

MAXWELL TECHNOLOGIES INC

Form 10-K

March 16, 2007

[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

☒ **ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006

OR

☐ **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 1-15477

MAXWELL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of

incorporation or organization)

9244 Balboa Avenue

San Diego, California
(Address of principal executive offices)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (858) 503-3300

95-2390133
(I.R.S. Employer

Identification No.)

92123
(Zip Code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Edgar Filing: MAXWELL TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Common Stock, par value \$0.10 per share

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. YES ☐ NO ☒

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. YES ☐ NO ☒

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES ☒ NO ☐

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. ☒

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer ☐

Accelerated filer ☒

Non-accelerated filer ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). YES ☐ NO ☒

The aggregate market value of Common Stock held by non-affiliates as of June 30, 2006 based on the closing price of the Common Stock on the Nasdaq National Market was \$123,789,057.

The number of shares of the registrant's Common Stock outstanding as of March 9, 2007 was 17,407,885 shares.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Specified portions of the registrant's definitive Proxy Statement to be issued in conjunction with the registrant's 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which is expected to be filed not later than 120 days after the registrant's fiscal year ended December 31, 2006, are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Annual Report. Except as expressly incorporated by reference, the registrant's Proxy Statement shall not be deemed to be a part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

MAXWELL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

INDEX TO ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006

	Page
PART I	
Item 1. <u>Business</u>	2
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	17
Item 1B. <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	27
Item 2. <u>Properties</u>	27
Item 3. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	28
Item 4. <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	28
PART II	
Item 5. <u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	29
Item 6. <u>Selected Financial Data</u>	31
Item 7. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	32
Item 7A. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	45
Item 8. <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	47
Item 9. <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	80
Item 9A. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	80
Item 9B. <u>Other Information</u>	83
PART III	
Item 10. <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	84
Item 11. <u>Executive Compensation</u>	84
Item 12. <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholders Matters</u>	84
Item 13. <u>Certain Relationships, Related Transactions and Director Independence</u>	84
Item 14. <u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	84
PART IV	
Item 15. <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>	85

Table of Contents

SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Some of the statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and incorporated herein by reference discuss our plans and strategies for our business or make other forward-looking statements, within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. The words anticipates, believes, estimates, expects, plans, intends, may, will, continue, seek, should, would and similar expressions are intended to identify these forward-looking statements, but are not the exclusive means of identifying them. These forward-looking statements reflect the current views and beliefs of our management; however, various risks, uncertainties and contingencies could cause our actual results, performance or achievements to differ materially from those expressed in, or implied by, our statements. Such risks, uncertainties and contingencies include the following:

decline in the domestic and global economies that may delay development and introduction by our customers of products that incorporate our products;

our success in introducing and marketing new products into existing and new markets;

our ability to manufacture existing and new products in volumes demanded by our customers and at competitive prices with adequate gross margins;

market success of the products into which our products are integrated;

our ability in growing markets to increase our market share relative to our competitors;

our ability to successfully integrate our business with operations of businesses we may acquire;

our ability to finance the growth of our business with internal resources or through outside financing at reasonable rates; and

our ability to produce our products at quality levels demanded by our customers.

Many of these factors are beyond our control. Additionally, there can be no assurance that we will not incur new or additional unforeseen costs in connection with the ongoing conduct of our business. Accordingly, any forward-looking statements included herein do not purport to be predictions of future events or circumstances and may not be realized.

For a discussion of important risks associated with an investment in our securities, including factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from expectations referred to in the forward-looking statements, see Risk Factors beginning on page 17 of this document. We do not have any obligation to update publicly any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Table of Contents

PART I

Item 1. Business

Introduction

We develop, manufacture and market energy storage and power delivery products for transportation, industrial telecommunications and other applications and microelectronic products for space and satellite applications. Our products are designed and manufactured to perform reliably with minimal maintenance for the life of the applications into which they are integrated. We believe that this life-of-the-application reliability differentiates our products from those of our competitors and enables them to command higher profit margins than commodity products. We focus on the following lines of high-reliability products:

Ultracapacitors: Our primary focus is on ultracapacitors, energy storage devices that possess a unique combination of high power density, long operational life and the ability to charge and discharge very rapidly. Our BOOSTCAP® ultracapacitor cells and multi-cell packs and modules provide highly reliable energy storage and power delivery solutions for applications in multiple industries, including transportation, automotive, telecommunications, energy and consumer and industrial electronics.

High-Voltage Capacitors: Our CONDIS® high-voltage capacitors are extremely robust devices that are designed and manufactured to perform reliably for decades in all climates. These products include grading and coupling capacitors and capacitive voltage dividers that are used to ensure the safety and reliability of electric utility infrastructure and other applications involving transport, distribution and measurement of high-voltage electrical energy.

Radiation-Mitigated Microelectronic Products: Our radiation-mitigated microelectronic products include high-performance, high-density power modules, memory modules and single board computers that incorporate our proprietary RADPAK® packaging and shielding technology and novel architectures that enable them to withstand the effects of environmental radiation and perform reliably in space.

In keeping with our strategic focus on high-value, high-margin product lines, we have exited several non-strategic, low-margin businesses to intensify our focus on our core high-reliability product lines. These actions culminated with the sale of our Winding Equipment product line in December 2003, and the phase-out of our power systems product line in 2004.

General Overview

Each of our high-reliability electronic component product lines addresses a specific industry or, in the case of our ultracapacitor products, several distinct industry segments.

Ultracapacitors

Ultracapacitors are used to enhance the efficiency and reliability of devices or systems that generate or consume electrical energy. They differ from other energy storage and power delivery products in that they combine rapid charge/discharge capabilities typically associated with film and electrolytic capacitors and energy storage capacity generally associated with batteries. Although batteries store significantly more electrical energy than ultracapacitors, they cannot deliver or absorb energy as rapidly and efficiently as an ultracapacitor. Conversely, although electrolytic capacitors can deliver bursts of high power very rapidly, they have extremely limited energy storage capacity, and therefore cannot sustain power delivery for as much as a full second. Also, unlike batteries, which store electrical energy by means of a chemical reaction and experience gradual depletion of their energy storage and delivery capability after a few thousand charge/discharge cycles, ultracapacitors' energy storage and power delivery mechanisms involve no chemical reaction, so they can be charged and discharged hundreds of thousands to millions of times with minimal performance degradation. This ability to store energy, deliver bursts of power and perform reliably for many years with little or no maintenance makes ultracapacitors an attractive energy-enhancement option for a wide range of energy-consuming and generating devices and systems.

Table of Contents

Based on potential volumes, we believe that the transportation industry represents the largest market opportunity for ultracapacitors. Transportation applications include braking energy recuperation and torque-augmentation systems for hybrid-electric buses, trucks and autos and electric rail vehicles, vehicle power network smoothing and stabilization and distributed power nodes to support electronic subsystems, including power steering and brakes and electric air-conditioning.

Our ultracapacitor products have advanced to commercial production in transportation applications such as hybrid-electric transit buses and industrial electronics applications such as wind energy, telecommunications and automated utility meter reading systems.

To reduce manufacturing cost and improve the performance of our ultracapacitor products, we have developed a proprietary particle packaging process to produce the carbon powder-based electrode material which accounts for a significant portion of the cost of an ultracapacitor cell. We believe that this process has enabled us to become the industry's lowest-cost producer of electrode material, and we are now marketing it to other ultracapacitor manufacturers. Although we do not intend to license this electrode technology to other ultracapacitor or electrode manufacturers, we have licensed our proprietary cell architecture to manufacturers in Taiwan and China to expand and accelerate acceptance of our technology in the large and rapidly growing Chinese market.

High-Voltage Capacitors

High-voltage grading and coupling capacitors are used mainly in the electric utility industry. These devices prevent high-voltage arcing that can damage switches, circuit breakers, step-down transformers and other equipment that transmits, distributes or measures high-voltage electrical energy in electric utility infrastructure. The market for these products consists of expansion and upgrading of existing infrastructure and new infrastructure in developing countries. Such installations are capital-intensive and frequently are subject to regulation, availability of government funding and general economic conditions. For example, while North America has the world's largest installed base of electric utility infrastructure, and has begun to experience more frequent power interruptions and supply problems, utility deregulation, government budget deficits, and other factors have limited recent capital spending in what historically has been a very large market for utility infrastructure components. However, projects to meet growing demand for electrical energy in developing countries, such as the Three Gorges Dam in China, continue to drive increasing global demand for high-voltage capacitors.

Radiation-Mitigated Microelectronics

Radiation-mitigated microelectronic products are used almost exclusively in the space and satellite industry. Because satellites and spacecraft are extremely expensive to manufacture and launch, and space missions typically span years or even decades, and because it is impractical or impossible to repair or replace malfunctioning parts, the industry demands electronic components that are virtually failure-free. As satellites and spacecraft routinely encounter ionizing radiation from solar flares and other natural sources, these components must be able to withstand such radiation and continue to perform reliably. For that reason, suppliers of components for space applications historically used only special radiation-hardened silicon in the manufacture of such components. However, since the space market is relatively small and the process of producing rad-hard silicon is very expensive, only a few government-funded wafer fabrication facilities are capable of producing such material. In addition, because it takes several years to produce a rad-hard version of a new semiconductor, components using rad-hard silicon typically are several generations behind their current commercial counterparts in terms of density, processing power and functionality.

To address the performance gap between rad-hard and commercial silicon and provide components with both increased functionality and much higher processing power, Maxwell and a few other specialty components suppliers have developed shielding, packaging, and other novel radiation mitigation techniques that allow

Table of Contents

sensitive commercial semiconductors to withstand space radiation effects and perform as reliably as rad-hard components. Although this market is limited in size, the value proposition for high-performance, radiation-tolerant components enables such specialty suppliers to generate profit margins much higher than those for commodity electronic components.

Business Strategy

Our primary objective is to significantly increase the company's revenue and profit margins by creating and satisfying demand for ultracapacitor-based energy storage and power delivery solutions. To accomplish this, we are focusing on:

Establishing and expanding market opportunities for ultracapacitors by:

Collaborating with key existing and prospective customers in development of ultracapacitor-based solutions for strategic applications;

Demonstrating the efficiency and durability of our ultracapacitor products through extensive in-house and third party testing;

Integrating mathematical models for ultracapacitors into simulation software used by system designers;

Participating in a broad array of working groups, consortia and industry standards committees to disseminate knowledge of, and promote use of, ultracapacitors, and

Manufacturing products that contain no heavy metals and are therefore more environmentally friendly than batteries.

Becoming a preferred ultracapacitor supplier by:

Being a low-cost producer and focusing on price-enabled markets;

Designing and manufacturing products with life-of-the-application durability;

Being a highly reliable supplier through global sourcing;

Achieving superior performance and manufacturing quality while reducing product cost;

Developing and deploying enabling technologies and systems, including cell-to-cell and module-to-module balancing and integrated charging systems, among others;

Marketing high-performance, low-cost electrode material to other manufacturers, and

Establishing and maintaining broad and deep protections of key intellectual property.

Edgar Filing: MAXWELL TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

We also seek to expand market opportunities and revenue for our high-voltage capacitors and radiation-mitigated microelectronic products. While these products have highly specialized applications, we are a technology leader in the market niches they serve, and thus are able to sell our products at attractive profit margins. Going forward, we plan to maintain and expand this competitive position by leveraging our technological expertise to develop new products that not only meet the demands of our current markets, but address additional applications. For example, our microelectronics group has successfully introduced a single-board computer (SBC) for the space and satellite market. In March 2005, Northrop Grumman Space Technologies selected our SCS750 SBC for spacecraft control and data management for the National Polar-orbiting Operational Environmental Satellite System, the U.S. government 's next generation weather satellite constellation. This product, which leverages our expertise in high-reliability and radiation-mitigation, enabled us to enter a new market by addressing an application that we did not previously serve. Likewise, in 2004, our high-voltage capacitor business introduced and delivered the first of a new line of capacitive voltage divider products.

Table of Contents

Products and Applications

Our products incorporate our expertise and proprietary energy storage and power delivery and microelectronics technologies at both the component and system levels for specialized, high-value applications that demand life-of-the-application reliability.

Ultracapacitors

Ultracapacitors, also known as electrochemical double-layer capacitors (EDLC) or supercapacitors, store energy electrostatically by polarizing an organic salt solution within a sealed package. Although ultracapacitors are electrochemical devices, no chemical reaction is involved in their energy storage mechanism. This mechanism is fully reversible, allowing ultracapacitors to be rapidly charged and discharged hundreds of thousands to millions of times with minimal performance degradation, even in the most demanding peak power applications.

Unlike electrolytic capacitors, which have very low energy storage capacity and discharge power too rapidly to be suitable for many power delivery applications, ultracapacitors have much greater energy storage capacity and can discharge power over time periods ranging from fractions of a second to several minutes.

Unlike batteries, which require minutes or hours to fully charge or discharge, ultracapacitors discharge and recharge in as little as fractions of a second. Although ultracapacitors store only about one-tenth as much electrical energy as a battery of comparable size, they can deliver or absorb electric energy up to 100 times more rapidly than batteries. Because they operate reliably through hundreds of thousands to millions of full depth of discharge cycles, compared with only hundreds to a few thousand equivalent cycles for batteries, ultracapacitors have significantly higher lifetime energy throughput, which equates to significantly lower cost on a life cycle basis.

We link our ultracapacitor cells together in packs and modules to satisfy higher voltage energy storage and power delivery requirements. Both individual cells and multi-cell products can be charged from any primary energy source, such as a battery, generator, fuel cell, solar panel or electrical outlet. Virtually any device or system whose intermittent peak power demands are greater than its average continuous power requirement is a candidate for an ultracapacitor-based energy storage and power delivery solution.

Our ultracapacitor products have significant advantages over batteries, including:

efficient delivery of up to 100 times more instantaneous power;

significantly lower weight per unit of electrical energy stored;

the ability to discharge much deeper and recharge much faster and more efficiently, thus producing less wasted energy in the form of heat;

the ability to operate reliably and continuously in extreme temperatures (-40 degrees C to +65 degrees C);

minimal to no maintenance requirements;

life of the application durability; and

minimal environmental issues associated with disposal because they contain no heavy metals.

With no moving parts and no chemical reactions involved in their energy storage mechanism, ultracapacitors provide a simple, solid state-like, highly reliable solution to buffer short-term mismatches between power available and power required. Additionally, ultracapacitors offer the advantage of storing energy in the same form in which it is used, as electricity.

Edgar Filing: MAXWELL TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

New applications, including increasing use of electric power in vehicles, wireless communication systems and growing demand for highly reliable, maintenance-free, back-up power for telecommunication and industrial

Table of Contents

installations are creating significant opportunities for more efficient and reliable energy storage and power delivery products. In many applications, power demand varies widely from moment to moment, and peak power demand typically is much greater than the average power requirement. For example, automobiles require 10 times more power to accelerate than to maintain a constant speed, and forklifts require more power to lift a heavy pallet of material than to move from place to place within a warehouse.

Engineers historically have addressed such peak power requirements by over-sizing the engine, battery or other primary energy source to satisfy all of a system's power demands, including demands that occur infrequently and may last only fractions of a second. Sizing a primary power source to meet such transient peak power requirements, rather than for average power requirements, is costly and inefficient. If primary energy sources are coupled with specialized power components that can deliver or absorb brief bursts of high power on demand for periods of time ranging from fractions of a second to several minutes, such primary sources can be smaller, lighter and less costly.

The following diagram depicts the separation of a primary energy storage source from a peak power delivery component to satisfy the requirements of a particular application. Components that enable this separation allow designers to optimize the size, efficiency and cost of the entire electrical power system.

Peak Power Application Model

Although conventional batteries have been the most widely used component for both primary energy sourcing and peak power delivery, ultracapacitors, advanced batteries and flywheels now enable system designers to separate and optimize these functions. Based in part on our ultracapacitor products' rapidly declining cost, high performance and life-of-the-application durability, they are becoming a preferred solution for many energy storage and power delivery applications.

We offer our BOOSTCAP® ultracapacitors in numerous form factors, ranging from postage stamp size 4-farad small cells rated at 2.5 volts, to cylindrical, 2.7-volt, 3,000-farad large cells that measure approximately two inches in diameter and six inches long. Applications such as hybrid-electric bus, truck and auto drive trains, electric rail systems and UPS systems require integrated modules consisting of up to hundreds of ultracapacitor cells. To facilitate adoption of ultracapacitors for these larger systems, we have developed integration technologies, including proprietary electrical balancing and thermal management systems and interconnect technologies. We have applied for patents for certain of these technologies. In 2005 and 2006, we introduced

Table of Contents

several standard multi-cell packs and modules to provide fully integrated solutions for applications requiring up to thousands of volts of power. Our current standard multi-cell products each incorporate from six to 144 of our large cells to provide plug and play solutions for applications requiring from 15 to 390 volts. In addition, they are designed to be linked together for higher voltage applications. Our proprietary integration technology and flexible module architecture also enable us to respond to strategic customers' requests for custom modules to satisfy requirements not met by our standard products. In 2006, we introduced more than 30 new products, including several additional cell form factors and corresponding multi-cell packs and modules to better meet the diverse requirements of the automotive, transportation, industrial and consumer electronics markets.

The chart below describes a number of representative applications for our BOOSTCAP® ultracapacitors that are now in commercial production or are in the field-testing or prototyping and evaluation phase.

Market	Application	Stage of Commercialization
Telecommunications		
Uninterruptible power supply systems (UPS)	Short-term bridge power in integrated systems using fuel cells for primary backup	Field testing and evaluation of multi-cell modules
Industrial Electronics		
Utility meters	Wireless communication	Commercial production
Actuators	Energy storage	Commercial production
Memory boards	Back-up power	Commercial production
Telecommunications	Wireless base station power quality	Commercial production
Energy Generation		
Wind turbines	Blade pitch systems to optimize wind energy generation efficiency	Commercial production
Fuel Cell Augmentation		
Stationary systems	Startup, bridge power and peak load buffering to reduce system size and cost	Commercial production
Forklifts and other all-electric light mobility vehicles	Startup, braking energy recuperation and dynamic power for lifting	Commercial production
Transportation		
Hybrid-electric transit bus drive trains	Braking energy recuperation and reuse for torque augmentation	Commercial production
Airplane door actuators	Backup power for emergency deployment if main power system fails	Commercial production
Rail systems	Braking energy recuperation and reuse for electric train and tram propulsion (both stationary and onboard)	Field testing and evaluation of multi-cell systems developed by rail vehicle and system OEMs
	Capacitive starting systems for diesel locomotives	Prototyping and evaluation by locomotive OEMs

Table of Contents

Market	Application	Stage of Commercialization
Automobile systems	Braking energy recuperation and reuse for torque augmentation in hybrid power trains	Prototyping and evaluation
	Distributed power nodes for all-electric power steering, braking and other subsystems	Prototyping and evaluation by auto manufacturers and Tier I subsystem OEMs
	Power network buffering to prevent malfunctions due to voltage sags	Prototyping and evaluation by automotive OEMs
	After-market audio systems	Initial commercialization
Diesel vehicles	Capacitive starting	Prototyping and evaluation by Tier 1 integrators and truck OEMs

High-Voltage Capacitors

Electric utility infrastructure includes switches, circuit breakers, step-down transformers and measurement instruments that transmit, distribute and measure high-voltage electrical energy. High-voltage capacitors are used to protect these systems from high-voltage arcing. With operational lifetimes measured in decades, these applications require high reliability and durability.

Through our acquisition in 2002 of Montena Components Ltd., now known as Maxwell Technologies SA, and the CONDIS® line of high-voltage capacitor products, Maxwell has more than 20 years of experience in this industry, and is the world's largest producer of such products for use in utility infrastructure. Engineers with specific expertise in high-voltage systems develop, design and test our high-voltage capacitor products in our development and production facility in Rossens, Switzerland. Our high-voltage capacitors are produced through a proprietary, automated, winding and assembly process to ensure consistent quality and reliability. We upgraded our high-voltage capacitor production facility in 2004 to double its output capacity and significantly shorten order-to-delivery intervals.

We sell our high-voltage capacitor products to large systems integrators, such as Areva and Siemens AG, which install and service electrical utility infrastructure around the world.

Radiation-Mitigated Microelectronic Products

Manufacturers of commercial and military satellites and other spacecraft require microelectronic components and sub-systems that meet specific functional requirements and can withstand exposure to gamma rays, hot electrons and protons and other environmental radiation encountered in space. In the past, microelectronic components and systems for such special applications used only specially fabricated radiation-hardened silicon. However, the process of designing and producing rad-hard silicon is lengthy and expensive, and there are only a few specialty semiconductor wafer fabricators, so supplies of rad-hard silicon are limited. As a result, demand for components made with the latest commercial silicon, protected by shielding and other radiation mitigation techniques, is growing. Commercial silicon provides higher functionality and costs significantly less than rad-hard silicon. Producing components and systems incorporating radiation-mitigated commercial silicon requires expertise in power electronics, circuit design, silicon selection, radiation shielding and extensive expertise in quality assurance testing.

We design, manufacture and market radiation-mitigated microelectronic products, including power modules, memory modules and single-board computers, for the space and satellite markets. Using highly adaptable, proprietary, packaging and shielding technology and other radiation mitigation techniques, we custom design products that allow satellite and spacecraft manufacturers to use powerful, low cost, commercial components that are protected with the level of radiation mitigation required for reliable performance in the specific orbit or environment in which they are to be deployed.

Table of Contents

Manufacturing

All of our internal manufacturing operations are conducted in production facilities located in San Diego, California, and Rossens, Switzerland. In addition, we have begun outsourcing large cell ultracapacitor assembly to Belton Technology Group, a contract manufacturer based in Shenzhen, China. Over the past several years, we have made substantial capital investments to outfit and expand our internal production facilities and incorporate the latest available mechanization and automation techniques and processes. We have trained our manufacturing personnel in advanced operational techniques including demand-based manufacturing. We have also added advanced information technology infrastructure and have implemented new business processes and systems to increase our manufacturing capacity and improve efficiency, planning and product quality. Our production facilities have been designed with flexible overhead power grids and modular manufacturing cells and equipment that allow factory operations to be reconfigured rapidly at minimal expense. With the completion of certain upgrades in 2006, and other upgrades and capacity expansions currently underway, along with our contract manufacturing relationship with Belton in China, we believe that we have sufficient capacity to meet near-term demand for all of our product lines.

Acceptance of our ultracapacitor products and high-voltage capacitor products depends in part on compliance and certification with a number of U.S. and foreign standards for electronic components and systems. Among the entities that promulgate such standards are Underwriters Laboratories, Canadian Standards Association and Committee European. We incorporate compliance with such standards into the quality assurance protocols we follow in manufacturing and testing these products.

Ultracapacitors

We produce ultracapacitor cells on pilot production lines in both our San Diego and Rossens facilities, and have begun outsourcing large cell ultracapacitor assembly to Belton Technology Group, a contract manufacturer based in Shenzhen, China. In 2005, we completed installation of our first high-volume, fully automated manufacturing line for our 350- and 140-farad ultracapacitors in our Rossens facility. To reduce cost, simplify assembly and facilitate automation, we have redesigned our ultracapacitor products to incorporate lower-cost materials and to reduce both the number of parts in a finished cell and the number of manufacturing process steps required to produce them. Rather than further expanding our current ultracapacitor cell assembly lines in San Diego and Rossens, we plan to outsource future additional increments of cell assembly capacity to low-cost countries.

We produce electrode material for our BOOSTCAP products, and for sale to other ultracapacitor manufacturers, such as Yeong-Long Technologies Co., Ltd., (YEC) and Shanghai Sanjiu Electric Equipment Company, Ltd. (Sanjiu) at our San Diego headquarters location. In 2006, we completed installation of the first element of a major electrode capacity expansion that enabled us to more than double previous electrode output without additional direct labor. That expansion gave us sufficient capacity to support both our current ultracapacitor production requirements and external electrode sales. During the first half of 2007 we expect to complete facility upgrades and installation of a second electrode production line that will give us a total annual capacity of more than one million square meters of electrode material, which is more than sufficient to meet our near-term volume requirements. As demand increases, additional increments of electrode production capacity can be added within a few months of placing an order with our current equipment vendor. We intend to continue producing this proprietary material internally, and do not contemplate licensing our particle packaging technology to ultracapacitor electrode customers or competing suppliers of such material.

In 2001, we installed an automated assembly line for our 4-farad and 10-farad small cell ultracapacitors in our San Diego production facility. This line can produce approximately 40,000 to 50,000 small cells per 24-hour production day, which is more than sufficient to meet our current and projected near term small cell production demand.

In 2003, we formed an ultracapacitor manufacturing and marketing alliance with YEC, a manufacturer of electrolytic capacitors headquartered in Taichung, Taiwan, with manufacturing and sales operations in mainland

Table of Contents

China. We entered into this alliance to accelerate commercialization of our proprietary BOOSTCAP ultracapacitors in China, and to enhance Maxwell's capabilities as a global supplier of ultracapacitors, with production facilities in North America and Europe, and access to facilities in Asia. This alliance allows YEC to produce and sell our ultracapacitor products on a royalty-bearing basis in the Chinese market. In 2006, we expanded our relationship with YEC to include supplying ultracapacitor electrode material to YEC for incorporation into its own ultracapacitor products, and to assist YEC in establishing worldwide distribution and marketing.

High-Voltage Capacitors

We produce our high-voltage grading and coupling capacitors in our Rossens, Switzerland facility. We believe we are the only high-voltage capacitor producer that manufactures its products with automated winding, stacking and assembly processes. This enables us to produce consistent, high quality and highly reliable products, and gives us sufficient capacity to satisfy growing global customer demand. Using advanced demand-based techniques, we upgraded the assembly portion of the process to a cell-based, just-in-time design in 2004, doubling our production capacity without adding direct labor, and significantly shortening order-to-delivery intervals. This upgrade also enabled us to manufacture products for the capacitive voltage divider market, which we did not previously serve. We believe that penetrating this new market could enable us to materially increase our High Tension capacitor revenue.

