JABIL CIRCUIT INC Form 10-O July 03, 2012

# **UNITED STATES** SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

## **FORM 10-Q**

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE **ACT OF 1934** 

For the quarterly period ended May 31, 2012

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE **ACT OF 1934** 

For the transition period from

Commission File Number: 001-14063

to

## JABIL CIRCUIT, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of

38-1886260 (I.R.S. Employer

incorporation or organization) Identification No.) 10560 Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Street North, St. Petersburg, Florida 33716

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(727) 577-9749

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer x Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer " (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes " No x

As of June 21, 2012, there were 205,481,725 shares of the registrant s Common Stock outstanding.

## JABIL CIRCUIT, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES INDEX

<u>Part I Fi</u>	inancial Information	
Item 1.	Financial Statements	
	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at May 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011	3
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2012 and 2011	4
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2012	
	and 2011	5
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity at May 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011	6
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended May 31, 2012 and 2011	7
	Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	8
Item 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	24
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	34
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	35
Part II C	Other Information	
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	36
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	36
Item 2.	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	52
Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	53
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	53
Item 5.	Other Information	53
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	54
	<u>Signatures</u>	56

### **PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

### **Item 1.** Financial Statements

## JABIL CIRCUIT, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(in thousands, except for share data)

	May 31, 2012 (Unaudited)	August 31, 2011
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 742,129	\$ 888,611
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$2,850 at May 31, 2012 and \$4,788 at		
August 31, 2011	1,127,794	1,100,926
Inventories	2,377,485	2,227,339
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	1,053,837	868,892
Income taxes receivable	7,410	33,855
Deferred income taxes	26,382	15,737
Total current assets	5,335,037	5,135,360
Property, plant and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$1,521,939 at May 31, 2012 and \$1,363,481		
at August 31, 2011	1,659,484	1,641,335
Goodwill	94,283	36,199
Intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$138,121 at May 31, 2012 and \$128,467 at August 31,		
2011	122,142	89,106
Income tax receivable	15,393	
Deferred income taxes	69,788	74,989
Other assets	76,711	80,951
Total assets	\$ 7,372,838	\$ 7,057,940
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Current installments of notes payable and long-term debt	\$ 296,418	\$ 74,160
Accounts payable	2,900,664	2,885,168
Accrued expenses	820,844	892,391
Income taxes payable	30,196	32,987
Deferred income taxes	3,116	5,182
Total current liabilities	4,051,238	3,889,888
Notes payable and long-term debt, less current installments	1,140,154	1,112,594
Other liabilities	69,607	67,423
Income tax liability	74,792	88,451
Deferred income taxes	21,170	15,761
Total liabilities	5,356,961	5,174,117
Commitments and contingencies		
Equity:		
Jabil Circuit, Inc. stockholders equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value, authorized 10,000,000 shares; no shares issued and outstanding		

Common stock, \$0.001 par value, authorized 500,000,000 shares; 231,512,492 and 224,653,990 shares issued		
and 205,473,091 and 203,416,503 shares outstanding at May 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011, respectively	231	225
Additional paid-in capital	1,723,910	1,649,431
Retained earnings	701,367	441,793
Accumulated other comprehensive income	109,265	194,706
Treasury stock at cost, 26,039,401 and 21,237,487 shares at May 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011	(521,207)	(419,035)
Total Jabil Circuit, Inc. stockholders equity	2,013,566	1,867,120
Noncontrolling interests	2,311	16,703
Total equity	2,015,877	1,883,823
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 7,372,838	\$ 7,057,940

See accompanying notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(in thousands, except for per share data)

## (Unaudited)

	Three months ended			Nine months ende			ıded
	May 31, 2012		May 31, 2011		May 31, 2012	]	May 31, 2011
Net revenue	\$ 4,250,918	\$	4,227,688	\$ 1	2,813,861	\$ 1	2,238,532
Cost of revenue	3,921,595		3,909,312		1,822,364		1,313,165
Gross profit	329,323		318,376		991,497		925,367
Operating expenses:							
Selling, general and administrative	162,748		154,112		481,382		438,368
Research and development	6,518		6,544		19,053		18,825
Amortization of intangibles	3,454		5,187		13,399		16,821
Restructuring and impairment charges							628
Settlement of receivables and related charges							13,607
Loss on disposal of subsidiaries							23,944
Operating income	156,603		152,533		477,663		413,174
Other expense	1,899		1,771		6,503		2,418
Interest income	(733	)	(897)		(1,579)		(2,486)
Interest expense	26,462		25,149		78,303		73,088
Income before income tax	128,975		126,510		394,436		340,154
Income tax expense	27,377		22,222		80,812		72,737
Net income	101,598		104,288		313,624		267,417
Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests, net of income tax							
expense	278		(407)		1,734		642
Net income attributable to Jabil Circuit, Inc.	\$ 101,320	\$	104,695	\$	311,890	\$	266,775
Earnings per share attributable to the stockholders of Jabil Circuit, Inc.:							
Basic	\$ 0.49	\$	0.49	\$	1.51	\$	1.24
Diluted	\$ 0.48	\$	0.47	\$	1.47	\$	1.21
Weighted average shares outstanding:							
Basic	206,298		215,705		206,326		215,092
Diluted	211,541		222,337		211,749		220,773
Cash dividends declared per common share	\$ 0.08	\$	0.07	\$	0.24	\$	0.21

See accompanying notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

### CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(in thousands)

(Unaudited)

	Three months ended		Nine mon	ths ended
	May 31, 2012	May 31, 2011	May 31, 2012	May 31, 2011
Net income	\$ 101,598	\$ 104,288	\$ 313,624	\$ 267,417
Other comprehensive income:				
Foreign currency translation adjustment	(57,688)	25,552	(87,787)	61,548
Change in fair value of derivative instruments, net of tax	(2,861)	4,340	252	6,869
Adjustment for net losses (gains) realized and included in net income related to				
derivative instruments, net of tax	805	(923)	2,094	(291)
Comprehensive income	41,854	133,257	228,183	335,543
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	278	(407)	1,734	642
Comprehensive income attributable to Jabil Circuit, Inc.	\$ 41,576	\$ 133,664	\$ 226,449	\$ 334,901

Accumulated foreign currency translation adjustments were \$140.7 million at May 31, 2012 and \$228.4 million at August 31, 2011. Foreign currency translation adjustments primarily consist of adjustments to consolidate subsidiaries that use a foreign currency as their functional currency.

See accompanying notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

(in thousands, except for share data)

(Unaudited)

	Common Sto	-	il Circuit, Inc. S	tockholders		uity cumulated				
			Additional			Other				
	Shares Outstanding	Par Value	Paid-in Capital	Retained Earnings		nprehensive Income	Treasury Stock		controlling Interests	Total Equity
Balance at August 31, 2011	203,416,503	\$ 225	\$ 1,649,431	\$ 441,793	\$	194,706	\$ (419,035)	\$	16,703	\$ 1,883,823
Shares issued upon exercise of stock options	893,215		12,358							12,358
Shares issued under employee stock purchase plan	372,118	1	6,217							6,218
Vesting of restricted stock awards	5,593,169	5	(5)							
Purchases of treasury stock under employee stock plans Treasury shares purchased	(1,589,496) (3,212,418)						(31,181) (70,991)			(31,181) (70,991)
Recognition of stock-based compensation			59,830							59,830
Excess tax benefit of stock awards			737	(#4.00a)						737
Declared dividends Comprehensive income				(51,983)	)	(85,164)			1,734	(51,983) 228,460
Declared dividends to noncontrolling interests				(333)	)					(333)
Purchase of noncontrolling interests Foreign currency adjustments attributable to noncontrolling			(4,658)			(277)			(15,566)	(20,501)
interests									(560)	(560)
Balance at May 31, 2012	205,473,091	\$ 231	\$ 1,723,910	\$ 701,367	\$	109,265	\$ (521,207)	) \$	2,311	\$ 2,015,877

See accompanying notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(in thousands)

## (Unaudited)

	Nine mon	ths ended
	May 31,	May 31,
Cash flows from operating activities:	2012	2011
Net income	\$ 313,624	\$ 267,417
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:	¢ 212,02.	<b>2</b> 07,117
Democratical and amount actions	262.196	224 212
Depreciation and amortization Recognition of stock-based compensation expense	262,186 59,857	234,312 59,854
Settlement of receivables and related charges	39,837	12,673
Loss on disposal of subsidiaries		23,944
Other, net	6,959	8,062
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, exclusive of net assets acquired:	0,939	0,002
Accounts receivable	(22,634)	100,226
Inventories	(162,076)	(187,146)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(201,715)	(145,384)
Other assets	(3,302)	(10,011)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(52,439)	148,289
Income taxes payable	(8,933)	12,181
moone tales pujuolo	(0,500)	12,101
Net cash provided by operating activities	191,527	524,417
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Cash paid for business and intangible asset acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(125,098)	3,985
Acquisition of property, plant and equipment	(291,792)	(320,965)
Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment	12,555	13,669
Proceeds from disposal of available for sale investments		5,800
Cost of receivables acquired, net of cash collections	517	(521)
Net cash used in investing activities	(403,818)	(298,032)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Borrowings under debt agreements	7,033,854	5,706,610
Payments toward debt agreements	(6,783,726)	(5,714,853)
Dividends paid to stockholders	(48,716)	(45,306)
Dividends paid to noncontrolling interest	(333)	
Net proceeds from exercise of stock options and issuance of common stock under employee stock		
purchase plan	18,576	17,778
Payments to acquire treasury stock	(70,991)	
Treasury stock minimum tax withholding related to vesting of restricted stock	(31,181)	(9,739)
Debt issuance costs	(5,014)	(14,549)
Excess tax benefit related to stock awards	750	179
Cash paid to purchase noncontrolling interest	(20,501)	
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	92,718	(59,880)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(26,909)	311

Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents  Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	(146,482) 888,611	166,816 744,329
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 742,129	\$ 911,145

See accompanying notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

### (Unaudited)

#### 1. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (U.S. GAAP) for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by U.S. GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) necessary to present fairly the information set forth therein have been included. The accompanying unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and footnotes included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K of Jabil Circuit, Inc. (the Company) for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2011. Results for the nine month period ended May 31, 2012 are not necessarily an indication of the results that may be expected for the full fiscal year ending August 31, 2012.

Certain amounts in the prior periods financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current period s presentation.

### 2. Earnings Per Share and Dividends

### a. Earnings Per Share

The Company calculates its basic earnings per share by dividing net income attributable to Jabil Circuit, Inc. by the weighted average number of common shares and participating securities, to the extent applicable, outstanding during the period. In periods of a net loss, participating securities are not included in the basic loss per share calculation as such participating securities are not contractually obligated to fund losses. The Company s diluted earnings per share is calculated in a similar manner, but includes the effect of dilutive securities. To the extent these securities are anti-dilutive, they are excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share. The following table sets forth the calculations of basic and diluted earnings per share attributable to the stockholders of Jabil Circuit, Inc. (in thousands, except earnings per share data):

	Three months ended			ded	Nine months end			led
	May 20	,		ay 31, 2011		ay 31, 2012		ay 31, 011
Numerator:								
Net income attributable to Jabil Circuit, Inc.	\$ 101	1,320	\$ 10	)4,695	\$ 31	11,890	\$ 20	66,775
Denominator for basic and diluted earnings per share:								
Weighted-average common shares outstanding	206	5,298	2	13,862	20	06,326	2	12,876
Share-based payment awards classified as participating securities				1,843				2,216
Denominator for basic earnings per share	206	5,298	21	15,705	20	06,326	2	15,092
Dilutive common shares issuable under the employee stock purchase								
plan and upon exercise of stock options and stock appreciation rights		363		806		347		869
Dilutive unvested restricted stock awards	۷	1,880		5,826	5,076		5,076 4,8	
Denominator for diluted earnings per share	211	1,541	22	22,337	21	11,749	22	20,773
Earnings per share:								
Income attributable to the stockholders of Jabil Circuit, Inc.:								
Basic	\$	0.49	\$	0.49	\$	1.51	\$	1.24
Diluted	\$	0.48	\$	0.47	\$	1.47	\$	1.21

For the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2012 options to purchase 3,760,307 and 3,764,827 shares of common stock and 3,322,518 and 4,962,489 stock appreciation rights, respectively, were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share as their effect would have been anti-dilutive. For the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2011 options to purchase 4,107,337 and 4,120,993 shares of common stock and 5,288,984 and 5,360,899 stock appreciation rights, respectively, were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share as their effect would have been anti-dilutive.

#### b. Dividends

The following table sets forth certain information relating to the Company s cash dividends declared to common stockholders of the Company during the nine months ended May 31, 2012 and 2011:

			Tot	al Cash		
	Dividend Declaration Date	Dividend per Share		vidends eclared	Date of Record for Dividend Payment	Dividend Cash Payment Date
		(in the	ousan	ıds, excep	ot for per share data)	
Fiscal year 2012:	October 20, 2011	\$ 0.08	\$	17,379	November 15, 2011	December 1, 2011
	January 25, 2012	\$ 0.08	\$	17,323	February 16, 2012	March 1, 2012
	April 19, 2012	\$ 0.08	\$	17,281	May 15, 2012	June 1, 2012
Fiscal year 2011:	October 21, 2010	\$ 0.07	\$	15,563	November 15, 2010	December 1, 2010
	January 19, 2011	\$ 0.07	\$	15,634	February 15, 2011	March 1, 2011
	April 13, 2011	\$ 0.07	\$	15,647	May 16, 2011	June 1, 2011

### 3. Inventories

Inventories consist of the following (in thousands):

	May 31, 2012	August 31, 2011
Raw materials	\$ 1,563,038	\$ 1,493,904
Work in process	463,956	451,162
Finished goods	350,491	282,273
	\$ 2 377 485	\$ 2 227 339

### 4. Stock-Based Compensation

The Company recognizes stock-based compensation expense, reduced for estimated forfeitures, on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of the award, which is generally the vesting period for outstanding stock awards. The Company recorded \$20.1 million and \$59.9 million of stock-based compensation expense before tax benefits, which is included in selling, general and administrative expenses within the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations during the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2012, respectively. The Company recorded tax benefits related to the stock-based compensation expense of \$0.3 million and \$1.2 million, which is included in income tax expense within the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2012, respectively. The Company recorded \$20.1 million and \$59.9 million of stock-based compensation expense before tax benefits, which is included in selling, general and administrative expenses within the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations during the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2011, respectively. The Company recorded tax benefits related to the stock-based compensation expense of \$0.8 million and \$1.6 million, which is included in income tax expense within the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2011, respectively.

The following table summarizes stock option, stock appreciation right and restricted stock award activity from August 31, 2011 through May 31, 2012:

				Weighted-
		Aggregate	Weighted-	Average
Shares		Intrinsic	Average	Remaining
Available	Options	Value	Exercise	Contractual
for Grant	Outstanding	(in thousands)	Price	Life (vears)

Edgar Filing: JABIL CIRCUIT INC - Form 10-Q

Balance at August 31, 2011	9,164,425	10,473,033	\$ 4,029	\$ 24.76	3.70
Options cancelled	477,867	(477,867)		\$ 26.52	
Options expired	(53,274)	(177,007)		\$ 15.23	
Restricted stock awards granted <sup>(1)</sup>	(4,837,763)				
Options exercised		(1,169,662)		\$ 16.79	
Balance at May 31, 2012	4,751,255	8,825,504	\$ 2,381	\$ 25.79	3.14
·					
Exercisable at May 31, 2012		8,806,343	\$ 2,260	\$ 25.81	3.13

<sup>(1)</sup> Represents the maximum number of shares that can be issued based on the achievement of certain performance criteria.

The following table summarizes restricted stock activity from August 31, 2011 through May 31, 2012:

		ighted - verage
	Shares	 ant-Date ir Value
Non-vested balance at August 31, 2011	14,097,278	\$ 12.91
Changes during the period		
Shares granted (1)	5,219,122	\$ 19.42
Shares vested	(5,593,169)	\$ 10.00
Shares forfeited	(381,359)	\$ 15.56
Non-vested balance at May 31, 2012	13.341.872	\$ 16.60

During the nine months ended May 31, 2012, the Company awarded approximately 2.1 million time-based restricted stock units, which generally vest on a graded vesting schedule over three years, and 2.1 million performance-based restricted stock units, which entitle recipients to shares of the Company's stock of up to 150% of the number of units granted with the performance measurement generally occurring initially at the end of a three year vesting period and subsequently occurring after a fourth and fifth year (if applicable) depending on the level of achievement of the specified performance conditions.

At May 31, 2012, there was \$79.2 million of total unrecognized stock-based compensation expense related to restricted stock awards. This expense is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.4 years.

### 5. Concentration of Risk and Segment Data

### a. Concentration of Risk

Sales of the Company s products are concentrated among specific customers. During the nine months ended May 31, 2012, the Company s five largest customers accounted for approximately 48% of its net revenue and 53 customers accounted for approximately 90% of its net revenue. Sales to these customers were reported in the Diversified Manufacturing Services ( DMS ), Enterprise & Infrastructure ( E&I ) and High Velocity Systems ( HVS ) operating segments.

The Company procures components from a broad group of suppliers. Almost all of the products manufactured by the Company require one or more components that are available from only a single source.

Production levels for a portion of the DMS and HVS segments are subject to seasonal influences. The Company may realize greater net revenue during its first fiscal quarter due to higher demand for consumer related products manufactured in the DMS and HVS segments during the holiday selling season. Therefore, quarterly results should not be relied upon as necessarily being indicative of results for the entire fiscal year.

## b. Segment Data

Operating segments are defined as components of an enterprise that engage in business activities from which they may earn revenues and incur expenses; for which separate financial information is available; and whose operating results are regularly reviewed by the chief operating decision maker to assess the performance of the individual segment and make decisions about resources to be allocated to the segment.

The Company derives its revenue from providing comprehensive electronics design, production and product management services.

Management, including the Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Financial Officer and the Chief Operating Officer (collectively, the chief operating decision maker) evaluates performance and allocates resources on a segment basis. The Company s operating segments consist of three segments DMS, E&I and HVS.

<sup>(1)</sup> For those shares granted that are based on the achievement of certain performance criteria, represents the maximum number of shares that can yest.

The DMS segment is composed of dedicated resources to manage higher complexity global products in regulated industries and bring materials and process technologies including design and aftermarket services to global customers. The E&I and HVS segments offer integrated global supply chain solutions designed to provide cost effective solutions for certain customer groups. The E&I segment is focused on customers primarily in the computing, storage, networking and telecommunication sectors. The HVS segment is focused on the particular needs of the consumer products industry, including mobility, display, set-top boxes and peripheral products such as printers and point of sale terminals.

Net revenue for the operating segments is attributed to the segment in which the service is performed. An operating segment s performance is evaluated based on its pre-tax operating contribution, or segment income. Segment income is defined as net revenue less cost of revenue, segment selling, general and administrative expenses, segment research and development expenses and an allocation of corporate manufacturing expenses and selling, general and administrative expenses, and does not include distressed customer charges, stock-based compensation expense and related charges, amortization of intangibles, restructuring and impairment charges, settlement of receivables and related charges, loss on disposal of subsidiaries, other expense, interest income, interest expense, income tax expense or adjustment for net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests. Total segment assets are defined as accounts receivable, inventories, net customer-related machinery and equipment, intangible assets net of accumulated amortization and goodwill. All other non-segment assets are reviewed on a global basis by management. Transactions between operating segments are generally recorded at amounts that approximate arm s length.

The following table sets forth operating segment information (in thousands):

	Three mor	nths ended	onths ended	
	May 31, 2012	May 31, 2011	May 31, 2012	May 31, 2011
Net revenue				
DMS	\$ 1,871,508	\$ 1,532,902	\$ 5,546,347	\$ 4,328,907
E&I	1,323,816	1,382,633	3,747,482	3,783,550
HVS	1,055,594	1,312,153	3,520,032	4,126,075
	\$ 4,250,918	\$ 4,227,688	\$ 12,813,861	\$ 12,238,532

### Segment income and reconciliation of income before income tax

	Three months ended			Nine months ended			ded	
	]	May 31, 2012	]	May 31, 2011		May 31, 2012	]	May 31, 2011
DMS	\$	122,334	\$	94,338	\$	353,612	\$	275,522
E&I		29,306		54,052		73,173		163,410
HVS		38,689		29,383		134,283		89,096
Total segment income		190,329		177,773		561,068		528,028
Reconciling items:								
Distressed customer charge		10,149				10,149		
Stock-based compensation expense and related charges		20,123		20,053		59,857		59,854
Amortization of intangibles		3,454		5,187		13,399		16,821
Restructuring and impairment charges								628
Settlement of receivables and related charges								13,607
Loss on disposal of subsidiaries								23,944
Other expense		1,899		1,771		6,503		2,418
Interest income		(733)		(897)		(1,579)		(2,486)
Interest expense		26,462		25,149		78,303		73,088
-								
Income before income tax	\$	128,975	\$	126,510	\$	394,436	\$	340,154

	May 31, 2012	August 31, 2011
Total assets		
DMS	\$ 2,889,723	\$ 2,417,256
E&I	1,179,049	1,194,774
HVS	1,051,434	1,232,378
Other non-allocated assets	2,252,632	2,213,532

\$7,372,838 \$7,057,940

11

The Company operates in 29 countries worldwide. Sales to unaffiliated customers are based on the Company s location that maintains the customer relationship and transacts the external sale. Total foreign net revenue represented 84.4% and 85.7% of net revenue during the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2012, respectively, compared to 85.8% and 85.7% of net revenue for the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2011, respectively.

