

Alliance HealthCare Services, Inc
Form 10-Q
August 07, 2012
[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For Quarter Ended: June 30, 2012

Commission File Number: 1-16609

ALLIANCE HEALTHCARE SERVICES, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE
(State or other jurisdiction of

33-0239910
(IRS Employer

Edgar Filing: Alliance HealthCare Services, Inc - Form 10-Q

incorporation or organization)

Identification Number)

100 Bayview Circle

Suite 400

Newport Beach, California 92660

(Address of principal executive office)

(949) 242-5300

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of August 2, 2012:

Common Stock, \$.01 par value, 53,039,223 shares

Table of Contents

ALLIANCE HEALTHCARE SERVICES, INC.

FORM 10-Q

June 30, 2012

Index

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| <u>PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u> | |
| <u>Item 1 Financial Statements:</u> | |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets December 31, 2011 and June 30, 2012 (Unaudited)</u> | 3 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income (Loss) Quarters and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2012 (Unaudited)</u> | 4 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2012 (Unaudited)</u> | 5 |
| <u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u> | 7 |
| <u>Item 2 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 31 |
| <u>Item 3 Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> | 43 |
| <u>Item 4 Controls and Procedures</u> | 44 |
| <u>PART II OTHER INFORMATION</u> | |
| <u>Item 1 Legal Proceedings</u> | 45 |
| <u>Item 1A Risk Factors</u> | 45 |
| <u>Item 2 Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 45 |
| <u>Item 3 Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> | 45 |
| <u>Item 4 (Removed and Reserved)</u> | 45 |
| <u>Item 5 Other Information</u> | 45 |
| <u>Item 6 Exhibits</u> | 46 |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | 49 |

Table of Contents**PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION****ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****ALLIANCE HEALTHCARE SERVICES, INC.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

(in thousands)

| | December 31, 2011 | June 30, 2012 (unaudited) |
|---|----------------------|---------------------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 44,190 | \$ 61,866 |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts | 70,701 | 67,877 |
| Deferred income taxes | 10,086 | 10,086 |
| Prepaid expenses | 6,462 | 5,522 |
| Other receivables | 4,301 | 5,097 |
| Total current assets | 135,740 | 150,448 |
| Equipment, at cost | 954,337 | 883,484 |
| Less accumulated depreciation | (663,038) | (631,209) |
| Equipment, net | 291,299 | 252,275 |
| Goodwill | 56,493 | 56,493 |
| Other intangible assets, net | 143,024 | 134,595 |
| Deferred financing costs, net | 17,268 | 15,534 |
| Other assets | 19,270 | 29,175 |
| Total assets | \$ 663,094 | \$ 638,520 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS DEFICIT | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 22,417 | \$ 15,961 |
| Accrued compensation and related expenses | 18,204 | 18,998 |
| Accrued interest payable | 6,582 | 6,261 |
| Other accrued liabilities | 33,438 | 31,053 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | 24,923 | 25,056 |
| Total current liabilities | 105,564 | 97,329 |
| Long-term debt, net of current portion | 430,451 | 423,535 |
| Senior notes | 188,109 | 188,268 |
| Other liabilities | 879 | 826 |
| Deferred income taxes | 43,002 | 37,690 |
| Total liabilities | 768,005 | 747,648 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 12) | | |

Edgar Filing: Alliance HealthCare Services, Inc - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|------------|------------|
| Stockholders' deficit: | | |
| Common stock | 527 | 527 |
| Treasury stock | (2,729) | (2,739) |
| Additional paid-in capital | 20,269 | 20,311 |
| Accumulated comprehensive loss | (950) | (858) |
| Accumulated deficit | (171,288) | (176,911) |
| Total stockholders' deficit attributable to Alliance HealthCare Services, Inc. | (154,171) | (159,670) |
| Noncontrolling interest | 49,260 | 50,542 |
| Total stockholders' deficit | (104,911) | (109,128) |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' deficit | \$ 663,094 | \$ 638,520 |

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents**ALLIANCE HEALTHCARE SERVICES, INC.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS****AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)****(Unaudited)****(in thousands, except per share amounts)**

| | Quarter Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|---------------------------|------------|------------------------------|------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 |
| Revenues | \$ 127,780 | \$ 120,664 | \$ 246,208 | \$ 241,417 |
| Costs and expenses: | | | | |
| Cost of revenues, excluding depreciation and amortization | 71,394 | 63,881 | 138,760 | 130,020 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 19,889 | 18,078 | 36,947 | 38,913 |
| Transaction costs | 1,810 | 20 | 2,182 | 263 |
| Severance and related costs | 266 | 772 | 730 | 1,301 |
| Depreciation expense | 23,197 | 20,693 | 45,249 | 42,138 |
| Amortization expense | 4,609 | 3,994 | 7,935 | 8,006 |
| Interest expense and other, net | 12,000 | 13,679 | 23,735 | 27,367 |
| Other (income) and expense, net | 193 | 1,208 | 130 | 1,362 |
| Total costs and expenses | 133,358 | 122,325 | 255,668 | 249,370 |
| Loss before income taxes, earnings from unconsolidated investees, and noncontrolling interest | (5,578) | (1,661) | (9,460) | (7,953) |
| Income tax benefit | (2,237) | (2,427) | (3,580) | (5,069) |
| Earnings from unconsolidated investees | (1,031) | (1,161) | (2,020) | (2,239) |
| Net (loss) income | (2,310) | 1,927 | (3,860) | (645) |
| Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest | (1,730) | (2,728) | (2,583) | (4,978) |
| Net loss attributable to Alliance HealthCare Services, Inc. | \$ (4,040) | \$ (801) | \$ (6,443) | \$ (5,623) |
| Comprehensive loss, net of taxes: | | | | |
| Net loss attributable to Alliance HealthCare Services, Inc. | \$ (4,040) | \$ (801) | \$ (6,443) | \$ (5,623) |
| Unrealized (loss) gain on hedging transactions, net of taxes | (248) | 37 | (201) | 92 |
| Comprehensive loss, net of taxes: | \$ (4,288) | \$ (764) | \$ (6,644) | \$ (5,531) |
| Loss per common share attributable to Alliance HealthCare Services, Inc.: | | | | |
| Basic | \$ (0.08) | \$ (0.02) | \$ (0.12) | \$ (0.11) |
| Diluted | \$ (0.08) | \$ (0.02) | \$ (0.12) | \$ (0.11) |
| Weighted-average number of shares of common stock and common stock equivalents: | | | | |
| Basic | 53,222 | 53,148 | 53,114 | 53,230 |
| Diluted | 53,222 | 53,148 | 53,114 | 53,230 |

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents**ALLIANCE HEALTHCARE SERVICES, INC.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****(Unaudited)****(in thousands)**

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--------------------------------------|----------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 |
| Operating activities: | | |
| Net loss | \$ (3,860) | \$ (645) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Provision for doubtful accounts | 1,658 | 1,338 |
| Share-based payment | 2,642 | 42 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 53,184 | 50,139 |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs | 1,426 | 1,934 |
| Accretion of discount on long-term debt | 792 | 842 |
| Adjustment of derivatives to fair value | (1) | (67) |
| Distributions less than undistributed earnings from investees | (625) | (149) |
| Deferred income taxes | (3,974) | (5,256) |
| (Loss) gain on sale of assets | (71) | 895 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of the effects of acquisitions: | | |
| Accounts receivable | (6,105) | 1,486 |
| Prepaid expenses | 3,435 | 939 |
| Other receivables | (1,906) | (796) |
| Other assets | (351) | (1,873) |
| Accounts payable | 5,442 | (4,672) |
| Accrued compensation and related expenses | (657) | 794 |
| Accrued interest payable | (548) | (321) |
| Income taxes payable | 61 | 23 |
| Other accrued liabilities | (3,861) | (1,887) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 46,681 | 42,766 |
| Investing activities: | | |
| Equipment purchases | (21,079) | (9,927) |
| Increase in deposits on equipment | (2,312) | (5,531) |
| Acquisitions, net of cash received | (46,650) | |
| Decrease in cash in escrow | 300 | 1,257 |
| Proceeds from sale of assets | 271 | 4,500 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (69,470) | (9,701) |
| Financing activities: | | |
| Principal payments on equipment debt | (4,574) | (6,337) |
| Proceeds from equipment debt | | 854 |
| Principal payments on term loan facility | (2,300) | (6,000) |
| Payments of debt issuance costs | (213) | (200) |
| Payments of contingent consideration | (1,626) | |
| Noncontrolling interest in subsidiaries | (1,543) | (3,696) |
| Proceeds from shared-based payment arrangements | 54 | |

Edgar Filing: Alliance HealthCare Services, Inc - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| Purchase of treasury stock | | (10) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (10,202) | (15,389) |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | (32,991) | 17,676 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 97,162 | 44,190 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$ 64,171 | \$ 61,866 |

Table of Contents**ALLIANCE HEALTHCARE SERVICES, INC.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (continued)****(Unaudited)****(in thousands)**

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--------------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 |
| Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information: | | |
| Interest paid | \$ 22,019 | \$ 25,012 |
| Income taxes (received) paid, net of refunds | (2,357) | 523 |
| Supplemental disclosure of non-cash investing and financing activities: | | |
| Net book value of assets exchanged | \$ 26 | \$ 4,467 |
| Comprehensive (loss) gain from hedging transactions, net of taxes | (201) | 92 |
| Capital lease obligation related to the purchase of equipment | | 4,017 |
| Equipment debt assumed in connection with acquisitions | 25,973 | |
| Equipment purchases in accounts payable | 1,616 | 1,784 |
| Noncontrolling interest assumed in connection with acquisitions (Note 2) | 42,360 | |

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents

ALLIANCE HEALTHCARE SERVICES, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

June 30, 2012

(Unaudited)

(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

1. Basis of Presentation, Principles of Consolidation, and Use of Estimates

Basis of Presentation The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared by Alliance HealthCare Services, Inc. (the Company) in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (including normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2012. The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes to the consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Principles of Consolidation The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of the Company include the assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses of all majority-owned subsidiaries over which the Company exercises control. Intercompany transactions have been eliminated. The Company records noncontrolling interest related to its consolidated subsidiaries which are not wholly owned. Investments in non-consolidated investees are accounted for under the equity method.

Use of Estimates The preparation of condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the condensed consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

2. Transactions

Acquisition of 24/7 Radiology

In April 2011, Radiology 24/7, LLC, one of the Company's subsidiaries, purchased some of the assets from 24/7 Radiology (24/7 RAD), a professional radiology services company that provides both preliminary and final professional radiology interpretation services for MRI, CT, ultrasound, X-Ray and other imaging modalities in 18 states. This acquisition expanded the Company's professional services business line, building on the Company's prior acquisition of Radiology 24/7 in 2010. The purchase price for 24/7 RAD consisted of \$5,500 in cash and \$1,109 in assumed liabilities. The Company financed this acquisition using internally generated funds. As a result of this acquisition, the Company recorded goodwill of \$2,229 and acquired intangible assets of \$2,500, of which \$1,400 was assigned to trademarks, which are being amortized over six years, \$950 was assigned to customer relationships, which are being amortized over seven years, and \$150 was assigned to the non-compete agreement, which is being amortized over three years. The Company recorded the intangible assets at fair value at the acquisition date. The Company has reported all of the goodwill from this acquisition in the Imaging segment. All recorded goodwill and intangible assets are deductible for tax purposes and are being amortized over 15 years. During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company increased goodwill by \$500 as a result of an increase in consideration paid. The year ended December 31, 2011 included nine months of operations from this acquisition.

The Company has not included pro forma information as this acquisition did not have a material impact on its consolidated financial position or results of operations.

Acquisition of US Radiosurgery, LLC

Also in April 2011, the Company purchased all of the outstanding membership interests of US Radiosurgery, LLC (USR), a stereotactic radiosurgery provider based in Nashville, Tennessee. At the time of this acquisition, USR operated eight stereotactic radiosurgery centers (including one stereotactic radiosurgery center in an unconsolidated joint venture) in partnership with local hospitals and radiation oncologists in

Edgar Filing: Alliance HealthCare Services, Inc - Form 10-Q

eight states: Colorado, Texas, Illinois, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Nevada and California. These eight stereotactic radiosurgery centers are structured through partnerships, and USR owns between 40% and 76% of the equity interests of the consolidated partnerships. This acquisition significantly expanded the Company's nationwide footprint and enabled the Company to provide advanced treatment and technology to cancer patients. Following the acquisition of USR, the Company believes it is the nation's leading provider of stereotactic radiosurgery services, with 16 dedicated centers at June 30, 2012. The purchase price consisted of \$52,399 in cash, exclusive of \$10,431 of cash acquired. The Company financed this acquisition using internally generated funds.

Table of Contents**ALLIANCE HEALTHCARE SERVICES, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****June 30, 2012****(Unaudited)****(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)**

The following table summarizes recognized amounts of identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the acquisition date:

| | |
|--------------------------------|-----------|
| Cash received | \$ 10,431 |
| Accounts receivable | 4,437 |
| Other current assets | 8,065 |
| Equipment | 26,379 |
| Goodwill | 14,311 |
| Identifiable intangible assets | 63,700 |
| Equipment debt | (25,973) |
| Other liabilities | (9,341) |
| Noncontrolling interest | (39,610) |
| | |
| Cash consideration paid | \$ 52,399 |

As a result of this acquisition, the Company recorded goodwill of \$14,311 and acquired intangible assets of \$63,700, of which \$56,300 was assigned to customer relationships, which are being amortized over 20 years, \$4,200 was assigned to the non-compete agreement, which is being amortized over two years, and \$3,200 was assigned to trademarks, which are being amortized over 20 years. The Company recorded the intangible assets at fair value at the acquisition date. The Company is reporting all of the goodwill from this acquisition in the Radiation Oncology segment. A portion of the recorded goodwill and intangible assets is being amortized over 15 years for tax purposes. The fair value of noncontrolling interest related to this transaction was \$39,610 as of the acquisition date. To estimate the fair value of noncontrolling interest, the Company used the Discounted Cash Flow method under the income approach and the Guideline Public Company method under the market approach. Included in the amounts above were the following adjustments made in the third quarter of 2011 by the Company as a result of changes in the provisional amounts included in the preliminary draft valuation of assets acquired and liabilities assumed: goodwill increased by \$6,888 as a result of decreases in identifiable intangible assets of \$10,550, noncontrolling interest of \$2,750, and other liabilities of \$842 and an increase in fixed assets of \$70. The year ended December 31, 2011 included nine months of operations from this acquisition, including \$24,587 of revenue and \$5,236 of net income.

Pro forma information represents revenue and results of operations of the combined entity as though the acquisition date had been as of the beginning of the respective quarterly reporting periods. There were no non-recurring adjustments made to the pro forma information below. The following table represents the Company's pro forma information including USR:

| | Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 |
|---|---|
| Revenue | \$ 252,655 |
| Net loss attributable to Alliance HealthCare Services, Inc. | \$ (6,459) |

Table of Contents

ALLIANCE HEALTHCARE SERVICES, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

June 30, 2012

(Unaudited)

(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

Restructuring Plan

On August 4, 2011, the Company's board of directors approved a restructuring plan that included a significant organizational restructure and a cost savings and efficiency initiative. The Company initiated this restructuring plan in the third quarter of 2011. During the six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company recorded \$2,996 related to restructuring charges, of which the Company recorded \$1,503 in Selling, general and administrative expenses; \$1,301 in Severance and related costs; and \$192 in Cost of revenues, excluding depreciation and amortization. As of June 30, 2012, substantially all restructuring reserves have been paid, with the exception of \$50 in Severance and related costs.

Amendment No. 1 to Credit Agreement

On September 27, 2011, the Company entered into Amendment No. 1 to its Credit Agreement dated December 1, 2009 with Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, as administrative agent and the other lenders party thereto, pursuant to which the Company modified its financial covenants to provide it with greater flexibility for the next two years. Under the amended Credit Agreement, the Company is required to maintain:

(a) a maximum ratio of consolidated total debt to Consolidated Adjusted Earnings Before Income Tax, Depreciation and Amortization (Consolidated Adjusted EBITDA), as defined in the Credit Agreement, of 5.25 to 1.00 through June 30, 2012, 5.00 to 1.00 from July 1, 2012 through June 30, 2013 and 4.00 to 1.00 thereafter, and

(b) a minimum ratio of Consolidated Adjusted EBITDA to consolidated interest expense of 2.25 to 1.00 through December 31, 2012, 2.50 to 1.00 from January 1, 2013 through December 31, 2014 and 2.75 to 1.00 thereafter.