Radiation-Mitigated Microelectronics Products

We produce our radiation-mitigated microelectronics products in our San Diego production facility. We have reengineered our production processes for radiation-mitigated microelectronics, resulting in substantial reductions in cycle time and a significant increase in yield. In 2004, this facility earned QML-V and QML-Q certification by the Department of Defense procurement agency, making it one of fewer than 15 QML-certified microelectronics production facilities in the world.

Our radiation-mitigated microelectronics production operations include die characterization, packaging, electrical, environmental and life testing. As a result of manufacturing cycle time reductions and operator productivity increases achieved over the past several years, we believe that this facility is capable of doubling its current output without additional direct labor or capital expenditure, and therefore, that we have ample capacity to meet foreseeable demand in the space and satellite markets.

Suppliers

We generally purchase components and materials, such as carbon powder, electronic components, dielectric materials and metal enclosures from a number of suppliers. For certain products, such as our radiation-mitigated microelectronic products and our high-voltage capacitors, we rely on a limited number of suppliers or a single supplier. Although we believe there are alternative sources for some of the components and materials that we currently obtain from a single source, there can be no assurance that we will be able to identify and qualify alternative suppliers in a timely manner. Therefore, in critical component areas, we bank, or store, critical high value materials, especially silicon die. We are working to reduce our dependence on sole and limited source suppliers through an extensive global sourcing effort.

Marketing and Sales

We market and sell our products through both direct and indirect sales organizations in North America, Europe and Asia for integration by OEM customers into a wide range of end products. Because the introduction of products based on emerging technologies requires customer acceptance of new and unfamiliar technical approaches, and because many OEM customers have rigorous vendor qualification processes, the initial sale of our products often takes months or even years.

Table of Contents

Our principal marketing strategy is to cultivate long-term relationships by becoming a preferred vendor and competing for multiple supply opportunities with our key OEM customers. As these design-in sales tend to be technical and engineering-intensive, we organize customer-specific teams composed of sales, engineering, research and development and other technical and operational personnel to work closely with our customers across multiple disciplines to satisfy their requirements for form, fit, function and environmental needs. As time-to-market often is a primary motivation for our customers to use our products, the initial sale and design-in process typically evolves into ongoing account management to ensure on-time delivery, responsive technical support and problem-solving.

Because of the distinct nature of each of our product lines, we conduct discrete marketing programs intended to position and promote each product line. These include trade shows, seminars, advertising, product publicity, distribution of product literature and Internet websites. We employ marketing communications specialists and outside consultants to develop and implement our marketing programs, design and develop marketing materials, negotiate advertising media purchases, write and place product press releases and manage our marketing websites.

We have an alliance with YEC to manufacture and market our proprietary small cell BOOSTCAP® ultracapacitor products in China. In addition, we sell electrode material to YEC for incorporation into its own ultracapacitor products, and have agreed to sell electrode material to Shanghai Sanjiu Electric Equipment Company, which has licensed our large cell technology and intends to introduce its own brand of ultracapacitor products in China in 2007.

Competition

Each of our product lines has competitors, many of whom have longer operating histories, significantly greater financial, technical, marketing and other resources, greater name recognition and larger installed customer bases than we have. In some of the target markets for our emerging technologies, we face competition both from products utilizing well-established, existing technologies and other novel or emerging technologies.

Ultracapacitors

Our ultracapacitor products have two types of competitors: other ultracapacitor suppliers and purveyors of energy storage and power delivery solutions based on other technologies. Although a number of companies are developing ultracapacitor technology, our principal competitor in the supply of ultracapacitor or supercapacitor products is Panasonic, a division of Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd. In the supply of ultracapacitor electrode material to other ultracapacitor manufacturers, our primary competitor is W.L. Gore & Associates, Inc. The key competitive factors in the ultracapacitor industry are price, performance (energy stored and power delivered per unit volume), durability and reliability, operational lifetime and overall breadth of product offerings. We believe that our ultracapacitor products and electrode material compete favorably with respect to all of these competitive factors.

Ultracapacitors also compete with products based on other technologies, including advanced batteries in power quality and peak power applications, and flywheels, thermal storage and batteries in back-up energy storage applications. We believe that ultracapacitors' high durability, long life, high performance and value proposition give them a competitive advantage over these alternative choices in many applications. In addition, integration of ultracapacitors with some of these competing products may provide optimized solutions that neither product can provide by itself. For example, combined solutions incorporating ultracapacitors with batteries for cold starting in diesel trucks have been in development for several years, and efforts currently are underway to standardize such systems.

Table of Contents

High-Voltage Capacitors

Maxwell, through its acquisition in 2002 of Montena (now known as Maxwell Technologies SA) and its CONDIS® line of high-voltage capacitor products, is the world's largest producer of high-voltage capacitors for use in electric utility infrastructure. Our principal competitors in the high-voltage capacitor markets are in-house production groups of certain of our customers and other independent manufacturers, such as the Coil Product Division of Trench Limited in Canada and Europe and Hochspannungsgeräte Porz GmbH in Germany. We believe that we compete favorably, both as a consistent supplier of highly reliable high-voltage capacitors, and in terms of our expertise in high-voltage systems design. Over the last ten years, our largest customer, has transitioned from producing its grading and coupling capacitors internally to outsourcing substantially all of its needs to us.

Radiation-Mitigated Microelectronic Products

Our radiation-mitigated power modules, memory modules and single-board computers compete with the products of traditional radiation-hardened integrated circuit suppliers such as Honeywell Corporation, Lockheed Martin Corporation and BAE Systems. We also compete with commercial integrated circuit suppliers with product lines that have inherent radiation tolerance characteristics, such as National Semiconductor Corporation, Analog Devices Inc. and Temic Instruments B.V. in Europe. Our proprietary radiation-mitigation technologies enable us to provide flexible, high function, low-cost, radiation-mitigated products based on the most advanced commercial electronic circuits and processors. In addition, we compete with component product offerings from high reliability packaging houses such as Austin Semiconductor, Inc., White Microelectronics, Inc. and Teledyne Microelectronics, a unit of Teledyne Technologies, Inc.

Research and Development

We maintain active research and development (R&D) programs to improve existing products and develop new products. For the year ended December 31, 2006, our research and development expenditures totaled approximately \$10.1 million, compared with \$7.2 million and \$5.5 million in the years ended December 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively. In general, we focus our research and product development activities on:

designing and producing products that perform reliably for the life of the end products or systems into which they are integrated;

making our products less expensive to produce so as to improve our profit margins and to enable us to reduce prices so that our products can penetrate new, price-enabled, markets;

designing our products to have superior technical performance;

designing new products that provide novel solutions to expand our market opportunities; and

designing our products to be compact and light.

Most of our current research, development and engineering activities are focused on material science, including electrically conducting and dielectric materials, ceramics and radiation-tolerant silicon and ceramic composites to reduce cost and improve performance, reliability and ease of manufacture. Additional efforts are focused on product design and manufacturing engineering and manufacturing processes for high-volume manufacturing.

Ultracapacitors

The principal focus of our ultracapacitor development activities is to increase power and energy density, extend operational life and substantially reduce product cost. Our ultracapacitor designs focus on low-cost, high-capacity cells in standard sizes ranging from 4-farads to 3,000-farads, and corresponding multi-cell modules based on those form factors. In 2006 we introduced new Power and Energy families of ultracapacitor products to better match customer performance and cost requirements, with a goal of penetrating price-enabled applications at multi-million unit

volumes.

Table of Contents

In 2005, we entered into an ultracapacitor technology research and development contract with the United States Advanced Battery Consortium (USABC). The USABC operates under the auspices of the U.S. Council for Automotive Research, an umbrella organization formed by DaimlerChrysler, Ford and General Motors to strengthen the technology base of the domestic auto industry through cooperative research. Over the term of this program, whose primary goal was development of low-cost, high-performance, ultracapacitor-based energy storage modules for applications in passenger vehicles, Maxwell received a total of approximately \$3 million and 616,000 in matching funds from the U.S. Department of Energy in 2005 and 2006 respectively.

High-voltage capacitors

The principal focus of our high-voltage capacitor development efforts is to enhance performance and reliability while reducing the size, weight and manufacturing cost of our products. We also are directing our design efforts to develop high-voltage capacitors for additional applications.

Microelectronic products

The principal focus of our microelectronics product development activities is on circuit design and shielding and other radiation-mitigation techniques that allow the use of powerful commercial silicon components in space and satellite applications that require ultra high reliability. We also focus on creating system solutions that overcome the basic failure mechanisms of individual components through architectural approaches, including redundancy, mitigation and correction. This involves expertise in system architecture, including algorithm and micro-code development, circuit design and the physics of radiation effects on silicon electronic components.

Intellectual Property

We place a strong emphasis on inventing proprietary processes and designs that significantly increase the value and uniqueness of our product portfolio, and on obtaining patents to provide the broadest possible protection for those products and related technologies. Our ultimate success will depend in part on our ability to protect existing patents, secure additional patent protection and develop new processes and designs not covered by the patents of third parties. As of December 31, 2006, Maxwell and its subsidiaries held 47 issued U.S. patents, had 78 U.S. patent applications pending and numerous provisional applications in process. Of the issued patents, 32 relate to our ultracapacitor products and technology and 15 relate to our microelectronics products and technology. Our subsidiary, PurePulse Technologies, Inc. (PurePulse), which suspended operations in 2002, holds 29 issued U.S. patents and has five pending U.S. patent applications. Our issued patents have various expiration dates ranging from 2010 to 2025.

Our pending patent applications and any future patent applications may not be allowed. We routinely seek to protect our new developments and technologies by applying for U.S. patents and corresponding foreign patents in the principal countries of Europe and Asia. At present, with the exception of microcode architectures within our radiation-mitigated microelectronics product line, we do not rely on licenses from any third parties to produce or commercialize our products.

Our existing patent portfolios and pending patent applications covering technologies associated with our ultracapacitor and microelectronic products relate primarily to:

Ultracapacitors

the physical composition of the electrode and its design and fabrication;

physical cell package designs and processes used in cell assembly;

cell-to-cell and module-to-module interconnect technologies that minimize equivalent series resistance and enhance the functionality, performance and longevity of BOOSTCAP® products; and

Table of Contents

module and system designs that facilitate applications of ultracapacitor technology.

Microelectronics

system architectures that enable commercial silicon products to be used in radiation-intense space environments;

technologies and designs that improve packaging densities while mitigating the effect of radiation on commercial silicon; and

radiation-mitigation techniques that improve performance while protecting sensitive commercial silicon from the effects of environmental radiation in space.

Historically, our high-voltage capacitor products have been based on our know-how and trade secrets rather than on patents. We filed our first patent application covering our high-voltage capacitor technology in 2003, and we will continue to pursue patent protection in addition to trade secret protection of certain aspects of our products' design and production.

Establishing and protecting proprietary products and technologies is a key element of our strategy. Although we attempt to protect our intellectual property rights through patents, trademarks, copyrights, trade secrets and other measures, there can be no assurance that these steps will be adequate to prevent infringement, misappropriation or other misuse by third parties, or will be adequate under the laws of some foreign countries, which may not protect our intellectual property rights to the same extent as do the laws of the U.S.

We use employee and third party confidentiality and nondisclosure agreements to protect our trade secrets and unpatented know-how. We require each of our employees to enter into a proprietary rights and nondisclosure agreement in which the employee agrees to maintain the confidentiality of all our proprietary information and, subject to certain exceptions, to assign to us all rights in any proprietary information or technology made or contributed by the employee during his or her employment with us. In addition, we regularly enter into nondisclosure agreements with third parties, such as potential product development partners and customers.

Financial Information About Geographic Areas

	2006		Year ending December 31, 2005		2004	
	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent
Revenues from external customers located in:						
United States	\$ 18,307	34%	\$ 20,576	45%	\$ 13,938	43%
All other countries	35,578	66%	24,861	55%	18,274	57%
Total	\$ 53,885	100%	\$ 45,437	100%	\$ 32,212	100%
Long-lived assets:						
United States	\$ 10,751	30%	\$ 10,090	32%	\$ 9,337	28%
Switzerland	24,921	70%	21,696	68%	24,547	72%
Total	\$ 35,672	100%	\$ 31,786	100%	\$ 33,884	100%

Risks Attendant to Foreign Operations and Dependence

We derive a significant portion of our revenues from sales to customers located outside the U.S. We expect our international sales to continue to represent a significant and increasing portion of our future revenues. As a result, our business will continue to be subject to certain risks, such as foreign government regulations, export

Table of Contents

controls, changes in tax laws, tax treaties, tariffs, freight rates and timely and accurate financial reporting from our international subsidiary. Additionally, as a result of our extensive international operations and significant revenue generated outside the U.S., the dollar amount of our current and future revenues, expenses and debt may be materially affected by fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. If we are unable to manage these risks effectively, it could impair our ability to increase international sales.

Similarly, assets or liabilities of our consolidated foreign subsidiary that are not denominated in its functional currency are subject to effects of currency fluctuations, which may affect our reported earnings.

We have substantial operations in Switzerland. Having substantial international operations increases the difficulty of managing our financial reporting and internal controls and procedures. In addition, to the extent we are unable to respond effectively to political, economic and other conditions in the countries where we operate and do business, our results of operations and financial condition could be materially adversely affected. Moreover, changes in the mix of income from our foreign subsidiaries, expiration of tax holidays and changes in tax laws and regulations could increase our tax expense.

Backlog

Backlog for continuing operations for the year ended December 31, 2006 was approximately \$6.7 million, compared with \$12.4 million as of December 31, 2005. Backlog consists of firm orders for products that will be delivered within 12 months. Because we have dramatically reduced production cycle times, our customers are less likely to commit firm purchase orders as far in advance of their production needs as they did in the past.

Significant Customers

Sales to one customer amounted to approximately \$9.7 million, or 18%, and \$10.6 million, or 23%, of our total revenue for years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Government Regulation

Due to the nature of our operations and the use of hazardous substances in some of our ongoing manufacturing and research and development activities, we are subject to stringent federal, state and local laws, rules, regulations and policies governing workplace safety and environmental protection. These include the use, generation, manufacture, storage, air emission, effluent discharge, handling and disposal of certain materials and wastes. In the course of our historical operations, materials or wastes may have spilled or been released from properties owned or leased by us or on or under other locations where these materials and wastes have been taken for disposal. These properties and the materials and wastes spilled, released, or disposed thereon are subject to environmental laws that may impose strict liability, without regard to fault or the legality of the original conduct, for remediation of contamination resulting from such releases. Under such laws and regulations, we could be required to remediate previously spilled, released, or disposed substances or wastes, or to make capital improvements to prevent future contamination. Failure to comply with such laws and regulations also could result in the assessment of substantial administrative, civil and criminal penalties and even the issuance of injunctions restricting or prohibiting our activities. It is also possible that implementation of stricter environmental laws and regulations in the future could result in additional costs or liabilities to us as well as the industry in general. While we believe we are in substantial compliance with existing environmental laws and regulations, we cannot be certain that we will not incur substantial costs in the future.

In addition, certain of our microelectronics products are subject to International Traffic in Arms export regulations when they are sold to customers outside the U.S. We routinely obtain export licenses for such product shipments outside the U.S.

Table of Contents

Employees

As of December 31, 2006, we had 377 employees, consisting of 155 full-time, 2 part-time employee and 54 temporary employees in the U.S., and 123 full-time, 3 part-time and 40 temporary employees in Switzerland. We believe that approximately 30 percent of our employees in Switzerland are members of a labor union. Swiss law prohibits employers from inquiring into the union status of employees. We consider our relations with our employees to be good.

Available Information

We file or furnish annual, quarterly and special reports, proxy statements and other information with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Our SEC filings are available free of charge to the public over the Internet at the SEC's website at <http://www.sec.gov>. Our SEC filings are also available free of charge on our website at <http://www.maxwell.com> as soon as reasonably practicable following the time that they are filed with or furnished to the SEC. You may also read and copy any document we file with or furnish to the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 450 Fifth Street, NW, Washington, DC 20549. You may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The information found on our website is not part of this or any report that we file or furnish to the SEC.

Facilities

Our San Diego headquarters and principal research, manufacturing and marketing facility occupies approximately 45,000 square feet under a renewable lease that expires in July 2010. We also occupy a 16,500-square-foot production annex in San Diego under a renewable lease that expires in November 2010. In addition, we lease research, manufacturing and marketing facilities occupying 68,620 square feet in Rossens, Switzerland, under a renewable lease that expires in June 2009. We believe that we have sufficient floor space to support forecasted increases in production volume and, therefore, that our facilities are adequate to meet our needs for the foreseeable future.

Table of Contents

Item 1A. Risk Factors

An investment in our common stock involves a high degree of risk. Our business, financial condition and results of operations could be seriously harmed if potentially adverse developments, some of which are described below, materialize and cannot be resolved successfully. In any such case, the market price of our common stock could decline and you may lose all or part of your investment in our common stock.

The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones we face. Additional risks and uncertainties, including those not presently known to us or that we currently deem immaterial, may also result in decreased revenues, increased expenses or other adverse impacts that could result in a decline in the price of our common stock. You should also refer to the other information set forth in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, including our consolidated financial statements and the related notes.

We have a history of losses and we may not achieve or maintain profitability in the future, which may decrease the market value of our common stock.

We have incurred net losses in our last eight fiscal years. We cannot assure you that we will become profitable in the foreseeable future, if ever. Even if we do achieve profitability, we may experience significant fluctuations in our revenues and we may incur net losses from period to period as a result of a number of factors, including but not limited to the following:

the amounts invested in developing, manufacturing and marketing our products in any period as compared with the volume of sales of those products in the same period;

increasing number of competitors and resulting price competition;

fluctuations in demand for our products by our OEM customers;

the prices at which we sell our products and services compared with the prices of our competitors and our product costs;

the timing of our product introductions may lag behind those of our competitors;

inability to manufacture our products at a cost level that supports adequate gross margins;

negative impacts resulting from acquisitions we have made or may make; and

future changes in financial accounting standards or practices.

In addition, we incur significant costs developing and marketing products based on new technologies and, in order to increase our market share, we have sold, and may in the future sell, our products at profit margins below those we ultimately expect to achieve. We have in the past, and may in the future, make a strategic decision to accept certain orders to sell products to a limited number of customers at prices below our manufacturing costs. The impact of the foregoing may cause our operating results to be below the expectations of securities analysts and investors, which may result in a decrease in the market value of our common stock.

We face risks selling products internationally which are or may become regulated by the US Government.

Our radiation shielded products are being classified as International Traffic in Arms Regulations (ITAR) which subject them to the licensing jurisdiction of the Department of State in accordance with the International Traffic in Arms Regulations (22 CFR 120 through 130) and are

Edgar Filing: MAXWELL TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

designated a defense article under Category XV(e) of the United States Munitions List. This means that all international sales of our radiation shielded products require licensing which may have the following impact: a) approval of the license may or may not be granted, b) the time between the receipt of an order and shipment of product may be increased, and c) sales could be impacted due to a customers preference of using non-ITAR regulated products. Additionally, we may be subject to new regulations that have a potential to impact sales or our products that we sell internationally or domestically.

Table of Contents

We may enter into agreements and provide services before funding is approved or obtained.

We may provide services for projects before funding for such projects is approved or received. If funds are not received we would not recognize the revenue even though we may incur the expenses. We provide these goods or services knowing that we may not receive compensation. If funding is not eventually obtained, any capitalized expenses or inventory that is unique to the specific customer would be expensed, which could adversely impact our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

A small number of customers account for a significant portion of our revenues.

We expect that a small number of customers will continue to account for a large portion of our revenues for the foreseeable future. We have one customer that accounts for more than 10% of our revenue. This customer accounted for approximately 18% of our revenues in 2006. If our relationships with our large customers were disrupted, we could lose a significant portion of our anticipated revenues. Factors that could influence our relationships with our customers include:

our ability to sell our products at prices that are competitive with competing suppliers;

our ability to maintain features and quality standards for our products sufficient to meet the expectations of our customers; and

our ability to produce and deliver a sufficient quantity of our products in a timely manner to meet our customers' requirements.

Our large cell ultracapacitors designed for transportation and industrial applications may not gain widespread commercial acceptance, which would adversely impact our growth opportunities, and our overall business prospects.

We have designed our large cell ultracapacitor products primarily for use in transportation and industrial applications. Currently, most of the major automotive companies are testing and developing alternative power sources to augment the current 12-volt electrical system or support the power requirements of hybrid drive systems. We believe our ultracapacitors provide an innovative alternative power solution for both of these applications, and we are currently collaborating technically with several automotive suppliers and auto companies regarding designing our ultracapacitors into their future products. However, the historic per unit cost of ultracapacitors has prevented ultracapacitors from gaining widespread commercial acceptance. In addition, there are other competing technologies such as advanced batteries, compressed gas and hydrolytic fluids as well as competing ultracapacitors. We believe that the long-term success of our ultracapacitor products will be determined by our ability to reduce the price of our products and outperform competing technologies, resulting in our ultracapacitors being widely designed into the next generation of hybrid drive systems and the first generation of up-rated 12 and 42-volt electrical systems. If our ultracapacitor products fail to achieve widespread commercial acceptance in the next generation of automotive systems, our future revenues and growth opportunities will be adversely impacted and our overall business prospects will be significantly impaired.

We may be unable to produce our large cell ultracapacitors in commercial quantities or reduce the cost of production enough to be commercially viable for widespread application, which would adversely impact our revenues and growth opportunities and our overall business prospects.

If we are not able to produce large quantities of our large cell ultracapacitor products in the near future at a significantly lower per unit cost, our large cell ultracapacitors may not be a commercially viable alternative to competing energy storage and power delivery solutions. Although we have been selling BOOSTCAP® large cell ultracapacitors designed for transportation and industrial applications, we have only produced these products in limited quantities and at relatively high prices compared with traditional energy storage and power delivery devices. We are currently investing significant resources in improving our ultracapacitor cell and multi-cell module designs for higher performance and lower cost, and in automating and scaling up our manufacturing

Table of Contents

capacity to enable us to produce ultracapacitors in quantities sufficient to meet the needs of our potential customers. If we are unable to continue reducing our cost of production and establishing the capability to produce large quantities of ultracapacitors at a reduced cost, we may not be able to generate commercial acceptance of, and sufficient revenue from, these products to recover our significant investment in the development and manufacturing scale-up, and our overall business prospects will be significantly impaired.

It may also be difficult for us to solve management, technological, engineering and other problems, which may arise in connection with scaling up our manufacturing processes. These problems may include production volumes and yields, quality assurance, adequate and timely supply of materials and components and shortages of qualified management and other personnel. In addition, we plan to have some of our products manufactured by third parties. If we outsource the manufacture of our products, we will face risks with respect to quality assurance, cost and the absence of close engineering support.

We may not be able to develop and market our products successfully, and thus may not be able to achieve or maintain profitability in the future.

If we are unable to develop and market our products successfully, we may not achieve or maintain profitability. In recent years, we have introduced many of our products into commercial markets and, upon such introductions, we also must demonstrate our capabilities as a reliable supplier of these products. Some of our products are alternatives to established products or provide capabilities that do not presently exist in the marketplace. Our products are sold in highly competitive and rapidly changing markets. Our products' success is significantly affected by their cost, technology standards, performance and reliability and end-user preferences. The success of our products also depends on a number of factors, including our ability to:

maintain an engineering and marketing staff sufficiently skilled to identify and design new products;

identify and develop attractive markets for our new products and technologies and accurately anticipate demand;

develop appropriate sales and distribution channels;

develop and manufacture new products that we can sell at competitive prices, with adequate margins;

deliver products that meet our customers' requirements for quality and reliability;

increase our manufacturing capacity and improve manufacturing efficiency to meet our customer demands while maintaining quality;

successfully respond to technological changes by improving our existing products and technologies;

demonstrate that our products have technological and/or economic advantages over competing products;

successfully respond to competitors that are more experienced, have significantly greater resources and have a larger base of customers; and

secure required raw materials at the prices necessary to manufacture and deliver competitive products.

If we are unable to secure qualified and adequate sources for our materials, components and sub-assemblies, we may not be able to make our products at competitive costs and we may have difficulty meeting customer demand, which could damage our relationships with our customers.

Our ability to manufacture products depends in part on our ability to secure qualified and adequate sources of materials, components and sub-assemblies at prices that enable us to make our products at competitive costs. Some of our suppliers are currently the sole source of one or more items that we need to manufacture our products. Although we seek to reduce our dependence on sole and limited source suppliers, the partial or complete loss of these sources could have at least a temporary adverse effect on our business and results of operations and damage customer relationships. Upon occasion, we have experienced difficulty in obtaining timely delivery of supplies from outside suppliers, which has delayed deliveries to our customers. There can be no assurance that such supply problems will not recur.

Table of Contents

Our product lines may be subject to increased competition, and this may limit our ability to increase or maintain our gross margins. If our competitors develop and commercialize products faster than we do, or commercialize products that are superior to or lower cost than our products, our commercial opportunities may be reduced or eliminated.

Market acceptance of our products will depend on competitive factors, many of which are beyond our control. Competition in our markets is intense and has been accentuated by the rapid pace of technological development. Our competitors include large fully-integrated electronics companies. We may not be able to develop, fund or invest in one or more of our product lines to the same degree or as quickly as our competitors do. Many of our competitors have substantially greater research and development capabilities and financial, manufacturing, technological, marketing and sales resources than we do, as well as more experience in research and development, product testing, manufacturing, marketing and sales. These organizations also compete with us to:

attract parties for collaborations or joint ventures;

license proprietary technology that is competitive with our technology; and

attract and hire scientific, engineering and marketing talent.

Our competitors may succeed in developing and commercializing products earlier than we do. Our competitors may also develop products or technologies that are superior to or lower cost than ours, and render our product candidates or technology obsolete or non-competitive. If we cannot successfully compete with new or existing products, our sales and revenue would suffer and we may not ever become profitable.

