

AMERICAN SAFETY INSURANCE HOLDINGS LTD
Form 10-K/A
April 30, 2012

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K/A

(Amendment No. 1)

**ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF
1934**

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011

Commission File Number: 1-14795

AMERICAN SAFETY INSURANCE HOLDINGS, LTD.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Bermuda (State of or other jurisdiction)	Not Applicable (I.R.S. Employer
incorporation or organization)	Identification No.)
31 Queen Street	
2nd Floor	
Hamilton, Bermuda (Address of principal executive offices)	HM 11 (Zip Code)
Registrant's telephone number: (441) 296-8560	

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN SAFETY INSURANCE HOLDINGS LTD - Form 10-K/A

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class	Name of each exchange on which registered
Common Stock, \$0.01 par value	New York Stock Exchange, Inc.

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer or a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of registrant's voting common stock held by non-affiliates based upon the closing sales price as reported by the New York Stock Exchange as of June 30, 2011, was \$179,737,691.

The number of shares of registrant's common stock outstanding on March 1, 2012, was 10,207,904.

Documents Incorporated by Reference

None.

[The Remainder of this Page Intentionally Left Blank]

EXPLANATORY NOTE

This Amendment No. 1 on Form 10-K/A (this Amendment) to the Annual Report on Form 10-K of American Safety Insurance Holdings, Ltd. (the Registrant or the Company) for the year ended December 31, 2011 filed on March 15, 2012 (the Original Filing) is being filed for the purpose of including the information required by Part III of Form 10-K that previously had been incorporated by reference.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.
DIRECTORS

Class I Directors

David V. Brueggen, age 65, has served as a Director of the Company since 1986 and as Chairman of the Board of Directors since 2004. Prior to his retirement in December 2008, Mr. Brueggen was Senior Vice President of finance of Anson Industries, Inc., in Melrose Park, Illinois, which is engaged in drywall, acoustical and foam insulation contracting. Mr. Brueggen was employed by Anson Industries, Inc. since 1982. Previously, he was an Audit Manager with an international public accounting firm for 10 years. Mr. Brueggen is a certified public accountant.

Stephen R. Crim, age 48, has been a Director of the Company since 2002. Mr. Crim became President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company in 2003 and became President of the Company's insurance and reinsurance operations in 2002. Prior to becoming President and Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Crim was responsible for all of the Company's underwriting functions since joining the Company in 1990. Previously, Mr. Crim was employed in the underwriting departments of Aetna Casualty and Surety Co. and The Hartford Insurance Co. between 1986 and 1990.

Lawrence I. Geneen, age 68, has served as a Director of the Company since 2003. He is President and Owner of an insurance risk management and strategic consulting firm in Scarsdale, New York. From 1999 to 2001, he was Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of the American Management Association in New York, New York, which is engaged in management training and publishing. From 1997 to 1999, Mr. Geneen was a Managing Director of Marsh & McLennan, Inc. in New York, where he was responsible for global sales and client management leadership in its insurance brokerage business. From 1992 to 1997 he was a Managing Principal and Shareholder of Johnson and Higgins, and from 1974 to 1992 he was employed in a number of executive sales positions and management positions in its insurance brokerage business. Mr. Geneen also currently serves on the Board of Hartville Industries, a privately held pet insurance company.

Class II Directors

Cody W. Birdwell, age 59, has served as a Director of the Company since 1986. Mr. Birdwell has been President of Houston Sunbelt Communities, L.C. in Houston, Texas, since 1993, which is engaged in subdivision and mobile home community development and sales.

Steven L. Groot, age 62, has served as a Director of the Company since 2006. Prior to his retirement in 2002, Mr. Groot served in various positions at Allstate Insurance Company in Northbrook, Illinois from 1970 to 2002, most recently as President of Direct Distribution and e-Commerce and as a member of Allstate's Board of Directors.

Class III Directors

Harris R. Chorney, age 60, has been a Director of the Company since January 2009. Mr. Chorney is the Founding Principal of Holder & Wilcox, LLC, a retained executive search firm focusing on the human capital needs of the insurance industry that was founded in 2001. From 2000 to 2001, Mr. Chorney served as a Managing Director at KPMG Consulting and from 1983 to 2000 was Assurance Partner at KPMG LLP, serving as Partner-in-Charge of KPMG's U.S. insurance practice. Mr. Chorney was also a member of KPMG's International Insurance and U.S. Financial Services Leadership Committees from 1993 to 2000.

Thomas W. Mueller, age 57, has served as a Director of the Company since 1986. Mr. Mueller has been Vice President of Cardinal Industrial Insulation Co., Inc. in Louisville, Kentucky, since 1975, which is engaged in industrial insulation and asbestos and sound abatement.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The following summarizes the business experience over the last five years of the Company's Executive Officers, other than Mr. Crim, whose business experience is described above under DIRECTORS.

Mark W. Haushill, age 50, has served as Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer since September 2009. Prior to joining ASI, he served as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer at Argo Group International from December 2000 to September 2009. Prior to Argo Group, Mr. Haushill served in the management of the Treasury Operations both at USAA and Titan Holdings, Inc. and in the audit practice at KPMG. Mr. Haushill has over 24 years of experience in property and casualty insurance. Mr. Haushill holds a certified public accountant certificate.

Ambuj Jain, age 51, has served as the Company's Senior Vice President of U.S. Operations since 2008. He oversees underwriting and marketing for the U.S. product lines. Mr. Jain served as Vice President of Planning Operations Support from 2004 to 2008. Prior to joining ASI, he served as a Consultant to the Company's Board and Executive Management from 1999 to 2004. Mr. Jain holds a Bachelor of Commerce degree from Allahabad University, a Master of Commerce degree from the University of Delhi, an MBA and a Ph.D. from SUNY at Buffalo.

Nicholas J. Pascall, age 39, has served as Chief Underwriting Officer of American Safety Reinsurance Ltd. since August 2008. Previously, Mr. Pascall was the head of casualty underwriting with Catlin Bermuda from 2003 to 2008, a class underwriter with Catlin Underwriting Agencies London from 1999 until 2002, an underwriter with CNA Re Chicago from 1998 to 1999 and an underwriter with CNA Re London from 1992 to 1998. Mr. Pascall has over 20 years of experience in the reinsurance industry.

Joseph D. Scollo, Jr., age 48, has served as Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of the Company since January 2006. Mr. Scollo served as Executive Vice President of the Company since January 2003 and as Senior Vice President Operations from 1998 until January 2003. Previously, Mr. Scollo served as Senior Vice President Operations of United Coastal Insurance Company in New Britain, Connecticut from 1989 until 1998. Mr. Scollo has over 24 years of experience in the insurance industry. Mr. Scollo holds a certified public accountant certificate.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires the Company's Executive Officers and Directors and persons who beneficially own 10% or more of the registered class of the Company's equity securities to file with the SEC initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in beneficial ownership of common stock and other equity securities of the Company. SEC regulations require that Executive Directors, Officers and persons who beneficially own 10% or more of a registered class of equity securities furnish the Company with copies of all Section 16(a) reports they file. To the Company's knowledge, based solely on a review of the copies of such reports furnished to the Company, all Directors, Executive Officers and person who beneficially own 10% or more of a registered class of equity securities complied with all Section 16(a) filing requirements during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, except that each of the following individuals made one late filing: Messrs. Crim, Haushill, Scollo and Jain.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Audit Committee of the Board of Directors

The Board of Directors has established an audit committee composed of independent directors that reviews the scope of the Company's audit, pre-approves the services and fees of its independent accounting firm, recommends to the Shareholders the engagement of the independent registered public accounting firm, and reviews such firm's reports. The audit committee operates pursuant to a written charter, a copy of which is available on our website, www.asih.bm in the Committee Charting subsection, under Corporate Overview in the Investor Relations section. The current members of the audit committee are Messrs. Brueggen, Chorney (chairman) and Groot. The Board of Directors has determined that each member of the audit committee is financially literate and independent as defined in the listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange. The Board of Directors has also determined that each of Mr. Brueggen, Mr. Chorney and Mr. Groot is qualified as an audit committee financial expert within the meaning of the SEC regulations, and therefore, meets the requirement under the New York Stock Exchange listing standards that at least one member of the audit committee have accounting or related financial management expertise.

The Company's independent registered public accounting firm reports directly to the audit committee, which controls its engagement. The audit committee pre-approves the provision of all audit and non-audit related services by the Company's independent registered public accounting firm and meets with management and the accounting firm at each audit committee meeting in separate executive sessions, if deemed necessary, to review the Company's financial statements and significant findings based on the auditor's review processes. The audit committee has also established a procedure for the confidential and anonymous reporting of concerns regarding questionable accounting or auditing matters. The audit committee held five meetings during 2011. The audit committee is responsible for reviewing the financial reports and other financial information provided by the Company to any governmental or other regulatory body and monitoring any public distribution or other uses thereof, reviewing the annual independent audit of the Company's financial statements, reviewing the Company's systems of internal accounting and financial controls and reviewing and monitoring the internal audit process and internal audit results. However, the audit committee is not responsible for planning or conducting the audit or for determining whether the Company's financial statements are complete and accurate and are in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Nor is the audit committee responsible for ensuring that the Company complies with all laws and regulations and its Code of Business Conduct and Ethics.

Code of Business Conduct and Ethics

The Board of Directors has approved a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics in accordance with rules of the SEC and the New York Stock Exchange listing standards applicable to all Directors, Officers and Employees, including the Principal Executive Officers, Principal Financial Officers, Principal and Senior Accounting Officers or Controller, or persons performing similar functions. The Code of Business Conduct and Ethics is intended to provide guidance to Directors and Management to assure compliance with law and promote ethical behavior. The Company's Code of Business Conduct and Ethics is available on our website, www.asih.bm in the Governance Documents subsection, under Corporate Overview in the Investor Relations section. Shareholders may request a printed copy of the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, free of charge, upon written request to the Secretary of the Company, 31 Queen Street, Hamilton HM 11 Bermuda.

