaknesses inherent in those classified substandard with the added characteristic that the weaknesses present make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions and values, highly questionable and improbable. Assets (or portions of assets) classified as loss are those considered uncollectible and of such little value that their continuance as assets is not warranted. Assets that do not expose us to risk sufficient to warrant classification in one of the aforementioned categories, but which possess potential weaknesses that deserve our close attention, are required to be designated as special mention.

We maintain an allowance for loan losses at an amount estimated to equal all credit losses incurred in our loan portfolio that are both probable and reasonable to estimate at a balance sheet date. Our determination as to the classification of our assets and the amount of our loss allowances is subject to review by our principal federal regulator, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, which can require that we establish additional loss allowances. We regularly review our asset portfolio to determine whether any assets require classification in accordance with applicable regulations. On the basis of our review of our assets at December 31, 2012, classified assets consisted of substandard assets of \$7.3 million, special mention assets of \$2.6 million and no doubtful or loss assets. The classified assets total at December 31, 2012 was \$9.9 million and included \$4.5 million of troubled debt restructurings, \$4.3 million of nonperforming loans and \$1.1 million of trust preferred securities. Effective December 31, 2012, we will automatically designate any loan that is 30 to 59 days delinquent as special mention and will automatically classify any loan that is delinquent 60 to 89 days as substandard. Loans which have been delinquent for fewer days can be classified in these categories.

Allowance for Loan Losses

We provide for loan losses based upon the consistent application of our documented allowance for loan loss methodology. All loan losses are charged to the allowance for loan losses and all recoveries are credited to it. Additions to the allowance for loan losses are provided by charges to income based on various factors which, in our judgment, deserve current recognition in estimating probable losses. We regularly review the loan portfolio and make provisions for loan losses in order to maintain the allowance for loan losses in accordance with GAAP.

General allowances are established for loan losses on a portfolio basis for loans that do not meet the definition of impaired in accordance with current accounting standards. The portfolio is grouped into similar risk characteristics, primarily loan type and delinquency status. We apply an estimated loss rate to each loan group. The loss rates applied are based upon our loss experience adjusted, as appropriate, for the environmental factors discussed below. This evaluation is inherently subjective, as it requires material estimates that may be susceptible to significant revisions based upon changes in economic and real estate market conditions. Actual loan losses may be significantly more than the allowance for loan losses we have established, which could have a material negative effect on our financial results.

Residential mortgage loans represent the largest segment of our loan portfolio. All of the residential mortgage loans are secured by a first mortgage on residential real estate in Hawaii, consist primarily of fixed-rate mortgage loans that have been underwritten to Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae

Table of Contents

guidelines and have similar risk characteristics. The loan loss allowance is determined by first calculating the historical loss rate for this segment of the portfolio. The loss rate may be adjusted for qualitative and environmental factors. The allowance for loan loss is calculated by multiplying the adjusted loss rate by the total loans in this segment of the portfolio.

The adjustments to historical loss experience are based on an evaluation of several qualitative and environmental factors, including:

changes in lending policies and procedures, including changes in underwriting standards and collections, charge-off and recovery practices;

changes in international, national, and local economic trends;

changes in the types of loans in the loan portfolio;

changes in the experience and ability of personnel in the mortgage loan origination and loan servicing departments;

changes in the number and amount of delinquent loans and classified assets;

changes in the type and volume of loans being originated;

changes in the value of underlying collateral for collateral dependent loans;

changes in any concentration of credit; and

external factors such as competition, legal and regulatory requirements on the level of estimated credit losses in the existing loan portfolio.

We also use historical loss rates adjusted for qualitative and environmental factors to establish loan loss allowances for the following portfolio segments:

home equity loans and lines of credit; and

consumer and other loans.

We have a limited loss experience for the construction, commercial and other mortgage segment of the loan portfolio. The loan loss allowance on this portfolio segment is determined using the loan loss rate of other financial institutions in the State of Hawaii. The allowance for loan loss is calculated by multiplying the loan loss rate of other financial institutions in the state by the total loans in this segment of the loan portfolio.

Generally, when the loan portfolio increases, absent other factors, the allowance for loan loss methodology results in a higher dollar amount of estimated probable losses than would be the case without the increase. Generally, when the loan portfolio decreases, absent other factors, the allowance for loan loss methodology results in a lower dollar amount of estimated probable losses than would be the case without the decrease. The unallocated allowance is established to provide for probable losses that have been incurred as of the reporting date but are not reflected in the allocated allowance.

Edgar Filing: Territorial Bancorp Inc. - Form 10-K

We evaluate our loan portfolio on a quarterly basis and the allowance is adjusted accordingly. While we use the best information available to make evaluations, future adjustments to the allowance may be necessary if conditions differ substantially from the information used in making the evaluations. In

Table of Contents

addition, as an integral part of their examination process, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency will periodically review the allowance for loan losses. The Office of the Comptroller of the Currency may require us to increase the allowance based on their analysis of information available at the time of their examination.

The following table sets forth activity in our allowance for loan losses for the years indicated.

| | At or For the Years Ended December 31, | | | | |
|---|--|----------|----------|----------|---------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | |
| Balance at beginning of year | \$ 1,541 | \$ 1,488 | \$ 1,681 | \$ 899 | \$ 768 |
| Charge-offs: | | | | | |
| Real estate loans: | | | | | |
| First mortgage: | | | | | |
| One- to four-family residential | 333 | 188 | 238 | 268 | 0 |
| Construction, commercial and other | 8 | 54 | 41 | 0 | 0 |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 3 | 9 | 0 | 149 | 0 |
| Other loans | 48 | 164 | 376 | 9 | 23 |
| Total charge-offs | 392 | 415 | 655 | 426 | 23 |
| Recoveries: | | | | | |
| Real estate loans: | | | | | |
| First mortgage: | | | | | |
| One- to four-family residential | 79 | 28 | 99 | 0 | 0 |
| Construction, commercial and other | 8 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other loans | 16 | 22 | 11 | 10 | 5 |
| Total recoveries | 108 | 50 | 117 | 10 | 5 |
| Net charge-offs | (284) | (365) | (538) | (416) | (18) |
| Provision for loan losses | 415 | 418 | 345 | 1,198 | 149 |
| Balance at end of year | \$ 1,672 | \$ 1,541 | \$ 1,488 | \$ 1,681 | \$ 899 |
| Ratios: | | | | | |
| Net charge-offs to average loans outstanding | 0.04% | 0.06% | 0.09% | 0.07% | 0.00% |
| Allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loans at end of year | 37.95% | 52.65% | 184.16% | 323.27% | 603.36% |
| Allowance for loan losses to total loans at end of year | 0.22% | 0.22% | 0.23% | 0.28% | 0.14% |

The ratio of allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loans decreased to 37.95% at December 31, 2012 from 52.65% at December 31, 2011. This occurred when, in consultation with the Office of Comptroller of the Currency, Territorial Savings Bank's primary regulator, we classified \$2.2 million of mortgage loans as nonperforming. We do not believe this had a material effect on our allowance for loan losses.

Table of Contents

Allocation of Allowance for Loan Losses. The following tables set forth the allowance for loan losses allocated by loan category and the percent of loans in each category to total loans at the dates indicated. The allowance for loan losses allocated to each category is not necessarily indicative of future losses in any particular category. The allowance for loan losses for each category is affected by the national and Hawaii economies and interest rates as well as other factors.

| | 2012 | | At December 31, 2011 | | 2010 | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|---|--|---------------------------------|--|
| | Allowance for Loan Losses | Percent of Loans in Each Category to Total Loans | Allowance for Loan Losses (Dollars in thousands) | Percent of Loans in Each Category to Total Loans | Allowance for Loan Losses | Percent of Loans in Each Category to Total Loans |
| Real estate loans: | | | | | | |
| First mortgage: | | | | | | |
| One- to four-family residential | \$ 585 | 94.84% | \$ 624 | 93.90% | \$ 577 | 92.99% |
| Multi-family residential | 5 | 0.88 | 7 | 1.00 | 6 | 0.83 |
| Construction, commercial and other | 818 | 1.77 | 285 | 1.83 | 277 | 2.22 |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 35 | 1.94 | 258 | 2.48 | 305 | 3.09 |
| Other loans | 107 | 0.57 | 291 | 0.79 | 208 | 0.87 |
| Total allocated allowance | 1,550 | 100.00 | 1,465 | 100.00 | 1,373 | 100.00 |
| Unallocated | 122 | 0.00 | 76 | 0.00 | 115 | 0.00 |
| Total | \$ 1,672 | 100.00% | \$ 1,541 | 100.00% | \$ 1,488 | 100.00% |

| | 2009 | | At December 31, 2008 | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|---|--|
| | Allowance for Loan Losses | Percent of Loans in Each Category to Total Loans | Allowance for Loan Losses (Dollars in thousands) | Percent of Loans in Each Category to Total Loans |
| Real estate loans: | | | | |
| First mortgage: | | | | |
| One- to four-family residential | \$ 536 | 91.25% | \$ 53 | 90.52% |
| Multi-family residential | 14 | 0.63 | 5 | 0.58 |
| Construction, commercial and other | 183 | 3.41 | 171 | 3.28 |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 573 | 3.58 | 405 | 4.67 |
| Other loans | 219 | 1.13 | 222 | 0.95 |
| Total allocated allowance | 1,525 | 100.00 | 856 | 100.00 |
| Unallocated | 156 | 0.00 | 43 | 0.00 |
| Total | \$ 1,681 | 100.00% | \$ 899 | 100.00% |

Table of Contents

The Company revised the qualitative factors that were used to determine the allowance for loan losses on construction, commercial and other mortgage loans, home equity loans and lines of credit and other loans. As a result of these modifications, the Company increased the portion of the allowance for loan losses attributable to construction, commercial and other mortgage loans and decreased the portion of the allowance for loan losses attributable to home equity loans and lines of credit and other loans. The allocation of a portion of the allowance to one category of loans does not preclude its availability to absorb losses in other categories.

Management of Market Risk

General. Our most significant form of market risk is interest rate risk because, as a financial institution, the majority of our assets and liabilities are sensitive to changes in interest rates. Therefore, a principal part of our operations is to manage interest rate risk and limit the exposure of our net interest income to changes in market interest rates. Our Board of Directors has established an Asset/Liability Management Committee, which is responsible for evaluating the interest rate risk inherent in our assets and liabilities, for determining the level of risk that is appropriate, given our business strategy, operating environment, capital, liquidity and performance objectives, and for managing this risk consistent with the guidelines approved by the Board of Directors.

Because we have historically operated as a traditional thrift institution, the significant majority of our assets consist of long-term, fixed-rate residential mortgage loans and mortgage-backed securities, which we have funded primarily with checking and savings accounts and short-term borrowings. In addition, there is little demand for adjustable-rate mortgage loans in the Hawaii market area. This has resulted in our being particularly vulnerable to increases in interest rates, as our interest-bearing liabilities mature or reprice more quickly than our interest-earning assets.

In 2007, our Board of Directors adopted an Interest Rate Risk Reduction Plan to assist us in reversing this trend and the negative effect on our net income. We hired an outside third-party consultant to assist us in formulating strategies to restructure our balance sheet and reduce our interest rate risk. Following our review of the consultant's suggestions, we implemented strategies to manage our interest rate risk which included the sale of fixed-rate mortgage loans and extending the maturity of our liabilities.

We continued our efforts to reduce interest rate risk in 2010 by purchasing \$10.2 million of shorter-duration mortgage-backed securities, selling \$45.1 million of fixed-rate mortgage loan originations and obtaining \$10.0 million of long-term, fixed-rate borrowings. In 2011, we sold \$61.2 million of fixed-rate mortgage loan production and obtained \$57.0 million of long-term, fixed-rate borrowings. In 2012, we purchased \$8.2 million of shorter-duration mortgage-backed securities and sold \$107.9 million of fixed-rate mortgage loan production. In addition, we may utilize the following strategies to further reduce our interest rate risk:

Continuing our efforts to increase our personal and business checking accounts, which are less rate-sensitive than certificates of deposit and which provide us with a stable, low-cost source of funds;

Continuing to repay short-term borrowings;

Maintaining overnight cash balances at the Federal Reserve Bank or a portfolio of short-term investments;

Purchasing mortgage-backed securities with shorter durations;

Table of Contents

Subject to the maintenance of our credit quality standards, originating commercial loans and home equity lines of credit, which have adjustable interest rates and shorter average lives than first mortgage loans; and

Maintaining relatively high regulatory capital ratios.

Our policies do not permit hedging activities, such as engaging in futures, options or swap transactions, or investing in high-risk mortgage derivatives, such as collateralized mortgage obligation residual interests, real estate mortgage investment conduit residual interests or stripped mortgage-backed securities. We have no current intention to sell additional loans classified as held-for-investment at this time.

Economic Value of Equity. We use an interest rate sensitivity analysis that computes changes in the economic value of equity (EVE) of our cash flows from assets, liabilities and off-balance sheet items in the event of a range of assumed changes in market interest rates. EVE represents the market value of portfolio equity and is equal to the present value of assets minus the present value of liabilities, with adjustments made for off-balance sheet items. This analysis assesses the risk of loss in market-risk-sensitive instruments in the event of a sudden and sustained 100 to 400 basis point increase or a 100 basis point decrease in market interest rates with no effect given to any steps that we might take to counter the effect of that interest rate movement. A basis point equals one-hundredth of one percent, and 100 basis points equals one percent. An increase in interest rates from 3% to 4% would mean, for example, a 100 basis point increase in the Change in Interest Rates column below. Given the current relatively low level of market interest rates, an EVE calculation for an interest rate decrease of greater than 100 basis points has not been prepared.

The following table presents our internal calculations of the estimated changes in our EVE as of December 31, 2012 that would result from the designated instantaneous changes in the interest rate yield curve.

| Change in Interest Rates (bp) (1) | Estimated EVE (2) (Dollars in thousands) | Estimated Increase (Decrease) in EVE | Percentage Change in EVE | EVE Ratio as a | Increase (Decrease) |
|-----------------------------------|--|---|--------------------------------|---|--|
| | | | | Percent of Present Value of Assets (3)(4) | in EVE Ratio as a Percent of Present value of Assets (3)(4) |
| +400 | \$ 226,724 | \$ (24,941) | (9.91)% | 14.28% | (1.18)% |
| +300 | \$ 242,772 | \$ (8,893) | (3.53)% | 15.10% | (0.36)% |
| +200 | \$ 259,154 | \$ 7,489 | 2.98% | 15.92% | 0.46% |
| +100 | \$ 267,628 | \$ 15,963 | 6.34% | 16.32% | 0.86% |
| 0 | \$ 251,665 | \$ 0 | 0.00% | 15.46% | 0.00% |
| (100) | \$ 212,454 | \$ (39,211) | (15.58)% | 13.37% | (2.09)% |

- (1) Assumes an instantaneous uniform change in interest rates at all maturities.
- (2) EVE is the difference between the present value of an institution's assets and liabilities.
- (3) Present value of assets represents the discounted present value of incoming cash flows on interest-earning assets.
- (4) EVE Ratio represents EVE divided by the present value of assets.