If our OEM customers fail to purchase our components or to sell sufficient quantities of their products incorporating our components, or if our OEM customers' sales timing and volume fluctuates, it could prevent us from achieving our sales and market share goals.

Sales to a relatively small number of OEM customers, as opposed to direct retail sales to end customers, make up a large portion of our revenues. For example, we have one customer accounted for more than 10% of our revenue, this client accounted for approximately 18% of our revenues in 2006. Our ability to make sales to OEM customers depends on our ability to compete on price, delivery and quality. The timing and volume of these sales depend upon the sales levels and shipping schedules for the products of our OEM customers. Thus, even if we develop a successful component, our sales will not increase unless the product into which our component is incorporated is successful. If our OEM customers fail to sell a sufficient quantity of products incorporating our components, or if the OEM customers' sales timing and volume fluctuate, it could prevent us from achieving our sales targets and negatively impact our market share. Our OEM customers typically require a long development and engineering process before incorporating our products into their systems and products. This period of time is in addition to the time we spend on basic research and product development. As a result, we are vulnerable to changes in technology or end user preferences.

Our opportunity to sell our products to our OEM customers typically occurs at infrequent intervals, depending on when the OEM customer designs a new product or enhances an existing one. If we are not aware of an OEM's product development schedule, or if we cannot provide components or technologies when they develop their products, we may miss a sales opportunity that may not reappear for some time.

We may face product liability or warranty claims, either directly or indirectly through our customers, and we have limited experience with some of our products as to our potential liability.

We offer our customers a warranty for our products. Any product defects could, in turn, lead to defects in our customers' products that incorporate our products. Defects in our products could give rise to warranty claims against us or to liability for damages. Such defects could also lead to liability for consequential damages. Defects in our products could, moreover, impair the market's acceptance of our products. Any of these events could have a material adverse effect on our business and financial condition. We have limited experience with some of our

Table of Contents

products in evaluating the potential liability that could be created by claims under our warranties. If the claims made under such warranties exceed our warranty reserves, our results of operations and financial condition could be materially adversely affected. Additionally, warranty periods in some foreign countries are mandated by law. Changes in such laws may affect the adequacy of our warranty reserves.

Unfavorable economic conditions in the U.S. and abroad may adversely affect our OEM customers and prevent us from achieving sales growth.

Many of our new products are components designed to be integrated into new products and systems to be introduced to the marketplace by our OEM customers. For example, unfavorable economic conditions in 2003 and 2004 resulted in reduced capital spending on U.S. electric utility infrastructure and delayed the introduction of certain new products by our OEM customers. A recurrence of such unfavorable economic conditions may adversely affect our ability to market and sell our new products in the future.

A prolonged economic downturn could materially harm our business.

Any negative trends in the general economy, including trends resulting from actual or threatened military action by the United States and threats of terrorist attacks in the United States and abroad, could cause a decrease in capital spending in many of the markets we serve. In particular, a downward cycle affecting the technology, automotive and industrial, and military and aerospace markets would likely result in a reduction in demand for our products. In addition, if our customers' own markets and financial performance decline, we may not be able to collect outstanding amounts due to us. Any such circumstances could harm our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

If we are unable to protect our intellectual property adequately, such as in the Peoples Republic of China (PRC), we could lose our competitive advantage in the industry segments in which we do business.

Our success depends in part on establishing and protecting our intellectual property rights. If we are unable to protect our intellectual property adequately, we could lose our competitive advantage in the industry segments in which we do business. Although we protect our intellectual property rights through patents, trademarks, copyrights, trade secrets and other measures, these steps may not prevent infringement, misappropriation or other misuse by third parties. We have taken steps to protect our intellectual property rights under the laws of certain foreign countries, but our efforts may not be effective to the extent that foreign laws are not as protective as the laws of the U.S. For example, we have licensed the rights to manufacture and market our patented ultracapacitor technology in the PRC to a company located in the PRC. Patent and other intellectual property rights receive substantially less protections in the PRC than is available in the United States. In addition, we face the possibility that third parties may reverse engineer our products to discover how they work and introduce competing products, or that third parties might independently develop products and intellectual property similar to ours.

We have increased our emphasis on protecting our technologies and products through patents. Our success depends on maintaining our patents, adding to them where appropriate, and developing products and applications without infringing the patent and proprietary rights of others. The following risks, among others, are involved in protecting our patents:

our patents may be circumvented or challenged and held unenforceable or invalid;

our pending or future patent applications may not be issued in a timely manner and may not provide the protections we seek; and

others may claim rights in the patented and other proprietary technology that we own or license.

If our patents are invalidated or if it is determined that we, or the licensor of the patent, do not hold sole rights to the patent, we could lose our competitive advantage in the industry segments in which we do business.

Table of Contents

Competing research and patent activity in our product areas is substantial. Conflicting patent and other proprietary rights claims may result in disputes or litigation. Although we do not believe that our products or proprietary rights infringe third parties' rights, infringement claims could be asserted against us in the future. Also, we may not be able to stop a third party product from infringing our proprietary rights without litigation. If we are forced to bring such claims or are subject to such claims by others, we could face time-consuming, costly litigation that may result in product shipment delays, damage payments or injunctions that could prevent us from making, using or selling infringing products. In addition, such litigation could increase our operating expenses and adversely impact our operating results. We may also be required to enter into royalty or licensing agreements on unfavorable terms as part of a judgment or settlement, which could negatively impact the amount of revenue derived from our products or proprietary rights.

Our reputation and ability to enter into alliances or other strategic arrangements may affect our success.

Our reputation is important to our growth and success. Since we anticipate licensing our technology to others, our reputation may be affected by the performance of the companies to which we license our technology. Our licenses may grant exclusivity with respect to certain uses or geographic areas. For example, we have granted licenses to YEC and Shanghai Sanjiu to manufacture and sell products based on our proprietary ultracapacitor designs in China. As a result, we will be dependent in part on the success of these licensees for success in China. We anticipate that future alliances may also be with foreign partners or entities. As a result, such future alliances may be subject to the political climate and economies of the foreign countries where such partners reside and operate. We cannot be certain that our alliance partners or other partners will provide us with the support we anticipate, that such alliances or other relationships will be successful in developing our technology for use with their intended products, or that any alliances or other relationships will be successful in manufacturing and marketing their products. Any of our international operations will also be subject to certain external business risks such as exchange rate fluctuations, political instability or significant weakening of a local economy in which a foreign entity with which we have an affiliation operates or is located. Certain provisions of alliance agreements that are for our benefit may be subject to restrictions in foreign laws that limit our ability to enforce such contractual provisions. If these alliances are not successful our business and prospects could be negatively affected.

We face risks associated with marketing, distribution and sale of our products internationally and, if we are unable to manage these risks effectively, it could impair our ability to increase sales.

We derive a significant portion of our revenues from sales to customers located outside the U.S. We expect our international sales to continue to represent a significant and increasing portion of our future revenues. As a result, our business will continue to be subject to certain risks, such as foreign government regulations, export controls, changes in tax laws, tax treaties, tariffs, freight rates and timely and accurate financial reporting from our international subsidiary.

Additionally, as a result of our extensive international operations and significant revenue generated outside the U.S., the dollar amount of our current and future revenues, expenses and debt may be materially affected by fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. If we are unable to manage these risks effectively, it could impair our ability to increase international sales.

Similarly, assets or liabilities of our consolidated foreign subsidiary that are not denominated in its functional currency are subject to effects of currency fluctuations, which may affect our reported earnings.

We have substantial operations in Switzerland. Having substantial international operations increases the difficulty of managing our financial reporting and internal controls and procedures. In addition, to the extent we are unable to respond effectively to political, economic and other conditions in the countries where we operate and do business, our results of operations and financial condition could be materially adversely affected. Moreover, changes in the mix of income from our foreign subsidiaries, expiration of tax holidays and changes in tax laws and regulations could increase our tax expense.

Table of Contents

We could be subject to future audits by the Defense Department which could result in charges to our earnings and have a negative effect on our cash position.

We enter into contracts that are subject to audits and the out come of an audit may have a negative impact of financial results.

If we are unable to retain key personnel, we could lose our technological and competitive advantage in some product areas and business segments.

Since many of our products employ emerging technologies, our success depends upon the continued service of our key technical and senior management personnel. Some of our scientists and engineers are the key developers of our products and technologies and are recognized as leaders in their area of expertise. The loss of such personnel could threaten our technological and competitive advantage in some product areas and product lines.

Our performance also depends on our ability to identify, hire, train, retain and motivate qualified personnel, especially key executives, operations staff and highly skilled engineers. The industries in which we compete are characterized by a high level of employee mobility and aggressive recruiting of skilled personnel in a highly competitive employment market. All of our employees are at will and thus may terminate their employment with us at any time.

Our ability to increase market share and sales depends on our ability to hire, train and retain qualified marketing and sales personnel.

Because many of our products are new, we have limited experience marketing and selling them. To sell our products, our marketing and sales personnel must demonstrate the advantages of our products over competing products, and we must be able to demonstrate the value of new technology in order to sell new products to existing and new customers. The highly technical nature of the products we offer requires that we attract and retain qualified marketing and sales personnel, and we may have difficulty doing that in a highly competitive employment market. Also, as part of our sales and marketing strategy, we enter into arrangements with distributors and sales representatives to sell our products, and it is possible that our arrangements with outside distributors and sales representatives may not be successful.

Our business and operations would suffer in the event of system failures.

Despite the implementation of security measures, redundancy and backup, our internal information technology networking systems are vulnerable to damages from computer viruses, unauthorized access, energy blackouts, natural disasters, terrorism, war and telecommunication failures. Additionally, from time to time, we install new or upgraded business management systems. To the extent such systems fail or are not properly implemented, we may experience material disruption to our business, including our ability to report operating results on a timely basis.

Accounting rules for stock-based compensation may adversely affect our operating results, our stock price and our competitiveness in the employee marketplace.

We have a history of using employee stock options and other stock-based compensation to hire, motivate and retain our workforce. In December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123R, Share-Based Payment, which has required us, starting in the first quarter of fiscal year 2006, to measure compensation costs for all stock-based compensation (including stock options and our employee stock purchase plan) at fair value and to recognize these costs as expenses in our statements of operations. The recognition of these expenses in our statements of operations will result in lower earnings per share, which could negatively impact our future stock price. In addition, if we reduce our stock-based compensation to minimize the recognition of these expenses, our ability to recruit, motivate and retain employees may be impaired, which could put us at a competitive disadvantage in the employee marketplace.

Table of Contents

Compliance with changing regulations of corporate governance and public disclosure may result in additional expenses.

Changing laws, regulations and standards relating to corporate governance and public disclosure, including the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, new SEC regulations and NASDAQ National Market rules, have created significant additional expenses for public companies. We are committed to maintaining high standards of corporate governance and public disclosure. As a result, our efforts to comply with evolving laws, regulations and standards have resulted in, and are likely to continue to result in, significantly increased general and administrative expenses and diversion of management time to such compliance activities. Our recent efforts to comply with section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and the related regulations have required significant effort and resources, and resulted in significant cost to us. These efforts and expense are increased because of our substantial international operations.

Anti-takeover provisions in our certificate of incorporation and bylaws could prevent certain transactions and could make a takeover more difficult.

Some provisions in our certificate of incorporation and bylaws could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire control of us, even if such change in control would be beneficial to our stockholders. We have a classified board of directors, which means that our directors are divided into three classes that are elected to three-year terms on a staggered basis. Since the three year terms of each class overlap the terms of the other classes of directors, the entire board of directors cannot be replaced in any one year. Furthermore, our certificate of incorporation contains a fair price provision which may require a potential acquirer to obtain the consent of our board to any business combination involving us.

We have adopted a program under which our stockholders have rights to purchase our stock directly from us at a below-market price if a company or person attempts to buy us without negotiating with the board. This program is intended to encourage a buyer to negotiate with us, but may have the effect of discouraging offers from possible buyers.

The provisions of our certificate of incorporation and bylaws could delay, deter or prevent a merger, tender offer, or other business combination or change in control involving us that stockholders might consider to be in their best interests. This includes offers or attempted takeovers that could result in our stockholders receiving a premium over the market price for their shares of our common stock.

Our common stock experiences limited trading volume and our stock price has been volatile.

Our common stock is traded on the NASDAQ National Market. The trading volume of our common stock each day is relatively low. This means that sales or purchases of relatively small blocks of stock can have a significant impact on the price at which our stock is traded. We believe that factors such as quarterly fluctuations in financial results, announcements of new technologies impacting our products, announcements by competitors or changes in securities analysts' recommendations could cause the price of our stock to fluctuate substantially. These fluctuations, as well as general economic conditions such as recessions or higher interest rates, may adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

We may experience difficulty manufacturing our products, which would prevent us from achieving increased sales and market share.

We may experience difficulty in manufacturing our products in increased quantities, outsourcing the manufacturing of our products and improving our manufacturing processes. If we are unable to manufacture our products in increased quantities, or if we are unable to outsource the manufacturing of our products or improve our manufacturing processes, we may be unable to increase sales and market share for our products and could also lose existing customers. We have limited experience in manufacturing our products in high volume and, therefore, it may be difficult for us to achieve the following results:

increase the quantity of the new products we manufacture while maintaining quality, especially those products that contain new technologies;

Table of Contents

reduce our manufacturing costs to a level needed to produce adequate profit margins and avoid losses on committed sales agreements currently priced at below our product costs; and

design and procure additional automated manufacturing equipment.

It may also be difficult for us to solve management, technological, engineering and other problems, which may arise in connection with our manufacturing processes. These problems may include production volumes and yields, quality assurance, adequate and timely supply of high quality materials and components and shortages of qualified management and other personnel. In addition, we may elect to have some of our products manufactured by third parties. If we outsource the manufacture of our products, we will face risks with respect to quality assurance, cost and the absence of close engineering support.

If the investors in our December 2005 financing convert their notes or exercise their warrants, it will have a dilutive effect upon our stockholders.

In December 2005 we issued notes and warrants to an institutional investor. Pursuant to the terms of the notes, the holders of such notes may convert the notes into shares of common stock at any time prior to their maturity at the Conversion Price, subject to adjustment upon specified events, including a price-based weighted average anti-dilution provision, and further subject to adjustment for stock splits, combinations or similar events specified in the notes. Subject to certain conditions, we can automatically convert the notes into common stock of the Company at the Conversion Price. Unless our shares of common stock trade at or above a weighted-average price of 115% of the then effective Conversion Price, we will be obligated to repay equal portions of the principal amount outstanding under the notes on a quarterly basis beginning two (2) years after the date of original issuance, provided that any holder may defer the receipt of any such payment for a period of up to two (2) years. As part of the transaction, we also issued to such investors warrants to purchase up to an additional 394,737 shares of our common stock at the Conversion Price, subject to anti-dilution provisions similar to the provisions set forth in the notes, and further subject to adjustment for stock splits, combinations or similar events. The warrants are exercisable immediately after the closing date of the private placement and expire five (5) years from the date of issuance. If the investor converts the notes or exercises the warrants, we will issue shares of our common stock and such issuances will be dilutive to our stockholders. Because the Conversion Price may be adjusted from time to time in accordance with the provisions of the notes and the warrants, the number of shares that could actually be issued may be greater than the amount described above. In addition, if such institutional investors or our other stockholders sell substantial amounts of our common stock in the public market during a short period of time, our stock price may decline significantly. Lastly, we have an obligation to file a registration on Form S-3 to cover the resale of the shares underlying the notes and warrants. We are subject to financial penalties for failure to file the registration statement and have it declared effective by the SEC.

We substantially increased our outstanding indebtedness with the issuance of certain subordinated convertible notes and we may not be able to pay our debt and other obligations.

In December 2005 we issued notes in the aggregate principal amount of \$25 million in a private placement to an institutional investor. The notes accrue interest at a per annum rate equal to the Federal Funds Rate (as defined in the notes) plus 1.125%, subject to adjustment, with accrued interest payable quarterly. By issuing the notes we increased our indebtedness substantially. In addition, the holders of the notes have imposed certain restrictive covenants, including limits on our future indebtedness and limits on our ability to incur future liens and make certain restricted payments. Upon a change of control (as defined in the notes), the holders of the notes will have certain redemption rights. An event of default would occur under the notes for a number of reasons, including our failure to pay when due any principal, interest or late charges on the notes, certain defaults on our indebtedness, certain events of bankruptcy and our breach or failure to perform certain representations and obligations under the notes. Upon the occurrence of an event of default, our obligations under the notes may become due and payable in accordance with the terms thereof.

Table of Contents

As a result, the issuance of the notes may or will:

make it more difficult for us to obtain any necessary financing in the future for working capital, capital expenditures or other purposes;

make it more difficult for us to be acquired;

require us to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations and other capital resources to debt service;

limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business; and

make us more vulnerable in the event of a downturn in our business or industry conditions.

If we are unable to satisfy our payment obligations under the notes or otherwise are obliged to repay the notes prior to the due date, we could default on such notes, in which case our available cash could be depleted, perhaps seriously, and our ability to fund operations could be materially harmed.

Our credit agreements contain various restrictions and covenants that limit management's discretion in the operation of our business and could limit our ability to grow and compete.

The credit agreements governing our bank credit facilities contain various provisions that limit our ability to:

incur additional debt;

make loans, pay dividends and make other distributions;

create certain liens on, or sell, our assets;

merge or consolidate with another corporation or entity, or enter into other transactions outside the ordinary course of business; and

make certain changes in our capital structure.

These provisions restrict management's ability to operate our business in accordance with management's discretion and could limit our ability to grow and compete. Our credit agreements also require us to maintain our compliance with certain financial covenants and ratios. If we fail to comply with any of such financial covenants or ratios, or otherwise default under our credit agreements, the lenders under such agreements could:

accelerate and declare all amounts borrowed to be immediately due and payable, together with accrued and unpaid interest;

terminate their commitments, if any, to make further extensions of credit to us and/or attempt to secure collateral.

Edgar Filing: MAXWELL TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

In the event that amounts due under our credit agreements are declared immediately payable, we may not have, or be able to obtain, sufficient funds to make such accelerated payments.

We may not be able to obtain sufficient capital to meet potential customer demand or corporate needs, which could require us to change our business strategy and result in decreased profitability and a loss of customers.

We believe that in the future we will need a substantial amount of additional capital for a number of purposes, including the following:

to meet potential production volumes for our product lines, particularly our ultracapacitors, which require high-speed automated production lines to achieve targeted customer volume and price requirements;

Table of Contents

to expand our manufacturing capabilities and develop viable out-source partners and other production alternatives;

to fund our continuing expansion into commercial markets and compete effectively in those markets;

to develop new technology and cost effective solutions in our business; and

to acquire new or complementary businesses, product lines and technologies.

In December 2005, we raised approximately \$23.7 million (net of offering expenses) through a private placement of convertible debentures and warrants to purchase shares of our common stock. In July 2005, we raised approximately \$5.4 million (net of offering expenses) through the sale of our common stock pursuant to a shelf registration statement on Form S-3. However there can be no assurance that additional financing will be available to us on acceptable terms or at all. If adequate funds are not available when needed, we may be required to change or delay our planned growth, which could result in decreased revenues, profits and a loss of customers. The issuance of additional shares will result in dilution of our current stockholders. Further, if additional financing is accomplished by the issuance of debt, the service cost, or interest, will reduce net income or increase net losses and may also require the issuance of additional warrants to purchase shares of common stock.

The issuance of shares of our common stock could result in the loss of our ability to use our net operating losses.

As of December 31, 2006, we had approximately \$184.7 million of federal tax and state tax net operating loss carryforwards. Realization of any benefit from our tax net operating losses is dependent on: 1) our ability to generate future taxable income and 2) the absence of certain future ownership changes of our common stock. An ownership change, as defined in the applicable federal income tax rules, would place significant limitations, on an annual basis, on the use of such net operating losses to offset any future taxable income we may generate. Such limitations, in conjunction with the net operating loss expiration provisions, could effectively eliminate our ability to use a substantial portion of our net operating losses to offset any future taxable income. The issuance of shares of our common stock could cause an ownership change. Such transactions include the issuance of shares of common stock upon future conversion or exercise of outstanding options, warrants and convertible preferred stock.

The costs of litigation or third-party claims of intellectual property infringement may be significant and may negatively impact our operating results.

The company will defend its intellectual property and in doing so we may incur significant costs in our defense. We have in the past, and may in the future, make a strategic decision to file lawsuits against companies that we believe are utilizing our intellectual property without permission by the company. The costs could be substantial and if we do not prevail in our defense it may result in a decrease in the market value of our common stock. Also, the amount spent on our defense may be greater than the judgment that we might receive, which could have a negative impact on our operating capital.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

We have ongoing operations in San Diego, California and Rossens, Switzerland. In San Diego, we currently lease approximately 45,000 square feet of administrative, research and development, manufacturing and sales space. The San Diego lease expires in July 2010. We also occupy a 16,500-square-foot production annex in San Diego under a renewable lease that expires in November 2010. In Rossens, we currently lease approximately 68,620 square feet of manufacturing, sales and administrative space. The Rossens lease expires in June 2009.

Table of Contents

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

In October 2006, Maxwell filed a patent infringement lawsuit against Nesscap in the United States District Court for the Southern District of California alleging that Nesscap's sales of its ultracapacitors in the United States violate Maxwell patent(s). Maxwell is seeking monetary damages and an injunction to stop Nesscap's sales of infringing products.

In December 2006, Nesscap filed a lawsuit against Maxwell in United States District Court for the District of Delaware in Wilmington Delaware claiming Maxwell has infringed on NessCap's patented intellectual property. We consider this a counter suit by Nesscap and it does not have merit. We will continue to defend our proprietary intellectual property.

We were named as a defendant in a suit filed on March 4, 2004 in the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of San Luis Obispo. This suit, *Edmonds vs. I-Bus/Phoenix, Inc.*, was filed by the plaintiff on his behalf and allege damages concerning the repurchase of *I-Bus/Phoenix, Inc.* shares. On September 12, 2006 a California jury ruled in favor of the plaintiff for the amount of \$231,000. The Company paid \$435,000 to settle the lawsuit. The payment included interest on the judgment and the expense is included in discontinued operations.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

No matters were submitted to stockholders during the fourth quarter of the fiscal year covered by this report.

Table of Contents**PART II****Item 5. Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**

Our common stock has been quoted on the Nasdaq National Market under the symbol **MXWL** since 1983. The following table sets forth the high and low sale prices per share of our common stock as reported on the Nasdaq National Market for the periods indicated.

	High	Low
Year Ended December 31, 2006		
First Quarter	\$ 19.70	\$ 13.38
Second Quarter	22.21	16.88
Third Quarter	21.17	16.16
Fourth Quarter	19.65	12.42
Year Ended December 31, 2005		
First Quarter	\$ 11.14	\$ 9.10
Second Quarter	12.26	7.44
Third Quarter	14.50	12.00
Fourth Quarter	15.67	12.25

As of March 9, 2007, there were 417 holders of record of our common stock. We believe that the number of beneficial owners of our common stock substantially exceeds this number.

Dividend Policy

We have never declared or paid cash dividends on our capital stock. We currently anticipate that any earnings will be retained for the development and expansion of our business and, therefore, we do not anticipate paying cash dividends on our capital stock in the foreseeable future. In addition, under our bank credit and convertible debt agreements, neither we nor any of our subsidiaries may, directly or indirectly, pay any cash dividends to our stockholders.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

None.

Equity Compensation Plans

The information required by this item will be contained in our definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in connections with the Annual Meeting of our Stockholders, which is expected to be filed not later than 120 days after the end of our fiscal year ended December 31, 2006 (the **Proxy Statement**), and is incorporated in this report by reference.

Table of Contents

Stock Performance Graph

Table of Contents**Item 6. Selected Financial Data**

The selected consolidated financial data presented below are for each fiscal year in the five-year period ended December 31, 2006. This data is derived from the Company's audited consolidated financial statements. During the year ended December 31, 2004, we completed the discontinuance of our Winding Equipment business segment, which we acquired in 2002. Therefore, the financial statements for fiscal 2004, 2003 and 2002 include the reclassification of the Winding Equipment business to discontinued operations. The adoption of SFAS No. 87 on January 1, 2003 resulted in a cumulative effect on an accounting change, net of tax of \$878,000.

	2006	Years Ended December 31,				2002
		2005	2004	2003		
(In thousands, except per share data)						
Consolidated Statement of Operations Data:						
Continuing Operations:						
Total revenue	\$ 53,885	\$ 45,437	\$ 32,212	\$ 35,166	\$ 54,394	
Loss from continuing operations	\$ (16,300)	\$ (6,254)	\$ (9,808)	\$ (6,212)	\$ (37,140)	
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	(195)	(40)	733	(961)	(4,937)	
Cumulative effect of accounting change, net of tax				878		
Net loss	\$ (16,495)	\$ (6,294)	\$ (9,075)	\$ (6,295)	\$ (42,077)	
Basic Net Loss Per Share:						
Loss from continuing operations	\$ (0.97)	\$ (0.39)	\$ (0.67)	\$ (0.44)	\$ (3.03)	
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	(0.01)		0.05	(0.07)	(0.40)	
Cumulative effect of accounting change, net of tax				0.06		
Net loss per share	\$ (0.98)	\$ (0.39)	\$ (0.62)	\$ (0.45)	\$ (3.43)	
Diluted Net Loss Per Share:						
Loss from continuing operations	\$ (0.97)	\$ (0.39)	\$ (0.67)	\$ (0.44)	\$ (3.03)	
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	(0.01)		0.05	(0.07)	(0.40)	
Cumulative effect of accounting change, net of tax				0.06		
Net loss per share	\$ (0.98)	\$ (0.39)	\$ (0.62)	\$ (0.45)	\$ (3.43)	

	As of December 31,				
	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
Consolidated Balance Sheet Data:					
Total assets	\$ 91,669	\$ 88,464	\$ 67,726	\$ 63,013	\$ 71,380
Cash, cash equivalents, short-term investments in marketable securities and restricted cash	\$ 19,387	\$ 34,456	\$ 12,795	\$ 11,307	\$ 11,091
Short-term borrowings and current portion of long-term debt	\$ 5,688	\$ 1,695	\$ 1,970	\$ 1,851	\$ 570
Long-term debt excluding current portion	\$ 22,527	\$ 22,212	\$ 813	\$	\$ 2,675
Stockholders' equity	\$ 45,883	\$ 49,851	\$ 52,791	\$ 47,692	\$ 49,951
Shares outstanding	17,261	16,600	15,695	14,339	13,726

Table of Contents

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion of our financial condition and results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and the related notes included elsewhere in this Annual Report. In addition, the discussion and the historical information contain forward-looking statements that are subject to risks and uncertainties, including estimates based on our judgment in determining the allowance for inventory and warranty reserves, bad debt allowance, allowance for deferred tax assets and tax expenses in the future. Our estimation of liquidity for fiscal year 2007 may be significantly different than our actual results. Negative changes in revenues will affect our estimation in cost of sales, research and development, selling, general and administrative and other aspects of our business.