Corporate Governance Guidelines

The Company is committed to having sound corporate governance practices, and the Board of Directors has adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines that provide a framework for the governance of the Company. The Board of Directors reviews these guidelines periodically and monitors developments in the area of corporate governance. Our Corporate Governance Guidelines are available on our website, www.asih.bm in the Governance Documents subsection, under Corporate Overview in the Investor Relations section. Shareholders may request a printed copy without charge upon written request to the Secretary of the Company, 31 Queen Street, Hamilton HM 11 Bermuda.

Diversity

The Board firmly believes that it is necessary in order for the Board to function appropriately for each of its members to possess a number of qualities and skills. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the Board (the Nominating Committee) seeks candidates for the Board who have a broad diversity of experience, profession, skill, education and background. The Board believes that the backgrounds and experiences of the members of the Board, considered as a group, should provide a significant composite mix of experience, particularly management experience, knowledge, industry expertise and abilities in order for the Board to best fill its responsibilities. The Nominating Committee also considers the evolving needs of the Board for particular expertise and searches for candidates to fill any current or anticipated future particular expertise or experience needs of the Board. In addition, the Nominating Committee considers areas of judgment, background, stature, potential conflicts of interest, integrity, ethics, and commitment to maximizing shareholder value, as well as focusing on areas of diversity such as gender, race, national origin, education and differences in viewpoints and skills. The Nominating Committee does not have a formal policy with respect to diversity but believes strongly that the Board members must represent diverse points of view. Potential nominees are not discriminated against on the basis of race, gender, national origin, sexual orientation, disability or any other basis proscribed by law. In the context of nomination of members of the Board for re-election, the individual's performance as a Board member and contributions to the Board are also considered.

Director Qualifications

The Board of Directors is responsible for oversight of the Company's business, subject to the Board's fiduciary duties to the Company's stockholders. Discharging this responsibility requires that the Board consist of skilled individuals with varied backgrounds, qualities and experience. The Board believes that there are both basic qualifications for service on the Board that apply to all Directors and special qualifications that need to be represented on the Board but need not be possessed by each of the members. The Board and the Nominating Committee consider the qualifications of members of the Board and nominees for Board positions individually and in light of the composition of the Board and perceived needs of the Company.

In assessing Board members and candidates for the Board, the Nominating Committee considers, among other attributes, the person's judgment, integrity, experience, background, industry knowledge and ability and commitment to devote the time necessary in order to fulfill his or her Board responsibilities. As noted above in *Diversity*, the Nominating Committee does not have a specific diversity policy but believes that a variety of points of view is beneficial to the proper functioning of the Board and the satisfaction of its responsibilities. Because the Company is a specialized excess and surplus insurance carrier and reinsurer, the Board believes that it is particularly important that specific industry experience in the insurance, construction and environmental industries be represented on the Board. In addition, both general and industry specific finance and accounting expertise is critical. Finally, the Board feels that industry specific capital markets experience is an important component in the composition of the Board.

Below is a chart that summarizes the specific qualifications of each non-employee member of the Board of Directors, including the nominees for election at the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. An X in the chart indicates that person's specific qualification or expertise upon which his or her Board service is based. The fact that a particular qualification is not marked does not mean that the person does not have that particular qualification or skill, just that the particular qualification or skill is not the area for which the Board relies on that person.

	Cody W. Birdwell	David V. Brueggen	Harris R. Chorney	Lawrence I. Geneen	Steven L. Groot*	Thomas W. Mueller*
High level of industry specific accounting and finance expertise		X	X		X	
Audit Committee financial expert		X	X		X	
Extensive knowledge of the insurance industry				X	X	
Extensive construction and environmental industry knowledge and experience	X	X				X
Broad general business experience	X	X		X		X

* Candidate for reelection at 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders

Board Leadership Structure

The Company has separated the roles of Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board in recognition of the distinct differences between the two functions. The Chief Executive Officer is responsible for setting the strategic direction of the Company, acting as the face of the Company to the investment community, and for the day to day leadership and performance of the Company. In contrast, the Chairman of the Board provides guidance to the Chief Executive Officer, sets the agenda for Board meetings and presides over meetings of the Board and the Shareholders. Mr. Brueggen, the Chairman of the Board, is not an employee of the Company and is independent so the Board has not appointed a lead or presiding Director.

Board's Role in Risk Oversight

The Board takes an active role, both as a whole and at the committee level, in overseeing management of the Company's risks. The Board or appropriate committee of the Board receives regular reports from members of senior management on areas of material risk to the Company, including, without limitation, operational, financial, legal and regulatory, strategic, credit, liquidity, investment and reputational risks. The Compensation Committee is responsible for overseeing management of risks associated with the Company's compensation plans and arrangements. The Audit Committee is responsible for oversight of financial risk management while the Finance Committee oversees management of investment risks. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee oversees management of risks related to Director independence, conflicts of interest and corporate governance. The Board as a whole is responsible for oversight of the Company's enterprise risk management program and, while Committees are primarily responsible for overseeing the management of certain risks, the entire Board is regularly informed about such risk oversight through Committee reports.

**Item 11. Executive Compensation.
Compensation Discussion and Analysis**

Compensation Committee. As described above, in *Item 10 Corporate Governance – Committees of the Board of Directors*, the Company has a Compensation Committee (the Committee) that currently consists of Messrs. Brueggen, Geneen (Chairman) and Mueller. The Committee operates pursuant to a written charter reviewed annually by the Committee and that is available on our website, www.asih.bm. The Board of Directors has determined that the members of the Committee are non-employee Directors (within the meaning of Rule 16b-3 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended), outside directors (within the meaning of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code) and independent directors (within the standards set forth by the New York Stock Exchange). In addition, no Committee member is a current or former employee of the Company or any of its subsidiaries. Generally, the Committee is responsible for reviewing and recommending to the Board of Directors matters regarding Executive compensation. The Committee generally meets quarterly, and on an as-needed basis.

General Philosophy. We compensate our senior management through a combination of base salary, bonus and equity compensation that we design to be competitive and to align our management's incentives with the long-term interests of our Shareholders. The purpose of our compensation program generally is to develop and implement a fair, consistent and competitive program, which will attract, motivate and retain highly qualified talent. The Committee views the compensation program as a management tool that, through the setting of goals and objectives, encourages management to achieve or exceed the Company's business objectives. In making compensation decisions, we establish target overall cash compensation and then allocate that compensation between base salary and bonus. We then determine what level, if any, of equity compensation is appropriate. At the senior management level, we design incentive compensation as an aid to retention of key employees and to reward company-wide performance by tying awards to the achievement of goals and objectives that relate to (i) our performance in such areas as growth and return on equity, (ii) individual performance and (iii) business unit performance, as discussed below under *- Annual Cash Bonuses* and *- Equity Compensation*. The compensation for each of our Named Executive Officers (NEOs) consists of a base salary, an annual bonus, restricted stock awards and other benefits and perquisites.

Our management incentive plans are designed to be self-funding and provide participant target incentive awards that increase or decrease based on individual and Company performance results. Incentive compensation will become a larger portion of an Executive's total direct compensation as he or she assumes significant responsibilities and has a significant impact on the financial or operational success of the Company.

Committee Process. The Committee designs, evaluates and approves our Executive compensation plans, policies and programs. The Committee annually reviews and evaluates the goals and objectives relevant to the compensation of our NEOs and annually evaluates the performance of our Chief Executive Officer in light of those goals and objectives. In addition, the Committee reviews and approves compensation levels and compensation awards for our other NEOs recommended by the Chief Executive Officer, who reviews individual and corporate performance for the other NEOs and makes recommendations to the Committee. These recommendations are generally approved. In all cases, however, the Committee is an active participant in establishing the goals for bonuses.

The Committee also administers our equity-based compensation plan, although it has delegated to our Chief Executive Officer the authority to make limited awards to newly-hired Executives and other key employees as necessary or appropriate to attract and retain highly qualified individuals to the Company. The Committee is authorized to retain experts, consultants and other advisors to aid in the discharge of its duties. The Committee reports regularly to the Board of Directors on matters relating to the Committee's responsibilities, and it is common for all of our non-employee Directors to attend Committee meetings. The Committee follows regulatory and legislative developments and considers corporate governance best practices in performing its duties.

At our 2011 annual meeting of shareholders we asked our shareholders to approve, on a non-binding basis, our Executive compensation as disclosed in the proxy statement for that meeting. The shareholders approved our Executive compensation by a vote of 6,070,925 (96.8%) to 199,149 (3.2%), with 448,523 abstaining. While we were pleased with this vote of confidence, the Committee remains committed to continuous improvement in our compensation system.

Targeted Overall Compensation for 2011. The goal of our compensation programs is to fairly compensate our Executives in a manner to be competitive and to align our Executives' incentives with the short term operational and financial success of the Company and the long-term interests of our stockholders. Our compensation programs are designed to support management's goal of hiring, retaining and rewarding qualified Executives who embrace the Company's mission of providing innovative insurance solutions for specialty risks in underserved markets and who are committed to providing continuing value to our stockholders. All of our compensation programs are strategy-focused, competitive and, where appropriate, may include supplemental and perquisite programs.

Base compensation generally is established at a level competitive with a defined peer group. Total direct compensation will be targeted at up to the 75th percentile when performance goals are achieved. In 2009, the Committee retained Lockton Benefits Group (Lockton) to perform certain consulting and advisory services with respect to the Company's compensation practices. The peer data was prepared by Lockton Companies LLC and included information with respect to the following companies: American Physicians Capital Inc.; American Physicians Service Group, Inc.; Amerisafe Inc.; Amtrust Financial Services, Inc.; Baldwin & Lyons Inc.; Donegal Group Inc.; Eastern Insurance Holdings; Employers Holdings; First Mercury Financial Corp; FPIC Insurance Group Inc.; Hallmark Financial Services Inc.; Meadowbrook Insurance Group Inc.; Mercer Insurance Group Inc.; National Interstate Corp.; NYMagic Inc.; PMA Capital Corp.; Seabright Insurance Holdings Inc.; Specialty Underwriters Alliance, Inc.; Tower Group, Inc.; and United America Indemnity, Ltd. While the Company is not aware of any company that is identical in structure, size, lines of business and US and Bermuda operations, each of the companies included in the peer group is a small to mid-cap sized specialty insurer or reinsurer that has characteristics that Lockton and the Committee believe qualify them to be appropriate points of comparison. The goal of our compensation program is to fairly compensate our Executives in a manner to be competitive with comparable employers and to align our Executive's incentives with the short-term operational and financial success of the Company and the long-term interests of the Company and its Shareholders.