As of June 30, 2012, the Company's ratio of consolidated total debt to Consolidated Adjusted EBITDA was 4.42 to 1.00 and its ratio of Consolidated Adjusted EBITDA to consolidated interest expense was 3.05 to 1.00.

In addition, the amendment to the Credit Agreement increased quarterly amortization payments on the term loan facility from \$1,150 to \$3,000 and the Company's annual excess cash flow sweep percentage was increased from 50% to 75%. The amended Credit Agreement also included revisions to the calculation of Consolidated Adjusted EBITDA and revisions to the covenants related to joint ventures, restricted payments and capital expenditures.

The maximum amount of availability under the Company's revolving credit facility decreased from \$120,000 to \$70,000, and margins on borrowings under the credit facility increased. The margins under the revolving loans, which are based on the ratio of consolidated total debt to Consolidated Adjusted EBITDA, increased from 3.75% to 4.25% on base rate loans and 4.75% to 5.25% on LIBOR loans. The margins under the term loans were increased to 4.25% on base rate loans and 5.25% on LIBOR loans. In addition, the amended Credit Agreement prohibits the Company from borrowing under the revolving credit facility unless it meets the required ratio of consolidated total debt to Consolidated Adjusted EBITDA on a pro forma basis after giving effect to the new borrowings. During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company wrote off \$739 of deferred financing costs related to the revolving credit facility, which was recorded in transaction costs.

In September 2011, in connection with the execution of the amendment, the Company paid \$25,000 to reduce its borrowings under the term loan facility and paid a fee to the consenting lenders of \$6,008. As of June 30, 2012, there was \$417,950 outstanding under the term loan facility and no borrowings under revolving credit facility.

3. Share-Based Payment

Edgar Filing: Alliance HealthCare Services, Inc - Form 10-Q

The Company adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 718, Compensation Stock Compensation, in the fiscal year beginning January 1, 2006, using the modified prospective application transition method. Under ASC 718, the Company records in its consolidated statements of operations (i) compensation cost for options granted, modified, repurchased or cancelled on or after January 1, 2006 under the provisions of ASC 718 and (ii) compensation cost for the unvested portion of options granted prior to January 1, 2006 over their remaining vesting periods using the amounts previously measured under ASC 718 for pro forma disclosure purposes.

The Company has elected to follow the alternative transition method as described in ASC 718 for computing its beginning additional paid-in capital pool. In addition, the Company treats the tax deductions from stock options as being realized when they reduce taxes payable in accordance with the principles and timing under the relevant tax law.

Table of Contents**ALLIANCE HEALTHCARE SERVICES, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****June 30, 2012****(Unaudited)****(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)*****Stock Option Plans and Awards***

In November 1999, the Company adopted an employee stock option plan (the 1999 Equity Plan) pursuant to which options and awards with respect to a total of 6,325,000 shares of the Company's common stock became available for grant. On May 30, 2007, the Company adopted an amendment to the 1999 Equity Plan which increased the number of shares available to be awarded to 8,025,000 shares. On May 27, 2009, the Company adopted an amendment to the 1999 Equity Plan which increased the number of shares available to be awarded to 11,025,000 shares. As of June 30, 2012, a total of 1,245,903 shares were available for grant under the 1999 Equity Plan. Options are granted with exercise prices equal to the fair value of the Company's common stock at the date of grant, except as noted below. All options have 10-year terms. Options granted after January 1, 2008 are time options, typically vesting 25% each year, over four years. For options granted prior to January 1, 2008, initial stock option grants were comprised 50% of time options and 50% of performance options. The time options have a five-year vesting schedule, vesting 20% per year. The performance options cliff vest after eight years; however, in the event certain operating performance targets are met, up to 20% of the performance options may vest each year, accelerating the vesting period up to five years. During the year ended December 31, 2011, there were 149,000 options in which vesting was accelerated due to employment agreements. During the six months ended June 30, 2012, there were no options in which vesting was accelerated. Prior to January 1, 2008, subsequent stock options granted under the 1999 Equity Plan to employees were always time options which vest 5% in the first year, 20% in the second year and 25% in years three through five.

The Company uses the Black-Scholes option pricing model to value the compensation expense associated with share-based payment awards. The fair value of each option award is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model using the assumptions noted in the table below. In addition, forfeitures are estimated when recognizing compensation expense and the estimate of forfeitures will be adjusted over the requisite service period to the extent that actual forfeitures differ, or are expected to differ, from such estimates. Changes in estimated forfeitures will be recognized through a cumulative catch-up adjustment in the period of change and will also impact the amount of compensation expense to be recognized in future periods. The Company records share-based payments for stock options granted with exercise prices below the fair value of the Company's common stock at the date of grant and for certain stock options subject to amended performance targets under the 1999 Equity Plan, as discussed below.

The following weighted average assumptions were used in the estimated grant date fair value calculations for stock option awards:

| | Six Months Ended | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| | June 30, | |
| | 2011 | 2012 |
| Risk free interest rate | 2.19% | 0.97% |
| Expected dividend yield | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| Expected stock price volatility | 49.8% | 62.3% |
| Average expected life (in years) | 5.50 | 6.02 |

Through March 31, 2012, the expected stock price volatility rates are based on the historical volatility of the Company's common stock and peer implied volatility; and the average expected life, representing the weighted-average period of time that options or awards granted are expected to be outstanding, is calculated using the simplified method described in ASC 718, as the Company did not have sufficient historical exercise data to provide a reasonable basis upon which to estimate the expected terms and experienced a change in the types of employees that receive share grants. Beginning with the second quarter of 2012, the Company changed its calculation methodology for its stock price volatility and average expected life, which are now based on its own historical data. The risk free interest rates have been, and continue to be, based on the United States Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant for periods corresponding with the expected life of the option or award.

Table of Contents**ALLIANCE HEALTHCARE SERVICES, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****June 30, 2012****(Unaudited)****(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)**

The following table summarizes the Company's stock option activity:

| | Number of Shares | Weighted- Average Exercise Price | Weighted- Average Remaining Contractual Term | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
|--|---------------------|--|--|---------------------------------|
| Outstanding at December 31, 2011 | 3,652,025 | \$ 6.43 | | |
| Granted | 2,362,500 | 1.10 | | |
| Exercised | 0 | | | |
| Canceled | (509,500) | 2.97 | | |
| Outstanding at June 30, 2012 | 5,505,025 | \$ 4.47 | 5.82 | \$ 14 |
| Vested and expected to vest in the future at June 30, 2012 | 4,802,319 | \$ 4.90 | 5.28 | \$ 6 |
| Exercisable at June 30, 2012 | 3,134,059 | \$ 6.49 | 3.13 | \$ |

The weighted average grant date fair value of options granted during the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2012 was \$2.01 per share and \$0.63 per share, respectively. There were no options exercised during the six months ended June 30, 2012. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the three and six month periods ending June 30, 2011 was less than \$1. The total cash received from employees as a result of stock option exercises was \$15 for the quarter ended June 30, 2011.

The following table summarizes the Company's unvested stock option activity:

| | Shares | Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value |
|-------------------------------|-----------|--|
| Unvested at December 31, 2011 | 805,391 | \$ 3.66 |
| Granted | 2,362,500 | 0.63 |
| Vested | (331,425) | 4.15 |
| Canceled | (465,500) | 1.44 |
| Unvested at June 30, 2012 | 2,370,966 | \$ 1.00 |

At June 30, 2012, the total unrecognized fair value share-based payment related to unvested stock options granted to both employees and non-employees was \$1,365, which is expected to be recognized over a remaining weighted-average period of 2.13 years. The valuation model applied in this calculation utilizes highly subjective assumptions that could potentially change over time, including the expected forfeiture rate and performance targets. Therefore, the amount of unrecognized share-based payment noted above does not necessarily represent the value that will ultimately be realized by the Company in the statements of operations. The total fair value of shares vested during the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2012 was \$2,221, and \$1,376, respectively.

Restricted Stock Awards

The 1999 Equity Plan, as amended and restated, permits the award of restricted stock, restricted stock units, stock bonus awards and performance-based awards. During 2009, the Company granted 315,000 restricted stock awards (awards) to certain employees and 25,000 awards to non-employees of the Company. Of the awards granted in 2009, 240,000 cliff vest after three years provided that the employee or non-employee remains continuously employed through the issuance date and 75,000 cliff vest after five years provided that the employee remains continuously employed through the issuance date. During 2010, the Company granted 913,000 awards to certain employees of the Company. These awards cliff vest after three years provided that the employee remains continuously employed and the non-employee continues service through the issuance date. During 2011, the Company granted 289,432 awards to certain employees of the Company. Of the awards granted in 2011, 24,432 cliff vest after one year provided that the employee remains continuously employed through the issuance date, 260,000 cliff vest after three years provided that the employee remains continuously employed through the issuance date and 5,000 cliff vest after one year provided that the employee meets certain performance criteria and remains continuously employed through the issuance date. Restricted stock granted during the six months ended June 30, 2012 included 141,600 shares, vesting annually in 33.3% increments over three years. The Company grants restricted stock awards to non-employee directors of the Company who are unaffiliated with Oaktree Capital Management, LLC (Oaktree) and MTS Health Investors, LLC (MTS) (unaffiliated directors). These awards to unaffiliated directors cliff vest after one year

Table of Contents**ALLIANCE HEALTHCARE SERVICES, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****June 30, 2012****(Unaudited)****(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)**

based on the unaffiliated directors' continued service with the Company through that date. During the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2011, the Company granted restricted stock awards of 60,789 and 221,538, respectively, to unaffiliated directors. There have been no grants of restricted stock awards made to independent directors in 2012. For the quarters ended June 30, 2011 and 2012, the Company recorded share-based payment related to restricted stock awards of \$768 and \$(1,115), respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2012, the Company recorded share-based payment related to restricted stock awards of \$1,433 and \$(724), respectively. The expense reversal in 2012 was due to the forfeiture of 325,000 unvested shares granted in 2010 to the Company's now-former Chief Executive Officer. Total historical expense recorded through March 31, 2012 in connection with this grant was \$1,376, which all reversed during the quarter ended June 30, 2012. The weighted-average grant-date fair value of restricted stock awards granted during the six months ended June 30, 2012 was \$1.00 per share.

The following table summarizes the Company's unvested restricted stock activity:

| | Shares | Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value |
|-------------------------------|-----------|--|
| Unvested at December 31, 2011 | 1,366,538 | \$ 4.66 |
| Granted | 141,600 | 1.00 |
| Vested | (53,300) | 7.73 |
| Canceled | (421,700) | 5.40 |
| Unvested at June 30, 2012 | 1,033,138 | \$ 3.70 |

At June 30, 2012, the total unrecognized fair value share-based payment related to restricted stock awards granted to employees was \$1,045, which is expected to be recognized over a remaining weighted-average period of 1.31 years. At June 30, 2012, the total unrecognized fair value share-based payment related to the restricted stock awards granted to unaffiliated directors was \$60, which is expected to be recognized over a remaining weighted-average period of 0.5 years. The unaffiliated directors will each receive a restricted stock award on December 31, 2012 and each December 31 thereafter (the Grant Date) of the number of shares of common stock having a value equal to \$40, rounded down to the nearest whole share, and calculated using the average share price of the Company's stock over the 15-day period preceding the Grant Date. Such restricted stock awards will fully vest one year after the Grant Date based on the continued service of the non-employee director through the vesting date. The valuation model applied in this calculation utilizes highly subjective assumptions that could potentially change over time, including the expected forfeiture rate. Therefore, the amount of unrecognized share-based payment noted above does not necessarily represent the amount that will ultimately be realized by the Company in the statements of operations.

Table of Contents**ALLIANCE HEALTHCARE SERVICES, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****June 30, 2012****(Unaudited)****(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)****4. Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

Comprehensive Income Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2011-05, Presentation of Comprehensive Income (ASU 2011-05), improves the comparability, consistency, and transparency of financial reporting and increases the prominence of items reported in other comprehensive income by eliminating the option to present components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders equity. The amendments in this standard require that all nonowner changes in stockholders equity be presented either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. Under either method, adjustments must be displayed for items that are reclassified from other comprehensive income (OCI) to net income, in both net income and OCI. The standard does not change the current option for presenting components of OCI gross or net of the effect of income taxes, provided that such tax effects are presented in the statement in which OCI is presented or disclosed in the notes to the financial statements. Additionally, the standard does not affect the calculation or reporting of earnings per share. The amendments in ASU 2011-05 are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011 and are to be applied retrospectively, with early adoption permitted. The Company adopted the provisions of ASU 2011-05 on June 30, 2011. The adoption of ASU 2011-05 did not have a material impact on the Company s results of operations, cash flows, or financial position.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments ASU No. 2011-04, Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in United States GAAP and IFRSs (ASU 2011-04), amends the wording used to describe many of the requirements in United States GAAP for measuring fair value and disclosing information about fair value measurements. The amendments in ASU 2011-04 develop common fair value measurement and disclosure requirements in United States GAAP and IFRSs and improve their understandability. Some of the requirements clarify the FASB s intent about the application of existing fair value measurement requirements while other amendments change a particular principle or requirement for measuring fair value or for disclosing information about fair value measurements. The amendments in ASU 2011-04 are effective prospectively for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011, with no early adoption permitted. The Company adopted the provisions of ASU 2011-04 on January 1, 2012. The adoption of ASU 2011-04 did not have a material impact on the Company s results of operations, cash flows, or financial position.

Patient Service Revenue ASU No. 2011-07, Presentation and Disclosure of Patient Service Revenue, Provision for Bad Debts, and the Allowance for Doubtful Accounts for Certain Health Care Entities (ASU 2011-07), requires certain health care entities to change the presentation of their statement of operations by reclassifying the provision for bad debts associated with patient service revenue from an operating expense to a deduction from patient service revenue (net of contractual allowances and discounts). Additionally, those health care entities are required to provide enhanced disclosure about their policies for recognizing revenue and assessing bad debts. The amendments also require disclosures of patient service revenue (net of contractual allowances and discounts) as well as qualitative and quantitative information about changes in the allowance for doubtful accounts. The amendments in ASU 2011-07 are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2011. Early adoption is permitted. The Company adopted the provisions of ASU 2011-07 on January 1, 2012. The Company determined that the presentation and disclosure provisions of this update are not applicable, as the Company assesses each patient s ability to pay prior to rendering services and, as a result, the adoption of ASU 2011-07 did not have a material impact on the Company s results of operations, cash flows, or financial position.

Goodwill Impairment ASU No. 2011-08, Intangibles Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Goodwill for Impairment (ASU 2011-08), is intended to simplify how entities, both public and nonpublic, test goodwill for impairment. ASU 2011-08 permits an entity to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount as a basis for determining whether it is necessary to perform the two-step goodwill impairment test described in Topic 350. The more-likely-than-not threshold is defined as having a likelihood of more than 50%. ASU 2011-08 is effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. Early adoption is permitted. The Company adopted the provisions of ASU 2011-08 on January 1, 2012. The adoption of ASU 2011-08 did not have a material impact on the Company s results of operations, cash flows, or

financial position.

Similarly, on July 27, 2012, the FASB issued ASU 2012-02, which supplements Topic 350 by providing guidance for testing indefinite-lived intangible assets, other than goodwill, for impairment. Under ASU 2012-02, testing an indefinite-lived intangible asset for impairment allows the option of performing a qualitative assessment before calculating the fair value of

Table of Contents**ALLIANCE HEALTHCARE SERVICES, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****June 30, 2012****(Unaudited)****(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)**

the asset. If it is determined, on the basis of qualitative factors, that the fair value of the indefinite-lived intangible asset is not more likely than not (i.e., a likelihood of more than 50%) impaired, fair value of the asset is not required. In addition, ASU 2012-02 does not revise the requirement to test indefinite-lived intangible assets annually for impairment, and does not amend the requirement to test indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment between annual tests if there is a change in events or circumstances. However, it does revise the examples of events and circumstances to be considered in interim periods. ASU 2012-02 is effective for annual and interim impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2012. The Company is assessing the impact that the adoption of ASU 2012-12 may have on its financial reporting for future periods.

5. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company used the following methods and assumptions in estimating fair value disclosure for financial instruments:

Cash and cash equivalents The carrying amounts reported in the balance sheet approximate fair value due to the short-term maturity or variable rates of these instruments.