Executive Summary

We begin Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations with an overview of our business and strategic plan. Subsequently, we provide a summary of some of the highlights from the recently completed fiscal year, followed by a discussion of the different aspects of our business. We then proceed, on page 35, to discuss our results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2006 compared with the year ended December 31, 2005, and for the year ended December 31, 2005 compared with the year ended December 31, 2004. Thereafter, beginning on page 38, we provide an analysis of changes in our balance sheet and cash flows, and discuss our capital requirements and financing activities in the section entitled "Liquidity and Capital Resources." Beginning on page 42, we discuss our critical accounting policies, the impact of inflation on our business and new accounting pronouncements.

Overview

Maxwell Technologies, Inc. is a Delaware corporation is headquartered in San Diego, California we originally incorporated in 1965 under the name Maxwell Laboratories, Inc. In 1996, we changed our name to Maxwell Technologies, Inc. We develop, manufacture and market energy storage and power delivery products for transportation, industrial telecommunications and other applications and microelectronic products for space and satellite applications.

Maxwell operates as one operating segment called High Reliability, which has two manufacturing locations (San Diego, California and Rossens, Switzerland) and is comprised of three product lines:

Ultracapacitors: Our primary focus, ultracapacitors, are energy storage devices that possess a unique combination of high power density, extremely long operational life and the ability to charge and discharge very rapidly. Our BOOSTCAP® ultracapacitor cells and multi-cell packs and modules provide highly reliable energy storage and power delivery solutions for applications in multiple industries, including transportation, energy, consumer and industrial electronics and telecommunications.

High-Voltage Capacitors: Our CONDIS® high-voltage capacitors are extremely robust devices that are designed and manufactured to perform reliably for decades in all climates. These products include grading and coupling capacitors and capacitive voltage dividers that are used to ensure the safety and reliability of electric utility infrastructure and other applications involving transport, distribution and measurement of high-voltage electrical energy.

Radiation-Mitigated Microelectronic Products: Our radiation-mitigated microelectronic products include high-performance, high-density power modules, memory modules and single board computers that incorporate our proprietary RADPAK® packaging and shielding technology and novel architectures that enable them to withstand environmental radiation effects and perform reliably in space.

We aim to design and manufacture our products to perform reliably for the life of the products and systems into which they are integrated. We seek to achieve high reliability through the application of proprietary technologies and rigorously controlled design, development, manufacturing and test processes. This high reliability strategy emphasizes the development and marketing of products that enable us to achieve higher profit margins than commodity electronic components and systems.

Table of Contents

During the year ended December 31, 2004, we completed the discontinuance of our Winding Equipment product line segment, which was sold in December 2003, with the shipment of the final product order that we were obligated to fulfill. Therefore, the financial statements for fiscal 2004, include the Winding Equipment product line segment in discontinued operations.

2006 Highlights

For 2006, we reported revenue of \$53.9 million and a net loss of \$16.5 million, or \$0.98 per diluted share, versus revenue of \$45.4 million and a net loss of \$6.3 million, or \$0.39 per diluted share for fiscal 2005. The higher net loss was a result of the production costs associated with our ramp up and phase in of increased manufacturing capacity within the BOOSTCAP® product line. We continue to face the challenge of ramping up production and keeping pace with demand while simultaneously achieving anticipated production efficiencies and cost reductions. We expect to continue driving down production costs to meet the pricing requirements of the markets in which we compete. Once these efficiencies are fully achieved we anticipate achieving the manufacturing costs to meet our pricing strategy.

During fiscal 2006, we developed strategic alliances, introduced new products, added capacity to meet future anticipated demand, provided capital improvements, perfected production processes. Some of these efforts are described below:

In January 2006, General Hydrogen Corporation placed a 200,000 unit, three-year, purchase order for BOOSTCAP® ultracapacitors to enhance performance and energy management in its Hydricity® Pack technology with strategic pricing conditioned on volume thresholds specified in the purchase order.

In February 2006, Enercon GmbH, one of the world's leading producers of wind energy systems, designated Maxwell as a preferred supplier, and placed a 1.5 million-unit purchase order for ultracapacitors for wind turbine blade pitch systems.

In February 2006, Maxwell announced that it would introduce more than 30 BOOSTCAP® ultracapacitor products over the next several months as part of a unique product family strategy featuring new Power and Energy product types to better meet the diverse requirements of the automotive, transportation, industrial and consumer electronics markets. At September 30, 2006 all 30 products had been introduced.

In March 2006, Maxwell entered into an agreement to supply its proprietary carbon powder-based ultracapacitor electrode material to Yeong-Long Technologies Co., Ltd. (YEC), for YEC's new line of small cell ultracapacitor products, and to assist YEC in setting up worldwide marketing and distribution.

In April 2006, Maxwell formed a toll manufacturing alliance with Belton Technology Group, a major Chinese manufacturer of precision components, flex circuits, circuit boards and other products, to support Maxwell's forecasted growth for its BOOSTCAP® ultracapacitors and multi-cell modules, driven by automotive, transportation and industrial electronics applications that are advancing toward high-volume production.

In April 2006, Maxwell signed a contract with Shanghai Urban Electric Power Investment Development Corporation (SUEP), granting SUEP a license to manufacture and market ultracapacitor products based on Maxwell's proprietary large cell and multi-cell module technology under its own brand in Mainland China. The contract, which also obligates SUEP to source ultracapacitor electrode material exclusively from Maxwell, has a six-year term and is renewable by mutual agreement.

In May 2006, Maxwell announced that it had introduced Energy and Power-type C cell ultracapacitor cells and multi-cell packs. The new 2.5-volt cells have the same external dimensions but weigh just one-third as much as the familiar C-size battery, and are designed for easy mounting on printed circuit boards and in other electrical devices and systems.

Edgar Filing: MAXWELL TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

In June 2006, Maxwell announced it had signed supply agreements with seven new distribution partners, strengthening and expanding the Company's growing international channel network. Channel partners

Table of Contents

supply Maxwell's BOOSTCAP® ultracapacitor products and provide support services to the Company's rapidly growing customer base in the transportation, automotive, telecommunications, and industrial and consumer electronics markets.

In June 2006, Maxwell announced a new Quick-Turn BOOSTCAP® ultracapacitor module program that offers shipment within 14 days of receipt of customer purchase orders for custom-configured multi-cell energy storage and power delivery solutions for systems requiring up to 540 volts. The Quick-Turn program leverages standard modular building blocks and proprietary balancing, monitoring and thermal transfer technologies to establish a new industry standard for flexibility and responsiveness to customer requirements.

In August 2006, Maxwell announced it had signed a memorandum of understanding to collaborate on the development of a cold start system for the commercial transportation market. The collaboration is with Alcoa AFL Automotive a Tier 1 supplier to the automotive and commercial vehicle industries. The cold start system incorporates Maxwell's BOOSTCAP® ultracapacitors with AFL's power management system to provide an efficient engine starting system.

In September 2006, Maxwell announced it had signed a memorandum of understanding with Kromberg & Schubert GmbH & Co. KG to incorporate Maxwell's BOOSTCAP® ultracapacitors into a highly efficient, low-cost, engine starting system for automobiles.

In November 2006, Maxwell announced it had introduced a compact, fully integrated, 125-volt BOOSTCAP® ultracapacitor module to provide an easy-to-integrate building block for scalable energy storage and power delivery solutions for heavy and hybrid and electric vehicles and heavy duty industrial applications requiring up to 1,500 volts.

In January 2007, Maxwell announced that it received a purchase order for 100,000 square meters of its proprietary ultracapacitor electrode material from Shanghai Electric Equipment Company, Ltd., (Sanjiu) which is preparing to introduce a line of ultracapacitor products based on Maxwell's cell architecture and high-performance electrode for the Chinese transportation, electric utility and industrial markets.

Table of Contents

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Year Ended December 31, 2006 Compared with Year Ended December 31, 2005

Revenue

Revenue for the year ended December 31, 2006 was \$53.9 million, compared with \$45.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2005. Compared to 2005, total revenue increased \$8.5 million, or 19%, from the prior year. Three quarters of the revenue increase came from the BOOSTCAP® product line, which experienced increased demand for its products as well as license revenue that totaled \$1.4 million in 2006. Approximately 30% of the revenue increase came from the High Tension product line. Management expects High Tension revenue to continue to increase in 2007, but at a lower rate than what was experienced in 2006. Microelectronics revenue in 2006 was marginally lower than in 2005. Maxwell expects Microelectronics revenue to grow in 2007 driven by the NPOESS single board computer contract and demand for component products.

Management believes that license revenue will continue in 2007 and we anticipate continued strong demand for BOOSTCAP® products. While the overall growth prospects for 2007 remain bright, we do expect Company revenue for the first quarter of 2007 to be lower than it was in the fourth quarter 2006, due to a major customer's internal production delays and due to shortages of other key materials for their products unrelated to ultracapacitors. As this customer finds alternative sources of these non-ultracapacitor key building blocks, we anticipate long term increased demand of our BOOSTCAP products.

Gross Profit

Gross profit for 2006 was approximately \$12.3 million, or 23% of revenue, compared with \$14.0 million, or 31% of revenue, for the prior year. The gross profit within the BOOSTCAP product line decreased because of increased production costs associated with our ramp up and phase in of increased manufacturing capacity. The challenge continues to be the ability to ramp up production and keep pace with demand while simultaneously achieving anticipated production efficiencies. Once these efficiencies are fully in place we anticipate achieving the required cost reductions to meet our pricing strategy. We expect to continue driving down production costs to meet the pricing requirements of the markets in which we compete.

Although our overall gross profit for 2006 was lower, there was an improvement in the gross profit of our High Tension products compared with 2005. High Tension gross profit improvements were primarily a result of reduced manufacturing costs and increased volume. Additionally, gross profit for Microelectronics products improved compared with 2005 primarily as a result of increased single board computer demand from NPOESS.

For the year ended December 31, 2006 costs of sales also included \$211,000 of stock compensation expense related to our adoption of SFAS 123R versus the comparable period where there was no expense for stock compensation.

Selling, General & Administrative (SG&A) Expense

SG&A expenses were \$16.4 million for 2006 compared with \$14.1 million for 2005. The increase is predominantly related to stock compensation expense for restricted stock and stock option awards of \$2.3 million recognized in 2006 related to our adoption of SFAS 123R, compared with \$361,000 for the same period in 2005. Additionally, sales expense, including labor and labor related expenses, outside commissions and advertising, increased to support significantly increased BOOSTCAP revenue.

Research & Development (R&D) Expense

R&D expenses were \$10.1 million for 2006 compared with \$7.2 million for 2005. Increased R&D spending was a result of new product development efforts for BOOSTCAP and Microelectronics product lines as well as

Table of Contents

higher spending on intellectual property activity for patent filings. BOOSTCAP development efforts included electrode, ultracapacitor, and module designs while Microelectronics development efforts focused on single board computer development. We introduced several new BOOSTCAP products in 2006 and we anticipate continuing our investment in R&D at levels similar to 2007.

Provision (Benefit) For Income Taxes

We recorded a \$208,000 tax provision during 2006 compared with a \$49,000 tax provision during 2005. The provisions recorded relate to our Swiss subsidiary. The Swiss subsidiary has a tax holiday, which was extended in 2005 for an additional five years.

We have not recorded an income tax benefit for our net loss from fiscal 2006 due to the uncertainty surrounding the realization of the associated deferred tax asset. However, we have a recorded a deferred tax asset, of approximately \$1.8 million, and a deferred tax liability of similar amount, for the embedded conversion rights of our convertible debentures. These amounts are netted in the Balance Sheet. Additionally, we have established a valuation allowance against deferred tax assets other than those related to convertible debentures.

Discontinued Operations

In March 2001, we sold our Government Systems business for \$20.7 million and recorded a gain of \$1.1 million, net of a \$2.7 million provision mainly related to ongoing lease obligations. As of December 31, 2006 there was no reserve provision as the lease expired in April 2006.

We were named as a defendant in a suit filed on March 4, 2004 in the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of San Luis Obispo. This suit, *Edmonds vs. I-Bus/Phoenix, Inc.*, was filed by the plaintiff on his behalf and allege damages concerning the repurchase of *I-Bus/Phoenix, Inc.* shares. On September 12, 2006 a California jury ruled in favor of the plaintiff for the amount of \$231,000. The Company paid \$435,000, including interest. The expense is included in discontinued operations.

During fiscal 2006, preferred shareholders of PurePulse provided the Company with a release of liability. Accordingly, a reserve of approximately \$259,000 was reversed and is included in discontinued operations.

Year Ended December 31, 2005 Compared with Year Ended December 31, 2004

Revenue

Revenue for the year ended December 31, 2005 was \$45.4 million, compared with \$32.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2004. This represents an increase of \$13.2 million, or 41%, from the prior year. Half of the revenue increase came from the BOOSTCAP product line, which experienced increased demand for its products as well as growth from the USABC contract revenue. Microelectronics revenue provided approximately 15% of the 2005 revenue growth primarily due to revenue from the NPOESS contract. Revenue for the year ended December 31, 2004 included \$1.0 million in license fees received from Yeong-Long Technologies, Inc. (YEC) and \$1.0 million from low-margin magnetics-based products, neither of which continued in 2005.

Gross Profit

Gross profit for 2005 was approximately \$14.0 million, or 31% of revenue, compared with \$6.9 million, or 21% of revenue, for the prior year. Included in 2005 gross profit was the impact of contract revenue from both the USABC and NPOESS contracts. These two contracts provided 7% of the total 31% gross profit for 2005. Included in 2004 gross profit was the impact of license fee revenue from YEC, which provided 3% of the total 21% of gross profit for 2004.

Excluding the impact of contract and license fee revenue, gross profit improvement during 2005 was driven primarily by the BOOSTCAP product line, which drove product costs below selling prices accepted at the end of

Table of Contents

2004. BOOSTCAP volume increases drove 61% of the gross profit improvement with the remainder driven by price. Reserves of \$2.3 million recorded for customer orders received in 2004, which were priced below projected production costs, were fully utilized during 2005. Additional reserves of approximately \$618,000 were recorded in the first and third quarters of 2005 for customer orders received priced below production costs. We have received a customer order subsequent to December 31, 2005 that is priced below projected production costs and will require a reserve of approximately \$352,000 in the first quarter of 2006. Additional reserves may be required against future orders depending on the degree to which we are able to reduce our product costs at the time orders are placed.

Selling, General & Administrative (SG&A) Expense

SG&A expenses were \$14.1 million for 2005 compared with \$10.2 million for 2004. During 2005, we recorded \$361,000 for stock compensation expense and \$614,000 for severance pay versus \$112,000 of severance pay during 2004. Sales commissions increased \$531,000 and audit fees increased \$427,000 in 2005 versus 2004. The voluntary reduction in executive pay realized in 2004 was reinstated in 2005, and accounts for \$354,000 of increased SG&A cost. Higher compensation costs, excluding executive pay, were \$942,000, which was driven primarily by new hires supporting our growth.

Research & Development (R&D) Expense

R&D expenses were \$7.2 million for 2005 compared with \$5.5 million for 2004. Increased R&D spending was a result of new product development efforts for BOOSTCAP and Microelectronics product lines as well as higher spending on intellectual property efforts for patent filings. BOOSTCAP development efforts included electrode, ultracapacitor, and module designs while Microelectronics development efforts focused on single board computer development. We expect to introduce a large number of new BOOSTCAP products in 2006 and, therefore, expect to continue our investment in R&D at levels similar to 2005.

Provision (Benefit) For Income Taxes

We recorded a \$49,000 tax provision during 2005 compared with a \$712,000 tax provision during 2004. The provisions recorded relate to our Swiss subsidiary. The Swiss subsidiary had a tax holiday, which was extended in 2005 for an additional five years. The extended tax holiday reduced Maxwell's provision for income taxes by \$158,000 in 2005.

We have not recorded an income tax benefit for our net loss from fiscal 2005 due to the uncertainty surrounding the realization of the associated deferred tax asset. However, we did record a deferred tax asset, of approximately \$2.5 million, and a deferred tax liability, of similar amount, for the embedded conversion rights of our convertible debentures. These amounts are netted in the Balance Sheet. Additionally, we have established a valuation allowance against deferred tax assets other than those related to convertible debentures.

Discontinued Operations

The Winding Equipment product line included in discontinued operations had sales of \$1.0 million and cost of sales of \$205,000 during 2004, which are reflected in income from discontinued operations. There was no activity related to the discontinued Winding Equipment product line in 2005.

Other Events

In 2006, 2005 and 2004, we made an assessment of the Company's goodwill and intangible assets and determined that there was no impairment. Accordingly, no goodwill impairments were recognized for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004.

Table of Contents

Amortization of other intangibles was \$76,000 for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 and relates to the amortization of developed core technology acquired in conjunction with the 2002 acquisition of Montena Components and the amortization of ultracapacitor intellectual property that was recorded in conjunction with the 2003 merger of the Electronic Components Group, a majority-owned subsidiary, into Maxwell after the purchase of all shares not already owned by the Company.

Net interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2006 was \$431,000, and interest income was \$198,000 and \$46,000 for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The large increase in interest payments creating an expense amount in 2006 is due to the convertible debt that was issued in December 2005.

As a result of the registration rights on the shares acquired upon debt conversion and warrants exercised the fair value of the embedded conversion features and warrants are recorded as liabilities. The initial fair value of \$9.2 million as of December 20, 2005 was treated as a discount to the \$25 million debenture and is being amortized over the four-year life of the note. In addition, there was \$1.3 million of prepaid fees related to the issuance of the convertible debt, which are also amortized over the four-year life of the note. The \$100,000 of amortization of debt discount and prepaid fees is for the period of December 20, 2005 to December 31, 2005. The full year amortized debt discount and prepaid fees totals \$3.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2006.

The fair value calculation at December 31, 2006 and 2005 was impacted by the change in Maxwell's stock price and a reduction in the time which the holder has to exercise their rights. The fair value of the embedded conversion features and warrants will be recalculated each reporting period and any difference in value from the prior period will be reflected in the Statement of Operations. The future impact of fair value recalculations will be difficult to predict, given the historical volatility of Maxwell's stock price, however, it is a non-cash item and will not impact cash flow.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Changes in Cash Flow

For the year ended December 31, 2006, cash used in operating activities was \$14.7 million compared with \$5.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2005 and \$8.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2004. The use of cash for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004 was primarily attributed to operating losses from continuing operations in addition to increase accounts receivable and inventory balances in support of higher revenue.

Capital expenditures for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 were \$6.8 million, \$3.8 million and \$3.0 million, respectively. Capital spending has been focused on automating production processes for the High Tension and BOOSTCAP product lines. Capital expenditures for 2007 are expected to be approximately \$5.4 million, which will be invested primarily in production equipment to increase capacity for the higher customer demand of BOOSTCAP products.

The financing activities for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 were \$6.1 million, \$30.6 million and \$11.9 million with the majority of these proceeds from the issuance of stock and proceeds from long and short term borrowing.

Liquidity

As of December 31, 2006, we had approximately \$16.2 million in cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash and approximately \$3.2 million in short-term investments. We have a line of credit for 2 million Swiss Francs (approximately \$1.6 million) from a Swiss bank for working capital in Switzerland. The line was fully used as of December 31, 2006. We also have a 1.2 million Swiss Francs (approximately \$943,000) term loan from a Swiss bank for capital equipment purchases. Approximately \$566,000 of that facility was outstanding as of

Table of Contents

December 31, 2006. We have a 1 million Swiss Francs (approximately \$820,000) line of credit with another Swiss bank for working capital, approximately \$779,000 of the line was utilized as of December 31, 2006. We entered into a lease agreement in May 2006 for the acquisition of manufacturing equipment up to a maximum of 1.5 million Swiss Francs (approximately \$1.2 million). The lease term is 48 months and \$593,000 of the credit agreement had been used to purchase equipment under this agreement as of December 31, 2006. In December 2006 we secured a \$1.0 million loan from a U.S. bank for capital equipment purchases, subject to a three-year repayment period as of December 31, 2006 the entire line of the credit was drawn. A \$3.0 million line of credit from a U.S. bank, which was secured in 2004 and renewed in 2005, was never used and we decided to not to renew it in January, 2006.

We raised approximately \$5.4 million, net of issuance expenses, from a private placement of common stock in July 2005. We raised approximately \$23.7 million, net of issuance expenses, from the sale of convertible debentures in December 2005. Warrants issued as part of the convertible debt placement would provide an additional \$7.5 million of cash if fully exercised. We believe that the liquidity provided by existing cash, cash equivalents, investments in marketable securities, and borrowing available under our lines of credit, will provide sufficient capital to fund our capital equipment and working capital requirements and potential operating losses for more than the next 12 months.

In November 2006 the company filed an S-3 with the Securities and Exchange Commission to from time to time sell up to an aggregate of \$125,000,000 of the company common stock, warrants or debt securities. If additional funds are required we have several options to raise capital that include negotiations to elimination the current restriction on \$8 million of cash or other options that include a bridge financing and/or a private placement or public offering of marketable securities to provide additional liquidity.

Debentures, Short Term and Long Term Borrowings

Convertible Debentures

On December 20, 2005, the Company issued \$25 million in aggregate principal amount of senior subordinated convertible debentures due and payable in quarterly installments from December 2007 through December 2009 (the "Debentures") and warrants to purchase 394,737 shares of Maxwell common stock. The net proceeds of the issuance totaled approximately \$23.7 million after direct placement costs of approximately \$1.3 million. The Debentures are convertible into 1.3 million shares of Maxwell's common stock at any time at the option of the holder.

Interest is due quarterly with the interest rate fixed to the Federal Funds Rate plus 1.125% per annum. All or a portion of the accrued and unpaid interest may be paid in shares of Maxwell's common stock at the Company's option. For fiscal year ended December 31, 2006 we made interest payments of \$778,000 with 41,573 shares of Company common stock.

At the issuance date, the Debentures were convertible by the holder at any time into common shares at a price of \$19.00 per share subject to adjustment upon certain events such as the sale of equity securities by Maxwell at a price below the conversion price of the Debenture. After eighteen months from the issue date, Maxwell may require that a specified amount of the principal of the Debentures be converted if certain conditions are satisfied for a period of 20 consecutive trading days.

The change in fair value on revaluation of debenture conversion rights and warrant liabilities represents the difference between the fair value of the warrants and debenture conversion between the two measurement dates using a Black-Scholes calculation. The effect of the fair market value adjustment are recorded as Gain (loss) on embedded derivative liabilities.

The net fair value of the holder's and Maxwell's conversion rights at December 31, 2006 and 2005 were liabilities of \$4.6 million, and \$5.8 million respectfully, these amounts are included in Convertible debentures and long-term debt on the balance sheet.

Table of Contents

The warrants issued in connection with the issuance of the Debentures had an exercise price of \$19.00 at the issuance date, subject to adjustment upon certain events such as the sale of equity securities by Maxwell at a price below the then current exercise price. The fair value of the warrants at December 31, 2006 and 2005 was \$1.9 million and \$2.6 million respectively and is included in Stock warrants on the balance sheet. The warrants are exercisable at any time through December 20, 2010. No warrants had been exercised as of December 31, 2006.

As long as Debentures are outstanding, the Company is required to maintain a cash balance of \$8.0 million. This amount is classified as restricted cash at December 31, 2006 and 2005.

Short-term borrowings

Maxwell SA, our European subsidiary, has a 2.0 million Swiss Francs (approximately \$1.6 million as of December 31, 2006) credit agreement with a Swiss bank. Borrowings under the credit agreement bear interest at 3.95% with repayment terms extending beyond one month from the date of funding. Borrowings under the credit agreement are unsecured and as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, the full amount of the credit line was drawn.

Maxwell SA has a 1.0 million Swiss Francs (approximately \$820,000 as of December 31, 2006) overdraft credit agreement with a Swiss bank, which renews annually. Borrowings under the credit agreement bear interest at 3.4%. Borrowings under the credit agreement are unsecured and as of December 31, 2006, \$779,000 was drawn on the overdraft credit line. The agreement requires our Swiss subsidiary to maintain a minimum equity amount of 5.0 million Swiss Francs, we were in compliance with this covenant as of December 31, 2006.

In January, 2006, a \$3.0 million credit line from a U.S. bank expired. The line had never been drawn and management decided not to renew the line.

Long-term borrowings

Maxwell, SA has a term loan with a maximum draw of 1.2 million Swiss Francs, (approximately \$943,000 as of December 31, 2006), for financing specific capital equipment expenditures. Borrowings under the term loan are secured by the equipment being purchased. This credit agreement bears interest at the Swiss inter-bank borrowing rate plus 2.0%. The term loan can be borrowed in quarterly advances up to the maximum limit and repaid over one to five years. As of December 31, 2006, approximately \$566,000 was outstanding. The weighted average interest rate on the funds borrowed at December 31, 2006 was 4.1%.