Certain shortcomings are inherent in the methodologies used in determining interest rate risk through changes in EVE. Modeling changes in EVE requires making certain assumptions that may or may not reflect the manner in which actual yields and costs respond to changes in market interest rates. In this regard, the EVE tables presented assume that the composition of our interest-sensitive assets and liabilities existing at the beginning of a period remains constant over the period being measured and

Table of Contents

assume that a particular change in interest rates is reflected uniformly across the yield curve regardless of the duration or repricing of specific assets and liabilities. Accordingly, although the EVE tables provide an indication of our interest rate risk exposure at a particular point in time, such measurements are not intended to and do not provide a precise forecast of the effect of changes in market interest rates on our EVE and net interest income and will differ from actual results.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity is the ability to meet current and future financial obligations. Our primary sources of funds consist of deposit inflows, cash balances at the Federal Reserve Bank, loan repayments, advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Seattle, securities sold under agreements to repurchase, proceeds from loan and security sales and principal repayments on securities. While maturities and scheduled amortization of loans and securities are predictable sources of funds, deposit flows and mortgage prepayments are greatly influenced by general interest rates, economic conditions and competition. We have established an Asset/Liability Management Committee, consisting of our President and Chief Executive Officer, our Vice Chairman and Co-Chief Operating Officer, our Senior Vice President and Treasurer and our Vice President and Controller, which is responsible for establishing and monitoring our liquidity targets and strategies in order to ensure that sufficient liquidity exists for meeting the borrowing needs and deposit withdrawals of our customers as well as unanticipated contingencies. We believe that we have enough sources of liquidity to satisfy our short- and long-term liquidity needs as of December 31, 2012.

We regularly monitor and adjust our investments in liquid assets based upon our assessment of:

- (i) expected loan demand;
- (ii) expected deposit flows and borrowing maturities;
- (iii) yields available on interest-earning deposits and securities; and
- (iv) the objectives of our asset/liability management program.

Excess liquid assets are invested generally in interest-earning deposits or securities and may also be used to pay off short-term borrowings.

Our most liquid asset is cash. The level of this asset is dependent on our operating, financing, lending and investing activities during any given period. At December 31, 2012, cash and cash equivalents totaled \$182.8 million. On that date, we had \$70.0 million in securities sold under agreements to repurchase outstanding and \$20.0 million of Federal Home Loan Bank advances outstanding with the ability to borrow an additional \$370.6 million under Federal Home Loan Bank advances.

Our cash flows are derived from operating activities, investing activities and financing activities as reported in our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows included in our Consolidated Financial Statements.

At December 31, 2012, we had \$39.3 million in loan commitments outstanding, most of which were for fixed-rate loans. In addition to commitments to originate loans, we had \$22.0 million in unused lines of credit to borrowers. Certificates of deposit due within one year of December 31, 2012 totaled \$146.7 million, or 11.8% of total deposits. If these deposits do not remain with us, we may be required to seek other sources of funds, including loan sales, brokered deposits, securities sold under agreements to

Table of Contents

repurchase and Federal Home Loan Bank advances. Depending on market conditions, we may be required to pay higher rates on such deposits or other borrowings than we currently pay on the certificates of deposit due on or before December 31, 2013. We believe, however, based on past experience that a significant portion of such deposits will remain with us. We have the ability to attract and retain deposits by adjusting the interest rates offered.

Our primary investing activities are originating loans and purchasing mortgage-backed securities. During the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, we originated \$371.5 million, \$251.0 million and \$203.6 million of loans, respectively. During these years, we purchased \$148.0 million, \$297.9 million and \$185.1 million of securities, respectively.

Financing activities consist primarily of activity in deposit accounts, Federal Home Loan Bank advances and securities sold under agreements to repurchase. We experienced net increases in deposits of \$71.7 million and \$89.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Deposit flows are affected by the overall level of interest rates, the interest rates and products offered by us and our local competitors, and by other factors.

Liquidity management is both a daily and long-term function of business management. If we require funds beyond our ability to generate them internally, borrowing agreements exist with the Federal Home Loan Bank of Seattle, which provide an additional source of funds. We also utilize securities sold under agreements to repurchase as another borrowing source. At December 31, 2012, we had the ability to borrow up to an additional \$370.6 million from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Seattle. Securities sold under agreements to repurchase decreased by \$38.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2012.

In 2011 and 2010, the Company adopted common stock repurchase programs. Shares repurchased will reduce the amount of shares issued and outstanding. The repurchased shares may be reissued in connection with share-based compensation plans and for general corporate purposes. During the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company repurchased 347,196 and 1,281,268 shares, respectively, of the total 1,867,253 shares authorized by the Board of Directors. For the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, the shares were repurchased at an average cost of \$21.52 and \$19.52, respectively.

Territorial Savings Bank is subject to various regulatory capital requirements, including a risk-based capital measure. The risk-based capital guidelines include both a definition of capital and a framework for calculating risk-weighted assets by assigning balance sheet assets and off-balance sheet items to broad risk categories. At December 31, 2012, Territorial Savings Bank exceeded all regulatory capital requirements. Territorial Savings Bank is considered well capitalized under regulatory guidelines. See Note 21 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

The net proceeds from the stock offering significantly increased our liquidity and capital resources. Over time, the initial level of liquidity will be reduced as net proceeds from the stock offering are used for general corporate purposes, including the funding of loans. Our financial condition and results of operations were enhanced by the net proceeds from the stock offering, resulting in increased net interest-earning assets and net interest income. However, due to the increase in equity resulting from the net proceeds raised in the stock offering, our return on equity has been adversely affected following the stock offering.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Aggregate Contractual Obligations

Commitments. As a financial services provider, we routinely are a party to various financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risks, such as commitments to extend credit and unused lines of credit. While these contractual obligations represent our potential future cash requirements, a significant portion

Table of Contents

of commitments to extend credit may expire without being drawn upon. Such commitments are subject to the same credit policies and approval process accorded to loans we make. In addition, we enter into commitments to sell mortgage loans. For additional information, see Note 20 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Contractual Obligations. In the ordinary course of our operations, we enter into certain contractual obligations. Such obligations include operating leases for premises and equipment, agreements with respect to borrowed funds and deposit liabilities and agreements with respect to investments.

The following table summarizes our significant fixed and determinable contractual obligations and other funding needs by payment date at December 31, 2012. The payment amounts represent those amounts due to the recipient and do not include any unamortized premiums or discounts or other similar carrying amount adjustments.

| Contractual Obligations | Payments Due by Period | | | | Total |
|------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|----------------------|-------------------|
| | One year or less | More than one year to three years | More than three years to five years (In thousands) | More than five years | |
| Long-term debt | \$ 33,000 | \$ 57,000 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 90,000 |
| Operating leases | 2,354 | 4,067 | 3,165 | 2,729 | 12,315 |
| Capitalized leases | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Purchase obligations | 2,072 | 3,808 | 3,536 | 0 | 9,416 |
| Certificates of deposit | 146,674 | 49,274 | 9,433 | 0 | 205,381 |
| Other long-term liabilities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | \$ 184,100 | \$ 114,149 | \$ 16,134 | \$ 2,729 | \$ 317,112 |
| Commitments to extend credit | \$ 39,333 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 39,333 |

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In April 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) amended the Transfers and Servicing topic of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification (ASC). The amendment modified the criteria used to determine whether a repurchase agreement is accounted for as a sale or as a secured borrowing. The amendment was effective for interim or annual periods beginning on or after December 15, 2011. Early adoption was not permitted. We adopted this amendment on January 1, 2012, and the adoption did not have any effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In May 2011, the FASB amended the Fair Value Measurement topic of the FASB ASC. The amendment results in common fair value measurement and disclosure requirements in U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and International Financial Reporting Standards. The amendment both clarifies the intent about existing fair value measurements as well as changes the principle or requirement for measuring fair value or disclosing fair value information. The amendment was effective for interim or annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. Early application was not permitted. We adopted this amendment on January 1, 2012, and the adoption did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB amended the Comprehensive Income topic of the FASB ASC. The amendment eliminated the option of presenting components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders' equity. Nonowner changes in stockholders' equity must be presented either in a continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. The amendment was effective for interim or annual periods beginning after December 15,

Table of Contents

2011, with early adoption permitted. In December 2011, the FASB deferred the effective date of the part of this amendment requiring reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income to be shown on the face of the financial statements to allow time for further deliberation. Until final reporting requirements are effective, previous disclosure requirements will remain in effect. We adopted this amendment on January 1, 2012, and other than the location of disclosures related to other comprehensive income, the adoption did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements. In February 2013, the FASB finalized the reporting requirements for reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income. When an amount reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income is required to be reported in net income in its entirety, the effect on income statement items must be disclosed. When an amount reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income is not required to be reported in net income in its entirety in the same period, cross references to other required disclosures providing information about the transaction are required. This amendment is effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2012. We do not expect the adoption of this amendment to have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2011, the FASB amended the Balance Sheet topic of the FASB ASC. The amendment requires disclosures about the gross and net information related to instruments and transactions eligible for offset in the statement of financial position. The disclosures are meant to assist users of financial statements to more easily compare information that is presented based on the differing offsetting requirements of U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and International Financial Reporting Standards. The amendment is effective for interim and annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013. We do not expect the adoption of this amendment to have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

Impact of Inflation and Changing Prices

Our consolidated financial statements and related notes have been prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. U.S. GAAP generally requires the measurement of financial position and operating results in terms of historical dollars without consideration of changes in the relative purchasing power of money over time due to inflation. The impact of inflation is reflected in the increased cost of our operations. Unlike industrial companies, our assets and liabilities are primarily monetary in nature. As a result, changes in market interest rates have a greater impact on performance than the effects of inflation.

ITEM 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Information required by this item is included in ITEM 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, above.

ITEM 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Table of Contents

TERRITORIAL BANCORP INC.

AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2012 and 2011

(With Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Thereon)

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders

Territorial Bancorp Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Territorial Bancorp Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, stockholders' equity and comprehensive income, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2012. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Territorial Bancorp Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2012, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Territorial Bancorp Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), and our report dated March 15, 2013 expressed an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Honolulu, Hawaii

March 15, 2013

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders

Territorial Bancorp Inc.:

We have audited Territorial Bancorp Inc.'s (the Company's) internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Territorial Bancorp Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

Table of Contents

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Territorial Bancorp Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, stockholders' equity and comprehensive income, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2012, and our report dated March 15, 2013 expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Honolulu, Hawaii

March 15, 2013

Table of Contents**TERRITORIAL BANCORP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Balance Sheets****December 31, 2012 and 2011****(Dollars in thousands, except share data)**

| | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 182,818 | \$ 131,937 |
| Investment securities held to maturity, at amortized cost (fair value of \$584,125 and \$687,319 at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively) | 554,673 | 653,871 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank stock, at cost | 12,128 | 12,348 |
| Loans held for sale | 2,220 | 3,231 |
| Loans receivable, net | 774,876 | 688,095 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 4,367 | 4,780 |
| Premises and equipment, net | 5,056 | 5,450 |
| Real estate owned | 0 | 408 |
| Bank-owned life insurance | 31,177 | 30,234 |
| Deferred income taxes receivable | 3,580 | 2,648 |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 3,732 | 4,569 |
| Total assets | \$ 1,574,627 | \$ 1,537,571 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY | | |
| Liabilities: | | |
| Deposits | \$ 1,237,847 | \$ 1,166,116 |
| Advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank | 20,000 | 20,000 |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 70,000 | 108,300 |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 23,017 | 22,816 |
| Current income taxes payable | 1,152 | 3,114 |
| Advance payments by borrowers for taxes and insurance | 3,639 | 3,264 |
| Total liabilities | 1,355,655 | 1,323,610 |
| Stockholders Equity: | | |
| Preferred stock, \$.01 par value; authorized 50,000,000 shares, no shares issued or outstanding | 0 | 0 |
| Common stock, \$.01 par value; authorized 100,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 10,806,248 and 11,022,309 shares at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively | 108 | 110 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 93,616 | 97,640 |
| Unearned ESOP shares | (7,829) | (8,319) |
| Retained earnings | 137,410 | 128,300 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (4,333) | (3,770) |
| Total stockholders equity | 218,972 | 213,961 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders equity | \$ 1,574,627 | \$ 1,537,571 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**TERRITORIAL BANCORP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Statements of Income****For the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010****(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)**

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Interest and dividend income: | | | |
| Investment securities | \$ 23,224 | \$ 26,851 | \$ 25,754 |
| Loans | 36,566 | 35,557 | 34,959 |
| Other investments | 359 | 325 | 402 |
| Total interest and dividend income | 60,149 | 62,733 | 61,115 |
| Interest expense: | | | |
| Deposits | 5,936 | 6,770 | 10,395 |
| Advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank | 418 | 401 | 153 |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 2,875 | 4,114 | 4,280 |
| Total interest expense | 9,229 | 11,285 | 14,828 |
| Net interest income | 50,920 | 51,448 | 46,287 |
| Provision for loan losses | 415 | 418 | 345 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 50,505 | 51,030 | 45,942 |
| Noninterest income: | | | |
| Service fees on loan and deposit accounts | 2,044 | 2,284 | 2,401 |
| Income on bank-owned life insurance | 942 | 968 | 1,017 |
| Gain on sale of investment securities | 1,234 | 451 | 350 |
| Gain on sale of loans | 2,411 | 711 | 442 |
| Other-than-temporary impairment losses | 0 | 0 | (2,404) |
| Other | 437 | 697 | 322 |
| Total noninterest income | 7,068 | 5,111 | 2,128 |
| Noninterest expense: | | | |
| Salaries and employee benefits | 20,609 | 21,621 | 18,793 |
| Occupancy | 5,246 | 4,988 | 4,719 |
| Equipment | 3,269 | 3,191 | 2,963 |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | 321 | 0 | 0 |
| Federal deposit insurance premiums | 767 | 865 | 1,195 |
| Other general and administrative expenses | 4,226 | 3,989 | 3,860 |
| Total noninterest expense | 34,438 | 34,654 | 31,530 |
| Income before income taxes | 23,135 | 21,487 | 16,540 |

Edgar Filing: Territorial Bancorp Inc. - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|---|------------|------------|------------|
| Income taxes | 8,297 | 8,698 | 5,512 |
| Net income | \$ 14,838 | \$ 12,789 | \$ 11,028 |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ 1.47 | \$ 1.19 | \$ 0.97 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$ 1.45 | \$ 1.17 | \$ 0.97 |
| Cash dividends declared per common share | \$ 0.54 | \$ 0.34 | \$ 0.24 |
| Basic weighted-average shares outstanding | 10,113,453 | 10,777,417 | 11,322,798 |
| Diluted weighted-average shares outstanding | 10,213,190 | 10,936,632 | 11,369,161 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

TERRITORIAL BANCORP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income

For the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

(Dollars in thousands)