### 6. Notes Payable and Long-Term Debt

Notes payable and long-term debt outstanding at May 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011, are summarized below (in thousands):

	May 31, 2012	August 31, 2011
7.750% Senior Notes due 2016	\$ 304,791	\$ 303,501
8.250% Senior Notes due 2018	397,807	397,521
5.625% Senior Notes due 2020	400,000	400,000
Borrowings under credit facilities (a)	290,500	72,100
Borrowings under loans (b)	33,684	2,062
Fair value adjustment related to terminated interest rate swaps on the 7.750% Senior Notes	9,790	11,570
Total notes payable and long-term debt	1,436,572	1,186,754
Less current installments of notes payable and long-term debt	296,418	74,160
Notes payable and long-term debt, less current installments	\$ 1,140,154	\$ 1,112,594

The \$312.0 million of 7.750% senior unsecured notes, \$400.0 million of 8.250% senior unsecured notes and \$400.0 million of 5.625% senior unsecured notes outstanding are carried at the principal amount of each note, less any unamortized discount. The estimated fair value of these senior notes was approximately \$351.0 million, \$463.0 million and \$420.5 million, respectively, at May 31, 2012. The fair value estimates are based upon observable market data (Level 2 criteria).

### (a.) Amended and Restated Credit Facility

On March 19, 2012, the Company entered into an amended and restated senior unsecured five-year revolving credit facility (the Amended and Restated Credit Facility ). The Amended and Restated Credit Facility provides for a revolving credit facility in the initial amount of \$1.3 billion, which may, subject to lenders discretion, potentially be increased up to \$1.6 billion and expires on March 19, 2017. Interest and fees on the Amended and Restated Credit Facility advances are based on the Company s non-credit enhanced long-term senior unsecured debt rating as determined by Standard & Poor s Rating Service and Moody s Investor Service. Interest is charged at a rate equal to either 0.175% to 0.850% above the base rate or 1.175% to 1.850% above the Eurocurrency rate, where the base rate represents the greatest of Citibank, N.A. s prime rate, 0.50% above the federal funds rate, or 1.0% above one-month LIBOR, and the Eurocurrency rate represents adjusted LIBOR for the applicable interest period, each as more fully described in the Amended and Restated Credit Facility agreement. Fees include a facility fee based on the revolving credit commitments of the lenders and a letter of credit fee based on the amount of outstanding letters of credit. The Company, along with its subsidiaries, are subject to the following financial covenants: (1) a maximum ratio of (a) Debt (as defined in the Amended and Restated Credit Facility agreement) to (b) Consolidated EBITDA (as defined in the Amended and Restated Credit Facility agreement) and (2) a minimum ratio of (a) Consolidated EBITDA to (b) interest payable on, and amortization of debt discount in respect of, all Debt and loss on sale of accounts receivables. In addition, the Company is subject to other covenants, such as: limitation upon liens; limitation upon mergers, etc.; limitation upon accounting changes; limitation upon subsidiary debt; limitation upon sales, etc. of assets; limitation upon changes in nature of business; payment restrictions affecting subsidiaries; compliance with laws, etc.; payment of taxes, etc.; maintenance of insurance; preservation of corporate existence, etc.; visitation rights; keeping of books; maintenance of properties, etc.; transactions with affiliates; and reporting requirements.

### (b.) Borrowings under loans

On May 2, 2012, the Company entered into a master lease agreement with a variable interest entity (the  $\mbox{VIE}$ ) whereby it sells to and subsequently leases back from the VIE up to \$60.0 million in certain machinery and equipment for a period of up to five years. In connection with this transaction, the Company holds a variable interest in the VIE, which was designed to hold debt obligations payable to third-party creditors as proceeds from such debt obligations are utilized to finance the purchase of the machinery and equipment that is then leased by the Company. The Company is the primary beneficiary of the VIE as it has both the power to direct

the activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the VIE s economic performance and the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits that could potentially be significant to the VIE. At May 31, 2012, the VIE had approximately \$32.2 million of total assets, of which approximately \$31.6 million was comprised of a note receivable due from the Company, and approximately \$32.3 million of total liabilities, of which approximately \$32.2 million were debt obligations to the third-party creditors (as the VIE has utilized approximately \$32.2 million of the \$60.0 million debt obligation capacity). The third-party creditors have recourse to the Company s general credit only in the event that the Company defaults on its obligations under the terms of the master lease agreement. In addition, the assets held by the VIE can be used only to settle the obligations of the VIE. The Company consolidates the financial statements of the VIE and eliminates all intercompany transactions.

### 7. Trade Accounts Receivable Securitization and Sale Programs

The Company regularly sells designated pools of trade accounts receivable under two asset-backed securitization programs, a factoring program and three uncommitted trade accounts receivable sale programs (collectively referred to herein as the programs). The Company continues servicing the receivables sold and in exchange receives a servicing fee under each of the programs. Servicing fees related to each of the programs recognized during the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2012 and 2011, were not material. The Company does not record a servicing asset or liability on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as the Company estimates that the fee it receives to service these receivables approximates the fair market compensation to provide the servicing activities.

Transfers of the receivables under the programs are accounted for as sales and, accordingly, net receivables sold under the programs are excluded from accounts receivable on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets and are reflected as cash provided by operating activities on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

#### a. Asset-Backed Securitization Programs

The Company continuously sells designated pools of trade accounts receivable under its North American asset-backed securitization program and its foreign asset-backed securitization program (collectively referred to herein as the asset-backed securitization programs) to special purpose entities, which in turn sell 100% of the receivables to conduits administered by unaffiliated financial institutions (for the North American asset-backed securitization program) and an unaffiliated financial institution (for the foreign asset-backed securitization program). The special purpose entity in the North American asset-backed securitization program is a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company. The special purpose entity in the foreign asset-backed securitization program is a separate bankruptcy-remote entity whose assets would be first available to satisfy the creditor claims of the unaffiliated financial institution. The Company is deemed the primary beneficiary of this special purpose entity as the Company has the power to direct the activities of the entity and has the obligation to absorb the majority of the expected losses or the right to receive the benefits from the transfer of the trade accounts receivable into the special purpose entity. Accordingly, the special purpose entities associated with these asset-backed securitization programs are included in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. Any portion of the purchase price for the receivables which is not paid in cash upon the sale taking place is recorded as a deferred purchase price receivable, which is paid as payments on the receivables are collected. Net cash proceeds of up to a maximum of \$300.0 million for the North American asset-backed securitization program and \$200.0 million for the foreign asset-backed securitization program are available at any one time.

The foreign asset-backed securitization program was amended on May 15, 2012 to expire on May 15, 2015.

In connection with the asset-backed securitization programs, the Company sold \$2.1 billion and \$6.2 billion of eligible trade accounts receivable during the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2012, respectively. In exchange, the Company received cash proceeds of \$1.6 billion and \$5.6 billion during the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2012, respectively, and a net deferred purchase price receivable. At May 31, 2012, the deferred purchase price receivable recorded in connection with the asset-backed securitization programs totaled approximately \$542.2 million, net of a \$10.1 million valuation allowance established for accounts receivable sold into the asset-backed securitization programs that, subsequent to its sale, became involved in a legal dispute between the Company and the customer. Refer to Note 10 Commitments and Contingencies for further details on the aforementioned legal dispute.

The Company recognized pretax losses on the sales of receivables under the asset-backed securitization programs of approximately \$1.4 million and \$4.5 million during the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2012, which are recorded to other expense within the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

In connection with the North American asset-backed securitization program, the Company sold \$1.4 billion and \$4.3 billion of eligible trade accounts receivable during the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2011, respectively. In exchange, the Company received cash proceeds of \$1.1 billion and \$4.0 billion during the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2011, respectively, and a net deferred purchase price receivable. At May 31, 2011, the deferred purchase price receivable recorded in connection with the North American asset-backed securitization program totaled approximately \$280.1 million.

The Company recognized pretax losses on the sales of receivables under the North American asset-backed securitization program of approximately \$0.8 million and \$2.7 million during the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2011, respectively, which are recorded to other expense within the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Subsequent to the amendment of the foreign asset-backed securitization program on May 11, 2011 through May 31, 2011, the Company sold (including amounts transferred into the program on the amendment date) \$352.8 million of eligible trade accounts receivable. In exchange, the Company received cash proceeds of \$258.9 million during the same period, and a net deferred purchase price receivable. At May 31, 2011, the deferred purchase price receivable totaled approximately \$93.9 million. The resulting losses on the sales of the receivables subsequent to the amendment on May 11, 2011 through May 31, 2011 were \$0.5 million and were recorded to other expense within the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Prior to amendments that were effective for the North American asset-backed securitization program during the first quarter of fiscal year 2011 and for the foreign asset-backed securitization program during the third quarter of fiscal year 2011 (May 11, 2011), the asset-backed securitization programs were accounted for as secured borrowings. Accordingly, the Company incurred interest expense of \$0.3 million and \$1.4 million in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations during the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2011 in connection with the asset-backed securitization programs.

The deferred purchase price receivables recorded under the asset-backed securitization programs are recorded initially at fair value as prepaid expenses and other current assets on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets and are valued using unobservable inputs (Level 3 inputs), primarily discounted cash flows, and due to their credit quality and short-term maturity the fair values approximated book values. The unobservable inputs consist of estimated credit losses and estimated discount rates which both have an immaterial impact on the fair value calculations of the deferred purchase price receivables.

### b. Trade Accounts Receivable Factoring Agreement

In connection with a factoring agreement, the Company transfers ownership of eligible trade accounts receivable of a foreign subsidiary without recourse to a third party purchaser in exchange for cash. Proceeds from the transfer reflect the face value of the account less a discount. The discount is recorded as a loss to other expense within the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations in the period of the sale. In April 2012, the factoring agreement was extended through September 30, 2012, at which time it is expected to automatically renew for an additional six-month period.

The Company sold \$19.2 million and \$62.6 million of trade accounts receivable during the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2012, respectively, compared to \$14.4 million and \$50.6 million during the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2011, respectively. In exchange, the Company received cash proceeds of \$19.2 million and \$62.6 million during the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2012, respectively, compared to \$14.3 million and \$50.5 million during the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2011, respectively. The resulting losses on the sales of trade accounts receivables sold under this factoring agreement during the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2012 and 2011 were not material.

### c. Trade Accounts Receivable Sale Programs

In connection with three separate uncommitted trade accounts receivable sale agreements with banks, the third of which was entered into during the first quarter of fiscal year 2012, the Company may elect to sell and the banks may elect to purchase at a discount, on an ongoing basis, up to a maximum of \$200.0 million, \$250.0 million and \$50.0 million, respectively, of specific trade accounts receivable at any one time. The \$250.0 million uncommitted trade accounts receivable sale agreement has no defined termination date and either party can elect to cancel the agreement by giving prior written notification to the other party of no less than 30 days. The \$50.0 million uncommitted trade accounts receivable sale agreement will expire no later than June 1, 2015, though either party can elect to cancel the agreement by giving prior written notification to the other party of no less than 30 days. The \$200.0 million uncommitted trade accounts receivable sale agreement was terminated on May 31, 2012.

During the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2012, the Company sold \$0.5 billion and \$1.6 billion of trade accounts receivable under these programs, respectively. In exchange, the Company received cash proceeds of \$0.5 billion and \$1.6 billion during the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2012, respectively. During the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2011, the Company sold \$697.8 million and \$1.8 billion of trade accounts receivable under these programs, respectively. In exchange, the Company received cash proceeds of \$697.3 million and \$1.8 billion, respectively. The resulting losses on the sales of trade accounts receivable during the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2012 and 2011 were not material.

### 8. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

The following table presents the changes in goodwill recorded to the Company s reportable segments during the nine months ended May 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	August Gross	31, 2011 Accumulated Impairment	Acquisitions &	Foreign Currency	Gross	May 31, 2012 Accumulated Impairment	
Reportable Segment	Balance	Balance	Adjustments	Impact	Balance	Balance	Net Balance
DMS	\$ 584,018	\$ (558,768)	\$ 60,942	\$ (1,845)	\$ 643,115	\$ (558,768)	\$ 84,347
E&I	342,733	(331,784)		(1,013)	341,720	(331,784)	9,936
HVS	132,269	(132,269)			132,269	(132,269)	
Total	\$ 1,059,020	\$ (1,022,821)	\$ 60,942	\$ (2,858)	\$ 1,117,104	\$ (1,022,821)	\$ 94,283

Finite lived intangible assets are amortized on a straight-line basis and consist primarily of contractual agreements and customer relationships, which are being amortized over periods of up to 15 years, intellectual property which is being amortized over periods of up to nine years and a trade name which is being amortized over two years. No significant residual value is estimated for the amortizable intangible assets. Indefinite lived intangible assets consist of a trade name. The value of the Company s intangible assets purchased through business acquisitions is principally determined based on valuations of the net assets acquired. The following tables present the Company s total purchased intangible assets at May 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011 (in thousands):

May 31, 2012	Gross carrying amount	Accumulated amortization	Net carrying amount
Contractual agreements and customer relationships	\$ 122,340	\$ (60,900)	\$ 61,440
Intellectual property	84,688	(76,560)	8,128
Finite lived trade names	2,645	(661)	1,984
Indefinite lived trade names	50,590		50,590
Total	\$ 260,263	\$ (138,121)	\$ 122,142
August 31, 2011	Gross carrying amount	Accumulated amortization	Net carrying amount
Contractual agreements and customer relationships	\$ 85,131	\$ (53,365)	\$ 31,766
Intellectual property	79,550	(75,102)	4,448
Trade names	52,892		52,892
Total	\$ 217,573	\$ (128,467)	\$ 89,106

The weighted-average amortization period for aggregate net intangible assets at May 31, 2012 is 10.8 years, which includes a weighted-average amortization period of 11.2 years for net contractual agreements and customer relationships, a weighted-average amortization period of 8.7 years for net intellectual property and a weighted-average amortization period of 2.0 years for a net finite lived trade name.

In connection with the acquisition of Telmar Network Technology, Inc. (Telmar) in the second quarter of fiscal year 2012, the Company acquired \$49.9 million of intangible assets, including \$38.6 million assigned to customer relationships with an assigned useful life of 15 years, \$2.7 million assigned to a finite lived trade name with an assigned useful life of two years and \$8.6 million assigned to other intellectual property with an assigned useful life of nine years, and \$60.9 million of goodwill. See Note 12 Business Acquisitions for further details.

The Company s estimated future intangible asset amortization expense is as follows (in thousands):

Edgar Filing: JABIL CIRCUIT INC - Form 10-Q

Fiscal year ending August 31,	Amount
2012 (remaining three months)	\$ 3,430
2013	13,663
2014	11,362
2015	8,231
2016	4,961
Thereafter	29,905
Total	\$ 71,552

### 9. Postretirement and Other Employee Benefits

The Company sponsors defined benefit pension plans in several countries in which it operates. The pension obligations relate primarily to the following: (a) a funded retirement plan in the United Kingdom and (b) both funded and unfunded retirement plans mainly in Austria, Canada, France, Germany, Japan, The Netherlands, Poland, and Taiwan and which provide benefits based upon years of service and compensation at retirement.

The following table provides information about net periodic benefit cost for the pension plans during the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2012 and 2011 (in thousands):

	Three mor	Three months ended		ths ended
	May 31, 2012	May 31, 2011	May 31, 2012	May 31, 2011
Service cost	\$ 370	\$ 376	\$ 1,060	\$ 1,136
Interest cost	1,986	1,441	5,609	4,259
Expected long-term return on plan assets	(1,650)	(1,118)	(4,524)	(3,315)
Amortization of prior service cost	(7)	(6)	(21)	(19)
Recognized actuarial loss	308	447	913	1,453
Curtailment gain				(1,874)
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 1,007	\$ 1,140	\$ 3,037	\$ 1,640

During the nine months ended May 31, 2012, the Company made contributions of approximately \$3.5 million to its defined benefit pension plans. The Company expects to make total cash contributions of between \$4.2 million and \$4.8 million to its funded pension plans during fiscal year 2012.

### 10. Commitments and Contingencies

### a. Legal Proceedings

The Company is party to certain lawsuits in the ordinary course of business. The Company does not believe that these proceedings, individually or in the aggregate, will have a material adverse effect on the Company s financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

The Company is involved in a commercial dispute with a former industrial and CleanTech customer regarding product warranty claims as well as the collection of a \$10.1 million outstanding account receivable which has been submitted to binding arbitration. The Company has established a full valuation allowance for the outstanding account receivable. No reserve has been established regarding the product warranty dispute as a loss is not considered probable and no reasonably possible range of loss can be determined at the present time. The initial filings in the arbitration have been made and efforts are underway to establish a schedule for the arbitration proceedings. The Company believes that it has a strong position on the issues in dispute and that it will be able to resolve this matter without it having a material adverse effect on the Company s Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. There can be no assurance, however, that the Company will ultimately be successful in favorably resolving this dispute and if a sufficiently unfavorable outcome were to occur it could have a material adverse effect on the Company s Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

### b. Income Tax Examination

The Internal Revenue Service ( IRS ) completed its field examination of the Company s tax returns for the fiscal years 2003 through 2005 and issued a Revenue Agent s Report ( RAR ) on April 30, 2010 proposing adjustments primarily related to the IRS contentions that (1) certain corporate expenses relate to services provided to foreign affiliates and therefore must be charged to those affiliates, and (2) valuable intangible property was transferred to certain foreign affiliates without charge. If the IRS ultimately prevails in its positions, the Company s income tax payment due for the fiscal years 2003 through 2005 would be approximately an additional \$69.3 million before utilization of any tax attributes arising in periods subsequent to fiscal year 2005. Also, the IRS has proposed interest and penalties on the Company with respect to fiscal years 2003 through 2005.

The IRS also completed its field examination for fiscal years 2006 through 2008 and issued a RAR on April 25, 2012. The proposed adjustments primarily related to the carryforward impact of the adjustments proposed by the IRS for fiscal years 2003

through 2005 related to valuable intangible property transferred to certain foreign affiliates without charge in prior years. Due to the utilization of tax attributes, including net operating loss (NOL) carryforwards and NOL carrybacks, there is no proposed additional tax payment, interest, or penalties contained in the RAR with respect to fiscal years 2006 through 2008. In addition, the IRS will likely make similar claims in future audits with respect to these types of transactions (at this time, determination of the additional income tax due for these later years is not practicable). The Company also anticipates that the IRS may seek to impose interest and penalties in subsequent years with respect to the same types of issues.

The Company disagrees with the proposed adjustments and is vigorously contesting this matter through applicable IRS and judicial procedures, as appropriate. As the final resolution of the proposed adjustments remains uncertain, the Company continues to provide for the uncertain tax position based on the more likely than not standards. The Company believes that any additional tax liabilities will not have a material effect on the Company s financial position, results of operations or cash flows. While the resolution of the issues may result in tax liabilities, interest and penalties, which are significantly higher than the amounts provided for this matter, management currently believes that the resolution will not have a material effect on the Company s financial position or liquidity. Despite this belief, an unfavorable resolution, particularly if the IRS successfully asserts similar claims for later years, could have a material effect on the Company s results of operations and financial condition (particularly during the quarter in which any adjustment is recorded or any tax is due or paid).

### 11. Derivative Financial Instruments and Hedging Activities

The Company is directly and indirectly affected by changes in certain market conditions. These changes in market conditions may adversely impact the Company s financial performance and are referred to as market risks. The Company, where deemed appropriate, uses derivatives as risk management tools to mitigate the potential impact of certain market risks. The primary market risks managed by the Company through the use of derivative instruments are foreign currency fluctuation risk and interest rate risk.

All derivative instruments are recorded gross on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at their respective fair values. The accounting for changes in the fair value of a derivative instrument depends on the intended use and designation of the derivative instrument. For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as a fair value hedge, the gain or loss on the derivative and the offsetting gain or loss on the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk are recognized in current earnings. For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as a cash flow hedge, the effective portion of the gain or loss on the derivative instrument is initially reported as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income ( AOCI ), net of tax, and is subsequently reclassified into the line item within the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations in which the hedged items are recorded in the same period in which the hedged item affects earnings. The ineffective portion of the gain or loss is recognized immediately in current earnings. For derivative instruments that are not designated as hedging instruments, gains and losses from changes in fair values are recognized in earnings.