Debt The fair value of the Company's fixed-rate debt was based on open bid/ask quotations of those notes at December 31, 2011 and June 30, 2012. The carrying amount of variable-rate borrowings at June 30, 2012 approximates fair value estimated based on current market rates and credit spreads for similar debt instruments.

Derivative instruments Fair value was determined based on the income approach and standard valuation techniques to convert future amounts to a single present amount and approximates the net gains and losses that would have been realized if the contracts had been settled at each period-end.

The estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments are as follows:

| | December 31, 2011 | | June 30, 2012 | |
|---|-------------------|------------|----------------|------------|
| | Carrying Value | Fair Value | Carrying Value | Fair Value |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 44,190 | \$ 44,190 | \$ 61,866 | \$ 61,866 |
| Fixed-rate debt | 188,109 | 131,814 | 188,268 | 152,238 |
| Variable-rate debt | 417,411 | 417,411 | 412,094 | 412,094 |
| Derivative instruments - asset position | 31 | 31 | 1 | 1 |
| Derivative instruments - liability position | 272 | 272 | 155 | 155 |

ASC 825, Financial Instruments, applies to all assets and liabilities that are being measured and reported at fair value on a recurring basis. ASC 825 requires disclosure that establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles by establishing a hierarchy for ranking the quality and reliability of the information used to determine fair values. The statement requires that assets and liabilities carried at fair value will be classified and disclosed in one of the following three categories:

Edgar Filing: Alliance HealthCare Services, Inc - Form 10-Q

Level 1 Quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 Observable market based inputs or unobservable inputs, including identical securities in inactive markets or similar securities in active markets, that are corroborated by market data.

Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are not corroborated by market data.

None of the Company's instruments has transferred from one level to another.

Table of Contents**ALLIANCE HEALTHCARE SERVICES, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****June 30, 2012****(Unaudited)****(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)**

The following table summarizes the valuation of the Company's financial instruments that are reported at fair value on a recurring basis by the above ASC 825 pricing levels as of December 31, 2011:

| | Total | Quoted market prices in active markets (Level 1) | Significant other observable inputs (Level 2) | Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) |
|--|--------------|---|--|--|
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 44,190 | \$ 44,190 | \$ | \$ |
| Interest rate contracts - asset position | 31 | | 31 | |
| Interest rate contracts - liability position | 194 | | 194 | |
| Fuel swap - liability position | 78 | | | 78 |

Table of Contents**ALLIANCE HEALTHCARE SERVICES, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****June 30, 2012****(Unaudited)****(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)**

The following table summarizes the valuation of the Company's financial instruments that are reported at fair value on a recurring basis by the above ASC 825 pricing levels as of June 30, 2012:

| | Total | Quoted market prices in active markets (Level 1) | Significant other observable inputs (Level 2) | Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) |
|--|--------------|---|--|--|
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 61,866 | \$ 61,866 | \$ | \$ |
| Interest rate contracts - asset position | 1 | | 1 | |
| Interest rate contracts - liability position | 155 | | 155 | |

The following table summarizes the Company's fair value measurements of derivative instruments using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3):

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Balance as of December 31, 2011 | \$ (78) |
| Total gains (losses) (realized/unrealized) | |
| Included in earnings | (45) |
| Included in other comprehensive income | 123 |
| Balance as of June 30, 2012 | \$ |

The amount of total gains or losses for the period included in earnings attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses relating to assets still held at the reporting date

\$

The Company's derivative instruments are primarily pay-fixed, receive-variable interest rate swaps and caps based on LIBOR swap rate. The Company has elected to use the income approach to value these derivatives, using observable Level 2 market expectations at measurement date and standard valuation techniques to convert future amounts to a single present amount assuming that participants are motivated, but not compelled to transact. Level 2 inputs for interest rate swap and cap valuations are limited to quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets (specifically futures contracts on LIBOR for the first two years) and inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability (specifically LIBOR cash and swap rates at commonly quoted intervals and implied volatilities for options). ASC 820, "Fair Value Measurement," states that the fair value measurement of an asset or liability must reflect the nonperformance risk of the entity and the counterparty. Therefore, the impact of the counterparty's creditworthiness and the Company's creditworthiness has also been factored into the fair value measurement of the derivative instruments. For additional information please see Note 9 of the Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

6. Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Changes in the carrying amount of goodwill are as follows:

Edgar Filing: Alliance HealthCare Services, Inc - Form 10-Q

| | |
|---|------------|
| Balance at January 1, 2011 | \$ 193,126 |
| Goodwill acquired during the period | 16,540 |
| Impairment charges | (154,342) |
| Adjustments to goodwill during the period | 1,169 |
| Balance at December 31, 2011 | 56,493 |
| Goodwill acquired during the period | |
| Impairment charges | |
| Adjustments to goodwill during the period | |
| Balance at June 30, 2012 | \$ 56,493 |
| Gross goodwill | \$ 230,737 |
| Accumulated impairment charges | (174,244) |
| Balance at June 30, 2012 | \$ 56,493 |

Table of Contents**ALLIANCE HEALTHCARE SERVICES, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****June 30, 2012****(Unaudited)****(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)**

Intangible assets consisted of the following:

| | December 31, 2011 | | | June 30, 2012 | | |
|---|-----------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Intangible Assets, net | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Intangible Assets, net |
| Amortizing intangible assets: | | | | | | |
| Customer contracts | \$ 152,629 | \$ (56,750) | \$ 95,879 | \$ 152,629 | \$ (62,571) | \$ 90,058 |
| Other | 25,975 | (12,257) | 13,718 | 25,525 | (14,415) | 11,110 |
| Total amortizing intangible assets | \$ 178,604 | \$ (69,007) | \$ 109,597 | \$ 178,154 | \$ (76,986) | \$ 101,168 |
| Intangible assets not subject to amortization | | | 33,427 | | | 33,427 |
| Total other intangible assets | | | \$ 143,024 | | | \$ 134,595 |

In accordance with ASC 350, Intangibles Goodwill and Other, the Company has elected to perform an annual impairment test for goodwill and indefinite life intangible assets based on the financial information as of September 30, or more frequently when an event occurs or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying amount. The Company compares the fair value of its reporting units to its carrying amount to determine if there is potential impairment. The fair value of the reporting unit is determined by an income approach and a market capitalization approach. Significant management judgment is required in the forecasts of future operating results that are used in the income approach. The estimates that the Company has used are consistent with the plans and estimates that it uses to manage its business. The Company bases its fair value estimates on forecasted revenue and operating costs which include a number of factors including, but not limited to, securing new customers, retention of existing customers, growth in imaging and radiation oncology revenues and the impact of continued cost savings initiatives. However, it is possible that plans and estimates may change.

In 2011, the Company recognized a goodwill impairment charge of \$154,342 in the Imaging segment. In 2011, in accordance with ASC 350 and 360, Property, Plant, and Equipment, certain intangible assets acquired in 2002 and 2008 were determined to be impaired, and the Company recorded a charge of \$2,703 to record these assets at fair value. No triggering events occurred during the first half of 2012 which required additional impairment testing based on financial information as of June 30, 2012. In the second quarter of 2012, the Company concluded that no impairment was present in its intangible assets.

The Company uses the estimated useful life to amortize customer contracts, which is a weighted-average of 15 years. Other intangible assets subject to amortization are estimated to have a weighted-average useful life of six years. Amortization expense for intangible assets subject to amortization was \$4,609 and \$3,994 for the quarters ended June 30, 2011 and 2012, respectively, and \$7,935 and \$8,006 for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2012, respectively. The intangible assets not subject to amortization represent certificates of need and regulatory authority rights which have indefinite useful lives.

Estimated annual amortization expense for each of the fiscal years ending December 31, is presented below:

Edgar Filing: Alliance HealthCare Services, Inc - Form 10-Q

| | |
|------|-----------|
| 2012 | \$ 15,874 |
| 2013 | 11,605 |
| 2014 | 9,635 |
| 2015 | 8,891 |
| 2016 | 7,893 |
| 2017 | 7,120 |

Table of Contents**ALLIANCE HEALTHCARE SERVICES, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****June 30, 2012****(Unaudited)****(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)****7. Other Accrued Liabilities**

Other accrued liabilities consisted of the following:

| | December 31, 2011 | June 30, 2012 |
|--|------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Accrued systems rental and maintenance costs | \$ 3,162 | \$ 2,934 |
| Accrued site rental fees | 1,206 | 1,254 |
| Accrued property and sales taxes payable | 13,255 | 13,100 |
| Accrued self-insurance expense | 4,350 | 4,778 |
| Other accrued expenses | 9,668 | 8,678 |
| Accrued contingent payments | 1,797 | 309 |
| Total | \$ 33,438 | \$ 31,053 |

Table of Contents**ALLIANCE HEALTHCARE SERVICES, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****June 30, 2012****(Unaudited)****(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)****8. Long-Term Debt and Senior Subordinated Credit Facility**

Long-term debt consisted of the following:

| | December 31, 2011 | June 30, 2012 |
|---|------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Term loan facility | \$ 423,950 | \$ 417,950 |
| Discount on term loan facility of 7.69% | (6,539) | (5,856) |
| Senior notes | 190,000 | 190,000 |
| Discount on senior notes of 8.25% | (1,891) | (1,732) |
| Equipment debt | 37,963 | 36,497 |
| Long-term debt, including current portion | 643,483 | 636,859 |
| Less current portion | 24,923 | 25,056 |
| Long-term debt | \$ 618,560 | \$ 611,803 |

9. Derivatives

The Company accounts for derivative instruments and hedging activities in accordance with the provisions of ASC 815, Derivatives and Hedging. Management generally designates derivatives in a hedge relationship with the identified exposure on the date the Company enters into a derivative contract, as disclosed below. The Company has only executed derivative instruments that are economic hedges of exposures that can qualify in hedge relationships under ASC 815. The Company formally documents all relationships between hedging instruments and hedged items, as well as the risk-management objective and strategy for undertaking various hedge transactions. In this documentation, the Company specifically identifies the firm commitment or forecasted transaction that has been designated as a hedged item and states how the hedging instrument is expected to hedge the risks related to the hedged item. The Company formally assesses effectiveness of its hedging relationships, both at the hedge inception and on an ongoing basis, then measures and records ineffectiveness. The Company would discontinue hedge accounting prospectively (i) if it is determined that the derivative is no longer effective in offsetting change in the cash flows of a hedged item, (ii) when the derivative expires or is sold, terminated or exercised, (iii) because it is probable that the forecasted transaction will not occur, or (iv) if management determines that designation of the derivative as a hedge instrument is no longer appropriate. The Company's derivatives are recorded on the balance sheet at their fair value. For additional information please see Note 5 of the Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. For derivatives accounted for as cash flow hedges, any effective unrealized gains or losses on fair value are included in comprehensive income (loss), net of tax, and any ineffective gains or losses are recognized in income immediately. Amounts recorded in comprehensive income (loss) are reclassified to earnings when the hedged item impacts earnings.

Cash Flow Hedges*Interest Rate Cash Flow Hedges*

The Company has entered into multiple interest rate swap and cap agreements to hedge the future cash interest payments on portions of its variable rate bank debt. For the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2012, the Company had interest rate swap and cap agreements to hedge

Edgar Filing: Alliance HealthCare Services, Inc - Form 10-Q

approximately \$157,523 and \$155,421 of its variable rate bank debt, respectively, or 23.4% and 24.4% of total debt, respectively. Over the next twelve months, the Company expects to reclassify \$0.7 million from accumulated other comprehensive loss to interest expense and other, net.

In the first quarter of 2010, the Company entered into one interest rate swap agreement (the 2010 Swap) and three interest rate cap agreements, in accordance with Company policy, to avoid unplanned volatility in the income statement due to changes in the LIBOR interest rate environment. The 2010 Swap, which matured in January 2011, had a notional amount of \$92,719. The interest rate cap agreements, which mature in February 2014, have a total notional amount of \$150,000 and were designated as cash flow hedges of future cash interest payments associated with a portion of the Company's variable rate bank debt. Under these arrangements, the Company has purchased a cap on LIBOR at 4.50%. The Company paid \$1,537 to enter into the caps, which is being amortized through interest expense and other, net over the life of the agreements.

Table of Contents**ALLIANCE HEALTHCARE SERVICES, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****June 30, 2012****(Unaudited)****(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)**

In the second quarter of 2011, the Company acquired two interest rate swap agreements (the *USR Swaps*) as part of the acquisition of USR. One of the USR Swaps, which matures in October 2015, had a notional amount of \$3,486 as of June 30, 2012. Under the terms of this agreement, the Company receives one-month LIBOR and pays a fixed rate of 5.71%. The net effect of the hedge is to record interest expense at a fixed rate of 8.71%, as the underlying debt incurred interest based on one-month LIBOR plus 3.00%. The other USR Swap, which matures in April 2014, had a notional amount of \$1,935 as of June 30, 2012. Under the terms of this agreement, the Company receives one-month LIBOR and pays a fixed rate of 4.15%. The net effect of the hedge is to record interest expense at a fixed rate of 6.15%, as the underlying debt incurred interest based on one-month LIBOR plus 2.00%. As a result of the acquisition of USR, the USR Swaps were de-designated, hedge accounting was terminated and all further changes in the fair market value of these swaps are being recorded in interest expense and other, net.

Diesel Fuel Cash Flow Hedges

The Company is exposed to market fluctuations in diesel fuel prices related to its mobile fleet. During the first quarter of 2010, the Company entered into a diesel fuel swap agreement which had a notional quantity of 1,008,000 gallons, or 84,000 gallons per month, to hedge future cash payments associated with the Company purchasing diesel fuel for its mobile fleet. Under the terms of this agreement, which matured in February 2011, the Company received the U.S. Department of Energy (*DOE*) published monthly average price per gallon and paid a fixed rate of \$3.25 per gallon. The Company designated this swap as a cash flow hedge of future cash flows associated with its diesel fuel payments. The Company recorded effective changes in the fair value of the swap through comprehensive income (loss) and reclassified gains or losses to fuel expense (included in cost of revenues, excluding depreciation and amortization) when the underlying fuel was purchased.

During the second quarter of 2011, the Company entered into a diesel fuel swap agreement which had a notional quantity of 450,000 gallons, or 37,500 gallons per month, to hedge future cash payments associated with the Company purchasing diesel fuel for its mobile fleet. Under the terms of this agreement, which matured in April 2012, the Company received the DOE published monthly average price per gallon and paid a fixed rate of \$4.31 per gallon. The Company designated this swap as a cash flow hedge of future cash flows associated with its diesel fuel payments.