Maxwell, SA has a lease agreement for the acquisition of manufacturing equipment up to an amount of 1.5 million Swiss Francs (approximately \$1.2 million as of December 31, 2006). Under this lease agreement borrowings are for a minimum purchase of 250,000 Swiss Francs and the lease is secured by the equipment being purchased. The leasing fee is 2.22% of the acquisition price plus VAT and a residual value of .5% of the acquisition price. Equipment suppliers are paid directly by the bank with interest payable at a rate of 6.75% per annum until the entire amount has been borrowed at which time the lending arrangement converts to a lease. As of December 31, 2006 \$593,000 of this credit agreement had been paid to suppliers.

In December 2006, we secured a \$1.0 million loan from a U.S. bank for capital equipment purchases, subject to a three-year repayment period. The agreement bears interest at a rate of the US Government Treasury note plus 825 basis points. As of December 31, 2006 the entire line of credit was drawn.

In December 2006 the Company secured a loan from a U.S. bank in the amount of \$26,000 for a vehicle. The loan is subject to a five year repayment period. The agreement bears interest at a rate of 4.9% and as December 31, 2006 \$26,000 was outstanding.

Table of Contents

Stock Sale

In November 2004 and July 2005, the Company raised approximately \$10.3 and \$5.5 million, respectively, after deduction of expenses and fees through the sale of approximately 1.7 million shares of common stock to institutional investors. The Company sold the shares directly to the investors in a negotiated transaction in which no underwriters were used for placement. The shares had previously been registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, pursuant to a shelf registration statement filed on Form S-3 with the Securities and Exchange Commission in September 2004.

Discontinued Operations

Cash from discontinued operations has fluctuated from a source of cash in 2004 to a use of cash in 2006 and 2005. The use of cash from discontinued operations has been caused primarily by the PurePulse business and by vacant facilities associated with the Government Systems business. The source of cash in 2004 was a result of the discontinued Winding Equipment business, which generated sales of \$1 million in 2004 that more than offset the use of cash from the other discontinued operations.

Government Audits

The Company was the subject of government audits of two businesses sold or discontinued in 2001. A contract, not assumed by the acquirers of the Company's former defense contract business, entered into in 1990 and completed in the late 1990s was being audited by the Defense Department's auditing agency. The Defense Department's audit was completed in 2005 and the Company reimbursed an immaterial amount to finalize the audit.

The Internal Revenue Service (IRS) had assessed the Company with a penalty of approximately \$262,000 for failure to file Form W-2s for a business sold in 2001. The acquiring company of our former business did write the IRS stating that they would be responsible for the filing of 2001 Form W-2s. During 2005, the IRS fully released the Company from the \$262,000 penalty.

The Defense Department's auditing agency audited a contract entered into by our Microelectronics group as a subcontractor in 1995 and completed in 1999. The Company is contracted with a subcontractor, who received a letter after December 31, 2006 releasing them of any obligations from the primary contractor. We have received a verbal release from the subcontractor and have requested that they provide a letter releasing Maxwell from any obligations for the audit. Based on the information that we have received, we believe the contingent liability accrual is no longer probable. Therefore, the \$464,000 liability was reversed in the fiscal year ending 2006.

Other Events

In 2005, a customer brought to our attention a possible defect in a product that we sourced from another manufacturer and resold to the customer. The matter has not been resolved and we have not yet been able to determine what, if any, warranty exposure Maxwell may have, and therefore, we have not recorded any warranty reserve provision. We carry insurance that we believe would cover all or a portion of any obligation that might ultimately arise from this matter.

Minority Equity Interests in Subsidiaries

PurePulse, which suspended operations in 2002 and is classified as discontinued operations, has minority equity investors. These investors are former employees who were issued shares when PurePulse originally was incorporated and former employees who have exercised stock options in that entity. As of December 31, 2006 and 2005, minority investors owned approximately 11% and 18%, respectively of the outstanding stock of PurePulse.

Table of Contents**Contractual Obligations**

	Total	Payment due by period (in thousands)			
		Less than 1 Year	1 3 Years	3 5 Years	More than 5 Years
Operating Lease Obligations (1)	\$ 5,956	\$ 1,792	\$ 3,427	\$ 737	\$
Purchase Commitments (2)	6,999	6,999			
Debt Obligations (3)	33,523	7,630	25,881	12	
Total	\$ 46,478	\$ 16,421	\$ 29,308	\$ 749	\$

- (1) Operating lease obligations represent building leases, for U.S. and Switzerland locations.
- (2) Purchase commitments primarily represent the value of non-cancelable purchase orders and an estimate of purchase orders that if cancelled would result in a significant penalty to the Company.
- (3) Debt obligations represent long-term and short-term borrowings and current portion of long-term debt and interest.

Critical Accounting Policies

This discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based upon our consolidated financial statements, which are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, which we refer to as U.S. GAAP. We have used certain assumptions and judgments in the preparation of these financial statements, which assumptions and estimates may potentially affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingencies as well as reported amounts of revenues and expenses. The following may involve a high degree of judgment and complexity and, as such, management assumptions and conclusions in these areas may significantly impact the results of operations of the Company.

Revenue Recognition

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006, substantially all of our revenue was derived from the sale of manufactured products directly to customers and revenue recognized at the time costs were incurred for certain long-term contracts. Licensing fees received for the right to manufacture products based on our proprietary ultracapacitors design was a revenue category in fiscal years ended December 31, 2006 and 2004. Product revenue is recognized, according to the guidelines of SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin Numbers 101 *Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements*, and 104 *Revenue Recognition*, when title passes to the customer at either shipment from our facilities, or receipt at the customer facility, depending on shipping terms, provided collectability is reasonably assured. This method has been consistently applied from period to period and there is no right of return. Revenue on fixed price government contracts is recognized at the time costs are incurred and is calculated on a percentage of completion basis, in accordance with Statement of Position 81-1, *Accounting for Performance of Construction-Type and Certain Production-Type Contracts* and is limited by the funding of the prime contractor. In prior years, certain continuing and discontinued segments involved revenues from both long-term and short-term fixed price contracts and cost plus contracts with the U.S. Government directly or through a prime contractor. Those revenues, including estimated profits, were recognized at the time the costs were incurred and included provisions for any anticipated losses. These contracts are subject to rate audits and other audits, which could result in the reduction of revenue in excess of estimated provisions. In turn, this could increase losses for the periods in which any such reduction occurs. In the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006 the Company recognized revenue that relates to specific deliverables, in accordance with EITF 00-21, *Accounting for Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables*. This revenue involves a contract that grants a license to manufacture and market products in Mainland China, using Maxwell's proprietary large cell and multi-cell module technology under a separate brand. The contract, obligates the manufacturer to source ultracapacitor electrode material from Maxwell, the agreement has no general right of return and requires no refunds

Table of Contents

Excess and Obsolete Inventory

We value inventories at the lower of cost or market. In assessing the ultimate realization of inventories, we make judgments as to future demand requirements and compare that with current and committed inventory levels. The markets for the Company's products are extremely competitive and are characterized by rapid technological change, new product development, product obsolescence and evolving industry standards. In addition, price competition is intense and significant price erosion generally occurs over the life of a product. We have recorded significant charges for reserves in recent periods to reflect changes in market conditions. We believe that future events are subject to change and revisions in estimates may have a significant adverse impact on the balance sheet and statement of operations.

Goodwill

We account for goodwill in accordance with SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*. This standard requires that goodwill no longer be amortized but is subject to an annual impairment test and when an event occurs or circumstances change such that it is reasonably possible that an impairment may exist. The first step consists of estimating the fair value of each reporting unit and comparing those estimated fair values with the carrying values of the reporting units, which includes the allocated goodwill. If the fair value is less than the carrying value, a second step is performed to compute the amount of the impairment by determining an implied fair value of goodwill. The implied fair value of goodwill is the residual fair value derived by deducting the fair value of a reporting unit's assets and liabilities from its estimated fair value, which was calculated in step one. The impairment charge represents the excess of the carrying amount of the reporting unit goodwill over the implied fair value of their goodwill. We have determined the fair value of our reporting units based on the market value of the Company's stock. The market value of the Company's stock at December 31, 2006 exceeded the carrying value of the reporting unit and, therefore, the second step calculation to determine the implied fair value of goodwill was not necessary.

We cannot say with certainty that we may not incur charges for impairment of goodwill in the future if the fair value of Maxwell Technologies and Maxwell SA decrease due to market conditions, revisions in our assumptions or other unanticipated circumstances. Any additional impairment charges will adversely affect our results of operations.

Convertible Debentures

We account for convertible debentures and warrants in accordance with SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*. This standard requires the conversion feature of convertible debt be separated from the host contract and presented as a derivative instrument if certain conditions are met. EITF 00-19, *Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments Indexed to and Potentially Settled in a Company's Own Stock* and EITF 05-2, *The Meaning of Conventional Convertible Debt Instrument* in Issue No. 00-19 were also analyzed to determine whether the debt instrument is to be considered a conventional convertible debt instrument and classified in stockholders' equity. The convertible debentures issued on December 20, 2005 were evaluated and determined not to be conventional convertible and, therefore, because of certain terms and provisions including liquidating damages under the associated registration rights agreement the embedded conversion option was bifurcated and has been accounted for as a derivative liability instrument. The stock warrants issued in conjunction with the convertible debt on December 20, 2005 were also evaluated and determined to be a derivative instrument and, therefore, classified as a liability on the balance sheet. The accounting guidance also requires that the conversion feature and warrants be recorded at fair value for each reporting period with changes in fair value recorded in our Statement of Operations.

A Black-Scholes valuation calculation was applied to both the conversion features and warrants at December 20, 2005, December 31, 2006 and 2005. The December 20, 2005 valuation was used for the effective debt discount that these instruments represent. The debt discount is amortized over the four-year life of the debentures using the effective interest method. The December 31, 2006 and 2005 valuations were used to record

Table of Contents

the fair value of these instruments at the end of the reporting periods with any difference from prior period calculations reflected in the Statement of Operations. The Company's stock price is one input used in the Black-Scholes calculation, which has a significant impact on the calculation. The change in the Company's stock price will have a gain or loss effect on embedded derivative liabilities in the Statement of Operations. The volatility of the Company's stock price is likely to generate large swings in the valuations of the conversion features and warrants in future periods.

Stock Compensation

Effective January 1, 2006 the Company adopted the fair value recognition provisions of revised Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised) (SFAS 123R), *Share-Based Payment*, which establishes accounting for share-based awards exchanged for employee services and requires companies to expense the estimated grant date fair value of these awards over the requisite employee service period. The Company elected the modified prospective method, which provides for certain changes to the method for valuing share-based compensation. Under the modified prospective method, prior periods are not revised for comparative purposes. The expense recognition provisions of SFAS 123R apply to new awards and to unvested awards that are outstanding on the effective date and awards subsequently modified or cancelled. Estimated compensation expense for awards outstanding at the effective date are being recognized over the remaining service period using the compensation cost calculated for pro forma disclosure purposes under SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*.

In the prior period the Company adopted the disclosure only provisions of SFAS No. 123, as amended by SFAS No. 148 *Accounting for Stock Based Compensation Transitions and Disclosure*. In accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123, the Company applied Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25 and related interpretations in accounting for its stock option plans, and accordingly, \$361,000 and zero compensation expense was recognized for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004.

Impact of Inflation

We believe that inflation has not had a material impact on our results of operations for any of our fiscal years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2006. However, there can be no assurance that future inflation would not have an adverse impact on our operating results and financial condition.

Pending Accounting Pronouncements

In July 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes* (FIN 48). FIN 48 clarifies the accounting and reporting for income taxes recognized in accordance with SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*. This Interpretation prescribes a comprehensive model for the financial statement recognition, measurement, presentation and disclosure of uncertain tax positions taken or expected to be taken in income tax returns. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company does not expect the adoption of FIN 48 to have a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157 *Fair Value Measurement*. SFAS 157 provides a new single authoritative definition of fair value and provides enhanced guidance for measuring the fair value of assets and liabilities and requires additional disclosures related to the extent to which companies measure assets and liabilities at fair value, the information used to measure fair value, and the effect of fair value measurements on earnings. SFAS 157 is effective for the Company as of January 1, 2008. The Company is currently assessing the impact, if any, of SFAS 157 on its consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 158 *Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans* an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132(R) (SFAS

Table of Contents

158). SFAS 158 requires balance sheet recognition of the over funded or under funded status of pension and postretirement benefit plans. Under SFAS 158, actuarial gains and losses, prior service costs or credits, and any remaining transition assets or obligations that have not been recognized under previous accounting standards must be recognized as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) within stockholders' equity, net of tax effects, until they are amortized as a component of net periodic benefit cost. In addition, the measurement date and the date at which plan assets and the benefit obligation are measured, are required to be the Company's fiscal year end. SFAS 158 is effective for the Company as of December 31, 2007, except for the measurement date provisions, which are effective December 31, 2009. The plan reported on our financial statement is regulated by the Swiss Government and is equally funded by the employees and the Company.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Including an Amendment of FASB Statement No 115* which permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. SFAS No 159 also includes an amendment to SFAS No. 115, *Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities*, which applies to all entities with available-for-sale and trading securities. This Statement is effective as of the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year that begins after November 15, 2007. The Company does not anticipate any material impact on its consolidated financial statements upon the adoption of this standard.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

None.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We face exposure to financial market risks, including adverse movements in foreign currency exchange rates and changes in interest rates. These exposures may change over time and could have a material adverse impact on our financial results. We have not entered into or invested in any instruments that are subject to market risk, except as follows:

Foreign Currency Risk

Our primary foreign currency exposure is related to our subsidiary in Switzerland. Maxwell Technologies SA has Euro and local currency (Swiss Franc) revenue and local currency operating expenses and loans. Changes in these currency exchange rates impact the U.S. dollar amount of revenue, expenses and debt. We do not hedge our currency exposures.

Interest Rate Risk

At December 31, 2006, we had approximately \$28.2 million in debt, of which \$22.5 million is classified as long-term debt. We do not anticipate significant interest rate swings in the near future; however, if it does occur it may affect the consolidated balance sheet or the statement of operations. The impact on earnings or cash flow during the next fiscal year from a change of 1000 basis points in the interest rate would have a \$2.8 million effect on our related interest expense.

We invest excess cash in debt instruments of the U.S. Government and its agencies, high-quality corporate issuers and money market accounts. The primary objective of our investment activities is to preserve principal while maximizing yields without significantly increasing risk. Our current policies do not allow the use of interest rate derivative instruments to manage exposure to interest rate changes. As of December 31, 2006, third parties manage approximately \$3.2 million of the investment portfolio under guidelines approved by the Company's Board of Directors. The balance of our cash is invested in money market accounts with banks. A 1000 basis point change in the interest rate on our marketable securities would not have a material effect on our interest income.

Table of Contents

Fair Value Risk

We record an adjustment on our convertible debenture adjusting the fair value of the embedded conversion options and stock warrants. The change in these instruments is primarily impacted by the price of our stock at the end of each reporting period. This adjustment creates non-cash effect on our statement of operations which may have a significant impact.

Table of Contents

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Our consolidated financial statements and notes thereto appear on pages 43 to 73 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

MAXWELL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, McGladrey & Pullen, LLP</u>	Page 48
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2006 and 2005</u>	49
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004</u>	50
<u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive Loss for the Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004</u>	51
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004</u>	52
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	53
Financial Statement Schedule:	
<u>Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts</u>	79

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors

Maxwell Technologies, Inc.

San Diego, California

We have audited the consolidated balance sheets of Maxwell Technologies, Inc. as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity and comprehensive loss and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule of Maxwell Technologies, Inc. listed in Item 15(a). These financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Maxwell Technologies, Inc. as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

As discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company changed its method of accounting for stock-based compensation in 2006.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the effectiveness of Maxwell Technologies, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Our report dated March 15, 2007 expressed an unqualified opinion on management's assessment of the effectiveness of Maxwell Technologies, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting and an opinion that Maxwell Technologies, Inc. had not maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

/s/ McGladrey & Pullen, LLP

San Diego, California

March 15, 2007

Table of Contents**MAXWELL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

(in thousands, except per share data)

	December 31,	
	2006	2005
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 8,159	\$ 25,760
Investments in marketable securities	3,228	696
Trade and other accounts receivable, net	9,749	6,915
Inventories, net	14,894	9,536
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	1,596	841
Total current assets	37,626	43,748
Property and equipment, net	13,621	10,368
Other intangible assets, net	1,395	1,541
Goodwill	19,786	18,549
Prepaid pension asset	10,371	4,930
Restricted cash	8,000	8,000
Other non-current assets	870	1,328
	\$ 91,669	\$ 88,464
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 9,383	\$ 6,870
Accrued warranty	795	632
Accrued employee compensation	2,543	2,588
Short-term borrowings and current portion of long-term debt	5,688	1,695
Deferred tax liability	392	291
Net liabilities of discontinued operations	63	527
Total current liabilities	18,864	12,603
Deferred tax liability, long-term	2,545	1,198
Convertible debentures and long-term debt, excluding current portion	22,527	22,212
Stock warrants	1,850	2,600
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders' equity:		
Common stock, \$0.10 par value per share, 40,000 shares authorized; 17,261 and 16,600 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively	1,726	1,660
Additional paid-in capital	141,294	136,135
Unearned compensation		(2,438)
Accumulated deficit	(104,361)	(87,600)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	7,224	2,094
Total stockholders' equity	45,883	49,851
	\$ 91,669	\$ 88,464

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**MAXWELL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

(in thousands, except per share data)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2006	2005	2004
Sales	\$ 52,438	\$ 45,437	\$ 31,212
License fees	1,447		1,000
Total revenue	53,885	45,437	32,212
Cost of sales	41,586	31,403	25,301
Gross profit	12,299	14,034	6,911
Operating expenses (income):			
Selling, general and administrative	16,379	14,127	10,214
Research and development	10,062	7,175	5,528
Amortization of other intangibles	76	76	76
Loss (gain) on sale of property and equipment	(80)	40	41
Total operating expenses	26,437	21,418	15,859
Loss from operations	(14,138)	(7,384)	(8,948)
Interest income (expense), net	(431)	198	46
Amortization of debt discount and prepaid costs	(3,616)	(100)	
Gain on embedded derivative and warrants	1,980	800	
Other income (expense), net	113	281	(194)
Loss from continuing operations before income taxes	(16,092)	(6,205)	(9,096)
Income tax provision	208	49	712
Loss from continuing operations	(16,300)	(6,254)	(9,808)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	(195)	(40)	733
Net loss	\$ (16,495)	\$ (6,294)	\$ (9,075)
Basic and diluted net loss per share:			
Loss from continuing operations	\$ (0.97)	\$ (0.39)	\$ (0.67)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	(0.01)		0.05
Net loss per share	\$ (0.98)	\$ (0.39)	\$ (0.62)
Weighted average shares used in computing basic and diluted net loss per share	16,876	16,029	14,637

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

MAXWELL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE LOSS

(in thousands)

	Shares	Amount	Additional Paid-in Capital	Unearned Compensation	Accumulated Deficit	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Total Stockholders Equity	Comprehensive Loss
Balance at December 31, 2003	14,339	\$ 1,434	\$ 115,142	\$	\$ (72,231)	\$ 3,347	\$ 47,692	
Stock purchase and option plans	167	16	1,022				1,038	
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	1,189	119	10,153				10,272	
Net loss					(9,075)		(9,075)	\$ (9,075)
Other comprehensive income:								
Foreign currency translation adjustments						2,859	2,859	2,859
Unrealized gain on marketable securities, net of taxes						5	5	5
Balance at December 31, 2004	15,695	1,569	126,317		(81,306)	6,211	52,791	\$ (6,211)
Stock purchase and option plans	219	22	1,625				1,647	
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	489	49	5,414				5,463	
Restricted stock awards	197	20	2,779	(2,799)				
Earned compensation				361			361	
Net loss					(6,294)		(6,294)	\$ (6,294)
Other comprehensive income:								
Foreign currency translation adjustments						(4,118)	(4,118)	(4,118)
Unrealized gain on marketable securities, net of taxes						1	1	1
Balance at December 31, 2005	16,600	\$ 1,660	\$ 136,135	\$ (2,438)	\$ (87,600)	\$ 2,094	\$ 49,851	\$ (10,411)
Stock purchase and option plans	511	51	5,936				5,987	
Proceeds from issuance of common stock								
Restricted stock awards	127	13	(1,451)	2,438			1,000	
Shares issued for interest on convertible debt	41	4	774				778	
Retirement of shares	(18)	(2)	(100)		(266)		(368)	
Net loss					(16,495)		(16,495)	\$ (16,495)
Other comprehensive income:								
Foreign currency translation adjustments						2,329	2,329	2,329
SFAS 158 Pension Adjustment						2,801	2,801	2,801
Unrealized gain on marketable securities, net of taxes								
Balance at December 31, 2006	17,261	\$ 1,726	\$ 141,294	\$	\$ (104,361)	\$ 7,224	\$ 45,883	\$ (11,365)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**MAXWELL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****(in thousands)**

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2006	2005	2004
Operating activities:			
Loss from continuing operations	\$ (16,300)	\$ (6,254)	\$ (9,808)
Adjustments to reconcile loss from continuing operations to net cash used in operating activities:			
Depreciation	3,811	3,548	3,553
Amortization	206	207	207
Amortization of debt discount and prepaid fees	3,616	100	
Gain on embedded derivative liabilities	(1,980)	(800)	
Pension benefit	(1,460)	(329)	(352)
Stock based compensation expense	2,710	361	
Loss (gain) on sales of property and equipment	(80)	40	41
Shares issued for interest expense	778		
(Gain from recoveries) provision for losses on accounts receivable	89	(96)	212
Net cash provided by (used in) discontinued operations	(659)	(559)	284
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of effect of acquisitions and dispositions:			
Trade and other accounts receivable	(2,549)	(489)	(861)
Inventories	(4,708)	(1,938)	(519)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(762)	109	468
Deferred income taxes	268	(17)	597
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	2,452	(18)	(2,556)
Accrued employee compensation	(105)	1,085	(104)
Net cash used in operating activities	(14,673)	(5,050)	(8,838)
Investing activities:			
Purchases of property and equipment	(6,846)	(3,809)	(3,022)
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment	299		263
Proceeds from sale of marketable securities	6,920	5,543	2,329
Purchases of marketable securities	(9,451)	(4,135)	(1,974)
Restricted cash		(8,000)	
Net cash (used in) investing activities	(9,078)	(10,401)	(2,404)
Financing activities:			
Principal payments on long-term debt and short-term borrowings	(4,973)	(3,459)	(1,816)
Proceeds from long-term and short-term borrowings	7,158	25,374	2,448
Stock warrants		2,900	
Deferred borrowing costs		(1,341)	
Retirement of shares	(368)		
Proceeds from issuance of company stock	4,277	7,110	11,310
Net cash provided by financing activities	6,094	30,584	11,942
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents from operations	(17,657)	15,133	700
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	56	(113)	256
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(17,601)	15,020	956

Edgar Filing: MAXWELL TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	25,760	10,740	9,784
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$ 8,159	\$ 25,760	\$ 10,740
Cash paid for:			
Interest	\$ 463	\$ 1,124	\$ 82
Income taxes	\$ 10	\$ 9	\$ 419
Supplemental schedule of noncash investing and financing activities:			
Shares issued for interest expense	\$ 778	\$	\$

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

MAXWELL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Unless the context otherwise requires, all references to Maxwell, the Company, we, us, and our refer to Maxwell Technologies, Inc. and its subsidiaries; all references to Maxwell SA refer to our European Subsidiary, Maxwell Technologies, SA, all references to Electronic Components Group refer to our former subsidiary, Maxwell Electronic Components Group, Inc., which has been merged into Maxwell; all references to I-Bus/Phoenix refer to our subsidiary, I-Bus/Phoenix, Inc., and its subsidiaries; and all references to PurePulse refer to our non-operating subsidiary, PurePulse Technologies, Inc.

Note 1 Description of Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Description of Business

Maxwell Technologies, Inc. is a Delaware corporation originally incorporated in 1965 under the name Maxwell Laboratories, Inc. In 1996, the Company changed its name to Maxwell Technologies, Inc. and is headquartered in San Diego, California.

Maxwell operates as a single operating segment, High Reliability, which is comprised of two manufacturing locations (San Diego, California and Rossens, Switzerland) and three product lines:

Ultracapacitors: Our primary focus, ultracapacitors, are energy storage devices that possess a unique combination of high power density, extremely long operational life and the ability to charge and discharge very rapidly. Our BOOSTCAP® ultracapacitor cells and multi-cell packs and modules provide highly reliable energy storage and power delivery solutions for applications in multiple industries, including transportation, energy, consumer and industrial electronics and telecommunications.

High-Voltage Capacitors: Our CONDIS® high-voltage capacitors are extremely robust devices that are designed and manufactured to perform reliably for decades in all climates. These products include grading and coupling capacitors and capacitive voltage dividers that are used to ensure the safety and reliability of electric utility infrastructure and other applications involving transport, distribution and measurement of high-voltage electrical energy.

Radiation-Mitigated Microelectronic Products: Our radiation-mitigated microelectronic products include high-performance, high-density power modules, memory modules and single board computers that incorporate our proprietary RADPAK® packaging and shielding technology and novel architectures that enable them to withstand environmental radiation effects and perform reliably in space.

The Company's products are designed and manufactured to perform reliably for the life of the products and systems into which they are integrated. The Company achieves high reliability through the application of proprietary technologies and rigorously controlled design, development, manufacturing and test processes.

