

MAXWELL TECHNOLOGIES INC

Form 10-Q

November 06, 2007

[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

☒ **QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**
For the quarter ended September 30, 2007

OR

☐ **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**
For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission files number 1-15477

MAXWELL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

9244 Balboa Avenue San Diego, California
(Address of principal executive offices)

(858) 503-3300

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

95-2390133
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

92123
(Zip Code)

Edgar Filing: MAXWELL TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES ☒ NO ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (check one):

Large accelerated filer ☐

Accelerated filer ☒

Non-accelerated filer ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell Company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). YES ☐ NO ☒

The number of shares of the registrant's Common Stock outstanding as of November 2, 2007 is 20,385,993 shares.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

MAXWELL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

INDEX TO QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q

For the quarter ended September 30, 2007

	Page
PART I Financial Information	
Item 1. <u>Financial Statements (Unaudited):</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006</u>	4
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations Three and Nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006</u>	5
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006</u>	6
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	7
Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	16
Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	25
Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	26
PART II Other Information	
Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	27
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	27
Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	27
Item 3. <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	27
Item 4. <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	27
Item 5. <u>Other Information</u>	27
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	28
<u>Signatures</u>	29

Table of Contents

PART I Financial Information

Item 1. Financial Statements

The following condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2006, which has been derived from audited financial statements and the unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements, consisting of the condensed consolidated balance sheet as of September 30, 2007, the condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three and nine month periods ending September 30, 2007 and 2006, and the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, have been prepared in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Certain information and note disclosures normally included in annual financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles have been condensed or omitted pursuant to those rules and regulations, although the Company believes that the disclosures made are adequate to make the information not misleading.

The following condensed balance sheet as of December 31, 2006, which has been derived from audited financial statements does not include all of the information and footnotes included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006. It is suggested that these condensed financial statements be read in conjunction with the financial statements and the notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reported period. In the opinion of management, these unaudited statements contain all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) necessary for a fair presentation for the periods presented as required by Regulation S-X, Rule 10-01 in these unaudited statements.

Actual results could differ from those estimates and operating results for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2007 and are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for any subsequent period or for the year ending December 31, 2007.

Table of Contents**MAXWELL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

(in thousands, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

	September 30, 2007	December 31, 2006
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 9,693	\$ 8,159
Investments in marketable securities		3,228
Trade and other accounts receivable, net	13,466	9,749
Inventories, net	14,807	14,894
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	1,521	1,596
Total current assets	39,487	37,626
Property and equipment, net	14,525	13,621
Other intangible assets, net	2,947	1,395
Goodwill	20,590	19,786
Prepaid pension asset	11,368	10,371
Restricted cash	8,000	8,000
Other non-current assets	525	870
	\$ 97,442	\$ 91,669
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 10,211	\$ 9,383
Accrued warranty	682	795
Accrued employee compensation	2,455	2,543
Short-term borrowings and current portion of long-term debt	16,405	5,688
Deferred tax liability	392	392
Net liabilities of discontinued operations		63
Total current liabilities	30,145	18,864
Deferred tax liability	2,156	2,545
Convertible debentures and long-term debt, excluding current portion	14,613	22,527
Stock warrants	1,231	1,850
Other long-term liabilities	428	
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders' equity:		
Common stock, \$0.10 par value per share, 40,000 shares authorized; 18,856 and 17,261 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively	1,886	1,726
Additional paid-in capital	156,799	141,294
Accumulated deficit	(118,963)	(104,361)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	9,147	7,224
Total stockholders' equity	48,869	45,883
	\$ 97,442	\$ 91,669

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**MAXWELL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS****(in thousands, except per share data)****(Unaudited)**

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
Revenues:				
Products	\$ 14,218	\$ 13,517	\$ 39,843	\$ 37,636
License fee		494	553	1,109
Total revenues	14,218	14,011	40,396	38,745
Cost of sales	10,872	11,271	30,945	30,132
Gross profit	3,346	2,740	9,451	8,613
Operating expenses:				
Selling, general and administrative	4,128	4,264	14,201	12,439
Research and development	2,637	2,427	8,548	7,017
Amortization of other intangibles	64	19	148	57
Loss (gain) on disposal of property and equipment			52	(66)
Total operating expenses	6,829	6,710	22,949	19,447
Loss from operations	(3,483)	(3,970)	(13,498)	(10,834)
Interest expense net	(295)	(156)	(924)	(227)
Amortization of debt discount and prepaid costs	(904)	(904)	(2,712)	(2,712)
Gain (loss) on embedded derivatives and warrants	2,120	50	2,193	(3,050)
Other income (loss), net	217	(2)	422	(100)
Loss from continuing operations before income taxes	(2,345)	(4,982)	(14,519)	(16,923)
Income tax (benefit) provision	266	13	108	177
Loss from continuing operations	(2,611)	(4,995)	(14,627)	(17,100)
Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax		(361)		(28)
Net loss	\$ (2,611)	\$ (5,356)	\$ (14,627)	\$ (17,128)
Basic and diluted net loss per share:				
Loss from continuing operations	\$ (0.13)	\$ (0.29)	\$ (0.82)	\$ (1.01)
Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax		(0.02)		(0.00)
Net loss per share	\$ (0.13)	\$ (0.31)	\$ (0.82)	\$ (1.01)
Shares used in computing basic and diluted net loss per share	18,488	16,981	17,774	16,822

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**MAXWELL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****(in thousands)****(Unaudited)**

	Nine months ended September 30, 2006	
	2007	(Revised)
Operating activities:		
Net loss	\$ (14,627)	\$ (17,128)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Depreciation	3,059	2,811
Amortization	248	154
Amortization of debt discount and prepaid fees	2,712	2,712
Loss (gain) on embedded derivative liabilities	(2,193)	3,050
Pension benefit	(773)	(600)
Stock based compensation	2,574	2,339
Loss (gain) on sale of property and equipment	52	(66)
Shares issued for interest expense	397	
Provision for losses on accounts receivable	20	100
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Trade and other accounts receivable	(3,367)	(4,303)
Inventories	265	(5,709)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(1,641)	(501)
Deferred income taxes	(389)	
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	446	3,300
Accrued employee compensation	(133)	198
Other long-term liabilities	409	
Net liabilities of discontinued operations		(433)
Net cash used in operating activities	(12,941)	(14,076)
Investing activities:		
Purchases of property and equipment	(3,666)	(4,563)
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment	21	66
Maturities of marketable securities	3,228	2,312
Purchases of marketable securities		(9,451)
Net cash used in investing activities	(417)	(11,636)
Financing activities:		
Principal payments on long-term debt and short-term borrowings	(4,055)	(3,370)
Proceeds from long-term and short-term borrowings	5,830	4,115
Retirement of shares	(246)	(368)
Net proceeds from issuance of Company stock	12,987	4,235
Net cash provided by financing activities	14,516	4,612
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	1,158	(21,100)

Edgar Filing: MAXWELL TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-Q

Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	376	286
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	1,534	(20,814)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	8,159	25,760
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 9,693	\$ 4,946

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

MAXWELL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Unless the context otherwise requires, all references to Maxwell, the Company, we, us, and our refer to Maxwell Technologies, Inc. and its subsidiaries; all references to Maxwell SA refer to our European Subsidiary, Maxwell Technologies, SA.

Note 1 Description of Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Description of Business

Maxwell Technologies, Inc. is a Delaware corporation and is headquartered in San Diego, California. Originally incorporated in 1965 under the name Maxwell Laboratories, Inc. in 1996, the Company changed its name to Maxwell Technologies, Inc.

Maxwell operates as a single operating segment, High Reliability, which is comprised of two locations (San Diego, California and Rossens, Switzerland) and three product lines:

Ultracapacitors: Our primary focus, ultracapacitors, are energy storage devices that possess a unique combination of high power density, extremely long operational life and the ability to charge and discharge very rapidly. Our BOOSTCAP® ultracapacitor cells and multi-cell packs and modules provide highly reliable energy storage and power delivery solutions for applications in multiple industries, including transportation, energy, consumer and industrial electronics and telecommunications.

High-Voltage Capacitors: Our CONDIS® high-voltage capacitors are extremely robust devices that are designed and manufactured to perform reliably for decades in all climates. These products include grading and coupling capacitors and capacitive voltage dividers that are used to ensure the safety and reliability of electric utility infrastructure and other applications involving transport, distribution and measurement of high-voltage electrical energy.

Radiation-Mitigated Microelectronic Products: Our radiation-mitigated microelectronic products include high-performance, high-density power modules, memory modules and single board computers that incorporate our proprietary RADPAK® packaging and shielding technology and novel architectures that enable them to withstand environmental radiation effects and perform reliably in space.

The Company's products are designed and manufactured to perform reliably for the life of the products and systems into which they are integrated. The Company achieves high reliability through the application of proprietary technologies and rigorously controlled design, development, manufacturing and test processes.

Note 2 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Maxwell Technologies, Inc. and its subsidiaries. All significant intercompany transactions and account balances are eliminated in consolidation.