Quantitative information about the Company's derivatives' impact on performance and operations is provided below:

| | Asset Derivatives as of June 30, 2012 | |
|--|--|-------------------|
| | Balance Sheet | |
| | Location | Fair Value |
| Derivatives designated as hedging instruments | | |
| Interest rate contracts | Other assets | \$ 1 |
| | | |
| | Liability Derivatives as of June 30, 2012 | |
| | Balance Sheet | |
| | Location | Fair Value |
| Derivatives designated as hedging instruments | | |
| Interest rate contracts | Other liabilities | \$ 155 |

Table of Contents**ALLIANCE HEALTHCARE SERVICES, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****June 30, 2012****(Unaudited)****(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)****The Effect of Designated Derivative Instruments on the Statement of Operations****For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2011**

| Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships | Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in OCI on Derivatives (Effective Portion) | Location of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion) | Amount of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion) | Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives (Ineffective Portion) | Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives (Ineffective Portion) |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Interest rate contracts | \$ (258) | Interest expense and other, net | \$ 8 | Interest expense and other, net | \$ |
| Diesel fuel swap | (89) | Fuel expense (included in Costs of revenues, excluding depreciation and amortization) | (24) | Other (income) expense, net | |
| Total | \$ (347) | | \$ (16) | | \$ |

The Effect of Designated Derivative Instruments on the Statement of Operations**For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011**

| Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships | Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in OCI on Derivatives (Effective Portion) | Location of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion) | Amount of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion) | Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives (Ineffective Portion) | Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives (Ineffective Portion) |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Interest rate contracts | \$ (355) | Interest expense and other, net | \$ (210) | Interest expense and other, net | \$ |
| Diesel fuel swap | (73) | Fuel expense (included in Costs of revenues, excluding depreciation and amortization) | 15 | Other (income) expense, net | (1) |

| | | | | | | |
|-------|----|-------|----|-------|----|-----|
| Total | \$ | (428) | \$ | (195) | \$ | (1) |
|-------|----|-------|----|-------|----|-----|

Table of Contents**ALLIANCE HEALTHCARE SERVICES, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****June 30, 2012****(Unaudited)****(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)****The Effect of Non-Designated Derivative Instruments on the Statement of Operations****For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2011**

| | Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives | Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives |
|---|---|--|
| Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships | | |
| Interest rate contracts | Interest expense and other, net | \$ (27) |

The Effect of Non-Designated Derivative Instruments on the Statement of Operations**For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011**

| | Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives | Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives |
|---|---|--|
| Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships | | |
| Interest rate contracts | Interest expense and other, net | \$ (83) |

The Effect of Designated Derivative Instruments on the Statement of Operations**For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2012**

| Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships | Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in OCI on Derivatives (Effective Portion) | Location of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion) | Amount of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion) | Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives (Ineffective Portion) | Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives (Ineffective Portion) |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Interest rate contracts | \$ 117 | Interest expense and other, net | \$ 61 | Interest expense and other, net | \$ |
| Diesel fuel swap | (7) | Fuel expense (included in Costs of revenues, excluding depreciation and amortization) | (7) | Other (income) expense, net | |

| | | | | | |
|-------|----|-----|----|----|----|
| Total | \$ | 110 | \$ | 54 | \$ |
|-------|----|-----|----|----|----|

The Effect of Designated Derivative Instruments on the Statement of Operations

For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012

| Derivatives in Cash | Amount of Gain (Loss) | | Amount of Gain (Loss) | | Amount of Gain (Loss) |
|-------------------------|--|---|---|---|-----------------------|
| | Recognized in OCI on Derivatives (Effective Portion) | Location of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion) | Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion) | Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives (Ineffective Portion) | |
| Interest rate contracts | \$ 154 | Interest expense and other, net | \$ 92 | Interest expense and other, net | \$ |
| Diesel fuel swap | 15 | Fuel expense (included in Costs of revenues, excluding depreciation and amortization) | (46) | Other (income) expense, net | |
| Total | \$ 169 | | \$ 46 | | \$ |

Table of Contents**ALLIANCE HEALTHCARE SERVICES, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****June 30, 2012****(Unaudited)****(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)****The Effect of Non-Designated Derivative Instruments on the Statement of Operations****For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2012**

| | Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives | Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives |
|---|--|--|
| Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships | | |
| Interest rate contracts | Interest expense and other, net | \$ (11) |

The Effect of Non-Designated Derivative Instruments on the Statement of Operations**For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012**

| | Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives | Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives |
|---|--|--|
| Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships | | |
| Interest rate contracts | Interest expense and other, net | \$ (29) |

10. Income Taxes

For the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company recorded an income tax benefit of \$2,237 and \$3,580, or 35.6% and 35.7%, respectively, as a result of the Company's effective tax rates applied to pretax loss. For the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company recorded an income tax benefit of \$2,427 and \$5,069, or 75.2% and 47.4%, respectively, as a result of the Company's effective tax rates applied to pretax loss. The Company's effective tax rates for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 differed from the federal statutory rate principally as a result of state income taxes and permanent non-deductible tax items, including share-based payments, unrecognized tax benefits and other permanent differences.

As of June 30, 2012, the Company has provided a liability for \$693 of unrecognized tax benefits related to various federal and state income tax matters. The tax-effected amount that would reduce the Company's effective income tax rate if recognized is \$300.

The Company recognizes accrued interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense. As of June 30, 2012, the Company had approximately \$67 in accrued interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits.

The Company is subject to United States federal income tax as well as income tax of multiple state tax jurisdictions. The Company is currently open to audit under the statute of limitations by the Internal Revenue Service for the years ended December 31, 2008 through 2011. The Company's and its subsidiaries' state income tax returns are open to audit under the applicable statutes of limitations for the years ended December 31, 2007 through 2011. The Company does not anticipate a significant change to the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits within

the next 12 months.

11. Loss Per Common Share

Basic net loss per share is computed utilizing the two-class method and is calculated based on the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the periods presented, excluding nonvested restricted stock units which do not contain nonforfeitable rights to dividend and dividend equivalents.

Diluted net loss per share is computed using the weighted-average number of common and common equivalent shares outstanding during the periods utilizing the two-class method for stock options, nonvested restricted stock and nonvested restricted stock units. Potentially dilutive securities are not considered in the calculation of net loss per share as their impact would be anti-dilutive.

Table of Contents**ALLIANCE HEALTHCARE SERVICES, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****June 30, 2012****(Unaudited)****(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)**

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted loss per share (amounts in thousands, except per share amounts):

| | Quarter Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|---------------------------|------------------|------------------------------|------------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 |
| Numerator: | | | | |
| Net loss attributable to Alliance HealthCare Services, Inc. | \$ (4,040) | \$ (801) | \$ (6,443) | \$ (5,623) |
| Denominator: | | | | |
| Weighted-average shares-basic | 53,222 | 53,148 | 53,114 | 53,230 |
| Effect of dilutive securities: | | | | |
| Employee stock options | | | | |
| Weighted-average shares-diluted | 53,222 | 53,148 | 53,114 | 53,230 |
| Loss per common share attributable to Alliance HealthCare Services, Inc.: | | | | |
| Basic | \$ (0.08) | \$ (0.02) | \$ (0.12) | \$ (0.11) |
| Diluted | \$ (0.08) | \$ (0.02) | \$ (0.12) | \$ (0.11) |
| Stock options excluded from the computation of diluted per share amounts: | | | | |
| Weighted-average shares for which the exercise price exceeds average market price of common stock | 4,501 | 4,288 | 4,533 | 3,569 |
| Average exercise price per share that exceeds average market price of common stock | \$ 6.82 | \$ 5.51 | \$ 6.82 | \$ 6.45 |

12. Commitments and Contingencies

In the normal course of business, the Company has made certain guarantees and indemnities, under which it may be required to make payments to a guaranteed or indemnified party, in relation to certain transactions. The Company indemnifies other parties, including customers, lessors, and parties to other transactions with the Company, with respect to certain matters. The Company has agreed to hold the other party harmless against losses arising from certain events as defined within the particular contract, which may include, for example, litigation or claims arising from a breach of representations or covenants. In addition, the Company has entered into indemnification agreements with its executive officers and directors and the Company's bylaws contain similar indemnification obligations. Under these arrangements, the Company is obligated to indemnify, to the fullest extent permitted under applicable law, its current or former officers and directors for various amounts incurred with respect to actions, suits or proceedings in which they were made, or threatened to be made, a party as a result of acting as an officer or director.

It is not possible to determine the maximum potential amount under these indemnification agreements due to the limited history of prior indemnification claims and the unique facts and circumstances involved in each particular agreement.

Edgar Filing: Alliance HealthCare Services, Inc - Form 10-Q

Historically, payments made related to these indemnifications have been immaterial. At June 30, 2012, the Company has determined that no liability is necessary related to these guarantees and indemnities.

In connection with the Company's acquisition of Medical Outsourcing Services, LLC (MOS) in the third quarter of 2008, the Company subsequently identified a Medicare billing practice related to a portion of MOS's retail billing operations that raised compliance issues under Medicare reimbursement guidelines. The practice was in place before the acquisition and was discontinued when the Company became aware of it. In accordance with its corporate compliance program, the Company has entered into discussions with representatives of the federal government to advise them of the issue and seek guidance on appropriate next steps. The discussions are ongoing and no resolution has yet been reached. No material amounts have been accrued to date.

Table of Contents

ALLIANCE HEALTHCARE SERVICES, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

June 30, 2012

(Unaudited)

(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

In June 2010, the Company commenced arbitration proceedings against the former owners of MOS related to the Medicare billing matter, in addition to certain other indemnification issues. In the arbitration, the Company asserted claims of fraud and breach of representations and warranties.

On December 29, 2011, the Company received notice of an award by the arbitration panel, which awarded the Company \$2,527 in damages for breach of contract claims, plus prejudgment interest at 9% under New York law from July 29, 2008 (which interest continues to accrue until the award is paid in full); \$255 for two other indemnification claims; \$1,453 for attorneys' fees and expenses; and \$110 for arbitration expenses. The award also provides that approximately \$1,300 of a remaining indemnification cap created in connection with the acquisition is available for future indemnification claims, including with respect to the potential government claim discussed above, and must be satisfied by the former owners of MOS. On January 25, 2012, one of the former owners of MOS paid \$665 to the Company, and on February 17, 2012, the same owner released \$592 to the Company from amounts held in an indemnification escrow related to the acquisition. On January 25, 2012, the Company filed an action in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois to confirm the award as a judgment against the other former owner of MOS that has refused to satisfy its obligations under the award. On June 18, 2012, the Court confirmed the award and entered judgment in accordance with the award against the other former owner of MOS. No appeal was taken from the Court confirmation of the award, and the other former owner of MOS has consented to the release of \$1,776 remaining in the indemnification escrow, and has paid the Company an additional \$2,231. Following these payments, an additional \$1,197 will be due from the former owners of MOS if the government seeks repayment and penalties.

Although the government may seek repayment and penalties relating to the billing practice, the Company does not expect that such repayment and penalties taken as a whole, if imposed on the Company, would have a material effect on the Company's results of operations, cash flows or financial position because the Company believes the amounts it would owe will be substantially or fully off-set by the amounts awarded to the Company by the arbitration panel and future recoveries under the indemnification provisions or otherwise. The outcomes of these matters are uncertain and management cannot reasonably estimate possible losses or a range of losses that might result from resolution of such matters. Accordingly, no amounts have been accrued.

In June 2012, Pacific Coast Cardiology (PCC) d/b/a Pacific Coast Imaging, Emanuel Shaoulian, MD, Inc., and Michael M. Radin, MD, Inc. filed a lawsuit in California state court against the Company and other defendants. The complaint asserts a number of claims related to the Company's decision not to purchase PCC in 2010, and also separately seeks a determination regarding an amount the Company contends is owed to it by PCC pursuant to a previous contractual arrangement. Plaintiffs are seeking monetary and punitive damages. The Company intends to vigorously defend against the claims asserted in this lawsuit. The Company has not recorded an expense related to any potential damages in connection with this matter because any potential loss is not probable or reasonably estimable.

The Company from time to time is involved in routine litigation and regulatory matters incidental to the conduct of its business. The Company believes that resolution of such matters will not have a material adverse effect on its consolidated results of operations or financial position.

13. Related-Party Transactions

On April 16, 2007, Oaktree and MTS purchased 24,501,505 shares of the Company's common stock. Upon completion of the transaction, Oaktree and MTS owned in the aggregate approximately 49.7% of the outstanding shares of common stock of the Company. At June 30, 2012, Oaktree and MTS owned in the aggregate approximately 51.3% of the outstanding shares of common stock of the Company. The Company does not pay management fees to Oaktree and MTS for their financial advisory services to the Company.

Revenues from management agreements with unconsolidated equity investees were \$2,783 and \$1,813 during the quarters ended June 30, 2011 and 2012, respectively. Revenues from management agreements with unconsolidated equity investees were \$5,754 and \$3,637 during the six

months ended June 30, 2011 and 2012, respectively. The Company provides services as part of its ongoing operations for and on behalf of the unconsolidated equity investees, which are included in the management agreement revenue, who reimburse the Company for the actual amount of the expenses incurred. The Company records the expenses as costs of revenues and the reimbursement as revenue in its condensed consolidated statements of operations. For the quarters ended June 30, 2011 and 2012, the amounts of the revenues and expenses were \$2,094 and \$1,817, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2012, the amounts of the revenues and expenses were \$4,385 and \$3,641, respectively.

14. Investments in Unconsolidated Investees

The Company has direct ownership in four unconsolidated investees at June 30, 2012. The Company owns between 15% and 50% of these investees, and provides management services under agreements with three of these investees, expiring at various dates through 2025. All of these investees are accounted for under the equity method since the Company does not exercise control over the operations of these investees.

Table of Contents**ALLIANCE HEALTHCARE SERVICES, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****June 30, 2012****(Unaudited)****(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)**

Set forth below are certain financial data for Alliance-HNI, LLC and Subsidiaries, one of the Company's unconsolidated investees:

| | December 31, 2011 | June 30, 2012 |
|----------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Balance Sheet Data: | | |
| Current assets | \$ 5,558 | \$ 5,656 |
| Noncurrent assets | 9,333 | 9,961 |
| Current liabilities | 3,874 | 4,901 |
| Noncurrent liabilities | 1,906 | 1,234 |

| | Quarter Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 |
| Combined Operating Results: | | | | |
| Revenues | \$ 4,584 | \$ 4,243 | \$ 9,066 | \$ 8,578 |
| Expenses | 2,876 | 2,460 | 5,740 | 4,999 |
| Net income | 1,708 | 1,783 | 3,326 | 3,579 |
| Earnings from unconsolidated investee | 856 | 893 | 1,655 | 1,791 |

Set forth below are certain financial data for the aggregate of the Company's unconsolidated investees, including Alliance-HNI, LLC and Subsidiaries:

| | December 31, 2011 | June 30 2012 |
|----------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Balance Sheet Data: | | |
| Current assets | \$ 9,206 | \$ 8,660 |
| Noncurrent assets | 17,575 | 12,152 |
| Current liabilities | 6,943 | 5,546 |
| Noncurrent liabilities | 4,078 | 2,526 |

| | Quarter Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|-------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 |
| Combined Operating Results: | | | | |
| Revenues | \$ 7,864 | \$ 7,488 | \$ 13,637 | \$ 14,458 |
| Expenses | 6,107 | 4,475 | 9,848 | 8,992 |
| Net income | 1,757 | 3,013 | 3,789 | 5,466 |
| Earnings from unconsolidated investees | 1,031 | 1,162 | 2,020 | 2,240 |

15. Stockholders' Deficit

Edgar Filing: Alliance HealthCare Services, Inc - Form 10-Q

The following table summarizes consolidated stockholders' deficit, including noncontrolling interest.

| | Common Stock | | Treasury Stock | | Additional Accumulated | | | Stockholders' Equity (Deficit) Attributable to | | Total |
|--|--------------|--------|----------------|------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|--|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| | Shares | Amount | Shares | Amount | Paid-In Capital | Comprehensive Income (Loss) | Accumulated Deficit | Alliance HealthCare Services, Inc. | Noncontrolling Interest | Stockholders' Deficit |
| Balance at January 1, 2012 | 53,319,323 | \$ 527 | (580,983) | \$ (2,729) | \$ 20,269 | \$ (950) | \$ (171,288) | \$ (154,171) | \$ 49,260 | \$ (104,911) |
| Forfeit of restricted stock | (421,700) | (1) | | | | | | (1) | | (1) |
| Issuance of restricted stock | 141,600 | 1 | | | | | | 1 | | 1 |
| Purchase of treasury stock | | | (8,450) | (10) | | | | (10) | | (10) |
| Share-based payment | | | | | 42 | | | 42 | | 42 |
| Unrealized gain on hedging transaction, net of tax | | | | | | 92 | | 92 | | 92 |
| Net contributions / (distributions) | | | | | | | | | (3,696) | (3,696) |
| Net (loss) income | | | | | | | (5,623) | (5,623) | 4,978 | (645) |
| Balance at June 30, 2012 | 53,039,223 | \$ 527 | (589,433) | \$ (2,739) | \$ 20,311 | \$ (858) | \$ (176,911) | \$ (159,670) | \$ 50,542 | \$ (109,128) |

Table of Contents**ALLIANCE HEALTHCARE SERVICES, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****June 30, 2012****(Unaudited)****(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)****16. Segment Information**

Operating segments are defined as components of an enterprise about which separate financial information is available that is evaluated regularly by the chief operating decision maker (CODM) in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. In accordance with ASC 280, Segment Reporting, and based on the nature of the financial information that is received by the CODM, the Company operates in two operating segments, which are also its two reportable segments, Imaging and Radiation Oncology, based on similar economic and other characteristics.

The Imaging segment is comprised of diagnostic imaging services including MRI, PET/CT and other imaging services. The Radiation Oncology segment is comprised of radiation oncology services. All intercompany revenues, expenses, payables and receivables are eliminated in consolidation and are not reviewed when evaluating segment performance. Each segment's performance is evaluated based on Revenue, Segment Income and Net Income. The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies in Note 1 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. Additionally, the Company does not consider its wholesale revenue and retail revenue sources to constitute separate operating segments as discrete financial information does not exist and is not provided to the CODM.