For a derivative instrument designated as an accounting hedge, the Company formally documents, at inception, the financial instrument as a hedge of a specific underlying exposure, the risk management objective and the strategy for undertaking the hedge transaction. In addition, the Company formally performs an assessment, both at inception and at least quarterly thereafter, to determine whether the financial instruments used in hedging transactions are effective at offsetting changes in the cash flows on the related underlying exposures.

### a. Foreign Currency Risk Management

Forward contracts are put in place to manage the foreign currency risk associated with anticipated foreign currency denominated revenues and expenses. A hedging relationship existed with an aggregate notional amount outstanding of \$178.8 million and \$176.0 million at May 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The related forward foreign exchange contracts have been designated as hedging instruments and are accounted for as cash flow hedges. The forward foreign exchange contract transactions will effectively lock in the value of anticipated foreign currency denominated revenues and expenses against foreign currency fluctuations. The anticipated foreign currency denominated revenues and expenses being hedged are expected to occur between June 1, 2012 and December 31, 2012.

In addition to derivatives that are designated and qualify for hedge accounting, the Company also enters into forward contracts to economically hedge transactional exposure associated with commitments arising from trade accounts receivable, trade accounts payable, fixed purchase obligations and intercompany transactions denominated in a currency other than the functional currency of the respective operating entity. The aggregate notional amount of these outstanding contracts at May 31, 2012 and 2011 was \$874.1 million and \$686.9 million, respectively.

The following table presents the Company s assets and liabilities related to forward foreign exchange contracts measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of May 31, 2012, aggregated by the level in the fair-value hierarchy in which those measurements are classified (in thousands):

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets:				
Forward foreign exchange contracts	\$	\$ 8,805	\$	\$ 8,805
Liabilities:				
Forward foreign exchange contracts		(14,481)		(14,481)
Total	\$	\$ (5,676)	\$	\$ (5,676)

The Company s forward foreign exchange contracts are measured on a recurring basis at fair value, based on foreign currency spot rates and forward rates quoted by banks or foreign currency dealers.

The following tables present the fair value of the Company s derivative instruments located on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets utilized for foreign currency risk management purposes at May 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011 (in thousands):

### **Fair Values of Derivative Instruments**

	At May 31, 2012			
	Asset Derivatives		Liability Deri	vatives
	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:				
Forward foreign exchange contracts	Prepaid expenses and other current assets	\$ 598	Other accrued expense	\$ 1,978
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:				
Forward foreign exchange contracts	Prepaid expenses and other current assets	\$ 8,207	Other accrued expense	\$ 12,503

### **Fair Values of Derivative Instruments**

	At August 31, 2011				
	Asset Derivative	Liability Derivatives			
	Balance Sheet Fair		<b>Balance Sheet</b>	Fair	
	Location	Value	Location	Value	
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:					
Forward foreign exchange contracts	Prepaid expenses and other current assets	\$ 2,825	Other accrued expense	\$ 2,798	
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:					
Forward foreign exchange contracts	Prepaid expenses and other current assets	\$ 3,517	Other accrued expense	\$ 3,979	

The following tables present the impact that changes in fair value of derivatives utilized for foreign currency risk management purposes and designated as hedging instruments had on AOCI and earnings during the nine months ended May 31, 2012 and 2011 (in thousands):

#### **Derivatives in Cash**

Flow Hedging  Relationship during  the Nine Months	Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in OCI on Derivative		Location of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI into Income	Amount of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI into Income		Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness	Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness	
Ended May 31, 2012	(Effect	ive Portion)	(Effective Portion)	(Effect	ive Portion)	Testing)	1	esting)
Forward foreign								
exchange contracts	\$	3,053	Revenue	\$	2,117	Revenue	\$	(28)
Forward foreign								
exchange contracts	\$	(563)	Cost of revenue	\$	1,677	Cost of revenue	\$	(1,421)
Forward foreign			Selling, general and			Selling, general and		
exchange contracts	\$	(2,238)	administrative	\$	(2,925)	administrative	\$	152

#### **Derivatives in Cash**

Flow Hedging Relationship during the Nine Months Ended May 31, 2011	(Loss) in De	.mount of Gain Location of Gain (Loss) oss) Recognized Reclassified from in OCI on AOCI Derivative into Income ffective Portion) (Effective Portion)		Amount of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion)		Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing)	Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing)	
Forward foreign			_		4 704	_		244
exchange contracts	\$	1,624	Revenue	\$	1,506	Revenue	\$	344
Forward foreign								
exchange contracts	\$	4,212	Cost of revenue	\$	1,423	Cost of revenue	\$	345
Forward foreign			Selling, general and			Selling, general and		
exchange contracts	\$	1,033	administrative	\$	482	administrative	\$	200

As of May 31, 2012, the Company estimates that it will reclassify into earnings during the next 12 months existing losses related to foreign currency risk management hedging arrangements of approximately \$0.7 million from the amounts recorded in AOCI as the anticipated cash flows occur.

The following tables present the impact that changes in fair value of derivatives utilized for foreign currency risk management purposes and not designated as hedging instruments had on earnings during the nine months ended May 31, 2012 and 2011 (in thousands):

	Amount of Gain (Loss) B				
		<b>Income on Derivative</b>			
		during t	the Nine		
Derivatives not designated as hedging	Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized in	Months	Ended		
instruments	Income on Derivative	May 3	1, 2012		
Forward foreign exchange contracts	Cost of revenue	\$	2,954		

Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in
Income on Derivative
during the Nine
in Months Ended
May 31, 2011

A --- ---- 4 - 6 C - :--

Forward foreign exchange contracts

Cost of revenue \$ (2,483)

## b. Interest Rate Risk Management

The Company periodically enters into interest rate swaps to manage interest rate risk associated with the Company s borrowings.

### Fair Value Hedges

During the second quarter of fiscal year 2011, the Company entered into a series of interest rate swaps with an aggregate notional amount of \$200.0 million designated as fair value hedges of a portion of the Company s 7.750% Senior Notes. Under these interest rate swaps, the Company received fixed rate interest payments and paid interest at a variable rate based on LIBOR plus a spread. The effect of these swaps was to convert fixed rate interest expense on a portion of the 7.750% Senior Notes to floating rate interest expense. Gains and losses related to changes in the fair value of the interest rate swaps were recorded to interest expense and offset changes in the fair value of the hedged portion of the underlying 7.750% Senior Notes. Interest expense recorded in connection with the interest rate swaps for the three and nine months ended May 31, 2012 is \$0.6 million and \$1.8 million, respectively.

During the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2011, the Company terminated the interest rate swaps entered into in connection with the 7.750% Senior Notes with a fair value of \$12.2 million, including accrued interest of \$0.6 million at August 31, 2011. The portion of the fair value that is not accrued is recorded as a hedge accounting adjustment to the carrying amount of the 7.750% Senior Notes and is being amortized as a reduction to interest expense over the remaining term of the 7.750% Senior Notes. At May 31, 2012, the hedge accounting adjustment recorded is \$9.8 million in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

### Cash Flow Hedges

During the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2007, the Company entered into forward interest rate swap transactions to hedge the fixed interest rate payments for an anticipated debt issuance, which was the issuance of the 8.250% Senior Notes. The swaps were accounted for as a cash flow hedge and had a notional amount of \$400.0 million. Concurrently with the pricing of the 8.250% Senior Notes, the Company settled the swaps by its payment of \$43.1 million. The ineffective portion of the swaps was immediately recorded to interest expense within the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. The effective portion of the swaps is recorded on the Company s Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as a component of AOCI and is being amortized to interest expense within the Company s Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations over the life of the 8.250% Senior Notes, which is through March 15, 2018.

The following tables present the impact that changes in the fair value of the derivative utilized for interest rate risk management and designated as a hedging instrument had on AOCI and earnings during the nine months ended May 31, 2012 and 2011 (in thousands):

Derivatives in Cash Flow  Hedging Relationship during the Nine Months Ended  May 31, 2012	(Loss)  Reclassified from Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in OCI on Derivative (Loss)  Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income into Income (Loss)  Amount of Gain Or (Loss) (Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income into Income from			Location of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing)	Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing)
Interest rate swap	\$	Interest expense	\$ (2,963)	Interest expense	\$
		Location of Gain		Location of Gain or	Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in
Derivatives in Cash Flow		(Loss) Reclassified from	Amount of Gain	(Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative	Income on Derivative
Hedging Relationship during the	Amount of Gain	Accumulated	or (Loss)	(Ineffective Portion	(Ineffective Portion
Nine Months Ended	(Loss) Recognized in OCI on	OCI	Reclassified from Accumulated OCI	and Amount Excluded	and Amount Excluded from
	Derivative	into Income	into Income	from Effectiveness	Effectiveness
May 31, 2011	(Effective Portion)	(Effective Portion)	(Effective Portion)	Testing)	Testing)
Interest rate swap	\$	Interest expense	\$ (2,963)	Interest expense	\$

As of May 31, 2012, the Company estimates that it will reclassify into earnings during the next 12 months existing losses related to interest rate risk management hedging arrangements of approximately \$4.0 million from the amounts recorded in AOCI as the anticipated cash flows occur.

The changes related to cash flow hedges (both forward foreign exchange contracts and interest rate swaps) included in AOCI net of tax are as follows (in thousands):

	Nine months ended May 31, 2011		
Accumulated comprehensive loss, August 31, 2010	\$	(16,086)	
Changes in fair value of derivative instruments		6,869	
Adjustment for net losses realized and included in net income			
related to derivative instruments		(291)	
Accumulated comprehensive loss, May 31, 2011	\$	(9,508)	

	Nine months ended May 31, 2012		
Accumulated comprehensive loss, August 31, 2011	\$ (11,172)		
Changes in fair value of derivative instruments	252		
Adjustment for net losses realized and included in net income			
related to derivative instruments	2,094		
Accumulated comprehensive loss, May 31, 2012	\$ (8,826)		

### 12. Business Acquisitions

On December 1, 2011, the Company completed its acquisition of Telmar by acquiring 100% of the issued and outstanding common shares of Telmar for approximately \$128.9 million in cash. Telmar is a global provider of services and solutions for network service providers and enterprise and original equipment manufacturers. The acquisition of Telmar is expected to enhance the Company s position in the telecommunications manufacturing and reverse logistics sector.

The acquisition of Telmar has been accounted for as a business combination using the acquisition method of accounting. The fair values of the net assets acquired were finalized during the third quarter of fiscal year 2012. Assets acquired of \$184.2 million, including \$60.9 million in goodwill and \$49.9 million in definite lived intangible assets, and liabilities assumed of \$55.3 million were recorded at their estimated fair values as of the acquisition date.

The excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the acquired assets and assumed liabilities of \$60.9 million was recorded to goodwill and was assigned fully to the DMS operating segment.

#### 13. Income Taxes

In the third quarter of fiscal year 2012, the Company decreased its unrecognized income tax benefits, interest, and penalties by approximately \$20.8 million related to the anticipated resolution of a non-U.S. governmental tax audit.

### 14. New Accounting Guidance

During the second quarter of fiscal year 2011, the FASB issued new accounting guidance which requires an entity to disclose revenue and earnings of the combined entity as though the business combination(s) that occurred during the current year had occurred as of the beginning of the comparable prior annual period. This accounting guidance is effective for the Company for business combinations that occur beginning in fiscal year 2013. Early adoption is permitted. The Company does not expect the adoption of this guidance to have a significant impact on its Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

During the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2011, the FASB issued new accounting guidance which requires entities to present net income and other comprehensive income in either a single continuous statement or in two separate, but consecutive, statements of net income and other comprehensive income. This accounting guidance is effective for the Company beginning in the first quarter of fiscal year 2013. Early adoption is permitted. As this guidance only amends the presentation of the components of other comprehensive income, the adoption will not have an impact on the Company s Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

During the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2011, the FASB issued new accounting guidance intended to simplify how an entity tests goodwill for impairment. The guidance will allow an entity to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is necessary to perform the two-step quantitative goodwill impairment test. An entity no longer will be required to calculate the fair value of a reporting unit unless the entity determines, based on a qualitative assessment, that it is more likely than not that its fair value is less than its carrying amount. This accounting guidance is effective for the Company for the annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal year 2013. Early adoption is permitted. The Company does not expect the adoption of this guidance to have a significant impact on its Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

### 15. Subsequent Events

The Company has evaluated subsequent events that occurred through the date of the filing of the Company s third quarter of fiscal year 2012 Form 10-Q. No significant events occurred subsequent to the balance sheet date and prior to the filing date of this report that would have a material impact on the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### JABIL CIRCUIT, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

References in this report to the Company, Jabil, we, our, or us mean Jabil Circuit, Inc. together with its subsidiaries, except where the context otherwise requires. This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains certain statements that are, or may be deemed to be, forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act ) and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act ) which are made in reliance upon the protections provided by such acts for forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements (such as when we describe what will, may, or should occur, what we plan, intend, estimate, believe, expect or anticipate will occur, and other similar statements) include, but are not limited to, statements regarding future sales and operating results, future prospects, anticipated benefits of proposed (or future) acquisitions, dispositions and new facilities, growth, the capabilities and capacities of business operations, any financial or other guidance and all statements that are not based on historical fact, but rather reflect our current expectations concerning future results and events. We make certain assumptions when making forward-looking statements, any of which could prove inaccurate, including, but not limited to, statements about our future operating results and business plans. Therefore, we can give no assurance that the results implied by these forward-looking statements will be realized. Furthermore, the inclusion of forward-looking information should not be regarded as a representation by the Company or any other person that future events, plans or expectations contemplated by the Company will be achieved. The ultimate correctness of these forward-looking statements is dependent upon a number of known and unknown risks and events, and is subject to various uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to be different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by these statements. The following important factors, among others, could affect future results and events, causing those results and events to differ materially from those expressed or implied in our forward-looking statements:

business conditions and growth or declines in our customers industries, the electronic manufacturing services industry and the general economy;
variability of our operating results;
our dependence on a limited number of major customers;
availability of components;
our dependence on certain industries;
our production levels are subject to the variability of customer requirements, including seasonal influences on the demand for certain end products;
our substantial international operations, and the resulting risks related to our operating internationally, including weak global economic conditions, instability in global credit markets and unfavorable fluctuations in currency exchange rates;
the potential consolidation of our customer base, and the potential movement by some of our customers of a portion of their manufacturing from us in order to more fully utilize their excess internal manufacturing capacity;
our ability to successfully negotiate definitive agreements and consummate dispositions and acquisitions, and to integrate operations following the consummation of acquisitions;

our ability to take advantage of our past, current and possible future restructuring efforts to improve utilization and realize savings and whether any such activity will adversely affect our cost structure, our ability to service customers and our labor relations;

our ability to maintain our engineering, technological and manufacturing process expertise;

other economic, business and competitive factors affecting our customers, our industry and our business generally; and

other factors that we may not have currently identified or quantified.

For a further list and description of various risks, relevant factors and uncertainties that could cause future results or events to differ materially from those expressed or implied in our forward-looking statements, see the Risk Factors and Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations sections contained in this document, as well as our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2011, any subsequent reports on Form 10-Q and Form 8-K and other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC). Given these risks and uncertainties, the reader should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements.

All forward-looking statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q are made only as of the date of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, and we do not undertake any obligation to publicly update or correct any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances that subsequently occur, or of which we hereafter become aware. You should read this document and the documents that we incorporate by reference into this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q completely and with the understanding that our actual future results may be materially different from what we expect. We may not update these forward-looking statements, even if our situation changes in the future. All forward-looking statements attributable to us are expressly qualified by these cautionary statements.

#### Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Overview

We are one of the leading providers of worldwide electronic manufacturing services and solutions. We provide comprehensive electronics design, production and product management services to companies in the aerospace, automotive, computing, consumer, defense, industrial, instrumentation, medical, networking, peripherals, solar, storage and telecommunications industries. We serve our customers primarily with dedicated business units that combine highly automated, continuous flow manufacturing with advanced electronic design and design for manufacturability. We currently depend, and expect to continue to depend, upon a relatively small number of customers for a significant percentage of our revenue, net of estimated return costs (net revenue). Based on net revenue, during the nine months ended May 31, 2012, our largest customers currently include Agilent Technologies, Apple Inc., Cisco Systems, Inc., Ericsson, EchoStar Corporation, General Electric Company, Hewlett-Packard Company, International Business Machines Corporation, NetApp, Inc. and Research in Motion Limited. During the nine months ended May 31, 2012, we had net revenues of approximately \$12.8 billion and net income attributable to Jabil Circuit, Inc. of approximately \$311.9 million.

We offer our customers comprehensive electronics design, production and product management services that are responsive to their manufacturing and supply chain management needs. Our business units are capable of providing our customers with varying combinations of the following services:

integrated design and engineering;
component selection, sourcing and procurement;
automated assembly;
design and implementation of product testing;
parallel global production;
enclosure services;
systems assembly, direct order fulfillment and configure to order; and
oftenmentat comices

aftermarket services.

We currently conduct our operations in facilities that are located in Argentina, Austria, Belgium, Brazil, Canada, China, England, France, Germany, Hungary, India, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Japan, Malaysia, Mexico, The Netherlands, Poland, Russia, Scotland, Singapore, South Korea, Taiwan, Turkey, Ukraine, United Arab Emirates, the U.S. and Vietnam. Our global manufacturing production sites allow customers to manufacture products simultaneously in the optimal locations for their products. Our services allow customers to improve supply-chain management, reduce inventory obsolescence, lower transportation costs and reduce product fulfillment time. We have identified our global presence as a key to assessing our business opportunities.

The industry in which we operate is composed of companies that provide a range of manufacturing, design and aftermarket services to companies that utilize electronics components. The industry experienced rapid change and growth through the 1990s as an increasing number of companies chose to outsource an increasing portion, and, in some cases, all of their manufacturing requirements. In mid-2001, the industry s revenue declined as a result of significant cut-backs in customer production requirements, which was consistent with the overall downturn in the technology sector at the time. In response to this downturn in the technology sector, we implemented restructuring programs to reduce our cost structure and further align our manufacturing capacity with the geographic production demands of our customers. Industry revenues generally began to stabilize in 2003 and companies began to turn more to outsourcing versus internal manufacturing. In addition, the number of industries

serviced, as well as the market penetration in certain industries, by electronic manufacturing service providers has increased over the past several years. In mid-2008, the industry s revenue declined when a deteriorating macro-economic environment resulted in illiquidity in the overall credit markets and a significant economic downturn in the North American, European and Asian markets. In response to this downturn, we implemented additional restructuring programs to reduce our cost structure and further align our manufacturing capacity with the geographic production demands of our customers.

Uncertainty remains regarding the extent and timing of the current global economic recovery, particularly in those countries (such as much of Europe) where the economic conditions have recently regressed. We will continue to monitor the current economic environment and its potential impact on both the customers that we serve as well as our end-markets and closely manage our costs and capital resources so that we can respond appropriately as circumstances continue to change.

#### Summary of Results

The following table sets forth, for the three month and nine month periods indicated, certain key operating results and other financial information (in thousands, except per share data):

	Three r	nonths ended	Nine mo	onths ended
	May 31, 2012	May 31, 2011	May 31, 2012	May 31, 2011
Net revenue	\$ 4,250,918	\$ 4,227,688	\$ 12,813,861	\$ 12,238,532
Gross profit	\$ 329,323	\$ 318,376	\$ 991,497	\$ 925,367
Operating income	\$ 156,603	\$ 152,533	\$ 477,663	\$ 413,174
Net income attributable to Jabil Circuit, Inc	\$ 101,320	\$ 104,695	\$ 311,890	\$ 266,775
Income per share basic	\$ 0.49	\$ 0.49	\$ 1.51	\$ 1.24
Income per share diluted	\$ 0.48	\$ 0.47	\$ 1.47	\$ 1.21
Cash dividend per share declared	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.21

Key Performance Indicators

Management regularly reviews financial and non-financial performance indicators to assess the Company s operating results. The following table sets forth, for the quarterly periods indicated, certain of management s key financial performance indicators:

	Three Months Ended				
	May 31, 2012	February 29, 2012	November 30, 2011	August 31, 2011	
Sales cycle	12 days	15 days	7 days	8 days	
Inventory turns (annualized)	7 turns	7 turns	7 turns	7 turns	
Days in accounts receivable	24 days	24 days	23 days	23 days	
Days in inventory	55 days	54 days	54 days	51 days	
Days in accounts payable	67 days	63 days	70 days	66 days	

The sales cycle is calculated as the sum of days in accounts receivable and days in inventory, less the days in accounts payable; accordingly, the variance in the sales cycle quarter over quarter is a direct result of changes in these indicators. During the three months ended May 31, 2012, days in accounts receivable remained constant at 24 days as compared to the prior sequential quarter. During the three months ended May 31, 2012, days in inventory increased one day to 55 days, as compared to the prior sequential quarter, largely due to increased levels of inventory to support the transition of certain program wins. Inventory turns remained constant at seven turns as compared to the prior sequential quarter. During the three months ended May 31, 2012, days in accounts payable increased four days to 67 days from the prior sequential quarter primarily due to the timing of purchases and cash payments for purchases during the respective quarters. The sales cycle was 12 days during the three months ended May 31, 2012. The changes in the sales cycle are due to the changes in accounts receivable, accounts payable and inventory that are discussed above.