In the opinion of management of the Company, the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements contain all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) necessary to present fairly the financial position, results of operations, and cash flows of Maxwell Technologies, Inc. for all periods presented. The results reported in these condensed consolidated financial statements should not be regarded as necessarily indicative of results that may be expected for any subsequent period or for the entire year. These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included in the Company's latest annual report on Form 10-K. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in the annual financial statements

Edgar Filing: MAXWELL TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-Q

prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (U.S. GAAP) have been condensed or omitted.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect reported amounts and related disclosures. Estimates have been prepared on the basis of the most current information available. These estimates include assessing the collectability of accounts receivable, the usage and recoverability of inventories and long-lived assets and the incurrence of losses on warranty costs, the fair value of warrants and embedded conversion options related to convertible debentures. The markets for most of the Company's products are extremely competitive and are characterized by rapid technological change, new product development, product obsolescence and evolving industry standards. In addition, price competition is intense and significant price erosion generally occurs over the life of a product. As a result of these issues noted and other factors, actual results could differ from the estimates used by management.

Table of Contents

The Company's fiscal quarters end on the last day of the calendar month in March, June, September, and December.

Cash and Cash Equivalents, Investments in Marketable Securities

The Company invests its excess cash in debt instruments of the U.S. Government and its agencies, bank certificates of deposit, commercial paper and high-quality corporate issuers. All highly liquid instruments with an original maturity of three months or less from purchase are considered cash equivalents, and those with original maturities greater than three months on the date of purchase are considered investments in marketable securities. The Company's investments in marketable securities are classified as available-for-sale and are reported at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses included in stockholders' equity as a separate component of accumulated other comprehensive income. Realized gains or losses and other-than-temporary declines in value, if any, on available-for-sale securities are reported in other income or expense as incurred. The Company recognized no net realized gains in the nine months ended September 30, 2007 or for the year ended December 31, 2006. The Company uses the specific identification method on sales of investments.

Maturities and gross unrealized gains on investments in marketable securities at September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006 are as follows (in thousands):

	Gross Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gain (Loss)	Estimated Fair Value
As of September 30, 2007:			
Corporate Debt Securities, Maturing within 1 year	\$	\$	\$
Total	\$	\$	\$
As of December 31, 2006:			
Bank Certificates of Deposit, Maturing within 1 year	\$ 550	\$	\$ 550
Commercial Paper, Maturing within 1 year	995		995
Corporate Debt Securities, Maturing within 1 year	1,682	1	1,683
Total	\$ 3,227	\$ 1	\$ 3,228

Revenue Recognition

We derive revenue from the sale of manufactured products directly to customers. For certain long-term contracts revenue is recognized at the time costs are incurred and for licensing fees we recognize revenue from the right to manufacture products based on our proprietary ultracapacitors design. Product revenue is recognized, according to the guidelines of SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin Numbers 101 *Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements*, and 104 *Revenue Recognition*, when title passes to the customer at either shipment from our facilities, or receipt at the customer facility, depending on shipping terms, provided collectability is reasonably assured. If a volume discount is offered, revenue is recognized at the lowest price to the customer. This method has been consistently applied from period to period and there is no right of return.

Revenue on fixed price government contracts is recognized at the time costs are incurred and is calculated on a percentage of completion basis, similar to contract accounting under Statement of Position 81-1, *Accounting for Performance of Construction-Type and Certain Production-Type Contracts* and is limited by the funding of the prime contractor. In prior years, certain continuing and discontinued segments involved revenues from both long-term and short-term fixed price contracts and cost plus contracts with the U.S. Government directly or through a prime contractor. Those revenues, including estimated profits, were recognized at the time the costs were incurred and included provisions for any anticipated losses. These contracts are subject to rate audits and other audits, which could result in the reduction of revenue in excess of estimated provisions. In turn, this could increase losses for the periods in which any such reduction occurs.

We recognize revenue that relates to specific deliverables, in accordance with EITF 00-21, *Accounting for Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables*. This revenue involves a contract that grants a license to manufacture and market products in Mainland China, using Maxwell's proprietary large cell and multi-cell module technology under a separate brand. The contract, obligates the manufacturer to source ultracapacitor electrode material from Maxwell, the agreement has no general right of return and allows for no refunds.

Edgar Filing: MAXWELL TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-Q

For contract research and development arrangements that contain up-front or milestone-based payments, we recognize revenue using the proportional performance method based on the percentage of costs incurred relative to the total costs estimated to be incurred to complete the contract. Revenue recognition computed under this methodology is compared with the amount of non-refundable cash payments received or contractually receivable at the reporting date and the lesser of the two amounts is recognized as revenue at each reporting date. The proportional performance methodology applied by the Company, utilizes an input based measure, specifically costs incurred to date, to determine proportional performance because we believe the use of an input measure is a

Table of Contents

reasonable surrogate of proportional performance compared to an output based measure, such as milestones. Amounts billed in advance are recorded as deferred revenue on the balance sheet. Since payments received are generally non-refundable, the termination of a contract by a customer prior to its completion could result in an immediate recognition of deferred revenue relating to payments already received not previously recognized as revenue.

Computation of Net Loss per Share

Basic loss per share is computed as net loss divided by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted loss per share is calculated on the basis of the weighted average number of common shares outstanding plus the dilutive effect of outstanding stock options and restricted stock awards of the Company, assuming their exercise using the treasury stock method.

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted loss per share (in thousands, except per share data):

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2007		September 30, 2006	
Numerator				
Basic:				
Loss from continuing operations	\$ (2,611)	\$ (4,995)	\$ (14,627)	\$ (17,100)
Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax		(361)		(28)
Net loss	\$ (2,611)	\$ (5,356)	\$ (14,627)	\$ (17,128)
Denominator				
Basic:				
Weighted average shares outstanding	18,488	16,981	17,774	16,822
Diluted:				
Effect of dilutive securities:				
Common stock options and restricted stock awards				
Total weighted average common shares outstanding	18,488	16,981	17,774	16,822
Basic and diluted net loss per share:				
Loss from continuing operations	\$ (0.13)	\$ (0.29)	\$ (0.82)	\$ (1.01)
Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax		(0.02)		(0.00)
Basic and diluted net loss per share	\$ (0.13)	\$ (0.31)	\$ (0.82)	\$ (1.01)

For the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, incremental equivalent shares under common stock options and restricted stock awards of 851,244 and 886,196 for 2007, and 1,104,472 and 1,133,298 for 2006, respectively, and the shares issuable on conversion of convertible debentures and the warrants for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 have also been excluded in the computation of dilutive earnings per share as their impact would have been anti-dilutive.

Presentation of Cash Flows beginning with Net Loss.

Prior to the second quarter of fiscal 2007 we had presented the consolidated statement of cash flows beginning with loss from continuing operations rather than net loss. SFAS 95 *Statement of Cash Flows* prescribes the use of net loss. Beginning in the second quarter of fiscal 2007, in accordance with SFAS 95, the statement of cash flows starts with net loss. We have revised prior periods to be consistent with the current year presentation.

The individual lines revised in the consolidated statements of cash flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 are shown below:

(in thousands)

	Nine months ended September 30, 2006		Revised
	as reported	Revisions	Nine months ended September 30, 2006
Operating activities:			
Loss from continuing operations	\$ (17,100)	\$ 17,100	\$
Net Loss	\$	\$ (17,128)	\$ (17,128)
Net cash used in discontinued operations	\$ (461)	\$ 461	\$
Net liabilities of discontinued operations	\$	\$ (433)	\$ (433)

Table of Contents

Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes

In July 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48 (FIN 48), *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes*. FIN 48 is an interpretation of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 109 , which provides criteria for the recognition, measurement, presentation and disclosures of uncertain tax positions. A tax benefit from an uncertain tax position may be recognized if it is more likely than not that the position is sustainable based solely on its technical merits. The provisions of FIN 48 are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. We adopted FIN 48 on January 1, 2007.

At the adoption date of January 1, 2007, we had \$3.17 million of unrecognized tax benefits. Of the total unrecognized tax benefits at the adoption date, the entire amount of \$3.17 million was recorded as a reduction to deferred tax assets, which caused a corresponding reduction in the Company's valuation allowance of \$3.17 million. To the extent such portion of unrecognized tax benefits is recognized at a time such valuation allowance no longer exists, the recognition would impact the Company's effective tax rate.

We do not anticipate that the amount of unrecognized tax benefits as of September 30, 2007 will significantly change within 12 months.

We recognize interest and penalties as a component of income tax expense.

We are subject to taxation in the United States, federal and various states and Switzerland. The tax years 2000 to 2006 are subject to examination by the major taxing jurisdictions in which the Company is subject.

Income Tax (Benefit) Provision

The effective tax rate differs from the statutory U.S. federal income tax rate of 34% primarily due to foreign income tax and the valuation allowance against the Company's domestic deferred tax assets.

Pending Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157 *Fair Value Measurements* (SFAS 157). SFAS 157 provides a new single authoritative definition of fair value and provides enhanced guidance for measuring the fair value of assets and liabilities and requires additional disclosures related to the extent to which companies measure assets and liabilities at fair value, the information used to measure fair value, and the effect of fair value measurements on earnings. SFAS 157 is effective for the Company as of January 1, 2008. We are currently assessing the impact, if any, of SFAS 157 on its consolidated financial statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Including an Amendment of FASB Statement No 115* (SFAS 159) which permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. SFAS 159 also includes an amendment to SFAS 115, *Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities*, which applies to all entities with available-for-sale and trading securities. This statement is effective as of the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year that begins after November 15, 2007. We do not anticipate any material impact on its consolidated financial statements upon the adoption of this standard.