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Net income | \$ 14,838 | \$ 12,789 | \$ 11,028 |
| Change in unfunded pension liability | (826) | (1,462) | (445) |
| Change in unrealized loss on securities | 29 | 197 | (322) |
| Noncredit related gains (losses) on securities not expected to be sold | 234 | 0 | (679) |
| Other comprehensive loss | (563) | (1,265) | (1,446) |
| Comprehensive income | \$ 14,275 | \$ 11,524 | \$ 9,582 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**TERRITORIAL BANCORP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity****And Comprehensive Income****For the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010****(Dollars in thousands, except share data)**

| | Common Stock | Additional Paid-in Capital | Unearned ESOP Shares | Retained Earnings | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss | Total Stockholders Equity |
|--|-----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| Balances at December 31, 2009 | \$ 122 | 118,823 | (9,297) | 111,082 | (1,059) | 219,671 |
| Net income | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11,028 | 0 | 11,028 |
| Other comprehensive loss | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (1,446) | (1,446) |
| Cash dividends declared | 0 | 0 | 0 | (2,713) | 0 | (2,713) |
| Share-based compensation | 0 | 939 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 939 |
| Allocation of 48,932 ESOP shares | 0 | 418 | 489 | 0 | 0 | 907 |
| Repurchase of 55,707 shares of company common stock | 0 | (1,027) | 0 | 0 | 0 | (1,027) |
| Balances at December 31, 2010 | \$ 122 | 119,153 | (8,808) | 119,397 | (2,505) | 227,359 |
| Net income | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,789 | 0 | 12,789 |
| Other comprehensive loss | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (1,265) | (1,265) |
| Cash dividends declared | 0 | 0 | 0 | (3,886) | 0 | (3,886) |
| Share-based compensation | 1 | 3,460 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,461 |
| Allocation of 48,933 ESOP shares | 0 | 478 | 489 | 0 | 0 | 967 |
| Repurchase of 1,281,268 shares of company common stock | (13) | (25,451) | 0 | 0 | 0 | (25,464) |
| Balances at December 31, 2011 | \$ 110 | 97,640 | (8,319) | 128,300 | (3,770) | 213,961 |
| Net income | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14,838 | 0 | 14,838 |
| Other comprehensive loss | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (563) | (563) |
| Cash dividends declared | 0 | 0 | 0 | (5,728) | 0 | (5,728) |
| Share-based compensation | 1 | 2,690 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,691 |
| Allocation of 48,933 ESOP shares | 0 | 592 | 490 | 0 | 0 | 1,082 |
| Repurchase of 370,643 shares of company common stock | (3) | (8,022) | 0 | 0 | 0 | (8,025) |
| Exercise of 41,275 options on common stock | 0 | 716 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 716 |
| Balances at December 31, 2012 | \$ 108 | 93,616 | (7,829) | 137,410 | (4,333) | 218,972 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**TERRITORIAL BANCORP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows****For the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010****(Dollars in thousands)**

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | | |
| Net income | \$ 14,838 | \$ 12,789 | \$ 11,028 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities: | | | |
| Provision for loan losses | 415 | 418 | 345 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 1,137 | 1,124 | 1,010 |
| Deferred income tax benefit | (592) | (1,745) | (1,769) |
| Amortization of fees, discounts, and premiums | 383 | (163) | 91 |
| Origination of loans held for sale | (105,953) | (60,470) | (47,863) |
| Proceeds from sales of loans held for sale | 109,375 | 61,184 | 45,071 |
| Gain on sale of loans, net | (2,411) | (711) | (442) |
| Net gain on sale of real estate owned | (6) | (18) | (1) |
| Other-than-temporary impairment loss on investment | 0 | 0 | 2,404 |
| Purchases of investment securities held for trading | 0 | (41,156) | (18,143) |
| Proceeds from sale of investment securities held for trading | 0 | 41,334 | 18,244 |
| Gain on sale of investment securities held for trading | 0 | (178) | (101) |
| Gain on sale of investment securities available for sale | 0 | 0 | (249) |
| Gain on sale of investment securities held to maturity | (1,234) | (273) | 0 |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | 321 | 0 | 0 |
| Net (gain) loss on sale of premises and equipment | 9 | (5) | 68 |
| ESOP expense | 1,082 | 967 | 907 |
| Share-based compensation expense | 2,690 | 3,461 | 939 |
| Excess tax benefits from share-based compensation | (54) | 0 | 0 |
| (Increase) decrease in accrued interest receivable | 413 | (244) | 245 |
| Net increase in bank-owned life insurance | (943) | (968) | (1,017) |
| Net (increase) decrease in prepaid expenses and other assets | 837 | 2,221 | (341) |
| Net increase (decrease) in accounts payable and accrued expenses | (1,140) | (81) | 869 |
| Net increase (decrease) in federal and state income taxes, net | (1,962) | 2,537 | (93) |
| | | | |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 17,205 | 20,023 | 11,202 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | | |
| Purchases of investment securities held to maturity | (148,043) | (256,721) | (102,139) |
| Purchases of investment securities available for sale | 0 | 0 | (64,774) |
| Principal repayments on investment securities held to maturity | 229,696 | 143,526 | 165,291 |
| Principal repayments on investment securities available for sale | 0 | 525 | 114 |
| Proceeds from sale of investment securities held to maturity | 17,206 | 3,815 | 0 |
| Proceeds from sale of investment securities available for sale | 0 | 0 | 49,365 |
| Loan originations, net of principal repayments on loans receivable | (85,744) | (45,987) | (42,261) |
| Proceeds from redemption of FHLB stock | 220 | 0 | 0 |
| Proceeds from sale of real estate owned | 590 | 180 | 160 |
| Purchases of premises and equipment | (752) | (1,148) | (2,009) |
| Proceeds from disposals of premises and equipment | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| | | | |
| Net cash provided (used in) by investing activities | 13,173 | (155,805) | 3,747 |

Table of Contents

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | | |
| Net increase in deposits | \$ 71,731 | \$ 89,646 | \$ 61,802 |
| Proceeds from advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank | 100 | 10,000 | 10,000 |
| Repayments of advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank | (100) | 0 | 0 |
| Proceeds from securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 0 | 47,000 | 1,136 |
| Repayments of securities sold under agreements to repurchase | (38,621) | (43,900) | (26,136) |
| Purchases of Fed Funds | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Sales of Fed Funds | (10) | (10) | (10) |
| Net increase (decrease) in advance payments by borrowers for taxes and insurance | 375 | (112) | 471 |
| Excess tax benefits from share-based compensation | 54 | 0 | 0 |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock, net of costs | 717 | 0 | 0 |
| Purchases of company stock | (8,025) | (25,464) | (1,027) |
| Cash dividends paid | (5,728) | (3,886) | (2,713) |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 20,503 | 73,284 | 43,533 |
| | | | |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 50,881 | (62,498) | 58,482 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of the year | 131,937 | 194,435 | 135,953 |
| | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of the year | \$ 182,818 | \$ 131,937 | \$ 194,435 |
| | | | |
| Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information: | | | |
| Cash paid for: | | | |
| Interest on deposits and borrowings | \$ 9,403 | \$ 11,385 | \$ 14,965 |
| Income taxes | 10,851 | 7,906 | 8,374 |
| | | | |
| Supplemental disclosure of noncash investing activities: | | | |
| Loans transferred to real estate owned | \$ 176 | \$ 570 | \$ 0 |
| See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements. | | | |

Table of Contents

TERRITORIAL BANCORP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

(1) Organization

On November 4, 2008, the Board of Directors of Territorial Mutual Holding Company approved a plan of conversion and reorganization under which the Company would convert from a mutual holding company to a stock holding company. The conversion to a stock holding company was approved by the depositors and borrowers of Territorial Savings Bank and the Office of Thrift Supervision (OTS) and included the filing of a registration statement with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission. Upon the completion of the conversion and reorganization on July 10, 2009, Territorial Mutual Holding Company and Territorial Savings Group, Inc. ceased to exist as separate legal entities and Territorial Bancorp Inc. became the holding company for Territorial Savings Bank. A total of 12,233,125 shares were issued in the conversion at \$10 per share, raising \$122.3 million of gross proceeds. Approximately \$3.7 million of conversion expenses have been offset against the gross proceeds. Territorial Bancorp Inc.'s common stock began trading on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol **TBNK** on July 13, 2009.

Upon completion of the conversion and reorganization, a special liquidation account was established in an amount equal to the total equity of Territorial Mutual Holding Company as of December 31, 2008. The liquidation account is to provide eligible account holders and supplemental eligible account holders who maintain their deposit accounts with Territorial Savings Bank after the conversion with a liquidation interest in the unlikely event of the complete liquidation of Territorial Savings Bank after the conversion. The liquidation account will be reduced annually to the extent that eligible account holders and supplemental eligible account holders have reduced their qualifying deposits. Subsequent increases will not restore an eligible account holder's or supplemental eligible account holder's interest in the liquidation account. In the event of a complete liquidation of Territorial Savings Bank, and only in such event, each account holder will be entitled to receive a distribution from the liquidation account in an amount proportionate to the adjusted qualifying account balances then held.

(2) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

(a) Description of Business

The Company provides loan and deposit products and services primarily to individual customers through 27 branches located throughout Hawaii. We deal primarily in residential mortgage loans in the State of Hawaii. The Company's earnings depend primarily on its net interest income, which is the difference between the interest income earned on interest-earning assets (loans receivable and investments) and the interest expense incurred on interest-bearing liabilities (deposit liabilities and borrowings). Deposits traditionally have been the principal source of the Bank's funds for use in lending, meeting liquidity requirements, and making investments. The Company also derives funds from receipt of interest and principal on outstanding loans receivable and investments, borrowings from the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) of Seattle, securities sold under agreements to repurchase, and proceeds from issuance of common stock.

(b) Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts and results of operations of Territorial Bancorp Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiaries, Territorial Savings Bank, Territorial Real Estate Co., Inc., Territorial Financial Services, Inc., and Territorial Holdings, Inc. Territorial Holdings, Inc. includes the accounts and results of operations of Territorial Realty, Inc. Significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2010, Territorial Holdings, Inc. and Territorial Realty, Inc. were liquidated and their accounts and results of operations were incorporated into Territorial Savings Bank.

(c) Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents includes cash and due from banks, interest-bearing deposits in other banks, federal funds sold, and short-term, highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less.

(d) Investment Securities

The Company classifies and accounts for its investment securities as follows: (1) held-to-maturity debt securities in which the Company has the positive intent and ability to hold to maturity are reported at amortized cost; (2) trading securities that are purchased for the purpose of selling in the near term are reported at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses included in current earnings; and (3) available-for-sale securities not classified as either held-to-maturity or trading securities are reported at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses excluded from current earnings and reported as a separate component of equity. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company classified all of its investments as held-to-maturity.

A decline in the market value of any available-for-sale or held-to-maturity security below cost, that is deemed to be other than temporary, results in an impairment to reduce the carrying amount to fair value. To determine whether impairment is other than temporary, the Company considers whether it has the intent and ability not to sell and would not be required to sell for a sufficient period of time to recover the remaining amortized cost basis.

Gains or losses on the sale of investment securities are computed using the specific-identification method. The Company amortizes premiums and accretes discounts associated with investment securities using the interest method over the contractual life of the respective investment security. Such amortization and accretion is included in the interest and dividend income line item in the consolidated statements of income. Dividend and interest income are recognized when earned.

(e) Loans Receivable

This policy applies to all loan classes. Loans receivable are stated at the principal amount outstanding, less the allowance for loan losses, loan origination fees and costs, and commitment fees. Interest on loans receivable is accrued as earned. The Company has a policy of placing loans on a nonaccrual basis when more than 90 days contractually delinquent or when, in the opinion of management, collection of all or part of the principal balance appears doubtful. Interest, net deferred fees, and discounts on loans classified as nonaccrual are generally recognized on a cash basis or when the loan becomes current. The Company, considering current information and events regarding the borrowers' ability to repay their obligations, considers a loan to be impaired when it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. When a loan is considered to be impaired, the amount of the impairment is measured based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate or, if the loan is considered to be collateral dependent, based on the fair value of the collateral less estimated costs to sell.

Table of Contents

Impairment losses are written off through a charge to the provision for loan losses. Interest, net deferred fees, and discounts on impaired loans are recognized on a cash basis or when the loan is no longer impaired. A nonaccrual loan may be restored to an accrual basis when principal and interest payments are current and full payment of principal and interest is expected.

(f) Loans Held for Sale

Loans held for sale are stated at the lower of aggregate cost or market value. Net fees and costs of originating loans held for sale are deferred and are included in the basis for determining the gain or loss on sales of loans held for sale.

(g) Deferred Loan Origination Fees and Unearned Loan Discounts

Loan origination and commitment fees and certain direct loan origination costs are being deferred, and the net amount is recognized over the life of the related loan as an adjustment to yield. Net deferred loan fees are amortized using the interest method over the contractual term of the loan, adjusted for actual prepayments. Net unamortized fees on loans paid in full are recognized as a component of interest income.

(h) Real Estate Owned

Real estate owned is valued at the time of foreclosure at fair value, less estimated cost to sell, thereby establishing a new cost basis. The Company obtains appraisals based on recent comparable sales to assist management in estimating the fair value of real estate owned. Subsequent to acquisition, real estate owned is valued at the lower of cost or fair value, less estimated cost to sell. Declines in value are charged to expense through a direct writedown of the asset. Costs related to holding real estate are charged to expense while costs related to development and improvements are capitalized.

Profits from the sale of real estate are recognized when title has passed, minimum down payment requirements are met, the terms of any notes received are such as to satisfy continuing investment requirements, and the Company is relieved of any requirements for continued involvement with the properties. If the minimum down payment or the continuing investment is not adequate to meet the criteria specified in the Property, Plant and Equipment topic of the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC), the Company will defer income recognition and account for such sales using alternative methods, such as installment, deposit, or cost recovery.

(i) Allowance for Loan Losses

The Company's allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level considered adequate to provide for losses that can be estimated based upon specific and general conditions. All loan losses are charged, and all recoveries are credited, to the allowance for loan losses. Additions to the allowance for loan losses are provided by charges to income based on various factors, which, in the Company's judgment, deserve current recognition in estimating probable losses. Charge-offs to the allowance are made when management determines that collectability of all or a portion of a loan is doubtful and available collateral is insufficient to repay the loan.

Table of Contents

General allowances are established for loan losses on a portfolio basis for loans that do not meet the definition of impaired, in accordance with the Receivables topic of the FASB ASC. The portfolio is grouped into similar risk characteristics, primarily loan type and delinquency status. The Company applies an estimated loss rate to each loan group. The loss rates applied are based upon its loss experience adjusted, as appropriate, for environmental factors discussed below. This evaluation is inherently subjective, as it requires material estimates that may be susceptible to significant revisions based upon changes in economic and real estate market conditions. Actual loan losses may be significantly more than the allowance for loan losses the Company has established, which could have a material negative effect on its financial results.

Residential mortgage loans represent the largest segment of the Company's loan portfolio. All of the residential mortgage loans are secured by a first mortgage on residential real estate in Hawaii and consist primarily of fixed-rate mortgage loans which have been underwritten to Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae guidelines and have similar risk characteristics. The loan loss allowance is determined by first calculating the historical loss rate for this segment of the portfolio. The loss rate may be adjusted for qualitative and environmental factors. The allowance for loan loss is calculated by multiplying the adjusted loss rate by the total loans in this segment of the portfolio.

The adjustments to historical loss experience are based on an evaluation of several qualitative and environmental factors, including:

changes in lending policies and procedures, including changes in underwriting standards and collections, charge-off and recovery practices;

changes in international, national, and local economic trends;

changes in the types of loans in the loan portfolio;

changes in the experience and ability of personnel in the mortgage loan origination and loan servicing departments;

changes in the number and amount of delinquent loans and classified assets;

changes in the type and volume of loans being originated;

changes in the value of underlying collateral for collateral dependent loans;

changes in any concentration of credit; and

external factors such as competition, legal and regulatory requirements on the level of estimated credit losses in the existing loan portfolio.

The Company also uses historical loss rates adjusted for qualitative and environmental factors to establish loan loss allowances for the following portfolio segments:

home equity loans and lines of credit; and

consumer and other loans.

Table of Contents

The Company has a limited loss experience for the construction, commercial and other mortgage segment of the loan portfolio. The loan loss allowance on this portfolio segment is determined using the loan loss rate of other financial institutions in the State of Hawaii. The allowance for loan loss is calculated by multiplying the loan loss rate of other financial institutions in the state by the total loans in this segment of the Company's loan portfolio.

In addition, the unallocated allowance is established to provide for probable losses that have been incurred as of the reporting date but are not reflected in the allocated allowance.