Where appropriate, Executives will be selected to participate in the Company's supplemental or perquisite programs, depending upon comparable data, retention value of the Executive and cost to the Company.

Our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and the three other most highly compensated Executive Officers of the Company who received a combined salary and bonus in excess of \$100,000 during 2011 (our NEOs) are Stephen R. Crim, Mark W. Haushill, Joseph D. Scollo, Jr., Ambuj Jain and Nicholas J. Pascall.

With respect to Stephen R. Crim, our President and Chief Executive Officer, we considered Mr. Crim's responsibilities and his contributions to the Company's operating results during his tenure in establishing his targeted overall compensation for 2011. The results of the 2009 advisory work by Lockton Companies, LLC noted above were taken into account in setting compensation levels for 2011. The Committee did not perform a formal survey of those peer or similar company levels, nor did the Committee retain a separate compensation consultant to advise us with respect to compensation levels for 2011. Rather we subjectively assessed the appropriate areas of compensation levels. We followed a similar process when establishing targeted compensation for our other NEOs, Joseph D. Scollo, Jr., our Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer, Mark W. Haushill, our Chief Financial Officer, Ambuj Jain, our Senior Vice President, and Nicholas J. Pascall, Vice President and Chief Underwriting Officer of our reinsurance subsidiary. The Chief Executive Officer reviews the other NEO's performance and makes compensation recommendations to the Committee, which are reviewed by the Committee in light of the overall compensation and Company performance.

For 2011, the Company's bonus plans relative to its NEOs are governed by the 2011 Executive Long-Term Incentive Plan (the LTIP) and the Production Underwriters Long-Term Incentive Plan (the Underwriters LTIP). See **2011 Executive Long-Term Incentive Plan** and **Production Underwriters Long-Term Incentive Plan**, below. The LTIP includes, NEOs Crim, Haushill, Scollo, and Jain. Mr. Pascall is included in Group 1 of the Underwriters LTIP. The Company's bonus plans as they relate to each NEO has both corporate and personal performance goals established on an annual basis. Pursuant to the LTIP, bonuses for NEOs are based 80% on the achievement of the corporate goals and 20% on the achievement of the particular NEO's personal goals. The bonus plan incorporated in the Underwriters LTIP as it relates to Mr. Pascall includes group (80%) and personal (20%) goals established on an annual basis. The Board of Directors sets the corporate goals based on the budget. Mr. Crim, the CEO, works directly with the Committee and the other NEOs to formulate and approve applicable group goals and their respective personal goals at the beginning of the year and the Committee formulates and approves Mr. Crim's personal goals. At the end of the year, Mr. Crim assesses the achievement of the group and personal goals with respect to the other NEOs and the Committee assesses the achievement of these goals with respect to Mr. Crim.

The goals are intended to reflect the attainment of targets that are primarily designed to further the objectives of the Company's strategic plan, which is updated each year. For 2011 (the year covered by the 2012 proxy statement), the Board based 100% of the corporate component of the bonus plans on profitability, measured by the achievement of a return on equity target of 7% (the 2011 Annual Objective).

Mr. Pascall, who has specific business unit oversight with respect to the Company's Bermuda operations, focused primarily on achieving the 2011 Annual Objective by pursuing achievement of targeted gross written premium of \$55.5 million, \$7.1 million of earnings prior to expense allocations and a 98.8% combined ratio for the assumed reinsurance division. Mr. Haushill, as CFO, primarily focused on achieving the 2011 Annual Objective through developing and implementing a talent management process for the financial organization and developing a strategy for capital deployment and acquisition. Mr. Jain, as the Company's Senior Vice President, focused primarily on achieving the 2011 Annual Objective by pursuing achievement of targeted gross written premium of \$250.3 million, \$(1.26) million of pre-tax earnings excluding investment income and a combined ratio for the U.S. business of 100.7%.

For the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company achieved a return on equity of 0%. As the return on equity goal was not achieved for 2011, none of the NEOs received a cash bonus with respect to 2011.

Base Salaries. The base salaries for our NEOs, Messrs. Crim, Haushill and Scollo, during the year ended December 31, 2011 include increases over prior salaries based on Company performance and achievement of individual goals and objectives. The base salary for Mr. Haushill was established by contract when he joined the Company in September 2009. In the case of each of Messrs. Jain and Pascall, base salary was established by considering his performance and contribution to the Company and his business responsibilities. These amounts reflect levels that we concluded were appropriate based on our general experience and considering compensation levels at our peer companies. Our base salaries are intended to be competitive with base salaries paid by other similar insurance companies to Executives with similar qualifications, experience and responsibilities, although we do not follow a formal practice in this regard. The Committee periodically discusses salary recommendations with the Chief Executive Officer with regard to other Company Executive Officers. These salary recommendations are generally based on an evaluation of the individual's performance in the position held, the Company's operating results, and the individual's contribution to the Company's operating results.

The compensation of our Chief Executive Officer, Stephen R. Crim, Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer, Joseph D. Scollo, Jr. and Chief Financial Officer, Mark W. Haushill, are governed in part by employment agreements, the material terms of which are more specifically described below under **Employment Agreements**. These employment agreements provide for a minimum base salary of \$408,233 for Mr. Crim, \$345,000 for Mr. Scollo and \$335,000 for Mr. Haushill, subject to annual review and adjustment. Compensation, including base salary and bonus opportunity for each of Messrs. Jain and Pascall was based on a determination by the Chief Executive Officer, discussed with and approved by the Committee. The base salaries for Messrs. Crim, Scollo, Haushill, Jain and Pascall in 2011 were \$440,000, \$407,516, \$348,600, \$272,038 and \$381,326, respectively.

2011 Executive Long-Term Incentive Plan. In 2007, the Committee retained Lockton to review the Company's compensation programs. Based on the results of this review, the Compensation Committee adopted the Long-Term Incentive Plans commencing with calendar year 2008. These plans are administered by our Human Resources Department, with input from the Chief Executive Officer and the Committee. In January 2011, the Committee adopted the 2011 Executive Long-Term Incentive Plan covering NEOs Crim, Haushill, Scollo and Jain.

The LTIP is designed to compensate and retain those Executives and key employees that contribute most to driving revenue and profitability. This philosophy represents a modification from prior year plans, which were more focused on title than revenue and profitability. NEOs, Crim, Haushill, Scollo and Jain are included in the LTIP and are eligible to receive restricted stock grants and annual performance-based cash bonus awards. Restricted stock awards are designed as an aid in retention of personnel and are not directly tied to performance. Restricted stock awards vest 25% on each of the first and second anniversaries of the award, with the final 50% vesting on the third anniversary of the award.

The targeted incentive payouts for 2011 generally applicable to NEOs are based on meeting established targets, expressed as a percentage of the NEO's base salary and include a 32% cash bonus for each NEO under the LTIP, a 40% restricted stock grant for Messrs. Crim and Scollo, and a 30% restricted stock grant for Messrs. Haushill and Jain. The targets are established each year. The Committee reserves the right to modify the bonus payout based on extraordinary circumstances and/or exceptional performance.

The cash bonus portion of the LTIP is designed to reward short term performance, and is distributed based on a combination of achieving annual financial targets and established qualitative goals. For 2011, our financial target was based 100% on profitability, as measured by pre-tax earnings and return on equity. In addition to the financial target, a portion of the bonus is based upon achievement of other personal and qualitative goals established annually. Under the LTIP, NEOs receive 80% of their cash bonus based on the corporate financial target and 20% of their cash bonus based on meeting certain personal and qualitative goals.

Under the LTIP, to reward NEOs for achieving optimal results, leverage ratios apply to the cash bonus amounts for exceeding or missing financial targets. The following leverage ratios apply to the cash bonus portion under the LTIP:

% ACHIEVED OF TARGET	LEVERAGE RATIO
120%	150%
110%	120%
100%	100%
90%	50%

The following table provides an overview of the total incentive compensation under the LTIP, consisting of cash bonus and restricted stock awards, which participants may receive depending on the level of achievement of established targets. For example, if 100% of the target is achieved, NEOs would receive a cash bonus of 32% of salary. Messrs. Crim and Scollo would receive a restricted stock award equal to 40% of salary. Messrs. Haushill and Jain would receive a restricted stock award equal to 20% of salary. As a result, Messrs. Crim and Scollo would receive total incentive compensation under the LTIP of 70% of salary and Messrs. Haushill and Jain would receive total incentive compensation of 52% of salary.

NEO	TARGET	BONUS AT 110% OF TARGET	BONUS AT 120% OF TARGET	BONUS AT 90% OF TARGET
RECIPIENT	BONUS	TARGET	TARGET	TARGET
Crim Scollo	70%	78.4%	88%	56%
Haushill Jain	52%	58.4%	68%	36%

Note that the information in the table above represents the total incentive compensation, including cash and restricted stock, and is expressed as a percentage of the NEO's base salary.

Production Underwriters Long-Term Incentive Plan. The Compensation Committee adopted the Underwriters LTIP in order to more closely tie incentives for production underwriters to the long term profitability of the participating underwriter's respective product line. Under the Underwriters LTIP as in effect for 2011, Mr. Pascall is in Group 1 of the Underwriters LTIP. Mr. Pascall would receive a Restricted Stock Award equal to 20% of his salary. Restricted Stock Awards are designed as an aid in retention of underwriting personnel and are not directly tied to performance. Restricted stock awards vest 25% on each of the first and second anniversaries of the award, with the final 50% vesting on the third anniversary of the award.