Table of Contents**Note 3 Balance Sheet Details*****Inventories***

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost (on a first-in, first-out basis) or market. Finished goods and work-in-process inventory values include the cost of raw materials, labor and manufacturing overhead. Inventory written down to market establishes a new cost basis and its value can not be subsequently increased based upon changes in underlying facts and circumstances. Inventory consist of the following (in thousands):

	September 30, 2007	December 31, 2006
Inventories:		
Raw material and purchased parts	\$ 10,660	\$ 10,452
Work-in-process	3,186	3,518
Finished goods	3,666	3,709
Inventory reserve	(2,705)	(2,785)
Net Inventory	\$ 14,807	\$ 14,894

Other Intangible Assets

Other Intangible Assets consisted of the following (in thousands):

	Gross Carrying Value	Accumulated Amortization	Foreign Currency Adjustment	Net Carrying Value
As of September 30, 2007:				
Developed core technology	\$ 1,100	\$ (664)	\$ 222	\$ 658
Patents	2,759	(470)		2,289
Total Intangible Assets	\$ 3,859	\$ (1,134)	\$ 222	\$ 2,947

Goodwill

The change in the carrying amount of goodwill from December 31, 2006 to September 30, 2007 is as follows (in thousands):

Balance at December 31, 2006	\$ 19,786
Foreign currency translation adjustments	804
Balance at September 30, 2007	\$ 20,590

Warranty Reserve

We provide product warranties in conjunction with certain product sales. The majority of the Company's warranties are for one to two years in the normal course of business. We accrue for the estimated warranty at the time of sale based on historical warranty expenses. The estimated warranty liability is calculated based on historical warranty expenses plus any known or expected warranty exposure.

The following table sets forth an analysis of the warranty reserve activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and year ended December 31, 2006, respectively (in thousands):

	September 30, 2007	December 31, 2006
Accrued Warranty:		
Beginning balance	\$ 795	\$ 632
Product warranty expense on sales	214	1,457
Charge to prior warranty expense/accrual	(257)	(213)
Settlement of warranties	(97)	(1,115)
Currency exchange adjustment	27	34
Ending balance	\$ 682	\$ 795

Stock sale and change in additional paid in capital

In November 2006 we filed an S-3 with the Securities and Exchange Commission to, from time to time, sell up to an aggregate of \$125 million of the Company's common stock, warrants or debt securities. During the nine months ended September 30, 2007 the Company's additional paid in capital increased \$15.5 million. The majority of this increase, \$11.2 million, was from the sale of 1.15 million shares of common stock. The remainder of the increase was due to the sale of stock for the Company's ESPP, the exercise of stock options and stock-based compensation and interest expenses.

Table of Contents**Note 4 Stock-Based Compensation**

We have two active stock-based compensation plans as of September 30, 2007; the 2004 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the ESPP) and the 2005 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan (the Incentive Plan) under which incentive stock options, non-qualified stock options and restricted stock awards are granted.

Employee Stock Options and Employee Stock Purchase Plan

The fair value of the ESPP shares to be purchased at the end of the offering periods that begin January 1 and July 1 is calculated for a 15% discount on the stock price; and by using the Black-Scholes valuation model for a call and put option attributes of ESPP, resulted in a total value of \$4.36 and \$6.06 per share for the offering period beginning July 1, 2007 and 2006, respectively and of \$4.16 and \$3.93 per share for the offering period beginning January 1, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Total compensation expense recognized for the ESPP for the three months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 was \$32,000 and \$29,000, respectively. Total compensation expense recognized for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 was \$96,000 and \$61,000, respectively.

Employee Stock Options Plan

The fair value of the stock based options is estimated using the Black-Scholes valuation model with the following assumptions:

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006	
Expected dividends				
Expected volatility	52.3%	49.8%	54.7%	62.7%
Average risk-free interest rate	4.9%	4.6%	4.4%	5.0%
Expected term/life (in years)	4.57		5.1	

The weighted-average grant date fair value of employee options granted during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 was \$6.65 and \$6.13 per share, respectively while the weighted-average grant date fair value for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 was \$10.04 and \$8.86 per share, respectively. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 was \$175,000 and \$1.2 million, respectively and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 was \$410,000 and \$3.8 million, respectively.

As of September 30, 2007 there was \$3.2 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested stock options granted under the incentive plan. The cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.8 years. Compensation cost for employee options recognized in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 was \$335,000 and \$845,000, respectively while the expense recognized for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 was \$260,000 and \$794,000, respectively.

Restricted Stock Awards

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 we recognized an expense of \$177,000 and \$1.6 million, respectively, while for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 the expense recognized was \$407,000 and \$1.5 million, respectively for compensation expense for restricted stock awards. Under FASB No. 123 (revised) *Share-Based Payment* (SFAS 123R) we determine the fair value at grant date and expenses that amount over the requisite service period. As prescribed under SFAS 123R we reassess the probability of achievement of milestones for each restricted stock award that was active and not earned as of September 30, 2007 and determined appropriate stock-based expense treatment under the SFAS 123R. The following table summarizes the activity under the restricted stock awards (in thousands, except for per share amounts):

		Weighted Average
Non-vested Shares	Shares	Grant-Date Fair Value
Non-vested at January 1, 2007	193	\$ 13.67

Edgar Filing: MAXWELL TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-Q

Granted	248	12.45
Vested	(70)	14.00
Forfeited	(13)	10.31
Non-vested at September 30, 2007	357	\$ 12.88

Table of Contents

The total grant-date fair value of restricted stock awards granted during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 was \$1.4 million and \$3.1 million, respectively, and for the three and nine months ended September 2006 was zero and \$1.9 million, respectively. There were 17,000 and 70,000 shares vested during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 with a vest date fair value of \$249,000 and \$886,000, respectively. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 there were 15,000 and 102,000 shares vested, respectively with a vest date fair value of \$258,000 and \$1.9 million, respectively. As of September 30, 2007 there was \$1.9 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested restricted stock awards granted under the plan. The cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.6 years.

Compensation cost for restricted stock awards, employee stock options, ESPP and non-employee stock compensation included in Cost of sales; Selling, general and administrative; and Research and development is (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2007		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006	
Stock-Based Compensation Including Non-Employee, Expense Recognized:				
Cost of sales	\$	122	\$	65
Selling, general and administrative		324		606
Research and development		96		39
				177
				170
Total Stock-Based Compensation Costs	\$	542	\$	710
				2,574
				2,339

Note 5 Comprehensive Loss

The components of other comprehensive loss are as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2007		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006	
Net loss as reported	\$	(2,611)	\$	(5,356)
Foreign currency translation adjustment		1,962		(70)
Pension plan adjustment				224
Unrealized gain (loss) on securities				4
				(2)
				(1)
Comprehensive loss	\$	(649)	\$	(5,422)
				(12,704)
				(15,861)

We adopted SFAS No. 158 *Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans* an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132(R) (SFAS 158) as reported in our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006. Upon adoption we misapplied the SFAS 158 pension adjustment of \$2,801,000 as part of our Comprehensive Loss for the year ended December 31, 2006 which we presented in the Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive Loss. In the Statement we reported a total Comprehensive loss as of December 31, 2006 of \$11,365,000 however we will revise this amount to \$14,166,000 in our fiscal 2007 Form 10-K.

Note 6 Legal Proceedings

In October 2006, Maxwell filed a patent infringement lawsuit against Nesscap in the United States District Court for the Southern District of California seeking monetary damages and an injunction to stop Nesscap's sales of infringing products based on two of Maxwell's patents. In April 2007, a U.S. district judge considered the first of the two patents and granted a preliminary injunction to prohibit Nesscap's sale of infringing prismatic ultracapacitor products in the United States. Subsequently, Nesscap filed a motion to stay the preliminary injunction pending Nesscap's appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. The appeal court denied Nesscap's motion. Maxwell posted an injunction bond and currently Nesscap is prohibited from making, using, selling or offering to sell its prismatic ultracapacitors in the United States while the litigation is pending. In August 2007, the district judge denied Maxwell's motion for a preliminary injunction based on the second patent.

Edgar Filing: MAXWELL TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-Q

In December 2006 Nesscap filed a lawsuit against Maxwell in United States District Court in the District of Delaware claiming Maxwell has infringed Nesscap's patented intellectual property. At Maxwell's request this lawsuit was moved to the same district court in San Diego where Maxwell filed its initial action. Maxwell has filed a motion for a summary judgment of noninfringement, and a hearing is scheduled for the latter part of 2007.

The legal expenses associated with this lawsuit are capitalized, as management believes a favorable outcome is probable. Additionally, we believe the value of the intellectual property involved in the lawsuit will increase more than the costs associated with this lawsuit as a result of a successful outcome. As of September 30, 2007 we have capitalized a total of \$1.8 million of legal costs which is included in other intangible assets in the condensed consolidated balance sheet.