#### **Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

The preparation of our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and related disclosures in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (U.S. GAAP) requires management to make estimates and judgments that affect our reported amounts of assets and liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities. On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates and assumptions based upon historical experience and various other factors and circumstances. Management believes that our estimates and assumptions are reasonable under the circumstances; however, actual results may vary from these estimates and assumptions under different future circumstances. For further discussion of our significant accounting policies, refer to Note 1 Description of Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies to the Consolidated Financial Statements and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results Operations Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2011.

#### **Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

See Note 14 New Accounting Guidance to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion of recent accounting guidance.

#### **Results of Operations**

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, certain statements of operations data expressed as a percentage of net revenue:

	Three mon	ths ended	Nine mont	ths ended
	May 31, 2012	May 31, 2011	May 31, 2012	May 31, 2011
Net revenue	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Cost of revenue	92.2%	92.5%	92.3%	92.4%
Gross profit	7.8%	7.5%	7.7%	7.6%
Operating expenses:				
Selling, general and administrative	3.8%	3.6%	3.8%	3.6%
Research and development	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%
Amortization of intangibles	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Restructuring and impairment charges				
Settlement of receivables and related charges				0.1%
Loss on disposal of subsidiaries				0.2%
Operating income	3.7%	3.6%	3.7%	3.4%
Other expense			0.1%	
Interest income				
Interest expense	0.7%	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%
Income before income tax	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	2.8%
Income tax expense	0.6%	0.5%	0.6%	0.6%
Net income	2.4%	2.5%	2.4%	2.2%
Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests, net of income tax expense				
Net income attributable to Jabil Circuit, Inc	2.4%	2.5%	2.4%	2.2%

The Three Months and Nine Months Ended May 31, 2012, Compared to the Three Months and Nine Months Ended May 31, 2011

**Net Revenue.** Net revenue remained relatively consistent at \$4.3 billion during the three months ended May 31, 2012, compared to \$4.2 billion during the three months ended May 31, 2011. Specific increases in Diversified Manufacturing Services (DMS) include a 43% increase in the sale of specialized services products; a 10% increase in the sale of healthcare and instrumentation products and a 1% increase in the sale of industrial and CleanTech products. These increases were partially offset by a 20% decrease in the sale of High Velocity Systems (HVS) products and a 4% decrease in the sale of Enterprise & Infrastructure (E&I) products.

Net revenue increased 4.7% to \$12.8 billion during the nine months ended May 31, 2012, compared to \$12.2 billion during the nine months ended May 31, 2011. Specific increases in DMS include a 54% increase in the sale of specialized services products; a 7% increase in the sale of healthcare and instrumentation products and a 6% increase in the sale of industrial and CleanTech products. These increases were partially offset by a 15% decrease in the sale of HVS products and a 1% decrease in the sale of E&I products. The increase in revenues for the nine months ended May 31, 2012 as compared to the nine months ended May 31, 2011 is primarily due to increased revenue from certain of our existing customers, most notably in DMS, including new program wins with these customers, which is partially offset by a decrease in E&I and HVS revenues. The decrease in E&I and HVS revenues is primarily due to a decline in the end-market demand for certain customer products in these segments, partially offset by an increase in the sale of printer and storage products due to new program wins.

Generally, we assess revenue on a global customer basis regardless of whether the growth is associated with organic growth or as a result of an acquisition. Accordingly, we do not differentiate or report separately revenue increases generated by acquisitions as opposed to existing business. In addition, the added cost structures associated with our acquisitions have historically been relatively insignificant when compared to our overall cost structure.

The distribution of revenue across our sectors has fluctuated, and will continue to fluctuate, as a result of numerous factors, including but not limited to the following: fluctuations in customer demand as a result of recessionary conditions; efforts to de-emphasize the economic performance of certain sectors, most specifically, our former automotive and display sectors; seasonality in our business; and business growth from new and existing customers.

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, revenue by segment expressed as a percentage of net revenue:

	Three	months	Nine months		
	May 31, 2012	May 31, 2011	May 31, 2012	May 31, 2011	
DMS					
Specialized Services	24%	17%	23%	16%	
Industrial & CleanTech	12%	12%	12%	12%	
Instrumentation & Healthcare	8%	7%	8%	7%	
Total DMS	44%	36%	43%	35%	
Total E&I	31%	33%	29%	31%	
Total HVS	25%	31%	28%	34%	
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	

Foreign revenue represented 84.4% and 85.7% of our net revenue during the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2012, respectively, compared to 85.8% and 85.7% of our net revenue during the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2011, respectively. We currently expect our foreign revenue as a percentage of total net revenue to slightly decrease as compared to current levels over the course of the next 12 months.

Gross Profit. Gross profit increased to \$329.3 million (7.8% of net revenue) and \$991.5 million (7.7% of net revenue) during the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2012, respectively, compared to \$318.4 million (7.5% of net revenue) and \$925.4 million (7.6% of net revenue) during the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2011, respectively. The increase in gross profit on an absolute basis and as a percentage of net revenue was primarily due to additional growth in the DMS segment, which typically has higher margins than the E&I and HVS segments, increased revenue from certain of our existing customers, including new program wins with these customers, which allow us to better utilize capacity and absorb fixed costs and an increased focus on controlling costs and improving productivity.

Selling, General and Administrative. Selling, general and administrative expenses increased to \$162.7 million (3.8% of net revenue) and \$481.4 million (3.8% of net revenue) during the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2012, respectively, compared to \$154.1 million (3.6% of net revenue) and \$438.4 million (3.6% of net revenue) during the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2011. Selling, general and administrative expenses increased from the same periods of the prior fiscal year due to additional salary and salary related expenses largely associated with increased headcount to support the continued growth of our business and additional selling, general and administrative expenses associated with the acquisition of Telmar Network Technology, Inc. ( Telmar ) during the second quarter of fiscal year 2012. In addition, during the nine months ended May 31, 2012, we recognized additional selling, general and administrative expenses associated with the acquisition of F-I Holding Company (which directly or indirectly wholly owns certain French and Italian operations) during the second quarter of fiscal year 2011.

**Research and Development.** Research and development expenses remained relatively consistent at \$6.5 million (0.2% of net revenue) and \$19.1 million (0.1% of net revenue) during the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2012, respectively, compared to \$6.5 million (0.2% of net revenue) and \$18.8 million (0.2% of net revenue) during the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2011, respectively.

Amortization of Intangibles. Amortization of intangible assets decreased to \$3.5 million and \$13.4 million during the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2012, respectively, compared to \$5.2 million and \$16.8 million during the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2011, respectively. The decrease was primarily attributable to certain intangible assets that became fully amortized since the comparative period, partially offset by an increase to amortization expense associated with the definite lived intangible assets acquired in connection with the acquisition of Telmar. Refer to Note 12 Business Acquisitions to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for discussion of our acquisition of Telmar.

Other Expense. Other expense remained relatively constant at \$1.9 million during the three months ended May 31, 2012 compared to \$1.8 million during the three months ended May 31, 2011. Other expense increased to \$6.5 million during the nine months ended May 31, 2012 compared to \$2.4 million during the nine months ended May 31, 2011. The increase during the nine months ended May 31, 2012 was primarily due to (a) an incremental gain of \$1.2 million recognized during the nine months ended May 31, 2011 associated with the purchase of receivables from an unrelated third party; (b) an incremental gain of \$0.4 million recognized during the nine months ended May 31, 2011 associated with the sale of an available-for-sale security; (c) an incremental loss of \$1.5 million recognized during the nine months ended May 31, 2012 under the foreign asset-backed securitization program, largely due to such expense being recorded to interest expense during a portion of the comparable period (as the program was accounted for as a secured borrowing until May 11, 2011) and (d) \$0.6 million of expense recognized during the nine months ended May 31, 2012 related to fair value adjustments associated with customer warrants. Refer to Note 7 Trade Accounts Receivable Securitization and Sale Programs to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of the foreign asset-backed securitization program.

**Interest Income.** Interest income decreased to \$0.7 million and \$1.6 million during the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2012, respectively, compared to \$0.9 million and \$2.5 million during the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2011, respectively. The decrease during these periods was primarily due to reduced cash investments.

Interest Expense. We recorded interest expense of \$26.5 million and \$78.3 million during the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2012, respectively, compared to \$25.1 million and \$73.1 million during the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2011, respectively. The increase was primarily due to increased borrowings associated with our five year unsecured credit facility amended as of December 7, 2010 (the Credit Facility ) which was further amended and restated on March 19, 2012 (the Amended and Restated Credit Facility ). These increases were partially offset by the losses recognized in connection with the asset-backed securitization programs that were recorded to interest expense during the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2011 because the asset-backed securitization programs were accounted for as secured borrowings for a portion of the period. Refer to Note 7 Trade Accounts Receivable Securitization and Sale Programs to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of the asset-backed securitization programs.

**Income Tax Expense.** Income tax expense reflects an effective tax rate of 21.2% and 20.5% during the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2012, respectively, as compared to an effective tax rate of 17.6% and 21.4% during the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2011, respectively. The effective tax rate for the three months ended May 31, 2012 increased from the effective tax rate for the three months ended May 31, 2011 primarily due to the mix of tax rates and the expiration of tax incentives in various jurisdictions in which we do business, partially offset by the tax benefit from releasing tax reserves related to the anticipated resolution of a non-U.S. governmental tax audit. The effective tax rate for the nine months ended May 31, 2012 decreased from the effective tax rate for the nine months ended May 31, 2011 primarily due to no tax benefit related to the acquisition losses of F-I Holding Company being recognized in the second quarter of fiscal year 2011 and the release of tax reserves related to the anticipated resolution of a non-U.S. governmental tax audit, partially offset by the mix of tax rates and the expiration of tax incentives in various jurisdictions in which we do business. Most of our international operations have historically been taxed at a lower rate than in the U.S., primarily due to tax incentives granted to our sites in Brazil, China, Hungary, Malaysia, Poland, Singapore and Vietnam. The material tax incentives continue to expire at various dates through 2020. Such tax incentives are subject to conditions with which we expect to continue to comply.

#### Non-U.S. GAAP Core Financial Measures

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations include certain non-U.S. GAAP financial measures as identified in the reconciliation below. The non-U.S. GAAP financial measures disclosed herein do not have standard meaning and may vary from the non-U.S. GAAP financial measures used by other companies or how we may calculate those measures in other instances from time to time. Non-U.S. GAAP financial measures should not be considered a substitute for, or superior to, measures of financial performance prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. Also, our core financial measures should not be construed as an inference by us that our future results will be unaffected by those items which are excluded from our core financial measures.

Management believes that the non-U.S. GAAP core financial measures set forth below are useful to facilitate evaluating the past and future performance of our ongoing manufacturing operations over multiple periods on a comparable basis by excluding the effects of the amortization of intangibles, distressed customer charges, stock-based compensation expense and related charges, restructuring and impairment charges, settlement of receivables and related charges and loss on disposal of subsidiaries. Among other uses, management uses non-U.S. GAAP core financial measures as a factor in determining certain employee performance when determining incentive compensation.

We are reporting core operating income and core earnings to provide investors with an additional method for assessing operating income and earnings, by presenting what we believe are our core manufacturing operations. A significant portion (based on the respective values) of the items that are excluded for purposes of calculating core operating income and core earnings also impacted certain balance sheet assets, resulting in a portion of an asset being written off without a corresponding recovery of cash we may have previously spent with respect to the asset. In the case of restructuring charges, we may be making associated cash payments in the future. In addition, although, for purposes of calculating core operating income and core earnings, we exclude stock-based compensation expense (which we anticipate continuing to incur in the future) because it is a non-cash expense, the associated stock issued may result in an increase in our outstanding shares of stock, which may result in the dilution of our stockholders ownership interest. We encourage you to evaluate these items and the limitations for purposes of analysis in excluding them.

Included in the table below is a reconciliation of the non-U.S. GAAP financial measures to the most directly comparable U.S. GAAP financial measures as provided in our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (in thousands):

	Three months ended			Nine months ended				
		ıy 31, 012		y 31, 011		ay 31, 2012		ay 31, 2011
Operating income (U.S. GAAP)	\$ 15	6,603	\$ 15	2,533	\$ 4	77,663	\$4	13,174
Amortization of intangibles		3,454		5,187		13,399		16,821
Distressed customer charge Stock-based compensation and related charges		0,149	2	0,053		10,149 59,857		59,854
Restructuring and impairment charges Settlement of receivables and related charges								628 13,607
Loss on disposal of subsidiaries							:	23,944
Core operating income (Non-U.S. GAAP)	\$ 19	00,329	\$ 17	7,773	\$ 5	61,068	\$ 5	28,028
Net income attributable to Jabil Circuit, Inc. (U.S. GAAP)	\$ 10	1,320	\$ 10	4,695	\$3	11,890	\$ 2	66,775
Amortization of intangibles, net of tax		3,180		5,174		13,099		16,785
Distressed customer charge Stock-based compensation and related charges, net of tax Restructuring and impairment charges, net of tax Settlement of receivables and related charges		0,149	1	9,268		10,149 58,656		58,279 628 13,607
Loss on disposal of subsidiaries, net of tax								23,944
Core earnings (Non-U.S. GAAP)	\$ 13	34,441	\$ 12	9,137	\$ 3	93,794	\$ 3	80,018
Earnings per share: (U.S. GAAP)								
Basic	\$	0.49	\$	0.49	\$	1.51	\$	1.24
Diluted	\$	0.48	\$	0.47	\$	1.47	\$	1.21
Core earnings per share: (Non-U.S. GAAP)								
Basic	\$	0.65	\$	0.60	\$	1.91	\$	1.77
Diluted	\$	0.64	\$	0.58	\$	1.86	\$	1.72
Common shares used in the calculations of earnings per share (U.S. GAAP & Non-U.S. GAAP):								
Basic	20	6,298	21	5,705	2	06,326	2	15,092
Diluted	21	1,541	22	2,337	2	11,749	2:	20,773

Core operating income increased to \$190.3 million and \$561.1 million during the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2012, respectively, compared to \$177.8 million and \$528.0 million during the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2011, respectively. Core earnings increased to \$134.4 million and \$393.8 million during the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2012, respectively, compared to \$129.1 million and \$380.0 million during the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2011, respectively. These increases were the result of the same factors described above in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations The Three Months and Nine Months Ended May 31, 2012, Compared to the Three Months and Nine Months Ended May 31, 2011 Gross Profit.

#### **Acquisitions and Expansion**

As discussed in Note 12 Business Acquisitions to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, we completed our acquisition of Telmar during the second quarter of fiscal year 2012. Acquisitions are accounted for using the acquisition method of accounting. Our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements include the operating results of each business from the date of acquisition. See Risk Factors We have on occasion not achieved, and may not in the future achieve, expected profitability from our acquisitions.

### Seasonality

Production levels for a portion of the DMS and HVS segments are subject to seasonal influences. We may realize greater net revenue during our first fiscal quarter due to higher demand for consumer related products manufactured in the DMS and HVS segments during the holiday selling season. Therefore, quarterly results should not be relied upon as necessarily being indicative of results for the entire fiscal year.

### **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

At May 31, 2012, our principle sources of liquidity consisted of cash, available borrowings under our credit facilities, our asset-backed securitization programs, our trade accounts receivable factoring agreement and our uncommitted trade accounts receivable sale programs.

#### Cash Flows

The following table sets forth selected consolidated cash flow information during the nine months ended May 31, 2012 and 2011 (in thousands):

	Nine months ended		
	May 31, 2012	May 31, 2011	
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 191,527	\$ 524,417	
Net cash used in investing activities	(403,818)	(298,032)	
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	92,718	(59,880)	
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	(26,909)	311	
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	\$ (146,482)	\$ 166,816	

Net cash provided by operating activities during the nine months ended May 31, 2012 was approximately \$191.5 million. This resulted largely from net income of \$313.6 million that includes \$262.2 million in non-cash depreciation and amortization expense and \$59.9 million in non-cash stock-based compensation expense, which was partially offset by a \$201.7 million increase in prepaid expenses and other current assets and a \$162.1 million increase in inventories. The increase in prepaid expenses and other current assets was primarily driven by increases in the deferred purchase price notes receivable under our asset-backed securitization

programs due to higher levels of sales and the timing of cash funding provided by the unaffiliated conduits and financial institutions discussed further below and advanced deposits made for machinery and equipment purchases and facility expansion primarily within our DMS segment. The increase in inventories was primarily to support the transition of certain program wins.

Net cash used in investing activities during the nine months ended May 31, 2012 was \$403.8 million. This consisted primarily of capital expenditures of \$291.8 million principally for machinery and equipment for new business, particularly within our DMS segment, maintenance levels of machinery and equipment and information technology infrastructure upgrades and \$125.1 million of net cash paid to acquire Telmar. These increases were partially offset by \$12.6 million of proceeds from the sale of property and equipment.

Net cash provided by financing activities during the nine months ended May 31, 2012 was \$92.7 million. This resulted primarily from our receipt of approximately \$7.0 billion of proceeds from borrowings under existing debt agreements, which primarily included an aggregate of \$6.7 billion of borrowings under the Credit Facility and the Amended and Restated Credit Facility and \$18.6 million of net proceeds from exercises by employees and directors of stock options and sales of common stock under the employee stock purchase plan. This was offset by repayments in an aggregate amount of approximately \$6.8 billion, which primarily included an aggregate of \$6.5 billion of repayments under the Credit Facility and the Amended and Restated Credit Facility. In addition, we paid approximately \$71.0 million to repurchase 3,212,418 of our common shares, \$48.7 million in dividends to stockholders, \$20.5 million related to the purchase of the remaining noncontrolling interest of a subsidiary within our DMS segment and \$31.2 million to the IRS on behalf of certain employees to satisfy minimum tax obligations related to the vesting of certain restricted stock awards (as consideration for these payments to the IRS, we withheld \$31.2 million of employee-owned common stock related to this vesting) during the nine months ended May 31, 2012.

#### Sources

We may need to finance day-to-day working capital needs, as well as future growth and any corresponding working capital needs, with additional borrowings under our Amended and Restated Credit Facility (which is further discussed in the following paragraphs) and our other revolving credit facilities described below, as well as additional public and private offerings of our debt and equity. Currently, we have a shelf registration statement with the SEC registering the potential sale of an indeterminate amount of debt and equity securities in the future, from time-to-time over the three years following the registration, to augment our liquidity and capital resources. The current shelf registration statement will expire in the first quarter of fiscal year 2015 at which time we currently anticipate filing a new shelf registration statement. Any future sale or issuance of equity or convertible debt securities could result in dilution to current or future shareholders. Further, we may issue debt securities that have rights and privileges senior to those of holders of ordinary shares, and the terms of this debt could impose restrictions on operations, increase debt service obligations, limit our flexibility as a result of debt service requirements and restrictive covenants, potentially negatively affect our credit ratings, and limit our ability to access additional capital or execute our business strategy. We continue to assess our capital structure and evaluate the merits of redeploying available cash to reduce existing debt or repurchase common shares.

We regularly sell designated pools of trade accounts receivable under two asset-backed securitization programs, a factoring program and three uncommitted trade accounts receivable sale programs (collectively referred to herein as the programs). Transfers of the receivables under the programs are accounted for as sales and, accordingly, net receivables sold under the programs are excluded from accounts receivable on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets and are reflected as cash provided by operating activities on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. Discussion of each of the programs is included in the following paragraphs. In addition, refer to Note 7 Trade Accounts Receivable Securitization and Sale Programs to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further details on the programs.

#### a. Asset-Backed Securitization Programs

We continuously sell designated pools of trade accounts receivable under our asset-backed securitization programs to special purpose entities, which in turn sell 100% of the receivables to conduits administered by unaffiliated financial institutions (for the North American asset-backed securitization program) and an unaffiliated financial institution (for the foreign asset-backed securitization program). Any portion of the purchase price for the receivables which is not paid in cash upon the sale taking place is recorded as a deferred purchase price receivable, which is paid from available cash as payments on the receivables are collected. Net cash proceeds up to a maximum of \$300.0 million for the North American asset-backed securitization program are available at any one time

The foreign asset-backed securitization program was amended on May 15, 2012 to expire on May 15, 2015.