While the Company uses the best information available to make evaluations, future adjustments to the allowance may be necessary if conditions differ substantially from the information used in making the evaluations. In addition, as an integral part of their examination process, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency will periodically review the allowance for loan losses. The Office of the Comptroller of the Currency may require the Company to increase the allowance based on their analysis of information available at the time of their examination.

(j) Transfer of Financial Assets

Transfers of financial assets are accounted for as sales when control is surrendered. Control is surrendered when the assets have been isolated from the Company, the transferee obtains the right to pledge or exchange the assets without constraint, and the Company does not maintain effective control over the transferred assets. Mortgage loans sold for cash are accounted for as sales as the above criteria have been met.

Mortgage loans may also be packaged into securities that are issued and guaranteed by U.S. government-sponsored enterprises. The Company receives 100% of the mortgage-backed securities issued. Securitizations are not accounted for as sales and no gain or loss is recognized. The mortgage-backed securities received in securitizations are valued at amortized cost and classified as held-to-maturity.

Mortgage loan transfers accounted for as sales and securitizations are without recourse and the Company may retain the related rights to service the loans. The retained servicing rights create mortgage servicing assets that are accounted for in accordance with the Transfers and Servicing topic of the FASB ASC. Mortgage servicing assets are initially valued at fair value and subsequently at the lower of cost or fair value and are amortized in proportion to and over the period of estimated net servicing income. The Company uses a discounted cash flow model to determine the fair value of retained mortgage servicing rights. Prior to 2010, we retained the servicing rights on residential mortgage loans sold. In 2010, we began selling loans primarily on a servicing-released basis.

(k) Premises and Equipment

Premises and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation is principally computed on the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the respective assets. The estimated useful life of buildings and improvements is 30 years, furniture, fixtures, and equipment is 3 to 10 years, and automobiles are 3 years. Leasehold improvements are amortized on a straight-line basis over the shorter of the lease term or estimated useful life of the asset.

Table of Contents

(l) Income Taxes

The Company files consolidated federal income tax and consolidated state franchise tax returns.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized using the asset and liability method of accounting for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using the enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date.

We establish income tax contingency reserves for potential tax liabilities related to uncertain tax positions. A liability for income tax uncertainties would be recorded for unrecognized tax benefits related to uncertain tax positions where it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained upon examination by a taxing authority.

As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company has not recognized a liability for income tax uncertainties in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. Management concluded that a liability for income tax uncertainties is not expected to be recorded within the next 12 months.

Tax years 2009 to 2011 currently remain subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service and by the Department of Taxation of the State of Hawaii.

(m) Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

Long-lived assets, such as premises and equipment, are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to estimated future cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If the carrying amount of an asset exceeds its estimated future cash flows, an impairment charge is recognized by the amount by which the carrying amount of the asset exceeds the fair value of the asset. Assets to be disposed of would be separately presented in the consolidated balance sheets and reported at the lower of the carrying amount or fair value less costs to sell, and are no longer depreciated.

(n) Pension Plan

Pension benefit costs (returns) are charged (credited) to salaries and employee benefits expense, and the corresponding prepaid (accrued) pension cost is recorded in prepaid expenses and other assets or accounts payable and accrued expenses in the consolidated balance sheets. The Company's policy is to fund pension costs in amounts that will not be less than the minimum funding requirements of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 and will not exceed the maximum tax-deductible amounts. The Company generally funds at least the net periodic pension cost, subject to limits and targeted funded status as determined with the consulting actuary.

(o) Employee Stock Ownership Plan (ESOP)

The cost of shares issued to the ESOP, but not yet allocated to participants, is shown as a reduction of stockholders' equity. Compensation expense is based on the market price of shares as they are committed to be released to participant accounts. Dividends on allocated ESOP shares reduce retained earnings; dividends on unearned ESOP shares reduce debt and accrued interest.

Table of Contents

(p) Earnings Per Share

Basic earning per share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share is computed by dividing net income by the sum of the weighted-average number of shares outstanding plus the dilutive effect of stock options and restricted stock. ESOP shares not committed to be released are not considered outstanding.

(q) Common Stock Repurchase Program

In 2011 and 2010, the Company adopted common stock repurchase programs in which shares repurchased reduce the amount of shares issued and outstanding. The repurchased shares may be reissued in connection with share-based compensation plans and for general corporate purposes. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company had accumulated repurchases of 1,684,171 and 1,336,975 shares, respectively, of the total 1,867,253 shares authorized by the Board of Directors. During 2012 and 2011, shares were repurchased at an average cost of \$21.52 and \$19.52, respectively.

(r) Bank-Owned Life Insurance

The Company's investment in bank-owned life insurance is based on cash surrender value. The Company invests in bank-owned life insurance to provide a funding source for benefit plan obligations. Bank-owned life insurance also generally provides noninterest income that is nontaxable. Federal regulations generally limit the investment in bank-owned life insurance to 25% of the Company's Tier 1 capital plus the allowance for loan losses. At December 31, 2012, this limit was \$52.2 million, and the Company had invested \$31.2 million in bank-owned life insurance at that date.

(s) Use of Estimates

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements requires management to make a number of estimates and assumptions relating to the reported amount of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amount of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Significant items subject to such estimates and assumptions include the allowance for loan losses; valuation of certain investment securities and determination as to whether declines in fair value below amortized cost are other than temporary; valuation allowances for deferred income tax assets; mortgage servicing assets; and assets and obligations related to employee benefit plans. Accordingly, actual results could differ from those estimates.

(t) Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In April 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) amended the Transfers and Servicing topic of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification (ASC). The amendment modified the criteria used to determine whether a repurchase agreement is accounted for as a sale or as a secured borrowing. The amendment was effective for interim or annual periods beginning on or after December 15, 2011. Early adoption was not permitted. The Company adopted this amendment on January 1, 2012, and the adoption did not have any effect on its consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

In May 2011, the FASB amended the Fair Value Measurement topic of the FASB ASC. The amendment results in common fair value measurement and disclosure requirements in U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and International Financial Reporting Standards. The amendment both clarifies the intent about existing fair value measurements as well as changes the principle or requirement for measuring fair value or disclosing fair value information. The amendment was effective for interim or annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. Early application was not permitted. The Company adopted this amendment on January 1, 2012, and the adoption did not have a material effect on its consolidated financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB amended the Comprehensive Income topic of the FASB ASC. The amendment eliminated the option of presenting components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders' equity. Nonowner changes in stockholders' equity must be presented either in a continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. The amendment was effective for interim or annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011, with early adoption permitted. In December 2011, the FASB deferred the effective date of the part of this amendment requiring reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income to be shown on the face of the financial statements to allow time for further deliberation. Until final reporting requirements are effective, previous disclosure requirements will remain in effect. The Company adopted this amendment on January 1, 2012, and other than the location of disclosures related to other comprehensive income, the adoption did not have a material effect on its consolidated financial statements. In February 2013, the FASB finalized the reporting requirements for reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income. When an amount reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income is required to be reported in net income in its entirety, the effect on income statement items must be disclosed. When an amount reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income is not required to be reported in net income in its entirety in the same period, cross references to other required disclosures providing information about the transaction are required. This amendment is effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2012. The Company does not expect the adoption of this amendment to have a material effect on its consolidated financial statements.

In December 2011, the FASB amended the Balance Sheet topic of the FASB ASC. The amendment requires disclosures about the gross and net information related to instruments and transactions eligible for offset in the statement of financial position. The disclosures are meant to assist users of financial statements to more easily compare information that is presented based on the differing offsetting requirements of U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and International Financial Reporting Standards. In January 2013, the FASB issued a clarification that stated the amendment applies only to certain derivatives, repurchase and reverse repurchase agreements, and securities borrowing and securities lending transactions that are either offset or subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement. The amendment is effective for interim and annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013. The Company does not expect the adoption of this amendment to have a material effect on its consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

(3) Cash and Cash Equivalents

The table below presents the balances of cash and cash equivalents:

| (Dollars in thousands) | December 31, | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 10,574 | \$ 8,692 |
| Interest-earning deposits in other banks | 172,244 | 123,245 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 182,818 | \$ 131,937 |

Interest-earning deposits in other banks consist primarily of deposits at the Federal Reserve Bank.

(4) Investment Securities

The carrying and fair values of investment securities are as follows:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Carrying value | Gross unrealized Gains | Losses | Estimated fair value |
|--|-------------------|------------------------|--------------|----------------------|
| December 31, 2012: | | | | |
| Held to maturity: | | | | |
| U.S. government-sponsored mortgage-backed securities | \$ 554,252 | 29,706 | (254) | \$ 583,704 |
| Trust preferred securities | 421 | 0 | 0 | 421 |
| Total | \$ 554,673 | 29,706 | (254) | \$ 584,125 |
| December 31, 2011: | | | | |
| Held to maturity: | | | | |
| U.S. government-sponsored mortgage-backed securities | \$ 653,839 | 33,490 | (269) | \$ 687,060 |
| Trust preferred securities | 32 | 227 | 0 | 259 |
| Total | \$ 653,871 | 33,717 | (269) | \$ 687,319 |

\$15.0 million of U.S. government-sponsored mortgage-backed securities were reclassified from available-for-sale to held-to-maturity during the three months ended June 30, 2011. Management considers the held-to-maturity classification of these securities to be appropriate as the Company has the positive intent and ability to hold these securities to maturity.

The carrying value and estimated fair value of investment securities at December 31, 2012 are shown below. Incorporated in the maturity schedule are mortgage-backed and trust preferred securities, which are allocated using the contractual maturity as a basis. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

Table of Contents

| (Dollars in thousands) | Carrying Value | Estimated fair value |
|------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|
| Held to maturity: | | |
| Due after 5 years through 10 years | \$ 3,298 | \$ 3,359 |
| Due after 10 years | 551,375 | 580,766 |
| Total | \$ 554,673 | \$ 584,125 |

Realized gains and losses and the proceeds from sales of securities available for sale, held to maturity and trading are shown in the table below. All sales of securities were U.S. government-sponsored mortgage-backed securities.

| (Dollars in thousands) | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Proceeds from sales | \$ 17,206 | \$ 45,149 | \$ 67,609 |
| Gross gains | 1,234 | 451 | 350 |
| Gross losses | 0 | 0 | 0 |

In 2012, the Company received proceeds of \$17.2 million from the sale of \$16.0 million of held-to-maturity debt securities, resulting in gross realized gains of \$1.2 million. In 2011, the Company received proceeds of \$3.8 million from the sale of \$3.5 million of held-to-maturity debt securities, resulting in gross realized gains of \$261,000. The sale of these securities, for which the Company had already collected a substantial portion of the outstanding principal (at least 85%), is in accordance with the Investment topic of the FASB ASC and will not affect the historical cost basis used to account for the remaining securities in the held-to-maturity portfolio. There were no sales of held-to-maturity securities during 2010.

Investment securities with carrying values of \$221.3 million and \$281.0 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, were pledged to secure public deposits, securities sold under agreements to repurchase and transaction clearing accounts.

Provided below is a summary of investment securities, which were in an unrealized loss position at December 31, 2012 and 2011. The Company does not intend to sell these securities until such time as the value recovers or the securities mature and it is not more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the securities prior to recovery of value or the securities mature.

Table of Contents

| Description of securities (Dollars in thousands) | Less than 12 months | | 12 months or longer | | Number of securities | Total | |
|---|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|----------------------|------------|-------------------|
| | Fair value | Unrealized losses | Fair value | Unrealized Losses | | Fair value | Unrealized Losses |
| December 31, 2012 | | | | | | | |
| Mortgage-backed securities | \$ 32,921 | \$ 253 | \$ 47 | \$ 1 | 21 | \$ 32,968 | \$ 254 |
| December 31, 2011 | | | | | | | |
| Mortgage-backed securities | \$ 17,697 | \$ 268 | \$ 122 | \$ 1 | 7 | \$ 17,819 | \$ 269 |

Mortgage-Backed Securities. The unrealized losses on the Company's investment in mortgage-backed securities were caused by increases in current market interest rates. All of the mortgage-backed securities are guaranteed by Freddie Mac, Fannie Mae, or Ginnie Mae, which are U.S. government-sponsored enterprises. Since the decline in market value is attributable to changes in interest rates and not credit quality, and the Company does not intend to sell these investments until maturity and it is not more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell such investments prior to recovery of its amortized cost basis, the Company does not consider these investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired as of December 31, 2012 and 2011.

Trust Preferred Securities. At December 31, 2012, the Company owns two trust preferred securities, PreTSL XXIII and XXIV. The trust preferred securities represent investments in a pool of debt obligations issued primarily by holding companies for Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation-insured financial institutions. Both of these securities are classified in the Bank's held-to-maturity investment portfolio.

The trust preferred securities market is considered to be inactive as only three transactions have occurred over the past 12 months in the same tranche of securities owned by the Company. The Company used a discounted cash flow model to determine whether these securities are other-than-temporarily impaired. The assumptions used in preparing the discounted cash flow model include the following: estimated discount rates, estimated deferral and default rates on collateral, and estimated cash flows.

Based on the Company's review, the Company's investment in trust preferred securities incurred a credit-related impairment loss of \$2.4 million during the year ending December 31, 2010.

PreTSL XXIV has a book value of \$0. PreTSL XXIII has a book value of \$421,000. The difference between the book value of \$421,000 and the remaining amortized cost basis of \$1.1 million is reported as other comprehensive loss and is related to noncredit factors such as the trust preferred securities market being inactive.

It is reasonably possible that the fair values of the trust preferred securities could decline in the near term if the overall economy and the financial condition of some of the issuers continue to deteriorate and the liquidity of these securities remains low. As a result, there is a risk that the Company's remaining amortized cost basis of \$1.1 million on its trust preferred securities could be credit-related other-than-temporarily impaired in the near term. The impairment could be material to the Company's consolidated statements of income.

Table of Contents

The table below provides a cumulative roll forward of credit losses recognized in earnings for debt securities held and not intended to be sold:

| (Dollars in thousands) | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|----------|----------|
| Balance at January 1 | \$ 5,885 | \$ 5,885 |
| Credit losses on debt securities for which other-than-temporary impairment was not previously recognized | 0 | 0 |
| Balance at December 31 | \$ 5,885 | \$ 5,885 |

The table below shows the components of comprehensive loss, net of taxes, resulting from other-than-temporarily impaired securities:

| (Dollars in thousands) | December 31, | |
|--|--------------|--------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Noncredit losses on other-than-temporarily impaired securities, net of taxes | \$ 445 | \$ 679 |

(5) Federal Home Loan Bank Stock

The Company evaluated its investment in FHLB stock for other-than-temporary impairment as of December 31, 2012, consistent with its accounting policies. Based on the Company's evaluation of the underlying investment, including the long-term nature of the investment, the liquidity position of the FHLB of Seattle, the actions being taken by the FHLB of Seattle to address its regulatory capital situation and the Company's intent not to sell the investment for a sufficient period of time to recover the par value, the Company did not consider its FHLB stock other-than-temporarily impaired. As of December 31, 2012, the FHLB of Seattle has met all of its regulatory capital requirements. Moody's Investor Service and Standard and Poor's Rating Services have given the FHLB of Seattle credit ratings of Aaa and AA, respectively. Even though the Company did not recognize an other-than-temporary impairment loss on its investment in FHLB stock in 2012, continued deterioration in the FHLB of Seattle's financial position may result in future impairment losses.