The following table summarizes the Company's revenue by segment:

| | Quarter Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--------------------|------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 |
| Revenue | | | | |
| Imaging | \$ 107,288 | \$ 98,163 | \$ 212,697 | \$ 198,998 |
| Radiation Oncology | 20,492 | 22,501 | 33,511 | 42,419 |
| Corporate / Other | | | | |
| Total | \$ 127,780 | \$ 120,664 | \$ 246,208 | \$ 241,417 |

The following are components of revenue:

| | Quarter Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 |
| Revenue | | | | |
| MRI revenue | \$ 52,496 | \$ 49,157 | \$ 104,958 | \$ 99,234 |
| PET/CT revenue | 42,823 | 39,573 | 86,285 | 80,586 |
| Radiation Oncology revenue | 20,492 | 22,501 | 33,511 | 42,419 |
| Other modalities and other revenue | 11,969 | 9,433 | 21,454 | 19,178 |
| Total | \$ 127,780 | \$ 120,664 | \$ 246,208 | \$ 241,417 |

Table of Contents**ALLIANCE HEALTHCARE SERVICES, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****June 30, 2012****(Unaudited)****(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)**

Segment income represents net income (loss) before income taxes; interest expense and other, net; amortization expense; depreciation expense; share-based payment; severance and related costs; noncontrolling interest in subsidiaries; restructuring charges; transaction costs; impairment charges and other non-cash charges. Segment income is the most frequently used measure of each segment's performance by the CODM and is commonly used in setting performance goals. The following table summarizes the Company's segment income:

| | Quarter Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 |
| Segment income | | | | |
| Imaging | \$ 37,841 | \$ 37,528 | \$ 76,461 | \$ 76,033 |
| Radiation Oncology | 7,682 | 9,800 | 11,561 | 17,506 |
| Corporate / Other | (6,724) | (7,920) | (12,668) | (16,373) |
| Total | \$ 38,799 | \$ 39,408 | \$ 75,354 | \$ 77,166 |

The reconciliation of Net loss to total segment income is shown below:

| | Quarter Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|-------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to Alliance HealthCare Services, Inc. | \$ (4,040) | \$ (801) | \$ (6,443) | \$ (5,623) |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | (2,237) | (2,427) | (3,580) | (5,069) |
| Interest expense and other, net | 12,000 | 13,679 | 23,735 | 27,367 |
| Amortization expense | 4,609 | 3,994 | 7,935 | 8,006 |
| Depreciation expense | 23,197 | 20,693 | 45,249 | 42,138 |
| Share-based payment (included in selling, general and administrative expenses) | 1,207 | (840) | 2,596 | 36 |
| Noncontrolling interest in subsidiaries | 1,730 | 2,728 | 2,583 | 4,978 |
| Severance and related costs | 266 | | 730 | |
| Restructuring charges (Note 2) | | 873 | | 2,995 |
| Transaction costs | 1,810 | 20 | 2,182 | 379 |
| Other non-cash charges (included in other income and expense, net) | 257 | 1,489 | 367 | 1,959 |
| Total segment income | \$ 38,799 | \$ 39,408 | \$ 75,354 | \$ 77,166 |

Net income for the Imaging and Radiation Oncology segments does not include charges for interest expense, net of interest income, income taxes or certain selling, general and administrative expenses. These costs are charged against the Corporate / Other segment. The following table summarizes the Company's net income (loss) by segment:

Edgar Filing: Alliance HealthCare Services, Inc - Form 10-Q

| | Quarter Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--------------------|------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 |
| Net income (loss) | | | | |
| Imaging | \$ 15,833 | \$ 15,280 | \$ 30,659 | \$ 31,188 |
| Radiation Oncology | 749 | 3,771 | 2,321 | 5,282 |
| Corporate / Other | (20,622) | (19,852) | (39,423) | (42,093) |
| Total | \$ (4,040) | \$ (801) | \$ (6,443) | \$ (5,623) |

Table of Contents

ALLIANCE HEALTHCARE SERVICES, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

June 30, 2012

(Unaudited)

(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

The following table summarizes the Company's identifiable assets by segment:

| | As of December 31, 2011 | As of June 30, 2012 |
|---------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Identifiable assets | | |
| Imaging | \$ 378,289 | \$ 347,783 |
| Radiation Oncology | 188,092 | 178,315 |
| Corporate / Other | 96,713 | 112,422 |
| Total | \$ 663,094 | \$ 638,520 |

Table of Contents**ALLIANCE HEALTHCARE SERVICES, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****June 30, 2012****(Unaudited)****(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)**

The following table summarizes the Company's goodwill by segment:

| | Imaging | Radiation Oncology | Corporate / Other | Total |
|---|----------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------|
| Balance at January 1, 2011 | \$ 192,628 | \$ 498 | \$ | \$ 193,126 |
| Goodwill acquired during the period | 2,229 | 14,311 | | 16,540 |
| Impairment charges | (154,342) | | | (154,342) |
| Adjustments to goodwill during the period | 1,169 | | | 1,169 |
| Balance at December 31, 2011 | \$ 41,684 | \$ 14,809 | \$ | \$ 56,493 |
| Goodwill acquired during the period | | | | |
| Impairment charges | | | | |
| Adjustments to goodwill during the period | | | | |
| Balance at June 30, 2012 | \$ 41,684 | \$ 14,809 | \$ | \$ 56,493 |
| Gross goodwill | \$ 196,026 | \$ 34,711 | \$ | \$ 230,737 |
| Accumulated impairment charges | (154,342) | (19,902) | | (174,244) |
| Balance at June 30, 2012 | \$ 41,684 | \$ 14,809 | \$ | \$ 56,493 |

Capital expenditures in the Imaging segment and the Radiation Oncology segment were \$10,704 and \$462, respectively, for the quarter ending June 30, 2011, and \$20,206 and \$873, respectively, for the six months ending June 30, 2011. Capital expenditures in the Imaging segment and the Radiation Oncology segment were \$5,393 and \$789, respectively, for the quarter ending June 30, 2012, and \$7,304 and \$2,623, respectively, for the six months ending June 30, 2012.

Table of Contents**ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS*****Overview***

We are a leading national provider of advanced outpatient diagnostic imaging and radiation therapy services, based upon annual revenue and number of imaging systems deployed and radiation oncology centers operated. Our principal sources of revenue are derived from providing magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), positron emission tomography/computed tomography (PET/CT) through our Imaging Division and radiation oncology services through our Radiation Oncology Division. Unless the context otherwise requires, the words we, us, our, Company

Alliance as used in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q refer to Alliance HealthCare Services, Inc. and our direct and indirect subsidiaries. We provide imaging and therapeutic services primarily to hospitals and other healthcare providers on a shared-service and full-time service basis. We also provide services through fixed-site imaging centers, primarily to hospitals or health systems. Our services normally include the use of our imaging systems, technologists to operate the systems, equipment maintenance and upgrades and management of day-to-day shared-service and fixed-site diagnostic imaging operations. We also provide non scan-based services, which include only the use of our imaging systems under a short-term contract. We have leveraged our leadership in MRI and PET/CT to expand into radiation oncology, including stereotactic radiosurgery. We operate our radiation oncology business through our wholly owned subsidiary, Alliance Oncology, LLC, which we sometimes refer to as our Radiation Oncology Division. This division includes a wide range of services for cancer patients covering initial consultation, preparation for treatment, simulation of treatment, actual radiation oncology delivery, therapy management and follow-up care. Our services include the use of our linear accelerators or stereotactic radiosurgery systems, therapists to operate those systems, administrative staff, equipment maintenance and upgrades, and management of day-to-day operations.

MRI, PET/CT and radiation oncology services generated 41%, 33% and 18% of our revenue, respectively, for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 43%, 35% and 14% of our revenue, respectively, for the six months ended June 30, 2011. Our remaining revenue was comprised of other modality diagnostic imaging services revenue, primarily computed tomography (CT) and management contract revenue. We had 501 diagnostic imaging and radiation oncology systems, including 272 MRI systems and 120 positron emission tomography (PET) or PET/CT systems and served over 1,000 clients in 45 states at June 30, 2012. We operated 126 fixed-site imaging centers (one in an unconsolidated joint venture), which constitute systems installed in hospitals or other medical buildings on or near hospital campuses, including modular buildings, systems installed inside medical groups' offices, parked mobile systems, and free-standing fixed-site imaging centers, which include systems installed in a medical office building, ambulatory surgical center, or other retail space at June 30, 2012. Of the 126 fixed-site imaging centers, 97 were MRI fixed-site imaging centers, 20 were PET or PET/CT fixed-site imaging centers, seven were other modality fixed-site imaging centers and one was in an unconsolidated joint venture. We also operated 32 radiation oncology centers and stereotactic radiosurgery facilities (including one radiation oncology center as an unconsolidated joint venture) at June 30, 2012.

We generated approximately 80% and 79% of our revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, by providing services to hospitals and other healthcare providers; we refer to those revenues as wholesale revenues. We typically generate our wholesale revenues from contracts that require our clients to pay us based on the number of scans we perform on patients on our clients' behalf, although some pay us a flat fee for a period of time regardless of the number of scans we perform. Wholesale payments are due to us independent of our clients' receipt of retail reimbursement from third-party payors, although receipt of reimbursement from third-party payors may affect demand for our services. We typically deliver our services for a set number of days per week through exclusive, long-term contracts with hospitals and other healthcare providers. The initial terms of these contracts average approximately three years in length for mobile services and approximately five to 10 years in length for fixed-site arrangements. These contracts often contain automatic renewal provisions and certain contracts have cancellation clauses if the hospital or other healthcare provider purchases its own system. We price our contracts based on the type of system used, the scan volume, and the number of ancillary services provided. Competitive pressures also affect our pricing.

We generated approximately 20% and 21% of our revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, by providing services directly to patients from our sites located at or near hospitals or other healthcare provider facilities; we refer to these revenues as retail revenues. We generate our revenue from these sites from direct billings to patients or their third-party payors, including Medicare, and we record this revenue net of contractual discounts and other arrangements for providing services at discounted prices. We typically receive a higher price per scan under retail billing than we do under wholesale billing.

Fixed-site imaging centers and radiation oncology centers can be structured as either wholesale or retail arrangements. Our contracts for radiation oncology services average approximately 10 to 20 years in length. We include revenues from these centers in either our wholesale or retail revenues.

Table of Contents

With respect to our retail business, for services for which we bill Medicare directly, we are paid under the Medicare Physician Fee Schedule, which is updated on an annual basis. Under the Medicare statutory formula, payments under the Physician Fee Schedule would have decreased for the past several years if Congress had failed to intervene. In the past, when the application of the statutory formula resulted in lower payment, Congress has passed interim legislation to prevent the reductions. For 2011, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) projected a rate reduction of 6.1% under the statutory formula (assuming that the projected 21.2% rate reduction for 2010 was implemented). The Medicare and Medicaid Extenders Act of 2010, which was signed into law on December 15, 2010, froze the 2010 update through 2011. Because CMS was required to make its other changes to the Medicare Physician Fee Schedule (discussed below) budget neutral, CMS made a downward adjustment to what is known as the conversion factor, which translates values to dollar amounts. Whereas the conversion factor for the end of 2010 was \$36.8729, it was \$33.9764 for 2011. For 2012, CMS projected a rate reduction of 27.4% from 2011 rates if Congress failed to intervene. On December 23, 2011, President Obama signed into law the Temporary Payroll Tax Cut Continuation Act of 2011, which replaced the Medicare physician payment cut that was scheduled to take place on January 1, 2012, with a 0% update for two months, thereby allowing for continuation of current physician payment rates until February 29, 2012. As a result of the 0% update, the conversion factor for 2012 was set to \$34.0376. The 0% update for physician payment rates was extended through December 31, 2012, by the Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of 2012, which was signed into law on February 22, 2012. In CMS's annual letter to the Medicare Payment Advisory Commission (MedPAC) regarding annual updates to the Physician Fee Schedule, CMS reported a preliminary estimate of a 27.0% reduction to physician payment rates for 2013 unless Congress intervenes.

In its March 2012 Report to Congress, MedPAC, which makes recommendations to Congress on Medicare payment issues, again recommended that Congress repeal the current statutory formula to prevent significant future reductions to the Physician Fee Schedule. MedPAC recommended that Congress freeze current payment levels for primary care physicians and reduce annual payments by 5.9% for each of the next three years for all other physicians, followed by a freeze in those payment levels. There also have been a number of legislative initiatives to develop a permanent revision to the statutory formula. If Congress fails to continue the existing freeze or otherwise fails to revise the statutory formula for future years to prevent substantial reductions to physician payment levels, the resulting decrease in payment will adversely affect our revenues and results of operations.

Also with respect to our retail business, for services furnished on or after July 1, 2010, CMS began implementing a 50% reduction in reimbursement for multiple images on contiguous body parts, as mandated by the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act (collectively, the PPACA). Beginning January 1, 2011, CMS applied the same reduction to certain CT and CT angiography (CTA), MRI and MR angiography (MRA), and ultrasound services furnished to the same patient in the same session, regardless of the imaging modality, and not limited to contiguous body areas. CMS projected that this expanded policy would reduce payment for 20% more services than the prior multiple procedure payment reduction policy, and would primarily reduce payments for radiology services and to freestanding diagnostic imaging centers, such as our retail business. For 2012, CMS extended this policy to the physician reviews of these imaging services by implementing a 25% multiple procedure reduction to the professional payments to the specialties of radiology and interventional radiology. In addition, CMS's proposed Physician Fee Schedule for 2013 would expand the 25% multiple-procedure reduction policy to certain other nuclear medicine and cardiovascular diagnostic procedures. At this time, we do not believe that these multiple procedure payment reductions will have a material effect on our future retail revenues.

Other recent regulatory updates to the Physician Fee Schedule included reduced payment rates for certain diagnostic services using equipment costing more than \$1 million through revisions to usage assumptions from the previous 50% usage rate to a 90% usage rate. This utilization change began in 2010 with a planned four-year phase-in period for MRI and CT scans, but not for radiation therapy and other therapeutic equipment. The PPACA superseded CMS's assumed usage rate for such equipment and, beginning on January 1, 2011, CMS uses a 75% utilization rate. For 2011, CMS expanded the list of services to which the higher equipment utilization rate assumption applies to include certain diagnostic CTA and MRA procedures using similar CT and MRI scanners that cost more than \$1 million. We currently estimate that the usage assumptions for MRI and CT scans under the PPACA will not have a material effect on our future retail revenues.

Also effective January 1, 2011, CMS made further adjustments to the Physician Fee Schedule so that specialties that have a higher proportion of the payment rate attributable to operating expenses, such as equipment and supplies (which include radiation oncology), experienced an increase in aggregate payments. In addition, as a result of adjustments to codes identified to be misvalued, radiation oncology specialties and suppliers providing the technical component of diagnostic tests are among the entities that experienced decreases in aggregate payment. Some of these changes are being transitioned over time; for 2012, CMS estimated that the aggregate effect of the fee schedule changes (which includes the implementation of the 25% multiple procedure payment reduction policy to the professional component of certain imaging services, addressed

Table of Contents

above) will be a 6% reduction in radiation oncology, 5% reduction in radiology, 1% reduction in nuclear medicine and 3% reduction for all suppliers providing the technical component of diagnostic tests generally. In the proposed Physician Fee Schedule for 2013, CMS projects aggregate payment reductions of 15% in radiation oncology, 4% in radiology, 4% in nuclear medicine and 8% for suppliers providing the technical component of diagnostic tests. A portion of the projected payment reduction to radiation oncology stems from proposed revisions to the amount of time allotted to perform intensity-modulated radiation therapy (IMRT) and stereotactic body radiation therapy (SBRT), which would decrease the overall payment for these procedures. CMS is also undertaking a review of procedure times allotted to other radiation oncology treatments and requested comments regarding the procedure times associated with IMRT, SBRT, and the other radiation oncology procedures. At this time, we do not believe that the regulatory changes, including those proposed to take effect on January 1, 2013, if finalized, will have a material effect on our future retail revenues.