In connection with our asset-backed securitization programs, at May 31, 2012, we had sold \$868.7 million of eligible trade accounts receivable, which represents the face amount of total outstanding receivables at that date. In exchange, we received cash proceeds of \$316.4 million, and a net deferred purchase price receivable. At May 31, 2012, the deferred purchase price

receivable totaled approximately \$542.2 million, net of a \$10.1 million valuation allowance established for accounts receivable sold into the asset-backed securitization programs that, subsequent to its sale, became involved in a legal dispute between us and the customer, which was recorded initially at fair value as prepaid expenses and other current assets on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. Refer to Note 10 Commitments and Contingencies to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further details on the aforementioned legal dispute.

#### b. Trade Accounts Receivable Factoring Agreement

In connection with a factoring agreement, we transfer ownership of eligible trade accounts receivable of a foreign subsidiary without recourse to a third party purchaser in exchange for cash. Proceeds from the transfer reflect the face value of the account less a discount. In April 2012, the factoring agreement was extended through September 30, 2012, at which time it is expected to automatically renew for an additional six-month period.

During the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2012, we sold \$19.2 million and \$62.6 million of trade accounts receivable, respectively, and received cash proceeds of \$19.2 million and \$62.6 million during the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2012, respectively.

#### c. Trade Accounts Receivable Sale Programs

In connection with two separate uncommitted trade accounts receivable sale agreements with banks, the second of which was entered into during the first quarter of fiscal year 2012, we may elect to sell and the banks may elect to purchase at a discount, on an ongoing basis, up to a maximum of \$250.0 million and \$50.0 million of specific trade accounts receivable at any one time. The \$250.0 million uncommitted trade accounts receivable sale agreement has no defined termination date and either party can elect to cancel the agreement by giving prior written notification to the other party of no less than 30 days. The \$50.0 million uncommitted trade accounts receivable sale agreement will expire no later than June 1, 2015, though either party can elect to cancel the agreement by giving prior written notification to the other party of no less than 30 days. A \$200.0 million uncommitted trade accounts receivable sale agreement, which we were previously party to, was terminated on May 31, 2012.

During the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2012, we sold \$0.5 billion and \$1.6 billion of trade accounts receivable under these programs, respectively, and we received cash proceeds of \$0.5 billion and \$1.6 billion during the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2012, respectively.

Notes payable and long-term debt outstanding at May 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011, are summarized below (in thousands):

	May 31, 2012	August 31, 2011
7.750% Senior Notes due 2016	\$ 304,791	\$ 303,501
8.250% Senior Notes due 2018	397,807	397,521
5.625% Senior Notes due 2020	400,000	400,000
Borrowings under credit facilities	290,500	72,100
Borrowings under loans	33,684	2,062
Fair value adjustment related to terminated interest rate swaps on the 7.750% Senior Notes	9,790	11,570
Total notes payable and long-term debt	1,436,572	1,186,754
Less current installments of notes payable and long-term debt	296,418	74,160
Notes payable and long-term debt, less current installments	\$ 1,140,154	\$ 1,112,594

On March 19, 2012, we entered into the five-year unsecured Amended and Restated Credit Facility which is an amendment and restatement of the Credit Facility. The Amended and Restated Credit Facility provides for a revolving credit facility in the initial amount of \$1.3 billion, which may, subject to lenders discretion, potentially be increased up to \$1.6 billion and expires on March 19, 2017. Interest and fees on the Amended and Restated Credit Facility advances are based on our non-credit enhanced long-term senior unsecured debt rating as determined by Standard & Poor s Rating Service and Moody s Investor Service. Interest is charged at a rate equal to either 0.175% to 0.850% above the base rate or 1.175% to 1.850% above the Eurocurrency rate, where the base rate represents the greatest of Citibank, N.A. s prime rate, 0.50% above the federal funds rate, or 1.0% above one-month LIBOR, and the Eurocurrency rate represents adjusted LIBOR for the applicable interest period, each as more fully described in the Amended and Restated Credit Facility agreement. Fees include a facility fee based on the revolving credit commitments of

the lenders and a letter of credit fee based on the amount of outstanding letters of credit. We, along with our subsidiaries, are subject to the following financial covenants: (1) a maximum ratio of (a) Debt (as defined in the Amended and Restated Credit Facility agreement) to (b) Consolidated EBITDA (as defined in the Amended and Restated Credit Facility agreement) and (2) a minimum ratio of (a) Consolidated EBITDA to (b) interest payable on, and amortization of debt discount in respect of, all Debt and loss on sale of accounts receivables. In addition, we are subject to other covenants, such as: limitation upon liens; limitation upon mergers, etc.; limitation upon accounting changes; limitation upon subsidiary debt; limitation upon sales, etc. of assets; limitation upon changes in nature of business; payment

restrictions affecting subsidiaries; compliance with laws, etc.; payment of taxes, etc.; maintenance of insurance; preservation of corporate existence, etc.; visitation rights; keeping of books; maintenance of properties, etc.; transactions with affiliates; and reporting requirements.

At May 31, 2012 and 2011, we were in compliance with all covenants under the Amended and Restated Credit Facility and the Credit Facility, respectively and our asset-backed securitization programs.

#### Uses

On October 20, 2011, January 25, 2012 and April 19, 2012, our Board of Directors approved payment of a quarterly dividend of \$0.08 per share to shareholders of record as of November 15, 2011, February 16, 2012 and May 15, 2012, respectively. The total cash dividend declared on October 20, 2011 of \$17.4 million was paid on December 1, 2011, the total cash dividend declared on January 25, 2012 of \$17.3 million was paid on March 1, 2012 and the total cash dividend declared on April 19, 2012 of \$17.3 million was paid on June 1, 2012. We currently expect to continue to declare and pay regular quarterly dividends of an amount similar to our past declarations. However, the declaration and payment of future dividends are discretionary and will be subject to determination by our Board of Directors each quarter following its review of our financial performance.

In the first quarter of fiscal year 2012, our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of \$100.0 million of our common shares. We repurchased 3,212,418 shares in the second and third quarters of fiscal year 2012 for approximately \$71.0 million which leaves approximately \$29.0 million available for repurchase.

On December 1, 2011, we completed our acquisition of Telmar by acquiring 100% of the issued and outstanding common shares of Telmar for approximately \$128.9 million in cash which was primarily funded through the Credit Facility. Refer to Note 12 Business Acquisitions to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further details surrounding the Telmar acquisition.

Our working capital requirements and capital expenditures could continue to increase in order to support future expansions of our operations through construction of greenfield operations or acquisitions. It is possible that future expansions may be significant and may require the payment of cash. Future liquidity needs will also depend on fluctuations in levels of inventory and shipments, changes in customer order volumes and timing of expenditures for new equipment.

At May 31, 2012, we had approximately \$742.1 million in cash and cash equivalents. As our growth remains predominantly outside of the United States, a significant portion of such cash and cash equivalents are held by our foreign subsidiaries. We estimate that approximately \$372.7 million of the cash and cash equivalents held by our foreign subsidiaries could not be repatriated to the United States without potential income tax consequences.

For discussion of our cash management and risk management policies see Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

We currently anticipate that during the next 12 months, our capital expenditures will be in the range of \$500.0 million to \$600.0 million, principally for machinery and equipment for new business within our DMS segment, maintenance levels of machinery and equipment, information technology infrastructure upgrades and construction of new greenfield facilities. We believe that our level of resources, which include cash on hand, available borrowings under our revolving credit facilities, additional proceeds available under our trade accounts receivable securitization programs and potentially available under our uncommitted trade accounts receivable sale programs and funds provided by operations, will be adequate to fund these capital expenditures, the payment of any declared quarterly dividends, the repurchase of common shares and our working capital requirements for the next 12 months.

Our \$300.0 million North American asset-backed securitization program expires in October 2014 and our \$200.0 million foreign asset-backed securitization program expires in May 2015, and we may be unable to renew either of these. Our \$250.0 million uncommitted trade accounts receivable sale program does not have a defined termination date and either party can elect to cancel the agreement by giving prior written notification to the other party of no less than 30 days. Our \$50.0 million uncommitted trade accounts receivable sale program will expire no later than June 1, 2015, though either party can elect to cancel the agreement by giving prior written notification to the other party of no less than 30 days. As the sales programs are uncommitted, we can offer no assurance that if we attempt to draw on such programs in the future that we will receive funding from the associated banks which would require us to utilize other available sources of liquidity, including our revolving credit facilities.

Should we desire to consummate significant additional acquisition opportunities or undertake significant additional expansion activities, our capital needs would increase and could possibly result in our need to increase available borrowings under our revolving credit facilities or access public or private debt and equity markets. There can be no assurance, however, that we would be successful in raising additional debt or equity on terms that we would consider acceptable. See Risk Factors Our amount of debt could significantly increase in the future.

#### **Contractual Obligations**

Our contractual obligations for short and long-term debt arrangements, future interest on notes payable and long-term debt, future minimum lease payments under non-cancelable operating lease arrangements, estimated future benefit payments to plan and capital commitments as of May 31, 2012 are summarized below. We generally do not enter into non-cancelable purchase orders for materials until we receive a corresponding purchase commitment from our customer. Non-cancelable purchase orders do not typically extend beyond the normal lead time of several weeks at most. Purchase orders beyond this time frame are typically cancelable.

	Payments due by period (in thousands) Less than				
		1			After 5
	Total	year	1-3 years	4-5 years	years
Notes payable and long-term debt (a)	\$ 1,426,782	\$ 296,418	\$ 10,642	\$ 321,915	\$ 797,807
Future interest on notes payable and long-term debt (b)	490,588	82,018	161,808	139,641	107,121
Operating lease obligations	207,037	61,436	71,869	37,436	36,296
Estimated future benefit payments to plan	70,025	5,545	12,060	14,881	37,539
Capital commitments (c)					
Total contractual cash obligations (d)	\$ 2,194,432	\$ 445,417	\$ 256,379	\$ 513,873	\$ 978,763

- (a) The above table excludes a \$9.8 million fair value adjustment related to the interest rate swap on the 7.750% Senior Notes.
- (b) Certain of our notes payable and long-term debt pay interest at variable rates. In the contractual obligations table above, we have elected to apply estimated interest rates to determine the value of these future interest payments.
- (c) During the first quarter of fiscal year 2009, we committed \$10.0 million to an independent private equity limited partnership which invests in companies that address resource limits in energy, water and materials (commonly referred to as the CleanTech sector). Of that amount, we have invested \$6.5 million as of May 31, 2012. The remaining commitment of \$3.5 million is callable over the next 15 months by the general partner. As the capital calls have no specified timing, this commitment has been excluded from the above table as we cannot currently determine when such commitment calls will occur.
- (d) At May 31, 2012, we have \$7.3 million and \$74.8 million recorded as a current and long-term liability, respectively, for uncertain tax positions. We are not able to reasonably estimate the timing of payments, or the amount by which our liability for these uncertain tax positions will increase or decrease over time, and accordingly, this liability has been excluded from the above table.

# Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk Foreign Currency Exchange Risks

We transact business in various foreign countries and are, therefore, subject to risk of foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations. We enter into forward contracts to economically hedge transactional exposure associated with commitments arising from trade accounts receivable, trade accounts payable, intercompany transactions and fixed purchase obligations denominated in a currency other than the functional currency of the respective operating entity. We do not intend to use derivative financial instruments for speculative purposes. All derivative instruments are recorded on our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at their respective fair values. At May 31, 2012, except for certain foreign currency contracts, with a notional amount outstanding of \$178.8 million and a fair value of \$0.6 million recorded in prepaid expenses and other current assets and \$2.0 million recorded in accrued expenses, we have elected not to prepare and maintain the documentation required for the transactions to qualify as accounting hedges and, therefore, changes in fair value are recorded within our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

The aggregate notional amount of outstanding contracts at May 31, 2012 that do not qualify as accounting hedges was \$874.1 million. The fair value of these contracts amounted to a \$8.2 million asset recorded in prepaid expenses and other current assets and a \$12.5 million liability recorded to accrued expenses on our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The forward contracts (both those that are designated as hedging instruments and those that are not) will generally expire in less than three months, with six months being the maximum term of the contracts outstanding at May 31, 2012. The change in fair value related to contracts designated as hedging instruments will be reflected in the revenue or expense line in which the underlying transaction occurs within our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. The change in fair value related to contracts not designated as hedging instruments will be

reflected in cost of revenue within our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. The forward contracts are denominated in Brazilian reais, British pounds, Chinese yuan renminbis, Euros, Hungarian forints, Indian rupees, Japanese yen, Malaysian ringgits, Mexican pesos, Polish zlotys, Russian rubles, Swedish krona, Taiwan dollars, Canadian dollars and U.S. dollars.

Based on our overall currency rate exposures as of May 31, 2012, including the derivative financial instruments intended to hedge the nonfunctional currency-denominated monetary assets and liabilities, an immediate 10% hypothetical change of foreign currency exchange rates would not have a material effect on our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### Interest Rate Risk

A portion of our exposure to market risk for changes in interest rates relates to our domestic investment portfolio. We do not, and do not intend to, use derivative financial instruments for speculative purposes. We place cash and cash equivalents with various major financial institutions. We protect our invested principal funds by limiting default risk, market risk and reinvestment risk. We mitigate these risks by generally investing in investment grade securities and by frequently positioning the portfolio to try to respond appropriately to a reduction in credit rating of any investment issuer, guarantor or depository to levels below the credit ratings dictated by our investment policy. The portfolio typically includes only marketable securities with active secondary or resale markets to ensure portfolio liquidity. At May 31, 2012, there were no significant outstanding investments.

During the second quarter of fiscal year 2011, we entered into a series of interest rate swaps with an aggregate notional amount of \$200.0 million designated as fair value hedges of a portion of our 7.750% Senior Notes. Under these interest rate swaps, we received fixed rate interest payments and paid interest at a variable rate based on LIBOR plus a spread. The effect of these swaps was to convert fixed rate interest expense on a portion of the 7.750% Senior Notes to floating rate interest expense. Gains and losses related to changes in the fair value of the interest rate swaps were recorded to interest expense and offset changes in the fair value of the hedged portion of the underlying 7.750% Senior Notes.

During the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2011, we terminated the interest rate swaps entered into in connection with the 7.750% Senior Notes with a fair value of \$12.2 million, including accrued interest of \$0.6 million at August 31, 2011. The portion of the fair value that is not accrued is recorded as a hedge accounting adjustment to the carrying amount of the 7.750% Senior Notes and is being amortized as a reduction to interest expense over the remaining term of the 7.750% Senior Notes. At May 31, 2012, the hedge accounting adjustment recorded is \$9.8 million in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

We pay interest on several of our outstanding borrowings at interest rates that fluctuate based upon changes in various base interest rates. There were \$290.5 million in borrowings outstanding under these facilities at May 31, 2012. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources and Note 6 Notes Payable and Long-Term Debt to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information regarding our outstanding debt obligations. The effect of an immediate hypothetical 10% change in variable interest rates would not have a material effect on our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

# Item 4. Controls and Procedures Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We carried out an evaluation required by Rules 13a-15 and 15d-15 under the Exchange Act (the Evaluation), under the supervision and with the participation of our President and Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO), of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Rules 13a-15 and 15d-15 under the Exchange Act (Disclosure Controls) as of May 31, 2012. Based on the Evaluation, our CEO and CFO concluded that the design and operation of our Disclosure Controls were effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is (i) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms, and (ii) accumulated and communicated to our senior management, including our CEO and CFO, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

## **Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

For our fiscal quarter ended May 31, 2012, we did not identify any modifications to our internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Many of the components of our internal controls over financial reporting are evaluated on an ongoing basis by our finance organization to ensure continued compliance with the Exchange Act. The overall goals of these various evaluation activities are to monitor our internal controls over financial reporting and to modify them as necessary. We intend to maintain our internal controls over financial reporting as dynamic processes and procedures that we adjust as circumstances merit, and we have reached our conclusions set forth above, notwithstanding certain improvements and modifications.

#### Limitations on the Effectiveness of Controls and Other Matters

Our management, including our CEO and CFO, does not expect that our Disclosure Controls and internal control over financial reporting will prevent all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls may be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the control.

The design of any system of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions; over time, a control may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

Notwithstanding the foregoing limitations on the effectiveness of controls, we have nonetheless reached the conclusions set forth above on our disclosure controls and procedures and our internal control over financial reporting.

The SEC s general guidance permits the exclusion of an assessment of the effectiveness of a registrant s controls and procedures as they relate to its internal control over financial reporting for an acquired business during the first year following such acquisition if, among other circumstances and factors, there is not an adequate amount of time between the acquisition date and the date of assessment. On December 1, 2011, we acquired Telmar. In accordance with the SEC guidance, the scope of our evaluation of internal controls over financial reporting as of May 31, 2012 did not include the internal control over financial reporting of these acquired operations. Assets acquired from Telmar and the entities that it directly or indirectly owns represent less than 3% of our total consolidated assets at May 31, 2012 and net revenue generated by Telmar and the entities that it directly or indirectly owns subsequent to the date of acquisition represent less than 1% of our consolidated net revenue for the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2012. As part of our acquisition of Telmar we continue to evaluate Telmar s internal controls over financial reporting. From the acquisition date to May 31, 2012, the processes and systems of Telmar s acquired operations did not significantly impact our internal control over financial reporting.

#### **CEO and CFO Certifications**

Exhibits 31.1 and 31.2 are the Certifications of the CEO and the CFO, respectively. The Certifications are required in accordance with Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (the Section 302 Certifications). This Item of this report, which you are currently reading is the information concerning the Evaluation referred to in the Section 302 Certifications and this information should be read in conjunction with the Section 302 Certifications for a more complete understanding of the topics presented.

#### **PART II - OTHER INFORMATION**

#### Item 1. Legal Proceedings

We are party to certain lawsuits in the ordinary course of business. We do not believe that these proceedings, individually or in the aggregate, will have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

#### Item 1A. Risk Factors

As referenced, this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q includes certain forward-looking statements regarding various matters. The ultimate correctness of those forward-looking statements is dependent upon a number of known and unknown risks and events, and is subject to various uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to be different from those expressed or implied by those statements. Undue reliance should not be placed on those forward-looking statements. The following important factors, among others, as well as those factors set forth in our other SEC filings from time to time, could affect future results and events, causing results and events to differ materially from those expressed or implied in our forward-looking statements.

Our operating results may fluctuate due to a number of factors, many of which are beyond our control.

Our annual and quarterly operating results are affected by a number of factors, including:

adverse changes in current macro-economic conditions, both in the U.S. and internationally;

how well we execute on our strategy and operating plans, and the impact of changes in our business model;
the level and timing of customer orders;
the level of capacity utilization of our manufacturing facilities and associated fixed costs;
the composition of the costs of revenue between materials, labor and manufacturing overhead;
price competition;
changes in demand for our products or services;
changes in demand in our customers end markets;
our exposure to financially troubled customers;
our level of experience in manufacturing a particular product;
the degree of automation used in our assembly process;
the efficiencies achieved in managing inventories and fixed assets;
fluctuations in materials costs and availability of materials;
adverse changes in political conditions, both in the U.S. and internationally, including among other things, adverse changes in tax laws and rates (and the governments interpretations thereof), adverse changes in trade policies and adverse changes in fiscal and monetary policies;
seasonality in customers product requirements; and
the timing of expenditures in anticipation of increased sales, customer product delivery requirements and shortages of components of labor.  me and timing of orders placed by our customers vary due to variation in demand for our customers products; our customers attempted in the customers attempted in the customers are considered in the customers.

The volume and timing of orders placed by our customers vary due to variation in demand for our customers products; our customers attempts to manage their inventory; electronic design changes; changes in our customers manufacturing strategies; and acquisitions of or consolidations among our customers. In addition, our sales associated with consumer related products are subject to seasonal influences. We may realize greater revenue during our first fiscal quarter due to high demand for consumer related products during the holiday selling season. In the past, changes in customer orders that reduce net revenue have had a significant effect on our results of operations as a result of our overhead remaining relatively fixed while our net revenue decreased. Any one or a combination of these factors could adversely affect our annual and quarterly results of operations in the future. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Results of Operations.

Because we depend on a limited number of customers, a reduction in sales to any one of our customers could cause a significant decline in our revenue.

During the nine months ended May 31, 2012, our five largest customers accounted for approximately 48% of our net revenue and our 53 largest customers accounted for approximately 90% of our net revenue. We currently depend, and expect to continue to depend, upon a relatively small number of customers for a significant percentage of our net revenue and upon their growth, viability and financial stability. In addition, given the relatively large size of our customers and the business we currently do and may do in the future for these customers, this dependence may increase in the future. If any of our customers experience a decline in the demand for their products due to economic or other forces, they may reduce their purchases from us or terminate their relationship with us. Our customers industries have experienced rapid technological change, shortening of product life cycles, consolidation, and pricing and margin pressures. Consolidation among our customers may further reduce the number of customers that generate a significant percentage of our net revenue and exposes us to increased risks relating to dependence on a small number of customers. A significant reduction in sales to any of our customers or a customer exerting significant pricing and margin pressures on us could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. In the past, some of our customers have terminated their manufacturing arrangements with us or have significantly reduced or delayed the volume of design, production or product management services ordered from us, including moving a portion of their manufacturing from us in order to more fully utilize their excess internal manufacturing capacity, which could again happen in the future.