Table of Contents

(6) Loans Receivable and Allowance for Loan Losses

The components of loans receivable are as follows:

| (Dollars in thousands) | December 31, | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Real estate loans: | | |
| First mortgages: | | |
| One- to four-family residential | \$ 741,334 | \$ 654,412 |
| Multi-family residential | 6,888 | 6,956 |
| Construction, commercial, and other | 13,819 | 11,140 |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 15,202 | 17,253 |
| Total real estate loans | 777,243 | 689,761 |
| Other loans: | | |
| Loans on deposit accounts | 493 | 756 |
| Consumer and other loans | 3,988 | 4,732 |
| Total other loans | 4,481 | 5,488 |
| Less: | | |
| Net unearned fees and discounts | (5,176) | (5,613) |
| Allowance for loan losses | (1,672) | (1,541) |
| | (6,848) | (7,154) |
| Loans receivable, net | \$ 774,876 | \$ 688,095 |

The activity in the allowance for loan losses on loans receivable is as follows:

| (Dollars in thousands) | For the year ended December 31, | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Balance, beginning of year | \$ 1,541 | \$ 1,488 | \$ 1,681 |
| Provision for loan losses | 415 | 418 | 345 |
| | 1,956 | 1,906 | 2,026 |
| Charge-offs | (392) | (415) | (655) |
| Recoveries | 108 | 50 | 117 |
| Net charge-offs | (284) | (365) | (538) |
| Balance, end of year | \$ 1,672 | \$ 1,541 | \$ 1,488 |

Table of Contents

The table below presents the activity in the allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Residential Mortgage | Construction, Commercial and Other Mortgage Loans | Home Equity Loans and Lines of Credit | Consumer and Other | Unallocated | Totals |
|---|-------------------------|---|---|--------------------------|-------------|----------|
| 2012: | | | | | | |
| Balance, beginning of year | \$ 631 | \$ 285 | \$ 258 | \$ 291 | \$ 76 | \$ 1,541 |
| Provision (reversal of allowance) for loan losses | 213 | 533 | (225) | (152) | 46 | 415 |
| | 844 | 818 | 33 | 139 | 122 | 1,956 |
| Charge-offs | (333) | (8) | (3) | (48) | 0 | (392) |
| Recoveries | 79 | 8 | 5 | 16 | 0 | 108 |
| Net charge-offs | (254) | 0 | 2 | (32) | 0 | (284) |
| Balance, end of year | \$ 590 | \$ 818 | \$ 35 | \$ 107 | \$ 122 | \$ 1,672 |
| 2011: | | | | | | |
| Balance, beginning of year | \$ 583 | \$ 277 | \$ 305 | \$ 208 | \$ 115 | \$ 1,488 |
| Provision (reversal of allowance) for loan losses | 208 | 62 | (38) | 225 | (39) | 418 |
| | 791 | 339 | 267 | 433 | 76 | 1,906 |
| Charge-offs | (188) | (54) | (9) | (164) | 0 | (415) |
| Recoveries | 28 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 50 |
| Net charge-offs | (160) | (54) | (9) | (142) | 0 | (365) |
| Balance, end of year | \$ 631 | \$ 285 | \$ 258 | \$ 291 | \$ 76 | \$ 1,541 |

In 2012, the Company enhanced its methodology for reviewing its loan portfolio when calculating the general portion of the allowance for loan losses. The modification consisted of additional segmentation of the residential mortgage loan portfolio by items such as year of origination, loan-to-value ratios, owner or nonowner occupancy status and the purpose of the loan (purchase, cash-out refinance, no cash-out refinance or construction). As under our prior methodology, the allowance for loan loss for each segment of the loan portfolio is determined by calculating the historical loss of each segment for a two- to three-year look-back period and adding a qualitative adjustment for the following factors:

Changes in lending policies and procedures;

Changes in economic trends;

Changes in types of loans in the loan portfolio;

Edgar Filing: Territorial Bancorp Inc. - Form 10-K

Changes in experience and ability of personnel in the loan origination and loan servicing departments;

Changes in the number and amount of delinquent loans and classified assets;

Changes in our internal loan review system;

Changes in the value of underlying collateral for collateral dependent loans;

Changes in any concentrations of credit; and

External factors such as competition, legal and regulatory requirements on the level of estimated credit losses in the existing loan portfolio.

Table of Contents

The Company also revised the qualitative factors that were used to determine the allowance for loan losses on construction, commercial and other mortgage loans, home equity loans and lines of credit and consumer and other loans. As a result of these modifications, the Company increased the portion of the allowance for loan losses attributable to construction, commercial and other mortgage loans and decreased the portion of the allowance for loan losses attributable to residential mortgages, home equity loans and lines of credit and consumer and other loans. The allocation of a portion of the allowance to one category of loans does not preclude its availability to absorb losses in other categories. The unallocated allowance is established for probable losses that have been incurred as of the reporting date but are not reflected in the allocated allowance.

Management considers the allowance for loan losses at December 31, 2012 to be at an appropriate level to provide for probable losses that can be estimated based on general and specific conditions. While the Company uses the best information it has available to make evaluations, future adjustments to the allowance may be necessary if conditions differ substantially from the information used in making the evaluations. To the extent actual outcomes differ from the estimates, additional provisions for credit losses may be required that would reduce future earnings. In addition, as an integral part of their examination process, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency will periodically review the allowance for loan losses. The Office of the Comptroller of the Currency may require the Company to increase the allowance based on their analysis of information available at the time of their examination.

Table of Contents

The table below presents the balance in the allowance for loan losses and the recorded investment in loans by portfolio segment and based on impairment method:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Residential Mortgage | Construction Commercial and Other Mortgage Loans | Home Equity Loans and Lines of Credit | Consumer and Other | Unallocated | Totals |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|---|--------------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| December 31, 2012: | | | | | | |
| Allowance for loan losses: | | | | | | |
| Ending allowance balance: | | | | | | |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | 590 | 818 | 35 | 107 | 122 | 1,672 |
| Total ending allowance balance | \$ 590 | \$ 818 | \$ 35 | \$ 107 | \$ 122 | \$ 1,672 |
| Loans: | | | | | | |
| Ending loan balance: | | | | | | |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 6,775 | \$ 0 | \$ 160 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 6,935 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | 736,297 | 13,784 | 15,051 | 4,481 | 0 | 769,613 |
| Total ending loan balance | \$ 743,072 | \$ 13,784 | \$ 15,211 | \$ 4,481 | \$ 0 | \$ 776,548 |
| December 31, 2011: | | | | | | |
| Allowance for loan losses: | | | | | | |
| Ending allowance balance: | | | | | | |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | 631 | 285 | 258 | 291 | 76 | 1,541 |
| Total ending allowance balance | \$ 631 | \$ 285 | \$ 258 | \$ 291 | \$ 76 | \$ 1,541 |
| Loans: | | | | | | |
| Ending loan balance: | | | | | | |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 4,926 | \$ 184 | \$ 159 | \$ 3 | \$ 0 | \$ 5,272 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | 650,901 | 10,872 | 17,105 | 5,486 | 0 | 684,364 |
| Total ending loan balance | \$ 655,827 | \$ 11,056 | \$ 17,264 | \$ 5,489 | \$ 0 | \$ 689,636 |

The table below presents the balance of impaired loans and the related amount of allocated loan loss allowances:

| (Dollars in thousands) | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|
| Loans with no allocated allowance for loan losses | \$ 6,935 | \$ 5,272 |
| Loans with allocated allowance for loan losses | 0 | 0 |
| Total impaired loans | \$ 6,935 | \$ 5,272 |
| Amount of allocated loan loss allowance | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |

Table of Contents

The table below presents the balance of impaired loans individually evaluated for impairment by class of loans:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Recorded Investment | Unpaid Principal Balance |
|--|--------------------------------|---|
| December 31, 2012: | | |
| With no related allowance recorded: | | |
| One- to four-family residential mortgages | \$ 6,775 | \$ 7,175 |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 160 | 165 |
| Total | \$ 6,935 | \$ 7,340 |
| December 31, 2011: | | |
| With no related allowance recorded: | | |
| One- to four-family residential mortgages | \$ 4,926 | \$ 5,206 |
| Construction, commercial and other mortgages | 184 | 241 |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 159 | 165 |
| Consumer and other | 3 | 3 |
| Total | \$ 5,272 | \$ 5,615 |
| December 31, 2010: | | |
| With no related allowance recorded: | | |
| One- to four-family residential mortgages | \$ 3,401 | \$ 3,413 |
| Construction, commercial and other mortgages | 2 | 2 |
| Consumer and other | 5 | 5 |
| Total | \$ 3,408 | \$ 3,420 |

Table of Contents

The table below presents the average recorded investment and interest income recognized on impaired loans by class of loans:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Average Recorded Investment | Interest Income Recognized |
|--|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 2012: | | |
| With no related allowance recorded: | | |
| One- to four-family residential mortgages | \$ 6,924 | \$ 210 |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 159 | 6 |
| Total | \$ 7,083 | \$ 216 |
| 2011: | | |
| With no related allowance recorded: | | |
| One- to four-family residential mortgages | \$ 5,009 | \$ 150 |
| Construction, commercial and other mortgages | 211 | 0 |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 163 | 0 |
| Consumer and other | 4 | 1 |
| Total | \$ 5,387 | \$ 151 |
| 2010: | | |
| With no related allowance recorded: | | |
| One- to four-family residential mortgages | \$ 3,471 | \$ 131 |
| Construction, commercial and other mortgages | 22 | 0 |
| Consumer and other | 5 | 0 |
| Total | \$ 3,498 | \$ 131 |

There were no loans individually evaluated for impairment with a related allowance for loan loss as of December 31, 2012, 2011 or 2010. Loans individually evaluated for impairment do not have an allocated allowance for loan losses because they are written down to fair value.

Table of Contents

The table below presents the aging of loans and accrual status by class of loans:

| | 30 Days Past Due | 59 Days Past Due | 60 Days Past Due | 89 Days Past Due | 90 Days or Greater Past Due | Total Past Due | Loans Not Past Due | Total Loans | Nonaccrual Loans | Loans More Than 90 Days Past Due and Still Accruing |
|--|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|----------------|---------------------|--|
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | | | | | |
| December 31, 2012: | | | | | | | | | | |
| One- to four-family residential mortgages | \$ 2,298 | \$ 152 | \$ 2,044 | \$ 4,494 | \$ 731,730 | \$ 736,224 | \$ 4,246 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |
| Multi-family residential mortgages | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,848 | 6,848 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Construction, commercial and other mortgages | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13,784 | 13,784 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 44 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 15,167 | 15,211 | 160 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Loans on deposit accounts | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 493 | 493 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Consumer and other | 78 | 2 | 0 | 80 | 3,908 | 3,988 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | \$ 2,420 | \$ 154 | \$ 2,044 | \$ 4,618 | \$ 771,930 | \$ 776,548 | \$ 4,406 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |
| December 31, 2011: | | | | | | | | | | |
| One- to four-family residential mortgages | \$ 499 | \$ 0 | \$ 2,148 | \$ 2,647 | \$ 646,268 | \$ 648,915 | \$ 2,582 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |
| Multi-family residential mortgages | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,912 | 6,912 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Construction, commercial and other mortgages | 0 | 0 | 184 | 184 | 10,872 | 11,056 | 184 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 168 | 0 | 0 | 168 | 17,096 | 17,264 | 159 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Loans on deposit accounts | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 756 | 756 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Consumer and other | 11 | 2 | 3 | 16 | 4,717 | 4,733 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | \$ 678 | \$ 2 | \$ 2,335 | \$ 3,015 | \$ 686,621 | \$ 689,636 | \$ 2,928 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |

The Company primarily uses the aging of loans and accrual status to monitor the credit quality of its loan portfolio. When a mortgage loan becomes seriously delinquent (90 days or more contractually past due), it displays weaknesses that may result in a loss. As a loan becomes more delinquent, the likelihood of the borrower repaying the loan decreases and the loan becomes more collateral-dependent. A mortgage loan becomes collateral-dependent when the proceeds for repayment can be expected to come only from the sale or operation of the collateral and not from borrower repayments. Generally, appraisals are obtained after a loan becomes collateral-dependent or is five months delinquent. The carrying value of collateral-dependent loans is adjusted to the fair value of the collateral less selling costs. Any commercial real estate, commercial, construction or equity loans which have a loan balance in excess of a specified amount is also periodically reviewed to determine whether the loan exhibits any weaknesses and are performing in accordance with its contractual terms.

Table of Contents

The Company had 19 nonaccrual loans with a book value of \$4.4 million at December 31, 2012 and 12 nonaccrual loans with a book value of \$2.9 million as of December 31, 2011. The Company collected or recognized interest income on nonaccrual loans of \$105,000, \$32,000, and \$0 during 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The Company would have recognized additional interest income of \$115,000, \$95,000 and \$36,000 during 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively, had the loans been accruing interest. The Company did not have any loans more than 90 days past due and still accruing interest as of December 31, 2012 or 2011.

The table below presents information about the Company's new troubled debt restructurings by class of loans:

| | 2012 | | | 2011 | | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | Number of Loans | Pre-Modification Recorded Investment | Post-Modification Recorded Investment | Number of Loans | Pre-Modification Recorded Investment | Post-Modification Recorded Investment |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | |
| December 31: | | | | | | |
| One- to four-family residential | 12 | \$ 3,694 | \$ 3,694 | 2 | \$ 357 | \$ 337 |
| Total | 12 | \$ 3,694 | \$ 3,694 | 2 | \$ 357 | \$ 337 |

There were no new troubled debt restructurings within the past 12 months that subsequently defaulted.

The Company had 20 troubled debt restructurings totaling \$5.2 million as of December 31, 2012 that were considered to be impaired. This total included 19 one- to four-family residential mortgage loans totaling \$5.1 million and one home equity loan for \$160,000. Eight of the loans, totaling \$2.5 million, are performing in accordance with their restructured terms and accruing interest at December 31, 2012. 11 of the loans, totaling \$2.4 million, are performing in accordance with their restructured terms but not accruing interest at December 31, 2012. One of the loans, for \$329,000, is 150 days or more delinquent and not accruing interest as of December 31, 2012. There were 11 troubled debt restructured totaling \$2.9 million as of December 31, 2011 that were considered to be impaired. There were ten one- to four-family residential mortgage loans totaling \$2.8 million and one home equity loan for \$159,000. Eight of the loans, totaling \$2.3 million, were performing in accordance with their restructured terms and accruing interest at December 31, 2011. Two of the loans, totaling \$344,000, were performing in accordance with their restructured terms but not accruing interest at December 31, 2011. One of the loans, for \$248,000, was 59 days delinquent and not accruing interest at December 31, 2011. The increase in troubled debt restructurings primarily occurred when, in consultation with the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, Territorial Savings Bank's primary regulator, we classified 12 loans that had been modified to provide interest-only payments, totaling \$3.7 million, as troubled debt restructurings during the quarter ended September 30, 2012. The increase in troubled debt restructuring has not had an effect on the adequacy of the Bank's loan loss allowance. Management considers the allowance for loan losses at December 31, 2012 to be at an appropriate level to provide for probable losses that can be estimated. Restructurings include deferrals of interest and/or principal payments and temporary or permanent reductions in interest rates due to the financial difficulties of the borrowers. We have no commitments to lend any additional funds to these borrowers.

Nearly all of our real estate loans are collateralized by real estate located in the State of Hawaii. Loan-to-value ratios on these real estate loans generally do not exceed 80% at the time of origination.

Table of Contents

During the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company sold \$107.9 million and \$61.2 million, respectively, of mortgage loans held for sale and recognized gains of \$2.4 million and \$711,000, respectively. The Company had six loans held for sale totaling \$2.2 million at December 31, 2012 and 12 loans held for sale totaling \$3.2 million at December 31, 2011.