In addition to annual updates to the Medicare Physician Fee Schedule, as indicated above, CMS also publishes regulatory changes to the hospital outpatient prospective payment system (HOPPS) on an annual basis. These payments are the amounts received by our hospital clients for hospital outpatient services and summarized in the table below:

| | 2009 Payment | 2010 Payment | 2011 Payment | 2012 Payment | Proposed 2013 Payment |
|---|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------------------|
| Nonmyocardial PET and PET/CT scan | \$ 1,037 | \$ 1,037 | \$ 1,042 | \$ 1,038 | \$ 1,058 |
| Myocardial PET scan | \$ 1,157 | \$ 1,433 | \$ 1,107 | \$ 1,038 | \$ 1,058 |
| Stereotactic radiosurgery treatment delivery systems (depending on the level of service) | \$ 952 - \$7,642 | \$ 963 - \$7,344 | \$ 977 - \$7,661 | \$ 903 - \$7,461 | \$ 967 - \$8,011 |

The PET and PET/CT Medicare HOPPS rate reductions did not have a material negative effect on our revenue and earnings in 2010, 2011 or the first six months of 2012. At this time, however, we cannot predict the effect of future rate reductions on our future revenues or business.

The 2011 HOPPS and Medicare Physician Fee Schedule rules also implemented a number of PPACA provisions, including the waiver of beneficiary cost-sharing for certain preventive services covered under Medicare, such as screening mammograms and colonoscopies. Effective January 1, 2011, Medicare beneficiaries are no longer required to satisfy their deductibles or pay a copayment amount for these services. CMS stated that by eliminating these out-of-pocket costs, beneficiaries will be encouraged to make full use of their Medicare preventive benefits. At this time, we cannot predict whether these changes will have a material effect on the demand for our services and/or our future revenues.

Over the past few years, the growth rate of MRI industry-wide scan volumes has slowed in part due to weak hospital volumes as reported by several investor-owned hospital companies, additional patient-related cost-sharing programs and an increasing trend of third-party payors intensifying their utilization management efforts, for example through benefit managers who require preauthorizations, to control the growth rate of imaging services generally. We expect that these trends will continue. Another recent initiative to potentially reduce utilization of certain imaging services, authorized under the Medicare Improvements for Patients and Providers Act of 2008, is the Medicare Imaging Demonstration, which is a two-year demonstration project designed to collect data regarding physician use of advanced diagnostic imaging services. This information would be used to determine the appropriateness of services by developing medical specialty guidelines for advanced imaging procedures within three designated modalities (MRI, CT and nuclear medicine). On February 2, 2011, CMS announced that it selected five participants for the demonstration project, and some of these participants have begun or completed the data collection portion of the demonstration.

We cannot predict the full extent of the PPACA on our business. The reform law substantially changed the way health care is financed by both governmental and private insurers. Although certain provisions may negatively affect payment rates for certain imaging services, the PPACA also extended coverage to approximately 32 million previously uninsured people, which may result in an increase in the demand for our services. A number of states and other parties challenged the constitutionality of the individual mandate and aspects of Medicaid eligibility expansion under the PPACA. On June 28, 2012, the U.S. Supreme Court upheld the constitutionality of the individual mandate, and invalidated requirements that states forfeit certain federal funding if they do not expand Medicaid coverage as prescribed by the PPACA. Although the Court left

Table of Contents

the remainder of the PPACA intact, Congress has proposed a number of legislative initiatives, including the possible repeal of the PPACA in its entirety. At this time, it remains unclear whether there will be any changes made to the PPACA, whether to certain provisions or its entirety.

Moreover, other significant legislative changes have been proposed and adopted since the PPACA was enacted. Most recently, on August 2, 2011, the President signed into law the Budget Control Act of 2011 (BCA), which, among other things, created the Joint Select Committee on Deficit Reduction to recommend proposals in spending reductions to Congress. The Joint Select Committee did not achieve its targeted deficit reduction of at least \$1.2 trillion for the years 2013 through 2021, triggering the legislation's automatic reduction to several government programs. These reductions include aggregate reductions to Medicare payments to providers of up to 2% per fiscal year, starting in 2013. The full effect of the PPACA and the BCA on our business is uncertain, and it is not clear whether other legislative changes will be adopted or how those changes would affect the demand for our services.

Payments to us by third-party payors depend substantially upon each payor's coverage and reimbursement policies. Third-party payors may impose limits on coverage or reimbursement for diagnostic imaging services, including denying reimbursement for tests that do not follow recommended diagnostic procedures. Coverage policies also may be expanded to reflect emerging technologies. Because unfavorable coverage and reimbursement policies have and may continue to constrict the profit margins of the hospitals and clinics we bill directly, we have and may continue to need to lower our fees to retain existing clients and attract new ones. If coverage is limited or reimbursement rates are inadequate, a healthcare provider might find it financially unattractive to own diagnostic imaging or radiation oncology systems, yet beneficial to purchase our services. It is possible that third-party coverage and reimbursement policies will affect the need or prices for our services in the future, which could significantly affect our financial performance and our ability to conduct our business. Our MRI and PET/CT revenues decreased in the second quarter of 2012 compared to 2011. We believe our MRI and PET/CT scan volumes were negatively affected during 2011 and 2012 by high unemployment rates, the number of under-insured or uninsured patients, the reported decline in physician office visits, hospitals adding imaging services as they select to add to their core competencies and other conditions arising from global economic conditions. We believe that these factors will continue to affect our MRI and PET/CT revenues in 2012 and in future years.

The principal components of our cost of revenues include compensation paid to technologists, therapists, drivers and other clinical staff; system maintenance costs; insurance; medical supplies; system transportation; technologists' travel costs; and professional costs related to the delivery of radiation therapy and professional radiology interpretation services. Because a majority of these expenses are fixed, increased revenues as a result of higher scan and treatment volumes per system significantly improves our margins while lower scan and treatment volumes result in lower margins.

The principal components of selling, general and administrative expenses are sales and marketing costs, corporate overhead costs, provision for doubtful accounts, and share-based payment.

We record noncontrolling interest and earnings from unconsolidated investees related to our consolidated and unconsolidated subsidiaries, respectively. These subsidiaries primarily provide shared-service and fixed-site diagnostic imaging and radiation therapy services.

We experience seasonality in the revenues and margins generated for our services. First and fourth quarter revenues are typically lower than those from the second and third quarters. First quarter revenue is affected primarily by fewer calendar days and inclement weather, typically resulting in fewer patients being scanned or treated during the period. Fourth quarter revenues are affected by holiday and client and patient vacation schedules, resulting in fewer scans or treatments during the period. The variability in margins is higher than the variability in revenues due to the fixed nature of our costs. We also experience fluctuations in our revenues and margins due to acquisition activity and general economic conditions, including recession or economic slowdown.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations**

The following table shows our consolidated statements of operations as a percentage of revenues for each of the quarters and six months ended June 30:

| | Quarter Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|---------------------------|---------------|------------------------------|---------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 |
| Revenues | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Costs and expenses: | | | | |
| Cost of revenues, excluding depreciation and amortization | 55.9 | 52.9 | 56.4 | 53.9 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 15.6 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 16.1 |
| Transaction costs | 1.4 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.1 |
| Severance and related costs | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| Depreciation expense | 18.2 | 17.1 | 18.4 | 17.5 |
| Amortization expense | 3.6 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.3 |
| Interest expense and other, net | 9.4 | 11.3 | 9.6 | 11.3 |
| Other (income) and expense, net | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 0.6 |
| Total costs and expenses | 104.4 | 101.4 | 103.9 | 103.3 |
| Loss before income taxes, earnings from unconsolidated investees and noncontrolling interest | (4.4) | (1.4) | (3.9) | (3.3) |
| Income tax benefit | (1.8) | (2.0) | (1.5) | (2.1) |
| Earnings from unconsolidated investees | (0.8) | (1.0) | (0.8) | (0.9) |
| Net (loss) income | (1.8) | 1.6 | (1.6) | (0.3) |
| Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest, net of tax | (1.4) | (0.9) | (1.0) | (2.0) |
| Net loss attributable to Alliance HealthCare Services, Inc. | (3.2)% | (0.7)% | (2.6)% | (2.3)% |

The table below provides MRI statistical information for the quarters and six months ended June 30:

| | Quarter Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|---------------------------|-----------|------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 |
| MRI statistics | | | | |
| Average number of total systems | 287.6 | 264.3 | 288.4 | 269.1 |
| Average number of scan-based systems | 243.9 | 222.7 | 244.1 | 225.8 |
| Scans per system per day (scan-based systems) | 8.08 | 8.57 | 8.07 | 8.46 |
| Total number of scan-based MRI scans | 126,674 | 124,605 | 252,427 | 250,997 |
| Price per scan | \$ 373.56 | \$ 359.88 | \$ 373.88 | \$ 359.21 |

Table of Contents

The table below provides PET and PET/CT statistical information for each of the quarters and six months ended June 30:

| | Quarter Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------|------------------------------|--------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 |
| PET and PET/CT statistics | | | | |
| Average number of systems | 121.3 | 114.4 | 120.8 | 115.9 |
| Scans per system per day | 5.32 | 5.60 | 5.39 | 5.62 |
| Total number of PET and PET/CT scans | 41,490 | 40,428 | 83,143 | 82,084 |
| Price per scan | \$ 1,020 | \$ 963 | \$ 1,027 | \$ 967 |

The table below provides Radiation oncology statistical information for each of the quarters and six months ended June 30:

| | Quarter Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------|------------------------------|--------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 |
| Radiation oncology statistics | | | | |
| Linac treatments | 23,649 | 22,894 | 47,081 | 45,346 |
| Cyberknife patients | 533 | 620 | 672 | 1,211 |

Following are the components of revenue (in millions) for each of the quarters and six months ended June 30:

| | Quarter Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 |
| Total MRI revenue | \$ 52.5 | \$ 49.2 | \$ 105.0 | \$ 99.2 |
| PET/CT revenue | 42.8 | 39.6 | 86.3 | 80.6 |
| Radiation oncology revenue | 20.5 | 22.5 | 33.5 | 42.4 |
| Other modalities and other revenue | 12.0 | 9.4 | 21.4 | 19.2 |
| Total | \$ 127.8 | \$ 120.7 | \$ 246.2 | \$ 241.4 |

| | Quarter Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|---------------------------|---------|------------------------------|---------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 |
| Total fixed-site imaging center revenue (in millions) | \$ 31.2 | \$ 30.2 | \$ 61.9 | \$ 60.3 |

Table of Contents*Quarter Ended June 30, 2012 Compared to Quarter Ended June 30, 2011*

Revenue decreased \$7.1 million, or 5.6%, to \$120.7 million in the second quarter of 2012 compared to \$127.8 million in the second quarter of 2011 mostly due to decreases in PET/CT revenues and MRI revenues of \$6.6 million, partially offset by an increase in radiation oncology revenue of \$2.0 million. Radiation oncology revenue increased \$2.0 million, or 9.8%, to \$22.5 million in the second quarter of 2012 compared to \$20.5 million in the second quarter of 2011, primarily due to revenue related to an increase in the number of Cyberknife patients we treated. Other modalities and other revenue decreased \$2.5 million, or 21.2%, to \$9.4 million in the second quarter of 2012 compared to \$12.0 million in the second quarter of 2011, primarily due to our increased efforts in identifying and eliminating unprofitable customers. In total, revenue decreased \$3.1 million due to the termination of unprofitable customer relationships.

PET/CT revenue in the second quarter of 2012 decreased \$3.3 million, or 7.6%, compared to the second quarter of 2011 due to our increased efforts in identifying and eliminating unprofitable customers and a reduction in the average price per PET and PET/CT scan, which decreased to \$963 per scan in the second quarter of 2012 compared to \$1,020 per scan in the second quarter of 2011. The decline in the average price per PET and PET/CT scan is primarily due to reimbursement pressures and greater than expected competitive pricing pressures. The average number of PET and PET/CT systems in service decreased to 114.4 systems in the second quarter of 2012 from 121.3 systems in the second quarter of 2011. Total PET and PET/CT scan volumes decreased in the second quarter of 2012 from 41,490 scans in the second quarter of 2011 to 40,428 scans in 2012. Scans per system per day increased 5.3%, to 5.6 scans per system per day in the second quarter of 2012 from 5.3 scans per system per day in the second quarter of 2011.

MRI revenue decreased \$3.3 million in the second quarter of 2012, or 6.4%, compared to the second quarter of 2011. Scan-based MRI revenue decreased \$2.5 million in the second quarter of 2012, or 5.2%, compared to the second quarter of 2011, to \$44.8 million in the second quarter of 2012 from \$47.3 million in the second quarter of 2011. The decrease in scan-based MRI revenue is primarily due to our increased efforts in identifying and eliminating unprofitable customers and a reduction in the average price per MRI scan, which decreased to \$359.88 per scan in the second quarter of 2012 from \$373.56 per scan in the second quarter of 2011. The decline in the average price per MRI scan is primarily due to greater than expected competitive pricing pressure. The average number of scan-based systems in service decreased to 222.7 systems in the second quarter of 2012 from 243.9 systems in the second quarter of 2011. Average scans per system per day increased by 6.1% to 8.57 in the second quarter of 2012 from 8.08 in the second quarter of 2011. Scan-based MRI scan volume decreased 1.6% to 124,605 scans in the second quarter of 2012 from 126,674 scans in the second quarter of 2011. We have increased our sales efforts to renew existing clients and add new MRI customers, which has had a positive impact on our scan volumes. Non scan-based MRI revenue decreased \$0.9 million in the second quarter of 2012 over the same period in 2011 primarily due to a decrease in the number of hospital construction projects and a decrease in the number of equipment upgrades occurring in the hospital market, both of which impact the demand for our non scan-based MRI business. Included in the revenue totals above are fixed-site imaging center revenues, which decreased \$1.0 million, or 3.1%, to \$30.2 million in the second quarter of 2012 from \$31.2 million in the second quarter of 2011.

We had 272 MRI systems at June 30, 2012, compared to 304 MRI systems at June 30, 2011. We had 120 PET and PET/CT systems at June 30, 2012, compared to 127 PET and PET/CT systems at June 30, 2011. We operated 126 fixed-site imaging centers (including one in an unconsolidated investee) at June 30, 2012, compared to 138 fixed-site imaging centers (including three in unconsolidated investees) at June 30, 2011. We operated 32 radiation oncology centers (including one unconsolidated investee) at June 30, 2012, compared to 36 radiation oncology centers (including three unconsolidated investees) at June 30, 2011.

Cost of revenues, excluding depreciation and amortization, decreased \$7.5 million, or 10.5%, to \$63.9 million in the second quarter of 2012 compared to \$71.4 million in the second quarter of 2011. Compensation and related employee expenses decreased \$3.4 million, or 10.6%, primarily as a result of a decrease in average employee headcount. Maintenance and related costs decreased \$2.4 million, or 14.7%, due to a decrease in service costs related to a decrease in the number of MRI, PET and PET/CT systems in operation and a reduction in service contract costs incurred on each asset. Renegotiating with service contract providers and medical supply vendors is one of our Project Phoenix initiatives. Medical supplies decreased \$1.1 million, or 17.6%, primarily as a result of sourcing discounts on the radiopharmaceutical that is used as a component of PET and PET/CT scans. Fuel expenses decreased \$0.3 million, or 16.5%, primarily due to the decline in the average price per gallon of diesel fuel and a decrease in the number of miles driven to transport our mobile systems. All other cost of revenues, excluding depreciation and amortization, decreased \$0.3 million, or 2.1%. Cost of revenues, as a percentage of revenue, decreased to 52.9% in the second quarter of 2012 from 55.9% in the second quarter of 2011 as a result of the factors described above.

Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased \$1.8 million, or 9.1%, to \$18.1 million in the second quarter of 2012 compared to \$19.9 million in the second quarter of 2011. The majority of the decrease in selling, general and administrative expenses was due a \$2.0 million decrease in non-cash stock-based compensation expense. The expense reversal in 2012 was due to the forfeiture of restricted stock granted in 2010 to our former Chief Executive Officer. Total historical expense recorded through March 31, 2012 in connection with this grant was \$1.4 million, all of which reversed during the second quarter of 2012. The provision for doubtful accounts decreased \$0.6 million, or 44.0%, primarily due to continued strong collections in 2012. The provision for doubtful accounts as a percentage of revenue was 0.6% in the second

quarter of

Table of Contents

2012 compared to 1.1% of revenue in the second quarter of 2011. Professional services expenses increased \$0.6 million, or 24.9%, due to an increase in professional consulting fees. All other selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$0.3 million, or 1.8%. Selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenue were 15.0% and 15.6% in the second quarters of 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Transaction costs decreased \$1.8 million, or 98.9%, to \$20 thousand in the second quarter of 2012 compared to \$1.8 million in the second quarter of 2011 as there was no acquisition activity in the first half of 2012.