Table of Contents**Note 7 Convertible Debentures**

We issued a \$25 million convertible debenture in 2005 and are accounting for the conversion option in the Debentures and the associated warrants as derivative liabilities in accordance with SFAS 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, EITF 00-19, *Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments Indexed to and Potentially Settled in a Company's Own Stock* and EITF No. 05-2, *The Meaning of Conventional Convertible Debt Instrument* in Issue No. 00-19. The discount attributable to the issuance date aggregate fair value of the conversion options, warrants and issuance costs totaling \$9.2 million, is being amortized using the effective interest method over the term of the Debentures. For the three months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, \$904,000 and for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, \$2.7 million of the discount and prepaid fees were amortized and included in the condensed consolidated statement of operations.

At the issuance date, the Debentures were convertible by the holder at any time into 1,315,789 common shares at a price of \$19.00 per share. We also issued 394,737 warrants in connection with the issuance of the Debentures, these had an exercise price of \$19.00 at the issuance date. The exercise price, number of convertible shares and warrants are subject to adjustment upon certain events, such as the sale of equity securities by Maxwell at a price below the exercise price of \$19.00 per share.

In May 2007, Maxwell sold a total 1.15 million shares of common stock at a price below \$19.00 which caused an adjustment to the price and number of warrants and convertible debenture shares relating to the convertible debt. The price for the warrants and convertible shares were adjusted to \$18.47 along with an increase of warrants of 11,399 to 406,136 and increased convertible debenture shares by 37,999 to a total of 1,353,788 shares.

The holder's and Maxwell's conversion rights and the warrants were adjusted to their respective fair market values at September 30, 2007 using the adjusted price of \$18.47 and adjusted number of convertible shares and warrants. The change in fair value on revaluation of debenture conversion rights and warrant liabilities represents the difference between the fair value of the warrants and debenture conversion features at June 30, 2007 and their fair value on September 30, 2007 using the Black-Scholes pricing model.

Maxwell may require that a specified amount of the principal of the Debentures be converted if certain conditions are satisfied for a period of 20 consecutive trading days. To determine a fair value of this forced conversion the Company applies a Z factor, which is a theoretical measurement of the probability of this occurrence. The factor used as of September 30, 2007 and 2006 was 8.1% and 38.2%, respectively, for forced conversion of 50% of the conversion option at 135% of the exercise price and 1.5% and 23.6%, respectively, for forced conversion of the remaining conversion option at 175% of the exercise price.

The fair value of the warrants and embedded conversion option is estimated on the balance sheet date using the Black-Scholes valuation model with the following assumptions:

	Convertible Debentures as of September 30, 2007 2006		Warrants as of September 30, 2007 2006	
Black-Scholes Assumptions:				
Exercise price	\$ 18.47	\$ 19.00	\$ 18.47	\$ 19.00
Market price	\$ 11.63	\$ 20.34	\$ 11.63	\$ 20.34
Expected dividends				
Expected volatility	54.9%	48.8%	52.4%	51.2%
Average risk-free interest rate	3.98%	4.61%	4.05%	4.60%
Expected term/life (in years)	2.2	3.2	3.2	4.2

The net fair value of the holder's and Maxwell's conversion rights at September 30, 2007 was \$3.0 million which is included in Convertible debentures and long-term debt on the balance sheet. The fair value of the warrants at September 30, 2007 was \$1.2 million and is included in Stock warrants on the balance sheet. The effect of the fair market value adjustment for the three months ended September 30, 2007 was a \$2.1 million gain, which is recorded as Gain (loss) on embedded derivatives and warrants.

We are required to pay each holder of registrable securities related to the embedded conversion feature and warrants liquidated damages of 1.5% of the aggregate purchase price every 30th day after a maintenance failure of the registration of the securities. These damages continue each 30 days (pro rated) until the registration failure is cured. As of September 30, 2007, if the Company was not in compliance we would incur damages of \$375,000 every 30 days until we cured the maintenance failure. In addition if the damages are not paid in 30 days after they are due we would incur interest of 1.0% per month on the outstanding damages. We do not accrue any liability for the penalty for not keeping the registration

statement effective, as the outcome is not probable.

Table of Contents

The warrants are exercisable at any time through December 20, 2010. No warrants had been exercised as of September 30, 2007.

Note 8 Defined Benefit Plan

We have a retirement plan for the Company's Swiss subsidiary that is classified as a defined benefit pension plan. The pension benefit is based on compensation, length of service and credited investment earnings. The plan guarantees both a minimum rate of return as well as minimum annuity purchase rates. The Company's funding policy with respect to the pension plan is to contribute, at a minimum the amount required by Swiss law, using the required percentage applied to the employee's compensation. There is no offset provision based on the obligation level of the fund. In addition, the employee is required to contribute to the pension plan. This plan has a measurement date of December 31. We adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 158 *Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans* an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132(R) (SFAS 158) as of December 31, 2006.

Components of the net periodic benefit (cost) were as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
Service cost	\$ (78)	\$ (54)	\$ (234)	\$ (160)
Interest cost	(106)	(78)	(317)	(231)
Expected return on plan assets	314	280	934	824
Prior service cost amortization	(9)		(25)	
Net gain amortization	44	56	131	167
Net periodic benefit	\$ 165	\$ 204	\$ 489	\$ 600

Employer contributions of \$116,000 and \$92,000 were paid during the three months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Total employer contributions paid during the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 were \$333,000 and \$256,000, respectively. Additional employer contributions of approximately \$104,000 are expected to be paid during the remainder of fiscal 2007.

Note 9 Contingencies

We enter into indemnification agreements in the ordinary course of business in which the indemnified party is held harmless and is reimbursed for losses incurred from claims by third parties. In connection with divestitures of certain assets or businesses, the Company often provides indemnities to the buyer with respect to certain matters including, for example, environmental liabilities and unidentified liabilities related to periods prior to the disposition. Due to the uncertain nature of the indemnities, the maximum liability cannot be quantified. Liabilities for obligations are recorded where appropriate and when they are probable and can be reasonably estimated. Historically, the Company has not made significant payments for these obligations.

We were alerted to a possible defect in a product that we sourced from another manufacturer and resold to the customer. We have discussed this issue with both parties and have not determined what, if any, warranty exposure Maxwell may have, and therefore, we have not recorded any warranty reserve provision.

In April 2007, we were required to post a \$700,000 bond before a court injunction of the patent infringement lawsuit against Nesscap was implemented. We purchased an injunction bond issued by a third party and we do not expect the bond to be called as we will comply with the underlying performance requirements.

Note 10 Subsequent Event

On October 9, 2007 we offered for sale 1.3 million shares of common stock at a public offering price of \$10.48, with an underwriting discounts and commission of \$0.20 per share, the offering was underwritten by UBS Securities LLC. In addition, the Company granted UBS Securities LLC an over allotment option to purchase up to 195,000 additional shares which UBS subsequently exercised. The initial shares and the over allotment resulted in aggregate gross proceeds to the Company of \$15.4 million and a total shares outstanding of 20,385,993.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Unless the context otherwise requires, all references in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (this Quarterly Report) to Maxwell, the Company, we, us, and our refer to Maxwell Technologies, Inc. and its subsidiaries; all references to Maxwell SA refer to our European Subsidiary, Maxwell Technologies, SA.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Some of the statements contained in this document and incorporated herein by reference discuss our plans and strategies for our business or make other forward-looking statements, as this term is defined in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act. The words anticipates, believes, estimates, expects, plans, intends, may, could, will, continue, seek, should, would and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements, but are not the exclusive means of identifying them. These forward-looking statements reflect the current views and beliefs of our management; however, various risks, uncertainties and contingencies could cause our actual results, performance or achievements to differ materially from those expressed in, or implied by, our statements. Such risks, uncertainties and contingencies include the following:

decline in the domestic and global economies that may delay development and introduction by our customers of products that incorporate our products;

our success in introducing and marketing new products into existing and new markets;

our ability to manufacture existing and new products in volumes demanded by our customers and at competitive prices with adequate gross margins;

market success of the products into which our products are integrated;

our ability in growing markets to increase our market share relative to our competitors;

our ability to successfully integrate our business with operations of businesses we may acquire;

our ability to finance the growth of our business with internal resources or through outside financing at reasonable rates; and

our ability to produce our products at quality levels demanded by our customers.

Many of these factors are beyond our control. Additionally, there can be no assurance that we will not incur new or additional unforeseen costs in connection with the ongoing conduct of our business. Accordingly, any forward-looking statements included herein do not purport to be predictions of future events or circumstances and may not be realized.

For a discussion of important risks associated with an investment in our securities, including factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from expectations referred to in the forward-looking statements, see Risk Factors in Part II, Item 1A of this document or as disclosed in Part I, Item 1A, of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006. We do not have any obligation to update publicly any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Executive Summary

We begin Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations with an overview of our business. Subsequently, we provide a summary of some of the highlights from the nine months ended September 30, 2007, followed by a discussion of the different aspects of our business. We then proceed to discuss our results of operations for the three and nine month periods ended September 30,

Edgar Filing: MAXWELL TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-Q

2007 compared with the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2006. This is followed by an analysis of changes in our balance sheet and cash flows and discussion of our capital requirements and financing activities in the section entitled "Liquidity and Capital Resources." We then review our critical accounting policies and new accounting pronouncements along with the impact of inflation on our business.