The Company serviced loans for others of \$84.8 million, \$115.3 million, and \$131.6 million at December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively. Of these amounts, \$5.1 million, \$6.2 million, and \$8.1 million relate to securitizations for which the Company continues to hold the related mortgage-backed securities at December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively. The amount of contractually specified servicing fees earned was \$275,000, \$348,000, and \$396,000 for 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively. The fees are reported in service fees on loan and deposit accounts in the consolidated statements of income.

In the normal course of business, the Company has made loans to certain directors and executive officers under terms which management believes are consistent with the Company's general lending policies. Loans to directors and executive officers amounted to \$1.7 million at December 31, 2012 and \$1.6 million at December 31, 2011.

(7) Accrued Interest Receivable

The components of accrued interest receivable are as follows:

| (Dollars in thousands) | December 31, | |
|---------------------------|--------------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Investment securities | \$ 1,822 | \$ 2,351 |
| Loans receivable | 2,524 | 2,416 |
| Interest-bearing deposits | 21 | 13 |
| Total | \$ 4,367 | \$ 4,780 |

(8) Mortgage Servicing Assets

Mortgage servicing assets are created when the Company sells mortgage loans and retains the rights to service the loans. Mortgage servicing assets are accounted for in accordance with the Transfers and Servicing topic of the FASB ASC and are initially valued at fair value and subsequently at the lower of cost or fair value. We amortize mortgage servicing assets in proportion to and over the period of estimated net servicing income. All servicing assets are grouped into categories based on the interest rate and original term of the loan sold. Mortgage servicing assets related to loan sales are recorded as a gain on sale of loans and totaled \$28,000 and \$9,000 for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Table of Contents

The table below presents the changes in our mortgage servicing assets for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011:

| (Dollars in thousands) | 2012 | 2011 |
|------------------------------|--------|----------|
| Balance at beginning of year | \$ 970 | \$ 1,137 |
| Additions | 28 | 9 |
| Impairments | (220) | (34) |
| Amortization | (132) | (142) |
| Balance at end of year | \$ 646 | \$ 970 |

The table below presents the gross carrying values, accumulated amortization, and net carrying values of our mortgage servicing assets at December 31, 2012 and 2011:

| (Dollars in thousands) | 2012 | 2011 |
|--------------------------|----------|----------|
| Gross carrying value | \$ 1,317 | \$ 1,510 |
| Accumulated amortization | (671) | (540) |
| Net carrying value | \$ 646 | \$ 970 |

The estimated amortization expense for our mortgage servicing assets for the next five years and all years thereafter are as follows:

| (Dollars in thousands) | |
|------------------------|--------|
| 2013 | \$ 131 |
| 2014 | 89 |
| 2015 | 75 |
| 2016 | 62 |
| 2017 | 52 |
| Thereafter | 237 |
| Total | \$ 646 |

The Company uses a discounted cash flow model to determine the fair value of retained mortgage servicing assets. The discounted cash flow model is also used to assess impairment of servicing assets. Impairments are recorded as adjustments to amortization expense and included in service fees on loan and deposit accounts in the statements of income. Critical assumptions used in the discounted cash flow model include mortgage prepayment speeds, discount rates, cost of servicing and ancillary income.

Prepayment speed may be affected by economic factors such as home price appreciation, market interest rates, the availability of other loan products to our borrowers and customer payment patterns. Prepayment speeds include the impact of all borrower prepayments, including full payoffs, additional principal payments and the impact of loans paid off due to foreclosure liquidations. As market interest rates decline, prepayment speeds will generally increase as customers refinance existing mortgage loans under more

Table of Contents

favorable interest rate terms and anticipated cash flows will generally decline resulting in a potential reduction, or impairment, to the fair value of the mortgage servicing assets. Alternatively, an increase in market interest rates may cause a decrease in prepayment speeds and therefore an increase in the fair value of mortgage servicing assets.

The table below presents the fair values and key assumptions used in determining the fair values of our mortgage servicing assets as of December 31, 2012 and 2011:

| (Dollars in thousands) | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|-----------|-----------|
| Fair value, beginning of year | \$ 977 | \$ 1,366 |
| Fair value, end of year | 651 | 977 |
| Weighted average discount rate | 10.00% | 10.00% |
| Weighted average prepayment speed assumption (PSA prepayment speed) | 207.4 PSA | 193.6 PSA |

The PSA prepayment model assumes increasing prepayment rates for the first 30 months of a loan's term and constant prepayment rates thereafter.

(9) Interest Rate Lock and Forward Loan Sale Commitments

The Company may enter into interest rate lock commitments with borrowers on loans intended to be sold. To manage interest rate risk on the lock commitments, the Company may also enter into forward loan sale commitments. The interest rate lock commitments and forward loan sale commitments are treated as derivatives and are recorded at their fair values in prepaid expenses and other assets or in accounts payable and accrued expenses. Changes in fair value are recorded in current earnings. At December 31, 2012, interest rate locks and forward loan sale commitments on loans held for sale amounted to \$11.6 million and \$13.8 million, respectively.

The table below presents the location of assets and liabilities related to derivatives:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Location on Balance Sheet | Asset Derivatives | | Liability Derivatives | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | Fair Value at December 31, 2012 | Fair Value at December 31, 2011 | Fair Value at December 31, 2012 | Fair Value at December 31, 2011 |
| Interest rate contracts | Prepaid expenses and other assets | \$ 124 | \$ 156 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |
| Interest rate contracts | Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 0 | 0 | 111 | 139 |
| Total derivatives | | \$ 124 | \$ 156 | \$ 111 | \$ 139 |

Table of Contents

The table below presents the location of gains and losses related to derivatives:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Location of | 2012 | 2011 |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|--------|----------|
| | Loss on | | |
| | Statement of Income | | |
| Interest rate contracts | Gain on sale of loans | \$ (5) | \$ (125) |

(10) Premises and Equipment

Premises and equipment are as follows:

| (Dollars in thousands) | December 31, | |
|--|--------------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Land | \$ 585 | \$ 585 |
| Buildings and improvements | 596 | 596 |
| Leasehold improvements | 10,892 | 10,728 |
| Furniture, fixtures and equipment | 4,784 | 5,079 |
| Automobiles | 113 | 113 |
| | 16,970 | 17,101 |
| Less accumulated depreciation and amortization | (12,183) | (11,867) |
| | 4,787 | 5,234 |
| Construction in progress | 269 | 216 |
| Total | \$ 5,056 | \$ 5,450 |

Depreciation expense was \$1.1 million, \$1.1 million and \$1.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Table of Contents**(11) Deposits**

Deposit accounts by type are summarized with their respective weighted-average interest rates as follows:

| (Dollars in thousands) | December 31, | | | |
|-------------------------|----------------|-------|----------------|-------|
| | 2012 Amount | Rate | 2011 Amount | Rate |
| Noninterest bearing | \$ 31,426 | 0.00% | \$ 28,281 | 0.00% |
| Savings accounts | 875,365 | 0.42 | 802,155 | 0.58 |
| Certificates of deposit | 205,381 | 0.66 | 223,751 | 0.84 |
| Money market | 663 | 0.25 | 434 | 0.25 |
| Checking and Super NOW | 125,012 | 0.03 | 111,495 | 0.03 |
| Total | \$ 1,237,847 | 0.41% | \$ 1,166,116 | 0.57% |

The maturity of certificate of deposit accounts at December 31, 2012 is as follows (dollars in thousands):

| | |
|--------------|------------|
| Maturing in: | |
| 2013 | \$ 146,674 |
| 2014 | 22,287 |
| 2015 | 26,987 |
| 2016 | 4,413 |
| 2017 | 5,020 |
| Total | \$ 205,381 |

Certificates of deposit with balances greater than or equal to \$100,000 totaled \$148.5 million and \$153.3 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Accounts in the Bank are insured by the FDIC, generally up to a maximum of \$250,000.

Interest expense by type of deposit is as follows:

| (Dollars in thousands) | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|--|----------|----------|-----------|
| Savings | \$ 4,294 | \$ 4,708 | \$ 7,722 |
| Certificates of deposit and money market | 1,606 | 2,014 | 2,626 |
| Checking and Super NOW | 36 | 48 | 47 |
| Total | \$ 5,936 | \$ 6,770 | \$ 10,395 |

At December 31, 2012 and 2011, overdrawn deposit accounts totaled \$93,000 and \$85,000, respectively, and have been reclassified as loans in the consolidated balance sheets.

Table of Contents

(12) Advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank

The FHLB advances are secured by a blanket pledge on the Bank's assets. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company had available additional unused FHLB advances of approximately \$370.6 million and \$361.0 million, respectively.

Advances outstanding consisted of the following:

| (Dollars in thousands) | 2012 | | December 31, 2011 | | 2010 | |
|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| | Amount | Weighted average rate | Amount | Weighted average rate | Amount | Weighted average rate |
| Due within one year | \$ 10,000 | 2.12% | \$ 0 | 0.00% | \$ 0 | 0.00% |
| Due over 1 year to 2 years | 0 | 0.00 | 10,000 | 2.12 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Due over 2 years to 3 years | 10,000 | 2.06 | 0 | 0.00 | 10,000 | 2.12 |
| Due over 3 years to 4 years | 0 | 0.00 | 10,000 | 2.06 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Total | \$ 20,000 | 2.09% | \$ 20,000 | 2.09% | \$ 10,000 | 2.12% |

The Company, as a member of the FHLB system, is required to obtain and hold shares of capital stock of the FHLB in an amount equal to the greater of 0.50% of mortgage loans and mortgage-backed securities or \$500. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company met such requirement. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company owned \$12.1 million and \$12.3 million, respectively, of capital stock of the FHLB.

(13) Securities Sold under Agreements to Repurchase

Securities sold under agreements to repurchase are treated as financings and the obligations to repurchase the identical securities sold are reflected as a liability with the dollar amount of securities underlying the agreements remaining in the asset accounts. Securities sold under agreements to repurchase are summarized as follows:

| (Dollars in thousands) | 2012 | | December 31, 2011 | |
|-------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| | Repurchase liability | Weighted average rate | Repurchase liability | Weighted average rate |
| Maturing: | | | | |
| 1 year or less | \$ 23,000 | 4.40% | \$ 28,300 | 4.75% |
| Over 1 year to 2 years | 0 | 0.00 | 33,000 | 3.91 |
| Over 2 years to 3 years | 47,000 | 2.11 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Over 3 years to 4 years | 0 | 0.00 | 47,000 | 2.11 |
| Total | \$ 70,000 | 2.86% | \$ 108,300 | 3.35% |

Table of Contents

During the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company prepaid \$25.0 million of securities sold under agreements to repurchase and incurred \$321,000 of prepayment penalties, which is reported as loss on extinguishment of debt in the consolidated statements of income.

Below is a summary comparing the carrying value and fair value of securities pledged to secure repurchase agreements, the repurchase liability, and the amount at risk at December 31, 2012. The amount at risk is the greater of the carrying value or fair value over the repurchase liability. All the agreements to repurchase are with JP Morgan Securities and the securities pledged are issued and guaranteed by U.S. government-sponsored enterprises.

| (Dollars in thousands) | Carrying value of securities | Fair value of securities | Repurchase liability | Amount at risk | Weighted average months to maturity |
|------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|--|
| Maturing: | | | | | |
| Over 90 days | \$ 76,120 | 80,683 | 70,000 | 10,683 | 20 |

(14) Income Taxes

Allocation of federal and state income taxes between current and deferred provisions is as follows:

| (Dollars in thousands) | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Current | | | |
| Federal | \$ 7,221 | \$ 8,530 | \$ 7,253 |
| State | 1,668 | 1,913 | 28 |
| | 8,889 | 10,443 | 7,281 |
| Deferred | | | |
| Federal | (500) | (1,239) | (1,391) |
| State | (92) | (506) | (378) |
| | (592) | (1,745) | (1,769) |
| Total | \$ 8,297 | \$ 8,698 | \$ 5,512 |

Table of Contents

The federal statutory corporate tax rate for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 was 35%. A reconciliation of the tax provision based on the statutory corporate rate on pretax income and the provision for taxes as shown in the accompanying consolidated statements of income is as follows:

| (Dollars in thousands) | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|--|----------|----------|----------|
| Income tax expense at statutory rate | \$ 8,097 | \$ 7,520 | \$ 5,624 |
| Income tax effect of: | | | |
| Other tax-exempt income | (330) | (339) | (399) |
| Share based compensation | (307) | (103) | 0 |
| State income taxes, net of federal income tax benefits | 1,024 | 915 | 864 |
| Refund of state franchise taxes (net of taxes) | 0 | 0 | (608) |
| Other | (187) | 705 | 31 |
| | | | |
| Total income tax expense | \$ 8,297 | \$ 8,698 | \$ 5,512 |
| | | | |
| Effective income tax rate | 35.86% | 40.48% | 33.33% |

The company recorded a \$1.0 million tax refund from the State of Hawaii in 2010 as settlement for litigation related to the State's denial of a dividend received deduction. After providing taxes on the refund, the Company recognized a net reduction in income tax expense of \$608,000.

The components of income taxes payable (receivable) are as follows:

| (Dollars in thousands) | December 31, | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Current taxes payable (receivable): | | |
| Federal | \$ (642) | \$ 1,235 |
| State | 1,794 | 1,879 |
| | 1,152 | 3,114 |
| | | |
| Deferred taxes payable (receivable) | | |
| Federal | (2,782) | (2,009) |
| State | (798) | (639) |
| | (3,580) | (2,648) |
| | | |
| Total | \$ (2,428) | \$ 466 |

Table of Contents

The tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to significant portions of the deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities at December 31, 2012 and 2011 are presented below:

| (Dollars in thousands) | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| Deferred tax assets: | | |
| Premises and equipment | \$ 1,214 | \$ 1,114 |
| Hawaii franchise tax | 714 | 761 |
| Unfunded pension liability | 1,676 | 2,618 |
| Allowance for loan losses | 665 | 613 |
| Impaired asset write-down | 2,626 | 2,780 |
| Employee benefit plans | 958 | 0 |
| Equity incentive plan | 1,004 | 717 |
| Unrealized loss on available-for-sale securities | 64 | 84 |
| | 8,921 | 8,687 |
| Deferred tax liabilities: | | |
| Net deferred loan fees | 2,339 | 2,637 |
| FHLB stock dividends | 2,566 | 2,639 |
| Prepaid expense | 129 | 110 |
| Premiums on loans sold | 257 | 386 |
| Deferred compensation | 50 | 267 |
| | 5,341 | 6,039 |
| Net deferred tax assets | \$ 3,580 | \$ 2,648 |

In assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. Management considers the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income, and tax planning strategies in making this assessment. Based upon the level of historical taxable income and projections for future taxable income over the periods in which the deferred tax assets are deductible, management believes it is more likely than not the Company will realize the benefits of these deductible differences. The amount of the deferred tax assets considered realizable, however, could be reduced in the near term if estimates of future taxable income are reduced. There was no valuation allowance for deferred tax assets as of December 31, 2012 and 2011.

(15) Employee Benefit Plans

The Company has a noncontributory defined benefit pension plan (Pension Plan) that covers substantially all employees with at least one year of service. The benefits are based on years of service and the employees' compensation during the service period. The Company's policy is to accrue the actuarially determined pension costs and to fund pension costs within regulatory guidelines. The Company reviews its assumptions on an annual basis and makes modifications to the assumptions based on current rates and

Table of Contents

trends when it is appropriate to do so. The effect of modifications to those assumptions is recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI) beginning in 2006 and amortized to net periodic benefit cost over future periods using the corridor method. The Company believes that the assumptions utilized in recording its obligations under the plan are reasonable based on its experience and market conditions.