Financial Statement Presentation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Maxwell Technologies, Inc. and its subsidiaries. All significant intercompany transactions and account balances are eliminated in consolidation. The PurePulse business, which was discontinued in September 2002 and was previously reported as a separate segment, and the Winding Equipment segment, which was sold in December 2003, and which was recorded as continuing operations through the first quarter of fiscal year 2004, have been reclassified as discontinued operations. The results of operations of other business units that do not meet the criteria to be classified as a discontinued operation and were sold or otherwise disposed of are included in continuing operations through the date of sale. As a result of the reclassification of the Winding Equipment business, the Company is operating as a single reportable segment.

Table of Contents

The preparation of the financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect reported amounts and related disclosures. These estimates include assessing the collectability of accounts receivable, the usage and recoverability of inventories and long-lived assets, including deferred income tax the incurrence of losses on warranty costs, stock compensation expense and the fair value of warrants and embedded conversion options related to convertible debentures. The markets for the Company's products are extremely competitive and are characterized by rapid technological change, new product development, product obsolescence and evolving industry standards. In addition, price competition is intense and significant price erosion generally occurs over the life of a product. As a result of such factors, actual results could differ from the estimates used by management.

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation with no effect on total stockholders' equity or net loss. The Company's fiscal year ends on December 31 and its fiscal quarters end on the last day of the calendar months March, June, September, and December.

Cash and Cash Equivalents, Investments in Marketable Securities

The Company invests its excess cash in debt instruments of the U.S. Government and its agencies, bank certificates of deposit; commercial paper and high-quality corporate issuers. All highly liquid instruments with an original maturity of three months or less from purchase are considered cash equivalents, and those with original maturities greater than three months on the date of purchase are considered investments in marketable securities. The Company's investments in marketable securities are classified as available-for-sale and are reported at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses included in stockholders' equity as a separate component of accumulated other comprehensive income. Realized gains or losses and other-than-temporary declines in value, if any, on available-for-sale securities are reported in other income or expense as incurred. The Company recognized no net realized gains in the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004. The Company uses the specific identification method on sales of investments.

Maturities and gross unrealized gains on investments in marketable securities at December 31, 2006 and 2005 are as follows (in thousands):

	Gross Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gain	Estimated Fair Value
As of December 31, 2006:			
Bank Certificates of Deposit, Maturing within 1 year	\$ 550	\$	\$ 550
U.S. Government and Agencies, Maturing within 1 year			
Commercial Paper, Maturing within 1 year	995		995
Corporate Debt Securities Maturing within 1 year	1,682	1	1,683
Total	\$ 3,227	\$ 1	\$ 3,228
Current	\$ 3,227	\$ 1	\$ 3,228
Non-current			
As of December 31, 2005:			
U.S. Government and Agencies, Maturing within 1 year	\$ 324	\$	\$ 324
Corporate Debt Securities, Maturing within 1 year	371	1	372
Total	\$ 695	\$ 1	\$ 696
Current	\$ 695	\$ 1	\$ 696
Non-current			

Table of Contents

Restricted Cash

The Company's convertible debentures agreement requires the Company to maintain minimum cash balances of at least \$8.0 million. This amount is classified as restricted cash at December 31, 2006 and 2005. No cash balances were restricted at December 31, 2004.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The convertible debentures issued on December 20, 2005 were evaluated and determined not to be conventional convertible and, therefore, because of certain terms and provisions including liquidating damages under the associated registration rights agreement the embedded conversion option was bifurcated and has been accounted for as a derivative liability instrument. The stock warrants issued on December 20, 2005, in conjunction with the convertible debt were also evaluated and determined to be a derivative instrument and, therefore, classified as a liability on the balance sheet. The accounting guidance also requires that the conversion feature and warrants be recorded at fair value for each reporting period with changes in fair value recorded in our Statement of Operations. The fair value of embedded conversion options and stock warrants are based on a Black-Scholes fair value calculation. The carrying value and the fair value of the convertible debentures at December 31, 2006 is approximately \$19.0 million and \$25 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2005, the carrying value and the fair value of the convertible debentures was approximately \$15.9 million and \$25 million, respectively. The fair value of convertible debentures was based on discounted cash flows of principal and interest payments, the discount value determined on the issue date of December 20, 2005 is amortized over the term of the debt. The carrying value of restricted cash and short term borrowings approximates fair value.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

The allowance for doubtful accounts reflects management's best estimate of probable losses inherent in the accounts receivable balance. Management determines the allowance based on known troubled accounts, historical experience and other currently available evidence.

Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market. Finished goods and work-in-process inventory values are based on standard costs, which approximate average costs (first-in first-out method).

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are carried at cost and are depreciated using the straight-line method. Depreciation and amortization is provided over the estimated useful lives of the related assets (three to ten years). Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of their estimated useful lives or the terms of the lease.

Long-Lived Assets

Long-lived assets such as property and equipment are reviewed for impairment whenever events and changes in business circumstances indicate the carrying value of the long-lived asset may not be recoverable. If the Company determines that the carrying value of the long-lived asset may not be recoverable, a permanent impairment charge is recorded for the amount by which the carrying value of the long-lived asset exceeds its fair value.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Goodwill, which represents the excess of the cost of an acquired business over the net of the fair value assigned to its assets acquired and liabilities assumed, is not amortized. Instead, goodwill is assessed for impairment under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Asset*. The Company tests goodwill and indefinite lived intangible assets for impairment, and has established December 31 as the annual impairment test date, using a fair value approach. Intangible assets with finite lives continue to be amortized on a straight-line basis over their useful lives of 10 to 12 years and are evaluated for impairment whenever events, or changes in circumstances, indicate that their carrying value may not be recoverable under SFAS No. 144, *Accounting for Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*.

Table of Contents**Warranty Obligation**

The Company provides product warranties in conjunction with certain product sales. The majority of the Company's warranties are for one to two years in the normal course of business. The Company accrues for the estimated warranty at the time of sale based on historical warranty expenses. The estimated warranty liability is calculated based on historical warranty expenses plus any known or expected change warranty exposure.

Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes in accordance with SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*, which requires the use of the liability method of accounting for deferred income taxes. Under this method, deferred income taxes are recorded to reflect the tax consequences on future years of temporary differences between the tax bases of assets and liabilities and their financial reporting amounts at each period end. If it is more likely than not that some portion or all of a deferred tax asset will not be realized, a valuation allowance is recognized.

Concentration of Credit Risk

The Company maintains cash balances at various financial institutions primarily in California and such balances commonly exceed the \$100,000 insured amount by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. The Company has not experienced any losses in such accounts and management believes that the Company is not exposed to any significant credit risk with respect to such cash and cash equivalents.

Financial instruments, which subject the Company to potential concentrations of credit risk, consist principally of the Company's accounts receivable. The Company's accounts receivable result from product sales to customers in various industries and in various geographical areas, both domestic and foreign. The Company performs ongoing credit evaluations of its customers and generally requires no collateral. One customer provided 18% and 23% of revenue in 2006 and 2005, respectively and comprised 18% and 28% of accounts receivable balances at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Certain financial information by Company locations is provided below:

	Year ending December 31,		
	2006	2005	2004
	(in thousands)		
Revenues:			
United States	\$ 18,658	\$ 18,968	\$ 16,773
Switzerland	35,227	26,469	15,439
Total	\$ 53,885	\$ 45,437	\$ 32,212
Long-lived assets:			
United States	\$ 10,751	\$ 10,090	\$ 9,337
Switzerland	24,921	21,696	24,547
Total	\$ 35,672	\$ 31,786	\$ 33,884

Revenue Recognition

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006, substantially all of our revenue was derived from the sale of manufactured products directly to customers and revenue recognized at the time costs were incurred for certain long-term contracts. Licensing fees received for the right to manufacture products based on our proprietary ultracapacitors design was a revenue category in fiscal years ended December 31, 2006 and 2004. Product revenue is recognized, according to the guidelines of SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin Numbers 101 *Revenue*

Table of Contents

Recognition in Financial Statements, and 104 *Revenue Recognition*, when title passes to the customer at either shipment from our facilities, or receipt at the customer facility, depending on shipping terms, provided collectability is reasonably assured. This method has been consistently applied from period to period and there is no right of return. Revenue on fixed price government contracts is recognized at the time costs are incurred and is calculated on a percentage of completion basis, in accordance with Statement of Position 81-1, *Accounting for Performance of Construction-Type and Certain Production-Type Contracts* and is limited by the funding of the prime contractor. In prior years, certain continuing and discontinued segments involved revenues from both long-term and short-term fixed price contracts and cost plus contracts with the U.S. Government directly or through a prime contractor. Those revenues, including estimated profits, were recognized at the time the costs were incurred and included provisions for any anticipated losses. These contracts are subject to rate audits and other audits, which could result in the reduction of revenue in excess of estimated provisions. In turn, this could increase losses for the periods in which any such reduction occurs. In the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006 the Company recognized revenue that relates to specific deliverables, in accordance with EITF 00-21, *Accounting for Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables*. This revenue involves a contract that grants a license to manufacture and market products in Mainland China, using Maxwell's proprietary large cell and multi-cell module technology under a separate brand. The contract, obligates the manufacturer to source ultracapacitor electrode material from Maxwell, the agreement has no general right of return and allows for no refunds.

Research and Development Expense

Research and development expenditures are expensed in the period incurred.

Advertising Expense

Advertising costs are expensed in the period incurred. Advertising expense was \$374,000, \$265,000 and \$326,000 for fiscal 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Shipping and Handling

The Company recognizes shipping and handling costs as a component of cost of sales. Total shipping and handling costs for the years ended 2006, 2005 and 2004 are \$1,044,000, \$511,000, and \$190,000, respectively.

Patent Defense Costs

The company assesses each case individually however we may capitalize legal costs associated with patent defense lawsuits when a favorable outcome is probable.

Foreign Currencies

The Company's primary foreign currency exposure is related to its subsidiary in Switzerland. Maxwell Technologies SA has Euro and local currency (Swiss Franc) revenue and operating expenses. Changes in these currency exchange rates impact the U.S. dollar amount of revenue and expenses. The functional currency of the Swiss subsidiary is the Swiss Franc. Assets and liabilities of Maxwell's Swiss subsidiary are translated at year-end exchange rates, and revenues, expenses, gains and losses are translated at rates of exchange that approximate the rate in effect at the time of the transaction. The Company does not hedge its currency exposures.

Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Comprehensive income (loss), as defined, includes all changes in equity during a period from non-owner sources. Net loss and other comprehensive loss, including foreign currency translation adjustments and unrealized gains and losses on investments in marketable securities are reported, net of their related tax effect, to arrive at comprehensive loss. As of December 31, 2006, accumulated other comprehensive income consisted of

Table of Contents

\$4.4 million of unrealized gain on foreign currency translation, \$2.8 million in SFAS 158 Pension adjustment, and \$1,000 in unrealized gain on investments in marketable securities.

Income (Loss) Per Share

Basic loss per share is calculated using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. Diluted loss per share is calculated on the basis of the weighted average number of common shares outstanding plus the dilutive effect of outstanding stock options and restricted stock awards of the Company, assuming their exercise using the treasury stock method. The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted income (loss) per share (in thousands, except per share data):

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2006	2005	2004
Numerator			
Basic:			
Loss from continuing operations	\$ (16,300)	\$ (6,254)	\$ (9,808)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	(195)	(40)	733
Net loss	\$ (16,495)	\$ (6,294)	\$ (9,075)
Denominator			
Basic:			
Weighted average shares outstanding	16,876	16,029	14,637
Diluted:			
Effect of dilutive securities:			
Common stock options			
Total weighted average common and potential common shares outstanding	16,876	16,029	14,637
Basic and diluted net loss per share:			
Loss from continuing operations	\$ (0.97)	\$ (0.39)	\$ (0.67)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	(0.01)		0.05
Basic and diluted net loss per share	\$ (0.98)	\$ (0.39)	\$ (0.62)

For fiscal years 2006, 2005, and 2004, incremental equivalent shares under common stock options, of 1,145,952, 981,844, and 211,826 respectively, were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share as their impact would have been anti-dilutive. Shares issuable on conversion of convertible debentures of 1,315,790 have also been excluded in the computation of diluted earnings per share as their impact would have been anti-dilutive.

Stock Compensation

Effective January 1, 2006 the Company adopted the fair value recognition provisions of revised Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised) (SFAS 123R), *Share-Based Payment*, which establishes accounting for share-based awards exchanged for employee services and requires companies to expense the estimated grant date fair value of these awards over the requisite employee service period. The Company elected the modified prospective method, which provides for certain changes to the method for valuing share-based compensation. Under the modified prospective method, prior periods are not revised for comparative purposes. The expense recognition provisions of SFAS 123R apply to new awards and to unvested awards that are outstanding on the effective date and subsequently modified or cancelled. Estimated compensation expense for awards outstanding at the effective date are being recognized over the remaining service period using the compensation cost calculated for pro forma disclosure purposes under SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*.

Table of Contents

On November 10, 2005, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. SFAS 123(R)-3, *Transition Election Related to Accounting for Tax Effects of Share-Based Payment Awards*. The Company elected the simplified method to calculate its hypothetical beginning balance of the additional paid-in capital pool (APIC pool) related to the tax effects of employee share-based compensation, which is available to absorb tax deficiencies recognized subsequent to the adoption of SFAS 123R. The hypothetical APIC pool was calculated to be zero.

The prior period disclosure only provisions of SFAS No. 123, as amended by SFAS No. 148 *Accounting for Stock Based Compensation Transitions and Disclosure*. In accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123, the Company applied Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25 and related interpretations in accounting for its stock option plans, and accordingly, \$361,000 and zero compensation expense was recognized for the year ended December 31, 2005 and 2004. If the Company had elected to recognize compensation cost based on the fair value method prescribed by SFAS No. 123, the Company's net loss per share would have been adjusted to the pro forma amounts indicated below (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	Years Ended December 31,	
	2005	2004
Net loss as reported	\$ (6,294)	\$ (9,075)
Add: Stock-based compensation expense included in net loss, as reported	361	
Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards, net of related tax effects	(1,634)	(3,267)
Pro forma net loss	\$ (7,567)	\$ (12,342)
Net loss per share:		
Basic and diluted as reported	\$ (0.39)	\$ (0.62)
Basic and diluted pro forma	\$ (0.47)	\$ (0.84)

Pending Accounting Pronouncements

In July 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes* (FIN 48). FIN 48 clarifies the accounting and reporting for income taxes recognized in accordance with SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*. This Interpretation prescribes a comprehensive model for the financial statement recognition, measurement, presentation and disclosure of uncertain tax positions taken or expected to be taken in income tax returns. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company does not expect the adoption of FIN 48 to have a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157 *Fair Value Measurements* (SFAS 157). SFAS 157 provides a new single authoritative definition of fair value and provides enhanced guidance for measuring the fair value of assets and liabilities and requires additional disclosures related to the extent to which companies measure assets and liabilities at fair value, the information used to measure fair value, and the effect of fair value measurements on earnings. SFAS 157 is effective for the Company as of January 1, 2008. The Company is currently assessing the impact, if any, of SFAS 157 on its consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 158 *Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans* an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132(R) (SFAS 158). SFAS 158 requires balance sheet recognition of the over funded or under funded status of pension and postretirement benefit plans. Under SFAS 158, actuarial gains and losses, prior service costs or credits, and any remaining transition assets or obligations that have not been recognized under previous accounting standards must be recognized as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) within stockholders' equity, net of tax effects, until they are amortized as a component of net periodic benefit cost. In addition, the

Table of Contents

measurement date and the date at which plan assets and the benefit obligation are measured, are required to be the Company's fiscal year end. SFAS 158 is effective for the Company as of December 31, 2007, except for the measurement date provisions, which are effective December 31, 2009. The plan reported on our financial statement is regulated by the Swiss Government and is equally funded by the employees and the Company.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Including an Amendment of FASB Statement No 115* which permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. SFAS No 159 also includes an amendment to SFAS No. 115, *Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities*, which applies to all entities with available-for-sale and trading securities. This Statement is effective as of the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year that begins after November 15, 2007. The Company does not anticipate any material impact on its consolidated financial statements upon the adoption of this standard.

Business Enterprise Segments

The Company operates as one reportable operating segment, High Reliability, according to SFAS No. 131, *Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information*, which establishes standards for the way that public business enterprises report information about operating segments in annual consolidated financial statements. The chief operating decision maker does not regularly review discrete financial information below the consolidated level.

Revenue by product line is provided below (in thousands):

	Year ending December 31,		
	2006	2005	2004
Revenues:			
Ultracapacitors	\$ 17,035	\$ 12,093	\$ 5,605
Radiation-Mitigated Microelectronic Products	12,703	13,188	11,063
High-Voltage Capacitors	22,700	20,156	14,544
Total	\$ 52,438	\$ 45,437	\$ 31,212

Note 2 Divestitures

The following divestitures occurred during the three years ended December 31, 2006. These divestitures did not meet the criteria to be accounted for as discontinued operations, except for the Winding Equipment business segment discussed below.

In December 2003, the Company's Maxwell Technologies, SA subsidiary sold all fixed assets, substantially all inventory except work in process inventory, and all warranty and employee agreement obligations of its Metar Winding Equipment business segment, located in Matran, Switzerland to Metar SA, a new company, whose principal shareholder is a former CEO of Montena SA. The Company received \$324,000 cash and recognized a loss on sale, net of tax, of \$529,000. The new Metar company completed during January through June 2004, certain work in progress related to customer orders received by Maxwell Technologies, SA before the date of sale. The Company concluded its continuing involvement in the Winding Equipment business in the second quarter of 2004 with the shipment of the final Metar product order owned by the Company. In accordance with SFAS No. 144, the results of operations related to the Winding Equipment business which was recorded as continuing operations through the first quarter of 2004 have been reclassified as discontinued operations for fiscal 2006, 2005 and 2004.

Table of Contents**Note 3 Balance Sheet Details (in thousands):**

	December 31,	
	2006	2005
Trade and other accounts receivable, net:		
Accounts receivable	\$ 9,883	\$ 6,971
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(134)	(56)
	\$ 9,749	\$ 6,915
Inventory:		
Raw material and purchased parts	\$ 10,452	\$ 7,397
Work-in-process	3,518	1,257
Finished goods	3,709	4,184
Inventory reserve	(2,785)	(3,302)
	\$ 14,894	\$ 9,536
Property and equipment:		
Machinery, furniture and office equipment	\$ 23,022	\$ 18,224
Computer hardware and software	6,505	6,280
Leasehold improvements	3,016	2,934
Construction in Progress	3,643	1,915
	36,186	29,353
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	(22,565)	(18,985)
	\$ 13,621	\$ 10,368
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$ 5,121	\$ 3,216
Other accrued liabilities	3,185	2,579
Customer deposits	1,077	1,075
	\$ 9,383	\$ 6,870

Warranty Reserve Analysis

	Years Ended December 31,	
	2006	2005
Accrued Warranty:		
Beginning balance	\$ 632	\$ 701
New product warranties	1,352	313
Settlement of warranties	(1,223)	(329)
Other changes/adjustments to warranties	34	(53)
Ending balance	\$ 795	\$ 632

Note 4 Convertible Debentures

Edgar Filing: MAXWELL TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

On December 20, 2005, the Company issued \$25 million in aggregate principal amount of senior subordinated convertible debentures principal due and payable in quarterly installments beginning December 2007 through December 2009 (the "Debentures") and warrants to purchase 394,737 shares of Maxwell common stock. The net proceeds of the issuance totaled approximately \$23.7 million after direct placement costs of approximately \$1.3 million. The Debentures are convertible into 1,315,790 shares of Maxwell's common stock at any time at the option of the holder.

Table of Contents

Interest is due quarterly, beginning April 1, 2006. The interest rate is the Federal Funds Rate plus 1.125% per annum. All or a portion of the accrued and unpaid interest may be paid in shares of Maxwell's common stock at the Company's option for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, the interest rate on the Debentures was 6.375% and 5.375% respectively. During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006 41,573 shares of stock were issued in-lieu of cash to pay interest. Accrued interest of \$402,000 and \$40,000 had been accrued as of December 31, 2006 and 2005 respectively.

At the issuance date, the Debentures were convertible by the holder at any time into common shares at a price of \$19.00 per share subject to adjustment upon certain events such as the sale of equity securities by Maxwell at a price below the conversion price of the Debenture. After eighteen months from the issue date, Maxwell may require that a specified amount of the principal of the Debentures be converted if certain conditions are satisfied for a period of 20 consecutive trading days.

The warrants issued in connection with the issuance of the Debentures had an exercise price of \$19.00 at the issuance date, subject to adjustment upon certain events such as the sale of equity securities by Maxwell at a price below the current exercise price of \$19.00 per share, or a total of \$7.5 million. The warrants are exercisable at any time through December 20, 2010. No warrants had been exercised as of December 31, 2006.

Maxwell is accounting for the conversion option in the Debentures and the associated warrants as derivative liabilities in accordance with SFAS 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, EITF 00-19, *Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments Indexed to and Potentially Settled in a Company's Own Stock* and EITF No. 05-2, *The Meaning of Conventional Convertible Debt Instrument in Issue No. 00-19*. The discount attributable to the issuance date aggregate fair value of the conversion options and warrants, totaling \$9.2 million, is being amortized using the effective interest method over the term of the Debentures.

The change in fair value on revaluation of debenture conversion rights and warrant liabilities represents the difference between the fair value of the warrants and debenture conversion features at December 31, 2005 and the fair value at fiscal yearend using the Black-Scholes pricing model. The fair value of the warrants at December 31, 2006 and 2005 were \$1.9 and \$2.6 million respectively and is included in Stock warrants on the balance sheet. The net fair value of the liability to the holders and Maxwell's conversion rights at December 31, 2006 and 2005 were liabilities of \$4.6 and \$5.8 million respectively which is included in Convertible debentures and long-term debt on the balance sheet. The effect of the fair market value adjustment was \$2.0 million and \$800,000 gains for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 which is recorded as Gain on embedded derivative and warrants.

In the event of any default or fundamental change as defined in the Debentures, the holder will be entitled to require Maxwell to redeem the Debentures (or any portion thereof) at a price equal to the greater of (i) the applicable redemption premium (ranging from 103%-115%) and (ii) the product of (x) the number of shares in to which the Debenture is convertible using the \$19.00 per share conversion price and (y) the closing price of Maxwell's common stock on the day preceding the default or fundamental change.

As long as Debentures are outstanding, the Company is required to maintain a cash balance in excess of \$8.0 million. This amount is classified as restricted cash at December 31, 2006 and 2005.

Note 5 Short-Term and Long-Term Borrowings

Short-term borrowings

Maxwell's European subsidiary, Maxwell Technologies SA, has a 2.0 million Swiss Franc (approximately \$1.6 million as of December 31, 2006) bank credit agreement with a Swiss bank, which renews annually. Borrowings under the credit agreement bear interest at 3.95% with repayment terms extending beyond one month from the date of funding. Borrowings under the credit agreement are unsecured and as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, the full amount available under the credit line was drawn.

Table of Contents

Maxwell SA, has a 1.0 million Swiss Francs (approximately \$820,000 as of December 31, 2006) overdraft credit agreement with a Swiss bank, which renews annually. Borrowings under the credit agreement bear interest at 3.4%. Borrowings under the credit agreement are unsecured and as of December 31, 2006, \$779,000 of the overdraft credit line was drawn.

Approximately \$26,000 and \$49,000 of letters of guarantee primarily related to customer deposits were outstanding as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

The Company had a \$3.0 million credit line from a U.S. bank for working capital purposes, subject to a one-year repayment period. The line had not been used and management decided not to renew the line, which expired on January 31, 2006.

Long-term borrowings

Maxwell Technologies, SA has a term loan with a maximum draw of 1.2 million Swiss Francs, or approximately \$943,000, for financing specific capital equipment expenditures. Borrowings under the term loan are secured by the equipment being purchased. This credit agreement bears interest at the Swiss inter-bank borrowing rate plus 2.0%. The term loan can be borrowed in quarterly advances up to the maximum limit and repaid over one to five years. As of December 31, 2006, approximately \$566,000 was outstanding. The weighted average interest rate on the funds borrowed at December 31, 2006 was 4.1%.

Maxwell, SA has a lease agreement for the acquisition of manufacturing equipment up to an amount of 1.5 million Swiss Francs (approximately \$1.2 million as of December 31, 2006). Under this lease agreement borrowings are for a minimum purchase of 250,000 Swiss Francs and the lease is secured by the equipment being purchased. The leasing fee is 2.22% of the acquisition price plus VAT and a residual value of .5% of the acquisition price. Equipment suppliers are paid directly by the bank with interest payable at a rate of 6.75% per annum until the entire purchased amount has been borrowed at which time the lending arrangement converts to a lease. As of December 31, 2006 \$593,000 of this credit agreement had been paid to suppliers.

In December 2006, we secured a \$1.0 million credit line from a U.S. bank for capital equipment purchases, subject to a three-year repayment period. The agreement bears interest at a rate of the US government Treasury note plus 825 basis points. As of December 31, 2006 the entire line of credit was drawn.

In December 2006 the Company secured a loan from a U.S bank in the amount of \$26,000 for a vehicle. The loan is subject to a five year repayment period. The agreement bears interest at a rate of 4.9% and as December 31, 2006 \$26,000 was outstanding.

The following table summarizes convertible debentures and debt (in thousands):

	December 31, 2006	December 31, 2005
Maxwell SA credit agreement	\$ 1,640	\$ 1,520
Maxwell SA overdraft agreement	779	
U.S. Vehicle loan	26	
U.S. Capital Equipment loan	1,000	
Maxwell SA term loan	566	699
Maxwell SA lease agreement	593	
Convertible debentures	23,611	21,688
Total convertible debentures and long-term debt	28,215	23,907
Less current portion	5,688	1,695
Convertible debentures and long-term debt, excluding current portion	\$ 22,527	\$ 22,212

Table of Contents

Payments due on borrowings, including the convertible debentures, during each of the five years subsequent to December 31, 2006 are as follow (in thousands):

2007	\$ 5,688
2008	12,225
2009	11,679
2010	6
2011	5
Subtotal payments	29,603
Unamortized discount attributed to conversion option and warrants	(5,958)
Net fair value of conversion options	4,570
	\$ 28,215

Note 6 Stock Activity and Stock Plans***Stock Sale***

In November 2006 the company filed an S-3 with the Security and Exchange Commission to from time to time sell up to an aggregate of \$125,000,000 of the Company's common stock, warrants or debt securities. As of December 31, 2006 the company has not raised any capital under this filing.