Participants in the Underwriters LTIP are eligible to receive a cash bonus equal to a share of the underwriting profits, as defined in the Underwriters LTIP, of their respective product line. An amount equal to 6% of the 2011 underwriting profits of the applicable product line is placed in a pool. The percentage of the bonus pool that is distributed is determined by meeting certain levels of return on equity levels by the Company, as follows:

2011 COMPANY ROE	% OF POOL DISTRIBUTED
7% or higher	100%
5.8%	80%
5% or lower	70%

The percentage of the pool to be distributed will be adjusted on a pro-rata basis if the return on equity results fall between the indicated levels.

After December 31st and before March 15th of each subsequent calendar year until the end of the vesting period (outlined below), the 2011 underwriting profit will be recalculated based on updated gross ultimate accident year loss and LAE incurred (including IBNR as established by the Company). Any change in the underwriting profit from the updated calculation will adjust the bonus pool and the bonus pool account for each participant. The adjustment to each applicable participant will be in the same ratio as the original distribution.

To the extent that there is a downward adjustment to the business unit bonus pool as a result of lower underwriting profit for that unit, any current or future potential payouts applicable to the original 2011 bonus pool will be offset to the extent of previously overpaid amounts. Concurrently, if the business unit bonus pool is increased as a result of improved underwriting profit, such increased amount will be added to the bonus pool account for each applicable participant in the same ratio as the original distribution in accordance with the established vesting schedule below.

In order to reward performance over the long-term, the payout of the bonus pool account (as adjusted from time to time) is subject to a vesting schedule. Vesting will occur on December 31 of each calendar year starting on December 31, 2011 as set out below. Vested amounts will be paid by March 15th of the subsequent calendar year in which vesting occurs. The vesting period for the payout of the bonus pool is four years, with 50% of the bonus payable in year 1, 20% payable in each of years 2 and 3, with the balance of 10% payable in year 4.

Annual Cash Bonuses. For 2011, each NEO was eligible for an annual cash bonus under the LTIP or Underwriters LTIP, as applicable. Cash bonus awards to our NEOs under the LTIP and Underwriters LTIP for 2011 are based on the achievement of goals and objectives established by the Committee which relate to (i) our profitability measured by return on equity, (ii) individual performance, and (iii) in Mr. Jain's and Mr. Pascall's case, group performance. As management responsibility increases, the bonus potential increases and goals and objectives are more heavily weighted toward overall Company performance. The goals and objectives were established by the Committee in January 2011 and consisted of a target for profitability measured by pre-tax income and return on equity. The Committee established goals and objectives for 2012 in March 2012. These goals and objectives for 2012 are similar to those established for 2011, with components for return on equity and pre-tax earnings.

For the year ended December 31, 2011, no NEO received a cash bonus.

Equity Compensation. The Committee believes strongly that equity-based awards are an integral part of total compensation for employees with significant responsibility for our long-term results. As part of our compensation program, in 2011 each NEO was eligible for a restricted stock award pursuant to the LTIP or the Underwriters LTIP, as applicable.

All equity awards granted under the LTIP and Underwriters LTIP are issued under the Company's 2007 Incentive Stock Plan (the "2007 Plan") approved by our Shareholders in June 2007. The 2007 Plan is intended to further the interests of the Company and its Shareholders by attracting, retaining and motivating Officers, employees, consultants and advisors to participate in the long-term development of the Company through stock ownership and is the successor plan to the 1998 Incentive Stock Option Plan (the "1998 Plan"). The 2007 Plan defines the incentive arrangements for eligible participants and authorizes the granting of incentive stock options, nonqualified options, restricted stock awards and other forms of equity compensation, which may be made subject to the discretion of the Committee and, for annual awards, are generally made in conjunction with the achievement of the goals and objectives detailed in the LTIP and Underwriters LTIP as discussed above. The Committee is authorized to determine the terms and conditions of all option grants, subject to the limitations set forth in the 2007 Plan. In accordance with the terms of the 2007 Plan, for options, the option exercise price per share will not be less than the fair market value of the common shares on the date of grant, the term of any options granted may be no longer than ten years and there may or may not be a vesting period before any recipient may exercise any of those options. The rights of recipients receiving stock options generally vest equally over three years, beginning with the first anniversary date of grant, although options granted may cliff vest on the third anniversary of the grant date. All options expire ten years from the date of grant, unless sooner exercised. However, in some instances, particularly with initial option grants to new key employees, the options vest 100% on the fifth anniversary of the grant date. Generally, the vesting of options issued under either the 1998 Plan or the 2007 Plan is accelerated in the event of a change in control of the Company.

With the exception of significant promotions and new hires, we generally make these types of awards at a meeting of the Committee each year following the availability of the financial results for the prior year and prior to March 15. The 2011 grant awards were determined at the Committee's meeting on March 8, 2011, with a grant date of March 14, 2011. This timing was selected because it enabled the Committee to consider the Company's prior year performance, the performance of the potential recipients and our expectations for future years. The Committee's schedule is determined several months in advance, and the proximity of any awards to earnings announcements or other market events is coincidental. During the year ended December 31, 2011, a total of 272,081 shares of restricted stock, valued at \$5,128,726, were granted under the 2007 Plan.

Pursuant to their employment agreements, each of Messrs. Crim, Haushill and Scollo is eligible to participate in all Company equity plans. Under the LTIP and Underwriters LTIP, all NEOs are eligible to receive awards under the 2007 Plan. In 2011 pursuant to the LTIP and Underwriters LTIP, Messrs. Crim, Haushill, Scollo, and Jain were granted Restricted Stock Awards valued at \$173,795, \$101,842, \$158,811, \$78,664, respectively, under the 2007 Plan. Each of these awards vests 25% on the first anniversary of the award, 25% on the second anniversary of the award and 50% on the third anniversary. On July 29, 2011, Messrs. Crim, Haushill, Scollo, Jain and Pascall received special Restricted Stock Awards valued at \$1,125,000, \$937,500, \$1,125,000, \$187,500 and \$187,500, respectively, which cliff vest on the fifth anniversary date based on achievement of book value per share of \$40.50. In addition, Mr. Pascall received a Restricted Stock Award valued at \$47,500 on August 3, 2011, in connection with his taking over as head of our Bermuda reinsurance operation.

Severance Benefits. We believe that companies should provide reasonable severance benefits to certain of their employees. With respect to senior management, these severance benefits should reflect the fact that it may be difficult for employees to find comparable employment within a short period of time. They should also disentangle the Company from the former employee as soon as possible. We do not have a general severance plan in place but severance benefits for certain of our NEOs are detailed in their respective employment agreements, as detailed in the section entitled **Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change In Control**.

Retirement Plans. The Company offers its employees a safe harbor 401(k) plan (the 401(k) Plan). All employees are eligible to participate in the 401(k) Plan. Participants in the 401(k) Plan may elect to defer up to 92% of their compensation each year in lieu of receiving such amount in cash. However, a participant's total deferral each year is subject to dollar limitations that are set by law. For 2011 the limit was \$16,500. This limit may be increased for cost of living changes. In addition, participants over age 50 may elect to defer additional amounts, referred to as catch-up contributions, of up to \$5,500 in 2011. In order to maintain the safe harbor status of the 401(k) Plan, the Company contributes the total amount of each participant's salary deferrals each Plan Year and makes a safe harbor matching contribution equal to 100% of the participant's salary deferrals that do not exceed 3% of the participant's compensation and 50% of the amount between 3% and 5% of the compensation. A participant is always 100% vested in amounts attributable to his or her salary deferrals and in the contributions.

Change in Control. Each of the 1998 Plan and the 2007 Plan provides for the immediate vesting of all options in the event of a change in control of the Company. However the Company has no change in control program in place and none of the current employment agreements with Senior Executives provide for any separate benefits in connection with a change in control, although severance benefits are enhanced in the event of a termination after or in connection with a change in control, as discussed in the section entitled **Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change In Control.**

Perquisites and Other Benefits. The Committee annually reviews the perquisites that senior management receives. The primary perquisites for senior management are the payment of a monthly car allowance and the payment of annual insurance premiums. Mr. Pascall receives a housing allowance as is typical in the Bermuda market. The Committee believes that these perquisites are modest and appropriate.

Senior management also participates in the Company's other benefit plans on the same terms as other employees. These plans include medical and dental insurance and life insurance.

The value of perquisites and other benefits received by our NEOs for 2011 are shown in the Summary Compensation Table under the heading of **All Other Compensation.**

Stock Ownership Guidelines. The Company does not have established stock ownership guidelines for any of its Officers. The Company believes that its awards under the LTIP sufficiently align the interests of its Officers with those of its Shareholders.

Employment Agreements. Stephen R. Crim is employed by the Company as its Chief Executive Officer pursuant to an employment agreement dated August 1, 2007, as amended and restated August 9, 2011 (the Crim Agreement). The Crim Agreement provides for an initial term of three years, with automatic one year extensions unless either party gives notice of non-renewal at least 120 days prior to the expiration of the initial or then current renewal term. The Crim Agreement provides for a base salary, which may be increased pursuant to a merit increase at each annual performance evaluation. In addition, Mr. Crim is eligible to receive an annual cash bonus, in an amount to be determined by the Board of Directors, pursuant to the Company's LTIP. Mr. Crim is also eligible to participate in all Company equity plans, including the 2007 Plan. The Crim Agreement provides for a monthly automobile allowance of \$1,000, up to \$25,000 per year in reimbursement of the premium cost of a universal life insurance policy or other mutually agreeable similar instrument on Mr. Crim's life and reimbursement of the premium cost of a supplemental long-term disability policy.

Joseph D. Scollo, Jr. is employed by the Company as its Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer pursuant to an employment agreement dated August 1, 2007, as amended and restated August 9, 2011 (the Scollo Agreement). With the exception of salary levels, the Scollo Agreement is substantially identical to the Crim Agreement.

Mark W. Haushill is employed by the Company as its Chief Financial Officer pursuant to an employment agreement effective as of September 8, 2009, as amended and restated August 9, 2011 (the Haushill Agreement). With the exception of salary levels, the Haushill Agreement is substantially identical to the Crim Agreement.