During past economic cycles, our revenue declined as consumers and businesses postponed spending in response to tighter credit, negative financial news, declines in income or asset values or general uncertainty about global economic conditions. These economic conditions had a negative impact on our results of operations and similar conditions may exist in the future. We cannot assure you that present or future customers will not terminate their design, production and product management services arrangements with us or significantly change, reduce or delay the amount of services ordered from us. If they do, it could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. In addition, if one or more of our customers were to become insolvent or otherwise were unable to pay for the services provided by us on a timely basis, or at all, our operating results and financial condition could be adversely affected. In addition, our operating results and financial condition could be adversely affected by the potential recovery by the

bankruptcy estate of amounts previously paid to us by a customer that later became insolvent that are deemed a preference under bankruptcy law. Such adverse effects could include one or more of the following: a decline in revenue, a charge for bad debts, a charge for inventory write-offs, a decrease in inventory turns, an increase in days in inventory and an increase in days in accounts receivable.

Certain of the industries to which we provide services have experienced significant financial difficulty during the recent recession, with some of the participants filing for bankruptcy. Such significant financial difficulty has negatively affected our business and, if further experienced by one or more of our customers, may further negatively affect our business due to the decreased demand of these financially distressed customers, the potential inability of these companies to make full payment on amounts owed to us, or both. See Risk Factors We face certain risks in collecting our trade accounts receivable.

Our customers face numerous competitive challenges, such as decreasing demand from their customers, rapid technological change and short life cycles for their products, which may materially adversely affect their business, and also ours.

Factors affecting the industries that utilize our services in general, and our customers specifically, could seriously harm our customers and, as a result, us. These factors include:

recessionary periods in our customers markets, as well as in the global economy in general;

the inability of our customers to adapt to rapidly changing technology and evolving industry standards, which contributes to short product life cycles;

the inability of our customers to develop and market their products, some of which are new and untested;

the potential that our customers products become obsolete;

the failure of our customers products to gain widespread commercial acceptance;

increased competition among our customers and their respective competitors which may result in a loss of business or a reduction in pricing power for our customers; and

new product offerings by our customers competitors may prove to be more successful than our customers product offerings. Also, our HVS segment, particularly the mobility business, is highly dependent on the consumer products industry. This business is very competitive and often subject to shorter product lifecycles, shifting end-user preferences, higher revenue volatility and programs that may be shifted among competitors in our industry. As a result, our exposure to this end market could adversely affect our results of operations.

At times our customers have been, and may be in the future, unsuccessful in addressing these competitive challenges, or any others that they may face, and their business has been, and may be in the future, materially adversely affected. As a result, the demand for our services has at times declined and may decline in the future. Even if our customers are successful in responding to these challenges, their responses may have consequences which affect our business relationships with our customers (and possibly our results of operations) by altering our production cycles and inventory management.

The success of our business is dependent on both our ability to independently keep pace with technological changes and competitive conditions in our industry, and also our ability to effectively adapt our services in response to our customers keeping pace with technological changes and competitive conditions in their respective industries.

If we are unable to offer technologically advanced, cost effective, quick response manufacturing services that are differentiated from our competition, demand for our services will decline. In addition, if we are unable to offer services in response to our customers changing requirements, then demand for our services will also decline. A substantial portion of our net revenue is derived from our offering of complete

service solutions for our customers. For example, if we fail to maintain high-quality design and engineering services, our net revenue may significantly decline.

#### Consolidation in industries that utilize our services may adversely affect our business.

Consolidation in industries that utilize our services may further increase as companies combine to achieve further economies of scale and other synergies, which could result in an increase in excess manufacturing capacity as companies seek to divest manufacturing operations or eliminate duplicative product lines. Excess manufacturing capacity may increase pricing and competitive pressures for our industry as a whole and for us in particular. Consolidation could also result in an increasing number of very large companies offering products in multiple industries. The significant purchasing power and market power of these large companies could increase pricing and competitive pressures for us. If one of our customers is acquired by another company that does not rely on us to provide services and has its own production facilities or relies on another provider of similar services, we may lose that customer s business. Such consolidation among our customers may further reduce the number of customers that generate a significant percentage of our net revenue and exposes us to increased risks relating to dependence on a small number of customers. Any of the foregoing results of industry consolidation could adversely affect our business.

Most of our customers do not commit to long-term production schedules, which makes it difficult for us to schedule production and capital expenditures, and to maximize the efficiency of our manufacturing capacity.

capital expenditures, and to maximize the efficiency of our manufacturing capacity.	

variation in demand for our customers products;
our customers attempts to manage their inventory;
electronic design changes;

changes in our customers manufacturing strategy; and

The volume and timing of sales to our customers may vary due to:

acquisitions of or consolidations among customers.

Due in part to these factors, most of our customers do not commit to firm production schedules for more than one quarter. Our inability to forecast the level of customer orders with certainty makes it difficult to schedule production and maximize utilization of manufacturing capacity. In the past, we have been required to increase staffing and other expenses in order to meet the anticipated demand of our customers. Anticipated orders from many of our customers have, in the past, failed to materialize or delivery schedules have been deferred as a result of changes in our customers business needs, thereby adversely affecting our results of operations. On other occasions, our customers have required rapid increases in production, which have placed an excessive burden on our resources. Such customer order fluctuations and deferrals have had a material adverse effect on us in the past and we may experience such effects in the future. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

In addition to our difficulty in forecasting customer orders, we sometimes experience difficulty forecasting the timing of our receipt of revenue and earnings following commencement of manufacturing an additional product for new or existing customers. The necessary process to begin this commencement of manufacturing can take from several months to more than a year before production begins. Delays in the completion of this process can delay the timing of our sales and related earnings. In addition, because we make capital expenditures during this ramping process and do not typically recognize revenue until after we produce and ship the customer s products, any delays or unanticipated costs in the ramping process may have a significant adverse effect on our cash flows and our results of operations.

#### Our customers may cancel their orders, change production quantities, delay production or change their sourcing strategy.

Our industry must provide increasingly rapid product turnaround for its customers. We generally do not obtain firm, long-term purchase commitments from our customers and we continue to experience reduced lead-times in customer orders. Customers have previously canceled their orders, changed production quantities, delayed production and changed their sourcing strategy for a number of reasons, and may do one or more of these in the future. Such changes, delays and cancellations have led to, and may lead in the future to a decline in our production and our possession of excess or obsolete inventory that we may not be able to sell to customers or third parties. This has resulted in, and could result in future additional, write downs of inventories that have become obsolete or exceed anticipated demand or net realizable value.

The success of our customers products in the market affects our business. Cancellations, reductions, delays or changes in sourcing strategy by a significant customer or by a group of customers have negatively impacted, and could further negatively impact in the future, our operating results by reducing the number of products that we sell, delaying the payment to us for inventory that we purchased and reducing the use of our manufacturing facilities which have associated fixed costs not dependent on our level of revenue.

In addition, we make significant decisions, including determining the levels of business that we will seek and accept, production schedules, component procurement commitments, personnel needs and other resource requirements, based on our estimate of customer requirements. The following factors, among others, reduce our ability to accurately estimate future customer requirements, forecast operating results and make production planning decisions: the short-term nature of our customers—commitments; their uncertainty about, among other things, future economic conditions and other events, such as the flooding in Thailand in the second half of 2011; and the possibility of rapid changes in demand for their products.

On occasion, customers may require rapid increases in production, which can stress our resources and reduce operating margins. In addition, because many of our costs and operating expenses are relatively fixed, a reduction in customer demand can harm our gross profits and operating results.

We depend on a limited number of suppliers for components that are critical to our manufacturing processes. A shortage of these components or an increase in their price could interrupt our operations and reduce our profits, increase our inventory carrying costs, increase our risk of exposure to inventory obsolescence and cause us to purchase components of a lesser quality.

Most of our significant long-term customer contracts permit quarterly or other periodic adjustments to pricing based on decreases and increases in component prices and other factors; however, we typically bear the risk of component price increases that occur between any such re-pricings or, if such re-pricing is not permitted, during the balance of the term of the particular customer contract. Accordingly, certain component price increases could adversely affect our gross profit margins.

Almost all of the products we manufacture require one or more components that are only available from a single source. Some of these components are allocated from time to time in response to supply shortages. In some cases, supply shortages will substantially curtail production of all assemblies using a particular component. A supply shortage can also increase our cost of goods sold, as a result of our having to pay higher prices for components in limited supply, and cause us to have to redesign or reconfigure products to accommodate a substitute component. At various times industry-wide shortages of electronic components have occurred, particularly of semiconductor, relay and capacitor products. We believe these past shortages were due to increased economic activity following recessionary conditions. In addition, natural disasters and global events, such as the flooding in Thailand in the second half of 2011, could cause material shortages. In the past, such circumstances have produced insignificant levels of short-term interruption of our operations, but could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations in the future. Our production of a customer—s product could be negatively impacted by any quality or reliability issues with any of our component suppliers. The financial condition of our suppliers could affect their ability to supply us with components and their ability to satisfy any warranty obligations they may have, which could have a material adverse effect on our operations.

If a component shortage is threatened or we anticipate one, we may purchase such component early to avoid a delay or interruption in our operations. A possible result of such an early purchase is that we may incur additional inventory carrying costs, for which we may not be compensated, and have a heightened risk of exposure to inventory obsolescence, the cost of which may not be recoverable from our customers. Such costs would adversely affect our gross profit and net income. A component shortage may also require us to look to second tier vendors or to procure components through brokers with whom we are not familiar. These components may be of lesser quality than those we have historically purchased and could cause us to incur costs to bring such components up to our typical quality levels or to replace defective ones. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Business Components Procurement in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2011.

# Introducing programs requiring implementation of new competencies, including new process technology within our mechanical operations or other operations, could affect our operations and financial results.

The introduction of programs requiring implementation of new competencies, including new process technology within our mechanical operations or other operations, presents challenges in addition to opportunities. Deployment of such programs may require us to invest significant resources and capital in facilities, equipment and/or personnel. We may not meet our customers' expectations or otherwise execute properly or in a cost-efficient manner, which could damage our customer relationships and result in remedial costs or the loss of our invested capital and anticipated revenues and profits. In addition, there are risks of market acceptance and product performance that could result in less demand than anticipated and our having excess capacity. The failure to ensure that our agreed terms appropriately reflect the anticipated costs, risks, and rewards of such an opportunity could adversely affect our profitability. If we do not meet one or more of these challenges, our operations and financial results could be adversely affected.

#### Customer relationships with emerging companies may present more risks than with established companies.

Customer relationships with emerging companies present special risks because such companies do not have an extensive product history. As a result, there is less demonstration of market acceptance of their products making it harder for us to anticipate needs and requirements than with established customers. In addition, due to the current economic environment, additional funding for such companies may be more difficult to obtain and these customer relationships may not continue or materialize to the extent we planned or we previously experienced. As a result of many start-up customers—lack of prior operations and unproven product markets, our credit risk, especially in trade accounts receivable and inventories, and the risk that these customers will be unable to fulfill their potentially significant obligation to indemnify us from various liabilities are potentially increased. These risks are also heightened by the recent tightening of financing for start-up customers. Although we perform ongoing credit evaluations of our customers and adjust our allowance for doubtful accounts receivable for all customers, including start-up customers, based on the information available, these allowances may not be adequate. This risk may exist for any new emerging company customers in the future. Finally, as a result of, among other things, these emerging companies tending to be smaller and less financially secure, we have faced and may face in the future increased litigation risk from these companies.

We compete with numerous other electronic manufacturing services and design providers and others, including our current and potential customers who may decide to manufacture some or all of their products internally.

Our business is highly competitive. We compete against numerous domestic and foreign electronic manufacturing services and design providers, including Benchmark Electronics, Inc., Celestica, Inc., Flextronics International Ltd., Hon-Hai Precision Industry Co., Ltd., Plexus Corp. and Sanmina-SCI Corporation. In addition, past consolidation in our industry has resulted in larger and more geographically diverse competitors who have significant combined resources with which to compete against us. Also, we may in the future encounter competition from other large electronic manufacturers, and manufacturers that are focused solely on design and manufacturing services, that are selling, or may begin to sell electronics manufacturing services. Most of our competitors have international operations and significant financial resources and some have substantially greater manufacturing, R&D and marketing resources than we have. These competitors may:

have greater name recognition, critical mass and geographic market presence;
be better able to take advantage of acquisition opportunities;
adapt more quickly to changes in customer requirements;
devote greater resources to the development, promotion and sale of their services;
be better positioned to compete on price for their services, as a result of any combination of lower labor costs, lower components costs, lower facilities costs, lower operating costs or lower taxes; and

have excess capacity, and be better able to utilize such excess capacity, which may reduce the cost of their product or service. We also face competition from the manufacturing operations of our current and potential customers, who are continually evaluating the merits of manufacturing products internally against the advantages of outsourcing. In the past, some of our customers moved a portion of their manufacturing from us in order to more fully utilize their excess internal manufacturing capacity.

We may be operating at a cost disadvantage compared to competitors who have greater direct buying power from component suppliers, distributors and raw material suppliers or who have lower cost structures as a result of their geographic location or the services they provide or who are willing to make sales or provide services at lower margins than we do (including relationships where our competitors are willing to accept a lower margin from certain of their customers for whom they perform other higher margin business). As a result, competitors may procure a competitive advantage and obtain business from our customers. Our manufacturing processes are generally not subject to significant proprietary protection. In addition, companies with greater resources or a greater market presence may enter our market or increase their competition with us. We also expect our competitors to continue to improve the performance of their current products or services, to reduce the sales prices of their current products or services and to introduce new products or services that may offer greater performance and improved pricing. Any of these developments could cause a decline in our sales, loss of market acceptance of our products or services, compression of our profits or loss of our market share.

#### The economies of the U.S., Europe and certain countries in Asia are, or have been, in a recession.

respond more quickly to new or emerging technologies;

There was an erosion of global consumer confidence amidst concerns over declining asset values, inflation, volatility in energy costs, geopolitical issues, the availability and cost of credit, high unemployment, and the stability and solvency of financial institutions, financial markets, businesses, and sovereign nations. These concerns slowed global economic growth and resulted in recessions in many countries, including in the U.S., Europe and certain countries in Asia. Even though we have seen signs of an overall economic recovery, such recovery may be weak and/or short-lived and recessionary conditions may return. Recent developments in the European Union, including concerns over the solvency of certain European Union countries and of financial institutions that have significant direct or indirect exposure to debt issued by those

countries, could significantly affect the U.S. and international debt and capital markets, as well as the demand for the products of certain of our customers with significant exposure to European end markets.

If any of these potential negative economic conditions occur, a number of negative effects on our business could result, including customers or potential customers reducing or delaying orders, increased pricing pressures, the insolvency of key suppliers, which could result in production delays, the inability of customers to obtain credit, and the insolvency of one or more customers. Thus, these economic conditions (1) could negatively impact our ability to (a) forecast customer demand, (b) effectively manage inventory levels, including our ability to limit our possession of excess or obsolete inventory, and (c) collect receivables in a timely manner, if at all; (2) could increase our need for cash; and (3) have negatively impacted, and could negatively impact in the future, our net revenue and profitability and the value of certain of our properties and other assets. Depending on the length of time that these conditions exist, they may cause future additional negative effects, including some of those listed above.

The financial markets have experienced significant turmoil, which may adversely affect financial arrangements we may need to enter into, refinance or repay.

Credit market turmoil effects could negatively impact the counterparties to our forward exchange contracts and trade accounts receivable securitization and sale programs; our lenders under the Amended and Restated Credit Facility; and our lenders under various foreign subsidiary credit facilities. These potential negative impacts could potentially limit our ability to borrow under these financing agreements, contracts, facilities and programs. In addition, if we attempt to obtain future additional financing, such as renewing or refinancing our \$300.0 million asset-backed securitization program expiring on October 21, 2014, our \$200.0 million foreign asset-backed securitization program expiring on May 15, 2015, our \$50.0 million uncommitted trade accounts receivable sale program expiring on June 1, 2015 (though either party can elect to cancel the agreement by giving prior written notification to the other party of no less than 30 days) or our \$250.0 million uncommitted trade accounts receivable sale program (either party can elect to cancel the agreement by giving prior written notification to the other party of no less than 30 days), the effects of the credit market turmoil could negatively impact our ability to obtain such financing. Finally, the credit market turmoil has negatively impacted certain of our customers and certain of their customers. These impacts could have several consequences which could have a negative effect on our results of operations, including one or more of the following: a negative impact on our liquidity, including potentially insufficient cash flows to support our operations; a decrease in demand for our services; a decrease in demand for our customers products; and bad debt charges or inventory write-offs.

Our business could be adversely affected by any delays, or increased costs, resulting from issues that our common carriers are dealing with in transporting our materials, our products, or both.

We rely on a variety of common carriers to transport our materials from our suppliers to us, and to transport our products from us to our customers. Problems suffered by any of these common carriers, whether due to a natural disaster, labor problem, increased energy prices, criminal activity or some other issue, could result in shipping delays, increased costs, or other supply chain disruptions, and could therefore have a material adverse effect on our operations.

We derive a majority of our revenue from our international operations, which may be subject to a number of risks and often require more management time and expense to achieve profitability than our domestic operations.

We derived 84.4% and 85.7% of net revenue from international operations during the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2012, respectively, compared to 85.8% and 85.7% during the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2011, respectively. At May 31, 2012, we operate outside the U.S. in Buenos Aires, Argentina; Vienna, Austria; Hasselt, Belgium; Belo Horizonte, Manaus, Sorocaba and Valinhos, Brazil; Calgary and Toronto, Canada; Beijing, Huangpu, Nanjing, Shanghai, Shenzhen, Suzhou, Tianjin, Wuxi and Yantai, China; Coventry and Solihull England; Brest and Gallargues, France; Boblingen and Jena, Germany; Szombathely and Tiszaujvaros, Hungary; Gurgoan, Mumbai and Ranjangaon, India; Dublin, Ireland; Tel Aviv, Israel; Bergamo, Cassina de Pecchi and Marcianise, Italy; Gotemba, Hachiouji and Tokyo, Japan; Penang and Selangor, Malaysia; Chihuahua, Guadalajara, Nogales, Reynosa and Tlalnepantla, Mexico; Amsterdam, Eindhoven and Venray, The Netherlands; Bydgoszcz and Kwidzyn, Poland; Tver, Russia; Ayr and Livingston, Scotland; Alexandra, Tampines and Toa Payoh, Singapore; Sungnam-si, South Korea; Hsinchu, Taichung, Taipei and Taoyuan City, Taiwan; Ankara, Turkey; Uzhgorod, Ukraine; Dubai, United Arab Emirates; and Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam. We continually consider additional opportunities to make foreign acquisitions and construct and open new foreign facilities. Our international operations are, have been and may be subject to a number of risks, including:

less flexible employee relationships that can be difficult and expensive to terminate;
rising labor costs, in particular within the lower-cost regions in which we operate, which we may be unable to recover in our pricing

labor unrest and dissatisfaction, including potential labor strikes;

difficulties in staffing and managing foreign operations;

to our customers:

increased scrutiny by the media and other third parties of labor practices within our industry (including but not limited to working conditions, compliance with employment and labor laws and compensation) which may result in allegations of violations, more

stringent and burdensome labor laws and regulations, increased strictness and inconsistency in the enforcement and interpretation of such laws and regulations, higher labor costs, and/or loss of revenues if our customers become dissatisfied with our labor practices and diminish or terminate their relationship with us;

burdens of complying with a wide variety of foreign laws, including those relating to export and import duties, domestic and foreign import and export controls (including the International Traffic in Arms Regulations and the Export Administration Regulations (EAR), regulation by the United States Department of Commerce's Bureau of Industry and Security under the EAR), trade barriers (including quotas), environmental policies and privacy issues;

less favorable, or relatively undefined, intellectual property laws;

unexpected changes in regulatory requirements and laws or government or judicial interpretations of such regulatory requirements and laws and adverse trade policies, and adverse changes to any of the policies of either the U.S. or any of the foreign jurisdictions in which we operate;

adverse changes in tax rates and the manner in which the U.S. and other countries tax multinational companies or interpret their tax laws (see Risk Factors We are subject to the risk of increased taxes );

inability to utilize net operating losses incurred by our foreign operations against future income in the same jurisdiction;

political and economic instability (including acts of terrorism, widespread criminal activities and outbreaks of war);

risk of governmental expropriation of our property;

inadequate infrastructure for our operations (e.g., lack of adequate power, water, transportation and raw materials);

legal or political constraints on our ability to maintain or increase prices;

governmental restrictions on the transfer of funds to us from our operations outside the U.S.;

health concerns and related government actions;

coordinating our communications and logistics across geographic distances and multiple time zones;

longer customer payment cycles and difficulty collecting trade accounts receivable;

fluctuations in currency exchange rates, which could affect local payroll and other expenses (see Risk Factors We are subject to the risks of currency fluctuations and related hedging operations ); and

economies that are emerging or developing or that may be subject to greater currency volatility, negative growth, high inflation, limited availability of foreign exchange and other risks (see Risk Factors The economies of the U.S., Europe and certain countries in Asia are, or have been, in a recession ).