In July 2005, the Company sold 488,888 shares of its common stock at \$11.25 per share pursuant to a Prospectus Supplement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, which represented the remaining amount available for sale on the Form S-3 registration statement filed in September 2004. The Company received proceeds of approximately \$5.5 million (net of offering expenses).

In November 2004, the Company raised approximately \$10.3 million after deduction of expenses and fees through the sale of approximately 1.2 million shares of common stock to institutional investors. The Company sold the shares directly to the investors in a negotiated transaction in which no underwriters were used for placement. The shares had previously been registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, pursuant to a shelf registration statement filed on Form S-3 with the Securities and Exchange Commission in September 2004.

Stock Option Plans

The Company has two active share-based compensation plans as of December 31, 2006; the 2004 ESPP and 2005 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan under which incentive stock options, non-qualified stock options, Employee Stock Purchase Plan (ESPP) and restricted stock awards have been granted to employees. Generally, stock options and restricted stock awards vest over periods of one to four years and have a maximum contractual period of ten years. The Company has granted equity incentive under stock options plans these plans which include:

The 1995 Stock Option Plan under which, as amended, 3,340,000 shares of common stock were reserved for future grant.

The Company's 1999 Director Stock Option Plan, under which 75,000 shares were reserved for future grant, was adopted in 1999 and approved by the Company's shareholders in January 2000.

In December 1999, the Company granted 294,030 non-qualified options to the Company's then new President and Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Eibl, outside of the Company's other option plans.

Table of Contents

In April 2002, in conjunction with the purchase of shares of its I-Bus/Phoenix and Electronic Components Group subsidiaries not already owned, the Company issued options to purchase approximately 520,000 shares of Maxwell common stock in exchange for options to purchase subsidiary common stock. This issuance of stock options was outside of the Company's option plans.

In November 2002, options to purchase 853,461 shares of common stock with strike prices above \$10 per share, previously held by senior management and certain outside directors, were voluntarily cancelled, in exchange for the future issuance (May 2003) of substitute stock options with a strike price equal to the then-prevailing market price of the common stock.

The expense for all stock based compensation that has been charged against income for the year ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 is \$2.7 million and \$361,000, respectively. For the year ended December 31, 2006, the tax benefits associated with the exercise of non-qualified stock options, disqualifying dispositions of both Incentive Stock Options and stock acquired from the Company's Employee Stock Purchase Plan was approximately \$3.5 million.

The information for the employee stock options and ESPP is presented for the modified prospective portion of these grants. This allows the Company to use the share-based compensation accounting outlined in SFAS 123R for options and ESPP shares granted after December 31, 2005.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan (ESPP)

In 2004, the Company established the 2004 ESPP. The aggregate number of shares of common stock, which may be purchased under this Plan shall not exceed five hundred thousand (500,000) shares of common stock of the Company. As of December 31, 2006 the Company has issued a total of 34,470 shares of common stock from the current ESPP. For the year ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 the Company issued 18,239, 18,045 and 22,160 shares of stock for ESPP, respectively.

The plan permits substantially all employees to purchase common stock through payroll deductions, at 85% of the lower of the trading price of the stock at the beginning or at the end of each six-month offering period commencing on January 1 and July 1. The number of shares purchased is based on participants' contributions made during the offering period.

The fair value of the look back option of the ESPP is estimated based on the stock price on the first day of the offering period using the Black-Scholes valuation model. The following assumptions were used in the valuation model for the two six months periods ended June 30 and December 31, 2006: expected dividend of zero, expected volatility of 43% and 54%, respectively, average risk-free interest rate of 4.22% and 5.04%, respectively; option life of .5 years, which is based on actual life; and a forfeiture rate of 0% as the number of shares to be purchased is calculated based on employee contributions. The fair value of the ESPP shares to be granted during the year ended December 31, 2006 was calculated for a 15% discount on the stock price; and by using the Black-Scholes valuation model for a call and a put option attributes of our ESPP, which resulted in a total value of \$3.93 and \$6.06 per share for the two six months periods ended June 30 and December 31, 2006. Total compensation expense recognized for the ESPP for the year ended December 31, 2006 was \$76,000, which had a total intrinsic value of \$83,000.

Employee Stock Options Plan

The Company's 2005 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan (the Incentive Plan), approved by the shareholders, provides for the grant of stock based awards, including incentive stock options, non-qualified stock options, stock appreciation rights, performance awards, restricted stock, and restricted stock unit awards. This Incentive Plan had an initial 750,000 shares reserved for issuance with additional shares added to the plan from shares forfeited from the 1995 plan which may increase the number of shares available to be granted.

Table of Contents

The fair value of each option award granted is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes valuation model with the following assumptions:

	Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2006	Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2005	Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2004
Expected dividends			
Expected volatility	54.7% - 62.3%	55.1%	52%
Average risk-free interest rate	4.4% - 5.0%	4.4%	3.5%
Expected life (in years)	5.1 - 6.2	4.0	4.0

The dividend yield of zero is based on the fact that we have never paid cash dividends and have no present intention to pay cash dividends.

Expected volatility is based on historical Company stock prices using a mathematical formula to measure the standard deviation of the change in the natural logarithm of our underlying stock price that is expected over a period of time, commensurate with the option life. This is expressed as an annual percentage rate required by our option-pricing model. For the year ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 the weighted average volatility is 59.5% and 57%, respectively. The Company does not consider implied volatility due to the low volume of traded stock options. The risk-free interest rate is derived from the zero coupon rate for the option expected life. The expected life calculation is based on the Company's history of exercised options from previous equity-based share option grants.

Share-based compensation expense recognized in the Consolidated Statement of Operations for fiscal 2006 is based on awards ultimately expected to vest. SFAS 123R requires forfeitures to be estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates with a cumulative catch up adjustment.

The following table summarizes total aggregate stock option activity for the period January 1, 2006 through December 31, 2006:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term	Aggregate Intrinsic Value as of
Balance at December 31, 2005	2,493,633	\$ 8.62		
Granted	235,575	\$ 15.69		
Exercised	(495,241)	\$ 8.29		
Forfeited	(158,501)	\$ 11.00		
Expired	(1,801)	\$ 23.36		

Balance at December 31, 2006	2,073,665	\$ 9.31	5.47	\$ 10,412,505
Vested or expected to vest at December 31, 2006	1,946,171	\$ 8.97	5.25	\$ 10,344,307
Exercisable at December 31, 2006	1,669,398	\$ 8.21	4.69	\$ 9,969,172

The number of shares under options exercisable at December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 were 1,669,398, 1,954,167 and 1,879,188, respectively, with weighted average exercise prices of \$8.21, \$8.16 and \$8.03, respectively, and for options exercised we issue new shares.

The weighted-average grant date fair value of employee options granted for Company options granted during the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 was \$9.11, \$6.10 and \$4.93 per share, respectively. The total intrinsic value of options exercised at December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 were \$3.8 million, \$1.0 million and 700,000, respectively.

As of December 31, 2006 there was \$2.3 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested share-based compensation arrangements granted under the employee option plan. The cost is expected

Table of Contents

to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.17 years. Compensation cost for employee options recognized for the year ended December 31, 2006 was \$2.6. Cash received from options exercised under all share-based payment arrangements for the year ended December 31, 2006 was \$3.5 million.

Restricted Stock Awards

Beginning in 2005 the Company awarded Directors and selected senior management restricted stock awards under the Company's 2005 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan. Vesting of restricted stock awards is contingent upon meeting various departmental, Company, market or performance conditions and requires a one year service period. The restricted stock awards have a contractual life of ten years. The number of shares available for issuance as restricted stock awards and employee stock options combined was an initial 750,000 shares with an additional, 121,902 shares cancelled from the expired 1995 stock option plan and 1,000 shares from the 1999 Directors' plan added to the total available for issuance.

The fair value of each restricted stock award is calculated on the date of grant using the stock price on the date of grant. The fair value of awards with market conditions have been determined using a Monte Carlo calculation. Performance conditions have estimated achievement dates over which compensation expense is recognized. The requisite service period is the greater of the estimated achievement date or the minimum 12-month vesting period. This requisite service period is determined based on an analysis of all the terms and conditions of each grant. The Company uses the requisite service period that is most likely to occur including the likelihood that the restricted stock award will not be earned. The initial requisite service period may be adjusted for changes in the expected outcomes of the related service or performance conditions with such changes recognized as a cumulative catch-up adjustment.

Share-based compensation expense recognized in the Consolidated Statement of Operations is based on awards ultimately expected to vest. SFAS 123R requires forfeitures to be estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. Based on our historical experience of pre-vesting award cancellations, we have assumed an annualized forfeiture rate for restricted stock awards of 5.8%. Under the true-up provisions of SFAS 123R, if the actual forfeiture rate is higher than estimated, a recovery of prior expense is recorded and if the actual forfeiture rate is lower than estimated an additional expense is recorded. The Company's forfeiture rate change was immaterial in the year ended December 31, 2006 and no adjustment was recorded.

For the year ended December 31, 2005 the Company had recognized \$361,000 of compensation expense for restricted stock awards in accordance with APB No. 25. The Company was required to calculate the restricted stock award expense based on the market price of the Company's stock at the end of each reporting period. Under SFAS 123R the Company determines the fair value at grant date and expenses that amount over the requisite service period. As prescribed under SFAS 123R the Company has reassessed each restricted stock award that was active and not earned as of January 1, 2006 and determined appropriate share-based expense treatment under SFAS 123R.

The following table summarizes the activity under the restricted stock plan:

Nonvested Shares	Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Nonvested at January 1, 2006	197,500	\$ 9.72
Granted	127,003	15.32
Vested	(109,163)	8.30
Forfeited	(22,450)	14.36
Nonvested at December 31, 2006	192,890	\$ 13.67

Table of Contents

The total grant date fair value of restricted stock awards granted during the year ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 was \$1.9 and \$1.9 million, respectively. Awards vested during the year ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 were 109,000 and zero, respectively. The total fair value of shares vested during 2006 was \$906,000. As of December 31, 2006 there was \$1.2 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested restricted stock awards granted under the plan. The cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 0.64 years.

Stockholder Rights Plan

In November 1999, the Company adopted a Stockholder Rights Plan as a successor to its previous plan, which expired in June 1999. In accordance with the plan, the Company distributed one non-voting common stock purchase right (Right) for each outstanding share of common stock. The Rights are not exercisable and will not trade separately from the common stock unless a person or group acquires, or makes a tender offer for, 20% or more of the Company's common stock. Initially, each Right entitles the registered holder to purchase one share of Company common stock at a price of \$75 per share, subject to certain anti-dilution adjustments. If the Rights become exercisable and certain conditions are met, then each Right not owned by the acquiring person or group will entitle its holder to receive, upon exercise, Company common stock having a market value of twice the exercise price of the Right. In addition, the Company may redeem the Rights at a price of \$0.01 per Right, subject to certain restrictions. The Stockholder Rights Plan expires on October 21, 2009.

Share Reservations

The following table summarizes the reservation of authorized unissued shares for issuance upon exercise and conversion of outstanding instruments:

	# of Shares
Stock options	2,073,655
Convertible debentures	1,315,790
Warrants	394,747
Restricted stock awards	192,890
Equity compensation plans not approved by shareholders	283,030
Total	4,260,112

Note 7 Goodwill and Other Intangibles

The Company has implemented SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, and began applying the rules on accounting for goodwill and other intangible assets effective January 1, 2002. The SFAS No. 142 goodwill impairment test is a two-step process. The first step consists of estimating the fair value of each reporting unit and comparing those estimated fair values with the carrying values, which includes the allocated goodwill. If the fair value is less than the carrying value, a second step is performed to compute the amount of the impairment by determining an implied fair value of goodwill. The implied fair value of goodwill is the residual fair value derived by deducting the fair value of a reporting unit's assets and liabilities from its estimated fair value, which was calculated in step one. The impairment charge represents the excess of the carrying amount of the reporting unit's goodwill over the implied fair value of their goodwill. SFAS No. 142 requires goodwill to be tested annually at the same time every year or when an event occurs or circumstances change such that it is reasonably possible that an impairment may exist. The Company selected December 31 as its annual testing date. As a result of the Company's annual assessment as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, using the market approach, no impairment was indicated.

Table of Contents

The change in the carrying amount of goodwill from January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2006 is as follows (in thousands):

Balance at January 1, 2005	\$ 21,101
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(2,552)
Balance at December 31, 2005	18,549
Foreign currency translation adjustments	1,237
Balance at December 31, 2006	\$ 19,786

Acquired intangible assets subject to amortization at December 31, 2006, and 2005 were as follows (in thousands):

				Cumulative	
	Useful Life	Gross Carrying Value	Accumulated Amortization	Foreign Currency Adjustment	Net Carrying Value
As of December 31, 2006:					
Developed core technology	10 years	\$ 1,100	\$ (564)	\$ 193	\$ 729
Patents	13 years	988	(322)		666
		\$ 2,088	\$ (886)	\$ 193	\$ 1,395
As of December 31, 2005:					
Developed core technology	10 years	\$ 1,100	\$ (434)	\$ 133	\$ 799
Patents	13 years	988	(246)		742
		\$ 2,088	\$ (680)	\$ 133	\$ 1,541

Amortization expense for intangible assets was \$206,000, \$207,000 and \$207,000 for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The estimated amortization for each of the next five years ended December 31 is as follows (in thousands):

Fiscal Years	
2007	\$ 205
2008	205
2009	205
2010	205
2011	205
Thereafter	516
	\$ 1,541

Actual amortization expense to be reported in future periods could differ from these estimates as a result of foreign currency translation adjustments, impairments and other factors.

Table of Contents**Note 8 Income Taxes**

The provision (benefit) for income taxes based on loss from continuing operations is as follows (in thousands):

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2006	2005	2004
Federal:			
Current	\$	\$	\$
Deferred	(5,827)	(2,938)	(3,837)
	(5,827)	(2,938)	(3,837)
State:			
Current			
Deferred	(1,372)	(555)	(581)
	(1,372)	(555)	(581)
Foreign:			
Current	(52)	84	
Deferred	260	(35)	712
	208	49	712
Valuation allowance	7,199	3,493	4,418
	\$ 208	\$ 49	\$ 712

The provision (benefit) for income taxes in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations differs from the amount calculated by applying the statutory income tax rate to loss from continuing operations before income taxes. The primary components of such difference are as follows (in thousands):

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2006	2005	2004
Taxes at federal statutory rate	\$ (5,471)	\$ (2,110)	\$ (3,092)
State taxes, net of federal benefit	(895)	(474)	(523)
Effect of tax rate differential for foreign subsidiary	(99)	(547)	885
Adjustment of federal and state net operating losses			(794)
Impact of asset basis difference in acquisitions			43
Tax credits	(200)	(256)	(225)
Valuation allowance, including tax benefits of stock activity	6,309	3,493	4,418
Other items not reflected in consolidated statements of operations	564	(57)	
Tax provision (benefit)	\$ 208	\$ 49	\$ 712

The Company has established a valuation allowance against its deferred tax assets due to the uncertainty surrounding the realization of such assets as evidenced by the cumulative losses from operations through December 31, 2006. Management periodically evaluates the recoverability of the deferred tax assets. At such time as it is determined that it is more likely than not that deferred assets are realizable, the valuation allowance will be reduced accordingly. The Company has recorded a valuation allowance of \$50.4 million as of December 31, 2006 to reflect the estimated amount of deferred tax assets that may not be realized. The Company increased its valuation allowance by \$7.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Pursuant to Internal Revenue Code Sections 382 and 383, use of the Company's federal net operating loss and credit carryforwards may be limited due to a cumulative change in ownership of more than 50% within a three-year period.

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2006, the Company had net operating loss carryforwards for federal and state income tax purposes of approximately \$114.9 million and \$69.6 million, respectively. The federal loss carryforward begins to expire in calendar year 2011, while the state loss carryforwards will begin to expire in 2010. In addition, the Company has research and development and other tax credit carryforwards for federal and state income tax purposes as of December 31, 2006 and 2005 of \$3.7 million and \$2.7 million, respectively which begin to expire in 2014.

For the year ended December 31, 2006, the tax benefits associated with the exercise of non-qualified stock options, disqualifying dispositions of both Incentive Stock Options and stock acquired from the Company's Employee Stock Purchase Plan in the approximate amount of \$3.5 million did not reduce current income taxes payable and, accordingly, it is not included in the deferred tax asset relating to net operating loss (NOL) carryforwards, but it is included with the federal and California NOL carryforwards disclosed in this footnote. The tax benefits associated with stock options deductions from 1998 to 2006 in the approximate amount of \$18.9 million were not recorded in Additional Paid-in Capital because their realization were not more likely than not to occur and, consequently a valuation allowance was recorded against the entire benefit.

Unremitted earnings of foreign subsidiaries have been included in the consolidated financial statements without giving effect to the United States taxes that may be payable on distribution to the United States because it is not anticipated such earnings will be remitted to the United States. If remitted, the additional United States taxes that may be required to be paid is not anticipated to be material.

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. The primary components of the Company's deferred tax assets and liabilities within continuing operations are as follows (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2006	2005
Deferred tax assets:		
Tax loss carryforwards	\$ 41,800	\$ 34,880
Debt conversion rights	1,820	2,310
Research and development and other tax credit carryforwards	6,334	6,156
Uniform capitalization, contract and inventory related reserves	1,203	1,511
Environmental and restructuring provisions		81
Accrued vacation	305	274
Allowance for doubtful accounts	16	11
Deferred compensation	118	155
Other	44	123
	51,640	45,501
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Tax depreciation less than book depreciation	344	217
Convertible debt discount, embedded conversion option	(1,626)	(2,487)
Foreign net operating loss	174	
Inventory deduction	(257)	(198)
Intangible assets	(146)	(166)
Pension assets	(2,494)	(1,032)
Other	(141)	(93)
	(4,146)	(3,759)
Net deferred tax assets before valuation allowance	47,494	41,742
Valuation allowance	(50,431)	(43,231)
Net deferred tax liabilities	\$ (2,937)	\$ (1,489)

Table of Contents**Note 9 Commitments and Contingencies**

The Company is the subject of government audits of two businesses sold or discontinued in 2001. A contract, not assumed by the acquirers of the Company's former defense contract business, entered into in 1990 and completed in the late 1990s was being audited by the Defense Department's auditing agency. The Defense Department's audit was completed in 2005 and the Company reimbursed an immaterial amount to finalize the audit.

The Defense Department's auditing agency audited a contract entered into by our Microelectronics group as a subcontractor in 1995 and completed in 1999. The Company is contracted with a subcontractor, who received a letter after December 31, 2006 releasing them of any obligations from the primary contractor. We have received a verbal release from the subcontractor and have requested that they provide a letter releasing Maxwell from any obligations for the audit. Based on the information that we have received, we believe that the company no longer needs to carry a contingent liability. Therefore, the \$464,000 liability was reversed in the fourth quarter of 2006.

The Company enters into indemnification agreements in the ordinary course of business in which the indemnified party is held harmless and is reimbursed for losses incurred from claims by third parties. In connection with divestitures of certain assets or businesses, the Company often provides indemnities to the buyer with respect to certain matters including, for example, environmental liabilities and unidentified liabilities related to periods prior to the disposition. Due to the uncertain nature of the indemnities, the maximum liability cannot be quantified. Liabilities for obligations are recorded where appropriate and when they are probable and can be reasonably estimated. Historically, the Company has not made significant payments for these obligations.

In 2005, a customer brought to our attention a possible defect in a product that we source from another manufacturer and resell to the customer. We are in the process of investigating this matter, but are not yet able to determine what, if any, warranty exposure Maxwell may have, and therefore, we have not recorded any warranty reserve provision. We carry insurance that we believe would cover all or a portion of any obligation that might ultimately arise from this matter.

In March 2006, a customer sent us a demand letter requesting payment of \$535,000 for a tester product initially sold in 2002. The Company believes the request has no merit and no accrual has been made in the financial statements. We have communicated our position to the customer. As of the date of this filing there has been no resolution.

Note 10 Leases

Rental expense amounted to \$1.7 million, \$1.5 million and \$1.4 million in the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, and was incurred primarily for facility rental. The Company's headquarter in San Diego, California facility leases expire in July 2007 we also occupy a 16,500-square-foot production annex in San Diego which that lease expires in November 2010 and our Rossens, Switzerland facility lease expires June 2009. Future annual minimum rental commitments as of December 31, 2005 are as follows (in thousands):

Fiscal Years	
2007	\$ 1,792
2008	1,893
2009	1,534
2010	737
2011	
	\$ 5,956

Table of Contents**Note 11 Pension and Other Postretirement Benefit Plans***Foreign Plans*

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 158 *Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans* an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132(R) (SFAS 158). SFAS 158 requires balance sheet recognition of the over funded or under funded status of pension and postretirement benefit plans. Under SFAS 158, actuarial gains and losses, prior service costs or credits, and any remaining transition assets or obligations that have not been recognized under previous accounting standards must be recognized as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) within stockholders' equity, net of tax effects, until they are amortized as a component of net periodic benefit cost. In addition, the measurement date and the date at which plan assets and the benefit obligation are measured, are required to be the Company's fiscal year end. SFAS 158 is effective for the Company as of December 31, 2006.

The plan reported on our financial statement is regulated by the Swiss Government and is equally funded by the employees and the Company. The pension benefit is based on compensation, length of service and credited investment earnings. The plan guarantees both a minimum rate of return as well as minimum annuity purchase rates. The Company's funding policy with respect to the pension plan is to contribute the amount required by Swiss law, using the required percentage applied to the employee's compensation. In addition, participating employees are required to contribute an identical amount to the pension plan. The Company made pension contributions of \$336,000, \$282,000 and, \$296,000 in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. This plan has a measurement date of December 31.

The reported asset increased from \$4.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2005 to \$10.3 million the year ended December 31, 2006, \$4.0 million of this increase is from the implementation of FASB 158. The Company does not have any rights to this asset, one half of the assets are contributed by the employees, and the plan is regulated by the Swiss Government. The asset is being reported on the Company balance sheet to comply with accounting pronouncements that require us to disclose the amount on our balance sheet.

The following table shows the incremental effect of applying SFAS 158 on individual line items in the Company's December 31, 2006 Consolidated Balance Sheet (in thousand of dollars):

	Before Application		After Application
	of SFAS No. 158	Adjustment	of SFAS No. 158
Prepaid Pension Asset	\$ 6,390	\$ 3,981	\$ 10,371
Total Assets	87,688	3,981	91,669
Deferred income tax liabilities	1,757	1,180	2,937
Accumulated other comprehensive income	4,423	2,801	7,224

Table of Contents

The following table reflects changes in pension benefits for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005:

	Pension Benefits	
	Year ended	
	December 31,	December 31,
	2006	2005
	(in thousands)	
Change in benefit obligation:		
Benefit obligation at beginning of year	\$ 11,629	\$ 12,099
Service cost	214	198
Interest cost	308	343
Plan participant contributions	336	282
Benefits paid	(110)	(171)
Actuarial loss (gain)	543	794
Administrative expenses paid	(60)	(51)
Plan change	465	(104)
Special termination benefits/asset transfers in	108	
Effect of foreign currency translation	968	(1,761)
 Benefit obligation at end of year	 14,401	 11,629
Changes in plan assets:		
Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year	21,070	19,101
Actual return on plan assets	1,482	4,561
Special termination benefits/asset transfers in		
Company contributions	336	282
Plan participant contributions	336	282
Benefits paid	(110)	(171)
Administrative expenses paid	(60)	(51)
Effect of foreign currency translation	1,718	(2,934)
 Fair value of plan assets at end of year	 24,772	 21,070
 Funded status at end of year	 10,371	 9,441
Unrecognized prior service cost		(89)
Unrecognized net actuarial gain		(4,422)
 Net amount recognized	 \$ 10,371	 \$ 4,930
Amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheet consist of:		
Prepaid benefit cost	\$ 5,972	\$ 5,389
Foreign currency translation adjustment	418	
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income	3,981	(459)
 Net amount recognized	 \$ 10,371	 \$ 4,930

Table of Contents

The components of net periodic benefit cost to the Company of the plan are as follows:

	Year ended		
	2006	December 31, 2005 (in thousands)	2004
Components of net periodic benefit cost:			
Service cost	\$ 214	\$ 198	\$ 216
Interest cost	308	343	426
Expected return on plan assets	(1,102)	(858)	(938)
Prior service cost amortization	(10)	(10)	
Net (gain) amortization	(224)	(2)	(56)
Curtailments			
Net periodic income	\$ (814)	\$ (329)	\$ (352)

	Pension Benefits Year ended	
	December 31, 2006	2005
Weighted-average assumptions used to determine benefit obligations:		
Discount rate	3.00%	2.60%
Rate of compensation increase	2.00%	2.00%
Weighted-average assumptions used to determine net periodic benefit cost:		
Discount rate	2.60%	3.25%
Expected long-term return on plan assets	5.00%	5.00%
Rate of compensation increase	2.00%	1.50%

	December 31,	
	2006	2005
Percentage of the fair value of total plan assets held in each major category of plan assets:		
Equity securities	27%	20%
Debt securities	20%	20%
Real estate	48%	54%
Other	5%	6%
Total	100%	100%

The following benefit payments, which reflect expected future service, as appropriate, are expected to be paid (in thousands):

2007	\$ 894
2008	872
2009	914
2010	907
2011	935
Years 2012 through 2016	4,657

Total	\$ 9,179
-------	----------

As noted in the table above, the accumulated benefit obligation was \$14.4 million and \$11.6 million as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

The Company expects to contribute \$437,000 to its foreign pension plan in fiscal 2007.