Under their respective employment agreements, each of Messrs. Crim, Haushill and Scollo (the Executives) have agreed not to reveal, divulge, or disclose any confidential information and will not use or make use of any confidential information during the employment period plus the longer period of 12 months from termination or the end of each applicable severance period (the Restricted Period). Additionally, the Executives have each further agreed not to transmit or disclose any trade secret or make use of any such trade secret for the benefit of himself or for any other person without the prior written consent of the Company at any time throughout the terms of the employment agreements and after termination of the employment agreements. The Executives have also agreed not to solicit or induce any protected employees to terminate their employment relationship with the Company or to enter into employment with any other person during the Restricted Period. Each of the Executives has each agreed not to solicit, divert, take away or attempt to solicit, divert or take away any of the Company s protected customers with whom they may have had contact on the Company s behalf during the twelve (12) months immediately preceding the termination without the Company s prior written consent. The Executives will not seek or obtain a competitive position in the restricted territory with a competitor during the Restricted Period without the prior written consent of the Company.

Each of the respective employment agreements also provides for certain termination provisions for Messrs. Crim, Haushill and Scollo, which are discussed further in the section entitled *Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change In Control*.

Executive Compensation

The following table sets forth information regarding the annual compensation paid our NEOs for services rendered to the Company during the years ended December 31, 2009, 2010 and 2011:

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$) ^{(1) (5)}	Option Awards (\$) ⁽²⁾	All Other Compensation (\$) ⁽³⁾	Total (\$) (j)
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(i)	(j)
Stephen R. Crim CEO/President	2011	440,000		1,301,000		48,009	1,789,009
	2010	435,000	184,800	173,814		55,142	848,756
	2009	420,000	168,000	962,404	146,998	47,973	1,745,375
Joseph D. Scollo, Jr. COO/Exec. VP	2011	407,516		1,289,006		48,783	1,745,305
	2010	397,009	168,007	158,811		42,166	765,993
	2009	385,163	155,195	643,794	135,793	42,837	1,362,782
Mark W. Haushill ⁽⁴⁾ CFO	2011	348,600		1,042,847		17,951	1,409,398
	2010	339,447	153,418	101,842		16,880	611,587
	2009	105,439	55,275	199,748	224,286	6,961	591,709
Guy Cloutier ⁽⁶⁾ Senior VP	2011	266,667				838,393	1,105,060
	2010	400,000	250,000			244,392	894,392
	2009	383,333	135,000	133,195	100,000	241,807	993,335
Ambuj Jain Senior VP	2011	272,038		551,345		75,475	898,858
	2010	256,655	104,882	78,661		9,800	449,998
	2009	237,504	96,002	205,377	59,741	9,663	608,287
Nicholas J. Pascall ⁽⁷⁾ VP	2011	381,326		315,000		201,246	897,572
	2010	365,068	95,093			203,298	663,459
	2009	355,276	120,035	53,553	88,871	204,874	822,609

- (1) The 2009 awards include special restricted stock awards for Messrs. Crim, Scollo, Haushill, Cloutier and Jain valued at \$878,400.00, \$585,600.00, \$183,000.00, \$73,200.00 and \$183,000.00, respectively, under the 2007 plan.
- (2) Option Awards dollar amount is calculated by using the fair market value of the option on the dates of grant as described in Note 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's Annual Report to Shareholders as of December 31, 2009, 2010 and 2011 respectively.
- (3) All Other Compensation includes amounts paid for car allowances, the Company's contribution to each individual's 401(k) plan (in the case of Mr. Cloutier, his pension plan), amounts expended for annual insurance premiums and other perquisites, amounts for spouses' air travel, taxes in connection with the Employee Stock Purchase Plan (in case of Mr. Cloutier, the employee portion of Bermuda employment taxes and social insurance paid by the Company) and, in the case of Mr. Cloutier, a housing allowance. The specific components are shown in the All Other Compensation table.
- (4) Mr. Haushill joined the Company on September 8, 2009.
- (5) The 2011 awards include special restricted stock awards for Messrs. Crim, Haushill, Scollo, Jain and Pascall valued at \$1,125,000, \$937,500, \$1,125,000, \$187,500 and \$187,500, respectively, under the 2007 plan. Mr. Pascall received an additional award on August 3, 2011, valued \$47,500.
- (6) Mr. Cloutier resigned from the Company effective September 30, 2011
- (7) Mr. Pascall became head of our Bermuda reinsurance operation as of September 30, 2011.

ALL OTHER COMPENSATION TABLE

Named Executive	Year	Car Allowance (\$)	401(k) Contributions (\$)	Payments for Annual Insurance Premiums (\$)	Spousal Air Travel (\$)	Housing Allowance (\$)	Misc. (\$)
Officer							
Stephen R. Crim	2011	12,000	9,800	25,000	1,209		
	2010	12,000	9,800	25,000	1,212		7,130
	2009	12,000	9,800	25,000	1,173		
Joseph D. Scollo, Jr.	2011	9,000	9,800	26,446	3,537		
	2010	9,000	9,800	22,319	1,047		
	2009	9,000	9,800	22,218	1,819		
Mark W. Haushill	2011	6,000	9,800		2,151		
	2010	6,000	9,800		1,080		
	2009	2,000		3,750	1,211		
Guy Cloutier ⁽¹⁾	2011		70,000	20,060		120,000	628,333
	2010		40,000	24,392		180,000	
	2009		38,333	23,474		180,000	
Ambuj Jain ⁽²⁾	2011		9,800		760		64,915
	2010		9,800				
	2009		9,663				
Nicholas J. Pascall ⁽³⁾	2011		38,133	13,113		150,000	
	2010		36,507	16,791		150,000	
	2009		35,528	19,346		150,000	

- (1) Includes amount paid by the Company into a pension fund on behalf of Mr. Cloutier and severance amounts paid. Mr. Cloutier resigned from the Company effective September 30, 2011.
- (2) Payment in lieu of a stock award.
- (3) Amount paid by the Company into a pension fund on behalf of Mr. Pascall. Mr. Pascall became head of our Bermuda reinsurance operation on September 30, 2011.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards

The following table sets forth information with respect to the stock options granted to the NEOs during the years ended December 31, 2009, 2010 and 2011:

GRANTS OF PLAN BASED AWARDS

Name	Grant Date	Estimate Future Payouts			Estimated Future Payouts			All Other Stock Awards: Number of	All Other Option Awards: Number of	Exercise or Base Price of	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock And
		Under Non-Equity Incentive			Under Equity Incentive			Shares of	Securities		
		Plan Awards			Plan Awards			Stock or Units	Underlying Options		
		Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)	Threshold (#)	Target (#)	Maximum (#)	(#)	(#)		
Stephen R. Crim	3/11/2009	84,000	168,000	252,000				9,231	26,439	9.10	5.56
	3/5/2010	86,999	173,999	260,999	4,021	8,042	16,083	65,738		14.64	9.14
	3/14/2011							8,908		19.51	
	7/29/2011							60,000		18.75	
Joseph D. Scollo, Jr.	3/11/2009	77,032	154,065	231,097				6,209	18,040	9.10	5.56
	3/5/2010	79,401	158,803	238,205	3,714	7,428	14,857	43,975		14.64	9.14
	3/14/2011							8,140		19.51	
	7/29/2011							60,000		18.75	
Mark W. Haushill	9/8/2009								20,000	16.07	9.82
	3/5/2010	67,889	135,778	203,668	762	1,525	3,051	1,144		14.64	9.14
	3/14/2011							5,220		19.51	
	7/29/2011							50,000		18.75	
Guy Cloutier ⁽¹⁾	3/11/2009	76,666	153,333	230,000				6,181	16,862	9.10	5.56
	3/5/2010	76,666	153,333	230,000	2,735	5,470	10,941	4,098		14.64	9.14
	3/14/2011										
Ambuj Jain	3/11/2009							3,791		9.10	5.56
	3/5/2010							14,959		14.64	9.14
	3/14/2011							4,032		19.51	
	7/29/2011							25,000		18.75	
Nicholas J. Pascall ⁽²⁾	3/11/2009							3,846		9.10	5.56
	3/5/2010							3,658		14.64	9.14
	7/29/2011							10,000		18.75	
	8/3/2011							2,500		19.00	

(1) Mr. Cloutier resigned from the Company effective September 30, 2011.

(2) Mr. Pascall became head of our Bermuda reinsurance operation on September 30, 2011

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End

The following table sets forth the outstanding option and stock awards held by the NEOs as of December 31, 2011:

Name	Number Of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number Of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable	Option Awards Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number Of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Option Exercise Price	Option Expiration Date	Stock Awards	
						Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$) ⁽³⁾
Stephen R. Crim CEO/President	12,000			6.75	1/30/13		
	95,000			8.57	6/19/13		
	18,000			13.67	1/21/14		
	10,000			16.40	3/15/16		
	10,000			19.05	3/15/17		
	10,000			17.95	3/4/18		
	8,455			17.95	3/4/18		
	17,626	8,813		9.10	3/11/19	4,615	100,376
	5,361	10,722		14.64	3/5/20	64,304	1,398,612
				3/14/21	8,908	193,749	
				7/29/21	60,000	1,305,000	
Joseph D. Scollo, Jr. COO/Exec. VP	11,000			6.75	1/30/13		
	50,000			8.57	6/19/13		
	12,000			13.67	1/21/14		
	7,500			16.40	3/15/16		
	7,500			19.05	3/15/17		
	7,500			17.95	3/4/18		
		6,946		17.95	3/4/18		
	15,268	7,904		9.10	3/11/19	3,105	67,534
	4,952	9,905		14.64	3/5/20	42,981	934,837
				3/14/21	8,140	177,045	
				7/29/21	60,000	1,305,000	
Mark W. Haushill CFO		20,000 ⁽²⁾		16.07	9/8/19		
	1,017	2,034 ⁽¹⁾		14.64	3/5/20	13,358	290,537
					3/14/21	5,220	113,535
				7/29/21	50,000	1,087,500	
Guy Cloutier ⁽⁴⁾ Sr. Vice President	5,000			19.55	9/17/17		
	2,500			17.95	3/4/18		
	10,971			14.64	3/5/20		
Ambuj Jain Senior Vice President	10,000			13.77	7/27/14		
	4,000			16.40	3/15/16		
	4,000			19.05	3/15/17		
	4,000			17.95	3/4/18		
	6,895	3,447		9.10	3/11/19	1,895	41,216
	2,188	4,377		14.64	3/5/20	14,344	311,982
				3/14/21	4,032	87,696	