Table of Contents

Overview

Maxwell Technologies, Inc. is a Delaware corporation headquartered in San Diego, California that was originally incorporated in 1965 under the name Maxwell Laboratories, Inc. In 1996, we changed our name to Maxwell Technologies, Inc. We develop, manufacture and market highly reliable, cost-effective energy storage and power delivery components and systems. Our products are designed and manufactured to provide failure-free, very low maintenance, performance over the life of the applications into which they are integrated. We believe that by satisfying the stringent requirements of such high-reliability, high-value applications, our products will be able to command higher margins than commodity products. We focus on the following three discrete lines of high-reliability products:

Ultracapacitors: Our primary focus, ultracapacitors, are energy storage devices that possess a unique combination of high power density, extremely long operational life and the ability to charge and discharge very rapidly. Our BOOSTCAP® ultracapacitor cells and multi-cell packs and modules provide highly reliable energy storage and power delivery solutions for applications in multiple industries, including transportation, energy, consumer and industrial electronics and telecommunications.

High-Voltage Capacitors: Our CONDIS® high-voltage capacitors are extremely robust devices that are designed and manufactured to perform reliably for decades in all climates. These products include grading and coupling capacitors and capacitive voltage dividers that are used to ensure the safety and reliability of electric utility infrastructure and other applications involving transport, distribution and measurement of high-voltage electrical energy.

Radiation-Mitigated Microelectronic Products: Our radiation-mitigated microelectronic products include high-performance, high-density power modules, memory modules and single board computers that incorporate our proprietary RADPAK® packaging and shielding technology and novel architectures that enable them to withstand environmental radiation effects and perform reliably in space.

Highlights of the Quarter Ended September 30, 2007

We reported revenue of \$14.2 million and a net loss for the three months ended September 30, 2007 of approximately \$2.6 million, or \$0.13 per diluted share, versus revenue of \$14.0 million and a net loss of \$5.4 million, or \$0.31 per diluted share, for the three months ended September 30, 2006.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2007, we continued to focus on developing strategic alliances, introducing new products, increasing production capacity, reducing product costs, and increasing revenue. Some of these efforts are described below:

In January, Maxwell announced that it received a purchase order for 100,000 square meters of its proprietary ultracapacitor electrode material from Shanghai Sanjiu Electric Equipment Company, Ltd., (Sanjiu) which is preparing to introduce a line of ultracapacitor products based on Maxwell's cell architecture and high-performance electrode for the Chinese transportation, electric utility and industrial markets.

In February, we announced that Professor Burkhard Goeschel, who retired in November 2006 as a member of the six-person Management Board of BMW Group, with overall responsibility for research, development and purchasing, had been appointed to Maxwell's board of directors.

In March, we announced the introduction of a rugged 390-volt BOOSTCAP® ultracapacitor module to provide scalable, easy-to-integrate, energy storage and power delivery solutions for heavy hybrid and electric vehicles and heavy duty industrial applications requiring up to 1,170 volts.

Edgar Filing: MAXWELL TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-Q

In April, we announced the opening of a sales office in Shanghai, China, to market our BOOSTCAP® ultracapacitor products, service customers and support distribution channel partners throughout Asia.

In May, we announced that Edward B. Caudill was elected Chairman of the Company's board of directors.

In May, we announced that we will supply ultracapacitor cells and integration kits to the U.S. Department of Energy's Argonne National Laboratory for a collaborative research project to assemble and evaluate an integrated ultracapacitor/lithium-ion battery energy storage system for hybrid-electric and plug-in hybrid vehicles.

In May, we announced the sale of 1.15 million shares in a public offering, resulting in gross proceeds to the Company of \$11.5 million. The Company plans to use the proceeds for working capital and general corporate purposes.

In June, we announced that Azure Dynamics Corporation, a leading developer of hybrid-electric and electric powertrains for commercial vehicles, selected Maxwell's BOOSTCAP® 390-volt heavy transportation ultracapacitor module (HTM) as the energy storage and power delivery component of its latest hybrid shuttle bus powertrain.

In July, we announced the signing of a memorandum of understanding with Valeo, a Tier 1 supplier to automakers worldwide, covering a development collaboration to incorporate Maxwell's BOOSTCAP® ultracapacitors in Valeo's StARS+X, stop-start and regenerative braking system.

Table of Contents

In July, we announced that the board of directors appointed David J. Schramm president and chief executive officer, replacing Richard D. Balanson, PhD, who will remain a part-time employee of the Company, functioning as a senior technical advisor.

In July we announced that our BOOSTCAP® ultracapacitor products have been certified by the official testing agency of the People's Republic of China for energy storage applications in vehicles. The certification protocol included testing for electrical, mechanical, thermal, safety and lifetime performance.

In August we delivered our first space-qualified SCS750 single board computers (SBC) to Orbital Sciences Corp., prime contractor for NASA's Glory earth sciences mission. The SBCs will manage payload data for Glory, a three-year mission that will investigate the composition of greenhouse gases and the effect of solar radiation on the earth's environment.

In September we announced that we were awarded a contract by Mercedes Car Group to design and produce ultracapacitors for an advanced engineering hybrid-electric drive train program incorporating a braking energy recuperation system that enables it to increase fuel efficiency and reduce emissions.

In September we introduced a 75-volt BOOSTCAP® ultracapacitor module to provide a scaleable, cost-effective, low-maintenance solution to meet the backup power and power quality requirements of wind turbines and other renewable energy generation and industrial equipment applications.

In September we announced that Dantherm Power A/S, a leading developer of hydrogen and fuel cell-based power supply solutions, selected Maxwell's BOOSTCAP® ultracapacitors as the short-duration bridge power element of its integrated uninterruptible power supply (UPS) systems for telecommunications and fiber broadband applications.

In October we announced that we won a contract valued at approximately \$3 million from Astrium's United Kingdom-based satellite unit to supply single board computers (SBC) for the European Space Agency's (ESA) Gaia astronomy mission to survey more than a billion stars and other celestial bodies to trace the origin and evolution of the Milky Way Galaxy.

In October we announced the sale of 1.3 million shares of our common stock in a public offering underwritten by UBS Securities LLC, resulting in gross proceeds to the company of approximately \$13.6 million. In addition, the company granted an over allotment option to purchase up to 195,000 additional shares.

In October UBS Securities LLC exercised its option to purchase an additional 195,000 shares of the Company's common stock to cover over-allotments resulting in aggregate gross proceeds (including the initial 1.3 million shares) to the Company of \$15.4 million.

Results of Operations and Financial Condition Quarter Ended September 30, 2007 Compared with Quarter Ended September 30, 2006

The following table presents unaudited selected consolidated financial data (in thousands except per share amounts):

	Three Months Ended September 30,	
	2007	2006
Revenue	\$ 14,218	\$ 14,011
Revenue % gain	1%	17%
Gross profit as a % of revenue	24%	20%

Edgar Filing: MAXWELL TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-Q

SG&A as % of revenue	29%	30%
R&D expense as % of revenue	19%	17%
Loss from continuing operations	\$ (2,611)	\$ (4,995)
Net Loss	\$ (2,611)	\$ (5,356)
Basic and diluted net loss per share	\$ (0.13)	\$ (0.31)

Table of Contents

Net loss was \$2.6 million, or \$(0.13) per share, in the third quarter of fiscal 2007, compared with \$5.4 million, or \$(0.31) per share, in the same period one year ago. The \$2.8 million improvement from the prior year period consists primarily of a \$2.1 million gain on the embedded derivatives and warrants with the remaining change consisting of: \$606,000 from increased gross profit and; \$219,000 from an increase in other income which were offset by an increase of \$253,000 in our income tax provision and \$119,000 increase in operating expenses as compared with the same period one year ago.

Revenue

Revenue in the third quarter of fiscal 2007 increased 1% to \$14.2 million, compared with \$14.0 million in the same period one year ago. Revenue increased despite having no licensing revenue compared with the same period one year ago when we reported \$494,000 in license fee revenue. Product revenue increased 5% or \$701,000.

Based on our quarterly weighted-average calculation, the value of the Swiss Franc increased 3% to \$0.8337 per U.S. dollar for the quarter ended September 30, 2007, up from \$0.8088 per U.S. dollar for the same period one year ago. Fluctuation of foreign currency exchange rates increased revenue \$344,000 in the third quarter of fiscal 2007.

Revenue mix by product line for the third quarter of 2007 and 2006:

	Three Months Ended September 30,	
	2007	2006
<i>Ultracapacitors</i>	35%	43%
<i>High-Voltage Capacitors</i>	43%	38%
<i>Microelectronic</i>	22%	19%
Total	100%	100%

Gross Profit

Gross profit in the third quarter of fiscal 2007 increased 4% to 24% compared with 20% in the same period one year ago. The increase of gross profit is derived from two areas; \$566,000 is from increased pricing and/or the reduction of costs of product and \$40,000 from increased volume. An overall benefit in gross profit was due to the reduced costs as production of certain products moved to China. Specific cost reductions which include a decrease in scrap costs of approximately \$128,000, purchase price variances decreased approximately \$252,000 and freight expense decreased approximately \$239,000. These savings were offset by an increase of approximately \$230,000 in obsolescence expense. Additionally revenue and gross profit was negatively impacted by the absence of licensing revenue compared with \$494,000 for the same period one year ago.