Severance and related costs increased \$0.5 million, or 190.7%, to \$0.8 million in the second quarter of 2012 compared to \$0.3 million in the second quarter of 2011.

Depreciation expense decreased \$2.5 million, or 10.8%, to \$20.7 million in the second quarter of 2012 compared to \$23.2 million in the second quarter of 2011.

Amortization expense decreased \$0.6 million, or 13.3%, to \$4.0 million in the second quarter of 2012 compared to \$4.6 million in the second quarter of 2011, primarily due to non-recurring impairment charges for intangible assets in the third quarter of 2011.

Interest expense and other, net increased \$1.7 million, or 14.0%, to \$13.7 million in the second quarter of 2012 compared to \$12.0 million in the second quarter of 2011, primarily due to a higher average interest rates in 2011 on our credit facility.

Income tax benefit was \$2.4 million in the second quarter of 2012 compared to \$2.2 million in the second quarter of 2011, resulting in effective tax rates of 75.2% and 35.6%, respectively. Our effective tax rates differed from the federal statutory rate principally as a result of state income taxes and permanent non-deductible tax items, including share-based payments, unrecognized tax benefits and other permanent differences.

Earnings from unconsolidated investees increased \$0.1 million, or 12.6%, to \$1.2 million in the second quarter of 2012 compared to \$1.0 million in the second quarter of 2011.

Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest increased \$1.0 million, or 57.7%, to \$2.7 million in the second quarter of 2012 compared to \$1.7 million in the second quarter of 2011, primarily due to the acquisition of U.S. Radiosurgery, LLC ([USR](#)).

Net loss attributable to Alliance HealthCare Services, Inc. was \$0.8 million, or \$0.02 per share on a diluted basis, in the second quarter of 2012 compared to net income of \$4.0 million, or \$(0.08) per share on a diluted basis, in the second quarter of 2011.

Table of Contents*Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2011*

Revenue decreased \$4.8 million, or 1.9%, to \$241.4 million in the first six months of 2012 compared to \$246.2 million in the first six months of 2011 due decreases in PET/CT revenues and MRI revenues of \$11.4 million, partially offset by an increase in radiation oncology revenue. Radiation oncology revenue increased \$8.9 million, or 26.6%, to \$42.4 million in the first six months of 2012 compared to \$33.5 million in the first six months of 2011, primarily due to revenue related to the acquisition of USR. Other modalities and other revenue decreased \$2.2 million, or 10.6% to \$19.2 million in the first six months of 2012 compared to \$21.4 million in the first six months of 2011, primarily due to our increased efforts in identifying and eliminating unprofitable customers, partially offset by the acquisition of Radiology 24/7, LLC, (24/7 RAD) in April 2011. In total, revenue decreased \$5.3 million due to the termination of unprofitable customer relationships.

PET/CT revenue in the first six months of 2012 decreased \$5.7 million, or 6.6%, compared to the first six months of 2011 due to our increased efforts in identifying and eliminating unprofitable customers and a reduction in the average price per PET and PET/CT scan, which decreased to \$967 per scan in the first six months of 2012 compared to \$1,027 per scan in the first six months of 2011. The decline in the average price per PET and PET/CT scan is primarily due to reimbursement and greater than expected competitive pricing pressures. The average number of PET and PET/CT systems in service decreased to 115.9 systems in the first six months of 2012 from 120.8 systems in the first six months of 2011. Total PET and PET/CT scan volumes decreased 1.3% to 82,084 scans in the first six months of 2012 from 83,143 scans in the first six months of 2011, primarily due to a decrease caused by the persistent high rate of unemployment and the related number of uninsured and under-insured patients. Scans per system per day decreased 4.3% to 5.62 scans per system per day in the first six months of 2012 from 5.39 scans per system per day in the first six months of 2011.

MRI revenue decreased \$5.7 million in the first six months of 2012, or 5.5%, compared to the first six months of 2011. Scan-based MRI revenue decreased \$4.2 million in the first six months of 2012, or 4.5%, compared to the first six months of 2011, to \$90.2 million in the first six months of 2012 from \$94.4 million in the first six months of 2011. The decrease in scan-based MRI revenue is primarily due to our increased efforts in identifying and eliminating unprofitable customers and a reduction in the average price per MRI scan, which decreased to \$359.21 per scan in the first six months of 2012 from \$373.88 per scan in the first six months of 2011. The decline in the average price per MRI scan is primarily due to greater than expected competitive pricing pressure. The average number of scan-based systems in service decreased to 225.8 systems in the first six months of 2012 from 244.1 systems in the first six months of 2011. Average scans per system per day increased by 4.8% to 8.46 in the first six months of 2012 from 8.07 in the first six months of 2011. Scan-based MRI scan volume decreased 0.6% to 250,997 scans in the first six months of 2012 from 252,427 scans in the first six months of 2011. We have increased our efforts to renew existing clients and add new MRI customers, which has had a positive impact on our scan volumes. Non scan-based MRI revenue decreased \$1.5 million in the first six months of 2012 over the same period in 2011 primarily due to an increase in the number of hospital construction projects and an increase in the number of equipment upgrades occurring in the hospital market, both of which impact the demand for our non scan-based MRI business. Included in the revenue totals above are fixed-site imaging center revenues, which decreased \$1.6 million, or 2.6%, to \$60.3 million in the first six months of 2012 from \$61.9 million in the first six months of 2011.

We had 272 MRI systems at June 30, 2012, compared to 304 MRI systems at June 30, 2011. We had 120 PET and PET/CT systems at June 30, 2012, compared to 127 PET and PET/CT systems at June 30, 2011. We operated 126 fixed-site imaging centers (including one unconsolidated investee) at June 30, 2012, compared to 138 fixed-site imaging centers (including three in unconsolidated investees) at June 30, 2011. We operated 32 radiation oncology centers (including one unconsolidated investee) at June 30, 2012, compared to 36 radiation oncology centers (including three unconsolidated investees) at June 30, 2011.

Cost of revenues, excluding depreciation and amortization, decreased \$8.7 million, or 6.3%, to \$130.0 million in the first six months of 2012 compared to \$138.8 million in the first six months of 2011. Compensation and related employee expenses decreased \$3.5 million, or 5.7%, primarily as a result of the decrease in average employee headcount. Maintenance and related costs decreased \$3.1 million, or 9.9%, due to a decrease in service costs related to the decrease in the number of MRI, PET/CT and radiation oncology systems in operation, and a reduction in service contract costs incurred on each asset. Renegotiating with service contract providers and medical supply vendors is one of our Project Phoenix initiatives. Medical supplies decreased \$2.2 million, or 16.8%, primarily as a result of sourcing discounts on the radiopharmaceutical that is used as a component of PET and PET/CT scans. Fuel expenses decreased \$0.2 million, or 7.0%, primarily due to the decline in the average price per gallon of diesel fuel and a decrease in the number of miles driven to transport our mobile systems. Outside medical services increased \$1.0 million, or 8.4%, primarily as a result of an increase in professional services related to the acquisition of 24/7 RAD. All other cost of revenues, excluding depreciation and amortization, decreased \$0.7 million, or 3.8%, due to the implementation of various cost savings initiatives throughout the Company. Cost of revenues, as a percentage of revenue, decreased to 53.9% in the first six months of 2012 from 56.4% in the first six months of 2011 as a result of the factors described above.

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$2.0 million, or 5.3% to \$38.9 million in the first six months of 2012 compared to \$36.9 million in the first six months of 2011. Professional services expenses increased \$2.9 million, or 70.8%, due to an increase in professional consulting fees mainly in support of our Project Phoenix efficiency initiatives and

Table of Contents

legal fees. Compensation and related employee expenses increased \$1.2 million, or 5.3%, primarily as a result of investments in our sales force and oncology division. These increases in selling, general and administrative expenses were partially offset by a decrease of \$2.6 million in non-cash stock based compensation expense. The expense reversal in 2012 was due to the forfeiture of restricted stock granted in 2010 to our former Chief Executive Officer. The provision for doubtful accounts decreased \$0.1 million, or 7.0%, during the first six months of 2012 primarily due to continued strong collections in 2012. The provision for doubtful accounts as a percentage of revenue was 0.6% in the first six months of 2012 compared to 0.7% of revenue in the first six months of 2011. All other selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$0.5 million, or 8.9%. Selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenue were 16.1% and 15.0% in the first six months of 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Transaction costs decreased \$1.9 million, or 87.9%, to \$0.3 million in the first six months of 2012 compared to \$2.2 million in the first six months of 2011 as there was no acquisition activity in the first half of 2012.

Severance and related costs increased \$0.6 million, or 78.3%, to \$1.3 million in the first six months of 2012 compared to \$0.7 million in the first six months of 2011.

Depreciation expense decreased \$3.1 million, or 6.9%, to \$42.1 million in the first six months of 2012 compared to \$45.2 million in the first six months of 2011.

Amortization expense increased \$0.1 million, or 0.9%, to \$8.0 million in the first six months of 2012 compared to \$7.9 million in the first six months of 2011, primarily due to the incremental amortization expense for intangible assets acquired in conjunction with our acquisitions in the second quarter of 2011.

Interest expense and other, net increased \$3.6 million, or 15.3%, to \$27.4 million in the first six months of 2012 compared to \$23.7 million in the first six months of 2011, primarily due to higher average interest rates in 2012 on our credit facility.

Income tax benefit was \$5.1 million in the first six months of 2012 compared to \$3.6 million in the first six months of 2011, resulting in effective tax rates of 47.4% and 35.7%, respectively. Our effective tax rates differed from the federal statutory rate principally as a result of state income taxes and permanent non-deductible tax items, including share-based payments, unrecognized tax benefits and other permanent differences.

Earnings from unconsolidated investees increased \$0.2 million, or 10.8%, to \$2.2 million in the first six months of 2012 compared to \$2.0 million in the first six months of 2011.

Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest increased \$2.4 million, or 92.7%, to \$5.0 million in the first six months of 2012 compared to \$2.6 million in the first six months of 2011, primarily due to an increase in noncontrolling interest related to the acquisition of USR.

Net loss attributable to Alliance HealthCare Services, Inc. was \$5.6 million, or \$(0.11) per share on a diluted basis, in the first six months of 2012 compared to \$6.4 million, or \$(0.12) per share on a diluted basis, in the first six months of 2011.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our primary source of liquidity is cash provided by operating activities. We generated \$42.8 million and \$46.7 million of cash flow from operating activities in the first six months of 2012 and 2011, respectively. Our ability to generate cash flow is affected by numerous factors, including demand for MRI, PET/CT, other diagnostic imaging and radiation oncology services. Our ability to generate cash flow from operating activities is also dependent upon the collections of our accounts receivable. The provision for doubtful accounts decreased by \$0.1 million in the first six months of 2012 compared to the first six months of 2011. Our number of days of revenue outstanding for our accounts receivable decreased to 52 days as of June 30, 2012 from 53 days as of June 30, 2011. We believe this number is comparable to other diagnostic imaging and radiation oncology providers. As of June 30, 2012, we had \$64.0 million of available borrowings under our revolving line of credit, net of outstanding letters of credit.

We used cash of \$9.7 million and \$69.5 million for investing activities in the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Investing activities in the first six months of 2012 included \$4.5 million from proceeds from sales of assets, and \$1.2 million in cash provided by a decrease in cash in escrow. While we had no acquisition activity in the first half of 2012, we may continue to use cash for acquisitions in the future. Other than acquisitions, our primary use of capital resources is to fund capital expenditures. We spend capital:

to purchase new systems;

to replace less advanced systems with new systems;

Table of Contents

to upgrade MRI, PET, PET/CT and radiation oncology systems; and

to upgrade our corporate infrastructure, primarily in information technology.

Capital expenditures totaled \$9.9 million and \$21.1 million in the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. During the first six months of 2012, we purchased one PET/CT system, three other modality systems, and financed the purchase of one radiation oncology system. We traded-in or sold a total of 74 systems during the six months ended June 30, 2012, including 37 MRI systems, 16 PET/CT or CT systems, three radiation oncology systems, and 18 other modality systems. Our decision to purchase a new system is typically predicated on obtaining new or extending existing client contracts, which serve as the basis of demand for the new system. We expect to purchase additional systems in 2012 and finance substantially all of these purchases with our available cash, cash from operating activities and equipment leases. Based upon the client demand described above, which dictates the amount and type of equipment we purchase, we expect capital expenditures to total approximately \$40 million to \$50 million in 2012.

At June 30, 2012, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$61.9 million. This available cash and cash equivalents are held in accounts managed by third-party financial institutions and consist of invested cash and cash in our operating accounts. The invested cash is invested in interest-bearing funds managed by third-party financial institutions. These funds invest in high-quality money market instruments, primarily direct obligations of the government of the United States. To date, we have experienced no loss or lack of access to our invested cash or cash equivalents; however, we cannot assure you that access to our invested cash and cash equivalents will not be affected by adverse conditions in the financial markets.

At June 30, 2012, we had \$0.6 million in our accounts with third-party financial institutions that exceed the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) insurance limits. While we monitor daily the cash balances in our operating accounts and adjust the cash balances as appropriate, these cash balances could be adversely affected if the underlying financial institutions fail or could be subject to other adverse conditions in the financial markets. To date, we have experienced no loss or lack of access to cash in our operating accounts.

We believe that, based on current levels of operations, our cash flow from operating activities, together with other available sources of liquidity, including borrowings available under our revolving line of credit, will be sufficient over the next one to two years to fund anticipated capital expenditures and potential acquisitions and make required payments of principal and interest on our debt and other contracts. As of June 30, 2012, we are in compliance with all covenants contained in our long-term debt agreements and expect that we will be in compliance with these covenants for the remainder of 2012.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

For a discussion of recent accounting pronouncements, please refer to Note 4 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Cautionary Statement Pursuant to the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995

Certain statements contained in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, particularly in the sections entitled Overview, Results of Operations and Liquidity and Capital Resources, and elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, are forward-looking statements, within the meaning of the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

In some cases you can identify these statements by forward-looking words, such as may, will, should, expect, plan, anticipate, believe, predict, seek, intend and continue or similar words. Forward-looking statements may also use different phrases. Forward-looking statements address, among other things, our future expectations, projections of our future results of operations or of our financial condition and other forward-looking information and include statements related to the Company's improvement plan, including its efforts to stabilize and grow the Imaging Division, grow the Radiation Oncology Division, and increase organizational efficiency through the Journey to Excellence and Project Phoenix initiative, as well as expected annualized savings.

Statements regarding the following subjects, among others, are forward-looking by their nature:

- (a) future legislation and other healthcare regulatory reform actions, and the effect of that legislation and other regulatory actions on our business,
- (b) our expectations with respect to future MRI, PET/CT and radiation oncology volumes and revenues,
- (c) the effect of seasonality on our business,
- (d) our expectations with respect to the sufficiency of our liquidity over the next one to two years,
- (e) our expectations with respect to capital expenditures in 2012, and
- (f) the effect of recent accounting pronouncements on our results of operations and cash flows or financial position.

We believe it is important to communicate our expectations to our investors. There may be events in the future, however, that we are unable to predict accurately or that we do not fully control that cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by our forward-looking statements, including:

our high degree of leverage and our ability to service our debt;

factors affecting our leverage, including interest rates;

the risk that the counterparties to our interest rate swap agreements fail to satisfy their obligations under those agreements;

our ability to obtain financing;

the effect of operating and financial restrictions in our debt instruments;

the accuracy of our estimates regarding our capital requirements;

intense levels of competition in our industry;

changes in the rates or methods of third-party reimbursements for diagnostic imaging and radiation oncology services;

fluctuations or unpredictability of our revenues, including as a result of seasonality;

changes in the healthcare regulatory environment;

our ability to keep pace with technological developments within our industry;

the growth or decline in the market for MRI and other services;

the disruptive effect of hurricanes and other natural disasters;

adverse changes in general domestic and worldwide economic conditions and instability and disruption of credit and equity markets;

our ability to successfully integrate acquisitions;

our ability to meet the New York Stock Exchange continued listing standards; and

other factors discussed under Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 and that are otherwise described or updated from time to time in our SEC reports by us.

Table of Contents**ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

We provide our services exclusively in the United States and receive payment for our services exclusively in United States dollars. As a result, our financial results are unlikely to be affected by factors such as changes in foreign currency exchange rates or weak economic conditions in foreign markets.