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN SAFETY INSURANCE HOLDINGS LTD - Form 10-K/A

				7/29/21	25,000	543,750
Nicholas J. Pascall ⁽⁵⁾						
Vice President		4,196	9.10	3/11/19	1,923	41,825
	3,255	6,511	14.64	3/5/20	2,743	59,660
				8/3/21	2,500	54,375
				7/29/21	10,000	217,500

- (1) The options have a three-year vesting schedule, pursuant to which the shares underlying the options shall vest in one-third increments on each of the first three anniversaries from the date of grant.
- (2) The options cliff vest on the fifth anniversary from the date of grant.
- (3) Closing price on December 30, 2011, was \$21.75.
- (4) Mr. Cloutier resigned from the Company effective September 30, 2011.
- (5) Mr. Pascall became head of our Bermuda reinsurance operation on September 30, 2011.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested

The following table sets forth the options exercised and stock vested by the NEOs during the year ended December 31, 2011:

OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED

Name	OPTION AWARDS		STOCK AWARDS	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$)
Stephen R. Crim CEO/President	20,000	\$ 255,400	2,340 2,307 1,434	\$ 48,368 \$ 47,009 \$ 29,641
Joseph D. Scollo, Jr. COO/Exec. VP	12,000	\$ 156,600	1,441 1,552 993	\$ 29,796 \$ 31,619 \$ 20,525
Mark W. Haushill CFO			286	\$ 5,911
Guy Cloutier ⁽¹⁾ Senior VP	11,241	\$ 132,981	975 1,545 1,024 3,091 3,073	\$ 20,153 \$ 31,476 \$ 21,166 \$ 56,874 \$ 56,543
Ambuj Jain Senior VP			878 948 614	\$ 18,138 \$ 19,306 \$ 12,691
Nicholas J. Pascall ⁽²⁾ VP	8,394	76,973	3,516 961 914	\$ 72,666 \$ 19,586 \$ 18,892

- (1) Mr. Cloutier resigned from the Company effective September 30, 2011.
(2) Mr. Pascall became head of our Bermuda reinsurance operation on September 30, 2011.

Pension Benefits

The Company does not have or provide any supplemental Executive retirement plan or similar plan that provides for specified retirement payments or benefits with the exception of Mr. Pascall.

The Company makes an annual contribution to Mr. Pascall pension equal to 10% of his annual salary.

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation

The Company does not have or provide any defined contribution or other plan that provides for the deferral of compensation on a basis that is not tax-qualified.

Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change In Control

There are no specified payments accruing to the benefit of Mr. Pascall upon such termination as a result of a contract, agreement, plan or arrangement between Mr. Pascall and the Company. Because of their employment agreements, Messrs. Crim, Scollo and Haushill are eligible for certain benefits in the event of termination of their employment as more specifically discussed below. Mr. Jain is party to a Change in Control Agreement, dated April 1, 2008, that provides for the payment of 12 months of salary and 12 months of COBRA coverage if he is terminated other than for cause in connection with or within 24 months after a Change in Control. The amount of potential payments to each such NEO is dependent upon the nature of the termination as discussed below. The amounts of potential payments as referenced in the table assume that such triggering event was effective as of December 31, 2011. The actual amounts to be paid out upon termination or change in control can only be determined at the time of such event.

For purposes of this discussion, Executive refers to each of Messrs. Crim, Haushill and Scollo and:

(1) Cause means (i) the continued failure of Executive to perform substantially Executive's duties with the Company (other than any such failure resulting from incapacity due to physical or mental illness, and specifically excluding any failure by Executive, after reasonable efforts, to meet performance expectations), after a written demand for substantial performance is delivered to Executive by the Board which specifically identifies in detail the manner in which the Board believes that Executive has not substantially performed Executive's duties, (ii) any act of fraud, misappropriation, embezzlement or similar dishonest or wrongful act by Executive, (iii) Executive's abuse of alcohol or any substance which materially interferes with Executive's ability to perform services on behalf of the Company, (iv) Executive's conviction for, or plea of guilty or *nolo contendere* to, a felony, (v) Executive's acceptance of employment with an employer other than the Company or any affiliate or subsidiary of the Company, or (vi) Executive's conviction for any crime of moral turpitude.

(2) Good Reason means (i) a reduction by the Company in Executive's base salary or benefits as in effect on the Effective Date or as the same may be increased from time to time, unless a similar reduction is made in salary or benefits of substantially all Senior Executives of the Company (or any of its affiliates and any of their respective subsidiaries with respect to which the Company exerts control over compensation policies); (ii) the Company's requiring Executive, without his consent, to be based at any office or location other than in the greater metropolitan area of the city in which his office is located at the Effective Date; or (iii) the Company's changing the reporting structure so that Executive no longer reports directly to the Board.

(3) Poor Performance means the failure of Executive to meet reasonable and achievable performance expectations (other than any such failure resulting from incapacity due to physical or mental illness); provided, however, that termination for Poor Performance will not be effective unless at least 30 days prior to such termination Executive has received written notice from the Board which specifically identifies the manner in which the Board believes that Executive has not met performance expectations and Executive has failed after receipt of such notice to resume the diligent performance of his duties to the satisfaction of the Board.

Prior to or More than 24 Months after Change in Control.

Termination by Company other than for Poor Performance, Cause or Disability; Termination by Executive for Good Reason; or Expiration of Executive's Employment Period:

If the Company terminates any of the Executive's employment other than for Poor Performance, Cause or Disability or any of the Executives terminates his employment for Good Reason within 90 days after the event of occurrence of the event causing the Good Reason or the Executive's employment period expires, such Executive shall be entitled to:

the sum of the base salary through the date of termination and any accrued vacation pay to the extent not yet paid to be paid in a lump sum within 30 days after the date of termination (the Accrued Obligations).

an amount equal to the longer of 18 months base salary or the remaining term of the employment period from the date of termination, to be paid in equal semi-monthly or other installments as are customary under the Company's payroll practices (the Normal Severance Period).

COBRA continuation coverage during the Normal Severance Period.

a cash sum in an amount equal to 100% of his bonus opportunity (prorated through the date of termination) adjusted according to his year-to-date performance at the date of termination to be paid in a lump sum within 30 days after the date of termination.

all grants of restricted stock, restricted stock units and similar stock-based awards, which will become immediately vested as of the date of termination.

all options, stock appreciation rights and similar stock-based awards that would have become vested within the 24 month period following the date of termination had the Executive remained employed will become immediately vested and exercisable as of the date of termination.

all options that are vested but unexercised which will remain exercisable through the earlier of the original expiration date; the 90th day following the end of the Normal Severance Period; or 10 years from the date of grant.

Termination by the Company for Poor Performance:

If the Company terminates any of the Executive's employment for Poor Performance, such Executive shall be entitled to:

payment of the Accrued Obligations to be paid in a lump sum within 30 days after the date of termination.

an amount equal to 12 months base salary, to be paid in equal semi-monthly or other installments as are customary under the Company's payroll practices (the Poor Performance Severance Period).

COBRA continuation coverage during the Poor Performance Severance Period.

all grants of restricted stock, restricted stock units and similar stock-based awards that would have become vested within the 12 month period following the date of termination had the Executive remained employed, which will become immediately vested as of the date of termination.

subject to specific approval of the Committee, all options, stock appreciation rights and similar stock-based awards that would have become vested within the 12 month period following the date of termination had the Executive remained employed will become immediately vested and exercisable as of the date of termination.

all options that are vested but unexercised which will remain exercisable through the earlier of the original expiration date; the 90th day following the end of the Poor Performance Severance Period; or 10 years from the date of grant.

After or In Connection with Change in Control:

Termination by Executive for Good Reason; Termination by Company other than for Cause or Disability:

If a change in control occurs, and within 24 months following such change in control (or if Executive can show that termination by the Executive or the Company in anticipation of a change in control) the Company terminates any of the Executive's employment other than for Cause or Disability or any of the Executives terminates his employment for Good Reason, such Executive shall be entitled to:

payment of the Accrued Obligations to be paid in a lump sum within 30 days after the date of termination.

an amount equal to 36 times the monthly base salary to be paid in a lump sum within 30 days after the date of termination if the change in control qualifies as a change in ownership or effective control of the Company; otherwise, payment will be made in equal semi-monthly or other installments as are customary under the Company's payroll practices.

COBRA continuation coverage for up to 18 months after the date of termination.

a cash sum in an amount equal to 100% of his bonus opportunity (prorated through the date of termination) adjusted according to his year-to-date performance at the date of termination to be paid in a lump sum within 30 days after the date of termination.

all grants of restricted stock, restricted stock units and similar stock-based awards, which will become immediately vested as of the date of termination.

all options, stock appreciation rights and similar stock-based awards will become immediately vested and exercisable as of the date of termination.

all options that are vested but unexercised which will remain exercisable through the earlier of the original expiration date; the 90th day following the end of the 36 month period beginning on the date of termination; or 10 years from the date of grant.

Termination Due to Death, Disability or Retirement:

If any of the Executive's employment is terminated due to his death, Disability or Retirement, his employment agreement will terminate without further obligation to the Executive by the Company other than for the payment of the Accrued Obligations to be paid in a lump sum within 30 days after the date of termination to the Executive or his estate or beneficiary.

Each of the NEOs has entered into a stock option agreement, in which their options cliff vest upon the fifth anniversary from the date of grant (the Cliff-Vesting Option Agreements). Pursuant to the Cliff-Vesting Option Agreements, if the employment of any of the NEOs is terminated due to death or disability, all the options which are not vested and exercisable shall become fully vested and exercisable as of such termination date.

Each of the NEOs also has stock option agreements, in which their options vest ratably over a 3 year period (the 3 Year Option Agreements). Under the 3 Year Option Agreements, the NEOs are only entitled to the options which have vested at the time of termination due to death or disability. However, pursuant to their contracts, as discussed above, certain 3 Year Options held by Messrs. Crim, Scollo, Haushill and Hutto will become fully vested and exercisable upon certain terminations.