These factors may harm our results of operations. Also, any measures that we may implement to reduce risks of our international operations may not be effective and may require significant management time and effort. In our experience, entry into new international markets requires considerable management time as well as start-up expenses for market development, hiring and establishing facilities before any significant revenue is generated. As a result, initial operations in a new market may operate at low margins or may be unprofitable.

Another significant legal risk resulting from our international operations is the risk of non-compliance with the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (FCPA) and the United Kingdom Bribery Act (ACT). In many foreign countries, particularly in those with developing economies, it may be a local custom that businesses operating in such countries engage in business practices that are prohibited by the FCPA, the ACT or other U.S. or foreign laws and regulations. Although we have implemented policies and procedures designed to cause compliance with the FCPA, the ACT and similar laws, there can be no assurance that all of our employees and agents, as well as those companies to which we outsource certain of our business operations, will not take actions in violation of our policies. Any such violation, even if prohibited by our policies, could have a material adverse effect on our operations.

#### If we do not manage our growth effectively, our profitability could decline.

Areas of our business at times experience periods of rapid growth which can place considerable additional demands upon our management team and our operational, financial and management information systems. Our ability to manage growth effectively requires us to continue to implement and improve these systems; avoid cost overruns; maintain customer, supplier and other favorable business relationships during possible transition periods; continue to develop the management skills of our managers and supervisors; adapt relatively quickly to new markets or technologies and continue to train, motivate and manage our employees. Our failure to effectively manage growth could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

#### We have on occasion not achieved, and may not in the future achieve, expected profitability from our acquisitions.

We cannot assure you that we will be able to successfully integrate the operations and management of our recent acquisitions. Similarly, we cannot assure you that we will be able to (1) identify future strategic acquisitions and adequately conduct due diligence, (2) consummate these potential acquisitions on favorable terms, if at all, or (3) if consummated, successfully integrate the operations and management of future acquisitions. Acquisitions involve significant risks, which could have a material adverse effect on us including:

Financial risks, such as (1) the payment of a purchase price that exceeds the future value that we may realize from the acquired operations and businesses; (2) an increase in our expenses and working capital requirements, which could reduce our return on invested capital; (3) potential known and unknown liabilities of the acquired businesses; (4) costs associated with integrating acquired operations and businesses; (5) the dilutive effect of the issuance of any additional equity securities we issue as consideration for, or to finance, the acquisition; (6) the incurrence of additional debt; (7) the financial impact of incorrectly valuing goodwill and other intangible assets involved in any acquisitions, potential future impairment write-downs of goodwill and indefinite life intangibles and the amortization of other intangible assets; (8) possible adverse tax and accounting effects; and (9) the risk that we spend substantial amounts purchasing these

manufacturing facilities and assume significant contractual and other obligations with no guaranteed levels of revenue or that we may have to close or sell acquired facilities at our cost, which may include substantial employee severance costs and asset write-offs, which have resulted, and may result, in our incurring significant losses.

Operating risks, such as (1) the diversion of management s attention to the assimilation of the acquired businesses; (2) the risk that the acquired businesses will fail to maintain the quality of services that we have historically provided; (3) the need to implement financial and other systems and add management resources; (4) the need to maintain customer, supplier or other favorable business relationships of acquired operations and restructure or terminate unfavorable relationships; (5) the potential for deficiencies in internal controls of the acquired operations; (6) the inability to attract and retain the employees necessary to support the acquired businesses; (7) unforeseen difficulties (including any unanticipated liabilities) in the acquired operations; and (8) the impact on us of any unionized work force we may acquire or any labor disruptions that might occur.

Most of our acquisitions involve operations outside of the U.S. which are subject to various risks including those described in Risk Factors We derive a majority of our revenue from our international operations, which may be subject to a number of risks and often require more management time and expense to achieve profitability than our domestic operations.

We have acquired and may continue to pursue the acquisition of manufacturing and supply chain management operations from our customers (or potential customers). In these acquisitions, the divesting company will typically enter into a supply arrangement with the acquirer. Therefore, our competitors often also pursue these acquisitions. In addition, certain divesting companies may choose not to offer to sell their operations to us because of our current supply arrangements with other companies or may require terms and conditions that may impact our profitability. If we are unable to attract and consummate some of these acquisition opportunities at favorable terms, our growth and profitability could be adversely impacted.

In addition to those risks listed above, arrangements entered into with these divesting companies typically involve certain other risks, including the following:

the integration into our business of the acquired assets and facilities may be time-consuming and costly;

we, rather than the divesting company, may bear the risk of excess capacity;

we may not achieve anticipated cost reductions and efficiencies;

we may be unable to meet the expectations of the divesting company as to volume, product quality, timeliness, pricing requirements and cost reductions; and

if demand for the divesting company s products declines, it may reduce its volume of purchases and we may not be able to sufficiently reduce the expenses of operating the facility we acquired from it or use such facility to provide services to other customers.

In addition, when acquiring manufacturing operations, we may receive limited commitments to firm production schedules. Accordingly, in these circumstances, we may spend substantial amounts purchasing these manufacturing facilities and assume significant contractual and other obligations with no or insufficient guaranteed levels of revenue. We may also not achieve expected profitability from these arrangements. As a result of these and other risks, these outsourcing opportunities may not be profitable.

We have expanded the primary scope of our acquisitions strategy beyond focusing on acquisition opportunities presented by companies divesting internal manufacturing operations. The more recent trend focuses on pursuing opportunities to acquire smaller EMS competitors who are focused on our key growth areas which include specialized manufacturing, aftermarket services and/or design operations and other acquisition opportunities complementary to our services offerings. The primary goals of our acquisition strategy are to complement our current capabilities, diversify our business into new industry sectors and with new customers and expand the scope of the services we can offer to our customers. The amount and scope of the risks associated with acquisitions of this type extend beyond those that we have traditionally faced in making acquisitions. These extended risks include greater uncertainties in the financial benefits and potential liabilities associated with this expanded base of acquisitions.

## We face risks arising from the restructuring of our operations.

In the past, we have undertaken initiatives to restructure our business operations with the intention of improving utilization and realizing cost savings in the future. These initiatives have included changing the number and location of our production facilities, largely to align our capacity and infrastructure with current and anticipated customer demand. This alignment includes transferring programs from higher cost geographies to lower cost geographies. The process of restructuring entails, among other activities, moving production between facilities, closing facilities, reducing the level of staff, realigning our business processes and reorganizing our management.

We continuously evaluate our operations and cost structure relative to general economic conditions, market demands, tax rates, cost competitiveness and our geographic footprint as it relates to our customers—production requirements. As a result of this ongoing evaluation, we could initiate future restructuring plans. Restructurings present significant potential risks of events occurring that could adversely affect us, including a decrease in employee morale, delays encountered in finalizing the scope of, and implementing, the restructurings (including extensive consultations concerning potential workforce reductions and obtaining agreements from our affected customers for the relocation of our facilities in certain instances), the failure to achieve targeted cost savings, the failure to meet operational targets and customer requirements due to the loss of employees and any work stoppages that might occur and the strain placed on our financial and management control systems and resources. These risks are further complicated by our extensive international operations, which subject us to different legal and regulatory requirements that govern the extent and speed of our ability to reduce our manufacturing capacity and workforce. In addition, the current global economic conditions may change how governments regulate restructuring as the recent global recession has impacted local economies. Finally, we may have to obtain agreements from our affected customers for the relocation of our facilities in certain instances. Obtaining these agreements, along with the volatility in our customers—demand, can further delay restructuring activities.

#### We may not be able to maintain our engineering, technological and manufacturing process expertise.

The markets for our manufacturing and engineering services are characterized by rapidly changing technology and evolving process development. The continued success of our business will depend upon our ability to:

hire, retain and expand our qualified engineering and technical personnel;
maintain our technological expertise;

develop and market manufacturing services that meet changing customer needs; and

successfully anticipate or respond to technological changes in manufacturing processes on a cost-effective and timely basis. Although we believe that our operations use the assembly and testing technologies, equipment and processes that are currently required by our customers, we cannot be certain that we will develop the capabilities required by our customers in the future. The emergence of new technology, industry standards or customer requirements may render our equipment, inventory or processes obsolete or noncompetitive. In addition, we may have to acquire new assembly and testing technologies and equipment to remain competitive. The acquisition and implementation of new technologies and equipment may require significant expense or capital investment, which could reduce our operating margins and our operating results. In facilities that we establish or acquire, we may not be able to establish and maintain our engineering, technological and manufacturing process expertise. Our failure to anticipate and adapt to our customers changing technological needs and requirements or to hire and retain a sufficient number of engineers and maintain our engineering, technological and manufacturing expertise could have a material adverse effect on our operations.

If our manufacturing processes and services do not comply with applicable statutory and regulatory requirements, or if we manufacture products containing design or manufacturing defects, demand for our services may decline and we may be subject to liability claims.

We manufacture and design products to our customers—specifications, and, in some cases, our manufacturing processes and facilities may need to comply with applicable statutory and regulatory requirements. For example, medical devices that we manufacture or design, as well as the facilities and manufacturing processes that we use to produce them, are regulated by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and non-U.S. counterparts of this agency. Similarly, items we manufacture for customers in the defense and aerospace industries, as well as the processes we use to produce them, are regulated by the Department of Defense and the Federal Aviation Authority. In addition, our customers products and the manufacturing processes and design services that we use to produce them often are highly complex. As a result, products that we manufacture or design may at times contain manufacturing or design defects, and our processes may be subject to errors or not be in compliance with applicable statutory and regulatory requirements. Defects in the products we manufacture or design, whether caused by a design, manufacturing or component failure or error, or deficiencies in our manufacturing processes, may result in delayed shipments to customers or reduced or canceled customer orders. If these defects or deficiencies are significant, our business reputation may also be damaged. The failure of the products that we manufacture or our manufacturing processes and facilities to comply with applicable statutory and regulatory requirements may subject us to regulatory enforcement, legal fines or penalties and, in some cases, require us to shut down, temporarily halt operations or incur considerable expense to correct a manufacturing process or facility. In addition, these defects may result in liability claims against us, expose us to liability to pay for the recall or remanufacture of a product or adversely affect product sales or our reputation. The magnitude of such claims may increase as we expand our medical and aerospace and

devices and aerospace and defense systems could seriously harm or kill users of these products and others. Even if our customers are responsible for the defects or defective specifications, they may not, or may not have resources to, assume responsibility for any costs or liabilities arising from these defects, which could expose us to additional liability claims.

We may face heightened liability risks specific to our medical device business as a result of additional healthcare regulatory related compliance requirements and the potential severe consequences that could result from manufacturing defects or malfunctions (e.g., death or serious injury) of the medical devices we manufacture or design.

As a manufacturer and designer of medical devices for our customers, we have compliance requirements in addition to those relating to other areas of our business. We are required to register with the FDA and are subject to periodic inspection by the FDA for compliance with the FDA's Quality System Regulation ("QSR") requirements, which require manufacturers of medical devices to adhere to certain regulations, including design and process manufacturing controls, quality control, labeling, handling and documentation procedures. The FDA, through periodic inspections and product field monitoring, continually reviews and rigorously monitors compliance with these QSR requirements and other applicable regulatory requirements. If any FDA inspection reveals noncompliance, and we do not address the FDA's concerns to its satisfaction, the FDA may take action against us, including issuing a form noting the FDA s inspectional observations, a notice of violation or a warning letter, imposing fines, bringing an action against the Company and its officers, requiring a recall of the products we manufactured for our customers, issuing an import detention on products entering the U.S. from an offshore facility or temporarily halting operations at or shutting down a manufacturing facility. If any of these were to occur, our reputation and business could suffer.

In addition, any defects, including defective specifications and malfunctions, in medical devices we manufacture or in our manufacturing processes and facilities may result in liability claims against us, expose us to liability to pay for the recall or remanufacture of a product, or otherwise adversely affect product sales or our reputation. The magnitude of such claims could be particularly severe as defects in medical devices could cause severe harm or injuries, including death, to users of these products and others.

#### Our regular manufacturing processes and services may result in exposure to intellectual property infringement and other claims.

Providing manufacturing services can expose us to potential claims that the product design or manufacturing processes infringe third party intellectual property rights. Even though many of our manufacturing services contracts generally require our customers to indemnify us for infringement claims relating to their products, including associated product specifications and designs, a particular customer may not, or may not have the resources to, assume responsibility for such claims. In addition, we may be responsible for claims that our manufacturing processes or components used in manufacturing infringe third party intellectual property rights. Infringement claims could subject us to significant liability for damages, potential injunctive action, or hamper our normal operations such as by interfering with the availability of components and, regardless of merits, could be time-consuming and expensive to resolve.

Our design services and turnkey solutions offerings may result in additional exposure to product liability, intellectual property infringement and other claims, in addition to the business risk of being unable to produce the revenues necessary to profit from these services.

We continue our efforts to offer certain design services, primarily those relating to products that we manufacture for our customers, and we also continue to offer design services related to collaborative design manufacturing. We also offer turnkey solutions for the design and manufacture of end-user products, and product components, as well as related services. Providing such products and services can expose us to different or greater potential liabilities than those we face when providing our regular manufacturing services, including an increase in exposure to potential product liability claims resulting from injuries caused by defects in products we design, as well as potential claims that products we design or supply, or materials or components we use, infringe third party intellectual property rights. Such claims could subject us to significant liability for damages, subject the infringing portion of our business to injunction and, regardless of their merits, could be time-consuming and expensive to resolve. We also may have greater potential exposure from warranty claims and from product recalls due to problems caused by product design. Costs associated with possible product liability claims, intellectual property infringement claims and product recalls could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. When providing collaborative design manufacturing or turnkey solutions, we may not be guaranteed revenue needed to recoup or profit from the investment in the resources necessary to design and develop products or provide services. No revenue may be generated from these efforts, particularly if our customers do not approve the designs in a timely manner or at all, or if they do not then purchase anticipated levels of products. Furthermore, contracts may allow the customer to delay or cancel deliveries and may not obligate the customer to any volume of purchases, or may provide for penalties or cancellation of orders if we are late in delivering designs or products. We may also have the responsibility to ensure that products we design or offer satisfy safety and regulatory standards and to obtain any necessary certifications. Failure to timely obtain the necessary approvals or certifications could prevent us from selling these products, which in turn could harm our sales, profitability and reputation.

In our contracts with turnkey solutions customers, we generally provide them with a warranty against defects in our designs. If a turnkey solutions product or component that we design is found to be defective in its design, this may lead to increased warranty claims. Warranty claims may also extend to defects caused by components or materials used in the products but which are provided to us by our suppliers. Although we have product liability insurance coverage, it may not be adequate or may not continue to be available on acceptable terms, in sufficient amounts, or at all. A successful product liability claim in excess of our insurance coverage or any material claim for which insurance coverage was denied or limited and for which indemnification was not available could have a material adverse effect on our operations, results of operations and financial position. Moreover, even if the claim relates to a defect caused by a supplier, we may not be able to get an adequate remedy from the supplier.

# The success of our turnkey solution activities depends in part on our ability to obtain, protect and leverage intellectual property rights to our designs.

We strive to obtain and protect certain intellectual property rights to our turnkey solutions designs. We believe that having a significant level of protected proprietary technology gives us a competitive advantage in marketing our services. However, we cannot be certain that the measures that we employ will result in protected intellectual property rights or will result in the prevention of unauthorized use of our technology. If we are unable to obtain and protect intellectual property rights embodied within our designs, this could reduce or eliminate the competitive advantages of our proprietary technology, which would harm our business.

#### Intellectual property infringement claims against our customers, our suppliers or us could harm our business.

Our turnkey solutions products and services and those of our customers may compete against the products of other companies, many of whom may own the intellectual property rights underlying those products. Such products and services may also infringe the intellectual property rights of third parties that may hold key intellectual property rights in areas in which we operate but which such third parties do not actively provide products or services. Patent clearance or licensing activities, if any, may be inadequate to anticipate and avoid third party claims. As a result, in addition to the risk that we could become subject to claims of intellectual property infringement, our customers or suppliers could become subject to infringement claims. Additionally, customers for our turnkey solutions, or collaborative designs in which we have significant technology contributions, typically require that we indemnify them against the risk of intellectual property infringement. If any claims are brought against us or against our customers for such infringement, regardless of their merits, we could be required to expend significant resources in the defense or settlement of such claims, or in the defense or settlement of related indemnification claims from our customers. In the event of a claim, we may be required to spend a significant amount of money to develop non-infringing alternatives or obtain licenses. We may not be successful in developing such alternatives or obtaining such a license on reasonable terms or at all. Our customers may be required to or decide to discontinue products which are alleged to be infringing rather than face continued costs of defending the infringement claims, and such discontinuance may result in a significant decrease in our business.

#### We depend on our officers, managers and skilled personnel and their compliance with company confidentiality policies and procedures.

Our success depends to a large extent upon the continued services of our officers, managers and skilled personnel. Generally our employees are not bound by employment or non-competition agreements, and we cannot assure you that we will retain our officers, managers and skilled personnel. We could be seriously harmed by the loss of any of our executive officers. In order to manage our growth, we will need to internally develop and recruit and retain additional skilled management personnel and if we are not able to do so, our business and our ability to continue to grow could be harmed.

We are also subject to the risk that current and former officers, managers and skilled personnel could violate the terms of our confidentiality policies and procedures or proprietary information agreements with us which require them to keep confidential and not to use for their benefit information obtained in the course of their employment with us. Should a key current or former employee use or disclose such information, including information concerning our customers, pricing, capabilities or strategy, our ability to obtain new customers and to compete could be adversely impacted.

# Any delay in the implementation of our information systems could disrupt our operations and cause unanticipated increases in our costs.

We have completed the installation of an enterprise resource planning system in most of our manufacturing sites and in our corporate location. We are currently in the process of installing this system in certain of our remaining facilities which will replace the existing planning and financial information systems. Any delay in the implementation of these information systems could result in material adverse consequences, including disruption of operations, loss of information and unanticipated increases in costs.

Disruptions to our information systems, including security breaches, losses of data or outages, could adversely affect our operations.

We rely on information systems, some of which are owned and operated by third parties, to store, process and transmit confidential information, including financial reporting, inventory management, procurement, invoicing and electronic communications, belonging to our customers, our suppliers, our employees and/or us. Although we attempt to monitor and mitigate our exposure, these systems are vulnerable to, and at times have suffered from, among other things, damage from power loss or natural disasters, computer system and network failures, loss of telecommunication services, physical and electronic loss of data, terrorist attacks, security breaches and computer viruses. If we, or the third parties who own and operate certain of our information systems, are unable to prevent such breaches, losses of data and outages, our operations could be disrupted. In addition, any production inefficiencies or delays could negatively affect our ability to fill customer orders, resulting in a delay or reduction in our revenues. Also, the time and funds spent on monitoring and mitigating our exposure and responding to breaches, including the training of employees, the purchase of protective technologies and the hiring of additional employees and consultants to assist in these efforts could adversely affect our financial results. Finally, any theft or misuse of information resulting from a security breach could result in, among other things, loss of significant and/or sensitive information, litigation by affected parties, financial obligations resulting from such theft or misuse, higher insurance premiums, governmental investigations, negative reactions from current and potential future customers and poor publicity and any of these could adversely affect our financial results.

# Compliance or the failure to comply with current and future environmental, health and safety, product stewardship and producer responsibility laws or regulations could cause us significant expense.

We are subject to a variety of federal, state, local and foreign environmental, health and safety, product stewardship and producer responsibility laws and regulations, including those relating to the use, storage, discharge and disposal of hazardous chemicals used during our manufacturing process, those governing worker health and safety, those requiring design changes, supply chain investigation or conformity assessments or those relating to the recycling or reuse of products we manufacture. If we fail to comply with any present or future regulations, we could become subject to liabilities, and we could face fines or penalties, the suspension of production, or prohibitions on sales of products we manufacture. In addition, such regulations could restrict our ability to expand our facilities or could require us to acquire costly equipment, or to incur other significant expenses, including expenses associated with the recall of any non-compliant product or with changes in our operational, procurement and inventory management activities.