Our interest expense is sensitive to changes in the general level of interest rates in the United States, particularly because the majority of our indebtedness has interest rates which are variable. The recorded carrying amount of our long-term debt under our New Credit Facility approximates fair value as these borrowings have variable rates that reflect currently available terms and conditions for similar debt. To decrease the risk associated with interest rate increases, we have entered into multiple interest rate swap and collar agreements for a portion of our variable rate debt. These swaps and collars are designated as cash flow hedges of variable future cash flows associated with our long-term debt.

In the first quarter of 2010, we entered into one interest rate swap agreement (the 2010 Swap) and three interest rate cap agreements (the 2010 Caps) to avoid unplanned volatility in the income statement due to changes in the LIBOR interest rate environment. The 2010 Swap, which matured in January 2011, had a notional amount of \$92.7 million. The interest rate cap agreements, which mature in February 2014, have a total notional amount of \$150.0 million and were designated as cash flow hedges of future cash interest payments associated with a portion of our variable rate bank debt. Under these arrangements, we have purchased a cap on LIBOR at 4.50%. We paid \$1.5 million to enter into the caps, which is being amortized through interest expense over the life of the agreements. For the quarters ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, we paid no net settlement amounts on the 2010 Caps.

In the second quarter of 2011, we acquired two interest rate swap agreements (the USR Swaps) as part of the acquisition of USR. One of the USR Swaps, which matures in October 2015, had a notional amount of \$3.5 million as of June 30, 2012. Under the terms of this agreement, we receive one-month LIBOR and pay a fixed rate of 5.71%. The net effect of the hedge is to record interest expense at a fixed rate of 8.71%, as the underlying debt incurred interest based on one-month LIBOR plus 3.00%. The other USR Swap, which matures in April 2014, had a notional amount of \$1.9 million as of June 30, 2012. Under the terms of this agreement, we receive one-month LIBOR and pay a fixed rate of 4.15%. The net effect of the hedge is to record interest expense at a fixed rate of 6.15%, as the underlying debt incurred interest based on one-month LIBOR plus 2.00%. As a result of the acquisition of USR, the USR Swaps were de-designated, hedge accounting was terminated and all further changes in the fair market value of these swaps are being recorded in interest expense and other, net. Settlement amounts under these swap agreements were not material for the six months ended June 30, 2012.

During the first quarter of 2010, we entered into a diesel fuel swap agreement that had a notional quantity of 1,008,000 gallons, or 84,000 gallons per month, to hedge future cash payments associated with purchasing diesel fuel for our mobile fleet. Under the terms of this agreement, which matured in February 2011, we received the DOE published monthly average price per gallon and paid a fixed rate of \$3.25 per gallon. We designated this swap as a cash flow hedge of future cash flows associated with our diesel fuel payments. We recorded effective changes in the fair value of the swap through comprehensive income (loss) and reclassified gains or losses to fuel expense (included in cost of revenues, excluding depreciation and amortization) when the underlying fuel was purchased. Settlement amounts under this swap were not material for the quarter ended June 30, 2011. For the quarter ended June 30, 2011, amounts recognized in other (income) and expense, net were not material.

During the second quarter of 2011, we entered into a diesel fuel swap agreement that has a notional quantity of 450,000 gallons, or 37,500 gallons per month, to hedge future cash payments associated with purchasing diesel fuel for our mobile fleet. Under the terms of this agreement, which matured in April 2012, we received the DOE published monthly average price per gallon and paid a fixed rate of \$4.31 per gallon. We designated this swap as a cash flow hedge of future cash flows associated with our diesel fuel payments. We recorded the effective changes in the fair value of the swap through comprehensive income (loss), reclassifying gains or losses to fuel expense (included in cost of revenues, excluding depreciation and amortization) when the underlying fuel is purchased. Settlement amounts under this swap were not material for the six months ended June 30, 2012. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, amounts recognized in other (income) and expense, net were not material.

Our interest income is sensitive to changes in the general level of interest rates in the United States, particularly because the majority of our investments are in cash equivalents. We maintain our cash equivalents in financial instruments with original maturities of 90 days or less. Cash and cash equivalents are invested in interest bearing funds managed by third-party financial institutions. These funds invest in high-quality money market instruments, primarily direct obligations of the government of the United States. At June 30, 2012, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$61.9 million, of which \$0.6 million was held in accounts that are with third-party financial institutions which exceed the FDIC insurance limits. At June 30, 2011, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$64.2 million, of which \$58.1 million was held in accounts that are with third-party financial institutions which exceed the FDIC insurance limits.

Table of Contents

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Also, we have investments in certain unconsolidated entities. As we do not control or manage these entities, our disclosure controls and procedures with respect to such entities are more limited than those we maintain with respect to our consolidated subsidiaries. These unconsolidated entities are not considered material to our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

As required by SEC Rule 13a-15(b), we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on the foregoing, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting during our most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

From time to time, we are involved in routine litigation incidental to the conduct of our business. We believe that none of this litigation pending against us will have a material adverse effect on our business.

In connection with our acquisition of Medical Outsourcing Services, LLC (MOS) in the third quarter of 2008, we subsequently identified a Medicare billing practice related to a portion of MOS 's retail billing operations that raised compliance issues under Medicare reimbursement guidelines. The practice was in place before the acquisition and was discontinued when we became aware of it. In accordance with our corporate compliance program, we have entered into discussions with representatives of the federal government to advise them of the issue and seek guidance on appropriate next steps. The discussions are ongoing and no resolution has yet been reached. No material amounts have been accrued to date.

In June 2010, we commenced arbitration proceedings against the former owners of MOS related to the Medicare billing matter, in addition to certain other indemnification issues. In the arbitration, we asserted claims of fraud and breach of representations and warranties.

On December 29, 2011, we received notice of an award by the arbitration panel, which awarded us \$2.5 million in damages for breach of contract claims, plus prejudgment interest at 9% under New York law from July 29, 2008 (which interest continues to accrue until the award is paid in full); \$0.3 million for two other indemnification claims; \$1.5 million for attorneys' fees and expenses; and \$0.1 million for arbitration expenses. The award also provides that approximately \$1.3 million of a remaining indemnification cap created in connection with the acquisition is available for future indemnification claims, including with respect to the potential government claim discussed above, and must be satisfied by the former owners of MOS. On January 25, 2012, one of the former owners of MOS paid \$0.7 million to us, and on February 17, 2012, the same owner released \$0.6 million to us from amounts held in an indemnification escrow related to the acquisition. On January 25, 2012, we filed an action in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois to confirm the award as a judgment against the other former owner of MOS that has refused to satisfy its obligations under the award. On June 18, 2012, the Court confirmed the award and entered judgment in accordance with the award against the other former owner of MOS. No appeal was taken from the Court confirmation of the award, and the other former owner of MOS has consented to the release of \$1.8 million remaining in the indemnification escrow, and has paid the Company an additional \$2.2 million. Following these payments, an additional \$1.2 million will be due from the former owners of MOS if the government seeks repayment and penalties.

Although the government may seek repayment and penalties relating to the billing practice, we do not expect that such repayment and penalties taken as a whole, if imposed on us, would have a material effect on our results of operations, cash flows or financial position because we believe the amounts we would owe will be substantially or fully off-set by the amounts awarded to us by the arbitration panel and future recoveries under the indemnification provisions or otherwise. The outcomes of these matters are uncertain and management cannot reasonably estimate possible losses or a range of losses that might result from resolution of such matters. Accordingly, no amounts have been accrued.

In June 2012, Pacific Coast Cardiology (PCC) d/b/a Pacific Coast Imaging, Emanuel Shaoulian, MD, Inc., and Michael M. Radin, MD, Inc. filed a lawsuit in California state court against the Company and other defendants. The complaint asserts a number of claims related to the Company 's decision not to purchase PCC in 2010, and also separately seeks a determination regarding an amount the Company contends is owed to it by PCC pursuant to a previous contractual arrangement. Plaintiffs are seeking monetary and punitive damages. The Company intends to vigorously defend against the claims asserted in this lawsuit. The Company has not recorded an expense related to any potential damages in connection with this matter because any potential loss is not probable or reasonably estimable.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

The Company has included in Part I, Item 1A of its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, a description of risks and uncertainties that could affect the Company 's business, future performance or financial condition (the Risk Factors). The Risk Factors are hereby incorporated in Part II, Item 1A of this quarterly report on Form 10-Q. Investors should consider the Risk Factors prior to making an investment decision with respect to the Company 's stock.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

Not applicable.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

Not applicable.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

Not applicable.

Table of Contents**ITEM 6. EXHIBITS**

(a) Exhibits

Exhibit

| No. | Description |
|------------|--|
| 3.1 | Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Alliance.(3) |
| 3.2 | Certificate of Amendment to Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Alliance.(11) |
| 3.3 | Amended and Restated By-laws of Alliance.(3) |
| 3.4 | Certain Amended and Restated Provisions of the By-laws of Alliance.(10) |
| 4.1 | Specimen certificate for shares of common stock, \$.01 par value, of Alliance.(3) |
| 4.2 | Indenture, including the form of Note, dated as of December 1, 2009, with respect to the 8% Senior Notes due 2016, between Alliance HealthCare Services, Inc., as issuer, and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as trustee.(15) |
| 10.1* | The 1999 Equity Plan for Employees of Alliance and Subsidiaries, as amended and restated.(14) |
| 10.2* | Form of non-qualified stock option agreement under the 1999 Equity Plan for Employees of Alliance and Subsidiaries, as amended and restated.(1) |
| 10.3* | Alliance Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan, as amended and restated.(10) |
| 10.4* | Stock Subscription Agreement dated as of January 2, 2003 between Alliance and Paul S. Viviano.(4) |
| 10.5* | Stock Subscription Agreement dated as of February 3, 2003 between Alliance and Paul S. Viviano.(4) |
| 10.6 | Form of Stockholders' Agreement.(1) |
| 10.7* | Form of Indemnification Agreement.(2) |
| 10.8* | Amended and Restated Employment Agreement dated as of May 9, 2005 between Alliance and Paul S. Viviano.(5) |
| 10.9* | Amended and Restated Agreement Not to Compete dated as of May 9, 2005 between Alliance and Paul S. Viviano.(5) |
| 10.10* | Employment Agreement dated as of December 1, 2005 between Alliance and Howard K. Aihara.(6) |
| 10.11* | Agreement Not to Compete dated as of December 1, 2005 between Alliance and Howard K. Aihara.(6) |
| 10.12* | Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement under the 1999 Equity Plan for Employees of Alliance and Subsidiaries, as amended and restated.(7) |
| 10.13* | Form of Restricted Stock Unit Award Grant Notice and Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement (Directors) under the 1999 Equity Plan for Employees of Alliance and Subsidiaries, as amended and restated.(10) |
| 10.14* | Form of Stock Bonus Award Agreement under the 1999 Equity Plan for Employees of Alliance and Subsidiaries, as amended and restated.(7) |
| 10.15 | Governance and Standstill Agreement, dated as of March 16, 2007, among Alliance Imaging, Inc., OCM Principal Opportunities Fund IV, LP., and MTS Health Investors II, L.P.(8) |
| 10.16* | Form of Executive Severance Agreement.(8) |
| 10.17* | Amendment of Employment Agreement, dated as of April 16, 2007, between Paul S. Viviano and Alliance Imaging, Inc.(9) |
| 10.18* | Amendment of Employment Agreement, dated as of April 16, 2007, between Howard K. Aihara and Alliance Imaging, Inc.(9) |
| 10.19* | New form of non-qualified stock option agreement under the 1999 Equity Plan for Employees of Alliance and Subsidiaries, as amended and restated.(12) |

Table of Contents

Exhibit

| No. | Description |
|------------|--|
| 10.20* | Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement under the 1999 Equity Plan for Employees of Alliance and Subsidiaries, as amended and restated (For Director Awards Only).(13) |
| 10.21* | Amendment to the Alliance Imaging, Inc. Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan, as amended and restated.(13) |
| 10.22* | Second Amendment of Employment Agreement, dated as of December 9, 2008, between Paul S. Viviano and Alliance Imaging, Inc.(13) |
| 10.23* | Second Amendment of Employment Agreement, dated as of December 9, 2008, between Howard K. Aihara and Alliance Imaging, Inc.(13) |
| 10.24* | Form of Amendment of Executive Severance Agreement.(13) |
| 10.25 | Credit Agreement, dated as of December 1, 2009, among Alliance HealthCare Services, Inc., the financial institutions listed on the signature pages thereof and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, as administrative agent for the lenders.(15) |
| 10.26 | Amendment No. 1, dated as of September 27, 2011, to Credit Agreement, dated as of December 1, 2009, among Alliance HealthCare Services, Inc., the financial institutions listed on the signature pages thereof and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, as administrative agent for the lenders.(16) |
| 10.27* | Form of Letter Agreement Evidencing Retention Bonus Arrangements with Executive Officers, dated as of January 31, 2012, with schedule of individual bonus amounts.(17) |
| 10.28* | Schedule of 2012 Executive Officer Compensation.(17) |
| 10.29* | Schedule of Non-Employee Director Compensation.(17) |
| 10.30* | Offer Letter, dated as of May 31, 2012, between Larry C. Buckelew and Alliance HealthCare Services Inc.(18) |
| 10.31* | Offer Letter, dated as of May 31, 2012, between Michael J. Shea and Alliance HealthCare Services Inc.(18) |
| 21.1 | Subsidiaries of the Registrant.(7) |
| 31 | Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.(18) |
| 32 | Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.(18) |
| 101 | The following materials from Alliance's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012, formatted in eXtensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL): (a) Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011; (b) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the quarters ended June 30, 2012 and 2011; (c) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the quarters ended June 30, 2012 and 2011; and (d) Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.(19) |

- (1) Incorporated by reference to exhibits filed with the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-4, No. 333-60682, as amended.
- (2) Incorporated by reference to exhibits filed with the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1, No. 333-64322, as amended.
- (3) Incorporated by reference to exhibits filed in response to Item 6, Exhibits of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2001 (File No. 001-16609).
- (4) Incorporated by reference herein to the indicated Exhibit response in Item 15(a)(3), Exhibits of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002 (File No. 001-16609).
- (5) Incorporated by reference to exhibits filed in response to Item 6, Exhibits of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2005 (File No. 001-16609).
- (6) Incorporated by reference herein to the indicated Exhibit response in Item 15(a)(3), Exhibits of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 (File No. 001-16609).
- (7) Incorporated by reference herein to the indicated Exhibit response in Item 15(a)(3), Exhibits of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006 (File No. 001-16609).
- (8) Incorporated by reference to Item 9.01(d), Exhibits of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated March 16, 2007 (File No. 001-16609)
- (9)

Edgar Filing: Alliance HealthCare Services, Inc - Form 10-Q

Incorporated by reference to Item 9.01(d), Exhibits of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated April 16, 2007
(File No. 001-16609)

Table of Contents

- (10) Incorporated by reference to exhibits filed in response to Item 9.01(d), Exhibits of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated December 14, 2007 (File No. 001-16609)
 - (11) Incorporated by reference to exhibits filed in response to Item 9.01(c), Exhibits of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated February 17, 2009 (File No. 001-16609)
 - (12) Incorporated by reference herein to the indicated Exhibit response in Item 15(a)(3), Exhibits of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007 (File No. 001-16609)
 - (13) Incorporated by reference herein to the indicated Exhibit response in Item 15(a)(3), Exhibits of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 (File No. 001-16609)
 - (14) Incorporated by reference to exhibits filed in response to Item 6, Exhibits of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 (File No. 001-16609).
 - (15) Incorporated by reference herein to the indicated Exhibit response in Item 9.01(c), Exhibits of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated December 4, 2009 (File No. 001-16609)
 - (16) Incorporated by reference to exhibits filed in response to Item 6, Exhibits of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2011 (File No. 001-16609).
 - (17) Incorporated by reference herein to the indicated Exhibit response in Item 15(a)(3), Exhibits of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 (File No. 001-16609)
 - (18) Filed herewith.
 - (19) Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the Interactive Data Files on Exhibit 101 hereto are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, are deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise are not subject to liability under those sections.
- * Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

ALLIANCE HEALTHCARE SERVICES, INC.

August 7, 2012

By: */s/* LARRY C. BUCKELEW
Larry C. Buckelew
Chairman of the Board and
Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

August 7, 2012

By: */s/* HOWARD K. AIHARA
Howard K. Aihara
Executive Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

August 7, 2012

By: */s/* NICHOLAS A. POAN
Nicholas A. Poan
Senior Vice President, Corporate Finance and
Chief Accounting Officer
(Principal Accounting Officer)