Termination for Cause or Voluntary Termination without Good Reason.

In the event the Company terminates an Executive's employment for cause or any of the Executives voluntarily terminates his employment, such Executive's employment agreement will terminate without further obligation to the Executive by the Company other than for the payment of the Accrued Obligations to be paid in a lump sum within 30 days after the date of termination.

The following tables set forth the estimated potential payments that would be made to each of the NEOs upon termination or change in control as described above, assuming termination of employment or the change in control took place on December 31, 2011:

Named Executive Officer	Type of Payment	Prior to or More Than 24 Months after a Change in Control	
		Termination by Company other than for Poor Performance, Cause or Disability; Termination by Executive for Good Reason Expiration of Executive's Employment	Termination for Poor Performance
		Period (\$)	(\$)
Stephen R. Crim	Payment ⁽¹⁾	678,333	458,333
	Bonus ⁽²⁾	140,800	
	COBRA ⁽³⁾	27,196	27,196
	Restricted Stock Awards ^{(4) (5)}	2,997,737	180,014
	Options ^{(5) (6)}	2,176,972	2,138,856
	Total	6,021,181	2,804,399
Joseph D. Scollo, Jr.	Payment ⁽¹⁾	628,254	424,496
	Bonus ⁽²⁾	130,405	
	COBRA ⁽³⁾	26,947	26,947
	Restricted Stock Awards ^{(4) (5)}	2,484,416	133,409
	Options ^{(5) (6)}	1,441,820	1,399,780
	Total	4,705,011	1,984,632
Mark W. Haushill	Payment ⁽¹⁾	537,425	363,125
	Bonus ⁽²⁾	111,552	
	COBRA ⁽³⁾	26,947	26,947
	Restricted Stock Awards ^{(4) (5)}	1,491,572	34,604
	Options ^{(5) (6)}	135,292	21,693
	Total	2,302,788	446,369
Ambuj Jain	Payment ⁽¹⁾	419,392	283,373
	Bonus ⁽²⁾	87,052	
	COBRA ⁽³⁾	17,218	17,218
	Restricted Stock Awards ^{(4) (5)}	984,644	76,489
	Options ^{(5) (6)}	304,703	289,147
	Total	1,802,210	655,427
Nicholas J. Pascall ^{(7) (8)}	Payment		
	Bonus		
	COBRA		
	Restricted Stock Awards ^{(4) (5)}	373,360	93,340
	Options	176,619	99,372
	Total	536,038	189,227

- (1) The dollar amounts are calculated assuming a termination date of December 31, 2011, and taking into consideration the Company's pay period which is on a semi-monthly basis (which is the 15th and the last day of the month). Messrs. Crim, Scollo and Haushill would be entitled to the amount accrued to the termination date which, in this case, would be the amounts accrued from the 16th to the 31st of December 2011 pursuant to the terms of their respective employment agreements.
- (2) The bonuses are calculated assuming the maximum percentage allowable pursuant to each of the employment agreements.
- (3) The amounts represented are the premiums for equivalent or similar major medical coverage for which each employee is currently enrolled, which was \$1,510.89 (Crim), \$1,497.07 (Scollo), \$1,497.07 (Haushill), \$956.56 (Jain) per month as of December 31, 2011.
- (4) Restricted stock awards were granted on March 11, 2009, March 5, 2010, March 14, 2011 and July 29, 2011. Mr. Pascall received an additional award on August 3, 2011.
- (5) The options and award dollar amount is calculated based on the closing stock price of the Company of \$21.75 on December 30, 2011.
- (6)

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN SAFETY INSURANCE HOLDINGS LTD - Form 10-K/A

The option dollar amount under Termination for Poor Performance is calculated assuming the Committee has approved and allowed the vesting of such unvested during the 12 month period as described above.

(7) Employment will be subject to 3 month s written notice of termination in writing on either side, notwithstanding the foregoing:

A) The period of notice may be waived either in whole or partly by mutual agreement.

B) Payment in lieu of notice may be given at the Company s discretion.

C) The Company may terminate employment forthwith in the event the employee commits any act or gross default, serious misconduct, dishonesty, or fraud resulting in serious harm or injury either to the reputation or business of the Company.

(8) Upon termination of employment, should the employee not secure alternative employment in Bermuda, the Company may, at its sole discretion, reimburse the employee in an amount not to exceed \$20,000 for the actual costs the employee incurs, and which could not be reimbursed by any other source, for the employee s relocation back to his home country.

After or in Connection with a Change in Control

Named Executive Officer	Type of Payment	Termination by Company other than for Cause or Disability;
		Termination by Employee for Good Cause (\$)
Stephen R. Crim	Payment ⁽¹⁾	1,338,333
	Bonus ⁽²⁾	140,800
	COBRA ⁽³⁾	27,196
	Restricted Stock Awards ^{(4) (5)}	2,997,737
	Options ⁽⁵⁾	2,176,972
	Total	6,681,181
Joseph D. Scollo, Jr.	Payment ⁽¹⁾	1,239,528
	Bonus ⁽²⁾	130,405
	COBRA ⁽³⁾	26,947
	Restricted Stock Awards ^{(4) (5)}	2,484,416
	Options ⁽⁵⁾	1,441,820
	Total	5,316,285
Mark W. Haushill	Payment ⁽¹⁾	1,060,325
	Bonus ⁽²⁾	111,552
	COBRA ⁽³⁾	26,947
	Restricted Stock Awards ^{(4) (5)}	1,491,572
	Options ⁽⁵⁾	135,292
	Total	2,825,688
Ambuj Jain	Payment ⁽¹⁾	827,449
	Bonus ⁽²⁾	87,052
	COBRA ⁽³⁾	17,218
	Restricted Stock Awards ^{(4) (5)}	984,644
	Options ⁽⁵⁾	304,703
	Total	2,210,267
Nicholas J. Pascall ^{(6) (7)}	Payment	
	Bonus	
	COBRA	
	Restricted Stock Awards ^{(4) (5)}	373,360
	Options	176,619
	Total	536,038

- (1) The dollar amounts are calculated assuming a termination date of December 31, 2011, and taking into consideration the Company's pay period which is on a semi-monthly basis (which is the 15th and the last day of the month). Messrs. Crim, Scollo and Haushill would be entitled to the amount accrued to the termination date which, in this case, would be the amounts accrued from the 16th to the 31st of December 2011 pursuant to the terms of their respective employment agreements.
- (2) The bonuses are calculated assuming the maximum percentage allowable pursuant to each of the employment agreements.
- (3) The amounts represented are the premiums for equivalent or similar major medical coverage for which each employee is currently enrolled, which were \$1,510.89 (Crim), \$1,497.07 (Scollo), \$1,497.07 (Haushill), \$956.56 (Jain) per month as of December 31, 2011.
- (4) Restricted stock awards were granted on March 11, 2009, March 5, 2010, March 14, 2011 and July 29, 2011 to each of the individuals. Mr. Pascall received an additional award on August 3, 2011.
- (5) The options and award dollar amount is calculated based on the closing stock price of the Company of \$21.75 on December 30, 2011.

(6) Employment will be subject to 3 month s written notice of termination in writing on either side, notwithstanding the foregoing:

A) The period of notice may be waived either in whole or partly by mutual agreement

B) Payment in lieu of notice may be given at the Company s discretion.

C) The Company may terminate employment forthwith in the event the employee commits any act or gross default, serious misconduct, dishonesty, or fraud resulting in serious harm or injury either to the reputation or business of the Company.

(7) Upon termination of employment, should the employee not secure alternative employment in Bermuda, the Company may, at its sole discretion, reimburse the employee in an amount not to exceed \$20,000 for the actual costs the employee incurs, and which could not be reimbursed by any other source, for the employee s relocation back to his home country.

Due to Disability, Cause or Voluntary Termination without Good Reason

Named Executive Officer	Type of Payment	Termination due to Death, Disability or Retirement (\$)	Termination for Cause or Voluntary Termination without Good Reason (\$)
Stephen R. Crim	Payment ⁽¹⁾	18,333	18,333
	Bonus		
	COBRA		
	Restricted Stock Awards		
	Options ⁽²⁾	1,989,397	
	Total	2,007,730	18,333
Joseph D. Scollo, Jr.	Payment ⁽¹⁾	16,980	16,980
	Bonus		
	COBRA		
	Restricted Stock Awards		
	Options ⁽²⁾	1,271,410	
	Total	1,281,559	16,980
Mark W. Haushill	Payment ⁽¹⁾	14,525	14,525
	Bonus		
	COBRA		
	Restricted Stock Awards		
	Options ⁽²⁾	135,293	
	Total	21,755	14,525
Ambuj Jain	Payment ⁽¹⁾	11,335	11,335
	Bonus		
	COBRA		
	Restricted Stock Awards		
	Options ⁽²⁾	219,178	
	Total	230,513	11,335
Nicholas J. Pascall ^{(3) (4)}	Payment		
	Bonus		
	COBRA		
	Restricted Stock Awards		
	Options ⁽²⁾	23,143	
	Total	23,143	

(1) The dollar amounts are calculated assuming a termination date of December 31, 2011, and taking into consideration the Company's pay period which is on a semi-monthly basis (which is the 15th and the last day of the month). Messrs. Crim, Scollo and Haushill would be entitled to the amount accrued to the termination date which, in this case, would be the amounts accrued from the 16th to the 31st of December 2011 pursuant to the terms of their respective employment agreements.

(2) The dollar amount is calculated based on the closing stock price of the Company of \$21.75 on December 30, 2011.

(3) Employment will be subject to 3 months written notice of termination in writing on either side, notwithstanding the foregoing:

A) The period of notice may be waived either in whole or partly by mutual agreement

B) Payment in lieu of notice may be given at the Company's discretion.

C) The Company may terminate employment forthwith in the event the employee commits any act or gross default, serious misconduct, dishonesty, or fraud resulting in serious harm or injury either to the reputation or business of the Company.