Selling, General & Administrative (SG&A) Expense

Selling, general and administrative (SG&A) expenses were 29% of revenue for the third quarter of fiscal 2007, which is down from 30% from the same period one year ago. The approximate \$136,000 decrease from the prior year's quarter includes an increase of \$328,000 in labor; \$103,000 in professional services; and \$53,000 in expenses for our Shanghai office while these increases were offset by decreases of \$282,000 for stock compensation expense; \$217,000 in audit expense; and advertising expense \$120,000.

Research & Development (R&D) Expense

Research and development (R&D) expenses were approximately \$2.6 million for the third quarter of fiscal 2007 compared with approximately \$2.4 million for the same period in 2006. As a percentage of revenues, R&D expense was 19% for the third quarter of fiscal 2007 and 17%, for the same period in 2006. The R&D expenditures increased due to additional facility expenses of \$161,000, \$57,000 in travel expense, and \$166,000 in design and consulting assistance while these amounts were offset by a reduction in direct labor of \$178,000. Our R&D spending continues to be a significant percentage of revenue and is focused mainly on BOOSTCAP® product development and Microelectronics single board computer product development.

Provision for Income Taxes

We recorded an income tax provision of \$266,000 for the third quarter of fiscal 2007 compared with approximately \$13,000 for the same period in 2006. This provision is for our Swiss subsidiary's operations. Unremitted earnings of foreign subsidiaries have been included in the consolidated financial statements without giving effect to the United States taxes that may be payable on distribution to the United States because it is not anticipated such earnings will be remitted to the United States. If remitted, the additional United States taxes paid would not be material.

Table of Contents**Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007 Compared with Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006**

The following table presents unaudited selected consolidated financial data (in thousand except per share amounts):

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2007	2006
Revenue	\$ 40,396	\$ 38,745
Revenue % gain	4%	18%
Gross profit as a % of revenue	23%	22%
SG&A as % of revenue	35%	32%
R&D expense as % of revenue	21%	18%
Loss from continuing operations	\$ (14,627)	\$ (17,100)
Net Loss	\$ (14,627)	\$ (17,128)
Basic and diluted net loss per share	\$ (0.82)	\$ (1.01)

Net loss was \$14.6 million, or \$(0.82) per share, in the nine months ended September 30, 2007, compared with \$17.1 million, or \$(1.01) per share, in the same period one year ago. The \$2.5 million improvement from the prior year's period consists primarily of a \$5.2 million improvement on the embedded derivatives and warrants compared with prior year. The remaining change consisting of: \$838,000 from increased gross profit margin; \$522,000 from an increase of other income and \$69,000 reduction of our income tax provision. These improvements were offset by an increase of \$3.5 million in our operating expenses compared with the same period one year ago.

Revenue

Revenue in the nine months ended September 30, 2007 increased 4% to \$40.4 million, compared with \$38.7 million in the same period one year ago. Revenue increased despite having a reduction of licensing revenue of \$556,000 compared with the same period one year ago. Product revenue increased 6% or \$2.2 million.

Based on our quarterly weighted-average calculation, the value of the Swiss Franc increased 3% to \$0.8207 per U.S. dollar for the nine months ended September 30, 2007, up from \$0.7939 per U.S. dollar for the same period one year ago. Fluctuation of foreign currency exchange rates increased revenue \$1.1 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2007.

Revenue mix by product line for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006:

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2007	2006
<i>Ultracapacitors</i>	30%	34%
<i>High-Voltage Capacitors</i>	47%	45%
<i>Microelectronic</i>	23%	21%
Total	100%	100%

Gross Profit

Gross profit in the nine months ended September 30, 2007 increased 1% to 23% compared with 22% in the same period one year ago. The increase is derived from two areas; \$548,000 from increased pricing and/or the reduction of costs of product and \$364,000 from increased volume. An overall benefit in gross profit was due to the reduced costs as production of certain products moved to China. Specifically, cost reductions include scrap costs which decreased approximately \$351,000, warranty repair costs decreased approximately \$245,000, and obsolescence improved approximately \$251,000. While conversely revenue and gross profit was negatively impacted by a reduction of \$556,000 in licensing revenue compared with the same period one year ago.

Table of Contents

Selling, General & Administrative (SG&A) Expense

Selling, general and administrative (SG&A) expenses were 35% of revenue for the nine months ended September 30, 2007, which is up from 32% from the same period one year ago. The approximate \$1.8 million increase from the prior year period includes an increase of \$1.2 million of labor; \$223,000 of professional services; \$150,000 of stock compensation; \$80,000 of audit fees and \$111,000 of expenses for our Shanghai office. These increases were offset by a decrease of \$105,000 in advertising expense.

Research & Development (R&D) Expense

Research and development (R&D) expenses were approximately \$8.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 compared with approximately \$7.0 million for the same period in 2006. As a percentage of revenues, R&D expense was 21% for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 18% for the same period in 2006. Our R&D expenditures increased \$1.5 million due to a \$331,000 increase of facility expenses; \$940,000 of design and consulting assistance and \$231,000 of direct labor. Our spending continues to be a significant percentage of revenue and is focused mainly on BOOSTCAP® product development and Microelectronics single board computer product development.

Provision for Income Taxes

We recorded an income tax provision of \$108,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 compared with approximately \$177,000 for the same period in 2006. This provision is for our Swiss subsidiary's operations. Unremitted earnings of foreign subsidiaries have been included in the consolidated financial statements without giving effect to the United States taxes that may be payable on distribution to the United States because it is not anticipated such earnings will be remitted to the United States. If remitted, the additional United States taxes paid would not be material.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

As of September 30, 2007, we had approximately \$17.7 million in cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash.

We have a 1.2 million Swiss Francs (approximately \$987,000) term loan from a Swiss bank for capital equipment purchases; approximately \$395,000 of that facility was outstanding as of September 30, 2007. We have a 1 million Swiss Francs (approximately \$859,000) line of credit with another Swiss bank for working capital; approximately \$816,000 of the line was utilized as of September 30, 2007. We entered into a lease agreement in May 2006 for the acquisition of manufacturing equipment up to a maximum of 1.5 million Swiss Francs (approximately \$1.3 million). The lease term is 48 months and \$1.1 million of the credit agreement had been used to purchase equipment under this agreement as of September 30, 2007.

In November 2006, the Company filed a registration statement on Form S-3 with the Securities and Exchange Commission to, from time to time, sell up to an aggregate of \$125,000,000 of the Company's common stock, warrants or debt securities. In May 2007 we sold 1,150,000 shares in a public offering resulting in gross proceeds to the Company of \$11.5 million. In October, 2007 we sold 1,495,000 shares in a public offering resulting in gross proceeds to the Company of \$15.4 million. The Company plans to use the proceeds for working capital and general corporate purposes.

Should additional funds be required, we have several options for raising capital. These include negotiations to eliminate the current restriction on \$8 million of cash, a bridge financing, divesting of non-strategic assets, and/or a private placement or public offering of marketable securities to provide additional liquidity. We believe our current cash and the various options we have to raise additional capital will provide sufficient capital to fund our capital equipment and working capital requirements and potential operating losses for more than the next 12 months.

Debentures, Short Term and Long Term Borrowings

Convertible Debentures

On December 20, 2005, the Company issued \$25 million in aggregate principal amount of senior subordinated convertible debentures due and payable in quarterly installments from December 2007 through December 2009 (the "Debentures"). Interest is due quarterly with the interest rate fixed to the Federal Funds Rate plus 1.125% per annum. All or a portion of the accrued and unpaid interest may be paid in shares of Maxwell's common stock at the Company's option.

Edgar Filing: MAXWELL TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-Q

At the issuance date, the Debentures were convertible by the holder at any time into 1,315,789 common shares at a price of \$19.00 per share. We also issued 394,737 warrants in connection with the issuance of the debentures, these had an exercise price of \$19.00 at the issuance date. The exercise price, number of convertible shares and warrants are subject to adjustment upon certain events, such as the sale of equity securities by Maxwell at a price below the current exercise price of \$19.00 per share.

Table of Contents

In June 2007 Maxwell sold a total 1.15 million shares at a price below \$19.00 which caused an adjustment to the price and number of warrants and convertible debenture shares relating to the convertible debt. The price for the warrants and convertible shares was adjusted to \$18.47 along with an increase of warrants of 11,399 to 406,136 and the convertible debenture shares increased by 37,999 to 1,353,788 shares.

The Debentures are convertible by the holder at any time into common shares however after eighteen months from the issue date, Maxwell may require that a specified amount of the principal of the Debentures be converted if certain conditions are satisfied for a period of 20 consecutive trading days. The warrants issued in connection with the issuance of the Debentures are exercisable at any time through December 20, 2010. No warrants had been exercised as of September 30, 2007.