Certain environmental laws impose liability for the costs of investigation, removal and remediation of hazardous or toxic substances on an owner, occupier or operator of real estate, or on parties who arranged for hazardous substance treatment or disposal, even if such person or company was unaware of or not responsible for contamination at the affected site. Soil and groundwater contamination may have occurred at, near or arising from some of our facilities. From time to time we investigate, remediate and monitor soil and groundwater contamination at certain of our operating sites. In certain instances where contamination existed prior to our ownership or occupation of a site, landlords or former owners have retained some contractual responsibility for contamination and remediation. However, failure of such persons to perform those obligations could result in us being required to address such contamination. As a result, we may incur clean-up costs in such potential removal or remediation efforts. In other instances, we may be responsible for clean-up costs and other environmental liabilities, including the possibility of third-party claims in connection with contaminated sites.

From time to time new regulations are enacted, or existing requirements are changed, and it is difficult to anticipate how such regulations and changes will be implemented and enforced. We continue to evaluate the necessary steps for compliance with regulations as they are enacted.

Over the last several years, for example, we or our customers have become subject to certain legal requirements, principally in Europe, regarding the use or presence of certain hazardous substances in, and the collection, reuse and recycling of waste from, certain products that we manufacture. Similar requirements are being developed or imposed in other areas of the world where we manufacture or sell products, including China and the U.S. We believe that we comply, and will be able to continue to comply, with such emerging requirements. We may experience negative consequences from these requirements, however, including, but not limited to, supply shortages or delays, increased raw material and component costs, accelerated obsolescence of certain of our raw materials, components and products, increased administrative and supply chain management costs, and the need to modify or create new designs for our existing and future products.

Our failure to comply with any applicable regulatory requirements or with related contractual obligations could result in our being directly or indirectly liable for costs (including product recall and/or replacement costs), fines or penalties and third party claims, and could jeopardize our ability to conduct business in the jurisdictions implementing them.

In addition, there is an increasing governmental focus around the world on global warming and environmental impact issues, which may result in new environmental, health and safety regulations that may negatively affect us, our suppliers and our customers. This could cause us to incur additional direct costs for compliance, as well as increased indirect costs resulting from our customers, suppliers or both incurring additional compliance costs that get passed on to us. These costs may adversely impact our operations and financial condition.

We and our customers are increasingly concerned with environmental issues, such as waste management (including recycling) and climate change (including reducing carbon outputs). We expect these concerns to grow and require increased investments of time and resources.

#### We are subject to the risk of increased taxes.

We base our tax position upon the anticipated nature and conduct of our business and upon our understanding of the tax laws of the various countries in which we have assets or conduct activities. Our tax position, however, is subject to review and possible challenge by taxing authorities and to possible changes in law (including adverse changes to the manner in which the U.S. and other countries tax multinational companies or interpret their tax laws). We cannot determine in advance the extent to which some jurisdictions may assess additional tax or interest and penalties on such additional taxes. In addition, our effective tax rate may be increased by the generation of higher income in countries with higher tax rates, changes in local tax rates or countries adopting more aggressive interpretations of tax laws.

Refer to Note 10 Commitments and Contingencies to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for details of the field examination completed by the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) of our tax returns for the fiscal years 2003 through 2008 which resulted in proposed adjustments. While we currently believe that the resolution of these issues will not have a material effect on our financial position or liquidity, an unfavorable resolution, particularly if the IRS successfully asserts similar claims for later years, could have a material effect on our results of operations and financial condition (particularly during the quarter in which any adjustment is recorded or any tax is due or paid).

Several countries in which we are located allow for tax incentives to attract and retain business. We have obtained incentives where available and practicable. Our taxes could increase if certain tax incentives are retracted (which in some cases could occur if we fail to satisfy the conditions on which such incentives are based), or if they are not renewed upon expiration, or tax rates applicable to us in such jurisdictions otherwise increase. It is anticipated that tax incentives with respect to certain operations will expire within the next year. However, due to the possibility of changes in existing tax law and our operations, we are unable to predict how these expirations will impact us in the future. In addition, acquisitions may cause our effective tax rate to increase, depending on the jurisdictions in which the acquired operations are located.

Certain of our subsidiaries provide financing, products and services to, and may from time-to-time undertake certain significant transactions with, other subsidiaries in different jurisdictions. Moreover, several jurisdictions in which we operate have tax laws with detailed transfer pricing rules which require that all transactions with non-resident related parties be priced using arm s length pricing principles, and that contemporaneous documentation must exist to support such pricing.

#### Our credit rating may be downgraded.

Our credit is rated by credit rating agencies. Our 7.750% Senior Notes, our 8.250% Senior Notes and our 5.625% Senior Notes are currently rated BBB- by Fitch Ratings (Fitch), Ba1 by Moody s and BB+ by Standard and Poor s (S&P), and are considered to be below investment grade debt by Moody s and S&P and investment grade debt by Fitch. Any potential future negative change in our credit rating may make it more expensive for us to raise additional capital in the future on terms that are acceptable to us, if at all; negatively impact the price of our common stock; increase our interest payments under existing debt agreements; and have other negative implications on our business, many of which are beyond our control. In addition, as discussed in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources, the interest rate payable on the 8.250% Senior Notes and under the Amended and Restated Credit Facility is subject to adjustment from time to time if our credit ratings change. Thus, any potential future negative change in our credit rating may increase the interest rate payable on the 8.250% Senior Notes, the Amended and Restated Credit Facility and certain of our other borrowings.

#### Our amount of debt could significantly increase in the future.

As of May 31, 2012, our debt obligations consisted of \$400.0 million under our 8.250% Senior Notes, \$312.0 million under our 7.750% Senior Notes and \$400.0 million under our 5.625% Senior Notes. As of May 31, 2012, there were \$324.2 million outstanding under various bank loans to certain of our foreign subsidiaries and under various other debt obligations. Refer to Condition and Results of Operations 

Liquidity and Capital Resources and Note 6 

Notes Payable and Long-Term Debt to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further details.

We have the ability to borrow up to \$1.3 billion under the Amended and Restated Credit Facility. In addition, the Amended and Restated Credit Facility contemplates a potential increase of up to an additional \$300.0 million, if we and the lenders later agree to such increase. We could incur additional indebtedness in the future in the form of bank loans, notes or convertible securities.

Should we desire to consummate significant additional acquisition opportunities, undertake significant additional expansion activities or make substantial investments in our infrastructure, our capital needs would increase and could possibly result in our need to increase available borrowings under our revolving credit facilities or access public or private debt and equity markets. There can be no assurance, however, that we would be successful in raising additional debt or equity on terms that we would consider acceptable. An increase in the level of our indebtedness, among other things, could:

make it difficult for us to obtain any necessary financing in the future for other acquisitions, working capital, capital expenditures, debt service requirements or other purposes;

limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to changes in, our business;

make us more vulnerable in the event of a downturn in our business; and

impact certain financial covenants that we are subject to in connection with our debt and securitization programs, including, among others, the maximum ratio of debt to consolidated EBITDA (as defined in our debt agreements and securitization programs).

There can be no assurance that we will be able to meet future debt service obligations.

#### We are subject to risks of currency fluctuations and related hedging operations.

More than an insignificant portion of our business is conducted in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. Changes in exchange rates among other currencies and the U.S. dollar will affect our cost of sales, operating margins and net revenue. We cannot predict the impact of future exchange rate fluctuations. We use financial instruments, primarily forward contracts, to economically hedge U.S. dollar and other currency commitments arising from trade accounts receivable, trade accounts payable, fixed purchase obligations and other foreign currency obligations. Based on our calculations and current forecasts, we believe that our hedging activities enable us to largely protect ourselves from future exchange rate fluctuations. If, however, these hedging activities are not successful or if we change or reduce these hedging activities in the future, we may experience significant unexpected expenses from fluctuations in exchange rates.

### An adverse change in the interest rates for our borrowings could adversely affect our financial condition.

We pay interest on outstanding borrowings under our revolving credit facilities and certain other long term debt obligations at interest rates that fluctuate based upon changes in various base interest rates. An adverse change in the base rates upon which our interest rates are determined could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows. If the U.S. government defaults on any of its debt obligations, its credit rating declines, or certain other economic or fiscal issues occur, interest rates could rise which would increase our interest costs and reduce our net income. Also, increased interest rates could make any future, fixed interest rate debt obligations more expensive.

#### We face certain risks in collecting our trade accounts receivable.

Most of our customer sales are paid for after the goods and services have been delivered. If any of our customers has any liquidity issues (the risk of which could be relatively high, relative to historical conditions, due to current economic conditions), then we could encounter delays or defaults in payments owed to us which could have a significant adverse impact on our financial condition and results of operations.

#### Certain of our existing stockholders have significant influence.

At May 31, 2012, our executive officers, directors and certain of their family members collectively beneficially owned 9.5% of our outstanding common stock, of which William D. Morean, our Chairman of the Board, beneficially owned 5.8%. As a result, our executive officers, directors and certain of their family members have significant influence over (1) the election of our Board of Directors, (2) the approval or disapproval of any other matters requiring stockholder approval and (3) the affairs and policies of Jabil.

## Our stock price may be volatile.

Our common stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange (the NYSE). The market price of our common stock has fluctuated substantially in the past and could fluctuate substantially in the future, based on a variety of factors, including future announcements covering us or our key customers or competitors, government regulations, litigation, changes in earnings estimates by analysts, fluctuations in quarterly operating results, or general conditions in our industry and the aerospace, automotive, computing,

consumer, defense, industrial, instrumentation, medical, networking, peripherals, solar, storage and telecommunications industries. Furthermore, stock prices for many companies and high technology companies in particular, fluctuate widely for reasons that may be unrelated to their operating results. Those fluctuations and general economic, political and market conditions, such as recessions or international currency fluctuations and demand for our services, may adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

Provisions in our charter documents and state law may make it harder for others to obtain control of us even though some shareholders might consider such a development to be favorable.

Provisions in our amended certificate of incorporation, bylaws and the Delaware General Corporation Law from time to time may delay, inhibit or prevent someone from gaining control of us through a tender offer, business combination, proxy contest or some other method. These provisions may adversely impact our shareholders because they may decrease the possibility of a transaction in which our shareholders receive an amount of consideration in exchange for their shares that is at a significant premium to the then current market price of our shares. These provisions include:

a restriction in our bylaws on the ability of shareholders to take action by less than unanimous written consent; and

a statutory restriction on business combinations with some types of interested shareholders.

In addition, for ten years we had a "poison pill" shareholder rights plan that our Board of Directors allowed to expire in October 2011 without extension. In doing that, our Board considered various relevant issues, including the fact that if needed and appropriate it can, under the Delaware General Corporation Law, implement a new shareholders rights plan reasonably quickly and without stockholder approval. Our Board regularly considers this topic, even in the absence of specific circumstances or takeover proposals, to facilitate its ability in the future to act expeditiously and appropriately should the need arise.

Changes in the securities laws and regulations have increased, and may continue to increase, our costs; and any future changes would likely increase our costs.

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, as well as related rules promulgated by the SEC and the NYSE, required changes in some of our corporate governance, securities disclosure and compliance practices. Compliance with these rules has increased our legal and financial accounting costs for several years following the announcement and effectiveness of these new rules. While these costs are no longer increasing, they may in fact increase in the future. In addition, given the recent turmoil in the securities and credit markets, as well as the global economy, many U.S. and international governmental, regulatory and supervisory authorities including, but not limited to, the SEC and the NYSE, have recently enacted additional changes in their laws, regulations and rules (such as the recent Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act) and may be contemplating additional changes. These changes, and any such future changes, may cause our legal and financial accounting costs to increase.

Due to inherent limitations, there can be no assurance that our system of disclosure and internal controls and procedures will be successful in preventing all errors, theft and fraud, or in informing management of all material information in a timely manner.

Our Board management, including our CEO and CFO, do not expect that our disclosure controls and internal controls and procedures will prevent all errors, theft and fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system reflects that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the company have been or will be detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty and that breakdowns can occur simply because of error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the control.

The design of any system of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions; over time, a control may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and may not be detected.

If we receive other than an unqualified opinion on the adequacy of our internal control over financial reporting as of August 31, 2012 or any future year-ends, investors could lose confidence in the reliability of our financial statements, which could result in a decrease in the value of your shares.

Pursuant to Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, larger public companies like us are required to include an annual report on internal control over financial reporting in their annual reports on Form 10-K that contains an assessment by management of the effectiveness of the company s internal control over financial reporting. Our independent registered certified public accounting

firm, Ernst & Young LLP, issued an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of August 31, 2011. While we continuously conduct a rigorous review of our internal control over financial reporting in order to try to assure compliance with the Section 404 requirements, if our independent registered certified public accounting firm interprets the Section 404 requirements and the related rules and regulations differently from us or if our independent registered certified public accounting firm is not satisfied with our internal control over financial reporting or with the level at which it is documented, operated or reviewed, they may issue an adverse opinion. An adverse opinion could result in an adverse reaction in the financial markets due to a loss of confidence in the reliability of our Consolidated Financial Statements. In addition, we have spent a significant amount of resources, and will likely continue to for the foreseeable future, in complying with Section 404 s requirements.

There are inherent uncertainties involved in estimates, judgments and assumptions used in the preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ( U.S. GAAP ). Any changes in U.S. GAAP or in estimates, judgments and assumptions could have a material adverse effect on our financial position and results of operations.

The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included in the periodic reports we file with the SEC are prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. The preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. GAAP involves making estimates, judgments and assumptions that affect reported amounts of assets, liabilities and related reserves, revenues, expenses and income. Estimates, judgments and assumptions are inherently subject to change in the future, and any such changes could result in corresponding changes to the amounts of assets, liabilities and related reserves, revenues, expenses and income. Any such changes could have a material adverse effect on our financial position and results of operations. In addition, the principles of U.S. GAAP are subject to interpretation by the Financial Accounting Standards Board, the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, the SEC and various bodies formed to create appropriate accounting policies, and interpret such policies. A change in those policies can have a significant effect on our accounting methods. For example, although not yet currently required, the SEC could require us to adopt the International Financial Reporting Standards in the next few years, which could have a significant effect on certain of our accounting methods.

#### We are subject to risks associated with natural disasters and global events.

Our operations and those of our suppliers may be subject to natural disasters (such as the March 2011 earthquake and tsunami in Japan and the flooding in Thailand in the second half of 2011) or other business disruptions, which could seriously harm our results of operation and increase our costs and expenses. We are susceptible to losses and interruptions caused by hurricanes (including in Florida, where our headquarters are located), earthquakes, power shortages, telecommunications failures, water shortages, tsunamis, floods, typhoons, fire, extreme weather conditions, geopolitical events such as terrorist acts or widespread criminal activities and other natural or manmade disasters. Our insurance coverage with respect to natural disasters is limited and is subject to deductibles and coverage limits. Such coverage may not be adequate, or may not continue to be available at commercially reasonable rates and terms.

#### Energy price increases may negatively impact our results of operations.

Certain of the components that we use in our manufacturing activities are petroleum-based. In addition, we, along with our suppliers and customers, rely on various energy sources (including oil) in our facilities and transportation activities. An increase in energy prices, which have been volatile over the past few years, could cause an increase to our raw material costs and transportation costs. In addition, increased transportation costs of certain of our suppliers and customers could be passed along to us. We may not be able to increase our product prices enough to offset these increased costs. In addition, any increase in our product prices may reduce our future customer orders and profitability.

#### Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

The following table provides information relating to the Company s repurchase of common stock during the three months ended May 31, 2012:

Shares Dollar Value of Purchased as Shares that May Part of Yet Be Total Number Publicly Purchased of Shares Average Price Announced Under the Period Purchased (1) Paid per Share Program (2) Program (2)				Total Number of	Approximate
Part of Yet Be Total Number Publicly Purchased of Shares Average Price Announced Under the				Shares	Dollar Value of
Total Number Publicly Purchased of Shares Average Price Announced Under the				Purchased as	Shares that May
of Shares Average Price Announced Under the				Part of	Yet Be
		Total Number		Publicly	Purchased
Period Purchased (1) Paid per Share Program (2) Program (2)		of Shares	Average Pric	e Announced	Under the
1 u1	Period	Purchased (1)	Paid per Shar	re Program (2)	Program (2)
March 1, 2012 March 31, 2012 429,215 \$ 25.77 426,945 \$ 49,068,655	March 1, 2012 March 31, 2012	429,215	\$ 25.77	426,945	\$ 49,068,655
April 1, 2012 April 30, 2012 2,910 \$ 21.98 \$ 49,068,655	April 1, 2012 April 30, 2012	2,910	\$ 21.98	}	\$ 49,068,655
May 1, 2012 May 31, 2012 1,027,257 \$ 19.47 1,027,182 \$ 29,050,590	May 1, 2012 May 31, 2012	1,027,257	\$ 19.47	1,027,182	\$ 29,050,590

**Total** 1,459,382 \$ 21.33 1,454,127 \$ 29,050,590

52

- (1) The purchases include amounts that are attributable to shares surrendered to us by employees to satisfy, in connection with the vesting of restricted stock awards and the exercise of stock options and stock appreciation rights, their tax withholding obligations.
- (2) On October 20, 2011, our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$100.0 million of shares of our common stock during the twelve month period following the meeting. During the three months ended May 31, 2012, approximately 1.5 million shares were repurchased in the open market. The remaining authorized shares will be repurchased from time-to-time in open market transactions at our discretion, subject to market conditions and other factors.

### Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

## Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

Item 5. Other Information

None.

# Item 6. Exhibits

<b>Exhibit No.</b> 3.1(1)	Description Registrant s Certificate of Incorporation, as amended.
3.2(2)	Registrant s Bylaws, as amended.
4.1(3)	Form of Certificate for Shares of the Registrant s Common Stock.
4.2(4)	Indenture, dated January 16, 2008, with respect to Senior Debt Securities of the Registrant, between the Registrant and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. (formerly known as The Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A.), as trustee.
4.3 (5)	Form of 8.250% Registered Senior Notes issued on July 18, 2008.
4.4 (6)	Form of 7.750% Registered Senior Notes issued on August 11, 2009.
4.5 (7)	Form of 5.625% Registered Senior Notes issued on November 2, 2010.
4.6 (6)	Officers Certificate of the Registrant pursuant to the Indenture, dated August 11, 2009.
4.7 (7)	Officers Certificate of the Registrant pursuant to the Indenture, dated November 2, 2010.
10.1 (8)	Amended and Restated Senior Five Year Credit Agreement, dated as of March 19, 2012, among the Registrant; the initial lenders named therein; Citibank, N.A., as administrative agent; JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as syndication agent; The Royal Bank of Scotland PLC and Bank of America, N.A., as documentation agents; and Citigroup Global Markets Inc., J.P. Morgan Securities LLC and RBS Securities Inc., as joint lead arrangers and joint bookrunners.
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification by the President and Chief Executive Officer of the Registrant.
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification by the Chief Financial Officer of the Registrant.
32.1	Section 1350 Certification by the President and Chief Executive Officer of the Registrant.
32.2	Section 1350 Certification by the Chief Financial Officer of the Registrant.
101.INS (9)	XBRL Instance Document.
101.SCH (9)	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.
101.CAL (9)	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.
101.LAB (9)	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document.
101.PRE (9)	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document.
101.DEF (9)	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definitions Linkbase Document.

- (1) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant s Annual Report on Form 10-K (File No. 001-14063) for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2011.
- (2) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-14063) filed by the Registrant on October 29, 2008.
- (3) Incorporated by reference to exhibit Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 33-58974) filed by the Registrant on March 17, 1993.
- (4) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-14063) filed by the Registrant on January 17, 2008.
- (5) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant s Annual Report on Form 10-K (File No. 001-14063) for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2008.
- (6) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-14063) filed by the Registrant on August 12, 2009.
- (7) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K filed (File No. 001-14063) filed by the Registrant on November 2, 2010.
- (8) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (File No. 001-14063) for the fiscal quarter ended February 29, 2012.
- (9) These interactive data files shall not be deemed filed for purposes of Section 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or otherwise subject to liability under those sections.

#### **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

JABIL CIRCUIT, INC.

Registrant

Date: July 3, 2012 By: /s/ Timothy L. Main Timothy L. Main

President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: July 3, 2012 By: /s/ Forbes I.J. Alexander

Forbes I.J. Alexander Chief Financial Officer

56

# **Exhibit Index**

Exhibit No.	Description
31.1	$Rule\ 13a\text{-}14(a)/15d\text{-}14(a)\ Certification\ by\ the\ President\ and\ Chief\ Executive\ Officer\ of\ the\ Registrant.$
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification by the Chief Financial Officer of the Registrant.
32.1	Section 1350 Certification by the President and Chief Executive Officer of the Registrant.
32.2	Section 1350 Certification by the Chief Financial Officer of the Registrant.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document.
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document.
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document.
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definitions Linkbase Document.