(4) Upon termination of employment, should the employee not secure alternative employment in Bermuda, the Company may, at its sole discretion, reimburse the employee in an amount not to exceed \$20,000 for the actual costs the employee incurs, and which could not be reimbursed by any other source, for the employee's relocation back to his home country.

Compensation Risks

The Compensation Committee has reviewed the elements of compensation of all employees, including Executive compensation, to determine whether the Company's compensation policies, practices and procedures are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company. After analysis, the Compensation Committee does not believe that the mix and design of the elements of compensation encourage the assumption of excessive or inappropriate risk or that our compensation structure is reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

The compensation Committee is made up of non-employee Directors who have never served as Executive Officers of the Company. It consisted of Messrs. Geneen and Mueller and Ms. Hirsch through May 11, 2011 and Messrs. Geneen, Groot and Mueller subsequently. During 2011, none of the Company's Executive Officers served on the Board of Directors of any entities whose Directors or Officers serve on the Company's compensation Committee.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis with management and has recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Form 10-K/A.

Lawrence I. Geneen, Chairman

David V. Brueggen

Thomas W. Mueller

Director Compensation

Name	Fees Earned Or Paid In Cash (\$) ⁽¹⁾	Stock Awards (\$) ⁽²⁾	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
Cody W. Birdwell	42,500	47,500		90,000
David V. Brueggen	62,500	47,500	8,511	118,511
Harris Chorney	55,000	47,500	14,792	117,292
Stephen R. Crim ⁽³⁾				
Lawrence I. Geneen	46,500	47,500	7,142	101,142
Steven L. Groot	51,250	47,500	10,010	108,760
Thomas W. Mueller	37,000	47,500	6,320	90,820

- (1) These amounts represent all fees earned for service as a Director during 2011. The non-employee Directors received the following compensation for their services as a Director. The Directors' compensation is subject to change from time to time.

Annual Retainer Fee Each non-employee Director is paid in the form of common shares of the Company having a fair market value of \$40,000 (or a pro rata portion thereof for less than a full year's service) on the date of issuance.

Retainer Fees for Committee Chairs The annual cash retainer for (i) the Chairman of the Board is \$15,000; (ii) the Audit Committee Chairman is \$15,000; and (iii) the Chairman of any committee, other than the Audit Committee, is \$7,500.

Travel Compensation Each non-employee Director receives \$1,000 for their travel time to any meeting requested by the Company in which they are present in person and for other miscellaneous expenses. Such compensation is not paid for attendance at a meeting not requiring travel.

Expense Reimbursement The Directors are reimbursed for their expenses incurred in connection with travel to any Board and/or Committee meeting, including airfare, lodging and meals and incidentals. Directors are also reimbursed for fees and costs associated with board education or professional development.

- (2) Stock Awards' dollar amount is calculated by using fair market value of the awards on July 25, 2011. The aggregate number of common shares issued was 16,975.
- (3) Mr. Crim is the Chief Executive Officer of the Company and receives no additional compensation as a Director of the Company.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the common shares of the Company owned as of March 31, 2012 (i) by each of the Company's Directors, (ii) by each of the Company's NEOs identified in the Summary Compensation Table above, (iii) by each person who beneficially owns more than 5% of the common shares and (iv) by all Directors and Executive Officers of the Company as a group. Except as otherwise indicated, each person listed below has sole voting and investment power with respect to such common shares.

Shares beneficially owned include shares that may be acquired pursuant to the exercise of outstanding stock options that are exercisable within 60 days of March 31, 2012.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Number of Common Shares	Shares that May be Acquired		Percentage Ownership
		Within 60 Days	Total Shares	
Cody W. Birdwell ⁽¹⁾	209,101		209,101	2.04%
David V. Brueggen ⁽²⁾	32,552		32,552	*
Harris K. Chorney	12,544		12,544	*
Stephen R. Crim ⁽³⁾	254,951	200,616	455,567	4.44%
Lawrence I. Geneen ⁽⁴⁾	21,530		21,530	*
Steven L. Groot ⁽⁵⁾	42,936		42,936	*
Mark W. Haushill	74,558	2,034	76,592	*
Ambuj Jain	54,002	36,719	90,721	*
Thomas W. Mueller ⁽⁶⁾	318,885		318,885	3.11%
Nicholas J. Pascall	39,753	10,707	50,460	*
Joseph D. Scollo, Jr	148,904	125,063	273,967	2.67%
All Directors and Executive Officers as a group (12 persons)	1,209,716	375,139	1,584,855	15.45%

Name of Beneficial Owner	Number of Common Shares	Shares that May be Acquired		Percentage Ownership
		Within 60 Days	Total Shares	
Dimensional Fund Advisors LP ⁽⁷⁾	634,473		684,743	6.11%

* Less than 1%

- (1) Includes 98,250 common shares of record held by The Cody Birdwell Family Limited Partnership, over which Mr. Birdwell has sole voting power with respect to the common shares.
- (2) Includes 2,003 common shares owned by his wife.
- (3) Includes 38,995 common shares owned by his spouse and 1,569 common shares held of record as custodian for his children.
- (4) Includes 1,000 common shares owned by his spouse.
- (5) Includes 42,336 common shares held by K Groot & S Groot TTEE, Steven L. Groot Living Trust, U/A DTD 03/20/1997
- (6) Includes 142,745 common shares held of record by The Mark C. Mueller Trust for which Mr. Thomas W. Mueller is the sole trustee. Mark C. Mueller is a brother of Thomas W. Mueller. Includes 160,000 common shares held of record by The Thomas W. Mueller Trust for which Mark C. Mueller is the sole trustee.
- (7) Its address is Palisades West, Building One, 6300 Bee Cave Road, Austin, TX 78746 according to a Schedule 13(G) as filed with the SEC.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

Plan category	xxxxxx Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	xxxxxx Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	xxxxxx Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in the first column)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders ⁽¹⁾	569,587	\$ 12.87	957,824
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders ⁽²⁾	20,538	N/A	188,772
Total	590,125		1,146,576

(1) Includes securities available for future issuance under the 2007 Incentive Stock Option Plan.

(2) The 20,538 represents shares actually issued to Directors under the 1998 Directors Stock Award Plan. The 188,772 represents the shares available for future awards under the 1998 Directors Stock Award Plan.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.

Messrs. Birdwell, Brueggen, Crim and Mueller, members of the Company's Board of Directors, are also Directors of American Safety Risk Retention Group, Inc. (ASRRG), a non-subsiary affiliate, which is managed by American Safety Insurance Services, Inc., the Company's principal U.S. program development, underwriting and administrative services subsidiary, on a fee-for-service basis. This entity is consolidated with the Company for accounting purposes but, for purposes of independence analysis, is considered an affiliate of the Company. American Safety Risk Retention Group, Inc. is a stock captive insurance company licensed in Vermont and is authorized to write liability insurance in all 50 states as a result of the federal Risk Retention Act. The Directors of American Safety Risk Retention Group, Inc. are elected annually by its shareholder/insureds. Mr. Weaver is Chief Executive Officer of Specialty Systems, Inc. ASRRG, the non-subsiary affiliate, provides insurance-related products and services to each of these entities in the ordinary course of business and may in the future continue to provide insurance-related products and services to these entities.

Pursuant to the Company's Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, all related party transactions involving the Company, its subsidiaries or affiliates shall be approved in advance by (a) a majority of the independent members of the Company's Board of Directors, or (b) a majority of the members of a committee of the Company's Board of Directors consisting solely of independent directors, such as the Audit Committee or the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. For purposes of this discussion a related party transaction is one in which the Company is a participant and that, individually or taken together with related transactions, exceeds, or is reasonably likely to exceed, \$50,000 in amount in any year and which any of the following individuals (a covered person) has a direct or indirect material interest:

1. any Director or Executive Officer;
2. any nominee for election as a Director;
3. any securityholder who is known by the Company to own of record or beneficially more than 5% of any class of the Company's voting securities; or

4. any immediate family member of any of the foregoing persons, including any child; stepchild; parent; stepparent; spouse; sibling; mother-, father-, son-, daughter-, brother-, or sister-in-law; and any person (other than a tenant or employee) sharing the same household.

A material interest in a transaction shall not be deemed to exist when a covered person's interest in the transaction results from (a) the covered person's (together with his immediate family's) direct or indirect ownership of less than a 10% economic interest in the other party to the transaction, and/or the covered person's service as a Director of the other party to the transaction, or (b) the covered person's pro rata participation in a benefit received by him solely as a security holder.

A transaction shall be deemed to involve the Company if it involves a vendor or partner of the Company or any of its subsidiaries and relates to the business relationship between the Company or any of its subsidiaries and that vendor or partner.

The Company is subject to the NYSE listing standards which require the Board of Directors and certain Board committees of listed companies to meet certain independence requirements. See *Corporate Governance* in Item 10 of this Form 10-K/A for disclosure regarding Director independence.

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services.

The following represents the fees billed to the Company for the two most recent fiscal years by BDO USA LLP, the Company's independent registered public accountant for 2010 and 2011:

	2011 (in thousands)	2010 (in thousands)
Audit Fees ⁽¹⁾	\$ 545	\$ 542
Audit-Related Fees ⁽¹⁾	15	15
Tax Fees		
All Other Fees		
Total	\$ 560	\$ 557

(1) Includes fees for professional services rendered for the audit of the Company's annual financial statements, review of quarterly financial statements, statutory audits in 2010, an audit of internal controls over financial reporting as required by Section 404 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act.

The audit committee of the Company's Board of Directors considered the provision of non-audit services by BDO USA LLP and determined that the provision of such services was consistent with maintaining the independence of such independent registered public accounting firm. The audit committee pre-approves all audit and non-audit services provided by BDO USA LLP.

Part IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.

(a) Financial Statements, Schedules and Exhibits

1. Financial Statements None
2. Financial Statement Schedules None
3. Exhibits -The following is a list of exhibits filed with this report:

Exhibit

Number	Title
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer (Interim)

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this amendment to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized on April 30, 2012.

AMERICAN SAFETY INSURANCE

HOLDINGS, LTD.

By: /s/ Stephen R. Crim
Stephen R. Crim

President