The change in fair value on revaluation of debenture conversion rights and warrant liabilities represents the difference between the fair value of the warrants and debenture conversion features at June 30, 2007 and their fair value on September 30, 2007 using a Black-Scholes calculation. The fair value of the holder's and Maxwell's conversion rights (excluding warrants) at September 30, 2007 was \$3.0 million, which is included in Convertible debentures and long-term debt on the balance sheet. The effect of the fair market value adjustment to warrants, the holders and Maxwell's conversion rights for the three months ended September 30, 2007 was a \$2.1 million gain, which we record as Gain (loss) on embedded derivative liabilities and warrants.

As long as Debentures are outstanding, the Company is required to maintain a cash balance in excess of \$8.0 million. This amount is classified as restricted cash at September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006.

Short-term borrowings

In April Maxwell SA, our European subsidiary, received a 2.0 million Swiss Francs (approximately \$1.7 million as of September 30, 2007) short term loan with a Swiss bank. Borrowings under the credit agreement bear annual interest at 4.40% with repayment terms less than 12 months from the date of funding. Borrowings under the credit agreement are unsecured and as of September 30, 2007, the full amount of the credit line was drawn.

Maxwell SA, has a 2.0 million Swiss Francs (approximately \$1.7 million as of September 30, 2007) credit agreement with a Swiss bank. Borrowings under the credit agreement bear interest at 3.95% with repayment terms extending beyond one month from the date of funding. Borrowings under the credit agreement are unsecured and as of September 30, 2007, the full amount of the credit line was drawn.

Maxwell SA, has a 1.0 million Swiss Francs (approximately \$859,000 as of September 30, 2007) overdraft credit agreement with a Swiss bank, which renews annually. Borrowings under the credit agreement bear annual interest at 4.45%. Borrowings under the credit agreement are unsecured and as of September 30, 2007, \$815,000 was drawn on the overdraft credit line. The agreement requires our Swiss subsidiary to maintain a minimum equity amount of 5.0 million Swiss Francs; we were in compliance with this covenant as of September 30, 2007.

Long-term borrowings

Maxwell, SA has a term loan with a maximum draw of 1.2 million Swiss Francs, (approximately \$987,000 at September 30, 2007), for financing specific capital equipment expenditures. Borrowings under the term loan are secured by the equipment being purchased. This credit agreement bears interest at the Swiss inter-bank borrowing rate plus 2.0%. The term loan can be borrowed in quarterly advances up to the maximum limit and repaid over one to five years. At September 30, 2007 approximately \$395,000 was outstanding. The weighted average annual interest rate on the funds borrowed at September 30, 2007 was 4.2%.

Maxwell, SA had a lending arrangement which converts to a lease for the acquisition of manufacturing equipment up to an amount of 1.5 million Swiss Francs (approximately \$1.3 million at September 30, 2007). The leasing fee is 2.22% of the acquisition price plus VAT and a residual value of .5% of the acquisition price. In July 2007 the lending arrangement converted to a lease with a total of 48 payments each 34,302 Swiss Francs, at September 30, 2007 the balance of the lease is \$1.1 million.

We secured a \$1.0 million loan from a U.S. bank for capital equipment purchases, subject to a three-year repayment period. The agreement bears interest at the US Government Treasury Note rate plus 825 basis points. At September 30, 2007, \$593,000 of the loan was drawn.

Critical Accounting Policies

This discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based upon our consolidated financial statements, which are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, which

Table of Contents

we refer to as U.S. GAAP. We have used certain assumptions and judgments in the preparation of these financial statements, which assumptions and estimates may potentially affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingencies as well as reported amounts of revenues and expenses. The following may involve a higher degree of judgment and complexity and, as such, management assumptions and conclusions in these areas may significantly impact the results of operations of the Company.

Additional information about these critical accounting policies may be found in the Management's Discussion & Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations section included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006. There have been no changes to these critical accounting policies subsequent to December 31, 2006.

Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes

In July 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48 (FIN 48), *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes*. FIN 48 is an interpretation of FASB No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*, which provides criteria for the recognition, measurement, presentation and disclosures of uncertain tax positions. A tax benefit from an uncertain tax position may be recognized if it is more likely than not that the position is sustainable based solely on its technical merits. The provisions of FIN 48 are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company adopted FIN 48 on January 1, 2007.

Revenue Recognition

We derive revenue from the sale of manufactured products directly to customers. For certain long-term contracts revenue is recognized at the time costs are incurred and for licensing fees we recognize revenue from the right to manufacture products based on our proprietary ultracapacitors design. Product revenue is recognized, according to the guidelines of SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin Numbers 101 *Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements*, and 104 *Revenue Recognition*, when title passes to the customer at either shipment from our facilities, or receipt at the customer facility, depending on shipping terms, provided collectability is reasonably assured. If a volume discount is offered, revenue is recognized at the lowest price to the customer. This method has been consistently applied from period to period and there is no right of return.

Revenue on fixed price government contracts is recognized at the time costs are incurred and is calculated on a percentage of completion basis, in accordance with Statement of Position 81-1, *Accounting for Performance of Construction-Type and Certain Production-Type Contracts* and is limited by the funding of the prime contractor. In prior years, certain continuing and discontinued segments involved revenues from both long-term and short-term fixed price contracts and cost plus contracts with the U.S. Government directly or through a prime contractor. Those revenues, including estimated profits, were recognized at the time the costs were incurred and included provisions for any anticipated losses. These contracts are subject to rate audits and other audits, which could result in the reduction of revenue in excess of estimated provisions. In turn, this could increase losses for the periods in which any such reduction occurs.

We recognize revenue that relates to specific deliverables, in accordance with EITF 00-21, *Accounting for Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables*. This revenue involves a contract that grants a license to manufacture and market products in Mainland China, using Maxwell's proprietary large cell and multi-cell module technology under a separate brand. The contract, obligates the manufacturer to source ultracapacitor electrode material from Maxwell, the agreement has no general right of return and allows for no refunds.

For contract research and development arrangements that contain up-front or milestone-based payments, we recognize revenue using the proportional performance method based on the percentage of costs incurred relative to the total costs estimated to be incurred to complete the contract. Revenue recognition computed under this methodology is compared with the amount of non-refundable cash payments received or contractually receivable at the reporting date and the lesser of the two amounts is recognized as revenue at each reporting date. The proportional performance methodology applied by the Company, utilizes an input based measure, specifically costs incurred to date, to determine proportional performance because we believe the use of an input measure is a reasonable surrogate of proportional performance compared with an output based measure, such as milestones. Amounts billed in advance are recorded as deferred revenue on the balance sheet. Since payments received are generally non-refundable, the termination of a contract by a customer prior to its completion could result in an immediate recognition of deferred revenue relating to payments already received not previously recognized as revenue.

Stock Compensation

We value stock compensation based on the fair value recognition provisions of revised Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised) *Share-Based Payment* (SFAS 123R), which establishes accounting for stock-based awards exchanged for employee services and requires companies to expense the estimated grant date fair value of these awards over the requisite employee service period. The expense recognition provisions of SFAS 123R apply to new awards and to unvested awards that are outstanding

Table of Contents

on the effective date and awards subsequently modified or cancelled. Estimated compensation expense for awards outstanding at the effective date are being recognized over the remaining service period using the compensation cost calculated for pro forma disclosure purposes under SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*.

Inventory

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost (on a first-in, first-out basis) or market. Finished goods and work-in-process inventory values include the cost of raw materials, labor and manufacturing overhead. Inventory written down to market establishes a new cost basis and its value can not be subsequently increased based upon changes in underlying facts and circumstances.

Excess and Obsolete Inventory

In assessing the ultimate realization of inventories, we make judgments as to future demand requirements and compare that with current and committed inventory levels. The markets for the Company's products are extremely competitive and are characterized by rapid technological change, new product development, product obsolescence and evolving industry standards. In addition, price competition is intense and significant price erosion generally occurs over the life of a product. We have recorded significant charges for reserves in recent periods to reflect changes in market conditions. We believe that future events are subject to change and revisions in estimates may have a significant adverse impact on the balance sheet and statement of operations.

Goodwill

We account for goodwill in accordance with SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*. This standard requires that goodwill balances undergo an annual impairment test and when an event occurs or circumstances change such that it is reasonably possible that an impairment may exist. The first step consists of estimating the fair value of each reporting unit and comparing those estimated fair values with the carrying values of the reporting units, which includes the allocated goodwill. If the fair value is less than the carrying value, a second step is performed to compute the amount of the impairment by determining an implied fair value of goodwill. The implied fair value of goodwill is the residual fair value derived by deducting the fair value of a reporting unit's assets and liabilities from its estimated fair value, which was calculated in step one. The impairment charge represents the excess of the carrying amount of the reporting unit's goodwill over the implied fair value of their goodwill. We cannot say with certainty that we may not incur charges for impairment of goodwill in the future if the fair value of Maxwell Technologies and Maxwell SA decrease due to market conditions, revisions in our assumptions or other unanticipated circumstances. Any impairment charges could adversely affect the results of our operations.

Convertible Debentures

We account for convertible debentures and warrants in accordance with SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*. This standard requires the conversion feature of convertible debt be separated from the host contract and presented as a derivative instrument if certain conditions are met. EITF 00-19, *Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments Indexed to and Potentially Settled in a Company's Own Stock* and EITF 05-2, *The Meaning of Conventional Convertible Debt Instrument* in Issue No. 00-19 were also analyzed to determine whether the debt instrument is to be considered a conventional convertible debt instrument and classified in stockholders' equity. The December 20, 2005 valuation was used for the effective debt discount that these instruments represent. The debt discount is amortized over the four-year life of the debentures using the effective interest method.