On November 4, 2008, the Board of Directors approved changes to the Company's defined benefit pension plan. Effective December 31, 2008, there will be no further accrual of benefits for any participants, and benefits will not increase with any additional years of service. Employees already enrolled in the Pension Plan as of December 31, 2008 will be 100% vested if they have at least five years of service. For employees with less than five years of service, vesting would occur at the employee's five-year anniversary date.

In addition, the Company sponsors a Supplemental Employee Retirement Plan (SERP), a noncontributory supplemental retirement benefit plan, which covers certain current and former employees of the Company for amounts in addition to those provided under the Pension Plan.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the status of the Pension Plan and SERP at the dates indicated:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Pension Plan | | SERP | |
|---|--------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | December 31, 2012 | 2011 |
| Accumulated benefit obligation at end of year | \$ 14,644 | \$ 12,948 | \$ 8,408 | \$ 8,169 |
| Change in projected benefit obligation: | | | | |
| Benefit obligation at beginning of year | \$ 12,948 | \$ 11,111 | \$ 8,169 | \$ 7,573 |
| Service cost | 117 | 98 | 158 | 399 |
| Interest cost | 625 | 633 | 98 | 214 |
| Actuarial loss | 1,273 | 1,361 | 0 | 0 |
| Benefits paid | (319) | (255) | (17) | (17) |
| Curtailments | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Benefit obligation at end of year | 14,644 | 12,948 | 8,408 | 8,169 |
| Change in plan assets: | | | | |
| Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year | 11,318 | 10,346 | 0 | 0 |
| Actual return on plan assets | 660 | (373) | 0 | 0 |
| Employer contributions | 0 | 1,600 | 17 | 17 |
| Benefits paid | (319) | (255) | (17) | (17) |
| Fair value of plan assets at end of year | 11,659 | 11,318 | 0 | 0 |
| Funded status at end of year | \$ (2,985) | \$ (1,630) | \$ (8,408) | \$ (8,169) |
| Amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheets: | | | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses - liability | \$ (2,985) | \$ (1,630) | \$ (8,408) | \$ (8,169) |
| Amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive loss: | | | | |
| Net actuarial loss | \$ 6,295 | \$ 4,954 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |
| Prior service cost | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss, before tax | \$ 6,295 | \$ 4,954 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the changes recognized in accumulated other comprehensive loss for the years indicated:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Pension Plan Year ended December 31, | |
|---|---|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss at beginning of year, before tax | \$ 4,954 | \$ 2,488 |
| Actuarial net loss arising during the period | 1,458 | 2,509 |
| Amortizations (recognized in net periodic benefit cost): | | |
| Actuarial loss | (117) | (43) |
| Prior service cost | 0 | 0 |
| Total recognized in other comprehensive loss | 1,341 | 2,466 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss at end of year, before tax | \$ 6,295 | \$ 4,954 |

For the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, the following weighted average assumptions were used to determine benefit obligations at the end of the year:

| Assumptions used to determine the year-end benefit obligations: | Pension Plan Year ended December 31, | | SERP Year ended December 31, | |
|---|---|-------|---------------------------------|-------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Discount rate | 4.20% | 4.90% | 5.02% | 5.02% |
| Rate of compensation increase | N/A | N/A | 5.00% | 5.00% |

The Company does not expect any plan assets to be returned to the Company during calendar year 2013.

The dates used to determine retirement measurements for the Pension Plan were December 31, 2012 and 2011.

The Company's investment strategy for the defined benefit retirement plan is to maintain a consistent rate of return with primary emphasis on capital appreciation and secondary emphasis on income to enhance the purchasing power of the plan's assets over the long-term and to preserve capital. The investment policy establishes a target allocation for each asset class that is reviewed periodically and rebalanced when considered appropriate. Normal target allocations at December 31, 2012 were 50% domestic equity securities, 15% international equity securities and 35% bonds. Equity securities primarily include investment in exchange traded funds and large-cap, mid-cap and small-cap mutual funds. Bonds include

Table of Contents

U.S. Treasuries, mortgage-backed securities and corporate bonds of companies in diversified industries. Other types of investments include money market funds and savings accounts opened with the Company.

As of December 31, 2012, the Pension Plan's assets measured at fair value were classified as follows:

| | December 31, 2012 | Fair value of measurements at report date using: | | |
|--------------------|----------------------|---|--|--|
| | | Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1) | Significant other observable inputs (Level 2) | Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Cash | \$ 55 | \$ 55 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |
| Money market funds | 706 | 706 | 0 | 0 |
| Equities | 3,120 | 3,120 | 0 | 0 |
| Mutual funds (a) | 7,778 | 7,778 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | \$ 11,659 | \$ 11,659 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |

(a) This category includes mutual funds that invest in equities and bonds. The mutual fund managers have the ability to change the amounts invested in equities and bonds depending on their investment outlook.

Estimated future benefit payments reflecting expected future service at December 31, 2012 are as follows:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Pension Plan | SERP |
|------------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| 2013 | \$ 601 | \$ 17 |
| 2014 | 614 | 343 |
| 2015 | 639 | 6,366 |
| 2016 | 660 | 17 |
| 2017 | 661 | 17 |
| 2018 - 2022 | 4,170 | 3,489 |
| Total | \$ 7,345 | \$ 10,249 |

For the fiscal years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, the following weighted average assumptions were used to determine net periodic benefit cost for the fiscal years shown:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Pension Plan | | | SERP | | |
|---|-------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| | Year ended December 31, | | | | | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Assumptions used to determine the net periodic benefit cost: | | | | | | |
| Discount rate | 4.90% | 5.80% | 6.20% | 5.05% | 5.02% | 5.01% |
| Expected return on plan assets | 7.75 | 7.75 | 8.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rate of compensation increase | N/A | N/A | N/A | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |

Table of Contents

The components of net periodic benefit cost were as follows:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Pension Plan | | | SERP | | |
|---|-------------------------|--------|---------|--------|--------|--------|
| | Year ended December 31, | | | | | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Net periodic benefit cost for the year: | | | | | | |
| Service cost | \$ 117 | \$ 98 | \$ 101 | \$ 158 | \$ 399 | \$ 627 |
| Interest cost | 625 | 633 | 609 | 98 | 214 | 354 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (845) | (776) | (771) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Amortization of prior service cost | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recognized actuarial loss | 117 | 43 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recognized curtailment loss | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ 14 | \$ (2) | \$ (37) | \$ 256 | \$ 613 | \$ 981 |

The estimated prior service cost and net actuarial loss that will be amortized from AOCI into net periodic pension benefit cost in 2013 are \$0 and \$155,000, respectively.

The expected return on plan assets is based on the weighted-average long-term rates of return for the types of assets held in the plan. The expected return on plan assets is adjusted when there is a change in the expected long-term rate of return or in the composition of assets held in the plan. The discount rate is based on the return of high-quality fixed-income investments that can be used to fund the benefit payments under the Company's defined benefit plan.

Based on actuarial calculations, the Company is not expected to make a contribution to the defined benefit pension plan in 2013. The Company expects to make a \$17,000 contribution to the SERP in 2013 to cover actual benefit payments.

The Company also has a 401(k) defined contribution plan and profit sharing plan covering all employees after one year of service. The 401(k) plan provides for employer matching contributions, as determined by the Company, based on a percentage of employees' contributions subject to a maximum amount defined in the plan agreement. The Company's 401(k) matching contributions, based on 5% of employees' contributions for 2012, 5% for 2011, and 7% for 2010, amounted to \$50,000, \$49,000, and \$69,000, respectively. The Company contributes to the profit sharing plan an amount determined by the Board of Directors. No contributions were made to the profit sharing plan for years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010.

(16) Employee Stock Ownership Plan

Effective January 1, 2009, Territorial Savings Bank adopted an Employee Stock Ownership Plan (ESOP) for eligible employees. The ESOP borrowed \$9.8 million from the Company and used those funds to acquire 978,650 shares, or 8%, of the total number of shares issued by the Company in its initial public offering. The shares were acquired at a price of \$10.00 per share.

The loan is secured by the shares purchased with the loan proceeds and will be repaid by the ESOP over the 20-year term of the loan with funds from Territorial Savings Bank's contributions to the ESOP and

Table of Contents

dividends payable on the shares. The interest on the ESOP loan is an adjustable rate equal to the prime rate, as published in The Wall Street Journal. The interest rate adjusts annually and will be the prime rate on the first business day of the calendar year.

Shares purchased by the ESOP are held by a trustee in an unallocated suspense account, and shares are released annually from the suspense account on a pro-rata basis as principal and interest payments are made by the ESOP to the Company. The trustee allocates the shares released among participants on the basis of each participant's proportional share of compensation relative to all participants. As shares are committed to be released from the suspense account, Territorial Savings Bank reports compensation expense based on the average fair value of shares released with a corresponding credit to stockholders' equity. The shares committed to be released are considered outstanding for earnings per share computations. Compensation expense recognized for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 amounted to \$1.0 million and \$935,000, respectively.

Shares held by the ESOP trust were as follows:

| | December 31, | |
|---|----------------|----------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Allocated shares | 191,577 | 145,775 |
| Unearned shares | 782,921 | 831,853 |
| Total ESOP shares | 974,498 | 977,628 |
| | | |
| Fair value of unearned shares, in thousands | \$ 17,890 | \$ 16,429 |

The ESOP restoration plan is a non-qualified plan that provides supplemental benefits to certain executives who are prevented from receiving the full benefits contemplated by the employee stock ownership plan's benefit formula. The supplemental cash payments consist of payments representing shares that cannot be allocated to the participants under the ESOP due to IRS limitations imposed on tax-qualified plans. We accrue for these benefits over the period during which employees provide services to earn these benefits. For the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, we accrued \$278,000 and \$221,000, respectively, for the ESOP restoration plan.

(17) Share-Based Compensation

On August 19, 2010, Territorial Bancorp Inc. adopted the 2010 Equity Incentive Plan, which provides for awards of stock options and restricted stock to key officers and outside directors. In accordance with the Compensation - Stock Compensation topic of the FASB ASC, the cost of the 2010 Equity Incentive Plan is based on the fair value of the awards on the grant date. The fair value of restricted stock is based on the closing price of the Company's stock on the grant date. The fair value of stock options is estimated using a Black-Scholes option pricing model using assumptions for dividend yield, stock price volatility, risk-free interest rate and option term. These assumptions are based on our judgments regarding future events, are subjective in nature, and cannot be determined with precision. The cost of the awards will be recognized on a straight-line basis over the five- to six-year vesting period during which participants are required to provide services in exchange for the awards.

Table of Contents

The Company recognized compensation expense, measured as the fair value of the share-based award on the date of grant, on a straight-line basis over the vesting period. Share-based compensation is recorded in the statement of income as a component of salaries and employee benefits with a corresponding increase in shareholders' equity. For the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, compensation expense and the related tax benefit for all share-based awards were as follows:

| | | | |
|----------------------|----------|----------|--------|
| (In thousands) | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Compensation expense | \$ 2,690 | \$ 3,461 | \$ 989 |
| Income tax benefit | 1,432 | 1,507 | 397 |

Shares of our common stock issued under the Plan shall be authorized unissued shares. The maximum number of shares that will be awarded under the plan will be 1,712,637 shares.

Stock Options

The table below presents the stock option activity for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010:

| | Options | Weighted average exercise price | Remaining contractual life (years) | Aggregate intrinsic value (in thousands) |
|---|---------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Options outstanding at December 31, 2009 | 0 | \$ 0 | 0 | \$ 0 |
| Granted | 871,144 | 17.36 | 9.67 | 0 |
| Exercised | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Forfeited | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Expired | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Options outstanding at December 31, 2010 | 871,144 | \$ 17.36 | 9.67 | \$ 2,221 |
| Granted | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Exercised | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Forfeited | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Expired | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Options outstanding at December 31, 2011 | 871,144 | \$ 17.36 | 8.67 | \$ 2,082 |
| Granted | 3,085 | 23.62 | 9.67 | 0 |
| Exercised | 41,275 | 17.36 | 0 | 171 |
| Forfeited | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Expired | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Options outstanding at December 31, 2012 | 832,954 | \$ 17.38 | 7.67 | \$ 4,554 |
| Options vested and exercisable at December 31, 2012 | 277,240 | \$ 17.37 | 7.67 | \$ 1,518 |

Table of Contents

The following summarizes certain stock option activity of the Company for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010:

| (In thousands) | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|--|--------|-------|------|
| Intrinsic value of stock options exercised | \$ 171 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |
| Cash received from stock options exercised | 717 | 0 | 0 |
| Tax benefits realized from stock options exercised | 69 | 0 | 0 |
| Total fair value of stock options that vested | 3,175 | 3,547 | 0 |

As of December 31, 2012, the Company had \$2.6 million of unrecognized compensation costs related to the stock option plan. The cost of the stock option plan will be amortized over the five- to six-year vesting period. There were 138,929 shares vested in 2012.

The fair value of the Company's stock options was determined using the Black-Scholes option pricing formula. The following assumptions were used in the formula for 2012 and 2010:

| | 2012 | 2010 |
|-----------------------------------|----------|----------|
| Expected volatility | 35.82% | 31.98% |
| Risk-free interest rate | 1.27% | 2.58% |
| Expected dividends | 1.86% | 1.61% |
| Expected life (in years) | 6.50 | 6.75 |
| Grant price for the stock options | \$ 23.62 | \$ 17.36 |

Expected volatility Based on the historical volatility of the Company's stock and a peer group of comparable thrifts.

Risk-free interest rate Based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve and expected life of the options at the time of grant.

Expected dividends Based on the quarterly dividend and the price of the Company's stock at the time of grant.

Expected life Based on a weighted-average of the five- to six-year vesting period and the 10-year contractual term of the stock option plan.

Grant price for the stock options Based on the closing price of the Company's stock at the time of grant.

Restricted Stock Awards

Restricted stock awards are accounted for as fixed grants using the fair value of the Company's stock at the time of grant. Unvested restricted stock awards may not be disposed of or transferred during the vesting period. Restricted stock awards carry with them the right to receive dividends.

Table of Contents

The table below presents the restricted stock award activity for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011:

| | Restricted stock awards | Weighted average grant date fair value |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Non-vested at December 31, 2009 | 0 | \$ 0 |
| Granted | 713,600 | 17.36 |
| Vested | 0 | 0 |
| Forfeited | 0 | 0 |
| Non-vested at December 31, 2010 | 713,600 | \$ 17.36 |
| Granted | 0 | 0 |
| Vested | 149,606 | 17.36 |
| Forfeited | 0 | 0 |
| Non-vested at December 31, 2011 | 563,994 | \$ 17.36 |
| Granted | 2,735 | 23.62 |
| Vested | 113,332 | 17.39 |
| Forfeited | 0 | 0 |
| Non-vested at December 31, 2012 | 453,397 | \$ 17.39 |

As of December 31, 2012, the Company had \$7.2 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to restricted stock awards. The cost of the restricted stock awards will be amortized over the five- to six-year vesting period.