Table of Contents

U.S. Plans

The Company has other post retirement benefit plans covering substantially all of its employees in the United States. Substantially all U.S. employees are eligible to elect coverage under contributory employee savings plans which provide for Company matching contributions based on one-half of employee contributions up to certain plan limits. The Company's matching contributions under these plans totaled \$172,000, \$187,000 and \$157,000 for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Note 12 Discontinued Operations

In March 2001, the Company sold the assets of its defense contracting business in separate transactions with two buyers, for an aggregate sales price of approximately \$20.7 million, the proceeds of which were recorded in 2001. The buyers assumed certain liabilities and ongoing contractual obligations of the business and hired most of the employees of the business. The Company retained certain leases and lease obligations expiring in 2006 and certain assets and liabilities of the business, including estimated amounts provided at closing for the expenses of the transaction and the net costs of winding up any remaining activities of the business. There were no net lease obligations for discontinued operations as of December 31, 2006, while in 2005 and 2004 the company increased the reserves for net lease obligations by \$123,000 and \$485,000 respectively.

In September 2002, the Company decided to suspend the operations of its majority owned PurePulse Technologies, Inc. subsidiary. PurePulse had been designing and developing systems that generate extremely intense, broad-spectrum, pulsed light to purify water and inactivate viruses and other pathogens that contaminate vaccines and products sourced from human or animal tissues, such as plasma derivatives, transfusion blood components and biopharmaceuticals. The Company plans to preserve its intellectual property and certain other technology assets for a possible future sale of such assets. The carrying value of the assets at December 31, 2006 and 2005 was zero.

In December 2003, the Company's Maxwell Technologies, SA subsidiary sold all fixed assets, substantially all inventory except work in process inventory, and all warranty and employee agreement obligations of its Metar Winding Equipment business segment, located in Matran, Switzerland to Metar SA, a new company, whose principal shareholder is a former CEO of Montena SA. The Company received \$324,000 cash and recognized a loss on sale, net of tax, of \$529,000. The new Metar company completed during January through June 2004 certain work in progress related to customer orders received by Maxwell Technologies, SA before the date of sale. The Company concluded its continuing involvement in the Winding Equipment business in the second quarter of 2004 with the shipment of the final Metar product order owned by the Company. In accordance with SFAS No. 144, the results of operations related to the Winding Equipment business which was recorded as continuing operations through the first quarter of 2004 have been reclassified as discontinued operations for fiscal 2004.

Operating results of the discontinued operations are shown separately, net of tax, in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. The businesses included in discontinued operations had sales aggregating \$1.1 million million in the year ended December 31, 2004. These amounts are not included in net sales in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

Net liabilities of discontinued operations have been separately classified in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2006 and 2005 in the amounts of \$63,000 and \$527,000, respectively, and were comprised of Defense contracting business of \$63,000 as of December 31, 2006. As of December 31, 2005, the net liability balance was comprised of \$204,000 for lease obligations, 255,000 for minority interest in PurePulse and \$68,000 for Defense Contracting business.

Table of Contents

Results for discontinued operations, by business unit, consisted of the following (in thousands):

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2006	2005	2004
Discontinued operations, net of tax:			
Income (loss) from operations:			
Winding Equipment	\$	\$	\$ 949
PurePulse Technologies and Government Systems	(195)	(40)	(216)
	\$ (195)	\$ (40)	\$ 733

Note 13 Related Party Transactions

Montena SA, the former parent company of Montena and a significant shareholder of Maxwell Technologies, Inc., is the lessor for the Company's headquarters in Rossens, Switzerland. During the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, the Company paid zero, \$797,000 and \$795,000, respectively, in rental fees to Montena SA. In January 2006, Montena SA sold the building to an unrelated third party and all payments for the Rossens property lease have been made to that unrelated party in 2006.

Maxwell Technologies, SA had a loan from Montena SA pension plan for 300,000 Swiss Francs, or approximately \$265,000, that was repaid in 2004.

Note 14 Legal Proceedings

In October 2006, Maxwell filed a patent infringement lawsuit against Nesscap in the United States District Court for the Southern District of California alleging that Nesscap's sales of its ultracapacitors in the United States violate Maxwell patent(s). Maxwell is seeking monetary damages and an injunction to stop Nesscap's sales of infringing products. The company has capitalized the legal cost of this lawsuit as counsel believes a favorable outcome is probable. As of December 31, 2006 we have capitalized \$418,000 of legal costs.

In December 2006 Nesscap filed a lawsuit against Maxwell in United States District Court for the District of Delaware in Wilmington Delaware claiming Maxwell has infringed on NessCap's patented intellectual property. We consider this as a counter suit by Nesscap and it does not have merit.

We were named as a defendant in a suit filed on March 4, 2004 in the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of San Luis Obispo. This suit, *Edmonds vs. I-Bus/Phoenix, Inc.*, was filed by the plaintiff on his behalf and allege damages concerning the repurchase of *I-Bus/Phoenix, Inc.* shares. On September 12, 2006 a California jury ruled in favor of the plaintiff for the amount of \$231,000. The Company paid \$435,000 to settle the lawsuit, the payment included interest on the judgment, the expense is included in discontinued operations.

Table of Contents**Note 15 Unaudited Quarterly Results of Operations**

	March 31	June 30	Quarter Ended September 30	December 31
		(in thousands except	per share data)	
Year ended December 31, 2006:				
Total revenue	\$ 11,971	\$ 12,763 (B)	\$ 14,011 (B)	\$ 15,140 (B)
Gross profit	3,512	2,361	2,740	3,686
Income (Loss) from continuing operations	(7,526)(A)	(4,579)(C)	(4,995)(D)	800 (E)
Discontinued operations, net of tax	75	258	(361)	(167)
Net loss	\$ (7,451)	\$ (4,321)	\$ (5,356)	\$ 633
Basic and diluted net loss per share:				
Loss from continuing operations	\$ (0.45)	\$ (0.27)	\$ (0.29)	\$ 0.04
Discontinued operations, net of tax		0.02	(0.02)	(0.01)
Net loss per share	\$ (0.45)	\$ (0.25)	\$ (0.31)	\$ 0.03
Year ended December 31, 2005:				
Total revenue	\$ 9,785	\$ 11,019	\$ 12,024	\$ 12,609
Gross profit	2,789 (F)	3,187 (G)	3,468 (F)	4,590
Loss from continuing operations	(2,203)	(1,688)	(1,228)	(1,135)(H)
Discontinued operations, net of tax	37	15	(107)(I)	15
Net loss	\$ (2,166)	\$ (1,673)	\$ (1,335)	\$ (1,120)
Basic and diluted net loss per share:				
Loss from continuing operations	\$ (0.14)	\$ (0.11)	\$ (0.07)	\$ (0.07)
Discontinued operations, net of tax			(0.01)	0.01
Net loss per share	\$ (0.14)	\$ (0.11)	\$ (0.08)	\$ (0.06)

(A) Includes a loss on embedded derivatives of \$3.5 million, a non cash expense for stock options of \$936,000 and an amortization of debt discount of \$904,000.

(B) Includes license fee of \$615,00, \$494,000 and 338,000 for the second, third and fourth quarter respectively.

(C) Includes a gain on embedded derivatives of \$400,000, a non cash expense for stock options of \$693,000 and an amortization of debt discount of \$904,000.

(D) Includes a gain on embedded derivatives of \$50,000, a non cash expense for stock options of \$710,000 and an amortization of debt discount of \$904,000

(E) Includes a gain on embedded derivatives of \$5.0 million, a non cash expense for stock options of \$368,000, an amortization of debt discount of \$904,000 and reversal of \$464,000 contingency accrual

(F) Includes charges of \$572,000, and \$46,000 in the first and third quarters of 2005, respectively, for customer orders which were priced below the Company's production cost.

(G) Includes credits of \$260,000 for use of reserves from customer orders priced below cost in 2004 and \$161,000 for use of reserves for excess and obsolete inventory.

(H) Includes a credit of \$800,000 for the gain on embedded derivative liabilities.

(I) Includes charge of \$123,000 for lease obligations related to vacant facilities of discontinued operations.

Note 16 Subsequent Events

The Defense Department's auditing agency audited a contract entered into by our Microelectronics group as a subcontractor in 1995 and completed in 1999. The Company is contracted with a subcontractor, who received a letter after December 31, 2006 releasing them of any obligations from the primary contractor. We have received a verbal release from the subcontractor and have requested that they provide a letter releasing Maxwell from any obligations for the audit. Based on the information that we have received, we believe that the company no longer needs to carry a contingent liability. Therefore, the \$464,000 liability was reversed in the fiscal year ending 2006.

Table of Contents**Schedule II****Valuation and Qualifying Accounts (in thousands)**

	Balance at the Beginning of the Year	Charged to Expense	Acquisitions/ Transfers and Other	Write-offs Net of Recoveries	Balance at the End of the Year
Allowance for Doubtful Accounts:					
December 31, 2004	179	212	5	(3)	393
December 31, 2005	393	(96)	(6)	(235)	56
December 31, 2006	56	113	6	(41)	134
Inventory Reserve:					
December 31, 2004	3,154	3,190	32	(2,349)	4,027
December 31, 2005	4,027	1,015	(62)	(1,678)	3,302
December 31, 2006	3,302	1,258	38	(1,813)	2,785
Deferred Tax Asset Valuation Allowance:					
December 31, 2004	34,998		4,417		39,415
December 31, 2005	39,415		3,816		43,231
December 31, 2006	43,231		7,200		50,431

Table of Contents

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we have conducted an evaluation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures, as that term is defined in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), as of December 31, 2006. Based on such evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that, due to a material weakness in the accounting for income taxes related to our Swiss subsidiary discussed in Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of December 31, 2006.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f). Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

Based on its evaluation, management identified a material weakness in the operation of the Company's internal controls limited to the accounting for income taxes related to our Swiss subsidiary as of December 31, 2006. A material weakness is a control deficiency (within the meaning of Public Company Accounting Oversight Board Auditing Standard No. 2), or combination of control deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis by employees in the normal course of their work.

Over the past few years the Company has outsourced preparation of the calculation of its tax provisions, including tax calculations related to its foreign operations, and its tax compliance to an external tax service provider.

There was one primary tax issue related to our Swiss pension plan that led management to conclude that a material weakness existed. Specifically, the Company did not record an income tax liability associated with an adjustment made as a result of the implementation of FAS 158 *Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans* in the current year's comprehensive income when, in fact, an income tax liability should have been recorded.

Management has concluded that the principal factors contributing to the material weakness in accounting for income taxes related to our Swiss subsidiary were (a) inadequate consideration of the provisions of FAS No. 109 *Accounting for Income Taxes* by our external tax service provider resulting in an error in the accounting for income taxes and (b) insufficient or ineffective review practices by our internal personnel. This material weakness resulted in an accounting error which was corrected prior to the issuance of the consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2006. Management has not identified any other material weaknesses in its internal control over financial reporting.

Because of the material weakness described above, management concluded that, as of December 31, 2006, the Company did not maintain effective internal control over financial reporting based on the criteria established in *Internal Control-Integrated Framework* issued by COSO. McGladrey & Pullen, LLP, the independent registered public accounting firm that audited the Company's consolidated financial statements has also audited management's assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006. The attestation report of McGladrey & Pullen, LLP follows this report.

Table of Contents

Remediation Plan for Material Weakness in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

The Company has developed the following plan to remediate the material weakness identified above.

Hire additional personnel trained and experienced in United States and foreign income tax accounting. Management recognizes that appropriate tax accounting expertise is important for the Company to maintain effective internal controls on an ongoing basis;

Evaluate and, if necessary, supplement the resources provided by our external tax service provider;

Engage an additional outside tax advisor who will either (a) replace the external tax service provider, should our evaluation of resources provided by our additional outside tax advisor conclude that appropriate resources are not available or (b) engage an additional resource in the preparation and review of the work prepared by our current service provider. These multiple levels of review will ensure that complex tax issues are identified and the related analyses, judgments and estimates are appropriately documented, reviewed and applied on a timely basis;

Accelerate the timing of certain tax review activities during the financial statement closing process.

We anticipate the actions described above and the resulting improvements in controls will strengthen our internal control over financial reporting relating to accounting for income taxes, and will, over time, address the related material weakness that we identified as of December 31, 2006. However, because these remedial actions relate to the hiring of additional personnel and many of the controls in our system of internal controls rely extensively on manual review and approval, we cannot yet be certain that these remediation efforts will sufficiently cure our identified material weakness. Additionally, because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues, if any, within the Company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple errors or mistakes.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Subsequent to the evaluation and through the date of this filing of Form 10-K for fiscal year 2006, other than the material weakness noted above, there was no change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) of the Exchange Act) that occurred during the fourth quarter of the year ended December 31, 2006 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors

Maxwell Technologies, Inc.

San Diego, California

We have audited management's assessment, included in the accompanying Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting, that Maxwell Technologies, Inc. did not maintain effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, because of the effect of a material weakness in the accounting for income taxes of the Company's foreign subsidiary, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Maxwell Technologies, Inc.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on management's assessment and an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management's assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

A material weakness is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected. The following material weakness has been identified and included in management's assessment: As of December 31, 2006, the Company did not maintain adequate review of the accounting for income taxes of the Company's foreign subsidiary. The material weakness resulted in an adjustment to the Company's 2006 financial statements with respect to the deferred income tax liability, accumulated other comprehensive income and other comprehensive income. This material weakness was considered in determining the nature, timing, and extent of audit tests applied in our audit of the 2006 financial statements, and this report does not affect our report dated March 15, 2007 on those financial statements.

In our opinion, management's assessment that Maxwell Technologies, Inc. did not maintain effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, is fairly stated, in all material respects, based

Table of Contents

on criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Also, in our opinion, because of the effect of the material weakness described above on the achievement of the objectives of the control criteria, Maxwell Technologies, Inc. has not maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet of Maxwell Technologies, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2006, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity and comprehensive loss and cash flows of Maxwell Technologies, Inc. and our report dated March 15, 2007 expressed an unqualified opinion.

/s/ MCGLADREY & PULLEN, LLP

San Diego, California

March 15, 2007

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

Table of Contents

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

The information required by this item will be set forth in the Proxy Statement and is incorporated in this report by reference.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information required by this item will be set forth in the Proxy Statement and is incorporated in this report by reference.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholders Matters

The information required by this item will be set forth in the Proxy Statement and is incorporated in this report by reference.

Item 13. Certain Relationships, Related Transactions and Director Independence

The information required by this item will be set forth in the Proxy Statement and is incorporated in this report by reference.

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

The information required by this item will be set forth in the Proxy Statement and is incorporated in this report by reference.

Table of Contents

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

(a) Documents filed as part of this report.

1. *Financial Statements*. The consolidated financial statements required by this item are submitted in a separate section beginning on page of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

2. *Financial Statement Schedules*. The financial statement schedule entitled Valuation and Qualifying Accounts required by this item is submitted in a separate section beginning on page of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

3. *Exhibits*.

Exhibit Number	Description of Document
2.1	Asset Purchase Agreement dated December 10, 2003 between Registrant and Metar SA en constitution. (1)
2.2	Purchase and Sale Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions dated August 15, 2003 by and between Registrant and Horizon Christian Fellowship. (1)
2.3	First Amendment to Purchase and Sale Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions by and between Registrant and Horizon Christian Fellowship, dated September 26, 2003. (1)
2.4	Second Amendment to Purchase and Sale Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions by and between Registrant and Horizon Christian Fellowship, dated October 13, 2003. (1)
2.5	Third Amendment to Purchase and Sale Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions by and between Registrant and Horizon Christian Fellowship, dated December 23, 2003. (1)
3.1	Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Registrant. (14)
3.2	Certificate of Amendment of Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Registrant, dated November 22, 1996. (8)
3.3	Certificate of Amendment of Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Registrant, dated February 9, 1998. (2)
3.4	Amended and Restated Bylaws of Registrant. (3)
4.1	Rights Agreement dated November 5, 1999 between Registrant and Chase Mellon Shareholders Services, LLC, as Rights Agent. (13)
4.2	Amendment of Rights Agreement dated as of July 5, 2002. (15)
10.1	1995 Stock Option Plan of Registrant. (9)
10.2	Amendment No. One to Registrant's 1995 Stock Option Plan dated March 19, 1997. (8)
10.3	Amendment No. Two to Registrant's 1995 Stock Option Plan dated February 13, 1998. (17)
10.4	Amendment No. Three to Registrant's 1995 Stock Option Plan dated January 28, 1999. (2)
10.5	Amendment No. Four to Registrant's 1995 Stock Option Plan dated Nov. 22, 1999. (4)
10.6	Amendment No. Five to Registrant's 1995 Stock Option Plan dated August 14, 2000. (16)
10.7	Stock Option Agreement under 1995 Stock Option Plan by and between Registrant and Kenneth Potashner, dated as of May 19, 2003. (15)
10.8	1999 Director Stock Option Plan of Registrant. (4)
10.9	Registrant's 1994 Employee Stock Purchase Plan. (9)
10.10	Amendment Number One to Registrant's 1994 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, effective as of April 30, 1997. (8)

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description of Document
10.12	PurePulse Technologies, Inc. 1994 Stock Option Plan. (10)
10.13	Shareholder Agreement among Registrant, PurePulse Technologies, Inc., Sanyo E&E Corporation and Three Oceans Inc., dated January 28, 1999. (2)
10.14	Seventh Amendment to Loan and Security Agreement dated June 30, 2003, among Registrant, Maxwell Electronic Components Group, Inc., I-Bus/Phoenix, Inc., PurePulse Technologies, Inc., MML Acquisition Corp. and Comerica Bank California. (15)
10.15	Stock Purchase and Barter Agreement by and between Registrant and Montena SA dated May 30, 2002. (5)
10.16	Amendment Number One to Stock Purchase and Barter Agreement by and between Registrant and Montena SA dated June 28, 2002. (5)
10.17	Amendment Number Two to the Stock Purchase and Barter Agreement by and between Registrant and Montena SA dated August 12, 2002. (6)
10.18	Asset Purchase Agreement dated as of September 30, 2002 between Maxwell Electronic Components Group, Inc. and TeknaSeal L.L.C. (7)
10.19	Services Agreement dated April 4, 2003 between Registrant and Carlton Eibl. (11)
10.20	Separation Agreement and General Release of Claims effective as of May 8, 2003 between Registrant and Kenneth Potashner. (12)
10.21	Employment Agreement dated August 1, 2003 between Registrant and Richard D. Balanson. (12)
10.22	Employment Agreement dated December 22, 2003 between Registrant and Tesfaye Hailemichael. (15)
10.23	Employment Agreement dated December 22, 2003 between Registrant and Richard Smith. (15)
10.24	Separation Agreement and General Release of All Claims effective as of October 31, 2003 between Registrant and James Baumker. (15)
10.25	Indemnity Agreement for Directors of Registrant dated December 2004. (15)
10.26	Loan and Security Agreement dated February 4, 2004 between Registrant and Silicon Valley Bank. (15)
10.27	Schedule to Loan and Security Agreement dated February 4, 2004 between Registrant and Silicon Valley Bank. (15)
10.28	Loan and Security Agreement (Exim Program) dated February 4, 2004 between Registrant and Silicon Valley Bank. (15)
10.29	Schedule to Loan and Security Agreement (Exim Program) dated February 4, 2004 between Registrant and Silicon Valley Bank. (15)
10.30	Export-Import Bank of the United States Agreement Executed by Borrower dated February 4, 2004 between Registrant, Export-Import Bank of the United States and Silicon Valley Bank. (15)
10.31	Intellectual Property Security Agreement dated February 4, 2004 between Registrant and Silicon Valley Bank. (15)
10.32	Securities Account Control Agreement dated February 4, 2004 between Registrant and Silicon Valley Bank. (15)
10.33	Stock Purchase Agreement dated November 5, 2004 between Registrant and each of MassMutual Strategic Balanced Fund, Citi FCP CitiEquity US Value Fund, ING Salomon Brothers All Cap Portfolio, Salomon Brothers Global Horizons US Fundamental Value Fund, GS Series Fundamental Value Fund, TA IDEX Salomon All Cap Fund, ING Salomon Brothers Fundamental Value Portfolio, Salomon Brothers All Cap Value Fund, ATSF Salomon All Cap, Salomon Brothers Variable All Cap Value Fund, Smith Barney Fundamental Value Fund, GS Series Salomon Brothers Variable All Cap Value Fund, State of New Mexico State Investment Council. (18)

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description of Document
10.34	Amendment No. 1 to Stock Purchase Agreement dated November 5, 2004 between Registrant and each of MassMutual Strategic Balanced Fund, Citi FCP CitiEquity US Value Fund, ING Salomon Brothers All Cap Portfolio, Salomon Brothers Global Horizons US Fundamental Value Fund, GS Series Fundamental Value Fund, TA Idex Salomon All Cap Fund, ING Salomon Brothers Fundamental Value Portfolio, Salomon Brothers All Cap Value Fund, ATSF Salomon All Cap, Salomon Brothers Variable All Cap Value Fund, Smith Barney Fundamental Value Fund, GS Series Salomon Brothers Variable All Cap Value Fund, State of New Mexico State Investment Council. (18)
10.35	Separation Agreement and General Release of Claims effective as of November 10, 2004 between Registrant and Tesfaye Hailemichael. (18)
10.36	Employment Agreement dated November 10, 2004 between Registrant and David H. Russian. (18)
10.37	Firm-Fixed-Price Subcontract Purchase Order dated February 14, 2005 between Registrant and Northrop Grumman Space and Mission Systems Corp. (18)
10.38	Purchase Order dated March 9, 2005 between Registrant and United States Advanced Battery Consortium. (18)
10.39	Stock Purchase Agreement dated July 12, 2005 between the Company and Royce & Associates, LLC. (19)
10.40	Stock Purchase Agreement dated July 12, 2005 between the Company and Surveillance & Gestion Financiere S.A. (19)
10.41	Stock Purchase Agreement dated July 14, 2005 between the Company and The DJG Small Cap Fund. (19)
10.42	Separation Agreement dated August 18, 2005 between Registrant and David H. Russian. (20)
10.43	Employment Agreement dated August 19, 2005 between Registrant and Tim Hart. (20)
10.44	Securities Purchase Agreement, dated as of December 20, 2005 between Registrant and Castlerigg Master Investments Ltd. (21)
10.45	Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of December 20, 2005 between Registrant and Castlerigg Master Investments Ltd. (21)
10.46	Separation Agreement and General Release of All Claims effective as of December 29, 2005 between Registrant and Richard Smith. (22)
10.47	Employment Agreement dated December 22, 2001 between Registrant and Alain R. Riedo. (23)
21.1	List of subsidiaries of Registrant. (18)
23.1	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, McGladrey & Pullen, LLP *
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) (Section 302 Certification) as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. *
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) (Section 302 Certification) as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. *
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. *
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. *

* Filed herewith.

(1) Incorporated herein by reference to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 15, 2004.

Table of Contents

- (2) Incorporated herein by reference to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended July 31, 1999 (SEC file no. 000-10964).
 - (3) Incorporated herein by reference to Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2003 (SEC file no. 001-15477).
 - (4) Incorporated herein by reference to Registrant's Transition Report on Form 10-K for the transition period from August 1, 1999 to December 31, 1999 (SEC file no. 001-15477).
 - (5) Incorporated herein by reference to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on July 19, 2002.
 - (6) Incorporated herein by reference to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on September 18, 2002.
 - (7) Incorporated herein by reference to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on October 15, 2002.
 - (8) Incorporated herein by reference to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended July 31, 1997 (SEC file no. 000-10964).
 - (9) Incorporated herein by reference to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended July 31, 1995 (SEC file no. 000-10964).
 - (10) Incorporated herein by reference to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended July 31, 1996 (SEC file no. 000-10964).
 - (11) Incorporated herein by reference to Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2003.
 - (12) Incorporated herein by reference to Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2003.
 - (13) Incorporated herein by reference to Registrant's Form 8-A filed November 18, 1999 (SEC file no. 001-15477).
 - (14) Incorporated herein by reference to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended July 31, 1987 (SEC file no. 000-10964).
 - (15) Incorporated herein by reference to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003.
 - (16) Incorporated herein by reference to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2000.
 - (17) Incorporated herein by reference to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended July 31, 1998 (SEC file no. 000-10964).
 - (18) Incorporated herein by reference to Registrant's Annual Reports on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004.
 - (19) Incorporated herein by reference to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on July 18, 2005.
 - (20) Incorporated herein by reference to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on August 19, 2005.
 - (21) Incorporated herein by reference to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 21, 2005.
 - (22) Incorporated herein by reference to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005.
 - (23) Incorporated herein by reference to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on May 9, 2006.
- (b) See the exhibits required by this item under Item 15(a)(3) above.
- (c) See the financial statement schedule required by this item under Item 15(a)(2) above.

Table of Contents**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, on this 16th day of March 2007.

MAXWELL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

By: /s/ **RICHARD D. BALANSON**
Richard D. Balanson

President and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ RICHARD D. BALANSON Richard D. Balanson	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director	March 16, 2007
/s/ TIM T. HART Tim T. Hart	Vice President, Finance, Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	March 16, 2007
/s/ MARK ROSSI Mark Rossi	Director	March 16, 2007
/s/ JEAN LAVIGNE Jean Lavigne	Director	March 16, 2007
/s/ ROBERT L. GUYETT Robert L. Guyett	Director	March 16, 2007
/s/ JOSÉ CORTES José Cortes	Director	March 16, 2007
/s/ THOMAS RINGER Thomas Ringer	Director	March 16, 2007
/s/ EDWARD CAUDILL Edward Caudill	Director	March 16, 2007
/s/ BURKHARD GOESCHEL Burkhard Goeschel	Director	March 16, 2007