The convertible debentures issued on December 20, 2005 were evaluated and determined not to be conventional convertible and, therefore, because of certain terms and provisions including liquidating damages under the associated registration rights agreement the embedded conversion option was bifurcated and has been accounted for as a derivative liability instrument. The stock warrants issued in conjunction with the convertible debt on December 20, 2005 were also evaluated and determined to be a derivative instrument and, therefore, classified as a liability on the balance sheet. The accounting guidance also requires that the conversion feature and warrants be recorded at fair value for each reporting period with changes in fair value recorded in our statement of operations.

A Black-Scholes valuation calculation is applied to both the conversion features and warrants at the end of each period. The valuations were used to record the fair value of these instruments at the end of the reporting periods with any difference from prior period calculations reflected in the statement of operations. The Company's stock price is one input used in the Black-Scholes calculation, which has a significant impact on the calculation. The change in the Company's stock price will have a gain or loss effect on embedded derivative liabilities in the statement of operations. The volatility of the Company's stock price is likely to generate large swings in the valuations of the conversion features and warrants in future periods.

Table of Contents

Pension

The Company accounts for the retirement plan for its Swiss subsidiary as a defined benefit pension plan under SFAS No. 158 *Employers Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans* an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132(R) (SFAS 158). SFAS 158 requires balance sheet recognition of the over funded or under funded status of pension and postretirement benefit plans. Under SFAS 158, actuarial gains and losses, prior service costs or credits, and any remaining transition assets or obligations that have not been recognized under previous accounting standards must be recognized as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) within stockholders' equity, net of tax effects, until they are amortized as a component of net periodic benefit cost.

This plan is implemented under the terms of the plan as required by Swiss law. Management believes that the Swiss plan is adequately funded and future payments do not appear significant based on the current funding status of the plan.

The Swiss pension is similar to our U.S. defined contribution plan (401K) since the Company does not have any access to this asset, approximately one half of the assets are contributed by the employees, and the plan is regulated by the Swiss Government. The Company does not have any access or rights to this pension asset. The pension asset is being reported to comply with accounting pronouncements that require us to disclose the amount on our balance sheet.

Impact of Inflation

We believe that inflation has not had a material impact on our results of operations for the periods presented. However, there can be no assurance that future inflation would not have an adverse impact on our operating results and financial condition.

Pending Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157 *Fair Value Measurement* (SFAS 157). SFAS 157 provides a new single authoritative definition of fair value and provides enhanced guidance for measuring the fair value of assets and liabilities and requires additional disclosures related to the extent to which companies measure assets and liabilities at fair value, the information used to measure fair value, and the effect of fair value measurements on earnings. SFAS 157 is effective for the Company as of January 1, 2008. The Company is currently assessing the impact, if any, of SFAS 157 on its consolidated financial statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Including an Amendment of FASB Statement No 115* (SFAS 159) which permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. SFAS No 159 also includes an amendment to SFAS No. 115, *Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities*, which applies to all entities with available-for-sale and trading securities. This statement is effective as of the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year that begins after November 15, 2007. The Company does not anticipate any material impact on its consolidated financial statements upon the adoption of this standard.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

None.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

We face exposure to financial market risks, including adverse movements in foreign currency exchange rates and changes in interest rates. These exposures may change over time and could have a material adverse impact on our financial results. We have not entered into or invested in any instruments that are subject to market risk, except as follows:

Foreign Currency Risk

Our primary foreign currency exposure is related to our subsidiary in Switzerland. Maxwell SA has Euro and local currency (Swiss Francs) revenue and operating expenses as well as loans. Changes in these currency exchange rates impact the U.S. dollar amount of revenue, expenses and debt. The Company has certain long term contracts in a currency other than U.S. dollars. A change of 100 basis points (or 1%) in the customer local currency would impact the value of the contract by approximately \$173,000. We do not hedge our currency exposures.

Table of Contents

Interest Rate Risk

At September 30, 2007, we had approximately \$31.0 million in debt, of which \$14.6 million is classified as long-term debt. We do not anticipate significant interest rate swings in the near future; however, if they do occur it may affect the consolidated balance sheet or statement of operations. The impact on earnings or cash flow during the next fiscal year from a change of 100 basis points in the interest rate would have a \$310,000 effect on our related interest expense.

We invest excess cash in debt instruments of the U.S. Government and its agencies, high-quality corporate issuers and money market accounts. The primary objective of our investment activities is to preserve principal while maximizing yields without significantly increasing risk. Our current policies do not allow the use of interest rate derivative instruments to manage exposure to interest rate changes. At September 30, 2007, we did not have any cash under the management of a third party.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Under the direction of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we evaluated our disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) and our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that (i) our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of September 30, 2007, and (ii) no change in internal control over financial reporting occurred during the quarter ended September 30, 2007, that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, such internal control over financial reporting.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures designed to ensure the information required to be disclosed in reports filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms. As of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company's management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, has evaluated the Company's disclosure controls and procedures, as that term is defined in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Exchange Act.

Based on this evaluation our principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as a result of the unremediated material weakness relating to certain internal controls, surrounding the accounting for income taxes, further outlined below. To address this control weakness, the Company performed additional analysis and performed other procedures in order to prepare the unaudited quarterly consolidated financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America. Accordingly, management believes that the consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q fairly present, in all material respects, our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented.

Material weakness accounting for income taxes

At December 31, 2006, there was one primary tax issue related to our Swiss pension plan that led management to conclude that a material weakness existed. Specifically, the Company did not record an income tax liability associated with an adjustment made as a result of the implementation of FAS 158 *Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans* in the prior year's comprehensive income when, in fact, an income tax liability should have been recorded.

Management concluded that the principal factors contributing to the material weakness in accounting for income taxes related to our Swiss subsidiary were (a) inadequate consideration of the provisions of FAS No. 109 *Accounting for Income Taxes* by our external tax service provider resulting in an error in the accounting for income taxes and (b) insufficient or ineffective review practices by our internal personnel. This material weakness resulted in an accounting error which was corrected prior to the issuance of the consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2006. Management has not identified any other material weaknesses in its internal control over financial reporting.

Remediation Plans for Material Weakness in Internal control over Financial Reporting

Edgar Filing: MAXWELL TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-Q

The Company has engaged an additional outside tax advisor who will be an additional resource in the review of the work prepared by our current service provider. This additional level of review will ensure that complex tax issues are identified and the related analyses, judgments and estimates are appropriately documented, reviewed and applied on a timely basis;

Table of Contents

Management believes that improved timing of certain tax review activities during the financial statement closing process will improve the review process.

Changes in Internal Controls over Financial Reporting

Other than as noted above in this Item 4, there were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the last fiscal quarter covered by this report that has materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

In October 2006, Maxwell filed a patent infringement lawsuit against Nesscap in the United States District Court for the Southern District of California seeking monetary damages and an injunction to stop Nesscap's sales of infringing products based on two of Maxwell's patents. In April 2007 a U.S. district judge considered the first of the two patents and granted a preliminary injunction to prohibit Nesscap's sale of infringing prismatic ultracapacitor products in the United States. Subsequently, Nesscap filed a motion to stay the preliminary injunction pending Nesscap's appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. The appeal court denied Nesscap's motion. Maxwell posted an injunction bond and currently Nesscap is prohibited from making, using, selling or offering to sell its prismatic ultracapacitors in the United States while the litigation is pending. In August 2007, the district judge denied Maxwell's motion for a preliminary injunction based on the second patent.

In December 2006 Nesscap filed a lawsuit against Maxwell in United States District Court in the District of Delaware claiming Maxwell has infringed Nesscap's patented intellectual property. At Maxwell's request this lawsuit was moved to the same district court in San Diego where Maxwell filed its initial action. Maxwell has filed a motion for a summary judgment of noninfringement, and a hearing is scheduled for the latter part of 2007.

We capitalize legal expenses associated with this lawsuit as management believes a favorable outcome is probable. Additionally, we believe the value of the intellectual property involved in the lawsuit will increase more than the costs associated with this lawsuit as a result of a successful outcome. As of September 30, 2007 we have capitalized a total of \$1.8 million of legal costs which is included in other intangible assets in the condensed consolidated balance sheet.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes from the risk factors disclosed in Part I, Item 1A, of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

During the nine months ended September 30, 2007 there were no sales of unregistered securities. The Company sold 1.15 million shares of common stock that had been previously reported on Form 8-K with the SEC. Additionally, the Company has issued or sold newly registered common stock shares for its ESPP, employees exercising stock options or vesting of restricted stock previously noted in this document.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

None.

Item 5. Other Information

None.

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits

- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) (Section 302 Certification) as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. *
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) (Section 302 Certification) as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. *
- 32 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 (Section 906 Certification), as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. *

* Filed herewith.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Date: November 5, 2007

MAXWELL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

By: /s/ David Schramm
David Schramm
President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: November 5, 2007

By: /s/ Tim T. Hart
Tim T. Hart
Senior Vice President Finance, Treasurer,

Chief Financial Officer and Secretary