(18) Earnings Per Share

The table below presents the information used to compute basic and diluted earnings per share:

| (Dollars in thousands, except per share data) | For the year ended December 31, | | |
|---|---------------------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Net income | \$ 14,838 | \$ 12,789 | \$ 11,028 |
| Weighted-average number of shares used in: | | | |
| Basic earnings per share | 10,113,453 | 10,777,417 | 11,322,798 |
| Dilutive common stock equivalents: | | | |
| Stock options and restricted stock units | 99,737 | 159,215 | 46,363 |
| Diluted earnings per share | 10,213,190 | 10,936,632 | 11,369,161 |
| Net income per common share, basic | \$ 1.47 | \$ 1.19 | \$ 0.97 |
| Net income per common share, diluted | \$ 1.45 | \$ 1.17 | \$ 0.97 |

Table of Contents**(19) Other Comprehensive Loss**

The table below presents the changes in the components of other comprehensive loss:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Year Ended December 31, | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------|
| | 2012 | | | 2011 | | | 2010 | | |
| | Beginning Balance | Comprehensive Income | Ending Balance | Beginning Balance | Comprehensive Income | Ending Balance | Beginning Balance | Comprehensive Income | Ending Balance |
| Unfunded pension liability | \$ 2,966 | \$ 826 | \$ 3,792 | \$ 1,504 | \$ 1,462 | \$ 2,966 | \$ 1,059 | \$ 445 | \$ 1,504 |
| Noncredit related losses on securities not expected to be sold | 679 | (234) | 445 | 679 | 0 | 679 | 0 | 679 | 679 |
| Unrealized loss on securities | 125 | (29) | 96 | 322 | (197) | 125 | 0 | 322 | 322 |
| Total | \$ 3,770 | \$ 563 | \$ 4,333 | \$ 2,505 | \$ 1,265 | \$ 3,770 | \$ 1,059 | \$ 1,446 | \$ 2,505 |

The table below presents the tax effect on each component of other comprehensive loss:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Year Ended December 31, | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|------------|------------------|---------------|------------|------------------|---------------|------------|------------------|
| | 2012 | | | 2011 | | | 2010 | | |
| | Pretax Amount | 2012 Tax | After Tax Amount | Pretax Amount | 2011 Tax | After Tax Amount | Pretax Amount | 2010 Tax | After Tax Amount |
| Unfunded pension liability | \$ 6,295 | \$ (2,503) | \$ 3,792 | \$ 4,954 | \$ (1,988) | \$ 2,966 | \$ 2,488 | \$ (984) | \$ 1,504 |
| Noncredit related losses on securities not expected to be sold | 717 | (272) | 445 | 1,106 | (427) | 679 | 1,106 | (427) | 679 |
| Unrealized loss on securities | 161 | (65) | 96 | 209 | (84) | 125 | 530 | (208) | 322 |
| Total | \$ 7,173 | \$ (2,840) | \$ 4,333 | \$ 6,269 | \$ (2,499) | \$ 3,770 | \$ 4,124 | \$ (1,619) | \$ 2,505 |

Table of Contents**(20) Commitments****(a) Loan Commitments**

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any terms or conditions established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since commitments may expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. The Company evaluates each customer's creditworthiness on an individual basis. The Company's policy is to require suitable collateral, primarily real estate, to be provided by customers prior to disbursement of approved loans. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company had loan commitments aggregating \$39.3 million (interest rates from 2.625% to 5.00%) and \$28.2 million (interest rates from 3.00% to 5.125%), respectively, primarily consisting of fixed-rate residential first mortgage loans. In addition to commitments to originate loans, at December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company had \$22.0 million and \$18.9 million, respectively, in unused lines of credit to borrowers.

(b) Lease Commitments

The Company leases a majority of its premises under operating leases expiring on various dates through 2023. Total rental expense comprised minimum rentals of \$2.6 million, \$2.5 million, and \$2.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively.

At December 31, 2012, future minimum rental commitments under all noncancelable operating leases are as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)

| | |
|--------------|------------------|
| 2013 | \$ 2,354 |
| 2014 | 2,199 |
| 2015 | 1,868 |
| 2016 | 1,702 |
| 2017 | 1,463 |
| Thereafter | 2,729 |
| Total | \$ 12,315 |

Certain leases are renegotiable during the period of the lease or have renewal options at the expiration of the lease term. The majority of lease agreements relates to real estate and generally provides that the Company pay taxes, maintenance, insurance, and certain other operating expenses applicable to the leased premises.

Table of Contents

In addition, the Company leases to a tenant certain property that it owns. Future minimum rental income for this noncancelable lease is as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)

| | |
|--------------|---------------|
| 2013 | \$ 110 |
| 2014 | 110 |
| 2015 | 110 |
| 2016 | 110 |
| 2017 | 110 |
| Thereafter | 440 |
| Total | \$ 990 |

Rental income comprised of minimum rentals for 2012, 2011, and 2010 was approximately \$110,000, \$80,000 and \$80,000, respectively.

(c) Reserve Requirements

The Company is required by the Federal Reserve Bank to maintain reserves based on the amount of deposits held. The reserve requirement at December 31, 2012 and 2011 was \$12.0 million and \$10.1 million, respectively, and the Company met such requirements.

(21) Regulatory Capital and Supervision

The Bank is subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Bank's core, tangible, risk-based, and Tier 1 risk-based capital exceeded the minimum required regulatory capital ratios as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)

| | Required | | Actual | | Excess over requirement |
|---------------------------|-----------|------------|------------|------------|-------------------------|
| | Amount | Percentage | Amount | Percentage | |
| December 31, 2012: | | | | | |
| Core (Tier 1) capital | \$ 63,149 | 4.00% | \$ 207,295 | 13.13% | \$ 144,146 |
| Tangible capital | 23,681 | 1.50 | 207,295 | 13.13 | 183,614 |
| Risk-based capital | 45,343 | 8.00 | 208,991 | 36.87 | 163,648 |
| Tier 1 risk-based capital | 22,672 | 4.00 | 207,295 | 36.57 | 184,623 |
| December 31, 2011: | | | | | |
| Core (Tier 1) capital | \$ 61,525 | 4.00% | \$ 201,060 | 13.07% | \$ 139,535 |
| Tangible capital | 23,072 | 1.50 | 201,060 | 13.07 | 177,988 |
| Risk-based capital | 41,813 | 8.00 | 202,601 | 38.76 | 160,788 |
| Tier 1 risk-based capital | 20,906 | 4.00 | 201,060 | 38.47 | 180,154 |

Table of Contents

The following is a reconciliation of Bank equity to regulatory capital:

| | December 31, | |
|--|---------------------|-------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Bank equity | \$ 203,254 | \$ 197,606 |
| Intangible assets | (232) | (225) |
| Disallowed servicing assets | (60) | (91) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | 4,333 | 3,770 |
| | | |
| Core and tangible capital | 207,295 | 201,060 |
| Allowance for loan losses – general, including allowance for credit losses on off-balance sheet credit exposures | 1,696 | 1,541 |
| | | |
| Regulatory risk-based capital – computed | \$ 208,991 | \$ 202,601 |

(a) Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act (FDICIA)

FDICIA was signed into law and regulations implementing the Prompt Corrective Action provisions of FDICIA became effective on December 19, 1992. In addition to the Prompt Corrective Action requirements, FDICIA includes significant changes to the legal and regulatory environment for insured depository institutions, including reductions in insurance coverage for certain kinds of deposits; increased supervision by the federal regulatory agencies; increased reporting requirements for insured institutions; and new regulations concerning internal controls, accounting, and operations.

The Prompt Corrective Action provisions define specific capital categories based on an institution's capital ratios. However, the regulators may impose higher minimum capital standards on individual institutions or may downgrade an institution from one capital category to a lower category because of safety and soundness concerns. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possible additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

The Prompt Corrective Action provisions impose certain restrictions on institutions that are undercapitalized. The restrictions imposed become increasingly more severe as an institution's capital category declines from undercapitalized to critically undercapitalized.

At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Bank's core, tangible, Tier 1/risk-based, and total risk-based ratios exceeded the minimum capital thresholds for a well-capitalized institution. There are no conditions or events that have changed the institution's category under the capital guidelines.

The Bank is required to notify the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency when dividends are paid to the parent company.

Table of Contents

(22) Contingencies

The Company is involved in various claims and legal actions arising out of the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, the ultimate disposition of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated balance sheets.

(23) Fair Value of Financial Instruments

In accordance with the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures topic of the FASB ASC, the Company groups its financial assets and liabilities at fair value into three levels based on the markets in which the financial assets and liabilities are traded and the reliability of the assumptions used to determine fair value as follows:

Level 1 Valuation is based upon quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities traded in active markets. A quoted price in an active market provides the most reliable evidence of fair value and shall be used to measure fair value whenever available.

Level 2 Valuation is based upon quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active, and model-based valuation techniques for which all significant assumptions are observable in the market.

Level 3 Valuation is generated from model-based techniques that use significant assumptions not observable in the market. These unobservable assumptions reflect management's own estimates of assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. Valuation techniques include use of discounted cash flow models and similar techniques that require the use of significant judgment or estimation.

In accordance with the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures topic, the Company bases its fair values on the price that it would expect to receive if an asset were sold or the price that it would expect to pay to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Also as required, the Company maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs when developing fair value measurements.

The Company uses fair value measurements to determine fair value disclosures. Investment securities held for sale and derivatives are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. From time to time, the Company may be required to record other financial assets at fair value on a nonrecurring basis, such as loans held for sale, impaired loans and investments, and mortgage servicing assets. These nonrecurring fair value adjustments typically involve application of the lower of cost or fair value accounting or write-downs of individual assets.

Cash and Cash Equivalents, Accrued Interest Receivable, Accounts Payable and Accrued Expenses, Current Income Taxes Payable, and Advance Payments by Borrowers for Taxes and Insurance. The carrying amount approximates fair value because of the short maturity of these instruments.

Table of Contents

Investment Securities. The estimated fair values of U.S. government-sponsored mortgage-backed securities are considered Level 2 inputs because the valuation for investment securities utilized pricing models that varied based on asset class and included trade, bid and other observable market information.

The trust preferred securities represent investments in a pool of debt obligations issued primarily by holding companies for Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation-insured financial institutions. The trust preferred securities market is considered to be inactive since there have been only three transactions over the past 12 months involving securities in the same tranche owned by the Company and no new issues of pooled trust preferred securities have occurred since 2007. The fair value of our trust preferred securities was determined using a discounted cash flow model. Our model used a discount rate equal to three-month LIBOR plus 20.00% and provided a fair value estimate of \$11.90 per \$100 of par value for PreTSL XXIII.

The discounted cash flow analysis includes a review of all issuers within each collateral pool and deferral and default rate assumptions for each issuer. The fair value of the trust preferred securities are classified as Level 3 inputs because they are based on discounted cash flow models.

FHLB Stock. FHLB stock, which is redeemable for cash at par value, is reported at its par value.

Loans. The fair value of loans is estimated by discounting the future cash flows using the current rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the same remaining maturities. The fair value of loans is not based on the concept of exit price.

Loans Held for Sale. The fair value of loans held for sale is determined based on prices quoted in the secondary market for similar loans.

Deposits. The fair value of checking and Super NOW savings accounts, passbook accounts, and certain money market deposits is the amount payable on demand at the reporting date. The fair value of fixed-maturity certificates of deposit is estimated by discounting future cash flows using the rates currently offered for deposits with similar remaining maturities.

Advances From the FHLB and Securities Sold Under Agreements to Repurchase. Fair value is estimated by discounting future cash flows using the rates currently offered to the Company for debt with similar remaining maturities.

Interest Rate Contracts. The Company may enter into interest rate lock commitments with borrowers on loans intended to be sold. To manage interest rate risk on the lock commitments, the Company may also enter into forward loan sale commitments. The interest rate lock commitments and forward loan sale commitments are treated as derivatives and are recorded at their fair value determined by referring to prices quoted in the secondary market for similar contracts. Interest rate contracts that are classified as assets are included with prepaid expenses and other assets on the consolidated balance sheet while interest rate contracts that are classified as liabilities are included with accounts payable and accrued expenses.

Table of Contents

The estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments are as follows:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Carrying Amount | Fair Value | Fair Value Measurements Using | | |
|---|-----------------|------------|-------------------------------|---------|---------|
| | | | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| December 31, 2012 | | | | | |
| Assets | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 182,818 | \$ 182,818 | \$ 182,818 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |
| Investment securities held to maturity | 554,673 | 584,125 | 0 | 583,704 | 421 |
| FHLB stock | 12,128 | 12,128 | 12,128 | 0 | 0 |
| Loans held for sale | 2,220 | 2,335 | 0 | 2,335 | 0 |
| Loans receivable, net | 774,876 | 831,734 | 0 | 0 | 831,734 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 4,367 | 4,367 | 4,367 | 0 | 0 |
| Interest rate contracts | 124 | 124 | 0 | 124 | 0 |
| Liabilities | | | | | |
| Deposits | 1,237,847 | 1,239,385 | 1,032,467 | 0 | 206,918 |
| Advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank | 20,000 | 20,397 | 0 | 0 | 20,397 |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 70,000 | 72,340 | 0 | 0 | 72,340 |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 23,017 | 23,017 | 23,017 | 0 | 0 |
| Interest rate contracts | 111 | 111 | 0 | 111 | 0 |
| Current income taxes payable | 1,152 | 1,152 | 1,152 | 0 | 0 |
| Advance payments by borrowers for taxes and insurance | 3,639 | 3,639 | 3,639 | 0 | 0 |
| December 31, 2011 | | | | | |
| Assets | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 131,937 | \$ 131,937 | \$ 131,937 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |
| Investment securities held to maturity | 653,871 | 687,319 | 0 | 687,060 | 259 |
| FHLB stock | 12,348 | 12,348 | 12,348 | 0 | 0 |
| Loans held for sale | 3,231 | 3,352 | 0 | 3,352 | 0 |
| Loans receivable, net | 688,095 | 790,220 | 0 | 0 | 790,220 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 4,780 | 4,780 | 4,780 | 0 | 0 |
| Interest rate contracts | 156 | 156 | 0 | 156 | 0 |
| Liabilities | | | | | |
| Deposits | 1,166,116 | 1,167,855 | 942,365 | 0 | 225,490 |
| Advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank | 20,000 | 20,525 | 0 | 0 | 20,525 |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 108,300 | 112,306 | 0 | 0 | 112,306 |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 22,816 | 22,816 | 22,816 | 0 | 0 |
| Interest rate contracts | 139 | 139 | 0 | 139 | 0 |
| Current income taxes payable | 3,114 | 3,114 | 3,114 | 0 | 0 |
| Advance payments by borrowers for taxes and insurance | 3,264 | 3,264 | 3,264 | 0 | 0 |

At December 31, 2012 and 2011, neither the commitment fees received on commitments to extend credit nor the fair value thereof was material to the consolidated financial statements of the Company.

Table of Contents

The table below presents the balance of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2012 and 2011:

| (Dollars in thousands) | | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
|-------------------------|-------------|---------|---------|---------|--------|
| December 31, 2012 | | | | | |
| Interest rate contracts | assets | \$ 0 | \$ 124 | \$ 0 | \$ 124 |
| Interest rate contracts | liabilities | 0 | (111) | 0 | (111) |
| December 31, 2011 | | | | | |
| Interest rate contracts | assets | \$ 0 | \$ 156 | \$ 0 | \$ 156 |
| Interest rate contracts | liabilities | 0 | (139) | 0 | (139) |

The fair value of interest rate contracts was determined by referring to prices quoted in the secondary market for similar contracts. Gains and losses are included in gain on sale of loans in the consolidated statements of income.

The table below presents the balance of assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and the related gains and losses for the years then ended:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total | Total Gains (Losses) |
|----------------------------|---------|---------|----------|----------|----------------------------|
| December 31, 2012 | | | | | |
| Impaired loans | \$ 0 | \$ 468 | \$ 4,907 | \$ 5,375 | \$ (222) |
| Mortgage servicing assets | 0 | 0 | 651 | 651 | (220) |
| Trust preferred securities | 0 | 0 | 421 | 421 | 389 |
| December 31, 2011 | | | | | |
| Impaired loans | \$ 0 | \$ 885 | \$ 2,766 | \$ 3,651 | \$ (219) |
| Mortgage servicing assets | 0 | 0 | | | |